Adhesion and proliferation of human dental pulp stem cells on a laser microtextured implant surface: An in vitro study
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Abstract

The roughness of the implant surface and its chemistry and topography were demonstrated to impact cells biological properties. A moderate roughness seems to allow better and faster adhesion and proliferation and to accelerate the biological processes. The different physical and chemical procedures used to obtain a certain microtopography modify the surface chemical composition and, in consequence of it, can affect the biological cell behavior. The ideal surface should be obtained through processes that do not modify the chemical composition maintaining a right roughness and microtopography. The aim of this investigation was to study the morphology, proliferation and pattern of distribution of human Dental Pulp Stem Cells (hDPSCs) after 5 days of growth on a laser micro-grooved implant surface (Laser-Lock, Bio Horizons IPH, Inc. Birmingham, AL. 35244, USA) characterized by a micro geometric design obtained by computer-aided laser ablation without any chemical treatment. Data from SEM surface observation and XPS chemical analysis have highlighted the absence of contaminating chemical elements. The results from cell cultures indicated that the hDPSCs maintained a good morphology and well proliferated following the microgrooves direction.

Introduction

The interaction between cells and implant surfaces plays an essential role in the field of dental surgery. Osseointegration process consists in the ability of bone narrow mesenchymal stem cells to adhere to the implant and becoming mature osteoblasts [1]. It is well known that this process is influenced by different events, such as the microtopography and the chemical composition of implants surfaces [2-4]. As a matter of fact, the roughness of the implant surface and its chemistry and topography were demonstrated to impact cells biological properties [5]. A moderate roughness seems to allow better and faster adhesion and proliferation, but the different physical and chemical procedures used to obtain a certain microtopography (such as sandblasting, acid etching, oxidizing, machining, Nano incorporation) leave contaminants that modify the surface chemical composition and, in consequence of it, can influence the biological cell behavior [3-6-9]. The ideal surface should be obtained through processes that do not modify its chemical composition maintaining the right degree of roughness and a cell-attractive microtopography.

Different cell sources have been studied in vitro to evaluate how surface properties might affect osseointegration [10]. In recent years, interest has grown significantly in stem cell research due to stem cells ability to regenerate lost and injured tissue, especially in the craniofacial field, where the rehabilitation of this complex anatomical district represents a challenging situation for surgeons and dentists [11,12]. Human Dental Pulp Stem cells (hDPSCs) are commonly identified by a distinct surface phenotype as well as by their potential to differentiate into specific lineages in vitro. Human dental pulp, a soft connective tissue contained within the pulp chamber of the tooth, is considered an interesting source of adult stem cells, due to the low-invasive procedures required for cell isolation, high content of stem cells and its peculiar embryological origin from neural crest [13-15]. Particularly, neural crest cells originate during the formation of neural tube, at the 3rd week of embryo development, then undergo an epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) and migrate to different body compartments under the control of several positive and negative regulatory factors [16]. Following migration, neural crest cells generate the majority of craniofacial tissues, including tooth, fat, muscle, bone and cartilage tissues, as well as cranial peripheral ganglia and nerves, among other cell types, such as melanocytes. The differentiation potential of neural crest cells toward several lineages allows to consider the neural crest as the fourth germ layer. Based on their location within dental pulp, they can be easily isolated and might therefore be applied to cell therapy approaches for the regeneration of craniofacial injuries [17]. As well documented in literature, due to the heterogeneous nature of human dental pulp stem cells, distinct markers were used to select different subsets of stem cells, with different related behaviors, therefore it would be desirable, for regenerative medicine purposes, to obtain immunophenotypically pure stem cell populations. It has been widely demonstrated that, under appropriate stimuli, hDPSCs are able to differentiate toward osteogenic, myogenic and adipogenic lineages, islet like insulin producing β-cells, melanocytes, Schwann cells and
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neuronal lineage [18-24]. The aim of this investigation is to study the morphology, proliferation and pattern of distribution of hDPSCs after 5 days of growth on titanium disks treated by Laser-Lock surface, (Bio Horizons IPH, Inc. Birmingham, AL 35244 USA) a unique well-defined micro-grooved implant surface produced by using computer-aided laser ablation technique. The surface-controlled microgeometries, in specific size ranging between 2µm and 12µm, seem to enhance bone integration and control the local microstructural geometry of attached bone [25,26].

