Revised stratigraphy of the Eifelian (Middle Devonian) of southern Belgium: sequence stratigraphy, global events, reef development and basin structuration
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ABSTRACT. The Eifelian lithostratigraphic succession of southern Belgium is here revised based on new field investigations and reconsideration of biostratigraphic data. The Couvin Formation is divided into four members: the Villers-la-Tour Member recorded the onset of stromatoporoid biostratomes, the Petigny Member is a dark fine-grained limestone that recorded the Chotéé event, the Cul d’Etre Member is dominated by stromatoporoid-coral biostratomes. These first three members were previously united in the Foulere Member and correspond to the transgressive and highstand system tracts of the Middle Devonian 3rd-order sequence MD1. The argillaceous and bioclastic successive units of the Altime Member recorded the sequence MD2. Laterally, this sequence is only composed of siliciclastic deposits of the Vieux Moulin, Station and Cimetière members of the Jemelle Formation. The highstand system tract is the newly introduced carbonate Vierves Member. Large bioherms, newly described as the Wancennes Formation, recorded the first two sequences MD1 and MD2. A major sequence boundary caps the Couvin Formation and is overlaid by the transgressive and diachronous Chavées Member of the Jemelle Formation with a depositional gap increasing eastwards. The highstand system tract of sequence MD3 is recorded in the bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Member (upper part of the Jemelle Formation), also capped by a sequence boundary. These bioherms probably acted as highs around which the sandy deposits of the Lomme Formation accumulated as lowstand system tract of sequence MD4. The Hanonet Formation and its crinoidal Wellin Member form the transgressive system tracts of sequence MD4 that terminates in the bioclastic and bioclastic Trios-Fontaines Formation (Givetian). The Kaćik event is identified in the transgressive system tract of this sequence. The geographic distribution of facies and of reefs in particular led to the recognition of at least six sedimentation areas corresponding most probably to synsedimentary faulted blocks here defined as the Eau Blanche, Viroin, Lesse, Ourthe, Condroz and Sambre blocks. These sedimentation areas structured the Namur-Dinant Basin and recorded distinct depositional history and were probably active during the Devonian and Carboniferous interval.
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1. Introduction and historical background

As properly summarized by Bulynck (2006), the ‘système calcaire de Couvin’ was introduced by Gosselet (1860) and included in a broad sense, the lower Middle Devonian limestone and related shale in southern Belgium. The term ‘Couvinien’ was introduced by Dupont (1885) to designate the fossiliferous strata below the Givetian limestone. The Couvinian limestone and shale unit was mapped under the sign ‘Cobm’ and ‘Cobn’ respectively on the geological map of Belgium 1:40 000 (Maillieux, 1912). Maillieux & Demanet (1929) also introduced a litho-biostratigraphic scale for the Couvinian in which the ‘Calcaire de Couvin’ was designated by the sign ‘Co2a-b’ and the overlying shale units by the sign ‘Co2c’ and ‘Co2d’. The term Couvin Formation (Fm) was introduced by Bulynck et al. (1991) to replace the formerly used ‘Calcaire de Couvin’. The limited extension of the ‘Calcaire de Couvin’ and its thinning and disappearance eastwards are known since Devalque (1861) and Gosselet (1860, 1888). However, most subsequent works have eluded this question and focused either on the Couvin area where the stratotype is exposed (Tsien, 1969; Bulynck, 1970), or on the Jemelle area where the limestone unit is entirely replaced by the fine-grained siliciclastics of the Jemelle Fm (Godefroid, 1968). Between these areas, the Eifelian succession is affected by strong and rapid lateral variations making the correlation scheme difficult to establish. The recent revision of the geological maps covering the area where the limestone formation crops out (Barchy & Marion, 1999, 2001; Dumoulin & Coen, 2008; Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2013a, 2013b; Dumoulin & Marnier, 1998; Lemort & Dumoulin 1999) helped understanding the facies variations and the relationships of the carbonate and siliciclastic units. However, the cause of such variations remained mostly unexplained until recently (Dumoulin et al., 2006; Dumoulin & Coen, 2008; Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008). Gosselet (1860) explained the lateral disappearance of the ‘Calcaire de Couvin’ by its lens-like shape centred on the Couvin-Chimay area and thinning eastwards. Dupont (1885) was the first to consider the ‘Calcaire de Couvin’ as a fringing reef around the Rocroi ‘Island’ lying south of Couvin. Though this interpretation remained (Tsien, 1974, 1980; Mabile & Boulvain, 2007), it cannot be sustained because the Rocroi Island was not an island at this time as it was already covered by the thick Lower Devonian marine succession. The origin of the lateral discontinuity of facies is most probably the synsedimentary block faulting (Meilliez et al., 1991; Kasimi & Prêt, 1996) and differences in subsidence rate (Lecompte, 1960; Godefroid, 1968).

In the eastern part of the Dinant Synclinorium (Fig. 1), the marine shale and silstone of the Jemelle Fm are progressively replaced by red siliciclastic with which they coexist along a 1 km-large transition strip between Hamoir and Ferrières (Van Tuinj, 1927; Asselbergs & Yans, 1952). North of the Xhoris Fault (Fig. 1), the Old Red Sandstone facies, including thick conglomeratic deposits, are largely dominant but intercalations of fossiliferous limestone or sandstone with decalcified marine fossils occur (Asselberghs, 1952). These later disappear northwards with the exception of the Heusy Sandstone Member, still locally fossiliferous (Hance et al., 1994).

Along the northern margin of the Dinant Synclinorium and in the Haine-Sambre-Meuse overturned thrust sheets (Fig. 1), west of Huy, the Eifelian deposits are reduced to an incomplete succession of proximal deposits ranging from conglomerate to limestone of the Rivièrè Formation (‘Grauwacke de Rouillon’ in classical literature, see Bulynck & Boonen, 1976). Hence, the facies show a strong prooximo-distal distribution along a NE-SW axis (Maillieux & Demanet, 1929; Fourmarier, 1954).

This paper proposes a revised lithostratigraphy based on recent field recognition and mapping along the southern and eastern margins of the Dinant Synclinorium. Though the stratigraphic relationships of some formations are yet unresolved, a new scheme based on facies and supported by biostratigraphy and faunal association is proposed. The second goal is to describe the geometrical and stratigraphic relationships of these lithostratigraphic units in the reconstruction of the basin during the Eifelian time, with a peculiar focus on the reef development. Finally, a sequence stratigraphic model is introduced.

2. Geological settings

Southern Belgium is part of the Rhenohercynian Fold Belt, which extends across Europe from Southern Portugal through southern England, northern France, Belgium, and Germany, into Poland, and resulting from the Variscan deformation that took place during the Late Carboniferous. During the Devonian, the Namur-Dinant Basin (NDB) was situated along the southeastern margin of Laurussia on the Rheo-Hercynian Ocean at an estimated latitude of 25°S (Stampfli et al., 2013; Eckelmann et al., 2014).
The NDB recorded proximal facies in its northern part whereas its southern part acted as a shallow basin with more distal facies. However, deep-water environments are not known in Belgium and only suspected by comparison with the neighbouring British and German basins (Paproth et al., 1983). As part of a large carbonate shelf fringing the Laurussian continent (Fig. 1), the NDB passes eastwards to the Eifel area with which the succession shares many characters (Lecompte, 1970; Bultynck, 1970; Struve, 1982a).

The Middle Devonian Period is characterised, in southern Belgium by the onset of the ‘carbonate factory’ – in fact starting in the late Emsian – following the deposition of the Lower Devonian siliciclastics. It was also a time marked by the onset of reef development having its acme during the Givetian (Lecompte, 1960, Flügel & Kiessling, 2002). The Middle Devonian succession is exposed in various tectonic units but the Eifelian is mostly restricted to the Dinant Synclinorium, the Haine-Sambre-Meuse overturned thrust sheets (former ‘southern limb of the Namur Synclinorium), and the Vesdre area (Fig. 1). Sedimentary interpretations of the Eifelian deposits (Bertrand et al., 1993; Préat et al., 2007; Mabille & Boulvain, 2007) diverge on the deposition settings – ramp or platform. It is most probable that a ramp developed in the late Emsian-early Eifelian locally (Chimay-Couvin) later evolved into a platform. A general model covering the whole NDB is however lacking.

Correlations with the Middle Devonian deposits of the Eifel type area have been presented based on biostatigraphy (see Bultynck, 1970; Meyer et al., 1977; Loboziak et al., 1990; Godfroid, 1995). No correlation based on lithostratigraphy and sequence stratigraphy has been proposed so far.

3. Revised lithostratigraphy

3.1. Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations

These two units erected into Saint Joseph and Eau Noire formations by Bultynck et al. (1991) were previously used as members of the ‘Assise de Bure’ (e.g. Bultynck, 1970). In their type area, near Couvin, they can be lithologically separated but eastwards, their lithological composition changes and they are hardly recognisable as separate units. On recent geological maps, they are mapped as a single unit. Moreover, their lower and upper boundaries differ from area to area. On the geological map Chimay – Couvin (Barchy & Marion, 1999) the composition and boundaries correspond to those of the stratotypes located on a path south of the Saint-Joseph village south of Nismes – nowadays completely erased – and along the Eau Noire river in Couvin respectively. On the neighbouring map Olloy-sur-Viroin – Treignes, a c. 25 m-thick unit that corresponds to the lateral
equivalent of the base of the Couvin Fm was included in Saint-Joseph – Eau Noire group by Dumoulin & Coen (2008) because of the lithological similarities (see 3.2.1. and Fig. 2). Eastwards (maps Sautour – Surice by Dumoulin & Marion (1998) and Agimont – Beauraing by Lemonne & Dumoulin (1999)), the siliciclastic unit later identified as the Vieux Moulin Member (Mbr) (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008; see 3.4.4) was mapped indistinctly with the Eau Noire Fm whereas the lower sandy part of the Saint-Joseph Fm was mapped as the Hierges Fm (Fig. 2). On the maps Flenne – Vencimont (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2013a) and Pondromè – Wellin (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2013b), the lower limit of the Saint-Joseph – Eau Noire group follows the stratotypic definition but its upper limit still falls at the top of the carbonate unit corresponding to the lower part of the Couvin Fm. Both formations reach a maximum thickness of c. 150 m in the Wellin area (Godefroid, 1968) then thin eastwards (c. 50 m in Jemelle; Godefroid, 1968). Their composition changes eastwards, with the progressive disappearance of the carbonate part in the Eau Noire Fm east of the Ourthe valley (Lessuise et al., 1979). In the Asne valley their facies are not distinguishable as the sandy facies dominates (Bultynck et al., 1991).

The lithological composition of the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations is not detailed here. Details can be found in Godefroid (1968), Bultynck (1970), Lessuise et al. (1979) and Bultynck et al. (1991, 2000).

