Genome-Wide Profiling of *Plutella xylostella* Immunity-Related miRNAs after *Isaria fumosorosea* Infection
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The development of resistance by *Plutella xylostella* to almost all insecticides is of significant concern all over the world. Entomopathogenic fungi such as *Isaria fumosorosea* have been used as an alternative to insecticides. However, the knowledge of miRNA-regulated reactions against entomopathogenic fungi is still in its infant stage.

In the present study, *P. xylostella* was challenged with *I. fumosorosea* at four different time points (12, 18, 24, and 36 h) including a control, to build miRNA libraries by Illumina sequencing. The results of differential expression analysis exhibited that 23 miRNAs were differentially expressed, compared to control, in all treatments. It is worth mentioning, of these, some conserved miRNAs such as miR-2, miR-9a, miR-745, miR-7b, and miR-2767, known to play critical roles in host-pathogen interaction, were also identified.

Furthermore, differentially expressed miRNAs were validated by RT-qPCR. Our results provide an essential information for further functional studies of the interaction between *I. fumosorosea* and *P. xylostella* at the post-transcriptional level.
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INTRODUCTION

The diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), is recognized as a major invasive pest of Brassica crops worldwide. The annual control and damage costs for this pest has reached approximately at 4–5 billion dollars globally (Zalucki et al., 2012). The use of chemicals is considered as the major tool for suppressing *P. xylostella* populations, however, this pest quickly evolves insecticide resistance (Shakeel et al., 2017a). The growing concern of insecticide resistance coupled with their harmful effects on the environment has drawn the attention of worldwide researchers toward the development of alternative control strategies (Shakeel et al., 2017a). Therefore, the use of biological control agents, such as entomopathogenic fungi, has received an increased attention. There are several benefits of employing fungal biological control agents, including a decreased impact on the environment, less chance of resistance development, and decreased no-target effects (Lai and Su, 2011; Fan et al., 2012; Smalling et al., 2013). A number of entomopathogenic fungi have been isolated and used to control several insect pests, including *P. xylostella* (Altre et al., 1999; Leemon and Jonsson, 2008; Bukhari et al., 2011). Amongst them, *Isaria fumosorosea* has received attention to be used as a potential fungal biological control agent and has been used in various mycopesticides worldwide (Zimmermann, 2008).
The field of immunology, one of the fascinating facets of biology, has always attracted researchers to elucidate the mechanisms, molecular and cellular, involved in sensing and neutralizing the infectious foreign agents (Inlner, 2014). All multicellular organisms have developed a potent and diversified immune system to protect themselves from infectious microorganisms. Insects represent by far the most numerous and diverse group of multicellular organisms. Although insects lack adaptive immunity, specialized defense systems of vertebrates, they do have innate immunity that is consistent of cellular and humoral immune responses (Hultmark, 1993). The cellular innate immune response is mainly mediated by hemocytes and comprises phagocytosis, encapsulation, and nodulation (Lavine and Strand, 2002). The insect humoral reactions involve clotting, melanization, and production of potent antimicrobial peptides (Hoffmann and Reichhart, 2002).

MicroRNAs, small non-coding RNA molecules of 18–24 nucleotides in length, are vital regulators of gene expression at the post-transcriptional level in metazoans (Nehammer et al., 2015). In eukaryotes, gene expression is regulated by miRNAs via specific base-pairing with the 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of corresponding target genes (Bartel, 2009). There is an increasing number of reports that miRNAs play vital roles in many physiological processes, including development, apoptosis, cell division and differentiation, and immune challenge (Brennecke et al., 2003; Stark et al., 2003; Leaman et al., 2005; Asgari, 2011). While there is a well-established information available about the role of miRNAs in vertebrate development, knowledge is limited about their roles in insect host-pathogen interactions (Hussain and Asgari, 2014). Although the role of insect miRNAs against viruses is recognized, there is no report, until now, according to our information, on miRNA-regulated reactions against entomopathogenic fungi such as I. fumosorosea.

