Effects of Neddylation and mTOR Inhibition in Acute Myelogenous Leukemia

Naxin Guo*, Mitra Azadniv*, Myra Coppage†, Mary Nemer*, Jason Mendler*,‡, Michael Becker*‡, and Jane Liesveld*‡

*Wilmot Cancer Institute, University of Rochester Medical Center, School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Ave, Rochester, NY 14642; †Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Ave, Rochester, NY 14642; ‡Department of Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, School of Medicine and Dentistry, 601 Elmwood Ave, Rochester, NY 14642

Abstract

Acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease and often relapses after standard chemotherapy. Recently, the neddylation (NEDD8) and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathways have emerged as promising pharmaceutical targets for AML therapy. However, the interaction of these two pathways remains unclear. Here we evaluated the effects of pevonedistat, an inhibitor of the NEDD8 activating enzyme (NAE), and sapanisertib (TAK-228), an inhibitor of mTORC1 and mTORC2 as single agents or in combination on AML cell lines. We found that inhibition of neddylation with pevonedistat partially inhibited mTOR signaling transduction and vice versa, inhibition of mTOR signaling with sapanisertib partially inhibited neddylation in AML cell lines. Pevonedistat alone was able to induce cytotoxicity in most AML cell lines as well as in primary AML, whereas sapanisertib alone decreased cell metabolic activity, reduced cell size and arrested cells in G0 phase with only minimal induction of cell death. In addition, pevonedistat was able to induce cell differentiation, arrest cells in G2/M cell cycle phases, and induce DNA re-replication and damage. However, co-treatment with sapanisertib suppressed pevonedistat induced apoptosis, differentiation, S/G2/M arrest, and DNA damage. Taken together, our data demonstrate that pevonedistat and sapanisertib exhibit distinct anti-tumor effects on AML cells, i.e. cytotoxic and cytostatic effects, respectively; however, sapanisertib can attenuate pevonedistat-induced cellular responses in AML cells. Understanding mTOR and neddylation pathway interaction could provide therapeutic strategies for treatment of AML and other malignancies.
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Introduction

Acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous disease which often relapses after standard chemotherapy or proves refractory to available treatments. Therefore, novel therapies for AML are urgently needed. In AML, many signaling pathways are abnormally activated and lead to uncontrolled proliferation/survival of immature myeloid progenitors [1–5]. Recently, the NEDD8 (neural precursor cell-expressed, developmentally down-regulated 8) conjugation pathway has emerged as an important regulatory pathway for cancer therapy [6]. NEDD8 is a small ubiquitin (Ub)-like molecule which is linked to cullin ring E3 ligases (CRLs), a type of E3 Ub ligase. Conjugation
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inhibitors may overcome these limitations. mTORC2, which is currently in phase I and II clinical trials as a and orally bioavailable ATP competitor of both mTORC1 and mTOR pathways by pevonedistat and sapanisertib, respectively and negative regulators with resulting blockade of the mTOR pathway. The first generation of mTORC1 inhibitors, such as rapamycin, have controls protein synthesis, ribosome biogenesis, cell growth, and cell initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1). mTORC2 regulates cell cycle progression through phosphorylation of its substrates such as p130, the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors p27kip1 and p21cip1, the pro-apoptotic BH3-only tumor suppressor protein (BimEL), and the NF-kB inhibitor IxBα [8,9]. Other CRLs also promote the degradation of a variety of cancer relevant targets such as those involved in DNA replication and nucleotide excision repair including chromatin licensing and DNA replication factor 1 (CDT1, CRL1/4) [10], in the response to hypoxia transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1α, CRL2) [11], in oxidative responses such as nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (NRF2, CRL3) [12], in mTOR signaling such as the mTOR inhibitor tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2, CRL4) [13] and in tumor suppression such as P53 (CRL5/7) [14]. Moreover, aberrant activation of the neddylation pathway has been reported in human cancers where overactive CRLs confer a survival advantage [15].

Pevonedistat (TAK-924, MLN4924) is a small molecule which specifically inhibits NEDD8-activating enzyme E1 (NAE) activity, blocks the neddylation pathway, and subsequently increases the stability of CRL substrates [16]. Pevonedistat has been shown to prevent tumor cell growth through inducing tumor cell apoptosis and has entered into several early phase as well as phase III trials for various solid tumors and hematological malignancies [17–19].

