Mapping Paratope on Antithrombotic Antibody 6B4 to Epitope on Platelet Glycoprotein Ibalpha via Molecular Dynamic Simulations
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Abstract

Binding of platelet receptor glycoprotein Ibα (GPIbα) to the A1 domain of von Willebrand factor (vWF) is a critical step in both physiologic hemostasis and pathologic thrombosis, for initiating platelet adhesion to subendothelium of blood vessels at sites of vascular injury. Gain-of-function mutations in GPIbα contribute to an abnormally high-affinity binding of platelets to vWF and can lead to thrombosis, an accurate complication causing heart attack and stroke. Of various antithrombotic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting human GPIbα, 6B4 is a potent one to inhibit the interaction between GPIbα and vWF-A1 under static and flow conditions. Mapping paratope to epitope with mutagenesis experiments, a traditional route in researches of these antithrombotic mAbs, is usually expensive and time-consuming. Here, we suggested a novel computational procedure, which combines with homology modeling, rigid body docking, free and steered molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, to identify key paratope residues on 6B4 and their partners on GPIbα, with hypothesis that the stable hydrogen bonds and salt bridges are the important linkers between paratope and epitope residues. Based on a best constructed model of 6B4 bound with GPIbα, the survival ratios and rupture times of all detected hydrogen bonds and salt bridges in binding site were examined via free and steered MD simulations and regarded as indices of thermal and mechanical stabilizations of the bonds, respectively. Five principal paratope residues with their partners were predicted with their high survival ratios and/or long rupture times of involved hydrogen bonds, or with their hydrogen bond stabilization indices ranked in top 5. Exciting, the present results were in good agreement with previous mutagenesis experiment data, meaning a wide application prospect of our novel computational procedure on researches of molecular of basis of ligand-receptor interactions, various antithrombotic mAbs and other antibodies as well as theoretically design of biomolecular drugs.

Introduction

As a crucial step in a cascade of adhesion and signaling events in physiological hemostatic process, blood platelet adhesion to subendothelium of injured blood vessels is initiated by interaction of platelet glycoprotein Ibα (GPIbα) with its ligand von Willebrand factor (vWF) [1]. Under pathological conditions, this interaction can lead to thrombosis, an accurate complication causing heart attack and stroke [2]. Gain-of-function mutations in GPIbα (e.g. M239V) occur in patients with platelet-type von Willebrand disease (vWD) and then contribute to an abnormally high-affinity binding of platelets to vWF [3,4]. The patients suffer from bleeding disorders, which are increased with ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation and characterized by intermittent thrombocytopenia and absent high molecular weight forms of plasma vWF [3,4]. The resolved crystal structure of globular N-terminal domain of GPIbα is characterized by eight leucine-rich repeats (LRRs), a protruding flexible loop β-switch and a β-hairpin on the bottom [5]. The concave face of GPIbα binds A1 domain of vWF in a pincer-like grip with the β-switch and β-hairpin regions, in both the wild type and the mutant complex [6,7]. Under high shear stress, flow may transform the β-switch from a flexible loop into a β-hairpin, and then enhance binding of vWF to GPIbα [8,9], indicating a structural basis for GPIbα/vWF catch-bond such that increasing force on bond of GPIbα/vWF prolongs rather than shortens bond lifetimes [10]. Based on the significant role in thrombosis, GPIbα becomes a noteworthy target for antibodies and antithrombotic drugs. Many antibodies have been demonstrated to have various antithrombotic effects. Of known potent antibodies, antibody AK2, 24G10 and 6B4 can occupy completely the binding site of vWF-A1 domain on GPIbα [11,12], whereas SZ-123 and SZ-125 compete with each other in targeting A3 domain of vWF [13]. The potent antithrombotic antibody 6B4, a murine monoclonal antibody (mAb) targeting the human GPIbα dose-dependently inhibits both the ristocetin- and botrocetin-induced binding of vWF to GPIbα, as well as human platelet adhesion to human collagen type I under flow [14]. 6B4 recognizes the epitope within the C-terminal flanking region (residues 201–268) of GPIbα to
block binding of vWF to GPIbα [12], and injection of 6B4-Fab fragments has antithrombotic effect in vivo without prolongation of the bleeding time in Baboons [15]. With an iterative method of flexible docking alternating with mutagenesis experiments, five paratope residues of 6B4 have been identified to be Tyr27D, Lys27E, Asp28, Glu93 and Tyr100C (Kabat numbering), which are located in the complementarity determining regions (CDRs) of light and heavy chain, respectively [16].

Mapping paratope to epitope with mutagenesis experiments in antithrombotic antibody researches is an essential topic but usually expensive, time-consuming and blind [16]. As an useful assistant, both rigid body and flexible docking program can be used to build various models of an antibody bound with an antigen to predict various residues involved in the interactions of the antibody and the antigen, but often fails to illustrate whether these residues are crucial or not for binding [16], possibly coming from that the conformation transforming is missed completely in rigid body docking or partly in flexible docking. A time-consuming experimental identification of these residues should be followed, as done in the work of Fontayne et al. [16]. It is natural that, molecular dynamic (MD) simulation may be regarded an important tool in mapping paratope to epitope for antithrombotic antibody researches. By incorporating both conformational changes and atomic details of biomolecules in a 3D environment with different temperatures, pressures, and/or mechanical constraints, MD simulation can provide functional implication and yields information that is not possible through any other methods [17,18]. Variable simulation protocols and analysis methods such as free and steered MD have been developed for analyzing stability of a single fragment (PDB code 1F3R), murine IgG1-Fab (PDB code 1GIG) and antibody 19G2 (PDB code 1UB3), respectively. To yield the most likely V H -V L orientations, crystal structure of anti-sars ScFv antibody 80R (PDB code 2GHW) was used as a global template, based BLAST result that, among all antibody crystal structures containing the (Gly,Ser) linker in PDB, 2GHW structure has the highest sequence identity (40%) with 6B4-ScFv. The BLAST results revealed that the variable domain sequences of the light- and heavy-chain have, respectively, identities of 73 and 85% with their templates, the antibody 19G2 and the murine IgG1-Fab. Both the light- and heavy-chain sequences of their respective 120 and 113 residues were submitted to NCBI IgBlast (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/igblast/) with IMGT (the international ImMunoGeneTics database) as Ig domain system [23], then was used to identify the six CDRs of 6B4. The paratope residues of 6B4 and locations of CDRs were summarized in Table 1, where the CDR H1, H2 and H3 in heavy chain were made up of their respective sequences, such as those from 26th to 33rd residue, from 51st to 57th residue and from 96th to 110th residue, whereas the sequences from 162nd to 172nd residue, from 190th to 193rd residue and from 229th to 237th residue contributed to the CDR L1, L2 and L3 in heavy chain, respectively. An alignment between 6B4 and its templates was generated by ChalatX [24], then homology modeling of 6B4 structure were performed by Modeller 9-6 [25]. Eight 6B4-Fv models of so-called single chain Fv (ScFv), which was arranged in V H -V L orientation and joined together with (Gly,Ser) linker [26,27,28], were built up. Of these models, one with a small Z-score value of ~1.181 was regarded as a native-like model and selected for further docking to GPIbα.

