Diverse Internal Symbiont Community in the Endosymbiotic Foraminifera *Pararotalia calcariformata*: Implications for Symbiont Shuffling Under Thermal Stress
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Many shallow-water tropical and subtropical foraminifera engage in photosymbiosis with eukaryotic microalgae. Some of these foraminifera appear to harbor a diverse consortium of endosymbiotic algae within a single host. Such apparent ability to contain different symbionts could facilitate change in symbiont community composition (symbiont shuffling) and mediate the ecological success of the group in a changing environment. However, the discovery of the intra-individual symbiont diversity was thus far based on symbiont culturing, which provides strong constraints on the vitality of the identified algae but provides poor constraints on their initial abundance and thus functional relevance to the host. Here we analyze the algal symbiont diversity in *Pararotalia calcariformata*, a benthic foraminifera sampled at four stations, inside and outside of a thermal plume in the eastern Mediterranean coast of Israel. This species has recently invaded the Mediterranean, is unusually thermally tolerant and was described previously to host at least one different diatom symbiont than other symbiont-bearing foraminifera. Our results using genotyping and isolation of algae in culture medium, confirm multiple associations with different diatom species within the same individual. Both methods revealed spatially consistent symbiont associations and identified the most common symbiont as a pelagic diatom *Minutocellus polymorphus*. In one case, an alternative dominant symbiont, the diatom *Navicula* sp., was detected by genotyping. This diatom was the third most abundant species identified using standard algae culturing method. The method further revealed a spatially consistent pattern in symbiont diversity of a total of seventeen identified diatom species, across the studied localities. Collectively, these results indicate that *P. calcariformata* hosts a diverse consortium of diatom endosymbionts, where different members can become numerically dominant and thus functionally relevant in a changing environment.

Keywords: photosymbiosis, diatoms, benthic foraminifera, symbiont shuffling, thermal stress, invasive species, algae culturing, symbiont flexibility
INTRODUCTION

Photosymbiosis is a widespread phenomenon among shallow-dwelling marine organisms and appears to convey strong benefits to the host organisms, facilitating population densities and calcification rates that are unmatched by symbiont-barren relatives (Duguy, 1983; Lee et al., 2010). The evolution of algal endosymbiosis requires multiple adaptations, including immunological recognition and metabolic and reproductive integration. These adaptations lead to opposing selective pressures: metabolic and reproductive integration of the symbiont requires high host specificity, but high specificity comes at the cost of higher vulnerability, because the holobionts then depends on finding its specific symbiont, whose specific environmental adaptations dictate the success of the holobiont (Baker, 2003). As a result, many marine algal symbioses are not strictly specific: for example, corals and some foraminifera are associated with a high genetic diversity of the dinoflagellate Symbiodinium (e.g., Schoenberg and Trench, 1980; Momigliano and Uthicke, 2013). In corals, this endosymbiotic associations can comprise eight evolutionarily divergent clades (A–H) of Symbiodinium (Coffroth and Santos, 2005). These clades are not only genetically distinct, but also functionally diverse, facilitating ecological adaptations to local environments (Baker and Romanski, 2007; Wilkinson et al., 2015). Symbiont shuffling, the change of symbiont community composition, was shown in corals and provides ecological advantage of coral populations with mixed symbiont communities (Berkelmans and van Oppen, 2006). However, not in all cases endosymbiotic flexibility leads to higher thermal tolerance, so does the environmentally resistant massive coral Porites sp. exhibit low symbiont flexibility and harbors a taxonomically narrow Symbiodinium assemblages (Putnam et al., 2012).

Only 23% of coral species host multiple zooxanthellae clades (Goulet, 2006). The maintenance of a diverse internal symbiont pool comes at an energetic cost to the physiology of the coral, which is shown by the fact that corals, which host the thermally tolerant type D zooxanthellae grow less than those which host type C2 zooxanthellae (Jones and Berkelmans, 2010). The corall-algal symbiosis can remain stable over more than 15° of latitude and a range of sea surface temperature profiles (Thomas et al., 2014) or remain constant despite being introduced to new habitats (LaJeunesse et al., 2005). In corals, which host a wider symbiont community composition, the community can change over time or in response to stress event such as bleaching (Little et al., 2004; Cunning et al., 2015; Silverstein et al., 2015). After such an event the relative abundance of the symbionts in the internal pool of an individual can change (Baker et al., 2004; Berkelmans and van Oppen, 2006; Mieog et al., 2007) permanently, or can revert back to the original symbionts post-bleaching (Sampayo et al., 2008). The process that a new symbiont partner is taken up from the environment and positively selected after the changed environmental condition, is described as the adaptive bleaching hypothesis (Buddemeier and Fautin, 1993; Buddemeier et al., 2004). Switching of symbionts is likely limited to early coral larvae or juvenile stages because adult corals have been shown to be unable to form symbiosis with new exogenous algal symbionts (Goulet and Coffroth, 2003; Little et al., 2004; Coffroth et al., 2010). The change of symbiont type after a stress event is likely not limited to corals and the study of this phenomenon in other groups can provide important constraints on the mechanisms by which an internal pool of potentially functional symbionts is maintained.

