Soft-Sediment Communities of the Northern Indian River Lagoon, FL, United States
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Understanding the structure and function of infaunal communities is useful in determining the biodiversity and ecosystem function of shallow estuaries. We conducted a survey of infaunal communities within three separate water basins [Mosquito Lagoon (ML), Indian River (IR), and Banana River (BR)] in the larger Northern Indian River Lagoon, FL, United States to establish a database of infaunal community structure and function. Twenty-seven sites were sampled quarterly from 2014 to 2016. Analysis of all samples determined that basin, season, and sediment composition were the primary drivers of macrobenthic community composition. Diversity was highest in the ML, and lower in spring compared to other seasons. The occurrence of a brown tide (*Aureoumbra lagunensis*) in 2016 allowed a comparison of winter and spring communities before (2015) and during (2016) a bloom event. Community composition and diversity at the BR sites were the most affected by the bloom event with the lowest diversity and abundances during the bloom. Diversity in the IR was also lower during the bloom, while the ML was unaffected by the bloom. Species of all feeding groups were affected by the bloom, with lower abundances found in all groups. In addition, to determine the overall trophic diversity of infaunal communities, we collected infaunal organisms from two of the quarterly sampled sites for isotope analyses. Values of δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N from infaunal tissue were compared to those of potential food sources at each site. Substantial interspecific variation in isotope values of infaunal organisms within a site suggests the presence of diverse nutritional modes that include suspension and deposit feeding and predation. Together, these data suggest that infaunal communities contribute to benthic pelagic coupling and nutrient cycling within the estuarine communities, but the overall function of these communities may be tightly linked to their species composition.
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INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity is an important component of resilient ecosystems at all levels. From genetic to landscape, diverse communities promote healthy functioning and contribute to resiliency and survival in times of disturbance (Chapin et al., 2000). Diversity is also linked to the provision and sustainability of ecosystem processes (Hooper et al., 2005) as individual species or groups have...
important and specific functions like nutrient cycling, decomposition, and the production and removal of organic matter. In estuaries, suspension feeders such as bivalves are often targeted for their effects on water clarity and potential for bioremediation (Triest et al., 2016; Galimany et al., 2017b). However, other diverse communities of organisms including polychaetes and crustaceans also carry out critical ecosystem services including carbon cycling, filtration, secondary production, and sediment stability (Snelgrove, 1999).

Soft sediment communities comprise a large portion of sea floor (Snelgrove, 1997, 1999; Ieno et al., 2006) and are composed of diverse taxonomic and functional groups with a wide array of feeding modes (Fauchald and Jumars, 1979; Snelgrove, 1999; Abreu et al., 2006; Galván et al., 2008). In addition to their critical role in nutrient and organic matter cycling, infauna are bioturbators that increase oxygenation of the sediments and affect sediment biogeochemistry through their movements (Snelgrove, 1999; Devereux et al., 2019). Suspension feeders also facilitate biodeposition and accelerate carbon removal relative to deposit feeders (Riisgard, 1991). Infaunal communities are useful indicators of ecosystem health (Daouvin, 2007), as they are constant features of the bottom sediments and vary predictably with the physical habitat and in response to natural and man-made stressors (Labrune et al., 2008). Faunistic studies are essential to assess diversity patterns within ecosystems and to detect the effects of environmental changes and disturbances in marine soft-bottom macrobenthos (Currie and Small, 2005; Labrune et al., 2008). For example, diversity in benthic samples in an Australian subtropical estuary was reduced by half during the 1997–1998 El Niño event (Currie and Small, 2005). Thus, they are extremely good indicators of induced environmental changes.

Estuaries around the world have experienced declining water quality and eutrophication for the last few decades (Pinckney et al., 2001; Bricker et al., 2008). In the Indian River Lagoon (IRL) of Florida (United States), human activities, such as freshwater diversion for agriculture and eutrophication from coastal development (Dybas, 2002; Lapointe et al., 2020) have led to increased prevalence of algal blooms. In the spring of 2011, a “superbloom” spread throughout the northern IRL and lasted for 7 months (SJRWMD, 2012; Kamerosky et al., 2015; Philip et al., 2015). The 2011 bloom, dominated by several microalgae, was followed in 2012 by a brown tide of *Aureoumbra lagunensis* (DeYoe et al., 1997). The brown tide event had negative and lasting effects on many groups (Gobler et al., 2013). For example, behavioral changes were also seen in bivalve suspension feeders, which reduced their clearance rates in the presence of the bloom (Gobler et al., 2013; Galimany et al., 2017a, 2020). Changes in faunal abundance and behavior were accompanied by a loss of 60% of IRL seagrass coverage which led to further degradations of water quality and nutrient cycling capabilities (Lapointe et al., 2015, 2020). These widespread impacts on both flora and fauna, led to concerns about the damaged state of the lagoon (Gobler et al., 2013; Kamerosky et al., 2015).

While macrobenthic community composition has been examined in the IRL, these studies targeted seagrass-associated species (Young and Young, 1975; Virlstein et al., 1984) or a single group of organisms (decapods: Gore et al., 1981; amphipods: Nelson, 1995; bivalves: Mikkelsen et al., 1995; polychaetes: Cox et al., 2018). Therefore, the spatial and temporal trends of soft sediment communities are lacking in current IRL research and are needed to further assess patterns of change in a rapidly changing ecosystem. The initial goal of this study was to establish a database of infaunal community structure and function within the northern IRL using a combined approach of biological surveys and targeted sampling of δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C values of infaunal organism tissue to assess the diversity of feeding modes of infauna. During sampling, an *A. lagunensis* bloom occurred, which provided an opportunity to examine the effects of the brown tide on soft-sediment communities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Infuna Survey**

**Environmental Data**

Environmental conditions were recorded at each site during each sampling event. A YSI recorded bottom water temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, and pH (YSI 556 and YSI Professional Plus instruments). Turbidity was measured using a Secchi disk. Time of day and weather conditions (cloud cover, wind direction and speed, and air temperature) as well as presence of H₂S based on smell were noted. Sites ranged in depth from 0.5 to 3.5 m, depth was not corrected for tidal stage as the northern IRL is microtidal with primarily wind driven differences (Smith, 1993; Schaefer et al., 2019).

