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Abstract

It is shown how to use non-commutative stopping times in order to stop the CCR flow of arbitrary index and also its isometric cocycles, i.e., left operator Markovian cocycles on Boson Fock space. Stopping the CCR flow yields a homomorphism from the semigroup of stopping times, equipped with the convolution product, into the semigroup of unital endomorphisms of the von Neumann algebra of bounded operators on the ambient Fock space. The operators produced by stopping cocycles themselves satisfy a cocycle relation.
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1 Introduction

Several authors have investigated the use of non-commutative stopping times to stop quantum stochastic processes, beginning with the pioneering work of Hudson [9], Barnett and Lyons [4], Parthasarathy and Sinha [13] and Sauvageot [14]; in the framework of Fock-space quantum stochastic calculus, more recent developments have been produced by Attal and Sinha [3], Hudson [10] and Coquio [7]. At its most general, a stopping time $S$ is an increasing, time-indexed family $(S_t)_{t \in [0, \infty]}$ of orthogonal projections in a von Neumann algebra which are subordinate to some filtration. Below, the ambient von Neumann algebra is $B(F)$, the bounded operators on Boson Fock space over $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$, with $k$ an arbitrary complex Hilbert space; the filtration is that generated by the increasing family of subspaces $L^2([0, t]; k) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$.

The CCR flow $\sigma$ is a semigroup $(\sigma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of unital endomorphisms on $B(F)$ which arise from the isometric right shift on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$; CCR flows are fundamental examples of $E_0$ semigroups [2]. A stopped version $\sigma_S$ of the CCR flow is constructed for any finite stopping time $S$, and the composition of stopped flows is shown to be a homomorphism for the stopping-time convolution introduced in [13, Section 7].

A cocycle $V$ for the CCR flow $\sigma$ is a family $(V_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ of bounded operators on $h \otimes F$, where $h$ is an arbitrary complex Hilbert space, such that

$$V_{s+t} = V_s \sigma_s(V_t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+;$$

(1.1)
the endomorphism $\sigma$ is extended to $B(h \otimes F)$ by ampliation. (Although such cocycles may be obtained by solving quantum stochastic differential equations, we shall have no use for quantum stochastic calculus in this work.) It is explained below how to stop such a cocycle $V$ with a stopping time $S$ whenever the cocycle is isometric, strongly continuous and satisfies a locality condition. Furthermore, the identity

$$V_{S+t} = V_S \sigma_S(V_t)$$

is shown to hold for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$; this is a non-deterministic generalisation of the relation (1.1). These results may be viewed as an extension of those obtained by Applebaum [1].

2 Quantum stop times

**Notation 2.1.** Let $F_A$ denote the Boson Fock space over $L^2(A; k)$, where $A$ is a subinterval of $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $k$ is a complex Hilbert space which is fixed henceforth. Let $F := F_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, $F_t := F_{[0,t)}$ and $F_{[t]} : = F_{[t,\infty)}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with similar abbreviations for the identity operators $I$, $I_t$ and $I_{[t]}$ on these spaces. Recall the tensor-product decompositions

$$F \cong F_s \otimes F_{[s,\infty)}; \quad \varepsilon(f) \leftrightarrow \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s)}) \otimes \varepsilon(f|_{[s,\infty)})$$

and

$$F \cong F_s \otimes F_{[s,t]} \otimes F_{[t,\infty)}; \quad \varepsilon(f) \leftrightarrow \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s)}) \otimes \varepsilon(f|_{[s,t)}) \otimes \varepsilon(f|_{[t,\infty)})$$,

where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are such that $s < t$ and $\varepsilon(g)$ is the exponential vector corresponding to $g$; these isomorphisms will be used frequently without comment. Let $E$ denote the linear span of the set of exponential vectors. For further details, see [11] or [12].

**Definition 2.2.** A quantum stop time is a map

$$S : B[0, \infty] \to B(F),$$

where $B[0, \infty]$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on the extended half-line $[0, \infty] := \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$, such that

(i) $S(A)$ is an orthogonal projection for all $A \in B[0, \infty]$;

(ii) $B[0, \infty] \to \mathbb{C}; \quad A \mapsto \langle x, S(A)y \rangle$ is a complex measure for all $x, y \in F$;

(iii) $S([0, \infty]) = I$;

(iv) $S(\{0\}) \in CI$ and $S([0,t]) \in B(F_{[t]}) \otimes I_{[t]}$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

In other words, a quantum stop time is a spectral measure on $B[0, \infty]$ (conditions (i), (ii) and (iii)) which is identity adapted (condition (iv)).

**Remark 2.3.** An equivalent way to view a quantum stop time is as an increasing, identity-adapted family $(S_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$ of orthogonal projections on $F$ with $S_\infty = I$. Given a quantum stop time in the sense of Definition 2.2, setting $S_t := S([0,t])$ yields such a family; the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators may be used to move in the opposite direction. Consequently, a quantum stop time $S$ may be defined by specifying $S([0,t])$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. 
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**Remark 2.4.** Conditions (i) and (iv) in Definition 2.2 imply that \( S(\{0\}) \) is either 0 or \( I \); as the latter possibility leads to a trivial theory, which corresponds to stopping immediately at 0, henceforth we shall require that any quantum stop time \( S \) is such that \( S(\{0\}) = 0 \). The results below take their most elegant form when \( S \) is finite, i.e., \( S(\{\infty\}) = 0 \), so this condition is imposed as well.

### 3 Time projections and right shifts

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( E_0 \in B(\mathcal{F}) \) be the orthogonal projection onto \( C\varepsilon(0) \) and, for all \( t \in (0, \infty) \), let

\[
E_t : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}; \quad \varepsilon(f) \mapsto \varepsilon(1_{[0,t]}f)
\]

be the orthogonal projection onto \( \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \varepsilon(0|_{[t,\infty)}) \), where \( 1_{[0,t]} \) is the indicator function of the interval \([0,t)\). For all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), let \( \theta_t \) be the isometric right shift, so that

\[
\theta_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k); \quad (\theta_tf)(s) = 1_{[t,\infty)}(s)f(s-t) = \begin{cases} f(s-t) & \text{if } s \geq t, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < s < t, \end{cases}
\]

and let

\[
\Gamma_t : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}; \quad \varepsilon(f) \mapsto \varepsilon(\theta_tf)
\]

be its second quantisation, which has adjoint

\[
\Gamma_t^* : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}; \quad \varepsilon(f) \mapsto \varepsilon(\theta_t^*f),
\]

where \((\theta^*_tf)(s) = f(s+t)\) for all \( s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). As is well known, \((\Gamma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\) and \((\Gamma^*_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\) are semigroups on \( \mathcal{F} \). Let \( E_\infty := I, \theta_\infty := 0 \) and \( \Gamma_\infty := \Gamma(\theta_\infty) = E_0 \).

Note that \( E_s\Gamma_t = E_0 \) and \( E_t\Gamma_s = \Gamma_sE_{t-s} \) for all \( s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that \( s \leq t \). Furthermore, the maps \( t \mapsto \Gamma_t \) and \( t \mapsto \Gamma^*_t \) are strongly continuous on \([0, \infty)\), whereas \( t \mapsto E_t \) and \( t \mapsto \Gamma_t\Gamma^*_t \) are strongly continuous on \([0, \infty]\).

