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Abstract
Dynamic mean field theory is applied to the problem of forest fires. The starting point is the Monte Carlo simulation in a lattice of million cells. The statistics of the clusters is obtained by means of the Hoshen–Kopelman algorithm. We get the map \( p_n \rightarrow p_{n+1} \), where \( p_n \) is the probability of finding a tree in a cell, and \( n \) is the discrete time. We demonstrate that the time evolution of \( p \) is chaotic. The arguments are provided by the calculation of the bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent. The bifurcation diagram reveals several windows of stability, including periodic orbits of length three, five and seven. For smaller lattices, the results of the iteration are in qualitative agreement with the statistics of the forest fires in Canada in years 1970–2000.
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1 Introduction
It would be of obvious interest if one could predict a forest fire. An attractive aim would be also to evaluate the risk of the forest fires in a given year, having the statistics of the fires in the past. Here we argue that the time dependence of the forest area burned in a given year is inherently chaotic. This means that such predictions are not possible in longer time scale. The method used is the mean field equation based on an appropriate cellular automaton.

Cellular automata (CA) is a modern tool for qualitative simulations of numerous problems in statistical mechanics and theory of dynamical systems [1, 2]. In general, the rules of CA are probabilistic — they may depend on a random variable. When this dependence is absent, the automaton is termed deterministic. These automata are most exciting but least predictable. The behavior of a probabilistic cellular automaton can be predicted successfully by means of a nonlinear mean field equation. The solution is the time-dependent probability distribution of cell states. The approach is termed “mean field”, because the correlations between the states of neighboring cells are lost within one time step. However, they are lost also in the original probabilistic automaton after several time steps. Similar technique can be applied also to investigate deterministic CA [3], but in this case the results of the mean field approximation are less reliable: the rules of an original deterministic automaton can preserve the correlations forever.

Our aim here is to apply this mean field technique to the problem of the forest fires. The list of references of the problem is vast and reveals its numerous aspects, from purely computational to inherently biological ones [4]. In particular, some controversy has been mentioned on the applicability of the percolation theory [5], and on the size dependence of results of possible experiments. The advantage of the mean field approximation is that the finite-size fluctuations are eliminated. Then, our results can be seen as a limit case both for simulations and experiments. Below we demonstrate that the critical concentration for the percolation problem is fairly reproduced by the forest fire simulation, if the lattice is large enough.
The approach can be formulated as follows: The model forest is a two-dimensional regular lattice. Each cell at the lattice may be occupied by a tree with probability $p$. During a year (one time step), trees appear at empty cells with a probability $rp(1 - p)$, where $p$ is the previous concentration of trees per cell, and $0 \leq r \leq 1$ is a model control parameter. This nonlinear character of the probability reflects the obvious tendency that a new tree appears less likely if there is almost no free space around the cell. This kind of dependence is known as the Verhulst term [6]. All trees, those existing previously and those just grown, form clusters of different sizes. A cluster is a set of trees which are connected by nearest-neighbor bonds in the von Neumann neighborhood. Now, a probability that a cluster is ignited is proportional to its size. Then, a fraction of the forest burned each summer is just the mean square of the cluster size. We will show that below the percolation threshold $p_c$, this fraction is negligible. Above this concentration, most of the forest disappears — only small clusters survive.

Summarizing our method, the time evolution equation for the concentration of trees in the forest is a superposition of two maps, which describe a sequence of growing (“spring”) and fire (“summer”)

$$p_{n+1} = W(p_n + rp_n(1 - p_n)),$$

where the map $W(p)$ is

$$W(p) = p - A(p)$$

and $n$ enumerates years, i.e. the time steps. The function $A(p)$ is the burned fraction of the total area of the forest, i.e. the mean square of the cluster size. This fraction is evaluated by means of the Hoshen–Kopelman algorithm [7].

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate, that the map $p_n \rightarrow p_{n+1}$ leads to chaotic behavior. We note that such a conclusion is not entirely new. In 1990, Chen, Bak and Jensen [8] proposed a deterministic coupled map lattice [9] for a model description of forest fires. In this model, fire was introduced as a continuous process. The Lyapunov exponent calculated there described the time evolution of the Hamming distance between two nearby trajectories. Initial distance was set by a random perturbation, added to each site at time $t_0$. As the distance was found to increase with the power law, the value of the Lyapunov exponent was zero. Later on, this model was generalized by Socolar, Grinstein and Jayaprakash [10] by adding a parameter, which allowed to get a positive Lyapunov exponent.

