Antibacterial Activity and Preliminary Phytochemical Screening of Endophytic Fungal Extract of *Rauvolfia serpentina*
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Abstract: Endophytic fungi isolated from *Rauvolfia serpentina*, a well known Indian medicinal plant, is used in Ayurveda for treatment of many diseases. Isolated endophytes were screened for their antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria. Twenty fungal isolates were recovered from different parts of the host plant and they were characterized for their morphological features through Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and on the basis of observations they were grouped in eight genus as *Fusarium sp.*, *Phomopsis sp.*, *Colletotrichum sp.*, *Cladosporium sp.*, *Aspergillus sp.*, *Xylaria sp.*, *Alternaria sp.* and *Gleomastix sp.* The secret of the fungal endophytes of this medicinal plant was revealed by the evaluation of the extract against the target bacteria. The extracts of four fungal isolates *Colletotrichum sp.* (Rs-R5), *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-R1), (Rs-R7) and *Cladosporium sp.* (Rs-S4) among twenty isolates were found effective against human pathogenic bacterial strains *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), Gram negative bacteria and *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323), Gram positive bacteria. Ethyl acetate extract of active fungal isolate (*Colletotrichum sp.*; Rs-R 5) was most effective than other extract, with maximum inhibition zone 16 mm and 14 mm and minimum MIC 25µg/ml and 36.5µg/ml against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

*Rauvolfia serpentina* (family *Apocynaceae*) is a well known medicinal plant used as anti-hypertensive remedy [1, 2]. In Ayurvedic literature, the powdered root of this plant has been used for the treatment of snake bites, feverish illnesses and mental illness from ancient time [1]. It is also known for its antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antiproliferative, anticancerous, antiuretic, anti fibrillar, antiarrhythmic, anticholinergic, antidysentry, anti diarrhoeal, antihypotensive, anticontractile, sympathomimetic and tranquillizing activity in many research work of modern time [3 - 8]. It is also an important medicinal plant in the pharmaceutical world due to the presence of its immense therapeutic properties for curing various disorders because of the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, glycosides, phlobatannins, phenols, resins, saponins, sterols, tannins and terpenes [9]. The major alkaloids present are ajmaline, ajmalicine, ajmalimine, deserpidine, indobine, indobinine, reserpine, reserpilene, rescinnamine, rescinnamidine, serpentine, serpentinine and yohimbine [10].

Alkaloids in their pure form and also their synthetic derivatives are useful for their medicinal application as an analgesic, antispasmodic and bactericidal effects [11, 12]. Reserpine is most prominent alkaloid with sedative and antihypertensive activities which are used as tranquillizer and in control of high blood pressure and also most prominent ajmaline with antiarrhythmic activity [13]. Such valuable medicinal plants are likely to be threatened due to their over...
exploitation for therapeutic uses, so the study of endophytes of this plant may provide a good alternative source for the unique secondary metabolites.

Endophytes are reported to produce bioactive molecules which were originally reported from their host medicinal plant. This concept was established after the isolation of potent anticancer molecule taxol from Taxomyces andreanae an endophyte isolated from host plant Taxus brevifolia. This is for the first time an endophytic fungus was recognized as an alternate source of taxol, initially Taxus brevifolia was the only source of taxol [14]. Similarly some other chemicals like camptothecin, podophyllotoxin, hypericin and piperine were isolated from endophytes other than the host plant [15 - 18].

Endophytes are ubiquitous, endosymbiont, often a bacterium or fungus which survive in the various living tissues (especially leaves, stems, roots) of plants without any harmful effects to the host plants [19]. These microorganisms colonize in the intercellular and intracellular region of the host plant and the population of certain endophytic species ranges from several to a few hundred strains [20, 21]. Endophytes have been reported for their significant application in medicine, agriculture and biofuels. Selected endophytes play an important role in the host defense mechanism also [22].