Docking

The ligand-free GPIbα (PDB code 1M0Z) was regarded as the receptor with ligand 6B4. Docking of 6B4 to GPIbα (amino acids 1–266) was performed with ZDOCK3.0 [29]. As indicated in previous works [12], we also designated the β-switch region of GPIbα as the binding site of 6B4 CDRs. In docking to a fixed GPIbα, 6B4 was translated and/or rotated with 6° sampling density in rotational space. With rigid body docking, 54,000 poses were generated. All complexes were analyzed and scored by Zrank [30]. Of these 54,000 poses, only the top 20 complexes ranking with negative Z-rank score were taken [31] for visual inspection with VMD [32], and the 339th complex with the lowest Z-rank score of −73.4 was regarded as the best or most possible native-like model, which was used in MD simulations. The best 6B4/GPIbα model was analyzed with the software PSAIA (Protein Structure and Interaction Analyzer), a powerful verification tool in docking [33], and Van Der Waals, hydrophobic and polar interactions were obtained by the newly developed algorithm PIADA (Protein Interaction Atom Distance Algorithm).

MD simulations

Two software packages, visual molecular dynamics (VMD) for visualization and modeling [32], and NAMD 2.6 program for free and steered MD simulations [34], were used in our simulations. 6B4/GPIbα complex, the 339th complex of 54,000 poses generated by Zdock was solvated with TIP3P water molecules in a rectangular box of 16.5 nm x 9.3 nm x 7.1 nm. The system was neutralized by adding 93 Na+ and 92 CI- ions. The CHARMM22 all-atom protein force field [35], along with CMAP correction for backbone, particle mesh Ewald algorithm for electrostatic interaction and a 1.3 nm cutoff for electrostatic and van der Waals interaction, were used to perform simulations with time step of 2 fs and periodical boundary condition.
The system equilibrium was performed twice, along the protocol as that, firstly at 0 °K, the system was subjected to an energy minimization of 1,000 time steps with heavy or non-hydrogen protein atoms being fixed; another energy minimization of 5,000 time steps with all atoms free ensues. Then, the system temperature was raised from 0 °K to 310 °K in 20 ps, and the system was further equilibrated for 5 ns with temperature and pressure control. The temperature was held at 310 °K using Langevin dynamics, and the pressure was held at 1 atm by the Langevin piston method. The time-curves of the temperature, total energy and RMSD of heavy atoms were used to observe whether the system had been equilibrated or not after passing time of 5 ns (Figure S1 in Suppl. Materials). Two equilibrated complex structures were obtained from two corresponding equilibrated systems described above, and taken as the two initial conformations for free and steered MD simulations.

**Free and steered MD simulations**

Free MD simulations were run thrice on each of equilibrated systems over 3 ns with time step 2 fs and disabled control of temperature and pressure. The interactions of residues in 6B4 CDRs with those in GPIbα were explored by VMD. The salt bridges and/or H-bonds, which might contribute to the binding of 6B4 to GPIbα, were detected by a bond-length cutoff of 0.35 nm and bonding angle of 30 degree, beyond which the bonds were considered to be disrupted, but only the bond-length cutoff was applied to examine the salt bridges in binding site. The survival probability of a H-bond and/or salt bridge were approximately evaluated by the ratio of bond survival time in the period of simulation.

Steered MD simulations also were run thrice on each of two equilibrated systems with the C-terminal Cα atoms, of both heavy and light chains of 6B4, being fixed and N-terminal Cα atom, of GPIbα, being steered. Along the direction vertical to the line

---

**Table 1. The CDRs and identified paratope residues of 6B4 [16].**

| Paratope residue | Serial number* | Location of CDR Location in sequence |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Tyr              | 106            | CDR H1 26–33                        |
| Tyr              | 166            | CDR H2 51–57                        |
| Lys              | 167            | CDR H3 96–110                       |
| Asp              | 168            | CDR L1 162–172                      |
| Glu              | 233            | CDR L2 190–192                      |
|                  |                | CDR L3 229–237                      |

*The positions of residues in 6B4-ScFv were expressed with serial numbering from N-terminal of heavy chain to C-terminal of light chain.
*The serial numbers, 166, 167, 168, 233 and 106, are corresponding to those in Kabat numbering, such as 27D, 27E, 28, 93 and 100C, respectively. The residue sequences, contributed to their respective CDRs (CDR H1, H2, H3, L1, L2 and L3), follow the serial numbering too.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.t001
between two C-terminal Cα atoms of heavy and light chains of 6B4, the pulling over 6 ns was performed with time step 2 fs and a constant velocity of 1 nm/ns, at which the pulling would contribute to H-bond rupture with conservation of secondary structures of 6B4 [36] (Movie S1 in Supp. Materials). The virtual spring, connecting the dummy atom and the steered atom, had a spring constant of 7000 pN/nm. Three stretching events were simulated for each of two different initial equilibrated structures. The rupture times of different hydrogen bonds under stretching were recorded to examine the mechanical strengths or stabilizations of H-bonds.

**Stabilization index and clustering of hydrogen bond**

Besides survival ratio and rupture time, we here introduced another H-bond stabilization index (HBSI) to score the stabilization of a hydrogen bond under both stretching and thermal excitation, with definition as that HBSIj = (δj + ηj)/2, where HBSIj expresses HBSI value of the jth H-bond, δj = max{δ1, δ2, δ3}, ηj = ηj/Max{η1, η2, η3}, ηj = Max{η1, η2, η3}, N is the total number of involved H-bonds, δj and ηj are the mean survival ratio and rupture time of jth H-bond detected, respectively, from thrice free and steered MD simulations with the ith initial equilibrated complex conformation, for j = 1, 2, ..., N, and i = 1, 2, 3.

