Hepatic mTORC1 signaling activates ATF4 as part of its metabolic response to feeding and insulin
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) is dynamically regulated by fasting and feeding cycles in the liver to promote protein and lipid synthesis while suppressing autophagy. However, beyond these functions, the metabolic response of the liver to feeding and insulin signaling orchestrated by mTORC1 remains poorly defined. Here, we determine whether ATF4, a stress responsive transcription factor recently found to be independently regulated by mTORC1 signaling in proliferating cells, is responsive to hepatic mTORC1 signaling to alter hepatocyte metabolism [2]. Such signal integration by mTORC1 occurs through two nutrient availability with hormonal signals to calibrate cellular metabolism [3].

Methods: ATF4 protein levels and expression of canonical gene targets were analyzed in the liver following fasting and physiological feeding in the presence or absence of the mTORC1 inhibitor, rapamycin. Primary hepatocytes from wild-type or liver-specific Atf4 knockout (LAtf4KO) mice were used to characterize the effects of insulin-stimulated mTORC1-ATF4 function on hepatic gene expression and metabolism. Both unbiased steady-state metabolomics and stable-isotope tracing methods were employed to define mTORC1 and ATF4-dependent metabolic changes. RNA-sequencing was used to determine global changes in feeding-induced transcripts in the livers of wild-type versus LAtf4KO mice.

Results: We demonstrate that ATF4 and its metabolic gene targets are stimulated by mTORC1 signaling in the liver, in a hepatocyte-intrinsic manner by insulin in response to feeding. While we demonstrate that de novo purine and pyrimidine synthesis is stimulated by insulin through mTORC1 signaling in primary hepatocytes, this regulation was independent of ATF4. Metabolomics and metabolite tracing studies revealed that insulin-mTORC1-ATF4 signaling stimulates pathways of nonessential amino acid synthesis in primary hepatocytes, including those of alanine, aspartate, methionine, and cysteine, but not serine.

Conclusions: The results demonstrate that ATF4 is a novel metabolic effector of mTORC1 in the liver, extending the molecular consequences of feeding and insulin-induced mTORC1 signaling in this key metabolic tissue to the control of amino acid metabolism.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The liver is a central effector of systemic metabolic flexibility with a critical role in coupling shifts in glucose, lipid, and amino acid metabolism to nutrient fluctuations that occur with fasting and feeding [1]. At the molecular level, the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) is at the heart of a nutrient sensing network that integrates nutrient availability with hormonal signals to calibrate cellular metabolism [2]. Such signal integration by mTORC1 occurs through two convergent G-protein switches: the amino acid-sensing pathway regulating the Rag GTPases and hormonal regulation of the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) protein complex and Rheb GTPase [3]. In the liver, mTORC1 activity is suppressed with fasting and activated with feeding to coordinate the shift between energy-producing catabolic processes and energy-consuming anabolic processes accompanying these two states [4,5]. Feeding-induced activation of hepatic mTORC1 stimulates protein and lipid synthesis while suppressing autophagy [4,6–8]. Conversely, fasting diminishes mTORC1 activity in the liver, relieving its inhibitory signals on fatty acid oxidation, ketogenesis, and autophagy [5,7]. However, our present understanding of the metabolic effectors and processes downstream of mTORC1 signaling in the liver is incomplete.

Through studies largely performed in cell culture models, the mTORC1 protein kinase complex has been established to exert acute metabolic control through phosphorylation events on a growing list of direct downstream substrates. These mTORC1 targets include the canonical targets S6K1 and 4EBP1 to promote protein synthesis [9] and ULK1 and TFEB to suppress autophagy and lysosome biogenesis [10], along with the S6K1-specific target CAD to stimulate de novo pyrimidine synthesis [11,12]. Additionally, mTORC1 promotes metabolic
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alterations by engaging a downstream transcriptional network of genes encoding key metabolic enzymes [3]. Over the last decade, studies in proliferating cells in response to growth factors or oncogenic signaling demonstrate that mTORC1 stimulates a coordinated transcriptional response through the regulation of specific transcription factors; including eIF2α, to promote glucose uptake and glycolysis [13–16], SREBP1 and 2 to stimulate lipogenesis [4,6,15,17,18], and NFE2L1/NRF1 to support proteasome synthesis [19]. However, aside from SREBP1c activation and control of lipid metabolism [4,6,20–22], the transcriptional effectors contributing to the metabolic response orchestrated by mTORC1 in the liver and terminally differentiated hepatocytes in response to feeding and insulin are poorly defined.

Activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) is the best-characterized downstream effector of the integrated stress response (ISR), which is coordinated by four stress-sensing kinases — GCN2, PERK, HRI, and PKR — that converge to phosphorylate the translation initiation factor eIF2α at serine 51 [23]. Phosphorylation of eIF2α results in global attenuation of cap-dependent translation along with the selective translation of ATF4, mediated by altered regulation of translation of upstream open reading frames (uORFs) in the 5’ untranslated region (UTR) of the ATF4 transcript [23]. Elevated levels of ATF4 directly stimulate the expression of genes involved in adaptation to the cellular stresses initiating the ISR [24]. Beyond its canonical role in the ISR, ATF4 has also been found to be activated by anabolic signals, including insulin, downstream of mTORC1 in cell-based studies [25–28]. Pro-growth signals that activate mTORC1 stimulate ATF4 translation independently of the ISR to induce a subset of its gene targets, thereby promoting specific mTORC1-stimulated metabolic processes, including the synthesis of protein, purine nucleotides, and glutathione [26,28]. However, our knowledge of ATF4 function in the liver is limited and it is unknown whether physiological activation of mTORC1 promotes ATF4 function to alter hepatocyte metabolism.

In the present study, we find that physiological activation of mTORC1 by feeding in the liver and insulin in primary hepatocytes stimulates the activation of ATF4 and increased the expression of ATF4-dependent gene targets. We find that despite robust mTORC1-ATF4-mediated regulation of previously established anabolic targets involved in serine synthesis and one-carbon metabolism in response to insulin that ATF4 is dispensable for mTORC1-stimulated de novo nucleotide synthesis in primary hepatocytes. Furthermore, our study reveals that cultured primary hepatocytes synthesize little serine if any, even under serine/glycine deprivation conditions. Unbiased metabolite profiling and subsequent stable isotope-tracing experiments revealed that insulin-mTORC1-ATF4 signaling induces the synthesis of 5-adenosylmethionine (SAM) in the methionine cycle and cystathionine in the trans-sulfuration pathway in primary hepatocytes. In addition, we find that insulin signaling through mTORC1 and ATF4 induces synthesis of the nonessential amino acids aspartate and alanine, albeit without detectable changes to the expression of the specific transaminases involved. RNA-seq analyses of livers from control (Atf4fl/fl) and liver-specific Atf4 knockout (LAtf4KO) mice revealed that a subset of ATF4 target genes, largely related to amino acid metabolism, were controlled by ATF4 in response to feeding. Thus, our study demonstrates that ATF4 is a novel downstream effector of physiological mTORC1 activation in the liver that contributes to the broader anabolic cellular program, downstream of insulin and mTORC1 signaling.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Mice and diets

All mice were maintained at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health and procedures were performed with prior approval and in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Harvard Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Wild-type C57/BL6J mice (males age 6–8w) were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Atf4fl/fl mice were generated as previously described by the insertion of loxP sites flanking exons 2 and 3 and backcrossed to a C57/BL6J background for 9 generations [28]. LAtf4KO mice were crossed with C57/BL6J mice expressing the albumin-Cre transgene (Jackson Labs) to generate liver-specific ATF4 knockout mice (LAtf4KO), as described [30]. For fasting/feeding studies, mice were fasted for 12 h during the light cycle and either euthanized or fed a high carbohydrate diet (Harlan Teklad, Basal Mix adjusted for fat, TD.88122) for 6–12 h in the dark cycle, as described [31]. Vehicle (5% Tween-80, 5% PEG-400, DMSO in 1x PBS) or 10 mg/kg rapamycin (LC laboratories) was injected with i.p. 30 min before feeding. For all studies, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and when necessary, blood was collected retro-orbitally in EDTA-coated microtubes for plasma isolation. Animals were humanely euthanized, and organs were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, with the left lobe of the liver used for all assays. For tunicamycin treatment, mice were either injected i.p. with vehicle (150 mM dextrose in 1x PBS) or tunicamycin at 1 mg/kg for 6 h. For glucose tolerance tests, Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO male mice were fasted for 16 h overnight (7 p.m–11 a.m) and injected with glucose at 1 g/kg. For insulin tolerance tests, mice were fasted for 6 h during the day (8 a.m–2 p.m.) and injected with insulin 0.75 U/kg (Eli Lily HumulinR) dissolved in PBS plus protease-free BSA. Blood glucose was monitored over time using the OneTouch® Ultra glucometer.

