Type I Interferon Impairs Specific Antibody Responses Early during Establishment of LCMV Infection
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Elicitation of type I interferon (IFN-I) has been shown to both enhance and impair cell-mediated immune responses in acute and persistent viral infections, respectively. Here, we show that, in addition to its effect on T cells, IFN-I drives impairment of specific antibody responses through interaction with B cells in the acute phase of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection. This impairment was limited to the T cell-dependent B cell response and was associated with disruption of B cell follicles, development of hypergammaglobulinemia (HGG), and expansion of the T follicular helper cell population. Antigen-specific antibody responses were restored by ablation of IFN-I signaling through antibody-mediated IFN-I receptor blockade and B cell-specific IFN-I receptor knockout. Importantly, IFN-I receptor deficiency in B cells also accelerated the development of LCMV neutralizing antibodies and alleviated HGG. These results provide a potential therapeutic target toward efficient treatment measures that limit immunopathology in persistent viral infections.
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INTRODUCTION

The humoral immune response plays a central effector role against viral infection whereby induction of effective antibody (Ab) responses serves as an important correlate toward pathogen clearance. However, during persistent viral infections, e.g., with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), or the murine infection model lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), emergence of neutralizing Abs (nAbs) against these highly mutable viruses is delayed, initially narrow in specificity and ineffective against the established infection; as such, the Ab response bears negligible impact on the progression of the disease (1). Accompanying the delayed induction of nAbs, infected hosts also exhibit an altered immunological milieu that features aberrancies to the humoral response such as: (i) dysregulation of B cell subpopulations (2, 3); (ii) hypergammaglobulinemia (HGG) (4, 5); (iii) increase of polyreactive Abs (6, 7); and (iv) impaired response to vaccines (4, 8). Altogether, these perturbations result in a diminished antigen-specific Ab response and an enhanced non-specific polyclonal response. Notably, these immunomodulatory effects are driven directly by viral pathogenic mechanisms and indirectly through immunopathogenesis triggered by host antiviral responses (9). Presently, it is yet to be determined whether this immunological disruption occurs as a function of chronicity or due to mechanisms initiated during the acute stage of the viral infection.
Concomitant with dysregulation of the humoral immune response, an increase in T follicular helper (T<sub>FH</sub>) cells has also been observed during persistent HIV (10) and HCV (11) infections as well as the chronic phase of LCMV infection (12). In the LCMV model, expansion of T<sub>FH</sub> cells has been attributed to polarization of the CD4 T cell compartment toward T<sub>FH</sub> responses, which suggests a role of cytokines such as type I interferon (IFN-I) that skew differentiation and maturation toward T<sub>FH</sub> and away from T helper type 1 (T<sub>H1</sub>) cells (13). The role of IFN-I signaling with respect to T cells is well characterized and increasing evidence shows that this antiviral cytokine has both enhancing and immunosuppressive effects on the T cell response upon viral infection (14, 15). Two recent studies clearly outlined the bipolar effect that IFN-I renders on T cell-mediated immune responses by comparing the expression profile of IFN-I and IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) in LCMV Armstrong (acute) versus LCMV Clone 13 (Cl13; persistent) infection (16, 17). Collectively, this research revealed that although protective upon transient elicitation such as in acute infections, prolonged elevation of IFN-I levels postinfection led to immunosuppression of T cell responses. In these studies, sustained expression of IFN-I was shown to drive upregulation of immunosuppressive molecules such as PD-1 and IL10 as well as disruption of splenic architecture and dampened effector CD8+ T cell (CTL) responses (16–21). Altogether, this contributes to the failure of viral clearance and eventual persistent infection.

Similarly, IFN-I production has also been shown to enhance the development of the Ab response against acute viral infections or vaccine antigens (22–27). Akin to T cells, the effect of IFN-I on B cell responses has been shown to drive increased cellular activation and class switching recombination (CSR) in the T-cell-dependent arm of the humoral immune response (23, 25, 27–29). The upregulation of ISGs in B cells from HIV-viremic patients (30) is also indicative of a role played by IFN-I during chronic infections.

However, unlike the deleterious role played by the cytokine against T-cell responses during persistent infection, the effect of IFN-I on B cell responses in this context is yet to be fully elucidated. In this report, we use the LCMV mouse model to further characterize the molecular mechanisms that drive the modulation and resulting humoral immune dysregulation during persistent virus infection.