Such definition of HBSI make 0 ≤ HBSI ≤ 1. Generally, both the mean survival ratio δj and mean rupture time ηj are initial-state dependent, namely, δ1 ≠ δ2 and η1 ≠ η2, η3, the normalized mean rupture time ηj expresses the relative mechanical strength of the jth H-bond, and together with mean survival ratio δj contributes to score importance of the bond in intermolecular interaction between paratope and epitope. HBSIj, the H-bond stabilization index of the jth H-bond, synthesizes the effects of both thermal stabilization and mechanical strength of the bond on the paratope-epitope interactions.

We defined that a bond is low, moderate and high stable, if anyone of its mean survival ratio, normalized mean rupture time and HBSI index lies in region from 0 to 0.3, from 0.3 to 0.55 and from 0.55 to 1.0, respectively. A high stable bond observed from both free and steered MD simulation may have either or both of thermal and mechanical high stabilization, based on the differences between its mean survival ratio, normalized mean rupture time and HBSI index. All observed bonds were clustered into three groups, the low, moderate and high stable one, with above definition of bond stabilization. Statistical analyses were performed with Student’s t test for different bond groups.

**Evaluation of false discovery rate and the sensitivity and specificity of stabilization indices of hydrogen bond**

In examining the false discovery rate (FDR) and the sensitivity and specificity of three stabilization indices (such as the mean survival ratio, normalized mean rupture time and HBSI), a residue was expected to be positive for its contribution at least on one bond with high stabilization, and negative if it was involved just in formation of low and moderate stable bond(s). In bonds contributed by a residue, only the maximum stabilization index was used to appraise whether the residue was either a positive or a negative one. A positive residue was assumed to be either a paratope or epitope one, and a negative one did not. The mutagenesis experiment data [16] were used to determine whether a positive residue is false or true. Denoting the numbers of the true and false positive residues by TP and FP, the false discovery rate (FDR) could be evaluated by

$$\text{FDR} = \frac{FP}{TP + FP}$$

Required in determination of the sensitivity and specificity, the numbers of true and false negative residues were usually unknowns for the rare mutagenesis experiment data. Here, we further define a random process Xj so that, at any time in duration of observation, Xj takes value 1 if one bond forms between the jth predicted negative residue and its partner in binding site and zero otherwise, for j = 0, 1, 2, ..., M, where M is the number of uncertain negative residues; and these random processes of M are independent each other, in other words, the formation and breakage of a bond is not related to other bonds. Thus, E(Xj); the expected value of Xj, is evaluated here by the maximum of either the mean survival ratios, or the normalized mean rupture times or HBSI values of involved bonds. Denoting the possible number of false negative residues in all uncertain negative residues by FNj, we have

$$\text{FN}_1 = E(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_M) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + \cdots + E(X_M)$$

Thus, the sensitivity and specificity could be evaluated by

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{FN + TP} \ \text{and \ Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

Where, TN and FN are the numbers of all true and false predicted- residues, respectively, TN = TN0 + (M – FN1),FN = FN0 + FN1, and TN0 and FN0 express the true and false negative identified-residue numbers, respectively.

**Results**

**Less information of paratope residues and their partners are provided by Docking results**

Through homology modeling, we built a model of 6B4-ScFv (Fig. 2 A), in which six CDRs, distributed on the top of both light- and heavy- chains, will contribute to binding of 6B4 to GPIbα. This 6B4 model may be native-like for its low Z-score value of −1.181, and the high identities, 73% and 85%, of the light- and heavy- chains with their respective templates, the antibody 19G2 and the murine IgG1-Fab (see Material and Methods). The 339th complex (Fig. 2 B), a 6B4/GPIbα model with the lowest Z-rank score of −73.4, was picked out from 54,000 poses generated by docking of above 6B4-ScFv to ligand-free GPIbα (see Material and Methods). This complex model may be the best one, because the lowest Z-rank score means its conformation is energy favorable [30].

The structural superposition between 6B4/GPIbα and wild-type complex of GPIbα-A1 (PDB code 1SQ0) (Fig. 2 C and D) also expressed a competitive binding of 6B4 and vWF to GPIbα, or say, 6B4 does prevent vWF-A1 binding to GPIbα, regardless of being induced by ristocetin, botrocetin or shear stress [14]. With PSAIA software [33], we found that, 29 residues on GPIbα were involved in 57 interactions (including polar, hydrophobic and Van der Waals interactions) of vWF-A1 and GPIbα. Among these 29 residues in GPIbα, 18 residues were occupied by those of bound 6B4 in the 339th complex, saying that 6B4 could occupy about 62% (=18/29) of the binding site of A1. So, the 6B4/GPIbα model (Fig. 2 B) might be biologically meaningful, because binding site of vWF-A1 on GPIbα is mostly occupied by 6B4, which
prevents A1 from interacting with GPIbα due to the obvious steric hindrance (Fig. 2 C and D) [14]. We analyzed the best 6B4/GPIbα model with the software PSAIA [33], and obtained 79 Van Der Waals, 6 hydrophobic and 5 polar interactions with the newly developed algorithm PIADA. Regardless of Van Der Waals interactions, we obtained seven residues, namely, Met102, Leu231, Val232, Thr101, Arg194, Tyr234 and Glu233 on 6B4, which might be involved in hydrophobic and polar interaction with corresponding residues on GPIbα (Table 2), but only Glu233 was pre-determined (Table 1) [16]. This result showed that, deriving from docking analysis of one complex pose, less information on intermolecular interaction at binding site of 6B4/GPIbα would fail in theoretically mapping of paratope residues to their partners, even if this pose conformation is energy favorable. The reason may come from that, firstly, the best 6B4/GPIbα model was not an equilibrated structure if it is surrounded with water molecules under physiological thermal environment; secondly, the docking analysis for the hydrophobic and polar interaction of paratope with epitope via PSAIA was based on a static complex pose, whereas this complex in water would undergo a random conformational transition.

