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Selective aerobic oxidation reactions using a combination of photocatalytic water oxidation and enzymatic oxyfunctionalizations

Wuyuan Zhang1, Elena Fernández-Fueyo1, Yan Ni1, Morten van Schie1, Jenő Gacs1, Rokus Renirie2, Ron Wever2, Francesco G. Mutti2, Dörte Rother3, Miguel Alcalde4 and Frank Hollmann1,

Peroxygenases offer an attractive means to address challenges in selective oxyfunctionalization chemistry. Despite this, their application in synthetic chemistry remains challenging due to their facile inactivation by the stoichiometric oxidant H2O2. Often atom-inefficient peroxide generation systems are required, which show little potential for large-scale implementation. Here, we show that visible-light-driven, catalytic water oxidation can be used for in situ generation of H2O2 from water, rendering the peroxygenase catalytically active. In this way, the stereoselective oxyfunctionalization of hydrocarbons can be achieved by simply using the catalytic system, water and visible light.

Selective oxyfunctionalization of carbon–hydrogen bonds is still an unachieved dream reaction in organic synthesis1–3. In particular, balancing the reactivity of the oxygen-transfer reagent with selectivity is largely unsolved for (in)organic catalysts, while it is an inherent feature of many oxidative enzymes such as haem-dependent monoxygenases and peroxygenases. The relevance of peroxygenases (UPO, unspecific peroxygenase; IUBMB classification: EC 1.11.2.1) for selective oxyfunctionalization reactions in preparative organic synthesis is increasing rapidly4, especially the novel peroxygenases from Agrocybe aegerita (AaeUPO)5, Marasmius rotula (MroUPO)6 and Coprinopsis cinerea (CcUPO)7, which excel in terms of substrate scope and specific activity compared with the well-known chloroperoxidase from Caldariomyces fumago (CfuUPO)8. P450 monoxygenases and chemical counterparts. The very high turnover numbers (TONs) reported so far give reason to expect truly preparative-scale applications for these promising biocatalysts. Additionally, crystal structures of AaeUPO9 as well as directed evolution protocols10 together with efficient recombinant expression systems have been established in the past few years. Hence, current gaps in substrate scope, stability and/or selectivity will be closed11–13.

In contrast to P450 monoxygenases, peroxygenases do not rely on complicated and susceptible electron transfer chains delivering reducing equivalents to the haem active site needed for reduction of molecular oxygen and therefore are not subject to the ‘oxygen dilemma’14. Rather, peroxygenases utilize H2O2 directly to regenerate the catalytically active oxyferryl haem species. At the same time, however, peroxygenases suffer (like all haem-dependent enzymes) from a pronounced instability against H2O2, making their application in synthetic chemistry remains challenging due to their facile inactivation by the stoichiometric oxidant H2O2. Often atom-inefficient peroxide generation systems are required, which show little potential for large-scale implementation. Here, we show that visible-light-driven, catalytic water oxidation can be used for in situ generation of H2O2 from water, rendering the peroxygenase catalytically active. In this way, the stereoselective oxyfunctionalization of hydrocarbons can be achieved by simply using the catalytic system, water and visible light.

Ideally, water could serve as a co-substrate and electron donor for the in situ generation of H2O2. Peroxygenase reactions are generally conducted in aqueous media ([H2O] = 55 mol l−1) and the sole by-product of the water oxidation reaction is molecular oxygen. A broad variety of heterogeneous water oxidation catalysts (WOCs) have been reported in recent years that could be used for the partial oxidation of water to hydrogen peroxide15,16. The thermodynamic driving force for this reaction is derived from (visible) light. This approach is mostly evaluated with respect to catalytic water splitting into H2 and O2. However, under aerobic conditions, electrons liberated from water can also be transferred to O2 yielding H2O2; incomplete oxidation of water to H2O2 can also be conceived.

This motivated us to evaluate photochemical water oxidation yielding H2O2 to promote peroxygenase-catalysed, selective oxyfunctionalization reactions (Fig. 1). Here, we demonstrate the general feasibility of this approach together with a characterization of the crucial parameters determining activity and robustness of the reaction scheme. The selective, photoenzymatic oxyfunctionalization of a range of hydrocarbons is demonstrated, as is the embedding of this reaction scheme into more-extended cascades producing value-added chiral alcohols and amines.

