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**Abstract**

An efficient *in vitro* regeneration protocol is reported for common buckwheat. A combination of 0.5 mg/l 2,4-D and 0.2 mg/l BAP with sucrose showed highest induction of somatic embryogenesis from cotyledon and hypocotyl explants. More than 35% of normal somatic embryos matured on MS. MS with 2% sucrose were found best for germination and conversion of somatic embryos to plantlets. In tissue culture, abnormal somatic embryos usually occur. In this report, abnormal embryos are also used to induce shoot organogenesis, adding to the number of final regenerants and ensuring full utilization of regenerative propagules. A treatment of 0.2 mg/l BAP induced meristemoids in 60% of underdeveloped embryos and a combination of 0.5 mg/l BAP and 0.5 mg/l AgNO₃ led browning and senescence-free progression of shoot buds to well developed shoots, which were subsequently rooted in half strength MS containing 2% sucrose and 0.25 mg/l IBA. The regenerated plants survived acclimatization, flowered and set seeds.

**Introduction**

Common buckwheat, *Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench. is an annual and has been popular as a food crop of Eurasia receiving worldwide attention for its enhanced taste (Woo et al. 2016). The demand for buckwheat is due to its excellent nutritional value based on the favorable composition of gluten-free protein complex with high content of lysine (5%), well balanced quantity of essential amino acids, fibrous material, minerals, vitamins and also because of its very low content of prolamine (Michalova et al. 1998). The higher content of digestion-tolerant albumin protein and starches in buckwheat seeds makes it quite complementary to cereal flours (Kawa et al. 2003). The medicinal value of buck-
wheat is due to presence of flavones, flavonoids, sterols, fagopyrin, and thiamin-binding proteins (Li et al. 2001). Out of all the bioflavonoids that buckwheat contains, the chief component is rutin (Jiang 2007), which in moderate amounts can act as potent anti-inflammatory, anti-thrombotic, anti-mutagenic, anti-oxidant, cytoprotective; and has the ability to protect against cardiovascular diseases and cancer (Ganeshpurkar and Saluja 2017).

Buckwheat grows well in moist and cool climate. As it can grow in rather poor soils, its products are deemed to be a low-cost supplement to cereal grains. Buckwheat never accomplished the status of vital crop for cultivation and was never widely adopted, in spite of all its interesting potentials. Obstacles behind the limited conventional breeding are its strong self-cross incompatibility (Neskovic et al. 1995), flower abortion (Morris 1951), low seed set, seed shattering (Oba 1998), uncertain sort of growth and blooming, lodging and its susceptibility to spring and fall frost. Vegetative propagation through cuttings or other conventional methods has not been successful in buckwheat. With the advent of plant biotechnological techniques, it has become possible to incorporate those traits in the plant germplasms, which are not found in them or in any of their wild relatives. However, to accomplish genetic transformation of a plant species and to generate stable transgenic lines, reproducible in vitro regeneration systems are often required.

Several efforts have been made to study in vitro regeneration in Fagopyrum esculentum Moench. The in vitro plant regeneration and genetic transformation reports in Fagopyrum spp. and the related gap areas have extensively been reviewed in Kumar and Saraswat (2018). Yamane (1974) induced differentiation of buckwheat shoots from seed derived callus. Serjovic and Neskovic 1981 described stages of buckwheat explants growth via organogenic mode. Later, shoot organogenesis was established and reported with different explants such as cotyledon (Luther and Marchetti 1994, Berbec and Doroszewska 1999, Klcova and Gubisova 2008, Lee et al. 2009), hypocotyl (Berbec and Doroszewska 1999, Jin et al. 2002, Klcova and Gubisova 2008, Hou et al. 2014, Adachi et al. 1989, Lachman and Adachi 1990), leaf (Woo et al. 2004), leaf petiole (Slawinska et al. 2009) and nodal segment (Kachonpadungkitti et al. 2001, Klcova and Gubisova 2008, Chen et al. 2012, Majid et al. 2015), utilizing various media regimens and obtaining varying frequencies. Regeneration ability via somatic embryogenesis has been published in a few reports using immature zygotic embryo (Neskovic et al. 1987), leaf and stem (Park et al. 1999), cotyledon (Woo et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2016), hypocotyl (Gumerova et al. 2003, Han et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2016, Kwon et al. 2013). However, no reports are available on the investigation of the best carbon source and explant type for somatic embryogenesis based in vitro regeneration in common buckwheat.

