Controlled gelation kinetics of cucurbit[7]uril-adamantane cross-linked supramolecular hydrogels with competing guest molecules
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Gelation kinetics of hydrogels is closely linked to many applications such as the development of injectable and printable hydrogels. However, the control of gelation kinetics without compromising the structure and other properties of the hydrogels remains a challenge. Here, we demonstrate a method to control the gelation kinetics of cucurbit[7]uril-adamantane (CB[7]-AD) cross-linked supramolecular hydrogels by using competing guest molecules. The association between CB[7] and AD moieties on the polymer backbone was impeded by pre-occupying the CB[7] cavity with competing guest molecules. By using various guest molecules and concentrations, the gelation of the hydrogels could be varied from seconds to hours. The strong interaction of CB[7]-AD pair endue the hydrogels good mechanical properties and stability. Moreover, the binding of functionalized guest molecules of CB[7] moieties offers a facile approach for tailoring of the hydrogels’ scaffold. Combined with hydrogel injection and printing technology, this method offers an approach for the development of hydrogels with advanced temporal and spatial complexity.

The development of gelation process with desired control is a major goal of hydrogel technology. Many applications such as the development of injectable and printable hydrogels are closely linked to this characteristic1–3. In order to meet this goal, gelation mechanisms that are based on physical changes including temperature4–5, ionic strength6,7, pH value8, shear force9, and chemical reactions such as the Schiff base formation10,11, disulfide bond formation12, Michael addition13 and photopolymerization14–16 have been explored17. However, it remains a challenge to have effective control over gelation kinetics without compromising the structure and other properties of hydrogels. Although the gelation of hydrogels based on physical changes is triggered by external stimuli, their gelation usually proceeds through a sharp transition when the corresponding external stimuli are applied4–8. Control over the gelation kinetics of hydrogels based on chemical reactions is plausible. The gelation kinetics varies based on the composition of gelators. Factors including the concentration and the functionalization degree of polymer precursors and the concentration of cross-linkers determine the gelation kinetics. However, the structure and properties of hydrogels (e.g. mechanical strength) will change following the change of composition18,19.

Combined with the trigger of light, photopolymerization is able to offer controllable gelation kinetics of hydrogels; although its application is limited to the availability of UV light14–16. To date, there is unmet need for gelation mechanisms with controllable gelation kinetics which are independent of other properties.

The transient inherence of non-covalent interactions offers possibility in controlling the gelation kinetics of supramolecular polymeric hydrogels from the molecular scale. The fast association of non-covalently complementary pairs can be impeded by pre-saturation of one moiety with weaker competing molecules20–24. The additional dissociation process of the weaker complementary pair before the formation of the desired complementary pair is attributed to this impedance20–23. The degree of the slowing is determined by the competing molecules and their concentrations. Based on the control of non-covalent interactions, the gelation kinetics of supramolecular hydrogels with corresponding non-covalent crosslinks could also be controllable. Moreover, the non-covalent interactions...
Cucurbiturils (CBs) are ideal host molecules to demonstrate the idea that control the gelation kinetics of supramolecular hydrogels using competing guest molecules. Consisting of methylene bridged glycolurils, these pumpkin shaped, rigid molecules demonstrate amazing binding affinity and selectivity to a wide range of guest molecules. With such binding properties, numerous competing guest molecules can be employed in the control of certain CB based host-guest interactions, which offer a wide range of interaction dynamics. Herein, we selected the cucurbit[7]uril-adamantane (CB[7]-AD) complementary pair as the non-covalent crosslinks, with a binding affinity estimated up to $10^{14} \text{M}^{-1}$.

Thus we synthesized two N,N-dimethyl acrylamide based polymers with CB[7] and AD pendants. Through pre-saturation the CB[7] pendant polymer with various competing guest molecules and concentrations, the gelation kinetics of the two polymers changes significantly and the gelation time varies from seconds to hours. The strong interaction of the CB[7]-AD pair endue the supramolecular hydrogels unique mechanical properties such as high elasticity, good stability and shape persistence that are rarely observed for supramolecular hydrogels. Furthermore, the supramolecular inherence allows the hydrogels easily to be decorated with functional groups through host-guest interactions. Based on these features, the hydrogels were demonstrated applicable for both of the injection and printing operation with good strength and tailorable properties.

