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Abstract

Subcortical band heterotopia (SBH), also known as double-cortex syndrome, is a neuronal migration disorder characterized by an accumulation of neurons in a heterotopic band below the normotopic cortex. The majority of patients with SBH have mild to moderate intellectual disability and intractable epilepsy. However, it is still not clear how cortical networks are organized in SBH patients and how this abnormal organization contributes to improper brain function. In this study, cortical networks were investigated in the barrel cortex in an animal model of SBH induced by in utero knockdown of Dcx, main causative gene of this condition in human patients. When the SBH was localized below the Barrel Field (BF), layer (L) four projection to correctly positioned L2/3 pyramidal cells was weakened due to lower connectivity. Conversely, when the SBH was below an adjacent cortical region, the excitatory L4 to L2/3 projection was stronger due to increased L4 neuron excitability, synaptic strength and excitation/inhibition ratio of L4 to L2/3 connection. We propose that these developmental alterations contribute to the spectrum of clinical dysfunctions reported in patients with SBH.
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Introduction

Gray matter heterotopia (GMH) is a group of neurological disorders resulting from abnormal neuronal migration or abnormal positioning and proliferation of neural progenitors (reviewed in Watrin et al. 2015). They are characterized by the presence of ectopic clusters of neurons along the ventricular walls (periventricular nodular heterotopia) or in the white matter (subcortical heterotopia and subcortical band heterotopia [SBH]) which is also called double cortex. GMHs are at the origin of hampering symptoms including refractory epilepsy and intellectual disability, with alterations ranging from mild to profound (Dobyns 2010; Guerrini and Dobyns 2014). However, despite the medical and familial impact of GMHs, the pathophysiological bases of clinical manifestations remain poorly understood.

Although the neurons retained in the SBH were destined to populate the overlying cortex, the typical 6-layer cytoarchitectonic organization of this normotopic cortex appears normal in a large population of patients (~28% of cases) (reviewed in Bahi-Buisson et al. 2013) and it is the same in relevant animal models of SBH like Dcx knockdown (Dcx-KD) (Bai et al. 2003) and Tish (Schottler et al. 2001) rats. Interestingly, functional imaging investigations performed on a restricted number of patients suggest that GMHs are associated with reorganizations of cortical representations (Richardson et al. 1998; Pinard et al. 2000; Spreer et al. 2001; Jirsch et al. 2006; Vitali et al. 2008). These authors reported a more widespread activation of the normotopic cortex as compared with control subjects and even in some patients the recruitment of the cortex beyond the limits of the
malformation (Richardson et al. 1998; Vitali et al. 2008). Although the interpretation of these data is hampered by the small number of cases, the variability in the characteristics of heterotopia (e.g., size and localization) and limitations of functional imaging techniques, these data strongly support a link between GMHs and altered organization of cortical circuits. In addition, neurons in the overlying normotopic cortex in SBH animal models display a significant increase in spontaneous glutamatergic synaptic currents (Zhu and Roper 2000; Ackman et al. 2009) and a reduction in GABAergic inhibition (Zhu and Roper 2000; Trotter et al. 2006).

In this report, we seek to evaluate whether SBH affects the organization of functional connections in the barrel field of the primary somatosensory cortex, a classical model to study developing cortical circuits. We used a novel rat model of SBH (Sahu et al. 2016 and in preparation), that we generated by combining RNAi-mediated knockdown of Dcx (Dcx-KD), the main causative gene of SBH (des Portes et al. 1998; Gleeson et al. 1998), with in utero electroporation using a triple electrode configuration enabling simultaneous transfection of the 2 brain hemispheres. These Dcx-KD rats develop bilateral SBH that localize in the white matter either below the barrel field of primary somatosensory cortex or below a cortical area lateral to the barrel field, depending on the position of the electrodes used for electroporating RNAi constructs. We took advantage of these inter-individual differences in SBH localization to evaluate the specific impact of SBH position on the organization of functional connections in the rat barrel field, a model system for studying functional cortical circuits. We utilized Laser Scanning PhotoStimulation (LSPS) with glutamate uncaging combined to patch-clamp recordings to map and quantify the strength of functional projections converging onto L2/3 pyramidal neurons in cortical slices from rats (Shepherd et al. 2003). Our data indicate that the presence of subcortical heterotopia below the BF weakens the principal L4 to L2/3 projection in both barrels, depending on the position of the electrodes...
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at 10 kHz. Focal photolysis of caged glutamate was accomplished with a 2-ms 20 mW pulse of a UV (355 nm) laser (DPSS Lasers Inc.) through a 0.16 NA 4x objective (Olympus). The standard stimulus pattern for LSPS mapping consisted of 324 positions on an 18 x 18 grid (spacing = 75 μm). The slice was oriented so that the pia was on the top and the barrels A to E were aligned horizontally from left to right. The laser was moved in a spatial pattern designed to avoid consecutive glutamate uncaging over neighboring pixel sites (Shepherd et al. 2003). LSPS was also used to quantify the generation of spikes in neurons. Excitation profiles of L4 cortical cells were recorded in loose-seal configuration while uncaging glutamate over a smaller grid (centered on the soma, 8 x 8 grid, spacing = 50 μm). Recordings were performed in columns corresponding to the B or C whiskers.

