INTRODUCTION

Electroconvulsive shock (ECS) is one of the most effective treatments for depressed patients who have not responded to antidepressant treatment [1]. Although neurochemical effects that underlie therapeutic effect of ECS are not clear, it is generally thought that ECS-induced regulation of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) neurotransmission and its receptors may be involved in therapeutic effect of ECS. Among the 5-HT receptors, ECS-induced regulation of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors in brain has been the subject of much investigation.
because chronic antidepressant treatment often activates 5-HT1A receptor function in the dorsal hippocampus [2], but blocks the 5-HT2A receptor function in the cortex [3]. In addition to beneficial effects such as antidepressant effect, ECS also induces some adverse effects including amnesia. Patients treated with ECS often report deficits in memory retention of newly acquired information (anterograde amnesia) or memory recalling in events before the ECS (retrograde amnesia) [4, 5].

One potential mechanism underlying ECS-induced antidepressant effect and amnesia may be related to the regulation of 5-HT6 receptor. The involvement of the 5-HT6 receptor in the antidepressant and amnesia effects of ECS is supported by several lines of evidence. The 5-HT6 receptor is diffusely distributed within the hippocampus [6-8], a brain area engaged in the antidepressant effect [9, 10] as well as in learning and memory [11]. A 5-HT6 receptor antagonist demonstrates antidepressant-like effect in the forced swimming test (FST) and tail suspension test (TST), both of which have been used for screening antidepressant efficacy [12, 13]. Moreover, 5-HT6 receptor antagonist not only enhances the retention of spatial memory, but also attenuates scopolamine-induced amnesia [14-16]. Therefore it is probable that alteration of 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus may be involved in ECS-induced antidepressant effect and amnesia. However, little work has been done whether ECS dynamically regulates 5-HT6 receptor expression in the hippocampus. In addition, a single ECS is often ineffective to treat depression, and repeated ECS treatments are needed to obtain clinical antidepressant effect [17]. Moreover, changes in 5-HT receptor expression after ECS are affected by the number of treatment and by interval between the ECS treatment and sacrifice. For example, a single and repeated ECS have varying effects on magnitude and direction of changes in 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors expression in the hippocampus [18]. Accordingly, it is possible that repeated ECS may differentially regulate the magnitude and time-dependent changes in 5-HT6 receptor expression in the hippocampus compared to acute ECS. To explore these possibilities, in the present study, we observed and compared the changes of 5-HT6 receptor immunoreactivity (5-HT6 IR) in rat hippocampus following a single ECS treatment (acute ECS) with those following daily ECS treatments for 10 days (chronic ECS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult male Sprague Dawley rats (250-300 g, Orient, Gapyeong, Korea) were obtained 1 week prior to the experiment and were housed three per cage under a 12 h light-dark cycle (lights on at 06:00 h). Food and water were available ad libitum. All procedures used in this study were consistent with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

ECS

Adult male Sprague Dawley rats received a single ECS via ear-clip electrodes (acute ECS) or daily ECS for 10 days (chronic ECS). ECS was administered via ear clip electrodes with a pulse generator (60 mA, 100 pulses/sec, shock duration 0.5 sec). Control animals were handled identically to ECS-treated animals but without electrical stimulation according to previous reports [19, 20], in which animals exposed only sham treatment were used as control group for chronic ECS treatment. Rats were sacrificed 1 h, 8 h, 24 h, or 72 h after the last ECS application.

Tissue preparation

Following anesthesia, rats were perfused intracardially with 100 ml of 0.9% saline, followed by 400 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (PPB, pH 7.2). Brains were fixed in situ for 1 h, removed, post-fixed in PPB for 2 h, and then placed in 20% sucrose/PPB overnight at 4°C for cryoprotection. Serial coronal sections (30 μm) were made through the entire brain using a Microm HM450 sliding microtome and were stored in a cryoprotectant solution (30% RNase free sucrose, 30% ethylene glycol, and 1% polyvinyl pyrrolidone 40 in 100 mM PPB, pH 7.4) at −20°C.

Immunohistochemistry

Brain sections were incubated in 1% H2O2 in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) for 10 min to block endogenous peroxidase activity, and then in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100, 4% normal goat serum, and 2.5% bovine serum albumin for 1 h at room temperature. After that, the sections were incubated for 24 h at 4°C with an anti-5-HT6 receptor polyclonal rabbit antibody (1:1,000 dilution) as described previously [21]. The sections were then incubated with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:500; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) for 24 h at 4°C. Following rinsing, sections were incubated for 10 min each time with 0.01 M PBS, the sections were incubated in an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (1:500; Vector Laboratories) for 3 h at room temperature. After rinsing, sections were incubated for 10 min in 0.05% (w/v) diaminobenzidine solution containing 0.3% H2O2. The sections were then rinsed with PBS, mounted on glass slides, dried overnight, dehydrated, and cover-slipped under Permount (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA).
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Data analysis and statistics

