Oncological resection followed by reconstruction with a free fibular graft is still considered to be the gold standard treatment for functional and prosthetic rehabilitation of oral cancer patients. But this tedious traditional process is challenging for surgeons, as they have to deal with associated curve anatomy, surrounding innervations, and maintenance of functional and aesthetic acceptability. Such manual and operator’s skill dependent surgery can commonly demand a longer treatment duration; leading to an increased risk for prolonging the period of ischemia with increased morbidity, which frequently ends with an uncertain, unsatisfactory outcome. Technological advancements in the 20th and 21st centuries present a revolutionary improvement in the field of reconstructive surgery. Virtual surgical planning (VSP) and three-dimensional (3D) bio-printing incorporating computer-aided design and computer-aided modeling is a promising tech in modern head and neck reconstruction. This recent innovation is more polished regarding initiation of patient-customized models, pre-bent plates, and osteotomy guides which further increase surgical precision, improve operative efficiency, ensure proper wound healing, shorten operative time, reduce operative cost, and decrease morbidities. This review focuses on the virtual surgical planning and 3D bio-printing technologies to evaluate their efficiency of qualitative and quantitative outcomes of resection and reconstruction of mandible in head-neck cancer using fibular graft.
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fects in multiple planes. Thus, the free fibula flap has proven itself to be the “gold standard” for mandible reconstruction and is currently the highest choice for maxillo-mandibular bony reconstruction in benign and malignant tumors. Previously, the technique was completely based on intraoperative subjective evaluation of the surgeon’s skill for the post ablative outcome; resulting in numerous faulty and tedious manipulations to reform and reshape the bony discontinuity. Thus, the complex anatomy and mobility of the mandible, the three-dimensional (3D) position of the free fibula flap, and microvascular anastomosing posed a challenge for surgeons to produce the perfect outcome. Moreover, longer operative duration prolongs the period of ischemia and the inability to restore the proper maxillomandibular and occlusal relationships, which finally results higher complication rates and poor cosmetic and functional outcomes. To overcome these drawbacks, there is a need for a more refined and improved technological development.

“Rapid prototyping” also known as “3D bio-printing” or “solid free-form fabrication” or “additive manufacturing” or ‘layered manufacturing’ is a new technology that introduces a technical and industrial revolution in the field of plastic and reconstructive surgery with benefits including enhanced accuracy, advanced operative efficiency, and desired outcomes in the surgical field. This technology gradually places layers of materials to create 3D objects of material in a controlled manner rather than material reduction. The 3D printing concept started as “stereolithography (STL)” in the early 1980s by Charles, where he introduced the novel 3D printer for business application in 1988. STL accelerates the fabrication of an object by curing a photo-reactive resin accompanied by an ultraviolet laser in a layer-by-layer design. A number of 3D printing processes have been used and implemented extensively; and since the early 2000s, the usage of such applications has been increasing.

**Surgical phase of virtual surgical planning (VSP) and 3D bio-printing technique**

The surgical phase consists of four phases:

1. Surgical planning
2. Surgical modeling
3. Surgical technique
4. Surgical evaluation

The planning phase starts with strong-resolution computed tomographic (CT) scans of patient’s craniofacial skeleton as well as CT angiography of lower extremities to study the donor site bone and vessels and then are sent to the required modeling company. An online teleconference is then held with the surgeons and a biomedical engineer finalizes the fundamental issues including cutting margins, osteotomies, position of the vascularized bone graft in oncologic reconstruction, perfect repositioning of the fractured bony segments.

The modeling phase involves the construction of stereolithographic models in the area of the desired orofacial skeleton, specific cutting guides for both resection and vascularized bone graft, reconstruction plate or template for bending plate. These cutting guides and templates are made by clinician’s preference.

Surgery phase incorporates the utilization of models, cutting guides, and plates. After securing the maxillo-mandibular fixation, osteotomies are made in the upper or lower jaw according to resection plan. Following the cutting guides, collected bony flap is cut and osteotomized in situ and before the whole composite unit is fixed into maxillary or mandibular gap, osseous flap is placed on the reconstruction plates. The refurbishment of bony architecture proceeds to restoration of soft tissue.

