Abstract. Experimental findings indicated that 2-methoxyestradiol (2-ME), an endogenous metabolite of 17β-estradiol, may exhibit anti-tumorigenic properties in various types of tumour, such as melanoma and endometrial carcinoma. In patients with endometrial cancer, the serum levels of 2-ME are decreased compared with those in healthy controls, and this finding has been associated with a poor outcome. The aim of the present study was to examine whether the serum levels of 2-ME are decreased in patients with malignant melanoma (MM), and whether this decrease may be correlated with disease stage and, therefore, serve as a prognostic indicator. ELISA was used to detect serum levels of 2-ME in patients with stage I-IV malignant melanoma (MM). A cohort of 78 patients with MM was analysed, along with 25 healthy controls, among whom 15 were women in the second trimester of pregnancy (positive control). As expected, significantly elevated levels of serum 2-ME were observed in pregnant control patients compared with those in patients with MM and healthy controls. There was no observed correlation between 2-ME serum levels in patients with MM and disease stage, tumour thickness, lactate dehydrogenase or S100 calcium-binding protein B levels. In addition, the 2-ME levels of patients with MM did not differ significantly from those of normal healthy controls. Overall, the findings of the present study indicated that the 2-ME serum levels in patients with MM were not decreased, and there was no correlation with early- or advanced-stage disease. Therefore, in contrast to published results on endometrial cancer, endogenous serum 2-ME levels in MM were not found to be correlated with tumour stage and did not appear to be a suitable prognostic factor in MM.

Introduction

Human malignant melanoma (MM) is a life-threatening and highly aggressive tumour with a pronounced propensity to metastasize. The incidence and mortality rates of MM have been increasing worldwide. In Germany, there were an estimated 21,410 new MM cases and 3,042 deaths in 2016, whereas the reported MM incidence among women and men was reported to increase by >4% annually between 2003 and 2013 (1). Although the 5-year survival rate for early-stage MM is >90% and drug therapy for metastatic MM has rapidly progressed in recent years, the 3-year overall survival decreases to <60% for advanced-stage MM with distant metastasis (2). Therefore, it is crucial to identify diagnostic markers to enable early identification of disease progression, which may be pivotal in improving survival outcomes for patients with advanced-stage MM. However, only a few clinically useful serological markers are currently available, and the prognostic outcome is mainly determined by tumour thickness, lymph node involvement, ulceration status of the excised primary tumour and identification of distant metastasis. To date, the only biomarker used in the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system is lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), the most validated and strongest independent prognostic factor for MM with distant metastasis (3). In addition to LDH, S100 calcium-binding protein B (S100B) is another prognostic and diagnostic serum marker in MM patients with distant metastasis for monitoring tumour response to treatment and identifying recurrent disease (4). Several other diagnostic serum factors have been investigated in MM with distant disease, such as melanoma inhibitory activity protein, galectin-3, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and matrix metalloproteinase-9, but none have reached clinical relevance (5). Therefore, there are...
currently no validated serum markers for identifying MM progression at its earlier stages.

2-Methoxyestradiol (2-ME) is an endogenous metabolite of 17β-estradiol, which is generated by catechol-O-methyltransferase (6). Recent studies have revealed that the serum levels of 2-ME are low in non-pregnant women and physiologically increase during pregnancy, reaching a maximum during the last trimester, whereas 2-ME level is suppressed in women with preeclampsia (7). A number of studies suggested that 2-ME is critical for placental homeostasis by regulation of cytotrophoblast differentiation and oxygen tension during normal pregnancy, whereas impaired 2-ME levels have been implicated in the pathophysiology of preeclampsia (8). Interestingly, beside these physiological effects in pregnancy, it has been found that 2-ME exerts anticancer effects by inducing growth arrest or caspase-dependent and -independent apoptosis in a number of tumour cell lines (e.g., melanoma, bladder cancer, leukaemia and ovarian cancer) in vitro and in mouse experiments in vivo (9-12). Additionally, 2-ME has been shown to inhibit angiogenesis by modulating angiogenesis-related genes, such as hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and VEGF (13,14). Therefore, clinical trials with 2-ME in cancer treatment are underway (15). Furthermore, it has been found that 2-ME levels were reduced in patients with endometrial cancer compared with those in healthy controls (16). In melanoma cell 2-ME was able to inhibit cell growth and to induce apoptosis (17).