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( J \) be a closed subinterval of \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) which contains 0, so that either \( J = [0, t] \) for some \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) or \( J = \mathbb{R}_+ \). A map \( F : J \to \mathcal{F} \) is future adapted if and only if

\[
F(s) = \varepsilon(0|_{[0,s)}) \otimes F_s \in \varepsilon(0|_{[0,s)}) \otimes \mathcal{F}_s \quad \text{for all } s \in J \setminus \{0\}.
\]

If \( J = \mathbb{R}_+ \) then \( F(\infty) := \varepsilon(0) \) and \( x \otimes F_\infty := x \) for all \( x \in \mathcal{F} \).

**Notation 3.3.** For all \( f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \), let \( S^{f,g} \) be the finite Borel measure on \([0, \infty]\) such that

\[
S^{f,g}(A) = \int_A \exp\left(-\int_s^\infty \langle f(r), g(r) \rangle \, dr \right) \langle \varepsilon(f), S(ds)\varepsilon(g) \rangle \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}[0, \infty].
\]
Lemma 3.4. Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time, let $J$ be a closed subinterval of $\mathbb{R}_+$ which contains 0 and let $F : J \to \mathcal{F}$ be continuous, bounded and future adapted. For all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$, the integral

$$
\int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f||0,s) \otimes F_s = \lim_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j])\varepsilon(f||0,\pi_j) \otimes F_{\pi_j} \tag{3.1}
$$

is well defined, where $t := \sup J$ and the limit is taken over the collection of all finite partitions $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = t\}$, ordered by refinement. If $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$ and $G : J \to \mathcal{F}$ is continuous, bounded and future adapted then

$$
\langle \int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f||0,s) \otimes F_s, \int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(g||0,s) \otimes G_s \rangle = \int_{[0,t]} \langle F(s), G(s) \rangle S^f g(ds), \tag{3.2}
$$

and if $r \in (0, \infty]$ then

$$
S([0,r]) \int_{[0,s]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f||0,s) \otimes F_s = \int_{[0,r \wedge t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f||0,s) \otimes F_s, \tag{3.3}
$$

where $r \wedge t$ is the minimum of $r$ and $t$.

Proof. Let $I_{S,\pi}(f, F)$ denote the sum on the right-hand side of (3.1). Suppose $n \geq 1$ and let $\pi'$ be a refinement of $\pi$; for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, let $k_j \geq j$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j} = \pi_j$ and let $l_j \geq 1$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j+l_j} = \pi_{j+1}$. Then

$$
I_{S,\pi'}(f, F) - I_{S,\pi}(f, F)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l}))\varepsilon(f||0,\pi'_j) \otimes F_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} - S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j])\varepsilon(f||0,\pi_j) \otimes F_{\pi_{j+1}}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{l=1}^{l_n-1} S((\pi'_{k_n+l-1}, \pi'_{k_n+l}))\varepsilon(f||0,\pi'_n) \otimes F_{\pi'_{k_n+l}} - S((\pi_{n-1}, \pi_n])\varepsilon(f||0,\pi_n) \otimes F_{\pi_{n+1}}.
$$

If $r, s, u \in [0,t]$ are such that $r < s \leq u$ then

$$
\|S((r,s])\varepsilon(f||0,u) \otimes F_u\| \leq \|S((r,s])\varepsilon(f)|| \|F\|_{\infty},
$$

where $\|F\|_{\infty} := \sup\{\|F(x)\| : x \in [0,t]\}$; if, also, $u < \infty$ then

$$
\|S((r,s])\varepsilon(f||0,u) \otimes F_u - \varepsilon(f||0,u) \otimes F_u\|
$$

$$
\leq \|S((r,s])\varepsilon(1_{[0,u]}f)\| (\|F_u - \varepsilon(0||s,u) \otimes F_u\| + \|\varepsilon(0||s,u)\| - \varepsilon(f||s,u)) \|F_u\|)
$$

$$
\leq \|S((r,s])\varepsilon(f)|| (\|F(s) - F(u)\| + (\|\varepsilon(f||s,u))\|^2 - 1)^{1/2} \|F\|_{\infty}.
$$
Consequently,
\[
\|I_{S,s',f,F} - I_{S,\pi,F} \| \leq \| S([0,\pi_0]) \| (\sup \{ \| F(r) - F(\pi_j) \| : r \in [\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j], j = 1, \ldots, n \} \\
+ \sup \{ (\| \varepsilon(1_{[\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]}) F \| - 1)^{1/2} : j = 1, \ldots, n \} \| F \|_\infty ) \\
+ 2 \| S(\pi_{n, t}) \| \| F \|_\infty
\]
and the integral exists. The inner-product identity is readily verified.

For the final claim, note first that \( S([0, r]) I_{S,\pi,F} = I_{S,\pi',F} \) if \( r \geq t \); otherwise, suppose without loss of generality that \( r = \pi_{m+1} \) for some \( m \geq 0 \). Then \( S([0, r]) I_{S,\pi,F} = I_{S,\pi',F} \), where \( \pi' := \pi \cap [0, r] \). As \( \pi \) is refined, so is \( \pi' \) and the result follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( S \) be a finite quantum stop time. If \( J \) is a closed subinterval of \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) which contains 0 and has supremum \( t \), and \( F : J \to \mathcal{F} \) is Borel measurable, bounded and future adapted then, for all \( f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \), there exists a unique vector \( \int_{[0,t]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes F_s \in \mathcal{F} \) such that (3.2) and (3.3) hold for any \( g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k), \) any Borel-measurable, bounded and future-adapted function \( G : J \to \mathcal{F} \) and any \( r \in (0, \infty) \].

**Proof.** For existence, note that \( F \) may be approximated in a suitable sense by a sequence of continuous functions; see [13] Propositions 4.9 and 4.10 for details. Uniqueness holds because the exponential vector \( \varepsilon(f) \) equals \( \int_{[0,\infty]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s \varepsilon(f) \), for any \( f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \).

**Remark 3.6.** Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 give the existence of a class of stop-time integrals which is smaller than that introduced by Parthasarathy and Sinha [13, Section 4] but sufficient for present purposes.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( S \) be a finite quantum stop time. For all \( t \in (0, \infty) \), there exists an orthogonal projection \( E_{S,t} \in B(\mathcal{F}) \) such that

\[
E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f) = \int_{[0,t]} S(ds) E_s \varepsilon(f) := \int_{[0,t]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes \varepsilon(0|_{[s,\infty])}
\]

for all \( f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \).

Furthermore, \( E_{S,s} E_{S,t} = E_{S,s \wedge t} E_{S,t} \) and \( S([0,s]) E_{S,\infty} = E_{S,s} \), for all \( s \in (0, \infty) \].

**Proof.** Let \( E_{S,\pi} := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) \varepsilon(\pi_j) \), where \( \pi = \{ 0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = t \} \) is a finite partition of \([0, t]\). Note that, as \( E_s \) commutes with \( S((r,s]) \) whenever \( r < s \), so \( E_{S,\pi} \) is self adjoint and idempotent, and therefore an orthogonal projection. Define \( E_{S,t} \) on \( \mathcal{E} \) by using Lemma 3.4 with \( F \equiv \varepsilon(0) \) to let

\[
E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f) := \int_{[0,t]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes \varepsilon(0|_{[s,\infty])} = \lim_{\pi} E_{S,\pi} \varepsilon(f)
\]

and extending by linearity. Since each \( E_{S,\pi} \) is a contraction, so is \( E_{S,t} \), hence \( E_{S,t} \) extends by continuity to the whole of \( \mathcal{F} \): self-adjointness and idempotency hold weakly on \( \mathcal{E} \), so everywhere.
Hence $j$

Let $S, \pi$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.4 with $j$

Proof. Let $\Gamma$

For the second claim, suppose without loss of generality that $s = \pi_{m+1}$ for some $m \geq 1$ and let $\pi' = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{m+1} = s\}$. Then $E_{S,s}E_{S,t} = \lim_\pi E_{S,\pi'}E_{S,\pi} = \lim_\pi E_{S,\pi'} = E_{S,s}$ and $S([0,s])E_{S,\infty} = \lim_\pi S([0,s])E_{S,\pi} = \lim_\pi E_{S,\pi'} = E_{S,s}$, in the weak and strong senses on $\mathcal{E}$ respectively, which gives the result. 