Previous reports have shown that the mTOR signaling pathway is activated in 50% to 80% of AML cases [20]. mTOR is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine protein kinase that senses signals of growth factors, nutrients, energy status and metabolic stresses [21]. mTOR exists in two distinct multi-factor complexes: mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2). mTORC1 controls protein synthesis, ribosome biogenesis, cell growth, and cell cycle progression through phosphorylation of its substrates such as ribosome protein S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1). mTORC2 regulates cell proliferation, cell survival, and the cytoskeleton through its downstream effectors such as AKT and protein kinase C (PKC) [22]. The first generation of mTORC1 inhibitors, such as rapamycin, have had minimal impact on AML [23]. Negative feedback loops between mTORC1 and mTORC2 as well as failure to inhibit the phosphorylation of the translation repressor 4E-BP1 limited the efficacy of rapamycin in AML treatment [24]. Dual mTORC1/2 inhibitors may overcome these limitations.

Sapanisertib (TAK-228, MLN0128) is a selective, highly potent, and orally bioavailable ATP competitor of both mTORC1 and mTORC2, which is currently in phase I and II clinical trials as a single agent and in combination with other therapeutic agents in patients with advanced malignancies [25,26]. Since DEPTOR, a naturally occurring inhibitor of mTORC1/2 is ubiquitinated by CRL/SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase [27] and several other negative regulators of the mTOR pathway are also substrates of CRLs (TSC2, REDD1, IRS1, and HIF1α) [28], targeting the neddylation pathway is therefore expected to cause the accumulation of mTOR negative regulators with resulting blockade of the mTOR pathway. Thus we postulated that simultaneous inhibition of the neddylation and mTOR pathways by pevonedistat and sapanisertib, respectively might have effects on leukemia cell growth beyond those agents used singly.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Lines**

The human AML cell lines HL-60, MV4–11, THP-1 and U937 were purchased from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) and Molm-13 was purchased from AddexBio and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI-FBS) and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (P/S, Sigma-Aldrich). The KG1a cell line was also purchased from ATCC and cultured in IMDM (Invitrogen) containing 10% HI-FBS and P/S. The HEK293TN Cell Line (transformed with the SV40 large T antigen to promote robust growth) was purchased from System Bioscience and cultured in DMEM containing 10% HI-FBS, 1xGlutamax, and 50 ng/ml of G418 for selection. All cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C in 5% CO2. All tissue culture adapted cell lines were mycoplasma free by MycoAlert™ PLUS kit testing (Lonza).

**Primary AML Cells**

Primary bone marrow aspirates or peripheral blood samples were collected from AML patients after informed consent or from excess samples obtained from de-identified AML subjects at diagnosis on a protocol approved by the Research Subjects Review Board of the University of Rochester. Mononuclear cells were isolated using Ficoll-Paque Plus (GE Healthcare Bio-Science AB) following the manufacturer’s procedure and stored frozen in liquid N2. Primary AML cells were cultured in IMDM supplied with 10% HI-FBS and recombinant human (rh) stem-cell factor (SCF, 10 ng/ml), rh interleukin-3 (IL-3, 10 ng/ml), and rh FLT3-Ligand (10 ng/ml) (all from PeproTech). All cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C in 5% CO2.

**Reagents**

Pevonedistat and sapanisertib were obtained from Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a subsidiary of Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, under a materials transfer agreement. IKKB inhibitor IV was purchased from Santa Cruz. All of the compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, AMRESCO) at 10 mM stock at –20 °C. The final DMSO (with or without drugs) concentration added in cell culture medium was 0.1% (v/v).

**Lentiviral Production**

The lentiviral cloning, high titer lentiviral production and lentiviral titration determination were described previously [29]. Briefly, short hairpin RNA (shRNA) was designed using Invitrogen Block-it RNAi Designer. The shRNA oligonucleotides against mTOR (sh-mTOR#1: GCAAGAGATCTCATGGGCTTCG; sh-mTOR#2: GCTATGTAGTAGAGCCCTACA) were constructed into pLKO.1-GFP vector to generate lentiviral particles. The lentivector pLKO.1-GFP construct DNA, pPax2 (packaging plasmid) and pMD2.G (vesicular stomatitis virus-g) envelope plasmid were transfected into HEK293TN cells using TransIT-LT1 Transfection Reagent (Mirus Bio) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Lentiviral particles were harvested on the following 2 days and filtered through 0.45 μm PES syringe filter (Nalgene). Pooled lentiviral supernatants were PEG concentrated, aliquoted, and stored at –80 °C. Lentiviral titer quantitation was performed using
HEK293TN cells. Percentages of GFP positive cells were determined by flow cytometry.

