A Cohort Study of Liver Involvement in Patients With Adult-Onset Still’s Disease: Prevalence, Characteristics and Impact on Prognosis
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Objective: Adult-onset Still’s disease (AOSD) is a systemic disorder commonly accompanied by liver involvement. This study aims to illustrate the detailed information of liver abnormalities in patients with AOSD and evaluate the impact on the prognosis.

Methods: A total number of 128 hospitalized patients, who met the Yamaguchi criteria of AOSD in the Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Ruijin Hospital from July 2016 to August 2019 were consecutively enrolled and followed up. The demographic characteristics, clinical features, laboratory tests, treatments and prognosis were recorded. Correlations of liver function tests (LFTs) with disease activity and laboratory parameters were analyzed by the Spearman test. Risk factors of the refractory AOSD were evaluated by multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Results: Liver involvement was presented in 104 (81.3%) patients with AOSD. We observed that 34 (32.7%) patients were with mild elevation, 32 (30.8%) patients were with moderate elevation, and 38 (36.5%) patients were with severe elevation. The majority of elevated ALT, AST and ALP decreased to normal within the range of 2 months, except for GGT. Furthermore, the LFTs were found significantly correlated with disease activity. Besides, we found patients with higher levels of LFTs tended to require more intensive treatments and suffered from poorer prognosis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed ALP $\geq$ 141 IU/L and GGT $\geq$ 132 IU/L are independent risk factors of refractory AOSD.

Conclusion: Liver involvement is common in patients with AOSD, the levels of LFTs are associated with disease activity and related to the treatment strategies and prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Adult-onset Still’s disease (AOSD) is a rare systemic autoinflammatory disorder. The etiology and pathogenesis of AOSD still mostly undetermined (1, 2). Patients with AOSD often presented with high-spiking fevers, evanescent skin rash, arthralgia/arthritis, neutrophilic leukocytosis and hyperferritinemia. In addition to these major manifestations, liver involvement is common but very heterogeneous, ranging from minimal liver enzyme elevation to life-threatening fulminant hepatic failure (3–7). The prevalence of elevated transaminases varies from 23 to 94%, according to different studies. However, a majority of them reported that more than half of the patients had abnormal liver function tests (LFTs) (3, 8–13). Although only limited data revealed the characteristics and outcomes of liver involvement in patients with AOSD, the detailed features of liver involvement remain rather scarce. For example, the time needed for recovery of the abnormal LFTs was ambiguous, and the relationship of LFTs with treatment and prognosis is undermined (3, 11).

The present study aims to illustrate the detailed information, treatment strategies and outcomes of liver involvement in patients with AOSD, to analyze the correlations of LFTs with other laboratory values and to further explore the prognostic importance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 128 AOSD patients admitted to the Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Ruijin Hospital from July 2016 to August 2019 were consecutively enrolled and followed up. All patients met the Yamaguchi diagnostic criteria (14). Besides, patients with a history of alcohol abuse, evidence of other chronic hepatobiliary or pancreatic diseases were excluded. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the clinical records were anonymized before analysis. This survey was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital (ID: 2016–62) and was conducted following the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data Collection

The demographic characteristics, comorbidities, clinical features, laboratory values, and treatment strategies were collected. The following clinical features were recorded: fever, typical rash, arthralgia, arthritis, myalgia, lymphadenopathy, sore throat, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, abdominal pain, and sore throat. The splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and lymphadenopathy were evaluated by ultrasound or computed tomography (CT) scans. Pleural effusion or pleuritis and pneumonia were assessed by CT scans. Pericarditis was confirmed by echocardiography or CT scans.

The laboratory parameters recorded including complete blood counts, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), prealbumin (preAlb), albumin (Alb), total bilirubin (TBil), prothrombin time (PT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), ferritin, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), levels of interleukin(IL)-1β, the soluble receptor of IL-2 (sIL-2R), IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-18 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, and profiles of T-cell subsets (CD3+, CD4+, and CD8+) and B-cell subsets (CD19+ and CD20+). All laboratory tests were performed in a core laboratory.

The liver involvement was defined as hepatomegaly and/or elevation of any LFT throughout the disease course. The LFTs including ALT, AST, ALP, and GGT. The upper limits of normal (ULN) are 40 IU/L in ALT and AST, 126 IU/L in ALP, and 64 IU/L in GGT. For this study, the peak values of LFTs were recorded and the levels of LFTs elevation were categorized into normal, mild (higher than 2 ULN but ≤ 5 ULN), moderate (higher than 2 ULN but ≤ 5 ULN) and severe (> 5 ULN) according to times to ULN. Patients were grouped as normal, mild, moderate or severe LFT abnormality according to the highest level of elevation among the four LFTs. The LFTs were followed at various points during hospitalization and follow-up clinic visits to count the recovery time. However, the recovery time of three patients who died in the hospital was obtained.

