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ABSTRACT

We investigate a sample of seven edge-on spiral galaxies using Chandra observations. Edge-on spiral galaxies allow us to clearly separate source associated with their star-forming regions versus the outer edges of the system; offering a clear advantage over other systems. We uncover a number of X-ray point sources across these galaxies, and after eliminating contaminating foreground and background sources, we identify 12 candidate ultraluminous X-ray sources. All of these sources are projected onto the central regions, implying that the majority of ULXs in this sample of spiral galaxies are disk/bulge, and thus not halo sources. This also includes two transient ULXs, which may be long-duration transients and low mass X-ray binaries. This finding illustrates the need for further studies of transient ULXs.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In this work, we seek to characterize the population of ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) in and around spiral galaxies. ULXs are non-nuclear X-ray point source with X-ray luminosities exceeding 10^{39} erg s^{-1} (the Eddington Limit for a 10 M_\odot black hole assuming isotropic emission) (Fabbiano 1989). Hundreds of ULX candidates have been identified (e.g. Kovlakas et al. 2020, which finds 629 candidate ULXs in 309 galaxies within D < 40 Mpc). Most of the known ULXs have been identified in star-forming regions of galaxies (e.g. Roberts & Warwick 2000; Qiu et al. 2019 and references therein), although a small number have been identified in globular clusters associated with elliptical galaxies (see Maccarone et al. 2007; Dage et al. 2019 and references therein).

A discovery that some of the ULXs associated with younger systems are found to show pulsations changed our understanding of ULXs, as the presence of pulsations rules out a black hole as the accretor for these sources, and points towards a neutron star (NS) as the primary accretor in the binary system (see e.g. Bachetti et al. 2014; Chandra et al. 2020; Quintin et al. 2019).

Physical characteristics of ULXs can be studied via the spectral shape of their X-ray emission. Of the large numbers of ULXs studied and characterized, many of them fit into three classes: a broadened disk class, and hard ultraluminous and soft ultraluminous regimes. The typical power-law index of these sources ranges between 1.8-2.0, and the best fit model is an absorbed accretion disk plus power-law. Many sources show a soft excess in the lower energy regimes (e.g. Gonçalves & Soria 2006; Kajava & Poutanen 2009; Berghea et al. 2008), which are thought to be due to an optically thick outflow (King & Pounds 2003).

These different spectral models are either mapped onto different accretion regimes determined by how greatly the source luminosity exceeds the Eddington limit (e.g. Gladstone et al. 2009) or different geometries relating to obscuration from the accreting material (see the recent review by Kaaret et al. 2017 and references therein). A rare subset of ULXs, ultraluminous supersoft sources (ULSs) have also been identified (Urquhart & Soria 2016a).

ULXs can have similar Eddington or super-Eddington luminosities, but show distinct spectral properties. ULSs typically show little-to-no emission above ~ 1 keV; they are dominated by a soft blackbody spectrum at kT ~ 100 eV (Liu & Di Stefano 2008). In some ULSs, an additional weak power-law tail extending to higher energies is sometimes detected (e.g., Jin et al. 2011). The soft thermal emission in ULSs is often attributed to an optically-thick wind
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that obscures and reprocesses most hard emission that is emitted closer to the compact accretor (Soria & Kong 2016; Urquhart & Soria 2016a); evidence of relativistic outflows have been detected in several ULXs (Liu et al. 2015; Pinto et al. 2017). Some sources have even been observed switching between ULS and ULX modes, explained by changes in the line of sight absorption column, possibly a result of a clumpy wind or disk precession (Pinto et al. 2017). Additionally, complete eclipses have been observed in two ULXs (Swartz et al. 2002; Urquhart & Soria 2016a) which also indicates these sources are being viewed close to edge-on. However, eclipses have also been observed in standard ULXs (Urquhart & Soria 2016b), suggesting that inclination angle cannot be the sole determination in whether a source appears as a ULS or ULX.

