High Affinity Decynium-22 Binding to Brain Membrane Homogenates and Reduced Dorsal Camouflaging after Acute Exposure to it in Zebrafish
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Organic cation transporters (OCTs) are expressed in the mammalian brain, kidney, liver, placenta, and intestines, where they facilitate the transport of cations and other substrates between extracellular fluids and cells. Despite increasing reliance on ectothermic vertebrates as alternative toxicology models, properties of their OCT homologs transporting many drugs and toxins remain poorly characterized. Recently, in zebrafish (Danio rerio), two proteins with functional similarities to human OCTs were shown to be highly expressed in the liver, kidney, eye, and brain. This study is the first to characterize in vivo uptake to the brain and the high-affinity brain membrane binding of the mammalian OCT blocker 1-1′-diethyl-2,2′-cyanine iodide (decynium-22 or D-22) in zebrafish. Membrane saturation binding of [3H] D-22 in pooled zebrafish whole brain versus mouse hippocampal homogenates revealed a high-affinity binding site with a KD of 5 ± 2.5 nM and Bmax of 1974 ± 410 fmol/mg protein in the zebrafish brain, and a KD of 3.3 ± 2.3 and Bmax of 704 ± 182 fmol/mg protein in mouse hippocampus. The binding of [3H] D-22 to brain membrane homogenates was partially blocked by the neurotoxic cation 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+), a known OCT substrate. To determine if D-22 bath exposures reach the brain, zebrafish were exposed to 25 nM [3H] D-22 for 10 min, and 736 ± 68 ng/g wet weight [3H] D-22 was bound. Acute behavioral effects of D-22 in zebrafish were characterized in two anxiety-relevant tests. In the first cohort of zebrafish, 12.5, 25, or 50 mg/L D-22 had no effect on their height in the dive tank or entries and time spent in white arms of a light/dark plus maze. By contrast, 25 mg/L buspirone increased zebrafish dive tank top-dwelling (p < 0.05), an anticipated anxiolytic effect. However, a second cohort of zebrafish treated with 50 mg/L D-22 made more white arm entries, and females spent more time in white than controls. Based on these findings, it appears that D-22 bath treatments reach the zebrafish brain and have partial anxiolytic properties, reducing anti-predator dorsal camouflaging, without increasing vertical exploration. High-affinity binding of [3H] D-22 in zebrafish.
INTRODUCTION

Organic cation transporters (OCTs) are transmembrane proteins of the solute carrier family SLC22A responsible for bi-directional facilitated sodium-independent electrogenic transport of compounds that are mono or multivalent cations at physiological pH. They are blocked by hydrophobic polyamines and steroid hormones (Koepsell et al., 2007; Hill et al., 2011; Sala-Rabanal et al., 2013). In mammals, there are three isoforms: OCT1 is richly expressed in the liver, kidneys, and gut; OCT2 is widely found in the brain, kidneys, and to a lesser extent, in other peripheral organs; and OCT3 is predominant in the heart, lungs, adipose tissue, placenta, and brain, and also occurs in other peripheral organs (Koepsell et al., 2007; Nies et al., 2011; Koepsell, 2020; Samodelov et al., 2020; Sweet, 2021). Substrates of mammalian OCTs include the biogenic amines serotonin, norepinephrine, dopamine, and histamine; antioxidants; vitamins such as choline and thiamine; metabolites such as guanidine or putrescine; xenobiotic compounds including drugs such as metformin; and cationic neurotoxins such as the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyrididine (MPTP) cation, 1-methyl-4-phenyl pyridinium (MPP+), or paraquat cations that are used to model Parkinson’s disease in rodents (Cui et al., 2009; Rappold et al., 2011; Nies et al., 2011; Bönisch, 2021; Yee and Giacomini, 2021). The pseudoisocyanine 1-1′-diethyl-2,2′cyanine iodide (decynium-22 or D-22) blocks human and mouse OCTs at low nM concentrations, and because of this property, D-22 is a useful pharmacological tool in the studies of OCT functions (Hayer et al., 1999; Hayer-Zilgen et al., 2002; Fraser-Spears et al., 2019; ; Bönisch, 2021).