Results

Proof-of-concept experiments. As our model enzyme we chose the UPO from A. aegerita, which was recombinantly expressed in Pichia pastoris (rAaeUPO) following a previously reported protocol17. The enzyme was purified to near homogeneity by a single anion exchange chromatography step (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6). The enzyme preparation used herein exhibited a Reinheitszahl (RZ: A280/A420) value of 1.6. As our model reaction we chose the stereoselective hydroxylation of ethyl benzene to (R)-1-phenyl ethanol (Fig. 2). Visible-light-active Au-loaded TiO2 was used as photocatalyst for the proof-of-concept experiments18.

Under arbitrarily chosen reaction conditions (Fig. 2) we observed significant accumulation of (R)-1-phenyl ethanol as...
desired. Control reactions in the absence of the Au-TiO₂ photocatalyst or in darkness yielded no product. The absence of the enzyme or using a thermally inactivated enzyme resulted in a slow accumulation of racemic 1-phenyl ethanol (less than 0.14 mM within 24 h) and approximately the same concentrations of acetaldehyde. This minor background oxidation activity of the photocatalyst explains the slightly decreased optical purity of the (R)-1-phenyl ethanol obtained from the photobiocatalytic oxidation reactions (90% e.e.) as compared with traditional reaction schemes for the provision of rAaeUPO with H₂O₂ (>97% e.e.)²⁵.

Particular attention was paid to the nature of the electron donor for this reaction as, in principle, other reaction components may also be susceptible to TiO₂ oxidation and thereby serve as sacrificial electron donors for the reduction of O₂. For this, the enzyme preparation contained phosphate only as a buffer component to exclude possible contributions of other sacrificial electron donors to H₂O₂ generation. Experiments using immobilized enzymes were also conducted to exclude rAaeUPO oxidation to promote H₂O₂ generation. To further support the assumed water-oxidation-based mechanism, we performed a range of experiments using ¹⁸O-labelled water as the reaction mixture. The occurrence of ¹⁸O-labelled (R)-1-phenyl ethanol (Supplementary Fig. 10) substantiates the proposed mechanism. Performing this experiment in the presence of ambient air (predominantly consisting of ¹⁶O₂) resulted in minor incorporation of ¹⁸O into the product, which predominantly contained ¹⁸O. Using deaerated reaction mixtures (wherein only water oxidation can account for O₂), the ¹⁸O-labelled product dominated. These findings strongly support the suggested TiO₂-mediated oxidation of H₂O to O₂ coupled to TiO₂-catalysed reduction of O₂ to H₂O₂, which is used by rAaeUPO for specific incorporation into ethyl benzene. A contribution of H₂O₂ originating from direct two-electron water oxidation is also possible²⁶. These results make us confident that water indeed served as the sole source of reducing equivalents to promote the selective rAaeUPO-catalysed oxyfunctionalization reactions.

**Characterization of the photoenzymatic oxyfunctionalization reaction.** Next, we advanced to characterize the reaction system in more detail, particularly investigating the effect of varying catalyst concentrations on the reaction system. It is worth mentioning here that the product concentrations shown in Fig. 2 may appear low, but they significantly surpass the concentrations of H₂O₂ obtained from water oxidation reported so far for Au-TiO₂ and other WOCs²⁷,²⁸. We attribute this to a H₂O₂-oxidation activity of the illuminated WOCs (Supplementary Fig. 11) eventually leading to a low steady-state concentration of H₂O₂ (ref. ²⁵). At first sight, this may appear as a limitation for the current system, but it also enables us to maintain low, constant in situ concentrations of H₂O₂ as required for efficient and robust peroxygenase catalysis.

The concentration of the WOC had only a minor influence on the initial rate of the reaction (Fig. 2b). We attribute this to WOC-concentration-independent in situ H₂O₂ concentrations, most probably due to the simultaneous water- and H₂O₂-oxidation activity of the WOCs mentioned above. The WOC concentration, however, had a very significant influence on the robustness of the overall reaction. In general, no more product accumulation was observable after approximately 6 h. Varying the Au content (0.6–1.8 wt%) and particle size (2.8–7.9 nm) on the TiO₂ surface hardly influenced the time course of the photobiocatalytic hydroxylation reaction, with the exception of plain TiO₂, where the overall rate was approximately half of the rates obtained with various Au-TiO₂ catalysts (Supplementary Fig. 12).

In contrast, the enzyme concentration directly influenced the overall reaction rate (Fig. 2c) and a linear dependency of initial (R)-1-phenyl ethanol accumulation on applied rAaeUPO concentration was observed. However, again, the reactions ceased after 6–7 h.

