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Abstract: There exist many scenarios where multiple parties jointly work on some common project but these parties are not interested to show actual value of data to each other. Here we propose an algorithm where multiple cooperating but distrustful parties can compare their data for equality without disclosing it to one another. This is an improvement over two party comparison algorithm devised earlier by other researchers. The proposed work is suitable for semi honest adversaries who respect rules of the protocol but somehow try to know private values with other parties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sensitive data may be distributed among multiple sites during data mining operation with a restriction to maintaining privacy. The disclosure of these sensitive data is not allowed but still the parties needs to cooperate during computation. This problem where many parties work on some common task but they are concerned about privacy of their sensitive data is called Secure Multiparty Computation (SMC) [3, 4]. Many privacy-preserving methods have been suggested [5] which will be described in the next section. Three types of adversarial nature of the parties are considered namely honest adversary, semi honest adversary, and malicious adversary. An honest adversary follows the steps of the protocol and never attempt to know the sensitive data of the participating parties. A semi honest also known as honest-but-curious adversary follows the steps of the protocol but may attempt to receive sensitive data of participating parties. A malicious adversary neither follows the rules of the protocol nor honours the privacy of others. The protocols in the honest adversary model are simplest and cheapest while with the malicious adversary model the protocols are most complex, inefficient and expensive.

There exist two SMC architectures namely real SMC model and ideal SMC model. There exists a Trusted Third Party (TTP) in the ideal model of SMC which accepts private data from the participating parties, evaluates common function and distributes the result to the cooperating parties. All the parties are supposed to have full trust in the TTP. Ideal Model is easier to implement but it is expensive due to the cost involved for maintaining the TTP. Since the private data is provided to the TTP, the privacy is preserved. In actual practice some government agency or some agency approved by the government may work as TTP. In case of any dispute the TTP may help resolve it in the court of law. But along with the behaviour of the TTP is also significant. If the TTP becomes malicious whole scheme fails to provide accuracy and privacy. This will violate both the goals of SMC. There is no TTP in the real model of SMC. The participating parties follow certain steps as per the protocol to compute common function their private data. This model is slightly complex to implement as the data is to be shared directly with other parties in such a way that its actual value must not be visible to these parties. Another problem with real model is that in case of dispute the absence of the TTP makes the decision making more difficult. But it is cost effective model.

In our proposed protocol we use additive homomorphic encryption which can be defined as follows:
Let PU be the public key and PR be the private key. An additive homomorphic encryption scheme must fulfill following conditions:
If d₁ and d₂ be the data then
E_{PU}(d₁+d₂) = E_{PU}(d₁) + E_{PU}(d₂)
For a constant k :
E_{PU}(k.d) = k . E_{PU}(d)
In our work we used additive homomorphic cryptosystem as proposed by Pallier in 1999 [2]. The whole scheme can be described as below:
Key Generation: choose two prime numbers p and q such that p < q and p does not divide q – 1.
Public key PU= p.q=n
Private key PR = {n, λ}
Where λ is the LCM of p-1 and q-1.
Encryption with PU:
E_{PU}(d) = (1+n)d . r^p \mod n = c
Where r is a random number
Decryption with PR:
d=\frac{(c^q \mod n^2 + 1-\lambda^-2) \mod n}{\lambda}\mod n

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Protocols are available in the literature for two party equality comparisons [1] based on Pallier’s homomorphic cryptosystem. Two Parties P0 and P1 with the data d₀ and d₁ as shown in Fig.1 want to know whether d₀ and d₁ are equal without revealing their private data to one another. The two-party comparison algorithm we call it EqualityCheck algorithms which be explained with the following steps:
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EqualityCheck algorithm

Assume two parties $P_0$ and $P_1$ having their private data $d_0$ and $d_1$, respectively want to know whether $d_0 = d_1$.

Step1: The party $P_0$ generates public key pair (PU and PR) using Paillier’s homomorphic cryptosystem where PU is the public key and PR is private key.

Step2: The party $P_0$ computes encryption of its data using PU and sends $(PU, E_{PU}(d_0))$ to the party $P_1$.

