Exponential stability estimates for an axially travelling string damped at one end
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ABSTRACT
We study the small vibrations of an axially travelling string with a dashpot damping at one end. The string is modelled by a wave equation in a time-dependent interval with two endpoints moving at a constant speed $v$. For the undamped case, we obtain a conserved functional equivalent to the energy of the solution. We derive precise upper and lower exponentially decaying estimates for the energy with explicit constants. These estimates do not seem to be reported in the literature even for the non-travelling case $v = 0$.

1. Introduction
We consider small transversal vibrations of a uniform string travelling with a constant speed $v$ between two pulleys (inlet and outlet) kept at a fixed distance $L$. The mechanical setting is sketched in Figure 1 where the inlet is fixed while the outlet is allowed to move transversely and attached to a damping device (a dashpot with damping factor $\eta$).

Many mechanical devices with axially moving continua, such as power transmission chains and belts, magnetic tapes, band saws and fibre winders, see for instance [1–5], are limited in their efficiency and utility due to unwanted vibrations. As a result, the stabilisation of axially moving systems is necessary to reduce or eliminate these vibrations and improve the overall performance and productivity of these mechanical systems.

The existing approaches in the literature describe the above problem in fixed space coordinates, see for instance [1, 3, 6–10]. Gaiko and van Horssen [11] considered a simplified mathematical model describing the small vibration of the string with a mass-spring-dashpot damping at the outlet. Under the restriction that the speed $v$ and the damping factor are small, i.e. $0 < v \ll 1$ and $\eta \ll 1$, the authors in [11] obtained an asymptotic approximation for the solution of (1) using a multiple scale approach.

Denoting the displacement function by $u$, which depends on the time $\tau$ and the position $s$ along the string, with only a dashpot damping at the outlet, their model can be stated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    & u_{\tau \tau} + 2v u_{s\tau} - (1 - v^2) u_{ss} = 0, & & \text{for } s \in (0, L) \text{ and } \tau > 0, \\
    & u(0, \tau) = 0, & & \text{for } \tau > 0, \\
    & (1 - v^2) u_s(L, \tau) + (\eta - v) u_\tau(L, \tau) = 0, & & \text{for } \tau > 0, \\
    & u(s, 0) = u^0(s), \quad u_\tau(s, 0) = u^1(s), & & \text{for } s \in (0, L),
\end{align*}
\] (1)
where the subscripts $\tau$ and $s$ stand for the derivatives in time and space variables respectively. The functions $u^0$ and $u^1$ represent the initial shape and the initial transverse speed of the string, respectively. See Appendix A for the derivation of the above governing equation and its boundary conditions.

In the present work, we do not consider the magnitudes of $v$ and $\eta$ as small ones. We only assume that $\eta \geq 0$ and that the speed $v$ is strictly less than the speed of propagation of the wave (here normalised to $c = 1$), i.e.

$$0 \leq v < 1. \quad (2)$$

If the speed $v$ approaches the critical speed $c = 1$, an instability will occur, as shown in [5, 10]. Another key difference with most of the existing works is that we consider the model in a moving space coordinates. We introduce the variables

$$s = L - x + vt \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = t,$$

hence

$$x \in I_t := (vt, L + vt), \quad \text{for} \ t \geq 0,$$

which is an interval travelling in the positive sense of the real axis (as in [4, 12]). It follows that

$$\partial_s = -\partial_x \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_s = v \partial_x + \partial_t.$$

Rewriting Problem (1) in the new coordinates, we obtain the following (pure) wave equation with a damping at the moving boundary $x = vt$,

$$\begin{cases}
\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} = 0, & \text{for } x \in I_t \text{ and } t > 0, \\
(1 - \eta v) \phi_x (vt, t) - (\eta - v) \phi_t (vt, t) = 0, & \text{for } t > 0, \\
\phi (L + vt, t) = 0, & \text{for } t > 0, \\
\phi (x, 0) = \phi^0 (x), \phi_t (x, 0) = \phi^1 (x), & \text{for } x \in I_0,
\end{cases} \quad (WP)$$

where $\phi^0 = u^0$ and $\phi^1 = u^1 - v \phi^0_x$.

Let us denote

$$\gamma_v := \frac{1 + v}{1 - v}, \quad \gamma_\eta := \frac{1 + \eta}{1 - \eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_n := \begin{cases}
\frac{(2n + 1)i\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma_\eta, & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < 1, \\
ni\pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta|, & \text{if } \eta > 1.
\end{cases}$$

Note that

- $\gamma_v \geq 1$ for $0 \leq v < 1$,
- $|\gamma_\eta| \geq 1$ and hence the real part of $\omega_n$ remains negative, for $\eta > 0$ with $\eta \neq 1$.
As a first result, we derive a closed form for the solution of (WP) given by the series formulas

$$\phi(x, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \left( \gamma_n e^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n} \alpha_n (t+x)} + e^{\frac{1}{\gamma_n} \alpha_n (t-x)} \right), \quad \text{for } x \in I_t \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0,$$

which is a sum of two waves travelling in opposite directions. The coefficients $a_n$ are explicitly given in function of the initial data $\phi^0$ and $\phi^1$, see Theorem 2.1.

Next, we demonstrate how the series formulas (3) can be used to achieve the following results:

- **For the undamped case, i.e. $\eta = 0$,** we show that the functional

$$\mathcal{E}_v(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_t} (\phi_t + v \phi_x)^2 + (1 - v^2) \phi_x^2 \, dx, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

depending on $L, t, v$ and the solution $\phi$ of (WP), is conserved in time. See Theorem 3.1. Note that under the assumption (2), the functional $\mathcal{E}_v$ is positive-definite and we will call it the ‘energy’ of the solution $\phi$.

