Mechanical Properties of Geopolymers Synthesized from Fly Ash and Red Mud under Ambient Conditions
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Abstract: Aluminosilicate gels form geopolymers and nanocrystalline zeolites which have comparable strength properties, making them a potential replacement for ordinary Portland cement. The study explores the use of two untreated industrial wastes, Class-F fly ash and red mud, for synthesizing geopolymeric material at ambient synthesis conditions. The high alkalinity present in the red mud was exploited for the dissolution of silica in the fly ash and red mud. The mechanical, mineralogical, microstructural, and pore characteristics were analyzed and the contributions of curing period, Si/Al, Na/Al, and liquid-to-solid (L/S) ratios on the compressive strength of the end products were also investigated. The alkalinity of the system due to the red mud was adequate for the dissolution of raw fly ash and the subsequent formation of aluminosilicate gels. The strength of the end product was directly proportional to the initial Si/Al ratio and the specimens with highest fly ash content exhibited highest compressive strength values after 28 days of curing. Furthermore, fly ash contributed to the formation and distribution of interstitial and capillary pores in the aluminosilicate matrix. The lowest L/S ratio of the initial mix resulted in the end product with the highest unconfined compressive strength.
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1. Introduction

With the rise in global environmental pollution, energy crisis, and depletion of mineral resources, there is a need for the reuse of industrial wastes such as fly ash and red mud [1,2]. Globally, around 780 million tons of fly ash and 150 million tons of red mud are annually generated, needing urgent utilization [2,3]. Fly ash, a coal combustion byproduct, contains significant amounts of Si and Al [4] and can be used as a raw material for the synthesis of aluminosilicate-based cementitious materials. Red mud, an industrial byproduct from bauxite processing, contains residual Al and iron oxide, along with a smaller concentration of silica [5]. Furthermore, red mud has very high pH ranging from 10–13, which makes it a promising source for maintaining high pH in alkali activation such as geopolymerization and zeolitization [6].

Aluminosilicate gels form geopolymers and nanocrystalline zeolites which have comparable strength properties, making them a potential replacement for ordinary Portland cement [7]. They have been synthesized using aluminosilicates and an alkali activator solution such as Na₂SiO₃, NaOH,
Na$_2$CO$_3$, and KOH [8–10]. These aluminosilicate compounds have found several applications in civil and environmental engineering, such as in cement [11,12], foamed panels [13], heavy metal immobilization [14], masonry units [15,16], paving blocks [17], and soil stabilization [18]. Several studies have been conducted by synthesizing these, using different precursors such as metakaolin [11,12,19–21] and industrial byproducts such as coal fly ash [22–24], coal gangue [25,26], slags [22], municipal solid waste incinerator fly ash [27], recycled asphalt pavement [28], red mud [21,24,29], rice husk ash [6,11], waste glass [16], and water treatment sludge [15]. The formation of geopolymers from aluminosilicate raw materials can be given by Equations (1) and (2) [30]. Zhang et al. [31] derived geopolymers from class F fly ash and red mud using sodium trisilicate and sodium hydroxide at ambient conditions and reported that the strength of the end products increased with prolonged curing up to 6 months, while preliminary curing at 100% relative humidity showed negligible strength improvement. It was reported that 5–20% red mud improved the compressive strength of fly ash based geopolymers activated with 6M NaOH [17]. For equally proportioned fly ash–red mud based geopolymers prepared at lower NaOH concentrations, Class C fly ash showed higher strength when compared to that with Class F fly ash [32].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{nSiO}_2 + 4\text{nH}_2\text{O} + \text{NaOH/KOH} & \rightarrow \text{Na}^+\text{K}^+ + \text{n(OH)}_2\text{Si-O-Al-O-Si(OH)}_3 \\
\text{(Si-Al materials)} & \text{(Geopolymer precursor)} \\
\text{n(OH)}_2\text{Si-O-Al-O-Si(OH)}_3 + \text{NaOH/KOH} & \rightarrow \text{(Na}^+\text{K}^+\text{)}\text{-(Si-O-Al-O-Si-O)-} + 4\text{nH}_2\text{O} \\
\text{(Geopolymer backbone)} & 
\end{align*}
\]

Many studies use commercial aluminosilicate compounds [9] such as metakaolin [12,19] and natural Si–Al minerals [8], as the partial or complete aluminosilicate sources for deriving geopolymers and cementitious binders [9,21]. Although some studies used industrial byproducts such as fly ash [13] and red mud [20,21], the use of additional alkali such as NaOH [17,31] makes the process less sustainable and adds to the cost of synthesis.