Bioactive metabolites isolated from endophytes have a broad spectrum of biological activities such as antimicrobial, antiviral and anticancer [23, 24]. The great expectation of patients over modern medicine and more awareness about side effects of synthetic drugs had made the pharmaceutical industries to turn towards herbal drugs but it causes huge exploitation of valuable medicinal plants and massive depletion of biodiversity. These possibilities exist for those who desire to venture into the wild and unexplored territories of the world to experience the excitement and thrill of being engaged in the discovery of endophytes, their biology, and their potential usefulness [21]. In this study the plant was screened for its endophytic fungi and also fungal extracts were evaluated for antibacterial activities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Four healthy medicinal plants of R. serpentina were collected randomly from different sites near Varanasi (25.5°N, 82.9°E; elevation, 279ft per 85m) during rainy season (July-August). Healthy tissues (leaves, roots and stem) were collected and the ends were trimmed then sealed with parafilm™ and kept in the sterile polythene bags. All the samples were brought to the laboratory in an icebox and used to screen for endophytic fungi within 48 h of collection.

Surface Sterilization of Plant Samples

The roots, stems and leaves were cut into small pieces (1.0 x1.0 cm) with sterile pinch cutter and these samples were initially surface treated to eliminate the epiphytic microorganisms. The samples were immersed in 70% ethanol for 1-3 min and then in aqueous sodium hypochlorite (4% available chlorine) for 3-5 min and then rinsed in 70% ethanol for nearly 30s, before a final rinse in sterilized double distilled water and after that each sample was dried under aseptic conditions. Streptomycin (100µg/ml) was used in potato dextrose agar media to control the bacterial contamination according to the method described by Hallman et al. [25]. Four segments of tissue sample were placed on each Petri plate of culture media. The parafilm™ sealed Petri dishes were then incubated in a Biochemical oxygen demand incubator for 25 days at 12 h light/dark cycle at 27±2°C. The plates were examined on alternate days, and hyphal tips of actively growing fungi were then subcultured.

Identification of the Endophytic Fungal Strains

The structure of conidia and hypae was studied by colony morphology and under Light microscope, (Manual of soil fungi Gilman et al. 1945; Bennert et al. 1998; Ellis 1971 & 1978; Vanarx 1978; Raper & Thom 1949) [26 - 29] and also by Scanning Electron Microscopy (at CSIR-IITR, Lucknow). The SEM (standard lab manual) squares or discis with about 0.5 cm of mycelia of a fungus were immersed in a microcentrifuge tube with fixative solution 2.5% glutaraldehyde and kept for 24 hr in a refrigerator. Then the specimens were washed in cacodylate buffer (three times, for 10 mins each wash). Now, post fix in 1% osmium tetroxide aqueous solution in water for overnight at room temperature. Rinse three times in distilled water, followed by dehydration in crescent series of acetone solutions (25%, 50%, 75%, 90%, and 100%, once for concentration up to 90% and twice for the 100% concentration) for 10 mins each. The samples were transferred to a critical point dryer to complete the drying process with carbon dioxide as a transition fluid. The specimen obtained was mounted on aluminum stub, with a double sided-stick carbon tape pasted on a film of aluminium foil, coated with gold in a sputter. Samples were then observed in SEM.
Fermentation and Extraction

The grown cultures of endophytic fungus were inoculated into Potato Dextrose Broth and kept for 15 days at 27°C at 150 rpm in BOD shaker incubator. After 15 days, the crude fermentated broth was filtered and fungal metabolites were extracted by solvent extraction method. Equal volume of methanol and filtrate was taken in the separating funnel and shaken vigorously for 10 min [30]. The separated fungal mycelia were thoroughly homogenized with methanol and were maintained for 48 hours in methanol. After this the methanol was centrifuged and the supernatant was collected and 98% concentrated under vacuum evaporator [31]. The extract was then dissolved in 1% DMSO.

Antibacterial Assay

Endophytic fungal extracts were screened for their antibacterial activity by disc diffusion plate method. All the test strains of bacteria were sub-cultured and maintained in nutrient agar media. Streptomycin (0.1μg/ml) was used to compare the antibacterial activity in fungal extract through disc diffusion method as described by Devi et al. [32]

Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of Fungal Extract

The stock solution of extract of 1mg/ml concentration was prepared and by serial dilutions (10 folds) various concentrations (10-100μg/ml) were maintained to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values. The MIC value of endophytic fungal extracts was determined for Gram negative bacteria E. coli (ATCC 25922) and Gram positive bacteria S. aureus (ATCC 25323). The MIC values of fungal extracts were comparable to the MIC values of standard drugs as determined by a disc diffusion method according to the CLSI guidelines [33]. The concentration of both E. coli and S. aureus was 10^6 CFU using a MacFarland standard and spread on Mueller–Hinton agar media. The disc of 6 mm was loaded with the extract and transferred on Mueller-Hinton agar medium at 37°C. MIC of the extract was determined after 48 h of incubation. The MIC value was considered as the lowest extract concentration with no visible growth.

