Fzd3 Expression Within Inner Ear Afferent Neurons Is Necessary for Central Pathfinding
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During development the afferent neurons of the inner ear make precise wiring decisions in the hindbrain reflective of their topographic distribution in the periphery. This is critical for the formation of sensory maps capable of faithfully processing both auditory and vestibular input. Disorganized central projections of inner ear afferents in Fzd3 null mice indicate Wnt/PCP signaling is involved in this process and ear transplantation in Xenopus indicates that Fzd3 is necessary in the ear but not the hindbrain for proper afferent navigation. However, it remains unclear in which cell type of the inner ear Fzd3 expression is influencing the guidance of inner ear afferents to their proper synaptic targets in the hindbrain. We utilized Atoh1-cre and Neurod1-cre mouse lines to conditionally knockout Fzd3 within the mechanosensory hair cells of the organ of Corti and within the inner ear afferents, respectively. Following conditional deletion of Fzd3 within the hair cells, the central topographic distribution of inner ear afferents was maintained with no gross morphological defects. In contrast, conditional deletion of Fzd3 within inner ear afferents leads to central pathfinding defects of both cochlear and vestibular afferents. Here, we show that Fzd3 is acting in a cell autonomous manner within inner ear afferents to regulate central pathfinding within the hindbrain.
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INTRODUCTION

External information is relayed to the brain through precisely organized neural circuits that allow for sensory perception of the immediate environment (Cang and Feldheim, 2013; Fritzsch et al., 2019). The development of this neural wiring involves the growth and navigation of axons to their proper synaptic targets, often over large distances. Navigating axons integrate attractive and repulsive guidance information from the environment for directed extension (Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne, 2011). This guidance information comes in the form of both secreted and extracellular matrix-associated molecular cues of varying spatial distribution. Interpretation of these signals by growing axons into an appropriate chemotropic response allows for navigation and the formation of functional synapses with their targets (Maler et al., 1974; Chilton, 2006; Chai et al., 2015; Russell and Bashaw, 2017). This synaptic connection between sensory neurons and
their centrally located targets generates a sensory map capable of faithfully transmitting information from the afferent divisions of sensory organs to their respective nuclei (Fritzsch et al., 2019).

The afferent neurons of the inner ear make precise wiring decisions in the hindbrain reflective of the topographic distribution of hair cells in the periphery (Maklad and Fritzsch, 2003; Appler and Goodrich, 2011). These specific decisions are essential for assembling the neuronal circuits dedicated for processing auditory or vestibular input. Auditory and vestibular signals are relayed from the periphery to the hindbrain by spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) and vestibular afferents, respectively. SGNs send peripheral projections into the cochlea, where they innervate tonotopically organized hair cells. Within the cochlea, frequencies are encoded across a gradient with higher frequencies detected by hair cells in the base and lower frequencies detected by hair cells in the apex (Warr and Guinan, 1979; Bourk et al., 1981; Luo et al., 2009; Meyer et al., 2009). SGNs send their central processes to the cochlear nucleus (CN) located within the hindbrain, maintaining their tonotopic organization. Upon entering the hindbrain, SGNs will bifurcate, sending descending processes toward the posteroventral cochlear nucleus (PVCN) and dorsal cochlear nucleus (DCN), as well as ascending processes toward the anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AVCN), where they synapse on second order neurons within these nuclei (Wickesberg and Oertel, 1988; Ryugo and Parks, 2003). Vestibular afferents innervate the various peripheral sensory organs of the vestibular system and send central projections to specific areas of the vestibular nucleus (VN) and cerebellum (Dickman and Fang, 1996; Maklad and Fritzsch, 2003). While vestibular afferents and SGNs project together toward the hindbrain as the vestibulocochlear nerve, within the nerve, these two populations of neurons remain segregated, maintaining topographic fidelity to their respective peripheral sensory organs (Fritzsch, 1981).

