Reliable Evaluation of the Lateral Resolution of a Confocal Raman Microscope by Using the Tungsten-Dot Array Certified Reference Material
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Abstract

Confocal Raman microscopes are widely used in various applications because they provide physical and chemical information at a submicron scale. A high lateral resolution in the confocal Raman microscope is essential for obtaining high-quality images. We used the array of tungsten dots at a 600 nm pitch on a Si substrate of the certified reference material (NMIJ CRM 5207-a) to reliably evaluate the lateral resolution of a confocal Raman microscope at various pinhole sizes. The precision of the mapping scale in the x- and y-pitches was confirmed from Si signal profiles, and the lateral resolution was evaluated by a straight-edge method using scale indicators in the reference material. Because these procedures are applicable to other confocal Raman microscopes with popular specifications (532 nm laser, 100× objective lens, numerical aperture 0.9, step size 0.1 μm), they are suitable for both reliable evaluation of the lateral resolution of a confocal Raman microscope and for daily checks on the precision of its mapping scale.
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Introduction

Raman spectroscopy is based on the change in frequency of scattered radiation caused by molecular vibrations. It therefore provides information on the physical and chemical properties of a material under noncontact and nondestructive conditions.\textsuperscript{1–5} Confocal Raman microscopy combines Raman spectrometry and laser confocal microscopy to provide information on the physical and chemical properties of a material on a submicron scale. By moving a sample with an $x,y$-stage or by scanning a laser with a galvo mirror, the distributions of materials and states within a sample at a submicron scale can be obtained as a Raman spectral map. Consequently, confocal Raman microscopes have been widely used in such fields as carbon materials, semiconductors, earth sciences, pharmaceuticals, and microplastics.\textsuperscript{4–6}

Lateral resolution is one of the most important factors for imaging quality, and a high lateral resolution is necessary to obtain high-quality images. Lateral resolution is dependent not only on the operating principle and specification of the instrument, but also on the conditions for the evaluation (including the skill of the operator), the nature of the sample, and the method of evaluation. Consequently, standard protocols are needed and these are provided in various ISO standards such as ISO 18516:2019 and ISO 18337:2015.\textsuperscript{7,8} On the other hand, there are no standard protocols for the evaluation of the lateral resolution of a confocal Raman microscope. Although ISO 18337:2015\textsuperscript{7} for confocal fluorescent microscopes could, in principal, be applied to confocal Raman microscopes, it is possible that use of fluorescent beads might introduce an overestimation of the results due to their much stronger signal intensity compared with Raman signals. It is therefore preferable to evaluate the lateral resolution of a confocal Raman microscope by using Raman signals, although the lateral resolution is also affected by several other factors, even when a Raman signal is used.\textsuperscript{4,5,9,10} Nanostructured substrates and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) on substrates have been proposed as sample materials for evaluating the lateral resolution of confocal Raman microscopes by using Raman signals.\textsuperscript{5,11,12} These samples
should, in principle, provide reasonable estimates of the lateral resolution, as they emit Raman signals and have a sufficiently small size with line shapes or regular structures; however, nanostructured substrates are difficult to obtain, and it is somewhat difficult to find suitable targets in a dispersion of CNTs. Furthermore, reports on studies with these materials contain no description of an examination of the precision of the mapping scale although this is the most important parameter for achieving a reliable estimate of the lateral resolution; moreover, there is also a possibility of imprecision in the mapping scale due to a change in step size with time after calibration.5

The tungsten-dot array certified reference material NMIJ CRM 5207-a, which is now available from distributors, has been developed for calibration of the magnification of a scanning electron microscope and for measuring its image sharpness. This certified reference material has regular structures of tungsten dots on a Si substrate (120 nm, 200 nm, and 600 nm for both x- and y-pitches), the pitches of which are provided as the certified values.13 Because only the Si substrate is Raman active, the tungsten dots can be observed as dark spots on a bright background of the Si signals in the Raman spectral map. Therefore, the precision of mapping scales can be evaluated by comparing the peak-top intervals of the dots with the certified values. Lateral resolutions can be evaluated by means of the straight-edge method using the sharp border between the two different materials; this is one of the popular methods for evaluating lateral resolutions and is described in ISO 18516:2019.8 The reference material NMIJ CRM 5207-a contains scale indicators made of tungsten and lying outside the array area to permit checking of the position and location. The borders of these scale indicators with the Si substrate are sufficiently clear and sharp to permit their use in the straight-edge method with confocal Raman microscopes.

