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Abstract: Let $C$ be a smooth (irreducible) curve of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ be the Veronese embedding and let $\mathcal{I}_C$ denote the homogeneous ideal of $C$ on $\mathbb{P}^5$. In this note we explicitly write down the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{I}_C$ for $d \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

In [L], the author has remarked, "It is very exceptional to be able to construct the whole resolution explicitly, let alone to be able to do so by hand!" This remark of Lazarsfeld motivated us to try to explicitly calculate whole resolutions of projective varieties.

In this paper I have explicitly calculated the whole resolutions of the Veronese embedding of plane curves. I look at the even and odd degree curves separately and get the explicit resolution for both.

Let $C$ be a smooth and irreducible projective curve and $L$ be an ample line bundle on $C$, generated by its global sections. Then $L$ determines a morphism

$$\Phi_L : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(L)) = \mathbb{P}^r$$

where $r = h^0(L) - 1$. Also we have that if $L$ is very ample, then $\Phi_L$ is an embedding.

Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the homogeneous ideal of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$ and $S$, homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective space, $\mathbb{P}^r$.
Let $R = S/I_C$, then the minimal graded free resolution of $R$ is the following exact sequence of free modules:

$$0 \to E_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} E_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} E_0 \to R \to 0 \ldots (A)$$

where each $E_i$ is a direct sum of twists of $S$, i.e.

$$E_i = \oplus_j S(-a_{ij}),$$

And the maps, $\alpha_i$’s in the above exact sequence are given by matrices of homogeneous forms and none of the entries in the above matrices are non-zero constants. Note that $E_0 = S$ and the image of $\alpha_1$ is the ideal of $S$, $I_C$.

In this note we look at $C$, a smooth(irreducible) curve of degree $d$ such that $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ (here $L$ is $O_C(1)$). Now because of the Veronese embedding, we get an embedding of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ which is nothing but the embedding of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ due to the very ample line bundle, $O_C(2)$. We explicitly calculate minimal free resolution of $I_C$ and in particular get the equations defining $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Most of the definitions in this note are from [A] and [H].

**Notations**

The **first syzygy module** is defined as the image of $\alpha_2$ in $E_1$ in the exact sequence (A) and is denoted by $\text{Syz}^1(I_C)$.

The $k^{th}$ **syzygy module** is defined inductively to be the module of syzygies of the $(k - 1)^{st}$ syzygy module. Hence we have the following inductive relation:

$$\text{Syz}^k(I_C) = \text{Syz}^1(\text{Syz}^{k-1}(I_C))$$

**2. Resolutions of Veronese Embedding**

Consider $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ such that for $p = (a_0, a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$,

$$\sigma(p) = (a_0^2, a_0a_1, a_0a_2, a_1^2, a_1a_2, a_2^2)$$

This is called the **Veronese embedding** of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ [H].
Now if $x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22}$ denote homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^5$, then one has a description of $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^2)$ as the zeros of the six minors of the following $3 \times 3$ symmetric matrix.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_{00} & x_{01} & x_{02} \\
  x_{01} & x_{11} & x_{12} \\
  x_{02} & x_{12} & x_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Moreover we also get a map,

$$
\theta : k[x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22}] \to k[x_0, x_1, x_2]
$$
such that, $\theta(x_{ij}) = x_i x_j \forall 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2$.

Also the defining equations of this embedding are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{00} &= x_{11} x_{22} - x_{12}^2 \\
\Delta_{01} &= x_{01} x_{22} - x_{12} x_{02} \\
\Delta_{02} &= x_{01} x_{12} - x_{02} x_{11} \\
\Delta_{11} &= x_{00} x_{22} - x_{02}^2 \\
\Delta_{12} &= x_{00} x_{12} - x_{02} x_{01} \\
\Delta_{22} &= x_{00} x_{11} - x_{01}^2
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that, 

$$
\ker(\theta) = < \Delta_{i,j} , \forall 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 >
$$

From now we will denote $k[x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22}]$ as $\mathcal{S}$. And for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $S(d)$ is the graded $\mathcal{S}$ module such that $S(d)_n = \mathcal{S}_{d+n}$

