BK Channel in the Physiology and in the Cancer of Pancreatic Duct: Impact and Reliability of BK Openers
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BK (KCa 1.1, Slo-1) is a K⁺ channel characterized by an allosteric regulation of the gating mechanism by Ca²⁺ binding and voltage, and a high unitary conductance. The channel is expressed in many different tissues, where it is involved in the regulation or the fine-tuning of many physiological processes. Among other organs, BK is expressed in the pancreatic duct, a part of the gland important for the correct ionic composition of the pancreatic juice. Unfortunately, the pancreatic duct is also the site where one of the deadliest cancer types, the pancreatic duct adenocarcinoma (PDAC), develops. In the past years, it has been reported that continuous exposure of cancer cells to BK openers can have a significant impact on cell viability as well as on the ability to proliferate and migrate. Here, we first summarize the main BK channel properties and its roles in pancreatic duct physiology. Then we focus on the potential role of BK as a pharmacological target in PDAC. Moreover, we discuss how results obtained when employing BK activators on cancer cells can, in some cases, be misleading.
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INTRODUCTION

The large-conductance Ca²⁺- and voltage-activated K⁺ (BK) channel has been intensively studied for its important physiological roles and its peculiar features. The first evidence of a Ca²⁺-induced K⁺ conductance was found by Meech in *Aplysia californica* and *Helix aspersa* in the early 1970s (Meech, 1972; Meech and Standen, 1974; Bentzen et al., 2007). Almost a decade later, two distinct groups characterized BK single channel currents in excised membrane patches from bovine chromafﬁn and mouse muscle cells, respectively (Marty, 1981; Pallotta et al., 1981). The gene encoding the BK channel α subunit (*KCNMA1*) was cloned in the early 1990s from *Drosophila melanogaster* (Atkinson et al., 1991; Adelman et al., 1992) and *Mus musculus* (Butler et al., 1993). The BK pore-forming α subunit associates with β and γ subunits, which modulate channel properties and pharmacology; such functional diversity is further expanded by different splice variants and posttranslational modifications (Li and Yan, 2016; Latorre et al., 2017; Gonzalez-Perez and Lingle, 2019). BK channels are ubiquitously expressed in a large variety of excitable and non-excitatory cells, where they play an important role in many physiological processes (Latorre et al., 2017; Kshatri et al., 2018; Sancho and Kyle, 2021).

PDAC is one of the deadliest types of cancer, given the lack of specific symptoms and resistance to chemotherapy (Fan et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). BK has been found to be expressed both in the pancreatic duct epithelia cells (PDECs) (Gray et al., 1990; Hede et al., 2005; Venglovecz et al., 2011) and in PDAC cell lines (Zuccolini et al., 2022). Many studies in the literature report that sustained...
opening of the BK channel with activators reduces the ability to proliferate and migrate of different cancer cell lines. Unfortunately, according to new available data, this does not seem to be the case for Panc-1, a cell line derived from a primary PDAC.

**MAIN TEXT**

**Brief Summary of the BK Channel Properties**

BK displays a dual gating mechanism that regulates channel opening via depolarization and Ca\(^{2+}\) binding and is characterized by a high single channel conductance. Indeed, for both human recombinant and native channel, conductance values of 170 up to 230 pS are reported, which depend on the experimental conditions, for example symmetrical or physiological K\(^{+}\) concentrations (Ahring et al., 1997; Ransom and Sontheimer, 2001; Sandle et al., 2007). The complex interplay between the two gating processes became clearer thanks to recently published cryo-EM structures (Hite et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2017; Tao and MacKinnon, 2019). The channel architecture is similar to that of tetrameric voltage- and ligand-gated K\(^{+}\) channels, with a central pore surrounded by four voltage-sensing domains. Each BK subunit includes a voltage sensor domain formed by five transmembrane segments (VSD, S0-S4), a pore domain (PD, S5 and S6) and a cytosolic tail domain (CTD). BK channels are less sensitive to voltage compared to Shaker-like Kv channels, with a central pore surrounded by four voltage-sensing domains. Each BK subunit includes a voltage sensor domain formed by five transmembrane segments (VSD, S0-S4), a pore domain (PD, S5 and S6) and a cytosolic tail domain (CTD). BK channels are less sensitive to voltage compared to Shaker-like Kv channels, likely because the S4 segment has only three positively charged residues and a proper gating charge transfer.

