Dermoscopic features and types of orf and milker’s nodule
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Abstract

Introduction: Orf and milker’s nodule are zoonotic cutaneous diseases generated by parapoxviruses. Contribution of dermoscopy to the diagnosis of these diseases has not been studied in the medical literature as to our knowledge.

Aim: To investigate whether dermoscopy is a valuable diagnostic tool in orf and milker's nodule diagnosis or not.

Material and methods: In this study, macroscopic and dermoscopic features have been evaluated by including 46 lesions of 32 patients who have orf and milker’s nodule.

Results: 56.5% (26) of lesions were orf, while 43.5% (20) of lesions were milker's nodule (MN). Non-vascular dermoscopic structures have been determined as follows: blue-gray area (23.1% of orf, 35% of MN), orange-yellow streaks (19.2% of orf, 19.2% of MN), grayish-whitish streaks (26.9% of orf, 55% of MN), central yellow-white area (26.9% of orf, 35% of MN), crust (46.2% of orf, 40% of MN), erosion-ulceration (69.2% of orf, 55% of MN), yellow-white globule (11.5% of orf, 15% of MN), and yellow-white ring (57.7% of orf, 35% of MN). Limitations: Lack of PCR analysis, based of patient anamnesis types of orf and milker’s nodule.

Conclusions: No significant dermoscopic differences have been determined between orf and milker’s nodule patients’ lesions. In our opinion, dermoscopy may be a useful tool to develop diagnosis of these diseases.

Key words: dermoscopy, orf, milker’s nodule, infectious diseases, clinical features, dermoscopic types.

Introduction

Orf is a zoonotic disease formed by epitheliotropic DNA parapoxvirus that contaminates humans from infected goat and sheep [1]; whereas milker’s nodule is an infection developed by paravaccinia virus and shown up in people who have contact with infected cattle’s udder, body and oral cavity [2]. Erythematous, ulcerous, crusted, whitish and/or enclosed with erythematous ring papules and nodules may appear in each of two infections [2]. Also, those can be seen at locations like hands and fingers. Both parapoxviruses are similar as regards clinical and histopathological features. They are different only as to epidemiological ways [2].

Aim

In this study, findings that can help in diagnosis have been investigated by evaluating orf and milker’s nodule dermoscopic features.

Material and methods

Thirty-two patients with 46 lesions whose ages show differences between 3 and 60, diagnosed as orf and milker’s nodule and admitted to the Dermatology Department were included in this study. Biopsy has been taken from all lesions. Diagnosis of these diseases has been made based on clinical, histopathological features and having contact with the animal genus.

This study consists of three stages; determination of orf and milker’s nodule lesion locations and dermoscopic analyses, photography and evaluation of findings.

Structures, determined at dermoscopic examination were classified as vascular and non-vascular ones. All lesions in the study have been evaluated one by one, their features have been examined either macroscopically or dermoscopically by digital dermoscope (Fotofinder dermoscope II imaging system, TeachScreen Software GmbH, Bad Birnbach, Germany; original magnification: 20×) and data were recorded. Three dermo-
scopic images of each lesion were recorded, on average. 60% alcohol (ethanol) was used to flatten the skin, to increase the image quality by ensuring light to reach deeper decreasing.

Statistical analysis

All patient data were uploaded to SPSS 17.0 for Windows statistic application software. Data were analyzed by Pearson’s χ² test. It has been accepted as significant if p-value was below 0.05.

Results

Thirty-two patients who have 46 lesions were included in the study. 46.8% (15) of patients were men, 53.2% (17) of patients were women. Average age of the patients was 32.4 ±13.9 and it ranged from 3 to 60 years. The number of lesions was 1–4, disease duration was 7–30 days in studied patients.

56.5% (26) of lesions were orf, and 43.5% (20) of lesions were milker’s nodule. Non-vascular structures observed at orf lesions at dermoscopy were sorted as: erosion-ulceration (69.2%, 18), yellow-white ring (57.7%, 15), crust (46.2%, 12), grayish-whitish streaks (26.9%, 7), central yellow-white area (26.9%, 7), blue-gray area (23.1%, 6), orange-yellow streaks (19.2%, 5), and yellow-white globule (11.5%, 3). Vascular structures were sorted as: erythema (100%, 26), erythematous ring (100%, 26), dot vessels (61.5%, 16), hairpin vessels (55%, 11), comma vessels (19.2%, 5), polymorphic vessels (19.2%, 5), glomerular vessels (19.2%, 5), hairpin vessels (19.2%, 5), and milky-red globule (0%, 0).

