A DFT study on the molecular mechanism of the conjugated nitroalkenes polymerization process initiated by selected unsaturated nucleophiles
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Abstract
The participation of the nitroethene and its α-substituted analogs as model nitrofunctionalized monomers, and (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone and methyl vinyl ether as initiators in the polymerization reactions, has been analyzed in the framework of the density functional theory calculations at the M06-2X(PCM)/6-311 + G(d) level. Our computational study suggests the zwitterionic mechanism of the polymerization process. The exploration of the nature of critical structures shows that the first reaction stage exhibits evidently polar nature, whereas additions of further nitroalkene molecules to the polynitroalkyl molecular system formed should be considered as moderate polar processes. The more detailed view on the molecular transformations gives analysis based on the bonding evolution theory. This study shows that the case of polymerization reaction between nitroethene and (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone allows for distinguishing eleven topologically different phases, while, in the case of polymerization reaction nitroethene and methyl vinyl ether, we can distinguish nine different phases.
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1 Introduction
Poly-nitro compounds are widely used as highly effective propellants [1]. The general method for their preparation is the polymerization of conjugated nitroalkenes (CNA) [2]. However, not all nitroalkenes can be used as raw material in this kind of synthesis. In particular, it is much easier for a retro-nitroaldol reaction to take place with 2-aryl-1-EWG-1-nitroethenes, and addition reactions only apply to some highly reactive nucleophilic reagents such as azide ion [3], cyclopentadiene [4] and N-methylazomethine ylide [5].

2-Aryl-1-nitroethenes of the retro-nitroaldol reaction are not participated and, at the same time, are effective components of addition to dienes [6, 7] and three-atom components (TACs) [8] such as nitrones [9, 10], azides [11] and nitrile N-oxides [12–14]. At the same time, there are no reports about their polymerization. On the other hand, parent nitroethene (1a) [15] and its simple 1-substituted analogs such as 2-nitroprop-1-ene (1b) [15, 16], 1-fluoro-1-nitroethene (1c) [17], 1-chloro-1-nitroethene (1d) [18, 19] or 1-bromo-1-nitroethene (1e) [20] tend to form high molecular systems (Scheme 1). The initiators described for this type of polymerization are inorganic bases [15, 16]. The disadvantage of their use is the quite rapid and sometimes explosive polymerization process. The milder CNA polymerization processes have not been the subject of detailed research work so far.

Huisgen and Mlostoń described the reaction of nitroethene with 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-thioxocyclobutanone S-methylide in 1992 [21]. In this reaction, the cycloadduct expected by the authors [3 + 2] is formed with relatively low yield. Instead, significant amounts of nitroethene polymer were found in the post-reaction mass. This prompted the authors to hypothesize that the first stage of the analyzed reaction is the formation of a zwitterionic adduct (ZA), which, as a labile intermediate, may on competitive paths (a) cyclize to nitrothiolate and (b) become the initiator of mild nitroethene polymerization (Scheme 2). Recent studies
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have confirmed the presence of the zwitterionic intermediate in the environment of the described reaction [22].

In recent years, it has been observed that certain amounts of nitropolymers also appear in post-reaction masses [3 + 2] cycloaddition of nitroethene and its 1-substituted analogs (1a–e) with arylonitrones, in twofold to fourfold molar excess of nitroalkene [23–25].

Analysis of the literature data [26–28] also showed that, in the case of the Hetero Diels–Alder reaction with the participation of nitroalkenes and EDG-substituted unsaturated compounds (such as alkyl vinyl ethers), the formation of a zwitterionic intermediate may compete with the addition process (Scheme 3). These intermediates, by analogy with the scenario described above, could be initiators for CNA polymerization processes.

The above observations give reason to believe that some unsaturated nucleophilic reagents may be effective initiators of mild, zwitterionic polymerization of simple CNAs. As part of this work, we decided to shed light on the molecular mechanism as well as the kinetic and thermodynamic aspects of such transformations. For this purpose, we decided to use data for quantum chemical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT). The obtained results should aid understanding of the nature of transformations taking place in the course of the analyzed processes and thus allow for their rational design on a laboratory scale.

2 Computational details

All quantum chemical calculations were performed using ‘Prometheus’ cluster (CYFRONET regional computational center). The M06-2X functional [29] included in the GAUSSIAN 09 package [30] and the 6-311 + G(d) basis set including both diffuse and polarization functions for all relevant atoms was used. All localized stationary points have been characterized using vibrational analysis. It was found that starting molecules, intermediates and products had positive Hessian matrices. For the contrast, all transition states (TS) showed only one negative eigenvalue in their Hessian matrices. For all optimized transition states, intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations have been performed. The presence of the solvent (nitromethane) in the reaction environment has been included using IEFPCM algorithm [31].

The topological analyses of the electron localization function (ELF) [32–34] were performed with the TopMod [35] program using the corresponding M06-2X(PCM)/6-311 + G(d) monodeterminantal wavefunctions. ELF calculations were computed over a grid spacing of 0.1 a.u. for each structure, and ELF localization domains were obtained for an ELF value of 0.75. For the bonding evolution theory (BET) [36] studies, the topological analysis of the ELF along the IRC was performed for a total of 136 nuclear configurations for reaction from substrates 1a, 2 to product Z1A and with second molecule of 1a to product Z2A. For the reaction 1a with 3 to product Z1B and reaction with second molecule of 1a leading to Z2B, the topological analysis of the ELF along the IRC was performed for a total of 122 nuclear configuration. BET applies Thom’s catastrophe theory (CT) concepts [37–39] to the topological analysis of the gradient field of the ELF [34].

