Patterns of Toll-Like Receptor Expressions and Inflammatory Cytokine Levels and Their Implications in the Progress of Insulin Resistance and Diabetic Nephropathy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients
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Background: Diabetic nephropathy (DNP) is a type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) chronic complication, which is the largest single cause of end-stage kidney disease. There is an increasing evidence of the role of inflammation and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) as part of innate immune system in its development and progression. In addition, Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) downward signaling causes the production of proinflammatory cytokines, which can induce insulin (INS) resistance in T2DM.

Objective: The goal of this study was to estimate the expression of TLRs (TLR2 and TLR4) in relation to inflammation and INS resistance in nephrotic type 2 diabetic patients with or without renal failure and to discuss the role of these TLRs in DNP progression.

Patients and Methods: In this study, blood samples were obtained from type 2 diabetic patients with or without renal failure, and patients with non-diabetic renal failure were compared to healthy controls. All participants were tested for analysis of fasting plasma glucose and serum insulin, kidney function tests, C-reactive protein (CRP), and proinflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), interferon gamma (IFN-γ), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) as well as expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in peripheral blood (PB). Statistical analysis of data was done by using SPSS.

Results: Diabetic patients with renal failure exhibited significant increase in TLR2, TLR4 mRNA expression in PB in comparison with normal subjects, diabetic patients without renal failure and non-diabetic patients with renal failure. Both diabetic patients with or without kidney failure and non-diabetic patients with renal failure had increased TLR2 and
INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic endocrinial disease, which is characterized by elevation in blood glucose level and is associated with multiple complications. Type 2 DM (T2DM) is the most common form of DM (Arababadi et al., 2009). T2DM becomes a major health burden for chronic illness in Africa (Badr et al., 2019). Many complications arising from having either T1DM or T2DM affect vital organs in the body like kidneys (nephropathy; Rosa and Ravi, 2012). Diabetic nephropathy (DNP) is one of the most medical concerns of DM because in recent years, it has become the leading cause for the end-stage kidney disease (Ruiz-Ortega et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). DNP develops to end-stage renal disease via a number of stages, including normal albuminuria, incipient DNP, micro albuminuria, and finally end-stage renal disease (Singh et al., 2014). The incidence of DNP is affected by the hemodynamic disorders, genetic factors, and disorders of biochemical metabolism (Liu et al., 2010). Emerging evidence states that inflammatory mechanisms play a crucial role in disease progression and pathogenesis (Pérez-Morales et al., 2019; Rayego-Mateos et al., 2020). Innate immune system and low-grade inflammation are significantly associated to the incidence and severity of T2DM and its complications (Navarro-Gonzalez and Mora-Fernandez, 2008; Pashkow, 2011).

Inflammation can be a key factor triggered by the biochemical, metabolic, and hemodynamic perturbations that exist in the diabetic kidney (Lim and Tesch, 2012). Proinflammatory cytokines produced by T helper cells (Th1) such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) can induce resident renal cells such as tubular epithelial cells, mesangial cells, and podocytes to produce chemokines (Lim and Tesch, 2012). Such chemokines function as “chemoattractant” molecules, playing a key role in inflammatory cell recruitment, migration, and interaction, as well as in cellular adhesion, differentiation, and tissue damage in the setting of DNP (Ruster and Wolf, 2008; Pérez-Morales et al., 2019). During long-standing hyperglycemic state in DM, glucose interacts with the plasma proteins through a non-enzymatic process (Maillard reaction; Helou et al., 2014). In this reaction, by which glycation alters the cell functions include denaturation and functional decline of the target protein and lipid, organopathy due to accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) in tissue (Yonekura et al., 2005). AGEs are stimulators for the production of chemokine (Lim and Tesch, 2012) and play a causal role in both the microvascular and macrovascular diabetes complications, including retinopathy, neuropathy, nephropathy, also atherosclerosis (McCance et al., 1993; Beisswenger et al., 1995; Xu et al., 2018). Inflammatory chemokines are related to proinflammatory mechanisms and induce leukocyte recruitment to damaged tissues (Chang and Chen, 2020). In case of nephropathy, chronic exposure to diabetic substrates damages renal cells, resulting in injury or cell death and the release of intracellular damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) into the extracellular space (Figure 1). This signal is recognized by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs; Tang and Yiu, 2020).