Human gene polymorphisms affecting the expression or function of OCTs are associated with substance abuse (Aoyama et al., 2006; Bousman et al., 2009; Bergen et al., 2014), resistance to drugs such as metformin (Nies et al., 2009; Becker et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2015), and a more rapid progression of Parkinson’s disease (Becker et al., 2011). Developmental language delays, hypotonia, and motor speech disorders were evident in two cases of chromosome 6q deletions that impacted OCT2 and OCT3 genes (Peter et al., 2017). Given this, gene polymorphisms impacting OCT expression or function may contribute to psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety, and autism spectrum disorders, but testing this hypothesis requires further clinical investigations (Daws et al., 2013; Gasser and Daws, 2017; Daws, 2021). In humans and rodents, OCTs have lower affinity for monoamine transporters than sodium-dependent serotonin (SLC6A4), norepinephrine (SLC6A2), or dopamine (SLC6A3) transporters (Fraser-Spears et al., 2019). SLC6A transporters are the primary mediators of monoamine clearance, so they are called “uptake 1,” while in most circumstances, OCTs play an auxiliary role in monoamine clearance and are referred to as “uptake 2” (Daws et al., 2013; Gasser, 2021). However, OCTs have a greater capacity to clear monoamines at high concentrations or if SLC6A transporters are compromised (Daws, 2009).

Rodent studies show that OCTs modulate monoamine availability in the brain to shape mood and behaviors. However, OCT effects on anxiety have been equivocal. For example, OCT3 knockout mice were more active and exhibited less anxiety in some tests (Wultsch et al., 2009), but were more stressed, anxious, and less sensitive to psychostimulants in others (Vialou et al., 2008). OCT3 knockout males had lower social interaction preferences than wild types (Garbarino et al., 2019), which may stem from early developmental dysregulation of serotonin neurotransmission (Karahoda et al., 2020). Systemic D-22 treatment blocked the serotonin uptake and produced antidepressant-like effects independent of the serotonin transporter (Baganz et al., 2008; Horton et al., 2013). Co-administration of D-22 with monoamine reuptake inhibitors enhanced antidepressant effects in wild-type mice (Krause-Heuer et al., 2017; Bowman et al., 2020). Since acute effects of D-22 on anxiety-relevant behaviors were not well characterized, one goal of this study was to use zebrafish to look for such effects. We used two different tests, dive tank and light–dark plus maze with established protocols to assess acute D-22 effects on anxiety-based behaviors (Gould, 2010a; Sackerman et al., 2010; Connors et al., 2014).

OCTs have similar structure and function in bacteria, plants, and animals (Koepsell et al., 2007). Two OCT orthologs were recently discovered and characterized in zebrafish (Danio rerio): drOCT1 on chromosome 20 and drOCT2 on chromosome 17; they are most syntenic with human OCT1, OCT2, and OCT3 which occur as a gene cluster on chromosome 6 (Mihaljević et al., 2016). The expression of drOCT1 was high in liver and kidneys, while drOCT2 was high in eyes, and sex dependent expression in muscle, gonads, and gills. Brain drOCT expression in males was higher than that in females. Furthermore, the same group found many similarities in functional properties among human OCTs and zebrafish drOCT1 through homology modeling and transfection of drOCT1 into human embryonic kidney (HEK293T) cells for fluorescent substrate uptake saturation and concentration-dependent inhibition assays (Mihaljević et al., 2017). However, neither ligand-binding properties of D-22 in zebrafish nor if bath exposures to D-22 can even reach the brain were previously reported. Since pharmacological profile differences occur even among mouse, rat, and human OCTs for substrates and blockers (Maier et al., 2021), the second goal of this study was to characterize the high-affinity binding properties of radiolabeled D-22 in zebrafish whole-brain membrane homogenates that could underlie any acute changes in their anxiety behaviors.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
All procedures involving live zebrafish were approved under protocol #090124 by the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in accord with the National Institutes of Health guidelines (http://oacu.od.nih.gov/ARAC). A hundred zebrafish (Danio rerio) 4–6 months old with a mean ± SEM weight of 0.285 ± 0.016 g were obtained in 2009 from Aquatic Eco-Systems Inc (Apopka, FL, United States). Subsequently, 48 zebrafish weighing 0.171 ± 0.013 g were obtained for additional behavior tests from Carolina Biological Supply Co. (Burlington, NC, United States). Fish were housed in mixed-sex groups of six to eight for 2–3 months in the original 2009 study, and one week for a more recent study to acclimate before use in 3 L tanks of a benchtop flow through aquatic habitat (Aquatic Eco-Systems, Apopka, FL, United States) supplemented with 200 mg/L “Instant Ocean” salts (Aquarium Systems, Mentor, OH, United States), pH = 7.2–7.6. Light/dark cycles were 14:10 h (lights on at 700 h and off at 2,100 h). Fish were fed “Top Fin” tropical flakes once per day (Pacific Coast Distributing, Phoenix, AZ, United States).

