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Abstract

Background: Diagnosis of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection typically involves collection of venous blood samples prior to serological investigation of an antibody response followed by a confirmatory viral load or antigen test to verify active HCV infection. This conventional pathway poses logistical challenges for the implementation of reflex testing, whereby the confirmatory test is performed on the same sample used for serological investigation. Dried blood spot (DBS) testing, in which capillary blood is deposited on filter paper, is a less invasive alternative that can enable reflex testing without the need for venepuncture, centrifugation and freezing of samples.

Methods: This systematic review aims to assess the diagnostic accuracy of DBS compared with venous blood samples for diagnosis of chronic HCV infection. Observational studies which compare diagnostic tests using DBS with those using serum, plasma or whole blood in patients with chronic or resolved HCV infection will be included. Electronic searches will be conducted in PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, Lilacs and the Cochrane library. Citation screening, data extraction and quality appraisal of included studies will be performed in duplicate using the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 (QUADAS-2) tool. A meta-analysis will be conducted to derive pooled estimates of sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratios, negative likelihood ratios, and diagnostic odds ratios. Sensitivity analyses and meta-regression will also be performed. Quality of the evidence will be evaluated using the GRADE criteria.

Discussion: Identifying and linking people with currently undiagnosed chronic HCV infection to care is pivotal to attaining global viral hepatitis elimination targets. The use of DBS could simplify diagnostic
testing strategies by integrating reflex testing into the care pathway and reducing drop-off along the cascade of care.

Registration: PROSPERO, CRD42020205204. Registered 19th September 2020.
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**Introduction**

Background

The hepatitis C virus (HCV), first identified in 1989, is a bloodborne virus which infects the liver and commonly causes progressive liver disease\(^1\). HCV is most often transmitted through injecting drug use (that is, sharing of needles and other drug paraphernalia)\(^2,3\). Although HCV infection can often resolve spontaneously, between 55–85% of people develop chronic HCV infection which may lead to liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and potentially fatal complications such as decompensated cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma\(^4,5\). With recent advances in HCV therapies that are, in most cases, curative and acceptable to patients, clinical focus has shifted towards identifying and curing those currently living with undiagnosed chronic HCV infection in efforts to achieve viral hepatitis elimination targets\(^6,7\).

Diagnosis of chronic HCV infection typically involves venous blood collection prior to undergoing the following testing procedure: (1) an initial serological test to indicate an antibody response following exposure to HCV (that is, anti-HCV positive); and (2) a confirmatory viral load or antigen test to verify active HCV infection by either a nucleic acid test (NAT; to detect viral ribonucleic acid [RNA]) or a core antigen test (to detect the HCV viral protein)\(^8,9\). Importantly, this conventional approach means that sequential healthcare attendances are generally required to provide samples and receive a diagnosis, thus increasing the risk of patient drop-off along the clinical pathway. Ultimately, such linkage-to-care issues may culminate in a missed opportunity for diagnosis and treatment\(^10\). Reflex testing, whereby the confirmatory test is performed on the same sample used for the serological test, offers a potential solution to this challenge. However, if using conventional samples for reflex testing, in order to prevent sample degradation of venous serum or plasma samples, reflex testing necessitates centrifugation, freezing and cold-chain storage of samples within six to 24 hours following phlebotomy, which is often not logistically possible in community settings\(^11,12\).

Dried blood spot (DBS) testing, which involves depositing a finger prick of whole blood on filter paper, is a potential mechanism for enabling reflex testing\(^13\). As DBS can be prepared using capillary blood, it circumvents the need for venepuncture, centrifugation and freezing of samples\(^14\). Despite its clear logistical advantages, the diagnostic accuracy of laboratory assays using DBS for diagnosis of chronic HCV infection is subject to uncertainty. Determination of diagnostic accuracy is a key step in informing the clinical effectiveness of incorporating DBS testing into the diagnostic pathway with the aim of correctly and efficiently identifying people with currently undiagnosed chronic HCV infection.

