Introduction

Intertrochanteric fractures in elderly were a major cause of disability. Sedentary life and increased life span increased incidence of these fractures. Trivial trauma in elderly is main cause for IT fracture where as high velocity trauma causes in younger patient.

Females are more prone to these fractures secondary to osteoporosis compared to males. “Approximately 15% to 20% of patients die within 1 year of fracture” [1, 2].

Unstability, osteoporosis and severe medical comorbidities in senile patient treatment is perilous. The spectra of treatment modalities starting from conservative to surgical intervention such as, advanced internal fixation have been employed since ages. But the problems remains an enigma unsolved till today. Before 1960 IT fractures treated conservatively, which resulted “As conservative methods resulted in advanced mortality rates and complications like decubitus ulcer, urinary tract infections, pneumonia, thromboembolic complications”. “Intertrochanteric fractures with severe displacement and comminution are common in elderly patients. These patients have a poor bone quality and the fractures are often associated with complications such as nonunion, metal failure and femoral head perforation” [3, 4].

“The primary treatment goal is a stable fixation, early mobilization and immediate full-weight-bearing” [5]. Osteosynthesis gives good results in stable intertrochanteric fractures where as in unstable intertrochanteric fracture is challenging, with predictable good results, whereas the management of unstable intertrochanteric fractures is challenging, due to poor bone quality. “The comminuted intertrochanteric fractures being in cancellous area, fixation of all fragments
is difficult. The posteromedial void is generally present which makes the fracture very unstable" [6]. “Recent modality of fixation of these fractures is by 4th generation of intramedullary nails like the proximal femoral nails” [7] immobilisation is required even in this implants. “Management of such cases with primary hemiarthroplasty permits early mobilization, thus avoiding most complications” [8] such patient are mobilised early. Hemiarthroplasty has advantage of rapid return of function without pain. “Hemiarthroplasty for intertrochanteric fractures has been described as early as 1973. Rosenfeld first introduced it by devising a prosthesis for head and neck replacement in trochanteric fractures, detailed the method of surgery and reported a good functional outcome. Studies have revealed that hemiarthroplasty in unstable IT fractures have given good results” [9, 10].

Methodology
Source of data
Data collected from patients presenting with unstable intertrochanteric fractures satisfying inclusion and exclusion criteria in B L D E University’s shri B.M. Patil Medical College and Research Center who are treated with Cemented Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty.

Inclusion criteria
1. Patient with age group >60 years of either sexes who are able to walk before injury
2. Intertrochanteric fracture classified as unstable fracture according to Boyd and Griffin classification (type II, III, IV).

Exclusion Criteria
1. Polytrauma patients.
2. Patient <60 years of age.
3. Compound intertrochanteric fractures.
4. Patients medically unfit for surgery.
5. Patients with immunocompromised status.

Results
Thirty one patients were enrolled in this study of them 26 sustained fractures after fall from a standing height, while 5 patients sustained road traffic accidents. The average age at surgery was 73.84 years (range, 65-90 years). There were 13 men and 18 women. The mean operative time was 100±10 minutes. There were two cases of superficial infection and one death.

Table 1: Functional results according to Harris hip score

| Functional outcome | No. of Patients | %     |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Excellent          | 9               | 29.0  |
| Fair               | 8               | 25.8  |
| Good               | 10              | 32.3  |
| Poor               | 3               | 9.7   |
| Death              | 1               | 3.1   |
| Total              | 31              | 100.0 |

Fig 1: Functional Results According To Harris Hip Score

Discussion
The treatment of intertrochanteric fracture is still associated with some failures. High stress concentration that is subject to multiple deforming forces and high incidence of complications reported after surgical treatment compels the surgeon to give a second thought regarding selection of proper implant. A large number of fixation implants has been devised and discarded. The treatment still merits the type of fracture and condition of the patient. Displaced, unstable, posteromedial comminuted intertrochanteric fracture in osteoporotic elderly patient is not easy to treat. Hemiarthroplasty has been used for unstable intertrochanteric fractures since 1971 [11] however less frequently as compared to femoral neck fractures [12]. Its initial use was as a salvage procedure for failed pinning or other complications [13]. Tronzo claimed to be the first to use long, straight-stemmed prosthesis for the primary treatment of intertrochanteric fractures [14]. Rosenfeld, Schwartz, and Alter reported good results with the use of the Leinbach prosthesis [15]. Since then there are multiple studies showing good results using this technique.
Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty having less complications than in unipolar implants like- loosening, dislocation, protrusion, and acetabular wear. Due to dual bearing surfaces in prosthesis good advantages such as sharing of the motion at the two surfaces and hence, it reduces the net wear at either surface, thus reducing erosion at the acetabular joint interface. In addition, the total range of motions at the joint is increased. In wide femoral canal Cemented fixation gives the implant good stability. An unstable intertrochanteric fractures, allowed early walking with full weight bearing and helped the patients to return to prefracture level of activity rapidly, preventing complications such as pressure sores, pneumonia, atelectasis and pseudoarthrosis”.

Fig 2: Intraop picture of Bipolar Prosthesis

Fig 3: Greater Trochanter Reconstruction with Ethibond Sutures

Fig 4: Pre-Operative 1

Fig 5: Post-Operative 1

Fig 6: Pre-operative 2

Fig 7: Post-Operative 2

Fig 8: Flexion and Abduction on Post Op Day 3
Intertrochanteric fractures of femur are very common among old age patients, females being more commonly affected. The most common mode of injury is domestic fall. According to our results, we believe that Cemented Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty is of choice in freely mobile elderly patients above sixty years of age with an intertrochanteric femoral fracture. In elderly patients with intertrochanteric fractures of the femur treated with hemiarthroplasty gave early mobilization, early return to pre injury level, superior the quality of life and gave a long term solution. Postoperative early full weight bearing after Hemiarthroplasty avoids long-term immobilization, rehabilitation, deformities and need for revision surgeries.
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