Six constitutive serotonin transporter knockout heterozygous six-month-old male mice weighing 26 ± 2 g came from an in-house breeding colony established by the founders generously provided by Dr. Dennis Murphy (NIMH) in 1999 (Bengel et al., 1998). The mice on a congenic C57BL/6J background were littermates derived from heterozygous mating and were raised and housed together in same-sex groups from weaning until use in radioligand binding experiments. The mice were housed in a temperature- and humidity-controlled vivarium under a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 600 h) with ad libitum access to rodent diet (Teklad 7,912 irradiated, Envigo) and water (reverse osmosis, acidified to pH 2.5–3 with HCl). Their use for tissue was IACUC-approved under protocol #020014.

Acute Drug Treatments of Zebrafish for Behavior Testing
Initially, 45 zebrafish, a mixed assortment of males and females, were randomly assigned to seven different compound exposure groups. All compounds were from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). Fish were individually bath-exposed for 5 min to drugs dissolved in 20 ml solutions in 50-ml glass beakers. Dimethyl sulfoxide (1%) was used as a control or vehicle for all treatments. The anti-anxiety drug buspirone, a serotonin 5-HT1A receptor partial agonist, was used at 25 mg/L as a positive control since it had anxiolytic effects in zebra fish dive tank tests (Bencan et al., 2009). D-22 was tested at 12.5, 25, and 50 mg/L. In addition, the mammalian stress hormone corticosterone (CORT) was administered at 25 mg/L. This corticosterone treatment was included because in vitro it has been shown to block mammalian OCTs (Gasser, 2021). Red food dye (five drops, red #40 and #3, H.E.B, San Antonio, TX, United States) was used as a color control for the D-22 (50 mg/L) solution since it had the same wavelength and similar amplitude in the spectrophotometer![](image-url)

Novel Environment Test 1: Height in Dive Tank Water Column
A 4-L triangular acrylic tank (Aquascene 1, TopFin, Phoenix, AZ) was filled 18 cm deep with 3.5 L of home tank water. Lines dividing it into thirds were drawn in advance on the outside with a permanent marker. The tank sat on a black countertop, with a 24 cm × 22 cm whiteboard against its back wall to enhance contrast. After drug exposure and 5 min in holding, each zebrafish was placed in a dive tank, observed, and digitally recorded (HP Photosmart R742, OfficeMax, United States) for 5 min to measure the time spent in the top 2/3 vs. the bottom 1/3 of the tank, as previously described by Sackerman et al. (2010). In the initial study, Bartlett’s test (p = 0.7) showed standard deviations among groups that were similar so the group means were compared by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons used for post hoc analysis of significant effects. In the follow-up study, a two-way (sex × treatment) ANOVA with Sidak’s post hoc analysis for significant outcomes was performed using GraphPad Prism nine.
Novel Environment Test 2: Light-Dark Plus Maze Arm Preference

