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Abstract
The number and classification of tribes in the leafhopper subfamily Typhlocybinae are not yet fully clear, and molecular data has recently been used to help resolve the problem. In this study, the mitochondrial genomes of Mitjaevia shibingensis Chen, Song & Webb, 2020 and M. dworakowskae Chen, Song & Webb, 2020 of the tribe Erythroneurini (Cicadellidae, Typhlocybinae) were sequenced. Most protein-coding genes (PCGs) start with ATN and end with TAA or TAG, and the AT content of these three codons were found differ from previous results that show that the first codon has the highest incidence. Two rRNA genes are highly conserved, and the AT content in 16S is higher than that of 12S. The nucleotide diversity and genetic distance among 13 PCGs of the four tribes from Typhlocybinae show that Empoascini nucleotide diversity is significantly less than in the other three tribes, and have the largest distance from the others, while Typhlocybini and Zyginellini have the smallest distance, indicating that the relationship between the two is the closest. The nad2, nad4, nad4L, and nad5 genes have greater nucleotide diversity, showing potential for use as the main markers for species identification. The phylogenetic analysis yielded a well-supported topology with most branches receiving maximum support and a few branches pertaining to relationships within Zyginellini and Typhlocybini receiving lower support. The species of these two
tribes are intertwined, and it was impossible to resolve them into separate branches. In addition, the tribes Empoascini and Erythroneurini were recovered as monophyletic, and Alebrini was placed at the base of the tree as the most primitive. These results are broadly in line with other molecular phylogenetical studies which differ from traditional morphological classification.
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**Introduction**

Cicadellidae (leafhoppers) are the largest family of the order Hemiptera. Representatives are important agricultural and forestry pests that feed on a variety of plants such as cereal crops, vegetables, and fruit trees, and they are also vectors of plant pathogens (Morris 1971; Guo 2011; Roddee et al. 2018). Leafhoppers also have many interesting characteristics, such as varied lifestyles and feeding strategies (including utilizing different endosymbionts), covering their bodies with brochosomes, and producing courtship signals through the plant substrate. These characteristics make leafhoppers suitable material for studies on biological evolution and geographical research (Chen et al. 2020a, b). The subfamily of Typhlocybinae is the second largest group of Cicadellidae and is widely distributed in the six major zoogeographic regions of the world. Erythroneurini, the largest tribe of Typhlocybinae, includes ~2,000 species worldwide (Wang et al. 2012).

The traditional classification of leafhopper has attracted much research attention, including the classification of Typhlocybinae. At present, Typhlocybinae contains six tribes (Alebrini, Empoascini, Erythroneurini, Zyginellini, Typhlocybini, Dikraneurini) but this division remains controversial (Hamilton 1998; Balme 2007; Dietrich 2013). Today, the emergence of next-generation sequencing technology is a breakthrough for solving this problem enabling mitochondrial genomic data to verify and reference the existing family-level classification of Typhlocybinae. Many previous attempts have been made to estimate phylogenetic relationships among leafhoppers mostly by using either morphological data or sequence data from a few gene regions (Hamilton 1983; Dietrich et al. 2001; Zahniser and Dietrich 2013; Krishnankutty et al. 2016), but there is very little research on Typhlocybinae. So far, in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), Typhlocybinae only has complete mitochondrial genomic data for 19 species (Table 1).

The insect mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) is usually a closed double-stranded DNA molecule with a molecular weight of 14–20 kb. Usually, it contains 37 genes, including 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs), NADH dehydrogenase 1-6 and 4L (nad1-6 and *nad4L*), cytochrome c oxidase subunits 1-3 (cox1-3), ATPase subunit 6 and 8 (*atp6* and *atp8*), cytochrome b (*cytb*), two ribosomal RNAs genes (*16S* and *12S*) and 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes. A region rich in A + T, the control region, is also present (Boore 1999; Wang et al. 2018). Compared with the nuclear genome, the in-
sect mitochondrial genome has the characteristics of a simple structure, low molecular weight, stable composition, conservative arrangement, maternal inheritance, and easy detection. It is very suitable for the study of evolutionary genomics and is widely used to identify the phylogenetic relationships and population structures at different taxonomic levels (Saccone et al. 2002; Cook et al. 2005; Wilson et al. 2008).

To further enrich the mitochondrial genome data of leafhoppers and provide comparative data for closely related species, we sequenced and analyzed the complete mitochondrial genomes of *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* and analyzed their phylogenetic relationship with other Typhlocybinae. The new molecular data obtained will help in the identification of leafhopper species, kinship comparison, and future studies on population genetics and evolution.

### Materials and methods

**Mitogenome sequencing, assembly, and annotation**

For this study, samples of *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* were collected in 100% alcohol and stored at −20 °C in the laboratory. Total DNA was extracted from the entire body without the abdomen and wings. The mitochondrial gene sequences were obtained through second-generation sequencing. Primers were designed to amplify the mtDNA sequence in PCR reactions. The PCR reaction was performed using the LA Taq polymerase. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, then 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 55 °C for 30 sec, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min/kb, followed by the final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were sequenced directly, or,
if needed, first cloned into a pMD18-T vector (Takara, JAP) and then sequenced, by the dideoxynucleotide procedure, using an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer (Sanger sequencing) using the same set of primers. After quality-proofing of the obtained fragments, the complete mt genome sequence was assembled manually using DNASStar (Burland 2000), and a homology search was performed by the Blast function in NCBI to verify the amplified sequence as the target sequence (Meng et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2017). The nucleotide base composition, codon usage, and A + T content values were analyzed with MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013). The secondary structure of tRNA genes was annotated using online tools tRNAscan-SE 1.21 and ARWEN (Lowe and Eddy 1997; Laslett and Canbäck 2008). The tandem repeat sequence in the control area was determined by the online search tool Tandem Repeats Finder (Benson 1999).

The base skew values for a given strand were calculated using the formulae: AT skew = \(\frac{A - T}{A + T}\) and GC skew = \(\frac{G - C}{G + C}\) (Perna and Kocher 1995). The nucleotide diversity (Pi) and sliding window analysis (sliding window: 200 bp, step size: 20 bp) of 13 PCGs among four tribes of Typhlocybinae species were conducted with DnaSP 5.0 software (Rozas et al. 2017). And the genetic distance of the four tribes was estimated in MEGA 6.06.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The phylogenetic analysis included two sets of data. First, the phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 29 cox1 data among six tribes of Typhlocybiane and two outgroups. Secondly, phylogenetic tree analysis was conducted using a dataset including the complete mitochondrial genomes of the two newly sequenced erythronerine species, 17 typhlocybiane species, and two outgroups, of which nine sets of data were from team sequencing, while the remaining 10 were obtained from the NCBI database (Table 1).

