Membrane Efflux Pumps of Pathogenic *Vibrio* Species: Role in Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence
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Abstract: Infectious diseases caused by bacterial species of the *Vibrio* genus have had considerable significance upon human health for centuries. *V. cholerae* is the causative microbial agent of cholera, a severe ailment characterized by profuse watery diarrhea, a condition associated with epidemics, and seven great historical pandemics. *V. parahaemolyticus* causes wound infection and watery diarrhea, while *V. vulnificus* can cause wound infections and septicemia. Species of the *Vibrio* genus with resistance to multiple antimicrobials have been a significant health concern for several decades. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance machinery in *Vibrio* spp. include biofilm formation, drug inactivation, target protection, antimicrobial permeability reduction, and active antimicrobial efflux. Integral membrane-bound active antimicrobial efflux pump systems include primary and secondary transporters, members of which belong to closely related protein superfamilies. The RND (resistance-nodulation-division) pumps, the MFS (major facilitator superfamily) transporters, and the ABC superfamily of efflux pumps constitute significant drug transporters for investigation. In this review, we explore these antimicrobial transport systems in the context of *Vibrio* spp. pathogenesis and virulence.
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1. *Vibrio* Species of Human Health Significance

The *Vibrio* genus (Class Gammaproteobacteria, Family Vibrionaceae) is one of the most important food pathogens of human health significance in seafood. Vibrios are naturally present in freshwater, marine, and estuarine environments and are found in variable numbers in all kinds of seafood [1]. Their numbers can differ depending on several factors such as the season and physical parameters of water, such as temperature, salinity, and nutrient concentrations. Only a dozen among 100 named *Vibrio* species have been isolated from humans [2], representing a growing health threat to humankind. Infections due to *Vibrio* spp. usually ensue from exposure to contaminated water or consumption of raw or undercooked contaminated fish and shellfish [3]. However, person-to-person transmission has also been documented in *Vibrio* infections [1]. *Vibrio* infections in humans can be categorized into two groups: cholera and non-cholera infections. *Vibrio cholerae* causes a severe diarrheal illness, cholera, through ingestion of contaminated food or water. Non-cholera *Vibrio* species such as *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* are widely distributed in coastal-marine waters. They can cause various infections such as gastroenteritis, wound infections, septicemia, etc., and are responsible for the majority of seafood-borne infections worldwide [4]. Cholera continues to be a gripping problem in developing countries like Asia, Africa, and Latin America but is rare in the developed world. Contrastingly, *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* outbreaks are also common in developed countries.
1.1. *Vibrio cholerae*

The *V. cholerae* bacterium is the causative agent of the global disease cholera, with an estimated 1.3–4 million cases and 21,000–143,000 deaths worldwide every year [5]. *V. cholerae* is associated with chitinous organisms such as copepods, crustaceans, and microalgae, like zooplankton and fish [6]. *V. cholerae* bacteria are classified broadly as O1 and non-O1 serovars based on their observative agglutination in the presence of O1 antiserum. O1 *V. cholerae* and a non-O1 *V. cholerae* (O139 Bengal) have the potential to cause epidemic or pandemic cholera, and all other non-O1 and non-O139 *V. cholerae* do not infect humans or only cause mild illnesses [7]. The virulence of *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 Bengal is primarily due to their ability to produce cholera toxin CTX and cause epidemic diarrhea [7]. These two pandemic strains exist as natural inhabitants of aquatic ecosystems, making them facultative human pathogens. *V. cholerae* O1 serogroup has two biotypes (classical and El Tor) and three serotypes (Ogawa, Inaba, and Hikojima). Amongst them, the highly rampant serotype is Ogawa, whereas Hikojima is sporadic, rare, and unstable in the environment [7]. Non-O1 and non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains habitually inhabit rivers and estuarine areas against O1 and O139 strains. Studies have shown that non-toxigenic environmental strains could be switched to toxigenic strains through transduction with cholera toxin (CT)-encoded phage CTXφ [8].

1.2. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

The halophilic pathogenic microorganism *V. parahaemolyticus* is widely distributed worldwide in coastal waters and is commonly found in seafood, sometimes in numbers as high as $10^3$–$10^4$/g in oysters and $<10^2$/g in tropical shrimp [9]. *V. parahaemolyticus* is free-swimming or attached to organisms such as zooplankton, fish, shellfish, and sediment. Food-borne infections with *V. parahaemolyticus* occur when shellfish such as oysters and clams are consumed raw or minimally cooked. Severe watery diarrhea, abdominal cramps, vomiting, nausea, and fever are some of the symptoms of *V. parahaemolyticus* infection. It can also cause infections in open wounds exposed to contaminated water. However, all *V. parahaemolyticus* are not pathogenic, but those manufacturing either a thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH) factor or a TDH-related hemolysin (TRH) are pathogenic [10]. The TDH and TRH virulence factors are encoded by *tdh* and *trh* genes with about 70% nucleotide sequence similarity [11]. Studies have reported that <1% of *V. parahaemolyticus* seafood isolates are *tdh*+, while the incidence of *trh*+ *V. parahaemolyticus* is considerably higher, with some investigations reporting as high as 60% [9]. Since the mid-1990s, a pandemic clone of O3:K6, first detected in Calcutta, India, has been responsible for many outbreaks in Asia and the USA [12]. These strains harbor the *tdh* gene but not *trh*. *V. parahaemolyticus* can be isolated from farmed shrimp, and recently, early mortality syndrome (EMS) has been ascribed to *V. parahaemolyticus* in *Litopenaeus vannamei* farms [13].

1.3. *Vibrio vulnificus*

*V. vulnificus* is another important human pathogenic vibrio associated with fish and shellfish. *V. vulnificus* is known to cause serious infections in people with compromised immunity, liver diseases, and iron overloaded conditions, with a mortality rate as high as 30–75% [14]. *V. vulnificus* infections are linked with eating raw molluscan shellfish, which accumulate this pathogen from surrounding waters. *V. vulnificus* is responsible for about 95% of deaths associated with the consumption of seafood. *V. vulnificus* can cause fatal wound infections because the microorganism can enter the circulatory system and cause septicemia. Low salinities (5 to 25 ppt) and warm temperatures (20 to 35 °C) have been reported to be favorable for this organism [15,16]. Most cases of *V. vulnificus* infection are reported from tropical and subtropical regions. Based on their biochemical characteristics, *V. vulnificus* are classified into three biotypes, with Biotype 1 responsible for severe human infection and are found naturally in marine and estuarine waters, and biotype 2 are eel pathogens. Biotype 3 is a known hybrid of biotypes 1 and 2 found in freshwater fish in Israel [14].
2. Efflux Pumps and Antibiotic Resistance

The recalcitrant nature of specific toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains of *Vibrio* spp. can be problematic in treating severe cases of clinical infections [17]. In general, bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents falls into several categories [18]. These microbial mechanisms include the formation of biofilms, which can permit communication between various species and, thus, facilitate the development of persister cells [19]. Biofilm communities harbor multiple microbial species enclosed within polymeric matrix systems. These biofilms are frequently associated with various surfaces and provide protection from antimicrobial agents. In many cases, microorganisms within biofilms undergo communication using quorum-sensing molecules to alter their biochemical properties. Another common mechanism of bacterial resistance involves the enzymatic alteration of drug targets, such as the ribosome or cell wall synthesizing machinery [20]. The altered bacterial targets can exhibit reduced antimicrobial drug binding affinities, preventing the growth-inhibiting properties permitting continued microbial growth. Frequently, target alterations result from spontaneous mutation and insertional mutagenesis by mobile genetic elements. Protection of the antimicrobial drug target prevents the binding to cytoplasmic cell growth machinery [21]. A well-studied target protection system involves the tetracycline ribosomal protection proteins Tet(O) and Tet(M), which are homologous to the elongation factor G (EF-G) of prokaryotic ribosomes. When bound to the 30S ribosomal subunit, Tet(O) and Tet(M) proteins remove or prevent the binding of tetracycline to the A-site of the ribosome, permitting translation to proceed unabated [22]. The enzymatic-based destruction of antimicrobial agents is an important virulence mechanism [23]. These resistance systems hydrolytically render antimicrobial agents into inactive forms. Of particular concern are the extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) that cleave the β-lactam functional moieties of cephalosporins, penicillins, and associated β-lactam-harboring drugs. Prevention of antimicrobial permeability to the cytoplasm of bacterial cells represents a well-known drug resistance system [24]. These resistance determinants are known to reduce the expression of antimicrobial entry systems in the bacterial membrane or inactivate such drug entry proteins by mutation. Similarly, the lipopolysaccharide components of the bacterial cell wall can confer impermeability of extracellularly located antimicrobial agents. The energy-dependent efflux of multiple antimicrobial agents from bacterial cells of the *Vibrio* spp. is a widely recognized resistance mechanism [25,26]. These active efflux pumps can exploit the energy stored in ATP in primary active transport systems or the energy stored in ion-based electrochemical gradients in secondary active transporters. Many of these secondary active efflux pumps are called antiporters, which exchange drug and ion during transport and have multiple structurally distinct antimicrobial substrates.

