Psychological co-morbidities and illness management among patients with ulcerative colitis
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: To examine the frequencies of psychological co-morbidities among Ulcerative Colitis patients, and to also study relationship of these morbidities with aggression and self-efficacy.

METHODS: This descriptive, correlational study was conducted at outpatient department of gastroenterology of Mayo hospital Lahore, from 2nd April to 28th August, 2019. A purposive sample of 165 UC patients was taken. Following ethical approval, structured questionnaires including Beck Depression Inventory-21, Berrenberg’s Cancer Attitude Inventory, Emet-Q, Blood-Injection Symptom Scale, Templer Death Anxiety Scale, Short form Aggression Questionnaire and SEM-CD6, to measure psychological illnesses were administered. The collected data were evaluated through SPSS V25.0.

RESULTS: Out of 165 patients, 85 (51.5%) were females & 80 (48.5%) were males, with age range 21–30 years. Sixty five (39.39%) had severe depression, 54 (32.72%) had moderate, 23 (13.93%) borderline and 14 (8.48%) mild depression. Carcinophobia was seen in 139 (84.24%) patients whereas emetophobia, trypanophobia, and death anxiety was present in 114 (69.09%), 101 (61.21%), 78 (47.27%) patients respectively. Depression and phobias were increasing the physical, verbal aggression, anger, and hostility. Additionally, the patients’ high depressive state and fears were affecting the illness management.

CONCLUSION: UC patients manifest a range of psychological co-morbidities. Especially, fear of developing cancer and death anxiety, restrict patients to control symptoms, to manage role and emotional functioning and to communicate with doctors to get adequate treatment regimens. Therefore, the commencement of psychotherapeutic programs can be helpful for maintaining the psychological health of patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) that causes long-lasting inflammation and ulcers in digestive tract and affects the innermost lining of colon and rectum. Proctitis, proctosigmoiditis, left-sided colitis are the moderate forms of UC, while extensive and Pan-colitis refer to severe forms of UC. IBD has been reported in 6.8 million people around the globe in 2017. In USA, the prevalence of UC cases were around 23.2/1,00000 persons per year since 2000-2018 and it was predicted that there will be 11% increase in IBD patients by the year 2025 with increased mortality rate that is quite alarming. The most recent statistics computed in 2019 on South Asian population living in UK, showed that incidence of UC was 20.5/1,00000 in people of Indian origin and 11.2/100000 in Pakistani origin. Though, the prevalence rate is comparatively less in Pakistan than other countries but unfortunately, this ratio is increasing compared to old statistics that was 0.5–1.1/100000 individuals in last decade due to the increased intake of junk food, caffeine consumption, alcohol, and smoking.

Various Asian, European, and Western researches indicated that UC patients suffer from a range of symptoms including colon cramps, abdominal bloating, rectal bleeding, food pieces and mucus in stools, frequent diarrhea, dysplasia, vomiting, lesions, headaches, weight loss, and fever. The recurrent episodes are more painstaking and long-lasting symptoms lead to severe complications gradually. In UK, researchers found that patients with UC have poor quality of life, which exaggerate symptoms among patients. In America, the major impact UC has on patients includes frequent disease manifestations, non-adherence to prescribed medication, and health related poor quality of life. In Pakistan, researchers specified that UC patients have poor quality of life, which is immensely important because stress and anxiety intensify the symptoms and create hurdles for getting proper treatment remedies. The similar findings were revealed by another study, conducted in Iran. Researchers found that patients with UC are at more risk of developing colorectal cancer that develop depression and anxiety among them; the onset of nausea and IV therapies can develop specific phobias and such psychological issues effect the self-efficacy to manage illness.

However, the evaluated percentages of psychological morbidities among UC patients are outdated and specially there is dearth of literature available
Researchers decided to take a purposive sample of 200 participants but 35 questionnaires were discarded due to incomplete information. Thus, the final sample size comprised of 165 patients (men = 80, women = 85). Sample size was calculated by G power (this software helps the researchers to calculate power for statistical tests like correlation), with α error = .05 and actual power = .95.

Following ethical approval and administrative approval from the hospital, data was collected within 5 months. The inclusion criteria of the study involved selection of participants, diagnosed with mild to severe UC, and belonging to the age group 21-30. The reason of taking this age group was that this is the actual time when young adults usually enjoy the springs of life, and constructively progress in all spheres of life. Unfortunately, recurrent relapses disrupt their daily activities as they also want to excel in different spheres of life just like their age-fellows (i.e., studies, getting employment, and taking decisions for marriage). They keep thinking about their future with upcoming severe physical complications and experience more anxiety than older adults. So, understanding the psychological difficulties of this age group could provide prolific findings. Further, participants diagnosed with UC from 1-5 years were taken (Table I). Moreover, patients with co-morbidities such as cancer/diabetes/osteoporosis were excluded as researcher’s main interest was just in UC patients. Also, married patients were excluded because conjugal status could make the data more ambiguous (i.e., children, in-laws, or spouse insolent behaviors, economic issues etc.).

Demographic Information Form: Participants were asked about their gender, age, marital status, social class, symptoms, time span and extent of the disease.

