A SEARCH FOR OCCULTATIONS OF BRIGHT STARS BY SMALL KUIPER BELT OBJECTS USING MEGACAM ON THE MMT
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ABSTRACT

We conducted a search for occultations of bright stars by Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) to estimate the density of subkilometer KBOs in the sky. We report here the first results of this occultation survey of the outer solar system conducted in 2007 June and 2008 June/July at the MMT Observatory using Megacam, the large MMT optical imager. We used Megacam in a novel shutterless continuous-readout mode to achieve high-precision photometry at 200 Hz, which with point-spread function convolution results in an effective sampling of ~ 30 Hz. We present an analysis of 220 star hours of data at a signal-to-noise ratio of 25 or greater, taken from images of fields within 3° of the ecliptic plane. The survey efficiency is greater than 10% for occultations by KBOs of diameter $d \geq 0.7$ km, and we report no detections in our data set. We set a new 95% confidence level upper limit for the surface density $\Sigma_N(d)$ of KBOs larger than 1 km: $\Sigma_N(d \geq 1 \text{ km}) \leq 2.0 \times 10^8 \text{ deg}^{-2}$, and for KBOs larger than 0.7 km $\Sigma_N(d \geq 0.7 \text{ km}) \leq 4.8 \times 10^8 \text{ deg}^{-2}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The size distribution of objects in the Kuiper Belt is believed to be shaped by competitive processes of collisional agglomeration and disruption. The details of the structure of the Kuiper Belt size distribution can reveal information on the internal structure of the Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs), the history of planet migration (Kenyon & Bromley 2004; Pan & Sari 2005), and the gas history in the solar system (Kenyon & Bromley 2009). Large size objects in the Kuiper Belt (diameter $d \geq 30$ km, throughout the paper any mention of the size of a KBO will refer to its diameter) can be observed directly in reflected sunlight. The luminosity distribution for objects larger than 100 km is well described by a single power-law cumulative luminosity distribution $\Sigma_L(< R) = 10^\alpha(R-R_0)^\alpha$, where $\Sigma_L(< R)$ is the number of KBOs brighter than magnitude $R$ per degree in the sky on the ecliptic plane, with an index $\alpha \approx 0.7$ and $R_0 \approx 23$ (Fraser et al. 2008; Fuentes & Holman 2008). This, under the assumption of 4% constant albedo, translates into a power-law size distribution $n(d) \propto d^{-q}$ with power index $q \approx 4.5$. For these objects, the size distribution reflects the history of agglomeration.

There is strong evidence for a break in the slope of the distribution at fainter magnitudes (smaller KBO sizes). Constraints on the extrapolation of a single power-law magnitude to magnitude greater than $R \approx 35$ were placed by Kenyon & Windhorst (2001), who invoked Olbers’s paradox applied to the zodiacal background, and by Stern & McKinnon (2000), who derived a slope for the distribution of small KBOs of $q \approx 3$ on the basis of the cratering on Triton as observed by Voyager 2, though this evidence is questioned in Schenk & Zahnle (2002). A further hint of a break in the size distribution of KBOs is offered by the better-probed size distribution of Jupiter Family Comets (JFCs; Tancredi, Fernández, Rickman, & Licandro 2006, and references therein). These objects are likely injected into their current orbits from the Kuiper Belt or the scattered disk (Volk & Malhotra 2008, VM hereafter), and it is argued that their size distribution would be preserved during this process. The size distribution of JFCs is well represented by a shallow slope: $q = 2.7$ in the diameter range 1–10 km. Future microwave background surveys may also allow the setting of constraints on the mass, distance, and size distribution of outer solar system (OSS) objects (Babich et al. 2007).

Bernstein et al. (2004) conducted a deep Hubble Space Telescope (HST) survey with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) which led to the detection of three KBOs of magnitude $R > 26.5$; an extrapolation of the bright end power law would have predicted a factor of about 25 more detections for this survey. This reveals that a break in the power-law distribution must occur at magnitude brighter than $R = 28.5$ ($d \approx 20$ km). This work remains the state of the art in deep direct surveys of the OSS, with a completeness of 50% at magnitude $R = 28.5$. More recently, Fuentes et al. (2009) and Fraser & Kavelaars (2009) detected more KBOs in the $R \leq 27$ region of the size spectrum and better constrained the slope of the bright end of the power law and the location of the break, $R \approx 25$ ($d \approx 50–100$ km; Fuentes et al. 2009; Fraser & Kavelaars 2009).

KBOs smaller than about 30 km in diameter still elude direct observations. Occultation surveys are the only observational method presently expected to be able to detect such small objects in the Kuiper Belt. These surveys monitor background stars awaiting the serendipitous alignment of KBOs with the stars. The transit of a KBO along the line of sight briefly modifies the observed flux of the target star. At the distance of the Kuiper Belt ($\approx 40$ AU), the size of the objects of interest is close to the Fresnel scale for visible light: this causes such occultation events to be diffraction-dominated phenomena. The Fresnel scale is defined as $F = \sqrt{\lambda D}/2$ (Born & Wolf 1980; Roques et al. 1987), where $D$ is the distance to the occultor and $\lambda$ is the wavelength at which the occultation is observed. In our survey, the bandpass of the observation is centered near $\lambda = 500$ nm and, at distance $D \approx 40$ AU, $F \approx 1.2$ km. Any occultation caused by objects in the Kuiper Belt of a few kilometers in diameter or smaller will exhibit prominent diffraction effects. A diffraction pattern, characterized by an alternation of bright and dark fringes centered on the KBO, translates into a modulated light curve during the transit of the KBO along the line of sight.
of the star. When observing at opposition, the relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}}$ at 200 Hz (dashed line), and at 30 Hz, the effective sampling rate after taking PSF effects into account (solid line, see Section 4).

A unique feature, showing a series of wiggles, and generally a reduction in flux, is imprinted in the time series of the star (Roques & Moncuquet 2000; Nihei et al. 2007; see Figure 1).

The overall flux reduction is dominated by the size of the KBO, while the duration of the event depends upon the relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}}$ and the size of the diffraction pattern $H$. We define $H$ as the diameter of the first Airy ring, which it is limited by the Fresnel scale for subkilometer KBOs and by the size of the object for large KBOs as follows (Nihei et al. 2007):

$$H \approx \left(2\sqrt{\frac{3F}{N}} + d \right)^2 + \theta_s D,$$

(1)

where the additional $D\theta_s$ term accounts for the finite angular size of the star. When observing at opposition, the relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}}$ of an object orbiting the Sun at 40 AU is about 25 km s$^{-1}$ and the typical duration of an occultation by subkilometer KBOs is about 0.2 s.