RESULTS

LCMV Infection Impairs the Humoral Response to T-Dependent Antigens

Although the influence of escape mutations within the glycoprotein envelope of LCMV as well as dysregulated T cell responses have been implicated in the late appearance of nAbs (31–33), it is unclear whether broader modulation of the immune response also contributes to the disrupted Ab response. To directly evaluate this, we analyzed the Ab response against the model T-dependent (TD) antigen, nitrophenyl (NP) coupled to chicken gamma globulin (CGG) in the context of CTL-controlled LCMV (34, 35), and Ab-controlled vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (36). The focal point of these experiments was based on the NP response rather than comparing the antiviral response to clearly distinguish and determine modulation to the global immune response independent of LCMV versus VSV whose pathogenic determinants drive distinctive responses. C57BL/6 (B6) mice were infected with either LCMV Cl13 or LCMV WE (acute); VSV Indiana or mock infected with culture media only. All groups were contemporaneously immunized with NP-CGG, which predominantly elicits an IgG1 response (37). At various time points after immunization, the NP-specific IgG1 serum response was monitored by ELISA, which revealed that Ab titers were drastically reduced in LCMV Cl13-infected mice, compared to VSV-infected or mock-infected control mice (Figure 1A). Furthermore, this impairment was also present albeit to a lesser extent in mice infected with LCMV WE (Figures 1A,B, left panel). Although LCMV-Cl13-associated impairment of NP-specific responses declined after day (d)12, the increase in the IgG1 responses thereafter did not attain the levels observed in VSV-infected or mock-infected groups for the duration of the experiment (30 days) (Figure 1A). The kinetics of the disrupted NP-specific response and the impact by both the acute and persistent strains of LCMV suggest that the immunological process that drives this phenotype occurs early after infection whereas the Cl13 strain featured more adverse impairment due to viral persistence. Upon infection with LCMV Cl13 or VSV as above and simultaneous immunization with a T-independent Type 2 (TI-2) antigen, NP-FICOLL, NP-specific IgG3 (Figure 1C), and IgM (Figure 1D) responses were similar in all groups although a trend toward weaker IgM responses in the LCMV-infected group was observed at latter time points. These results demonstrate that LCMV predominantly impairs the TD response. Similar results were also observed in LCMV WE-infected mice (data not shown). Despite the impairment of the TD response, however, the affinity maturation process was unaltered by LCMV Cl13 infection. As illustrated in Figure 1E, the ratio of high affinity anti-NP IgG1 Abs binding to NP<sub>26</sub>-BSA versus the total anti-NP IgG1 response, measured using NP<sub>32</sub>-BSA, reflected a similar increase in Ab affinity at various time points in all three experimental groups. Again, similar results were observed for LCMV WE (data not shown). Thus, although diminished in serum concentration, the quality of the NP-specific response was not affected by LCMV infection. Importantly, the reduction in the NP-specific IgG1 response occurs in the context of increased total IgG serum levels that is evident by d12 in LCMV Cl13- and d8 in LCMV WE-infected mice compared to VSV- or mock-infected animals (Figure 1F). These results are in agreement with the emergence of polyclonal non-specific B cell activation and resultant HGG that is observed during LCMV infection (38) and other persistent viral infections such as HIV (4, 6) or HCV (39).

To further characterize the duration of LCMV-associated effects on the NP-specific response, we immunized mice 4 days before (d-4) and on d4, d8, d12, d20, or d30 after LCMV WE or VSV infections (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). In this particular experiment, the kinetics of viral clearance within a limited window are important to facilitate accurate assessment of the effect of Ab-response impairment by LCMV. As such,
LCMV infection impairs the nP-specific Ab response in a T cell-dependent manner. B6 mice (four per group) were infected with LCMV CI13 (black), LCMV WE (hatched), VSV (gray), or mock infected (white). Mice were immunized the same day (except when indicated otherwise) with an i.p. injection of NP53-CGG in alum (A,B,E,F) or NP40-FICOLL in PBS (C,D). (A) NP-specific IgG1 response monitored by ELISA following NP53-CGG immunization. (B) Mice were infected as above and immunized with NP53-CGG the same day (d0) or 30 days after infection (d30), and IgG1 NP-specific responses were monitored by ELISA on d8 postimmunization. NP-specific IgG3 (C) or IgM (D) responses monitored by ELISA following NP40-FICOLL immunization. (E) ELISA plates were coated with NP4-BSA or NP26-BSA and high affinity Ab responses were measured as a ratio of Abs binding to NP4-BSA versus the total anti-NP IgG1 response binding to NP26-BSA. (F) Total serum IgG responses following concomitant infection and NP53-CGG immunization. Statistical analysis was performed by individual T-tests between experimental groups and the mock-infected group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. The dotted line represents detection threshold. (A–E) Representative of two independent experiments. (F) Representative of four independent experiments.

the acute strain, LCMV WE, was used given that this variant is cleared from lymphoid organs within about a week (35). As shown in Figure S1A in Supplementary Material, the Ab response in the VSV-infected group was unaffected irrespective of the time interval between infection and immunization. On the other hand, NP-specific IgG1 responses in LCMV WE-infected mice revealed similar levels of impairment upon immunization on d4, d8, and d12 postimmunization. However, the impact on the NP-specific response upon immunization on d4 before or d20 after infection was less severe relative to the other time points. This indicates that B cell responses: (i) were less susceptible to LCMV impairment if established prior to infection; (ii) start to recover by d20 following LCMV WE infection before returning to normal levels by d30 postinfection; and (iii) remain impaired past d30 following infection with LCMV CI13 (Figure 1B, right panel). Finally, changes in affinity maturation did not attain statistical significance irrespective of the time interval between LCMV infection and immunization (Figure S1B in Supplementary Material). Taken together, these results indicate that LCMV impairs the development of specific Ab responses early following establishment of infection, which is sustained in the context of persistent infection.

LCMV Infection Modifies the Lymphoid Microenvironment and B Cell Function

To gain further insight into the immunological milieu within which the impairment of TD NP-specific Ab responses occurred, we evaluated B cell populations and the splenic microenvironment in the three infection groups on d8 postinfection/immunization. Similar to a previous report (40), we observed perturbations in the splenic architecture whereby immunohistochemistry revealed a dramatic disruption of B cell follicles in LCMV-infected mice relative to VSV- and mock-infected controls with B cells being mostly found outside of the follicles (Figure 2A). Counterintuitive to this disruption, while total numbers and proportions of splenic B cells were contracted in the LCMV CI13-infected group (Figure 2B), the number and proportion of GC B cells were significantly increased (Figure 2C). Expansion of the GC B cell population suggested enhanced activation of B cells in the LCMV group. Given that this phenomenon could lead to increased differentiation into effector B cell subsets [e.g., plasma cells (PCs)], we evaluated the splenic Ab-secreting cell (ASC) population on d8 after infection and immunization with NP-CGG using ELISPOT (Figure 2D). In agreement with the expansion of GC B cells and the presence...
of HGG, the total number of IgG-secreting cells in the LCMV Cl13-infected group was elevated. Conversely, the quantity of NP-specific IgG-secreting cells was significantly decreased in the LCMV Cl13 group, correlating with the depressed antigen-specific Ab response. Similar results were also observed in the bone marrow compartment to which the PCs migrate after