Previously, our results of RNA-Seq and differentially expressed gene expression (DGE) analysis of destruixin A and I. fumosorosea treated P. xylostella exhibited that most of the immunity-related genes were up-regulated in response to destruixin A injection, whereas I. fumosorosea has the ability to suppress the immune system of P. xylostella (Shakeel et al., 2017c; Xu et al., 2017). Therefore, given the fact that miRNAs play important role in host-pathogen interaction, herein, we aimed to explore the response of P. xylostella miRNAs to I. fumosorosea, and to determine how the abundance of differential expression of known and novel miRNAs changes following an infection and whether it varies at different times of infection. To achieve these results, we profiled miRNA expression in P. xylostella infected with I. fumosorosea at 12, 18, 24, and 36 h time points with a control using small RNA deep sequencing.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Insect Stock**
The susceptible population of P. xylostella was maintained under insecticide free conditions for 10 generations in the Engineering Research Centre of Biological Control, Ministry of Education, South China Agricultural University (SCAU). The insects were kept at 60−70% relative humidity and at 25 ± 1°C under a 16:8 h light: dark cycle.

**Fungal Strain and Samples Collection**
Stain I1B01 of I. fumosorosea (China Center for Type Culture Collection access number: CCTCC M 2012400) was grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) at 26°C. The conidia were prepared as described previously (Huang et al., 2010). Healthy third instar larvae of P. xylostella were selected and treated with 1 × 107 spores/ml suspension and then surviving larvae (50) were collected at 12, 18, 24, and 36 h, post-treatment. The control group larvae were treated with sterile deionized water containing 0.05% Tween-80 and the samples were collected at 0 h post-treatment.

**RNA Extraction, Small RNA Library Construction, and Sequencing**
Trizol Total RNA Isolation Kit (Takara, Japan) was used to extract total RNA from normal and treated larval samples following manufacturer’s instructions. The concentrations of RNA were assessed using Nanodrop (Bio-Rad, USA) and its integrity was determined on Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, USA). The small RNA libraries were constructed from each time-point of infection using a TruSeq small RNA sample preparation kit (Illumina). Briefly, RNAs were firstly ligated with 3′ adapter and after size fraction ligated to 5′ adapter. The small RNA fractions were then used for reverse transcription following PCR. The final ligation PCR products, after purification, were sequenced using Illumina Genome Analyzer (San Diego, CA, USA) at the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Shenzhen, China).

**Bioinformatics Analysis of Small RNA Sequences**
To screen clean reads, raw data reads were filtered to remove low-quality, 5′ primer contaminants, without 3′ primers and insert tag, and sequences fewer than 18 nucleotides. The remaining high-quality reads were initially mapped to P. xylostella genome (GCA_000330985.1) using Bowtie software (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and then annotated into different classes to remove rRNA, scRNA, snoRNA, snRNA, and tRNA using Rfam database. Finally, the unannotated clean sequences were used to predict novel miRNAs using the miRDeep2 software.

**Differential Expression Analysis of miRNAs**
The expression of miRNAs was compared between treatment and control to identify differentially expressed miRNAs. First, the expression of miRNA in the five libraries was normalized to transcripts per million (TPM). If the normalized expression of the miRNA was 0, it was modified to 0.01 to enable calculation. If the normalized expression of the miRNA was less than 1 in all libraries, it was ignored to compare for low expression. The normalization formula was:

$$\text{Normalized expression} = \frac{\text{Actual miRNA count}}{\text{Total count of clean reads} \times 10^6}.$$
The normalized data were then used to calculate fold-change values and P-values, and a scatter plot of the fold-change values was generated. Fold-change was calculated as:

\[ \text{Fold-change} = \log_2 \left( \frac{\text{Treatment}}{\text{Control}} \right) \]