Apparently, the robustness of the overall reaction (as judged from the accumulation of (R)-1-phenyl ethanol) correlated with the ratio of photo- and bio-catalyst. We hypothesized that rAaeUPO may be inactivated by the Au-TiO₂ WOC. It should be mentioned here that in the experiments reported so far, only TiO₂ most composed of anatase phase (91.1%) had been used as the WOC. Given the rather hydrophilic surface of anatase TiO₂, adsorption of the glycoprotein rAaeUPO appears likely. Therefore, we performed control experiments to investigate the inactivation of the biocatalyst: incubation of the enzyme with the photocatalyst in darkness resulted in a minor reduction of its catalytic activity as compared to the same experiment in the presence of light (Fig. 3). Therefore, we conclude that it is not the adsorption per se that leads to inactivation of the biocatalyst.

We hypothesized that reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated at the surface of the WOC²⁶ may cause oxidative inactivation of the enzyme. In fact, using the spin trap technique in electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, significant amounts of mainly hydroxyl (HO⁻) radicals (spin Hamiltonian parameter of hydrogen nucleus a₂ = 1.495 mT; constant of proportionality factor g = 2.0050) can be detected in illuminated anatase-Au-TiO₂ samples (Fig. 4a)²⁹. These hydroxyl radicals may originate from water oxidation, from the reaction of superoxide (O₂⁻, from O₂ reduction) or from other steps in the complex redox chemistry of ROS²⁵. Though more detailed mechanistic studies will be necessary to fully understand this inactivation mechanism, we hypothesize a major role of hydroxyl rather than the superoxide radicals. First, addition of superoxide dismutase did not improve the robustness of the overall reaction. Second, O₂⁻ should react with native peroxygenase leading to the formation of the so-called Compound III of the catalytic cycle, for which we have not found any spectroscopic evidence (no characteristic absorption peak at 625 nm, Supplementary Fig. 14)²⁹.

**Overcoming robustness issues through separation.** Given the rather short half-life time of hydroxyl radicals (approximately 10⁻⁸ s in aqueous media) we envisioned that simple spatial separation of the WOC (at the surface of which the HO⁻ radicals form) and the biocatalyst may circumvent this limitation. Therefore, we evaluated (1) spatial separation of anatase Au-TiO₂ from rAaeUPO using immobilized enzymes and (2) avoidance of rAaeUPO adsorption to the WOC surface by using hydrophobic surfaces.

To achieve physical separation of the WOC and rAaeUPO, we covalently immobilized the latter to a poly(methyl methacrylate) resin activated by glutardialdehyde. Covalent linkage to the spacer unit occurred through imine formation with surface-exposed lysine.
residues (Supplementary Fig. 7). To test the second option, that is, avoidance of enzyme adsorption by less hydrophilic WOC surfaces, rutile Au-TiO₂ was evaluated. Rutile exhibits a far more hydrophobic surface as compared with the previously used anatase catalyst. This is corroborated by the lack of the characteristic IR absorptions of surface-bound H₂O and Ti-OH (even after Au-doping treatment) at 98% e.e.) compared with the starting condi-
tions originating from the doubly heterogeneous character of the enzymes investigated. It may, however, be rationalized by the poor

The turnover frequency of rAaeUPO of 2.9 min⁻¹ (average over 4 days) indicates that there is room for improving the efficiency of this reaction system. Indeed, increasing the rutile Au-TiO₂ concentra-
tion linearly increased the initial rate of the overall reaction (Supplementary Fig. 18). Surprisingly, an EPR investigation of the rutile-Au-TiO₂ catalysed water oxidation (Fig. 4b) revealed that this catalyst generates significantly higher amounts of HO₂ radicals than anatase Au-TiO₂. In fact, as already stated, a higher amount of superoxide may be formed by rutile Au-TiO₂. At first sight this is in contrast to the higher compatibility of rutile Au-TiO₂ with the enzymes investigated. Figure 5 compares the
time courses of these catalytic systems.

In both cases, steady product accumulation was observed for at least 120 h, thereby representing a >20-fold increase in robustness as compared with the starting conditions (Fig. 5). Consequently, the TON of the enzyme increased from approximately 2,000 using dissolved enzyme and anatase Au-TiO₂ to more than 16,000 using immobilized rAaeUPO and 21,000 using rutile Au-TiO₂ (Fig. 5). The latter system also provided (R)-1-phenyl ethanol in much higher optical purity (>98% e.e.) compared with the starting conditions. The reaction using immobilized rAaeUPO was considerably slower than the reaction using free rAaeUPO and rutile Au-TiO₂. This may, at least to some extent, be attributed to diffusion limita-
tions originating from the doubly heterogeneous character of the catalysts. Also, partial loss of enzyme activity as a consequence of the immobilization may contribute to this. To clarify this, systematic immobilization studies with rAaeUPO are currently ongoing.