FIGURE 2 | LCMV infection disrupts the splenic follicular architecture while increasing GC B cell numbers and non-specific Ab responses. (Continued)
differentiation in the secondary lymphoid organs (data not shown) and these features were observed in LCMV WE-infected animals as well (Figure 2D). Last, consistent with the increase in the number of IgG-secreting ASCs due to polyclonal B cell activation during LCMV infection (38), ASCs from this group also displayed an increased secretory capacity (Figure 2E). Expressed here as a ratio, the ex vivo Ab concentration from splenic ASCs was quantified from culture supernatants, which revealed that following LCMV C113 infection, ASCs secreted a significantly higher quantity of Ab relative to VSV- or mock-infected mice.

**LCMV Infection Triggers the Expansion of T<sub>FH</sub> and an Increase in Their Effector Function**

Upon phenotypic characterization of the CD4 T cell compartment, we observed that there was a contraction in the absolute number of CD4 T cells (Figure 3A, top panel), which was more evident in the proportion of CD4 T cells relative to total lymphocytes in the LCMV-infected groups (Figure 3A, bottom panel). This coincided with a significant increase in the T<sub>FH</sub> compartment (CD4<sup>+</sup>CD62L<sup>−</sup>CD44<sup>+</sup>CXCR5<sup>+</sup>Bcl-6<sup>+</sup>) as shown in Figure 3B. These results are supported by previous work, which demonstrated that LCMV increased differentiation of CD4 T cells into T<sub>FH</sub>, and this redirected differentiation program was sustained in LCMV C113 due to viral persistence (12). Based on these changes in the T<sub>FH</sub> population and their potential influence on the humoral immune response, we sought to determine whether the essential costimulatory and signaling molecules that comprise interaction between T<sub>FH</sub> and GC B cells were similarly modified during LCMV infection. Using phenotypic analysis by flow cytometry, we analyzed the expression of PD-1, which has been shown to be an ideal marker to distinguish GC from non-GC T<sub>FH</sub> (41). Here, we observed a significantly higher proportion of T<sub>FH</sub> cells expressing increased levels of PD-1 in LCMV C113-infected mice (Figure 3C) indicating a higher number of GC T<sub>FH</sub> relative to the other cohorts. Likewise, proportions of T<sub>FH</sub> expressing high levels of ICOS were also significantly elevated relative to mock-infected controls, which was also the case for VSV-infected mice albeit to a lesser extent. Surprisingly, while proportions of B cells expressing PD-L1 similarly increased (Figure 3D), those expressing ICOSL were reduced whereas the ligand pair CD40:CD40L remained unchanged across the three infection groups (Figures 3C,D). Serum levels of BAFF were also elevated in LCMV C113-infected mice along with BAFF, IL-21, and IL-4 mRNA and protein expression in CD4 T cells (Figures 3E,F). As previously mentioned, predilection toward T<sub>FH</sub> differentiation in the context of persistent infection can occur as a result of prolonged expression of IFN-I. However, whether the effects on the humoral response are solely due to a modulated T<sub>FH</sub> response shaping the B cell response or more direct impact of IFN-I on the B cells is unknown. To determine the potential role of T<sub>FH</sub> immunomodulation on perturbation of the humoral response, we administered blocking Abs against PD-1, which comprises a key molecular interaction between GC B cells and T<sub>FH</sub> (42). The LCMV WE strain was used here given that interfering with the PD-1 pathway during the early phase of systemic LCMV C113 infection has been shown to induce lethal CD8 T cell-mediated immunopathology (18, 43). As illustrated in Figure 3G, blockade of PD-1 prior to infection and immunization with NP did not alter the NP-specific and total IgG titers relative to the untreated control group. Similar results were obtained following PD-L1 blockade (data not shown). Therefore, in our model, humoral disruption was immutable to blockade of the PD-1 pathway suggesting a more direct role of IFN-I on B cell function.