The mechanisms that guide inner ear afferents to their peripheral hair cell targets has been a long-standing question (Fekete and Campero, 2007; Coate and Kelley, 2013; Coate et al., 2015). However, relatively little is known about the molecular guidance associated with central pathfinding. Remarkably, across all vertebrate species, auditory and vestibular afferents target the same respective dorsoventral columns of the hindbrain, indicating that central pathfinding may be occurring through a set of conserved guidance mechanisms (Duncan and Fritzsch, 2012). In support of this, transplantation studies in Xenopus and chick have suggested that inner ear afferents respond to conserved guidance cues during central circuit assembly (Elliott et al., 2013, 2015; Elliott and Fritzsch, 2018; Gordy et al., 2018). Furthermore, mouse SGNs project to the CN even in the absence of a majority of their second order synaptic targets (Maricich et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2017), implying that the target cells themselves are not necessary for proper guidance. Taken together, these studies indicate that inner ear afferents may be responding to conserved spatiotemporal gradients of diffusible guidance cues for proper central pathfinding. Wnt/PCP signaling in the CNS is conserved across vertebrates and may be a promising avenue (Goodrich, 2008). Two Wnt/PCP signaling components, Prickle1 (Yang et al., 2017) and Frizzled3 (Fzd3) (Wang et al., 2002; Duncan et al., 2019), have been shown to be involved in central circuit assembly. In Prickle1 mutants, apical SGNs aberrantly cover a more expansive area of the CN and overlap with basal fibers (Yang et al., 2017). A similar phenotype is observed in Fzd3 null mutants, in which apical SGNs project in a disorganized manner within the CN (Duncan et al., 2019). Additionally, in Fzd3 null mutants, some vestibular afferents will project out of the VN and into the CN in mice or into the lateral line nucleus in frogs, indicating a reliance on Fzd3 within vestibular afferents as well (Duncan et al., 2019). Transplantation of ears between control and Fzd3 knockout Xenopus embryos demonstrated that a loss of Fzd3 within the hindbrain did not result in aberrant vestibular afferent projections, whereas afferent projections were aberrant when Fzd3 expression was downregulated within the inner ear (Duncan et al., 2019). This suggests that it is Fzd3 expression within the ear and not in the hindbrain that is necessary for central guidance of auditory and vestibular afferents. Fzd3 is expressed throughout the inner ear, including inner ear afferents (Lu et al., 2011; Ghimire et al., 2018) and hair cells. Within the inner ear afferents, Fzd3 is expressed in a gradient, with a higher expression in the apex compared to the base (Duncan et al., 2019). This distinctive expression pattern suggests that the differential level of Fzd3 expression may contribute to the proper segregation of SGNs to their respective regions of the CN; however, it remains unclear if Fzd3 is acting in a cell autonomous manner within inner ear afferents or if Fzd3 expression within their peripheral hair cell targets is the root of the central defects observed in mutants (Duncan et al., 2019). Either scenario seems likely as Fzd3 has been shown to have a cell autonomous role in some instances (Wang et al., 2002; Chai et al., 2014), while in others, it is the expression of Fzd3 within other cell types that is critical for proper axon pathfinding (Qu et al., 2014).

In the present work, we utilized two Fzd3 conditional knockout models to selectively eliminate Fzd3 from individual cell populations within the inner ear. We used Neurod1-cre (Li et al., 2012) to recombine floxed Fzd3 (Hua et al., 2013) within inner ear afferents and Atoh1-cre (Matei et al., 2005) to recombine Fzd3 within inner hair cells (Wang et al., 2005; Fujiyama et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2010). Our results show that Fzd3-mediated regulation of inner ear afferent central pathfinding relies on the expression of Fzd3 within inner ear afferents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All animal protocols used in these studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Western Michigan University (20-11-01).

