Abundance and richness of Arctiinae moths throughout the night in a Cerrado area
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Abstract: The main goal of this work was to investigate how the abundance and richness of Arctiinae moths varies over time, during the night. Specifically, we analyzed the following questions: (1) Is there a relationship between Arctiinae abundance and richness with the temperature and relative humidity? (2) What are the hours of activity of each species of moth? (3) Does the species composition differ over night? (4) Is it necessary to sample this group of moths throughout the night to have a representative sample of the species? We sampled the moths in Emas National Park (17°49'-18°28'S and 52°39'-53°10'W), Brazil. We selected seven sampling points in an area of savanna. At each sampling point, we collected the Arctiinae moths with a light trap (with a 15-W black light fluorescent light bulb), reflected in a white cloth (2 x 3 m) extended vertically. We sampled the moths in seven consecutive nights (one night in each sampling point, from December 13 to December 19, 2012, from 7 p.m. until 7 a.m.). We divided the samplings in twelve periods over the night, with an hour each. At each period of time, we measured the temperature and the relative humidity with a digital termohygrometer. We sampled 149 individuals belonging to 17 species of Arctiinae moths. Most species (70.5%) were active only for one or two hours at night. The species differed in terms of time activity. The higher abundance occurred at 8 p.m. (44 individuals), followed by 38 individuals at 9 p.m. and 23 at 10 p.m. The species richness was also higher in the early hours of the night. The temperature was the only variable that showed a positive and significative relationship with the Arctiinae moth abundance. The species richness was not influenced neither by the temperature nor by the relative air humidity. The possible causes of the peak of abundance and species richness in specific hours of the night are discussed.
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Abundância e riqueza de mariposas Arctiinae ao longo da noite em uma área de Cerrado

Resumo: Este trabalho teve como objetivo principal investigar como a abundância e a riqueza de mariposas Arctiinae variam temporadamente, ao longo do período noturno. Especificamente, analisamos as seguintes questões: (1) existe relação entre a abundância e a riqueza de Arctiinae e a umidade relativa do ar e a temperatura? (2) qual é o horário de atividade de cada espécie de mariposa? (3) a composição de espécies difere ao longo da noite? (4) é necessário amostrar esse grupo de mariposas ao longo de toda a noite para ter uma amostra representativa das espécies? Realizamos as amostragens no Parque Nacional das Emas (17°49'-18°28'S e 52°39'-53°10'W), Brasil. Selecionamos sete pontos amostrais em uma área de campo cerrado. Em cada ponto, coletamos as mariposas Arctiinae utilizando uma fonte luminosa (lâmpada UV de 15 W) refletida em um pano branco (2 x 3 m) estendido na vertical. Coletamos as mariposas durante sete noites consecutivas (uma noite por ponto, entre os dias 13 e 19 de dezembro de 2012, das 19:00 até às 07:00). Dividimos o período noturno em doze faixas de uma hora. Medimos a temperatura e a umidade relativa do ar com auxílio de um termohigrômetro digital. Amostramos 149 indivíduos pertencentes a 17 espécies de mariposas Arctiinae. A maioria das espécies (70,5%) esteve ativa apenas por uma ou duas horas durante a noite. As espécies diferiram em termos de horário de atividade. O horário das 20 h apresentou uma maior abundância (44 indivíduos), seguido do horário das 21 (38) e das 22 h (23). A riqueza também foi maior nas primeiras horas da noite. Somente a temperatura foi positivamente correlacionada com a abundância de mariposas. A riqueza de mariposas não foi influenciada nem pela temperatura nem pela umidade relativa do ar. As possíveis causas das diferenças em termos de horários de atividade são discutidas.

Palavras-chave: Lepidoptera; atividade noturna; umidade relativa; temperatura; partição temporal.
Introduction

Patterns of abundance and species richness vary both spatially and temporally (Silva et al. 2011). Several factors may influence these patterns, such as climate, the distribution of resources and the intra and interspecific interactions (Wolda 1978, Pinheiro et al. 2002). In the tropics, the abundance of insects in mainly determined by abiotic factors, such as temperature, air humidity, precipitation and photoperiod (Wolda 1988, Axmacher et al. 2009).