2.1. General Mechanisms of Antibiotic Efflux Pumps

Inside bacterial cells of *Vibrio* species where the cellular targets for antimicrobial agents reside, the growth inhibitory effects of such intracellularly located drugs are diluted by various solute drug efflux systems [27]. These solute transport systems actively pump antimicrobial agents to the extracellular milieu, permitting bacteria that harbor these drug efflux pumps to grow and predominate under relatively high concentrations of structurally distinct antimicrobial agents, including those clinically relevant drugs in the chemotherapy of infectious disease [28]. Active transport of antimicrobial agents across the bacterial membranes represents a critical efflux-based mechanism for pathogen resistance to clinically relevant antibacterial agents [29].

In primary active transport systems, the energy stored in ATP is utilized by its hydrolysis to actively accumulate their water-soluble substrates on one side of the membrane [30]. Several species of the *Vibrio* genus harbor these primary active drug pump systems, which are described below. Another active transport system involves the biochemical modification of substrate during transport across the membrane, as exemplified by the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase system (PTS), also known as group translocation [31]. While sugar-alcohols and antimicrobial agents are included as substrates...
for Vibrio microorganisms [31–33], such active solute transport systems are utilized to enter bacterial cells.

Likewise, Vibrio species possess secondary active transport systems for drug efflux, which use the energy stored in the form of ion gradients across the membrane [34]. These ion-based electrochemical gradients, such as those involving sodium or protons, catalyze the accumulation of antimicrobial agents on one side of the membrane during a translocation process called antiport [35,36]. Together, these primary and secondary active transporters in bacteria account for a significant public health concern as mediators of potentially untreatable multidrug-resistant infectious disease-causing bacterial pathogens.

2.2. Classification of Antimicrobial Efflux Pumps

Regarding the active extrusion of antimicrobial agents, several large superfamilies of efflux pumps have been characterized in bacteria [26]. Of particular interest is the RND (resistance-nodulation-division) superfamily of drug and multidrug transporters driven by a secondary active transport mode of energization [37]. The major facilitator superfamily (MFS) is another relevant and large superfamily of transporters but is driven by passive and secondary active solute transport systems. The established multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) superfamily contains several drug efflux systems in various species of Vibrio [38,39]. Transporters of the small multidrug resistance (SMR) superfamily [40] have been classified as progenitors of the large drug metabolite transporter (DMT) superfamily [41]. More recently, transport systems that are homologous to members of the so-called proteobacterial antimicrobial compound efflux (PACE) family, such as AceI, were discovered in V. para-haemolyticus [42]. The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily harbors many members, which use ATP hydrolysis as the main mode of energy for primary active solute transport [43].

2.3. Efflux Pumps of RND Family in Vibrio Species

The resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) superfamily of membrane transporters is composed of a tripartite system with an outer membrane protein (OMP), an inner membrane protein (IMP), and a periplasmic membrane fusion protein (MFP) [44]. Different components of the RND efflux pump are generally encoded on an operon. The proteins work in synergy to extrude a compound outside the cell, and the absence of any single protein of this tripartite system makes it dysfunctional [45]. IMP is the antiport protein energized by the protons (H\(^+\)), while the MFP is an adaptor protein that connects OMP with the IMP [46,47]. As a result, the RND pump is organized into a continuous channel that allows direct exportation of the compounds from the cytoplasm to the exterior without entering the periplasmic space, thus making them a very effective drug resistance mechanism for Gram-negative bacteria [45,47–49]. The crystal structures AcrAB-TolC proteins of Escherichia coli [50,51] and the MexAB-OprM of Pseudomonas aeruginosa [52–54] have helped decipher the structure-function relationships in these RND efflux pumps [55]. RND pumps are non-specific and extrude structurally diverse and unrelated substrates across the membrane, although RND efflux pumps’ substrates are characteristically lipophilic [45,55]. Cationic, anionic, and uncharged substances and substances with multiple ionizable groups are efficiently handled by the RND pumps [45,55].

Some of the well-characterized RND efflux pumps from V. cholerae O1 include VexLM, VexJK, VexGH, VexEF, VexCD, as well as VexAB and contribute to bile acid and antimicrobial resistance [56–58]. Higher expression of vexAB and vexCD genes in the presence of bile substantiates the role of these efflux pumps in bile resistance, and this might positively contribute to successful colonization of the small intestine by V. cholerae [57,58]. Genome comparison of V. cholerae O1 and non-O1 serovars revealed the presence of all but one (VexE) efflux pump in the genome of non-O1 strain PS15 [59]. The TolC OMF is essential for the functioning of most of these efflux pumps. VexAB, VexCD, and VexEF could be expressed in a hypersensitive E. coli (ΔacrAB, ΔydhE, hsd\(^-\), ΔtolC) background with the help of the TolC outer membrane factor from V. cholerae (TolC\(_{vc}\)) [60]. Of these, VexAB
and VexEF exhibited a broader substrate range that included multiple antibiotics such as erythromycin, novobiocin, and dyes and detergents, while the efflux activity of VexCD was restricted to bile acids and detergents. Apart from bile salts, certain antibiotics such as ampicillin and novobiocin have been reported as substrates for the VexH pump since the deletion of the vexH gene in a VexB-deficient V. cholerae resulted in higher susceptibility to these antibiotics [58]. VexEF is dependent on the electrochemical gradient of Na\(^{+}\) ions, unlike all other RND pumps energized by H\(^{+}\), and was the first such RND efflux pump described from bacteria [60].

No antibiotic substrates have been identified so far for VexIJK and VexLM pumps [58,60]. These efflux pumps might participate in other physiological activities essential for the survival and persistence of V. cholerae in the host and the environment. It has been shown that VexB, VexD, VexH, and VexK are necessary for intestinal colonization and production of virulence factors such as the cholera toxin (CT) and toxin co-regulated pilus (TCP) [58]. A majority of RND efflux pumps have bile acid as their substrate, and considering the importance of bile in the physiology of enteric bacteria [61], the role of these efflux pumps in the virulence gene regulation has been a topic of significant research interest. Before intestinal colonization, resistance against the toxic effect of the bile salts is accomplished by the ToxR-mediated repression of ompT, which has a negative role in bile salt resistance [62]. At the same time, ToxR activates the expression of ompU, and the OmpU-producing strains are more resistant to bile [63]. The increased efflux of bile salts follows OmpU production and transport by the RND efflux pumps. A V. cholerae mutant strain lacking all RND efflux pumps exhibited increased susceptibility to bile, decreased cholera toxin production, and an inability to colonize infant mouse small intestines [57,64]. Together, this evidence emphasizes the critical role that RND efflux pumps play in antimicrobial resistance and host persistence and virulence of V. cholerae.

The genome of V. vulnificus has 11 putative RND efflux pump-encoding genes, three of which (homologues of V. cholerae VexAB, VexCD, and AcrAB of E. coli) have been characterized by gene deletion studies [50]. A study identified the norM gene in whole-genome sequences of clinical V. vulnificus isolates [65]. While a mutant V. vulnificus lacking the vexAB homolog was more susceptible to erythromycin, acriflavine, ethidium bromide, and bile acid, deletion of acrAB homolog resulted in increased sensitivity to acriflavine alone, and vexCD deletion did not have any effect on the susceptibility to any of the antibiotics, dyes or bile acid [66]. Two TolC homologs, TolCV1 and TolCV2 in V. vulnificus, have been involved in resistance to antibiotics and inhibitory dyes. V. vulnificus mutants lacking TolCV1 and TolCV2 exhibited increased susceptibility to antibiotics novobiocin, erythromycin, and tetracycline, while TolCV1 mutant was also susceptible to DNA intercalating dyes (ethidium bromide, acriflavine) and detergents (bile acids, SDS) and exhibited reduced motility [67]. The expression of the tolCV1 and tolCV2 increased when the bacterium was exposed to antibiotics and other chemicals [66]. TolCV1 and TolCV2 could partially complement the TolC protein of E. coli by interacting with the AcrA protein of the AcrAB-TolC efflux system of E. coli [68]. Although VceC is functionally identical with the TolC and OprM outer membrane factors, they share minimal (<10%) amino acid similarity among them [69,70].