The evaluation phase is performed by the quantitative measurement of the post-surgical outcomes that proceeds the CT scan based on the similar pre-operative protocol and by the personalized appraisal regarding restoration of maxillofacial function by the patient and the clinician.

**Advantages and disadvantages of VSP and 3D bio-printing technique**

The advantages of classical pre-surgical planning for tumor resection include perfectly placed boundaries in safe tissue and cutting guide allowing duplication of planned osteotomies during surgery. It ensures precise photocopying of the mandibular contour with no bony deformities. The reconstructive plates can be designed by morphological mirroring of the sound cortical bone of the contralateral hemi-mandibular site for the tumor that alters unilateral outer mandibular cortical bone contour. Additionally, a library database can be used to adapt the proper dental occlusion.

In secondary reconstruction, a virtual environment allows the ideal preoperative planning of mandibular segment reposition; reproduce exact orientation/relation of the original (before initial surgery) mandibular segments. The reconstructive bone plate can be designed using the original external cortical bone as a template by using CT data obtained before primary surgery. Finally, virtual orientation is more suitable
to select the fibular osseous fragment for future implant insertion.\textsuperscript{14} Its sharp calculation is suitable to figure the bone length and free flap extension of the vascular pedicle.

Disadvantages primarily include the extra expenses for designing, extended time period required for virtual planning, prototyping malignant cases where the delay may increase the risk of malignant progression. Additionally, it is particularly difficult to adapt when intra-operative surgical plan of action differs (e.g., finding of positive margins on frozen section examination).

\textbf{Previous studies}

In this review, we sought to evaluate the benefits of 3D bio-printing and virtual surgical planning (VSP) technology by examining different literatures to find out its numerous implementations as shown in Table 1.\textsuperscript{15-26} We focused on investigating its increased bone contact, finer tooth alignment, improved facial esthetical outline, morphological consequences, functional outcome, duration of surgery, and reduced complication rates in comparison to the previously surgeon-reliant, intraoperative experimental 2D imaging techniques in this review.

\textbf{Fig. 1.} Cone beam CT showing the desmoplastic lesion in different views. Anterior and posterior view of the lesion (A). Lingual cortex involvement (B). Involve inferior alveolar canal (C).
Discussion

Table 2 provides the summary of previous articles concerning the use of VSP and 3D bio-printing in oncological resection of the mandible. While Table 3 illustrates the benefits of VSP reported from previous studies.

Reconstructed mandibular defects by free fibular flap described by Hidalgo is dependent on surgeon’s skill and decision which is usually experimental and lack communication between the surgical and reconstructive team, resulting in less precise reconstruction, longer procedural duration, longer ischemia period that frequently causes major complications with a rate ranging between 25% and 35% with the highest failure rate of 35% at the anterior region. Advanced solutions like stereolithographic models with pre-bending plates and pre-plating technique described by Pellini, et al. show that the further improvement of VSP on the accuracy of the pre-operative plan leads to greater precision of the surgical procedure and reduction of surgical time which has already been well documented.

The study by Succo, et al. described the computer-assisted mandibular reconstruction technology in a step-by-step manner with the customized solution Synthes ProPlan CMF (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) and demonstrates the virtual planning 3D bio-printing technology as an advanced approach for more accurate final reconstruction. They observed an excellent fit with pre-bent plates and bony contact on both mandible and fibula, reduced duration of ischemia times and operation, less complications and a shortened length of hospital stay. The authors mentioned that the stereolithic models facilitate pre-operative plate bending, prefabricated cutting guides ensure efficient osteotomies that minimized error and advanced safety of the whole procedure resulted more perfect reconstruction and reduction in surgical times, ensures remarkable reduction ischemia time by overcome any surgical manipulation that required for plate and bony contouring and fixation of the fibula even in an en-block resection with neck dissection. Laser surfaced plate presents sharp adaptation with the residual mandibular segment to a new mandibular contour and the plate does not weaken by manual bending, therefore results in a proper and new “mandible” position in the case of alter maxillomandibular relationship. Moreover, surgeons can pre-operatively plan the programmed positioning of fibular segments to provide places for future dental rehabilitation as well as placement of implant which is strongly effective especially for bone modification like onlay bone grafts and osteodistraction. This technology also preserves the cutaneous vessel and maintain proper direct blood flow to the skin island by pre-operative angiographic CT scan and eco-color Doppler which assists in deciding the correct position of the fibula cutting guide.

