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Therapeutic alternatives for the prevention of intra peritoneal adhesions
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ABSTRACT

Intestinal adhesions are bands of fibrous tissue created by the intimate contact of two injured surface tissues; these appear in 93% of the patient undergoing intra-abdominal or gastrointestinal surgery. The comorbidities associated with the formation of adhesions have an impact on quality care offered to patients, leading to an increase in healthcare. Goals of this study was to perform a review that includes different therapeutic alternatives in basic and clinical research to prevent the formation of postoperative abdominal peritoneal adhesions. A bibliographic search was conducted in different databases including Pub med, Medline, Cochrane, science direct, from the years 2000 to 2018 using the keywords: gastrointestinal adhesions, small bowel obstruction, prophylaxis, treatment. Only experimental and clinical articles were selected. The development of peritoneal adhesions in most of the experimental studies occurred with cecal abrasion, studying the effect of biodegradable materials, drugs and gels such as mXG Hydrogel. Nanofiber membranes, agents created with recombinant technology such as periostin antisense oligonucleotide and aerosol applications such as polysaccharide 4DryField PH, are positioned to replace in the future the actual limited mechanical barriers application commonly used in abdominal surgery such as seprafilm and interceed. There are several anti-adhesion agents in experimental phase with different mechanism of action that could be used in the short term to prevent the formation of post-surgical intestinal adhesions. The inclusion of gastrointestinal surgeons in basic research is increasing and necessary with multidisciplinary collaboration. It is expected in short term the study and development of a greater number of materials to minimize tissue trauma and decrease the formation of post-surgical adhesions.
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INTRODUCTION

Intestinal adhesions are bands of fibrous tissue created by the intimate contact of two injured surface tissues, mostly created after a surgical procedure. In this case, the peritoneum reacts to the mechanical and inflammatory lesion, creating an adhesion with the visceral or parietal layer, creating bridges of peritoneal tissue.1 These usually appear in 93% of the population that undergoes a surgical procedure.2

Co-morbidities associated are chronic abdominal pain (20% a 50%), infertility (15% to 20%), small bowel obstruction (49% to 74%) and mortality varies between 5% - 20%, attributed to the complications created by the formation of the adhesions.3-5

Medical costs per patient treated for complications related to intra-abdominal adhesions during the first 5 years after surgery have been calculated around $2350 USD after an open procedure and $970 USD after laparoscopic procedure.6

Pathophysiology in the formation of peritoneal adhesions

The formation of intra-abdominal adhesions is related with scar formation in the healing process, inflammation, and irritation caused by foreign bodies, activation of the fibrinolytic system, cytokines, proteases and fibroblast growth factors7 (Figure 1).

The role of cytokines is essential in the formation of adhesions, such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-B) and interleukin 1, which decreases the fibrinolytic capacity of the peritoneum.8 Fibrinolysis allows mesothelial cells to proliferate and peritoneal defect is restored within 4 to 5 days, preventing permanent fixation of adjacent surfaces.9 If this does not occur within 5 to 7 days of the peritoneal injury, or if the local fibrinolytic activity is reduced, the fibrin matrix persists. This causes the temporary fibrin matrix to gradually become more organized as the collagen-secreting fibroblasts and other repair cells infiltrate the matrix. The organization over time of the fibrin bands and their transformation into mature fibrous adhesions is what allows them to persist.10

METHODS

A bibliographic search was conducted in different databases including Pub med, Medline, Cochrane, Science Direct, from the years 2000 to 2018 using the keywords: gastrointestinal adhesions, small bowel obstruction, prophylaxis, treatment. Only experimental and clinical articles were selected.

RESULTS

Hydrogels have been used as anti-adhesion agents which are polymers with hydrophilic characteristic that allow them to increase in size while maintaining a physicochemical equilibrium in their application site until they adhere and separate the injured areas. In addition to its properties and biocompatibility, its practical application makes them interesting options to develop.11-15 In 2017 Zhang E et al, used a biodegradable and thermosensitive gel of modified galactose and xyloglucan (mXG) which was evaluated as a physical barrier device for the prevention of post-operative adhesions. For the group with hydrogel (n = 16), 1ml at 4% (w/v) of mXG solution was applied to the injured areas and the gelation of this occurred in situ in 3 minutes. For the mXG hydrogel group, most of the rats did not suffer adhesions and the damaged caecum and the injured abdominal wall were partially recovered (P<0.001, Fisher's exact test) showing a significant difference compared to the control group (p<0.001, test U of Mann-Whitney). It was concluded that the mXG hydrogel, besides being effective in the prevention of adhesions, is useful since it does not require any chemical reaction to gel, it is completely degraded at 14 days and is used at 4%, compared to other gels.11 On the other hand, in 2015, Poehnert D, et al, used the 4DryField gel in his experimental study.13