- **For the damped case $\eta > 0$ with $\eta \neq 1$,** the (usual) energy

$$E_v(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_t} \phi_t^2 (x, t) + \phi_x^2 (x, t) \, dx, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

depending on $L, t, v$ and the solution of (WP), satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2 \gamma_\nu} E_v(0) e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \ln|\gamma_n|^t} \leq E_v(t) \leq \gamma_\nu^2 \gamma_v E_v(0) e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \ln|\gamma_n|^t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

See Theorem 4.1 and its corollaries for sharper estimates. In particular, it follows that the energy does not vanish in finite time.

The upper and lower estimates given in (6), with explicit constants and the same exponential function in both estimates, are new to the best of our knowledge. The approach presented here relays on Fourier series and Parseval’s identity, and it does not involve semigroup theory as in [10, 13]. Even for a non-travelling string, i.e. when $v = 0$, the precise estimate

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} E_0(0) e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \ln|\gamma_n|^t} \leq E_0(t) \leq \gamma_\nu^2 E_0(0) e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \ln|\gamma_n|^t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

seems to be not reported in the literature. The existing results assure only that

$$E_0(t) \leq KE_0(0) e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \ln|\gamma_n|^t},$$

for a (non-explicitly given) positive constant $K$, see for instance [14–17].

For the special case $\eta = 1$ and $0 \leq v < 1$, the boundary condition at $x = vt$ reads

$$\phi_x(vt, t) - \phi_t(vt, t) = 0.$$

This is a transparent condition, i.e. there is no reflection of waves from the boundary $x = vt$ and consequently all the initial disturbances leave the interval $(vt, L + vt)$ at most after a time

$$T_v := \frac{L}{1 + v} + \frac{L}{1 - v} = \frac{2L}{1 - v^2}.$$

That is to say that the linear velocity feedback $\phi_t(vt, t)$ steers the solution to the zero state in the finite time $T_v$. See for instance [10] for the case $0 < v < 1$ and [14, 15] for $v = 0$. In the remaining of this paper, we assume that $\eta \neq 1$. 
After the present introduction, we derive the exact solution and the expression for the coefficients of the series formula (3). In Section 3, we show that the energy $E_v$ of the undamped equation is constant in time. In Section 4, we show the exponential stability when $\eta > 0$. The last section is devoted to some numerical examples.

2. Exact solution

To compute the solution of Problem (WP), given by (3), we must compute the coefficients $a_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. To this end, we need to know the functions $\phi_0$ and $\phi_1$ on an interval larger than $I_0 = (0, L)$. As in [12, 18], we introduce

$$L_2 := \frac{2L}{1-v}$$

and extend $\phi$ to the interval $(L + vt, L_2 + vt)$ by setting

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\phi(x, t), & x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
-\phi \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_v} (vt - x) + \frac{2L}{1+v} + vt, t \right), & x \in (L + vt, L_2 + vt). 
\end{cases}$$

(8)

The obtained function is well defined since the first variable of $\phi$ remains in the interval $(vt, L + vt)$. In particular, the homogeneous boundary condition $\phi(L + vt, t) = 0$ remains satisfied, for every $t \geq 0$.

Remark 2.1: Clearly, $0 < L \leq L_2/2$ for $0 \leq v < 1$. If $v = 0$, then $L_2 = 2L$ and the function $\tilde{\phi}$ on $(L, 2L)$ is an odd function on $(0, 2L)$, with respect to $x = L$. If $0 < v < 1$, then $\phi$ is extended as an odd function with an extra dilatation on the added interval $(L + vt, L_2 + vt)$, see Figure 2.

Taking the derivative of (8) with respect to $x$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\phi}_x(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\phi_x(x, t), & x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
\frac{1}{\gamma_v} \phi_x \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_v} (vt - x) + \frac{2L}{1+v} + vt, t \right), & x \in (L + vt, L_2 + vt). 
\end{cases}$$

(9)

On the other hand, the time derivative is extended as follows:

$$\tilde{\phi}_t(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\phi_t(x, t), & x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
-\frac{1}{\gamma_v} \phi_t \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_v} (vt - x) + \frac{2L}{1+v} + vt, t \right), & x \in (L + vt, L_2 + vt). 
\end{cases}$$

(10)

Let us introduce the following family of Hilbert spaces:

$$\mathcal{H}_{L+vt} (I_t) := \{ w \in H^1 (I_t), w(L + vt) = 0 \}, \text{ for } t \geq 0,$$
where \( H^1(I_t) \) is the Sobolev space defined on \( I_t \). We assume that the initial data satisfies

\[
\phi^0 \in \mathcal{H}_L(I_0), \quad \phi^1 \in L^2(I_0).
\]

(11)

Now, we are ready to state the following existence and uniqueness result for Problem (WP).

**Theorem 2.1:** Let \( T > 0 \). Under the assumptions (2) and (11), the solution of Problem (WP)

\[
\phi \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{H}_{L+vt}(I_t)) \cap C^1([0,T]; L^2(I_t)),
\]

(12)

is given by the series (3) where the coefficients \( a_n \in \mathbb{C} \) are computed by the following formula:

\[
a_n = \frac{1}{4\gamma_n \omega_n} \int_0^{L^2} \left( \phi_x^0 + \phi_x^1 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{L} \omega_n x} \, dx, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(13)

Moreover, we have

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 = \frac{L}{8 (1 - v)} \int_{vt}^{L^2 + vt} e^{\frac{1}{L} \ln \gamma_1 (t+x)} \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right)^2 \, dx < +\infty,
\]

(14)

where \( \phi_x^0 \) and \( \phi_x^1 \) are extensions of the initial data \( \phi^0 \) and \( \phi^1 \) on the interval \((0, L^2)\) given above by (9) and (10) respectively.

