Limits on WIMP Dark Matter
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Abstract: The current state searches for dark matter in the form of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) using both direct and indirect techniques is reviewed. Advances in recent years by various direct search experiments, utilising technology able to record the nuclear recoil events expected from elastic scattering by WIMPs, have allowed progress towards lower limits to be made. In particular, the Edelweiss and CDMS collaborations are achieving sensitivity able to challenge data from DAMA interpreted as evidence for WIMPs of mass in the region of 60 GeV. Meanwhile, indirect searches, based on observing the annihilation products of neutralino-neutralino interactions in the Earth, Sun and Galaxy, have produced intriguing results. For instance, analysis by Superkamiokande now suggests limits comparable with the best direct search results.

1. WIMP Direct Searches

WIMPs interact with normal matter by elastic scattering off nuclei. The energy deposited by the resulting recoil nuclei or atoms has a characteristic exponential spectrum. This is determined mainly by the kinematics of the interaction, the WIMP mass relative to that of the recoiling nuclei and the velocity of the WIMP, determined by the velocity of the Earth through the galactic halo. The favoured range of WIMP masses, velocities and likely cross sections (for instance for MSSM) lead to recoil spectra expected to have energy ranging from a few keV up to a few hundred keV with rate $<1\text{ kg}^{-1}\text{ day}^{-1}$. The latter rate is typically a factor of $10^6$ lower than the ambient rate from background gammas due to surrounding natural radioactivity $[^1]$. These characteristics determine basic requirements of direct detection technology, the need for low energy threshold and some means of identifying genuine recoils from the much higher rate of background electron recoils. The latter is feasible in principle because the
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energy loss per unit track length (dE/dx) for electrons is typically 10 times lower than for nuclear recoils. However, any neutrons present, such as those produced by cosmic ray muons, can produce background nuclear recoils indistinguishable from those expected from WIMP interactions. Therefore, it is essential also that direct WIMP searches be performed in deep underground sites, typically >1000 mwe, where this flux is negligible or can be sufficiently reduced using neutron shielding.

Several technologies hold out prospects for achieving the requirements above but the most favoured at present are ionisation, scintillation and low temperature bolometric devices. Germanium ionisation detectors, used initially for double beta decay searches, set the first limits. More recently of note has been the Heidelberg-Moscow detector and the HDMS prototype Ge detectors operating at Gran Sasso. These have produced currently competitive limits. However, detectors using ionisation alone have no means of actively distinguishing nuclear recoils from electron background. Hence only limits can be set, based on the measured continuum background. The recent development of Ge detectors have thus tended to concentrate on material purification, in an effort to reduce intrinsic radioactivity. However, development towards larger mass Ge (10s-100s kg), exemplified by the GENIUS project and others, may allow observation of the expected annual modulation of the dark matter event rate, arising from the earth’s varying speed through the Galaxy.

Scintillation and low temperature detectors provide a route to the required additional information for recoil identification. In the former, in crystal scintillators or liquid noble gases, the high dE/dx for nuclear recoils results in pulse decay times a factor of 0.3-0.5 shorter than for electrons. Statistical analysis can then be used to identify a population of faster events. First limits were set in 1994-5 using this idea in NaI. Subsequently, following improved sensitivity, the UKDMC group at the Boulby site discovered a population of fast events at low energy in NaI, possibly due to surface alpha particles. Meanwhile the Rome group (DAMA), operating 100 kg of NaI at Gran Sasso, has reported an annual fluctuation in the total count rate over 4 years. They interpret this as consistent with the annual fluctuation predicted for WIMPs. However, this is not yet widely accepted because the technique does not separate nuclear recoils from the much larger low energy background which, in principle, could be subject to other modulating systematics.

Several experiments based on counting total events in low temperature bolometers are underway and have set limits, notably by CRESST and the Milan group. However, of greater significance are schemes in which nuclear recoil identification is achieved in bolometric detectors by combining with simultaneous observation of ionisation or scintillation. The former is used by the CDMS-I and Edelweiss experiments, the latter is being developed by CRESST. The CDMS experiment, although not yet located deep underground and hence needing to subtract neutron background, has presented data that appear to exclude the Rome result. They reach a spin independent WIMP-nucleon limit around 2×10^{-6} pb in the mass range 20-100 GeV. Edelweiss have also released results that significantly cut into the Rome allowed region but with the advantage that no neutron subtraction is needed as they already operate deep underground, at the Modane site.
Recent results are summarised in Fig. 1, reproduced from [22].

![Figure 1: Recent results for spin independent WIMP searches.](image)

New generations of experiment are being developed now aimed at factors of 10-1000 sensitivity improvements over 2-5 years. CDMS-II will be an expansion of the CDMS-I experiment to be run in the Soudan Mine. CRESST-II, using scintillation plus low temperature technology at Gran Sasso is predicted to achieve similar sensitivity. Notable also is the growing interest in liquid Xe. Early experiments by the Rome group [23] have now been supplemented by a Japanese group in Kamioka [24] and a major effort on xenon by the UKDM collaboration with UCLA, Torino, ITEP and Columbia [25]. This consortium is constructing a series of liquid Xe experiments at Boulby. ZEPLIN I, now running at Boulby, is based on pulse shape discrimination. ZEPLIN II (see Fig. 2) makes use of simultaneous collection of scintillation and charge to achieve factors of 10-100 improved sensitivity and ZEPLIN III incorporates a high E-field in the liquid to enhance the recoil signal.