Analysis of LSPS Maps

Synaptic input maps for individual neurons were constructed by computing the mean current amplitude calculated in a 100-ms time window 7 ms after the UV stimulus for each position of photostimulation. Typically, 2-4 maps were obtained per cell and averaged. Synaptic and direct responses were distinguished based on their latencies. Sites where stimulation evoked direct responses were close to the recording site and were excluded from the analysis. Averaged single-cell maps were used to compute group-averaged maps. Interpolation was performed on averaged synaptic input maps for display purposes. Traces of loose-seal recordings were analyzed for APs. A spatial profile of excitability (excitation profile) was generated by plotting the number of APs elicited at each uncaging site in a 100-ms time window immediately after the stimulus. All values are given as means ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5. All P values for Figure 2 refer to two-way ANOVA test and Dunnett’s multiple comparison post-test. For Figures 3, 5D and 6, P values refer to Kruskal-Wallis test, unless noted otherwise. For Figure 4, P value refers to Spearman test. For Figure 5G, P value refers to Mann-Whitney test.

Minimal Stimulation

After patching the L2/3 cell, glutamate was uncaged 15–25 times every 15 s in L4, at a site where one of the largest responses was detected in an LSPS input map. The laser power was lowered until 1 or 2 unitary postsynaptic currents (uPSCs) of constant amplitude were seen repeatedly with detectable failure rates (10–20%). These failures were attributable to failures in evoking APs in L4 cells (Bureau et al. 2008). The uPSCs were selected manually and detection threshold for events was set at 6 pA, about 2 times the noise standard deviation (Mismatch, 2.9 ± 0.1 pA; SBH below BF, 3.5 ± 0.2 pA; SBH lateral to BF, 3.0 ± 0.2 pA). Amplitudes of uPSCs were averaged per L4 to L2/3 connection.

Histology

Slices from brains of all analyzed rats were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4% in phosphate-buffered saline [PBS]). Slices were permeabilized for 1 h at room temperature in PBS–Triton X-100 (0.1%) - goat serum (5%). After permeabilization, revelation of biocytin-injected neurons was obtained with Alexa 555-conjugated streptavidin (1:500; Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents) for staining of transfected cells. Sections were examined under a Zeiss laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM800) using 5x and 20x objectives. Images were digitized using the built-in software (ZEN 2) and exported in tiff format.

Results

Inter-Individual Differences in SBH Position in Dcx-KD Rats

The main objective of our study was to investigate the functional organization of cortical circuits in brains with SBH. To this purpose, we studied a rat model of SBH (Sahu et al. 2016 and in prep), that we generated by in utero electroporation with RNAi-mediated knockdown of Dcx, the main causative gene of SBH in human patients (Gleeson et al. 1998; des Portes et al. 1998). In utero electroporation was performed at E16 leading to a Dcx knockdown in developing upper layer neurons (L4, L2/3). These rats present with bilateral SBH resembling those seen in human patients, and develop spontaneous epileptic manifestations after the age of 2 months (Sahu et al. 2016 and in preparation). Here, we studied these rats at P13-P16, when projections to L2/3 were developing (Bureau et al. 2004) and before animals showed signs of epilepsy.