A similar type of study in 2016 by Wang, et al. investigated the preciseness of the mandibular reconstruction and clinical outcomes in both virtual planning and conventional surgery group and the results found shorter ischemia time, shorter duration of operation with precise fit with the pre-bent plate, mandible, and fibula segments in the virtual planning group. The author mentioned that the experimental manipulation by the surgeon is the main drawback of conventional surgery which is successfully avoided by pre-operative VSP surgery and when clinicians become familiar with the same procedures by pre-operative planning, a more refined outcome of reconstructions can ensure. Contrarily, a longer time during
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pre-operative planning and model construction and the inability to confirm the surgical margins of soft tissues preoperatively until frozen section, are the limitations of VSP procedures.

The result was also found in the study by Ren, et al. using the same protocol surgery containing pre-bent titanium plates and customized models with cutting guides for osteotomies compared to the conventional method. The author mentioned that the particular cases without oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and preoperative irradiation benefited more than OSCC having irradiation history cases.

Chang, et al. also reported advanced precision of the actual osteotomies, low deviation rate from the preoperative plan, and significantly lower revising incidence of osteotomies with burring, cutting or using bone paste on the VSP group using stereolithic models, pre-bent plates, and osteotomy guides from CT-guided planning session compared to the control group that only used stereolithic model as a template where reconstruction in the control group conducted by experienced surgeons and less experienced surgeons performed in the study group.

A systematic literature review with 33 papers of 220 patients of craniofacial oncological reconstruction along with virtual planning technology, reported both quantities and qualitative benefits and enhanced accuracy of the reconstruction (93%), shortened intraoperative duration (80%), and overall success of all their variables.

The article of Toto, et al. evaluated the scenario of CT-guided preoperative planning using a pre-bent reconstruction plate that had been criticized for causing additional expense without any clear benefit. The authors used 3 groups in their study and the results showed that the group that used CT-guided preoperative planning and osteotomy guides with pre-bent reconstruction plates increased procedural efficiency and remarkably decreased costs and operative times. They evaluated that the extra expenditure compensated by the reduced duration in the operating room due to patient-specific guide which drastically improved procedural skill with less flap loss and complications.

Although computer-aided design and computer-aided modeling (CAD-CAM) method showed significant improvement in technical accuracy, long-term outcomes often showed aberrances of up to a few millimeters, and the causes remain unclear. Goormans, et al. with his study tried to find-out repeating errors in the end-results and surgical outcome of virtually planned mandibular reconstructions. The comparison of the virtual plan and postoperative mandible model.
Table 1. Published studies on VSP and 3D bio-printing