**Proof:** The general solution of (WP) is given by D’Alembert’s formula

\[
\phi(x, t) = f(t + x) + g(t - x),
\]

where \( f \) and \( g \) are arbitrary continuous functions. Let us check the boundary conditions. On one hand, at the left endpoint we have

\[
(1 - \eta v) f'(v + v) t - g'((1 - \eta v) t) = (\eta - \eta v) \left(f'((1 + \eta v) t) + g'((1 - \eta v) t)\right).
\]

Setting \( z = (1 - \eta v)t \), we obtain

\[
(1 - \eta v - \eta + v) f'((\gamma v) z) = (1 - \eta v + \eta - v) g'((\eta - \eta v) t).
\]

(15)

On the other hand, at the right endpoint, we infer that

\[
f((1 + \eta v) t + L) + g((1 - \eta v) t - L) = 0.
\]

Denoting \( y = z - L \), we obtain

\[
f(\gamma v y + \frac{2L}{1 - v}) = -g(y).
\]

(16)

Then, taking the derivative with respect to \( y \), we get

\[
\gamma_v f'(\gamma_v y + \frac{2L}{1 - v}) = -g'(y).
\]

(17)

Thanks to (15), (17) and taking \( \xi = \gamma_v y \), we deduce that \( f' \) satisfies

\[
(1 - \eta v + \eta - v) \gamma_v f' \left( \xi + \frac{2L}{1 - v} \right) = -(1 - \eta v - \eta + v) f'((\xi)).
\]

(18)

After few simplifications, this can be written as

\[
f' \left( \xi + \frac{2L}{1 - v} \right) = -\frac{f'((\xi))}{\gamma_v}.
\]

(19)

This formula suggests that \( f'((\xi)) = e^{\beta \xi} \), for some parameter \( \beta \) to be determined later. Substituting the form \( e^{\beta \xi} \) in (19), we infer that \( e^{\beta(\xi + \frac{2L}{1 - v})} = -e^{\beta \xi} / \gamma_v \). Then, it follows that:
If $0 \leq \eta < 1$, then $\gamma \eta \geq 1$ and we get

$$e^{\beta \left(\xi + \frac{2L}{1-v}\right)} = -e^{-\ln \gamma \eta e^{\beta \xi}}.$$ 

Solving this equation for $\beta$, we obtain a sequence of values $\beta_n = (1 - v)\omega_n / L, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{2} (2n + 1) i\pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln \gamma \eta.$$ 

If $\eta > 1$, we have $\gamma \eta < -1$ and we obtain another sequence of values $\beta_n = (1 - v)\omega_n / L, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where this time

$$\omega_n = n i\pi - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\gamma \eta|.$$ 

Note that in both cases we have $\ln |\gamma \eta| \geq 1$ and the real part of $\omega_n$ is negative.

Due to the superposition principle, it follows that $f'$ can be written as

$$f' (\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{\frac{1-v}{1-v} \omega_n \xi}, \quad c_n \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $c_n$ are complex coefficients to be determined later. After integration, the function $f$ can be written as

$$f (\xi) = c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L c_n}{(1-v) \omega_n} e^{\frac{1-v}{1-v} \omega_n \xi},$$

for some constant $c$. Using (16), we deduce that

$$g (\xi) = -c + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L c_n}{(1-v) \gamma \eta \omega_n} e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n \xi}, \quad (20)$$

where we have used the fact that $e^{2\omega_n} = -1 / \gamma \eta$ whether $0 \leq \eta < 1$ or $\eta > 1$.

Thanks to D’Alembert’s formula, the solution of Problem (WP) is given by the series

$$\phi (x, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L}{(1-v) \gamma \eta \omega_n} c_n \left(\gamma \eta e^{\frac{1-v}{1-v} \omega_n (t+x)} + e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n (t-x)}\right). \quad (21)$$

To obtain (3), we set

$$a_n := \frac{L}{(1-v) \gamma \eta \omega_n} c_n. \quad (22)$$

The coefficient $c_n$ are determined as follows. Going back to (21), we infer that

$$\phi_x (x, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left(e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n (t+x)} - \frac{\gamma \eta}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n (t-x)}\right), \quad (23)$$

$$\phi_t (x, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left(e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n (t+x)} + \frac{\gamma \eta}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1+v}{1-v} \omega_n (t-x)}\right), \quad (24)$$
for $x \in (vt, L + vt)$ and $t \geq 0$. It follows from (9) and (10) that the extensions $\tilde{\phi}_x$ and $\tilde{\phi}_t$ are given by

$$
\tilde{\phi}_x(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left( e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t+x)} - \frac{\gamma v}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t-x)} \right), & \text{if } x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
\frac{1}{\gamma v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left( e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n((1+v)t+x)} - \frac{\gamma v}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n((1+v)t-x)} \right), & \text{if } x \in (L + vt, L + vt),
\end{cases}
$$

(25)

$$
\tilde{\phi}_t(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left( e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t+x)} + \frac{\gamma v}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t-x)} \right), & \text{if } x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
\frac{1}{\gamma v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \left( e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n((1+v)t+x)} + \frac{\gamma v}{\gamma \eta} e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n((1+v)t-x)} \right), & \text{if } x \in (L + vt, L + vt).
\end{cases}
$$

(26)

Taking the sum of (25) and (26), we get

$$
\tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t = \begin{cases} 
2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t+x)}, & x \in (vt, L + vt), \\
-2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \gamma v \eta e^{-2\omega_n} e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t+x)}, & x \in (L + vt, L + vt).
\end{cases}
$$

Since $e^{-2\omega_n} = -\gamma \eta$, then we have the unified expression

$$
\tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t = 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{\frac{1}{\gamma} \omega_n(t+x)}, \quad \text{for } x \in (vt, L + vt) \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0.
$$

(27)

Using the definition of $\omega_n$, we get

$$
\tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t = \begin{cases} 
2 e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} (\pi \eta - \pi \gamma)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \gamma v \eta e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} n\pi (t+x)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < 1, \\
2 e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|\gamma \eta| (t+x)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \gamma v \eta e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} n\pi (t+x)}, & \text{if } \eta > 1.
\end{cases}
$$

