Structural phases of strained LaAlO$_3$ driven by octahedral tilt instabilities
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We investigate the effect of epitaxial strain on [001]-oriented LaAlO$_3$ using first-principles density functional calculations. We find a series of structural phase transitions between states characterized by distinct patterns of tilting of the AlO$_6$ octahedra. By tuning the biaxial strain from compressive to tensile, we induce an evolution in the crystal structure in which the tilt axis changes from out-of-plane to in-plane, corresponding to space groups $I4/mcm$ and $Imma$. We also study the effect of uniaxial relaxation of the usual biaxial epitaxial constraint and explore this as a mechanism for selectively stabilizing different patterns of octahedral tilts.

Oxides in the $ABO_3$ perovskite family present a multitude of functional properties and are widely renowned for their potential in technological applications. Construction of heteroepitaxial thin films is being actively explored as a route to further enhance and expand on the existing oxide functionalities. The presence of an interface between an oxide and a substrate can dramatically affect material properties, particularly if a film is grown coherently so that its in-plane lattice constant is forced to match that of the substrate. The resulting heteroepitaxial strain has been credited, for example, with dramatically enhancing the ferroelectric polarization and Curie temperature in thin film BaTiO$_3$ and inducing ferroelectricity in usually non-polar SrTiO$_3$. In addition to ferroelectric distortions, we increasingly find repercussions of strain in the patterns of rigid rotations, or tilts, of the corner-sharing $BO_6$ octahedral units. These changes in tilts have been invoked to account for such phenomena as the strain-dependence of magnetic properties, as a result of the corresponding changes in the electronic bandwidth. In this work, we use density functional theory to examine the effect of epitaxial strain on the tilt instabilities in LaAlO$_3$ (LAO). LAO is an ideal model system in which to isolate the interplay between strain and tilting because it exhibits only rotational distortions in its ground state with no indications of ferroelectric or Jahn-Teller instabilities.

We are also motivated by a need to understand the influence of octahedral rotations in oxide heterostructures such as LAO/SrTiO$_3$ (STO), which has been reported to form a highly conductive electron gas at the interface in spite of the insulating nature of the two parent compounds. The propagation of octahedral rotations across the interface is likely to be relevant to its electronic properties, but microscopic techniques have limited access to the positions of oxygen ions. An improved understanding of the effect of strain on the parent compound LAO is therefore highly desirable.

Here we investigate the effect of biaxial strain in LAO. We find that the bulk $R3c$ structure is destabilized by the constraint of coherent epitaxy and that remarkably small compressive or tensile biaxial strains stabilize previously unidentified phases of LAO. In addition, we find that uniaxial relaxation of the biaxial epitaxial constraint stabilizes a third phase. The primary structural differences between these strain-stabilized phases and the parent phase are found in the patterns of octahedral rotations, and our analysis of the transition between them provides insight into the coupling between strain and rotations in LAO and similar $ABO_3$ perovskites.

Calculation details and notation.—For all calculations we use the local density approximation (LDA) of density functional theory as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package, vasp. We use the projector augmented wave method with the default VASP potentials (La, Al, O) and a plane wave energy cut-off of 800 eV. We use a 10-atom rhombohedral unit cell with a $5\times5\times5$ $k$-point sampling. To determine ground state structures we relax ionic positions to a force tolerance of 1 meV/Å. Space group determinations are performed with the FINDSYM symmetry analysis software.

Strain is calculated as $(a - a_0)/a_0$, where $a_0$ is the LDA equilibrium lattice parameter. We use the notation $a, b, c$ to denote the pseudocubic (pc) lattice constants, which correspond to the crystallographic directions [100]$_{pc}$, [010]$_{pc}$, and [001]$_{pc}$. Lattice distortions comprised of rigid octahedral rotations are described by the angles of rotation around the pseudocubic axes.
\( \phi_a, \phi_b, \) and \( \phi_c, \) as shown in Fig. 1. For completeness we also give the Glazer notations for our structures, where, e.g., \( \phi_a \) is written as \( a^+ b^0 c^0 \) if consecutive octahedra along a rotate in the same direction (in-phase) or \( a^- b^0 c^0 \) if consecutive octahedra rotate in opposite directions (out-of-phase). Multiple subscripts indicate a compound rotation, e.g. \( \phi_{ab} \) denotes rotation around the \( ab \) axis (crystallographic direction \([110]_{pc}\)). (Note that the \( \phi_i \) are not strictly independent; however, a rigorous decomposition made using irreducible representations yields nearly identical results.)

