Development and experimental study on a pilot-scale feed pellet mill
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Abstract: Pilot-scale pellet mill has many advantages and great potential application prospect. In this work, a structure of pilot-scale pellet mill was designed and the effects of moisture content (12%-20% w.b.), temperature (55°C-95°C) and ring die speed (160-320 r/min) on the production and physical properties (pellet durability, bulk density and hardness) of the produced feed pellets were determined and analyzed. The results showed that pellet durability ranged from 90.47% to 96.92%, bulk density 537.4 kg/m³ to 62.2 kg/m³, hardness 5.26 kg to 9.65 kg and production 26.51 kg/h to 42.81 kg/h. Pellet durability was found to increase with the increase of temperature and moisture content, but to decrease with the increase of ring die speed. Bulk density showed a monotonic increase with temperature and ring die speed. Hardness increased with the increase of temperature, but exhibited a first ascending and then descending trends with the increase of moisture content. It also indicated that high ring die speed favored production. Due to its fast and cheap production in a suitable scale, the designed pilot scale pellet mill prototype and the comprehensive research would contribute to the production of feed pellets in various processing conditions with different feed formulas, like additive selection and so on, in order to meet diversified demands.
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1 Introduction

Feed costs account for up to 60%-70% of the total cost in aquaculture and livestock breeding industries¹⁻¹³. Pellet feed, as the primary feed form, is by far the most widely used in the world. The higher bulk density, more consistent size facilitate the handling, transportation and storage. Compared with mashed or reground forms, pellet form can reduce the segregation of ingredients, resulting in less feed wastage and improved palatability⁴⁻⁷. More importantly, the higher gelatinization degree of the pellet feed attributes to higher strength and lower pulverization ratio, which will boost the nutrient uptake as well as the feed utilization⁸⁻¹⁰. However, pellets are inevitably subjected to friction, vibrate and pressure during the process of production and transportation, which is detrimental to the integrity of the pellet form.

Pellets quality refers to the ability to anti fragmentation and abrasion during handling without breaking up or generating a few fines. The technical quality can be expressed by the properties of bulk density, mechanical strength, durability (abrasion resistance of bulk pellets)¹⁰⁻¹¹. In feed industry, pelleting mainly involves to thermal and mechanical processing, aiming to agglomerate ingredients particles combined with temperature and moisture under pressure. Therefore, it is important to study the effects of processing parameters on the feed pelleting quality, so as to find the optimal conditions and finally achieve higher pellet quality and lower consumption.

To understand and optimize the pelleting process, the compaction characteristics of various animal feedstuff have been measured in multiple studies¹¹⁻¹³. Different raw materials were used to examine their pelleting properties. Moreover, many compression mathematical models, most of which are empirical or developed based on rheology, have been developed¹⁴⁻¹⁵. Among them, the single pellet press method has been widely used due to its fast and cheap operations in a small scale¹⁶⁻¹⁷. However, it is acknowledged that feed pelleting is complex which involves a series of unit operations in sequence of mixing, moisture conditioning, addition of additives, roller-die extrusion and subsequent cooling of the product¹⁴⁻¹⁷. The actual batch-scale process used to be studied using a single pellet test for its low cost and simplicity, but it often led to some inevitable deviations. On the other hand, tests of industrial scale can reflect the real production, but it is confronted with costly and difficult operations. Therefore, an alternative method is highly needed, which is the basis for this research. Thus, a proper scale pellet mill with adjustable parameters should be developed as the basis for feed pelleting research. However, no related studies have been reported.

Therefore, our research has two objectives: 1) to design and develop a pilot scale pellet mill used for feed production, and evaluate performance efficiency of the machine. 2) to investigate the effects of feedstuff moisture content (55°C-95°C), temperature (12%-20% w.b.), and ring die speed (160-320 r/min) on pellet physical properties like pellet durability, bulk density and hardness as well as throughput capacity, so as to systematically evaluate performance efficiency of the machine.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Based on the recommendation of National Research Council...
and the actual situation in China, the diet formulation for piglet was used in the study. The composition of the basal diet with nutrient levels was shown in Table 1.