The paper reports an efficient method of in vitro plant regeneration in F. esculentum Moench. This study compares various parameters, to determine the relative efficacy of
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Carbon sources, the plant growth regulators combinations and the most efficient explant type for not only induction of somatic embryogenesis in buckwheat but also on the proliferation, maturation and conversion of somatic embryos.

**Materials and Methods**

Mature seeds of *Fagopyrum esculentum* Moench. were obtained from Organic Seeds, Dehradun (India). Seeds were surface sterilized for 4 min using 0.1% (w/v) HgCl₂ followed by four times washing with sterile distilled water to remove traces of HgCl₂. Sterilized seeds were then immersed in sterile distilled water for 12 hrs. Imbibed seeds were placed in Petri dishes on moistened filter paper for germination. After germination, 7 day-old seedlings were transferred on filter paper bridges in test tubes containing 20 ml half strength MS liquid medium.

Two independent set of experiments were performed to evaluate the individual effect of two carbon sources, namely sucrose and glucose along with PGRs (2,4-D and BAP) on somatic embryogenesis in *Fagopyrum esculentum*. The cotyledon and hypocotyl explants from 12 day-old seedlings were inoculated aseptically and cultured on callus induction and proliferation medium (CIPM) having various PGRs regime and different carbon sources. The basal medium of CIPM used composed of MS salts, Gamborg (1968) vitamins, 30 g/l sucrose or glucose, 100 mg/l myo-inositol and 0.8% (w/v) agar. A total of eight different PGR combinations of 2,4-D (0.5 - 2.0 mg/l) and BAP (0.2 - 1.0 mg/l) with both sucrose and glucose separately were tested. After two subculturing cycles in CIPM- sucrose (CIPM-S-1 to CIPM-S-8) and CIPM-glucose (CIPM-G-1 to CIPM-G-8), the proliferating calli were transferred to respective somatic embryogenesis induction media (SEIM-sucrose or SEIM-glucose medium). The SEIM was identical to CIPM except that no PGR was added and Gamborg (1968) vitamins were replaced with MS vitamins. Cultures were subcultured to fresh medium with their respective carbon source, every 25 - 28 days. The somatic embryogenesis induction frequency (SEIF) in cultures derived from any treatment was scored. Cultures in any treatment were simultaneously scored for proliferation of embryogenic calli. For maturation, globular stage somatic embryos with associated embryogenic callus were transferred to fresh MS with and without additional 1.9 g/l of KNO₃ to observe the effect of additional potassium and nitrate ions on maturity of somatic embryos. These treatments were designated as SEMM1, SEMM2 (with sucrose), and SEMM3 and SEMM4 (with glucose). Normal, bipolar torpedo stage mature somatic embryos (SEs) derived from the SEMM1 to SEMM4 treatments were transferred individually to MS based medium (SEGM1 to SEGM4). In these germination medium treatments, MS of half or full strength were used along with either 0.2 mg/l GA₃ or additional 950 mg/l of KNO₃. All media contained 2% (w/v) sucrose as carbon source and solidified with 0.8% (w/v) agar. Cultures were passaged to fresh medium with same concentrations of additives after every 3-weeks incubation.
Underdeveloped, abnormal and/or fused somatic embryos (AEs) obtained during embryogenic proliferation or maturation stage were selected and vertically placed on meristemoid and shoot induction medium (MSIM). MSIM consisted of MS salts and vitamins, 3% (w/v) sucrose, 0.8% (w/v) agar supplemented with various concentrations of BAP (0.1 - 0.5 mg/l). The MS basal medium with 3% (w/v) sucrose was used as negative control. Induced meristemoids were separated and subcultured at every two weeks on fresh MSIM for multiplication and further growth of shoots. In order to overcome browning of newly developed shoots/leaves and for improving shoot growth, the in vitro culture derived shoots via meristemoid induction were excised and cultured on MS having various doses of AgNO₃ (0.1 - 0.5 mg/l) with and without BAP (0.1 - 0.5 mg/l) in 150 ml conical flasks shoot clumps as well as individual shoots were frequently subcultured onto fresh medium containing the same, respective AgNO₃-BAP media combinations at two weeks intervals. 3 - 10 cm long shoots with at least two pairs of true leaves were excised and transferred to four different media (RIM1 - RIM4) in 250 ml conical flasks for root induction. The RIMs contained MS of full or half strengths either alone or supplemented with 0.25 mg/l IBA. The root induction per shoot was recorded 15 days post culturing onto these media.