Age: In the old Belgian literature, the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations are traditionally referred to ‘Co1a-c’, i.e. lower Couvinian. However, as explained above, the limestone unit ‘Co2a’ has often been included in the same lithostratigraphic unit. The Emsian-Eifelian boundary (and also the Early-Middle Devonian boundary) is marked by the first entry of Icriodus retrodepressus in the Couvin Fm (Fig. 3). The conodont zone (Fig. 3) and the conodont species described in the Couvin Fm (Fig. 3) are crucial for the interpretation of the formation and its lateral evolution (see Appendix 1). These sections are here described.

The observation of these sections led to reconsider the composition of the Couvin Fm, especially to consider that the stratotype is not entirely representative of the formation and does not expose all facies. In the type sections, Bultynck (1970) described four lithological units in the Couvin Fm (Fig. 3): the ‘first biostrome’ (unit 1, sub-units i-k in Bultynck, 1970); the ‘first subsidence period’ (unit 2, sub-unit l); the ‘second biostrome’ (unit 3, sub-units m-q); and then the ‘third biostrome’ (unit 4, sub-units r-z). Bultynck et al. (1991) introduced two members: the Foulerie Mbr including units i-3 and the Abime Mbr for the last unit (except sub-unit r still in the Foulerie Mbr). The Foulerie Mbr groups three very distinct lithological assemblages that can be easily distinguished in the field. Hence it is proposed to replace the unsuitable Foulerie Mbr by three lithologically well constrained members corresponding to Bultynck’s (1970) lower three units of the ‘Calcaire de Couvin’ as suggested by Bultynck & Godefroid (1974). The Abime Mbr is preserved without change even if lithological variations are detected.

3.2.1. Villers-la-Tour Member

Synonyms: Unit 1, ‘first biostrome’ in Bultynck (1970), Member I in Bultynck & Godefroid (1974).

Key sections: The stratotype of the member is situated along the disused railway SE of Villers-la-Tour, 3 km SW of Chimay (Fig. 1). The lower 10 m are poorly exposed but can be easily observed in the Eau Noire section in Couvin. The Sainte-Barbe disused quarry also exposes the member but the weathering of the rock does not allow proper observations anymore.

Description: The base of the Couvin Formation is defined above the last thick shaly bed included in the Eau Noire Fm (Bultynck et al., 1991) and starts with a first unit of finely bioclastic and crinoidal packstone, usually blueish and slightly argillaceous with some thin shaly interbeds. This first unit (sub-unit i of Bultynck, 1970) is commonly poor in fauna, except some stromatoporoids and lamellar tabulate corals in its upper part. Bultynck (1970) reports a thickness of 13 m. Above this basal unit there is a first biostromal unit (sub-unit j) c. 22-25 m-thick, roughly stratified, with large lamellar stromatoporoids and tabulate corals (mostly *Alveolites*) encrusting a coarse-grained crinoidal rudstone. The rugose corals (*Sociophyllum, Mesophyllum*) are also present as broken fragments. The biostrome is mainly an autobiotome with in situ elements in the lower part but evolves upsection into a para-biostrome with reworked and broken stromatoporoids randomly accumulated, e.g. in the Sainte-Barbe quarry where Tsien (1971) considered the accumulation as a large bioherm. In the Villers-la-Tour railway section, the biostrome (Fig. 4A) is well exposed and displays a cyclic pattern with large stromatoporoids and corals (*Heliolites, Alveolites, Sociophyllum*) at the base and fining-upwards with crinoidal and bioclastic limestone. In the Eau Noire section, Bultynck (1970) distinguished a 4 m-thick unit (k) without stromatoporoid above the biostrome but it appears that it is more probably the upper part of a larger cycle as exposed in Villers-la-Tour.

Age: Bultynck (1970) indicates in these beds the occurrence of the conodonts *Icriodus retrodepressus* and *I. expansus* indicating the lower part of *partitus* conodont zone (Fig. 3).

Remark: East of Nismes, the Villers-la-Tour Mbr disappears
but its basal carbonate unit remains between the Eau Noire Fm and Vieux Moulin Mbr. This 10–20 m-thick unit of argillaceous limestone, often crinoidal but poor in macrofauna can be traced up to the Jemelle area. The new term Sohier Horizon is proposed for this unit corresponding to the lithological sub-unit i of Bultynck (1970). Good exposures of this carbonate unit are situated in the embankment of the road between Sohier and Froidlieu and in adjacent disused quarries (see Appendix 1). The Jemelle, Resteigne and Chanly sections (see sections n°1, H.7, Ch.15 and R.2 in Godefroid, 1968) also expose this horizon.

Eastwards, the carbonate content decreases consequently and both formations are hardly distinguishable from the overlying Jemelle Fm. Note that the Sohier Horizon was mapped together with the Eau Noire Fm between Nismes and Jemelle (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008). In Halma section H.7 (Godefroid, 1968), the limestone horizon yielded the conodonts *Icriodus corniger*, *I. retrodepressus*, *I. expansus* and *Polygnathus linguiformis* forma α, whereas in Grupont (Godefroid, 1968), it yielded *P. costatus partitus* and *I. retrodepressus* (Bultynck & Godefroid, 1974), indicating the lower partitus zone, i.e. the age of the Villers-la-Tour Mbr.

3.2.2. Petigny Member

Synonyms: Unit 2, ‘first subsidence period’ in Bultynck (1970), Member II in Bultynck & Godefroid (1974).

Key sections: The stratotype is defined in small disused quarries along the Augile Street, south of Petigny, 1.5 km E of Couvin (Fig. 1). In the Eau Noire section in Couvin, the member is discontinuously exposed.

Description: In the Eau Noire section, the coarse bioclastic rudstone with stromatoporoids of the Villers-la-Tour Mbr is covered by a c. 40 m-thick unit of dark grey or bluish-grey argillaceous limestone alternating with some shaly beds. The bioclastic content is poor and limited to small crinoids, bryozoans and brachiopods fragments in a matrix containing up to 25% of clay and silt in the lower part (Bultynck, 1970). In Petigny, this unit is a dark argillaceous limestone with some fine-grained bioclastic layers and a peculiar fauna of large bivalves that seem to be in *situ* in the sediment (Fig. 4B). Rare brachiopods, ostracods, solitary corals and orthocerids are the other components. In its stratotype, the Petigny Mbr is c. 50 m-thick, the upper half being darker. This unit was very locally quarried as the ‘*Marbre noir de Couvin*’ in the 19th century (Kaisin, 1935 reporting Maillieux’s comment).

Age: *Icriodus nodosus* and *I. corniger* are reported by Bultynck (1970) from Eau Noire section. The member is included in the partitus conodont zone (Fig. 3).

3.2.3. Cul d’Efer Member

Synonyms: Unit 3, ‘second biostrome’ in Bultynck (1970), Member III in Bultynck & Godefroid (1974).

Key sections: The Cul d’Éfer sections are open-sky cryptokarstic cavities that were mined for iron hydroxides (Fonderie Jean Cosse) in the woody hill west of Petigny (Fig. 1). The boundary with the underlying member is exposed immediately south of the Cul d’Éfer section II (west) whereas the boundary with the overlying member is exposed in the Cul d’Éfer section I (east).

Description: This unit is poorly exposed along the Eau Noire section and Bultynck (1970) gave only a superficial description of the lower part. The Cul d’Éfer sections however expose in good conditions the ‘second biostrome’ that displays two phases. The first is a c. 25 m-thick unit of lamellar alveolitid autobiostrome alternating with and covering crinoidal rudstone. The tabulate corals and stromatoporoids are mostly in life position. The second part dominantly comprises cyclic deposits. Each cycle starts with coarse bioclastic rudstone passing to large bulbous stromatoporoids (up to 50 cm in diameter), *Heliolites* and large solitary rugose corals (*Mesophyllum*, up to 40 cm-long). These
Figure 4. Illustration of some macro- and micro-facies of the Couvin Fm. A. stromatoporoids and tabulate corals in the ‘first biostrome’ (Villers-la-Tour Mbr) in the Villers-la-Tour disused railway section (polished slab VTRI/18); B. dark fine-grained facies with pelecypod and cephalopods (arrows) of the Petigny Mbr (sample CEIII/A); C. cyclic parabiostromes (arrows) in the Cul d’Efer Mbr, Cul d’Efer section in reverse position; D. bioclastic rudstone with amphiporids and *Dendrostella* typical of the Abîme Mbr (RCC/3); E. dark argillaceous packstone with rugose and tabulate corals typical of the Saint-Remy Facies of the Abîme Mbr, Saint-Remy quarry (CSR/10); F. crinoidal and bioclastic grainstone to rudstone in the Abîme Mbr, Villers-la-Tour quarry (VTII/5); G. argillaceous bioclastic packstone of the Vierves Mbr in Vierves-sur-Viroin section (VVID/16); H. macroscopic view of the biothermal facies with large colonies and stromatoporoids in the Abîme Mbr, Roche Trouée in Nismes, arrows indicates coral colonies and stromatoporoids. Scale bars equal 10 mm for all but 50 cm for C and 10 cm for H.
accumulations form parabiostromes where elements are not in living position. The parabiostromes pass upwards to finer grained bioclastic grainstone-packstone then to darker wackestone with abundant amphiporids, small tabulate coral branches (including *Syringocystis* and *Hillaepora*) and common *Cystiphyllolithes* spp. Some shaly interbeds with limestone or dolostone lenses are often developed. The cycles vary in thickness from 2 m up to 9 m but the composition is relatively constant and varies only in grainulometry and proportion of matrix (Fig. 4C). Five or six such alternations can be seen in the Cul d’Efer section I and six in Cul d’Efer section II. Only one is exposed along the Eau Noire section. These alternations possibly correspond to relative sea-level oscillation-related parasequences as some of them show a clear shallowing-upwards trend. The reworked aspect of the facies also suggests a deposition under high hydrodynamic settings. The upper part of ‘second biostrome’ (sub-units o-p) is dolomitised in the Eau Noire section and Cul d’Efer section I (Fig. 3).

Age: The Cul d’Efer Mbr yielded few conodonts in the Eau Noire section (Bultynck, 1970), including *Icriodus expansus*, *I. nodosus*, *I. corniger* and *Polygnathus webbi*, suggesting still the *paritius* zone.

3.2.4. Abîme Member

**Synonyms:** Unit 4, ‘third biostrome’ in Bultynck (1970), Member IV-V in Bultynck & Godefroid (1974).

**Key sections:** Eau Noire and Abîme sections in Couvin, Cul d’Efer section I, Parrain quarry NW of Couvin, Villers-la-Tour quarry, Saint-Remy quarry in Chimay, Saint-Joseph quarry in Nismes (Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

**Description:** Bultynck (1970) indicates that an exposure gap between the ‘second’ and ‘third biostrome’ might correspond to more shaly facies that are not cropping out in the Eau Noire section – shaly facies that he would have expected as a ‘second subsidence period’. However there is no trace of such facies in any section. The ‘third biostrome’ starts in the stratotype by massive beds rich in stromatoporoids and tabulate corals (sub-unit r) forming parabiostromes with an abundant packstone matrix, often slightly dolomitic. An interval of dark argillaceous limestone c. 4–6 m-thick can be recognised in the Eau Noire section (Bultynck & Godefroid, 1974) (Fig. 3).

