miR-24 triggers epidermal differentiation by controlling actin adhesion and cell migration
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Introduction

The epidermis is a self-renewing stratified tissue, which originates as a planar polarized monolayer of basal keratinocytes. Proliferating basal keratinocytes can either divide in a vertical plane to provide a flux of differentiating cells that migrate upwards through the suprabasal layers or divide horizontally to sustain the basal cell pool, and they therefore need both high proliferation potential and high mobility (Lechler and Fuchs, 2005; Williams et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011). These functions are crucial for organizing the cells into a three-dimensional tissue during development, for epidermal barrier function and tissue integrity, and during wound healing (Vasioukhin et al., 2000; Vaezi et al., 2002; Watt, 2002; Candi et al., 2005; Fuchs, 2007). Switching from basal to suprabasal layers, keratinocytes undergo extensive remodeling of their keratin and actin cytoskeleton as well as their ECM and cell–cell contacts. The basal keratinocytes-ECM contacts are mediated mainly by integrin receptors, whereas the cell–cell contacts are mediated by cadherins (Jamora and Fuchs, 2002). An important role in modulating changes in epithelial shape and mobility is also played by the actin cytoskeleton, which is required to generate the mechanical forces necessary to stabilize the adhesive structure. The Rho family of small GTPases is primarily involved in the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton. In different cell types, each member of the family can induce specific types of organization of actin filaments: stress fibers (Rho), lamellae/ruffles (Rac), or filopodia (Cdc42; Schwartz, 2004; Heasman and Ridley, 2008). Rho GTPases affect many cellular processes by interacting with and stimulating various downstream targets, including actin nucleators, protein kinases, and phospholipases (Bishop and Hall 2000; Schwartz 2004). In this study, we implicate micro-RNAs...
Figure 1. **MiR-24 affects proliferation and induces differentiation of primary keratinocytes.** (A) Northern blot highlights miR-24 up-regulation in differentiating (diff.) mouse keratinocytes. As a positive control, Northern blot for miR-203 is included. (B) In situ hybridization of skin from newborn mice reveals restriction of miR-24 expression to suprabasal layers. As a reference, hematoxylin–eosin (H/E) staining and anti–β4 integrin immunostaining for the basal lamina.
miRNAs are a class of small noncoding RNAs, which have been shown to regulate a variety of cellular processes, although their role in epithelial development is not fully understood. They bind to the 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs) of target mRNAs, destabilizing them and/or inhibiting their translation (Ambros, 2004; Bartel, 2004; Kim, 2005). miRNAs are also emerging as master regulators of terminal differentiation. In particular, miR-24 is one of the miRNAs up-regulated in several differentiated cell types, for example, in postmitotic differentiation of hematopoietic cell lines (Lal et al., 2009b) and during myoblast (Sun et al., 2009) and neuronal differentiation (Fukuda et al., 2005). miR-24 is an abundant miRNA, which is conserved between various species, and is clustered with miR-23 and miR-27, on chromosome 9 (cluster 1: miR-23b, miR-27b, and miR-24-1) and on chromosome 19 (cluster 2: miR-23a, miR-27a, and miR-24-2; Lal et al., 2009b). In mice, it is highly expressed in heart and skin and also plays a role in erythropoiesis by regulating ALK4 (Wang et al., 2008). Recently, miR-24 has also been shown to suppress expression of two crucial cell cycle control genes, E2F2 and Myc, via binding to seedless 3’-UTR recognition elements (Lal et al., 2009a).

Here, using keratinocytes and mouse epidermis as models, we indentify a role for miR-24 in keratinocyte differentiation and dissect the molecular mechanism. We show that miR-24 is induced in skin concomitantly with stratification and differentiation, and miR-24 overexpression in proliferating cells is sufficient to trigger keratinocyte differentiation both in vitro and in vivo. We show that miR-24 promotes actin cable dynamics and modulates intercellular junction formation and cell migration through the repression of three cytoskeletal modulators, PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19. Our results demonstrate that the actin cytoskeleton remodeling and cell shape/mobility in which miR-24 plays an important role are key determinants in switching from a proliferating to a differentiating keratinocyte.

Results

miR-24 is expressed during keratinocytes terminal differentiation

In the epidermis, miR-24 expression was rapidly increased when either mouse or human primary keratinocytes were induced by calcium to differentiate in vitro (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1D). Accordingly, miR-24 expression was shown to be confined to the suprabasal layers of the mouse and human epidermis by in situ hybridization (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1A). To investigate the function of miR-24 in keratinocyte differentiation, we performed a set of experiments in primary human keratinocytes. First of all, to address whether miR-24 restricts the proliferative potential of epidermal basal cells, we evaluated BrdU incorporation upon miR-24 overexpression, and we found a significant reduction of cell proliferation compared with controls, whereas miR-24 silencing did not show any short-term effects on cell proliferation (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1B). Moreover, clonogenicity assays showed a long-term effect of miR-24 in inhibiting proliferation (decreased from 3% in control to 1.6% with miR-24), whereas silencing resulted in an increased number of colonies (from 3% in control to 3.8% when the antagonim was transfected; Fig. 1D). Although miR-24 is associated to a changed susceptibility to cell death (Qian et al., 2011; Srivastava et al., 2011; Singh and Saini, 2012), we excluded the cell death as a possible explanation for reduced proliferation and colony formation by evaluating the cell death fraction by sub-G1 peak quantification in miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes (Fig. S1C). We further excluded an altered plating efficiency caused by miR-24 overexpression or silencing by performing an adhesion assay upon transfection (Fig. S1F). Next, we investigated the ability of miR-24 to regulate the switch between proliferative and differentiating keratinocytes. Overexpression of miR-24 in proliferating keratinocytes was sufficient to induce epidermal differentiation as assessed by expression of differentiation markers (K1, K10, involucrin, and filaggrin; Fig. 1E–H). We observed a significant mRNA induction of early and late differentiation markers (K10, 2.9-fold; K1, 1.7-fold; involucrin, twofold; and filaggrin, 1.7-fold). The mean overexpression in all miR-24 transfection experiments in human keratinocytes was within the physiological range (Fig. S1D and E). miR-24 silencing in confluent differentiated keratinocytes resulted in a significant reduction of mRNA levels of early and late differentiation markers (K10, 5.2-fold reduction; K1, threefold reduction; involucrin, 1.7-fold reduction; and filaggrin, 1.2-fold reduction). Reduction of K10 and involucrin expression in differentiating conditions was also confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig. S1G). These results demonstrate that increasing miR-24 expression stops proliferation and triggers keratinocyte differentiation in vitro.