Occultation surveys were first proposed by Bailey (1976), but only recently results have been reported. Chang et al. (2007) conducted a search for KBO occultations in the archival RXTE X-ray observations of Scorpius X-1 (Sco X-1). They reported a surprisingly high rate of occultation-like phenomena: dips in the light curves compatible with occultations by objects between 10 and 200 m in diameter. Jones et al. (2008) showed that most of the dips in the Sco X-1 light curves may be attributed to artificial effects of the response of the RXTE photomultiplier after high-energy events, such as strong cosmic ray showers. In the 90 minutes of RXTE data analyzed only 12 of the original 58 candidates cannot be ruled out as artifacts, but are hard to confirm as events (Jones et al. 2008; Chang et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2008). New RXTE/PCA data of Sco X-1 provided a less constraining upper limit to the size distribution of KBOs (Liu et al. 2008).

Several groups have conducted occultation surveys in the optical regime. Roques et al. (2006, R06 hereafter) and Bickerton et al. (2008, BKW hereafter) independently observed narrow fields at 45 Hz and 40 Hz, respectively, with frame transfer cameras. Such cameras allowed them to obtain high signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) fast photometry on two stars simultaneously. Both surveys expect a very low event rate due to the limited number of stars and the limited exposure, and neither survey has claimed any detection of objects in the Kuiper Belt at this time.\textsuperscript{5} An upper limit for KBOs with $d \geq 1$ km was derived by BKW by combining the nondetection result of the surveys of Chang et al. (2007), R06, and BKW. The Taiwanese American Occultation Survey (TAOS) is a dedicated automated multi-telescope survey (Lehner et al. 2009) that has observed a set of fields comprising ~500 target stars for over three years, collecting over 150,000 star hours. TAOS reported no detections but placed the strongest upper limit to date to the surface density of small KBOs (Zhang et al. 2008). TAOS observes at slower cadence (4 or 5 Hz) and has a relatively low sensitivity ($S/N \approx 40$ at magnitude $R = 12$). For these reasons, TAOS is only marginally sensitive to subkilometer objects (with recovery efficiency $\epsilon_{\text{TAOS}} \approx 0.1\%$ at 700 m).

The survey we report here was conducted using Megacam (McLeod et al. 2006; Figure 2) at the 6.5 m MMT Observatory at Mount Hopkins, Arizona. The use of Megacam in continuous-readout mode (see Section 2) on a field of view of 24$\arcmin \times 24$ allowed us to monitor over 100 stars at 200 Hz over the course of two observational campaigns conducted in 2007 June and 2008 June–July. Our survey is sensitive to occultations of OSS objects $d \sim 700$ m or larger and we report no detections in 220 star hours. Our MMT survey is designed to be complementary to TAOS and to reach smaller size limits, and unlike TAOS it would allow us to estimate the size of a detected occulting KBO. We expect further work on adaptive photometry and de-trending to significantly improve our sensitivity, perhaps allowing us to detect KBOs as small as $d \geq 300$ m. We discuss the improvements we are developing on this analysis in Section 7. The preliminary analysis we present here allows us to derive upper limits for objects $d \geq 700$ m.

In the next section, we describe the novel observational mode adopted for this survey. In Section 3, we describe the data acquired and analyzed for this paper. Details of the data extraction and reduction, which required custom packages, are addressed in the same section. Section 4 describes the

\textsuperscript{5} Roques et al. (2006) report three possible occultations from objects outside of the Kuiper Belt.  
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**Figure 1.** Simulated diffraction pattern (left panel) generated by a spherical $d = 1$ km KBO occulting a magnitude 12 FOV star. The MMT/Megacam system bandpass (Sloan r' filter and camera quantum efficiency) is assumed. The size of the KBO and the size of the Airy ring—a measure of the cross section of the event—are shown for comparison. The right panel shows the diffraction signature of the event (assuming central crossing: impact parameter $b = 0$) as a function of the distance to the point of closest approach (bottom scale). The top scale shows the time-line of the event assuming an observation conducted at opposition (relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}} \approx 25$ km s$^{-1}$). The occultation is sampled at 200 Hz (dashed line), and at 30 Hz, the effective sampling rate after taking PSF effects into account (solid line, see Section 4).
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**Figure 2.** Megacam focal plane (McLeod et al. 2006). A thick rectangle outlines a single CCD in the 9 × 4 CCDs mosaic. Two halves of each CCD (thin rectangles) are read into two separate amplifiers; each amplifier generates a separate output image in our observational mode. The x- and y-axes, as they would appear in a resulting image, are also shown.
characteristics of the noise of our current data sets, and our noise mitigation approach. Section 5 describes the detection algorithm. In Section 6, we derive our upper limit to the density of KBOs. We also compare in detail the achievements of our survey to those of previous surveys. We draw our conclusions and outline future work in Section 7.

2. FAST PREPHOTOMETRY WITH A LARGE TELESCOPE: THE CONTINUOUS-READOUT MODE

Achieving subsecond photometric sampling is a challenge in optical astronomy. CCD cameras can perform fast photometric observations by reading out small subimages, limiting the observations to very small portions of the sky (e.g., Marsh & Dhillon 2006). This is the approach adopted by R06 and BKW, who observed two stars at one time. Due to the rarity of occultation events, however, one would want to maximize the number of targets and the total exposure to increase the number of detections. TAOS achieves subsecond photometric observation on up to 500 targets with the zipper mode readout technique (Lehner et al. 2009), but they sample at \( \leq 5 \) Hz rate. Our continuous-readout technique allows us to observe the entire field of view of the camera at 200 Hz.

Megacam, the MMT optical imager, is a mosaic camera comprising 36 CCDs—each with an array of \( 2048 \times 4608 \) pixels—with a \( 24' \times 24' \) field of view (Figure 2). The standard readout speed of each CCD is \( 0.005 \) s row\(^{-1} \) with \( 2 \times 2 \) binning. For this survey, we operated the camera in shutterless continuous-readout mode; that is, we kept the shutter open while scrolling and reading the charges at the standard readout speed, tracking the sky at the sidereal rate. Each star is represented in each row that is read out of the camera, and the flux from a star in a row represents a photometric measurement of that star sampled at 200 Hz. Stacking each read row into a single image each star time series forms a streak along the readout axis (\( y \)-axis). A small portion of our data is shown in Figure 3.

