Pralatrexate in Combination with Bortezomib for Relapsed or Refractory Peripheral T Cell Lymphoma in 5 Elderly Patients
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Peripheral T cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a heterogeneous group of aggressive lymphomas with a generally unfavorable prognosis (1,2). Although PTCL is sensitive to the conventional CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) regimen, clinical outcomes have been uniformly disappointing with a poor overall response rate and shorter progression-free survival as compared to B cell lymphoma (3). Particularly, elderly patients generally have impaired bone marrow reserve, altered drug metabolism, multiple comorbidities, and poor performance status. They frequently show intolerance and treatment-related complications with full dose chemotherapy. Recently, the US Food and Drug Administration approved pralatrexate for treatment of relapsed or refractory PTCLs (4,5). Pralatrexate was designed for selective uptake and retention by malignant cells. It easily enters malignant cells with overexpression of folate membrane transporters and is efficiently polyglutamylated, which increases intracellular half-life. Owing to this unique nature, the agent has shown durable responses in highly refractory cases even after discontinuation (6,7). It has little cumulative toxicity and can be administered continuously to patients of old age or ineligible for consolidative stem cell transplantation. Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor useful in other lymphoid malignancies including multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma (8). In addition, a recent report demonstrated that the combination of pralatrexate and bortezomib enhanced efficacy compared with that of either agent alone in vitro and in vivo models of T cell malignancy (9). Hence, we performed a pilot study that evaluated the response rate, duration of response, and safety profile of this combination regimen in elderly patients with relapsed or refractory PTCL.

In a single institution, five patients with refractory or relapsed PTCL who were aged more than 65 years were recruited from July 2013 to March 2015. Patients had several treatment-related toxicities after one or more conventional treatments at enrollment and agreed with this pilot trial. The median age was 73 years (range 67-77 years), and all patients had advanced disease at diagnosis. Each patient had one or more comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, previous myocardial infarction, hypertension, or bone marrow hypoplasia. The PTCL subtypes were 2 PTCL-not otherwise specified (NOS), 2 angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), and 1 NK/T-cell lymphoma (NK/TCL). The median number of prior systemic therapies were 3 (range 1-5 regimens including 1 autologous stem cell transplantation). Two patients were treated with methotrexate-based chemotherapy before recruitment (Table 1).

The treatment schedule consisted of three weekly doses of intravenous 15 mg/m² pralatrexate and 1.3 mg/m² bortezomib...
with 1 week rest, repeated every 28-days. A total of four cycles of pralatrexate and bortezomib (VP) regimen were planned if uneventful. Concomitant medication to alleviate mucositis included intramuscular injection of 1 mg vitamin B12 within 7 days before initiation of every cycle and daily 45 mg of leucovorin during the entire period of treatment. The VP regimen was continued till progressive disease, intolerance, patient/physician discretion, or completion of regimen. Physical examinations and laboratory tests were performed during weekly visits and interim response was assessed at completion of the second cycle. Adverse events were evaluated according to the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events scale, version 4.0.

Two out of 5 patients responded to the combination therapy (Table 2). A 77-year old man with relapsed angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma three months after 6 cycles of CHOP therapy attained partial response and complete response sequentially after 2 and 4 cycles of VP combination therapy, respectively. His palpable mass regressed at the end of the first cycle. He has been in complete response for about 12 months until now (Fig. 1). Of note, this patient was categorized into the unfavorable risk group according to Prognostic Index for PTCL (10). Another 67-year-old man with relapsed angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma achieved partial response after 2 cycles of VP combination therapy and has remained in partial response for about 1 year. The remaining 3 patients did not respond to the therapy and remained in progressive disease until the end of the planned course of treatment.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients

| Case | Age/sex | PTCL subtype | ECOG PS | Stage | IPI | PIT | Prior systemic therapy | Prior MTX exposure | Comorbidities |
|------|---------|--------------|---------|-------|-----|-----|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1    | 67/M    | PTCL-NOS     | 1       | III   | 3   | 2   | CHOEP, ICE, ASCT       | No                | Bone marrow hypoplasia |
| 2    | 74/M    | AITL         | 1       | III   | 2   | 1   | CHOEP, ICE, SMILE, DHAP, MINE | Yes               | Chronic kidney disease, bone marrow hypoplasia |
| 3    | 73/M    | NK/TCL       | 1       | IV    | 3   | 1   | VIDL                   | No                | Hypertension |
| 4    | 77/M    | AITL         | 2       | V    | 5   | 1   | CHOP                   | No                | Chronic kidney disease |
| 5    | 69/M    | PTCL-NOS     | 0       | III   | 3   | 2   | CHOEP, ICE, SMILE, VLD | Yes               | COPD, ischemic heart disease |

PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; NOS, not otherwise specified; NK/TCL, NK/T cell lymphoma; ECOG PS, eastern cooperative oncology group performance status; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; IPI, international prognostic index; PIT, prognostic index for T-cell lymphoma; MTX, methotrexate; CHOEP, cyclophosphamide + doxorubicin + vincristine + prednisone + etoposide; ICE, ifosfamide + carboplatin + etoposide; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; SMILE, dexamethasone + methotrexate + ifosfamide + L-asparaginase + etoposide; DHAP, cisplatin + cytarabine + dexamethasone; MINE, mesna + ifofamide + mitoxantrone + etoposide; VDL, etoposide + ifosfamide + dexamethasone + L-asparaginase.

Table 2. Response to treatment and grades of major adverse events

| Case | VP cycles | Interim response* | Final response† | Mucositis | Anorexia | Neutropenia | Thrombocytopenia |
|------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| 1    | 3 cycles  | PD               | PR              | Grade 1   | Grade 2  | Grade 2    | Grade 3        |
| 2    | 2 cycles  | PD               | PD              | Grade 2   | Grade 1  | Grade 1    | Grade 0        |
| 3    | 2 cycles  | PD               | PD              | Grade 3   | Grade 2  | Grade 1    | Grade 0        |
| 4    | 4 cycles  | PR               | CR              | Grade 3   | Grade 1  | Grade 2    | Grade 1        |
| 5    | 1 cycle   | NA               | PD              | Grade 0   | Grade 2  | Grade 0    | Grade 0        |

VP, bortezomib + pralatrexate; CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; PD, progressive disease; NA, not applicable.

*Interim response was determined by positron emission tomography or computed tomography on completion of the 2nd cycle; †Final response was assessed at the end of planned treatment (4th cycle) and whenever disease progression was suspected.
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old patient achieved partial response after 2 cycles, duration of which was 47 days. However, this patient discontinued the treatment after 3 cycles due to patient withdrawal. The disease progressed two months after discontinuation. Two patients died of disease progression and infection despite two cycles of treatment. The final patient was treated with only 1 cycle of treatment because of disease progression and was treated with other salvage therapy.

The most common adverse events were mucositis and anorexia (Table 2). Four patients complained of mucositis, but only 1 week delay of treatment was required for grade 3 mucositis in one patient. Most of the patients tolerated combination therapy. All patients were treated with planned pralatrexate without dose reduction or discontinuation due to toxicity. Only one dose of bortezomib was omitted due to peripheral neuropathy among all patients and one episode of herpes zoster occurred. None of the patients postponed treatment due to hematologic adverse events. One patient had grade 3 thrombocytopenia and there were no cases of greater than grade 2 neutropenia. Other toxicities other than those described above were clinically insignificant.

In our study of elderly patients, the pralatrexate dose was reduced in quantity and frequency with consideration of better tolerability and a synergistic effect with bortezomib. A weekly 15 mg/m² dose of pralatrexate for 3/4 weeks had good activity with acceptable toxicity in another study in relapsed or refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (11). Weekly 1.3 mg/m² doses of bortezomib were well tolerated in many previous studies. With this combination regimen of reduced dose, the overall response rate was 2 of 5 (1 PTCL-NOS, 1 AITL). In the PROPEL trial, the largest study ever performed on pralatrexate for relapsed or refractory PTCL, patients were treated with 30 mg/m² of pralatrexate for 6/7 weeks. Thirty-six percent of patients were more than 65 years. Subgroup analysis revealed that the overall response rate was 33% in elderly patients (5). PTCL subtypes in elderly patients were not specified. Two responders in our study attained first responses after 2 cycles, which is about 8 weeks after treatment. Durations of response were 12 months (ongoing) and 47 days. In the PROPEL study, median time to first and best response was 46 and 141 days respectively. Median duration of response was 10.1 months (5). Overall, efficacy of lower dose pralatrexate combined with bortezomib seems to be acceptable compared with that of the PROPEL study. Moreover, this combination regimen had a more favorable toxicity profile. Mucositis is the most common dose-modifying adverse event of pralatrexate use. Twenty-two percent of patients suffered from grade 3 or 4 mucositis and six percent withdrew from treatment in the PROPEL trial. Only 1 week delay in treatment was needed in our study. Though both pralatrexate and bortezomib provoke cytopenias, the low dose combination regimen did not induce prolonged nor profound cytopenias.

