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Abstract

Potassium-ion batteries are an emerging energy storage technology that could be a promising alternative to lithium-ion batteries due to the abundance and low cost of potassium. Research on potassium-ion batteries has received considerable attention in recent years. With the progress that has been made, it is important yet challenging to discover electrode materials for potassium-ion batteries. Here, we report pyrrhotite $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S}$ microcubes as a new anode material for this exciting energy storage technology. The anode delivers a reversible capacity of 418 mAh g$^{-1}$ with an initial coulombic efficiency of $\sim$70% at 50 mA g$^{-1}$ and a great rate capability of 123 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 6 A g$^{-1}$ as well as good cyclability. Our analysis shows the structural stability of the anode after cycling and reveals surface-dominated K storage at high rates. These merits contribute to the obtained electrochemical performance. Our work may lead to a new class of anode materials based on sulfide chemistry for potassium storage and shed light on the development of new electrochemically active materials for ion storage in a wider range of energy applications.

Introduction

Research on new energy storage technologies has received great attention due to the inevitable depletion of fossil fuels and the need to reduce CO$_2$ emissions to realize sustainable economic and societal growth. The last few years have seen rapidly increasing research activities on Na-ion and K-ion batteries (NIBs and KIBs) that use earth-abundant elements$^{1-5}$. Materials are examined for their feasibility to serve NIBs and KIBs as alternatives to Li-ion batteries (LIBs), which could mitigate the potential supply risks and price increases of the current LIB industry. A recent theoretical study$^{6}$, where an 18650 battery architecture was used and the weights of various battery components (cathode, anode, electrolyte, current collector, etc.) were taken into consideration, has suggested that KIBs have no considerable disadvantages over NIBs in terms of specific energy density. More importantly, there are critical benefits that favor the transition from NIBs to KIBs. First, the standard reduction potential of K is $\sim$0.2 V lower than that of Na ($-2.92$ vs. $-2.71$ V), which could translate to a higher energy density. Second, unlike Na$^+$, K$^+$ intercalates reversibly into graphite$^7$, which is a substantial attraction for industries to implement KIBs into the existing LIB manufacturing facilities. Third, K$^+$ has a lower charge density compared to Na$^+$, which could improve diffusion kinetics if intercalation sites and diffusion pathways are designed. These benefits highlight the commercial prospect of KIBs, and as a result, it is of utmost urgency to develop this exciting type of battery by exploring electrode materials that can store K$^+$.

The major barrier to developing KIBs is the large size of K$^+$ ($\text{K}^+/	ext{Na}^+/	ext{Li}^+ = 152/116/90$ pm) because it causes difficulties of K$^+$ insertion and diffusion. An effective approach to overcome this barrier is to utilize crystal structures that have directional K$^+$ diffusion pathways. This has resulted in a domination of intercalation-type materials for KIB cathodes, mainly including two classes of materials, i.e., layer-structured$^{10-14}$ and tunnel-structured materials$^{10-14}$, where K$^+$ intercalation and...
diffusion are facilitated by the two-dimensional (2D) pathways in the former or three-dimensional (3D) pathways in the latter. In contrast, a variety of materials have been examined as KIB anodes owing to their various mechanisms to store K\(^+\) in the voltage window of anodes, which could alleviate the reliance on the directional diffusion pathways to some extent. For instance, disordered carbons perform well in storing K\(^+\) on their surfaces and realize high capacitive charge storage\(^\text{15,16}\). Metals and intermetallic compounds store K\(^+\) by an alloying mechanism and exhibit a high rate capability\(^\text{17–21}\). Conversion reactions can occur during K\(^+\) diffusion and often lead to a high K\(^+\) intake\(^\text{22–25}\).

