Calcium-activated chloride channel ANO1 promotes breast cancer progression by activating EGFR and CAMK signaling
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The calcium-activated chloride channel anocytan 1 (ANO1) is located within the 11q13 amplicon, one of the most frequently amplified chromosomal regions in human cancer, but its functional role in tumorigenesis has remained unclear. The 11q13 region is amplified in ~15% of breast cancers. Whether ANO1 is amplified in breast tumors, the extent to which gene amplification contributes to ANO1 overexpression, and whether overexpression of ANO1 is important for tumor maintenance have remained unknown. We have found that ANO1 is amplified and highly expressed in breast cancer cell lines and primary tumors. Amplification of ANO1 correlated with disease grade and poor prognosis. Knockdown of ANO1 in ANO1-amplified breast cancer cell lines and other cancers bearing 11q13 amplification inhibited proliferation, induced apoptosis, and reduced tumor growth in established cancer xenografts. Moreover, ANO1 chloride channel activity was important for cell viability. Mechanistically, ANO1 knockdown or pharmacological inhibition of its chloride-channel activity reduced EGF receptor (EGFR) and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CAMKII) signaling, which subsequently attenuated AKT, v-src sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (SRC), and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) activation in vitro and in vivo. Our results highlight the involvement of the ANO1 chloride channel in tumor progression and provide insights into oncogenic signaling in human cancers with 11q13 amplification, thereby establishing ANO1 as a promising target for therapy in these highly prevalent tumor types.
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Results

ANO1 Is Amplified, Overexpressed, and Associated with a Poor Prognosis in Breast Cancer. In a search for potential oncogenes other than CCND1 within tumors exhibiting 11q13 amplification, we analyzed comparative genomic hybridization data of primary breast tumor samples. As expected, we found a significant gain in copy number in the 11q13 region. Genomic fine mapping revealed that the most frequently and highly amplified region spans ~5 Mb (67–72 Mb) and contains ANO1 and 15 other protein-coding genes, including fibroblast growth factor 4 (FGF4), fibroblast growth factor 9 (FGF9), cyclin D1 (CCND1), Fas (TNFRSF6)-associated via death domain (FADD), and cortactin (CTTN) (3–5, 10, 27, 30, 31) (Fig. L4). Interestingly, ANO1 was found repeatedly within the summit of amplification (i.e., in terms of copy number and frequency), suggesting that tumors with increased ANO1 copy number have a selective advantage (Fig. 1A, arrow). Similar results were obtained in HNSCC primary tumor samples, supporting the significance of ANO1 as a potential oncogenic driver in both cancer types (Fig. S4).

Next, we assessed whether amplification of ANO1 correlates with overexpression and found that 11q13 amplification results in higher mRNA expression of ANO1 in breast and HNSCC tumors than in non-11q13-amplified tumors (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1B). As expected based on the close proximity, we found a significant correlation between the amplification of ANO1 and CCND1 in primary HNSCC and breast cancer tumor samples (Fig. S1C). However, we found no correlation between ANO1 and CCND1 at the mRNA level (Fig. S1D) and only a weak correlation at the protein level (Fig. S1 E and F).

To determine whether there is an association between ANO1 amplification and clinical outcome in breast cancer patients, we analyzed a published dataset of copy number and overall survival in breast cancer patients (32) and found that amplification of ANO1 correlates with high grade disease and is a negative predictor for overall survival (Fig. 1C). In agreement with ANO1 being an important predictor for survival in breast cancer, we found a significant correlation between ANO1 expression levels and overall survival in breast cancer patients (Fig. S1H).

To examine whether the observed amplification and overexpression of ANO1 results in higher ANO1 protein levels, we stained primary breast tumors for ANO1. ANO1 staining was positive in 78% of breast tumors (Fig. 1D). Staining of primary HNSCC and ESCC tumors for ANO1 revealed that 100% of primary HNSCC tumors and 90% of ESCC tumors are positive for ANO1 (Fig. S1G and Table S1). Thus, ANO1 is amplified and highly expressed in breast cancer and other tumors and associates with a poor prognosis.