Both the above mentioned approach and this work have in common that they are computational rather than oriented to experiment. However, within this computational stream there are serious differences. The algorithm of Chen et al. [8] is deterministic. The forest fire is continuous; a tree burns spontaneously as soon as it is large enough. Our map can be treated as an extrapolation of the output of a probabilistic automaton to the area of infinite size. Then, a sequential iteration is applied, and the season of growing and the season of fires are treated as two successive parts of one step of the iteration. From Ref. [4] we know that there are many uncontrolled quantities relevant to the problem: moisture, humidity, landscape, etc. As it is impossible to take them into account within a simple scheme, our probabilistic approach seems to be closer to reality. Last but not least, our approach gives a sequence of yearly burned areas which, although expressed in arbitrary units, can be directly compared to available statistical data.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe the way how the Hoshen–Kopelman is used here. There, two different kinds of statistics are assigned to casual (lightnings) and intentional (“human factor”) forest fires. In Section 3 the results on the Lyapunov exponent and the bifurcation diagram are given. A remark is also added on the fire size distribution. The comparison of our results to the statistical data on forest fires in Canada in years 1970–2000 [12] is included in Section 4. The last section is devoted to discussion.

## 2 Numerical approach

With the Hoshen–Kopelman algorithm [7] we are able to label all occupied sites on a $L \times L$ large square lattice in such a way that the sites with the same label belong to the same cluster.
Figure 1: Are black sites at the line \( x \) recognized as a part of the cluster? Algorithm goes from left to right, from top to bottom.

and different labels are associated to different clusters. In this way we get the cluster size distribution, which allows us to obtain the function \( A(p) \) and, in the next step, to formulate the mean field map given in Eq. (1).

The beauty of the Hoshen–Kopelman algorithm for the cluster characterization is that it goes through the lattice \textit{only} once, and stores \textit{only} the current line of the length \( L \). Thus it is particularly useful for the bus-bar percolation problem investigation and it allows to check whether a given occupied site at distance \( x \) from an arbitrary chosen (usually the first and full occupied) line is still connected to this line through the occupied sites in lines \( 2, 3, \ldots, x - 2, x - 1 \) \[13, 14\].

However, to construct the map \((1b)\) it is necessary to evaluate the mean cluster size (or its average mass) \( L^2 A(p) \). This requires an information on all bonds to sites at the distance \( x \) from the first line also through the occupied sites at the lines \( x + 1, x + 2, \ldots, L - 1, L \), as it is presented on Fig. 1. This in turn requires storing of the whole lattice for all the time of simulation and passing through the lattice twice — what wastes computer memory and machine time, but ensures proper labeling of the clusters.

The simulation is carried out on \( 10^3 \times 10^3 \) square lattice, with a fraction of \( p + rp(1 - p) \) occupied sites for different values of the initial trees concentration \( 0 \leq p_0 \leq 1 \). An average concentration of trees in a “green” (but soon “red” and consequently “black”) cluster

\[
A(p) = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_i w_i s_i
\]

may be evaluated with two kinds of weights

\[
w'_i = s_i/L^2 \quad \text{and} \quad w''_i = s_i/\sum_i s_i,
\]

which describe the probability of finding a cluster of size \( s_i \) on the lattice and the probability of choosing an \( i \)-th cluster among all other clusters, respectively. These statistics can be interpreted as reflecting the probability of a casual fire started from a lightning, which can strike at an empty cell (\( w' \)), or of a fire started intentionally at a randomly selected tree (\( w'' \)). The obtained map \((1)\) for each \( p \) is the average over a hundred of independent lattice realizations.

Below we concentrate on the case of the fires of natural origin. The results for the other case will be only listed. The details of the latter calculation will be discussed more thoroughly elsewhere.

\section{3 Results}
3.1 The map

The map $p_n \rightarrow p_{n+1}$ is given in Fig. 2. Two plots refer to two kinds of statistics, described in Section 2. We see that the plots are the same below the maximum of the curve, which is near the percolation limit for the square lattice $p_c = 0.59273 \ [2, 15]$. Actually, below this limit both plots are identical to the curve $p + rp(1 - p)$ from Eq. (1a). This means, that for $p < p_c$ the fires are negligible. Above the percolation limit, the curves remain similar for $p$ close to $p_c$, but for higher $p$ the curve for the weight $w'$ is remarkably higher, than the curve for the weight $w''$. It is clear that intentional fires ($w''$) are more devastating, because in this case it is sure that a tree is ignited.

3.2 The bifurcation diagram

The rest of the results refer to the case of casual fires, i.e. the weight $w'$. In Fig. 3 we show the bifurcation diagram. This diagram is obtained with the map $p_n \rightarrow p_{n+1}$, supplemented by straight lines joining neighboring $10^3$ numerical points. We can see the windows of stable cycles of lengths four, eight, five, ten, six, twelve, seven and eight again (Fig. 3(a)), five, three, five and two in Fig. 3(b), when the parameter $r$ decreases. The presence of the cycle three proves that, by means of the Sharkovskii theorem, all possible lengths of the limit cycles are present [16].