2.2. Primary hepatocyte isolation and culture

Primary wild-type hepatocytes were isolated from C57/BL6J male and female mice at 7–10 weeks of age (Jackson Labs). Portal vein perfusion of buffer A (10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM glucose, and 2.5 mM sodium bicarbonate; and 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) was performed for 5–7 min at a rate of 5 ml/min followed by buffer B (buffer A minus EDTA, with 35 mM CaCl2 and Liberase TM, Sigma–Aldrich, 5401127001) for 5–7 min at a rate of 5 ml/min. Liver samples were placed in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM 4.5 g/L glucose, w/o sodium pyruvate; Corning, 15-017-CV), 2.5% FBS, Pen/Strep, and Glutamax (ThermoFisher, 35050061) or Glutagro (Corning, 25-015-Ci), and the liver capsule was disrupted to release hepatocytes. Hepatocytes were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min and then resuspended in 10 ml DMEM, 9 ml Percoll (Sigma–Aldrich, P4937), with a 30-min vehicle, and when necessary, blood was collected retro-orbitally in EDTA-coated microtubes for plasma isolation. Animals were humanely euthanized, and organs were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, with the left lobe of the liver used for all assays. For tunicamycin treatment, mice were either injected i.p. with vehicle (150 mM dextrose in 1x PBS) or tunicamycin at 1 mg/kg for 6 h. For glucose tolerance tests, Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO male mice were fasted for 16 h overnight (7 p.m–11 a.m) and injected with glucose at 1 g/kg. For insulin tolerance tests, mice were fasted for 6 h during the day (8 a.m–2 p.m.) and injected with insulin 0.75 U/kg (Eli Lily HumulinR) dissolved in PBS plus protease-free BSA. Blood glucose was monitored over time using the OneTouch® Ultra glucometer.

For glucose tolerance tests, mice were either injected i.p. with vehicle (150 mM dextrose in 1x PBS) or tunicamycin at 1 mg/kg for 6 h. For glucose tolerance tests, Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO male mice were fasted for 16 h overnight (7 p.m–11 a.m) and injected with glucose at 1 g/kg. For insulin tolerance tests, mice were fasted for 6 h during the day (8 a.m–2 p.m.) and injected with insulin 0.75 U/kg (Eli Lily HumulinR) dissolved in PBS plus protease-free BSA. Blood glucose was monitored over time using the OneTouch® Ultra glucometer.
After 4 h, the medium was changed to serum-free media overnight or low serum media (DMEM, 1% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine). For siRNA experiments extending beyond 24 h (Eif4ebp1 and Eif4ebp2), hepatocytes were maintained in 1 nM dexamethasone with 1% FBS until incubation in serum-free media for 24 h. For adenoviral delivery, hepatocytes were infected with Ad5-CMV-eGFP (U. Iowa Vector Core) or mouse Ad5-CMV-ATF4-eGFP (Vector Biolabs, Adv-253208) 4 h after plating at an MOI of 10. After overnight incubation (~16 h), the media were changed to low serum media (1% FBS) and incubated for another 8 h (total = ~24 h).

Primary human hepatocytes were obtained from Lonza (Cat#HUCPCG, Lot#HUM42452) and were thawed according to the manufacturer’s instructions into William’s E Medium (without phenol red, Thermo-Fisher), 5% FBS, Pen/Strep, 15 mM HEPES, and 100 mM dexamethasone and plated in 24-well dishes for RNA (375,000/well) and 12-well dishes for protein (750,000). After 6 h of plating, hepatocytes were serum-starved in William’s E Medium, 10 nM dexamethasone overnight. The medium was changed the following morning to serum-free William’s E Medium (without dexamethasone) for treatment with inhibitors and 100 nM insulin for 6 h.

2.3. Cell lines
Hepa1-6 and AML-12 murine hepatocyte cell lines were obtained from the ATCC and maintained in DMEM, 4.5 g/L glucose without sodium pyruvate (Thermo Scientific) and supplemented with Pen/Strep and Glutagro (Corning) and DMEM-F12 1:1, (ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with Pen/Strep, Glutagro (Corning), insulin-transferrin-selenium (ThermoFisher Scientific) and dexamethasone (100 nM, Sigma Aldrich), respectively.

2.4. Reagents

2.5. Immunoblotting
Protein extracts were prepared from tissues and cells using RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-CI pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% IGEPAL, 0.5% sodium deoxycholic acid, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaF, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, Sigma protease inhibitor P8340). Extracts from liver tissue were prepared by homogenizing liver pieces (~25–50 mg) in RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor, Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher Scientific, 78420), and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail I (Sigma–Aldrich) using the Red Lysis Kit (Next Advance) and Next Advance Bullet Blender (speed 8, for 3 min). Protein concentrations were determined using a BCA assay kit (Thermo Scientific) or a detergent compatible Bradford assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amounts of protein (15–20 µg) were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and immunoblotted with indicated primary antibodies. Primary antibodies: ATF4 (BioLegend, 693902 used in primary hepatocytes and liver tissue), MTHFD2 (ProteinTech, 12270-1-AP, MTHFD2 (Abcam, ab511447), PHGDH (Sigma–Aldrich, HPA021241), PSAT1 (ProteinTech, 20180-1-AP), PSH-1 (ProteinTech, 14513-1-AP), ATF4 (ProteinTech, 10835-1-AP, used in liver tissue), G0T2 (ProteinTech, 14800-1-AP), SREBP-1 (Santa Cruz, sc-13551), β-actin (Sigma–Aldrich AS516), α-Tubulin (Sigma–Aldrich, T6704), phospho (P)—S6K1 T389 (Cell Signaling Technologies (CST), 9234 used in cells), P–S6K1 T389 (CST, 97596 used in liver tissue) S6K1 (CST, 2708), ATF4 (CST, #11815 used in cell lines), PERK (CST, 3192), P-eIF2α S51 (CST, 3597), eIF2α (CST, 9722), P-AKT S473 (CST, 4060), Pan-AKT (CST, 4691), P–S6 S240/44 (CST, 2215), S6 (CST, 2217), 4E-BP1 (CST, 9644), 4E-BP2 (2845), P-CAST S185 (CST, 12662), CAD (CST, 11933), P3 (CST, 32532), CHOP (CST, 5554), GAPDH (CST, 5174), and G0T1 (CST, 34423). Secondary antibodies: anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked (CST, 7074), anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked (CST, 7076), anti-rat IgG, HRP-linked (CST, 7077), IRDye 800CW donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (LI-COR, 926-32212), and donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (LI-COR, 925-32213). Immunoblots were developed by ECL (West Pico or Femto, Thermo Scientific) or with a LI-COR Odyssey CLx imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). For ATF4 measurement in livers, 40–50 µg of protein was separated on a 4–15% TGX Criterion gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After transfer, membranes were rinsed with 1X TBS (without Tween-20) and blocked with 5% milk in 1X TBS (without Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated at 4 °C overnight with ATF4 antibody (BioLegend) at 1:1000. After washing with 1X TBST, anti-rat HRP secondary antibody (CST) was used at 1:2000. A list of commercially available antibodies tested in livers and cell lines is included in Supplementary Table 1.