A good model group for such studies are benthic foraminifera, as this group harbors diverse and flexible symbiont associations, involving diatoms, dinoflagellates, chlorophytes, or unicellular rhodophytes (Leutenegger, 1983, 1984; Lee, 1998; Pochon et al., 2001).

Benthic foraminifera are dominant sediment builders in many shallow-water coral-reef environments and thus important contributors to the carbon cycle (Scoffin and Tudhope, 1985; Langer, 2008). The association with diatoms is especially prominent in foraminifera, as four families host them (Lee et al., 1989; Lee, 1992; Lee and Correia, 2005). The diatoms remain “naked” when kept as symbionts, but when cultured, they form frustules, allowing species-level taxonomic identification (Lee et al., 1989; Lee, 1991). They belong to distantly related taxonomic genera, and previous studies spanning over 3,500 isolations in total, showed that six common diatom species were found to be involved in over 75% of all the foraminifera hosts examined: Nitzschia frustulum var. symbiotica, Nitzschia laevis, Nitzschia panduriformis, Fragillaria shiloi, Amphora roettgeri, and A. erezi (Lee et al., 1995; Lee, 2011). In total at least twenty small diatom (<10 μm) species have been identified as symbionts so far in benthic foraminifera, which are reoccurring in different habitats (Lee et al., 1995). Symbiont-culturing studies revealed that up to three different diatom species can be present inside one host at the same time (Lee, 2011), indicating a considerable flexibility in the association.

The symbiont culturing method (Lee, 1991) allows rapid identification of the potential diatom symbionts of a benthic foraminiferal host. This method has the advantage to only identify only living cells, but the relationship of species abundances in the cultures to the initial concentrations in the foraminifera is not constrained. This means that this method cannot identify which diatom(s) were abundant and thus functionally relevant in the host at the time of its life. It is well-known and accepted by the scientific community that there is the existence of culturing bias in bacteriology (Handelsman, 2004), but little attention has been given to this in benthic protists. Here we combine symbiont culturing with genotyping in a replicated sampling with the aim to determine whether the multiple symbiont-host associations are predictable and whether different members of the symbiont pool can be functionally relevant. We focus our study on the benthic foraminifera Pararotalia calcariformata, which is unusually thermally tolerant and where initial results indicated the presence of multiple symbiont types (Schmidt et al., 2015). The species has previously been shown to survive and calcify during summer month in the thermal pollution plume caused by cooling water outlet of the power plant Hadera on the eastern Mediterranean coast of Israel (Arieli et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2016b; Titleboim et al., 2016, 2017). Laboratory experiments have confirmed that P. calcariformata could survive exposure to 42°C for 3 weeks (Schmidt et al.,
This species thus represents a good opportunity to investigate symbiont diversity within the host and in individuals across the thermal gradient around the Hadera thermal plume.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling Locations, Collection, and Preparation of Samples**

Samples of living specimens of *P. calcariformata* were collected from four different locations along the Israeli coastline in April and October 2013 (Figures 1A–C). Three locations are located in the vicinity of the Hadera power plant, and one location, the Nachsholim National Park, served as a natural control location as it is 18 km north of Hadera, and not influenced by the thermal plume (see Table 1 for GPS coordinates). Stations with the closed distance from the hot water outlet were HR1 and HR2 (Hadera Ridge 1 and 2). In these two locations, foraminifera were sampled by scuba diving from sediment collected at underwater ridge formations at depth of 5–7 m (Figure 1C).

