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Abstract

Asthma affects approximately 5% of the population in Taiwan. The increasing prevalence has caused concern for both general public and healthcare professionals. This study aims to understand patients’ quality of life in order to provide guidance for appropriate healthcare service as well as prevention. Face-to-face interview was conducted using Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) in a community hospital in northern Taiwan. A total of 106 questionnaires were collected. The results indicate that patients concern mostly about environmental stimuli which causes the greatest worries in the four functions of AQLQ. Middle aged patients have the worse quality of life than other age group counterparts. This is largely due to the emotional and physical stress as the result of the disease.

Introduction

Asthma is a common chronic airway disease characterized by partially or completely reversible airway obstruction known as asthma attacks. The most common symptoms are coughing, dyspnea, and chest tightness. The adverse outcomes associated with asthma could lead to inability to work, hospitalization, disability, and morbidity. The disease places a heavy burden on governments, health care systems, patients, and their families. Worldwide estimates of the prevalence of adult asthma vary widely, from 0.8% to 13.4% [1]. Ethnicity and demographic and environmental factors may contribute to these diverse variations. In Taiwan, the prevalence rate of Asthma is estimated to be 5.1% [2] for general population. The prevalence rate can be doubled for children. However, the severity of asthma increases after 18 years of age and the mortality is high in the elderly [3]. The health care costs for hospital outpatient visits, urgent visits and hospitalization in adults with asthma are above 2 times of those without asthma [4]. Though numerous studies have examined the epidemiology of asthma in Taiwan [5], studies of the patients’ quality of life are scant.

Thus, this study investigates patients’ health-related quality of life employing The Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) developed by Juniper et al. [6] to understand patients’ suffering from the disease.

Methods

Face-to-face interviews were conducted in a northern Taiwan community hospital. The inclusion criteria are 1. presence of symptoms of airflow obstruction (cough, wheezing, dyspnea), 2. Airflow obstruction is at least partially reversible (demonstrated by spirometry at any time - FEV1 increased by >15% following β-agonist inhalation) or evidence of bronchial hyper responsiveness by metacholine challenge (demonstrated by PC20<8μg), 3. Age is 18 years or older. Patients’ obstructions involving large airways (such as foreign body in trachea or bronchus, vocal cord dysfunction, vascular rings or laryngeal webs, laryngotracheomalacia, tracheal stenosis or bronchostenosis, enlarged lymph nodes or tumor) or small airways (such as viral bronchiolitis or obliterative bronchiolitis, cystic fibrosis, bronchopulmonary dysplasia) and other causes such as drug induced symptoms or aspiration from swallowing mechanism dysfunction are excluded from the study.

AQLQ questionnaire

There are 32 questions in the four domains (symptoms, activity limitation, emotional function and environmental stimuli) of AQLQ. The activity domain contains 5 ‘patient-specific’ questions. This allows patients to select 5 activities in which they are most limited and these activities will be assessed
at each follow-up. Patients are asked to think about how they have been during the previous two weeks and to respond to each of the 32 questions on a 7-point scale (7 = not impaired at all, and 1 = severely impaired). The overall AQLQ score is the mean of all 32 responses and the individual domain scores are the means of the items in those domains. Thus, AQLQ has scores range 1-7, with higher scores indicating better quality of life.

The validity of AQLQ was established by comparison to conventional clinical asthma measures (symptoms, peak flow rates, medication use, PFT, airway responsiveness, global rating of asthma), generic HRQL measures (Rand; SIP) [7] clinical sensibility of the measure [8]; symptoms & clinical efficacy [9].

**Implementation**

The data collection period was from February 2013 to February 2014. All the patients were referred to the interviewers by their physicians from the pulmonary clinics at a local community hospital in Northern Taiwan. The physicians explained the study purpose to the patients before referring them to the interviewers. If the patients were not willing to accept the interview then the physician would respect their decision. This study was approved by the IRB board of the hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before conducting the interviews. Patients who did not know their diagnosis were not referred to us by their physicians and consequently were not recruited. Patients with very severe symptoms would go to medical center for treatment. Thus this study comprise the subject with not-so-severely ill asthma patients at the time of interview.

**Results**

**The Patients Background**

The average age (±SD) of our subjects is 58.48 (±17.01) years old with the oldest patient 85 years old and the youngest one 19 years of age. About 72% of the subjects are diagnosed with asthma for less than 10 years and around 10% are diagnosed with asthma from 10 to 20 years. 40.7% of the subjects are cigarette smokers, and 24% of them received only primary school education. 27% of the subjects reported to have severe disease condition, 24% reported to be moderate condition, and only 9% to be mild.

