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Luteolin-Enriched Artichoke Leaf Extract Alleviates the Metabolic Syndrome in Mice with High-Fat Diet-Induced Obesity
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Abstract: This current study aimed to elucidate the effects and possible underlying mechanisms of long-term supplementation with dietary luteolin (LU)-enriched artichoke leaf (AR) in high-fat diet (HFD)-induced obesity and its complications (e.g., dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease) in C57BL/6N mice. The mice were fed a normal diet, an HFD, or an HFD plus AR or LU for 16 weeks. In the HFD-fed mice, AR decreased the adiposity and dyslipidemia by decreasing lipogenesis while increasing fatty acid oxidation, which contributed to better hepatic steatosis. LU also prevented adiposity and hepatic steatosis by suppressing lipogenesis while increasing biliary cholesterol excretion. Moreover, AR and LU prevented insulin sensitivity by decreasing the level of plasma gastric inhibitory polypeptide and activity of hepatic glucogenic enzymes, which may be linked to the lowering of inflammation as evidenced by the reduced plasma interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 levels. Although the anti-metabolic syndrome effects of AR and LU were similar, the anti-adiposity and anti-dyslipidemic effects of AR were more pronounced. These results in mice with diet-induced obesity suggest that long-term supplementation with AR can prevent adiposity and related metabolic disorders such as dyslipidemia, hepatic steatosis, insulin resistance, and inflammation.
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1. Introduction

Obesity or adiposity, which is rapidly increasing in both industrialized and non-industrialized countries, is a global epidemic that is constantly recognized as a health problem [1]. The World Health Organization estimated that among adults worldwide approximately 13% were obese and 39% were overweight in 2014. Obesity is defined as an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure [2]. Adipose tissues play an important role in the improvement of insulin resistance by regulating glucose and lipid metabolism as well as energy balance [3]. They also secrete a number of adipokines (e.g., chemokines, cytokines, and hormones) and produce free fatty acids (FFAs) that affect dyslipidemia [4]. Excessive fat accumulation leads to activation of the immune system and chronic low-grade inflammation. Increased circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines activate the immune system and affect insulin signaling and beta-cell dysfunction, which cause an insulin secretion disorder [5]. Dyslipidemia is characterized by elevated FFA, triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) and decreased high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels in plasma [6]. Obesity causes hypertension, cardiovascular disease, hyperlipidemia,
insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes mellitus [7]. It is also associated with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). The prevalence and seriousness of this disease increase with the degree of obesity. NAFLD includes a spectrum of liver diseases that range from a fatty liver to steatohepatitis and cirrhosis without alcohol supplementation [8].

The artichoke (Cynara scolymus), which is an herbaceous plant belonging to the Asteraceae family, is usually grown in Mediterranean countries (e.g., Italy, Spain, France, and North Africa) and America. Traditionally, the artichoke has been used as a food and as an important remedy for promoting diuresis and a depurative for the care of rheumatism and gout. It still plays an important part in human nutrition especially in the Mediterranean region. Artichoke flower heads, which are commonly referred to as capitula, are immature composite inflorescences that are the edible part of the plant. Leaf extracts of the artichoke plant are used in herbal medicines as a hepatoprotectant and choleretic and reportedly also have anti-carcinogenic, anti-oxidative, anti-human immunodeficiency virus, and antibacterial effects [9]. Artichoke leaves contain various phenolic compounds (about 7.13%) including chlorogenic acid (about 4.71%), cyanarin (about 1.62%), luteolin (about 0.42%), cymaroside (about 0.25%), and 1-caffeoylquinic acid (about 0.13%) [10,11]. Among them, luteolin (LU), which is a member of the flavonoids (a subclass of flavones), exists in vegetables and fruits such as celery, parsley, and peppermint. It reportedly has antitumor, anti-inflammatory, and anti-obesity effects [12,13]. Liu J and colleagues [14,15] reported that luteolin ameliorates diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance in mice by activating AMPKα1 signaling in adipose tissue macrophages. However, there are few reports on the effects of the artichoke on obesity and related metabolic disorders. No studies on the effects of long-term supplementation with LU-enriched artichoke leaf (AR) are available.

Accordingly, the aims of the current study were to investigate the effects and possible underlying mechanisms of long-term supplementation with AR on diet-induced obesity (DIO) and related metabolic abnormalities (e.g., dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and NAFLD) in C57BL/6N mice.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Animals and Diets

Male C57BL/6N mice (four weeks old) were obtained from Orient Bio (Gyeonggi-do, Korea). All mice were individually housed under a constant temperature (24 °C) with a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle and fed a normal chow diet for 1 week after arrival. The mice were then randomly divided into four groups according to the following diets: normal diet (ND group, AIN-76 purified diet, n = 10), high-fat diet (HFD group, 20% fat, 1% cholesterol, n = 10), HFD with 0.005% (w/w) of LU (LU group, n = 8), and HFD with 0.005% (w/w) of AR (AR group, n = 10), fed for 16 weeks, respectively. The dried artichoke powder was incorporated directly into the HFD and the content of LU in the AR extract was 80% (w/w) (Shaanxi Jiahe Phytochem Co., Ltd., Xi’an, China). All experimental diets were prepared every week and stored in a dark room at −4 °C. At the end of the experimental period, all mice were anesthetized with ether after 12 h of fasting. Blood was taken from the inferior vena cava to determine the plasma lipid, adipokine, and hormone concentrations. The liver and adipose tissues were removed, rinsed with physiological saline, weighed, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −70 °C until analysis. The animal study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee at the Kyungpook National University (Approval No. KNU 2016-0040).

