Integrative skincare trial of intense pulsed light followed by the phyto-corrective mask, phyto-corrective gel, and resveratrol BE for decreasing post-procedure downtime and improving procedure outcomes in patients with rosacea
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Abstract

Background: Rosacea is a chronic inflammatory skin condition of varying severity that can significantly impact patient quality of life. Intense pulsed light (IPL) is an established treatment for rosacea-associated telangiectasia, inflammation, and erythema. This study assessed whether application of a phyto-corrective mask, gel, and resveratrol antioxidant treatment after IPL treatment can improve outcomes and reduce procedure-related adverse effects.

Methods: In a prospective, open-label, split-face, 3-month study, 10 subjects with moderate-to-severe facial rosacea underwent IPL treatment on both sides of the face. The following were applied to the right side of the face only: phyto-corrective mask once weekly starting immediately after IPL; phyto-corrective gel twice daily; and resveratrol antioxidant treatment at night. Both sides of the face were treated with sunscreen. Subjects were assessed on Day 1, 1 and 3 months after IPL by three, independent evaluators using the 5-point Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS). All subjects rated skin redness, hydration, and overall improvement on Day 1 and completed a patient satisfaction questionnaire at the 1- and 3-month visits.

Results: Ten women were enrolled, aged 44–72 years old, with moderate (n = 6) to severe (n = 4) rosacea. IPL was effective at reducing symptoms with rosacea classified as absent in five women and mild in five at the final 3-month visit. GAIS scores also revealed improvements on both sides of the face, but the skincare treated side showed continuous improvement over 3 months with all patients remaining at least “Improved”, whereas there appeared to be a waning effect after 1 month with IPL alone. On Day 1 after IPL, all women reported less redness, improved hydration, and improved skin appearance on the right side of the face. Patient satisfaction was consistently rated higher on the right side of the face.

Conclusion: Application of a phyto-corrective mask, gel, and resveratrol antioxidant serum may complement IPL treatment for rosacea by enhancing treatment outcomes and reducing procedure-related symptoms.


1 | INTRODUCTION

Rosacea is a chronic inflammatory condition of the facial skin that primarily affects the forehead, nose, chin, and cheeks. It is characterized by flare-ups and remissions and usually begins after the age of 30, with most cases occurring in those aged 45–60 years. It can affect all populations, but is more commonly diagnosed in those with Fitzpatrick skin types I–II. A systematic review of population-based and dermatological outpatient studies has estimated a global prevalence of 5.5% (approximately 415 million individuals). In the US, the National Rosacea Society (NRS) estimates that around 16 million people are affected. However, despite being a relatively common skin condition, only around 18% of Americans with rosacea are estimated to be receiving medical treatment.

Phenotypes of rosacea range in severity and can be associated with significant facial symptoms and substantial psychological burden for the patient. Papules and pustules are regarded as the most severe phenotype, but the prevalence of facial erythema is very high across all patients with rosacea. Patients describe persistent facial redness as one of the most disruptive rosacea manifestations. An NRS survey of over 700 rosacea patients, reported the condition has wide-ranging negative effects on health-related quality of life including lowered self-confidence and self-esteem, causing many to avoid public contact or cancel social engagements.

Achieving optimal patient outcomes requires treatments to address facial erythema in addition to the papules and pustules. Many of those with milder rosacea may not even realize they have a condition that can be treated, and instead use over-the-counter skin care products or cover their redness with makeup. In addition to identification and avoidance of patient-specific triggers, self-care advice, and general skin care measures, there are active treatment options available for addressing rosacea features. These include topical, oral, and physical modalities such as lasers and intense pulsed light (IPL). Expert consensus indicates that multiple rosacea symptoms are best managed using a combination of treatment modalities.

Light devices such as IPL are well-established ways to effectively destroy telangiectasia, decrease inflammation, and to reduce erythema. IPL is light filtered to specific wavelengths, selected for their absorption by specific target tissues. To treat rosacea, dermatologists target oxyhemoglobin, a component in the walls of telangiectatic vessels. The telangiectasia selectively absorb the light, and the heat causes thrombosis, which closes the vessels. Treatment with IPL is also associated with other beneficial effects. It may boost the upregulation of anti-inflammatory cytokines and downregulation of proinflammatory cytokines. IPL may also improve collagen synthesis and increase cellular metabolism by affecting the electron transport chain of mitochondria.