| Author & year | Diagnosis | Surgical intervention and evaluation of parameter | Sample size |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Succo, et al. 2015 | Both benign and malignant cases | Computer-assisted reconstruction of mandible with a microvascular fibular free flap using pre-operative virtual planning, customized cutting guides, along with laser pre-bent titanium plates. To demonstrate the overall reconstructive outcome, precise re-establishment of oro-facial functions, and esthetic outlines. | 5 cases |
| Rodby, et al. 2014 | Maxilla and mandibular oncology (84%) ORN (16%) | Computer-assisted head-neck surgery according to VSP, stereolithographic models along with specific cutting guides. Reconstructions performed by free fibula osteocutaneous flap 177 (80%), iliac crest flap 31 (14%), scapular flap 12 (6%) cases. A systematic review conducted to find-out the conveniences of the VSP technology-based reconstruction, identify its utilization and evaluate the advantages, and made comparison of surgical outcomes with conventional surgery on head neck oncology. | A total of 220 cases Reconstructions of mandible (193 cases, 88%) and reconstructions of maxilla (22 cases, 10%) |
| Toto, et al. 2015 | SCC | Free fibula osteocutaneous flaps reconstruction after segmental mandibulectomies. To evaluate the influences of CT-directed preoperative planning on post-operative outcomes. | Sample size: 57 3 groups Group A: 12 patients Reconstructive surgeon based bended plates on acrylic model. Osteotomies went-through on back-table. Group B: 20 patients Reconstructive surgeon based bended plates on acrylic model. Osteotomies went-through in situ osteotomies. Both A & B groups without the use of preoperative planning or osteotomy guides. Group C: 25 patients went-through in situ osteotomies along with prefabricated osteotomy guides created from the preoperative CT-guided computerized planning session. |
| Wang, et al. 2016 | ORN, intraosseous carcinoma of the mandible, lower gingival carcinoma, Carcinoma in the oral floor, osteosarcoma, mandibular osteo-fibroma. | Virtual planning surgery group: Resection and reconstruction of mandible using vascularized fibular flap, utilizing VSP software, stereolithographic models, specific cutting guides, and specific reconstruction pre-bent plates. Conventional surgery group: Fibular flap harvest, resection and reconstruction of mandible by manual surgeon’s basis effort. To evaluate the preciseness and determine the clinical benefits in both virtual planning and conventional surgery patients. | 2 group 56 patients 21 patients went through mandibular reconstruction with vascularized fibula grafts using the VSP. 35 patients went through conventional surgery. |
| Bosc, et al. 2017 | SCC | Segmental mandibulectomy Reconstruction by osteocutaneous free flap. To evaluate the potentiality and accuracy of an in-house technique that contains all the procedures of CAD and rapid prototype modeling that not needed any outside laboratory insertion. | Sample size: 18 |
| Author & year | Diagnosis | Surgical intervention and evaluation of parameter | Sample size |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Chang, et al. | SCC, ORN  | Mandibular reconstruction with osteocutaneous free flaps. To determine the essentiality and proficiency of CT guided preoperative VSP on post-operative outcomes. | Sample size: 92 Group A: 43 patients had a STL model to serve as a template. 18 of them (41.9 percent) having a prebent plate. Osteotomies performed on the back table without the use of pre-fabricated cutting guides. Group B: 49 patients Underwent VSP with STL models, prebent plates, and osteotomy guides based upon the preoperative, CT-guided planning session. | |
| De Maesschalck, et al. | SCC, ORN | Reconstruction of mandible with fibular free flap. To compare morphological mandibular reconstruction outcome between CAD/CAM custom patient-specific plates and surgical cutting guides versus conventional freehand technique and to assess the accuracy and duplicability of VSP technology. | Sample size: 18 Group A: 7 patients. The CAS group experienced virtual planning with CAD/CAM customised patient-specific plates and surgical cutting guides. Group B: 11 patients. The control group went through traditional freehand surgery. | |
| Tarsitano, et al. | SCC, KCOT, OGS, fibromyxoma | Disarticulation resection surgery following the preservation of articular meniscus and set a CAD/CAM reconstructive plate with fibular microvascular free flap. To assess the clinical benefits and complications by a series of oncological patients. | 9 patients went through disarticulation resection surgery for benign and malignant mandibular tumors at the condylar region. |
| Ren, et al. | SCC, OGS, fibroma | Vascularized fibula flap mandibular reconstruction utilizing virtual planning and 3D printing modeling along with cutting guide osteotomies and pre-bent plates to find-out its capabilities and to compare the operation time and surgical results of this technique with the traditional method. | 30 patients Study group: 15 patients went through vascularized fibula flap mandibular reconstruction utilizing virtual planning and 3D printing modeling, pre-bent titanium plates, and cutting guides. Control group: 15 patients experienced mandibular reconstruction utilizing fibula flap without the help of virtual planning and 3D printing models. | |
| Jacek, et al. | SCC advanced stage | Mandible reconstruction surgery with the scapula and fibula free flaps. A comparison made between two types of mandible reconstructive operations either 3D models from thermoplastic materials or conventional planning surgeries using both scapula and fibula free flaps. | 4 patients treated with free fibular flap with skin island. Another 4 patients treated with scapular flap with skin island. Among the 8 patients, 4 are treated with conventional technique and another 4 are by 3D model. | |
| Dell’Aversana Orabona, et al. | SCC | Oncological mandibular reconstruction using fibular flap by VSP and 3D bio-printing in a home-made way. To evaluate the viability and effectiveness of a protocol to produced cutting guides in a “homemade” way. | Sample size:4 | |
| Goormans, et al. | SCC, ORN, RMS, ES, OS | Reconstruction of the mandible with free fibula flap, using VSP and 3D model tech, fixation tray, and cutting guides’ osteotomies, manually bended reconstruction plate according to 3D model. To assesses the more preciseness of computer-assisted mandibular reconstructions. | Sample size:26 Patients divided by condylar involvement and subdivided by the number of fibular segments used for reconstruction. | |