2.6. Gene expression analysis
RNA was isolated from cells (6-well dishes) or liver pieces (~10–15 mg) using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen). For livers, samples were homogenized in RLT buffer and suspended in an equal volume of 50% ethanol before their application to the RNeasy columns. RNA (0.5–1 µg) was reverse transcribed using the Advanced cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Skirted plates and iTag SYBR green for qPCR were purchased from Bio-Rad. The QPCR analysis was performed in biological duplicates or triplicates and with duplicate technical replicates. Analysis was performed using the CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System. Samples were normalized to Rplp0 (36B4) for ΔΔCt analysis using the Bio-Rad CFX96 software. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

2.7. Steady state metabolite profiling and targeted metabolic flux analysis
Primary mouse hepatocytes from 3 to 5 mice were pooled and plated at 2.5 × 10^6 cells/6 cm dish in triplicate or quadruplicate. Hepatocytes were washed twice with media lacking the amino acid used as the tracer (Gin, Ser, or Met) and incubated in the same media containing tracer for the last 30 min (15N-glutamine-amine (2 mM)) or 1 h (13C-glutamine-amine (2 mM), 3–13C-serine (400 µM), or 13C-glucose (200 µM)) of insulin stimulation. Metabolites were extracted with 80% methanol (HPLC grade) for 15 min at ~80 °C, with cells subsequently scraped off of plates into 80% methanol on dry ice and placed into 15 ml conical tubes. After 5-min centrifugation at maximum speed, supernatants were transferred to 50 ml conical
tubes. A second extraction was performed on the remaining pellet with 500 μl of ice-cold 80% methanol, centrifuged, and pooled with the first extraction in 50 ml conical tubes. After the final extraction, remaining insoluble pellets were resuspended in 500 μl of 8 M urea in 10 mM Tris-Ci pH 8.0 and shaken at 60 °C for 1 h. Protein concentrations were measured for sample normalization using a BCA or Bradford assay. Metabolite extracts were dried under a stream of nitrogen gas using an N-EVAP (Organomotion Associates, Inc.).

For metabolite tracing into the nucleotides of total cellular RNA, primary hepatocytes plated in 6-well dishes were labeled with 15-N-glutamine-amide (2 mM) for 6 h. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA (4–5 μg) was heated to 100 °C for 3 min and rapidly cooled in an ice-water bath. Samples were brought up to 50 μl and subsequently digested with 1 μl of Nuclease P1 in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (New England Biolabs, M0660) for 2 h at 45 °C. Samples were then neutralized with 1/10 volume of 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (made fresh) and digested with 2 μl of 0.25U/μl phosphodiesterase I from Crotalus adamanteus venom (Sigma–Aldrich, P3243) for 2 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, the samples were extracted in 80% methanol and dried under nitrogen gas using an N-EVAP.

Dried-down metabolites were resuspended in 30 μl of HPLC-grade water and 5 μl of the sample was injected for liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) using a 6500 QTRAP hybrid triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB/SCIEX) coupled to a Prominence LC/MS system. For steady state phosphorylation (Waters) by selected reaction monitoring (SRM, quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB/SCIEX) coupled to a Prominence LC/MS) using a 6500 QTRAP hybrid triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB/SCIEX) coupled to a Prominence LC/MS system. The complete RNA-seq dataset can be found at GEO under the accession number GSE173578.

2.9. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 using either Student’s t-tests, One-way ANOVA (with Holm-Sidak post-hoc analysis), or Two-way ANOVA (with Holm-Sidak pos-hoc analysis), as indicated in each figure legend. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001.

2.10. Immunoblot quantification and schematic generation

Western blots were quantified using either LICOR or ImageJ software. Schematic figures were generated using BioRender software.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Physiological stimulation of mTORC1 signaling activates ATF4 and the expression of established gene targets

To determine if mTORC1 activation in the liver stimulates an increase in ATF4 protein with physiological feeding, mice were subjected to a 12-h light-cycle fast followed by 6 h refeeding in the dark cycle with a high carbohydrate diet to induce a robust insulin response. As expected, refeeding potently stimulated mTORC1 activity as assessed by phosphorylation of its downstream targets S6K1 and 4E-BP1, the latter reflected in an upward electrophoretic mobility shift (Figure 1A). Additionally, we observed a feeding-induced, rapamycin-sensitive increase in the phosphorylation of the S6K1 target CAD—i.e., the first enzyme of de novo pyrimidine synthesis [11,12]—along with the namesake S6K1 substrate ribosomal protein S6. Importantly, feeding-induced mTORC1 signaling also stimulated increased protein expression of ATF4, which could be blunted by the administration of rapamycin before feeding. Refeeding mice 12 h in the dark cycle also led to the induction of liver ATF4 protein levels in an mTORC1-dependent manner with an overall reduction in mouse-to-mouse variability (Figure S1A). Importantly, unlike ATF4, eIF2α phosphorylation in the liver—which is indicative of ISR induction—was not consistently affected by fasting, feeding, or rapamycin, supporting previous studies in cell-based models that mTORC1 can activate ATF4 independent of the ISR [26,28]. As expected, treating mice with a single dose of the ER stress–inducing compound tunicamycin stimulated robust eIF2α phosphorylation in the liver (Figure S1B). As we had found previously that mTORC1 activation stimulates the expression of genes encoding enzymes of the serine synthesis pathway (Phgdh, Psat, Psph) and mitochondrial one-carbon metabolism (Mthfd2) through ATF4 [26], we assessed whether these genes were influenced by feeding and mTORC1 signaling in the liver. Along with ATF4 transcripts, feeding induced the expression of these genes in a rapamycin-sensitive manner (Figure 1B). However, rapamycin did not affect the ability of feeding to suppress the expression of the gluconeogenic gene Pepck, which indicated a lack of global effect from this treatment on the feeding response. Considering that the liver contains both parenchymal and non-parenchymal cells, we sought to characterize the cell-intrinsic regulation of ATF4 and its transcriptional targets by mTORC1 in primary
mouse hepatocytes. Over a time course of insulin stimulation, where Akt is fully activated within 5 min and mTORC1 signaling by 30 min, an increase in ATF4 protein levels was evident by 30 min, peaking at 2 h (Figure 1C). Insulin-stimulated mTORC1 signaling and induction of ATF4 were both blocked by rapamycin or the kinase domain inhibitor of mTOR Torin1, which has more potent suppressive effects on mTORC1 targets and global protein synthesis [40]. Additionally, while there was no observable change in eIF2α phosphorylation with insulin or rapamycin treatment, we did observe that Torin1 abrogated the basal eIF2α phosphorylation signal with time (Figure 1C), suggesting that the stronger effects of Torin1 on ATF4 and its gene targets observed (refer below) might be caused by combined effects on both mTORC1

---

**Figure 1:** Feeding and insulin induce hepatic ATF4 and established gene targets by mTORC1 signaling. (A and B) Eight-week-old male mice were fasted for 12 h and refed with a high carbohydrate diet for 6 h following pretreatment with vehicle or 10 mg/kg rapamycin (n = 6/group). (A) Liver lysates were immunoblotted to assess mTORC1 signaling and ATF4, with mean ATF4 protein to tubulin quantification plotted ± SEM and normalized to the fasted group (right). (B) Liver gene expression plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the fasted group. (C) Immunoblot analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes serum-starved overnight and treated with 100 nM insulin following a 30-min pretreatment with vehicle (DMSO), 20 nM rapamycin, or 750 nM Torin1 for the indicated time points. (D) Immunoblot analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or a combination of Eif4ebp1 (4ebp1) and Eif4ebp2 (4ebp2) siRNAs, followed by overnight serum starvation and treatment for 8 h with 100 nM insulin following a 30-min pretreatment with vehicle, 20 nM rapamycin or 500 nM Torin1. (E) Immunoblot analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes treated for the indicated time points as in (C). (F) Gene expression in cells treated as in (E) plotted as mean ± SEM relative to serum-starved cells (n = 4 independent experiments). (G) Immunoblot analysis of primary human hepatocytes serum-starved overnight, and subsequently, treated with 100 nM insulin following a 30-min pretreatment with vehicle (DMSO), 20 nM rapamycin, or 500 nM Torin1 for the indicated time points. (H) Gene expression in cells treated as in (G) plotted as mean ± SEM (n = 3) relative to the serum-starved cells. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA).
signaling and the ISR. For this reason, in all subsequent experiments, we used rapamycin, instead of or in parallel to Torin1 treatment—to focus on mTORC1-specific effects on ATF4 regulation and function. We next determined how mTORC1 signaling stimulates hepatic ATF4 activation in response to insulin. Previous reports demonstrated that mTORC1-dependent regulation of ATF4 occurs through phosphorylation and inactivation of the translational repressors 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP2 [27,41]. Transient silencing of 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP2 in primary hepatocytes with siRNAs resulted in elevated basal ATF4 protein levels and partial resistance to rapamycin and Torin1 treatment relative to hepatocytes transfected with control siRNAs. (Figure 1D). While these partial effects are likely caused by incomplete silencing of 4E-BP1, as observed in the darker exposure provided, we cannot rule out additional mechanisms downstream of mTORC1 in the liver. Interestingly, while there was no effect of rapamycin on eIF2α phosphorylation under either condition, knockdown of 4E-BP1/2 rescued the inhibitory effects of Torin1 treatment on basal eIF2α phosphorylation—indicating that these effects are likely linked to the 4EBP1/2-dependent attenuation of protein synthesis reported with Torin1 treatment in other settings [40], which could both increase amino acid availability and minimize protein load on the ER, thus decreasing basal activation of the ISR.