**Theorem 1 :** [OP] The ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ of $\sigma(\mathbb{P}^2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ has the following resolution.

$$
0 \rightarrow S(-4)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{M_1} S(-3)^{\oplus 8} \xrightarrow{M_2} S(-2)^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{M_3} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)
$$

where,

$$
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
  \Delta_{00}, & \Delta_{01}, & \Delta_{02}, , & \Delta_{11}, & \Delta_{12}, & \Delta_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
M_2 =
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_{02} & 0 & x_{01} & -0 & 0 & x_{00} & 0 & 0 \\
  -x_{12} & x_{02} & -x_{11} & x_{01} & 0 & 0 & x_{00} & 0 \\
  x_{22} & 0 & x_{12} & x_{02} & x_{01} & x_{02} & 0 & x_{00} \\
  0 & -x_{12} & 0 & -x_{11} & 0 & -x_{11} & -x_{01} & 0 \\
  0 & x_{22} & 0 & 0 & -x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{02} & -x_{01} \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & x_{22} & x_{12} & 0 & 0 & x_{02}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2)
\[ M_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_{01} & x_{00} & 0 \\
    -x_{11} & -x_{01} & 0 \\
    -x_{02} & 0 & x_{00} \\
    x_{12} & x_{02} & 0 \\
    -x_{22} & 0 & x_{02} \\
    0 & -x_{02} & -x_{01} \\
    0 & x_{12} & x_{11} \\
    0 & -x_{22} & -x_{12}
\end{bmatrix} \] 

(3)

3. Resolutions of plane curves in the Veronese embedding.

Let \( C \) be a smooth (or irreducible) curve such that, \( C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \). Hence \( C \) is given by an irreducible polynomial in three variables. Now recall that \( \mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5 \). Hence we have \( C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \overset{\sigma}{\rightarrow} \mathbb{P}^5 \). We will compute the syzygies of the homogeneous ideal \( \mathcal{I}_\sigma(C) \) using this embedding and the resolution of the Veronese embedding above. Let \( C \) be defined by the polynomial \( f \) of degree \( d \) in three variables. Hence,

\[ C = Z(f(x_0, x_1, x_2)) \]

Let,

\[ f = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^k \]

3.1: Degree of \( f \) is even

We have \( d \) is even (say \( 2m \)), and

\[ f = \sum_{i+j+k=2m} a_{i,j,k} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^k \]

**Lemma 2:** \( \text{Im}(\theta) \) is a subalgebra of \( K[x_0, x_1, x_2] \) and is generated by even polynomials.

Proof:
To prove that \( f \in \text{Im}(\theta) \). We split \( f \) in four parts, depending on the parities of \( i,j,k \), i.e., \( f = f^I + f^{II} + f^{III} + f^{IV} \) with:

\[
f^I = \sum_{i+j+k=d, \ i,j,k \text{ even}} a_{i,j,k} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^k
\]

and so on.

Case I: When \( i, j, k \) are all even, consider

\[
F^I = \sum_{i+j+k=d, \ i,j,k \text{ even}} a_{ijk} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^k
\]

Notice that \( \theta(F^I) = f^I \)

Case II: When \( i \) is even, \( j \) and \( k \) odd, consider

\[
F^{II} = \sum_{i+j+k=d, \ i \text{ even}} a_{ijk} x_0^i x_1^j x_2^k
\]

Similarly as Case I, \( \theta(F^{II}) = f^{II} \)

Case III: With \( i \) is odd, \( j \) is even, \( k \) is odd consider

\[
F^{III} = \sum_{i+j+k=d, \ j \text{ even}} a_{ijk} x_0^i x_1^{j-1} x_2^{k-1} x_{12}
\]

\( \theta(F^{III}) = f^{III} \)

Case IV: \( i \) is odd, \( j \) is odd, \( k \) is even consider,

\[
F^{IV} = \sum_{i+j+k=d, \ k \text{ even}} a_{ijk} x_0^i x_1^{j-1} x_2^{k-1} x_{01}
\]

\( \theta(F^{IV}) = f^{IV} \)

Now let,

\[
F = F^I + F^{II} + F^{III} + F^{IV}
\]
Then
\[ \theta(F) = f \]

Hence \( f \in \text{Im}(\theta) \).