Age: The most significant conodonts of the Abîme Mbr are *Bipennatus montenensis* (identified as *Spathognathodus cf. bipennatus* by Bultynck, 1970; see Narkiewicz, 2015) and *Polygnathus costatus costatus* indicating the *costatus* zone. *P. costatus costatus* was however found slightly below the base of the Abîme Mbr in the Eau Noire section (Bultynck & Godefroid, 1974) (Fig. 3).

**Figure 5.** Schematic transect along the southern margin of the Dinant Synclinorium, showing the lateral variation in the Eifelian lithostratigraphic succession between Villers-la-Tour and Jemelle. Legend: ABI: Abîme Mbr (Couvin Fm), CEF: Cul d’Efer Mbr (Couvin Fm), CIM: Cimetière Mbr (Jemelle Fm), CVE: Chaües Mbr (Jemelle Fm), HNT: Hanonet Fm, LOM: Lomme Fm, PE: Petigny Mbr (Couvin Fm), RT: Roche Trouée biothermal facies, SOH: Sohier Horizon (Jemelle Fm), STA: Station Mbr (Jemelle Fm), TS: Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr (Jemelle Fm), VRV: Vierves Mbr (Couvin Fm), VT: Villers-la-Tour Mbr (Couvin Fm), VXM: Vieux Moulin Mbr (Jemelle Fm): WAN: Wancennes Fm, WEL: Wellin Mbr (Hanonet Fm); F?: putative synsedimentary fault. Modified from Dumoulin & Blokmans (2008) with additions from Bultynck (1970), Godefroid (1968), Coen-Aubert et al. (1991) and new observations.
3.2.5. Vierves Member

Key sections: Autre côté de l’Eau Street, La Goulette and Roche du Pas sections in Olloy-sur-Viroin, Viers-sur-Viroin road section, Mazée and Foisches sections. East of the Meuse valley, there are good exposures in Eclaye and Les Rochettes in Pondrôme, Froidlieu and Tienne de Reumont near Wellin (Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

Description: The Abîme Mbr that corresponds roughly to the ‘third biostrome’ is 160 m-thick in the type section in Couvin and in Petigny Cul d’Efer sections. Eastwards, in the Roche Trouée, only the 100 upper metres are still present (see 3.2.4 and Fig. 5). In Olloy-sur-Viroin i.e. 3.5 km east of the Roche Trouée, the thickness of the bioclastic and argillaceous limestone corresponding to the remnant of the Abîme Mbr is less than 20 m-thick in the ‘Goulette’ section. In the Roche du Pas, c. 1 km eastwards, the only trace of the member are a 3 m-thick biostrome with stromatoporoids and Heliolites overlaid by 3-4 m of bioclastic packstone. In Viers-sur-Viroin, i.e. 5 km east of the Roche Trouée, the succession comprises only 50 m of argillaceous limestone with numerous corals (mostly solitary rugose corals and massive tabulates) and brachiopods but almost devoid of stromatoporoids, suggesting deeper settings and/or an increased influx of fine siliciclastics (Fig. 4G). Limestone with stromatoporoids re-appears east of Viers-sur-Viroin as small biostrome in the Vierves mine section and in Mazée. In the Foisches section, south of Givet, c. 20 km eastwards, the equivalent is composed of argillaceous and silty limestone with brachiopods (Lemone & Dumoulin, 1999).

In the Viers-sur-Viroin road section, the composition of the unit can be detailed (Fig. 6). The top of the Vieux Moulin Mbr is made of fossiliferous, slightly calcareous siltstone with nodules of finely bioclastic dark limestone. The base of the Vierves Mbr is defined by the first laterally-continuous bed of bioclastic limestone (mudstone to wackestone). Shaly and silty interbeds are 1 to 10 cm-thick and often contain calcareous nodules (unit A in Fig. 6). Fossils are abundant in the lower part (brachiopods, trilobites, ostracods), solitary rugose corals appear in the lower third whereas lamellar tabulate corals become abundant in the upper third of this 4 m-thick unit A. Upwards the argillaceous content of the limestone (bioclastic wackestone to floatstone) decreases and the shaly interbeds become rarer (unit B). An abundant fauna is present, mainly solitary rugose corals (Cystiphyloides, Mesophyllum, Acanthophyllum) but also in situ colonies of Thamnophyllum and fragments of Fasciphyllum and Disciphyllum. Heliolites and alveolitids forming large colonies are also present. Stromatoporoids, though present as small domal colonies or isolated laminae, are not common. This second unit is c. 30 m-thick. The upper part of the member (unit C, 15 m) is more thickly-bedded and carbonated but argillaceous layers are not uncommon. The boundary with the overlying Chavées Mbr of the Jemelle Fm is not clear-cut as the latter starts typically with an alternation of 30-40 cm-thick beds of fossiliferous argillaceous limestone and 10-30 cm-thick interbeds of blueish bioclastic shale. However, the top of the Vierves Mbr — a 60 cm-thick bed of slightly dolomitised greyish grainstone with tabulate corals — is overlaid by a 20 cm-thick bed of argillaceous dark limestone with abundant brachiopods defining the base of the Chavées Mbr (Fig. 6). The first shale bed appears above this limestone. Fossils are very abundant in the basal 20 m of the Chavées Mbr: brachiopods (including very abundant gypidulids), Cystiphyloides, Calceola, trilobites, byozoans. This rich association is typical of the base of the Chavées Mbr in this area. Non calcareous shale with brachiopods and byozoans starts above.

In summary, the Vierves Mbr is dominantly composed of argillaceous bioclastic limestone with shaly interbeds, the latter being less abundant in the upper part. A thickness of 50 m is estimated, with a base defined by the first continuous bed of limestone above the silty Vieux Moulin Mbr; and the top defined by the first argillaceous limestone capping the last thick bed of coarse-grained and dolomitised limestone below the Chavées Mbr. The dark facies has many similites with the Saint-Remy Facies of the Abîme Mbr as developed in the Chimay and Villers-la-Tour area (compare Figs 4E and 4G).

The Vierves Mbr can be traced between Olloy-sur-Viroin to the Meuse valley and eastwards to Pondrôme and Wellin where the argillaceous dark limestone facies is well developed (e.g. outcrops in Dion, Javingue, Honnay, Fig. 1). Local accumulation of stromatoporoids and corals indicates the development of laterally limited reeval facies in the upper part, but rarely thicker than 15 m. Such facies are exposed in the Roche du Pas (Olloy-sur-Viroin), Vierves mine section, Mazée, Eclaye, Pondrôme and along the Tienne de Reumont outcrops between Froidlieu and Wellin (Fig. 1). In this locality the Vierves Mbr is dolomitised, with a dolomitisation increasing eastwards both in intensity and by the thickness affected by dolomitisation. The easternmost outcrop of the Vierves Mbr is located in the Les Marlières forest, north of Wellin (Fig. 1) where it is directly overlaid by a large bioherm within the Jemelle Fm (see 3.5.4 and 3.5.5). It has to be noted that the well-bedded dolomitic limestone unit exposed in the disused quarry of the Fond des Vaux section 1 of Godefroid (1968) is not an equivalent of the Couvin Fm but is younger (see discussion of age below). Maillieux (1938) indicated that the stromatoporoid limestone ‘Co2b’ can be followed up to the Wève locality near Bure (Lesse Valley) but there is no trace of the carbonate unit east of Wellin, except some nodular limestone (Godefroid, 1968).

Age: There is very few conodont data for this member and only Dumoulin & Blockmans (2008) reported Bipennatus montensis from the top of their ‘Couvin Fm’ (i.e. Vierves Mbr) in Wellin, suggesting the exact same age as the top of the Abîme

---

**Figure 6.** Schematic log of the Vierves Mbr of the Couvin Fm in its stratotype. Legend: see Fig. 9.
partitus

of Holocene colluvium made of debris coming from the Emsian limestone crest (Fig. 7B). The shaly debris appearing in crops immediately above of the last shale of the Chavées Mbr of the Jemelle Fm as suggested by is not exposed but it seems to be very clear-cut and overlaid by reef crest (n° 18 and 21 in Fig. 9, Fig. 10G). The top of the reef is overlain by the Chavées Mbr and yielded an abundant coral fauna, including Fasciphyllum varium (= Beugniesastraea varia sensu Coen-Aubert, 1988) which is only known in the upper part of the Abime and Vierves members in the Couvin area (Coen-Aubert, 1988 and new data).

3.3. Wancennes Formation

This new term is introduced to designate the light grey massive limestone rich in reefal organisms cropping out between Dion and Pondôrime (Fig. 5). In Wancennes, Dumoulin & Blockmans (2008) noted an abnormally thicknes of the ‘Couvin Fm’ and explained that the formation shows there a similar development as in the type section of Couvin. However, neither the development nor the facies are comparable to the Couvin Formation in its stratotype. New name and definition are therefore required for this unit.

Key sections: Section along the creek and in the crops NE of the Wancennes village, 1 km south of Beauraing (Fig. 7). Additional crops in Pondôrime near Le Tilleul and in the fields between Dion and Wannene (Fig. 8).

Description: In the Wancennes section, the base of the reef is not exposed and a c. 5 m long exposure gap exists between the last dark grey and argillaceous crinoidal limestone of the Eau Noire Fm and the first horizons of the reef. The base of the formation is therefore defined by the first beds of light grey crinoidal rudstone covered by large lamellar stromatoporoïds as badly exposed in crop exposures along the road Wancennes-Beauraing (see Fig. 7). This alternation of lamellar stromatoporoïds covering thick beds of crinoidal rudstone extremely rich in brachiopods, gastropods, trilobites and tabulate corals forms a first unit c. 20 m-thick (Figs 9, 10A). A second unit 20 m-thick is similar in facies but differs by the high abundance of bulbous stromatoporoïds and alveolitids. Brachiopods are also abundant in clusters within cement-filled cavities. Upwards, a 20–25 m-thick massive unit is almost entirely composed of large lamellar stromatoporoïds (n°6 in Fig 9). Tabulate corals (mainly alveolitids) and facciulate rugose corals (Fasciphyllum, Lyrielasma, Sociophyllum, Fig. 10B) first appear in the next 25 m, still with stromatoporoïds (lamellar and bulbous) and light grey crinoidal rudstone. The following 15–20 m are poorly exposed but similar facies may be observed in depressions. The next 80–100 m are composed of light grey massive framestone with lamellar and bulbous stromatoporoïds, ramose, lamellar and dome-shaped tabulate corals (alveolitids, thamnoporids) and rugose corals (Fasciphyllum, Austraphylloïdum, Sociophyllum). The crinoidal and bioclastic matrix is locally abundant. Cemented cavities are also common (Fig. 10C). Despite the lack of continuous section, indirect evidence — such as blocks emerging from ploughed fields and signature of clayish content in aerial photographs taken during digging (Figs 7C-D) — allows the recognition of a 10–20 m-thick unit of fine-grained, argillaceous limestone in the reef (n°26 in Fig. 9). The dominant facies is a grey thick stromatoporoïd usually rich in Fasciphyllum and alveolitids and tabulate corals suggesting a less hydrodynamic environment (Fig. 10F). The rest of the reef displays similar light grey framestone (Figs 10D-E) on 70–80 m, with an extremely diverse coral fauna, tabulate corals suggesting a less hydrodynamic environment (Fig. 10F).