To further characterize the hepatocyte-intrinsic effects of the mTORC1-ATF4 axis, we performed insulin time course experiments analyzing the transcript and protein levels of the aforementioned ATF4 targets. Based on time course experiments, the peak of ATF4 protein production upon insulin stimulation was at 2 h, with levels steadily declining over the time course up to 8 h (Figure 1C,E). Induction of Mthfd2, Phgdh, Psat1, and Psph transcripts was detected in 2 h insulin stimulation, peaking at 4h, while corresponding protein levels were robustly induced by 6 h (Figure 1E,F, with protein quantified in Figure S1C). Importantly, both mRNA and protein levels of these gene targets were sensitive to rapamycin and Torin1, with the latter demonstrating more potent effects accompanying decreased eIF2α phosphorylation. In addition to primary hepatocytes, the commonly used murine hepatocyte cell lines, Hepa1-6 and AML-12, also displayed insulin-stimulated increases in ATF4 and expression of these gene targets that was blocked by mTOR inhibitors (Figure S1D-E).

Finally, in primary human hepatocytes, insulin induced ATF4 protein in an mTORC1-dependent manner, without effects on eIF2α phosphorylation (Figure 1G), and this regulation correlated with stimulated expression of MTHFD2, PSAT1, and PSPH transcripts (Figure 1H). Together, these findings define ATF4 as a novel downstream target of physiological mTORC1 activation with feeding and insulin in the liver and cell autonomously in hepatocytes.

3.2. ATF4 is required for insulin-mTORC1 signaling to induce the expression of serine synthesis and one-carbon metabolism enzymes in hepatocytes

Both the basal and insulin-stimulated expressions of MTHFD2, PHGDH, PSAT1, and PSPH transcripts and proteins were abrogated in primary hepatocytes with siRNA-mediated knockdown of Atf4 (Figure 2A,B). As an orthogonal approach, we generated mice with liver-specific deletion of Atf4 (LAtf4fl/fl), using previously described Atf4fl/fl mice crossed to mice expressing the Cre recombinase from the liver-specific albumin promoter [29,30]. In primary hepatocytes isolated from control Atf4fl/fl mice, insulin induced MTHFD2, PHGDH, PSAT1, and PSPH proteins and transcripts in a rapamycin-sensitive manner, but this regulation was greatly reduced in the LAtf4fl/fl hepatocytes (Figure 2C,D). Of note, both the LAtf4fl/fl hepatocytes and those with siRNA knockdown of Atf4 displayed enhanced insulin-stimulated mTORC1 signaling, perhaps indicative of the loss of ATF4-dependent targets that negatively regulate mTORC1 [28,42–45]. To confirm the specificity of the ATF4-dependent responses, primary hepatocytes from LAtf4fl/fl mice were infected with adenoviruses expressing either GFP-encoding control (AdGFP) or an ATF4 cDNA (AdATF4). The markedly diminished expression of Mthfd2, Phgdh, Psat1, and Psph transcripts in the LAtf4fl/fl hepatocytes was fully restored with AdATF4 (Figure 2E,F). Furthermore, ectopic expression of ATF4 in wild-type primary hepatocytes resulted in substantial overexpression of ATF4 that correlated with enhanced MTHFD2 and PSAT1 protein levels (Figure 2G). As the AdATF4 adenovirus lacks the endogenous 5’UTR of ATF4, which is required for its regulation by mTORC1 in other cellular settings [26–28], this likely accounts for the rapamycin resistant expression of ATF4, MTHFD2, and PSAT1 induced by AdATF4. Together, these results establish that the mTORC1-ATF4 axis regulates these gene targets in primary hepatocytes with potential implications for metabolic control of serine and purine nucleotide synthesis, as observed in proliferative settings [26].

3.3. mTORC1 stimulates hepatocyte nucleotide synthesis in an ATF4-independent manner

In proliferating cells, mTORC1 regulates de novo purine nucleotide synthesis in part, through ATF4-dependent regulation of MTHFD2 and the mitochondrial tetrahydrofolate cycle, which supplies one-carbon formyl units derived from the carbon-3 atom of serine to the purine ring [26] (Figure 3A). While primary hepatocytes are terminally differentiated cells with minimal DNA synthesis, they still have a high demand for nucleotides to produce RNA required for ribosome biogenesis in this secretory organ. We found that insulin stimulated an mTORC1-dependent increased flux through the de novo purine synthesis pathway in primary hepatocytes, as measured by 15N-glutamine-amide tracing into the newly synthesized free pool of cellular purine nucleotides, including IMP (m−2), ATP (m+2), and GTP (m+3), the latter of which acquires a third 15N atom from the glutamine amide (Figure 3B). Similarly, 3−15C1-serine tracing, which specifically measures incorporation of the two formyl units into the purine ring, also revealed that insulin stimulates increased de novo purine synthesis in an mTORC1-dependent manner (Figure S2A). We next determined the effects of insulin-mTORC1 signaling on flux through de novo purine synthesis into the total RNA pool of primary mouse hepatocytes, of which approximately 80% represents rRNA [46]. The fractional enrichment for RNA-derived purines labeled with 15N-glutamine-amide through de novo synthesis during an 8 h stimulation with insulin was surprisingly low (<4%). However, an insulin-stimulated, mTORC1-dependent increase in the fractional enrichment of de novo synthesized AMP (m+2) and GMP (m+3) within RNA was observed (Figure 3C). Unexpectedly, siRNA-mediated knockdown of Atf4 in primary hepatocytes did not impair the insulin-stimulated increase in 15N-glutamine-amide or 3−15C1-serine flux into free pools of purine nucleotides (Figure 3D and Figure S2B). Furthermore, direct silencing of Mthfd2 also failed to impact the ability of insulin to stimulate de novo purine synthesis (Figure S2B). Likewise, Atf4 knockdown had only a minor impact on the fractional enrichment of de novo synthesized AMP (m+2) and GMP (m+3) derived from total hepatocyte RNA (Figure 3E).

The effects of insulin-mTORC1 signaling on de novo synthesis of pyrimidine nucleotides were also assessed in primary hepatocytes. Consistent with the stimulated phosphorylation and activation of CAD by S6K1 downstream of mTORC1 detected in liver and primary
hepatocytes (Figure 1A,E) [11,12], insulin stimulated 15N-glutamine-amide flux into free pools of UTP (m+1) and CTP (m+2) and RNA-derived UMP (m+1) and CMP (m+2) in an mTORC1-dependent manner (Figure 3F,G, and Figure S2C). Based on the role of ATF4 in regulating nonessential amino acid metabolism in other cellular settings [24,27,28,47], we assessed de novo pyrimidine synthesis upon Atf4 knockdown. Rather than inhibiting insulin-stimulated pyrimidine synthesis, loss of ATF4 moderately enhanced 15N-glutamine-amide flux into the free pools of pyrimidines and had no observable effects on the fractional enrichment of labeling into RNA-derived pyrimidines (Figure 3H and Figure S2D). Thus, insulin-mTORC1 signaling induces de novo synthesis of both purine and pyrimidine nucleotides in primary hepatocytes through mechanisms independent of ATF4.

