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Oxidase-Like Catalytic Performance of Nano-MnO₂ and Its Potential Application for Metal Ions Detection in Water
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Certain nano-scale metal oxides exhibiting the intrinsic enzyme-like reactivity had been used for environment monitoring. Herein, we evaluated the oxidase-mimicking activity of environmentally relevant nano-MnO₂ and its sensitivity to the presence of metal ions, and particularly, the use of MnO₂ nanozyme to potentially detect Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Fe²⁺ in water. The results indicated the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ at acidic pH-driven oxidation of 2,6-dimethoxyphenol (2,6-DMP) via a single-electron transfer process, leading to the formation of a yellow product. Notably, the presence of Cu²⁺ and Mn²⁺ heightened the oxidase-mimicking activity of nano-MnO₂ at 25°C and pH 3.8, showing that Cu²⁺ and Mn²⁺ could modify the reactive sites of nano-MnO₂ surface to ameliorate its catalytic activity, while the activity of MnO₂ nanozyme in systems with Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ was impeded probably because of the strong affinity of Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ toward nano-MnO₂ surface. Based on these effects, we designed a procedure to use MnO₂ nanozyme to, respectively, detect Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Fe²⁺ in the real water samples. MnO₂ nanozyme-based detecting systems achieved high accuracy (relative errors: 2.2–26.1%) and recovery (93.0–124.0%) for detection of the four metal ions, respectively. Such cost-effective detecting systems may provide a potential application for quantitative determination of metal ions in real water environmental samples.

1. Introduction

In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to the applications of artificial nanomaterials as nanozymes in mimicking the intrinsic catalytic function of natural enzymes due to their unique structural, electrical, and optical properties, as well as remarkable catalytic activities [1–3]. Compared with the natural enzymes, the artificial nanozymes exhibited higher robustness and stability under harsh conditions, lower production cost, simpler storage conditions, and more effective catalytic activity [4–6]. At present, nanozymes are primarily composed of artificial metal and metal oxide nanomaterials that can mimic the catalytic activities of natural peroxidases and/or oxidases [3, 7]. For instance, the intrinsic peroxidase-oxidase-like activities of Au-Ag, CeO₂, MnFe₂O₄, NiO, and V₂O₅ nanoparticles have been used in various applications ranging from biosensing and immunoassay to environment monitoring [3, 7–10]. Liu et al. reported the oxidase-mimicking activity of CeO₂ nanoparticles by fluoride capping, such nanozymes could detect micromolar levels of F⁻ in water and toothpastes [11].

It is well documented that MnO₂ nanomaterial had the intrinsic enzyme-like activity to catalyze the chromogenic reaction of substrates, which could be used as a nanozyme indicator for bioimaging, biosensing, and delivery of single-stranded DNA and drugs [3, 12, 13]. In particular, chromogenic reactions by nano-MnO₂ have been developed using dissolved O₂ as the oxidant, avoiding the use of H₂O₂ [14], thus providing easy and rapid detecting systems for quantitative analysis of any substances that can serve either as the accelerator or inhibitor of the chromogenic reactions [15, 16]. Such systems could be used for real environmental
water samples, but their potential application for quantitative determination of metal ions has been rarely explored.

The contamination of metal ions has always been a focus of concern [17]. Toxic metal ions (such as Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Pb\(^{2+}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\)) having toxicity level greater than safety levels can cause acute toxicities to most aquatic biota [18]. Thus, having ways that can easily and rapidly detect these metal ions in water matrices is vital to protect wild species and human health. A instrumental method can be used to directly detect these metal ions in water samples, such as inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), but such methods are usually expensive and time-consuming and require expertise to operate [19, 20]. Recently, the enzyme-like activity of environmentally relevant nano-MnO\(_2\) has proven to be highly effective for sensing applications [16, 21, 22]. In this study, nano-MnO\(_2\) was chosen as the natural oxidase mimic owing to its outstanding redox chemistry, stability, and biocompatibility properties [23–25]. We systematically evaluated the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO\(_2\) in catalyzing the chromogenic reaction of 2,6-dimethoxophenol (2,6-DMP), and identified the influence of Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\), based on which methods were developed to, respectively, detect these metal ions in environmental water samples using MnO\(_2\) nanozyme-2,6-DMP detecting systems.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Materials. Nano-scale MnO\(_2\) (≥99.9%) was obtained from DK Nano Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The characteristics of nano-MnO\(_2\) are shown in Figure 1. The size and morphology of the nano-MnO\(_2\) were analyzed using a transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-200CX). The spectral characteristics of nano-MnO\(_2\) were investigated using a UV-Vis spectroscope (Shimadzu, UV-2550) and a Fourier-transform infrared spectroscope (Thermo Scientific, NICOLETiS50 FTIR). The phase of the nano-MnO\(_2\) was measured over the 2\(\theta\) range from 5 to 85 degrees using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Thermo XTRA).