**Theorem 3.8.** Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time. There exists an isometry $\Gamma_S \in B(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\Gamma_Sx = \int_{[0,\infty]} S(ds)\Gamma_s x := \int_{[0,\infty]} S(ds)\varepsilon(0|[0,s)) \otimes \Gamma_s x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$$

where $\Gamma_s$ is taken to have range $\mathcal{F}_s \cong \varepsilon(0|[0,s)) \otimes \mathcal{F}_s$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{S,\pi} := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j))\Gamma_{\pi_j}$, where $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = \infty\}$ is a finite partition of $[0,\infty]$. For all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\langle \Gamma_{S,\pi} x, \Gamma_{S,\pi} y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \langle \Gamma_{\pi_j} x, S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j))\Gamma_{\pi_j} y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \|S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j))\varepsilon(0)\|^2 \langle \Gamma_{\pi_j} x, \Gamma_{\pi_j} y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

so $\Gamma_{S,\pi}$ is an isometry. Since $\Gamma_{S,\pi} x$ converges to $\int_{[0,\infty]} S(ds)\varepsilon(0|[0,s)) \otimes \Gamma_s x$ as $\pi$ is refined, applying Lemma 3.4 with $J = \mathbb{R}_+, f = 0$ and $F = \Gamma x : s \mapsto \Gamma_s x$ gives the claim. 

**Definition 3.9.** Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time, let $E_S := E_{S,\infty}$ and let $\text{im} T$ denote the range of the linear operator $T$. The pre $S$ space

$$\mathcal{F}_{S|} := \text{im} E_S = \bigcup_{t \in (0,\infty]} \text{im} E_{S,t},$$

and the post $S$ space $\mathcal{F}_S := \text{im} \Gamma_S$, with identity operators $I_{S|}$ and $I_S$ respectively. If $S$ is deterministic, i.e., $S(\{t\}) = I$ for some $t \in (0,\infty)$, then $\mathcal{F}_S = \varepsilon(0|[0,t)) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$, which, as noted above, may naturally be identified with $\mathcal{F}_t$.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time. There exists an isometric isomorphism $j_S : \mathcal{F}_{S|} \otimes \mathcal{F}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$j_S(E_{S,t}\varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_S x) = \int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(1|[0,s)) \otimes \Gamma_s x \quad \text{for all } t \in (0,\infty], \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{K}) \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{F}.$$ 

Proof. Let $t \in (0,\infty], \ f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{K}) \text{ and } x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.7 imply that

$$\langle \int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(1|[0,s)f) \otimes \Gamma_s x, \int_{[0,t]} S(ds)\varepsilon(1|[0,s)g) \otimes \Gamma_s y \rangle = \int_{[0,t]} \langle \Gamma_s x, \Gamma_s y \rangle S^f g(ds)$$

$$= \langle E_{S,t}\varepsilon(f), E_{S,t}\varepsilon(f') \rangle(x,y).$$

Hence $j_S$ is well defined and extends to an isometry from $\mathcal{F}_{S|} \otimes \mathcal{F}_S$ to $\mathcal{F}$. 
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To conclude, it suffices to show that \( \varepsilon(f) \in \text{im } j_S \) for any \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \). Let \( \pi' \) be a refinement of the partition \( \pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = \infty\} \) and, for \( j = 0, \ldots, n \), let \( k_j \geq j \) be such that \( \pi'_{k_j} = \pi_j \) and let \( l_j \geq 1 \) be such that \( \pi'_{k_j+l_j} = \pi_{j+1} \). Then

\[
\varepsilon(f) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l}]) (\varepsilon(1_{[0, \pi'_{k_j+l}]) f + \theta_{\pi'_{k_j+l}}^* \theta_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} f))
\]

and, as \( \pi' \) is refined with \( \pi \) fixed,

\[
\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l}]) (\varepsilon(1_{[0, \pi'_{k_j+l}]) f + \theta_{\pi'_{k_j+l}}^* \theta_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} f) - \varepsilon(1_{[0, \pi_{k_j+l}]) f + \theta_{\pi_{k_j+l}}^* \theta_{\pi_{k_j+l}} f))
\]

\[\rightarrow R_\pi := \varepsilon(f) - \sum_{j=0}^n j_S((E_{\pi_{j+1}} - E_{\pi_j}) \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_S \varepsilon(\theta_{\pi_{j+1}}^* f)) ;\]

note that \( s \mapsto \Gamma_s \varepsilon(\theta_{\pi_{j+1}}^* f) \) is continuous on \([0, \pi_{j+1}]\) for \( j = 0, \ldots, n \). If \( r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) are such that \( r < s \leq u \) then

\[
\| S((r, s] \varepsilon(1_{[0, s]) f + \theta_s \theta_u^* f) - \varepsilon(1_{[0, s]) f + \theta_s \theta_u^* f)) \| \leq \| S((r, s]) \varepsilon(f) \| \| \varepsilon(1_{[s, \infty]) f) - \varepsilon(1_{[s, \infty]) f(\cdot + u - s)) \|
\]

and, for all \( h \geq 0 \),

\[
\| \varepsilon(1_{[s, \infty]) f) - \varepsilon(1_{[s, \infty]) f(\cdot + h)) \|^2 \leq \eta(h) := 2 \exp(\| f \|^2) (\exp(\| f \|^2 f - f(\cdot + h)) - 1) .
\]

Letting \( \delta_\pi := \max\{\pi_j - \pi_{j-1} : j = 1, \ldots, n\} \) and refining \( \pi \), it follows that

\[
\| R_\pi \| \leq \| S([0, \pi_n]) \varepsilon(f) \| \sup\{\eta(h)^{1/2} : 0 \leq h \leq \delta_\pi \} + 2 \| S((\pi_n, \infty)) \varepsilon(f) \| \to 0 .
\]

**Remark 3.11.** Use of the time projection \( E_S \) goes back at least as far as [5, Theorem 2.3], and the existence of the stopped right shift \( \Gamma_S \) was first established in [13, Theorem 5.1]. The abstract strong Markov property, Theorem [3,10] is [13, Theorem 5.2].

### 4 Stop-time convolution

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( S \) and \( T \) be finite quantum stop times. There exists a unique spectral measure \( S \otimes T \) on \( B([0, \infty]^2) \) such that

\[
(S \otimes T)(A \times B) = j_S(S(A) \otimes \Gamma_S T(B) \Gamma_S^*) j_S^* \quad \text{for all } A, B \in B([0, \infty],
\]

where \( S(A) \) is considered to act on \( \mathcal{F}_S \), so setting

\[
(S \star T)(C) := (S \otimes T)\{(s, t) \in [0, \infty] : s + t \in C\} \quad \text{for all } C \in B([0, \infty],
\]

gives a finite spectral measure \( S \star T \), their convolution.

