Thermal spin-transfer in Fe-MgO-Fe tunnel junctions
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We compute thermal spin transfer torques (TST) in Fe-MgO-Fe tunnel junctions using a first principles wave function-matching method. At room temperature, the TST in a junction with 3 MgO monolayers amounts to $10^{-7}$ J/m²/K, which is estimated to cause magnetization reversal for temperature differences over the barrier of the order of 10 K. The large TST can be explained by multiple scattering between interface states through ultrathin barriers. The angular dependence of the TST can be very skewed, possibly leading to thermally induced high-frequency generation.

PACS numbers: 72.25.Ba, 85.75.-d, 72.10.Bg

Spin-dependent thermoelectric effects in metallic magnetic systems have been known for quite some time but recently experienced renewed interest. Spin caloritronic phenomena [2] include the spin Seebeck effect [3], which should be distinguished from the spin-dependent Seebeck effects in nanostructures [4]. Large spin-related Peltier cooling effects have been measured in magnetic NiCu nanopillars [5]. Hatami et al. [6] predicted that a temperature gradient induces a spin transfer torque that can excite a magnetization. Experimental evidence for the thermal spin-transfer torque has been obtained for Co-Cu-Co nanowires [7]. Slonczewski recently argued that thermal torques can be generated efficiently in spin valves with polarizing magnetic insulators [8].

Magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs) of transition metals with MgO barriers [9,10] have great potential for applications in magnetic random access memory (MRAM) elements and high-frequency generators [11–14]. Important goals of academic and corporate research remain the development of memory elements and high-frequency generators [11–14]. An important goal of MRAM and high-frequency generators is the reduction of the critical currents necessary to induce magnetization precession and reversal [15,16]. Spin-dependent Seebeck effects in MTJs have very recently been computed [17] and measured [18]. Spin accumulations has been injected thermally into silicon by permalloy contacts through MgO tunnel junctions [19].

Here we predict very large thermal spin transfer torques in MTJs with thin MgO barriers, which might open new possibilities to design memory elements and high-frequency generators driven by heat currents only. We have been motivated by the strong energy dependence of electron transmission through MTJs with thin barriers due to the existence of interface resonant states [20], which should cause large thermoelectric effects.

We focus on epitaxial Fe-MgO-Fe MTJs under a temperature bias, we demonstrate the effectiveness of thermal spin transfer torques by ab initio calculations based on the Landauer-Büttiker transport formalism. Consider an MTJ as sketched in Fig. 1 with a voltage and temperature bias over the two leads, which are in local thermal equilibrium with Fermi-Dirac distribution functions $f_{L/R}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon - \mu_{L/R})/k_BT_{L/R}} + 1}$ and local chemical potentials $\mu_{L/R}$ and temperatures $T_{L/R}$. The generalized Landauer-Büttiker formalism [21] is very suitable to handle transport through layered magnetic structures. The spin current from the n-th layer to the n+1-th layer can be written as [22]

$$J_{n+1,n} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d\epsilon \left[ t_{n+1,n}^L(\epsilon)f_L(\epsilon) + t_{n,n+1}^R(\epsilon)f_R(\epsilon) \right].$$

Here the energy-dependent spin transmission coefficient matrix from the left (right) direction is defined as $t_{n+1,n}^{L/R}(\epsilon) = \sum_{k_{||}} \langle \Psi_{k_{||}}^{L/R}(\epsilon) | \hat{J}_{n+1,n}(k_{||}) | \Psi_{k_{||}}^{L/R}(\epsilon) \rangle$, with spin current operator $\hat{J}_{n+1,n}(k_{||}) = -\text{Re} \sum_{L',L} \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_L \hat{H}_{nL,n+1L'}(k_{||}) \right\}$, $\hat{H}_{nL,n+1L'}(k_{||})$ denotes the Hamiltonian matrix in spin space [22], where

![Fig. 1: Schematic Fe-MgO(3ML)-Fe(001) MTJs. We consider both a temperature difference $\Delta T$ and voltage difference $V_b$ between the ferromagnetic reservoirs. The magnetization $M_1$ of the left lead is fixed along the $z$-axis, while the magnetization $M_2$ of the right lead is rotated by an angle $\theta$ in the $xz$ plane relative to $M_1$. The small dark gray (red and dark blue) squares in the scattering region represent O and Mg atoms, respectively, while the light gray (light blue) ones denote oxygen vacancies.](image-url)
energy dependent transmission and $T$ lead. The thermal torque is small and proportional to $\sin \theta$. The majorit-spin transmission around $0.1$ favors defects) are introduced at the interface, the TMR drops to 70%, and the RA is 10% OVs are introduced in the middle of barrier, the TMR decreases to 96% and RA = 0.036 $\Omega \mu m^2$. 10% OVs are introduced in the middle of barrier, the TMR drops to 70%, and the RA slightly increases to 0.076 $\Omega \mu m^2$. These results are comparable with the measured 0.19 $\Omega \mu m^2$, and TMR = 15% for a similar barrier thickness at room temperature.