Mice

Atoh1-cre:Fzd3+/f mice were produced by breeding Atoh1-cre:Fzd3+/f males with Fzd3+/f or Fzd3+/+ females (Matei et al., 2005; Hua et al., 2013). Neurod1-cre:Fzd3+/f mice were produced by breeding Neurod1-cre:Fzd3+/f+ males with Fzd3+/f or Fzd3+/+ females (Li et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2013). All experiments were performed at postnatal day 0 (P0). Neonates were anesthetized...
by intraperitoneal injection of a lethal dose of Avertin (1.25% of 2.2:2-tribromoethanol) at a dose of 480 mg/kg and then perfused with 4% PFA using a peristaltic pump. Samples were stored in 4% PFA at 4°C until time of experiment. At least three biological replicates were used for each genotype in all experiments.

Immunohistochemistry
To characterize the specific cell types in which Cre recombination would occur in both the Atoh1-cre and Neurod1-cre transgenic mouse lines, immunohistochemistry was performed on the cochlea of P0 mice. After fixation, ears were dissected to expose the cochlea. Samples were left in 1X PBS for 1 h. Samples were then washed in 0.05% Tween-20/PBS. Blocking was performed using 5% donkey serum, 0.5% Triton-X, and 1% bovine serum albumin in 1X PBS at room temperature on a rocker. After a quick rinse using 0.05% Tween-20/PBS, primary antibodies were added to the samples. Primary antibodies include Myo7a rabbit (1:500, Catalog #25-6790, Proteus Biosciences, Inc.) and Neurofilament Heavy Chain 200 kDa (NF200) chick (1:200, Catalog ID NFH, Aves), diluted in blocking solution. Samples were left in primary antibody solution at 4°C on a rocker for 2 days. Samples were then rinsed four times with 0.05% Tween-20/PBS, with each rinse being 30 min in duration. A fluorescent secondary (anti-rabbit, 1:1,000, Catalog #A31573 Invitrogen, or anti-chick, 1:1,000, Catalog #A11039, Invitrogen) was diluted in blocking solution and then added to each sample. Samples were left overnight at 4°C on a rocker. Samples were then washed three times with 0.05% Tween-20/PBS, with each wash being 30 min in duration. Samples were then mounted on glass slides using glycerol and imaged with a Nikon C2 Confocal Microscope.

RNAscope
To confirm the loss of Fzd3 in the conditional knockout mouse models, RNAscope® was used to label individual Fzd3 mRNA in the inner ears from P0 Atoh1-cre:Fzd3+/f, Neurod1-cre:Fzd3+/f, and respective control littermate mice. Three ears from each genotype were removed from the skull, post-fixed in 4% PFA for an hour, and stored in 70% EtOH until processing. Inner ears were then dissected in 70% EtOH to remove the cartilage around the entire cochlea and the ventral most part of the vestibular portion. Dissected inner ears were processed as previously described for whole-mount RNAscope® (Kersigo et al., 2018). Ears were transferred to 70% MeOH, dehydrated to 100% MeOH, and rehydrated through a series of MeOH/0.1% Tween 20 in RNase-free phosphate-buffered saline (PBT) dilutions. Ears were treated with Protease III solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 404891, C1) and Ubiquitin C (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 310771, C3) for ears from Atoh1-cre:Fzd3+/f and control littermates and in probe solution for Fzd3 (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 404891, C1) and TrkC/Ntrk3 to label inner ear neurons (Fariñas et al., 2001; Green et al., 2012; Kersigo et al., 2018; Duncan et al., 2019) (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 423621, C2) for ears from Neurod1-cre:Fzd3+/f and control littermates. Ears were incubated in their respective probe solutions overnight at 40°C with gentle agitation following manufacturer’s instructions. After overnight incubation, the probe solution was removed, and ears were washed in RNase-free 0.2x saline sodium citrate (SSC) three times for 15 min each. Ears were incubated in AMP1 solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 1x) at 40°C for 35 min and washed three times in SSC. Ears were then incubated in AMP2 solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 1x) at 40°C for 20 min and washed three times in SSC. Ears were incubated in AMP3 solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 1x) at 40°C for 35 min and washed three times in SSC. Ears were incubated in AMP4 solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Alt C, 1x) at 40°C for 20 min and washed three times in SSC.

