Encapsulation of Pollutant Gaseous Molecules by Adsorption on Boron Nitride Nanotubes: A Quantum Chemistry Study
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ABSTRACT: Based on density functional theory (DFT) and the semiempirical method PM7, we analyze the encapsulation process of polluting gases and/or their adsorption on different sites, viz., on the inner wall, the outer wall, and on the boron nitride (BN) nanotube ends, with chirality (7,7) armchair. DFT calculations are performed using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and the M06-2X method through the 6-31G(d) divided valence orbitals as an atomic basis. Various geometrical configurations were optimized by minimizing the total energy for all analyzed systems, including the calculation of vibrational frequencies, which were assumed to be of a nonmagnetic nature, and where the total charge was kept neutral. Results are interpreted in terms of adsorption energy and electronic force, as well as on the analysis of quantum molecular descriptors for all systems considered. The study of six molecules, namely, CCl4, CS2, CO2, CH4, C4H10, and C6H12, in gas phase is addressed. Our results show that C4H10, C6H12, and CCl4 are chemisorbed on the inner surfaces (encapsulation) and on the nanotube ends. In contrast, the other molecules CS2, CO2, and CH4 show weak interaction with the nanotube surface, leading thereby to physisorption. Our findings thus suggest that this kind of polluting gases can be transported within nanotubes by encapsulation.

INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in 1991,1 an increasing number of investigations have emerged dealing with these systems on account of their interesting optical, magnetic, and electronic properties, which have led to applications in different fields of science and technology. In particular, the search and design of new carbon-free nanomaterials have dramatically increased over the last few years. In 1994, Rubio et al.2 theoretically predicted the existence of boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs) by exploring the similarity between the conformation of carbon in graphite-type form and its analogs in boron nitride (BN) in hexagonal phase. Chopra et al.3 synthesized BN nanotubes for the first time by alternating boron and nitrogen atoms instead of carbon atoms. Despite the structural similarities, CNTs display considerable differences with respect to BNNTs, and also, the latter display better physical properties for a wide variety of applications as they exhibit high resistance to oxidation, excellent mechanical properties, and high thermal conductivity and chemical stability.4–6 A very important aspect of BNNTs is their possible functionalization, which allows for applications in different fields, such as nanomedicine and nano-biomaterial industries. Although functionalization can be achieved by π–π interactions, the one of covalent nature is hardly attained since BNNTs are chemically inert.7 To investigate these applications, one requires knowledge of nanotube noncovalent functionalization, which in turn is based on a systematic analysis of weak interactions that include hydrogen bonds, London dispersal, and van der Waals forces. Farmanzadeh et al.8 showed that the physicochemical parameters of the zigzag (9,0) and armchair (5,5) BNNT functionalization with different amino acids are favorable. In this way, BNNTs are able to adsorb molecules on the surface, becoming good drug transporters in biological environments. Also, zigzag BNNTs with (14,0) chirality may encapsulate dopamine and caffeine molecules,9 with weak interactions resulting in physisorption. The study by Xu et al.10 indicates that the adsorption energy of some drug molecules is larger when they are encapsulated inside the BNNT as compared to outside interactions. It has also been reported that the highest occupied molecule orbital (HOMO)—lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)
The energy gap of BNNTs is ∼5.5 eV, which may decrease when functionalized with certain molecules. To study the properties and applications of BNNTs, chemical functionalization is performed in the nanotubes, defining the nanotube length such that chemical bonds may be formed by functional groups or atoms. BNNT is usually passivated at the ends with hydrogen atoms (BNNT-H), although functionalization with hydroxyl (−OH) and thiol (−SH) groups has also been accomplished. The BNNT-H systems are the most utilized nanotubes in molecular adsorption studies because they offer greater chemical stability as compared to nanotubes functionalized with hydroxyl groups, BNNT-OH. Armchair (7,7) BNNT was considered in this work on account of its high chemical stability, as based on the value of its global hardness molecular descriptor, \( \eta \). The physisorption and chemisorption processes are relevant to study the adsorption of pollutant molecules on the surfaces of BNNTs that give rise to environmental issues.

Currently, several studies are focused on the adsorption of polluting gases, where new materials are proposed for their uptake. In this work, (7,7) BNNT-H is studied as one feasible material that may efficiently adsorb through an encapsulation process carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), carbon disulfide (CS₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), butane (C₄H₁₀), and cyclohexane (C₆H₁₂) molecules, which in turn act as precursors of other harmful environmental reactions. Carbon tetrachloride constitutes a chemical compound that has been widely used in the chemical industry to dissolve nonpolar compounds, such as greases and oils. Although its use was banned in The Montreal Protocol in 1987, relatively high concentrations of CCl₄ are still detected in the air. In addition, the biotransformation of CCl₄ generates two very reactive radicals (chloromethyl and trichloromethyl) that, by lipid peroxidation, modify cellular processes of many organisms. Carbon disulfide is industrially used for the vulcanization of rubber, and it is toxic in many physiological processes, as it damages the reproductive system. Studies suggest that women who have been exposed to carbon disulfide for a long time suffer from a high rate of pregnancy losses, spontaneous abortion, and birth defects. Cyclohexane is an organic pollutant used as an industrial solvent, and it is often present in wastewater and can cause oxidative damage in mice and DNA.

