Gender-related time course of sleep disturbances and psychological symptoms during the COVID-19 lockdown: A longitudinal study on the Italian population
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ABSTRACT

Italy was the first western hotspot of the COVID-19 pandemic. In order to contain the spread of the virus, the Italian Government imposed home confinement to the entire population for almost two months. The present study is the first large-scale longitudinal report of the sleep and mental health changes during the prolonged lockdown due to the COVID-19 outbreak. We focused on the gendered vulnerability in a sample of the Italian population since cross-sectional research identified women to be more at-risk than men during this unprecedented situation.

A total of 2701 individuals (mean age ± standard deviation, 32.37 ± 11.62; range, 18–82) participated in a web-based longitudinal survey consisting of two measurements. Participants were first-time recruited on social networks and via telephone messages through a snowball sampling and tested during the third week of the lockdown period. Subsequently, a follow-up evaluation was carried out during the seventh week of restraining measures. The survey assessed sleep quality, insomnia and depression symptoms, perceived stress, and anxiety, using the following questionnaires: the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, the Insomnia Severity Index, the Beck Depression Inventory-second edition, the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale, and the State-Anxiety Inventory.

Female gender showed the worst condition for all the examined dimensions in both the assessments. Nevertheless, at the follow-up women reported a reduction in insomnia and depression severity symptoms, perceived stress, and anxiety. On the other hand, male participants showed a worsening of sleep quality, insomnia symptoms, and perceived stress. Consequently, the gender prevalence gap of clinical conditions such as insomnia and depression was largely reduced under lockdown.

Our investigation pointed to a different time course of sleep and mental health between genders during the home confinement period. Women seemed to show greater long-term resilience during the lockdown. Meanwhile, the male gender emerges as the most vulnerable category to the extension of the restraining measures. Our results suggest that there is no “weaker gender” after a prolonged lockdown. Indeed, the Italian population transversely presented signs of psychological suffering and significant sleep disturbances after the protracted and stressful lockdown period due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

1. Introduction

From December 2019, the new Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) started to spread in China. This virus led to COVID-19, an acute respiratory syndrome linked to a potentially life-threatening pneumonia disease. In a few months, the outbreak quickly spread throughout the world until it was categorized as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 2020a). Italy was the first western hotspot of COVID-19. In line with China, the Italian Government adopted extraordinary measures aimed at limiting the contagion. Since March 9, 2020, a total lockdown was imposed, which forced the entire population into home confinement. The restraining measures were extended until May 4, 2020, resulting in approximately two months of confinement. This unprecedented situation profoundly impacted the everyday life of...
all Italian citizens. The limitation of social interaction and the restriction of movement freedom could be linked to consistent psychological impact among the general population (Brooks et al., 2020).

Increased stress and exacerbation of depression and anxiety symptomatology was reported in China as in the rest of the world during the COVID-19 pandemic (Rajkumar, 2020; Vindegaard and Benros, 2020). Moreover, in a situation where the rhythms of life were deeply altered, sleep has been proposed as one of the primary targets to be impacted, as well as a crucial mediator of mental health outcomes (Altena et al., 2020). Recent cross-sectional studies on the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic focused on specific at-risk groups, such as healthcare professionals (Pappa et al., 2020), and referred to limited periods. Some studies addressed longitudinally the impact of the current situation but used pre-outbreak baselines (Pierce et al., 2020; Cellini et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2020). Therefore, the large-scale temporal impact of the restraining measures within the general population has been scarcely studied. Because of the protracted duration of the home confinement, it is fundamental to investigate the long-term consequences of this extraordinary situation. To our knowledge, this is the first study that longitudinally addresses the within-subject psychological impact of seven weeks of home confinement in the same large sample of the general population during a public pandemic catastrophe. Two thousand seven hundred one Italian citizens were tested using a self-selected and non-probabilistic nature of the data collection, we could not assess the response rate, as indicated by the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) reporting guideline. The survey assessed demographic information, sleep quality, insomnia and depression symptoms, perceived stress, and anxiety, using the following validated questionnaires (in order of presentation): the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI; Curcio et al., 2013), the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; Castronovo et al., 2016), the Beck Depression Inventory-second edition (BDI-II, Ghisi et al., 2006), the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10; Mondo et al., 2019), the state-anxiety subscale of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-X1; Spielberger et al., 1970). On average, 10 min were needed to complete the sleep questionnaires; after that participants were free to decide whether to stop after each of the three questionnaires tapping into mental health problems. This feature was implemented to avoid a false-response bias, ensuring greater reliability of the collected responses. After four weeks, the website link of the follow-up survey was provided to the participants via email address/telephone number. A total of 2701 individuals (mean age ± standard deviation, 32.38 ± 11.62; range, 18–82; 491 men) participated in the follow-up assessment (Test 2) in seven days (21–27 April 2020), completing the same questionnaires of the first measurement time. The local institutional review board at the University of L’Aquila approved the current study (protocol n. 43,066). Online informed consent was obtained from the participants. The study has been carried out according to the principles established by the Declaration of Helsinki.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants and procedure