Preliminary Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

Alkaloids

The fungal crude extract was evaporated to dryness in a boiling water bath. The residue was dissolved in 2N HCl. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was divided into 3 equal portions. Each portion was treated with a few drops of Mayers reagent, equal amount of Dragondroffs reagent and Wagners reagent respectively. The orange-brown precipitate indicated the existence of alkaloids [34].

Flavonoids

One ml aliquot of extract was mixed with 4 ml deionized water and 0.3 ml of 5% NaNO₂ was added. The mixture was allowed to react for 5 minutes. Following this, 0.2 ml of 10% AlCl₃ was added and the mixture stood for further 5 min. Finally to the reaction mixture 2 ml of 1M Na₂CO₃ and 2.5 ml deionized water were added. Yellow precipitate indicates the presence of flavonoids [35].

Polyphenols

Polyphenols presence was determined by spectrophotometric Folin-Ciocalteau method. 0.2 ml of extract, 1.8 ml of deionized water was mixed and then 10 ml of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent and 8 ml of 7.5% sodium carbonate were added. The mixture was heated in water bath at 45°C for 15 minutes. Intense blue color indicates the presence of polyphenols [36].

Tannins

The fungal crude extract was treated with alcoholic FeCl₃ reagent. A bluish black colour, which disappears on addition of a little dilute H₂SO₄ was followed by the formation of yellowish brown precipitate [37].

Steroids

The crude extract was taken in a test tube and dissolved with chloroform, and then an equal volume of concentrate sulphuric acid to the test tube. The upper layer in the test tube turned to red and lower layer showed yellow color with green fluorescence. It indicates the presence of steroids [37].
Saponins

The presence of saponins was verified by frothing test. The crude extract was vigorously shaken with distilled water and allowed to stand for 10 min. Froth indicate the presence of saponins and no froth indicate the absence of saponins [38].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rauvolfia serpentina was selected on the basis of ethanobotanical history and their importance in Indian medicine system Ayurveda [39]. R. serpentina has been a widespread field for study due to its various phytochemical compounds that were used in herbal medicine as a potential source of valuable drugs for the treatment of numerous diseases [40]. Total 20 promising endophytic fungal isolates were recovered during rainy season from R. serpentina (Table 1). Out of 20 isolates, 9 isolates were recovered from stem, 7 from leaf and 4 from root. The maximum 54% endophytic fungal strains were isolated from stem tissue, 26% from leaf tissue and 20% from root tissue of R. serpentina (Fig. 1). Distribution of endophytic fungi in different tissues of R. serpentina had been reported in which Trichoderma, Nigrospora and Curvularia were dominant [41]. The identification of these isolated strains was based on colony morphology through light microscope. The fungal strains were identified and classified in eight genus as Fusarium sp. (Rs-R1, Rs-S8, Rs-L1, Rs-S7), Colletotrichum sp. (Rs-R5,Rs-S5), Xylaria sp. (Rs-L4, Rs-S3), Phomopsis sp. (Rs-R7B, Rs-L9), Alternaria sp. (Rs-L8, Rs-S6, Rs-S1, Rs-S11), Gleomastix sp. (Rs-L5, Rs-S2), Cladosporium sp. (Rs-S 4,Rs-R 2A), and Aspergillus sp. (Rs-L3A, Rs-L 6) (Table 2). The term “isolates” were used in this study for fungi isolated from different part of R. serpentina, showing similar genera characters of colony having morphological dissimilarity in spores (Table 2). These isolates were fermented using potato dextrose broth medium and extracted for secondary metabolites using ethyl acetate. The extracts were studied for antibacterial activity. Similar or its derivative bioactive compound may be produce by endophytes as found in their hosts and they offer opportunities for drug discovery [42].

