Epigenetic Regulation of the IL-13-induced Human Eotaxin-3 Gene by CREB-binding Protein-mediated Histone 3 Acetylation
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The etiology of a variety of chronic inflammatory disorders has been attributed to the interaction of genetic and environmental factors. Herein, we identified a link between epigenetic regulation and IL-13-driven eotaxin-3 in the pathogenesis of chronic allergic inflammation. We first demonstrated that the cAMP-responsive element (CRE) site in the eotaxin-3 promoter affects IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity. Furthermore, the CRE-binding protein-binding protein (CBP), a histone acetyltransferase, induced base-line and IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity. Additionally, IL-13 treatment promoted global histone 3 acetylation as well as the formation of a complex containing CBP and STAT6 and the subsequent acetylation of histone 3 at the eotaxin-3 promoter. CBP gene silencing decreased IL-13-induced transcription of eotaxin-3. Conversely, inhibition of histone deacetylation increased IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 production. Clinical studies demonstrated markedly increased global acetylation of histone 3 in the inflamed tissue of patients with allergic inflammation. Collectively, these results identify an epigenetic mechanism involving CBP and chromatin remodeling in regulating IL-13-induced chemokine transcription.

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression contributes to the risk of inflammatory diseases, but the mechanisms remain largely unexplored (1–4). Chromatin remodeling, via histone modification, is one of the key epigenetic mechanisms known to regulate development (5), cancer (6), and more recently inflammatory diseases (7, 8). Histone acetyltransferases such as cAMP-responsive element (CRE)3-binding protein-binding protein (CBP)/p300 (9, 10) and histone deacetylases (11) promote or inhibit transcription of select promoters, respectively (12). For example, post-translational acetylation of histone 3 typically activates transcription (13–15). Despite these molecular studies, there has been a paucity of data concerning the mechanisms of epigenetic contribution to chronic inflammatory diseases. Herein, we aimed to examine the role of histone 3 modifications in chronic allergic inflammation.

We focused our attention on an increasingly recognized disease, eosinophilic esophagitis (EE) (16) as we have developed evidence that the disease is mediated by gene-environment interaction and is associated with a marked alteration in a conserved mRNA transcriptome in the diseased tissue (esophagus) (17–19). Additionally, because direct analysis of the inflammatory tissue via endoscopic biopsy is routine, the study of EE has advantages compared with other inflammatory diseases where only surrogate tissue or markers can be consistently analyzed for epigenetic modification. Notably, a significant fraction of the EE-associated transcriptome is induced by IL-13 in esophageal epithelial cells. Of the entire EE-associated transcriptome, the gene with the greatest overexpression in esophageal biopsy samples and in IL-13-stimulated esophageal epithelial cells is eotaxin-3 (17, 20). As a well known potent eosinophil chemoattractant and activating factor, evidence is emerging that IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 has a key role in the pathogenesis of a number of allergic disorders (1, 18, 21–27).

Herein, we tested the hypothesis that histone 3 modification contributes to IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 responses, and we aimed to identify the mechanisms involved. In particular, we first demonstrated that the CRE site in the eotaxin-3 promoter affected IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity. Additionally, we demonstrated that CBP promoted base-line and IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity. Conversely, a CBP inhibitor (adenovirus 5 early region 1A (E1A) protein) repressed eotaxin-3 promoter activity in IL-13-stimulated cells. Furthermore, IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 production was enhanced by histone deacetylase inhibition. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) revealed that stimulation of esophageal epithelial cells with IL-13 increased recruitment of CBP and the amount of acetylated histone 3 to the eotaxin-3 promoter. Moreover, transcriptional activation of eotaxin-3 and acetylation of histone 3 were abolished by histone deacetylase inhibition. Chromatin immunoprecipitation suggested that stimulation of esophageal epithelial cells with IL-13 increased recruitment of CBP and the amount of acetylated histone 3 to the eotaxin-3 promoter. Moreover, transcriptional activation of eotaxin-3 and acetylation of histone 3 were abolished by histone deacetylase inhibition.
ton 3 mediated by IL-13 were markedly reduced by shRNA-mediated gene silencing of CBP. Additionally, clinical studies demonstrated an increased level of histone 3 acetylation in esophageal tissue from EE patients compared with controls. Collectively, these results identify an epigenetic mechanism involving CBP and histone 3 modifications in regulating the IL-13-induced transcription of eotaxin-3. As such, we have identified a plausible mechanism for the epigenetic regulation of chronic allergic inflammation.