**Lemma 3:** Let \( G \in S \) such that, \( G \) homogeneous and \( Z(\theta(F)) \subset Z(\theta(G)) \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \), where \( F \) is a irreducible polynomial of even degree. Then \( G \in< F, \Delta_{i,j} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 > \).

**Proof:** Let \( \theta(G) = g \), then \( g \) is a homogeneous polynomial and,
\[ Z(f) \subset Z(g) \]
\[ \Rightarrow g \in (f) \text{ as } C \text{ is a irreducible curve and hence } f \text{ is irreducible hence,} \]
\[ g = f.h \text{ for some } h \text{ homogeneous in } K[x_0, x_1, x_2] \]
Now \( f \) and \( g \) are even degree implies that \( h \) is of even degree hence, \( \exists H \in S \), homogeneous such that \( \theta(H) = h \).
Thus \( \theta(G) = \theta(F).\theta(H) = \theta(F.H) \),
\[ \Rightarrow \theta(G - F.H) = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow G - F.H \in \ker(\theta) \]
\[ \Rightarrow G - F.H = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2} \Delta_{ij}S_{ij} \text{ for some } S_{ij} \in S, S_{ij} \text{ homogeneous} \]
\[ \Rightarrow G \in< F, \Delta_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 > \]
This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now recall \( M_2 \) and \( M_3 \) from equations (2) and (3), from now we will denote them as below: Let us denote the \( i^{\text{th}} \) row of \( M_2 \) as \( W_i \) and \( j^{\text{th}} \) row of \( M_3 \) as \( G_j \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 8 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). Hence we get,
\[
M_2 = [ W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5, W_6, W_7, W_8 ] \quad (*)
\]
\[
M_3 = [ G_1, G_2, G_3 ] \quad (**)\]
Theorem 4: Let $C$ be an irreducible curve of even degree say $d = 2m$, $m \geq 1$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathcal{I}_C$ of $\sigma(C)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$ has the following resolution.

\[
0 \to S(-m - 4)\oplus^3 M'_1 \to S(-4)\oplus^3 S(-m - 3)\oplus^8 M'_2 \to S(-3)\oplus^8 S(-m - 2)\oplus^6 M'_2 \to S(-2)\oplus^6 S(-m) \to S \to S/\mathcal{I}_C \to 0
\]

where,

\[
M'_1 = \begin{bmatrix} [M_1], & F \end{bmatrix}
\]

Also let,

\[
N_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
-F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{00} \\
0 & -F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{01} \\
0 & 0 & -F & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{02} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -F & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{11} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -F & 0 & \Delta_{12} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -F & \Delta_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Let

\[
N_2 = [ U_{00}, U_{01}, U_{02}, U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{22} ]^T
\]

\[
M'_2 = [ W'_1, W'_2, W'_3, W'_4, W'_5, W'_6, W'_7, W'_8, U_{00}, U_{01}, U_{02}, U_{11}, U_{12}, U_{22} ]
\]

where

\[
W'_i = \begin{bmatrix} W'_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, 8
\]

with $W_i$ as in (*)

Hence,

\[
M'_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M_2 & -FI_6 \\ 0 & M_1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

Let

\[
H_i = \begin{bmatrix} [FI_i^8] \\ [W_i] \end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
I_i^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, \ldots, ^{i^{th}}\text{position} 1, 0, \ldots, 0 \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

is a $k \times 1$ vector.
\[ M'_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} G'_{1}, & G'_{2}, & G'_{3}, & H_{1}, & \ldots, & H_{8} \end{bmatrix} \]  

where,
\[ G'_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{i} & [0] \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3 \]

where \( G_{i} \) as in (**) and \([0]\) is a 0 matrix of appropriate dimension.