Sediment samples were taken in January and April 2016 for a snapshot of the sediment types at each of the 27 sites. These samples were averaged for inclusion in data analyses. At the same time, three bottom substrate samples were collected using an Ogeechee corer, two for organic content analysis and one for grain size analysis. The sediment from these cores was divided into sub-samples from two substrate depths (0–2 and 2–5 cm). These samples were stored in airtight containers in a cooler in the field and frozen upon return to the laboratory. For analysis, sediment samples were thawed and immediately placed in pre-weighted aluminum dishes. Samples were weighed to the nearest 0.01 g and placed in an 80°C drying oven for a week to reach a constant weight. Samples were cooled in a desiccator, weighed, and transferred to a 500°C furnace for 5 h. Samples were again cooled in a desiccator, weighed, and discarded. Water content, calculated as the difference in weight from thawed to dried divided by wet weight and multiplied by 100, and loss on ignition (LOI, organic content), calculated as the difference in weight from dried to muffled divided by dry weight and multiplied by 100, were determined from these measurements.

For grain size analysis a single 5 cm core was collected as described above. For analysis, samples were thawed, transferred to a pre-weighted aluminum weigh boat, weighed, and dried at 100°C for 24 h. Samples were cooled in a desiccator and weighed, then mixed in a solution of hexametaphosphate and tap water. The volume of hexametaphosphate used was calculated by dividing the dry weight of the sample by 2.5. The volume of tap water was calculated by multiplying the dry weight of the sample by 10. The sample in solution was then mixed for
10 min and left in solution over night at room temperature. After soaking, samples were rinsed in a 63 µm sieve, dried for 24 h at 100°C, cooled, and weighed. Grain size was determined by placing samples in a geological sieve stack (63 µm, 125 µm, 250 µm, 500 µm, 2 mm, and 4 mm). The sample was shaken for 10 min, and the amount of sample remaining on each sieve was weighed and discarded. Percent of each grain size was calculated as the dry weight of each grain size divided by the total dry weight retained in all sieves multiplied by 100.

27 Site Survey
Quantitative benthic infaunal sampling was performed throughout the Northern Indian River Lagoon (NIRL), FL, United States. Sites were in the three primary basins of the IRL, Mosquito Lagoon (ML), Banana River (BR), and Indian River (IR), though each basin had differing numbers of sites. The basins of the IRL are ecologically distinct, differing hydrodynamically, chemically, and biologically (Kleppel, 1996). In 2014 (March–June), sampling initially occurred at 90 sites (Supplementary Figure 1). These 90 sites were chosen haphazardly to provide a “snapshot” on infaunal diversity within the IRL and help inform site selection for the quarterly sampling effort. This sampling effort was repeated in April–July of 2016, again by multiple teams. We include the species list generated by this effort, but no further analysis was performed (Supplementary Table 1). After the preliminary sampling at 90 sites in 2014, a subset of 27 sites (Supplementary Figure 2) was chosen for continued sampling. Not all 27 sites were represented in the initial sampling, though 10 were included. Sites were sampled quarterly (January, April, July, and October) to capture seasonal variation from July 2014 to April 2016. Three replicate samples, to account for patchy distributions of macrofauna (Elliott, 1977), were collected at each sampling site utilizing a 0.02 m² Petite Ponar grab. In the field, samples were washed through a 0.5 mm mesh sieve, preserved in a solution of 4–7% buffered formalin (including Rose Bengal), and transported to the laboratory. After 2 weeks, samples were rinsed with freshwater and transferred to 70% ethanol. Animals were picked from samples with stereo microscopes (×6 magnification). Everything within the sample was counted with no discrimination based on size class (macro- vs. meiofauna) or life history (epi- vs. infauna). Organisms picked from the samples were later enumerated. Specifically, only animals with heads were included in counts to avoid double counting, and all shells were crushed to verify there was an animal within. These specimens were identified to the lowest possible taxon.

Data from the 27 quarterly samples were visualized using PRIMER and pretreated using a fourth-root overall transformation to reduce skew in data analysis from very abundant or very rare species. Congeners were combined prior to analysis to account for ambiguity in identification of some species, as not all were identified to species level. Species richness and diversity were calculated in PRIMER (Clarke and Warwick, 2014; Clarke and Gorley, 2015) based on the averages of the three replicates taken at each site on each sampling date. Replicate samples were averaged to account for high variability among replicates at each sampling. For all statistical tests, we used an alpha level of 0.05. Community composition was compared between seasons and basins using a permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA). The contribution of individual species to the similarity/dissimilarity between sites was calculated using the SIMPER procedure. Relationships between the abiotic parameters and the biological samples were assessed using the RELATE and distance based linear modeling (DistLM) procedures. All parameters (depth, temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, pH, Secchi depth, % 4 mm grain, % 2mm, %500µm, %250µm, %125µm, %63µm, %<63µm, and % LOI) were checked for collinearity using draftsman plots and associated Pearson’s correlation coefficients in PRIMER. Any parameters with a r value > ±0.7 were considered collinear. No parameters were removed from the analysis. All environmental data was normalized prior to comparisons. Normalization is a procedure in PRIMER that creates a standard scale for parameters taken in different units, allowing for direct comparison. Relationships among the biological and environmental data were established using a forward stepwise procedure and the best model (DistLM) chosen based on Aikake information criterion. Average diversity (Shannon–Wiener Index) was calculated in PRIMER for each site and compared using three-way ANOVA with year, season, and basin as factors using SPSS. For diversity and abundance an interaction with year was significant, and to investigate differences within this interaction data was analyzed within year. For species richness, the basin × season interaction was significant, therefore, we analyzed within basin to examine differences among seasons and years. Tukey’s HSD post hoc test was used to determine differences among treatment levels. Data was log transformed to meet assumptions.

Brown Tide
A brown tide was reported throughout the northern IRL in early 2016 (Schaefer et al., 2019). Using data from St. Johns River Water Management District’s water quality monitoring program, chlorophyll a data for the three basins was compared with survey site locations. Infaunal communities were compared before and during the 2016 brown tide using PERMANOVA with bloom, season, and basin as factors. Species responsible for the patterns were explored using the SIMPER program in PRIMER. Abundance patterns of these species were explored using a three-way ANOVA with bloom condition, season, and basin as factors. To examine interactions, species were separated by basins and analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with bloom condition and season as factors. Additionally, Shannon–Wiener
dissimilarity was compared between pre-bloom and bloom years using a three-way ANOVA with bloom, season, and basin as fixed factors. The feeding types (e.g., deposit, suspension, etc.) of the identified taxa was determined through a review of the literature. Within the community samples we identified deposit feeders, suspension feeders, detritivores, herbivores, predators, and scavengers. Scavengers were not identified among the species collected for isotope analysis. Abundances were pooled within feeding types and the response of these feeding types to the bloom were examined using a three-way ANOVA with bloom condition, season, and basin as factors. When a significant interaction was found, feeding response was examined separately by basin. To account for fewer samples taken during the trophic, only the corresponding pre-bloom samples in winter (January) and spring (April) of 2015 were used for comparison. Significant basin × season interactions within the three-way ANOVA were investigated using a two-way ANOVA within basin with bloom condition (pre- and bloom) and season (winter and spring) as factors. Data was log transformed to meet assumptions.