The outer membrane component TolC is generally essential for the function of RND efflux pumps irrespective of bacterial species. In certain instances, however, the TolC components of one species cannot functionally replace the TolC of the other. For example, the MICs of antibiotics for VexEF were much higher with TolC from V. cholerae (TolC\(_{vc}\)) in an E. coli background, compared to the MICs of VexEF with TolC of E. coli (TolC\(_{EC}\)) itself, although both share 47% identity and 7% similarity at the amino acid level [60]. Similarly, the RND efflux pumps of E. coli could not function with the TolC from V. parahaemolyticus, suggesting a species-specific preference for the TolC component [71,72]. TolC in the V. cholerae O1 El Tor strain has been reported to play an essential role in the transcription of the ToxR regulon, a finding that emphasizes the importance of efflux pump-mediated regulation of virulence in pathogenic bacteria [73].
2.4. MATE Efflux Pumps in Vibrio Species

The norfloxacin efflux pump NorM of *V. parahaemolyticus* was the first Na\(^+\)/drug antiporter to be reported from bacteria with no affiliation to any known transporter protein groups known at its time of discovery [74,75]. NorM is energized by Na\(^+\) unlike all other known H\(^+\)/drug antiporters and shared high homology with YdhE protein of *E. coli*, as well as similar protein sequences in diverse bacterial groups [74,75]. Thus, NorM and its homologous proteins were placed under a new family of transporter proteins called MATE (multidrug and toxic compound extrusion) [76]. Subsequent studies by this research group identified amino acids Asp32, Glu251, and Asp367 located in the transmembrane region as essential for the Na\(^+\)-dependent drug efflux activity in NorM [77]. However, recent studies have reported on MATE efflux proteins driven by H\(^+\) electrochemical gradients, such as DinF-BH, NorM-PS, and VcmN [78–80]. NorM-VC of *V. cholerae*, by contrast, has been reported to be coupled to both Na\(^+\) and H\(^+\) [81]. The MATE family of proteins extrudes diverse compounds, including antibiotics and anticancer drugs, particularly the hydrophobic and weakly cationic molecules. However, despite structural similarities, MATE transporters differ significantly in their efflux mechanisms, substrate profiles, and proton couplers [79,82].

Based on mutational and molecular dynamics simulation studies, amino acid residues Asp36, Glu255, and Asp371 in NorM-Vc are presumed to be important for the substrate binding and transport activity, corresponding to Asp32, Glu251, and Asp367 in NorM from *V. parahaemolyticus* [77,83–85]. While Asp36 is critically important for both Na\(^+\) and H\(^+\) induced conformational changes in TM1, Thr200 is essential for Na\(^+\)-mediated conformational transition alone [85,86].

VcmA is a Na\(^+\)/drug antiporter of the MATE family in *V. cholerae* non-O1 and is capable of effluxing multiple antibiotics, including hydrophilic quinolones (norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin) and aminoglycosides (streptomycin and kanamycin), but not hydrophobic quinolones such as sparfloxacin and nalidixic acid [87]. This 457 amino acid long efflux protein with 12 putative transmembrane domains showed high sequence homologies with NorM of *V. parahaemolyticus* and YdhE of *E. coli* [87]. The presence of VcmA has been found in the whole genome sequence of both *V. cholerae* O1 and non-O1 [59,88]. Another Na\(^+\)/drug antiporter-type multidrug efflux pump VcrM has been reported from *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1 [39]. This 445 amino acid containing pump folds into 12 TMS and belongs to the DinF-subfamily within the MATE family of transport proteins. The efflux of several chemicals and dyes was dependent on Na\(^+\) or Li\(^+\) [39]. However, no antibiotic substrate has been identified for VcrM so far.

The X-ray crystallographic structures of H\(^+\)-dependent MATE efflux protein PfMATE from *Pyrococcus furiosus* [89], VcmN from *V. cholerae* [79], ClbM of *E. coli* [90], and the Na\(^+\)-dependent NorM-Vc from *V. cholerae* [83], and NorM-NG from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [91] have been elucidated. NorM with 12 transmembrane helices folds into two bundles of 6 helices each, termed C lobe and N lobe, placed perpendicular to the membrane [92] (Figure 1).
Figure 1. MATE transporters of bacteria. The transporters are grouped under NorM and DinF subfamilies and are generally composed of 12 TM helices which fold into two distinct N- (TM1-TM6) and C- (TM7-TM12) lobes \[76,84,93\]. The topology of MATE transporters is characteristically distinct from that of MFS transporters, many of which have similar 12 TM helices \[76,94\]. In the NorM subfamily (NorM-VC), the C-lobe functions as a cation binding site, and two acidic amino acid residues, Glu255 and Asp371, are located between TM7-12, are critically important for this function \[83\].

The MATE transporters adopt an “alternating-access model”, switching between the substrate-bound outward-facing (OF) or ion-bound inward-facing (IF) conformations, which allows binding of either the substrate or the ion (Na\(^+\)) but not both, in a single conformation state \[84,89,95\] (Figure 2). The C and N lobes with bundles of six helices each form the OF conformation with a V-shaped central cavity facing the extracellular environment \[96\]. In this conformation, the central cavity is accessible to the substrate from the extracellular side only. Using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and double electron-electron resonance (DEER) spectroscopy, Castellano and colleagues identified a Na\(^+\) binding site in the N-terminal lobe, which induces conformational changes in the protein upon binding with Na\(^+\) \[39,86,97\]. Crystal structures of VcmN in two different pH-associated conformations showed protonation-induced conformational changes in TM1 due to the rearrangement of hydrogen bonds in the N-lobe \[79\]. The polar amino acid Asp35 is critically important for this process, as a mutation in Asp35 abolished proton and ethidium bromide transport activities. The two different conformations determine the binding of H\(^+\) or the substrate, and not both simultaneously, and the associated rearrangement of hydrogen bonds around Asp35 disallows re-binding of the substrate after extrusion \[79\].
Based on the crystal structures of PfMATE (H\(^+\)-coupled DinF protein from *Pyrococcus furiosus* [89,98], Kusakizako and colleagues have proposed an “alternating access mechanism” for the DinF-subfamily of MATE transporters [83]. Binding with Na\(^+\) (or H\(^+\)) ions occurs at the Asp residue in the TM1 (N-lobe), which results in the bent conformation of TM1. In this state, TM1 assumes an outward open state. No substrate-binding takes place due to changes in the conformation at this stage. The following proposed form is a cation-bound occluded state, followed by an inward-open bent form, assuming a straight conformation to allow substrate binding. This step is followed by a substrate-bound occluded state, which finally enters into outward-open conformation.

The observed antimicrobial extrusion activities of efflux pumps in the laboratory might not represent the more prominent role of efflux pumps in the survival and persistence of bacteria in the environment. For example, the plant pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* employs a NorM efflux pump to overcome the inhibitory activities of compounds produced by co-inhabiting epiphytic bacteria and proliferate to numbers sufficient to cause successful infection of the plants [99].

### 2.5. Efflux Pumps of MFS Family in Vibrio Species

The first MFS antimicrobial transporter with multiple substrates discovered in *V. cholerae* was VceB [100]. This efflux pump was predicted to have 14-transmembrane (TM) domains and contain in its fifth TM the hallmark “antiporter motif”, also known as Motif C [100,101]. Interestingly, VceB forms a part of a more extensive multi-component transport system, VceCAB [102], controlled by VceR, a transcriptional regulator [103]. The VceA protein constitutes a periplasmic component called a membrane fusion protein, and the VceC component plays a role as an outer membrane channel protein [70,102]. Together, these elements assemble into a so-called tripartite multidrug efflux system for the export of multiple structurally different antimicrobial agents from cells of *V. cholerae* [102]. More recently, the VceAB two-component translocase was discovered to participate with an outer membrane protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, OprM, in the assembly of another tripartite system, VceAB-OprM, to export multiple antimicrobial agents [104].
Our laboratory discovered that the genetic determinant, denoted as \( \text{emrD-3} \), from a \( V. \text{cholerae} \) O395 genome project, encoded an MFS multidrug efflux pump that conferred resistance to multiple structurally distinct antimicrobial agents and actively exported ethidium bromide in an energy-dependent manner [105]. Interestingly, a genome comparison study showed that EmrD-3 is encoded on chromosome II of a toxigenic \( V. \text{cholerae} \) strain N16961 but is missing in a non-toxigenic environmental Puget Sound isolate \( V. \text{cholerae} \) strain PS15 [59,88,106]. The EmrD-3 multidrug efflux pump was predicted to harbor 12-TM and the antiporter motif [71,107]. Multiple sequence comparisons showed a high degree of relatedness to various putative exporters from several unrelated bacterial species, such as \( \text{Bacillus cereus} \), \( \text{Proteus mirabilis} \), \( \text{Shewanella putrefaciens} \), plus taxonomically related microorganisms \( V. \text{vulnificus} \), \( V. \text{parahaemolyticus} \), and \( V. \text{harveyi} \) while containing the antiporter motif [105]. To our knowledge, however, these and other putative MFS homologs have not been characterized physiologically.