**Materials and methods**

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the Ethic Committee, University of Modena (Modena, Italy), which provided the approval of the protocol (ref. number 3299/CE). Human DPSCs were isolated from third molars of adult subjects (n = 3; 19–28 years). All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Cells were isolated from dental pulp as described by Pisciotta, et al. [20] (Figure 1). Briefly, dental pulp was harvested from the teeth and underwent enzymatic digestion by using a digestive solution, consisting in 3 mg/mL type I collagenase plus 4 mg/mL dispase in α-MEM. Pulp was then filtered onto 100 µm Falcon Cell Strainers, in order to obtain a cell suspension. Cell suspension was then plated in 25 cm² culture flasks and expanded in culture medium [α-MEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin] at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Following cell expansion, human DPSCs underwent genetic cell sorting through MACS R separation kit. Two subsequent immune-selections were performed by using primary antibodies: mouse IgM anti-STRO-1 and rabbit IgG anti-c-Kit (Santa Cruz). The following magnetically labelled secondary antibodies were used: anti-mouse IgM, anti-rabbit IgG and anti-mouse IgG (Miltenyi Biotec). Firstly, cell suspension was selected by using antiSTRO-1 antibody. STRO-1+ hDPSCs were expanded and then selected by using anti-c-Kit antibody to obtain a STRO1+/cKit+ population. Before starting to seed the cells over the laserized disks, the surface was characterized by SEM at 800x and 2000x of magnification and the chemical composition was analyzed by EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis). Moreover, we used the XPS technology (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy) that allows to obtain a qualitative and quantitative composition (atomic %) of the outer material layers (in case of metals, the depth analyzed is about 5 nanometers) that actually come in contact with the bone tissue. The XPS analysis was performed with a Perkin Elmer PHI 5400 ESCA System. It is equipped with an X-ray source (Mg anode), maintained at 10 kV with a power of 200 W. The pressure inside the analysis chamber was maintained at about 10⁻⁸ Pa.

Three disks were used for this purpose. Undifferentiated hDPSCs were seeded at a density of 2.5 x 10⁶ cells/cm² on titanium micro-grooved disks in a 12-multiwell unit. For the 5 days experimental time six disks were used. Cells were cultured in standard conditions and maintained in expansion medium (α-MEM, 20% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin and streptomycin - all from Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). At the end of experimental time, the cells were fixed in ice-cold paraformaldehyde 4% for 15 minutes without dissociating them from the titanium disks. The cells were subsequently permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes, stained with TRITC-conjugated anti-phalloidin antibody (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) and rinsed with PBS. Nuclei were stained with 1 μg/mL 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in PBS. DABCO was used as anti-fading mounting medium. Cell morphology, proliferation and pattern of distribution were assessed through a Nikon A1 confocal laser scanning microscope.
Results

The SEM observation showed that the computer-aided laser ablation process creates a typical surface design with microgrooves of 8µm with a repeat spacing, or pitch, of 16 µm (Figures 2 and 3). The "repeat spacing" is the distance comprising one groove and one ridge between the grooves [27]. These microgrooves give the surface a characteristic three-dimensional scaffold shape, with square wave profiles, that exert a strong cellular contact guidance effect [25]. This microgeometry results to be very different from the micro-nano topographies obtained through physical-chemical procedures and the surface appeared very clean without any residue from the laser ablation process.