**Isotopes**

To investigate interspecific differences in δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C values within sites as a proxy for functional (trophic) differences across infaunal organisms, we sampled macrobenthic communities at two locations in the NIRL that were near the quarterly monitoring sites NIRL 11 and NIRL12 (see Supplementary Figure 2). These sites (herein termed I11 and I12 for NIRL 11 and NIRL12, respectively) were chosen because preliminary work at nearby locations showed that they differed in their benthic cover, with sparse coverage of the seagrass *Halodule wrightii* and high levels of benthic detritus at I11 and bare sediment and low levels of detritus at I12. In addition, although the locations were within approximately 4 km of each other, I12 was closer to chronic human impact and potential nutrient inputs from development around the city of Titusville, FL (Freeman et al., 2018); I11, in contrast, was on the east side of the lagoon adjacent to restricted, conservation land that is part of the Kennedy Space Center. We thus predicted that the composition of food sources [e.g., particulate organic matter (POM) suspended in the water column and deposited on the surface of the sediment] would differ at these two sites and that their varying proximity to human development would influence the baseline isotopic signal of the site (in particular for δ¹³C; Freeman et al., 2018). Infaunal organisms were collected by multiple (at least 4) Petite Ponar (0.02 m²) grabs at each of the sites. After each collection, sediment was sieved through 1 mm mesh, and retained organisms from each site were pooled into plastic buckets with fresh seawater for transport back to the laboratory. At each site, we also collected replicate samples of surface sediment (top 1 cm) in 50 mL tubes and water in 20 L plastic buckets to sample potential sources of organic matter for deposit- and suspension-feeding infaunal organisms.

After identification (Supplementary Table 2), each individual organism was placed into a 7 mL scintillation vial or, to obtain enough biomass for isotope analysis, several individuals were pooled into one vial (Galván et al., 2008; Supplementary Table 2). Individual water samples (8–12 L per sample) from each site were filtered through Millipore quartz fiber filter (2 µm porosity) to retain POM (Freeman et al., 2018). Samples of infaunal organisms, sediment, and filters were dried at 60°C. Infaunal tissue and sediment were then homogenized to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle. Homogenized infaunal tissue for each sample was then split evenly between two vials and tissue in one vial of each pair was acidified to remove carbonate that can lead to enriched (higher) δ¹³C values by dripping 1N HCl onto infaunal tissue until bubbling stopped. All sediment samples were also processed this way, but dried filters were acidified by exposure to 12N HCl fumes in a sealed desiccator for 12 h and then returned to a 60°C oven for 12 h to remove residual acid. After bubbling stopped, infaunal tissue and sediment samples were dried at 60°C. Acidified and unacidified samples of infaunal tissue, sediment, and organic matter retained by filters were weighed into tared tin capsules to the nearest 0.001 mg. Isotope analysis was carried out at the Stable Isotope Facility at UC Davis using a PDZ Europa ANCA-GSL (for infaunal tissue samples) or Micro Cube [for POM and sediment (herein referred to as SOM for sediment organic matter) samples] elemental analyzer interfaced to a PDZ Europa 20–20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, United Kingdom). The long-term standard deviation is 0.2‰ for ¹³C and 0.3‰ for ¹⁵N. Delta values are expressed relative to international standards Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) and Air for carbon and nitrogen, respectively. Isotope values are reported in δ notation (δ¹⁵N and δ¹³C) in units per mill (‰). Both acidified and unacidified samples were analyzed to determine whether acidification also impacted δ¹⁵N values.

**RESULTS**

**Infauna Surveys**

**Species Lists**

The sampling efforts before and after the quarterly sampling from the 90 sites captured 235 taxa, including 44 not found in the quarterly sampling. The initial survey captured 213 taxa and the second survey captured 143 taxa including 22 not captured in the initial sampling. These data are provided as a species list (Supplementary Table 1). Quarterly sampling captured 314 taxa, including 71 not found in the 90 site surveys (Supplementary Table 3). Surveys capturing additional species are attributed to increased spatial sampling as species accumulation curves indicate the areas were well sampled.

**Community Analysis**

Diversity was similar across basins (Supplementary Table 4), though varied considerably among stations. Shannon–Wiener diversity did not differ consistently among basins and seasons across years (year × basin: F = 3.275, p = 0.011). This interaction was explored by analyzing within years. ML diversity was highest (Figure 1A). In 2014, diversity was lower in the IR and BR than the ML and did not differ between summer and fall samples (Table 1 and Figure 1A). Diversity was lower in the BR and was lowest in fall and highest in winter 2015. In 2016, diversity...
FIGURE 1 | Community metrics for the 27 quarterly sampled sites. Boxplots represent minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum, with circles denoting outliers. (A) Shannon–Wiener diversity was analyzed within years because of a significant interaction between year and basin. Lower case letters (a) denote differences among basins, upper case italics (A) denote differences among seasons within 2015. (B) Species Richness was analyzed within basins because of a significant interaction between season and basin. Lowercase italics (a) denote differences among seasons. For the Mosquito Lagoon fall and spring samples were intermediate to summer and winter samples, but the (ab) designation has been left out for readability. Bars denote differences among years. (C) Abundance was analyzed within years because of a significant interaction between year and basin. Lower case letters (a) denote differences among basins, upper case italics (A) denote differences among seasons within 2015.
was higher in the ML and was not different between winter and spring samples.

Species richness differed between seasons, but these differences were not consistent across basins (season × basin interaction: \( F_{5,590} = 3.34, p = 0.006 \)). This interaction was explored by analyzing within basins. Species richness decreased in the summer of 2015, most prominently in the ML (Figure 1B). Species richness in the BR was lower in 2016 and was higher in the summer than in the other seasons, which did not differ (Table 2). Species richness in the IR was lower in 2016 and was lower in the fall than in the other seasons, which did not differ. Species richness in the ML was lowest in 2016 and highest in 2014 and was lowest in summer and highest in winter.

