Knowledge, attitude and willingness to accept the RTS,S malaria vaccine among mothers in Abuja, Nigeria
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Introduction

Malaria, although discovered over a century ago, continues to be of public health significance because of its huge global burden making it a leading cause of disease and death worldwide. Most recent estimates of global malaria cases stand at 229 million as of 2019,1,2 This is one million more cases than the previous year while malaria claimed over 400,000 lives with majority (94%) of outpatient visits (60%) and admissions (30%).5,6 This has remained unchanged over the years as the disease also con-
The study was conducted in the federal capital territory, Abuja, Nigeria, which is in the North-Central part of Nigeria within latitude 7 45’ and 7 39’. It is the legislative capital of Nigeria with a vastly diverse population. The population of Abuja in 2019 is estimated to be 3,095,118 with a growth rate of 6.11%, making it one of the fastest growing cities in the world. About half the population are Muslims and Christians make up approximately 40% of the population. The official language is English although other languages are spoken in the city including Hausa, Igb0, and Yoruba.

Participants
Consenting mothers between ages 15-49 years with children below 17 months who attended well baby clinics were interviewed in six public secondary health facilities within Abuja Municipal Area Council which has the largest population.

Sampling
Sample size was determined to be 157 mothers using Leslie Kish formula with a prevalence rate of 45% (obtained from Nigeria MIS 2015), confidence interval of 0.01, confidence level of 95%. With a 10% non-response (attrition) rate added to the sample size, the number of respondents required was 173.

A multistage sampling technique was used to select respondents from the health facilities visited. The Federal Capital Territory (FCT) was divided into six clusters representing the area council districts - Abuja Municipal (AMAC), Abaji, Gwagwalada, Kuje, Bwari and Kwali. The area council with the highest population (AMAC) was selected. Health facilities were then stratified into primary, secondary, and tertiary facilities and secondary health facilities were visited. The secondary health facilities were further stratified into public and private facilities. Well baby clinics in six public secondary health facilities within Abuja (Figure 1) were subsequently visited and mothers between the ages of 15-49 years with children below 17 months who gave consent were interviewed.

Study Tool
A pre-tested semi-structured interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect information from respondents. Prior to administration of questions on practices and willingness to accept RTS,S, malaria vaccine, mothers were given information on the RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine.

Data Collection
Prior to data collection, interviewers, supervisors, coordinators

Figure 1. Geospatial map of public secondary health facilities in AMAC.
and recruitment personnel were trained on human subject research ethics, the study protocol, how to conduct advocacy at the health facilities and appropriate ways to approach the respondents. The study was conducted between February and March 2020. Questionnaires were administered to 180 consenting mothers.

**Data Analysis**

Data were analyzed using Stata/MP 15.0 (StataCorp. 2017. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the socio-demographic characteristics. Mean and standard deviation were employed to summarize continuous data. Counts and percentages were utilized to summarize categorical variables. Potential predictors (independent variables) were assessed for a statistical association with the outcome variables (the dependent variables) using univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis with inclusion of 0.10.

**Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health (JHSPH) Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the FCT Health Research Ethics Committee prior to administration of the questionnaire. The Chief Medical Directors of the secondary health facilities visited were subsequently notified and the data collection tool pre-tested before data collection commenced. Information on the nature of the survey was given to respondents and signed written informed consent was obtained from every mother participating in the study before administration of the questionnaire. Privacy and confidentiality were also maintained throughout the process of data collection.

**Outcome variables**

Knowledge of malaria prevents measures was coded as a dichotomous variable: less than three preventive measures vs three or more preventive measures, to assess mothers’ knowledge on malaria prevention. Knowledge of the malaria vaccine was measured as a dichotomous variable: yes or no. Mothers’ attitude to malaria, its prevention and malaria vaccine was assessed using a malaria attitude score. The malaria attitude score was a sum of the Likert scale values of the six questions on attitude in section D of the questionnaire. The scoring on the Likert scale for three questions (avoid contact with someone who has malaria, not immunized with malaria vaccine and immunization with malaria vaccine) were reversed to tally positively with the other three questions. The minimum malaria attitude score a respondent could attain was six points and the maximum was 30 points. A cut-off of 24 points was selected as the threshold, which corresponds to a score of four on each of the six questions on attitude. A score of 24 points and above represented good attitude and a score below 24 points, poor attitude on malaria and malaria prevention. Mothers’ practices regarding malaria vaccination were measured by willingness to allow child to be immunized with malaria vaccine, bring child for vaccination, immunize child by injection and use of other preventative measures after vaccination. All four outcomes were dichotomized as yes, no responses.