The P-value was calculated by the following equation:

\[ p(x | y) = \left( \frac{N_2}{N_1} \right)^y \frac{(x + y)!}{x!y!(1 + \frac{N_2}{N_1})^{x+y+1}} \]

\[ C(y \leq y_{\min} | x) = \sum_{y=0}^{y_{\min}} p(y | x) \]

\[ D(y \geq y_{\max} | x) = \sum_{y=y_{\max}}^{\infty} p(y | x) \]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of Small RNA Dataset

To identify miRNAs in *I. fumosorosea* challenged *P. xylostella*, we constructed five small RNA libraries (TWEEN (TW), 12, 18, 24, and 36 h) using high-throughput Illumina sequencing platform. In total, 11,861,547; 11,872,699; 11,944,980; 11,956,814, and 11,866,077 raw reads were obtained, respectively. After low-quality sequences, adaptors, and sequences less than 18 nucleotides were discarded, 92.93, 98.17, 98.96, and 94.62% clean reads were obtained in TW, 12, 18, 24, and 36 h, respectively, for further analysis (Table 1).

The small RNA size distribution in the five libraries showed that most of the sRNAs ranged from 18 to 30 nt, with 28 nt being the most abundant following 22, and 23 nt (Figure 1) in the five libraries. The two peaks observed at 22 and 28 nt, in the present study, represent a typical length of miRNAs and piwi-interacting RNAs, respectively. Our findings are in consistency with the typical size of miRNAs and piwi-interacting RNAs in previous reports (Wei et al., 2009; Etebari et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016). Among the clean reads, 85.10% sRNAs were common between 12 and 18 h, 85.31% sRNAs between 24 and 12 h, 83.28% sRNAs between 24 and 18 h, 83.92% sRNAs between 24 and 36 h, 84.55% sRNAs between 24 h and TW, 84.89% sRNAs between 36 and 12 h, 83.91% sRNAs between 36 and 18 h, 86.44% sRNAs between 36 h and TW, 85.77% sRNAs between TW and 12 h, and 84.18% sRNAs between TW and 18 h, respectively.

Genome Mapping and Small RNA Annotation

Of the clean reads, 6,784,087, 6,965,570, 7,410,628, 7,023,256, and 6,510,880 reads from control, 12, 18, and 36 h accounted for 10 s with a dissociation curve generated from 65 to 95°C to ensure the purity of PCR products (Shakeel et al., 2015). Each experiment was replicated in triplicate. Finally, data analysis was performed using 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**Table 1**: The classification of total small RNAs of the *Plutella xylostella* by sequencing.

| Type               | Counts  | Percent | Counts  | Percent | Counts  | Percent | Counts  | Percent | Counts  | Percent |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| High-quality reads | 11,861,547 | 100     | 11,872,699 | 100     | 11,944,980 | 100     | 11,956,814 | 100     | 11,866,077 | 100     |
| 3’ adapter-null    | 27,731  | 0.23    | 45,757  | 0.39    | 3,422   | 0.03    | 2,394   | 0.02    | 29,205  | 0.25    |
| Insert-null        | 8,951   | 0.08    | 4,048   | 0.03    | 6,282   | 0.05    | 4,351   | 0.04    | 10,499  | 0.09    |
| 5’ adapter-contaminants | 205,353 | 1.73   | 61,614  | 0.52    | 49,186  | 0.41    | 25,940  | 0.22    | 75,571  | 0.64    |
| Smaller than 18 nt | 595,179 | 5.02    | 105,692 | 0.89    | 65,511  | 0.55    | 131,053 | 1.1     | 522,744 | 4.41    |
| PolyA              | 907     | 0.01    | 142     | 0       | 130     | 0       | 134     | 0       | 275     | 0       |
| Clean reads        | 11,023,426 | 92.93  | 11,655,446 | 98.17  | 11,820,449 | 98.96  | 11,792,942 | 98.63  | 11,227,783 | 94.62  |
for 61.54, 59.76, 62.69, 59.55, and 57.99%, respectively, and were mapped to the genome of P. xylostella (Supplementary Table 1). The annotation of sRNAs was carried out by following priority rule of rRNA etc.; (GenBank>Rfam) > known miRNA > repeat > exon > intron (Calabrese et al., 2007). The clean reads were categorized into miRNA, tRNA, snRNA, snoRNA, rRNA, and unannotated (unann). The composition of the sRNA classes in each library is displayed in Supplementary Figure 2.