### RESULTS

Pictures of the optimized structures of BNNTs and gaseous molecules are shown in Figure 1. The calculated average B–N bond length is ∼1.45 Å, which is in agreement with those in previous reports. At the optimized nanotube ends, it is observed that the N–H bond length is slightly shorter than the B–H length, 1.01 and 1.19 Å, respectively. This is because nitrogen electronegativity is greater than that of boron, hence higher binding energy and a shorter distance due to the stronger attraction.
The global hardness parameter for armchair and zigzag BN nanotubes is shown in Figure 2 to understand the stability of the system; higher values of $\eta$ imply greater stability. Clearly, the BN nanotubes with armchair chirality have the largest values of $\eta$, thus indicating more stability than the zigzag-type chirality. There is a pronounced dependence on the global hardness of the zigzag nanotubes with respect to chirality; the higher the chirality number, the more stable the $(n,0)$ BNNT becomes, while the variation of the armchair BNNTs with respect to chirality is negligible.

**Adsorption Energy.** Adsorption energy calculations of the (7,7) BNNT-gas molecule complexes were performed, as mentioned in the Computational Method section, using two different functionals, Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) and M06-2X, shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the $E_{ads}$ values, calculated with the M06-2X functional, are larger than those obtained with the PBE functional. This may be ascribed to the fact that the M06-2X functional provides a better description of weak interaction forces, such as dispersion and the van der Waals forces, which is consistent with the description by Zhao and Truhlar. Adsorption energy determines how energetic the van der Waals forces, which is consistent with the description by Zhao of weak interaction forces, such as dispersion and the van der Waals interactions between the adsorbed molecule and the solid surface.

In the present work, we assume that chemisorption occurs when $E_{ads} > 0.5$ eV, then there is a physisorption process, and if $E_{ads} < -0.5$ eV, a chemisorption process occurs. Physisorption involves van der Waals interactions between the adsorbed molecule and the solid surface. In the present work, we assume that chemisorption occurs when $|E_{ads}| > 0.5$ eV, even though no chemical bond is actually formed. Classification of chemisorbed species by following such a criterion has been proposed by several authors. Henzler and Göpel consider “chemisorption” as the term utilized to describe a strong interaction where the corresponding energies are above 50 kJ/mol, which is $\sim 0.518$ eV. Therefore, a more negative $E_{ads}$ value denotes stronger interactions in the gas molecule–nanotube systems. We notice that all BNNT-X systems (X = gas molecule) possess negative energy values, which indicates that all complexes, regardless of the functional implemented, are energetically stable. The total energies were optimized and verified through the calculation of vibrational frequencies of the system (Tables 1 and 2).

For the complexes reported in Table 1, it was found that all adsorption energies, calculated using the PBE functional, result in physisorption in the three different geometries. This functional underestimates the values of the adsorption energy between the nanotube and the gaseous molecule. This may be because the functional M06-2X adds noncovalent interactions between the nanotube and the gaseous molecule. This may be because the functional M06-2X adds noncovalent interactions and hydrogen bond interactions, as mentioned in the Computational Method section. On the other hand, the values calculated by means of the M06-2X functional indicate chemisorption in the armchair nanotube complexes, (7,7) BNNT-C6H12, and (7,7) BNNT-CCl4 for Geometries 1 and 3, with adsorption energy values of $-0.5411$, $-0.8263$, and $-0.6836$ and $-0.5411$, $-0.8128$, and $-0.6684$ eV, respectively. Geometry 2 is thus the least favorable among the three different geometries that were studied. In Figure 3, we show this behavior when representing the modulus of the adsorption energy.

**Quantum Molecular Descriptors.** To investigate the reactivity of the molecules analyzed here by means of the DFT approach, we calculate the global molecular descriptors, such as the chemical potential ($\mu$), global hardness ($\eta$), and the electrophilicity index ($\omega$). These parameters have been widely used in studies of computational chemistry, which are calculated through the HOMO and LUMO energies. In Tables 3–6 are summarized such molecular parameters.

It should be noted that the adsorption energies significantly vary for the different molecules. By contrast, the molecular descriptors $\eta$, $\mu$, and $\omega$ remain almost constant for all analyzed systems in each of the three considered geometries.

