mRNA decapping is an evolutionarily conserved modulator of neuroendocrine signaling that controls development and ageing
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Abstract

Eukaryotic 5′–3′ mRNA decay plays important roles during development and in response to stress, regulating gene expression post-transcriptionally. In Caenorhabditis elegans, deficiency of DCAP-1/DCP1, the essential co-factor of the major cytoplasmic mRNA decapping enzyme, impacts normal development, stress survival and ageing. Here, we show that overexpression of dcap-1 in neurons of worms is sufficient to increase lifespan through the function of the insulin/IGF-like signaling and its effector DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor. Neuronal DCAP-1 affects basal levels of INS-7, an ageing-related insulin-like peptide, which acts in the intestine to determine lifespan. Short-lived dcap-1 mutants exhibit a neurosecretion-dependent upregulation of intestinal ins-7 transcription, and diminished nuclear localization of DAF-16/FOXO. Moreover, neuronal overexpression of DCP1 in Drosophila melanogaster confers longevity in adults, while neuronal DCP1 deficiency shortens lifespan and affects wing morphogenesis, cell non-autonomously. Our genetic analysis in two model-organisms suggests a critical and conserved function of DCAP-1/DCP1 in developmental events and lifespan modulation.

Introduction

Addition of an m7G cap structure at the 5′-end of nascent transcripts (capping) is a universal modification of eukaryotic mRNAs, which takes place co-transcriptionally, briefly after incorporation of the first nucleotides (Moteki and Price, 2002). m7GpppN (where N is the first transcribed nucleotide) cap is a major cis regulatory element that interacts with multiple binding partners to promote pre-mRNA processing, to guide nuclear export and eventually to drive the formation of the translation apparatus in the cytoplasm. Removal of the 5′-cap structure (decapping), predominately occurring after shortening of the poly(A) tail (deadenylation), constitutes a pivotal event in mRNA lifecycle, since it pulls the transcript out of the translational pool and renders it susceptible to degradation by the highly conserved 5′–3′ exoribonuclease XRN1 (Jones et al., 2012). This cytoplasmic 5′–3′ mRNA decay pathway can be also triggered in a transcript-specific manner through the interaction of mRNAs with miRNAs or specific RNA-binding proteins that regulate gene expression in response to various endogenous or external signals (Borbolis and Syntichaki, 2015).

Although for years, the common consensus stated that decapping is an irreversible process that commits transcripts to degradation, this view was overturned mainly by the discovery of mRNA decapping enzymes that can depolyadenylate mRNAs by removing the poly(A) tails (Moteki and Price, 2002). This process is thought to be mediated by the enzyme DCP1, which is essential for normal development, stress survival and ageing in Caenorhabditis elegans (Burbank et al., 2000). In humans, deficiency of DCP1 has also been associated with abnormal development and increased risk of cancer (Boyer et al., 2011). These findings suggest that decapping enzymes play important roles in the regulation of gene expression and may have implications for human health.

Despite these advances, the mechanisms through which decapping enzymes control gene expression are still not fully understood. Recent studies have shown that decapping enzymes can also affect the stability of mRNAs by promoting their decay, either through direct interaction with decay factors or indirectly through the recruitment of other proteins that target decapped mRNAs for degradation (Burbank et al., 2000). These findings suggest that decapping enzymes may have additional functions beyond the regulation of gene expression and could play important roles in the regulation of cell growth, differentiation and survival.

In conclusion, the finding that decapping enzymes are essential for normal development and stress survival in C. elegans suggests that these enzymes may have important roles in human health. Further studies are needed to understand the mechanisms through which decapping enzymes control gene expression and the role of these enzymes in human disease.
capping enzymes in the cytoplasm of diverse eukaryotic cells and the identification of capped mRNA ends that did not correspond to transcription start sites (Trotman and Schoenberg, 2019; Affymetrix ENCODE Transcriptome Project and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory ENCODE Transcriptome Project, 2009). These findings introduced the concept of ‘cap homeostasis’ in gene expression, where cyclic decapping and recapping regulates translational activity of at least a subset of mRNAs (Mukherjee et al., 2012). In this setting, uncapped transcripts are stored in a translationally inactive, but stable form in cytoplasmic mRNP granules termed P-bodies, where they coincide with decapping factors, translational repressors and components of the 5'–3' mRNA decay and RNA interference machineries (Mukherjee et al., 2012; Standart and Weil, 2018). Despite their composition though, P-bodies seem to be primarily involved in the coordinated storage of mRNA regulons rather than mRNA decay (Hubstenberger et al., 2017; Standart and Weil, 2018; Luo et al., 2018). Such discoveries underscored the role of mRNA decapping as a potent post-transcriptional regulator of gene expression. Interestingly, most decapping defects have been linked to severe neurological disorders, highlighting the importance of decapping activity in neuronal cells, where spatiotemporal control of translation is of supreme importance and the presence of P-bodies and related mRNP granules is more frequent (Jiao et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2015; Donlin-Asp et al., 2017).

To exert the appropriate control over mRNA decay, eukaryotes have developed a multi-protein decapping complex, formed around the catalytic subunit DCP2 and its essential co-factor DCP1. Together DCP1/DCP2 form a poorly active holoenzyme that needs to interact with more auxiliary factors in order to work efficiently and to provide substrate specificity (Charenton and Graille, 2018). Accumulating evidence suggests that both availability and activity of DCP1 play a major regulatory role in cap homeostasis and mRNA decay, controlling the balance between decapping, translation and degradation. FRAP experiments in human cells have shown that the fraction of P-body localized DCP2 is rather fixed but DCP1 molecules can be rapidly exchanged between P-bodies and the cytoplasm (Aizer et al., 2008), while P-body formation is induced by DCP1 overexpression, endogenous or environmental stressors and ageing (Kedersha et al., 2005; Rousakis et al., 2014).

We have previously shown that depletion of dcap-1 or dcap-2, the C. elegans orthologs of human DCP1 and DCP2 respectively, can affect various aspects of worm physiology, provoking reduced fertility, developmental defects, increased sensitivity to stress and shortened lifespan (Rousakis et al., 2014). Particularly, neuronal DCAP-1 has been found to influence critical developmental events that modulate the worm’s growth rate under optimal conditions, and affect its ability to form stress resilient dauer larvae at high temperature, partially by affecting insulin/IGF-like signaling (IIS) (Borbolis et al., 2017). IIS is an evolutionarily conserved pathway that participates in various cellular processes and regulates lifespan across species, from invertebrates to mammals. C. elegans’ genome encodes for ~40 insulin-like peptides (ILPs) that initiate IIS by binding to insulin/IGF-like receptor (DAF-2) and regulate the localization/function of the downstream target DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor (Sun et al., 2017). Previous work has shown that IIS mediates the communication of multiple tissues to regulate the rate of ageing; while it is DAF-2 activity predominantly in the nervous system that regulates lifespan, DAF-16 acts mainly in the intestine to confer DAF-2-regulated longevity (Wolkow et al., 2000; Libina et al., 2003). This kind of inter-tissue communication is considered a vital part of organismal ageing in C. elegans, Drosophila and mammals. Especially the bidirectional communication between nervous system and intestinal tissue, known as the brain-gut axis, has recently emerged as an important regulatory circuit that controls lifespan across species (Zhang et al., 2018; Westfall et al., 2018).