(28)

Taking into account that $\{e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} (\pi (t+x))/\sqrt{L_2}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(vt, L + vt)$, for every $t \geq 0$, we rewrite (27) as

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} n\pi (t+x)} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{L_2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} (\pi \eta - \pi \gamma)} (\tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t), & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < 1, \\
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{L_2}} e^{\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|\gamma \eta| (t+x)} (\tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t), & \text{if } \eta > 1.
\end{cases}
$$

(29)
By consequence,

\[
c_n = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2L^2} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} e^{-\frac{1-v}{v^2} (\pi \ln \gamma_n)(t+x)} \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right) e^{-\frac{\pi v}{L} \ln \gamma_n}(t+x) \, dx, & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < 1, \\
\frac{1}{2L^2} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} e^{-\frac{1-v}{v^2} \ln |\gamma_n|(t+x)} \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right) e^{-\frac{\pi v}{L} \ln |\gamma_n|(t+x)} \, dx, & \text{if } \eta > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

for \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Whether \( 0 \leq \eta < 1 \) or \( \eta > 1 \), in both cases, we have

\[
c_n = \frac{1}{2L^2} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right) e^{-\frac{(1-v)}{v^2} \omega_n(t+x)} \, dx, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

For \( t = 0 \), and tacking (22) into account, we obtain (13) as claimed.

Moreover, as a consequence of Parseval’s equality, it comes that

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4L^2} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} \left| e^{-\frac{1-v}{v^2} (\pi \ln \gamma_n)(t+x)} \right|^2 \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right)^2 \, dx, & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < 1, \\
\frac{1}{4L^2} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} \left| e^{-\frac{1-v}{v^2} \ln |\gamma_n|(t+x)} \right|^2 \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right)^2 \, dx, & \text{if } \eta > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Whether \( 0 \leq \eta < 1 \) or \( \eta > 1 \), it follows that

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 = \frac{L^2}{\gamma_n^2 (1-v)^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_n|^2 = \frac{L}{8 \gamma_n^2 (1-v)} \int_{vt}^{L_2+vt} e^{-\frac{1-v}{v^2} \ln |\gamma_n|(t+x)} \left( \phi_x + \phi_t \right)^2 \, dx.
\]

Thanks to (11), \( \phi^0 \) and \( \phi^1 \) belongs to \( L^2(0, L_2) \). Thus the integral at the right-hand side for \( t = 0 \) is finite and

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 < +\infty.
\]

Recalling that \( |w_n|^2 = O(n^2) \), for large values of \( n \), then

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n a_n|^2 < +\infty. \tag{30}
\]

Let \( T > 0 \) and \( t \in [0, T] \). Due to the continuity of the exponential function, we get

\[
|a_n \left( \gamma_n e^{\frac{1-v}{v^2} \omega_n(t+x)} + e^{\frac{1+v}{v^2} \omega_n(t-x)} \right) | \leq C_T |a_n|,
\]

where \( C_T \) is a constant depending only on \( v, \eta, L \) and \( T \).

Going back to (23), (24) and due to (22), we can check that

\[
|c_n \left( e^{\frac{1-v}{v^2} \omega_n(t+x)} + \gamma_n e^{\frac{1+v}{v^2} \omega_n(t-x)} \right) | \leq C_T |n a_n|,
\]

for some constant \( C_T' \).

Taking (30) into account, we infer that \( \phi(x, t), \phi_x(x, t) \) and \( \phi_t(x, t) \) belong to \( L^2(I_T) \), for \( t \geq 0 \). In particular, \( \phi(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{L+vt}(I_T) \), for \( t \geq 0 \). The continuity in time of \( \phi \) and \( \phi_t \) as functions of \( t \) with values in \( \mathcal{H}_{L+vt}(I_T) \) and \( L^2(I_T) \), respectively, follows as they are the sums of uniformly converging series of continuous functions. This shows (12).
3. A conserved quantity for the string with no damper

For the undamped case, i.e. $\eta = 0$ in Problem (WP), we show that the energy $\mathcal{E}_v$ given by (4) is conserved in time.

**Theorem 3.1:** Under Assumptions (2) and (11), the solution of Problem (WP) satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_v (t) = \frac{\pi^2}{2L} \frac{(1 - v^2)}{2L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(2n + 1) a_n|^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad (31)$$

where the left-hand side is independent of $t$.

**Proof:** If $\eta = 0$, then $\omega_n = (2n + 1)i\pi/2$ and the identity (14) becomes

$$\frac{1}{1 - v} \int_{vt}^{L + vt} \left( \tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t \right)^2 \, dx = \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(2n + 1) a_n|^2. \quad (32)$$

Using the extensions (9), (10) and considering the change of variable

$$x = \gamma (vt - \xi) + \frac{2L}{1 - v} + vt,$$

in $(L + vt, L_2 + vt)$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - v} \int_{vt}^{L_2 + vt} \left( \tilde{\phi}_x (x, t) + \tilde{\phi}_t (x, t) \right)^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{1 + v} \int_{I_1} (\phi_x (\xi, t) - \phi_t (\xi, t))^2 \, d\xi.$$

Taking (32) into account, it comes that

$$\frac{1}{1 - v} \int_{vt}^{L_2 + vt} \left( \tilde{\phi}_x + \tilde{\phi}_t \right)^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{1 - v} \int_{I_1} (\phi_t + \phi_x)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{1 + v} \int_{I_1} (\phi_x - \phi_t)^2 \, dx$$

$$= \frac{2\pi^2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(2n + 1) a_n|^2.$$

Expanding $(\phi_x \pm \phi_t)^2$ and collecting similar terms, we get

$$\frac{1}{1 - v^2} \left( \int_{I_1} \phi_x^2 + \phi_t^2 + 2v\phi_x\phi_t \, dx \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(2n + 1) a_n|^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (33)$$

The left hand side is equal to $2\mathcal{E}_v(t)/(1 - v^2)$ and (31) follows. \[\blacksquare\]

**Remark 3.1:** Using Leibnitz’s rule for differentiation under the integral sign, we can check directly that $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_v(t) = 0$, see Appendix B.