Generally, environments with precipitation and temperature uniformly distributed throughout the year, present a low temporal variation in the abundance and richness of species. In a humid forest in Ecuador, for example, the abundance of Arctiinae moths did not differ among the seasons (Hilt et al. 2007). However, in environments with two well-defined seasons (hot and cold or rainy and dry), there is usually a significant temporal variation in abundance and species richness. In Atlantic forest, for example, the abundance and richness of butterflies are related to temperature, being higher in the warmer period of the year (Ribeiro et al. 2010). In Caatinga biome, Sphingidae moths are more abundant in the rainy season, being practically absent in the dry season (Gusmão & Creão-Duarte 2004).

The Cerrado biome presents a bimodal climate in relation to the distribution of rainfall periods and temperatures (Ramos-Neto & Pivello 2000, Silva et al. 2008). During the months of April to September (dry season), monthly rainfall ranges from 49 to 79 mm, and the monthly temperatures ranges from 15 to 19°C (Ministério da Agricultura 1992, Ramos-Neto & Pivello 2000). From October to March (rainy season), the monthly rainfall ranges from 111 to 197 mm and the monthly temperatures ranges from 19.4 to 22.5°C (Ministério da Agricultura 1992, Ramos-Neto & Pivello 2000). Several families of insects show a seasonal pattern in their abundances, being significantly higher in the rainy season (Pinheiro et al. 2002, Oliveira & Frizzas. 2008). However, Lepidoptera larvae show population peaks in the dry season (Morais et al. 1999) and adult lepidopterans do not show difference in abundance throughout the year (Pinheiro et al. 2002, Oliveira & Frizzas 2008).

Most studies on the temporal variation of diversity focus on the fluctuations in richness and abundance throughout the year, with few studies dealing with the daily variation of these two parameters (ex. Scherrer et al. 2013, Camargo et al. 2016). Studies on the daily activity of species are important to answer technical questions (such as to know the best period for sampling a specific species), as well as for scientific questions, such as niche temporal partition and co-occurrence patterns.

The order Lepidoptera (butterflies and moths) has more than 160 thousand described species (Van Nieukerken et al. 2011). This taxon has great relevance in terrestrial ecosystems, acting mainly as herbivores and pollinators (Scoble 1995). Among lepidopterans, the Arctiinae subfamily is one of the most diverse (Heppner 1991, Weller et al. 2009). Arctiinae is composed by approximately 11,000 species of moths distributed worldwide (Scoble 1995, Weller et al. 2009). Approximately six thousand species are found in the Neotropical region (Heppner 1991) and 1,400 species were sampled in Brazil (Ferro & Diniz 2010). In the Cerrado biome, there are records of 723 species (Ferro et al. 2010), and in Emas National Park, around 150 species were already registered (Moreno & Ferro 2016, Moreno et al. 2016). Arctiinae represents one of the most nocturnal lepidopterans used as bioindicators because it responds quickly to environmental changes, is usually abundant, is easily sampled with light traps and its taxonomy is relatively well known (Kitching et al. 2000). Although these moths form a diverse and relatively well studied group, little is known about basic aspects of their natural history in the Neotropical region, such as larvae diet and time of activity of adults.

The main objective of this work was to investigate how the abundance and species richness of Arctiinae moths vary temporally, during the night. Specifically, we analyzed the following questions: (1) is there a relationship between the abundance and the species richness with the relative air humidity and with the temperature? (2) what is the time of activity of each specie of moth? (3) does the species composition differ over the night? (4) is it necessary to sample this group of moths throughout the night to have a representative sample of the species?