The molecular descriptor value $\eta$ in the (7,7) BNNT-C6H10 system in Geometry 1 is $\sim 2.8337$ eV, which remains almost unchanged in Geometries 2 and 3 with values of 2.8333 and 2.8265 eV, respectively. The systems (7,7) BNNT-C6H12, (7,7) BNNT-CH4, (7,7) BNNT-C6H10, and (7,7) BNNT-CO2 display similar chemical stability to that of the pristine (7,7) BNNT, with values of $\eta$ and $\mu$ $\sim 2.83$ and $-6.66$ eV, respectively. This means that adsorption of molecules induces only small changes in the nanotube electronic properties. By contrast, (7,7) BNNT-CS2 and (7,7) BNNT-CCl4 systems...
CS2 adsorption may modify the electronic structure of the nanotube. Therefore, this system may be considered as the energetically most favorable structure.

**Molecular Orbitals and \( \Delta N \).** The HOMOs/LUMOs are fundamental to calculate the approximate energy gap defined as the absolute value of the energy difference between the HOMOs and the LUMOs. It is important to mention that the energy gap is a parameter useful to determine electrical conductivity (\( \sigma \)). Values of \( \Delta N \) shown in Table 7 suggest that the molecules studied here behave as electron donors since all values are positive, which leads to a charge flux from the molecule (X) to the BNNT. CCl4 and CS2 are, respectively, the molecules with the largest and the second-largest charge transfer to the nanotube. These results indicate that (7,7) BNNT-CS2 and (7,7) BNNT-CCl4 are the most reactive systems as inferred from the strong electronic interactions they display. This can be observed from Figures 4–6, where the HOMOs are distributed on the CS2 and CCl4 molecules. On the other hand, the LUMOs are on the B atoms at the nanotube ends in all three geometries, which are associated with the total charge transfer. In the (7,7) BNNT-CH4, C6H12, C4H10, and CO2 systems, the HOMOs are distributed along the nanotube with a high concentration on the N atoms. In these systems, the LUMOs are located on the B atoms of the nanotube ends, except at Geometry 3 of the (7,7) BNNT-CS2 and (7,7) BNNT-CCl4 systems, where the LUMOs are at the nanotube end, with the molecule being located nearby.

**Geometrical Details of the Encapsulation of Molecules.** This section is devoted to describing geometries associated with the largest adsorption energies. Discussion is mainly focused on Geometry 3 (molecules at one end of the nanotube), where the energies are quite similar to those of Geometry 1 (the molecule remains inside the nanotube); see Table 1. Encapsulation of the CCl4, C6H12, CH4, C4H10, and CS2 molecules inside (7,7) BNNT is studied in terms of the

### Table 2. Adsorption Energy (eV) of (7,7) BNNT-X Complexes for Three Different Geometries

| geometry type | adsorption energy | (7,7) BNNT-CH4 | (7,7) BNNT-C6H12 | (7,7) BNNT-C4H10 | (7,7) BNNT-CO2 | (7,7) BNNT-CS2 | (7,7) BNNT-CCl4 |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Geometry 1    | E_{ads}            | −0.2174        | −0.5541         | −0.8263         | −0.3206        | −0.4216        | −0.6836        |
|               | E_{ads \_ ZPE}    | −0.1793        | −0.5374         | −0.8189         | −0.3087        | −0.4111        | −0.6658        |
|               | E_{ads \_ BSSE}   | −0.1414        | −0.3492         | −0.5436         | −0.1527        | −0.2690        | −0.4560        |
| Geometry 2    | E_{ads}            | −0.1131        | −0.2317         | −0.2324         | −0.2521        | −0.2800        | −0.2548        |
|               | E_{ads \_ ZPE}    | −0.0781        | −0.2105         | −0.2206         | −0.2350        | −0.1981        | −0.2411        |
|               | E_{ads \_ BSSE}   | −0.0599        | −0.1250         | −0.1338         | −0.1427        | −0.1299        | −0.1491        |
| Geometry 3    | E_{ads}            | −0.2120        | −0.5410         | −0.8128         | −0.1832        | −0.4210        | −0.6684        |
|               | E_{ads \_ ZPE}    | −0.1788        | −0.5198         | −0.8085         | −0.1660        | −0.4107        | −0.6553        |
|               | E_{ads \_ BSSE}   | −0.1366        | −0.3381         | −0.5265         | −0.1172        | −0.2965        | −0.4421        |

*Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d). The adsorption energy is calculated considering the zero-point energy (ZPE), i.e., at the lowest vibrational level of the system at 0 K. Adsorption energy values were obtained through eq 8 and include the basis set superposition error (BSSE).*

### Table 3. Optimized Total Energy (\( E_{\text{TOTAL}} \)) for Pristine (7,7) BNNT, Energy of the Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital (\( E_{\text{HOMO}} \)), Energy of the Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (\( E_{\text{LUMO}} \)), and Energies of the Quantum Molecular Descriptors

| quantum molecular descriptors for pristine (7,7) BNNT | \( E_{\text{TOTAL}} \) | \( E_{\text{HOMO}} \) | \( E_{\text{LUMO}} \) | \( E_g \) band gap | \( I = -E_{\text{HOMO}} \) | \( A = -E_{\text{LUMO}} \) | \( \eta = (I - A)/2 \) | \( \mu = -(I + A)/2 \) | \( \omega = \mu^{2}/2\eta \) |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| −243 308.31                                         | −9.5000                | −3.8349                | 5.6651                 | 9.5000                 | 3.8349                 | 2.8325                 | −6.6674                | 7.8471                  |