SEs- and AEs-derived well-developed plantlets with more than two completely prolonged true leaves and root system were taken out from semi-solid medium and transferred to Jam bottles having 50 ml of sterile Hoagland solution. After 3 - 4 days, plantlets were taken out and gently washed under running tap water to remove the traces of agar. The plantlets were subsequently transplanted into plastic cups containing potting mix (having garden soil, coarse sand and vermiculite in 1 : 1 : 1 ratio) and irrigated with half strength Hoagland salt solution. The plastic cups were covered with polythene bags and kept in the culture room. After a week, pores were created in bags, before removing them fully.

During the entire study, all the culture media were set at pH of 5.8 and were sterilized at 15 psi for 15 min. All cultures were maintained under 16 hrs photoperiod and at a temperature of 25 ± 2°C except during seeds germination where it was incubated at 18 hrs photoperiod. The light was provided by fluorescent tubes having intensity of 60 µEm⁻²s⁻¹ at culture level. Unless stated specifically, each experiment was having complete random design and was repeated twice. Initially for callus induction, 18 hypocotyl and 15 cotyledon explants were inoculated in each treatment. The effect of different treatments was analyzed using one-way ANOVA, and their means were compared using the Tukey - HSD test at the 0.01 and 0.05% level of significance.

Results and Discussion
A protocol with full utilization of regenerative propagules via somatic embryogenesis was developed for in vitro regeneration in an Indian cultivar of *Fagopyrum esculentum*. To
obtain callus mediated indirect regeneration, embryonic parts like hypocotyls and cotyledons from aseptically grown 12-day-old seedlings were the explants. Calli started appearing over cut edges of the explants within a period of 7 - 10 days of culturing the explants and by the 15th day, it was easily observable (Fig. 1). Callus induction was observed at all the tested media combinations containing PGRs (Table 1). Hypocotyl explants showed a better response for callus induction and proliferation (92 - 100%) on medium with glucose, whereas cotyledon explants showed better results (75 - 100%) with sucrose. Although inclusion of sucrose or glucose did not significantly affect callus induction and proliferation in any explants type, various PGR treatments significantly differed in both cotyledon and hypocotyl over sucrose or glucose. Sucrose media combinations CIPM-S-1, CIPM-S-3 and CIPM-S-7 induced calli in almost all the explants resulting 100% callus induction. CIPM-S-8 induced calli in all hypocotyl explants, but in cotyledons, it induced calli in only 75% of explants. Other sucrose media combinations also produced calli but their response differed. In glucose media combinations, CIPM-G-3 and CIPM-G-4 induced callus in nearly all explants. However, CIPM-G-1, CIPM-G-2,
CIPM-G-5 and CIPM-G-8 efficiently induced calli in all hypocotyl explants cultured over them, but response of cotyledon in these combinations differed (Table 1). The calli initially produced on these CIPMs were compact to loose, grew slowly and were non-morphogenic. Calli growth was observed when the initial calli were passaged to fresh medium of same composition in subsequent subculturing cycles. The compact calli were white to greenish whereas loose calli ranged from yellowish to greenish, soft and watery. It was these loose calli which subsequently gave rise to highly proliferative calli, which in turn, eventually developed friable nature after transfer to PGR-free somatic embryogenesis induction media (SEIMs).

Table 1. Effect of different concentrations of 2,4-D and BAP on callus induction and somatic embryogenesis from hypocotyl and cotyledon explants of *F. esculentum* in medium containing different types of carbon sources.

