Methodological Agreement between Body-Composition Methods in Young Soccer Players Stratified by Zinc Plasma Levels
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SUMMARY: It is feasible to think that the body composition assessment may be influenced by maturational and zinc status, especially in young athletes, which perform regularly high volume of physical training. In accordance, it seems important to clarify the impact of these factors in body composition assessment in athletes, since errors may lead to mistakes in training prescription and diet elaboration, and therefore affect the athletic performance. The objective was to compare (1) different methods of body composition evaluation in young soccer players stratified by zinc plasma levels; and (2) the two reference methods using skinfolds thickness in children (Slaughter’s and Lohman’s equations), considering the maturation level. In this cross-sectional study, fifty tree young soccer players (13.3±0.7 y) were submitted to blood collection, electric bioimpedance (BIA), dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), anthropometric measures (body mass, stature and skinfolds thickness (ST)) and hand-wrist X-ray. Body composition evaluation was performed by: DXA, ST (Lohman and Slaughter equations) and BIA (Houtkooper equation) methods. Zinc status provided two groups: Normozincemic and Hypozincemic athletes, determined by cut-off point of 11.0 µmol/L. Significant difference on descriptive data for all participants after zinc status stratification was observed only for plasma zinc concentration; (2) Significant correlations were observed between the assessment methods (fat percentage: r= 0.34 to 0.98 and p<0.001 to 0.013; fat free mass: r= 0.95 to 0.9998 and p<0.001), and lowers correlations were observed when electric impedance was involved; and (3) Bland-Altman plots across methods showed a closer agreement when DXA and ST were compared. In conclusion (1) The ST method was better than BIA to assess the body composition (in young soccer players) when DXA scans are not available; (2) The comparison of models based on ST showed that the best association with the values from DXA were obtained for the Slaughter equation, followed by the Lohman equation using bone age instead of chronological age; and (3) Plasma zinc levels seem not to influence the body composition assessment, which certainly warrants further studies.
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INTRODUCTION

The correct assessment of body composition in sports is important, since errors may lead to mistakes in training prescription and diet elaboration, and therefore affect the athletic performance. In sports like soccer, a gravitational sport (Ackland et al., 2012), it is well known that excessive fat mass compromises the physical performance, while increased lean body mass is important to improve strength and power, which are relevant to soccer performance (Nikolaidis & Vassilios-Karydis, 2011).

Hence sophisticated methods like dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) have been used in research sets to assess the body composition. However this kind of technique is highly expensive and somewhat difficult to be applied in actual training context. Therefore, more accessible methods like skinfolds thickness (ST) and bioelectric impedance (BIA) have been investigated as alternative options to assess the body composition.
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On the other hand, the body composition estimation in young athletes is problematic, since muscle mass, fat mass, bone mass, and hydration status can be directly influenced by the maturational process (Lohman, 1986). In this sense, nutritional and maturational aspects of athletes constitute a fundamental issue in physical performance. One of the elements simultaneously related to maturation and nutrition is the ingestion of zinc, an essential micronutrient for adequate body function.

Zinc is an essential trace element with relevant functions in many pathophysiological mechanisms. It is a cofactor in numerous transcription processes and enzymes (Lansdown et al., 2007). Zinc deficiency seems to be related with deleterious effects in formation and maturation of spermatozoa, testicular growth, and testicular steroidogenesis (Nriagu, 2007). It is well known that physical exercise can modulate the bioavailability and kinetics of this important mineral (Buchman et al., 1998; Koury et al., 2005). Additionally, zinc participates in the synthesis and liberation of factors that may influence the body composition and strength, like growth hormone, insulin-like growth factor-1, leptin and testosterone (Chen et al., 2000; Devine et al., 1998; Prasad et al., 1996).

