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The Long-term Clinical Course of Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia

Takashi Ishiguro 1, Noboru Takayanagi 1, Ryuji Uozumi 2, Mami Tada 1, Naho Kagiyama 1, Yotaro Takaku 1, Yoshihiko Shimizu 3, Yutaka Sugita 1 and Satoshi Morita 2

Abstract

Objective The long-term clinical course and prognosis of patients with chronic eosinophilic pneumonia (CEP) including factors predictive of the relapse of CEP have not been fully investigated. The aim of the present study was to investigate these issues.

Methods We retrospectively investigated the rate of relapse and prognosis in 73 patients diagnosed as having CEP.

Results Systemic corticosteroid therapy was administered at a prednisolone dose of 29.4±7.6 mg/day. During a median follow-up period of 1,939 days, 27 patients suffered from relapse of CEP. Two patients developed steroid-induced diabetes mellitus, and 1 patient developed pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteriosis. Five patients died; however, none died of CEP. A history of smoking was the only independent negative risk factor for relapse of CEP [hazard ratio, 0.37 (0.14-0.98)].

Conclusion Patients with CEP frequently relapse. During the follow-up, metabolic and infectious complications under prolonged corticosteroid therapy are problematic. A history of smoking was a negative factor for predicting the risk of CEP relapse.
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Introduction

Chronic eosinophilic pneumonia (CEP), which was first individualized as an entity by Carrington et al. (1), is characterized by the idiopathic, progressive onset of symptoms leading within a few weeks to an infiltrative pulmonary disease with cough, increasing dyspnea, malaise, and weight loss. A dramatic response to corticosteroid treatment is generally observed in CEP; however, most patients require prolonged treatment. In one series, CEP recurred in 58% of patients after corticosteroids were discontinued, and 21% of the patient relapsed while corticosteroids were being tapered (2). In another series, relapses occurred in half of the patients after corticosteroids were weaned or tapered (3).

There is also a concern that prolonged treatment with corticosteroid will induce diabetes mellitus (DM) or infections; however, these complications were not covered in previous reports assessing the long-term follow-up of CEP patients (2-6). In addition, to our knowledge, only one report has assessed the predictive factors of CEP relapse, and to date, it is not known which factors are predictive of relapse of CEP (7). Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate the frequency at which patients with CEP developed DM or infections, focusing especially on pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteriosis (pNTM), of which corticosteroid therapy is a risk factor (8), and to assess factors predictive of the relapse of CEP.

Materials and Methods

We performed a retrospective study of 73 patients hospita...
talized with CEP at our institution in Saitama, Japan. With reference to previous reports (6, 9, 10) analyzing the characteristics of CEP, diagnosis of CEP was based on a greater than 2-month history of symptoms prior to diagnosis and fulfillment of at least one of the following criteria: (i) histological diagnosis by surgical or transbronchial lung biopsy, (ii) bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) eosinophilia >15%, and (iii) fulfillment of two of criteria (a)-(c): (a) peripheral blood eosinophilia (>0.5×10^3/L), (b) BALF eosinophilia >10%, or (c) increase in eosinophils in lung tissue obtained via transbronchial lung biopsy (6, 9). Serum IgE or IgG against several fungi was investigated via radioallergosorbent test, enzyme-linked immunoassay, and complement fixation method.

We excluded patients who were diagnosed on development of CEP as having eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, allergic bronchopulmonary mycosis, acute eosinophilic pneumonia (EP), drug-induced EP, EP due to parasite infection, desquamative interstitial pneumonia, or Langerhans cell histiocytosis at the time of EP diagnosis or during follow-up.

Relapse of CEP was defined as the development of new shadows on chest X-ray, but which excluded new shadows resulting from infection or any other causes. Peripheral blood eosinophilia and development of respiratory symptoms without the development of new shadows on chest X-ray were not regarded as relapse of CEP.

### Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± SD or median (range) for continuous variables and frequency (percentage) for categorical variables unless otherwise specified. A Student t-test was performed to compare the groups with or without a history of smoking in relation to the daily dose of prednisolone (PSL) at the initial relapse of CEP. The Satterthwaite t-test was also used to compare the dose of PSL between groups with or without relapse of CEP. The cumulative relapse rate of CEP was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to compare the relapse rate. Two-sided 95% confidence intervals of the relapse rate were constructed using a log-log transformation. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to derive a hazard ratio and two-sided 95% confidence interval for univariate and multivariate analyses. A p value <0.05 was regarded to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with the SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, USA).

