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ABSTRACT

Among the small RNA molecules, micro RNAs (miRNAs) are an interesting class of endogenous molecules that play a key role in the regulation of gene expression in various developmental and signaling cascades. Abiotic stress which induced abnormal expression in various miRNAs, can be a new target for developing stress tolerant breeds. Recent reviews show that during abiotic stress, miRNAs swamp the conditions by regulating target genes within the miRNA–target gene network and regulates various signaling pathways and crucial organ development like roots. Stress conditions leads to the stimulation and accumulation of positive regulators and suppression of negative regulators. The current review on miRNAs helps in identifying the role of miRNAs in stress management of maize crop. Study also help in establishing deviations in genetic profile of miRNAs during abiotic stress conditions. After compiling the available literature, it could be conclude that detail and deep studies are needed to understand the miRNA mediated regulatory mechanism to produce agriculturally important plants to endeavor the future needs.
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1 Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the widely cultivated primary crops for food and fodder throughout the world. Being an important cereal crop with diverse industrial applications, maize served as a model for the genetic and genomic research (Huang et al., 2002). Extensive research has been carried out on maize crop development and adaptation to the abiotic stress responses for continuing the better yield. Various environmental stress like salt, light, hypoxia, thermal, radiation, drought and oxidative stress severely affect the growth and development of the plant which subsequently decelerate the crop yield (Fahad et al., 2017). In recent years few attempts have been made for developing stress tolerant in this crop (Prasanna, 2016). It is also important to study the abiotic stress responses to understand the molecular changes in the genetic perspective. According to Hamilton & Baulcombe (1999) RNA interference will be a better tool of choice for better understanding the gene regulation. Axtell & Bowman (2008), reported that micro RNAs (miRNA) are the small RNAs which regulate the pre and post transcriptional modifications in protein synthesis during abiotic stress.

Plants have adopted various mechanisms to confront the unfavorable environmental stresses by regulating their gene expressions (Shukla et al., 2008). Insilico analysis of microRNAs and their target gene of stress induced Arabidopsis thaliana, and miRNA cloning had produced a new set of miRNAs that were not seen in the plants grown in stress-free conditions (Jones-Rhoades & Bartel, 2004). By analyzing the complex miRNA-directed stress regulatory responses can provide new path for the development of stress tolerant plants (Wei et al., 2009). This present review outlines the association of miRNAs with abiotic stress.

2 Biogenesis and Mechanism of Action of Plant Micro RNA

Phillips et al. (2007) explained that plant micro RNAs are non coding RNAs, which consists of 20-24 nucleotides which encoded by miRNA genes. These genes can be transcribed into primary miRNAs by RNA polymerase II which can serve as miRNA precursors. This is a self-complementary and behaves like an imperfect double stranded structure. Dicer like (DCL) protein will produce the mature miRNA duplex from the precursor miRNA. Further, Chen (2005) reported that ARGONAUTE (AGO) protein degrades a single strand of the duplex which directs the AGO protein to recognize its perfect complementary nearby (target miRNA). This RNA associated with many actions like gene silencing which produces silencing complex (RISC), inhibits the protein synthesis by interfering in post translational modifications (Lanet et al., 2009).

3 Role of MicroRNAs in Plant Abiotic Stress Responses

Khraiwesh et al. (2012) suggested that every organism will try for survival; similarly, plants struggle to overcome the various biotic and abiotic stress conditions by gene regulations through different pathways. To combat these stress either they change the nature of gene regulation by over expression or suppression or sometimes by producing new miRNAs (Olejniczak et al., 2017). Sunkar & Zhu (2004) reports suggested that Micro RNA profiling and microarray experiments of various models including A. thaliana explains the role of miRNAs in the stress conditions; some drought responsive miRNAs such as miR156, miR158, miR159, miR165, miR167, miR168, miR169, miR171, miR319, miR393, miR394 and miR397 were well identified in stress management (Sunkar et al., 2004). Salt shocked maize roots had down-regulated miR156, miR164, miR167, and miR396 families and upregulated miR162, miR168, miR395 and miR474 families (Wei et al., 2009; Ding et al., 2009).

Micro RNAs can target multiple genes in a family but expression of specific target genes depends on the specific stress conditions (Sunkar et al., 2007; Sunkar et al., 2012). In case of maize plant, the miRNAs in high salinity shocks leads to the changes in transcription those are involved in various physiological and metabolic processes like organ formation, plant development and stress regulation (Jones-Rhoades et al., 2006). F-box auxin receptors like TIR1 (Transport Inhibitor Response Protein 1)/AFB (Auxin Signaling F-box Protein) were upregulated during drought stress in many plants such as Arabidopsis and rice (Chen et al., 2011). Deep gene sequencing of rice panicle in cold stress suppressed that the expression of miR1425 which targets genes of RNA binding proteins that encoding Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins. Which have several functions like RNA stability, RNA splicing and RNA editing (Delannoy et al., 2007). Sunkar et al., (2006) reported that during oxidative stress gene transcripts CSD1, CSD2 and CSD3 that encodes for the Cu-Zn SODs were accumulated due to the down-regulation of miR398. Since, the superoxides cause oxidative damage to the plants which must be transformed into non toxic metabolites by ROS scavenging enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD).

