Technical Feasibility of Using Construction Waste (Sand Fraction) as Stabilizing Agent in Sinop City (Brazil) Soil
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Abstract The development of the civil construction industry generates major problems, such as the production of construction waste. This complication is aggravated mainly in municipalities where there is no management and disposal policy, as it is the case of Sinop, Mato Grosso (MT), Brazil. A possible solution to this environmental problem in that municipality is the application of ground waste to sand fraction as stabilizer in order to increase resistance of the regional subgrade. The latter is characterized as having a low-bearing soil capacity. Furthermore, this strategy may allow for soothing other problems, such as granular material extraction from the environment. This paper sought answers to improve the low-bearing soil capacity in Sinop, which was granulometrically and mechanically stabilized by having natural soil mixed with two percentages of construction waste: 25% and 50%, with two compaction energies: normal and intermediate. Samples were subjected to tests for characterization and resistance. Results show relevant improvement in handling material characteristics. Natural soil, characterized as A-4 TRB, from bad to poor subgrade performance, and 12.2% CBR, with blend in three CBR cases as well as expansion, conformed to be sub-base material (CBR > 20%). Additionally, in one of the cases, it conformed to be base material (CBR > 60%). However, even though material with good resistance and bearing capacity was obtained, it was not possible to reach conclusions on its technical feasibility due to grain size not fitting ABNT NBR 15115 specification.
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1. Introduction

Demographic growth of cities requires greater investment in urban infrastructure. One of the main investments is paving, which brings better quality of life and benefits to the population. The costs of urban paving are high due to the need for large-scale extraction of granular material to be applied to paving layers, for example. These layers are thicker and more expensive as the bearing capacity of the subgrade soil is worse.

In addition to high costs, extraction of granular material to construct base layers, sub-base, and for subgrade reinforcement in paving creates environmental problems. This is aggravated when material is available in deposits located in regions near environmentally protected areas [1, 2].

Sinop-MT is located in a region with low-bearing capacity of soils as subgrade soil [3]. Therefore, there is a need for soil improvement or replacement in order to allow for paving. Additionally, a large part of deposits located in the municipality are near Teles Pires River where environmental impacts associated with extraction are considerable.

An economic alternative to improve mechanical behavior of local soils is the implementation of stabilization techniques [4]. One modification technique of geotechnical properties is mechanical stabilization through compaction and mixing of soils with different characteristics [5].

According to Pereira et al. [6], compaction is the mechanical action imposed to the soil through the application of compressive strength. This results in reduction of void ratio with air-expulsion. This process aims to improve soil properties for engineering purposes, based on an increase in resistance and particle cohesion [7, 8].

It is extremely important to know the maximum bearing capacity of soil, keeping its functions preserved [9]. When the soil does not have satisfactory resistance, it is possible to improve its behavior by adding other soils and materials [6].
An alternative to improve the bearing capacity of local soil is the use of construction waste (RCC) as stabilizing granular material. This solution, in addition to minimizing the necessity of natural material deposits, contributes to another demand of this municipality: the production of construction waste associated with the unavailability of adequate places for its disposal [10, 11].

Thus, this study aims to offer an alternative for the improvement of the municipality’s soil, while verifying the technical feasibility of using recycled aggregates, and using construction waste in sand fraction as a stabilizer of natural material. The modified soil can offer technical conditions and allow for its application in base layers, sub-base and subgrade reinforcement of urban paving.

2. Theoretical Basis

2.1. Soils of Sinop-MT (Brazil)

According to Pedology, the predominant soil in Sinop-MT is classified as red-yellow latosol, with quartz sands and plinthosols, as well as a laterite layer near Teles Pires River [12]. This laterite layer, also known as “gravel”, is a type of material widely used for paving due to having good performance and good bearing capacity. The Transportation Research Board (TRB) classification characteristic of Sinop region (Table 1) suggests silt-clay material that has poor behavior as subgrade material [13].

| Characteristics | Indicators |
|-----------------|------------|
| Liquidity Index – LI | 32% |
| Plasticity Index – PI | 6% |
| Group Index – GI | 7 |
| Percent passing through sieve #200 | 70% |
| TRB classification | A-4 |

According to Simioni [14], the optimum moisture content of natural soil was determined by means of trials in 23.40%, apparent specific dry weight – $\gamma_{dmax}$, mean value was of 14.89 kN / m³, with CBR ranging from 6% to 20%, thus obtaining a mean value of 13%. The researcher concluded the studied soil cannot usually be used in base and sub-base layers in paving.

| Characteristics | Indicators |
|-----------------|------------|
| Liquidity Index – LI | NL (non-liquid) |
| Plasticity Index – PI | NP (non-plastic) |
| Group Index – GI | 0 |
| Percent passing through sieve #200 | 29.8% |
| TRB classification | A-2-4 |

Uieno [15], following the TRB method, classified the thin portion of soil removed from the gravel pit of Sinop City Hall (Table 2). Trials characterized the material as silty sand with excellent to good behavior for subgrade material. Additionally, Uieno’s research [15] found CBR results ranging from 25.3% to 46.8%, with mean value of 35.47%. Machado [16], through trials performed for the same soil, determined $W_{opt}$ of 11%, mean $\gamma_{dmax}$ of 19.20 kN / m³ and mean CBR of 25%.