miR-24 controls keratinocytes proliferation in vivo

To define in vivo the functional role of miR-24 in controlling keratinocyte differentiation, we performed antagonim-24 subcutaneous injections to repress miR-24 in dorsal skin of newborn mice (Krützfeldt et al., 2005; Yi et al., 2008). 1 d after three
Early expression of miR-24 in vivo restricts the proliferative potential of basal keratinocytes and induces premature differentiation

If, in our in vitro human model, miR-24 controls the switch between proliferation and differentiation in basal/suprabasal layers, we should expect that, in the in vivo mouse model, its overexpression in basal layer keratinocytes is sufficient to block proliferation and induce differentiation. To test this hypothesis, we generated transgenic mice overexpressing miR-24 under the control of the K5 (keratin 5) promoter (transgene [Tg];K5::miR-24; Candi et al., 2006, 2007) acting on basal layer cells by embryonic developmental stage 14.5 (E14.5; Fig. S2, D and E). We obtained six founders overexpressing miR-24 by 2.7–3.2-fold over wild type (wt) as evaluated by real-time qPCR on skin from ear biopsies (n = 4 mice for each group). Dotted boxes indicate the insets magnified on the bottom. Bars, 30 µm. Epi, epidermis; Der, dermis; Scr, scramble. *, P < 0.001.

dorsal injections (postnatal day 4 [P4]), antagonim-24, but not a scrambled control sequence, specifically repressed miR-24 expression in epidermis by 70% (Fig. 2, A and B; and Fig. S2 A). In keeping with the aforementioned in vitro experiments, in vivo silencing of miR-24 was accompanied by an expansion of proliferating cells in P4 mouse dorsal skin as shown by BrdU staining (Fig. 2, C and D) and Ki67-positive cells (Fig. S2 B). Quantification of BrdU-positive cells revealed that proliferating cells were significantly more abundant (1.8-fold increase) after antagonim-24 injection when compared with antagonim scramble–injected mice (Fig. 2 D). We also evaluated whether, during the short-term in vivo miR-24–silencing experiment, normal skin architecture and differentiation marker expression were altered. No defects were detected in the skin by hematoxylin–eosin staining (Fig. S2 A). Moreover, in immunofluorescence staining for K14 (Fig. 2 C), loricrin, and filaggrin (Fig. S2 C), no major differences were observed, possibly because of the short duration of antagonim exposure.

Figure 2. In vivo inhibition of miR-24 expression increases cell proliferation. [A] In situ hybridization analysis reveals reduction of endogenous miR-24 by antagonim-24 subcutaneous injections in newborn mouse dorsal skin. Dotted lines delineate the basal layer of the epidermis. Bars, 100 µm. [B] Real-time qPCR performed on RNA extracts from skin of treated mice quantifies endogenous miR-24 silencing. Histogram shows means ± SD of miR-24 relative levels in treated dorsal skin (n = 4 mice for each group). [C and D] In vivo miR-24 silencing results in the induction of proliferation. Skin from antagonim-24–treated mice showed an increase in BrdU-positive cells in the basal layer (n = 4 mice for each group). Dotted boxes indicate the insets magnified on the bottom. Bars, 30 µm.
Figure 3. Early activation of miR-24 in epidermis restricts epithelial functions. (A) Gross morphology of K5::miR-24 transgenic mice at postnatal day 0, 3, and 10 (P0, P3, and P10). (B) qPCR quantified miR-24 overexpression in transgenic mice skin. (C and D) Hematoxylin-eosin staining of skin (C) and epithelial lining of upper digestive tract (D) sections of P3 mice. Arrowheads indicate basal cells with a flat appearance. Epi, epidermis; Der, dermis; Hf, hair follicles; Adi, adipose tissue; Ms, muscle. (E) Epidermis (black bars; *, P < 0.00001) and digestive epithelia (gray bars; *, P < 0.0001) of miR-24 transgenic mice are thinner than the wt (n = 4 mice for each group). (F) Early expression of miR-24 in stratified epithelia decreases epidermal proliferation, as evaluated by quantification of BrdU-positive cells over the basal layer cells. *, P < 0.001 (n = 4 mice for each group). (G) Basal layer keratinocytes of miR-24 transgenic mice show positive staining for the keratinocyte differentiation marker K10. K10-positive cells are in contact with the basal membrane (red). (H) Coimmunostaining for p63 and K10 (top) and BrdU and K10 (bottom) in P3 mouse epidermis. (I) Histograms show an increased number of BrdU+/K10+ cells and BrdU+/K14+ cells in transgenic mouse epidermis after 4 h of BrdU pulse chase. *, P < 0.001 (n = 4 mice for each group). Error bars show means ± SD. Bars: (C) 500 µm; (D and H) 30 µm; (G) 20 µm.
<40% of their wt littermates (Fig. 3 A and Fig. S2 F). This may be secondary to malnutrition because the transgenic animals showed a marked reduction in subcutaneous adipose tissue deposition (Fig. 3 C and Fig. S2 G). This failure to thrive may result from the thinner mouth and upper digestive tract epithelium (40% reduction) in the transgenics (Fig. 3, D and E).