**Biaxial strain.**— The bulk crystal structure of LAO deviates from the ideal \( Pm\bar{3}m \) perovskite by out-of-phase rotations around the crystallographic \([111] \) axis (\( \phi_{abc} \), Glazer system \( a^- a^- a^- \)) that lower the symmetry to space group \( R\bar{3}c \), and an accompanying rhombohedral distortion. We reproduce this structure by relaxing lattice parameters and atom positions in our 10-atom unit cell, and calculate a rhombohedral angle of 60.2° and an equilibrium lattice constant of \( 5.298 \) Å (pseudocubic \( a_0 = 3.746 \) Å), which underestimates the experimentally determined value by \( \sim 1\% \), a common artifact of LDA calculations. We calculate \( \phi_{abc} = 5.98° \), close to the experimentally determined value.\(^{12} \)

To mimic clamping to a substrate, we enforce a square lattice in the plane of epitaxy and constrain the value of in-plane lattice parameter \( a \) while relaxing the out-of-plane parameter \( c \) and atom positions. We also allow a \([110]_{pc}\) shear of the unit cell. The resulting structure, for \( a = 3.746 \) Å has space group \( C2/c \); it retains the \( \phi_{abc} \) rotations of the bulk and has a relaxed monoclinic angle \( \beta = 90.3° \). We then investigate the effect of biaxial strain by adjusting the value of \( a \). For strains of \(-2\% \) to \(+2\% \) we identify two competing phases with space groups \( I4/mcm \) and \( Ima\bar{3} \). The resulting energy versus strain phase diagram is shown in Fig. 1. To facilitate fine-sampling near the transition we do not relax \( c \) in these calculations but instead maintain the equilibrium volume. Later we relax this constraint and find no qualitative changes to the phase diagram. We find that the shear distortion is only energy-lowering for the \( C2/c \) phase and all other phases retain \( \beta = 90° \).

At very small strains of \(-0.2\% \) to \(0.1\% \), the ground state remains \( C2/c \). As strain is increased, the \( C2/c \) phase becomes rapidly unstable and the system transitions to \( Ima\bar{3} \) or \( I4/mcm \), depending on the sign of the strain. Compressive strain smaller than \(-0.2\% \) stabilizes the \( I4/mcm \) phase, in which the lattice distortion consists of \( \phi_c \) rotations (Glazer system \( a^0 b^0 c^- \)), whereas tensile strain greater than \(0.1\% \) stabilizes the \( Ima\bar{3} \) phase, comprised of \( \phi_{ab} \) rotations (\( a^- a^- c^0 \)), as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, the bulk-like \( C2/c \) phase is found only in a very narrow range of strain near the equilibrium lattice parameter. These results are summarized in Table 1. (Note that the precise range of stability for the three ground-state phases is difficult to identify from total energies. Thus we obtain our predicted range of the \( C2/c \) phase by calculating the zone-center phonons of each phase as a function of strain and comparing the frequencies of the softest non-trivial modes.)

To examine the coupling between strain and rotations, we decompose the distortion from a high-symmetry parent phase with space group \( P4/mmm \) in terms of irreducible representations (or irreps) using the software ISODISPLACE.\(^{13} \) We find that the dominant irreps are \( A_2^\prime \) and \( A_3^\prime \), which correspond to \( \phi_c \) and \( \phi_{ab} \) rotations, respectively. The evolution of the irrep amplitudes in the lowest-energy structures is shown in Fig. 3. We also calculate \( \phi_c \) and \( \phi_{ab} \) from the angles between neighboring octahedra to provide an approximate correspondence between irrep amplitude and rotation angle (Fig. 3). Within \( I4/mcm \) and \( Ima\bar{3} \) we find a roughly linear dependence of rotation angles on lattice parameter.