Paratope residues are involved in hydrogen bonding for the equilibrated complex

We obtained two equilibrated 6B4/GPIbα complex structures (Fig. 3 A and B) by performing a system equilibrium twice, along a same protocol of energy minimization and with the assumption that, the system would be equilibrated after passing time of 5 ns (Materials and Methods), because the time-curves of the temper-

**Figure 2. Models of free and bound 6B4.** A, model of 6B4-ScFv via Homology modeling, where the heavy chain (iceblue), light chain (cyan) and (Gly4Ser)3 linker (yellow) were shown in transparent newcartoon representation, and the six complementarity determining regions (CDRs) (mauve), i.e. CDR H1, H2, H3, L1, L2 and L3, were marked; B, conformation of the 339th complex of 6B4 bound to GPIbα subunit (orange); C, structural superposition of 6B4/GPIbα and A1-GPIbα complex (PDB code 1SQ0), where A1 is shown in transparent lime and 6B4 in prunosus; D, the back side view of C.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.g002

| No | Hydrophobic residue pairs | Polar residue pairs |
|----|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | Met102 Leu178              | Thr101 Lys231       |
| 2  | Met102 VAL236              | Arg194 Glu14        |
| 3  | Leu231 Val234              | Val232 Asp215       |
| 4  | VAL232 Val234              | Tyr234 Ala238       |
| 5  | VAL232 Val236              | Glu213 Asp215       |
| 6  | VAL232 Ala238              |                     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.t002

Our results (Table 3) showed that, of the ten detected H-bonds, the 5th and 4th bonds would be contributed to two salt bridges between donor residue Lys517 on CDR L1 and its two partners, Glu151 and Asp175 on GPIbα, and the 9th bond was involved in another salt bridge from Asp168 on CDR L1 to Lys152 on GPIbα.
Figure 3. Conformation of the 339th complex after the first (A) and second (B) equilibration. GPIbα (cyan) and 6B4 (iceblue) are shown in transparent newcartoon representation. All ten bonds were numbered with the index listed in Table 3. The 5th, 4th and 9th bonds express the three salt bridges, others are H-bonds.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.g003

Table 3. Summary of survival ratios, rupture times and involved residues of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges obtained from free and steered simulations.

| No | Type | Residue | Atom | Residue | Atom | ECC* | Survival Ratio | Rupture time (ns) |
|----|------|---------|------|---------|------|------|---------------|------------------|
| 1  | H    | Asp235  | OD1  | Tyr166  | OH   | I    | 0.94±0.01     | 3.37±0.45        |
| 2  | H    | Asp235  | OD2  | Glu233  | N    | II   | 0.38±0.14     | 1.78±0.54        |
| 3  | S    | Asp235  | OD2  | Lys167  | NZ   | II   | 0.17±0.16     |                 |
| 4  | S    | Asp175  | OD2  | Lys167  | NZ   | +    | 0.49±0.23     | 3.07±1.43        |
| 5  | S    | Glu151  | OE2  | Lys167  | NZ   | +    | 0.08±0.09     | 0.54±0.30        |
| 6  | H    | Val234  | O    | Thr191  | OG1  | +    | 0.35±0.08     | 1.46±0.61        |
| 7  | H    | Gly233  | O    | Thr191  | OG1  | +    | 0.46±0.06     | 1.64±0.61        |
| 8  | H    | Gly233  | O    | Thr191  | N    | +    | 0.33±0.39     | 1.74±0.04        |
| 9  | S    | Lys152  | NZ   | Asp168  | OD2  | +    | 0.88±0.09     | 4.49±0.39        |
| 10 | H    | Gin232  | O    | Tyr234  | OH   | +    | 0.53±0.03     | 1.26±0.66        |
| 11 | H    | Gin232  | OE1  | Ser100  | OG   | +    | 0.03±0.03     | 1.41±0.31        |
| 12 | H    | Gin232  | NE2  | Ser100  | OG   | +    | 0.20±0.13     | 0.83±0.36        |
| 13 | H    | Gin232  | NE2  | Thr151  | OG1  | +    | 0.05±0.01     | 0.10±0.15        |
| 14 | H    | Gin232  | NE2  | Trp52   | NE1  | +    |                 |                  |
| 15 | H    | Gin232  | NE2  | Arg51   | O    | +    | 0.03±0.02     |                 |
| 16 | H    | Asn110  | O    | Arg51   | NH2  | +    | 0.05±0.03     |                 |
| 17 | S    | Glu181  | OE2  | Arg51   | NH1  |      | 0.31±0.23     |                 |
| 18 | H    | Glu40   | OE1  | Tyr110  | OH   | +    | 0.20±0.15     | 0.52±0.40        |
| 19 | H    | Glu40   | OE2  | Tyr110  | OH   |      | 0.18±0.04     | 0.63±0.42        |
| 20 | S    | Glu40   | OE2  | Arg57   | NH1  |      | 0.15±0.07     | 1.85±0.24        |
| 21 | H    | Lys237  | NZ   | Leu164  | O    |      | 0.03±0.03     |                 |
| 22 | H    | Lys237  | NZ   | Ser163  | O    |      | 0.06±0.01     | 0.28±0.30        |
| 23 | H    | His86   | ND1  | Ser163  | OH   |      | 0.20±0.09     | 0.76±0.34        |

*ECC is an abbreviation of equilibrated complex conformation. Letter H and S expresses the two types of bonds, such as hydrogen bond and salt bridge, respectively. The heading I and II denote two different ECCs of of 6B4 bound to GPIbα, the superscript numbers on residues (Column 5 and 3) designate the positions of their respective involved residues in sequences of 6B4 and GPIbα with serial numbering, and, the donor- and acceptor-atoms (Column 6) on paratope residues (Column 5) together with their respectively partners (Column 4) on epitope residues (Column 3) contribute to bonds in binding site. All bonds, which were derived from static analyses and/or from thirde independent free and steered MD simulations with equilibrated conformation I and II, respectively, were designated by the symbol ‘+’ and/or nonzero values (mean ± SD) of survival ratios and rupture times of bonds.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.t003
(Fig. 3 A); similar to Lys167, the donor residue Thr101 on CDR H3 also had two acceptor residues, Val235 and Gly233 on GPIbα, to form the 6th (Fig. 3 B) and 7th H-bond (Fig. 3 A), respectively; with a same donor residue Gln232 on GPIbα, both Ser100 on CDR H3 and Trp22 on CDR H2 were respectively related to the 12th and 10th bonds, respectively; in the 19th bond (Fig. 3 B), the donor residue Tyr110 on CDR H3 was paired with its acceptor residue Glu40 on GPIbα.