In \( V. \text{parahaemolyticus} \), the \( \text{pvsC} \) gene encodes an MFS protein and is a component of the \( \text{pvsABCDE} \) operon for metabolism and transport of ferric vibrioferrin [108,109]. The PvsC substrate vibrioferrin is an iron siderophore and confers the export of vibrioferrin from the bacterium [108,109]. The PvsC transporter thus appears to function in siderophore expulsion, a suggested protective mechanism, an established virulence factor for bacterial pathogens [110].

More recently, the five determinants denoted \( \text{mfs1-5} \) from an El Tor \( V. \text{cholerae} \) strain encode MFS proteins that, when mutated by deletion, lose the ability to confer resistance to crude bile and tetracycline [111]. Interestingly, the expression of these \( \text{mfs} \) genes (\( \text{mfs1-5} \)) appeared to be under the control of a transcriptional regulator called MfsR [111], a homolog of the well-known LysR protein, and responsive to tetracycline as an inducer among other unidentified putative inducers in extracts of mouse intestinal tissue [111,112].

In 2017, our laboratory discovered that garlic extract from \( \text{Allium sativum} \) and its bioactive agent allyl sulfide inhibited the antimicrobial efflux activity of EmrD-3 from \( V. \text{cholerae} \) [113], indicating that the EmrD-3 multidrug efflux pump is a good target for resistance modulation and inhibition of growth for the cholera pathogen [59,114,115]. Furthermore, we showed that elements of \( \text{A. sativum} \) extract exhibited profound synergy when combined with clinically critical antimicrobial agents, like lincomycin, vancomycin, and others [113]. These synergistic studies predict that EmrD-3 participates as part of an overall tripartite efflux system, such as that seen for MFS pumps of \( \text{Vibrio} \) species. Lastly, it remains to be determined whether allyl sulfide itself is another substrate or gene expression regulator of the EmrD-3 multidrug efflux pump.

The multidrug efflux systems of the MFS are known to share similar amino acid sequences and protein structures, predicting that the MFS transporters share a common mechanism of solute transport across the membrane [101,116,117]. In the so-called rocker-switch alternating-access mechanism of solute transport, the antiport of drug versus ion involves conformational changes that expose the drug-binding site to inward versus outward-facing versions [27,118,119] (Figure 3). In this rocker-switch mechanism, a single antimicrobial substrate-binding site is proposed for MFS efflux pumps in which the transporters alternate access to the drug-binding site between one or the other side of the membrane [120].

The mechanism involves the following process [119] (Figure 3). (1) The inward-facing transporter undergoes proton release, permitting the antimicrobial drug to bind to the inward-facing drug-binding site. (2) The antimicrobial pump is bound to drug and deprotonated, destabilizing the inward-facing structure to form an occluded state. (3) A conformational change in the pump follows in which the drug-binding site orients to an outward-facing conformation, releasing the drug to the other side of the membrane. The proton motive force permits the protonation of the outward-facing empty pump. (4) The protonated pump induces another conformation change to reorient the drug-binding site to an inward-facing conformation, thus allowing intracellularly located drug to bind the pump, allowing deprotonation in which the released protons enter the cell, completing the drug/H\(^+\) antiport process [119].
Figure 3. A rocker-switch mechanism was proposed for MFS transporters. In this proposed antiport system, proton-driven drug efflux occurs through the pump by alternately exposing the drug (D) binding site to either side of the membrane. The drug translocation process involves conformation changes in the two bundles or halves of the MFS pump to form inward open, occluded, and outward-facing open conformations (steps 1–4 in the figure) [118,119].

A detailed postulated catalytic mechanism for multidrug efflux pump systems is thought to involve several steps, as predicted by kinetic studies of secondary active drug transport [121,122]. First, (a) an extracellular proton binds to an outward-facing empty pump; (b) the affinity of the inward-facing binding site for the drug is enhanced on the pump; (c) the inward-facing pump binds the drug. (d) A conformation change exposes the antimicrobial to the outward-facing state and the proton to the inward face. (e) The drug is released outside; (f) the proton is released inside the cell; lastly, (g) the emptied pump reorients so that the substrate-binding site faces inward, and the proton-binding site faces outward. The emptied multidrug efflux pump is ready to undergo the drug transport cycle again [36,121,122]. In both of these transport models, it is unknown how the energy stored in the ion-motive force is transduced to drive the drug translocation across the membrane, especially in cases where multiple structurally diverse antimicrobial agents actively accumulate their substrates on one side of the membrane.

In the MFS antimicrobial exporters, the highly conserved amino acid sequence motif C (antiporter motif) has been shown to play a molecular hinge role during the conformational alterations in drug antiport across the membrane [123,124]. Furthermore, as predicted in earlier studies [101,116], the α-helical structure of the fifth TM of MFS transporters is kinked, as demonstrated by various crystal structure studies [27,125,126]. A recent study reported that the TM structure formed by the antiporter motif twists about itself during drug efflux and plays a role in orienting the empty transporter during the catalytic transport cycle [127]. The critical nature of the antiporter motif structure in MFS efflux pumps predicts that
the hinge structure formed is a good target for efflux pump inhibition and consequent restoration of effective chemotherapy towards cholera [123,128].

2.6. Efflux Pumps of ABC Superfamily in Vibrio Species

The ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporters derive energy from the hydrolysis of ATP to move diverse compounds such as sugars, amino acids, antibiotics, anticancer drugs, peptides, etc., across the membranes and are widely distributed among both eukaryotes and prokaryotes [129]. A typical ABC pump consists of two membrane-integral part domains that transverse the membrane six times each (12 TMS in total) and two ATP hydrolyzing domains [130]. In many Gram-negative bacteria, ABC transporters are an integral part of toxin secretion systems and play crucial roles in their virulence. *V. cholerae* genome has several ABC transporters predicted to be involved in the transport of amino acids, vitamins, peptides, sugars, lantibiotics, etc. [59]. VcaM was the first ABC transporter functionally characterized from *V. cholerae* [89]. This efflux pump confers resistance to ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, tetracycline, doxorubicin, daunomycin, and dyes such as 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and Hoechst 33342, and the efflux activity was inhibited by reserpine and sodium o-vanadate [39]. More recently, evidence suggests that VcaM relies on the outer-membrane component TolC for active extrusion from host cells [131].

3. Efflux Pumps and Virulence

The RND efflux system in *V. cholerae* coded by six operons: *vexAB*, *vexCD*, *vexEF*, *vexGH*, *vexIJK*, and *vexLM* operate with the same chromosomal element, *tolC*, encoding an outer membrane protein. These efflux pump systems have significant roles to play in the *V. cholerae* infections by colonizing the small intestine [3]. This colonization could be done by imparting resistance to inhibitory substances like bile salts and organic acids that hamper bacterial growth in the intestinal habitat [64,132,133]. The expression of VexD is induced in the presence of bile salts [132]. The expression of the important virulence factors of *V. cholerae*, such as cholera toxin (CT) and the toxin-coregulated pilus (TCP), is regulated by RND efflux pump systems. The *toxT* gene encodes a transcriptional activator responsible for transcription of the genes encoding for CT. The efflux systems VexM and VexF are also implicated in supporting the expression of virulence factors [58]. The mechanism by which RND efflux systems regulate the virulence factor expression is still not explained in detail. Efflux pump inhibitors can inhibit the expression of tcpPH and toxT genes as well as the production of CT and TCP, suggesting a complex interaction between virulence factors and the RND efflux pumps [134]. The evidence also suggests that the effect of efflux pump inhibitors on virulence genes could involve mechanisms other than the inhibition of efflux pumps alone [134]. The outer membrane protein TolC, which is part of the tripartite efflux system, participates in the secretion of RtxA1 toxin in *V. vulnificus* [135].

Nevertheless, it has been proposed that removing redundant function systems results in the accumulation of a low molecular weight compound. This agent acts as a negative effector molecule functioning as a regulator of the expression of the important virulence factors. The life cycle of *V. cholerae* associated with zooplankton and other sediment-dwelling organisms in the aquatic environment could also be correlated with the significance of a few RND efflux systems that have no role in other resistance mechanisms [3].

Role in Quorum Sensing and Biofilm Formation

Biofilm is an aggregation of surface-attached bacterial cells associated with biotic and abiotic surfaces embedded in an extracellular polymeric matrix [136]. The bacterial composition of biofilms can vary, from a single species to multiple species, and the biofilms have a multilayered architecture [137]. Bacteria in biofilms have physiology distinctly different from their planktonic counterparts, being more resistant to antibiotics and disinfectants as well as changes in physicochemical conditions of the surrounding environment [138]. Low molecular weight extracellular compounds like homoserine lactones are produced by bacterial cells of *Vibrio* sp. in biofilm for intercellular communication. Quorum sensing is
the prominent mechanism by which bacteria regulate physiological activities by detecting and responding to the levels of these extracellular compounds. Bacteria produce biofilm once the bacterial cells reach a specific density as detected by quorum sensing, which also regulates the expression of virulence factors [139]. Multidrug-resistant membrane efflux pumps in *Vibrio* sp. could be associated with the expression of virulence factors regulated by the quorum-sensing mechanism. The compounds involved in the bacterial quorum-sensing mechanism require membrane transporters similar to efflux pumps. Hence, the inhibition of efflux pumps could reduce biofilm production and other virulence factors [140].