Station H4 (Hadera 4) is located further away from the hot water outlet (not shown) and was sampled at the same depth of 0.5–2 m as the control location Nachsholim by snorkeling and beach walking. Temperature regimes in the year 2013 of stations H4 and Nachsholim were recorded in 15 min intervals by data loggers (Onset Hobo, MA, United States) installed at 0.5 m water depth (Titelboim et al., 2016). These were in a similar range as thermal regimes of the natural seawater conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C, 10 min, followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C, and 30 sec at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C, 10 min. The PCR mix was composed of 3 µl of 5× green buffer (final concentration 1×), 0.15 µl of each primer at 10 µM (final concentration: 0.1 µmol/µl), 1.5 µl of MgCl2 at 25 µM (final concentration: 2.5 µmol/µl), 0.6 µl of dNTP mix at 10 µM (final concentration: 0.4 µmol/µl), 0.15 µl of GoTaq at 5 U/µl (final concentration: 0.05 U/µl), and 8.45 of MilliQ water for a final volume of 14 µl. The PCR mix was prepared under a UV hood and the 1 µl of sample DNA was loaded under a different hood using filter tips. PCR amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for

**DNA Genotyping: Extraction, Cloning, and Sequence Identification**

Fifty specimens of cultivated *P. calcariformata* were individually isolated into 50 µl of GITC* extraction buffer and DNA was extracted (Figure 2) as in Schmidt et al. (2015). Amplification of a fragment of 400 bp of the 3' end of the SSU rDNA of the symbionts of *P. calcariformata* was carried out with the GoTaq polymerase (Promega) using the forward primer SymSF1 (5′-GGTTAA TTCCGTAAACGAAGA-3′) that anneal with all eukaryotes except foraminifera coupled with the universal reversed primer 1528R (5′-TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC-3′) (Medlin et al., 1988). The PCR mix was composed of 3 µl of 5× green buffer (final concentration 1×), 0.15 µl of each primer at 10 µM (final concentration: 0.1 µmol/µl), 1.5 µl of MgCl2 at 25 µM (final concentration: 2.5 µmol/µl), 0.6 µl of dNTP mix at 10 µM (final concentration: 0.4 µmol/µl), 0.15 µl of GoTaq at 5 U/µl (final concentration: 0.05 U/µl), and 8.45 of MilliQ water for a final volume of 14 µl. The PCR mix was prepared under a UV hood and the 1 µl of sample DNA was loaded under a different hood using filter tips. PCR amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for
**TABLE 1** | Sampling stations of the study and foraminifera or diatom cultures analyzed with the respective techniques: genotyping and standard algae culturing.

| Station name | Latitude(°N) | Longitude(°E) | Depth (m) | Sampling Date | N genotyping | N symbiont culturing |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|
| N            | 32.623611    | 34.919833     | 0.5–2     | 15.04.13      | 12           |                     |
| H4           | 32.446967    | 34.878000     | 0.5–2     | 23.10.13      | 9            | 10                  |
| HR1          | 32.46110     | 34.87955      | 5–7       | 20.10.13      | 10           |                     |
| HR2          | 32.45442     | 34.87652      | 5–7       | 15.04.13      | 9            | 10                  |

**FIGURE 2** | Methodological approach isolating the diatom symbiont community of benthic foraminifera with the standard culturing approach and genotyping as conducted in this study.

2 min followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and 2 min of final extension at 72°C. After the end of the cycling, 4 µl of each PCR product was migrated on 1.5% agarose gel to check if the PCR was positive and a single band was observed in 47 positive PCR products (3 products were negative or too weak for sequencing). For the positive PCR products, the 11 µl remaining were purified on column using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and directly sequenced by an external provider (LGC Genomics, Berlin). The 47 obtained chromatograms were carefully checked and no sign of multiple signals was detected in 39 of them. Among the eight chromatograms displaying multiple signals, one specimen from each location (4) was selected for cloning. The cloning was performed using Zero Blunt® TOPO® PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) and sequenced. Between 22 and 24 sequences were obtained per individual foraminifera. The resulting sequences were compared to the SILVA database using the SINA 1.2.11 alignment tool (Pruesse et al., 2012), with the “Search and Classify” option with a rejection threshold of 60% and a number of 10 neighbors. The SINA returned partially resolved ranked taxonomy of each sequences based on the taxonomy of the nearest neighbors found in the SILVA database (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1).