Irwin et al. 2016 discovered two sources, coincident with globular clusters, which flared in X-ray to above the Eddington limit, and then remained undetected. The physical cause behind these sources is not well characterized and difficult to study, but the nature of transient and flaring ULXs poses many interesting questions in astronomy (e.g. Earnshaw et al. 2018; Walton et al. 2021). While some have been identified and studied in depth (e.g. Shih et al. 2010; Hodges-Kluck et al. 2012; Binder et al. 2018; Earnshaw et al. 2020, among others), including one discovered in the Milky Way (van den Eijnden et al. 2018), it is unclear how these sources impact large scale studies of ULX populations.

Of the ULXs associated with younger systems, most of these are in face-on spiral galaxies, where it is not possible to discern if the ULXs are associated with the disk, bulge, or halo population. By contrast, for edge-on spirals, the halo population can be disentangled from the star forming disk, and the reddening issues which complicate studies of face-on spirals can be largely bypassed. Very few ULX systems in edge-on spirals have been studied in depth, among these include the pulsating ULX in NGC 5907 has been identified in an edge-on spiral galaxy (Israel et al. 2017), and a source identified in NGC 891 by Hodges-Kluck et al. 2012.

A survey by Swartz et al. (2009) shows that ULXs are mainly associated with the star-forming regions of galaxies. Elliptical galaxies are known to host off-centre ULXs, but those are associated with globular clusters Dage et al. (2021). Thus, the identification and detailed study of ULXs that are neither hosted by globular clusters, nor associated with the star-forming regions of the galaxies, provides a different angle on ULX systems.

In this body of work, we characterise ultraluminous X-ray sources in seven edge-on spiral galaxies. The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the spiral galaxy sample and data analysis, Section 3 describes the major results of this paper, and the impact of these results are discussed in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

We utilize archival observations of seven edge-on spiral galaxies with inclination angles between 75 degrees and 90 degrees: NGC 891, NGC 2683, NGC 3556, NGC 4013, NGC 4157, NGC 7331, and NGC 7814 (see Table 1 for a complete list of ObsIDs and galaxy distances used for luminosity calculations).

NGC 7331 has been observed many times with Chandra (e.g. Jin & Kong 2019), but this comparative study of many edge-on spirals focuses only on sources at the galaxy centre.

NGC 891 and NGC 4013 were each observed twice with Chandra. NGC 891 was observed in the year 2000 for 51ks and in the year 2011 for 2ks. The distance estimates were obtained using statistical distances from Kovlakas et al. (2021). A subset of these galaxies also have archival observations from XMM-Newton, and event files from the Processing Pipeline System and can be utilised to track the ULX sources identified by Chandra, and whether or not they are persistent.

We use archival images from the ESO Online Digitized Sky Survey 1 to examine the location of the ULXs relative to the galaxy centre (see Figures 1-7). Note that analysis by Abolmasov et al. 2007 suggests a stellar complex as an optical counterpart to one of the ULXs in NGC 7331.

2.1 Point Source Identification

The X-ray point sources in this sample were identified using CIAO (Fruscione et al. 2006). We ran wavdetect on ‘broad’ (0.5-7 keV) images to identify sources, with an PSF map centred at 2.3 keV. The wavelet scales were set to 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 and 16.0 pixels. We used an encircled count fraction of 0.9 and a significance threshold of $10^{-6}$, corresponding to about one false detection per chip.

We use srcflux 2 to calculate fluxes for all of the sources detected in the observations. The background regions were automatically created by roi 3. We froze the equivalent hydrogen absorption column to the value for the line of sight of the Galaxy ($n_H$) 4 (See Table 1), and estimated the flux with a fixed power-law index of 1.7 for all the sources. Given that this method can slightly underestimate the flux (and does not take uncertainties into account), we selected all of the sources with an estimated $L_X$ of $7 \times 10^{38}$ erg/s or above. We searched existing catalogs (including Eckart et al. 2005; Skrutskie et al. 2006; Adelman-McCarthy & et al. 2009; Véron-Cetty & Véron 2010; Gaia Collaboration 2018) to remove contaminants such as QSOs, AGN and foreground stars. The remaining sources were fit with xspec to measure the flux of the sources more accurately, and to determine their spectral properties, and the 12 ULX candidates are listed in Table 2, along with bright contaminants.