A question arises, if our bifurcation diagram is not an artificial consequence of the finite grid of the map and/or the finiteness of the lattice. To check this point, we calculated also the bifurcation diagram for a piecewisely analytical map $G(H(p))$, where

$$H(p) = p + rp(1 - p),$$

$$G(p) = \begin{cases} 0.1 \exp[100(0.6 - p)] + 0.4\sqrt{1 - p} & \iff p > 0.6, \\
p & \iff p \leq 0.6. \end{cases}$$

(2)
Figure 3: Bifurcation diagram for weights (a)–(b) $w'(\text{natural})$. The last hundred values of $p$ for each $r$ is presented. Also diagram for (c) map given by Eq. (2) is included.
This map is also shown in Fig. 2. It is designed not as to fit the plot obtained within the Hoshen–Kopelman algorithm, but rather to investigate the consequences of the particular shape of this plot. The obtained bifurcation diagram (Fig. 3(c)) shows the windows of stability, with the width which increases with the parameter $r$. The lengths of the cycles decreases with $r$ in the same way as presented in Fig. 3(a). We deduce that this part of the bifurcation diagram is generic.

To each cycle, an admissible word can be assigned according to the rules of symbolic dynamics. We have only to check the values of $p$ in the sequence of a given limit cycle. The rule is as follows: The value of $p$ preceding the largest $p$ (close to $p_c$) in the cycle is labeled $C$. Let us call this value $p^*$. The next value (close to $p_c$) is labeled $R$. Any other $p$ is labeled $R$ if it is larger than $p^*$, and it is labeled $L$ if its value is smaller than $p^*$ [11]. We use this rule to assign symbolic words to each cycle in Fig. 3(a), and we compared their sequence to the standard one for the unimodal maps [17]. The sequences of the cycles presented in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) are respectively $RL_2$, $RL_3R$, $RL_3$, $RL_4RL_3$, $RL_4$, $RL_5RL_4$, $RL_5$, $RL_6$ and $RL_2R$, $RL$, $RLR^2$, $R$ with decreasing parameter $r$. Note that $C$ is omitted for convenience at the beginning of each word. We have found that these sequences indicate opposite directions of the increase of the parameter $r$. According to the results discussed in the preceding paragraph, the left part of the bifurcation diagram (Fig. 3(b)) is supposed to vanish in the thermodynamic limit. Still, the conclusion on all possible lengths of the limit cycles holds, as it follows from the presence of cycle five (all lengths but three) and cycle seven (all but three and five) [16]. For the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 3(c) the above prescription gives words $RL^n$. However, in this case the maximum of the map does not appear in the limit cycle, except at the bifurcation points where the cycle length changes. In this sense the letter $C$ should not be used.

We have checked that the bifurcation diagram for weights $w''$ seems to be a homogeneous spot. Still, we have found the cycle of length three by the symbolic dynamics method [11]. The details will be given elsewhere.
3.3 The Lyapunov exponent

In Fig. 4 we show the largest Lyapunov exponent as dependent on the parameter $r$. Only positive values are shown, and the spaces between data agree with the windows of stability in the bifurcation diagram. We have found that the negative values of the Lyapunov exponent are much more difficult to be calculated when we have a limit cycle and not a fixed point. In this case, the time dependence of the difference between trajectories is not monotonic. A trajectory can be trapped by a limit cycle with a shifted phase, which leads to a fixing of the difference between trajectories even in the case of a stable limit cycle. Moreover, sometimes this trapping can be only temporal. As the result, in the presence of a stable limit cycle the difference between trajectories can depend on the initial point. These complexities are revealed in Fig. 5.

The Lyapunov exponent for weights $w''$ seems to be positive in almost the whole range of the parameter $r$. This confirms that the windows of stability are much more narrow.

3.4 Fire size distribution

We have calculated the histogram of the size of fires, given by the function $A(n) = A_n$. Typical results for the map calculated by Eq. (1) for the weights $w'$ are given in Fig. 6(b). As we see, the “fires of size zero” are most frequent. However, larger fires can be more likely than smaller ones. This kind of curves do not show any self-similarity.

