The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Tuberculosis Case Notification and Treatment Outcomes in Eswatini
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Objectives: We investigated the impact of COVID-19 on tuberculosis (TB) case notification and treatment outcomes in Eswatini.

Methods: A comparative retrospective cohort study was conducted using TB data from eight facilities. An interrupted time series analysis, using segmented Poisson regression was done to assess the impact of COVID-19 on TB case notification comparing period before (December 2018-February 2020, n = 1,560) and during the pandemic (March 2020–May 2021, n = 840). Case notification was defined as number of TB cases registered in the TB treatment register. Treatment outcomes was result assigned to patients at the end of treatment according to WHO rules.

Results: There was a significant decrease in TB case notification (IRR 0.71, 95% CI: 0.60–0.83) and a significant increase in death rate among registrants during the pandemic (21.3%) compared to pre-pandemic (10.8%, p < 0.01). Logistic regression indicated higher odds of unfavorable outcomes (death, lost-to-follow-up, and not evaluated) during the pandemic than pre-pandemic (aOR 2.91, 95% CI: 2.17–3.89).

Conclusion: COVID-19 negatively impacted TB services in Eswatini. Eswatini should invest in strategies to safe-guard the health system against similar pandemics.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) remains one of the leading cause of death globally. In 2018, 10 million TB cases and more than 1.5 million deaths were reported. Majority of the reported cases are from sub-Saharan Africa, a region that is also engulfed by HIV [1]. Great strides have been made in the fight against TB in the past decade. However, the emergence of COVID-19 on the already overwhelmed health care system has affected TB case notification and treatment outcomes, setting back the achievements made in the fight against TB [2]. COVID-19 has become a major health calamity, and a year after its emergence, it had already surpassed TB as the leading infectious disease [2]. The effects of COVID-19 have been experienced even in countries with state-of-the-art technologies and reasonable amount of human resource [3].

Eswatini have a high annual TB incidence at 309/100,000 and the highest HIV prevalence in the world at 27% among 15–49 years old [4–6]. Active case finders (ACFs) were introduced in 2016 and their main role was to find and diagnose TB cases in the community through visiting contacts of those already
COVID-19) and the years 2020 case notification deaths are anticipated to rise by at least 20% [12].

The observation period was either short or the sample size too small Eswatini since 2010 [9, 10]. In 2018, a total of 3151 TB cases were registered in Eswatini, 4 of which are referrals (one in each region). TB and multi-drug resistant TB.

Lastly, the GeneXpert, confirmatory diagnosis greatly improved. The e data and treatment outcomes among TB patients in Eswatini, a comparative analysis for the years 2018–2019 (before COVID-19) and the years 2020–2021 (during COVID-19).

MEASUREMENTS

Country Background
Eswatini is a lower-middle-income country with a population of 1.2 million. Poverty levels are high at 63%, and 77% of the country’s population resides in rural areas [15]. The country is divided into four geographic regions namely Hhohho, Manzini, Shiselweni and Lubombo. TB care is offered in three levels: primary, secondary and tertiary by primary health care facilities (referred to as small or baby clinics), health centers, and referrals (hospitals), respectively. Health centers offers both primary and secondary TB care while the referrals offer tertiary care. Most primary health care facilities offer TB screening and treatment outcome even if the patients were transferred out of the facility during treatment.

Other variables included patient’s demographic data; age was categorised into ≤14, 15–24, 25–44, 45–64, and ≥65, sex was categorised as male and female; residence as rural and urban. Other covariates included HIV status categorised as negative or positive. For anti-retroviral therapy (ART) status, participants were either on ART or not. For the TB diagnosis status, it was either “bacteriologically confirmed or not (clinically diagnosed)”, TB history was either “new or previously treated”, case finding site was either “health facility or community.” Patient’s data on comorbidities (diabetes or hypertension) was also collected. Lastly, the “type of TB” was categorised into drug susceptible TB and multi-drug resistant TB.