*Chemical potential (\( \mu \)), global hardness (\( \eta \)), electrophilicity index (\( \omega \)), energy gap (\( E_g \)), ionization potential (\( I \)), and electronic affinity (\( A \)). Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d). All values are given in eV.*
adsorption energy along the nanotube symmetry axis, which is labeled $z$ (nm), as the symmetry dictates (see Figure 7). Energy optimization has been performed by a geometrical relaxation process along this axis. The adsorption energy $E_{ads}$ and the corresponding $z$ range characterizing each gaseous molecule are as follows: $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 \left[ -0.8129 \text{ eV}, -1.2 \text{ nm} < z < 1.2 \text{ nm} \right]$, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12} \left[ -0.5411 \text{ eV}, -1.6 \text{ nm} < z < 1.6 \text{ nm} \right]$, $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{10} \left[ -0.6684 \text{ eV}, -2 \text{ nm} < z < 2 \text{ nm} \right]$, $\text{CH}_4 \left[ -0.2121 \text{ eV}, -1.6 \text{ nm} < z < 1.6 \text{ nm} \right]$, and $\text{CS}_2 \left[ -0.4211 \text{ eV}, -1.5 \text{ nm} < z < 1.5 \text{ nm} \right]$.

In Figure 8 is depicted the force required to encapsulate or release molecules depending on the applied force direction, that is, starting from the origin, by moving toward or away from it, according to the nanotube symmetry. The depth and width of the component magnitude force well are directly

| Geometry 1 | quantum molecular descriptors for optimized geometries of polluting gases at the center of (7,7) BNNT |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $E_{\text{TOTAL}}$ | NT-CH$_4$ | NT-C$_3$H$_6$ | NT-C$_5$H$_{10}$ | NT-CO$_2$ | NT-CS$_2$ | NT-CCl$_4$
| $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $-244.409.8960$ | $-247.617.0685$ | $-249.722.8760$ | $-248.435.7957$ | $-266.011.6693$ | $-294.428.7821$
| $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $-9.5019$ | $-9.5019$ | $-9.5016$ | $-9.5008$ | $-7.4964$ | $-7.5519$
| $E_{\text{gap}}$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8351$ | $-3.8351$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8349$
| $I = -E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $9.5019$ | $9.5019$ | $9.5016$ | $9.5008$ | $7.4964$ | $7.5519$
| $A = E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8351$ | $3.8351$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8349$
| $\eta = (I - A)/2$ | $2.8331$ | $2.8333$ | $2.8332$ | $2.8329$ | $1.8307$ | $1.8638$
| $\mu = -(I + A)/2$ | $-6.6680$ | $-6.6685$ | $-6.6684$ | $-6.6678$ | $-5.6656$ | $-5.6984$
| $\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$ | $7.8467$ | $7.8474$ | $7.8475$ | $7.8469$ | $8.7667$ | $8.7111$

“Chemical potential ($\mu$), global hardness ($\eta$), electrophilicity index ($\omega$), energy gap ($E_{\text{gap}}$), ionization potential ($I$), and electronic affinity ($A$). Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d). All values are given in eV.”

| Geometry 2 | quantum molecular descriptors for optimized geometries of polluting gases on the outer surface of (7,7) BNNT |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $E_{\text{TOTAL}}$ | NT-CH$_4$ | NT-C$_3$H$_6$ | NT-C$_5$H$_{10}$ | NT-CO$_2$ | NT-CS$_2$ | NT-CCl$_4$
| $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $-244.409.7920$ | $-247.616.7461$ | $-249.722.8281$ | $-248.435.7272$ | $-266.011.4558$ | $-294.428.3533$
| $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $-9.5011$ | $-9.5019$ | $-9.5016$ | $-9.5008$ | $-7.4964$ | $-7.5523$
| $E_{\text{gap}}$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8351$ | $-3.8351$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8349$ | $-3.8349$
| $I = -E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $9.5011$ | $9.5019$ | $9.5016$ | $9.5008$ | $7.4964$ | $7.5523$
| $A = E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8351$ | $3.8351$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8349$ | $3.8349$
| $\eta = (I - A)/2$ | $2.8331$ | $2.8333$ | $2.8332$ | $2.8329$ | $1.8307$ | $1.8638$
| $\mu = -(I + A)/2$ | $-6.6680$ | $-6.6685$ | $-6.6684$ | $-6.6678$ | $-5.6656$ | $-5.6984$
| $\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$ | $7.8469$ | $7.8474$ | $7.8475$ | $7.8469$ | $8.7667$ | $8.7111$

“Chemical potential ($\mu$), global hardness ($\eta$), electrophilicity index ($\omega$), energy gap ($E_{\text{gap}}$), ionization potential ($I$), and electronic affinity ($A$). Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d). All values are given in eV.”