3.4. Lack of evidence for regulated serine synthesis in primary hepatocytes

The de novo serine synthesis pathway (SSP) is a metabolic branchpoint in glycolysis comprised of three successive steps catalyzed by the PHGDH, PSAT1, and PSPH enzymes—with the product serine contributing to multiple biosynthetic processes, in addition to protein and nucleotide synthesis (Figure 4A). To measure SSP activity in primary hepatocytes, we used 15N-glutamine-amine tracing to capture the

Figure 2: ATF4 is both necessary and sufficient for insulin-mTORC1 signaling to induce select gene targets. (A) Immunoblot analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or Atf4-targeting siRNAs followed by overnight serum starvation and treatment with 100 nM insulin for 8 h. (B) Gene expression in cells treated as in (A) plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the siCT-transfected serum-starved cells (n = 3 independent experiments). (C) Immunoblot analysis of Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO primary mouse hepatocytes serum-starved overnight, and subsequently, treated with 100 nM insulin for 6 h following a 30-min pretreatment with vehicle (DMSO) or 20 nM rapamycin. (D) Gene expression in cells treated as in (C) plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the Atf4fl/fl serum-starved cells (n = 3). (E) Immunoblot analysis of Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO primary mouse hepatocytes infected with AdGFP or AdATF4 (MOI = 10) for 24 h. (F) Gene expression from a representative experiment of cells treated as in (E) plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the Atf4fl/fl AdGFP sample (n = 3). [(G) Immunoblot analysis of WT primary mouse hepatocytes infected with AdGFP or AdATF4 (MOI = 10) for 24 h followed by treatment with vehicle (DMSO) or 20 nM rapamycin for 8 h. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (B,D) or one-way ANOVA (F)).
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transamination of 3-phosphohydroxypyruvate to 3-phosphoserine catalyzed by PSAT1 and serine generation following dephosphorylation by PSPH (Figure 4A). Despite robust regulation of PHGDH, PSAT1, and PSPH expression by mTORC1-ATF4 signaling described above, insulin failed to stimulate $^{15}$N-glutamine-amine flux into the free pool of labeled serine ($m + 1$) in primary hepatocytes (Figure 4B). One possibility is that the newly synthesized serine was being rapidly used for protein synthesis; however, treatment with the protein synthesis inhibitor...
cycloheximide during the 1 h labeling did not result in the accumulation of labeled serine. Surprisingly, this 1 h labeling yielded a fractional enrichment of labeled serine of less than 5% in primary hepatocytes, which was unaltered by insulin, mTORC1 inhibitors, or cycloheximide (Figure 4C). This result was unchanged with labeling times from 30 min to 6 h, indicating that flux through the SSP is unusually low in primary hepatocytes (Figure S3A and B)—even under these conditions where the expression of SSP enzymes are induced by insulin through mTORC1.
and ATF4. Consistent with this disconnect between pathway enzyme regulation and SSP flux, siRNA-mediated knockdown of Atf4 did not affect the relative abundance or fractional enrichment of labeled serine (m+1) in primary hepatocytes (Figure 4D,E).

We next determined whether primary hepatocytes were capable of activating SSP flux in response to serine and glycine deprivation, as in other cell types [48–50]. The 24-h serine starvation of primary hepatocytes resulted in a marked reduction in intracellular serine levels that was further reduced with combined serine and glycine starvation (Figure 4F). However, this serine depletion had little effect on the fractional enrichment of newly synthesized serine (m+1) detected with $^{15}$N-glutamine-amine labeling (Figure 4G). As glucose is the primary source of carbon for serine synthesis; we also used U-$^{13}$C-glucose tracing into serine. Despite robust (80%) labeling of 3-phosphoglycerate (m+3)—the glycolytic intermediate precursor to the SSP—the fractional enrichment of glycolysis-derived labeled serine (m+3) was only slightly increased with serine deprivation, and still accounted for less than 1% of total cellular serine after 20 h labeling (Figure 4H). This is in contrast to another product downstream of 3-phosphoglycerate, lactate, which was nearly 60% m+3 labeled over the same duration. To rule out potential technical issues in our tracing method for the SSP, we performed U-$^{13}$C-glucose tracing in HeLa cells with 8 h labeling. Unlike primary hepatocytes, enrichment of glucose-derived carbon into m+3 isotopologues of both 3-phosphoserine (~18%) and serine (~19%) was measured, and this was abrogated by treatment with the PHGDH inhibitor NCT-503 (Figure S3C) [51]. Together, these results indicate that primary hepatocytes in culture synthesize a small amount of serine, even when the enzymes of the SSP pathway are elevated or cells are deprived of exogenous serine.

3.5. ATF4 regulates methionine and cysteine metabolism in hepatocytes

As the above studies on nucleotide and serine synthesis revealed that hepatocytes are distinct from other cellular systems in the control of these processes, we employed unbiased steady state metabolomics to identify potential insulin-stimulated metabolic changes dependent on ATF4. This analysis revealed that several metabolites were significantly elevated (p < 0.05) with insulin treatment in control hepatocytes, but not those with siRNA-mediated knockdown of Atf4 (Figure 5A, with the top 6 metabolites shown graphically in Figure 5B, Supplementary Table 4). The metabolites that induced most strongly with insulin in an ATF4-dependent manner included those related to the transsulfuration pathway and the methionine cycle, such as cystathionine and S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) (Figure 5A–C). Moreover, enrichment analysis of the insulin-ATF4 regulated metabolites revealed an overrepresentation of KEGG metabolite sets for amino acid metabolism, including that of cysteine and methionine (Figure S4A). Additionally, we observed decreased levels of reduced glutathione upon Atf4 knockdown, with modestly increased levels of oxidized glutathione disulfide (GSSG) (Figure 5A, Figure S4B). We recently demonstrated that the mTORC1-ATF4 axis stimulates the production of glutathione through the induction of SLC7A11 expression and increased cystine uptake in proliferating cells [28]. However, SLC7A11 expression was not regulated by insulin or ATF4 in primary hepatocytes, nor were the glutathione synthesis enzymes Gclc and Gclm (Figure S4C).

The methionine cycle produces SAM through the activity of the methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT) enzymes (MAT1 and MAT3 in the liver [52]), and SAM is the methyl donor for a variety of cellular processes, including histone, DNA, and protein methylation, and phosphatidylcholine synthesis [53]. The methionine cycle is tightly coupled to both folate metabolism and the transsulfuration pathway (Figure 5C). Considering the observed alterations in the metabolites of the methionine cycle and transsulfuration pathway, we broadly assessed the expression of genes encoding enzymes within this metabolic network in response to insulin and Atf4 knockdown. Genes of the cytosolic THF and methionine cycles were insensitive to insulin and Atf4 depletion in primary hepatocytes (Figure 5D). Notably, the MAT enzymes were not found to be transcriptionally regulated in this setting, in contrast to proliferating cells, where MAT2A has recently been found to be regulated by mTORC1 signaling through c-Myc [54]. Interestingly, only Cth, encoding an enzyme of the transsulfuration pathway, also known as cystathionine gamma-lase (CGL), was found to be significantly induced with insulin in an Atf4-dependent manner (Figure 5D). Just as other targets of the mTORC1-ATF4 axis in primary hepatocytes (Figure 1F), the insulin-stimulated expression of Cth was also found to peak at 4 h and be fully suppressed by rapamycin or Torin1 treatment (Figure S4D). The insulin- and mTORC1-mediated regulation of Cth expression was lost in primary hepatocytes derived from LAMT4/−/− mice, and Cth expression was restored to these cells with exogenous ATF4 (Figure S4E and F).