2.6-DMP (CAS: 91-10-1) was purchased from Energy Chemical Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Metal sulfates (i.e., MgSO\(_4\), CuSO\(_4\), Al\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\), ZnSO\(_4\), MnSO\(_4\)·H\(_2\)O, FeSO\(_4\)·7H\(_2\)O, and PbSO\(_4\)) were obtained from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. Stock solutions of metal ions (100 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared in Milli-Q ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ·cm) and stored at 4°C. We had previously evaluated the effects of K\(^{+}\), Na\(^{+}\), Ag\(^{+}\), Co\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and Fe\(^{3+}\) on the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO\(_2\). The buffer used in this study was a citrate-phosphate buffer solution (C-PBS: 10 mmol L\(^{-1}\) citric acid and 10 mmol L\(^{-1}\) Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), pH 3.8) adjusted with HCl and NaOH. All the other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used as received.

2.2. Assessment of the Enzyme-Like Activity of Nano-MnO\(_2\). To assess the enzyme-like activity of nano-MnO\(_2\), MnO\(_2\) nanoparticles were tested in 10 mL of a C-PBS (10 mmol L\(^{-1}\), pH 3.8) buffer at room temperature (25°C) containing 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) 2,6-DMP as the chromogenic substrate and naturally dissolved O\(_2\) as the cofactor [14, 26]. After the nano-MnO\(_2\) (0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) had been mixed thoroughly with the 2,6-DMP reaction solution, the absorbance was immediately measured at 468 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shanghai Lengguang 722S) in a quartz cuvette with a 1 cm light path. The solution was monitored every 20 s for 3 min by recording the change of absorbance value at 468 nm. One unit of nano-MnO\(_2\) activity (U·mL\(^{-1}\)) is defined as the amount of nanozyme that causes one unit of absorbance change per minute at 468 nm in C-PBS (10 mmol L\(^{-1}\), pH 3.8) buffer containing 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) 2,6-DMP. Therefore, the oxidase-mimicking activity of nano-MnO\(_2\) can be calculated through the rate of absorbance change. The same solution free of nano-MnO\(_2\) was used as the blank control. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.3. Effect of Different Factors on the Enzyme-Like Activity of Nano-MnO\(_2\). To evaluate the influence of nano-MnO\(_2\) dosage on 2,6-DMP oxidation, the reaction was conducted in a 50 mL flask containing 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) 2,6-DMP and nano-MnO\(_2\) varying between 0.005 and 0.32 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in 10 mL C-PBS (10 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) at 25°C and pH 3.8. The effect of the substrate concentration on the chromogenic reaction was also performed in a 50 mL flask containing 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) nano-MnO\(_2\) and 2,6-DMP at a concentration varying between 0.005 and 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) in 10 mL C-PBS. The reaction kinetics parameters \(K_m\) and \(v_{max}\) were calculated by the Lineweaver-Burk plot of the Michaelis–Menten kinetics equation:

\[
\frac{1}{v} = \frac{K_m + [S]}{v_{max} \cdot [S]},
\]

where \(v\) is the reaction velocity, [S] is the substrate concentration, \(K_m\) is the Michaelis constant, and \(v_{max}\) is the maximal reaction velocity.