| Geometry 3 | quantum molecular descriptors for optimized geometries of polluting gases on the end of the nanotube (7,7) BNNT |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $E_{\text{TOTAL}}$ | NT-CH$_4$ | NT-C$_3$H$_6$ | NT-C$_5$H$_{10}$ | NT-CO$_2$ | NT-CS$_2$ | NT-CCl$_4$
| $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $-244.409.8910$ | $-247.617.0555$ | $-249.722.8625$ | $-248.435.6583$ | $-266.011.6688$ | $-294.428.7669$
| $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $-9.5008$ | $-9.5019$ | $-9.5027$ | $-9.5003$ | $-7.4880$ | $-7.5541$
| $E_{\text{gap}}$ | $-3.8376$ | $-3.8487$ | $-3.8483$ | $-3.8392$ | $-3.8340$ | $-3.8351$
| $I = -E_{\text{HOMO}}$ | $9.5008$ | $9.5019$ | $9.5027$ | $9.5003$ | $7.4880$ | $7.5541$
| $A = E_{\text{LUMO}}$ | $3.8376$ | $3.8487$ | $3.8483$ | $3.8392$ | $3.8340$ | $3.8351$
| $\eta = (I - A)/2$ | $2.8316$ | $2.8265$ | $2.8294$ | $2.8305$ | $1.8224$ | $1.8594$
| $\mu = -(I + A)/2$ | $-6.6692$ | $-6.6753$ | $-6.6733$ | $-6.6697$ | $-5.6655$ | $-5.6946$
| $\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$ | $7.8539$ | $7.8823$ | $7.8696$ | $7.8582$ | $8.8062$ | $8.7199$

“Chemical potential ($\mu$), global hardness ($\eta$), electrophilicity index ($\omega$), energy gap ($E_{\text{gap}}$), ionization potential ($I$), and electronic affinity ($A$). Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d). All values are given in eV.”
related to the adsorption energy \( F_z = \frac{dE_{ads}}{dz} \), where \( E_{ads} \) is the adsorption energy and \( z \) is the position along the axial direction of the nanotube (7,7) BNNT. Through this procedure, the approximate binding force value and the \( z \) interval in which it operates, obtained for each molecule, are as follows: C\(_6\)H\(_{12}\) \([0.330 \text{ nN}, -0.8 \text{ nm} < z < 0.8 \text{ nm}]\), C\(_4\)H\(_{10}\) \([0.236 \text{ nN}, -1.2 \text{ nm} < z < 1.2 \text{ nm}]\), CCl\(_4\) \([0.217 \text{ nN}, -1.5 \text{ nm} < z < 1.5 \text{ nm}]\), CH\(_4\) \([0.085 \text{ nN}, -1.2 \text{ nm} < z < 1.2 \text{ nm}]\), and CS\(_2\) \([0.015 \text{ nN}, -1.25 \text{ nm} < z < 1.25 \text{ nm}]\). To summarize, in the decreasing order of binding force, the molecules are listed as cyclohexane, butane, carbon tetrachloride, methane, and carbon disulfide. Regarding the geometrical relaxation process, the (7,7) BNNT-CO\(_2\) system in Geometry 3 appears to be the least favorable one.

NCI Analysis. ProgramMultinfn\(^{52}\) was employed to analyze the noncovalent bonds, where the dominant adsorbate–adsorbent interaction is van der Waals type (depicted in green in Figure 9).

In Figure 10 are displayed the reduced density gradient (RDG) isosurfaces of the (7,7) BNNT-CCl\(_4\), (7,7) BNNT-CS\(_2\), (7,7) BNNT-CO\(_2\), (7,7) BNNT-CH\(_4\), and (7,7) BNNT-C\(_6\)H\(_{12}\) systems. Observe how the different colors depict the various ranges for the value of \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2)\rho \) on the surface. The RDG quantifies the interactions operating in the system, where those leading to a stable configuration are van der Waals type. On the other hand, the role played by the hydrogen bonds and steric interactions is characteristic of the (7,7) BNNT structure. Values on the Y-axis of Figure 11, given in atomic units, provide information on the RDG relative proportion, and positions on the X-axis relate to the sign of the interactions: those on the negative side (of attractive nature since the eigenvalue sign is <0) of the horizontal axis refer to hydrogen bonds (in red) and van der Waals (in green, \( \lambda_2 \sim 0 \)) type, whereas those on the positive side (of repulsive nature since the eigenvalue sign is >0) correspond to steric interactions (in blue). In each ring of the (7,7) BNNT structure, we can discern small areas of steric interactions, which are crucial to the formation and stability of a finite cylindrical nanostructure. The great similarity between Geometries 1 and 3, in contrast to the marked difference between Geometries 1 and 2, that the RGD shows should be noted. This is especially shown by the green regions in Figure 11A–D.