| Item               | Content/g kg⁻¹ |
|--------------------|----------------|
| Corn               | 4.90           |
| Extruded corn      | 1.00           |
| Extruded soybean meal | 1.80       |
| Wheat bran         | 1.00           |
| DDGS (distiller dried grains with solubles) | 0.40 |
| Fish meal          | 0.20           |
| Brewers dried yeast| 0.20           |
| Soybean oil        | 0.10           |
| Premix             | 0.40           |

### Nutrient levels

| Item       | Value |
|------------|-------|
| Dry matter | 0.877 |
| Crude protein | 18.266 |
| Calcium    | 0.901 |
| N-Py-P     | 0.395 |
| Crude ash  | 0.3098 |
| Crude fiber| 0.2931 |
| Na         | 0.167 |

#### Performance evaluation

2.2.1 Pellet durability

According to ASAE Standard S269.4, pellet durability was determined using a durability tester. The durability tester consisted of two tumbling boxes with the width of 130 mm. Feed samples weighing 100 g were poured into the device and tumbled for 10 min at 50 rpm. Then, the samples were sieved with screen to remove the fines and broken pellets, followed by weighing the pellets that were retained on the sieve. The PDI was calculated as the percentage of the pellets retained on the sieve after test of the whole pellets before test.

2.2.2 Moisture content

According to ASAE Standard S358.2 and indicated in ASAE Standard S269, moisture content of feed pellets was measured by using oven-drying at 72 h at 60°C.

2.2.3 Pellet hardness

Pellet hardness was determined using a Kahl device (AMANDUS KAHL GmbH and Co., KG, Reinbek, Germany). Single pellet sample with the same size were put between two plates, followed by gradually increasing pressure until sample was broken. The force that was needed to break the pellets means pellet hardness.

2.2.4 Determination of throughput capacity

The capacity of the motorized rice grader was calculated as that production divided by the corresponding time.
2.4 Experimental design

To evaluate the performance of the machine, experiments were conducted based on the software regression analysis 8.0.6 Design-Expert and response surface analysis method. The processing parameters (moisture content $X_1$, temperature $X_2$, and ring die speed $X_3$) shown in Table 3, were selected as the independent variables. Accordingly, the quality attributes (pellet durability index, bulk density, and pellet hardness) and throughput capacity were selected as evaluating indicators, thus the performance evaluation experiments were carried out under the quadratic orthogonal rotation design.

Table 3 Independent numerical and categorical variables and their levels

| Numerical variable | Symbol | Code variable levels |
|--------------------|--------|----------------------|
| Moisture content/%  | $X_1$  | 12 14 16 18 20       |
| Temperature/°C      | $X_2$  | 55 65 75 85 95       |
| Ring die speed/r·min$^{-1}$ | $X_3$ | 160 200 240 280 320 |

Note: Moisture content and temperature refers to the state of feedstuff after conditioning but before pelleting.

As shown in Table 4, twenty-three experiments were conducted with five replications to obtain reliable estimation of experimental results. A second-order polynomial equation applied to express the effects of the independent variables on the evaluating indicators in terms of linear, quadratic, and the interactions was calculated as:

$$y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} x_i x_j + \epsilon$$

(1)

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Composition of diet formulation

Diet formulation used for pelleting was listed in Table 1. Gross composition of the formulation contained 877 g of dry matter, 182.66 g of crude protein, 9.01 g of calcium, 3.95 g of N-Py-P, 30.98 g of crude ash and 29.31 g of crude fiber in per kilogram of diet. This composition satisfied well with requirements for piglet. Initial moisture content of the raw material was 10.62 % (w.b.) and density of that was 482.68 kg/m$^3$. The measured experimental data from different process conditions were presented in Table 5.