VSP: virtual surgical planning, 3D: three-dimensional, ORN: osteoradionecrosis, SCC: squamous cell carcinoma, STL: stereolithography, CAD/CAM: computer-aided design/computer-aided modeling, CAS: computer-assisted surgery, KCOT: kerato-cystic odontogenic tumor, OGS: osteogenic sarcoma, RMS: rhabdomyosarcoma, ES: ewing sarcoma, OS: osteosarcoma
Table 2. Summary of previous articles concerning the use of VSP and 3D bio-printing in oncological resection of the mandible

| Author & year | Follow-up | Result |
|---------------|-----------|--------|
| Succo, et al. | 8–12 month | Outstanding accuracy of cutting guides, perfectly fit pre-bent plates, precise bone contact, and position found in mandible and fibula graft. The average difference between the programmed segment and CT control SL 0.098 ± 0.077 cm. Significantly shorter ischemia times an average of 75 ± 8 min; Average post-operative hospital stay 18 ± 3 days. |
| Rodby, et al. | Mean follow-up | Functional outcomes reported in 99 cases (45%). 2 patients (2%) categorized as ‘ideal,’ 12 patients (12%) as ‘outstanding,’ 23 patients (23%) as good, 34 patients (35%) as ‘restored’ and comments from patient and physician-expressed satisfaction with function for 7 (7%) and 21 (21%) patients respectively. Esthetical outcomes reported in 84 patients (38%) and categorized as ‘outstanding’ for 34 patients (41%) and ‘good’ in 17 patients (20%); ‘successful’ for 8 patients (10%); ‘symmetry achieved’ for 6 patients (7%); ‘near normal’ results in one patient; and patient and physician-satisfaction revealed with the esthetic outcome for 7 (8%) and 11 (13%) of patients respectively. Precise accuracy of the reconstruction found on 93% of cases. Shortened intraoperative time found in 175 cases (80%). Especially described reduced flap ischemic time for 67 cases (30%). User convenience especially reported for 53 cases (24%). Negative margin found as resection cutting guides in 12 cases (5%). Refined predictability of outcomes especially documented in 16% cases. 22% of the patients improved patient satisfaction. 6% of patients decreased complications. Quantitative study data showed with an average score of 88 for patients from VSP and score 68 for traditionally reconstructed patients. Quantitative results utilizing pre-operative and post-operative CT scan comparisons shown for 30% of cases. Mean surface deviation in 1 case. Repetition of segment placement found in 7 patients, and osteotomy site difference by plate overlap found in 11 patients. The position, the difference from the actual postoperative and projected CT images, all patients (50 cases total) were within 2.5 mm deviation/difference and overlap in the actual vs. projected reconstructive plates was seen in 11 patients, 58% (± 8.96%). |
| Toto, et al. | N/D | 3 groups, as mentioned in Table: 1 Mean ischemia time 177.8 min, 86.69 min, and 76.92 min for group A, B, and C respectively. Mean OR time 706.9 min, 659.8 min, 534.2 min for group A, B and C respectively. Mean operating room values $20332.50, $19002.60, $15450.48 for group A, B, C respectively. Cost of plate $4200.00, $4200.00, $5500.00 for group A, B and C groups respectively. Total costs $24532.50, $23202.60, $20950.48 for group A, B and C respectively. |
| Wang, et al. | 6 months | Ischemia period (mean ± SD) found 45 ± 13 min in VSP group where 63 ± 15 min in CS group, Total operation duration (mean ± SD) 4.5 ± 0.9 hr. in VSP group and 5.8 ± 1.3 hr in CS group. Normal condyle position achieved 100% in the VSP group and 54.3% in the CS group. Sharp bone to bone contact found 95.2% in the VSP group and 71.4% in the CS group. Accurate position among plate in mandible and fibula segment achieved 95.2% in the VSP group and 62.9% in the CS group. Good facial appearance achieved 95.2% in the VSP group and 77.1% in the CS group. 100% of patients have their regular diet in the VSP group where CS group 85.7%. 100% of patients have their intelligible speech in the VSP group and 97.1% in the CS group. |
| Bosc, et al. | 19 months | All reconstruction outcomes successfully matched between the pre-operative model and final surgery outcome both the fibula bone segments and angle measurements. No major modification needed for any patient. The mean variation in angle value between the preoperative models to postoperative outcomes 4°. |
### Table 2. Summary of previous articles concerning the use of VSP and 3D bio-printing in oncological resection of the mandible (continued)