Abundance differed among basins but not consistently among years or seasons (season × year interaction: \( F_{2,590} = 9.04, p = 0.001 \)). This interaction was explored by analyzing within years. Abundance was lower in ML than in the IR and BR (Figure 1C). In 2014, abundance was lower in the IR and ML than the BR and did not differ between summer and fall samples (Table 3 and Figure 1C). In the ML, abundance was lower than in the IR and BR and lower in summer and winter than in fall and spring of 2015. In 2016, abundance did not differ among basins or between seasons.

Environmental conditions were consistent across our sites, though average salinity was slightly higher in the ML (31 ppt) than in the IR (27 ppt) and BR (28 ppt). Temperature and dissolved oxygen were different by seasons, while other measured parameters were not different. These parameters were inversely correlated, with the lowest temperatures and highest dissolved oxygen levels in the winter. There were some differences in grain size distribution among basins. There were overall higher proportions of 500 µm sediments in ML samples, 125 µm sediments in IR, and 63 µm sediments in BR.

Relationships among community assemblages were highly skewed by the inclusion of sites 16 and 27 (Supplementary Figure 3A), and therefore sites 16 and 27 were removed from further analysis (Supplementary Figure 3B). Sites 16 and 27 had the lowest species richness, with 68 and 45 species, respectively, compared to a species richness of 90 or higher at all other sites. The communities at site 16 were dominated by 8 taxa: *Tharyx* sp., *Polydora* sp., *Aricidea* sp., *Mediomastus californiensis*, *Streblospio* sp., *Cirratulidae*, *Psuedopolydora* sp., and Oligochaeta. Communities at site 27 were dominated by 4 taxa: *Streblospio* sp.,

---

**Table 1** | ANOVA results for two-way ANOVA of Shannon–Wiener diversity within year, with season and basin as factors.

| Year     | Type III sum of squares | df | Mean square | F      | p-Value |
|----------|-------------------------|----|-------------|--------|---------|
| 2014 Diversity | Corrected model         | 2.574 | 5 | 0.515 | 1.611 | 0.177 |
|           | Intercept               | 363.220 | 1 | 363.220 | 1136.587 | <0.001 |
|           | Season                  | 0.008 | 1 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 0.878 |
|           | Basin                   | 2.306 | 2 | 1.153 | 3.609 | 0.035 |
|           | Season × basin          | 0.227 | 2 | 0.114 | 0.356 | 0.703 |
|           | Error                   | 14.061 | 44 | 0.320 |  |
| Total     |                        | 388.337 | 50 |  |
| Corrected total |                     | 16.635 | 49 |  |

| 2015 Diversity | Corrected model         | 5.392 | 11 | 0.490 | 2.200 | 0.021 |
|               | Intercept               | 515.630 | 1 | 515.630 | 2314.748 | <0.001 |
|               | Season                  | 2.432 | 3 | 0.811 | 3.639 | 0.016 |
|               | Basin                   | 2.156 | 2 | 1.078 | 4.840 | 0.010 |
|               | Season × basin          | 0.640 | 6 | 0.107 | 0.479 | 0.822 |
|               | Error                   | 19.603 | 88 | 0.223 |  |
| Total         |                        | 573.564 | 100 |  |
| Corrected total |                     | 24.995 | 99 |  |

| 2016 Diversity | Corrected model         | 4.923 | 5 | 0.985 | 6.425 | <0.001 |
|               | Intercept               | 231.857 | 1 | 231.857 | 1512.878 | <0.001 |
|               | Season                  | 0.342 | 1 | 0.342 | 2.230 | 0.142 |
|               | Basin                   | 3.374 | 2 | 1.687 | 11.009 | <0.001 |
|               | Season × basin          | 1.186 | 2 | 0.593 | 3.869 | 0.028 |
|               | Error                   | 6.743 | 44 | 0.153 |  |
| Total         |                        | 250.009 | 50 |  |
| Corrected total |                     | 11.666 | 49 |  |

---
### TABLE 2 | ANOVA results for two-way ANOVA of species richness within basin, with year and season as factors.

|                     | Type III sum of squares | df | Mean square | F    | p-Value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|------|---------|
| **Banana Richness** |                         |    |             |      |         |
| Corrected model     | 8.471                   | 7  | 1.210       | 4.623| <0.001 |
| Intercept           | 299.148                 | 1  | 299.148     | 1142.707| <0.001 |
| Year                | 3.235                   | 2  | 1.618       | 6.179| 0.004 |
| Season              | 2.948                   | 3  | 0.983       | 3.753| 0.016 |
| Year × season       | 0.202                   | 2  | 0.101       | 0.386| 0.681 |
| Error               | 14.660                  | 56 | 0.262       |      |         |
| Total               | 335.311                 | 64 | 0.535       |      |         |
| Corrected total     | 23.131                  | 63 |             |      |         |
| **Indian Richness** |                         |    |             |      |         |
| Corrected model     | 2.954                   | 7  | 0.422       | 2.083| 0.055 |
| Intercept           | 472.479                 | 1  | 472.479     | 2331.873| <0.001 |
| Year                | 1.007                   | 2  | 0.503       | 2.484| 0.090 |
| Season              | 0.247                   | 3  | 0.082       | 0.406| 0.749 |
| Year × season       | 0.912                   | 2  | 0.456       | 2.249| 0.112 |
| Error               | 16.209                  | 80 | 0.203       |      |         |
| Total               | 524.855                 | 88 |             |      |         |
| Corrected total     | 19.164                  | 87 |             |      |         |
| **Mosquito Richness** |                        |    |             |      |         |
| Corrected model     | 4.027                   | 7  | 0.575       | 2.413| 0.037 |
| Intercept           | 329.943                 | 1  | 329.943     | 1383.771| <0.001 |
| Season              | 0.624                   | 3  | 0.208       | 0.872| 0.484 |
| Year                | 3.405                   | 2  | 1.702       | 7.140| 0.002 |
| Season × year       | 0.120                   | 2  | 0.080       | 0.251| 0.779 |
| Error               | 9.537                   | 40 | 0.238       |      |         |
| Total               | 351.745                 | 48 |             |      |         |
| Corrected total     | 13.564                  | 47 |             |      |         |

Spirochaetopterus oculatus, Mulinia lateralis, and Paraprionospio pinnata. Both sites had greater than 20% of their sediments made up of particles ≤63 µm, more than any other site.

