Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy and its effects on neonatal outcome
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ABSTRACT

Background: India has one of the highest Vitamin D deficiency rates in pregnancy in the world and yet we have limited research to study its various effects from our country. We aim to study the prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy and effect on neonatal outcome after supplementation.

Methods: 200 pregnant women were recruited at 26 weeks and more. They were divided into sufficient group (normal levels of the vitamin), supplemented group (recruited at 26 weeks and supplemented for 8 weeks) and unsupplemented group (recruited after 34 weeks and so could not be supplemented) based on time of recruitment and levels of vitamin D. They were followed up till discharge of newborn from the hospital. Neonatal outcomes were noted.

Results: The prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy in present study was 94.5%. Vegetarians and urban residents were more likely to have this deficiency. Admissions to NICU were significantly less in neonates of vitamin D supplemented women.

Conclusions: The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is very high during pregnancy and further studies are needed to clearly define its role in neonatal outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D deficiency is recognized as the most untreated nutritional deficiency currently in world. Vitamin D deficiency is a significant public health problem in both developed and developing countries including India. Although India is a tropical country with abundant sunshine; still vitamin D deficiency is very common in India in all age groups and both sexes across the country. There is growing concern about health consequences of high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy worldwide with upto 50% of pregnant women classified as vitamin D deficient.1,2 The fetus is dependent on mother for acquiring Vitamin D, as 25(OH)D readily crosses human placenta. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy improves maternal Vitamin D status and may positively affect the availability of Vitamin D to the fetus and neonate.3,4,5

There has been paucity of studies evaluating the effects of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy especially on neonatal outcome. We have attempted to evaluate the prevalence of Vitamin D in pregnancy and the effects of supplementation of this vitamin on neonatal health.

METHODS

The study was conducted on 200 antenatal women visiting the OPD and emergency of Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology DMC and Hospital, Ludhiana.
Inclusion criteria

- Gestational age at 26 weeks or more.

Exclusion criteria

- Pregestational diabetes mellitus
- Chronic hypertension
- Renal disease
- Musculoskeletal disorder (congenital or acquired)
- Previous cesarean section
- Known case of hypovitaminosis D
- Women receiving vitamin D.

Methods of collection of data

The current study was conducted on 200 antenatal women visiting the Emergency and OPD in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, DMCH, Ludhiana. A detailed history was taken, and clinical examination was done after informed written consent. Spot venous samples for measurement of serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D were taken and analyzed on COBAS 6000 (ROCHE) machine by CHEMILUMINESCENCE method. Reference range of 25(OH)D according to DMCH, Ludhiana was

- Severe deficiency <4ng/ml
- Deficient <20ng/ml
- Insufficiency 20-30mg/ml
- Sufficient 31-70mg/ml
- Excess/toxic >70ng/ml

Women were divided into three groups A, B and C. Group A included patients with 25(OH)D levels >30 ng/ml (normal) and group B included patients with 25(OH)D levels <30 ng/ml (Vitamin D deficient). Group C included women attending the OPD and emergency at 34 weeks of gestation or more with 25(OH)D levels <30 mg/ml and with no history of vitamin D supplementation and hence marked as deficient group. Hence prevalence of hypovitaminosis D was studied in the antenatal patients. Standard obstetric care including routine tests and hematinics were offered to all women.

Vitamin D supplementation (Cholecalciferol) at a dose of 60000 IU weekly for 8 weeks and then monthly till delivery was given to Group B. Group B (with supplementation) and group C (without supplementation) were followed up till delivery and divided into high risk and low risk groups. High risk group included antenatal women with any obstetrical complication like preeclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus and preterm labour. Low risk group will include antenatal women without any complicating factor. Delivery data was noted. Neonatal characteristics in terms of gestational age at delivery, birth weight and NICU admission were recorded.

Duration of the study: The proposed study was conducted on 200 antenatal women for a period which extends from January 1st, 2015 to December 31st, 2015.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using Chi square and Student’s t-test.

RESULTS

At enrollment out of total 200 women, 11 (5.50%) under group A had normal Vitamin D levels, 105 (52.50%) women were vitamin D Deficient and were supplemented with weekly dose of 60000 IU vitamin D per orally once a week and then monthly till delivery and 84 (42.00%) under group C presented at ≥ 34 weeks were vitamin D Deficient and hence could not be supplemented. Out of total 200 women under study 189 were vitamin D deficient at the time of enrollment and hence the prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in pregnancy in present study is 94.5%. Distribution of women in group A, B and C is detailed in Table 1.