To determine whether the insulin-mTORC1-ATF4 pathway influenced metabolic flux into the methionine cycle and transsulfuration pathways in primary hepatocytes, we used stable-isotope tracing. Insulin stimulated 3-$^{15}$C$_3$-serine tracing into labeled SAM (m+1) and cystathionine (m+1) in a manner that was attenuated by either mTOR inhibitors or siRNA knockdown of Atf4 (Figure 5E,F). Of note, no change in the labeling of other metabolites of the methionine cycle, including methionine, S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), and homocysteine, were detected in this experiment (data not shown). To further assess the point of regulation of insulin- and Atf4-mediated SAM synthesis, we employed 13C$_3$-methionine tracing to directly test the involvement of methionine conversion to SAM by MAT isoforms. Robust SAM labeling (m+5) was detected (>70% fractional enrichment after 1 h labeling) but was unaffected in primary hepatocytes that were first stimulated with insulin or depleted of ATF4 (Figure 5G,H). Conversely, insulin stimulated an increase in the labeling of the transsulfuration metabolites cystathionine (m+4) and α-ketobutyrate (m+4) from 13C$_3$-methionine in a manner blunted by Atf4 knockdown (Figure 5I and Figure S4G). Thus, insulin and ATF4 induce SAM synthesis through a mechanism independent of MAT regulation and stimulate the transsulfuration pathway downstream of the methionine cycle.

3.6. Insulin induces hepatocyte aspartate and alanine synthesis through ATF4

The steady state metabolomic profiling of primary hepatocytes with Atf4 knockdown also showed that the nonessential amino acids aspartate and alanine were increased in an ATF4-dependent manner with insulin (Figure 5A). To determine whether this change reflects a stimulated increase in the synthesis of these amino acids, we employed stable isotope tracing with $^{15}$N-glutamine-amine labeling. Aspartate synthesis results from transamination of oxaloacetate by GOT1 in the cytosol and GOT2 in the mitochondria (Figure S5A). 15N-glutamine-amine flux into labeled aspartate (m+1) in primary hepatocytes was increased with insulin in a rapamycin-resistant, but Torin1-sensitive manner (Figure S5B), perhaps reflecting the more potent effects of Torin1 on ATP4 and its targets (Figure 1). One-hour treatment with cycloheximide increased the labeled pool of aspartate, indicating that a portion of newly synthesized aspartate is rapidly used for protein synthesis. Consistent with the steady state measurements (Figure 5A,B), stable-isotope tracing found that insulin...
stimulated an increase in aspartate synthesis in a manner ablated by siRNA knockdown of \textit{Atf4} (Figure S5C). However, unlike other cell types [28,55], the expression of neither \textit{Got1} nor \textit{Got2} was affected by \textit{ATF4} depletion in hepatocytes (Figure S5D-E). We next assessed alanine synthesis in primary hepatocytes, which results from the transamination of pyruvate by GPT1 in the cytosol and GPT2 in the mitochondria (Figure S5F). Much like aspartate synthesis, 15N-glutamine-flux into labeled alanine (m + 1) was increased with insulin.

**Figure 5**: Insulin stimulates metabolic flux into the methionine cycle and transsulfuration pathway through \textit{ATF4}. (A) Steady state metabolomic profiling from primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or \textit{ATF4}-targeting siRNAs followed by 100 nM insulin stimulation for 6 h performed in quadruplicate. The heat map displays a rank order of metabolites significantly (p < 0.05) induced with insulin in the control cells, but not those with \textit{ATF4} knockdown. (B) Protein normalized peak areas of the top 6 metabolites (A) plotted as mean ± SD. (C) Schematic of the interconnections between serine and tetrahydrofolate metabolism, the methionine cycle, and the transsulfuration pathway. Metabolites found to be significantly induced by insulin in an \textit{ATF4}-dependent manner in (A) are highlighted in red. (D) Gene expression analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or \textit{ATF4}-targeting siRNAs followed by overnight serum starvation and treatment with 100 nM insulin for 8 h. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM relative to siCT-transfected serum-starved cells (n = 3 independent experiments). (E) Protein normalized peak areas of 3-13C-serine (400 mM, last 1 h) tracing into labeled SAM and cystathionine in primary mouse hepatocytes serum-starved overnight and subsequently treated with 100 nM insulin following a 30-min pretreatment with vehicle (DMSO), 20 nM rapamycin, or 500 nM Torin1 for 8 h. Data are plotted as mean ± SD and are representative of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. (F) Protein normalized peak areas of 3-13C-serine tracing (400 mM, last 1 h) in primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or \textit{ATF4}-targeting siRNAs followed by overnight serum starvation and treatment with 100 nM insulin for 8 h. Data are plotted as mean ± SD and are representative of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. (G) Protein normalized peak areas of labeled SAM from 13C5-methionine tracing as in (F). (H) Fractional enrichment of SAM isotopologues from 13C5-methionine tracing as in (G). (I) Protein normalized peak areas of labeled cystathionine from 13C5-methionine tracing as in (G). Data are plotted as mean ± SD for tracing studies and are representative of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA).
in a rapamycin-resistant, but Torin1-sensitive manner in primary hepatocytes (Figure S5G). Of note, cycloheximide treatment had minimal effects on the free pool of newly synthesized alanine. Also, similar to aspartate, stable-isotope tracing found that insulin stimulated an increase in alanine synthesis in an ATF4-dependent manner (Figure S5H). Previous studies have linked ATF4 to the transcriptional regulation of Gpt2 [28,47,55,56], and Atf4 knockdown decreased hepatectomy Gpt2 expression under both basal and insulin-stimulated conditions (Figure S5I). However, unlike alanine synthesis, insulin did not stimulate a significant increase in Gpt2 transcripts, which suggests that these two observations may be unrelated. Together, these results indicate that insulin-mTORC1 signaling stimulates aspartate and alanine synthesis in primary hepatocytes through ATF4, albeit through a presently unknown mechanism.

3.7. Feeding induces an ATF4-dependent transcriptional response in the mouse liver

Previous studies have challenged the LAtf4KO mice with stress stimuli that engage the ISR [30,57], but there are no reports to date characterizing the transcriptional response to feeding in these mice. To first confirm that ATF4 was ablated in the livers of LAtf4KO mice, we used the ER stress-inducing agent tunicamycin to stimulate a robust increase in hepatic ATF4 levels (Figure S6A). As expected, tunicamycin failed to induce detectable ATF4 protein in the livers of LAtf4KO mice. It is important to note that this analysis revealed that some widely used ATF4 antibodies recognize nonspecific bands at the same molecular mass as ATF4 on the immunoblots of mouse liver extracts. We next used the same daytime fasting and nighttime refeeding paradigm employed in Figure 1AB. The LAtf4KO mice displayed comparable levels of mTORC1 activation to the Atf4fl/fl control livers upon refeeding, which correlated with a robust increase in both full-length and processed forms of SREBP1c, a known effector of hepatic mTORC1 [4,6,58] (Figure 6A). It is worth noting that this result is counter to what was observed previously in the livers from whole body Atf4fl/fl mice, which were reported to display a decrease in hepatic SREBP1c activation, measured by the expression of its transcriptional targets [59,60]. In addition to normal induction of mTORC1 signaling, the feeding-induced expression of the SREBP1c target Fasn and suppression of the gluconeogenic gene Pepck were similar between the Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO livers, indicative of a normal feeding response in the LAtf4KO livers (Figure 6B). Gene expression analysis confirmed our previous observation that feeding induces the expression of the serine synthesis pathway genes (Phgdh, Psat1, and Psph) and Mdh2 in the Atf4fl/fl livers, and this response was blunted in the LAtf4KO livers, providing genetic evidence of their regulation by feeding through ATF4 activation. Considering that whole body Atf4fl/fl mice display growth defects and lower body weights [61], we assessed physiological parameters in the LAtf4KO mice. We found that the body weights of the Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO mice were similar at 8 weeks; however, there was a small, but statistically significant, bodyweight reduction in the LAtf4KO mice aged 26 weeks (Figure S6B). Furthermore, there were no significant differences observed in systemic glucose and insulin tolerance between Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO mice at 26 weeks of age on a chow diet (Figure S6C), consistent with previous observations [57].

