Characterisation of the Plasmidome within Enterococcus faecalis Isolated from Marginal Periodontitis Patients in Norway
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Abstract

The present study aimed to identify and characterize plasmids in a national collection of oral Enterococcus faecalis (n = 106) isolated from patients with marginal periodontitis. Plasmid replicon typing was performed by multiplex-PCR and sequencing with specific primers for 18 rep-families and 1 unique sequence. Additional plasmid analysis by S1-PFGE was performed for comparison. Totally 120 plasmid replicon amplicons of seven rep-families were identified in 93 E. faecalis strains, e.g. rep9 (prototype pCF10), rep6 (prototype pS86), rep2 (prototype pRE25/pEF1), and rep8 (prototype pAM373). Rep9 was the most predominant rep-fam being detected in 81 (76.4%) strains. Forty of these strains were tetracycline resistant and three were erythromycin resistant. Rep6 was the second predominant rep-family being detected in 22 (20.8%) strains. Rep2 was detected in eight (7.5%) strains. All rep2-positive strains were resistant to tetracycline and/or erythromycin and six of them contained Tn916/Tn1545 genes. The rep-positive E. faecalis exhibited divergence in multilocus sequence types (STs). There was a significant correlation between rep9 and ST21, while multiple rep-families appeared in ST40. Totally 145 plasmid bands were identified in 95 E. faecalis strains by S1-PFGE, 59 strains carrying one plasmid, 27 carrying two, five carrying three, three carrying four, and one strain carrying five plasmids. Plasmid sizes varied between 5–150 kbp. There was a significant correlation between the number of plasmids identified by PCR rep-typing and by S1-PFGE. The results indicate that the majority of E. faecalis of marginal periodontitis are likely to be a reservoir for diverse mobile genetic elements and associated antimicrobial resistance determinants.

Introduction

The oral microflora is an important reservoir for transferable antimicrobial resistance [1,2,3,4]. Our previous study of oral Enterococcus faecalis isolated from Norwegian patients with marginal and apical periodontitis showed that approximately 50% of the strains were resistant to one or more of the tested antimicrobial agents, mostly tetracycline and/or erythromycin [5,6]. These observations are consistent with former studies showing resistance towards commonly used antimicrobials in enterococci isolated from the oral cavity [7,8,9]. Enterococci are adept at acquiring antimicrobial resistance, both by point mutations and horizontal gene transfer [10,11]. Intercellular transfer of antimicrobial resistance determinants in enterococci has been associated with numerous mobile genetic elements (MGEs) including conjugative plasmids and conjugative transposons [10,12]. In E. faecalis the narrow host-range, pheromone-responsive, conjugative plasmids and the Tn916/Tn1545-like conjugative transposons that can be found both on these plasmids (e.g. pCF10 contains Tn916) and integrated into the chromo-some, have been shown to confer resistance to tetracycline and/or erythromycin [13,14].

A number of schemes have been developed for plasmid classification, for example plasmid host range, integrative incompatibility, plasmid DNA fingerprinting, replicon typing, and plasmid sequencing [15]. Recently, a PCR-based system has been established for classifying plasmids from enterococci and other Gram-positive bacteria by targeting specific replicon initiation genes (rep) of plasmid DNA [16]. To our knowledge plasmid rep-types have not been systematically investigated in large collections of oral enterococcal isolates. The aims of the present study were to identify and characterize plasmid replicons and the overall plasmid contents in our national collection of more than one hundred clinical oral E. faecalis, and to explore the association of plasmids and conjugative transposons with respect to the previously observed multilocus sequence types (STs) and resistance phenotypes.
Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
This study is a laboratory identification of mobile genetic elements from E. faecalis strains grown in artificial media. The study does not involve the sample collection or patient data, and no patient intervention occurred with the obtained results.