7107 Italian citizens (mean age ± standard deviation, 32.38 ± 11.38; range, 18–84; 1616 men) completed a web-based survey during the third week of the home confinement (Test 1; 25–31 March 2020), the days immediately following the peak of contagion (Istituto Superiore di Sanità, 2020). The questionnaires have been disseminated through a snowball technique (i.e., respondents were encouraged to recruit other participants) on social networks and via telephone messages. Given the self-selected and non-probabilistic nature of the data collection, we...
To evaluate the changes between Test 1 and Test 2, the questionnaire factors were collapsed and are not reported in the results. Analyses were performed using the “lme4” R package (Bates et al., 2015) weighting the estimates according to the gender prevalence within the Italian population. Models were fitted using REML, and P-values were obtained using the Satterthwaite approximation. Bonferroni post hoc tests were computed using the “emmeans” R package (Lenth et al., 2020). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05, and all tests were 2-tailed. To check for potential biases due to the unbalanced gender composition of the sample, a randomized female sample corresponding to an equal number of male participants (n = 491) was extracted from the overall follow-up sample using SPSS v.22 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Then, the mixed model analyses applied to the total sample were replicated, confirming the outcomes of the main models.

Finally, the prevalence of moderate/severe insomnia and severe depression were computed according to the validated cut-off scores. Subsequently, logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate the difference between genders of the prevalence of insomnia and depression clinical conditions at the two measurement times.

3. Results

The follow-up measurement was characterized by higher scores in all the questionnaires, except for the PSQI. Specifically, the “time” factor was significant for ISI (B = −0.33, t = 3.82, P = 0.004), BDI-II (B = −0.37, t = 2.85, P = 0.026), PSS-10 (B = −0.83, t = 3.46, P < 0.001), and STAI-X1 (B = −1.40, t = 2.89, P = 0.005, while PSQI did not (B = 0.04, t = 0.86, P = 0.39).

Gender was significant in all the analyses, showing higher mean scores of women in all the examined dimensions (PSQI: B = −1.90, t = 3.80, P < 0.001; ISI: B = −2.69, t = 3.85, P < 0.001; BDI-II: B = −4.72, t = 4.39, P < 0.001; PSS-10: B = −7.35, t = 5.09, P < 0.001).

Finally, all the mixed model analyses highlighted significant interactions between “time” and “gender” factors (PSQI: B = 0.47, t = 2.69, P = 0.004; ISI: B = 0.26, t = 2.85, P = 0.004; BDI-II: B = 0.28, t = 2.68, P = 0.006; PSS-10: B = 0.32, t = 2.70, P = 0.006; STAI-X1: B = 0.19, t = 2.69, P = 0.004). Interaction plots and post hoc results are reported in Fig. 1.