2.2. Morphology of the Liver and Fat Tissues

The liver and epididymal white adipose tissue (WAT) were removed from the mice, fixed in a buffer solution of 10% formalin, and embedded in paraffin for staining with hematoxylin and eosin. The stained areas were viewed under an optical microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) at a magnifying power of 200×.
2.3. Plasma Biomarkers

The plasma TC, HDL-C, and TG concentrations were determined with commercial kits (Asan Pharm Co., Seoul, Korea). The plasma FFA level was measured using an enzymatic kit (Wako Chemicals, Osaka, Japan). The apolipoprotein A-I (ApoA-I) and apolipoprotein B100 (ApoB100) levels were also determined using enzymatic kits (Eiken Chemical Co., Tokyo, Japan). The non HDL-C value, HDL-C to TC ratio (HTR), and atherogenic index (AI) were calculated using the following formulas: non HDL-C = [(TC) − (HDL-C) − (TG/5)], HTR (%) = (HDL-C)/(TC) × 100, and AI = [(TC) − (HDL-C)]/(HDL-C). The plasma insulin, the gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP), adipokine (leptin and resistin), and cytokine (interleukin 1beta (IL-1β), IL-6, and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1)) levels were determined with a MILLIPLEX kit (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). All samples were analyzed with a Luminex 200 Labmap system with XPONENT software (Luminex, Austin, TX, USA).

2.4. Fasting Blood Glucose, Intraperitoneal Glucose Tolerance Test, and Homeostatic Index of Insulin Resistance

After fasting the mice for 12 h, the blood glucose level was measured from the tail veins with a glucose analyzer, One Touch Ultra (Lifescan Inc., Wayne, PA, USA). The intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) was conducted at week 15. In brief, after fasting the mice for 12 h, they were intraperitoneally injected with glucose (0.5 g/kg body weight). The blood glucose level was then measured from the tail vein at 0 min, 30 min, 60 min, and 120 min after the injection. The homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) was used to calculate the homeostatic index of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) as follows: HOMA-IR = [fasting glucose (mmol/L) × fasting insulin (µIU/mL)]/22.5.

2.5. Hepatic and Fecal Lipid Contents

Hepatic and fecal lipids were extracted and dried [16]. Then the dried lipid residues were dissolved in 1 mL of ethanol for TG, cholesterol, and fatty acid (FA) assays. Triton X-100 and a sodium cholate solution in distilled water were added to 200 µL of the dissolved lipid solution to emulsify the molecules. The TG, cholesterol, and FA concentrations were measured using the same enzymatic kits used for the plasma analyses.

2.6. Preparation of Hepatic Subcellular Fractions

Hepatic mitochondrial, cytosolic, and microsomal fractions were prepared as previously described [17]. The mitochondrial fraction was used to measure the glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) and carnitine palmitoyltransferase (CPT) activities while the cytosolic fraction was used to measure the malic enzyme (ME) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) activities. The microsomal fraction was used to measure the phosphatidate phosphohydrolase (PAP) activity. The protein concentrations were measured using the bicinchoninic acid assay.

2.7. Lipid-Regulating and Glucose-Regulating Enzymatic Activities

ME activity was measured according to the method described by Ochoa et al. [18] and by monitoring the production of NADPH at 340 nm in the cytosol. PAP activity was measured using the method described by Walton and Possmayer [19]. CPT activity was determined using the method described by Markwell et al. [20]. PEPCK activity was measured in relation to the synthesis of oxaloacetate and the reduction of NADH to NAD by using the spectrophotometric assay developed by Bentle and Lardy [21]. G6Pase activity was determined using the method described by Alegre et al. [22] with slight modifications.

2.8. Analysis of Gene Expression

The liver and adipose tissues were homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) and the total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the QuantiTect Reverse
Transcription Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The mRNA expression was quantified by real-time PCR (qPCR) using the SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen) and SDS7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Gene specific mouse primers were used as suggested in Table 1. The amplification was performed as follows: 10 min at 90 ºC, 15 s at 95 ºC, and 60 s at 60 ºC for a total of 35 cycles. The cycle threshold (Ct) was defined as the cycle at which a statistically significant increase in the SYBR Green emission intensity occurred. The Ct data were normalized using GAPDH and the relative gene expression level was calculated with the $2^{\Delta \Delta Ct}$ method.

Table 1. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR.