Bacterial strains
A total of 106 E. faecalis strains were obtained from our previous study [6]. The 19 control strains containing plasmids representing 18 unique rep-families and one unique rep-sequence were used as positive controls in the recently described PCR-based plasmid replicon typing system [16]. The two reference strains E. faecalis OG1X harbouring pCF10 (67.7 kb) and E. faecalis DS16 harbouring pAD1 (58 kb) and pAD2 (25 kb) and positive controls in the recently described PCR-based plasmid typing system [16]. The two reference strains were unique study [6]. The 19 control strains containing plasmids representing Tn916.[PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org 2 April 2013 | Volume 8 | Issue 4 | e62248] Detection of Tn916 plasmid rep-families were detected by PCR with species-specific primers complementary to internal regions of E. faecalis 16S rRNA [18]. Six multiplex-PCR with the specific primers for 18 rep-families and one single PCR for 1 unique sequence were used for plasmid classification: rep1 (prototype pIP501), rep2 (prototype pRE25/pEF1), rep3 (prototype pAW63), rep6 (prototype pMBB1), rep9 (prototype pN315), rep9 (prototype pS86), rep7 (prototype pUSA02), rep8 (prototype pAM375), rep5 (prototype pCF10), rep10 (prototype pM13), rep11 (prototype pEF1071), rep13 (prototype pC194), rep14 (prototype pK11), rep15 (prototype pUSA03), rep16 (prototype pSAS), rep17 (prototype pRUM), rep18 (prototype pEF418), rep19 (prototype pUB101) and the unique sequence of pMG1 [16]. After purification with EXO-SAPIT (GE Healthcare, Oslo, Norway) the selected PCR amplicons were sequenced by an ABI3130XL 20 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with the Big Dye® v 3.1 cycle sequencing kit [19]. DNA sequences were aligned and analyzed by the BioEdit software v7.0.5 (Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA 92008), and the sequence identity of each replicon was determined by comparison with the respective rep-family control sequence.

Plasmid analyses by S1-PFGE
A plasmid analysis by S1-PFGE was performed according to Rosvoll et al. [20]. In brief total DNA embedded in agarose gel plug was treated with 20 U of S1 nuclease (Takara, AH Rosvoll et al. [20]. In brief total DNA embedded in agarose gel plug was treated with 20 U of S1 nuclease (Takara, AH Plasmid detection and classification by replicon typing and S1-PFGE
A total of 120 plasmid replicon amplicons were identified in 93 (87.7%) oral E. faecalis strains by PCR. Seven rep-families were determined among these plasmid replicons (Table 1), while rep3, rep4, rep5, rep10, rep11, rep13, rep14, rep15, rep16, rep18, rep19 and the unique rep on pMG1 were not detected. A single rep-family was detected in 68 strains, two rep-families were detected in 24, and three rep-families detected in one. Rep9 (pCF10) was the most predominant rep-family, being identified in 81 (76.4%) strains from marginal periodontitis. Forty of these strains were tetracycline resistant and three were erythromycin resistant [6]. Rep6 (pS86) was the second most predominant rep-family being detected in 22 (20.8%) strains. Rep2 (pRE25) was third most predominant rep-family detected in eight strains, all of which were resistant to tetracycline and/or erythromycin. Rep8 was detected in four strains and all of them were resistant to tetracycline. The positive E. faecalis exhibited divergence in multilocus STs that were derived from our previous study [6]. There was a significant correlation between rep9 and ST21 (p=0.01), while multiple rep-families appeared in ST40.

PFGE of S1-nuclease digested total enterococcal DNA allows detection and size estimation of plasmids as they appear as linearized bands of different sizes in a faint genomic background [23]. A total of 145 plasmid bands were identified in 95 (89.6%) E. faecalis strains by S1-PFGE (an example is shown in Figure 1). Among the plasmid positive strains, 59 carried one plasmid, 27 carried two plasmids, five carried three, three carried four, and one strain carried five plasmids. Plasmid sizes varied between 5–150 kb.

Table 2 reveals comparative data obtained from plasmid rep-typing and S1-PFGE. Plasmids were detected in 85 strains by both S1-PFGE and PCR rep-typing, in ten strains only by S1-PFGE, and in eight strains by PCR alone. Statistical analysis revealed a significant correlation between the number of PCR-positive replicon and the plasmid number identified by S1-PFGE (p=0.005). Table 3 shows the sequence identity of replicon PCR products by comparison with the respective rep-family control sequences in each rep-family.

