Novel application of trimethyl chitosan as an adjuvant in vaccine delivery
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Abstract: The application of natural carbohydrate polysaccharides for antigen delivery and its adjuvanation potential has garnered interest in the scientific community in the recent years. These biomaterials are considered favorable candidates for adjuvant development due to their desirable properties like enormous bioavailability, non-toxicity, biodegradability, stability, affordability, and immunostimulating ability. Chitosan is the one such extensively studied natural polymer which has been appreciated for its excellent applications in pharmaceuticals. Trimethyl chitosan (TMC), a derivative of chitosan, possesses these properties. In addition, it has the properties of high aqueous solubility, high charge density, mucoadhesive, permeation enhancing (ability to cross tight junction), and stability over a range of ionic conditions which makes the spectrum of its applicability much broader. It has also been seen to perform analogously to alum, complete Freund’s adjuvant, incomplete Freund’s adjuvant, and cyclic guanosine monophosphate adjuvanation, which justifies its role as a potent adjuvant. Although many review articles detailing the applications of chitosan in vaccine delivery are available, a comprehensive review of the applications of TMC as an adjuvant is not available to date. This article provides a comprehensive overview of structural and chemical properties of TMC which affect its adjuvant characteristics; the efficacy of various delivery routes for TMC antigen combination; and the recent advances in the elucidation of its mechanism of action.
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Introduction

The word adjuvant originates from the Latin dictionary “adjuvare,” which means “to aid.” The adjuvants are meant to enhance the immunogenicity of an antigen and thus reduce the number and amount of antigen doses to be administered. Some adjuvants help in the retention of the antigen in the host system and thereby help in improving the choice of routes for antigen delivery. Although there is no ideal definition for adjuvant, there are certain criteria that define good adjuvant properties. Bioavailability, no cytotoxicity, biodegradability, inexpensive production, no immune response against itself, appropriate response against the disease, for example, Th1 against tuberculosis and Th1/Th2 mixed against anthrax, suitability for the desired route of vaccination are the foremost to mention.

The live attenuated organisms and inactivated pathogens comprise several natural adjuvants, hence can elicit a robust immune response. The subunit and recombinant antigen-based vaccines often require adjuvants to augment the specific immune response against the corresponding antigen. The aluminum-based adjuvants are predominant to date and the only ones licensed for human use. Unfortunately, often with its potent adjuvant effect, accompanies several adverse side effects like local pain and inflammation, necrosis, granulomas, and ulcers and many systemic side effects...
like nausea, fever, arthritis, uveitis, eosinophilia, allergy, anaphylaxis, organ-specific toxicity, and immunotoxicity.\textsuperscript{1–3} Aluminum is also concerned for its possible role in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease.\textsuperscript{4}

The illustrious complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) made by Freund in 1930, is an oil-in-water emulsion containing killed mycobacteria and is considered one of the most potent adjuvants.\textsuperscript{5} Its toxicity and local reactions make it unsuitable for human use. The incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA), however, is less toxic, being utilized in human vaccines.\textsuperscript{6} Similarly, several bacterial origin adjuvants were discovered later, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and lipid A.\textsuperscript{7} Several bacterial DNA components have also been studied for their adjuvant potential, for instance, bacterial DNA containing CpG motifs, which are immunostimulatory and are regarded as a potent Th1 adjuvant.\textsuperscript{8} Over the time, a variety of adjuvants have been identified in the bacterial systems. Unfortunately, the toxicity of these adjuvants limits their use in humans. MF59 and adjuvant system 03 (AS03) are also oil-in-water emulsion-based adjuvants developed by Novartis and GlaxoSmithKline, respectively, and have been approved for pandemic influenza vaccines.\textsuperscript{9} However, a thorough characterization of both these formulations is still lacking. Virosomes containing a viral envelope, membrane lipids, glycolipids, but no genetic material have been proved to be excellent antigen-delivering agents and adjuvants.\textsuperscript{10,11} Similarly, immune stimulating complexes comprised saponin, cholesterol, phospholipid, and QS21 being the primary immunostimulant and are also currently in clinical trials for influenza, human papillomavirus and hepatitis C virus.\textsuperscript{12–14} Development of new adjuvants is necessary to help antigens become effective vaccines by inducing robust and enduring protective immune responses. New vaccine targets will require not only strong antibody responses but also robust cell-mediated immunity (CMI) including T helper (Th) cells and cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Alum alone will be inadequate for such cases because it poorly stimulates T-cell responses. Hence, there is a great need for the exploration of new adjuvants which could replace alum in future and have better properties. Since the initial trials for new adjuvants begin in small animal models, which cannot guarantee its direct translation in humans, it takes much time to test the potency of adjuvants and release them for human use. Moreover, since the vaccines are evolving and new route of immunization are being assessed, there is a demand for suitable and safer alternatives for adjuvants.