There was no grade 3 or 4 neutropenia and only one patient had grade 3 thrombocytopenia. By virtue of a good safety profile, more cycles of treatment could be administered to elderly patients with decreased bone marrow function, leading to better survival.

In conclusion, PTCL is a challenging disease with lack of a standard regimen in the relapsed or refractory setting. Moreover, elderly patients are in need of more tolerable and sustainable treatment with minimal adverse events. Combination therapy with pralatrexate and bortezomib could be used safely and effectively as a salvage regimen in elderly patients with relapsed or refractory PTCL.

Ethics statement

The present study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital (IRB. No. CNUHH-2015-110). Informed consent was exempted by the board.

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Research conception and design: Yang DH. Data collection: Lee SS, Jung SH, Ahn JS, Kim YK, Cho MS, Jung SY, Lee JJ, Kim HJ, Yang DH. Data analysis and interpretation: Yang DH, Lee SS. Drafting of the manuscript: Lee SS. Revision of the manuscript: Lee SS. Approval of final manuscript: all authors.

ORCID

Seung-Shin Lee http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8174-6861
Sung-Hoon Jung http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3753-5282
Jae-Sook Ahn http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3078-5024
Yeo-Kyeoung Kim http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5447-4285
Min-Seok Cho http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6649-4742
Seung-Yeon Jung http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4067-028X
Je-Jung Lee http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4635-5697
Hyeyeong-Joon Kim http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0998-1090
Deok-Hwan Yang http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2830-9889

REFERENCES

1. A clinical evaluation of the International Lymphoma Study Group classification of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The Non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma Classification Project. Blood 1997; 89: 3989-18.
2. Henneguy BT, Hanrahan EO, Daly PA. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma: an update. Lancet Oncol 2004; 5: 341-53.
3. Abouyabas AN, Shenoy PJ, Sinha R, Flowers CR, Lechowicz MJ. A system-
atic review and meta-analysis of front-line anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimens for peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *ISRN hematol* 2011; 2011: 623924.

4. Coiffier B, Federico M, Caballero D, Dearden C, Morschhauser F, Jäger U, Trimper L, Zucca E, Gomes da Silva M, Pettengell R, et al. Therapeutic options in relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2014; 40: 1080-8.

5. O’Connor OA, Pro B, Pinter-Brown L, Bartlett N, Popplewell L, Coiffier B, Lechowicz MJ, Savage KJ, Shustov AR, Gisselbrecht C, et al. Pralatrexate in patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma: results from the pivotal PROPEL study. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29: 1182-9.

6. Dondi A, Bari A, Pozzi S, Ferri P, Sacchi S. The potential of pralatrexate as a treatment of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2014; 23: 711-8.

7. Marchi E, Mangone M, Zullo K, O’Connor OA. Pralatrexate pharmacology and clinical development. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; 19: 6657-61.

8. Robak T. Bortezomib in the treatment of mantle cell lymphoma. *Future Oncol* 2015; 11: 2807-18.

9. Marchi E, Paoluzzi L, Scotto L, Sesan VE, Zain JM, Zinzani PL, O’Connor OA. Pralatrexate is synergistic with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib in in vitro and in vivo models of T-cell lymphoid malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010; 16: 3648-58.

10. Gallamini A, Stelitano C, Calvi R, Bellei M, Mattei D, Vitolo U, Morabito F, Martelli M, Brusamolino E, Iannitto E, et al. Peripheral T-cell lymphoma unspecified (PTCL-U): a new prognostic model from a retrospective multicentric clinical study. *Blood* 2004; 103: 2474-9.

11. Horwitz SM, Kim YH, Foss F, Zain JM, Myskowski PL, Lechowicz MJ, Fisher DC, Shustov AR, Bartlett NL, Delioukina ML, et al. Identification of an active, well-tolerated dose of pralatrexate in patients with relapsed or refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Blood* 2012; 119: 4115-22.