Ears from Atoh1-cre:Fzd3+/f and control littermates were transferred to PBS, blocked for 1 h in 5% normal goat serum (NGS), and incubated with antibody against Myo7a (1:400; Proteus Biosciences, Inc.) in PBS overnight at 37°C to label hair cells. Ears were washed three times in PBS for 1 h each before blocking in 5% NGS/0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Ears were incubated in goat-antirabbit 647 secondary antibody (1:500; Alexa) and Hoechst stain (1:1,000) in PBS overnight at 4°C. Ears were washed three times in PBS for 1 h each. Ears from Neurod1-cre:Fzd3+/f and control littermates were incubated in a DAPI solution (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, 1x) at 4°C overnight and washed three times in PBS. All ears were mounted on a slide in Vectashield® (Vector Laboratories, Inc.). Images were acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope with LAS X software.

Lipophilic Dye Tracing
To label specific inner ear afferents, tissue on the exterior of the skull was dissected to reveal the lateral half of the inner ear. For cochlear labeling, pieces of lipophilic dye-soaked filter paper were placed in the base of the cochlea (NeuroVue® Red) and in the apex of the cochlea (NeuroVue® Jade). For vestibular labeling, lipophilic dye-soaked filter paper was placed at the junction of the anterior cristae and utricle (NeuroVue® Maroon) (Tonniges et al., 2010; Duncan et al., 2011). Placement was consistent for both control and mutant samples. Heads were then placed in vials containing 4% PFA and incubated at 37°C for 3 days to allow for dye diffusion. Following incubation, heads were hemisected and the brain was removed. The brain was flat-mounted with lateral side up on a slide in glycerol and imaged within 1 h of preparation. All imaging was performed using a Nikon C2 Confocal C2 Microscope with NIS software.

Afferent Reconstruction
To reconstruct individual afferents, Z-stack images were loaded into Fiji-ImageJ software. Ten individual apical afferents per sample were manually traced in the AVCN using the Simple Neurite Tracer plugin under the Segmentation tab by selecting points along a single fiber. Selected afferents were approximately 10 µm apart throughout the entire AVCN. The tracing started after the bifurcation of the neurite. If an axon could not be traced at the exact 10 µm distance, the next closest axon was selected. Images were imported into CorelDraw software and individual tracings were relabeled with different colors denoting their position within the AVCN. Neurons from three mutant and three littermate control mice were analyzed.
Quantification of Axon Crossings
The reconstructed axons were examined for the number of times that an axon crossed another axon when projecting. The number of times that axons crossed one another was averaged and standard deviation was calculated in both control and mutant samples. A Student’s t-test was performed to assess significance of the data. Significance was determined at \( p < 0.05 \).

RESULTS
Central Tonotopic Organization of Spiral Ganglion Neurons Is Unaffected by Loss of Fzd3 Within Peripheral Hair Cells
Since Fzd3 has been shown to be expressed within the cochlear hair cells (Wang et al., 2006; Stuebner et al., 2010; Qu et al., 2014; Duncan et al., 2019), we assessed whether loss of Fzd3 in this cellular population affected central pathfinding of SGNs through a non-cell autonomous manner. The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor Atoh1 is expressed in hair cells and has been shown to play a critical role in their fate determination and differentiation (Bermingham et al., 1999). Thus, we used an Atoh1-cre line that has been shown by several studies to drive Cre expression within inner ear hair cells (Supplementary Figure 1; Matei et al., 2005; Perrin et al., 2010, 2013; Ueyama et al., 2014; Tarchini et al., 2016; Kannan-Sundhari et al., 2020) to conditionally delete Fzd3 (Supplementary Figure 1). Although we found variability in Atoh1-cre driving tdTomato expression in supporting cells, all samples expressed tdTomato within hair cells and there was no evidence of tdTomato in inner ear afferents. Application of lipophilic dyes into the base (red) and apex (green) of control cochleae showed that SGNs project in an organized manner toward and within the CN, with basal and apical fibers remaining segregated based on their tonotopic distribution in the periphery (Figures 1A,C), consistent with
previous morphological reports (Wickesberg and Oertel, 1988; Ryugo and Parks, 2003). Similarly in *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutants, tonotopic organization is maintained with basal fibers projecting more dorsally than apical fibers (Figures 1B,D). Overall, there appears to be no difference in tonotopic distribution of SGNs within the CN between control (Figures 1A,B) and *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutants (Figures 1B,D). This indicates that Fzd3 expression within hair cells is not necessary for proper central pathfinding of SGNs.