**Description of the intervention**

For DBS testing, a skin puncture is made with a retractable lancet or a finger puncture device. Drops of blood are then applied to filter paper and dried at room temperature for up to four hours\(^15\). After drying, the blood remains stable on the DBS card and can be inserted into moisture-protected packaging for transportation to a centralised laboratory. In the laboratory, the whole blood is eluted from the DBS card and the sample is run using the standard automated platforms\(^16,17,18\). DBS facilitates the sampling process by avoiding venepuncture (that is, it is less invasive), removing the need to separate serum and plasma, requiring smaller volumes of blood and blood components, and obviating the need for cold-chain storage\(^19-21\). As multiple spots can be collected at once, reflex RNA or core antigen testing can be undertaken using the second or third spot (where the initial spot is anti-HCV positive)\(^22\).

**Purpose of the systematic review**

Although several systematic reviews have previously been published between 2017 and 2019\(^12,15,19-21\), up-to-date estimates of the diagnostic accuracy of DBS testing for detecting HCV exposure and diagnosing chronic HCV infection are warranted owing to increasing adoption and logistical applicability to clinical pathways and the publication of further studies on this topic since the original searches. Furthermore, no published systematic review has assessed the diagnostic accuracy of using DBS samples for HCV core antigen testing, to the best of our knowledge. HCV core antigen has proven to be a stable, easy to operate and affordable alternative to HCV RNA and can be regarded as an appropriate reference standard test for a HCV diagnosis\(^22-25\).

The aim of this systematic review is to assess the diagnostic accuracy of DBS samples compared with venous (whole blood, serum or plasma) blood samples for detection of HCV using laboratory-based tests (that is, anti-HCV, RNA and core antigen). The systematic review is being undertaken with a view to informing a national health technology assessment (HTA) of birth cohort testing for HCV in Ireland\(^26\).

**Methods**

This protocol, which follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) criteria\(^27\), outlines the proposed approach to systematically reviewing the available evidence on the diagnostic accuracy of DBS samples for detection of HCV using laboratory-based tests. The PRISMA-P checklist for this protocol is presented as Extended data\(^28\).

The reporting of this systematic review will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA) criteria\(^29\). The systematic review will also conform to national HTA guidelines for evaluating the clinical effectiveness of health technologies\(^30\).

**Review question**

The systematic review question has been formulated using the Population, Index test, Reference test, Diagnosis (PIRD) framework and presented in Table 1\(^31\). The systematic review aims to answer:

- What is the diagnostic accuracy of laboratory-based HCV testing using DBS compared with venous blood (whole blood, serum or plasma) samples among patients with chronic or resolved HCV infection?
Eligibility criteria
Included studies must have assessed the detection of at least one of anti-HCV antibody, HCV-RNA or HCV core antigen using a DBS sample, and reported sufficient data to estimate sensitivity and specificity (that is, sufficient data must be presented to construct 2x2 tables to calculate the number of true positives (TPs), true negatives (TNs), false positives (FPs) and false negatives (FNs)). Cross-sectional and case-control studies which compare the index test (anti-HCV, HCV-RNA or HCV core antigen in DBS sample) with the reference test (anti-HCV, HCV-RNA or HCV core antigen in serum, plasma or whole blood sample) in the population of interest will be included in the systematic review.