In this observational mode, the flux from the sky background is added continuously as the charge is transferred from one end of the CCD to the other, so the sky is exposed \( 2304 \times 0.005 = 11.52 \) s for every \( 0.005 \) s integration on each star image (where 2304 is the effective number of rows in each \( 2 \times 2 \) binned CCD). In this mode, the photon limited \( S/N \) is typically \( \sim 180 \) for an \( r' \) magnitude 10 star.

When observing multiple targets simultaneously, one can notice that the star light curves are affected by common fluctuations, or trends, due, for example, to weather patterns (Kim et al. 2009, and references therein). In our observational mode, however, additional flux variations are caused by wind-induced resonant oscillations of the telescope. While the image motion along the \( x \)-axis of the focal plane (transverse to the readout direction) can be resolved (see Section 3.1.1), the image motion parallel to the direction of the CCD readout induces an effective variation in the exposure time of a star for a given row. These fluctuations are common to all stars in the field (with possible position dependencies) and therefore, in principle, they are completely removable. We discuss the de-trending of our data in Section 3.1.2. Other sources of noise that affect continuous-readout mode data are discussed in Section 4. The typical duration of a set of contiguous data was 10–15 minutes (after which the data load on the buffer would become prohibitive). For each amplifier, a single FITS\(^6 \) file is created wherein all of the rows read out during a data run are stored as a single image. For a typical run, each FITS output image contains 100–130 K rows, corresponding to about 150–200 Mb of data.

3. DATA

We selected observing fields within \( 2:8 \) of the ecliptic plane, where the concentration of KBOs is highest (Brown 2001). In order to maximize the number of targets, we selected our fields at the intersection of the ecliptic and galactic planes.

\(^6\) Flexible Image Transport System, \( \text{http://fits.gsfc.nasa.gov/} \).
Figure 4. Image motion and PSF over time: mean of the $x$-displacements for eight bright isolated stars, at the four corners of the half-focal plane for two data runs (top left and right panels). PSF width from the Gaussian fit averaged over the same set of stars (bottom left and right). On the left, we used the same run used to generate Figure 8. The arrow points to the displacement feature marked in Figure 8. On the right, the $x$-displacement and the PSF width for another run, with the first 0.5 s shown on the left at higher time resolution. Note how in the second run the $x$-displacements are less prominent (note the different y scale) but the amplitude of the variability of the PSF is larger.

Figure 8. The arrow points to the displacement feature marked in Figure 8. On the right, the $x$-displacement and the PSF width for another run, with the first 0.5 s shown on the left at higher time resolution. Note how in the second run the $x$-displacements are less prominent (note the different y scale) but the amplitude of the variability of the PSF is larger.

(R.A. $\sim 19^h00^m00^s$, decl. $\sim -21^\circ00'00''$). We conducted our observations in June–July, when our fields were near opposition (elongation angle $\varepsilon = 180^\circ$) and the relative velocity of the KBOs is highest (Roques et al. 1987; Nihei et al. 2007; Bickerton et al. 2009), thus maximizing the event rate per target star.

Pointing information for our fields is summarized in Table 1. The R.A. and decl. of each observed field are listed together with the ecliptic latitude ($\lambda$) and a maximum range of elongation angles at which the field might have been observed.

We also observed control fields. These were chosen on the galactic plane at a high ecliptic latitude; we expect a negligible rate of occultations by KBOs in these fields. These data allow us to assess our false positive rate. Since we report no detections, we discuss this in Section 4.

### 3.1. Data Extraction and Reduction

#### 3.1.1. Extraction

Custom algorithms have been developed for the data extraction and reduction. For each field, a preliminary *stare-mode* (conventional) image is collected before each series of high-speed runs. At the beginning of our analysis, the stare-mode image is analyzed using SME (Smith et al. 2002). We conducted our observations in June–July, when our fields were near opposition (elongation angle $\varepsilon = 180^\circ$) and the relative velocity of the KBOs is highest (Roques et al. 1987; Nihei et al. 2007; Bickerton et al. 2009), thus maximizing the event rate per target star.

Pointing information for our fields is summarized in Table 1. The R.A. and decl. of each observed field are listed together with the ecliptic latitude ($\lambda$) and a maximum range of elongation angles at which the field might have been observed.

We also observed control fields. These were chosen on the galactic plane at a high ecliptic latitude; we expect a negligible rate of occultations by KBOs in these fields. These data allow us to assess our false positive rate. Since we report no detections, we discuss this in Section 4.

### 3.1. Data Extraction and Reduction

#### 3.1.1. Extraction

Custom algorithms have been developed for the data extraction and reduction. For each field, a preliminary *stare-mode* (conventional) image is collected before each series of high-speed runs. At the beginning of our analysis, the stare-mode image is analyzed using SME (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to generate a catalog of bright sources. This catalog is used to identify the initial position and brightness of each star in the focal plane. In order to analyze the continuous-readout data, we first determine the sky background for each CCD and each row. To do so, we compute the mean of the flux counts in each row after removing the measurements that are three $\sigma$’s or more from the mean ($3\sigma$-clipping) iteratively until the mean converges. This removes most of the pixels in the row that contain flux from resolved stars. Next, a subset of stars that are bright and isolated is selected from the stare-mode catalog and used to determine the $x$-displacement of the focal plane. An example of image motion in our data is shown in Figure 4. The focal plane is split into two halves, $9 \times 2$ chips each, that are analyzed separately.

We select eight stars, two near each of the four corners of each half-focal plane. This allows us to characterize the global motion of the targets even in the presence of small rotational modes or spatial dependency (see Section 4). For each star ($*$), and at each time stamp ($t$), we calculate $\mu_* (t)$ and $\sigma_* (t)$, respectively, the centroid offset from the original position and the standard deviation of the star image, assuming a Gaussian profile. Note that, for a given time stamp, flux from different stars will appear

#### Table 1

| R.A.   | Decl. | $\lambda$ | $\varepsilon$ Range |
|--------|-------|-----------|---------------------|
| 17$^h$00$^m$00$^s$ | $-21^\circ15'00''$ | 1.5 | 174–160 |
| 17$^h$15$^m$00$^s$ | $-20^\circ15'00''$ | 2.8 | 176–163 |
| 18$^h$00$^m$00$^s$ | $-21^\circ15'00''$ | 2.2 | 171–173 |
| 18$^h$05$^m$00$^s$ | $-21^\circ30'00''$ | 1.9 | 171–173 |
| 19$^h$00$^m$00$^s$ | $-21^\circ45'00''$ | 1.7 | 172–173 |
| 19$^h$05$^m$00$^s$ | $-22^\circ00'00''$ | 0.7 | 158–172 |

Notes.

