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Abstract

The effect of relative humidity (RH) and light on the development of epsomite (MgSO₄·7H₂O) in Winsor & Newton cadmium yellow (CY) and French ultramarine (FU) artists’ oil colour paints was investigated. Tube paint samples were aged for 12 weeks at either 50% or 75% RH, under ambient light (200 ± 1 lx), elevated light (11,807 ± 328 lx), and near-dark conditions. Aged paint samples were characterised using light microscopy (LM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Results indicated that ageing at 75% RH in elevated light conditions, promoted the formation of hydrated magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄·6–7H₂O) crystals on the surface of both paints. The formation of sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄) as a degradation product of French ultramarine oil paints after ageing at 75% RH in elevated light conditions is described. The formation of magnesium sulphate crystals in the absence of elevated SO₂ is a new finding. For both cadmium yellow and French ultramarine oil paints, the pigments present are a likely source of sulphur, enabling the formation of sulphate salts, i.e., cadmium sulphide (CdS) yellow, and the sulphur radical anions (S₃⁻) present in ultramarine pigment. Sulphur-containing impurities arising from pigment manufacture are an additional possibility. It was previously theorised that epsomite formation in water-sensitive twentieth century oil paintings resulted from exposure to the elevated atmospheric sulphur dioxide (SO₂) levels of the 1950s–1970s. This study demonstrates that hydromagnesite-containing cadmium yellow and French ultramarine oil paints of any period may be vulnerable to water-soluble sulphate salts formation and that this process is promoted by exposure to light and high (75%) RH environments. The formation of sulphate salts as a degradation product is known to contribute toward the development of water sensitivity of modern oil paintings which can pose significant challenges to conservation. Therefore this study highlights the importance of minimising exposure to light and raised relative humidity for paintings containing such CY and FU oil paint passages, to help slow down these types of degradation phenomena which have implications for preservation.
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Introduction

Epsomite (MgSO₄·7H₂O) has been identified as an artists’ oil paint degradation product with high water solubility, and is believed to contribute towards water sensitivity increasingly encountered in twentieth and twenty-first century oil paintings [1–5].
Epsomite formation within this context relates to the use of magnesium carbonate (MgCO₃) or hydromagnesite (Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂·4H₂O) as an extender in artists’ oil paint formulations by Winsor & Newton (W&N) [6]. The use of micronized dolomite (CaMg(CO₃)₂) as a substitute extender by W&N is also known [7]. Silvester et al. attributed the formation of epsomite crystals in water sensitive W&N paints to a reaction between MgCO₃ and atmospheric SO₂ levels at high relative humidity, where high relative humidity promotes the formation of sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) [4].

It was understood that this phenomenon may primarily affect carbonates-of-magnesium containing oil paintings dating from the 1950s to 1970s, which coincided with the global peak of SO₂ pollution [8]. Due to a 92% reduction in UK SO₂ pollution since 1970 [9], atmospheric SO₂ levels are now considered negligible, or at least significantly reduced compared to levels in the 1950–70 s, therefore it could be assumed that MgSO₄·7H₂O formation in more recent magnesium carbonate/hydromagnesite/dolomite containing oil paint films would be inhibited.

In particular, the oil paint colours cadmium yellow and French ultramarine have been noted for their disposition to form MgSO₄·7H₂O crystals during artificial ageing [4]. Both cadmium yellow and French ultramarine pigments contain sulphur, present within cadmium sulphide (CdS) and sulphated sodium aluminosilicate (Na₈[SiAlO₄]₆(S₃)₂) respectively.

The degradation of cadmium yellow oil paints has been a key area of research due to the observable deterioration associated with this pigment in a number of paintings, including those of notable artists such as Van Gogh [10], Picasso [11] and Matisse [12, 13]. Such degradation may include deterioration of the oil binder and/or reaction of the pigment, resulting in the formation of brown, white, or colourless compounds [11]. Cadmium sulphide is known for its photocatalytic properties [14] which can lead to photodegradation of CdS by oxidation, and formation of cadmium sulphate (CdSO₄), cadmium carbonate (CdCO₃) and cadmium oxalate (CdC₂O₄) [15, 16].

