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Abstract

This paper presents a large corpus created from the original Quranic text, where semantically similar or related verses are linked together. This corpus will be a valuable evaluation resource for computational linguists investigating similarity and relatedness in short texts. Furthermore, this dataset can be used for evaluation of paraphrase analysis and machine translation tasks. Our dataset is characterised by: (1) superior quality of relatedness assignment; as we have incorporated relations marked by well-known domain experts, this dataset could thus be considered a gold standard corpus for various evaluation tasks, (2) the size of our dataset; over 7,600 pairs of related verses are collected from scholarly sources with several levels of degree of relatedness. This dataset could be extended to over 13,500 pairs of related verses observing the commutative property of strongly related pairs. This dataset was incorporated into online query pages where users can visualize for a given verse a network of all directly and indirectly related verses. Empirical experiments showed that only 33% of related pairs shared root words, emphasising the need to go beyond common lexical matching methods, and incorporate -in addition- semantic, domain knowledge, and other corpus-based approaches.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Text Relatedness

The ability to quantify computationally semantic relatedness of natural language short texts has many interesting applications such as: words sense disambiguation, information extraction and retrieval, automatic indexing, lexical selection, text summarization, automatic correction of word errors, and word and text clustering. Although this task is complex computationally, humans routinely perform semantic relatedness tasks readily both at word level, e.g., between words “cat” and “mouse”, or at phrase and text level, e.g., between “drafting a letter” and “writing an email message”. This task has been fairly natural for humans because they can associate a huge amount of background experience and external domain concepts, whereas computational methods lack this smart association mechanism with related external sources.

This paper describes a corpus of short texts marked with relatedness information judged by human domain expert. The degree of relatedness between texts in this corpus varies greatly: although there are instances where lexical matching is evident between the terms in a pair of related texts, the majority of instances require deep semantic analysis and domain specific world knowledge in order to relate the two texts in the pair.

Our objective in collecting this dataset is to provide evaluation and training – and perhaps gold-standard-resource for researchers in the field of computational semantic similarity and relatedness analysis in natural language texts. We chose the Quran for this purpose as it portrays the diverse ways in which scattered verses are related. More elaboration on this is given in the next sub-section.

1.2 Why this text?

The Quran is a scripture which is according to Muslims verbatim words of Allah containing over 77,000 words revealed through Archangel Gabriel to Prophet Muhammad over 23 years beginning in 610 CE. It is divided into 114 chapters of varying sizes, where each chapter is divided into verses, adding up to a total of 6,243 verses.

We chose the Quran for creation of this language evaluation resource for several reasons:

1. Distribution of a particular concept or subject over many scattered verses within different chapters is very evident in the Quran. Often a concept summarized in one verse is elaborated in another verse. Historical events, stories of prophets, emphasis on a command, attributes and qualities of Gods, description of paradise and hell fire, are some of the common subjects that are often repeated in the Quran. However, each repetition adds new meanings absent in other instances, and the overall subject could be fully understood when all instances are taken into consideration. This property of the Quran made it an attractive text for the purpose of analyzing semantic relatedness between short texts, which in our case are between individual verses, or a group of verses of the Quran. We will discuss some types of relatedness in the next section.

2. The Quranic scripture is a widely used and cited document that guides the lives of over 1.5 Muslim adherents today. Increasingly non-Arabic speaking Muslims –and many non-Muslims- learn classical Arabic with the objective of understanding the Quran. For Arabic speakers, the Quran is considered to be the finest piece of literature in the Arabic Language. Producing language evaluation resources for computational analysis of such an important text should be well justified. Moreover, there have been a number of language resources around other spiritual scriptures like the Bible (Resnik et al., 1999).
3. Classical Arabic text is the form of Arabic language used in literary texts authored by early Arabic scholars mainly in the 6th through 10th century. The Quran is considered to be the highest form of classical Arabic text and has been extensively analyzed and cited in linguistic scholarly works since the 7th century. In contrast to other languages, the total body of Arabic texts published during this classical period is as large as modern corpora of Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) - the form used in contemporary scholarly published works as well as in the media- and other modern languages. MSA does not differ from Classical Arabic in morphology or syntax, but richness of stylistic and lexical usage is apparent in Classical works. This makes Classical Arabic subsume MSA making computational and linguistic research work on CA benefit both. However, most recent work on Arabic corpus annotation concentrated on MSA, as a result barring the computational corpus linguistic community from efficient study of a large body of materials available in Classical Arabic.