Approval for this study was obtained from the institutional clinical research ethics board (approval no. 2015019; August 5, 2015).

### Results

The mean patient age was 56.7±12.6 years, and 26 (35.6%) patients were men (Table 1). Of the 73 patients studied, 25 (34.2%) had a history of smoking [all were current smokers with a median (range) of 21.3 (3.5-120) pack-years, and 16 were men], and 5 (6.8%) had a history of exposure to dust. Underlying diseases in the 73 patients included asthma in 35 (47.9%), allergic disorder [pollinosis, atopic dermatitis, allergic rhinitis (3 of whom also had asthma), and sinusitis] in 11 (15.1%) patients, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 4 (5.5%), old tuberculosis in 3 (4.1%), pNTM in 2 (2.7%), gastrointestinal ulcer in 3 (4.1%), DM in 6 (8.2%), hypertension in 11 (15.1%), and congestive heart failure in 1 (1.4%). Symptoms found on presentation are listed in Table 2.

Pulmonary function tests were performed in 49 patients (67.1%) on presentation. Twenty-nine patients showed restrictive ventilatory impairment (predicted vital capacity <80%), and 23 showed airflow limitation (forced expiratory volume in 1 second/forced vital capacity ratio <70%).

Laboratory findings on admission are listed in Table 3. Blood test values included an eosinophil count of 2,065±

### Table 1. Characteristics of Patients with Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia (n=73).

| Characteristic          | Value (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Age (years)            | 56.7 ± 12.6 |
| Male sex               | 26 (35.6) |
| Smoking history         | 25 (34.2) |
| Underlying diseases     |           |
| Asthma                 | 35 (47.9) |
| COPD                   | 4 (5.5)   |
| Bronchiectasis         | 0 (0)     |
| pNTM                   | 2 (2.7)   |
| Old TB                 | 3 (4.1)   |
| Pollinosis, allergic rhinitis, sinusitis, atopic dermatitis | 11 (15.1) |
| Gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer | 3 (4.1) |
| Congestive heart failure | 1 (1.4) |
| DM                     | 6 (8.2)   |
| Hypertension           | 11 (15.1) |
| None                   | 20 (27.4) |
| Treatment              |           |
| Systemic corticosteroid therapy | 65 (89.0) |
| Follow-up period (days) | 1,939 (18-10,405) |
| Relapse                | 27 (37.0) |
| Developed DM           | 2 (2.7)   |
| Developed pNTM         | 1 (1.4)   |
| Death                  | 5 (6.6)   |
| COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DM: diabetes mellitus, pNTM: pulmonary non-tuberculous mycobacteriosis, TB: tuberculosis. Values are mean ± SD, median (range), or number (%). |

### Table 2. Symptoms Associated with the Development of Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia.

| Characteristic          | Value (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Cough                  | 54 (73.9) |
| Sputum                 | 27 (37.0) |
| Hemoptysis             | 1 (1.4)   |
| Appetite loss          | 2 (2.7)   |
| General fatigue        | 5 (6.9)   |
| Dyspnea                | 27 (37.0) |
| Low-grade fever, fever | 29 (39.7) |
| Rales                  | 16 (21.9) |
| Body weight loss       | 5 (6.9)   |
| Chest pain, discomfort | 3 (4.1)   |
| Abdominal pain         | 0 (0)     |
| Skin rash              | 0 (0)     |
| Neurological symptoms, muscle weakness | 0 (0) |
| None                   | 2 (2.7)   |

Laboratory findings on admission are listed in Table 3. Blood test values included an eosinophil count of 2,065±
Table 3. Laboratory Findings of Patients with Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia.