Among the reported KIB anodes, metal sulfides have captured tremendous interest owing to their low cost and environmental friendliness\(^\text{26}\). In particular, 2D layered metal sulfides such as MoS\(_2\)\(^\text{27,28}\), ReS\(_2\)\(^\text{29}\), VS\(_2\)\(^\text{30}\), and SnS\(_2\)\(^\text{31}\) have been heavily studied. Their use as KIB anodes has been ascribed to a two-step K\(^+\) storage process that consists of an intercalation reaction and a subsequent conversion reaction (overlapping with an alloying reaction in the case of SnS\(_2\)). The intercalation reaction uses crystallographic van der Waals gaps to accommodate K\(^+\), and the conversion reaction induces the reduction of the metal upon continuous K\(^+\) insertion. This kind of process generates a large capacity, being mainly contributed by the conversion reaction. Moreover, metal-sulfur bonds are less ionic than metal-oxide bonds, which is kinetically favorable for the conversion reaction, leading to good redox kinetics and reversibility\(^\text{32,33}\). These advantages have been the major motivations that drive the research of metal sulfides as KIB anodes to date, and some of them have indeed delivered high anode performance. It is worth noting that these sulfides have intrinsically low electronic conductivity, and as a result, the obtained performance often relies on the incorporation of carbonaceous materials that improve the electronic conductivity of the entire electrode matrix\(^\text{29,31,34,35}\).

Pyrrhotite, Fe\(_{1-x}\)S (0 < x < 0.2), is one of the most important types of metal sulfides. This metal sulfide crystallizes in a distorted NiAs lattice with a superstructure of ordered iron vacancies, resulting in mixed Fe valences and nonstoichiometric formulas. The 3d electrons of Fe(II) and Fe(III) could overlap to increase the electron population within the conduction band\(^\text{36,37}\). Pyrrhotite exhibits a much higher electronic conductivity compared to its stoichiometric counterparts troilite (FeS) and pyrite (FeS\(_2\))\(^\text{38,39}\). Previous density functional theory (DFT) calculations have shown that the density of states (DOS) of pyrrhotite have no band gap near the Fermi level, indicating a metallic characteristic\(^\text{40}\). Owing to this characteristic, pyrrhotite has been used in a range of energy applications. Chen et al.\(^\text{37}\) reported pyrrhotite nanosheets as a highly efficient catalyst for oxygen evolution reactions due to the facilitated electron transfer process. Ahn et al.\(^\text{41}\) applied pyrrhotite as a functional additive in a sulfur cathode to improve its conductivity and trap lithium polysulfides in Li–S batteries. In the case of NIBs, pyrrhotite has served as not only a conductive core in heterostructured composites\(^\text{42,43}\) but also a single active material\(^\text{44,45}\). Surprisingly, there has not been any work on pyrrhotite in the application of KIBs. Bearing in mind that pyrrhotite, like other iron sulfides, undergoes a conversion reaction to store ions, it could be expected to deliver high KIB performance in combination with high electronic conductivity.

In this work, we report the first demonstration of pyrrhotite as an anode material for KIBs. Fe\(_{1-x}\)S microcubes (MCs) were fabricated through a phase transition process, where pyrite FeS\(_2\) transformed to Fe\(_{1-x}\)S during an annealing treatment at an inert atmosphere, and the cubical structure of FeS\(_2\) was preserved. Without the incorporation of carbonaceous materials, Fe\(_{1-x}\)S MCs used as an anode exhibited a reversible capacity of \(\sim 420\) mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) and great rate capability by retaining a capacity of over 120 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a high current density of 6 A g\(^{-1}\). To the best of our knowledge, the obtained performance is the best among the reported iron sulfide anodes in the field of KIBs. Considering low cost and material sustainability, our work highlights the promise of iron sulfides in electrochemical ion storage.

**Results and discussion**

**Structural and morphological analysis**

Pyrrhotite Fe\(_{1-x}\)S MCs were synthesized by direct, inert-atmospheric annealing of pyrite FeS\(_2\) MCs that were fabricated using a solvothermal reaction. During the thermal decomposition of FeS\(_2\), it was progressively transformed into Fe\(_{1-x}\)S, and the crystalline grains became porous as sulfur gas escaped, which was reported in a previous investigation of the decomposition process and is described by the following equation\(^\text{46}\)

\[
(1 - x)\text{FeS}_{2(o)} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S}_{(s)}(x = 0 – 0.2) + 1/2(1 – 2x)\text{S}_{2(g)}
\]