The Gblocks Server online platform was used to eliminate poorly aligned positions and divergent regions of DNA protein alignment, and all alignments were checked and corrected in MEGA 6.06 prior to the phylogenetic analysis (Tamura et al. 2013). Five datasets were generated: (1) cox1 with 573 nucleotides (2) 13 PCGs with 10,452 nucleotides (PCGs); (3) the first and second codon positions of the 13 PCGs with 6968 nucleotides (PCG12); (4) 13 PCGs with 10,452 nucleotides and 2 rRNA with 1615 nucleotides (PCGR); (5) and amino acid sequences of the 13 PCGs with 2666 amino acids (PCGAA).

The trimmed datasets were used to estimate the phylogeny by maximum likelihood (ML) using IQ-TREE and Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes 3.2.7 (Zhou et al. 2011; Du et al. 2017). ML constructed with the IQ-TREE used an ultrafast bootstrap approximation approach with 10,000 replicates and calculated bootstrap scores for each node (BP). BI selected GTR + I + G as the optimal model, running 10 million generations twice, sampling once every 1000 generations, after the average standard deviation of the segmentation frequency drops below 0.01, with the first 25% of the samples are discarded burn-in, and the remaining trees used to generate a consensus tree and calculate the posterior probability (PP) of each branch.
Results and discussion

Organization and composition of the genome

The genomic organization and nucleotide composition of the two new mitogenomes sequenced in this study are similar to those of other previously reported Typhlocybina (Tan et al. 2020; Yuan et al. 2020). The complete mitogenomes of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* are double-stranded plasmids with 15,788 and 16,399 bp, respectively. Both species contain the usual 13 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, 2 rRNA genes, and a control region (Fig. 1). Fourteen genes encode in the minority strand (L-strand) while the others encode in the majority strand (H-strand). *Mitjaevia shibingensis* has a total of 46 bp intergenic space in 12 regions ranging from 1 to 8 bp. Eleven genes were found to overlap by a total of 47 bp. *Mitjaevia dworakowskae* has a total of 84 bp intergenic space in 12 regions ranging from 2 to 15 bp, and seven genes were found to overlap by a total of 23 bp (Table 2).

The AT contents and skew statistics are shown in Table 3. The mitochondrial genomes of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* show heavy AT nucleotide bias, with A + T% content for the whole sequence was 78.4% and 79.0%, respectively. Similar patterns of nucleotide composition are also found in other leafhopper species (Du et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Xian et al. 2020). The control region (CR) has the strongest A + T% bias, while the PCGs shows the lowest A + T% among whole genes. The whole genome has positive AT skews (0.042, 0.051) and negative GC skews (–0.074, –0.104). Analysis of 37 individual genes of the two species show that AT skews are mostly positive, while for GC skews, the genes of both species are mostly negative (Fig. 2). Positive AT skews indicates that the content of base A is higher than that of base T. However, in a few genes, although the AT skews is negative, the difference in absolute value was very small. For negative GC skew, a negative value indicates that the content of base G is lower than that of base C, while a positive value indicates the opposite. In general, the basic composition of these two species is biased towards A and C.
Table 2. Organization of the *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* mitochondrial genome.

| Gene | Position | Size (bp) | Intergenic | Start codon | Stop codon | Strand |
|------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| tRNA-Ile | 1–63 | 1–63 | 63 | 0 | | H |
| tRNA-Gln | 61–128 | 61–128 | 68 | –3 | 0 | L |
| tRNA-Met | 151–219 | 137–205 | 69 | 8 | 9 | H |
| nad2 | 220–1191 | 206–1177 | 972 | 0 | ATA | TAA | H |
| tRNA-Arg | 1190–1253 | 1176–1235 | 64 | 60 | –2 | 2 | H |
| tRNA-Cys | 1246–1307 | 1233–1295 | 62 | 63 | –8 | | L |
| tRNA-Tyr | 1307–1368 | 1299–1364 | 62 | 66 | 5 | 3 | L |
| tRNA-Leu | 1378–2913 | 1374–2909 | 1536 | 1 | 2 | ATG | TAA | TAG | H |
| cox1 | 2915–2980 | 2911–2976 | 66 | 0 | 2 | | H |
| cox2 | 2981–3659 | 2977–3655 | 679 | 0 | ATT | T | H |
| tRNA-Lys | 3660–3730 | 3656–3726 | 71 | 0 | | | H |
| tRNA-Asp | 3733–3793 | 3727–3789 | 61 | 63 | –1 | 0 | H |
| atp8 | 3792–3944 | 3799–3942 | 972 | 0 | ATA | TAA | H |
| atp6 | 3938–4591 | 3936–4589 | 654 | 0 | ATT | TAA | H |
| cox3 | 4592–5371 | 4590–5360 | 780 | 2 | 8 | ATG | TAA | H |
| tRNA-Gly | 5376–5437 | 5370–5431 | 62 | 0 | | | H |
| nad3 | 5438–5791 | 5432–5785 | 354 | 0 | ATT | ATA | TAA | H |
| tRNA-Ala | 5796–5857 | 5791–5855 | 62 | 65 | 4 | –2 | H |
| tRNA-Arg | 5857–5921 | 5861–5922 | 65 | 62 | 2 | 15 | H |
| tRNA-Aux | 5912–5986 | 5922–5981 | 66 | 60 | –2 | 0 | H |
| tRNA-Ser | 5986–6053 | 5986–6052 | 68 | 67 | 4 | –1 | H |
| tRNA-Glu | 6055–6118 | 6058–6123 | 64 | 66 | 8 | 11 | H |
| tRNA-Phe | 6135–6197 | 6128–6195 | 63 | 68 | 4 | 2 | L |
| nad5 | 6200–7873 | 6200–7873 | 1192 | 1185 | 0 | | L |
| tRNA-His | 7874–7937 | 7874–7937 | 64 | 0 | | | L |
| nad4 | 7937–9265 | 7937–9265 | 1329 | 7 | –1 | ATG | TAA | L |
| nad4L | 9259–9537 | 9259–9537 | 279 | 1 | –7 | ATG | TAA | L |
| tRNA-Tbr | 9540–9605 | 9540–9604 | 66 | 65 | 2 | 0 | H |
| tRNA-Pro | 9606–9671 | 9605–9671 | 66 | 67 | 0 | 7 | L |
| nad6 | 9674–10159 | 9674–10159 | 486 | 2 | 5 | ATT | TAA | H |
| cytb | 10166–11302 | 10162–11298 | 1137 | 7 | 0 | ATG | TAG | TAA | H |
| tRNA-Ser | 11309–11372 | 11298–11363 | 64 | 66 | 2 | 9 | H |
| nad1 | 11363–12304 | 11366–12296 | 942 | 931 | –10 | –2 | ATTA | TAA | T | L |
| tRNA-Leu | 12305–12370 | 12297–12364 | 66 | 68 | 0 | | L |
| 16S | 12371–13562 | 12365–13549 | 1192 | 1185 | 0 | | L |
| tRNA-Val | 13563–13627 | 13550–13615 | 65 | 66 | 0 | | L |
| 12S | 13628–14359 | 13616–14351 | 732 | 736 | 0 | | L |
| D-loop | 14360–15788 | 14352–16399 | 1429 | 2048 | | | |