In view of this data and with the knowledge, that angiogenesis plays a crucial role in melanoma progression it was hypothesized that 2-ME serum levels could be reduced in patients with malignant melanoma. Therefore, the aim of the present pilot study was to analyse 2-ME serum levels in melanoma patients compared to healthy volunteers (negative control) and pregnant woman (positive control). We analysed whether 2-ME serum levels of patients with MM are correlated with the tumour stage and if they can be used as prognostic/diagnostic serum markers during disease progression. The evaluation of 2-ME as a treatment option in melanoma patients was not addressed in this study.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement. All patients and healthy controls provided written informed consent prior to enrolment and publication of any data according to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee Frankfurt ( ethic vote SDO-01-2013) and Mainz [ethic vote 837.270.14 (9509)].

Study population. A total of 78 treated and subsequently followed-up patients with histologically confirmed stage I-IV MM recruited between May 2014 and August 2016 from the Departments of Dermatology of the University Hospitals in Frankfurt and Mainz (Germany) were included in the present study. The patients were staged according to the 8th edition of the AJCC staging system (3). The following data on the characteristics of each patient were collected where available: Sex, age, Breslow's tumour thickness, disease stage according to the AJCC staging system, serum LDH and S100B levels. Details on the clinical and histopathological characteristics of all enrolled patients are provided in Table I.

Control samples. Serum samples were collected from 10 healthy blood donors (4 women and 6 men with a mean age of 41 years; range, 30-53 years) at the Department of Dermatology of the University Hospital Frankfurt (Germany) and used as controls for this study. Additionally, serum samples were collected from 15 healthy women in the second trimester of pregnancy, (mean age, 32 years; range, 26-40 years) at the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics of the University Hospital Frankfurt (Germany) and used as positive controls for 2-ME serum levels.

Sample collection. Venous blood samples were obtained and separated within 1 h by centrifugation at 1,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. All collected serum samples were stored at -80°C until analysis. Lipaemic or haemolysed samples were eliminated from the study.

Measurement of 2-ME serum levels. Serum samples were assayed with the 2-ME ELISA kit cat. no. 582261 (Cayman Chemical Company) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The optical density was measured using an ELISA reader (ELISA-Reader ASYS Expert 96; Deelux Labortechnik GmbH).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel and SigmaPlot 12.0 software (Systat Software, Inc.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant differences. To test for normality distribution, the Shapiro-Wilk test was performed. The Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney U test was used to test for differences between two groups. For differences between more than two groups, the Kruskal-Wallis test was applied. Subsequently, to test for differences between groups, Dunn’s post hoc analysis was employed. Correlation coefficients were determined by Spearman's correlation analysis.

Results

Comparison of 2-ME serum levels between controls and patients with MM. First, the 2-ME serum levels of the healthy volunteers (negative control group) and healthy women in second trimester of pregnancy (positive control group) were evaluated at baseline. As expected, it was observed that healthy pregnant women exhibited significantly higher mean concentrations of 2-ME in comparison with the healthy control group (258.4 vs. 138.7 pg/ml, respectively; P<0.05). Furthermore, the 2-ME serum levels of the 78 recruited MM patients were evaluated. No significant difference in the serum levels of 2-ME was observed between MM patients and the healthy control group (121.7 vs. 138.7 pg/ml, respectively; P>0.05), whereas the serum levels of healthy pregnant women (positive control) were significantly higher compared with those of patients with MM (258.4 vs. 121.7 pg/ml, respectively; P<0.05). Taken together, these findings demonstrated that serum 2-ME is not suitable for distinguishing between MM patients and healthy controls (Fig. I).