Infunal communities were influenced by basin and season (Table 4 and Figure 2) with no significant interaction. Pairwise differences existed among all seasonal pairs (p = 0.001), with abundance highest in spring (average 613 individuals) and lowest in summer (average 244 individuals). The taxa dominating the basins by season and year are listed in Supplementary Table 5. Six taxa contributed to dissimilarity in all basins. Ostracods of the group Cylindroleberididae and the tanaid Hargeria rapax were the top drivers of dissimilarity among all three basins (Dissim. > 7%, Table 5). Polychaetes Streblospio sp. and Kinbergonuphis sp., bivalve Parastarte triquetra, and amphipod Ampelisca sp. varied in their contributions (2–7%, Table 5).

Relationships between the biological data and environmental parameters measured were determined to exist (RELATE: ρ = 0.225, p = 0.001). DistLM indicated 11 variables explained significant variations in the macrobenthic assemblages (Supplementary Table 6) and explained 22.56% of the variation (R² = 0.2256). The variables in this model were depth, bottom temperature, % 500µm, % < 63µm, %125µm, % 63µm, Secchi depth, pH, % 2mm, % 250µm, and % LOI, added to the model in that order. The low R² value of the DistLM combined with significant RELATE indicates these parameters are shaping the communities but there are other factors at play that were not measured.

**Brown Tide**

Chlorophyll a varied significantly among basins but peaked December 2015 through May 2016 for all basins. Mean chlorophyll a level in the IRL ranges from 6.2 to 16.4 µg L⁻¹ based on measurements taken monthly from 2006 to 2009 (Phlips et al., 2011). However, during this time chlorophyll a was recorded by St Johns River Water Management District sensors at 20–65 µg L⁻¹ in the ML and IR and 30–160 µg L⁻¹ in the BR. There was a significant interaction between bloom condition and basin (Table 6). The interaction is not unexpected, as bloom conditions were more intense in the BR. To account for this interaction, community samples were analyzed within the bloom condition factor. Differences were found among seasons and basins within pre-bloom 2015 samples, while only basins were different within bloom (2016) samples (Figure 3). Bloom condition was a bigger influence on communities than season for ML and IR (Figure 3). However, there is a larger separation in the...
BR communities between winter and spring samples during the bloom. This difference from the other basins may be attributable to the intensity of bloom conditions, as chlorophyll a levels were 2.5 times greater in the BR.

All taxa were pooled by feeding type based on a review of the literature (Supplementary Table 3). Deposit feeder and suspension feeder average abundance was lower in bloom conditions than pre-bloom (Supplementary Table 7 and Figure 4), but not by basin, season, or year. Suspension feeder abundance was also affected by basin, with lower abundance in ML than in the BR and IR. Carnivore abundance was lower in bloom conditions, and during the spring. Detritivore abundance was lower in bloom conditions, spring samples, and the ML. Herbivore abundance did not change in a consistent manner among basins, seasons, and years (Supplementary Table 8). Herbivores in the ML were not affected by the bloom, but their abundance was lower in the spring than in the winter. In the IR, herbivore abundance was lower during the bloom. In the BR, there was an interaction between year and season, as the abundance increased from winter to spring in 2015 but decreased in 2016. Scavenger abundance did not change consistently among basins from pre-bloom to bloom conditions (Supplementary Table 9). Scavenger abundance was lower in bloom samples for the ML and Banana but not the IR.

SIMPER analysis of the winter and spring samples before and during the bloom indicate 18 species driving differences in these samples. Taxa did not respond to the bloom in a consistent manner, with many of these select species altering their seasonal patterns. Feeding type was also not a consistent predictor of response to the bloom, though these species of note were predominantly suspension and deposit feeders (Supplementary Table 10). Generally, taxa abundance was lower during the bloom.  

### TABLE 3 | ANOVA results for two-way ANOVA of abundance within year, with season and basin as factors.

|           | Type III sum of squares | df | Mean square | F   | p-Value |
|-----------|-------------------------|----|-------------|-----|---------|
| 2014 Abundance | Corrected model          | 2.574 | 5 | 0.515 | 1.611 | 0.177 |
|           | Intercept                | 363.220 | 1 | 363.220 | 1136.587 | <0.001 |
|           | Season                   | 0.008 | 1 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 0.878 |
|           | Basin                    | 2.306 | 2 | 1.153 | 3.609 | 0.035 |
|           | Season × basin           | 0.227 | 2 | 0.114 | 0.356 | 0.703 |
|           | Error                    | 14.061 | 44 | 0.320 |       |       |
|           | Total                    | 388.337 | 50 |       |       |       |

### TABLE 4 | Permutational analysis of variance table for analysis of 27 quarterly samples by basin and season.

| Source     | df | SS   | MS    | Pseudo-F | p-Value | Permutations |
|------------|----|------|-------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Basin      | 2  | 27,172 | 13,586 | 7.9671   | 0.001   | 995          |
| Season     | 3  | 21,688 | 7229.3 | 4.2393   | 0.001   | 996          |
| Interaction| 6  | 11,862 | 1977  | 1.1593   | 0.097   | 996          |
| Residuals  | 196| 3.342E+06 | 1705.3 |         |         |              |

Frontiers in Marine Science | www.frontiersin.org 8  November 2021 | Volume 8 | Article 719049
than pre-bloom (Supplementary Tables 11–13 and Figure 5). However, several taxa had increases in abundance during the bloom. Polychaetes (Cirratulidae) increased in abundance during the bloom for both the ML and the BR, though their pattern of abundance reversed in the ML for the seasons affected. The abundances of the amphipod *Ampelisca* sp. and polychaete *Streblospio* sp. were also higher during the bloom in the IR, though lower in the ML. The tanaidacean *H. rapax* was affected by bloom conditions only in the BR, where it increased in abundance. Abundances of ostracods (Cylindroleberididae) and the cumacean *Oxyurostylis smithi* were lower during the bloom in all three basins. The change in abundance was not consistent across seasons in the ML for either taxon, and in the IR and BR for ostracods (Cylindroleberididae). The amphipod *Monocorophium* sp., the bivalve *M. lateralis*, and the polychaetes *Clymenella mucosa* and *Kinbergonuphis* sp., all had lower abundance during the blooms for two of three basins. Abundances of *Grandidierella bonneriodes* and *Photis* sp. were not affected by bloom conditions, though they did differ among basins and between seasons.

Diversity pre-bloom and during the bloom did not change consistently among basins (ANOVA: season × basin Interaction: $F = 5.90, p = 0.003$). Diversity in the BR and IR was lower during the bloom than in pre-bloom samples (Table 7 and Figure 6). Diversity in the ML was not different from pre-bloom samples during the bloom in either season. Diversity was lower in spring samples in the BR than in winter samples, but season did not affect diversity in the other basins.