Images of the 5-HT6 IR were captured using a ProGress C14 CAMERA (Jenoptik, Jena, Germany) mounted on an Olympus BX-50 microscope. Sections of hippocampus (-3.60 to -3.80 mm from Bregma) were selected and analyzed [22]. To quantify the level of 5-HT6 IR, the regions of interest on sections were outlined, and then 5-HT6 IR optical densities were measured using Scion Image alpha 4.0 software (Scion Corporation, Frederick, MD, USA), and background staining levels in the corpus callosum were subtracted from these measurements. The results are expressed as the optical density (OD) and represent the standard error of the mean (SEM) of data from 9 rats in each group. *p<0.05 and **p<0.01 vs. 0 h (by one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's honestly significant difference test or post hoc Dunnett's T3 test).

RESULTS

Acute ECS significantly increased 5-HT6 IR in the CA1, CA3, and granule cell layer (GCL) of the hippocampus in a time-dependent manner. One way ANOVA analysis shows that there was a significant differences in the CA1 (F4,40=53.2, p<0.001), CA3 (F4,19.0=12.8, p<0.001), and GCL (F4,40=43.9, p<0.001). Specifically, 5-HT6 IR levels were generally increased from 1 h in the CA1 (+10.1%, p<0.01), CA3 (+12.7%, p=0.553), and GCL (+31.1%, p<0.01) of the hippocampus, and reached peak levels by 8 h post-ECS in CA1 (+33.4%, p<0.001), CA3 (+29.6%, p<0.001), and GCL (+44.6%, p<0.001) (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 1). The 5-HT6 IR levels then progressively decreased, returning to basal level by 72 h after acute ECS. These results demonstrate that similar pattern of increases in 5-HT6-IR was noted in all subfields of hippocampus and that the magnitude of increase in the GCL was greater than that in the CA1 and CA3 subfields of hippocampus.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, 5-HT6 IR is evenly distributed in all subfields of the hippocampus in agreement with previous reports showing the distribution of 5-HT6 receptor mRNA [6] and immunoreactivity [7, 8] in the hippocampus. Acute ECS increased 5-HT6 IR in the CA1 subfield, reaching its peak at 8 h after the ECS, and returned to its basal level 72 h later. These time-dependent changes in the CA1 subfield are similar to those in other subfields of the hippocampus. In addition, it is noteworthy that the degree of increase and time-dependent changes in 5-HT6 IR in chronic ECS were not different from those observed after acute ECS. This result is interesting in that acute and chronic administrations of 5-HT6 receptor agonist did not yield any difference in extracellular GABA levels in the frontal cortex in a microdialysis study [25], indicating a lack of neurochemical tolerance after repeated 5-HT6 receptor stimulation in the frontal cortex. These results suggest that 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus may be dynamically regulated by ECS and that this
**Fig. 2.** Representative photographs of 5-HT6 IR in the areas CA 1, CA 3, and GCL in the hippocampus after acute and chronic ECS. Higher magnifications of the pyramidal layer of CA1 are represented at upper right corners. Arrows indicate the area of magnification. Scale bar, 500 µm. Abbreviations as in Fig. 1.

**Table 1.** Effect of acute and chronic ECS on 5-HT6 IR levels in the CA1 and CA3 of the hippocampus

|          | Acute | Chronic |
|----------|-------|---------|
|          | CON   | 1 h     | 8 h     | 24 h    | 72 h   | CON   | 1 h     | 8 h     | 24 h    | 72 h   |
| CA1      | 46.2±1.3 | 50.9±0.6a | 62.6±0.8b | 50.9±0.7c | 44.6±0.9 | 42.7±0.8 | 53.0±0.7c | 60.8±1.7c | 51.2±0.5c | 48.1±1.1 |
| CA3      | 52.6±3.0 | 57.2±2.3b | 67.3±1.8d | 55.4±1.0a | 48.8±1.9   | 52.3±1.6 | 59.5±1.4d | 72.7±2.9c | 61.9±0.9b | 52.6±1.3 |

The results are expressed as the optical density and represent means±standard error of the mean (SEM) of data from 9 rats in each group. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001 vs. 0 h (one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey’s honestly significant difference test or post hoc Dunnett’s T3 test). Abbreviations as in Fig. 1.
regulation of 5-HT6 receptor in response to acute ECS appears not to be influenced by repeated ECS exposure.