A 1000 kg liquid Xe detector, ZEPLIN-MAX, is currently being designed by the UKDM to achieve sensitivity below $10^{-9}$ pb. Fig. 3 illustrates the potential sensitivity of the liquid xenon experiments, estimated from preliminary results with ZEPLIN I.

Other novel techniques, in particular using superheated droplet detectors, may also eventually prove very sensitive [26, 27] but ultimately the most convincing demonstration of the existence of WIMPs would be correlation of the direction of nuclear recoils with our motion through the Galaxy. The most promising technique to achieve this is by means of a low pressure Time Projection Chamber in which recoil tracks of a few mm length can be imaged. A UK/US collaboration is now running such a device of 1 m$^3$ called DRIFT-I at Boulby [28, 29]. Fig. 4 shows preliminary underground Cf neutron calibration data for a short 43 min run from this detector taken with no passive shielding. Events are plotted...
Figure 2: The ZEPLIN II liquid Xe detector of UKDM/UCLA/Torino.

Figure 3: Predicted sensitivity of the ZEPLIN series of xenon dark matter detectors.

as number of ionizing pairs (NIPs) versus a discrimination parameter R2 that quantifies track range. Gammas are expected to show as events with relatively high R2, close to the vertical axis. However, sensitivity to gammas is so low that only neutron (nuclear recoil) events are observed, confirmed by runs without the neutron source. Such a directional dark matter detector offers the prospect of a dark matter "telescope" able to distinguish possible different velocity components of the dark matter that have been suggested could
exist [30].

2. WIMP Indirect Searches

If WIMPs are Majorana neutralinos then pair annihilations can occur and it may be possible to detect the resulting neutrinos, gamma rays, positrons or antiprotons. Such indirect detection of WIMPs is quite complementary to direct observation though much more model dependent, affected for instance by possible non-maxwellian velocity components in the halo. Indirect searches can be more sensitive to high mass WIMPs while neutralino models which produce low direct detection rates can sometimes produce substantial annihilation rates [29], for example through the gamma-gamma channel. The most likely scenario is to search for high energy neutrino signals from the Sun, Earth, or galactic centre where the WIMP density may be sufficiently enhanced by gravitational capture. The halo may provide a further source if the dark matter is clumpy [32]. Neutrinos, like annihilation gammas, have the advantage of maintaining their original direction.

Observation of muon neutrinos provide the best hope for the neutrino channel since the resulting upgoing muons produced in the Earth can be distinguished from background down-going atmospheric muons and have long range in present and planned Cherenkov neutrino detectors. These include AMANDA, ANTARES, IceCube, Baikal and NESTOR (see, for example, [33, 34, 35]; see also [36] for a recent review). The Sun, being dominated by hydrogen, is particularly favourable, with predictions of the muon rates for different neutralino models also easier to calculate. Nevertheless, calculations have been performed for both Sun and Earth [37].

Present neutrino experiments have already provided significant limits on the Sun and Earth muon flux sufficient to constrain MSSM models [38, 39]. Limits in the range $10^3$-$10^4$ muons km$^{-2}$yr$^{-1}$ are found for the Sun above $10^2$ GeV and down to $10^3$ muons km$^{-2}$yr$^{-1}$ above $10^3$ GeV for the Earth (see, for example, [40] and references therein; see also [41] for a review). The latter is sufficient to indicate a possible contradiction with the DAMA direct search signal. A recent analysis by the SuperK collaboration to produce a WIMP-nucleon cross section limit using combined Sun, Earth and galactic centre data (see Fig. 5) also appears to exclude parts of the DAMA allowed region [42]. The AMANDA and ANTARES experiments are now aiming for km$^2$ experiments that would provide a factor
of $10^4$ improvement in sensitivity, sufficient to test large parts of the MSSM parameter space [43].
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**Figure 5:** Combined Sun, Earth and galactic centre limit from SuperK [42].

Searches for antiproton, positron and gamma ray lines from annihilation in the halo are also underway. The former two channels are hindered by uncertainty in galactic propagation models and the featureless nature of predicted spectra. Nevertheless balloon borne experiments to search for neutralino annihilation antiprotons at the top of the atmosphere have been performed, for instance by Bess and Caprice [44,45,46], to be compared with predictions of secondary antiproton background [47]. The space experiment AMS aims also to undertake a search [48]. Despite large possible systematic effects, such as from cosmic-ray induced antiprotons, interesting limits can be placed for the highest annihilation rates [49]. Balloon observation of the positron continuum have also been performed. No excess over predictions from secondary positron production has been observed so far [50,51].

Although very sensitive to the local neutralino halo density, annihilation gamma-ray lines from the halo can be observed in principle by existing or planned Air Cherenkov Telescopes (ACTs) such as Veritas, Whipple, STACEE, CELESTE, MAGIC and MILAGRO, or by space-borne detectors including EGRET and GLAST. Indeed this technique may be the only one available to probe for heavy (TeV) stable neutralinos. The ACTs have acceptance angles suitable for searches of possible galactic centre signals. The high energy resolution of GLAST makes it suitable for high precision line searches. Recent MSSM calculations show that for a "standard" halo, for instance, Veritas and GLAST have discovery potential, with TeV masses accessible [52,53].
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