The epidermis of P3 Tg:K5::miR-24 mice was thinner (50% less) as compared with wt mice (Fig. 3, C and E), and this difference was maintained at P10 (Fig. S2 J). Otherwise, the architecture of the transgenic epidermis was similar to that of the wt except for basal layer cells, which appeared flatter rather than cubical (Fig. 3 C, arrowheads). No alteration in apoptosis was revealed in basal cells of transgenic mice as detected by TUNEL assay staining, excluding any involvement of cell death in the phenotype of Tg:K5::miR-24 mice (Fig. S2, H and I). Confocal analysis of differentiation markers showed that K10-expressing keratinocytes were directly juxtaposed to the basement membrane (Fig. 3 G), and in many cells, K10 was coexpressed with K14 (Fig. S2 K). In an attempt to discriminate whether the phenotype in the transgenic mice is caused by repression of the proliferation status of the epidermal progenitor cells or to an acceleration of the differentiation rate, we further analyzed the basal layer of the mice. We found that both p63 and K14, basal layer markers, are consistently and continuously expressed in the basal layer (Fig. 3 H and Fig. S2 K), demonstrating that in the Tg:K5::miR-24 mice, this particular layer is intact, although with ~15% reduction in proliferation rate (Fig. 3 F). To clearly demonstrate a change in the differentiation rate in the skin of Tg:K5::miR-24 mice, we performed a BrdU pulse chase labeling of 4 h and a costain with BrdU/K10 and BrdU/K14 to evaluate how the BrdU distribution, and therefore the dynamic of the cells moving from the basal to the spinous layer, was changed during the 4-h pulse. Our analysis demonstrated an accelerated differentiation in the epidermis of transgenic mice. The differentiation rate, indeed, changed from the 4.1% of BrdU/K10-positive cells in the control mice to the 11.3% of BrdU/K10-positive cells in transgenic mice, with a parallel reduction in BrdU/K14-positive cells (from 31 to 18.6%, respectively; Fig. 3, H and I). Collectively, these results indicate that expression of miR-24 in the basal layer in vivo reduced proliferation and was sufficient to induce differentiation, increasing the differentiation rate of the keratinocytes.

Cytoskeletal regulator genes are miR-24 direct targets

We next investigated which were the molecular targets of miR-24. First, we analyzed miR-24 target mRNAs by comparing mRNA microarrays of keratinocytes transfected with miR-24 or with a scrambled control. We found 499 mRNAs significantly down-regulated in the miR-24–expressing cells (P < 0.01). From the complete list of deregulated mRNAs, we selected 261 that contained at least one miR-24 binding site predicted by TargetScan 5.1 software (Lewis et al., 2003) in their 3’UTRs fully or partially conserved (Table S1 and Fig. S3 A). By real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), we validated the microarray for seven of these candidate targets, expression of all of which was significantly reduced by miR-24, thus validating the microarray data (Fig. S3 B). By performing a cluster analysis on the 261 mRNAs, we identified significant enrichment for candidate targets involved in four specific categories: cellular assembly organization, cellular movement, cell morphology, and cell to cell signaling and interaction (Table S2). Many of the targets in these categories were related to actin cytoskeleton remodeling. We selected as putative targets PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 mRNAs. PK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 (a) have conserved seed sequences for miR-24 in their 3’UTRs (Fig. S3 C), (b) are significantly down-regulated during calcium-induced differentiation (Fig. 4, A and B), and (c) are involved in cytoskeleton remodeling. Indeed, PAK4, a group II p21-activated kinase, is a Rho GTPase/Cdc42 effector and plays a pivotal role in organizing actin cytoskeleton and filopodia formation (Abo et al., 1998), cell migration via integrin αβ5 (Zhang et al., 2002; Eswaran et al., 2008), and upstream inhibition of RhoA–ROCK2 via guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF)-H1 inhibition (Barac et al., 2004). Tks5 is a substrate of Src kinase (Lock et al., 1998) that acts as scaffold protein for many factors, including Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP), thereby promoting actin polymerization and podosome formation and, consequently, cell migration and invasion (Abram et al., 2003; Seals et al., 2005; Oikawa et al., 2008). ArhGAP19, a Rho GTPase-activating protein of the Arh GTPase-activating proteins family, with unknown function, is also involved in cell migration and actin regulation (Lv et al., 2007). By introducing PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 3’UTRs into a luciferase reporter vector, we confirmed that miR-24 directly targets these mRNAs (Fig. 4 C). Moreover, removal of the recognition sequence in their 3’UTRs abrogated the inhibition (Fig. 4 C). Overexpression of miR-24 in cells also induced a significant reduction in expression of these proteins (Fig. 4 D), whereas an increase in their expression was found in vivo in protein extracts from the dorsal skin of antagonimir-24–injected mice (Fig. 4 D).

To further verify that miR-24 is involved in actin cytoskeleton remodeling, we transfected miR-24 in proliferating keratinocytes and evaluated its effect on the phosphorylation status of ROCK2, LIMK1, and cofilin, important regulators of actin filament assembly and disassembly (Abo et al., 1998). By Western blotting, we found that miR-24 affects the phosphorylation status of ROCK2, LIMK1, and cofilin as well as their cellular localization (Fig. 5, A and B), supporting a role for miR-24 in actin dynamics.

miR-24 induces differentiation by controlling actin cytoskeleton remodeling, cell mobility, and cell–cell adhesion

Considering that most miR-24 targets are cytoskeletal regulators and that overexpression of miR-24 induces phosphorylation of ROCK2, LIMK1, and cofilin (Fig. 5), we compared the effect of pre–miR-24 and calcium on actin remodeling, cell shape, migration, and adhesion in human primary keratinocytes. Proliferating keratinocytes showed a complex network of actin stress fibers and marked lamellipodia extensions (Fig. 6 A, scramble-transfected cells). Immunostaining for paxillin, vinculin, and actinin highlighted cell planar polarity, which is required for directional migration (Fig. S3, D–F, scramble-transfected cells).
When we switched keratinocytes to differentiating medium, they became rearranged as packed groups of cells (Fig. 6 A, high calcium). Concurrently, extensive actin reorganization occurred. No actin stress fibers were detected, but actin filaments appeared organized in concentric rings around the cells, in an apical–basal (top down) polarized fashion, as described previously (Vaezi et al., 2002). Planar polarity, typical of proliferating keratinocytes, evaluated by paxillin, vinculin, and actinin staining disappeared (Fig. S3, D–F, high calcium). 48 h after transfection, miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes showed changes in actin cytoskeleton, resembling that observed in cells in high calcium medium. Cells assumed a packaged organization; stress fibers disappeared and concentric actin ring organization became prevalent (Fig. 6 A, miR-24). Planar polarization was also lost as shown by paxillin, vinculin, and actinin staining (Fig. S3, D–F). Video time-lapse microscopy of an in vitro scratch assay showed a reduction in the ability of miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes to migrate into the scratched area. After 24 h, miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes had migrated into only 15% of the scratched area compared with ≤70% by the scramble-transfected
we assessed AJ formation after calcium addition together with miR-24 silencing. Scramble-transfected keratinocytes treated with high calcium medium showed a 3.7-fold increase of AJs compared with low calcium medium (Fig. 6, G and I, black bars). In contrast, anti–miR-24–transfected keratinocytes showed only a very low and scattered signal of E-cadherin without AJ formation in low calcium (Fig. 6 G). AJ induced by calcium showed a significant reduction when endogenous miR-24 was knocked down (from 3.7- to 1.6-fold; Fig. 6 I).