From the energy-dependent transmissions, we find a zero-bias “optimistic” tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) of 1300% and a resistance area 0.063 $\Omega \mu m^2$. Our TMR ratio is consistent with a “pessimistic” TMR calculated to be around 0.93 for the same barrier thickness. When 10% oxygen vacancies (OVs) (the energetically most favorable defects) are introduced at the interface, the TMR decreases to 96% and RA = 0.036 $\Omega \mu m^2$. When 10% OVs are introduced in the middle of barrier, the TMR drops to 70%, and the RA slightly increases to 0.076 $\Omega \mu m^2$. These results are comparable with the measured 0.19 $\Omega \mu m^2$, and TMR = 15% for a similar barrier thickness at room temperature.

For specular Fe-MgO interfaces, we find a zero-bias “optimistic” tunneling magnetoresistance (TMR) of 1300% and a resistance area 0.063 $\Omega \mu m^2$. Our TMR ratio is consistent with a “pessimistic” TMR calculated to be around 0.93 for the same barrier thickness. When 10% oxygen vacancies (OVs) (the energetically most favorable defects) are introduced at the interface, the TMR decreases to 96% and RA = 0.036 $\Omega \mu m^2$. When 10% OVs are introduced in the middle of barrier, the TMR drops to 70%, and the RA slightly increases to 0.076 $\Omega \mu m^2$. These results are comparable with the measured 0.19 $\Omega \mu m^2$, and TMR = 15% for a similar barrier thickness at room temperature.

From the energy-dependent transmissions, we can compute the magneto Seebeck coefficients and electronic heat conductances. At $T = 10$ K, we find $\chi_e^P = 2.1 \times 10^6$ W K$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ and $\chi_e^{AP} = 0.14 \times 10^6$ W K$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$, which, including estimated phonon contributions to the heat conductance, leads to the thermoelectric figure of merit ($ZT$)$_{10K} \approx 10^{-3}$. In Fig. 3 (a) and (b), we present the in- and out-of-plane angular-resolved torques of specular Fe-MgO(3L)-Fe MTJs. The in-plane torque is smooth in most energy regions, indicating good numerical convergence. We observe two resonances: a small one at $E_F - 0.02$ eV that contributes to the TST for $T_0 \gtrsim 100$ K and a sharp and larger peak at $E_F - 0.0725$ eV that contributes to the TST for $T_0 \gtrsim 300$ K. The out-of-plane torque is much more sensitive to numerical details. The noise in Fig. 3 does not affect the integrated TST, however. At energies far away from the Fermi level ($E \geq E_F + 0.03$ eV and $E \leq E_F - 0.09$ eV), the in-plane torque is small and proportional to $\sin \theta$ as predicted.
FIG. 3: Energy and angle-dependent (a) in-plane and (b) out-of-plane torque, angular dependent (c) in-plane and (d) out-of-plane TST, and ratio of (e) in-plane and (f) out-of-plane TST to thermocurrent \( \frac{\Delta T}{I} \) of epitaxial Fe-MgO(3L)-Fe(001) MTJs.

by model studies [27]. However, this region contributes only weakly to the TST. The two sharp peaks near the Fermi level show an angular dependence that deviates strongly from a sine function. The asymmetry of the angular dependence of the in-plane TST reflects multiple scattering in the barrier and is therefore exponentially suppressed for thick layers. The in-plane TST of 7L MTJ (not shown) already agrees well with a sine function.

The angular dependence of the observable TST, i.e. the energy integral in Eq. (3), is plotted in Figs. 3(c) and (d). We observe strong deviations from a sine function at all temperatures considered. The skewness can be traced to multiple-reflection hot-spots caused by the interfacial resonances mentioned above. At room temperature the in-plane torque peaks around 165° and the functional form can be fitted to an asymmetry parameter [28] of \( \Lambda = 3.5 \). This value is much larger than observed for the voltage-induced torque in metallic spin valves [29], which should be beneficial for high-frequency generation [29]. We therefore suggest the possibility of efficient spin oscillators driven by heat flows through MTJs. The out-of-plane term is an effective field that dominates the in-plane term for angles > 165°.

