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Abstract

Background: Anagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor, has been shown to decrease plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels. The objective of our study was to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the anagliptin-mediated improvements in high LDL-C levels (hyper-LDL cholesterolemia).

Methods: We prospectively examined the effects of anagliptin monotherapy on fasting plasma lathosterol, sitosterol, and campesterol levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and hyper-LDL cholesterolemia for 6 months. We examined 14 patients who did not use hypoglycemic or lipid-lowering drugs for 4 months before initiating the study. Plasma variables related to glucose and lipid metabolism were measured before and after 6 months of treatment and pre- and postprandially using the cookie-loading test.

Results: After treatment, anagliptin monotherapy (n = 14) significantly decreased fasting LDL-C (175.6 to 148.5 mg/dL, mean values before and after the treatment, respectively) and plasma lathosterol levels (3.56 to 2.49 mg/dL), whereas it did not lower fasting sitosterol or campesterol levels. Furthermore, fasting plasma lathosterol levels were negatively correlated with preprandial glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) levels after anagliptin treatment.

Conclusions: Anagliptin monotherapy may have a beneficial effect on lipid metabolism, which could be mediated by the inhibition of hepatic cholesterol synthesis rather than the inhibition of intestinal lipid transport.
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Introduction

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors increase the plasma levels of incretins, such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), by selectively inhibiting DPP-4 and thereby promoting insulin secretion, which then results in hypoglycemic effects in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). The degree of insulin secreted by the pancreatic beta cells, following the stimulation of incretins, is dependent on blood glucose levels. Therefore, the risk of hypoglycemia by DPP-4 inhibitor treatments is lower than that by other diabetes drugs, such as sulfonylureas (SUs). DPP-4 inhibitors are currently used worldwide, and each DPP-4 inhibitor has different clinical features depending on the type of agent [1]. Similar to other DPP-4 inhibitors, anagliptin decreases glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and plasma glucose levels [2, 3]. It has been shown to achieve the strongest effect in increasing plasma GLP-1 levels by administering a dose of 100 - 200 mg twice daily to patients with T2DM [4]. A previous study has reported that anagliptin treatment decreases plasma low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels [5]. Thus, in the present study, we examined the effects of anagliptin on fasting plasma lathosterol, sitosterol, and campesterol levels in patients with T2DM and hyper-LDL cholesterolemia for 6 months to elucidate the mechanisms that lead to improvements in plasma LDL-C levels. Lathosterol is a whole-body cholesterol synthesis marker in the liver, while sitosterol and campesterol (both plant sterols) are sterol absorption markers in the intestines [6].
Materials and Methods

Subjects

The inclusion criterion of the present study was as follows: patients with poorly controlled T2DM (HbA1c < 8.0% or 63 mmol/mol) and an LDL-C level of more than 140 mg/dL. Participating T2DM patients had inadequate glycemic and lipid control and were being treated with dietary and exercise interventions. The participants aged between 20 and 80 years of males and females. Key exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients using hypoglycemic agents (SUs, biguanides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, glinides, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, insulin, and GLP-1 receptor agonists); 2) hypersensitivity to anagliptin; 3) patients with severe diabetic ketoacidosis, diabetic coma/pre-coma, or type 1 diabetes; 4) patients with sepsis, those scheduled for surgery and who underwent surgery, and those with severe trauma; 5) patients with severe renal dysfunction (serum creatinine level > 2.4 mg/dL for male and > 2.0 mg/dL for female), and patients undergoing hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis; 6) female patients who were pregnant or suspected to be pregnant; and 7) patients deemed by the investigator to be ineligible for participation in the study.

Study protocol

Anagliptin (100 mg) was administered in the morning and evening. The Institutional Review Board of Saitama Medical University Hospital approved this experimental protocol. This was a single-arm, non-randomized, open-label prospective study with blinded assessors. The protocol was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN) on August 5, 2013, with the identification number UMIN000011256, and conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration. Patients who provided written informed consent were enrolled in the pre-drug intervention phase for 4 months with only lifestyle interventions. The participants aged between 20 and 80 years of males and females. Key exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients using hypoglycemic agents (SUs, biguanides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, glinides, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, insulin, and GLP-1 receptor agonists); 2) hypersensitivity to anagliptin; 3) patients with severe diabetic ketoacidosis, diabetic coma/pre-coma, or type 1 diabetes; 4) patients with sepsis, those scheduled for surgery and who underwent surgery, and those with severe trauma; 5) patients with severe renal dysfunction (serum creatinine level > 2.4 mg/dL for male and > 2.0 mg/dL for female), and patients undergoing hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis; 6) female patients who were pregnant or suspected to be pregnant; and 7) patients deemed by the investigator to be ineligible for participation in the study.

