The Effectiveness Of Non Invasive Hemodynamic Parameters In Detection Of Spinal Anesthesia Induced Hypotension During Cesarean Section

Yasser Essam Elfeill, Ahmed Mohammed Alattar, Tamer Ahmed Ghoneim, Aliaa Rabie Abd Elaziz and Ehsan Akram Deghidy

ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Hypotension is the most frequent consequence of spinal anesthesia in cesarean section. It results in harmful effects on mother and newborn.

**Aim:** This study aim was to evaluate the correlation of positional changes in hemodynamic (heart rate and blood pressure) measured before spinal anesthesia in anticipation of occurrence of hypotension throughout cesarean delivery.

**Methods:** 100 ASA grade I parturient were selected for this prospective observational study. Preoperative sociodemographic data, parity, and hemodynamic parameters were recorded including changes in systolic, diastolic, mean arterial blood pressure, and heart rate after positional shift from supine to lateral and sitting positions. Intraoperative, occurrence of hypotension was noted.

**Results:** With hypotension as the dependent variable, the age, parity, heart rate at supine position, and changes in (heart rate, systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure) from supine to lateral position, and from supine to sitting position had high statistical significant negative correlation with blood pressure as P value <.05. Multivariate logistic analysis to assess predictors of hypotension concluded that changes in hemodynamic variable from supine to lateral position only significant predictor were (Δ heart rate, Δ diastolic and Δ mean blood pressure), and changes in hemodynamic variable from supine to sitting position only significant predictor were (Δheart rate and Δ diastolic blood pressure).

**Discussion:** Elevated sympathetic activity before neuraxial anesthesia was associated with higher risk for post-spinal hypotension. The great variability in hemodynamic after positional change indicates higher sympathetic activity to blood vessels. The high rise in the autonomic activity, the higher the risk for post-spinal hypotension.

**Conclusion:** Age, parity, and positional changes in hemodynamic were correlated with blood pressure reading after spinal anesthesia during cesarean delivery.

1. Introduction

Globally, the percentage of cesarean sections continues to rise especially in high- and middle-income societies. [1] It should be performed when vaginal delivery has a risk to the mother or baby. Many factors have increased the number of cesarean section as amniotic membrane premature rupture, breech presentation, maternal preference, previous cesarean delivery, and cephalo-pelvic discrepancy. [2–4] When medically indicated, cesarean delivery can prevent maternal and perinatal adverse effects. [5] Obstetric anaesthetists have to deliver care for both the mother and the unborn baby. A team approach is important to ensure optimal outcome while ensuring that the labor process is a safe and happy experience for the parturient.

The percentage of cesarean deliveries carried out under spinal anesthesia has greatly increased over the last 20 years. [6] Neuraxial anesthesia is the preferred choice for cesarean delivery in most countries unless contraindicated, due to the decrease in maternal mortality as shown by the UK Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Death (CEMD and its successors) which demonstrates the link between falling maternal mortality and rising rates of spinal anesthesia for cesarean section and in general lower rates of morbidity and mortality for neuraxial anesthesia in cesarean section. [7] Complications of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery include the following, increase the risk of hypotension, intrapartum nausea and vomiting, possibility of post-dural puncture headache, and limited duration of action. Various efforts for prediction of maternal hypotension to modify the plan of its management.

Increased sympathetic activity before spinal anesthesia is aligned with intraoperative hypotension. [8] The higher blood pressure when changing position means higher sympathetic activity. [9] This great variation in blood pressure can be taken as an estimation.
of sympathetic activity and so that can anticipate post-
spinal hypotension

It was hypothesized that the occurrence of hypoten-
sion during cesarean delivery after neuraxial anesthe-
sia was correlated with autonomic nervous system
function, so this study aimed to assess the correla-
tion of the positional changes in hemodynamic (heart
rate and blood pressure) measured before spinal
anesthesia in anticipation of post-spinal hypotension
throughout cesarean delivery.

2. Materials & methods

After approval of the Local Ethical Research
Committee and having written informed consent
from all patients, 100 pregnant women scheduled for
cesarean delivery with neuraxial anesthesia were
enrolled in this prospective observational study from
May 2019 till May 2020 in El Shatby University
Hospital, Alexandria University, Egypt. Inclusion cri-
teria were (ASA) status I, single baby, all subjects were
at a gestation of 39 weak pregnancy or above, grade IV
elective cesarean delivery. [10] Exclusion criteria were
history to allergy to any study medication, contraindi-
cation to spinal anesthesia, BMI ≥ 35 kg/m², height
less than 150 cm or more than 180 cm.

