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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Occupying a prime location in face, nasal cavity is the main route of entry of air into the body. Nasal lesions can have varied presentations ranging from simple inflammatory to even malignant tumours. The aim of this study is to find the incidence of nasal lesions along with their histopathological patterns and correlation with age-gender distribution and site of the lesion.

Materials and Methods: The present study was done in the department of Pathology, MGMCR, for a period of six months from January 2019 to June 2019 and included 58 cases of nasal biopsies. Tissue was processed and studied as per standard operating procedures.

Results: Out of the 58 cases studied, 55.17% were inflammatory of which 28.13% were fungal infections. There were 37.93% benign lesions and 6.7% malignancies. Majority of the lesions were seen in the age group of 20-39 years, with male preponderance. The most common location involved was nasal cavity with allergic inflammatory polyp being the most common lesion.

Conclusion: Although most of the nasal biopsies sent are inflammatory, secondary to infection or allergy, variety of benign and malignant lesions of nose are also common. Eventhough they can be suspected clinically confirmation can be done only by histopathological examination. Hence histopathology digs out the ‘black box within the beauty’ and remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of nasal lesions.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Occupying a prime location in face, nasal cavity is main route of entry of air into the body.\(^1\) It purifies the outside air and makes it suitable for breathing. Nasal cavity begins as the nostrils and is divided into two halves by the nasal septum. Paranasal sinuses are connected to these cavities via the ostia. It gets exposed to various pathogens and other chemical and mechanical factors of the outside environment.\(^2\) Patients can present with symptoms varying from mild nasal discharge or nasal blockade to even serious massive epistaxis.\(^3\) Nasal lesions can have varied presentations ranging from simple inflammatory to even malignant tumours.\(^4\) Benign lesions are common whereas malignant tumours are rare and account for 0.2-0.8% of total aerodigestive tract malignancies.\(^5\) It is important to recognize these lesions as they are different both in treatment modalities as well as in terms of economical and emotional burden given to the patient.\(^6\) The objectives of this study is to find the incidence of different nasal lesions in our institution and to find their histopathological patterns in context with age-gender distribution and site of the lesion. Advent of diagnostic nasal endoscopies has contributed significantly to the detection of nasal lesions. Endoscopic examination remains complementary to radiological investigation of the nasal lesions. Inspite of technological advancements and a combined diagnostic strategy using nasal endoscopy and radiological examination, majority of the lesions were clinically suspected to be only benign. In addition to this, there is high chance of missing clinically insignificant...
lesions through these methods. But a thorough and meticulous histopathological examination can dig out the true nature of these lesions including malignancy hidden within them. Also, various mimickers of nasal lesions are known of which fibrous dysplasia is an important one. Hence, histopathological examination of all nasal lesions remains the mainstay for definitive diagnosis.

2. Materials and Methods

This was a descriptive study conducted in the Department of Pathology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry from January 2019 to June 2019 for a period of six months. It included 58 nasal biopsies which was received in the department during the study period. All nasal biopsies received were fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was done in all samples. Special stains like periodic acid Schiff and Gomori methanamine silver stains were done in necessary cases. Each of the cases were classified as inflammatory and neoplastic based on the histopathological findings as given in Table 1. Results obtained were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis for correct inferences.

3. Results

Out of the 58 cases studied, majority of the lesions were seen in the age group of 20-39 years (Figure 1), with male preponderance (Figure 2). The most common location involved was nasal cavity with allergic inflammatory polyp being the most common lesion. 55.17% of the studied lesions were inflammatory of which 28.13% were fungal infections. There were 37.93% benign lesions and 6.7% malignancies.

4. Discussion

In the present study, majority of the patients were in the age group of 20-39 years which was consistent with the studies done by Parmar NJ et al, Vijaya V Mysoreka et al and T. Dinesh Singh et al as shown in Table 3.

Male predominance was observed in the present study similar to the findings in other studies as shown in Table 4. This may be due to the smoking habits and occupational exposure. Both these risk factors are known to cause chronic irritation of the lining of nasal mucosa which increases chances of developing lesions. Also they are known to cause squamous metaplasia which correlates with the increased incidence of malignant lesions among males in the study.