Data Analysis
Data was analysed using Stata 15. Data distribution were explored using frequencies and proportions. Chi squared test was used to explore categorical variables. To answer the objective on TB case notification, we undertook an interrupted time series analysis using segmented Poisson regression model with Newey–West standard errors which help control for autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity [18]. For comparison purposes, we defined the period December 2018-February 2020 as the “pre-COVID-19” era and March 2020-May 2021 as “during COVID-19,” putting the “interruption” at the beginning of 2020 (March 2020), which is the time when COVID-19 was introduced into the population of Eswatini. We reported incidence rate ratios (IRR), which we obtained by exponentiating the Poisson regression coefficients and are interpreted as the ratio of slopes in the two time periods.

Binary logistic regression model was used to examine the differences in treatment outcomes in the two observational
periods. For comparison purposes, treatment outcomes were compared pre (Dec 2018-Feb 2020) and during COVID-19 (March 2020-May 2021) and adjusted odds ratios (aOR), 95% confident intervals (95% CI) and p-values were reported.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics stratified by the time period (pre and during COVID-19). A total of 2400 TB participants were notified during the entire study period where 1,560 were notified prior to COVID-19 and 840 were notified during the COVID-19, 3.5% (n = 84) of the total participants were children <14 years of age. There were no significant differences in the age groups distribution before or during the pandemic. Over half of the participants were from Hhohho region both pre (52.1%) and during COVID-19 (61.3%). There was a decrease in proportions of patients from Manzini region from pre (21.8%) to during COVID-19 (14.0%; p < 0.01).

The proportion of “cured” registrants were higher (53.8%) before the pandemic compared to during the pandemic (43.4%). Death rate was significantly higher (p < 0.01) in the pandemic.
than prior (10.8%) and so was the lost to follow up rate (1.5%, 0.7%). While 9.5% of the registrants were found through community case finding before the pandemic, none were found through community during the pandemic.

Figure 1 is a graphic description of the number of TB cases reported before and during the pandemic (N = 2,400) (TB Registration Study, Eswatini, 2021–2022).

Table 2 is the segmented Poisson regression of notified tuberculosis cases before (2018–2019) and during (2020–2021) COVID-19 in Eswatini (N = 2,400) (TB Registration Study, Eswatini, 2021–2022).

| Variables                      | Model 1          | Model 2          | Model 3          |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| IRR (95%CI)                    | p-value          | IRR (95%CI)      | p-value          | IRR (95%CI)      | p-value          |
| COVID-19 time period (ref pre-COVID-19) | 1                | 0.47 (0.40–0.55) *<0.01 | 0.71 (0.60–0.83) *<0.01 |
| Intra-COVID                    | 0.47 (0.39–0.55) *<0.01 | 0.94 (0.82–1.07) 0.36 | 0.90 (0.83–0.98) *0.01 |
| Seasonality (ref Summer)       |                  |                  |                  |
| Winter                         | 0.94 (0.82–1.07) 0.36 |                  |                  |
| Time trend                     |                  |                  |                  |

*p-value <0.05.

COVID, the estimated IRR of reporting TB cases decreased by 0.47 (95% CI: 0.39–0.55) during the pandemic. After controlling for seasonality (Model 2) the estimated IRR of reporting TB cases remained being 0.47 (95% CI: 0.40–0.55) in the time of the pandemic relative to the period prior to the pandemic. In model 3, after having controlled for declining time trend of TB case notification additionally, the IRR decreased by a factor of 0.71 (95% CI: 0.60–0.83).