|                          | n or mean ± SD | Median (range) |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| White blood cell count   | 9,931 ± 3,464  | 9,900 (4,900-24,300) |
| Eosinophils (×10^3/mm^3)| 2,065 ± 2,095  | 1,500 (730-11,200) |
| Eosinophils (%)          | 19.4 ± 14.6    | 16.6 (10.8-64.2)  |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dL)| 5.0 ± 6.4      | 2.5 (0-38.4)      |
| Serum IgE (IU/mL)        | 620 ± 714      | 316 (37-3,029)    |
| Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid |                |                |
| Eosinophils (%)          | 41.4 ± 28.3    | 34 (4-93)        |
| CD4/8 ratio              | 2.1 ± 2.0      | 1.8 (0.4-13.2)   |
| Serum-specific IgE (positive/tested) |          |                |
| Aspergillus sp.          | 10/52          |                |
| Candida sp.              | 6/52           |                |
| Penicillium sp.          | 5/52           |                |
| Cladosporium sp.         | 1/52           |                |
| Alternaria sp.           | 1/52           |                |
| Schizoplagium commune    | 9/19           |                |
| Serum-specific IgG (positive/tested) |          |                |
| Aspergillus sp.          | 0/52           |                |
| Schizoplagium commune    | 2/52           |                |

SD: standard deviation, IgE: immunoglobulin E, CD: cluster of differentiation, IgG: immunoglobulin G

Table 4. Prednisolone Dose at Final Follow-up in Patients without Relapse of CEP and on Relapse in Patients with Relapse of CEP.

|                                      | Dose in patients without relapse of CEP (n=46) (%) | Dose in patients with relapse of CEP (n=27) (%) | p value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Geometric mean, mg (CV)              | 4.37 (1.43)                                       | 4.97 (0.62)                                     | 0.652   |

CEP: chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, CV: coefficient of variation. 1 Includes patients with (n=18) and without (n=28) maintenance corticosteroid therapy. 2 Includes patients with (n=17) and without (n=10) maintenance corticosteroid therapy.

2,095 [median, 1,500 (730-11,200)]/mm^3, C-reactive protein of 5.0±6.4 [median, 2.5 (0-38.4)] mg/dL, and immunoglobulin E of 620±714 [median, 316 (37-3,029)] IU/mL. Respiratory failure (defined as a PaO2 <60 Torr under ambient air) occurred in 10 (13.7%) patients.

Chest X-ray findings were compatible with those reported in CEP (11, 12), which were characterized by the presence of homogeneous peripheral airspace consolidation. Computed tomography findings characterized by consolidation and isolated peripheral and patchy distribution, patchy or peripheral ground-glass opacities, and the occasional association with crazy paving, were also compatible with those reported previously (13).

Systemic corticosteroid therapy was administered to 65 patients, with a mean dose of PSL of 29.4±7.6 mg/day (0.60 ±0.16 mg/kg/day). Corticosteroid therapy was not administered in 8 patients because their lung shadows had spontaneously disappeared. Cessation of smoking was required after admission for all patients with a history of smoking.

The median follow-up period was 1,939 (range, 18-10,405) days. During follow-up, smoking cessation was confirmed in all patients by physicians during outpatient visits. Two of the 65 patients who received systemic corticosteroid therapy developed steroid-induced DM, and diabetes control in 2 other patients who already had DM before starting systemic corticosteroid therapy worsened and these patients required insulin therapy. Another patient developed pNTM. Twenty-seven (37.0%) patients suffered from relapse of CEP. The cumulative annual relapse rate was 0.1654 (Fig. 1). The dose of PSL administered on relapse was 3.7±4.1 mg/day, median 3 mg/day (0-17.5 mg/day) and did not differ significantly from that being administered at final follow-up in the patients without relapse (Table 4). The number of patients on maintenance corticosteroid therapy without relapse tended to be higher than that of patients with relapse, but the difference was not significant (p=0.057). After excluding 1 patient in whom CEP relapsed 10 years after PSL cessation, the median duration between PSL cessation and relapse of CEP was 56 (28-191) days. A Cox proportional hazards model analysis showed a history of smoking to be the only independent risk factor of relapse of CEP by multivariate analysis (Table 5, Fig. 1, 2). Other factors on presentation, including age (≥65 years old), sex, history of dust exposure, underlying disorders (asthma and allergic disorders), respiratory failure, peripheral eosinophilia (>1,000/mm^3 or >30% of white blood cells), serum IgE level (>1,000 IU/mL), rate of eosinophils in the BALF (>50%), CD4/8 ratio in the BALF (<1 or ≥3), and inhaled steroid therapy, were not significant predictive factors by univariate analysis. The daily dose of PSL on the initial relapse of CEP was 1.7±2.0 mg in patients with a history of smoking, whereas it was 4.3±4.1 mg in patients without a history of smoking, but the difference between the two groups was not significantly (p=0.184, Student t-test).