Our results indicate that the structural phases of strained LAO are driven by the octahedral tilting. In bulk LAO, compressed lattice parameters due to high pressure are reported to reduce rotation angles and drive a transition from \( R\bar{3}c \) to \( Pm\bar{3}m \) as a consequence of the greater compressibility of the \( AIO_6 \) sites compared to \( \text{LaO}_{12} \).\(^{15-18} \) Under biaxial strain, however, we find two distinct responses within the range of strains investigated, as seen in the evolution of Al-O bond distances and Al-O-Al bond lengths, shown in Fig. 2. At very small values of strain (-0.2% to 0.1%) the changes in lattice dimensions are accommodated primarily by rotations while Al-O distances remain nearly constant, and the system remains in the \( C2/c \) phase. Under compressive (tensile) strain, transformation to \( I4/mcm \) (\( Ima\bar{3} \)) occurs when \( \phi_{ab} \) (\( \phi_c \)) goes to zero. Increased strain is then accommodated by changes to Al-O distances, while the rotations remain nearly constant.

Similar strain-induced variations in tilt patterns have recently been reported in a number of other perovskite oxides, including \( \text{SrRuO}_3 \),\(^{14} \) \( \text{BiFeO}_3 \),\(^{19} \) and \( \text{LaNiO}_3 \).\(^{20} \) The behavior of LAO is distinct from these systems, how-
However, in the extremely narrow window in which the parent phase is stable and the dramatically different structures stabilized by compressive and tensile strain.

**Uniaxial relaxation of strain.**— We next examine the effect of a uniaxial relaxation of tensile strain in LAO. We compare the energetics of $\phi_a$ rotations ($Imma$ phase) to a phase with only $\phi_a$ rotations (space group $Fmmm$). Under biaxial strain, the $Fmmm$ phase is $\sim$0.5 meV higher in energy than $Imma$ in the range of strains investigated, but a uniaxial relaxation of the strain, such that $a \neq b$, alters the balance of energy between the two. Starting with atom configurations from the relaxed structures for $a = b$, we vary the ratio $a/b$ and calculate the total energy for the two phases. We maintain a constant in-plane area $ab$ and do not relax $c$. We first explore the system with $ab = (3.746 \text{ Å})^2$ and find that a 0.5% distortion of $a/b$ stabilizes $\phi_a$ rotations relative to $\phi_{ab}$ by $\sim$1 meV. We compare this to the system with $ab = (3.85 \text{ Å})^2$, $\sim$3% tensile strain, and find that the uniaxial relaxation is no longer energetically favorable and the ground-state retains $\phi_{ab}$ rotations and $a = b$.

Our results indicate that LAO films on substrates with a lattice mismatch of $\sim$0.5% may, in theory, lower their energy through a partial relaxation such that $a \neq b$.

The resulting structure exhibits $\phi_a$ rotations (for $a > b$) in the space group $Fmmm$. In practice, no common growth substrate provides these conditions, but the result is likely to be a general phenomenon in similar materials. The data suggest an explanation for the experimental observation of $a \neq b$ in some coherent films grown on square substrates. For example, BiFeO$_3$ films thinner than 50 nm grown on LAO substrates are reported to have $a \sim$3.84 Å and $b \sim$3.76 Å, compared to the substrate parameters $a = b = 3.79$ Å, indicating a uniaxial relaxation of the epitaxial constraint.\(^{21}\) Our results for LAO at 3% tensile strain, which do not predict a uniaxial strain relaxation, are consistent with experimental data for films of LAO on STO (a mismatch strain of 3%). Such films are reported to have square in-plane lattice parameters within an accuracy of 0.01 Å, indicating that any distortion of $a/b$ must be smaller than 0.5%\(^{22,23}\).