In the current study, we provide evidence that DCAP-1 activity in the nervous system of C. elegans participates in the regulation of a neurosecreted ILP, which ultimately affects the function of DAF-16 transcription factor in distal tissues. In consistence with the central role of IIS in ageing and stress response, we show that neuronal overexpression of DCAP-1 increases lifespan and enhances stress resistance of aged worms. Moreover, we unveil evolutionarily conserved functions of neuronal DCP1 activity in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. Our observations suggest a critical role for neuronal mRNA decapping during normal ageing and provide insights into the underlying mechanism.
**Results**

**Neuronal decapping regulates lifespan**

We have previously reported that expression of a *dcap-1::gfp* transgene driven by the native *dcap-1* promoter, can fully rescue all the defects of *dcap-1(tm3163)* mutants, including their short lifespan (Rousakis et al., 2014). In order to explore the tissue-specific requirement of DCAP-1 in lifespan regulation, we generated a series of transgenic lines that overexpress this *dcap-1::gfp* fusion gene in different tissues of *dcap-1* worms. We found that restoring the function of *dcap-1* gene specifically in the nervous system (*unc-119p-synEx293 array*) could significantly increase lifespan of *dcap-1* mutants, rendering it comparable to that of wild type (wt/N2) animals at 20°C (Figure 1A). Similar results were obtained by creating a second transgenic strain that expresses the fusion under the control of a different pan-neuronal promoter (*rab-3p-synEx345*) (Figure 1—source data 1). This effect was also evident at 25°C (Figure 1E), where *dcap-1(tm3163)* phenotypes are exaggerated and lifespan is even shorter (Rousakis et al., 2014). In contrast, overexpression of *dcap-1::gfp* in the epidermis (*col-10p-synEx364*) or in muscles (*hlh-1p-synEx326*) only modestly improved lifespan at 20°C, and had practically no effect at 25°C (Figure 1B,C,F,G). An intermediate result was observed in *dcap-1* mutants overexpressing *dcap-1::gfp* in the intestine (*ges-1p-synEx328*) at both 20°C and 25°C (Figure 1D,H).

The results of our complementation analysis suggest that *dcap-1* gene activity affects lifespan, albeit to a different extent depending on the tissue. The fact that overexpression of this *dcap-1::gfp* transgene exclusively in the nervous system was able to fully rescue the short-lived mutant phenotype, suggests a strong positive regulation of lifespan even though *dcap-1* is missing from other tissues. We therefore examined the impact of overexpressing all tissue-specific *dcap-1::gfp* fusions on the lifespan of otherwise wt animals. As shown in Figure 2A, neuronal overexpression of *dcap-1::gfp* under the *unc-119* promoter (*synEx293*) resulted in a significant increase of both median and maximum lifespan of N2 worms, by ~16% and ~26%, respectively (Figure 2—source data 1). Comparable results were obtained when expression was driven by *rab-3* promoter (*synEx345*), ~13% and ~18%.

**Figure 1.** Neuronal restoration of *dcap-1* rescues the short lifespan of *dcap-1* mutants. Lifespan of *dcap-1* worms overexpressing a *dcap-1::gfp* fusion in neurons, epidermis, muscles or intestine at (A–D) 20°C and (E–H) 25°C. Statistical significance is calculated in comparison to *dcap-1*. ****p<0.0001. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 1:

**Source data 1.** Lifespan replicates of *dcap-1(tm3163)* worms that overexpress a *dcap-1::gfp* fusion tissue-specifically at 20°C.

**Source data 2.** Lifespan replicates of *dcap-1(tm3163)* worms that overexpress a *dcap-1::gfp* fusion tissue-specifically at 25°C.
In agreement with our observations from the complementation analysis, expression in either muscles (synEx326) or epidermis (synEx364) did not have any effect on the longevity of wt animals, whereas a smaller extension of lifespan (~8% in median and ~10% in maximum) was achieved by overexpressing dcap-1 in the intestine (synEx328) (Figure 2B, C, D). Most importantly, lifespan extension in all cases was accompanied by an analogous delay in the onset of age-dependent movement impairment, which is considered a reliable marker of ageing (Son et al., 2019), with worms remaining responsive to touch longer than their respective controls (Video 1). Therefore, neuronal (and to a lesser extent intestinal) overexpression of dcap-1 can extend the lifespan and healthspan of both dcap-1 and wt animals. Moreover, the mechanism underlying their longevity completely depends on mRNA decapping, as the effect of neuronal or intestinal overexpression was absent in dcap-2(ok2023) mutants that lack decapping catalytic subunit activity (Figure 2E).

**Figure 2.** Neuronal overexpression of dcap-1 extends lifespan through mRNA decapping, DAF-16/FOXO and IIS. Lifespan at 20°C of (A–D) wt worms overexpressing a dcap-1::gfp fusion in neurons, epidermis, muscles or intestine, (E) worms overexpressing neuronal or intestinal dcap-1::gfp in dcap-2 mutant genetic background, (F) worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp in daf-16 mutant genetic background, (G) worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp under post-developmental daf-16 RNAi knockdown, (H) worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp during post-developmental daf-2 RNAi knockdown. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 2:

**Source data 1.** Lifespan replicates of worms that overexpress a dcap-1::gfp fusion tissue-specifically in wt genetic background at 20°C.

**Source data 2.** Lifespan replicates of worms depleted of daf-2 or daf-16 at 20°C.

**Source data 3.** Lifespan replicates of dcap-2(ok2023) worms that overexpress a dcap-1::gfp fusion tissue-specifically at 20°C.

**Video 1.** Neuronal overexpression of dcap-1 delays the onset of age-dependent movement impairment. Video of 20 days old worms.

https://elifesciences.org/articles/53757#video1
Neuronal decapping regulates lifespan through IIS and DAF-16/FOXO

Control of lifespan by genetic manipulations in the nervous system often involves the action of neuroendocrine signals that adjust the function of longevity-regulating genetic pathways, most of which converge at DAF-16 transcription factor (Sun et al., 2017). To evaluate the effect of daf-16 deficiency on the longevity of animals overexpressing neuronal dcap-1, we transferred synEx293 (unc-119p::dcap-1::gfp) transgene in worms homozygous for the daf-16(mu86) null allele. Neuronal dcap-1 overexpression under such DAF-16-deficient conditions did not have any impact on longevity, with daf-16(mu86);synEx293 animals living as long as daf-16(mu86) null mutants (Figure 2F). Even post-developmental knockdown of daf-16 by RNAi was sufficient to largely suppress the long lifespan of worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1 (Figure 2G). The little residual longevity observed in these animals is most likely to reflect the inability of post-developmental RNAi to completely eliminate DAF-16 activity. Collectively, our results suggest that the effect of neuronal DCAP-1 in adult life is mediated by DAF-16. It is noteworthy that we did not find any significant difference in lifespan between double dcap-1(tm3163);daf-16(mu86) and single daf-16(mu86) mutants, suggesting that loss of dcap-1 reduces lifespan through negative regulation of DAF-16 activity or that daf-16 is epistatic to dcap-1 in lifespan determination (Figure 2—source data 2).