During follow-up, 5 patients died, but none died from CEP. The 68 surviving patients have shown good functional status, their respiratory condition has remained stable; and none have required long-term oxygen therapy. Corticosteroid therapy was not necessary in 37 (50.7%) of the 73 patients at the final follow-up.
Table 5. Univariate and Multivariate Analysis for Predictive Factors of Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia.

| Factor                                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                             | HR (95% CI)         | p value               | HR (95% CI)         | p value               |
| Age (≥65 years old)                         | 0.76 (0.29, 2.00)   | 0.575                 | 0.37 (0.14, 0.98)   | 0.045                 |
| Male sex                                    | 0.91 (0.40, 2.08)   | 0.816                 | 0.91 (0.40, 2.08)   | 0.816                 |
| Current smoking, yes                        | 0.41 (0.16, 1.01)   | 0.045                 | 0.37 (0.14, 0.98)   | 0.045                 |
| Dust exposure, yes                          | 0.40 (0.05, 2.95)   | 0.352                 | 0.37 (0.05, 2.71)   | 0.305                 |
| Pollenosis, allergic rhinitis, sinusitis, atopic respiratory failure on presentation | 0.37 (0.05, 2.71)   | 0.305                 | 0.37 (0.05, 2.71)   | 0.305                 |
| Peripheral blood eosinophilia (≥1,000/mm³) | 0.98 (0.45, 2.11)   | 0.956                 | 0.98 (0.45, 2.11)   | 0.956                 |
| Peripheral blood eosinophilia (≥50%)        | 0.57 (0.22, 1.52)   | 0.256                 | 0.57 (0.22, 1.52)   | 0.256                 |
| Elevated C-reactive protein (≥10 mg/dL)     | 1.38 (0.47, 4.01)   | 0.556                 | 1.38 (0.47, 4.01)   | 0.556                 |
| Elevated serum IgE level (≥1,000 IU/mL)     | 0.55 (0.17, 1.84)   | 0.326                 | 0.55 (0.17, 1.84)   | 0.326                 |
| Positive IgE or IgG antibody against fungi   | 1.11 (0.50, 2.48)   | 0.791                 | 1.11 (0.50, 2.48)   | 0.791                 |
| BALF lymphocytes ≥30%                       | 0.65 (0.24, 1.76)   | 0.396                 | 0.65 (0.24, 1.76)   | 0.396                 |
| BALF eosinophils ≥50%                       | 1.50 (0.67, 3.32)   | 0.319                 | 1.50 (0.67, 3.32)   | 0.319                 |
| CD 4/8 ratio ≥3                             | 1.59 (0.60, 4.21)   | 0.347                 | 1.59 (0.60, 4.21)   | 0.347                 |
| CD 4/8 ratio <1                             | 1.73 (0.79, 3.79)   | 0.163                 | 1.73 (0.79, 3.79)   | 0.163                 |
| Systemic steroid therapy, yes               | 3.76 (0.51, 27.91)  | 0.161                 | 3.76 (0.51, 27.91)  | 0.161                 |
| Inhaled steroid therapy, yes                | 0.72 (0.32, 1.62)   | 0.432                 | 0.72 (0.32, 1.62)   | 0.432                 |

Table 5: This table presents the results of univariate and multivariate analyses for predictive factors of Chronic Eosinophilic Pneumonia. The table includes factors such as age, smoking status, dust exposure, and blood eosinophil levels, among others, along with their hazard ratios and p-values. The analysis indicates that factors such as age, current smoking, and elevated blood eosinophil levels are significant predictors of relapse in CEP.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves for the relapse rate of chronic eosinophilic pneumonia (CEP). The annual relapse rate (95% confidence interval) of CEP was 0.0833 (0.0215, 0.2939) in patients who were smokers (a) at the diagnosis of CEP, whereas the rate was 0.2112 (0.1158, 0.3670) in patients who were nonsmokers at the diagnosis of CEP (b). X axis: years after the diagnosis of CEP, Y axis: the cumulative relapse rate.

