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Recent Evidence of Tea Tree Oil Effectiveness in Blepharitis Treatment
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The purpose of our study is to see how beneficial is tea tree oil (TTO) for treating chronic blepharitis topically, with a focus on the Demodex mite. To discover all possibly relevant published papers, an accurate Pubmed database search analysis of the current literature was undertaken from 2012 to December 2021. Fourteen papers dealing with the use of TTO to treat chronic blepharitis have been found. The effectiveness of TTO treatment was tested in vitro by 4 authors and in vivo by 10 authors. All studied confirmed efficacy of TTO treatment, even cyclic, on Demodex mite blepharitis. TTO can be used for lid scrubs, facial cleanser, eyelid patch, eyelid gel, eyelash shampoo or, more commonly, as TTO impregnated eyelid wipes. The scientific evidence of TTO for chronic blepharitis treatment gives a lot of confidence for the progress that this treatment may have in the future clinical practice.

1. Introduction

Itching, flaking, redness, burning, and crusting of the eyelids are major symptoms of blepharitis, a common inflammatory illness of the eyelid edge, with a chronic course.

Chronic blepharitis is usually divided into two types: anterior blepharitis, which affects the anterior lid edge and eyelashes, and posterior blepharitis, which affects the meibomian gland. [1]

The Demodex mite is a commensal organism of the skin bacterial flora, which does not give symptoms in normal conditions, but in some eyelid tissues, its presence may cause inflammatory process [2].

Demodex’s pathogenetic implication in the blepharitis inflammatory process is explored [3]. The life cycle from egg to adult mite lasts between 14 and 18 days. The eggs are laid in the sebaceous glands and hair follicles and then turn into larvae until they reach the adult form. When demodicosis sets in, the primary symptom is eyelid itching, which may be accompanied by blepharitis, eyelid swelling, and eye discomfort [4]. Demodex is divided into two species that produce anterior and posterior blepharitis, respectively: Demodex follicolorum and Demodex brevis. D. follicolorum reproduces around the hair follicles, instead D. brevis reproduces around the sebaceous glands [5].

Tea tree oil (TTO) is a fragrant essential oil derived from the leaves of Melaleuca alternifolia, a Myrtaceae plant.

The main constituent of TTO is represented by terpinen-4-ol (T4O), present in concentrations ranging from 30% to 48%. This component is the most active in killing Demodex mites, including larvae and eggs. It also possesses antibacterial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, and acaricidal activity [6, 7].

To be able to cure blepharitis before anterior segment ocular surgery, such as cataract extraction [8, 9] or refractive surgery [10–13], could be very important [14, 15], primarily to lower the chance of endophthalmitis.

In fact, microorganisms of the eyelid edge, conjunctiva, and tear film, particularly gram-positive bacteria, predominantly coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp., are the most common cause of acute postoperative endophthalmitis [16].
As a result, in addition to preoperative topical antibiotics and antiseptic povidone–iodine (PVI) 5% topicaly applied to the cornea and conjunctival sac, eyelid disinfection can be utilized as an additional prophylactic method to avoid ocular infections [17].

In the case of such a situation, the effect of TTO-based drugs has been recorded in various articles. The purpose of this study was to assess the efficacy of TTO in the chronic blepharitis topical therapy, with a focus on the Demodex mite.

2. Materials and Methods

To find all potentially relevant published papers, a Pubmed database literature search was conducted from 2012 to December 2021. The following keywords were utilized in the search approach: blepharitis, lid, tea tree oil, and TTO. Additional pertinent papers were found by scanning the references cited in the recovered articles.

3. Results and Discussion

From this search, 14 papers dealing with the use of TTO to treat chronic blepharitis have been found.

3.1. Studies In Vitro. The effectiveness of TTO treatment was tested in vitro by four authors [18–21], and it is summarized in Table 1.

Cheung et al. [18] conducted a randomized in vitro investigation on 93 live Demodex mites extracted from the lashes of ten previously epilated volunteers. After applying four eyelid detergents (Cliradex® towele cleanser, OustTM Demodex® cleanser, BlephadexTM eyelid foam, and TheraTears® SteriLid® eyelid cleanser) and four antimicrobial solutions, the mites’ vitality was evaluated for 300 minutes based on limb and body movement and crenated or translucent appearance (100% undiluted and 50% diluted Home Essentials TTO, 100% T4O, and 100% linalool). The 50% diluted TTO solution was made by combining undiluted TTO and Healtheries Almond Oil in a 1:1 ratio. As a negative control, untreated mites were tested.