However, while IPL is beneficial in breaking the cycle of inflammation involved in the symptoms of rosacea, it can be associated with significant downtime. The aim of this exploratory study was to determine whether the application of a phyto-corrective mask, gel, and a resveratrol antioxidant serum after IPL treatment for rosacea can improve outcomes and reduce procedure-related adverse effects.

2 | METHODS

This prospective, open-label, split-face, 3-month study enrolled 10 subjects presenting to a private aesthetic surgery practice with moderate-to-severe facial rosacea including persistent erythema and telangiectasia. Eligible subjects were men or women aged 18–80 years. Exclusion criteria for the study included: uncontrolled systemic disease; known allergy or sensitivity to study treatments or their components; active inflammatory or infectious process in the area to be treated (e.g., cysts, rashes, herpes simplex, herpes zoster, or precancerous lesions), or any other active or serious skin disease of the face; history of trauma or scarring associated with the area of the face being treated; facial hair that would interfere with treatment and evaluation; and a history of keloid scarring or a tendency to develop hypertrophic scarring. Female patients of child-bearing age were required to use a reliable method of contraception for the duration of the study. All patients in the study stopped using all other skincare products during the study. If a patient was receiving any oral or topical agents for rosacea, these were discontinued on entering a 2-week washout period and for the duration of this study.

All participants were required to show willingness and ability to comply with protocol requirements including ability to follow study instructions, return for follow-up visits, and abstain from any other procedures in the treated area throughout the study. All subjects provided signed informed consent to the procedure and to the subsequent use and release of health and research study information (including photographs) for scientific purposes. This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki as amended in 2008 and was compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), which ensures protection of individually identifiable health information, unless consented by the patient (Institutional Review Board No. Pro 00049130).

On Day 1, all subjects underwent IPL treatment of their rosacea on both sides of the face using a Spectrum laser/IPL system (Rohrer Aesthetics, LLC). One treatment was performed, 540nm, pulse duration 15ms, pulse train 10, 30J/cm². No numbing medication was used to pre-treat the skin. Immediately following the IPL, subjects had a phyto-corrective mask applied on the right side of the face only for 15 minutes (Phyto Corrective Masque, SkinCeuticals). In addition,
all subjects also applied the following treatment to the right side of the face only: phyto-corrective gel twice daily (Phyto Corrective gel, SkinCeuticals); resveratrol antioxidant treatment (Resveratrol B E, SkinCeuticals) at nighttime; and the phyto-corrective mask once per week. Subjects treated both sides of the face with sunscreen (Sheer Physical UV Defense SPF 50, SkinCeuticals).

All individuals attended three follow-up visits; the first was 1 day after IPL treatment, the second was 1 month after treatment, and the third visit was 3 months after IPL treatment. At each visit, high-resolution photographs were taken. Subjects were also assessed live by the treating physician at each visit.

At each visit, the treating physician assessed the patient and completed a Rosacea Clinical Score Card, to provide information on signs and symptoms and the severity of the condition on the two sides of the face (Table 1). Subjective assessment of patients was performed by three independent evaluators using the 5-point Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS). This was achieved by comparing photographs of the left and right side of the patient's face before treatment and at each post-treatment visit and asking the question: “How would you describe the results?” The available choices were as follows: (−1) worse (the appearance is worse than at baseline), (0) no change (the appearance is basically the same as at baseline), (1) improved (the appearance is better than at baseline), (2) much improved (the appearance is markedly better than at baseline), and (3) very much improved (excellent cosmetic result). All subjects rated skin redness, hydration, and overall improvement on Day 1 after treatment. In addition, a patient satisfaction questionnaire was

| Primary features                        | Absent | Mild  | Moderate | Severe |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Flushing (transient erythema)           |        |       |          |        |
| Nontransient erythema                   |        |       |          |        |
| Papules and pustules                    |        |       |          |        |
| Telangiectasia                          |        |       |          |        |

| Secondary features                      | Absent | Mild  | Moderate | Severe |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Burning or stinging                     |        |       |          |        |
| Plaques                                 |        |       |          |        |
| Dry appearance                          |        |       |          |        |
| Edema                                   |        |       |          |        |
| If present:                             |        |       |          |        |
| If chronic:                             |        |       |          |        |
| Ocular manifestations                   |        |       |          |        |
| Peripheral location                     |        |       |          |        |
| If present:                             |        |       |          |        |
| Phymatous changes                       |        |       |          |        |

| Global assessment                       | Absent | Mild  | Moderate | Severe |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--------|
| Physician ratings by subtype            |        |       |          |        |
| Subtype 1: Erythematotelangiectatic     |        |       |          |        |
| Subtype 2: Papulopustular               |        |       |          |        |
| Subtype 3: Phymatous                    |        |       |          |        |
| Subtype 4: Ocular                       |        |       |          |        |
| Patient's global assessment             |        |       |          |        |

**Figure 1** Rosacea symptom severity score based on primary physician assessment immediately after treatment and at 1 and 3 months

**Figure 2** Total physician GAIS scores over time
completed at the 1- and 3-month visits with responses ranging from (1) Strongly disagree to (4) Strongly agree.