**Isotopes**

Sources of organic matter varied across the two sites, with mean ($\pm$SE) $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{13}$C values of 3.10 ($\pm$0.04) and $-17.13$ ($\pm$0.07) for SOM and 4.89 ($\pm$0.03) and $-22.92$ ($\pm$0.14) for POM at I11 and 4.05 ($\pm$0.71) and $-20.06$ ($\pm$0.06) for SOM and 6.39 ($\pm$0.01) and $-22.93$ ($\pm$0.05) for POM at I12 (Figures 7, 8).

We collected and measured the $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{13}$C values of 39 infaunal species spanning diverse taxonomic (Bivalvia, Gastropoda, Amphipoda, Isopoda, Polychaeta, Tanaidacea, Phoronida, Decapoda, Sipunculida, and Echinodermata) and functional (suspension and deposit feeders, herbivores, detritivores, and predators; scavengers were not found among the species sampled for this effort, Supplementary Table 2) groups. There was a wide range of $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{13}$C values of infaunal organisms within each site, with $\delta^{15}$N values from 9.3 to 3.3‰ at I11 and 10.3 to 5.8‰ at I12 and $\delta^{13}$C values from $-15.7$ to $-22.9$‰ at I11 and $-17.1$ to $-22.8$‰ at I12 (Figure 7). Organisms within different functional groups (based on published data) varied in their $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{13}$C values, with predators on average having the highest $\delta^{15}$N values at both sites (7.1 and 8.0‰; Figure 8).

Suspension feeders and deposit/detritus feeders were at a similar trophic level in each site based on their $\delta^{15}$N values (5.9 and 5.5‰ for suspension and deposit/detritus feeders at site I11 and 7.4‰ for both these groups at I12) and had $\delta^{15}$N values that were enriched compared to both POM and SOM (Figure 8). $\delta^{13}$C values of suspension feeders ($-21.2$ and $-20.73$‰ at I11 and I12, respectively) and deposit/detritus feeders ($-17.6$ and $-20.0$‰ at I11 and I12, respectively) were between the $\delta^{13}$C values of nutrient sources (POM and SOM) at both sites, but suspension feeder $\delta^{13}$C values were generally closer to POM (especially at I11) and deposit/detritus feeders closer to SOM (Figure 8). Predator $\delta^{13}$C values were most similar to those of SOM and deposit/detritus feeders.
### TABLE 5 | Summary of SIMPER analyses on basin assemblages.

| Taxa                        | Average abundance | Average dissimilarity | % Dissimilarity | Cum. % dissimilarity |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| **Mosquito Lagoon vs. Indian River** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| **Average dissimilarity = 86.76%** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| M.L.                        | I.R.              |                       |                 |                      |
| Hargeria rapax              | 46.87             | 64.32                 | 8.12            | 9.36                 |
| Cylindroleberidae           | 1.49              | 90.72                 | 7.23            | 8.34                 |
| Streblospio sp.             | 32.11             | 15.48                 | 6.23            | 7.18                 |
| Mulinia lateralis           | 3.66              | 34.91                 | 4.61            | 5.32                 |
| Ampelisca sp.               | 8.18              | 36.08                 | 4.35            | 5.01                 |
| Kinbergonuphis sp.          | 8.61              | 25.27                 | 3.80            | 4.38                 |
| **Monocorophium sp.**       | 11.32             | 42.39                 | 3.09            | 3.56                 |
| Grandiclidella bonnieroides | 2.90              | 23.89                 | 2.56            | 3.04                 |
| **Parastarte triqueta**     | 10.02             | 13.27                 | 2.27            | 2.62                 |
| **Pectinaria gouldii**      | 2.22              | 12.76                 | 2.09            | 2.40                 |
| **Mosquito Lagoon vs. Banana River** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| **Average dissimilarity = 88.94%** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| M.L.                        | B.R.              |                       |                 |                      |
| Cylindroleberidae           | 1.49              | 140.25                | 13.40           | 15.07                |
| Hargeria rapax              | 46.87             | 31.34                 | 6.36            | 7.15                 |
| Streblospio sp.             | 32.11             | 3.33                  | 4.99            | 5.61                 |
| Parastarte triqueta         | 10.02             | 39.25                 | 4.83            | 5.43                 |
| Ampelisca sp.               | 8.18              | 24.03                 | 3.11            | 3.50                 |
| Phascolion sp.              | 2.87              | 17.48                 | 2.65            | 2.97                 |
| Phoronis sp.                | 1.17              | 19.30                 | 2.59            | 2.92                 |
| Cytheridae                  | 11.81             | 5.19                  | 2.40            | 2.70                 |
| Nematodida                  | 1.41              | 15.63                 | 2.27            | 2.56                 |
| **Kinbergonuphis sp.**      | 8.61              | 12.33                 | 2.18            | 2.45                 |
| **Indian River vs. Banana River** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| **Average dissimilarity = 84.92%** |                   |                       |                 |                      |
| I.R.                        | B.R.              |                       |                 |                      |
| Cylindroleberidae           | 90.72             | 140.25                | 14.32           | 16.87                |
| Hargeria rapax              | 64.32             | 31.34                 | 6.10            | 7.18                 |
| Ampelisca sp.               | 36.06             | 24.03                 | 4.55            | 5.35                 |
| Parastarte triqueta         | 13.27             | 39.25                 | 4.04            | 4.76                 |
| Mulinia lateralis           | 34.91             | 2.52                  | 3.60            | 4.24                 |
| Kinbergonuphis sp.          | 25.27             | 12.33                 | 3.11            | 3.66                 |
| Grandiclidella bonnieroides | 23.89             | 11.76                 | 2.42            | 2.84                 |
| Streblospio sp.             | 15.48             | 3.33                  | 2.28            | 2.69                 |
| Pectinaria gouldii          | 12.75             | 13.80                 | 2.27            | 2.68                 |

Taxa contributing to >50% dissimilarity are reported. Names in bold contribute to differences in all three basins. Analysis computed based on fourth root transformed data, while raw numbers are reported. Cum. % dissimilarity is the cumulative percentage of dissimilarity between basins explained by each successive taxon.