Previous investigations into the effect of relative humidity on the photocatalytic properties of CdS identified that photodegradation of CdS-based pigments in oil paints are promoted by a high humidity environment, with photodegradation occurring at ~95% RH but not at ~45% RH [17]. It was reasoned that at higher RH, water more readily permeates the paint film and stabilises radicals formed during photocorrosion of CdS-based pigments [17], and oxidation of the oil binding medium e.g., alkyl, hydroxy, alkoxy, or peroxyl radicals [18]. This reduces the probability of electron–hole recombination thus promoting the photodegradation of CdS [17]. French ultramarine pigments have been shown to be susceptible to framework destruction, an identified cause of fading in ultramarine paints [19, 20]. Faded pigments have been found to have a reduced concentration of paramagnetic chromophores, i.e., sulphur radical species, S₃⁻ as well as an increase in non-framework aluminium species relative to intact blue-coloured pigments. This indicates that fading is caused by framework destruction, accompanied by the release of paramagnetic chromophores. Oxidation of the S₃⁻ chromophores into sulphate degradation products is then possible given the high instability of the sulphur radicals once the cage structure has been disrupted [20].

Most recently, ribbon-like crystals have also been observed within age cracks of French ultramarine oil paint of Alexis Mérodack-Jeanneau’s La Créole au perroque (1910) [21]. EDX analysis suggested the presence of sodium sulphate. Crystals of similar morphology found on the surface were shown to be deliquescent; this indicates that the occurrence of highly water-soluble sodium sulphate may contribute to water sensitivity in French ultramarine paints. However, it was uncertain whether the identified sodium sulphate had formed as a pigment degradation product or was present as a residual raw material from pigment manufacture i.e., Glauber’s salt (Na₂SO₄·10H₂O) [21–23].

In this study cadmium yellow and French ultramarine Winsor & Newton artists’ oil colour tube paints were used to investigate the influence of light exposure and relative humidity on the formation of epsomite. It was hypothesised that in these paints epsomite crystals can form from the reaction of hydromagnesite and sulphur species arising from pigment degradation [4], and/or from residual sulphate impurities associated with manufacture of FU or CY pigments. In this scenario elevated atmospheric SO₂ levels would not be required for the formation of epsomite.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of paint samples**

Paint samples were prepared using W&N cadmium yellow (CY) PY35, and French ultramarine (FU) PB29. Artists’ Oil Colour (AOC) tube paints purchased in 2017. Their composition is reported in the results and discussion section. A Sheen Instruments film caster was used to produce paint films of dimensions 25 × 10 cm, with a wet-film thickness of 400 μm. Paint samples were cast onto a commercially prepared oil-primed linen canvas and an acrylic-emulsion-primed cotton duck canvas (Jackson’s Art Supplies, UK). After casting, the paint films were all allowed to cure under ambient laboratory conditions for 3 months, followed by 12 weeks of artificial and natural ageing under the conditions defined in Table 1.
Control samples were allowed to age within a climate-controlled conservation studio, with natural daylight passing through UV-filtered glass windows. The temperature and relative humidity of the conservation studio where the samples were housed, was monitored using a Tinytag Ultra data logger which took readings every 15 min over the 12-week ageing period. The average temperature was 21.5 ± 0.3 °C (max. 24.7 °C; min. 20.8 °C), the average humidity was 46.1 ± 4.8% RH (max. 58.3% RH; min. 35.1% RH). Control samples were either exposed to ambient light (max. ~ 200 lx, average of seven readings, measured using a handheld Minolta T10A lux meter) or were loosely wrapped in aluminium foil to achieve darkness. These samples were used as a control in order to evaluate the effect on the development of epsomite of artificial ageing at a high (75%) RH with elevated light or near-dark conditions, relative to a more typical museum display environment.

Artificial ageing at 75% RH and elevated light conditions was achieved using a Sanyo MLR-351H environmental chamber fitted with Philips Master TL-D Super 80 36 W/840 1SL/25, cool white, 4000 K bulbs with the ultraviolet (UV) component filtered out using polycarbonate filters supplied by Encapsulite, UK. The temperature was set to 25 °C. Conditions inside the Sanyo MLR-351H chamber are controlled and monitored by a built-in sensor and were not additionally monitored using a separate data logger. The chamber temperature and relative humidity was maintained to within ±0.1 °C and ±3% RH with respect to their set points of 25 °C, and 75% RH respectively. The average illumination inside the chamber was 11,807 ± 328 lx (based on an average of three readings, measured using a handheld Minolta T10A lux meter). Ageing at 75% RH in near darkness was achieved by placing an opaque tray over the samples which were then placed on a wire rack within the environmental chamber; this reduced the average illumination to 128 ± 29 lx, (based on an average of three readings using a Minolta T10A lux meter). Reducing the illumination by covering the samples with a large tray was favoured over wrapping in foil in order to ensure adequate air circulation around the paint samples within the environmental chamber, maintaining the correct RH.