| Gene                                      | Primer Direction | Primer Sequence                  |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) | Forward Reverse   | 5'-CAAGTTCAACGGCACCAGTCAGG-3\'
|                                           |                  | 5'-ACATACTCAGCACCAGATCAC-3\'       |
| ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 5 (ABCG5) | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TCTTCCTCTTGACCTAGGGG-3\'       |
|                                           |                  | 5'-CGATTAGCTCTCCACCCGGT-3\'       |
| ABCG8                                     | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTACAGCTATGTCGCGGATAG-3\'       |
|                                           |                  | 5'-TGGTCATATATGTCGCGGATAG-3\'     |
| Cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector A (CIDEA) | Forward Reverse   | 5'-CTTGAAACATCGTGCGGATAT-3\'       |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGGCTCTTCATGATTCGACGT-3\'      |
| Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα) | Forward Reverse   | 5'-AAGTGTTGAACATCTCGAAGCT-3\'     |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGTATGATGTTGGCTTTATG-3\'       |
| PPAR-gamma coactivator 1alpha (PGC1α)      | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTCACGCTCATGCATGCGGATAG-3\'    |
|                                           |                  | 5'-TGGTCATATATGTCGCGGATAG-3\'     |
| PGC1β                                     | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTTGCCTGCTGACTACCTAG-3\'       |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGCCACATGAGGCGAGAG-3\'         |
| Sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1a (SREBP1a) | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTGTCGAAGCCGGTGCGGCGCCGAGCAT-3\'|
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGTACCCTGACTAGCGGATAG-3\'      |
| SREBP2                                    | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTTTCCTGATGGCGGAGCACCAGATAG-3\'|
|                                           |                  | 5'-TTTTCCTGATGGCGGAGCACCAGATAG-3\'|
| Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC)               | Forward Reverse   | 5'-AGCTTCTGACGAAACAGAAT-3\'       |
|                                           |                  | 5'-AAGAGAGCTGACGCTTCCAGAC-3\'     |
| Fatty acid synthase (FAS)                  | Forward Reverse   | 5'-CCTGGGCAGCCTTGCTCCCACTA-3\'    |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGCCTGTGCCGTACGTCCCTGC-3\'     |
| Lipoprotein lipase (LPL)                   | Forward Reverse   | 5'-GGTACGCTCCATGCTGACT-3\'        |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GGCTGCTGCTGTCGCTGCC-3\'        |
| CD antigen 36 (CD36)                       | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TTGTTGATGTTGACCTTACCT-3\'      |
|                                           |                  | 5'-TCGCCAAACTCCAGGTGAGCT-3\'      |
| Adrenoreceptor beta 3 (ADRB3)              | Forward Reverse   | 5'-ACCAAGCTTGTTGCTGACT-3\'        |
|                                           |                  | 5'-ACAGCTGAGTACGAGCTCC-3\'       |
| CPT1                                      | Forward Reverse   | 5'-ATCGTGCTAGCTCGGTTGAGT-3\'      |
|                                           |                  | 5'-GTGTCGCTAGCTCGGTTGAGT-3\'      |
| CPT2                                      | Forward Reverse   | 5'-GCCCTGTGTTGTCGACTG-3\'         |
|                                           |                  | 5'-TGCTGGCTAGCTCGGTTGAGT-3\'      |
| Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 8B (COX8B)    | Forward Reverse   | 5'-TGTTGGGATCTGACGCATAGT-3\'      |
|                                           |                  | 5'-AGTTGCTAGAGCCCTAACCTG-3\'      |
| Uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1)                | Forward Reverse   | 5'-AGATCTTCTGACTGCGGAGTT-3\'      |
|                                           |                  | 5'-CTGTAACCTTCGCGCAATCCT-3\'      |

2.9. Statistical Analysis

The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significant differences between the ND and HFD groups were determined using Student’s t-test and significant differences among the groups based on the HFD were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The results were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. 
3. Results

3.1. Supplementation with AR and LU Lowered the Body Weight Gain and Adipose Tissue Weight by Regulating Lipid Metabolism-Related Adipocyte Gene Expression in Mice with DIO

The body weight (BW) and body weight gain of the mice were significantly higher in the HFD group than in the ND group (Figure 1A,B). AR significantly decreased the BW after week 6 and consistently suppressed it except at week 14 (Figure 1A,B). In the HFD group, the food intake was significantly decreased while the food efficiency ratio (FER) was markedly increased when compared with the ND group (Figure 1C,D). Supplementation with AR or LU significantly decreased the FER relative to that in the HFD group (Figure 1D). The WAT weights were significantly higher in the HFD group than in the ND group. Both AR and LU significantly lowered the mesenteric, interscapular, visceral (sum of epididymal, perirenal, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal WAT) and total WAT (sum of visceral, subcutaneous, and interscapular WAT) weights in the HFD group (Figure 1D). Moreover, morphological observations revealed that the epididymal adipocyte size in the AR and LU groups was smaller than that in the HFD group (Figure 1F).
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**Figure 1.** Effects of luteolin-enriched artichoke extract and luteolin on changes in the body weight (A), body weight gain per day (B), food intake (C), food efficiency ratio (D), white adipose tissue (WAT) weight (E), WAT morphology (magnification 200×) (F), and WAT gene expression (G) in C57BL/6N mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD). Data are the mean ± SEM. Significant differences between the HFD and ND groups are indicated: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Bars marked with different lower case letters indicate no significant differences among the groups based on the HFD (HFD, AR, and LU) at p < 0.05. Bars marked with the same lower case letters indicate significant differences among the groups based on the HFD. ND, normal diet (AIN-76), HFD, high-fat diet (20% fat, 1% cholesterol), AR, HFD + 0.005% luteolin-enriched artichoke, LU, HFD + 0.005% luteolin. The food efficiency ratio is given as the body weight gain per food intake per day.

The expression levels of lipid metabolism-related adipocyte genes were regulated by AR and LU supplementation. AR significantly increased not only the mRNA expression of adipocyte genes involved in both FA uptake and lipogenesis (i.e., CD36, LPL, SREBP1, and SREBP2) but also those related to FA oxidation (i.e., ADRB3, CPT1, PGC1β, and UCPI) relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 1G). LU also markedly elevated the adipocyte mRNA expression of both lipogenic genes (CD36, SREBP1, and SREBP2) and hydrolytic genes (ADRB3, CPT1, CPT2, and COX8B) relative to the levels in
the HFD group (Figure 1G). Notably, AR significantly increased the expression of ADRB3 relative to that in the LU group.