2.2 Spectral Fitting of ULX Candidates

A number of the sources identified in the previous section were found to have X-ray luminosities exceeding the Eddington Limit for a 10 $M_{\odot}$ black hole (~ $10^{39}$ erg/s). Their spectra were extracted with CIAO, grouped by bins of 20, and fit with in detail xspec. Sources with fewer than 100 counts were binned by 1 and fit using W-statistics (Cash 1979). The sources were fit either with tbabs*tbabs*pegwrlw, an absorbed power-law model of tbabs*tbabs*diskbb, an absorbed multi-black body component model (Mitsuda et al. 1984; Wilms et al. 2000). The best fit values are presented in Table 3. The line of sight absorption column was fixed with line-of-sight Galactic values (see Table 1) and abundance of elements from Wilms et al. 2000. In any cases where the secondary absorption was consistent with zero, it was removed from the model. The best fit values for the secondary absorption column where fit are presented in footnotes by the best fit value for the spectral parameter ($\Gamma$ or $T_{in}$).

---

1. https://archive.eso.org/dss/dss
2. http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/srcflux.html
3. http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/roi.html
4. http://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/colden.jsp
2.3 NuSTAR Observations of NGC 4157

NGC 4157 was observed by the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) Harrison et al. 2013 for ~ 40ks on 2020-10-19 (program number 06058, ObsIDs 30601015001, 30601015002). The NuSTAR data was reduced using nustardas version 2.0.0 (Madsen et al. 2015), included in HEASOFT 6.28. We extracted source and background spectra in the 3-78 keV band following standard procedures outlined by the NuSTAR guidelines, using 60" radius circular regions for both source and background from each detector. The spectra were binned to contain at least 20 source counts per bin and were fit jointly in xspec. Although ULX 1, the host galaxy, and nearby X-ray sources are blended together, the integrated spectrum contains useful information on the high-energy behaviour of this system, and due to NuSTAR’s timing capabilities, we are able to place constraints on the presence of pulsations in the region of interest. The data were calibrated and barycentre corrected using NuSTAR/FPM calibration database version 20210315. We extracted source and background light curves and performed a standard pulsation search in the 3-79 keV band using MALTPyNT (Bachetti 2015), searching for possible pulsations, seen in some ULXs in other Galaxies (e.g. Bachetti et al. 2014). We find no clear evidence of pulsations in the range of 10^{-3} and 100 Hz and estimate a 5-sigma upper limit of 20% RMS (van der Klis 1989). We note that this result is based on a standard search, and not an acceleration search. We fit the spectrum jointly with the FPMA and FPMB detectors and a power-law model ($nu$ was frozen to NGC 4157’s line-of-sight abundances) returned a best-fit power-law index of 1.96 ± 0.2, which is consistent with the best-fit parameter from the Chandra observation, suggesting that ULX 1 may be dominating the flux.

5 NuSTAR data analysis software users guide, v1.9.6 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/
with candidates. Hydrogen column density ($N_H$) frozen to Galactic values (see Table 1). Lower count observations fit with C-stat have their statistics presented in parentheses. All fluxes shown are unabsorbed. Sources marked with † have significant $n_H$, best fit values are presented in Table 4. NGC 4157 ULX4 (marked with ‡), was too off-axis to be fit with xspec, and instead we use iwssm to estimate the flux based off of the background-subtracted count rate, $1.9 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ counts/sec, assuming a fixed power-law index of $\Gamma=1.7$.