3.4. Jemelle Formation

The Jemelle Fm is a complex, thick and diachronic unit dominantly siliciclastic that reaches a maximum development between Wellin and Hoton (Fig. 1). Eastwards, its thickness and marine character decrease towards the Hamoir area (Van Tuijn, 1927; Asselberghs, 1952; Lessuise et al., 1979). In the Jemelle stratotype section, the formation was divided into three members, which are in ascending order the Station Mbr, Cimetière Mbr and Chavées Mbr (Godefroid, 1968; Bultynck et al., 1991). The lower member is replaced westwards by the Vieux Moulin Mbr (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008).

3.4.1. Station Member

Key sections: Section along the road Jemelle-Forrières near the train station, section along the disused railroad Jemelle-Rochefort, sandy facies are visible in the Aisne creek between Aisne and Villers-Sainte-Gertrude and in the Ferrières railway section (Fig. 1).

Description: This first member, 40 m-thick is distinctly composed of shale to silty shale with centimetre-size beds of sandstones, often micaceous (Godefroid, 1968). The fauna is rare and poorly preserved (dissolved brachiopods). The Station Mbr is not recognized west of the Jemelle area and is hardly distinguishable from the overlying Cimetière Mbr east of the type locality.

In the Aisne creek section, Lessuise et al. (1979), Dusar (1989) and Marion & Barchy (in press), indicate the occurrence at the base of the Jemelle Fm of a sandstone unit. In the Aisne valley, in Villers-Sainte-Gertrude, Lessuise et al. (1979) described above the top of the calcareous shale of the Eau Noire Fm two sandstone units separated by a dominantly shaly interval c. 10 m-thick. The first unit (unit N, c. 20 m-thick) consists of poorly exposed arkosic sandstone alternating with shaly interbeds progressively carbonate upsection often bioturbated and containing locally some dissolved brachiopod shells. The second one (35 m-thick unit O in Lessuise et al., 1979) is made of thick beds of arkosic, occasionally calcareous, sandstone alternating with sandy shale. It is proposed to designate these sandstone and associated siliciclastic deposits as the ‘Aisne Facies’ of the Jemelle Fm. This facies seems to increase in thickness northeastwards then replace entirely the shaly Jemelle Fm between the Xhoris and Rouge-Minière faults (Asselberghs & Yans, 1952). These siliciclastic deposits show locally a reddish colour, announcing the passage to marine carbonate deposits or marine turbidite facies (Fig. 3). Moreover, this conodont confirms the occurrence of the brachiopod Arthuspirifer supraspeciosus suggests a late Eifelian age within the upper costatus to eifflaez zones (‘Co2c’, Bultynck, 1970, Fig. 3), but the species is also sporadically known in older strata (‘Co2b’, Godefroid, 1968) only possible where facies are suitable. In the Jemelle area, the 5 m-thick unit of argillaceous limestone of the Sohier Horizon situated below the Station Mbr yielded a depauperate fauna suggesting the lower paritius zone (‘Co2a’). As no fauna diagnostic for the upper paritius zone has been identified, a biostratigraphic hiatus possibly exists between the Sohier Horizon and Station Mbr. The scarcity of fauna in the Station Mbr precludes further conclusions. In Villers-Sainte-Gertrude, the Aisne Facies yielded the brachiopod Arthuspirifer supraspeciosus.
intermedius and the spore Grandispora velata in unit N (Lessuise et al., 1979). The joined occurrences indicate the upper partitus conodont zone (Fig. 3).

Remark: The sandy character shared by the Station Mbr, Aisne Facies and ‘Grès de Najauje’ (see 3.4.3.) possibly indicates their lateral correlation. The biostratigraphic data however point to slightly different ages but it should be acknowledged that these data are rather limited for these units. Their geometrical and biostratigraphic relationship needs to be investigated.

3.4.2. Cimetière Member

Key sections: Section along the road Jemelle-Forrières facing the train station, section along the disused railroad Jemelle-Rochefort (Fig. 1).

Description: The Cimetière Mb is dominantly shaly with some intercalated dark argillaceous limestone beds and packages of carbonate nodules in which the fauna is somewhat diverse (brachiopods, tabulate corals, crinoids, trilobites and bryozoans). The member is 115 m-thick in the type section. Eastwards, the Cimetière Mbr is not distinguishable from the underlying Station Mbr. Note that the lithology of these members in Forrières and Grupont, provided by Godefroid (1968), reminds that of the Vieux Moulin Mbr.

Age: Godefroid (1968) reported Arduspirifer intermedius, A. supraspeciosus and Spinocyrtia ostiolata in the type locality. The later suggest the costatus conodont zone (Fig. 3).

3.4.3. Vieux Moulin Member

Key sections: Najauje section near Treignes (Dumoulin & Coen, 2008), Vierves-sur-Viroin road section, outcrops around Vireux (‘Mur des Douaniers’), Grupont railway section (Godefroid, 1968) (Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

Description: West of Jemelle, the Station and Cimetière members are replaced by a thick and homogeneous succession of dark shale and siltstone where the cleavage is usually well developed. The thickness varies from c. 170 m in Grupont to 250 m in Wellin (Godefroid, 1968) and 260 m in the stratotype in Treignes (Dumoulin & Coen, 2008). In the Vieux Moulin locality, the member starts on top of the limestone Sohier Horizon.
and is mostly shaly in the lower half and often dark in colour. Carbonate intercalations are rare and fossils are often dissolved and exposed as moults. The famous ‘Mur des Douaniers’ trilobite locality in Vireux (France) exposes these facies (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008; Van Viersen et al., 2019). The upper half of the member is dominantly silty with some carbonate levels (coquina beds, possibly corresponding to tempestites) that yields a more diverse fauna (trilobites, brachiopods, orthocerids, gastropods, Cystiphyllidoidea). The upper silty part is lighter in colour than the lower shaly part and reminds the facies of the Cimetière Mbr. Slightly carbonate sandstone known as ‘Grès de Najaune’ (Dumoulin & Coen, 2008) occurs locally at the top of the member in the Viroin and Meuse valleys but sandy shales in similar stratigraphic position are known in the Wellin area (section H.8 in Godefroid, 1968). The transition with the overlying Vierves Mbr of the Couvin Fm is progressive with the increase of carbonate content in the siltstone and the reduction of the shaly interbeds between limestone beds. This transition is well exposed in the Vierves-sur-Viroin road section (see 3.2.5. and Fig. 6).

The relationship between the Vieux Moulin Mbr and the lower members of the Couvin Fm and Wancennes Fm is less clear. The sequence stratigraphic model developed below is based on the hypothesis that the Vieux Moulin Mbr is younger than the limestone equivalent and possibly deposited during the next sequence (see discussion in 4.2.).

Age: The age of the Vieux Moulin Mbr is not constrained biostratigraphically because facies suitable for conodonts are uncommon. However it seems logical that it could be the lateral time-equivalent of the Station and Cimetière members known eastwards. In the Grupont section (see Godefroid, 1968), the nodular shale above the argillaceous limestone (Sohier Horizon) yielded Polygnathus costatus partitus, P. serotoninus and Icriodus retrodepressus indicating the costatus conodont zone (Bultynck et al., 1991) whereas the shale above this horizon contains I. expansus but not I. coriniger (Godefroid, 1968), suggesting the (upper part? of the) costatus zone as well. Note that Maillieux (1919a) attributed an age ‘Co2a’ (lower partitus) to this unit that he thought was equivalent to the metre-thick shaly horizon at the base of the Couvin Fm.

3.4.4. Chavées Member

Key sections: Section along the road Jemelle-Forrières near the train station, section along the disused railroad Jemelle-Rochefort, Pont-le-Pèdre section, Izier ‘le Boulac’ section, Tienne de Boussu section in Couvin, Pondrôme railway tunnel section (Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

Description: The composition of the Chavées Mbr changes laterally as demonstrated by Godefroid (1968) and Bultynck (1970) (Fig. 5). In the Jemelle type section, the member starts with a first 40 m-thick unit where numerous thin beds and nodules of limestone are intercalated in the shale. The fauna is abundant in some beds (solitary rugose corals, brachiopods, trilobites). A second 60 m-thick unit is characterised by the abundance of limestone nodules that form 10–50 cm-thick beds. Usually, within these beds are developed thin biostromes composed of lamellar and massive alveolidids, stromatoporoids and occasional Heliolites with associated solitary rugose corals and brachiopods. The next unit is c. 100 m-thick and dominated by carbonate shale with intercalated beds of argillaceous limestone rich in brachiopods and rugose corals. The overlying 40 m-thick unit is richer in limestone beds and nodules and includes a diverse fauna. The last 10 m-thick unit is dominated by argillaceous and crinoidal limestone rich in brachiopods and trilobites. In the Couvin area, Bultynck (1970) provided the following description of the Chavées Mbr (Fig. 3):

- Horizon ‘Co2c I’ (c. 40 m): brownish bioclastic shale with intercalated beds of argillaceous limestone. A diverse coral fauna occurs (Acanthophyllum, Menophyllum, Cystiphyllidoidea, Alveolites, Heliolites, thamnoporids) together with trilobites and brachiopods. Among the brachiopods, Tingella spp. and Gypidula spp. are common (Bultynck, 1970) but lacks entirely in the Jemelle section.
- Horizon ‘Co2c II’ (c. 60 m): dominantly shale with some limestone beds and nodules, by brachiopods and brachiopods.
- Horizon ‘Co2c III’ (c. 40 m): calcareous shale alternating with blueish argillaceous limestone beds in which the fauna is abundant: brachiopods, crinoids, rugose and tabulate corals. Locally (i.e. in Penigony and Vierves-sur-Viroin areas, but also in Jemelle and Izier) these beds are autochthonous biostromes made by lamellar tabulate and stromatoporoids.
- Horizon ‘Co2c IV’ (100 m): greyish or greenish shale and silty shale with few debris of crinoids, brachiopods and brachiopods. In Wellin this horizon is locally very rich in limestone that forms thick beds, often dolomitic (e.g. Fond des Vaux disused quarry). Laterally to these shales are developed limestone bioherms (Tienne Sainte Anne Mbr, see 3.4.5.).
- Horizon ‘Co2c V’ (0–30 m): sandy shale alternating with sandy limestone and calcareous, commonly micaceous sandstone with decalcified brachiopods and brachiopods. These sandy beds seem to form large lenticular bodies up to several hundred metres-long sandwiched between the underlying shale and overlying limestone of the Hanonet Fm. This horizon corresponds to the westwards expression of the Fond des Valennes Mbr (Bultynck et al., 1991; see 3.6.1.).