The electron localization function (ELF) [34] is a relative measure of the same spin pair density local distribution, i.e., the Pauli repulsion, in the context of monodeterminantal wavefunctions. High values of the ELF are associated with high-probability regions for electron pairing in the spirit of Lewis structures. The analysis of the gradient field or topology of ELF [32, 33] renders a partition of the molecular space into non-overlapping volumes or basins that could be associated with entities and concepts of chemical significance as atomic cores and valence regions (e.g., bonds or lone pairs). Valence basins are in turn classified depending of the number of core basins with which they share a boundary (i.e., the so-called synaptic order) [32, 33]. A complete population analysis can be performed based on
the integration of the one- and two-electron density probabilities in the ELF basins.

3 Results and discussion

We adopted nitroethene (1a) and a group of its substituted analogs as model CNA, as illustrated in Scheme 1. As initiators of the polymerization process, we tested (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone (2) and methyl vinyl ether (3) (Scheme 4). Within the scope of theoretical studies, we examined the pathways leading to the formation of primary zwitterionic intermediates as a result of the addition of CNA to the nucleophile and then examined the energy profiles of processes involving the addition of four subsequent CNA molecules. In order to better understand the molecular mechanism of these reactions, a BET study for the key stages of the polymerization reactions nitroethene (1a) with (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone (2) and methyl vinyl ether (3) was employed.

3.1 Energetical profiles and key structures for reaction involving (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone

The general scheme of the reaction of (Z)-C,N-diphenyl nitronitrone 2 with nitroethene 1a is illustrated in Scheme 5. The M06-2X(PCM)/6-311 + G(d) calculations indicate that the first stage of the process is the formation of the molecular pre-reaction complex (MC1A) (Fig. 1, Table 1). This is due to a decrease in the enthalpy of the reacting system by 2 kcal/mol. It should be noted that this formation causes a strong reduction in entropy, so the free Gibbs energy of MC1A creation is positive. This excludes the possibility of the MC1A complex in the form of a stable intermediate. Within MC1A, both of its components approach each other in such a way that the distance between the reaction centers O1 and C4 decreases below 3.5 Å. It is not a CT complex (GEDT value is equal to 0.00e). Further conversion of the pre-reaction complex is effected through transient TS1A. Enthalpy of TS1A is 7.5 kcal/mol higher than that of individual reagents. At the same time, the entropic factor causes the Gibbs free energy of the activation to be slightly higher, reaching 20 kcal/mol. Within TS1A, the distance between the reaction centers O1 and C4 decreases to 1.838 Å. This structure is clearly polar, as demonstrated by the value of the GEDT index (0.57 e). Further approximation of the O1 and C4 reaction centers leads to the formation of the Z1A intermediate. Within Z1A, the distance O1–C4 is 1.504 Å. This intermediate is zwitterion, which confirms the value of GEDT (0.81 e). It is not a thermodynamically stable structure and can easily undergo chemical conversion by addition of a second nitroethene molecule. This process is initiated by the formation of the MC2A molecular complex. This stage is carried out without overcoming the activation barrier and is associated with a decrease in enthalpy of the reacting system by 4.4 kcal/mol. The nature of MC2A is very similar to that of the MC1A complex. The gradual approach of reaction centers in this complex leads to transient TS2A. This involves overcoming a much lower energy barrier than in the case of the first stage of the analyzed process. Within TS2A, the key distance C5–C6
reaches 2.274 Å. The polarity of TS2A is smaller than TS1A (GEDT = 0.19 e). Further movement of the reacting system along the coordinate of the reaction leads to the formation of the Z2A molecule. It should be emphasized that the transformation of MC1A into Z2A is irreversible from thermodynamic point of view. Z2A can add further nitroethene molecules through transient states of a nature (distances between reaction centers equal 2.26–2.29 Å; GEDT is less than 0.2 e) very similar to that of TS2A. The sequence of several such transformations is illustrated in
Scheme 5. It should be emphasized that, each time, the attachment of a further nitroethene molecule is easy from a kinetic point of view and, at the same time, beneficial from the thermodynamic point of view of the whole process because it is associated with a decrease in the Gibbs free energy of the reacting system by 9-12 kcal/mol.

Next, we verified the susceptibility of other CNAs mentioned on Scheme 1 to polymerization initiated by nitrone 2. It was discovered that each of these processes is carried out according to a very similar mechanism as in the case of nitroethene. The first stage is always the formation of a labile, zwitterionic adduct, to which another CNA molecule may easily be added.

### 3.2 BET study of the polymerization reaction between nitroethene 1a and (Z)-C,N-diphenylnitrone 2

In order to characterize the bonding changes along the polymerization reaction of nitroethene (1a) and