**Lentiviral Infection**

To infect human leukemic cell lines, 1 × 10⁷ lentiviral particles plus 8 μg/ml polybrene (AmericanBio) were added to 1 × 10⁶ cells at the ratio of 10 MOI (Multiplicity of infection). To infect primary AML cells, 5 × 10⁶ primary cells were cultured in IMDM with 10% HI-FBS with cytokines and mixed with 10 MOI of lentivirus and 8 μg/ml polybrene. The infection was carried out at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ overnight. The medium was changed after overnight infection. The infection efficiency was evaluated by GFP expression using flow cytometry 3 or 4 days after infection. The knockdown efficiency was confirmed by mTOR western blot analysis.

**NFκB Reporter Cell Line Generation and Luciferase Assay**

KG1a and U937 cell lines were transduced with lentivirus containing pGreenfire-NF-κB-responsive transcriptional elements-GFP/luciferase gene (Systems Bioscience) and selected with 2 μg/ml puromycin. Then the NFκB reporter cells were treated with pevonedistat or sapanisertib or both for timed exposures, lysed in passive lysis buffer (Promega) and analyzed for luciferase activity using Steady-GLO luciferase reagent (Promega) with a plate reader (Synergy 2, BioTek).

**Flow Cytometry**

For cell cycle analysis, cells were stained with 10 μg/ml HOECHST 33342 (Thermo Scientific) for 45 min at 37 °C, then pyronin Y (Alfa Aesar) was added to cell culture at final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml and the cells were continually cultured at 37 °C for another 15 min before flow analysis. For apoptosis analysis, the cells were washed with 0.5% FBS/PBS and stained with Annexin V7-AAD (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. Combination index (CI) for drug cytotoxicity was calculated using Compusyn software. For CD11b staining, the cells were washed with 0.5% FBS/PBS and stained with FITC conjugated CD11b (BD Biosciences) on ice for 30 min, and followed by AnnexinV/7-AAD staining. The samples were analyzed using a flow cytometer (FACS LSR II, BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software version 10.2 (Tree Star). Antibodies to CD11c and CD36 were also used for cell staining as described for CD11b.

**Cell Count and Viability Assays**

After the AML cells were treated with DMSO control alone or with pevonedistat and/or sapanisertib, cells were stained with trypan blue and the live cell number and viability were determined by using TC20 Automated Cell Counter (Bio-Rad).

**Western Blotting**

Cells were lysed in 62.5 mM Tris pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% Glycerol, with protease-inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling). Protein concentration was measured using DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad), and Western blot analysis was performed. The primary antibodies used are listed in Table 1 (in supplement). Secondary horse radish peroxidase conjugated anti-mouse and anti-rabbit antibodies were from Jackson Immunoresearch or BioRad. GAPDH (Am4300) (Ambion) was used as an internal control. Antibodies were diluted 1:1000 in TBS/1%BSA/0.05% Tween20 buffer except for GAPDH using a 1:5000 dilution.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). For comparison between groups of three or more, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Newman–Keuls multiple comparison test was used to determine differences between treatments and results given as means +/- standard deviation. For all statistical analysis, P < .05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Pevonedistat Induced Cytotoxicity in Human AML Cell Lines and Primary AML Cells**

We first investigated the effects of pevonedistat on various AML cell lines. Six human AML cell lines (HL-60, KG1a, Molm-13, MV4–11, THP-1 and U937) were treated with varying concentrations of pevonedistat from 62.5 nM to 500 nM for 2 days and cell viability was determined using flow cytometry. Cells considered viable were annexinV and 7AAD double negative. There was a dose-dependent decrease in cell viability (Figure 1A and Fig. S1) in most of the cell lines. MV4–11 and THP-1 were the most sensitive cell lines with the EC50 as low as 100 nM; whereas KG1a was the most resistant cell line. A variable level of cytotoxicity induced by pevonedistat was also observed in primary AML blast cells as shown in the lower portion of Figure 1A. Characteristics of the AML cases are shown in Table 2 in the supplementary materials.