**Disabled octahedral rotations.**— Finally, we address the effect of manually disabling octahedral rotations. For this we treat a five-atom tetragonal unit cell in which rotation of the oxygen octahedra is forbidden by symmetry. At each value of epitaxial strain we relax the positions of the ions and calculate the electric polarization using the Berry phase method.\(^{25,26}\) We find that, while the unstrained system is non-polar, an abrupt transition to a state with large polarization occurs at $\sim$3% strain. The polarization is out-of-plane and results from a structural distortion within $P4/mmm$ symmetry in which Al and La displace along $[001]$. A compressive strain of 4% results in a polarization of $38 \mu$C cm$^{-2}$ relative to a centrosymmetric reference structure. These results indicate the existence of an incipient ferroelectric mode that is usually suppressed by the dominant antiferrodistortive rotational modes.

**Summary and discussion.**— In summary, we find that several previously unidentified phases of LAO, characterized by distinct patterns of octahedral rotations, are stabilized by varying the epitaxial constraints over a range that is readily accessible to experiment. This remarkable structural softness indicates that it is unlikely that the bulk-type $\phi_{abc}$ rotations will be observed in heteroepitaxial thin films of LAO on any substrate. This has important implications for the interpretation of structural data for LAO films, with particular relevance to investigations of the properties of LAO/STO interfaces.

| Space group | Rotation angles | Rotation axis | Glazer notation | Conditions for stability |
|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| $R3c$       | $\phi_a = \phi_b = \phi_c \neq 0$ | [111] | $a^+ a^+ a^-$ | unconstrained |
| $C2/c$      | $\phi_a = \phi_b \neq 0$, $\phi_c \neq 0$ | [111]$_{pc}$ | $a^- a^- c^-$ | $-0.2% < \eta < 0.1\%$, biaxial |
| $I4/mcm$    | $\phi_a = \phi_b = 0$, $\phi_c \neq 0$ | [001]$_{pc}$ | $a^0 b^0 c^-$ | $\eta < -0.2\%$, biaxial |
| $Imma$      | $\phi_a = \phi_b \neq 0$, $\phi_c = 0$ | [110]$_{pc}$ | $a^- a^- c^0$ | $\eta > 0.1\%$, biaxial |
| $Fmmm$      | $\phi_a \neq 0$, $\phi_b = \phi_c = 0$ | [100]$_{pc}$ | $a^- b^0 c^0$ | small uniaxial strain, $a > b$ |

**TABLE I: Summary of the rotational modes and resulting space groups found in LAO under various strain states.**
We find that biaxial strain of -0.2% to 0.1% increases octahedral tilt angles in the bulk-like C2/c phase of LAO, in contrast to the experimentally observed effects of pressure.\textsuperscript{18} Larger strains, however, induce a tilt-driven transition to one of three phases in which at least one tilt component is zero (I4/mcm, Imma, or Fmmm). Within these strained phases, we find that changes in lattice parameters related to epitaxial strain are largely accommodated by evolution of the Al-O bond lengths, with only small modification of tilt angles. These findings provide insight to the growing list of complex oxide perovskites in which the rotational distortions are observed to depend on lattice parameters. Given the coupling between rotations and strain in LAO, and its non-polar structure, we propose it as a model system for exploring new non-linear optical techniques for probing octahedral rotations.\textsuperscript{22}

Finally, for small values of tensile strain we find that a uniaxial relaxation of the strain, such that $a > b$, stabilizes $\phi_a$ rotations over $\phi_{ab}$. Our results suggest a route to selectively stabilize different tilt patterns via substrate geometry. These results also suggest that the partial relaxation of in-plane lattice parameters observed in some epitaxial thin films of perovskites may be driven by the competition between $\phi_a$ and $\phi_{ab}$ rotations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF), Grants No. NIRT-0609377 (NAS) and DMR-0820404 (AJH). Computational support was provided by the MRL Central Facilities under the NSF MRSEC Program, Award No. DMR05-20415, and the CNSI Computer Facilities at UC Santa Barbara, NSF Grant No. CHE-0321368. We thank J. M. Rondinelli and M. Stengel for helpful discussions.