| Nitroalkene | Transition | ΔH (kcal/mol) | ΔS (cal/(molK)) | ΔG (kcal/mol) |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1a + 2 → MC1A | -2.0 | -28.2 | 6.4 |
| 1a + 2 → TS1A | 7.5 | -41.1 | 19.8 |
| 1a + Z1A → Z2A | 5.0 | -42.3 | 17.6 |
| 1a + Z1A → MC2A | -4.4 | -34.4 | 5.8 |
| 1a + Z1A → TS2A | -0.3 | -40.4 | 11.8 |
| 1a + Z2A → Z3A | -23.3 | -43.7 | -10.3 |
| 1a + Z2A → MC3A | -3.6 | -33.6 | 6.4 |
| 1a + Z2A → TS3A | -1.0 | -40.2 | 11.0 |
| 1a + Z3A → Z4A | -23.6 | -46.9 | -9.6 |
| 1a + Z3A → MC4A | -2.7 | -25.2 | 4.8 |
| 1a + Z3A → TS4A | -0.7 | -34.8 | 9.7 |
| 1b + 2 → MC1A | -6.0 | -36.9 | 5.0 |
| 1b + 2 → TS1A | 9.5 | -43.2 | 22.3 |
| 1b + Z1A → Z2A | 7.8 | -43.2 | 20.6 |
| 1b + Z1A → MC2A | -4.9 | -36.1 | 5.9 |
| 1b + Z1A → TS2A | -1.2 | -43.8 | 11.9 |
| 1b + Z1A → Z2A | -22.5 | -49.0 | -7.9 |
| 1c + 2 → MC1A | -1.0 | -28.6 | 6.7 |
| 1c + 2 → TS1A | 8.3 | -40.9 | 20.5 |
| 1c + Z1A → Z2A | 5.5 | -41.0 | 17.7 |
| 1c + Z1A → MC2A | -5.1 | -37.8 | 6.1 |
| 1c + Z1A → TS2A | -1.1 | -40.3 | 10.9 |
| 1c + Z1A → Z2A | -29.7 | -45.0 | -16.3 |
| 1d + 2 → MC1A | -8.2 | -38.8 | 3.3 |
| 1d + 2 → TS1A | 4.4 | -42.1 | 16.9 |
| 1d + Z1A → Z2A | 0.5 | -38.7 | 12.0 |
| 1d + Z1A → MC2A | -5.6 | -32.5 | 4.1 |
| 1d + Z1A → TS2A | -2.7 | -45.1 | 10.7 |
| 1d + Z1A → Z2A | -25.9 | -51.2 | -10.6 |
| 1e + 2 → MC1A | -7.7 | -40.5 | 4.4 |
| 1e + 2 → TS1A | 3.7 | -40.0 | 15.7 |
| 1e + Z1A → Z2A | -0.1 | -34.3 | 12.9 |
| 1e + Z1A → MC2A | -5.3 | -40.5 | 6.7 |
| 1e + Z1A → TS2A | -2.1 | -46.9 | 11.9 |
(Z)-C,N-diphenylnitrone (2), a BET study of the key stages of this reaction was carried out. In the first stage of this reaction, we stand out six different topological phases (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

**Phase I.** 2.43 Å ≤ d(O1–N2) < 2.45 Å. 2.47 Å ≥ d(N2–C3) > 2.46 Å, 5.29 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 3.94 Å and 2.50 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.54 Å, begins at MC1A, which is a discontinuous point of the IRC from TS1A toward the intermediate Z1A. The ELF topological analysis of MC1A divulges slight changes in the ELF valence basins electron populations of substrates 1a and 2 (see Table 2). The population of V(O1,N2), V(N2,C3) and V(C4,C5) disynaptic basins progressively increases, but population of V'(C4,C5) disynaptic basin remains unchanged.

At P1A, **Phase II** begins, 2.45 Å ≤ d(O1–N2) < 2.54 Å, 2.46 Å ≥ d(N2–C3) > 2.45 Å, 3.94 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 3.25 Å and 2.54 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.67 Å, which is described by a cusp C catastrophe. At this point, the first most relevant change along the reaction path takes place; the two V(C4,C5) and V'(C4,C5) disynaptic basins have merged into a V(C4,C5) disynaptic basin integrating 3.44e. This change is related to the double-bond rupture in the nitroethene 1a molecule, with a demand energy cost of 7.4 kcal/mol (Table 2). In this phase, we can find the transition state (TS1A) of the reaction of 1a and 2: d(O1–N2) = 2.50 Å, d(N2–C3) = 2.45 Å, d(O1–C4) = 3.47 Å and d(C4–C5) = 2.61 Å.

**Phase III.** d(O1–N2) = 2.54 Å, d(N2–C3) = 2.45 Å, 3.25 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 3.19 Å and 2.67 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.68 Å, starts at P2A. This point is characterized by a fold F' catastrope. In this phase, we observed the formation of a new V(C5) monosynaptic basin integrating 0.55e and decreased the value of V(C4,C5) disynaptic basin, which in this phase integrating 2.84e.

At P3A begins **Phase IV.** 2.54 Å ≤ d(O1–N2) < 2.56 Å, d(N2–C3) = 2.45 Å, 3.19 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 3.08 Å and 2.68 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.71 Å. This point is characterized by fold F'' catastrophe, in which the V(C5) monosynaptic basin divides into two V(C5) and V'(C5) monosynaptic basins integrating 0.60e and 0.31e, respectively.

At **Phase V.** 2.56 Å ≤ d(O1–N2) < 2.58 Å, d(N2–C3) = 2.45 Å, 3.08 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 2.93 Å and 2.71 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.74 Å, which begins at P4A, the next significant topological change along the reaction path takes place. At this phase, established by a cusp C catastrophe the new V(O1,C4) disynaptic basin has been formed with initial population of 0.77e (Table 2). At this phase, we also observed that the population of V(O1) and V'(O1) monosynaptic basins and V(C4,C5) disynaptic basin progressively decreased, integrating 2.60e, 2.76e and 2.33e, respectively.