- $\lambda$: Ecliptic longitude.
- $\varepsilon$: Range of elongation angles.

#### Table 2

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Start date | 2007 Jun 6 |
| End date | 2007 Jun 10 |
| Exposure at $S/N \geq 25$ | 100.61 star hours |
| Number of light curves with $S/N \geq 25$ | 990 |
| Number of photometric measurements | $7.2 \times 10^7$ |
| Start date | 2008 Jun 27 |
| End date | 2008 Jul 1 |
| Exposure at $S/N \geq 25$ | 118.93 star hours |
| Number of light curves with $S/N \geq 25$ | 527 |
| Number of photometric measurements | $8.5 \times 10^7$ |
on different rows due to the y-positions of the stars on the focal plane. A one-dimensional Gaussian

$$F_\ast = I_\ast \exp \left( -\frac{(x - \mu_\ast(t))^2}{2\sigma_\ast^2(t)} \right) + I_{bg}$$

(2)

(where $F_\ast$ is the total star flux, $I_\ast$ the flux at the peak, and $I_{bg}$ the sky) is fitted for each of the eight stars to each row of the star streak. Thus, the x-displacement $\bar{\mu}(t)$ for all the stars in the field at time stamp $t$ is estimated to be the weighted average of the star displacements

$$\bar{\mu}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i (\mu_i(t) - \mu_i(t_0)) / \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i$$

(3)

where $\mu_i(t_0)$ is the star initial x-position and $\omega_i$ is the weight used for that star and $N$ is typically $N = 8$.

In order to weight our average, we use the correlation of the entire x-displacement time series $\mu_\ast$ with respect to the rest of the star set:

$$\omega(i, j) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T} (\mu_i(t) - \langle \mu_i \rangle)(\mu_j(t) - \langle \mu_j \rangle) / s^2(t)s^2(t),$$

(4)

$$\omega_\ast = \frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{j \neq \ast} \omega(\ast, j),$$

(5)

where $s^2$ is the variance of the displacement throughout the duration $T$ of the time series. The weight $\omega_\ast$ is the square of the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (Rice 2006), a measure of the correlation of the displacement time series for one star with the other seven. All star light curves in the field are then extracted by aperture photometry adjusting time stamp by time stamp the center of the aperture according to the x-motion derived in this stage, and with a fixed aperture size which is proportional to the average FWHM in the run.7

3.1.2. De-Trending

The light curves thus extracted show evident semiperiodic, quasi-sinusoidal flux variations that can be associated with oscillatory modes of the telescope in the y-direction. In particular, a Fourier analysis generally reveals two strong modes, roughly consistent among runs, one with period near 0.04 s and the other near 0.5 s. Fourier spectra for one of our light curves, before and after processing it, are shown in Figure 5 (top). Because these fluctuations affect the whole CCD plane, they are common to all stars and can be removed to achieve greater photometric precision. We now want to identify and remove these trends from our light curves, a process that we call de-trending.

The general algorithm we used for de-trending is described in Kim et al. (2008). The method takes advantage of the correlation among light curves to extract and remove common features. Since we can identify distinct semiperiodic modes, we de-trend high and low frequencies separately (typically $\nu > 10$ Hz and $\nu < 10$ Hz).

We first smooth the light curves, to remove all but the frequencies that we want to de-trend, by applying a low-pass or high-pass filter. We then select a subset of $N_\tau$ template light curves ($f_\tau$) that show the highest correlation in the light-curve features. $N_\tau$ is typically about 15. A master trend light curve $\tau$ is generated as the weighted average of the normalized template light curves:

$$\tau(t) = \frac{1}{N_\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\tau} \frac{\sigma^2(f_{\tau,j})f_{\tau,j}(t)}{(f_{\tau,j} - \langle f_{\tau,j} \rangle)} / \sum_{j=1}^{N_\tau} \sigma^2(f_{\tau,j}),$$

(6)

where the notation $(f_{\tau,j})$ denotes the mean flux of $f_{\tau,j}(t)$ over the duration $T$ of the light curve, and the weight $\sigma^2(f_{\tau,j})$ is the variance of the light curve in time; $\tau(t)$ has mean value of unity and it represents the correlated fluctuations in all light curves.

The main trend is physically associated with an over-under exposure phenomenon due to global image motion along the y-axis, which causes the effective exposure time to vary (see Section 2), therefore scaling the flux. In order to remove these common trends, we divide point by point the flux of each original light curve $f$ by the trend master light curve. To improve the de-trending effectiveness, we allow a free multiplicative factor $A_f$ (a scaling factor) for each light curve as follows:

$$f_{dA_f}(t) = f(t) \left[ \frac{1}{\tau(t)} - 1 \right] A_f + 1;$$

(7)

$f_{dA_f}$ is the de-trended light curve.

We optimize our de-trending by selecting $A_f$ to minimize the variance of the de-trended light curve $f_d$ with respect to $f_c = f - f_\tau$, which is the original light curve cleaned of the frequency to be de-trended. We apply a high-pass (low-pass) filter to $f$ to obtain $f_\tau$ if we want to de-trend the low (high) frequencies. $A_f$ is then optimized by setting

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A_f} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (f_{dA_f}(t) - \langle f_{dA_f} \rangle)^2 = 0,$$

(8)

which minimizes the second moment of the de-trended light curve with respect to $f_c$. The optimal value of $A_f$ can be calculated analytically.

We set no constraints on $A_f$, and for all of our runs the optimal values of $A_f$ proved to be close to 1 (which is what we expect in the presence of global trends) except for pathological cases where the flux of the star was buried in noise and the raw and de-trended S/N were extremely low. These light curves would not pass S/N cuts and were never considered in any of our analysis.