After dive tank tests, fish were tested in the aquatic light–dark plus maze that was performed as described by Gould (2010a). Briefly, a clear acrylic cross maze (Noldus, Leesburg, VA, United States) was used in a 30 cm² × 30 cm² plus configuration. Opposite arms were covered with black polyethylene and the other two with white polyethylene 10 cm² squares (Figure 1). The gray background of the copy stand (Kaiser RS1, B&H Photo, New York, NY) showed through the middle 10 cm² section of the maze. The maze was filled to a depth of 4 cm. A 60-W incandescent desk lamp (800 lumens) was mounted on the copy stand behind the digital camera (HP Photosmart R742) above the maze for testing. Each zebrafish was placed in the center of the maze to start, and the total number of line crosses, the percent of the total entries into the white arms, and the total time spent in the white arms were observed from 5-min video recordings. For the first experiment, Bartlett’s test was performed to determine if standard deviations differed among groups, and if they did not, one-way ANOVA was performed, but if they did, then Welch’s ANOVA was performed, with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons for the post hoc analysis of significant effects. For the follow-up experiment, two-way (sex × treatment) ANOVA was performed with Sidak’s post hoc test for significant findings using Prism nine. After behavior tests, each fish was weighed and euthanized by submersion in ice water for 5 min and subsequent decapitation to effect.

Uptake of [³H] D-22 from Bath Water Into Zebrafish Muscle, Viscera, and Brain

A total of six adult zebrafish, three males and females each, were individually exposed to 25 nM [³H] D-22 (25 Ci/mmol, ARC, Boston, MA (11.4 μg/L)) in 25 ml habitat water in a 50-ml beaker for 10 min. Fish were removed from the radioligand bath with forceps, anesthetized and rinsed with a 30 s dip in ice water, and euthanized by decapitation on an ice-chilled glass Petri dish with a scalpel. From each fish, [³H] D-22 labeled zebrafish brain, visceral organs (heart, gastrointestinal tract, gall bladder, spleen, and liver), and a segment of the skinned lateral muscle were removed as described by Gupta and Mullins (2010), and then weighed and placed in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 200 ml scintillation cocktail (Ecolume, Fisher Scientific, United States). Labeled brains and muscles were mechanically homogenized with a teflon pestle and transferred to 8 ml scintillation vials (Beckman Mini Poly-Q, Fisher Scientific, United States), to which 4 ml of scintillation cocktail was added. Tissue homogenates in vials were vortexed, then mixed on an orbital shaker overnight. The [³H] label (DPM) was measured on a Packard 1900 TR liquid scintillation counter (Packard Instrument Co., Downers Grove, IL) with efficiency of 40%.

Saturation Binding of [³H] D-22 in Zebrafish and Serotonin Transporter-Deficient Mice

Saturation assays were performed to determine the specific binding of D-22 to uptake 2 sites in mouse hippocampus and zebrafish whole brain. Heterozygous serotonin transporter knockout mice were used because their hippocampal OCT3 expression was upregulated vs. the wild-type mice (Baganz et al., 2008). A 50 mM Tris HCl, 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl buffer, pH 7.4 at 25°C was used. Hippocampi from two mice were combined to produce one membrane homogenate, and 12 zebrafish whole brains from males and females were combined to produce the other membrane homogenate in 25 ml buffer each. Both were homogenized separately at 26,000 rpm for 1 min with a Polyrorn tissue homogenizer (Brinkman Instruments, Westbury, NY, United States). Homogenates were centrifuged for 10 min at 36,000 × g at 4°C using a JA 25.50 rotor (Avanti J-E, Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN, United States). The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 25 ml buffer on ice using a Potter Elvehjem 10-ml glass and teflon homogenizer. The homogenate was centrifuged again for 10 min at 36,000 × g. These final pellets were resuspended to obtain an approximate protein concentration of 1 mg/ml, determined using the Bradford reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and colorimetric detection was performed at 595 nm using a plate reader (Spectra Max 190, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, United States).