As consistent with the present study, Parmar NJ et al also showed that nasal cavity is the commonest site for nasal lesions as shown in Table 5. Also, all three cases involving the external nose turned out to be malignancies.

In the present study AIP was the commonest non-neoplastic lesion comprising 68.75% which was consistent with the observations in other studies as seen in Table 6. Surprisingly, even though most of the studies compared are done in Indian population, in this study we found that majority of the infective nasal lesions were fungal in origin. Also, these lesions were commonly seen in women. This points a light into the possibility of poor living conditions like overcrowding and low socio-economic status which predominates in the region involved by the study. Increased incidence of mucormycosis in older age group supports the possible immunocompromised states in the patients.

In this study, sinonasal papilloma was the most common benign lesion constituting 17.24% (Table 7). Among the papillomas reported, most of them belonged to the inverted type (9 cases) and only one case showed oncocytic papilloma. Approximately 5% of inverted papillomas are known to transform into sinonasal carcinomas. Malignant lesions of nose can be epithelial or mesenchymal in origin. Epithelial tumours are commoner and can arise from lining epithelium, accessory salivary glands, neuroendocrine tissue or from olfactory epithelium. Mesenchymal tumours originate from the surrounding supporting materials.
### Table 1: Varied spectrum of nasal lesions in histopathology

| S.No. | HPE Diagnosis                        | Number of cases | Gender | Age | 0-19 | 20-39 | >40 |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----|------|-------|-----|
|       |                                      |                 | Male   | Female |      |       |     |
| I     | Inflammatory lesions                 |                 |        |       |      |       |     |
| 1     | Allergic nasal polyp                 | 22              | 15     | 7    | 2    | 14    | 6   |
| 2     | Florid lymphoid hyperplasia          | 1               | 0      | 1    | 0    | 0     | 1   |
| 3     | Aspergillosis                        | 2               | 0      | 2    | 0    | 2     | 0   |
| 4     | Mucormycosis                         | 4               | 1      | 3    | 0    | 1     | 3   |
| 5     | Rhinosporidiosis                     | 3               | 0      | 3    | 0    | 3     | 0   |
| II    | Benign Lesions                       |                 |        |      |      |       |     |
| 1     | Inverted Papilloma                   | 10              | 8      | 2    | 0    | 8     | 2   |
| 2     | Mucocele                             | 2               | 2      | 0    | 1    | 0     | 1   |
| 3     | Lobular Capillary Hemanfioma         | 5               | 4      | 1    | 1    | 4     | 0   |
| 4     | Neurofibroma                         | 1               | 1      | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0   |
| 5     | Juvenile nasopharyngeal angiofibroma | 4               | 4      | 0    | 4    | 0     | 0   |
| III   | Malignant Lesions                    |                 |        |      |      |       |     |
| 1     | Malignant Small Round Cell Tumour    | 1               | 1      | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0   |
| 2     | Undifferentiated Sinonasal Carcinoma | 1               | 1      | 0    | 0    | 0     | 1   |
| 3     | Basal Cell Carcinoma                 | 1               | 0      | 1    | 0    | 0     | 1   |
| 4     | Squamous Cell Carcinoma              | 1               | 1      | 0    | 0    | 0     | 1   |

### Table 2

| Site            | No.of.cases | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| External nose   | 3           | 5.17%      |
| Nasal cavity    | 49          | 84.48%     |
| Nasal septum    | 6           | 10.34%     |
| Total           | 58          | 100%       |

### Table 3: Comparison of age-wise distribution with other studies

| Age in years | Present study | Parmar N J et al⁴ | Vijaya V Mysoreka et al⁵ | T. Dinesh Singh et al⁸ |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 0-19 years   | 13.79%        | 26%               | 32.4%                    | 40%                    |
| 20-39 years  | 58.62%        | 42%               | 35.86%                   | 42.85%                 |
| > 40 years   | 27.59%        | 32%               | 31.72%                   | 17.14%                 |

### Table 4: Comparison of gender distribution

| Study            | Male | Female | No.of.cases |
|------------------|------|--------|-------------|
| Present study    | 38   | 20     | 58          |
| Parmar NJ et al⁴ | 59   | 41     | 100         |
| Vijaya V Mysoreka et al⁵ | 85   | 60     | 145         |
| T. Dinesh Singh et al⁸ | 20   | 15     | 35          |