| Author & year | Follow-up | Result |
|---------------|-----------|--------|
| Chang, et al.\(^\text{26}\) 2016 | 12 months | Preoperative CT-guided surgery resulted less burning, lesser osteotomy revisions, and little bone grafting, decreased operative time (666 minutes for VSP group 545 minutes for conventional surgery group; \(p < 0.005\)), decreased bony nonunion. |
| Dell’Aversana Orabona, et al.\(^\text{25}\) 2017 | only 1 patient had condylar displacement anterior to the glenoid tubercle, other patients had usual mouth opening. Chewing ability, speech style, and esthetical facial contour equivalent to or better than baseline for all patients. The average postoperative condylar deviation from the preoperative location about 3.8 mm (range: 1.3 to 6.7 mm). |
| Ren, et al.\(^\text{23}\) 2018 | Reconstricive time hours and operative time hours on CAS group about 1.60 ± 0.38 hrs and 5.54 ± 0.50 hrs respectively where control group reconstructive times hours and operative times hours about 2.58 ± 0.45 and 6.54 ± 0.70 respectively and the \(p\)-value is \(< 0.001\). CAS group showed Intercondylar distance, intergonial angle distance, anteroposterior distance, gonial angles 2.92 ± 1.15, 2.93 ± 1.19, 4.31 ± 1.24, 3.85 ± 1.68 respectively and in control group 4.48 ± 1.41, 4.79 ± 1.48, 5.61 ± 1.41, 5.88 ± 2.12 respectively. |
| Jacek, et al.\(^\text{26}\) 2018 | Stability reported at conventional technology 84% and model 3D printing group 100%, mouth opening 2.5 cm for conventional technology group 3 cm for model 3D printing group. Chewing function restored results 72% on the conventional group and 90% on model 3D printing group. Cosmetically acceptable and operation time 60% and 8.5 hr respectively for the conventional group and 100% and 6.5 hrs respectively for Model 3D printing group. Mandibular counter symmetrical angle different 10.0 ± 12.5 mm for the conventional group and 7.3 ± 9.1 mm for Model 3D printing group. |
| Dell’Aversana Orabona, et al.\(^\text{25}\) 2018 | All mandibular reconstructions were fruitful with a perfect match from the digitally planned 3D models to the final outcomes. No big adjustment of the mandibular bone resection needed in intra-operatively as suggested to the planned 3D simulation. The total period taken for the virtual planning design was about 3 h. 6 h were required for the printing process and sterilization. An average distance of 1.631 mm (range 0.594 to 4.067) and a SD of 5.496 mm (range 1.966 to 8.024). |
| Goormans, et al.\(^\text{26}\) 2019 | The mean deviation in fibular SL is 1.74 mm (range, 0.02–6.10 mm), the angular deviation of the osteotomy planes is 1.98° (range, 0.04–5.86°). Mean values for each measure were intercondorid distance deviation, 3.86 mm (range, 0.20–11.21 mm); interregional distance deviation, 3.14 mm (range, 0.05–8.28 mm); anteroposterior distance deviation, 2.92 mm (range, 0.03–8.49 mm); and intersegmental plane shift, 11.00° (range, 2.76–24.15°). These results illustrated dissimilarities in a large scale in final postoperative accuracy. In the group with preserved condyles, mean intercondorid and interregional deviations differed significantly (5.02 mm and 4.88 mm, respectively; both \(p < 0.05\)) for one-segmented and three-segmented fibular reconstructions, respectively. Final postoperative accuracy lessens when more fibular segments are utilized for reconstruction. For intersegmental plane shift, the preserved and non-preserved condyle groups vary significantly (7.18°; \(p < 0.05\)). General ischemia period found < 4.00 h where most ischemia times found < 2.00 h. The all-inclusive survival rate of fibular flaps 96.15%. |