We also examined the formation of adhesion zippers (Vasioukhin et al., 2000; Vaezi et al., 2002). In the early stage of differentiation, keratinocytes form adhesion zippers in their apical planes, whereas in the basal planes, focal contacts are maintained as shown by positive staining in the lamellipodia (Fig. 6 J). Proliferating keratinocytes, transfected with scrambled control, showed only focal contacts (see vinculin staining) as shown in a view corresponding to the basal plane of the cells (Fig. 6 K, white arrows); no basal–apical polarization was observed. miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes and calcium-treated keratinocytes showed an apical–basal polarization. Indeed, in both cases, focal contacts were also present in the basal plane (indicated by vinculin staining in lamellipodia), whereas

keratinocytes (Fig. 6, B and C). To exclude that this difference in migration was caused by an effect on proliferation, we performed the scratch assay in the presence and in the absence of mitomycin C (Fig. S3, G and H). The reduced migratory efficiency of miR-24–transfected cells was also confirmed by transwell migration assay, in which miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes showed a >50% reduction of migration through the porous membranes of transwell chambers (Fig. 6 E). Finally, we monitored, by video microscopy, the path of single cells during the scratch assay. As shown in Fig. 6 D, miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes appeared to lose their directional migration, according to the loss of planar polarity shown by immunofluorescence analysis (Fig. S3, D–F). To evaluate the effect of miR-24 on stratification, we studied the formation of adherens junctions (AJs), evaluating E-cadherin localization at cell–cell contacts (Jamora and Fuchs 2002; Perez-Moreno and Fuchs 2006). We found that miR-24 overexpression induced E-cadherin localization at cell–cell contacts, corresponding to the assembly of mature AJs, similar to calcium-induced differentiated cells (Fig. 6 F). Quantification of AJs, by confocal analysis of cell–cell contacts marked by E-cadherin, showed a threefold increase in miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes (Fig. 6 H). Conversely,

Figure 5. miR-24 changes the phosphorylation state of actin cytoskeletal modulators. (A) Western blot analysis of ROCK2, LIMK1, and cofilin expression (basal and phosphorylated status) reveals that miR-24 affects actin dynamics modulators comparable with high calcium treatment in keratinocytes. This is one representative experiment of three. (B) Histograms show densitometry analysis of Western blot in A normalized to actin. Error bars show means ± SD. MM, molecular mass; Scr, scramble.
in apical plane views, strong adhesion zipper structures were detected (Fig. 6 L, white arrows indicate focal contacts, red arrows indicate adhesion zipper structures, and white arrows in apical plane views indicate focal contact out of focus). These results indicate that miR-24 has a role in coordinating the changes occurring in the cytoskeleton necessary to achieve stratification and differentiation.

**Down-regulation of actin cytoskeleton regulators triggers epidermal differentiation**

To determine whether miR-24 effects on keratinocyte differentiation/proliferation were mainly mediated by PAK4, Tsk5, or ArhGAP19 down-regulation, we selectively silenced miR-24 targets in human epidermal keratinocyte, neonatal (HEKn) and achieved efficient knockdown of all three targets by siRNA (Fig. 7 A). Immunostaining of actin filaments showed that PAK4, Tsk5, or ArhGAP19 silencing induced actin filament reorganization: no actin stress fibers were seen, as in the control, but actin filaments appeared organized in concentric rings around the periphery of the cells (Fig. 7 B). Furthermore, silencing of these miR-24 targets resulted in the loss of planar polarization as shown by paxillin (Fig. 7 C), actinin, and vinculin (Fig. S4, A and B) staining. In addition, silencing of miR-24 targets also resulted in the formation of mature AJs as highlighted by the localization of E-cadherin at the cell–cell interface (Fig. 7 D). Next, we analyzed the effect of silencing the same miR-24 targets on keratinocyte migration. In a scratch assay, performed after siRNA transfections, there was a reduction in migration of ≤70% in the space covered by scramble-transfected control cells (Fig. 8, A and B). Furthermore, a transwell assay showed a consistent reduction in migration (≤65%) for all targets silenced (Fig. 8 C). These results clearly show that silencing of PAK4, Tsk5, or ArhGAP19 recapitulates the results shown in Fig. 6.

To further investigate the contribution of miR-24 targets on keratinocyte differentiation, we evaluated proliferation and expression of differentiation markers after PAK4, Tsk5, or ArhGAP19 silencing. Silencing of the three targets causes 20–25% inhibition in BrdU incorporation (Fig. 8 D) and significant induction (3.5–10-fold) of differentiation markers (Fig. 8 E). To prove that these are indeed the crucial targets, we overexpressed the PAK4, Tks5, or ArhGAP19 cDNAs lacking their 3' UTRs concurrently with miR-24. Retrovirus infection of the target cDNAs inhibited the effects of miR-24 on expression of differentiation markers (Fig. 8 F) and on AJ formation (Fig. 8, G and H). Finally, silencing of miR-24 targets (PAK4, Tks5, or ArhGAP19) in anti–miR-24–transfected keratinocytes reverted the decrease in AJ formation produced by miR-24 knockdown (Fig. S4, C and D). Together, these results demonstrated that PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 are important miR-24 targets involved in the control of differentiation, proliferation, and cell shape in keratinocytes.

miR-24 also targets Myc and E2F2, which are important regulators of the mammalian epidermis (Pelengaris et al., 1999; Flores et al., 2004; Watt et al., 2008; Berta et al., 2010). To test their involvement in our system, we evaluated the effects of Myc and E2F2 on actin filaments. miR-24 overexpression in proliferating keratinocytes induced a significant reduction (60 and 20%, respectively) of Myc and E2F2 protein levels (Fig. S5 A). siMyc- and siE2F2-transfected keratinocytes showed no alteration in the actin cytoskeleton (Fig. S5 B), and cellular planar polarity was still present as shown by paxillin staining (Fig. S5 C). In addition, down-regulation of Myc and E2F2 expression did not contribute to AJ formation (Fig. S5 D). In contrast, Myc and E2F2 silencing had a strong effect on proliferation as evaluated by a 60–80% inhibition of BrdU incorporation (Fig. S5 E) without significant effects on the induction of differentiation (K10, K1, and involucrin; Fig. S5 F).