Step3: The party $P_1$ selects a random number $r$ and computes $[E_{PU}(d_0) - E_{PU}(d_1)]r$ with the private key PR as below.

$$D_{PR}[E_{PU}(d_0) - E_{PU}(d_1)]r$$

Step4: The party $P_0$ decrypts the expression $[E_{PU}(d_0) - E_{PU}(d_1)]r$ using homomorphic property $r(d_0 - d_1)$.

If the result of the decryption is 0, then both the data are equal else not.

Step4: The party $P_0$ shares the result with $P_1$.

Privacy of both the data is preserved. The party $P_1$ does not know $d_0$ as it cannot decrypt due to lack of private key PR. Similarly, the party $P_0$ cannot learn $d_1$ as it doesn’t know random number $r$. But, the can easily know whether their data are equal or not.

**Fig. 1. Two-party comparison algorithm**

The above algorithm is suitable for honest but curious or semi honest parties who follow the rules of the protocol but may try to infer secret data of the other party. Flowchart for EqualityCheck algorithm is shown in the Fig.2.

**A. Informal Description**

The multiple parties having their secret data will be arranged in a ring. One of the parties will initiate the protocol. It will generate public-private key pair using Paillier’s homomorphic cryptosystem using public key cryptography. It will run two-party algorithm with the next party in the ring as described by the Algorithm 1. If the equality holds the protocol may proceed for the next party in the ring. If equality doesn’t hold at any point in the ring, the result may be declared as Equality Doesn’t Hold in multiparty. If the protocol reaches at the last party in the ring and equality still holds, the result may be declared as Equality Holds in multiparty case.

**Fig. 2. Flowchart for EqualityCheck algorithm**

**III. PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR MULTIPARTY COMPARISON**

In this section we propose a protocol for comparison of data of multiple parties for equality without revealing data of one party to other parties.

**B. Formal Description**

Consider parties $P_0$ to $P_{k-1}$ are arranged in a ring as depicted in Fig.3. These parties contain their secret data $d_0$ to $d_{k-1}$.

The algorithm of equality comparison for secret data is proposed as MultiEqualityCheck below.

**MultiEqualityCheck**

Input: $k$ parties $P_0$ through $P_{k-1}$ with data $d_0$ through $d_{k-1}$.

Requires: All the parties to know whether all data equal or not without disclosing data to one another.

Step1: $n = k$

Step2: For $i = 0$ to $k-1$ do

Party $P_i$ and $P_{i+1}$ compare $d_i$ and $d_{i+1}$ using EqualityCheck

If $(d_i, d_{i+1})$ then continue

Else write “Not equal” break

Write “Equal”

End of for loop

Flowchart for MultiEqualityCheck algorithm is shown in the Fig.4.

**Fig. 3. Secure Multiparty Comparison**

**Fig. 4. Flowchart for MultiEqualityCheck algorithm**
to originator party. The originator cannot learn the data due to use of the random number. But the decryption results in the difference of data of both the parties. If this difference is zero the data are equal. Thus, the result of equality of both the data is known without disclosing data to one another. The proposed protocol is suitable for semi-honest adversaries. The future work suggests devising a multiparty protocol for malicious adversaries.
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**Table 1: Improvements in MultiEqualityCheck**

| S. No. | Criteria                   | EqualityCheck | MultiEqualityCheck |
|-------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 1.    | Number of parties          | Two           | More than two      |
| 2.    | Network type               | Dedicated between two parties | Point-to-point Ring Network |
| 3.    | Random number              | Single random number used by respondent | Each pair may use different random number |
| 4.    | Key Pair                   | Single key pair used by initiator party | Each pair of party may use different key pair |

The protocol is suitable for semi-ideal adversaries. If the parties follow the rules if the protocol, they will be able to know whether their data are equal or not. In case of equality holding true the privacy will be violated because of obvious reasons.

**V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE**

We have extended the two-party comparison protocol to multiparty scenario. Our algorithm uses homomorphic cryptosystem using public key cryptography. The originator party generates public-private key pair and sends its secret data encrypted with public key. The other party cannot learn the data due to unavailability of private key. Similarly, the other party uses a random number to send its encrypted data.