### Table 3. Chandra Fit Parameters and Fluxes (0.5-8 keV) for spectral best fit single-component models, $\text{tbabs*diskbb}$ and $\text{tbabs*pegwrlw}$ for ULX candidates. Hydrogen column density ($N_H$) frozen to Galactic values (see Table 1). Lower count observations fit with C-stat have their statistics presented in parentheses. All fluxes shown are unabsorbed. Sources marked with † have significant $n_H$, best fit values are presented in Table 4. NGC 4157 ULX4 (marked with ‡), was too off-axis to be fit with xspec, and instead we use iwssm to estimate the flux based off of the background-subtracted count rate, $1.9 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ counts/sec, assuming a fixed power-law index of $\Gamma=1.7$.

| Source(ObsID) | $\Gamma$ | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. or (C-stat) | PL Flux (10$^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$) | $\nu$ (keV) | Disk Norm (10$^{-2}$) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. or (C-stat) | Disk Flux (10$^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$) |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| N891ULX1(14376) | 1.5 (±0.4) | 1.71/4 | 8.8 (±0.2) | 1.3 (±0.7) | ≤ 11.72 | 1.68/4 | 6.7 (±2.0) |
| N891ULX2(14376) | 1.5 (±0.4) | (29.80/50) | 3.5 (±1.2) | 1.4 (±0.9) | ≤ 4.3 | (30.15/50) | 3.0 (±1.1) |
| N891ULX3(794) † | 2.2 (±0.2) | 1.64/68 | 4.6 (±0.4) | 1.22 (±0.1) | 6.9 (±0.4) | 2.16/68 | 3.0 (±0.2) |
| N891ULX4(794) † | 1.9 (±0.1) | 1.02/86 | 7.0 (±0.5) | 1.6(±0.1) | 0.5 (±0.2) | 1.22/86 | 5.2 (±0.3) |

### Table 4. Best fitting secondary absorption column density for ULX candidates in units of 10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, when intrinsic absorption is not negligible.

| Source | Power-Law $n_H$ | Disk $n_H$ |
|--------|-----------------|------------|
| NGC 891 ULX3 | 0.47(±0.08) | 0.19 (±0.05) |
| NGC 891 ULX4 | 0.64 (±0.08) | 0.37 (±0.06) |
| NGC 3556 ULX1 | 0.43 (±0.09) | - |
| NGC4157 UXL1 | 0.81 (±0.16) | 0.27 (±0.08) |

### 2.4 Follow Up on Transient Sources

The number of ULXs in a given galaxy appears to be correlated the star-formation rate of the galaxy (Swartz et al. 2009; Mineo et al. 2012, and references therein), as well as the metallicity (Prestwich et al. 2013). However, the role that transient ULXs play in this relationship is unclear, as is the cause of persistent versus transient sources.

We utilized archival XMM-Newton data to determine if these sources were observed in previous or subsequent archival observations in the data archive. Because XMM-Newton does have a much lower spatial resolution than Chandra blending of nearby sources is a major concern, and we do not extract and fit spectra. We do not consider new ULXs that may appear in XMM-Newton data, as there is no good way to quantify the confusion effect. Instead, we only consider cases where the count rate of at the position of the previously identified ULX sources is a non-detection, or consistent with the background. If a source appears to have a clear X-ray point source associated with it in the XMM-Newton image, then we consider it to be a persistent source over the time range probed in this study and do not conduct further analysis.

Currently archival data covers approximately a 17 year span of NGC 891 and a 6 year span of NGC 4157. NGC 891 was observed by XMM-Newton on 2002-08-22 (ObsId 0112280101), 2011-08-25 (ObsId 0670950101), and observed five times with in a month in 2017 (ObsIds 078076101-07876501), as well as twice by Chandra on 2000-11-01 (ObsId 794) and on 2011-12-20 (ObsId 14376). NGC 4157 was observed by XMM-Newton on 2004-05-17 (ObsId 0203170101) and by Chandra on 2010-08-21 (ObsId 11330). The ULXs in NGC 4157 were detected in all of the the Chandra and XMM-Newton observations, but only two of the ULXs in NGC 891 were persistent across the archival data.