Enrichment in the $^3\delta^{15}N$ values of POM and SOM at site I12, the site with chronic anthropogenic inputs, were also observed in the tissues of organisms from that site. This was most evident when comparing the $^3\delta^{15}N$ values of the species found at both sites. For instance, the $^3\delta^{15}N$ values of the polychaete Clymenella mucosa, the amphipod Ceranus cudjo, and the gastropod Astyris lunata at sites I11 and I12 (I11/I12) were 5.09/8.14, 4.18/7.06, and 6.45/8.90, respectively, and an average enrichment of 2.21% at I12 for the 8 species that were found at both sites (Figure 7). Acidification of tissue samples prior to isotope analysis reduced $^3\delta^{13}C$ of infaunal tissue by an average of 1.96% (±0.33 SE) but had minimal impact on $^3\delta^{15}N$ values with a mean (±SE) reduction of 0.08 (0.03).
TABLE 6 | Permutational analysis of variance results for analysis of community assemblages in pre-bloom (2015) and bloom (2016) winter and spring samples.

| Source             | df | SS       | MS       | Pseudo-F | p-Value | Permutations |
|--------------------|----|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Year               | 1  | 16,703   | 16,703   | 11.67    | 0.001   | 998          |
| Season             | 1  | 3146.8   | 3146.8   | 2.1986   | 0.003   | 997          |
| Basin              | 2  | 18,166   | 9083.1   | 6.3462   | 0.001   | 997          |
| Year × season      | 1  | 1427.9   | 1427.9   | 0.99762  | 0.489   | 995          |
| Year × basin       | 2  | 6829.8   | 3414.9   | 2.3859   | 0.001   | 995          |
| Season × basin     | 2  | 2276.8   | 1138.4   | 0.79536  | 0.859   | 998          |
| Year × season × basin | 2  | 2739.8   | 1369.9   | 0.95713  | 0.559   | 998          |
| Residuals          | 92 | 1.3168E+05 | 1431.3   |          |         |              |
| Total              | 103| 1.8502E+05 |          |          |         |              |

2015

| Source             | df | SS       | MS       | Pseudo-F | p-Value | Permutations |
|--------------------|----|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Season             | 1  | 2538.1   | 2538.1   | 2.1494   | 0.002   | 999          |
| Basin              | 2  | 10,393   | 5196.7   | 4.4008   | 0.001   | 997          |
| Season × basin     | 2  | 1979.8   | 989.9    | 0.8383   | 0.745   | 997          |
| Residuals          | 44 | 51,958   | 1180.9   |          |         |              |
| Total              | 49 | 67,061   |          |          |         |              |

2016

| Source             | df | SS       | MS       | Pseudo-F | p-Value | Permutations |
|--------------------|----|----------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Season             | 1  | 2242     | 2242     | 1.6201   | 0.054   | 996          |
| Basin              | 2  | 15,643   | 7821.5   | 5.6518   | 0.001   | 995          |
| Season × basin     | 2  | 3078.4   | 1539.2   | 1.1122   | 0.315   | 998          |
| Residuals          | 44 | 60,891   | 1383.9   |          |         |              |
| Total              | 49 | 82,051   |          |          |         |              |

FIGURE 3 | 2D nMDS ordination based upon Bray–Curtis similarities of fourth root transformed abundance averaged for communities by basin in pre-bloom (2015) and bloom (2016) winter and spring samples.

DISCUSSION

Disturbance plays a key role in community structure and function (Levin and Paine, 1974; Connell, 1978; Menge and Sutherland, 1987), with anthropogenic effects as a primary driver of disturbance in coastal systems (Hillebrand and Kunze, 2020). A previous study on disturbance, which mimicked the effects of harmful algal blooms on macrobenthic communities, estimated that the assemblage would recover within 2 years, though abundance recovered much quicker, within 10 months.
This indicates that infaunal communities within the northern IRL could have returned to approximately a pre-bloom assemblage from the 2011 and 2012 blooms by the beginning of our surveys in spring 2014. However, species composition could have still been affected by these blooms, and therefore our survey would not account for species lost to this previous disturbance.

Within our survey data, the primary drivers of infaunal community composition were season, basin, and sediment composition. Seasonal patterns in abundance and diversity within our survey are the same as found in previous studies on infaunal communities in coastal systems in both Florida and Texas. Species abundance was lower in our summer and fall samples (June and October) and higher in our winter and spring samples (January and April) (Nelson et al., 1982; Whaley and Minello, 2002). Though diversity was lowest overall in spring. This seasonal pattern is thought to coincide with fish predator abundance patterns as infauna provide a substantial food source for many fish species, especially juveniles which often spawn in the summer (Whaley and Minello, 2002). Basin differences may be geographical differences in species assemblages because of limited connections among the basins of the lagoon. The ML and IR are connected via the Haulover Canal and there are no connections between the ML and the BR. The IR and the BR are better connected, as the BR flows into the IR at its southern end, and the Canaveral barge canal approximately mid-way. Sediment characteristics were also important drivers of community composition in the IRL. This is unsurprising as sediment grain size can determine the type of infauna present (McNulty et al., 1962; Van Hoey et al., 2004). Further, grain
size is linked to the functional group that species belong to Snelgrove (1999), as sediment type will be determined in part by flow conditions (Snelgrove and Butman, 1994). Areas with low flow will have a higher proportion of fine sediments and more likely support detrivores while areas with higher flow will have coarser sediments but also more abundant food for suspension feeders. Within our data set, a larger proportion of sediments less than 63 µm in size was inversely related to species richness and abundance. This is especially apparent in sites 16 and 27, which had the largest proportion of this size sediment and the lowest abundances and species richness. Throughout the IRL, “muck,” a sediment composed of high organic content and fine sediment grains is widespread and characterized by a lack of biota (Fox and Trefry, 2018).

A recurrence of brown tide in the spring of 2016 (Philips et al., 2019; Schaefer et al., 2019) allowed us to investigate the effects of an ongoing bloom event. While most intense in the BR (Lapointe et al., 2017; Barile, 2018), all basins of the northern IRL were affected by the 2016 bloom (Schaefer et al., 2019). The responses of the communities to the bloom were complex, and significant variability among seasons and years that occurs regularly within these communities likely contributed to the differences detected in pre-bloom and bloom samples. The greatest difference in communities before and during the bloom was the spring 2016 BR community (Figure 6). The BR experienced the most intense bloom conditions, concentrations of A. lagunensis were higher (Schaefer et al., 2019; Philips et al., 2020), and the spring samples were taken after months of bloom conditions (Figure 5). Similar results were found by analyzing diversity before and during the bloom, though this test highlighted an inconsistency in the pattern between season and basin, and the difference between pre-bloom and bloom samples was less pronounced (Figure 7). In fact, there were no differences found among samples in the ML and IR. This pattern is likely because of lower intensity of the 2016 bloom in the ML and IR (Schaefer et al., 2019). The differences found via multivariate analysis but not in diversity highlights the usefulness of multivariate statistics in monitoring communities post disturbance as they often continue to show differences that more traditional metrics (diversity) miss (Hillebrand and Kunze, 2020).