Only data relevant to our population of interest will be extracted from studies that present data using population subgroups (for example, studies that include both adults and children). Where a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis is used to estimate the optimal cut-off point, data will be extracted at multiple thresholds and explored in sensitivity analysis. Viral load thresholds of ≥1000 international units per milliliter (IU/mL) and ≥3000 IU/mL have been recommended when assessing the sensitivity of HCV-RNA and core antigen tests.23,32,33

The following exclusion criteria will be applied:

- Studies in children only
- Studies that present insufficient data to construct 2x2 contingency tables to calculate the number of TPs, TNs, FPs and FNs
- Point-of-care tests conducted outside of laboratory settings that used DBS samples
- Studies where DBS results have not been compared against a reference standard method in serum, plasma or whole blood samples
- Studies in which both DBS and reference standard method have been carried out in all subjects
- Case reports, expert opinion, conference abstracts and literature reviews
- Letters to the editor and commentaries where insufficient detail on study methods and results are presented
- Animal studies
- Studies where an English translation cannot be retrieved.

Search methods
Electronic searches will be conducted in PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, Lilacs and the Cochrane library (which includes the Database of Systematic Reviews, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE), the Health Technology Assessment Database (HTA) and the National Health Service Economic Evaluation Database (NHS EED), supplemented by a grey literature search of national and international electronic sources. The search terms are based on those used in a previously published systematic review and are in line with Cochrane guidance for identifying diagnostic accuracy studies.15,34 Forward citation searching and handsearching of the reference lists of included studies will also be conducted. The preliminary search was run from inception up to July 17th 2020, but may be updated to include additional studies, should it be warranted. The full search strategy is presented as Extended data.28

Selection of studies
All citations will be screened independently by two reviewers as per the inclusion criteria, with any disagreements being resolved by discussion. Screening will be undertaken using the EndNote X8 software.

Data extraction and management
Data extraction will be performed independently by two people with any disagreements resolved through discussion. A data extraction template, based on the standards for the reporting of diagnostic accuracy studies (STARD) checklist, is presented as Extended data. Key data to be extracted include:

- Population characteristics (country, sample size, age, gender, HCV genotype, fibrosis levels, treatment status, and HCV risk factors such as HCV/HIV co-infection, sexual orientation, intravenous drug misuse)
- Index test (assay type, manufacturer assay, cut-off points and limits of detection, viral load threshold, filter paper)
• Reference test (assay type, manufacturer assay, cut-off points and limits of detection)
• Outcomes (TPs, FPs, TNs, FNs)
• Setting (healthcare setting of sample collection, storage conditions and transportation, spoilage)
• Author conflicts of interest.

Risk of bias assessment
The methodological quality of included studies will be assessed using the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 (QUADAS-2) tool39. Study risk of bias in each domain of QUADAS-2 will be graded low, high or some concerns. The risk of bias assessment will be conducted independently by two reviewers, with disagreements resolved through discussion.

GRADE assessment
GRADE summary of findings tables, developed using GRADEpro software, will be presented. The body of evidence will be independently assessed by two reviewers for each primary outcome (that is, sensitivity and specificity) according to risk of bias, consistency, directness, precision and publication bias in accordance with previously published GRADE guidance37–39.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis
All statistical analysis will be carried out in R Studio. Mean estimates and 95% confidence intervals of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio (LR+), negative likelihood ratio (LR-), and diagnostic odds ratios (DORs) will be calculated for each included study. Where clinical or methodological heterogeneity precludes meta-analysis, individual mean estimates and 95% confidence intervals will be presented without pooling of effects and the evidence will be synthesised narratively.

Data and statistical analysis will be performed according to the type of HCV detection method (that is, anti-HCV, HCV-RNA and HCV core antigen). Within each of these categories, the data may be further sub-grouped according to the type of laboratory assay (that is, enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA), chemiluminescence assay (CLIA), reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), transcription-mediated amplification (TMA), etc).

If there are more than three included studies and the data are sufficiently homogenous, a meta-analysis of univariate outcomes (that is, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, LR+, LR- and DORs) will be undertaken. A random effects model will be used in the presence of statistical heterogeneity, determined using estimates of Cochran’s Q test, tau² and the inconsistency index (I²). The pooled estimates will be presented on forest plots.