In summary, we have shown that overexpression of miR-24 (Fig. 6) or silencing of its targets PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8) produces very similar effects: (a) alteration of actin cables (Fig. 6 A and Fig. 7 B), (b) loss of cell polarity and directional migration (Fig. 6, B–E; Fig. 7 C, Fig. 8, A–C; Fig. S3, D–H; and Fig. S4, A and B), (c) formation of mature AJ (Fig. 6, F–I; and Fig. 7 D), (d) inhibition of proliferation (Fig. 1, C and D; and Fig. 8 D), and (e) induction of keratinocyte differentiation markers (Fig. 1, E and G; and Fig. 8 E). Furthermore, restoration of PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 expression blocked all the effects caused by miR-24 overexpression (Fig. 8, F–H).

**Discussion**

Despite extensive analysis on the mechanism controlling epithelial morphogenesis, the involvement of actin cytoskeleton during keratinocyte differentiation and stratification has not been completely clarified. Several studies have shown that skin morphogenesis is governed by differentially expressed sets of miRNAs (Andl et al., 2006; Aberdam et al., 2008; Lena et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2008), and our results clearly indicate that during the keratinocyte migration from the basal to the suprabasal layer, a specific miRNA, miR-24, induces differentiation in vivo by controlling actin adhesion dynamics (Fig. 8 I). These results parallel the effects obtained by calcium treatment, supporting the role of miR-24 and cytoskeletal modification during keratinocyte differentiation. The most striking result is that early expression of miR-24 in the basal layer of the epidermis of Tg;K5::miR-24 mice strongly triggers basal cells to differentiate as shown by the expression of K10, a marker of the spinous layer, parallel to a reduction of proliferation rate (Fig. 3). Although the antiproliferative effect of miR-24 has been already described in vitro conditions (Lal et al., 2009a), its effects in vivo and on the actin cytoskeleton, which in turn controls cell shape, cellular polarization, cell adhesion, and migration, have not been previously described. We identify PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 as important miR-24 targets able to control proliferation, differentiation, and migration of human keratinocytes. For the first time, these targets have been associated with epithelial morphogenesis. One of the key targets of miR-24 is PAK4, which is essential for embryonic development. PAK4-null mice show defects in heart, neural tube formation, and in the differentiation and migration of neurons (Abo et al., 1998). PAK4, a group II p21-activated kinase, is a Rac1 and RhoGTPase/Cdc42 effector and plays a pivotal role in organizing the actin cytoskeleton and filopodia formation (Abo et al., 1998) and inhibits
Figure 6. **miR-24 modifies the actin cytoskeleton similarly to calcium.** (A) HEKn overexpressing miR-24 or grown in high calcium medium (1.2 mM) showed similar modifications in actin cytoskeletal organization, as shown by Alexa Fluor 488–phalloidin staining (green). Dotted boxes indicate the insets magnified on the bottom. (B and C) In vitro scratch wound assay performed by video microscopy. miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes showed a significant reduction in migration ability, reported in the graph in C as area covered (area cov.) by migration (migr.) during 24 h. (D) Tracking analysis of a single cell path revealed loss of directional migration in miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes. Lines indicate the x-y axis in the cell migration plan. (E) Transwell migration assay confirmed the reduced migration ability in miR-24–transfected keratinocytes. *, P < 0.001. (F) HEKn overexpressing miR-24 or differentiated in high calcium showed similar localization of E-cadherin at cell–cell contacts (AJs). (G) Silencing of endogenous miR-24 after high calcium treatment reduces formation of cell–cell contacts (AJs) as indicated by E-cadherin (E-Cadh) staining. (H and I) Quantification of AJs, marked by E-cadherin
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staining in the experiment shown in Fig. 5 (F [miR-24 overexpression] and G [miR-24 silencing]), confirmed the ability of miR-24 to directly modulate AJ formation during differentiation. *, P > 0.01. (J) Model of keratinocyte cell–cell contact formation. Proliferating keratinocytes form stable focal contacts in the basal plane. Basal–apical architecture of differentiating keratinocytes allows formation of top-down intercellular junctions (adhesion zippers). Adhesion zipper assembly occurs in an apical plane. (K and L) Keratinocyte cell–cell contact formation. Transfected or high calcium–treated HEKn were stained with Alexa Fluor 488–phalloidin (green) and E-cadherin (E-Cad) antibody to highlight the formation of AJs. MM, molecular mass; Scr, scramble. Bars: (B) 20 µm; (C) 10 µm; (D) 30 µm.

