Angular measurements of the mandible in adults with temporomandibular joint disorders: a CBCT study
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Abstract

Objectives: Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a degenerative musculoskeletal disease of unknown etiology, associated with morphological and functional deformities. The present study aimed to evaluate the angular parameters of the mandible in TMD patients with cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and to compare with healthy controls.

Methods: A total of 107 patients (54 in the TMD group and 53 in the control group) were included in the study. Ten angular measurements including right and left sides and 4 different length measurements were evaluated on CBCT images of both groups to eliminate individual differences. The differences between the two groups were examined using the significance test or Mann-Whitney U test. Multiple linear regression analysis was used for a detailed examination of the relationship between parameters.

Results: The upper face width was significantly higher in the TMD group (p=0.004). After correcting for the upper face width value, there was a significant difference between the groups in terms of the right β angle values (p=0.001). The other differences were not significant (p>0.05).

Conclusion: The decrease in the right β angle in the TMD group can be interpreted as a result of the mechanical effect of masticatory muscle hyperactivity on the angular properties of the mandible in these patients.
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Introduction

The human body works like a puppet; deviations and distortions in any part of the structural system create ripple effects elsewhere. The temporomandibular joint (TMJ), is the only movable joint in the skull that connects the skull to the mandible and is responsible for jaw movements.

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is a bicondylar joint formed between mandibular condyle of mandible and mandibular fossa of the temporal bone. Important functions such as eating and speaking are achieved through four different movements in two different axes in the TMJ. The factors that affect the TMJ include disorders of chewing muscles, joint disorders, chronic mandibular hypo-mobility, and developmental disorders. Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is one of the degenerative musculoskeletal disorders associated with morphological and functional deformities.

TMD affects important daily functions, such as feeding, and decrease the quality of daily life. Symptoms and signs of TMD include painful or painless joint sounds, deviation or deflection during movement, cranial, and/or muscular pain. Due to its multifactorial etiology, the treatment of TMD is still unclear. It can be thought in line with the data in the literature that; angular differences in the mandible may transmit the masticatory force to the TMJ in different unwanted ways, and this may cause joint disease due to the formation of abnormal
forces in the joint area. Studies on TMJ patients have been focused on the mandibular condyle, mandibular morphology, and morphological changes in the joint disc. However, the studies on the relationship of mandibular angular differences with TMD are limited. The aim of this study, therefore, was to evaluate the relationship between mandibular angular parameters and TMD via cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and show whether certain angles of the mandible will differ in the TMD patients from the healthy individuals.

Materials and Methods

CBCT images of 107 patients (23 males, 84 females) who admitted to the Bolu İzzet Baysal University Faculty of Dentistry, Oral and Maxillofacial Outpatient Clinic with complaints of pain and/or dysfunction in the TMJ region were examined. The patients had a suspicious bone-related pathology in the TMJ region. The patients who diagnosed as TMD but had no pathology that would affect the mandibular parameters were included in the patient group. The patients who neither had TMD nor any pathology that would affect the mandibular parameters were included in the control group. Accordingly, CBCT images of 54 patients (11 males and 43 females) were included in the TMD group and CBCT images of 53 patients (12 males and 41 females) were included in the control group.

The CBCT images were obtained with an I-CAT 3D Imaging System (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA). All images were scanned with the same exposure parameters (120 kVp, 7 mA, 26.9 sec. scan time, 0.3 mm3 voxel size, and 10×16 mm field of view; FOV). Images were combined with i-CAT Vision 1.9 software program (Imaging Sciences International LLC, Hatfield, PA, USA). The raw data of the images were transferred to the personal computer. All the images were evaluated with the same computer (Lenovo legion y520 laptop computer with 1920×1080 pixel resolution, 15.6-inch monitor, 7th generation Intel®Core™ i7 and i5 processor). A single maxillofacial radiologist has reviewed all the images. A two-step controlled measurement was performed for each parameter, and the age and sex of each patient were also recorded.

Ten angles of the mandible were measured according to the landmarks reported previously and with slight modifications as:

- The angle between the right condyion (Co)-Go and Co-Gn (α angle) (Co-Go-Gn triangle) (Figure 2),
- The angle between the left Co-Go and Co-Go (α angle) (Co-Go-Gn triangle) (Figure 2),
- The angle between the right Go-Gn and Gn-Co (β angle) (Co-Go-Gn triangle) (Figure 2),
- The angle between the left Gn-Co and Go-Gn (β angle) (Co-Go-Gn triangle) (Figure 2),
- The angle between right mandibular condyle - left mandibular condyle (Co-Co) (Figure 3a), and
- Go-Gn-Go angle (Figure 3b).