Cheung then used Kaplan-Meier survival assessment and mass spectrometry analysis to measure the anti-Demodex chemicals’ absolute and relative concentrations, as well as the T4O content of commercial detergents and TTO. As a result, no significant changes in undiluted TTO, T4O, or linalool were found (all \( p > 0.05 \)).

T4O and undiluted linalool demonstrated similar anti-parasitic effectiveness. Even though all commercial eyelid cleansers reduced mite survival rates compared to the untreated group, Cliradex showed the strongest antiparasitic activity in vitro and the highest T4O level of the four eyelid cleansers, whereas linalool was only found in TheraTears® SteriLid®.

Chen et al. [19] studied in vitro the potential toxicity of T4O on epithelial cells of the meibomian glands, cultured with variable concentrations (1.0%, 0.1%, and 0.01%) of T4O under conditions of proliferation or differentiation up to 5 days, with analysis of cellular presence, persistence, P-Akt signaling, accumulation of lysosomes, and content of neutral lipids. This resulted in significant in vitro toxicity for all concentrations tested. This result confirms the authors’ initial hypothesis, namely, that the toxicity of TTO on nonocular epithelial cells can also extend to the epithelial cells of the meibomian glands.

Su et al. [20] evaluated the antibacterial effectiveness of a 2 percent T4O formulation against pathogens mentioned in Chapter 51 of the United States Pharmacopeia (USP <51>) by Alcam Corporation in vitro (Wilmington, North Carolina, USA). The log 2.0 decrease rate was used to assess microorganism decrease at days 14 and 28. They also studied cutaneous and ocular irritation using the repeated insult patch test (RIPT) with 2 percent T4O and the Hen’s Eye chorioallantoic membrane test, respectively. The dermal reactions of the RIPT were recorded based on a 6-point scale that evaluated absence (0 points) or the presence of a mild, moderate, marked, or severe skin reaction. No sign of irritation, allergy, or any other cutaneous response was detected or described by any of the 55 participants after exposure to 2% T4O, whereas only three of the 58 subjects stopped for personal reasons. In the second test, ocular irritation was measured using two scores: an irritation score based on the time of appearance of the adverse reactions (hemorrhage, vascular lysis, and coagulation) and a severity score based on the severity of adverse reactions after 1 and 5 minutes. The HET-CAM test was used to assess ocular irritation, and the results revealed that the T4O 2% formulation was both safe and effective at destroying pathogens related to eye disorders.

More recently, Bulut and Tanriverdi [21] examined the in vitro properties of tea tree oil active components in eye washing wipes and solutions on Demodex life span. The concentrations of terpinem-4-ol (T4O), 2.5%TTO, and 7.5% TTO in the examined preparations were 0.5%, 2.5%, and 7.5%, respectively. They found that T4O had an adequate anti-Demodex action even at extremely low dilutions (0.5%).

The antiparasitic action of TTO against ocular Demodex is confirmed in all in vitro investigations, but they have significant limitations because the clinical effectiveness of TTO in treating eye disorders has not been directly explored, and the preparation has not been tested right on eyelid skin and margin. Even though a lower concentration of T4O is improbable to induce skin or ocular irritation, clinical trials to assess the advantages and risks of daily usage of T4O on the eyelid margins are needed, according to Chen et al.

3.2. Studies In Vivo. The effectiveness of TTO treatment was then also tested in vivo by several authors [22–31] and reviewed in Table 2.

In a case-control study, Maher [22] assessed the efficacy of lid washing with a TTO compound (Navibleef) in 40 subjects with blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction. The patients were split into two groups, each with 20 patients. One group received Navibleef treatment, while the other received merely eye massage and washing. The signs and symptoms of all patients treated with TTO mixture lid cleaning significantly improved, as well as tear film stability.
In 135 patients with Demodex mite blepharitis, Karakurt and Zeytun [23] investigated the effectiveness of a 7.5 percent TTO eyelash wash. Eyelash shampoo was used on all the patients, some with TTO and some without this oil. Those treated with TTO showed a statistically significant reduction ($p < 0.001$) in Demodex mite by 36%, with an average count reduced from 6.33/cilia to 0. In those not treated with TTO, however, the mean decreased from 12.46/lash to 4.15/lash ($p < 0.001$). Finally, TTO eyelash shampoo was found to be three times more active in reaching total Demodex reduction, particularly in terms of Demodex count and ocular discomfort.