**Natural polymers as adjuvants**

Natural carbohydrate polysaccharides are new on the track of being investigated for their antigen delivery as well as adjuvant potential. The biomaterials due to their desirable properties like enormous bioavailability, non-toxicity, biodegradability, stability, inexpensive production, and immunostimulating ability are considered favorable candidates for adjuvant development. Table 1 represents the polysaccharides which have been investigated with various antigens and have been proven to possess the immune-modulating ability. For instance, inulin, hyaluronic acid, and alginate have also gained attention in the field of vaccine delivery.\textsuperscript{15–18} Glucans, dextrans, xylans, galactomannans, and glucomannans are also few polysaccharides that have been reported to possess immunomodulatory properties.\textsuperscript{19,20} Advax is the successful example of polysaccharide delta inulin-based adjuvant, which is currently undergoing a clinical trial.\textsuperscript{21} Chitosan is one such natural polymer, which has been appreciated for its antacid, antiulcer, and wound healing property, thus finds excellent applications in pharmaceuticals.\textsuperscript{22,23} Biocompatibility, biodegradability, no cytotoxicity, high charge density, low cost, mucoadhesive, permeation enhancing (ability to cross tight junction), and immunomodulating ability are the excellent properties of chitosan that makes the spectrum of its applicability much broader.\textsuperscript{24,25} Chitin (precursor of chitosan) and its derivatives have also shown promising results in the application of the development of cancer vaccine.\textsuperscript{26}

**Chitosan: derivative of chitin, the second most abundant polysaccharide**

The polysaccharide chitosan $[\alpha-(1\rightarrow4)]-2$-amino-$2$-deoxy-$\beta$-$d$-glucan is derived from chitin $[(1\rightarrow4)]-2$-acetamido-$2$-deoxy-$\beta$-$d$-glucan by its deacetylation. Chitin is the significant component of the body of all crustaceans and fungal cell wall. It has been extensively studied for its biomaterial property and use in various areas such as drug delivery, cosmetics, vaccine, antibacterial, and antifungal procedures. The insolvibility of the chitosan in water makes it vulnerable to modifications that make it soluble and stable at a range of pH. The chitosan dissolution in water is also possible by lowering the pH to slightly acidic (pH < 5). The presence of several facile modifiable groups on the polymer (Figure 1) makes it suitable for chemical alteration, thereby changing its properties such as solubility and charge and making it readily employable for various applications. They have been particularly studied for their excellent mucoadhesive properties and permeation improvement applications in drug
and protein delivery. Chitosan is evidently a non-toxic and biocompatible material. Consequently, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved the polymer for use in wound dressings and tissue engineering. The chitosan-based delivery studies are recent and could find potential applications in future. The various derivatives of chitosan-like glycated chitosan, thiolated chitosan, and trimethyl chitosan (TMC) are currently under investigation for drug delivery, vaccine delivery, and food-based applications. Since chitosan is present naturally in the environment, there are several chitinases (hydrolyzing N-acetyl-β-1,4-glucosaminide linkage) available in the environment, including plants and human, which can degrade the polymer, making its use environment-friendly. As the use of chitosan has increased in recent time, there is scope for its utilization in various applications such as a drug, DNA and antigen delivery, biosensor, imaging, and antifungal and antibacterial agent. Transport and delivery of vitamins (B6, B12, and C) have also been successfully tested by using TMC nanoparticles (NPs) as a carrier/vehicle.

