Complementary feeding practices in rural areas of Telangana: A hospital based, cross-sectional, descriptive study
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Abstract

Background: Introduction of semi solid/solid food i.e., complementary feeding time is very vulnerable period during infancy. To prevent malnutrition knowledge of optimal feeding practices is essential for mothers. Objective: To study currently existing complementary feeding practices and assess the knowledge and practice gap. Setting: In a tertiary care teaching hospital of rural Telangana. Study design: Hospital based, cross-sectional, descriptive study. Participants: Total 220 mothers with children in the age group of 6-23 months of age. Methods: Participants were randomly selected after informed consent. Data regarding currently following complementary feeding practices was collected in the predesigned, semi-structured questionnaire and analyzed. Results: Only 40.9% of mothers initiated complementary feeding at the recommended age. Although age appropriate quantity of food was received by 66.4% of subjects only 25.5% subjects were receiving at recommended frequency. 77.7% of mothers were giving home made preparations. Diversity of feeds was observed in 23% of children. Conclusions: As there is increasing evidence for the positive impact of feeding counseling on energy and nutrient intake and growth in children of less than two years, changes in individual’s behavior, supplemental interventions will be needed. Effective nutrition actions do exist but should be implemented on a sufficiently large scale to reduce the burden of malnutrition.
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Introduction

Malnutrition is responsible, directly or indirectly, for over half of all childhood deaths. Infants and young children are at increased risk of malnutrition from six months of age onwards, when breast milk alone is no longer sufficient to meet all nutritional requirement and complementary feeds (CF) need to be started [1]. This means complementing semi solid/solid food along with breast milk after child attains age of 6 months [2]. The transition from exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) to family foods referred to as complementary feeding (CF) typically covers the period from 6 to 18-23 months of age and is very vulnerable period. It is the time when malnutrition starts in many infants contributing significantly to the high prevalence of malnutrition in children under five years of age world-wide. WHO estimates that 2 out of 5 children are stunted in low-income countries.[3] Complementary feeds should be timely, adequate, safe and properly fed [1]. Missing this age can lead to feeding fussiness later [2]. Good nutrition signals the realization of people’s rights to food and health. Without good nutrition human beings cannot achieve their full potential [4]. Hence mothers are expected to make the “weaning bridge” or the bridge of complementary feeding to carry the children across the pit of malnutrition during liquid to solid transition [5].

Optimal Infant and Young Child Feeding (IYCF) Practices recommend age appropriate CF for children of 6-23 months of age, while continuing breast feeding. According to IYCF children should receive food from 4 or more food groups [1. Grains, roots, and tubers, legumes and nuts, 2. Dairy products, 3. Flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry), 4. Eggs 5. Vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables; 6. Other fruits and vegetables] and fed for a minimum number of times i.e., 2 times for breast fed infants 6-8 months, 3 times for breast fed children 9-23 months; 4 times for non-breast fed children of 6-23 months. It also said adequate total energy intake can
also be ensured by addition of one to two nutritious
snacks between the 3 main meals and should not
replace meals [1].

Poor IYCF practices are associated with poor
nutritional outcomes. As stated in The Healthy Growth
Project [6] households and communities should
participate as the main protagonists of healthy child
growth and development.

Hence we have taken up the study to know the currently
existing complementary feeding practices and assess the
knowledge and practice gap in rural Telangana where
our college is located.

Methods

This cross-sectional, descriptive, hospital based study
was conducted in the department of Pediatrics, of a
rural based medical college hospital, Telangana from
October 2015 to January 2016. The study was approved
by the Institutional ethics committee.220 mothers with
infants and young children of 6-23 months age who
were attending to our well baby clinics during the study
period and willing to participate were randomly
included in the study. Children who were not
accompanied by their mothers, who were born as
preterm, or IUGR or low birth weight, children with
chronic illnesses and non-willing mothers were
excluded from the study. Data regarding currently
following complementary feeding practices was
collected in the predesigned, semi-structured
questionnaire. Questionnaire was administered by the
authors and other trained investigators. Socio-economic
status was assessed by modified Kuppuswamy socio
economic scale [7].

Demographic profile and complementary feeding
practices in terms of time of initiation of CF, Quality,
Quantity, frequency, consistency, and information
about extra snacks were elicited.

Quantity of food was assessed by showing a standard
150 ml katori. The consistency of food was assessed by
showing WHO teaching slide [8] Adequacy of feeds
was assessed according to WHO recommendations.

Analysis of the data was done using SPSS software
version 19.0. Simple proportions were calculated for
assessing various indicators.

Results

A total of 220 mothers with children of 6-23 months of age were included in the study. The socio-demographic profile of these subjects was shown in Table-2. Of 220 children 132 (60%) were males, 88 (40%) were females. There were 64 (29.1%) subjects in 6-8 months of age, 34 (15.5%) were in 9-11 months of age, 122 (55.5%) in 12-23 months of age constituting the major part.