Given that C. elegans neurons are fairly refractory to RNAi (Kamath et al., 2003) our data suggest that neuronal DCAP-1 acts in a cell non-autonomous manner, involving signals that influence DAF-16 behavior in distal tissues. The prevalent pathway that integrates intercellular signals to regulate DAF-16 activity, with a great impact on longevity, is IIS. We thus reassessed the lifespan of synEx293 (unc-119p::dcap-1::gfp) animals, under conditions of reduced IIS, caused by post-developmental knockdown of insulin/IGF-1 receptor gene daf-2. As expected, daf-2 RNAi resulted in extreme longevity in wt animals but completely masked the effect of neuronal dcap-1 overexpression, which failed to further extend lifespan (Figure 2H). This lack of an additive effect indicates that decapping in the nervous system acts through IIS to regulate DAF-16 activity.

Neuronal dcap-1 regulates INS-7 to confer longevity

In C. elegans, DAF-2 receives input from at least 40 insulin-like peptides (ILPs) that act as agonists or antagonists to regulate stress resistance, reproduction, development and ageing (Fernandes de Abreu et al., 2014). Expression of ILPs has been detected in diverse tissues, but interestingly, those that regulate lifespan are expressed mainly in the nervous system and often act in non-neuronal cells to influence DAF-16 activity and ageing (Artan et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2007; Libina et al., 2003). We therefore examined the effect of dcap-1 depletion or neuronal dcap-1 overexpression on ins-7, daf-28, ins-33, ins-1 and ins-6 ILPs with a well-established role in neuroendocrine lifespan control (Artan et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2007). Our qRT-PCR analysis revealed that although daf-28, ins-33, ins-1 and ins-6 mRNA levels are similar among all genetic backgrounds, ins-7 abundance is almost doubled in young dcap-1animals (1 day old), and even more upregulated in mid-aged mutants (9 days old), compared to wt worms of the same age (Figure 3A). Importantly, mRNA levels of ins-7 were significantly lower in mid-aged synEx293 worms (that overexpress neuronal dcap-1) paralleled to wt (Figure 3A). INS-7 is a DAF-2 agonist, expressed predominantly in neurons and scarcely in the intestine of young animals, but in aged worms intestinal expression is considerably enhanced (Murphy et al., 2007). In line with this, our results show an increase of ins-7 mRNA abundance in aged worms of all genotypes. However, this age-dependent increment from days 1 to 9 is significantly enhanced in dcap-1 (seven times) compared to wt (5.5 times), and suppressed in neuronal dcap-1 overexpressing worms (2.5 times).

In order to clarify whether DCAP-1 affects ins-7 mRNA abundance transcriptionally or post-transcriptionally, we monitored the fluorescence of wt and dcap-1 animals carrying a transcriptional ins-7p::gfp reporter (wwEx66 array) (Ritter et al., 2013), at various ages of their adult lifespan (days 1 to 15). We detected fluorescence mainly in head neurons and some intestinal cells, with advanced age resulting in increased intestinal fluorescence for both strains (Figure 3B—figure supplement 1). Even so, total fluorescence intensity of dcap-1;wwEx66 worms was greater at all examined ages compared to single wwEx66, with the difference fluctuating between 1.6- and 2.1-fold (Figure 3B). Such an increase in promoter activity argues in favor of DCAP-1 regulating ins-7 expression at the level of transcription. In particular, we observed that this effect is restricted to the intestine of dcap-1;wwEx66 worms, as there was no discernible effect on neuronal cells of the head region or
**Figure 3.** Neuronal dcap-1 regulates ins-7 expression to control longevity. (A) mRNA levels of lifespan-regulating ILPs in young (1 day old) and mid-aged (9 days old) wt, dcap-1 and neuronal dcap-1 overexpressing worms. (B) Fluorescence intensity of ins-7p::gfp reporter in whole worms or head neurons of wt and dcap-1 animals. (C–D) Total fluorescence intensity of ins-7p::gfp reporter in neurosecretion defective unc-31 or unc-13 mutants. (E) ins-7 mRNA levels in 9 days old worms overexpressing dcap-1::gfp in neurons (synEx293) or intestine (synEx328). (F) Total fluorescence intensity of ins-7p::gfp reporter in ins-7 mutants. (G) ins-7 mature mRNA/pre-mRNA ratio in ins-7 and dcap-1;ins-7 animals that carry a neuronally expressed unc-119p::ins-7 transgene. (H) Lifespan of wt and neuronal dcap-1 overexpressing worms sensitized for neuronal RNAi during post-developmental ins-7 RNAi knockdown. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Unpaired t-test (A–G); Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test (F). The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

**Source data 1.** Lifespan replicates of worms exposed to ins-7 RNAi at 20°C.

**Source data 2.** Figure 3A, E and G and Figure 3—figure supplement 6.

**Source data 3.** Figure 3B, C, D and F and Figure 3—figure supplement 2A.

**Figure supplement 1.** Transcriptional activity of ins-7 promoter is significantly increased in dcap-1 mutants.

**Figure supplement 2.** Mutation of dcap-1 does not affect the expression of a ges-1p::gfp transgene.

**Figure supplement 3.** Transcriptional activity of ins-7 promoter is not increased in dcap-1 mutants when unc-31 is missing.

**Figure supplement 4.** Transcriptional activity of ins-7 promoter in unc-31 mutants is further induced upon daf-16 knockdown.

**Figure supplement 5.** Transcriptional activity of ins-7 promoter is significantly increased in dcap-1 mutants independently of unc-13.

**Figure supplement 6.** Transcriptional activity of ins-7 promoter is not increased in dcap-1 mutants when ins-7 gene product is missing.

**Figure supplement 7.** The ratio of eft-3 mature mRNA/pre-mRNA is not affected by dcap-1 mutation.
elsewhere, in respect to wwEx66 animals (Figure 3B—figure supplement 1). This induction is specific for ins-7 promoter since intestinal fluorescence of a ges-1p::gfp transgene did not show an analogous increase in dcap-1 background (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). Therefore, the observed differences in ins-7 mRNA abundance between wt and dcap-1 probably reflect transcriptional changes in the intestine.

Apart from acting as a DAF-2 agonist, ins-7 is also negatively regulated by DAF-16 in intestinal cells, in sharp contrast to neurons where ins-7 is not responsive to IIS (Murphy et al., 2007). Since IIS intertissue signaling is mainly initiated by neurons (Libina et al., 2003), we argued that induction of ins-7p::gfp expression in the intestine of dcap-1 animals could be the response to an upsurge in neuronal release of ILPs. Indeed, we found that deletion of unc-31/CAPS (allele e928), which is required for the Ca^{2+}-mediated release of neuropeptide-carrying dense core vesicles (DCVs) (Speese et al., 2007), eliminates differences in ins-7p::gfp fluorescence intensity between dcap-1 (tm3163);wwEx66 and single wwEx66 worms at all examined ages (Figure 3C—figure supplement 3). This cannot be attributed to a ceiling effect in ins-7 promoter activity, as daf-16 RNAi further induced fluorescence in both backgrounds (Figure 3—figure supplement 4). In contrast, blocking the release of small clear vesicles (SCVs), and hence of neurotransmitters, by using unc-13(e450) mutant allele (Madison et al., 2005), generally limited the induction of ins-7p::gfp fluorescence with age in both genetic backgrounds (Figure 3D vs 3B), but was largely incapable to suppress the stimulatory effect of dcap-1 depletion on ins-7p activity, especially in aged worms (Figure 3D—figure supplement 5). Accordingly, qRT-PCR analysis revealed that restoring dcap-1 gene function exclusively in neurons (dcap-1;synEx293) was able to diminish ins-7 mRNA abundance back to wt levels (Figure 3E), whereas restoration in the intestine (dcap-1;synEx328) only partially reduced ins-7 mRNA levels (Figure 3E).