From above results, we obtained that, besides Glu233 shown in docking analysis, other three identified paratope residues, Tyr166, Lys167 and Asp175, and Glu233 were also emerged from above ten residue pairs (Table 1 and 3) [16], which were detected just from two equilibrated conformations. Even so, in recognizing the key paratope residues with the corresponding H-bonds, less knowledge on behaviors of these detected hydrogen bonds made us to be confused by the fragmentary and superabundant H-bond messages.

Paratope and epitope can be mapped by the involved H-bonds of high survival rates

With use of bond-length cutoff of 0.35 nm and bonding angle of 30 degree (see Materials and Methods), we examined the events of breaking and forming of bonds by performing free MD simulations thrice on each of initial equilibrated conformation I and II of 6B4/GPIbα (Fig. 3 A and B) for 3 ns, and found that, of all possible H-bonds and/or salt bridges (Table 3), two salt bridges and ten H-bonds were newly generated and others were previously observed in two different initial poses. All bonds (Table 3) from free MD simulation could be clustered into three groups of low, moderate and high thermal stabilization, or the instantaneous, unstable and stable groups, by their corresponding survival ratio values ranging from 0 to 0.3, from 0.3 to 0.55 and from 0.55 to 1.0, respectively (Material and Methods). The instantaneous H-bonds, the 16th and 5th bonds, the 10th and 4th bonds as respectively. The instantaneous H-bonds, the 16th and 5th bonds, showed to be instantaneous, unstable and stable groups, by their corresponding survival ratio values (mean ± SD) of the high, moderate and low stable groups were 0.84±0.12, 0.14±0.23 and 0.13±0.12, respectively. Statistical analyses with Student’s t test showed significant differences in the three groups (p<0.01).

We found from D-t curves (Fig. 4), the time courses of distance between two bonding atoms, that the three representative H-bond pairs, namely, the 16th and 5th bonds, the 10th and 4th bonds as well as the 1st and 9th bonds, showed to be instantaneous, unstable and stable one with low, moderate and high survival rates, respectively. The instantaneous H-bonds, the 16th and 5th bonds, whose D-t curves (Fig. 4 A and D) were both in fluctuating above their cutoff line, broke readily in most of the simulation period, showing little constraints in motion of the bonding atom pairs, such as Ser100Glu233, Lys167Trp22 and Gln232Thr101; on the contrary, the 9th bond, a salt bridge between Asp175 and Lys152, was stable, and almost remained intact for its D-t curve (Fig. 4 C) almost always being below its cutoff line during the simulations, and so do the 1st bond, implying that the corresponding donor Tyr110 was in close contact with its acceptor Asp175 (Fig. 4 F); and for two unstable H-bonds, the 4th bond, a salt bridge between Lys167 and Asp175, and 10th bond between Gln232 and Tyr110, their corresponding D-t curves (Fig. 4 B and E) both had an irregular fluctuation around their respective cutoff lines, suggesting moderate survival probabilities of the two bonds over the simulation time of 3 ns.

Figure 4. Time courses of interatomic distances of six representative bonds in binding site of 6B4/GPIbα complex.

The interatomic distances of six representative bonds were plotted against simulation time, where the interatomic distances were from the oxygen atoms of acidic residues and their respective partners, the nitrogen atoms of basic residues, for three salt bridges, 5th (A), 4th (B) and 9th (C) bonds, or from donors to their respective acceptors for three hydrogen bonds, 16th (D), 10th (E) and 1st (F) bonds. The salt bridges and hydrogen bonds were simulated with the initial conformation I (Fig. 3 A) and II (Fig. 3 B), respectively. The gray dashed line expresses the distance cut-off of 0.35 nm beyond which the bonds breaks, and the blue, green and red lines exhibit the variation of interatomic distances (nm) of a bond against simulation time (ns) for thrice-repeat independent free MD simulations, respectively. The thermal stabilization of the 4th and 10th bonds (B and E) seemed to be higher than those of the 5th and 16th bonds but lower than those of the 9th and 1st bonds. Remarkable difference in the thrice-repeat independent simulations showed a random behavior of intermolecular interactions. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042263.g004

The results (Table 3) showed that, the mean survival ratios of these detected H-bonds varied from zero to 0.94, showing the complexity of paratope-epitope interaction which was referred closely to not only the number but also the behaviors of involved salt bridges and/or H-bonds. For the 1st, 9th, 2nd, 4th, 5th and 10th bonds, their respective mean survival ratios of 0.94, 0.88, 0.72, 0.53, 0.51 and 0.49 were in top 6 among those of all detected H-bonds from thrice free MD simulations with both equilibrated conformation I and II; the paratope residue Glu233, Lys167 and Asp175, together with their respective epitope residue Asp235, Lys152, contributed to three salt bridges, the 2nd, 4th and 9th bonds, and, the paratope residue Tyr110 and Tyr234 were paired with Asp235, Gly233 and Glu232 to form the 1st, 2nd and 10th bonds. In above six paratope residues involved in H-bonds with mean survival ratios in top 6, the residue Glu233, Tyr110 and Tyr234 are pre-determined through mutagenesis experiments [16], meaning that the paratope and epitope residues may be mapped by the involved H-bonds of moderate and high thermal stabilizations, and the two unidentified residues, Thr101 and Tyr234, may play important roles in 6B4/GPIbα.
interactions too. Perhaps, the residue pairs, which were involved in H-bonds with low mean survival ratios (smaller than 0.35) beyond top 6, were needed to pay special attentions in paratope and epitope mapping, for their smaller contributions on paratope-epitope interactions than those with mean survival ratios in top 6. Yet, the 14th H-bond obtained in static state analysis might be very weak, because it was missed in free MD simulations. It means that, a weak H-bond, such as 14th H-bond, derived from static state analysis on one pose of complex in water, might remain very short time and almost have no contribution to binding of 6B4 to GPIbα.