Post hoc comparisons showed that, after four weeks of home confinement, male participants exhibited an increment in PSQI, ISI, and PSS-10 scores (all P < 0.001). On the other hand, women reported a reduction in insomnia and depression symptoms, perceived stress, and anxiety (P = 0.025; P = 0.045; P < 0.001; P < 0.001, respectively). Notwithstanding this, in both the measurement occasions, female participants reported significantly higher scores on all the variables (PSS-10 at Test 2: P = 0.005; all the other P < 0.001).

**Table 1**

Demographic and COVID-related characteristics of the sample participating in both the measurements (Test 1: 25–31 March 2020; Test 2: 21–27 April 2020).

| Demographic variables | Overall sample (N = 2701) | Men (N = 941) | Women (N = 2210) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Age                   |                          |              |                 |
| 18−30 years           | 1617 (59.9)              | 280 (57.0)   | 1337 (60.5)     |
| 31−50 years           | 788 (29.0)               | 137 (27.9)   | 646 (29.2)      |
| > 50 years            | 301 (11.1)               | 74 (15.1)    | 227 (10.3)      |
| Education             |                          |              |                 |
| Until middle school   | 36 (1.3)                 | 10 (2.0)     | 26 (1.2)        |
| High school           | 851 (31.5)               | 182 (37.1)   | 669 (30.3)      |
| Graduated             | 1814 (67.2)              | 299 (60.9)   | 1515 (68.5)     |
| Occupation            |                          |              |                 |
| Unemployed            | 231 (8.6)                | 36 (7.3)     | 195 (8.8)       |
| Employed              | 1545 (57.2)              | 299 (60.9)   | 1246 (56.4)     |
| Student               | 925 (34.2)               | 156 (31.8)   | 769 (34.8)      |
| Geographical location |                          |              |                 |
| Northern Italy        | 991 (36.7)               | 175 (35.6)   | 816 (36.9)      |
| Central Italy         | 800 (29.6)               | 144 (29.3)   | 656 (29.7)      |
| Southern Italy        | 910 (33.7)               | 172 (35.0)   | 738 (33.4)      |

**COVID-related information**

COVID-19 infection

|                           | Overall sample (N = 2701) | Men (N = 941) | Women (N = 2210) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Yes                       | 7 (0.3)                   | 1 (0.2)      | 6 (0.3)         |
| No                        | 2673 (99.0)               | 484 (98.6)   | 2189 (99.0)     |
| No response               | 21 (0.8)                  | 6 (1.2)      | 15 (0.7)        |
| Forced Quarantine         |                           |              |                 |
| Yes                       | 97 (3.6)                  | 17 (3.5)     | 80 (3.6)        |
| No                        | 2601 (96.3)               | 474 (96.5)   | 2127 (96.2)     |
| No response               | 3 (0.1)                   | 0 (0)        | 3 (0.1)         |
| Relative/friend with COVID-19 |                       |              |                 |
| Yes                       | 600 (22.2)                | 97 (19.8)    | 503 (22.8)      |
| No                        | 2085 (77.2)               | 391 (79.6)   | 1694 (76.7)     |
| No response               | 16 (0.6)                  | 3 (0.6)      | 13 (0.6)        |

* Northern Italy: Aosta Valley, Emilia Romagna, Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Liguria, Lombardy, Piedmont, Trentino-Alto Adige, and Veneto.
* Central Italy: Lazio, Marche, Tuscany, and Umbria.
* Southern Italy: Abruzzo, Apulia, Basilicata, Calabria, Campania, Molise, Sardinia, and Sicily.

