Osimertinib Resistance With a Novel EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D Triple Mutation in a Patient With Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Case Report
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Targeted drug therapy based on the types of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene mutations has been widely used in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). With the development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, more and more EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) resistance mutation sites have been revealed. Here, we report a novel EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D triple mutation in plasma-derived circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was identified in a 53-year-old male patient with NSCLC resistant to osimertinib treatment, using an ultra-deep (20,000×) 160-gene panel through the NGS platform. Our case confirms that dynamic monitoring of liquid biopsy based on ctDNA is conducive to the selection of targeted therapy and the realization of the patient’s full course management.
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INTRODUCTION

Accurate identification of oncogenic driver mutations has revolutionized the clinical management of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Targeted drug therapy based appropriate epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene mutations has been widely used in the treatment of NSCLC patients (1, 2). Based on the kinase domain of EGFR, several EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) drugs have been developed and applied effectively, including the first-generation inhibitors erlotinib, gefitinib and icotinib, the second-generation inhibitors afatinib and dacomitinib, and the third-generation inhibitor osimertinib (3–8). Although targeted therapy has improved the prognosis of NSCLC patients, inevitable drug resistance remains widespread (9).

With the rapid development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, more and more novel EGFR mutation sites have been revealed gradually, indicating the sensitivity and resistance to drugs (10, 11). The biological simulation of protein structure suggested that the first-generation TKI resistance of a patient with NSCLC harboring EGFR L858R mutation treated with erlotinib was related to the secondary EGFR Y891D mutation (12).

Here, we report a novel EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D triple mutation in plasma-derived circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was identified in a patient with NSCLC resistant to osimertinib...
treatment, using an ultra-deep (20,000×) 160-gene panel through the NGS platform. We present the following case in accordance with the CARE Guideline (13).

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 53-year-old male with a history of smoking for approximately 30 years was presented to hospital in July 2017. The patient was previously in good health and had no history of other diseases or medication. Computed tomography (CT) scans showed a lung mass of the upper left lobe along with nodules involvement (Figure 1B). Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed brain metastases (Figure 1B). Broncho-alveolar lavage fluid (BALF) confirmed squamous cell carcinoma. He was diagnosed with stage IVb lung squamous cell carcinoma (T4N2M1c) with an EGFR L858R/A859S double mutation, detected using ctDNA through the NGS platform. Variant allele frequencies (VAFs) of the detected EGFR L858R and A859S mutations were 8.7 and 8.41%, respectively (Figures 1A, 2). Icotinib (125 mg, three times per day) was then administered from July 2017.

After seven months of icotinib treatment, the patient presented obvious nausea and vomiting, accompanied by lack of consciousness and limited movement of legs. Chest CT scans showed original lung mass was significantly reduced, but the brain MRI showed the brain metastases was enlarged according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST v1.1) (Figure 1C). These phenomena can be inferred that the patient had developed resistance to icotinib. Plasma ctDNA detection results showed two secondary EGFR T790M and Y891D mutations, and VAFs were 1 and 1.89% respectively, accompanied with decreased VAFs of EGFR L858R and A859S mutations to 6.25 and 5.61%, respectively (Figures 1A, 2). Based on ctDNA testing results, the patient received osimertinib (80 mg per day) and experienced significant improvements in nausea, vomiting, consciousness and legs movement within one week. In our subsequent follow-up, the symptoms of the patient were

---

**FIGURE 1** | Timeline and effect of EGFR-TKI treatments. (A) Treatment timeline; (B) Baseline images of CT and MRI at diagnosis; (C) Brain metastases progressed and original lung mass reduced after seven months of icotinib treatment; (D) Brain metastases progressed and thoracic lesions controlled after eight months of osimertinib treatment; EGFR, epithelial growth factor receptor; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
gradually alleviated and the patient was able to take care of himself normally. This good performance status lasted for eight months, suggesting that the symptoms of brain metastasis were well controlled.

