INTRODUCTION

Drug-induced interstitial lung diseases have many clinical patterns, ranging from benign infiltrates to acute respiratory distress syndrome. These diseases can involve responses by the oxidant/antioxidant, immunologic, matrix repair, proteolytic or central nervous system. Drug-induced hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP) results from interactions between pharmacologic agents and the immune system. Drug-induced HP can be difficult to diagnose, as it requires ruling out all other possible causes. Although discontinuing the offending drugs can improve symptoms, it is difficult to determine if pulmonary infiltration is related to medication, and failure to appreciate the relationship between the drugs and pulmonary infiltration can lead to death. Several drugs, for instance cyclophosphamide, sulfonamides, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, have been reported to induce HP.

Cephalosporins have a wide range of chemical effects, and have become more widely used in recent years, leading to an increase in reports of adverse reactions. Although adverse reactions to cephalosporins are well-studied, few reports of HP by cephalosporins exist in Japan. Herein, we present the first case of HP induced by cephalosporins with identical side chains.

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 54-year-old male was referred to our hospital due to dyspnea on February 16, 2013. He first visited a local medical clinic complaining of cough, rhinorrhea, and fever. He was prescribed antibiotics and anti-inflammatory medications. Several days later, he was admitted to the local clinic due to shortness of breath, coughing, and fever. Although he was prescribed ceftriaxone and clarithromycin, his symptoms and radiologic findings worsened upon admission (Fig. 1A, C), so he was transferred to our hospital.

Drug-induced hypersensitivity pneumonitis caused by ceftriaxone and clarithromycin was diagnosed at the local clinic. After admission, antibiotics were changed to cefepime with levofloxacin, but his pneumonia appeared to progress. Changing antibiotics to meropenem with ciprofloxacin improved his symptoms and radiologic findings. Antibiotics were de-escalated to cefazidime with levoﬂoxacin, and his condition improved. During later treatment, he was mistakenly prescribed cefotaxime, which led to nausea, vomiting, dyspnea and fever, and indications of pneumonia on chest X-ray. We performed bronchoalveolar lavage, and the findings included lymphocytosis (23%), eosinophilia (17%), and a low cluster of differentiation (CD) 4 to CD8 ratio (0.1), informing a diagnosis of drug-induced pneumonitis. After a medication change, his symptoms improved and he was discharged. One year later, he was hospitalized for acute respiratory distress syndrome following treatment with ceftriaxone and aminoglycosides for an upper respiratory tract infection. After steroid therapy, he recovered completely. In this patient, hypersensitivity reaction in the lungs was caused by ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and cephalosporins, but not by cefazidime, indicating that the patient’s hypersensitivity pneumonitis was to the common R1 side chain of the cephalosporins.
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The patient had a 10-pack years of smoking, and no other history of medical conditions or relevant environmental exposure. At first admission, his blood pressure was 120/70 mmHg with a regular heart rate of 73 beats/min and a body temperature of 36.4°C. He was given 5 L of oxygen, as his peripheral oxygen saturation was 79%. Laboratory tests revealed a white blood cell count of 13.00 × 10^3 cells/μL (75.9% neutrophils, 11.2% lymphocytes, 4.9% eosinophils), a C-reactive protein (CRP) level of 8.14 mg/dL, and a procalcitonin level of 1.21 ng/mL. Chest X-ray and computed tomography (CT) revealed diffuse ground glass opacities, consolidation, and a “crazy-paving” pattern in both lungs (Fig. 1B, D). CT also indicated enlargement of the paraaortic, right upper paratracheal, and right hilar lymph nodes. Because these findings were consistent with pneumonia, antibiotics (cefepime and levofloxacin) were administered intravenously. Blood culture, sputum analysis, viral profiling, and assays for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* IgM, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* antibody, and *Pneumocystis jirovecii* DNA failed to reveal any organism. Lack of clinical improvement until 6 days after admission led us to change antibiotics to meropenem and ciprofloxacin. Since then his symptoms and radiologic findings were improving (Fig. 2A).

As culture failed to isolate any organisms, antibiotics were de-escalated to ceftazidime with levofloxacin with further radiologic improvement.

During treatment, the doctor mistakenly prescribed cefotaxime instead of ceftazidime, at which time the patient complained of nausea, vomiting, dyspnea, and fever. A chest X-ray showed redeveloped opacities (Fig. 2B), and analysis of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) revealed lymphocytosis (23%), a low cluster of differentiation (CD) 4 to CD8 ratio (0.1), and an increase in neutrophils (10%) and eosinophils (17%), indicating HP in response to cefotaxime. Three days after switching medication, his symptoms improved and he was discharged. One year later, the patient was again referred to our hospital due to dyspnea, fever, and cough. One day before admission, he had been prescribed ceftriaxone with aminoglycoside to treat an upper respiratory tract infection at a local medical clinic. Radiologic findings indicated inflammation even at this early point after drug exposure (Fig. 3). Arterial oxygen pressure/fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO_2/FiO_2) was 83. We diagnosed his pulmonary abnormality as HP in response to ceftriaxone on the basis of rapid progression of radiologic indications and previous history of HP following treatment with cefotaxime. Mechanical ventilation...
was applied, and the antibiotics of meropenem and ciprofloxacin, as well as immunoglobulin and methylprednisolone, were administered. Three days after steroid therapy, his symptoms and radiologic findings improved. He was discharged 14 days after admission, and has been doing well without other symptoms. In this patient, lung hypersensitivity was caused by ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and cefepime, but not by ceftazidime.