Toll-like receptors are transmembrane proteins that transfer the antigen recognition information from outside to inside of the cell as a factor in the immune reaction (Zhu et al., 2011; Mishra and Pathak, 2019). Activation of the innate immune system via TLRs is implicated in the pathogenesis of insulin (INS) resistance, DM, and atherosclerosis (Shi et al., 2006; Wong et al., 2007; Curtiss and Tobias, 2009). TLR4 is the main part of immune-mediated and inflammatory reactions and plays a
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role both in the recognition of lipopolysaccharides and signal transduction of immune-mediated inflammatory reactions (Lepenies et al., 2011). TLR2 and/or TLR4 might be a molecular link between inflammation and DM as they promote tubulointerstitial inflammation during DNP (Devaraj et al., 2009). TLR4 has a major role in renal inflammation and progressive fibrosis in kidney disease (Yiu et al., 2014). Modulating these TLRs could be helpful in preventing complications of DM giving the key role of inflammation in both microvascular and macrovascular complications (Jialal and Kaur, 2012; Badr et al., 2019).

Therefore, this study was conducted to scrutinize the patterns of changes and roles of TLR2 and TLR4 expressions in relation to proinflammatory Th1 cytokine levels and INS resistance in nephrotic diabetic patients with or without kidney failure. The comprehension of such relations in different stages may be beneficial in determining new therapeutic strategies targeting inflammation to prevent and/or retard DNP in T2DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval and Consent
The work in this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Post-graduate studies for Advanced Science, Beni-Suef University, Egypt (Ethical Approval Number: 3/019).

Study Design and Subjects
The target population of this study comprises DNP patients with or without renal impairment either on hemodialysis (HD) or not, from Beni-Suef University Hospital and other local hospitals. The sample was classified into four groups (each of 20 patients). Group 1 (Normal) includes healthy individuals. Group 2 (non-DM + HD) consists of non-diabetic patients that have renal failure and are subjected to HD. Group 3 (DM + HD) consists of diabetic patients that have renal failure and are subjected to HD. Group 4 (DM) consists of diabetic patients that have no renal failure and are not subjected to HD.

Exclusion Criteria
Patients having autoimmune diseases or recently hospitalized for infectious disease.

Laboratory Assessment
After obtaining a full history from all participants, 6 mL of the peripheral blood (PB) from all the study participants were obtained aseptically by venipuncture.

Obtained blood samples from overnight-fasted subjects were divided into 2 mL in a plain tube for biochemical studies and detection of proinflammatory cytokines, 2 mL in sodium fluoride tube for the determination of plasma glucose level, and 2 mL in dipotassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) for TLR2 and TLR4 expression examination.

Biochemical Investigations
Plasma glucose level was determined with glucose oxidase, and 4-aminoan using reagent kit purchased from Vitro Scient in Egypt (Trinder, 1969). Serum insulin was determined according to the quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique by using Human INS ELISA kit (Catalog Number for insulin is MBS704195). Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Sensitivity (HOMA-IS), and Homeostasis Model Assessment-beta-cell function (HOMA-β cell function) were evaluated according to Park et al. (2009), Krishnaveni et al. (2010), and Kuang et al. (2015) as follow:

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{fasting insulin level (μIU/mL)} \times \text{fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)}}{22.5}.
\]

\[
\text{HOMA-IS} = 10,000/\text{fasting insulin} \times \text{fasting glucose}.
\]

\[
\text{HOMA-β cell function} = \frac{20 \times \text{fasting insulin (μIU/mL)}}{(\text{fasting glucose (mg/dL)} + 18) - 3.5}.
\]