A random-effects bivariate model will be used to account for the correlation between pairs of sensitivity and specificity40, should the included data be of sufficient quantity and quality. The outcomes of the bivariate model will be presented on summary ROC curves and compared with those of the univariate analyses. If there is evidence of a threshold effect, a hierarchical model, which allows study level covariates to be added to the model, will be considered for estimating summary ROC curves41.

Subgroup analyses will be used to present sensitivity reported at alternative cut-off points, such as viral load thresholds (for example, viral load thresholds of ≥1000 IU/mL and ≥3000 IU/mL). Where corresponding values of sensitivity and specificity are reported across multiple thresholds within individual studies, meta-analysis using all available data will be reported as a sensitivity analysis42. Sensitivity analysis will also be performed to detect outliers and influential studies.

Finally, heterogeneity in effect sizes will be investigated through meta-regression to estimate the effect of potential covariates (such as country-income status43, the presence of co-infection, other risk HCV risk factors and prevalence) on diagnostic accuracy. Deek’s funnel plot and test for asymmetry will be used to assess publication bias44. For all statistical analyses, a significant effect will be defined at a p-value of ≤0.05.

Dissemination
The key findings of this systematic review will be published on the website of the Health Information and Quality Authority. The systematic review will also be submitted for peer-reviewed publication in international journals and submitted for presentation at national and international conferences. The data collected and/or analysed during the undertaking of this systematic review will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Study status
Database searching and full-text screening against eligibility criteria are completed. Data extraction and quality appraisal are currently underway.

Discussion
Recent advances in HCV therapies have led to a paradigm shift towards identifying and curing those currently living with chronic HCV infection with the aim of achieving the World Health Organization’s viral hepatitis elimination targets by 203045. A key logistical challenge for identifying the currently undiagnosed population is how best to integrate reflex testing into the care pathway with a view to minimising patient drop-off along the cascade of care41.

As has been demonstrated by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) testing46, the use of DBS samples at central laboratories offers potential logistical advantages over the current standard of blood samples collected by phlebotomy because of enhanced stability that obviates the need for cold-chain storage, simplified transport (since current in-country transport networks can be used without separating samples for reflex testing) and ease of use for healthcare workers43,44. While no DBS assays are currently commercially available for diagnosis of HCV infection46, growing interest in its “off-label” use has led to the development of standardised laboratory protocols for using DBS samples in immunoassay and molecular techniques47.

The aim of this systematic review is to assess the diagnostic accuracy of DBS samples compared with venous blood samples.
for detection of HCV using laboratory-based tests (that is, anti-HCV, RNA and core antigen). The systematic review will be undertaken with a view to informing a national HTA of birth cohort testing for HCV in Ireland\textsuperscript{26}.

**Data availability**

Underlying data

No underlying data are associated with this article.

Extended data

Open Science Framework: Laboratory-based dried blood spot testing for hepatitis C: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. https://osf.io/ghx52/files/\textsuperscript{28}.

This project contains the following extended data:
- Supplementary file 1 - PRISMA-P Checklist
- Supplementary file 2 - Search strategy.pdf
- Supplementary file 3 - Data extraction template.pdf

**Reporting guidelines**

PRISMA-P checklist for “Laboratory-based dried blood spot testing for hepatitis C: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy.” https://osf.io/ghx52/files/\textsuperscript{29}.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