Detection of genes usually present on Tn916-like elements
The detection of genes usually present on Tn916-like elements (often found on pCF10-like plasmids) among E. faecalis is summarized in Table 1. The two genes (tet(M) and intTn) often present on Tn916-like transposon were detected in 33 E. faecalis strains and three genes (tet(M), erm(B) and intTn) often present on Tn1545-like transposon were detected in eight strains. Most of these strains were positive for rep9 plasmids by PCR. All rep2-positive plasmids were resistant to tetracycline and/or erythromycin, and six of them contained Tn916/Tn1545 genes.

Statistical analysis
SPSS 16.0 for windows was used for statistical analysis. The Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test was used to examine correlation between the replicon number by rep-typing PCR and the plasmid number by S1-PFGE. The Fisher’s exact probability test was applied for calculating the Phi coefficient of association between rep9 and ST21. $p<0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Detection of Tn916/Tn1545 genes by PCR
Since the rep9 (pCF10) contains a copy of the conjugative transposon Tn916, we decided to detect the presence of genes present on these MGEs in addition to the plasmid replicon. Genes present on Tn916-like elements were detected by specific PCRs as previously described targeting the genes for tetracycline resistant [tet(M)], erythromycin resistance [erm(B)] and the integrase gene [intTn] [21,22]. PCR products were verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and selected amplicons were sequenced for confirmation.
Table 1. Distribution of replicon types, antibiotic resistance, Tn916/Tn1545 associated genes and multi-locus sequence types among 106 *E. faecalis* in marginal periodontitis.

| Strain | Sequence type* | Number | Rep-family | Plasmid | Tn916 gene | Resistance* |
|--------|----------------|--------|------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| 4      | 1              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| –       | –          |             |
| 16     | 5              | 1      | 9          | pCF10   | –          | –           |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | –       | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | –      | –          | –       | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | –      | –          | –       | tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin |
|        | 1              | –      | –          | –       | tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin |
| 21     | 19             | 9      | pCF10      | –       | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | –       | –          |             |
|        | 2              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| –       | –          |             |
| 25     | 3              | 1      | 6, 9       | pCF10, pS86| –          |             |
|        | 1              | –      | –          | –       | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
| 30     | 7              | 1      | 9          | pCF10   | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | –       | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | tet(M)  | tetracycline, trimethoprim |
|        | 1              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| –       | –          |             |
|        | 1              | 9, 17  | pCF10, pRUM| –       | –          |             |
|        | 1              | –      | –          | –       | –          |             |
| 34     | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | –       | –          |             |
| 35     | 1              | 1      | 1          | pIP501  | tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin, gentamicin |
| 40     | 10             | 1      | 9          | pCF10   | –          |             |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 2      | pRE25      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 6      | pS86       | –       | –          |             |
|        | 2              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| –       | –          |             |
|        | 2              | 8, 9   | pCF10, pAM373| tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 2, 6, 9| pCF10, pS86, pRE25, pAM373| tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin |
|        | 1              | 2, 7   | pRE25, pUSA02| tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin |
| 44     | 5              | 2      | 9          | pCF10   | –          |             |
|        | 2              | 9      | pCF10      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| tet(M)  | tetracycline |
|        | 55             | 3      | 1          | 2      | pRE25      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 2      | pRE25      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 2, 8   | pRE25, pAM373| tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
| 56     | 5              | 4      | 9          | pCF10   | –          | tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 6, 9   | pCF10, pS86| –       | tetracycline |
| 59     | 1              | 1      | 9          | pCF10   | –          |             |
| 62     | 1              | –      | –          | –       | tetracycline |
| 63     | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
| 64     | 2              | 1      | 9          | pCF10   | tet(M), intTn| tetracycline |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | tet(M), erm(B), intTn| tetracycline, erythromycin, trimethoprim |
| 72     | 5              | 1      | 6          | pS86    | –          |             |
|        | 1              | 9      | pCF10      | –       | –          |             |
Discussion

The present study investigated the occurrence, classification and characterization of plasmids in a national collection of *E. faecalis* isolated from subgingival dental plaque of patients with marginal periodontitis by two plasmid typing methods, PCR based *rep*-typing and S1-PFGE.

