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Abstract

The DAM-LR project aims at virtually integrating various European language resource archives that allow users to navigate and operate in a single unified domain of language resources. This type of integration introduces Grid technology to the humanities disciplines and forms a federation of archives. It is the basis for establishing a research infrastructure for language resources which will finally enable eHumanities. Currently, the complete architecture is designed based on a few well-known components and some components are already tested. Based on the technological insights gathered and due to discussions within the international DELAMAN network the ethical and organizational basis for such a federation is defined.

1. Introduction

There is a general trend towards the centralized storage of language resources in digital repositories, which we call here language resource archives (LRA). An emerging number of such archives can be seen operating in the areas of field and documentary linguistics and involving institutions such as MPI, SOAS, AILLA, Paradisec and LACITO, as well as in corpus and computational linguistics where, for example, Lund Archive, BAS, INL, TST and ELDA are active. We interpret the task of LRA to include not only long-term data preservation but also, importantly, implementation of services allowing access to and enrichment of existing content. Such services are most likely to be provided via the Internet, especially since network bandwidths are set to increase and make it possible to effectively transfer audio and video streams. Old distribution models using optical disks will only be used in certain cases, such as where large corpora are required for the training and testing of stochastic models.

The Internet also has the potential to integrate fragmented resources. There is no longer any reason for researchers to be confronted by an assortment of idiosyncratic interfaces and access management mechanisms. If co-operating archives have resources for particular languages (or any other resources that researchers might wish to aggregate), they should aim to provide users with a seamless domain for search and access. Creating such a joint domain requires integration and interoperability at a number of levels:

- a common access mechanism so that users can enter the joint domain with a single identity and a single sign on;
- a unified domain of resolving unique resource identifiers;
- a common domain of deep metadata allowing users to locate individual resources and to carry out research queries;
- services allowing users to overcome structural and format differences of resources within and across archives;
- ontology mechanisms that allow users to overcome differences in labeling systems as far as possible.

The last two levels are typically discussed under the heading of the “Semantic Web” and are the subject of many projects such as LIRICS [1] and GOLD [2]. In this paper, however, we focus on the first three levels, which generally fall under the heading of ‘Grid computing’. Initially, Grid computing was driven by high performance computing challenges in natural sciences, and focused on problems such as performing large computations using a number of high performance computers in tandem. In humanities disciplines the focus is not yet on sharing computing power, but rather on virtual integration of increasingly large data repositories. Data integration appeared within the grid community as the Data Grid track [3], and, in addition, much relevant work has been carried out within the Digital Library community. In this paper we will focus on these aspects.

In the domain of language resources, two initiatives have been taken to tackle problems arising when creating a virtual domain of language resource archives:

- the international DELAMAN initiative [4] (Digital Endangered Languages and Music Archives Network) works towards defining and creating a world-wide federation; and
- the EC-funded DAM-LR project [5] (Distributed Access Management for Language Resources), in which the four LRAs involved (MPI Nijmegen, Lund, INL Netherlands, SOAS) are in the process of establishing a federated access system. At present, they have designed a complete architecture and are currently implementing it.

In this paper we discuss plans and results to date of DAM-LR, based on a description of the underlying technologies. Finally, we note that other aspects such as frameworks of trust, ethical and legal operation also need to be addressed to create an effective federation.

2. Federation Technologies

Four technological pillars are essential to the establishment of a federation of archives:

- the international DELAMAN initiative [4] (Digital Endangered Languages and Music Archives Network) works towards defining and creating a world-wide federation; and
- the EC-funded DAM-LR project [5] (Distributed Access Management for Language Resources), in which the four LRAs involved (MPI Nijmegen, Lund, INL Netherlands, SOAS) are in the process of establishing a federated access system. At present, they have designed a complete architecture and are currently implementing it.

In this paper we discuss plans and results to date of DAM-LR, based on a description of the underlying technologies. Finally, we note that other aspects such as frameworks of trust, ethical and legal operation also need to be addressed to create an effective federation.