Fig. 3 | Stability of rAaeUPO in the presence of anatase-Au-TiO₂. General conditions: phosphate buffer (60 mM, pH 7.0), T = 30 °C, [anatase-Au-
TiO₂] = 0 (control, under illumination) or 10 g l⁻¹, [rAaeUPO] = 150 nM. The samples were either kept in darkness or illuminated under visible light (λ > 400 nm). Samples were withdrawn at intervals (shades of grey) from the incubation mixtures and analysed for peroxygenase activity. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of duplicate experiments (n = 2).
these results, we further explored the product scope of the photoenzymatic reaction. Encouraged by asterisks belong to the existing oxidation product of DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-2-oxopyrroline-1-oxyl (DMPOX); signals marked with solid diamonds belong to the spin-adduct DMPO-OH, which are not overlapping the signals of DMPOX and therefore provide sufficient quality for analysis. Reaction conditions: [Au-TiO2] = 5.0 mg ml⁻¹, [DMPO] = 30 mM, RT, hν > 400 nm. DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide.

Substrate scope of the photoenzymatic reaction. Encouraged by these results, we further explored the product scope of the photoenzymatic hydroxylation reaction using dissolved rAaeUPO and rutile Au-TiO2. As shown in Table 1, a broad range of aliphatic and aromatic compounds were converted into their corresponding alcohols. The enantioselectivities and relative activities corresponded to the values reported previously, indicating that the natural reactivity and selectivity of the enzyme were not impaired. Similar results were also observed in the system utilizing anatase Au-TiO2 and immobilized enzyme (Supplementary Table 2). Semipreparative-scale reactions also proved to be feasible with this setup (Supplementary Figs. 28–30). Hence, approximately 110 mg of highly enantioenriched (e.e. = 97.4%, 31% isolated yield) (R)-1-phenyl ethanol was produced. The regioselectivity of all reactions was very high except for entry 7 where ω-2 and ω-3 hydroxylation products were observed. This observation is in line with previous reports on rAaeUPO-selectivity towards linear alkanes.

Cascade reactions. Generally, the only by-product observed was the ‘overoxidation’ product, that is, the corresponding ketone. We suspected WOC-catalysed further oxidation of the primary rAaeUPO-product ((R)-1-phenyl ethanol) accounted for this. Indeed, the concentration of acetophenone linearly increased with increasing concentrations of Au-TiO2 (Supplementary Table 3). This dual activity of the photocatalyst (water and alcohol oxidations) motivated us to evaluate more elaborate photoenzymatic cascades to extend the product scope beyond (chiral) alcohols. In particular, we coupled the photoenzymatic oxidation of toluene to benzaldehyde to an enzymatic benzoin condensation using the benzaldehyde lyase from Aspergillus terreus (R-selective, AtoTA) and Bacillus megaterium (S-selective, BmoTA) (Fig. 6b). Acetophenone, formed by the photoenzymatic oxyfunctionalization of ethyl benzene, was also submitted to a reductive amination using the ω-transaminases from Aspergillus terreus (R-selective, AtoTA) and Bacillus megaterium (S-selective, BmoTA) (Fig. 6b). Both cascades were performed in a one-pot two-step fashion, that is, the photoenzymatic oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde or ketone was performed first, followed by addition of the biocatalysts needed for the second transformation (Supplementary Figs. 31–35). Recently, a similar transformation was reported (ethyl benzene to enantiomERICALLY pure (R)- or (S)-1-phenyl ethyl amine) attaining very similar product titters.

These results demonstrate that the proposed photoenzymatic cascades enable synthesis of a broader range of value-added products (chiral alcohols, amines and acyloins) from simple starting materials. While these reactions undoubtedly still need further improvement to reach preparative feasibility, they nevertheless demonstrate the principal feasibility of the envisioned photoenzymatic cascade reactions. The proposed in situ H2O2 generation system can also be applied to other peroxidases such as the V-dependent haloperoxidase from