Material and Methods

We sampled the moths in Emas National Park (ENP), located in Brazil, State of Goiás, between Mineiros and Chapadão do Céu cities (17°49’-18°28’S and 52°39’-53°10’W). We selected seven sampling points (Table 1, Figure 1) in an area of “campo cerrado”, one of the

| Sampling points | Sampling date | Geographic coordinates | Altitude (m) | Average temperature (°C) | Average relative humidity (%) |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1               | 13/12/2012    | S 17° 55’26.4” W 53° 00’20.3” | 871          | 19.9                     | 86                            |
| 2               | 14/12/2012    | S 17° 55’35.8” W 53° 00’19.3” | 870          | 20.7                     | 89                            |
| 3               | 15/12/2012    | S 17° 55’44.1” W 53° 00’17.5” | 871          | 20.0                     | 88                            |
| 4               | 16/12/2012    | S 17° 55’54.1” W 53° 00’15.7” | 863          | 18.4                     | 88                            |
| 5               | 17/12/2012    | S 17° 56’02.0” W 53° 00’15.1” | 875          | 20.7                     | 86                            |
| 6               | 18/12/2012    | S 17° 56’15.0” W 53° 00’14.3” | 865          | 20.5                     | 84                            |
| 7               | 19/12/2012    | S 17° 56’24.9” W 53° 00’13.8” | 865          | 19.1                     | 89                            |
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Figure 1. Map showing the points in which we sampled the Arctiinae moths. Each point was sampled at one different night. A - Location of sampling points (circle) in Brazil. B - Location of the sampling points (circle) in the state of Goiás (gray). C - Location of the sampling points (circle) in the Emas National Park (gray). D - Location of the 7 sampling points (circles) in an area of "campo cerrado" of the Emas National Park (gray).

Arctiinae moths in the seven nights of sampling. The most abundant species was Phoenicoprota baeri (Stoll, 1781), Utetheisa ornatrix (Linnaeus, 1758), Aggylla argentinfera (Walker, 1866), Pheta seraphina (Herrich-Schaffer, 1854) and Pheta albisigna (Walker, 1854) were present in the early evening only. Amexia dyuna Schaus, 1896, Idalus citrina Druce, 1890, Meleso dorothoe (Stoll, 1782), Acyitia heber (Cramer, 1780) and Cosmosoma restrictum Butler, 1876 occurred at the end of the night only. Phoenicoprota baeri Rothschild, 1911 and Lophocampa annulosa (Walker, 1855) were active throughout the night (Table 2).

The Arctiinae abundance was higher at the beginning of the night (Figure 2, Table 2). The circular analysis confirmed that the abundance is concentrated over time ($r = 0.70, Z = 73.11, p < 0.001$). The hour with the highest abundance was 8 p.m. (44 individuals), followed by 9 p.m. (38) and 10 p.m. (23 individuals).

The species richness was also higher at the first hours of the night (Figure 2, Table 2), which was also concentrated over time ($r = 0.62, Z = 19.35, p < 0.001$). The hour with the highest richness was 10 p.m. (10 species), followed by 8 p.m. (eight species) and 9 p.m. (five species).

The relative humidity of the air ranged from 78 to 90% during the seven nights of samplings (Table 3). However, the average humidity per hour practically did not vary (87-88%) (Table 3, last column). The temperature, on the other hand, varied from 15 to 23.9°C throughout the seven nights of samplings (Table 4). The mean temperature per hour ranged from 18.2 to 20.7°C, showing a decreasing trend as the night progressed (Table 4, last column).

There was a positive and significative relationship between the moth abundance and the temperature ($R^2 = 0.09, F = 4.009, p = 0.01$). However, there was no significant relationship between moth abundance and relative humidity ($R^2 = 0.002, F = 1.67, p = 0.62$). The Arctiinae species richness was neither influenced by temperature ($R^2 = 0.04, F = 3.05, p = 0.08$) nor by the relative humidity ($R^2 = 0.001, F = 0.12, p = 0.72$).