**Remark 3.2:** The energy expression $\mathcal{E}_v(t)$ is also shown to be conserved in time for the Dirichlet boundary conditions at both ends, see [12].

Let us now compare $\mathcal{E}_v(t)$ to the usual expression of energy $E_v(t)$ for the wave equation.
Corollary 3.2: Under Assumptions (2) and (11), the energy $E_v(t)$ of the solution of the undamped Problem (WP) satisfies

$$
\frac{\mathcal{E}_v(0)}{1 + v} \leq E_v(t) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}_v(0)}{1 - v}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma_v} E_v(0) \leq E_v(t) \leq \gamma_v E_v(0), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
$$

Proof: We can write (33) as follows:

$$
E_v(t) + v \int_{I_t} \phi_x \phi_t \, dx = \mathcal{E}_v(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
$$

Since $\pm \phi_x \phi_t \leq (\phi_x^2 + \phi_t^2)/2$, then it comes that

$$
E_v(t) \leq (1 + v) E_v(t) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - v) E_v(t) \leq E_v(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
$$

This implies (34). Since (37) holds also for $t = 0$, then (35) follows by combining the two inequalities

$$
(1 - v) E_v(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_v(t) = \mathcal{E}_v(0) \leq (1 + v) E_v(0),
$$

$$
(1 - v) E_v(0) \leq \mathcal{E}_v(0) = E_v(t) \leq (1 + v) E_v(t),
$$

for $t \geq 0$. \[\blacksquare\]

Remark 3.3: The solution $\phi$ given by (3), with $\eta = 0$, satisfies the periodicity relation

$$
\phi(x + vT_v, t + T_v) = -\phi(x, t), \quad t \geq 0.
$$

It follows in particular that the energy $E_v$ is a $T_v$-periodic function in time.

Remark 3.4: The equality in (34) holds at least if $\phi_t(x, t_0) = \pm \phi_x(x, t_0)$, for $x \in I_{t_0}$ and some $t_0 \geq 0$. Indeed, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_v(t_0) = E_v(t_0) \pm v \int_{v(t_0)}^{L+v(t_0)} \phi_x(x, t_0) \phi_t(x, t_0) \, dx = (1 \pm v) E_v(t_0),
$$

i.e. $E_v(t_0) = \mathcal{E}_v(t_0)/(1 \pm v)$. The + and – signs are used respectively.

Remark 3.5: Let $0 < v < 1$ and $\eta = 0$. Evaluating $\phi_x$ given by (23) at $x = vt$ and $x = L + vt$, we obtain

$$
\phi_x(vt, t) = \frac{-2v}{1 - v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{\frac{1-v}{2v} (2n+1)i\pi t},
$$

$$
\phi_x(L + vt, t) = \frac{2}{1 - v} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( c_n e^{\frac{1-v}{2v} (2n+1)i\pi t} \right) e^{\frac{L^2}{2v} - (2n+1)i\pi t},
$$
for \( t \geq 0 \). Then, by Parseval’s identity and (31), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \int_0^{T_v} \phi_x^2(vt, t) \, dt = \int_0^{T_v} \phi_x^2(L + vt, t) \, dt = \frac{E_v(0)}{(1 - v^2)^2}.
\]

Using (34) for \( t = 0 \), we get in particular

\[
\frac{v^2 E_v(0)}{(1 + v) (1 - v^2)} \leq \int_0^{T_v} \phi_x^2(vt, t) \, dt \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{E_v(0)}{(1 + v) (1 - v^2)} \leq \int_0^{T_v} \phi_x^2(L + vt, t) \, dt.
\]

Each of these inequalities is called a boundary observability inequality. They ensure that if there is an initial disturbance of the string, i.e. \( E_v(0) > 0 \), it will be detected by a sensor placed at an endpoint at most after a time \( T \geq T_v = 2L/(1 - v^2) \). See [12, Section 4.1] and [18, Section 4.1] where the boundary observability of the Dirichlet case of the problem is well developed.

4. Exponential stability for the string with a boundary damper

In this section, we keep \( 0 \leq v < 1 \) and assume that \( \eta > 0 \) with \( \eta \neq 1 \).

**Theorem 4.1:** Under Assumptions (2) and (11), the solution of Problem (WP) satisfies

\[
\frac{1}{1 + v} \int_{I_1} e^{\frac{\gamma t}{v} \ln|\gamma| |t-x|} (\phi_x - \phi_t)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\gamma v^2 (1-v)} \int_{I_2} e^{\frac{\gamma t}{v} \ln|\gamma| |t+x|} (\phi_t + \phi_x)^2 \, dx = \frac{8}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2,
\]

where the left-hand side is finite and independent of \( t \). Moreover, it holds that

\[
M_1 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma|t} \leq E_v(t) \leq M_2 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma|t}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0,
\]

where

\[
M_1 := \frac{2 (1-v)}{L} \min\{|\gamma_v|, |\gamma| \} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2,
\]

\[
M_2 := \frac{2 (1-v)}{L} \max\{|\gamma|, |\gamma_v|, \gamma_v^2 \} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2.
\]

**Proof:** Let us split the integral in the identity (14) to the integrals

\[
\int_{vt}^{L_v + vt} = \int_{t} + \int_{L_v + vt}^L,
\]

then considering the change of variable \( x = \gamma_v (vt - \xi) + \frac{2L}{1-v} + vt \) in \((L + vt, L_v + vt)\), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{(1-v) \gamma_v^2} \int_{L_v + vt}^{L_v + vt + vt} e^{\frac{\gamma t}{v} \ln|\gamma| |t-x|} \left( \phi_x(x, t) + \phi_t(x, t) \right)^2 \, dx
= -\frac{1}{(1-v) \gamma_v^2} \int_{L_v + vt}^{L_v + vt + vt} \gamma_v e^{\frac{\gamma t}{v} \ln|\gamma| |t+\gamma_v (vt - \xi) + vt|} \left( \phi_x(\xi, t) - \phi_t(\xi, t) \right)^2 d\xi.
\]
We used the definition of the extensions (9), (10) and the fact that \( e^{2\ln|\gamma_t|} = \gamma_t^2 \). Then, combining (41) and (42), we obtain (39).