**FIGURE 2 | Continued**

B6 mice (four per group) were infected with LCMV C113 (black), LCMV WE (hatched), VSV (gray), or mock infected (white). Mice were immunized the same day with an i.p. injection of NP<sub>53</sub>-CGG in alum and TD B cell responses were analyzed on d8 after infection. (A) Immunofluorescence showing CD19 (red), MOMA-1 (green), and DAPI (blue) expression on spleen sections. (B) Total B cell numbers and proportions were enumerated by flow cytometry. (C) Number and proportion of splenic GC B cells. (D) Number of total and NP-specific IgG-secreting cells detected by ELISPOT. (E) Relative Ab secretion of ASCs calculated by ex vivo measurement of secreted Abs produced by 10<sup>5</sup> splenocytes. Statistical analysis was performed by individual t-tests between experimental groups and the mock-infected group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. (A) Representative of two independent experiments. (B–E) Representative of four independent experiments.
FIGURE 3 | LCMV infection triggers the expansion of T<sub>fh</sub> cells and induces their expression of B cell-activating cytokines. B6 mice (four per group) were infected with LCMV CI13 (black bars or lines), LCMV WE (hatched), VSV (gray bars or dotted lines), or mock infected (white bars or shaded area). Mice were immunized the same day with an i.p. injection of NP<sub>53</sub>-CGG in alum and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were analyzed on d8 after infection. (A) Total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell numbers (top panel) and proportions (bottom panel) determined by flow cytometry. (B) T<sub>fh</sub> cell numbers and proportions determined by flow cytometry. (C) Proportion of T<sub>fh</sub> cells expressing PD-1, ICOS, and CD40L and (D) B cells expressing PD-L1, ICOSL, and CD40 determined by flow cytometry. (E) Serum BAFF concentration (left panel) and BAFF mRNA expression in total splenic CD4 T cells (right panel) measured using ELISA and qRT-PCR, respectively. (F) Intracellular cytokine levels (left panel) and mRNA expression (right panel) of IL-4 and IL-21 in CD4 T cells measured using flow cytometry and qRT-PCR, respectively. (G) NP-specific IgG1 response (left panel) and total IgG response (right panel) monitored upon infection with LCMV WE (hatched bars), VSV (gray), or mock-infected (white) infection along with injection of PD1-blocking Ab (red hatched or checkerered bars). Statistical analysis was performed by individual T-tests between experimental groups and the mock-infected group and between non-treated and anti-PD1 Ab-treated LCMV-infected groups when indicated with brackets. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. (A,B) Representative of four independent experiments. (C–E,G) Representative of two independent experiments. (F) Representative of three independent experiments.
FIGURE 4 | IFN-I acts in competition with BCR signaling to induce B cell survival and proliferation. (A) Serum level of IFN-I in B6 mice (n = 4) infected with LCMV C113 (black), LCMV WE (hatched), or VSV (gray) measured using ELISA. Statistical analysis was performed by T-test between LCMV and VSV-infected groups. ***p < 0.001. (B–D) $2 \times 10^6$ B cells from WT B6 (white bars) or IFNAR$^{-/-}$ (black bars) mice were cultured for 7.5 h (for proliferation and CD69 expression) or 4 days (for survival) without in vitro stimulation (solid dark gray), or with α-IgM (light gray line), IFN-β (black dotted line), or both (black line). (B) 7-AAD exclusion, (C) CFSE dilution, and (D) CD69 expression were measured by flow cytometry. Representative of two independent experiments.

IFNAR Signaling Is Essential for LCMV-Mediated Humoral Immune Response Disruption

Previous studies have demonstrated a rapid and robust increase in LCMV-induced IFN-I levels in the serum (16, 17), which, as illustrated in Figure 4A, was also observed in our LCMV cohorts as well as in VSV-infected mice albeit to a much lesser extent and for a shorter duration. Next, we performed in vitro stimulation of B cells with IFN-β in the presence or absence of BCR signaling to evaluate any modifications in survival and proliferation. Here, B cell samples were harvested from both wild-type B6 and IFNAR$^{-/-}$ mice to determine specific action by IFN-I. As shown in Figure 4B and consistent with a previous study (24), addition of IFN-β in the WT B cell culture sustained B cell survival by fivefold after 4 days, whereas B cells from IFNAR$^{-/-}$ mice did not respond to IFN-β, as expected. Of note, IFN-I stimulation in this setting is likely equivalent to acute infection and our results are in agreement with the impact of IFN-I on B cells as shown in previous reports (23, 25, 27–29). Interestingly, upon stimulation through the BCR, survival of cultured B cells was diminished regardless of addition of IFN-β. Furthermore, while BCR stimulation increased B cell proliferation, the addition of IFN-β completely abrogated the BCR-dependent increase in proliferation (Figure 4C). We also measured B cell activation by evaluating expression of the activation marker CD69 upon which we found that IFN-β increases B cell activation independently of BCR stimulation (Figure 4D). Given the enhancement of survival independent and antagonistically to BCR signaling, these results suggest that IFN-I signaling could potentiate the increase of non-specific B cells while impairing the development of antigen-specific B cell responses.
To directly evaluate the effect of IFN-I signaling on the humoral response in an in vivo model, we performed LCMV CI13 infection and co-immunization with NP in mice that were treated with either IFNAR blocking or isotype control Abs. Although IFNAR blockade prior to LCMV CI13 infection has been shown to enhance viral clearance in a CD4 T cell-dependent manner (16, 17), its impact on the Ab response during the progression of a chronic infection has not been thoroughly assessed. As depicted in Figure 5A, LCMV-specific-binding Ab responses were not significantly affected by IFNAR blockade as observed in previous reports (16, 17). Remarkably, however, NP-specific serum IgG1 titers were restored to levels present in VSV- or mock-infected animals upon IFNAR blockade (Figure 5B). In addition, restoration of the anti-NP IgG1 response was observed following either a single anti-IFNAR administration conducted on d-1 prior to the infection/immunization (Figure 5C) or a series of 11 anti-IFNAR treatments conducted every third day until d30 postinfection/immunization (Figure 5D). Despite this result, it is important to note that the effect of the short-term Ab treatment regimens seemingly waned over time (Figures 5B,C). IFN-I has been shown to induce CSR primarily toward an IgG2a/c subtype (27). To ascertain that the LCMV-associated depletion of NP-IgG1 responses was not solely due to the skew toward NP-specific IgG2c responses, we assessed whether the recovery of NP-specific IgG1 upon IFNAR blockade, was inversely related to IgG2c titers in our experimental cohorts. As shown in Figure 5E, although low-level NP-specific IgG2c titers were detected starting on d12 following immunization in LCMV-infected mice compared to the mock-infected group, similar levels were also observed in the VSV-infected group indicating a general effect driven by viral infection. Expectedly, IFNAR blockade reduced NP-specific IgG2c titers in LCMV-infected mice denoting a role for IFN-I in the observed CSR to IgG2c. These results indicate that, the IFN-I response generally elicited during all viral infection induces CSR to IgG2c of some antigen-specific B cells, and this effect is unlikely limited to LCMV infection or more broadly, persistent infections; thus, neither the diminished NP-specific IgG1 Ab response observed during LCMV infection nor its recovery upon IFNAR blockade is accounted for by a skewing toward or away from IgG2c responses. Altogether, these results affirm that the suppressive effect observed on the NP-specific IgG1 response during LCMV infection is dependent on IFN-I signaling and independent of CSR to IgG2c. Finally, consistent with a previous report that showed the induction of HGG in IFNAR−/− mice following LCMV infection (38), HGG was unchanged by any of the IFNAR blockade regimen (Figures 5F–H).