The sample size was approved to be sufficient by the
Department of Statistics, Medical Research Institute,
Alexandria University, Egypt.

In order to fulfill selection criteria, every patient
was subjected to a careful pre-anesthetic assessment.
Patients were given routine antacid prophylaxis in the
form of 30 mL of sodium citrate. Upon arrival in the
operating room, patients were positioned on an oper-
ating table in supine position with left lateral tilt 15 to
30 degree and allowed to rest and calm down for 5
minutes. An 18-gauge intravenous cannula was
inserted under local anesthesia. Routine monitors
were attached. Baseline hemodynamic measurements
were made by averaging three consecutive measure-
ments. Heart rate was recorded using pulse oximetry
and electrocardiography. Systolic, diastolic, and mean
blood pressure was assessed using an automated non-
invasive device (Biolight M69, Biolight Meditech®,
Zhuhai, China).

After taking baseline parameters in supine position
with left lateral tilt 15 to 30 degree, patients were
placed in left lateral position 90 degree and blood
pressure and heart rate were noted immediately after
changing position. Then, the patients were returned to
supine position with left lateral tilt 15 to 30 degree.
After that the patients were placed in sitting and
hemodynamic parameters were noted again in this
sitting position immediately after changing position.

In the sitting position spinal anesthesia was deliv-
ered under full sterilization. After skin local anes-
thetic injection with lidocaine 2%, a 25-gauge
Quincke spinal needle was inserted at the L4-L5
vertebral interspace. After free flow of cerebrospinal
fluid, 2.2 ml (11 mg) of hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5%
and 25 μg (0.5 ml) fentanyl was given, and the patient
was returned back to the baseline position. At the
start of local anesthetic injection, coload of lactated
Ringer’s solution was started for the first 1 L, by fully
opening the IV fluid set with the bag suspended at
a height of approximately 1 m above the midpoint of
the operating table. Then, maintenance fluids were
limited to 10 ml/kg/hour. Block height was assessed
using ice swap and considered adequate if at the T4
level or above. Also motor block was assessed using
bromage score and considered adequate if grade IV
unable to move legs or feet. If sensory or motor block
were not adequate, the patient was excluded from the
study. Surgery was started after the block adequacy
was considered by anesthesiologist. After the delivery
of baby, 3 IU oxytocin was administered as a slow
bolus injection, and 7 IU was added to a second bag
of Ringer’s lactate and infused over the remainder of
the operation. Patients were monitored for (Systolic,
diastolic and mean) blood pressure and heart rate
every 2 min till the delivery of baby and every 3
min thereafter. No prophylactic ephedrine was
administered.

The most common definitions of hypotension used
in research studies were either systolic blood pressure
“< 80% baseline”, or ‘< 100 mmHg. [11] Hypotension
was defined as a decrease of >20% from basal systolic
blood pressure. Although definition of hypotension
depends on systolic blood pressure, treatment with
intravenous 10 mg ephedrine when mean blood pres-
sure below 60 mm Hg, as perfusion depends on mean
arterial blood pressure.

Bradycardia was defined as a heart rate below 60
beat per minute, and 0.5 mg atropine was given
intravenous.

Oxygen was given via face mask oxygen 4 liters
per minute if needed, to keep the SpO2 values
above 95%.

2.1. Statistical analysis

Data were coded and entered using the statistical
package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.
Data were summarized by mean as measure of central
tendency & Standard deviation, range as measures of
dispersion for quantitative variables. Categorical vari-
ables are described by frequencies and percentages.
Correlation between variables was tested by calcu-
ling the Pearson correlation coefficient and testing
its significance except parity which was tested by
Spearman correlation.

To test relation between number of hypotensive
episodes and age Kruskal–Wallis test was used. For
significant results, pair wise comparison was done.
Multivariate logistic analysis was performed to detect the independent contribution of different factors affecting occurrence of hypotension.

3. Results

Sociodemographic variables including parity are displayed in Table 1.