**Results**

**Socio-demographic characteristics**

The average age of respondents was 30 years with a vast majority of mothers being married (96%), 58% of respondents had post-secondary education and a little over half of the respondents were self-employed (54%). Mothers were predominantly Christian (86%) and the three main ethnic groups were well represented although Igbos made up the majority of respondents (24%). Some of the other tribes captured include Idoma, Igala and Edo (Table 1).

**Knowledge of malaria, its prevention and RTS,S vaccine**

All (180/180) respondents had heard of malaria, 81% (145/180) of respondents knew mosquito bite causes malaria, 59% (124/180) knew one or two malaria preventive measures and 94% (170/180) knew everyone is at risk of infection. Twenty-eight (28) of 180 (16%) respondents had not seen any message nor received any training on malaria, 46% (82/180) saw messages or received training on malaria from one or two sources, 31% (56/180), three to five sources and seven percent (12/180), more than five sources. Majority of respondents (92%; 166/180) knew malaria could be fatal if untreated, however, only 30% (36/180) of respondents were aware of malaria vaccines. Five percent (8/180) knew what a malaria vaccine was used for and only four percent (7/180) had heard of RTS,S. Multivariable logistic regression analyses indicated ethnicity, education and number of messages or training on malaria were associated with mother’s knowledge of three or more preventive measures against malaria. Igbos and other ethnic groups were 70% less likely (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.08-0.87; P=0.03; and OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.10-0.77; P=0.01 respectively) to know three or more preventive measures against malaria compared with Hausas and Yorubas. Post-secondary respondents compared with those having post-secondary education had 57% less odds (OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.25-0.75; P=0.004) to know three or more preventive measures against malaria compared with post-secondary respondents.

**Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.**

| Characteristics     | Respondents (N=180) |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Age (years)**     | n = 179             |
| Min-Max             | 16-49               |
| Mean (SD)           | 30 (5.6)            |
| **Marital Status n (%)** |               |
| Single              | 7 (4)               |
| Married             | 173 (96)            |
| **Religion n (%)**  |                     |
| Christianity        | 154 (86)            |
| Islam               | 26 (14)             |
| Traditional         | 0 (0)               |
| **Ethnicity n (%)** |                     |
| Hausa               | 30 (17)             |
| Igbo                | 44 (24)             |
| Yoruba              | 22 (12)             |
| Other               | 84 (47)             |
| **Education* n (%)**|                     |
| Pre-secondary       | 6 (3)               |
| Secondary           | 67 (37)             |
| Post-secondary      | 103 (58)            |
| Other               | 3 (2)               |
| **Employment status**|                   |
| Not employed        | 37 (21)             |
| Self-employed       | 96 (54)             |
| Formally employed   | 42 (23)             |
| Other               | 4 (2)               |

*Education: pre-secondary includes primary and no formal education, post-secondary includes professional degrees, post graduate diploma, bachelors, or higher education.

---

Footnote: Additional notes or references related to the content are not included in the text for brevity. Please refer to the original source for complete information.
pre-secondary respondents were 87% less likely (OR, 0.13; 95% CI, 0.02-0.90; P=0.04) to know at least three malaria preventive measures. There was marginal significance in the knowledge of at least three malaria preventive measures between respondents who have attained secondary (OR, 0.16; 95% CI, 0.02-1.11, P=0.07) or other educational status (OR, 0.04; 95% CI, 0.001-1.13; P=0.06) compared with those with pre-secondary education. Respondents who had seen or received at least three messages and training on malaria were 8.2 times more likely to be aware of at least three malaria preventive measures (95% CI, 3.77-18.0, P < 0.001; Table 3). Respondents 30 years and younger were more than two times more likely to be unaware of the existence of a malaria vaccine compared with older respondents (OR, 2.40; 95% CI, 1.09-5.28; P=0.03). Compared with unemployed respondents, self-employed (OR, 2.55; 95% CI 1.04-6.28; P=0.04) and formally employed respondents (OR, 3.74; 95% CI 1.17-11.99, P=0.03) were more than two times more likely to have no prior knowledge of malaria vaccine (Table 3).