To confirm that there was no difference between control and *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mice central projections, we investigated the trajectories of afferents as they projected within the AVCN. To this end, ten apical SGNs were traced per animal for each of three control and three *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutants. In both control and *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mice, adjacent fibers preserved relative separation between each other with infrequent path crossing events (Figures 2A,B). The number of times that individual axons crossed one another within the AVCN did not statistically differ between control and *Atoh1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutants (Student’s t-test, *p* > 0.05) (Figure 2D). These findings indicate that Fzd3 expression within inner ear hair cells does not influence the tonotopic distribution of SGNs within the hindbrain.

**Loss of Fzd3 in Spiral Ganglion Neurons Results in Aberrant Tonotopic Organization Within the Cochlear Nucleus**

Since Fzd3 has also been shown to be expressed in cochlear afferents (Supplementary Figure 1; Lu et al., 2011; Ghimire et al., 2018; Duncan et al., 2019), we next investigated if expression specifically within SGNs was influencing proper central pathfinding within the CN. The proneural bHLH transcription factor *Neurod1* has been shown to play a role in inner ear afferents early during differentiation (Appler and Goodrich, 2011; Macova et al., 2019). We characterized a *Neurod1-cre* mouse line (Li et al., 2012) by combining it with a tdTomato reporter (*Neurod1-cre:tdTomato*) to verify that Cre expression was specifically driven within inner ear afferent neurons (Supplementary Figure 1). *Neurod1* is expressed in SGNs beginning at E8.75 (Liu et al., 2000) and therefore eliminates Fzd3 before inner ear afferents delaminate from the otocyst (Ruben, 1967; Kim et al., 2001). As before, control littermates showed the stereotypical projections of apical and basal SGNs as visualized by application of lipophilic dyes into the base (red) and apex (green) (Figures 3A,C). In contrast, in *Neurod1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mice, SGNs did not maintain the same degree of segregation between basal and apical fibers. Frequently, apical fibers would project dorsally into regions typically innervated by basal fibers. This dorsal projection of apical fibers occurred in both the AVCN and PVCN of *Neurod1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutant mice (Figures 3B,D). Even within the apical SGNs alone, many projections in the AVCN of *Neurod1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutant mice did not maintain the same degree of tonotopic organization (Figure 3F) when compared to control mice (Figure 3E). To better visualize this divergence from controls, 10 apical SGNs were traced for both 3 control and 3 *Neurod1-cre:Fzd3*/*f* mutants within the AVCN. In control mice, adjacent fibers maintained parallel separation between each other with infrequent path crossing events (Figure 2A). In contrast, apical
fibers from Neurod1-cre:Fzd3f/f mice, frequently intersected with adjacent fibers as they projected (Figure 2C). The number of times that individual axons crossed one another within the AVCN was significantly greater in Neurod1-cre:Fzd3f/f mice (Figure 2D) than in controls (Figure 2D) (Student’s t-test, p < 0.001). Overall, these findings suggest that Fzd3 is acting in a cell autonomous manner within SGNs to influence central pathfinding within the CN.