3.2. Supplementation with AR and LU Improved the Plasma Lipid Levels in Mice with DIO

The plasma TG, TC, HDL-C, non HDL-C, and AI levels were significantly higher while the HDL-C to TC ratio (HTR) and ApoA-I levels were significantly lower in the HFD group than in the ND group (Table 2). Supplementation with AR significantly decreased the plasma in FFA, TG, TC, and non HDL-C levels (Table 2). LU supplementation also significantly decreased the plasma FFA and tended to lower the plasma in TG, TC, and non HDL-C levels relative to those of the HFD group (Table 2).

Table 2. Effects of luteolin-enriched artichoke extract and luteolin supplementation on the plasma lipid levels in C57BL/6N mice fed a high-fat diet.

|                | ND       | HFD     | AR       | LU       |
|----------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| FFA (mmol/L)   | 1.12 ± 0.01 | 1.16 ± 0.02 c | 0.98 ± 0.02 a | 1.07 ± 0.03 b |
| TG (mmol/L)    | 0.94 ± 0.06 ** | 1.20 ± 0.05 b | 1.00 ± 0.04 a | 1.13 ± 0.02 ab |
| TC (mmol/L)    | 6.28 ± 0.22 *** | 8.70 ± 0.32 b | 6.90 ± 0.44 a | 8.07 ± 0.58 ab |
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.64 ± 0.06 ** | 1.98 ± 0.09 | 1.81 ± 0.16 | 1.99 ± 0.11 |
| Non HDL-C (mmol/L) | 4.64 ± 0.17 *** | 6.72 ± 0.29 b | 5.09 ± 0.30 a | 6.08 ± 0.51 ab |
| HTR            | 26.20 ± 0.55 ** | 22.87 ± 0.93 | 26.08 ± 0.97 | 24.91 ± 1.39 |
| AI             | 2.83 ± 0.08 * | 3.41 ± 0.19 | 2.86 ± 0.14 | 3.06 ± 0.22 |
| ApoA-I (mg/dL) | 18.64 ± 0.22 * | 17.74 ± 0.20 ab | 18.20 ± 0.16 b | 17.47 ± 0.29 a |
| ApoB100 (mg/dL)| 7.50 ± 0.55 | 10.12 ± 1.30 | 7.51 ± 0.97 | 8.15 ± 1.27 |
| ApoA-I/ApoB100 | 2.57 ± 0.20 | 1.90 ± 0.20 | 2.76 ± 0.48 | 2.30 ± 0.34 |

Data are the mean ± SEM. Significant differences between the HFD and ND groups are indicated: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. There was a significant differences (p < 0.05) among the groups based on the HFD (HFD, AR, and LU) in the same line with different superscript letters (a,b,c). ND, normal diet (AIN-76); HFD, high-fat diet (20% fat, 1% cholesterol); AR, HFD + 0.005% luteolin-enriched artichoke; LU, HFD + 0.005% luteolin; non HDL-C = (TC) − (HDL-C); HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol.

3.3. Supplementation with AR and LU Lowered the Hepatic Lipid Levels by Modulating Hepatic Lipid-Regulating Enzyme Activities and Gene Expression and Increasing Fecal Lipid Levels in Mice with DIO

The hepatic and fecal TG, cholesterol, and FA levels were markedly higher in the HFD group than in the ND group (Figure 2A, B). Supplementation with LU significantly decreased the hepatic TG, cholesterol, and FA levels and increased the fecal cholesterol and FA contents relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 2A,B). AR significantly decreased the hepatic cholesterol and FA levels while it tended to increase the level of fecal cholesterol excretion relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 2A,B). Hepatic morphological observations revealed that the sizes and numbers of hepatic lipid droplets were significantly lower in the AR and LU groups than in the HFD group (Figure 2C). The AR and LU groups had significantly reduced hepatic PAP enzyme activity and increased hepatic CPT activity when compared with the enzyme activity levels in the HFD group (Figure 2D). Analysis of the hepatic gene expression levels showed that AR and LU supplementation increased the mRNA expression of ABCG8 and ABCG5 in the mice with DIO. These genes are involved in fecal cholesterol excretion, respectively (Figure 2E). In addition, AR decreased the expression of CIDEA, which is a gene involved in lipid accumulation. Both AR and LU significantly increased the mRNA expression of the fatty acid oxidation-related genes PPARα, PGC1α, and PGC1β relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 2E).
These genes are involved in fecal cholesterol excretion, respectively (Figure 2E). In addition, AR decreased the expression of CIDEA, which is a gene involved in lipid accumulation. Both AR and LU significantly increased the mRNA expression of the fatty acid oxidation-related genes PPARα, PGC1α, and PGC1β relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 2E).

Figure 2. Effects of luteolin-enriched artichoke extract and luteolin on the hepatic lipid levels (A), fecal lipid levels (B), hepatic morphology (magnification 200×) (C), hepatic lipid-regulating enzyme activities (D), and hepatic gene expression (E) in C57BL/6N mice fed a high-fat diet. Data are the mean ± SEM. Significant differences between the HFD and ND groups are indicated: * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \). Bars marked with different lower case letters indicate significant differences among the groups based on the HFD (HFD, AR, and LU) at \( p < 0.05 \). Bars marked with the same lower case letters indicate no significant differences among the groups based on the HFD. ND, normal diet (AIN-76), HFD, high-fat diet (20% fat, 1% cholesterol), AR, HFD + 0.005% luteolin-enriched artichoke, LU, HFD + 0.005% luteolin, ME, malic enzyme, PAP, phosphatidate phosphohydrolase, CPT, carnitine palmitoyltransferase.

