Simultaneous Kinetics of Selenite Oxidation and Sorption on δ-MnO₂ in Stirred-Flow Reactors
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Abstract: Selenium (Se) is an essential and crucial micronutrient for humans and animals, but excessive Se brings negativity and toxicity. The adsorption and oxidation of Se(IV) on Mn-oxide surfaces are important processes for understanding the geochemical fate of Se and developing engineered remediation strategies. In this study, the characterization of simultaneous adsorption, oxidation, and desorption of Se(IV) on δ-MnO₂ mineral was carried out using stirred-flow reactors. About 9.5% to 25.3% of Se(IV) was oxidized to Se(VI) in the stirred-flow system in a continuous and slow process, with the kinetic rate constant k of 0.032 h⁻¹, which was significantly higher than the apparent rate constant of 0.0014 h⁻¹ obtained by the quasi-level kinetic fit of the batch method. The oxidation reaction was driven by proton concentration, and its rate also depended on the Se(IV) influent concentration, flow rate, and δ-MnO₂ dosage. During the reaction of Se(IV) and δ-MnO₂, Mn(II) was produced and adsorbed strongly on Mn oxide surfaces, which was evidenced by the total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) results. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data indicated that the reaction of Se(VI) on δ-MnO₂ produced Mn(III) as the main product. These results contribute to a deeper understanding of the interface chemical process of Se(IV) with δ-MnO₂ in the environment.
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1. Introduction
Selenium (Se) is a redox-sensitive element that could be both deficient and excessive in the environment [1,2]. In a natural environment, Se is highly irregularly distributed, with Se content of 0.01–2 mg kg⁻¹ in most soils and over 1200 mg kg⁻¹ in Se-rich or Se-contaminated soils [3,4]. Geogenic and anthropogenic activities lead to vast Se pollutions in soil, consequently affecting its bioaccumulation in the food chain of the peripheral regions [5,6]. As Se cycling and transport in the near-surface soil zone leads to detailed interaction between Se in the environment and the health of living things, the study of the environmental behavior of Se in soil has received tremendous attention in recent decades. In the soil environment, the mobility of Se is strongly governed by its speciation [7]. The main forms of Se in the environment are selenite (Se(IV)) and selenate (Se(VI)), and...
these are more stable and more common relative to other inorganic reducing and organic states of Se [8,9]. Meanwhile, Se(IV) and Se(VI) mainly exist in the form of inorganic oxygenated anions, and are prone to binding with metal oxides in soil. Furthermore, Se(IV) is more toxic and pervasive compared to Se(VI) [10]. Therefore, the process of Se interaction with metal oxides in soils and sediments strongly affects the solubility, mobility, and bioavailability of Se [11].

The interaction of Se with a natural mineral adsorbent is crucial for the description and prediction of the immobilization processes of Se at solid/liquid interfaces [12]. Adsorbents employed in previous studies include manganese oxides, iron oxide, aluminum oxide, zero-valent iron, montmorillonite, and their composites [13–19]. Among these adsorbents, manganese (Mn) oxides are recognized as a potent oxidant with high reactivity and large specific surface area that would influence the migration and fate of Se greatly. To date, some attempts have been made to investigate the interaction between Se and Mn oxides [20–25]. However, these studies are based on a static batch treatment method, and the dynamic process has not been extensively understood.

The stirred flow method is a novel method for studying the chemical dynamics of soils. It provides a better simulation of the open flow state of the actual soils, greatly reducing the inverse reactions and side reactions caused by product accumulation, and therefore more closely resembles the chemical kinetic process [26]. The ion exchange, adsorption, and analytical kinetics were often simulated by stirred-flow methods in soil and environmental chemistry studies [26–28]. A stirred-flow method has also been reported in the study of As (III), Sb(III), and other redox systems with manganese minerals, with a great advantage of conducting adsorption, oxidation, and desorption in one system [29–31]. Hence, it is effective and imperative to undertake a quantitative description of Se(IV) and Mn oxide reactions by the stirred-flow method.

In this study, we investigated the dynamic process of Se(IV) oxidation and adsorption on manganese oxide via a stirred-flow method. We hypothesized that selenium simultaneous adsorption and oxidation on manganese oxide occurs with different speed limiting conditions. The objectives of the study were as follows: (1) to investigate the simultaneous kinetics process of adsorption and oxidation of Se(IV) on manganese oxide by the stirred-flow method; (2) to explore selenium adsorption and oxidation affected by flow rate, initial Se(IV) concentration, solution pH, and δ-MnO₂ dosage; and (3) to reveal the mechanisms of simultaneous Se oxidation and adsorption by manganese oxide through X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

δ-MnO₂, a widely used synthetic form of birnessite-like minerals that is both reactive and abundant in the environment [32], was prepared by dissolving 11.29 g of Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O in 100 mL of ultrapure water, followed by dropwise addition to a 100 mL solution containing 2.4 g of NaOH and 4.74 g of KMnO₄ and stirring overnight. The resulting slurry was centrifuged and washed five times in ultrapure water. The solids were then suspended in ultrapure water, stored at 4 °C, and used within two weeks. Gravimetric analysis was introduced to determine the slurry concentration.