To more broadly define the transcriptional output of ATF4 downstream of physiological mTORC1 activation, we performed RNA-seq analysis on Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO livers with fasting and refeeding. A principal component analysis of the top-700 most variable genes revealed that transcriptional changes with feeding produce the most robust differences between groups, accounting for approximately 39% of the overall variance, whereas genotype accounted for approximately 10% of the variance (Figure 6C). We focused on the subset of genes that were significantly induced with feeding in the Atf4fl/fl livers but not in the LAtf4KO livers (141 genes, log2FC > 1, p < 0.05; heatmap in Figure 6D and list in Supplementary Table 5). Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that previously defined ATF4 target genes involved in amino acid metabolism were overrepresented in the genes most downregulated in the LAtf4KO livers relative to the Atf4fl/fl livers with feeding (Figure 6E). These data indicate that the recently recognized role of ATF4 in amino acid metabolism downstream of mTORC1 signaling obtained from cell culture models is active in the liver in response to feeding [27,28]. Notably, gene set enrichment analysis revealed that p53 target genes are enriched in the list of upregulated genes in LAtf4KO livers relative to the Atf4fl/fl livers with feeding (Figure S7A). In accordance with this observation, LAtf4KO livers from both fasted and fed mice displayed a robust increase in p53 protein levels relative to the Atf4fl/fl livers (Figure S7B). Moreover, the p53 target genes: Cdkn1a (p21), Ddis, Phlda3, Psrc1, and Eda2r were all confirmed by qRT-PCR to be significantly upregulated in the LAtf4KO livers relative to the Atf4fl/fl mice (Figure S7C). To determine if this activation of p53 was intrinsic to hepatocytes, we isolated primary hepatocytes from the livers of Atf4fl/fl and LAtf4KO mice. Primary hepatocytes from LAtf4KO mice also displayed the upregulation of representative p53 target genes and p53 protein levels (Figure S7D and E). However, exogenous expression of ATF4 failed to suppress p53 or its gene targets in the LAtf4KO hepatocytes, while predictably restoring expression of the canonical ATF4 target Asns (Figures S7D and E). Furthermore, the expression of p53 target genes was unaffected by siRNA-mediated knockdown of ATF4 in primary hepatocytes (Figure S7F). Thus, the strong induction of p53 and its transcriptional targets upon the loss of liver ATF4 may not be an immediate or direct effect of ATF4 loss in hepatocytes.

3.8. Secondary effects of Cre-mediated recombination at the Atf4fl/fl locus

Examination of the top ten most downregulated genes in the LAtf4KO livers revealed that along with established ATF4 targets (Ppat, Asns, Fgf21), Rps19bp1, a previously described ribosomal protein S19-binding protein linked to the regulation of protein synthesis [62], was second only to Atf4 among most downregulated genes (Figure 6F). Of note, siRNA-mediated knockdown of Rps19bp1 in primary hepatocytes did not alter the expression of p53 target genes (Figure S7F; discussed below). Five of the top ten most upregulated genes in the LAtf4KO livers relative to Atf4fl/fl controls were established targets of p53, but the most increased RNA-seq transcript reads were from the Cacna1i gene, encoding a brain-specific calcium transporter (Cav3.3) previously linked to schizophrenia [53]. Validation experiments demonstrated that Rps19bp1 expression was completely abrogated in the LAtf4KO livers, while Cacna1i is upregulated ~400–500 fold in the LAtf4KO livers relative to the control livers (Figure 6G). However, acute silencing of Atf4 with siRNAs in primary hepatocytes did not significantly alter Rps19bp1 or Cacna1i expression, suggesting that the effects are specific to the LAtf4KO context (Figure 6H). Moreover, the gene expression findings from the liver were recapitulated in primary hepatocytes cultured from these mice, but exogenous re-expression of ATF4 in LAtf4KO hepatocytes failed to rescue the lost expression of Rps19bp1, while modestly but significantly, reducing Cacna1i expression (Figure 6I). Examination of the Atf4 locus on mouse
chromosome 15 revealed that both Rps19bp1 and Cacna1i were proximal to Atf4. The end of the Atf4 3'UTR-encoding region is approximately 3 kb from that of the convergently transcribed Rps19bp1 gene, an intergenic region where the 3' LoxP site is located in the Atf4fl allele (Figure 6J). In addition, the start codon of the Cacna1i gene lies approximately 24 kb from that of the Rps19bp1 gene. It is worth noting that expression of genes in the loci upstream of Atf4, such as Mgat3, were not perturbed by Cre-mediated

Figure 6: Characterization of feeding induced transcriptional response in LATf4KO mice. (A, B) Eight-week-old Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO male mice were fasted for 12 h and refed a high carbohydrate diet for 6 h (n = 5/group). Immunoblots (A) and gene expression analysis (B) of Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO livers. Gene expression data are plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the Atf4fl/fl fasted group (n = 5 mice/group). (C) Principal component analysis of normalized transcripts from RNA-seq of Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO livers treated as in (A). (D) Heat map of normalized transcripts from RNA-seq data significantly (padj < 0.05) induced with feeding in the Atf4fl/fl, but not in the LATf4KO livers. (E) Gene set enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed transcripts in fed LATf4KO versus Atf4fl/fl livers. (F) Top-10 most up- and down-regulated transcripts plotted as log2 fold change in LATf4KO versus Atf4fl/fl livers from fed mice. Asterisks indicate top hits. (G) Gene expression analysis of Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO livers treated and plotted as in (A). (H) Gene expression analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes transfected with control (siCT) or Atf4 targeting siRNAs followed by overnight serum starvation and treatment with 100 nM insulin for 8h. Data are plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the siCT-transfected cells (n = 3 independent experiments). (I) Gene expression analysis of primary mouse hepatocytes from Atf4fl/fl and LATf4KO mice infected with AdGFP or AdATF4 (MOI = 10) for 24h. Data from a representative experiment are plotted as mean ± SEM relative to the Atf4fl/fl AdGFP cells (n = 3). (J) Schematic of the Atf4 locus and proximal genes on mouse chromosome 15, along with the modified Atf4fl locus. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (B, G, I) or one-way ANOVA (H)).
recombination in the $\text{LAtf4}^{\text{fl/fl}}$ livers (data not shown). Thus, the extreme alterations to $\text{Rps19bp1}$ and $\text{Cacna1i}$ expression upon Cre-mediated deletion of exons 2 and 3 of $\text{Atf4}$ are likely a secondary result of disruptions to the chromosome 15 architecture in this region (discussed further below).

4. DISCUSSION

Recent studies demonstrate that $\text{Atf4}$ is a downstream target of mTORC1 signaling in proliferating cells [26–28]. In nonproliferative settings such as the liver, $\text{Atf4}$ function has been largely studied in the context of stress, including amino acid limitation, ER stress, and in models of obesity and fatty liver [30,57,64–66]. Here, we define $\text{Atf4}$ as a novel metabolic effector of physiological mTORC1 activation with feeding in the liver and insulin in primary hepatocytes. While the mTORC1-ATF4 axis has recently been found to be activated in the pancreatic islets of mice with $\beta$ cell-specific genetic ablation of the secretory peptidase Furin [67], our findings reveal $\text{Atf4}$ as a downstream target of mTORC1 in a nonproliferative metabolic tissue activated in response to hormonal cues. Dynamic functional regulation of hepatic mTOR signaling with fasting and feeding was reported in neonatal pigs two decades ago, with protein synthesis as the primary metabolic output [8]. However, to date, only SREBP1c has been implicated as a downstream transcriptional effector of mTORC1 signaling in the liver activated in response to feeding and insulin [4,6,58]—which pales in comparison to the extensive transcriptional network described for mTORC1 signaling in proliferating cells [68]. While more research is necessary to fully define how mTORC1 alters hepatic metabolism in response to feeding, this present study demonstrates that the canonical stress-responsive transcription factor $\text{Atf4}$ can be alternatively activated downstream of mTORC1 in the liver, to control specific metabolic processes, including amino acid metabolism.