**Results**

Gelation of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked supramolecular hydrogels. Due to the fast association between CB[7] and AD pendants, directly mixing of the CB[7] pendant polymer and AD pendant polymer by vortex mixing gave aggregates rather than integral hydrogel (Supplementary Fig. S1). Through treat the CB[7] pendant polymer with competing guest molecules before mix the polymers, the association of CB[7] and AD pendants is sufficiently decelerated. And integral hydrogels could form (Fig. 2). Based on the molecular mechanism illustrated in Fig. 2a, the dynamic properties of competing guest molecules, which are determined by the sort of them, have an effect on the gelation process of the hydrogels. Besides, the concentration of the competing guest molecules also affects the gelation process since it is related to the competing re-binding process.
The gelation processes of the hydrogels that treated with dimethyl viologen diiodide (MV\(^{2+}\)), 1,6-diaminohexane dihydrochloride (DAH\(^{2+}\)) and (ferrocenylmethyl)trimethyl ammonium iodide (FTMA\(^{+}\)) were characterized by using vial inclination experiments (Fig. 2b) and oscillatory rheological experiments (Fig. 2c). The hydrogel that treated with MV\(^{2+}\) demonstrated fastest gelation with among the three hydrogels. It gelled within less than 5 seconds. The gelation started before the polymer mixture was loaded on the geometry, and the hydrogel structure may be partially damaged during the loading process. Based on the obtained data, the gelation was estimated to have finished after several minutes. The hydrogel that treated with DAH\(^{2+}\) gelled with a slower rate. The polymer mixture was able to flow during the first minute with increasing in viscosity. Its storage modulus increased drastically during first half an hour before decelerating. The hydrogel that treated with FTMA\(^{+}\) displayed the slowest gelation kinetics. The mixed solution flowed like a liquid for the first two hours and formed a hydrogel within several more hours. Its storage modulus stayed at a low level and kept increasing even after 12 h (Supplementary Fig. S2). In fact, the gelation process was shown to last for several days (\textit{vide infra}).

The gelation processes of the hydrogels that treated with dimethyl viologen diiodide (MV\(^{2+}\)), 1,6-diaminohexane dihydrochloride (DAH\(^{2+}\)) and (ferrocenylmethyl)trimethyl ammonium iodide (FTMA\(^{+}\)) were characterized by using vial inclination experiments (Fig. 2b) and oscillatory rheological experiments (Fig. 2c). The hydrogel that treated with MV\(^{2+}\) demonstrated fastest gelation with among the three hydrogels. It gelled within less than 5 seconds. The gelation started before the polymer mixture was loaded on the geometry, and the hydrogel structure may be partially damaged during the loading process. Based on the obtained data, the gelation was estimated to have finished after several minutes. The hydrogel that treated with DAH\(^{2+}\) gelled with a slower rate. The polymer mixture was able to flow during the first minute with increasing in viscosity. Its storage modulus increased drastically during first half an hour before decelerating. The hydrogel that treated with FTMA\(^{+}\) displayed the slowest gelation kinetics. The mixed solution flowed like a liquid for the first two hours and formed a hydrogel within several more hours. Its storage modulus stayed at a low level and kept increasing even after 12 h (Supplementary Fig. S2). In fact, the gelation process was shown to last for several days (\textit{vide infra}).

The effect of the concentration of competing guest molecules is illustrated in Fig. 2d. DAH\(^{2+}\) was selected as the model competing guest molecules in this test as it offers proper gelation kinetics that would facilitate the experiments. The gelation kinetics changed mildly through varying the concentration of competing guest molecules. All the experiments were performed with polymer content of 2 wt% with CB[7]-AD at the stoichiometric point (1:1 in mole).

Figure 2. Control gelation kinetics of the hydrogels through varying competing guest molecules and their concentrations. (a) Formation process of CB[7]-AD crosslinks that treated with competing guest molecules. Competing guest molecules are released from CB[7] cavity before the formation of the CB[7]-AD crosslinks. Competing guest molecules may also re-binding the free CB[7] cavity. The dissociation dynamics of competing guests is related to the release process. And the association dynamics and their concentration are related to the re-binding process. (b) Vial inclination observation and (c) oscillatory rheological experiments of the hydrogels treated with different competing guest molecules. The gelation kinetics changed significantly through varying the competing guest molecules (5 equiv competing guest molecules \textit{versus} CB[7] pendants was used). (d) Oscillatory rheological experiments of the hydrogels treated with different concentration of DAH\(^{2+}\). The gelation kinetics changed mild through varying the concentration of competing guest molecules. All the experiments were performed with polymer content of 2 wt% with CB[7]-AD at the stoichiometric point (1:1 in mole).