The combined material characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker D8 Advance Germany, Mo Kα source; λ = 0.7093 Å) (Bruker Co., Karlsruhe, Germany) showed that δ-MnO₂ was the only crystalline phase produced (Figure S1). The XRD spectra of δ-MnO₂ revealed that the dominant peak was located at 37° and 66°, suggesting hexagonal symmetry and that δ-MnO₂ was the only product of the synthesis.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM VEGA 3 XMU, Tescan, Shanghai, China) images proved that pristine δ-MnO₂ particles were poorly crystalline (Figure S2). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data showed that the valence state of δ-MnO₂ was 3.30 valence units, with percentages of Mn(IV), Mn(III), and Mn(II) at 54%, 22%, and 24%, respectively.
The average valence state of Mn in the $\delta$-MnO$_2$ structure determined by oxalate titration was 3.43 $\pm$ 0.12 v.u, which was corroborated by XPS values.

Sodium selenite (ACS 100%), sodium selenate (ACS 100%), calcium chloride dihydrate (ACS, 100%), sodium acetate trihydrate (ACS, 100%), sodium nitrate (ACS, 100%), manganese(II) nitrate tetrahydrate (analytical grade), and potassium permanganate (ACS) were purchased from Fisher Chemical.

2.2. Kinetics Experiments

A batch treatment experiment and a stirred-flow experiment were used to characterize the kinetic process of Se(IV) oxidation and adsorption on $\delta$-MnO$_2$.

2.2.1. Batch Experiments

The batch experiment was conducted to investigate the effects of pH (4.0–7.0) and Se(IV) concentrations (50–200 $\mu$M) on the oxidation and adsorption reaction on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ (0.5 g L$^{-1}$), with 10 mM of NaNO$_3$ as the background electrolyte. The solution pH was adjusted using 0.1 M of HCl and 0.1 M of NaOH, and the suspensions were shaken at 25 °C. After 700 h, the supernatants were obtained by centrifugation and filtration through 0.22 $\mu$m filter membranes for the determination of Se(IV). Solids were recovered at the end of the reaction. The sample was washed three times in deionized water, dried at room temperature, and used for characterization by XRD (data not shown) and XPS.

2.2.2. Stirred-Flow Experiments

The stirred-flow kinetic reactor was assembled according to Balgooyen [28]. Briefly, 15 mL of 5–20 g L$^{-1}$ $\delta$-MnO$_2$ suspension was deposited in the 20 mL reaction chamber with a magnetic stirring bar prior to the experiment. The electrolyte solutions containing 10 mM of NaNO$_3$ and 10 mM of acetate at pH values of 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, and 7.0 were each introduced into the reactor by a peristaltic pump at a steady rate for 2 h at 25 °C. A 25 mm diameter filter membrane with a 0.45 $\mu$m pore size was used to retain the solid in the chamber. Subsequently, a solution containing 50–200 $\mu$M of Se(IV), 10 mM of NaNO$_3$, and 10 mM of acetate at pH 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, and 7.0 was pumped into the reaction chamber from a reservoir at flow rates of 0.5, 1, and 2 mL min$^{-1}$, which were employed to meet the mobility of the soil environment [33]. Control tests were conducted by introducing Se(IV) without $\delta$-MnO$_2$ in the chamber, and the results are shown in Figure S4. The desorption experiment was conducted afterward, in which the blank solution containing 10 mM of NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 10 mM of CaCl$_2$, or 10 mM of NaNO$_3$ and 10 mM of acetate at pH 4.0 alone was pumped into the reaction chamber. The effluent was collected during the entire adsorption/desorption process by a fraction collector to determine the effluent concentrations of Se(IV), Se(VI), and Mn(II).

2.3. Analysis Methods

2.3.1. Chemical Analysis

Se(IV) and Se(VI) were determined using hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (HG-AFS) (AFS-8220, Beijing Titan Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) [34]. The hydrides were prepared using a mixture of 2% KBH$_4$ (0.5% KOH preparation) and 5% HCl. The reducing agent used 5% ascorbic acid and 5% thiourea mixed with 2 M of HCl. The solution sample was measured in two parts: the selenium concentration was measured directly as the Se(VI) concentration and after acid digestion as the total Se concentration (Se$_{\text{tot}}$). The Mn content was determined by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (240FSAA, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Each test was performed in triplicate, and the average was taken as the result.

2.3.2. XPS Determination

XPS was performed using a Thermal Sciences K-Alpha XPS system (ESCALab-220i-XL, Waltham, MA, USA) with an Al Kα X-ray source. The test conditions were Al target,
X-ray analysis, and vacuum $2 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa. The full XPS spectrum of the sample and the narrow zone spectrum of each element were recorded, and the spectra were separated by multipeak Gaussian fit using Avantage software (Waltham, MA USA). C1s peaks were collected as an inner standard calibration peak at 284.7 eV. The energy spectra of Mn3p and Se3p orbital were determined and analyzed to quantify the oxidation state of Mn and Se.

### 2.3.3. ATR-FTIR Spectra Determination

The reaction of Se(IV) with $\delta$-MnO$_2$ was determined using a BrukerEquinox55 FTIR spectrophotometer (Bruker Co., Karlsruhe, Germany) with a diamond ATR crystal. A $\delta$-MnO$_2$ film was obtained by dropping 1 mL of 1 g L$^{-1}$ $\delta$-MnO$_2$ solution into a stainless steel reactor and drying under an N$_2$ atmosphere. The $\delta$-MnO$_2$ film was washed with background solution (10 mM of NaNO$_3$) at a flow rate of 1 mL L$^{-1}$ into the reaction chamber, and then the reaction was performed using 100 µM of Na$_2$SeO$_3$ solution (pH = 4) instead of background electrolyte for 6 h. The spectral data were collected, and the difference spectra were calculated and analyzed using OMNIC 8.0 software (Thermo Nicolet, Waltham, MA, USA).