Finally, the last **Phase VI.** 2.58 Å ≤ d(O1–N2) < 2.57 Å, d(N2–C3) = 2.45 Å, 2.93 Å ≥ d(O1–C4) > 2.87 Å and 2.74 Å ≤ d(C4–C5) < 2.75 Å, is located between points P5A and Z1A. Here, characterized by cusp C' catastrophe, a

| Structures | 1a | 2 | MC1A | Phase I | Phase II | Phase III | Phase IV | Phase V | Phase VI |
|------------|----|---|------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Phases     |    |   |      |         |          |           |          |         |         |
| d(O1–N2)   | 2.426 | 2.449 | 2.535 | 2.543 | 2.558 | 2.575 | 2.570 | 2.501 |
| d(N2–C3)   | 2.466 | 2.457 | 2.451 | 2.452 | 2.452 | 2.451 | 2.446 | 2.453 |
| d(O1–C4)   | 5.293 | 3.938 | 3.245 | 3.188 | 3.078 | 2.933 | 2.867 | 3.474 |
| d(C4–C5)   | 2.497 | 2.536 | 2.665 | 2.680 | 2.707 | 2.741 | 2.746 | 2.612 |
| ΔE         | -1.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 8.1 |
| V(O1,N2)   | 1.38 | 1.40 | 1.37 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.20 | 1.28 |
| V(O1)      | 3.15 | 3.04 | 2.99 | 3.27 | 3.33 | 3.20 | 2.48 | 2.47 | 3.05 |
| V'(O1)     | 2.94 | 3.02 | 2.97 | 2.83 | 2.83 | 2.76 | 2.62 | 2.57 | 2.92 |
| V(N2,C3)   | 3.67 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.76 | 3.79 | 3.83 | 1.89 | 1.92 | 3.73 |
| V'(N2,C3)  | 1.94 | 1.90 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| V(C4,C5)   | 1.71 | 1.73 | 3.44 | 2.84 | 2.49 | 2.33 | 2.23 | 2.17 | 3.34 |
| V'(C4,C5)  | 1.77 | 1.77 |      | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.61 |      |
| V(C5)      |      |      |      | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.52 |      |      |
| V'(C5)     |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| V(O1,C4)   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

The stationary points 1a, 2, MC1A, TS1A and Z1A are also included. Distances are given in angstroms, Å; electron populations in average number of electrons, e, relative energies in kcal/mol. The stationary points 1a, 2, MC1A, TS1A and Z1A are also included. Distances are given in angstroms, Å; electron populations in average number of electrons, e, relative energies in kcal/mol.

*Relatively to the separated reagents 1a and 2*
disynaptic basin \( V(N_2,C_3) \) integrating 3.83e is divided into two disynaptic basins \( V(N_2,C_3) \) and \( V'(N_2,C_3) \) integrating 1.89e and 1.94e, respectively.

In turn, addition of the second molecule of \( 1a \) to \( Z_1A \) can be characterized by five different topological phases (Table 3).

Phase \( VII \), \( 2.75 \ \AA \leq d(C_4–C_5) < 2.76 \ \AA \), \( 5.57 \ \AA \geq d(C_5–C_6) > 4.69 \ \AA \) and \( 2.50 \ \AA \leq d(C_6–C_7) < 2.52 \ \AA \), starts at \( MC_2A \). This point is the interrupt of the IRC from \( TS_2A \) toward the product \( Z_2A \). The ELF picture of \( MC_2A \) represents small changes in ELF valence basin electron populations of \( Z_1A \) and \( 1a \). ELF analysis of \( MC_2A \) shows a slight increase in the population of \( V(O_1,C_4) \) and \( V(C_6,C_7) \) disynaptic basins. On the other hand, the population of \( V(C_4,C_5) \) and \( V'(C_6,C_7) \) disynaptic basins progressively decrease.

---

**Fig. 2** ELF localization domains, represented at isosurface values of ELF=0.75, together with their attractor positions for the points of the IRC defining Phases I–VI along the reaction between nitroethene \( 1a \) and (Z)-C,N-diphenylnitron 2.
At Phase VIII, \( d(C4–C5) = 2.76 \ \text{Å} \), \( 4.69 \ \text{Å} \geq d(C5–C6) > 4.47 \ \text{Å} \) and \( 2.52 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C6–C7) < 2.54 \ \text{Å} \), which begins at P6A, the first topological change along the reaction path takes place. In this point, described by a fold \( F \) catastrophe, we observed the disappearance of a \( V'(C5) \) monosynaptic basin and the value of the \( V(C5) \) monosynaptic basin increased, integrating \( 0.64e \) (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Phase IX. \( 2.76 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C4–C5) < 2.79 \ \text{Å} \), \( 4.47 \ \text{Å} \geq d(C5–C6) > 3.94 \ \text{Å} \) and \( 2.54 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C6–C7) < 2.63 \ \text{Å} \), begins at P7A and is featured by cusp \( C \) catastrophe. In this phase, the \( V'(C6,C7) \) disynaptic basin is disappearance and the value of the \( V(C6,C7) \) disynaptic basin increases, integrating \( 3.49e \). In this phase, there is a transition state (TS2A) of the analyzed reaction: \( d(C4–C5) = 2.77 \ \text{Å} \), \( d(C5–C6) = 4.30 \ \text{Å} \) and \( d(C6–C7) = 2.56 \ \text{Å} \).

