Staffing levels at KwaZulu-Natal district hospitals: is the University of KwaZulu-Natal training for the needs of the province?
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Background: Universities have a social responsibility to ensure that they select and train healthcare professionals (HCPs) who can meet the healthcare needs of local communities. The aim of this study was to assess the extent to which the University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN) contributes to the training of HCPs working in district hospitals (DHs) in KwaZulu-Natal Province, and the impact that the funding source for their training has on DH staffing.

Methods: This was an observational descriptive study, with all doctors, dentists, dental therapists, pharmacists, physiotherapists and radiographers working at DHs in KZN in November 2016 being invited to participate. Data were collected through a validated questionnaire.

Results: A total of 514 HCPs working in 29 DHs participated in the study; over half (57%) of the South African medical graduates had trained at UKZN, as had 62% of pharmacists, 64% of physiotherapists and 92% of dental therapists. Some 87% of the HCPs had worked in DHs for five years or less, 65% planned to leave in the near future, and 29% planned to leave at the end of 2016.

Discussion: UKZN plays a significant role in training for the short-term needs of DHs in KZN. Much of the workforce is young and transient, which has implications for service provision and expanding the teaching platform to DHs. The lack of long-term staff retention suggests that UKZN needs to continually monitor the selection of students, as well as the content and context of the training, if it is to contribute to the province’s long-term staffing needs.

Keywords: district hospitals, KwaZulu-Natal, professional staffing levels, training
that specifically aim to increase the staffing of public healthcare facilities. These include training South African doctors in Cuba; the KZN provincial Department of Health (DoH) bursary programme, which supports students at South African healthcare training institutions; and the Umthombo Youth Development Foundation scholarship scheme (UYDF SS), which selects and supports rural youth to train to become HCPs on the understanding that they will return to work in the district hospital (DH) where they were selected, a year work-back for each year that they have received financial support. The KZN DoH bursary programme expects its graduates to work at any provincial hospital for a year for each year they have received financial support. In addition, the South African Government, through the National Student Financial Aid Scheme (NSFAS), provides funding to those wanting to access tertiary education whose families earn less than R120 000/year. There is no work-back obligation associated with NSFAS funding, as it is a loan that must be repaid. Little is known about the impact of these initiatives on the staffing levels at DHs in KZN.

The aim of this study was to investigate the extent to which UKZN contributes to the training of HCPs working in DHs in KZN, as well as to determine the funding sources used to train to become an HCP and impact these initiatives have on staffing at DHs.

Methods
This observational, descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted at all 37 DHs in KZN, the questionnaire being piloted among interns at Wentworth Hospital. The target population were DHs, government bodies, NGOs responsible for selecting and funding health science students, and UKZN, which has a social responsibility to train for the needs of the community that it serves. The study population comprised all HCPs (doctors, physiotherapists, radiographers, pharmacists, dietitians, dentists and dental therapists) working in DHs in KZN in November 2016. These cadres represent some of the key healthcare staff, with shortages of these personnel often having a major impact on service delivery.

No sampling method was used, as all the HCPs meeting the inclusion criteria working in the 37 DHs in KZN were included. The human resources (HR) department in each hospital was also asked to give numbers for how many HCPs were working at the hospital in November 2016 to enable the returns to be correlated with the number of staff working at that time. Envelopes containing the research questionnaires were distributed to the DHs through the medical managers and medical students placed at DHs for training. Every HCP who was part of the study population working at a DH in KZN in November 2016 was asked to complete the questionnaire. Completed questionnaires were returned to the research assistant for capturing.

Ethical approval for the study was given by the UKZN Biomedical Research Ethics committee (Ref: BE 330/16). Permission to conduct the study was given by the KZN provisional Department of Health, and each participant signed a consent form after reading the information document.

Results
Data were obtained from 29 of the 37 (78%) DHs in KZN and from 22/29 (76%) HR departments. The HR departments indicated that there were 558 health professionals in the categories of interest working at those 22 hospitals in November 2016 and completed questionnaires were received from 312 HCPs, giving a 56% return from HCP working at those DHs. Unfortunately data in some questionnaires were missing, resulting in the denominator changing when data are reported.