| Media treatment(s) | PGR composition | Hypocotyl explants | Somatic embryogenesis induction frequency (SEIF) % ± SE | Somatic embryogenesis induction frequency (SEIF) % ± SE |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                   | 2,4-D (mg/l)    | BAP (mg/l)         | Callus induction frequency (CIF) % ± SE         | Callus induction frequency (CIF) % ± SE         |
| Sucrose as carbon source |
| CIPM-S-1          | 0.5             | 0.2                | 100 ± 0                                         | 83.33 ± 3.21a                                   |
|                   | 1               | 0.2                | 79.62 ± 1.85                                    | 62.22 ± 6.41ab                                  |
|                   | 2               | 0.2                | 100 ± 0                                         | 53.70 ± 6.68bc                                  |
|                   | 0.5             | 0.5                | 74.07 ± 4.90                                    | 38.33 ± 4.90                                    |
|                   | 1               | 0.5                | 77.77 ± 3.21                                    | 46.29 ± 1.85c                                   |
|                   | 2               | 0.5                | 83.33 ± 3.21                                    | 63.33 ± 3.21                                    |
|                   | 0.5             | 1                  | 100 ± 0                                         | 42.59 ± 4.90                                   |
|                   | 1               | 1                  | 100 ± 0                                         | 31.48 ± 6.68ab                                  |
| Glucose as carbon source |
| CIPM-G-1          | 0.5             | 0.2                | 100 ± 0                                         | 51.85 ± 1.85b                                   |
|                   | 1               | 0.2                | 100 ± 0                                         | 46.29 ± 1.85c                                   |
|                   | 2               | 0.2                | 100 ± 0                                         | 20.36 ± 3.70bc                                  |
|                   | 0.5             | 0.5                | 98.15 ± 1.85                                    | 20.36 ± 3.70bc                                  |
|                   | 1               | 0.5                | 80.0 ± 0                                         | 20.36 ± 3.70bc                                  |
|                   | 2               | 0.5                | 94.44 ± 0                                        | 20.36 ± 3.70bc                                  |
|                   | 0.5             | 1                  | 92.59 ± 1.85                                    | 20.36 ± 3.70bc                                  |
|                   | 1               | 1                  | 100 ± 0                                         | 75.53 ± 2.23                                    |

Data were pooled from three independent experiments. The effect of media treatments on SEIF were subjected to one way ANOVA and means were compared using Tukey-HSD test. Values that are significantly different at 0.01 and 0.05% probability levels are represented by different letters within column. Values that have same letters are non-significant.
Highly proliferative calli developed over CIPMs were transferred to respective PGR-free SEIMs. Embryogenic callus emerged as dark brown to purplish brown, friable callus on the top or sides of parent callus as early as 2nd passage to this medium and showed at their surface conical creamish structures which eventually developed into somatic embryos. Figs 1 and 2 show callus proliferation and induction of somatic embryogenesis in hypocotyl and cotyledon explants. Globular stage somatic embryos continued to appear on the surface of embryogenic calli in subsequent subculturing cycles, whereas, embryogenic calli kept on proliferating. Somatic embryos were bigger in size than their zygotic counter parts and once induced, somatic embryogenesis in common buckwheat has been found to be self proliferating and embryogenic callus could

Fig. 2. Progression of *F. esculentum* somatic embryos to plantlets: (a) and (b) development and maturation of normal somatic embryos, (c) and (d) germination and conversion of somatic embryos to plantlets.
be maintained in this state, unaided by any PGR, for at least 6 - 8 subculturing cycles. In subsequent subculturing cycles, however, it was noticed that number of abnormal embryos gets significantly higher in comparison to normal embryos. Out of all the PGR treatments tested in sucrose-based media, CIPM-S-1 (0.5 mg/l 2,4-D and 0.2 mg/l BAP) showed highest induction of embryogenesis in both hypocotyl (83.33%) and cotyledon (48.88%) explants derived calli (Table 1). No embryogenic induction was noticed in CIPM-S-4 and CIPM-S-6. Other sucrose media based PGR treatments (CIPM-S-2, -3, -5, -7 and -8) showed 32 - 72% somatic embryogenesis induction frequency (SEIF) in hypocotyl, whereas cotyledon derived calli showed induction only in CIPM-3 (35.5% SEIF) and CIPM-7 (22.22% SEIF). In glucose based media treatments, CIPM-G-3 and CIPM-G-4 induced embryogenesis in cotyledon calli (20 and 8.88%, respectively). Interestingly, calli developed on hypocotyl in CIPM-G-1, CIPM-G-2, CIPM-G-5 and CIPM-G-6 showed 51.85, 46.29, 20.36 and 14.81% SEIF, respectively (Table 1). Analysis of variance and a Tukey -HSD test with pair-wise comparison of the observations showed that (1) PGR treatments were significantly different in terms of their efficiency in inducing somatic embryogenesis in common buckwheat - CIPM-S-1 being the best, (2) hypocotyls are significantly better explants for in vitro regeneration and (3) sucrose is a significantly better carbon source for embryogenic induction.