We cut brain slices so as to preserve circuit organization in the BF (Finnerty et al. 1999). Visual inspection of slices revealed that SBH position in the white matter varied from below the BF to below the adjacent motor areas (Fig. 1), likely depending on the position of electrodes used for electroporating RNAi constructs. We took advantage of this inter-individual difference to evaluate separately the effects of SBH on circuit organization of functional connections impinging onto pyramidal cells that were correctly positioned in L2/3 of the BF. To this purpose, we categorized 2 different situations according to the position of SBH relative to BF (Fig. 1): either the SBH extended directly below the recorded cortical columns corresponding to whiskers B and C of the BF (n = 11 rats), or it localized lateral to the medial boundary of the recorded columns (see Methods) (n = 17 rats). Our control situation corresponded to rats electroporated with ineffective RNAI constructs (mismatch), and harboring no SBH (n = 11 rats).

Figure 1. Representative examples of across-barrel brain slices in Dcx-KD rats highlighting inter-individual differences in subcortical band heterotopia (SBH) positions. Fluorescence microphotographs are superimposed on schematized views of across-barrel slices for illustrating the position of SBH relative to the barrel field (BF). Red dashed line rectangles illustrate the cortical columns of interest for mapping. Red point into the slice represents the cell labeled with biocytin and recorded in electrophysiological experiments (Columns B or C).
Figure 2. Excitatory and inhibitory synaptic input maps for L2/3 neurons in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats. (A) Cells were recorded in L2/3 (holding: −70 mV) and the 18 × 18 uncaging grid stimulated 3 cortical columns of the barrel field (BF), from L2 to L6. Colors indicate the mean amplitude of excitatory synaptic responses in a 100-ms time window. White circles show soma positions of recorded L2/3 neurons. Solid white lines delineate barrels. Synaptic responses evoked at blue pixels close to recording sites were masked by direct glutamate-evoked responses and could not be analyzed. Examples traces of synaptic currents recorded in L2/3 cells and evoked by stimulating the L4 are shown for each group at the right. Scale bars are 50 pA and 100 ms. (B) Horizontal profile (75 μm bins) of L2/3 excitatory inputs as a function of distance with soma in mismatch (black) and Dcx-KD rats (gray, the SBH is below the BF; open symbols, the SBH is lateral to the BF). The gray area in maps shown in inset indicates the region of analysis. (C) Same as in B for L4 excitatory inputs. (D) Same as in B for L5/6 excitatory inputs. (E–F) Same as in A B C D for inhibitory synaptic inputs recorded in L2/3 pyramidal cells at 0 mV membrane potential. Examples traces of synaptic currents recorded in L2/3 cells and evoked by stimulating the L4 are shown for each group at the right. Scale bars are 50 pA and 100 ms. Two-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001.
Excitatory and Inhibitory Synaptic Inputs onto L2/3 Neurons are Differentially Altered Depending on SBH Position

To map the functional excitatory and inhibitory projections to L2/3 neurons in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats, we measured excitatory/inhibitory input ratios in L2/3 neurons upon glutamate uncaging. Glutamate uncaging in L2/3, L4, and deep layers in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats. The excitatory/inhibitory input ratio from L4 of the same column (A) is significantly increased when the SBH is lateral to the barrel field (BF). No changes were observed for projections originating in adjacent columns (B). (Kruskal-Wallis test, *P < 0.05).

The Strength of L4 to L2/3 Projections is Correlated with the Size and Position of Subcortical Band Heterotopia in the Slice

The distance separating the recorded neuron from the malformation was different for each animal. We found that the Dcx-KD group of rats with SBH lateral to BF could be further divided (Fig. 4A) so that a gradient of effect was now observed between L4 inputs and SBH position (Fig. 4B). L4 inputs were stronger when the SBH was totally excluded from the BF area in the slice. They displayed an intermediate strength when the SBH was localized under other cortex and extended to the most medial portion of the BF, adjacent to the columns recorded. Finally, they were weaker when the SBH localized directly below the columns recorded in the BF (Fig. 4B).