In addition to these measurements, the following length measurements were done to consider the individual differences:

- Mandibular length (total length of the mandible),
- Bigonial width (Go-Go),
- Maximum cranial width (distance between right eury-on (eu) and left eu), and
- Upper face width (distance between right frontomalar temporal point (fmt) and left fmt).

The sample size was calculated by power analysis. Using the hypothesis that there will be a moderate effect size between the two groups and the significance test of the difference between the two averages, Prior power is accepted as 80% and Type-I error is 5% for the effect size w=0.50. It was determined that there should be at least 52 people in each group and at least 104 in total. G Power 3.1 program was used for sample size determination. Finally, 107 patients; 54 in TMD group and 53 in control group were included in the study.

Figure 1. Right lateral cephalometric view. black dot: pogonion; blue dot: B point; Gn angle: gnathion angle; Go angle: gonion angle; MM angle: mentomandibular angle; red dot: infradentale point.
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Figure 2. α and β angles on right (a) and left (b) lateral cephalometric views. Blue dots: condyion; red dots: gnathion; yellow dots: gonion.

Figure 3. (a) axial section CBCT image; (b) 3D reconstruction image of CBCT obtained by i-CAT Vision 1.9 software program (Imaging Sciences International LLC, Hatfield, PA, USA), blue dot: left gonion; Co-Co angle: condyion-condyion angle; Go-Gn-Go angle: gonion-gnathion-gonion angle; red dot: gnathion; yellow dot: right gonion.
Descriptive statistics of the obtained data were calculated as mean, standard deviation (SD), or median, and frequencies (n, %). The compatibility of numerical features to normal distribution was examined with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Independent samples t-test was used to compare the two groups in terms of normally distributed features, and others we used Mann-Whitney U test. A Chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between groups and categorical variables as gender. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between numerical variables. Multiple linear regression analysis was used for a detailed examination of the relationship between parameters. All statistical analyses were made using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows (Version 22, Chicago, IL, USA). The significance level was taken as p<0.05.

Results
The mean age of patients in the TMD group was 31.5 years (range: 25.5–41.0 years) and the control group was 35 years (range: 28.0–44.0 years) years. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of gender and age distribution (p=0.775 and p=0.141 respectively).

Descriptive values of the measured angles by groups and comparison results of the two groups are given in Table 1. The statistical analyses showed that mean right β angle was significantly higher in the control group (p=0.006) and upper face width was significantly higher in the TMD group (p=0.004). The other differences were not significant.

Multiple regression analysis results corrected for upper face width values were shown in Table 2. There was a significant difference between the groups in terms of the right β angle values (p=0.001), after correcting for the upper face width value.

Discussion
In this retrospective study, we measured 10 different angles of the mandible in a group of TMD patients, including the right and left side of the mandible, and compared the measurements with healthy individuals to comment on whether these parameters differ among these two groups. There are numerous studies made on exploring the cause of the TMD,[3,15–17] however the etiology of TMD is still unclear and numerous factors can predispose this disorder.

Individual factors such as age, hormonal changes, and systemic status play a role in the etiology of TMD by affecting the host adaptive capacity.[18] Systemic diseases may affect the fibrocartilage structure of TMJ. Therefore, the present study group was composed of individuals who did not have any disease that would affect bone metabo-

| Group | TMD (n=54) | Control (n=53) | p-value |
|-------|-------------|---------------|---------|
|       | Mean±SD or median [25th-75th] | Mean±SD or median [25th-75th] |         |
| Right gonion angle* | 125.62±6.78 | 124.50±7.42 | 0.417   |
| Left gonion angle*  | 125.63±4.97 | 128.06±7.65 | 0.055   |
| Gnathion angle°     | 20.29±4.35  | 20.91±4.51  | 0.472   |
| Mentomandibular angle° | 141.04±7.69 | 139.78±8.97 | 0.436   |
| Right (β) angle°    | 26.19±3.31  | 27.99±3.38  | 0.006   |
| Left (β) angle°     | 26.51±3.78  | 27.42±3.86  | 0.221   |
| Right (α) angle°    | 32.40±3.22  | 33.02±5.31  | 0.468   |
| Left (α) angle°     | 30.91±3.22  | 31.72±4.53  | 0.288   |
| Co-Co angle°        | 136.67±16.65| 137.09±12.23| 0.881   |
| Go-Gn-Go angle°     | 76.49±5.78  | 76.05±5.76  | 0.698   |
| Mandibular length (mm) | 88.33±5.95   | 88.55±5.47  | 0.849   |
| Bigonial width (mm) | 97.04±6.42  | 97.91±8.17  | 0.540   |
| Maximum cranial width* (mm) | 144.25 [142.18–148.65] | 144.6 [141.75–149.6] | 0.955   |
| Upper face width* (mm) | 108.41 [104.5–111.3] | 103.8 [99.45–109.95] | 0.004   |

*Data were not normally distributed.
Age is seen as a predisposing factor that increases the severity and frequency of the disease. In a study conducted on patients aged between 59–91 years old, Takano et al. revealed that the calcium content in articular disc increased with age and this may trigger pathologies of TMJ. Therefore, the age distribution in the present study were selected to minimize the age factor as; between 25.5 and 41.0 years in the patient group and between 28.0 and 44.0 years in the control group.