For the incubations, a 100-μl homogenate was added to a tube containing 150 μl buffer with [³H] D-22 at eight concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 14 nM [³H] D-22 since concentrations exceeding this range are not pharmacologically relevant (Horton et al., 2013). To block any potential D-22 binding to uptake 1 transporters in mice or fish (Gould et al., 2007), 25 nM each of sertraline and mazindol were added to the buffer. To define the non-specific binding of [³H] D-22, 25 μM MPP+ and 25 μM cold D-22 were added to the second and third groups of tubes, respectively. Each condition was reproduced in duplicate tubes. The solutions were incubated at room temperature on an orbital mixer for 60 min, and incubation was terminated by the addition of 4 ml of buffer, pH 7.4 at 4°C. Labeled homogenates were captured by filtration under vacuum on glass fiber filters pre-soaked in 0.5% polyethyleneimine (Sigma) with a Brandel tissue harvester (Gaithersburg, MD). Filters were washed twice more with 4 ml of buffer. Radioactivity trapped by the filters was measured on a scintillation counter (Packard Instrument Co., Downers Grove, IL) with 40% efficiency. Non-linear and linear curve fits were performed with Delta Graph (V5, Red Rock Software, Salt Lake City, UT, United States) and confirmed using Prism (V5 for Mac OS 10, Graph Pad, LaJolla, CA, United States).

RESULTS

Zebrafish Anxiety-Relevant Behaviors in Novel Environments After Acute D-22 Treatment: Initial Study

Dive Tank: Initially, the behavior of zebrafish exposed to red food dye matching the wavelength with a similar intensity to the 50 mg/L D-22 solution was compared with habitat water controls. There was no difference in top dwelling between these two groups [t (13) = 1.221, p = 0.24], so the data were pooled into a single control group of
15 fish. The time in the top 2/3 of the dive tank for this control group was compared with the average times for five to seven fish treated with buspirone, corticosterone, or three concentrations of D-22 treatments. Only the zebra fish treated with 25 mg/L buspirone spent more time in the top 2/3 of the tank \(F(5, 39) = 4.786, p = 0.0017\), as shown in Figure 2A.

**Light–Dark Plus Maze:** The red food dye-control group did not differ from the habitat water-control group in number of line crosses into different boxes \(t(13) = 0.1836, p = 0.86\), percentage white of total line crosses \(t(13) = 0.9615, p = 0.3538\), and time in white arms \(t(13) = 0.4692, p = 0.6467\), so these control groups were pooled. The standard deviations for line crosses differed among the groups (Bartlett’s \(p = 0.004\)), so Welch’s ANOVA was used. The total number of line crosses (entries into center, white, or black boxes) in the light–dark maze was reduced only in the 50 mg/L D-22 treatment group \(W(5.000, 14.49) = 6.130, p = 0.003, \text{Dunnett’s } p = 0.04\), as shown in Figure 2B. The percentage of white/total line crosses also had different standard deviations among groups (Bartlett’s \(p = 0.03\)), but the means did not differ between treatments \(W(5.000, 14.11) = 1.288, p = 0.323\), as shown in Figure 2C. For time spent in white boxes, the standard deviations among groups were similar (Bartlett’s \(p = 0.4657\)), and there were no significant differences among groups \(F(5, 39) = 0.4940, p = 0.7787\), as shown in Figure 2D.

**Follow-Up Study: Acute D-22 50 mg/L Treatment in Females Versus Males**

**Dive Tank:** There was no significant interaction \(F(1,44) = 2.485, p = 0.1221\), effect of sex \(F(1,44) = 1.961, p = 0.1684\), or effect of acute D-22 treatment \(F(1,44) = 0.3468, p = 0.5589\) on time spent in the top 2/3 of the dive tank in the follow-up study, as shown in Figure 3A.

**Light–Dark Plus Maze**

The total number of line crosses or box entries did not differ by sex \(F(1, 44) = 0.7577, p = 0.3888\) or D-22 treatment \(F(1, 44) = 1.381, p = 0.2463\), and there was no significant interaction \(F(1, 44) = 0.000, p = 0.9999\), as shown in Figure 3B. However, there was a significant effect of acute D-22 treatment \(F(1, 44) = 14.61, p < 0.0004\) to increase the percentage of white/total line crosses or entries by both female \([t(12) = 2.894, p < 0.0118]\) and male \([t(12) = 2.511, p < 0.0313]\) zebrafish relative to their controls, as shown in Figure 3C. There was no significant interaction \(F(1, 44) = 0.0730, p = 0.7882\) or sex effect \(F(1, 44) = 0.4829, p = 0.4908\) on the percentage of white/total line crosses. Female D-22-treated zebrafish