Figure 7. Silencing of miR-24 targets, PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 phenocopies miR-24 overexpression in cells. (A) Western blot analysis confirmed silencing of PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19. Tubulin was used as a loading control. (B) Silencing of PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 causes remodeling of actin filaments. (C) Specific silencing of PAK4, Tsk5, or ArhGAP19 induces loss of planar polarization in HEKn as shown by Alexa Fluor 488–phalloidin (green) and vinculin staining. (D) HEKn were fixed and stained with Alexa Fluor 488–phalloidin (green) and paxillin staining. (E) HEKn were fixed and stained with Alexa Fluor 488–phalloidin (green) and E-cadherin (E-Cadh) antibody to highlight the formation of AJs. MM, molecular mass; Scr, scramble. Bars: (B) 20 µm; (C) 10 µm; (D) 30 µm.
Figure 8. Overexpression of PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 cDNAs abolishes the effects of miR-24. (A) Silencing of miR-24 targets reduces in vitro keratinocyte migration. 48 h after transfection, confluent HEKs were subjected to the in vitro scratch wound assay. Cell migration was observed into the scratch at the time indicated. Micrographs are taken at 0 and 24 h after scratch. Red bars denote the distance between the edges of the scratch wounds. Bar, 500 µm.
Rho–ROCK2 via GEF-H1 phosphorylation (Barac et al., 2004). In particular, PAK4 is the only member of the family that regulates the dynamic process of actin depolymerization through the actin-depolymerizing factor/cofilin complex. Moreover, in agreement with our finding, recently, PAK4 has been described as a miR-24 target in endothelial cells, during the neoangiogenesis after myocardial infarction (Fiedler et al., 2011). The Tks5 adaptor protein is a substrate of Src kinase (Lock et al., 1998) involved in podosome formation and in cell migration and invasion (Lock et al., 1998; Abram et al., 2003). Tks5 acts as a scaffold for the recruitment of WASP, thereby promoting actin polymerization (Seals et al., 2005). Very little is known about the functions of the other target ArhGAP19. ArhGAP19 is a Rho GTPase-activating protein of the ArhGTPase-activating protein family that is involved in cell migration and actin regulation (Lv et al., 2007). The crucial effect of miR-24 action appears to be the inhibition of actin filament polymerization/depolymerization. miR-24 acts downstream of Rho, Rac1, and Cdc42 by repressing PAK4 and Tks5. PAK4 repression results in accumulation of inactive phosphocofilin involved in actin filament depolymerization (Abo et al., 1998). In parallel, miR-24, by repressing PAK4, inhibits GEF-H1 and activates ROCK2 and LIMK1, also resulting in phosphocofilin accumulation. On the other hand, miR-24-dependent Tks5 repression may deprive WASP/Arp2/3, although active, of its scaffold protein required for actin filament polymerization. miR-24 overexpression also produces accumulation of phospho-ROCK2 through the reduction of the upstream inhibition exerted by PAK4 on GEF-H1/RhoA (Zanet et al., 2005). This finding is in agreement with a previous study, which demonstrates a crucial role for the Rho–ROCK pathway in formation of cell–cell junctions during keratinocyte stratification (Vaezi et al., 2002). Therefore, phospho-ROCK2 accumulation, upon miR-24 overexpression, may possibly be implicated in the formation of AJs.

miR-24 also controls Myc and E2F2 expression (Lal et al., 2009a). The transcriptional factor Myc has a crucial role in the mammalian epidermis (Pelengaris et al., 1999; Flores et al., 2004; Watt et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2008; Berta et al., 2010). We found that the antiproliferative effect of miR-24 is also mediated by Myc down-regulation (80% inhibition; Fig. S5), although PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 silencing also reduces proliferation (20%; Fig. 8 D). However, the actin cytoskeletal remodeling and differentiation effects mediated by miR-24 are Myc independent (Fig. S5, A–D). Other studies confirm that Myc is not involved in cytoskeletal remodeling. For instance, Myc deletion in the epidermis (using a K5-cre promoter), possibly resembling our Tg-K5::miR-24 mouse model, results in a thinner epidermis caused by impairment of stem cell self-renewal as measured by a reduction in the number of DNA label–retaining cells (Watt et al., 2008), but no abnormalities have been described in Myc-null mice in the overall architecture of the epidermis and in basal layer cell morphology. Despite the debatable role of Myc in also promoting keratinocyte differentiation depending on dose, time, and context as proposed by Watt et al. (2008), we did not detect a significant effect on induction of keratinocyte differentiation upon Myc silencing (Fig. S5 F). In addition, Lal et al. (2009a) showed that the increase in cell proliferation induced by anti–miR-24 was not abrogated by knocking down endogenous Myc (Zanet et al., 2005), suggesting that other miR-24 targets, possibly including those identified in this paper, must have an antiproliferative role. Thus, although we cannot rule out the possibility that other miR-24 targets affect differentiation and actin adhesion dynamics, it would seem likely that the correct expression of miR-24 and PAK4, Tsk5, and ArhGAP19 in keratinocytes has a critical role for skin morphogenesis.

Although the mechanisms underlying the balance between proliferating and differentiating cells are complex and likely to be regulated by transcriptional mechanisms, the mutually exclusive expression patterns and the evolutionarily conserved regulation strongly suggest a close relationship between miR-24 and its targets in regulating the boundary between proliferation and differentiation in the epidermis. Our results demonstrate that actin cytoskeletal dynamics and cell shape are strong intracellular signals at cellular fates (proliferation vs. differentiation); these signals are, at least in part, controlled by miR-24. Notably, the actin cytoskeleton also transduces physical signals from the microenvironment to regulate epidermal stem cell fate decisions (Connelly et al., 2010). This represents a new mechanism for regulating epidermal differentiation and may have implications for hyperproliferative disorders, including psoriasis and cancers, in which modulation of miR-24 expression might be an attractive tool for future therapeutic approaches. This pathway may also be important in wound healing, in which delay of terminal differentiation and increased cell spreading and migration may allow more rapid reepithelialization.

**Materials and methods**

**Generation of transgenic mice and animal maintenance**

A 434-bp DNA fragment flanking pre–miR-24 hairpin was cloned from mouse genomic DNA with 5′ primer 5′-ACACGTGATGGGTTGGGGTG-3′.
and 3′ primer 5′-AATGTCAGCCGCGTGCCCTACC-3′ and subsequently inserted in pBluScript-KS between the bovine K5 promoter and poly(A)- cassette (vector was provided by M. Blessing, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany). K5-miR-24 transgenic mice were generated in the Friend leukemia virus B mice strain by standard transgenic protocols. Founder mice were determined by PCR genotyping with 5′ primer 5′-CCCC- CTCGTAACAGGATGTC-3′ and 3′ primer 5′-CCCTCATCTCCTGGC- GTG-3′. Two lines exhibited a similar phenotype. 50 mg/kg BrdU was administered in sterile PBS by intraperitoneal injection 4 h before sacrifice. Quantification of BrdU-positive cells was performed by counting three sections of three injected eyes over the nuclei of the basal layer.

**Antagonism synthesis and injection**
Antagoni-miR-24 and scrambled control were designed and synthesized (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The antagoni-miR-24 sequence was 5′-CPS-GUGUCCUG- CUGAUCUGGCUAChol-3′ and scrambled control was 5′-Upsps-A CAAACCUCUGAAGAGupsApSp-Ashol-3′ (ps represents a phosphorothioate linkage, and Chol represents cholesterol linked through a hydroxypropional linkage). Subcutaneous injection was performed in newborn CD-1 mice at a dosage of 80 mg/kg every day for 3 d as described previously (Krützfeldt et al., 2005).