Four different PGR-free MS (SEMM1 - SEMM4) were used for maturation of somatic embryos. Fig. 3 shows that SEMM2 and SEMM4 supported significantly high proportion of somatic embryos to achieve maturation, as compared to SEMM1 and SEMM3. The results thus show that in F. esculentum, additional KNO₃ is not of much help for maturation of somatic embryos, as is reported in somatic embryogenesis in other species, for example, cotton (Trolinder and Goodin 1987). Around 35.55% of hypocotyl explants derived somatic embryos and 22.22% of cotyledon explants derived somatic embryos attained maturity in sucrose based SEMM-2 and turned out in bipolar torpedo stage. Similarly, 24.44% of somatic embryos developed from hypocotyl explants and 15.5% of somatic embryos developed from cotyledon explants achieved maturity in glucose based SEMM-4. Additional KNO₃ concentration in media resulted in change in embryo colour, and most of the embryos failed to mature even after a prolonged culture period. The reasons of getting low maturity of somatic embryos with additional KNO₃ can be attributed to the fact that buckwheat is a salt stress sensitive crop.

Mature, bipolar somatic embryos with clear shoot and root ends from SEMMs were pooled and randomized over four germination media treatments (SEGMs). Fig. 2 shows the maturation and progression of buckwheat somatic embryos to plantlets. Within one month of transferring embryos to these media, germinating embryos (which earlier were creamish to yellowish brown) started turning green, a sign of entering to vegetative phase. Appearance of true leaves from shoot end, and roots along with branches from root end were scored as germination frequency in every treatment. Highest germination
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was recorded in SEGM1 (60%). Again, here at this step, SEGM4 containing additional 0.95 g/l of KNO₃ did not result in any germination of embryos. SEGM2 having half strength MS led 28.9% of somatic embryos to germinate, whereas only 13.3% embryos germinated in 0.2 mg/l GA₃ supplemented SEGM3. The results presented in Table 2, therefore, indicated that lowering of sucrose concentration had far more marked influence on germination of somatic embryos in buckwheat than adding additional salts, or gibberellins.

![Somatic embryo maturation media treatments](chart.png)

**Fig. 3.** *F. esculentum* somatic embryos response to different maturation media treatments.

**Table 2. Effect of different media on germination of mature somatic embryos of *F. esculentum.***

| Treatments | Media composition                | Somatic embryo germination frequency (SEGF) % ± S.E. |
|------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| SEGM1      | MS basal + 2% sucrose           | 60 ± 6.7                                           |
| SEGM2      | ½ MS basal + 2% sucrose         | 28.89 ± 5.9                                        |
| SEGM3      | ½ MS basal + 2% sucrose + 0.2 mg/l GA₃ | 13.31 ± 3.9                                     |
| SEGM4      | ½ MS basal + 2% sucrose + 950 mg/l KNO₃ | 0                                                  |

Out of all the somatic embryos that were obtained, however, approximately 40 - 45% embryos showed abnormality with respect to their maturity, individuality and conversion to normal plantlets. Many of them developed as fused structures. Any attempt to separate them invariably led to the development of callus. Other abnormal embryos, which developed as individual entity but failed to mature and germinate properly, were subjected to shoot organogenesis. Initially meristemoid originated as morphogenic and bulbous structure at the top of the embryos within 3 weeks of inoculation onto MSIMs having various BAP concentrations (Fig. 4). As can be observed from results presented in Table 3, while MSIM with no BAP could not induce any
meristemoid, MSIM with BAP (0.1 mg/l to 0.5 mg/l) was able to induce meristemoids in 11.66 - 60% of abnormal embryos (0.2 mg/l BAP induced highest i.e. in 60% of embryos).

Fig. 4. Induction of meristemoids in abnormal embryos and progression of shoot buds in *Fagopyrum esculentum*. (a) Induction of conical meristemoids in fused embryos, (b) further proliferation of nodular and conical meristemoids, (c) various stages of shoot buds progression and development and (d) further branching and appearance of leaves in developing shoots.

When these meristemoid developed embryos were subcultured to their respective fresh media, they got enlarged, compact and slightly irregular in shape with occasional shoot bud differentiation. Thus all the induced meristemoids did not develop into shoot buds, but majority of them did. Around 30.33% of embryos with induced meristemoids over 0.2 mg/l BAP progressed to shoot buds, which in turn developed in shoots. 29% of embryos with induced meristemoids developed in shoots in 0.3 mg/l BAP, while 0.4 and 0.5 mg/l BAP induced shoots in 20% of abnormal embryos. In every case, however, the regenerated moderately elongated shoots and relatively shorter micro-shoots enclosed the entire surface of abnormal embryo apices within 2 weeks of culture and in the next two weeks, large numbers of micro-plants could be seen on them (Fig. 4).
Table 3. Meristemoid induction and shoot induction response in abnormal embryos at different BAP concentrations.