The systemic score proposed by Pouchot et al. was calculated to evaluate the disease activity and severity (3). This scoring system counts the total number of the following 12 manifestations: fever, typical skin rash, sore throat, myalgia, abdominal pain, pneumonia, pleuritis, pericarditis, splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, hepatomegaly or abnormal liver function tests, and leukocytes > 15,000/mm3. The diagnosis of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) was based on 2004-HLH criteria (15).

The treatment strategies were also recorded. The dosages of glucocorticoid were calculated equivalent to prednisolone, and a dose equivalent to prednisolone more than 100 mg was classified as a very high dose (16). Besides, refractory AOSD was defined as active disease status despite prednisolone over 1 mg/kg/day for more than 1 week with or without disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). This was further confirmed by two experienced rheumatologists. The disease course of patients with AOSD was divided into three distinct types: monocyclic, poly-cyclic and chronic courses over more than 1 year of follow-up (1). The joint radiographs were obtained from patients with joint involvement. The disease pattern was considered a “chronic articular pattern” when patients had radiographic joint space narrowing, erosion, or ankylosis, otherwise, it was a systemic pattern (17).

Statistics

Variables were presented as frequency counts (%) for categorical variables and median (interquartile range, IQR) for continuous data, while the values of LFTs were presented as median [range]. The continuous data were compared using Mann-Whitney U-test for two groups or Kruskal–Wallis tests for multiple groups. Proportions were analyzed using χ² test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Spearman correlation test was used to assess the correlations between LFTs with different variables. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were calculated to determine values at the maximum Youden index as cut-off points for continuous variables. Variables (including socio-demographic
variables, clinical features, disease activity score and laboratory values) were further assessed by logistic regression analyses to estimate the risk for refractory AOSD. Variables identified in univariate analyses \( (p < 0.05) \) were then entered into a forward stepwise multivariable logistic regression model. All \( p \)-values were two-sided, and a \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA).

**RESULTS**

**Demographic Characteristics and Clinical Features of 128 Patients With AOSD**

A total of 128 cases with AOSD were enrolled in the present study, and the characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1. The median age of the patients was 35 (27, 47) years old with a female predominance (79.7%). The most common clinical presentations were high fever (94.5%), arthralgia (93.8%), lymphadenopathy (89.8%), liver involvement (81.3%), and sore throat (80.5%). 77.3% of patients with AOSD had ferritin \( > 5 \) ULN and 61.7% had leukocytes \( > 15,000/mm^3 \). The median systemic score was \( 7 (5, 8) \). We identified 104 (81.3%) patients with AOSD who had liver involvement, of which 18 (17.3%) had hepatomegaly. Besides, we found male patients tend to have liver involvement \( (p = 0.027) \), while no significant differences were observed in age, body mass index, or comorbidities between patients with and without liver involvement. Of note, patients with liver involvement had significantly higher systemic score \( (p < 0.001) \) and increased possibility of pleuritis \( (p = 0.023) \), pneumonia \( (p = 0.016) \), pericarditis \( (p = 0.001) \), and ferritin \( > 5 \) ULN \( (p = 0.027) \). Patients with liver involvement tended to exhibit a severer clinical picture with raised disease activity.

**The Liver Abnormalities and Recovery Time of LFTs in AOSD Patients With Liver Involvement**

The features of liver abnormalities in patients with liver involvement were shown in Table 2. We found all patients with hepatomegaly had elevated LFTs. Among patients with liver involvement, 81.7% had elevated ALT, 87.5% had elevated AST, 51.0% had elevated ALP, and 72.1% had elevated GGT throughout the disease course. Furthermore, 78 (75.0%) patients had decreased preAlb, 88 (84.6%) patients had decreased Alb, 6 (5.8%) patients had elevated TBil, and 3 (2.9%) patients had prolonged PT. The median of peak ALT was 99 [11, 3,436] IU/L; the peak AST was 87 [16, 3,237] IU/L; the peak ALP was 132.5 [38, 491] IU/L; and the peak GGT was 117 [14, 696] IU/L. To evaluate the levels of elevation in patients with AOSD, we grouped the patients according to the highest levels of elevation among four LFTs and identified 34 (32.7%) patients with mild...
TABLE 2 | LFTs and associated laboratory values of patients with liver involvement.