In the past, the pretreatment of raw materials have been attempted under higher temperatures (i.e., above room temperature) and by mechanical grinding [25]. Grinding the mixture of red mud and coal gangue before synthesis was reported to improve the surface area resulting in better compressive strength [25]. Nevertheless, these techniques are energy intensive and synthesis under ambient conditions of temperature and pressure without pretreatment would be an energy efficient solution [23]. Hence, this study explores the use of Class-F fly ash and red mud without any pretreatment for synthesis of low range geopolymeric end products at ambient conditions using the high alkalinity present in the red mud. Mercury intrusion porosimetry and scanning electron microscopy were used to determine the porosity and morphology of the synthesized aluminosilicates. Furthermore, the contribution of curing time, initial Si/Al and Na/Al ratios of the mixtures and liquid to solid (L/S) ratio on the mechanical characteristics of the end products have also been investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials Used

The fly ash used in the study was sourced from Zhangjiakou Power Plant, Hebei, China and the red mud was obtained from Zibo, Shandong, China. The fly ash and red mud were dried overnight at 105 °C and their chemical compositions were determined using X-ray fluorescence and are presented in Table 1. The loss on ignition was determined as per ASTM D 7348–08 [33] by heating the oven-dried
sample in a muffle furnace (Zhonghuandianlu SX-G08133, Tianjin, China) to 500 °C for 1 h and 750 °C for 2 h. The alkali activator, sodium silicate hydrate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$·5H$_2$O) was procured from Tianjin Da Mao chemical reagent factory, China.

Table 1. Chemical composition (wt%) of fly ash and red mud.

| Composition | Fly Ash | Red Mud |
|-------------|---------|---------|
| SiO$_2$     | 45.79   | 8.88    |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | 21.69   | 25.34   |
| Na$_2$O     | 0.46    | 8.75    |
| CaO         | 7.07    | 0.92    |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 6.68    | 40.03   |
| TiO$_2$     | 1.47    | 6.49    |
| SO$_3$      | 0.47    | 0.22    |
| P$_2$O$_5$  | 0.33    | 0.08    |
| MnO         | 0.12    | 0.05    |
| ZrO$_2$     | 0.10    | 0.35    |
| MgO         | 0.80    | -       |
| SrO         | 0.31    | -       |
| K$_2$O      | -       | 0.06    |
| Cr$_2$O$_3$ | -       | 0.12    |