### Adjuvant properties of chitosan

For a long time chitosan has been researched for its adjuvant properties, and here we have discussed some of its recent progress as an adjuvant. As chitosan bears mucoadhesive properties, it has frequently been explored via mucosal routes of administration. However, it seems suitable for parenteral delivery as well, as it performed comparably to the aluminum hydroxide in case of H5N1 influenza vaccination in a recent study. The chitosan NPs loaded with UV-inactivated dengue virus, and Bacillus of Calmette and Guerin cell wall components were able to stimulate the immature dendritic cells (DCs) and consequently caused maturation of these cells, proving its potential as an adjuvant candidate against dengue. Ovalbumin (OVA)/granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-loaded chitosan NPs performed equivalently to IFA and CFA in the generation of OVA-specific antibody titer as well as CD4+ and CD8+ INF-γ+ T cells.

Chitosan has been tested with hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg), diphtheria toxin, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* CFP10-TB10.4 fusion protein, inactivated NIBRG-14 (H5N1) subunit antigen against influenza A, virus-like particles against norovirus, against poliovirus, against influenza A (H7N9, H9N2, H1N1, and H5N1) virus infection, and against *Helicobacter pylori* and has proved its potency as a successful adjuvant against these diseases. Gordon et al demonstrated that thermosensitive chitosan hydrogels possess higher ability to stimulate both humoral-mediated immunity and CMI, in addition to a slow and controlled in vitro release of antigen, compared to chitosan NPs, liposomes, and lipid-based cubosomes.

### Table 1 A summary of the current status of research on the polysaccharide adjuvants

| Polysaccharide adjuvants | Source | Current status | Reference |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------|
| Inulin                   | Compositae | Clinical trial (Advax) | 21 |
| Chitosan and its derivatives | Crustaceans and fungi | Preliminary research | 34 |
| Galactomannan            | Coesalpino spinosa, Aspergillus fumigatus, Ramolina celastri, Morchella esculenta | Preliminary research | 113 |
| Glucosaminan             | Candida utilis | Preliminary research | 114 |
| Oligomannose             | HIV, recombinant form obtained from bacteria | Preliminary research | 115 |
| Dextran                  | Leuconostoc strains | Preliminary research | 116 |
| Lentinan                 | Lentinus edodes | Preliminary research | 117 |
| β-Glucans                | Yeasts, seaweed, and algae | Preliminary research | 118 |
| Zymosan                  | Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Preliminary research | 119 |
| Lipomannan               | Mycobacterium tuberculosis | Preliminary research | 120 |
| Alginate                 | Brown algae | Preliminary research | 121 |
| Levans                   | Aerobacter levicanum | Preliminary research | 122 |

**Figure 1** Chemical structure of trimethyl chitosan.
Similarly, in an interesting study, chitosan-based hydrogel vaccines were illustrated to generate effective CD8 T-cell memory and performed equivalent to DC vaccination.\textsuperscript{40–43} Chitosan NPs are believed to augment the humoral and cellular immune response as well as able to elicit a balanced Th1/Th2 response. This makes them desirable for a broad range of therapeutic and prophylactic vaccines.\textsuperscript{44}