Age: In the Couvin area, the base of the Chavées Mbr yielded Polygnathus costatus costatus and Polygnathus lingiformis forma γ (Bultynck & Godefroid, 1974) indicating the costatus to australis conodont zones for the oldest part (Bultynck et al., 1991). This level also yielded a rich fauna of the brachiopod Tingella spp. In the same area, the horizon below the bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr yielded Polygnathus pseudofolius, P. costatus costatus, P. angustiocostatus and P. angustiennatus (Bultynck & Godefroid, 1974) that indicate the kockelianus conodont zone (Bultynck et al., 1991) (Fig. 3).

In the Wellin area, the argillaceous limestone lying directly on the top of the dolomitic limestone of the Vierves Mbr yielded Icriodus costatus/pseudofolius transitional forms (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008) that clearly indicate the middle part of the Chavées Mbr. Therefore, the lower part of the Chavées Mbr is seemingly lacunar, and the first deposit on top of the Vierves
Mbr is equivalent to the upper part of the Chavées and Tienne Sainte-Anne members (see 3.4.5. and Fig. 11). In the Fond des Vaux section I where the Vierves Mbr is lacking, the lower part of the Chavées Mbr is present as suggested by the occurrence of the brachiopods *Arduspirifer supraspeciosus* and *A. intermedium* (Godefroid, 1968). The hiatus is seemingly maximum in Les Marlères (Fig. 11) and decreases westwards, up to the Couvin area where the Chavées Mbr is completely developed. However the range of the hiatus between these extreme localities are uneasy to estimate because of the lack of available biostratigraphic data.

In the Jemelle type section, the first unit of the Chavées Mbr yielded the brachiopods *Cyrtinopsis undosa* and *Spinocyrtia ostiolata*. These brachiopods, typical of the *kockelianus* zone interval in the Couvin area probably indicate that the basal zones of the Chavées Mbr might not be recorded and might also point to a hiatus in the Jemelle area.

### 3.4.5. Tienne Sainte-Anne Member

**Synonyms:** ‘Co2c R’ in Bultynck (1970), ‘BI’ on the geological maps.

**Key sections:** Macon and Salles, Tienne al Chapelle in Couvin, Tienne Sainte-Anne in Nismes, Coputienne and Fond des Vaux (FdV 3 in Godefroid, 1968) in Wellin (Figs 1, 11 and Appendix 1).

**Description:** The bioherms developed in the upper part of the ‘Couvian’ are long known (Maillieux, 1919b, 1938) and occur mainly in two zones: between Macon and Nismes and in the Wellin area. Bultynck (1965) described the Tienne al Chapelle (Couvin) bioherm; Bultynck (1966) described the Tienne Sainte-Anne reef (Nismes) and Bultynck (1970) described the Macon and Salles reefs. Barchy & Marion (1999, 2001) recognised additional reefs between Chimay and Couvin. In the Wellin area, the Coputienne reefs were noticed by Dumon & Maillieux (1937) and the Jeumont reef was identified by Godefroid (1968). Additionally, Dumoulin & Blockmans (2008) mapped the Les Marlères and Malbou reefs (Fig. 11). The largest bioherm exposed in Les Marlères (Wellin) reaches 200 m in thickness and c. 1000 m-long, but most of the others are c. 100 m-thick and a few hundred of metres in diameter. The lithological succession is similar in all reefs. It starts with thick roughly-beded crinoidal, commonly yellowish rudstone covered by massive and lamellar stromatoporoids and tabulate corals (Fig. 12A). Thin shaly intercalations are not uncommon between crinoidal beds. The fauna is dominated by...
Figure 10. Illustration of some macro- and micro-facies of the Wancennes Fm. A. outcrop exposing the basal crinoidal rudstone and stromatoporoid beds of the reef (point 4 on Figs 7 and 9); B. coral framestone near the base of the reef (thin section WPEIIIC/1); C. fine-grained facies with cement-filled cavities and in situ (?) brachiopods in the lower part of the Dion reef (polished slab DIII/1); D. stromatoporoid and rugose coral framestone (polished slab, cut parallel to bedding, WNVI/2); E. tabulate and rugose coral framestone with bioclastic matrix from the reefcore (polished slab WNIV/1); F: dark argillaceous bioclastic limestone in the middle part of the reef (thin section WNVI/8); G: bioclastic and crinoidal rudstone in the upper part of the reef (WNVI/1). Scale bars equal 10 mm.
stromatoporoids and alveolitid tabulate corals, *Heliolites* and large solitary rugose corals (*Acanthophyllum* and *Cystiphylloides*). The rugose coral *Cyathophyllum* forms large (up to 1 m) massive colonies in the bioclastic facies associated to the stromatoporoid beds. Upwards finer-grained facies developed, with reddish bioclastic wackestone in which stromatactoid cavities are frequent (Figs 12C, 12D). This core facies was observed only in larger reefs (e.g. Tienne al Chapelle in Couvin, Tienne Sainte-Anne in Nismes, Fond des Vaux in Wellin). The uppermost facies are often whitish bioclastic wackestone-packstone with stromatoporoids and massive tabulate corals.

**Age:** Bultynck (1970) reported the conodont *Polygnathus linguiformis curvirostratus* in the bedded crinoidal limestone at the base of the Tienne Saint-Anne reef and *Polygnathus angustipennatus* in the upper facies of the Macon reef (Fig. 3). It appears that the reef is situated within the *eiflius* zone but Bultynck (1970) suggest that their base could still be in the *kockelianus* zone. Consequently, the reef growth was terminated before the deposition of the sandy deposits of the Lomme Fm and equivalents. Where these sandy deposits are not present the reef is directly overlaid by the Hanonet Fm (i.e. in Tienne al Chapelle in Couvin and in Baileux, cf. Barchy & Marion, 1999; Les Marlères in Wellin, cf. Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2008).

**3.5. Lomme Formation**

The Lomme Fm is a dominantly sandstone unit divided into two members in the type section in Jemelle. Its thickness increases eastwards from Wellin to Resteigne where it reaches 130 m (Dumoulin & Blockmans, 2013a) up to the Aisne area (Marion & Barchy, in press). Sandstone and sandy siltstone described in the uppermost part of the Jemelle Fm in the Couvin-Chimay area (Bultynck, 1970; Barchy & Marion, 1999) correspond to local laterally-limited development of the Lomme Fm. It seems that these lenses-like sandy bodies occupy the spaces between bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr.

**Age:** In the Jemelle section, only the brachiopod *Arduspirifer intermedius* and the conodont *Polygnathus angustipennata* indicate the upper Eifelian *eiflius* to *ensensis* zones (Bultynck et al., 1991). In Forrières, interstratified crinoidal limestone yielded the brachiopod *Ucinulus goldfussii* which is only known from the Hanonet Fm in the Couvin area (Godefroid, 1968), suggesting the same age for the Wanne Mbr in Forrières and Jemelle (Fig. 3). Bultynck (1970) reported the conodont *Tortodus intermedius* from the sandstone in the Couvin area whereas the sandy siltstone attributed to the top of the Jemelle Fm in Couvin by Bultynck & Hollevoet (1999) yielded *T. intermedius* and *P. ensensis*. These conodonts indicate the same age, across the *eiflius* to *ensensis* standard zones (Bultynck et al., 1991).
Figure 12. Illustration of some macro- and micro-facies of the Tienne Sainte-Anne and Wellin members. A. bioclastic rudstone with solitary rugose corals and *Heliolites*, basal facies of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, Tienne Sainte-Anne section (thin section SFC/2); B. bioclastic mudstone with stromatoid cavities, core facies of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, Tienne al Chapelle (TACU/1); C. stromatoporoid and chaetetid coverstone with abundant fine-grained matrix and cement-filled cavities, Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, Fond des Vaux section (polished slab FdVIIIA/6); D. same facies in thin section, with abundant cement bothryoids in cavities, Fond des Vaux section (FdVII/6c); E. coral and stromatoporoid framestone in the reefal part of the Wellin Mbr (Hanonet Fm), Fond des Vaux 'cimetière de voiture' (thin section FdVII/5); F. bioclastic packstone-grainstone of the Wellin Mbr, "Marbre Florence de Wellin" disused quarry (polished slab FdVII/1); G. sandy bioclastic packstone with tabulate corals, biothermal lense from the Lomme Fm, Mesnil-Favay section (thin section MF/10); H: silty coarse bioclastic rudstone with large *Mesophyllum* sp. from the Wellin Mbr, Aisne creek section (thin section AV/13b). Scale bars equal 10 mm for all.
still in the enensis zone (Bultynck & Hollevoet, 1999). However the same age is suggested for the underlying Wanne Mbr, suggesting therefore a probable diachronism of the boundary between the Lomme and Hanonet Fm, the base of the latter being slightly younger in the eastern areas (Bultynck et al., 2000).

3.6.1. Wellin Member

The name Wellin Formation was introduced by Dumoulin & Blockmans (2008) for the limestone unit yet named ‘Formation X’ by Coen-Aubert (1989). It is described from a single section in the Fondu des Vaux, north of Wellin and was supposedly restricted to this single locality (Dumon & Mailieux, 1937; Coen-Aubert et al., 1991). Recent investigations (Fig. 11) however seem to indicate that the Wellin Fm is a variation within the Hanonet Fm and thus shifted to be a member of that formation.

Key sections: Baileux zoning, Fondry des Chiens section in Nismes, Fond des Vaux section in Wellin, Hampteau disused quarry, Aisne creek section (Fig. 1 and Appendix 1).

Description: The lithological succession is described in detail by Coen-Aubert et al. (1991). The base of the member, hidden by a hiatus after Coen-Aubert (1990), is in fact visible above the wall in the Fondu des Vaux section and shows a rapid development of coarse-grained crinoidal and bioclastic grainstone above the shale of the Charvées Mbr. Above this transition, the member displays:

- A first unit visible in the road embankment (c. 30 m-thick) of well-bedded coarse-grained crinoidal grainstone with an abundant fauna of corals and stromatoporoids. Shaly interbeds are often developed and yield the same abundant fauna of corals (including large domal colonies of Cyathophyllum), brachiopods and bryozoans.
- A hiatus c. 30 m-thick, more or less completed by discontinuous outcrops in the bed of the nearby Ry d’Ave creek displaying crinoidal grainstone facies with very thick lamellar stromatoporoids. Small colonies of fasciculate rugose corals and ramose tabulate corals occur. This limestone is light grey or slightly greenish.
- A c. 20 m-thick unit of massive light grey limestone rich in large bulbous and domal stromatoporoids. The matrix between the stromatoporoids is often abundant and rich in tabulate and rugose corals (including Sociophyllum, Fig. 12E). This massive facies was quarried as an ornamental stone known as ‘Marbre Floreance de Wellin’ (Fig. 12F) in the small quarry on the left bank of the Ry d’Ave creek (FdV 4 section in Godefroid, 1968 and Bultynck et al., 1991).
- A recurrent bedded unit (45 m-thick) of coarse-grained crinoidal rudstone with abundant debris of stromatoporoids and tabulate corals. At least two reefal beds (or lenses) c. 2 m-thick occur within the crinoidal succession. They are mainly composed of stromatoporoids and tabulate corals but fasciculate and cerioid rugose corals (Xystriphyllum, Sociophyllum) are not rare. In Aisne, the equivalent is a crinoidal rudstone rich in corals and commonly slightly silty (Fig. 12H) as already noticed by Burnotte & Coen (1981).