Expanding \((\phi_\xi \pm \phi_t)^2\) and collecting similar terms, we get

\[
\int_{I_t} \left( \frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 + \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t - \xi)} + \frac{1}{\gamma_t^2 (1 - v)} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t + \xi)} \right) (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx
- 2 \int_{I_t} \left( \frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 + \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t - \xi)} - \frac{1}{\gamma_t^2 (1 - v)} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t + \xi)} \right) \phi_t \phi_\xi \, dx
= \frac{8}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2.
\]

(43)

For \( vt \leq x \leq L + vt \) and \( t \geq 0 \), let us denote

\[
A(x, t) = \frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 + \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t - x)} \quad \text{and} \quad B(x, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_t^2 (1 - v)} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t + x)}.
\]

Then, we can rewrite (43) as

\[
\int_{I_t} (A + B) (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx - 2 \int_{I_t} (A - B) \phi_t \phi_\xi \, dx = \frac{8}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2.
\]

Using the algebraic inequality

\[- |A - B| (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \leq \pm 2 (A - B) \phi_t \phi_\xi \leq |A - B| (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2),\]

we get

\[
\int_{I_t} ((A + B) - |A - B|) (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx \leq \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |2 \omega_n a_n|^2
\]

\[
\leq \int_{I_t} ((A + B) + |A - B|) (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx.
\]

Knowing that

\[(a + b) - |a - b| = 2 \min\{a, b\} \quad \text{and} \quad (a + b) + |a - b| = 2 \max\{a, b\},\]

for \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \), then the precedent estimation reads

\[
\int_{I_t} \min\{A, B\} (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx \leq \frac{4}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \leq \int_{I_t} \max\{A, B\} (\phi_t^2 + \phi_\xi^2) \, dx.
\]

Since \( \ln|\gamma_t| \geq 0 \) and \( vt \leq x \leq L + vt \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 + \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t - L - vt)} \leq A(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|(t - vt)},
\]

i.e.

\[
\frac{e^{-(1 + v)\ln|\gamma_t|}}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|} \leq A(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{1 + v} e^{\frac{1 - \gamma}{L} \ln|\gamma_t|}.
\]
Similarly, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\gamma^2 \eta (1 - v)} e^{\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t} \leq B(x, t) \leq \frac{e^{-(1+v) \ln |\gamma \eta |}}{1 - v} e^{\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\min \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma \eta (1 + v)}, \frac{1}{\gamma^2 \eta (1 - v)} \right\} E_v(t) \leq \frac{2 e^{\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t}}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2
\]

\[
\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + v}, \frac{1}{\gamma \eta (1 + v)} \right\} E_v(t),
\]

hence

\[
\left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) \min \left\{ 1 + v, \frac{\gamma \eta (1 + v)}{(1 - v)} \right\} e^{-\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t} \leq E_v(t)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) \max \left\{ |\gamma \eta (1 + v)|, \frac{\gamma^2 \eta (1 - v)}{(1 - v)} \right\} e^{-\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t}.
\]

This shows (40) and the theorem follows.

\[\boxed{\blacksquare}\]

**Remark 4.1:** If \( v = 0 \) in (40), then we get the estimate

\[
\left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t} \leq E_0(t) \leq \gamma^2 \eta \left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t},
\]

which is sharper than the estimate (7), stated in the introduction.

**Remark 4.2:** The solution \( \phi \) given by (3), with \( \eta \neq 1 \), satisfies the periodicity relation

\[
\phi(x + v T_v, t + T_v) = -\phi(x, t)/\gamma \eta,
\]

hence

\[
E_v(t + T_v) = E_v(t)/\gamma^2 \eta, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
\]

**Remark 4.3:** The constants in estimation (40) are (at least) asymptotically sharp in the sense that if \( \eta \to 0 \), we recover the estimation (34) with its sharp constants, see Remark 3.4.

The next corollary compares \( E_v(t) \) to the initial energy \( E_v(0) \).

**Corollary 4.2:** Under Assumptions (2) and (11), the energy of the solution of Problem (WP) satisfies

\[
\min \left\{ \gamma v, \frac{\gamma \eta (1 + v)}{(1 - v)} \right\} E_v(0) e^{-\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t} \leq E_v(t)
\]

\[
\leq \max \left\{ \gamma \eta (1 + v), \gamma^2 \eta (1 - v) \right\} E_v(0) e^{-\frac{1 - v^2}{L} \ln |\gamma \eta | t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.
\]
**Proof:** Since (40) holds also for \( t = 0 \), then (44) follows by combining the two inequalities

\[
\frac{e^{\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t}}{\max \left\{ |\gamma_\eta|^{(1+v)} (1 + v), \gamma_\eta^2 (1 - v) \right\}} E_v (t) \leq \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\min \left\{ 1 + v, |\gamma_\eta|^{(1+v)} (1 - v) \right\}} E_v (0)
\]

and

\[
\max \left\{ |\gamma_\eta|^{(1+v)} (1 + v), \gamma_\eta^2 (1 - v) \right\} E_v (0) \leq \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{e^{\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t}}{\min \left\{ 1 + v, |\gamma_\eta|^{(1+v)} (1 - v) \right\}} E_v (t),
\]

for \( t \geq 0 \).