4. Being a central text in Arabic, over the past 14 centuries a huge body of scholarly commentary volumes has been compiled elaborating on linguistic, stylistic, semantic and other aspects of the Quran. This makes the task of compiling evaluation datasets and annotated corpora on the Quran simpler; as it is usually possible to find scholarly comments on any difficult annotation question. In our QurSim related-verse dataset, we relied on the Quranic commentary work of Ibn Kathir - a well-known Quranic scholar who died in 1373 CE. More elaboration on this text in given in section 2.2.

5. The Quran is widely available translated into almost all live languages of the world, and in many cases there are multiple translations within one language. Among these translations a number of them are also available in machine readable electronic format in the web. All translations maintain chapter and verse numbers as available in the original text, allowing alignment between these translations at equivalent to sentence level. Moreover, to be faithful to the words of God, all translations are made very carefully. Given this fact, any language evaluation resource in the source language of the Quran could be used to evaluate computational tasks on the target language translations as well.

6. The size of the Quran is manageable for manual or semi-automated annotation tasks. Given that the Arabic language still lacks many NLP resources available for a language like English (e.g., taggers, parsers, Wordnet, frameNet, etc.), developing a manually annotated language resource on a small scale like the Quran text could be a good starting point. A case in point is the Quranic Arabic Corpus (QAC) project (Dukes et al 2010), where every word of the Quran is tagged with morphological, part-of-speech and syntactic information, and is publicly available for research purposes. Another available resource is QurAna, a pronominal anaphora of the entire Quran tagged with pronoun antecedent references (Sharaf & Atwell, 2012). Our QurSim dataset, along with these other available resources on the Quran, will enable interesting computational linguistic applications on the Quran which in turn will eventually motivate wider applications and resource development for Classical and Modern Standard Arabic.

1.3 Text relatedness in the Quran

The Quran has testified that God has deliberately split information within this book and that its verses are semantically paired. Verses 17:106 and 39:23 say respectively:

وَقَرَأَ فَقَرَآتَ عَلَى النَّاسِ عَلَى مَكْتُوبٍ وَزَرَّآتَ تنْزِيلًا

And the Quran / We have divided, / that you might recite it / to / the people / at / intervals. / And We have revealed it / (in) stages. / And [it is] a Qur'an which We have separated [by intervals] that you might recite it to the people over a prolonged period. And We have sent it down progressively.

اللهُ نَزَّلَ أَحْسُنَ الْحَدِيثِ كَانَتْ سَرَابًا

Allah / has revealed / (the) best / (of) [the] statement - / a Book / (its parts) resembling each other / oft-repeated. / Allah hath (now) revealed the fairest of statements, a Scripture consistent, (wherein promises of reward are) paired (with threats of punishment).

In what follows, we discuss some examples to illustrate various forms of relatedness among Quranic verses.

1. Both the following two related verses describe the fate of mountains at the end of times:

وَسَبَّتَ الْجَبَلَ فَكَانَتْ سَرَابًا

And are moved / the mountains / and become / a mirage. /

And the hills are set in motion and become as a mirage. [78:20]

وَوَتَكُونُ الْجَبَلُ كَالْمُنْفُوشَ

And will be / the mountains / like wool, / fluffed up/ /And the mountains will become as carded wool.[101:5]

2. In the following pair of verses, the first verse uses an Arabic verb that could bear two meanings (arrive, or depart) and the second verse resolves the ambiguity (author’s addition within square brackets):

وَالَّذِينَ إِذْ غَسَلُوا

And the night / when / it departs, /

And the close [arrival or departure] of night. [81:17]

وَالَّذِينَ إِذْ أَنْزَلَ

And the night / when / it departs /

And the night when it withdrawal [74:33]

3 http://textminingthequran.com/wiki

4 We give the Arabic text with English word-by-word translation available at http://corpus.quran.com followed by Pickthall translation available at http://quran.com
3. In the following pair of verses, the first indicates indefinite “words of revelation” which are explicitly mentioned in the second verse:

فَقَالَ لَا رَبِّنَا طلَّبْنا أَنْفُسَنَا وَإِنْ لَمْ تَفْغِرْ لَنَا وَتَرْجَحْنَا لِكُونَنَّ مِنَ الْخَاسِرِينَ

Then received / Adam / from / his Lord / words / of revelation, and He relented toward him. [2:37]

4. Finally, in the following pair, the term “Lord of the Worlds” in explained in the second verse, as “Lord of the heavens and the earth and all that is between them”:

فِي خَلْقِهَا لَمْ يُؤْمِنُوا وَقَالُوا لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا نَمَزَاهُ مِنَ الْخَاسِرِينَ

All praises and thanks / (be) to Allah, / the Lord / of the universe /

Praise be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds. [1:2]