### Identification of Known and Novel miRNAs

After successful mapping of clean reads against P. xylostella genome, the mapped miRNA sequences were matched to miRNAs reported by Etebari and Asgari (2016). Our analysis initially identified, based on sequence similarity, in total, 191 mature miRNAs. Then, precursor sequences of these mature miRNAs were aligned to those reported by Etebari and Asgari (2016), and 102 highly confident precursor miRNAs, which produced 172 of 194 mature miRNAs. Our analysis indicated that precursor miRNA sequences of the remaining 22 conserved miRNAs were not detectable in the current assembly of P. xylostella genome. After removing those known miRNAs with read count <10 in all libraries, remaining 116 known miRNAs with precursor sequences (Supplementary Table 2), and 15 miRNAs without precursor sequences (Supplementary Table 3) were retained for further analysis. The remaining sequences that were not matched to conserved miRNAs were used to predict novel miRNAs by using miRDeep2 program (Friedländer et al., 2012). The prediction of novel miRNAs analysis predicted 42 potential novel miRNAs from all the libraries (Supplementary Table 4) following the standard criteria of novel miRNA prediction with a miRDeep score >1, randfold P-value < 0.05, and MFE < −19 kcal/mol.

It is worth mentioning that a low copy number of miR-1, a conserved miRNA, was detected after parasitization in a previous report (Etebari et al., 2013), however, in the present study, miR-1 was the most abundant miRNA following pxy-let-7-5p, pxy-mir-184-3p, pxy-miR-10-3p, and miR-31-5p (Table 2). The abundant and common expression of these conserved miRNAs indicates that these miRNAs might play crucial roles in P. xylostella. Our results are in consistency with previous reports where a high expression of these miRNAs was observed in other insect small RNA libraries (Cai et al., 2010; Cristino et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012). Bantam, a most abundantly expressed miRNA, plays multiple roles in insects such as apoptosis inhibition, cell proliferation and stem cell stem cell maintenance, and immunity in Drosophila melanogaster (Smibert and Lai, 2010; Fullaondo and Lee, 2012). Although a high copy number of bantam was observed in our study, however, its up-regulation after infection was less than 1-fold. Let-7, a highly conserved miRNA, has also been reported to play an important role in immunity, for example, it binds to 3' UTR of antimicrobial peptide diptericin to repress translation of this protein in D. melanogaster (Garbuzov and Tatar, 2010). Interestingly, we
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**FIGURE 1** | Size distribution of small RNA reads in the libraries of Plutella xylostella. Different colors represent different libraries. X-axis represents small RNA length distribution and Y-axis represents frequency percentage. Tween (TW) was used as a control.

