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Abstract: The goal of this study is to compare the properties of cold isostatically pressed (CIP) alumina (Al₂O₃) samples sintered by conventional (electrical) and non-conventional (hybrid microwave) techniques. X-ray diffraction was used to determine phase composition of Al₂O₃ samples (raw powder and granules). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) were used to investigate the thermal behaviour of the Al₂O₃ powder and granules during the thermal treatment. Compaction of spray dried Al₂O₃ granules into green compact bodies was performed by CIP, followed by sintering of green bodies at 1600 °C in an electrical and hybrid microwave kiln, respectively. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to analyse morphology of the Al₂O₃ granules and fracture surface of Al₂O₃ compacts derived by both sintering techniques. Higher linear shrinkage and densification were obtained for alumina samples sintered in electrical kiln (conventional method), while sintering by faster and more energy efficient hybrid microwave kiln (non-conventional sintering method) yielded alumina samples with finer grain size. Alumina samples sintered by electrical kiln displayed higher relative densities and lower porosities.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Aluminium oxide or alumina (Al₂O₃) is an oxide technical ceramic material with α-Al₂O₃, the most stable form thermodynamically. Also, alumina exists in several metastable polymorphs such as γ, δ, η, θ, κ, χ [1, 2]. Alumina shows properties such as high elastic modulus, high wear resistance, high chemical corrosion resistance, high temperature stability, retention of strength at high temperatures but also brittleness and low fracture toughness [3, 4].

Commonly, alumina is the first choice as refractory material because of the low cost, simple handling and production [5]. Biocompatibility makes alumina as high potential material in medicine application (dental, cardiologic, orthopaedic, bionic) [6, 7]. High purity alumina (> 99.5 wt. %) may be used as structural element and electric insulator in nuclear reactors, for corrosion and wear protection, in automobile and spacecraft industry, in environmental industries, also in water vapour processing, as well as in oil and gas energy production [7, 8].

Final properties and microstructure of the ceramic material depend on each step of the production process: powder preparing, shaping of the green bodies and sintering process [9, 10].

Compacted green body can be sintered at elevated temperatures by conventional or non-conventional sintering methods. As opposed to conventional sintering, where heat is transferred via conduction from the outside to the inside of the material (Fig. 1a), in the microwave heating process the heat is generated internally within the material and transmitted outwards (Fig. 1b). Microwave heating is a function of the material being processed. Microwave heating can utilise almost all electromagnetic energy into heat, largely within the sample itself, unlike with conventional heating where the conversion is lower due to significant thermal energy losses. Microwave heating has many advantages like time and energy saving, very high heating rates (> 400 °C/min), considerably reduced processing time and temperature, fine microstructures and with that improved mechanical properties [4, 11].

Microwave hybrid heating techniques were developed to improve heat transfer to the sintering samples. It combines direct microwave heating with e.g. infrared heat sources. The hybrid heating systems enable flattening of the temperature profile inside the ceramic body during sintering [4]. The materials with low microwave radiation absorption (high reflectance) should be heated using e.g. susceptor as material absorbing microwave radiation in order not to damage magnetrons during process [4]. For this purpose, SiC may be used [12]. Microwave technology is very attractive because of economic benefits such as energy conservation, reduced sintering time, reduced operating space. Furthermore, microwave sintering drastically suppresses grain growth during densification [13]. Therefore, in past two decades microwave sintering, an emerging technology has been successfully applied to the sintering of different materials such as: complex-shaped alumina with short processing time [14], fine-grained Al₂O₃/SiC composite ceramic tool materials [15], yttrium-stabilized zirconia polycrystalline (Y-TZP) ceramics [16], zirconia nanocomposite powders doped with cerium and toughened with alumina (10Ce-TZP/Al₂O₃) [17], porous silicon nitride materials with controllable dielectric constant and pore structure [18], Si₃N₄ ceramics with excellent mechanical properties and microstructure [19], the porous Ti-Cu alloys [20], PM stainless steel 316L [21], PM copper steel [22], aluminophosphate glass [23], metal doped borosilicate glass with controlled isolated porosity [24], metal-diamond composite material with excellent mechanical properties [25], the boronised Ti6Al4V/hydroxyapatite (HA) composites [26], titanium carbide in presence of different amount of Ni [27], etc.
In this study, conventional (electrical) and non-conventional (hybrid microwave) sintered alumina ceramics were prepared and obtained properties were analysed and compared.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

A commercial, high purity Al₂O₃ powder (Alteo, France) was used in this research as raw material. According to the manufacturer’s specification, the as-received powder has an average particle size of 0.40 μm and a surface area of 8.0 m²/g. The chemical composition of raw alumina powder, according to manufacturer’s declaration is shown in Tab. 1. According to the results of chemical composition analysis, raw Al₂O₃ powder has a high purity of 99.83 wt. %. The presence of MgO, as a sintering aid and the following impurities: CaO, Fe₂O₃, Na₂O and SiO₂ were also confirmed.