Altogether, our data show that DCAP-1 activity in neurons regulates ins-7 expression in the intestine through a factor released by DCVs. Given its self-regulatory function, we considered that INS-7 could be this factor. Indeed, deletion ok1573, which abolishes ins-7 expression, completely suppressed the effect of dcap-1 depletion on ins-7p::gfp induction (Figure 3F—figure supplement 6). Since ins-7 transcription was not altered in dcap-1 neurons, we examined whether decapping could affect the stability of neuronal ins-7 mRNA. Using an unc-119p::ins-7 transgene in ins-7 and dcap-1; ins-7 mutants, we quantified the ratio of mature ins-7 mRNA to its pre-mRNA, thus avoiding discrepancies due to chimeric expression of the transgene. As shown in Figure 3G, there was a two-fold induction of mature mRNA levels in both 1- and 9-day dcap-1 adults compared to wt. This was not due to a general effect on mRNA maturation and stability, as it did not apply to the reference gene eft-3 (Figure 3—figure supplement 7), indicating the selective stabilization of ins-7 mRNA in neurons of decapping mutants.

Previous work has shown that INS-7 serves as a carrier of FOXO-FOXO intertissue signaling coordinating the rate of ageing (Murphy et al., 2007). Consequently, the deficit in ins-7 induction during ageing in neuronal dcap-1 overexpressing animals, could be the reason for their prolonged lifespan. To support this theory, we assessed the longevity of wt and synEx345 (rab-3p::dcap-1::gfp) worms under post-developmental ins-7 RNAi that should eliminate differences in ins-7 expression between strains. To achieve efficient systemic RNAi, both strains were genetically modified for enhanced neuronal RNAi (see Materials and methods). As expected, ins-7 RNAi increased lifespan of wt, but failed to cause an additive effect and further promote longevity in synEx345 animals (Figure 3H). These data suggest that low levels of INS-7 may trigger lifespan extension in worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1.

### Neuronal decapping affects stress resistance in aged animals

It is well documented that stress resistance and longevity are frequently linked aspects of organismal physiology, with IIS and DAF-16 providing a link between them. Thus, we examined whether dcap-1 depletion affects the translocation of DAF-16 to the nucleus during heat-shock by exposing wt and dcap-1 young adults that carry a daf-16::gfp translational fusion (muls71) at high temperature (35°C). Notably, although muls71 control animals started to show an increase in DAF-16::GFP positive nuclei after just 15 min of heat-shock (data not shown), no such response was evident in dcap-1;muls71 worms, unless we exposed them for more than 30 min, and the number of DAF-16::GFP nuclei per animal was significantly lower in dcap-1 mutants compared to wt at all examined time points, including unstressed worms (Figure 4A—figure supplement 1). Therefore, dcap-1 depletion seems to
hinder the translocation of DAF-16 to the nucleus, under normal conditions and the early stages of heat-shock; longer exposure times (over 60 min) ultimately surmounted this inhibitory effect, leading to almost complete translocation of DAF-16::GFP to the nucleus in both strains (data not shown). Conversely, neuronal overexpression of DCAP-1 (synEx293) in worms carrying a daf-16a::rfp translational fusion (ipls12) revealed a significant increase in the number of red fluorescent nuclei per synEx293/ipls12 animal, compared to single ipls12 worms, even in the absence of stress (Figure 4B—figure supplement 2). The difference in DAF-16 nuclear accumulation between the two strains remained significant after 5 min heat-shock but started to diminish at 15 min, as nuclear enrichment is enhanced in both backgrounds (Figure 4B—figure supplement 2). The different fluorophores combined with variations in transgene copy-number could account for the differential kinetics of DAF-16a::GFP and DAF-16a::RFP translocation in the same background (Figure 4—source data 1).

Given that dcap-1 depletion affects DAF-16 localization, we postulated that restoring dcap-1 function in neurons could also rescue the reported mutant’s sensitivity to stress (Rousakis et al., 2014). Unexpectedly, we found that neuronal rescuing of dcap-1 by either pan-neuronal promoter (synEx293/synEx345) failed to alleviate the sensitivity of 1 day old dcap-1 adults in either heat-sock or oxidative stress (Figure 4C). Accordingly, neuronal expression of dcap-1 (synEx293) in wt background did not increase tolerance to heat shock or oxidative stress of young adult worms (Figure 4D). However, the ability of an organism to cope with stress declines with age and mechanisms that preserve cellular homeostasis and genome integrity are of great importance during ageing. In line with this notion, prolonged exposure to low concentration of sodium arsenite revealed that synEx293 provides resistance to oxidative stress in ageing animals, which becomes evident after the 3rd day of adulthood, and is maintained throughout their lifespan (Figure 4E). Similarly, synEx293 animals exhibited increased resistance when subjected to heat shock at the 9th day of their adult life, compared to their wt counterparts (Figure 4F). This implies that neuronal dcap-1 overexpression provides increased stress resistance in old ages, probably as a result of a slower ageing rate.

Expression levels of DCP1 affect lifespan in D. melanogaster

Given the strict evolutionary conservation of both the 5′–3′ mRNA decay machinery and the neuronal mechanisms of longevity, we reasoned that the connection between neuronal decapping and ageing might not be restricted to C. elegans. We therefore studied this relationship in the fly D. melanogaster. Because null mutants of DCP1 (the ortholog of dcap-1) are pupal lethal (Lin et al., 2006), we specifically induced knock-down of DCP1 in the nervous system, by crossing two independent UAS:IRDCP1 lines with elav:GAL4, which is expressed in neurons at all developmental stages (Robinow and White, 1988). The subsequent neuron-specific knockdown of DCP1, verified by qRT-PCR (Figure 5A), resulted in a significant reduction of lifespan, as elav:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1 progeny of either UAS:IRDCP1 strain were extremely short-lived compared to their parental elav:GAL4 flies at 25˚C, and at 29˚C where UAS/GAL4 system is most effective (Figure 5B, C). While this is a strong hint that neuronal mRNA decapping is involved in lifespan regulation in Drosophila, reduced longevity of these animals could also arise from a general sickness due to malfunction of the decapping complex.

Next, we examined whether neuronal overexpression of DCP1 has the opposite effect and induces longevity, similarly to worms. We generated a UAS:DCP1:eGFP strain and crossed it with elav:GAL4 flies to achieve overexpression of DCP1:eGFP transgene in the nervous system. In contrast to our expectations, elav:GAL4 >UAS:DCP1:eGFP flies grown at 25˚C or 29˚C did not live longer than their parental elav:GAL4 strain (Figure 5—source data 1). However, given the implication of neuronal DCP1 in development, we argued that abnormally high expression caused by the transgene might be harmful during early life stages, masking or counteracting any potential lifespan-extending effect of DCP1 overexpression during adulthood. For this reason, we used GAL80ts, a temperature-sensitive inducible GAL4 inhibitor, to switch on neuronal DCP1:eGFP transgene overexpression after completion of development. elav:GAL4 >UAS:DCP1:eGFP;GAL80ts flies were grown at the permissive temperature for GAL80ts (18˚C) and transferred to the restrictive temperature (29˚C) upon the onset of adulthood. Interestingly, these flies that overexpress neuronal DCP1 post-developmentally exhibited a significant increase of both median (~42%) and maximum (~27%) lifespan compared to their parental elav:GAL4 strain (Figure 5D). Thus, high levels of DCP1 in neurons (or neuron
precursors) are detrimental during development, but have an opposing lifespan-extending effect during adulthood.

