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**Abstract**

The COVID-19 pandemic has been disruptive, unevenly impacting health behaviors in different geographical regions and population groups. We examined how COVID-19 affected perceived changes in physical activity, sleep, and diet and the impact of socio-demographic variables on a calculated health risk behavior score. In this cross-sectional study, 505 residents of northern California completed a web-based survey from August to November of 2020. Chi-square and multivariable linear regression analyses examined the association between socio-demographic variables and the health risk behavior score. Approximately 84% of respondents experienced at least one unfavorable behavior change after the pandemic, with 49.5% indicating a reduction in physical activity, 29.7% a decrease in sleep, 33.1% an increase in sugary snack consumption, and 29.3% a decrease in fruit and vegetable intake. Multivariate analyses indicated a higher health risk behavior score (less favorable) for females compared to males (male beta = -0.815, p < 0.0001) and Hispanics compared to Whites (Hispanics beta = 0.396, p = 0.033). The negative changes in health behavior observed in females could be attributed to a higher reduction in fruit and vegetable consumption, and a larger increase in sugary and salty snacks when compared to males. A higher reduction in exercise, sleep, and fruit and vegetable intake were the main drivers of the unfavorable results seen for Hispanics when compared to Whites. Findings highlight the detrimental behavioral changes during the COVID-19 pandemic and the disproportionate impact on Hispanics and women. These results are valuable to policymakers to identify ways to support those most affected by the pandemic and its potential long-term effects.

1. Introduction

The global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic declared as such by the World Health Organization in March 2020 continues to drastically impact socio-economic stability and healthcare systems around the globe. In the U.S., people of color, including Blacks, Hispanics, Native Americans, those with lower educational attainment, and younger workers have been disproportionately affected, widening the health disparities that were already present pre-pandemic (Falk et al., 2021; Lopez et al., 2021). Health outcomes are determined by a wide array of factors, including policymaking, social factors, health services, and biology and genetics. In addition, individual behaviors, including diet quality, physical activity, alcohol use, and sleep, have a significant impact on health outcomes and are themselves affected by socioeconomic variables (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2021; Singu et al., 2020). According to national surveillance data, adherence to recommendations for physical activity, nutrition, and sleep are suboptimal (Chen and Sturm, 2021; Du et al., 2019; Sheehan et al., 2019) and disruptions caused by the pandemic have likely shifted many health-related behaviors.

California issued the first statewide stay-at-home order in the United States due to the COVID-19 outbreak, on March 19, 2020 (State of California, 2020). While these measures have shown to be effective in limiting the spread of the virus (Fowler et al., 2021), it severely disrupted economic and daily activities for residents such as transportation services, access to indoor exercising facilities and State parks, restaurants, schools, social gatherings, etc. Unemployment in the state reached its historic maximum of 16% in April 2020, and has remained higher than average for months to follow (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2021), with potential effects on food access, housing, physical and mental health, and health risk behaviors. Local policies imposed by the pandemic led to more time spent at home, which may have translated to changes in health behaviors.
into negative changes, such as emotional eating, or positive changes, such as preparing more meals at home. Thus, emerging studies assessing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on health behaviors and outcomes have shown varying results and warrant additional exploration.

A growing body of research on the effects of the pandemic suggests that home confinement and social distancing policies led to increased sedentary behaviors (Ammar et al., 2020; Meyer et al., 2020). Overall, there was a decrease in physical activity levels, especially among adults who were active prior to the pandemic (Ammar et al., 2020; Meyer et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020), while improvements in exercise habits were reported among previously inactive participants (Cancelllo et al., 2020). For diet related changes, results are less consistent. For example, findings from international surveys suggested that the meal patterns and types of foods chosen after the pandemic were unhealthier (Ammar et al., 2020; Ingram et al., 2020; Marty et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2020). In the U.S., a 14 % increase in added sugar intake was observed (Cummings et al., 2021). However, 34 % of respondents reported a self-perceived improvement in diet quality in Italy and 85 % in India (Cancelllo et al., 2020; Madan et al., 2021). Findings on alcohol consumption and sleep were also mixed: according to a national survey of U.S. adults, there was a 14 % increase in alcohol consumption early in the pandemic compared to the previous year (Pollard et al., 2020), while a decline in alcohol consumption was observed in many countries in Europe, except for Ireland and the UK over this same period (Kilian et al., 2021). In some studies, an increase in sleep duration was observed (Giuntella et al., 2021; Sparks et al., 2021), while worsening sleep quality was noted in others (Cancelllo et al., 2020; Ingram et al., 2020; Ismail et al., 2020; Robillard et al., 2021). Poor sleep quality was often associated with depression, anxiety, and negative mood (Ingram et al., 2020; Kocesvka et al., 2020; Robillard et al., 2021). However, changes in health behaviors as a result of the pandemic have also differed within the same region when comparing different age groups, gender, race/ethnicities, and income (Chen et al., 2021; Galle et al., 2020, 2021; Lamarche et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021).