| miR_name      | Mature sequence          | Counts  |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------|
|               |                          | Tween (TW) | 12 h | 18 h | 24 h | 36 h |
| pxy-mir-1-3p  | TGGAAATGTAAAGAAGTATGGAG  | 371,221   | 289,079 | 276,684 | 241,868 | 404,666 |
| pxy-let7-5p   | TGGAGTTAGTAGGTTGTATAG    | 77,144    | 62,823  | 67,701  | 101,438 | 64,418  |
| pxy-mir-184-3p| TGGACGGAGAACTGATAAGGGC   | 45,689    | 37,401  | 40,854  | 48,942  | 27,575  |
| pxy-mir-10-3p | CAAATTCGGTTCTAGAGAGGTTT  | 18,052    | 11,877  | 12,032  | 13,447  | 16,493  |
| pxy-mir-31-5p | AGGCAAGATGTCGGCATAGCTGA  | 12,857    | 11,904  | 13,039  | 12,037  | 10,224  |
| pxy-mir-2755-3p| CACCCCTGCAGACCATCTTGGT  | 11,483    | 10,586  | 10,295  | 13,527  | 8,105   |
| pxy-mir-281-5p| AAGGAGAGCTATCCGTCGACAGT  | 9,156     | 10,361  | 10,200  | 7,132   | 10,957  |
| pxy-mir-10-5p | TACCCCTGATGACCGATTTGT    | 6,482     | 4,482   | 4,503   | 6,458   | 5,884   |
| pxy-mir-276-3p| TGGAAAGCTCAGCTGCAGCTCT   | 4,899     | 3,004   | 2,867   | 2,217   | 6,354   |
| pxy-mir-279c-3p| TGACTAGATGCATACCTGCTCGT  | 4,658     | 5,833   | 5,468   | 7,341   | 6,376   |

**TABLE 2** | Top 10 most abundant miRNAs commonly expressed in the five libraries of P. xylostella.

...
found that few miRNAs like miR-2755, miR-10, and miR-31 showed high expression in all treatments. A higher expression of these miRNAs after fungal treatment indicates that these miRNAs might play important roles in defending *P. xylostella* against pathogens.

The novel miRNA analysis identified 42 potential novel miRNAs in *P. xylostella* after infection (Supplementary Table 4). Among novel miRNAs, pxy-novel-miR-26 was the abundantly expressed miRNA following pxy-novel-miR-1, pxy-novel-miR-33, and pxy-novel-miR-35 (Supplementary Table 4).

**I. fumosorosea Responsive MiRNAs**

The differential abundance of host miRNAs, a common observation in host-pathogen systems, changes at different infection stages following an infection (Asgari, 2011). In the present study, to find out the *I. fumosorosea* responsive miRNAs, a differential expression analysis was performed using the sequencing results (Figure 2). The differential expression analysis exhibited that 13, 12, 16, and 5 known miRNAs were differentially expressed in 12, 18, 24, and 36 h, respectively, compared to control (Supplementary Table 5). The top five
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**FIGURE 2** | Volcano plot of differentially expressed microRNAs in *Plutella xylostella* post-infection. The volcano plots represent differentially expressed miRNAs at different time points (12, 18, 24, and 36 h) post-infection compared to control.
differentially expressed known miRNAs are presented in Table 3. Furthermore, 12, 19, 13, and 11 novel miRNAs were differentially expressed, in 12, 18, 24, and 36 h, respectively, compared to control (Supplementary Table 6).

Interestingly, in the present study, we found that the expression of few conserved miRNAs like miR-2, miR-9, miR-279, miR-745, miR-7b, and miR-2767 was changed following the infection of *I. fumosorosea*. Our findings suggest that these miRNAs might play very important roles in *P. xylostella* immunity to *I. fumosorosea*. In accordance to our study, previous reports also suggested the important roles of these conserved miRNAs in the immunity of different insects against different pathogens, such as bacteria-injected larvae of *Manduca sexta* and *Diaedgma semicaudatum* parasitized *P. xylostella* resulted in differential expression of miR-2, miR-9, and miR-279, indicating the role of these miRNAs in immunity against bacteria and parasite, respectively (Zhang et al., 2012; Etebari et al., 2013). It is of note that miR-9 has been predicted to play an essential role in signal recognition in *M. sexta*, and in toll pathway in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Fullaondo and Lee, 2012). It is worth mentioning that the read number of most of the miRNAs dropped after infection and, overall, only 3 miRNAs (miR-282, −2796, and −34) were up-regulated while 20 miRNAs were down-regulated in all the treatments compared to control. Previously, it has been reported that when *Galleria mellonella* was infected with entomopathogenic fungi, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, at larval stage, only one miRNA (miR-210b) showed differential expression (Mukherjee and Vilcinskas, 2014), whereas, in our study, 23 miRNAs were differentially expressed, however, miR-210b was not detected in our small RNA libraries.