Serum urea level was detected according to the method modified Berthelot reaction using reagent kit obtained from Vitro Scient in Egypt (Fawcett and Scott, 1960). Serum creatinine level was detected based on Jaffé (1886) reaction without deproteinization using reagent kit obtained from Vitro Scient in Egypt. Serum Uric acid level was determined by using reagent kit obtained from Vitro Scient in Egypt (Gochman and Schmitz, 1971). Level of serum cystatin C, as a good marker of glomerular filtration rate and impairment of kidney clearance, was measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit purchased from MyBioSource, San Diego, California, United States according to manufacturer’s instructions. C-reactive protein (CRP) was determined according to latex agglutination reaction using reagent kit obtained from Vitro Scient in Egypt (Kindmark, 1972; Otsuji et al., 1982). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the MDRD equation (Levey et al., 1999, 2006).

Measurements of Cytokine Levels
Sandwich ELISA was applied for quantitative detection of serum TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6 levels using kits obtained from MyBioSource, San Diego, California, United States; the catalog numbers for these kits were MBS015648, MBS824507, and MBS261259, respectively.

RNA Extraction
Total RNA was extracted from whole blood by using a Trizol kit obtained from Ambion, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA (Chomczynski and Sacchi, 1987; Chomczynski, 1993). Cloned DNA (cDNA) was synthesized by using reagent kit obtained from Applied Biosystems, United States. The total RNA concentration was determined by using nonodrop 2000, the parameters for the integrity of the extracted RNA (ratio A260/280) was about 1.8 and the amount of total RNA used for cDNA synthesis was about 10 μL of total RNA of concentration, from 80 to 300 ng/μL.

Quantification of TLR2 and TLR4 Expressions
Quantitative real-time PCR technique carried out to detect mRNA expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in PB by using a universal
Taqlman master mix and a gene expression ready-made assay (Applied Biosystems, United States). A volume of 2.4 μl of cDNA was used as a template in 20 μl reaction containing 10 μl of TaqMan Universal Master Mix II, 1.0 μl of TaqMan Assay and 9.0 μl of cDNA/DNA template + RNase-free water. Holding stage includes two cycles, cycle 1 (50°C/2 min) and cycle 2 (95°C/10 min). Amplification stage includes 40 cycles; each cycle includes (95/15 s and 60/1 min). All data were presented compared with control after normalization to GAPDH (housekeeping gene). The TaqMan(R) Gene Expression Assay ID of TLR2 is Hs00610101_m1 and Taqman(R) Gene Expression Assay ID of TLR4 is Hs00152939_m1.

**Statistical Analysis**

Gene expression was calculated using Δ Δ Ct method. Data were analyzed by using one-way ANOVA and Duncan's test using the statistical package for the social sciences (IBM SPSS, version 20.0). Results were expressed as mean ± SE. Data of values of p > 0.05 were considered not significantly different, while those of values of p < 0.05 were significantly different. Correlation analysis was also performed by IBM SPSS, version 20.0 to assess correlations between different variables.

**RESULTS**

Demography of the studied groups in Table 1 revealed that group of 20 healthy volunteers of age 47.3 ± 1.45 years consists of 14 (70%) males and six (30%) females were included in this study and served as control (group 1). The group 2 (non-DM + HD) consists of 20 non-diabetic subjects, with kidney failure, of age 52.6 ± 1.45 years and includes 13 (65%) males and seven (35%) females. The group 3 (DM + HD) is composed of 20 diabetic patients with kidney failure; their age was 54.6 ± 2.02 years and consists of 11 (55%) males and nine (45%) females. The group 4 of age 52.0 ± 0.57 years consists of 12 (60%) males and eight (40%) females with DM without kidney failure.