P8A, \( 2.79 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C4–C5) < 2.81 \ \text{Å} \), \( 3.94 \ \text{Å} \geq d(C5–C6) > 3.76 \ \text{Å} \) and \( 2.63 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C6–C7) < 2.68 \ \text{Å} \), commences the Phase X, which is described by cusp \( C \) catastrophe. At this phase, the \( V'(C5,C6) \) disynaptic basin disappears and a new \( V'(C5,C6) \) disynaptic basin integrating \( 1.11e \) is formed. This new \( V(C5,C6) \) disynaptic basin is associated with the formation a sigma bond between C5 and C6 atoms.

At last, we distinguish the Phase XI, which begins at P9A, \( 2.81 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C4–C5) < 2.85 \ \text{Å} \), \( 3.76 \ \text{Å} \geq d(C5–C6) > 2.92 \ \text{Å} \) and \( 2.68 \ \text{Å} \leq d(C6–C7) < 2.81 \ \text{Å} \). In this phase, located between P9A and Z2A, described by fold \( F' \) catastrophe, we noticed that new \( V(C7) \) and \( V'(C7) \) monosynaptic basins integrating \( 0.50e \) and \( 0.24e \) are established at P9A. These changes are related to a high energy cost of \(- 16.2 \ \text{kcal/mol}^{-1} \) (Table 3).

In this section, the bonding changes arising from the BET study and their associated energy changes along the key stages of polymerization reaction between nitroethene 1a and (Z)-C,N-diphenylnitrone 2 are summarized and described. The sequential bonding changes received from the BET analysis of the analyzed reaction are shown in Table 4, together with a simplified representation of the molecular mechanism by ELF-based Lewis-like structures. From this BET study, some appealing conclusions can be obtained: (i) the molecular mechanism of the analyzed polymerization reaction 1a and 2 can topologically characterize eleven different phases, which have been grouped into five Groups A–E and linked to significant chemical events (Table 4); (ii) Group A, containing Phases I and II, in which the C4–C5 double bond breaks, leading to the formation of a C5 pseudoradical center. In Phase II, the transition state of reaction 1a with 2 is found; (iii) Group B, containing only Phase V, in which the new O1–C4 single bond is formed; (iv) Group C, also contains only one Phase VI, which is associated with the formation of a N2–C3 double bond; (v) Phases VII–IX belong to the Group D and are associated with rupture of the C6–C7 double bond in the second molecule of 1a; (vi) the last group, containing Phases X–XI in which the new C5–C6 single bond and C7 pseudoradical center are formed.

### 3.3 Energetical profiles and key structures for reaction involving methyl vinyl ether

CNA polymerization initiated by ether 3 is generally carried out in a rather similar way to the reaction involving nitrone 2. The general scheme of this transformation is shown in
Scheme 6. The first stage of the process is that of formation of the molecular pre-reaction complex (MC1B) (Fig. 4 and Table 5). Like MC1A, this structure is not a CT complex, and the reaction centers within it are approaching a distance of 3.822 Å. Its conversion to zwitterion Z1B is carried out through transition state TS1B. It should be noted that the enthalpy needed to achieve TS1B is almost double required for TS1A. This is understandable, given that the global nucleophilicity of nitrone 2 is 3.64 eV, while for ether 3 it is much less, at 3.18 eV. Within TS1B, the distance between reaction centers is 1.948 Å. The kinetics of attaching subsequent nitroethene molecules to zwitterion Z1B is similar to that involved in the 1a+2 reaction. In particular, subsequent activation barriers for the sequence of addition of several subsequent 1a molecules do not exceed several kcal/mol. Within the analyzed TSs, reaction centers are separated from each other by a distance of approximately 2.3 Å.

Next, we verified the susceptibility of other CNAs mentioned in Scheme 1 to polymerization initiated by ether 3. It was discovered that each of these processes is carried out via a very similar mechanism to that in the case of nitroethene. The first stage is always the formation of a labile, zwitterionic adduct, to which another CNA molecule may easily be added.

3.4 BET study of the polymerization reaction between nitroethene 1a and methyl vinyl ether 3

The BET study of the addition of the first molecule of nitroethene 1a to methyl vinyl ether 3 indicates that this reaction is topologically characterized by five different phases. The population of the most significant valence basins of the
selected points of the IRC, defining the different topological phases, is included in Table 6.

**Phase I.** $2.51 \, \AA \leq d(C1–C2) < 2.54 \, \AA$, $5.82 \, \AA \geq d(C1–C3) > 4.20 \, \AA$ and $2.50 \, \AA \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.55 \, \AA$, begins at MC1B, which is the first structure of the reaction path between substrates: 1a, 3 and TS1B. In this phase, only small changes in the populations of the valence basins of MC1B compared with 1a and 3 are observed. The population of $V'(C1,C2)$ and $V(C3,C4)$ monosynaptic basins progressively increases as well as the population of $V(C1,C2)$ and $V'(C3,C4)$ monosynaptic basins.

The next Phase II, $d(C1–C2) = 2.54 \, \AA$, $4.20 \, \AA \geq d(C1–C3) > 4.14 \, \AA$ and $2.55 \, \AA \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.56 \, \AA$, starts at P1B. At this point, described by the cusp C