**TMC: the highly desirable derivative of chitosan**

TMC is one of the highly desirable derivatives of chitosan and is preferred over chitosan due to its properties like high aqueous solubility and stability over a wide range of ionic conditions. Due to its mucoadhesive properties,\textsuperscript{45} it can open and cross the tight junctions of epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{46} Moreover, TMC forms polyplexes with DNA and has been utilized for gene delivery.\textsuperscript{37} An optimum degree of quaternization (DQ) is required to achieve transepithelial delivery of low molecular weight (MW) drugs and proteins, which has been found to be 40%–50%.\textsuperscript{38,49} These properties of TMC have been widely applied to its NP approach for delivering several antigens like OVA, tetanus toxoid, Omp19, Omp31, diphtheria toxoid, pDNA encoding antigen 85 of \textit{M. tuberculosis}, Newcastle disease virus (NDV), whole inactivated virus (influenza), LTK63, and inactivated poliovirus. Table 1 summarizes the list of antigens that have been delivered with TMC as a mixture or encapsulated by TMC NPs.

**Synthesis strategies, properties, and characterization of TMC**

TMC is trimethylated chitosan and is largely derived from chitosan by reacting with iodomethane in stringent basic conditions upon solubilizing in \textit{N}-methyl-2-pyrrolidone and using sodium iodide as a catalyst.\textsuperscript{50,51} It can also be derived by treating chitosan with suitable formaldehyde group compound to produce a Schiff- base, with subsequent reaction with a reducing agent and eventually with a methyl halide.\textsuperscript{51,52} Reaction of chitosan with less poisonous and less expensive dimethylsulfate is a recent method being adopted.\textsuperscript{53} However, the resulting undesirable O-methylation occurs in almost all the reactions as they are carried out in strong basic conditions and high temperature which reduces the solubility of TMC in aqueous medium. To avoid this methyl iodide-based trimethylation could be carried out at lower temperature using dimethylformamide/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture as solvent.\textsuperscript{54} Eschweiler–Clarke method followed by Verheul et al, is also another technique to obtain \textit{O}-methyl free TMC.\textsuperscript{55} The final product TMC could be characterized for its trimethylation by performing H1-NMR after dissolving TMC in D\textsubscript{2}O. The DQ of TMC could then be measured from the NMR profile by using the following equation.

\[
\text{DQ} (\%) = \frac{[(\text{CH}_3)_x]}{[\text{H}]} \times 100
\]

Here, \([(\text{CH}_3)_x]\) is the integral of the hydrogens of the trimethylated amino groups at 3.3 ppm and [H] is the integral of the H-1 peaks between 4.7 and 5.7 ppm in the NMR profile. Its MW could be determined using gel permeation chromatography. FTIR spectroscopy, CHN elemental analysis, 1 hour, and 13C NMR analysis can also be used for further characterization of its structure. The properties of TMC like aqueous solubility, mucoadhesion potential, and transepithelial movement is affected by its DQ.\textsuperscript{56}

**Structural effect**

The adjuvanticity effect of TMC has been closely correlated with its DQ. According to Boonyo et al, a 40% DQ of TMC is optimum for the immune response generation, as they tested with OVA intranasal administration.\textsuperscript{57} Similarly, with whole inactivated influenza virus (WIV) intranasal vaccination, a DQ of 37% was found to be better than 15%.\textsuperscript{58} The other factors that affect the adjuvant capability of TMC are its MW, the degree of acetylation (DAc) and the degree of O-methylation (DOM). Figure 1 clearly shows the modifiable functional groups of the polymer. It has been widely accepted that tailoring these three structural factors can lead to a differential immune response.\textsuperscript{57,58} The transport of WIV across Calu-3 cells was reduced by the reacetylated TMC, due to its rapid degradation relative to TMC.\textsuperscript{59} The DAc in case of chitosan has also been associated with its enzymatic degradation,\textsuperscript{60} permeation capacity,\textsuperscript{61} and antigen-presenting cell (APC) stimulation.\textsuperscript{62} Hence, DAc has a substantial effect on immune response elicitation. Regarding the MW of chitosan, Boonyo et al also reported that the immune response of the higher MW chitosan was better than the low MW variant.\textsuperscript{57} According to another study, the chitosan and TMC-based vaccine delivery via oral route with OVA were able to elicit an immune response against OVA but in a chitosan MW-dependent manner.\textsuperscript{53} Hence, an appropriate MW, as well as DQ and DAc, is required to achieve a suitable immune response. On the contrary, it was also demonstrated by a group that the DAc of $\leq$17% had a strong adjuvant effect which was not affected by the DOM or the DQ.\textsuperscript{64} Antigen conjugation with TMC has also been reported to boost the immune response in some instances. For example,
the conjugation of the OVA with the TMC illustrated improved immunogenicity as well as uptake of the protein by DCs and consequently helped in the maturation of DCs. In a similar study, it was shown that the TMC-OVA conjugates were able to penetrate the skin more efficiently than the mixture of both and hence increased uptake by DCs of lymph nodes. Hence, the structural parameters affect the adjuvant capacity of TMC, and these could also be tailored to achieve a desired immune response.