In the experimental group, 300 mg of 4DryField PH gel (5grams of 4DryField in 20 ml of 0.9% sodium chloride solution) were applied to the injured areas. After 7 days the animals were sacrificed, and a second laparotomy was performed to evaluate the results. The results of adhesion formation were submitted to the Lauder scoring systems.
Only 2 of the 9 animals in the experimental group treated with 4DryField PH had detectable adhesions with lauder 1 and 3 scores. The remaining 7 animals treated with 4DryField PH were free of adhesions, resulting in an overall mean Lauder score of 0.4.13 

Electrospinning is a technique widely used in tissue engineering, to manufacture membranes or scaffolds with nanometric fibers for biomedical application. Currently biocompatible membranes are being developed using these techniques.16-18 These membranes are more flexible and easier to manipulate in addition they can adhere to the wound without the need for suturing. Dr. Li and his team in 2017 manufactured a membrane using electrospinning of polylyactic acid-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) and poly ethylene glycol (PEG).19,20 Among all the PEG/PLGA membranes, the membranes used in the PI group showed the best anti-adhesion efficiency.

In 2017 Torii H et al, used sheets of two sheets of gelatin, extracted from porcine skin which were reticulated with ultraviolet light for 2minutes and were frozen for 30 minutes at-80 degrees centigrade afterwards, allowed to thaw for 24hours until the gelatin solution became sponge above the gelatin sheet.18 As a result, a two-layer gelatin sheet composed of gelatin (10μm thickness) and a sponge layer (1mm thickness) is the result. The objective of the study was to evaluate the prevention of post-operative adhesions of the two-sheet gelatin sheet and to compare the results with those obtained with seprafilm and interceed, which are currently marketed. The score of the group treated with the gelatin sheet was significantly lower (p<0.05) than those of the untreated group. The groups treated with seprafilm and interceed showed no significant difference compared to the control group.

The antifibrotic activity of different agents has been evaluated, in 2017, Takai S et al, evaluated the antifibrotic effect of the peristione antisense oligonucleotide (PAO) in rats.21-22 peristoin is secreted by fibroblasts during bone remodeling and in inflammatory processes to increase collagen deposition and activate the formation of TGF-beta which, induces the expression of peristoin. This cycle can cause an excessive production of fibrinogen. The adhesion score presented in the groups treated with PAO was significantly lower than those presented in the groups with saline and NSO at 14 days after surgery (p<0.05). The levels of peristoin, TGF-β and collagen I were also significantly attenuated by the treatment with PAO compared with the saline solution and NSO (p<0.05). These results showed that the level of peristoin increased in the injured cecum and PAO prevented the formation of adhesions together with the attenuation of the level of peristoin.

Also, in 2017 Ozbilgin K et al, and his team investigated the immunoregulatory activity of the anti-fibrotic agent pifrenidone (PFD) and evaluated its effect on the function of T-helper type 1 (Th1), Th2 and regulatory T cells (Treg). Th2 cells are responsible for indirectly activating the production of TGF-beta.22 In this experiment, the agent was given intra peritoneal and orally. The adhesions were minimal in both groups to which PFD was administered, orally and by intra peritoneal administration (p<0.05). In addition, it was observed that Th2 cells were the ones that decreased the most compared to the control group. The role of the inflammatory process secondary to endothelial damage, through cellular chemotaxis and cytokine production in the formation of abdominal adhesions is known. For this reason, anti-inflammatory agents have been experimented to attenuate this response. Bianchi E et al, conducted a study in 2016, in which they injected intraperitoneally ghrelin, a hormone synthesized mainly by the stomach that was defined as the natural ligand of the secretagouges receptor growth hormone (GHS-R).23-25 Ghrelin has been shown to have an anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic effect in murine models with induced pulmonary fibrosis. A reduction of fibrosis and inflammatory cells was detected in the treated group with ghrelin in relation to the group treated with saline. It was concluded that ghrelin significantly reduced adhesion formation compared to the control group (p<0.001).