### 2.4. Data Statistic Methods

#### 2.4.1. Model Fitting for Adsorption/Desorption Kinetics

In batch reactors, Se(IV) adsorption and oxidation were calculated by the pseudo first-order kinetic model:

$$Q_t = Q_e \left(1 - e^{-k_1 t}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $Q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) is the amount of Se(IV) adsorbed or oxidized at time $t$, $Q_e$ is the amount of Se(IV) adsorbed or oxidized at equilibrium, and $k_1$ (h$^{-1}$) is the rate constant of the pseudo first-order kinetic equation of the reaction.

The Langmuir model described in Equation (2) enables the determination of the maximum adsorption capacity of Se(IV) on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ [35]:

$$Q_t = C_k Q_m / (1 + C_k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $Q_m$ (µmol g$^{-1}$) is a constant related to the maximum amount of the adsorption, $C$ (µM) is the concentration of sorbate at equilibrium, and $k_2$ is the constant related to adsorption affinity.

In stirred-flow reactors, Se(IV) oxidation kinetics were calculated using Equation (3) described by [36]:

$$C_t = C_0 \left(\frac{F}{F + k_3 V} e^{-\left(\frac{F}{F + k_3 V}\right)t} + \frac{k_3 V}{F + k_3 V}\right) - C_0 e^{-\frac{k_3 V}{F}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $C_t$ (µmol g$^{-1}$) is the amount of Se(VI) in the effluent, $C_0$ is the Se(IV) introduction concentration, $F$ is the flow rate, $V$ is the reactor volume, and $k_3$ is the oxidation rate of Se(IV) on $\delta$-MnO$_2$.

#### 2.4.2. Data Statistics

All of the adsorption and oxidation experiments were performed in triplicate, and experimental blanks were run in parallel. Sorption and desorption isotherm data were obtained by Origin2018 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). X-PS data were analyzed by Avantage and Mn2p best fit using Mn(II), Mn(III), and Mn(IV) parameters derived from MnO, MnOOH, and MnO$_2$, respectively [37]. ATR-FTIR was analyzed using OMNIC 8.0 software to calculate the difference spectra.
3. Results

3.1. Kinetics of Sorption and Oxidation in the Batch Experiment

The batch experiment was conducted to investigate the effects of Se(IV) sorption and oxidation on δ-MnO₂ under different initial Se(IV) concentrations and solution pH conditions (Figure 1). The results showed that both adsorption and oxidation of Se(IV) on δ-MnO₂ did occur, and the adsorption of Se(IV) on δ-MnO₂ happened rapidly, increasing 19.6–56.2% within 15–30 min, while Se(VI) (aq) only appeared 5 h after Se(IV) was introduced, indicating a relatively slow oxidation process.

The kinetics data were generally well-fitted with the second-order kinetics (Table 1) for the Se(IV) adsorption process on δ-MnO₂ ($R^2 = 0.829–0.994$). The highest rate of Se(IV) adsorption could be 0.926 h⁻¹, with an equilibrium concentration of 43.1 μM when 100 μM of Se(IV) reacted with 10 g L⁻¹ δ-MnO₂ (pH = 4) (Figure 1A,C). Adsorption isothermal curves at different initial Se(IV) concentrations (10 μM–200 μM) were fitted using the Langmuir model with an $R^2$ of 0.996, yielding a capacity of 76.13 μmol g⁻¹ for Se(IV) reacted with δ-MnO₂ (Figure S3). Se(VI), the oxidation product, was produced continuously at a rate of 0.0014 h⁻¹ after its appearance, and the reaction continued for 700 h, at which about 61.6% of Se(IV) was oxidized to Se(VI).
Table 1. The kinetic parameters of Se(IV) for isothermal adsorption and oxidation on δ-MnO₂ in batch experiments.

| Category | Factors | Parameters |
|----------|---------|------------|
|          | pH      | Se(IV) Initial Concentration (µM) | Qₘₐₓ a (µmol g⁻¹) | k₂ | R² |
| Langmuir | 4       | 100 | 95.04 | 0.00328 | 0.996 |
|          | Adsorption in different solution pH | 4 | 100 | 43.1 | 0.926 | 0.982 |
|          |         | 5 | 100 | 25.1 | 0.765 | 0.970 |
|          |         | 6 | 100 | 25.8 | 0.406 | 0.959 |
|          |         | 7 | 100 | 22.1 | 0.330 | 0.958 |
| Oxidation in different solution pH | 4 | 100 | 61.6 | 0.0014 | 0.994 |
|          | 5 | 100 | 57.2 | 0.00083 | 0.997 |
|          | 6 | 100 | 7.21 | 0.0017 | 0.984 |
|          | 7 | 100 | 14.1 | 0.00042 | 0.993 |
| Adsorption in different Se(IV) initial concentrations | 4 | 50 | 50.7 | 1.325 | 0.903 |
|          | 4 | 100 | 43.1 | 0.926 | 0.982 |
|          | 4 | 200 | 20.2 | 0.416 | 0.892 |
| Oxidation in different Se(IV) initial concentrations | 4 | 50 | 25.8 | 0.0023 | 0.992 |
|          | 4 | 100 | 61.6 | 0.0014 | 0.994 |
|          | 4 | 200 | 62.1 | 0.0024 | 0.994 |

a Qₘₐₓ (µmol g⁻¹) is a constant related to the maximum amount of the adsorption, and k₂ is the constant related to adsorption affinity. b Qₑ is the amount of Se(IV) adsorbed or oxidized at equilibrium, and k₁ (h⁻¹) is the rate constant of the pseudo first-order kinetic equation of the reaction.