Recently a classification system has been proposed for identifying plasmids from Gram-positive bacteria by PCR-based *rep*-typing method [16]. The system is set up on PCR amplification of conserved regions of the replication initiation genes of plasmids. S1-PFGE is a classical method where plasmid DNA is linearized by S1 restriction enzyme, and separated on a gel to estimate their sizes [20,23]. Based on the assumption of each plasmid replicon representing one plasmid by PCR *rep*-typing and each plasmid band representing one plasmid by S1-PFGE, 93 (87.7%) plasmid-positive strains were identified by PCR *rep*-typing and 95 (89.6%) plasmid-positive strains identified by S1-PFGE. Rosvoll et al. detected plasmid replicons in 83% of clinical *E. faecium* strains [20] and Jensen et al. reported a prevalence of 68% *E. faecalis* strains from both non-human and human origin carrying replicons [16]. These plasmid prevalence rates indicate that most *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* strains contain plasmids with an amplifiable *rep* gene by PCR. The present study identified a total of seven *rep*-families in oral *E. faecalis* isolates, while Jensen and his co-workers detected ten *rep*-families from 28 *E. faecalis* and 51 *E. faecium* strains with the same method [16]. This finding agrees with the fact that numerous types of plasmids are often present in clinical enterococci [24,25,26]. It has been suggested that antimicrobial resistant flora may lead to recurrence and progression of periodontal diseases.

| Strain | Plasmid | Tn916 gene | Resistance* |
|--------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Sequence type* | Number | *Rep*-family | Prototype | |
| 3 | 6, 9 | pCF10, pS86 | – | – |
| 79 | 1 | 6 | pS86 | – | – |
| 81 | 3 | 1 | – | – | – |
| 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 1 | 6, 9 | pCF10, pS86 | – | tetracycline |
| 91 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 97 | 2 | 1 | – | – | – |
| 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 105 | 1 | 2, 7 | pRE25, pUSA02 | erm(B) | erythromycin |
| 162 | 4 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 170 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | tet(M), intTn | tetracycline |
| 205 | 1 | – | – | – | – |
| 206 | 2 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 1 | 6 | pS86 | tet(M), intTn | tetracycline |
| 209 | 4 | 1 | – | – | – |
| 2 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 1 | 6, 9 | pCF10, pS86 | – | – |
| 220 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 226 | 1 | – | – | – | – |
| 236 | 2 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 237 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 238 | 1 | 6, 9 | pCF10, pS86 | – | – |
| 239 | 1 | 6, 9 | pCF10, pS86 | – | – |
| 240 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 241 | 2 | 1 | – | – | – |
| 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | tetracycline |
| 242 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 243 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 244 | 1 | 2, 8 | pRE25, pAM373 | tet(M) | tetracycline |
| 245 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |
| 246 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | tet(M), erm(B), intTn | tetracycline, erythromycin, trimethoprim |
| 247 | 1 | 9 | pCF10 | – | – |

*Sequence type and resistance obtained from our previous study [6].

* *Sequence type and resistance obtained from our previous study [6].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062248.t001
[27,28]. Different plasmids being identified in high frequency and large numbers from the subgingival E. faecalis implicates oral enterococci might be a potential source of transferable antimicrobial resistance, as well as play a role in recurrent marginal periodontitis. In S1-PFGE experiments we detected plasmids ranging from 5–150 kbp in size and as many as five distinct plasmids in one strain. Accordingly other studies have shown that single isolates of E. faecalis may harbour multiple plasmids with different sizes and copy numbers [25,29].