Discussion

We sampled only 11.4% of the Arctiinae species already registered in Emas National Park (Moreno & Ferro 2016). However, our sampling effort was much lower than the study of Moreno & Ferro (2016). In this study, we sampled two species of Arctiinae that were new records to Emas National Park: Aggylla argentinfera, and Phoeicoprota sp. 2 (Moreno et al. 2014, Moreno & Ferro 2016, Moreno et al. 2016). The majority of the Arctiinae moths sampled belong to the tribe Arctini, a common pattern for this group in Cerrado biome (Moreno et al. 2014, Moreno & Ferro 2016, Moreno et al. 2016).

Arctiinae abundance and species richness were higher at the beginning of the night. These results are in agreement with the study of Scherrer et al. (2013), who also observed higher abundances and richness of Arctiinae in the first hours of the night. However, the richness of Sphingidae moths were practically constant throughout the night and their abundance was higher in the beginning (7-9 p.m.), in the middle (0-2 a.m.) and at the end (4-5 a.m.) of the nocturnal period (Camargo et al. 2016). Thus, it is important to search for the hours of activity of the interest taxa to improve the chances of sampling a higher quantity of individuals and species. If our effort was to sample only in the first hours of the night (Scalercio et al. 2008), we would not have collected
Table 2. Number of individuals of Arctiinae sampled throughout the night (from 7 p.m. to 7 a.m.) in Emas National Park, GO, Brazil.

| Arctiini          | 7 p.m. | 8 p.m. | 9 p.m. | 10 p.m. | 11 p.m. | 12 a.m. | 1 a.m. | 2 a.m. | 3 a.m. | 4 a.m. | 5 a.m. | 6 a.m. | Total |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Callimorphina     |        |        |        |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Utetheisa ornatrix (Linnaeus, 1758) | 1      | 2      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Aclytia heber (Cramer, 1780)          |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Pseudosphex nivaca (Jones, 1914)      |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2      |
| Ctenuchina         |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Cosmosoma restrictum Butler, 1876     |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Dycladia lucetias (Stoll, 1781)       | 2      | 1      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Pheia albisigna (Walker, 1854)        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2      |
| Pheia seraphina (Herrich-Schaffer, 1854) | 2      | 1      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Phoenicoprote baeri Rothschild, 1911  | 1      | 26     | 28      | 6       | 2       | 2       | 1      | 2      | 1      | 1      | 70     |        | |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 1                   | 1      | 7      | 5       | 5       |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 19     |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 2                   | 2      | 2      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 4      |
| Euchromiina        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Cosmosoma restrictum Butler, 1876     |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Dycladia lucetias (Stoll, 1781)       |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Pheia albisigna (Walker, 1854)        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2      |
| Pheia seraphina (Herrich-Schaffer, 1854) | 2      | 1      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Phoenicoprote baeri Rothschild, 1911  | 1      | 26     | 28      | 6       | 2       | 2       | 1      | 2      | 1      | 1      | 70     |        | |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 1                   | 1      | 7      | 5       | 5       |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 19     |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 2                   | 2      | 2      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 4      |
| Pheia albisigna (Walker, 1854)        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Pheia seraphina (Herrich-Schaffer, 1854) | 2      | 1      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 3      |
| Phoenicoprote baeri Rothschild, 1911  | 1      | 26     | 28      | 6       | 2       | 2       | 1      | 2      | 1      | 1      | 70     |        | |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 1                   | 1      | 7      | 5       | 5       |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 19     |
| Phoenicoprote sp. 2                   | 2      | 2      |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 4      |
| Phoeboprotina        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Amxia dyuna Schaus, 1896             |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2      |
| Idalus curtina (Schaus, 1905)         | 1      | 1      | 2      |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 5      |
| Idalus citrina Druce, 1890           |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Lophocampa annulosa (Walker, 1855)    | 3      | 2      | 1      | 2       | 1       | 1       | 6      | 3      | 3      | 22     |        | |
| Meloe dorothea (Stoll, 1782)          |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Lithosiini         |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Lithosina          |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | |
| Agylia argyritis (Walker, 1866)       |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Agylia sp. 1        |        |        |         |         |         |         |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1      |
| Total abundance    | 2      | 44     | 38      | 23      | 2       | 5       | 4      | 3      | 10     | 7      | 8      | 149    |        |
| Total richness     | 2      | 8      | 5       | 10      | 2       | 1       | 4      | 3      | 2      | 5      | 4      | 4      | 17     |

