Optimizing stem-loop qPCR assays through multiplexed cDNA synthesis of U6 and miRNAs
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We recently reported that hairpin (or stem-loop) priming is better-suited than polyA tailing to generate cDNA for plant microRNA qPCR. One major limitation of this method is the need to perform individual cDNA synthesis reactions for the reference gene and test miRNAs. Here, we report a novel fusion primer that allows multiplexed hairpin cDNA synthesis of the most-commonly used reference gene, nucleolar small RNA U6, together with test miRNAs. We also propose the use of miR1515 as a housekeeping control for tropical legumes. We show that multiplexed cDNA synthesis does not result in loss of sensitivity and reduces the amount of RNA required for miRNA gene expression assays.

microRNAs (miRNAs) are short non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level in both plants and animals (reviewed in refs. 1–4). In plants, their biogenesis starts with pri-miRNA transcripts which are capped and generally poly-adenylated and then cleaved by DICER-LIKE 1 to give rise to short duplex RNA molecules consisting of the mature miRNA (typically 21–22 nt in length) and miRNA* molecules. Both miRNA and miRNA* are then 2' O-methylated at the 3' end. Mature miRNAs are incorporated into RNA-induced silencing complexes and direct inhibition of translation or cleavage of complementary mRNAs (reviewed in refs. 5–7). Reliable quantification of individual mature miRNA levels is necessary to understand their biological roles. Hairpin/stem-loop priming and PolyA tailing are the two most commonly used cDNA synthesis methods for plant miRNA qPCR. We recently showed that hairpin priming method is better suited than polyA tailing method to synthesize cDNA for plant miRNA qPCR.10 One disadvantage of hairpin priming method is the use of specific RT primers for the cDNA synthesis and therefore individual cDNA synthesis reactions for each miRNA. This not only requires more input RNA, but also results in possible differences in cDNA synthesis efficiencies between test miRNAs and reference genes. It is crucial that the reference genes and test miRNAs undergo identical reaction conditions during cDNA synthesis and qPCR. This ensures equal efficiency of the reaction between the reference gene and the test miRNA(s) leading to accurate and reliable measurements.

In general, other small endogenous noncoding RNAs such as U6, U24 or U26 are used to normalize the expression of both plant and animal miRNAs.11,12 In Northern hybridization and polyA technique, the small nucleolar RNA U6 has been successfully used as a normalization control for a wide range of experiments (e.g., see refs. 13 and 14). However, no suitable method exists for U6 cDNA synthesis coupled with hairpin priming. To resolve this issue, we designed cDNA synthesis and qPCR primers for U6 from a highly conserved region of the gene (Fig. S1A). For U6 cDNA synthesis primer design, we added the “universal reverse” primer used in hairpin cDNA qPCR to the 5' end of U6-specific reverse primer sequence (Fig. S1B). When this modified U6 reverse primer is used for cDNA synthesis, U6 expression can thereafter be assayed using hairpin cDNA qPCR technique, using U6-specific forward primer and universal reverse primer. “Hairpin-primed” cDNAs were generated using U6-specific cDNA synthesis primer from RNA samples obtained from different plant species. RNA preparations were obtained from rice (leaf and seed), wheat (leaf), Arabidopsis (seedlings), medicago (leaf), corn (leaf meristematic tissue), grape (root), soybean (root) and prairie cord grass (rhizomes). All cDNA synthesis reactions were performed on an ABI thermocycler (GeneAmp 9700). All qPCR assays were performed using a MX3000P thermocycler (Stratagene/Agilent Technologies) and SYBR Advantage qPCR premix (Product# 639676, Clontech). Three different dilutions (1/50, 1/500, 1/5,000) of cDNA from each plant species were examined for U6 expression by qPCR (Fig. 1). Suitability of
mature nodules, flowers and young pods. For time course experiment with soybean, root tissues were harvested at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h and 3, 7 and 14 dpi from mock- (nitrogen free plant nutrient solution) and *B. japonicum*-inoculated plants. All root tissues harvested were first washed thoroughly, blot dried, immediately frozen in liquid N$_2$ and stored at −80°C until RNA isolation. RTqPCR analysis showed the uniform expression of miR1515 in the different tissues (Fig. 2A) and along the course of nodulation (Fig. 2B), as previously identified using Northern analysis.