**BK Channels in the Pancreatic Duct Epithelial Cells**

In exocrine pancreas electrolyte secretion is carried out mainly by PDECs which are particularly specialized in bicarbonate (HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)) secretion (Lee et al., 2012). The main physiological role of PDECs is indeed to secrete a HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)-rich fluid, which transports the enzymes to the duodenum and raises the pH of the small intestine in order to neutralize the acidic stomach content (Venglovecz et al., 2015). In the luminal membrane of PDECs, HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) secretion is mediated by Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) exchangers, which work in concert with the CFTR Cl\(^{-}\) channel (Wang et al., 2006; Stewart et al., 2009). The efflux of HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) is crucial for proper functioning of the pancreas, indeed insufficient ductal HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) fluid secretion can seriously damage the gland (Durie, 1989; Scheele et al., 1996). The BK channel is expressed in PDECs and has been found both on the basolateral as well as the luminal membrane (Gray et al., 1990; Hede et al., 2005; Venglovecz et al., 2011). The localization of the channel within ductal cells seems to vary between the two most studied animal models, namely rat and guinea pigs (Hayashi and Novak, 2013). In PDECs, BK is believed to contribute to creating the proper driving force for HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) secretion from the luminal membrane (Gray et al., 1990; Venglovecz et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012) given its large conductance for K\(^{+}\) ions: cell-attached measurements with K\(^{+}\) rich pipette solution in isolated rat PDECs revealed a conductance of ~170 pS (Hayashi et al., 2012). Expression levels of the four BK \(\beta\) subunits in the rat pancreatic tissue were estimated by RT-PCR in 2005 by Hede and others, who found that only \(\beta1\) was expressed (Hede et al., 2005).

In the early 1990s it was proposed that the channel is involved in the secretion increase in response to secretagogues like secretin via a cAMP/PKA signaling pathway (Gray et al., 1990). The secretin receptor is coupled to adenylyl cyclase; therefore, its activation increases cAMP concentration (Folsch et al., 1980; de Ondarza and Hootman, 1995). Gray and others found that basolateral stimulation of rat PDECs with secretin induced a Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent increase of the channel open probability, which remained in excised patches (Gray et al., 1990). Further experiments of the same study showed that channel activity is increased by phosphorylation of the channel or regulatory sites.
subunits (possibly β1, the only β subunit expressed in the tissue) by PKA, suggesting that, under physiological conditions, BK channels play an essential role in cAMP-stimulated HCO3− secretion. However, years later, it was observed that in guinea pig ducts the BK specific blocker iberiotoxin did not alter bicarbonate secretion induced by secretin, suggesting that BK is not involved in the stimulatory effect of the hormone in this animal model (Venglovecz et al., 2011). Moreover, it has been reported that channel response to PKA phosphorylation depends on the splice-variant of the α-subunit: the ZERO variant is activated by PKA, which conversely inhibits another variant named STREX-1 (Tian et al., 2001). Nevertheless, BK is probably important for bicarbonate secretion, as the luminal application of the channel opener NS11021 induces an increase of HCO3− release (Venglovecz et al., 2011).

BK-assisted HCO3− efflux was found to be important for PDECs first response to low concentrations of bile acid in the duct, in the initial phases of a gallstone-induced pancreatitis. It is indeed reported that low luminal doses of one of the primary bile acids, chenodeoxycholate (CDC), induce an increase of HCO3− secretion associated with an elevation of [Ca2+]i (Venglovecz et al., 2008). In 2010, Venglovecz and others identified in BK the apical Ca2+-dependent channel responsible for the observed phenomenon (Venglovecz et al., 2011). The authors suggest that opening of BK hyperpolarizes the cell membrane, increasing the driving force for anion secretion.

In addition to the above-mentioned studies, it is also worth to mention that BK is thought to be involved in the modulation of the secretion induced by ATP and UTP binding to purinergic receptors P2Y, although the mechanism in not completely clear (Hede et al., 1999; Hede et al., 2005; Ha and Cheong, 2017). PDECs express G-protein coupled P2Y and ligand-gated ion channel P2X receptors; it has been observed that the perfusion PDECs express G-protein coupled P2Y and ligand-gated ion

| Activators          | Blockers            |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| NS1619              | Paxiline            |
| Phloretin           | Iberiotoxin         |
| Pimaric acid        | Charybdotoxin       |
| NS11021             | TEA                 |
| BMS191011           |                     |
| NS19504             |                     |
| DHS I               |                     |
| Mallotoxin          |                     |

TABLE 1 | commonly used BK activators and inhibitors.

In the past years ion channels have emerged as important actors for tumor biology (Lastraioli et al., 2015). As mentioned above, BK opening can induce a strong hyperpolarization in the membrane potential. Alterations in the membrane voltage have been proposed to be extremely important in controlling the cell cycle (Abdul Kadir et al., 2018); this suggests that BK can play a key role in cancer and indeed; many studies in the literature show that the activation of BK can have a significant impact on cancer cell proliferation and migration.