hence we have,
\[ M'_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{3} & -FI_{8} \\ 0 & M_{2} \end{bmatrix} \]

Now let
\[ M'_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -F.I_{3}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \right), & \left( \begin{bmatrix} -F.I_{3}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \right), & \left( \begin{bmatrix} -F.I_{3}^{3} \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

Hence we can write that,
\[ M'_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} -F.I_{3}^{3} \\ M_{3} \end{bmatrix} \]

**Proof:**

From Lemma 3, it is clear that
\[ M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{00}, & \Delta_{01}, & \Delta_{02}, & \Delta_{11}, & \Delta_{12}, & \Delta_{22}, & F \end{bmatrix} \]

Now consider,
\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{00}, & a_{01}, & a_{02}, & a_{11}, & a_{12}, & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( a_{ij} \in S \), homogeneous.

And \( B \in S \), homogeneous

such that
\[ \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}.\Delta_{ij} + B.F = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow \theta(B.F) = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow \theta(B).f = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow B \in < \Delta_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 > \]
Hence, \( B = \sum (b_{ij} \Delta_{ij}) \) for some homogeneous polynomials \( b_{ij} \in S \).

\[
\Rightarrow \sum (a_{ij} + b_{ij} \cdot F) \cdot \Delta_{ij} = 0
\]

Now if \( a_{ij} + b_{ij} \cdot F = 0 \) for all \((a_{ij}, b_{ij})\) then such a \([A, B]\) is generated by \(U_{ij}\).

If not then,

\[
\Rightarrow \sum (a_{ij} + b_{ij} F) \in \text{Syz}^1(< \Delta_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 >)
\]

Hence, the relations between \( \Delta_{ij} \) and \( F \) are generated by \( U_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 \) and \( W'_k : k = 1, \ldots, 8 \).

Hence we get,

\[
M'_2 = [ \ W'_1, \ W'_2, \ W'_3, \ W'_4, \ W'_5, \ W'_6, \ W'_7, \ W'_8, \ U_{00}, \ U_{01}, \ U_{02}, \ U_{11}, \ U_{12}, \ U_{22} \ ]
\]

Now consider

\[
A = [ \ a_{00}, \ a_{01}, \ a_{02}, \ a_{11}, \ a_{12}, \ a_{22} \ ]^T, \ a_{ij} \in S, \ a_{ij} \text{ homogeneous } \forall 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 \text{ and,}
\]

\[
B = [ \ (b_k) \ ], \ b_k \in S, \ \text{homogeneous}
\]

such that

\[
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2} a_{ij} \cdot U_{ij} + \sum_{i \leq k \leq 8} b_k \cdot W'_k = 0 \]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \Delta_{ij} = 0
\]

As the last column of each \( W'_k, k = 1, \ldots, 8 \) is zero and the last column of \( U_{ij} \) is \( \Delta_{ij} \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 \)

\[
\Rightarrow A \in < W_k : k = 1, \ldots, 8 >
\]

Let \( A = \sum_k (c_k W_k) \), for some homogeneous polynomial, \( c_k \in S \)

\[
\Rightarrow - \sum_k c_k W_k F \cdot Id_6 + \sum_k b_k W_k = 0
\]

where \( Id_n \) is a \( n \times n \) identity matrix.

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_{i,k} W_k (-c_k F + b_k) = 0
\]

Hence if \(-c_k \cdot F + b_k = 0 \) for all \( k \); this implies \( b_k = c_k \cdot F \) for all \( k \) then such \((b_k, a_{ij})\) are generated by \(< [F, [F^2]], [W_i] > \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 8 \). And if not
then, \( \Rightarrow [(c_kF + b_k)I_k]_{k=1,...,6} \in \text{Syz}^1(< W_j : j = 1, \ldots, 8>). \)

Hence the relations between \( W_k' \) and \( U_{ij} \) are generated by \( G'_i \) and \( H_k \). Hence we get,

\[
M'_3 = \left[\begin{array}{cccc}
G'_1, & G'_2, & G'_3, & H_1, & \ldots, & H_8
\end{array}\right]
\]