3.4. Supplementation with AR and LU Lowered Insulin Resistance and Glucose Tolerance by Modulating Hepatic Glucose-Regulating Enzymes in Mice with DIO

The IPGTT and HOMA-IR studies revealed that AR and LU supplementation had significantly reduced the plasma glucose and insulin levels as well as blood glucose levels relative to the levels in the
Nutrients 2018, 10, x FOR PEER REVIEW 8 of 14

HFD group (Figure 3A–D). Moreover, the activities of hepatic PEPCK and G6Pase were significantly suppressed while the expression of the hepatic insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS2) gene was markedly increased by AR supplementation relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 3E,F). AR significantly reduced the plasma GIP, IL-6, IL-1β, and PAI-1 levels and LU markedly decreased the plasma GIP, leptin, resistin, IL-1β, and PAI-1 levels relative to the levels in the HFD group (Figure 3G–I).

Figure 3. Effects of luteolin-enriched artichoke extract and luteolin on the plasma glucose (A) and insulin levels (B), IPGTT (C), HOMA-IR (D), hepatic glucogenic enzyme activity (E), hepatic IRS2 gene expression (F), and plasma GIP (G), adipokine (H), and proinflammatory cytokine levels (I) in C57BL/6N mice fed a high-fat diet. Data are the mean ± SEM. Significant differences between the HFD and ND groups are indicated: * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Bars marked with different lower case letters indicate significant differences among the groups based on the HFD (HFD, AR, and LU) at p < 0.05. Bars marked with the same lower case letters indicate no significant differences among the groups based on the HFD. ND, normal diet (AIN-76), HFD, high-fat diet (20% fat, 1% cholesterol), AR, HFD + 0.005% luteolin-enriched artichoke, LU, HFD + 0.005% luteolin, IPGTT, intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test, HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, G6Pase, glucose-6-phosphatase, IRS2, insulin receptor substrate 2, GIP, gastric inhibitory polypeptide, IL, interleukin, and PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1.

4. Discussions and Conclusions

Obesity, which is defined as the accumulation of body fat, is associated with complications such as dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease.
In previous studies, the anti-oxidative effect of artichokes in modulating systemic oxidative stress was shown [23,24]. In addition, the artichoke was reported to decrease the plasma cholesterol level [25]. However, there are no reports of the effects of this plant on obesity and its associated complications. In the present study, we investigated the effects of an artichoke extract containing a high level of luteolin on adiposity, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, and inflammation through its modulation of lipid and glucose metabolism.

We found that AR supplementation significantly decreased the body weight gain and fat mass by enhancing the expression of adipocyte fatty acid oxidation-related genes (ADRB3, CPT1, PGC1β, and UCP1), which inhibit the formation and accumulation of lipid droplets in adipose tissue. LU also markedly suppressed the fat mass and increased the adipocytes ADRB3, CPT1, CPT2, and COX8B gene expression levels. The CPT enzymes are considered to be involved in the main step of beta-oxidation and are involved in catalyzing the transfer of long-chain fatty acids from acyl-CoA to carnitine, which is then transported into the mitochondrion [26]. CPT1 is located on the outer mitochondrial membrane while CPT2 is located on the inner mitochondrial membrane [27]. ADRB3 is known to play important roles in thermogenesis and lipolysis [28]. It stimulates lipolysis by increasing the cAMP concentration, which activates protein kinase A activity. Lipolysis generates FFAs at each step, which activate UCP1 directly [29]. UCP1 prevents the protons generated in oxidative phosphorylation from being used for ATP synthesis instead of directing them toward heat generation. Therefore, UCP1 regulates energy expenditure and is a major determinant of thermogenesis activity [30]. Expression of the FA-uptake genes (CD36 and LPL) and lipogenic genes (SREBP1, SREBP2, and ACC) in adipose tissue was elevated by the AR and LU treatments. Adipose tissue protects against the accumulation of ectopic lipids in peripheral tissues such as liver and muscle by storing fat as a neutral lipid (triglyceride). The diversion of lipids from the muscle and liver into adipose tissue is generally beneficial for stimulating PPAR transcription factors, which have anti-inflammatory effects [13,31]. Together, these observations suggest that AR and LU not only activates lipogenesis in adipose tissue to prevent ectopic lipids in the liver but also simultaneously increases FA oxidation, which may contribute to reduced adiposity.

Obesity is associated with lipid metabolism abnormality [32]. The typical dyslipidemia of obesity consists of increased TG, FFA, TC, and LDL-C and decreased HDL-C [33]. In this study, supplementation with AR significantly decreased the plasma FFA, TG, TC, and non-HDL-C levels. LU significantly decreased the plasma FFA level. In obesity, circulating FFAs can enter the liver. Enhanced levels of hepatic FFAs induce not only lipid synthesis and gluconeogenesis but also insulin resistance in the liver [4]. In the present study, AR supplementation noticeably improved the dyslipidemia, which was associated with the ameliorative effect of hepatic steatosis in mice with DIO. LU also markedly decreased the hepatic lipid levels and increased hepatic ABCG5 mRNA expression and fecal TG and FA levels, which suggests that this flavonoid inhibits the hepatic lipid load by promoting biliary sterol excretion.

The alterations in hepatic lipid metabolism caused by AR and LU also revealed that their supplementation into an obesogenic diet for 16 weeks prevented hepatic steatosis by inhibiting lipogenesis and by increasing fatty acid oxidation in the liver of C57BL/6N mice. In the present study, the AR and LU treatments significantly decreased the hepatic PAP enzyme activity, which is related to TG synthesis and markedly increased the activity of hepatic CPT. This catalyzes the transfer of FAs from CoA to carnitine [34]. In addition, the AR and LU groups showed increased mRNA expression of fatty acid oxidation-related genes (PPARα, PGC1α, and PGC1β). The AR group also showed significantly decreased hepatic CIDEA mRNA expression. CIDEA expression is specifically induced by saturated FAs in which it induces the hepatic lipid droplet formation and TG accumulation events that cause hepatic steatosis [35].