Figure 5. Top: power spectrum of one of our light curves before and after de-trending the light curve to remove noise (see Section 3.1.2). Bottom: power spectrum of the occultation time series for a 1 km KBO at 40 AU occulting an FOV $V = 12$ star.
Examples of the results obtained by our de-trending algorithm are displayed in Figures 6 and 7. In Figure 6, the top two panels show light curves for two independent sources in our field, and the bottom two panels show the same light curves after de-trending. Note that the top star is ~2.5 mag brighter than the other and this is reflected in the lower S/N of the fainter source (bottom panel). Figure 7 shows one of our light curves before (top) and after de-trending (bottom). The raw light curve is implanted with an occultation by a \( d = 1 \) km KBO occulting a \( V = 9 \) FOV star. The diffraction feature is completely lost in the trends and becomes evident only after de-trending. In the bottom panel, we show the light curve de-trended without allowing for the optimization factor \( A_f \) at the top (plotted at the top at an arbitrary offset) and with optimization factor \( A_f = 1.15 \) for the low frequencies and \( A_f = 1.05 \) for the high frequencies, shown at the bottom. The introduction of an optimization factor improves the S/N of the de-trended light curve from S/N = 30.0 to S/N = 30.7. For this particular run improvements of up to 7% in S/N were achieved by optimizing the de-trending.

Note that, while we used smoothed versions of our light curves to identify the trends and to optimize the de-trending, we do not smooth or filter our light curves to improve the S/N, thus preserving all intrinsic features (including potential occultations). Figure 5 shows the power spectrum of one of our light curves along the \( x \)-axis (perpendicular to the readout direction) within a chip, causes reciprocal contamination in our readout mode and some of the stars in our fields are therefore compromised and excluded from our analysis. Furthermore, oscillations of the images along the \( x \)-axis causes the relative distance between the star streaks to change, which causes occasional merging. Note that while these oscillations are simultaneous in time domain, they do not occur in the same row in the recorded image. In each row, the star images of two objects that are at a different \( y \)-position on the CCD plane will not belong to the same time stamp, therefore the oscillations—while simultaneous—will show a \( y \) offset. This is shown in Figure 8. The merging of streaks causes artificially high counts. Aperture photometry with a fixed aperture does not address this issue properly and fitting photometry on individual streaks is a computationally expensive, inefficient method which is also unstable in the presence of multiple sources close to each other.

4. RESIDUAL NOISE IN THE TIME SERIES

With an S/N \( \geq 25 \), we can detect fluctuations of a few percent. In an 0.005 s exposure, the flux for a magnitude \( r' = 14 \) star observed by Megacam is about \( 10^5 \) e\(^-\), which after taking into account the contribution to the noise of the background should lead to a Poisson-limited S/N of about 25. While we were able to remove a large portion of the noise that originally affected our data, we typically cannot reach the Poisson limit. We have identified five possible sources of noise in our data.

1. **Contamination by nearby sources.** Overlap of stars along the \( x \)-axis (perpendicular to the readout direction) within a chip, causes reciprocal contamination in our readout mode and some of the stars in our fields are therefore compromised and excluded from our analysis. Furthermore, oscillations of the images along the \( x \)-axis causes the relative distance between the star streaks to change, which causes occasional merging. Note that while these oscillations are simultaneous in time domain, they do not occur in the same row in the recorded image. In each row, the star images of two objects that are at a different \( y \)-position on the CCD plane will not belong to the same time stamp, therefore the oscillations—while simultaneous—will show a \( y \) offset. This is shown in Figure 8. The merging of streaks causes artificially high counts. Aperture photometry with a fixed aperture does not address this issue properly and fitting photometry on individual streaks is a computationally expensive, inefficient method which is also unstable in the presence of multiple sources close to each other.

2. **Unresolved sources.** Sources that are too faint to be visible in our 0.005 s exposures generate a diffuse background. For the data in Figure 3, the sky level calculated as the 3\( \sigma \)-clipped mean of the row counts is 140.5 ADUs. The stare-mode image sky level was 48 ADUs for a 5 s exposure, which would lead to a prediction of 110 ADUs for our 0.005 \( \times \) 2304 s effective continuous-readout exposure. The difference is due to the presence of unresolved streaks produced by faint stars across the field that boost the background in individual rows. We checked this by summing all of the counts in a stare-mode image and rescaling this value by the correct exposure, and we recovered a total number count within a few percent (typically 3%) of the counts in one of the continuous-readout rows. The values are not
Furthermore, in the presence of thin clouds, variations in the transparency might generate trends that would affect different sources at different times as the clouds move across the image. Positional dependencies or variations in transparency might contribute to the off–phase correlation of our data.

4. Scintillation. Young’s scaling law (Young 1967; Gilliland et al. 1993; Dravins et al. 1998),

$$\sigma_I = \frac{0.09 A^{-2/3} (Z)^{1.75} \exp \left( -\frac{h}{h_0} \right)}{\sqrt{2 \Delta T}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

describes the error in flux intensity $I$ due to the low-frequency component of scintillation, with $\sigma = (\Delta I/I)$ and where $A$ is the telescope aperture (in cm), $Z$ is the angle from zenith, $h$ is the height of the turbulence layer, the scaling factor $h_0 = 8000$ m, and $\Delta T$ is the integration time. Competing effects are in place in our survey: the large aperture mitigating the noise, and the high air mass contributing to signal degradation. Note, however, that this relation holds for integration time on scales of seconds or longer. When including the effects of high-frequency scintillation, the dependency on the aperture is expected to be steeper

$$\sigma_I^2 \propto A^{-7/3} (Z)^3 \int_0^\infty C_n^2(h) h^2 dh,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $C_n$ is the refraction coefficient for the turbulent layer (see Dravins et al. 1997, and references therein).

Using the above equations and representative data from La Palma (Dravins et al. 1998), we estimate that the noise contribution from scintillation is $\sigma_I < 0.01$, i.e., not the dominant source of residual noise. As compared to the other occultation surveys, the term associated to the telescope aperture in the S/N variance ($A^{-7/6}$) is a factor 20 lower than the same factor for the TAOS survey, 4.5 times lower than the same factor for BKW and 1.4 than for the R06 survey.

5. Convolution of the time series with finite point-spread function (PSF). The finite size of the PSF (typically 2–3 pixels, although it occasionally was as large as 7) causes consecutive measurements to be correlated. This effect is not a source of noise per se, but it changes the spectral characteristics of the noise. The scale of this phenomenon shows up in an autocorrelation analysis with high power at a lag of about 7 pixels. This is effectively a kernel convolution of our time series that smooths the signal, including possible occultation signals, so that while we sample the images at 200 Hz we would expect an occultation signature to be effectively sampled at $\approx 30$ Hz (see Section 5). Note that this is close to, but slightly short of, the critical Nyquist sampling for occultations dominated by diffraction (Bickerton et al. 2009).