In a study conducted in 100 cases between the ages of 20–80, it was reported that the Go angle was 125.19±6.27° on the right side and 125.61±5.98° on the left side. Another study, the mean Go angle was examined according to age groups and it was found as 122.45±5.34°. Although the Go angle in our study was compatible with the study of Acar et al., we saw that it was higher than the results of Sapanci et al. We think that this difference may be due to the different age ranges and different numbers of cases.

In another study conducted in 106 Koreans, the Go angle was found to be 118.68±14.39° on the right side and 116.21±8.54° on the left side. Although the mean age of the cases in this study was similar to our study, the mean Go angle value in our study was found to be higher. The cases were of similar ages in those studies but the effect of ethnic origin may be a significant factor explaining the reason of different results. Different angular parameters of the mandible have been evaluated in various studies with different measurement techniques thus; the comparability of the study results may not always possible.

In the present study, upper face width was found to be higher in patients with TMD. Due to the effect of bruxism, excessive functioning of the masseter and temporal muscles may result in expansion of the zygomatic bone in the transverse direction and make a difference in the craniofacial skeletal structure. The idea of bone apposition in areas where the demand to withstanding bending force is increased has been demonstrated in many human and animal studies. In a morphometric study conducted on different populations, the upper face width was examined on dry skulls belonging to 100 males and 100 females with known gender and age at death. This value was found to be 92.04±4.76 mm in males with a mean age of 65.65 (n=89) and 87.14±4.73 in females with a mean age of 66.81, and a difference between genders was reported (p<0.001). The result of the present study showed that the upper face width was larger than previously reported. We think that this situation may be caused by the difference in age and ethnic origin of the individuals among the studies.

According to Costen’s mechanical displacement theory; occlusal derangement with consequent functional disturbance of the joint may lead to the direct eccentric positioning of the condyle in the glenoid fossa resulting in TMD. According to Reade’s biomedical theory, TMD starts with trauma. As said by the neuromuscular theory of Ramjford, occlusal problems cause TMDs, loss of occlusal balance leads to uncoordinated muscles and spasms. Morphological features and dimensional differences in the dentomaxillofacial region could trigger TMD by causing trauma or indirectly by affecting chewing, myofascial activity. Based on these theories, we suggest

### Table 2

| The dependent variable             | b   | Lower | Upper | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| Right gonion angle°               | -1.004 | -3.974 | 1.965 | 0.504   |
| Left gonion angle°                | 1.679 | -0.981 | 4.338 | 0.214   |
| Gnathion angle°                   | 0.785 | -1.059 | 2.629 | 0.400   |
| Mentomandibular angle°           | -1.426 | -4.917 | 2.066 | 0.420   |
| Right (β) angle°                  | 2.372 | 1.024  | 3.719 | 0.001   |
| Left (β) angle°                   | 0.908 | -0.680 | 2.495 | 0.260   |
| Right (α) angle°                  | 0.265 | -1.532 | 2.063 | 0.770   |
| Left (α) angle°                   | 0.140 | -1.430 | 1.709 | 0.860   |
| Co-Co angle°                      | 1.270 | -4.729 | 7.268 | 0.676   |
| Go-Gn-Go angle°                  | 0.448 | -1.912 | 2.808 | 0.707   |
that the vertical dimensions of the occlusion may be affected due to tooth erosion resulting from temporal or masseter muscles hyperactivity caused by unilateral chewing or clenching in the TMD group, so that the angle β decreased and these conditions may cause TMD by causing eccentric forces to be transmitted to the TMJ.

In juvenile idiopathic arthritis patients with unilateral TMJ involvement, Hsieh et al. reported that vertical and transverse asymmetry is more common, similarly Demant et al. revealed a significantly greater amount of asymmetry. Although unilateral or bilateral TMJ involvement is not known due to the retrospective design of this study, the results of the present study support these ideas. While the left β angle did not differ, the right β angle was lower in the TMD group and we suggest this condition may be due to the predominant chewing activity on the dominant side in chewing function, and this may be a sign of asymmetry and unilateral joint complaint in TMD group.

Conclusion
Although the pathophysiology of TMD is not fully understood, we think that the hyper-function of the masticatory muscles may have a mechanical effect on the angular properties of the mandible. In order to clarify this situation, more morphometric studies are needed in which the patient’s symptoms, unilateral or bilateral joint involvement, presence of malocclusion, and the duration of TMD are evaluated in details.
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