The results are presented in four sections: demographic data; UKZN contribution to HCP training of staff at DHs; funding sources for staff at DHs; and associations between UKZN training, funding and working for longer than five years at a DH.

Table 1: Demographic data and years worked at District hospital (n = 506)

| Category of healthcare professional | Age in years | Gender | Years working at a district hospital | Community service in 2016 (no.) |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                    | Age Number   | Male   | Female | < 5 years | > 5 years |
| Foreign-qualified doctors (n = 73)* | < 30 19      | 5      | 14     | 19        | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 54       | 37     | 17     | 45        | 9        |
| South African doctors (n = 153)*    | < 30 71      | 30     | 41     | 71        | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 82       | 45*    | 27*    | 62        | 19*      |
| Pharmacists (n = 98)                | < 30 59      | 24     | 35     | 59        | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 39       | 11*    | 22*    | 26        | 13       |
| Radiographers (n = 66)              | < 30 30      | 8      | 22     | 25*       | 1        |
|                                     | 30+ 36       | 20     | 16     | 25        | 11       |
| Physiotherapists (n = 53)           | < 30 34      | 11     | 23     | 34        | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 19       | 7      | 12     | 7*        | 10*      |
| Dietitians (n = 31)                 | < 30 17      | 0      | 17     | 13*       | 1*       |
|                                     | 30+ 14       | 3      | 11     | 12        | 2        |
| Dentists (n = 19)                   | < 30 6*      | 1      | 5      | 6         | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 13       | 8      | 5      | 6         | 7        |
| Dental therapists (n = 13)          | < 30 13      | 3      | 10     | 13        | 0        |
|                                     | 30+ 0        | 0      | 0      | 0         | 0        |
| Totals                             | 506 213      | 277    | 423*   | 64*       |
| (%)                                | (43%)        | (57%)  | (87%)  | (13%)     | (22%)    |

*Some data missing.
Demographic data
A total of 514 HCPs from 29 DHs participated in the study, of whom 44% were doctors, and 19% were pharmacists (Table 1), 57% were female and the average age was 42 years. The majority (82%) of HCPs working in the DHs in KZN were South African. Foreign qualified HCPs came from: Britain (26%; 5%), Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (14%; 3%), Zimbabwe (12%; 3%) and the balance from a number of African and European countries. Just over a third of HCPs working at DHs (189/501; 38%) had qualified since 2013. The vast majority of HCPs working at DHs (423/487; 87%) had been at the hospital for five years or less, 65% (250/382) planned to leave in the near future, and 29% (69/241) planning to leave at the end of 2016.

Of the 226 doctors, the majority were South African (68%; 153/226). Most of the foreign-qualified HCPs were working as doctors, with 26/226 coming from Britain, 14/226 from the DRC, 7/226 from Nigeria, 12/226 from other African countries (Zimbabwe, Eritrea, Swaziland, Botswana, Tunisia, Uganda, Mauritius), 1/226 from America, 8/226 from other European countries and 5/226 from Cuba.

Over half of the South African doctors working at DHs had graduated from UKZN (see Table 2), with the balance coming from the University of Cape Town (15%; 11%), Walter Sisulu University (12%; 9%), University of Witwatersrand (9%; 6%), Sefako Makgatho University (7%; 5%), University of the Free State (6%; 4%), University of Pretoria (5%; 4%), Stellenbosch University (3%; 2%), and three (3; 2%) were Cuban trained. As UKZN does not offer dentistry or radiography, it was not unexpected that none of these health professionals would have trained at UKZN.

Data on funding were completed by only 358/514 (70%) of the participants and may therefore be skewed. Just over a third of doctors (36%) self-funded their degrees, this figure being closer to half of all health professionals, with one-fifth being funded by the KZN DoH bursary programme, and only 11% through NSFAS, the UYDF scholarship programme and other funding programmes (Table 3).