ANO1 Is Critical for Cell Survival and Proliferation in 11q13-Amplified Breast Cancer, HNSCC, and ESCC Cells. To find suitable models for testing the involvement of ANO1 in tumorigenesis, we analyzed a panel of established breast cancer cell lines for ANO1 amplification. A large subset of cell lines showed amplification of the same region identified in primary breast tumor samples (Fig. S1J and Table S2). Consistent with genomic amplification of ANO1, mRNA (Fig. S1J) and protein levels (Fig. S1M) were significantly higher in 11q13-amplified breast cancer cell lines than in non-11q13-amplified lines. Based on these results, we selected the ZR75-1, HCC1954, and MDA-MB-415 breast cancer cell lines for further experiments. Additionally, we profiled a set of established HNSCC and ESCC cell lines and selected FaDu (ESCC) and Te11...
ANO1 Is Sufficient to Promote Cell Proliferation in the Absence of 11q13 Amplification. Having shown that ANO1 is amplified and overexpressed in breast cancer, we asked whether overexpression of ANO1 in non–11q13-amplified cells would be sufficient to promote cell viability. We generated pools of the immortalized but nontransformed breast epithelial cells MCF10A expressing ANO1, CCND1, a control vector (β-glucuronidase; GUS), or GFP (Fig. 2E). Overexpression of ANO1 significantly increased cell viability. Furthermore, we found that expression of ANO1 in MCF10A cells increased the levels of the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl2 and Mcl-1, suggesting that ANO1 has a prosurvival and antiapoptotic function. Despite its established role in inducing cell-cycle progression, cyclin D1 alone did not increase cell viability or enhance the effect of ANO1 (Fig. 2F). Thus, ANO1 is sufficient to promote cell viability in the absence of 11q13 amplification.

Chloride Channel Activity of ANO1 Is Required for Its Prosurvival Properties. To determine the effect of ANO1 knockdown on chloride flux, we used a manual patch-clamp assay or the planar patch (QPatch) platform and found that ANO1 knockdown led to a significant reduction in calcium-dependent chloride currents (Fig. S3 A–D). Therefore, as expected, genetic ablation of ANO1 results in a significant loss of chloride channel activity.

(HNSCC) as additional cell lines for our experiments (Fig. S1 K, L, and N).

Next, we tested whether ANO1 is important for the survival and proliferation of 11q13-amplified cancer cells by first constructing doxycycline (dox)-inducible lentiviral vectors expressing three independent shRNA constructs targeting ANO1 (shRNA_#1–#3) or a nontargeting shRNA (shRNA-NT). We then generated stable pools of ZR75-1, HCC1954, MDA-MB-415, Te11, and FaDu cells expressing these shRNAs. Induction of the expression of shRNA_#1–#3, but not shRNA-NT, led to pronounced knockdown of ANO1 in all five cell lines (Fig. S2 A and B). Knockdown of ANO1 resulted in decreased viability (Fig. 2A) and colony formation (Fig. 2B) and arrested the cells in G1 of the cell cycle (Fig. 2C). Moreover, we found increased expression of cleaved poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase and active caspase-3/9 and a reduction in B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2), myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1 (Mcl-1), and survivin protein levels (Fig. 2D) upon knockdown of ANO1 in breast cancer cells, further identifying it as a protein with prosurvival activity. Similar results were obtained in Te11 and FaDu cells (Fig. S2 C–F). Thus, our results demonstrate that ANO1 expression is critical for cell survival and proliferation in 11q13-amplified breast cancer, HNSCC, and ESCC cells.
We next used calcium-activated chloride channel inhibitor (CaCCinh-A01), a low molecular weight inhibitor of ANO1 activity (25), to test whether the chloride channel activity of ANO1 is required for proliferation. Treatment of ZR75-1, HCC1954, and MDA-MB-415 cells with CaCCinh-A01 reduced cell viability (Fig. 3C and D) and inhibited colony formation (Fig. 3A and B). Similar results were obtained for FaDu and Te11 cells (Fig. S3E and F). The sensitivity to CaCCinh-A01 correlated with both ANO1 amplification and expression levels (Table 1 and Fig. S1), suggesting that ANO1 biochemical activity is required for the promotion of cell viability in cell lines overexpressing ANO1.