H-bonds with high mechanical stabilization contribute to mapping of paratope and epitope

As a linker between paratope and epitope, an H-bond or salt bridge will regulate binding of 6B4 to GPIbα. Similar to the thermal stabilization reflected by the survival rates, the mechanical strengths or stabilizations of H-bonds may impact remarkably the intermolecular interaction of paratope and epitope. To test this hypothesis, we performed steered MD simulation thrice on each of the equilibrated conformation I and II over 6 ns with pulling velocity of 1 nm/ns, and along unbinding pathway (as termed by Israelevitz et al [37]) of 6B4/GPIbα complex under stretching (Figure S2, Movie S1 in Suppl. Materials), recorded the distances in each bonding atom pairs one by one to evaluate the rupture times of involved H-bonds.

All results were summarized in Table 3, from which we found that, the 14th H-bond vanished also in steered MD simulation as shown in free MD simulation; besides those observed from one pose of complex (Fig. 3 A and B), eight new events of hydrogen bonding in binding sites occurred at binding site; and all detected bonds under stretching had their respective different mean rupture times in range from zero almost to 4.49 ns. Figure 5 showed the D-t curves for representative H-bonds, such as the 16th and 5th bonds, the 10th and 4th bonds as well as the 1st and 9th bonds. In pulling, the distances between each bonding atom pairs would cross over the cutoff of 0.35 nm quickly for the 16th and 5th H-bonds (Fig. 5 A and D), maintain few nano seconds and then steeply increase over the cutoff for the 4th, 10th, 9th and 1st H-bonds (Fig. 5 B, E, C and F), showing the weak, moderate or strong mechanical constraints between these bonding atom pairs, respectively.

Obviously, the longer the rupture time of an H-bond under stretching, the stronger the mechanical strength of the bond, and the higher the mechanical stabilization of the bond. All bonds observed from SMD simulations were also partitioned into three bond types of weak, moderate and strong mechanical stabilization by the normalized mean rupture times ranging from 0 to 0.3, 0.3 to 0.55 and 0.55 to 1.0, respectively (Materials and Methods). The paratope residues and involved residue pairs on intermolecular interactions between paratope and epitope that the values of HBSI decreased from 0.94 to 0.22, 0.40 ± 0.12 and 0.10 ± 0.10, respectively. Differences between the three groups were significant (p<0.01).

In comparison with free MD simulation, the H-bonds in the top 6 of mean survival ratios are in good accordance with those in the top 6 of mean rupture times (Figure S3), indicating a positive association between thermal and mechanical stabilization for each bonding atom pairs, regardless of the 10th and 20th bond. Astonishing, as a results, the pre-identified paratope residue Glu233, Tyr166, Lys167 and Asp168 (Table 1 and 3) [16] were involved also in H-bonds in top 4 of mean rupture times (Table 3), suggesting that H-bonds with high mechanical stabilizations may be used to recognize key paratope and epitope residues, similar to results from free MD simulation.

H-bond stabilization index reveals importance of involve residues in paratope-epitope interaction

We here further used a hydrogen bond stabilization index (HBSI) [Materials and Methods], normalized in a range scale from 0 to 1 and synthesized both thermal and mechanical stabilizations of H-bonds in binding sites, as a score in mapping paratope to epitope. The values of HBSI were evaluated with the survival ratio and rupture times of bonds derived from MD simulations (Table 3), and then also used to clustered all observed bonds in three groups with low, moderate and high stabilization, by HBSI values ranging from 0 to 0.3, 0.3 to 0.55 and 0.55 to 1.0, respectively (Materials and Methods). The paratope residues and their partners (Fig. 6) with HBSI values in top 8 were listed in Table 4, which showed such a gradually weakened importance of involved residue pairs on intermolecular interactions between paratope and epitope that the values of HBSI decreased from 0.94 to 0.28 along the pathway from the 9th, 1st, 20th, 4th, 5th, 10th, 6th to the 20th bond, a feature different not only from survival ratios but also from rupture times.

Maybe, in mapping paratope to epitope, a bond with a larger HBSI value is more important than that with a lower HBSI value, because the larger the HBSI value, the higher the thermal and/or mechanical stabilization. Interestingly, the 9th, 1st, 20th and 4th bonds, in which the pre-identified paratope residue Tyr166, Lys167,
Asp\textsuperscript{168} and Glu\textsuperscript{233} [16] arose, were in top 4 in rankings of HBSI. This result was similar to that from rupture times, and in comparison, only the residue Lys\textsuperscript{167} would lose in bonds in top 4 of survival ratios. Even so, of the stabilization indices in mapping paratope to epitope, HBSI should be regarded as a better one than those of the survival ratio and the rupture time, because it contained information of the thermal and mechanical stabilizations of the bonds.

Of the five residue pairs with top 5 of HBSI values, the epitope residue Asp\textsuperscript{235} on GPIb\textsubscript{a} is also identified by mutagenesis experiments [16], same as paratope residue Tyr\textsuperscript{166}, Lys\textsuperscript{167}, Asp\textsuperscript{168} and Glu\textsuperscript{233} on 6B4; mutating Gly\textsuperscript{233} to Val\textsuperscript{233}, a known gain-of-function mutant, would stabilize the $\beta$-hairpin conformation, and let to a 5- to 6-fold reduced affinity for binding of 6B4 to mutant GPIb\textsubscript{a}, meaning Gly\textsuperscript{233} to be structurally essential [12]; the interaction between 6B4 and GPIb\textsubscript{a} was impaired notably by mutating Lys\textsuperscript{152} to Ala [16], although the importance of Lys\textsuperscript{152} had not been completely confirmed, because of the possible conformational change induced by the mutation. Our results proposed Thr\textsuperscript{101} on 6B4 and Asp\textsuperscript{175} on GPIb\textsubscript{a} as a possible key residue pairs, in spite of less knowledge on their contribution to binding of 6B4 to GPIb\textsubscript{a}; and, out of our expectation, although the importance of Lys\textsuperscript{167} had been confirmed, mutating its partner Asp\textsuperscript{175} did not markedly impair the binding [16], possibly coming from that this mutation might enhance the interactions of Lys\textsuperscript{167} to its two other partners GLU\textsuperscript{151} and Asp\textsuperscript{235} on GPIb\textsubscript{a} (Table 3).