**DISCUSSION**

BNNT interaction with the molecules C\(_6\)H\(_{12}\), C\(_4\)H\(_{10}\), and CH\(_4\) is favored due to a net hydrogen bond attraction between the nanotube nitrogen atoms and the hydrogen atoms of the organic molecules (B=N–H–C). Hence, the more the number of hydrogen atoms contained in the gaseous molecules, the larger the contribution to their adsorption, which follows from the adsorption energy ordering obtained as
follows: $E_{ads}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}) > E_{ads}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}) > E_{ads}(\text{CH}_4)$ (see Table 1). However, we observe that the CCl$_4$ $E_{ads}$ is the second highest despite the lack of hydrogen atoms in its structure. In this case, chemisorption is favored by a $\sigma$-hole effect, where a positive electrostatic potential is induced on the halogen surface, i.e., an electrostatic interaction occurs between the BNNT nitrogen atoms and the CCl$_4$ chlorine atoms. A similar mechanism is observed for the adsorption of CS$_2$, due to a positive electrostatic potential induced on the sulfide atoms. We can thus summarize the adsorption energies as follows: [B–N–H–C](C$_6$H$_{12}$) > [\sigma\text{-hole electrost interact}](CCl$_4$) > [B–N–H–C](C$_4$H$_{10}$) > [electrost interact](CS$_2$).

The electronic transfer $\Delta N$ is an important quantity that denotes the net molecule–nanotube charge transfer (see Table 7). Our findings indicate an overall electrostatic interaction between the nanotube BN (7,7) and the various polluting molecules, as obtained by the quantum molecular descriptors, where it is to be emphasized that such interactions proceed at distances in the range of 2.5 to 3 Å. Therefore, this strongly supports a van der Waals adsorbate–adsorbent interaction and its relevant contribution in the encapsulation process. See Figures 9-11 where such a process is illustrated through the NCI analysis performed on all systems studied in this report.

Regarding short-range steric effects, the NCI analysis indicates that they occur at the center of the cyclohexane groups in the nanotube. This can be seen through the RDG method, which has been applied to all systems here analyzed, where the same interaction pattern is observed either inside or outside the nanotube. Such steric effects are associated with the positive RDG values (depicted in blue in Figures 10 and 11) and, together with the electrostatic and long-range interactions, contribute in an important way to the stability of the nanotube.

Other authors have reported small electrostatic potential differences between the BNNT inner and outer surfaces when interacting with drug compounds, which can be ascribed to

![Figure 5. HOMO and LUMO description of the (7,7) BNNT-X complexes in Geometry 2.](image-url)
the symmetry and the curvature of the nanotube. Also, adsorption of bromomethane has been obtained on the outer surface of aluminum nitride, boron nitride, and carbon and silicon carbide nanotubes, where the strength of adsorption is favored by a particular shape of the nanotube surface. Likewise, in the present work, adsorption of gaseous molecules is found to be favored by the nanotube inner surface, which corresponds to the above-described Geometry 1, i.e.,
encapsulation of X (=CCl4, CS2, CO2, CH4, C4H10, and C6H12) gases on (7,7) BNNT.

In Figure 9, we clearly see that in all cases, the interaction region VW (depicted in green) is more extended for the inner than for the outer surface. This may be explained by an induced confinement effect where the gas inside the tube (inner surface) remains in a larger contact area as compared to the outside region. Refer to the section of Geometrical Details of the Encapsulation of Molecules. In this connection, we report in Table 8 the quantities ΔE_{ads-G2} and ΔE_{ads-G3}, which correspond to the difference between the outer and inner surface adsorption energies, where in the first, the polluting molecule is at one end of the nanotube whereas in the second is at the center of the nanotube. A clear adsorption energy gap can be discerned when comparing both quantities.
To design nanotubes of high contaminant-trapping rates, one would need to explore the interplay of steric effects and how large their effective inner and outer surface areas might become. Studies along these lines are beyond the purpose of the present report since they would require us to analyze chirality variation along the length and width of the nanotube. Investigating thermal and pressure effects at the experimental level for these compounds, in connection with encapsulation processes, would require calculational techniques outside the scope of this work. However, studies based on ground-state energy and electronic structure of the molecular species here analyzed represent the first step in that line of research.

Important contributions have been presented in some pioneering studies by analyzing the adsorption energies of $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CH}_4$ on the outer wall of BN nanostructures. They report an adsorption energy value $|E_{\text{ads}}| = 0.17 \text{ eV}$ for (5,5) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$, which compares fairly well with ours $|E_{\text{ads}}| = 0.12 \text{ eV}$ for (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$, obtained via DFT/PBE/6-31G(d), without dispersion corrections. Furthermore, when dispersion interactions are included and the value $|E_{\text{ads}}| = 0.37 \text{ eV}$ for (5,5) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$ is compared with that of adsorption on the outer wall of the (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$ nanotube in our work, we obtain $|E_{\text{ads}}| = 0.25 \text{ eV}$ via DFT/M06-2x/6-31G(d), and on encapsulation of (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$, $|E_{\text{ads}}| = 0.32 \text{ eV}$ using the same theoretical treatment, a full accord is clearly found. We point out that weak contributions are thus at play in pristine BN nanostructures through van der Waals interactions, apparently independent of chirality.