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of FeS\(_2\) (Supplementary Fig. S1a) displays a cubical structure on a large scale with a small amount of polygonal structures. The high-magnification image (Supplementary Fig. S1b) shows that the MCs have a typical size of 1.5–2.5 μm and smooth surfaces. Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) results (inset in Supplementary Fig. S1a) demonstrate the existence of only Fe and S, and the S/Fe ratio is 1.8:1, close to the formula FeS\(_2\) (characterized by X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) in Supplementary Figs. S3 and S4). The composition was further examined by elemental mapping (Supplementary Fig. S1c–e), where Fe and S were seen to be
homogeneously distributed throughout the entire MC. Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S\textsubscript{2} MCs successfully inherited the cubical structure on a large scale, as seen in the low-magnification SEM image (Supplementary Fig. S2). Close observations (Fig. 1a, b) reveal that Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S MCs have a similar size range as FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs but rough surfaces and cracks that extend into the interior of the cubes. This is due to the escape of sulfur gas during thermal decomposition, as mentioned above. Such structural features could facilitate the penetration of the electrolyte and the diffusion of K\textsuperscript+ into the MCs, indicating a good rate capability when Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S MCs are operated at high rates and only thin surface layers contribute to charge storage. EDS results (inset in Fig. 1a) reveal a S/Fe ratio of 1.11, suggesting a formula of Fe\textsubscript{9}S\textsubscript{10}, as characteristic bands of Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S in previous works\textsuperscript{45}. The deconvoluted peaks of the Fe 2p spectrum (Fig. 2d) show the coexistence of Fe(II) and Fe(III), where the two peaks at 710.5 and 723.5 eV belong to Fe(II), and those at 713.3 and 726.1 eV belong to Fe(III)\textsuperscript{52,53}. The XPS spectra of FeS\textsubscript{2} (Supplementary Fig. S4b−d) have similar peak assignments of S\textsubscript{2−} and Fe\textsuperscript{2+} to those of previous literature\textsuperscript{54,55}. It is noted that the N 1s peak appears in the survey spectrum of FeS\textsubscript{2} but disappears in that of Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S. This is due to the presence of ethylenediamine (EDA) as a coordinating reagent in the growth of FeS\textsubscript{2} and the elimination of EDA during thermal decomposition. We measured the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the samples (Supplementary Fig. S5), and the spectrum of FeS\textsubscript{2} contains the bands of the characteristic vibrational modes of EDA, including the N−H stretching mode (3350 cm\textsuperscript{−1}, overlapping with the O−H stretching mode), -NH\textsubscript{2} bending mode (1630 cm\textsuperscript{−1}), N−H bending mode (1400 cm\textsuperscript{−1}) and C−N bending mode (1003 cm\textsuperscript{−1})\textsuperscript{56,57}. These bands cannot be observed in the spectrum of Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S, which proves the elimination of EDA during the thermal decomposition of FeS\textsubscript{2}. EDA can coordinate with Fe\textsuperscript{2+} at the initial stage of the reaction to form a stable [Fe(amine)]\textsubscript{2+} complex\textsuperscript{58}. The configuration of the complex confines the growth of FeS\textsubscript{2} within one dimension (1D)\textsuperscript{57}. Indeed, we observed 1D FeS\textsubscript{2}
nanowires that have low crystallinity after 8 h of the solvothermal reaction (Supplementary Fig. S6). However, the 1D structure gradually converted to a cubical structure when the reaction time was increased to 24 h, which was accompanied by a gradual increase in crystallinity. In addition, EDA can react with S to form a complex, octathioamine \( \text{[NH}_2-(\text{CH}_2)_2-\text{HN-S}_8^-} \), that further degenerates to polythioamines \( \text{[NH}_2-(\text{CH}_2)_2-\text{HN-S}_y^-} \) \( y < 8 \) \(^{58} \), which prevents S from being fully reduced to \( \text{S}^{2-} \) and thus results in the formation of \( \text{FeS}_2 \). This is different from a previous observation that \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \) formed at the initial stage of a solvothermal reaction in which oleylamine was used to reduce S to \( \text{S}^{2-} \) \(^{59} \). To summarize the structural and morphological analysis, \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \) MCs were successfully fabricated through the decomposition of \( \text{FeS}_2 \) MCs, where the cubical structure was inherited, and the surface of MCs became rough due to the release of sulfur.