Protein-coding genes and codon usage

Similar to other Typhlocybinae mitochondrial genomes, of the 13 PCGs of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae*, nine genes (cox1, cox2, cox3, atp8, atp6, nad2, nad3, nad6, and cytb) are located on the major strand (H-strand) while the other four PCGs (nad4, nad4L, nad5, and nad1) are located on the minor strand (L-strand). The largest gene was the nad5 gene, and the smallest was the atp8 gene in erythroneurine mitogenomes. The average AT content values of PCGs were 75.4% and 76.7% in *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae*, respectively. The A + T content of the first codon positions (76.5%, 77.5%) was much higher than that of the second (76.1%, 75.4%) and the third (74.5%, 77.3%) positions. This result was different from most other
Genomes of two new leafhoppers with tribal taxonomic status

Table 3. Nucleotide compositions, AT skew, and GC skew in different regions of *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* mitochondrial genomes.

| Feature        | A%  | C%  | G%  | T%  | A+T% | AT skew | GC skew | Length (bp) |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|---------|-------------|
| **M. shibingensis** |     |     |     |     |      |         |         |             |
| Whole          | 40.8| 11.6| 10.0| 37.6| 78.4 | 0.042   | −0.074  | 15,788      |
| PCGs           | 39.3| 12.8| 11.5| 36.4| 75.7 | 0.038   | −0.053  | 10,975      |
| 1st codon position | 41.6| 12.1| 11.5| 34.9| 76.5 | 0.057   | −0.089  | 3,659       |
| 2nd codon position | 38.3| 12.2| 11.7| 37.8| 76.1 | 0.007   | −0.011  | 3,658       |
| 3rd codon position | 38.0| 14.1| 11.3| 36.6| 74.5 | 0.019   | −0.111  | 3,658       |
| tRNA           | 40.6| 10.9| 10.1| 38.4| 79.0 | 0.028   | −0.037  | 1,427       |
| 16S            | 48.1| 11.1| 6.0 | 34.8| 82.9 | 0.160   | −0.294  | 1,192       |
| 12S            | 48.0| 12.0| 6.1 | 33.9| 81.8 | 0.172   | −0.323  | 732         |
| CR             | 42.7| 3.5 | 3.8 | 50.0| 92.7 | −0.079  | 0.038   | 1,429       |
| **M. dworakowskae** |     |     |     |     |      |         |         |             |
| Whole          | 41.5| 11.6| 9.4 | 37.5| 79.0 | 0.051   | −0.104  | 16,399      |
| PCGs           | 40.1| 12.5| 10.8| 36.6| 76.7 | 0.046   | −0.073  | 10,955      |
| 1st codon position | 42.4| 11.9| 10.6| 35.1| 77.5 | 0.095   | −0.055  | 3,652       |
| 2nd codon position | 38.0| 12.9| 11.7| 37.3| 75.4 | 0.009   | −0.047  | 3,652       |
| 3rd codon position | 39.9| 12.7| 10.0| 37.4| 77.3 | 0.032   | −0.119  | 3,651       |
| tRNA           | 40.6| 11.6| 9.9 | 37.9| 78.5 | 0.034   | −0.081  | 1,435       |
| 16S            | 49.6| 11.1| 6.4 | 32.9| 82.5 | 0.202   | −0.266  | 1,185       |
| 12S            | 47.6| 11.1| 6.9 | 34.4| 81.9 | 0.161   | −0.233  | 736         |
| CR             | 43.1| 6.9 | 4.2 | 45.8| 88.9 | −0.030  | −0.246  | 2,048       |

Figure 2. AT and GC skews calculated for the 37 mitochondrial genomes of *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae*. Each point indicates an individual gene.

Studies which show that the third codon has the highest AT content (Du et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2020). AT skews of all codon positions is positive while GC skews are negative. Most PCGs have the standard ATN (ATA/ATT/ATG/ATC) as the start codon,
while *nad5* and *atp8* genes have TTG, a pattern also observed in other leafhopper mitogenomes (Wang et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2020). Conventional stop codons (TAA or TAG) appear in most PCGs, except that *cox2* and *nad1* use an incomplete codon (a single T--) as the stop codon (see Tables 2, 3).

Research determined the behavior of the PCG codon families and found that codon usage was very similar among Cicadellidae mitogenomes when the results of two species were calculated and summarized (see Table 4, Fig. 3). All 62 available codons (excluding TAA and TAG) are present in *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae*. Synonymous codon usage bias was observed in both mitochondrial genomes, and 22 codons were used more frequently than other codons. The four most abundant codons were AAU (Asn), AAA (Lys), AUU (Ile), and UUA (Leu2). The preferred codons all end with A or U, which contribute to the high A + T bias of the entire mitogenomes.