Now consider

\[
A = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a_1, & a_2, & a_3, & a_4, & a_5, & a_6, & a_7, & a_8
\end{array}\right]^T, a_i \in S, \text{ homogeneous for } i = 1, \ldots, 8
\]

\[
B = \left[\begin{array}{c}
(b_k)
\end{array}\right], b_k \in S, \text{ homogeneous for } k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ such that}
\]

\[
\sum_i a_i.H_i + \sum_k b_k.G'_k = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_i a_i.W_i = 0
\]

As the last six columns of each \( G'_k, k = 1, 2, 3 \) are zero.

\[
\Rightarrow A \in < G_p : p = 1, 2, 3 >
\]

Let \( A = \sum_k (c_p G_p) \), for some homogeneous polynomial, \( c_k \in S \). Then we have,

\[
\sum_p (c_p G_p). (F.Id_8) + \sum_k b_k.G_k = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_p (c_p.F.Id_8 + b_p) G_p = 0
\]

Now if \( c_p.F + b_p = 0 \) for every \( p \), then \( b_p = -c_p.F \) for all \( p \), then we can say that \((b_p, [c_p])\) is generated by \( < \{[-F.I_i^3], [I_i^3] \} : i = 1, 2, 3 > \), hence \((b_p, [a_k])\) is generated by \( < \{[-F.I_i^3], [G_i] \} : i = 1, 2, 3 > \)

Also from theorem 1 we have that \( G'_k : k = 0, 1, 2 \) are independent. Hence \( \text{Syz}^1(< G'_i, H_j : i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } j = 1, \ldots, 8 >) = < \{[-F.I_i^3], [G_i] \} : i = 1, 2, 3 > \)

Hence,

\[
M'_4 = \left[\begin{array}{c}
\left[\begin{array}{c}
[-F.I_i^3]
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right], i = 1, 2, 3
\]
3.2: Degree of f is odd

Recall

\[ f = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k}x_0^ix_1^jx_2^k \]

Now let \( f_0 = x_0.f \), \( f_1 = x_1.f \), \( f_2 = x_2.f \)
Then \( f_n \) is of even degree and hence according to Case A, \( f_n \in \text{Im}(\theta) \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2 \)

**Lemma 5:** Let \( G \in k[x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{22}] \) such that, \( G \) homogeneous and
\( Z(\theta(F_0)) \cap Z(\theta(F_1)) \cap Z(\theta(F_2)) \subset Z(\theta(G)) \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \). Then \( G \in < F_k, \Delta_{ij} : 0 \leq k \leq 2, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 >. \)

**Proof:** Now let \( \theta(G) = g \), then degree(\( g \)) is even.

\[ Z(f_0) \cap Z(f_1) \cap Z(f_2) \subset Z(g) \]

\[ \Rightarrow Z(f) \subset Z(g) \]

\[ \Rightarrow g \in (f) \text{as } C \text{ is an irreducible curve and hence } f \text{ is irreducible} \]

\[ \Rightarrow g = f.h \text{ for some } h \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2] \]

\[ \Rightarrow h \neq 1 \text{ as degree } f \text{ is odd while degree } g \text{ is even} \]

\[ \Rightarrow g = \sum_{i=0,1,2} f_ih_i \text{ for some homogeneous even degree polynomials } h_i \in k[x_0, x_1, x_2] \]
\[ G = \sum_{i=0,1,2} F_i H_i, \text{ where } \theta(H_i) = h_i \forall i = 0, 1, 2. \]

Such a \( H_i \), exists as the degree of \( h_i \) is even.

\[ \Rightarrow \theta(G - \sum_{i=0,1,2} F_i H_i) = 0 \]

\[ \Rightarrow G - \sum_{i=0,1,2} F_i H_i \in ker(\theta) \]

\[ \Rightarrow G = \sum_{i=0,1,2} F_i H_i + \sum_{i,j=0,1,2} \Delta_{ij} S_{ij} \text{ for some } S_{ij} \in k[x_{00}, \ldots, x_{22}] \]

\[ \Rightarrow G \in \langle F_k, \Delta_{ij} : i, j, k = 0, 1, 2 \rangle \]

**Lemma 6**: \( Im(\theta) \) is a subalgebra of \( K[x_0, x_1, x_2] \) and is generated by even polynomials.

Proof:
Like in the case of degree of \( f \) being even we split \( f \) in four parts depending on the parities of \( i,j,k \).