Rep9 (pCF10) was the most predominant rep-family among the oral E. faecalis with half of the strains in this group being resistant to tetracycline and three were resistant to erythromycin. Rep9 consists of five sex-pheromone responsive plasmids that are often found in E. faecalis. Sequence identity among this family was 81.8% [16] which corresponds to our finding of 84.5%–97.9% when compared to rep9 control sequence. This big sequence divergence most likely reflects the specificity of plasmids respond to different sex pheromones [16]. Comparing rep9 and MLST sequence types, a significant correlation is found between rep9 plasmids and ST21. For E. faecalis ST21 strains carrying rep9 plasmids, 17/19 of the strains were resistant to tetracycline. Plasmid pCF10 is a major member of rep9 that contains tet(M) on Tn916-like elements. It is likely that the majority of the tetracycline resistance is due to Tet(M) encoded by plasmid located tet(M) although this requires experimental verification. It is worth noting however that some isolates carrying rep9 plasmids do not exhibit tetracycline or erythromycin resistance, which could be indicative of variability of the plasmids in these strains in terms of association with Tn916-like conjugative transposons. Likewise a few resistant isolates had no detectable plasmids indicative of chromosomally located resistance genes [30,31]. Rep2 (pRE25) was detected in eight strains. Interestingly all rep2-positive strains were resistant to tetracycline and/or erythromycin, and six of them contained Tn916/Tn1345 genes. Rep2 family consists of six members that are so far known to exist in Enterococcus genus. pRE25 is a major member of this family that was first obtained from E. faecalis RE25 [32]. Recent studies demonstrate that the rep2 plasmids from enterococci can confer multiple antibiotic resistance [16,20,33] as well as toxin-antitoxin plasmid stabilization mechanism [20,32].

Comparing PCR-based rep-typing and the S1-PFGE assay, we could see a statistically significant correlation between plasmid numbers detected by the two methods. This correlation indicates the two methods have similar discriminatory power for plasmid identification in E. faecalis. However, a higher number of plasmids and more plasmid-positive strains were screened by S1-PFGE. A possible explanation for this discrepancy could be that rep-typing will miss novel plasmid replicons, or those replicons which have diverged enough from the primer consensus sequence, and those plasmids which are not included in the PCR rep-typing system. Therefore the rep-typing should be extended continuously to cover other known and new replicon types. A potential source of new

---

**Table 2. Plasmid identification by S1-PFGE and replicon typing.**

| Typing method | Strain number (N = 106) | Plasmid |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------|
|               | Total number | Number per strain | Size range* (kb) |
|               | Range | Mean±SD | Range | Mean±SD |
| S1-PFGE | 95 (89.6%) | 145 | 0–5 | 1.4±0.90 | 5–150 |
| Rep-typing | 93 (87.7%) | 120 | 0–3 | 1.1±0.63 | 4.4–67.7 |

*S1-PFGE plasmid size range based on our experimental data. Rep-typing size range based on published sequences. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062248.t002
plasmids is our isolates where plasmids are detected by S1-nuclease PFGE alone; however this requires further investigation in order to determine the exact nature of these plasmids and is beyond the scope of this study. Due to the ability of plasmids to acquire a large repertoire of inserted elements, such as insertion sequences, transposons, integrons, and gene cassettes, it still remains a challenge for establishing a good system for plasmid identification and classification.

In summary, diverse plasmids have been identified in high frequency and in large numbers from the clinical strains of *E. faecalis* from patients with periodontitis by PCR rep-typing and S1-PFGE. An association was observed between *rep9* plasmids and MLST ST21 strains, as well as between *rep2* plasmids and Tn916-like elements and tetracycline and/or erythromycin resistance.

The results of this dual analysis suggest that *E. faecalis* strains of periodontal infections carry multiple plasmids within different *rep*-families and they could be a potential source of transferable antimicrobial resistance. It also demonstrates that either S1-PFGE or plasmid *rep*-typing is currently not sufficient on its own to detect all types of plasmids in a group of isolates.
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**Table 3.** Sequence identity of replicons detected by PCR *rep*-typing in oral *E. faecalis* by comparison with the respective *rep*-family control sequence.

| *rep*-family | Total number of replicon detected | Number of replicon sequenced | Sequence identity (%) |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| *rep1*       | 1                                | 1                           | 97.4%                 |
| *rep2*       | 8                                | 8                           | 100                   |
| *rep6*       | 22                               | 11                          | 100                   |
| *rep7*       | 2                                | 2                           | 93.7%                 |
| *rep8*       | 4                                | 4                           | 95.9–98.7             |
| *rep9*       | 81                               | 40                          | 84.5–97.9%            |
| *rep17*      | 1                                | 1                           | 100                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062248.t003

---
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