4. Conclusions

Membrane efflux pumps play a critical role in the survival physiology of marine *Vibrio* species by contributing to the bacterial ability to survive antimicrobial substances and high osmolarity, biofilm formation, quorum sensing, and even virulence. Although the whole genome sequences have allowed the identification of new efflux pumps in the genome of *Vibrio* bacteria, some of which do not contribute to resistance to any known antimicrobials, their exact functions have remained elusive. Crystallographic structures of some efflux proteins of *Vibrio* species and other closely related bacteria have allowed an understanding of the structure-function relationships of these efflux pumps to a greater extent. Much remains to be understood regarding the roles of efflux pumps in the natural environment of *Vibrio* species, their substrate profiles, regulation, and transport mechanisms.

**Funding:** This article was funded in part by grants to the HSI STEM program at ENMU by the U.S. Department of Education (P031C110114) and by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (P20GM103451) from the National Institutes of Health.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Kenwyn Cradock for helpful discussions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Baker-Austin, C.; Oliver, J.D.; Alam, M.; Ali, A.; Waldor, M.K.; Qadri, F.; Martínez-Urtaza, J. *Vibrio* spp. infections. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2018**, *4*, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. CDC. Cholera—*Vibrio cholerae* infection | Cholera | CDC. Available online: [https://www.cdc.gov/cholera/index.html](https://www.cdc.gov/cholera/index.html) (accessed on 10 October 2019).

3. Reidl, J.; Klose, K.E. *Vibrio cholerae* and cholera: Out of the water and into the host. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2002**, *26*, 125–139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Bonnin-Jusserand, M.; Copin, S.; Le Bris, C.; Brauge, T.; Gay, M.; Brisabois, A.; Grard, T.; Midelet-Bourdin, G. *Vibrio* species involved in seafood-borne outbreaks (*Vibrio cholerae, V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus*): Review of microbiological versus recent molecular detection methods in seafood products. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2019**, *59*, 597–610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Ali, M.; Nelson, A.R.; Lopez, A.L.; Sack, D.A. Updated Global Burden of Cholera in Endemic Countries. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2015**, *9*, e0003832. [CrossRef]

6. Colwell, R.R. Global climate and infectious disease: The cholera paradigm. *Science* **1996**, *274*, 2025–2031. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Sack, D.A.; Lyke, C.; McLaughlin, C.; Suwanvanichkij, V.; World Health Organization. *Antimicrobial Resistance in Shigellosis, Cholera and Campylobacteriosis*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2001.

8. Faruque, S.M.; Mekalanos, J.J. Phage-bacterial interactions in the evolution of toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Virulence* **2012**, *3*, 556–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Deepanjali, A.; Kumar, H.S.; Karunasagar, I. Seasonal variation in abundance of total and pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* bacteria in oysters along the southwest coast of India. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2005**, *71*, 3575–3580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Ghemem, L.; Elhadi, N.; Alzahrani, F.; Nishibuchi, M. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*: A Review on Distribution, Pathogenesis, Virulence Determinants and Epidemiology. *Saudi J. Med. Med. Sci.* **2017**, *5*, 93–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Nishibuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Misawa, T.; Khoeomanee-Iam, V.; Honda, T.; Miwatani, T. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of the gene (*trh*) encoding the hemolysin related to the thermostable direct hemolysin of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Infect. Immun.* **1989**, *57*, 2691–2697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Okuda, J.; Ishibashi, M.; Hayakawa, E.; Nishino, T.; Takeda, Y.; Mukhopadhyay, A.K.; Garg, S.; Bhattacharya, S.; Nair, G.B.; Nishibuchi, M. Emergence of a unique O3: K6 clone of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in Calcutta, India, and isolation of strains from the same clonal group from Southeast Asian travelers arriving in Japan. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1997, **35**, 3150–3155. [CrossRef]  
13. Tran, L.; Nunan, L.; Redman, R.M.; Mohney, L.L.; Pantoja, C.R.; Fitzsimmons, K.; Lightner, D.V. Determination of the infectious nature of the agent of acute hepatopancreatic necrosis syndrome affecting penaeid shrimp. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 2013, **105**, 45–55. [CrossRef]  
14. Heng, S.-P.; Letchumanan, V.; Deng, C.-Y.; Ab Mutalib, N.-S.; Khan, T.M.; Chuah, L.-H.; Chan, K.-G.; Goh, B.-H.; Pusparajah, P.; Lee, L.-H. *Vibrio vulnificus*: An Environmental and Clinical Burden. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, **8**, 997. [CrossRef]  
15. Parvathi, A.; Kumar, H.S.; Karunasagar, I.; Karunasagar, I. Detection and enumeration of *Vibrio vulnificus* in oysters from two estuaries along the southwest coast of India, using molecular methods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2004, **70**, 6909–6913. [CrossRef]  
16. Hueln, S.; Eichhorn, C.; Urmersbach, S.; Breidenbach, J.; Bechlars, S.; Bier, N.; Alter, T.; Bartelt, E.; Frank, C.; Oberheimann, B.; et al. Pathogenic vibrios in environmental, seafood and clinical sources in Germany. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* IFMM 2014, **304**, 843–850. [CrossRef]  
17. Andersen, J.L.; He, G.X.; Kakarla, P.; Ranjana, K.C.; Kumar, S.; Lakra, W.S.; Mukherjee, M.M.; Ranaweera, I.; Shrestha, U.; Tran, T.; et al. Multidrug efflux pumps from Enterobacteriaceae, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterial food pathogens. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2015, **12**, 1487–1547. [CrossRef]  
18. Varela, M.F.; Stephen, J.; Lekshmi, M.; Ojha, M.; Wenzel, N.; Sanford, L.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Parvathi, A.; Kumar, S.H. Bacterial Resistance to Antimicrobial Agents. *Antibiotics* 2021, **10**, 593. [CrossRef]  
19. Lewis, K. Persister cells. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2010, **64**, 357–372. [CrossRef]  
20. Lambert, P.A. Bacterial resistance to antibiotics: Modified target sites. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2005, **57**, 1471–1485. [CrossRef]  
21. Koch, A.L. Bacterial Wall as Target for Attack. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2015, **28**, 637–687. [CrossRef]  
22. Wilson, D.N.; Hauryliuk, V.; Atkinson, G.C.; O’Neill, A.J. Target protection as a key antibiotic resistance mechanism. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2020, **18**, 637–648. [CrossRef]  
23. Walther-Rasmussen, J.; Hoby, N. Cefotaximases (CTX-M-ases), an expanding family of extended-spectrum β-lactamases. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 2004, **50**, 137–165. [CrossRef]  
24. Nikaido, H. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2003, **67**, 593–656. [CrossRef]  
25. Li, X.Z.; Plesiat, P.; Nikaido, H. The challenge of efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2015, **28**, 337–418. [CrossRef]  
26. Kumar, S.; Varela, M.F. Biochemistry of bacterial multidrug efflux pumps. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2012, **13**, 4484–4495. [CrossRef]  
27. Floyd, J.T.; Kumar, S.; Mukherjee, M.M.; He, G.; Varela, M.F. A review of the molecular mechanisms of drug efflux in pathogenic bacteria: A structure-function perspective. *Recent Res. Dev. Membr. Biol.* 2013, **3**, 15–66.  
28. Blair, J.M.; Webber, M.A.; Baylay, A.J.; Ogbonu, D.O.; Piddock, L.J. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2015, **13**, 42–51. [CrossRef]  
29. Levy, S.B. Active efflux, a common mechanism for biocide and antibiotic resistance. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2002, **92**, 655–715. [CrossRef]  
30. Higgins, C.F. ABC transporters: Physiology, structure and mechanism—an overview. *Res. Microbiol.* 2001, **152**, 205–210. [CrossRef]  
31. Parr, T.R., Jr.; Saier, M.H., Jr. The bacterial phosphotransferase system as a potential vehicle for the entry of novel antibiotics. *Res. Microbiol.* 1992, **143**, 443–447. [CrossRef]  
32. Rambhatla, P.; Kumar, S.; Floyd, J.T.; Varela, M.F. Molecular cloning and characterization of mannitol-1-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Vibrio cholerae*. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2011, **21**, 914–920. [CrossRef]  
33. Kumar, S.; Smith, K.P.; Floyd, J.L.; Varela, M.F. Cloning and molecular analysis of a mannitol operon of phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase (PTS) type from *Vibrio cholerae* O195. *Arch. Microbiol.* 2011, **193**, 201–208. [CrossRef]  
34. Poolman, B.; Konings, W.N. Secondary solute transport in bacteria. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1993, **1183**, 5–39. [CrossRef]  
35. West, I.C.; Mitchell, P. Proton/sodium ion antiport in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem. J.* 1974, **137**, 87–90. [CrossRef]  
36. Poolman, B.; et al. Multidrug efflux pumps from Enterobacteriaceae, *Vibrio cholerae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* bacterial food pathogens. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2015, **12**, 1487–1547. [CrossRef]  
37. Begum, A.; Rahman, M.M.; Ogawa, W.; Mizushima, T.; Kuroda, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Gene cloning and characterization of four MATE family multidrug efflux pumps from *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 2005, **49**, 949–957. [CrossRef]  
38. Huda, M.N.; Chen, J.; Morita, Y.; Kuroda, T.; Mizushima, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Gene cloning and characterization of VcrM, a Na⁺-coupled multidrug efflux pump, from *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 2003, **47**, 419–427. [CrossRef]  
39. Paulsen, I.T.; Skurray, R.A.; Tam, R.; Saier, M.H., Jr.; Turner, R.J.; Weiner, J.H.; Goldberg, E.B.; Grinius, L.L. The SMR family: A novel family of multidrug efflux proteins involved with the efflux of lipophilic drugs. *Mol. Microbiol.* 1996, **19**, 1167–1175. [CrossRef]  
40. Jack, D.L.; Yang, N.M.; Saier, M.H., Jr. The drug/metabolite transporter superfamily. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2001, **268**, 3620–3639. [CrossRef]  
41. Hassan, K.A.; Liu, Q.; Henderson, P.J.; Paulsen, I.T. Homologs of the *Acinetobacter baumannii* AceT transporter represent a new family of bacterial multidrug efflux systems. *mBio* 2015, **6**, e01982-14. [CrossRef]
43. Rees, D.C.; Johnson, E.; Lewinson, O. ABC transporters: The power to change. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2009, 10, 218–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Destoumieux-Garzon, D.; Duperthuy, M.; Vanhove, A.S.; Schmitt, P.; Wai, S.N. Resistance to Antimicrobial Peptides in Vibrios. Antibiotics 2014, 3, 540–563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Nakaio, H. Structure and mechanism of RND-type multidrug efflux pumps. Adv. Enzym. Relat. Areas Mol. Biol. 2011, 77, 1–60.