**Route of administration**

The TMC adjuvantation effect also depends on the site of the administration. TMC has an intrinsic mucoadhesive property, inherited from chitosan, as a result, nasal route has been explored the most. The TMC carries a positive charge at physiological pH, and the nasal epithelium is negatively charged, this gives an extra edge for the electrostatic interaction of TMC with nasal epithelium. The nasal-associated lymphoid tissue allows the passage of TMC NPs conjugated proteins across it in addition to the increased immune response, upon nasal vaccination. The TMC NPs encapsulating OVA were able to increase the residence time of protein in the nasal epithelium and gave a high anti-OVA IgG as well as sIgA titer. These NPs were able to release the protein faster than the poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) or PLGA/TMC NPs. In another attempt, it was seen that whole inactivated virus adjuvanted with TMC when immunized in the mice via nasal route did not produce any toxicity and was able to promote the uptake of the virus and amplify the immune response. The tetanus toxoid-loaded TMC NPs intended for a nasal delivery were also prepared by few separate groups which showed quite good encapsulation efficiency as well positive surface charge suitable for nasal delivery as well as an enhanced immune response elicitation. According to another study, the intranasal administration of *Escherichia coli* enterotoxin LTK63 (mutant) with TMC in mice induced remarkable bactericidal titer in the mice model. Thus, the TMC NPs gave the researchers a direction to explore the nasal route of vaccination with the help of TMC-based vaccine formulations due to the virtue of its ability to cross nasal epithelium.

For oral delivery of an antigen, it has to be protected from the degradative conditions of the gastrointestinal tract. In an oral delivery study, the hepatitis surface antigen-loaded TMC NPs upon ionic gelation with hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate, a pH-sensitive polymer, protected the antigen from gastric destruction. According to another study, oral route delivery of the chitosan and TMC with OVA were able to elicit an anti-OVA-specific immune response. Higher protection against *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella abortus* infection was observed by oral route immunization in mice with TMC NPs encapsulating Omp19 as well as Omp31 than the intraperitoneal route. The intraduodenal route of administration was also verified by a group by administration of TMC NPs encapsulated OVA as antigen and led to significantly higher antibody rise than antigen alone.

Several groups also explored the dermal route. TMC NPs encapsulating diphtheria toxin elicited quite high IgG titers, and the levels were similar to that of the alum upon intradermal injection. Utilizing the dermal or subcutaneous route, an innovative microneedle-based approach for vaccination was illustrated with the help of inactivated polio vaccine particles (negatively charged) and TMC (positively charged) by layering them onto pH-sensitive microneedles and then immunizing rats. This approach led to the generation of antibodies against virus and emphasized the practical application of microneedles for dermal vaccination. In the same line, diphtheria toxoid and TMC layering over the microneedles resulted in comparable immune response with the alum-adjuvanted diphtheria toxin upon dermal vaccination. Intramuscular immunization with pDNA encoding antigen 85A of *M. tuberculosis* provoked a significant Th1 response in mice. Many routes have been explored for TMC vaccination. However, there is scope for further intervention into new routes.