Table 4 Experimental design layout

| Run | Coded variables | Actual variables |
|-----|----------------|------------------|
| 1   | 1 1 1 1       | 18 85 280       |
| 2   | 1 1 –1 1      | 18 85 200       |
| 3   | 1 –1 1 –1     | 18 65 280       |
| 4   | 1 –1 1 1      | 14 85 280       |
| 5   | –1 1 1 –1     | 14 85 200       |
| 6   | –1 1 –1 1     | 14 65 280       |
| 7   | –1 –1 1 –1    | 14 65 200       |
| 8   | 2 0 0 0       | 20 75 240       |
| 9   | –2 0 2 0      | 12 75 240       |
| 10  | 0 2 0 2       | 16 95 240       |
| 11  | 0 –2 0 2      | 16 55 240       |
| 12  | 0 0 2 0       | 16 75 320       |
| 13  | 0 0 –2 0      | 16 75 160       |
| 14  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 15  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 16  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 17  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 18  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 19  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 20  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 21  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 22  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |
| 23  | 0 0 0 0       | 16 75 240       |

Table 5 Experimental data measured under treatment combinations of various runs

| Treatment | PDI/% | SD   | Bulk Density/kg·m$^{-3}$ | SD | Hardness/kg | SD   | Throughput capacity/kg·h$^{-1}$ | SD   |
|-----------|-------|------|--------------------------|----|-------------|------|---------------------------------|------|
| 1         | 95.71 | 0.44 | 582.9                    | 7.2| 9.65        | 0.21 | 41.84                           | 2.16 |
| 2         | 97.82 | 0.36 | 564.4                    | 9.1| 9.11        | 0.17 | 33.27                           | 1.63 |
| 3         | 92.65 | 1.17 | 593.0                    | 5.2| 6.85        | 0.08 | 39.52                           | 1.40 |
| 4         | 93.08 | 0.69 | 551.7                    | 10.4| 6.55       | 0.11 | 30.81                           | 0.92 |
| 5         | 93.11 | 0.70 | 586.9                    | 8.2| 8.10        | 0.16 | 40.47                           | 0.84 |
| 6         | 96.25 | 0.45 | 573.5                    | 8.9| 8.42        | 0.18 | 33.55                           | 1.55 |
| 7         | 90.47 | 0.83 | 561.6                    | 5.6| 6.26        | 0.05 | 38.20                           | 1.74 |
| 8         | 91.94 | 1.21 | 537.4                    | 9.1| 6.82        | 0.18 | 32.42                           | 0.75 |
| 9         | 95.87 | 0.64 | 560.1                    | 13.1| 6.73       | 0.15 | 39.25                           | 0.38 |
| 10        | 91.24 | 0.45 | 558.4                    | 5.2| 6.15        | 0.14 | 37.55                           | 2.41 |
| 11        | 94.93 | 0.28 | 590.7                    | 8.1| 9.62        | 0.23 | 37.02                           | 2.72 |
| 12        | 92.38 | 0.11 | 553.1                    | 7.5| 5.34        | 0.15 | 35.23                           | 1.90 |
| 13        | 93.43 | 0.43 | 612.2                    | 9.0| 7.95        | 0.21 | 42.76                           | 2.61 |
| 14        | 96.92 | 0.27 | 537.5                    | 8.2| 7.63        | 0.10 | 26.51                           | 0.55 |
| 15        | 96.11 | 0.32 | 583.6                    | 8.6| 8.29        | 0.14 | 38.22                           | 0.41 |
| 16        | 95.48 | 0.66 | 582.1                    | 8.9| 8.46        | 0.11 | 37.50                           | 0.76 |
| 17        | 95.62 | 0.45 | 567.2                    | 10.5| 7.71       | 0.12 | 39.83                           | 0.90 |
| 18        | 96.41 | 0.25 | 588.5                    | 5.1| 8.13        | 0.08 | 36.47                           | 0.65 |
| 19        | 94.87 | 0.37 | 574.7                    | 12.2| 8.05       | 0.05 | 41.97                           | 1.52 |
| 20        | 94.42 | 1.15 | 571.8                    | 10.7| 7.91       | 0.16 | 42.81                           | 1.18 |
| 21        | 95.33 | 0.42 | 580.5                    | 6.5| 8.07        | 0.10 | 39.52                           | 2.05 |
| 22        | 95.45 | 0.71 | 568.3                    | 9.2| 7.78        | 0.19 | 38.11                           | 0.93 |
| 23        | 96.37 | 0.40 | 579.5                    | 9.7| 8.02        | 0.12 | 38.76                           | 1.75 |

Notes: PDI means pellet durability index, SD means standard deviation.
3.2 Pellet durability index