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\bibitem{1} K. J. Choi, M. Biegalski, Y. L. Li, A. Sharan, J. Schubert, R. Uecker, P. Reiche, Y. B. Chen, X. Q. Pan, V. Gopalan, et al., Science \textbf{306}, 1005 (2004).
\bibitem{2} J. H. Haeni, P. Irvin, W. Chang, R. Uecker, P. Reiche, Y. L. Li, S. Choudhury, W. Tian, M. E. Hawley, B. Craigo, et al., Science \textbf{306}, 758 (2004).
\bibitem{3} H. Ishida and A. Liebsch, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{77}, 115350 (2008).
\bibitem{4} D. Fuchs, E. Arac, C. Pinta, S. Schuppler, R. Schneider, and H. v. Löhnreyen, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{77}, 014344 (2008).
\bibitem{5} A. A. Ohtomo and H. Y. Hwang, Nature \textbf{427}, 423 (2004).
\bibitem{6} C. L. Jia, S. B. Mi, M. Faley, U. Poppe, J. Schubert, and K. Urban, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{79}, 081405 (2009).
\bibitem{7} G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{54}, 11169 (1996).
\bibitem{8} P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{50}, 17953 (1994).
\bibitem{9} G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{59}, 1758 (1999).
\bibitem{10} H. T. Stokes and D. M. Hatch, FINDSYM (2004), URL \texttt{stokes.byu.edu/isotropy.html}.
\bibitem{11} A. M. Glazer, Acta Crystallogr. B \textbf{28}, 3384 (1972).
\bibitem{12} K. A. Müller, W. Berlinger, and F. Waldner, Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{21}, 814 (1968).
\bibitem{13} B. Campbell, H. Stokes, D. Tanner, and D. Hatch, J. Appl. Cryst. \textbf{39}, 607 (2006).
\bibitem{14} A. T. Zayak, X. Huang, J. B. Neaton, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{74}, 094104 (2006).
\bibitem{15} P. M. Woodward, Acta Crystallogr. B \textbf{53}, 44 (1997).
\bibitem{16} P. Bouvier and J. Kreisel, J. Phys.:Condens. Mat. \textbf{14}, 3981 (2002).
\bibitem{17} J. Zhao, N. L. Ross, and R. J. Angel, J. Phys.-Condens. Mat. \textbf{16}, 8763 (2004).
\bibitem{18} R. J. Angel, J. Zhao, and N. L. Ross, Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{95}, 025503 (2005).
\bibitem{19} A. J. Hatt, N. A. Spaldin, and C. Ederer, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{81}, 054109 (2010).
\bibitem{20} S. J. May, J.-W. Kim, J. M. Rondinelli, E. Karapetova, N. A. Spaldin, A. Bhattacharya, and P. J. Ryan, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{82}, 014110 (2010).
\bibitem{21} R. J. Zeches, M. D. Rossell, J. X. Zhang, A. J. Hatt, Q. He, C.-H. Yang, A. Kumar, C. H. Wang, A. Melville, C. Adamo, et al., Science \textbf{326}, 977 (2009).
\bibitem{22} C. Mercel Küng, M. El-Kazzi, G. Delhaye, V. Favre-Nicolin, Y. Robach, M. Gendry, G. Gredz, G. Saint-Girons, and G. Hollinger, J. Cryst. Growth \textbf{306}, 47 (2007).
\bibitem{23} N. Reyren, S. Thiel, A. D. Caviglia, L. F. Kourkoutis, G. Hammer, C. Richter, C. W. Schneider, T. Kopf, A.-S. Ruetschi, D. Jaccard, et al., Science \textbf{317}, 1196 (2007).
\bibitem{24} M. Huijben, A. Brinkman, G. Koster, G. Rijnders, H. Hilgenkamp, and D. H. A. Blank, Adv. Mater. \textbf{21}, 1665 (2009).
\bibitem{25} R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{47}, 1651 (1993).
\bibitem{26} D. Vanderbilt and R. D. King-Smith, Phys. Rev. B \textbf{48}, 4442 (1993).
\bibitem{27} S. Denev, A. Kumar, M. D. Biegalski, H. W. Jang, C. M. Folkman, A. Vasudevarao, Y. Han, I. M. Reaney, S. Trolier-McKinstry, C.-B. Eom, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{100}, 257601 (2008).
\end{thebibliography}