Neuronal DCP1 affects wing imaginal disc and adult wing development
While studying elav:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1 flies we noticed that neuronal DCP1 knockdown causes a characteristic phenotype, defined by malformed/unexpanded wings (Figure 5E) in ~50% of the population at 25°C (n = 178 for GD31442 and n = 244 for KK105638). This effect did not correlate with the efficiency of the knockdown, since all flies exhibited the same levels of DCP1 mRNA regardless of their wing phenotype (Figure 5—figure supplement 1), neither with their lifespan, which was short in all cases. Nonetheless, penetrance reached a remarkable 95% at 29°C (n = 167). Notably, wing malformations always coincided with the presence of additional morphological aberrations, which include an unexpanded thorax (judged by the crossing of postscutellar bristles) and the lack of cuticle tanning (melanisation and hardening) (Figure 5E and F). Such defects indicate that mRNA decapping in the nervous system can affect development in non-neuronal cells of *D. melanogaster.*

Like most external structures of the mature fly, adult wings derive from imaginal discs, which are created during larval development and undergo extreme changes during metamorphosis (Frisstrom, 1993). To examine whether the origins of wing malformation can be traced in early events of

Figure 4. DCAP-1 affects DAF-16 localization and stress resistance. (A) Quantification of DAF-16a::GFP positive nuclei during early stages of heat shock response in 1-day-old wt and dcap-1 mutant worms. (B) Quantification of DAF-16a::RFP positive nuclei during early stages of heat shock response in 1-day-old wt and neuronal dcap-1::gfp overexpressing worms. (C) Survival of 1-day-old dcap-1 worms that express dcap-1::gfp in their neurons, after acute exposure to high temperature or high concentration of sodium arsenite. (D) Survival of 1-day-old wt worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp after acute exposure to high temperature or high concentration of sodium arsenite. (E) Survival of wt worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp during sustained exposure to low concentration of sodium arsenite. (F) Survival of 9 days old wt worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1::gfp after acute exposure to high temperature. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Error bars indicate mean ± SD. Unpaired t-test.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. Numerical values of DAF-16-positive nuclei plotted in panels A and B.
Source data 2. Numerical values of % survival plotted in panels C, D, E and F.
Figure supplement 1. Translocation of DAF-16 to the nucleus is impaired in dcap-1 mutants.
Figure supplement 2. Translocation of DAF-16 to the nucleus is facilitated in neuronal dcap-1 overexpressing worms.

Borbolis et al. eLife 2020;9:e53757. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53757
their morphogenesis, we dissected wing imaginal discs from third instar larvae with neuronal DCP1 knockdown (elav:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1) and examined cell and tissue morphology by visualizing F-actin. Despite the neuronal DCP1 knockdown, 15 out of 23 isolated discs exhibited widespread structural anomalies, associated with abnormal epithelial folding, which were absent in discs from age-matched larvae of parental UAS:IRDCP1 and elav:GAL4 strains (Figure 6A).

To rule out any leakage in the specificity of our system that could lead to DCP1 knockdown in wing disc epithelial cells and affect their morphology cell autonomously (Casas-Tintó et al., 2017), we monitored elav:GAL4-driven GFP fluorescence in tissues derived from elav:GAL4 >UAS:DCP1:eGFP third instar larvae. As expected we observed strong fluorescence in the majority of neuronal brain cells but we detected no fluorescent signal in wing imaginal discs (Figure 6B). Additionally, we used an en:GAL4 driver to examine the effect of DCP1 deficiency in wing disc epithelial cells by knocking down its expression only in the posterior part of the disc. This did not result in any structural differences between the anterior and the posterior part of en:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1 wing discs, both of which had normal morphology in 12 out of 13 examined wing imaginal discs (Figure 6C). These results implicate neuronal DCP1 in a cell non-autonomous mechanism that uses neuronally derived signals to orchestrate wing disc development.

Interestingly, abnormal wing discs and malformed adult wings appeared with a similar frequency of 50–60% in elav:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1 flies grown at 25°C, suggesting a cause-and-effect relationship between them. To uncouple these two phenotypes, we performed temperature-shift...
experiments to temporally control the induction of neuronal DCP1 knockdown. Unsurprisingly, elav:GAL4 >UAS:IRDCP1;tubGAL80ts animals were superficially wild type at 18°C (‘DCP1-ON’ state), and exhibited both abnormal wing discs and malformed wings when grown at 29°C (‘DCP1-OFF’ state). Switching between DCP1-ON and OFF states at different developmental stages (Figure 7) revealed that restricting neuronal knockdown in embryonic and larval stages leads to the formation of

Figure 6. Neuronal DCP1 affects wing disk morphology. (A) Phalloidin staining of wing imaginal discs derived from animals subjected to neuron-specific DCP1 knockdown. Asterisks indicate abnormalities in epithelial folding. Green: phalloidin, Magenta: DAPI. (B) Fluorescent images of brain (left) and imaginal disc (right) dissected from elav >DCP1:eGFP larvae. Arrow shows autofluorescence in the trachea. (C) Phalloidin staining of wing discs derived from flies subjected to DCP1 knockdown only in the posterior part of wing disc epithelium (using engrailed expression driver). Anterior is to the left and posterior to the right. Scale bar = 50 μm.
abnormal wing discs (five out of nine examined wing imaginal discs displayed abnormalities in tissue folding and F-actin accumulation (Figure 7—figure supplement 1) but does not affect the phenotype of adult wings. Congruently, starting knockdown from the white pupa stage, when wing discs are already formed is sufficient for causing malformed wings. Therefore, abnormalities in wing imaginal discs tissue pattern and aberrant adult wing morphology represent two unconnected outcomes of neuronal DCP1 deficiency, which occur independently at different developmental stages. Of note, we were not able to induce malformation of adult wings by switching to DCP1-OFF state past the 2-day pupa stage, while switching to DCP1-ON after the 3-day pupa stage resulted in adults with malformed wings (Figure 7). Taking into account, the potential latency between any temperature shift and the subsequent effect in gene expression, we can safely place the crucial period where neuronal DCP1 activity impacts adult wing development between the white pupa and the 3-day pupa stages. Supporting this notion, switching to DCP1-ON at white pupa and back to DCP1-OFF at pharate pupa stage results in adults with normal wings (Figure 7).

| Developmental stage | Embryos | Larvae | 1-day Pupae | 2-day Pupae | 3-day Pupae | 5-day Pupae | Adults | Wing phenotype |
|---------------------|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
|                     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | Expanded | Unexpanded   |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 8         | 159          |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (5%)      | (95%)        |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 0         | 23           |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (0%)      | (100%)       |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 32        | 3            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (91%)     | (9%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 35        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 68        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 87        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 47        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 58        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 46        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 36        | 0            |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (100%)    | (0%)         |
| DCP1-OFF (29°C)     |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | 48        | 46           |
| DCP1-ON (18°C)      |         |        |             |             |             |             |        | (51%)     | (49%)        |

Figure 7. Schematic representation of thermoinducible DCP1 knock down at different developmental stages. The crucial period where DCP1 activity affects adult wing expansion is traced between the 1-day and the 3-day pupa stages. The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 7:

Figure supplement 1. Abnormalities in wing discs of larvae subjected to neuron-specific DCP1 knockdown at 29°C.
Discussion

Decapping is an integral step of the 5′–3′ mRNA decay pathway in eukaryotic cells that is vital for quality control and regulation of cellular gene expression, with effects on several physiological traits. In this study, we have shown that neuronal activity of DCAP-1/DCP1, which is the regulatory subunit of the core mRNA decapping enzyme, participates in the inter-tissue crosstalk that regulates ageing through neurosecretion. Using appropriate strains designed to express DCAP-1::GFP fusion protein in a tissue-selective manner, we found that neuronal overexpression is sufficient to extend nematode lifespan, in a DCAP-2-dependent manner, regardless of DCAP-1 availability in other tissues. A similar but far weaker effect is evident as a result of intestinal expression, whereas muscle- or hypodermal-specific dcap-1::gfp transgenes had no impact on longevity. These data suggest that DCAP-1 acts predominantly in neurons to influence lifespan, and infer the involvement of neuroendocrine signaling in the underlying mechanism. Several studies in C. elegans have revealed the cell non-autonomous role of neuroendocrine signaling pathways in the regulation of lifespan, stress resistance, innate immunity and protein homeostasis, with IIS playing a prominent role among them (van Oosten-Hawle and Morimoto, 2014). Indeed, we found that the effect of neuronal dcap-1 overexpression on lifespan is exerted through the action of IIS and the downstream transcription factor DAF-16/FOXO. More specifically, DCAP-1 activity in the nervous system controls the expression levels of ins-7 gene, encoding an insulin-like peptide that is upregulated during ageing and acts as a DAF-2/InsR agonist.

Loss of ins-7, through gene mutations or RNAi, can extend nematode lifespan by lowering IIS and activating DAF-16, whereas intestinally expressed ins-7 shortens lifespan (Murphy et al., 2003; Murphy et al., 2007). We found that short-lived dcap-1 mutant adults show higher mRNA levels of ins-7, compared to wt animals, whereas dcap-1 overexpression in neurons, significantly hampers the age-dependent mRNA upsurge of ins-7. Elevated ins-7 mRNA levels in dcap-1 mutants coincide with increased transcription of ins-7 in their intestine, despite the fact that ins-7 is predominantly expressed in neurons (Pierce et al., 2001; Murphy et al., 2007). Overall, our data strongly implied the involvement of a neuroendocrine mechanism leading to the hypothesis that overexpression of neuronal dcap-1 extends lifespan through IIS intertissue signaling initiated by lower levels of neuronal INS-7. This hypothesis is supported by the following evidence: a) We demonstrated post-transcriptional stabilization of neuronal ins-7 mRNA in decapping mutants, b) deletion of ins-7 gene suppresses the induction of ins-7p::gfp in the intestine of dcap-1 mutants, in a similar way to unc-31/CAPS mutants, where neuropeptide secretion is strongly inhibited, c) ins-7 RNAi in a neuronal RNAi-sensitive background increases lifespan of wt animals but fails to further extend the already long life of worms overexpressing neuronal dcap-1.

Lower neurosecretion of this DAF-2 agonist in dcap-1 overexpressing animals could subsequently reduce IIS and activate DAF-16 in intestinal cells, ultimately downregulating the expression of intestinal ins-7. This feedback regulatory loop has been proposed to guide FOXO-to-FOXO signaling and orchestrate organismal ageing, through the action of DAF-16 in other tissues. Since ins-7 gene is subjected to negative regulation by DAF-16 in the intestine, but not neurons (Murphy et al., 2007), we argued that transcriptional induction of intestinal ins-7 in dcap-1 mutants could result from alterations of DAF-16 activity. Indeed, we monitored that translocation of DAF-16 to the nucleus, is delayed in all tissues of dcap-1 worms, during normal conditions and the early stages of heat-shock, while overexpression of neuronal dcap-1 enhanced the localization of DAF-16 in both cases. Oddly, the longevity effect of neuronal dcap-1 overexpression correlated with increased stress resistance in mid-aged animals, but not in young adults. This difference probably reflects the greater need of ageing worms for protective mechanisms toward accumulating stress factors (Walther et al., 2015). DAF-16 was recently reported to translocate to the nucleus of aged animals in order to stabilize their transcriptome, as a response to age-associated cellular stress (Li et al., 2019). Alternatively, excess neuronal DCAP-1 activity could maintain proper protein translation and homeostasis in aged neurons, preserving nervous system integrity during ageing. A thought-provoking study reported that deficiency of decapping enhancer EDC-3 extends lifespan in C. elegans by suppressing protein synthesis in neurons, due to sequestration of translation factors into P-bodies, which then triggers stress response through multiple longevity effectors (Rieckher et al., 2018). Although counterintuitive, this contrasting effect of DCAP-1 and EDC-3 on lifespan is in line with the role of decapping activators that function to provide specificity toward diverse mRNA substrates through particular interactions.
with them or with other decapping enhancers (He et al., 2018). Moreover, the mechanism by which neuronal overexpression of dcap-1 extends lifespan is completely dependent on DCAP-2 activity, and cannot be attributed to secondary effects emerging from the increased P-body formation that is evident in both wt and dcap-2 backgrounds (Supplementary file 1).

The fact that regulation of ageing via neurons appears to be conserved in D. melanogaster (Tatar et al., 2001; Libert et al., 2007), combined with the strong evolutionary conservation of the 5’–3’ mRNA decay prompted us to investigate the connection between neuronal decapping and ageing in the fruit fly. We showed that neuron-specific knockdown of the fly dcap-1 orthologue DCP1 greatly reduces lifespan, while its neuronal overexpression leads to a significant induction of longevity. The latter though applies only when overexpression occurs post-developmentally. This suggests that high levels of neuronal DCP1 are harmful during development but beneficial for lifespan during adult life. The fly genome encodes eight insulin-like peptides (DILP1-8), four of which are expressed in neurons and are linked with lifespan regulation (Kannan and Fridell, 2013).

Figure 8. Putative model of DCP1-mediated regulation of neuroendocrine signalling that controls lifespan, stress response and development. DCP1 activity or availability in neuronal cells controls the equilibrium between translation and storage/degradation of mRNAs encoding for insulin-like peptides (ILPs) or other neuropeptides. High levels of DCP1 promote decapping, storage and degradation, while low levels favour mRNA translation and secretion the resulting ILPs or neuropeptides through DCVs. Secreted ILPs/neuropeptides bind to Insulin/IGF-like receptor or their corresponding receptor in distal cells and control the activity of downstream effectors (like FOXO transcription factor), ultimately affecting lifespan, stress response and developmental events.
Moreover, the exoribonuclease Pacman/XRN1, which acts downstream of decapping in the 5′–3′ mRNA decay pathway, has been reported to regulate the levels of secreted molecules in D. melanogaster, including insulin-like peptide DIPL8 and neuropeptide NPLP2 (Jones et al., 2016). This indicates that mRNA decapping may play an active and extended role in the control of neurosecretion in the fly. This view is in agreement with our finding that neuron-specific knockdown of DCP1 causes structural abnormalities in the epithelium of larval wing imaginal discs and impairs the execution of the post eclosion program that leads to wing expansion in adults.