The adsorption rate of Se(IV) decreased, but the amount of oxidation increased with increasing initial concentrations of Se(IV) (Figure 1A,B). When the initial concentration of Se(IV) increased from 50 to 200 µM, the adsorption rate decreased by 68.6%, and the amount of oxidation increased by 2.4 times.

The elevated solution pH significantly reduced Se(IV) adsorption and oxidation (Figure 1C,D). The highest Se(IV) adsorption (43.1 µmol) was at pH = 4. As the pH increased to 5, 6, and 7, the adsorption decreased by 48.5%, 42.8%, and 56.1%, respectively. The rate of oxidation of Se(IV) by δ-MnO₂ followed the same trend with increasing pH, for the concentration of the oxidation product Se(VI) decreased more significantly at 36.4%, 86.6%, and 90.7%, respectively.

3.2. Adsorption and Oxidation Kinetics in the Stirred-Flow Experiment

The stirred-flow reactor experiment was conducted with varying Se(IV) initial concentrations (50–200 µM), δ-MnO₂ dosages (4–20 g L⁻¹), flow rates (0.5–2 mL min⁻¹), and solution pH (4–7) to determine their effects on the reaction process and oxidation kinetics. The Se(IV) adsorbed was calculated by subtracting from the Se(IV) in the influent and the total Se in the effluent. Initially, as shown in Figure 2, Se(IV) was completely adsorbed by δ-MnO₂, emerging as the first plateau of Se(IV) adsorption. By this time, the oxidation product Se(VI) was below detection or in a very low concentration in the effluent. As the Se(IV) adsorption amount decreased due to the reaction sites on δ-MnO₂ being occupied, Se(VI) concentration continually increased in the effluent. After the manganese oxide became less reactive, the amount of Se(IV) adsorbed reached a second plateau, while the Se(VI) concentration was close to unchanged.
Figure 2. The amount of Se(IV) adsorbed and Se(VI) in the effluent, as well as oxidation kinetics of a stirred-flow experiment reacting at the flow rate of (A) 0.5, 1, and 2 mL L$^{-1}$ of (B) 50, 100, and 200 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ Se(IV) ($C_0$) with (C) a pH 4, 5, and 6, and with (D) 4, 10, and 20 g L$^{-1}$ $\delta$-MnO$_2$. Symbols are experimental data, and lines are model calculations.

3.2.1. Effects of Flow Rate

Flow rate had an obvious impact on the processes of Se(IV) adsorption and oxidation, because it greatly influenced the injection rate, retention time, and desorption rate of Se(IV) (Figure 2A). By raising the flow rate, the amount of Se(IV) adsorbed decreased remarkably. The first plateau duration of Se(IV) adsorption also decreased gradually from 10 h to 3 h and 0.5 h with the increase of flow rate from 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$ to 1 and 2 mL min$^{-1}$, after which the rate of decrease of Se adsorption accelerated.

In the effluent, the appearance of the oxidation product Se(VI) was gradually delayed as the flow rate increased, while Se(VI) concentration was much lower at the flow rate of 2 mL min$^{-1}$ than at 0.5, and 1 mL min$^{-1}$ after 15 h of reaction (Figure 2A). The proportions of Se(IV) oxidized (Se(VI) effluent/Se(IV) influent) were 2.3%, 6.7%, and 10.8% as the flow rates were 0.5, 1, and 2 mL min$^{-1}$, respectively, at 8 h of reaction. At flow rates of 1 and 2 mL min$^{-1}$, the oxidation rate was close, reaching 2.9 and 3.2 times the oxidation rate at 0.5 mL L$^{-1}$ (Table 2).
Table 2. The fitting kinetic parameters of Se(IV) oxidation by δ-MnO$_2$ influenced by solution pH, flow rates, initial concentrations, and mineral dosages under stirred-flow condition.

| Factors | Kinetic Parameters |
|---------|-------------------|
| Flow Rate (mL min$^{-1}$) | Initial Se(IV) (µM) | pH | δ-MnO$_2$ Dosage (g L$^{-1}$) | $K^a$ (h$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ |
| 0.5 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.011 | 0.937 |
| 1 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.032 | 0.940 |
| 2 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.035 | 0.947 |
| 1 | 50 | 4 | 10 | 0.029 | 0.940 |
| 1 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.032 | 0.940 |
| 1 | 200 | 4 | 10 | 0.025 | 0.943 |
| 1 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.032 | 0.940 |
| 1 | 100 | 5 | 10 | 0.012 | 0.822 |
| 1 | 100 | 6 | 10 | 0.00072 | 0.393 |
| 1 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 0.017 | 0.973 |
| 1 | 100 | 4 | 10 | 0.032 | 0.940 |

$^a$K is the oxidation rate of Se(IV) on δ-MnO$_2$.

3.2.2. Effect of Initial Se(IV) Concentrations

Se(IV) adsorption varied with the initial Se(IV) concentration, with higher concentrations of Se(IV) leading to higher Se(IV) adsorption (Figure 2B). The first plateau duration of Se(IV) adsorption decreased from 3.5 h to 3 h and 0.75 h, with the Se(IV) injection concentration increasing from 50 µM to 100 and 200 µM, after which the decrement of Se(IV) adsorption accelerated.