### Table 4. Codon and Relative Synonymous Codon Usage (RSCU) of 13 PCGs in the mt genomes of *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae.*

| Amino acid | Codon | Count/RSCU | Amino acid | Codon | Count/RSCU |
|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|------------|
|            |       | *M. shibingensis* | *M. dworakowskae* |       | *M. shibingensis* | *M. dworakowskae* |
| Phe        | UUU   | 190        | 1.49       | 200   | 1.5        | Tyr       | UAU       | 190 | 1.56 | 185 | 1.57 |
|            | UUC   | 65         | 0.51       | 66    | 0.5        |          |           |      | 53   | 0.44 | 51   | 0.43 |
| Leu2 (Leu1)| UUA   | 234        | 3.15       | 245   | 3.33       | His       | CAU       | 57  | 1.37 | 49  | 1.48 |
|            | UUG   | 63         | 0.85       | 45    | 0.61       |          |           |      | 26   | 0.63 | 17   | 0.52 |
| Leu1       | CUU   | 46         | 0.62       | 39    | 0.53       | Gln       | CAU       | 56  | 1.58 | 66  | 1.71 |
|            | CUC   | 28         | 0.38       | 20    | 0.27       |          |           |      | 15   | 0.42 | 11   | 0.29 |
|            | CUA   | 56         | 0.75       | 69    | 0.94       |          |           |      | 269  | 1.49 | 281  | 1.54 |
|            | CUG   | 19         | 0.26       | 24    | 0.33       |          |           |      | 91   | 0.51 | 84   | 0.46 |
| Ile        | AUU   | 226        | 1.5        | 225   | 1.55       | Lys       | AAA       | 242  | 1.62 | 246  | 1.57 |
|            | AUC   | 75         | 0.5        | 66    | 0.45       |          |           |      | 56   | 0.38 | 67   | 0.43 |
| Met        | AUA   | 201        | 1.58       | 213   | 1.68       | Asp       | GAU       | 35   | 1.32 | 35   | 1.52 |
|            | AUG   | 54         | 0.42       | 40    | 0.32       |          |           |      | 18   | 0.68 | 11   | 0.48 |
| Val        | GUU   | 52         | 1.66       | 53    | 1.93       | Glu       | GAA       | 56   | 1.51 | 62   | 1.65 |
|            | GUC   | 12         | 0.38       | 11    | 0.4        |          |           |      | 18   | 0.49 | 13   | 0.35 |
|            | GUA   | 47         | 1.5        | 41    | 1.49       | Cys       | UGU       | 27   | 1.26 | 37   | 1.42 |
|            | GUG   | 14         | 0.45       | 5     | 0.18       |          |           |      | 16   | 0.74 | 15   | 0.58 |
| Ser2       | UCU   | 47         | 1.29       | 48    | 1.28       | Trp       | UGA       | 49   | 1.24 | 54   | 1.33 |
|            | UCC   | 19         | 0.52       | 11    | 0.29       |          |           |      | 30   | 0.76 | 27   | 0.67 |
|            | UCA   | 66         | 1.81       | 81    | 2.15       |          |           |      | 14   | 1.27 | 13   | 1.44 |
|            | UCG   | 8          | 0.22       | 5     | 0.13       |          |           |      | 5    | 0.45 | 2    | 0.22 |
| Pro        | CCU   | 26         | 1.11       | 42    | 1.65       |          |           |      | 20   | 1.82 | 14   | 1.56 |
|            | CCC   | 30         | 1.28       | 27    | 1.06       |          |           |      | 5    | 0.45 | 7    | 0.78 |
|            | CCA   | 34         | 1.45       | 31    | 1.22       | SerI      | AGU       | 53   | 1.46 | 48   | 1.28 |
|            | CCG   | 4          | 0.17       | 2     | 0.08       |          |           |      | 16   | 0.44 | 26   | 0.69 |
| Thr        | ACU   | 49         | 1.26       | 54    | 1.26       |          |           |      | 53   | 1.46 | 51   | 1.36 |
|            | ACC   | 42         | 1.08       | 38    | 0.89       |          |           |      | 29   | 0.8  | 31   | 0.82 |
|            | ACA   | 55         | 1.41       | 63    | 1.47       | Gly       | GGU       | 31   | 1.11 | 34   | 1.31 |
|            | ACG   | 10         | 0.26       | 16    | 0.37       |          |           |      | 15   | 0.54 | 10   | 0.38 |
| Ala        | GCU   | 26         | 1.89       | 23    | 1.74       |          |           |      | 25   | 0.89 | 31   | 1.19 |
|            | GCC   | 6          | 0.44       | 9     | 0.68       |          |           |      | 47   | 1.46 | 29   | 1.12 |
|            | GCA   | 18         | 1.31       | 19    | 1.43       |          |           |      | 177  | 1.61 | 170  | 1.61 |
|            | GCG   | 5          | 0.36       | 2     | 0.15       |          |           |      | 43   | 0.39 | 41   | 0.39 |

*The higher values of preferentially used codons are given in bold.*
Transfer RNA and ribosomal RNA genes

All 22 typical tRNA genes are present in the *Mitjaevia shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* mitochondrial genomes, of which 14 genes were oriented on the major strand (H-strand), whereas the others were transcribed on the minor strand (L-strand). Their nucleotide lengths are almost identical between species, ranging from 60 bp to 71 bp (Table 2). The average AT content values of tRNAs is 78.5% and 79% in each species, respectively, and the tRNA genes have negligible AT and GC skews (Table 3). Compared to the ancestral insect mitochondrial gene order, no tRNA gene rearrangements were found. All of the tRNA genes can be folded into typical clover-leaf secondary structures except for the *trnS1* in both species’ mitochondrial genomes, which lacks the dihydrouridine (DHU) stem and forms a simple loop. In the metazoan mt genome, lack of the DHU arm was very common in *trnS1* (Yang et al. 2020). Based on the secondary structure, a total of 24 and 22 G-U weak base pairs were found in tRNAs of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae*, respectively (Figs 4, 5). Most mismatched nucleotides were G-U.
pairs, which form weak bonds in tRNA and non-classical pairs in tRNA secondary structure, similar to other Cicadellidae (Jia et al. 2010).

Leafhopper ribosomal RNA (rRNA) includes 16S RNA and 12S RNA. These two genes are highly conserved and are encoded on the minor strand (L-strand). Similar to other known insects, the content of A + T% in 16S was higher than that of 12S.