**Experimental Procedures**

*Culture Medium and Reagents*—For human primary esophageal epithelial cell culture, the culture conditions and cytokine treatment have been described previously (17). Human esophageal epithelial cell lines (TE-1 and TE-7) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (28, 29). Recombinant human IL-13 was obtained from PeproTech, Inc. (Rocky Hill, NJ). Antibodies against STAT6, CBP, p300, and histone 3 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA). Antibodies against phospho-STAT6, CBP, p300, and histone 3 were obtained from Millipore Corp. (Billerica, MA). Antibodies against phospho-TE-1 and TE-7 (Tyr-641) and phospho-ATF-2 (Thr-69/71) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA).

*Reporter Plasmids and Expression Constructs*—The luciferase reporter constructs contain the human eotaxin-3 gene promoter (Eotaxin-3/Luc) and the eotaxin-3 promoter with the luciferase reporter constructs containing the human eotaxin-3 gene promoter (Eotaxin-3/Luc) and the eotaxin-3 promoter with the human eotaxin-3 gene obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA).

*Transfection and Luciferase Activity Assay*—TE-7 cells were transiently co-transfected with 500 ng of pGL3-basic firefly reporter plasmid, 5 ng of reference Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid pHRL-TK (Promega, Madison, WI), and pRC/RV expression plasmid by using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The total amount of plasmid transfected per well was the same. After 48 h, cells were treated with 0 or 100 ng/ml of IL-13 for 24 h. Firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were assayed using the Promega Dual-Luciferase assay kit and a Synergy Mx Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT). All firefly measurements were normalized to Renilla luciferase activity.

*CBP Gene Silencing*—Two methods were utilized for knockdown of CBP. For transient knockdown of CBP, plasmids expressing shRNAs (SABiosciences Corp., Frederick, MD) were transfected into esophageal epithelial cells via Lipofectamine and screened for efficient knockdown. The hairpin targeting 5'-GAGCCATCTAGTGCTAAAATCGCTC-3' produced the most efficient CBP knockdown and was used in subsequent experiments. The vector has a GFP selection marker, and GFP-positive cells were sorted using FACS analysis. After transfection, we sorted GFP-positive cell populations for both constructs with the GFP marker (the control shRNA and CBP shRNA) as indicated by the presence of ~100% GFP-positive cells (data not shown). Sorted cells showing significant knockdown of CBP expression by RT-PCR or Western blot were used. For generating cells in which CBP was stably knocked down, the sequence above was cloned into the pGreenPuro shRNA lentivector, which contains the puromycin selection marker (System Biosciences, Mountain, CA). The viral core at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center manufactured pseudoviral particles of CBP and non-targeting pGreenPuro shRNA for delivery. After transductions for 48 h, cells were selected by puromycin treatment (3 μg/ml).

*RT-PCR*—Total RNA was isolated from esophageal epithelial cells using the RNasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA (100 ng) was reversed transcribed using the iScript™ cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). The levels of mRNA expression were determined using an iQ5 real time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) with iQ™ SYBR Green Supermix. Expression of the gene of interest was normalized to GAPDH. Relative expression was calculated using the comparative Ct method as described previously (34).

*CDNAs were amplified using the following primers: Eotaxin-3: forward primer, 5'-AACTCCGAAACAAATTGTAGTACTGGCTC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GTAACTCTGGAGAAACACCCCTCTCC-3'; GAPDH: forward primer, 5'-TGGAAATCCCATTCCCATCTCCT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GTCCTCTGCTGGGTGCACTGAT-3'; CBP: forward primer, 5'-GTAATCAGCTCTCTCCACTCC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TCGACCTCTCCTCTACA-3'; and p300: forward primer, 5'-GTCACCTCTGCTGGACAAATG-3'.