Biochemical analysis and comparison between the studied groups are shown in Table 2. Analysis of fasting plasma glucose level between the four groups indicated that there was a significant difference between group 1 (normal), on one hand, and group 3 (DM + HD) and group 4 (DM) on the other, but there was no significant difference between group 1 (normal) and group 2 (non-DM + HD). Analysis of fasting insulin level between the four groups showed that there was a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and group 3 (DM + HD). The calculated HOMA-IR value showed that there was a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and group 3 (DM + HD). HOMA-IR value exhibited a significant difference between group 1 (normal), on one hand, and group 3 (DM + HD) and group 4 (DM), on the other. HOMA-β Cell Function value showed a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and other groups as well as between group 2 and the two diabetic groups (groups 3 and 4). The serum cystatin C level showed a significant elevation in group 2 (non-DM + HD), group 3 (DM + HD) and group 4 (DM) as compared with normal healthy control; the percentage increases were 211.9, 244.0, and 193.6 respectively. Group 3 (DM + HD) had significantly higher serum cystatin C when compared with group 2 (DM). Analysis between the four groups regarding the serum urea level showed that there was a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and group 2 (non-DM + HD) and group 3 (DM + HD), but there was no significant difference between group 1 (normal) and group 4 (DM). Serum uric acid level exhibited a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and each of the other three groups. Serum CRP level showed a significant difference between group 1 (normal), group 3 (DM + HD), and group 4 (DM) and there was an increase between group 1 (normal) and group 2 (non-DM + HD). The eGFR showed that there was a significant difference between group 1 (normal) and each of the other three groups, but there was no a significant difference between group 2 (non-DM + HD) and group 3 (DM + HD).

Analysis between the four groups regarding the level of TNF-α showed that there was statistically significant difference between group 1 and the other three groups as indicated in Figure 1. The percentages were 53.5, 102.2, and 48.4% when comparing normal group with non-DM + HD, DM + HD and DM groups, respectively. Serum TNF-α level of diabetic patients with kidney failure exhibited a significant increase when compared with that of patients with either DM or kidney failure. Similar to TNF-α, serum IFN-γ level showed a significant difference between group 1 and the other 3 groups as indicated in Figure 2. The percentage changes were 88.1, 219.8, and 105.9%, respectively. Serum IFN-γ level of diabetic patients with kidney failure exhibited a significant increase when compared with that of patients with either DM or kidney failure. IL-6 level showed a significant difference between group 1, on one hand, and groups 2 and 3, on the other, as indicated in Figure 3. The percentage changes were 20.6, 49.6, and 0.8%, respectively for groups 2, 3, and 4 as compared with normal subjects. Serum IL-6 level of diabetic patients with kidney failure exhibited a significant increase when compared with that of patients with either DM or kidney failure. TLR2 mRNA expression of exhibited a marked increase in group 2 (Non-DM + HD), group 3 (DM + HD), and group 4 (DM) recording percentage changes of 392.7, 3363.9, and 260.8%, respectively as compared to normal; the most potent effect was

| Variables | Group 1 (Normal) | Group 2 (non-DM + HD) | Group 3 (DM + HD) | Group 4 (DM) |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Age (Years) | Mean ± SE 47.3 ± 1.45 | 52.6 ± 1.45 | 54.6 ± 2.02 | 52.0 ± 0.57 |
| Gender Male | 14 (70%) | 13 (65%) | 11 (55%) | 12 (60%) |
| Female | 6 (30%) | 7 (35%) | 9 (45%) | 8 (40%) |