The next corollary gives more simple estimates, but less sharper than (40) and (44), for the energy \( E_v \).

**Corollary 4.3:** Under Assumptions (2) and (11), the energy of the solution of Problem (WP) satisfies

\[
(1 - v) \left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t} \leq E_v (t)
\]

\[
\leq \gamma_\eta^2 (1 + v) \left( \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad (45)
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\gamma_\eta^2 \gamma_v} E_v (0) e^{-\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t} \leq E_v (t) \leq \gamma_v E_v (0) e^{-\frac{1-v^2}{2} \ln |\gamma_\eta| t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (46)
\]

**Proof:** Since \( 0 \leq v < 1 \), then it suffices to simplify the constants in (40) and (44) using the fact that

\[1 \leq |\gamma_\eta| \leq |\gamma_\eta|^{(1+v)} < \gamma_\eta^2.\]

**5. Some numerical examples and final remarks**

In this section, we will compute an approximate solution of Problem (WP), given by its series formula (3) for the first 20 frequencies, i.e.

\[
\phi (x, t) \simeq \sum_{n=-20}^{n=20} a_n \left( \gamma_\eta e^{\frac{1-v}{2} \omega_n (t+x)} + e^{\frac{1+v}{2} \omega_n (t-x)} \right), \quad \text{for } x \in (vt, \pi + vt),
\]

where the coefficient \( a_n \) are computed for the initial conditions

\[\phi^0 (x) = (1 + \cos x) / 10 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^1 (x) = 0,\]

hence \( E_v (0) \simeq 0.0079 \). The values of \( v \) and \( \eta \) will be chosen to emphasise how the solution and its energy depend on these parameters.
5.1. The undamped case $\eta = 0$

We plot the solution for $t = 0, \frac{T_v}{4}, \frac{T_v}{2}, \frac{3T_v}{4}, \ldots, 2T_v$ and two different values of $v$. The energy $E_v(t)$ is plotted over two periods.
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Figure 3. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.3$, and its energy $E_v(t)$ plotted for $t \in [0, 2T_v]$, where $T_v \simeq 6.90$.
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Figure 4. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.7$, and its energy $E_v(t)$ plotted over two periods, where $T_v \simeq 12.32$.

5.2. The underdamped case $0 < \eta < 1$

We plot the solution for $t = 0, \frac{T_v}{4}, \frac{T_v}{2}, \frac{3T_v}{4}, \ldots, 3T_v$, where $v = 0.5$, $T_v \simeq 8.38$ and two different values of $\eta$. The energy $E_v(t)$ is plotted for $t \in [0, 3T_v]$. The graphs show that the energy behave as predicted by estimations (40) and (46). In this case, the solution changes sign.

5.3. The overdamped case $\eta > 1$

We retain the speed value $v = 0.5$ and take two values of $\eta > 1$. The solution do not change sign this time.

Remark 5.1: Observe that $\gamma(1/\eta) = -\gamma_\eta$. Thus $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2$ remains unchanged if we replace $\eta$ by $1/\eta$ since the left-hand side of (14) remains unchanged. By consequence, the identity (39), $M_1$, $M_2$
Figure 5. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.5$, and its energy $E_v(t)$, for a damping factor $\eta = 0.2$.

Figure 6. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.5$, and its energy $E_v(t)$, for a damping factor $\eta = 0.7$.

and the constants in the energy estimates of Section 4 remain the same for $\eta$ and $1/\eta$. For instance, taking $v = 0.5$, the upper and lower estimates for $E_v(t)$ with $\eta = 0.1$ are identical to those of Figure 8 for $\eta = 10$.

Remark 5.2: The case with a dashpot damping at the inlet pulley can be easily investigated by replacing $v$ by $-v$ in the above sections. In Corollary 3.2 we have to change $v$ by $|v|$, i.e.

$$\frac{E_v(0)}{1 + |v|} \leq E_v(t) \leq \frac{E_v(0)}{1 - |v|} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{E_v(0)}{|\gamma| |v|} \leq E_v(t) \leq \gamma_\infty |v| E_v(0), \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0.$$

More importantly, we still have the same exponential $e^{\frac{-|v|^2}{L} \ln |\gamma_\infty| t}$ in the estimates of Section 4 when $\eta > 0$. The analogue of estimations (45) is

$$\frac{2}{L} \left( 1 + |v| \right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 e^{\frac{-|v|^2}{L} \ln |\gamma_n| t} \leq E_v(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{2 \gamma_\infty^2}{L} \left( 1 + |v| \right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\omega_n a_n|^2 e^{\frac{-|v|^2}{L} \ln |\gamma_n| t}, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0$$

and $\gamma_\infty$ is replaced by $|\gamma_n|$ in (46).
Figure 7. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.5$, and its energy $E_v(t)$, for a damping factor $\eta = 2$.

Figure 8. A string travelling at a speed $v = 0.5$, and its energy $E_v(t)$, for damping factor $\eta = 10$.
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Appendix A. Derivation of the model (1)

For the sake of completeness, we now derive the mathematical model (1) for the vibration of a travelling string subject to a boundary damping, as described in Introduction. We refer to [11] for a model that considers more general boundary conditions.