The EDX (Figure 4) and XPS (Figure 5 and Table 1) analyses, in fact, revealed the only presence of Oxygen, Titanium and Carbon (always under 40%). The Carbon derives from the presence of CO₂ molecules that are inevitably present in the earth’s atmosphere. The surface of the metals combines itself with these molecules (the technical term is “adsorption”) that can be detected only by a specific surface analysis like the XPS, while they are “invisible” using the more traditional methods of analysis. Carbon can also derive from an “heavy” contamination, such as contact with oils or other lubricating fluids during processing. To discern between C “natural” and C “from contamination” it is necessary to take into consideration the quantitative aspect. Percentages of 30-40% are physiological and can be considered normal. Higher percentages indicate the presence of a Carbon contamination leading to an increase in surface tension (ϒ) and consequently to a decrease in surface wettability (θ) [28].

hDPSCs morphology, proliferation and orientation was assessed by confocal microscopy. After 5 days of culture on Laser-Lok surfaces, hDPSCs did not show any signs of suffering. Particularly nuclei appear euchromatic and the cells are well proliferated and aligned with the grooves obtained by the laser (Figure 6). After 5 days the hDPSCs covered all the disk surface and this indicates that cells are well stimulated by this special laserized micro design (Figure 7).

Discussion

Cellular adhesion, proliferation and differentiation is influenced by the properties of titanium implants, including their surface microtopography and their surface chemistry or surface energy/wettability [4]. Esposito, et al. [29] reported that implants with various degrees of surface roughness may not behave in the same way but some of these provide better results than others. Further studies supported this statement and concluded that moderately rough surfaces give rise to faster osseointegration than turned implant surfaces [3,30]. In other studies, all the rough surfaces investigated showed quick cell adhesion and proliferation and induced an early level of osteoblast differentiation. The machined surfaces were competitive but showed a slowdown of all the biological processes [8,9]. For these reasons implants with moderately rough surfaces are today widely used, but the processes to obtain the surface microtopography modify not only the
Figure 5. XPS surface analysis: only Ti, O and C are detectable

Figure 6. Confocal microscopy image of DPSCs grown on two Laser-Lock disks
surface roughness but also its chemical composition and influence the expression of several genes involved into osteogenetic processes [31].

Morra and Casinelli [6,7] investigated 34 different commercially available titanium dental implants analyzing their surface characteristics and especially the chemical compositions by XPS analysis. They found a lot of elements, other than Ti, O and C, back to cleaning and washing procedures (magnesium, sodium, chloride, phosphorus, calcium), contact with tools (zinc), acid etching (nitrogen, fluoride) and sandblasting (aluminum, calcium). The percentage of Ti was ranging between 1.4% and 18.3%. In this study we found a percentage of Ti always above 19%. It was suggested that about 18% surface concentration of Ti is a reasonable value for clean titanium surfaces in the normal environment [32]. Another important parameter to be considered is the carbon/titanium ratio that should be as low as possible (ideal value ~ 1) For example in case of a machined surface with an atomic percentage of 66.5% of C and 4.7% of Ti, the C/Ti ratio is 14.15.[6] The Laser-Lock surfaces analyzed in this study showed a C/Ti ratio of 1.9, an high percentage of Ti and no other contaminants normally left by cleaning, washing, etching, machining, sandblasting processes.

Laser-Lock surface appeared during SEM observation such as a geometrically organized implant surface. Its typical design pattern is produced by pulsed, computer-controlled, EXCIMER laser ablation, using a large-area masking technique. Laser-Lok showed a series of uniform precision-engineered cell-sized channels laser machined. This design allows to establish a true physical connective and bone tissue attachment preserving the coronal level of the bone [26]. Compared to microthreads, that are clearly visible at 30x magnification, microchannels are detectable only at higher magnifications. Ricci, et al. [26] found that a laserized surface exhibited more extensive bone ingrowth and osteoblast attachment than roughly surfaces and a directional control of bone attachment. The controlled microgeometries obtained by laser ablation (8µm sized microgrooves or microchannels) could enhance bone integration and control the local microstructural geometry of attached bone. After 5 days in contact with the laserized surface hDPCs used in this study have grown without particular morphological changes and showed no signs of suffering. The cells showed the same pattern of growth previously described confirming that the surface microgrooves can determine the cell direction during proliferation. This could mean that we could be able to guide the direction of the proliferation of the bone cells during the osteogenic processes. Further studies will be needed to better understand the biological mechanisms underlying the behavior of hDPCs in contact with the laser microtextured surface and, above all, to identify the genes involved in the regulation of these mechanisms.
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