Proteomics of Fuchs’ Endothelial Corneal Dystrophy Support That the Extracellular Matrix of Descemet’s Membrane Is Disordered
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ABSTRACT: Fuchs’ endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) is a major corneal disorder affecting the innermost part of the cornea, leading to visual impairment. As the morphological changes in FECD are mainly observed in the extracellular matrix of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer, we determined the protein profiles of diseased and control tissues using two relative quantitation MS methods. The first quantitation method, based on the areas of the extracted ion chromatograms, quantified the 51 and 48 most abundant proteins of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer in patient and control tissues, respectively, of which 10 were significantly regulated. The results indicated that the level of type VIII collagen was unaltered even though the protein previously has been shown to be implicated in familial early-onset forms of the disease. Using the second relative quantitation method, iTRAQ, we identified 22 differentially regulated proteins, many of which are extracellular proteins known to be involved in proper assembly of the basement membrane in other tissues. In total, 26 differentially regulated proteins were identified, of which 6 proteins were regulated in both methods. These results support that the morphological changes observed in FECD are caused in part by an aberrant assembly of the extracellular matrix within the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer.
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INTRODUCTION

Fuchs’ endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) is a frequently observed corneal disease and is generally regarded as an inherited disorder.1 The prevalence of corneal dystrophies in the U.S. was estimated in 2011 to affect approximately 278,000 people. Approximately 60% of the incidences were associated with the endothelium, of which FECD is a major contributor.1,2 FECD manifests in the innermost layer of the cornea, affecting Descemet’s membrane and the endothelium. Morphological changes in FECD include abnormal loss of endothelial cells, thickening of Descemet’s membrane, and protein accumulation between cells of the endothelium, known as guttae.1 As FECD...
progresses, there is an abnormal loss of endothelial cells, which leads to corneal edema ultimately affecting the stroma and epithelium and causing visual impairment.3

FECD occurs as a rare early-onset variant and a more common late-onset variant. The early-onset variant is normally detectable within the third or fourth decade of life and has been associated with mutations within the COL8A2 gene encoding the collagen alpha-2 (VIII) chain.4,5 Late-onset FECD is detectable during the fourth or fifth decade of life, constitutes the vast majority of FECD cases, and occurs with higher frequency in women than in men.6 Regions on chromosomes 5 (5q33.1-q35.2), 9 (9p24.1-22.1), 13 (13pTel-3q12.13), and 18 (18q21.2-q21.32) have been suggested to contain genes encoding proteins involved in late-onset FECD.7−10 Even though no direct link has been found, the TCF4 and TCF8 genes encoding the transcription factors 4 and 8 have been associated with the disease.11,12 In addition, mutations within the SLC4A11 gene encoding sodium bicarbonate transporter-like protein 11 have also been linked to late-onset FECD.13 Thus, late-onset FECD may have multiple genetic contributors rather than being caused by a single gene alteration.

Besides genetic alterations, environmental factors such as oxidative stress and accumulation of advanced glycation end-products have also been associated with FECD.14−17 Protein accumulation is an additional aspect of FECD, which is observed as a thickening of Descemet’s membrane. The thickening has been suggested to involve an increased secretion of type VIII collagen by endothelial cells.18,19 Furthermore, studies of FECD have shown an increased amount of TGFBIp within the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer, and more specifically TGFBIp together with clusterin has been shown to be present in the deposits forming the guttae.20,21 We have previously performed a quantitative label-free MS analysis of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer of the healthy human cornea.22 In the present study, we use a similar label-free quantitative MS analysis as well as an isotope tag-based quantitative MS analysis of FECD tissue to gain insight into the pathophysiology of the disease. Human tissue from five females and five males affected by late-onset FECD were compared to four age-matched control samples. In total, we identified 26 significantly regulated proteins, of which the majority are related to the extracellular scaffold arrangement in the basement membrane of the endothelium.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