Figure 2. Abundance (bars) and richness (line) of Arctiinae moths throughout the night. The samples were performed in an area of savanna in Emas National Park (GO, Brazil), from December 13 to December 19, 2012.
Arctiinae moths throughout the night

of the main biotic factors responsible for the time of activity observed in adult tiger moths, even though many Arctiinae species developed ways to avoid the predation by bats (Barber & Conner 2007, Corcoran et al. 2009). Some species of insectivorous bats, which are important predators of moths (Barber & Conner 2007, Corcoran et al. 2009), have their peak of activity at the end of the night (Emrich et al. 2014). We observed an opposite pattern for Arctiinae, which were more abundant in the early evening. Bats produce ultrasound when they are hunting for their prey. In response, several Arctiinae species also emit ultrasound to confuse or to alert their natural enemies about their toxicity (Conner 1999, Hristov & Conner 2005, Barber & Conner 2007, Corcoran et al. 2009). However, we do not know if the majority of the Neotropical Arctiinae species emitted effective sounds to be protected against the hunting of bats. Thus, the period of time in which Arctiinae forage might reflect their avoidance to be found by their natural enemy. As the 12 genera sampled in our study vary in terms of size, color pattern, and phylogenetic proximity, we expect that there will also be variation in terms of chemical and acoustic defense mechanisms against predators and that some species will use the temporal predatory escape strategy in daily periods. In addition to predation, the temperature, as discussed above, significantly influences various aspects of the moths’ life history, such as defense (as it can accelerate or delay the development time), locomotion pattern (through thermoregulation) and population dynamics (Checa et al. 2009, Ribeiro et al. 2010).

The niche temporal partition can facilitate the coexistence of species by reducing overlapping resources, decreasing competition. As Devries et al. (2008) suggested, we agree that it is unlikely that the food resource (nectar) for most adults is a limiting factor. Thus, competition is not the main reason these species forage in distinct periods of the night. However, the use of flowers as a nectar resource for Arctiinae species is poorly known. We suggest that more studies on basic biology should be performed for this group of species, mainly in tropical environments.
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Table 3. Variation of the relative humidity throughout the night at the sampling points, located in a savanna area of the Emas National Park, GO, Brazil. The sample period was from December 13 to December 19, 2012.

| Hour | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Point 4 | Point 5 | Point 6 | Point 7 | Average temperature |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| 7    | 22.1    | 21.3    | 22.6    | 21.8    | 23.5    | 23.9    | 22.9    | 22.6               |
| 8    | 21.3    | 21.3    | 23.3    | 18.2    | 19.9    | 23.5    | 21.3    | 21.3               |
| 9    | 20.9    | 20.9    | 22.9    | 18.1    | 19.7    | 23.1    | 19.5    | 20.7               |
| 10   | 19.7    | 20.9    | 23      | 19.2    | 20.6    | 21.4    | 20.3    | 20.7               |
| 11   | 19.6    | 20.5    | 22.1    | 18      | 20.8    | 22.2    | 20      | 20.5               |
| 0    | 19.3    | 20.5    | 22      | 18      | 22.6    | 19.5    | 19.3    | 20.2               |
| 1    | 19.1    | 20.3    | 17.3    | 18      | 22.1    | 19.0    | 19.5    | 19.4               |
| 2    | 19.8    | 20.5    | 15.2    | 19      | 23.1    | 18      | 19      | 19.2               |
| 3    | 19.7    | 20      | 16.8    | 17      | 20.7    | 18.2    | 18      | 18.6               |
| 4    | 19      | 21.5    | 18.5    | 16      | 20      | 18.5    | 16.1    | 18.5               |
| 5    | 18      | 20.2    | 18.2    | 17      | 20      | 18.9    | 15.1    | 18.2               |
| 6    | 20.2    | 20.2    | 18.6    | 21      | 15      | 18.5    | 18      | 18.6               |