**References**

1. World Health Organization: Hepatitis C fact sheet. 2018. Reference Source
2. World Health Organization: Global Hepatitis Report 2017. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2017. Reference Source
3. McEwan P, Ward T, Yuan Y, et al.: The impact of timing and prioritization on the cost-effectiveness of birth cohort testing and treatment for hepatitis C virus in the United States. Hepatology. 2013; 58(1): 54–64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
4. Manns MP, Buti M, Gane E, et al.: Progression rates in chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a meta-analysis. Lancet. 1997; 349(9055): 825–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
5. Manns MP, Buti M, Gane E, et al.: The natural history of liver fibrosis progression in persons diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. World Health Organization; 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2018. Reference Source
6. Poynard T, Bedossa P, Opolon P: Natural history of liver fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. The OBSVIRC, METAIVIR, CLINIVIR, and DOSVIRC groups. Lancet. 1997; 349(9055): 825–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
7. Thein HH, Yi Q, Dore GJ, et al.: Estimation of stage-specific fibrosis progression rates in chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. Hepatology. 2008; 48(2): 418–31. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
8. Tong MJ, el-Farra NS, Reikes AR, et al.: Clinical outcomes after transfusion-associated hepatitis C. N Engl J Med. 1995; 332(22): 1463–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
9. World Health Organization: Global Health Sector Strategy on Viral Hepatitis 2016-2021 towards ending viral hepatitis. 2016. Reference Source
10. Department of Health: Hepatitis C Screening (NCEC National Clinical Guideline No. 15). 2017. Reference Source
11. Feld JJ: Hepatitis C Virus Diagnostics: The Road to Simplification. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken). 2019; 13(2): 125–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
12. World Health Organization: Guidelines on hepatitis B and C testing. Geneva: World Health Organization; Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2017. Reference Source
13. World Health Organization, Unitaid: Hepatitis C Diagnostics Technology Landscape. 2019. Reference Source
14. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control: Technical protocol for hepatitis C prevalence surveys in the general population Stockholm: ECDC. 2020. Reference Source
15. Vazquez-Moron S, Aridzono Jimenez B, Jimenez-Sousa MA, et al.: Evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of laboratory-based screening for hepatitis C in dried blood spot samples: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2019; 9(1): 7316. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
16. Lim MD: Dried Blood Spots for Global Health Diagnostics and Surveillance: Opportunities and Challenges. Am J Trap Med Hyg. 2018; 99(2): 256–65. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
17. Biondii MJ, van Tilborg M, Smookler D, et al.: Hepatitis C Core-Antigen Testing from Dried Blood Spots. Viruses. 2019; 11(9): 830. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
18. Fourati S, Feld jj, Chevalier S, et al.: Approaches for simplified HCV diagnostic algorithms. J Int AIDS Soc. 2018; 21 Suppl 2(22): e25058. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
19. Lange B, Cohn J, Roberts T, et al.: Diagnostic accuracy of serological diagnosis of hepatitis C and B using dried blood spot samples (DBS): two systematic reviews and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2017; 17(Suppl 1): 693. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
20. Lange B, Roberts T, Cohn J, et al.: Diagnostic accuracy of detection and quantification of HBV-DNA and HCV-RNA using dried blood spot (DBS) samples - a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2017; 17(Suppl 1): 693. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
21. Muzembo BA, Mbedi NC, Nakayama SF: Systematic review with meta-analysis: performance of dried blood spots for hepatitis C antibodies detection. Public Health. 2017; 153: 128–36. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
22. Fong B, Yang RF, Jiang HJ, et al.: Correlation analysis of hepatitis C virus core antigen and low viral loads: Can core antigen replace nucleic acid test? Clin Exp Med. 2020; 20(1): 131–41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
23. Freiman JM, Tran TM, Schumacher SG, et al.: Hepatitis C Core-Antigen Testing for Diagnosis of Hepatitis C Virus Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016; 111(2): 345–53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
24. van Tilborg M, Al Marzoogi SH, Wong WVL, et al.: HCV core antigen as an alternative to HCV RNA testing in the era of direct-acting antivirals: retrospective screening and diagnostic cohort studies. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018; 3(12): 856–64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
25. Lemoine M, Lacombe K: HCV core antigen: toward elimination of nucleic acid testing? Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018; 3(12): 817–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
26. Health Information and Quality Authority: HIQA commences HTA on birth cohort testing for hepatitis C 2019. Reference Source
27. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al.: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015; 350: g7647. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
28. Carty P: Laboratory-based dried blood spot testing for hepatitis C: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy.
29. McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, et al.: Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: The PRISMA-DTA Statement. *JAMA*. 2018; 319(4): 388–96. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