FECD and Control Tissue

Tissue samples were obtained from late-onset FECD patients undergoing endothelial keratoplasty with transplantation of a donor Descemet’s membrane with endothelium. Upon surgical removal of the diseased Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer, the tissue was immediately stored at $-80\,^\circ C$ until further processing. A total of 10 FECD tissue samples were collected comprising five females and five males with an average age of 72.5 years (min 56 years, max 83 years). As controls, complementary non-FECD tissue (secondary bullous keratopathy) isolated by the same technique as for the FECD tissue from four female patients with an average age of 69 years (min 51 years, max 88 years) were included. The tissue was obtained and used for scientific purpose, and ethical issues have been handled according to Danish healthcare law, and after guidance from the local ethical committee and national health authorities, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Sample Preparation for LC–MS/MS: In-Solution Digest

Patient and control tissues were lyophilized for 10 h using a speedvac concentrator before subjection to 0.66 M CNBr in 70% trifluoroacetic acid at 23 $^\circ C$. The next day, the tissues were lyophilized and resuspended in 8 M urea in 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, reduced in 15 mM dithiothreitol for 1 h, and alkylated in 30 mM iodoacetamide for 1 h. The samples were diluted 5 times in 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, and digested overnight with 1:50 w/w sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 37 $^\circ C$ for 16 h at room temperature in a final volume of 0.5 mL. The samples were desalted using POROS 50 R2 RP column material (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) packed in GELoader Tips (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).

Amino Acid Analysis

Concentrations of the in-solution digested samples were determined by amino acid analysis: 5 $\mu$L of each sample was dried in 500-$\mu$L polypropylene vials that were placed in 22-mL glass vials (Wheaton, Millville, NJ, USA). A total of 200 $\mu$L of hydrolysis buffer (6 N HCl, 0.1% phenol, 0.1% thioglycolic acid) was placed at the bottom of the glass vial, which was subsequently purged with argon, closed with a Minulinert valve (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), and evacuated to <5 mbar. The samples were then heated to 110 $^\circ C$ for 20 h, dried and redissolved in loading buffer pH 2.20 containing 1 nmol NorLeu, and injected on a BioChrom 31 amino acid analyzer. The resulting data were analyzed by an in-house program AAA ver. 1.03, which calculates protein composition and total protein amount and corrects for intensity changes of ninhydrin.

iTRAQ Labeling and Strong Cation-Exchange Fractionation

Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ) analysis was performed using 10 $\mu$g of in-solution digested sample from each patient and control. Samples were labeled with iTRAQ 4plex (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, resulting in a total of five sets of 4plex experiments (Figure 1). A mixed sample containing 5 $\mu$g of sample from each of the patients and controls was labeled with the iTRAQ 114-tag in all five experiments and used to normalize between experiments. Each 4plex experiment was diluted 10 times in buffer A (0.5% formic acid and 5% acetonitrile) and separated on a Higgins Analytical strong cation exchange (SCX) column (PL-SCX 1000 Å 5 $\mu$m 20 × 2.1 mm column, Higgins Analytical, Rengstorff, CA, USA) equilibrated in buffer A and connected to an Ettan LC system (GE Healthcare, Wauwatosa, WI, USA). The peptides were eluted using a 100 $\mu$L/min flow rate and a 1% B/min linear gradient from buffer A to buffer B (buffer B containing 1 M NaCl). The 15 collected fractions were lyophilized, redissolved in 100 $\mu$L 0.1% formic acid, and desalted using POROS 50 R2 material packed in GELoader tips. The desalted samples were lyophilized and resuspended in 12 $\mu$L 0.1% formic acid and stored at $-20\,^\circ C$.