A hiatus 30 m-thick characterised by abundant thin lamellar tabulates and sparse rugose corals. A few metres of fine-grained crinoidal grainstone is present above the shale of the Chavées Mbr. This limestone is grey or slightly greenish.

The contact with the typical fine-grained dark limestone of the Hanonet Fm in the Fond des Vaux quarry is not visible but occurs in a <10 m hiatus north of the ‘Cimetière des voitures’ quarry where the upper facies of the Wellin Mbr are exposed (Coen-Aubert et al., 1991). Laterally (wooded ridge south of the Fond des Vaux), the crinoidal facies of Wellin Fm progressivly to the typical facies of the Hanonet Fm. Intermediate facies between the crinoidal rudstones of the Wellin Mbr and the more typical dark, fine-grained and argillaceous facies of the Hanonet Fm are also exposed in the Monts de Baileux quarry (Mabille & Boulvain, 2008) and in the disused Roches Nanette quarry in Nismes (Coen-Aubert, 1996). In both cases the Wellin Mbr crops out less than 200 m away.

Upwards, the crinoidal limestone of the Wellin Mbr grades into the puer crinoidal rudstone of the Trois-Fontaines Fm. If the boundary between the two is not easily placed in some localities, the main differences are the massive aspect of the Trois-Fontaines basal beds compared to the bedded limestone of the Wellin Mbr. Moreover, there are often small shaly interbeds in the latter which tend to disappear in the massive beds.

In the type section, the Wellin Mbr is c. 125 m-thick but in the...
3.8. Pépinster Formation

The Pépinster Fm has not been investigated in this study. The present description is thus based on Asselberghs (1952, 1955), Liégeois (1956) and Hance et al. (1994). Note that the Pépinster Fm has been mapped along the northern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium from the Ourthe area up to the Hoyoux area westwards (Mottequin & Marion, in press) where it passes laterally to the Rivière Fm (Delambre & Pingot, 2018).

Description: Above the basal conglomerate of the Vicht Fm (Hance et al., 1994), the Pépinster Fm starts with a c. 10 m-thick unit of red and green shale and siltstone with carbonate and sulphate nodules with rare sandstone beds. A 24 m-thick package of greenish sandstone and conglomeratic sandstone is individualized as the Heusy Mbr (Hance et al., 1989). Plant macrofossils occur in the lower part whereas the upper part is carbonate and rich in marine fossils (bivalves and tenuipods). The rest of the formation is dominated by red shale and siltstone.

Age: In the northern part of the Vesdre area (Goe Nappe), the Vicht Fm underlying the Pépinster Fm is dated of the ‘Lem’ subzone of the AD palynozone, i.e. lower Givetian. In the southern part (Gileppe Nappe) however, the Pépinster Fm is dated ‘pre-Lem’ (Hance et al., 1994) and correlated after Strel et al. (1987) with the ensensis conodont zone. Gouwy & Bultynck (2003a) suggest the inclusion of the lower part of the Pépinster Fm to the costatus conodont zone. The appearance of stringocephalid brachiopods and the rugose coral Argustrea tenuisipeta in the limestone beds c. 10 m below the top of the Fm (Bultynck et al., 1991) confirms that the upper part of the Pépinster Fm is Givetian (at least lower varcus conodont zone; Bultynck et al., 1991).

4. Sequence stratigraphy

The recognition of the third-order sequences is based on the identification of remarkable surfaces and by the morphology of the depositional units as defined by Posamentier & Vail (1988) and Hunt & Tucker (1993), emended by Plint & Nummedal (2000). Figure 5 displays the geometrical distribution of the lithostratigraphic units along the south and southeastern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium with the top of the Couvin Fm (Abime and Vierves members) taken as the horizontal reference. Figure 13, however, presents the same succession in stratigraphy demonstrating the temporal relationship of the units.

4.1. Middle Devonian Sequence MD1

The first Middle Devonian sequence starts in the late Emsian with the deposition of a transgressive lag composed of haematite-coated bioclasts usually described as ‘oligiste oolithique du Couvinien’ and mined as an iron ore up to the 19th century in the Chimay area. This lag deposit can be traced from Wignehies (France) to Olloy-sur-Viroin and then again between Wellin and Jemelle with a reduced development (Delmer, 1913). In the western area, the haematitic horizons are situated at the top of the Hierges Fm, in the serotinus conodont zone but they get younger towards the east. In the Jemelle section (Maillieux, 1913) they occur in the upper part of the Saint-Joseph Fm, i.e. in the patulus zone.

In the Couvin area, the transgressive system tract (TST) is recognised in the thick succession of the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations showing a clear progradational trend, with no clear evidence for the occurrence of a lowstand system tract (LST). The Villers-la-Tour Mbr composed the upper part of the TST whereas the Petigny Mbr corresponds to the maximum flooding zone of the sequence where the relative water depth is maximum with the development of dysoxic facies. The Cul d’Efer Mbr is identified as the highstand system tract (HST) with its wide and homogenous composition. Moreover, the sediments display shallowing-upwards sequence that became more dolomitised upwards. The dolomitic unit could probably be considered as the falling-stage system tract (FSST). The sequence boundary is placed at the top of the dolomitic massive limestone in the Cul d’Efer Mbr (top of sub-unit p in Bultynck, 1970).

In the Viroin area, the lower members of the Couvin Fm are not recorded, with the exception of the Sohier Horizon that corresponds biostratigraphically to the lower part of the Villers-la-Tour Mbr and that records the transgressive part of the sequence.

In the Wancennes area, the upper part of the TST is recorded in the crinoidal rudstone-stromatoporoids alternations whereas the HST is recorded in the reef-core facies (light grey stromatoporoids-corals framestone and bafflestone). Though there is no clear evidence for an emersion of the reef, the succession of facies suggests two shallowing-upwards tendencies that probably point to the sequence boundary within the reef (see 4.2.).

In the Jemelle area, it seems that a hiatus covers the lower
partitus zone as the Station and Cimetière members only yielded fauna suggesting the upper part of the partitus and costatus zones. Only the Sohier Horizon recorded a part of the TST.

Along the northern area of the Namur-Dinant Basin (Rivière and Aisemont sections), only the HST can be identified in the lower unit of the Rouillon Mbr resting on the Emsian red conglomerate of the Burnot Fm (Fig. 13).

This first sequence MD1 covers the the partitus to costatus (partim) conodont zones and corresponds to a 300 m-thick deposit in the Couvin-Nismes area and in the Wellin area. In eastern areas, only the lower part is recorded in a c. 100 m-thick sequence.

4.2. Middle Devonian Sequence MD2

The TST of this second sequence is recorded in the lower part of the Abîme Mbr displaying a superposition of biostromes then a more argillaceous interval, often dark (Saint-Remy Facies) that corresponds probably to the maximum flooding zone. The HST is recorded by various high-energy facies in the Abîme Mbr (crinoidal grainstone in the Chimay area, stromatoporoid biostromes in the Couvin area, coral bioherm in the Nismes area) all capped by an erosive surface interpreted as the sequence boundary overlaid with the Chavées Mbr.

The dark argillaceous wackestone with corals occurring in the Wancennes reef is here interpreted as the (?LST-)TST of the second sequence. It passes upwards to a bafflestone with rugose and tabulate corals and locally abundant cement-filled cavities. It evolved upwards to the shallowing-upwards succession of reefal facies culminating in the massive light grey limestone with large stromatoporoids. Few outcrops expose the boundary with the overlying shaly Chavées Mbr of the Jemelle Fm, however the clear-cut change of facies advocates for its interpretation as a sequence boundary.

The Vieux Moulin Mbr is interpreted as the LST and TST of this second sequence, filling the areas where the Couvin and Wancennes formations are not developed (Fig. 13). A part of the member (possibly the Najauge Sandstone) is contemporaneous with the Abîme Mbr and Wancennes Fm. The siliciclastics pass upwards to the carbonate Vierves Mbr in which shallow-water stromatoporoid facies is locally developed. The member is interpreted as the HST as its facies is homogenous between Olloy-sur-Viroin and Wellin and for its lateral continuity. In the Vierves-sur-Viroin section, the top of the member is a slightly dolomitic bioclastic grainstone capped by an undulating surface blanketed by an argillaceous limestone bed. This surface is interpreted as the sequence boundary on top of which the Chavées Mbr deposited. Eastwards, between Froidlieu and Wellin, the top of the Vierves Mbr displays an increasing dolomitisation that affect up to the upper half of the member. Again this dolomitisation points to the emersion of the carbonate shelf at the end of the sequence MD2.

East of Wellin, the sequence MD2 is hardly detectable in the Vieux Moulin Mbr (?LST-TST) and possibly the Station and Cimetière members (HST). The lack of precise biostratigraphic data however hampers the correlations of these members with the carbonate successions known westwards. Their sequential interpretation remains therefore hypothetical.

In the proximal areas, it seems that only the HST is recorded in the limestone unit at the base of the Claminforge Mbr of the Rivière Fm that is dated by conodonts of the costatus zone (Bultynck & Boonen, 1976).

The second sequence covers most of the costatus zone. In the Couvin area, it corresponds to an 80 m-thick succession whereas in the Wancennes area, it covers the c. 100 upper metres of the reef. In the eastern areas, the sequence records c. 150 m of siliciclastic and carbonate rocks. The sequence MD2 seems to be shorter than the MD1 if comparing the thickness of their respective deposits.

In eastern North America, Brett et al. (2011) defined a third order sequence ‘Eif-1’ covering the partitus-costatus conodont zone interval. This rather long sequence could possibly correspond to the presently defined sequences MD1 and MD2. The second sequence being seemingly shorter and possibly of lesser amplitude, it might have not be recognised or individualised in the North American example. The sequence MD1 lacking in

Figure 13. Sequence stratigraphic interpretation of the Eifelian succession of Belgium. Legend: HST: highstand system tracts, LST: lowstand system tracts, TST: transgressive system tract; TSA: Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, MD1-MD4: Middle Devonian 3rd order sequences; stratigraphic symbols Co1 to Gia-b after Bultynck (1970). Grey units are siliciclastic, white units are carbonate, stripped areas represent hiatuses.
most part of the NDB, it could also be in hiatus in North America but the poor biostratigraphic control across the Emsian-Eifelian boundary in most part of the North American basin (Brett et al., 2011) precludes further interpretation.