In this study, by using the certified reference material NMIJ CRM 5207-a, we evaluated the precision of the mapping scale of a confocal Raman microscope by using the
tungsten-dot array with a pitch of 600 nm, and then we evaluated the lateral resolution of the microscope by a straight-edge method using scale indicators. The suitability of this certified reference material for reliable evaluation of lateral resolution with confocal Raman microscope is discussed.

**Experimental**

*Sample and instrument*

The tungsten-dot certified reference material NMIJ CRM 5207-a was obtained from the National Metrology Institute of Japan (NMIJ). Dot-array C (the certified value ± the expanded uncertainty = 597.7 ± 7.3 nm for both x- and y-pitches, Fig. 1) was used as a sample in this study.

The confocal Raman microscope used was a RabRAM HR Evolution (HORIBA Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) equipped a 532 nm laser and a 300 gr/mm grating. The Raman-shift range of spectra obtained by using this system was 100–3200 cm\(^{-1}\). Data were obtained under the following conditions: laser power at 48 mW with a 100× objective lens (numerical aperture 0.9). A motor-driven x,y-stage was used to obtain the Raman signal maps and profiles.

*Evaluation of the mapping scale*

Raman spectral maps of the tungsten-dot array were obtained at sizes of 3 × 3 μm with a 0.1 μm step size at pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm. The exposure times were 0.5 and 0.2 s for the pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm. A single iteration was used, and the CCD binning was set at five channels to increase the signal intensity. Five areas were examined to ensure reproducibility.
Regular pitches of dark spots originated from the tungsten dots were calculated from profiles of the Si peak at 520 cm\(^{-1}\). Peak-top values were obtained by using a Gaussian function, and intervals between peak tops were evaluated to give the pitches of the tungsten dots.

**Evaluation of lateral resolution**

A straight-edge method based on ISO 18516:2019\(^8\) was used to measure the lateral resolution. Tungsten scale indicators outside the dot array area in the certified reference material were used for the straight-edge method. Raman spectra were obtained at 0.1 μm intervals over a ±2 μm range from the borders of materials and were recorded at four different pinhole sizes. The exposure times were 1, 0.1, 0.05, and 0.05 s for pinhole sizes of 25, 50, 100, and 200 μm, respectively, and a single iteration was used. Binning of CCD channels was not applied.

In the straight-edge method, the lateral resolution was evaluated from the distance between the 12% and 88% intensity points (\(D_{12-88}\)) of the Si peak at 520 cm\(^{-1}\). \(D_{12-88}\) values were estimated by means of a Gaussian function, using the Logistic Function Profile Fitting program from the National Institute of Standards and Technologies (NIST), in accord with ISO 18516:2019.\(^8\) Lateral resolutions were evaluated for five different scale indicators at each pinhole size.

**Results and Discussion**

*Raman spectral map of the tungsten-dot certified reference material*

The tungsten-dot reference material NMIJ CRM 5207-a consists of an array of tungsten dots on a Si substrate at three different pitches (120, 200, and 600 nm). Of these three different pitches, we used the 600 nm pitch because 0.1 μm is the most popular minimum step size for both motor-driven \(x,y\)-stages and galvo mirrors and the 120 and 200 nm pitches are too small to permit evaluation at a 0.1 μm step size. We used both 50 and 200 μm pinhole sizes,
because the lateral resolution of a confocal Raman microscope is dependent on the pinhole sizes at a constant wavelength and numerical aperture of the objective lens, and a smaller pinhole sizes provides a better lateral resolution.\textsuperscript{4,5,11,12}

Figure 2 shows typical Raman spectra of Si and tungsten obtained from outside the dot-array area without CCD binning. Si produces a strong signal at 520 cm\textsuperscript{-1} corresponding to its first-order phonon band, whereas tungsten does not produce any signal at 520 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. Because no large peaks were observed near 520 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for either Si or tungsten, we applied binning of five CCD channels to increase the signal intensity and to reduce the time required to obtain Raman spectral maps.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectral maps of the Si signal at 520 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, with their x- and y-profiles obtained at pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm. Regular dark spots in both the x- and y-pitches (5 × 5 dark spots in each map) were observed; however, no clear differences were observed in the maps obtained at pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm, although the lateral resolution must be affected by the difference in pinhole size.\textsuperscript{4,5,12} All profiles obtained were also comparable for both the x- and the y-pitches at pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm. The observation of six points between the two minimum points through a maximum for all profiles was reasonable, because the 600 nm dot pitches were evaluated with a 0.1 μm step size (see also below). Although no obvious differences were observed in the two sets of maps and profiles, the intensity of the Raman signal at a pinhole size of 200 μm (0.2 s) was higher than that at 50 μm (0.5 s).