4 Identification and Target Prediction of Plant MiRNAs

Due to the complex nature of miRNAs like methylation, multiple occurrences and small size, many sophisticated attempts in cloning methodologies have been adopted for the identification of miRNAs in plants, which resulted in various archives and databases for miRNAs (Tripathi et al., 2015). The miRBase contains 28,645 hair pin precursor miRNAs which express 35,828 mature miRNA products in 223 species including 73 plant species with 7057 miRNA loci (Kozomara & Griffiths-Jones, 2014).
miRNAPEST provides computational predictions and high-throughput sequencing results of miRNA data and now it contains more than 270 plant species and 22 virus miRNAs including 2041 degradome data (Szczesniak et al., 2012). PMRD and PNRD represent plant miRNA sequences coupled with their targets. It consists of more than 8400 entries from around 120 plant species (Yi et al., 2015). The TAPIR uses two modes for predicting plant miRNAs, “Fast” mode and “Precise” mode (Bonnet et al., 2004). Evers et al. (2015) and Shiram et al. (2016) mentioned that there is a public access tool based on the functional similarity network for identification of plant-miRNA precursors, through application of transductive multi-label classification which is adaptable to heterogeneous and complex precursor populations.

5 Abiotic Stress Induced Plant MiRNAs

5.1 MiRNAs in response to drought stress

Aravind et al. (2017) explained about the structural and functional characterization of drought associated miRNAs in maize lead to the identification of 13 drought-associated miRNA families with 65 members which regulates 42 target miRNAs. The miR166 (Squamosa promoter-binding-like proteins) and miR395 (Growth-regulating factors) families have expressed with various family specific targets as high as 14 miRNAs in each family. But, zma-miR160, zma-miR390, zma-miR393, and zma-miR2275 expressed a single target only. The target miRNAs has coupled with NAC, GAMYB and HD-Zip which are few drought-related transcription factors. In drought tolerant maize (HKI-1532) it is observed that 35% of miRNAs were up-regulated and in drought sensitive maize (V-372) 31% of miRNAs were up-regulated (Aravind et al., 2017). Moreover, the target miRNAs was up regulated in HKI-1532 by 14.2% and in V-372 it is 2.38% only. Interestingly, the expression patterns of miRNA-target miRNA pairs for HKI-1532 showed 46 Type I, 13 Type II, and 23 Type III patterns, but, V-372 displayed Type IV interactions (151). In the stress tolerant maize, the fundamental and essential biological functions like endosperm expression, auxin & light responsive pathway and ABA signaling were maintained by less accumulation and down regulation of few miRNAs.

5.2 MiRNAs in response to salinity stress

Role of miRNAs in management of salinity stress was also recognized by Yang et al. (2014). In addition to the synthesis of proteins, translation IFs plays various other important roles in plants. Translational initiation factors like IF1 can help the plant to tolerate the abiotic stress responses through various mechanisms. Tamarix hispida roots and stems have expressed IF1A after 6 and 48 hours of salinity shock respectively. This salinity resistant nature was adopted by regulating the biosynthesis of proline which is an osmolytic in salinity stress.

This accumulation is caused by the up regulation of Pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (PSCS isofrom 1) (GRMZM2G375504) related translation (Strizov et al., 1997). In salt stress few miRNAs, such as mir_250 vs. glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and DNA (cytosine- 5-)-methyltransferase, mir_316 vs. gibberellin receptor (GID1), mir_330 vs. casein kinase II subunit alpha (CK2a) and cysteine proteinase inhibitor, and mir_316 vs. sucrase-phosphatase-1 (SPP1) in leaves, roots, or both were trans-regulated (Fu et al., 2017). Proline dehydrogenase targeted genes like miR474 have been identified to be up regulated in abiotic stress like drought in maize (Wei et al., 2009).

5.3 miRNAs in response to hypoxia

Hypoxia or anaerobic conditions altered the expressions of 39 miRNAs in maize. Auxin response factor, SCL, HD-ZIP and the WRKY domain proteins were accumulated because of the induction of various miRNAs (zma-miRNA166, zma-miRNA167, zma-miRNA171 and osa-miRNA396) at four different submergence time points in the early submergence phases.

Moreover, few miRNAs (zma-miR159, ath-miR395-like, ptc-miR474-like and osa-miR528) have been reduced at early submergence phase but induced after one day of submergence. All the miRNA targets involve in carbohydrate and energy metabolism and they possess the cis-acting element, which is necessary for the hypoxia or hormone induction (Zhang et al., 2008).

5.4 MiRNAs in response to radiation

Shen et al. (2015) reported that UV-B radiation in the seedlings of maize leads to the increased levels of anthocyanin and phenol. UV-B radiation causes severe membrane damage leads to lipid peroxidation and osmolytic leakage. Among the 17 UV-B-responsive miRNAs in irradiated maize, seven miRNAs (miR164, miR165, miR166, and miR398) were up regulated by UV-B, and 10 miRNAs (miR164, miR165, miR166, and miR398) were down regulated. Some miRNAs like miR156, miR171, and miR172 can switch various growth stages of the plant life cycle and some miRNAs like miR156, miR171, and miR172 will regulate these transitions (Casati, 2013).

Conclusion

From the current literature, it can be perceived that the numerous efforts of the scientists exemplified the mechanisms associated with plant abiotic stress response. To swamp the future problems, it is necessary to produce new breeds and stress tolerant crops. The continuous research on the identification of small RNAs and protein-coding genes for abiotic stress, few researches are available about the exact roles of these miRNAs. Though much advancement like computer aided sequencing technologies and
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databases are available, this area is still in infant stage. The aberrant expressions of miRNAs, especially which are associated in abiotic stress are extremely important in order to develop drought tolerant and genetically improved plants. It is obvious from the past that there is a need to explore the new targets to develop agriculturally important crop varieties. Development of artificial miRNAs is also an important approach for designing stress tolerated crops. It is also important to investigate the reason for stress response. The response may be due to genotypic changes or abiotic stress. This can be affirmed by large scale models using different plant species. It is observed that miRNAs can be modified in the cascade of biosynthesis. It is very important to identify whether the modifications like truncations, nucleoside substitution and addition are associated with the abiotic stress or not for better understanding of the stress response.
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