**Particulate effect**

TMC NPs have gained recent interest as an adjuvant and a delivery vehicle for vaccination. The particles upon co-encapsulation with an immunopotentiator further improves the strength and quality of immune response. In general, TMC NPs are prepared by the ionic gelation method, in which the positively charged TMC interacts with a negatively charged molecule and forms a complex. Even though researchers have tried many other alternatives to enhance the adjuvant effect of NPs, the highly used negatively charged molecule is tripolyphosphate (TPP). The TMC NPs prepared with LPS or MDP instead of TPP elicited higher levels of IgG and isotypes titer. Cpg as a negatively charged crosslinker has also been studied instead of TPP (conventionally used for TMC/TPP NP preparation), and it generated a strong Th1 as well as Th2 response upon nasal immunization. Chitosan NPs in combination with C48/80 mast cell activator also enhanced the immunogenicity of protective immunity of protective antigen.
The MW of TMC polymer has not been reported to be a factor affecting the particle size or loading efficiency of NPs. However, the DQ has been found to be inversely associated with the size of the TMC NPs.\textsuperscript{87, 88} TMC particles within a size range of 200–300 nm were reported to be efficiently taken up by the DCs and also induced the activation of DCs.\textsuperscript{89} Similar sized vancomycin-loaded particles have been reported to demonstrate an efficient uptake by osteoblasts, resulting in their differentiation and elimination of the \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} infection by controlled drug release.\textsuperscript{90} It has also been suggested that the smaller NPs are easily engulfed by the APCs in comparison with the large sized ones and could potentially be used as drug delivery agent against tumors.\textsuperscript{91} TMC NPs in the range 200–300 nm have also been reported to have more uptake as they are able to cross the nasal epithelial membrane owing to its small size.\textsuperscript{92} Recently, TMC NPs of 250 nm particle size encapsulating protective antigen were illustrated to possess adjuvant potential as well as provided protection against anthrax challenge in mouse model.\textsuperscript{93} Thus particle size also plays an important role in eliciting an immune response.

The TMC-based NPs are smaller in size in comparison with the chitosan NPs. Improved loading efficiency and release profile with a higher zeta potential makes TMC-based NPs much more desirable.\textsuperscript{94} Another study of TMC NPs encapsulating HBsAg reported that the protein was released for 43 days with no burst release with a remarkably higher loading efficiency of nearly 90\%.\textsuperscript{95} Several antigens have been tested with TMC NP-based vaccination and there has been a substantial evidence in support of its adjuvant potential. Table 2 lists the antigens, attenuated pathogens, and DNA that have been tested with the TMC NPs. For polio vaccination, virus-like particles coated with chitosan and TMC were tested for their stability and ability to interact with DCs.\textsuperscript{96} A robust humoral response was observed in a study where influenza matrix protein coding ectodomain fused with HSP70359-610 region of \textit{M. tuberculosis} encapsulating TMC NPs was immunized intranasally.