A serious difference between the results for $w'$ and $w''$ is found for the histogram of the forest fires. An example for $r = 0.3$ is presented in Fig. 7. For large fires, the curve seems to follow the exponential dependence. To capture this tendency, we have fitted the map for $w''$ by a curve similar to Eq. (2), where the function $G(p)$ is chosen as

$$G(p) = p_c \exp(-12.1735\sqrt{p-p_c})$$

if $p > p_c = 0.59237$. The obtained histogram is added to Fig. 7. Note that the latter curve
Figure 5: Times dependence of the difference between two trajectories which start from $p_0$ and $p_0 + \epsilon$. Here $\epsilon = 10^{-15}$ and (a) $r = 0.5$, (b) $r = 1.0$. 
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Figure 6: Histogram of burned area (a) in Canada, 1970–2000 rescaled to the largest fire in a given territory, and (b) obtained by means of computer simulation.
is monotonic except the point at $A = 0$, i.e. the events without a fire. As we see, small finite fires are neglected by the above analytic approximation.

4 Comparison with experimental data

Our map $p_n \to p_{n+1}$ is obtained for the lattice of million sites, as an average over hundred realizations. The accessible statistical data [12] relate to areas larger than million trees each, but to thirty “iterations” for one initial state of the forest. On the other hand, several uncontrolled factors (moisture, etc.) cannot be taken into account within our simplified approach. We expect that these agents lead to some noise, which is absent in our deterministic map. This noise can be reproduced here by decreasing the model lattice. That is why we are going to compare the experimental data [12] with the results of our simulation performed for a small lattice.

We have checked that the time dependence of the size of the fires of both experiment and calculations show the same kind of oscillations. Examples are given in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8(a) the data are presented on the forest fire in Yukon, Canada, in years 1971–1998 [12]. In Fig. 8(b) the same data are shown for the simulation performed on the lattice of $50 \times 50$ cells. As we see, the qualitative features of the data are reflected by the simulation.

We also checked that the data on other provinces in Canada in years 1970–2000 [12] do not differ in any essential way. In Fig. 6(a) we show a collective histogram for experimental data. For each province or territory, the fires are rescaled to the maximal fire in this region within the years 1970–2000. The statistics does not allow to speculate on the size distribution of large fires. However, it is obvious that very small fires are most frequent. This particular effect is reproduced in our numerical results (Fig. 6(b) and 7).

5 Discussion

The bifurcation diagram for weights $w'$ in Figs. 3(a)–3(b) reveals an unusual structure, which is a conglomerate of two diagrams. On the right side of the plot we observe some period...
Figure 8: Forest fire statistic for (a) real and (b) computer experiment.
doubling when the parameter $r$ decreases. On the left, clear period doublings are observed from a fixed point to a limit cycle of length two, and then to a cycle of length four, when the parameter $r$ increases. This left part of the bifurcation diagram is due to the size effect of finite lattice. On the other hand, the map for weights $w''$ constructed in the same way does not reveal wide windows of stability. This means that the period doublings and the windows are sensitive to the shape of the map on the right side of the percolation threshold. We could only prove that the cycles of all lengths are present there, by means of the presence of the cycle of period three. The map is similar to the tent map, where no windows are observed.

Concluding this point, the bifurcation diagram reveals that the map is not unimodal, what is not a surprise. Its structure may be caused by an intermittent character of the trajectories for small $r$. In this case, if the initial value of $p$ is small, forest fires do not occur in several years and the evolution is governed by its parabolic part $p + rp(1 - p)$, which remains almost constant through a long time. The diagrams in Figs. 8(a) and 8(c) are similar to the diagram obtained in [3]. It was produced using a discontinuous map, where the time evolution was also intermittent. On the other hand, for larger $r$ the flat shape of the map above $p_c$ stabilizes the limit cycles. We note that the intermittent character of the problem is to some extent reflected also by the experimental data, where the majority of fires is of small sizes.

The histogram in Fig. 7 for weights $w''$ reveals an exponential curve of the number of fires of size $A$ in the range of intermediate and large $A$. The number of fires of size $A$ increases with $A$. This result is in contradiction to what is known from literature on the self-organized criticality [3]. We interpret it as a particular consequence of our assumption that fires are initiated more likely in large clusters. In the approach presented in [19], a tree is ignited spontaneously if it is old enough.

Coming back to the problem of predictability, we note that when a deterministic mean field theory in the thermodynamic limit indicates the chaotic behavior of the system, it is hard to imagine that any other approach can produce a controllable solution. The exception is the the presence of the windows of stability, which allows us to predict the size of a forest fire for given values of $r$. Still, each limit cycle contains large fires, indicated by the letter $R$ in the respective word. Obviously, this kind of trajectory is not recommended by forest rangers. Concluding, both the bifurcation diagram and the Lyapunov exponent reveal that chaos is indeed present in the time evolution of the forest fire.

It seems also that the mean field approach can be a reference method in investigating other problems where probabilistic cellular automata are useful. A list of such problems includes immunology [20] and magnetic systems [21]. To apply a map or a differential equation? The answer must be specific for a given problem.
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