Case I: \( i, j, k \) are all odd. Let

Let \( h_I = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk} x_{00}^i x_{11}^j x_{22}^k \)

\[ F_0^I = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^i x_{11}^j x_{22}^k \]

\[ F_1^I = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^i x_{11}^j x_{22}^k x_{12} \]

\[ F_2^I = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^i x_{11}^j x_{22}^k x_{02} \]

Then,

\[ F_0^I = x_{00} x_{12} h_I \]
\[ F_1' = x_{11}x_{02}h_I \]

\[ F_2' = x_{22}x_{01}h_I \]

Case II: \(i\) odd, \(j\) even, \(k\) even. Now

Let

\[ h_{II} = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk}x_{00}^{\frac{i-1}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}} \]

\[ F_{0\ II} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k}x_{00}^{\frac{i-1}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}} \]

\[ F_{1\ II} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k}x_{00}^{\frac{i-1}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}}x_{01} \]

\[ F_{2\ II} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k}x_{00}^{\frac{i-1}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}}x_{02} \]

Then,

\[ F_{0\ II} = x_{00}h_{II} \]

\[ F_{1\ II} = x_{01}h_{II} \]

\[ F_{2\ II} = x_{02}h_{II} \]

Case III: \(i\) even, \(j\) odd, \(k\) even. Now

Let

\[ h_{III} = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{ijk}x_{00}^{\frac{i}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j-1}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}} \]

\[ F_{0\ III} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k}x_{00}^{\frac{i}{2}}x_{11}^{\frac{j-1}{2}}x_{22}^{\frac{k}{2}}x_{01} \]
\[ F_{1}^{III} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} \]

\[ F_{2}^{III} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} \]

Then,

\[ F_{0}^{III} = x_{01} h_{III} \]

\[ F_{1}^{III} = x_{11} h_{III} \]

\[ F_{2}^{III} = x_{12} h_{III} \]

Case IV: \( i \) even, \( j \) even, \( k \) odd. Now

Let \( h_{IV} = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} \)

\[ F_{0}^{IV} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} x_{02} \]

\[ F_{1}^{IV} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} x_{12} \]

\[ F_{2}^{IV} = \sum_{i+j+k=d} a_{i,j,k} x_{00}^{i} x_{11}^{j} x_{22}^{k} x_{22} \]

Then,

\[ F_{0}^{IV} = x_{02} h_{IV} \]
\[ F_{1IV} = x_{12}h_{IV} \]

\[ F_{2IV} = x_{22}h_{IV} \]

\[ F_n = F_n^I + F_n^{II} + F_n^{III} + F_n^{IV} \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2 \]

Also notice \( \theta(F_n) = f_n \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2 \)

**Theorem 7**: Let \( C \) be an irreducible curve of odd degree say \( d = 2m - 1 \), for \( m \geq 2 \). The ideal \( \mathcal{I}_C \) of \( \sigma(C) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) has the following resolution.

\[
0 \rightarrow S(-m - 4) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} S(-4)^{\oplus 3} \oplus S(-m - 2)^{\oplus 6} \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \\
\xrightarrow{\beta_3} S(-3)^{\oplus 8} \oplus S(-m - 1)^{\oplus 8} \xrightarrow{\beta_4} S(-2)^{\oplus 6} \oplus S^{\oplus 3}(-m) \xrightarrow{\beta_5} S \rightarrow S/\mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow 0
\]

(9)

**Proof:**

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, it is clear that

\[ \beta_1 = [ \Delta_{00}, \Delta_{01}, \Delta_{02}, \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{22}, F_0, F_1, F_2, ] \]