2. Federation Technologies

Four technological pillars are essential to the establishment of a federation of archives:
1. an integrated metadata domain that allows users to browse and search in a federation-wide metadata catalogue and to create their own workspace by selecting resources from the various archives of the federation.

2. a single resource domain where each resource is identified by a unique resource identifier. This should allow for transparent access to a resource even where multiple instances are held across different federation sites.

3. users need a single identity accepted by all federation members so that a user only needs to authenticate themselves once in a single session in order to access resources at all members' sites.

4. an authorization system is needed that allows archive managers to give federation-wide access to users and groups that have the appropriate rights.

These pillars are based on the existence of a domain of trusted servers and services – each component has to make sure that it is provably authenticated to be the one that it claims to be. As a means of implementing such a trusted domain, the TACAR list [6] of mutually agreed certificates was created, based on the principles of EUGridPMA [7]. In this implementation, national bodies declare that they will accept certificates from each other, with a Public Key Infrastructure [8] used to sign certificates. Every federation member has to apply to their national Certificate Authority to request the status of a Registration Authority. Once recognized as a Registration Authority, sites can request certificates that will be accepted within the EUGridPMA domain.

The second pillar is the creation of a unified domain of unique resource identifiers (URIDs) to provide a stable method for referencing electronic resources. There are many reasons for introducing URIDs. A URID:

- is intended to persist over time
- is independent of the resource location
- is always associated with a unique resource
- can be resolved to multiple copies at different locations

They can be compared with ISBN numbers that are used to uniquely identify published books. The federation partners need a system to create, manage and resolve URIDs. They chose the Handle System [11] which is used by many well-known institutions such as the Library of Congress. To implement URIDs using the Handle System, an institution requests a centrally specified prefix that uniquely identifies its local domain. The institution is then free to specify its own postfix system. The members discussed whether we should adopt a common syntax for the postfixes. Ultimately, it was agreed that while there is no necessity for such a unification, postfix strings should not include semantically significant components.

The federation has agreed to maintain at all sites the access rights statements for a given resource as defined by its originating member. Since URIDs point to the originator's copy of a resource, it was decided that the access authorization information is associated with URIDs, i.e., it will be stored in the Handle System records. The Handle System records will be redundantly stored at multiple sites, but the originating member will have all rights on the copies, i.e., no one else will have control about modifications etc. A view of a Handle System is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 shows a typical Handle System scenario with a Global Handle resolver, different Local Handle Systems that can have various sites and where each site can share the job between different servers. This allows us to implement redundant services and scale up with the amount of requests to be handled.

With respect to metadata interoperability the IMDI metadata infrastructure [9] will be supported for browsing and searching either by using stored IMDI metadata or by creating them on the fly from a local format. IMDI was chosen because it supports not only resource discovery but also resource management which is regarded to be an essential function within federations. Several portals will be made available with full functionality of metadata browsing and searching. For harvesting two methods can be applied: the OAI PMH protocol [10], or harvesting of native IMDI XML metadata.

The federation has agreed to maintain at all sites the access rights statements for a given resource as defined by its originating member. Since URIDs point to the originator's copy of a resource, it was decided that the access authorization information is associated with URIDs, i.e., it will be stored in the Handle System records. The Handle System records will be redundantly stored at multiple sites, but the originating member will have all rights on the copies, i.e., no one else will have control about modifications etc. A view of a Handle System is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 2 shows a typical scenario when using Shibboleth. All interaction is done by making use of the redirect services. The local site has to provide a suitable Access Control System and an Authentication services. Shibboleth is basically used to exchange user credentials in a safe way.
With respect to authentication and authorization the situation is more complex. One widely used contender for implementing these, Shibboleth [12], is excellent in circumstances where users can be described by attributes such as “member of university class X” or “member of staff category Y”. The authentication of the student or staff member is left up to the home institution and the others grant access to resources based on the attributes that specify a class membership. However, for researchers operating autonomously, as will often be the case for our users, this method does not work, because authorization requires institutionally-supplied attributes that identify individuals, such as a unique ID. Other proposals such as using the AAA toolkit [13] that emerged from the Grid community [14], or using LDAP [15] not only for authentication but also for authorization were of interest. All these frameworks have advantages and disadvantages. Finally, the fact that Shibboleth has already received wide acceptance in the Digital Library domain influenced our choice. The interaction path for Shibboleth is shown in Figure 2.