Table 4. Hydrogen bonds and salt bridges with higher stabilization in Top 8.

| Rank | Bond No. | HBSI* | Interaction residue pairs |
|------|----------|-------|--------------------------|
| 1    | 9        | 0.94  | LYS\textsuperscript{152} ASP\textsuperscript{168} |
| 2    | 1        | 0.85  | ASP\textsuperscript{235} TYR\textsuperscript{166} |
| 3    | 2        | 0.64  | ASP\textsuperscript{235} GLU\textsuperscript{233} |
| 4    | 4        | 0.59  | ASP\textsuperscript{175} LYS\textsuperscript{152} |
| 5    | 7        | 0.45  | GLU\textsuperscript{233} THR\textsuperscript{101} |
| 6    | 10       | 0.42  | GLN\textsuperscript{232} TYR\textsuperscript{234} |
| 7    | 6        | 0.34  | VAL\textsuperscript{234} THR\textsuperscript{101} |
| 8    | 20       | 0.28  | GLU\textsuperscript{80} ARG\textsuperscript{97} |

*HBSI expresses the index of hydrogen bond stabilization (Materials and Methods).

Table 5. The false discovery rate, sensitivity and specificity of three different positive criteria.

| Positive criterion | False discovery rate | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Mean survival ratio| 0.00                 | 0.48        | 1.00        |
| Normalized mean rupture time | 0.17                 | 0.53        | 0.92        |
| HBSI               | 0.14                 | 0.61        | 0.94        |

The false discovery rate was evaluated by Eq. 1, and Eq. 3 was used to estimated the sensitivity and specificity, with use of data in Table S2 in Suppl. Materials.
We found that, derived from the HBSI criterion, seven positive residues were predicted to be Asp\textsuperscript{168}, Lys\textsuperscript{167}, Tyr\textsuperscript{166} and Glu\textsuperscript{233} on 6B4 as well as Asp\textsuperscript{175}, Lys\textsuperscript{152} and Asp\textsuperscript{175} on GPIb\alpha (Table S1); of above seven positive residues, the first five were true positive for their mutagenesis experiment data (Table 1) [16], Lys\textsuperscript{152} was also regarded as a true positive one because mutating Lys\textsuperscript{152} to Ala impaired notably the interaction of 6B4 and GPIb\alpha [16], but Asp\textsuperscript{175} was false positive for the less effect of mutating Asp\textsuperscript{175} to Ala on binding [16]; with criterion of the normalized mean rupture time, all above seven residues except Glu\textsuperscript{233} were also clustered in a positive group, and Gly\textsuperscript{233} became a false negative one; and in comparison, only Asp\textsuperscript{168}, Tyr\textsuperscript{166}, Glu\textsuperscript{233}, Asp\textsuperscript{175} and Lys\textsuperscript{152} were classified to positive group, but Lys\textsuperscript{167} and Asp\textsuperscript{175} were the false and true negative ones, respectively, based on the mean survival ratio criterion. The possible numbers of false negative residues in all uncertain negative residues were expected to be 4.4, 3.4 and 3.9 (see Materials and methods, Table S1 and S2), according to the mean survival ratio, normalized mean rupture time and HBSI indices, respectively.

Based above results, the false discovery rates of the mean survival ratio, the normalized mean rupture time and HBSI indices were evaluated to be 0.00, 0.17 and 0.14 (Table 5), respectively. It indicated that, in comparison with HBSI index criterion, decreasing of positive residues led to a lower level of FDR for the mean survival ratio criterion, and did not so for the normalized mean rupture time criterion. However, a FDR level of 0.14 should be acceptable in identifying the paratope and epitope residues, so that HBSI index criterion might be a better one than others for its ability to predict true positive residues as much as possible. The sensitivities of the mean survival ratio normalized mean rupture time and HBSI indices were estimated as 0.48, 0.53 and 0.61, respectively. Less difference was in specificities (1.0, 0.92 and 0.94) of the mean survival ratio, the normalized mean rupture time and HBSI indices. These results showed that, in comparison with mean survival ratio and normalized mean rupture, HBSI index is better in mapping paratope to epitope for its higher sensitivity with an acceptable FDR and almost without losing of its specificity.

Discussion

Identification of key residues involved in binding sites is an essential topic in antibody research. A conventional route to determine paratope- and/or epitope-residues was along with an alternating process of antibody mutagenesis experiment and docking analysis, and might be time-consuming and ineffective, possibly mainly coming from that the static interaction analysis, which provided insufficient messages on both paratope and epitope so that antibody mutagenesis experiments would be blind [12,16]. A novel computational procedure, combining with homology modeling, rigid body docking, free and steered molecular dynamic simulations, was proposed here to identify the paratope residues on 6B4 and their partners on GPIb\alpha. Our results showed that most identified paratope residues can be predicted via the present procedure, with hypothesis of that these residues would involved in stable H-bonds between paratope and epitope.

Our results illustrated that a 6B4 model (Fig. 2 A) constructed through homology modeling seemed native-like for its better mapping of paratope on 6B4 to epitope on GPIb\alpha (Fig. 2 B and C). Distinct from the iterative approach via docking and mutating [16], we herein proposed rigid body docking rather than flexible docking [38], because the least of known experimental data was required. From docking analysis, we just found Glu\textsuperscript{233} on 6B4, one of five identified paratope residues [16], suggesting that docking analysis cannot provide enough messages on key paratope residues and their partners. By contrast, of ten H-bonds detected in binding sites for two poses of equilibrated 6B4/GPIb\alpha (Fig. 3 A and B), four identified paratope residues emerged, indicating that some paratope residues were involved in hydrogen bond interactions more closely than in both Van Der Waals and hydrophobic interactions. Even so, the superabundant and fragmentary messages of H-bonds detected from the two conformation were not enough available in determining whether the donor-acceptor residue pairs are the key residue pairs of paratope and epitope or not.

Physically, the atoms of 6B4/GPIb\alpha in liquid or physiological environment will fluctuate irregularly around their equilibrium positions, and the conformational transformation from one to another ensues, accompanying with intermolecular interaction in paratope and epitope. Being correlated closely with Van Der Waals and hydrophobic as well as polar interaction, breaking and reforming of H-bonds in binding site would be the dominant events in binding of ligand to receptor. These H-bonds with high survival possibilities may be the determinants in mapping paratope to epitope. Thereby, to gain a profound insight on these H-bonds, we further investigated their thermal and mechanical stabilizations by performed free and steered MD simulations, which had been used for investigating conformational stability [19], behaviors of H-bonds [9,20], and residue interactions in unbinding of receptor from its ligand under stretching [10,21].