**KIB anode performance**

The electrochemical performance of \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \) MCs was evaluated as an anode material against metallic K in a coin-cell configuration and in a voltage range of 0.01–2.5 V (vs. \( \text{K}^+/\text{K} \)), which is presented in comparison to the performance of \( \text{FeS}_2 \) MCs. Figure 3a shows the CV curves of \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \) MCs in the first 10 cycles at a scan rate of 0.01 mV s\(^{-1} \). The first cathodic scan was dominated by a strong peak centered at 0.7 V, which corresponds to the potassiation process that is dominated by the conversion reaction between \( \text{K}^+ \) and \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \). The peak also includes the contribution from the formation of solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI), as its intensity significantly decreased in the subsequent cycles \(^{45} \). There are two broad peaks centered at 0.7 and 1.6 V in the first anodic scan, which are related to the multistep depotassiation process. From the second cycle onward, a broad peak ranging from 1.3 to 0.3 V can be seen in the cathodic scans, while the two anodic peaks shifted toward each other (0.8 and 1.4 V) in the anodic scans. There was no obvious loss of peak area in the successive cycles, signaling a considerable kinetic reversibility of K storage in \( \text{Fe}^{1-x} \text{S} \) MCs after the initial (de)potassiation. The CV curves of FeS\(_2\) MCs (Supplementary Fig. S7) show a similar shape, but there is a significant peak current drop between the first and second cycles and a gradual reduction in the peak area, indicating the deteriorated reversibility of FeS\(_2\) MCs upon repeat K\(^+\) insertion/extraction. Figure 3b displays the discharge/
charge profiles of the first cycle of both materials. The profiles exhibit one discharge plateau (0.8 V for Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S MCs and 1.1 V for FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs) that corresponds to the strong peak in the first cathodic scan, and the less defined charge plateaus agree with the broad anodic peaks in Fig. 3a. The discharge plateau of Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S MCs is supposed to originate from the reaction between Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S and K\textsuperscript{+}, with the formation of Fe, potassium sulfide and intermediate K-rich phases corresponding to the quantity of potassiation per Fe\textsubscript{1−x}S. A further potassiation step takes place when discharged to 0.01 V, which could result in the formation of not only Fe and K\textsubscript{2}S but also KFeS\textsubscript{2}, as seen in the reported work of K storage in iron sulfindes\textsuperscript{60,61}. The following charge process corresponds to a depotassiation reaction, for which it has been observed in both Na\textsuperscript{+\textsubscript{62}} and K\textsuperscript{+\textsubscript{61}} storage that instead of original iron sulfides, Na\textsubscript{x}FeS\textsubscript{y} or K\textsubscript{x}FeS\textsubscript{y} (possible multiphases) were the main products after the charge process; we speculate this phenomenon...
applies to our case, as no plateaus can be seen in the charge curve. From the second discharge/charge cycle onward, the transition between $K_xFeS_y$ and $K_{x+y}FeS_y$ is responsible for the reversible $K$ storage by inserting/extracting $x\text{ mol K}^+$, explaining the disappearance of the plateau in the 1st discharge process, which has been reported in iron sulfides for both Na$^{62,63}$ and K storage$^{56,60,61,64}$. The initial discharge/charge capacities of Fe$_{1−x}$S MCs are 622/432 mAh g$^{-1}$, giving rise to a high initial coulombic efficiency (CE) of 69%, which is much higher than that of FeS$_2$ MCs (42%, 545/227 mAh g$^{-1}$). The discharge/charge profiles of Fe$_{1−x}$S MCs (Fig. 3c) overlap well with prolonged cycles, which agrees with the CV observations and shows good reversibility. Indeed, Fe$_{1−x}$S MCs delivered capacities of 442 and 418 mAh g$^{-1}$ in the 2nd and 60th cycles (Fig. 3d), respectively, resulting in a 95% capacity retention and a very small decay rate of 0.08% per cycle. The CE rapidly increased to 98% at only two cycles and remained above 98.5% in the following cycles. In contrast, the capacity of FeS$_2$ MCs quickly dropped to 147 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 6 cycles and remained only <50 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the end of the cycles. Furthermore, Fe$_{1−y}$S MCs also exhibited a great rate capability. As shown in Fig. 3e, the MCs delivered capacities of 413, 400, 383, 336, 303, and 220 mAh g$^{-1}$ at current densities of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, and 2 A g$^{-1}$, respectively. Even at current densities as high as 4 and 6 A g$^{-1}$, they retained capacities of 152 and 123 mAh g$^{-1}$, respectively. Stable discharge/charge profiles can be seen at all testing current densities (Fig. 3f). After the current density was reduced to 0.05 A g$^{-1}$, the capacity fully recovered to 437 mAh g$^{-1}$, suggesting the electrochemical resilience of Fe$_{1−y}$S MCs derived from the good conductivity and structural tolerance of the volume change during (de)potassiation. As expected, FeS$_2$ MCs exhibited lower capacities compared to Fe$_{1−y}$S MCs and failed to deliver meaningful capacities at current densities higher than 2 A g$^{-1}$. To the best of our knowledge, the electrochemical performance of pyrrhotite Fe$_{1−x}$S MCs is the best result among the performances of reported iron sulfide anodes in the field of KIBs$^{60,61}$ and is even comparable to or better than those of some 2D layered metal sulfide-carbon composites$^{30,31,35,65}$.