Mechanism study of hydrogel gelation. NMR method was firstly used in monitoring the gelation process of the hydrogels (Fig. 3a). The signals of protons on guest molecules demonstrates significant chemical shift when the guest molecules were encapsulated inside CB cavity\(^3\) (Supplementary Fig. S3). By this manner we can have a view of the gelation process from molecular scale. Due to the slow gelation kinetics of the FTMA\(^{+}\) treated hydrogels, they were employed for the NMR experiments to facilitate the observation. Only 1 equiv (\textit{versus} CB[7] moiety) FTMA\(^{+}\) was used in order to minimize the interference for the observation of changing on peaks. Upon the mixing of the two polymers, peaks at around 3.5 ppm which represent the bounded FTMA\(^{+}\) began to diminish followed by the appearance of sharp peaks at around 4.3 ppm, clearly showing the release of FTMA\(^{+}\) from CB[7] cavity during the gelation process. Meanwhile, peaks of AD moiety at 2.0 and 2.3 ppm also diminished accompanied by the appearance of a new peak at 0.8 ppm, indicating that AD moieties were being encapsulated...
by CB[7] moieties. During the gelation process, the peaks of the polymers broadened, which matches with the fact that gelation impedes the mobility of polymer chain. Although the majority of the supramolecular interactions finished within 48 h, it was difficult for them to undergo full complexation even after 6 days. This phenomenon may be attributed to the low concentration of the active moieties as well as their restricted diffusion induced by the cross-linked polymer network.

Supramolecular polymeric hydrogels usually demonstrate optimal mechanical strength with the complementary pairs at stoichiometric point as it offers the highest cross-link density. The storage moduli of hydrogels with various compositions and fixed solid contents (2 wt%) were summarized in Fig. 3b. The hydrogels demonstrated maximum storage modulus with CB[7]-AD at the stoichiometric point (1:1 in mole). (c) Compression experiments of the hydrogel before and after the removing of competing guest molecule (3 equiv FTMA+ was used with CB[7]-AD at the stoichiometric point during sample preparation). The competing guest molecule shows negligible effect on the mechanical property of the hydrogels.

Mechanical properties of the hydrogels. Figure 4a depicts the storage and loss moduli versus strain of the hydrogels obtained from oscillation amplitude sweep. The hydrogels demonstrate high mechanical strength, elasticity and high flexibility. The storage modulus of the hydrogel with CB[7]-AD at stoichiometric point is 5 kPa. This value is amazingly high for supramolecular hydrogels especially take its high water content (98 wt%) into
consideration. Although for other supramolecular hydrogels, higher storage moduli were observed. However, a much lower water content (less than 90 wt%) and much higher cross-link density are needed. The storage modulus of the hydrogels is much higher than their loss modulus, showing that the hydrogels are highly elastic. For the hydrogel with 1:1 AD-CB[7] complementary moieties, the storage modulus is nearly 3 orders of magnitude higher than the loss modulus, which means over 99% energy is stored in the hydrogel network as elastic potential energy under deformation. It is interesting to find the hydrogels with different composition demonstrated the similar loss modulus. Based on the fact that they have the same polymer content, the energy loss is suggested only dissipated by the disentanglement of the polymer chain rather than the breaking of CB[7]-AD crosslinks. The hydrogels have similar linear viscoelastic regions up to 60% of strain with various compositions. The moduli of the hydrogels increase with strain exceed the linear viscoelastic region, indicating the hydrogels are strain hardening. The break-down strain varies based the composition of hydrogels. All of the hydrogels demonstrated break-down strain higher than 100%. Lower cross-link density endue the hydrogels higher flexibility - the hydrogel formed by 1:5 AD/CB[7] has a break-down strain over 1000%, which is rarely found for supramolecular hydrogels.

Oscillation frequency sweeps of the hydrogels were performed at 2% strain based on the obtained linear viscoelastic region of the hydrogels (Fig. 4b). There is not even a trend of cross-section found in the low frequency region of the storage modulus and loss modulus, indicating that the relaxation rate of the hydrogels is extremely slow. Cyclic compression test of the hydrogel further confirms the slow relaxation rate of the hydrogel (Fig. 4c). The compression and de-compression curves of the hydrogel overlapped in high precision within 4 cycles with maximum stress and strain up to 2760 kPa and 58% respectively. The strain and stress are relatively high for the hydrogel as its break-down stress and strain are 4739 kPa and 71% respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4). As applied stress can change the equilibrium of non-covalent interaction, it is quite amazing for the hydrogel to display such good shape persistence without any relaxation under considerable high pressure.
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Tailorability of the hydrogels. The CB[7] pendants in the hydrogel can also be used for the modification of the hydrogels through host-guest interactions. The procedure of the modification is illustrated in Fig. 5a. Fluorescence modification was done to facilitate the observations. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) was grafted onto the AD core. The functionalized AD was then attached onto the CB[7] pendant polymer through simply
mixing of their solutions. The hydrogels formed with the labelled CB[7] pendent polymer and AD pendent polymer also have strong fluorescence and the labelling is quite stable during applications (vide infra).