Of note, all morphological traits of neuronal DCP1-deficient flies are connected with processes that take place in newly eclosed adults, during the late phases of the last ecdisis. At this stage, release of neuropeptide bursicon (also known as the tanning hormone) from neurons of the subesophageal and abdominal ganglia orchestrates the execution of a posteclosion program, characterized by a combination of behavioral and anatomical changes that act in concert and ultimately lead to wing expansion and cuticle tanning (Peabody et al., 2008; White and Ewer, 2014). A recent study revealed that rickets, the bursicon receptor, functions in a set of insulin-like peptide ILP7-secreting peptidergic neurons of the ventral nervous system to regulate the tanning of Drosophila adult cuticle (Flaven-Pouchon et al., 2020). Mutants defective for bursicon or rickets, fail to induce both behavioral and anatomical aspects of this program and consequently form adults with unexpanded wings, unexpanded thorax and untanned cuticle (Dewey et al., 2004; Baker and Truman, 2002). The striking similarity to these mutants strongly suggests that flies subjected to neuronal DCP1 knockdown exhibit some kind of impairment in bursicon signaling. In favor of this notion, our initial measurements show a trend for lower bursicon and rickets mRNA levels in heads dissected from newly eclosed adults when neuronal DCP1 is knocked-down (Supplementary file 2). However, our data cannot support a direct connection between DCP1 levels and bursicon activity during the last ecdisis, since DCP1 knockdown at that point does not affect wing expansion. It is rather more likely that neuronal DCP1 activity during earlier stages of pupal development is essential for the function of an intermediate factor, which subsequently affects bursicon signaling and hence the corresponding posteclosion program. A similar mechanism could affect the morphology of wing imaginal discs during neuronal DCP1 knockdown, as bursicon expression is also detected during early larval development (Honegger et al., 2008).

Collectively, our data have uncovered an evolutionarily conserved role for the regulatory subunit of the mRNA decapping enzyme, DCAP-1/DCP1, in the modulation of neuroendocrine signaling mechanisms that govern developmental processes and ageing (Figure 8). This is in line with previous work that has implicated the decapping enzyme and its regulators in the control of local translation at the synapse, synaptic plasticity and related modifications of neuronal activity in Drosophila and mammals (Barbee et al., 2006; Hillebrand et al., 2010; Zeitelhofer et al., 2008). Taken together, such findings reestablish our understanding concerning mRNA decapping factors which should no longer be considered as mere housekeeping genes that serve in the indiscriminate degradation of mRNA molecules, but as actual gene expression regulators that have active roles in the control of neuronal function.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Gene (Caenorhabditis elegans)     | dcap-1      | www.wormbase.org    | CELE_Y55F3AM.12 | WormBase ID: WBGene00021929 |
| Gene (Caenorhabditis elegans)     | dcap-2      | www.wormbase.org    | CELE_F52G2.1  | WormBase ID: WBGene00003582 |
| Gene (Caenorhabditis elegans)     | daf-16      | www.wormbase.org    | CELE_R13H8.1  | WormBase ID: WBGene0000912  |

Continued on next page
### Reagent type and resource

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| **Gene (Caenorhabditis elegans)** | ins-7       | www.wormbase.org    | CELE_ZK1251.2 | WormBase ID: WBGene00002090 |
| **Gene (Drosophila melanogaster)** | DCP1        | www.flybase.org     | CG11183     | FlyBase ID: FBgn0034921 |
| **Strain, strain background (Escherichia coli)** | OP50        | Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) | OP50 | For standard NGM plates |
| **Strain, strain background (Escherichia coli)** | HT115       | Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) | HT115(DE3) | For RNAi plates |
| **Strain, strain background (Caenorhabditis elegans)** | C. elegans strains used in this study | This study |  | Supplementary file 3 |
| **Strain, strain background (Drosophila melanogaster)** | D. melanogaster strains used in this study | This study |  | Supplementary file 4 |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | Primers used in this study | This study |  | Supplementary file 5 |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | promoterless dcap-1::gfp | Borbolis et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.160313 | PS#302 | pBluescript KS(+) 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | unc-119p::dcap-1::gfp | Borbolis et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.160313 | PS#293 | pBluescript KS(+) 2200 bp unc-119p 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | rab-3p::dcap-1::gfp | This study | PS#345 | pBluescript KS(+) 1200 bp rab-3p 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | ges-1p::dcap-1::gfp | Borbolis et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.160313 | PS#328 | pBluescript KS(+) 1541 bp ges-1p 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | hlh-1p::dcap-1::gfp | Borbolis et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.160313 | PS#326 | pBluescript KS(+) 3100 bp hlh-1p 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | col-10p::dcap-1::gfp | Borbolis et al., 2017 DOI: 10.1098/rsob.160313 | PS#364 | pBluescript KS(+) 2000 bp col-10p 4181 bp dcap-1::gfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | ges-1p::gfp | This study | PS#176 | pPD95.77;1541 bp ges-1p |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | unc-119p::ins-7 | This study | PS#478 | pBluescript SK II 2200 bp unc-119p 1082 bp ins-7 |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | UAS:DCP1:eGFP | This study | PS#394 | pUASTattB 1116 bp dcp1 924 bp egfp |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | daf-16 RNAi | This study | PS#48 | pPD129.36(L4440);1721 bp genomic DNA |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | daf-2 RNAi | This study | PS#36 | pPD129.36(L4440);1393 bp genomic DNA |
| **Recombinant DNA reagent** | ins-7 RNAi | This study | PS#452 | pPD129.36(L4440);743 bp genomic DNA |
| **Commercial assay or kit** | QIAprep spin Miniprep Kit (50) | QIAGEN | QIA.27104 |
| **Commercial assay or kit** | Qiaquick PCR purification kit | QIAGEN | QIA.28104 |
| **Commercial assay or kit** | Nucleospin RNA XS | Macherey-Nagel | 740902.50 |
| **Commercial assay or kit** | FIREScipt RT cDNA Synthesis kit | SOLIS BIODYNE | 06-15-00200 |

*Continued on next page*
### C. elegans strains and culture conditions

Standard methods of culturing and handling worms were used (Brenner, 1974). Worms were cultured on NGM plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50. RNAi experiments were performed on plates seeded with HT115(DE3) bacteria, expressing dsRNA for the indicated gene. For their preparation, bacteria transformed with the corresponding RNAi constructs were grown overnight at 37°C in LB medium with ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and tetracycline (10 μg/ml). On the following day, fresh cultures with ampicillin were induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and seeded on RNAi plates. Bacteria carrying the empty vector (pL4440) were treated likewise and used as control cultures. Whenever it was deemed necessary, bacterial lawn was killed by UV irradiation and plates where supplemented with 50 μg/ml 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUdR) to prevent progeny growth. Wild type Bristol N2 and mutant strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC, University of Minnesota), which is supported by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). dcap-1(tm3163) mutant strain was provided by the Mitani Laboratory through the National Bio-Resource of the MEXT, Japan. All *C. elegans* strains used in this study are presented in [Supplementary file 3](#). All single mutants were crossed at least three times with N2 and double mutants were generated by crossing the corresponding strains. Relevant mutations were tracked in F2 progeny either by PCR or phenotypic selection. Primers used for genotyping are presented in [Supplementary file 5](#). BRF410 transgenic animals were generated by microinjection of plasmid DNA into to the gonad of dcap-1(tm3163) young adults, using rol-6(su1006) as a co-transformation marker. Multiple lines were observed and screened for the representative expression pattern (Mello and Fire, 1995). To enhance the effectiveness of neuronal RNAi by feeding, strains that express SID-1 dsRNA transporter ectopically in neurons were used (Calixto et al., 2010).