We next evaluated the impact of IFNAR blockade on the TD B cell response during LCMV infection. Consistent with the normalized IgG1 response against NP, the number of NP-specific IgG-secreting cells returned to that found in VSV- or mock-infected animals (Figure 6A, right panel) upon IFNAR blockade while total IgG-secreting cells remained elevated (Figure 6A, left panel), in agreement with sustained HGG. Surprisingly, although IFNAR blockade led to the restoration of total splenic CD4+ T cell proportions (Figure 6B), the increase in Tfh, observed following LCMV infection remained unchanged (Figure 6C). Moreover, the treatment bore limited impact on IL-4 and IL-21 expression in CD4 T cells (Figure 6D), GC B cell proportions (Figure 6E), and relative Ab secretion by ASCs (Figure 6F). However, relative to the isotype control, anti-IFNAR treatment resulted in moderate modulation in the expression levels of PD-L1, ICOSL, and ICOS (Figures 6G,H). As observed, Tfh and GC B cell populations remained elevated upon IFNAR blockade despite the recovery of NP-specific Abs. Next, we sought to determine whether the structure of B cell follicles was restored upon IFNAR blockade similar to the rescue of lymphoid architecture as described in previous reports (16, 17, 20). Here, we observed that only incomplete recovery of the B cell follicle structure occurred suggesting that LCMV infection induces dysruption of B cell localization in a partially IFNAR-independent manner (Figure 6I). Based on the role of CXCR4 in the trafficking of B cells in lymphoid follicles and resultant their structure (44), we examined its expression level upon IFNAR blockade. As shown in Figure 6J, left panel, we observed a remarkable elevation in CXCR4 expression in total B cells, which was restored to base levels upon IFNAR blockade. Surprisingly however, this increase in CXCR4 expression levels was not observed in the GC compartment in the LCMV-infected group compared to VSV- or mock-infected animals (Figure 6J, right panel). Nonetheless, IFNAR blockade also significantly reduced CXCR4 expression in GC B cells. These results illustrate a significant role played by IFN-I produced during LCMV infection in altering CXCR4 expression and consequently the trafficking and localization of B cells outside of follicular structures. This interplay suggests a mechanistic outline by which IFN-I modulates the humoral immune response.

B Cell-Intrinsic IFN-I Signaling Directly Disrupts the Antigen-Specific Humoral Response

Our data so far have suggested an effect of IFN-I on B cells. Therefore, to determine the role of B cell-intrinsic IFN-I signaling on the impaired humoral response observed during LCMV infection, we developed a chimeric model by reconstituting irradiated B6 mice with a mix of bone marrow cells from B cell-deficient (JHT/T) mice (45) and IFNAR−/− mice. As a result, we obtained chimeras in which only the B cells are deficient in IFN-I signaling. Upon LCMV CI13 infection and NP-CGG immunization of JHT/T/IFNAR−/− chimeras, we observed restoration of the NP-specific response to levels observed in the VSV- or mock-infected B6 mice (Figure 7A), which was consistent with the IFNAR blockade experiment. Interestingly, whereas IFNAR blockade did not ameliorate HGG, the levels of total serum IgG in LCMV CI13-infected JHT/T/IFNAR−/− chimeras were significantly lower than those in WT animals and normal relative to VSV and mock-infected mice (Figure 7B). Yet, despite the normalization of humoral immune responses, JHT/T/IFNAR−/− mice still exhibited only partial rescue of the splenic marginal-zone and B cell follicle structures (Figure 7C). Perhaps the most significant impact observed by the absence of B cell-specific IFNAR signaling was the accelerated emergence of nAbs in the chimeras compared to both JHT/T/B6 chimeras.
FIGURE 5 | IFNAR blockade restores NP-specific IgG1 responses during LCMV infection. B6 mice (four per group) were treated with α-IFNAR Ab (red), isotype control Ab (hatched), or left untreated (black) and were infected the next day with LCMV Cl13, VSV (gray), or mock infected (white). Mice were immunized the same day with an i.p. injection of NP53-CGG in alum. (A,B,E,F) IFNAR blockade was conducted every second day until d8. In another series of experiments, anti-IFNAR treatment was stopped after either one injection (d-1) (C,G) or 11 injections every third day (d30) (D,H). (A) LCMV nucleoprotein-specific IgG titers, (B-D) NP-specific IgG1, (E) NP-specific IgG2c, (F-H) total IgG titers monitored by ELISA. Statistical analysis was performed by individual T-tests between experimental groups and the mock-infected group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. The dotted line represents detection threshold. Representative of two independent experiments.
FIGURE 6 | IFNAR blockade partially restores TD B cell responses and splenic follicular structures. B6 mice (four per group) were treated with α-IFNAR Ab (checkered) or isotype control Ab (black) and were infected the next day with LCMV Cl13, VSV (gray), or mock infected (white). Mice were immunized the day of the infection with an i.p. injection of NP53-CGG in alum. IFNAR blockade was conducted every second day until analysis on d8. (A) Number of total (left panel) and NP-specific IgG-secreting (right panel) cells detected by ELISPOT. (B) Proportion of CD4 T cells among total lymphocytes and (C) total numbers (left panel) and proportions (right panel) of TFH cells among total CD4 T cells as determined by flow cytometry. (D) Intracellular IL-4 and IL-21 levels in Tfh cells and (E) proportion of splenic GC B cells determined by flow cytometry. (F) Secretory capacity of ASCs as determined by measuring the quantity of secreted Abs produced by 10^7 splenocytes. (G) Proportion of B cells expressing PD-L1, ICOSL, and CD40. (H) Proportion of Tfh cells expressing PD-1, ICOS, and CD40L. (I) Splenic follicular structures visualized using immunofluorescent staining of CD19 (red), MOMA-1 (green), and DAPI (blue) in tissue sections. (J) Proportion of CXCR4+ B cells among total (left panel) and GC B cells (right panel) determined by flow cytometry. Statistical analysis was performed by individual T-tests between experimental groups and the mock-infected group and between isotype and IFNAR blocking Ab-treated groups when indicated with brackets. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. (A,J) Representative of three independent experiments. (B–I) Representative of two independent experiments.
used as controls to account for any changes driven by irradiation and reconstitution and more importantly, WT B6 mice (Figure 7D). The fact that JHT/T/B6 chimeras did not produce any detectable LCMV nAbs suggests that only partial reconstitution of the humoral response was achieved in the chimeric system. This result further underscores the significance of the accelerated nAb response observed in the JHT/T/IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> chimeras. Collectively, these data illustrate a potent effect borne by IFN-I
signaling on B cells, which upon negation results in a normal NP-specific humoral response as well as enhanced induction of LCMV nAbs.