We propose the use of miR1515 as reference gene for miRNA expression assays in soybean and possibly other tropical legumes (e.g., common bean, cowpea, peanut). The use of a miRNA as reference gene for miRNA expression assays has some advantages over using protein coding genes such as actin, ubiquitin or EF1. Since miRNA biogenesis pathways are distinct from mRNAs, alterations in biosynthesis machinery (e.g., due to virus these primers to synthesize cDNA and assay U6 expression were determined by examining the linearity of amplification, quality of the amplification curves and dissociation curves. We tested a range of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous (both legumes and non-legumes) species. The primers we designed were able to successfully detect U6 expression with reliable amplification efficiencies and linearity in different plant species (Fig. 1).

One of the legume-specific miRNAs, miR1515 is uniformly expressed in a variety of tissues in soybean as well as other tropical legumes such as common bean and peanut. To utilize miR1515 as a reference gene for miRNA qPCR in soybean, we designed hairpin primers for this miRNA. Expression of miR1515 was assayed in different soybean tissues and in the roots during nodule development (Fig. 2). For expression analysis in different soybean organs, samples were collected from root, stem and young leaves of 12-d-old plants, 14 d post inoculation (dpi) mature nodules, flowers and young pods. For time course experiment with soybean, root tissues were harvested at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12 and 24 h and 3, 7 and 14 dpi from mock- (nitrogen free plant nutrient solution) and *B. japonicum*-inoculated plants. All root tissues harvested were first washed thoroughly, blot dried, immediately frozen in liquid N$_2$ and stored at −80°C until RNA isolation. RTqPCR analysis showed the uniform expression of miR1515 in the different tissues (Fig. 2A) and along the course of nodulation (Fig. 2B), as previously identified using Northern analysis. We propose the use of miR1515 as reference gene for miRNA expression assays in soybean and possibly other tropical legumes (e.g., common bean, cowpea, peanut). The use of a miRNA as reference gene for miRNA expression assays has some advantages over using protein coding genes such as actin, ubiquitin or EF1. Since miRNA biogenesis pathways are distinct from mRNAs, alterations in biosynthesis machinery (e.g., due to virus...
infection) might introduce artificial abundance difference between miRNAs and mRNA reference genes used as normalization controls. Using a miRNA as reference gene can help overcome such issues.

Finally, we examined if cDNA synthesis for U6 and miR1515 could be multiplexed along with test miRNAs without loss of efficiency. Hairpin primers for up to four different miRNAs (50 nM each final concentration) and the reference gene (U6 or miR1515) were added to a single cDNA synthesis reaction using soybean root RNA as template. Fold difference in miRNA expression between samples or between miRNAs and respective reference genes were calculated using the ddCt method.\textsuperscript{17} We compared Ct values between qPCR assays performed using multiplexed cDNA or independently synthesized cDNA (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in efficiency or Ct values when miRNA abundance was assayed in single miRNA cDNA synthesis reactions compared with multiplexed cDNA synthesis reactions (ANCOVA; p > 0.05). Therefore, multiplexing during cDNA synthesis would not only enable the efficient use of minimal amounts of RNA for qPCR, but also enhance the accuracy of the assays by ensuring equal cDNA synthesis efficiency between test and reference genes.

There are other situations where multiplexing in hairpin cDNA qPCR might be handy. The ability of hairpin cDNA qPCR to distinguish family members or mature sequence variants can sometimes be a limitation, e.g., when attempting to assay miRNA abundance of multiple/all family members. Nevertheless, this could be addressed by multiplexing cDNA synthesis for multiple family members/variants in a single reaction using specific hairpin primers for each variant/family member. This limitation can also be addressed by the use of a recently developed method (miQPCR, reviewed in ref. \textsuperscript{18}) where an RNA adaptor is ligated to the 3’ end of mature miRNAs and the ligated molecules are reverse transcribed using a primer complementary to the adaptor sequence. However, this approach might as well suffer from the inability to distinguish methylated vs. non-methylated RNA molecules, especially in RNA preparations from plants.

In this study, we have developed tools to enhance hairpin cDNA qPCR method to assay plant miRNA gene expression. First, we developed a cDNA synthesis primer for U6 that allows multiplexed cDNA synthesis and validated it using multiple plant species. Next, we developed miR1515 as a reference gene to normalize miRNA expression in soybean and possibly other tropical legumes. Finally, we successfully multiplexed cDNA synthesis of multiple miRNAs with reference genes without loss of efficiency.
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