**Figure 4.** Inferred secondary structures of 22 tRNAs from *Mitjaevia shibingensis*. Watson-Crick base pairings are illustrated by lines (-), whereas GU base pairings are illustrated by red lines (-). Structural elements in tRNA arms and loops are illustrated as for trnV.
The $16S$ genes of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* were 1192 bp and 1852 bp in length, with AT contents of 82.90% and 82.50%, respectively, and located between *trnL2* and *trnV*. The $12S$ rRNA genes of both were 732 bp and 736 bp in length, with AT contents of 81.80% and 81.90%, respectively, and located after *trnV*. The rRNA genes showed a positive AT skew and negative GC skew (Table 3).
Control region

Like the typical insect mitochondrial genome, the mt genomes of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskae* have a large non-coding region, which was identified as the control region and located downstream of 12S. Control regions of both species were rich in AT, with lengths of 1429 bp and 2048 bp AT contents of 92.7% and 88.9%, respectively (Table 3). The control regions in the three available *Mitjaevia* mitogenomes were variable and not highly conserved, and their lengths ranged from 15 and 784 bp with variable numbers of repeat sequences (Fig. 6). *Mitjaevia shibingensis* included 21 types of repeat unit (R), 17 kinds of repeats (R1, R2) were found in *M. dworakowskae* with various lengths and copy numbers, and three repeat units were present in *M. protuberanta*. At present, we were unable to find any correlation in repeating units in the different species, probably because of the limited number of species analyzed in this study. Further comparative studies of additional leafhopper mitogenomes are needed.

Nucleotide diversity and genetic distance analysis

The sliding window analysis shows highly variable nucleotide diversity (Pi values) among 13 PCGs sequences of the four tribes of Typhlocybinae (Fig. 7). Empoascini nucleotide diversity is significantly lower than of the other three tribes. The genes *nad2, nad4*, *nad4L*, and *nad5* had higher nucleotide diversity, while the genes *cox3, cox2, cytB*, and *cox1* had comparatively low nucleotide diversity when using MEGA 6.06 software, based on Kimura-2 Parameter, and Bootstrap resampling 1000 times to test and analyze the genetic distance of the four tribes of Typhlocybinae. The results show that the genetic distance between Empoascini and the other three tribes is the largest, and between Typhlocybini and Zyginellini are the smallest (Table 5).

Nucleotide diversity analysis, a primary method for identifying the regions with large nucleotide divergence, is especially useful for designing species-specific markers.
Figure 7. Nucleotide diversity (Pi) and sliding window analysis of 13 PCGs of the four tribes of Typhlocybinae.

These are useful for taxa with highly variable morphological characteristics, especially Typhlocybinae species which belong to groups that are difficult to distinguish by morphology alone (Jia et al. 2010; Ma et al. 2019). Among the four tribes, \textit{nad2}, \textit{nad4}, and other highly variable genes have garnered our attention. Whether they can be used as the main marker for species identification or the main related genes that control the appearance of the subfamily is worthy of further study. The genetic distance reflects the distance of the genetic relationships between each tribe. Among the four tribes, Typhlocybini and Zyginellini have the smallest genetic distance, indicating that the relationship between the two is the closest, which is consistent with the results of morphological studies (Zhang 1990; Huang 2013).

**Phylogenetic relationships**

**Historical review**

Typhlocybinae has been divided into tribes based mainly on the characteristics of the wing veins for the past 90 years. Melichar (1903), Distant (1908, 1918), and Matsumura (1931), among others, divided Typhlocybinae into Empoascaria and Typhlocybaria according to whether the hindwing apical cell is closed (Fig. 8A). McAtee (1934) further divided Typhlocybinae into four tribes, Alebrini, Dikraneurini, Jorunmini, and Eupterygini (Fig. 8B), based on the wing veins. Young (1952), also using the male genitalia, recognised the tribes Alebrini, Dikraneurini, Erythroneurini, and Typhlocybini (Fig. 8C). According to whether the peripheral vein of the hind wings exceeds the end of the R+M vein, it was believed that Erythroneurini evolved from Dikraneurini but Mahmood (1967), when adding the tribe Bakerini, believed this...
Table 5. The genetic distance between the four tribes of Typhlocybinae.

| Tribe       | Empoascini | Typhlocybini | Zyginellini |
|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| Typhlocybini| 0.3663     | 0.2663       | 0.3262      |
| Zyginellini | 0.3645     | 0.3288       | 0.3262      |
| Erythroneurini | 0.3623   | 0.3288       | 0.3262      |

Figure 8. The traditional classification process of tribe levels in the subfamily Typhlocybinae.

condition to be an acquired mutation. At the same time, Mahmood (1967) postulated that Erythroneurini might be more closely related to Typhlocybini, but the relationship between Erythroneurini and the other tribes still need to be determined by studying a large number of specimens. Mahmood and Ahmed (1968a), using the characteristic of the peripheral vein of the hind wings extending to the end of the R vein, separated Empoascini from the former Typhlocybini, and Typhlocybinae was divided into six tribes (Fig. 8D). Dworakowska (1970) compared the characteristics of Bakerini, Typhlocybini, and Erythroneurini, and postulated that Bakerini may be a relatively primitive branch of Erythroneurini (Fig. 8E). Dworakowska (1977, 1990) discussed Typhlocybini with respect to other tribes, and postulated that the different connection modes of the hindwing peripheral vein and CuA represented different branches, and divided Zyginellini from Typhlocybini resulting in six tribes: Alebrini, Dikraneurini, Empoascini, Erythroneurini, Typhlocybini, and Zyginellini, while Zhang (1990) postulated that Erythroneurini evolved from Empoascini. Since then, Typhlocybinae-related research has followed Dworakowska’s six-tribe classification system (Fig. 8F). However, Dietrich (2013) found that the hind wings of leafhoppers have both Zyginellini and Typhlocybini hindwing characteristics when studying the leafhoppers in South America and postulated that the venation characters may not be a stable feature for classification. In terms of overall morphology, Zyginellini and Typhlocybini have similarities present in certain genera and species. Therefore, there is no clear and strong evidence at present to determine whether or not Zyginellini belongs to a natural monophyletic group, and its taxonomic status needs to be further clarified, probably with molecular data.
More recent studies

In recent years, molecular sequencing technology has been widely used in phylogenetic analysis, which can test and verify the results of different levels of more morphology based traditional classifications. Within Typhlocybinae, only a few studies have used the combination of morphological characteristics and molecular data to construct phylogenetic relationships. The amount of data is sparse at present and further data is needed.

Dietrich and Dmitriev (2006) used PAUP 4.0b10 to analyze the phylogeny of Typhlocybinae for the first time based on morphological characteristics and concluded that Erythroneurini and Dikraneurini are closely related. However, their analysed samples came mainly from the New World, and whether their results represent the relationship between the tribes of Typhlocybinae remains to be clarified. Balme (2007) combined morphological characteristics with molecular characteristics (16S rDNA, H3) to perform a phylogenetic analysis of Typhlocybinae, and obtained the following topological structure: Alebrini + ((Empoascini + Typhlocybini) + Dikraneurini), but due to the small sample, the results need to be verified.