In addition of having variable positions, SBH had different sizes and positions. SBH had different sizes from 0.088 to 0.615 mm² (mean value was 0.39 ± 0.19 mm², measured in the recorded slice). We found that when the SBH was completely out of the BF area in the recorded slice, the amplitude of L4 inputs to L2/3 increased in a correlative manner with the size of malformation (Spearman r = 0.83, n = 11, P < 0.01; Fig. 4C, D). We also noticed that the SBH must have a minimal size (>0.2 mm²) in order to see this potentiation. No correlation was observed when the SBH were in the BF area (Spearman r = 0 for SBH under BF, n = 9; Spearman r = 0.26 for SBH intermediate, P > 0.05, n = 7).

Overall, these results suggest that the functional organization of cortical circuits is altered in brain with SBH, with individual differences related to the precise location and size of SBH.

Increased Excitability of L4 Neurons When SBH is Out the Barrel Field

We next studied if the change in the ascending L4 to L2/3 projection in Dcx-KD rats when the SBH localized out of the BF was due to an increase of L4 cell excitation evoked by LSPS. To evaluate L4 cell excitation, we recorded AP in loose-seal configuration (Shepherd and Svoboda 2005; Bureau et al. 2008). Glutamate was uncaged on an 8 × 8 grid centered on the patch pipette (Fig. 5A). Glutamate-evoked excitation was similar in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats when SBH was below the BF (Fig. 5B–D; n = 19 and 16, respectively). Then, L4 cells fired about 1 AP when glutamate was uncaged above or close to the soma. Firing increased to about 2 AP per site in slices where SBH was out of the BF (n = 12 cells; Fig. 5B–D). Interestingly, the glutamate-evoked excitation was intermediate when the SBH was in the BF but on the side of the investigated column (n = 8 cells, mean = 1.5 AP/site). These data suggest that intrinsic properties of L4 cells change depending on the position of the SBH.

We studied this hypothesis with whole-cell recording experiments in which firing activity was measured with the injection of steps of depolarizing current (Fig. 5E). Firing rate
was larger in Dcx-KD when the SBH was out of the BF for every step of currents tested (100–300 pA, \( n = 6 \) cells) compared with when the SBH was below the BF (\( n = 14 \) cells; Fig. 5F). Furthermore, the membrane threshold above which AP was elicited was lower by 6 mV in cases SBH was out of the BF (\( P < 0.01 \), Mann–Whitney test, Fig. 5G). Altogether, these results suggest a hyperexcitability of L4 cells in barrels lateral to the malformation that could account for the brighter LSPS input maps described earlier.

Increased Synaptic Strength in L2/3 Cells of Dcx-KD Rats When the SBH is Out of the BF

Another mechanism that could contribute to the strengthening of L4 to L2/3 projection is an increase of synaptic strength. We measured the strength of the synaptic connection in pairs of L4–L2/3 connected cells with a LSPS-based minimal stimulation protocol (Bureau et al. 2008). For each pyramidal cell recorded in L2/3, glutamate was uncaged at low frequency at 1 site in L4 that evoked a robust response. Then, laser power was decreased until each stimulus evoked a single EPSC of constant amplitude or none in the postsynaptic cell (Fig. 6A; see Methods). Under these conditions, each EPSC corresponded to the stimulation of a single presynaptic cell firing 1 AP. Amplitudes of unitary events (\( I_{uni} \)) were similar in mismatch rats and in Dcx-KD rats with a SBH below the BF (16 ± 5 pA, \( n = 17 \) and 16 ± 8 pA, \( n = 11 \), respectively, Fig. 6B). However, amplitude increased significantly when the SBH was located out of the BF (27 ± 13 pA, \( n = 13 \), \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 6B).

Thus, the stronger L4 to L2/3 projections observed in LSPS maps of rats with a SBH lateral to the BF could be explained by stronger synapses and hyperexcitability of L4 cells. In contrast, the lack of difference in the size of unitary events, AP discharge and intrinsic excitability suggest the weaker L4 to L2/3 projections observed in LSPS maps of rats with a SBH below the BF was due to lower connectivity.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the functional intracortical organization of the BF in presence of a malformation. In our rat model, SBH has variable size and position in the white matter that resembled clinical descriptions of heterotopia in patients with double-cortex. We found the spectrum of changes observed in Dcx-KD rats could be categorized in 2 main categories: one occurring when the normotopic cortex is located above the SBH and the other occurring when the normotopic cortex is located laterally to the SBH. The presence of the SBH below the BF led to a weakening of excitatory and inhibitory projections impinging onto L2/3 pyramidal cells which soma was located in the above normotopic cortex. In contrast, the presence of the SBH in the adjacent cortical area led to a strengthening of these excitatory projections.