Breviscapine is the active component of the flavonoids extracted from Erigeron breviscapus, a common plant in Asia, has demonstrated the ability to attenuate the inflammatory response. Zhang H et al, in 2015 conducted an experiment using breviscapin versus dexamethasone. On day 11 the rats were sacrificed.26 The levels of interleukin (IL) 18, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF-alpha) in blood serum and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta), tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) were determined by immunoassay and of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) in the peritoneal fluid, finding that all of them were decreased in the treated group except for the level of PAI-1, concluding that breviscapin has important anti-inflammatory effects.

| Surgical intervention          | Year | Percentage of adhesions |
|--------------------------------|------|-------------------------|
| Open cholecystectomy           | 2001 | 100                     |
| Laparoscopic cholecystectomy   | 2001 | 55                      |
| Upper abdominal surgery        | 2004 | 70.7                    |
| Lower abdominal surgery        | 2005 | 3.8                     |
| Gynecological surgery          | 2000 | 9                       |
| Open appendectomy              | 2012 | 10.7                    |
| Laparoscopic appendectomy      | 2012 | 0.2                     |


| Agent                                      | Year | Authors                        | Study type                      | Application                                      | Procedure                                      | Decreased adhesion formation             |
|--------------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| **Hydrogels**                              |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| mXG hydrogel                               | 2017 | Zhang E et al\(^{11}\)         | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Cecal abrasion and abdominal wall               | Yes (P<0.001)                            |
| NOCC-AHA hydrogel                          | 2016 | Song L. et al\(^{12}\)         | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.01)                            |
| Gel 4DryField® PH                          | 2015 | Poehnert D et al\(^{13}\)      | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Peritoneal and muscular abrasion                | Yes (p<0.0001)                          |
| Auto-cross linked gel hyaluronic acid       | 2015 | Xiao S et al\(^{14}\)          | Clinical study 120 patients    | Gel application in cavity with Foley catheter   | After adhesiolysis                              | Yes (p<0.0009)                          |
| Polyethylene glycol (PECE Hydrogel)         | 2012 | Yang B et al\(^{15}\)          | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Cecal abrasion and peritoneal                   | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| **Laminar membranes**                      |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| Nanoﬁber membrane PLGA/PEG                 | 2017 | Li J et al\(^{16}\)            | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Autolog peritoneal implant                  | 2017 | Bresson L et al\(^{17}\)       | Experimental with rats         | Cellular barrier                                 | Peritoneal cauterization                        | No (p<0.18)                             |
| Gel lamina                                 | 2017 | Torii H et al\(^{18}\)         | Experimental with rats         | Instillation in damaged area                     | Uterine cauterization                           | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Oxidase cellulose (Interceed) with IUD      | 2017 | Cai H et al\(^{19}\)           | Clinical study 76 patient      | Mechanical barrier                               | Barrier application after adhesiolysis          | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| Hyaluronic acid and carboxymethylcellulose  | 1996 | Diamond MP et al\(^{20}\)      | Multicenter study with 127 patients. | Mechanical barrier                              | Uterine myomectomy                              | Yes (P<0.0001)                         |
| (Seprafilm)                                |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| **Antifibrotic**                           |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| Peristome antisense Oligonucleotide (PAO)   | 2017 | Takai S, et al\(^{21}\)        | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Pirfenidone                                | 2017 | Ozbilgin K, et al\(^{22}\)     | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal and Oral                         | Abdominal wall                                 | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Simvastatine                               | 2016 | Javaherzadeh M et al\(^{23}\)  | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Peritoneal abrasion                             | Yes (p<0.001).                          |
| Neurokinin receptor1 antagonist (NK-R1A)    | 2010 | Gómez-Torres C et al\(^{24}\)  | Experimental with rats         | Peritoneal irrigation                            | Serosa abrasion in cecum, small intestine and parietal peritoneum | Yes (P=0.001) |
| Mytomycin-C (MM-C)                         | 2001 | Cubukçu A et al\(^{25}\)       | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal inyection                        | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (P<0.001).                          |
| **Anti inflammatory-agents**               |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| NaHS (donor of hydrogen sulfide)            | 2017 | Xia Y et al\(^{26}\)           | Experimental with rats         | Subcutaneous                                     | Parietal peritoneum                             | Yes (p<0.01)                            |
| Ghrelin                                    | 2016 | Bianchi E et al\(^{27}\)       | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| Breviscapine                               | 2015 | Zhang H et al\(^{28}\)         | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| **Others**                                 |      |                                |                                |                                                 |                                                 |                                          |
| Lubricine                                  | 2017 | Oh J et al\(^{29}\)            | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion and enterotomy                   | Yes (p=0.001)                           |
| Cetuximab                                  | 2016 | Kurt A et al\(^{30}\)          | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Transverse colectomy and anastomosis            | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| Oxycloreid solution with ClO\(_2\)         | 2014 | Zavala-Rodriguez JM et al\(^{31}\) | Experimental with rats     | Intraperitoneal                                  | Peritoneal abrasion.                            | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Icodextrina 4% (Adept)                     | 2012 | Catena F et al\(^{32}\)        | Clinical study 1 center 181 patients | Intraperitoneal                                  | Adhesiolysis for small bowel obstruction        | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
| Vitamine E                                 | 2009 | Yetkin G et al\(^{33}\)        | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| Amniotic membrane                          | 2009 | Yetkin G et al\(^{34}\)        | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal abrasion                                 | Yes (p<0.001)                           |
| Honey                                      | 2009 | Yuzbasioglu MF et al\(^{35}\)  | Experimental with rats         | Intraperitoneal                                  | Cecal puncture                                 | Yes (p<0.05)                            |
Among the diverse alternatives to prevent the formation of post-operative adhesions,29-34 Zavala-Rodriguez JM, et al, used chlorine dioxide (ClO₂) and demonstrated that concentrations of 40 to 110ppm significantly reduced the formation of adhesions (p<0.05), while solutions of oxychloride containing ClO₂ at 120 or 150ppm did not significantly reduce adhesions compared to the control group.31