The concentrations of the oxidation product Se(VI) in the effluent increased with the initial concentration of Se(IV) (Figure 2B). However, the proportion of Se(IV) oxidized (Se(VI) effluent/Se(IV) influent) was 15.6%, 17.2%, and 13.1% at 30 h of reaction, respectively, with no significant change. Likewise, the oxidation rate did not change significantly (Table 2).

3.2.3. Effect of Solution pH

Solution pH had a noticeable effect on Se(IV) adsorption, which decreased dramatically at a higher pH (Figure 2C). The first plateau duration of Se(IV) adsorption decreased from 3 h to 1.75 h and 1 h as the solution pH increased from 4 to 5 and 6, after which the decrement of Se adsorption accelerated.

The oxidation capacity of δ-MnO$_2$ decreased as the pH increased, and the oxidation reaction almost ceased when the pH came to 6. The proportion of Se(IV) oxidized (Se(VI) effluent/Se(IV) influent) was 17.2%, 8.22%, and 1.0% at 30 h of reaction, respectively (Figure 2C). The kinetic fit was better at a pH of 4 and 5, and the oxidation rate decreased by 62.5% as the pH increased from 4 to 5 (Table 2).

3.2.4. Effect of δ-MnO$_2$ Injection

As expected, enhancing the dosage of δ-MnO$_2$ significantly increased the adsorption of Se(IV) (Figure 2D). The first plateau duration of Se(IV) adsorption increased from 0 h to 3 h and 15 h with increasing δ-MnO$_2$ injection from 4 to 10 and 20 g L$^{-1}$, after which the rate of decline of Se adsorption slowed down.

The concentrations of the oxidation product Se(VI) in the effluent decreased with increasing δ-MnO$_2$ input during the initial phase of the reaction (before 10 h), while the concentrations of Se(VI) increased with increasing δ-MnO$_2$ input after 20 h of reaction. In this case, the change in Se(VI) concentration before 10 h and after 20 h may be attributed to the excess Se(IV) acting to promote the release of the oxidation product Se(VI). The proportions of Se(IV) oxidized (Se(VI) effluent/Se(IV) influent) were 9.0%, 19.2%, and 26.4% after 45 h of reaction, respectively (Figure 2D). The Se(IV) oxidation rate nearly doubled after the δ-MnO$_2$ input was increased from 4 g L$^{-1}$ to 10 g L$^{-1}$ (Table 2). At a
20 g L\(^{-1}\) input of the manganese oxide, the fit was poor due to the greatly increased Se adsorption, which did not match the model assumptions.

3.3. Desorption Kinetics of Se(IV) and Se(VI) in the Stirred-Flow Experiment

Desorption experiments were performed in a stirred-flow reactor by stopping the reaction between Se(IV) and \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) after 24 h, and simultaneously beginning desorption by NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), CaCl\(_2\), or background electrolyte alone. NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) represents an anion chemically similar to the selenium anion, and was expected to desorb Se(IV). CaCl\(_2\) represents a cation capable of reacting with the active site of manganese oxide, and had the potential to desorb Mn(II), which was not detected in the solution of the reactions in both batch and stirred-flow experiments. Background electrolyte (acetic buffer and NaNO\(_3\)) was likely to react weakly with \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) reactive sites, and should exhibit some desorption potential.

As shown in Figure 3A, NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) was more effective in the desorption of Se(IV) than CaCl\(_2\) and the background electrolyte. By integrating the Se(IV) adsorption concentration calculated to be 447.5 µmol, 200.1 µmol, and 165.7 µmol, respectively.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Se(IV) desorbed (A) and Se(VI) produced (B) by NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), CaCl\(_2\), and background electrolyte after Se(IV) oxidation by \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) for 24 h.

However, compared to CaCl\(_2\) and background electrolyte, less Se(VI) was produced, and its concentration fell faster after NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) was introduced. The amounts of Se(VI) produced after adding NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), CaCl\(_2\), and background electrolyte were 17.4 µmol, 33.9 µmol, and 28.1 µmol, respectively. Separate Se(VI) adsorption tests showed that Se(VI) did not adsorb to the surface of \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) (Figure S4). The Se(VI) produced in the desorption test should come from the oxidation process.

3.4. Analysis of XPS Spectra

Se(IV) adsorption samples in batch experiments were collected for XPS spectra to determine the valence states of Mn and adsorbed Se on \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\). The results showed that the predominant form of Mn on \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) was Mn(III), which was up to 50.33%, and Se(IV) was the only form of adsorbed Se detected on \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) after 700 h of reaction with Se(IV).

The spectral peaks were fitted by a nonlinear fitting method to the spectral peaks according to the standard spectra to obtain the proportional relationship between the different chemical states (Figure 4). Mn2p spectra was used to determine changes in the \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) oxidation state during the Se(IV) reactions. The Mn in pristine \(\delta\)-MnO\(_2\) exhibited an average oxidation state at 3.30. After reacting with Se(IV), the average Mn oxidation states ranged from 3.27 to 2.98, which coincided with the decrease in the Mn oxidation state from Mn(IV) to Mn(III/II) (Table 3). After 700 h of reaction, the percentages of Mn(IV) decreased significantly from 54.22% to 26.59%, while Mn(III) and Mn(II) increased from
21.76% to 47.33%, and 24.02% to 25.08%, respectively. In this case, the Mn(III) content continued to increase during the 700 h of reaction, and had a significant and continuous increment, while the Mn(II) content only increased slightly, which indicated that Mn(II) was not the major product. Besides, the peak of Se3p in the range of 164.60 did not change with reaction time, and no significant Se(VI) adsorption peak appeared (Figure S5).