Data analysis

The primary outcome was the change in LDL-C levels after 6 months. The secondary outcome was changes in plasma lathosterol, sitosterol, and campesterol levels. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of the parametric variables. Differences before and after anagliptin treatment were examined using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test, and the significance was set at a P value of less than 0.05. A subanalysis of the correlation between lathosterol and active GLP-1 levels was performed by Spearman’s correlation test.
using samples from the remaining 13 participating patients at the end of the study. All analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, www.graphpad.com).

**Results**

Twenty-three patients with T2DM and hyper-LDL cholesterol were included in the study. Pre- and postprandial (1 and 2 h) levels of blood glucose, lipids, insulin, C-peptide, and proinsulin; pre- and postprandial (1 h) levels of active GLP-1, fasting plasma lathosterol, sitosterol, and campesterol were measured. Six patients dropped out because of worsening hyperlipidemia and/or hyperglycemia before the initiation of the anagliptin treatment. Among the 17 patients who were followed until the end of the study, one patient received additional treatment with 10-mg ezetimibe daily and two patients received 5-mg pravastatin daily to manage hyperlipidemia. We mainly analyzed 14 patients for whom therapeutic agents were not changed during the test period (Fig. 1). These 14 patients did not use hypoglycemic agents, such as SUs, biguanides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, glinides, thiazolidinedione, other DPP-4 inhibitors, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors, insulin, and GLP-1 receptor agonists, and did not use oral drugs for hyperlipidemia including statins, fibrates, and ezetimibe.

The baseline biochemical characteristics of these 14 patients are summarized in Table 1; and their metabolic profiles before and after anagliptin monotherapy are shown in Table 2. As the baseline characteristics, patients A, K, O, and Q were mild drinkers (0 - 20 g/week) and other 10 patients were non-drinkers (28.6% of the participants). All patients were non-smokers. After 6 months of anagliptin monotherapy, HbA1c (6.51% to 6.14 %, mean values before and after treatment, Fig. 2a) and LDL-C (175.6 to 148.5 mg/dL, Fig. 2b) levels significantly decreased in these 14 patients. Furthermore, the monotherapy for 6 months significantly decreased fasting lathosterol (3.56 to 2.49 µg/mL, Fig. 3a), total cholesterol (257.8 to 233.1 mg/dL), and triglyceride (135.4 to 102.3 mg/dL) levels, whereas no such significant changes were noted in fasting campesterol (6.01 to 6.74 µg/mL, Fig. 3b), sitosterol (3.22 to 3.53 µg/mL, Fig. 3c), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (58.6 to 60.8 mg/dL) levels as well as body mass index (BMI, 24.7 to 25.0 kg/m²).

We examined the relationship between active GLP-1 and lathosterol, campesterol, and sitosterol levels in a subanalysis. Figure 4a shows a scatter plot of the relationship between fasting active GLP-1 levels and fasting plasma lathosterol levels in 13 patients at the end of the study. Similarly, Figure 4b, c shows scatter plots corresponding to the relationship between fasting active GLP-1 levels and fasting plasma campesterol and sitosterol levels, respectively. Since GLP-1 levels of 2.0 pmol/L or lower were not detectable, plots corresponding to these levels in one patient were omitted from the figure. A negative correlation was observed between fasting active GLP-1 levels and fasting plasma lathosterol levels but not between active GLP-1 levels and fasting plasma campesterol or sitosterol levels. No correlation was noted between postprandial active GLP-1 levels and fasting plasma lathosterol, campesterol, or sitosterol levels based on the CLT (data not shown).

Plasma glucose, insulin, and proinsulin levels obtained before and after anagliptin monotherapy using the CLT are shown in Figure 5. No significant changes were noted in fasting plasma glucose (Fig. 5a) or insulin levels (Fig. 5b) after 6 months of anagliptin monotherapy, whereas fasting plasma proinsulin levels significantly decreased (Fig. 5c). After 6 months of anagliptin monotherapy, glucose levels at 1 h (data not shown) and 2 h (Fig. 5d) after the CLT were significantly reduced, although plasma insulin levels before and after 6 months of monotherapy at 1 h (data not shown) and 2 h (Fig. 5e) after the CLT did not significantly increase. After 6 months of anagliptin monotherapy, proinsulin levels were significantly...
decreased at 1 h (data not shown) and 2 h (Fig. 5f) after the CLT.