3 | RESULTS

The study enrolled 10 women, aged 44–72 years old, all of whom attended all study visits. At the initial visit, the rosacea was classed as severe in four women and moderate in six women (mean Rosacea symptom severity score 2.7) (Figure 1). At the final 3-month visit, rosacea symptoms were classified as absent in five women and mild in five (mean score 0.6).

Adding the mean physician GAIS scores for all 10 patients and plotting over time revealed improvements on both sides of the face following IPL. Outcomes on the right side, which was treated with a combination of IPL and skincare treatments, showed continuous improvement over the 3 months of the study, and were rated higher by physicians at each visit compared with the side treated with IPL alone (Figure 2).

Analysis of the frequency of GAIS scores revealed that 40% of patients experienced immediate improvement on the right-side of

### TABLE 2 Physician GAIS score for the right and left sides of the face at each visit

|                    | Right side of face (IPL + skincare treatment) | Left side of face (IPL alone) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Day 1**          | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency |
| Very much improved | 1 | 10% | Very much improved | 0 | 0% |
| Much improved      | 0 | 0% | Much improved | 3 | 30% |
| Improved           | 3 | 30% | Improved | 0 | 0% |
| No change          | 6 | 60% | No change | 5 | 50% |
| Worse              | 0 | 0% | Worse | 2 | 20% |
| **Month 1**        | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency |
| Very much improved | 1 | 10% | Very much improved | 2 | 20% |
| Much improved      | 1 | 10% | Much improved | 4 | 40% |
| Improved           | 4 | 40% | Improved | 0 | 0% |
| No change          | 4 | 40% | No change | 4 | 40% |
| Worse              | 0 | 0% | Worse | 0 | 20% |
| **Month 3**        | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency | Absolute frequency | Relative frequency |
| Very much improved | 3 | 30% | Very much improved | 2 | 20% |
| Much improved      | 2 | 20% | Much improved | 6 | 60% |
| Improved           | 5 | 50% | Improved | 1 | 10% |
| No change          | 0 | 0% | No change | 1 | 10% |
| Worse              | 0 | 0% | Worse | 0 | 0% |

**Figure 3** Evaluating physicians’ GAIS scores at Day 1, 1- and 3-months follow-up showing percentage of subjects at each score.
**FIGURE 4** Patient before and after treatment with IPL plus skincare regimen (right side) versus IPL alone (left side)

| Before | 1 day post-treatment |
|--------|----------------------|
| ![Before image](image1) | ![1 day post-treatment image](image2) |

| Before | 3 months post-treatment |
|--------|-------------------------|
| ![Before image](image3) | ![3 months post-treatment image](image4) |

| Before | 3 months post-treatment |
|--------|-------------------------|
| ![Before image](image5) | ![3 months post-treatment image](image6) |

| Before | 3 months post-treatment |
|--------|-------------------------|
| ![Before image](image7) | ![3 months post-treatment image](image8) |
the face compared with 30% on the left (Table 2, Figure 3). On the right-side of the face, all patients remained at least "Improved" at the 3-month visit. In contrast, GAIS scores suggested that improvements had a shorter duration on the left side (Table 2, Figure 3). Before and after images of the right and left sides of the face immediately after treatment and at 3 months are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

All patients rated skin quality in terms of redness and hydration on Day 1 after treatment and unanimously reported less redness, improved hydration as well as an overall improvement in skin appearance on the skincare-treated side of the face (Figure 6).

For all six questions of the patient satisfaction questionnaire, patients rated the right (skincare-treated) side of the face much higher than the left (Table 3). Ratings for the right side of the face ranged from 3.5 to 4.0 (Somewhat agree to strongly agree) and for the left side of the face from 2.3 to 3.1 (Somewhat disagree to somewhat agree).

4 | DISCUSSION

This proof-of-concept study has shown that when IPL treatment of rosacea is combined with effective skincare treatments, improved, and potentially longer-lasting outcomes are observed. Physician GAIS scores indicated that on the right (skincare-treated) side of the face, patients experienced continuous improvements over the 3 months with positive results improving or compounding over time. In contrast, while improvements were also observed on the left (IPL alone) side of the face, they were not as pronounced.