Ergun et al. [24] investigated the efficacy of two TTO-based washing ointments in 49 patients with chronic blepharitis who were separated into two groups. Group 1 (25 subjects) received a base gel with 3% (w/w)-TTO, while group 2 (24 participants) received an enhanced gel with 3% (w/w)-TTO plus essential oils and vitamins. The authors measured the ocular surface disease index (OSDI), tear breaking time (TBUT), ocular surface staining pattern, Schirmer's test, impression cytology, Demodex presence, and TNF-, IL-6, and IL-1 levels at the initial visit and after one month of treatment. There was an improvement in all parameters, with greater reduction of cytokines and Demodex counts in group 2.

Koo et al. [25] studied 335 patients with ocular discomfort by evaluating their OSDI score and found Demodex blepharitis in 84% of patients with ocular symptoms. Eyelid lavage with TTO (106 patients) or without TTO (control group, 54 patients) was given to the latter for a month in random fashion. Patients treated with TTO showed a significant reduction in Demodex count and improvement in ocular symptoms. The control group also showed a decrease in Demodex count after treatment, but it was less reduced than the TTO group.

Alver et al. [26] used 39 chronic, treatment-refractory Demodex blepharitis patients who were treated with 4% TTO eyelid gel and 10% eyelash shampoo to try to develop a systematic grading method for a very precise diagnosis of Demodex blepharitis. Demodex folliculorum was detected in 30 patients by light microscopy. The authors created a table in which they entered the symptoms (burning, sting, itching, and pain), the localization of blepharitis (anterior or posterior), and additional points (evaluation of eyelashes, ocular surface, and cornea). TBUT, OSDI, keratitis, epithelial defects, and blepharitis were evaluated at the time of diagnosis and after one month. TTO therapy resulted in resolution of symptoms in 25 patients, and treatment was recommended for all those with a score >4, based on the table devised by the authors.

Murphy et al. [27] evaluated 86 patients, divided into three groups to compare the efficacy of the Dr Organic Tea Tree facial cleanser, OcuSoft Lids Scrub Plus, and the BlephEx TM device in the treatment of follicular blepharitis by Demodex. Patients were assessed after two and four weeks of treatment. Ocular symptoms were clearly reduced in all three groups during the second week of treatment and continued to diminish after four weeks of treatment. There was a significant decrease of Demodex levels in all three groups at the end of treatment.

Mergen et al. [28] compared tea tree oil and chamomile oil swabs to baby shampoo (BS) in patients with seborrheic blepharitis in a double-blind randomized clinical experiment. For 8 weeks, 23 patients were given BS, and 26 were given swabs, followed by 4 weeks of therapy discontinuation. For the treatment of seborrheic blepharitis, both groups of patients exhibited equivalent efficacy.

Concerning Demodex and symptoms decrease, swabs showed better results. It is worth noting that skipping four weeks of therapy may not result in a recurrence of symptoms or Demodex infestation.

In a prospective study, Liu and Gong [29] compared the antidermatoectic benefits of okra eyelid patch with tea tree oil in Demodex blepharitis (TTO). An okra eyelid patch (27 patients) or an eye care patch soaked with terpinen-4-ol (T4O), the major element of TTO (25 controls) were given to 52 Demodex blepharitis patients with ocular discomfort for three months. Despite the fact that the two therapies are identical, the authors claim that the okra eyelid patch