Flow cytometry analysis showed that pevonedistat significantly induced AML cell death via apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1B). Compared to vehicle control which had less than 5% cell death and apoptosis; at a concentration of 250 nM, about 75% of MV4–11 cells were dead; more than 16% cells underwent apoptosis and less than 10% of cells were alive. Molm-13 had the same response pattern, but was not as sensitive as MV4–11.

Immunoblotting assays confirmed the apoptotic effects of pevonedistat on AML cells (Figure 1C). Since pevonedistat is a NEDDylation inhibitor, we first detected NEDD8-cullin expression in MV4–11 cells. In the presence of pevonedistat, the neddylation of cullins was markedly inhibited. Apoptosis markers such as cleaved Caspase7/3 and cleaved poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) showed apoptotic cell death and apoptosis; at a concentration of 250 nM, about 75% of MV4–11 cells were dead; more than 16% cells underwent apoptosis and less than 10% of cells were alive. Molm-13 had the same response pattern, but was not as sensitive as MV4–11.

**The Cytotoxic Effects of Pevonedistat Were Attenuated by the mTOR Inhibitor Sapanisertib**

Previous reports showed that mTOR signaling is significantly up-regulated in AML [24], and pevonedistat inhibits AKT and mTOR activation in human myeloma cells [30]. Thus we hypothesized that mTOR inhibitors might have additive or synergistic effects with pevonedistat. To study the effects of the combination, we first treated the AML cell lines, MV4–11 and Molm-13 with increasing concentrations of pevonedistat with the simultaneous addition of the mTOR inhibitor, sapanisertib for 48 hours. By flow cytometry apoptosis analysis using Annexin V staining, we found that there was no additive or synergistic interaction between these two compounds; and in fact, sapanisertib attenuated pevonedistat induced cytotoxicity with the CI value >42, indicating that the two compounds have antagonistic effects [31][Figure 2A]. Pevonedistat alone induced cytotoxicity in AML cells in a dose dependent manner, while sapanisertib treatment showed minimal effect on AML cell death. In MV4–11 cells at the dose of 200 nM, pevonedistat treated cells were <10% viable, while sapanisertib treated cells were about 80% viable. When
both compounds were added simultaneously, the cytotoxicity effect was intermediate. Other AML cell lines and primary AML blasts were analyzed similarly, and the results showed the same pattern (Figure 2B), suggesting that the two compounds are not synergistic, but antagonistic regarding effects on apoptosis. To examine whether this observation would also be seen in primary AML blasts not supplemented with growth factors, 6 additional cases were examined (Fig. S2) at 24 and 48 hours of exposure with variability in inhibition between cases noted but with similar overall trends noted, suggesting lack of an additive effect of the drug combination.

Immunoblotting analysis confirmed effects of these two compounds on apoptosis (Figure 2C). After 24 or 48 hour exposures in the MV4–11 cell line, pevonedistat treatment alone strongly induced PARP and caspases 8/3 cleavage and decreased the levels of the anti-apoptosis protein XIAP (X-linked mammalian inhibitor of apoptosis protein). Sapanisertib alone induced the cleavage of PARP and caspase 8 to some extent, but the intensity was much less than pevonedistat alone. The apoptosis mediator protein levels with combination treatment were intermediate between the agents used singly. Thus in the presence of sapanisertib, pevonedistat's proapoptotic effects were neutralized.

Interestingly, although sapanisertib and pevonedistat were antagonistic in terms of cytotoxicity and apoptosis induction, they both inhibited each other’s signal pathway activation. As shown in Figure 2C, after 24-hour or 48-hour treatment either alone or in combination, sapanisertib and pevonedistat decreased the phosphorylation of mTOR on Serine 2448 (a marker for mTORC1 activity)/Serine 2481 (a marker for mTORC2 activity) sites as well as the phosphorylation of mTOR signaling downstream molecules, 4E-BP1 on serine 65 and S6RP on Serine 240/244. As Deptor, a natural mTOR signal endogenous inhibitor, is a substrate of CRL/SCF bTrCP E3 ubiquitin ligase [27,32,33], we postulated that inhibition of the Neddylation pathway by pevonedistat might cause Deptor accumulation. However, pevonedistat treatment alone did not cause Deptor accumulation. Deptor expression increased only with sapanisertib exposure or with the combination of the two compounds. These data suggest that inhibition of mTOR by sapanisertib efficiently blocked Deptor destruction, consistent with a previous study which showed that Deptor accumulation was induced by another mTOR
inhibitor, PP242 [33]. Pevonedistat failed to stabilize Deptor in AML cells, indicating that Deptor degradation might not be accomplished through the NEDD8 pathway or that CRL1/SCFbTrCP E3 ubiquitin ligase might not have strong activity in these AML cells. In addition, pevonedistat only partially and indirectly inhibited mTOR. Similarly, sapanisertib also inhibited NEDD8-cullin expression, but the inhibition intensity was not as great as with pevonedistat alone or in combination, suggesting that sapanisertib only partially inhibited the neddylation pathway.

