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Abstract:

BACKGROUND: With an estimation of every two women newly diagnosed with breast cancer, one dies. It is accounted that 1 in 28 women is likely to develop breast cancer during her lifetime. Developing a risk prediction tool by assessing the prevalence of known risk factors in the community will help public health intervention.

METHODOLOGY: A cross-sectional study was conducted among 18–64-year-old women to gather the prevalence of known breast cancer risk factors, through a community survey (sample survey). In this multistage random number-based cluster sampling study, the results were compiled, collated, and analyzed in rates and proportions. Statistical conclusions were made using spreadsheets (Microsoft) and the values were converted into ordinal values using modified Likert scale and median was used to estimate central values. The estimated prevalence of these known risk factors was re-assorted for analysis and these re-assorted data were categorized into range of values across the communities. The internal validity of the survey questionnaire was measured using Cronbach’s α (α).

RESULTS: The analysis of 558 participants was performed for the known risk factors for breast cancer including participant's age, age at menarche, marriage, first childbirth, menopause, family history of breast cancer and benign breast disease, history of abortion, and body mass index. Based on the estimated prevalence of these risk factors, a community-based risk prediction tool was developed with Cronbach’s α score of medium internal validity.

CONCLUSIONS: The risk assessment tool has collated most of the risk factors of breast cancer that are capable of being measured at community level. The survey findings concluded that the community under survey was bearing moderate risk for breast cancer for women.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is ranked as number one cancer among women in India, and the age-standardized incidence rate was found to be 25.8/100,000 females.[1‑4] However, the role of various risk factors leading to breast cancer is not adequately understood in our country setting. Based on the breast cancer risk factor profiling, countries have developed their own risk prediction tools or models and these risk assessment models are established and validated based on the known risk factors for breast cancer in white women. The Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool developed by the United States National Institute of Health for Cancer is based on inputs over medical history of breast cancer or precancerous conditions including age of individual, age at menarche, age at first childbirth, family history of breast cancer, biopsy findings, and ethnicity.[5] The Gail Model has been modified to account for the history of atypia and race or ethnicity, but until now, it has included only nonmodifiable risk factors (age, reproductive history, biopsy
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Data analysis
The values were obtained in different scales/units for each risk factor considered for the study. Age was measured in completed years, fat consumption in grams per week, etc., These quantitative values were converted into ordinal values for comparison. To facilitate a composite estimation of risk, these values were classified in 5-point modified Likert scale from minimum to maximum value.

Results were compiled, collated, and analyzed in rates and proportions. For comparing the proportions obtained in the current study with other studies StataV9, statistical software was used. Test of significance to measure internal validity of scoring by modified Likert scale was Cronbach’s alpha (Ω). The equation used was as follows: \( \alpha = \frac{\text{K} \times (\text{K} - 1)}{\text{K} \times (\text{K} - 1)} \times \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum S_i^2}{S_{\text{total}}^2} \right] \)\(^{[11,12]} \)

where,

- \( K = \) number of items in the scored questionnaire
- \( S_i = \) standard deviation of scores for each item
- \( S_{\text{total}} = \) standard deviation of total score of all the items.

**Results**

The risk assessment score
A total of 564 participants in the age group of 18–64 years from 345 households were approached for the survey. The analysis of 558 participants was performed excluding six incomplete forms. The known risk factors for breast cancer included history of reproductive factors, nutritional intake, and anthropometry.

The prevalence of these known risk factors of breast cancer estimated was re-assorted for analysis of in the study community. These re-assorted data are categorized into range of values across the communities [Table 1].

On the basis of this range of values, a 5-point modified Likert scale has been prepared to capture lowest to highest value. The scale is given with a scoring key for each risk factor. With the Likert scale score for each item reflected, statistical test was applied to check validity and robustness of the scale. The composite scores obtained have been reproduced in Table 2 which summarizes the scoring scale for assessment of breast cancer risk factors in the community.

The test of significance used in this study is Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) or \( \Omega \) Cronbach’s. Table 3 gives Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) value for breast cancer risk factor survey and its basis.
Table 1: Re-assorted prevalence of risk factors of breast cancer in the communities

| Range       | Age at Marriage (≥30 years) | Age at Menarche (<13 years) | Age at First child (<30 years) | Age at Menopause (≥49 years) | Abortions | Average fat intake/week in KCal | BMI | Breast disease (%) | Family history of Breast Cancer |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Highest     | 62                         | 11.1                       | 83.3                           | 11.8                       | 87.5      | 26.7                            | 2988| 40.4                 | 10                               |
| Median      | 40.5                       | 2.65                       | 46.6                           | 4.6                        | 66.7      | 18.6                            | 2320| 14.3                 | 3.35                             |
| Lowest      | 28.1                       | 0                          | 0                              | 0                          | 37.5      | 7.7                             | 1840| 7.3                  | 0                                |

Score key for the risk factors:
1. Percentage family history of BrCa: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
2. Percentage breast diseases: 1, 2, 3, 4
3. Percentage BMI ≥25: 1, 2, 3, 4
4. Average fat intake in KCal: 1, 2, 3, 4
5. Percentage abortions: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
6. Percentage age at menopause ≥49: 1, 2, 3, 4
7. Percentage age at first childbirth ≥30: 1, 2, 3, 4
8. Percentage age at menarche <13: 1, 2, 3, 4
9. Percentage age ≥40: 1, 2, 3, 4
10. Percentage breast diseases: 1, 2, 3, 4
11. Percentage family history of BrCa: 1, 2, 3, 4
12. Percentage age at marriage ≥30: 1, 2, 3, 4