Table 4. Variation of temperature throughout the night at the sampling points, located in a savanna area of the Emas National Park, GO, Brazil. The sample period was from December 13 to December 19, 2012.

| Hour | Point 1 | Point 2 | Point 3 | Point 4 | Point 5 | Point 6 | Point 7 | Average temperature |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| 7    | 22.1    | 21.3    | 22.6    | 21.8    | 23.5    | 23.9    | 22.9    | 22.6               |
| 8    | 21.3    | 21.3    | 23.3    | 18.2    | 19.9    | 23.5    | 21.3    | 21.3               |
| 9    | 20.9    | 20.9    | 22.9    | 18.1    | 19.7    | 23.1    | 19.5    | 20.7               |
| 10   | 19.7    | 20.9    | 23      | 19.2    | 20.6    | 21.4    | 20.3    | 20.7               |
| 11   | 19.6    | 20.5    | 22.1    | 18      | 20.8    | 22.2    | 20      | 20.5               |
| 0    | 19.3    | 20.5    | 22      | 18      | 22.6    | 19.5    | 19.3    | 20.2               |
| 1    | 19.1    | 20.3    | 17.3    | 18      | 22.1    | 19.0    | 19.5    | 19.4               |
| 2    | 19.8    | 20.5    | 15.2    | 19      | 23.1    | 18      | 19      | 19.2               |
| 3    | 19.7    | 20      | 16.8    | 17      | 20.7    | 18.2    | 18      | 18.6               |
| 4    | 19      | 21.5    | 18.5    | 16      | 20      | 18.5    | 16.1    | 18.5               |
| 5    | 18      | 20.2    | 18.2    | 17      | 20      | 18.9    | 15.1    | 18.2               |
| 6    | 20.2    | 20.2    | 18.6    | 21      | 15      | 18.5    | 18      | 18.6               |
Author Contributions

Carolina Moreno: contributed with data acquisition; data analyses; manuscript preparation.
Ângela S. Barbosa: contributed with data acquisition; data analyses; manuscript preparation.
Viviane G. Ferro contributed with data acquisition; data analyses; manuscript preparation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

References

AXMACHER, J.C., BREHM, G., HEMP, A., TUNTE, H., LYARUU, H.V.M., MULLER-HOHENSTEIN, K. & FIEDLER, K. 2009. Determinants of diversity in afrotropical herbivorous insects (Lepidoptera: Geometridae): plant diversity, vegetation structure or abiotic factors? J. Biogeogr. 36: 337-349.

BARBER, J.R. & CONNER, W.E. 2007. Acoustic mimicry in a predator-prey interaction. PNAS 104: 9331-9334.

CAMARGO, A.J.A., CAMARGO, N.F., CORRÊA, D.C.V., CAMARGO, W.R.F., VIEIRA, E.M., MARINI-FILHO, O. & AMORIM, F.W. 2016. Diversity patterns and chronobiology of hawkmoths (Lepidoptera, Sphingidae) in the Brazilian Amazon rainforest. J Insect Conserv 20: 629-641.

CHECA, M.F., BARRAGAN, A., RODRIGUEZ, J. & CHRISTMAN, M. 2009 Temporal abundance patterns of butterfly communities (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) in the Ecuadorian Amazonia and their relationship with climate. Ann. Soc. Entomol Fr. 45(4): 470-486.

CONNER, W.E. 1999. ‘Un chant d’appel amoureux’: acoustic communication in moths. J. Exp. Biol. 202: 1711-1723.

CORCORAN, A.J. BARBER, J.R. & CONNER, W.E. 2009. Tiger moth jams bat sonar. Science 325: 325-327.

DEVRIES, P.J.; AUSTIN, G.T. & MARTIN, N.H. 2008. Diel activity and reproductive isolation in a diverse assemblage of Neotropical skippers (Lepidoptera: Hesperiidae). Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 94: 723–736.