### Characterisation of paints

Light microscopy (LM) was undertaken across all samples before and after ageing using a Hirox digital microscope KH-8700. Representative images from different regions of the paint samples were collected at ×200 and ×1000 magnification using lateral lighting to capture surface topography.

Characterisation of paint surfaces using attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) was conducted before and after ageing using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iZ10 spectrometer with a germanium attenuated total reflection (ATR) crystal, equipped with a deuterated triglycerine sulphate (DTGS) detector. 64 scans were collected at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and the spectral range was from 4000 to 600 cm⁻¹. Three repeat measurements were taken at different positions for each sample. The spectra were then manually baseline corrected and the CO₂ absorption was removed using OMNIC 9 software. The repeat spectra were then averaged.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was conducted for selected samples after ageing using a FEI Quanta 650 FEG. Imaging was conducted at 50 Pa in air. Secondary electron imaging parameters 5 kV voltage and 2.0 spot size were employed, using a working distance of 15 mm. Images were acquired at ×2000 and ×4000 magnification. For energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis, the above parameters were used with an increased spot size of 5.5. Elemental maps were acquired for 5 min.

XRD was undertaken of selected samples, before and after ageing using a Bruker D2 Phaser with a copper source. The experiment parameters were set to a spin program for 20 values between 10° and 80°, measured at 0.342 increments. The time step was set at 0.5 s. The patterns were then compared to ICDD powder diffraction database.

XPS was conducted only after ageing using a Thermo Fisher K-Alpha + X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer

---

**Table 1: Ageing conditions of paint samples. CY = cadmium yellow, FU = French Ultramarine**

| Paint | Substrates                  | Relative humidity (%) | Light conditions       |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| CY    | Oil-primed canvas and acrylic-primed canvas | ~50 | Ambient light |
|       | ~50 | Dark |
|       | 75  | Elevated light (high lux) |
|       | 75  | Near-dark (low lux) |
| FU    | Oil-primed canvas and acrylic-primed canvas | ~50 | Ambient light |
|       | ~50 | Dark |
|       | 75  | Elevated light (high lux) |
|       | 75  | Near-dark (low lux) |

1 The emission spectra for these bulbs is available in the Philips Product Data Sheet at: https://www.lighting.philips.co.uk/prof/conventional-lamps-and-tubes/fluorescent-lamps-and-starters/tl-d/master-tl-d-super-80/927921084023_EU/product (accessed 29.07.21).
using an aluminium source. The flood gun was enabled to prevent charging. Peak fitting was completed using Thermo Avantage software. Peaks were referenced relative to the C1s C–C peak at 284.8 eV and normalised before plotting.

An assessment of the water sensitivity of the samples was conducted before and after ageing through manual swab testing using pre-manufactured swabs and deionised water, designed to emulate a traditional surface cleaning process [2, 24]. Water sensitivity was quantified as the number of swab rolls required to remove pigment and/or binding medium from the paint film [2]. Assessment was repeated three times by the same hand and the results averaged. A lower counted number of swab rolls is indicative of a more sensitive paint surface.

For the SEM, XRD and XPS analysis, approximately 24 h prior to their characterisation, small portions were cut from the paint samples for analysis. The cut portions did not correlate to areas imaged using LM or those analysed using ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Thus, separate portions of the paint samples have been imaged and/or analysed for each type of analysis. The general homogeneity of the film-casted paint surfaces enabled comparison of results from the different analytical techniques.

### Results and discussion

#### Composition of the tube paints

The composition of the tube paints used in this study were characterised using SEM–EDX (see Table 2, EDX spectra are presented in the Additional file 1: Fig. A1) and ATR-FTIR of control samples aged at 50% RH/ambient light (Table 2; Fig. 2a and Fig. 7b). ATR-FTIR confirmed the binding medium as oil as expected (Table 2)—safflower oil was specified as the binding medium on the tubes. EDX identified magnesium in both FU and CY paints consistent with the identification of hydromagnesite using ATR-FTIR (Table 2). The presence of aluminium in both the FU and CY paints may be due to alumina hydrate (hydrated oxide of aluminium), often used by W&N in combination with magnesium carbonate type extenders in artists’ oil paint formulations [18]. Kaolinite [Al2Si2O5(OH)] was identified only in the FU tube paint using ATR-FTIR (Table 2, Fig. 7a) and XRD (see Additional file 1: Fig. A4). The presence of kaolinite may relate to the use of kaolin (a raw industrial material consisting of fine-grained white clay that is rich in kaolinite [25]) often used in the manufacture of synthetic or French ultramarine, or as an extender [26].