Experimental procedures similar to those described above were used to explore the effects of pH and temperature on the enzyme-like activity of nano-MnO\(_2\). The reactions were carried at different pH and a wide range of temperature. For studying the pH effect, 10 mL of 10 mmol L\(^{-1}\) C-PBS (pH 2.0–10.0) buffer containing 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) 2,6-DMP was mixed with 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) nano-MnO\(_2\) at room temperature (25°C). For studying the effect of temperature, 10 mL of 10 mmol L\(^{-1}\) C-PBS (pH 3.8) buffer containing 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) 2,6-DMP was mixed with 0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) nano-MnO\(_2\) at a temperature ranging from 10°C to 90°C. Absorbance was recorded at 468 nm at 20 s intervals. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.4. Enzyme-Like Activity of Nano-MnO\(_2\) for Detecting Metal Ions in Water. A series of mixtures containing different metal ions (i.e., Mg\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Fe\(^{2+}\), and Pb\(^{2+}\)) and nano-MnO\(_2\) (0.1 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) in 10 mL of C-PBS buffer (pH 3.8) were equilibrated at room temperature
(25°C), and then C-PBS containing 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹ 2,6-DMP was added to each of the mixtures and then monitored using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 468 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Based on the above results, MnO₂ nanozyme-2,6-DMP reaction systems for, respectively, detecting Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Fe²⁺ in real environmental water matrices were also assessed. 10-fold dilution of real samples (pond water 1 and 2 from Anhui Agricultural University campus) in C-PBS buffer (10 mmol·L⁻¹, pH 3.8) were spiked with Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, or Fe²⁺ (0.002, 0.01, 0.05, and 0.25 mmol·L⁻¹) and were then detected using the described MnO₂ nanozyme-2,6-DMP-sensing systems following the same process described above. Additionally, these samples were also determined by ICPMS (6300 Series, Thermo Fourier, USA) for comparison. All experiments were performed in quintuplicate.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. All data were processed with Excel 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Each data point in the figures and tables represents an average value. The standard deviation of replicate samples is shown in the figures as an error bar.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Oxidase-Like Activity of Nano-MnO₂. To assess the intrinsic enzyme-mimicking activity of nano-MnO₂, 2,6-DMP was chosen as the chromogenic substrate in the standard oxidation reaction, and the reaction kinetics was tested at 468 nm corresponding to the oxidized 2,6-DMP. The change of absorbance over time by the oxidation of 2,6-DMP in C-PBS buffer at 25°C and pH 3.8 is shown in Figure 2. Nano-MnO₂ could catalyze the colorless 2,6-DMP to form a chromogenic product (a yellow product, i.e., 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethyl-4,4′-diphenoquinone) with a change in absorbance via the radical-based C-C self-coupling mechanism, like laccase-mediated oxidative coupling reactions of 2,6-DMP under the same conditions [26, 27]. The absorbance changes linearly with time under the tested conditions (R² > 0.99), and the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ was calculated to be 0.047 U·mL⁻¹. The oxidative coupling of 2,6-DMP catalyzed by nano-MnO₂ was described as follows: first, 2,6-DMP was adsorbed onto the reactive sites of nano-MnO₂ surface, followed by the single-electron oxidation of 2,6-DMP by nano-MnO₂, leading to the formation of chromogenic product and the release of Mn²⁺ from the nanoparticle surface [14, 28].

The role of dissolved O₂ in the oxidation of 2,6-DMP was evaluated by purging the reaction solution with N₂, resulting in a decrease on the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂. This revealed that dissolved O₂ acted as an electron acceptor in the catalytic reactions [14, 29]. This result is in agreement with an earlier report that indicated the oxidation of a substrate in the absence of H₂O₂ via bovine
serum albumin- (BSA-) stabilized MnO₂ nanoparticles [30]. Additionally, the stability of nano-MnO₂ in the reaction system was also studied over a one-month storage period. With the increase in storage time, the release of Mn²⁺ increased mildly, but no significant difference in the oxidation of 2,6-DMP was detected, implying that the capacity of nano-MnO₂ to oxidize 2,6-DMP exhibits a high stability. These results demonstrated that nano-MnO₂ possessed a stable oxidase-like activity to catalyze the chromogenic reaction of 2,6-DMP at 25°C and pH 3.8 in the absence of H₂O₂.