Table 3. Factors associated with mother's knowledge of three or more malaria preventive measures.

|                | Univariate models OR (95% CI) | p     | Multivariable model OR (95% CI) | p     |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)    |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| ≤ 30           | 1.30 (0.69-2.47)                 | 0.42  |                                 |       |
| > 30           | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Ethnicity      |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Hausa          | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Igbo           | 0.38 (0.14-1.03)                 | 0.06  | 0.27 (0.08-0.87)                 | 0.03  |
| Yoruba         | 0.65 (0.21-2.01)                 | 0.46  | 0.47 (0.12-1.76)                 | 0.26  |
| Other          | 0.43 (0.18-1.02)                 | 0.06  | 0.27 (0.10-0.77)                 | 0.01  |
| Education      |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Pre-secondary  | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Secondary      | 0.23 (0.04-1.35)                 | 0.10  | 0.16 (0.02-1.11)                 | 0.07  |
| Post-secondary | 0.21 (0.04-1.18)                 | 0.08  | 0.13 (0.02-0.90)                 | 0.04  |
| Other          | 0.25 (0.01-4.73)                 | 0.36  | 0.04 (0.001-1.13)                | 0.06  |
| Employment status |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Not employed   | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Self-employed  | 0.75 (0.34-1.65)                 | 0.47  |                                 |       |
| Formally employed | 0.66 (0.26-1.69)               | 0.38  |                                 |       |
| Other          |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Message or training on malaria |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| <3 sources     | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| ≥3 sources     | 5.75 (2.87-11.51)                |       | 8.24 (3.77-18.0)                 |       |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval

Table 2. Factors associated with mother's knowledge of three or more malaria preventive measures.

|                | Univariate models OR (95% CI) | p     | Multivariable model OR (95% CI) | p     |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)    |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| ≤ 30           |                                 | 0.42  |                                 |       |
| > 30           | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Ethnicity      |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Hausa          | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Igbo           | 0.65 (0.21-2.01)                 | 0.46  | 0.47 (0.12-1.76)                 | 0.26  |
| Yoruba         | 0.38 (0.14-1.03)                 | 0.06  | 0.27 (0.08-0.87)                 | 0.03  |
| Other          | 0.43 (0.18-1.02)                 | 0.06  | 0.27 (0.10-0.77)                 | 0.01  |
| Education      |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Pre-secondary  | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Secondary      | 0.23 (0.04-1.35)                 | 0.10  | 0.16 (0.02-1.11)                 | 0.07  |
| Post-secondary | 0.21 (0.04-1.18)                 | 0.08  | 0.13 (0.02-0.90)                 | 0.04  |
| Other          | 0.25 (0.01-4.73)                 | 0.36  | 0.04 (0.001-1.13)                | 0.06  |
| Employment status |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Not employed   | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| Self-employed  | 0.75 (0.34-1.65)                 | 0.47  |                                 |       |
| Formally employed | 0.66 (0.26-1.69)               | 0.38  |                                 |       |
| Other          |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| Message or training on malaria |                                 |       |                                 |       |
| <3 sources     | Reference (1.00)                 |       |                                 |       |
| ≥3 sources     | 5.75 (2.87-11.51)                |       | 8.24 (3.77-18.0)                 |       |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval
Attitude to malaria, its prevention and RTS,S vaccine

Majority of mothers (89%; 160 of 180) agreed or strongly agreed that malaria was a serious and life-threatening disease. 91% (163 of 180) of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that sleeping inside a mosquito net was one way to prevent malaria. 91% (163 of 180) also agreed or strongly agreed that vaccines are important in managing malaria and 21% (38 of 180) disagreed or strongly disagreed that immunization with malaria vaccine provide lifelong protection from malaria. 159 respondents (88%) disagreed or strongly disagreed that close contact with someone who had malaria should be avoided and 81% (146 of 180) also disagreed or strongly disagreed that anyone not immunized with malaria vaccine should be avoided.