46. Fernández, L.; Hancock, R.E.W. Adaptive and mutational resistance: Role of porins and efflux pumps in drug resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2012, 25, 661–681. [CrossRef]

47. Bavro, V.N.; Marshall, R.L.; Symmons, M.F. Architecture and roles of periplasmic adaptor proteins in tripartite efflux assemblies. Front. Microbiol. 2015, 6, 513.

48. Venter, H.; Mowla, R.; Ohene-Agyei, T.; Ma, S. RND-type drug efflux pumps from Gram-negative bacteria: Molecular mechanism and inhibition. Front. Microbiol. 2015, 6, 377. [CrossRef]

49. Nakaio, H. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets: Permeability barriers and active efflux. Science 1994, 264, 382–388. [CrossRef]

50. Murakami, S.; Nakashima, R.; Yamashita, E.; Yamaguchi, A. Crystal structure of bacterial multidrug efflux transporter AcrB. Nature 2002, 419, 587–593. [CrossRef]

51. Mikolosko, J.; Bobyk, K.; Zgurskaya, H.I.; Ghosh, P. Conformational flexibility in the multidrug efflux system protein AcrA. Structure 2006, 14, 577–587. [CrossRef]

52. Akama, H.; Matsuura, T.; Kashiwagi, S.; Yoneyama, H.; Narita, S.; Tsukihara, T.; Nakagawa, A.; Nakae, T. Crystal structure of the membrane fusion protein, MexA, of the multidrug transporter in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 25939–25942. [CrossRef]

53. Akama, H.; Kanemaki, M.; Yoshimura, M.; Tsukihara, T.; Kashiwagi, T.; Yoneyama, H.; Narita, S.; Nakagawa, A.; Nakae, T. Crystal structure of the drug discharge outer membrane protein, OprM, of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Dual modes of membrane anchoring and occluded cavity end. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 52816–52819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Higgins, M.K.; Bokma, E.; Koronakis, E.; Hughes, C.; Koronakis, V. Structure of the periplasmic component of a bacterial drug efflux pump. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 9994–9999. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Nakaio, H. Antibiotic resistance caused by gram-negative multidrug efflux pumps. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1998, 27 (Suppl. 1), S32–S41. [CrossRef]

56. Kunkle, D.E.; Bina, X.R.; Bina, J.E. The Vibrio cholerae VexGH RND Efflux System Maintains Cellular Homeostasis by Effluxing Vibriobactin. MBio 2017, 8, e00126-17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Bina, J.E.; Provenzano, D.; Wang, C.; Bina, X.R.; Mehalos, J.J. Characterization of the Vibrio cholerae vexAB and vexCD efflux systems. Arch. Microbiol. 2006, 186, 171–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Taylor, D.L.; Bina, X.; Bina, J. Vibrio cholerae vexH Encodes a Multiple Drug Efflux Pump That Contributes to the Production of Cholera Toxin and the Toxin Co-Regulated Pilius. PLoS ONE 2012, 7, e38208. [CrossRef]

59. Mukherjee, M.; Kakarla, P.; Kumar, S.; Gonzalez, E.; Floyd, J.T.; Inupakutika, M.; Devireddy, A.R.; Tirrell, S.R.; Bruns, M.; He, G.; et al. Comparative genome analysis of non-toxigenic non-O1 versus toxigenic O1 Vibrio cholerae. Genom. Discov. 2014, 2, 1–15. [CrossRef]

60. Rahman, M.M.; Matsuo, T.; Ogawa, W.; Koterasawa, M.; Kuroda, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Molecular cloning and characterization of all RND-type efflux transporters in Vibrio cholerae non-O1. Microbiol. Immunol. 2007, 51, 1061–1070. [CrossRef]

61. Sistrunk, J.R.; Nickerson, K.P.; Chanin, R.B.; Rasko, D.A.; Faherty, C.S. Survival of the fittest: How bacterial pathogens utilize bile to enhance infection. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2016, 29, 819–836. [CrossRef]

62. Provenzano, D.; Klose, K.E. Altered expression of the ToxR-regulated porins OmpU and OmpT diminishes Vibrio cholerae bile resistance, virulence factor expression, and intestinal colonization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 10220–10224. [CrossRef]

63. Wibbenmeyer, J.A.; Provenzano, D.; Landry, C.F.; Klose, K.E.; Delcour, A.H. Vibrio cholerae OmpU and OmpT porins are differentially affected by bile. Infect. Immun. 2002, 70, 121–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Bina, X.R.; Provenzano, D.; Nguyen, N.; Bina, J.E. Vibrio cholerae RND family efflux systems are required for antimicrobial resistance, optimal virulence factor production, and colonization of the infant mouse small intestine. Infect. Immun. 2008, 76, 3595–3605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Zhang, J.; Huang, Y.; Xu, H.; Ying, S.; Pan, H.; Yu, W. Genomic and Phenotypic Characteristics for Vibrio vulnificus Infections. Infect. Drug Resist. 2021, 14, 3721–3726. [CrossRef]

66. Lee, S.; Yeom, J.H.; Seo, S.; Lee, M.; Kim, S.; Bae, J.; Lee, K.; Hwang, J. Functional analysis of Vibrio vulnificus RND efflux pumps homologous to Vibrio cholerae VexAB and VexCD, and to Escherichia coli AcrAB. J. Microbiol. 2015, 53, 256–261. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Lee, S.; Song, S.; Lee, K. Functional analysis of TolC homologs in Vibrio vulnificus. Curr. Microbiol. 2014, 68, 729–734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Lee, S.; Song, S.; Lee, M.; Hwang, S.; Kim, J-S.; Ha, N-C.; Lee, K. Interaction between the α-barrel tip of Vibrio vulnificus TolC homologs and AcrA implies the adapter bridging model. J. Microbiol. 2014, 52, 148–153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Misra, R.; Bavro, V.N. Assembly and transport mechanism of tripartite drug efflux systems. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2009, 1794, 817–825. [CrossRef]
70. Federici, L.; Du, D.; Walas, F.; Matsumura, H.; Fernandez-Recio, J.; McKeegan, K.S.; Borges-Walmsley, M.I.; Luisi, B.F.; Walmsley, A.R. The crystal structure of the outer membrane protein VceC from the bacterial pathogen *Vibrio cholerae* at 1.8 A resolution. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2005, 280, 15307–15314. [CrossRef]

71. Kumar, S.; Ranjana, K.; Sanford, L.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Kakarla, P.; Varela, M.F. Structural and functional roles of two evolutionarily conserved amino acid sequence motifs within solute transporters of the major facilitator superfamily. *Trends Cell Mol. Biol.* 2016, 11, 41–53.