**Table 1 | Demographic data of studied groups.**
TABLE 2 | Biochemical analysis of patients and control groups.

| Variables                          | Group 1 (Normal) | Group 2 (non-DM + HD) | Group 3 (DM + HD) | Group 4 (DM) | p value |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Plasma glucose (mg/dL)             | 80.1 ± 1.4b      | 94.1 ± 5.8a           | 230.6 ± 28.9d     | 200.1 ± 21.0e | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 17.4                  | 187.9             | 149.8       | -       |
| Fasting insulin (µIU/mL)           | 15.6 ± 2.5b      | 16.1 ± 1.07a          | 23.3 ± 3.3a       | 10.8 ± 0.9a | 0.02*   |
| % Change                           | -                | 1.9                   | 47.4              | -3.16       | -       |
| HOMA-IR                            | 3.02 ± 0.53a     | 3.9 ± 0.36a           | 13.4 ± 1.2a       | 6.2 ± 1.4a  | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 29.1                  | 1038.0            | 105.2       | -       |
| HOMA-IS                            | 8.5 ± 1.3b       | 6.1 ± 0.5c            | 1.8 ± 0.1a        | 4.2 ± 0.7e  | 0.002*  |
| % Change                           | -                | -28.2                 | -78.8             | -50.6       | -       |
| HOMA-β cell function              | 388.0 ± 29.3d    | 153.0 ± 18.7a         | 50.0 ± 13.0a      | 23.7 ± 2.2a | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | -60.6                 | -87.1             | -93.9       | -       |
| Serum Cystatin C (mg/L)            | 1.09 ± 0.20a     | 3.40 ± 0.70bc         | 3.75 ± 0.58c      | 3.20 ± 0.23b | 0.000*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 211.9                 | 244.0             | 193.6       | -       |
| Serum urea (mg/dL)                 | 34.1 ± 1.4a      | 117.8 ± 9.1b          | 107.1 ± 8.7h      | 44.6 ± 5.3h | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 245.4                 | 214.0             | 30.8        | -       |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)           | 0.91 ± 0.06a     | 7.9 ± 0.44b           | 7.7 ± 0.65c       | 1.46 ± 0.11a | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 768.1                 | 746.1             | 42.8        | -       |
| Serum uric acid (mg/dL)            | 3.2 ± 0.18a      | 7.0 ± 0.17c           | 7.2 ± 0.29c       | 5.06 ± 0.35b | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 118.7                 | 125.0             | 58.1        | -       |
| Serum CRP (mg/L)                   | 1.5 ± 0.24a      | 3.7 ± 0.34a           | 22.0 ± 2.0a       | 10.6 ± 3.6b | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | 146.6                 | 1366.6            | 606.6       | -       |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)               | 83.8 ± 2.1c      | 10.2 ± 0.34a          | 6.8 ± 0.55c       | 47.6 ± 3.4a | 0.001*  |
| % Change                           | -                | -73.6                 | -91.9             | -42.2       | -       |

Data are expressed as mean ± SE. For each variable, values, which have different superscript letters, are significantly different at p < 0.05.

TLR4 mRNA expression markedly increased in all patient groups including group 2 (non-DM + HD), group 3 (DM + HD), and group 4 (DM) groups recording percentage changes of 3.13, 4.92, and 60.8%, respectively as compared to normal; the most potent deleterious effect was attained in DM + HD group. While the effect was significant in groups Non-DM + HD and DM + HD, while it was non-significant in DM group as compared with normal. TLR4 mRNA expression was found to be significant in diabetic patients with kidney failure when compared with patients with either DM or kidney failure (Figure 5).

The correlations between the inflammatory indcater (CRP), inflammatory cytokines, and TLR2 and TLR4 on one hand, and HOMA-IR, HOMIS, and kidney function parameters on the other, were represented in Table 3. The CRP, TNF-α, INF-γ, and IL-6 serum levels as well as TLR2 and TLR4 expression have positive significant correlations with HOMA-IR and serum biomarkers of kidney dysfunction and have negative significant correlation with HOMA-IS. Moreover, the TLR2 and TLR4 expressions have positive significant correlations with the serum levels of CRP, TNF-α, INF-γ, and IL-6.

DISCUSSION

Most patients with T2DM suffer from serious complications of chronic hyperglycemia including nephropathy, retinopathy, neuropathy, and accelerated development of cardiovascular diseases (Grant et al., 2006). DNP is the most important microvascular complication associated with diabetes, and it is
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one of key factors that lead to end-stage renal disease (White et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2012). Preclinical evidence supported the concept that TLR2 and/or TLR4 are causative in diabetic kidney disease (DKD; Panchapakesan and Pollock, 2018). Network cytokines play a key role in the orientation of the immune responses (Arababadi et al., 2009). TLRs are the only key transmembrane proteins in mammals that transfer the antigen recognition information from the outside to the inside of the cell as an important factor in the immune response (Zhu et al., 2011). Among the TLRs, TLR2 and TLR4 play a crucial role in inflammation and DM pathogenesis (Figure 6) under clinical and experimental conditions (Dasu et al., 2010). TLR2 and TLR4 bind to components of the Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively (Beutler, 2004).