4.3. Middle Devonian Sequence MD3

In all areas, the sequence MD3 is entirely recorded in the Jemelle Fm. In western areas, the Chaîvres Mbr starts on the erosive surface capping the Couvin Fm with an alternation of shaly and carbonate beds typical of the kockelianus and eiflius zones. This lower part is identified as the LST-TST. Eastwards, this unit decreases in thickness and disappears entirely in Wellin where the first deposits of sequence MD3 are already eiflius in age. This shaly deposit rich in limestone beds, also known in the Courain area, includes locally the bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr. They are interpreted as the HST. It has to be noted that these small reefs are situated very high in the Jemelle Fm and in the last beds with the overlying Shanxi Member, suggesting that a sequence boundary occurs at their top and that the emersion at the end of the sequence most likely stopped their development.

In the proximal area, the carbonate Claminoforce Mbr yielded a conodont association indicating the upper part of the eiflius zone (Bultynck & Boonen, 1976), which suggests a correlation with the HST of the sequence (Fig. 13).

The sequence MD3 covers the top of costatus to kockelianus conodont zones and corresponds to c. 260 metres of sediment in the Couvin area and c. 200 m in the Wellin and Jemelle area. The sequence MD3 could be correlated with the sequence ‘Eif-2’ sequence of Brett et al. (2011) in eastern North America that covers the costatus-kockelianus-(eiflius) conodont zone interval.

4.4. Middle Devonian Sequence MD4

This third-order sequence is the most homogenous along the southern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium by its succession of facies. It starts with the deposition of 10–30 m of sandy siltstone and sandstone that filled depressions between the topographic highs inherited from the bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr in the Chimay-Épeis area (Bultynck, 1970) and Wellin. Eastwards the deposition is less affected by the palaeotopography and more regular in thickness (Godefroid, 1968). This sandy lower part of the Lomme Fm is interpreted as the LST of the sequence MD4. The TST of is recorded in the upper part of the Lomme Fm and the Hanonet Fm. In the Couvin area, its lower part belongs to the eiflius zone (Bultynck, 1970) and yielded the same conodont fauna than the Lomme Fm in the Forrières area (Godefroid, 1968). The base of the Hanonet Fm is therefore diachronous and is younger towards the NE with a base within the ensensis zone in the Jemelle area (Godefroid, 1995; Bultynck & Hollevoet, 1999).

Where the bioherms of Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr are developed, they are directly overlaid by the Hanonet Fm. In such situation, the eiflius to kockelianus interval in Wellin is ascribed to transgressive conditions in which stromatoporoids-corals bioherms are often developed (e.g. Vicht Fm, Nismes-Malain). The sequence MD3 could be correlated with the sequence ‘Eif-2’ sequence of Brett et al. (2011) in eastern North America that covers the costatus-kockelianus-(eiflius) conodont zone interval.

5. Correlation with global events

The Eifelian recorded several bioevents and extinctions events, mainly in the pelagic fauna (Walliser, 1996; House, 1996; Brocke et al., 2016). The oldest one is the Choteč event (Chlupáč & Kulak, 1986, 1988) commonly associated with the development of anoxic sediments in basinal sections. Gouwy & Bultynck (2003a) suggested that the transgression associated with the demise of the ‘first biostrome’ of the Couvin Fm in the type area might correspond to that deepening event. The recognition of dysaerobic fine-grained dark and unbioturbated limestone facies in the Petitry Mbr near the boundary between the partitus and costatus conodont zone is a significant argument to place the Choteč event in Belgium (Fig. 13). In fact the transgressive part of the sequence MD1 has possibly triggered the invasion of basinal anoxic water on the platform, creating short-living dysaerobic conditions, particularly during the maximum flooding interval when the relative depth was maximum due to the increased accommodation of the basin. A peculiar fauna developed during this interval, notably bivalves and cephalopods (Fig. 4B), reflecting the usually observed dysoxia (Vodrážková et al., 2013). However there is no significant extinction among corals and brachiopods as the faunal composition of the ‘first biostrome’ and ‘second biostrome’ is very similar. One explanation could be that the fauna migrated away during the dysaerobic event and then colonised again the seabed when normal conditions returned afterwards. Avlar & May (1997) and Ernst et al. (2012) documented a similar pattern amongst stromatoporoids and corals through the Choteč event interval in the northern Rhenish Massif. In contrast, the brachiopods seem to have suffered this crisis as suggested by Struve (1982b) and May (1995, 1997) with the extinction of the typically early Eifelian species Paraspriifer cultiguttatus and Alatifomia alatifomis. The latter two are however unknown in strata younger than the Ille Noire Fm (lower partitus zone) in southern Belgium, probably for facies reasons (Godefroid, 1968; see also Fig. 3).

Recently Van Viersen et al. (2019) introduced the ‘Vieux Moulin event’ to describe a horizon rich in well-preserved trilobites. However, this event has no impact neither on fauna nor on deposition and has only a very local extension. Therefore it should be seen as a peculiar and possibly time-limited taphofacies rather a biotic event.

The Bakoven event, situated in the ‘kockelianus’-eiflius conodont zone (De Santis & Brett, 2011) corresponds to an abrupt deepening and correlative development of anoxic facies in basinal settings. It is tentatively correlated with the maximum flooding zone of the sequence MD3, i.e. in the middle shaly part of the Chaîvres Mbr (Fig. 13). A minor change in the brachiopod fauna in the ‘Co2c II’ unit of the Chaîvres Mbr could be the only argument for placing the event. The Bakoven event is followed by the Stony Hollow event (kockelianus zone) that seemingly corresponds to the boundary of the event type in the region (De Santis & Brett, 2011). It could correspond either to the decrease of relative depth during the deposition of the HST or to the maximum flooding event (i.e. Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr) or to the emersion related to the sequence boundary at the top of the Jemelle Fm. Alternatively, it could be the equivalent of the ‘Great Gap’ (see below). The Stony Hollow does not seem however to be related to any extinction or turnover in corals, conodonts and brachiopods – i.e. stratigraphic distributions of fauna in Godefroid (1968) and in Bultynck (1970).

The upper Eifelian succession often displays non fully-marine deposits or hiatuses in proximal settings that were described as the ‘Middle Devonian Great Gap’ by Struve (1982b) often called ‘Struve’s Great Gap’ afterwards (Bultynck & Hollevoet, 1999). It is interpreted as the result of a regressive pulse with erosion on continents feeding the marginal shelves with siliciclastic sediments (Struve, 1990). The age of this ‘Great Gap’ varies from area to area, covering an interval ranging from the costatus to...
hemiansatus zones. However it is more commonly restricted to the ensensis zone (Weddige, 1988; Bultynck & Hollevoet, 1999). In southern Belgium, the development of sandstone facies of the Lomme Fm corresponds to it both biostratigraphically and by the shift in sedimentation towards non fully-marine deposition (Fig. 13). In proximal areas, this lowstand is missing but restricted marine sediments and red beds occur in the Pépinster Fm below and above the ensensis zone, acknowledging Struve’s conception of a longer Great Gap in proximal settings. In other proximal area, the depositional hiatus recognised in the Riviére Fm (e.g. in the Aisemont section) seems to correspond to the ‘Great Gap’ as well.

The Kačák event consists of two separated events (Chlupáč & Kukal, 1988): the lower Kačák event or otomari event taking place in the beginning of the ensensis zone and the Kačák event s.s. at the end of the ensensis zone before the Eifelian-Givetian boundary (Schiöne, 1997; DeSantis & Brett, 2011; Königshof et al., 2016). In Belgium the Kačák event s.s. corresponds to the Hanonet Fm and parts of the Lomme Fm, i.e. the transgressive system tract of the sequence MD4 (Fig. 13). As suggested by Bultynck & Hollevoet (1999), the otomari event could possibly corresponds to the argillaceous, dark lower part of the Hanonet Fm in its stratotype but there is no evidence for dyssaromic conditions as the fauna – though rather poorly diverse – is still present in this facies. There is no extinction at this level in the contrary the brachiopod fauna becomes more diverse (Godefroid, 1995). Moreover in the Resteigne section, Coen-Aubert (1996) describes an abundant assemblage of rugose corals belonging to the family Siphonophrentidae that are rather rare in Europe before the ensensis zone. These corals, typical of the North American Eifelian possibly represent invasive species from the East American Middle Devonian possibly representing invasive species from the Old World Realm (Oliver & Pedder, 1994; May, 1995). Similarly there is no clear extinction in the Hanonet Fm where the Kačák s.s. event is expected to be found but a faunal turnover occurs higher, in the basal Trois-Fontaines Fm (Godefroid, 1995; Coen-Aubert, 1992). This turnover is associated with a clear facies shift witnessing a change of environment (Boulvain et al., 2011).

6. Distribution of reefs and structuration of the Namur-Dinant Basin

The transect illustrated in Figures 5 and 14 displays the geometrical distribution of the lithostratigraphic units along the southern and southeastern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium. Several reefs are noticed in the succession, in all areas but their position is not random as already suggested by Tsien (1980).

During the sequence MD1, the ‘first biostrome’ and ‘second biostrome’ of the Couvin Fm (Villers-la-Tour and Cul d’Efer members, see descriptions in 3.2.1 and 3.2.3) deposited in the Eau Blanche area or block (I in Fig. 14). Eastwards this succession disappears, probably because the Viroin area (II in Fig. 14) the large bioherms of the Wancennes Fm developed, possibly near synsedimentary faults. No reef has been recognised in this lower sequence east of Pondrôme.

During the sequence MD2, the ‘third biostrome’ (Abîme Mbr) developed in the Eau Blanche block and small bioherms developed near its eastern margin (Roche Trouée in Nismes). Eastwards, small stromatoporoid bioherms locally developed in the Vierves Mbr in the Viroin block, as well as in the Lesse block (i.e. Eclaye, Pondrôme). East of Wellin where this unit is dolomitised, the Vierves Mbr disappears and no other reefs are known eastwards.

---

**Figure 14.** Reconstruction of the Eifelian sedimentation areas within the Namur-Dinant Basin and palaeogeographic distribution of the reefs (biostromes in dark blue, bioherms in light blue) and Old Red Sandstone facies (red). The distribution of facies is seemingly dictated by synsedimentary block faulting. These blocks are: I. Eau Blanche block (westwards extension unknown), II. Viroin block, III. Lesse block (possibly several sub-blocks), IV. Ourthe block, V: Sambre block (possibly several sub-blocks in the western part), VI. Condroz block (possibly several sub-blocks) dominated by Old Red Sandstone facies. Reefs: 1: ‘first biostrome’ in the Villers-la-Tour Mbr, 2: ‘second biostrome’ in the Cul d’Efer Mbr, 3: bioherm of the Wancennes Fm, 4: bioherm in the Abîme Mbr, 5: ‘third biostrome’ in the Abîme and Vierves members, 6: biostroma in the Chavée Mbr, 7: bioherm of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, 8: bioherm in the Lomme Fm, 9: bioherm in the Wellin Mbr.
During the sequence MD3, thin stromatoporoid biostromes less than 1 m-thick developed in the Chavées Mbr throughout the basin and can be traced over 100 km. The bioherms of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr developed only locally in the Eau Blanche block and in the eastern part of the Lesse Mbr (Wellin, III in Fig. 14). Again, these peculiar reefs are not known eastwards where the Eifelian succession is almost entirely silicilastic-dominated in the Ourthe block (IV in Fig. 14).