Extended or prolonged harmful algal blooms can affect seasonal recruitment or even recolonization (Ward et al., 2000; Bricelj and Macquarrie, 2007) through the mortality of recent recruits and the adult invertebrate populations but also sub-lethally on larvae (Summerson and Peterson, 1990; Ward et al., 2000). Overall, abundance was lower during the bloom across feeding types. While basin and season remained important factors in determining abundance, this is likely attributable to normal temporal variation, and differences in assemblages among basins. While we anticipated that suspension feeders would be most affected that did not seem to be the case. Several suspension feeding taxa increased in abundance during the bloom, including Streblospio sp., Ampelisca sp., and cirratulids. Harmful algal blooms may repress feeding, though because of increased particle density this may not result in a reduction of food intake (Galimany et al., 2017a). However, it is difficult to tease apart typical temporal and spatial variation from changes attributable to the bloom because of the short nature of our survey program.

The δ15N and δ13C values of POM and SOM provide a coarse assessment of the isotope values of two broad resource
### TABLE 7 | ANOVA results table of Shannon–Wiener Diversity in pre-bloom and bloom winter and spring samples.

|                     | Type III sum of squares | df | Mean square | F     | p-Value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|-------|---------|
| **Banana River**    |                         |    |             |       |         |
| Corrected model     | 6.440                   | 3  | 2.147       | 12.316| <0.001  |
| Intercept           | 400.002                 | 1  | 400.002     | 2295.008| <0.001  |
| Year                | 0.735                   | 1  | 0.735       | 4.215 | 0.043   |
| Season              | 5.678                   | 1  | 5.678       | 32.580| <0.001  |
| Year × season       | 0.026                   | 1  | 0.026       | 0.152 | 0.698   |
| Error               | 18.126                  | 104| 0.174       |       |         |
| Total               | 424.568                 | 108|             |       |         |
| Corrected total     | 24.566                  | 107|             |       |         |
| **Indian River**    |                         |    |             |       |         |
| Corrected model     | 3.820                   | 3  | 1.273       | 4.367 | 0.006   |
| Intercept           | 619.261                 | 1  | 619.261     | 2123.780| <0.001  |
| Year                | 2.410                   | 1  | 2.410       | 8.266 | 0.005   |
| Season              | 0.844                   | 1  | 0.844       | 2.893 | 0.091   |
| Year × season       | 0.566                   | 1  | 0.566       | 1.942 | 0.166   |
| Error               | 40.822                  | 140| 0.292       |       |         |
| Total               | 663.903                 | 144|             |       |         |
| Corrected total     | 44.642                  | 143|             |       |         |
| **Mosquito Lagoon** |                         |    |             |       |         |
| Corrected model     | 0.300                   | 3  | 0.100       | 0.742 | 0.531   |
| Intercept           | 405.770                 | 1  | 405.770     | 3014.967| <0.001  |
| Year                | 0.125                   | 1  | 0.125       | 0.931 | 0.338   |
| Season              | 1.32E−5                 | 1  | 1.32E−5     | 0.000 | 0.992   |
| Year × season       | 0.174                   | 1  | 0.174       | 1.295 | 0.259   |
| Error               | 9.152                   | 68 | 0.135       |       |         |
| Total               | 415.221                 | 72 |             |       |         |
| Corrected total     | 9.451                   | 71 |             |       |         |

**FIGURE 6** | Graph of the mean abundance of select species in pre-bloom and bloom samples. Error bars represent standard error. Bars denote significance between pre-bloom and bloom years.
pools available to infaunal organisms at these sites. Although these two pools can be further resolved (i.e., different classes of phytoplankton and bacteria for POM and detritus, filamentous algae, epiphytes, and diatoms for SOM; Badylak and Philips, 2004; Galván et al., 2008), their differences in this study certainly suggest that they are comprised of distinct resources for infauna (Deegan and Garritt, 1997; Michener and Kaufman, 2007; Campbell and Fourqurean, 2009).

The observed variation in isotope values of infaunal organisms within each site indicates high trophic diversity, with feeding across approximately two trophic levels based on trophic enrichment values of $\delta^{15}$N between 3.0 and 2.6‰ (Dubois et al., 2007) and a substantial range of $\delta^{13}$C values. This was also apparent after grouping species into functional categories. Predators had $\delta^{15}$N values that were most enriched and suggestive of being at the top of this infaunal

**FIGURE 7** $\delta^{15}$N (A) and $\delta^{13}$C (B) values of sediment and particulate organic matter (SOM and POM) and infaunal tissue at site I11 (black circles) and I12 (gray circles). Organisms collected from both sites have two values in one column.
food web, while suspension and deposit/detritus feeders fed at similar trophic levels (both enriched compared to SOM and to a lesser extent POM) but, as expected, varied their $\delta^{13}C$ values (Figure 8). This implies that some organisms within these communities are consuming suspended organic matter, while others are feeding on detritus or organic matter that has settled on the benthos, or even on other infaunal organisms. These infaunal communities therefore represent complex food webs with different allochthonous and autochthonous sources of nutrients being assimilated and ultimately passed on to other infaunal or pelagic predators (Abreu et al., 2006; Galván et al., 2008). In addition, elevated $\delta^{15}N$ values of POM, SOM, individual species, and functional groups at site I12 suggest the presence of anthropogenic nutrient inputs from nearby human development and highlight the potential use of infaunal organisms (in addition to epifauna) as sentinel species for nutrient pollution in the IRL (Freeman et al., 2018).

The IRL is considered an imperiled waterway as increased human population has amplified nutrient inputs that have led to an increased frequency of harmful algal blooms (Lapointe et al., 2018; Schaefer et al., 2019; Phlips et al., 2020). These blooms result in large loss of species, including macrobenthic species. This defaunation is not confined to a specific group of species but can be species specific. While our results indicated some species increased in abundance during a bloom, most decreased, with the largest losses in the location with the most intense bloom. As the diversity and composition of infaunal communities are increasingly impacted by both acute and chronic disturbances, we may see a shift in the ecosystem services that these communities provide.
provide, but more work is needed to make these links between structure and function, especially for the species presented here. The life history of many of these species is understudied and understanding the effects of these disturbances is difficult without knowledge of their feeding habits, motility, or reproduction. However, high diversity, as we see in our surveys, may also support ecosystem stability by providing functional redundancy (Pennekamp et al., 2018; Hillebrand and Kunze, 2020).
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