*Preparation of Total Cell Lysates and Nuclear Experiments*—Cells were incubated with 100 ng/ml IL-13 for 0–120 min. Total cell lysates were prepared as described previously (35). Cells were rapidly washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1× aprotinin, 1× leupeptin, and 1× pepstatin). Nuclear extracts were isolated as described previously (36). Briefly, cells (~2 × 10^6) were grown on 10-mm dishes to 80% confluence, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and harvested by scraping into 1 ml of ice-cold PBS. Cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 μl of buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5 mM PMSE). After 15 min of incubation on ice, 25 μl of 10% Nonidet P-40 was added.
added. The cell homogenate was centrifuged in a microcentrifuge at 14,000 rpm for 1 min. The nuclear pellet was resuspended in 25–50 μl of buffer B (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 0.4 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM PMSF). The tube was vigorously shaken by vortexing at 4 °C for 5 min. The clear supernatant was collected, and aliquots were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. All steps were performed at 4 °C or on ice.

Co-immunoprecipitation and Western Blot Analysis—Immunoprecipitations and Western blot analyses were performed as described (37). Briefly, the cleared lysates containing 500 μg of protein were incubated with 2 μg of anti-CBP or anti-STAT6 antibody for 16 h at 4 °C and then mixed together with 30 μl of 50% protein A/G-agarose beads for 1 h at 4 °C. The immunoprecipitates were washed three times with lysis buffer, then Laemmli buffer was added, and the samples were subjected to electrophoresis on 4–12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. Western blot analysis was performed and visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Amersham Biosciences). Densitometry measurements were performed using Multi Gauge V3.0 (Fujifilm).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay**—Esophageal epithelial (TE-1) cells were plated in 15-cm dishes and grown to ~80–90% confluence. Cells were treated with 100 ng/ml IL-13 in medium for 0–30 min. Cells were cross-linked on the plates with 1% formaldehyde, and chromatin was prepared essentially as described (38) with some modifications. Sonication was performed using a Fisher Disembrator Model 100 for eight cycles for 20-s pulses, and chromatin fragments were determined to be less than 500 bp in size. For ATF-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-6233), CBP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-369), acetyl-histone 3 (Millipore Corp.), and STAT6 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-621) immunoprecipitation, rabbit polyclonal antibodies against the respective antigens were used. In parallel reactions, an equivalent concentration of rabbit IgG was used as a negative control. After reversing cross-links, DNA was extracted using phenol-chloroform and then ethanol-precipitated. The purified pellet was resuspended in H2O and subjected to PCR. The PCR products were then resolved by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV light.
**Microarray Analysis**—RNA extraction and microarray analysis were performed as described previously (40). The genome-wide Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0ST gene chip was used, and gene transcript levels were determined by using GeneSpring software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). A base of probe sets in transcriptome analyses was generated by requiring a minimum raw expression on the microarray in the 20th percentile. To identify CBP-regulated genes, those transcripts differentially regulated at least 2-fold between control shRNAs and expressed CBP-specific shRNAs were analyzed statistically using the t test (p < 0.05) with Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction.

**Genome-wide Promoter Scan for STAT6 Binding Sites**—The STAT6 binding site was searched from the 4-kb region upstream of the first exon of all genes in the genome using the TraFaC program, which analyzes non-coding genomic sequences that are evolutionarily conserved between mouse and human (41). The resulting list of genes was intersected with the CBP-regulated genes from the microarray analysis.