COVID-19 and its repercussions are disproportionately affecting lower-income communities, racial and ethnic minorities, and individuals with comorbidities (Kim et al., 2020). These groups can face additional challenges to develop or maintain positive health behaviors which may explain some of the contradicting results on the impact of the pandemic. Furthermore, region-specific restrictions implemented during the pandemic may have varying effects on health behaviors. In California stricter orders were imposed compared to other states in the U.S., requiring further investigation. Northern California is one of the most diverse populations in the U.S. and the housing costs are among the highest in the nation. Considering the limited studies and conflicting results on the topic, a deeper understanding of the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on health behaviors is needed, especially for marginalized groups. Therefore, the purpose of this cross-sectional study was to assess how the COVID-19 outbreak affected perceived health behavior changes, specifically exercise, sleep habits, alcohol consumption, and diet quality, among residents of a metropolitan area in northern California. A secondary objective was to identify social and demographic variables associated with adverse behavior changes, as calculated by a health risk behavior score, to inform policies and programs aiming to reduce health disparities.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Study design and participants

In this cross-sectional study, a convenience sample of San Francisco Bay Area residents were recruited through Social Media posts (Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, Craiglist, Nextdoor, Twitter, and Yelp Talk) and emails to various community groups asking them to complete a self-administered online questionnaire using Qualtrics. The survey was also advertised on Facebook and on El Tecolote, a free bilingual publication based in San Francisco. Recruitment and survey completion occurred from August 1st until November 30th 2020. There were three inclusion criteria: (1) being 18 years of age or older; (2) being a San Francisco Bay Area Resident; (3) living in the United States since at least January 1st, 2020. The area defined included ten counties: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano, and Sonoma, this represents an adult population of about 6.4 million people. A total of 1457 participants started the survey, of which 505 provided complete information for all the health-behavior related variables and were included in the current study. According to sample size calculations for the adult population, a sample of 384 participants or greater would provide 95 % confidence interval and 5 % margin error.

2.2. Data collection

The questionnaire included Niles et al. 2020 survey version 2.1 (Niles et al. 2020) about the impact of COVID-19 on food access challenges and related concerns, demographics, and 17 additional questions related to health behaviors (physical activity, diet, and sleep) and presence of chronic diseases. The survey was offered in both English and Spanish. The median completion time was 26.1 min (interquartile range, 20.4–39.0). Participants were given a choice to be entered in a raffle to receive a $20 Amazon gift card. The first page of the online questionnaire provided information about the study and informed consent. The study was approved by the San Jose State University Institutional Review Board (IRB Protocol Tracking Number: 20162).

2.3. Measures

Participants were asked to indicate how their health behaviors changed compared to before the COVID-19 outbreak (more, less, or about the same). Unfavorable changes of modifiable risk factors during COVID-19 were consolidated into a health risk behavior score for a total of 7 possible points with a higher score representing more unfavorable changes in health behaviors. The following responses for the dependent variable increase the score by one unit: 1) decreased physical activity and 2) decreased sleep, 3) decreased fruits and vegetable intake, 4) increased red and processed meat intake, 5) increased sugary snacks intake, 6) increased salty snacks intake, 7) increased alcoholic beverage intake.

Independent variables included 1) gender, 2) age, 3) income, 4) education, 5) race/ethnicity, 6) height (feet and inches) and weight (pounds), which were used to calculate body mass index and categorize participants as normal weight (18.5 to <25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25.0 to <30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), or obese (30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or higher), and 7) presence of chronic conditions. These variables were included as they were hypothesized to influence health behaviors. The chronic conditions selected were those the CDC listed on July 2020 as ones that could increase one’s risk of severe COVID-19 illness and included asthma, cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic liver disease, high blood pressure, neurologic conditions, other chronic lung diseases, serious heart condition, type I diabetes, type II diabetes and other diseases that might compromise the immune system.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all independent and dependent variables and are presented as means (standard deviations) or frequency counts and percentages. To evaluate the impact of the independent variables on changes in health behaviors post-COVID-19, a health behavior score was calculated where each adverse behavior contributed one point, resulting in a maximum possible score of 7. Bivariate analyses were performed to evaluate the impact of individual independent variables on the health risk behavior score. Variables that were significant in the bivariate analysis at a p-value of <0.2 were included in the final model. This p-value was selected so as not to miss
important variables in the final model. Chi-squared tests were performed to determine if differences were statistically significant. The association between independent variables and the health risk behavior score was modeled using multivariable linear regression analyses. Reported heights above 7 feet and below 4 feet were excluded from the analysis (n = 4). Those with a calculated BMI below 10 and 70 or greater were also excluded (n = 6). Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software (SAS® OnDemand for Academics: User’s Guide, 2014).