Cadmium and sulphur are consistent with cadmium sulphide (CdS) pigment in the CY paints; the presence of zinc could however indicate a cadmium zinc sulphide (Cd1–xZnxS) [27]. In the FU tube paint, the presence of sodium (Na), aluminium (Al), silicon (Si), potassium (K)
and sulphur (S) and a broad band in the ATR-FTIR spectra at ~1006 cm<sup>-1</sup> are consistent with ultramarine pigment, a sulphur-containing sodium aluminium silicate. A broad band centred at ~1620 cm<sup>-1</sup> was visible in the CY sample but not in the FU sample. This could indicate the asymmetric stretching vibrations of amorphous metal carboxylates and/or adsorbed water. Unlike ultramarine, cadmium yellow is known to react to form cadmium carboxylates and/or adsorbed water. Unlike ultramarine, the observed cracks visible in both defined crystals and superficial layer (Fig. 3c) may be artefacts of the vacuum applied during imaging. The cracks appear similar to those observed by Ruiz-Agudo et al. during thermal dehydration of MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O [31], suggesting that, despite the precautionary low vacuum (50 Pa) used, the vacuum dehydration of MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O crystals may have contributed to the observed cracking.

A superficial dendritic layer rich in Mg, S, and O was found on samples aged at 75% RH/near dark (Fig. 3b) but crystals were absent. This suggests that a superficial MgSO<sub>4</sub> surface layer is a precursor to crystal formation, and for CY oil paint, light likely plays a role in seeding or promoting faster growth of epsomite crystals.

XRD was used in an attempt to identify the hydration of MgSO<sub>4</sub> crystals present on CY samples aged at 75% RH/elevated light. Comparison of the XRD patterns for samples aged at 50%/ambient light and 75% RH/elevated lux on oil primed canvas show the CdS pigment to similarly dominate both patterns (Fig. 4). Additional diffraction peaks only observable for the sample aged at 75% RH/elevated light were attributed to monoclinic magnesium sulphate hexahydrate, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, rather than orthorhombic magnesium sulphate heptahydrate MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O. However, as the transition between the two crystals occurs below 52.5% RH at 25 °C [32] it is likely that MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O will persist in a high humidity environment and the finding of MgSO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O may be an artefact of RH or temperature variations during analysis. In a typical museum environment and in accordance with Bizot Green Protocol environmental recommendations [33], it is likely that paintings would experience some fluctuation above and below the 52.5% RH threshold, hence there may be some reversible transitions occurring around this RH which may relate to the different rod-, lozenge-, and hexagonal-shaped crystal morphologies documented in the literature [4, 30]. The relationship between temperature, humidity and crystal morphology is an identified area for further research.