3.2. Effects of Nano-MnO₂ and Substrate Concentration on 2,6-DMP Oxidation. We further assessed the influence of nano-MnO₂ concentration on 2,6-DMP oxidation catalyzed by MnO₂ nanozyme by UV-Vis spectrophotometry. As shown in Figure 3, the oxidation of 2,6-DMP catalyzed by MnO₂ nanozyme showed a distinct absorbance peak at the wavelength of 468 nm, and the increase of this absorbance over time was obvious resulting from 2,6-DMP oxidation (Figure 2). The variation of the absorbance peak was observed by adding different concentrations of MnO₂ nanozyme (Figure 3). Increasing the concentration of nano-MnO₂ from 0.005 to 0.3 mg·mL⁻¹ resulted in a linear increase in the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ (0.005–0.3 mg·mL⁻¹) in oxidizing 2,6-DMP (Figure 4). According to the correlation of the nano-MnO₂ concentration and its oxidase-like activity, the apparent pseudo-second-order rate constant was determined to be 0.445 U·mg⁻¹ (R² = 0.992). These results demonstrated that increasing the concentration of nano-MnO₂ facilitated the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ to oxidize 2,6-DMP.

For discussing the catalytic mechanism and obtaining the steady-state kinetic parameters, the initial reaction rate (1 min) of 2,6-DMP oxidation catalyzed by nano-MnO₂ was investigated with the initial 2,6-DMP concentration varying between 0.005 and 0.2 mmol·L⁻¹. A hyperbolic relationship between the substrate concentration and the rate of reaction (v) was revealed in Figure 5(a), like the typical Michaelis–Menten curve. The apparent enzyme kinetic parameters such as K_m and v_max values could be calculated by Lineweaver–Burk plot (Figure 5(b)). From the kinetic analysis, it was found that MnO₂ nanozyme showed a high affinity towards 2,6-DMP. The K_m and v_max values were 0.005 and 0.155 (R² = 0.999), respectively. Combining with previous studies on artificial metal oxide-based nanozymes [24, 31, 32], MnO₂ nanoparticles are promising nanomimetics for oxidase. It is noted that the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ and the steady-state kinetic parameter values were investigated at an acidic pH (pH 3.8) because of its limited oxidase-like activity at physiological or basic pH.
3.3. Effects of pH and Temperature on 2,6-DMP Oxidation. Similar to the natural oxidase, the catalytic activity of MnO₂ nanozyme is also dependent on pH and temperature. As shown in Figure 6, the catalytic activity of MnO₂ nanozyme decreased with the rise of reaction pH from 2.0 to 7.0, whereas the oxidase-like activity of MnO₂ nanozyme increased with the rise of reaction temperature from 10°C to 90°C. It was found that only 0.022 U·mL⁻¹ of nano-MnO₂ activity was retained at pH 7.0, while 0.205 U·mL⁻¹ of activity was retained even at 90°C. As the reaction pH increasing from 7.0 to 10.0, the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ had not exhibited an obvious variation. As the temperature increased from 10°C to 25°C, the catalytic activity of nano-MnO₂ was mildly enhanced. It was noted that as the temperature increased from 30°C to 90°C, the catalytic activity rapidly increased. Temperature varying in the range of 10–25°C had little impact on the final colorimetric signal. Change in pH and temperature had not resulted in inactivation of MnO₂ nanozyme. These results indicated that the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ exhibited a wide range of pH and thermal stability, unlike the natural oxidase [33, 34].