**Differentiation Effects of Pevonedistat Are Attenuated by the mTOR Inhibitor Sapanisertib**

Pevonedistat induced Molm-13 cell morphology changes, but this was not observed in other AML cell lines (Figure 3A). By light microscopy, the normally round Molm-13 cells became elongated and fibroblast-like with variation in shape and size. The cells were still in suspension and did not adhere to the tissue culture plate. Similar to the effect on apoptosis, sapanisertib restored pevonedistat induced Molm-13 cell morphology changes.

To further investigate the effect, we compared expression of the cell surface marker, CD11b, which is a macrophage cell marker used to indicate differentiation, between vehicle and pevonedistat treated cells using flow cytometry. We observed a graded increase of CD11b protein levels with a corresponding increase in pevonedistat concentration in Molm-13 cells (Figure 3B). At the highest concentration of pevonedistat tested (400 nM), the protein expression increased 1.5 fold in the Molm-13 line compared to DMSO vehicle control. Sapanisertib decreased CD11b expression and also reduced pevonedistat induced CD11b expression. Significantly increased CD11b expression was also found in other pevonedistat treated AML cell lines and primary cells, and mTOR inhibition blocked these effects (Figure 3C). (Fig. S3), Effects of pevonedistat and sapanisertib on expression of CD11c and CD36, both also variably used as differentiation markers in myelomonocytic AML cells lines, differed amongst the cell lines as shown in Fig. S3.

**mTOR Inhibition by Sapanisertib Led AML Cells into a Dormant/Quiescent Status**

To further understand the effects of sapanisertib, we treated AML cells with varying concentrations of sapanisertib for 1 or 2 days followed by flow cytometry, immunoblotting, apoptosis
determination, cell cycle analysis and XTT assay. As shown in Figure 4A, sapanisertib did not significantly induce cell death as measured by Annexin V and 7AAD apoptosis analysis after 2 days exposure at concentrations up to 800 nM in Molm-13 and MV4–11 cells. As expected, sapanisertib exposure for 2 days markedly inhibited mTOR phosphorylation as well as mTORC1 downstream mediators p4E-BP1 and the mTORC2 mediator pAKT expression in both primary cells and in cell lines (shown here with U937) in a concentration dependent manner; however, there was no effect on BID or cleaved caspase 3 expression in the cells as shown in Figure 4B, suggesting that sapanisertib, blocking both mTORC1 and mTORC2 activities, did not induce AML apoptosis.

It has been reported that mTOR inhibition could reduce cell size [34–36], so we compared the Molm-13 cell size with or without sapanisertib treatment using a flow cytometry geometric mean forward scatter area (FSC-A) parameter. After one day of sapanisertib exposure, there was a sharp leftward shift in the FSC-A histogram compared with DMSO vehicle control, indicating that cell size decreased (Figure 4C). We found that pevonedistat exposure for 24 hours did not have significant influence on cell size changes, whereas treatment with sapanisertib and pevonedistat together followed the same trend as sapanisertib alone in reducing cell size, indicating that pevonedistat presence was not able to overcome the effect induced by sapanisertib (Figure 4C). We found that pevonedistat exposure for 24 hours did not have significant influence on cell size changes, whereas treatment with sapanisertib and pevonedistat together followed the same trend as sapanisertib alone in reducing cell size, indicating that pevonedistat presence was not able to overcome the effect induced by sapanisertib (Figure 4C).