The residues R621 and K668 of ANO1 map to a highly conserved region between transmembrane domains TM5 and TM6. Mutation of these residues to glutamate reduced permeability for anions while promoting cation permeability (19). In contrast to its effect on ANO1-amplified breast cancer cell lines, CaCCinh-A01 reduced cell viability in ESCC, and breast cancer cell lines by CaCCinh-A01 in correlation with ANO1 amplification status.

Table 1. IC50 values for the inhibition of cell viability in HSNNC, ESCC, and breast cancer cell lines by CaCCinh-A01 in correlation with ANO1 amplification status

| Cell line | Copy no. ANO1 | IC50 CaCCinh-A01 (μM) |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| KYSE70    | n.a.          | >20                   |
| KYSE150   | n.a.          | >20                   |
| KYSE450   | n.a.          | 16                    |
| Te1       | n.a.          | 16                    |
| Te9       | n.a.          | 17                    |
| FaDu      | 14            | 8.5                   |
| Te11      | 9             | 2.9                   |
| MCF7      | n.a.          | 20                    |
| Hs578t    | n.a.          | 20                    |
| BTS549    | n.a.          | 20                    |
| ZR75-1    | 3             | 8.0                   |
| HCC1954   | 3             | 8.0                   |
| MDA-MB-415| 7             | 8.0                   |

Data represent the mean of three independent experiments. n.a., not amplified.
Inhibition of ANO1 reduces tumor growth and maintenance. (A and B) Growth curves of breast cancer tumors with or without knockdown of ANO1. Cells expressing shRNA-NT or shRNA_3 were orthotopically injected into SCID/beige mice, and dox treatment was started when tumors were palpable. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.001. (C and D) Growth curves of HNSCC and ESCC tumors with or without knockdown of ANO1. n/a mice were s.c. injected with cells expressing shRNA-NT or shRNA_2 and were fed with dox-containing food when average tumor volume reached 100 mm³. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P < 0.001.

To test whether the decrease in EGFR activation after knockdown of ANO1 is caused by a reduction in autocrine EGFR-ligand secretion, we measured the levels of EGF and TGF-α in the supernatant of HCC1954 and ZR75-1 cells after knockdown of ANO1. The levels of secreted EGF and TGF-α were reduced upon ANO1 knockdown (Fig. S4 B and F). These results suggest that knockdown of ANO1 reduces EGFR signaling by decreasing autocrine EGFR-ligand secretion in breast cancer cells. Next, we asked whether the reconstitution of EGFR signaling is sufficient to rescue the effect of ANO1 inhibition on cell viability. Treatment of ZR75-1 and HCC1954 cells with 20 ng/mL EGF was sufficient to reverse the inhibitory effect of CaCCinh-A01 on EGFR phosphorylation (Fig. 5D). Notably, although 20 ng/mL of EGF restored EGFR phosphorylation, the inhibitory effect of CaCCinh-A01 on cell viability was reversed only partially, suggesting that additional mechanisms are involved in the effect of ANO1 on cell viability (Fig. 5E). Given that the chloride channel activity of ANO1 is important for its oncogenic function, we hypothesized that chloride-driven activation of calcium-dependent pathways also contributes to the effects of ANO1 on cell viability. Intracellular calcium can activate the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase (CAMKK) and lead to the phosphorylation and activation of CaMKII (33). To test this hypothesis, we first assessed the phosphorylation of CaMKII and found it to be dramatically reduced by ANO1 inhibition (Fig. 5D and F). Next, we treated the cells with carbachol, a cholinergic agonist that leads to intracellular calcium release via activation of the acetylcholine receptor. Similar to EGF, carbachol restored CaMKII phosphorylation but only partially rescued the inhibitory effect of CaCCinh-A01 on cell viability (Fig. 5E and F). Notably, combined EGF and carbachol treatments completely rescued the inhibitory effect of CaCCinh-A01 application on cell viability. Taken together, these results indicate that ANO1 regulates cell viability by modulation of both EGFR and CaMKII signaling.