In this study, the serum levels of proinflammatory Th1 cytokines TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6 were higher in patient groups than the control group. Moreover, the serum levels of these cytokines were higher in diabetic patients with kidney failure than in diabetic patients without kidney failure and non-diabetic subjects with kidney failure. These higher levels of these inflammatory cytokines in diabetic patients with kidney failure reflects the important roles of these cytokines and inflammation in the progress of DN and the advanced disease state to reach end-stage renal disease and kidney failure. In parallel with the present study, Nosratabadi et al. (2009) found a significant difference in serum level of IFN-γ in nephrotic diabetic patients and controls subjects and Wong et al. (2007) who reported elevated levels of other inflammatory cytokines like IL-6, IL-18, and TNF-α in DNP. It is worth mentioning here that the highest levels of serum TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6 in diabetic patients with kidney failure were associated with the most deleterious effects on insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity, since the nephrotic diabetic patients with kidney failure have the highest value of HOMA-IR and the lowest value of HOMA-IS as compared with diabetic patients without kidney failure and non-diabetic subjects with kidney failure. Concomitant with these effects on serum TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6 levels, HOMA-IR and HOMA-IS, the CRP (marker of inflammation) was most deleteriously affected in nephrotic diabetic patients without kidney failure. As indicated by correlation analysis in the present study, the CRP, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6 serum levels have positive significant correlation with HOMA-IR and negative significant correlation with HOMA-IS. Thus, it can be concluded that the worst effect on insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity in type 2 diabetic patients
with end-stage renal disease and kidney failure may be secondary to the strongest effects of inflammation.

As indicated in the present study, in the diabetic patients with renal failure, the expression of TLRs was significantly higher than normal group and other groups. The expressions of TLR2 and TLR4 remarkably increased in nephrotic diabetic patients with or without kidney failure and in non-diabetic nephrotic patients (with kidney failure) in comparison with normal subjects. The effect on TLRs expression was highest in diabetic nephrotic patients with end disease state and kidney failure. The higher expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in nephrotic diabetic patients with kidney failure than in nephrotic diabetic patients without kidney failure reflects their important roles in the progress of DNP to reach the end disease state subjected to HD. In support with this elucidation, the present study revealed a positive significant correlation between TLR2 and TLR4 expression and serum levels of kidney dysfunction biomarkers including cystatin C, creatinine, urea, and uric acid in diabetic patients with and without end-stage renal disease and kidney failure.

In agreement with our study, Dasu et al. (2010) found that the PB of patients with T2DM, which is related to the inflammatory reaction, has increased expression of TLR4. Similar results from 31 T1DM patients and 31 controls, Devaraj et al. (2008) examined TLR2 and TLR4 expression in monocytes. They found that the expression of TLR2 and TLR4 was significantly increased in T1DM monocytes compared with controls. Also, Dounousi et al. (2012) reported that CKD patients and patients with DNP are characterized by increased expression of TLRs 2 and 4 on monocytes. They measured expression of TLR2 and 4 in 37 CKD patients as group 1 (not having DM) and 19 CKD patients with DNP as group 2. Their results showed that group 1 patients exhibited only increased TLR2 membrane expression on monocytes compared with controls. Group 2 patients presented increased TLR2 and TLR4 membrane expression compared to the control group, and increased TLR4 expression compared to group 1. Kaur et al. (2012) reported increased TLR4 expression and activity under hyperglycemia in renal mesangial cells incriminating TLR4 in contributing to DNP. Lin et al. (2012) showed increased expression of TLR4 in human proximal tubular epithelial cells under hyperglycaemic conditions, pointing toward a role of TLR4 in tubulointerstitial inflammation in DNP. Also, our results agreed with the study of Mansour et al. (2014), which concluded that the level of TLR2 and 4 is elevated in patients with end-stage DNP compared to that of patients with end-stage renal disease due to non-DNP. Badr et al. (2019) found that TLRs were overexpressed in microvascular complicated type 2 diabetic patients as compared to non-complicated type 2 diabetic patients.