For example, it has been observed that BK opening can reduce the migration of glioma cells. Bordey and others reported that prolonged exposure to acetylcholine (Ach) and muscarine led to a rise of [Ca2+]i, and stopped the migration of astrocytoma cells U373MG (Bordey et al., 2000). Further investigation showed that the increase of [Ca2+]i was followed by BK activation. It remained nevertheless unclear if the inhibition of cell migration was directly due to BK channel gating per se or if the cause was the increase of Ca2+ or other second messenger signals. Few years later, Kraft et al. suggested a significant impact of BK activation on the migration of glioma cells (Kraft et al., 2003). They found that BK activators phloretin and NS1619, as well as ACh, were able to reduce cell migration velocity by approximately 50% and that the latter effect could be reversed by BK blockers.

Moreover, it has been reported that BK openers induce U251 glioma and small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cells to swell (Hoa et al., 2007; Hoa et al., 2014). Also, the opening of BK has been found to induce a decrease in the viability and the migration of breast cancer cells. In a recent work, Sizemore and collaborators treated MDA-MB-231 cells with two distinct BK activators: BMS-191011 and NS-11021. The latter were able to induce a strong membrane hyperpolarization and, after prolonged treatment, also a reduction of live cells and migration (Sizemore et al., 2020). Moreover, low doses of the BK agonist BMS-191011 triggered apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 cells, where cleavage of procaspase three into caspase three was observed. In another work, Han et al., demonstrated that the BK activator NS1619 is able to inhibit conductance (IK) channel, also expressed in PDECs (Hede et al., 2005). Therefore, it is not clear what is the specific contribution of BK in the response to ATP and UTP.

Sustained BK Activation Could Have a Negative Impact on Proliferation, Migration and Viability of Different Types of Cancer Cell Lines but Not PDAC

In contrast, PDAC cells did not respond to BK stimulation. In a recent study, Sizemore and collaborators treated MDA-MB-231 cells with two distinct BK activators: BMS-191011 and NS-11021. The latter were able to induce a strong membrane hyperpolarization and, after prolonged treatment, also a reduction of live cells and migration (Sizemore et al., 2020). Moreover, low doses of the BK agonist BMS-191011 triggered apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 cells, where cleavage of procaspase three into caspase three was observed. In another work, Han et al., demonstrated that the BK activator NS1619 is able to inhibit
proliferation and to induce apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells (Han et al., 2008).

Our group has recently investigated the effect of different BK openers on PDAC cells, which had not yet been exhaustively evaluated for this type of cancer (Remigante et al., 2021). We tested the impact on Panc-1 cell viability, migration and proliferation of two of the newest and most effective known BK activators, namely NS11021 and NS19504.

It has been reported for other types of cancers that the neoplastic tissue can differ from the normal one in terms of BK splice variants and regulatory subunits expression. For example, Egland et al. observed in pancreatic cancer tissues samples the expression of the γ1 protein LRRC26 (also known as CAPC), which left-shifts the voltage-dependence of the channel activation to more hyperpolarized voltages (Egland et al., 2006; Gonzalez-Perez et al., 2022). For the parotid BK channel $V_{1/2}$ values of 50 mV have been measured when the channel was co-expressed in CHO cells with the LRRC26 protein and of 189 mV for the α subunit expressed alone (Almassy and Begenisich, 2012). Moreover, Liu et al. reported the presence of the splice variant gBK in a pancreatic adenocarcinoma sample (Liu et al., 2002). This splice variant is characterized by a normal BK unitary conductance of ~250 pS but displays slower activation and higher sensitivity to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, than its closest homolog hbr5 (Liu et al., 2002). The endogenous currents displayed by Panc-1 cells at low $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (~20 nM) are characterized by a deviation from linearity and a sudden increase of current density at voltages $\geq +80$ mV, which are likely due to the activation of BK channels (Zuccolini et al., 2022). No clear evidence of LRRC26-mediated strong left shift of BK voltage-dependence can be observed in this cell line. This is in agreement with what has been reported by gene expression analysis (see (Klijn et al., 2015), EGA: EGAS00001000610).