It is therefore feasible to think that the body composition assessment may be influenced by maturational and zinc status, especially in young athletes, which perform regularly high volume of physical training. It would be useful to investigate whether different strategies to assess body composition are affected by these factors. Additionally it is important to know if the zinc status could be related to the body composition of athletes that play in the same age category. Thus the aim of this study was to compare different methods of body composition evaluation (DXA, BIA, and skinfolds thickness) in young soccer players stratified by zinc plasma levels. A secondary purpose was to compare the two reference methods using skinfolds thickness in children (Slaughter’s & Lohman’s equations) (Slaughter et al., 1988), considering the maturation level.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Study design: Cross-sectional study. Fifty-three young soccer players aged 11.8 to 14.2 y (age, 13.3±0.7 y), and past 6.1±2.3 y of regular soccer training in a first division soccer team in Rio de Janeiro (training volume was 5.0±1.8 h per week), participated of the study. Zinc status was determined and athletes were classified in Normozincemic (Normo; n= 37) or Hypozincemic (Hypo; n= 16). During the study none of the athletes consumed any kind of dietary supplements, which could influence physiological mineral balance. Exclusion criteria were: injuries or any other medical contra-indication to physical training, irregular training pattern, chronological age lower than 11 y, and zinc deficiency. Their parents provided written consent to children’s participation in the study. The study was previously approved by institutional ethics committee (Reference: 1207 CEP/HUPE UERJ).

Data collection was made in two days. In the first day the following procedures took place: (1) blood collection after 8-h fasting; (2) BIA; (3) brief meal; (4) anthropometry and hand-wrist X-ray to bone age determination; and (5) assessment of body mass and stature. Anthropometric measures were made according to procedures of the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) (Marfell-Jones et al., 2006). A single trained evaluator performed all procedures with satisfactory reliability (ICC= 0.98–0.84). In the second day DXA exam was performed. Figure 1 illustrates the sequence of procedures adopted in the study.

Body composition evaluation was performed by DXA, skinfold thickness (ST), and BIA methods for comparison and further analysis of agreement. DXA exam was made using a pediatric bone densitometer (Lunar Prodigy Advance - General EletricsTM, Chalfont St. Giles, United Kingdom) to whole body analysis using specific software (enCORETM Software Platform - Chalfont St. Giles, United Kingdom). The following skinfold thickness were measured as described...
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elsewhere (Marfell-Jones et al., 2006): triceps, biceps, subscapular, chest, axillary, iliac, supraspinale, abdominal, thigh and calf. All measurements were performed three times with a LangeTM caliper (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and the mean value was recorded as final result. The percent body fat was calculated using equations proposed by Slaughter et al. and Lohman that consider chemical maturity. Since the Lohman’s equation includes the age, both chronological (CA) and bone age (BA) were used to allow a more comprehensive analysis. Comparisons of whole body subcutaneous fat as represented by the sum of ten skinfolds with the two skinfolds used in Slaughter’s equation and the two used in Lohman’s equation were satisfactory, as suggested by Pearson correlation (r= 0.923 and r= 0.911) (Gutin et al., 1996). BIA assessment used a tetrapolar device (RJL-101 QuantumTM; Clinton Twp, MI, USA) and calculation of body composition was provided by the equation described by Houtkooper (Houtkooper et al., 1992). All measurements were performed in the morning with controlled temperature (21 to 26 °C) and after bladder emptying. Electrodes were placed after metallic removal of any pieces in contact with the body, in supine position with arms and legs slightly separated by 30° and 40°, respectively. Surface electrodes were placed at the right side of the body on the dorsal surface of hands and feet, proximal to metacarpal-phalangeal, and metatarsal-phalangeal joints, respectively, and also medially between the distal prominences of radius and ulna and between medial and lateral malleoli at the ankle (Lukaski & Johnson, 1985). Since dehydration status could influence BIA results, we also ran blood test of some parameters related to hydration status (albumin, hematocrit, and hemoglobin). All these data were within normal ranges expected for young athletes.

Blood collection was done after an overnight fast (8 h) together with a minimum of 16 h of abstention from any physical exercise. Aliquots of 10 mL were obtained by venous puncture in antecubital vein and placed in test tubes with no trace minerals containing heparin as anticoagulant (30 U/tube). Precautions were taken to avoid trace mineral contamination during sample collection and processing. All materials were immersed for 24 h in a solution of ultrapure nitric acid (4:1; v/v) followed by thorough rising (four times) with deionized water. Samples were centrifuged during 15 min at 800 g for plasma separation and immediately stored at -20 °C in the laboratory for posterior analysis. Plasma zinc was measured by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (OptimaTM 4300 DV, PerkinElmer Norwalk, CT, EUA), as described elsewhere (Donangelo et al., 2002). Zinc status was determined by cut-off point of 11.0 µmol/L (National Research Council & Food and Nutrition Board, 2001), which provided two groups: Normozincemic (Normo) and Hypozincemic (Hypo) athletes.