While we achieved significant noise reduction with our de-trending, our S/N is typically a factor of 2–3 lower than the Poisson limit. Our noise is characterized by high kurtosis, which is indicative of non-Gaussianity. Residual low-frequency fluctuations (with periods of about 100 points) are still noticeable in many of our time series (see Figure 6). Possible improvements are discussed in Section 7.

Figure 8. Stare-mode image (right) read out from a single amplifier and a corresponding $\sim 10$ s chunk of high-frequency data (left). A few bright stars and the corresponding streaks are indicated by letters. White arrows in the left panel point to a distinctive synchronous displacement feature in the data, visible clearly in three of the streaks, in order to focus the reader’s attention to the nonparallelism of simultaneous features in our data, which is due to offset in the original y-position.

within $\sqrt{N}$, where $N$ is the number of counts in the summed row; we attribute the difference to changes in the sky conditions. This contamination introduces extra Poisson noise, but more importantly it introduces non-Poissonian noise as well, since the unresolved sources are affected by the same trends the bright stars display. Our data show evidence of off-phase correlation that might be induced by unresolved sources.

3. Positional dependency in the motion and trends. While we treat all of the stars in the field as an ensemble that moves in a solid fashion along the $x$- and $y$-axes, the image motion might also have a rotational component. This would lead to position dependencies in the motion that are not accounted for by our aperture centering algorithm. We have not seen evidence of dependency on the distance to the center of the focal plane in either motion or trends, but we cannot exclude that occasional rotational modes of the telescope would occur. Differential image motion and flux fluctuations might also be induced by atmospheric seeing. Both of these effects might cause the star streak to move out of the photometric aperture leading to artificially low counts. The aperture size must be chosen to be such that errors due to contamination by nearby sources and errors due to streaks exiting the aperture are simultaneously minimized.
5. SEARCH FOR EVENTS AND EFFICIENCY

5.1. Detection Algorithm

The signature of an occultation, sampled at any rate \( \geq 20 \) Hz, is very distinctive: it shows several fluctuations prior to the Airy ring peak, then a deep trough and possibly a Poisson spot feature, followed by a second Airy ring rise and more fluctuations (see Figure 1). The prominence of these features depends upon the magnitude and spectral type of the background star, which together determine the angular size, as well as the size and the sphericity of the occulter, distance to the occulter, and impact parameter (Nihei et al. 2007).

One possible approach to detecting occultations in our light curves is to take advantage of this peculiar shape, for example, using correlation of templates, as in BKW. Given the size of our data set, however, we chose to utilize a search algorithm general enough to capture any fluctuation of some significance, but which requires less computational power. We scan our time series for any fluctuation lasting longer than a duration \( w \), and on average greater than a threshold \( \theta \) from the local mean, which is calculated over a window \( w \) of 300 data points surrounding \( w \). Windows \( w \) of 11, 21, 31, 41, and 61 points were considered, in combination with thresholds of 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, and 0.30. We define the local intensity \( I_l(i) \) as the ratio of the flux in the local window \( w \) and in the surrounding window \( w \). If the flux in \( w \) is suppressed by more than our threshold \( \theta \) from the local mean (mean over \( w \)),

\[
I_l(i) = \frac{\sum_{j=i-w/2}^{j=i+w/2} f_j / w}{\sum_{j=i-w/2}^{j=i+w/2} f_j / W} \leq 1 - \theta, \quad (11)
\]

then \( w \) is considered a candidate. This is similar to the Equivalent Width algorithm, which is used in spectral analysis, and for rare event searches by R06 and Wang et al. (2009). Overlapping candidates are then removed and the center of the window \( w \) that displayed the largest deviation is selected as a single candidate event. Note that this algorithm would in most cases trigger two separate events for the two halves of an occultation on opposite sides of the Poisson spot (Figure 1). These cases are later automatically recognized and accounted for as a single event. Different choices of \( w \) and \( \theta \) will produce different detection efficiencies and false positive rates. We select an optimized subset of combinations of \( w \) and \( \theta \) to be used for our event detection. This optimization is described in the next section.

5.2. Efficiency

We test the efficiency of our search by implanting simulated occultations in our raw light curves. By using our true data set instead of generating synthetic data, we do not introduce any assumptions about the nature of our time series. We run the implanted light curves through the same pipeline as the original light curves: de-trending them and searching for significant deviations from the mean flux. In order to achieve better sampling of our efficiency, the entire data set was implanted with one occultation per light curve at each KBO size we tested: \( d = 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0, 1.3, 2.0, 3.0 \) km, and the efficiency was assessed for each size separately. The finite PSF width of the star induces correlations among consecutive time stamps. Given the typical PSF size in our data (see Figure 4), measurements are considered independent if separated by more than about 7 pixels. Therefore, to modulate the original time series by the occultation signal we multiply the star flux by a synthetic occultation light curve sampled at 30 Hz.\(^8\)

For the purpose of our efficiency simulations, we assume that all objects are at 40 AU, since we expect our occultations to be within the Kuiper Belt. There is little difference in the diffraction feature between 35 and 50 AU. The differences in spectral power between the star types do not impact the occultation features as observed by our system, so we simulate all of our occultations assuming an F0V type star. The angular size of the star affects the shape of the occultation by smoothing the diffraction features. It is therefore important to properly sample the angular size space. We find that, given the objects in our fields, imposing a flat prior to the magnitude distribution between \( V = 8 \) and \( V = 11 \) adequately samples our angular size range. The flat prior slightly overestimates the average cross section \( H \) of the events, but this effect is more than compensated by the loss in efficiency due to the fact that, for stars with larger angular sizes, the occultation signal is smoothed out as the diffraction pattern is averaged over the surface of the star, making the event harder to detect (Nihei et al. 2007). Overall our estimate of our detection efficiency is conservative.

