A Case of an Intraorbital Wooden Chip Foreign Body Diagnosed and Removed Several Months after Injury Despite Repeated Imaging Study
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Abstract
Intraorbital foreign bodies due to trauma are commonly encountered in clinical practice. However, organic foreign bodies, such as wood chips, can sometimes be difficult to diagnose. Here, we report the case of a patient with a wooden chip located intraorbitally that was not detected until spontaneous discharge, despite repeated diagnostic imaging. A 43-year-old intoxicated woman presented to our hospital with a bruised face and eyelid. The patient was diagnosed with conjunctivitis and iritis, but no foreign body was noted in repeated imaging studies since the first visit. Therefore, the patient was observed and managed conservatively. The patient returned to the hospital 114 days after the injury, with no improvement in her conjunctivitis. Examination revealed exposure of a foreign body in the lower eyelid conjunctiva, which was removed during emergency surgery. There are no clear criteria for imaging diagnosis of organic foreign bodies in the body. However, it may be possible to detect them by changing the imaging conditions and examining the computed tomography values in detail. In addition, in case of persistent clinical symptoms, such as inflammation, the image examination should be reviewed. In eyelid trauma, the medical history is sometimes unknown, so it must be remembered that a detailed examination and, in some cases, clinical symptoms are important in making a diagnosis.
Introduction

Intraorbital foreign bodies are commonly encountered in clinical practice. However, their diagnosis is not easy [1–9]. The diagnosis is made through a comprehensive assessment of the medical history, clinical findings (ocular motility disorder and suppurative inflammation), and diagnostic imaging techniques, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and echo imaging [1, 2, 7, 9]. Clinical experience may also be helpful in diagnosis. Furthermore, organic foreign bodies, such as wooden chips, can be a breeding ground for infections, and thus can be fatal. Therefore, early detection and removal of foreign bodies is recommended [7]. However, identification of foreign bodies is sometimes very difficult. Here, we report a case in which a piece of wood remained undetected in the orbit for several months despite repeated examination by the ophthalmologist and radiologist, until some of the fragments were spontaneously exposed.

Case Report

A 43-year-old intoxicated woman bruised her left eyelid on a bar table after a fall and visited the emergency department of our hospital. Clinical examination revealed proptosis of the left eyeball, swelling of the eyelids, ocular motility disorder, and iritis. At the first visit to our hospital, 1 day after the onset of symptoms, the best-corrected visual acuity was 20/25 in the right eye and 20/400 in the left eye (LE). The intraocular pressure was 12 mm Hg in the right eye and 24 mm Hg in the LE. The image findings at the initial examination are shown in Figure 1. CT and MRI were performed, and images were immediately interpreted by an image interpretation specialist. CT images showed swelling of the left eyelid consistent with the site of injury and a subperiosteal hematoma in the orbital wall. There was no increased brightness that would suggest the presence of metal fragments. We excluded the possibility of foreign bodies because air density was observed on the lateral aspect of the lateral rectus muscle and the bony wall. The MRI showed damage to the lateral rectus muscle, in addition to the hematoma and swelling, but no intraorbital foreign body. The patient's white blood cell count was slightly increased. There was no fever or sensation of heat at the swollen site that would indicate infection. The patient complained of mild ocular motility disorder. Therefore, we followed up with HESS charts, which showed mild impairment in the abduction of the LE (Fig. 2). Based on these examinations, we diagnosed ocular motility disorder due to damage to the lateral rectus muscle and inflammation of the surrounding connective tissues. Furthermore, slit-lamp examination showed few anterior chamber inflammatory cells, traumatic mydriasis, and conjunctivitis. The patient was prescribed analgesics, antibacterial eye drops, steroid eye drops, and intraocular-pressure-lowering eye drops. Ten days after the injury, visual acuity in LE (best-corrected visual acuity) had recovered to 20/25, and diplopia disappeared. A repeat CT showed that compared to the day after the injury, the hematoma had dissipated, but fluid and air retention persisted. Compared with these findings, MRI examinations performed at 20 and 42 days after the injury also showed improvement in the injury, and no foreign body was detected in the orbit.

The patient returned 114 days after the injury with a complaint of prolonged hyperemia despite the use of eye drops. Slit-lamp examination showed conjunctivitis and an object covered by a membrane at the upper lateral corner of the LE (Fig. 3). The exposed object was mobile, and an attempt to grasp it with forceps resulted in bleeding from the periphery of the capsule. We suspected that a foreign body had strayed into the orbit at the time of injury and was spontaneously expelled over time. An updated CT of the orbit revealed a
foreign body of approximately 15 mm in the orbit. A total of nine wooden foreign bodies (maximal length 20 mm) were removed during emergency surgery (Fig. 4). The postoperative course was uneventful. At the 2-month follow-up after surgery, the conjunctivitis improved remarkably. No foreign body was found on the postoperative CT, and there was no spontaneous discharge.