Accumulation of cells in the G0 phase of the cell cycle (Figure 4E) whereas cell numbers in S phase and G2/M phase were significantly decreased. Pevonedistat alone increased cell population in S/G2/M cell cycle phases; however, the RNA levels detected by pyronin Y staining were much lower than that of DMSO vehicle control, suggesting that DNA replication occurred with very low levels of RNA transcription. When cells were treated with the two compounds together, the cell cycle profiles were similar to the one with sapanisertib alone, indicating that sapanisertib presence prevented pevonedistat induced S/G2/M accumulation.

Since mTOR signaling regulates cell proliferation, we also performed an XTT cytotoxicity assay to detect the cellular metabolic activities. XTT measures cell viability based on the activity of mitochondrial enzymes in live cells that reduce XTT and are inactivated shortly after cell death. After one day exposure, sapanisertib significantly decreased cell proliferation rate (Figure 4F), suggesting that sapanisertib induced growth inhibition is not mainly through apoptosis induction, but rather through suppression of metabolic activity.

**Pevonedistat Induced AML Cytotoxicity Was Attenuated by mTOR shRNA**

To confirm the role of mTOR inhibition on pevonedistat-induced AML apoptosis, we targeted mTORC1/2 by knocking down mTOR expression with small hairpin RNAs (shRNA). Down-regulation of mTOR expression mirrored the effects of sapanisertib (Figure 5). mTOR inhibition by shRNAs resulted in decreased AML cell size (Fig. S5) and arrest of cells in G0-G1 phase. In addition, pevonedistat-induced cell death was significantly impeded in the cells infected with mTOR shRNA lentivirus compared to scrambled shRNA (P < .01). Therefore, we validated that mTOR signal inactivation protects AML cells from NAE inhibitor pevonedistat-induced apoptosis using both pharmacological and

---

**Figure 3.** P increased AML cell CD11b expression was attenuated by S. (A) Molm-13 cells were treated with 400 nM of S, P or S + P for 24 hours and the cell morphology changes were observed under phase contrast microscopy (bar, 50 μm). (B) Molm-13 was incubated with different concentrations of S, P or S + P for 24 hours. Flow cytometry analysis was done to determine CD11b expression. MFI = mean fluorescence intensity. (C) CD11b expression in various AML cell lines and primary AML samples (n = 6) treated with 400 nM of P, S or combination for 24 hours was analyzed via flow cytometry and normalized to expression with vehicle DMSO incubation alone. **P < .01; ***P < .001 using one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls Multiple Comparison Test.
genetic approaches. To further study the mechanism which might explain an mTOR inhibitor’s ability to induce resistance to pevonedistat, we tested rapamycin, an mTORC1 inhibitor without mTORC2 inhibitory effects. Concurrent exposure to rapamycin did not significantly alter the effects of pevonedistat and did not change cell size and cell cycle status. In addition, rapamycin did not inhibit the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, suggesting that mTORC1 inhibition alone may be insufficient to inhibit the effects of pevonedistat, thereby suggesting a role for mTORC2 (Fig. S6).

**Pevonedistat did Not Initiate Cytotoxic Effects Through Inhibition of NFκB Signaling, But Rather Through DNA Re-Replication/Damage in AML Cells**

According to previous studies, there are at least two mechanisms for the cytotoxic effects of pevonedistat in B-cell lymphoma [37]. One is through NFκB signaling inhibition by blocking IκBα ubiquitination and the other is via DNA re-plication by blocking CDT1 degradation. To determine the possible causes of the apoptotic effects induced by pevonedistat in AML cell lines, we analyzed both NFκB signaling and DNA damage response. By using western blotting in MV4–11 and Molm-13 cells, we could not detect phosphorylated IκBα (pIκBα, Ser32) using the well cited antibody from Cell Signaling or the accumulation of IκBα protein after pevonedistat exposure compared to control (Figures 2C and 6A). In U937 cells treated with pevonedistat, we detected a dose response increase in phosphorylated P65 (Ser536) and a slight decrease of IκBα at day1, but by day 2 the levels of pP65 were back to normal (Figure 6B). By using an NFκB reporter assay in U937 and KG1a cells, we detected a slight increase of NFκB signaling at the beginning (3–6 hours) of pevonedistat exposure (Figure 6C). These data indicate that the cytotoxic effects induced by pevonedistat on AML cells might not be initiated through NFκB inhibition.
We then checked the expression of the DNA replication-licensing factor CDT1. CDT1 is one of the substrates of both CRL1-SCFskp2 [38] and CRL4CDT2 [39]. We found that blocking the neddylation pathway with pevonedistat significantly increased CDT1 protein levels in MV4–11 and Molm-13 cells after 16 hours of exposure, suggesting that CRL1 and CRL4 Ub ligase activity was inhibited. Not surprisingly, sapanisertib decreased CDT1 expression and the combination treatment showed a slight increase of CDT1 (Figure 6A). As pevonedistat induces DNA damage in chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells [40], we tested DNA damage marker γH2A.X (the Serine 139 site phosphorylation of the minor histone H2A variant H2AX) expression upon pevonedistat exposure as well. We found that treatment of AML cell lines MV4–11 and Molm-13 with pevonedistat resulted in an increase of γH2A.X expression (Figure 6A) and sapanisertib completely abolished pevonedistat-induced γH2A.X expression. These results together with a rise in cleaved PARP (Figures 1C and 2C) and cell cycle changes (Figure 4E) indicate that pevonedistat initiated AML cell apoptosis most likely through DNA re-replication and DNA damage and that sapanisertib attenuation of pevonedistat induced apoptosis might occur in part through inhibition of pevonedistat induced DNA re-replication and DNA damage.