XPS was also carried out on CY samples aged at ~50% RH/ambient light and 75%RH/elevated lux on oil primed canvas (Fig. 5). The C 1s peaks identify three carbon environments associated with the oil binding medium (Fig. 5a): C–C bonds, C–O–C bonds and C=O bonds. The absence of a distinct carbonate environment expected from the hydromagnesite extender present in the paint, likely results from relatively low concentrations...
| Paints samples | Ageing conditions | LM | SEM–EDX | ATR-FTIR | XPS | XRD |
|----------------|------------------|----|---------|----------|-----|-----|
| CY             | 75% RH, elevated light | Diamond-shaped and hexagonal-shaped crystals observed on the paint surface. | Samples aged at 75% RH/ elevated light conditions presented a superficial surface layer positioned underneath/ surrounding seeded crystals. Both seeded crystals and the superficial layer were rich in Mg, S and O. The superficial surface layer appeared similar to the layer observed after ageing at 75% RH/near-dark conditions, which lacked seeded crystals. | The emergence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ absorption bands at 1087 cm$^{-1}$ after ageing is consistent with the formation of a sulphate salt. | Samples aged at 75% RH/ elevated light contained a higher abundance of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ species relative to the sample aged at 50% RH/ambient light. | The emergence of additional diffraction patterns after ageing supports the crystals to be MgSO$_4$·6H$_2$O. |
|                | 75% RH, near-dark   | Colourless superficial dendritic layer visible across the paint sample surface, but no large surface crystals. | | | |
| FU             | 75% RH, elevated light | Rod-shaped and hexagonal crystals observed on the paint samples after ageing at 75% RH under both elevated light and near-dark conditions, but crystals were more abundant for elevated light aged samples; “cross-shaped” radial crystals only seen for samples on acrylic primed canvas. | A superficial surface layer rich in Mg, S and O (indicating magnesium sulphate) underneath/surrounding seeded radial crystals rich in Na, S, and O (indicating sodium sulphate) was observed for samples on acrylic primed canvas, aged at 75% RH/ elevated light. The surface layer appeared similar to that observed in cadmium yellow paints after ageing at 75% RH. | The emergence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ absorption bands at 1100 cm$^{-1}$ after ageing at 75% RH indicates the formation of sulphate salts. This may arise from the sodium enriched seeded crystals theorised to be Na$_2$SO$_4$, or the Mg enriched surface layer likely to be MgSO$_4$. | The emergence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ species is evidenced by changes in O 1s and S 2p environments after ageing at 75% RH/ elevated light relative to ageing at 50% RH/ambient light. A stronger Na 1s signal also suggests an increase in Na species at the sample surface after ageing at 75% RH/ elevated light. | See Section 3 in Additional file 1 |
|                | 75% RH, near-dark   | Small hexagonal surface crystals were observed; however these were generally less abundant relative to the samples aged at 75% RH/ elevated light. | | | | |
at the surface relative to the oil. Medium rich uppermost surfaces or “medium skins” that contain a lower proportion of pigment and/or extender relative to bulk paint are widely documented for modern oil paints [34]. The O 1s peak (Fig. 5b) identifies three different oxygen environments across the two ageing conditions. For samples aged at ~50% RH/ambient light, the oxygen environments are identified as C–O and C=O at 533.3 eV and 531.9 eV respectively. After ageing at 75% RH/elevated lux, an SO₄²⁻ environment emerges at 532.9 eV that is greater relative to the C=O environment at 532.2 eV, but no C–O signal is identified. For samples aged at 75%RH/elevated lux, the S 2p peak (Fig. 5d) is also considerably less noisy than the equivalent aged at 50% RH suggesting a stronger SO₄²⁻ signal. These observations are consistent with the samples aged at 75% RH containing a higher abundance of SO₄²⁻ species, which could also be detected using ATR-FTIR, LM, SEM–EDX as described earlier.

With regards the Mg 1s peaks (Fig. 5c), a single environment is identified for samples aged at both 50% and 75% RH. After ageing at 50% RH/ambient light, the Mg environment has a binding energy of 1304.7 eV and is attributed to hydromagnesite, detected using ATR-FTIR. After ageing at 75% RH in elevated light conditions, the Mg environment shifts to a higher binding energy of 1304.7 eV, which likely relates to the conversion of hydromagnesite to MgSO₄. The CdS pigment is represented by the Cd 3d peaks (Fig. 5e) as identified by the binding energies for the Cd 3d₃/₂ and 3d₅/₂ which were 412.4 eV and 405.6 eV after ageing at 50% RH, and 412.7 eV and 405.9 eV after ageing at 75% RH, respectively. The signal-to-noise ratio is worse for the 75%RH/elevated lux sample relative to the 50%RH/elevated lux sample. This indicates a change to the CdS pigment as a result of high %RH exposure, which accompanies the formation of MgSO₄·6H₂O detected using XRD.

The mechanism for the formation of MgSO₄·6–7H₂O crystals in CY oil paints containing hydromagnesite and in the absence of elevated SO₂ requires further investigation. This may relate to photodegradation of CdS to form cadmium sulphate, CdSO₄, which is promoted by high %RH [16, 17, 35]. It is possible that the Cd in CdSO₄ may be displaced by Mg to form MgSO₄ due to the higher reactivity of Mg in the reactivity series. However, this mechanism needs to be investigated to ensure its thermodynamic feasibility. The presence of sulphurous impurities associated with manufacture of the cadmium
sulphide pigments may also be a factor. Cadmium sulphide made using the wet process involves the reaction of a cadmium salt (e.g., cadmium sulphate or others), with a soluble sulphide [17] and hence traces of sulphate containing components could remain in the raw pigment used to make artists’ tube paints.

Given the possible relationship between the formation of MgSO₄ on the photodegradation of CdS, the observation of a MgSO₄ surface layer under near-dark ageing conditions at 75% RH may seem unexpected (Figs. 1d and 3b). The light intensity measured in the near-dark aged environment was ≤ 1.1 ± 0.3% of the light intensity measured in the elevated light environment and thus significantly reduced relative to the samples exposed to the elevated light levels. However, an average light intensity of 128 ± 29 lx was measured, thus photodegradation of CdS may still occur as total darkness was not achieved, especially given that elevated RH ageing conditions are known to promote oxidation of CdS [16, 17]. Typical museum display conditions are around 200 lx, reduced to 50 lx for light sensitive works [36]. This suggests that at typical museum light levels, photodegradation of cadmium yellow may still occur. Further research conducted under full dark ageing conditions is required.