Considering the robust mTORC1-$\text{Atf4}$-mediated regulation of genes of the serine/glycine synthesis and one-carbon metabolism pathways—which provide essential substrates for de novo purine synthesis, observed in the intact liver and isolated hepatocytes in this study—we hypothesized that this signaling axis would control these processes in response to insulin. Compared to other tissues, the liver has a massive protein synthetic capacity, as approximately 85–90% of the protein content of blood serum originates in this organ [69]. Such a demand for protein synthesis would necessitate a robust program of ribosome biogenesis that includes the synthesis of nucleotides essential for RNA production. Indeed, we observed that mTORC1 promotes the de novo synthesis of both purine and pyrimidine nucleotides in primary hepatocytes, consistent with previous studies in proliferating cells [11,12,26]. However, insulin and mTORC1 stimulated these processes in an $\text{Atf4}$-independent manner. Previous studies in cell culture models identified other transcription factors, in addition to $\text{Atf4}$, that contribute to de novo purine synthesis in response to mTORC1 activation, which could underlie this regulation in hepatocytes [26,70]. We provide evidence that both feeding and insulin stimulate hepatic CAD phosphorylation in an mTORC1-dependent manner, an activating modification on this enzyme leading to increased flux through the de novo pyrimidine synthesis pathway [11,12]. Further studies are necessary to better elucidate the mechanism(s) of mTORC1-driven de novo nucleotide synthesis in the liver, but the ability of insulin to stimulate this process occurs independent of $\text{Atf4}$, at least in primary hepatocytes. Lastly, it is worth noting that the fractional enrichment of de novo synthesized nucleotides in total hepatocyte RNA measured in our study was much lower than expected. Thus, factors influencing ribosome synthesis and turnover in the liver, along with specific effects on other RNA species such as tRNAs, which are also critical to support protein synthesis, are important areas for future investigation.

$\text{Atf4}$ is known to induce the expression of genes encoding nearly every enzyme of nonessential amino acid synthesis, a function documented downstream of both the ISR and mTORC1 activation in other settings [24,26–28,47]. Despite evident regulation of the serine synthesis genes PHGDH, PSAT1, and PSPH by insulin signaling through mTORC1 and $\text{Atf4}$ in primary hepatocytes, we failed to detect any regulated flux through the serine synthesis pathway, which was extremely low under all conditions tested. Our findings are consistent with data from a recent study that showed the fractional enrichment of serine (m + 3) synthesized from $^{13}\text{C}_6$-glucose in the liver was minimal (<1%) [71]. Thus, despite clear regulation of the serine synthesis enzymes, hepatocytes might rely predominantly on exogenous serine. In contrast to serine synthesis, we did observe insulin and $\text{Atf4}$-dependent synthesis of aspartate and alanine, but the genes encoding the aminotransferase enzymes for aspartate and alanine synthesis, which have been established as transcriptional targets of $\text{Atf4}$ in other settings [27,28,47,55] were not regulated by insulin or $\text{Atf4}$ in hepatocytes. These data highlight the importance of metabolic flux measurement, as changes in enzyme expression do not always reflect changes in metabolic activity, which is also strongly influenced by allosteric regulation and concentrations of metabolic substrates, cofactors, and products. Future studies are warranted to determine the mechanism by which $\text{Atf4}$ influences aspartate and alanine synthesis in response to insulin and mTORC1 signaling in primary hepatocytes. Unbiased metabolomics and subsequent metabolic flux analyses demonstrated that the hepatocyte insulin-mTORC1-$\text{Atf4}$ pathway stimulates SAM production within the methionine cycle, along with the transsulfuration pathway, which shunts off of the methionine cycle. While a recent study demonstrated that mTORC1 regulates SAM synthesis in proliferating cells through c-Myc-dependent control of Mat2a expression [54], the levels of transcripts encoding the MAT enzymes were unaffected by insulin signaling in hepatocytes. Hepatic MAT activity can also be attenuated by reactive oxygen species [52], which are elevated in other models of $\text{Atf4}$ deficiency [24]. However, methionine tracing into SAM, as a more direct assay of MAT activity, indicated that this enzymatic step is unlikely to be the major point of regulation by insulin-$\text{Atf4}$ signaling in hepatocytes. Importantly, the methionine cycle is calibrated to the THF cycle by SAM allosterically inhibiting MTHFR, which serves as the entry point of serine-derived one-carbon units into the cycle [53]. The defect in insulin-stimulated SAM synthesis observed with $\text{Atf4}$ knockdown in hepatocytes might stem from a perturbation in redox that affects MTHFR activity or from other defects in the THF cycle. We also observed a striking insulin-stimulated increase in the synthesis of the transsulfuration pathway intermediate cystathionine downstream of mTORC1 and $\text{Atf4}$. Within the transsulfuration pathway, insulin, mTORC1, and $\text{Atf4}$ were found to regulate the expression of the second enzyme cystathionine $\gamma$-lyase, encoded by Cth, but not the first enzyme cystathionine $\beta$-synthase, encoded by Cbs, consistent with studies on $\text{Atf4}$ activated as part of the ISR [72]. Interestingly, CBS activity can be allosterically activated by SAM [73], raising the possibility that the SAM and cystathionine changes might be mechanistically linked. However, insulin signaling leading to $\text{Atf4}$ activation did not influence methionine tracing into SAM, but did stimulate methionine tracing into cystathionine, indicating that the effects of $\text{Atf4}$ on these two metabolites are separable in hepatocytes. Considering that the insulin-mTORC1-$\text{Atf4}$ pathway induces Cth expression in hepatocytes, it appears likely that the observed changes in steady state levels and metabolic
flux into cystathionine are mediated through this regulation. This is also consistent with the fact that α-ketobutyrate produced in the CTH reaction is similarly regulated. It should be noted that technical issues prevented us from reliably measuring the synthesis of cysteine, the other product of this reaction. As Cth was the only gene in these interconnected metabolic pathways found to be induced with insulin in an ATF4-dependent manner, the regulation of the transsulfuration pathway at the CTH step is possible to influence both the THF and methionine cycles to account for increased methionine disposal through this route. This regulation of the transsulfuration pathway has potentially important metabolic consequences in the liver, as its product cysteine is required for the synthesis of protein, glutathione, and taurine, the latter of which contributes to hepatic bile acid production [73,74].

While we were able to identify and validate feeding-induced ATF4 target genes in the liver using the LAtf4KO model, a limitation of our study is the dramatic alterations to Rps19bp1 and Cacna1i expression found to accompany Cre-mediated deletion of Atf4 in this model. These changes make it more difficult to definitively assign specific phenotypes to the loss of ATF4 function in the liver of these mice. For example, while a p53-mediated stress response has been observed in other settings of ATF4 loss [75–77], the robust upregulation of p53 and its gene targets as observed in the LAtf4KO livers and cultured hepatocytes might stem from the loss of Rps19bp1 expression. The protein encoded by this gene, also known as active regulator of SIRT1 (AROS), acts with SIRT1 to deacetylate and inactivate p53 [78]. However, transient knockdown of Rps19bp1/Aros was insufficient to induce the expression of p53 target genes in primary hepatocytes in our study. Importantly, similar alterations to the expression of Rps19bp1 and Cacna1i have recently been observed in RNA-Seq studies of skeletal muscle from muscle-specific Atf4 knockout mice (mAtf4fl/fl) (C.M. Adams, personal communication). It is worth noting that mAtf4fl/fl muscle does not exhibit increased expression of p53 or its gene targets [79,80], indicating that p53 activation does not coincide with reduced Rps19bp1 expression in all settings. The apparent increase in Cacna1i transcripts in mAtf4fl/fl muscle has been characterized to result from a non-natural fusion transcript consisting of Atf4 exon 1 fused to Cacna1i exon 2, which produces an out-of-frame fusion transcript, without alterations to the normal full-length Cacna1i mRNA. Thus, it is unlikely that the effects on this transcript yield functional consequences. Nonetheless, future studies employing these and other mouse models that genetically ablate Atf4 should consider the potential for similar effects on neighboring genes.

In summary, we describe ATF4 as a novel metabolic effector of hepatic mTORC1 signaling in response to insulin. While there are likely other downstream mediators of the mTORC1-dependent feeding response yet to be defined, this study advances our understanding of how mTORC1 exerts metabolic control in a physiological setting. Notably, hepatic mTORC1 and ATF4 are both upregulated in obesity [81–83]. It will be interesting in future studies to determine the relative contribution of stress signaling and mTORC1 signaling to ATF4 activation in this setting, perhaps revealing how adaptive regulation of ATF4 with feeding becomes maladaptive when chronically engaged in disease.
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