Then Adam received from his Lord words (of revelation), and He relented toward him. [2:37]

The compilation process

2. Compilation Process

2.1 Previous Datasets

There have been a number of data sources used previously for computational analysis of paraphrased texts and sentence similarity. The Multiple-Translation Chinese Corpus (Huang et al. 2002) contains 11 English translations of 105 news stories in Mandarin Chinese, which amounts to 993 sentences. (Li et al. 2006) produced a dataset comprising 65 pairs of sentences with similarity scores from 32 human judges. Microsoft Research released a corpus of paraphrased text containing 5801 pairs of sentences collected from various news sources (Dolan et al. 2004). Among this set, 3,900 pairs are considered “semantically equivalent” by human judges. Each pair has been visited by least two annotators with an average agreement rate of 83%.

As for similarity and relatedness at word level where human judges provided scores we can cite: (Rubenstein & Goodenough, 1965) provided a dataset of 65 word pairs, (Miller & Charles, 1991) provided a dataset of 30 word-pairs, and (Finkelstein et al., 2002) with 353 word pairs. Experiments in word relatedness often use datasets from SAT test questions where the most related word pairs need to be selected from five candidates.

2.2 Ibn Kathir’s Tafsir

Ismail Ibn Kathir was a Muslim scholar who died in 1373 CE, well known for his classic book of Quran commentary (or Tafsir in Arabic). This book is one of the most widely cited commentaries of the Quran. Ibn Kathir followed a regular methodology when commenting on a verse, which he made clear in the introduction of his book. Firstly, he discusses other related verses explaining the current verse. Often, when a certain verse covers a subject briefly, there might be many other verses that cover other aspects of this subject; see the examples in section 1.3 above. Secondly, he refers to traditions and saying of the Prophet Muhammad (i.e., Hadith). Thirdly, he cites opinions of Sahabah (i.e., companions of the Prophet) on this verse, especially those who are well known for their knowledge of the Quran like Ibn Abbas and Ibn Masoud.

We exploited this methodology for the purpose of creating a dataset of related verses. We understand that Ibn Kathir never claimed to exhaustively cite all related verses when commenting on a particular verse, nor did he always observe the commutative property of relatedness, i.e., if verse x was cited while commenting on verse y, then x should also appear at the commentary page of verse y. These observations allowed us to expand the original list of related verses beyond what is found in Ibn Kathir.

2.3 Dataset Compilation

Tafsir Ibn Kathir is available online at several websites. We chose the online version available at the official website of the King Fahd Complex for the Printing of the Holy Qur’an. After observing the structured format used in this site for displaying this Tafsir, we developed scripts to extract verse pairs automatically. Our script automatically retrieved the URL of a given verse and extracted the chapter and verse numbers of all other verses mentioned in the context of a given verse.

After the initial compilation of the dataset, manual

---

5 http://qurancomplex.com
intervention was necessary to clean up a few inconsistencies, as well as to adjust correct pairing of verses, because in the original Ibn Kathir’s Tafsir, a group of verses are discussed at a time, while our dataset contains only verse pairs. Through this process we collected a total of 7,679 pairs of single verses which were then fed into relational database tables using MySQL.

2.3 Dataset filtration and extension

Upon initial investigation of the dataset, we realized that a second manual check was required to filter the dataset further for it to be useful for the intended computational analysis tasks. While commenting on a particular verse, Ibn Kathir’s discussion might lead to a distant topic, making the task of computation of the relatedness almost impossible.

Consider for example, the following pair from our dataset, where no obvious relation is found before reading its context in Ibn Kathir:

Indeed, / Allah / (is) not / ashamed / to / set forth / an example (i.e., level 2) (of) a mosquito / and (even) something / above it. / Then as for / those who / believed, / [thus] they will know / that it / (is) the truth / from / their Lord. / And as for / those who / disbelieved / [thus] they will say / what / (did) intend / Allah / by this / example? / Lo! Allah disdaineth not to coin the similitude even of a gnat. Those who believe know that it is the truth from their Lord; but those who disbelieve say: What doth Allah wish (to teach) by such a similitude? [2:26]

Thus, a second scrutiny of the dataset resulted in assigning two levels of degree of relatedness: level 2 (in total 3,079 pairs) represents strong relations as between verses 78:20 and 20:105, and level 1 (total 3,718 pairs) represents weaker relations as between 78:20 and 20:106 above. Manual filtration of all levels described above was performed by the first author.

We suggest two ways in which this dataset could be extended: (a) for a pair of strongly related verses <x,y> (i.e., level 2) the pair <y,x> should be included if not already in the dataset. (b) Consider a related pair <x,y>, <y,z>, if <z,x> is also strongly related, then both <x,z> and <z,x> could be added as well.