VSP: virtual surgical planning, 3D: three-dimensional, SL: segment lengths, N/D: no difference, OR: odds ratio, SD: standard deviation, CS: conventional surgery, CAS: computer-assisted surgery.
| Authors & year | No of cases | Decreased odds ratio time | Decreased ischemia time | Increased reconstruction accuracy | No major complications | Functional outcome | Decreased cost |
|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Succo, et al. 2015 | 5 cases | Yes | Yes | Yes | Difference at programmed and CT control segment lengths 0.098±0.077 cm | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Rodby, et al. 2014 | 193 cases | Yes | Yes | Yes | No major complications | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Toto, et al. 2015 | 25 cases | Yes | Yes | Yes | Excellent accuracy | Yes | No mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Wang, et al. 2016 | 21 cases | 4.5±13 hr | 45±0.9 min | Yes | Excellent accuracy | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Bosc, et al. 2017 | 18 cases | Mean 7-hour 2 min | Yes | Yes | Artery anastomosis thrombosis: 1
Pulmonary embolism: 1
Acute myocardial infarction: 1 | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Chang, et al. 2016 | 49 cases | 545±12.6 min | 120±19.8 min | Yes | Excellent | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| De Maesschalck, et al. 2017 | 7 cases | Not mentioned | Not mentioned | Yes | Not mentioned | No mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Tarisiano, et al. 2017 | 9 cases | Not mentioned | Not mentioned | Yes | Mean difference between postoperative and preoperative condylar deviation by CT 3.8 mm | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Ren, et al. 2018 | 15 cases | Yes | Not mentioned | Yes | Not mentioned | No mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Jacek, et al. 2018 | 4 cases | Yes | Not mentioned | Yes | Significant improved accuracy | Yes | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |
| Dell'Aversana Orabona, et al. 2018 | 4 cases | Yes | Not mentioned | Yes | Mean distance of 1.631 mm with a standard deviation of 5.496 mm by CloudCompare software overlay analysis | Yes | Not mentioned | Yes |
| Goormans, et al. 2019 | 26 cases | Yes | All ischemia times were <4.00 h, most ischemia times <2.00 h | Yes | Not mentioned | Acute complications (<6 weeks postoperatively)
- Hemorrhage: 2
- Venous congestion: 1
Late complications (>6 weeks postoperatively)
- Removal of bony plate and reconstruction: 1
- Low-grade osteoradionecrosis: 2
- Trismus: 3 | Not mentioned | Not mentioned | Not mentioned |

VSP: virtual surgical planning
showed a mean segment length deviation of 1.74 mm, which is similar to previous studies. The mean angular deviation of osteotomy planes was 1.98° and demonstrated a minor difference between the pre and post fibular osteotomy angles. The author focused the significance of using fixation method that can guarantee the accuracy of the preoperative plan.

Currently, the progression of virtual reconstruction technology to 3D reconstruction from CT-scan, Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine images, virtualization of the reconstruction project, and customized cutting guides are mostly outsourced and are often expensive, which present financial constraints to the health care system. The time for manufacturing is often longer than 3 weeks, which inevitably can increase the risk of cancer progression. Therefore, open-source freeware and material proposed to minimize those obstacles, which has been described by many surgeons all over the world.\(^{27}\) The study of Bosc, et al.\(^{19}\) mentioned that the total usage for CAD-CAM system expenditure range from 3000 to 5000 Euros per patient and the delivery period is longer than three weeks which may increase the risk of cancer progression and spread. They evaluated the potentiality and accuracy of an in-house technique that combines all the actions of CAD and rapid prototype modeling technologies and is easier and more affordable with maximum print volume and a printing resolution using layer plastic deposition and does not require any insertion of external laboratory. Their results showed that all reconstruction processes successfully matched between the pre-operative model and final surgical outcome of both fibula bone segments and angle measurement without any major modifications. The buying price of the 3D printer will drastically be shortened by the duration and procedure cost as the time reduced about 24 h to manufacture the customized cutting guides in compared to commercial systems. Dell’Aversana Orabona, et al.\(^{25}\) also investigated the reliability and efficiency of the proposed algorithm of CAD-CAM system using free open source software for digital planning with 3D layer plastic deposition printer and cutting guides produced in a “home-made” way. The results stated in the article discovered excellent accuracy of bony fit.