Finally, we examined whether overexpression of ANO1 enhances the phosphorylation of EGFR and CAMKK. Immunoblotting revealed an increase in EGFR, SRC, and CAMKK phosphorylation in lysates of MCF10A cells overexpressing ANO1 compared with control cells (Fig. 5G and Fig. S4D). Notably, MCF10A cells overexpressing ANO1 and ANO1-amplified HNSCC, ESCC, and breast cancer cells were more sensitive to SRC inhibition (Table S3). Consistent with the observed reduction of EGFR-ligand secretion after knockdown of ANO1, overexpression of ANO1 in MCF10A cells led to increased secretion of EGF and TGF-α (Fig. S4G). Treatment of MCF10A-ANO1 cells with either the EGFR inhibitor AEE788 or with the CAMKK inhibitor KN93 reduced EGFR and CAMKK phosphorylation, respectively, to the levels of the parental cells (Fig. 5G and H) but only partially reversed ANO1 promotion of cell viability (Fig. 5I).

Consistently, ANO1-amplified HNSCC and ESCC cell lines were more sensitive than nonamplified lines to several EGFR inhibitors (Table S4). Notably, the combination of the EGFR and CAMKK inhibitors completely abrogated the effect of ANO1 overexpression on cell viability (Fig. 5J). Last, we analyzed the expression of ANO1 and the phosphorylation of EGFR and CAMKK in lysates of primary human breast tumors. Consistent with our results in human breast cancer cell lines, expression of ANO1 correlated with the phosphorylation of EGFR and CAMKK in primary human breast tumor samples (Fig. S4H). In summary, these findings suggest that ANO1 promotes oncogenesis in ANO1-amplified and -overexpressed cancers by activating EGFR- and calcium-dependent signaling pathways.

Discussion

11q13 is a frequently amplified chromosomal region in several human cancers with poor prognosis (3–7). Although CCND1 is considered to be the main tumor-promoting gene in this amplicon, it does not have predictive value for the survival of HNSCC and breast cancer patients (8, 11–14). The 11q13 amplicon harbors several independent amplification cores, indicating the presence of additional driving oncogenes in this region (6, 15, 16, 34). The calcium-activated chloride channel ANO1, located within the 11q13 amplicon, is known to be overexpressed in several cancers and recently has been reported to promote oncogenesis in HNSCC by activating MAPK (28). In this study, we provide evidence that ANO1 contributes to breast cancer tumorigenesis. We show that ANO1 is amplified and overexpressed in a significant number of primary breast tumors and cell lines at the genomic, RNA, and protein levels. Knockdown or pharmacological inhibition of ANO1 decreased cell proliferation and increased apoptosis in several human breast cancer cell lines. Furthermore, knockdown of ANO1 after overt tumor development in four cell lines grown as xenografts reduced tumor growth, suggesting that ANO1 is an essential oncogenic factor in breast cancer. Notably, overexpression of ANO1 in nontransformed human mammary cells was sufficient to increase their viability, indicating that overexpression of ANO1 in the absence of the 11q13 amplicon is sufficient to increase viability. ANO1 previously was linked to HNSCC, ESCC, and prostate carcinoma. Our findings that ANO1 is amplified and highly expressed in breast cancer as well as in HNSCC and ESCC and that it is essential for tumor maintenance validate ANO1 as a potential driver in these cancer types.

Amplification of ANO1 recently has been described to be a negative predictor for survival in HNSCC (28). We provide evidence that amplification of ANO1 in primary breast tumor correlates with poor survival and grade of disease. These findings are in agreement with previous studies identifying the 11q13 amplicon as a high-risk marker for poor survival in breast cancer (34).

Mechanistically, we found that the chloride channel activity of ANO1 is essential for its effect on cell viability. Evidence is provided by the fact that mutants of ANO1 with impaired chloride channel activity failed to increase cell viability and that an ANO1 inhibitor that abrogates its chloride channel activity blocked the promoting effects of ANO1 on cell viability. The roles of ion channels in tumorigenesis are not well understood. Although the expression and activity of some membrane channels [e.g., K⁺](Fig. 4).
channel: Kv11.1 (hERG1); Ca^2+ channel: Ca_v, SOC] have been linked to cancer progression, their impact on oncogenic signaling pathways has remained largely elusive (35).