### Validation of Differentially Expressed miRNAs by RT-qPCR

To validate small RNA sequencing results, 10 randomly selected miRNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR (Figure 3). The results exhibited that the trend of the expression level of the selected miRNAs showed consistency with sequencing results except for a

---

**TABLE 3**: Five common differentially expressed miRNAs at 12 and 18 h compared to Tween (TW) in *Plutella xylostella*.

| miRNA         | TW (TPM) | 12 h (TPM) | 12 h/TW | P-value  | FDR     | 18 h (TPM) | 18 h/TW | P-value  | FDR     |
|---------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|---------|------------|---------|----------|---------|
| pxy-mir-7b-5p | 17.64    | 3.92       | −2.169925 | 3.29E-20 | 2.44E-19 | 2.6         | 2.44E-19 | 2.6      | 2.76267033 | 5.81E-27 | 5.52E-26 |
| pxy-mir-2768-3p | 14.23    | 4.35       | −1.709848 | 1.94E-12 | 9.50E-12 | 2.7         | 2.79E-12 | 2.7      | 1.48036651 | 1.35E-10 | 6.30E-10 |
| pxy-mir-79-3p | 26.74    | 8.92       | −1.583884 | 5.50E-20 | 3.82E-19 | 9.06        | 9.06    | 9.06     | 1.56141651 | 4.42E-20 | 3.36E-19 |
| pxy-mir-8507-3p | 124.38   | 43.84      | −1.504435 | 8.98E-81 | 1.61E-79 | 57.56       | 57.56   | 57.56    | 1.11E166025 | 2.44E-52 | 3.97E-51 |
| pxy-mir-2a-3p | 11.49    | 5.11       | −1.168984 | 2.08E-06 | 6.78E-06 | 2.39        | 2.39    | 2.39     | 2.26529675 | 1.16E-14 | 6.83E-14 |

**FIGURE 3**: Validation of expression of ten miRNAs achieved by RT-qPCR and sRNA-Seq in *Plutella xylostella* after *Isaria fumosorosea* infection. Error bars represent ± SD from three independent experiments. U6 snRNA was used as an internal control.
few miRNAs like pxy-miR-2a-3p, pxy-miR-2b-3p, and pxy-miR-274-5p.

**Prediction and Annotation of miRNA Target Genes**

To better understand the function of differentially expressed miRNAs, putative target genes were predicted using the genome of *P. xylostella* using RNAhybrid, miRanda, and TargetScan software. Our target prediction results indicated that 30,930 common spots were detected between RNAhybrid and TargetScan, 30,818 between RNAhybrid and miRanda, and 31,942 between TargetScan and miRanda. When the target prediction results of all three software were combined, 30,699 common spots were detected and were selected for further analysis (Figure 4).

**Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Analysis**

The GO enrichment analysis was performed to classify the functions of miRNA target genes. The putative target genes were classified into three major categories, biological process, cellular component, and molecular function, of GO classification. Our results exhibited that cellular process, cell part, and catalytic activity (Rong et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2015).

To find out particular signaling pathways of the putative miRNA target genes, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis was performed. The most enriched categories were transport and catabolism, signal transduction, and cancers in cellular processes, environmental information processing, and human diseases, respectively, at all-time points of infection (Supplementary Figure 4).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, using high-throughput sRNA sequencing, we screened out *I. fumosorosea* responsive immunity-related miRNAs in *P. xylostella*. Based on our knowledge, this is the first study about immunity-related miRNA profiles of *P. xylostella* in response to *I. fumosorosea*. The major finding of this study is the identification of conserved immunity-related differentially expressed miRNAs such as miR-2, miR-9, miR-92, miR-745, and miR-2767. Our findings provide an essential information for further functional studies of the interaction between *I. fumosorosea* and *P. xylostella* at the post-transcriptional level.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

Our work confirms to the legal requirements of the country in which it was carried out.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceived and designed the experiments: FJ, MS, and XiaoxX. Performed the experiments: JX and XiaoxX. Analyzed the data: MS, XiaojX, JY, XZ, and JX. Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools: SL and SW. Wrote the manuscript: MS, JX, and XiaoxX. Revised the manuscript: MS, FJ, and XY.