Now consider \( A = [ a_{00}, a_{01}, a_{02}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22} ] \), \( a_{ij} \in S \), homogeneous \( \forall 0 \leq i \leq j \leq 2 \) and \( b = [ b_0, b_1, b_2 ] \) where \( b_i \in S \), homogeneous, for \( k = 0, 1, 2 \) such that,

\[
\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \Delta_{ij} + \sum_k b_k F_k = 0 \quad (10)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \theta(\sum_k (b_k.F_k)) = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_k (\theta(b_k).f_k) = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_k (\theta(b_k).x_k) = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sum_k (\theta(b_k).x_k) = 0
\]
Let $\theta(b_k) = B_k$, then degree of $B_k$ is even. Then,

$$B = (B_0, B_1, B_2)^T \in \text{Syz}^1(x_0, x_1, x_2)$$

Now by simple computation we get

$$\text{Syz}^1(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \langle \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ -x_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ -x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$$

hence $B \in \langle Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 \rangle$ where,

$$Y_0 = (x_1, -x_0, 0)$$
$$Y_1 = (x_2, 0, -x_0)$$
$$Y_2 = (0, x_2, -x_1)$$

But degree of $B_k$ is even, hence, $B \in \langle x_k Y_l : k, l = 0, 1, 2 \rangle$.

Hence, $(b_0, b_1, b_2) \in \langle Y_{ik} : k, l = 0, 1, 2 \rangle$

where

$$Y_{00} = (x_{01}, -x_{00}, 0)$$
$$Y_{01} = (x_{11}, -x_{01}, 0)$$
$$Y_{02} = (x_{12}, -x_{02}, 0)$$
$$Y_{10} = (x_{02}, 0, -x_{00})$$
$$Y_{11} = (x_{12}, 0, -x_{01})$$
$$Y_{12} = (x_{22}, 0, -x_{02})$$
$$Y_{20} = (0, x_{02}, -x_{01})$$
$$Y_{21} = (0, x_{12}, -x_{11})$$
$$Y_{22} = (0, x_{22}, -x_{12})$$

Also note that,

$$Y_{02} = Y_{11} - Y_{20}$$

Now substituting all $Y_{ij}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, 2$ except for $Y_{02}$ for $b$ in (10) we get, the following 8 vectors,
Let 

\[ \beta_2' = ( [W_1'] W_2' \ldots W_8' [V_1] \ldots [V_8] ) \]

where \( W_k' = [ [W_k] [0] ] \) with \([0]\) a 1 \times 3 zero vector
Hence we can write \( \beta_2' \) as

\[ \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} [M_2] & H & 0 \\ 0 & & Y \end{bmatrix} \]

Now consider, \( \bar{A} = (a_i) \) such that \( a_i \in S \) homogeneous and \( \bar{B} = (b_k) \), where \( b_k \in S \), homogeneous such that

\[ \sum_i a_i V_i + \sum_k b_k W_k' = 0 \]  

(11)
Now as all the entries in the last 3 columns in each of \( W_i' \) are zero we have,

\[
\sum_i A_i Y_{ij} = 0
\]

Now it can be computed that \( \text{Syz}^1(Y_{ij}) = \langle L_i : 1 \leq i \leq 6 \rangle \) where,

\[
L_1 = [x_{02}, 0, \ -x_{01}, \ 0, \ 0, \ x_{00}, \ 0, \ 0]
\]

\[
L_2 = [x_{12}, \ x_{02}, \ -x_{11}, \ -x_{01}, \ 0, \ x_{01}, \ x_{00}, \ 0]
\]

\[
L_3 = [x_{22}, \ 0, \ -x_{12}, \ x_{02}, \ -x_{01}, \ 0, \ x_{00}]
\]

\[
L_4 = [0, \ x_{12}, \ 0, \ -x_{11}, \ 0, \ 0, \ x_{01}, \ 0]
\]

\[
L_5 = [0, \ x_{22}, \ 0, \ 0, \ -x_{11}, \ -x_{12}, \ x_{02}, \ x_{01}]
\]

\[
L_6 = [0, \ 0, \ 0, \ x_{22}, \ -x_{12}, \ -x_{22}, \ 0, \ x_{02}]
\]