Discussion

We herein investigated the clinical course of 73 patients who were diagnosed as having CEP on their first presentation. During follow-up, 2 of the 65 patients who received systemic corticosteroid therapy developed DM. Twenty-seven patients suffered a relapse of CEP. Five of 73 patients died of diseases other than CEP. A history of smoking at the diagnosis of CEP was the only a negative predictive factor for relapse of CEP.

Although a favorable response to systemic corticosteroid therapy was found and the initial PSL dose was sufficient at a median dose of 0.6 mg/kg/day, as recommended in previous reports (14), 27 of 73 patients relapsed during follow-up, which appears problematic. Marchand et al. (3) investigated the relapse ratio in 62 patients with CEP. Thirty patients suffered 48 relapses of EP, with relapse in 28 occurring an average of 55 weeks after cessation of corticosteroid therapy, and 20 relapsing on a daily PSL dose of about 10 mg. In contrast, 27 (37.0%) of our patients relapsed on a PSL dose of 3.7±4.1 mg/day. These differences may be due to physique (body weight), which is often lower in Asian people, but the exact body weight on relapse in many of our patients was unknown. CEP relapse occurred after PSL cessation at a median duration of 56 days, which was shorter than that reported by Marchand et al. (3) or Oyama et al. (7), but the exact reason remains unclear.

Although corticosteroid therapy remains a mainstay of CEP treatment, prolonged corticosteroid administration may induce metabolic and infectious complications. Two of our patients developed DM and diabetes control worsened in 2 other patients who already had DM before starting systemic corticosteroid therapy. Future studies are needed to clarify the effects of prolonged corticosteroid therapy on the cardiovascular system in CEP patients. One additional patient developed pNTM, for which corticosteroid is a risk factor. The limited number of patients with pNTM did not allow us to assess whether the incidence of pNTM was higher in our CEP patients than that already reported (15). Similar to the findings in other studies, although no deaths occurred from CEP, metabolic and infectious complications such as DM under prolonged corticosteroid therapy in patients with CEP are problematic in terms of prognosis. Additional treatment options are expected in the future. Because corticosteroid therapy was not necessary in about half of our patients at final follow-up, physicians should consider tapering and ending systemic corticosteroid treatment.

A history of smoking was a predictive factor for relapse of CEP at the time of initial diagnosis. Previously, Oyama et al. assessed this factor in 44 patients with CEP in a prospec-
tive study (7), which showed that none of the investigated factors were significantly associated with relapse. To our knowledge, no other reports have assessed the predictive factors of CEP relapse. One possible explanation of our results is the contribution of smoking to the development or maintenance of eosinophilic infiltration in the lung (16). Although our understanding of the pathophysiology of CEP is incomplete, studies of BAL fluid from patients with CEP show elevations of several cytokine, chemokine, and immunomodulatory products (17-19), which suggests that a multifactorial process is involved in the recruitment of eosinophils into the lung (20). Although no reports have suggested an association between smoking and CEP, there is significant overlap between CEP and acute EP, for which a strong association exists with cigarette smoking (20), in the presence of specific common inflammatory mediators in the BALF (20). Smoking causes cellular and molecular changes that can lead to inflammation. In response to smoking, inflammatory signaling is altered by airway epithelial cells and macrophages, which, according to the signaling pathway used, results in an increase of lymphocytes, eosinophils, neutrophils, and mast cells in the lungs. In response to the exposure to smoking, a number of proteins are upregulated and secreted, many of which have immunomodulatory activities that contribute to the pathogenesis of disease (21). The inflammatory process induced by smoking is halted by its cessation, and all of our patients stopped smoking after the diagnosis of CEP, which may have helped to prevent the relapse of CEP. Large, prospective studies will be needed in the future to clarify this matter.

Conclusion

CEP frequently relapses, and a history of smoking in patients at the time of the initial diagnosis of CEP was found to be a negative predictive factor for relapse of CEP. There may be some population of CEP patients in whom smoking contributes to the development or maintenance of CEP. The relapse of CEP may be halted by smoking cessation, which is strongly suggested in CEP patients. Prolonged systemic corticosteroid therapy can increase metabolic or infectious complications. Additionally, because some patients do not require maintenance corticosteroid therapy, physicians should consider tapering and discontinuing systemic corticosteroid treatment.
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