Association between serum 2-ME levels and clinical prognostic factors in MM. Further analysis revealed that the serum levels of 2-ME were not correlated with tumour thickness in MM patients (r=0.188, P>0.05). Evaluation of 2-ME serum levels in relation to the tumour stages of the TNM classification (T0-T4) showed no significant differences
between groups (P>0.05) (Table II). Further evaluation of
tumour substages was not performed due to the limited sample
size. Furthermore, a possible association between the serum
levels of 2-ME and S100B in the recruited MM patients was
investigated. It was observed that 2-ME could not differen-
tiate between patients with normal S100B levels (≤0.105 µg/l;
n=57) and those with elevated S100B levels (≥0.105 µg/l;
n=13) (124.4 vs. 111.9 pg/ml, respectively; r=−0.0485; P>0.05).
Additionally, a possible correlation between the serum levels
of 2-ME and LDH was investigated in the patients. The
results of the analysis demonstrated that 2-ME was unable to
distinguish between MM patients with normal serum LDH
levels (<248 U/l; n=46) and those with elevated serum LDH
levels (≥248 U/l; n=24) (128.1 vs. 110.5 pg/ml, respectively;
r=−0.0478; P>0.05). Finally, the 2-ME serum level distribution
was analysed in MM patients with AJCC stage I (113.6 pg/ml;
n=8), II (122.2 pg/ml; n=11), III (132.2 pg/ml; n=25) and IV
(116.8 pg/ml; n=34). The results revealed no significant corre-
lation between the concentration of 2-ME and AJCC stage
(r=−0.0417; P>0.05). Therefore, none of the known clinical
parameters, such as Breslow tumour thickness, S100B and
LDH serum levels and AJCC stage, were found to be signifi-
cantly correlated with the serum 2-ME levels in patients with
MM (Table II).

Table I. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with
melanoma (n=78).

| Clinical variable | Value |
|-------------------|-------|
| Sex, n            |       |
| Male              | 52    |
| Female            | 26    |
| Median age (range), years | 61 (30-87) |
| Breslow thickness of primary tumor, n |       |
| Tx                | 1     |
| T0 (no evidence of primary tumor) | 11    |
| T1 (≤1 mm)        |       |
| T1a (<0.8 mm, not ulcerated) | 7     |
| T1b (≥0.8 mm)     | 5     |
| T2 (1.1-2.0 mm)   | 21    |
| T3 (2.1-4.0 mm)   | 22    |
| T4 (>4 mm)        | 11    |
| Clinical stage, n |       |
| I                 | 7     |
| II                | 12    |
| III               | 25    |
| IV                | 34    |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, n |       |
| <248 U/l         | 46    |
| ≥248 U/l         | 24    |
| Unknown           | 8     |
| S100 calcium-binding protein B, n |       |
| <0.105 µg/l      | 57    |
| ≥0.105 µg/l      | 13    |
| Unknown           | 8     |

Discussion

MM is a potentially life-threatening disease with a poor
prognosis in the advanced stages (2). Improvement of survival
outcomes of patients with MM largely depends on early detec-
tion. To date, there are only two clinically approved serum
markers for the monitoring of patients with MM: S100B and
LDH. Unfortunately, the prognostic value of both markers is
limited and therefore, there is a great need to identify new
prognostic biomarkers.

