ABSTRACT

Introduction: Questionnaires are often used to quantify the subjective aspects of burnout syndrome. Data collection using web-based questionnaires generally improves data quality, because data are entered electronically and may automatically be transformed into an analyzable format, and errors in the process of data entry and coding are avoided as well.

Purpose: The aim of the study is to compare the completeness of data and consuming time of web-based and paper questionnaires for burnout syndrome based on Boyko’s inventory

Material and methods: In study took part 30 patients from one ambulatory practice, who completed the two versions of the questionnaire and their physician. Data completeness was assessed by comparing the number of missing values between the two methods. Consuming time was assessed by comparing the duration of completing and analyzed the data from the web-based and paper questionnaires.

Results: Paper questionnaires generally had more missing values (P<.05). Web-based questionnaires were completely filled out due to pop-up notifications that appeared directly onto questions with missing values. Duration of completing and processing a returned paper questionnaire was 3.5 times that of a returned web-based questionnaire.

Conclusion: The web-based system can be less time-consuming and a source of fewer errors than paper questionnaires and permits review of the data and compliance during the study.
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Analyzing their strengths and weaknesses was found that a common disadvantage of the methodologies was that they find out the irreversible changes in the functioning of the individual. The only method that can be used for psychoprophylaxis and psycho-correction of Burnout syndrome is that of the Russian scientist Boyko. On the other hand, the clarity and uniformity of interpretation of the scales make this inventory easier for clinicians to compare the obtained results with other psychodiagnostic techniques.

The aim of this study is to compare the completeness of data and consuming time of web-based and paper questionnaires for burnout syndrome based on Boyko’s inventory.

Data completeness is assessed by comparing the number of missing values between the two methods. Consuming time is assessed by comparing the duration of completing and analyzing the data from the web-based and paper questionnaires.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

For the present test study, two versions of Boyko’s inventory were used: a paper version and a web-based version. For this purpose, a special web-based platform for assessment of burnout was developed. It is free-to-access and available on website: https://mu-burnout.com/

The Boyko’s inventory included 84 items to evaluate the phases and assess the degree of severity of the symptoms of burnout. Each item in its positive or negative aspect carries a different number of points (1, 2, 3, 5 or 10 points), which allows the formation of a total score (range 0 to 30 points) for each of the symptoms. The higher the total score, the more pronounced, is the symptom. After the total score by symptoms is obtained, the questionnaire starts forming a total score by phases. The rating by phases can be from 0 to 120 points. The quantitative indicators can indicate how complete a phase is, that is, what the level of development of the phase is.

In the study took part 30 patients from one ambulatory practice and their physician. The patients were asked to fill in both versions of the questionnaire. The paper version was given to them first and immediately after that the web-based.

The web-based version does not allow incomplete answers from all items of the questionnaire. In this way, if this condition could not meet, the respondent has been alerted by an alarm message indicating the nature of the problem and the necessity to correct before submitting the questionnaire (see figure 2).
Data collection comprised recording participants’ information simultaneously on paper and electronic forms. Data from the web-based platform were directly imported in SPSS v.17.0 database. The answers to the paper version were analyzed by their physician, who had to check the completeness of the paper questionnaire, estimated the Burnout results and total time for analyzing the questionnaires.

RESULTS:
The results showed that there are significant differences between two the methods - web-based and paper-based version. Significant part of paper questionnaires has missing values - 23.34% (n=7) compared to web-based version - 0% (n=0). The reason is that the web-based version does not allow incomplete answers. The difference between the invalid paper questionnaires and web-based version is significant (P<.05).

Duration of completing and obtained the results of the web-based questionnaire is approximately 12±0.467 min because the result time is instant (see figure 3).

Fig. 2. The screenshot of the alarm message.

Fig. 3. The screenshot of the results page.
Duration of completing of the paper questionnaire is average 15±1.236 min. After that, the physician needed 25±1.437 min per questionnaire to check it and estimate the results.

Duration of completing and processing of the paper questionnaire is almost 3.5 times than of the web-based questionnaire.

**DISCUSSION:**

Similar to studies from other countries, our results found, that using paper-based questionnaires lead to a higher frequency of incomplete records, greater human errors potential, and more time to organize the data [9, 10]. In the meantime, the web-based questionnaires are evidently economic and environmentally friendly and can provide faster reporting with more accuracy. Visual and audio applications and pop-up windows providing important information may be added to improve responding, which is impossible in paper-and-pencil questionnaires [11].

The study found that the use of a web-based data collection method is feasible and provides timely and quality data without errors and inconsistencies. On the other hand, web-based data collection provided more timely data for analysis in comparison to the paper-based forms.

The potential of such web applications to maintain or improve health, and change health behaviours of users is big and start to change the way healthcare is delivered [12]. The possibility of an anonymous burnout assessment is attractive if, for instance, users must fear disadvantages.

On the other hand, where geographical boundaries exist, the web application could also be used for early identification of a burnout risk state and initiation of appropriate measures, which mean to seek timely help from a doctor or mental health specialist who could provide the instructions over the internet.

In the realm of electronic healthcare practices supported through the internet, uncomplicated access to a web-based guided self-help intervention to alleviate burnout symptoms is a timely means to potentially improve employee health, prevent sick leave, and lower health expenditures for companies and society [8, 13].

**CONCLUSIONS:**

The web-based application is useful to assess the risk of job burnout. While the traditional way to collect data is a paper-based questionnaire, it is not suitable for psychological tests, because:

- It may contain a higher frequency of incomplete answers that make it invalid;
- There is a greater potential for human errors when entering, computing, and analyzing data;
- More time is needed to obtain the results.

The benefits of web-based questionnaires compared to paper surveys include saving time (faster) – the response time is almost instant; quick to analyze; more accurate – eliminate the possibility of human error; cheaper – a cost-effective way to collect data; easy to use for respondents and easy to use for researchers.
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