DISCUSSION

Here, we report a case of hypersensitivity pneumonia caused by several cephalosporins with identical R1 side chains. Our patient repetitively suffered from pneumonitis after taking cephalosporins; namely, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, and cefepime, but not ceftazidime. Chest X-ray and CT showed diffuse ground glass opacities and a “crazy-paving” pattern in both lungs. Also, BAL fluid contained increased lymphocytes and eosinophils with a decreased CD4 to CD8 ratio. After steroid therapy, the patient completely recovered.

HP is a lymphocytic allergic response throughout the lungs. In general, it results from exposure to airborne organic antigens, such as mold dust, microorganisms, or occupational dust, but the term can also be applied to lung inflammation and fibrosis caused by drugs. The disease can present in acute, subacute, or chronic forms, but these frequently overlap. In the acute phase, immune complexes initiate the complement cascade and activate alveolar macrophages. Cytokines produced by activated macrophages promote maturation of CD8+ cells into cytotoxic cells.

Diagnosing HP is difficult because its symptoms and clinical presentation are nonspecific. Laboratory analyses are unhelpful, and radiographic findings vary according to stage. The acute stage is usually characterized by micronodular infiltrates, patchy ground-glass opacities, and mosaic perfusion, whereas subacute or chronic HP appears as reticulonodular infiltrates, interstitial fibrosis, or honeycombing. BAL fluid analysis is useful for detecting lymphocytic infiltration of the lung, especially CD8+ T cell lymphocytosis (a low CD4+ to CD8+ ratio). However, BAL findings vary according to clinical stage and antigen. As the disease progresses to the chronic stage, the ratio of CD4+
to CD8+ T cells may increase. Histologic findings include infiltration of the interstitial space by lymphocytes and plasma cells, and sometimes non-caseating granulomas. The typical findings of an alveolar lymphocytosis in BAL fluid and bilateral ground glass opacities on chest CT would confirm the diagnosis of HP without surgical lung biopsy.

The drug-induced lymphocyte stimulating test (DLST) and leukocyte migration test (LMT) are capable of detecting drug-sensitized T cells. Especially LMT had a higher positive response rate than the DLST for skin eruptions and hepatic injury. However, these tests lack sensitivity and specificity, and thus do not have a definitive role in the diagnosis of drug-induced lung disease.

Our case presented with respiratory symptoms, including breathlessness, fever, and cough. Chest CT showed diffuse ground glass opacities, consolidation, and a “crazy-paving” pattern. BAL fluid showed increased lymphocytes and eosinophils, and a decreased ratio of CD4+ to CD8+ T cells. Although the lung was not biopsied, clinical and radiologic findings and BAL analyses were compatible with drug-induced pneumonitis.

Clinical course of the case indicated HP in response to cephalosporins with identical R1 side chains. Cephalosporins consist of a four-member beta-lactam ring; their variety results from substitution in the R1 and R2 side chains. Hypersensitivity to beta-lactam antibiotics can be induced by the beta-lactam ring structure (Fig. 4A), but there is also evidence that the R1 side chain contributes to the specificity of immunologic reactions to cephalosporins, suggesting that cross-reactions between cephalosporins may occur via identical R1 recognition. Cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, cefepime, and cefuroxime have identical R1 side chains, whereas that of ceftazidime differs slightly (Fig. 4B, C).

Our patient’s symptoms were aggravated when ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, or cefepime, but not ceftazidime, were administered. Therefore, we diagnosed HP due to cephalosporins with identical R1 side chains. Although some studies have reported that cephalosporins, such as ceftazidime and ceftriaxone, can in-
duce pneumonitis,7,8 and cephalosporins with identical side R1 chains can trigger immediate reactions such as urticaria or anaphylaxis.9,10 HP in a single patient in response to different cephalosporins with identical R1 side chains has not been reported.

The best treatment of HP is early diagnosis and avoidance of further exposure, and the only accepted pharmacologic therapy is systemic corticosteroid, which can hasten rapid recovery; however, its long-term efficacy has not been demonstrated.11 In our case, at first admission, avoidance of drug was enough to improve clinical course without systemic corticosteroids. In contrast, upon second admission, laboratory findings and chest CT indicated an acute respiratory distress syndrome, so corticosteroids were administered intravenously, which rapidly improved symptoms and radiologic findings.

Although drugs can induce HP, drugs are rarely suspected as a cause of pulmonary abnormality. Nonetheless, this case illustrates the importance of considering HP when a patient presents with compatible respiratory symptoms, radiologic findings, and BAL analysis. Early diagnosis and avoidance of causative agents are essential for avoiding progression to lung fibrosis. Because cephalosporin R1 side chains can induce hypersensitivity reactions, drugs with identical R1 side chains should be avoided for the treatment of patients with suspected cephalosporin-induced HP.
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