Differential Expression of Two Expansin Genes in Developing Fruit of Cracking-susceptible and -resistant Litchi Cultivars
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ABSTRACT. To understand the relationship between fruit cracking and gene expression patterns, we identified two expansin genes from litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) fruit and then examined their expression profiles in pericarp and aril at different stages of fruit development, using the cracking-resistant cultivar Huaizhi and the cracking-susceptible cultivar Nuomici. Two full-length cDNAs of 1087 and 1010 base pairs encoding expansin, named LcExp1 and LcExp2, were isolated from expanding fruit using RT-PCR and RACE-PCR (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) methods. LcExp1 mRNA could be detected from the early stage of fruit rapid growth (59 days after anthesis). The LcExp1 mRNA increased and reached to the highest level at the end of growth phase (80 days after anthesis) in pericarp of ‘Huaizhi’, while the mRNA could be detected at the stage of rapid fruit growth, then increased slightly and finally kept remained almost constant in the pericarp of ‘Nuomici’. Similar accumulation of LcExp2 mRNA was observed in fruit aril of ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’, whereas LcExp2 accumulated only in pericarp of ‘Huaizhi’ but did not appear in pericarp of ‘Nuomici’. The results indicate that expression of two expansin genes in litchi pericarp are closely associated with fruit growth and cracking.

Litchi is a subtropical to tropical fruit of high commercial value in international trade. However, fruit cracking during growth and development is a serious problem and causes a high loss of yield and commercial value (Huang et al., 2003). In particular, ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Guwei’, which are highly appreciated cultivars, have more serious cracking than other cultivars during fruit development (Li et al., 2001, 2003a; Peng et al., 2004). Considerable research attention has been directed at the mechanism of litchi fruit cracking involved in that the expansion of the pericarp falls behind the growth of the aril (Abuhasan and Chattopadhyay, 1996; Huang and Xu, 1983; Huang et al., 1999; Li et al., 2003a; Lin, 2001; Sharma and Ray, 1987). However, the mechanisms are still not fully understood.

Expansins are cell wall proteins that facilitate extension of cell walls in a pH-dependent manner and, therefore, are considered to be primary regulators of plant cell enlargement (Cosgrove, 1994; McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Whitney et al., 1999a, 1999b, 2000a; Darley et al., 2001; McQueen-Mason and Lin, 2001; Sharma and Ray, 1987). The results indicate that expression of two expansin genes in litchi pericarp are closely associated with fruit growth and cracking.

Materials and methods

PLANT MATERIALS. Three 10-year-old ‘Nuomici’ (cracking-susceptible cultivar) and ‘Huaizhi’ (cracking-resistant cultivar) litchi trees were chosen for this experiment from a commercial orchard near Guangzhou, Guangdong, Peoples Republic of China, in 2004. Twenty panicles located in different directions of each tree of these two cultivars were tagged, while 10 fruit of each tree were used to measure fruit diameter on 1- or 2-week basis for a period of 7 weeks, beginning at 31 d after anthesis (DAA) (30 Apr. 2004) and ending at 80 DAA (18 June 2004) when 50% of fruit skin turned red. Fresh weights of individual fruit, pericarp, seed and aril were also measured. The pericarp, aril, and seed were collected, respectively, then frozen in liquid nitrogen and finally kept remained at –80 °C for RNA extraction. In addition, 1-year-old roots shoots and leaves of ‘Nuomici’ were sampled, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C, for a comparative analysis of the characteristic of expansin expression in different tissues.
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RNA extraction. Frozen tissue (10 g) was ground to a fine powder in a mortar using a pestle in the presence of liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using the hot borate method of Wan and Wilkins (1994).

Cloning and sequencing of expansin genes. Total RNA extracted from ‘Nuomici’ pulp was used as templates for the RT-PCR. The product (first-strand cDNA) was subjected to PCR amplification. Degenerate primers were designed with reference to the conserved amino acids sequences of expansins, i.e., sense: 5′-G(G)GC(N)CA(TC)GC(N)AC(N)TT(TT)TA(TT)TA(N)GG(N)G-3′, antisense: 5′-(TC)TGCCA(A)GG(TT)(TT)AGG(N)CCCCA(A)G(TT)TA(TA)GG(N)G-3′ (N=A, C, G, T). Reactions for the RT-PCR were subjected to one cycle of 94 °C for 3 min, 35 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 2 min) and one cycle of 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products of the predicted size (~530 bp in length) were purified and cloned into pMD-18T vector (Takara, Shiga, Japan). The nucleotide sequences of cDNAs were established for both strands using the Thermo Sequenase dye terminator cycle sequencing kit and a 377 DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster, Calif.).