| Author          | Purpose of study                                                                 | Results                                                                 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cheng et al.    | To assess the vitality of 93 live Demodex mites for 300 minutes,                | No significant differences were observed between undiluted TTO, T4O,     |
|                 | based on limb and body movement and crenated or translucent appearance,after   | and linalool ($p > 0.05$) undiluted linalool and T4O showed comparable  |
|                 | the application of four eyelid cleaners and four antimicrobial solutions(TTO     | antiparasitic efficacy.                                               |
|                 | 50% diluted and 100% undiluted, T4O, linalool).                                 |                                                                        |
| Chen et al.     | To evaluate the potential toxicity of T4O on epithelial cells of the            | TTO is toxic on nonocular epithelial cells and on epithelial cells of   |
|                 | meibomian glands with variable T4O concentrations (1.0%,                          | the meibomian glands for all tested concentrations.                    |
|                 | 0.1%, and 0.01%).                                                               |                                                                        |
| Su et al.       | Alcami corporation wanted to evaluate the antibacterial activity of a 2% T4O   | T4O 2% formulation is safe and effective in rapidly killing microorganisms |
|                 | formulation against pathogens specified in chapter 51 of the United States      | associated with ocular diseases.                                       |
|                 | Pharmacopeia (USP 51>).                                                         |                                                                        |
| Bulut and       | The purpose of this study was to see how TTO active components in eye washing    | Even at relatively low doses, T4O has an anti-Demodex action (0.5%).   |
| Tanriverdi      | wipes and mixtures affected Demodex life span.                                   |                                                                        |
patients' scores all improved statistically significantly (p < 0.05). The patients' symptoms and tear function tests improved dramatically following the second cycle compared to pretreatment levels. Symptoms returned in two patients (93%) after a 12-month follow-up.

All patients who received lid scrubbing with a TTO formula had statistically significant improvement in signs and symptoms (p > 0.001), while 5 patients only treated with eye massage and cleansing changes had not statistically significant changes (p < 0.001).

TTO eyelash shampoo was found to be three times more efficient in reaching total Demodex elimination, lowering Demodex count and ocular symptoms dramatically.

The ocular surface disease index (OSDI), tear breaking time (TBUT), ocular surface staining pattern, Schirmer's test, and impression cytology all improved in group 2, as did the cytokines and Demodex numbers.

Demodex blepharitis was present in 84% of patients with ocular symptoms. Patients treated with TTO showed a significant reduction in Demodex count and improvement in ocular symptoms, more evident than the other group.

TTO therapy resulted in resolution of symptoms in 25 patients, and treatment was recommended for all those with a score >4, based on the table proposed by the authors.

At the end of therapy, all three groups had considerably lower Demodex numbers.

In terms of Demodex reduction and clinical improvement, patients in both groups demonstrated equivalent success in the treatment of seborrheic blepharitis.

Sensitive Demodex blepharitis patients, such as the elderly and children, may benefit from the use of an okra eyelid patch.

The patients’ symptoms and tear function tests improved dramatically following the second cycle compared to premedication stages. Symptoms recurred in two subjects (93%) after a 12-month follow-up.

During the initial treatment phase, daily use of T4O filled eyelid wipes considerably relieved ocular symptoms and signs, while also lowering the mite count.

may be better for sensitive Demodex blepharitis patients, such as the elderly and children.

On Demodex blepharitis, Evren Kemer et al. [30] explain the success of cyclic therapy of heat followed by terpinen-4-ol soaked wipes to eyelids (twice a day for 2 weeks, then the same treatment was repeated after a 7–10 day break). The identical procedure was followed once more. After the first and second therapy cycles, as well as after a year, the patients were assessed. After the first cycle of treatment, tear breakup time, Schirmer, lid margin score, ocular surface disease index, Oxford grade, and meibomian gland expressibility scores all improved statistically significantly (p < 0.05). The patients' symptoms and tear function tests improved dramatically following the second cycle compared to pretreatment levels. Symptoms returned in two patients (93%) after a 12-month follow-up.

In fifty patients with Demodex blepharitis, Evren Kemer et al. [30] evaluated the effectiveness of cyclic therapy of heat followed by terpinen-4-ol soaked wipes to eyelids. The treatment was repeated after a 7–10 day break. The identical procedure was followed once more. After the first and second therapy cycles, as well as after a year, the patients were assessed. After the first cycle of treatment, tear breakup time, Schirmer, lid margin score, ocular surface disease index, Oxford grade, and meibomian gland expressibility scores all improved statistically significantly (p < 0.05). The patients' symptoms and tear function tests improved dramatically following the second cycle compared to pretreatment levels. Symptoms returned in two patients (93%) after a 12-month follow-up.