30. Health Information and Quality Authority: Guidelines for Evaluating the Clinical Effectiveness of Health Technologies in Ireland HIQA: Dublin. 2019. Reference Source

31. Campbell JM, Klugar M, Ding S, et al.: Diagnostic test accuracy: methods for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Evid Based Healthc.* 2015; 13(3): 154–62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

32. European Association for the Study of the Liver: EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C 2018. *J Hepatol.* 2018; 69(2): 461–511. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

33. World Health Organization: WHO Guidelines on Hepatitis B and C Testing. Geneva: World Health Organization; GUIDING PRINCIPLES. 2017. Reference Source

34. Beynon R, Leeflang MM, McDonald S, et al.: Search strategies to identify diagnostic accuracy studies in MEDLINE and EMBASE. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013; 2013(9): MR000022. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

35. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, et al.: STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. *BMJ.* 2015; 351: h5527. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

36. Witting PF, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME, et al.: QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011; 155(8): 525–36. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

37. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al.: GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ.* 2008; 336(7650): 924–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

38. Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, et al.: Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations for diagnostic tests and strategies. *BMJ.* 2008; 336(7653): 1106–10. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

39. Singh S, Chang SM, Matchar DB, et al.: Chapter 7: grading a body of evidence on diagnostic tests. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2012; 27(Suppl 1): S47–55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

40. Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AWS, et al.: Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2005; 58(10): 982–90. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

41. Rutter CM, Gatsonis CA: A hierarchical regression approach to meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy evaluations. *Stat Med.* 2001; 20(19): 2865–84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

42. Stinhausser S, Schumacher M, Rücker G: Modelling multiple thresholds in meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2016; 16(1): 97. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

43. World Health Organization: World Bank income groups 2020. Reference Source

44. Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L: The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2005; 58(9): 882–93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

45. Tuaillon E, Kania D, Pisoni A, et al.: Dried Blood Spot Tests for the Diagnosis and Therapeutic Monitoring of HIV and Viral Hepatitis B and C. *Front Microbiol.* 2020; 11: 373. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

46. Grüner N, Stambouli O, Ross RS: Dried blood spots—preparing and processing for use in immunoassays and in molecular techniques. *J Vis Exp.* 2015; (97): e52619. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: ✔️ ✔️

Version 1

Reviewer Report 07 December 2021

https://doi.org/10.21956/hrbopenres.14290.r30840

© 2021 Hernández-Guerra M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Manuel Hernández-Guerra
Liver Unit, Hospital Universitario de Canarias, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

This is a promising study that will shed light on the accuracy of DBS tests. Evidence is needed to encourage (or not) the use of this non-invasive diagnostic tool, especially where venipuncture limits the diagnosis or continuum care. The design of the study is well planned and detailed analysis is given.

Minor issues:
- Days from sampling to DBS processing should be registered.
- Data about quantitative correlation analysis between serum and DBS samples should be reported and if corrections were performed.

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?
Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?
Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: HCV elimination

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of
Nishi Prabdial-Sing
Centre for Vaccines and Immunology, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa

A well-written and thought out protocol for the practicalities of use of DBS instead of whole blood for HCV testing. A meta-analyses will provide insight on how accurately DBS performs when compared to reference tests on conventional sampling.

On page 4 of 7 “Studies in which both DBS and reference standard method have been carried out in all subjects” – why is this an exclusion? Samples will have to be tested on the reference method and index method (DBS).

Key data to be extracted could also include: methods used to normalize serum cut-off values between reference sample (serum or plasma) and DBS for anti-HCV and HCV core antigen and IU/ml for viral load

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?  
Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?  
Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?  
Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?  
Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Virology, viral hepatitis, diagnostics, infectious diseases, molecular biology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.