In the present study, we show that ANO1 promotes cell viability in breast cancer as well as in HNSCC and ESCC models by activating EGFR- and calcium-dependent pathways. Inhibition of the chloride channel activity or knockdown of ANO1 decreased EGFR phosphorylation and subsequently inhibited Akt, Src, and ERK activation. Furthermore, depletion of ANO1 or inhibition of its biochemical activity blocked CAMKII activation, perhaps also contributing to decreased Akt and ERK phosphorylation as reported previously (33, 36–38). Consistent with these findings, overexpression of ANO1 in an ANO1-negative cell line promoted cell growth and led to the phosphorylation of both EGFR and CAMKII, indicating the activation of both pathways by ANO1 overexpression. Notably, the inhibition of both EGFR and CAMKII abrogated the ANO1 effects on cell viability, whereas activation of both pathways was sufficient to rescue the inhibitory effect of ANO1 knockdown.

Several studies have demonstrated the involvement of ion channels in the activation of EGFR signaling, and changes in intracellular calcium levels have been shown to stimulate EGFR phosphorylation and Akt/SRC/MAPK signaling (39–44). It also has been shown that membrane depolarization activates PKC signaling and AKT phosphorylation in epithelial cells (45). Our findings that knockdown of ANO1 or its inhibition by CaCCinh-A01 decreases chloride channel activity suggest that ANO1 activates EGFR phosphorylation via imbalanced intracellular ion homeostasis, membrane depolarization, and/or activation of further ion channels. Changes in intracellular ion homeostasis regulate both expression and shedding of EGFR ligands from membrane-bound precursors (43), providing a possible explanation for the observed decrease in EGFR-ligand secretion after knockdown of ANO1 in 11q13-amplified breast cancer cell lines. However, we could not detect any secretion of EGFR or TGF-α in HNSCC cells.

Fig. 5. ANO1 induces proliferation by activating both EGFR- and calcium-dependent signaling mechanisms. (A) Immunoblots of lysates from breast cancer tumors at the study end point as described in Fig. 4. (B) Immunoblots of lysates from breast cancer cell lines as indicated. (C) Immunoblots of lysates from Te11 (HNSCC) and FaDu (ESCC) cells as indicated. (D) Immunoblots of lysates from breast cancer cells treated for 6 h with 10 μM CaCCinh-A01 and/or 20 ng/mL EGF or 10 μM carbachol as indicated. Data were normalized to the respective vehicle-treated samples. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM; n = 5; ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant. (E) Immunoblots of lysates from breast cancer cells treated for 6 h with 10 μM CaCCinh-A01 and/or 10 μM carbachol as indicated. Immunoblots of lysates from MCF10A cells stably expressing WT-ANO1 or the GFP vector control and treated for 6 h with DMSO or 100 nM AEE788 as indicated. (G) Immunoblots of lysates from MCF10A cells stably expressing wt-ANO1 or the GFP-empty vector control and treated for 6 h with DMSO or 5 μM KN93 as indicated. (H) Immunoblots of lysates from MCF10A cells expressing wild-type ANO1 or GFP-empty vector control after treatment with DMSO or 100 nM AEE788 and/or 5 μM KN93 as indicated. Data were normalized to the values of vector control cells and are expressed as mean ± SEM; n = 5; ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant.
indicating that the mechanisms leading to ANO1-dependent activation of EGFR might be cell-type specific. Activation of EGFR itself can trigger a variety of ionic changes in the cell, including a transient membrane hyperpolarization and an increase in cytoplasmic calcium concentration (46). Furthermore, EGFR stimulation has been shown to mediate calcium-activated chloride channel activation via activation of SRC (47) and to increase ANO1 expression in epithelial cells, suggesting a positive feedback mechanism between EGFR/SRC signaling and ANO1 (47, 48). SRC recently has been shown to interact with ANO1 and can itself modulate the activation of EGFR, providing an additional explanation for the ANO1-dependent activation of EGFR signaling (49, 50).