Fig. 3(e) and (f) displays the angular dependence of the spin transfer efficiency monitored by the ratio of the torque to charge current density for a given temperature bias \( \Delta T = 1 \) K. We find that the ratio (for both in-plane and out-of-plane terms) increases strongly close to the APC, for which the charge current is suppressed by the high spin polarization of the Fe-MgO interface [30]. The high spin transfer efficiency near the APC can be explained by multiple reflection due to resonant tunneling. In Fig. 4 resonant tunneling is observed in the APC at a chosen energy in both spin channels with a conductance polarization of 16%. Their contributions to the torque are much larger, since the minority spin channel transfers 99% of the torque due to its high interfacial electronic density of states. Here majority and minority spins are defined for the left lead. The resonance persists in the exact APC (Fig. 2(a)) but spin transfer vanishes for collinear magnetizations.

In Table I we compare TSTs equivalent to \( \Delta T = 1 \) K at \( T_0 = 300 \) K with electric STs for MTJs with 3, 5, and 7 MgO layers. The equivalent bias and current density of the thinnest barrier sample is much larger than that of the thicker one, which reflects the exponential decay of the conductance as a function of barrier thickness. \( \Delta V_{eq} \)
is the ratio of thermal to electric torkance, which is larger for 3-MgO (1 K ~ 0.27 m eV). \( \Delta V_{1K} \) demonstrates that TSTs decrease faster than the electric STs when the barrier gets thicker. The sign change in \( \Delta V_{1K} \) as a function of barrier thickness is attributed to that of the Seebeck coefficient. Moreover, the torque to current density ratio \( T/I \) is larger for the thermal than the electric case, indicating the superior efficiency of spin angular moment transfer by temperature differences.

The TST is potentially useful for manipulating the magnetic configurations in MTJs with thin barriers. We estimate the critical temperature bias \( \Delta T_c \) by comparing the TST with the measured torques at the critical voltage biases in CoFeB MTJ's at room temperature [31]. For 3ML MgO the switch from APC to PC should occur close to \( \Delta T_{cAPP} = 6.5 \) K since then \( |T_{\Delta T}/\sin \theta| = 20 \times 10^{-6} \) J m\(^{-2} \) equals the critical torque for electric switching. At \( \Delta T_{cAPP} = 56.5 \) K, \( |T_{\Delta T}/\sin \theta| = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \) J m\(^{-2} \) equals the critical torque for electric PC to APC switching [31]. We note that \( \tau_T \) is function of the global temperature that saturates around 275 K. Room temperature conditions are therefore favorable for thermal magnetization switching.

In an open circuit, the thermolectric current vanishes, but not the thermospin current, thereby allowing transfer of angular momentum without transfer of charge. The thermal torque is even found to be larger in the closed compared to the open circuit, since the equivalent bias \( \Delta V_{eq} \) and the thermovoltage \( \Delta V_{1K} \) have opposite signs.

The spectral features due to resonances are sensitive to disorder. In Fig. 4 we show the angular-dependent torkance in 3-MgO with 10% OV's at both interfaces. We make comparison for two situations: one is at \( E_F \), and another is at resonant peaks near to \( E_F \). The resonant peaks in the clean samples at \( E_F - 0.0725 \) eV shift to lower energy (around \( E_F - 0.055 \) eV) in the presence of 10% OV as shown in Fig. 4 so different energies are chosen to compare clean and dirty situations. We observe that the disorder to a large extent restores the \( \sin \theta \) angular dependence. The order of magnitude of the in-plane torkance of the ideal junctions at \( E_F \) is unmodified. The situation at the resonant peak is more complicated by a shift from \( E_F - 0.0725 \) eV (specular) to \( E_F - 0.055 \) eV (disordered) with decreased amplitude. A full calculation of the TST in the presence of OV disorder at room temperature is beyond our present computational capacity, but the two noted changes of the resonance will at least partly cancel each other.

In summary, we calculate TSTs of the order of \( 10^{-6} \) J m\(^{-2} \) in ultrathin Fe-MgO-Fe tunnel junctions at room temperature for a temperature bias of 10 K. A strong asymmetric angular dependence of TSTs is predicted for ballistic junctions. Based on these results we predict heat-flow-induced magnetization reversal and high frequency generation in magnetic tunnel junctions.
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| n | \( \tau_T \) | \( T_{1K} \) | \( \Delta V_{eq} \) | \( \Delta V_{1K} \) | \( T_{1K}/I_{1K} \) | \( T_{IV}/I_{IV} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 0.72 | -195(-232) | -0.27 | 0.052 | -0.94 | 0.21 |
| 5 | 0.082 | -3.32(-5.33) | -0.040 | 0.025 | -0.84 | 0.46 |
| 7 | 0.011 | -0.24(-0.062) | -0.021 | 0.0154 | 3.58 | 0.98 |