**Results of AQLQ**

| Variables (N) | Activity Limitation | Symptoms | Emotional Function | Environmental Stimuli | Overall Quality |
|---------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Gender        |                     |          |                    |                       |                 |
| Male (57)     | 5.34                | 5.26     | 5.54               | 5.41                  | 21.55           |
| Female (49)   | 5.05                | 4.81     | 5.21               | 4.56                  | 19.63           |
| Age           |                     |          |                    |                       |                 |
| 18-19 (2)     | 6.5                 | 5.17     | 5.6                | 6.25                  | 23.52           |
| 20-29 (7)     | 5.13                | 5.08     | 6.07               | 4.08                  | 20.37           |
| 30-39 (9)     | 3.28**              | 2.88**   | 3.55**             | 2.75*                 | 12.45***        |
| 40-49 (13)    | 5.57                | 4.39     | 5.1                | 4.63                  | 19.68*          |
| 50-59 (18)    | 5.24                | 4.98     | 5.15               | 5.34                  | 20.71           |
| 60+ (57)      | 5.4                 | 5.57     | 5.74               | 5.35                  | 22.06           |
| Education     |                     |          |                    |                       |                 |
| Illiterate (10)| 5.18                | 4.35     | 4.68               | 4.75                  | 18.96***        |
| Literate (8)  | 5.78                | 6.27     | 6.4                | 5.94                  | 24.38           |
| Primary (25)  | 5.29                | 5.34     | 5.48               | 4.88                  | 20.99           |
| MiddleSch(24) | 5.15                | 5.16     | 5.36               | 5.34                  | 21.02           |
| HighSch (24)  | 5.53                | 5.38     | 5.8                | 5.48                  | 22.18           |
| College+(15)  | 4.5                 | 3.87     | 4.71               | 3.54*                 | 16.62**         |
The results of QOL (quality of life) scores and the ANOVA analysis across groups are reported in Table 1. Notably, the age group of 30-39 and patients with disease year 11-20 have the lowest overall QOL. Cigarette smoking behavior does not affect patients’ overall QOL. But patients with cigarette smoking behavior are lot more susceptible to environmental stimuli than non-cigarette smokers, reflected by low QOL smokers.

### Table 2: Summary of AQLQ Scores.

| Questions in AQLQ* | Mean | S.E. | Order in Functions | Order in all Function |
|--------------------|------|------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| **Activity Limitation** |  | | | |
| 1. Strenuous activities | 5.04 | 1.68 | 6 | 18 |
| 2. Moderate activities | 5.64 | 1.48 | 4 | 6 |
| 3. Social activities | 6.36 | 1.03 | 1 | 1 |
| 4. Work-related activities | 6.08 | 1.29 | 2 | 2 |
| 5. Lack of a good night’s Sleep | 5.80 | 1.63 | 3 | 3 |
| 11. Avoid cigarette smoke | 4.30 | 2.39 | 9 | 30 |
| 19. Avoid dust | 4.66 | 2.22 | 8 | 27 |
| 25. Avoid weather/air pollution | 4.74 | 2.21 | 7 | 26 |
| 28. Avoid strong smells | 4.28 | 2.35 | 10 | 31 |
| 32. General activity limitation as a result of your asthma in the past two weeks | 5.40 | 1.73 | 5 | 9 |
| **Symptoms** | 5.07 | | | |
| 6. Physical and emotional impairments | 4.66 | 1.77 | 11 | 27 |
| 8. Feel short of breath as a result of your asthma | 5.52 | 1.54 | 2 | 7 |
| 10. Experience a wheeze in your chest | 4.94 | 1.87 | 9 | 22 |
| 12. Feel bothered by coughing | 4.64 | 1.72 | 12 | 29 |
| 14. Chest tightness | 4.98 | 1.65 | 7 | 20 |
| 16. Clear throat | 5.00 | 1.91 | 6 | 19 |
| 18. Difficulty breathing out | 4.80 | 1.99 | 10 | 24 |
| 20. Wake in the morning with symptoms | 5.72 | 1.69 | 1 | 4 |
| 22. Feel chest tightness or chest heaviness | 5.22 | 1.79 | 4 | 13 |
| 24. Woken at night by asthma | 5.38 | 1.82 | 3 | 10 |
| 29. Have difficulty getting a good night sleep as a result of your asthma | 4.96 | 1.98 | 8 | 21 |
| 30. Heavy breathing | 5.12 | 1.91 | 5 | 16 |

N: Number of subjects in the parentheses. Average quality of life in the range of 1-7 for each function. Higher values represent better quality of life. *, **, and *** represent 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively, statistical significance in ANOVA analysis.
Table 2 presents the relative importance for different functions. Among the four functions, activity limitation received the highest average score while environmental stimuli received the lowest, indicating that environmental quality caused the greatest concern for the patients. Patients are concerned about cigarette smoke around them and would do their best to avoid it. Though the function of activity limitation received a high score for patients’ quality of life, the concern about the loss in activity due to asthma is substantial, which received the lowest score in the questionnaire.

### Reliability test

Finally, the reliability test was performed by Cronbach’s Alpha and the results exhibited high internal consistency as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3**: Reliability test for internal consistency.

|                | Cronbach α | Question no.          |
|----------------|------------|-----------------------|
| **Reliability by functions** |            |                       |
| Activity Limitation          | 0.8222     | 1,2,3,4,5,11,19,25,28,32 |
| Symptom                      | 0.9296     | 6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20,22,24,29,30 |
| Emotional Function           | 0.8359     | 7,13,15,21,27,31      |
| Environmental Stimuli        | 0.8433     | 9,17,23,26            |
| **Total reliability**        | 0.9536     | All 32 questions      |

Note: Cronbach Alpha <0.35 low reliability
<0.7 medium reliability
>0.7 high reliability

### Conclusion

An increasing prevalence rate of asthma in Taiwan has drawn attention to general population as well as health authority. Patients’ health-related quality of life is of concern for both patients’ family and healthcare personnel for providing better services. The result of this study indicates that patients worry mostly about the environmental condition and stimuli such as cigarette smoke that may cause uncomfortableness both emotionally and physically. Patients in the middle age group has the lowest QOL compared to younger and order counterparts. This is probably because that the patients in this age group takes main responsibility in the family and hence are under great emotional stress about physical impairments. Activity limitation is of less concern among the four functions in the AQLQ questionnaire. However, the worries and fear for further impairments are the major reason for low QOL. This research is done in a community hospital in norther Taiwan where not the patients with most severe conditions go. An overestimate of patients’ QOL is possible. Nonetheless, this paper provides an overall understanding about how asthma patients might feel in Taiwan. A good measure of environmental avoidance should be propagated in order to alleviate patients’ suffering both emotionally and physically.
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