NFκB Signal Pathway Inhibitor (IKKB Inhibitor IV) Enhanced Pevonedistat Induced Cytotoxicity

Since the NFκB pathway has anti-apoptosis activity and we detected a slight increase in NFκB activity in KG1a and U937 cells, we postulated that inhibition of NFκB signaling could have synergistic effects of inducing cytotoxicity with pevonedistat. To test this hypothesis, we pretreated the pevonedistat resistant AML cell line KG1a with different concentrations of IKKB inhibitor IV (IKKBi) for 1 hour and then added pevonedistat to the cell culture for another 48 hours followed by flow cytometry apoptosis/ cell death analysis. Treatment with IKKBi alone for 48 hours had minimal effect on cytotoxicity; at a concentration of 5 μM, the cell death/apoptosis effects reached the maximum (4%/6%, respectively). Treatment with high concentration pevonedistat (2 μM) alone was able to induce cell apoptosis to a greater extent than did 5 μM IKKBi alone (10% vs 5%), while the combination of these 2 compounds enhanced cell death and apoptosis, indicating that NFκB inhibition could interact with neddylation inhibition to induce apoptosis (Figure 7).

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the effect of the neddylation inhibitor pevonedistat, the mTOR pathway inhibitor sapanisertib and the combination of these two compounds on AML cells. We were interested in targeting both neddylation and mTOR pathways to see if there were any synergistic effects of pathway inhibition in AML. We found that as a single agent treatment, both of these two compounds had significant antitumor activities in AML. However, there were antagonistic effects observed when the two compounds were administrated simultaneously.
Even though there was variability, most AML cell lines and primary cells were sensitive to pevonedistat treatment. In addition, pevonedistat increased expression of myeloid differentiation markers in some cell lines, arrested AML cells in S/G2/M cell cycle phases, and induced DNA re-replication and DNA damage, consistent with previous reports\[41,42\]. Surprisingly, with pre- or co-treatment with sapanisertib, the dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor, almost all the effects of pevonedistat were attenuated. Sapanisertib not only decreased pevonedistat induced apoptosis and reduced apoptosis markers such as cleaved caspase3/8 and cleaved PARP levels, it also restored anti-apoptosis protein XIAP levels. The differentiation of the AML cells and S/G2/M arrest induced by pevonedistat were also inhibited by simultaneous sapanisertib exposure.

We found that sapanisertib alone induced limited cell death in most AML cell lines and primary AML blasts, but it was able to inhibit cell cycle progression, reduce cell size, and protect from apoptosis as has been described in other systems\[43\]. Pevonedistat and sapanisertib therefore exhibit distinct anti-tumor effects on AML cells, which are cytotoxic and cytostatic effects, respectively.