The aim of reconstructive surgery is to return-back the outline of the lower one-third of the face, conserve chewing function, keep normal occlusion, and furnish a higher chance for future dental implant placement and sound movement of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ). The study by Jacek, et al.\(^{26}\) assessed the comparative functionality (stability of junction, mobility, mastication ability) and cosmetics in advanced squamous cell carcinoma cases and found significantly better outcome, decreased operation time and re-contour preferable outline and esthetic outcome within the 1/3 of the lower face in VSP group compared to traditional surgery group. The author mentioned this technology can reconstruct large segmental defects, reduce the period of osseointegration of the donor graft site, and minimize the danger of bone resorption as well as pathological fractures.

Study of De Maesschalck, et al.\(^{21}\) found fine accuracy and reproducibility by fibular free flap with 3D VSP compared with conventional surgery group to evaluate the morphology of mandibular reconstruction. Hanasono and Skoracki\(^{36}\) first assessed the morphological differences by analyzing the pre- and postoperative position of bony landmarks like gonion, gnathion, and condyle in patients undergoing mandibular reconstruction and found a statistically remarkable improvement of position deviations, accuracy in linear fibular length and angular measurements of osteotomy lines from planned to actual reconstruction. Zhang, et al.\(^{37}\) also found significantly less intercondylar distance, anteroposterior distance, intergonial angle distance gonial angle in the computer-assisted surgery group versus conventional surgery group.

Reconstruction of condyle with alloplastic materials still possess a significant challenge to functional reconstruction in the anatomical region. Study by Tarsitano, et al.\(^{22}\) researched cancer resection and preservation of articular meniscus through CAD/CAM reconstructive plate with microvascular fibular free flap where head of the prosthetic condyle recreate the anatomy. Their result found no plate exposure on clinical examination; no joint pain and no plate loosening, no resorption of the glenoid fossa found compared to pre- and postoperative CT. Many studies regarding TMJ prostheses and the long-term results stand mixed and controversial on the reconstructive perspectives. Patel and Maisel\(^{33} )\) described significant complications like malocclusion, infection, plate exposures in irradiated patients, neurosensory hearing loss after malignant tumor removal. On the other hand, Daniel and Browne,\(^{39}\) Carlson\(^{40}\) reported positive results like no exposure of plate, dislocation, malocclusion even in patients undergoing radiation therapy. As the CAD/CAM technology permits prototyping of the complete metal photocopy of pre-operative native condyle, articulatory surface accurately can be smooth; preserve disc and upper TMJ chamber and the lack of anatomical changes block biodynamic modifications in tissues of articulation chamber. Thus, results no disadvantageous reaction to the condylar prosthesis.
Conclusion

VSP presents a revolutionary technology that brings oncological head-neck reconstruction into the latest era which can ensure highly expected advanced reconstructive outcomes in both facial impression as well as functional purposes. Application of VSP-3D bio-printing technology into each stage of the reconstruction process provides the chance to reduce man-made translational mistakes with each stage of the surgical process. However, it still possesses some limitations due to the variables inherent in complex reconstruction that still need to be programmed into the computer algorithm. For more advanced programs, soft-tissue reconstruction and coverage, osteo-septo-cutaneous fibula flap components, including the septo-cutaneous vessels and the inter-component relationship, bone cross-section and nutrition, pedicle reach, and surgical plan flexibility are important points to be considered. Young surgeons are encouraged to learn the classic method first. Adopting the currently available technology can help young reconstructive surgeons increase their efficiency and surgical outcomes.
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