The convolution of spectral measures was introduced by Fox [8] and first applied to quantum stop times in [13, Proposition 7.1].
Theorem 4.2. The convolution of finite quantum stop times $S$ and $T$ is such that

\[(S \ast T)([0, u])\varepsilon(f) = \int_{[0, u]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f|_{[0, s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s T([0, u - s])\Gamma_{u-s}^\ast \varepsilon(f) \quad (4.1)\]

for all $u \in [0, \infty]$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$. Consequently, $S \ast T$ is a finite quantum stop time such that

\[E_{S \ast T} \varepsilon(f) = \int_{[0, \infty]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f|_{[0, s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s E_T \Gamma_{u-s}^\ast \varepsilon(f)\]

and

\[j_{S \ast T}(E_{S \ast T} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{S \ast T} y) = \int_{[0, \infty]} S(ds)\varepsilon(f|_{[0, s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s \int_{[0, \infty]} T(dt)\varepsilon(f(\cdot + s)|_{[0, t]}) \otimes \Gamma_t y \quad (4.2)\]

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$.

**Remark 4.3.** For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$, the integral $\int_{[0, \infty]} T(dt)\varepsilon(f(\cdot + s)|_{[0, t]}) \otimes \Gamma_t y$ is the limit of a sequence of Riemann sums which depend continuously on $s$. Furthermore, the norm of these integrals is uniformly bounded above, by $\|\varepsilon(f) \otimes y\|$, so the iterated integral in (4.2) is well defined.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$ and let $u \in (0, \infty)$; the cases with $u = 0$ and $u = \infty$ are clear. The proof of Theorem 3.10 gives that

\[\varepsilon(f) = \lim_{\pi \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j_S((E_{S, \pi_j} - E_{S, \pi_{j-1}})\varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{S}^\ast \varepsilon(\theta_{\pi_j}^\ast f)),\]

where the limit is taken over finite partitions $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = \infty\}$ ordered by refinement; without loss of generality, $u = \pi_{m+1}$ for some $m$ which depends on $\pi$. Furthermore,

\[E_{S, t} - E_{S, s} = E_S((s, t]) = S((s, t]) E_S \quad \text{whenever } 0 \leq s < t \leq \infty\]

It follows that $\langle \varepsilon(f), (S \ast T)([0, u])\varepsilon(g) \rangle$ is the limit, as $\pi$ as refined, of

\[\sum_{j, k=1}^{n+1} \int_{0 \leq s + t \leq u} \langle E_{S, \pi_j} - E_{S, \pi_{j-1}} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \varepsilon(\theta_{\pi_j}^\ast f), (S(ds) \otimes T(dt))(E_{S, \pi_k} - E_{S, \pi_{k-1}})\varepsilon(g) \otimes \varepsilon(\theta_{\pi_k}^\ast g)\rangle\]

\[= \sum_{j, k=1}^{n+1} \int_{[0, u]} \langle S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) E_S \varepsilon(f), S([0, u - t]) S((\pi_{k-1}, \pi_k]) E_S \varepsilon(g) \rangle \langle \Gamma_{\pi_j}^\ast \varepsilon(f), T(dt) \Gamma_{\pi_k}^\ast \varepsilon(g) \rangle\]

\[= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \int_{[\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]} \langle \varepsilon(f), S(ds) S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) E_S \varepsilon(g) \rangle \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{\pi_j} T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_{\pi_j}^\ast \varepsilon(g) \rangle\]

\[= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \int_{[\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]} \langle \varepsilon(f), S(ds) E_S \varepsilon(g) \rangle \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{\pi_j} T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_{\pi_j}^\ast \varepsilon(g) \rangle\]

\[\rightarrow \int_{[0, u]} \langle \varepsilon(f), S(ds) E_S \varepsilon(g) \rangle \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{\pi} T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_{\pi}^\ast \varepsilon(g) \rangle.\]
If $F : [0, u] \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous then
\[
\int_{[0,u]} \langle \varepsilon(f), S(ds)E_S \varepsilon(g) \rangle F(s) = \lim_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \langle \varepsilon(f), S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) E_{\pi_j} \varepsilon(g) \rangle F(\pi_j) = \int_{[0,u]} S^{f,g}(ds)F(s),
\]
so (4.11) now follows from Lemma 3.4 and the proof of Corollary 3.5. Furthermore, as $S$ and $T$ are identity adapted, so is $S \ast T$: note that if $s, u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are such that $s \leq u$ then
\[
\langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_s T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(g) \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(1_{[0, u]} f), \Gamma_s T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(1_{[0, u]} g) \rangle \langle \varepsilon(1_{[u, \infty]} f), \varepsilon(1_{[u, \infty]} g) \rangle.
\]
Next, note that
\[
\langle \varepsilon(f), E_{S \ast T, \pi} \varepsilon(g) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{[0, \pi_j]} \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{s} T([\pi_{j-1} + s, \pi_j - s]) \Gamma_{s}^* \varepsilon(g) \rangle S^{f,g}(ds)
\]
where $x_+ := \max\{x, 0\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi - s$ is the finite partition of $[0, \infty]$ consisting of intervals with end points $\{((0 - s)_+, \ldots, (n - s)_+, \infty\}$; the integral form of $E_{S \ast T} \varepsilon(f)$ now follows after refining $\pi$. For the last identity, note that
\[
\langle \varepsilon(f), j_{S \ast T} (E_{S \ast T} \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_{S \ast Ty}) \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim_{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{[0, \pi_j]} \langle \Gamma_s \Gamma_{s}^* \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{s} T([\pi_{j-1} + s, \pi_j - s]) \Gamma_{s}^* \varepsilon(g) \rangle \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_{\pi_j} y \rangle S^{f,g}(ds)
\]
\[
= \lim_{\pi} \int_{[0, \infty]} \langle \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_s I_{T, \pi - s} (g(\cdot + s), \Gamma y) \rangle S^{f,g}(ds)
\]
\[
= \langle \varepsilon(f), \int_{[0, \infty]} S(ds) \varepsilon(g(\cdot | [0, s])) \otimes \Gamma_s \int_{[0, \infty]} T(dt) \varepsilon(g(\cdot + s) | [0, t]) \otimes \Gamma_t y \rangle,
\]
as required, where $I_{T, \pi - s}$ is as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 and $(\Gamma y)(t) := \Gamma_t y$ for all $t \in [0, \infty]$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.4.** The identity (4.11) may also be used to show that
\[
\Gamma_{S \ast Ty} = \int_{[0, \infty]} S(ds) \varepsilon(0 | [0, s]) \otimes \Gamma_s E_T x = \Gamma_S (\Gamma_T x)
\]
and for any finite quantum stop times $S$ and $T$, so that $\Gamma_{S \ast T} = \Gamma_S \ast \Gamma_T$. As we shall have no use for this result [13 Proposition 7.6], its proof is left as an exercise.
Proposition 4.5. Let $S$ and $T$ be finite quantum stop times and suppose $T$ is deterministic, i.e., $T(\{t\}) = I$ for some $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then $S \star T = S + t$, where

$$(S + t)([0, u]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \in [0, t), \\ S([0, u - t]) & \text{if } u \in [t, \infty]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $u < t$ then $T([0, u - s]) = 0$ for all $s \in [0, u]$ and therefore

$$(S \star T)([0, u]) \varepsilon(f) = \int_{[0,u]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s T([0, u - s]) \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(f) = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k).$$