Both NS11021 and NS19504 are able to induce a Paxilline-sensitive outward current in Panc-1 cells, which maintains a certain degree of voltage-dependency. We then tested the long-term effects of the two molecules on Panc-1 cell viability (72 h exposure). NS11021 decreased cell viability within 72 h. However, several lines of evidence raised serious doubts that this effect is dependent on BK activation. First, another cancer cell line (melanoma IGR37) displayed a similar viability drop after a 72 hours-long treatment with NS11021 even though the expression of the channel is ~10^4 times lower in IGR37 cells than in Panc-1 cells (Ferrera et al., 2021; Zuccolini et al., 2022), and no BK like currents were activated by NS11021 in IGR37 cells (Remigante et al., 2021). Moreover, the other tested BK activator, NS19504, did not have any impact on Panc-1 viability in the same experimental conditions. Subsequently, we investigated the effect of the activators on Panc-1 migration ability with wound healing assays: neither NS11021 nor NS19504 induced a reduction in cell migration with respect to untreated Panc-1 cells. Data from real-time cell proliferation experiments were similar to those from cell viability assays: NS19504 did not have any effect, while NS11021 slowed down the proliferation of Panc-1 cells but also of the negative control IGR37. Most importantly, imaging experiments revealed that both molecules induced an increase of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$. In the case of NS19504, the calcium increase is partially correlated with BK activation as Paxilline strongly reduced the raise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$. Conversely, NS11021 is able to activate a calcium conductance within the cell membrane in a BK-independent manner. This could explain why the molecule affected also the negative control IGR37 cells, where the channel is poorly present. Surprisingly, when we tested the ability of BMS191011, another reportedly specific BK opener (Table 1, Romine et al., 2007), to elicit BK current in Panc-1 cells we found that the compound was much less efficient than NS11021 and NS19504. Induced currents at +120 mV compared to those measured in standard bath solution were 14 times higher for NS11021, 7 times higher for NS19504 while only 2 times higher for BMS191011.

According to these recent data, it seems that the activation of the BK channel is not a good strategy for slowing down the survival and carcinogenicity of PDAC cells, although it has been suggested to be efficient for other cancer cell types. Indeed, even the more specific BK activator NS19504 did not affect viability nor proliferation or migration of Panc-1 cells. Moreover, the activation of BK can induce, in this cell type, an increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, which is a signal related to many aspects of cellular physiology.

### DISCUSSION

BK is a ubiquitously expressed channel which has attracted scientists’ attention for decades. Because of its peculiar calcium- and voltage-dependent dual gating mechanism and its large conductance, this channel plays a role in many physiological pathways involving membrane hyperpolarization. Among the different tissues, BK is expressed in the epithelial cells of the pancreatic duct. Here, it has been proposed to contribute in different ways to bicarbonate efflux especially in the presence of bile acid in the duct. A deep understanding of the BK involvement in the molecular pathways underlying the HCO3$^-$ secretion regulation appears problematic. Different observations made in different animal models or focused on different cell compartments, might appear contradictory. Given the large number of channels and transporters expressed in PDECs, their specific localization in the luminal vs. apical membrane, their complex interactions and the different external stimuli that can modulate them it can actually become complicated to put together the various pieces of information in a general scheme in which the BK function is completely defined. In this regard, to get an idea of the complexity of the system, we recommend specific reviews: (Lee et al., 2012; Hayashi and Novak, 2013; Venglovecz et al., 2015; Schnipper et al., 2020; Venglovecz et al., 2021). The channel is expressed also in the neoplastic formation that originates in this fundamental part of the digestive system, the PDAC.

