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Unusual Location of Pulp Glomus Tumor: A Case Study and Literature Review

Hatan Mortada, MBBS*† Razan AlRabah, Medical Student‡ Abdullah E. Kattan, MBBS, FRCS* Summary: Glomus tumors are painful, benign tumors that develop from the glomus bodies. They account for less than 1% of tumors in hand, and less than 10% present in the pulp of the digits. Cold hypersensitivity, increased pinprick sensitivity, and paroxysmal pain are common glomus tumor symptoms. We describe a 27-year-old man who came with pain in the right little digit, confined to the pulp for 10 years. The tip of the finger was extremely sensitive to touch, and the pain worsened in a cold atmosphere. Upon palpation, no mass was recognized. There was pinpoint tenderness within the distal volar pulp of the little finger. MRI with a contrast of the right little digit showed a 2-mm enhancing lesion in the tip of the little finger. An incision was done over the volar plane of the little finger, removing the tumor bluntly. The tumor was found to be a glomus tumor after histologic evaluation. Glomus tumors of the volar pulp are notoriously hard to detect. Hence, the presence of localized pain in the volar tip for the finger should raise suspicion of the diagnosis of a glomus tumor, and surgical removal should be offered to relieve symptoms and avoid recurrence. 

CASE PRESENTATION

We describe a 27-year-old, right-handed man, not known to have any chronic medical conditions. He complained of recurrent, on and off discomfort on the ulnar aspect of the pulp of the right little finger, for 10 years. The patient also complained of cold hypersensitivity and that direct pressure causes severe pain in the same region. During the physical examination, a normal-appearing little finger with a complete range of motion and no neurological abnormalities was discovered. There were no physical findings (no visible masses or skin changes). There was pinpoint tenderness within the distal volar pulp of the little finger. MRI with contrast showed a lesion within the tip of the little finger measuring 2 mm, showing T1 low signal, T2 high signal with postcontrast enhancement. The mass was excised through a 1 cm volar incision centered over the maximum tenderness point. A small lesion was noticed volar to the distal part of the little finger. After meticulous dissection to avoid causing harm to the nearby structures, complete removal was accomplished.

After the surgery, the pain was entirely resolved, and the pain was absent at the 6-month follow-up. The tumor was well-circumscribed, yellowish, and 2 mm in diameter. The discrete, pseudo-encapsulated lesion consisted of a sheet of homogenous, relatively small, round to ovoid

Glomus tumors are benign, vascular neoplasms that make about 1%–5% of all soft tissue tumors in the hand.1 Studies have reported glomus tumors on the digit in different locations such as subungual, paraungual, palmar-radial, palmar-ulnar, and pulp.1 There is no difference in the incidence of glomus tumors between women and men. However, subungual glomus tumors are most seen in women.2 Cold hypersensitivity, paroxysmal pain, and localized discomfort are the typical triad of symptoms associated with glomus tumors.1 A previous case study of a volar pulp location of a glomus tumor in an elderly patient has been mentioned in the literature.3 Hence, this case report describes an unusual, but not unique, location of the pulp glomus tumor of the little finger and a review of the literature.
cells (Fig. 3). The presence of tumoral smooth muscle actin in immunohistochemistry confirmed the diagnosis of a glomus tumor.

**DISCUSSION**

Glomus tumors arise from the glomus body, a contractile neuromyoarterial that controls peripheral blood flow and temperature. The location of the glomus tumors can widely vary. The subungual region contains more glomus bodies; therefore, 75%–90% of glomus tumors arise there. In our case, the tumor’s location was on the distal pulp of the right little finger, which is an uncommon location of glomus tumors on the digits.

Table 1 summarizes the literature review on glomus tumors of the digits. Glomus tumors were most seen in middle-aged women. Moreover, Saaiq et al reported the largest size range of 2–11 mm. In our case, the tumor’s size was 2 mm. Glomus tumors of the digits tend to be smaller in diameter, rendering the diagnosis upon palpation only and resulting in symptoms and pain out of proportion. MRI is the most used modality due to its sensitivity in detecting glomus tumors. Therefore, when glomus tumors are suspected, MRI with contrast is encouraged.

Furthermore, studies about glomus tumors have noted a long duration of symptoms before the diagnosis is made. Bhaskaranand et al reported the lowest average duration of symptoms (1.9 years), and Takata et al reported the highest average duration of symptoms (7.8 years). In the case we presented, the patient complained of symptoms for 10 years.

