Statistical Analysis of Hydrodynamic Forces in Power-Law Fluid Flow in a Channel: Circular Versus Semi-Circular Cylinder
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This numerical study is about the steady incompressible non-Newtonian fluid flow in a channel with static obstacles. The flow field is governed by the Generalized Navier-Stokes equations incorporating the constitutive relation of power-law fluids. Three cases are considered: 1) circular obstacle (C₁), 2) semicircular obstacle (C₂), and 3) both circular and semicircular obstacles. A range of values of the power-law index $0.3 \leq n \leq 1.7$ are considered at $Re = 20$ to check the impact of shear-thinning and shear-thickening viscosity on the drag and lift coefficients. The correlation between drag and lift coefficients is calculated against the power-law index. The simulated results of velocity and pressure are investigated at different sections of the channel. Benchmark results of drag and lift for the Newtonian fluid are reproduced as a special case. A strong positive correlation is observed between drag and lift coefficients in the case of a single obstacle, while in the case of dual obstacles and inverse correlation, drag and lift coefficients have been found.
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INTRODUCTION

The fluid flow past solid bodies is one of the practical problems being investigated in the domain of fluid mechanics, and hence, it has attained the focus of engineers and scientists. The phenomenon has a lot of engineering and industrial applications. In the past, a lot of work has been done, and many aspects of flow have been investigated for Newtonian fluid both in experimental and numerical ways [1–7]. The investigation of flow around rigid bodies has many engineering applications such as the aerodynamics of chimney stacks, skyscrapers, suspension bridges, etc. During the last decade, the fluid past the bluff bodies of different shapes and sizes has been investigated by many researchers [8–10]. The wake produced by the separation of fluid past the bluff bodies mainly depends on the shape and size of the obstacles [11–15]. To investigate the steady and periodic wake, the effective Reynolds number is used by Dumouchel et al. [16]. The effect of different Reynolds numbers on fluid flow past non-spherical bodies was observed by Berrone [17], Liu and Kopp [18], and Schewe [19].

The medical and engineering contribution of viscous fluid has been truly recognized for the last couple of centuries. Engineers and medical scientists paid special attention to understanding the
nature of fluids and more specifically to the visible fluids. As science evolved, the types of fluids, the flow characteristics, and the invisible forces involved in fluid flow are the major discoveries that are being used nowadays for the benefit of mankind. In recent times, flow geometry is one of the main focuses of attention for many researchers. Understanding the elastic and plastic characteristics of some fluids is due to such attention. The fluid flow is mainly dependent on certain factors like pressure, velocity, and viscosity. Mathematically speaking, the shear stress and shear rate of strain are the key factors to be investigated together with viscosity [20–24].

Based on generalized Newtonian fluids, this work is related to the fluid flow around the obstacles of circular and semicircular shape. The flow regime is compared for both cases. For different values of Reynolds numbers, the Newtonian fluid flow around obstacles of different shapes has been investigated by many [25,26]. The laminar flow characteristics can be observed for low values of Reynold numbers, generally for Newtonian fluids. The fluids with shear-thinning viscosity are considered the simplest version of non-Newtonian fluids, but with the advancement of computational techniques, the fluids with shear-thickening viscosity are attaining the interest of researchers [27]. For many non-Newtonian fluids, the shearing characteristics are investigated in reference [28].

Recognizing the industrial applications of flow around semi-cylinders, this work is confined to some numerical results. The comparison is made with the results of the circular cylinder. We organize the manuscript as follows. Section 2 is concerned with the mathematical modeling and numerical approach for this work. Section 3 displays the results and discussion for the involved factors. The conclusion of the present study is revealed in Section 4.

**MATHEMATICAL MODELING AND NUMERICAL SCHEME**

The Navier Stokes equations provide the way to understand and deal with fluid engineering [29–33]. These equations are set on nonlinear partial differential equations, due to which analytical solution of such problems as well as of other problems arising as models of wave propagation [34,35] is often difficult. In order to solve them, one needs to approach a numerical solution. Among many numerical tools reported in the literature to deal with the mechanics of fluid flow, the finite element method (FEM) is the prominent one. The functionality of the finite element method
and the mathematical modeling for our problem is described in references [36–42].
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \]  
\[ \rho (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\tau}, \]  
where the symbols have their usual meanings.

**Power-Law Fluid**

The power-law fluid was first suggested by W. Ostwald in 1925. Although the simplest model shows dependence upon the shear rate with the minimal number of parameters, it is representative of many non-Newtonian fluids. One of the advantages of this model is that it gives rise to analytical solutions in many cases, and hence, this model is widely used in applications. The model [25] is given by the following:
\[ \mathbf{\tau} = m(\dot{\gamma})^{n}, \]  
where \( \tau \) and \( \dot{\gamma} \) are the shear stress and shear rate while \( n \) and \( m \) are the power-law index and consistency index, respectively.