To characterize our efficiency, we implant occultations at random impact parameters \( b \in [0, H(d)/2] \). However, we first want to choose the most appropriate window size and threshold combinations, and for that we implant occultations by \( d = 1 \) km KBOs in the reduced impact parameter space \( b \in [0, 0.3 \cdot H(d)] \). This set of modified light curves is used to optimize our parameters to maximize our efficiency and minimize the number of false positives simultaneously. Although our generic detection approach can reach high efficiency (nearly 100% for 1 km KBOs at zero impact parameter), it also produces a large number of candidates, most of which are expected to be false positives. The combination of \( w \) and \( \theta \) values generated efficiencies ranging between 94% (at \( w = 11 \) and \( \theta = 0.1 \)) and 0 (at \( w = 61 \) and \( \theta = 0.3 \)) and the number of candidates ranged between 0 and over 1000. Figure 9 shows the behavior of the efficiency as a function of number of candidates. Different window sizes are represented by different lines and the different thresholds are marked by the points along each line. Typically, after a rapid increase in efficiency with the decreasing threshold, the efficiency stabilizes, while the number of candidates keeps growing: we want to choose our parameters near this point, where the efficiency is highest and any less stringent choice would only increase the number of false positives. The following are the accepted windows–threshold combinations: \( (w, \theta) = (21, 0.15), (31, 0.20), \) and \( (11, 0.25) \). Events found in any run with these selection parameters were considered as candidates. We reached an overall efficiency of 82% at \( d = 1 \) km for light curves implanted with synthetic occultations at varying impact parameters between 0 and \( H/2 \).

The efficiency of our search is summarized in the top panel of Figure 10, as a function of KBO size. We also plot the

---

\(^8\) Since the occultation typically suppresses the flux, multiplying by the occultation signal reduces the noise by a factor proportional to the occultation flux decrease, causing us to overly suppress the Poisson noise by a factor of the square root of the modulation. Furthermore, sources of noise that are not proportional to the photon counts (such as sky background and read noise) should remain constant during an occultation event, but this noise is reduced by a factor of the flux modulation when the event is added to the light curve in this way. However, since we expect to have a very high recovery efficiency for any occultation which generates effects \( \geq 20\% \), where the underestimation would become significant, we do not expect this effect to impact our efficiency estimation.
corresponding effective solid angle $\Omega_e(d)$, defined as

$$
\Omega_e(d) = \sum_v \frac{H(d, \theta_v)}{D} v_{rel} D T_e \epsilon(d, \theta_v),
$$

where $H(d, \theta_v)$ is the cross section of the event, which depends on both the diameter of the KBO and the star angular size as indicated by $\theta_v$; $v_{rel}$ is the relative velocity of the KBO, which depends on the elongation angle which is close to opposition for all of our observations; $D$ is the distance to the occulter (assumed to be $D = 40$ AU); $T_e$ is the exposure for the star target (duration of the light curve), and $\epsilon(d, \theta_v)$ is the recovery efficiency for that diameter: $\epsilon(d, \theta_v) = 1$ if the implanted event was recovered, 0 otherwise. The sum is carried out over all of our light curves with $S/N > 25$. $\Omega_e(d)$ represents the equivalent sky coverage of our survey for targets at diameter $d$, accounting for a partial efficiency. The center panel of Figure 10 shows the effective solid angle as a function of diameter. The bottom panel shows the effective solid angle multiplied by bracketing slopes for the size distribution: $d^{-4}$ and $d^{-2}$, and it indicates the survey expects to see the largest number of detections near $d = 700$ m.

5.3. Rejection of False Positives

At this stage, we have more than a thousand candidates. However, most of the false positives can be removed in an automated fashion: we reject fluctuations that appear simultaneously in more than one light curve; those are most likely due to local weather or atmospheric patterns that were not corrected in the de-trending phase because they only affected a subset of light curves, and can be ruled out as serendipitous occultations. We also reject any fluctuation that does not have the right combination of depth and width. We empirically investigate the relationship between the depth and the width of an occultation by a KBO, as it is seen by our system, taking advantage of our simulations. To define the depth and width of the events, we fit inverted top-hat functions with parameters $\Gamma$ (depth) and $\Delta$ (width), to synthetic occultation profiles, with no noise. The presence of noise in the light curves might modify the occultation signature and thus expand the allowed parameter space. We therefore chose cuts that are liberal and we ensure none of the implanted events are lost in this phase. At the same time, these cuts limit the number of false positives. Figure 11 shows the best-fit values $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ for occultations simulated in the diameter range $d = 0.1$ km to $d = 3.0$ km, impact parameters $b = 0$ to $H/2$ and magnitude range 8–11 for F0V stars (the same set that we used for our implantation with additional occultations from objects $d < 0.5$ km). The shaded region represents the area of this phase space where at least one occultation was best fitted by parameter values $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$. The intensity of the gray scale reflects the number of simulated occultations with best-fit value $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$. The white areas are void of occultations.

Figure 9. Efficiency plotted against the number of unidentified candidates (mostly false positives). Each line represents a different window size $w$, and each point represents the value of the efficiency at threshold $\theta = 0.08, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, and 0.30$, the number of false positives monotonically grows with decreasing $\theta$ (larger values of $\theta$ on the left). All lines (all $w$ values) show a plateau at different thresholds.

Figure 10. Efficiency of our survey as a function of KBO diameter (top). The central panel shows the effective solid angle of our survey and the bottom panel the effective solid angle multiplied $d^{-2}$ and $d^{-4}$ to indicate where the survey expects to see the largest number of detections.

Figure 11. Phase space plot showing the regions of the flux decrease-duration space occupied by occultations by KBOs of diameter 0.1–3 km, as observed through the MMT/Megacam system bandpass. We simulated occultations from KBOs in the size regime 0.1–3.0 km, and we fit the synthetic occultations light curves with an inverted top-hat function with parameters $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$. The intensity of the gray scale reflects the number of simulated occultations with best-fit value $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$. The white areas are void of occultations.

Note that the duration regime over which we recover events extends as far out as our largest window: $W = 61$ points or 300 ms.
6. UPPER LIMIT TO THE SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF KBOS AND SCIENTIFIC INTERPRETATION

We now compare our limit to the size distribution of KBOS to that of BKW. BKW derived an upper limit to the surface density of KBOS of diameter \( d \geq 1 \) km. They considered the data obtained by their own survey together with the data published by R06 and Chang et al. (2007), assuming 100% efficiency for each survey at 1 km, and obtaining a total effective coverage \( \Omega_e = 5.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{deg}^{-2} \). The cross section \( H \) used to calculate \( \Omega_e \) is set to validate the 100% efficiency assumption on a survey-by-survey basis. Our survey adds \( 7.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{deg}^{-2} \) to the collective \( \Omega_e \), allowing us to derive a limit over an order of magnitude stronger than the limit set by BKW. Thus, we set a comprehensive 95% confidence level upper limit on the surface density of \( d \geq 1 \) km KBOS at 40 AUs of \( \Sigma_N(d \geq 1 \text{ km}) \sim 2.0 \times 10^8 \text{ deg}^{-2} \).