LC–MS/MS Analysis

LC–MS/MS analyses were performed on an EASY-nLC II system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) connected to a TripleTOF 5600 mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) equipped with a NanoSpray III source (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and operated under Analyst TF 1.6.0 control. The CNBr and trypsin cleaved samples were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid, injected and trapped on a Biosphere C18 column (5 $\mu$m, 2 cm × 100 $\mu$m i.d.) Nano Separations, Nieuwkoop, Netherlands). Next, the peptides were eluted from the trap column and separated on a 15 cm analytical column (75 $\mu$m i.d.) packed-in-house in a fritted silica tip (New Objectives, Woburn, MA, USA) with RP ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3 $\mu$m resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) connected in-line to the mass spectrometer. Peptides were eluted at a flow rate of 250 $\mu$L/min using a 50 min gradient from 3% to 35% phase B (0.1% formic acid and 90% acetonitrile). The acquisition method used for the area-based extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) quantitation method was set up as an information-dependent acquisition (IDA) experiment collecting up to 25 MS/MS spectra in each 1.6 s cycle using an exclusion window of 6 s. For iTRAQ experiments, the IDA settings were changed to 50 MS/MS per cycle and a 2.8 s cycle time. Two iTRAQ experiments were performed on each 4plex with iTRAQ collision energy adjustment either enabled or disabled.

Quantitation Based on Areas of the Extracted Ion Chromatograms (XIC)

All MS files were processed using Skyline v. 2.1.0.4936 (MacCoss Lab, University of Washington). The MS files were converted to Mascot generic format (MGF) using the AB SCIEX MS Data Converter beta 1.3 (AB SCIEX) and the “proteinpeptide MGF” parameters. The peak lists were used to interrogate the Swiss-Prot (v. 2013_11, 541,762 sequences) database using Mascot J. Proteome Res. and connected to an Ettan LC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 37 $^\circ C$ for 16 h at room temperature and connected to a TripleTOF 5600 mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and operated under Analyst TF 1.6.0 control. The CNBr and trypsin cleaved samples were dissolved in 0.1% formic acid, injected and trapped on a Biosphere C18 column (5 $\mu$m, 2 cm × 100 $\mu$m i.d.) Nano Separations, Nieuwkoop, Netherlands). Next, the peptides were eluted from the trap column and separated on a 15 cm analytical column (75 $\mu$m i.d.) packed-in-house in a fritted silica tip (New Objectives, Woburn, MA, USA) with RP ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3 $\mu$m resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) connected in-line to the mass spectrometer. Peptides were eluted at a flow rate of 250 $\mu$L/min using a 50 min gradient from 3% to 35% phase B (0.1% formic acid and 90% acetonitrile). The acquisition method used for the area-based extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) quantitation method was set up as an information-dependent acquisition (IDA) experiment collecting up to 25 MS/MS spectra in each 1.6 s cycle using an exclusion window of 6 s. For iTRAQ experiments, the IDA settings were changed to 50 MS/MS per cycle and a 2.8 s cycle time. Two iTRAQ experiments were performed on each 4plex with iTRAQ collision energy adjustment either enabled or disabled.

Homo sapiens (20,279 sequences) database using Mascot 2.3.024 (Matrix science, London, U.K.). Trypsin was selected as the digestion enzyme allowing one missed cleavage. Carbamidomethyl was entered as a fixed modification, whereas hydroxylation of proline residues was allowed as variable modification. The data were searched with a mass tolerance of the precursor and product ions of 10 ppm and 0.2 Da using ESI-QUAD-TOF as the instrument setting. The significance threshold (p) was set at 0.01, and the ion score expect cutoff at 0.005. Mascot dat result files were used to generate a spectral library in Skyline using the human sequences from Swiss-Prot as background proteome. Employing the same parameters as for the Mascot search, the three most abundant peptides for each protein were manually chosen from all peptides available in the spectral library except methionine-containing peptides. Proteins identified with less than 3 peptides were not included in XIC. In addition, only peptides quantified with an idtop value of ≥90 were included for area-based XIC quantification. This label-free quantification protocol relied on the average MS...
The relative abundance of the proteins was calculated as the average MS intensity (area) for the three most intense peptides from each protein divided by the total sum of the average signal (area) for all quantified proteins. Average ratios and standard deviations were calculated based on the biological replicates, and only proteins quantified in a minimum of half of the biological replicates are reported.