![Fig. 4](image-url) EPR spectra recorded during the illumination of anatase and rutile Au-TiO2 in water. a, Anatase Au-TiO2. b, Rutile Au-TiO2. Signals marked by asterisks belong to the existing oxidation product of DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-2-oxopyrroline-1-oxyl (DMPOX); signals marked with solid diamonds belong to the spin-adduct DMPO-OH, which are not overlapping the signals of DMPOX and therefore provide sufficient quality for analysis. Reaction conditions: [Au-TiO2] = 5.0 mg ml⁻¹, [DMPO] = 30 mM, RT, hν > 400 nm. DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Effect of reducing the interaction of rAaeUPO with the TiO2 surface on the robustness of the photoenzymatic reaction. Original reaction setup with dissolved rAaeUPO and anatase Au-TiO2 (triangles); reaction using immobilized rAaeUPO and anatase Au-TiO2 (diamonds); dissolved rAaeUPO with hydrophobic rutile Au-TiO2 (squares). General conditions: [rAaeUPO] = 150 nM (dissolved), 120 nM (immobilized); [Au-TiO2] = 5 g l⁻¹, [ethyl benzene]0 = 15 mM ethyl benzene in 60 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) under visible light illumination (h > 400 nm).
 Gratifyingly, the CiVCPO-catalysed halogenation of thymol proceeded smoothly yielding 2- and 4-bromothymol with more than 70% conversion (Fig. 7).

The product distribution was comparable to previous haloperoxidase-catalysed halogenation reactions. In the absence of either CiVCPO, rutile Au-TiO₂ or light, no conversion of thymol was observed. It is also worth mentioning that rutile Au-TiO₂ with this enzyme gave better results than anatase Au-TiO₂ under otherwise identical conditions.

Beyond TiO₂-based WOCs. So far, we have focused on TiO₂-based photocatalysts. Photocatalysis, however, is an extremely dynamic

![Table 1 | Substrate scope of the photobiocatalytic hydroxylation reaction](image)

**Table 1 | Substrate scope of the photobiocatalytic hydroxylation reaction**

| Entry | Product | Concentration (mM) | e.e. (%) | Other products | Concentration (mM) | Yield (%) | TON (×10³) |
|-------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1     | 4.1     | N/A                | 0.5      | 45.2           | 30.1               |
| 2     | 4.2     | N/A                | 0.1      | 43.1           | 28.7               |
| 3     | 2.6     | N/A                | 0.1      | 26.7           | 17.8               |
| 4     | 2.3     | >99.0              | 0.5      | 28.2           | 18.8               |
| 5     | 3.6     | 95.2               | 1.0      | 45.8           | 30.5               |
| 6     | 5.0     | 75.0               | 0.8      | 58.2           | 38.8               |
| 7     | 0.3     | 78.5               | 0.2      | 4.8            | 3.2                |

*Conditions: [substrate]₀ = 10.0 mM; [rutile Au-TiO₂] = 10 g l⁻¹; [rAaeUPO] = 150 nM (dissolved) in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 60 mM), T = 30 °C, 70 h, visible light illumination (λ > 400 nm). *Based on the concentration of both products. N/A, not applicable.

---

**Curvularia inaequalis** (CiVCPO). The transformation of toluene to (R)-benzoin (a) and the transformation of ethyl benzene to (R)- or (S)-1-phenyl ethyl amine (b). Conditions for a: [toluene] = 20.0 mM, [rutile Au-TiO₂] = 30 g l⁻¹, [rAaeUPO] = 150 nM in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 60 mM), T = 30 °C, 96 h, visible light illumination (λ > 400 nm). In the second step, 100 μl of mixture in phosphate buffer (500 mM, pH 8.5) containing 5 mM of thiaminpyrophosphate (TPP), 25 mM of MgCl₂ and 10 mg of crude cell extract containing PfBAL was added. Conditions for b: [ethyl benzene] = 10.0 mM, [rutile Au-TiO₂] = 30 g l⁻¹, [rAaeUPO] = 150 nM in phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 60 mM), T = 30 °C, 96 h. In the second step, 105 μl of isopropylamine, 130 μl of phosphoric acid (5 M), 100 μl of pyridoxal phosphate (PLP, 10 mM) and 10 μg/mL of crude cell extract containing ω-transaminase were added. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to approximately 9.0. The dilution factor of the reaction system was 1.0/1.335 = 0.75. After the first steps under illumination and initiation of the second steps, the resulting reaction mixture of both cascades was shaken at 30 °C for 40 h in the dark.
area of research and novel, potentially useful WOCs are reported on an almost weekly basis. Therefore, we finally evaluated the scope of different WOCs for the in situ generation of H2O2 to promote peroxygenase-catalysed hydroxylation reactions. Among them, visible-light-active Au-BiVO4 (ref. 19) and g-C3N4 (ref. 46) showed some promising characteristics (Supplementary Fig. 36). The product formation with Au-BiVO4 as photocatalyst was rather modest, while g-C3N4 exhibited a higher product formation rate together with a pronounced ‘overoxidation activity’ (approximately 10 times higher than Au-TiO2 under comparable conditions) 47. Therefore, the latter catalyst may be particularly suitable for further photobiocatalytic cascades.