**Esophageal Samples and Immunofluorescence Microscopy**—Diagnostic criteria for normal and EE were defined as described previously (18). Patient biopsies, collected from the distal esophagus less than 5 cm from the lower esophageal sphincter, were submerged in formalin for routine pathological analysis with H&E staining. Figure 2. Regulation of eotaxin-3 transcriptional activation by CBP. A, esophageal epithelial cells were transiently co-transfected for 48 h with the eotaxin-3 luciferase reporter construct (Eotaxin-3/Luc; 500 ng) and either empty vector or the CBP expression plasmid (pRc/RSV-CBP; 1, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100 ng). B, cells were transiently co-transfected with Eotaxin-3/Luc and either empty vector or the CBP expression plasmid (5 ng). After 48 h, the cells were treated with either 0 or 100 ng/ml IL-13 for 24 h. C, esophageal epithelial cells were transiently transfected with Eotaxin-3/Luc and either empty vector or the E1A expression plasmid (0.25, 2.5, 25, and 250 ng). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were treated with either 0 or 100 ng/ml IL-13 for 24 h prior to measurement of luciferase activity. D, top panel, a schematic representation of E1A (WT E1A) showing the position of conserved regions 1 and 2 (CR1 and CR2) and the mutation in the CBP binding site (Mut E1A). D, bottom panel, cells were transiently co-transfected with Eotaxin-3/Luc and either WT E1A (2.5 ng) or mutant E1A (Mut E1A) (2.5 ng) for 48 h and then treated with IL-13 (100 ng/ml) for 24 h prior to measurement of luciferase activity. Data are shown as mean ± S.E. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; and ***, p < 0.001; n = 3 per group. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
staining. Diagnosis was established based on the maximum eosinophil count per high power field (400×) (18). Slide-mounted cryosections were air-dried and acetone-fixed, washed in PBS, incubated in a blocking solution containing 4% goat serum for 2 h, and then incubated with diluted anti-acetyl-histone 3 primary antibody. Sections were then washed with PBS and incubated for 2 h with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibodies at a 1:500 dilution in PBS containing 4% BSA. Slides were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI)/Supermount G solution (Fluoromount-G). Images were captured using an Olympus BX51 microscope fitted with UPlanApo lenses (200× magnification) and a MagnaFire camera and analyzed with MagnaFire 2.1c software (Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Postacquisition processing (brightness, opacity, contrast, and color balance) was applied to the entire image and accurately reflects that of the original. The number of acetylated histone 3-positive cells and DAPI-positive cells was quantified by Image-Pro Plus 4.1 (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD) (42). The number of acetylated histone 3-positive cells was normalized to the number of DAPI-positive cells per high power field (200×) for each biopsy.

Statistical Analysis—Values are reported as means ± S.E. Comparisons between two groups were determined by using a t test. Comparisons between more than two groups were determined by using one- or two-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey post hoc test with Prism 5.0 software. A statistical probability of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

IL-13-induced Transcription of Eotaxin-3 Gene is CRE-dependent—Previous studies have shown that a STAT6 consensus binding site located upstream of the eotaxin-3 transcription initiation site is required for IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity in esophageal epithelial cells (17). Because CBP has been shown to function as a transcriptional coactivator for STAT6 (32), we examined whether CBP could mediate basal and IL-13-induced transcription of the eotaxin-3 gene. To monitor eotaxin-3 promoter activity, we used a construct containing the region of human eotaxin-3 from −929 to +35 base pairs (bp) relative to the transcription initiation site directly upstream of a firefly luciferase reporter gene. In addition to the STAT6 sites (−55 to −64 and −664 to −674), we identified two potential CBP-interacting protein binding sites, namely one CRE site (−230 to −237) and one C/EBPβ site (−653 to −662), in the promoter region of the eotaxin-3 gene (Fig. 1A). To determine the requirement of specific regulatory elements for IL-13-mediated eotaxin-3 promoter activity, we mutated the STAT6, CRE, and C/EBP β consensus sequences within the reporter vector. The basal and IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activities of the resulting constructs were analyzed in esophageal epithelial cells (TE-7). As shown in Fig. 1B, mutation of the proximal STAT6 (Mut1) site abolished the response to IL-13. Interestingly, mutation of the CRE site significantly decreased the IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity. In contrast, mutation of the distal STAT6 (Mut2) or C/EBPβ site had no effect. Taken together, these results indicate that the CRE site contributes to IL-13-mediated induction of the eotaxin-3 gene.

Overexpression of CBP Enhances Both Basal and IL-13-induced Eotaxin-3 Promoter Activity, whereas CBP Inhibitor E1A Inhibits IL-13-induced Eotaxin-3 Promoter Activity—To provide evidence for the involvement of CBP in the transcription of
the eotaxin-3 gene, we examined the effect of overexpression of the CBP gene, which possesses intrinsic histone acetyltransferase activity. Esophageal epithelial cells were co-transfected with the eotaxin-3 promoter construct in the presence of an expression vector containing CBP under the control of the SV40 promoter or the control vector. Overexpression of CBP enhanced basal eotaxin-3 promoter activity (Fig. 2A). CBP induced a dose-dependent increase in eotaxin-3 promoter activity with a maximum activity at 5 ng of CBP plasmid, whereas a decline was observed at higher doses. Overexpression of CBP also stimulated IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 mRNA production (Fig. 2B). These data indicate that CBP promotes transcriptional induction of eotaxin-3 both under basal and in IL-13-stimulated conditions.