EMRICH, M.A., CLARE, E.L., SYMONDS, W.O.C., KENOIG, S.E. & FENTON, M.B. 2014. Resource partitioning by insectivorous bats in Jamaica. Mol. Ecol. 23: 3648–3656.

FERRO, V.G. & DINIZ, I.R. 2010. Riqueza e composição das mariposas Arctiidae (Lepidoptera) no Cerrado. In: Corcovado: conhecimento quantitativo como subsídio para as ações de conservação (I.R. Diniz, J. Marinho-Filho, R.B. Machado & R. Cavalcanti, eds.). Editora Thesaurus, Brasília, p.255–313.

FERRO, V.G.; MELO, A.S. & DINIZ, I.R. 2010. Richness of tiger moths (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) in the Brazilian Cerrado: how much do we know? Zoologia 27: 725-731.

GUSMÃO, M.A.B., & CRÉAO-DUARTE, A.J. 2004. Diversidade e análise faunística de Sphingidae (Lepidoptera) em área de brejo e caatinga no estado da Paraíba, Brasil. Rev. Bras. Zool. 21: 491-498.

HEPPNER, J.B. 1991. Faunal regions and the diversity of Lepidoptera. Trop. Lep. 2: 1-85.

HILT, N., BREHM, G., & FIEDLER, K. 2007. Temporal dynamics of rich moth ensembles in the montane forest zone in Southern Ecuador. Biotropica 39: 94-104.

HOLYOAK, M., JAROSIK, V. & NOVAK, I. 1997. Weather-induced changes in moth activity bias measurement of long-term population dynamics from light trap samples. Entomol. Exp. Appl., 83:329-335.

HRISTOV, N. & CONNER, W.E. 2005. Sound strategy: acoustic aposematism in the bat-tiger moth arms race. Naturwissenschaften 92(4): 164-169.

KITCHING, R. L.; ORR, A. G.; THALIB, L.; MITCHELL, H.; HOPKINS, M.S. & GRAHAM, A.W. 2000. Moth assemblages as indicators of environmental quality in remnants of upland Australian rain forest. J. Appl. Ecol. 37: 284-297.

KOVACH COMPUTING SERVICES 2011 Oriana version 3. Available online at: http://www.kovcomp.com/index.html

MINISTÉRIO DA AGRICULTURA E REFORMA AGRÁRIA 1992. Normas Climatológicas. Secretaria Nacional de Irrigação. Departamento Nacional de Meteorologia. Embrapa, Planaltina, p. 84.

MORAIS, H.C.; DINIZ, I.R. & SILVA, D.M.S. 1999. Caterpillar seasonality in a central Brazilian cerrado. Rev. Biol. Trop. 47: 1025-1033.

MORENO, C.; CIANCIARUSO, M.V., SGRABI, L.F. & FERRO, V.G. 2014. Richness and composition of tiger moths (Erebidae: Arctiinae) in Neotropical savanna: are heterogeneous habitats richer in species? Natureza & Conservação 12: 138-143.

MORENO, C. & FERRO, V.G. 2016. Arctiinae moths (Lepidoptera, Erebidae) of the Emas National Park, Goiás, Brazil. Biota Neotrop. 16(2): 1-9.

MORENO, C., LANDEIRO, V.L. & FERRO, V.G. 2016. Plant species richness as the main driver of moth Metacommunities. Ecol. Entomol. 41: 707–715.

OLIVEIRA, C.M. & FRIZZAS, M.R. 2008. Insetos de Cerrado: distribuição estacional e abundância. Boletim de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento, edição 216. Planaltina, Embrapa Cerrados.

PIÑAS-RUBIO, F.S.I.; RAAB-GREEN, S., ONORE, G., MANZANO, I. 2000. Mariposas Del Ecuador: Family Arctiidae. Quito: Pontificia Universidad Católica Del Ecuador.

PIÑAS-RUBIO, F. & MANZANO, P.I. Mariposas del Ecuador. Arctiidae. Subfamilia: Ctenuchinae. Conpañia de Jesús. 2003.