All studies confirmed that patients with Demodex blepharitis treated by different formulation of TTO (eyelash shampoo, eyelid wipes, and eyelid patch) had a significant reduction in Demodex count and an improvement in ocular signs and symptoms, concluding that this therapy is both safe and effective in medical settings.
4. Conclusions

There is a lot of interest these days in producing natural medicinal solutions with small adverse effects. The scientific evidence for TTO for chronic blepharitis treatment and the interest of pharmaceutical companies to conceive new formulation of this essential oil give a lot of confidence for the progress that this treatment may have in the future clinical practice.

Conflicts of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest declared by the authors.

References

[1] J. J. Kanski, Clinical ophthalmology, Reed Educational and Professional Publishing Ltd, Oxford, UK, 1999.
[2] A. M. S. Cheng, H. Sheha, and S. C. G. Tseng, “Recent advances on ocular Demodex infestation,” Current Opinion in Ophthalmology, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 295–300, 2015.
[3] J. Liu, H. Sheha, and S. C. Tseng, “Pathogenic role of Demodex mites in blepharitis,” Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 505–510, 2010.
[4] V. Bhandari and J. K. Reddy, “Blepharitis: always remember Demodex,” Middle East African Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 21, no. 4, article 142268, pp. 317–320, 2014.
[5] F. P. English and W. B. Nutting, “Demodicosis of ophthalmic concern,” American Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 91, no. 3, article 0002939481902919, pp. 362–372, 1981.
[6] S. Tighe, Y.-Y. Gao, and S. C. G. Tseng, “Terpinen-4-ol is the most active ingredient of tea tree oil to kill Demodex mites,” Translational Vision Science & Technology, vol. 2, no. 7, p. 2, 2013.
[7] S. F. Walton, M. McKinnon, S. Pizzutto, A. Doggall, E. Williams, and B. J. Currie, “Acaricial activity of Melaleuca alternifolia (tea tree) oil: in vitro sensitivity of sarcopes scabiei var hominis to terpinen-4-ol,” Archives of Dermatology, vol. 140, no. 5, pp. 563–566, 2004.
[8] M. De Bernardo, G. Salerno, P. Cornetta, and N. Rosa, “Axial length shortening after cataract surgery: new approach to solve the question,” Translational Vision Science & Technology, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 34, 2018.
[9] M. De Bernardo, L. Vitiello, G. Abbinante et al., “Comparison between two devices in the detection of corneal thickness changes after cataract surgery,” Scientific Reports, vol. 11, no. 1, article 86158, p. 6709, 2021.
[10] M. De Bernardo, L. Capasso, L. Caliendo, F. Paolercio, and N. Rosa, “IOL power calculation after corneal refractive surgery,” BioMed Research International, vol. 2014, 658359 pages, 2014.
[11] M. De Bernardo, L. Capasso, L. Caliendo, Y. Vosa, and N. Rosa, “Intraocular pressure evaluation after myopic refractive surgery: a comparison of methods in 121 eyes,” Seminars in Ophthalmology, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 233–242, 2016.
[12] N. Rosa, F. Cione, A. Pepe, S. Musto, and M. De Bernardo, “An advanced lens measurement approach (ALMA) in post refractive surgery IOL power calculation with unknown preoperative parameters,” PLoS One, vol. 15, no. 8, article e0237990, 2020.
[13] M. De Bernardo, F. Cione, and N. Rosa, “Re: Turnbull et al.: Methods for intraocular lens power calculation in cataract surgery after radial keratotomy (Ophthalmology. 2020;127:45-51),” Ophthalmology, vol. 127, no. 10, p. e87, 2020.
[14] Y. Eom, K. S. Na, H. S. Hwang et al., “Clinical efficacy of eyelid hygiene in blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction after cataract surgery: a randomized controlled pilot trial,” Scientific Reports, vol. 10, no. 1, article 67888, p. 11796, 2020.