| TMC delivery form | Antigens | Route of immunization | Animal model | Reference |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Nanoparticles     | Omp31    | Oral                  | Mice         | 77        |
| Nanoparticles     | Antigens of Newcastle disease and infectious bronchitis | Intranasal | Chickens | 102 |
| Microneedle layer | Diptheria toxoid | Dermal  | Mice | 82 |
| Nanoparticles     | pDNA encoding antigen 85A | Intramuscular | Mice | 83 |
| Nanoparticles     | Newcastle disease viruses | Oral | Chickens | 101 |
| Nanoparticles     | Unlipidated 19 kDa outer membrane protein (U-Omp19) | Oral, intraperitoneal | Mice | 78 |
| Nanoparticles     | OVA      | Nasal                 | Mice         | 106       |
| TMC mixture       | WIV      | Intranasal            | Mice         | 59        |
| Microneedle layer (TMC mixture and conjugated with antigen) | OVA | Transcutaneous, intradermal, intranodal | Mice | 17 |
| Nanoparticles     | OVA      | Intradermal           | Mice         | 89        |
| Nanoparticles     | OVA      | Intraduodenal         | Mice         | 79        |
| Nanoparticles (CpG ionic crosslinker) | OVA | Nasal | Mice | 85 |
| Nanoparticles (mono-N-carboxymethyl chitosan crosslinker) | Tetanus toxoid | Nasal | Mice | 74 |
| TMC (varying DQ, DOM, molecular weight) | WIV | Nasal | Mice | 64 |
| Nanoparticles (mono-N-carboxymethyl chitosan crosslinker) | Tetanus toxoid | Intranasal | Mice | 73 |
| TMC mixture | LTK63 | Intranasal | Mice | 75 |
| Microneedle layer | Inactivated polio virus | Dermal | Rats | 81 |
| Nanoparticles (with alginate modification) | BSA | Subcutaneous | Mice | 123 |

Abbreviations: BSA, bovine serum albumin; DOM, degree of O-methylation; DQ, degree of quaternization; OVA, ovalbumin; TMC, trimethyl chitosan; WIV, whole inactivated influenza virus.
into the mice. This shows that the TMC NPs could also be an adjuvant and act as a vehicle for DNA vaccine delivery.\textsuperscript{97} Both chitosan and TMC NPs have been proved to be effective adjuvants in combination with Human T-lymphotropic virus-Type-I recombinant proteins env23 and env13 upon subcutaneous and intranasal immunization in mice.\textsuperscript{98} Against TB, pDNA encoding Ag85A and ESAT-6 protein encapsulated TMC NPs, generated a strong humoral response in mice and in case of ESAT-6, TMC NPs generated high levels of IgG2a and IFN-g, which are more critical for adequate protection against TB.\textsuperscript{99,100} Two recent studies reported on chickens with N-2-hydroxypropyl trimethyl ammonium chloride chitosan (N-2-HACC) NPs loaded with NDV and infectious bronchitis virus showed remarkable protection against the virus after oral immunization in chickens.\textsuperscript{101,102} In comparison with the alum-adsorbed HB and diphtheria toxin, TMC NPs loaded with HBsAg diphtheria toxin generated high IgG titers in both the cases and activated T-cell proliferation and produced a Th2-biased immune response in diphtheria vaccination.\textsuperscript{89,103} Similarly, the OVA-loaded TMC NPs generated a superior response to PLGA NPs when treated nasally into mice and also stimulated the maturation of DCs.\textsuperscript{70} There are reports wherein the other nano-formulations coated with TMC also elicited a good immune response, and TMC aided in achieving that enhancement in the immune modulation. For instance, a long-term immune response was maintained upon the intranasal immunization with nanoliposomes coated with TMC, loaded with M-protein epitope of Group-A streptococcus.\textsuperscript{104} PLGA-based lipid polymeric particles as well as only PLGA NPs were coated with TMC and were observed to enhance the immunity.\textsuperscript{105,106} TMC nanoformulations-based vaccine development is rising steadily, and it will soon become a preferred delivery vehicle and adjuvant among researchers.

**In vitro effect of TMC as an adjuvant**

According to previous studies, TMC stimulates the DCs and APCs and even caused the maturation and differentiation of these cells, simultaneously releasing several cytokines. For instance, Nantachit et al\textsuperscript{107} demonstrated that the in vitro stimulation of human nasal epithelial cells with TMC NPs encapsulating EDIII-D3 (domain III of dengue serotype-3 E protein) stimulated the secretion of several proinflammatory, Th1, and Th2 cytokines as well as chemokines. The particles uptake by DCs also upregulated the maturation markers (CD80, CD83, CD86, and HLA-DR) of DCs.\textsuperscript{107} Similar uptake and upregulation of maturation markers CD83, CD86, and MHC-II was reported by a separate group.\textsuperscript{89} In another study, TMC-coated PLGA NPs and TMC-TPP NPs enhanced the antigen presentation by DCs, as shown by increased in vitro and in vivo CD4+ T-cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{106} Hence, the TMC NPs are efficiently captured by DCs which in turn stimulates the immune response.