3.4. Metal Ions Induced the Effect of MnO₂ Nanozyme Activity. Simply and accurately detecting metal ions is of great significance in the aqueous environment. Several nanozymes had been used to detect metal ions (i.e., Hg²⁺ and Pb²⁺) due to their intrinsic advantages and high stability under harsh conditions [35–37]. In this study, the selectivity of MnO₂ nanozyme activity was evaluated in the presence of various metal ions including Mg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Pb²⁺ in 10 mL C-PBS buffer at 25°C and pH 3.8. As shown in Figure 7, the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ was 0.045 U·mL⁻¹ in the blank control (BC, i.e., metal ion-free) samples. Compared with BC, the activity of MnO₂ nanozyme was significantly enhanced in the presence of Cu²⁺ and Mn²⁺ (P < 0.01), whereas the presence of Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ obviously suppressed the activity of MnO₂ nanozyme (P < 0.05). Interestingly, there was no significant interference on the activity of MnO₂ nanozyme in aqueous solution by other metal ions. These results implied that MnO₂ nanozyme might be used to, respectively, detect Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Fe²⁺ in aquatic environment. However, the selectivity of MnO₂ nanozyme toward Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Fe²⁺ against other ions needs further studies due to the complexity of valence states of metal elements in the nanoparticles.

Previous studies had also indicated that certain metal ions could effectively upregulate/downregulate the activity of nanozymes through surface deposition and metallophilic interactions [38–40]. For MnO₂ nanozyme detecting systems, the substrate (2,6-DMP) was transformed into a chromogenic product, serving as a signal amplifier. The presence of Cu²⁺ and Mn²⁺ enhanced the activity of MnO₂ nanozyme, likely because these metal ions modified the reactive sites of nano-MnO₂ surface [41, 42]. First, Cu²⁺ and/or Mn²⁺ ions reacted with citrate to form metal ion-citrate complex, subsequently the complex dispersed onto the surface of nano-MnO₂, and thus changed the surface properties of nano-MnO₂, thereby enhancing its oxidase-like activity [43, 44]. On the contrary, the suppressive activity on MnO₂ nanozyme in the presence of Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ occurred probably owing to the strong affinity of Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ toward the nano-MnO₂ surface via the electrostatic attractions or metal ion-multivalent Mn interactions [36, 39, 40]. The binding affinity of MnO₂ nanozyme for Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ was very high. The adsorption of Zn²⁺ and Fe²⁺ onto the MnO₂ nanozyme impeded the electron transfer to 2,6-DMP, thus diminishing the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ [39]. Additionally, the control samples free of MnO₂ nanozyme with the metal ion present did not show the oxidase-like activity towards O₂-2,6-DMP during the incubation period.

3.5. MnO₂ Nanozyme-Based Reaction Systems for Detecting Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, or Fe²⁺. As shown in Figure 8, MnO₂ nanozyme-sensing systems were carried out by, respectively,
Figure 6: pH and temperature dependent the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ for catalyzing the oxidation of 2,6-DMP. (a) Reaction conditions: Nano-MnO₂ = 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹; 2,6-DMP = 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹; pH 2.0–10.0. (b) Reaction conditions: Nano-MnO₂ = 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹; 2,6-DMP = 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹; Temperature = 10–90°C. Error bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3).

Figure 7: Role of metal ions (i.e., Mg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Al³⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Pb²⁺) on the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ for catalyzing the oxidation of 2,6-DMP. Reaction conditions: Nano-MnO₂ = 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹; 2,6-DMP = 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹; Metal ion = 0.01 mmol·L⁻¹. Error bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3).

Figure 8: Continued.
Figure 8: Linear correlation between the oxidase-like activity of nano-MnO₂ and the logarithmic value of metal ion concentration (Log C). Reaction conditions: Nano-MnO₂ = 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹; 2,6-DMP = 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹; Metal ion = 0.002–0.3 mmol·L⁻¹. Error bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3).