### Considerations About Cell Lines and Relative BK Channel Diversity

Studies in literature report that the prolonged application of various BK channel openers decreases viability and migration in different cancer cell lines. Unfortunately, recent experiments from our laboratory revealed that prolonged treatment with BK
activators does not have such effects on PDAC cells, namely Panc-1. Indeed, Panc-1 cells exposed to different types of openers did not show any alteration neither in viability, nor in proliferation or migration. Moreover, similar results were obtained also with the melanoma line IGR39. It is important to highlight that the impact of BK activation on membrane voltage (and in turn cells duplication) may differ between different cell lines. Indeed, the gating range of the BK channel can considerably vary between different cell types, which might express different BK splice variants and/or auxiliary subunits and might have different basal levels of [Ca$$^{2+}$$]. For example, BMS191011 induced membrane hyperpolarization in breast cancer cells (Sizemore et al., 2020). However, Sizemore and collaborators did not test if BMS191011 actually activated BK in the breast cancer cells. This is relevant in that our group has recently found that the compound only poorly activated the BK channel in PDAC and melanoma lines (Remigante et al., 2021). A possible explanation for the divergent effects of BK agonists between Panc-1 and other cancer cells, could be that the basal Ca$$^{2+}$$ concentration in Panc-1 cells [around 200 nM (Remigante et al., 2019; Zuccolini et al., 2022)] is not high enough to reach significant channel activation at negative voltages even with the activator present because the gating range is too right-shifted. Nevertheless, it can be hypothesized that, during the constitutive presence of the activators, local spatiotemporal fluctuations can increase the concentration of calcium in certain cell compartments rather than in the entire cytoplasm. In addition, since membrane potential and calcium concentration vary in critical points of the cell cycle, the action of the activators can occur only in those key moments, but is still enough to overall slow down cancer cell growth. Another issue to be considered is that regulatory subunits can affect BK response to activators. McManus and others observed that the channel α subunit was insensitive to the agonist DHS-I (McManus et al., 1993; Giangiacomo et al., 1998) when expressed alone in Xenopus oocytes, while the activator worked when α and β subunits were co-expressed in the heterologous system (McManus et al., 1995). Conversely, we recently discovered that the VRAC blocker DCPIB is able to activate the BK channel even when the β subunit is expressed alone in HEK293 cells (Zuccolini et al., 2022). The action of another BK agonist, Mallotoxin (MTX), is not affected by β subunits (Zakharov et al., 2005). Nevertheless, in the presence of the above-mentioned LRRC26 protein, which moves the activation voltage window to less negative potentials, MTX does not induce any further left-shift of the voltage dependence (Almassy and Begenisich, 2012). It seems therefore that a deep understanding of the channel composition in the neoplastic tissue of interest is crucial for designing and planning experiments with positive outcome. For example, it would be important to determine if and how much LRRC26 is expressed in the analyzed cancer cell line, based on available publications (Egland et al., 2006) and databases like the Cancer Cell Lines Encyclopedia (CCLE, https://ctd2-data.nci.nih.gov/Public/TGen/CCLE_RNA-seq_Analysis/). According to CCLE, the LRRC26 y1 regulatory subunit seems to be poorly expressed in the cancer lines on which BK activators had been shown to have anti-cancer effects, although it could be detected by RT-qPCR in MDA-MB-231 cells (Egland et al., 2006). However, unfortunately, not all the studies in which BK activators are employed on cancer cells provide detailed information about the cells resting state and the expression of regulatory proteins. This might be a major problem when one approaches the study of the potentiality of BK as a target for cancer treatment.

**Brief Discussion About BK Activators**

**Differences, Efficacy and Reliability**

Another point we would like to stress is that BK activators should be used with caution, as some of them may have side effects on other membrane proteins. For example, NS1619 had been thought for years to be a specific and potent activator of the BK channel, enhancing the open probability by interacting with the channel from the intracellular side (Gessner et al., 2012; Malysz et al., 2013). Unfortunately, it has been reported that NS1619 can induce Ca$$^{2+}$$ release in pig smooth muscle cells (Yamamura et al., 2001). Other experiments by Wrzosek showed that NS1619 directly affects SERCA activity in sarcoplasmic reticulum vesicles, increasing Ca$$^{2+}$$ leakage from isolated vesicles (Wrzosek, 2014). Another known side effect of this molecule is the blockade of the intermediate conductance calcium-gated K$$^+$$ channel KCa3.1 (Cai et al., 1998). NS1619 has also been reported to affect the gating of L-type Ca$$^{2+}$$ channels and Ca$$^{2+}$$-gated Cl$$^-$$ channels (Park et al., 2007; Saleh et al., 2007). NS11021 is a potent “newer generation” BK activator, able to increase the open probability of the channel by altering gating kinetics without affecting the single-channel conductance (Bentzen et al., 2007). The compound seemed to have a direct and Ca$$^{2+}$$-independent action on BK, as Rockman et al. reported that it could increase the open probability of a truncated channel lacking the CTD (Rockman et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in Panc-1 and IGR39 cancer cell lines, in addition to directly activating BK, the compound activated a Ca$$^{2+}$$ conductance leading to intracellular calcium increase (Remigante et al., 2021). Among the BK activators, NS19504 appears to be the most specific. It has been tested by radioligand binding assays against 68 different channels and receptors (Nausch et al., 2014). Effects were observed only against norepinephrine transporter (SLC6A2), dopamine transporter (SLC6A3), and sigma nonopioid intracellular receptor 1 (σ1R), while the other 65 channels and receptors were not affected (Nausch et al., 2014). It is worth to specify that the molecular mechanisms by which activators modulate channel opening can be very diverse. While some of them bind the channel from the intracellular side, like NS11021 or NS1619, (Olesen et al., 1994; Bentzen et al., 2007), others like MTX act from the outside (Zakharov et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007). It was indeed reported that in whole-cell experiments MTX affected channel gating when perfused from the outside but not when applied to the cytosol via patch pipette (Zakharov et al., 2005). Similarly, also DCPIB binds to the extracellular side of the channel, increasing the open probability (Zuccolini et al., 2022).
Final Considerations
Another critical point in using BK activators in Panc-1 is the fact that, in this cell type, BK opening itself might generate an increase of [Ca^{2+}]_{i}, possibly by creating the electrical driving force for calcium entrance. For this reason it would be hard to understand if effects observed after treatments with BK activators are solely dependent on their action on BK channels or rather due to the increase of intracellular [Ca^{2+}]_{i}. Finally, the principle according to which membrane channels or rather due to the increase of intracellular [Ca^{2+}]_{i} need to be assessed.