There was no association between training at UKZN and duration of stay longer than five years among doctors, pharmacists, physiotherapists, dietitians or dental therapists (Table 4). There was also no association between training overseas and remaining at the DH for more than five years (OR 1.63; CI 0.72–3.68; p = 0.16), with just under a fifth (14/73; 19%) of the medical doctors who had trained abroad having come to South Africa as part of their training placement programmes, all of whom planned to leave upon completion of their commitment.

Table 2: Training location of the DH healthcare professionals (n = 423)

| Health professionals | Trained at UKZN | Trained in rest of SA | Trained outside SA |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Doctors (n = 141)    | 81              | 57                   | 3                 |
| Pharmacists (n = 98) | 61              | 36                   | 1                 |
| Radiographers (n = 66)| 0               | 63                   | 3                 |
| Physiotherapists (n = 53)| 34          | 19                   | 0                 |
| Dietitians (n = 31) | 19              | 12                   | 0                 |
| Dentists (n = 21)   | 0               | 21                   | 0                 |
| Dental therapist (n = 13)| 12            | 1                    | 0                 |
| Total (%)           | 207 (49%)       | 209 (49%)            | 7 (2%)            |

Table 3: Funding sources of the health professionals (n = 358)

| Health professions | Self-funded KZN bursary | NSFAS loan | UYDF scholarship | Other |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|-------|
| South African doctors | 48                      | 40         | 10               | 10    |
| Pharmacists        | 35                      | 15         | 15               | 8     |
| Radiographers      | 25                      | 10         | 3                | 5     |
| Physiotherapists   | 22                      | 7          | 8                | 8     |
| Dietitians         | 15                      | 3          | 2                | 1     |
| Dentists           | 8                       | 4          | 2                | 3     |
| Dental therapists  | 3                       | 4          | 1                | 4     |
| Total (%)          | 156 (44%)               | 83 (23%)   | 41 (11%)         | 38 (11%) |

Table 4: Association between training at UKZN, funding source and duration of stay > 5 years at DH

| Category of health professional | Worked <5 years at DH | Worked >5 years at DH | CI | Odds ratio | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----|------------|---------|
| Trained at UKZN and duration of stay |                       |                       |    |            |         |
| Doctors (n = 81)                 | 67                    | 14                    | 0.32–1.7 | 0.75 | 0.329 |
| Pharmacists (n = 61)             | 54                    | 7                     | 0.14–1.37 | 0.4  | 0.157 |
| Physiotherapists (n = 34)        | 28                    | 6                     | 0.25–5.2 | 1.14 |        |
| Dietitians (n = 19)              | 18                    | 1                     |        |        |        |
| Dental therapists (n = 12)       | 12                    | 0                     |        |        |        |
| KZN DOH bursary and duration of stay |                       |                       |    |            |         |
| Doctors (n = 40)                 | 38                    | 2                     | 0.036–0.71 | 0.16 | 0.007 |
| Pharmacists (n = 15)             | 13                    | 2                     | 0.16–4.05 | 0.82 |        |
| Physiotherapists (n = 7)         | 6                     | 1                     | 0.25–8.6 | 1.45 |        |
| Dietitians (n = 3)               | 3                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| Dental therapists (n = 4)        | 4                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| NSFAS loan and duration of stay  |                       |                       |    |            |         |
| Doctors (n = 10)                 | 9                     | 1                     | 0.06–4.05 | 0.49 |        |
| Pharmacists (n = 15)             | 12                    | 3                     | 0.36–5.9 | 1.43 |        |
| Physiotherapists (n = 8)         | 6                     | 2                     |        |        |        |
| Dietitians (n = 2)               | 1                     | 1                     |        |        |        |
| Dental therapists (n = 1)        | 1                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| UYDF scholarship and duration of stay |                       |                       |    |            |         |
| Doctors (n = 10)                 | 10                    | 0                     |        |        |        |
| Pharmacists (n = 8)              | 8                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| Physiotherapists (n = 8)         | 8                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| Dietitians (n = 1)               | 1                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
| Dental therapists (n = 4)        | 4                     | 0                     |        |        |        |
There was a significant association (p = 0.007) between funding from KZN DoH and duration of stay at district hospitals for five years or longer. Doctors funded by the provincial DOH were 0.84 times less likely to remain at a DH for more than five years than those funded from other sources. However, there was no association between funding from KZN DoH and duration of stay at district hospitals for five years or longer among pharmacists, physiotherapists, dietitians or dental therapists. There was no association between NSFAS and UYDF funding and duration of stay greater than five years for any of the categories of HCP, as none of the health professionals funded by the latter had worked at the DH for longer than five years.