\textit{Evaluation of the precision of the mapping scale}

To evaluate dot pitches of dark spots in maps and profiles, each peak-top (darkest spot of tungsten) was initially evaluated by using a Gaussian function. Figure 4 shows Si signal profiles of the third lines and third columns in Figs. 3a and 3b with their fitting results as
examples. As shown in these figures, the fitting curves matched the signal intensity profiles well; this was attributed to the use of a sufficient number of points (6 points/peak), and the intervals between the peak tops (values shown in Figs. 4a and 4b) commonly showed a 0.6 μm pitch in all profiles. These profiles and fitting results showed no differences in the pitches measured at pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm.

Table 1 summarizes the evaluated dot pitches for different profiles obtained from the Raman spectral maps (Fig. 3). The measured dot pitches ranged from 0.598 to 0.608 μm for a 50 μm pinhole and from 0.584 to 0.605 μm for a 200 μm pinhole. Their repeatability was within 0.02 μm (standard deviation of four peak intervals) for the 50 μm pinhole and within 0.04 μm for the 200 μm pinhole size. No clear differences were observed in dot pitches and their repeatability between the x- and the y-pitches, although data were obtained line by line. Because the certified values of both the x- and y-pitches are 597.7 ± 7.3 nm, the mapping scale must be reasonable and precise in both the x- and y-pitches over the mapping areas. Because comparable and repeatable values in the x- and y-pitches were also obtained for four other areas with both the 50 μm and 200 μm pinhole sizes, the mapping scale of our system must sufficiently precise to permit the reliable evaluation of lateral resolution.

*Evaluation of lateral resolution with the straight-edge method by using scale indicators*

ISO 18516:2019\(^8\) describes three different method for evaluating the lateral resolution: the straight-edge method, the narrow-line method, and the grating method. Of these three methods, we chose to use the straight-edge method because it is the only one that is applicable to scale indicators having suitable straight-borders with a Si substrate outside the array area in the certified reference material. In using the straight-edge method, the lateral resolution is evaluated as a sharpness parameter \(D_{12-88}\) that characterizes the (sigmoidal) edge-spread.
function. $D_{12\text{-}88}$ is obtained from the distance between the relative signal intensities of 12% and 88%.

Figure 5a shows the optical image of a scale indicator and Fig. 5b shows the intensity profiles of the Si peak at 520 cm$^{-1}$ for pinhole sizes of 25, 50, 100, and 200 μm. In Fig. 5a, the scale indicators of the certified reference material (white areas: tungsten) can be clearly recognized from optical images obtained with the 10× and 100× objective lenses, and their borders are sufficiently sharp. The signal profiles unaffected by noise (Fig. 5b) were obtained with a high-power laser at a short exposure time (from 0.05 s for pinhole sizes of 100 or 200 μm to 1 s for a pinhole size of 25 μm) because Si and tungsten are less susceptible to damage with high-power laser. The Si signal intensity started to increase from the −1.0 μm point to +0.6 μm point and reached 100% at a plateau. The signal intensity for the 25 μm pinhole size increased most dramatically; this was followed by that at the 50 μm pinhole size, whereas profiles for pinhole sizes of 100 and 200 μm were similar.

Table 2 summarizes the $D_{12\text{-}88}$ values obtained for each pinhole size, together with the calculated pinhole areas. The obtained $D_{12\text{-}88}$ values were 0.426, 0.536, 0.631, and 0.642 μm for pinhole sizes of 25, 50, 100, and 200 μm, respectively. Because more than four plots were used in $D_{12\text{-}88}$ range (from 12 to 88%), even for 25 μm-pinhole size (0.426 μm with 0.1 μm/plots), this condition meets the criteria described in ISO 18516:2019 (plots ≥ 4) with a Gaussian function. The $D_{12\text{-}88}$ values increased with increasing pinhole size, as expected from the signal profiles between 25 and 100 μm. It is reasonable that smaller pinhole sizes provide information on a smaller volume (area in plane). On the other hand, there were no clear differences in the $D_{12\text{-}88}$ values for pinhole sizes of 100 and 200 μm, although the area of a pinhole size of 100 μm is four times larger than that at 200 μm. This result suggests that a pinhole size at 200 μm is not a limiting factor for lateral resolution under the conditions examined. In other words, any pinhole size combined with a 532 nm laser and a 100× objective lens (N.A. 0.9) can
be used for the 600 nm pitches of the certified reference material. The $D_{12.88}$ value at a pinhole size of 50 μm (0.536 μm) is comparable (1.2 times greater) to that for a pinhole size of 200 μm (0.642 μm). Therefore, differences in the Raman spectral maps in Figs. 3a and 3b are unclear.