DISCUSSION

The immune response in the LCMV infection model has been classically defined as cell mediated (46) whereas the role of the humoral immune response has only been considered relevant in the context of reinfection (47). Although the absence of an initial robust nAb response has been primarily attributed to antiviral escape mechanisms (32, 48), the immunological processes that drive the disruption of humoral immunity during persistent infection are yet to be elucidated.

In this report, we reveal that emergence of humoral dysfunction during LCMV infection occurs in an IFN-I-dependent manner in which antigen specificity in the TD immune response was impaired; this effect was notably more evident in LCMV CI13- relative to WE-infected animals. To gain deeper insight into the nature of immunological impairment, we tracked the immune response against NP rather than the actual viral antigens in different experiments to distinguish viral specific effects from global immune responses. Collectively, the presence of humoral disruption observed with both LCMV strains illustrates that pathogenic mechanisms present in the acute phase of infection as well as sustenance of viral burden in the face of prolonged LCMV CI13 infection both play a role in the observed perturbations. However, this impairment is not a universal consequence of any viral infection or of IFN-I production per se as infection with VSV, which also promotes IFN-I production, albeit to a lesser extent than LCMV, does not lead to a disrupted humoral response; as such, LCMV-specific factors also likely play a role in the muted Ab response. Whereas blockade of IFN-I signaling using anti-IFNAR Abs reestablished the anti-NP response, HGG remained present consistent with a previous report in IFNAR-deficient Cl13 infection both play a role in the observed perturbations.

In this setting, we surmise that this occurs in part due to preservation of cellular trafficking and localization in the splenic microstructure. Shuttling back and forth between the light zone (LZ) and dark zone (DZ) of the GC is actuated by differential expression of chemokine receptors such as CXCR4 and CXCR5 (50, 51). Upon analysis of CXCR4 expression on B cells, we observed that the LCMV-associated increase in total B cells was completely reversed by anti-IFNAR Ab administration. However, since CXCR4 expression on GC B cells was unchanged upon LCMV infection, we surmise that the overall increased expression of the chemokine receptor upon infection most likely occurred in extrafollicular B cells. It is important to note that this change to cellular trafficking and localization likely represents only a fraction of similar such changes that alter splenic structure during LCMV infection.

In addition, these changes to B cell trafficking also suggest altered positive selection thresholds of effector cells. This possibility is further advanced by the increase in GC B cell numbers as well as the expansion of the non-specific ASC population. Along with the observation of Tfh increase in LCMV-infected animals, we deduce that the positive selection process in which antigen-specific B cell clones compete for survival signals from Tfh is dysregulated. In this setting, the threshold for positive selection is lowered based on the aberrant expansion of Tfh cells. As a result, B cells exhibiting lower antigen specificity or non-specificity, which in the normal functional setting would not be selected, receive survival signals. Consequently, this expansion of non-specific B cells diminishes the likelihood of cognate Tfh-B cell interactions taking place in a productive manner, which leads to an impairment of specific Ab responses (see Figure 8 for proposed model). However, the affinity maturation process is still intact despite this disruption based on the stability of the high affinity NP-BSA Ab titers in all the infection groups. Thus, the positive selection mechanism is functional yet stunned by the influx of non-specific B cell clones.

Moreover, the accelerated LCMV nAb response observed in the JH/TIFNAR−/− chimeras relative to WT B6 and JH/T/B6 can also be accounted for by such a mechanism. In this setting, the WT response features increased activation of non-specific B cell clones. Consequently, the response to neutralizing epitopes, which are immunorecessive, is further diminished by the effects of IFN-I signaling. This is a significant characterization given that the elicitation of nAbs and moreover, broadly nAbs, is also delayed in chronic infection settings such as HIV and HCV whose immunological profiles closely mirror those observed in LCMV.