2.2. Civil Construction Waste

Civil construction waste can be mixed with soils for paving with the objective of improving geotechnical properties, reducing costs and minimizing environmental damage [11, 17].

The CONAMA 307 [18] resolution was introduced based on the need to implement guidelines to reduce environmental damage produced by construction materials, since their disposal in inappropriate places contributes to degradation of environmental quality. Managing those materials should provide social, economic and environmental benefits, considering technical and economic feasibility of production and use. Its 3rd Art. defines the classes they should fit: A, B, C and D. Class A is the only one that can be used in paving layers, and may consist of materials from construction, demolition, renovation, paving repairs, as well as soil from land grading work. Some of the materials that fall under this classification are bricks, blocks, tiles, veneers, mortar, concrete, and tubes [18].

2.3. Soil Stabilization for Paving

Stabilization aims at improving soil properties, such as strength, permeability, deformability. Stabilized soil is defined as cases in which resistance gains are acquired with the use of additives, whereas improved soil is defined when improvement of other properties is sought, without significant gain of resistance [13]. The process has the function of transforming a given soil through artificial techniques, allowing it to serve different types of engineering work, in addition to its adaptation to different projects. The main methods employed for soil stabilization are shown in Figure 1.

2.4. Stabilization with RCC

There are many examples of RCC application in Brazil as well as worldwide. Many countries have their own specifications for quality control in production and application of this material. Research on the application of recycled aggregates to paving has been conducted for many
The creation of Alternative Materials in Road Construction (ALT-MAT) was achieved by joining some European countries with the purpose of finding alternative materials for paving. The organization states that materials should be characterized according to each region, as local factors exert significant influence. Studies conducted by the organization obtained more satisfactory field-performance results than expected [20].

Hortegal et al. [21] state that RCC has demonstrated good behavior in pavement layers, thus becoming a very interesting and viable alternative, since it has great availability provided by existing recycling technologies. In addition, it is a renewable alternative capable of replacing natural materials, especially in low-volume traffic routes.

RCC in pavement layers has been used since the 1970s in the Netherlands, being a common practice [22]. In Brazil, the first register of a road paved with RCC was in the city of São Paulo in 1984, with RCC application in subgrade reinforcement layer. Execution was followed up by the Technological Research Institute of the State of São Paulo (Instituto de Pesquisas Tecnológicas do Estado de São Paulo), presenting satisfactory performance. In the same decade, a program was created and implemented in order to recycle materials from civil construction to paving. Studies and research led to the conclusion that it was material with good behavior for application to urban pavements [23, 24].

3. Material and Methods

3.1. Soil

The soil used in this research was collected from the subsoil of Estrada Nanci (Nanci Road) in Sinop-MT. Collection was made at the location shown in Figure 2, with the following geographic coordinates: 11°50’36.2”S; 55°33’32.6”W.

The sample was collected at about 0.06 m below the original height of the lane, with the aid of a shovel and a hoe. Later on, the collected soil was kept in plastic bags and transported to the Soil Lab at UNEMAT where it was dried under fresh air. The steps are illustrated in Figure 3.

3.2. Construction Waste

The construction waste used (Figure 4) was collected from construction works of a private company in Sinop-MT. The material was milled by the company’s own grinder.

3.3. Geotechnical Characterization

Characterization of pure soil, pure construction waste and blends (25% construction waste and 75% soil; 50% construction waste and 50% soil) was carried out by means of Atterberg limits tests [25, 26], granulometry [27], and TRB classification [13].

3.4. Mechanical and Granulometric Stabilization with Construction Waste

The behavior of materials was analyzed, according to ABNT NBR 7182 [28], with two different energies: normal and intermediate; as well as two blending percentages: 25% construction waste and 75% soil; 50% construction waste and 50% soil. Pure soil and pure construction waste analyses
were also performed.

In order to standardize the procedures, compaction tests were performed with the small cylinder (10 cm in diameter and 12 cm in height), as shown in Figure 6 and specified by ABNT NBR 7182 [28]. Samples were homogenized (Figure 7) and subjected to compaction test. Pure soil curve with intermediate energy was adapted from the study conducted by Dalla Roza and Crispim [3].

3.5. CBR e Expansion

Two specimens were molded and ruptured for each material, one with normal energy and one with intermediate energy, optimum moisture point and maximum specific dry weight. The points were found through compaction curves in accordance with ABNT NBR 9895 [29]. Figure 8 shows the CBR test.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Geotechnical Characterization

Results from Atterberg Limits test and TRB classification are presented in Table 3.

| Material                        | LI (%) | IP (%) | TRB classification |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|
| Pure soil                      | 34.00  | 27.00  | A-4                |
| 25% construction waste 75% soil| 23.60  | NP     | A-4                |
| 50% construction waste 50% soil| 23.20  | NP     | A-4                |
| Pure construction waste        | NL     | NP     | A-2-4              |

Classification of pure soil and blends show the addition of construction waste causes the soil to stop having a plastic behavior. However, even at a 50% construction waste addition rate, TRB classification remains unchanged.
Figure 9 shows granulometric curves of materials. By analyzing the granulometric curves, it is noted that as the construction waste percentage increases, the material becomes more graduated and has better particle size distribution, thus favoring its use. However, none of the blends complies with paragraph C from ABNT NBR 15115 [30], since all percentages passing through sieve #40 are above the 40% limit.