Amplification of the 5′- and 3′-end region cDNA of LeExp1 and LeExp2 by 5′- and 3′-RACE-PCR. 5′- and 3′-RACE-PCR were performed using cDNA amplification kits (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To amplify 5′-end fragments, sense-, antisense- and 5′-(P)-primers were designed based on the sequence of cDNA fragments of LeExp1 and LeExp2, respectively. To amplify 3′-end fragments, the specific primers for LeExp1: 5′-GATGTGATCTTTCCGTCAAT-3′; and LeExp2: 5′-GATATAGTGAAGTTGACCTG-3′ were designed on the nucleotide sequences of the cDNA fragments already cloned by RT-PCR. The 5′- and 3′- RACE-PCR products were cloned and sequenced as described above.

Northern blot analysis. Total RNA (10 μg) was separated on a 1.2% agarose-formamide gel and capillary blotted onto PVDF membrane (BIODYNE B, 0.45 μm; PALL, Tokyo). The membrane was blot-dried and cross-linked with 280 nm UV according to the manufacturer instructions (Roche). Blots were washed twice at 37 °C in high-SDS buffer (7% SDS, 50 mmol·L−1 sodium-phosphate, pH 7.0, 2% blocking reagent and 0.1% N-lauroylsarcosine) containing 50% deionized formamide (v/v) (Roche). Blots were washed at twice at 37 °C in 2 x SSC and 0.1% SDS for 10 min, followed by washing twice at 62 °C in 0.1 x SSC and 0.1% SDS for 30 min. All blots were exposed to X-ray for 30 min at 37 °C. The membranes were then subjected to immunological detection using CDP-Star, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche).

Results and discussion

Changes of weight of different tissues in developing litchi fruit. There are the same growth patterns in the whole fruit of ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’ litchi. Fruit growth could be divided into two stages. Stage I (0–52 DAA) was slow growth phase, which was mainly characterized by pericarp and seed growth, while stage II (52–80 DAA) was rapid growth phase, which was markedly characterized by aril growth (Fig. 1). Litchi fruit cracking of cv. Nuomici was closely associated with the rapid growth phase of the aril from 60 DAA until harvest. In this study, fruit cracking occurred in a period of 66–80 DAA. There were 26.8% and 3.2% of fruit cracking rates of ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’, respectively, at 80 DAA. Huang et al. (1999) and Li et al. (2003a) reported that ‘Nuomici’ was a cracking-susceptible cultivar while ‘Huaizhi’ was a cracking-resistant cultivar in litchi producing area. In the easy-to-crack cultivar Nuomici, the weight of the whole fruit and the aril increased abruptly at 66 DAA. Although there are the similar changes in the peel weights and aril weights for these two cultivars, a much larger ratio of pericarp to aril of ‘Huaizhi’ than that of ‘Nuomici’ was observed during this growth period of 66–80 DAA. Thus, the fruit cracking of ‘Nuomici’ could be partially attributed to an abrupt and rapid growth of the aril in association with slow pericel growth.