Men Women

**Fig. 1.** Interaction between “time” and “gender” factors for the PSQI (sleep quality), ISI (insomnia), BDI-II (depression), PSS-10 (perceived stress), and STAI-X1 (anxiety) questionnaires. Mean (and standard error) of questionnaires scores in the two assessments (Test 1: 25–31 March 2020; Test 2: 21–27 April 2020) for men (in blue) and women (in orange). Bonferroni post hoc results are reported with asterisks (⁎P < 0.05; ⁎⁎P < 0.001).
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Logistic regression analyses of data reported in Fig. 2, revealed a higher female prevalence of moderate/severe insomnia and severe depression at Test 1 (moderate/severe insomnia: 13.12% vs. 9.37%, odd ratio (OR) = 0.68, P = 0.023; severe depression: 7.20% vs. 4.47%, OR = 0.60, P = 0.049). The gap in gender prevalence was no longer present at Test 2 both for moderate/severe insomnia (women: 11.63%, men: 12.02%; OR = 1.04, P = 0.81) and severe depression (women: 6.50%, men: 5.71%; OR = 0.87, P = 0.56). Indeed, men tended to worsen their condition during the lockdown (moderate/severe insomnia: +2.65%; severe depression: +1.24%), while women tended to improve it (moderate/severe insomnia: -1.49%; severe depression: -0.70%). Further analyses of the modifications of the clinical status by gender confirmed that the changes from Test 1 to Test 2 differed according to the gender (moderate/severe insomnia: \( \chi^2 = 6.49, P = 0.04 \), severe depression: \( \chi^2 = 9.16, P = 0.01 \); respectively).

4. Discussion

Our longitudinal study showed a different time course of sleep disturbances and mental health problems in men and women during the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy.

Women had consistently higher scores than men on sleep and mental health scales, especially at the beginning of the lockdown period. It should be noted that this is not a peculiarity of this extraordinary period. Previous research showed that women score is higher on PSQI in a wide range of countries and cultures (Curcio et al., 2013; Wong and Fielding, 2011; Hinz et al., 2017) and the female population typically has the highest prevalence of insomnia symptoms (Zhang and Wing, 2006). The prevalence of depression and anxiety is also higher for women (Malhi and Mann, 2018; World Health Organization, 2020b). Furthermore, women are marked by higher stress perception and have an increased likelihood of developing PTSD symptoms (Offl et al., 2007). Consequently, our results are in line with the literature of the pre-outbreak period.

However, the prolonged period of home confinement reduced the gender gap in two important ways. On one hand, women seemed to be more resilient than men, in the long run, exhibiting a slight trend toward improvement of insomnia, depression, anxiety, and distress at the end of the seven weeks covered by the present research. On the other hand, men showed an exacerbation of insomnia symptoms and a deterioration of sleep quality during the lockdown. Furthermore, male participants reported a substantial increment of perceived stress at the end of the study. In addition, although women reported a higher prevalence of clinical conditions such as insomnia and depression in the first part of the lockdown, the gender gap was narrowed after four weeks.

A recent study (Wang et al., 2020b) evaluated stress, anxiety, and depression in two samples of the general Chinese population that was assessed four weeks apart during the COVID-19 outbreak. No significant changes were reported at the overall-sample level. However, gender differences were not taken into account, participants were not forced into home confinement, and the study used a cross-sectional design. All these factors could explain the inconsistencies with our results.

The differential trend of mental health indicators in women and men during the long confinement period was peculiar and unexpected. Of note, the difference among gender trajectories was confirmed controlling for the other demographic variables such as age, education, and occupation. Because none of the variables collected in the present study could explain this effect, we believe that dispositional or sociocultural gender differences interacted with the extended period of restraining measures.

Men and women respond to stress differently, at both physiological and behavioral levels. According to an evolutionary perspective, the primary response aimed at reducing stress in men is a ‘fight-or-flight’ reaction, whereas women are likely to adopt a ‘tend-and-befriend’ one (Taylor et al., 2000). These patterns are supported by neuroendocrine and behavioral evidence (Verma et al., 2011). In this view, the dispositional stress response of women may have proven to be more appropriate when dealing with the current challenging time since the importance of community support and prosocial behaviors has been repeatedly emphasized to cope with the forced home confinement situation (Holmes et al., 2020; Courtet et al., 2020; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020).