3. Results

The demographic characteristics of respondents are summarized in Table 1. A majority (81.8%) of respondents were women, and the predominant age group was 21 to 40 years old (41.0%), followed by those in the 41–60 age group (37.2%). Approximately half of the participants had an annual income between $35,000 to $150,000, with 20.2% having an income below this range, and 26.9% earning more than $150,000. Education level was more evenly distributed among the three categories (Associate, Bachelor’s, or Advanced degree). Forty-five percent of respondents self-identified as White, with the second largest group being those who self-identified as Hispanic (25%). Forty-one percent of the study participants were classified as normal weight based on BMI, and 54.2% of them were in the overweight and obese categories. In terms of chronic conditions, over half of the study participants reported having at least one.

After the COVID-19 outbreak, approximately 84% of the respondents experienced at least one unfavorable behavior change. The observed values for the health risk behavior score ranged from 0 to 6 (mean 2.02; standard deviation 1.54). A notable change was observed in those in the 41–60 age group, participants with lower education, or with obesity based on BMI, and 54.2% of them were in the overweight and obese categories (Table 2). A lower score (beta = –0.815) was associated with being male, while being Hispanic was associated with higher scores (+0.396).

The impact of gender on the health risk behavior score can be explained by a higher reduction in fruit and vegetable consumption (31.2 vs 13.3%, p < 0.006), and a higher increase in the consumption of sugary (36.1 vs 16%, p = 0.001) and salty (28.3 vs 12%, p = 0.008) snacks in women compared to men (Table 3). For ethnicity, the negative impact on the health risk behavior score can be attributed to a higher increase in exercise (61.1 vs 42.1%, p = 0.003), sleep (44.4 vs 25.4%, p < 0.001), and fruit and vegetable consumption (42.9 vs 25%, p = 0.001) in Hispanics compared to Whites (Table 4). Differences in the consumption of sugary and salty snacks and alcohol, although significant, were due to reductions in consumption (see “Less” category), which were not considered in the calculation of the health risk behavior score.

Table 1
| Characteristics                  | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Gender                          |           |         |
| Male                            | 75        | 14.9    |
| Female                          | 413       | 81.8    |
| Transgender/Non Binary          | 7         | 1.4     |
| Other/Missing                   | 10        | 1.9     |
| Age                             |           |         |
| 18-20 years                     | 29        | 5.7     |
| 21-40                           | 207       | 41.0    |
| 41-60                           | 188       | 37.2    |
| 60+                             | 81        | 16.0    |
| Annual household income (US$)   |           |         |
| <35 K                           | 102       | 20.2    |
| 35 - < 150 K                    | 237       | 46.9    |
| ≥150 K                          | 136       | 26.9    |
| Missing                         | 30        | 5.9     |
| Educational level               |           |         |
| Associate’s or less             | 180       | 35.6    |
| Bachelor’s                      | 134       | 26.5    |
| Advanced degree                 | 186       | 36.8    |
| Missing                         | 5         | 1.0     |
| Race/ethnicity                  |           |         |
| White                           | 228       | 45.2    |
| Hispanic                        | 126       | 25.0    |
| Asian                           | 81        | 16.0    |
| Other                           | 70        | 13.9    |
| BMI category                    |           |         |
| Normal weight (18.5 to <25 kg/m²) | 206       | 40.8    |
| Overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²)    | 128       | 25.3    |
| Obese (≥30 kg/m²)               | 146       | 28.9    |
| Missing                         | 25        | 5.0     |
| Presence of Chronic Condition   |           |         |
| None                            | 231       | 45.7    |
| One chronic condition           | 129       | 25.5    |
| Two or more chronic conditions  | 87        | 17.3    |
| Missing                         | 58        | 11.5    |

Abbreviations: BMI - Body Mass Index.
deal with lower demand, limited capacity regulations, and shortages of workers (Gostin and Wiley, 2020; Yang et al., 2020), likely leading residents to consume more home-cooked meals. This, coupled with food supply chain disruptions, increased food prices, and a loss of income for many (Falk et al., 2021; Hobbs, 2020), could have resulted in lower-income residents limiting the consumption of more expensive items such as meat, fruit, and vegetables. In contrast, those not financially affected by the pandemic could have been positively impacted thanks to more free time to prepare high-quality home-made meals, but also a potential increase in the consumption of the less desirable sugary and salty snacks due to boredom and stress.