Table 3. Binding energy and proportions of Mn and Se in the δ-MnO2 near-surface reacted with 100 μM of Se(IV).

| Sample | Binding Energy/eV | Chemical Form | Area% a |
|--------|------------------|---------------|---------|
| Mn (2p3/2) | 641.4 | Mn(II) | 24.02 24.45 24.96 25.08 |
| | 641.4 | Mn(III) | 21.76 23.56 36.76 47.33 |
| | 641.8 | Mn(IV) | 54.22 51.99 38.27 26.59 |
| Se (3p) | 164.5–164.7 | Se(IV) | 0 100 100 100 |
| | 170.5–170.7 | Se(IV) | 0 0 0 |
| | 164.4 | Se(VI) | 0 |

a Area% is the atomic percent of Se and Mn on the surface calculated by XPS.

3.5. Analysis of ATR-FTIR Spectra

The dynamic changes of the featured peaks of adsorbed Se species were presented in the real-time spectra of in situ Se(IV) oxidation on δ-MnO2 (Figure 5). The bands at 837 cm⁻¹ were observed at the beginning of the reaction and the shift of 837 cm⁻¹ to 846 cm⁻¹, which could indicate the formation of a Se(IV) surface complex [38]. A new peak emerged at 881 cm⁻¹, which was attributed to Se(VI) in the solution. No splitting or shifting of the peak indicated that Se(VI) was not adsorbed to δ-MnO2 [12]. After 2 h of reaction, the negative peak at 918 cm⁻¹ began to emerge, and became progressively pronounced with time. It was probably because the Mn(II) generated by the oxidation reaction occupied the vacancy sites on the surface of the manganese oxide [31].
Wang et al. [40] reported that the depletion rates of As(V) and Sb(V) were 11.28 h$^{-1}$ and 4.1.2. Se(IV) Adsorption

from previous studies have reported that Se(VI) can only be slightly adsorbed on Mn oxide at a pH lower than 4 [14,39].

4.1. Adsorption Performance

4.1.1. Se(VI) Adsorption

There was no distinct Se(VI) adsorbed on δ-MnO$_2$ under different conditions in batch or stirred-flow experiments (Figure S3). XPS results agreed with this contention, which revealed that no Se(VI) was detected on the δ-MnO$_2$ surface (Figure S5). Similar results from previous studies have reported that Se(VI) can only be slightly adsorbed on Mn oxide at a pH lower than 4 [14,39].

4.1.2. Se(IV) Adsorption

The sorption experiments and XPS results indicated that only Se(IV) was adsorbed on δ-MnO$_2$, and the isotherm was well-fitted using a Langmuir model ($R^2 = 0.996$), which yielded the maximum sorption capacity of 95.06 µmol g$^{-1}$ for Se(IV) (Table 1). It has been reported that when As(V) and Sb(V) were the main species adsorbed on δ-MnO$_2$, they had maximum adsorption capacities of 213.2 and 140.8 µmol g$^{-1}$, respectively [40]. Another study observed the maximum adsorption capacities of Sb(III) and Sb(V) on δ-MnO$_2$ to be 834.2 and 342.0 µmol g$^{-1}$, respectively [31]. These results indicated that the adsorption capacity and rate of Se(IV) on δ-MnO$_2$ were lower than those of As and Sb.

Se(IV) adsorption follows pseudo first-order kinetics during the 700 h batch experiments, and the rates of adsorption were critically influenced by Se(IV) initial concentration and solution pH (Table 1 and Figure 1). Se(IV) has a higher adsorption rate on δ-MnO$_2$ at a lower pH. A similar relationship between Se(IV) adsorption and pH was observed in the investigation of Se(IV) adsorption by iron and silicon oxides [41]. At a pH of 5, the reaction rate constant of Se(IV) was 0.765 h$^{-1}$ when 100 µM of Se(IV) reacted with δ-MnO$_2$. Wang et al. [40] reported that the depletion rates of As(V) and Sb(V) were 11.28 h$^{-1}$ and 175.3 h$^{-1}$ under similar conditions, respectively, which were much higher than those for Se(IV). However, the Se(IV) reaction rate turned to 0.330 h$^{-1}$ at a pH of 7, while As(V) and Sb(V) were 0.368 h$^{-1}$ and 0.213 h$^{-1}$, respectively [40], which were fairly comparable. These results indicate that the effect of solution pH on Se adsorption was not as strong as its effect on As and Sb on δ-MnO$_2$. We can conclude likewise that, although raising solution pH has a noticeable decrement on Se(IV) adsorption on δ-MnO$_2$, Mn oxides have the ability to adsorb Se(IV) even in a neutral pH. Furthermore, the reduction in Se(IV) initial concentration increased the solid-to-liquid ratio in the reactor, resulting in more effective adsorption sites emerging and leading to an increment of Se(IV) adsorption rate [42].
In a stirred-flow reactor, the solution pH had a similar effect on Se(IV) adsorption (Figure 2C). Lower pH accounts for a weaker electrostatic repulsion between Se(IV) and $\delta$-MnO$_2$, resulting in a higher sorption amount [20]. Increasing the flow rate and Se(IV) initial concentration led to a shorter initial Se adsorption plateau period and a faster decrease in adsorption (Figure 2A). The increase in the flow rate and initial concentration led to an increase in the amount of Se injected into the sample (Figure 3B). Feng et al. [43] reported that the As(III) concentration in the effluent increases under high As(III) loadings in the reaction with manganese oxide, which leads to a faster decrease in adsorption. Besides, the $\delta$-MnO$_2$ dosage also has a comparable effect, as it determines the number of reaction sites.