**French ultramarine**

Surface-disruption and crystal formation were visible using LM for the FU paints on both oil-primed and acrylic-primed canvas aged at 75% RH in both light and near-dark conditions, but this was not seen in FU paints aged at 50% RH in either light or near-dark conditions (Fig. 6b–d vs a). Consistent with LM observations, ATR-FTIR spectroscopy identified evidence for sulphate salt formation with the emergence of a SO₄²⁻ band at ~ 1100 cm⁻¹ but only for FU samples aged at 75% RH in both elevated light and near-dark conditions (Fig. 7).

As was seen for the CY paints, the choice of priming had an influence on the size and shape of the surface crystals. Of the FU paints aged at 75% RH/elevated light, small hexagonal crystals were most abundant at the surface of paints prepared on oil-primed canvas, whilst rod-shaped crystals were significantly more abundant for paints with an acrylic-priming (Fig. 6b vs c). The rod-shaped crystals also formed radial crystal arrangements, some were typified by three or five branches (not shown) and others appearing ‘cross-shaped’ (Fig. 6c) with an average crystal length of 24.2 ± 1.7 µm. The radial and/or cross-shaped crystals were only observed on FU paints on acrylic-primed canvas aged at 75% RH/elevated light (Fig. 6c), FU paints on oil-primed canvas also aged at 75% RH/elevated light (Fig. 6d) did not show similar behaviour.

---

### Fig. 2
Normalised ATR-FTIR spectra for W&N cadmium yellow paint samples on oil-primed substrate after ageing conditions: a) 50% RH in ambient light conditions; b) 75% RH in elevated light conditions; c) 75% RH in near-dark conditions.
RH/elevated light did not present the cross shaped crystals. FU samples on acrylic-primed canvas aged at 75% RH/near-dark conditions only exhibited small hexagonal surface crystals (Fig. 6d), and these were also generally less abundant relative to the FU samples aged at 75% RH/elevated light (Fig. 6c, b).

It is possible that nonionic alkylphenol ethoxylate surfactants (APEs) that are likely present in the acrylic emulsion priming [37] but not in the oil priming can...
influence the development metal sulphate salts that may form as a degradation product in susceptible oil paint films. These surfactants could migrate through the paint layer and stabilise the distinctive “cross-shaped” radial crystal arrangements [38] however, this requires further investigation.

SEM imaging of the hexagonal and cross-shaped crystals observed in samples aged at 75% RH/elevated light, revealed a superficial surface layer on paints on both acrylic- and oil-primed canvas (Fig. 8a, b). This superficial surface layer was similar to that seen in the CY paint films and may correlate with the surface disruption observed using LM on all FU samples aged at 75% RH.

EDX mapping of a radial arrangement of rod-shaped crystals on the acrylic-primed canvas (Fig. 8c–g) indicated that the crystals are enriched with sulphur and oxygen. Dissimilar to the lozenge-shaped and hexagonal crystals observed in CY paints, the radially arranged rod-shaped crystal formations in FU paints appeared depleted in magnesium, but enriched in sodium (Fig. 8f, g). The same was shown for the hexagonal surface crystals in FU [data not shown]. This suggests the surface crystals visible on the FU paints are sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄). XRD was unable to characterise the sodium sulphate salt owing to peaks of interest being of too low intensity (see Additional file 1: Fig A4). The source of the sodium, component of the Na₂SO₄ salt is likely to be the French ultramarine pigment, which has an aluminosilicate-sodalite structure where sodium cations counterbalance charges of the AlO₄⁻¹ tetrahedra and polysulphide species [20]. In ATR-FTIR spectra, the Na₄[SiAlO₄]₆·(S₃)₂ pigment absorption at ~1006 cm⁻¹ appeared reduced after ageing at 75% RH relative to samples aged at 50% RH (Fig. 7). This may indicate a change to the aluminosilicate framework, that can release sulphur radicals from the β-cage [20]. This could provide a source for the sulphate component of the salts detected, and possibly also the sodium component, however this requires further investigation for example using XANES [20].