Figure 9: UV-Vis differential absorbance spectra (DAS) calculated on the basis of the data recorded at different metal ion concentrations (0, 0.002, 0.01, 0.05, and 0.25 mmol·L⁻¹). Reaction conditions: Nano-MnO₂ = 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹; 2,6-DMP = 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹; metal ion = 0–0.25 mmol·L⁻¹. Error bars represent the standard deviation (n = 3). (a) Cu²⁺, (b) Zn²⁺, (c) Mn²⁺, and (d) Fe²⁺.
Table 1: Analytical results for the detection of metal ion-contaminated water samples by MnO$_2$ nanozyme-2,6-DMP detecting systems.

| Real samples | Added Cu$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Detected Cu$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Recovery RSD (n = 5) | Added Zn$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Detected Zn$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Recovery RSD (n = 5) | Added Mn$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Detected Mn$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Recovery RSD (n = 5) | Added Fe$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Detected Fe$^{2+}$ (mmol·L$^{-1}$) | Recovery RSD (n = 5) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Pond water 1 | 0.002                         | 0.002                               | 104.8% 6.9%          | 0.002                          | 0.002                             | 108.6% 7.3%          | 0.002                          | 0.002                         | 106.7% 5.4%          | 0.002             | 0.002             | 116.5% 13.2%     |
|              | 0.01                          | 0.010                               | 101.1% 3.7%          | 0.01                           | 0.013                             | 111.2% 10.5%         | 0.01                           | 0.009                         | 98.6% 6.3%           | 0.01              | 0.011             | 114.9% 8.8%      |
|              | 0.05                          | 0.052                               | 105.8% 5.4%          | 0.05                           | 0.051                             | 102.7% 3.8%          | 0.05                           | 0.053                         | 106.1% 7.4%          | 0.05              | 0.056             | 111.9% 26.1%     |
|              | 0.25                          | 0.253                               | 106.6% 4.9%          | 0.25                           | 0.0248                            | 97.8% 4.3%           | 0.25                           | 0.255                         | 112.4% 11.2%         | 0.25              | 0.246             | 96.6% 12.0%      |
| Pond water 2 | 0.002                         | 0.002                               | 106.9% 9.8%          | 0.002                          | 0.002                             | 95.0% 6.7%           | 0.002                          | 0.002                         | 106.5% 6.3%          | 0.002             | 0.002             | 110.5% 15.7%     |
|              | 0.01                          | 0.009                               | 93.0% 11.2%          | 0.01                           | 0.013                             | 111.2% 5.3%          | 0.01                           | 0.012                         | 124.0% 11.8%         | 0.01              | 0.011             | 114.3% 8.6%      |
|              | 0.05                          | 0.054                               | 108.6% 7.6%          | 0.05                           | 0.052                             | 104.4% 4.2%          | 0.05                           | 0.047                         | 94.5% 4.9%           | 0.05              | 0.048             | 97.2% 7.3%       |
|              | 0.25                          | 0.248                               | 99.4% 3.3%           | 0.25                           | 0.246                             | 98.5% 3.1%           | 0.25                           | 0.254                         | 101.7% 2.2%          | 0.25              | 0.252             | 100.9% 3.5%      |
detecting Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ in a concentration range of 0.002–0.3 mmol·L$^{-1}$ by the change of MnO$_2$ nanozyme activity in the presence of these metal ions. It was noted that increasing the concentrations of Cu$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ resulted in a color progression from yellow to deep yellow, while increasing the concentrations of Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ resulted in a color progression from yellow to colorless. A linear correlation between the activities of MnO$_2$ nanozyme and the logarithmic values of metal ions concentration (0.002–0.3 mmol·L$^{-1}$) was observed (Figure 8). The activity of MnO$_2$ nanozyme increased with increasing Cu$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations, whereas the activity of MnO$_2$ nanozyme decreased with increasing the concentrations of Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions. The slopes of the linear regression for the four metal ions (i.e., Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$) were −0.021, 0.019, −0.031, and 0.035, respectively. MnO$_2$ nanozyme-2,6-DMP-sensing systems showed high sensitivity and a wide dynamic range for, respectively, detection of Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$, allowing for a limit of detection less than 0.002 mmol·L$^{-1}$, which was lower than the maximum levels of Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ (0.016, 0.015, 0.002, and 0.005 mmol·L$^{-1}$, respectively) in drinking water permitted by the national standards GB 5749-2006 sanitary standard of China.