Jiang (2016) used 28S rDNA D2–D3, 16S rDNA sequence and morphological characteristics to make a preliminary exploration of the phylogenetic relationships of Typhlocybinae and obtained the following topological structure: Alebrini + (Empoascini + Erythroneurini), implying that Erythroneurini is a monophyletic group. Song et al. (2020) constructed a phylogenetic tree of Typhlocybinae using 13PCGs of eight species and obtained the following topology: Empoascini+ (Typhlocybini+ (Erythroneurini+Zyginellini)). These four tribes are all monophyletic, and Erythroneurini and Zyginellini are sister groups, differing only slightly from the traditional morphological classification. Jiao (2017) used MP and NJ methods to analyze the phylogenetic relationship between Alebrini and Dikraneurini based only on morphological data. The results showed that the two tribes’ monophyly was well supported, and its position in the evolutionary tree was similar to that of Zhang (1990) and showed that Alebrini is more primitive.

Results

This study, based on 29 species of cox1, 19 species of 13 PCGs, and two rRNA mitochondrial genes data of Typhlocybinae produced a slightly different result to the traditional classification with respect to Typhlocybini and Zyginellini. Maximum Likelihood (ML) method was used with IQ-TREE using an ultrafast bootstrap approximation approach with 10,000 replicates. The Bayesian Inference (BI) analysis was performed using MrBayes 3.2.7, with the best fit model GTR+I+ G (Vogler and DeSalle 1993).

Cox1 is one of the mitochondrial protein-coding genes and its bi-terminal sequence is more conservative than cox2. It has a rapid evolution rate and large differences between species, and can provide rich phylogenetic information, hence is an ideal mito-
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The gene sequences were obtained in the current study by downloading the *cox1* gene sequence of 29 species of Typhlocybinae and two outgroups of Idiocerinae from NCBI to construct a phylogenetic tree. BI and ML analyses generated the same tree topology: (Alebrini + Empoascini) + (Erythroneurini + ((Zygineillini + Typhlocybini) + Dikraneurini))). Most relationships were highly supported, and a few branches pertaining to relationships within Zygineillini and Typhlocybini received lower support (Fig. 9). Also, the tree topology is different from previous research, with Alebrini + Empoascini forming sister groups, and the species of Zygineillini and Typhlocybini are interconnected and cannot be resolved into separate branches. The remaining tribes are monophyletic groups. Alebrini is placed at the base of the tree and is therefore the most primitive. The phylogenetic relationship is generally consistent with the results of previous studies based on morphology and molecules (Balme 2007; Jiang 2016).

At present, the complete mitochondrial genome data of Alebrini and Dikraneurini have not been added to NCBI. Thus, the phylogenetic relationships were analyzed based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs and two rRNA from 19 Typhlocybinae (the remaining four tribes) species and two outgroups. Although ML and PB analyses produced inconsistent topologies across the different datasets and models, most relationships were highly supported and consistent in the analyses, and the main difference is the relationship of species between Zygineillini and Typhlocybini. (Figs 10, 11). In this study, Empoascini and Erythroneurini were recovered as monophyletic, always forming a clade with high support values, while Zygineillini and Typhlocybini formed a single branch in every tree, and neither tribe was ever resolved.
Genomes of two new leafhoppers with tribal taxonomic status

Figure 10. Phylogenetic trees of Typhlocybinae inferred by maximum likelihood (ML) and PhyloBayes (PB) methods based on 13 PCGs and 2 rRNA.

as monophyletic, which suggests that the hind wing character traditionally used to separate these two tribes is not reliable and that the tribes should probably be treated as synonyms, as was suggested previously by Dietrich (2013). Within the Typhlocybinae, the four species of Empoascini studied constituted one clade and tended to be placed at the basal position of the tree as the sister group to the other tribes. Unlike the previous analyses, the our results support the combination of Zyginellini and Typhlocybini as a tribe. As with other recent studies, our results indicate that sequence data from leafhopper mitogenomes is informative of phylogenetic relationships in the taxonomic hierarchy of this group. Also, the results of the phylogenetic tree and nucleotide diversity are consistent. Empoascini has the lowest nucleotide diversity and is clearly distinguished from the other three tribes. Therefore, we speculate that the richness of nucleotide diversity has an impact on the phylogenetic relationship of Typhlocybinae. However, data are available for only a tiny fraction of species so the addition of more species, and representatives of other major lineages, will be needed to determine the extent to which mitogenome sequence data can resolve leafhopper phylogeny.
Figure 11. ML and BI Phylogenetic tree inferred from 13 PCGs of Typhlocybinae. The first number at each node is a bootstrap proportion (BP) of maximum likelihood (ML) analyses, and the second number is Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP).

Conclusions

This paper describes the complete mitochondrial genomes of *M. shibingensis* and *M. dworakowskiae*, analyzes the basic composition, location, secondary structure, and other characteristics of PCGs, tRNA genes, rRNA genes, and control regions, and compares them to other Typhlocybinae mitochondrial genomes. The mitogenomes of these two species closely resemble those of most other sequenced leafhoppers in various structural and compositional aspects. The sliding window analysis shows a highly variable nucleotide diversity (Pi values) among 13 PCGs sequences of the four tribes of Typhlocybinae. Empoascini nucleotide diversity is significantly lower than in the other three tribes, and the other three tribes have little difference between them. The genes *nad2*, *nad4*, *nad4L*, and *nad5* have higher nucleotide diversity, and whether they can be used as the main markers for species identification or the main related genes that control the appearance of the subfamily is worthy of further study. The genetic distance of the four tribes of Typhlocybinae shows that the Empoascini and the other three tribes are the largest while Typhlocybini and Zyginellini are the smallest and indicates that the relationship between the two is the closest, which is consistent with the results of morphological studies. Phylogenetic analysis of 31 *cox1* yielded a well-supported topology with most branches receiving maximum support and a few branches pertaining to relationships within Zyginellini and Typhlocybini receiving lower support; the species of these two tribes are intertwined and cannot be resolved into separate branches, and Alebrini is placed at the base of the tree as the most primitive. Phylogenetic relationships were analyzed based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs and two rRNA show that although ML and PB analyses produced inconsistent topologies across the different datasets and models, and most relationships were highly supported and constant in the analyses.
In this study, Empoascini and Erythroneurini were recovered as monophyletic while Zyginellini and Typhlocybini gathered into a single branch and Empoascini tended to be placed at the basal position of the tree as the sister group to the other tribes. This study indicated that mitochondrial genome sequences are informative for leafhopper phylogeny, but unlike the previous analysis (Zhang 1990), the results of this study re-located the taxonomic status and phylogenetic relationship of the six tribes of Typhlocybinae and supported the combination of Zyginellini and Typhlocybini as a single tribe. Also, the results of the phylogenetic tree and nucleotide diversity are consistent. Empoascini has the lowest nucleotide diversity and is clearly distinguished from the other three tribes. Therefore, we speculate that the richness of nucleotide diversity has an impact on the phylogenetic relationship of Typhlocybinae.