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here expand upon our previous study implicating a possible conserved role of Fzd3 in inner ear afferent targeting of the correct dorsoventral column in the hindbrain (Duncan et al., 2019). Furthermore, using unique ear transplantation experiments in Xenopus, this previous study determined that Fzd3 was dispensable within the hindbrain but was required by cells originating from the ear for proper afferent guidance centrally. Consequently, our present study was designed to determine which cell type(s) within the inner ear required Fzd3 expression for appropriate targeting of inner ear afferent central projections. By utilizing conditional knockout mouse lines of Fzd3 in hair cells (Atoh1-cre, Figures 1, 4) and in inner ear afferents themselves (Neurod1-cre, Figures 3, 4), we demonstrate that Fzd3 acts in a cell autonomous manner within the inner ear afferents to influence proper central pathfinding of both SGNs and vestibular afferents (Figure 5). Conditionally knocking out Fzd3 from the hair cells (Figures 1, 4) has no apparent effect on the central pathfinding of either inner ear afferent populations. Conditional deletion of Fzd3 from the inner ear afferents leads to central pathfinding defects in both SGNs and vestibular afferents (Figures 3, 4), comparable to the central phenotype observed in Fzd3 null mutants (Duncan et al., 2019). Consistent with the null mice, Neurod1-cre:Fzd3f/f mice display aberrant SGN pathfinding, especially by apical SGNs, as well as complete mistargeting of some vestibular afferents to the cochlear
FIGURE 4 | Vestibular afferents project out of the VN following the loss of Fzd3. Lipophilic dyes were applied to the apex (projection boundary outlined by dotted yellow line) and the utricle/anterior crista (cyan) of control (A,D), Atoh1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ (B,E), and Neurod1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ mutant (C,F) cochleae at P0 and their central projections were analyzed (A–F). In the control, vestibular afferents project toward the VN and remain segregated from cochlear afferents (A). In the Atoh1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ mutant, vestibular afferents also remain segregated from cochlear afferents and send projections toward the VN (B). In contrast, vestibular afferents in the Neurod1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ mutant project out of the VN into the AVCN resulting in a loss of segregation between cochlear and vestibular afferents (C). Higher magnification of the VN and AVCN (D–F) showing the projection of vestibular and apical afferents in the control (D), Atoh1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ (E) and Neurod1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ mutant (D). The white arrows show the projection of a single vestibular afferent out of the VN, into the AVCN of the Neurod1-cre:Fzd3$^{f/f}$ mutant (C,F). AC, anterior cristae; VN, vestibular nucleus; AVCN, anteroventral cochlear nucleus; DCN, dorsal cochlear nucleus; PVCN, posteroventral cochlear nucleus; A, anterior; D, dorsal. Orientation for all panels the same as (A). Scale bars, 100 µm. Three mutant animals and three littermate controls were analyzed.

nucleus. We cannot rule out a minor non-autonomous effect from another cell type not examined in the current study.

Despite multiple studies looking into cell-specific requirements for Fzd3 in axon guidance, variation exists between different cell types, with Fzd3 having a cell autonomous role in some instances of neuronal circuit assembly but a non-cell autonomous role in others. While our data supports that Fzd3 has a cell autonomous role in inner ear afferent central pathfinding, it is important to note that Fzd3 does not always have a cell autonomous role in axon guidance within the central nervous system. A study examining the role of Wnt/PCP pathways in development of facial branchiomotor neurons suggested that Fzd3 may have both cell autonomous and non-cell autonomous roles, but that they do not have to be considered separately.
It is plausible that without \( Fzd3 \) disruption of normal projection patterns for developing axons. loss of these critical guides in absence of \( Fzd3 \) guidepost cells (Qin et al., 2020). Therefore, it may be the instances, may be to establish pioneer neurons or to organize the ligand binding FZD3. Zou, 2017). In both of these locations it is thought that Wnts are controlling the central pathfinding of inner ear afferent neurons is similar to that of 5-HT and mdDA neurons of the hindbrain (Fenstermaker et al., 2010), and commissural axons in the neural tube (Lyuksyutova et al., 2003; Onishi et al., 2013; Onishi and Zou, 2017). In both of these locations it is thought that Wnts are the ligand binding FZD3.