Interestingly the superficial surface layer areas directly surrounding the Na₂SO₄ crystals were enriched in magnesium, sulphur, and oxygen, suggestive of the MgSO₄. This is similar to the surface layer observed in the CY paints also aged at 75% RH/elevated light conditions. The occurrence of a superficial MgSO₄ surface layer as distinct from localised surface crystals in FU and CY oil paints is a novel finding. Due to occurrence of a MgSO₄ surface layer coexisting with seeded crystals under light ageing conditions, it is hypothesised that the layer is a precursor for the MgSO₄·6–7H₂O crystal seeding. This is supported by the central positioning of the crystals upon the layer surface which suggests a diffusional influence on seeding and growth of the MgSO₄·6–7H₂O crystals [39]. It is worth noting that the MgSO₄ surface layer was not visible under light microscopy for the CY samples aged at 75% RH/elevated light (Fig. 1b, c) but rather was detected using SEM–EDX. In current conservation practice the presence of MgSO₄·7H₂O may be identified.
in water sensitive oil paintings through the observation of seeded crystal morphologies that, if large enough, may be visible using light microscopy. However, the presence of a superficial MgSO₄ surface layer may easily be missed which could explain the absence of documentation of this phenomenon in modern oil paintings.

FU samples aged at 50% RH/ambient light (no surface crystals) and 75% RH/elevated light (cross-shaped crystals) were also examined using XPS with the aim of investigating the mechanism of sulphate crystallisation by examining chemical shifts within the paint films.

A new oxygen environment emerged at 532.6 eV after ageing at 75% RH in elevated light conditions, likely relating to the SO₄²⁻ group identified using ATR-FTIR in FU samples aged at 75% RH (Fig. 9b).

The Mg 1s peaks (Fig. 9c) identify as a single environment in each ageing condition. The Mg environment after ageing at 50% RH/ambient light has a binding energy of 1304.2 eV consistent with a carbonate environment, most likely hydromagnesite. After ageing at 75% RH/elevated light, the binding energy of Mg shifted to 1304.5 eV and was identified as MgSO₄.

The Na 1s peak (Fig. 9d) after ageing at 75% RH/elevated light is considerably less noisy than the equivalent aged at 50% RH/ambient light, suggesting a higher abundance of Na ions at the sample surface. Ageing at 75% RH/elevated light also resulted in a shift relative to ageing at 50% RH/ambient light, from 1071.5 to 1072.0 eV respectively, although due to the weakness of the signal at 50% RH/ambient light, and uncertainty in the peak fit, this shift should be considered as speculative. An S 2p peak could not be identified in the 50% RH/ambient light sample, due to the very low abundance of sulphur at the surface (Fig. 9e). However, upon ageing at 75% RH/elevated light a strong S 2p peak at 169.2 eV was observed, consistent with a metal sulphate signal. This agrees with the ATR-FTIR which indicates the emergence of a SO₄²⁻ stretching absorption at 1100 cm⁻¹ after ageing at 75% RH/elevated light (Fig. 7b).

Ultramarine pigments are understood to be stable toward light and moisture, however acidic environments can cause disruption to the pigment sodalite cage and result in the release of S₃⁻ radical ions [19]. The sulphur radicals are highly reactive and may be oxidised into sulphate compounds once the cage structure has been disrupted. Disruption to the sodalite cage, loss of the sulphur chromophore (S₃⁻), and associated fading of synthetic ultramarine pigment have all been documented in twentieth century oil paintings [20].
It is theorised in the case of FU, the \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) group may either originate from impurities/residual materials associated with pigment manufacture or from degradation of the \( \text{Na}_8[\text{SiAlO}_4]_6(\text{S}_3)_2 \) pigment. Ultramarine pigment is known for catalysing the oxidative degradation of oil binding media [40], where oxidation and hydrolysis are both promoted at high % RH [41]. ATR-FTIR results (see Fig. 7) provided evidence for ester hydrolysis for FU samples aged at 75% RH relative to 50% RH; C–O ester absorptions at 1162 and 1095 cm\(^{-1}\) were no longer visible, and the ester carbonyl band at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) appears slightly reduced in intensity. It is possible that the oxidative degradation of the binding medium (promoted by both the FU pigment, and high %RH) leads to an increase in acidity that promotes disruption of the sodalite cage of the ultramarine pigment, leading to the formation of sodium sulphate. Further investigation into the mechanism behind the formation of sodium sulphate and the distribution of \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) crystals in FU oil paint, including potential for formation within bulk paint is required.