Based on the current and previous studies, the classification of the tribes of Typhlocybinae is not yet fully resolved with respect to Typhlocybini and Zyginellini, i.e., one or two tribes. From a molecular perspective, more sequencing data is needed to build a more complete phylogenetic tree to support or modify the traditional morphological classification. To this aim, it is hoped that the new data provided here will facilitate future comparative studies of leafhopper mitogenomes and demonstrate the need for more comparative data.

Acknowledgements

We thank Chris Dietrich for reviewing the manuscript and Mick Webb for checking and revising the manuscript. This work was funded partly by the World Top Discipline Program of Guizhou Province: Karst Ecoenvironment Sciences (No. 125 2019 Qianjiao Keyan Fa), the Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Foundation ([2018]1411), the Guizhou Science and Technology Support Project ([2019]2855), the Science and Technology Project of Guiyang City ([2020]7-18), the Innovation Group Project of Education Department of Guizhou Province ([2021]013), the Training Program for High-level Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province ([2016]4020) and the Project for Regional Top Discipline Construction of Guizhou Province: Ecology in Guiyang University (Qianjiao Keyan Fa [2017]85).

References

Balme GR (2007) Phylogeny and Systematics of the Leafhopper Subfamily Typhlocybinae (Insecta: Hemiptera: Typhlocybinae). Ph.D. thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Benson G (1999) Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Research 27: 573–580. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.2.573

Boore JL (1999) Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 27: 1767–1780. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.8.1767

Burland TG (2000) DNASTAR’s Laser Gene sequence analysis software. Methods in Molecular Biology 132: 71–91. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-192-2:71
Chen X, Song Y, Webb (2020) Two new species of the leafhopper genus Mitjaevia Dworakowska from China (Hemiptera, Cicadellidae, Typhlocybinae). ZooKeys 964: 31–40. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.964.48655

Chen XX, Tan C, Yuan ZW, Song YH (2020b) Cluster analysis of geographical distribution patterns of Erythroneurini in China. Journal of Environmental Entomology 42(5): 1141–1153.

Chen XX, Yuan ZW, Yuan XW, Song YH (2020a) Advances in mitochondrial genome complete sequence structure of Leafhopper. Genomics and Applied Biology 39(06): 2565–2577. https://doi.org/10.13417/j.gab.039.002565

Cook CE, Yue QY, Akam M (2005) Mitochondrial genomes suggest that hexapods and crustaceans are mutually paraphyletic. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 272: 1295–1304. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.3042

Dietrich CH, Dmitriev DA (2007) Revision of the New World leafhopper genus Neozygina Dietrich & Dmitriev (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae: Erythroneurini). Zootaxa 1475: 27–42.

Dietrich CH, McKamey SH, Deitz LL (2010) Morphology-based phylogeny of the treehopper family Membracidae (Hemiptera:Cicadomorpha: Membracoidea). Systematic Entomology 26: 213–239. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3113.2001.00140.x

Dietrich CH (2013) South American leafhoppers of the tribe Typhlocybini (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). Zoologica 30: 519–568. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702013000500008

Distant WL (1908) Rhynchota (Vol. IV). Homoptera and Appendix (Pt.). The Fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Taylor and Francis, London, 501 pp.

Distant WL (1918) Rhynchota (Vol. VII). Homoptera: Appendix. Heteroptera: Addenda. The fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Taylor & Francis, London, 872–1009.

Du YM, Dai W, Dietrich CH (2017) Mitochondrial Genomic Variation and Phylogenetic Relationships of Three Groups in the Genus Scaphoides (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae). Scientific Reports 7: e16908. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17145-z

Du YM, Dietrich CH, Wu Dai (2019) Complete mitochondrial genome of Macrosteles quadrimaculatus (Matsumura) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae) with a shared tRNA rearrangement and its phylogenetic implications. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 122: 1027–1034. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.09.049

Du YM, Zhang CN, Dietrich CH, Zhang YL, Dai W (2017) Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genomes of Maiestas dorsalis and Japananus hyalinus (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and comparison with other Membracoidea. Scientific Reports 7: e14197. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14703-3

Dworakowska I (1977) Jimara gen. n. of Dikraneurini from Africa. Reichenbachia 16(37): 337–346.

Dworakowska I (1979) On some Typhlocybinae from India and adjoining areas (Homoptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Cicadellidae). Reichenbachia 17(18): 143–162.

Dworakowska I (1970) Remarks on the tribe Bakerini Mahm with description of one new genus of Typhlocybini (Cicadellidae, Typhlocybinae). Bulletin de l’Academie Polonaise des Sciences (Serie des Sciences Biologiques) 17(11–12): 691–696.

Guo HF (2011) Major tea pests-advances in research on pseudo-eye leafhopper. Jiangsu Agricultural Sciences 1: 132–134. https://doi.org/10.15889/j.issn.1002-1302.2011.01.093
Hamilton KGA (1983) Classification, morphology and phylogeny of the family Cicadellidae (Rhynchota: Homoptera). In: Knight WJ, Pant NC, Robertson TS, Wilson MR (Eds) Proceedings, 1st International Workshop on Biotaxonomy, Classification and Biology of Leafhoppers and Planthoppers of Economic Importance. Commonwealth Institute of Entomology, London, 15–37.

Hamilton KGA (1998) New World species of Chlorita, Notus, and Forcipata (Rhynchota: Homoptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae) with a new tribe Forcipatini. Canadian Entomologist 130: 491–507. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent130491-4

Huang M (2003) Systematic study of Typhlocybini from China (Homoptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). Ph.D. thesis, Northwest A&F University, Yanglin.