We make the following assumptions:

- The vibrations of the string are transversal and small (compared to its length). This implies in particular that the slope $u_s$ is also small.
- The string is uniform with a mass density $\rho$.
- The string travels with a constant speed $v$ between to two massless pulleys (inlet and outlet) kept at a fixed distance $L$.
- The string is perfectly flexible and the effects due to gravity are neglected. This means that the tension $T$ is constant.
- The inlet is not allowed to move transversely, hence we have the boundary condition
  $$u(0, \tau) = 0, \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$ 

We apply the extended Hamilton’s principle, over the finite time interval $\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2$, in the following form:

$$\delta \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} L \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \delta W \, d\tau = 0, \quad (A1)$$

(see [19] and [20, Chapters 4 and 5]). Here $\delta$ denotes the variation in a given function, $L$ is the Lagrangian and $W$ is the virtual work performed by nonconservative forces, i.e. the boundary damping force in the present case. The Lagrangian is

$$L = E_k - E_p,$$

where $E_k$ is the kinetic energy and $E_p$ is the potential energy of the string. The first one is given by

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \rho \left( \frac{du}{d\tau} \right)^2 \, ds.$$
Integrating by parts, we infer that
\[
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \tau} (s, \tau) = u_\tau (s, \tau) + \nu u_s (s, \tau)
\]
is the material velocity (also called the total derivative of \(u\)). The potential energy \(E_p\) is equal to the work done to deform the string from its rest position. Hence, the potential energy per unit length of a differential element is given by
\[
dE_p = T \cdot \text{ elongation } = T \left( \sqrt{(ds)^2 + (du)^2} - ds \right).
\]

Since the slope of the string \(u_\tau\) is small, then
\[
dE_p = T \left( \sqrt{1 + (u_\tau)^2} - 1 \right) ds \approx \frac{T}{2} u_\tau^2 ds,
\]
hence the potential energy of the string is given by
\[
E_p = \frac{T}{2} \int_0^L u_\tau^2 ds
\]
and therefore
\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_0^L (u_\tau + \nu u_s)^2 ds - \frac{T}{2} \int_0^L u_\tau^2 ds.
\]
The damping force \(F_\eta\) of the dashpot at \(s = L\) is contrary to the sense of movement and thus the work done by the dashpot is
\[
\mathcal{W} = -F_\eta \cdot \text{ displacement } = -F_\eta u (L, \tau).
\]

Now, we are led to search the critical points of the functional
\[
I (u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_0^L \rho (u_\tau + \nu u_s)^2 - T u_\tau^2 ds d\tau - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} F_\eta u (L, \tau) d\tau. \tag{A2}
\]

When \(u\) is a critical point, we should have
\[
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{I (u + \theta \nu) - I (u)}{\theta} = 0, \tag{A3}
\]
where \(\nu\) is a smooth function such that
\[
\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(u + \theta \nu\) is a small perturbation of \(u\) that does not affect the values of (the path) \(u\) at \(\tau = \tau_1\) and \(\tau = \tau_2\), as well as at \(s = 0\). The limit (A3) equals
\[
\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_0^L \rho (v^2 u_s + \nu u_s + \nu u_s + u_\tau v_\tau) - T u_s v_\tau ds d\tau - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} F_\eta v (L, \tau) d\tau = 0.
\]

Integrating by parts, we infer that
\[
-\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_0^L \left\{ \rho (u_\tau + 2 \nu u_s + \nu^2 u_s) - T u_s \right\} v ds d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \left\{ \rho \nu u_s (L, \tau) + (\nu^2 - T) u_s (L, \tau) - F_\eta \right\} v (L, \tau) d\tau = 0. \tag{A5}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]

The other boundary terms vanishes due to (A4). Since \(v\) can be chosen arbitrary, and in particular its value at \(s = L\), the first integral implies that
\[
u (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
The function \(\nu (\cdot, \tau_1) = \nu (\cdot, \tau_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu (0, \cdot) = 0. \tag{A4}
\]
we obtain the model (1) with a normalised speed \( c = 1 \).

**Appendix B. A second proof for the conservation of \( E_v(t) \)**

Let us check that \( \frac{d}{dt} E_v(t) = 0 \) by using only the identities \( \phi_{tt} = \phi_{xx}, \ \phi_x(vt, t) + v\phi_t(vt, t) = 0 \) and \( \phi(L + vt, t) = 0 \) from (WP). First, when \( \eta = 0 \) the boundary condition at \( x = vt \) reads

\[
\phi_x(vt, t) + v\phi_t(vt, t) = 0.
\]

(A6)

At the other boundary, we have \( \phi(L + vt, t) = 0 \) for \( t \geq 0 \). This means that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \phi(L + vt, t) = v\phi_x(L + vt, t) + \phi_t(L + vt, t) = 0.
\]

(A7)

It follows in particular that

\[
\phi_x^2(vt, t) = v^2 \phi_t^2(vt, t) \quad \text{and} \quad v^2 \phi_x^2(L + vt, t) = \phi_t^2(L + vt, t).
\]

Next, recall that

\[
E_v(t) = E_v(t) + v \int_I \phi_t \phi_x \, dx
\]

(A8)

and let us differentiate each term of the right hand side separately. On one hand, the identities (A6), (A7) and Leibniz’s rule imply that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E_v(t) = \frac{v}{2} \left(1 + v^2\right) \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right) + \int_I \left(\phi_x \phi_{tx} + \phi_t \phi_{xt}\right) \, dx.
\]

(A9)

Taking into account that \( \phi_{tt} = \phi_{xx} \), the last integral equals

\[
\int_I \left(\phi_x \phi_{tx} + \phi_{tx} \phi_t\right) \, dx = \int_I \left(\phi_x \phi_t\right)_x \, dx = -v \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right),
\]

hence

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E_v(t) = -\frac{v}{2} \left(1 - v^2\right) \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right).
\]

(A10)

On the other hand

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_I \phi_x \phi_t \, dx = -v^2 \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right) + \int_I \phi_{tx} \phi_t + \phi_x \phi_{tt} \, dx.
\]

Again, using \( \phi_{tt} = \phi_{xx} \) and integrating by parts, the last integral equals

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_I \left(\phi_x^2 + \phi_t^2\right)_x \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + v^2\right) \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right),
\]

hence

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_I \phi_x \phi_t \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - v^2\right) \left(\phi_x^2(L + vt, t) - \phi_t^2(vt, t)\right).
\]

(A11)

Due to (A8), (A10) and (A11), we infer that \( \frac{d}{dt} E_v(t) = 0 \) as claimed.