To determine the effect of the CBP inhibitor adenovirus E1A protein (32, 33) on the transcriptional activity of the eotaxin-3 promoter, increasing amounts of an E1A expression vector were co-transfected with the eotaxin-3 promoter construct into esophageal epithelial cells. The eotaxin-3 promoter activity in the presence of 0.25, 2.5, 25, and 250 ng of the E1A expression vector was inhibited 40, 68, 88, and 87% compared with control IL-13-treated cells, respectively (Fig. 2C). To determine whether the inhibitory effect of E1A was due to competition for a limited amount of CBP, we examined the effect of mutating the CBP binding site in E1A (Fig. 2D, top panel) (32). Mutated E1A had reduced CBP-inhibitory activity in the context of IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity (Fig. 2D, bottom panel). These data indicate that the repression of eotaxin-3 promoter activity by E1A correlated with its ability to bind CBP.

CBP Gene Silencing Significantly Reduces IL-13-induced Eotaxin-3 Gene Expression—To further investigate the role of CBP in esophageal epithelial cells, we transfected cells for 24 h with either control shRNA vector or a vector expressing shRNA that targets CBP. The CBP shRNA reduced CBP transcripts by about 85% in comparison with the control shRNA (Fig. 3A, left panel), and the protein expression was similarly decreased (Fig. 3A, right panel). As controls, p300 and housekeeping gene mRNA and protein levels were unchanged in CBP shRNA-transfected cells, indicating the specificity of the CBP knockdown. We measured IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression in esophageal epithelial cells in which CBP expression was gene-silenced. CBP gene silencing significantly decreased IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression in esophageal epithelial cells (Fig. 3B). As such, these data demonstrate that CBP is required for IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression in esophageal epithelial cells.

Histone Deacetylase Inhibition Enhances IL-13-induced Eotaxin-3 Expression—Histone deacetylases have an important role in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression by catalyzing the removal of acetyl groups, leading to chromatin condensation and transcriptional repression (11, 43, 44). We hypothesized that histone deacetylase inhibition (using trichostatin A (TSA)) would increase IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression. Primary esophageal epithelial cells were treated with TSA (10 and 100 ng/ml) or vehicle (ethanol) for 25 h, and nuclear extracts were used for Western blot analysis. As expected, TSA increased levels of acetylated histone 3 compared with vehicle-treated samples (Fig. 4A), confirming that TSA treatment was effective in our experimental system. Accordingly, cells were preincubated with TSA and subsequently treated with IL-13 for 24 h. TSA increased IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 expression in both TE-7 cells (Fig. 4B) and primary esophageal epithelial cells (Fig. 4C). In contrast, incubation of esophageal epithelial cells with TSA (10 and 100 ng/ml) alone did not induce eotaxin-3.
gene expression. These data support the theory that histone acetylation promotes eotaxin-3 expression in IL-13-stimulated esophageal epithelial cells.

CBP Gene Silencing Reduces IL-13-induced Acetylation of Histone 3—Our results suggested that IL-13 induces a signaling pathway that promotes histone acetylation. Indeed, IL-13-stimulated acetylation of histone 3 within 15 min in esophageal epithelial cells was observed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 5A). This effect was relatively specific because IL-13 did not induce changes in acetylation of histone 4 (supplemental Fig. 1). We next aimed to determine whether CBP was responsible for IL-13-induced histone 3 acetylation. Using a lentivirus system that expressed CBP-specific shRNAs, knockdown of CBP markedly reduced the level of acetylated histone 3 following IL-13 stimulation (Fig. 5B). These data suggest that IL-13-induced histone 3 acetylation is indeed mediated by CBP.