EGFR is a known contributor to HNSCC, ESCC, and breast cancer tumorigenesis and is one of the most important therapeutic targets in HNSCC. High levels of EGFR and the activation of PI3K signaling have been correlated with poor prognosis in HNSCC and breast cancer, and the prognostic significance of EGFR phosphorylation has been described recently for both cancer types (51–54). However, EGFR amplification and activating mutations are rare and account for only a small subset of HNSCC cases (55, 56). Our finding that ANO1 enhances EGFR signaling could provide an explanation for the elevated activation of EGFR and PI3K signaling observed in these tumors. Combined with the observation that HNSCC and ESCC cell lines with amplified ANO1 are more sensitive to EGFR inhibition than nonamplified lines, these results suggest ANO1 overexpression as a predictive marker for the response to EGFR-targeting agents in HNSCC and possibly in breast cancer therapy.

In summary, our study establishes ANO1 as a key tumor-promoting factor in 11q13-amplified breast cancer and other malignancies, highlights the importance of chloride channels in cancer, and provides mechanistic insight in their role in tumorigenesis. Most importantly, our findings open up potential opportunities for therapeutic intervention in several prevalent cancers.

Methods

Cell Culture. TE11, TE9, and KYSE70 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI); KYSE150 and KYSE450 cells were maintained in 45% (vol/vol) F-12/45% (vol/vol) RPMI; and FaDu cells were maintained in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS at 37 °C, 5% CO2, 20% R, and 95% air. Cells stably expressing the lentivirus were transduced with lentiviruses in the presence of 8 μg/mL Polybrene (Applied Bioanalytical) at a multiplicity of infection of 20. Cells stably expressing shRNA were selected with 1.5–2 μg/mL puromycin. MCF10A cells were transfected with the lentiviral internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-GFP vector (pLKO-IRES-GFP) expressing human ANO1 (sc-splice-form) cDNA and/or the lentiviral pS69-human phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (pPGK)-Puro-GUS vector human CCND1 cDNA or the empty vector. Cells stably expressing pS69-hPGK-Puro-GUS were selected with 1.5 μg/mL puromycin, and GFP-expressing cells were selected using one to three rounds of cell sorting by FACS.

Copy Number Analysis. Genomic DNA was isolated using Qiagen’s DNeasy Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-PCR analysis was performed as described using a probe for ANO1: Hs04399219_cn, on CD1: Hs69455941_cn, and RNaseP (4401631; Invitrogen). Copy number was calculated using Copy Caller v1.0 freeware (Applied Biosystems), normalized to RNaseP and expressed relative to normal human tissue (human placenta, female D3035; Sigma).

Bioinformatics Analysis. Clinical annotation, copy number (SNP 6.0; Affymetrix), and expression (illumina HiSeq) cells for breast cancer and HNSCC tissue samples were obtained from ref. 32 or The Cancer Genome Atlas (http://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga) using the Data Matrix functionality and cgdsr package, available from cbio Cancer Genomics Portal (www.cbioportal.org). Breast cancer and HNSCC cell line data came from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia effort, a collaboration among Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, the Broad Institute, and the Genomics Institute of the Novartis Foundation (57). All data were formatted, filtered, and analyzed in R. Plots were created using the ggplot2 package and ref. 58.

Cell-Viability and Colony-Formation Assays. For measurement of cell viability, 3 × 104 cells per well were seeded into a 96-well plate, adhered overnight, and treated with the indicated concentrations of inhibitor or solvent for 72 h. Cell viability was assessed using the Cell Proliferation Reagent WST-1 (Roche) or Cell Titer Glo (Promega). Colony-formation assays were performed by seeding 1,000 cells per well in six-well plates (for breast cancer cell lines) or 10,000 cells per well in 24-well plates (for ESCC and FaDu cell lines) in DMEM/F12 medium containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS and incubated for 7–14 days. Cells were washed twice, and resuspended in PBS supplemented with 50 μg/mL propidium iodide, 10 μg/mL RNase A, 0.1% (vol/vol) sodium citrate, and 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100. At least 2 × 105 cells per sample were analyzed with a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