| Variables                  | n = 104 |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Elevation of ALT           | 85 (81.7)|
| Elevation of AST           | 91 (87.5)|
| Elevation of ALP           | 53 (51.0)|
| Elevation of GGT           | 75 (72.1)|
| Peak ALT (IU/L)            | 99 [11, 3436]|
| Peak AST (IU/L)            | 87 [16, 3237]|
| Peak ALP (IU/L)            | 132.5 [38, 491]|
| Peak GGT (IU/L)            | 117 [14, 469]|

Levels of elevation
- Mild (ULN < LFTs ≤ 2 ULN): 34 (32.7)
- Moderate (2 ULN < LFTs ≤ 5 ULN): 32 (30.8)
- Severe (LFTs > 5 ULN): 38 (36.5)
- PreAlb < 180 mg/L: 78 (75.0)
- Alb < 35 g/L: 88 (84.6)
- TBI > 24 μmol/L: 6 (5.8)
- PT > 16 s: 3 (2.9)
- Hepatomegaly: 18 (17.3)

Data are presented as median [range] for the peak values of LFTs and as frequency counts (%) for categorical variables. LFTs, liver function tests; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; ULN, upper limits of normal; preAlb, prealbumin; Alb, albumin; TBI, total bilirubin; PT, prothrombin time.

The Treatments and Outcomes of Patients According to the Levels of LFTs
To investigate the influence of LFTs on the treatments, the therapy strategies were compared among patients with different levels of LFTs (Table 3). Our analysis showed that patients with higher levels of elevation were ultimately prescribed with higher dosages of glucocorticoid (p < 0.001); moreover, 63.2% of patients with severe elevation required a very high dose of glucocorticoid (p < 0.001). With regards to DMARDs, patients with higher levels of LFTs were more often treated with cyclosporine A (CsA) (p = 0.015), intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) (p < 0.001) and etoposide (p < 0.001), while less likely to be treated with methotrexate (MTX) (p < 0.001) and hydroxychloroquine (HQC) (p = 0.014). There was no significant difference in the application of biologics. Among all receiving biologic agents, one patient with a severe elevation of LFTs was refractory to multiple therapeutic options and was finally treated with glucocorticoid combined with tofacitinib plus anakinra during follow-up. As a result, patients with high levels of LFTs tend to require more intensive treatments.

Besides, our results showed that the incidence of HLH (p < 0.001) was significantly higher in patients with higher levels of LFTs elevation, the same as the incidence of refractory AOSD (p = 0.001). Furthermore, three in-hospital died patients had significantly elevated LFTs with peak ALT 787 [740, 1932] IU/L, AST 3102 [1435, 3237] IU/L, ALP 318 [218, 341] IU/L, and GGT 201 [133, 273] IU/L, and two of them suffered from acute hepatic failure. However, the disease courses and patterns were similar among different levels of LFTs elevation over more than 1-year follow-up.
The Predictive Values of the LFTs in Refractory AOSD

The response to therapy varied in patients with AOSD and was difficult to predict. As shown in Table 3, we found patients with significant liver involvement tend to be refractory AOSD. To evaluate the predictive values of the clinical and laboratory variables in refractory AOSD, we further performed logistic regression analyses (Table 4). The univariate analyses indicated that these factors significantly associated with refractory AOSD: fever, skin rash, arthritis, splenomegaly, hepatomegaly, pleuritis, pneumonia, ferritin ≥ 3,427 ng/ml, ESR ≥ 69 mm/h, CRP ≥ 127.5 mg/L, N% ≥ 86.3%, Hb ≤ 109.5 g/L, LDH ≥ 450 IU/L, ALT ≥ 87 IU/L, AST ≥ 111 IU/L, ALP ≥ 141 IU/L, GGT ≥ 132 IU/L, preAlb ≤ 163 mg/L, Alb ≤ 31.5 g/L or PT ≥ 13.55s. Given the association between many of the covariates, multiple logistic regression analysis was then performed, simultaneously including all variables with statistical significance. Multivariable logistic regression analysis by stepwise forward selection identified the skin rash (OR: 5.66; 95%CI: 1.06, 30.11), splenomegaly (OR: 5.27; 95%CI: 1.77, 15.67), ESR ≥ 69 mm/h (OR: 6.95; 95%CI: 2.17, 22.21), ALP ≥ 141 IU/L (OR: 5.48; 95%CI: 1.40, 21.55) and GGT ≥ 132 IU/L (OR: 5.13; 95%CI: 1.30, 20.22) as independent predictors of refractory AOSD. Thus, the LFTs may be predictors for refractory AOSD, especially ALP and GGT, which are independent risk factors.