From preclinical data, 2-ME appeared to be a promising
molecule to investigate as a prognostic marker in melanoma.
It has been demonstrated that 2-ME exerts anti-angiogenic
effects through downregulation of VEGF and HIF-1α and
induces apoptosis in endothelial cells (13,14,18). It has been
reported that 2-ME inhibits cell proliferation by inducing
apoptosis and promoting G2/M phase arrest of MM
cells (11,17). Additionally, exogenic applied 2-ME has been
shown to be effective against MM growth, independent of
the BRAF mutational status, and significantly decreased cell
proliferation in a 3D skin reconstruction model (11). Based on
these data and findings from a recent study on patients with
endometrial carcinoma who exhibited reduced endogenous
levels of 2-ME compared to healthy subjects, we decided to
assess 2-ME serum levels in patients with MM compared to
healthy volunteers (negative control) and pregnant woman
(positive control) (16). Our intention was to evaluate the poten-
tial of endogenously generated 2-ME, as a prognostic serum
marker and therefore patients were not exposed to exogenous
2-ME. As expected, the 2-ME serum levels were elevated in
pregnant healthy women compared to healthy volunteers and
MM patients. The 2-ME serum levels of the MM patients were
slightly, but not significantly, lower compared with those of
healthy controls. In the present study, 2-ME levels were not
found to be correlated with any of the analysed prognostic
relevant clinicopathological parameters, such as tumour thick-
ness, AJCC stage, S100B and LDH serum levels.
Although a relatively large number of 78 patients with malignant melanoma in different tumour stages were examined in this study, the tumour subgroups were in part relatively small, so that a further subdivision was not possible. Since no trend could be derived from the analyses so far, it is likely, that further subgroup analyses would not have yielded any additional findings. A further limitation is that the serum levels were determined only at one time point of the disease, regardless of the state of treatment. Future studies should address several time points of the disease and additionally evaluate treatments and tumour burden in order to rule out possible confounders.

In conclusion, these results are the first to indicate that endogenous 2-ME serum levels are not reduced in patients with MM. Therefore, in contrast to the potential treatment effects of exogenously administered 2-ME, endogenous 2-ME serum levels cannot be used as diagnostic or prognostic marker in MM. In our opinion, further investigations should focus on the therapeutic applications of systemically administered 2-ME in patients with MM, rather than the prognostic potential of 2-ME serum levels.
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Table II. 2-ME levels and the clinical characteristics of patients with melanoma.

| Clinical characteristics | Cases, n | Mean 2-ME serum levels, pg/ml | P-value | Spearman correlation coefficient |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Patients                 |          |                               |         |                                 |
| Pregnant controls        | 15       | 258.4                         | <0.05<sup>ab</sup> |                                 |
| Non-pregnant controls    | 10       | 138.7                         |         |                                 |
| Patients with MM         | 78       | 121.7                         |         |                                 |
| Tumor thickness (mm)     |          |                               |         |                                 |
| T0 (no evidence of primary tumor) | 11   | 129.3                         | >0.05<sup>b</sup> | -0.188                           |
| T1 (<1)                  | 12       | 148.1                         |         |                                 |
| T2 (1.1-2.0)             | 21       | 102.0                         |         |                                 |
| T3 (2.1-4.0)             | 22       | 136.2                         |         |                                 |
| T4 (>4)                  | 11       | 93.3                          |         |                                 |
| S100 calcium-binding protein B, µg/l |          |                               |         |                                 |
| <0.105                   | 57       | 124.4                         | >0.05<sup>c</sup> | -0.049                           |
| ≥0.105                   | 13       | 111.9                         |         |                                 |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, U/l |        |                               |         |                                 |
| <248                     | 46       | 128.1                         | >0.05<sup>c</sup> | -0.048                           |
| ≥248                     | 24       | 110.5                         |         |                                 |
| Clinical stage           |          |                               |         |                                 |
| I                        | 7        | 113.6                         | >0.05<sup>b</sup> | -0.042                           |
| II                       | 12       | 122.2                         |         |                                 |
| III                      | 25       | 132.2                         |         |                                 |
| IV                       | 34       | 116.8                         |         |                                 |

<sup>a</sup>Pregnant controls vs. non-pregnant controls or patients with MM; <sup>b</sup>Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s post-hoc test were employed for differences between multiple groups; <sup>c</sup>Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney U test was used for differences between two groups. 2-ME, 2-methoxyestradiol.
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