One of the NGC 891 sources, identified in Hodges-Kluck et al. 2012 was not observed in 2000 or 2002, but had reached a high X-ray luminosity in the 2011 data and remained bright in the 2017 observations (Hodges-Kluck et al. 2018).

The other transient source, NGC 891 ULX2 was only detected in the second of the Chandra observations (ObsId 14376 taken in December, 2011), but was not detected in all of the previous and subsequent data sets (see Figure 8).

We estimated the upper limits on the non-detections in NGC 891 ULX2 in the following manner: for Chandra ObsId 794, the upper-limit calculation is based on 3$\sigma$ of 100 counts (from a measured background of 71 counts), using statistics from Gehrels
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Figure 1. DSS image of NGC 891 with ULXs (open circles, 5” radius) and all other X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius). ULX 1 and ULX 2, marked with dashed lines, are both transient sources (see Section 2.4).

Figure 2. DSS image of NGC 3556 with ULXs (open circles, 5” radius) and all other X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius).

Figure 3. DSS image of NGC 4157 with ULXs (open circles, 5” radius) and all other X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius).

Figure 4. DSS image of NGC 7331 with ULXs (open circles, 5” radius) and all other X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius). See Abolmasov et al. (2007) for a discussion of the potential optical counterparts to the ULXs in the galaxy centre.

(1986). The count rates were converted to a flux using pimmss, assuming a power-law index of 1.7 and $n_{H}$ consistent with the line-of-sight to the galaxy. The XMM-Newton upper limits were calculated using FLIX6 (with the exception of observation 078076101, for which FLIX gave no limit), and converted to the 0.5-8 keV range using pimmss and a power-law index of 1.7. We estimate that NGC 891 ULX2 is consistently detected below $2 \times 10^{38}$ erg/s, see Table 5. Based on the data in hand, the upper limit for the duration of the bright phase of NGC 891 ULX2 is at the very most approximately 5 years. The background subtracted lightcurve of the source was extracted using CIAO’s dmextract, and binned by 1, 10, 50 and 100 seconds but revealed no evidence of short-term variability.

2.5 Constraints on Optical Counterparts

Regions of these galaxies have been imaged by various instruments on the HST using a variety of narrow and wide band filters. Where possible we accurately aligned the HST and Chandra astrometry using the positions of all the X-ray point sources detected in the program galaxies (assuming positional uncertainties returned by wavdetect) and inspected the optical image at the location of the candidate ULXs in order to identify optical counterparts. Details

6 https://www.ledas.ac.uk/flx/flx.html
Table 5. Upper limits on non-detections of NGC 891 ULX2 in archival data. All observations are from XMM-Newton unless indicated otherwise.

| ObsID          | Date       | ObsLen (ks) | Flux (erg/s/cm²) | \(L_X\) (erg/s) |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 794 (Chandra) | 2000-11-01 | 57.0        | \(\leq 1.4 \times 10^{-14}\) | \(\leq 1.4 \times 10^{38}\) |
| 0112280101    | 2002-08-22 | 19.0        | \(\leq 1.7 \times 10^{-14}\) | \(\leq 1.6 \times 10^{38}\) |
| 0670950101    | 2011-08-27 | 133.0       | \(\leq 3.8 \times 10^{-15}\) | \(\leq 3.7 \times 10^{37}\) |
| 078076201     | 2017-01-29 | 73.0        | \(\leq 7.2 \times 10^{-15}\) | \(\leq 7.1 \times 10^{37}\) |
| 078076301     | 2017-02-24 | 74.0        | \(\leq 7.6 \times 10^{-15}\) | \(\leq 7.5 \times 10^{37}\) |
| 078076401     | 2017-02-20 | 74.4        | \(\leq 8.4 \times 10^{-15}\) | \(\leq 8.3 \times 10^{37}\) |
| 078076501     | 2017-02-26 | 73.8        | \(\leq 6.4 \times 10^{-15}\) | \(\leq 6.4 \times 10^{37}\) |

Figure 5. DSS image of NGC 2683 with X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius). No ULXs were identified in this galaxy.