DISCUSSION

AOSD is a systemic inflammatory disease, and liver involvement is frequently observed but quite heterogeneous with non-specific histopathologic changes (3). However, the characteristics and prognosis of liver involvement remain not well-elucidated. Herein, we first described the detailed data of LFTs in patients with AOSD, analyzed the associations with disease activity score and laboratory values, represented the distribution of recovery time, and demonstrated the prognostic importance of LFTs.

The presence of liver involvement varies in different countries and races (3, 8–11, 13). Zhu et al. found that 62.3% of the patients with AOSD had abnormal transaminases, 32.9% had elevated ALP, and 48.1% had elevated GGT (11). The higher incidence of abnormal LFTs in our cohort may related to the longer observation period and the enrollment of only hospitalized patients. Besides, the liver abnormalities in patients with AOSD were considered mainly a mild to moderate increase in aminotransferase activity (1). Zhu et al. revealed one-quarter of the patients with abnormal transaminases were five times higher than ULN (11). Consistently, the elevation of LFTs was mild to moderate in more than half of the patients, while more than one-third of the patients had severe LFTs elevation. The abnormal liver enzymes are usually mild cytolysis, but severe cytolysis and cholestasis may occur (3, 11). Formerly, the elevation of liver enzymes was reported mostly transient (3, 11, 18). Our study, for the first time, described the distribution of recovery time of abnormal LFTs. However, the recovery time was not as quick as expected, especially in GGT. In general, liver involvement is very common and, in most cases, not severe. But high levels of LFTs could occur in some patients so that close monitoring would be needed.

The correlation analyses of LFTs with disease biomarkers showed a significant correlation with LDH, an indicator of cell death and tissue damage (19). Besides, strong associations were found with ferritin, high levels of which act as a sign of macrophage activation and have been hypothesized as a pathogenic protein contributing to the development of a self-perpetuating cytokine storm (20, 21). The cytokine cascade plays an important role in the pathogenesis of AOSD (1). Regarding the cytokines, we found that AST had the strongest correlation with sIL-2R, a truncated protein cleaved from the IL-2Rα protein when T cells are activated, acting as a surrogate indicator of T cell activation as well as an important diagnostic marker of
HLH, followed by IL-18, IL-10, and TNF-α, cytokines reflecting the diseases activity of AOSD (22–24). Previously study showed that IL-18 markedly increased in AOSD patients, and Priori et al. revealed intensive expression of macrophage-derived IL-18 in liver parenchyma in an AOSD patient with hepatitis, which indicated that IL-18 may contribute to liver damage (6, 25, 26).

The adaptive immunity is also considered involved in the pathogenesis of AOSD including deficiency in regulatory T cells (26). We found the percentage of CD8+ T cells positively associated with AST, while the CD4+/CD8+ ratio, the rates of CD19+ and CD20+ subsets of B cells correlated negatively. Jung et al. further explored the T cell differentiation in 14 patients with AOSD. They demonstrated that ALT and AST positively correlated with CD4+ naïve T cells and CD4+ central memory T cells, and negatively correlated with CD4+ effector memory T cells, and ALT positively correlated with CD8+ central memory T cells (27). However, the data of the B-cell subsets were scarce, although a successful treatment of refractory AOSD with B cell