Some studies suggest that the role of \( Fzd3 \), at least in some instances, may be to establish pioneer neurons or to organize guidepost cells (Qin et al., 2020). Therefore, it may be the loss of these critical guides in absence of \( Fzd3 \) that leads to disruption of normal projection patterns for developing axons. It is plausible that without \( Fzd3 \), an interaction that would normally occur between basal and apical fibers is missing, or a new interaction has been introduced, that is leading to the misprojections observed when \( Fzd3 \) is conditionally deleted from inner ear afferent neurons. Conversely, there may be missing or newly present cues between SGN fibers and vestibular afferents, contributing to the presence of vestibular afferents in the CN. It is unclear if the defects seen by eliminating \( Fzd3 \) using Neurod1-Cre is due to the loss from all neurons, or if the effects seen are due to elimination of \( Fzd3 \) in subpopulations of neurons. This subpopulation effect of \( Fzd3 \) will need to be clarified in future studies.

The graded expression of \( Fzd3 \) across SGNs (Duncan et al., 2019) may explain why we observed greater central pathfinding defects in apical SGNs in the \( Fzd3 \) mutants. Since \( Fzd3 \) is expressed at higher levels in apical SGNs as compared to basal SGNs (Duncan et al., 2019), loss of \( Fzd3 \) may have a more severe impact on the ability of apical afferents to properly navigate within the CN. This suggests that gradients of Wnt/PCP signaling components may be restricting the projection of a given SGN to a specific region of the CN, possibly with additional repulsive/attractive cues in the extracellular environment, as has previously been shown for the visual system. In the visual system, a gradient of another Wnt/PCP signaling component, \( Ryk \), expressed across retinal ganglion cells, mediates repulsion from the graded expression of Wnt3 ligand in the tectum. \( Fzd3 \) expression in the retinal ganglion cells mediates attraction that, together with \( Ryk \) repulsion, helps establish the dorsoventral retinal mapping to the tectum (Schmitt et al., 2006). Whether SGNs are directed to a particular dorsoventral region of the CN based on the FZD3 receptor concentration and the concentration of Wnt ligands released from the dorsal hindbrain remains to be explored. Furthermore, the extent that additional Wnt/PCP components, such as \( Ryk \) or other FZD receptors, are involved in inner ear pathfinding is not yet known. \( Fzd3 \) has been shown to work together with \( Celsr3 \), another PCP protein, in development of both the peripheral and central nervous system (Wang et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2008; Vivancos et al., 2009; Qu et al., 2010, 2014; Chai et al., 2014). Wnt-mediated axon guidance has been well-established in other major neuronal tracts (Lyuksyutova et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2005; Keeble and Cooper, 2006; Fenstermaker et al., 2010) beyond the ear and eye and the expression of Wnts in the dorsal hindbrain is conserved across vertebrate species (Hernandez-Miranda et al., 2017; Elliott and Fritzsch, 2018). However, the issue of which Wnt ligand(s) play a role in central pathfinding of SGNs is complicated by the existence of 19 different Wnts in mammals that can bind to 10 different FZD receptors (Wang et al., 2012), as well as ROR (Minami et al., 2010) and \( Ryk \) (Yoshikawa et al., 2003) receptors. Some promising candidates are \( Wnt3a \) and \( Wnt5a \), based on their expression in hindbrain regions that the inner ear afferents are targeting (Louvi et al., 2007; Di Bonito and Studer, 2017) and their ability to bind to FZD3 (Dijksterhuis et al., 2014). Wnt1 is also expressed in the same hindbrain region; however, it has not been shown to bind to FZD3 (Dijksterhuis et al., 2014). Identification of Wnt ligands/receptors involved in inner ear afferent central pathfinding will be critical in beginning to unravel the role Wnt/PCP signaling plays in this process.
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