**Table 1. Relative Protein Abundances in Control and FECD Tissue**

| Control tissue | %       | FECD tissue | %       |
|----------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Transforming growth factor-beta-induced protein ig-h3 | 38.6 ± 10.0 | Transforming growth factor-beta-induced protein ig-h3 | 49.5 ± 8.8 |
| Collagen alpha-3(IV) chain | 9.9 ± 1.8 | Collagen alpha-2(VIII) chain | 10.7 ± 5.0 |
| Collagen alpha-2(VIII) chain | 9.1 ± 3.6 | Collagen alpha-3(IV) chain | 9.3 ± 2.7 |
| Collagen alpha-2(I) chain | 8.1 ± 11.7 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 2.7 ± 1.1 |
| Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 2.6 ± 0.9 | Clusterin | 2.6 ± 0.6 |
| Collagen alpha-4(IV) chain | 2.5 ± 2.1 | Ig kappa chain C region | 1.5 ± 0.4 |
| Keratin, type I (II) chain | 1.9 ± 1.6 | Fibulin-5 | 1.5 ± 0.2 |
| Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 | 1.8 ± 0.8 | Prolactin-inducible protein | 1.4 ± 1.7 |
| Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 | 1.7 ± 0.6 | Apolipoprotein D | 1.3 ± 0.2 |
| Hemoglobin subunit beta | 1.7 ± 1.5 | Serine protease HTRA1 | 1.3 ± 0.6 |
| Fibulin-1 | 1.6 ± 0.9 | Collagen alpha-2(I) chain | 1.3 ± 0.7 |
| Collagen alpha-5(IV) chain | 1.5 ± 0.6 | Collagen alpha-5(IV) chain | 1.2 ± 0.2 |
| Collagen alpha-6(IV) chain | 1.5 ± 2.1 | Sushi repeat-containing protein SRPX2 | 1.2 ± 0.8 |
| Collagen alpha-1(I) chain | 1.3 ± 0.7 | Collagen alpha-1(V) chain | 1.2 ± 1.0 |
| Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 9 | 1.3 ± 0.8 | Collagen alpha-4(IV) chain | 1.1 ± 0.3 |
| Sushi repeat-containing protein SRPX2 | 1.2 ± 1.0 | Keratin | 1.0 ± 0.6 |
| Thrombospondin-1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 2 epidermal | 0.8 ± 0.2 |
| Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 2 epidermal | 0.8 ± 0.3 | Laminin subunit beta-1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| C-type lectin domain family 11 member A | 0.8 ± 0.3 | Thrombospondin-1 | 0.8 ± 0.4 |
| Collagen alpha-2(V) chain | 0.8 ± 0.8 | Collagen alpha-2(V) chain | 0.8 ± 0.2 |
| Collagen alpha-4(V) chain | 0.7 ± 0.5 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.7 ± 0.3 |
| Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 10 | 0.6 ± 0.3 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.6 ± 0.3 |
| Myocilin | 0.7 ± 0.3 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.6 ± 0.2 |
| Collagen alpha-6(V) chain | 0.7 ± 0.3 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.2 |
| Collagen alpha-1(III) chain | 0.7 ± 0.2 | Collagen alpha-1(II) chain | 0.4 ± 0.2 |
| Basement membrane-specific heparan sulfate proteoglycan core protein | 0.6 ± 0.2 | Myocilin | 0.4 ± 0.2 |
| Prostaglandin-H2 D-isomerase | 0.6 ± 0.4 | Collagen alpha-6(V) chain | 0.6 ± 0.2 |
| Collagen alpha-2(V) chain | 0.5 ± 0.2 | Fibulin-1 | 0.6 ± 0.2 |
| Serum albumin | 0.5 ± 0.2 | Collagen alpha-2(V) chain | 0.5 ± 0.2 |
| Laminin subunit alpha-5 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Laminin subunit beta-5 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Lysyl oxidase homologue 4 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Collagen alpha-3(VI) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Collagen alpha-6(VI) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| EMILIN-1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Nidogen-1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Laminin subunit gamma-1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Alpha-1-antitrypsin | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| Agrin | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Collagen alpha-1(VIII) chain | 0.5 ± 0.1 |

*The relative abundance of the proteins was calculated as the average MS intensity (area) for the three most intense peptides from each protein divided by the total sum of the average signal (area) for all quantified proteins. Average ratios and standard deviations were calculated based on the biological replicates, and only proteins quantified in a minimum of half of the biological replicates are reported.*
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proteins between the FECD and control groups. The Student’s t test and average protein amounts were calculated only on proteins quantified in a minimum of six patients in the FECD group and a minimum of three individuals in the control group.