Statistical analysis. Data were normally distributed as defined by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, except fat percentage. Therefore intergroup comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni post hoc comparisons or Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunns post hoc comparisons. The relationships between body composition methods were calculated by Pearson correlation and degree of agreement was tested by Bland-Altman plots. In all cases the significance level was fixed at 0.05. The calculations were performed by the softwares GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPadTM Software, San Diego, CA, USA) and NCSS 2007 (NCSSTM, LLC, Kaysville, UT, USA).

RESULTS

Table I presents descriptive data for all participants after zinc status stratification, showing significant differences only for plasma zinc concentration.

Fat percentage (%FM) and fat free mass (FFM) obtained by DXA, skinfolds thickness and BIA methods did not show any significant differences in groups stratified by zinc status or in the different methods. Results are presented in Table II.

|                   | All (n=53) | Hypo (n=16) | Normo (n=37) | p       |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Chronological age (years) | 13.3 ±0.7  | 13.3 ±0.6   | 13.3 ±0.7    | 0.098   |
| Bone age (years) | 13.2 ±2.0  | 13.0 ±2.5   | 13.3 ±1.8    | 0.580   |
| Bone age-Chronological age (years) | -0.12 ±1.7 | -0.34 ±2.4  | -0.02 ±1.5   | 0.557   |
| Plasma zinc (µmol.L⁻¹) | 12.2 ±2.2  | 9.6 ±1.3    | 13.2 ±1.5    | <0.00   |
| Total body mass (kg) | 48.4 ±10.0 | 45.9 ±9.8   | 49.5 ±10.2   | 0.249   |
| Stature (cm) | 160.1 ±10.0 | 159.3 ±10.7 | 160.4 ±10.2  | 0.730   |
| Body mass index (kg/ m²) | 18.7 ±2.3  | 18.9 ±1.8   | 19.1 ±2.3    | 0.084   |

Legend: Hypo, hypozincemics; Normo, normozincemics.
Correlations between the assessment methods showed significant associations between the methods. Results are presented in Table III.

**Table II. Comparison between the difference in fat percentage and fat free mass between different methods, considering zinc state.**

|               | Fat percentage |          | Fat free mass |          |
|---------------|----------------|----------|---------------|----------|
|               | Hypo (n=16)    | Normo (n=37) | p       | Hypo (n=16) | Normo (n=37) | p       |
| DXA           | 12.0 ±4.8      | 13.7 ±7.4 | 0.635     | 40.4 ±9.1 | 42.5 ±8.7 | 0.45    |
| ST L (ca)     | 12.2 ±2.7      | 14.8 ±6.6 | 0.374     | 40.3 ±8.6 | 41.8 ±7.4 | 0.51    |
| ST L (ba)     | 12.4 ±2.7      | 14.8 ±6.6 | 0.402     | 40.2 ±8.8 | 41.9 ±7.6 | 0.50    |
| ST S          | 13.6 ±3.2      | 15.4 ±7.3 | 0.664     | 39.6 ±8.3 | 41.6 ±8.1 | 0.42    |
| BIA           | 14.6 ±5.1      | 14.6 ±6.8 | 0.848     | 39.3 ±8.9 | 42.4 ±9.8 | 0.29    |
|               | 0.230          | 0.665    |           | 0.995     | 0.988     |         |

**DXA,** dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; **ST,** skinfold tickness; **L,** Lohman equation; **S,** Slaughter equation; **ca,** chronological age; **ba,** bone age; **BIA,** electric bioimpedance; **hypo,** hypozincemics; **normo,** normozincemics.

Correlations between the assessment methods showed significant associations between the methods. Results are presented in Table III.