IL-13 Stimulation Increases ATF-2 Activation—Having established that the CRE site affects eotaxin-3 gene expression, we tested whether specific CRE-binding protein family members (CREB, ATF-1, and ATF-2) were activated by IL-13. We assessed the phosphorylation status of CREB, ATF-1, and ATF-2 by immunoblot analysis of isolated total cell lysates and nuclear extracts. Of the proteins tested, IL-13 induced the phosphorylation of threonine residues 69 and 71 of ATF-2 in both total lysates (Fig. 6A) and nuclear extracts 30 min following stimulation (Fig. 6, B and C); however, no phosphorylation of CREB (Ser-133) or ATF-1 (Ser-63) was observed (data not shown). As a positive control, in the same experiment, IL-13 induced the phosphorylation of STAT6. These data indicate that IL-13 induces phosphorylation of ATF-2 at Thr-69 and Thr-71, which correlate with activation of ATF-2 (45).

IL-13 Stimulation Promotes CBP, ATF-2, STAT6, and Acetylated Histone 3 Association with Eotaxin-3 Promoter—To determine the effect of IL-13 on STAT6, CBP, or ATF-2, and acetyl-histone 3 on the eotaxin-3 promoter, ChIP assay was performed. Cross-linked and sheared chromatin from IL-13-treated esophageal epithelial cells was immunoprecipitated using anti-STAT6, anti-CBP, anti-ATF-2, or anti-acetyl-histone 3 antibody, and recovered DNA was subjected to PCR using primers spanning from −270 to +34 of the eotaxin-3 promoter sequence, which contains the CRE and STAT6–1 sites (Fig. 7A). As shown in Fig. 7, B–E, a 304-bp PCR product specific for the eotaxin-3 promoter was amplified from the immunoprecipitated samples for all four factors. In contrast, little or no product was observed when control IgG was used for immunoprecipitation. Interestingly, the amount of association with STAT6, CBP, or ATF-2, and acetyl-histone 3 with the eotaxin-3 promoter was increased with IL-13 treatment compared with untreated cells (Fig. 7, B–E). As a control, the actin promoter was not amplified following ChIP analysis of STAT6, CBP, or ATF-2 (Fig. 7, B–D), and there was no increase of actin promoter association with acetyl-histone 3 after IL-13 treat-
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FIGURE 6. IL-13 induces endogenous ATF-2 phosphorylation in esophageal epithelial cells. Human esophageal epithelial cells were harvested at the indicated time after treatment with IL-13 (100 ng/ml). Sixty micrograms of whole cell lysate (A) and nuclear extracts (B) was analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-ATF-2, anti-STAT6, anti-phospho-ATF-2 (p-ATF-2), and anti-phospho-STAT6 (p-STAT6) antibodies. TATA box-binding protein (TBP) was used as a nuclear loading control. C, densitometry results from B represent mean ± S.E. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; n = 2 per group. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

1. IL-13 treatment promoted an increase in STAT6, CBP, ATF-2, and acetyl-histone 3 interaction with the eotaxin-3 promoter. We aimed to demonstrate an interaction between CBP and STAT6 in esophageal epithelial cells. As shown in Fig. 7F, IL-13 increased the formation of a complex that included CBP and STAT6 after 30 min in esophageal epithelial cells.

Identification of CBP-regulated Genes in Esophageal Epithelial Cells—We identified genes regulated by CBP using genome-wide expression analysis after CBP silencing (supplemental Table 1). A subset of the top differentially regulated genes is listed in Table 1. Forty-five transcripts exhibited differential expression after CBP silencing compared with control. There are 29 genes that exhibited decreased expression and 16 genes that showed increased expression. We then determined the set of genes that had predicted STAT6 binding sites in the 4-kb region upstream of the first exon using the TraFaC program (41). Only two genes are regulated by CBP and also have predicted STAT6 binding sites (BCL11a and TGM1). Interestingly, one down-regulated gene (WNT5A) is a previously reported target for IL-13, but it does not have the STAT6 binding site (41), indicating that IL-13 may modulate histone acetylation independently of STAT6.