Glomus tumor diagnosis mainly depends on the clinical presentation with the classic triad of symptoms: hypersensitivity to cold, paroxysmal pain, and localized tenderness. The classical triad was consistent with our patient, who complained of on and off finger pain, sensitivity to low temperature, and severe pain by direct pressure. Other symptoms may be present such as blue discoloration, nail deformity, and a palpable or visible mass.

There are bedside tests that can help in the diagnosis. The first test is the love pin test, where pressure is applied to the suspected area with a pinhead. The area in which the glomus tumor is located will be in intense pain. The second test, Hildreth’s test, applies a tourniquet on the
We describe a glomus tumor that developed in an unusual location on the volar pulp of the little finger, causing long-term pain and sensitivity to touch for 10 years. Because of the ambiguous symptoms and difficulty in diagnosing the tumor, the patient was referred for a second opinion. The diagnosis was confirmed with a skin biopsy, and the patient underwent surgical excision. The excision was performed under local anesthesia, and the patient had a uneventful recovery. The histological examination confirmed the diagnosis of a glomus tumor.

CONCLUSIONS

We describe a glomus tumor that developed in an unusual location on the volar pulp of the little finger, causing long-term pain and sensitivity to touch for 10 years. Because of the ambiguous symptoms and difficulty in diagnosing the tumor, the patient was referred for a second opinion. The diagnosis was confirmed with a skin biopsy, and the patient underwent surgical excision. The excision was performed under local anesthesia, and the patient had a uneventful recovery. The histological examination confirmed the diagnosis of a glomus tumor.

Table 1. Review of the Literature on Glomus Tumors on the Digit

| First Author     | Study Type               | Sample Size | Gender | Age (y) | Size (mm) | Duration of the Symptoms (y) | Location | Diagnosis                  | Complications |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Nazerani et al   | Case series              | 8           | 3      | 5       | 38.5 (25-58) | <6 (n = 7)            | Ulnar side (n = 3) Radial side (n = 5) | MRI and x-ray (n = 8) | n = 2 n = 3 |
| Hamdi            | Retrospective cohort     | 8           | 3      | 5       | 40 (23-61)   | 2-6                       | Subungual (n = 6) Pulp (n = 2) | MRI and x-ray (n = 8) | n = 0 n = 0 |
| Tomak et al      | Case series              | 14          | 4      | 10      | 46 (28-65)   | 3-4                      | Subungual (n = 14) | MRI (n = 4) | n = 2 n = 3 |
| Fujioka et al    | Case series              | 4           | 2      | 2       | 39 (25-51)   | —                        | MRI (n = 5)        | —             | n = 0 n = 0 |
| Takata et al     | Retrospective cohort     | 30          | 7      | 23      | 42 (10-78)   | 7.8                      | Subungual (n = 50) | MRI (n = 30) | n = 0 n = 0 |
| Bhaskaranand     | Case series              | 18          | 11     | 7       | 31 (16-51)   | 1.9 (0.1-5)              | Subungual (n = 7) Paraungual (n = 4) | X-ray (n = 2) MRI (n = 1) | n = 0 n = 0 |
| and Navadgi     |                          |             |        |         |            |                          |                      |                           |               |
| Saaiq            | Case series              | 17          | 5      | 12      | 41.1 (27-62) | 2-11                      | Subungual (n = 14) Volar pulp (n = 5) | MRI (n = 17) | n = 0 n = 0 |
| Lin et al        | Retrospective cohort     | 75          | 17     | 58      | 41.2 (15-75) | 2-8                       | Nail matrix (n = 30) Volar (n = 29) | X-ray (n = 15) MRI (n = 2) US (n = 4) MRI (n = 2) | n = 0 n = 0 |
|                  |                          |             |        |         |            |                          |                      |                           |               |

Fig. 3. Histology findings. Photomicrograph showing clusters of oval-shaped perivascular glomus cells surrounding blood vessels (arrow). H/E stain X600. Photomicrograph showing clusters of oval-shaped perivascular glomus cells surrounding blood vessels. H/E stain X600.
Fig. 4. Different methods of surgical approach for digital glomus tumor excision. A, Transungal method. B, Lateral subperiosteal method. C, Volar method.
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