If $u \geq t$ then $T([0, u - s])$ equals $I$ for all $s \in [0, u - t]$ and equals 0 for all $s \in (u - t, u]$, so

$$(S \star T)([0, u]) \varepsilon(f) = \int_{[0,u-t]} S(ds) \varepsilon(f|_{[0,s]}) \otimes \Gamma_s \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(f) = S([0, u - t]) \varepsilon(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k),$$

where the second equality holds by (3.3). \hfill \square

Theorem 4.6. (Cf. [13, Theorem 7.5]) Let $S$ and $T$ be finite quantum stop times. Then

$$j_{S,T} : \mathcal{F}_S \otimes \Gamma_S(\mathcal{F}_T) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{S \star T} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}; \ E_S x \otimes \Gamma_S \Gamma_T y \otimes \Gamma_{S \star T} z \mapsto j_S (E_S x \otimes \Gamma_S \Gamma_T (E_T y \otimes \Gamma_T z))$$

is an isometric isomorphism such that

$$j_{S,T} (\mathcal{F}_S \otimes \Gamma_S(\mathcal{F}_T) \otimes \varepsilon(0)) = j_S (\mathcal{F}_S \otimes \Gamma_S(\mathcal{F}_T)) = \mathcal{F}_{S \star T} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$j_{S,T} (\varepsilon(0) \otimes \Gamma_S(\mathcal{F}_T) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{S \star T}) = \mathcal{F}_S. \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore,

$$j_{S,T} = j_{S \star T} \circ (j_S \big|_{\mathcal{F}_S \otimes \Gamma_S(\mathcal{F}_T)}) \otimes I_{[S \star T]}.$$

Proof. Note first that

$$j_{S,T} = j_S \circ (I_S \otimes \Gamma_S) \circ (I_S \otimes j_T) \circ (I_S \otimes \Gamma_T \otimes \Gamma_{S \star T});$$

as each of the maps on the right-hand side is an isometric isomorphism between the appropriate spaces, so is $j_{S,T}$. Next, let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k)$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$; it follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\langle E_{S \star T} \varepsilon(f), j_S (E_S \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_S y) \rangle = \int_{[0,\infty]} \langle \Gamma_s E_T \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_s y \rangle S_f^g (ds)$$

$$= \int_{[0,\infty]} \langle \Gamma_s \Gamma_s^* \varepsilon(f), \Gamma_s E_T y \rangle S_f^g (ds)$$

$$= \langle \varepsilon(f), j_S (E_S \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_S E_T y) \rangle.$$
Thus
\[ E_{x} j_{x}(E_{x} \otimes \Gamma_{y}) = j_{x}(E_{x} \otimes \Gamma_{y}) = j_{x}(E_{x} \otimes \Gamma_{y} \otimes \varepsilon(0)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{F}; \]
as \( j_{x} \) is surjective, \[ \text{(4.3)} \] follows. That \[ \text{(4.4)} \] holds is an immediate consequence of the identity
\[ j_{x} \varepsilon(0) \otimes \Gamma_{y} = \Gamma_{x} \varepsilon(0) \otimes \Gamma_{y} \quad \text{for all } y, \in \mathcal{F} \]
and the surjectivity of \( j_{x} \).

Finally, if \( f, \ g, h \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}_{+}; k) \) and \( y, z \in \mathcal{F} \) then
\[ j_{x} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{y} \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_{z} \] holds.

Thus \[ \text{(5.1)} \] implies that
\[
\langle j_{x} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{y} \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_{z}, j_{x} \varepsilon(h) \otimes \Gamma_{z} \rangle
\]
\[ = \int_{[0, \infty]} \langle T(d\varepsilon(g)|_{[0, t]} \otimes \Gamma_{t} y, \int_{[0, \infty]} T(d\varepsilon(h(\cdot + s)|_{[0, t]} \otimes \Gamma_{t} z) S^{f, h}(d\varepsilon) \rangle
\]
\[ = \int_{[0, \infty]} \langle y, z \rangle T^{g, h(+s)}(d\varepsilon) S^{f, h}(d\varepsilon)
\]
\[ = \int_{[0, \infty]} \langle E_{T} \varepsilon(g), E_{T} \Gamma_{t} \varepsilon(h) \rangle S^{f, h}(d\varepsilon) \langle y, z \rangle
\]
\[ = \langle j_{x} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{y} \varepsilon(g), \varepsilon(h) \rangle \langle y, z \rangle
\]
\[ = \langle j_{x} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_{y} \varepsilon(g) \otimes \Gamma_{z}, j_{x} \varepsilon(h) \otimes \Gamma_{z} \rangle. \]

5 The CCR flow

**Definition 5.1.** For all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \), define a unital \( * \)-homomorphism
\[
\sigma_{t} : B(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{F}); \ X \mapsto I_{t} \otimes \Gamma_{t} X \Gamma_{t}^{*},
\]
where \( \mathcal{F}_{t} \) is regarded as an isometric isomorphism from \( \mathcal{F} \) onto \( \mathcal{F}_{t} \) with inverse \( \Gamma_{t}^{*} \). Note that \( \sigma_{t} \) has range \( \sigma_{t} = I_{t} \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_{t}) \), that \( \sigma_{s}(E_{t}) = E_{s+t} \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \) and that
\[
\sigma_{t}(X) \Gamma_{t} = \Gamma_{t} X \quad \text{for all } X \in B(\mathcal{F}).
\]

Let \( \sigma_{\infty} \) be the constant map on \( B(\mathcal{F}) \) with value \( I \).

As is well known, \( (\sigma_{t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \) is a semigroup on \( B(\mathcal{F}) \) called the CCR flow.
Theorem 5.2. Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time and let $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = \infty\}$ be a finite partition of $[0, \infty]$. The map

$$\sigma_{S, \pi} : B(\mathcal{F}) \to B(\mathcal{F}); \ X \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \sigma_{\pi_j}(X)S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j])$$

is a unital $*$-homomorphism, the limit $\sigma_S(X) := \text{st}\text{-lim}_{\pi} \sigma_{S, \pi}(X)$ exists for all $X \in B(\mathcal{F})$ and the map

$$\sigma_S : B(\mathcal{F}) \to B(\mathcal{F}); \ X \mapsto \sigma_S(X)$$

is a unital $*$-homomorphism such that

$$\sigma_S(X)\Gamma_S = \Gamma_S X \quad \text{for all } X \in B(\mathcal{F}). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Since

$$\sigma_{s}(X) \in I_s \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_s) \quad \text{and} \quad S((r, s]) \in B(\mathcal{F}_s) \otimes I_{s}$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $r < s$, the first claim is immediate. For the next, suppose $n \geq 1$, let $\pi'$ be a refinement of $\pi$ and, for $j = 0, \ldots, n$, let $k_j \geq j$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j} = \pi_j$ and let $l_j \geq 1$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j+l_j} = \pi_{j+1}$. Then

$$\begin{align*}
(\sigma_{s, \pi'} - \sigma_s)(X)\varepsilon(f) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l}]) (\sigma_{\pi'_j+l} - \sigma_{\pi'_j+l})\varepsilon(f) \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{l_n-1} S((\pi'_{k_n+l-1}, \pi'_{k_n+l}]) \sigma_{\pi'_n+l} \varepsilon(f) - S((\pi_n, \pi'_{k_n+l_n-1}) \varepsilon(f).
\end{align*}$$

If $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are such that $r < s \leq t$ then

$$\begin{align*}
\|S((r, s]) (\sigma_t(X) - \sigma_s(X))\varepsilon(f)\| &= \|S((r, s])\varepsilon(1_{[0,s]} f)\| \| (\sigma_t(X) - \sigma_s(X))\varepsilon(1_{[s, \infty]} f)\| \\
&\leq \|S((r, s])\varepsilon(f)\| \| \sigma_s(\sigma_{t-s}(X) - X) \Gamma_s \Gamma_s \varepsilon(f)\| \\
&= \|S((r, s])\varepsilon(f)\| \| (\sigma_{t-s}(X) - X) \varepsilon(f \cdot + s)\|,
\end{align*}$$

by (5.1); furthermore,

$$\|S((r, s]) \sigma_s(X)\varepsilon(f)\| \leq \|S((r, s])\varepsilon(f)\| \| X \|.$$