Hypotension occurred in 57% of cases of which 42% had only one, 32% two and 26% three or more episodes of hypotension. Table 2 & figure 1

Of the sociodemographic variables age showed a negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( r = -0.651, p = 0.0001 \), while weight and height had no significant correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( (r = -0.088, p = 0.38), (r = 0.09, p = 0.42) \) respectively. As regards parity there was statistical significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( r = -0.756, p = 0.0001 \). Table 3

Of hemodynamic variables at supine position heart rate had statistical significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( r = -0.793, p = 0.0001 \) figure (2) while, other measurements (systolic, diastolic, and mean) blood pressure had no statistical significant correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure. Table 4

Changes from supine to lateral position in heart rate and (systolic, diastolic, and mean) blood pressure had statistical significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( P \) value <.05. Table 5 & figures [3–5]

Changes from supine to sitting position in heart rate and (systolic, diastolic, and mean) blood pressure had statistical significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure as \( P \) value <.05. Table 6 & figures (6, 7)

Table 7 shows that overall model including changes from supine to lateral position (heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure) was statistically significant in prediction of occurrence of hypotension. The significant predictors were (\( \Delta \) heart rate, \( \Delta \)diastolic and \( \Delta \) mean blood pressure)

Table 8 shows that overall model including changes from supine to sitting position (heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure) was statistically significant in prediction of occurrence of hypotension. The significant predictors were (\( \Delta \)heart rate and \( \Delta \)diastolic blood pressure).

4. Discussion

There was no accepted single definition of hypotension in the scientific literature. The incidence rate of hypotension differs according to the selected definition. [12] S. Klohr et al, [11] found 15 variable definitions of hypotension in 63 studies following spinal anesthesia or combined spinal-epidural anesthesia for cesarian section, carried out from 1999 to 2009. Hypotension was defined as a decrease of systolic blood pressure below 80% of the baseline value. This was the same definition of 13 studies (20.6%) of total (63)Sixty-three qualified

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics & gestational history.

| Socio-demographic characteristics & gestational history | Study sample (N = 100) |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Age (Years)                                            | 29.9 ± 4.88            |
| Mean ± SD                                             | (20–40)                |
| Min – Max                                             |                        |
| Weight (kg)                                            | 83.47 ± 6.2            |
| Mean ± SD                                             | (70–93)                |
| Min – Max                                             |                        |
| Height (cm)                                            | 166.3 ± 3.3            |
| Mean ± SD                                             | (160–172)              |
| Min – Max                                             |                        |
| BMI(Kg/m²)                                             | 30.2 ± 2.12            |
| Mean ± SD                                             | (26.9–34.2)            |
| Min – Max                                             | 0–5                    |
| Parity                                                 |                        |

Table 2. Number of hypotensive episodes.

| No. Hypotensive episodes (N = 100) | Test of significant (P) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                     | H = 63.1, P < .0001*    |
| Zero (N = 43)                      |                         |
| Once (N = 24)                      |                         |
| Twice (N = 18)                     |                         |
| Three times (N = 9)                |                         |
| Four times (N = 6)                 |                         |
| Age (years) Median (Min-Max)       | 27 (20–33)              |
|                                   | 29 (22–35)              |
|                                   | 34 (29–37)              |
|                                   | 36 (34–39)              |
|                                   | 38 (36–40)              |

H: Kruskal Wallis.
Using pairwise comparison; significance was detected between [(zero Vs twice),(zero Vs 3times),(zero Vs 4times)] as well between[(once Vs twice),(once Vs 3times) and (once Vs 4times)] as P value <.05.
publications (7120 patients) were rectified, releasing 15 variable definitions of hypotension. [13–15]

As regards the incidence rate of hypotensive cases, 57% of females were hypotensive. This percent falls in the same range of hypotension due to the sympathectomy resulting from spinal anesthesia which is enhanced by the physiological changes during the last trimester, in as much as 55%-90%. [16,17] As regards the age there was significant negative correlation with the intraoperative systolic blood pressure. Similar results were reported by Brenk, et al. who found lower incidence of hypotension in younger parturient than in older ones. [18] DG, Bishop found that preoperative maternal age was predictive of hypotension in cesarean delivery. [19] The explanation of this

| Study sample (N = 100) | Age (Years) | Weight (Kg) | Height (cm) | Parity |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| SBP (mmhg)             | R           | P           | R           | P      |
|                        | −.65        | .0001*      | −.088       | .38    |
|                        | −.09        | .42         | −.756       | .0001* |

r: Pearson Correlation except parity which was tested by spearman correlation
*statistically significant

Figure 1. Number of hypotensive episodes.