Table-1: Quantity and Frequency recommended for children of 6-23 months

| AGE          | Energy needed per day in addition to breast-milk | Texture                      | Frequency                                                 | Amount of food for an average child |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 6-8 months   | 220 kcal per day                                | Thick porridge, well mashed foods | 2-3 meals per day. Depending on child’s appetite 1-2 snacks. | 2-3 tablespoonfuls per feed, increasing to ½ of a 250 ml cup. |
| 9-11 months  | 300 kcal per day                                | Mashed foods                 | 3-4 meals per day. 1-2 snacks depending on child’s appetite | ½ of a 250 ml cup                   |
| 12-23 months | 550 kcal per day                                | Family foods, mashed if necessary | 3-4 meals per day. 1-2 snacks depending on child’s appetite | ¼ to full 250 ml cup/bowl.          |

129 (58.6%) subjects belong to nuclear family and remaining 91(41.4%) to joint family.60 (27.3%) mothers and 64 (29.1%) fathers had education of inter and above. 62 (28.2%) mothers and 59 (26.8%) fathers did not have any formal
education. Majority of study subjects 106 (48.2%) belong to upper lower (class IV), and 96 (43.6%) to lower middle (class III), 18 (8.2%) to upper middle (class II) and no subjects were there from lower (class V), or upper (class I). Very few 10 (4.5%) children belong to the birth order of three and others were either first 112 (50.9%), or second 98 (44.5%) in birth order which is an encouraging factor.

Table 2: Socio-Demographic Profile of Participants

| Variable                  | Frequency % |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| **Age of children**       |             |
| 6-8 months                | 64 (29.1)   |
| 9-11 months               | 34 (15.5)   |
| 12-23 months              | 122 (55.5)  |
| **Father’s Education**    |             |
| Not Educated              | 59 (26.8)   |
| Primary                   | 38 (17.2)   |
| High School               | 59 (26.8)   |
| Inter & above             | 64 (29.1)   |
| **Mother’s occupation**   |             |
| Housewife                 | 168 (23.6)  |
| working                   | 58 (76.4)   |
| **Family Type**           |             |
| Joint                     | 91 (41.4)   |
| Nuclear                   | 129 (58.6)  |
| **Birth order**           |             |
| First                     | 112 (50.9)  |
| Second                    | 98 (44.5)   |
| Third                     | 10 (4.5)    |
| **Source of Knowledge**   |             |
| Cultural ritual           | 146 (66.4)  |
| Friends                   | 36 (16.4)   |
| Media                     | 6 (2.7)     |
| Qualified person          | 32 (14.5)   |

Table 3: Food items used in different age groups

| 6-8 months                      | 9-11 months                     | 12-23 months                   |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Not started-6.3%                | Not started-5.9%                | Commercial preparations-8.2%   |
| Commercial food-28.2%           | Commercial foods-5.9%           | Soft rice-13.1%                |
| Rice-25%                        | Rice-23.5%                      | Energy dense-13.15             |
| Energy dense -21.9%             | Rice, ghee, boiled vegetables, dairy products-41.1% | Rice, dal, greens-13.1%        |
| Rice, dal, ghee-18.8%           | Above groups with dal-23.5%     | Rice with dal-29.5%            |
|                                 |                                 | With diversity-23%             |

**EBF:** In the study population 82 (37.3%) of mothers had given EBF for 6 months. 64 (29.1%) had given EBF for < 6 months and started on mixed feeding with buffalo milk. However, 8 (12.5%) mothers of this group initiated CF at the right time and others who were continuing mixed feeding at the time of interview were counseled accordingly. 74 (33.6%) of mothers were still continuing EBF for > 6 months and also received proper advice. The reason for continuing prolonged EBF was that mothers felt their milk was enough for the baby. Both the practices were not appropriate.

**Complementary feeding:** Less than half 90 (40.9%) of mothers initiated CF at the recommended age. 48 (21.8%) mothers started CF early. Reason for early initiation of CF was “not enough milk” and “excessive cry of the baby.”
Remaining 74 (33.6%) subjects received CF after 6 months. Reason for late initiation was lack of knowledge of CF in parents and was counseled. Age appropriate quantity of food was received by 146 (66.4%) of subjects. However, a small proportion i.e., 25.5% subjects were receiving at recommended frequency. This practice will also lead to malnutrition. As not only quantity maintaining proper frequency is also essential. Interestingly 171 (77.7%) of mothers were giving homemade preparations and commercial preparations was preferred by small number of mothers i.e., 41 (18.6%). Consistency was age appropriate in the current study. Smaller proportions of mothers were giving others like greens (15.9%), egg (12.7%), non-veg (0.9%). Snacks as extra supplementation was received by 178 (80.9%) of children in which 137 (77%) of them were receiving biscuits. But only 98 (44.5%) mothers were giving snacks regularly. Generally used food items in this area were shown in Table-3.