Appropriate skincare treatments, particularly those with intrinsic anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, are recognized as an important component of a rosacea treatment plan. These include cosmeceutical options with natural ingredients capable of calming the inflammatory manifestations of rosacea and hydrating the skin. In topical formulations, these treatments have demonstrated significant improvements in redness, flushing, skin tone, and overall rosacea severity when added to existing treatments.

Rosacea is a chronic cutaneous inflammatory condition that consists of flare-ups and remissions. The avoidance of triggers, particularly ultraviolet light exposure, certain foods and beverages, is critical for long-term improvement and disease control. Spicy foods, hot beverages, and alcohol are frequently reported to worsen rosacea symptoms and dietary modification to avoid these items is associated with a reduction in flare-ups. Sun protection and awareness of dietary triggers should therefore be an essential component of patient education when prescribing at-home skin care and lifestyle adjustments. An SPF 50 UV defense formed part of the treatment regimen for both sides of the face in the current study. The goals of rosacea treatment are to improve patient quality of life.
by managing acute flare-ups with rapid-acting treatments and maintaining the results with lifestyle modification and pharmacological and/or cosmeceutical products. Light-based therapies such as IPL are particularly beneficial for treating the vascular manifestations of rosacea such as erythema and telangiectasia, which may not improve with other therapies. However, while these treatments can help break the cycle of inflammation for many rosacea patients, they can themselves be associated with significant and meaningful downtime. Patients often experience excessive swelling for at least a week causing them to take time off work and avoid social interactions. Many patients cannot tolerate the downtime following an IPL procedure.

In the current study, improvements on the right side of the face were noted from Day 1 of treatment in 40% of patients, with the remaining 60% having no change in their appearance. In contrast, the side of the face treated with IPL alone was rated as worse on Day 1 in 20% of patients with no change in 50% of patients (Figure 2). This suggests that aside from improving the epidermal barrier, the inclusion of the phyto-corrective skincare regimen was able to immediately reduce some of the unwanted IPL side-effects such as redness and swelling and potentially reduce post-procedure downtime.

Previous studies in patients with rosacea and those with facial photodamage have demonstrated that a topical skin care regimen in combination with IPL results in a greater improvement in overall facial redness than IPL alone. When the epidermal barrier is disrupted as in rosacea, there is an increase in trans-epidermal water loss with a subsequent reduction in epidermal hydration levels, triggering inflammation. Appropriate skin care formulations that increase hydration levels may reduce the damage to the stratum corneum, thereby maintaining the epidermal barrier function and reducing skin irritation.

The skincare products used in this study contained a variety of ingredients including hyaluronic acid, a biologic humectant, which hydrates the skin, and is useful as a topical moisturizing ingredient; cucumber extracts which are anti-inflammatory and soothe irritation as well as reduce swelling; thyme extracts which are antibacterial; and the polyphenol, resveratrol, which promotes skin healing. It has been shown that topical phenolic antioxidant application can augment the effects of IPL to further reduce the incidence of erythema and procedure-associated adverse effects. Similar improvements have been observed with the use of a five-product system incorporating anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant ingredients.

On the treated side of the face, all patients reported improvements in skin redness, hydration, and overall appearance on Day 1 after treatment, with 70% reporting a significant improvement in overall appearance. These findings were also reflected in the patient satisfaction questionnaire results at both 1 and 3 months, with patients consistently giving higher ratings to the right side of the face.
Patient expectations for minimal to no downtime associated with cosmetic procedures, such as IPL, have become an increasingly important issue. The results of the current study suggest that the topical skin care regimen used was able to reduce procedure-related symptoms and possibly enhance therapeutic efficacy. The current study, while exploratory, is important as many patients are left with erythema despite current rosacea treatments and may be hesitant to undergo IPL because of the potential downtime involved. Any treatment that can improve the ability of patients to return to their daily lives and make them less self-conscious will improve patient quality of life and optimize outcomes. From the physician’s perspective, improved results will lead to higher patient satisfaction and increased patient retention. Further studies are warranted, to confirm the observed findings in a larger number of patients.

5 | CONCLUSION

The results of this study show that a skincare regimen comprising a phyto-corrective mask, gel, and resveratrol antioxidant serum may complement IPL-based treatments for rosacea, enhance treatment outcomes, and reduce post-procedure symptoms.
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