**iTRAQ Quantification**

The collected iTRAQ MS files were converted to Mascot generic format (MGF) using the AB SCIEX MS Data Converter beta 1.3 (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and the “proteinpilot MGF” parameters. Each peak list for the two iTRAQ experiments with different collision settings were merged and searched against the Swiss-Prot (version 2013_11) Homo sapiens (20,279 sequences) database using Mascot 2.3.02 (Matrix Science, London, UK). Trypsin was employed as digestion enzyme allowing one missed cleavage. Carbamidomethyl was entered as a fixed modification, hydroxylation of proline was entered as a variable modification, and all methionine-containing peptides were ignored. The mass tolerance of the precursor and product ions were 10 ppm and 0.2 Da, the instrument setting was specified as ESI-QUAD-TOF, and the iTRAQ 4plex protocol was selected as quantitation method. The significance threshold (p) was set at 0.01, the ion score expect cutoff at 0.005, and all iTRAQ ratios were normalized based on summed intensities. Mascot iTRAQ results were parsed using MS Data Miner v. 1.2.2, and only proteins quantified with a minimum of 3 unique peptides were used for further analysis. To find significantly regulated proteins, a Student’s t test with a significant threshold of 0.05 was performed for all proteins quantified in more than half of the biological replicates from each group (FECD and Controls) (Supplementary Table 2).

**RESULTS**

**Relative Protein Abundances**

Area-based XIC label-free quantification was used to obtain information about the relative protein abundances in the patient and control samples. The area-based XIC label-free quantification method relies on the average XIC area of the three most intense peptides from a given protein identified in a given MS analysis. For the area-based XIC quantification, we used direct LC−MS/MS analysis of in-solution digests of all biological replicates. For each biological replicate, four technical MS replicates were performed to account for technical variance. In total, 56 LC−MS/MS analyses were performed in the area-based XIC part of the present study. The relative protein abundance of the individual proteins in the individual LC−MS/MS analysis was calculated as the average intensity (area) of the three most intense peptides representing the protein, relative to the total sum of the average signal (area) for all quantified proteins in the sample. Protein averages across all biological replicates within the FECD group and control group were calculated from proteins quantified in at least six of FECD samples and three control samples. This resulted in 51 area-based XIC quantified proteins derived from the FECD group and 48 proteins from the control group (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The quantified proteins in the two groups were similar in composition, and only 7 proteins were unique to the FECD group and 4 proteins were unique to the
control group (Table 1). However, except for periostin in the control group and olfactomedin-like protein 1 in the FECD group, all remaining proteins quantified only either in the FECD group (6 proteins) or in the control group (3 proteins) were identified in the majority of the biological replicates (>2/4) of their respective counter group. Therefore, all quantified proteins unique to one of the tissues most likely do not represent an on/off regulation.

Furthermore, 10 of the quantified proteins were significantly regulated (p < 0.05): agrin, apolipoprotein D, and keratocan were up-regulated, and the collagen alpha-1(III) chain, collagen alpha-1 (III) chain, collagen alpha-4(IV) chain, and fibrillin-1 were down-regulated in FECD tissue. In addition, although cytokeratin data must be interpreted with caution, the keratins type II cytoskeletal 1, keratin type I cytoskeletal 9 and 10 were significantly down-regulated in FECD tissue. Identification of endogenous cytokeratins by the area-based XIC analysis, such as cytokeratins 1, 9, and 10 (Table 1) and cytokeratin 10 found to be regulated in the iTRAQ analysis (Table 2), are often difficult to differentiate from exogenous contaminating keratins in MS analyses. According to our previous study of the human cornea, cytokeratin 1 is most likely a confident endogenous cytokeratin, whereas it is uncertain whether cytokeratins 9 and 10 are endogenous.22