**Table 4** Sequential bonding changes along the polymerization reaction nitroethene 1a and (Z)-C,N-diphenylnitrone 2, showing the equivalence between the topological characterization of the different phases and the chemical processes occurring along them. Distances are given in angstroms, Å, and relative energies are given in kcal/mol

| Group | Phases | $d_1(O1–C4)$ | $d_2(N2–C3)$ | $d_3(C4–C5)$ | $d_4(C5–C6)$ | $d_5(C6–C7)$ | $\Delta E$ | Topological characterization | Chemical process |
|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| **A** | I–IV   | $5.29 \geq d_1 > 3.08$ | $2.47 \geq d_2 > 2.45$ | $2.50 \leq d_3 < 2.71$ | $6.4$ | Merge of the $V(C4,C5)$ and $V'(C4,C5)$ disynaptic basins into one $V(C4,C5)$ disynaptic basin and formation of a new $V(C5)$ and $V'(C5)$ monosynaptic basins | Rupture of the C4–C5 double bond |
| **B** | V      | $3.08 \geq d_1 > 2.93$ | $d_2 = 2.45$ | $2.71 \leq d_3 < 2.74$ | $5.9$ | Formation of a new $V(O1,C4)$ disynaptic basin | Formation of the O1–C4 single bond |
| **C** | VI     | $2.93 \geq d_1 > 2.87$ | $d_2 = 2.45$ | $2.74 \leq d_3 < 2.75$ | $5.6$ | Split of the $V(N2,C3)$ disynaptic basin into two $V(N2,C3)$ and $V'(N2,C3)$ disynaptic basins | Formation of the N2–C3 double bond |
| **D** | VII–IX | $2.75 \leq d_3 < 2.76$ | $5.57 \geq d_4 > 4.47$ | $2.50 \leq d_5 < 2.54$ | $-1.8$ | Merge of the $V(C6,C7)$ and $V'(C6,C7)$ disynaptic basins into one $V(C6,C7)$ disynaptic basin | Rupture of the C6–C7 double bond |
| **E** | X, XI  | $2.76 \leq d_3 < 2.85$ | $4.47 \geq d_4 > 2.92$ | $2.54 \leq d_5 < 2.81$ | $-22.7$ | Disappearance of the $V(C5)$ monosynaptic basin and formation of $V(C5, C6)$ disynaptic basin and two $V(C7)$ and $V'(C7)$ monosynaptic basins | Formation of the C5–C6 single bond |
catastrophe, the first noticeable topological change along the IRC occurs. In this phase, the two \( V(C3,C4) \) and \( V'(C3,C4) \) disynaptic basins are merged into one \( V(C3,C4) \) disynaptic basin, integrating 3.41e (Table 6 and Fig. 5). This topological change is associated with the rupture of the C3–C4 double bond in the nitroethene (2) molecule.

**Phase III**, \( 2.54 \text{ Å} \leq d(C1–C2) < 2.61 \text{ Å}, \ 4.14 \text{ Å} \geq d(C1–C3) > 3.62 \text{ Å} \) and \( 2.56 \text{ Å} \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.66 \text{ Å} \), begins at \( P2B \). This point is characterized by a cusp \( C \) catastrophe. At \( P2B \), the two \( V(C1–C2) \) and \( V'(C1,C2) \) disynaptic basins are integrated into one \( V(C1,C2) \) disynaptic basin, integrating 3.35e. In this phase, we observed the rupture of the C1–C2 double bond in the methyl vinyl ether (3) molecule. In this phase, we find the transition state (TS1B) of the studied reaction: \( d(C1–C2) = 2.60 \text{ Å}, d(C1–C3) = 3.68 \text{ Å} \) and \( d(C3–C4) = 2.65 \text{ Å} \) (Table 6).

**P3B** starts **Phase IV**, \( 2.61 \text{ Å} \leq d(C1–C2) < 2.65 \text{ Å}, \ 3.62 \text{ Å} \geq d(C1–C3) > 3.42 \text{ Å} \) and \( 2.66 \text{ Å} \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.71 \text{ Å} \). In this phase, the next most relevant topological change along the reaction path is observed. At this point, a new \( V(C1,C3) \) disynaptic basin integrating 0.59e is created. In this phase, we also observed that the value of the \( V(C1,C2) \) and \( V(C3,C4) \) disynaptic basins decreased, 2.91e and 3.14, respectively. This topological change can be associated with the formation of the C1–C3 single bond, taking place at a C1–C3 distance of 3.617 Å. These changes are related to a high energy cost of 13.4 kcal/mol (Table 6).

**Phase V**, the last phase, \( 2.65 \text{ Å} \leq d(C1–C2) < 2.73 \text{ Å}, \ 3.42 \text{ Å} \geq d(C1–C3) > 3.02 \text{ Å} \) and \( 2.71 \text{ Å} \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.79 \text{ Å} \), starts at \( P4B \) and ends at the zwitterion product \( Z1B \) and is described by a fold \( F^\dagger \) catastrophe. At this phase, the last important change along the reaction path takes place: A new \( V(C4) \) monosynaptic basin is created integrating 0.53e, which is related to the formation of a pseudoradical center on the C4 atom.

In turn, the reaction zwitterion \( Z1B \) with second molecule of nitroethene \( 1a \) can be characterized by four different phases (Table 7).

**Phase VI**, \( 2.80 \text{ Å} \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.81 \text{ Å}, \ 5.20 \text{ Å} \geq d(C4–C5) > 4.41 \text{ Å} \) and \( 2.51 \text{ Å} \leq d(C5–C6) < 2.55 \text{ Å} \), begins at the MC2B, which is the first structure of the reaction path between \( Z1B + 1a \) and TS2B. The ELF picture of MC2B represents small changes in ELF valence basin electron populations of \( Z1B \) and \( 1a \). ELF analysis of MC2B shows a slight increase in the population of V(C5,C6), \( V'(C5,C6) \) disynaptic basin, as well as the population of V(C3,C4) disynaptic basin progressively decreases.