Pellet durability index was measured to reflect the mechanical strength of pellet feed to withstand shear and impact resistances. Higher value of pellet durability means the pellet feed is more stable during the processes of storage, transportation, handling and feeding. The response surface plotted in Figure 3 manifested that feed pellet durability decreased with ring die speed in the range of 160-320 r/min, but increased with temperature, moisture content and in the range of 55°C-95°C and 12 %-20 % w.b., respectively. These results are in accordance with other researches, where increased moisture content and temperature of feed blends during extrusion process, increased durability values of aquafeed. This was attributed to the fact that the feedstuff with higher temperature and moisture content can cohere stronger due to higher starch gelatinization. In addition, higher ring die speed will be helpful in pelleting due to the longer time and bigger force. The values of feed pellet durability under experimental conditions were given in Table 5. It is believed that the extent of heat and moisture treatment, along with the level of starch transformation as well as extrusion speed between the ring die and roller influence feed pellet durability index.

Figure 3  Response surface plots of feed pellet durability index for effects of moisture content, temperature and ring die speed on pellet durability index

3.3 Bulk density

Bulk density of pellet feed was plotted as functions of temperature and ring die speed in Figure 4. Statistical results showed that increased temperature of feedstuff and ring die speed significantly affected the increase of bulk density. Similar bulk density was reported, where higher die speed increased density values of wood pellets and corn stover pellets, respectively. As shown in Table 6, ANOVA indicated moisture content had no significant effect on bulk density and hence response surface models and plots of interaction effect involving moisture content were not shown. The experimental values of bulk density of pellet feed under different designed pelleting conditions were presented in Table 5.

Table 6  Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for pellet durability index and bulk density

| Source      | df | SS     | MS     | F-value | p-value | SS     | MS     | F-value | p-value |
|-------------|----|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Model       | 9  | 72.16  | 8.02   | 9.32    | 0.0002  | 6392.21| 710.25 | 13.43   | <0.0001 |
| $X_1$       | 1  | 17.54  | 17.54  | 20.38   | 0.0006  | 81.00  | 81.00  | 1.53    | 0.2378  |
| $X_2$       | 1  | 24.63  | 24.63  | 28.62   | 0.0001  | 1211.04| 1211.04| 22.90   | 0.0004  |
| $X_3$       | 1  | 12.48  | 12.48  | 14.50   | 0.0022  | 3806.89| 3806.89| 71.97   | <0.0001 |
| $X_1X_2$    | 1  | 0.090  | 0.090  | 0.10    | 0.7511  | 432.18 | 432.18 | 8.17    | 0.0134  |
| $X_1X_3$    | 1  | 0.54   | 0.54   | 0.62    | 0.4443  | 61.61  | 61.61  | 1.16    | 0.3001  |
| $X_2X_3$    | 1  | 1.40   | 1.40   | 1.63    | 0.2240  | 141.12 | 141.12 | 2.67    | 0.1264  |
| $X_1^2$     | 1  | 9.05   | 9.05   | 10.51   | 0.0064  | 629.52 | 629.52 | 11.90   | 0.0043  |
| $X_2^2$     | 1  | 8.27   | 8.27   | 9.60    | 0.0085  | 69.28  | 69.28  | 1.31    | 0.2730  |
| $X_3^2$     | 1  | 0.74   | 0.74   | 0.86    | 0.3706  | 19.48  | 19.48  | 0.37    | 0.5544  |
| Residual    | 13 | 11.19  | 0.86   | -       | -       | 687.60 | 52.89  | -       | -       |
| Lack of Fit | 5  | 7.66   | 1.53   | 3.47    | 0.0580  | 264.36 | 52.87  | 1.00    | 0.4751  |
| Pure Error  | 8  | 3.53   | 0.44   | -       | -       | 423.24 | 52.91  | -       | -       |

Figure 4  Response surface plots of feed bulk density for the effect of temperature and ring die speed at 16% moisture content
3.4 Hardness

The regression model and its statistical information were provided in Figure 5, which indicated that hardness of pellet feed increased with temperature and ranged from 6.28 to 9.65 kg. In addition, Hardness of pellet feed exhibited ascending-descending trends with the increasing moisture content in the range of 12%-20% of pellet feed. This may be because appropriate moisture content within a certain range contributes to better adhesion among micro particles, thus benefit on resisting fragmentation. Ring die speed had no significant effect on hardness (Table 7). Hardness is in positive relationship with temperature, and hardness increased with temperature. Similar results were observed from other researches\cite{8,23,27}. The treatment combination effects of moisture content and temperature on hardness of pellet feed were presented in Table 5.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5** Response surface plots of feed hardness for the effect of temperature and moisture content at 240 t/min