**Symbiont Culturing (SC): Isolation of Diatoms and Identification by Scanning Electron Microscopy**

Forty specimens of *P. calcariformata* (10 from each location, Table 1) were individually cleaned from epiphytic algae with sterilized brushes and crushed open in glass petri
dishes. The protocol by Lee (1991) for isolating diatoms in axenic media from foraminifera was followed. Cultures were grown for 4 weeks (Figure 2) (07/11/2013–06/12/2013) and illuminated at 30–50 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (1,000 K:420 nm, 12 h dark: 12 h light). Cultures were concentrated by removing 4 mL of culture media and vortexed. Aliquots of 4 mL of the original culture and the bottom culture (culture vial with 2 mL culture medium included a small biofilm of diatoms on bottom, which is referred to as bottom culture) were incubated at 60°C overnight with H\(_2\)O\(_2\). H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was added at a concentration of 1:1 to the cultures to oxidize organic material and to clean the frustules for SEM preparations. Each culture/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) mix was filtered over a membrane filter (Whatman, Nucleopore Track Etch Membrane Filters, 2µm), which was mounted on SEM stubs (scanning electron microscopy) and accordingly labeled. For each of the 40 cultures, two SEM stubs were prepared (4 mL aliquot/2 mL bottom culture). Up to 15 SEM pictures of representative specimens were taken per stub, specimens were identified to species level following the taxonomy of Round et al. (1990) and previous work on diatoms in benthic foraminifera (Lee et al., 1989, 1995; Lee, 1991; Lee and Correia, 2005). In cases were newer taxonomic names were given since the work of Lee et al. (1989, 1995); Lee (1991), we updated the taxonomy of endosymbiotic diatoms in foraminifera, but refer here to both names. These are as follows (new vs. old name): *Halamphora kolbeii* (Aleem) = *Amphora bigibba* (Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco, 2014), *Halamphora subsalina* = *Amphora tennermissia* (Levkov, 2009), *Tryblionella coarctata f. densestriata* = *Nitzschia panduriformis* (Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco, 2014), *Astartiella punctifera* (Hustedt) = *Achnanthes punctifera* (Hustedt) (Moser et al., 1998). Photographic material and taxonomic identification of all specimens are deposited under doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02018 on Figshare. Representative specimens of each identified species are shown in Figure 4.

RESULTS

DNA Genotyping

Out of the 50 single-specimen DNA extractions, 47 yielded strong positive PCR products (Table 1). These were directly sequenced, as no multiple bands were visible on the gel after migration. All sequences yielded a clean dominant signal on the obtained chromatograms, except for eight sequences, where weaker sub-signals were observed. Comparison with the SILVA database revealed that 46 sequences, except one, were attributed to the diatom species *Minutocellus polymorphus* with the level of identity of 92–95% (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). The remaining sequence belongs to the diatom *Navicula* with 98% identity. Of the specimens which yielded multiple signals on the chromatograms, potentially due to the presence of multiple symbiont strains, four (one for each location) were used to build clone libraries to obtain a better representation of the symbiont community composition, approximating relative proportions within single individuals. We obtained between 22 and 24 clone sequences per specimen (92 clone sequences in total). For each clone library, 65–91% of the sequences belong
to *M. polymorphus* (Figure 3). Multiple other potential symbiont strains belonging to diatoms (*Coscinodiscophytina* sp., *Bacillariophyceae* sp.), green algae *Prasinococcus*, other stramenopiles than diatoms (*Halocafeteria* sp., *Aplanochytium* sp., *Straemenopiles* sp.) and alveolates (*Hypotrichia* sp.) were identified. We also identified sequences of non-symbiotic marine organisms such as choanoflagellates, as well as one individual sequence belonging to a jelly fungus (order *Tremellales*) from the terrestrial environment (likely indoor airborne contaminant).

**Symbiont Culturing**

All 40 symbiont extraction cultures grew and yielded identifiable diatoms (Tables 1 and 2). The most abundant species, was *Minutocellus polymorphus*, found in 73% of the isolations (Table 2). The next common symbionts were *Navicula* spp. and *Olifantiella pseudobiremis*, which were identified in about half of the isolated specimens (43–50%) (Table 2). *Nitzschia panduriformis*, *Amphora tenerrmia*, and *Nitzschia frustulum* var. symbiotica Lee and Reimer emend. were identified in 25–35% of the isolated specimens. Another seven small diatom species were identified in <18% of the specimens (Table 2). Two larger diatom species in the size range of 18–25 µm (*Nitzschia* sp. and *Entomoneis* sp.) were identified in 8% of the specimens. *Supplementary Table 2* lists all symbionts identified per culture.