We therefore conclude that the employment of BK activators presents quite some issues to which scientists should pay attention. In this regard, it appears important that studies in which BK activators are used on cancer cells, investigate several parameters that are relevant for a proper interpretation of effects on viability, migration and proliferation. In particular, basal cellular conditions [resting potential, resting (Ca^{2+})_{i}] need to be assessed and the biophysical properties of the native BK current under these conditions need to be determined. Furthermore, the employed molecules need to be tested for side-effects on other proteins and, in particular, on unspesific increases of intracellular calcium. In the absence of this information, the outcome of administration of BK openers is hard to interpret, as one cannot distinguish whether a given effect is due to the specific activation of BK or is the result of off-target effects. Such a variety in the impact of BK agonists and blockers does not necessarily question the results reported in the literature nor preclude the importance of these molecules in specific cases, but it can raise an alarm flag regarding the use of presumably specific BK channel modulators as anti-cancer agents.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
PZ wrote the text, PG and MP supervised the writing process.

FUNDING
This work was supported by the grant from the Fondazione AIRC per la Ricerca sul Cancro (grant # IG 21558) and the Italian Research Ministry (PRIN 20174TB8KW) to MP.

REFERENCES

Abdul kadir, L., Stacey, M., and Barrett-Jolley, R. (2018). Emerging Roles of the Membrane Potential: Action beyond the Action Potential. Front. Physiol. 9, 1661. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01661

Adelman, J. P., Shen, K. Z., Kavanaugh, M. P., Warren, R. A., Wu, Y. N., Lagrutta, A., et al. (1992). Calcium-activated Potassium Channels Expressed from Cloned Complementary DNAs. Neuron 9, 209–216. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(92)90160-f

Ahling, P. K., Strobaek, D., Christophersen, P., Olesen, S. P., and Johansen, T. E. (1997). Stable Expression of the Human Large-Conductance Ca2+-Activated K+ Channel Alpha- and Beta-Subunits in HEK293 Cells. FEBS Lett. 415, 65–70. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(97)01096-x

Almassy, J., and Begennisch, T. (2012). The LRR2C26 Protein Selectively Alters the Efficacy of BK Channel Activators. Mol. Pharmacol. 81, 21–30. doi:10.1124/mol.111.075234

Atkinson, N. S., Robertson, G. A., and Ganetzky, B. (1991). A Component of Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels Encoded by the Drosophila Slo Locus. Science 253, 555–555. doi:10.1126/science.1857984

Bentzen, B. H., Nardi, A., Calloe, K., Madsen, L. S., Olesen, S. P., and Grunnet, M. (2007). The Small Molecule NS11021 Is a Potent and Specific Activator of Ca2+-Activated Big-Conductance K+ Channels. Mol. Pharmacol. 72, 1033–1044. doi:10.1124/mol.107.038331

Bentzen, B. H., Olesen, S. P., Rønn, L. C., and Grunnet, M. (2014). BK Channel Activators and Their Therapeutic Perspectives. Front. Physiol. 5, 389. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00389

Blatz, A. L., and Magleby, K. L. (1984). Ion Conduction and Selectivity of Single Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels in Cultured Rat Muscle. J. Gen. Physiol. 84, 1–23. doi:10.1085/jgp.84.1.1

Bordey, A., Sontheimer, H., and Trouslard, J. (2000). Muscarinic Activation of BK Channels Induces Membrane Oscillations in Gloma Cells and Leads to Inhibition of Cell Migration. J. Membr. Biol. 176, 31–40. doi:10.1007/s00232001073

Brenner, R., Peréz, G. J., Bonev, A. D., Eckman, D. M., Kosek, J. C., Wiler, S. W., et al. (2000). Vasoregulation by the Betai Subunit of the Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel. Nature 407, 870–876. doi:10.1038/35038011

Butler, A., Tsunoda, S., Mccobb, D. P., Wei, A., and Salkoff, L. (1993). mSlo, a Complex Mouse Gene Encoding "maxi" Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels. Science 261, 221–224. doi:10.1126/science.7687074