**Discussion**

The results of the present study are consistent with those of previous studies, showing that DPP-4 inhibitors decrease LDL-C levels [7, 8]. Moreover, our results showed that anagliptin significantly decreased fasting plasma lathosterol levels (Fig. 4a). However, a previous study by Juntti-Berggren et al [9] showed that continuous administration of GLP-1 to patients with T2DM did not change LDL-C levels. GLP-1 analogs, when injected subcutaneously, directly flow into the systemic circulation. In contrast, active GLP-1, which is elevated by DPP-4 inhibitor, is secreted from L-cells in the ileum and enters the liver via the portal vein before entering the systemic circulation. Therefore, endogenous GLP-1 may exert different effects on cholesterol metabolism, whereas GLP-1 analogs may not. A previous study has reported that administration of anagliptin twice a day increases active GLP-1 levels after dinner more than administration of sitagliptin once a day [4]. Administration of DPP-4 inhibitors twice a day may be more advantageous for lowering LDL-C levels than administering them once a day. Although vildaglitin is also administered twice a day, its half-life is markedly shorter than

Table 1. Characteristics of Glucose Metabolism of 14 Patients Before and After Treatment With Anagliptin Monotherapy

| Characteristic unit | PG (mg/dL) | PG, 1 h (mg/dL) | PG, 2 h (mg/dL) | Proinsulin (pmol/L) | Proinsulin, 1 h (pmol/L) | Proinsulin, 2 h (pmol/L) | Insulin (µIU/mL) | Insulin, 1 h (µIU/mL) | Insulin, 2 h (µIU/mL) |
|---------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| A (baseline)        | 112        | 272            | 283            | 22.0                | 44.2                    | 77.9                    | 9.16           | 26.8                  | 26.9                   |
| A (6 months)        | 126        | 293            | 288            | 14.9                | 44.0                    | 88.9                    | 5.59           | 36.8                  | 36.8                   |
| C (baseline)        | 135        | 219            | 244            | 17.5                | 39.4                    | 75.6                    | 12.0           | 52                    | 79.2                   |
| C (6 months)        | 129        | 164            | 151            | 20.1                | 34.7                    | 51.3                    | 11.2           | 35.4                  | 55.4                   |
| E (baseline)        | 159        | 279            | 325            | 9.4                 | 33                      | 54.4                    | 2.52           | 13.1                  | 21.4                   |
| E (6 months)        | 132        | 208            | 195            | 10.1                | 37.3                    | 57.2                    | 3.68           | 14.2                  | 16.3                   |
| F (baseline)        | 119        | 237            | 201            | 6.7                 | 29.7                    | 49.3                    | 5.87           | 35.4                  | 49                     |
| F (6 months)        | 131        | 222            | 201            | 9.3                 | 22.4                    | 39.8                    | 5.24           | 32.3                  | 38.3                   |
| G (baseline)        | 153        | 219            | 218            | 27.7                | 64.9                    | 69.9                    | 8.01           | 41.5                  | 26.1                   |
| G (6 months)        | 118        | 135            | 163            | 21.9                | 47.2                    | 64.3                    | 7.3            | 26.6                  | 20.0                   |
| H (baseline)        | 128        | 228            | 230            | 7.1                 | 46.7                    | 86.8                    | 3.06           | 23.9                  | 43.9                   |
| H (6 months)        | 129        | 220            | 202            | 3.1                 | 28.4                    | 56.5                    | 2.02           | 22.8                  | 31.2                   |
| I (baseline)        | 113        | 222            | 246            | 31.3                | 79.1                    | 116                     | 14.3           | 106                   | 116                    |
| I (6 months)        | 110        | 156            | 188            | 21.1                | 47.1                    | 63.2                    | 12.1           | 56.3                  | 42.3                   |
| J (baseline)        | 111        | 229            | 209            | 20.5                | 70.9                    | 116                     | 9.21           | 53.0                  | 72.1                   |
| J (6 months)        | 104        | 160            | 152            | 10.3                | 53.4                    | 59.9                    | 7.41           | 51.4                  | 53.4                   |
| K (baseline)        | 142        | 301            | 299            | 34.7                | 84.1                    | 119                     | 6.12           | 34.7                  | 25.8                   |
| K (6 months)        | 117        | 152            | 162            | 20.3                | 43.7                    | 53.2                    | 4.45           | 32.4                  | 20.3                   |
| L (baseline)        | 126        | 223            | 261            | 9.0                 | 18.5                    | 43.8                    | 6.71           | 18.1                  | 34.6                   |
| L (6 months)        | 123        | 241            | 235            | 3.1                 | 20.8                    | 42.5                    | 6.38           | 29.2                  | 31.6                   |
| N (baseline)        | 88         | 145            | 140            | 11.6                | 99.0                    | 116                     | 6.74           | 99.2                  | 77.7                   |
| N (6 months)        | 89         | 139            | 121            | 5.6                 | 41.4                    | 45.0                    | 6.45           | 59.9                  | 72.7                   |
| O (baseline)        | 137        | 276            | 280            | 17.5                | 57.5                    | 99.1                    | 4.58           | 25.3                  | 32.0                   |
| O (6 months)        | 122        | 249            | 253            | 22.2                | 69.3                    | 87.1                    | 4.03           | 40.7                  | 37.5                   |
| P (baseline)        | 107        | 216            | 189            | 31.6                | 139                     | 181                     | 7.33           | 86.3                  | 67.9                   |
| P (6 months)        | 108        | 190            | 166            | 26.0                | 106                     | 159                     | 8.36           | 49.3                  | 67.1                   |
| Q (baseline)        | 133        | 269            | 246            | 48.7                | 109                     | 149                     | 13.6           | 97.4                  | 89.1                   |
| Q (6 months)        | 105        | 241            | 207            | 45.3                | 89.1                    | 127                     | 19.9           | 107                   | 121                   |