2.2. Experimental Procedure

To obtain the required Si/Al and Na/Al ratios, an activator solution of laboratory grade sodium metasilicate pentahydrate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$·5H$_2$O) was prepared at different concentrations of 30%, 40%, and 50% weight-to-weight ratios (w/w) using deionized water and allowed to cool to ambient temperature (23 °C). Subsequently, different proportions of oven-dried fly ash (FA) and red mud (RM) were added to the solution (Figure 1a–c) at liquid-to-solid (L/S) ratios based on preliminary studies (Table 2). The Si/Al and Na/Al ratios were determined based on the Si, Al, and Na contents in the fly ash, red mud, and sodium metasilicate. Based on the preliminary results of FA4, FA6, and FA8 [10], the FA:RM ratio of 8:2 was found to yield the highest strength. Hence, the influence of other parameters, viz., L/S, Si/Al, and Na/Al ratios, on the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) were studied based on this FA:RM ratio of 8:2, as shown in Table 2. The sample labels are suffixed with either the amount of Na$_2$SiO$_3$·5H$_2$O (in %wt) used or with their corresponding L/S ratios. The L/S ratios, ranging from 0.35 to 0.6, were selected, taking into account workability as the precursor. For the proportions of fly ash and red mud, L/S < 0.35 makes the mixture very stiff, while L/S > 0.6 results in a loose slurry which is extremely slow to solidify under the normal conditions of curing. The mixture was homogenized for at least 15 min using a mechanical stirrer to achieve adequate consistency and subsequently poured into well-greased molds 10 cm long and 4 cm in diameter, having an aspect ratio of 2.5 in order to minimize the end effects. The samples were prepared in triplicates and the molds were vibrated for 5 min using a vortex vibrator and covered with a vinyl sheet at the top to avoid evaporation. After curing at 23 °C and relative humidity (RH) of 45–50% for 7 days, the specimens were dismantled from molds (Figure 1d) and cured for the remaining days as shown in Table 2. RH of 40% to 50% was found to be ideal, since previous studies have shown that at RH < 40% the sample cracks, while at higher values (i.e., > 50%), carbonation takes place, resulting in lower strength [31].
The chemical composition of the fly ash and red mud are shown in Table 1 and the fly ash was Class F as per ASTM C618–15 [35]. The red mud was composed of mainly Fe₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ and had an initial pH = 12. It should be noted that, since some portion of the silica and alumina in the mixture such as quartz and mullite are unreactive, only the amorphous phase of silica and alumina take part in the reaction [20,36]. In addition, the low calcium content of the initial mixture (3.9 to 6.7% CaO) is favorable for synthesis of geopolymers and could also show higher thermal stability [37].

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of Fly Ash and Red Mud

The chemical composition of the fly ash and red mud are shown in Table 1 and the fly ash was Class F as per ASTM C618–15 [35]. The red mud was composed of mainly Fe₂O₃ and Al₂O₃ and had an initial pH = 12. It should be noted that, since some portion of the silica and alumina in the mixture such as quartz and mullite are unreactive, only the amorphous phase of silica and alumina take part in the reaction [20,36]. In addition, the low calcium content of the initial mixture (3.9 to 6.7% CaO) is favorable for synthesis of geopolymers and could also show higher thermal stability [37].

#### 3.2. Pore Characteristics

The development of the pore structure for the different FA:RM proportions after 28 days of curing characterized using MIP is presented in Figure 2 and Table 3. MIP can assess the pore size ranging from 3.2 to 3.8 μm. In addition, the low calcium content of the initial mixture (3.9 to 6.7% CaO) is favorable for synthesis of geopolymers and could also show higher thermal stability [37].
few nanometers to several hundred micrometers but has limitations, such as the “ink-bottle” effect and possible damage to existing pore structures due to the mercury intrusion. However, in spite of these limitations, MIP may yield sufficiently accurate porosity and pore sizes [12,13]. The pores in the end products can be classified into three types, (i) interstitial pores in the aluminosilicate gels (mesopores which range from 2 nm to 50 nm), (ii) capillary pores (ranging from 10 nm to 1 µm), and (iii) air voids and hollow fly ash spherule voids (≥ 1 µm) [12]. From Table 3, it can be observed that the micro and mesopores (pore size ≤ 50 nm), typical of geopolymeric gels, is highest for FA8. Incidentally, FA8 has the highest initial Si/Al ratio and the least Na/Al ratio. All three show pores at 200 µm revealing the presence of air bubbles, with FA4 showing the highest value.

Figure 2. Mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) results of the end products indicating the pore size distribution based on (a) differential pore volume, dV/d logD; (b) cumulative pore volume.