Statistical analysis revealed time of initiation of CF was significantly co-related to mother’s educational status and father’s educational status with \( p < 0.05 \) (0.000) in both the cases. Socio-economic status also showed an impact with \( p < 0.05 \) (0.014). Educational status mother, father, and their socio-economic status showed a significant correlation to quality of food as well with \( p < 0.05 \) (0.001, 0.001, 0.0009 respectively) Regarding quantity rather than mother’s education father’s education and socio economic status had got positive impact with \( p < 0.05 \) (0.000). Father’s participation in upbringing of the children was appreciated. And tendency for commercial preparation was more in educated group. Maintaining the frequency of foods was not correlated to mother’s educational status or socio-economic status \( (p>0.05) \). But father’s educational status had an effect with \( p<0.05 \). Similar finding was observed in maintaining appropriate quantity of CF. Birth order, type of family or mother’s occupation did not have any statistically significant effect on complementary feeding practices with \( p > 0.05 \).

**Discussion**

In the present study 40.9% of mothers started complementary feeding at the recommended time. But in the report of NFHS-4 2015-16 state fact sheets, Telangana [10] reported 56.4% of children age 6-8 months were receiving solid or semi solid food and breast milk. As in the current study age groups from 6-23 months were included it might have caused the difference. In the study of Aggarwal et al [11] from Delhi it was much lower i.e., 17.5% had started at recommended time and a study from Delhi slums also reported [12] a small proportion i.e., 16.6% at the recommended age. This difference could be due to the cultural belief of starting of solids at 7 months as a ritual in this area. But in a study done by Vartika Saxena from Rishikesh [13] it was much higher i.e., 70.1% of mothers started at right time. But their study was done in the community. Another study from urban slum, Kolkata also reported 71.66% [14]. S. Rao et al study from Udupi and Mangalore [15] observed 77.5% but in their study literate mothers constituted more proportion.

**Delayed CF:** In the current study 33.6% of mothers had initiated CF after 6 months and not consistent with Rishikesh study where it was much lower (13%) and But in Delhi study it was high 70%. Aggarwal at el study also reported it 77% much higher than present report. In the present study reason was knowledge gap because of the belief of enough milk. This indicates the need to intensify the educational programmes of IYCF practices.

**Early CF:** 21.8% of mothers in the current study population initiated early feeds and is similar to the observation of Rishikesh study [13] where it was 25.2%. The reason in our study was elder’s advice who attributed excessive cry of the baby to insufficient milk production.

**Quantity & Frequency:** In the current study 66.4% of mothers were giving ideal quantity. But only 25.5% of mothers were giving feeds at recommended frequency and it is much lower than Rishikesh study [13] who reported 65%. And in Aggarwal’s study [11] it was only 39.3%. As the present study was done in rural based back ground the practice gap might be high.

**Quality of CF:** 77.7% of mothers in the present study were giving homemade feeds and is comparable to S.Rao’s study [15] and commercial preparation users were only 18.6% in contrast to 55.6% of commercial users in Rishikesh study [13].

**Others:** Greens were used by 15.9% of mothers of children of 12-23 months of age and is much lower to Rishikesh study where it was 25.5%. 12.7% of mothers were giving eggs and consumption of poultry was observed 0.9% in the present study. Snacks were
received by 80.9% of subjects. This is the first study that included these details.

Present study observed impact of parent’s education and socio-economic status on complementary feeding practices. Similar observation reported in Aggarwal et al and S. Rao et al. In the current study influence of father’s education was also assessed and positive correlation was recorded. Father’s participation was very encouraging. This is the first study that assessed role father’s educational status from this area. However, very small proportion (14.5%) of mothers received knowledge of complementary feeding practices from qualified people. Utilizing the opportunities of immunization, and active role of Asha workers in spreading the knowledge of IYCF practices will improve the present status.

Conclusions

40.9% of mothers in the current study initiated feeds at recommended time. Ideal quantity was given by 66.4% of mothers but only 25.5% were giving at right frequency. Diversity of feeds was observed in 23% of children. All these practices lead to malnutrition at this tender age. As there is increasing evidence for the positive impact of feeding counseling on energy and nutrient intake and growth in children of less than two years, changes in individual’s behavior, supplemental interventions will be needed. Effective nutrition actions do exist but should be implemented on a sufficiently large scale to reduce the burden of malnutrition.

Limitations: Present study was a hospital based. Community based studies are required to know the actual situation. The study data was collected on a recall basis hence recall bias exists.
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