Just under half (43%) of the South African doctors were planning to leave within the next year or two, with specialisation given as the most common reason for their departure. Among the physiotherapists, 73% (36/49) planned to leave at the end of the year, or after their community service (CS) contract was finished, due a lack of available posts at their current location.

Discussion

Despite the challenges of gathering good data, this is the first study to explore staffing levels from the perspective of where graduates trained and who paid for the training.

It is interesting to note that 18% of the HCPs working in district hospitals are foreign trained and that 32% of doctors working at DHs are trained outside SA. This has important implications for human resource planning, with such a significant proportion of the workforce consisting of foreign-qualified HCPs. For many years medical managers have had challenges in staffing particularly rural district hospitals. Africa Health Placement (AHP), a non-government organisation established in 2005 to help recruit local and foreign HCPs, has to date sourced 2 892 doctors for South Africa of whom 1 160 have been placed in KwaZulu-Natal.20 AHP has concentrated on recruiting foreign-qualified doctors in batches to increase the staff numbers at rural DHs, as the availability of adequate staffing at these hospitals attracts SA graduates when there is a team to work with and learn from, and where patient health is not compromised.

In addition, since 1996 there have been a number of government-to-government initiatives to help recruit staff for government hospitals, notably with Cuba, Tunisia and Iran. Training of South African medical students in Cuba was initiated in 2012, with students being chosen from each district in South Africa. Of the 556 doctors who have graduated from this programme, 104 come from KZN,21 with the emphasis of the training on primary care and health promotion, ideally preparing graduates to work in community health centres and in DHs. It was disappointing therefore to find only three South African doctors trained in Cuba working in DHs, which may be due to the small number trained to date and incomplete data obtained in this study. Their absence needs to be followed up to determine where these graduates have chosen to work on their return from Cuba, as the PHC clinics and DHs are ideal places for them to apply their knowledge and skills, considering the focus of their training. With 1 000 South Africans sent for training in each of 2013, 2014 and 2015 and a total of 2 910 students currently training in Cuba this picture may substantially change in the future.21

Notwithstanding the current funding crisis and the challenges that new graduates are having to obtain posts in 2017, the South African DoH needs to be clear about the role of foreign-qualified HCPs in the delivery of services. With over 32% of the DH doctors being foreign qualified, it is clear that this cadre of HCPs play an important role in the provinces’ healthcare system. However, these doctors face enormous challenges in obtaining registration with the HPCSA, obtaining work permits and renewing work visas. If foreign doctors do form an integral part of the plan to staff DHs appropriately, then steps need to be put in place to facilitate and expedite their registration with the HPCSA, as well as addressing their work visa issues. In contrast to the doctors, there were very few foreign-trained pharmacists, physiotherapist, radiographers, dentists and dental therapists working in DHs in KZN.

The results from this study highlight that 22% of the workforce and 30% of the South African doctors working at DHs (representing 20% of the total doctor workforce) were doing their compulsory CS—junior staff who have recently graduated. Consistent with previous findings, many of the South African medical graduates remain for only a relatively short period of time at the DHs,22 with 43% of South African graduates planning to leave at the end of the year or within the next year or so, with specialisation and lack of posts being the commonest reasons given. This high percentage of junior staff, and large workforce turnover, has implications for quality of care and decentralised training programmes envisioned by the UKZN as a means to expand the training platform and increase the number of students admitted into the MBBCh programme.