**Protein Regulations**

The level of protein regulation was subsequently interrogated further by the sensitive and complementary iTRAQ approach (Figure 1). Using this method, we identified 421 proteins of which 14 were up-regulated and 8 were down-regulated (Table 2 and Supplementary 2). Noteworthy, 13 of the regulated proteins in the iTRAQ analysis were among the most abundant proteins in the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer in the area-based XIC analysis, showing similar trends in regulation as in the iTRAQ analysis (Table 2, marked in bold). In total, the area-based XIC and iTRAQ analyses resulted in 26 significant protein regulations (p < 0.05) in FECD tissue of which 6 proteins were regulated in both analyses with similar trends. A subsequent MS Data Miner Gene Ontology (GO) term analysis of the 26 regulated proteins revealed that 22 of the proteins were associated with the extracellular matrix (ECM) and 6 were located intracellularly (2 proteins were found in both locations). Thus, both the area-based XIC and the iTRAQ-based analyses strongly support that the ECM is compromised in late-onset FECD.

**DISCUSSION**

Several genes have been associated with FECD; however, the molecular pathology leading to this disease remains unclear. FECD is characterized by pronounced morphological changes of the ECM including thickening of Descemet’s membrane as well as guttae formation. Furthermore, mutations in the collagen-alpha-2 (VIII) encoding gene (COL8A2) are implicated in the early onset variant of FECD, altogether suggesting that the ECM homeostasis of Descemet’s membrane is being compromised. To investigate these pronounced morphological changes at the protein level, we performed two quantitative MS-based analyses using an area-based XIC quantification method primarily to obtain the amount of the most abundant proteins in the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer of healthy and diseased tissue, followed by an iTRAQ-based method to gain information about the relative protein regulations between FECD and control samples (Figure 1).

**Level of Type VIII Collagen Remains Unchanged in the Common Late-Onset Form of FECD**

The Descemet’s membrane is morphologically characterized by an anterior banded layer (ABL) lining the corneal stroma followed by a posterior nonbanded layer (PNBL) lining the endothelium.27 In late-onset FECD, a posterior banded layer (PBL) between the PNBL and the endothelium appears. This results in thickening of Descemet’s membrane and has been suggested to arise from increased type VIII collagen synthesis by the endothelium.18,19 The area-based XIC quantification of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer revealed that collagen accounted for approximately 40% in the control tissue and approximately 30% in FECD tissue of the total protein content (Table 1). Type VIII collagen, the major collagen type present in the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer, constituted approximately 12% and 13% (both alpha chains) in controls and FECD, respectively. The abundance of type VIII collagen emphasizes that a mutation in this protein is likely to influence the integrity of the Descemet’s membrane as seen for early-onset FECD.22 However, contrary to previous assumptions our data do not support an increased level of type VIII collagen as the area-based XIC quantitative data showed close to equal relative amounts in the FECD and control tissues. This was supported by the iTRAQ data in which no significant difference in type VIII collagen levels were observed between FECD and control samples (Table 2). Thus, our data suggest that factors other than the type VIII collagen amount are responsible for the morphological changes observed in FECD.

**TGFBIp Accumulates in FECD**

The formation of projections of Descemet’s membrane is not unique for FECD as guttae-like projections also are observed with aging and in other diseases of the endothelium. Contrary to guttae formation in FECD that is normally located in the central cornea, age-related guttae-like projections are a phenomenon often associated with the peripheral cornea and do not interfere with endothelial function causing corneal cloudiness.1 Accumulation of transforming growth factor beta-induced protein (TGFBIp) and clusterin has previously been associated with FECD guttae.20 The area-based XIC data showed a trend toward higher TGFBIp levels in FECD patients when compared to controls (Table 1), and both TGFBIp and clusterin were significantly up-regulated according to the iTRAQ data (Table 2). Mutations in TGFBIp are the leading cause of granular and lattice corneal dystrophies,1 affecting the corneal stroma by pronounced protein accumulations. However, to date no genetic link has been made between TGFBIp and FECD. Nevertheless, co-localization of the multifunctional protein clusterin, which is known for its chaperone property,28 may indicate that a TGFBIp-related misfolding event occurs in guttae and that these microstructures serve as an “extracellular protein junkyard” in times of ECM stress.