Conclusions

The 600-nm-pitch tungsten-dot array in the certified reference material a NMIJ CRM 5207-a was used on a reliable evaluation of the lateral resolutions of a confocal Raman microscope. The precision of the mapping scale was evaluated from the dot pitches of the dark spots corresponding to tungsten dots, and the lateral resolution was evaluated by using scale indicators in conjunction with the Raman signal of the Si substrate. This certified reference material is easily obtained from distributors and also provides a target sample that does not undergo burning by the laser of the microscope. Consequently, this reference material is suitable not only for reliable evaluation of the lateral resolution of confocal Raman microscopes, but also for daily checks of their mapping scales.
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Table 1. Measured x- and y-pitches of dark spots for pinhole sizes of 50 and 200 μm

| Pinhole size (μm) | Order | x-pitchа (μm) | y-pitchа (μm) |
|-------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|
| 50 μm             | 1st   | 0.608 (0.010) | 0.600 (0.005) |
|                   | 2nd   | 0.601 (0.011) | 0.604 (0.008) |
|                   | 3rd   | 0.606 (0.007) | 0.606 (0.013) |
|                   | 4th   | 0.606 (0.016) | 0.606 (0.014) |
|                   | 5th   | 0.598 (0.007) | 0.602 (0.008) |
| 200 μm            | 1st   | 0.603 (0.019) | 0.589 (0.011) |
|                   | 2nd   | 0.601 (0.033) | 0.593 (0.024) |
|                   | 3rd   | 0.605 (0.011) | 0.594 (0.024) |
|                   | 4th   | 0.595 (0.005) | 0.584 (0.007) |
|                   | 5th   | 0.600 (0.015) | 0.589 (0.039) |

а The values in parentheses are standard deviations for four peak intervals obtained for five peaks.
Table 2. $D_{12-88}$ values obtained with various pinhole sizes

| Pinhole size ($\mu$m) | Pinhole area ($\mu$m$^2$) | $D_{12-88}$ (µm)    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 25                    | 491                      | 0.426 (0.010)       |
| 50                    | 1963                     | 0.536 (0.036)       |
| 100                   | 7854                     | 0.631 (0.048)       |
| 200                   | 31416                    | 0.642 (0.043)       |

$^a$ The values in parentheses are standard deviations for quintuple analyses.
Figure Captions

Fig. 1
A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of tungsten-dot array with a pitch of 600 nm in NMIJ CRM 5207-a.

Fig. 2
Typical Raman spectra of Si and tungsten (W) without binning of the CCD channel. The Si peak at 520 cm\(^{-1}\) was used for subsequent experiments.

Fig. 3
Raman spectral maps of the Si signal at 520 cm\(^{-1}\) and their profiles obtained pinhole sizes of 50 μm (a) and 200 μm (b). The green-colored areas correspond to Si, and the signal profiles were obtained from the area defined by the red dashed lines on the maps. For interpretation of the references to color in this figure caption, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.

Fig. 4
Si signal profiles and their fitting results at the third x-profile and third y-profile obtained at pinhole sizes of 50 μm (a) and 200 μm (b). Black: data, red: fitted curve. For interpretation of the references to color in this figure caption, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.

Fig. 5
Typical optical images of a scale indicator obtained with 10× (left) and 100× (right) objective lenses (a), and a typical Si signal profiles of this line obtained at pinhole sizes of 25, 50, 100,
and 200 μm (b). The short yellow line in Fig. 5a (right) was the example used for the straight-edge method and corresponds to the profiles in Fig. 5b.
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Fig. 5
Typical optical images of a scale indicator obtained with 10 × (left) and 100 × (right) objective lenses (a), and a typical Si signal profiles of this line obtained at pinhole sizes of 25, 50, 100, and 200 μm (b). The short yellow line in Fig. 5a (right) was the example used for the straight-edge method and corresponds to the profiles in Fig. 5b.
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