Figure 6. DSS image of NGC 4013 with X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius). No ULXs were identified in this galaxy.

Figure 7. DSS image of NGC 7814 with X-ray point sources identified (diamonds, 2.5” radius). No ULXs were identified in this galaxy.

Figure 8. \(L_X\) versus observation date (MJD) for NGC 891 ULX2. The blue square is the Chandra observation, and the orange triangles represent upper limits from the XMM-Newton observations.

of the optical data will be presented in a future study of all X-ray binaries in these galaxies.

NGC891ULX1, NGC891ULX3, and NGC891ULX4 lie within the field of view of deep 7712s ACS/WFC exposures in the F606W and F814W bands obtained by HST program GO 91414. NGC891ULX1 and NGC891ULX3 are coincident with point like optical sources in or near dust lanes. NGC891ULX3 appears to be significantly bluer than other point sources in the immediate neighbourhood. NGC891ULX4 does not have a resolved counterpart.
NGC891ULX2 is located within the F450W and F814W WFPC2 images obtained by HST program SNAP 9042 and does not have an optical counterpart.

The NGC 3556 ULX candidates are located within a short exposure (160s) F606W WFPC2 images obtained by HST program SNAP 5446. Both of the candidates are coincident with optical candidates located at the outer edge of the Chandra positional accuracy. Due to the short exposure combined with the smaller field of view of the WFPC2 we could not refine the astrometric alignment of the HST and Chandra images using other X-ray sources in the field. The possible optical counterpart of NGC3556ULX1 is extremely bright, and if it is located in NGC 3556 and not a foreground star projected on the field. Such a luminous object would be among the handful of most massive globular clusters observed in any galaxy, well into the regime of ‘ultra compact dwarfs’ that are tidally stripped nuclei.

There are no HST observations of the NGC 4157 ULX candidates. As reported previously by Abolmasov et al. (2007) NGC7331ULX3 is associated with a stellar complex in a deep F814W ACS image obtained by HST program GO 15645. NGC7331ULX2 does not appear to have an optical counterpart. The location of NGC7331ULX1 has been imaged in the narrow band F658N filter by WFPC2 as part of HST program GO 11966. It does not have an optical counterpart.

3 RESULTS

In this work, we present a detailed spectroscopy of new and previously discovered ULX sources identified in Chandra observations of seven edge-on spiral galaxies. For sources with luminosity estimates exceeding the Eddington limit for a 10 $M_\odot$ black hole (10$^{39}$ erg/s), we match existing catalogs to determine if they have previously been identified as AGN or QSO.

We compare the ULX candidates to DSS images. The majority of the ULX candidates were aligned with the disk/star-forming region of the galaxy, with four having no clear optical counterpart. Because of the lack of optical counterparts, it is unclear if these sources are X-ray binaries, background galaxies or foreground stars.

We perform detailed X-ray spectroscopy on the ULX candidates to determine the nature of their X-ray emission, and fit them with absorbed power-law and absorbed blackbody disk models. The majority of the sources were equally well fit by either model, however, a handful of sources were harder and not well-fit by the disk model. This could be due to the high level of galactic absorption making it difficult to accurately model the soft components of the emission. The sources fit a range of X-ray luminosities and spectral parameters. The best fitting spectral parameters and X-ray luminosity are presented in Figures 9 and 10.