[15] A. Peral, J. Alonso, C. Garcia-Garcia, C. Niño-Rueda, and P. Calvo Del Bosque, “Importance of lid hygiene before ocular surgery: qualitative and quantitative analysis of eyelid and conjunctiva microbiota,” Eye & Contact Lens, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 366–370, 2016.
[16] M. C. Callegan, M. Engelbert, D. W. Parke, B. D. Jett, and M. S. Gilmore, “Bacterial endophthalmitis: epidemiology, therapeutics, and bacterium-host interactions,” Clinical Microbiology Reviews, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 111–124, 2002.
[17] T. A. Giulla, M. B. Starr, and S. Masket, “Bacterial endophthalmitis prophylaxis for cataract surgery,” Ophthalmology, vol. 109, no. 1, article S0161642001008995, pp. 13–24, 2002.
[18] I. M. Y. Cheung, A. L. Xue, A. Kim, K. Ammundsen, M. T. M. Wang, and J. P. Craig, “In vitro anti-demodectic effects and terpinen-4-ol content of commercial eyelid cleansers,” Contact Lens & Anterior Eye, vol. 41, no. 6, article S1367048418307525, pp. 513–517, 2018.
[19] D. Chen, J. Wang, D. A. Sullivan, W. R. Kam, and Y. Liu, “Effects of terpinen-4-ol on meibomian gland epithelial cells in vitro,” Cornea, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 1541–1546, 2020.
[20] C. W. Su, S. Tighe, H. Sheha, A. M. S. Cheng, and S. C. G. Tseng, “Safety and efficacy of 4-terpineol against microorganisms associated with blepharitis and common ocular diseases,” BMJ Open Ophthalmology, vol. 3, no. 1, article e000094, 2018.
[21] A. Bulut and C. Tanriverdi, “Anti-Demodex effect of commercial eyelid hygiene products,” Seminars in Ophthalmology, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 719–722, 2021.
[22] T. N. Maher, “The use of tea tree oil in treating blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction,” Oman Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 11–15, 2018.
[23] Y. Karakurt and E. Zeytun, “Evaluation of the efficacy of tea tree oil on the density of Demodex mites (Acari: Demodicidae) and ocular symptoms in patients with demodectic blepharitis,” The Journal of Parasitology, vol. 104, no. 5, pp. 473–478, 2018.
[24] S. B. Ergun, G. S. Saribas, S. Yarayici et al., “Comparison of efficacy and safety of two tea tree oil-based formulations in patients with chronic blepharitis: a double-blind randomized clinical trial,” Ocular Immunology and Inflammation, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 888–897, 2020.
[25] H. Koo, T. H. Kim, K. W. Kim, S. W. Wee, Y. S. Chun, and J. C. Kim, “Ocular surface discomfort and Demodex: effect of tea tree oil eyelid scrub in Demodex blepharitis,” Journal of Korean Medical Science, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 1574–1579, 2012.
[26] O. Alver, S. A. Kivanç, B. Akova Budak, N. Ü. Tüzemen, B. Ener, and A. T. Özmen, “A clinical scoring system for diagnosis of ocular demodicosis,” Medical Science Monitor, vol. 23, article 907824, pp. 5862–5869, 2017.
[27] O. Murphy, V. O’Dwyer, and A. Lloyd-Mckernan, “The efficacy of tea tree face wash, 1, 2-octanediol and microblepharoexfoliation in treating Demodex folliculorum blepharitis,” Contact Lens & Anterior Eye, vol. 41, no. 1, article S1367048417020727, pp. 77–82, 2018.
[28] B. Mergen, C. Arici, A. Yildiz-Tas et al., “Swabs containing tea tree oil and chamomile oil versus baby shampoo in patients with seborrheic blepharitis: a double-blind randomized clinical trial,” *Eye & Contact Lens*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 604–610, 2021.

[29] W. Liu and L. Gong, “Anti-demodectic effects of okra eyelid patch in Demodex blepharitis compared with tea tree oil,” *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 338, 2021.

[30] Ö. Evren Kemer, E. E. Karaca, and D. Özek, “Efficacy of cyclic therapy with terpinen-4-ol in Demodex blepharitis: is treatment possible by considering Demodex’s life cycle?,” *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 1361–1366, 2021.

[31] C. Jacobi, S. Doan, V. Pavel, F. Chiambaretta, and T. Kärcher, “Different approach to manage Demodex blepharitis-initial and maintenance treatment,” *Current Eye Research*, vol. 26, pp. 1–9, 2021.