We can also derive a new upper limit for objects as small as 700 m, where our efficiency is \( \epsilon(d = 700 \text{ m}) \sim 10\% \). We can set a 95% confidence upper limit of \( \Sigma_N(d \geq 0.7 \text{ km}) \sim 4.8 \times 10^8 \text{ deg}^{-2} \). These limits are shown in Figure 12, along with the TAOS model-dependent upper limit and the limit set by the RXTE X-ray survey.

6.1. Comparison with the Results from the TAOS Survey

The TAOS upper limit to the surface density of KBOS is presented as a model-dependent limit, under the assumption of a straight power-law behavior for the small end of the Kuiper Belt size distribution; it is therefore not trivial to relate the two results, but it is clear that the number of star hours a dedicated survey can collect compensates for the loss in efficiency at the small size end, and TAOS is able to produce more stringent limits than our own. Our survey would, however, capture the details of the diffraction feature with exquisite sampling, while the information contained in the same occultation, as observed by TAOS, would be greatly reduced due to the slower sampling. This would allow us to set constraints on the size and distance of the occultor, while the size-distance-impact parameter space is highly degenerate in the TAOS data.

6.2. The Kuiper Belt as Reservoir of Jupiter Family Comets

The classical Kuiper Belt, the scattered disk objects, and the plutinos have all been considered in dynamical simulations as possible reservoir of JFCs (see VM, and references therein). The inclination distribution of the JFCs strongly suggests a disk-like progenitor population, favoring the Kuiper Belt over the Oort Cloud. Giant planets generate long term gravitational perturbations that cause weak orbital chaos, which explains the injection of comets to the JFCs region (Holman & Wisdom 1993; Duncan et al. 1995; Levison & Duncan 1997). The efficiency of this process depends on the dynamical characteristics of the progenitor family.

Simulations of the injection process lead to lower limits on the number of progenitors, which we can compare with our upper limit to the surface density of KBOS. Bernstein et al. (2004) discussed constraints on the progenitors of the JFCs on the basis of their HST/ACS survey. This survey is, however, only sensitive to objects larger than \( \sim 20 \text{ km} \) in diameter, while the precursors of the JFCs are likely to be in the size range 1–10 km. This is the typical observed size of JFCs (Lowry et al. 2008) and it is likely that its progenitor population would consist of objects of similar size (or slightly larger) than the JFCs themselves.

In Figure 12, we show the lower limits to the KBO populations (classical belt and plutinos) and scattered disk derived from dynamical simulations. We use the estimate of Levison & Duncan (1997) for a population of cometary precursors entirely in the classical Kuiper Belt, of Morbidelli (1997) for plutinos progenitors, and of VM for a progenitor population in the scattered disk. As in Bernstein et al. (2004), we convert the population estimates for the Kuiper Belt populations into a surface density by assuming for each population a projected sky area of \( 10^4 \text{ deg}^{-2} \). VM provide information on the fraction of time the objects in their simulation spend between 30 and 50 AUs and within \( 3\degree \) of the ecliptic plane, and these fractions are used to calculate the minimum surface density of scattered disk objects expected in the region of sky typically observed by occultation surveys.

We are not presently able to exclude any of these populations as progenitors of the JFCs. Future occultation surveys, with

---

10 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

11 The recovery efficiency for TAOS at 700 m is \( \epsilon_{TAOS} \sim 0.1\% \), over 100 times lower than our efficiency.
improved sensitivity, should provide valuable information on the origin of JFCs.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have devised a new observational method which allows fast photometry with large telescopes with standard CCD cameras. We are able to achieve high photometric rates on tens of targets simultaneously. The readout rate of 200 Hz results in a ~ 30 Hz photometric rate after PSP convolution. The data reduction techniques for this kind of data are still under development. The amplitude of our noise is typically larger than the Poisson noise, and it displays obvious deviations from normality. However, we prove that this method is suitable for gathering a large amount of precision fast photometric data in few observing hours. We present a result that lowers the upper limit set by similar subkilometer target occultation surveys by more than 1 order of magnitude for KBOs $d \geq 1$ km, and we can push the upper limit to $d \geq 700$ m. We confirm the result obtained by dedicated Kuiper Belt occultation surveys.

The high-speed readout achieved with continuous-readout mode will enable the resolution of the diffraction features of any candidate events, which is not possible with the TAOS project due to the lower sampling rate they use. This will allow us to set tight constraints on the physical characteristics of an occulting system, possibly breaking the degeneracy between impact parameter, size, and distance for subkilometer KBOs. Furthermore, continuous-readout mode enables the simultaneous monitoring of as many as 100 stars, which is a distinct advantage over the surveys of R06 and BKW, where only two stars can be sampled at a time.

This observational technique has proven useful in testing telescope performance and addressing guiding issues and it was used at the MMT to test the drive servos. Furthermore, this observational method is a promising technique for ground-based high-precision photometry of bright sources with large telescopes as it addresses many issues typically encountered in observing bright targets (Gillon et al. 2009). Saturation is avoided without resorting to defocussing, it involves no overhead due to readout and with a camera like Megacam, with a large field of view, it allows the observation of many stars at a time, guaranteeing the presence of a good number of comparison stars that can be used to achieve high-precision relative photometry.

Further improvements in S/N might be achieved: we are exploring a fitting photometry package that uses the Expectation–Minimization algorithm, treating each row as a mixture of Gaussians, to better separate the contribution from different sources. A possible way to address the contamination due to unresolved sources is to subtract the contribution from known unresolved sources (identified from the star-mode image, see Section 3.1.1) using the trends identified in the de-trending phase (Section 3.1.2). Another possibility is to de-trend the light curves recursively, while allowing a variable phase offset. Finally, it shall be noticed that Megacam will become available for observations at the Magellan Clay Telescope, from where our target fields, at the intersection of the galactic and ecliptic plane, could be observed at a higher elevation. This would help reduce the noise introduced by cross-contamination and differential image motion, as the atmospheric effects we encounter observing at high air masses would be reduced.
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