Consistent with our findings, survey results suggest that the COVID-19 pandemic has affected men and women differently around the world. Studies conducted in the U.S., United Emirates, and China, found that women were at increased risk for weight gain, sedentary lifestyle, sleep disturbance, and increased alcohol consumption during the pandemic (He et al., 2020; Ismail et al., 2020; Pollard et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). Gender had an impact on the calculated unhealthy lifestyle behavior score in the United Arab Emirates, where women were more likely to decrease their physical activity and sleep, while increasing their

Fig. 1. The proportion of respondents in percentages indicating a reduction, no change, or increase in exercise, sleep, and specific dietary habits after the COVID-19 outbreak.

| Table 2 | Association between health risk behavior score and sociodemographic factors among respondents. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |                                                                                                                |                                                                 |
|         | **Crude**                                                                                                      | **Adjusted**                                                                                                     |
|         | Parameter estimate | Lower 95% CL | Upper 95% CL | Pr > |t| | Parameter estimate | Lower 95% CL | Upper 95% CL | Pr > |t| |
| Age     |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| 21–40 years old | 0.508 | −0.084 | 1.099 | 0.092 | 0.323 | −0.411 | 1.058 | 0.387 |
| 41–60 years old | 0.356 | −0.239 | 0.951 | 0.241 | 0.200 | −0.550 | 0.951 | 0.601 |
| >60 years old | −0.280 | −0.925 | 0.366 | 0.395 | −0.194 | −0.988 | 0.600 | 0.631 |
| Gender  |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| Male    | −0.783 | −1.154 | −0.412 | <0.001 | −0.815 | −1.207 | −0.423 | <0.001 |
| Body mass index |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| Overweight | 0.018 | −0.315 | 0.351 | 0.915 | −0.067 | −0.415 | 0.280 | 0.704 |
| Obese   | 0.277 | −0.042 | 0.597 | 0.089 | 0.026 | −0.324 | 0.377 | 0.882 |
| Annual household income |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| Mid income (35 to <150 K US$) | −0.102 | −0.458 | 0.255 | 0.575 | 0.007 | −0.369 | 0.382 | 0.971 |
| High income (≥150 K US$) | −0.547 | −0.941 | −0.152 | 0.007 | −0.365 | −0.823 | 0.093 | 0.118 |
| Education |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| Bachelor’s degree | 0.057 | −0.285 | 0.400 | 0.742 | 0.036 | −0.339 | 0.410 | 0.851 |
| Advanced degree | −0.237 | −0.551 | 0.076 | 0.138 | 0.055 | −0.315 | 0.426 | 0.769 |
| Race/Ethnicity |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| Hispanic | 0.542 | 0.210 | 0.875 | 0.001 | 0.396 | 0.032 | 0.761 | 0.033 |
| Asian   | −0.007 | −0.395 | 0.381 | 0.972 | −0.057 | −0.468 | 0.255 | 0.787 |
| Other   | 0.282 | −0.128 | 0.692 | 0.177 | 0.202 | −0.245 | 0.649 | 0.374 |
| Chronic conditions |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| One condition | −0.137 | −0.457 | 0.183 | 0.401 | N/A |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |
| ≥2 conditions | 0.198 | −0.172 | 0.567 | 0.294 |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                                                |                                                                |                                                                |

Abbreviations: CL - confidence level.

a Adjusted for: gender, weight status, age, income, education level and race/ethnicity.

b Significance level for crude model: <0.2.

c Significance level for adjusted model: <0.05.

d Not significant in the bivariate model.
This increased risk is potentially associated with women and vegetable consumption and an increase in snack and meat intake.

Table 3

Association between gender and health risk behavior changes after the COVID-19 outbreak

|                       | Female |                       | Chi-square |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|------------|
|                       | Less   | Same                   | More       | Less | Same | More |
| Exercise              | 50.6   | 27.1                   | 22.3       | 44.0 | 32.0 | 24.0 | 0.553 |
| Sleep                 | 31.2   | 43.3                   | 25.4       | 21.3 | 54.7 | 24.0 | 0.139 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 31.2   | 48.9                   | 19.9       | 15.3 | 64.0 | 22.7 | 0.006 |
| Red and processed meat| 33.2   | 55.0                   | 11.9       | 22.7 | 69.3 | 8.0  | 0.069 |
| Sugary snacks         | 26.6   | 37.3                   | 36.1       | 24.0 | 60.0 | 16.0 | 0.001 |
| Salty snacks          | 25.4   | 46.3                   | 28.3       | 26.7 | 61.3 | 12.0 | 0.008 |
| Alcohol               | 23.0   | 54.7                   | 22.0       | 20.0 | 61.3 | 18.7 | 0.568 |

* The health risk behavior score was calculated based on the values for “less” exercise, sleep, and fruit and vegetable intake, and for “more” red and processed meat, sugary and salty snacks and alcohol intake (highlighted in gray).