The striking improvement of hepatic steatosis coupled with decreased adiposity in the AR-supplemented mice and LU-supplemented mice was associated with a normalization of the plasma glucose and insulin levels, which was a reflection of improved hepatic insulin sensitivity evidenced by the IPGTT and the reduced HOMA-IR. An excessive increase in glucose production...
causes fasting hyperglycemia, which leads to diabetes. Type 2 diabetes is characterized by an abnormal secretion of insulin that regulates glucose homeostasis [36]. Fasting hyperglycemia occurs as a result of the abnormal activities of hepatic glucose-regulating enzymes [37]. In this study, AR supplementation significantly decreased gluconeogenesis by suppressing hepatic PEPCK and G6Pase activities and increased hepatic IRS2 mRNA expression. IRS knockdown has been shown to upregulate the lipogenic enzyme FAS and hepatic lipid accumulation [38].

Excess fat accumulation causes a low-grade inflammation that is related to metabolic diseases. Adipose tissue is an endocrine organ and secretes pro-inflammatory cytokines, which are implicated in obesity-related complications [39]. IL-6 is increased in obesity while IL-1β is a factor affecting TG accumulation and lipogenic enzyme activities [40,41]. IL-6 and IL-1β are pro-inflammatory cytokines that affect insulin resistance [42,43]. PAI-1, which causes cardiovascular diseases, is an increasingly important factor in obesity and the metabolic syndrome [44]. In the present study, AR significantly decreased the plasma pro-inflammatory cytokine (i.e., IL-6, IL-1β, and PAI-1) levels and LU also markedly reduced the plasma IL-1β and PAI-1 levels. Furthermore, AR and LU significantly decreased the plasma GIP levels. The gut-derived incretin hormone GIP, which is a 42-amino-acid polypeptide produced by the intestinal K cells, is secreted in response to the digestion of fat or glucose. GIP is one of the increasingly important factors in patients suffering from obesity or diabetes. It increases glucose-stimulated insulin secretion through specific receptors and regulates insulin secretion to maintain glucose homeostasis. In addition, GIP directly stimulates the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines from adipose tissue [45–47]. Therefore, these results suggest that the decreased level of plasma GIP by AR and LU is partially linked with glucose homeostasis and the decrease in inflammation, which leads to the prevention of obesity and consequently HFD-induced insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that AR supplementation improves adiposity and dyslipidemia by decreasing lipogenesis while increasing fatty acid oxidation, which contributes to the improvement of hepatic steatosis. LU also prevents adiposity and hepatic steatosis by decreasing lipogenesis while increasing biliary sterol excretion. In addition, both AR and LU supplementations prevent insulin resistance by decreasing gluconeogenesis and by improving inflammation. Although the anti-metabolic syndrome effects of AR and LU were similar in this study, the anti-adiposity and anti-dyslipidemic effects of AR were more evident than those of LU, which is a positive control. These results, therefore, suggest that there was a synergic effect between LU and other components such as chlorogenic acid in artichoke. Figure 4 illustrates the possible mechanisms behind the anti-obesity effects of AR and/or LU. Taken together, the results of this study suggest that 16 weeks of supplementation with the extract of artichoke containing a high LU concentration prevents obesity and metabolic disorders such as dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and inflammation in mice with HFD-induced obesity.
**Figure 4.** Proposed mechanisms for the anti-obesity effects of luteolin-enriched artichoke leaf (AR) extract. AR reduces adiposity by increasing adipocyte genes involved in lipolysis and FA oxidation as well as thermogenesis. In addition, AR not only activates FA uptake and lipogenesis in adipose tissue to prevent ectopic lipids in liver but also simultaneously increases FA oxidation, which may contribute to reduced adiposity, with improved dyslipidemia. AR also alters hepatic lipid and glucose metabolizing factors, which prevents hepatic steatosis by reducing the hepatic lipid load and eventually improving insulin resistance. This is associated with a decrease in the plasma pro-inflammatory cytokine levels.

**Author Contributions:** E.-Y.K. and S.Y.K. contributed to the design of the experiments, researched the data, contributed to the discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript. M.-S.C. contributed to the original idea, researched the data, contributed to the discussion, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported by a National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (2015R1C1A2A01051533).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Ji-Young Choi, Su-Jung Cho, Ri Ryu and Youngji Han for advice and excellent technical support.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

**Abbreviations**

- ABCG: ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member
- ADRB3: adrenoreceptor beta 3
- AI: atherogenic index
- Apo: apolipoprotein
- AR: artichoke
- BW: body weight
- CD36: CD antigen 36
- CIDEA: cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector A
- COX8B: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 8B
- CPT: carnitine palmitoyltransferase
- DIO: diet-induced obesity
- G6Pase: glucokinase, glucose-6-phosphatase
- GIP: gastric inhibitory polypeptide
- FA: free fatty acid
- LPL: lipoprotein lipase
- PAP: phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
- IRS2: insulin receptor substrate 2
- PGC1α: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha
- PPARγ: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma
FFA free fatty acid
G6Pase glucokinase, glucose-6-phosphatase
GIP gastric inhibitory polypeptide
HFD high-fat diet
HOMA-IR homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance
IL interleukin
IPGTT intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test
IRS2 insulin receptor substrate 2
LPL lipoprotein lipase
LU luteolin
ME malic enzyme
ND normal diet
PAI-1 plasminogen activator inhibitor-1
PAP phosphatidate phosphohydrolase
PEPCK phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
PGC1 PPAR-gamma coactivator 1
PPAR peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
SREBP sterol regulatory element-binding protein
UCP1 uncoupling protein 1
WAT white adipose tissue