So substituting \( K_i, i = 0, \ldots, 6 \) for \( B \) in (11) we get the following 6 vectors,

\[
K_1 = [0, 0, 0, x_{00}h_I, 0, 0, -h_{IV}, \ h_{III}, \ [L_1]]^T
\]

\[
K_2 = [0, 0, 0, x_{00}h_I, 0, -h_{III}, \ -h_{IV}, \ x_{11}h_I, \ h_{II}, \ [L_2]]^T
\]

\[
K_3 = [-x_{00}h_I, \ -h_{IV}, 0, \ -h_{III}, \ 0, \ h_{III}, \ h_{II}, \ x_{22}h_I, \ [L_3]]^T
\]

\[
K_4 = [0, 0, -h_{IV}, \ -x_{11}h_I, \ h_{II}, \ x_{11}h_I, \ 0, 0, \ [L_4]]^T
\]

\[
K_5 = [-h_{IV}, \ -x_{11}h_I, \ h_{II}, \ -x_{22}h_I, 0, 0, 0, \ [L_5]]^T
\]

\[
K_6 = [h_{III}, \ h_{II}, \ 0, 0, \ -x_{22}h_I, 0, 0, \ [L_6]]^T
\]

Now all the relations between \( V_i \)'s and \( W_j' \)'s are generated by \( \{K_i \}'s, \ G_k' \)'s, \( 1 \leq l \leq 6, k = 1, 2, 3 \) and all the relations between only \( W_j' \)'s (which are actually \( W_j' \) ) are generated by \( G_k' \)'s. Hence we have that all relations between \( \{V_i, W_j' \} \) are generated by \( \{K_i, G_k' \} \). So ,

\( \text{Syz}^1(< V_i, W_j'>) = \langle K_i, G_k' \rangle \). So we get that,

\[
\beta_3 = [G_0^0 \ G_1^1 \ G_2^2 \ K_1 \ \ldots \ K_6]^T
\]
where, \( G_i' = \begin{bmatrix} G_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) where \([0]\) is an appropriate dimensional zero matrix. Hence we can write that,

\[
\beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} M_3 & L \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}
\]

Now consider \( \bar{A} = (A_i) \), such that \( A_i \in S \), homogeneous and \( \bar{B} = (B_k) \), such that \( B_k \in S \), homogeneous such that,

\[
\sum_l A_l K_l + \sum_k B_k G_k' = 0 \tag{12}
\]

Hence we have,

\[
\sum_l A_l K_l' = 0
\]

(as the last eight columns of \( G_i' \)’s are zero entries)

Now it can be computed that \( \text{Syz}^1(K_l') = < J' > \) where,

\[
J' = \begin{bmatrix}
x_{12}^2 - x_{11}x_{22} \\
-x_{02}x_{12} + x_{01}x_{22} \\
x_{11}x_{02} - x_{01}x_{12} \\
x_{02}^2 - x_{00}x_{22} \\
-x_{01}x_{02} + x_{00}x_{12} \\
x_{01}^2 - x_{00}x_{11}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Like earlier, substituting \( J' \) in (12) we get \( J \).

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
-x_{00}x_{12}h_I - x_{00}h_{II} - x_{01}h_{III} - x_{02}h_{IV} \\
-x_{11}x_{02}h_I + x_{01}h_{II} + x_{11}h_{III} + x_{12}h_{IV} \\
-x_{01}x_{22}h_I - x_{02}h_{II} - x_{12}h_{III} - x_{22}h_{IV}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Now all the relations between \( K_l' \)’s and \( G_k' \)’s are generated by \( J \) and there are no relations between only \( G_k' \)’s as there are no non-trivial relations between \( G_k' \)’s. Hence all relations between \( K_l, G_k' \) are generated by \( J \). Hence \( \text{Syz}^1(< K_l, G_k' >) = < J > \). Hence

\[
\beta_4 = [J]
\]

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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