Hence if $f$ has support contained in $[0, T] \subseteq [0, \pi_m]$ and $\delta_\pi := \max\{\pi_j - \pi_{j-1} : j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ then

$$\begin{align*}
\| (\sigma_{s, \pi'} - \sigma_s)(X)\varepsilon(f)\| &\leq \|S([0, \pi_n])\varepsilon(f)\| \sup \{\| (\sigma_r(X) - X)\varepsilon(f \cdot + s)\| : r \in [0, \delta_\pi], s \in [0, T]\} \\
&\quad + \|S((\pi_n, \infty))\varepsilon(f)\| (\|X\| + 1);
\end{align*}$$
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since \( t \mapsto \sigma_t(X) \) is strongly continuous on \( \mathcal{F} \) for all \( X \in B(\mathcal{F}) \), this estimate gives the existence of \( \sigma_S(X)\varepsilon(f) \): note that \( s \mapsto \varepsilon(f(\cdot + s)) \) is uniformly continuous on \([0,T]\) and

\[
\sup\{\|\sigma_r(X) - X\varepsilon(f(\cdot + s))\| : r \in [0,\delta], \; s \in [0,T]\} \\
\leq \sup\{\|\sigma_r(X) - X\varepsilon(f(\cdot + s_j))\| : r \in [0,\delta], \; j = 0,\ldots,N\} \\
+ 2\|X\|\sup\{\|\varepsilon(f(\cdot + s)) - \varepsilon(f(\cdot + s_j))\| : s \in [s_j, s_{j+1}], \; j = 0,\ldots,N\},
\]

where \( \{0 = s_0 < \cdots < s_{N+1} = T\} \) is any partition of \([0,T]\). Thus \( \sigma_S(X)x := \lim_\pi \sigma_{S,\pi}(X)x \) exists if \( x \) is any finite linear combination of exponential vectors corresponding to functions with compact support; since \( \|\sigma_{S,\pi}(X)\| \leq \|X\| \) for all \( \pi \), it follows that \( x \mapsto \sigma_S(X)x \) extends to a bounded operator \( \sigma_S(X) \) on the whole of \( \mathcal{F} \); a simple approximation argument now shows that \( \sigma_S(X) \) is the limit of \( \sigma_{S,\pi}(X) \) in the strong operator topology.

The map \( \sigma_S \) is \( * \)-homomorphic and unital because each \( \sigma_{S,\pi} \) is. For the final identity, observe that

\[
\sigma_{S,\pi}(X) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{k-1}, \pi_k]) \Gamma_{\pi_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) \sigma_{\pi_j}(X) \Gamma_{\pi_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) \Gamma_{\pi_j} X,
\]

by (5.1), so refining \( \pi \) gives the claim.

\[\square\]

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( S \) be a finite quantum stop time. Then

\[
\sigma_S(X) = j_S(I_S) \otimes \Gamma_S X T_S^*j_S^* \quad \text{for all } X \in B(\mathcal{F}),
\]

where \( j_S : F_{S_1} \otimes F_{S_2} \to \mathcal{F} \) is the isometric isomorphism of Theorem 3.10.

**Proof.** Let \( \pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = \infty\} \) be a finite partition containing \( t = \pi_m \in (0,\infty) \) and let \( f, f', g, g' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \). If \( r, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) are such that \( r < s \) then

\[
\langle \varepsilon(1_{[0,s]}f + \theta_s g), S((r,s]) \sigma_s(X) \varepsilon(1_{[0,s]}f' + \theta_s g') \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(1_{[0,s]}f), S((r,s]) \varepsilon(1_{[0,s]}f') \rangle \langle \varepsilon(g), X \varepsilon(g') \rangle.
\]

With the notation used in the proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Theorems 3.7 and 3.8, it follows that

\[
\langle I_{S,\pi \cap [0,t]}(f, \Gamma \varepsilon(g)), \sigma_{S,\pi}(X) I_{S,\pi \cap [0,t]}(f', \Gamma \varepsilon(g')) \rangle = \langle E_{S,\pi \cap [0,t]} \varepsilon(f), E_{S,\pi \cap [0,t]} \varepsilon(f') \rangle \langle \varepsilon(g), X \varepsilon(g') \rangle
\]

and therefore, by refining \( \pi \),

\[
\langle j_S(E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_S \varepsilon(g)), \sigma_S(X) j_S(E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f') \otimes \Gamma_S \varepsilon(g')) \rangle \\
= \langle E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f) \otimes \Gamma_S \varepsilon(g), (I_S) \otimes \Gamma_S X T_S^* \rangle \langle E_{S,t} \varepsilon(f') \otimes \Gamma_S \varepsilon(g') \rangle,
\]

as required.
\[\square\]

**Theorem 5.4.** The map \( S \mapsto \sigma_S \) is a homomorphism from the convolution semigroup of finite quantum stop times to the semigroup of unital endomorphisms of \( B(\mathcal{F}) \) : for any finite quantum stop times \( S \) and \( T \),

\[
\sigma_{S \cdot T} = \sigma_S \circ \sigma_T
\]

and, furthermore, \( \sigma_S(E_T) = E_{S \cdot T} \).
Proof. Let \( X \in B(\mathcal{F}) \). Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 4.6 imply that
\[
\sigma_S(\sigma_T(X)) = j_S(I_S) \otimes \Gamma_T(T) \otimes \Gamma_X(T) j_T = j_{S,T}(I_S) \otimes I_{\Gamma_T(T)} \otimes I_{\Gamma_X(T)} j_{S,T} = \sigma_{S,T}(X).
\]
The second identity follows from this; with the notation used in the proof of Theorem 3.7,
\[
\sigma_S(E_0) = \lim_{\pi} \sigma_{S,\pi}(E_0) = \lim_{\pi} E_{S,\pi} = E_S
\]
and therefore
\[
\sigma_S(E_T) = \sigma_S(\sigma_T(E_0)) = \sigma_S(T)(E_0) = E_{S,T}.
\]

6 Isometric cocycles

Notation 6.1. Let \( \mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathfrak{h}) \) be a von Neumann algebra, where \( \mathfrak{h} \) is a complex Hilbert space, and let \( \otimes \) denote the ultraweak tensor product. Henceforth, \( S \) is a finite quantum stop time extended by ampliation to act on \( \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{F} \); the time projection \( E_S \) extends by ampliation in the same manner.

Definition 6.2. Let \( p \) be an orthogonal projection in \( B(\mathfrak{k}) \) which acts pointwise on \( L^2([t, \infty); \mathfrak{k}) \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), so that
\[
(pf)(s) := pf(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in [t, \infty) \text{ and } f \in L^2([t, \infty); \mathfrak{k}),
\]
and let \( P_t \in B(\mathcal{F}_t) \) be the second quantisation of \( p \) acting in this way, so that \( P_t f = \varepsilon(p f) \) for all \( f \in L^2([t, \infty); \mathfrak{k}) \).