Non-vascular structures observed in milker’s nodule at dermoscopy were sorted as follows: erosion-ulceration (55%, 11), grayish-whitish streaks (55%, 11), crust (40%, 8), central yellow-white area (35%, 7), blue-gray area (35%, 7), yellow-white ring (35%, 7), orange-yellow streaks (19.2%, 5), and yellow-white globule (%15, 3). Vascular structures were sorted as erythema (100%, 20), erythematous ring (75%, 15), dot vessels (60%, 12), black dot (55%, 11), hairpin vessels (15%, 3), comma vessels (15%, 3), milky-red globule (10%, 2), polymorphic vessels (15%, 3), and glomerular vessels (5%, 1).

Vascular and non-vascular structures of all lesions are shown in Table 1.

Erythema multiforme was observed in 30.6% of patients who have milker’s nodule while it was not observed in orf patients. Orange-yellow streaks and grayish-whitish streaks were usually observed together. This was served in orf patients. Orange-yellow streaks and grayish-whitish streaks were usually observed together. This was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Discussion

The designation “orf” is derived from the Anglo-Saxon name for cattle and is actually a misnomer for the disease which, though naturally occurring in sheep, goats, and camels [3], has never been reported in cattle. However, milker’s nodule, an endemic pox virus disease in cows, presents with a clinical and histologic picture identical to that of orf [4] and has a similar viral ultrastructural appearance [5]. But there are some opinions that they include different histopathological features [6].

In our study, clinical and dermoscopic features of orf and milker’s nodule were examined. Some similar clinical, epidemiological and dermoscopic findings were observed. Significant findings have not been observed at dermoscopic findings in both diseases.

Lesions were separated into 4 classes generally. They are a yellow-white area in the middle and erythematous ring around in type 1 (Figure 1), usually orange-yellow streaks in the center with violaceous erythematous base and grayish-whitish streaks around and the erythematous ring outside in type 2 (Figure 2), ulceration in the center, yellow-white ring around and erythema ring outside in type 3 (Figure 3), erythema in the center or ulcer-crusted area, yellow-white ring around in type 4 (Figure 4).

The central yellow-white area, one of the observed dermoscopic structures, has been seen in 26.9% of orf lesions and 35% of milker’s nodule lesions. Squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma are the primary skin diseases among the diseases that show similar dermoscopic features in the center. These types of structures in these diseases are named as central mass of keratin and evaluated as a keratinization sign [7, 8]. An irregular, whitish-yellow amorphous structure in the center has
been determined in a case of atypical pyogenic granuloma [9]. Dermoscopic structures, evaluated as orange-yellow streaks have been observed in equal proportions (19.2%) in both diseases. These structures are usually located in the center of lesions and have unspecific borders. One of other dermoscopic structures, grayish-
whitish streaks, has been determined as continuation of orange-yellow streaks. Dermoscopic structures like grayish-whitish streaks were determined at pilomatrixoma in the literature [10, 11]. In a study by Zaballos et al., white streaks have been determined in 70% of 10 pilomatrixoma cases [10]. Similar structures have been observed in juvenile xanthogranuloma and lichen planus [11, 12]. White streaks structures have been encountered in pic-

Figure 3. Orf lesions. Type 3 lesions. All of the lesions are ulceration, yellow-white ring, erythematous ring and black dot (original magnification 10×)

Figure 4. Milker’s nodules (A, B) and orf (C, D) lesions. Type 4 lesions. Dermoscopy shows ulcerations, yellow-white ring, polymorphic vessels (predominated dot and comma vessels) in all of the lesions. Grayish-whitish streaks are seen in lesions of A, B, C (original magnification 10×)
Erythema multiforme is one of the complications of infections and less commonly to drugs [1]. In our study, six erythema multiforme diseases have been observed in patients who only have milker’s nodule.
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