|                  | ALT   | AST   | ALP   | GGT   | PreAlb | Alb   |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| Adjusted systemic score† | 0.222* | 0.265** | 0.377**** | 0.303*** | -0.249** | -0.298*** |
| Leukocytes       | 0.070 | -0.196* | 0.249** | 0.151 | 0.021 | -0.249** |
| N%               | 0.064 | -0.050 | 0.297** | 0.149 | -0.134 | -0.290** |
| ESR              | -0.172 | -0.092 | 0.185* | 0.005 | -0.336*** | -0.372**** |
| CRP              | 0.069 | 0.078 | 0.339**** | 0.209* | -0.511**** | -0.421**** |
| LDH              | 0.476**** | 0.781**** | 0.244** | 0.311** | -0.366**** | -0.390**** |
| Ferritin         | 0.303** | 0.494**** | 0.322*** | 0.342**** | -0.382**** | -0.514**** |
| IL-1β            | -0.087 | -0.171 | 0.240* | 0.064 | -0.068 | -0.136 |
| sIL-2R           | 0.238* | 0.599**** | 0.173 | 0.221* | -0.465**** | -0.438**** |
| IL-6             | 0.021 | 0.008 | 0.225* | 0.089 | -0.522**** | -0.198* |
| IL-8             | -0.093 | -0.236* | 0.160 | 0.023 | 0.026 | -0.182 |
| IL-10            | 0.158 | 0.368*** | 0.261** | 0.154 | -0.373*** | -0.316** |
| IL-18            | 0.266** | 0.443**** | 0.080 | 0.144 | -0.077 | -0.133 |
| TNF-α            | 0.092 | 0.347*** | 0.127 | 0.064 | -0.297** | -0.280** |
| CD3+            | 0.125 | 0.188 | 0.046 | 0.046 | -0.079 | -0.031 |
| CD3+CD4+        | -0.171 | -0.254* | -0.198 | -0.175 | 0.098 | 0.143 |
| CD3+CD8+        | 0.185 | 0.292** | 0.191 | 0.149 | -0.064 | -0.185 |
| CD4+/CD8+       | -0.179 | -0.270** | -0.203* | -0.166 | 0.077 | 0.170 |
| CD19%           | -0.225* | -0.403**** | 0.030 | -0.059 | 0.190 | 0.022 |
| CD20%           | -0.273* | -0.426**** | 0.018 | -0.095 | 0.106 | 0.032 |

FIGURE 2 | Correlation matrix of LFTs and prealbumin and albumin with disease activity score and laboratory parameters. The heat-map displays the Spearman’s rank coefficient to show the correlation strength, and the significances are presented as *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001. †The adjusted systemic score excluded the item “hepatomegaly or abnormal liver function” from the calculation of the score. LFTs, liver function tests; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; preAlb, prealbumin; Alb, albumin; N%, the percentage of neutrophils; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IL, interleukin; sIL-2R, soluble receptor of IL-2; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.
depletion was reported (28). A thorough profile of peripheral immunophenotyping in patients with AOSD is required to investigate further.

In addition, we first discovered that the levels of preAlb and Alb in patients with AOSD were negatively associated with multiple well-known disease activity biomarkers, which reflect disease activity. Although the reduced levels of preAlb and Alb might result from malnutrition, the systemic inflammation can also suppress the production of preAlb and Alb, as part of the acute phase response (29–32). The decreased levels of these two proteins were also described in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and the Alb levels were found to reflect disease activity (33, 34). Besides, the serum preAlb or Alb levels were previously revealed associated with poor prognosis in systemic sclerosis, cardiovascular diseases and renal diseases (35–38). In conclusion, the levels of preAlb and Alb are also disease activity markers in patients with AOSD.

Besides, we revealed that patients with higher levels of LFTs had a higher possibility of developing HLH, which is a life-threatening complication of AOSD (39). According to Ruscitti et al., patients with liver involvement had an almost 6-fold higher risk of HLH than those without (39). Néel et al. observed the association of bone marrow hemophagocytosis with AST in AOSD (40). Patients with high levels of LFTs might indicate exaggerated inflammatory responses requiring a high dose of glucocorticoids and/or more powerful immunosuppressants. As secondary HLH is commonly triggered by infections, malignancies, or autoinflammatory/autoimmune disorders, we think that liver function abnormalities should weight more for the diagnose of HLH secondary to AOSD, as it is already included in the recent criteria for MAS in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (sJIA), a continuum of a single disease entity of AOSD (41).

However, it’s quite a management dilemma that patients with active AOSD with a severe elevation of LFTs required more intensive therapies, but hepatic toxicity was reported in some drugs (42–44). Although a previous study showed that the presence of LFTs abnormalities does not contraindicate methotrexate prescription, the administration of MTX and HCQ declined with the elevation of LFTs in our cohort (45). Néel et al. showed that the efficacy rate of IVIGs, cyclosporine, and anakinra were 11/27 (41%), 13/18 (72%), and 8/9 (89%), respectively in patients with AOSD admitted to intensive care medicine (ICU) (40). From our results, the application rate of CsA, etoposide,
IVIGs and a high dose of glucocorticoids was higher in patients with severe elevation of LFTs. To sum up, the patients with higher levels of LFTs may be more severe and ultimately required more intensive treatments in clinical practice.