**Table III. Spearman correlation coefficients between body fat percent provided by the different methods (n=53).**

|          | DXA       | ST L (ca) | ST L (ba) | ST S    | BIA    |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|
| DXA      | r         | 0.780     | 0.831     | 0.875   | 0.630  |
|          | p         | >0.001    | >0.001    | >0.001  | >0.001 |
| ST L (ca)| r         | 0.780     | 0.977     | 0.898   | 0.780  |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |
| ST L (ba)| r         | 0.831     | --        | 0.895   | 0.428  |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | 0.002  |
| ST S     | r         | 0.875     | 0.898     | 0.895   | --     |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |
| BIA      | r         | 0.630     | 0.341     | 0.428   | 0.437  |
|          | p         | <0.001    | 0.013     | 0.002   | 0.001  |

**DXA,** dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; **ST,** skinfold tickness; **L,** Lohman equation; **S,** Slaughter equation; **ca,** chronological age; **ba,** bone age; **BIA,** electric bioimpedance; **hypo,** hypozincemics; **normo,** normozincemics.

**Table IV. Pearson correlation coefficients between fat free mass provided by the different methods (n=53).**

|          | DXA       | ST L (ca) | ST L (ba) | ST S    | BIA    |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|
| DXA      | r         | --        | 0.989     | 0.989   | 0.966  |
|          | p         | >0.001    | >0.001    | >0.001  | >0.001 |
| ST L (ca)| r         | 0.989     | 0.9998    | 0.985   | 0.963  |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |
| ST L (ba)| r         | 0.989     | 0.9998    | --      | 0.963  |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |
| ST S     | r         | 0.990     | 0.985     | 0.986   | --     |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |
| BIA      | r         | 0.966     | 0.963     | 0.953   | --     |
|          | p         | <0.001    | <0.001    | <0.001  | <0.001 |

**DXA,** dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; **ST,** skinfold tickness; **L,** Lohman equation; **S,** Slaughter equation; **ca,** chronological age; **ba,** bone age; **BIA,** electric bioimpedance; **hypo,** hypozincemics; **normo,** normozincemics.
methods. There was a close agreement when DXA and ST were compared depending of zinc status (bias= -1.61 [hypozincemics] and -1.62 [normozincemics] - Slaughter equation; -0.24 and -1.05 - Lohman equation / chronological age; -0.40 and -1.02 - Lohman equation / bone age, respectively), however such agreement was not detected when the comparison was done with DXA-BIA (2.64 and 0.83). Results are presented graphically in Figure 2.

Bland-Altman plots across methods and zinc groups were also calculated for the fat free mass. A close agreement was detected when DXA and ST were compared depending of zinc status (bias= 0.89 [hypozincemics] and 0.85 [normozincemics] - Slaughter equation; -0.63 and -0.23 - Lohman equation / bone age; -0.69 and 0.19 - Lohman equation / chronological age, respectively), which was not observed in the DXA and BIA methods. Results are presented graphically in Figure 3.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study aimed to evaluate the influence of zinc status on the body composition assessment by three different methods (DXA, BIA and ST). Interestingly, Pearson’s correlation coefficients showed strong intragroup associations in fat free mass between hypozincemic and
normozincemic athletes, suggesting that plasma zinc levels could not influence the association between body composition parameters evaluated by the different methods. In a previous study, our group reported a negative correlation of zinc/copper ratio with percent body fat assessed by DXA, suggesting that lower zinc levels would be related to increased fat mass (Koury et al., 2007). Indeed zinc may influence the adipose tissue physiology, probably due to the recently described zinc δ2-glycoprotein, a lipid mobilizing factor (Bao et al., 2005). Additionally, zinc levels are positively associated with leptin concentrations (Chen et al.; Casimiro-Lopes et al., 2009) and with thyroid hormones (Marques et al., 2011), which play an important role in fat metabolism.

Another purpose of our study was to compare assessment techniques in order to confirm that alternative and more accessible methods to assess the body composition could be used in field studies. The three equations based on skinfolds thickness (Slaughter and Lohman using CA and BA) provided similar results. When we observe %FM there is an increase in the correlation

---

Fig. 3. Bland-Altman analysis comparing differences between fat free mass assessed by bioimpedance (BIA), dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and skinfolds thickness (ST) (L, Lohman equation; S, Slaughter equation; ca, chronological age; ba, bone age).
strength of Lohman equation by CA with DXA, through the Lohman equation by BA to the Slaughter equation. Nevertheless, for FFM differences are smaller.