From a sociocultural perspective, our results could reflect deep-rooted differences in gender roles within western societies. Particularly in Italy, women have traditionally held a prominent position in the domestic environment compared to men. The gendered time course of the impact of prolonged lockdown might be explained because the extended home confinement period largely compromised each individual’s public life, preserving and emphasizing the domestic one at the same time.

Another possible interpretation is that men might manifest the psychological impact of the lockdown only after a prolonged period. On the other hand, women may have been the first to suffer the consequences of the home confinement situation because of their front-line role in the family care typical of Italian society. Consequently, women might have already reached the peak of psychological distress during the first days of the lockdown, subsequently adapting better to this extraordinary situation.

A final consideration refers directly to the gendered vulnerability to COVID-19. In Italy, as in the rest of the world, the progress of contagion was accompanied by the awareness of a higher prevalence of hospitalizations and deaths among men (Global Health 5050, 2020). This information was widely disseminated by the media during the period from the first to the second measurement time of our study and may have evoked a more danger in the male population, putatively contributing to explain our pattern of results.

Our findings are inconsistent with a general framework that predicts female gender to be a vulnerability factor tout court (Vindegaard and Benros, 2020; Mazza et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a; Casagrande et al., 2020; Özdin and Özdin, 2020; Leger et al., 2020). A crucial point to stress is that, to date, studies based on a single measurement could be elusive concerning the actual psychological suffering of men. The well-known difference between genders in all the examined dimensions could lead to focus the psychological support on women. Instead, our investigation suggests that there is no ‘weaker gender’ under lockdown and, sooner or later, both men and women can be strongly affected by this unprecedented situation.

Furthermore, our investigation did not confirm recent cross-sectional studies highlighting occupation or age as vulnerability factors (Casagrande et al., 2020; Cellini et al., 2020; Morelli et al., 2020). Because this is a longitudinal study, we investigated the presence of predictors which explained the changes over time in the examined variables. This peculiarity can explain the inconsistencies with the current literature based on cross-sectional studies.
on a single measurement. Although the number of infections in Italy is declining at the moment, with a consequent relaxation of the restricting measures, our results could be of particular interest to the countries around the world where the contagion is ongoing, and home confinement measures still are an integral part of everyday life. The second wave of infections is also feared at the end of the summer or during the fall (Horton, 2020), and a further period of home confinement cannot be excluded.

The present findings could help to identify the timing for therapeutic interventions aimed at boosting coping skills and resilience and protecting the psychological well-being, countering the risk of developing mental health problems among the general population. In particular, our investigation highlights two crucial points. First of all, it confirms the urgency to predispose immediate psychological support focused on women since the beginning of the lockdown. Secondly, the present findings suggest that, with the advancement of the weeks, it is essential to shift the attention to men, who seem to be the primary category to suffer from the cumulative effects of the prolonged restraining measures during the COVID-19 pandemic. The sleep dimension emerges as the most affected by the lockdown extension among the male population. In this view, our results suggest predisposing specific sleep-focused interventions. This could be particularly important when considering the contribution of sleep disturbances in the development of mental health problems (Freeman et al., 2017).

Finally, our investigation confirms the assumption that the governments should keep the lockdown as short as possible and avoid an overly precautionary approach (Brooks et al., 2020) to limit long-term psychological consequences, especially on male citizens.

To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting large-scale longitudinal changes of sleep disturbances and the risk of mental health deterioration among the same population under home-confinement. However, some limitations need to be reported. The main one is the impossibility of determining the sampling bias in Test 1 because of the sampling technique. We cannot exclude that the present study inadvertently attracted individuals reporting the most serious conditions as regards the examined dimensions. Nevertheless, this bias would be expected at the overall sample level, putatively not affecting the gendered trajectories here highlighted. Secondly, it should be acknowledged that the composition of the experimental sample is substantially unbalanced towards the female gender. Finally, our results were obtained in an Italian sample. Deep-rooted social gender inequities mark Italian society. Further longitudinal investigations could confirm our pattern of results within other populations.
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