**Flow Configuration**

A circular cylinder with a diameter \( D = 0.1 \) is placed in a channel at various positions. The dimension of the given domain is \([0, 2.2] \times [0, 0.41]\). The circular obstacle \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) and semi-circular \( \mathbf{C}_2 \) are fixed at \((0.2, 0.2)\) in the channel for two different studies. Later, \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{C}_2 \) are placed in the channel simultaneously in a series at \((0.2, 0.2)\) and \((0.5, 0.2)\), respectively. The flow analysis is two-dimensional as there is no flow in the \( z \)-direction. The \( x \)-directional inlet is set at the left-hand side of the channel. An inflow profile is parabolic with maximum velocity \( u_{\text{max}} = 0.3 \) at the inlet of the channel. The \( y \)-direction explains the fully developed \( x \)-directional flow profile. A do-nothing boundary condition at the outlet is chosen. The other walls of the channel are set with no-slip conditions.

As the fluid interacts with the bluff body, the flow pressure exerts some forces on the surface of the cylinder(s) which are quantified as drag and lift. The dimensionless coefficients of these forces are as follows:
\[ C_D = \frac{2F_d}{\rho U_{\text{mean}}^2 D}, \]  
\[ C_L = \frac{2F_l}{\rho U_{\text{mean}}^2 D}, \]  

Here, the average velocity is \( U_{\text{mean}} \) and \( D \) is the diameter of the obstacle. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the geometry used for this analysis. Figure 2 shows the computational grids at refinement level 1 for all three cases. The governing equation is discretized using FEM to approximate the important quantities like velocity and pressure. The reduced equations are solved using Newton’s method. To stop the nonlinear iterative process, we adopt the following criteria:
\[ \left| \frac{\psi^{k+1} - \psi^{k}}{\psi^{k+1}} \right| \leq 10^{-6} \]  

Here, \( k \) displays the number of iterations and \( \psi \) denotes a component of the solution. The non-Newtonian power-law fluid is used to investigate the velocity and pressure behavior. For a fixed value of \( Re = 20 \), the power-law index is used as an input parameter.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

A) Code Validation

To validate the solution scheme, the results of Schäfer et al. [43] are reproduced for the circular cylinder case at \( Re = 20 \) and \( n = 1 \), which is the Newtonian case. The close correspondence is observed for the values of drag and lift between the present and the published work [43], which gives them confidence in solution methodology. The reference values of \( C_D \) and \( C_L \) as given in reference [43] are as follows:
\[ C_D = 5.579535, \]  
\[ C_L = 0.010618. \]

B) Correlation of Fluid Forces

In the present case, viscosity is the function of shear rate \( \dot{\gamma} \) and the power law exponent \( n \) which can give rise to different flow conditions.

| TABLE 1 | Impacts of \( n \) on drag and lift coefficients for single obstacles. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \( n \) | \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) Circular cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_L \) Semi-cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) Circular cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_L \) Semi-cylinder |
| 0.3 | 2.285,163 | -0.01958 | 2.621,777 | -0.01572 |
| 0.5 | 3.022671 | -0.01433 | 3.311,608 | -0.01482 |
| 0.7 | 3.919,251 | -0.00904 | 4.134,044 | -0.01232 |
| 1.0 | 5.578,019 | 0.010645 | 5.646,302 | 0.01435 |
| 1.3 | 7.578,352 | 0.061964 | 7.589,954 | 0.025846 |
| 1.5 | 9.672,510 | 0.132,124 | 9.386,573 | 0.064137 |
| 1.7 | 12.168,790 | 0.256,646 | 11.455,29 | 0.131,802 |

| TABLE 2 | Impacts of \( n \) on drag and lift coefficients for dual obstacles. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \( n \) | \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) Circular cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_2 \) Semi-cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_1 \) Circular cylinder | \( \mathbf{C}_2 \) Semi-cylinder |
| 0.3 | 2.238,806 | 0.628,784 | -0.01286 | 0.048534 |
| 0.5 | 2.941,278 | 1.189,861 | -0.01046 | 0.045178 |
| 0.7 | 3.811,935 | 1.853,629 | -0.00488 | 0.049008 |
| 1.0 | 5.434,447 | 3.242,317 | 0.013438 | 0.057837 |
| 1.3 | 7.578,352 | 5.417,577 | 0.061964 | 0.056739 |
| 1.5 | 9.494,071 | 7.431,205 | 0.131,950 | 0.040403 |
| 1.7 | 11.967,33 | 9.94492 | 0.255,813 | 0.029470 |