At \( P5B \), **Phase VII** begins, \( 2.81 \text{ Å} \leq d(C3–C4) < 2.83 \text{ Å}, \ 4.41 \text{ Å} \geq d(C4–C5) > 3.89 \text{ Å} \) and \( 2.55 \text{ Å} \leq d(C5–C6) < 2.65 \text{ Å} \). At this phase, established by cusp \( C \) catastrophe, the \( V'(C5,C6) \) disynaptic basin disappears and the valence basin

---

**Scheme 6** Molecular mechanism of the reaction between nitroethene \( 1a \) and methyl vinyl ether \( 3 \) according to M06-2X(PCM)/6-311+G(d) calculations.
The electron population of V(C5,C6) disynaptic basin increased, integrating 3.45e. This topological change can be related to the rupture of C5–C6 double bond, taking place at a C5–C6 distance of 2.548 Å. In this phase, there is a transition state (TS2B) of the attachment of the second nitroethene (1a) molecule to Z1B: d(C3–C4) = 2.81 Å, d(C4–C5) = 4.35 Å and d(C5–C6) = 2.56 Å (Table 7 and Fig. 6).

### Table 5

| Nitroalkene | Transition | ΔH (kcal/mol) | ΔS (cal/molK) | ΔG (kcal/mol) |
|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1a          | 1a + 3→MC1A| −3.5          | −36.2         | 7.3           |
| 1a          | 1a + 3→TS1A| 14.3          | −42.2         | 26.9          |
| 1a          | 1a + Z1a→MC2B| −3.3      | −25.6         | 4.3           |
| 1a          | 1a + Z1a→TS2B| 1.2       | −39.1         | 10.5          |
| 1a          | 1a + Z1a→Z2B| 25.5         | −42.3         | −12.9         |
| 1a          | 1a + Z2B→MC3B| −4.5      | −35.6         | 6.1           |
| 1a          | 1a + Z2B→TS3B| 0.0       | −45.4         | 13.6          |
| 1a          | 1a + Z2B→Z3B| −22.5        | −41.7         | −10.0         |
| 1a          | 1a + Z3B→MC4B| −9.8      | −41.8         | 2.7           |
| 1a          | 1a + Z3B→TS4B| −5.4      | −49.5         | 9.3           |
| 1a          | 1a + Z3B→Z4B| −29.1        | −52.1         | −13.6         |
| 1a          | 1a + Z4B→MC5B| −7.8      | −37.6         | 3.4           |
| 1a          | 1a + Z4B→TS5B| −3.2      | −49.7         | 11.6          |
| 1a          | 1a + Z4B→Z5B| −23.8        | −39.8         | −11.9         |
| 1b          | 1b + 3→MC1B| −3.9          | −37.5         | 7.3           |
| 1b          | 1b + 3→TS1B| 15.8          | −41.3         | 28.1          |
| 1b          | 1b + 3→Z1B| 12.1          | −43.2         | 24.9          |
| 1b          | 1b + Z1B→MC2B| −4.1      | −32.8         | 5.7           |
| 1b          | 1b + Z1B→TS2B| −1.1      | −44.5         | 12.2          |
| 1b          | 1b + Z1B→Z2B| −22.7        | −47.5         | −8.6          |
| 1c          | 1c + 3→MC1B| −4.0          | −36.9         | 6.9           |
| 1c          | 1c + 3→TS1B| 14.2          | −42.5         | 26.9          |
| 1c          | 1c + 3→Z1B| 8.1           | −42.7         | 20.8          |
| 1c          | 1c + Z1B→MC2B| −3.5      | −28.0         | 4.9           |
| 1c          | 1c + Z1B→TS2B| −1.3      | −40.7         | 10.8          |
| 1c          | 1c + Z1B→Z2B| −29.6        | −40.9         | −17.4         |
| 1d          | 1d + 3→MC1B| −4.6          | −37.6         | 6.6           |
| 1d          | 1d + 3→TS1B| 10.6          | −42.2         | 23.2          |
| 1d          | 1d + 3→Z1B| 4.2           | −42.2         | 16.8          |
| 1d          | 1d + Z1B→MC2B| −4.7      | −32.5         | 5.0           |
| 1d          | 1d + Z1B→TS2B| −3.5      | −46.3         | 10.4          |
| 1d          | 1d + Z1B→Z2B| −25.7        | −43.1         | −12.9         |
| 1e          | 1e + 3→MC1B| −4.3          | −38.7         | 7.2           |
| 1e          | 1e + 3→TS1B| 10.4          | −43.9         | 23.5          |
| 1e          | 1e + 3→Z1B| 3.8           | −44.5         | 17.1          |
| 1e          | 1e + Z1B→MC2B| −3.0      | −45.6         | 10.6          |
| 1e          | 1e + Z1B→TS2B| −24.9     | −48.0         | −10.6         |