Isolation and sequence analysis of expansin cDNAs from litchi fruit. Several gene expression studies on expansins have shown that expansins are principally related to fruit growth (Brummell et al., 1999; Harrison et al., 2001; Hayama et al., 2000; Hiwasa et al., 2003). In the present study, two cDNA (~530 bp in length, named LeExp1 and LeExp2, were cloned from total RNA of ripe pulp by RT-PCR. BLAST search of GenBank revealed that LeExp1 shared 92.7% identity with FaExp2 while LeExp2 shared 82% identity with FaExp6 from strawberry. Thus, the two cDNAs were considered to be cDNA of expansins. By a combination of RT-PCR, 5′- and 3′-RACE, full-length cDNA of Le-Exp1 and Le-Exp2 was cloned. The Le-Exp1 full-length cDNA was 1087 bp long and contained a 51-bp 5′-untranslated region, an open reading frame of 759, and a 227-bp 3′-untranslated region, whereas the LeExp2 full-length cDNA was 1010 bp long and contained a 75-bp 5′-untranslated region, an open reading frame of 768, and 3′-untranslated region of 167 bp (Fig. 2). These two different full length cDNAs shared 58.8% identity in nucleotide sequence and 74.4% identity in amino acids. The alignment of LeExp1 and LeExp2 with other fruit expansins suggested that the two deduced polypeptides were highly conserved and contained 8 cystein (C) residues, 4 tryptophan (W) residues and a His-Phe-Asp (HFD) domain (Fig. 2), which were characteristics of most of the α-expansins (Cosgrove, 1999b). The results corroborated those of Li et al. (2002, 2003b) who suggested that the expansin gene family could be as large and complex.

Expression profiles of LeExp1 and LeExp2 in pericarp and pulp of developing ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’ fruit. To examine the relationship between expansin expression and fruit cracking, RNA was extracted from pericarp and aril of litchi fruit of cracking-resistant and cracking-susceptible cultivars, and its mRNA accumulation was determined individually by Northern analysis. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the LeExp1 and LeExp2 had different expression in pericarp and aril of ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’ fruit. LeExp1 expressed intensely in pericarp but scarcely in aril; conversely, LeExp2 scarcely expressed in pericarp but intensely in aril, with no difference between the two cultivars. The mRNA could be detected at the beginning of aril growth (59 DAA) and reached to the highest levels at 73 DAA and then declined gradually at the late stage of fruit development. Furthermore, there were different expression patterns of the same expansin gene in pericarp and aril of two cultivars. The mRNA of LeExp1 was detected at the beginning of fruit rapid growth at 52 DAA in ‘Nuomici’ pericarp, and it increased slowly but remained almost constant when the ‘Nuomici’ fruit expand radically (66 DAA). In contrast, the mRNA of LeExp1 accumulated at the beginning of
Fig. 1. Changes in weight of different tissues in ‘Huaizhi’ and ‘Nuomici’ litchi fruit.

Fig. 2. Alignment of the predicted protein sequences of two cDNAs isolated from ‘Nuomici’ litchi pulp using RT-PCR and RACE-PCR. Proteins were aligned using the Clustal method of DNAssist 2.0. The 8 conserved cystein (C) residues, 4 tryptophan (W) residues at carboxy-terminals and a His-Phe-Asp (HFD) domain defining expansin sequences are indicated above the alignment.

Fig. 3. Changes in mRNA accumulation of \( LcExp1 \) in the pericarp and aril of ‘Nuomici’ and ‘Huaizhi’ developing litchi fruit. Total RNA (10 \( \mu \)g per lane) was used for RNA gel blot analysis and hybridized with DIG-labeled expansin probes. The top section shows the Northern blot hybridization while the bottom section shows the ethidium bromide-stained rRNA bands as a loading control of the gel. DAA = days after anthesis.

fruit growth (59 DAA) and then increased rapidly, in ‘Huaizhi’ pericarp. In addition, the mRNA of \( LcExp2 \) could only be detected in pericarp of ‘Huaizhi’ but not detected in pericarp of ‘Nuomici’. Previous studies have shown that the expansins were involved in fruit softening and cell wall loosening and extension relaxation through its action on hemicellulosic compounds (Brumme et al., 1999; McQueen et al., 1992; Rose et al., 1997). As the pericarp growth rate cannot follow aril rapid growth in ‘Nuomici’, high skin cracking in the cultivar could be accounted for the different expression patterns of two expansin genes in the pericarp and aril growth.

RNA gel blot analysis was used to examine the two expansin genes in a range of other tissues (Li et al., 2003b). \( LcExp1 \) and \( LcExp2 \) had a lower expression in leaves compared with that of pericarp or aril, and scarcely expressed in young roots, mature roots, mature shoots and seeds (Fig. 5). The fact that \( LcExp1 \)
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didn’t accumulate whereas LcExp2 slightly expressed in young shoots further suggested that LcExp1 and LcExp2 are involved in the regulation of growth of litchi pericarp or aril rather than in other tissues.
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