However, the disease progressed again after eight months, and the patient presented the neurological symptoms again. Chest CT scans showed thoracic lesions were still well controlled, but brain MRI revealed the brain metastases were larger and the edema was more obvious than before (Figure 1D). Compared with the VAFs of EGFR mutation sites in the second ctDNA testing, the third gene detection results revealed EGFR T790M disappeared, L858R and A859S decreased to 4.25 and 4.18% respectively, while Y891D increased to 4.38% (Figures 1A, 2). The patient received afatinib (40 mg per day) and experienced improvements in nausea, vomiting, consciousness and legs movement within two weeks. The symptom was well controlled for nearly two months.

Eventually, the patient’s condition deteriorated dramatically, resulting in loss of consciousness and paralysis of both legs. His death was certified at home in December 2018, most likely due to the stroke caused by the brain metastases.

**DISCUSSION**

Patients with NSCLC harboring EGFR mutations are usually treated with EGFR-TKIs for targeted therapy, but some patients’ progress was due to acquired drug resistance. EGFR mutations are rare in patients with the squamous cell carcinoma, whose outcomes are usually inferior to EGFR-positive adenocarcinoma. To date, more and more reports have been published on the mechanisms of third-generation EGFR-TKI acquired resistance, such as gatekeeper EGFR C797S mutation, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) or hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET) amplification, and histological transformation (9, 14). Therefore, considering the importance of gene-guided therapy and the difficulty of repeated biopsy or insufficient tissues during the progression, dynamic monitoring of gene mutation variations through liquid biopsy is of great value for the management of NSCLC.

Here, we report a case of whole-course management in a patient with NSCLC carrying EGFR gene mutations in ctDNA through the NGS platform, to guide drug selections for EGFR-TKI treatments. The NGS detection platform included the detection of gene amplifications, but no gene amplification was found in our study. Previous studies have reported that a patient with EGFR L858R/A859S responded well to the first-generation EGFR-TKI, which was consistent with our findings (15). Qin et al. inferred that EGFR L858R/Y891D was resistant to erlotinib through the energy simulation of protein structure biology and the clinical manifestations of the patient, and found that osimertinib treatment could control the disease status (12). Our present study found that the EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D triple mutation showed drug resistance to osimertinib, so it was concluded that the triple mutation might be the drug resistance mechanism of the patient. In our report, this patient developed the common EGFR T790M drug-resistant mutation and the rare EGFR Y891D mutation after seven months of treatment with icotinib. Although VAFs of EGFR T790M, L858R and A859S were all expected to decrease, VAF of EGFR Y891D was significantly increased after osimertinib treatment for eight months (Figure 2). The clinical significance of single EGFR A859S somatic mutation was not clearly determined, this site was only detected in multiple myeloma, but not reported in lung cancer (16). Combined with these data, we concluded that the secondary EGFR Y891D mutation may be the main cause of drug resistance to osimertinib. This phenomenon may be caused by the selection pressure of different EGFR-TKI drugs, and the resistance to treatments may be caused by the expansion of the pre-existing subclonal population (17).

It has been reported that patients with rare EGFR mutations may be sensitive to targeted treatment with afatinib (18). In this case study, since the EGFR A859S and Y891D were considered to be rare EGFR mutations, the patient was treated with afatinib for the third-line therapy. Although the symptoms were relieved for
nearly two months, the patient died from a stroke caused by brain metastases.

There are some limitations in our study. First of all, the osimertinib resistance mechanism of the novel EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D triple mutation needs to be further verified from the in vitro cell line experiments and protein structural biology energy calculation. Secondly, whether afatinib can be used for the treatment of such patients with this triple mutation remains to be further studied.

CONCLUSION

In summary, our report indicates that a novel EGFR L858R/A859S/Y891D formed by secondary EGFR Y891D may be the potential cause of the drug resistance mechanism of the first- and third-generation EGFR-TKIs, which may be a new target for the treatment of NSCLC. In addition, it is confirmed that dynamic monitoring of liquid biopsy based on ctDNA is conducive to the selection of targeted therapy and the realization of the patient’s full course management.
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