On the other hand, Lu et al. conclude that a higher selectivity and adsorption capacity is observed for $\text{CO}_2$ as shown in Figure 11. Observe how the different colors depict the various ranges for the value of $\text{sign}(\lambda_2)\rho$ on the surface. The RDG quantifies the interactions operating in the system, where those leading to a stable configuration are van der Waals type. On the other hand, the role played by hydrogen bonds and steric interactions is characteristic of the (7,7) BNNT structure. Values on the $Y$-axis of the figure, given in atomic units, provide information on the RDG relative proportion, and positions on the $X$-axis relate to the sign of the interactions: those on the negative side (of attractive nature since the eigenvalue sign is $<0$) of the horizontal axis refer to hydrogen bonds (in red) and van der Waals (in green) type, these being mostly associated with the encapsulation mechanism, whereas those on the positive side (of repulsive nature since the eigenvalue sign is $>0$) correspond to steric interactions (in blue). Reduced density gradient (RDG) isosurface of (A) (7,7) BNNT-$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ at Geometry 1, (B) (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CH}_4$ at Geometry 1, (C) (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CH}_4$ at Geometry 2, and (D) (7,7) BNNT-$\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}$ at Geometry 2.

Table 8. Adsorption Energy Difference (eV) Comparing the External and Internal Surfaces of (7,7) BNNT-X Optimization Was Performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d)^6

| system          | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CH}_4$ | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12}$ | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CO}_2$ | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CS}_2$ | (7,7) BNNT-$\text{CCl}_4$ |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ | 0.1043                   | 0.3223                               | 0.5940                              | 0.0685                   | 0.2136                   | 0.4288                   |
| $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ | 0.0054                   | 0.013                                | 0.0135                              | 0.1374                   | 0.0005                   | 0.0152                   |

"Values obtained through eq 7 and the values exposed in Table 1."
compared to CH$_4$ on porous BN materials, due to a cooperative effect on the characteristics of pores and electrostatic interactions.

Finally, our results agree reasonably well and are validated when compared to findings reported by other authors in the literature. Besides, we not only undertake a study on the outer surface adsorption process but also on encapsulation (adsorption on the inner surface) mechanisms of various contaminant gaseous molecules, which gives insight into a line of research of useful applications.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We consider that the present work provides an important insight into an encapsulation mechanism of some pollutant molecules on the armchair (7,7) boron nitride nanotube (BNNT), acting as the adsorbent nanostructure, that is energetically favorable for the six adsorbates here analyzed: carbon tetrachloride (CCl$_4$), carbon disulfide (CS$_2$), carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), butane (C$_4$H$_{10}$), and cyclohexane (C$_6$H$_{12}$). Our study is based on DFT and semiempirical calculations as described in the Computational Method section.

We have explored the inner and outer surfaces of (7,7) BNNT, taking advantage of the finite nanostructure symmetry along the axial axis, depending on each particular adsorbate molecular size, which dictates the relaxation energy evolution, by calculating step-by-step the adsorption energy, as presented in the Results section.

More specifically, we were able to find the optimal adsorbate–adsorbent distance at which the analyzed complexes experience a net attraction that would favor the proposed encapsulation process. We also attempted to extend the concept of encapsulation by viewing the mechanism as a pathway followed by the adsorbate from the outer region toward the inner structure of the nanotube. We believe this complements the standpoint of the process where only the inner structure of the nanotube is relaxed, as proposed by other authors.

Functionalization leads to adsorption energy that is higher on the inner surface of the nanotube (Geometry 1) than on its outer surface (Geometry 2). This can be explained in terms of the different nanotube curvatures on either surface, where a confinement effect is induced due to an effective contact area between the pollutant molecule and the nanotube wall, which is wider for the inner surface. This basically means that the adsorbate becomes more favorably “trapped” by the adsorbent on account of a wider contact area for the inner surface, where the adsorption energy turns out to be higher.

Our results for adsorption energy differences between the outer and inner surface of (7,7) BNNT for the gaseous contaminants here studied indicate a confinement or trapping ability related to the nanotube inner surface curvature.

Finally, our results indicate that molecules cyclohexane C$_6$H$_{12}$ and butane C$_4$H$_{10}$ are the ones that are more strongly either adsorbed to or desorbed from the complex (7,7) BNNT, on account of the corresponding adsorption energies. Our findings suggest that these nanotubes may constitute feasible materials for the adsorption of small pollutant molecules, as those here analyzed.

The NCI analysis proved to be a useful tool to predict the stability of our systems, allowing us to include the role played by noncovalent interactions of van der Waals type between small molecules.

As far as new developments of molecular dynamics in the present context is concerned, it is essential to address systematic studies on encapsulation mechanisms and related processes involving nanostructures. Molecular dynamics should be studied and developed in future studies to complement and possibly improve our results.