However, we found that the conformational evolution of 6B4/ GPIb\alpha under stretching and thermal excititation might be initial-state dependent, namely, the conformational transition from one to another is not only dependent on both the stretching and thermal excitation but also on initial conformation. This initial-state dependence was reflected by the involved H-bonds in 6B4/ GPIb\alpha interface, as shown in Table 3, which indicated that the numbers, survival ratios and rupture times of the involved H-bonds as well as the types of the doner-acceptor residue pairs would vary with initial conformation of 6B4/GPIb\alpha. It suggested us to perform each of free and steered MD simulations with two or more different equilibrated structures for detecting all possible paratope- and epitope-residues. And, not only a free but also a steered MD simulation just modeled a thermal response process of 6B4/GPIb\alpha with a given equilibrated structure in water, so we here had performed each of free and steered MD simulations thrice at least to obtain an approximate result with statistical significance. The random feature and the initial-state dependence of conformational evolution might lead to fail in detecting paratope residue Tyr\textsuperscript{166C} (Table 1), and possibly, performing enough simulations in parallel are beneficial in locating this residue.

Surely, our results indicated that H-bonds with high values of survival ratios or rupture times can provide a clue in recognizing key paratope residues and their partners. However, we were confused with whether the 6\textsuperscript{th}, 7\textsuperscript{th}, 10\textsuperscript{th} or the 20\textsuperscript{th} H-bond had more importance on intermolecular interaction, because of their moderate values of survival ratios and rupture times. Rationally, H-bonds with high mechanical and/or thermal stabilization will form a tight constraint to their respective doner-acceptor residue pairs under stretching and thermal excitation. So, we here suggested a hydrogen bond stabilization index (HBSI), which are reflectened synthetically by both survival ratio and rupture time, as a score in mapping paratope to epitope. Based on rankings of HBSI values, we found that the 7\textsuperscript{th} bond, as a linker among paratope and epitope, is stronger than the 6\textsuperscript{th}, 10\textsuperscript{th} and 20\textsuperscript{th} bonds. For Gly\textsuperscript{233} and Thr\textsuperscript{101}, the residue pair involved in the 7\textsuperscript{th} H-bond, it was...
indicated that Gly\textsuperscript{233} is important to maintain not only stabilization of the \(\beta\)-hairpin conformation but also affinity for binding of 6B4 to mutant GPIb\(\alpha\) [12], in spite of less knowledge on contribution of Thr\textsuperscript{101} to 6B4 in binding to GPIb\(\alpha\). And, in other residue pairs with HBSI values in top 4, paratope residue Tyr\textsuperscript{156}, Lys\textsuperscript{167}, Asp\textsuperscript{235} and Glu\textsuperscript{235} were identified by mutagenesis experiments [16]. It means that HBSI, as an index in recognizing key epitope residues and their partners, is more suitable than the survival ratio or the rupture time. In fact, HBSI characterized evenly both the thermal and mechanical stabilizations of bonds involved in interactions of paratope- and epitope- residues. As a result, both the false discovery rate and the specificity, being respectively equal to 0.14 and 0.94, of HBSI index were located at a moderate level in comparison with those of other two indices (Table 3). This compromise on the false discovery rate and the specificity made HBSI index not only having higher sensitivity than those of other two indices but also being a better one than others for its ability to predict both paratope- and epitope residues as much as possible, with a acceptable FDR level of 0.14 and without loss of its specificity.

The validation process exposed herein justified that the HBSI index may be a suitable measure not only in identifying but also in further defining a reliable H-bond network, which reflects interactions of paratope- and epitope- residues in binding site. Nevertheless, the present work, which was restricted to the adoption of just one complex (6B4/GPIb\(\alpha\)) studied plus the availability of few verified interactions of paratope- and epitope-residues, is a first step in computationally mapping paratope to epitope. For general forecasting considerations about the formation of antibody/antigen complexes, the HBSI index still need an extensible validation improvement from more case studies of other similar documented antibodies, such as AK2 and 24G10 [11,12,16]. However, our results showed that, the present computational procedure should make antibody research be less time-consuming, because the key paratope- or epitope-residues predicted via MD simulation will provide a useful clue to mutation experiments, especially for the antibodies without both crystal structures and mutation experiment data. Besides, this method may be used to reveal the molecular mechanism underlying the reciprocal competitive binding of the antibodies, such as AK2, 24G10 and 6B4, to GPIb\(\alpha\) [11,12,16], and regulating the physiological and pathological processes of thrombosis and Haemophilia [2,4], and find its application on researches of other various antibodies, molecular basis of ligand-receptor interactions, and theoretically design of biomolecular drugs.

### Supporting Information

**Figure S1** Variation of the temperature, total energy and RMSD of heavy atoms of 6B4/GPIb\(\alpha\) complex against simulation time. (A) and (B) express the time-courses of the temperature, total energy and RMSD of heavy atoms of 6B4/GPIb\(\alpha\) complex in two independent system equilibrium processes, respectively. The time step is 2 fs. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Variation of force on complex under stretching against simulation time. (A) and (B) are the force profiles with two different initial equilibrated complex conformations, respectively, at pulling velocity of 1 nm/fs. The time step is 2 fs, and the data are means of three independent unbinding events. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Correlation between normalized rupture time and survival ratio. (TIF)

**Movie S1** Unbinding of 6B4/GPIb\(\alpha\) complex simulated by steered MD simulation followed the first system equilibration. The complex is shown in newcartoon representation. The heavy chain, light chain and (Gly\textsubscript{Ser}3) linker of 6B4 are indicated with iceblue, cyan and yellow, respectively; The GPIb\(\alpha\) subunit is shown in orange. The fixed atoms (C\alpha atoms of 6B4 heavy chain C-terminal residue Ser120 and light chain C-terminal residue Arg248) are indicated as green spheres; the steered atom (C\alpha atom of GPIb C-terminal residue Thr266) is shown as a red sphere. (MPG)

**Table S1** Summary of the identified positive and negative residues on 6B4 and GPIb\(\alpha\). (DOC)

**Table S2** Unidentified negative residues and their false negative probabilities. (DOC)

**Table S3** The numbers of positive and negative residues derived from three different positive criterions. (DOC)
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