References
1. Visscher, T.L.; Seidell, J.C. The public health impact of obesity. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **2001**, *22*, 355–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. World Health Organizaion. Fact Sheet: Obesity and Overweight. Available online: http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed on 16 February 2018).
3. Rosen, E.D.; Spiegelman, B.M. Adipocytes as regulators of energy balance and glucose homeostasis. *Nature* **2006**, *444*, 847–853. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Jung, U.J.; Choi, M.S. Obesity and its metabolic complications: The role of adipokines and the relationship between obesity, inflammation, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *15*, 6184–6223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Klöting, N.; Blüher, M. Adipocyte dysfunction, inflammation and metabolic syndrome. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* **2014**, *15*, 277–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Feingold, K.R.; Grunfeld, C. *Obesity and Dyslipidemia*; MDText.com Inc.: South Dartmouth, MA, USA, 2015.
7. Kopelman, P.G. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature* **2000**, *404*, 635–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Chalasani, N.; Younossi, Z.; Lavine, J.E.; Diehl, A.M.; Brunt, E.M.; Cusi, K.; Charlton, M.; Sanyal, A.J. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology* **2012**, *55*, 2005–2023. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Lattanzio, V.; Kroon, P.A.; Linsalata, V.; Cardinali, A. Globe artichoke: a functional food and source of nutraceutical ingredients. *J. Funct. Foods* **2009**, *1*, 131–144. [CrossRef]
10. Sánchez-Rabaneda, F.; Jauregui, O.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.M.; Bastida, J.; Viladomat, F.; Codina, C. Identification of phenolic compounds in artichoke waste by high-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2003**, *1008*, 57–72. [CrossRef]
11. Negro, D.; Montesano, V.; Grieco, S.; Crupi, P.; Sarli, G.; De Lisi, A.; Sonnante, G. Polyphenol compounds in artichoke plant tissues and varieties. *J. Food Sci.* **2012**, *77*, C244–C252. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Wang, M.; Simon, J.E.; Aviles, I.F.; He, K.; Zheng, Q.Y.; Tadmor, Y. Analysis of antioxidative phenolic compounds in artichoke (*Cynara scolymus* L.). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 601–608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
13. Kwon, E.Y.; Jung, U.J.; Park, T.; Yun, J.W.; Choi, M.S. Luteolin attenuates hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance through the interplay between the liver and adipose tissue in diet-induced obese mice. *Diabetes* **2015**, *64*, 1658–1669. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Zhang, L.; Han, Y.J.; Zhang, X.; Wang, X.; Bao, B.; Qu, W.; Liu, J. Luteolin reduces obesity-associated insulin resistance in mice by activating AMPKα1 signalling in adipose tissue macrophages. *Diabetologia* **2016**, 59, 2219–2228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Xu, N.; Zhang, L.; Dong, J.; Zhang, X.; Chen, Y.G.; Bao, B.; Liu, J. Low-dose diet supplement of a natural flavonoid, luteolin,ameliorates diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance in mice. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2014**, 58, 1258–1268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Folch, J.; Lees, M.; Sloane-Stanley, G.H. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1957**, 226, 497–509. [PubMed]

17. Davidson, A.L.; Arion, W.J. Factors underlying significant underestimations of glucokinase activity in crude liver extracts: Physiological implications of higher cellular activity. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1987**, 253, 156–167. [CrossRef]

18. Ochoa, S.; Mehler, A.H.; Kornberg, A. Biosynthesis of dicarboxylic acids by carbon dioxide fixation; isolation and properties of an enzyme from pigeon liver catalyzing the reversible oxidative decarboxylation of 1-malic acid. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1948**, 174, 979–1000. [PubMed]

19. Walton, P.A.; Possmayer, F. Mg2-dependent phosphatidate phosphohydrolase of rat lung: Development of an assay employing a defined chemical substrate which reflects the phosphohydrolase activity measured using membrane-bound substrate. *Anal. Biochem.* **1985**, 151, 479–486. [CrossRef]

20. Markwell, M.A.; McGroarty, E.J.; Biebner, L.L.; Tolbert, N.E. The subcellular distribution of carnitine acyltransferases in mammalian liver and kidney. A new peroxisomal enzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1973**, 248, 3426–3432. [PubMed]

21. Bentle, L.A.; Lardy, H.A. Interaction of anions and divalent metal ions with phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1976**, 251, 2916–2921. [PubMed]

22. Alegre, M.; Ciudad, C.J.; Fillat, C.; Guinovart, J.J. Determination of glucose-6-phosphatase activity using the glucose dehydrogenase-coupled reaction. *Anal. Biochem.* **1988**, 173, 185–189. [CrossRef]