During the sequence MD4, small stromatoporoid biostromes are known in the Hamoet Fm (already in the lower Givetian *hominisatus* zone) in the Eau Blanche block. In the eastern Ourthe block, small bioherms developed in the Lomme Fm. Locally the Wellin Mbr is characterised by lenticular reeval units such as in the eastern Lesse block (Wellin). The stromatoporoid-coral biostrome forming the base of the lower Givetian Trois-Fontaines Fm is also known all along the southern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium but its composition varies enormously from place to place and it is thicker where the Wellin Mbr is developed below as already suggested by Lecompte (1960) and Kasimi & Prät (1986).

In conclusion, the Figure 14 clearly indicates that the position of the reefs is not random but seemingly dictated by the synsedimentary tectonic structuration of the basin. The areas described above probably correspond to individual tectonic blocks with their own lithostratigraphic succession and accommodation history: the Eau Blanche block (I in Fig. 14), the Viroin block (II), the Lesse block (III, possibly two sub-blocks), the Ourthe block (IV, along the southern margin of the Dinant Synclinorium), the Sambre block (V) and the Condroz block (VI, north of the Xhoris Fault and in the Vesdre area). All blocks are seemingly limited by their own lithostratigraphic succession and accommodation. If the N-S block boundaries are rather well constrained, the NE-SW boundary is more hypothetical due to the lack of stratigraphic information between the northern and southern limb of the Dinant Synclinorium. The orientation and boundaries are inspired by the block structuration suggested in the Frasnian by Tsien (1971) and in the Famennian by Thorez et al. (1977). This structuration in blocks probably existed since the Lower Devonian and continued to function up to the Upper Devonian and even probably to the Viséan (Poty, 1997). Sub-blocks of smaller dimensions possibly existed as suggested in the Givetian by Kasimi & Prät (1996) and Tucker & Garland (2010). In consequence, the Devonian reefs seem to be piled-up in some areas, probably because the block faulting produced accommodation and local conditions favourable for their development.
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1. Macon Tivoli (MTC): small outcrops exposing the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr – 50°03’17”N 4°13’30”E.
2. Salles: outcrops exposing the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr, see Bultynck (1970) – 50°03’22”N 4°14’29”E [5760214] and 50°03’20”N 4°14’47”E [5760217].
3. Villers-la-Tour North (VTN): crops with blocks of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr – 50°02’59”N 4°15’48”E.
4. Villers-la-Tour disused railway (VTR): Villers-la-Tour Mbr* and parts of the Ablime Mbr – 50°02’07”N 4°16’02”E [5760138] and 50°02’27”N 4°17’39”E [5760073].
5. Villers-la-Tour quarry (VTC): exposing the argillaceous lower part of the Ablime Mbr and the crinoidal upper part of this member Ablime Mbr, see Bertrand et al. (1993) – 50°02’28”N 4°15’55”E [5760181].
6. Saint-Remy quarry (CSR): exposing the argillaceous lower part of the Ablime Mbr, see Bertrand et al. (1993) – 50°02’20”N 4°16’59”E [5760203].
7. Bourlers North (BN): small disused quarry exposing the lower crinoidal part of the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr – 50°02’13”N 4°21’00”E [5770067].
8. Mont de Baileux quarry and outcrops (MB, BZ): N of Baileux: outcrops of the Jemelle Fm and continuous section through the crinoidal facies of the Wellin Mbr and transition to the Hanonet Fm, see Mabille & Boulvain (2007) – 50°02’26”N 4°23’37”E.
9. Parrain quarry, NW of Couvin (SMI-SMII): small disused quarries exposing the upper part of the Ablime Mbr – 50°03’05”N 4°27’29”E and 50°03’15”N 4°27’10”E.
10. Tiemne al Chapelle, N of Couvin (TAC): small quarries exposing the Tienne Sainte-Anne Mbr and the contacts with the Lomme and Hanonet fms, see Bultynck (1965) – 50°03’45”N 4°28’17”E.
11. La Couvinoise (or Haine) quarry in Couvin (COU): active quarry exposing the Hanonet Fm*, see Tsien (1969) and Bultynck & Hollevoet (1999) – 50°03’37”N 4°29’25”E [5780578].
12. Eau Noire river section in Couvin (CEN): continuous section exposing the Saint-Joseph Fm and Eau Noire Fm* and the lower part of the Villers-la-Tour Mbr, discontinuous for the upper parts of the Couvin Fm, see Tsien (1969) and Bultynck (1970) – from 50°02’34”N 4°29’53”E to 50°02’50”N 4°29’48”E [5780757].
13. Sainte-Barbe disused quarry in Couvin (CSB): badly exposing the Villers-la-Tour Mbr, see Tsien (1969) and Bultynck (1970) – 50°02’45”N 4°30’15”E [5780448].
14. Ablime cliff along the Eau Noire in Couvin: badly exposing parts of the Ablime Mbr*, see Lecompte (1960), Tsien (1969) and Bultynck (1970) – 50°03’02”N 4°29’53”E.
15. Petigny sections: composite section exposing almost completely all parts of the Wellin Mbr – 50°02’59”N 4°15’48”E.
16. Abîme cliff along the Eau Noire in Couvin (CN): outcrops in the small valley above the cemetery exposing the Vieux Moulin Mbr – 50°03’53”N 4°41’44”E [5860303].
17. Mazée section (MC): outcrops in the small valley above the cemetery exposing the Viers-Moulin Mbr – 50°05’53”N 4°41’44”E [5860303].
18. Jemelle Fm and Hanonet Fm – 50°04’15”N 4°53’46”E [5840115] (=7 in Fig. 8).
19. Jemelle Fm and Wellin Mbr* – 50°04’37”N 4°53’56”E [5850261].
20. Section along the Viroin in Treignes (TV): natural outcrop exposing parts of the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire fms, see Denayer et al. (2015) – 50°05’16”N 4°40’07”E [5860138].
21. Najaute section E of Treignes (TN): road section exposing the Vieux Moulin Mbr*, see Dumoulin & Coen (2008) – 50°05’40”N 4°41’28”E [5860155-56].
22. Viers-Verrières (DVII): small outcrops and blocks in ploughed crops exposing the Tienne Sainte-Anne and Chavées members – 50°05’29”N 5°08’30”E [5906533] (=41 in Fig. 11).
42. Resteigne disused quarry (RES): large quarry exposing the top of the Lomme Fm and entire Hanonet Fm see Coen-Aubert et al. (1986) – 50°05′24″N 5°10′35″E [5960606].

43. Resteigne disused quarry (RES): large quarry exposing the top of the Lomme Fm and entire Hanonet Fm see Coen-Aubert et al. (1986) – 50°05′29″N 5°08′30″E [5960042, 510].

44. Grupont sections: section along the road N803 and along the railway exposing the Eau Noire Fm and Vieux Moulin Mbr, see Godefroid (1968) – 50°05′50″N 5°16′04″E [5970246].

45. Lesterny: outcrops along the road to Masbourg, exposing the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire fms, see Godefroid (1968) – 50°06′37″N 5°17′34″E [5930591].

46. Forrières section (FOR7B): road cut N Forrières exposing a biostrome in the Lomme Fm (section F7B in Godefroid, 1968) – 50°08′12″N 5°16′44″E [5930558].

47. Jemelle railway section (JR): long section along the disused Jemelle-Rochefort railway exposing the Station Mbr, Cimetière Mbr* and Chavées Mbr* of the Jemelle Fm* and Lomme Fm*, see Maillieux (1913) and Godefroid (1968) – from 50°09′20″N 5°15′37″E to 50°09′26″N 5°15′58″E [5931068].

48. Jemelle station section (JG): long section along the road N849 exposing the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations, Sohier Horizon, Station Mbr*, Cimetière Mbr and part of the Chavées Mbr of the Jemelle Fm. The Lomme and Hanonet formations are exposed in the nearby quarry, see Godefroid (1968) – from 50°09′44″N 5°16′06″E to 50°09′26″N 5°15′58″E [5931068].

49. Waha (W) section: strongly weathered section exposing the Saint-Joseph and Eau Noire formations and parts of the Jemelle Fm – 50°12′43″N 5°21′45″E [5480633-34].

50. Mesnil-Favay (MF): disused quarry exposing a biostrome in the upper part of the Lomme Fm – 50°15′13″N 5°26′43″E [5550613].

51. Hampteau disused quarry (HAM) exposing a part of the Lomme Fm and the Wellin Mbr, see Pel (1965) – 50°15′35″N 5°27′53″E [5550464].

52. Aisne valley section (AVI-II): discontinuous section along the Aisne creek exposing the Jemelle Fm (including Aisne Facies), Lomme Fm and Hanonet Fm (including biostromes), see Lessuise et al. (1979) and Burnotte & Coen (1981) – from 50°21′05″N 5°34′53″E to 50°21′19″N 5°24′15″E [5520379, 403-04].

53. Izier ‘Pont-le-Prêtre’ and ‘Boulac’ sections (PLP and IB): discontinuous composite sections along the Pont-le-Prêtre creek exposing the Chavées Mbr – 50°21′55″N 5°34′21″E and 50°22′42″N 5°35′32″E [5520475].

54. Ferrières vicinal section (FRM): discontinuous section along the disused vicinal railway, exposing the transitional facies of the Jemelle-Pépinster Fm and Hanonet Fm, see Asselberghs & Yans (1952) – 50°24′46″N 5°36′59″E.

55. Remouchamps station section: disused quarry near the train station exposing the Pépinster Fm – 50°28′26″N 5°43′05″E, see Lessuise et al. (1979).

56. Marchin station section: road cut along the N641 road exposing the Marchin Mbr* of the Pépinster Fm, see Mottequin & Marion (in press) – 50°29′18″N 5°15′31″E [4830541].

57. Tailfer section: natural section in the Meuse valley exposing the Tailfer Horizon* of the Rivière Fm, see Bultynck & Boonen (1976) – 50°23′31″N 4°52′56″E [4780646].

58. Rivière section: road cut along the N92 road exposing the Rouillon Mbr of the Rivière Fm*, see Bultynck & Boonen (1976) – 50°21′13″N 4°52′28″E [5331669].

59. Tantachau section, S of Profondeville: road cut along the N931 section exposing the Claminforge Mbr, see Bultynck & Boonen (1976) – 50°21′44″N 4°52′36″E [5341482].

60. Aisemont section: section along the disused railway exposing the Rouillon and Claminforge Mbr*, see Bultynck (1970) and Gouwy & Bultynck (2003b) – 50°23′55″N 4°38′06″E.