Table 4

Association between race/ethnicity and health risk behavior changes after the COVID-19 outbreak

|                       | White | Hispanic | Chi-square |
|-----------------------|-------|----------|------------|
|                       | Less  | Same     | More       | Less | Same | More |           |
| Exercise              | 42.1  | 33.8     | 24.1       | 61.1 | 21.4 | 17.5 | 0.003 |
| Sleep                 | 25.4  | 53.1     | 21.5       | 44.4 | 30.2 | 25.4 | <0.001 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 25.0  | 57.9     | 17.1       | 42.9 | 40.5 | 16.7 | 0.001 |
| Red and processed meat| 21.5  | 66.7     | 11.8       | 44.4 | 41.3 | 14.3 | <0.001 |
| Sugary snacks         | 21.1  | 45.6     | 33.3       | 38.9 | 33.3 | 27.8 | 0.001 |
| Salty snacks          | 20.2  | 55.7     | 24.1       | 38.1 | 37.3 | 24.6 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol               | 14.9  | 62.3     | 22.8       | 36.5 | 39.7 | 23.8 | <0.001 |

* The health risk behavior score was calculated based on the values for “less” exercise, sleep, and fruit and vegetable intake, and for “more” red and processed meat, sugary and salty snacks and alcohol intake (highlighted in gray).

In our study, Hispanics presented more unfavorable changes in health risk behaviors as a result of the pandemic, being more likely to report reductions in physical activity, sleep, and fruit and vegetable consumption. This was also seen in a large survey of U.S. adults that indicated that Hispanics were 2.3 times more likely to reduce their physical activity when compared to Non-Hispanic Whites (Chen et al., 2021). For diet-related changes, an increase in sugar-sweetened beverages and fast food consumption, but not snacks and desserts, has been observed in Hispanics compared to non-Hispanic whites (Chen et al., 2021; Park et al., 2022). For sleep patterns, previous studies have described increase, decrease, or no change in the duration of sleep as a result of COVID (Cancello et al., 2020; Giuntella et al., 2021; Ismail et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2021). According to a survey with a nationally representative sample of the U.S., average sleep duration did not change after the pandemic, with no clear patterns between races (Hisler and Twenge, 2021). Unfavorable behavioral changes observed among Hispanics in our study may be explained by the hardships affecting this population group. Although Latino workers in California represent 38% of the workforce, they accounted for 50% of the job losses seen between February and September of 2020. This group is overrepresented in close-contact industries which were hit the hardest, and among workers with lower education levels, which account for the vast majority of the job losses in the state (Alamos, 2020).

We acknowledge the existence of several limitations in our study. Firstly, our data are self-reported and were collected during the course of a four-month period (August to November of 2020), during which the effects of living under the COVID-19 pandemic could have changed for individual participants. Secondly, participants were asked to compare current habits with those of at least five months earlier, which is prone to recall bias and inaccuracies. Thirdly, our sample is prone to selection bias as respondents were recruited through social media platforms and newspapers. While the income distribution reflects that of the Bay Area, this is not a representative sample. For example, there was an overrepresentation of women among study participants, as well as those with advanced degrees. In addition, the calculated Health risk behavior score does not take into account beneficial behavior changes. Nonetheless, the present study assessed a comprehensive set of health behaviors risks and had a good representation of Hispanics, low-income households, and young adults. As such, its results could be valuable to policymakers in the region to identify ways to support those most affected by the pandemic.

Our data suggest that most participants were negatively impacted by the pandemic, reporting at least one unfavorable health behavior change. The groups most vulnerable were women and Hispanics, who were more likely to increase their health risk behaviors, mostly due to a worsening in diet quality, as well as reductions in physical activity and sleep. These results suggest that programs targeted to these more at-risk population groups could have a larger impact to mitigate the effects of this ongoing pandemic. In addition, policies to support at risk groups are needed to address disparities related to healthy food access, childcare, and economic opportunities. Nevertheless, future prospective studies should be conducted to better understand the mid-term and long-term impacts of the pandemic on at-risk populations to assess if these changes persist over time.
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