The conversion factors were as follows: glucose (mmol/L) = glucose (mg/dL)/18.0, insulin (pmol/L) = insulin (µIU/mL)/0.144. PG: plasma glucose.
that of anagliptin, which might lead to different LDL-C lowering effects. Therefore, further studies are warranted. The effect of GLP-1 on 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) activity in the liver is still unclear. In contrast, the administration of anagliptin has been shown to suppress the expression of SREBP-2, a transcription factor for cholesterol synthesis, and decrease LDL-C levels in LDL receptor-knockout mice, a model for studying hyperlipidemia, using that mechanism of action. Thus, the suppression of SREBP-2 by anagliptin appears to be one of the mechanisms underlying the drug’s LDL-C lowering effect [10].

Onoue et al recently observed that anagliptin, in combination with other hypoglycemic drugs, reduces fasting apoB-48 levels; hence, they proposed that anagliptin is beneficial for lipid metabolism by acting via the inhibition of intestinal lipid transport [11]. This effect was particularly observed in previous studies that included the concomitant use of SUs, which have significant hypolipidemic effects and hypoglycemic activity. It is, therefore, possible that SUs masked the LDL-C-lowering effects of anagliptin, especially in long-term treatments. Another study has showed that anagliptin therapy for 4 weeks decreases the level of the cholesterol synthesis marker lathosterol without affecting the levels of cholesterol absorption markers [12]. The present study differed from these studies with regard to the following: 1) monotherapy was performed for long period of 6 months; and 2) the relationship between lathosterol and active GLP-1 levels was examined (Fig. 4a).