Table 1. Endophytic fungi isolates recovered from leaf, stem and root of R. serpentina.

| Fungal group    | Sample tissue from R. serpentina | Number of Isolates |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Fusarium sp.    | Leaf                             | 1                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 2                  |
|                 | Root                             | 1                  |
|                 | Total                            | 4                  |
| Phomopsis sp.   | Leaf                             | 1                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 1                  |
|                 | Root                             | 2                  |
| Colletotrichum sp.| Leaf                          | -                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 1                  |
|                 | Root                             | 1                  |
|                 | Total                            | 2                  |
| Cladosporium sp.| Leaf                             | -                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 1                  |
|                 | Root                             | 1                  |
|                 | Total                            | 2                  |
| Aspergillus sp. | Leaf                             | 2                  |
|                 | Stem                             | -                  |
|                 | Root                             | -                  |
|                 | Total                            | 2                  |
| Xylaria sp.     | Leaf                             | 1                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 1                  |
|                 | Root                             | -                  |
|                 | Total                            | 2                  |
| Alternaria sp.  | Leaf                             | 1                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 3                  |
|                 | Root                             | -                  |
|                 | Total                            | 4                  |
| Gleomastix sp.  | Leaf                             | 1                  |
|                 | Stem                             | 1                  |
|                 | Root                             | -                  |
|                 | Total                            | 2                  |
| Total           |                                  | 7                  |
|                 |                                  | 9                  |
|                 |                                  | 4                  |
|                 |                                  | 20                 |

Fig. (1). Distribution of endophytic strains in R.serpentina.
Table 2. Antibacterial activity of fungal extract against gram negative *E. coli* and Gram positive *S. aureus*.

| S.No. | Isolates | Fungal group | E. coli | S. aureus |
|-------|----------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| 1.    | Rs-R 1   | *Fusarium sp.* | +++     | ++        |
| 2.    | Rs-S 8   | -            | -       | -         |
| 3.    | Rs-L 1   | -            | -       | -         |
| 4.    | Rs-S7    | +            | +       | +         |
| 5.    | Rs-R 5   | *Colletotrichum sp.* | +++     | +++       |
| 6.    | Rs-S 5   | -            | -       | -         |
| 7.    | Rs-L 4   | *Xylaria sp.* | -       | -         |
| 8.    | Rs-S3    | -            | -       | -         |
| 9.    | Rs-R 7B  | *Phomopsis sp.* | -       | -         |
| 10.   | Rs-L 9   | -            | -       | -         |
| 11.   | Rs-S 4   | *Cladosporium sp.* | ++       | ++        |
| 12.   | Rs-R 2A  | -            | -       | -         |
| 13.   | Rs-L 8   | *Alternaria sp.* | -       | -         |
| 14.   | Rs-S 6   | -            | -       | -         |
| 15.   | Rs-S 1   | -            | -       | -         |
| 16.   | Rs-S 11  | -            | -       | -         |
| 17.   | Rs-L 5   | *Gleomastix sp.* | -       | -         |
| 18.   | Rs-S2    | -            | -       | -         |
| 19.   | Rs-L 3A  | *Aspergillus sp.* | -       | -         |
| 20.   | Rs-L 6   | -            | -       | -         |

R, root; S, stem; L, leaf; +, Zone of inhibition ranged between 7 to 12 mm; ++, Zone of inhibition ranged between 13 to 19 mm; ++++, zone of inhibition greater than 20 mm; –, No inhibition zone.