**Injection and printing of the hydrogels.** The control of gelation kinetics of the hydrogels was further employed for the application of injectable and printable hydrogels. FITC labelled hydrogels were used for clearer observations. The hydrogel treated with DAH$_{2}^{2+}$ was used for the injection due to its minute scale gelation (Fig. 5b). The medium gelation kinetics offers sufficient time for a series of continuous operations, including the mixing of two polymers, suction into syringe and injection. Meanwhile, the medium gelation kinetics of the viscous pre-hydrogel mixture prevents the dilution and diffusion after its injection. Its gelation in water ensures that it is applicable under aqueous atmosphere such as in vivo situation with body fluid. The suitable gelation process also minimizes the possibility of the clogging of capillaries by the hydrogels.

Microextrusion printing is widely employed for hydrogel printing due to its tolerance for the viscosity of hydrogel precursors. Two methods, namely mixing of the polymers solutions during printing and mixing of polymers solutions before printing were applied for the printing of hydrogels. As we do not have access to a three dimensional (3D) printer, simple alternate devices were made for feasibility verification and the nozzles were maneuvered by hand. Fast gelation kinetics of the hydrogels treated with DAH$_{2}^{2+}$ is suitable for the method that mixing of the polymers solutions during printing with the device illustrated in Fig. 5c. Solutions of the CB[7] pendent polymer (pre-saturated with 5 equiv DAH$_{2}^{2+}$) and AD pendent polymer were intruded into one channel from two syringe pumps through the connection of a three-way link. The solutions were mixed inside the channel and extruded from the nozzle. The mixture gelled soon after it was printed on substrate, and the pattern of the badge of Shandong University was then made. Slow gelation kinetics of the hydrogels treated with FTMA$_{2}^{+}$ offers sufficient time for the mixing of polymer precursors, therefore the method that mixing of polymers solutions before printing is employed for these hydrogels (Fig. 5d). The CB[7] pendent polymer that pre-saturated with FTMA$_{2}^{+}$
and the AD pendent polymer was pre-mixed by vortex mixing and loaded into a syringe pump. The mixture was aged for 2 h to give suitable mechanical strength of the hydrogels before printing. More meticulous patterns could be made through a thinner nozzle with the hydrogel strips as thin as 1 mm. Moreover, since the precursor already possess certain strength, it is applicable for the hydrogel to accumulate in the vertical direction, namely the 3D printing of the hydrogel was achieved. After 24 h, the hydrogel patterns are strong enough for removing from the pristine substrate and transportation. The hydrogel patterns stay intact even after preserved in water for months and they still have strong fluorescence. As controllable spatial complexity of hydrogels is also important for their application, the patterning inside hydrogel was also demonstrated through the combination of casting and printing of the non-labeled and labeled CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels (Fig. 5e). The pattern inside the hydrogel did not blur even after months, showing that the extra slow relaxation of CB[7]-AD endure good stability of the supramolecular modification which even comparable to covalent modification.

Discussion

The method that control gelation kinetics of supramolecular hydrogels with competing guest molecules was addressed based on the study of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels. The gelation of the CB[7] pendent polymer and AD pendent polymer was decelerated through pre-saturate the CB[7] pendent polymer with competing guest molecules. Additional dissociation process of the competing guest-aggregated in CB[7] cavity was attributed to the deceleration. It is no doubt that the disassociation dynamics of the competing guests affects the disassociation process. Meanwhile, the competing re-binding of the competing guest-aggregated, which determined by the association dynamics and concentration of the competing guest-aggregated, also have an effect on the disassociation process. Hence, two factors, namely sort and concentration of the competing guest molecules, determine the gelation kinetics. There is a fundamental difference that distinguishes the hydrogels from the previously reported supramolecular hydrogels. Here we try to use the dynamic process of supramolecular interactions whilst the previous reported ones usually discuss the transition of supramolecular interactions between various thermodynamics states.