Even in the presence of fecal peritonitis, the oxychloride solution (containing ClO₂ at 110ppm) significantly reduced adhesions (p<0.05). The oxychloride solutions containing ClO₂ at 40 or 70ppm did not modify the adhesion score obtained in the control group with contaminated peritoneal cavities. The results of the comparative study showed no difference between ISS, interceed, guardix and seprafilm, while the oxychloride solution significantly reduced the adhesion score (p<0.05). It was concluded that the solution of oxychloride with ClO₂ is effective in the reduction of post-operative adhesions, with the additional advantage that it has anti-septic properties against other commercialized agents which did not significantly reduce post-operative adhesions.

DISCUSSION

The presence of symptoms attributable to the presence of adhesions in the abdominal cavity (i.e. small bowel obstruction), may lead the surgeon to re intervene (lysis of adhesions, bowel resections), the percentage of incidence varies according to the surgical procedure performed (Table 1). And this reintervention will bring surgical risks and eventually, the formation of more adhesions. This motivates the development of new materials and more important, evaluation of this agents in the clinical arena, since most of these agents are only experimental. Currently there is only one chemical approved by the food and drug administration (FDA) to prevent the formation of adhesions: icodextrin 4% (adept), and two mechanical barriers that are similarly approved by the FDA: oxidized regenerated cellulose (Interceed, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) and carboxymethylcellulose hyaluronate (Seprafilm, Sanofi, Paris, France).

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) (Sprayshield, Integra LifeSciences, Plainsboro, NJ), formerly known as Spraygel and Auto-Cross-Linked gel polysaccharide (ACP) (Hyalobarrier, Nordic group, Paris, France) are also available in the European market.10

CONCLUSION

Authors are facing multiple new agents and an increase number of researches focused on the creation of different anti-adhesion agents, many of them in the experimental phase. The formation of peritoneal adhesions is not fully understood, since there are multiple theories and multiple agents with different results, suggesting also, that this process may also be different in every patient, demanding new techniques to individualize the treatments, since some people are more prone to develop more adhesions than others. The creation of an anti-adherent material, which would decrease or abolish the creation of adhesions in the clinical, will revolutionize the treatment for small bowel obstruction and various gastrointestinal pathologies.
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