There was zero percent floor and ceiling effects on the malaria attitude scale. Multivariable logistic regression analyses revealed Hausas were more than three times more likely to have a low malaria attitude score compared with Igbos (OR, 3.14; 95% CI, 1.06-9.33; P = 0.04). There were no statistically significant differences in malaria attitude score among Yorubas (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 0.62-5.56; P = 0.27) and other ethnic groups (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.56-2.54; P = 0.65) compared with Igbos. Respondents with less than three sources of messages or training on malaria were almost two times more likely (OR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.04-3.73; P = 0.04) to have a low malaria attitude score compared with those having three or more sources (Table 4).

Practices regarding malaria prevention and vaccination

Most of the respondents (66%, 119 of 180) sometimes or always slept in insecticide treated nets and 80% (144 of 180) sometimes or always used anti-mosquito spray. 62% (112 of 180) of respondents sometimes or always cut bushes and grasses around the house and 58% (105 of 180) sometimes or always drained stagnant water near the house (Figure 2). Almost all respondents (98%; 177 of 180) would allow their child to be immunized with malaria vaccine; 99% (179 of 180) of respondents are willing to bring their child four times to receive the vaccine and 98% (176 of 180) would accept malaria vaccination for their child even if given by injection. Most respondents (84%, 151 of 180) would use other preventive measures in addition to malaria vaccination.

Discussion

Current advances on malaria vaccines in the fight against malaria, and the pilot implementation of RTS,S being conducted in three sub-Saharan African countries preludes a new phase in the prevention and control of malaria. To ensure the success of this novel intervention, mothers’ willingness to allow their child(ren) to accept the RTS,S malaria vaccine, mothers’ knowledge, attitude, and acceptance of RTS,S are vital in determining steps that need to be taken to ensure optimal uptake and the success of this intervention. Our study showed general knowledge of malaria and its prevention was high. However, knowledge of malaria vaccine and awareness of RTS,S were very low. Factors, identified from this study, influencing knowledge of preventive measures including vaccines are ethnicity, education, age, employment status and number of malaria messages respondents were exposed to. A lot of effort and funds have been invested into Behavioral Change Communication (BCC) materials by various government and non-

Table 4. Factors associated with Low Malaria Attitude Score (< 24 points).

|                | Univariate models | p    | Multivariable model | p    |
|----------------|------------------|------|---------------------|------|
| **Age (years)** |                  |      |                     |      |
| ≤30            | Reference (1.00) | 0.28 |                     |      |
| >30            | 0.72 (0.39-1.32) |      |                     |      |
| **Ethnicity**  |                  |      |                     |      |
| Hausa          | 3.04 (1.04-8.91) | 0.04 | 3.14 (1.06-9.33)    | 0.04 |
| Igbo           | Reference (1.00) |      | Reference (1.00)    |      |
| Yoruba         | 1.63 (0.55-4.78) | 0.38 | 1.85 (0.62-5.56)    | 0.27 |
| Other          | 1.06 (0.51-2.22) | 0.87 | 1.19 (0.56-2.54)    | 0.65 |
| **Education**  |                  |      |                     |      |
| Pre-secondary  | -                |      | -                   |      |
| Secondary      | 0.66 (0.34-1.25) | 0.20 |                     |      |
| Other          | 0.98 (0.08-11.38)| 0.99 |                     |      |
| **Employment status** |              |      |                     |      |
| Not employed   | Reference (1.00) |      |                     |      |
| Self-employed  | 1.14 (0.51-2.52) | 0.76 |                     |      |
| Formally employed | 0.54 (0.22-1.34) | 0.19 |                     |      |
| Other          | 0.54 (0.07-4.31) | 0.56 |                     |      |
| **Message or training on malaria** |          |      |                     |      |
| <3 sources     | 1.99 (1.06-3.71) | 0.03 | 1.97 (1.04-3.73)    | 0.04 |
| ≥3 sources     | Reference (1.00) |      | Reference (1.00)    |      |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval
governmental agencies to increase public awareness of malaria at every level. This could be the reason for the high level of malaria prevention knowledge. This would have to be replicated prior to implementation to attain similar results with RTS,S.