Increased Histone 3 Acetylation in Esophageal Tissue Is Associated with EE—We examined the level of histone 3 acetylation in esophageal biopsy specimens of healthy and EE patients. Biopsy specimens were immunostained with antibody specific for acetyl-histone 3, and nuclei were stained with DAPI. In biopsy specimens, the basal epithelial layer of the esophageal tissue is delineated by the white dashed line when compared with the hematoxylin and eosin-stained biopsy specimen (data not shown) (Fig. 8A). The acetyl-histone 3 staining was observed in the nuclei of the epithelial cells. Staining with control antibody confirmed that the observed signal was specific for the acetylated histone 3 antibody. The number of acetylated histone 3-positive cells was normalized to the number of DAPI-positive cells per high power field (200×) for each biopsy. In esophageal biopsies from patients with EE, there was a significant increase in acetylated histone 3-positive cells compared with normal individuals (Fig. 8B). These data imply that epigenetic regulation via chromatin remodeling is an important event in broadly regulating the EE-associated transcriptome.

DISCUSSION

Herein, we have demonstrated that CBP and epigenetic modification of histone 3 are essential for eotaxin-3 gene expression mediated by IL-13 in esophageal epithelial cells. These conclusions are based on the following observations: 1) IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity was decreased by mutation of the CRE site located in the eotaxin-3 promoter. 2) Eotaxin-3 promoter activity was induced by CBP and repressed by the E1A protein, a CBP inhibitor. 3) CBP gene silencing significantly decreased IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression and IL-13-induced acetylated histone 3. 4) Histone acetylase inhibition
enhanced IL-13-stimulated eotaxin-3 production. 5) IL-13-induced expression of eotaxin-3 was controlled by histone modification. 6) Stimulation with IL-13 resulted in recruitment of STAT6, CBP, and ATF-2 to the promoter region of eotaxin-3 with a corresponding increase of acetylated histone 3. 7) The esophageal epithelium from patients with EE had a high level of acetylated histone 3 compared with control individuals. Epithelial cells have been shown to be critically involved in linking innate and adaptive immunity in allergic responses in the respiratory and gastrointestinal mucosa. Herein, we have identified an epigenetic mechanism that regulates their activation by IL-13, a key cytokine involved in allergic inflammation (46–49). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that IL-13 has been shown to operate by modulating histone acetylation, although IL-13 induction itself has been shown to be increased by histone deacetylase inhibition in a preliminary report (50).

We observed that the promoter of eotaxin-3 contains the CRE site consensus binding sequence TGACCTCA (51). The CRE site in the eotaxin-3 promoter region contributed to IL-13-induced transcription as shown by site-directed mutagenesis. One group reported that the deletion of two CRE sites in the C/EBPβ gene promoter abrogates its expression in LPS-stimulated macrophages and also is required for macrophages to promote muscle fiber generation in vivo (52). We speculated that CBP...
could bind the CRE and the proximal STAT6 sites that were crucial for the eotaxin-3 promoter activity through interaction with transcription factors. We provided evidence that CBP activated basal and IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 promoter activity by showing that CBP overexpression increased eotaxin-3 promoter activity. Conversely, transfection of adenovirus protein E1A, but not mutated E1A, significantly decreased IL-13-stimulated eotaxin-3 promoter activity. The E1A protein acts by inhibiting the intrinsic histone acetyltransferase activity of CBP and/or by inhibiting interaction of CBP with the basal transcription machinery (53–55). The importance of CBP function in eotaxin-3 gene regulation was further demonstrated by CBP knockdown, which attenuated IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 expression.

In a previous study, Woisetschläger and co-worker (22) showed that two cis-acting elements (positions −233 to −105 and −928 to −783) increased the activity of the eotaxin-3 promoter (970 bp) following IL-13 stimulation and required the proximal STAT6 site in human dermal fibroblasts. In addition, the promoter sequence between −783 and −233 had repressor activity. We indeed found that the proximal STAT6 site is required for eotaxin-3 promoter activity and that the CRE binding sites (−230 to −237) acts in concert with the proximal STAT6 site and functions as a coactivator in esophageal epithelial cells; we did not find any repressor elements upstream of the CRE site. These results may be different due to distinct cell types or the use of different constructs in the two studies. Although Woisetschläger and co-worker (22) used deletion constructs, we used site-directed mutagenesis and only screened a limited number of sites between positions −783 and −233. It is likely that we did not mutate the putative repressor site in our constructs.