| TABLE 3 | Correlation coefficient for \( \mathbf{C}_D \) and \( \mathbf{C}_L \) (single cylinder). |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | Circular | Semi-circular |
| Correlation coefficient \( (r) \) | 0.965 | 0.952 |

| TABLE 4 | Correlation coefficient for \( \mathbf{C}_D \) and \( \mathbf{C}_L \) (dual cylinder). |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | Circular (upstream) | Semi-circular (downstream) |
| Correlation coefficient \( (r) \) | 0.956 | -0.611 |
regimes as shear thinning, Newtonian, and shear thickening. To visualize the influence of $n$, equidistant values of the $n$ are chosen around the Newtonian case ($n = 1$) for shear thinning and shear thickening behaviors.

Tables (1)-(2) show the results of $C_D$ and $C_L$ calculated for 1) circular cylinder, 2) semi-circular cylinder, and 3) dual cylinder. For a steady flow, the drag is directly related to $n$. For the shear-thinning case ($n < 1$), the drag is a bit higher at a semi-cylinder than the drag on a circular cylinder. For the shear-thickening case ($n > 1$), the situation is reversed. In the dual cylinder case, less drag is observed than in the single cylinder.

The strength of association between hydrodynamic forces using software SPSS-23 is represented in Tables (3)-(4). A strong and positive correlation is observed between drag and lift when cylinders are taken separately. However, in the case of a dual cylinder placed in a channel, an inverse association between drag and lift is observed at the semi-circular (downstream) cylinder.

C) Impact on Velocity and Pressure

In the present work, the steady, laminar, and incompressible flow is observed using generalized power-law fluid. The

FIGURE 3 | Effects on velocity with $Re = 20$ for $n = 0.3, 1$ and 1.7.

FIGURE 4 | Effects on the velocity profile with $Re = 20$ for $n = 0.3, 1$ and 1.7.
dimensionless parameter $n$ is used to observe the velocity and pressure behavior for shear-thinning ($n < 1$), shear thickening ($n > 1$), and the Newtonian case ($n = 1$) when the fluid encounters an obstacle. At the stagnation point, the fluid elements come to rest and then accelerate, bifurcating the fluid around the cylinder in the direction of velocity. The maximum velocity is observed at the corner of the cylinder for a specific region. This maximum velocity region around the cylinder increases and moves ahead with the increasing value of $n$ (see Figures 3, 4, 5). It is also observed that the velocity increases around the central horizontal axis of the channel as fluid thickening increases. As in Figures 3, 4, 5, the flow separation is observed in the rear side of the obstacle. The flow separation gets reduced for increasing $n$. Moreover, in the case of a semi-circular cylinder, the flow separation gets a longer range (see Figure 4).

For all three cases, the pressure profiles can be visualized in Figures 6, 7, 8. In the free stream region, the pressure gets
reduced with increasing $n$. In the case of the semicircular cylinder (Figure 7), an interesting pressure visualization appears for the shear-thinning case ($n = 0.3$). An invisible mild stagnation region appears at around $0.6 \leq x \leq 0.9$, and then it gets evenly distributed afterward. In the dual cylinder case (Figure 8), the increasing pressure is observed up to the walls of the channel before the first obstacle (see Figure 8C), and the presence of the second obstacle has a significant impact on the pressure spread together with the increasing value of $n$.

D) Line Graph Behavior

In Figure 9, we demonstrate the executed $u$-velocity at several power-law indexes. In detail, $x = 0.0$ the fluid is initially injected at the inlet of the channel with a parabolic profile. The line graphs of $u$-velocity are drawn in the free stream region at $x = 1.5$ to observe the impact of the $n$ on velocity. It is observed that shear-thinning fluid moves closer to the channel walls like in the $n = 0.3$ case. The distance of the fluid flow and the channel walls increases with the increasing fluid thickness like $n = 1.7$ in Figure 9.
The influence of shape and orientation of obstacles on hydrodynamic forces has been studied in the present work. A statistical analysis is also performed by computation on the correlation coefficient for all cases. Code validation is performed as a special case, and results show excellent agreement with the reference values of the drag and lift coefficients. The main findings are mentioned below:

- High-velocity magnitude is observed for the shear-thinning cases.
- Pressure dispersion from the stagnation point is also highly associated with the power-law index.
- A strong and positive correlation is observed between drag and lift when cylinders are taken separately.
- The case of a dual cylinder placed in a channel; an inverse association between drag and lift is observed at the semi-circular cylinder.
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