In the present study, higher expression of TLR2 and TLR4 in diabetic patients with kidney failure than in diabetic patients without kidney failure was associated with higher values of HOMA-IR (as indicator of insulin resistance) and lower values of HOMA-IS (as indicator of insulin sensitivity). In concomitance, the diabetic patients with end-stage renal disease also showed higher levels of serum inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-6, and IFN-γ. These associations reflect the roles of TLR2 and TLR4 in the progress of insulin resistance and inflammation which in turn may lead the advance of nephrotic disease to the end-renal disease stage and renal failure (Figure 6). This suggestion is in concordance with the elucidation of Garibotto et al. (2017) who reported that TLR2 and TLR4 initiate inflammatory response and signaling for production of inflammatory cytokines that can induce insulin resistance and progress of DNP to the end-stage renal disease in T2DM. According to those later authors, it was proposed that the activation of TLRs stimulates the expression of several inflammatory cytokines and chemokines such as CCL2 IL-6 and TNF-α, which are associated with the progression of DNP (Figure 6). Moreover, Yiu et al. (2014) reported that TLR2 and TLR4 might play distinct roles in the pathogenesis of

| Table 3 | Correlation analysis between inflammatory mediators, insulin resistance, and kidney function parameters. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | CRP     | TNF-α   | INF-γ   | IL-6    | TLR2    | TLR4    |
| HOMA-IR |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.937   | 0.741   | 0.677   | 0.782   | 0.818   | 0.784   |
| p-value | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   |
| HOMA-IS |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.965   | 0.740   | 0.659   | 0.635   | 0.698   | 0.643   |
| p-value | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.01    | 0.000   | 0.01    |
| Cystatin C |       |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.646   | 0.809   | 0.621   | 0.611   | 0.536   | 0.565   |
| p-value | 0.010   | 0.000   | 0.001   | 0.002   | 0.007   | 0.004   |
| Urea    |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.379   | 0.648   | 0.727   | 0.771   | 0.535   | 0.676   |
| p-value | 0.068   | 0.001   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.007   | 0.000   |
| Creatinine |       |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.431   | 0.671   | 0.750   | 0.816   | 0.597   | 0.724   |
| p-value | 0.035   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.002   | 0.000   |
| Uric acid |      |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.587   | 0.787   | 0.799   | 0.782   | 0.615   | 0.715   |
| p-value | 0.003   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.001   | 0.000   |
| TLR2    |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.889   | 0.726   | 0.707   | 0.825   | -       | 0.837   |
| p-value | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   |         |
| TLR4    |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| r       | 0.771   | 0.692   | 0.797   | 0.810   | 0.837   | -       |
| p-value | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   |

r: Pearson correlation coefficient; p < 0.05 is significant correlation; +: positive correlation; −: negative correlation.
renal fibrosis; TLR2 initiates proinflammatory responses, whereas TLR4 mediates both proinflammatory and profibrotic pathways.

As represented in schematic Figure 1, the stimulation of TLRs in T2DM by DAMPs and other ligands leads to the activation of the transcription nuclear factor NF-κB, which is transferred to the nucleus and cleaved to p50 and p665 to induce inflammatory response and release of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The stimulation of TLR2 and TLR4 also leads to activation of activator protein-1 (AP-1), which also aggravates the inflammatory response through AP-1 target gene.

In conclusion, TLR2 and TLR4 expressions are elevated in nephrotic diabetic patients with renal failure or without renal failure compared to normal individuals. TLR2 and TLR4 expressions are much more elevated in nephrotic diabetic patients with renal failure compared to nephrotic diabetic patients without renal failure. Moreover, TLR2 and TLR4 activity was markedly increased in association with elevated TNF-α, IL-6, and IFN-γ expressions, increased insulin resistance in response to inflammation; the changes were more prominent in diabetic patients with end-stage renal disease and renal failure. These observations significantly add to the emerging role of TLRs and Th1 inflammatory cytokines in the development and progression of DNP in type 2 diabetic patients. Moreover, it can be suggested that TLR2 and TLR4 may be a promising therapeutic targets to prevent or retard the DNP in type 2 diabetic patients.
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