Jia WZ, Yan HB, Guo AJ, Zhu XQ, Wang YC, Shi WG, Chen HT, Zhan F, Zhang SH, Fu BQ (2010) Complete mitochondrial genomes of Taenia multiceps, T. hydatigena and T. pisiformis: additional molecular markers for a tapeworm genus of human and animal health significance. BMC Genomics 8(11): e447. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-447

Jiang H (2016) Taxonomy and phylogeny of the tribe Erythroneurini from China based on molecular and morphological characters (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). M.S. thesis, Northwest A&F University, Yanglin.

Jiao M (2017) Systematics study on tribes Alebrini and Dikraneurini from China. Ph.D. Thesis, Guizhou University, Guiyang.

Krishnankutty SM, Dietrich CH, Dai W, Siddappaji MH (2016) Phylogeny and historical biogeography of leafhopper subfamily lassinae (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) with a revised tribal classification based on morphological and molecular data. Systematic Entomology 41 (3): 580–595. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12175

Laslett D, Canbäck B (2008) ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 24: 172–175. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm573

Lowe TM, Eddy SR (1997) TRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Research 25: 955–964. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955

Ma LY, Liu AF, Chiba B, Yuan AX (2019) The mitochondrial genomes of three skippers: insights into the evolution of the family Hesperiidae (Lepidoptera). Genomics 112(1): 432–441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.03.006

Mahmood SH, Ahmed M (1968) Problems of higher classification of Typhlocybinae (Cicadellidae–Homoptera). University Studies, University of Karachi 5(3): 72–79.

Mahmood SH (1967) A study of the typhlocybine genera of the Oriental region (Thailand, the Philippines and adjoining areas). Pacific Insects Monographs 12: 1–52.

Matsumura S (1931a) 6000 Illustrated Insects of the Japan Empire. Insecta Matsumurana, Tokyo, 1496 pp.

McAtee WL (1934) Genera and subgenera of Eupteryginae (Homoptera: Jassidae). Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 104: 93–117. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1934.tb06225.x

Meng ZH, Yang MF, Zhou YF, Lu ZY, Ni JQ (2013) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of some species of Cicadellinae based on mitochondrial Cyt b Gene. Guizhou Agricultural Sciences 41: 1–5.
Morris MG (1971) Differences between the invertebrate faunas of grazed and ungrazed chalk grassland, IV. Abundance and diversity of Homoptera–Auchenorrhyncha. Journal of Applied Entomology 8: 37–52. https://doi.org/10.2307/2402126

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von HA, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32: 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300

Perna NT, Kocher TD (1995) Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. Journal of Molecular Evolution 41: 353–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00186547

Roddee J, Kobori Y, Hanboonsong Y (2018) Multiplication and distribution of sugarcane white leaf phytoplasma transmitted by the leafhopper, Matsumuratettix hiroglyphicus (Matsumura) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), in infected sugarcane. Sugar Tech 20: 445–453. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-017-0559-x

Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, Sánchez-Gracia A (2017) DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34(12): 3299–3302. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248

Saccone C, Gissi C, Reyes A, Larizza A, Sbisa E, Pesole G (2002) Mitochondrial DNA in Metazoa: degree of freedom in a frozen event. Gene 286: 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(01)00807-1

Song YH, Yuan XW, Li C (2020) The mitochondrial genome of Paraahimia luodianensis (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae), a new genus and species from China. Mitochondrial DNA B 5(2): 1351–1352. https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2020.1735280

Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197

Tan C, Chen XX, Li C, Song YH (2020) The complete mitochondrial genome of Empoascanara sipra (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae) with phylogenetic consideration. Mitochondrial DNA B 5(1): 260–261. https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1698990

Vogler AP, DeSalle R, Assmann T (1993) Molecular population genetics of the endangered tiger beetle, Cicindela dorsalis (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Annals of the Entomological Society America 86: 142–152. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/86.2.142

Wang JJ, Li H, Dai RH (2017) Complete mitochondrial genome of Tabarana Fasciana (Insecta, Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and comparison with other Cicadellidae Insects. Genetica 145: 593–602. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-017-9984-8

Wang JJ, Wu YF, Dai RH, Yang MF (2020) Comparative mitogenomes of six species in the subfamily Iassinae (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and phylogenetic analysis. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 149: 1294–1303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.01.270

Wang XS, Huang M, Zhang YL (2012) Cluster analysis of Typhlocybinae (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) distributional pattern in China. Journal of Northwest A & F University (Natural Science Edition) 40: 86–94. [+ 101.] https://doi.org/10.13207/j.cnki.jnwafu.2012.04.004

Wang XY, Wang JJ, Fan ZH, Dai RH (2019) Complete mitogenome of Olidiana Ritcheriana (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and phylogeny of Cicadellidae. PeerJ 7: e8072. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8072
Wilson AC, Cann RL, Carr SM, George M, Gyllensten UB, Helmbychowski KM, Stoneking M (2008) Mitochondrial DNA and two perspectives on evolutionary genetics. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 26: 375–400. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1985.tb02048.x

Xian Z, Dietrich CH, Min H (2020) Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genomes of two species with preliminary investigation on phylogenetic status of Zygine-lini (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). Insects 11: e684. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11100684

Yang Y, Liu HY, Qi L, Kong LF, Li Q (2020) complete mitochondrial genomes of two tox-in-accumulated nassariids (Neogastropoda: Nassariidae: Nassarius) and their implication for phylogeny. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21: e3545. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21103545

Young DA (1952) A reclassification of Western Hemisphere Typhlocybinae (Homoptera, Cicadellidae). The University of Kansas Science Bulletin 35: 3–217. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.4327

Yu PF, Wu GH, Han BY (2017) Sequencing and analysis of the mitochondrial genome of Kolla paulula (Walker) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). Journal of the Anhui Agricultural University 44: 874–881. https://doi.org/10.13610/j.cnki.1672-352x.20171017.032

Yuan XW, Li C, Song YH (2020) Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of Mitjaevia protuberanta (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). Mitochondrial DNA B 5(1): 601–602. https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1710601

Zahniser JN, Dietrich CH (2013) A review of the tribes of Deltocephalinae (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha: Cicadellidae). European Journal of Taxonomy 45: 1–211. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2013.45

Zhang YL (1990) A taxonomic study of leafhoppers in China (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Tian-ze Press, Hong Kong, 139–144.

Zhou J, Liu X, Stones DS, Xie Q, Wang G (2011) MrBayes on a graphics processing unit. Bioinformatics 27(9): 1255–1261. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr140

Supplementary material I

Datasets of phylogenetic analysis
Authors: Xiaoxiao Chen, Can Li, Yuehua Song
Data type: phylogenetic
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1037.63671.suppl1