| BAP concentration (mg/l) | Meristemoid induction frequency % ± SE | Shoot induction frequency % ± SE |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0 (control)             | 0                                     | 0                                |
| 0.1                     | 11.67 ± 1.20                          | 0                                |
| 0.2                     | 60.00 ± 3.85                          | 30.33 ± 3.85                     |
| 0.3                     | 43.22 ± 4.98                          | 29 ± 2.17                        |
| 0.4                     | 35.55 ± 2.22                          | 20.11 ± 2.41                     |
| 0.5                     | 25.77 ± 3.11                          | 20 ± 0                           |

Most of such shoots were, however, not able to take up further growth and the base of some shoots started turning brown and senescing. That might be due to excessive ethylene production in the cultures. Silver nitrate has been reported to inhibit ethylene production and prevent senescence. Thus to overcome the problem of browning and to improve shoot growth, shoots were excised from those embryos and cultured on MS containing AgNO₃ (0.1 - 0.5 mg/l) either alone or with BAP (0.1 - 0.5 mg/l). There was a positive correlation between AgNO₃ concentrations and frequency of shoots not getting brown and progressing to elongated, developed state at least in the concentration range of 0.2 mg/l (9.99% of shoots) to 0.5 mg/l (18.9% of shoots). 0.1 mg/l AgNO₃ did not show any progression of shoots development. BAP, however, was found to have a synergistic effect with AgNO₃ on the in vitro development of shoots (Table 4). Out of all the concentrations tested, the greatest response 68.09% was observed at 0.5 mg/l level of AgNO₃ and BAP.

Table 4. Effect of different concentrations of AgNO₃ alone and in combination with BAP on shoot development frequency and senescence.

| AgNO₃ conc. (mg/l) | BAP concentration (mg/l) | Shoot development frequency (%) ± S.E. | Status of senescence |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 0                 | -                        | 0                                      | +++                  |
| 0.1               | -                        | 0                                      | +++                  |
| 0.2               | -                        | 9.99 ± 3.33                            | ++                   |
| 0.3               | -                        | 14.44 ± 1.11                           | +                    |
| 0.4               | -                        | 13.89 ± 3.38                           | +                    |
| 0.5               | -                        | 18.88 ± 4.01                           | No senescence        |
| 0.1               | 0.1                      | 27.14 ± 3.45                           | "                    |
| 0.2               | 0.2                      | 35.55 ± 2.22                           | "                    |
| 0.3               | 0.3                      | 40.55 ± 0.55                           | "                    |
| 0.4               | 0.4                      | 54.44 ± 2.94                           | "                    |
| 0.5               | 0.5                      | 68.09 ± 2.71                           | "                    |

† Degree of senescence: +++ highest to + lowest.
In vitro raised shoots were transferred to root induction media (RIMs). The effect of IBA on root induction varied with ionic strength of MS. MS (RIM1 and RIM3) did not show any root induction with or without IBA. The shoot bases swelled up but no roots were observed. Half strength MS (RIM2 and RIM4), however, without and with IBA induced roots in 66.7 and 88.9%, respectively of excised shoots cultured over them (Table 5).

**Table 5. Effect of different strengths of MS and IBA on root induction frequency in cultured shoots of *F. esculentum*.

| Treatments | Media composition                          | Root induction frequency % ± SE  |
|------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| RIM1       | MS + 2% sucrose                            | 0                                |
| RIM2       | Half strength MS + 2% sucrose               | 66.66 ± 3.85                     |
| RIM3       | MS + 2% sucrose + 0.25 mg l IBA            | 0                                |
| RIM4       | Half strength MS + 2% sucrose + 0.25 mg l IBA | 88.89 ± 5.88                    |

It was easier to remove traces of gelling agent after the transfer of plantlets to Hoagland solution. Further, their elongation and growth in Hoagland solution (Fig. 5a) indicated that plantlets have started photosynthesizing and are changing from photoheterotrophic to photoautotrophic mode. After the transfer of plantlets to cups containing potting mix, plantlets continued to grow, as reflected by emergence of new leaves. Pores created in the covering polythene bags helped them strengthen their water...
balance. Bags were gradually removed when the plants became effectively acclimatized
to soil pots. Fig. 5b shows acclimatization of in vitro regenerated plantlets in buckwheat.
Successfully acclimatized plants were transferred to field where they grew well and
exhibit phenotypic homogeneity when compared to seed-derived plants. All the plants
flowered well and set seeds.
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