Table 1 Chemical composition of raw alumina (Al₂O₃) powder

| Sample             | wt. %      |
|--------------------|------------|
| Al₂O₃ powder       |            |
| CaO                | 0.0200     |
| Fe₂O₃              | 0.0180     |
| MgO                | 0.0450     |
| Na₂O               | 0.0500     |
| SiO₂               | 0.0325     |
| balance            |            |

The preparation of alumina granules by spray drying, compaction of green bodies by cold isostatic pressing, as well as green machining of green compact bodies, were performed in Applied Ceramics, Inc., Sisak, Croatia. Morphology of powder and granules were examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Tescan Vega TS5136LS, Czech Republic).

The alumina spray-dried granules were sieved into nine size fractions ranging: < 50 μm, from 50 to 100 μm, from 100 to 150 μm, from 150 to 200 μm, from 200 to 300 μm, from 300 to 315 μm, and from 315 to 355 μm, from 355 to 500 μm, > 500 μm, to determinate the granule size distribution (according to the ASTM E11-20) of the resulting spray-dried granules.

Apparent (bulk) density of granules was (ρ, g/cm³) estimated from their volumes (measured by dimensions) and masses (weighing). Apparent (bulk) density of granules was 123.2 g/100 mL. This volume includes pores within particles and voids between particles [28].

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis was used to determine phase compositions of the Al₂O₃ raw powder and granules. The device used was Shimadzu XRD6000 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) X-ray diffractometer with CuKα radiation. The fixed step scans were collected in the range 20°-60° 2θ with steps of 0.02° and counting time 0.6 s under accelerating voltage of 40 kV and current of 30 mA.

The simultaneous DTA/TGA device STA409 (Netzsch, Selb, Germany) was used for differential thermal analysis (DTA) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of raw Al₂O₃ powder and spray-dried (SD) alumina granules. A platinum crucible was filled with approximately 5 mg of material and heated up to 1100 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in the synthetic air flow of 30 cm³/min, with corundum powder used as a reference.

Cold isostatic pressing (CIP) was used for forming green compact bodies in cylindrical pellets with a diameter of 10 mm and 20 mm height and randomly divided into two main sintering groups, 27 samples each.

The first group of cylindrical pellets (conventionally sintered Al₂O₃ samples - group CS) was sintered using an electric kiln (Nabertherm P310, Germany) at 1600 °C for 6 h. The second group of cylindrical pellets (non-conventionally sintered Al₂O₃ samples - group NCS) was sintered using a hybrid microwave kiln (Over industrisjska elektronika d.o.o., Croatia) at 1600 °C for 1 h. The hybrid microwave-electric kiln was used at low-frequency 2.45 GHz and 1.5 kW microwave magnetron. A silicon carbide susceptor was used to aid the heating of the sample in the microwave cavity.

For all sintered samples, density and shrinkage (radial and axial) were determined. The green densities (ρ, g/cm³) were determined by measuring the mass of green bodies (m, g) and volume (V, cm³) of green bodies by using the following equation:

\[
\rho = \frac{m}{V}
\] (1)

Mass of the green bodies was measured by analytical balance Ohaus AP250D. Sintered density was determined by Archimedes’ method. Dimensions (diameter and height) of sintered cylindrical pellets were measured in order to calculate the radial and axial shrinkage of ceramics due to the sintering. The diameter (d, mm) and height (h, mm) was measured by a Vernier calliper (Digimatic, Mitutoyo). The radial shrinkage (RS) was calculated by the following equation:

\[
RS = \frac{d_s}{d_s - d_g} \times 100, \%
\] (2)

where \(d_s\) is the average diameter of the alumina green body, \(d_g\) is the average diameter of the sintered alumina sample.

The axial shrinkage (AS) was calculated as the reduction of the green body height by the following equation:

\[
AS = \frac{h_s}{h_s - h_g} \times 100, \%
\] (3)

where \(h_s\) is the average height of the green alumina body, \(h_g\) is the average height of the sintered alumina sample.

Sintered density was evaluated using the Archimedes method (Mettler Toledo GmbH, Switzerland, density kit MS-DNY-43) according to the ASTM C373-88.

Relative densities of sintered samples were calculated by the following equation:

\[
\rho_{relative} = \frac{\rho_{Archimedes}}{\rho_{theoretical}} \times 100, \%
\] (4)

Theoretical density of α-alumina is 3.987 g/cm³, [29]. Morphology of fracture surface of samples sintered by both techniques was analysed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Tescan Vega TS5136LS, Czech Republic).
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphology of the ready to press granules of different sizes can be seen in Fig. 2. Most of the particles are spherically shaped, while some particles with defects are also present.

![Figure 2 Morphology of Al₂O₃ granules at magnification of (a) 200×, (b) 400× and (c) 800×](image)

Particle size distribution, that follows a normal distribution (Fig. 3), shows that 96% of particles are in the range from 50 up to 300 µm. Particle’s shape and size distribution are important properties of the powder because of ensuring the proper filling the mould in the process of green body production [28].

![Figure 3 Particle size distribution of the spray-dried alumina granules](image)

XRD diffractograms (Fig. 4) of the Al₂O₃ raw powder and granules show the presence of characteristic peaks of only one phase that is α-Al₂O₃.

DTA and TGA thermograms of raw Al₂O₃ powder and granules are shown in Fig. 5.