Beck Depression Inventory-21: During the 1960s, this inventory was developed to measure the symptoms of depression with significant alpha reliability 0.86 and 0.81 for clinical and non-clinical US sample respectively. The
response format ranges from 0-3. For the convenience of participants, Urdu translated version with significant alpha reliability α = .75, was used for the current study. IS Beck suggested the cut off scores for normal (1-10), mild (11-16), borderline (17-20), moderate (21-30), and severe depression (31-65).

Berrenberg’s Cancer Attitude Inventory: Cancer phobia was measured by adapting 3 items: 1) I am most afraid of cancer, 2) It makes me uncomfortable to think about cancer, and 3) I worry a lot about developing cancer. This inventory is a 41-item measure of attitudes towards cancer but just three items were chosen as potentially evaluating cancer phobia. All items used a 5-point Likert response format ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree’. Researchers translated the required items in Urdu through forward and backward translation procedure.

Emet-Q: This scale was devised to measure the fear of vomit in normal and clinical sample, with response format 1-5. The questionnaire assesses a range of vomit fears like bodily sensations, to avoid people who may be look like sick, vomiting fears like bodily sensations, to avoid places which can cause nausea or vomit (i.e., elevator, rides). Later, in 2013, researchers found out the psychometric properties of scale which resulted in 13 items with significant internal consistency 0.82 in clinical population. For the current study, we translated this scale in Urdu by using forward and backward translation procedure.

Blood-Injection Symptom Scale: Blood-injection symptom scale (BISS) was devised in Australia. The scale consists of 17 questions related to blood/injection fear that are answered dichotomously (yes and no). The reliability of the entire scale is 0.86. For the current study, we translated this scale in Urdu by using forward and backward translation procedure.

Templer Death Anxiety Scale: In the 1970, this scale was developed to measure the extent of death anxiety people experience. The DAS consisted of 15 items, to be rated on a dichotomous scale of true/false. Later, this scale was translated in Urdu for normal and cardiac patients with significant reliability 0.88.

Short form Aggression Questionnaire: This questionnaire comprised of four factors was developed in 1992. Later, researchers ran factor analysis and reduced it to 12 items including 3 items for each subscale, physical, verbal, anger, and hostility. The alpha reliability ranged from 0.84-0.86. For the present study, researchers translated this short scale in Urdu to use it adequately.

SEM-CD6: An instrument named “Self-Efficacy for Managing Chronic Disease 6-items Scale” was developed by selecting 605 chronic patients from USA. The scale consisted of four domains including symptom control, role and emotional functioning and communicating with physicians. The alpha reliability of the scale was 0.91. The scoring format ranged from 0-10. In Pakistan, this scale was translated in Urdu with significant reliability 0.94.

Procedure: Following all ethical requirements (taking permission form MS of hospital and consent by patients), the help of doctors was taken to identify the actual UC patients, diagnosed through colonoscopy or endoscopies. Participants were asked to encircle the options that best describe them. They did not report any difficulty in comprehending the questionnaires because each scale was in Urdu and culturally unbiased. After data collection, descriptive and Pearson correlation analysis was run via SPSS version 25.0 to calculate the results.

### TABLE III: PEARSON CORRELATION BETWEEN DEPRESSION, PHOBIAS, DEATH ANXIETY, AGGRESSION AND SELF-EFFICACY SUBSCALES, MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS, ALPHAS

| Variables | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    | 13    |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1.D       | ------| .57*  | .43*  | .49*  | .62*  | .30*  | .45*  | .36*  | .34*  | .30*  | .36*  | .26*  | .37*  |
| 2.CP      | ------| .66*  | .78*  | .62*  | .40*  | .56*  | .60*  | .65*  | .65*  | .58*  | .72*  | .69*  |
| 3.B/I     | ------| .67*  | .45*  | .32*  | .49*  | .53*  | .37*  | .45*  | .34*  | .53*  | .34*  | .26*  |
| 4.VP      | ------| .39*  | .25*  | .38*  | .41*  | .23*  | .51*  | .27*  | .48*  | .23*  | .24*  | .24*  |
| 5.DA      | ------| .26*  | .44*  | .31*  | .20*  | .56*  | .78*  | .43*  | .45*  |
| 6.PA      | ------| .44*  | .26*  | .29*  | .34*  | .44*  | .65*  | .76*  |
| 7.VA      | ------| .44*  | .52*  | .68*  | .59*  | .53*  | .82*  |
| 8.A       | ------| .57*  | .34*  | .41*  | .52*  | .46*  |
| 9.H       | ------| .50*  | .51*  | .69*  | .78*  |
| 10.SC     | ------| .29*  | .32*  | .30*  |
| 11.RF     | ------| .19*  | .36*  |
| 12.EF     | ------| .26*  |
| 13.TD     | ------|
| M(SD)     | 32.7  | 12.6  | 35.0  | 14.5  | 9.0   | 10.9  | 12.0  | 9.6   | 6.7   | 7.8   | 9.2   | 6.5   | 7.2   |
| α         | .72   | .65   | .91   | .76   | .82   | .62   | .76   | .56   | .49   | .43   | .51   | .46   |