The MCs were characterized after cycling, and the SEM images are shown in Fig. 4. The cubical structure of Fe$_{1−y}$S was kept intact (Fig. 4a, b), despite the contour of the cubes being slightly less defined and the surfaces being rougher than those in the pristine state (Fig. 1a, b), which is due to the formation of SEI and volume change during the cycles. The preservation of the cubical structure indicates the stability of Fe$_{1−y}$S during K storage even after undergoing a conversion reaction, which is responsible for the stable cycle life shown in Fig. 3d. However, the cubical structure of FeS$_2$ could hardly be identified (Fig. 4c, d), and assemblies of nanosheets were observed instead. This indicates that the volume change causes the collapse of the cubes and that the microstructure is reconstructed after the conversion reaction. The reconstruction is governed by the 2D nature of the layer-structured FeS$_2$/$^{66,67}$, resulting in the formation of nanosheets. It is worth noting that such reconstruction could introduce new electrode–electrolyte interfaces and thus increase the formation of SEI, which leads to a high charge transfer resistance (Fig. 6b). Future attention should be paid to encapsulating iron sulfides in carbonaceous materials or $K^+$ conductive compounds in a way where space exists between the iron sulfide core and encapsulation shell to allow for volume changes, and the encapsulation shell serves as an artificial SEI to reduce the decomposition of electrolytes and the resultant charge transfer resistance across the solid–liquid interface. Nevertheless, the sharp contrast of the postcycle structures between the two anodes supports the superior
electrochemical performance of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs over that of FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs.

**Kinetic study**

A kinetic study was carried out to analyze the observed electrochemical behavior by using two electrochemical techniques. First, CV curves were recorded in a scan rate (\(\nu\)) range of 0.1–1 mV s\(^{-1}\). As shown in Fig. 5a–c, an increase in the peak current (\(i\)) and the separation of the reduction peak (Re, referring to potassiation) and oxidation peak (Ox, referring to depotassiation) were observed with increasing scan rate. Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs exhibited a smaller Re-Ox separation and thus much less distorted curves than those of FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs, indicating that a smaller polarization occurred in Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S upon fast K\textsuperscript{+} insertion/extraction, which agrees well with its better rate capability (Fig. 3e). According to the expression of \(i = ai^b\), where \(a\) and \(b\) are adjustable constants, the \(b\)-value can be extracted from the slope by plotting \(\log(i)\) against \(\log(\nu)\), for which the value is 0.5 for a semi-infinite linear diffusion-controlled process\textsuperscript{68,69}. The \(b\)-value determinations of the two anodes are shown in Fig. 5b–d, where a better linear relationship was observed for Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs (Fig. 5b) than for FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs (Fig. 5d). The former has \(b\)-values of 0.92 (Re1), 0.89 (Ox1) and 0.94 (Ox2), which are higher than those of the latter (Re1: 0.84, Ox1: 0.59 and Ox2: 0.76). The comparison shows that more surface-dominated charge storage occurs in Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs than in FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs at high rates. This could be attributed to the rough surfaces and the cracks extending into the interior of the cubes (Fig. 1a, b), and the occurrence of surface charge storage could be maintained by the stability of the cubical structure, as shown in the last section. Both factors contribute to the high rate capability of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used as the second technique to analyze the charge transfer kinetics of the two anodes. Figure 6 shows the Nyquist plots recorded after the 1st, 5th, 10th, and 30th cycles. All the plots consist of a quasi-semicircle at high-to-medium frequencies and a small tail at low frequencies, where the former arises from charge transfer through