In the X-ray, two new sources were identified. The first is a bright, 10$^{38}$ erg/s ULX in NGC 4157, associated with the central region of the galaxy, with a hard power-law spectrum. The second newly discovered source is a transient ULX in NGC 891 discovered in a 2ks observation with an observed X-ray luminosity of 5x10$^{38}$ erg/s. Follow-up studies of archival XMM-Newton data (both prior to and after the Chandra detection) place a consistent upper limit of 2x10$^{38}$ erg/s. NGC 7331’s ULXs have been previously studied by in X-ray and optical Abolmasov et al. 2007, and Jin & Kong 2019 performed a comprehensive study in X-ray of the total available archival data. Our results are in agreement with these studies, although we calculate the luminosity based on a smaller distance, which naturally returns fewer ULX candidates.

| Source       | Power-Law $L_X$ x10$^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | Disk $L_X$ x10$^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| NGC 891 ULX1 | 10.30 ($\pm$ 0.23)                      | 7.83 ($\pm$ 2.37)                   |
| NGC 891 ULX2 | 4.14 ($\pm$ 1.17)                      | 3.47 ($\pm$ 1.32)                   |
| NGC 891 ULX3 | 5.41 ($\pm$0.41)                       | 3.55 ($\pm$0.20)                    |
| NGC 891 ULX4 | 8.24 ($\pm$ 0.53)                      | 6.03 ($\pm$ 0.29)                   |
| NGC 3556 ULX1 | 6.34 ($\pm$0.44)                      | 4.60 ($\pm$0.36)                    |
| NGC 3556 ULX2 | 0.93 ($\pm$0.21)                      | 0.59 ($\pm$0.16)                    |
| NGC 4157 ULX1 | 11.11 ($\pm$0.95)                      | 8.24 ($\pm$0.54)                    |
| NGC 4157 ULX2 | 1.07 ($\pm$0.25)                      | -                                   |
| NGC 4157 ULX3 | 1.99 ($\pm$1.51)                      | -                                   |
| NGC 7731 ULX1 | 1.22 ($\pm$0.24)                      | 1.01 ($\pm$0.24)                    |
| NGC 7731 ULX2 | 1.57 ($\pm$0.24)                      | 1.27 ($\pm$0.22)                    |
| NGC 7731 ULX3 | 2.03 ($\pm$0.03)                      | 1.55 ($\pm$0.46)                    |

Figure 9. $L_X$ versus $\Gamma$ for ULXs best fit by a power-law model. See Table 3 for measurements.

Figure 10. $L_X$ versus $T_{in}$ for ULXs best fit by a blackbody disk. See Table 3 for measurements.
**4 DISCUSSION**

We analyse a total of 9 *Chandra* observations of seven edge-on spiral galaxies, identifying 12 ULX candidates, all of them associated with the host galaxy.

### 4.1 Comparison to Predicted ULX Populations

The total average star formation rate (SFR) of these galaxies is 19.3 $M_{\odot}$/yr Kovlakas et al. (2021). With 12 ULX candidates identified, the ratio of ULXs per SFR is $\sim 0.62$. This rate is close to both the expectation from the Mineo et al. 2012 luminosity function (0.60) as well as the scaling relation from Kovlakas et al. 2020, (0.50).

### 4.2 NGC 891 ULX1 – a bulge ULX?

The brightest ULX in NGC 891 is projected some distance (~13" or $\sim 600$ pc) from the disk centre (Figure 1). This may indicate that the source formed in the bulge, rather than the disk. If so, a possible explanation, bolstered by the finding that the system shows evidence for a long duration transient (Hodges-Kluck et al. 2018), is that the system has an evolved donor with stable mass transfer, but an unstable accretion disk. Long period low mass X-ray binaries generally show long duration outbursts with peaks near the Eddington luminosity (e.g. Shahbaz et al. 1998; Portegies Zwart et al. 2004).