72. Yamanaka, H.; Tadokoro, S.; Miyano, M.; Takahashi, E.; Kobayashi, H.; Okamoto, K. Studies on the region involved in the transport activity of *Escherichia coli* ToIC by chimeric protein analysis. *Microb. Pathog.* 2007, 42, 184–192. [CrossRef]

73. Weng, Y.; Fields, E.G.; Bina, T.F.; Budnick, J.A.; Kunike, D.E.; Bina, X.R.; Bina, J.E. *Vibrio cholerae* ToIC Is Required for Expression of the ToxR Regulon. *Infect. Immun.* 2021, 89, e0024221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Morita, Y.; Kataoka, A.; Shiota, S.; Mizushima, T.; Tsuchiya, T. NorM of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is an Na+-driven multidrug efflux pump. *J. Bacteriol.* 2000, 182, 6694–6697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Morita, Y.; Kodama, K.; Shiota, S.; Mine, T.; Kataoka, A.; Mizushima, T.; Tsuchiya, T. NorM, a putative multidrug efflux protein, of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and its homolog in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998, 42, 1778–1782. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Brown, M.H.; Paulsen, I.T.; Skurray, R.A. The multidrug efflux protein NorM is a prototype of a new family of transporters. *J. Bacteriol.* 1999, 181, 4264–4271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Otsuka, M.; Yasuda, M.; Morita, Y.; Otsuka, C.; Tsuchiya, T.; Omote, H.; Moriyama, Y. Identification of essential amino acid residues of the NorM Na+/multidrug antiporter in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2005, 187, 1552–1558. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Radchenko, M.; Symersky, J.; Nie, R.; Lu, M. Structural basis for the blockade of MATE multidrug efflux pumps. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7995. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Kusakizako, T.; Claxton, D.P.; Tanaka, Y.; Maturana, A.D.; Kuroda, T.; Ishitani, R.; McHaourab, H.S.; Nureki, O. Structural Basis of H+-Dependent Conformational Change in a Bacterial MATE Transporter. *Structure* 2019, 27, 293–301.e293. [CrossRef]

80. Nie, L.; Grell, E.; Malviya, V.N.; Xie, H.; Wang, J.; Michel, H. Identification of the high-affinity substrate-binding site of the Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion (MATE) Family Transporter from *Pseudomonas stutzeri*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2016, 291, 15503–15514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Jin, Y.; Nair, A.; van Veen, H.W. Multidrug transport protein NorM from *Vibrio cholerae* simultaneously couples to sodium- and proton-motive force. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014, 289, 14624–14632. [CrossRef]

82. Lu, M.; Radchenko, M.; Symersky, J.; Nie, R.; Guo, Y. Structural insights into H+-coupled multidrug extrusion by a MATE transporter. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2013, 20, 1310–1317. [CrossRef]

83. He, X.; Szweczyk, P.; Karyakin, A.; Evin, M.; Hong, W.X.; Zhang, Q.; Chang, G. Structure of a cation-bound multidrug and toxic compound extrusion transporter. *Nature* 2010, 467, 991–994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Kusakizako, T.; Miyauchi, H.; Ishitani, R.; Nureki, O. Structural biology of the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion superfamily transporters. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Biomembr.* 2020, 1862, 183154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Vanni, S.; Campomanes, P.; Marcia, M.; Rothlisberger, U. Ion binding and internal hydration in the multidrug resistance secondary active transporter NorM investigated by molecular dynamics simulations. *Biochemistry 2012*, 51, 1281–1287. [CrossRef]

86. Claxton, D.P.; Jagessar, K.L.; Steed, P.R.; Steed, R.A.; McHaourab, H.S. Sodium and proton coupling in the conformation cycle of a MATE antiporter from *Vibrio cholerae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, E6182–E6190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Huda, M.N.; Morita, Y.; Kuroda, T.; Mizushima, T.; Tsuchiya, T. Na+-driven multidrug efflux pump VcmA from *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1, a non-halophilic bacterium. *FEBS Microbiol. Lett.* 2001, 203, 235–239. [CrossRef]

88. Heidelberg, J.F.; Eisen, J.A.; Nelson, W.C.; Clayton, R.A.; Gwinn, M.L.; Dodson, R.J.; Haft, D.H.; Hickey, E.K.; Peterson, J.D.; Umayam, L.; et al. DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1, a non-halophilic bacterium. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2002, 210, 42–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

89. Zakrzewska, S.; Mehdipour, A.R.; Malviya, V.N.; Nonaka, T.; Koepe, J.; Muenke, C.; Hausner, W.; Hummer, G.; Safarian, S.; Michel, H. Inward-facing conformation of a multidrug resistance MATE family transporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 12275–12284. [CrossRef]

90. Mousa, J.J.; Newsome, R.C.; Yang, Y.; Jobin, C.; Bruner, S.D. CibM is a versatile, cation-promiscuous MATE transporter found in the colibactin biosynthetic gene cluster. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2017, 482, 1233–1239. [CrossRef]

91. Lu, M.; Symersky, J.; Radchenko, M.; Koide, A.; Guo, Y.; Nie, R.; Koide, S. Structures of a Na+-coupled, substrate-bound MATE multidrug transporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 2099–2104. [CrossRef]

92. Lu, M. Structures of multidrug and toxic compound extrusion transporters and their mechanistic implications. *Channels 2016*, 10, 88–100. [CrossRef]

93. Omote, H.; Hiasa, M.; Matsumoto, T.; Otsuka, M.; Moriyama, Y. The MATE proteins as fundamental transporters of metabolic and xenobiotic organic cations. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2006, 27, 587–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Yan, N. Structural biology of the major facilitator superfamily transporters. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 2015, 44, 257–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Castellano, S.; Claxton, D.P.; Ficici, E.; Kusakizako, T.; Stix, R.; Zhou, W.; Nureki, O.; McHaourab, H.S.; Faraldo-Gomez, J.D. Conserved binding site in the N-lobe of prokaryotic MATE transporters suggests a role for Na+ in ion-coupled drug efflux. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2021, 296, 100262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
96. Claxton, D.P.; Jagessar, K.L.; McHaourab, H.S. Principles of Alternating Access in Multidrug and Toxin Extrusion (MATE) Transporters. J. Biol. 2021, 433, 166959. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Ficici, E.; Zhou, W.; Castellano, S.; Faraldo-Gomez, J.D. Broadly conserved Na+-binding site in the N-lobe of prokaryotic multidrug MATE transporters. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2018, 115, E6172–E6181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Tanaka, Y.; Hipolito, C.J.; Maturana, A.D.; Ito, K.; Kuroda, T.; Higuchi, T.; Kato, T.; Kato, H.E.; Hattori, M.; Kumazaki, K. Structural basis for the drug extrusion mechanism by a MATE multidrug transporter. Nature 2013, 496, 247–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Burse, A.; Weingart, H.; Ullrich, M.S. NorM, an Erwinia amylovora multidrug efflux pump involved in in vitro competition with other epiphytic bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2004, 70, 693–703. [CrossRef]

100. Colmer, J.A.; Fralick, J.A.; Hamood, A.N. Isolation and characterization of a putative multidrug resistance pump from Vibrio cholerae. Mol. Microbiol. 1998, 27, 63–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

101. Varela, M.F.; Sansom, C.E.; Griffith, J.K. Mutational analysis and molecular modelling of an amino acid sequence motif conserved in antiporters but not symporters in a transporter superfamily. Mol. Membr. Biol. 1995, 12, 313–319. [CrossRef]

102. Woolley, R.C.; Vediyappan, G.; Anderson, M.; Lackey, M.; Ramasubramanian, B.; Jiangping, B.; Borisova, T.; Colmer, J.A.; Hamood, A.N.; McVay, C.S.; et al. Characterization of the Vibrio cholerae vceCAB multiple-drug resistance efflux operon in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 2005, 187, 5500–5503. [CrossRef]

103. Alatoom, A.A.; Aburto, R.; Hamood, A.N.; Colmer-Hamood, J.A. VceR negatively regulates the vceCAB MDR efflux operon and positively regulates its own synthesis in Vibrio cholerae. J. Bacteriol. 2007, 53, 888–900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Bai, J.; Mosley, L.; Fralick, J.A. Evidence that the C-terminus of OprM is involved in the assembly of the VceAB-OprM efflux pump. FEBS Lett. 2010, 584, 1493–1497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Smith, K.P.; Kumar, S.; Varela, M.F. Identification, cloning, and functional characterization of EmrD-3, a putative multidrug efflux pump of the major facilitator superfamily from Vibrio cholerae O395. Arch. Microbiol. 2009, 191, 903–911. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Kumar, S.; Lindquist, I.E.; Sundararajan, A.; Rajanna, C.; Floyd, J.T.; Smith, K.P.; Anderson, J.L.; He, G.; Ayers, R.M.; Johnson, J.A.; et al. Genome Sequence of Non-O1 Vibrio cholerae PS15. Genome Announc. 2013, 1, e00227-12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Kakarla, P.; Kc, R.; Shrestha, U.; Ranaweera, I.; Mukherjee, M.; Willmon, T.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Barr, S.R.; Varela, M.F. Functional Roles of Highly Conserved Amino Acid Sequence Motifs A and C in Solute Transporters of the Major Facilitator Superfamily. In Drug Resistance in Bacteria, Fungi, Malaria, and Cancer; Arora, G., Sajid, A., Kalia, V., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017. [CrossRef]

