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ABSTRACT

Aim: Parathyroid carcinoma (PC) is a rare endocrine malignancy and therefore limited data are currently available. In this case series, we describe our institution’s experience with PC and patients’ outcomes.

Background: The course of PC ranges from indolent to progressive disease with an uncontrollable hypercalcemia and widespread metastases. No clear guidelines toward diagnosis as well as management currently exist owing to a lack of prospective data.

Case description: Here, we present a case series of 12 patients who underwent treatment for PC at our institution. All patients were diagnosed after surgery. At time of surgery, three patients (25.0%) underwent a concomitant hemithyroidectomy owing to abnormal features. An additional four patients (33.3%) underwent a hemithyroidectomy on the side of their PC. None of our patients received any adjuvant medical therapy. One patient (8.3%) was found to have recurrent disease; this patient is currently alive with disease.

Conclusion: In conclusion, although no uniform management strategy was observed during the included period, overall outcomes were good, with a recurrence rate of less than 10%.

Clinical significance: PC is a very uncommon malignancy that imposes both diagnostic and management uncertainties. The current report describes the management and outcomes of a series of 12 patients with this disease. All patients were only diagnosed after surgery as having a PC. Moreover, possibly due to a lack of prospective data, all patients in our series underwent different surgical treatment strategies. Nonetheless, overall, both short- and long-term outcomes were satisfactory, with a less than 10% recurrence rate. However, this series does underline the importance of more prospective research regarding diagnostic, treatment, and prognostic variables for PC.
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BACKGROUND

Parathyroid carcinoma (PC), first described in 1933,¹ is extremely rare and possibly the most uncommon endocrine malignancy.² The diagnosis of PC proves challenging, as there is a lack of distinct clinical and histopathological features.³ While the natural course of PC could be indolent, progressive disease with uncontrollable hypercalcemia and widespread metastatic disease have been described.⁴

In this case series, we describe our institution’s experience with PC and patients’ outcomes. Also, at our institution, intraoperative PTH (ioPTH) measurements as a point-of-care test for intraoperative cure of hyperparathyroidism—using the modified Miami criterion—is routinely employed.⁵ As PCs are known to be more hormonally active than benign parathyroid adenomas,⁶ we aimed to explore whether this possibly increased hormonal activity can be seen in these patients’ ioPTH-results.

CASE DESCRIPTION

Between 1 January 2005 and 31 December 2016, 587 patients underwent a parathyroidectomy for single gland, primary hyperparathyroidism at our institution. All data on these patients were collected in an institutional database. Of these patients, 12 patients (2.0%) were found to have PC on a histopathological examination.

All 12 patients had symptoms related to hypercalcemia, of which malaise was most common (n = 12; 100%). In five patients (41.7%), the malaise was so severe, and it required a visit to A and E. One-third of patients (n = 4; 33.3%) had signs of bone disease, while one of these patients (n = 1; 8.3%) also suffered from kidney stones. None of our patients presented with a visible neck mass. More details on our patients are described in Table 1 (left column).

All patients underwent an ultrasound scan and a ⁹⁹mTc Sestamibi scan (both n = 12; 100%); importantly, none of the patients showed any alarming features on their ultrasound scan. Moreover, none displayed a lymph node metastasis on imaging of the cervical lymph nodes at the time of presentation. The median size of the gland was 2.10 cm (range: 0.2–4.4) on imaging.

At the time of surgery, unusual findings were noted in the operation report of half of our included patients (n = 6; 50.0%). In three of these patients (25.0%), the parathyroid gland was described as being “very large”, “being intra-thyroidal and difficult to dissect,” and as a “hard mass not infiltrating the thyroid”, respectively. All of these patients underwent only resection of the parathyroid gland.

1–4 Center for Endocrine Surgery, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom
5 Center for Endocrine Surgery, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom; Department of General Surgery, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

Corresponding Author: Mechteld C de Jong, Center for Endocrine Surgery, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom, Phone: +44 2034567890, e-mail: mechteld.dejong@nhs.net

How to cite this article: de Jong MC, Shawky M, et al. Parathyroid Carcinoma in a Center Routinely Using ioPTH: A Case Series. World J Endoc Surg 2019;11(2):57–59.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

© The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.
Case Series on Parathyroid Carcinoma