Table 1: Distribution of women in group A, B and C.

| Factors                      | Group A (%) | Group B (%) | Group C (%) |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Gravidity                    |             |             |             |
| Multigravida                 | 36.4        | 31.4        | 28.6        |
| Primigravida                 | 63.6        | 68.6        | 71.4        |
| Nutritional factors          |             |             |             |
| Non-vegetarian               | 36.4        | 19.0        | 17.9        |
| Vegetarian                   | 63.6        | 81.0        | 82.1        |
| Rural/urban                  |             |             |             |
| Rural                        | 18.2        | 57.1        | 42.9        |
| Urban                        | 81.8        | 42.9        | 57.1        |

The severity of Vitamin D deficiency was also observed as in Table 2. Out of total 189 Vitamin D Deficient women (B+C), 24 (12.7%) had levels less than 4 ng/ml and hence were severely deficient. 134 (70.9%) women had levels less than 20 ng/ml and hence were vitamin D Deficient.

28 (14.8%) women had vitamin D levels between 20-30 ng/ml hence Vitamin D Insufficient. Out of total 24 women with severe Vitamin D deficiency (less than 4 ng/ml), 5 (20.8%) were multigravidas and 19 (79.2%) were primigravidas. The p-value was 0.287 which was not statistically significant.

Out of total 137 women with Vitamin D Deficiency (less than 20 ng/ml), 43 (31.4%) were multigravidas and 94 (68.6%) were primigravidas. The p-value was 0.550 which was not statistically significant. Out of total 28 women with Vitamin D insufficiency (between 20-30 ng/ml), 9 (32.1%) were multigravidas and 19 (67.9%) were primigravidas. The p-value was 0.804 which was not statistically significant.
Out of total 24 women with severe vitamin D deficiency (less than 4 ng/ml), 5 (20.8%) were non-vegetarians and 19 (79.2%) were vegetarians. The p-value was 0.755 which was not statistically significant. Out of total 137 women with Vitamin D deficiency (less than 20 ng/ml), 26 (19.0%) were non-vegetarians and 111 (81.0%) were vegetarians. The p-value was 0.792 which was not statistically significant.

Table 2: The severity of Vitamin D deficiency.

| Vit D levels      | Description    | Group B (sample I before supplementation between 26-28 weeks) n=105 | Group C (non-supplemented at ≥34 weeks) n=84 |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Less than 4       | Severely deficient | 10 (9.5%) | 14 (16.6%) |
| Less than 20      | Deficient       | 78 (74.3%) | 59 (70.2%) |
| 20-30             | Insufficient    | 17 (16.2%) | 11 (13.1%) |

Out of total 28 women with vitamin D insufficiency (levels between 20-30 ng/ml), 4 (14.3%) were non-vegetarians and 24 (85.7%) were vegetarians. The p-value was 0.532 which was not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Various methods were used to assess the Vitamin D status in pregnant women and among the larger studies, ELISA was found to be the most commonly used method.6 Other methods used were RIA and as in present study, the chemiluminescence immunoassay method. The prevalence of hypovitaminosis D in pregnancy in present study is found to be 94.5%. Studies conducted on pregnant mothers in northern and southern states of India from 2005 to 2010 have reported high prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency with values ranging from 67% to 96%.7

We observed vitamin D deficiency in 30.2% multigravida and 69.8% in primigravida. In a study in China, nulliparity was found to be a risk factor for Vitamin D Deficiency.8 Also, 18.5% non-vegetarian and 81.5% vegetarian patients were found to be vitamin D deficient. Vitamin D deficiency was found to be 18.5% in rural residents and 81.5% of urban residents. Vegetarian diet is deficient in Vitamin D so, particularly among individuals with dark skin or with reduced exposure to sunlight it might increase risk of hypovitaminosis D.9-10

In present study we observed that after supplementation of 60,000 IU Vitamin D3 or cholecalciferol weekly and then monthly till delivery per orally after 26 weeks of gestation to deficient group, 81% of women in group B achieved sufficient vitamin D levels ranging between 31 to 70 ng/ml. Cholecalciferol is also available in form of tablets containing 1000 IU; or in Injection form (aqueous solution of Vitamin D3 of strength 6 Lac IU). For routine supplementation of pregnant women, sachet containing 60,000 IU of vitamin D3 may be used with added advantage of being non-invasive route of administration. In present study neonatal outcome was studied in terms of weight at birth and it was observed that 96.2% were AGA, 1.0% was LGA and 2.9% neonates were SGA in group B as compared to 90.5%, 2.4% and 7.1% AGA, LGA and SGA neonates in group C respectively.
However, no significant statistical difference was found in both groups (p=0.278). The majority of studies conducted by Morley R et al, Gale CR et al and Viljakainen HT et al examining relationships between maternal Vitamin D status and low birth weight found no association. In an observational cohort study from Boston in 2007 reported no difference in birth weight for gestational age by Vitamin D intake of the mother. In some other studies, small for gestational age neonate was significantly associated with Vitamin D deficiency in the mother. In present study we also observed that only 7.6% of neonates were admitted to NICU for more than 24 hours and 92.4% did not require NICU admission in group B whereas in group C, 22.6% were admitted to NICU and 77.4% did not require NICU admission. There was significant statistically significant difference (p=0.003) between two groups suggesting role of vitamin D in prevention of neonatal morbidity. We found two studies where neonatal admission to NICU was studied and they found no statistical significance in the difference between the two groups.
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