**Other changes promoted by ageing at elevated % RH**

For both FU and CY paints ageing at 75% RH/elevated light caused a reduction in intensity of the 1483 band relative to the 1421 cm\(^{-1}\) infrared bands (Fig. 2 and Fig. 7). This has been reported before for W&N oil paints aged under a tropical rainforest climate of 78–90% RH, and temperatures from 23.2 to 26.2 °C [42], and has been observed by the authors in numerous naturally aged W&N AOC paint swatches.

It is possible that the changes to the 1483, and 1421 cm\(^{-1}\) bands indicate changes to the two \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} \) environments of hydromagnesite that accompany the conversion to magnesium sulphate salts, however further investigation is required.

For both FU and CY paints, ATR-FTIR spectra provided evidence for ester hydrolysis promoted by ageing at 75% RH, with reduction in the intensity of the ester carbonyl band at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\) observed for both paints, but particularly so for CY (Figs. 2 and 7). Oxidation and hydrolysis are promoted by high relative humidity [41]. For FU paints a new broad absorption centred at \(~1600\) cm\(^{-1}\) was seen after ageing at 75% RH (Fig. 7) possibly indicating the formation of amorphous metal carboxylates.
Water sensitivity
Swab rolling tests were carried out for tube paint samples to explore the relationship between environmental conditions, sulphate salt formation, and assessed water sensitivity. A lower number of swab rolls indicates a more sensitive paint film as evidenced via pigment pickup. The results indicate that for both the CY and FU paints, ageing under high relative humidity, which promoted the formation of magnesium sulphate salts (as indicated by the ATR-FTIR, SEM–EDX and XPS results), produced the most water sensitive paints [43] (Fig. 10a, b). Since ester hydrolysis (indicated in this study by ATR-FTIR) and oxidation of the oil binding medium are promoted by the high RH conditions [41] it is likely that the condition of the binding medium is also contributing toward the observed paint sensitivity [18].

Conclusions and further work
This investigation demonstrated that MgSO₄·6–7H₂O can form as a surface layer and/or as surface crystals in hydromagnesite-containing CY and FU artists oil paint films in the absence of elevated SO₂. Elevated light and relative humidity also appear to promote the formation of sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄) crystals in FU oil paint. The formation of SO₄²⁻ crystals, in both CY and FU oil paints is promoted by high relative humidity (75% RH) relative to lower relative humidity conditions (50% RH). Furthermore, light in combination with high relative humidity promotes crystal seeding and growth. These soluble salt formations were associated with an increase in observed water sensitivity of the paint films, but the condition of the binding medium is a likely contributing factor to the observed paint sensitivity.

The sulphate component of the salts identified in this study may originate from the degradation of the CY and FU pigments. The photodegradation of CdS into products including CdSO₄ is well known. However, further study could be directed to investigate the degradation behaviour of Na₈[SiAlO₄]₆·(S₃)₂ in modern FU oil paints, including the mechanism and localisation of Na₂SO₄ formation.

This finding broadens the scope of paintings potentially vulnerable to soluble SO₄²⁻ crystal formation, which extends to all containing CY and FU unvarnished modern oil paints extended with hydromagnesite, particularly if exposed to high (75%) RH. Further research is also required to study the influence of exposure to RH ranging between 50 and 75% RH. It is also acknowledged that in this study ambient sulphur dioxide levels were not measured, and hence the potential influence
Fig. 8  SEM and EDX imaging of W&N French ultramarine paint aged at 75% RH, in elevated light conditions: **a** SE image on oil-primed canvas; **b** SE image on acrylic-primed canvas; **c** SE image of crystal on surface on acrylic-primed surface; **d-g** false-coloured EDX elemental maps of image **c** whereby green is O, cyan is S, blue is Mg, and magenta is Na.
of low levels of SO₂ expected in an indoor environment was not evaluated. This is an area that merits further investigation. Future studies would usefully investigate critical environmental thresholds leading to pigment degradation and the development of epsomite and crystal formation, as well as the effect of fluctuating temperature and relative humidity conditions. The factors influencing crystal shape, morphology and composition (i.e., surface layer, rod-, lozenge-, cross-shaped crystals), including the effect of the choice of substrate, the process of MgSO₄ surface layer formation, and the relationship of these salts to the development of water sensitivity all merit further study. It is well known that light exposure and raised and/or fluctuating relative humidity are factors amongst others, that promote ageing and degradation of oil paintings. This research does however highlight the importance of minimising exposure to light and raised relative humidity for paintings with CY and FU oil paint passages containing hydromagnesite extender, in order to help slow down the formation of water-soluble salts which contribute toward the development of water-sensitive paint passages.
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