**Cell culture and transfection**
Human primary epidermal keratinocytes from neonatal foreskin of four to five donors, HEKs (Cascade Biologics), were grown in EpLife medium supplemented with human keratinocyte growth supplement (HKGS; Cascade Biologics; Invitrogen). Cells were transfected with pre-miR-24, anti-miR-24, and scrambled negative control (Ambion) using the transfection agent HiPORT NeoFX; Ambion). Keratinocytes were transfected with siRNA (FlexiTube; QIAGEN) of PAK4 (product code SI00360335), Tks5 (product code S10439404), or ArhGAP19 (product code SI04173243), Myc (product code S10039002), E2F2 (product code S10075389), and negative control (product code 1027280) using RNAiMAX according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). Mouse primary keratinocytes were isolated according to Yuspa et al. (1989) from CD-1 strain newborn skin. Primary keratinocytes were induced to differentiate by adding 1.2 mM CaCl₂ to the culture medium. The SAOS-2 cell line was grown in DME-F12 [1:1] medium, 10% FBS, 100 U penicillin, and 100 µg streptomycin (Gibco; Invitrogen).

**Retroviral vector generation and infection**
To express human PAK4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 cDNA in primary keratinocytes by retroviruses infection, their mRNA had been transcribed and amplified from keratinocyte RNA exacts by RT-PCR using the following primers: PAK4-HA-HindF, 5′-GGCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; and ArhGAP19-HA-HindF, 5′-GGCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; Tks5-HA-HindF, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; Tks5-NorR, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; Tks5-NorF, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; and Tks5-NorR, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; and ArhGAP19-NorR, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′; and ArhGAP19-NorF, 5′-GGCCCAAGCTTATGGCTTACCCATACGATGTTCCAGATTACG-3′. To express human Pak4, Tks5, and ArhGAP19 cDNA in primary keratinocytes to the culture medium. The SAOS-2 cell line was grown in DME-F12 [1:1] medium, 10% FBS, 100 U penicillin, and 100 µg streptomycin (Gibco; Invitrogen).

**Microarray gene expression analysis**
Total RNA was extracted from human primary keratinocytes after 24 h of pre-miR-24 or scrambled transfection using TRIZOL (Invitrogen). cRNAs were hybridized to the GeneChip U133 Plus 2.0 (Affymetrix), which contains probes for ~47,000 mRNA species. Scanned output files were inspected for hybridization artifacts and further analyzed using GeneChip 3.3 software. Ratios were obtained by dividing the mean difference of scrambled and pre-miR-24 samples.

**Cell migration assays and time-lapse video microscopy**
For time-lapse experiments of the scratch wound-healing assay, human primary keratinocytes were transfected and grown to confluency in 12-well multwell plates in standard culture medium and conditions. 48 h after transfection, the cells were washed with PBS and pre-treated with 10 mg/ml mitomycin C (Sigma-Aldrich) 2 h before wounding to prevent cellular proliferation. After 2 h, the mitomycin C was removed, and cells were washed three times with PBS. Cells were then scratched. Cells were then incubated in a heated frame incubator (DGTCO2BX; Okolab; Nikon), and images were acquired for video microscopy analysis by a camera (DS-Fi1; Nikon) on a microscope (TE Eclipse; Nikon) and then elaborated by NIS-Element 3.0 software (Nikon). Tracking analysis was performed manually by using NIS-Element 3.0 software. Images of the areas were collected with a microscope (Eclipse TE200; Nikon) equipped with a camera (DS-Fi1) using Plan Fluo 4x/0.13 NA or Plan Fluo 10x/0.25 NA objective lenses at RT. The transw威尔coll migration assay was performed according to the guidelines of the transwell chamber (BD). In brief, 48 h after transfection, cells were seeded on an 8-µm porous membrane (upper chamber) at 10⁵ cells/ml in serum-free medium and were allowed to migrate through the membrane.
under the effect of a chemoattractant (HKGS) added into the medium of
the upper chamber by scrubbing with a cotton swab, whereas invading
cells were fixed to the bottom surface with 4% paraformaldehyde and
then stained with crystal violet and counted by microscope. Images of the areas
were collected with a microscope (Eclipse TE2000) equipped with a camera
(DS-F11) using Plan Fluor 4×/0.13 NA or Plan Fluor 10×/0.25 NA objec-
tive lenses at RT.

Immunofluorescence and confocal analysis
Mouse tissues were embedded in frozen specimen medium (Cryomatrix;
Shandon) or fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (48 h) and embedded in par-
affin. Non-specific antigens were blocked by incubation in 5% goat serum in
PBS for 1 h in a humidified atmosphere at RT. Subsequently, sections were
incubated for 1 h with primary antibodies: anti-Ki-67, anti-Ki-10, anti-
loricin, antifilaggrin (1:1,000 dilution; Covance), anti-p66 (Ab4; 1:500
dilution; NeoMarkers), anti-βIII (1:50 dilution; Dako), and then washed
three times with PBS and incubated for 1 h with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568
secondary antibodies (dilution of 1:1,000; Molecular Probes; Invitrogen)
and anti–β-III integrin (dilution of 1:1,100; BD). Hematoxylin-eosin staining
was performed as previously described (Candi et al., 2007) on paraffin-
embedded sections to allow morphological analysis of tissue samples. In
brief, after deparaffinization and rehydration, sections were stained for
5 min with hematoxylin and then washed in distilled water for 3 min and
incubated 1 min in 1% HCl/70% alcohol. They were then, washed in dis-
tilled water and then air-dried 1 min in 1% eosin. Slides were stained by
being performed by fixing in 4% paraformaldehyde (10 min). After 0.5% Triton
X-100 permeabilization (10 min) and 5% goat serum PBS blocking (1 h),
cells were incubated 1 h with Alexa Fluor 488 phallotoxin (1:40 dilu-
tion; Molecular Probes; Invitrogen) or anti–E-cadherin (dilution of 1:200; Abcam).
Then, slides were washed three times with PBS and incubated for 1 h with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568 secondary antibodies
(dilution of 1:1,000). Then, cells were washed three times with PBS and
incubated for 1 h with Alexa Fluor 488 or 568 secondary antibodies (dilu-
tion of 1:1,000). After two washes in PBS, the tissue sections or cells were
counterstained with DAPI to highlight nuclei. Slides were then stained
by using ProLong antifade kit. TUNEL assay was performed using the In Situ
Cell Death Detection kit, TMR red (Roche) on paraffin-embedded sections
according the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was evaluated by
confocal microscopy (C1 on Eclipse Ti; EZ-C1 software; Nikon) fitted with
an argon laser (488-nm excitation), He/Ne laser (542-nm excitation),
and UV excitation at 405 nm using Plan Fluor 4×/0.13 NA, Plan Fluor
10×/0.25 NA, or Plan Apochromat 20×/0.75 NA or oil immersion Plan
Fluor 40×/1.30 NA or Plan Apochromat 60×/1.40 objective lenses at RT.
EZ-C1 images were exported as full resolution TIF files and processed in
Photoshop CS5 (Adobe) to adjust brightness and contrast. Acquisition
was performed at RT using Plan Fluor 4×/0.13 NA, Plan Fluor 10×/0.25 NA, or
Plan Apochromat 20×/0.75 NA or oil immersion Plan Fluor 40×/1.30 NA or
Plan Apochromat 60×/1.4 NA objective lenses.