108. Tanabe, T.; Nakao, H.; Kuroda, T.; Miyoshi, S.; Shinoda, S.; Yamamoto, S. Identification and characterization of genes required for biosynthesis and transport of the siderophore vibrioferrin in Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Microbiol. Immunol. 1998, 42, 1103–1112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

109. Amin, S.A.; Green, D.H.; Kupper, F.C.; Carrano, C.J. Vibrioferin, an unusual marine siderophore: Iron binding, photochemistry, and biological implications. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 11451–11458. [CrossRef]

110. Chen, S.; Wang, H.; Katzianer, D.S.; Zhong, Z.; Zhu, J. LysR family activator-regulated major facilitator superfamily transporters are involved in Vibrio cholerae antinociceptive compound resistance and intestinal colonisation. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2013, 41, 188–192. [CrossRef]

111. Liu, H.; Xie, J. Comparative genomics of Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug efflux pumps and their transcriptional regulators. Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene Expr. 2014, 24, 163–180. [PubMed]

112. Bruns, M.M.; Kakarla, P.; Floyd, J.T.; Mukherjee, M.M.; Ponce, R.C.; Garcia, J.A.; Ranaweera, I.; Sanford, L.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Willmon, T.M.; et al. Modulation of the multidrug efflux pump EmrD-3 from Vibrio cholerae by Allium sativum extract and the bioactive agent allyl sulfide plus synergistic enhancement of antimicrobial susceptibility by A. sativum extract. Arch. Microbiol. 2017, 199, 1103–1112. [CrossRef]

113. Varela, M.F.; Kumar, S. Strategies for discovery of new molecular targets for anti-infective drugs. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2019, 48, 57–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Kumar, S.; He, G.; Kakarla, P.; Shrestha, U.; Ranjana, K.C.; Ranaweera, I.; Mark Willmon, T.; Barr, S.R.; Hernandez, A.J.; Varela, M.F. Bacterial multidrug resistance efflux operon and the major facilitator superfamily as targets for modulation. Infect. Disord.-Drug Targets (Former. Curr. Drug Targets-Infect. Disord.) 2016, 16, 28–43. [CrossRef]

115. Varela, M.F.; Griffith, J.K. Nucleotide and deduced protein sequences of the class D tetracycline resistance determinant: Relationship to other antimicrobial transport proteins. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1993, 37, 1253–1258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Griffith, J.K.; Baker, M.E.; Rouch, D.A.; Page, M.G.; Skurray, R.A.; Paulsen, I.T.; Chater, K.F.; Baldwin, S.A.; Henderson, P.J. Membrane transport proteins: Implications of sequence comparisons. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 1992, 4, 684–695. [CrossRef]

117. Kim, J.; Cater, R.J.; Choy, B.C.; Mancia, F. Structural Insights into Transporter-Mediated Drug Resistance in Infectious Diseases. J. Mol. Biol. 2021, 433, 167005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. Du, D.; van Veen, H.W.; Murakami, S.; Pos, K.M.; Luisi, B.F. Structure, mechanism and cooperation of bacterial multidrug transporters. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2015, 33, 76–91. [CrossRef]

119. Law, C.J.; Maloney, P.C.; Wang, D.N. Ins and outs of major facilitator superfamily antiporters. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 2008, 62, 289–305. [CrossRef]
121. Krämer, R. Functional principles of solute transport systems: Concepts and perspectives. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1994**, *1185*, 1–34. [CrossRef]

122. Yamato, I. Ordered binding model as a general mechanistic mechanism for secondary active transport systems. *FEBS Lett.* **1992**, *298*, 1–5. [CrossRef]

123. Yaffe, D.; Radestock, S.; Shuster, Y.; Forrest, L.R.; Schuldiner, S. Identification of molecular hinge points mediating alternating access in the vesicular monoamine transporter VMAT2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, E1332–E1341. [CrossRef]

124. Heng, J.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, M.; Liu, Y.; Fan, J.; Wang, X.; Zhang, X.C. Substrate-bound structure of the *E. coli* multidrug resistance transporter MdfA. *Cell Res.* **2015**, *25*, 1060–1073. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Kumar, S.; Lekshmi, M.; Parvathi, A.; Ojha, M.; Wenzel, N.; Varela, M.F. Functional and Structural Roles of the Major Facilitator Superfamily Bacterial Multidrug Efflux Pumps. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Ranaweera, I.; Shrestha, U.; Ranjana, K.C.; Kakarla, P.; Willmon, T.M.; Hernandez, A.J.; Mukherjee, M.M.; Barr, S.R.; Varela, M.F. Structural comparison of bacterial multidrug efflux pumps of the major facilitator superfamily. *Trends Cell Mol. Biol.* **2015**, *10*, 131–140. [PubMed]

127. Nagarathinam, K.; Jaenecke, F.; Nakada-Nakura, Y.; Hotta, Y.; Liu, K.; Iwata, S.; Stubbs, M.T.; Nomura, N.; Tanabe, M. The multidrug-resistance transporter MdfA from *Escherichia coli*: Crystallization and X-ray diffraction analysis. *Acta Crystallogr. F Struct. Biol. Commun.* **2017**, *73*, 423–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

128. Rao, M.; Padyana, S.; Dipin, K.; Kumar, S.; Nayak, B. Antimicrobial compounds of plant origin as efflux pump inhibitors: New avenues for controlling multidrug resistant pathogens. *J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2018**, *4*, 1–6. [CrossRef]

129. Moussatova, A.; Kandt, C.; O’Mara, M.L.; Tieleman, D.P. ATP-binding cassette transporters in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2008**, *1778*, 1757–1771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

130. Higgins, C.F. ABC transporters: From microorganisms to man. *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* **1992**, *8*, 67–113. [CrossRef]

131. Lu, W.J.; Lin, H.J.; Janganan, T.K.; Li, C.Y.; Chin, W.C.; Bavro, V.N.; Lin, H.V. ATP-Binding Cassette Transporter VcaM from *Vibrio cholerae* is Dependent on the Outer Membrane Factor Family for Its Function. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1000. [CrossRef]

132. Cerda-Maira, F.A.; Ringelberg, C.S.; Taylor, R.K. The bile response repressor BreR regulates expression of the *Vibrio cholerae* breAB efflux system operon. *J. Bacteriol.* **2008**, *190*, 7441–7452. [CrossRef]

133. Alvarez-Ortega, C.; Olivares, J.; Martinez, J.L. RND multidrug efflux pumps: What are they good for? *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 7. [CrossRef]

134. Bina, X.R.; Philippart, J.A.; Bina, J.E. Effect of the efflux inhibitors 1-(1-naphthylmethyl)-piperazine and phenyl-arginine-beta-naphthylamide on antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence factor production in *Vibrio cholerae*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2009**, *63*, 103–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

135. Hwang, W.; Lee, N.Y.; Kim, J.; Lee, M.A.; Kim, K.S.; Lee, K.H.; Park, S.J. Functional characterization of EpsC, a component of the type II secretion system, in the pathogenicity of *Vibrio vulniﬁcus*. *Infect. Immun.* **2011**, *79*, 4068–4080. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Verderosa, A.D.; Totsika, M.; Fairfull-Smith, K.E. Bacterial Bioﬁlm Eradication Agents: A Current Review. *Front. Chem.* **2019**, *7*, 824. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

137. Stoodley, P.; Sauer, K.; Davies, D.G.; Costerton, J.W. Bioﬁlms as complex differentiated communities. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2002**, *56*, 187–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

138. Singh, S.; Singh, S.K.; Chowdhury, I.; Singh, R. Understanding the mechanism of bacterial bioﬁlms resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Open Microbiol. J.* **2017**, *11*, 53. [CrossRef]

139. Szemerédi, N.; Kincses, A.; Rehorova, K.; Hoang, L.; Salardón-Jiménez, N.; Sevilla-Hernández, C.; Viktortová, J.; Domínguez-Álvarez, E.; Spengler, G. Ketone-and Cyano-Selenoesters to Overcome Efflux Pump, Quorum-Sensing, and Biofilm-Mediated Resistance. *Antibiotics* **2020**, *9*, 896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

140. Alcalde-Rico, M.; Hernando-Amado, S.; Blanco, P.; Martinez, J.L. Multidrug Efflux Pumps at the Crossroad between Antibiotic Resistance and Bacterial Virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]