Although it is a known principle that the host-guest interaction could be decelerated by competing guests. However, in order to make the theory practical, the competing guest molecules should have sufficiently slow disassociation rate to guarantee the gelation kinetics is effectively decelerated. The respective binding affinities of MV\textsuperscript{2+}, D\textsubscript{2}A\textsubscript{H}\textsuperscript{+} and FTMA\textsuperscript{+} with CB[7] in pure water are (9.5 ± 1.1) x 10\textsuperscript{7}, (2.1 ± 0.4) x 10\textsuperscript{8} and (4.1 ± 1.0) x 10\textsuperscript{12} M\textsuperscript{-1} 37,38, therefore the lifetime of their host-guest complexes with CB[7] are considerable even if their association rate is close to diffusion-controlled rate constant (≈ 10\textsuperscript{9} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}23,39. The equilibrium association constant of the supramolecular crosslinks (here is CB[7]-AD) must be even larger, or the crosslinks cannot form.

The properties (e.g. mechanical properties) of supramolecular polymeric hydrogels are largely dependent on the non-covalent interactions that agglomerate them together. Therefore the high equilibrium association constants and slow disassociation dynamics of the CB[7]-AD interactions will undoubtedly reflect on the properties of the hydrogels. In comparison with most other supramolecular hydrogels, the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels demonstrate high mechanical strength with very low solid content and crosslink density. Moreover, the slow disassociation dynamics guarantee them stay intact even under considerable applied force, thus the hydrogels demonstrate high elasticity and shape-persistence. Furthermore, the hydrogels also display good flexibility, which may be attributed to their low crosslink density. To some extent, the hydrogels behave more like covalently cross-linked hydrogels rather than supramolecular hydrogels due to the special CB[7]-AD interaction.

The gelation mechanism is useful for hydrogel process and varies hydrogel based applications such as hydrogel injection and printing. Moreover, the supramolecular inheritance endues the hydrogels with good tailorability. Based on these features, this gelation mechanism is hopefully a new tool for the development of hydrogels with proper temporal and spatial complexity which is a trend of hydrogel technology.

Methods

Instrumentation. NMR tests were performed using two NMR spectrometers. A Bruker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer was used for the characterization of synthetic compounds and polymers. And an Agilent 600 MHz NMR spectrometer was employed for the monitoring of gelation process of the hydrogels in order to achieve a good clarity. All of the NMR tests were performed at 298 K. Mass spectrometry was tested on an Agilent 6520 accurate mass Q-TOF. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was carried out in water utilizing a Shimadzu CBM-20A system controller and RID-10A refractive index detector with Shodex OHpak SB columns. Samples were filtered with MILLEX-GV 0.22\textmu m filter before loading.

Rheological experiments were measured using a TA Discovery Hybrid Rheometer fitted with a 40 mm 4° cone geometry and a standard plate geometry. The gelation processes of the hydrogels were recorded at a frequency of 1 Hz and a strain of 0.02. The strain-dependent oscillatory rheology and frequency-dependent oscillatory rheology of the hydrogels were tested at a frequency of 1 Hz and a strain of 0.02, respectively. All of the data was obtained at 298 K.

Compression tests of the hydrogels were performed using a TA DMA Q800 dynamic mechanical analyzer equipped with compression clamp geometry at 298 K. The hydrogels were casted into tablet shape with diameter of 12.4 mm and height of 3.5 mm. All the samples were aged for over 10 days which left enough time for the gelation process. The compression rate of samples is 10%/min for compression experiments and 30%/min for compression-decompression cycles.

CB[7] pendent polymer. The CB[7] pendent polymer used in this study was synthesized based on an established method of our group. Generally, A CB[7] based monomer, 4-vinylbenzoxo CB[7], was synthesized through firstly oxidize CB[7] to obtain mono-hydroxy CB[7] before graft 4-vinylbenzyl group onto the hydroxyl group. Then the CB[7] monomer was copolymerized with N,N-dimethylacrylamide to yield the desired CB[7] pendent polymer. 3.3‘-(Octane-1,8-diyl)-bis-(1-ethyl-imidazolium) dibromide (C\textsubscript{8}bim) which is used to enhance...
the solubility of the CB[7] monomer was added before the polymerization and it was removed from the polymer through dialysis the polymer against CB[7] solution and pure water. The molecular weight and composition of the CB[7] pendent copolymer were determined by GPC and NMR methods respectively. Its $M_n$ is $5.49 \times 10^2$ g mol$^{-1}$ with $M_n/M_\infty = 3.77$ and the content of CB[7] units is 0.74 mol%.