**FUNDING**

This work was supported by grant from The National Natural Science Foundation of China (31371989, 31572069), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou China (201509010023) and Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong China (2014A020208106).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank BGI-Shenzhen for assisting in the sequencing.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2017.01054/full#supplementary-material
REFERENCES

Abdi, H. (2007). "Bonferroni and Sidák corrections for multiple comparisons," in Encyclopedia of Measurement and Statistics, ed N. Salkind (Thousand Oaks, CA: London: SAGE Publications), pp. 93–107.

Allen, E., Xie, Z., Gustafson, A. M., and Carrington, J. C. (2005). microRNA-directed phasing during trans-acting siRNA biogenesis in plants. Cell 121, 207–221. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.004

Altire, J. A., Vandenberg, J. D., and Cantone, F. A. (1999). Pathogenicity of Paecilomyces fumosoroseus isolates to diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella: correlation with spore size, germination speed, and attachment to cuticle. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 73, 332–338. doi: 10.1006/jipa.1999.4844

Asgari, S. (2011). Role of microRNAs in insect-host-microbial interactions. Front. Physiol. 2:48. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2011.00048

Bartel, D. P. (2009). microRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136, 215–233. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002

Brennecke, J., Hiepfnner, D. R., Stark, A., Russell, R. B., and Cohen, S. M. (2003). Bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene hid in Drosophila. Cell 113, 25–36. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00231-9

Bukhari, T., Takken, W., and Koenraadt, C. J. (2011). Development of Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria bassiana formulations for control of malaria mosquito larvae. Parasit. Vectors 4:23. doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-4-23

Cai, Y., Yu, X., Zhou, Q., Yu, C., Hu, H., Liu, J., et al. (2010). Novel microRNAs in silkworm (Bombyx mori). Funct. Integr. Genomics 10, 405–415. doi: 10.1007/s10142-010-0162-7

Calabrese, J. M., Sevilla, A. C., Yeo, G. W., and Sharp, P. A. (2007). RNA sequence analysis defines Dicer’s role in mouse embryonic stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.A. 104, 18097–18102. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0709193104

Cristino, A. S., Tanaka, E. D., Rubio, M., Puiachls, M.-D., and Belles, X. (2011). Deep sequencing of organ- and stage-specific microRNAs in the evolutionarily basal insect Blattella germanica (L) (Dictyoptera, Blattellidae). PLoS ONE 6:e19350. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019350

Etebari, K., and Hussain, M. (2013). Identification of immunity-related genes in Plutella xylostella using real-time quantitative PCR in Apis mellifera: comparison between nurses and foragers. Insect Mol. Biol. 21, 297–303. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2012.01135.x

Liu, F., Peng, W., Li, Z., Li, W., Li, L., Pan, J., et al. (2012). Next-generation small RNA sequencing for microRNAs profiling in Aphis gossypii: comparative studies of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR: an overview in insects. Insect Mol. Biol. 21, 517–527. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2935.2005.00128.x

Shakeel, M., Roseo, M., Nasim, W., Akram, W., Khan, F. Z. A., Jaleel, W., et al. (2017a). Environment pollution conventional chemical control compared to an environmentally friendly IPM approach for control of diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella (L.), in China: a review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 14537–14550. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-7993-6

Shakeel, M., Rodriguez, A., Tahir, U. B., and Jin, F. (2017b). Gene expression studies of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR: an overview in insects. Insect. Biotechnol. Lett. doi: 10.1007/s10529-017-2465-4. [Epub ahead of print].