Bland-Altman plots are adequate to investigate the agreement between measurements, when the main focus is to compare a new method (or methodology) with a gold-standard approach (Altman & Bland, 1983; Bland & Altman, 1986). In fact, we observed that ST technique was the best method when compared with DXA, at least to assess the body composition in young soccer players. Interestingly, we have observed that the level of agreement also relied on zinc status. The ST method is generally accepted as a body fat indicator, since 50–70% of body fat is located in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Some authors argue against this method considering its precision and validity (Gutin et al.; Goran et al., 1996), but the relatively low cost is a positive aspect that must be considered in actual training practice.

On the other hand, our results showed that BIA did not reach satisfactory levels of agreement with DXA in young soccer players. An important issue to take into account is that BIA analysis is not adjusted for the maturation level (Houtkooper et al.), while the equations by Lohman and Slaughter et al. consider the influence of this factor. Another potential source of error is the fact that BIA is based in the electrical conductivity of different body components (muscle mass and fat mass), and may be highly affected by hydration status (Barbosa-Silva & Barros, 2005). Since our athletes presented normal levels of hydration as confirmed by blood analysis, we can assume that the poorer agreement in comparison with the skinfolds technique was not produced by this factor.

It can be concluded that: (1) The ST method was better than BIA to assess the body composition in young soccer players when DXA scans are not available; (2) The comparison of models based on skinfolds thickness showed that the best association with the values from DXA were obtained for the Slaughter equation, followed by the Lohman equation using BA instead of CA; and (3) Plasma zinc levels seem not to influence the body composition assessment, which certainly warrants further studies.
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RESUMEN: Es factible pensar que la evaluación de la composición corporal puede estar influenciada por el estado de maduración y los niveles plasmáticos de zinc, especialmente en atletas jóvenes, que regularmente realizan un alto volumen de entrenamiento físico. Por tanto, es importante aclarar el impacto de estos factores en la evaluación de la composición corporal de los atletas, ya que errores en su análisis pueden conducir al desarrollo de una equivocada prescripción de entrenamiento, además de una dieta determinada, y por lo tanto afectar el rendimiento deportivo. El objetivo de esta investigación consistió en: (1) comparar los diferentes métodos de evaluación de la composición corporal en futbolistas jóvenes estratificados por los niveles plasmáticos de zinc; (2) comparar los dos métodos de referencia utilizando el espesor de los pliegues cutáneos en niños (ecuaciones de Slaughter y Lohman), teniendo en cuenta el método de referencia utilizada. En este estudio transversal, cincuenta futbolistas jóvenes (13,3±0,7 años) fueron sometidos a un perfil bioquímico de sangre, bioimpedancia eléctrica (BIA), absorciometría de rayos X de energía dual (DXA), medidas antropométricas (masa corporal, estatura y pliegues cutáneos de espesor (ST)) y radiografía de mano-muñeca. La evaluación de la composición corporal se realizó por: DXA, ST (ecuaciones Lohman y Slaughter) y BIA (ecuación Houtkooper). El nivel de zinc identificó dos grupos: deportistas normozincémicos e hipozincémicos, determinados por un punto de corte de 11,0 mmol/L. Se observó una diferencia significativa en los datos descriptivos de todos los participantes después de la estratificación del estado de zinc sólo para la concentración de zinc en plasma; se observaron correlaciones significativas entre los métodos de evaluación (porcentaje de grasa: r= 0,34 a 0,98 y p <0,001 a 0,013; masa libre de grasa: r= desde 0,95 hasta 0,9998 y p <0,001), y disminuyeron las correlaciones al estar involucrada la impedancia eléctrica. Los resultados a través de los métodos Bland y Altman mostraron un acuerdo más cercano al comparar DXA y ST. El método ST fue mejor que el BIA para evaluar la composición corporal (en los jugadores jóvenes de fútbol), cuando no estaban disponibles los escaneos DXA. La comparación de los modelos basados en ST mostró que la mejor asociación de valores DXA se obtuvieron para la ecuación Slaughter, seguidos por la ecuación Lohman utilizando la edad ósea en lugar de la edad cronológica. Los niveles de zinc en plasma parecen no influir en la evaluación de la composición corporal, lo que amerita más estudios.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Composición corporal; Jóvenes; Nutrición ; Metodología; Medidas.
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