The combination of heterogeneous symptoms, complex laboratory results and polymorphic prognosis predicts responses to treatment extremely difficult in patients with AOSD. Néel et al. found that the overall response rate of glucocorticoids was only 50% (40). A similar response rate was identified in our cohort; in addition, we found that refractory AOSD was more common in patients with a higher level of LFTs. However, a predictive tool for refractory AOSD was not available yet. Our analyses showed that multiple factors could reflect the risk of refractoriness; more importantly, two of the LFTs (ALP ≥ 141 IU/L and GGT ≥ 132 IU/L) were independent predictive factors for refractory AOSD. Several newly biologic agents, such as anakinra, tocilizumab and tofacitinib, showed strong efficacy and steroid-sparing effects in AOSD patients (46–49). As a result, the prediction of refractory AOSD may be helpful in timely tailoring therapy to improve the prognosis.

Our study provided details of liver involvement in AOSD patients with relatively large sample size. We revealed a high proportion of patients with elevated LFTs and recorded the recovery time of liver enzymes. Also, we analyzed the association of LFTs with detail clinical features and various cytokines, treatment strategies and prognosis. Furthermore, we explored the risk factors that contributed to refractory AOSD and proposed potential predictive factors for the first time. However, there are still some limitations. Firstly, due to the retrospective design setting, it's hard to completely exclude the effects of confounding factors such as treatments. Besides, this study was based on hospitalized patients in a tertiary hospital which might lead to selection bias. As a result, multicenter well-designed studies are needed to verify the results of our cohort.

**CONCLUSION**

To sum up, our study confirmed that the liver involvement was common; meanwhile, the elevated liver enzymes correlated with disease activity in patients with AOSD and the recovery time of abnormal LFTs was not always as quick as we expected. Besides, patients with higher levels of LFTs tend to receive more intensive treatments and suffer from poorer prognosis. Lastly, several biochemical biomarkers could be predictors of refractory AOSD, especially elevated ALP and GGT, which are independent risk factors.

---

**TABLE 4 | Logistic regression of risk factors of refractory AOSD.**

| Parameter† | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|            | OR (95%CI)          | p-value              | OR (95%CI)          | p-value |
| Fever      | 4.35 (1.91, 9.93)   | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Skin rash  | 3.43 (1.28, 9.21)   | 0.015                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Arthritis  | 2.44 (1.13, 5.27)   | 0.033                | 5.27 (1.77, 15.67)  | 0.003   |
| Splenomegaly| 5.00 (2.34, 10.68)  | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Hepatomegaly| 9.55 (2.04, 44.69)  | 0.001                | 5.27 (1.77, 15.67)  | 0.003   |
| Pleuritis  | 4.02 (1.91, 8.46)   | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Pneumonia  | 2.65 (1.22, 5.77)   | 0.019                | 5.27 (1.77, 15.67)  | 0.003   |
| Ferritin ≥ 3,427 (ng/mL) | 4.58 (1.95, 10.73) | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| ESR ≥ 69 (mm/h) | 3.31 (1.54, 7.13)   | 0.002                | 6.95 (2.17, 22.21)  | 0.001   |
| CRP ≥ 127.5 (mg/L) | 3.05 (1.24, 7.51)   | 0.015                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| N% ≥ 86.3% | 2.70 (1.15, 6.34)   | 0.032                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Hb ≤ 109.5 (g/L) | 3.40 (1.62, 7.12)   | 0.001                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| LDH ≥ 450 (IU/L) | 3.80 (1.77, 8.17)   | 0.001                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| ALT ≥ 87 (IU/L) | 4.88 (2.20, 10.82)  | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| AST ≥ 111 (IU/L) | 6.00 (2.43, 14.84)  | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| ALP ≥ 141 (IU/L) | 8.27 (3.53, 19.38)  | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| GGT ≥ 132 (IU/L) | 8.00 (3.24, 19.73)  | <0.001               | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| PreAlb ≤ 163 (mg/L) | 2.50 (1.13, 5.55)   | 0.034                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| Alb ≥ 31.5 (g/L) | 2.96 (1.37, 6.42)   | 0.006                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |
| PT ≥ 13.55 (s) | 3.50 (1.43, 8.58)   | 0.005                | 5.66 (1.06, 30.11)  | 0.042   |

†ROC analyses were applied to determine values at the maximum Youden index as cut-off points for continuous variables. Data are presented as OR (95%CI). A p < 0.05 is shown in bold type. ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; N%, the percentage of neutrophils; Hb, hemoglobin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; preAlb, prealbumin; Alb, albumin; PT, prothrombin time; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
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