Another important aspect of human persistent virus infection is poor vaccination responses in infected patients. Using NP co-immunization, our model recapitulates the diminished response against a secondary antigen and elucidates the role played by IFN-I-mediated signaling in the chronic infection setting. Other
**FIGURE 8** | Proposed schematic of B cell selection during a normal versus impaired humoral immune response during LCMV infection. In (A), antigen specificity of the resultant Ab response is facilitated by effective selection of B lymphocytes in the LZ of the GC response. Some of these cells migrate to the periphery as effector cells while others cycle back into the DZ for proliferation in an iterative process. During LCMV and more generally, other chronic infections (B), the high concentration of IFN-I along with sustained elicitation and TFH expansion triggers selection of non-specific B cells in the context of a disrupted follicular architecture; as such, antigen-specific effector cells and consequently, Ab responses, are “drowned” out by the influx of non-specific cells. This figure only represents a snapshot of the process in which the immune response is further honed in (A), whereas the dilution and loss of specificity in (B) is exacerbated in subsequent rounds.
studies have also shown that poor responses against vaccines are correlated with an increased PD-1:PD-L1 profile in HIV and HCV infected patients (10, 52).

In conclusion, the lack of an effective humoral immune response during LCMV infection is driven by general modulation to the humoral immune microenvironment by IFN-I. This modulation is limited to the TD response and is established early following infection; as well, blockade of IFN-I-mediated effects allows the immune response to proceed normally. Altogether, there are many aspects of the immune response to consider in their entirety, but the significance of IFN-I signaling offers an ideal anchor for future analysis and provides a therapeutic target against persistent viral infections to potentially boost Ab responses as well as limit immunopathogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement
All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS) animal care committee under protocol 1302-05 and in accordance with AAALAC and Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC) guidelines.

Mice, Infections, and Immunizations
Six- to eight-week-old B6 female mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (St-Constant, QC, Canada). JH/J mice were obtained from Rolf M. Zinkernagel, Zurich, Switzerland. IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice on a B6 background were obtained from Ulrich Kalinke, Hannover, Germany. All mice were maintained at the INRS animal facility until use.

To generate mixed bone marrow chimeric mice, bone marrow cells from 8- to 12-week-old B6, JH/J, and IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice were mixed at a ratio of 4:1 (JH/J/IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> or JH/J/B6) and injected intravenously (i.v.) into lethally irradiated (2 × 600 rads) B6 mice. Mice were left untouched for ≥5 weeks to allow for immune reconstitution as determined by flow cytometry.

All viral strains used in this paper were obtained from Rolf M. Zinkernagel, Zurich, Switzerland. Infections with LCMV CI13, LCMV WE, or VSV Indiana were carried out by i.v. injection with 2 × 10<sup>6</sup> pfu of virus or with culture media alone (mock).

For T cell-dependent Ab response characterization, mice were immunized intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 50 μg alum-precipitated 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrophenylacetic hapten conjugated to CGG (NP-CGG; Biosearch Technologies, Petaluma, CA, USA), at a ratio of 53:1, or with precipitated alum alone as a control. For T cell-independent Ab response characterization, mice were immunized i.p. with 5 μg 4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenylacetic hapten conjugated to AminoEthylCarboxyMethyl-FICOLL (NP-FICOLL; Biosearch Technologies, Petaluma, CA, USA) in PBS, at a ratio of 40:1, or with PBS alone as a control. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions and immunizations or adoptive transfers were carried out at 6–10 weeks of age.

Blocking Abs were used to block PD-1 and IFNAR signaling. For PD-1 blockade, 250 μg of blocking Ab or isotype control (BioXcell, West Lebanon, NH, USA) were injected i.p. every 3 days starting 1 day before infection and continuing throughout the whole experiment. For IFNAR blockade, 500 μg of blocking Ab or isotype control (Leinco Technologies) were injected i.v. every 2–3 days starting 1 day before infection and stopping at various time points as indicated.

B Cell In Vitro Stimulation and CFSE Staining
Splenic B cells were isolated using the EasySep™ Mouse B Cell Enrichment Kit (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. B cells were resuspended to 2 × 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml in PBS containing 2% FBS and CFSE (Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) was added to a final concentration of 1 μM. Samples were incubated for 5 min at room temperature and staining was quenched by addition of an equal amount of FBS. Cells were washed with PBS/2% FBS and resuspended in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 55 μM 2-mercaptoethanol. The 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/well were seeded in 24-well plates and stimulated with either 10 μg/mL goat antimouse IgM (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) and 500 U/mL mouse IFN-β (Quansys Biosciences, Logan, UT, USA) or respective combinations and cultured (37°C, 5% CO2) for either 7.5 h or 4 days.

Antibodies and Detection Reagents
The following staining reagents were used: anti-CD3 (I45-2C11), anti-CD4 (H129.19), anti-CD62L (MEL-14), anti-CD44 (IM7), anti-CD45R (RA3-6B2), anti-CD122 (29F.1A2), anti-CD-L1 (10F.9G2), anti-ICOSL (HK5.3), anti-CD40 (HM40-3), anti-CD40L (MR1), anti-ICOS (L276F12), and anti-IL-4 (11b11) were from Biolegend (San Diego, CA, USA); anti-CD19 (eBio1D3), anti-ICOS (7E.17G9), anti-IL-21 (mhalx21), anti-CD69 (H1.2F3), and anti-GL7 (GL-7) were from eBiosciences (San Diego, CA, USA); anti-Bcl-6 (K112-91), anti-CXCR5 (2G8), and anti-Fas (Jo2) were from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). PNA (B-1075; Vector Laboratories, Burlington, ON, Canada) was used for staining germinal center B cells and MOMA-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) for staining MZ macrophages.