Table 3. Pore size distribution (%) and strength of the end products after 28 days curing.

| Sample | Pore Volume Contribution (%) of Various Sizes (nm) | Micro/Mesopores | Macro Pores | UCS (MPa) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
|        | <10 | 10–50 | 50–100 | 100–200 | 200–1000 | >1000 |               |           |           |           |
| FA4    | 0.91 | 7.86  | 9.01   | 30.05   | 37.09    | 15.08 | 8.77   | 91.23   | 0.89      |
| FA6    | 1.27 | 8.47  | 12.93  | 10.92   | 59.89    | 6.12  | 10.14  | 89.86   | 3.44      |
| FA8    | 1.56 | 25.3  | 31.6   | 25.33   | 11.68    | 4.53  | 26.86  | 73.14   | 6.19      |

A roughly similar pore distribution is seen for FA4 and FA6 with pores ranging from 10 to 50 nm (Figure 2a). Although the total macro pores (i.e., pore size > 50 nm) in all the systems only have a standard deviation of 10, the pore size distribution within this range varies significantly, which could be a contributing factor to the variation in strength. Also, the lowest pore volume of 0.2 cm³/g for FA8 should produce the strongest material. This specimen, which has the highest UCS value, possesses the least amount of pores ≥ 200 nm, while having the largest contribution of pores ≤ 100 nm. The gel phase, which is developed under higher Si/Al ratio, facilitates the formation of a compact microstructure. Hence, minimizing the development of macro pores could help yield better strength under similar synthesis conditions.

3.3. Microstructural and Mineralogical Characteristics

For the sake of brevity, the scanning electron micrographs of only FA8, the specimen with highest UCS values, at different curing periods are shown in Figure 3. The minerals are identified using EDS and XRD results. However, it should be noted that, considering the heterogeneity in particle sizes of fly ash and red mud and the microstructural variations of the end products, SEM images and EDS results may not be representative of the bulk. After 7 days of curing (refer Figure 3a), spherical and loosely bound fly ash particles can be observed seated in precipitates and geopolymeric gels formed.
around them. The alkalinity in the red mud is sufficient for starting the geopolymeric reaction, but not adequate for ensuring complete dissolution of the fly ash particles. The interface between the spherical fly ash and the matrix is a weak zone which is susceptible to dislocation (Figure 3a) and cracking (Figure 3c).

![Figure 3. Microstructural characteristics of FA8 cured for (a) 7 days; (b) 14 days; (c) 21 days; (d) 28 days.](image)

As the reaction progresses, the fly ash particles get etched due to the strong alkaline environment (Figure 3b,c) and the silica and alumina dissolve into the matrix and form geopolymers, silicate hydrates, or zeolites [36,38]. This etching can be observed from Figure 3b, showing a partially broken cenosphere, i.e., a hollow fly ash particle. From Figure 3b,c, it is clear that not all fly ash particles have undergone partial/full dissolution, possibly due to insufficient alkalinity. However, the unreacted mineral particles act as fillers resulting in varied mechanical characteristics [31].

![Figure 4](image)

Due to the evaporation of water, shrinkage cracks developed after 21 days (see Figure 3c) and they appear either in the precipitates/gels or at the fly ash–aluminosilicate gel interface. At the end of 28 days, the fly ash particles are deeply embedded in the solid matrix in contrast to the loosely held arrangement after 7 days (see Figure 3d). The EDS spectrum shows Si/Al = 1.6, which is known to yield high strength in the case of pure metakaolin based geopolymers [39]. Furthermore, the high Na/Al ratio of 4.8 also results in higher geopolymerization [31].

Figure 4 shows the X-ray diffractograms of the source materials and FA8, and the XRD peaks of the end products show similar trends in mineralogical crystallization and/or alterations for all three initial proportions of FA:RM. It has been pointed out in previous studies that the raw materials with lower crystallinity (or higher amorphous phase) are conducive for obtaining higher compressive strength [8,20]. There are no observable humps in the diffractograms of the end products unlike the characteristic amorphous silica (glassy phase) humps in raw fly ash around 25°. This could be due to several reasons: (i) the glassy phase of silica participates in the chemical reactions and hence its hump reduces, (ii) the amorphous humps of red mud and geopolymers are very broad [27,40], and (iii) there
is an increased proportion of other crystalline and amorphous phases contributed by the red mud and also by the geopolymeric reactions.

Figure 4. X-ray diffractograms of fly ash, red mud, and three geopolymers after 28 days.