PINHEIRO, F.; DINIZ, I.R.; COELHO, D. & BANDEIRA, M.P.S. 2002. Padrão sazonal da abundância de insetos no cerrado brasileiro. Austral Ecol. 27: 132-136.

RAMOS-NETO, M.B. & PIEVIELLO, V.R. 2000 Lightning fires in a Brazilian Savanna National Park: rethinking management strategies. Environ. Management 26: 675-684.

RIBEIRO, D.B., PRADO, P.I., BROWN K.S. & FREITAS, A.V.L. 2010. Temporal diversity patterns and feeding in butterfly butterflies in the Atlantic Forest. Biotropica 42(6): 710-716.

SCALERCIO, S., INFUSINO, M. & WOIWOD, I.P. 2008. Optimising the sampling window for moth indicator communities. J. Insect Conserv. 13: 583-591.

SCHERRER, S., FERRO, V.G., RAMOS, M.N., & DINIZ, I.R. 2013. Species composition and temporal activity of Arctiinae (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) in two cerrado vegetation types. Zoologia 30: 200-210.

SCOBLE, M.J. 1995. The Lepidoptera: from, function and diversity. New York, Oxford University Press.

SILVA, F.A.M., ASSAD, E.A. & EVANGELISTA, B.A. 2008. Caracterização climática do bioma Cerrado. In Cerrado: ecologia e flora (S.M. Sano; S.P. Almeida; J.F. Ribeiro, eds.) vol. 2. Embrapa Informação Tecnológica, p. 69–88.

SILVA, N. A. P.; FRIZZAS, M.R. & OLIVEIRA, C.M. 2011. Seasonality in insect abundance in the “Cerrado” of Goiás State, Brasil. Rev. Bras. Entomol. 55: 79-87.
Arctiinae moths throughout the night

Van Nieukerken, E.J., Kaila, L., Kitching, I.J., Kristensen, N.P., Lees, D.C., Minet, J., Mitter, C., Mutanen, M., Regier, J.C., Simonen, T.J., Wahlberg, N., Yen, S-H., Zahirz, R., Adamski, D., Baixeras, J., Bartsch, D., Bengtsson, B.A., Brown, J.W., Bucheli, S.R., Davis, D.R., De Prins, J., De Prins, W., Epstein, M.C., Gentili-Poole, P., Gielis, C., Hättenschwiler, P., Hausmann, A., Holloway, J.D., Kallies, A., Karsholt, O., Kawahara, A.Y., Koster, S., Kozlov, M.V., Lafontaine, J.D., Lamas, G., Landry, J-F., Lee, S., Nuss, M., Park, K-T., Penz, C., Rota, J., Schintlmeister, A., Schmidt, B.C., Sohn, J-C., Solís, M.A., Tarmann, G.M., Warren, A.D., Weller, S., Yakovlev, R.V., Zolotuhin, V.V., & Zwick, A. 2011: Order Lepidoptera Linnaeus, 1758. p. 212–221. In Animal biodiversity: an outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness (Z.Q. Zhang, ed.). Zootaxa 3148: 1–237.

Weller, S., Dacosta, M., Simmons, R., Dittmar, K., & Whitling, M. 2009. Evolution and taxonomic confusion in Arctiidae. In Tiger Moths and Wolly Bears, Behavior, Ecology and Evolution of the Arctiidae (W.E. Conner ed.). Oxford University Press, New York, USA, p. 11-30.

Wolda, H. 1978. Seasonal fluctuations in rainfall, food and abundance of tropical insects. J. Anim. Ecol. 47: 369–381.

Wolda, H. 1988. Insect seasonality: why? Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 19: 1-18.

Yela, J.L. & Holyoak, M. 1997. Effects of moonlight and meteorological factors on light bait trap catches of Noctuid moths (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Environ. Entomol. 26: 1283-1290.

Zar, J. H. 1996. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice-Hall Edition, New Jersey.

Watson, A. & Goodger, D.T. 1986. Catalogue of the Neotropical tiger-moths. Occas. Pap. Syst. Entomol. 1: 1-70.