Cai, S., Garneau, L., and Sauvé, R. (1998). Single-channel Characterization of the Pharmacological Properties of the K(2Ca2+) Channel of Intermediate Conductance in Bovine Aortic Endothelial Cells. J. Membr. Biol. 163, 147–158. doi:10.1007/s002329900379

De odarza, J., and Hootman, S. R. (1995). Regulation of Cyclic AMP Levels in guinea Pig Pancreatic Ducts and Cultured Duct Epithelial Monolayers. Pancreas 11, 261–270. doi:10.1097/00006676-199510000-00008

Dickerson, M. T., Dadi, P. K., Altman, M. K., Verlage, K. R., Thorson, A. S., Jordan, K. L., et al. (2019). Glucose-mediated Inhibition of Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels Limits α-cell Calcium Influx and Glucagon Secretion. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 316, E646–E659. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00342.2018

Durie, P. R. (1989). The Pathophysiology of the Pancreatic Defect in Cystic Fibrosis. Acta Paediatr. Scand. Suppl. 363, 41–44. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.1989.tb363.41

Eglund, K. A., Liu, X. F., Squires, S., Nagata, S., Man, Y. G., Bera, T. K., et al. (2006). High Expression of a Cytokeratin-Associated Protein in Many Cancers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103, 5929–5934. doi:10.1073/pnas.0601296103

Fan, J. Q., Wang, M. F., Chen, H. L., Shang, D., Das, J. K., and Song, J. (2020). Current Advances and Outlooks in Immunotherapy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Mol. Cancer 19, 32. doi:10.1186/s12943-020-01151-3

Ferrera, L., Barbieri, R., Picco, C., Zuccolini, P., Remigante, A., Bertelli, S., et al. (2021). TRPM2 Oxidation Activates Two Distinct Potassium Channels in Melanoma Cells through Intracellular Calcium Increase. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22 (16). 8359. doi:10.3390/ijms22168359

Fölsch, U. R., Fischer, H., Söling, H. D., and Creutzfeldt, W. (1980). Effects of Calcium and Characterization of a Unique, Potent, Peptidyl Probe for the High Conductance Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel from Venom of the Scorpion Buthus Tamulus. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 11083–11090. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(19)38560-6
Zuccolini et al. BK in PDECs and PDAC

Olesen, S. P., Munck, E., Modli, P., and Drejer, J. (1994). Selective Activation of Ca(2+)-dependent K+ Channels by Novel Benzoimidazolone. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 251, 53–59. doi:10.1016/0014-2999(94)90442-1

Pallotta, B. S., Magley, K. L., and Barrett, J. N. (1981). Single Channel Recordings of Ca2+-Activated K+ Currents in Rat Muscle Cell Culture. Nature 293, 471–474. doi:10.1038/293471a0

Park, W. S., Kang, S. H., Son, Y. K., Kim, N., Ko, J. H., Kim, H. K., et al. (2007). The Mitochondrial Ca2+-Activated K+ Channel Activator, NS 1619 Inhibits L-type Ca2+ Channels in Rat Ventricular Myocytes. Bioschim. Biophys. Res. Commun. 362, 31–36. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.07.057

Ransom, C. B., and Sontheimer, H. (2001). BK Channels in Human Glioma Cells. J. Neurophysiol. 85, 790–803. doi:10.1152/jn.2001.85.2.790

Remigante, A., Morabito, R., and Marino, A. (2019). Natural Antioxidants Beneficial Effects on Anion Exchange through Band 3 Protein in Human Erythrocytes. Antioxidants (Basel) 9 (1), 25. doi:10.3390/antiox9010025

Wilms, H., and Hettinger, D. (2020). BK Channel Modulation by the Smooth Muscle Relaxant NS15021. J. Gen. Physiol. 152 (6), e201912506. doi:10.1085/jgp.201912506

Rockman, M. E., Vouga, A. G., and Rothberg, B. S. (2020). Molecular Mechanism of BK Channel Activation by the Smooth Muscle Relaxant NS15021. FASEB J. 34, 5542–5556. doi:10.1096/fj.201912506

Rome, J. L., Martin, S. W., Meanwell, N. A., Gröbkhoff, K. V., Boissard, C. G., Dwoertzky, S. L., et al. (2007). 3-[5-Chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl(methyl)−5-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-1,3,4-oxadiazole-2(3h)-One, BMS-191011: Opener of Large-Conductance Ca(2+)-Activated Potassium (Maxi-K) Channels. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 321, 1075–1084. doi:10.1124/jpet.106.118786

Sancho, M., and Kyle, B. D. (2021). The Large-Conductance, Calcium-Activated Potassium Channel: A Big Key Regulator of Cell Physiology. Front. Physiol. 12, 756015. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.756015