The quartz and mullite, which were present in the raw fly ash, do not appear to lose much of their peak intensities upon activation of the aluminosilicates, which has also been reported in previous studies using pure or virgin products and red mud/coal gangue based geopolymers [25,40]. Mullite, an aluminosilicate mineral, is predominantly unreactive in alkaline medium as seen in FA8, the specimen with the highest fly ash content, by its visible peak at 26.2°. Gibbsite and boehmite, the aluminum hydroxide minerals originally present in bauxite, as well as hematite, are clearly visible in the XRD pattern of red mud. These non-reactive crystalline phases could negatively affect the strength development of the end products as inferred from the low strength of FA4 containing 60% red mud. However, upon activation in alkaline environment, the crystalline phase of some of these residual minerals decreases as inferred from the weakened intensities of their characteristic high-intensity peaks at 18.2° for gibbsite and 14.4° for boehmite. Incidentally, no apparent zeolite peaks were identified because of the low reaction temperatures, indicating that the reactions led only to geopolymeric gels, N–A–S–H, C–S–H gels, low-order aluminosilicate minerals, and/or precipitates.
3.4. Parametric Influences on Mechanical Properties

The UCS results for the different fly ash–red mud proportions and curing periods are plotted in Figure 5. The initial concave portion in some of the curves is due to the artificial stiffening of the uneven end surfaces of the specimens, which disappear on application of adequate seating loads. In general, a well-defined elastic regime is noted for all samples, although the failure yielding is not well-defined. There is higher early strength development with increase in fly ash content and/or higher Si/Al ratio. Furthermore, there is significant strength development after 28 days, i.e., 61, 69, and 62% increase as compared to the 7 days strength for FA4, FA6, and FA8, respectively. The maximum value of compressive strength obtained, 6.61 MPa for FA0.35, is slightly greater than the reported maximum of 5.84 MPa for red mud–metakaolin based geopolymers [5]. Hence, the mixture is a viable alternative to using commercial metakaolin for aluminosilicate activation for strength development.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** The stress-strain curves of (a) FA4; (b) FA6; and (c) FA8; and (d) the unconfined compressive strength at different curing periods.

The stiffness (i.e., the Young’s modulus, $E$) of the specimens also improved with increase in the curing period and fly ash content as seen from $E = 0.06$, 0.34, and 0.63 GPa at 28 days curing for FA4, FA6, and FA8 respectively (see Table 4). This improvement in strength is due to moisture loss and/or additional chemical reactions [28]. For high red mud content in the samples, i.e., 60% RM in FA4, a ductile failure occurs (see Figure 5a) and the failure mechanism transitions from ductile to brittle with increase in fly ash content (see Figure 5b,c). Higher red mud content results in lower compressive strengths because of lower reactive silica and alumina content as well as the development of ductile behavior (refer Figure 5) imparted by the fine red mud particles [29]. Similarly, an increase in the
curing period also changes the failure mode from ductile to brittle, perhaps due to the formation of micro cracks. Clearly, the mechanical properties are time-dependent, which is similar to previous studies using metakaolin, fly ash, red mud, and rice husk based geopolymers \[20,41\]. From Figure 5d, it is noted that even at 28 days of curing, the strength shows an upward trend without reaching a plateau indicating that complete curing has not been achieved, and hence, a prolonged curing beyond 28 days would further improve the strength and stiffness. This gradual strength development could be due to the presence of lime in the mixture (i.e., 3.9, 5.3, and 6.7% for FA4, FA6, and FA8, respectively), thereby requiring prolonged curing \[18\].

Table 4. Unconfined compressive strength (UCS) and Young’s Modulus (E) for the geopolymers at different curing periods.

| Sample | UCS (MPa) | E (GPa) |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| FA4–7d | 0.34      | 0.01    |
| FA4–14d | 0.38      | 0.03    |
| FA4–21d | 0.69      | 0.05    |
| FA4–28d | 0.89      | 0.06    |
| FA6–7d | 1.03      | 0.08    |
| FA6–14d | 1.88      | 0.18    |
| FA6–21d | 2.08      | 0.19    |
| FA6–28d | 3.44      | 0.34    |
| FA8–7d | 2.35      | 0.15    |
| FA8–14d | 4.32      | 0.27    |
| FA8–21d | 5.20      | 0.32    |
| FA8–28d | 6.19      | 0.63    |
| FA30   | 0.53      | 0.07    |
| FA40   | 2.35      | 0.15    |
| FA50   | 3.61      | 0.24    |
| FA0.35 | 6.61      | 0.38    |
| FA0.4  | 6.19      | 0.15    |
| FA0.5  | 1.96      | 0.10    |
| FA0.6  | 1.84      | 0.10    |