**FIGURE 2** | Acute effects of D-22 on behaviors in novel environment-based anxiety tests. A total of 45 zebrafish were tested with sample sizes ranging from 5 to 15 fish with both males and females in every group. (A) Only the anti-anxiety drug buspirone increased the amount of time zebrafish spent at the top of the dive tank. (B) D-22 at 50 mg/L reduced the number of line crosses made by zebrafish exploring the light/dark plus maze. (C) There were no effects of D-22 or any other treatment on the percentage of white box entries/total entries. (D) There was no effect of D-22 or any other treatment on the time spent in white boxes. In all figures, for controls (CTRL), the filled symbols are the food color controls and open symbols are uncolored control solutions. CORT = corticosterone at 25 mg/L. Mean and S.E.M. are shown.

(Continued)
also spent significantly more time in white arms than in controls \(F(1, 44) = 10.99, p < 0.0018, t(12) = 2.904, p < 0.0114\), while males did not \(t(12) = 1.783, p = 0.1563\), as shown in Figure 3D. There was no interaction \(F(1, 44) = 0.6288, p = 0.430\) or sex effect \(F(1,44) = 1.391, p = 0.2445\) on the time spent in white arms.

[3H] D-22 Uptake Into Zebrafish Tissues from Water
Zebrafish exposed to 25 nM [3H] D-22 for 10 min took up 736 ± 68 ng/g wet weight in the brain, 601 ± 134 ng/g in the viscera, and 308 ± 38 ng/g in the muscle \((n = 6)\), as shown in Figure 4. This demonstrates [3H] D-22 occupancy following acute bath exposure in zebrafish brain and gut are comparable and roughly double that found in muscle. This occupancy in the brain and gut is similar to zebrafish [3H] citalopram uptake from a 3-min bath exposure (Sackerman et al., 2010). The outcome demonstrates that [3H] D-22 or a metabolite that remains radiolabeled can cross the blood–brain barrier to occupy binding sites in the zebrafish brain. A prior study showed that blood–brain barrier properties in healthy adult zebrafish are comparable with those of higher mammals (Jeong et al., 2008).

This finding supports the idea that bath exposures of zebrafish, paralleling systemic administration of D-22 to animals for behavioral studies is likely to occupy OCTs in the brain.

Saturation Binding of [3H] D-22 in Zebrafish and Mouse Brain Homogenates
Total binding of [3H] D-22 had maximal binding \((B_{\text{max}}) = 1,656 \pm 2,839 \text{ fmol/mg protein in zebrafish whole-brain membrane homogenates pooled from females and males, and} \)
222.7 ± 406.2 fmol/mg protein in mouse hippocampus. For total binding, the dissociation constant (K_D) = 4.853 ± 10.59 nM in the zebrafish brain and 0.7030 ± 5.175 nM in mice. Specific [3H] D-22 binding, with non-specific binding defined by 25 μM D-22 plotted by linear regression and subtracted from total, had a Bmax of 1974 ± 409.7 fmol/mg protein and K_D = 5.172 ± 2.514 nM in zebrafish brain, and a K_D of 3.3 ± 2.3 and Bmax of 704 ± 182 fmol/mg protein in mouse hippocampus, respectively, with non-specific defined by 25 μM D-22. Binding of [3H] D-22 was partially blocked by MPP+, n = 3. Mean and S.E.M are shown.

FIGURE 5 | [3H] D-22 saturation in (A) zebrafish whole-brain versus (B) mouse hippocampus. A single high-affinity binding site for [3H] D-22 with a K_D of 5 ± 2.5 nM and Bmax of 1974 ± 410 fmol/mg protein was found in whole zebrafish brain, and a K_D of 3.3 ± 2.3 and Bmax of 704 ± 182 fmol/mg protein in mouse hippocampus, respectively, with non-specific defined by 25 μM D-22. Binding of [3H] D-22 was partially blocked by MPP+, n = 3. Mean and S.E.M. are shown.