4.2. Se(IV) Oxidation on $\delta$-MnO$_2$

4.2.1. Effects of Se(IV) Oxidation

In this study, solution pH and flow rate had a noticeable influence on Se(IV) oxidation, which indicated that proton concentration and the duration of Se(IV) retention affect the reaction more significantly (Figures 1 and 2A,C). Although Se can adsorb at neutral pH, the oxidation reaction was almost terminated at a pH of 6 (Figure 2C). By increasing the solution pH, the Se(IV) oxidation ratio decreased obviously due to the oxidation reaction being largely driven by the proton concentration [44]. In lower flow rates, more oxidation product (Se(VI)) appeared sooner, as demonstrated [28]. However, Balgooyen et al. [28] also obtained that the redox plateau should be delayed in a higher flow rate because of the increase in reactants, and the ratio of moles of reactant consumption should be the same in a different flow rate. During Se(IV) oxidation by $\delta$-MnO$_2$ in the stirred-flow reactor, more Se(VI) came out at a lower flow rate before 8 h, while Se(VI) concentrations were close at the flow rates of 0.5 and 1 mL min$^{-1}$ in a 30 h reaction (Figure 2A). This was probably because Se(IV) had a longer retention time in the lower flow rate, and the reaction was limited by the number of reaction sites on Mn oxides after 8 h. The results indicated that the oxidation process still takes a relatively long time to complete after Se(IV) adsorbed on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ [20].

4.2.2. Comparison of Batch Experiments and Stirred-Flow Experiments

The oxidation rate in the stirred-flow test (0.032 h$^{-1}$) was significantly faster than the results in the batch test (0.0014 h$^{-1}$), indicating that the oxidation rate in a stirred-flow reactor was closer to the true oxidation rate, because it can effectively simulate the soil flow environment [26,45]. Scott et al. [20] obtained that the electron transfer rate was the main rate-limiting step of Se(IV) oxidation on Mn oxides. In this study, a higher oxidation rate was observed in the stirred-flow reactor, since it could release Se(VI) faster. If the electron transfer rate was the only step that limited the reaction, Se(VI) should be absent in the initial stages of reaction and become abundant in the late stages. However, Se(VI) came out at a steady rate in both batch and stirred-flow reactors, indicating that Se(VI) release also limited Se(IV) oxidation.

4.3. Reaction Mechanisms

4.3.1. Adsorption Mechanisms

Our desorption experiments indicated that the adsorption of Se(IV) forms strong bonds on the surface of manganese oxides (Figure 3). The adsorption of phosphate was suggested to take place on the inner sphere, and about 70.0% of the adsorbed Se(IV) was desorbed by NaH$_2$PO$_4$ in the stirred-flow reactor, which corresponds to a report by K. Saeki et al. [39]. However, it cannot be concluded from the ATR-FTIR data whether the complexation of Se(IV) on manganese oxides is a mono or bidentate complex [38]. Foster et al. [22] observed that Se(IV) mainly forms complexes with Mn(II)O$_6$ or Mn(III)O$_6$ octahedral. However, Mn(II) was not simultaneously desorbed from Mn oxides with Se(IV) during the desorption (Figure 3), indicating that Se(IV) adsorption on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ might generate Se(IV)-O-Mn(III) as the main surface complex.
4.3.2. Oxidation Mechanisms

During the Se(IV) reaction with $\delta$-MnO$_2$, the transfer of one or two electrons was responsible for the Se(IV) oxidation. Mn(IV) on the mineral surface was reduced by Se(IV), and the products were Mn(III) and Mn(II). The XPS spectra of Mn2p3/2 evidenced that Mn(II) was sporadically produced, while Mn(III) content increased remarkably in the 700 h reaction. Nesbitt et al. [21] proffered that Mn(III) did not oxidize Se(IV) to produce Mn(II), so the production of Mn(III) and Mn(II) were due to the one-electron and two-electron transfer reaction, respectively. Furthermore, Mn(II) may come from the disproportionation reaction of Mn(III) [46,47]. However, there was only a rare increase in Mn(II), the most likely source of which was the disproportionation reaction. This indicates that the two-electron transfer was not the primary reaction process for Se(IV) oxidation. Therefore, Mn(IV) oxidized Se(IV) mainly to produce Mn(III) and Se(VI), while Mn(III) produced Mn(II) and Mn(IV) through the disproportionation reaction.