The federation partners agreed that user management will be performed at the home site and that only limited data about users will be exchanged. The prototypical system will support Open LDAP management since it has many useful features, it is already widely used in the academic world and it offers an interface to Shibboleth. LDAP also has the advantage of providing a simple solution to the problem of authorizing autonomous (non-institutional) users. Large institutions such as universities decide about user accounts, resources and rights at a very high level. These policies will differ from the requirements within a federation. LDAP provides a simple way to setup a local departmental LDAP service that is based on the institutional user information (filtered dynamic copy), but that also allows the department to add other users and to manage other attributes. Each federation partner is free to setup their own authentication system; however, all communicating interfaces have to be consistent across the federation.

A few components have to be added to make the architecture complete. Firstly, an Access Control System will be developed. This system will recognize requests to protected resources, redirect these requests to the Shibboleth component, and then compare the user credentials returned by Shibboleth with the appropriate records from the URID record in order to finally decide about access permission. To implement such a component we can re-use application servers such as TOMCAT [16] including components from existing software libraries. Another major component that has to be added is a management system that allows the archive manager to efficiently add new users, manipulate access policies and permissions etc. This component is specific to the DAM-LR requirements so we will develop our own, with the federation partners taking care of archive-local specific architectures.

Figure 3 shows a complete architecture for a typical scenario where a user wants to access a single resources using a web browser. For more complex access scenarios which involve using applications such as ANNEX [17] and LEXUS [18] to access multiple files, adaptations have to be carried out to support working on a basket of resources which may come from different repositories.

3. Federation of Archives

So far we have described a number of essential aspects in implementing a system for presenting unified access to resources across a number of LRAs. However, such a federated system has to be built on more than just technology. A federation has to be based on factors such as:

- a shared mission to provide integrated services;
- mutual trust that the participating LRAs follow agreed operating rules, such as about the management of user accounts, and respecting access conditions formulated by the originating LRA;
- ethical and legal rules in regard to exchanging and disseminating data;
- practical definitions such as the user attributes to be held and exchanged.

Currently, DAM-LR includes only four LRAs. However, when DAM-LR becomes operational, we assume others may wish to join the federation; not only some other LRA institutions, but also large institutions such as universities that have many users but no shared language resources. We may need to be ready to admit some such institutions into the federation if they adhere to the same set of rules.

Figure 3 shows the architecture of accessing one resource from repository Y by a user homed at institution X and working with a normal HTTP browser. The figure also indicates the interaction between the different components involved. It has to be noted here that a streaming server has to be integrated to support access to...

4. Conclusions

The DAM-LR partners are convinced that archive federations are essential on the way for realizing an eScience scenario for linguistics. In doing so federations...
of language resource archives form an utterly important part of a research infrastructure that will lend services not only to linguists in the wide sense, but also to a wide number of disciplines in the humanities. They will also link up to archives that house for example ethnological, historical resources and many others. Due to the virtual integration of archives it is obvious that federations will bring an added value to the researcher.

We see DAM-LR not only as a test-bed for the integration technology, but also as a way to establish a usable robust domain of services and servers that may be extended by other archives joining later. Since DAM-LR is – as far as we know – the first project in the humanities that applies Grid-type of technology on a supra-national scale, it will have a great impact on establishing stable research infrastructures in the humanities. Even beyond this we can say that due to our discussions on an international scale within the DELAMAN framework the experience gathered in DAM-LR will be very influential for proposals in other countries such as the US and Australia and for initiatives that cross the European borders. Already now we are in discussion with centers oversees to become LRA and to join a federation.
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