CBP acetylates histones at sites of transcription initiation, promoting an open chromatin structure and facilitating transcription (56–58). Inhibition of histone deacetylase activity in T cells leads to enhanced allergic airway inflammation and increased production of Th2 cytokines including IL-13 (50). Consistent with a proallergic effect, (59), we observed TSA-enhanced IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression in esophageal epithelial cells. TSA has been proven to be a remarkably specific antagonist that specifically contacts an active site conserved across the histone deacetylase family (60). IL-13 induced increased global acetylation of histone 3 (including at the eotaxin-3 gene) but not histone 4 (supplemental Fig. 1). Moreover, global acetylation of histone 3 mediated by IL-13 was markedly reduced by shRNA knockdown of CBP. Consistent with in vitro data, we also observed high levels of acetylated histone 3 directly in the esophageal tissue from patients with EE compared with control individuals. Although we showed that IL-13 could induce global acetylation of histone 3, it is possible that other factors are also involved in increasing acetylated histone 3 in the esophageal tissue from EE patients. Our data demonstrated that CBP histone acetyltransferase activity contributes to chromatin remodeling required for IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression, and this process is likely applicable to a large subset of IL-13-induced genes.

CBP has been shown to interact with STAT6 to regulate target gene expression (32, 61, 62). Our results indeed demonstrate that CBP is located in the same complex with STAT6 in IL-13-stimulated cells, and ChIP analysis showed that these same transcription factors bind to the eotaxin-3 promoter in IL-13-treated esophageal epithelial cells. This combination of findings suggests that STAT6 and CBP bind to the eotaxin-3 promoter following IL-13 treatment. We speculate that STAT6...
binding to the eotaxin-3 promoter facilitates recruitment of transcriptional coactivators such as CBP that enhance efficient transcription of eotaxin-3. These data suggest that STAT6 recruits CBP through either direct or indirect interactions, and CBP subsequently facilitates the recruitment of the basal transcriptional machinery to the eotaxin-3 promoter. A number of transcription factors of the CREB/ATF family bind to CRE sites (63). Phosphorylation of the CREB/ATF family members promotes their binding to CRE sites. We tested whether candidate members of this family were activated following IL-13 treatment. Phosphorylation of CREB (Ser-133) or ATF-1 (Ser-63) was not observed after IL-13 treatment (data not shown). However, we observed that IL-13 increased phosphorylation of ATF-2 in esophageal epithelial cells. The specific residues phosphorylated (Thr-69/Thr-71) have been implicated previously in controlling CRE-dependent transcription (45). We found that ATF-2 binds in the vicinity of the CRE site on the eotaxin-3 promoter after IL-13 treatment using a ChIP assay. However, IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression was not reduced by shRNA knockdown of ATF-2 (supplemental Fig. 2), demonstrating specificity of CBP in regulating eotaxin-3.

In conclusion, CBP is involved in regulating the basal and IL-13-induced activity of the eotaxin-3 gene promoter. We provide direct evidence that CBP mediates eotaxin-3 gene regulation by showing that CBP gene silencing decreased IL-13-induced eotaxin-3 gene expression. CBP knockdown in esophageal epithelial cells documented that IL-13-induced acetylated histone 3 is CBP-dependent. Moreover, IL-13-promoted recruitment of CBP, STAT6, ATF-2, and acetylated histone 3 to the eotaxin-3 promoter.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that IL-13 directly activates histone 3 acetylation of target genes via CBP. The finding that allergic inflammatory tissue has a markedly increased level of acetylated histone 3 highlights that epigenetic regulation of gene expression by chromatin modification is likely operational in Th2-associated human diseases. Indeed, maintaining proper CBP activity may be a potential therapeutic target for eotaxin-3-induced eosinophil infiltration in EE. Furthermore, the molecular insights obtained here should allow for therapeutic manipulation of this regulatory pathway to either promote or impair eosinophil infiltration mediated by eotaxin-3. The findings present a novel mechanism for how environmental factors may contribute to Th2 responses as histone acetylation is modulated by a number of environmental factors such as tobacco smoke exposure, oxidative stress, particulate matter, allergen exposure, microbial exposure including endotoxin, diet, and nutritional factors (64). Collectively, these results identify an epigenetic mechanism involving CBP and modification of histone 3 in regulating the IL-13-induced transcription of eotaxin-3. As such, we have identified a plausible mechanism for the epigenetic regulation of chronic allergic inflammation.
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