Finally, recently described carbon nanodot (CND) photocatalysts caught our attention as easy-to-prepare and biocompatible photocatalysts48–50. As CND-mediated reduction of molecular oxygen to H2O2 is impaired 48, we used riboflavin monophosphate (flavin mononucleotide, FMN) as co-catalyst for the generation of H2O2 (Fig. 8). Visible-light illumination of a mixture of CND and FMN in deaerated phosphate buffer resulted in fast and complete reduction of FMN, as judged by the decrease of the characteristic absorption band of FMNOx at 450 nm (Fig. 8b). Exposure to ambient atmosphere resulted in complete restoration of this absorbance, indicating aerobic reoxidation of FMNRed yielding H2O2.

Next, we tested the photocatalytic reduction of FMN and its aerobic, H2O2-forming reoxidation to promote rAaeUPO-catalysed hydroxylation. Experiments in the absence of either CND or FMN gave no significant product formation, whereas the whole system produced enantiomerically pure (R)-1-phenyl ethanol (98% e.e.) (Fig. 8c). Compared to previously used Au-TiO2, the overall reaction rates were significantly higher: initial rates of 0.16 mM h–1 and 0.81 mM h–1 for Au-TiO2 and CND, respectively. Hence, even under non-optimized conditions, almost 100,000 turnovers for rAaeUPO.
and more than 100 for FMN were estimated. Similar results were achieved under the same conditions for the hydroxylation of cyclohexane (Supplementary Fig. 37). It is also worth noting that the overoxidation rate was reduced significantly.

Overall, we have combined photochemical water-oxidation catalysis with peroxygenase catalysis to achieve visible-light-driven, aerobic oxidation of hydrocarbons. Combined with further (enzymatic) reaction steps this method gives access to a broad range of functionalized building blocks starting from simple alkanes. Admittedly, the system reported here falls short in terms of space–time yields to be economical or environmentally benign. Particularly, the low concentrations of the hydrophobic substrates need to be increased and mass balance issues of some volatile reagents will have to be addressed. But the catalytic turnover achieved for the biocatalyst compares well with the state-of-the-art in peroxoxygenase reactions and surpasses the performance of the established P450 monoxygenases and chemical catalysts (Supplementary Table 5). Further improvements may be expected in the near future from optimized reaction schemes, particularly from more active WOCs.

Methods

Materials. Titanium(iv) oxide and water-18O (97 atom% 18O) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Gold(III) chloride (64.4% minimum) was bought from Alfa-Aesar. All other chemicals were purchased commercially and used without further treatments.

Photocatalyst preparation. Both anatase and rutile Au–TiO2 catalysts were prepared by a deposition–precipitation method according to literature procedures5,6. A detailed description of the syntheses is given in the Supplementary Information. Examples of XRD data and TEM images of Au–TiO2 are shown in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 1–4.

Enzyme preparation. Recombinant expression and purification of the evolved unspecific peroxygenase mutant from A. aegerita in P pastoris was performed following a previously described procedure20. The chloroperoxidase from C. macracaulis (GVCPO) was recombinantly expressed in E. coli following a protocol published previously21. A detailed description of the production and purification of the enzymes is given in the Supplementary Information.

Typical protocol for the photoenzymatic hydroxylation of alkanes. To a transparent glass vial, 5 mg of photocatalyst was added and suspended in 900 μl of NaPi buffer under sonication for 5 min in an ultrasonication bath. From stock solutions, 350 μM of 3aazaAPO and 15 mM of ethyl benzene (final concentrations) were added and the volume of the suspension was adjusted to 1 ml with NaPi buffer. The reaction vial and bulb was 3.6 cm. At intervals, aliquots were withdrawn, were added and the volume of the suspension was adjusted to 1 ml with NaPi buffer.

Typical protocol for the photoenzymatic hydroxylation of alkanes. To a reaction vial and bulb was 3.6 cm. At intervals, aliquots were withdrawn, were added and the volume of the suspension was adjusted to 1 ml with NaPi buffer.
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