Antibacterial activity of methanolic fungal extract of all 20 isolates was evaluated against pathogenic bacterial strains. Out of 20 isolates only 4 isolates (Rs-R1, Rs-S4, Rs-R5 and Rs-S7) possesses antibacterial activity in which two fungal isolates (Rs-R1 and Rs-R5) showed more potency while other two isolates (Rs-S4 and Rs-S7) showed less activity against the bacterial strains (Table 2). Antibacterial activity was reported in various genus of endophytic fungus isolated from *R. serpentina* against *E. coli* and *S. aureus* [43]. Endophytic fungi *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Penicillium* sp. and *Aspergillus awamori*, isolated from *R. serpentina* had promising antibacterial, antifungal, hypocholesterolemic and antioxidant activity [44]. Primary Screening for secondary metabolites of these four antibacterial fungal strains revealed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, polyphenols, saponins and steroids but absence of tannins (Table 3). The crude extract obtained from the bioactive endophytes was subjected to the different column of organic solvents with increasing order of their polarities (as follows n- hexane, benzene, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol). Alkaloids were present in the chloroform and ethyl acetate fraction. Steroids were present in hexane fraction and ethyl acetate fraction. Polyphenols and flavonoids both were present in ethyl acetate and methanol. Saponins were present in ethyl acetate however tannins were absent in all the extracts. Secondary metabolites obtained from fungal endophytes have a broad spectrum of biological activities such as antimicrobial, antiviral, antioxidant and anticancer [23, 43, 24]. Phytochemicals like alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids and steroid were observed while saponins and tannins were absent in crude extract of Rs-R5. Alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids, steroids and saponins were present in Rs-R1 however tannins were absent. Rs-S4 crude extract marked the presence of alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids and steroid while saponins and tannins were absent. Alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids were present in the crude extract of *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-S7) but steroids and tannins were absent (Table 4). Fungal extract in Ethyl acetate and methanol was more effective against target bacterial strains than extract of other organic solvents. Ethyl acetate extract of *Colletotrichum sp.* (Rs-R5) had shown 14 mm inhibition zone against *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323) and 16 mm inhibition zone against *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) while other fungal extracts had less inhibition zone such as *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-R1) had 13 mm and 12 mm and *Cladosporium sp* (Rs-S4) 9 mm and 11 mm had inhibition zone against *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323) respectively. While *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-S7)showed 7 mm inhibition zone against *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and 6 mm inhibition zone against *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323) (Fig. 2). The MIC value of extract of *Colletotrichum sp.* (Rs-R5) was 25.0 µg /ml for *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and 35.5 µg /ml for *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323). The MIC value of extract of *Cladosporium sp* (Rs-S4) was 38.5 µg /ml and 50.5 µg /ml for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* respectively. While MIC value of extract of *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-R1) was 40.5 µg /ml and 60.0µg /ml for *E. coli*.
and S. aureus respectively. The MIC value of extract of Fusarium sp. (Rs-S7) was 65.5 µg/ml for E. coli and 70.0µg/ml for S. aureus (Table 5). Fresh bacterial broth cultures were prepared before screening procedure. The positive control was streptomycin (0.1µg/ml) against E.coli (ATCC 25922) and S. aureus (ATCC 25323) [7]. Ethyl acetate extract of endophytic fungi Fusarium sp. and Aspergillus sp. isolated from Withania somnifera were reported for significant inhibition against E.coli and S. aureus [45].

![Fig. (2)](image)

Fig. (2). Antibacterial bioassay by disc diffusion method. Inhibition zone of extracts. a Rs-R5, Rs-R1, Rs-S4, Rs-S7 on E. coli, b Rs-S4 and Rs-S7 on S.aureus, c Rs-R1 and Rs-R5 on S.aureus cultured plates.

Table 3. Primary Screening for secondary metabolites of endophytic fungi of R. serpentina.

| Fungal Species       | Alkaloids | Flavonoids | Polyphenols | Steroids | Saponins | Tannins |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|
| RS-R5 Colletotrichum sp. | +++       | ++         | +           | +        | -        | -       |
| RS-R1 Fusarium sp.   | ++        | +++        | ++          | -        | +        | -       |
| RS-S4 Cladosporium sp. | +         | +          | +           | +        | -        | -       |
| RS-S7 Fusarium sp.   | ++        | +          | +           | -        | +        | -       |

Phomopsidalasin, a secondary metabolite isolated from an endophytic Phomopsis sp., represents the first cytochalasin-type compound which exhibits antibacterial activity in disc diffusion assays against B. subtilis (12-mm zone of inhibition), S. aureus (8-mm zone of inhibition) and Salmonella entericaserovar Gallinarum (11-mm zone of inhibition). It also displays a moderate activity against the yeast Candida tropicalis (8-mm zone of inhibition) [46].

Table 4. Phytochemical screening of crude extract of active strains in different solvents.

| Fungal Strains | n-Hexane | Benzene | Chloroform | Ethyl acetate | Methanol |
|----------------|----------|---------|------------|---------------|----------|
| Rs-S4          | St       | -       | Al         | Al, Fl, Pl, St, Sp | Al, Fl, Pl, St |
| Rs-S7          | -        | -       | Al         | Al, Fl, Pl, Sp  | Fl, Pl   |
| Rs-R1          | St       | -       | Al         | Al, Fl, Pl, St, Sp | Fl, Pl   |
| Rs-R5          | St       | -       | Al         | Al, Fl, Pl, St  | Fl, Pl   |