Logistic regression analysis (Table 3) shows the results of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on TB treatment outcomes. Patients who were treated during the pandemic had higher odds of experiencing unfavorable TB treatment outcomes compared to those treated before the pandemic even after controlling for confounders (aOR 2.91, 95% CI: 2.17–3.89). Age ≥45 years (aOR 2.75, 95% CI: 1.14–6.23 for 45–64 years of age; aOR 4.57, 95% CI: 1.79–11.65 for ≥65 years), having HIV (aOR 1.50, 95% CI: 1.02–2.20), comorbid with diabetes or
hypothesis (aOR 2.92, 95% CI:1.84–4.62), case finding site being a facility (aOR 1.97,95% CI: 1.06–3.64), and being clinically diagnosed without a bacteriologically confirmed test result (aOR 1.79, 95% CI: 1.33–2.42) were factors that were found to be associated with poor treatment outcomes.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have found a significant drop (29%) in the TB case notification number in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic after having accounted for time varying and other factors (autocorrelation, seasonality, heteroscedasticity, and time trend). The major plunge in TB case notification for the time of the COVID-19 pandemic might be due to two possible explanations. One possibility is that fewer TB cases were identified due to reduced case finding and public anxiety about seeking healthcare. Alternatively, there may have been an actual reduction in TB infections due to public health restrictions. The reduced number of TB notifications could reflect decreased transmission associated with physical distancing and the increased use of face masks as recent analysis proposed that physical distancing decreases transmission of TB by 10% in high TB burden countries [19, 20]. Our findings, however showed a 29% decrease, a magnitude far greater than the 10% and therefore we believe part of it could be from fewer infection, but more is from the malfunction of the service and decreased accessibility to health services in the pandemic. In addition, from the graphical results (Figure 1), we feel that the first explanation is more likely since the decrease in case notification was more evident in the early pandemic period, and the number of case notification overlapped with the pre-COVID time trend in the late period.

TB cases that were identified and screened in the community were most likely missed during the COVID-19 due to the suspension of ACFs, as evidenced by Table 1 results which shows that zero cases were found through community active case finding during the COVID-19 period. Studies have shown that ACFs lead to an increase in the overall TB case notification [21], and to suspend ACF will definitely negatively affect TB case notification. Besides reduced case finding, as COVID-19 spreads, prevalent fear of the coronavirus prevented people from pursuing medical care. Due to fear of being infected with COVID-19, fewer people would attend health facilities than usual [22–24]. Additionally, lockdown measures which were put in place restricting movement. In Kenya, 50% of TB diagnosed patients had difficulties accessing public transport to health facilities during the pandemic [25]. All those may have contributed to the decrease in TB case notification during the COVID-19 period.

In the living with COVID-19 era, we suggest that rather than suspending active case finding, in the future, it should be adapted to the COVID-19 situation while considering infection control measures [26]. In high TB prevalence settings, ACF should be provided to close contacts of confirmed TB cases by health workers using appropriate personal protective equipment and algorithms that enable physical distancing and reduced patient

**TABLE 3 | Logistic regression of the association between the time period and unfavorable treatment outcomes among people on TB treatment in Eswatini (n = 2,294§) (TB Registration Study, Eswatini, 2021–2022).**

| Variables                       | Model 1  | Model 2  |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                 | OR (CI)  | p-value  | OR (CI)  | p-value  |
| Time period (ref pre-COVID-19)  | 2.81 (2.22–3.57) | *<0.01 | 2.91 (2.17–3.89) | *<0.01 |
| Age group (ref ≤ 14)            |          |          |          |          |
| 15–24                           |          |          |          |          |
| 25–44                           |          |          |          |          |
| 45–64                           |          |          |          |          |
| ≥65                             |          |          |          |          |
| Gender (ref Male)               |          |          |          |          |
| Female                          |          |          |          |          |
| HIV status (ref Negative)       |          |          |          |          |
| Positive                        |          |          |          |          |
| ART status (ref on ART)         |          |          |          |          |
| Not on ART                      |          |          |          |          |
| Location (ref Rural)            |          |          |          |          |
| Urban                           |          |          |          |          |
| History of TB (ref New)         |          |          |          |          |
| Previously treated              |          |          |          |          |
| Type of TB (ref DRTB)           |          |          |          |          |
| DSTB                            |          |          |          |          |
| Case Finding site (ref Community)|          |          |          |          |
| Health facility                 |          |          |          |          |
| Comorbidities (diabetes or hypertension; ref None) |          |          |          |          |
| Present                         |          |          |          |          |
| Bacteriologically confirmed TB  |          |          |          |          |
| (ref Yes)                       |          |          |          |          |
| No                              |          |          |          |          |