Table 1: Differences in baseline characteristics and ioPTH outcomes for patients with a parathyroid carcinoma, compared with patients with a benign parathyroid adenoma

| Variable                          | Parathyroid carcinoma (n = 12) | Parathyroid adenoma (n = 575) | p value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Sex (female)                      | 6 (50.0)                      | 427 (74.3)                    | 0.09    |
| Age (median [range])              | 49 [18–74]                    | 59 [18–90]                    | 0.21    |
| Highest calcium (median [range]), mmol/L | 2.97 [2.52–3.60]              | 3.0 [2.18–3.98]               | 0.12    |
| Highest PTH (median [range]), pmol/L | 93.0 [6.50–458.0]             | 13.0 [3.9–92.1]               | <0.001  |
| Size on imaging (median [range]), cm | 2.10 [0.2–4.4]                | 1.0 [0.1–4.4]                 | 0.08    |
| ioPTH                             |                               |                               |         |
| Highest value (median [range]), pmol/L | 55.15 [26.7–272.3]           | 24.3 [2.6–405.0]              | <0.001  |
| Drop in level (median [range]), pmol/L | 49.5 [23.4–232.5]           | 19.5 [0.8–376.1]              | <0.001  |
| Drop in ioPTH-level, %            | 88.7 [64.2–92.2]              | 81.4 [18.5–97.5]              | 0.009   |

Conversely, in an additional three patients (25.0%), where the parathyroid gland was described as having “infiltrative features”, being “extremely difficult to dissect,” and being “very large”, respectively, it resulted in a concomitant hemithyroidectomy.

Overall, the median highest ioPTH level was 55.15 pmol/L (range: 26.7–272.3). Upon further exploring the outcomes of the ioPTH measurement among our study series, the levels for the 12 patients who had PC were compared to patients who underwent surgery for primary hyperparathyroidism owing to a benign parathyroid adenoma (PA) (n = 575) during the same period. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 1.

The median length of stay for our 12 patients was 1 day (range: 1–19), which is probably skewed to the right because one patient (8.3%) developed norovirus postoperatively and was therefore admitted for a total of 19 days. Two patients (16.7%) developed a postoperative, symptomatic hypocalcemia, requiring a prolonged hospital stay and intravenous admission of calcium. Both of these patients were discharged on postoperative day three, on oral calcium-supplements. The overall median direct postoperative calcium was 2.5 mmol/L (range: 1.7–3.2) and the overall median PTH drop was 1.3 pmol/L (range: 0.7–14.0). Moreover, none of the included patients complained of hoarseness or other symptoms, indicating damage to the recurrent laryngeal nerve.

Following their final diagnosis on histopathology, an additional four patients (33.3%) underwent a hemithyroidectomy on the side of their PC, bringing the total number of patients in whom the surgical approach nor the indications for the performance of a second stage surgery.12 This can also been seen in the heterogenous management strategies observed among our 12 patients.

In literature, reports of disease recurrence are very common in PC (rates: 25–100%), sometimes occurring as late as 20 years after initial diagnosis.4 In our series, recurrence rate was less than 10%; however, the median follow-up was relatively short.

The pathogenesis of PC is incompletely understood. Similar to other neuroendocrine tumors, PC generally tends to produce symptoms through hormonal secretion rather than by invasion.13 Hypercalcemia-levels of >3.5 mmol/L have been described in previous reports.4,7,14 Moreover, reports of profoundly elevated (three to ten times of normal) PTH levels are in concordance with the median highest PTH-level of 93.0 pmol/L among our patients.14–18

Discussion

We report on a series of 12 patients with PC of whom half were female (n = 6). The female-to-male ratio of 1:1 is similar to that reported in literature.6,9 Interestingly, the ratio female-to-male among benign disease is reported to be around 3:4.1 The median age in our series was 49 years among patients with PC. In a previous series, the average age at presentation was described to be approximately a decade younger than for patients with a benign disease, concordant with our series.6,8

Some authors report that en-bloc resection of the affected parathyroid gland with the surrounding thyroid lobe results in improved local control and is possibly also associated with better long-term outcomes.2,9–11 However, the gold standard surgical approach for patients with PC has not been established, as—due to its rarity—there are no prospective data regarding the best initial surgical approach nor the indications for the performance of a second stage surgery.2 This can also been seen in the heterogenous management strategies observed among our 12 patients.

Conclusion

PC is a rare disease that is difficult to diagnose. We here report on the surgical treatment and outcomes of 12 patients who were treated for this disease. While the management among our patients was very heterogeneous, the overall outcomes were good, with a recurrence rate of less than 10%. Although both pre-operative PTH and ioPTH might show signs of increased hormonal activity...
compared to PA, there is not enough evidence to support a claim for a place of ioPTH as an adjunct in the diagnosis of PC.

**Clinical Significance**

Parathyroid carcinoma is a very uncommon malignancy that imposes diagnostic as well as management uncertainties. The current report describes the management and outcomes of a series of twelve patients with this disease. Although our patients did not undergo a uniform surgical strategy, both short- and long-term outcomes in our series were satisfactory, with a less than 10% recurrence rate. However, this series underlines the importance of more prospective research regarding diagnostic, treatment, and prognostic variables.
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