Among the four sampled locations, the deeper stations (HR1, HR2), sampled in spring, showed a higher diatom diversity than the shallow stations (Nachsholim, H4) sampled in autumn (Table 1). Foraminifera from HR1 and HR2 yielded on average four to eight different diatom species at the same time, whereas individuals from the shallower stations yielded on average two different diatom species (Figure 5). *Minutocellus polymorphus* was the dominant symbiont type at all stations, except in one station HR2, where *Navicula* sp. was the dominant symbiont, followed closely by *M. polymorphus*.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results confirm the presence of infections of multiple different diatoms in the benthic foraminifera *P. calcariformata*. Here we identified seventeen different symbionts associated with *P. calcariformata*. This is within the range of the diversity of diatoms that are known as foraminiferal symbionts isolated from the many locations worldwide including the Mediterranean.

| Species name | % occurrence of total | % occurrence per location |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| *Minutocellus polymorphus* | 73 | 90 | 90 | 70 | 40 |
| *Olifantiella pseudobiremis* | 50 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 100 |
| *Navicula* spp. | 43 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| *Halamphora subsalina* | 35 | 10 | 40 | 30 | 50 |
| *Tryblionella coarctata f. densestriata* | 35 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 80 |
| *Nitzschia frustulum* var. symbiotica | 28 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 60 |
| *Amphora* spp. | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| *Entomoneis pakudosa* | 18 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 10 |
| *Minidiscus* sp. | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 40 |
| *Halamphora kolbeii* | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| *Astartiella punctifera* | 10 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Diploneis* sp. | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| *Cocconeis* sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| *Amphicoconeis* sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| *Pseudostaurosira* sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| *Cymbella* sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |

Species names listed in order of abundance from all isolated specimens. Species names have been summarized by genus in these cases: *Navicula* spp. (*Navicula* cf. *salinicola* and *Navicula duerrenbergiana*), *Amphora* spp. (*Amphora inariensis*, *Amphora jostesorum*, *Amphora sp.*, and *Amphora pseudoeximia*) and *Nitzschia* spp. (*Nitzschia* sp. and *Nitzschia microcephala*). Taxonomy is based on these publications (Lee et al., 1989, 1995; Round et al., 1990; Lee, 1991; Lee and Correia, 2005), in some cases names were updated (new name = old name): *Halamphora kolbeii* (Aleem) = *Amphora bigibba* (Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco, 2014), *Halamphora subsalina* = *Amphora tenerrmia* (Levkov, 2009), *Tryblionella coarctata f. densestriata* = *Nitzschia panduriformis* (Álvarez-Blanco and Blanco, 2014), *Astartiella punctifera* (Hustedt) = *Achnanthes punctifera* (Hustedt) (Moser et al., 1998).
to date (Lee, 2011). In previous symbiont culturing studies, *Nitzschia frustulum* var. *symbiotica* Lee and Reimer emend. 2001 was the most commonly isolated diatom, occurring in about 1/3 of the isolations, which could also have to do that the study by Schmidt et al. (2015) was the first to describe it as endosymbionts in the species *P. calcariformata*. The most commonly isolated symbiont in this data set was again the pelagic diatom *Minutocellus polymorphus* (Hargraves and Guillard) Hasle, Stosch, and Syvertsen and the second most common isolate was the diatom *Navicula* sp. whereas *Navicula* sp. has been identified as symbiont in foraminifera before (Lee et al., 1980). The dominant occurrence of *M. polymorphus* confirms the initial results by Schmidt et al. (2015) and supports the identification of this diatom as a previously unrecognized foraminiferal symbiont. Despite its small size (∼3 μm diameter), we do not consider it likely that this species has been overlooked as the isolation protocol by Lee (1991) uses filters with pores of 3 μm diameter as well. *Minutocellus polymorphus* is found free-living in the Mediterranean (Sarno et al., 1993). The species has been identified as cosmopolitan (Hasle, 1983; Malviya et al., 2016) and is found to form phytoplankton blooms in China (Qiao et al., 2017). Due to its small size and difficulty of detection, this nanophytoplankton (2–20 μm) is poorly characterized but has been lately described in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea to occur massively in spring blooms reaching the sea floor in high sinking rates (Leblanc et al., 2018). This study reported the diatom genus *Minidiscus* to form the spring blooms, and a genus which was also isolated in this study from *P. calcariformata* (Table 2).
Pararotalia calcariformata next to the diatom M. polymorphus on average up to two other diatom species in the control stations Nachsholim and H4, indicating intra-individual symbiont diversity. We detected this by genotyping less variable symbionts and by isolating symbiont cultures more, which could not be a culture artifact, because samples have been treated the same. Within the four specimens which have been extensively cloned, only two yielded two different diatom sequences (Minutocellus and Coscinodiscophitina for the specimens cloned in Nascholim, Minutocellus and Bacillariophyceae sp. for the specimen from Hadera Ridge). This compares to genotyping of symbionts of other larger benthic foraminifera containing diatoms, such as Amphistegina lessonii, which yielded in six haplotypes of two lineages of diatoms of the order Fragilares (Stuhr et al., 2018b). A. lobifera from the Red Sea hosted 70% diatoms belonging to the order Fragilares and did not seem to exhibit preference for one symbiont type (Schmidt et al., 2016a). In the contrary, Amphisegina gibboa from the Florida keys host a narrower symbiotic composition of a single diatom sequence type (Stuhr et al., 2018a). A study from the Great Barrier Reef found also consistent photo-symbiotic taxa of A. lobifera, which were also mainly belonging to the order Fragilares (Naviculales and Bacillariales being in the 5–10% range), which was consistent among a gradient of different reef sites but varying communities of procaryotes in their microbiome (Pratzeres et al., 2017).