Table 3. Correlation between Socio-demographic characteristics and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.

Figure 2. Correlation between heart rate at supine position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.
could be related to reduction in cardiac reserve and changes in baroreceptor and sympathetic nervous system responses in older patients. [20–22] As regards the parity there was significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure. In agreement with this result Ghaem, et al. found pregnant with gravidity ≥4 has higher risk of developing hypotension. [23] The explanation of this result could be related to decrease in peripheral vascular tone during pregnancy. The extent of the reduction in systemic vascular resistance in pregnancy was higher in multiparous when compared to nulliparous. [24] Hence, sympathectomy as a result of spinal anesthesia in multiparous has been associated with further spinal hypotension. [22]

Of hemodynamic variables at supine position heart rate had a significant negative correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure. In agreement with this result Yokose, et al. found that a higher pre-anesthetic heart rate was associated with the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. [25] Furthermore DG, Bishop. found that preoperative basal heart rate has good prediction of post spinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. [19] Finally, Gaem, et al. found basal heart rate was a risk factor for prediction of hypotensive cases after spinal anesthesia in cesarean section. [23] This could be explained by higher basal heart rate generally reflects enhanced activity of the sympathetic nervous system. Therefore, parturient with higher basal heart rates more liable to post-spinal hypotension. On the other hand Zifeng, et al. demonstrated that pre-anesthetic heart rate was not predictive factor with the incidence of postspinal hypotension during cesarean delivery. [26] The explanation of this difference with our result may be due to Zifeng heart rate measurement was done in supine position without lateral tilt, the patient was supine all through the operation, and finally, Zifeng used different dose and different drug of local anesthesia.

As regards baseline blood pressure (systolic, mean, and diastolic) not significant correlated with intraoperative systolic blood pressure. In agreement with this result Toyama, et al. failed to demonstrate baseline hemodynamic parameters as a good predictor of spinal anesthesia induced hypotension. [22] On the other hand DG, Bishop. found that basal mean blood pressure was predictive of hypotension in cesarean delivery. [19] Also Ghaem, et al. [23] revealed that baseline systolic blood pressure <120 mmHg was aligned with elevated risk of developing hypotension. This difference may be due to that our parturient were ASA I, gestation of 39 week or more pregnancy, and grade IV elective cesarean delivery so that not expected to have significant changes in basal blood pressure. Furthermore, the method of measurement of basal blood pressure by three measurements after

---

**Table 4. Correlation between hemodynamic measurements at supine position with intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP).**

| Study sample (N = 100) | HR  | SBP | DBP | MBP |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SBP (mmHg) R P        | .793| .127| .001*| .031|

**Table 5. Correlation between Changes in hemodynamic (heart rate and blood pressure) from supine to lateral position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.**

| Study sample (N = 100) | ΔHR | ΔSBP | ΔDBP | ΔMBP |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| SBP (mmHg) r p        | -.753 | -.337 | -.42 | -.22 |

**Figure 3. Correlation between ΔHR in lateral position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.**
rest of 5 min that allowed stress effect and anxiety to be released. Finally, the difference of local anesthetic dose, fluid load, and definition of hypotension may contribute to this difference.

Changes from supine to lateral position and from supine to sitting position in (heart rate, systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure) had significant negative

**Table 6.** Correlation between Changes in hemodynamic (heart rate and blood pressure) from supine to sitting position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.

| Study sample (N = 100) | ∆HR | ∆SBP | ∆DBP | ∆MBP |
|------------------------|-----|------|------|------|
| SBP (mmhg)             | R   | P    |      |      |
|                        | −.547 | −.275 | −.693 | −.454 |
|                        | .0001* | .006* | .0001* | .0001* |
correlation with intraoperative systolic blood pressure. In agreement with this result Jeon, et al. concluded that the higher the orthostatic changes in blood pressure and heart rate from supine to lateral positions, the greater the reduction of blood pressure in patients. [27] Also Hans, et al concluded that higher sympathetic tone before anesthesia would be associated with further reduction of blood pressure after anesthesia. [9] Finally, Sun and Huang reported that hypotension after spinal anesthesia was affected by preoperative sympathetic activity and

**Figure 6.** Correlation between ΔHR in sitting position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.

**Figure 7.** Correlation between ΔDBP in sitting position and intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) readings.

