Comment on “Entanglement on Demand through Time Reordering”

In a recent Letter [1], Avron et al. discuss a time reordering scheme to achieve efficient “across-generation” of entangled photon pairs in a semiconductor quantum dot with a suppressed biexciton binding energy [2]. They demonstrate that the scheme can be implemented using time delay between the generated photons. In this Comment, we derive an exact expression for the concurrence of the time delayed photons, and show that the predicted values by Avron et al. [1] are not correct, which stems from an approximation used in their approximate theoretical analysis, namely their Eq. (16). We present the corrected result for time delayed photons, and predict that the nominal concurrence for generated photons is 0.5 and never above 0.73 (cf. 0.78 and 1, by Avron et al. [1]), even if one optimally manipulates the biexciton and exciton decay rates. We also discuss a conditional method for achieving higher values of entanglement in this scheme.

The state of the photon pair emitted in biexciton-exciton cascade decay is given by 
\[ |\psi\rangle = \sum_{k,l} [c_{kl}|1_k\rangle_x|1_l\rangle_x + d_{kl}|1_k\rangle_y|1_l\rangle_y], \]
where in each term the first and second kets represent the photon generated in the biexciton and the exciton decays, respectively, and the suffix labels the polarization. The coefficients \( c \) and \( d \) are given by
\[
c_{kl} = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma_u \Gamma/2\pi^2}}{(\omega_k + \omega_l - \omega_u + i\Gamma_u)(\omega_l - \omega_{x[y]} + i\Gamma)}, \tag{1}
\]
where for perfect color matching, the biexciton frequency \( \omega_u \) is equal to the sum of the exciton frequencies \( \omega_x \) and \( \omega_y \), and \( \Gamma_u \) and \( \Gamma \) are the spectral half widths of the biexciton and exciton, respectively. The normalized off-diagonal element of the density matrix is given by
\[
\gamma = \int \int c_{kl}^* d_{kl} W_{\text{opt}} (\omega_k, \omega_l) d\omega_k d\omega_l, \tag{2}
\]
where \( W_{\text{opt}} = \exp\{i \tan^{-1}[(\omega_l - \omega_x)/\Gamma] - i \tan^{-1}[(\omega_k - \omega_y)/\Gamma]\} \) is an additional phase inserted by Avron et al. [1] for optimizing value of \( \gamma \). Expanding the inverse tan terms, one gets \( W_{\text{opt}} = \exp[i(\omega_l - \omega_x)/\Gamma - i(\omega_k - \omega_y)/\Gamma + \cdots] \), where the ‘\( \cdots \)’ labels higher-order terms. Any practical scheme to achieve additional phase \( W_{\text{opt}} \), as also highlighted by Avron et al. [1], would use a simple optical delay for the photons that are generated in the biexciton cascade. This corresponds to precisely the first-order expansion above, but not the full expression (cf. the approach used in [1])—which would be tantamount to an almost impossible
FIG. 1: (color online) (a) Optimum value of $|\gamma|$ corresponding to time delay $\Gamma t_0 = \Gamma \ln(1 + \Gamma_u/2\Gamma)/\Gamma_u$ (solid), where the dashed curve shows the optimum values predicted by Avron et al. [1]. (b) The value of $|\gamma|$ for filtered photon pairs, with $\Gamma_u/\Gamma = 2$; the filter function corresponds to a spectral window of width $w = 10\Gamma$ (blue), $w = 4\Gamma$ (red); the black curve shows results without spectral filter. The conditional probability of photon pair generation is 64% (for $w = 10\Gamma$) and 33% (for $w = 4\Gamma$).

delay scheme. Neglecting constant phases, and introducing an optical delay, $t_0$, we choose $W_{opt} = \exp[-i(\omega_k - \omega_l)t_0]$ in Eq. (2), and find:

$$\gamma = \frac{2\Gamma e^{-2\Gamma t_0}}{\Gamma_u} (1 - e^{-\Gamma_u t_0}),$$

which implies that $\gamma$ is maximum for $\Gamma t_0 = \Gamma \ln(1 + \Gamma_u/2\Gamma)/\Gamma_u$. The concurrence for the generated state of photons $|\psi\rangle$ equals to $2|\gamma|$. For $\Gamma_u/\Gamma = 2$, the maximum value of $\gamma$ is only 0.25, which is about 30% less than the values predicted by Avron et al. [1] (see Fig. 1(a)). Further, for $\Gamma_u/\Gamma \to 0$, the maximum value of $\gamma = 1/e$ thus corresponds to $\Gamma t_0 = 0.5$. Similar values have also been reported using a numerical optimization approach [3].

Finally, we point out that the entanglement can be distilled by using a frequency filter with two spectral windows of width $w$ centered at the frequencies $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$. The normalized value of $\gamma$ for filtered photons can be computed by integrating over the projection operator of the filter [4]. In Fig. 1(b), we confirm that significantly larger values of entanglement in this time reordering scheme can be achieved by using spectral filter; however, this is at the expense of a reduced probability.
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