### Table 5: Comparison of sites involved

| Study            | No.of cases | External nose | Nasal cavity | Nasal septum |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Present study    | 58          | 3 (5.17%)     | 49 (84.48%)  | 6 (10.34%)   |
| Parmar NJ et al⁴ | 100         | 6 (6%)        | 84 (84%)     | 2 (2%)       |
Fig. 3: Photomicrograph showing: a): Mucormycosis; b): aspergillosis; c): Rhinosporidiosis; d): Juvenile nasopharyngeal angiofibroma; e): Undifferentiated sinonasal carcinoma; f): Malignant small round cell tumour

Table 6: Comparison of types of inflammatory lesions with other studies

| Study                     | Total no. of cases | Allergic nasal polyp | Mucormycosis | Aspergillosis | Rhinosporidiosis | Florid lymphoid hyperplasia |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Present study             | 32                 | 22 (73.3%)            | 4 (12.5%)    | 2 (6.25%)     | -                 | -                           |
| Parmar NJ et al\(^4\)     | 80                 | 74 (92.5%)            | 3 (3.75%)    | -             | -                 | -                           |
| Vijaya V Mysorekar et al\(^5\) | 102              | 86 (84.31%)           | 2 (1.96%)    | -             | -                 | -                           |
| T. Dinesh Singh et al\(^8\) | 28                | 25 (89.29%)           | -            | -             | -                 | -                           |
| Chopra H et al\(^9\)      | 84                 | 70 (83.33%)           | 4 (4.76%)    | -             | -                 | -                           |

Table 7: Comparison of benign lesions with other studies

| Study                     | Sinonasal papilloma | Mucocele | Lobular capillary hemangioma | Neurofibroma | Juvenile nasopharyngeal angiofibroma |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Present study             | 10 (17.24%)        | 2 (3.45%)| 5 (8.62%)                   | 1 (1.72%)    | 4 (6.7%)                           |
| Seema et al\(^10\)       | 5 (17.86%)         | -        | 14 (50%)                    | -            | 5 (17.86%)                         |
| Parmar NJ et al\(^4\)    | 3 (25%)            | -        | 3 (25%)                     | -            | 3 (25%)                            |
| Chopra H et al\(^9\)     | 4 (36.36%)         | -        | 3 (27.27%)                  | -            | 3 (25%)                            |
### Table 8: Comparison of malignant lesions with other studies

| Study                    | No. of cases | Malignant small round cell tumour | Undifferentiated sinonasal carcinoma | BCC       | SCC       |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Present study            | 4            | 1(25%)                            | 1(25%)                              | 1(25%)    | 1(25%)    |
| Parmar NJ et al          | 7            | -                                 | -                                   | 3(42.85%) | 2(28.57%) |
| Parajuli & Tuladhar’s     | 10           | -                                 | -                                   | -         | 2(20%)    |

In the present study variety of malignant lesions were detected. Squamous cell carcinomas of the nasal cavity is common as shown by other studies (Table 8). There is increased incidence of these malignancies among male population. This can be related to smoking habits causing metaplasia and thereby malignant transformation of the lining mucosa. Also, there are increased chances of recurrence in smokers. Increased risk for malignancy is seen on exposure to occupational risk factors like wood and leather dust, arsenic, formaldehyde, welding fumes, nickel and chromium. However, in this study equal incidence of malignancies like malignant small round cell tumour, undifferentiated sinonasal carcinoma, basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma was seen. This might be because of patients failing to present to the current setting.

5. Conclusion

Majority of the nasal lesions are inflammatory in nature. However spectrum of benign and malignant lesions of nose are also reported. Since nasal cavity has a complex anatomy, it is difficult to find the true nature of a lesion in clinical and radiological evaluation. Most of the patients present only in advanced diseases too which further worsens the treatment strategies and clinical outcomes of these patients. As such in the study we found that the incidence of malignancy is low. One of the causes could be a lack of clinical suspicion of malignancy which resulted in failing to present the patient for histopathological evaluation. Inspite of clinical and radiological suspicion as only an inflammatory condition, histopathological examination could bring out the true nature of the lesions which emphasizes the importance of subjecting patients for a nasal biopsy. Hence histopathology digs out the “black box within the beauty” and remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of all nasal lesions.
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