Flow Cytometry
Single-cell suspensions of splenocytes were stained on ice with the appropriate Abs in PBS containing 1% (v/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA, Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) and 0.1% (w/v) sodium azide (GE Healthcare, Mississauga, ON, Canada). Non-specific staining was blocked by 2.4G2 Ab against CD16/32 [Biolegend; prepared as previously described (53)]. Samples were fixed with 1% (v/v) paraformaldehyde and analyzed on a BD LSR II Fortessa (BD Bioscience). For intracellular cytokine staining, splenocytes were stimulated ex vivo for 5 h with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, MI, USA) (100 ng/mL), ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) (1 μM), and Brefeldin A (Sigma-Aldrich) (10 μg/mL). Samples were fixed and permeabilized prior to incubation with Ab in permeabilization buffer (eBiosciences). Dead cells were excluded based on positive staining with 7-Amino-Actinomycin D (7-AAD)
Quantitative RT-PCR

CD4+ T cells were magnetically selected using the EasySep system (StemCell, Vancouver, BC, Canada), frozen, and conserved at −80°C. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and quantified by Nanodrop ND-1000 (Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using the Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Applied Biosystems) and frozen at −80°C until use. Gene expression was determined by quantitative PCR using TaqMan Gene expression System (Life Technologies, Burlington, ON, Canada) on a Rotor-gene 6000 system (Corbett, Concord, NSW, Australia). Standard commercial TaqMan probes were used for IL-4, IL-21 and BAFF (Life Technologies). Samples were normalized to GAPDH and represented as fold change over mock-infected mice using the ΔΔCT method (54).

ELISA and ELISPOT Assay

For NP-specific serum Ig detection, 96-well plates (Mabtech, Cincinnatti, OH, USA) were coated overnight at 4°C with 0.1 μg of NP-BSA conjugated at a ratio of NP to BSA ranging from 4:1 to 26:1. For total serum IgG detection, microtiter plates were coated overnight at 4°C with unlabeled anti-IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch, PA, USA) at 2 μg/mL. Non-specific binding was blocked with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and 0.2% (v/v) Tween 20 in PBS. HRP-conjugated peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary Abs: anti-IgM, anti-IgG1, anti-IgG2c, anti-IgG3, and anti-IgG (total IgG) (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA, USA) were detected with 0.04% (v/v) o-phenylenediamine and 0.8% H2O2 (v/v) in citrate buffer. Serum BAFF detection was done using Verikine kits (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway Township, NJ, USA) in conditions recommended by the company. LCMV nucleoprotein-specific IgG serum Ab were detected by ELISA using plates coated with purified recombinant LCMV nucleoprotein-GST as previously described (55).

For ASC determination by ELISPOT, a mouse IgG ELISPOTPlus kit (Mabtech, Nacka Strand, Sweden) was used. Briefly, 96-well nitrocellulose plates (Millipore, Etobicoke, ON, Canada) were coated overnight at 4°C with anti-IgG antibody. Non-specific binding was blocked with 5% (v/v) FBS in PBS. HRP-conjugated anti-BAFF secondary Ab (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was detected with 0.04% (v/v) o-phenylenediamine and 0.8% H2O2 (v/v) in citrate buffer. Serum IFN-α and -β detection was done using Verikine kits (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway Township, NJ, USA) in conditions recommended by the company. LCMV nucleoprotein-specific IgG serum Ab were determined by ELISA using plates coated with purified recombinant LCMV nucleoprotein-GST as previously described (55).

For ASC determination by ELISPOT, a mouse IgG ELISPOTPlus kit (Mabtech, Nacka Strand, Sweden) was used. Briefly, 96-well nitrocellulose plates (Millipore, Etobicoke, ON, Canada) were coated overnight at 4°C with anti-IgG antibody. Non-specific binding was blocked with 5% (v/v) FBS in PBS. Cell suspensions obtained from spleens were added to wells (104 cells for detection of IgG and 105 for NP) in duplicate and incubated overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. B cell spots were developed by sequential washes with PBS, addition of biotinylated anti-IgG or NP-BSA-Biotin, washes with PBS, addition of streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase, washes with PBS and addition of 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate substrate for 15 min. Spots were counted using an AID Elispot Reader (Autoimmun Diagnostika GmbH, Strasberg, Germany).

Imunochemistry

Freshly harvested spleens were flash frozen in OCT (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hertford, PA, USA) in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80°C and processed for sets of 10-μm section sizes with a cryostat (Microm HM 525; GMI, Ramsey, MN, USA). Tissue sections were fixed on slides in 75% acetone and 25% ethanol (v/v) for 5 min and incubated with primary reagent in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The following primary reagents were used: anti-mouse CD19-PE (RA3-6B2, eBiosciences, 1:10000) and anti-mouse MOMA-1-FITC (Abcam, TO, ON, Canada, 1:200). Tissue sections were then washed in PBS and incubated with a secondary Alexa 488 anti-FITC (Life Technologies) to amplify FITC signal or strep-A488 if needed. Tissue sections were then mounted with Prolong (Life Technologies), dried overnight, and observed using a LSM780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Ab Secretion Quantification

Freshly prepared splenocyte suspensions were plated in triplicates in 96-well flat bottom plates at 105 cells per well in culture medium containing DMEM, 10% FBS, 1% streptavidin/penicillin, 1% r-glutamine, 1% sodium pyruvate, and β-mercaptoethanol. Cells were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and culture media was harvested to quantify Ab by ELISA. This quantitation was compared to the numeration of ASCs obtained using ELISPOT to define an individual secretion per ASC cell.

LCMV nAb Quantification

Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus nAbs were quantified as described previously (56). In brief, serial 2-fold dilutions of 10-fold prediluted sera were incubated with LCMV for 90 min at 37°C in 96-well plates. MC57G mouse fibroblasts were added and incubated for 1 h to allow cells to settle and be infected by non-neutralized virus; cells were then overlaid with 1% methylcellulose in MEM. After 48 h, cell monolayers were fixed with 4% formalin and infectious foci were detected by intracellular LCMV staining of infected cells with rat anti-LCMV mAb VL-4.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Statistical significance was assessed as indicated using unpaired two-sided T-test, or a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. p Value <0.05 was considered significant. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. Data are represented as means ± SD.
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