**Compromised Organization of the Laminin/Type IV Collagen Network in FECD**

Of the 26 significantly regulated proteins (Table 2), 17 are within the most abundant proteins quantified by the area-based XIC analysis, of which 14 are ECM proteins (Table 1). The regulation of many abundant ECM proteins strongly suggests that FECD influences ECM homeostasis. Among the
significantly regulated proteins (Table 2) is a group of proteins that is of particular interest, since they all are related to basement membrane assembly and/or ECM organization. The group includes agrin, a heparan sulfate proteoglycan found in the basal lamina that is up-regulated in our study. It mediates binding of the laminin network to the type IV collagen network in the basement membrane.39 During the area-based XIC analysis we quantified the laminin subunits beta-1, alpha-5, and gamma-1 and the collagen type IV alpha-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, and -6 chains of which the alpha-4 (IV) chain was significantly down-regulated in the FECD group. In addition, we also found collagen alpha-3 (VI) to be up-regulated in FECD tissue (Table 2). Type VI collagen is found in many tissues and is believed to be important for anchoring the type IV collagen network to epithelial cells.30,31 Consequently, the regulation of agrin and type VI collagen may influence the organization of the laminin network/type IV collagen networks in Descemet’s membrane.

Proteins Associated with ECM Collagen Organization Are Regulated in FECD

Matrilin-3 was likewise up-regulated in FECD tissue. Members of the matrilin family are involved in formation of fibrillar structures and mediate the interaction between collagen fibrils and other ECM components.32 In cartilage, matrils modulate collagen fibrillogenesis, which in turn affects the ECM cartilage ultrastructure.33 Furthermore, several mutations in matrilin-3 affect ECM assembly, thereby compromising ECM ultrastructure.34 Hence, regulation of matrilin-3 may disturb collagen fibrillogenesis in Descemet’s membrane leading to a change in ECM arrangement. Decorin, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan found to be up-regulated in our data, mediates contact between matrilin-3 and type VI collagen microfibrils in cartilage.35 Moreover, decorin has been shown to interact with type XII collagen,36 which we also quantified as one of the most abundant proteins in Descemet’s membrane (Table 1). Type I collagen, which is down-regulated in the iTRAQ analysis, likewise interact with type XII collagen. In addition, we have previously shown that a significant fraction of corneal TGFβ1 is covalently associated with type XII collagen.37 Thus, both decorin, type I collagen, and TGFβ1 may cause structural changes of the collagen scaffold in the FECD Descemet’s membrane by interacting with type XII collagen. Two other small leucine-rich proteoglycans were identified in this study, keratocan and biglycan, of which keratocan was up-regulated, and both proteins are among the most abundant proteins in Descemet’s membrane according to our area-based XIC quantification (biglycan was only quantified in the control group). As for decorin, both keratocan and biglycan are important for proper ECM arrangement.38 This is evident in that keratocan knockout mice display an altered ultrastructure of the corneal stroma compared to WT mice.39

Of the proteins found to be down-regulated in the iTRAQ analysis, fibrillin-1 and collagen alpha 1 and 2(1) have been suggested to affect central corneal thickness,40 and it is likely that they are implicated in ECM modulation of Descemet’s membrane in FECD.

Conclusion

In the present study, we compared the protein profiles of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer from FECD patients and non-FECD individuals. Of the identified 26 significantly regulated proteins 17 were among the most abundant proteins of the Descemet’s membrane/endothelial layer. Levels of type VIII collagen were unaltered, whereas proteins involved in ECM organization and cell anchoring were affected. We did not identify the presumed intracellular suspects including TCF-4, TCF-8, and SLC4A11 or certain gene products suggested to be associated with late-onset FECD.11–13 This does not rule out the hypothesis that these proteins may play a role during the pathological progression of FECD as the dynamic range of the protein expression in Descemet’s membrane is likely to prevent the identification of low-abundance proteins by MS analysis. Taken together, since the regulated proteins are associated with ECM organization and cell adhesion, our data support that ECM homeostasis is compromised and that organization of the ECM collagen fibrils is affected in FECD.

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository41 with the data set identifier PXD000746.
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