DISCUSSION

The main behavioral finding from this study is that acute exposure to D-22 at 50 mg/L increased zebrafish exploration of plus maze arms with a white background. Initially, acute bath exposure to the high-affinity mammalian OCT inhibitor D-22 reduced zebrafish box entries, the index of exploration, in the light–dark plus maze at the aforementioned dose with no other behavioral effects. For this reason, we decided not to test any higher doses of D-22 for this study. In the follow-up male vs. female experiment with the 50 mg/L D-22 dose, the percentage of white/total entries of both sexes and the amount of time spent by females in white arms was higher than those of the untreated controls (Figures 3C,D). Treatment with the mammalian stress hormone corticosterone at 25 mg/L was without effect, which may be consistent if the binding properties of zebrafish drOCT1 or drOCT2 are similar to those of mouse OCT3, as corticosterone has a lower affinity for murine OCT3 than D-22 (Koepsell et al., 2007). Our findings support the hypothesis that D-22 has anxiolytic properties relative to vehicle control treatment on zebrafish exploratory behavior in novel environments. Increased time spent in white arms after D-22 50 mg/L treatment may be indicative of an anxiolytic effect, perhaps because of reduced concern about predators from above (i.e. reduced dorsal camouflage).

Anxiety behavior tests in zebrafish are based on predator avoidance strategies such as dwelling lower in the water column or staying on dark backgrounds (Córdova et al., 2016; Crane and Ferrari, 2017). Over the course of evolution, countershading, or dark dorsal coloration and light ventral coloration, may have been selected for in fish because it facilitates predator avoidance by background camouflage (Kelley et al., 2017). In zebrafish, countershading is under the control of melanocortin receptor 1 and a series of genes regulating agouti-signaling proteins that bind to it (Cal et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2021). Countershading is somewhat dynamic in zebrafish since within two days, fish can increase the dark pigmentation on their dorsum by the recruitment of melanosome into dorsal melanophores in response to visual exposure to a dark background or floor (Hatamoto and Shingyoji, 2008). Norepinephrine signaling, associated with the fight or flight response, is a driver of dynamic pigment shifts that zebrafish undergo to blend into their backgrounds (Xu and Xie, 2011). Taken together, it appears that substantial evolutionary pressure controls (Koepsell et al., 2007). Our findings support the hypothesis that D-22 has anxiolytic properties relative to vehicle control treatment on zebrafish exploratory behavior in novel environments. Increased time spent in white arms after D-22 50 mg/L treatment may be indicative of an anxiolytic effect, perhaps because of reduced concern about predators from above (i.e. reduced dorsal camouflage).

By contrast, the height in the water column of the dive tank was not affected by 50 mg/L D-22. This response is akin to exploration of the middle of an open field test by rodents. Dwelling at the bottom of the tank is considered a thigmotaxic response consistent with predator avoidance. Among the experiments for this study, only the treatment with the anti-anxiety drug buspirone increased zebrafish exploration of the upper part of a dive tank, as had previously been reported to occur (Bencan et al., 2009). In other studies, drugs such as citalopram and desipramine also increase dwelling in the top 2/3 of the dive tank, while other drugs increasing time in the white arms of the plus maze, such as clordiazepoxide, did not increase dwelling in the top of the dive tank (Sackerman et al., 2010). D-22 is therefore not the only drug with anxiolytic effects in rodents that yields different responses in the zebrafish dive tank versus plus maze.

The lack of sex-specific effects of D-22 on these behaviors is of interest, since in male zebrafish, drOCT1 and to a lesser extent drOCT2 are more highly expressed in the brain than in females.
We observed that sex differences in mouse OCT3 (in males) vs. the plasma membrane monoamine transporter (PMAT in females) contribute more to enhanced properties of amphetamine, without knowing if differential expression of these transporters corresponds with sex in mouse brain (Clauss et al., 2021). This highlights the importance of comparing expression of uptake 2 transporters in future rodent and zebrafish studies to advance our understanding of sex-specific effects of OCTs. However, sex determination in zebrafish is a very different process than what occurs in mammals; for example, females are the heterogametic sex and chromosome 4 harbors the sex-determining gene (Aharon and Marlow, 2021). Also female zebrafish have a male-appearing phenotype if reared in warm temperatures (Hosseini et al., 2019). These kind of differences may confound efforts to effectively translate zebrafish findings to mammalian and human responses.