We have shown the presence and the important influence on the noncovalent bonds prompted by van der Waals adsorbate–adsorbent interactions for the bonds of the studied systems, thus suggesting that polluting gases, like those analyzed in this report, can be trapped and transported within nanotubes by the described encapsulation.

**COMPUTATIONAL METHOD**

We consider finite-length BNNTs with the end-tube dangling bonds saturated by hydrogen atoms to investigate the adsorption of gaseous molecules. Geometry optimizations, the energy of frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO/LUMO), and total energy calculations of the compound were performed on an armchair (7,7) BNNT within the PBE/6-31G(d) and M06-2X/6-31G(d) approaches as implemented in the Gaussian 16 software. 

(7,7) BNNT has a 20.7 Å length and a 10.4 Å diameter; it consists of 112 boron atoms, 112 nitrogen atoms, and 28 hydrogen atoms. Previous studies have shown that the dispersion corrections of the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) method can provide a fair description of systems with noncovalent interactions. On the other hand, it has been shown that the M06-2X functional is reliable to unravel noncovalent interactions. In addition, the functional M06-2X is suitable to be applied in many medium-sized systems.

Walker et al. concluded that through the M06, M06-2X, and M06-HF DFT functionals, better results are obtained as compared to B3LYP when utilized in systems with dispersion corrections and hydrogen bond interactions, and so they can be employed more reliably for further studies. The physical interaction between the BNNTs and some pollutant molecules (CH$_4$, CO$_2$, C$_6$H$_{12}$, CS$_2$, C$_4$H$_{10}$, and CCl$_4$) is studied here. Calculations of the band-gap energy between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) ($\Delta E$), electrophilicity index ($\omega$), and the number of electrons transferred between two systems ($\Delta N$), have been performed to study the reactivity of the molecules and the stability of the system. We should recall that the global molecular descriptors are determined from the HOMO and LUMO energy values by means of the quantities obtained through Koopmans’ theorem and the use of the Fukui procedure.

\[ I = -E_{\text{HOMO}} \]  

\[ A = -E_{\text{LUMO}} \]

where $I$ is the ionization potential and $A$ is the electronic affinity. Therefore, $\mu$ and $\eta$ can be calculated using eqs 3 and 4, respectively

\[ \mu = \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} \right)_{\mu(\eta)} = \frac{I + A}{2} \]
The total energies were optimized, and the stability of each system was checked via calculation of the corresponding vibrational frequencies, taking into account the zero-point energy (ZPE) correction. In addition, the basis set superposition error (BSSE) was considered when obtaining the adsorption energy through the equation

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{BNNT-X}} - E_{\text{BNNT}} - E_X + E_{\text{BSSE}}$$

by means of the Boys–Bernardi method. We also performed the noncovalent interaction (NCI) analysis for the total systems via the Multiwfns program to obtain a detailed description of the adsorption process through the topological analysis of the electron density. The role played by hydrogen bonds, steric repulsion effects, and van der Waals interactions is also analyzed where the contributions are illustrated and distinguished by different colors in the corresponding figures. Yang proposed a theory to interpret electron density patterns based on the analysis of low-density evolution and the reduced density gradient (RDG) method.

The electron density and its gradient are calculated to obtain the function

$$s(r) = \frac{1}{2(3\pi)^{1/3}} \frac{|\nabla \rho(r)|}{\rho(r)^{4/3}}$$

which is dimensionless, and it is utilized to describe a deviation from a homogeneous electron density. At regions far from the molecule, in which the density decreases exponentially to zero, the gradient gives very large positive values, whereas in those regions with covalent and noncovalent bonds, the reduced density gradient almost vanishes. Based on the sign of the electron density Hessian, $\nabla^2 \rho(r)$, one can determine the type of interaction involved. Therefore, three eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ of the Hessian are calculated. At the nuclei, all eigenvalues are negative since the density is basically concentrated in a local maximum. In covalent bonds, the Hessian has one positive and two negative eigenvalues ($\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 < 0$, $\lambda_3 > 0$). On the other hand, in regions of steric clashes or strain in the interatomic region, the second eigenvalue is positive. Therefore, the sign of the Hessian second eigenvalue, $\lambda_2$, can be used to distinguish between bonded ($\lambda_2 < 0$) and nonbonded ($\lambda_2 > 0$) interactions. The strength of the interaction can be assessed by the density itself: higher density values at the location of the noncovalent interactions indicate a stronger interaction.

### SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE DETAILS

All calculations were performed with the software Gaussian 16, Revision C.01 by means of 2 Processors Intel Xeon E5-2680v3 and 30M Cache, and 2.50 GHz and 24 Cores with a total RAM of 512 GB. Optimization was performed via DFT/M06-2X/6-31G(d), providing a 7-digit precision. The noncovalent interaction (NCI) analysis for the systems was carried out via the Multiwfns program.
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