ECS is known to induce amnesia, particularly with events that occur close to the time of ECS in humans [26, 27], and this effect is also observed in rodents. For example, ECS induces retrograde [28] and anterograde amnesia [29] in studies using the Morris water maze test. Similarly, retrograde [30] and anterograde amnesia [31] after ECS have been observed in passive avoidance behavior. Considering that 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus is involved in learning and memory [32-34], it is probable that elevation of 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus may be involved in ECS-induced amnesia. At present, less is known about the effect of ECS on the impairment of learning and memory other than with respect to the Morris water maze test and passive avoidance behavior, but it should be pointed out that the amnestic effect of ECS appears to be cumulative in that repeated ECS induces more severe amnesia [30]. Moreover, retrograde amnesia often occurs when ECS is given shortly after the training of memory [29]. Inasmuch as the magnitude of 5-HT6 IR increase in chronic ECS did not differ from that in acute ECS in the present study, and 5-HT6 IR usually begins to rise 1 h later, reaching its peak 8 h after ECS, elevated 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus may play a limited role in ECS-induced amnesia.

There is also evidence that 5-HT6 receptor is involved in an antidepressant-like effect. For example, systemic administration of selective 5-HT6 receptor antagonists, such as SB-399885 and SB-271046, in rats displays an antidepressant-like effect, as revealed by reduced immobility duration in the FST [35]. One of the brain areas relevant for the antidepressant-like effect of 5-HT6 receptor antagonists appears to be the hippocampus, since intrahippocampal administration of SB-258585, a selective 5-HT6 receptor antagonist, reduces immobility duration [36]. However, with respect to the role of 5-HT6 receptor in this antidepressant-like effect, dissimilar results exist in that 5-HT6 receptor agonist also demonstrates an antidepressant-like effect in the mouse TST [13] and in the rat FST [12]. Moreover, intrahippocampal administration of 5-HT6 receptor agonist, EMD386088, reduces immobility duration in the FST in rats, suggesting an antidepressant-like effect, and this effect is blocked by systemic administration of the selective 5-HT6 receptor antagonist SB-399885 [37]. Thus, it is interesting to note that both 5-HT6 receptor agonist and antagonist induce an antidepressant-like effect, but this effect has been suggested to be mediated via different mechanisms of action. Similar to the contradictory results for the involvement of 5-HT6 receptor agonist or antagonist in antidepressant-like effects, disparate findings regarding the antidepressant-like effects between acute and chronic ECS treatment have been presented.

Chronic ECS treatment produces an antidepressant-like effect in the FST because daily administration of ECS for 6 or 14 days decreases the immobility duration in the FST [38]. The reduction in immobility duration is notable 6 h after the last session of 14-day ECS administration, and this effect persists up to 3 days after the last session [38]. In contrast, a single ECS treatment in mice has no effect alone on the antidepressant-like effect in FST [39], and a similar effect is observed in rats [40]. Considering these results, together with the role of 5-HT6 receptor agonist or antagonist in the antidepressant-like effect, it is unlikely that elevated 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus plays a role in the antidepressant-like effect of ECS.

At present, it is not clear how ECS increases 5-HT6 IR in the hippocampus. One possible mechanism involves glucocorticoids because decreased plasma corticosterone levels by adrenalectomy increases 5-HT6 mRNA in the CA1 of the hippocampus, which is partly restored by corticosterone replacement [6]. However, previous study demonstrated that a single ECS and repeated ECS for 10 days significantly increase plasma corticosterone, although the magnitude of increase appears to be attenuated with repeated exposure [41]. In addition, elevated 5-HT6 mRNA is only observed in the CA1 after adrenalectomy, but the increase in the 5-HT6 IR was observed in all subfields of hippocampus after ECS. Moreover, considering that elevated plasma corticosterone levels have no effect on 5-HT6 mRNA levels in the subfields of hippocampus [6], the ECS-induced increase in 5-HT6 IR in the hippocampus is unlikely to be mediated by changes in plasma corticosterone. Alternatively, it is possible that diminished serotonin release induces a compensatory increase in 5-HT6 IR in the hippocampus, as suggested previously [41]. However, there is evidence that acute ECS increases serotonin release [42], although chronic ECS for 10 days does not alter serotonin release [43, 44] or rather increases serotonin levels in the hippocampus [45]. Thus, changes in serotonin release in the hippocampus may not be related to ECS-induced increase in 5-HT6 IR in the hippocampus. Further studies will be needed to identify underlying mechanism for the elevation of 5-HT6 receptor in the hippocampus induced by ECS.

In summary, our results demonstrate that acute and chronic ECS increases 5-HT6 IR in all subfields of the hippocampus in a time-dependent manner and that chronic ECS is found not to alter the magnitude of increase and time-dependent changes in 5-HT6 increase observed after acute ECS. These results suggest that both acute and chronic ECS dynamically regulate 5-HT6 IR in rat hippocampus, and indicates that the magnitude and time-dependent changes in 5-HT6 IR in the hippocampus may not be influenced by repeated ECS treatment.
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