4.2. Mechanical and Granulometric Stabilization with Construction Waste

Curves obtained from the compaction test with normal and intermediate energy are presented in Figures 10 and 11, respectively, and the corresponding results of $W_{ot}$ and $\gamma_{dm\acute{a}e}$ are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

![Figure 10. Compaction curves – normal energy](image)

![Figure 11. Compaction curves – intermediate energy](image)

| Material                          | $W_{ot}$ (%) | $\gamma_{dm\acute{a}e}$ (kN/m$^3$) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
| Pure soil                         | 21.10        | 15.69                              |
| 25% construction waste 75% soil   | 15.30        | 17.82                              |
| 50% construction waste 50% soil   | 12.80        | 17.34                              |
| Pure construction waste           | 18.20        | 15.72                              |

Table 4. Summary of compaction curves – normal energy

When analyzing the compaction curves, it is possible to notice that for normal energy, adding construction waste leads to a decrease in optimum moisture and an upward tendency towards an increase in maximum specific dry weight, although higher $\gamma_{dm\acute{a}e}$ was found in soil with addition of 25% of construction waste. It was also noted that pure construction waste presents a fairly higher value of optimum moisture. This fact may be associated to the porous structure of the material, which absorbs a significant amount of water.

Intermediate energy behavior is similar, with the ideal condition (maximum specific apparent dry weight) being the one noted in the blend having 50% of construction waste. Altogether it is possible to affirm that both blends show a better behavior when compared to pure materials, thus suggesting that granulometric stabilization of soil with construction waste is technically efficient.

4.3. CBR and Expansion

Based on CBR tests results, it was possible to create a chart in which the result of CBR is associated with the percentage of construction waste addition, as shown in Figure 12. There was no expansion in neither tested samples. The summary of values is shown in Table 6.

![Figure 12. CBR versus addition of construction waste](image)

| Material                          | CBR (%) |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Pure soil                         | 43      |
| 25% construction waste 75% soil   | 45      |
| 50% construction waste 50% soil   | 47      |
| Pure construction waste           | 49      |

When Figure 12 and Table 6 are analyzed, it is observed that for normal energy, the addition of construction waste raises soil bearing capacity up to approximately 50%. For a larger portion of construction waste, the bearing capacity value remains stable. Consequently, in compliance with the result of compaction curves, it is noted that 25% of construction waste would be enough to stabilize the soil.
Table 6. Summary of CBR values with normal and intermediate energies

| Material                        | Energia normal (%) | Energia intermediária (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Pure soil                       | 12.20              | -                          |
| 25% construction waste 75% soil | 21.00              | 34.70                      |
| 50% construction waste 50% soil | 24.00              | 73.80                      |
| Pure construction waste         | 23.90              | 42.80                      |

For intermediate energy, it is observed that the maximum value of bearing capacity occurs when 50% of construction waste is added, which is in agreement with the result of compaction curves, in which the addition rate presented the higher value of maximum specific dry weight.

The best result was the one obtained in the blend comprised of 50% construction waste and 50% intermediate energy soil. This blend enables the acquisition of a 74% CBR material. Thus, it is possible to compare it to excellent or good-behavior materials used for pavement layers. Additionally, the blend meets the CBR and Expansion specifications to be used in grade layers for traffic pavements up to N ≤ 106.

In all other cases of blend or pure construction waste, the material may be used as subgrade pavement layer based on CBR and Expansion requisites.

5. Conclusions

This paper analyzed the technical feasibility of using construction waste (RCC) in sand fraction as stabilizing agent in Sinop-MT (Brazil) soil. The natural soil of the city, known for its low-bearing capacity, is classified by TRB as A-4.

The application of soil blends and construction waste tested under two normative energies results in significant improvement in bearing capacity. However, compounds granulometry does not meet ABNT NBR 15115 [30] which lays down that the percentage of material passing through #40 sieve must be under 40%.

Data on CBR and expansion tests show the addition of 50% construction waste to intermediate energy enables the blend to be used for grade layers with CBR of 74%. The blend containing 25% of construction waste with intermediate energy and even blends of 25% or 50% of construction waste with normal energy result in a CBR above 20%, thus qualifying its application to subgrades layers.

The significant improvements in soil bearing capacity show the potential of applying civil construction waste in urban pavement layers. Nevertheless, there is a need for correcting blends granulometry in order to meet Brazilian guidelines.

Finally, the paper concludes that as construction waste (RCC) is added to the natural soil in question, CBR increases to some degree and then decreases. Even with 100% of construction waste (pure RCC), CBR is better than that of pure natural soil. This fact highlights how bad the bearing capacity of soil in Sinop-MT (Brazil) is.
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