Although the mechanism of action for the adjuvant effect of TMC is not entirely defined, it is hypothesized that it might possess a TRL recognition ability similar to chitin and thus could induce an innate immune response.\textsuperscript{108,109} A recent study by Carroll et al reveals some links of this mechanism of action. According to this study, the chitosan-induced maturation of DCs is mediated by the generation of type I interferons, eventually generating an antigen-specific Th1 response and IgG2c rise. cGAS and STING (cytoplasmic DNA sensors) and mitochondrial ROS production are also reported to be involved in this Th1 response trigger. As chitosan has been linked to NLRP3 inflammasome, contributing to Th1 cell polarization, TMC is postulated to follow a similar mechanism of action.\textsuperscript{100,111} Figure 2 shows some of the already known pathways and mechanism of action of TMC or its NPs. Further investigation is required to identify the downstream signaling events responsible for the action of TMC or chitosan.

**Conclusion**

TMC, a natural polysaccharide-based polymer, is gaining recognition as a highly potential adjuvant and is being researched by many research groups around the globe. Lately, TMC has been promisingly used for mucosal immunization and other applications owing to its encouraging results. It has various advantageous properties such as being non-toxic, biodegradable, excellent biocompatibility, strong immune response enhancement and low reactogenicity. Although the current understanding of the mode of action of TMC is theorized by stimulation through the Toll-like receptor, still it needs further investigation to define its applicability in generating a desired immune response (Th1/Th2). Its mucoadhesive property could be further explored to generate a variety of vaccine formulations for parenteral administration. Furthermore, the gamut of routes for TMC vaccination need to be broadened to make it employable against more bacterial and viral pathogens. As the TMC NPs can cross the tight junctions of epithelial cell membranes, the nasal vaccination could potentially be evolved to a new level with the help of this adjuvant. The intrinsic factors of TMC, such as its structure, the DaC, the degree of methylation, and the MW, which significantly affect its adjuvant properties that need to be probed with more permutation and combinations.
to attain the best form of TMC as an adjuvant. The route of immunization and the form in which TMC (mixture, conjugated with antigen, NPs) is being used in combination with the antigen are also the decisive factors for an enhanced immune response generation. TMCs have been used for DNA delivery with some promising outcomes. Polyplexes formed by TMC and DNA interaction lead to condensation of the genetic material, which provides additional stability to the DNA. It also paves the way toward exploitation of TMC for DNA-based vaccines. Protection against various bacterial and viral pathogenic diseases also needs to be reconsidered by employing TMC adjuvant-based vaccine strategies. In summary, TMC is a remarkably potential adjuvant for vaccine delivery and should be further investigated as an alternative to aluminum-based vaccines.

Regulatory aspects and future outlook
Chitosan and TMC possess many desirable properties like biocompatibility, less cytotoxicity, biodegradability, and mucoadhesiveness, and thus, they are one of the favorite candidates for vaccine delivery. Despite numerous vaccine studies in animal models have been reported using various antigens, chitosan and TMC have not yet been approved by the FDA as GRAS, hence could not be extended for human clinical studies for vaccination.112 Since chitosan has been approved by the FDA only for other topical applications like tissue engineering, wound healing, and cosmetic use, its approval for systemic applications would mark the next milestone in vaccine delivery. Therefore, further convincing studies are needed to emphasize the potential of TMC in numerous domains. The authors are hopeful that more vaccine studies demonstrating the already well-established functionality of TMC would aid in substantiating its use as an adjuvant in vaccine delivery.
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