The reactivity of Mn oxides is often reduced by the emergence of passivation when the valence variable element reacts with manganese oxides, leading to a deduction of the oxidation rate. For example, during the reaction of As(III) with $\delta$-MnO$_2$, the adsorption of products As(V) and Mn(II), the formation of Mn-As precipitation, and the formation of Mn(III) intermediate products lead to surface passivation of manganese oxides, and the reaction turns from efficient to inefficient [48]. Similar to As(III), the passivation of $\delta$-MnO$_2$ reacted with Sb(III) was attributed to the adsorption of Sb(V) and Mn(II), the formation of Mn-Sb precipitation, and the formation of Mn(III) intermediate products [31]. Precipitation of Cr(OH)$_3$ on the surface decreases the oxidation efficiency of $\delta$-MnO$_2$ on Cr(III) [49]. Adsorption of U(VI) also results in decreased activity of $\delta$-MnO$_2$ [50].

However, in the case of Se(IV) reacted with $\delta$-MnO$_2$, the reaction did not reach equilibrium until 700 h after the batch method experiment, the product Se(VI) was still produced, and no surface passivation was observed with a significant decrease in the reaction rate. There may be several reasons for the absence of mineral passivation.

Firstly, Se(IV) oxidation products are difficult to adsorb on mineral surfaces. Se(VI) can hardly stay on the $\delta$-MnO$_2$ surface [22], and does not hinder the reactive sites due to high adsorption.

Meanwhile, the yield of Mn(II) was not significant, neither blocking the boundary sites nor complexing with Se(IV) to reduce oxidation efficiency. Mn(II) adsorption at cavity sites is more stable and difficult to resolve relative to the boundary sites [30]. In the desorption test, CaCl$_2$ did not resolve Mn(II) from the post-reaction $\delta$-MnO$_2$ surface; the negative peak at 918 cm$^{-1}$ in ATR-FTIR was attributed to Mn(II) adsorption to $\delta$-MnO$_2$ vacancy sites, extrapolating that the produced Mn(II) was adsorbed to $\delta$-MnO$_2$ vacancy sites, and was not enough to reach the edge sites.

Furthermore, the adsorption reaction of Se(IV) was relatively rapid, and the subsequent oxidation reaction was not affected by the large amount of Mn(III) produced. Although Mn(III) was the main product of the reaction and Mn(III) no longer appeared to involve itself in the oxidation of Se(IV) [21], the presence of Mn(III) did not affect the oxidation of Se(IV) already adsorbed in $\delta$-MnO$_2$, as the adsorption of Se(IV) essentially reached equilibrium in 30 min, and the subsequent oxidation reaction was so slow that the appearance of Mn(III) did not considerably affect the oxidation process of Se(IV), which was already adsorbed on $\delta$-MnO$_2$.

4.4. Environmental Implication

In the mineral water interface, the mobility of Se is not only heavily influenced by its chemical form, but it also plays an important role in the adsorption–desorption and oxidation–reduction reactions. For example, hydrotalcite has a good adsorption effect on both Se(IV) and Se(VI), but the presence of nitrate, sulfate, and phosphate will desorb some of Se(IV) and Se(VI), enhancing the mobility of Se [35]. In the present study, Se(IV) can be desorbed to a large extent from the surface of $\delta$-MnO$_2$ under three desorptives investigated, especially phosphate. When manganese oxides are involved at a natural pH,
selenium is present, mainly in the form of Se(IV), and is immobilized on the manganese oxide surface, but oxidizes slowly. Although Se(VI) is more mobile in the environment [7], it exists in a low proportion in the water–manganese ore environment due to the restriction of oxidation rate. More importantly, the mobility of Se(IV) is greatly increased when there is ionic coexistence, especially of phosphate. These studies highlight the necessity to pay great attention to Se mobility when treating Se-contaminated sites with mineral sorbents, especially when there are abundant competing ions in the environment. At the same time, concerns about the transport and transformation of selenium in the environment are crucial for the health and safety of local animals and humans.

5. Conclusions

Diverse kinetic and equilibrium experiments were carried out to investigate the mechanisms of simultaneous Se(IV) adsorption and oxidation on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ in this study. The adsorption of Se(IV) on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ reached equilibrium in hours. $\Delta H^0$ and $\Delta G^0$ values indicated an endothermic adsorption reaction, and Se(IV) mainly formed an inner layer complex on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ due to $\Delta S^0 < 0$. Se(IV)-O-Mn(III) might be the main surface complex of Se(IV) adsorption on $\delta$-MnO$_2$. The oxidation was relatively slow, and two-electron transfer was the dominant process. Se(VI) and Mn(III) were the primary reaction products, which emerged at a steady rate. Both the electron transfer and oxidation product release rate should account for the slow rate of Se(IV) oxidation. No soluble Mn was detected in both oxidation and desorption experiments, and Mn(II) mainly adsorbed steadily on the surface of $\delta$-MnO$_2$. NaH$_2$PO$_4$ was an effective desorptive that could mobilize about 70.0% adsorbed Se(IV) on $\delta$-MnO$_2$. This study provides useful information on the mechanism of Se(IV) adsorption and oxidation on the surface of manganese oxides, generates ideas on the way for Se transformation in the environment, and aids in the protection and enhancement of health and safety for human and animal life.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/6/2902/s1, Figure S1: The XRD spectra of pristine $\delta$-MnO$_2$. Figure S2: SEM of pristine $\delta$-MnO$_2$ (1 $\mu$m). Figure S3: Se(IV) sorption isotherms on $\delta$-MnO$_2$. Figure S4: Se(VI) adsorption on $\delta$-MnO$_2$ in batch and stirred-flow experiments. Figure S5: Se 3d spectra of $\delta$-MnO$_2$ after reaction with 100 $\mu$mol Se(IV) for 0 h, 1 h, 10 h and 700 h.
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