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]

And they ask you / about / the mountains, / so say, / “Will blast them / my Lord / (into) particles. / They will ask thee of the mountains (on that day). Say: My Lord will break them into scattered dust. [20:105]
pair <52:10,78:20>, and both could be added as strongly related verses.

The Quranic Arabic Corpus (QAC) gives the root of each word, so we used this to count the availability of matching lexical roots in all paired verses in our dataset.

The dataset was made available for download as XML file containing the following format\(^6\) (see Appendix):

```xml
<column name="uid">1</column>
<column name="ss">1</column>
<column name="sv">1</column>
<column name="ts">1</column>
<column name="tv">2</column>
<column name="common">0</column>
<column name="relevance">2</column>
```

Denoting that a pair of verses \(<ss:sv, ts:tv\>) has common number of matching lexical roots and are related with a degree of relevance, which could be 0, 1 or 2. The available file contains the original 7,679 pairs, while the extension of the dataset could be made computationally following the logic described above. We kept only reference to chapter and verse numbers since most electronic version of the original Quran text as well as its translations maintain these references.

### 3. Applications using QurSim

#### 3.1 Online Visualization

The QurSim dataset has been captured as MySQL in order to enable web queries and visualization. We have created online query pages\(^7\) where the user inputs a verse number and is returned with both directly and indirectly related verses, in Arabic and English, along with the degree of relatedness and common roots as shown in figure 1. Moreover, thanks to integration with QurAna - pronominal anaphora corpus - (Sharaf & Atwell, 2012), we provided information on concepts as antecedents of pronouns in each verse, as well as a concept cloud (see figure 2) from all verses, given at the end to give the user an idea of the major concepts involved.

Figure 3 shows how our online application enables better visualization of related verses using Dracula Graph Visualization tool\(^8\). Each node represents a verse, and the arrows show the number of common root words between the related verses. We believe these query tools designed using QurSim dataset will benefit Quranic students and researchers alike. With the ability to visualize direct and indirect links of a verse, researchers will be able to relate verses easily and supplement Ibn Kathir’s initial list.

---

\(^6\) Can be downloaded from: http://www.textminingthequran.com/wiki/Verse_relatedness_in_Ibn_Kathir

\(^7\) http://www.textminingthequran.com/apps/similarity.php

\(^8\) http://www.graphdracula.net
3.2 Verse Distance using Vector Space Model

The vector space model is widely used in information retrieval where the distance between the query terms and each document, represented as vectors, is measured by comparing the cosine of the angle between the vectors. We followed the same methodology and considered each verse of the Quran as a separate document.

Each verse then was modeled as term vectors taking roots of the Quran. The Quran has 1,226 unique roots, from these we have kept roots repeated over 2 times, and removed the first 3 most frequent roots. Thus, our vector for each verse contains 758 roots as term indices. Next, in order to assign a weight for each term, we used the term frequency – inverse document frequency (tf-idf) approach, using the following formula adapted from (Sebastiani 2002):

\[
tfidf(t_k, d_j) = \frac{\#(t_k, d_j)}{\sum_{n=1}^{T} (#(t_k, d_j))} \cdot \log \left( \frac{|T|}{\#T(t_k)} \right)
\]

Where \(#(t_k, d_j)\) denotes the number of times the root \(t_k\) occurs in the verse \(d_j\), and \(\# T(t_k)\) denotes the verse frequency of root \(t_k\), that is, the number of verses in the Quran \(T\) in which the root \(t_k\) occurs.

In order for the weights to fall in [0,1] interval and for the vectors to be represented by vectors of equal length, the weights \((w_{kj})\) resulting from \(tfidf\) were normalized according to the following formula for cosine normalization:

\[
w_{kj} = \frac{tfidf(t_k, d_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{T} (tfidf(t_k, d_j))^2}}
\]

To find the distance (or measure of similarity) between two vectors, cosine angle is measured using the formula below, where \(A, B\) denote two verses’ vectors:

\[
similarity = \cos(\theta) = \frac{A \cdot B}{||A|| ||B||} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \times B_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_i)^2} \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (B_i)^2}}
\]

Values are between [0,1], where 0 indicates no similarity, and 1 indicates identical matching. Using the above setup, we have evaluated the 7,679 verse pairs in QurSim and found out that only 428 pairs (6%) produced similarity value above 0.5. This finding confirms the assumption that automatic computation of verse relatedness requires integration with domain specific knowledge sources, and relying on lexical matching only often produces poor results.