To further investigate the possible interaction mechanism between metal ions and MnO$_2$ nanozyme, a differential UV-Vis spectrometry approach was performed [45]. The differential absorbance spectrum (DAS) could be calculated by the following equation:

$$\Delta A_{\text{DAS}} = A_{\text{mixture}} - A_{\text{2,6-DMP}} - A_{\text{metal ion}}$$  \(\text{Eqn} \ \ 2\)

where $A_{\text{mixture}}$, $A_{\text{2,6-DMP}}$, and $A_{\text{metal ion}}$ are, respectively, the absorbance at 250–600 nm wavelength of the mixture solution, and the corresponding reference 2,6-DMP and metal ion solution.

As shown in Figure 9, the DAS of four reaction systems had an intensive negative peak at 272 nm and two intensive positive peaks, respectively, at 320 and 468 nm, implying that the change of electronic density in the molecules caused by the formation of a complex and/or metal ion-multivalent Mn interactions in C-PBS buffer. On the one hand, the formation of complex between Cu$^{2+}$/Mn$^{2+}$ and citrate changed the surface properties of MnO$_2$ nanozyme, thus facilitating its oxidase-like activity [43, 44, 46]. On the other hand, Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ were bound to the reactive sites of MnO$_2$ nanozyme surface, leading to the hindrance of electron transfer between the MnO$_2$ nanozyme and 2,6-DMP, consequently restraining the activity of MnO$_2$ nanozyme [39, 40].

3.6. Detection of Metal Ions in Real Water Samples. In order to verify the metal sensing ability of MnO$_2$ nanozyme for real environmental water samples, tests were performed with different concentrations of Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, or Fe$^{2+}$ spiked to pond water samples 1 and 2 from Anhui Agricultural University. First, samples were diluted 10-fold with C-PBS buffer (pH 3.8) to minimize the matrix effect. Subsequently, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, or Fe$^{2+}$ at a concentration of 0.002–0.25 mmol·L$^{-1}$ were spiked to the pond water samples. As shown in Table 1, the recoveries were 93.0–124.0% for 0.002–0.25 mmol·L$^{-1}$ metal ions (i.e., Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$) that were spiked to the pond water 1 and 2. The concentrations of Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ in the pond water samples 1 and 2 were also determined by ICPMS, which did not show significant difference from that obtained by the MnO$_2$ nanozyme-detecting systems. In addition, the nanozyme-sensing method exhibited stable performance at a broad range of pH and temperature, convenient for experimental applications. It is noteworthy that the response of the MnO$_2$ nanozyme-sensing systems to Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ at high concentrations can be directly observed with the naked eye. These results confirmed that the MnO$_2$ nanozyme-2,6-DMP-sensing systems may be applicable to real water environmental samples for easily and rapidly quantifying Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, or Fe$^{2+}$. Even so, how to improve the selectivity of MnO$_2$ nanozyme for metal ions detection in water is still crucial. To achieve that, two of the following main issues need to be resolved. One is studying the catalytic performance and steady-state kinetics to uncover the interaction mechanism between MnO$_2$ nanozyme and metal ions, and the other is modifying the surface of MnO$_2$ nanozyme to improve its catalytic activity and environmental application in real water [3, 13, 44, 47, 48].

4. Conclusions

In this study, nano-MnO$_2$ was used as an oxidase mimetic to catalyze the chromogenic reaction of 2,6-DMP in C-PBS buffer. The results indicated that nano-MnO$_2$ possessed the oxidase-like activity with the $K_m$ and $v_{max}$ values of 0.005 and 0.155 ($R^2 = 0.999$), respectively, at 25°C and pH 3.8. Additionally, the effect of metal ions on this colorimetric reaction catalyzed by MnO$_2$ nanozyme was explored, based on which it was found that this reaction system could be used to, respectively, detect Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ in aqueous solution without significant interference from other factors. The detection limit for the four metal ions was less than 0.002 mmol·L$^{-1}$ and the linear response range was 0.002–0.25 mmol·L$^{-1}$. Use of this detecting system was demonstrated with real environmental water samples, and the results indicated that the MnO$_2$ nanozyme-based sensing was simple and rapid for quantitative determination of Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$. It is noted that MnO$_2$ nanozyme was unable to determine ultralow metal ion concentration; thus, a more sensitive detecting assay should be developed in the follow-up study.
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