It has been reported that pevonedistat induced cytotoxicity involves several mechanisms, such as NFκB inactivation\[6,37\], reactive oxygen species induction\[41\], DNA re-replication and DNA damage\[6,44–47\], proteotoxic/ER stress, and unfolded protein response\[48\]. We observed that pevonedistat elicited S/G2/M accumulation in AML cells, consistent with the induction of the DNA re-replication process through up-regulation of the DNA replication licensing factor CDT1, a substrate of both CRL1/SCFskp2 and CRL4CDT2. Consequently, DNA damage increased as evidenced by PARP cleavage and γH2AX expression, and this was followed by cell apoptosis and death. These results suggest that pevonedistat induced AML cytotoxicity is most likely through DNA re-replication and DNA damage response. Sapanisertib as an antagonist of pevonedistat further confirmed this possibility, for sapanisertib diminished pevonedistat-mediated DNA damage exhibited by decreased CDT1 accumulation, reduced PARP cleavage and γH2AX expression, and arrest of cells in G0 phase.

Since the NFκB inhibitory protein IκBα is a substrate of CRL1/SCFβTrCP\[49\], through neddylation inhibition, pevonedistat blocks...
IkBα degradation, and as a consequence, the NFκB pathway is inactivated. However, in our experimental system, we could not detect IkBα accumulation after pevonedistat treatment in the most sensitive cell lines MV4–11 or Molm-13. Moreover, our data also showed a slight elevation of P65 phosphorylation in U937. Recently, Zhou et al. showed that pevonedistat treatment alone induced P65 phosphorylation, but pevonedistat was able to inhibit belinostat induced NFκB activation [45]. Our results suggest that pevonedistat induced cytotoxicity was most likely due to DNA re-replication and DNA damage, but not NFκB inhibition in AML cells.

We found that pevonedistat alone slightly increased NFκB activity in KG1a and U937 NFκB reporter cells in early phase of treatment. Based on this finding, we speculated that inhibition of the NFκB pathway was likely able to sensitize the effects of pevonedistat in KG1a cells, the most resistant AML cell line to pevonedistat. We found that pretreatment of IKKβ inhibitor IV modestly but significantly increased KG1a cell death and apoptosis induced by pevonedistat, suggesting that inhibition of NFκB activity can improve the effect of neddylation inhibition in AML cell lines largely insensitive to pevonedistat.

Although both the mTOR pathway endogenous inhibitor Deptor [32,33] and NFκB pathway suppressor IkBα [49] are bound and ubiquitylated by CRL1/SCFβTrCP with consequent proteasomal degradation in HEK293 or Hela cells, the two CRL1 substrates had different sensitivities to neddylation inhibition in AML cells. Pevonedistat failed to stabilize Deptor and IkBα in AML cells, indicating that their degradation might not be completely accomplished through the NEDD8 pathway or that CRL1/SCFβTrCP E3 ubiquitin ligase might not have strong activity in these AML cells. Milhollen et al. [37] found that there might be differential effects of NAE inhibition on individual CRL complexes or their substrates, and different cell types have different CRL activities and levels of different substrates. Therefore, understanding different CRL activities and different mechanisms of response to pevonedistat in different tumor types may allow for appropriate tailoring of pevonedistat therapeutic development.

Taken together, our data clearly demonstrate that both the neddylation pathway inhibitor pevonedistat and the mTOR pathway inhibitor sapanisertib have anti-tumor effects on AML. However, their anti-tumor mechanisms are distinct, and mTOR inhibition protected AML cells from apoptosis induced by pevonedistat through reducing cell metabolic activities and decreasing DNA damage responses (DDR). Further investigation of how these agents might be best utilized in therapy of AML is warranted, but our data would suggest that concurrent use of these agents would not be effective. Each has been successfully combined with other classes of agents. For example, pevonedistat combinations with histone deacetylase inhibitors [45], hypomethylating agents [50], cytarabine [51], and bcl-2

Figure 7. NFκB signal IKKβ inhibitor enhanced P induced cytotoxicity. KG1a cells were pretreated with different concentrations of IKKβ inhibitor (IKKBi) for 1 hour, followed by 2 μM of P treatment for 48 hours. The cells were stained with Annexin V and 7AAD and cell apoptosis was detected using flow cytometry. A. Representative flow figures are shown. B. Data are means ± SD (duplicate). *P < .05; ***P < .001; ^P < .05; ^ ^P < .01; ^ ^ ^P < .001 compared to pevonedistat treatment by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison Test.
inhibitors [52] are being examined. Our data also suggest that its use in combination with other NF-KB inhibitors might also have additive or synergistic effects. Given the cytostatic effects of sapanisertib, its addition after an apoptosis—inducing agent might also have efficacy in AML suppression.
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