![Figure 5 DTA and TGA thermogram of Al₂O₃ raw powder and granules](image)

For alumina powder TGA curve shows the mass loss in the range from room temperature up to 150 °C that presents evaporation of water absorbed in the raw powder. DTA equivalent at this temperature range shows endotherm peak. No further effects are visible for the raw powder up to 1100 °C and mass loss of about 0.2%.

Al₂O₃ granules have almost identical effect of water evaporation up to 150 °C. Multiple overlapped further effects are present in the range of 200-600 °C, as confirmed from significant mass loss is present in this temperature range. In the range 200-350 °C, exotherm peak centred at about 300 °C presents burning of organic additives (binders, plasticizers etc.).

Further, at the range of 350-600 °C partly overlapped endotherm peaks indicate graduate release and burning of the rest of the organic additives that is followed by gradual mass loss. Over 600 °C until the end of the measurement (1100 °C) no further thermal effects are present. Mass loss of the granules at the end of the measurement is about 2.5% in total.

The average and standard deviation of dimensions (diameter (d) and height (h)), the mass of the green bodies and compacts sintered by conventional (ES - electrical kiln) and non-conventional (MWS - hybrid microwave kiln) sintering method as well as density of green bodies and sintered compacts are shown in Tab. 2.

| Properties | Al₂O₃ green body | Sintered Al₂O₃ |
|------------|------------------|----------------|
| h / mm     | 19.89 ± 0.07     | 16.50 ± 0.06   | 16.72 ± 0.17 |
| d / mm     | 9.95 ± 0.05      | 8.25 ± 0.05    | 8.38 ± 0.09  |
| m / g      | 3.520 ± 0.012    | 3.489 ± 0.015  | 3.406 ± 0.017 |
| ρ / g/cm³  | 2.275 ± 0.028    | 3.864 ± 0.018  | 3.784 ± 0.028 |

During the sintering process, all green cylindrical pellets were shrunk, both radially and axially (Fig. 6).

Linear sintering shrinkage (radially and axially) due to the sintering of compacts was about 16-17% (Figs. 6a, 6b). It was found that slightly higher linear shrinkage (radial
and axial) was obtained for alumina samples sintered in an electric kiln (conventional method).

Green density, as well as sintered densities, are shown in Fig. 6c. It was found that slightly higher density was obtained for alumina samples sintered in an electric kiln (3.864 ± 0.018 g/cm³) than in hybrid microwave kiln (3.784 ± 0.028 g/cm³). However, higher dissipation of the results can be observed for dimensions and density values of the non-conventional sintered samples (Fig. 6).

Figure 6 Alumina samples sintered by conventional (ES - electrical kiln) and non-conventional (MWS - hybrid microwave kiln) techniques: (a) radial shrinkage; (b) axial shrinkage; (c) the green and sintered density (average value ± experimental standard deviation)

Morphology of fracture surface of Al₂O₃ ceramics sintered by conventional (electrical) and non-conventional (hybrid microwave) sintering is shown in Fig. 7.

It was found that finer grain size was obtained for alumina samples sintered by hybrid microwave kiln (non-conventional sintering method). Thereby the processing took less time and it was more energy efficient.

Figure 7 SEM images of the fracture surface of Al₂O₃ ceramics sintered by (a) conventional (electrical kiln) and (b) non-conventional (hybrid microwave kiln) sintering

Relative density and porosity of the green bodies, as well as samples sintered by conventional and non-conventional method, are presented in Tab. 3.

Samples sintered in electrical kiln obtained higher density and less porosity compared to the samples sintered in the hybrid-microwave kiln.

Further research should be conducted in the way of further development of hybrid microwave sintering method and reaching density of alumina samples as much as possible close to the theoretical density value.

Table 3 Relative density and porosity of conventionally (ES - electrical kiln) and non-conventionally (MWS - hybrid microwave kiln) sintered alumina samples (average value ± experimental standard deviation)

| Properties      | Sintered Al₂O₃ |                  |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Conventionally sintered (ES) | Non-conventionally sintered (MWS) |
| Relative density / % | 96.9 ± 0.5     | 94.9 ± 0.7      |
| Porosity / %     | 3.1 ± 0.5      | 5.1 ± 0.7       |

4 CONCLUSIONS

Comparison of the conventional (electrical kiln) and non-conventional sintering (hybrid microwave kiln) of high purity alumina ceramics was evaluated. Next conclusions can be pointed out:

- Cold isostatic pressing was used for compaction and shaping of high-purity alumina ceramics.
- Alumina samples sintered in electrical kiln have slightly higher linear shrinkage (radially and axially) and sintered density than alumina samples sintered in hybrid microwave kiln.
- Alumina samples sintered in electrical kiln have larger grain size, while alumina samples sintered in hybrid microwave kiln have finer grain size.
- Lower relative density and consequently higher presence of pores in the structure was obtained for alumina samples sintered in hybrid microwave kiln.

According to those observations, it can be concluded that alumina samples sintered by faster and more energy efficient hybrid microwave kiln have finer grain size than conventionally (electrical kiln) sintered alumina samples.
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