It was encouraging to note that 36% of all doctors working at DHs in KZN graduated from UKZN, suggesting that the institution is fulfilling its mandate to train for its population’s needs. With a new emphasis on decentralised clinical training in Port Shepstone, Newcastle, Empangeni and at a variety of DHs, it is anticipated that the content and context of the training in the future will be more relevant to the local settings, which will better prepare graduates for the challenge of working in DHs. However, just under half of these UKZN graduates are CSOs (37/81; 46%), or have been working at a DH for less than five years (67/81; 83%). There was no association between training at UKZN and duration of stay at a DH for longer than five years, which also important implications for any decentralised training programme. The large number of UKZN graduates may be due to the compulsory nature of CS and the lack of posts in urban settings, rather than a reflection of the content and context of the training provided. With no significant association between training at UKZN and long-term placement at a DH, the university needs to review student selection, and the content and context of its training if it is serious about contributing to the long-term staffing of DHs, as these have been shown to influence where graduates work.23,24

In addition, UKZN does appear to be playing an important role in meeting its social obligations in training pharmacists (61/98; 62%), physiotherapists (33/53; 64%); and dental therapists (12/13; 92%), although there was no association between training at UKZN and long-term work placement at a DH. These HCPs play an important role in meeting the service delivery needs at DHs.

Despite the current #Feesmustfall, only 8% of doctors and 9% of those who participated in the study had received an NSFAS loan. This needs further investigation, as it may imply that poorer students (those eligible for NSFAS) were unable to study towards a health science degree without additional support above that provided by NSFAS. Targeted financial and educational initiatives have been shown to be effective ways of staffing (rural) district

61
hospitals in Australia and SA.\textsuperscript{10,12} Reid highlighted that no admission criteria at any medical school in SA currently favour rural (presumably poor students),\textsuperscript{11} suggesting that universities need to find innovative strategies to recruit and retain rural-origin students if they are serious about meeting their social responsibility to train HCPs for the needs of the rural population.

Forty (26\%) doctors had received financial support through a KZN DoH bursary, highlighting this important contribution to DH staffing. Although this initiative appears to be successful in getting doctors to work at DHs, it does not provide long-term staff. The data suggest that doctors with a KZN DoH bursary are less likely to remain in DHs for longer than five years, with neither the KZN DoH bursary programme nor the rural healthcare facilities. This differs from the findings of this study to suggest that this initiative was contributing to the long-term staffing. Among the professional staff other than doctors, the majority were self-funded with KZN provincial bursaries and UYDF playing an important but limited role in the funding of the training of those who have chosen to work at DHs. Neither of these initiatives seem to be making a significant contribution to the long-term staffing of DHs.

Studies from Australia suggest that targeted incentive-based scholarship schemes\textsuperscript{25} contribute to the long-term staffing of rural healthcare facilities. This differs from the findings of this study, with neither the KZN DoH bursary programme nor the UYDF being associated with working long term at a DH. However, the success of the cadetship in Australia was linked to mentoring, networking and ongoing postgraduate educational opportunities, factors that are noticeably absent in the South African context.

Limitations

Despite contacting every DH in the province and asking the medical managers to encourage all HCP staff to complete the questionnaire, no data were received from eight DHs and only 56\% of HCPs participated in the study, which may have introduced bias into the findings. The small numbers make the associations unreliable and excellent returns from some larger DHs may have biased some of the findings.

Conclusions

As UKZN graduates constitute an important, albeit short-term component of the staffing at DHs, the institution must continually review its selection, content and context of training if it is to meet its social responsibility of training for the province’s needs. The KZN DoH bursary programme and UYDF scholarship scheme make a small but significant contribution to the staffing of DHs. It is therefore important to review the role of funding in supporting students who wish to study health science courses, as well as the role of appropriate incentives that might encourage graduates to work long term in DHs.
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