**AD pendent polymer.** An adamantane based acrylamide monomer (compound 7 in supplementary information, 0.39 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL deionized water with N,N-dimethyl acrylamide (1.26 g, 0.013 mol). The solution was degassed under vacuum and purged with nitrogen for three cycles. (NH$_4$)$_2$S$_2$O$_8$ solution contains 0.0050 g (2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ mol) solute was injected into the monomer solution followed by NaHSO$_3$ solution contains 0.0018 g (1.8 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ mol) solute. The polymerization was conducted at 33 °C for 12 hours. The polymer solution was dialyzed in deionized water using semi-permeable membrane (MWCO: 8000 – 14000) for three days to remove unreacted monomers and impurities. Then the polymer solution was further concentrated as a stock solution and ready for use (total weight of the polymer solution is 11.63 g with concentration of 9.74 w/w%, yield of the copolymer is 80.7%). The copolymer was tested with $M_n$ 3.93 $\times$ 10$^2$ g mol$^{-1}$ and $M_n/M_\infty = 2.69$. The content of AD pendants were identified by $^1$H NMR of 2.54 mol%.

**Preparation of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels with controlled gelation kinetics by using competing guest molecules.** The stock solution of CB[7] pendent polymer was firstly mixed with competing guest molecules and diluted to 2 wt%. The sort and the concentration of the competing guest molecules varied based on experiments. Usually MV$^{2+}$, DAH$^{2+}$ and FTMA$^+$ with amount range from 1 to 14 equiv versus CB[7] moiety were utilized. Then the guest molecule pre-saturated CB[7] pendent polymer was mixed with 2 wt% AD pendent polymer under vortex mixing (1200 rpm) which generated hydrogels with 2 wt% solid content. The mixing ratio of the two polymer solutions is usually 3.4:1 (v/v) which is corresponding to the 1:1 stoichiometric content of AD pendants.

**FITC labelling of the CB[7] pendent polymer.** The stock solution of CB[7] pendent polymer was firstly mixed with competing guest molecules. The sort and the concentration of the competing guest molecules varied based on certain experiments. Here DAH$^{2+}$ and FTMA$^+$ with 3 or 5 equiv versus CB[7] moiety were utilized. Then the solution of FITC labelled AD (the preparation procedure is available in supplementary information, 0.1 equiv versus CB[7] moiety) was added and the solution was mixed under vortex mixing (1200 rpm). The solution then diluted with deionized water to 2 wt%. After stand for 1 h, the FITC labelled CB[7] pendent polymer solution is ready for use.

**Injection of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels.** The FITC labelled CB[7] pendent polymer (1.0 mL, 2 wt%, 5 equiv DAH$^{2+}$) was mixed with the AD pendent polymer (0.368 mL, 2 wt%) through vortex mixing (1200 rpm) for 3 s. The stoichiometry between CB[7] and AD pendants is calculated as 1.0:8. The mixed solution was sucked into a syringe and ready for injection. The solution was injected into water through a 22 gauge needle and it gelled after several minutes with a clear interface in water.

**Printing of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels by mixing of the polymers solutions during printing.** The FITC labelled CB[7] pendent polymer (2 wt%, 5 equiv DAH$^{2+}$) and AD pendent polymer (0.5 wt%) was loaded in two separate syringes. These syringes were loaded into one syringe pump with flow rate set as 0.94 mL/min for each syringe. The solutions were intruded into one channel (diameter is 2 mm with 30 cm length) from two syringes through the connection of a three-way link. Thus the two polymers were mixed for 30 s before extruding. A 200 μL tip of pipettes was modified as a nozzle. The nozzle was maneuvered by hand following the solutions was extruded out of the nozzle, and patterns were then made on Teflon surface.

**Printing of the CB[7]-AD cross-linked hydrogels by mixing of the polymers solutions before printing.** The FITC labelled CB[7] pendent polymer (0.5 mL, 2 wt%, 3 equiv FITC$^+$) was mixed with the AD pendent polymer (0.184 mL, 2 wt%) through vortex mixing (1200 rpm) for 10 s. The mixed solution was loaded into a syringe and aged for 2 h. The mixed solution gained certain strength based on the gelation process given in supplementary Fig. S2. After that the mixed solution was extruded out through a 26 gauge needle which worked as the nozzle. The nozzle was maneuvered by hand and patterns were made on Teflon surface.
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