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RNA-Seq analysis of laser-capture microdissected cells of the developing central starchy endosperm of maize
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Abstract

Endosperm is a product of double fertilization, and provides nutrients and signals to the embryo during seed development in flowering plants. Early stages of endosperm development are critical for the development of its storage capacity through synthesis and accumulation of starch and storage proteins. Here we report on the isolation and sequencing of mRNAs from the central portion of the starchy endosperm of Zea mays (maize) B73 at 6 days after pollination. We detected a high level of correlation among the four biological replicates of RNAs isolated using laser-capture microdissection of the cell type. Because the assayed developmental stage precedes the synthesis and accumulation of the major storage proteins and starch in the endosperm, our dataset likely include mRNAs for genes that are involved in control and establishment of these storage programs. The mRNA-Seq data has been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number GSE58504).

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

Specifications

Organism/cell line/tissue: Zea mays (maize) reference genotype B73, central portion of the starchy endosperm
Sex: NA
Sequencer or array type: Illumina Genome Analyzer IIX
Data format: Normalized reads mapped to B73 RefGen_v2
Experimental factors: Biological replicates of a single endosperm cell type
Experimental features: A unique replicated dataset of laser-capture microdissected RNA from central starchy endosperm at 6 days after pollination
Consent: NA
Sample source location: Tucson, AZ

Direct link to deposited data

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE58504

Sample collection and RNA analysis

Plants were grown under greenhouse conditions (16-h day) at the University of Arizona during January–June 2011, and self-pollinated to obtain 6-DAP kernels [1]. Using a protocol for laser-capture microdissection (LCM) of plant tissues [2,3] and further modified using methods that were subsequently published [4], we isolated the central portion of the starchy endosperm (Fig. 1) with a Leica LMD 6500 instrument (Leica Microsystems, Inc.). The captured region included the presumptive central starchy endosperm and a portion of the conducting zone cells [5–7]. Sections for replicates 1 through 3 (Reps. 1–3, June 2011) were obtained from nine separate kernels (three each) on a single ear while sections for the fourth replicate (Rep. 4) were obtained from a separate ear (on a separate plant, March 2011). RNA was extracted from micro-dissected sections using an ARCTURUS PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, cat. no. KIT0204), and its size and integrity were evaluated using an RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies, cat. no. 5067-1513) on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) before and after DNase treatment (Fig. 1, TURBO DNase, Ambion/Life Technologies, cat. no. AM2238). About 50–60 ng of DNAse-treated RNA was used as template for cDNA synthesis in order to amplify the captured RNA (two rounds) using a T7 polymerase-
based linear amplification system (Arcturus RiboAmp HS PLUS RNA Amplification Kit, Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, cat. no. KIT0525) to produce a peak size of ~200–500-nt RNA fragments (Fig. 1).

Sequencing, mapping and normalization

Standard barcoded RNA-seq libraries were generated to facilitate sequencing of four samples using a single Illumina flowcell lane. Libraries were generated using protocols adapted from Illumina mRNA sample preparation protocol (cat. no. 1004894 Rev. A) described previously [8]. Cluster generation and sequencing was carried out on the Illumina Cluster Station and Genome Analyzer IIX (GAIIx) instrument using Single Read Cluster Generation Kit (cat. no. 15003972) and v4 Sequencing Kit (cat. no. 15003925), respectively [8]. 82 cycles of imaging were carried out using a modification of the “GA2_76Cycle_SR_v7.xml” sequencing program. Methods for RNA-Seq data filtering and processing were essentially as those described previously [8].

Nearly 24.30 million reads were generated from the four replicates ranging from 4.33 million in Rep. 1 to 6.96 million in Rep. 2. Using Tophat [9], ~88.5–90.2% of the reads were mapped to the reference genome.
B73 genome (release 5b.60) (Table 1). Using BEDTools [10], 31,130 of the 110,028 genes in the working gene set (WGS) were detected in at least 2 replicates with at least one raw read count. We defined these genes as expressed in our experiment. Inter-sample differences in library size were eliminated by total count (TC) normalization method. Read counts for all the genes in WGS were divided by the total counts of mapped reads (or library size) associated with their sample and multiplied by the mean total count across all the samples of the dataset to calculate the normalized read counts [11] and deposited in GEO.

## Data reproducibility

Similarity of expression profiles between the replicates was determined by a Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) analysis [12]. The log2-transformed normalized read counts for the 31,130 expressed genes were used as input. The four replicates showed high correlation with each other, with PCC scores ranging from 0.95 to 0.96 (Fig. 2). The correlations were visualized using scatterplots (Fig. 2). Together, the evidence suggests that our LCM-generated RNA-Seq data is highly reproducible.

### Table 1
Mapping of reads aligned to the B73 reference genome.

| Sample | Total read number | Filtered | Kept  | Mapped | Unique-mapped | Multi-mapped (≤5 locations) | Multi-mapped (>5 locations) |
|--------|-------------------|----------|-------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rep. 1 | 4,322,880         | 10,801   | 4,322,079 | 3,826,888 | 3,588,669     | 232,759                     | 5460                        |
| Rep. 2 | 6,866,277         | 16,655   | 6,849,622 | 6,077,467 | 5,695,442     | 373,324                     | 8701                        |
| Rep. 3 | 6,131,203         | 15,187   | 6,116,016 | 5,445,812 | 5,122,070     | 315,684                     | 8058                        |
| Rep. 4 | 6,969,851         | 17,001   | 6,952,850 | 6,276,299 | 5,931,966     | 337,487                     | 6846                        |
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