Al: Alkaloids, Fl: Flavonoids, Pl: Polyphenols, St: Steroids and Sp: Saponins

The morphology of four active strains was further identified through Light microscope and Scanning Electron Microscope (Fig. 3). According to morphology of spores and hyphae, two antibacterial isolates were recognized as Fusarium sp. (Rs-R1) and (Rs-S7). Spores were septed, fusiform (half moon shaped) and pointed at tip (Figs. 3b, 3d). Conidiophores of Cladosporium sp. (Rs-S 4) were geniculate bearing terminal and intercalary swellings and produce two to four celled conidia (Fig. 3c). The cultures of Colletotrichum sp. was distinct with fast growing sparse aerial mycelium, white with copious cinnamon conidial masses, conidia usually elliptical and setae absent (Fig. 3a).
The results revealed that four out of twenty endophytic strains were significantly effective against target bacteria. Extract of *Fusarium* sp. (Rs-R1, Rs-S7), *Colletotrichum* sp. (Rs-R5) and *Cladosporium* sp. (Rs-S4) showed antibacterial activity (Table 2). These four endophytic fungi were selected for further study. However, the extract of one isolate *Colletotrichum* sp. showed relatively higher antibacterial activity in comparison to other test fungal strains against both the test bacteria. Extract of *Cladosporium* sp. (Rs-S4) had shown higher antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* (ATCC 25323) in comparison to Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* (ATCC 25922). RS-R5 was most effective against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, however, RS-R1 & RS-S4 were moderately effective and RS-S7 was less effective. These findings support the observations of other scientists regarding antibacterial potency of endophytic fungal strains. Our results suggest that the bioactive molecules from the extract of isolated endophytes may be a source of broad spectrum antibacterial molecules. The extract of endophytes of *R. serpentina* may be potent sources for antibacterial activity. This study shows that endophytic fungi are potent source of secondary metabolites. The combined knowledge of microbial biodiversity, bioprospecting rational and random mutagenesis knowledge will provide the necessary base and tools to identify and culture endophytes on an economically viable scale that produce novel potent antibiotics, organic acids, pharmaceutically active lead metabolites and other bioactive secondary metabolites [21, 47]. With utilization of modern techniques of fermentation, it is possible to harvest the antimicrobial

![Fig. (3). Morphology of fungal isolates having antibacterial activity.](image)

A, shows *Colletotrichum* sp., B. and D. shows *Fusarium* sp.; C. shows *Cladosporium* sp.
a1, b1,c1,d1 shows the PDA plate colony, a2,b2,c2,d2 shows the light microscopy images and a3,b3,c3,d3 shows SEM images.
metabolites in large quantities to prepare cost-effective antibiotics. So endophytes may be used as biological factory to produce bioactive compounds which are natural, inexpensive, safe, fast, reproducible, unlimited and weather/season independent.

Table 5. Inhibition zone & MIC of the ethyl acetate extract against *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

| S.No. | Fungal Isolates | Inhibition zone (mm) | MIC values (µg/ml) |
|-------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|       |                | *E. coli* | *S. aureus* | *E. coli* | *S. aureus* |
| 1.    | Rs-R5          | 16       | 14        | 25.0      | 36.5       |
| 2.    | Rs-R1          | 13       | 12        | 42.5      | 60.0       |
| 3.    | Rs-S4          | 9        | 11        | 38.5      | 51.5       |
| 4.    | Rs-S7          | 7        | 6         | 65.5      | 71.1       |

CONCLUSION

There are eight endophytic fungal genus isolated from *R. serpentina* as follows *Fusarium sp.*, *Alternaria sp.*, *Phomopsis sp.*, *Xylaria sp.*, *Gleomastix sp.*, *Aspergillus sp.*, *Cladosporium sp.* and *Colletotrichum sp.*. The study had shown 4 isolates out of 20 fungal isolates i.e. *Fusarium sp.* (Rs-R1, Rs-S7), *Cladosporium sp.* (Rs-R5) and *Colletotrichum sp.* (Rs-R5) had shown antibacterial activity. Inhibition zone and MIC was observed using the extract of ethyl acetate. The maximum inhibition zone (16 mm) and minimum MIC (25 µg/ml) was observed against *E. coli*. The ethyl acetate extract was found rich in secondary metabolites like alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids, steroids and saponins.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- **Rs-S**: Isolate of stem of *Rauvolfia serpentina*.
- **Rs-L**: Isolate of leaf of *Rauvolfia serpentina*.
- **Rs-R**: Isolate of root of *Rauvolfia serpentina*.
- **MIC**: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration.
- **ATCC**: American Type Culture Collection.
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