In comparision to Amphisteinga spp. the symbiont diversity in P. calcariformata seems to be higher. The culturing results in the deeper locations was higher and in one location (HR2) showed up to nine different diatom species have been detected by symbiont culturing inside a single host. It should be noted that HR1 and HR2 are also the stations closes to the heat-plume water outlet, but they were also collected slightly deeper than other samples, which could also have an effect on their symbiont composition. This is the highest intra-individual symbiont diversity from a benthic foraminifera reported to date, and was not observed by the foraminifera isolated by Lee et al. (2011), who found a maximum of three diatoms per host. These results imply that the diatom symbiosis in P. calcariformata is flexible and different members of the internal pool can be numerically dominant (as revealed by direct sequencing).

The existence of a diverse internal symbiont pool in foraminifera implied by our results is significant for their potential role in symbiont shuffling. This is important, because previous experiments failed to provide supporting evidence for symbiont switching as a mechanism of adaptation to environmental change. So far, in situ experiments in the Gulf of Eilat showed that foraminifera can be re-infected by symbionts after bleaching, but the reinfection was limited to diatom strains which have been previously isolated from foraminifera hosts (Lee et al., 1986). Planktonic foraminifera have also been shown to be able to take up symbionts after bleaching with the herbicide DCMU, but the symbionts were also taken from algal cultures extracted from the same host population (Be et al., 1982). Thus, there is no evidence that adult foraminifera are able to obtain “environmental” diatoms as symbionts. Symbionts are characterized by a specific protein “recognition” signature (Chai and Lee, 1999, 2000), which prevents digestion by the host. It is possible that successful infection of foraminifera requires symbionts which express this protein, making environmental infection unlikely, at least in adult stages, as is observed in corals (Goulet and Colfooth, 2003; Little et al., 2004).

Instead, the presence of a diverse internal symbiont pool may provide an effective mechanism for adaptation to stress, allowing “shuffling” by regulating the reproductive success of the pre-existent symbiont species. Studies on two different diatoms in power-plant related heat plumes revealed that Amphora coffeaeformis is particularly thermally tolerant (Rajadurai et al., 2005). The genus Amphora was also detected in larger benthic foraminifera, in this study (Figure 3) and was described as a secondary diatom occurring in larger benthic foraminifera from the Red Sea (Lee et al., 1980). An ability to host a diverse symbiont consortium, including such heat-tolerant diatoms, would be an advantage in a situation where during the life of an individual, environmental conditions reach extreme values. This situation is known to apply to P. calcariformata, which seems to reproduce in spring and whose adults have to persist during the summer heat amplified by the excess warmth from the Hadera power plant heat plume (Titelboim et al., 2016, 2017). Our results by genotyping and symbiont culturing confirm that multiple diatom symbionts are functionally relevant in P. calcariformata, as they are present during its life, which may allow this species to adapt its symbionts in response to stress. Further testing needs to reveal whether the change of relative proportions of
symbionts over time in response to a stress event can cause the unique thermal tolerance of this species observed to date (Schmidt et al., 2016b; Titelboim et al., 2017). Our data in this paper showed that there is so far no difference between the plume environment and the control location, as dominating symbiont *M. polymorphus* was consistent and numerically most abundant throughout.
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