Figure 6 shows the influence of initial Si/Al and Na/Al ratios in the final strength of the activated end products. Their strength increases with increase in the initial Si/Al and with decrease in the Na/Al. A higher Na content when compared to Al and Si would result in the formation of Na salts or zeolites which would lead to lower mechanical strengths \[42\]. For 28 days’ strength, straight line fits with positive and negative slopes are obtained for Si/Al and Na/Al plots, respectively. However, further curing may have different patterns of strength as in the case of pure geopolymers where a gradual rise in Si/Al and a sudden subsequent fall has been reported \[40\]. The trends of the variation of Young’s modulus with Si/Al and Na/Al ratios are similar to those of UCS. The sustainably synthesized geopolymers from this study have adequate strength to be utilized as a sustainable road base material \[43\] and in soil stabilization \[18\].
Figure 6. The unconfined compressive strength of the end products at different (a) Si/Al and (b) Na/Al ratios; and the Young’s modulus ($E$) at different (c) Si/Al and (d) Na/Al ratios.

The influence of L/S ratios on the UCS was studied using FA:RM ratio of 8:2 with L/S ranging from 0.35 to 0.6 w/w and the strength results are presented in Figure 7. The minimum L/S was chosen as 0.35, below which, the mixture becomes very stiff and is not workable. As seen in Figure 7b, the strength increased exponentially with decrease in the liquid to solid (L/S) ratio, while the UCS values improved significantly ($UCS = 6.6 \text{ MPa}$ at L/S of 0.35). This observation corroborates with previous studies using other geopolymers where minimal water content (i.e., just adequate for workability) should be maintained to obtain the best strength values. Park et al. [44] showed an exponential relationship between the mechanical properties and porosity of ceramic geopolymers. This is due to the fact that the drier the precursor, the lesser the porosity, resulting in better mechanical characteristics in the synthesized geopolymer [45].
In this manuscript, the geopolymers synthesized from fly ash and red mud under ambient conditions can be termed Controlled Low-Strength Material (CLSM). The CLSM is used in various construction applications including temporary construction, structural backfilling, utility bedding, and void filling.

4. Conclusions

This study examined the feasibility of synthesizing geopolymers from coal fly ash and red mud at ambient laboratory conditions. The following are the main conclusions from the study:

- Geopolymers can be sustainably synthesized by utilizing the high alkalinity of red mud without the introduction of commercial hydroxides.
- The inherent alkalinity of the red mud results in partial dissolution of the aluminosilicates in the raw fly ash and subsequent formation of aluminosilicate gels.
- The strength of the end products synthesized under ambient conditions using fly ash and red mud, without the addition of alkali such as NaOH, continues to increase significantly from 7 to 28 days with UCS = 6.19 MPa for FA8 after 28 days.
- The stiffness increases with the increase in the curing time and there is a transition from ductile to brittle behavior with the increase in both fly ash content as well as curing time. For the same synthesis conditions, a higher quantity of fly ash with same amount of red mud yields better strength and stiffness values as seen by $E = 0.63$ GPa at 28 days for FA8.
- The amount of fly ash affects the formation and distribution of various types of pores in the geopolymeric matrix, wherein a high starting Si/Al ratio gives rise to interstitial pores in the final geopolymeric matrix. For a curing period of 7 days, the end product showed 6.6 MPa at L/S of 0.35.
- The unconfined compressive strength of the end products and the L/S ratio follow an inverse exponential relationship similar to the porosity characteristics, and the minimum L/S ratio of 0.35 was found to be optimum for obtaining higher strength fly ash–red mud based aluminosiliceous materials with lesser porosity.
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