miRNA in situ hybridization analysis
Mouse and human tissues were embedded in frozen specimen medium
Cryomatrix after an overnight incubation in 4% paraformaldehyde followed
by an overnight incubation in 0.5 M sucrose. 14-µm-thin sections were
then washed in PBS with 30 min nuclease digestion free wells (miRCURY
LNA; Exiqon). After hybridization, slides were washed (20 min in 5x SSC,
two times for 30 min in Tween 20/SSC at 50°C, and twice for 15 min in
0.2x SSC and 15 min in PBS at RT). After 1-h incubation in blocking solution
at RT, slides were hybridized for 2 h in alkaline phosphatase–conjugated
antidigoxigenin Fab fragment (1:1,500 dilution; Roche) at RT. After two
washes of 20 min, detection was performed by incubating 250 µl nitroblue
tetrazolium/BCIP [1-STEP, Thermo Fisher Scientific] together with 2 mM
levamisole on the slides for 16 h in the dark at RT. After 1-h incubation in
washes of 20 min, detection was performed by incubating 250 µl nitroblue
tetrazolium/BCIP (1-STEP; Thermo Fisher Scientific) together with 2 mM
levamisole on the slides for 16 h in the dark at RT. Images of the areas
were collected with a microscope (Eclipse TE2000) equipped with a cam-
era (DS-F11) using Plan Fluor 4×/0.13 NA or Plan Fluor 10×/0.25 NA objec-
tive lenses or Plan Apochromat 20×/0.75 NA at RT.

Luciferase assay and constructs
A total of 2 × 10^5 SAGC2-2 cells were seeded in 12-well dishes 24 h
before transfection. 100 ng pGL3 vectors, 50 nM pre–miR-24 or scrambled
control, and 10 ng pRL-cytomegalovirus vector were cotransfected using
Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Luciferase activities of cellular extracts
were measured 24 h after transfection by using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter
Assay System (Promega). Light emission was measured over 10 s using a
luminometer (OPTOCOMP I; GMG Instruments, Inc.). Efficiency of trans-
fection was normalized using Renilla luciferase activity. Details for cloning
target 3'UTRs are as follows: A 650-bp fragment from human GAPD 3' UTR
was amplified with 5'GGCCCAAGCCGCTTCCTCCCCTC3' and 3' primer
5'TAGACTCTTCAGAAAGAGGAG3'. A 620-bp fragment from human
Akt1 3' UTR was amplified with 5' CAGGATGTTGATTAATCG3' and 3' primer
5'AGGAGTGAGAGAAGAAGCTC3'. A 523-bp fragment from human ArhGAP19 3' UTR was amplified with 5' primer
5'TATACTGTGTCCTTGGTGG3' and 3' primer 5'CACACAGTACGATGCGAC3'. The 3' UTR fragments were subjected to restriction
and then ligated to pGL3 control vector (Promega). The miR-24 predicted
target site was deleted by PCR using two overlapping primers: PK4
3' UTR mutant 5' promoter, 5'ACACCCAGCCGCCCTCCCTCCCCGTGTGGGAGG3'; and 3' primer, 5'TCATATGATGGCCACCCTAAGTGAGAGAGAGGCG3'; and 3' primer, 5'TGGCGGACTAGTGACTGCAACTGACGACATCTGAGGAGGCA3'; and ArhGAP19 3' UTR mutant, 5'TGGCTCTCTCAAGTAGCTTGGTGGT3'; 3' mutant 5'TGGCGGACTAGTGACTGCAACTGACGACATCTGAGGAGGCA3'; and 3' primer, 5'TGGCGGACTAGTGACTGCAACTGACGACATCTGAGGAGGCA3'.

Statistics
All experiments are presented as means ± SDs. Statistical analyses were
performed using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t tests.

Bioinformatics
miR-24 target sites on PK4, Akt1, and ArhGAP19 3' UTR were predicted by TargetScan 5.1 software. Functional analysis, on the array dataset
result, was used by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (Ingenuity
Systems).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows the expression profile of miR-24 in human and mouse epider-
isms and its effects on keratinocytes proliferation and differentiation. Fig. S2 shows histological and immunofluorescence analysis of the epidermis
from antigoni-24–treated mice and K5::miR-24 transgenic mice. Fig. S3 shows
results of microarray analysis after miR-24 overexpression. Fig. S4 shows
effects of knockdown of miR-24 targets on keratinocyte actin cytoskele-
ton. Fig. S5 shows effects of Myc and E2F2 knockdown on keratinocyte
actin cytoskeleton. Table S1 includes the list of genes down-regulated in
miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes that present miR-24 binding sites.
Table S2 includes the results of Ingenuity Pathway Analysis performed
from genes down-regulated in miR-24–overexpressing keratinocytes to
identify the most significant categories of biological functions. Table
S3 shows the list of primers used for real-time qPCR. Online supplemental
material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201203134/DC1.
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