A family of operators \( V = (V_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes B(\mathcal{F}) \) is a \( p \)-adapted process if and only if
\[
V_t = V_{\|} \otimes P_t \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+,
\]
where \( V_{\|} \in \mathcal{M} \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_\|) \). If \( p = I_k \) then this is identity adaptedness, the usual choice for Hudson–Parthasarathy quantum stochastic calculus; if \( p = 0 \) then this is vacuum adaptedness \[.\] Note that \( V \) is vacuum adapted if and only if \( V_t = E_t V_t E_t \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

Given a \( p \)-adapted process \( V \), its identity-adapted projection is the process \( \hat{V} = (\hat{V}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \), where
\[
\hat{V}_t := V_{\|} \otimes I_{\|} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]

A \( p \)-adapted process \( V \) is a cocycle (more fully, a left operator Markovian cocycle) if and only if
\[
V_{s+t} = \hat{V}_s \sigma_s(V_t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+,
\]
where \( \sigma \) is the CCR flow of Definition 5.1 extended by ampliation.
A \( p \)-adapted cocycle \( V \) is isometric if \( V_t^* V_t \) = \( I_h \otimes I_I \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), where \( V_t \) is as in (6.1); equivalently, \( V_t^* \dot{V}_t = I_h \otimes I \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). For such an isometric cocycle \( V \), it holds that \( \| V_t \| = 1 \) and
\[
V_t^* V_t = I_h \otimes I_I \otimes P^t_t \in I_h \otimes I_I \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\]

Example 6.3. Let \( W(f) \in B(\mathcal{F}) \) be the Weyl operator corresponding to \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \), so that
\[
W(f) \varepsilon(g) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \| f \|^2 - \langle f, g \rangle) \varepsilon(f + g) \quad \text{and} \quad W(f)W(g) = \exp(-i \langle f, g \rangle) \varepsilon(f + g)
\]
for all \( f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; k) \). Then \( \{ W_t(c) := I_h \otimes W(1_{[0,t) \cdot c}) \}_{t \geq 0} \) and \( \{ V_t(c) := I_h \otimes E_t W(1_{[0,t) \cdot c}) \}_{t \geq 0} \) are isometric cocycles for all \( c \in k \), which are 1-adapted and 0-adapted respectively.

More examples of isometric cocycles may be constructed as the solutions of quantum stochastic differential equations: see [11, Section 5] and references therein.

Lemma 6.4. Let \( V \) be an isometric \( \pi \)-adapted cocycle and let \( t \in (0, \infty) \). For any finite partition \( \pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = t\} \), the Riemann sum
\[
V_{S,\pi} := \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_{\pi_j} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j])
\]
is such that
\[
\| V_{S,\pi} z \| \leq \| S([0,t]) z \| \quad \text{for all } z \in h \otimes \mathcal{F}.
\]

Proof. If \( r, s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) are such that \( r \leq s \) then, by the cocycle, \( \pi \)-adaptedness and isometry properties,
\[
V_s^* V_r = \sigma_r(V_{s-r}^*)(V_r^* \otimes I_r)(V_r \otimes P_{[r]} \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_r))
\]
and
\[
V_r^* V_s = (V_r^* \otimes P_{[r]})(V_r^* \otimes I_r) \sigma_r(V_{s-r}) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{I}_r \otimes B(\mathcal{F}_r).
\]
(6.3) Consequently, if \( j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\} \) are such that \( j \neq k \) then, as \( S \) is identity adapted,
\[
S((\pi_j, \pi_{j+1}])V_{\pi_{j+1}}^* V_{\pi_{k+1}}S((\pi_k, \pi_{k+1}]) = 0,
\]
and thus, for all \( z \in h \otimes \mathcal{F} \), we have that
\[
\| V_{S,\pi} z \|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| (I_h \otimes I_{\pi_j}) S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) z \|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) z \|^2 = \| S([0,t]) z \|^2. \quad \Box
\]

Theorem 6.5. Let \( V \) be an isometric \( \pi \)-adapted cocycle and let \( t \in (0, \infty) \). If \( V \) is strongly continuous then
\[
V_{S,t} := \operatorname{st}\operatorname{lim}_{\pi} V_{S,\pi}
\]
is well defined on \( h \otimes \mathcal{F} \). Furthermore, \( V_{S,t} = V_{S,t} S([0,t]) \) and
\[
\| V_{S,t} z \| \leq \| S([0,t]) z \| \quad \text{for all } z \in h \otimes \mathcal{F}.
\]
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Proof. Let $\pi'$ be a refinement of $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = t\}$ and, for $j = 0, \ldots, m$, let $k_j \geq j$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j} = \pi_j$ and let $l_j \geq 1$ be such that $\pi'_{k_j+l_j} = \pi_{j+1}$. Then

\[
V_{S,\pi'} - V_{S,\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} (V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} - V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}})S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l})).
\]

If $a, b, p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are such that $a < b \leq c$ and $p < q \leq r$ then, by (6.3) and (6.31),

\[
(V_c - V_b)(V_c - V_q) = V_c V_q - V_c V_b - V_b V_q + V_b V_q \in B(h) \otimes B_{[0,q]} \otimes B_{[0,q]}
\]

and therefore

\[
S((a, b)) S((p, q)) = \begin{cases} 
(V_c - V_b)(V_c - V_q) S((p, q)) & \text{if } b \leq q, \\
S((a, b)) S((p, q)) (V_c - V_b)(V_c - V_q) & \text{if } q \leq b.
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore, if $Z = X \otimes I_q \otimes Y$, where $X \in \mathcal{M}$ and $Y \in B(F_q)$, then

\[
\langle u \varepsilon(f), Z S((p, q)) u \varepsilon(f) \rangle = \langle u \varepsilon(1_{[q,0]} f), (X \otimes Y) u \varepsilon(1_{[q,0]} f) \rangle S((p, q)) \varepsilon(1_{[0,q]})
\]

and therefore

\[
\| (V_c - V_b)(V_c - V_q) u \varepsilon(f) \| \leq \| (V_c - V_b) u \varepsilon(f) \| S((p, q)) \varepsilon(f)\|^2.
\]

Hence

\[
\| (V_{S,\pi'} - V_{S,\pi}) u \varepsilon(f) \|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} \| (V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} - V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}}) S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l})) u \varepsilon(f) \|^2
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{l_j} \| (V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}} - V_{\pi'_{k_j+l}}) u \varepsilon(f) \|^2 \| S((\pi'_{k_j+l-1}, \pi'_{k_j+l})) \varepsilon(f) \|^2
\]

\[
\leq \sup \{ \| (V_c - V_b) u \varepsilon(f) \|^2 : r \in [\pi_j, \pi_{j+1}], j = 0, \ldots, n \} \| S((0, t)) \varepsilon(f) \|^2.
\]

This gives the first claim on the algebraic tensor product $h \otimes E$. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that $V_{S,t}$ is a contraction on $h \otimes E$, so it extends to a bounded operator on the whole of $h \otimes F$, and an approximation argument now gives strong convergence everywhere.