* Dep: Depression; CP: Carcinophobia, B/I: Blood/Injection; VP: Vomit Phobia; DA: Death Anxiety; PA: Physical Aggression; VA: Verbal Aggression; A: Anger; H: Hostility; SC: symptom control; RF: role Functioning; EF: Emotional Functioning; TD: Talking a Doctor
RESULTS

The study sample consisted of 165 UC participants, divided into 80 (48.5%) men and 85 (51.5%) women, with an average age of 25.4 ± 9.1 years. The core findings with respect to descriptive and associations among study variables have been presented in table: 1, which represents that out of 165 participants, there were 39 (23.6%) proctitis, 53 (32.1%) proctosigmoiditis, 56 (33.9%) left-sided colitis, 11 (6.7%) extensive and 6 (3.6%) pancolitis; diagnosed via colonoscopies. Majority of patients (n=76; 46.1%) were dependent on intravenous therapy twice a year.

Majority of the patients (n=65; 39.5%) had severe depression, followed by moderate depression (n=54; 32.7%). The common phobias among UC patients were afraid of developing colorectal cancer (n=139; 84.2%) and emetophobia (Vomit phobia) in 114 (69.09%) cases (Table II).

Table III showed a significant positive association between depression and carcinophobia, r = .57, p < .001. Depression also had a significant relationship with blood/injection phobia, r = .43, p < .001, and vomit phobia, r = .49, p < .001 and also with death anxiety, r = .62, p < .001. For aggression, depression was significantly positively related to physical, r = .30, p < .001; verbal aggression, r = .45, p < .001; anger, r = .36, p < .001; and hostility, r = .34, p < .001. Participants’ phobias were also found to be significantly positively associated with physical, verbal aggression, anger, and hostility. Specifically, carcinophobia had strong associations with subtypes of aggression compared to other phobias which were also relatively high. Further, there was an inverse association of depression with self-efficacy to manage chronic illness including symptom control, r = -.30, p < .001; role functioning, r = -.36, p < .001; emotional functioning, r = -.26, p < .001 and capability to have useful communication with physician, r = -.37, p < .001. Likewise, different forms of phobias specially carcinophobia had strong inverse association with patients’ management of chronic illness. Death anxiety also had inverse relationship with symptom control, r = -.56, p < .001, role function, r = -.78, emotional functioning, r = -.43, p < .001, and talking to doctor, r = -.45, p < .001. Table III also displayed the means, standard deviations and moderate to strong alpha reliabilities of the scales computed for the present research.

DISCUSSION

The present research investigated the psychological co-morbidities of UC patients. Outcomes revealed that 32.72% of patients were having moderate and 39.39% had severe form of depression; inferring that most of the UC patients suffer from depression which aggravates their aggression levels (verbal, physical), anger, and hostility and declines their self-efficacy to control symptoms such as engaging in activities that overcome the fatigue, emotional distress, and reduce the need to visit doctors more than necessary. The outcomes of the study also suggested the relationship of depression with carcinophobia, trypanophobia, emetophobia, and death anxiety. These findings are quite interesting suggesting that disturbed psychological states increase fears and anxiety which are manifested in the form of restlessness, agitation, sweating, and heart palpitations. The results are aligned with many studies indicating that UC patients experience a range of mood disorders including depression and anxiety which effect their quality of life and ability to cope. However, this is supported by many researchers indicating that UC patients are more vulnerable to develop colorectal cancer which helped us to determine that UC patients can also experience carcinophobia causing depression, aggression, and poor illness management.

Moreover, phobias and death anxiety were found to increase the level of physical and verbal aggression, anger and hostility among patients. Learned helplessness theory postulated that incurable diseases impaired patients’ belief regarding will-power and self-efficacy and thereby this helplessness is linked to depression. In United Kingdom, researchers found that UC patients’ lack of self-control was increasing the level of distress and anxiety. Thus, the current research specify that depression, phobias, aggression, and deteriorated self-efficacy infuse rigorously among UC patients.

Additionally, the current study carries few flaws like it was quantitative in nature, a detailed exploratory study can reveal multidimensional bio-psycho-social sufferings of UC patients. Data was not gathered across different age groups,
cities, and ethnicities of Pakistan which could specify different aspects of the psychological co-morbidities among patients. So, further studies can be carried out to overcome these limitations.

Thus, the present study suggests that first of all, it is necessary to spread awareness regarding the triggering factors of UC to guide normal population from developing it as primary prevention is better than secondary prevention but for patients who have diagnosed with these problems, medical health care professionals should refer such patients to counselors or health psychologists. They can better help them to manage noncompliance with unpleasant medical procedures by reducing the depression level and phobias through relaxation exercises, cognitive behavioral therapy and pain management therapies.

**CONCLUSION**

UC patients manifest a range of psychological co-morbidities. Especially, fear of developing cancer and death anxiety, restrict patients to control symptoms, to manage role and emotional functioning and to communicate with doctors to get adequate treatment regimens. Therefore, the commencement of psychotherapeutic programs can be helpful for maintaining the psychological health of patients.
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