**Table 7.** Model 1 to assess factors affecting occurrence of intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) hypotension as regards hemodynamic changes from supine to lateral position.

| Unstandardized Coefficients | 95% Confidence Interval for Odd Ratio |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Model 1                     | B        | Std. Error | Odd Ratio | Sig. | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Constant                    | -10.32  | 2.28       | -         | .0001* | -          | -          |
| ΔHR                         | 1.02    | .239       | 2.77      | .0001* | 1.377      | 4.43       |
| ΔSBP                        | -0.099  | .08        | 0.94      | .456  | .81        | 1.1        |
| ΔDBP                        | 0.171   | .07        | 1.186     | .015* | 1.034      | 1.36       |
| ΔMBP                        | 0.163   | .063       | 1.178     | .009* | 1.041      | 1.332      |

Dependent Variable: occurrence of hypotension

**Table 8.** Model 2 to assess factors affecting occurrence of intraoperative systolic blood pressure (SBP) hypotension as regards hemodynamic changes from supine to sitting position.

| Unstandardized Coefficients | 95% Confidence Interval for Odd Ratio |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Model 2                     | B        | Std. Error | Odd Ratio | Sig. | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Constant                    | -6.916  | 1.554      | -         | .0001* | -          | -          |
| ΔHR                         | 0.306   | .11        | 1.36      | .006* | 1.094      | 1.67       |
| ΔSBP                        | .037    | .046       | 1.038     | .412  | .949       | 1.135      |
| ΔDBP                        | 0.476   | .118       | 1.61      | .0001* | 1.277      | 2.03       |
| ΔMBP                        | 0.035   | .046       | 1.035     | .46   | 0.945      | 1.134      |

Dependent Variable: occurrence of hypotension
effective circulating blood volume. [28] On the other hand, Kinslow et al. couldn’t find any correlation between the blood pressure and heart rate changes due to position and the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension. [29] Also Froelich and Caton, [30] couldn’t find a correlation between hemodynamic changes due to position and the occurrence of hypotension. The explanation for this difference may be related to the use of different methods of measurement. In this study, the orthostatic blood pressure measured just after changing position, but Froelich and Caton took the average of five blood pressure readings one every minute after changing position. The peak positional blood pressure change takes place by around 1 minute after changing position, [31,32] assessment based on delayed values may produce variable outcome.

Multivariate logistic analysis to assess factors affecting occurrence of hypotension found that changes in hemodynamic variable from supine to lateral position only significant predictor were (Δ heart rate, Δ diastolic and Δ mean blood pressure), and changes in hemodynamic variable from supine to sitting position only significant predictor were (Δheart rate and Δ diastolic blood pressure). In agreement with two models Ragi Jain, found moderate degree of correlation for changes in diastolic and mean blood pressure between supine and lateral positions with occurrence of intraoperative hypotension. However, correlation of difference between sitting from the supine position showed only mild association with occurrence of intraoperative hypotension. [33] The explanation for this analysis may be due to the difference in sympathetic and parasympathetic response to changes in position. Sympathetic function test was assessed by changes of systolic blood pressure from supine to sitting or standing, while parasympathetic function was assessed by testing heart rate changes after standing up from supine position. It was very difficult to the patient to assume standing position from supine; we measured the variation in orthostatic blood pressure and heart rate by permitting patient to change position from supine to lateral and sitting positions. A pilot study by Hyun Kim, et al suggested that the parasympathetic function tests may be useful methods for predicting the occurrence of post-spinal hypotension throughout cesarean delivery. There was no correlation between sympathetic dysfunction and hypotension. [34]

Higher sympathetic activity before neuraxial anesthesia was associated with increased risk for post-spinal hypotension. The great variability in hemodynamic after positional change indicates higher sympathetic activity to blood vessels. [27] The higher autonomic activity, the higher the risk for post-spinal hypotension.
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