Shakeel, M., Xu, X., Xu, J., Zhu, S., Li, S., Zhou, X., et al. (2017c). Identification of immunity-related genes in Plutella xylostella in response to fungal pest destruxin A: RNA-Seq and DGE analysis. Sci. Rep. 7:10966. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-11288-7

Shakeel, M., Zhu, X., Kang, T., Wan, H., and Li, J. (2015). Selection and evaluation of reference genes for quantitative gene expression studies in cotton bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Asia Pac. Entomol. 18, 123–130. doi: 10.1007/s12563-015-0011-3

Smalling, K. L., Kuiivila, K. M., Orlando, J. L., Phillips, B. M., Anderson, B. S., Siegler, K., et al. (2013). Environmental fate of fungicides and other current-use pesticides in a central California estuary. Marine Poll. Bull. 73, 144–153. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.05.028

Smibert, P., and Lai, E. C. (2010). A view from Drosophila: multiple biological functions for individual microRNAs. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 745–753. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2010.03.001

Smink, C., and Hussein, M. (2014). Overview of Drosophila immunity: a historical perspective. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 42, 3–15. doi: 10.1016/j.devimm.2013.08.018

Lai, T., and Su, J. (2011). Assessment of resistance risk in Spodoptera exigua (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to chlorantraniliprole. Pest Manag. Sci. 67, 1468–1472. doi: 10.1002/ps.2201

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923

Lavine, M. D., and Strand, M. R. (2002). Insect hemocytes and their role in immunity. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 32, 1295–1309. doi: 10.1016/S0965-1748(02)00982-9

Leaman, D., Chen, P. Y., Fak, J., Yalcin, A., Pearce, M., Unnerstall, U., et al. (2005). Antisense-mediated depletion reveals essential and specific functions of microRNAs in Drosophila development. Cell 121, 1097–1108. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.016

Leemon, D. M., and Jonsson, N. N. (2008). Laboratory studies on Australian isolates of Metarhizium anisopliae as a biopesticide for the cattle tick Boophilus microplus. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 97, 40–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2007.07.006

Li, X., Zhang, C., Fuentes, B., Zhang, Y., Zhou, X., and Cheng, D. (2016). Comparative profiling of microRNAs in the winged and wingless English grain aphid, Sitobion avenae (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae). Sci. Rep. 6:35668. doi: 10.1038/srep35668

Xu et al. DBM MicroRNAs after Isaria fumosorosea Infection
Xu, J., Xu, X., Shakeel, M., Li, S., Wang, S., Zhou, X., et al. (2017). The entomopathogenic fungi *Isaria fumosorosea* plays a vital role in suppressing the immune system of *Plutella xylostella*: RNA-Seq and DGE analysis of immunity-related genes. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1421. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01421

Xu, L.-N., Ling, Y.-H., Wang, Y.-Q., Wang, Z.-Y., Hu, B.-J., Zhou, Z.-Y., et al. (2015). Identification of differentially expressed microRNAs between *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab-resistant and -susceptible strains of *Ostrinia furnacalis*. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15461. doi: 10.1038/srep15461

Zalucki, M. P., Shabbir, A., Silva, R., Adamson, D., Shu-Sheng, L., and Furlong, M. J. (2012). Estimating the economic cost of one of the world’s major insect pests, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): just how long is a piece of string? *J. Econ. Entomol.* 105, 1115–1129. doi: 10.1603/EC12107

Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Jagadeeswaran, G., Ren, R., Sunkar, R., and Jiang, H. (2012). Identification and developmental profiling of conserved and novel microRNAs in *Manduca sexta*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 42, 381–395. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.01.006

Zimmermann, G. (2008). The entomopathogenic fungi *Isaria farinosa* (formerly *Paecilomyces farinosus*) and the *Isaria fumosorosea* species complex (formerly *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*): biology, ecology and use in biological control. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* 18, 865–901. doi: 10.1080/09583150802471812

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Xu, Li, Wang, Xu, Zhou, Yu, Yu, Shakeel and Jin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.