**Phase VIII.** 2.83 Å ≤ d(C3–C4) < 2.85 Å, 3.89 Å ≥ d(C4–C5) > 3.71 Å and 2.65 Å ≤ d(C5–C6) < 2.69 Å, starts at P6B. At this point, characterized by a fold F catastrophe, the V(C4) monosynaptic basin present at P5B has disappeared and a new V(C4,C5) disynaptic basin integrating 1.07e is formed. This change can be related to the
formation of a new single bond between C4 and C5 molecules, which is taking place at a C4–C5 distance of 3.885 Å. At last, Phase IX, 2.85 Å ≤ d(C3–C4) < 2.87 Å, 3.71 Å ≥ d(C4–C5) > 2.92 Å and 2.69 Å ≤ d(C5–C6) < 2.81 Å, begins at P7B and ends at the Z2B. At P7, the new V(C6) monosynaptic basin integrating 0.52e is created and the integration of V(C5,C6) disynaptic basin slightly decreased. In the Z2B molecule, we observed the formation of a second V’(C6) monosynaptic basin integrating 0.46e.
Based on the BET analysis of the polymerization reaction between nitroethene (1a) and methyl vinyl ether (3), some appealing conclusions can be drawn: (i) the molecular mechanism of the key stages of the polymerization reaction 1a with 3 can be topologically characterized by nine different phases, which have been grouped into five groups A–E and linked to significant chemical events (see Table e3–e4); (ii) Group A, containing Phase I, is associated with the rupture of the C3–C4 double bond in nitroethene (1a) molecule; in Group B, we observed the breaking C1–C2 double bond in methyl vinyl ether (3) molecule; (iii) Group C comprises Phases III–V, in which we observed the formation of a C1–C3 single bond and pseudoradical center at C4 atom; (iv) Group D, containing Phases VI and VII, is associated with connecting the second molecule of nitroethene (1a) and rupture of the C5–C6 double bond; (v) Group E, the last group, comprises Phases VIII and IX, in which we observed the formation of a C4–C5 single bond and C6 pseudoradical center (Table 8).

4 Conclusion

The DFT computational study shed light on the kinetic aspects as well as the molecular mechanism of zwitterionic polymerization of simple conjugated nitroalkenes. These reactions can proceed under relatively mild conditions. The exploration of reaction profiles shows that the first reaction stage exhibits evidently polar nature, whereas additions of further CNA molecules to the polynitroalkyl molecular system formed should be considered as moderate polar processes. In BET analysis of the bonding changed along the analyzed key stages of the polymerization reaction between nitroethene and (Z)-C,N-diphenylnitrone, we can distinguish eleven topologically different phases. While the first step of these polymerizations is associated with the rupture of the C4–C5 double bond in nitroethene (1a) molecule and formation of C5 pseudoradical center, the second step is associated with the formation of O1–C4 single and N2–C3 double bonds. The next steps are associated with breaking the C6–C7 double bond in the second molecule of 1a, formation of C5–C6 single bond and C7 pseudoradical center. In the case of the second polymerization reaction studied between nitroethene (1a) and methyl vinyl ether (3), we can highlight nine different phases. The first stage includes breaking the C3–C4 and C1–C2 double bonds, respectively, and formation of C1–C3 single bond and C4 pseudoradical center. In the next group, we notice processes related to the attachment of a second nitroethene (1a) molecule, in particular rupture of the C5–C6 double bond, formation of C4–C5 single bond and C6 pseudoradical center.
Fig. 6 ELF localization domains, represented at isosurface values of ELF = 0.75, together with their attractor positions for the points of the IRC defining Phases VI–IX along the reaction between second molecule of nitroethene 1a and intermediate Z1B.
Table 8  Sequential bonding changes along the polymerization reaction nitroethene 1a and methyl vinyl ether 3, showing the equivalence between the topological characterization of the different phases and the chemical processes occurring along them. Distances are given in angstroms, Å, and relative energies are given in kcal/mol.

| Group | Phases | \( d_1(\text{C1–C2}) \) | \( d_2(\text{C1–C3}) \) | \( d_3(\text{C3–C4}) \) | \( d_4(\text{C4–C5}) \) | \( d_5(\text{C5–C6}) \) | \( \Delta E \) | Topological characterization | Chemical process |
|-------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| A     | I      | \( 2.51 \leq d_1 < 2.54 \) | \( 5.82 \geq d_2 > 4.20 \) | \( 2.50 \leq d_3 < 2.55 \) | | | 3.9 | Merge of the V(3,C4) and \( V'(3,C4) \) disynaptic basins into one V(C3,C4) disynaptic basin | Rupture of the C3–C4 double bond |
|       |       | | | | | | | | |
| B     | II     | \( d_1 = 2.54 \) | \( 4.20 \geq d_2 > 4.14 \) | \( 2.55 \leq d_3 < 2.56 \) | | | 9.1 | Merge of the V(1,C2) and \( V'(1,C2) \) disynaptic basins into one V(C1,C2) disynaptic basin | Rupture of the C1–C2 double bond |
|       |       | | | | | | | | |
| C     | III–V  | \( 2.54 \leq d_1 < 2.73 \) | \( 4.14 \geq d_2 > 3.02 \) | \( 2.56 \leq d_3 < 2.79 \) | | | 10.3 | Formation of a new V(C1,C3) disynaptic basin and V(C4) monosynaptic basin | Formation of the C1–C3 single bond |
|       |       | | | | | | | | |
| D     | VI, VII| \( 2.80 \leq d_3 < 2.83 \) | \( 5.20 \geq d_4 > 3.89 \) | \( 2.51 \leq d_5 < 2.65 \) | | | –9.8 | Merge of the V(5,C6) and \( V'(5,C6) \) disynaptic basins into one V(C5,C6) disynaptic basin | Rupture of the C5–C6 double bond |
|       |       | | | | | | | | |
| E     | VIII, IX| \( 2.83 \leq d_3 < 2.87 \) | \( 3.89 \geq d_4 > 2.92 \) | \( 2.65 \leq d_5 < 2.81 \) | | | –24.9 | Disappearance of the V(C4) monosynaptic basin and formation of V(C4,C5) disynaptic basin and V(C6) monosynaptic basin | Formation of the C4–C5 single bond |
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