Conventional Patch-Clamp and QPatch Recordings. Whole-cell currents were measured using conventional whole-cell patch-clamp (PatchMaster) or two-electrode voltage clamping (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA), and conventional patch-clamp recordings, cells were plated onto glass coverslips. All measurements were performed at room temperature (21–23 °C) 24 h after plating. Currents were recorded using an Axopatch 200B patch-clamp amplifier, low-pass filtered at 2 kHz, and subsequently digitized at 10 kHz with a Digidata 1322A and pClamp 9.0 data acquisition software (Molecular Devices). For QPatch recordings, cells were harvested in serum-free medium containing trypsin inhibitor. QPatch was operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. Giant seal, whole-cell configuration, and series resistance compensation were established using QPatch software (Sophon Biosciences). Cells were measured 72 h after dox addition. The voltage protocol used for QPatch recordings combined a voltage step with a voltage ramp protocol from a holding potential of −70 mV. The protocol was repeated every 30 s. The same buffers were used for both conventional and QPatch recordings. The extracellular recording solution contained (in mM): NaCl (156), Hepes (10), MgCl2 (2.5), and 7.4 adjusted with NaOH. The intracellular solution contained (in mM): N-methyl-o-glucamine (130), EGTA (20), Hapes (10), MgCl2 (1), 1,2-bis(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N′,N,N′-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA) (10), Mg ATP (2), CaCl2, at pH 7.2 adjusted with HCl; osmolality adjusted to 320 with sucrose. The pipette solution contained either 338 mM or 1 mM free Ca++. Immunoblotting. Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, 1% (vol/vol) Nonidet P-40, 0.5% (vol/vol) sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% (vol/vol) SDS] supplemented with 1× protease inhibitor mixture (Complete Mini; Roche), 0.2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 20 mM sodium fluoride, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Lysates from xenografts and human primary
PathScan and Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Arrays. Cell lysates were analyzed using the PathScan receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) Signaling Antibody Array Kit (Cell Signaling Technology) and the Human Phospho-RTK Array Kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Signals were normalized to the respective positive controls on the array.

EGF and TGFR-ELISA. Human EGF and TGFR-α in the supernatant were measured by ELSA (US Biochemicals and R&D Systems, respectively) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Cells were cultured for 24 h in starving conditions, and values were normalized to total cell number at the end of the experiment.

Animal Experiments. All work involving laboratory animals was carried out in strict accordance with the Swiss and US federal, state, local and institutional guidelines governing the use of laboratory animals in research and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). For HNSSC xenograft assays, 7-wk-old female outbred athymic nude mice (Taconic) were inoculated s.c. in the dorsal axillary region with 2 × 10⁶ FaDu_shRNA or 5 × 10⁶ Te-1_shRNA cells plus 25% (vol/vol) Matrigel. Breast cancer xenograft assays were carried out with 7- to 9-wk-old SCID/beige and SCID/SCID mice (Jackson Labs). For orthotopic engraftment of the ZR75-1 and HCC1954 cell lines, 2 × 10⁶ cells were suspended in a 100-μL mixture of BäseMent Membrane Matrix Phenol Red-free (BD Biosciences) and PBS 1:1 and injected into mouse mammary gland 4 or between mammary glands 2 and 3. For growth of the ZR75-1 cell line, mice were switched to estrogen- containing drinking water before injection. When the average tumor volume reached 100 mm³, some animals were switched to food containing dox at 400 ppm. Tumor volume and animal weight were determined every 3 or 4 d.

Immunohistochemistry. Surgically resected tissue samples were procured under Institutional Review Board approval by Maine Medical Center Tissue Bank, Maine Medical Center Pathology Department, Portland, ME. Primary breast tumors were obtained with the appropriate informed consent. Human tissue microarray for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESC961; Panomics) for head-and-neck tumors (HN802, BioMax), normal tissues (FDB855; BioMax), and sections of archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded human tumor specimen were deparaffinized and immunostained with a 1:50 dilution of anti-AN01 antibody (SP31; Abcam) using the Ventana Discovery XT system. UltraMAP HRP-conjugated secondary antibody was used, followed by 3,3’diaminobenzidine and hematoxylin counterstaining. Staining was assessed by a pathologist, and the intensity of staining was scored as negative (0), mild (1+), moderate (2+), and strong (3+).

Statistical Analysis. All data are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism using the Student t test or ANOVA with Tukey’s post test as appropriate. The Mann–Whitney test was used to calculate the significance of the difference in copy number in Fig. 1C.
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