Changes in the Cortex Above the Heterotopia

In Dcx-KD rats, we found that L4 to L2/3 excitatory projection was 50% weaker when the SBH was located below the BF. This was likely caused by a decrease in connectivity because other
investigated cellular parameters (i.e., synaptic strength and L4 excitability) were stable. Since neurons forming the SBH do not reach the L4–L2/3 upper cortical layers to which they were destined, these layers may have a neuronal depletion which could explain the weaker L4 to L2/3 excitatory projection. However, depletion of cells should lead to the development of stronger synaptic connections as observed in vitro (Ivenshitz and Segal 2010), which does not occur in our rat model. Anatomical investigations are needed to resolve this issue. Another hypothesis is that the lower density of L4 to L2/3 connections is a consequence of perturbations that occurred at an earlier stage of sensory integration, at the level of thalamocortical projection in L4, which would deprive cortex from normal sensory inputs and alter development of circuits (Erzurumlu and Gaspar 2012).

Note that barrels were still visible in Dcx-KD rats, an observation inconsistent with a complete loss of thalamic inputs. Nevertheless, the SBH might either partially prevent the penetration of thalamic axons into the cortex or alter the functional consolidation of thalamocortical synapses. Clinical evidences are contradictory at this point. Investigations of fiber bundles in patients with a SBH using diffusion-tensor imaging and fiber tractography described indeed a disorganization of the main axonal tracts (Lee et al. 2005; Lu et al. 2008; Iannetti et al. 2011). But another study described similar patterns of connectivity in patients and controls, with tracts that appeared to cross or end within the band heterotopia (Eriksson et al. 2002). Variability in heterotopic bands between subjects could reconcile these findings (Lu et al. 2008). Another important feature to consider is

**Figure 5.** L4 neurons are hyperexcitable in Dcx-KD rats when the Subcortical Band Heterotopia (SBH) is located out of the Barrel Field (BF). (A) Illustration of the protocol. The cell recorded in loose patch configuration is in L4, at the center of a 8 × 8 uncaging grid. (B) Examples of photostimulation-evoked action potential (AP) elicited over the 8 × 8 uncaging grid in L4 neurons from mismatch and Dcx-KD rats. APs are shown enlarged for each condition. (C) Excitation profiles averaged across L4 neurons in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats. Number of action potentials (APs) is color-coded. (D) Number of evoked APs in mismatch and Dcx-KD rats. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test. (E) Discharges in L4 cells from 2 Dcx-KD rats with a SBH below the recorded cells (left) or out of the BF (right) evoked by steps of depolarizing currents. (F) Number of APs evoked by increasing steps of depolarizing currents in L4 cells of Dcx-KD rats. (G) AP threshold recorded in L4 cells of Dcx-KD rats. Mann-Whitney test, **P < 0.01.
the contribution of ectopic neurons to cortical function. Indeed, functional MRI studies have shown that the SBH is also activated during the completion of sensory tasks (Richardson et al. 1998; Sporre et al. 2001; Villani et al. 2004; Wennberg et al. 2004; Jirsch et al. 2006; Vitali et al. 2008). Interestingly, research on Tish rats, a model of double-cortex caused by a spontaneous mutation in Em1 (Grosenbaugh et al. 2017), showed that stimulation of single whisker increased activity of both normotopic and heterotopic neurons (Schottler et al. 2003). Hence, 2 whisker maps could co-exist, one in the normotopic cortex, the other in the SBH, and that the decreased strength of intracortical circuits in the normotopic cortex would result from a dispersion of afferent inputs in the 2 different target areas. These hypotheses could be tested with the study of the functional properties and topography of thalamocortical connections in the diseased cortex.