Sandle, G. I., Perry, M. D., Mathalahan, T., Linley, J. E., Robinson, P., Hunter, M., et al. (2007). Altered Cryptal Expression of Luminal Potassium (BK) Channels in Ulcerative Colitis. J. Pathol. 212, 66–73. doi:10.1002/path.2135

Szczech, B. E., Fukushima, S. L. I., Kern, H. F., and Freedman, S. D. (1996). Proteinase Dysfunction in Cystic Fibrosis Occurs as a Result of Impairments in Luminal pH, Apical Trafficking of Zymogen Granule Membranes, and Solubilization of Secretory Enzymes. Pancreas 12, 1–9. doi:10.1097/00006676-199601000-00001

Schnipper, J., Dhenin-Duthille, I., Aihidou, A., and Ouadah-Ahidou, H. (2020). Ion Channel Signature in Healthy Pancreas and Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Front. Pharmacol. 11, 568993. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.568993

Shi, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., Yang, Y., Hu, L., Chaturvedi, N., Harilal, D., et al. (2002). Mechanism of Modification of Activation of Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels. Nature 418, 876–880. doi:10.1038/nature00941

Sizemore, G. M., McLaughlin, S., Newman, M., Brundage, K., Ammer, M., Martin, K., et al. (2020). Opening Large-Conductance Potassium Channels Selectively Induced Cell Death of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. BMC Cancer 20, 395. doi:10.1186/s12885-020-02070-1

Stewart, A. K., Yamamoto, A., Nakakuki, M., Kondo, T., Alper, S. L., and Ishiguro, H. (2007). Effects of Bile Acids on Pancreatic Ductal Bicarbonate Secretion in guinea Pig. Gut 57, 1102–1112. doi:10.1136/gut.2007.134361

Tian, L., Duncan, R. R., Hammond, M. S., Coghill, L. S., Wen, H., Rusinova, R., et al. (2001). Alternative Splicing Switches Potassium Channel Sensitivity to Protein Phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 7717–7720. doi:10.1074/jbc.007041200

Venglovic, V., Hegyi, P., Roncakz, Z., Jiluch, L., Nardi, A., Grunnet, M., et al. (2011). Pathophysiological Relevance of Apical Large-Conductance Ca2+-Activated Potassium Channels in Pancreatic Duct Epithelial Cells. Gut 60, 361–369. doi:10.1136/gut.2010.214213

Wazid, M., and Gray, M. A. (2017). BK Channels in Pancreatic Duct Epithelial Cells: Their Role, Function and Pathophysiological Relevance. Pflugers Arch. 467, 625–640. doi:10.1007/s00424-014-1585-0

Xia, X. M., Zeng, X., and Lingle, C. J. (2002). Multiple Regulatory Sites in Large-Conductance Calcium-Activated Potassium Channels. Nature 418, 880–884. doi:10.1038/nature00956

Yamamura, H., Ohi, Y., Muraki, K., Watanebe, M., and Imaizumi, Y. (2001). BK Channel Activation by the Smooth Muscle Relaxant NS1619 Inhibits SERCA. Cell Calcium 34, 514–520. doi:10.1006/ccim.2001.0308

Yu, S. N., Wang, Y. J., and Lin, M. W. (2007). Potent Stimulation of Large-Conductance Ca2+-Activated K+ Channels by Rotterlinin, an Inhibitor of Protein Kinase C-Delta, in Pituitary Tumor (GH3) Cells and in Cortical Neuronal (HCN-1A) Cells. J. Physiol. 210, 655–666. doi:10.1002/jpc.20866

Zakharov, S. I., Morrow, J. P., Liu, G., Yang, L., and Marx, S. O. (2005). Activation of the BK (SLO1) Potassium Channel by Mallotoxin. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 30882–30887. doi:10.1074/jbc.M503502200

Zheng, Z., Guan, X., Li, Q., Meredith, A. L., Pan, H. L., and Yan, J. (2018). Glutamate-activated BK Channel Complexes Formed with NMDA Receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 19006–19014. doi:10.1073/pnas.1802567115

Zhang, W., Zhang, K., Zhang, P., Zheng, J., Min, C., and Lix, S. (2020). Research Progress of Pancreas-Related Microorganisms and Pancreatic Cancer. Front. Oncol. 10, 604531. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.604531

Zuccolini, P., Ferrara, L., Remigante, A., Picco, C., Barbieri, R., Bertelli, S., et al. (2022). The VRAC Blocker DCPIB Directly Gates the BK Channels and Increases Intracellular Ca2+ in Melanoma and Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Cell Lines. Br. J. Pharmacol. doi:10.1111/bph.15810

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Zuccolini, Gavazzo and Pusch. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.