**Discussion**

We encountered a case of spontaneous expulsion of an intraorbital foreign body from the conjunctival sac a few months after an injury. Despite repeated imaging studies during follow-up, no foreign body was detected until it was spontaneously discharged. Although the spontaneous excretion of organic foreign bodies retained in the orbit several months to several years after eyelid trauma has been reported [3–5], to the best of our knowledge, no case of spontaneous expulsion from the conjunctival sac has been reported. Since organic foreign bodies left in the orbital space can cause visual dysfunction and serious infections in patients, prompt surgical removal after detection is recommended [7]. Fortunately, in our case, the patient had no serious complications, although the intraorbital foreign body was not detected despite five imaging procedures (two CTs and three MRIs) in 40 days. Previous reports have shown that CT and MRI are effective in detecting intraorbital foreign bodies [2, 7–10]. Organic foreign bodies, such as wooden chips, are difficult to identify because wood chips contain carbon. Therefore, wooden chips may remain undetected in the orbit for a long time. Furthermore, the CT findings of retained wooden
foreign bodies in the orbit differ greatly depending on the time of examination because their composition changes [1, 3, 4, 8, 9]. Specifically, wooden foreign bodies have a low density on CT in the early stages and tend to shift to a high density over time [1, 8, 9, 11].

We reviewed the CT images obtained in this case (Fig. 5). Air density was noted just below the bony wall on CT in the early stage of the injury. Therefore, we compared the air density with the CT values of the sinus cavity. The CT value of the sinus cavity was approximately −1,000 Hounsfield units (HU) (air density), whereas the CT value just below the bony wall was −400 HU (Fig. 5a: not air density). These findings revealed that what was assessed as air was not actually air. We further changed the window level of the image to air conditions to identify foreign bodies. Under air conditions at the window level, materials other than air appear white. Using this strategy, some foreign bodies were found in the air under the bony wall (Fig. 5b). We performed the same study on postoperative day 10 CT images. The CT value of the area under the bony wall, which was thought to be air density, increased to −240 HU. Previous studies reported that CT values of wooden chips retained in tissues change due to
the absorption of tissue fluids and blood over a long period [1, 8, 9, 11]. In our case, CT values changed because of the absorption of tissue fluids by the wooden chips over 10 days and the presence of adipose tissue adhering to the wood chips. The MR images were also reviewed, but no evidence of foreign bodies could be detected.

In this case, we did not consider the possibility of foreign bodies at the initial examination because the patient’s injury episode was unclear and there were no lacerations or other deep wounds on the eyelid that could allow a foreign body to enter the orbit. We neglected to change the CT conditions and examine the CT values in detail. Therefore, neither the ophthalmologist nor the radiologist was able to diagnose the foreign body until it was spontaneously discharged. Although there are no clear radiological criteria established for the diagnosis of organic foreign bodies in the orbit, clinical findings, such as persistent conjunctivitis, are as important as diagnostic imaging studies and are important signs that a review of the imaging findings may be indicated. Furthermore, when wooden chips and other foreign bodies are detected in the orbit, great care must be taken, as fragmentation at the time of removal can cause another infection in the orbit [12]. In our case, the wooden chips were already in several pieces at the time of removal. Thus, it was desirable to re-evaluate the patient postoperatively. Unfortunately, our patient did not return for follow-up 1 week after the surgery.

Fig. 4. Intraoperative findings and the removed foreign bodies. a Shows the exposed tip of a foreign object on the outside of the LE. The foreign matter is covered by a membrane. b Shows the removed foreign body caught in the forceps. c Shows a part of the removed foreign matter. The foreign body was cylindrical in shape, and a tissue similar to adipose tissue was attached to the inside. d Shows a total of nine wood chips that were removed from the patient. For comparison, the size of the sponge spear is 90 mm. The longest foreign body was approximately 20 mm in length.
In conclusion, we described the case of a patient with delayed diagnosis, despite repeated imaging examinations, and the spontaneous expulsion of an intraorbital foreign body from the conjunctival sac a few months after the injury. Our case indicated that clinical findings and imaging studies are both important for identifying intraorbital foreign bodies in cases of trauma to the eye.
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