![Fig. 5 Kinetic study of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S and FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs. CV curves of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs (a) and FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs (c) at various scan rates. The \(b\)-value determinations of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs (b) and FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs (d).](image-url)
electrode–electrolyte interface and the latter is ascribed to charge transport in the electrode\textsuperscript{70,71}. Note that the diameter of the quasi-semicircles could qualitatively indicate the charge transfer resistance at the interface\textsuperscript{71,72}. The diameter of the quasi-semicircles could qualitatively indicate the charge transfer resistance at the interface\textsuperscript{71,72}. Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs showed a much smaller diameter of the quasi-semicircle than that of the FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs after the 1st cycle, suggesting a much smaller charge transfer resistance after the initial potassiation and the formation of SEI. The diameters of the quasi-semicircles increased during cycles for both anodes, but Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs showed smaller diameters and thus smaller charge transfer resistance at all tested cycles. The Warburg coefficient is an indicator of ion diffusion behavior in solid electrodes and can be determined from the slope by plotting $Z'$ against $\omega^{-1/2}$, where $Z'$ is the real part of impedance and $\omega$ is angular frequency, for the low-frequency range (linear region of Nyquist plot)\textsuperscript{73}. The plots (Supplementary Fig. S8) show a smaller slope for Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs than for FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs after every tested cycle, which suggests that the former has better K\textsuperscript{+} diffusion kinetics\textsuperscript{73,75}. Therefore, the EIS results support the better cyclability of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs and agree with the observed stable structure.

Conclusions

In summary, we reported a facile and scalable process to fabricate pyrrhotite Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs through a phase transition from pyrite FeS\textsubscript{2} while preserving the cubical structure. The MCs, with an estimated formula of Fe\textsubscript{9}S\textsubscript{10}, were tested as an anode in KIBs, and this is the first demonstration of pyrrhotite as an electrode material in the field. The anode exhibited a high reversible capacity of ~420 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} and a good rate capability of ~120 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} at 6 A g\textsuperscript{-1} as well as stable cyclability. Postcycle characterization demonstrated the high structural stability of Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs upon repeat K\textsuperscript{+} insertion/extraction. Furthermore, a kinetic study revealed high surface-dominated charge storage that contributes to the obtained rate capability. We would like to use this work to highlight the promise of KIBs and hope to stimulate research on K storage, aiming to realize the practical use of KIBs in the future.

Materials and methods

Preparation of pyrite FeS\textsubscript{2} and pyrrhotite Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs

FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs were synthesized via a solvothermal process. Typically, 1.5 mmol FeCl\textsubscript{2}·4H\textsubscript{2}O was dissolved in a mixture of deionized water and ethylene glycol (15 ml, 1:2 volume ratio) under stirring to form solution A. Sulfur powders (12 mmol) were dissolved in 10 ml ethylenediamine (EDA), and the suspension was stirred for 1 h to form homogenous solution B. Solution B was then slowly added to solution A and stirred for another 4 h. The as-prepared solution was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and held at 200 °C for 24 h. The obtained FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs were collected by centrifugation and rinsed with absolute ethanol and deionized water before drying in a vacuum at 80 °C. Fe\textsubscript{1-x}S MCs were obtained by annealing FeS\textsubscript{2} MCs in an Ar atmosphere at 600 °C for 3 h with a heating rate of 5 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}.

Materials characterizations

Characterizations of the samples were performed by XRD (D4 ENDEAVOR, Cu Kα λ = 1.54 A), XPS (ESCA-Lab 220i-XL), Raman spectroscopy (Renishaw Raman microscope spectrometer with a laser wavelength of 514 nm), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Bruker platinum-ATR), transmission electron microscopy (JEOL JEM-2100), and SEM (JEOL JSM-7600F) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer.

Electrochemical measurements

Electrode films were prepared by coating a slurry made of 70% active material, 20% Super P and 10% sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) on a copper foil, which
were dried at 110°C under vacuum. The films were cut into disks as working electrodes with a mass loading of ~1.0 mg cm⁻². Coin cells (2032) were assembled in an Ar-filled glovebox with oxygen and moisture concentrations below 0.1 ppm. The cells contained the prepared working electrode, K metal as the counter electrode, a glass microfiber filter (Whatman, Grade GF/B) as the separator and 1 M potassium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (KFSI) in a mixture of ethylene carbonate/diethylene carbonate (EC:DEC = 1:1 volume ratio) as the electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and EIS measurements were performed on a VSP electrochemical workstation (Bio-Logic, France). Discharge–charge measurements were performed on a battery testing system (Land CT 2001A, China) at room temperature.
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