### 4.3 Transient ULXs

The duty cycle and/or formation rate of transient ULXs are difficult to quantify because the discovery of transient ULXs is wholly serendipitous. However, studying the behaviour of transient ULXs may improve our understanding of certain underlying physical mechanisms including the propeller effect, see, e.g., work by Earnshaw et al. 2020; Song et al. 2020.

This study identifies a transient ULX associated with the central region of NGC 891. Given the low numbers of transient ULXs that have been studied, and the scarcity of observations of NGC 891 ULX2 while it was active, understanding the physical mechanisms underlying the source is difficult. However, it merits comparison to the few better studied transient ULXs.

Given that it is located in the star-forming region of a spiral galaxy, it bears few similarities to the transient ULX source coincident with a globular cluster near NGC 1399 identified by Shih et al. 2010. The NGC 1399 source was active over a much longer baseline of at least 10 years, as it was observed in early ROSAT data (1993 and 1997) before turning off in 2003.

Although the length of the observation in which NGC 891 ULX2 was active was very short (~ 2ks), it does rule out a similarity to the flaring ULXs detected by Irwin et al. 2016, as the lightcurve of this source shows no evidence of variability, and the observed X-ray luminosity is at least an order of magnitude lower than the two flaring ULXs. Similarly, the transient ULX source observed in M86 by Jonker et al. 2013 shows a peak luminosity of $6 \times 10^{32}$ erg/s, which is also orders of magnitude higher than the observed luminosity of NGC 891 ULX2.

The clearest comparison NGC 891 ULX2 has to a transient ULX source is NGC 300 ULX1, which was observed as a supernova impostor in 2010, and was consistently observed until the source fell below Swift’s detection limit in 2019 (Vasilopoulos et al. 2019). The source had a luminosity of $5 \times 10^{39}$ erg/s (Carpano et al. 2018) and exhibited pulsations (Vasilopoulos et al. 2018), and was observed to have a red supergiant donor (Heida et al. 2019). The sources have similar measured peak luminosities of $5 \times 10^{39}$ erg/s and may have been active for similar time scales. However, given the limited data available on the NGC 891 ULX2 source, it is difficult to draw further comparisons.

While the sample of transient ULXs is small and difficult to study, the nature of transience in ULXs poses interesting questions for future studies, and in particular, quantifying the ULX population. Understanding the rich and diverse environments that form ULXs is the key to probe the cause and nature of transience in a subset of the sources.

### 4.4 Radial Distribution of X-ray Sources

As seen in Figure 11, all of the identified ULXs are associated with the host galaxy, and within its isophotal radius. This figure also shows the radial distribution of the sources below the ULX limit (the Chandra exposures are sensitive down to a few $10^{37}$ erg/s, although we are less sensitive to off-axis sources).

All of the ULXs are associated with the central regions of the galaxy (within 1 isophotal radius). The non-ULXs also peak within the isophotal radius, but are distributed outside the galactic centre as well. Any contamination from background or foreground sources or more likely over the larger area outside of the central regions, so the true central concentration is at least as large as the strongly concentration population observed.

### 4.5 Summary

We present a study of 7 edge-on spiral galaxies and in which we identify 12 candidate ULXs among many detected X-ray point sources. Each ULX candidate is within one isophotal radius of the galaxy, and projected onto the central regions. This suggests that these ULXs are located in the disk or bulge, and not the halo. The ratio of ULXs per SFR for this sample is consistent with predictions of ULX populations, such as those made by Mineo et al. 2012 and Kovlakas et al. 2020. Lastly, we uncovered two transient ULXs, which may be long-duration transients.
If long-duration transient ULXs are low mass X-ray binaries, as suggested by Shahbaz et al. 1998; Portegies Zwart et al. 2004, then one might expect to observe transient ULX sources in the halo. However, while the extant observations are less sensitive to detecting transient ULXs, the only two transient ULXs identified here are associated with the disk/bulge of their host galaxy, rather than the halo. Clearly a larger sample would aid our understanding of the population demographics.
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