The second claim holds because $V_{S,\pi} S([0,t]) = V_{S,\pi}$; the third is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.4. \hfill \Box

Corollary 6.6. If the isometric $p$-adapted cocycle $V$ is strongly continuous then

\[
V_S = V_{S,\infty} := \lim_{t \to \infty} V_{S,t}
\]

is well defined.
Proof. Suppose \( s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) are such that \( s < t \) and let \( \pi = \{ 0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_{n+1} = t \} \) be a partition of \([0, t]\) with \( \pi_m = s \). If \( z \in h \otimes F \) then

\[
\| (V_{S,\pi} - V_{S,\pi'} )z \|^2 = \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1} \| V_{\pi_j} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j)]z \|^2 \leq \| S((s, t])z \|^2.
\]

Hence \( \|(V_{S,t}-V_{S,s})z\| \leq \|S((s,t])z\| \), which establishes the Cauchy nature of the net \((V_{S,t}z)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\) for every \( z \in h \otimes F \), and from this follows the existence of the limit. \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.7.** Let \( V \) be an isometric vacuum-adapted cocycle which is strongly continuous and let \( t \in (0, \infty) \). Then

\[
V_{S,t}E_{S,t} = V_{S,t} \quad \text{and} \quad \|V_{S,t}z\| = \|E_{S,t}z\| \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in h \otimes F.
\]

Proof. Suppose first that \( t \in (0, \infty) \) and let \( \pi = \{ 0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{n+1} = t \} \). Then, with the notation used in the proof of Theorem 3.7,

\[
V_{S,\pi}E_{S,\pi} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_{\pi_j} S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j] ) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} S((\pi_{k-1}, \pi_k]) E_{\pi_k} = V_{S,\pi},
\]

since \( V \) is vacuum adapted and \( E_{\pi_j} \) commutes with \( S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) \) for all \( j \). Furthermore, the working in the proof of Lemma 6.4 shows that

\[
\|V_{S,\pi}z\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \| S((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j]) E_{\pi_j} z \|^2 = \| E_{S,\pi}z \|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in h \otimes F.
\]

Refining \( \pi \) now gives both identities as claimed; the remaining case follows by letting \( t \to \infty \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.8.** Let \( V \) be an isometric identity-adapted cocycle which is strongly continuous and let \( t \in (0, \infty) \). Then

\[
\|V_{S,t}z\| = \|S([0, t])z\| \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in h \otimes F.
\]

Proof. If \( \pi \) is a finite partition of \([0, t]\), where \( t \in (0, \infty) \), and \( z \in h \otimes F \) then the proof of Lemma 6.4 gives that \( \|V_{S,\pi}z\| = \|S([0, t])z\| \). The result follows by refining \( \pi \) and then letting \( t \to \infty \). \( \square \)

**Remark 6.9.** Propositions 6.7 and 6.8 imply that \( V_{S,t} \) is a partial isometry for all \( t \in [0, \infty] \) if \( V \) is vacuum adapted or identity adapted, and \( V_S \) is an isometry in the latter case.
7 A stopped cocycle relation

Notation 7.1. As in the preceding section, $S$ is a finite quantum stop time extended by ampliation to act on $h \otimes F$; the maps $E_S$, $\Gamma_S$ and $\sigma_S$ extend similarly.

Theorem 7.2. Let $S$ be a finite quantum stop time and let $V$ be an isometric $p$-adapted cocycle. Then
\begin{equation}
V_{S+t} = \hat{V}_S \sigma_S(V_t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\end{equation}

Proof. Let $t$, $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that $t < T$, let $\pi = \{0 = \pi_0 < \cdots < \pi_{p+1} = \infty\}$ be a finite partition which contains $t = \pi_m$ and $T = \pi_{p+1}$, and let $\pi' := \pi - t$ be the partition of $[0, \infty]$ such that $\pi'_j = \pi_{j+m} - t$ for $j = 0, \ldots, p + 1 - m$. Then, in the notation of Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 5.2,
\begin{align*}
V_{S+t, \pi \cap [0, T]} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} V_{\pi_j}((S + t)((\pi_{j-1}, \pi_j)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1} \widehat{V}_{\pi_j-t} \sigma_{\pi_j-t}(V_t) S((\pi_{j-1} - t, \pi_j - t)) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1-m} \widehat{V}_{\pi'_j} S((\pi'_{j-1}, \pi'_j)) \sum_{k=1}^{p+1-m} S((\pi'_{k-1}, \pi'_k)) \sigma_{\pi'_k}(V_t) \\
&= \hat{V}_{S, \pi' \cap [0, T-t]} \sigma_{S, \pi'}(V_t).
\end{align*}
Refining $\pi$ and then letting $T \to \infty$ gives the result. \hfill \Box

Remark 7.3. The identity $(7.1)$ is a non-deterministic version of $(6.2)$, the defining property of a left cocycle.

Remark 7.4. In [1], Applebaum considers stopping a unitary identity-adapted process $U$ which satisfies the cocycle identity
\begin{equation}
U_t = \Gamma_t U_s U_t \Gamma_s \quad \text{for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+
\end{equation}
and the localisation property
\begin{equation}
U_s^* U_{s+t} \in B(h) \otimes I_s \overline{\otimes} B(F_{[s, s+t)}) \otimes I_{[s+t]} \quad \text{for all } s, t \in (0, \infty);
\end{equation}
for such processes, these conditions are equivalent to being a left operator Markovian cocycle. The identity
\begin{equation}
U_{S+t} = U_S \Gamma_S U_t \Gamma_S^* \Gamma_S \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+
\end{equation}
is obtained [1, Theorem 4.3], where $S$ is any finite quantum stop time. However, $\Gamma_S U_t \Gamma_S^*$ is taken to act on the range of the isometry $\Gamma_S$ [1, (4.1)], so it is clearer to write $(7.4)$ in the following manner:
\begin{equation}
U_{S+t} \Gamma_S = U_S \Gamma_S U_t \Gamma_S^* \Gamma_S = U_S \Gamma_S U_t.
\end{equation}
From Theorem 5.4, if $S$ is any finite quantum stop time and $V$ is any isometric $p$-adapted cocycle then, by (5.2),
\[ V_{S+t} = \hat{V}_S \sigma_S(V_t) = \Rightarrow V_{S+t} \Gamma_S = \hat{V}_S \sigma_S(V_t) \Gamma_S = \hat{V}_S \Gamma_S V_t, \]
which is the identity obtained by Applebaum. Furthermore, Proposition 6.8 gives that
\[ \hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t} = \hat{V}_S^* \hat{V}_S \sigma_S(V_t) = \sigma_S(V_t) \in \text{im } \sigma_S, \]
which generalises the localisation condition
\[ U_s^* U_{s+t} \in B(h) \bigotimes I_s \bigotimes B(\mathcal{F}_s) = \text{im } \sigma_s, \]
and
\[ \Gamma_S^* \hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t} \Gamma_S = \Gamma_S^* \sigma_S(V_t) \Gamma_S = \Gamma_S^* \Gamma_S V_t = V_t, \]
which is the stopped version of (5.2).

If $V$ is vacuum adapted and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ then, by Theorem 5.4,
\[ \hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t} = \sigma_S(V_t) = \sigma_S(E_t V_t E_t) = \sigma_S(E_t) \sigma_S(V_t) \sigma_S(E_t) = E_{S+t} \hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t} E_{S+t}, \]
so $\hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t}$ is vacuum adapted at $S + t$. Similarly, if $V$ is identity adapted and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ then Theorem 5.4 gives that
\[ \sigma_S(V_t) \sigma_{S+t}(X) = \sigma_S(V_t \sigma_t(X)) = \sigma_S(\sigma_t(X) V_t) = \sigma_{S+t}(X) \sigma_S(V_t) \]
for all $X \in \mathcal{M'} \bigotimes B(\mathcal{F})$, so
\[ \hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t} = \sigma_S(V_t) \in \sigma_{S+t}((\mathcal{M'} \bigotimes B(\mathcal{F}))') = (\mathcal{M'} \bigotimes I_{S+t} \bigotimes B(\mathcal{F}_{S+t}))' = \mathcal{M} \bigotimes B(\mathcal{F}_{S+t}) \bigotimes I_{S+t} \]
and $\hat{V}_S^* V_{S+t}$ is identity adapted at $S + t$.
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