Next, to improve this low recall, we considered how to enrich a verse vector with pronoun referents which we developed earlier (Sharaf and Atwell 2012). Instead of constructing vectors for a verse from only that verse’s roots, we augmented this verse’s roots with roots of all other verses that share common antecedent. For example consider verse 27:26 below:

**Nay, / is arrested / their knowledge / of / the Hereafter? / Nay / they / (are) in / doubt / about it. / Nay, / they / (are) blind. / Nay, but doth their knowledge reach to the Hereafter? Nay, for they are in doubt concerning it. Nay, for they cannot see it.**

This verse contains 3 concepts marked by pronoun referents: ‘the polytheists’, ‘those who deny resurrection’ and ‘the world Hereafter’. Therefore, we have augmented the term vector of the verse 27:66 with the terms from all other verses that have any of these three concepts.

The similarity measurement experiment described above was repeated using these improved vectors, and the same dataset was used. While in the early experiment, only 428 pairs showed similarity distance over 0.5, augmenting verses with their concepts showed 869 pairs from the total of 7,679 pairs in our dataset had similarity over 0.5, i.e., over 50% improvement.

4. Challenges

As Quranic verses vary in size we run into two different problems: 1) Those verses that are long may cover several topics and hence, pairing the whole verse with another verse reflects only a partial relation 2) those verses that are very small share with adjacent verses a single topic, and again in this case the one-to-one pairing with another verse is not appropriate. As an example for the first point, consider verses related to verse 2:255 below. This is a relatively long verse containing 10 short sentences covering different aspect of Allah’s attributes and quality.

Ibn Kathir links this verse with 15 different verses.

**The Lord is / (is) / the Ever-Living, / the Sustainer of all that exist / and what / (is) behind them. / And not / He / slumber nor sleep / overtaketh Him. Unto Him / belongeth whatsoever is in the heavens and whatsoever is...**
in the earth. Who is he that intercedeth with Him save by His leave? He knoweth that which is in front of them and that which is behind them, while they encompass nothing of His knowledge save what He will. His throne includeth the heavens and the earth, and He is never weary of preserving them. He is the Sublime, the Tremendous.

As an example on the second point, consider verse 11:97 below. Ibn Kathir referred to six consecutive small verses as related to this verse. Since we paired one single verse with another, in our dataset this relation is represented by six pairs <11:97,79:21>, <11:97, 79:22>, … <11:97,79:26>. Note how the last pair <11:97, 79:26> is very weakly related when taken in isolation.

Computational analysis of text relatedness is a growing research area. The lack of proper evaluation datasets stands as a major obstacle for progress in this field. Because of the availability of machine readable Quran translations in multiple languages, QurSim can potentially contribute in producing quality datasets in multiple languages and with minimum effort.
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Appendix

Start of download file http://www.textminingthequran.com/wiki/File:Kathir-verse-similarity.xml

<!--
* PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE OR CHANGE THIS COPYRIGHT BLOCK
*=====================================================================
* Dataset on Quranic Verse Relatedness from Tafsir Ibn Kathir (version 0.1)
* Copyright (C) 2011 Abdul-Baqee M. Sharaf
* License: GNU Public License
* This dataset lists pairs of verses that have been identified by Ibn Kathir in his Tafsir book. After collecting these pairs, two further passes were made manually to brand degree of relatedness.
* Level '0':
* seems very loosely related and should be understood by looking into the context in the tafsir book.
* Level '1':
* These pairs are understandable by Human reader to be related, but still might be difficult for training learning algorithms
* Level '2':
* These pairs are very much related and might be suitable for training machine learning algorithms.
* TERMS OF USE:
* - Permission is granted to copy and distribute verbatim copies of this file, but CHANGING IT IS NOT ALLOWED.
* - This annotation can be used in any website or application, provided its source (TextMiningTheQuran.com) is clearly indicated.
* - This copyright notice shall be included in all verbatim copies of the text, and shall be reproduced appropriately in all works derived from or containing substantial portion of this file.
* Check updates at (http://TextMiningtheQuran.com)
* USAGE:
* "uid" : incremenatal ID
* "ss" : source chapter number
* "sv" : source verse number
* "ts" : target chapter number
* "tv" : target verse number
* "common" : the number of common root words between the two verses
* "relevance" : the degree of relatedness as explained above
-->
<pma_xml_export version="1.0">
  <database name="related-verses">
    <!-- Table kathir -->
    <table name="kathir">
      <column name="uid">1</column>
      <column name="ss">1</column>
      <column name="sv">1</column>
      <column name="ts">1</column>
      <column name="tv">2</column>
      <column name="common">0</column>
      <column name="relevance">2</column>
    </table>
  </database>
</pma_xml_export>