*1 J V\(^{-1} \) m\(^{-2} \) = \( 3 \times 10^{18} (h/2) \) k \( \Omega \) m\(^{-2} \)

FIG. 5: Angular dependent (a) in-plane and (b) out-of-plane torkance in Fe-MgO(3L)-Fe(001) MTJs with specular and disordered interfaces at two selected energies, i.e., at the Fermi energy and at the resonance. Squares and circles are results for specular interfaces at \( E_F \) and \( E_F - 0.0725 \) eV, respectively; the up-pointing triangles and down-pointing triangles are disordered samples at \( E_F \) and \( E_F - 0.055 \) eV, respectively.

[1] M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Phys. Rev. B 35, 4959 (1987); J. Shi et al., Phys. Rev. B 54, 15273(1996).
[2] G. E. W. Bauer, A. H. MacDonald, and S. Maekawa, Solid State Commun. 150, 459 (2010).
[3] K. Uchida et al., Nature 455, 778 (2008); K. Uchida et al., Nature 455, 778 (2008); C. M. Jaworski et al., Nature Mater. 9, 898 (2010).
[4] A. Slachter et al., Nature Phys. 6, 879 (2010)
[5] A. Sugihara et al., Appl. Phys. Exp. 3, 065204 (2010); Nguyen Dang Vu, K. Sato, and H. Katayama-Yoshida, Appl. Phys. Express 4, 015203 (2011).
[6] M. Hatami et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 066603 (2007), Phys. Rev. B 79, 174426 (2009).
[7] H. Yu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 146601 (2010)
[8] J. C. Słonczewski, Phys. Rev. B 82, 054403 (2010).
[9] S. Yuasa et al., Nature Mat. 3, 806 (2004).
[10] S. S. F. Parkin et al., Nat. Mat. 3, 862 (2004).
[11] A. M. Deac et al., Nat. Phys. 4, 803 (2008).
[12] S. C. Oh et al., Nat. Phys. 5, 898 (2009).
[13] R. Matsumoto et al., Phys. Rev. B 80, 174405 (2009).
[14] M. H. Jung et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 134419 (2010).
[15] H. Yoda et al., Current Appl. Phys. 10, e87 (2010).
[16] S. Ikeda et al., Nature Mat. 9, 721 (2010).
[17] M. Czerner, M. Bachmann, and C. Heiliger, Phys. Rev. B 83, 132405 (2011).
[18] N. Liebing et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 177201 (2011); M. Walter et al., Nature Mater. 10, 742 (2011).
[19] J.-Ch. Le Breton, S. Sharma, H. Saito, S. Yuasa, R. Jansen, Nature 475, 82 (2011).
[20] J. A. Stroscio et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2960 (1995); O. Wunnicke et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 064425 (2002).
[21] P. N. Butcher, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2, 4869 (1990).
[22] S. Wang, Y. Xu, and K. Xia, Phys. Rev. B 77, 184430 (2008). Z. Yuan, S. Wang and K. Xia, Solid State Commun. 150, 548 (2010).
[23] Y. Ke, K. Xia, and H. Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 236801 (2010).
[24] G.X. Miao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 246803 (2008). P.G. Mather, J. C. Read, and R. A. Buhrman, Phys. Rev. B 73, 205412 (2006).
[25] J. Mathon, and A. Umerski, Phys. Rev. B 63, 220403 (2001).
[26] D. Wortmann, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, s5819 (2004).
[27] I. Theodonis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 237205 (2006).
[28] J. C. Slonczewski, J. Magnet. Magnet. Mater. 247, 324 (2002).
[29] W.H. Rippard et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 014426 (2010).
[30] J.C. Slonczewski, J. Magnet. Magnet. Mater. 159, L1 (1996); C. Heiliger and M. D. Stiles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 186805 (2008).
[31] C. Wang et al., Nat. Physics 7, 496 (2011). We estimate the critical in-plane torque for magnetization reversal to be $|T_{\Delta T}/\sin \theta| = 20 \times 10^{-6}$ J m$^{-2}$ for the AP-to-P transition at $-0.48(0.2)$ V, corresponding to $\Delta T_{c}^{\text{AP}\to\text{P}}$ of 6.5 K at 300 K in our calculations; and $8.2 \times 10^{-6}$ J m$^{-2}$ for the P-to-AP transition at 0.48(0.2) V, corresponding to $\Delta T_{c}^{\text{P}\to\text{AP}}$ of 56.5 K at 300 K.