To complicate matters further, it appears that the mammalian expression of OCT2 and OCT3, but not OCT1, may be under epistatic control in many tissues such that only maternal coding genes are expressed (Liu et al., 2022). The control element, Antisense to Igf2r RNA Noncoding (Air), is paternally expressed and as a result, genotypes and OCT2 and OCT3 expression phenotypes in most tissues may not match in heterozygous knockout mice, since only one parental allele is active (Yotova et al., 2008). One exception to this imprinting pattern in mice may be the neurons wherein at least Igf2r was shown to be bi-allelically expressed (Yamasaki et al., 2005). Initially, maternal imprinting of mouse OCT2 and OCT3 along with insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor (Igf2R) was thought to be restricted to the mouse placenta during pregnancy (Zwart et al., 2001; Sleutels et al., 2002; Nagano et al., 2008). Recently, evidence has emerged that in mice, cattle, and in some tissue types, human OCT2 and OCT3 have mono-allelic expression (Yotova et al., 2008; Peter et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2022). Given this, future studies should consider imprinting and confirming the protein level expression of OCT2 and OCT3, and also look into the potential epistatic expression of drOCTs.

We also demonstrated with a bath exposure to [3H] D-22 that the drug penetrates the viscera, muscles, and brain of zebrafish in the same time frame that behavioral effects was measured. The mode of administration may affect the outcome of novel environment-based behavior tests in fish. For example, in a recent study, zebrafish were microinjected with D-22 at 0.01–10 μg/g in a salt solution vehicle, and this was found to have anxiogenic properties, increasing dark background preference, or “scotaxis” with a u-shaped curve on risk assessment based on freezing behavior (Maximino, 2021). Further studies on dosing and mode of administration that take into account the amount of D-22 reaching the brain and behavioral effects are needed to clarify its role in anxiety-related behaviors.

Characterization of high-affinity binding sites for D-22 in zebrafish or mouse brains was based on D-22 concentrations found in the brain with acute treatments that produced antidepressant-like behavioral effects in mice (Baganz et al., 2008; Horton et al., 2013). Hence the in vitro assays on brain membrane homogenates utilized a maximal concentration of 14 nM [3H] D-22, and bath exposures used 25 nM. Our goal was to capture a single high-affinity binding site most likely responsible for the beneficial behavior effects observed with 0.01–0.1 mg/kg. We did not want to use doses of D-22 approaching equivalents of 10 mg/kg because it has a sedating effect on mice (Horton et al., 2013). In the present study, 50 mg/L D-22 initially appeared to slow the exploration of the light/dark plus maze in a few fish, but did not interfere with the exploration as evidenced by box entries in the light–dark plus maze that matched controls in the follow-up study. We found that with the aid of monoamine blockers, a putative high-affinity binding site appeared to reach a specific binding plateau in the zebrafish more clearly than in the mouse saturation assays.

The pharmacological properties of zebrafish drOCT1 were assessed in concentration-dependent inhibition assays with many drugs, hormones, and xenobiotics (Mihaljević et al., 2017). D-22 was not tested in these assays for its affinity with drOCT1. In vivo, we now know that drOCT1 and drOCT2 and other organic cation transporters that D-22 may bind to, occur in the brain with some capacity to affect behavior after acute treatment (Mihaljević et al., 2016). Paralleling this, although D-22 had a slightly greater affinity for human OCT3 than OCT2 or plasma membrane monoamine transporters in vitro (Hayer-Zillgen et al., 2002; Fraser-Spears et al., 2019), its behavioral effects on these transporters in vivo may involve collective inhibition of OCTs. Furthermore, D-22 may bind to other transporters or receptors which may also help mediate its behavioral effects. For example, D-22 also blocks the plasma membrane monoamine transporter (PMAT) with high affinity (Duan and Wang, 2010), and a modest affinity of D-22 for adrenergic receptors has also been reported (Russ et al., 1996). Since OCTs transport many xenobiotics, including neurotoxins and drugs, their role in exposure-induced neurodegenerative disorders could be more safely studied at high throughput in zebrafish (Gould, 2010b; Lin et al., 2021). However, binding sites in the zebrafish brain for D-22 are even less well described, so further study in this area is warranted.
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