Changes in the Cortex Lateral to the SBH

Changes in projections impinging onto L2/3 pyramidal cells were entirely different when the SBH was out of BF. L4 to L2/3 excitatory projection was strengthened by a factor of 2 due to an increased excitability of L4 cells and a strengthening of L4 to L2/3 synapses. No change was detected in inhibition suggesting an increased excitability of L4 cells and a strengthening of L4 to excitatory projection was strengthened by a factor of 2 due to

Changes in projections impinging onto L2/3 pyramidal cells were entirely different when the SBH was out of BF. L4 to L2/3 excitatory projection was strengthened by a factor of 2 due to an increased excitability of L4 cells and a strengthening of L4 to L2/3 synapses. No change was detected in inhibition suggesting an increased excitability of L4 cells and a strengthening of L4 to excitatory projection was strengthened by a factor of 2 due to

Figure 6. Strength of single L4 to L2/3 connections is enhanced when Subcortical Band Heterotopia (SBH) is located out the Barrel Field (BF). (A) Traces are examples of unitary events evoked by minimal stimulation in L4 and recorded in L2/3 pyramidal cell in a mismatch rat (left), and in 2 Dox-KD rats with a SBH either below (middle) or out of the BF (right). Vertical dashed lines indicate time of photostimulus. Arrowheads show unitary events evoked by stimulations of same presynaptic L4 neuron across trials. (B) Amplitude of unitary events in mismatch and Dox-KD rats. Kruskal-Wallis test, *P < 0.05.

Functional Disorganization and Clinical Manifestations

It is unclear at present if patients with SBH display alterations in cortical areas next to the lesion as most functional studies were dedicated to identifying epileptogenic focus. However, the analysis of interictal spikes with EEG combined with functional MRI helps identifying functional networks. In the large majority of patients with a SBH, activation was detected in the heterotopia and the surrounding cortex (Ma et al. 2003; Tassi et al. 2005; Kobayashi et al. 2006; Tysert et al. 2008; Pizzo et al. 2017). The analysis of BOLD (Blood oxygenation level-dependent) signals identified a concomitant involvement of distal sites (Kobayashi et al. 2006) in the majority of these analyses. Similar observations have been reported in patients with periventricular nodular heterotopia (Valton et al. 2008; Christodoulou et al. 2012; Shafi et al. 2015; Pizzo et al. 2017). Interestingly, imaging analysis of patients with cortical malformations, the cortex lateral to a SBH, revealed signs of cortical functional reorganization beyond visible lesion boundaries (Richardson et al. 1998; Pinard et al. 2000; Sporre et al. 2001; Jirsch et al. 2006; Vitali et al. 2008), and it was proposed that activation of wider cortical areas indicates the need for a widespread network to perform simple tasks (Richardson et al. 1998, Jirsch et al. 2006). Our data demonstrating a functional reorganization of the cortex lateral to the SBH in animals before epilepsy onset, would provide a rationale for the changes observed in patients. Moreover, the observation that the SBH must have a minimal size below motor cortex in order to see significant changes in the BF (Fig. 4D) is aligned with clinical observations that epilepsy severity and the size of heterotopia are linked (Bahi-Buisson et al. 2013). We propose that band heterotopia formation leads to a secondary functional reorganization of the adjacent cortex which increases its excitation that subsequently contributes to the generation of epileptic networks. It will be important to further characterize this sequence of events in order to better define epileptogenic foci and propagation pathways.

Other types of cortical malformations might lead to similar consequences. Investigations of a rat model of microgyria induced by freeze-lesioning of deep layer neurons at neonatal stage demonstrated that limb and trunk somatosensory areas, 0.5–1 mm lateral to the microgyria, displayed an increased excitation in L5 and an increased inhibition in L5 and L2/3 (Jacobs...
Differences exist with the Dcx-KD model as for the mechanisms of disruption because freeze lesion did not change the excitability of excitatory neurons and it strengthened inhibitory synapses. There are great differences between the 2 animal models that would explain it. Dcx-KD rats have developmental alteration in which neurons fail to migrate and integrate the overlapping cortex while freeze-lesioned rats experience the destruction of layers already formed and somehow connected. Yet despite divergences in origin and mechanism, the final consequence of both malformations is an increased activation of the adjacent, in appearance sane, cortical area. 

Our study indicates that the formation of SBH in Dcx-KD rats induces alteration in cortical network development that would have important functional consequences. Our study demonstrates that the impact of the heterotopia differs with its size and precise position and that not only the overlaying cortex but also the cortex lateral to the heterotopia are modified. Further studies are required for evaluating the impact of these changes on the BF functions.
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