### D. melanogaster strains and culture conditions

All fly stocks and crosses were raised on standard cornmeal medium and kept at 25°C except for temperature shift experiments. elav:GAL4 (P[w/+mW.hs]=GawB)elav[C155] – BL#458) and en:GAL4 (y[1] w*]; P[w/+mW.hs]=en2.4 GAL4)e16E – BL#30564) driver strains were obtained by Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC). UAS:IRDACP1 strains were obtained from Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (VDR – ID GD31442 and KK105638). UAS:DCP1:eGFP was generated by microinjection of the appropriate construct in embryos that carry the attP element in...
the 86Fb site (BestGene). All *D. melanogaster* strains used in this study are presented in Supplementary file 4.

**Constructs**

For *C. elegans* RNAi constructs, a corresponding gene-specific genomic DNA fragment was inserted in L4440 feeding vector (pPD129.36) (Timmons and Fire, 1998). In the case of *daf-16* and *daf-2*, a 1.7 kb HindIII/Xhol and a 1.4 kb BamHI/Xhol fragment were used, respectively. For *ins-7* RNAi, a 750 bp fragment was amplified by PCR and inserted as an Xbal/Xhol fragment. To generate the *rab-3p::dcap-1::gfp* construct, a 1.2 kb DNA fragment was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR and inserted as a PstI/BamHI fragment in a previously described promoterless *dcap-1::gfp* vector (Borbolis et al., 2017). For the *unc-119p::ins-7* construct, a 2.2 kb fragment, corresponding to the promoter of *unc-119*, was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR and cloned into pBluescript SK II as an Xhol/PstI fragment, followed by a 1.1 kb fragment containing *ins-7* coding region and its 3’ UTR, which was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR and cloned as PstI/Xbal fragment. For the *ges-1p::gfp* construct, a 1.5 kb PstI fragment, amplified from genomic DNA by PCR was cloned into pPD95.77 *gfp*-containing vector. *D. melanogaster*’s DCP1:eGFP construct was generated by inserting a 1.1 kb EcoRI/BglII fragment containing DCP1 coding sequence (amplified from cDNA clone GH04763 Drosophila Genomics Resource Center) and a 924 bp BglII/XhoI fragment containing the eGFP sequence (amplified from vector pEGFP-N1 (Clonetech)) into pUASTattB vector *Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center. All primers used for the amplification of insert fragments are shown in Supplementary file 5.

**Lifespan assays**

*C. elegans* lifespan analysis was conducted at 20˚C or at 25˚C as described previously (Syntichaki et al., 2007). Briefly, mid to late L4 larvae of each strain were transferred to NGM plates (30–40 per plate) seeded with OP50 or HT115 (for RNAi) bacteria and moved to fresh plates every 2–4 days. Viability was scored daily and worms that failed to respond to stimulation by touch were considered dead. Bagged or raptured worms and animals that crawled off the plates are referred as censored in the analysis. In *D. melanogaster* lifespan assays, the desired male flies were crossed with appropriate virgin females and F1 progeny were divided in vials of 20–30 individuals. Vials were incubated at the desired temperature inclined in order to prevent drowning. Flies were transferred to fresh ones every 2–4 days and viability was scored daily. Flies that died accidentally or escaped were scored as censored. All crosses and lifespan assays were carried out at 29˚C, with the exception of post-developmental DCP1 overexpression where crosses were performed at 18˚C and progeny were transferred at 29˚C as 1 day old adults. Statistical analysis was performed by comparing each population to the appropriate control and p-values were determined using the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

**RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was prepared from frozen worm pellets (200–300 worms per sample) or fly heads (at least 10 per sample) of the indicated genetic background and age, using Tri Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) or NucleoSpin RNA XS kit (Macherey-Nagel). *C. elegans* worms were cultured at 20˚C in the presence of 50 µM FUdR to avoid progeny growth. At least three populations were harvested and analyzed independently in each experiment. *D. melanogaster* flies were raised in the indicated temperature until they reached the desired age or developmental stage where their heads were dissected and frozen. Quality and quantity of RNA samples were determined using Nanodrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Reverse transcription was carried out with FIREScipt RT cDNA Synthesis KIT (Solis BioDyne) and quantitative PCR was performed using KAPA SYBR FAST Universal Kit (Kapa Biosystems) in the MJ MiniOpticon system (BioRad). Relative amounts of mRNA were determined using the comparative Ct method for quantification and each sample was independently normalized to its endogenous reference (*ama-1* for *C. elegans*, Rpp20 for *D. melanogaster*). Gene expression data are presented as the mean fold change ± SEM of all biological replicates relative to control. Statistical analysis was performed by comparing each sample to the appropriate control and p-values were determined using unpaired t-test. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR are shown in Supplementary file 5.
Microscopy

Microscopic analysis of fluorescent *C. elegans* worms was performed by monitoring levamisole-treated animals mounted on 3% agarose pads on glass microscope slides. Images were captured by confocal microscopy using a Leica TCS SP5 II laser scanning confocal imaging system on a DM6000 CFS upright microscope and a 10x objective or by fluorescent microscopy using a Leica DMRA upright fluorescent microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu ORCA-flash 4.0 camera and 20x or 40x objectives. Microscopy settings were kept stable throughout each experiment. All worms were cultured at 20°C in the presence of 50 μM FUdR to avoid progeny growth. DAF-16::GFP and DAF-16::RFP animals were fixed with 4% PFA in 1xPBS for 10 min and washed twice with M9 buffer prior to visualization to avoid DAF-16 translocation as result of handling. *ins-7p::gfp* and *ges-1p::gfp* driven fluorescence intensity was measured with ImageJ 1.52p (Fiji). For *ins-7p::gfp* sum slices projections of z-stacks were used. Fluorescence of 20–30 worms was measured for each strain and time point. DAF-16::GFP positive nuclei were counted manually using maximum intensity projections of z-stacks generated in ImageJ. DAF-16::RFP-positive nuclei were counted using Fiji macro scripts, which we developed for this purpose. Approximately 20 worms per strain and time point were scored. In all cases, the mean ± SEM of calculated values in each time point was plotted. Statistical analysis was performed by comparing each sample to the appropriate control in the same time point and p-values were determined using unpaired t-test.

Stress resistance assays

Heat shock assays in 1-day-old worms were performed by shifting synchronous populations from 20°C to 35°C for 6 hr. In the case of 9-day-old adults, worms were incubated at 35°C for 8 hr in plates seeded with UV-killed bacteria, supplemented with 50 μg/ml FUdR. Survival was scored after 16 hr of recovery at 20°C. Acute oxidative stress was inflicted by transferring 1-day-old worms to plates seeded with UV-killed bacteria containing 5 mM sodium arsenite. Survival was scored after 24 hr. For chronic oxidative stress 1-day-old adults were transferred to plates seeded with UV-killed bacteria containing 2.5 mM sodium arsenite and viability was scored daily. In all cases, the mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments was plotted. Statistical analysis was performed by comparing each sample to the appropriate control and p-values were determined using unpaired t-test.

Statistics

Statistical analysis in all case was performed with GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA, www.graphpad.com). Significance is depicted as follows: **** p<0.0001; *** p=0.0001–0.001; ** p=0.001–0.01; * p=0.01–0.05; ns indicates not significant with p-value ≥0.05.
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