This study found that Hausa and Yoruba mothers were more likely to know three or more preventive measures than Igbo and other tribes. Also, pre-secondary respondents were more likely to know at least three preventive measures than post-secondary respondents which means less educated mothers had a better knowledge of malaria prevention. This is not in keeping with previous studies done that suggest that higher education attainment positively influences malaria knowledge. The extensive malaria education/training being done at the community level and in health facilities might be a reason for this finding but conducting this research in the other area councils might give a clearer picture.

The study also revealed that receiving three or more malaria messages was found to increase the odds of knowing three or more preventive measures. This indicates consistent, repetitive communication of key malaria messages is effective in improving awareness and would be required to increase knowledge of RTS,S when available for routine immunization use. Studies have shown that regular, consistent exposure to BCC messages improve caregivers’ use of ITNs (a major malaria preventive measure) and is essential for the acceptance of malaria vaccine when available.

Furthermore, the study showed that mothers above 30 years were more likely to be aware of malaria vaccines. This could mean that they have had access to more malaria messages, either in the community or health facilities, than the younger respondents. This finding would benefit from further research as a study done in Gwagwalada, showed older age (above 47 years) was associated with poorer knowledge of malaria prevention. Unemployed respondents were also more likely to know about malaria vaccines than self-employed and formally employed respondents from the results of this study. Although higher wealth index is a factor that determines knowledge of malaria preventive measures, in this study, being employed did not translate to awareness of the malaria vaccine. This finding could suggest that self-employed and formally employed respondents are not exposed to information on public health advances or malaria messages do not include information on malaria vaccine.

Those who had received less than two malaria messages were more likely to have low attitude scores from this study. This shows that exposure to more malaria messages, irrespective of the source, is necessary to have a positive attitude to malaria prevention. This finding still speaks to the need for constant repetition of malaria messages and novel public health interventions.

Although the Hausas had better knowledge of malaria preventive measures, the knowledge did not translate to a positive attitude towards malaria prevention. This is similar to findings in a study carried out by Singh et al. in Aliero, northern Nigeria that showed knowledge of environmental preventive measures and ownership of ITNs did not necessarily translate to practice of the preventive measures or use of the ITNs. This was attributed to poor socioeconomic status and low level of formal education within the rural communities. Igbo with less knowledge of malaria prevention were more likely to have a positive malaria attitude score from this study. With the history of mistrust for western or orthodox medicine among Hausas in Northern Nigeria and the polio vaccination boycott as a precedent, aggressive advocacy and BCC campaigns will be required to achieve widespread acceptance of RTS,S. This will involve identifying and highlighting positive behaviors to build on, using household, community and political leaders, health facilities and culturally acceptable messages to cultivate the desired attitude and behavior in northern Nigeria.

In addition, the study found that almost all respondents were willing to accept the RTS,S malaria vaccine irrespective of route of administration, efficacy of the vaccine (since they were informed of the efficacy before responding to the practice section) and number of doses their child would have to receive. This is a welcome development showing that the immunization program has done a good job of positively influencing the practices of mothers regarding routine immunization and mothers also understand the severity of the disease. A study by Mbengue et al. showed that women who attended antenatal care were more likely to optimally use malaria preventive measures. Since the women sampled have access to health care facilities and allow their children to be immunized with other routine vaccines, this could account for their willingness to accept the RTS,S malaria vaccine. This might not be the case for mothers in communities who do not have easy access to health care facilities, but this would have to be studied in the future. Also, with the decline in immunizations because of the COVID-19 pandemic, countries and health communities must identify feasible methods to safely administer vaccines to ensure maximum protection of populations globally.

Conclusions

A lot has been done regarding educating the public on malaria prevention and the benefits of routine immunization. The findings in this study will prove useful to guide policy makers in decision making when RTS,S is approved for routine use. To achieve adequate knowledge, a good attitude and maximum acceptance of RTS,S, messages on the vaccine and its benefits will have to be consistently communicated to the public through various means prior to implementation. Tailoring messages to different audiences based on age, ethnicity, and education will be required. This will ensure optimal uptake of RTS,S and in conjunction with other preventive interventions, bring us one step closer to eliminating malaria.
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