Table 2: Composite scores for the 12 study communities for calculating Cronbach’s Alpha

| Risk factors                          | C1  | C2  | C3  | C4  | C5  | C6  | C7  | C8  | C9  | C10 | C11 | C12 |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Percentage age ≥40                    | 2   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 5   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 2   | 1   |
| Percentage age at marriage ≥30        | 3   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 5   | 2   | 5   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| Percentage age at menarche <13        | 4   | 4   | 1   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 2   | 5   | 3   | 4   |
| Percentage age at first childbirth ≥30| 3   | 4   | 1   | 3   | 5   | 4   | 2   | 5   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 4   |
| Percentage age at menopause ≥49       | 4   | 5   | 3   | 4   | 3   | 5   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 3   | 4   |
| Percentage abortions                   | 3   | 2   | 4   | 1   | 1   | 5   | 3   | 4   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
| Average fat intake in KCal             | 3   | 5   | 2   | 5   | 3   | 2   | 3   | 3   | 1   | 4   | 3   | 4   |
| Percentage BMI ≥25                    | 5   | 4   | 1   | 1   | 5   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 5   |
| Percentage breast diseases             | 4   | 3   | 1   | 1   | 5   | 1   | 4   | 2   | 2   | 5   | 5   | 3   |
| Percentage family history of BrCa      | 3   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 4   | 1   | 5   |

Table 3: Cronbach’s alpha for breast cancer risk factor survey

| Component                        | Value | Computation                              |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------------|
| K                                | 10    |                                           |
| K-1                              | 9     | (K/K-1) = 1.111111                       |
| $\sum S_i^2$                     | 18.01515 | $\sum S_i^2 = 18.01515$                  |
| $\sum S_{total}^2$               | 30.51515 | $\sum S_{total}^2 = 30.51515$           |
| $1 - \frac{(\sum S_i^2/\sum S_{total})^2}{\sum S_i^2/\sum S_{total}^2}$ | 0.455147 or 46% |

Discussion

According to the recent 2017 estimates of the Global Burden of Disease study, the prevalence of breast cancer globally is around 16,697,300 globally [13]. There are various behavioral, environmental, metabolic, and occupational risk factors that contribute to the growing burden (disability-adjusted life years) of breast cancer[14,15] as shown in Table 5. The prevalence of risk factors of breast cancer in the current study gives a cross-sectional picture of the community. The number of first-degree relatives diagnosed with breast cancer is one of the major risk factors which is also included in the Gail Model Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool,[7,16] and these findings were also validated from other studies.[17,19] The scoring system used in Likert scale is based on the actual range of values on the ground and validates the relevance of known risk factors of breast cancer as observed in studies conducted abroad in Indian conditions. Internal validity of this tool is reflected in the Cronbach’s α value of 45.5, which is moderately good.

Inadequate knowledge of breast cancer risk factors and poor cancer screening practices for breast cancer among female patients makes it difficult to identify high-risk groups. These high-risk groups should be educated and requires careful follow-ups with good screening modalities. Furthermore, improved ways of follow-up using identification of various putative genes such as BRCA-1 and BRCA-2 can also be employed. Hence, the study of family history in a population helps in screening of breast cancer and predisposition to breast cancer.[20] The Epidemiology and Genomics Research Program under the Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences in National Cancer Institute discussed the breast cancer risk prediction models in detail. The available models are categorized to the Absolute Risk Prediction Models, Gene Carrier Status Risk Prediction Models, Risk Prediction Models of Women at High Risk, and Online Risk Assessment Tools and Calculators.
These statistical models estimate the probability of developing cancer over a defined period of time. This will help clinicians identify individuals at higher risk of specific cancers. This will further, encourage them in imparting routine screening and genetic counseling as best health-seeking behaviors among the potential patients leading to the reduction in the burden of the disease. These types of models also will be useful for designing future chemoprevention and screening intervention trials in individuals at high risk of specific cancers in the general population.\textsuperscript{[21]}

The most common model used to predict woman’s risk of developing breast cancer is Gail Model and it is useful in targeting screening and prevention efforts. The multivariate risk model developed by Gail et al. is widely used for quantifying the risk of breast cancer within a specified time in women at various ages and with certain risk factors. A case-control study was conducted at Regional Cancer Center, Thiruvananthapuram, to determine the usefulness of Gail Model Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool in identifying women at high risk for breast cancer proved that Gail Model cannot be used to predict high-risk women in Kerala.\textsuperscript{[22]} Numerous mathematical models were also made using these risk factors to predict individual women’s risk of developing breast cancer. There is a paucity of data regarding the risk factors in our society.

### Conclusions

The Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value with the survey conducted with these items was 45.5 indicating good internal validity. The risk assessment tool was found suitable for use in the current study field situation. The current study design has the scope of being up scaled for use in a larger study that may lead to a more internally valid reflection of risk in the population. The risk assessment tool has collated most of the risk factors of breast cancer that are capable of being measured at community level. Based on the experience of this study, a risk assessment tool with scoring system has also been evolved. This may be used for community surveys for risk assessment, and based on the Cronbach’s $\alpha$ value of <40, 40–69, and ≥70, the validity of such assessment results may be taken as low, moderate, or high.

The known risk factors of breast cancer validated by international studies were used for assessment of risk factor prevalence of breast cancer in this study. This has given a fair idea of prevalence of these risk factors in India. This list of 10 risk factors for the assessment of community risk for breast cancer can be used in other surveys of similar kind. It is recommended that this composite comprehensive risk assessment tool for risk assessment of breast cancer in the community as a composite instrument with the 10 items with given scores. A larger study using the instrument shall lead to refinement and piloting of an important community-based risk assessment tool.
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