In conclusion, anagliptin monotherapy for 6 months sig-

### Table 2. Comparison of Lipid Profiles of 14 Patients Before and After Treatment With Anagliptin Monotherapy

| Characteristic unit | Age (years) | BMI (kg/m²) | TC (mg/dL) | LDL-C (mg/dL) | HDL-C (mg/dL) | TG (mg/dL) | Latho (µg/mL) | Campe (µg/mL) | Sito (µg/mL) |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| A (baseline)        | 67         | 23.3       | 269        | 183          | 52            | 172        | 3.0           | 6.3           | 3.4          |
| A (6 months)        | 23.3       | 250        | 163        | 58           | 147           | 1.9        | 6.5           | 3.2           |              |
| C (baseline)        | 75         | 23.5       | 203        | 144          | 40            | 94         | 2.8           | 3.7           | 1.9          |
| C (6 months)        | 23.2       | 177        | 120        | 39           | 90            | 2.4        | 3.6           | 1.8           |              |
| E (baseline)        | 63         | 24.6       | 262        | 181          | 66            | 73         | 4.4           | 9.2           | 4.6          |
| E (6 months)        | 24.6       | 304        | 202        | 72           | 151           | 2.7        | 14.2          | 6.5           |              |
| F (baseline)        | 66         | 21.6       | 324        | 235          | 70            | 94         | 4.2           | 6.3           | 4.6          |
| F (6 months)        | 21.6       | 307        | 224        | 67           | 81            | 3.1        | 7.8           | 4.7           |              |
| G (baseline)        | 60         | 28.3       | 295        | 217          | 55            | 117        | 4.5           | 7.0           | 3.3          |
| G (6 months)        | 27.0       | 296        | 218        | 58           | 99            | 2.9        | 6.8           | 3.4           |              |
| H (baseline)        | 74         | 18.6       | 216        | 149          | 52            | 76         | 1.6           | 4.7           | 2.7          |
| H (6 months)        | 18.6       | 199        | 131        | 53           | 77            | 1.6        | 3.8           | 2.0           |              |
| I (baseline)        | 53         | 28.8       | 229        | 155          | 50            | 121        | 6.0           | 4.7           | 2.2          |
| I (6 months)        | 28.9       | 170        | 66.4       | 84           | 98            | 2.7        | 5.2           | 2.5           |              |
| J (baseline)        | 66         | 27.6       | 264        | 169          | 86            | 43         | 4.5           | 4.3           | 2.6          |
| J (6 months)        | 27.5       | 171        | 78.0       | 84           | 45            | 2.4        | 7.5           | 3.5           |              |
| K (baseline)        | 71         | 25.1       | 246        | 157          | 51            | 192        | 2.2           | 8.1           | 3.9          |
| K (6 months)        | 27.5       | 223        | 139        | 54           | 152           | 2.2        | 7.1           | 4.1          |              |
| L (baseline)        | 64         | 21.9       | 262        | 176          | 70            | 78         | 2.6           | 4.5           | 2.1          |
| L (6 months)        | 21.6       | 269        | 173        | 70           | 131           | 2.5        | 4.9           | 2.3          |              |
| N (baseline)        | 60         | 20.1       | 256        | 152          | 51            | 265        | 3.9           | 7.0           | 3.8          |
| N (6 months)        | 20.1       | 170        | 86.0       | 51           | 165           | 2.0        | 7.1           | 5.0          |              |
| O (baseline)        | 60         | 30.3       | 239        | 160          | 59            | 102        | 2.4           | 4.3           | 2.1          |
| O (6 months)        | 31.8       | 228        | 142        | 58           | 142           | 2.7        | 4.8           | 2.5          |              |
| P (baseline)        | 69         | 27.3       | 299        | 216          | 51            | 162        | 4.3           | 5.8           | 3.2          |
| P (6 months)        | 29.4       | 262        | 176        | 44           | 210           | 2.8        | 6.7           | 3.8          |              |
| Q (baseline)        | 77         | 25.0       | 245        | 165          | 67            | 63         | 3.5           | 8.3           | 4.7          |
| Q (6 months)        | 25.3       | 237        | 160        | 59           | 88            | 2.9        | 8.3           | 4.1          |              |

Lipid profiles at baseline and after 6 months of treatment in 14 patients. The conversion factors were as follows: cholesterol (mmol/L) = cholesterol (mg/dL)/38.6, triglyceride (mmol/L) = triglyceride (mg/dL)/88.5. BMI: body mass index; TC: total cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglycerides.
significantly decreased the levels of LDL-C and the cholesterol synthesis marker lathosterol without affecting the levels of the cholesterol absorption markers. Lathosterol levels were negatively correlated with fasting active GLP-1 levels. Thus, the beneficial effect of anagliptin monotherapy on lipid metabolism could be mediated by the inhibition of hepatic cholesterol synthesis rather than by the inhibition of intestinal lipid transport.
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Figure 4. The correlation between fasting active glucagon-like peptide-1 levels and lathosterol (a), campesterol (b), and sitosterol (c) levels at the end of this study. Plots below the detection limit of (2.0 pmol/L) plasma GLP-1 were omitted. The figure presents 13 plots. GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1.

Figure 5. Changes in proinsulin, plasma glucose, and insulin before and after anagliptin monotherapy: fasting plasma glucose (FPG) (a), insulin (b), and proinsulin (c) levels before and after anagliptin monotherapy; and plasma glucose (PG) (d), insulin (e), and proinsulin (f) levels at 2 h after the CLT before and after anagliptin monotherapy. The two groups were compared using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test.
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