3.5 Production

The mean comparison of pelleting capacity in response to various factors shown in Table 6 indicated increased ring die speed had a corresponding increased throughput capacity. This may be because increased the ring die speed improved the ability of the machine to squeeze the material out of the pelleting chamber, hence more feedstuff can be extruded by the ring die and roller. Thus, more feed was produced and throughput capacity of feed pellet increased in per hour. ANOVA (Table 7) showed that moisture content and temperature had no significant effect on throughput capacity and hence response surface models and plots of interaction effect associated with moisture content and temperature effect were not shown. The regression model and its statistical information are given in Table 8.

![Table 7](image)

**Table 7** Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for hardness and throughput capacity

| Source   | df | SS   | MS   | F-value | p-value | SS   | MS   | F-value | p-value |
|----------|----|------|------|---------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Model    | 9  | 24.60| 2.73 | 37.56   | <0.0001 | 314.17| 34.91| 11.58   | <0.0001 |
| $X_1$    | 1  | 0.86 | 0.86 | 11.89   | 0.0043  | 1.10 | 1.10 | 0.37    | 0.5558  |
| $X_2$    | 1  | 18.84| 18.84| 258.88  | <0.0001 | 8.41 | 8.41 | 2.79    | 0.1188  |
| $X_3$    | 1  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.31    | 0.5876  | 243.36| 243.36| 80.73   | <0.0001 |
| $X_1X_2$ | 1  | 0.46 | 0.46 | 6.33    | 0.0258  | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.081   | 0.7801  |
| $X_1X_3$ | 1  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 5.08    | 0.0421  | 2.64 | 2.64 | 0.88    | 0.3660  |
| $X_2X_3$ | 1  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.40    | 0.5401  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.041   | 0.8418  |
| $X_1^2$  | 1  | 3.88 | 3.88 | 53.30   | <0.0001 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 0.87    | 0.3675  |
| $X_2^2$  | 1  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 4.80    | 0.0474  | 21.19| 21.19| 7.03    | 0.0200  |
| $X_3^2$  | 1  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.44    | 0.5166  | 43.45| 43.45| 14.41   | 0.0022  |
| Residual | 13 | 0.95 | 0.07 | -       | -       | 39.19| 3.01 | -       | -       |
| Lack of Fit | 5  | 0.50 | 0.10 | 1.83    | 0.2135  | 5.30 | 1.06 | 0.25    | 0.9282  |
| Pure Error | 8  | 0.44 | 0.06 | -       | -       | 33.89| 4.24 | -       | -       |

4 Conclusions

In the present study, a pilot scale pellet mill prototype was designed and developed for feed pelleting. To systematically evaluate performance experiments of the machine and further study on the pelleting process, the effects of moisture content (55°C-95°C), temperature (12%-20% w.b.), and ring die speed (160-320 t/min) on physical properties of feed pellets produced by the machine, as well as throughput capacity, were determined and analyzed. The following conclusions regarding their impact on pellet quality attributes were drawn:

The average pellet durability, bulk density and hardness of feed pellets, and throughput capacity of the machine ranged from 90.47% to 96.92%, 537.4 to 62.2 kg/m³, 5.26 to 9.65 kg and 26.51 to 42.81 kg/h, respectively. Pellet durability index was found to increase with the increase of temperature and moisture content, but decrease with the increase of ring die speed. Moisture content had no effects on bulk density, whereas increasing temperature and ring die speed increased bulk density. Hardness increased with temperature, and exhibited ascending-descending trends with the increasing moisture content. Increased ring die speed resulted in increasing in throughput capacity.

The comprehensive research, especially pilot scale pellet mill prototype designed and developed in the study, will contribute to the production of feed pellets under various formulas and processing conditions. Furthermore, the pilot scale pellet mill can also be used to improve feed formula, study feed additive and meet
diversified demands due to its fast and cheap production in a very suitable scale.
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