*p-value <0.05; ART-anti retroviral therapy; DRTB- drug resistant TB; DSTB-drug susceptible TB; §, Complete case analysis.
Further, our findings confirmed that patients had higher odds of experiencing unfavorable TB treatment outcomes during the pandemic. Similar findings were reported where it was found that COVID-19 has brought disruptions in the care and management of TB such that it led to an increase in unfavorable treatment outcomes [28, 29]. Probable explanations for the increase in unfavorable TB treatment outcomes include lockdown rules and the fear of contracting COVID-19 in hospitals. In the era of COVID-19 routine health care services are no longer made priority and resources are diverted to COVID-19 activities [30]. To ensure continuity of TB services amid the COVID-19 threat, strategies like activating remote treatment support through the use of telemedicine, emphasizing community-based care through the use of TB survivors to provide care and support to those on treatment and through the sharing of innovative practices as studies on how to align TB services with the COVID-19 response are being done in several settings [31, 32]. The implementation of phone consultations can be one strategy to cushion TB services during emergency periods in Eswatini. Community based care, adapted to the COVID situation, can also be used to monitor adherence, ensure that patients with comorbidities are also closely monitored away from the health care system and prompt referral done in the case of emergencies [31].

Over the next few years, the country needs to create policies that promotes bi-directional screening, multi-pathogen tests and integration of the TB/COVID-19 surveillance to avoid diagnostic delays and improve treatment outcomes [33]. TB services should further be integrated into community ART services which have been well implemented in Eswatini before the pandemic although the extent in which the pandemic has affected community ART services has not been established.

Factors found to be associated with poor treatment outcomes during the pandemic were similar to what other studies have already described (age ≥45, having HIV, case finding site at a facility, having a clinical diagnosis without a bacteriologically confirmed result, and an existing comorbidity of diabetes or hypertension) [12, 28, 30]. Older age, being HIV positive and having an existing comorbidity were found to be factors mostly associated with death as an outcome among TB patients treated during the pandemic [17, 34]. Continuous efforts to prevent poor treatment outcomes among those high-risk individuals are needed.

Our study had some limitations. We used treatment register and therefore lost undiagnosed TB patients and patients diagnosed with TB prior to treatment initiation. Pre-treatment loss to follow-up may be common in Africa [35]. For treatment outcomes, 106 (4%) participants were excluded from the analysis due to missing values and this might have introduced bias. We combined death (n = 241), lost-to-follow-up (n = 15), and not-evaluated (n = 9) into unfavorable treatment outcomes to avoid small cell size in the analysis. Those with unfavorable treatment outcomes were mostly deaths. Therefore, factors associated with unfavorable treatment outcomes in the study were mainly due to their association with death. We also lacked information on other factors varying over time during the study period, e.g., GeneXpert stock out periods and therefore we could not control for it. The purposive selection of study sites might have introduced bias. Data on treatment outcomes was collected during the two major COVID-19 waves in Eswatini, we are lacking information on the impact of the pandemic on the third and fourth waves. We cannot determine whether TB treatment outcomes died and lost to follow-up were directly related to TB or not due to a lack of information.

**Conclusion**

COVID-19 had negatively impacted on TB case notification and treatment outcomes in Eswatini. Developing and strengthening strategies and policies to rapidly adapt TB control measures to the new challenge should be made priority so as to meet the End-TB strategy goal of 2035.
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