23. Magielse, J.; Verlaet, A.; Breynaert, A.; Keenoy, B.M.Y.; Apers, S.; Pieters, L.; Hermans, N. Investigation of the in vivo antioxidative activity of *Cynara scolymus* (artichoke) leaf extract in the streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **2014**, 58, 211–215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Küskü-Kiraz, Z.; Mehmetçik, G.; Doğru-Abbasoğlu, S.; Uysal, M. Artichoke leaf extract reduces oxidative stress and lipoprotein dyshomeostasis in rats fed on high cholesterol diet. *Phytother. Res.* **2010**, 24, 565–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Qiang, Z.; Lee, S.O.; Ye, Z.; Wu, X.; Hendrich, S. Artichoke extract lowered plasma cholesterol and increased fecal bile acids in Golden Syrian hamsters. *Phytother. Res.* **2012**, 26, 1048–1052. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Morash, A.J.; Bureau, D.P.; McClelland, G.B. Effects of dietary fatty acid composition on the regulation of carnitine palmitoyltransferase (CPT) I in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2009**, 152, 85–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Esser, V.; Britton, C.H.; Weis, B.C.; Foster, D.W.; McGarry, J.D. Cloning, sequencing, and expression of a cDNA encoding rat liver carnitine palmitoyltransferase I. Direct evidence that a single polypeptide is involved in inhibitor interaction and catalytic function. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1993**, 268, 5817–5822. [PubMed]

28. Masuo, K. Roles of beta2-and beta3-adrenoceptor polymorphisms in hypertension and metabolic syndrome. *Int. J. Hypertens.* **2010**, 2010, 832821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Peng, X.R.; Gennemark, P.; O'Mahoney, G.; Bartesaghi, S. Unlock the thermogenic potential of adipose tissue: Pharmacological modulation and implications for treatment of diabetes and obesity. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2015**, 6, 174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Townsend, K.L.; Tseng, Y.H. Brown fat fuel utilization and thermogenesis. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **2014**, 25, 168–177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Samuel, V.T.; Shulman, G.I. Mechanisms for insulin resistance: Common threads and missing links. *Cell* **2012**, 148, 852–871. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Singla, P.; Bardoloi, A.; Parkash, A.A. Metabolic effects of obesity: A review. *World J. Diabetes* **2010**, 1, 76–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Wu, L.; Parhofer, K.G. Diabetic dyslipidemia. *Metabolism* **2014**, 63, 1469–1479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Maples, J.M.; Brault, J.J.; Witczak, C.A.; Park, S.; Hubal, M.J.; Weber, T.M.; Houmard, J.A.; Shewchuk, B.M. Differential epigenetic and transcriptional response of the skeletal muscle carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1B (CPT1B) gene to lipid exposure with obesity. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2015**, *309*, E345–E356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Zhou, L.; Xu, L.; Ye, J.; Li, D.; Wang, W.; Li, X.; Wu, L.; Wang, H.; Guan, F.; Li, P. Cidea promotes hepatic steatosis by sensing dietary fatty acids. *Hepatology* **2012**, *56*, 95–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Withers, D.J.; Gutierrez, J.S.; Towery, H.; Burks, D.J.; Ren, J.M.; Previs, S.; Zhang, Y.; Bernal, D.; Pons, S.; Shulman, G.I.; Bonner-Weir, S.; White, M.F. Disruption of IRS-2 causes type 2 diabetes in mice. *Nature* **1998**, *391*, 900–904. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Singh, A.B.; Singh, N.; Akanksha; Jayendra; Maurya, R.; Srivastava, A.K. Coagulanolide modulates hepatic glucose metabolism in C57BL/KsJ-db/db mice. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* **2012**, *31*, 1056–1065. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Taniguchi, C.M.; Ueki, K.; Kahn, R. Complementary roles of IRS-1 and IRS-2 in the hepatic regulation of metabolism. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2005**, *115*, 718–727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Kammoun, H.L.; Kraakman, M.J.; Febbraio, M.A. Adipose tissue inflammation in glucose metabolism. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* **2014**, *15*, 31–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Negrin, K.A.; Flach, R.J.R.; DiStefano, M.T.; Matevossian, A.; Friedline, R.H.; Jung, D.; Kim, J.K.; Czech, M.P. IL-1 signaling in obesity-induced hepatic lipogenesis and steatosis. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e107265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Nonogaki, K.; Fuller, G.M.; Fuentes, N.L.; Moser, A.H.; Staprans, I.; Grunfeld, C.; Feingold, K.R. Interleukin-6 stimulates hepatic triglyceride secretion in rats. *Endocrinology* **1995**, *136*, 2143–2149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Bastard, J.P.; Maachi, M.; Van Nhieu, J.T.; Jardel, C.; Bruckert, E.; Grimaldi, A.; Robert, J.J.; Capeau, J.; Hainque, B. Adipose tissue IL-6 content correlates with resistance to insulin activation of glucose uptake both in vivo and in vitro. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *87*, 2084–2089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Maedler, K.; Dharmadhikari, G.; Schumann, D.M.; Sterling, J. Interleukin-1 beta targeted therapy for type 2 diabetes. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* **2009**, *9*, 1177–1188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. De Taeye, B.; Smith, L.H.; Vaughan, D.E. Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1: A common denominator in obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **2005**, *5*, 149–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Nasteska, D.; Harada, N.; Suzuki, K.; Yamane, S.; Hamasaki, A.; Joo, E.; Iwasaki, K.; Shibue, K.; Harada, T.; Inagaki, N. Chronic reduction of GIP secretion alleviates obesity and insulin resistance under high-fat diet conditions. *Diabetes* **2014**, *63*, 2332–2343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Pathak, V.; Vasu, S.; Flatt, P.R.; Irwin, N. Effects of chronic exposure of clonal β-cells to elevated glucose and free fatty acids on incretin receptor gene expression and secretory responses to GIP and GLP-1. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **2014**, *16*, 357–365. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Chen, S.; Okahara, F.; Osaki, N.; Shimotoyodome, A. Increased GIP signaling induces adipose inflammation via a HIF-1α-dependent pathway and impairs insulin sensitivity in mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2015**, *308*, E414–E425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).