Theoretical designing of non-fullerene derived organic heterocyclic compounds with enhanced nonlinear optical amplitude: a DFT based prediction
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In current era, non-fullerene (NF) chromophores have been reported as significant NLO materials due to promising optoelectronic properties. Therefore, a series of NF based chromophores abbreviated as TPBD2-TPBD6 with D–π–A architecture was designed from the reference compound (TPBR1) by its structural tailoring with an efficient donor and various acceptor groups for the first time. First, the structures of said compounds were optimized at M06-2X/6-311G (d,p) level. Further, the optimized structures were utilized to execute frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs), UV–Visible (UV–Vis) absorption, density of states (DOS) and transition density matrix (TDM) analyses at the same level to understand the non-linear (NLO) response of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6. Promising NLO results were achieved for all derivatives i.e., the highest amplitude of linear polarizability ($\alpha$), first ($\beta_{total}$) and second ($\gamma_{total}$) hyperpolarizabilities than their parent molecule. The compound TPBD3 was noted with the most significant NLO properties as compared to the standard molecule. The structural modeling approach by utilizing the acceptor molecules has played a prominent role in attaining favorable NLO responses in the molecules. Thus, our study has tempted the experimentalists to synthesize the proposed NLO materials for the modern optoelectronic high-tech applications.

Organic compounds possessing second and third-order NLO properties are the subject of great interest because of their various applications in the field of telecommunication and optical data processing1. Organic NLO materials show the following characteristics: (i) NLO susceptibilities, (ii) optical clarity, (iii) thermal stability and (iv) solubility via structural modifications. For this purpose, a sufficient knowledge of the molecular structure is required to elucidate the relationship among various parts of the studied compound2. The sophisticated modifications in the molecular structures owing to the synthetic tools of organic chemistry result in the fine tuning of optical properties. Intramolecular charge transference (ICT), HOMO–LUMO band gaps, transmission of electron density via π-linkers, electronic dipole moments and excited electronic transition states are the most notable features of NLO materials3. HOMO–LUMO band gaps are influenced by extended conjugation of the donor–π–acceptor based compounds which is one of the major characteristics of an organic molecule. For this, suitable donor, π-spacer or acceptor are incorporated to achieve the desired NLO active molecular configuration4. The donor–π–acceptor configured organic compounds are reported with remarkable first ($\beta_{total}$) and second ($\gamma_{total}$) hyperpolarizabilities5.

1Institute of Chemistry, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering & Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan 64200, Pakistan. 2Centre for Theoretical and Computational Research, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering & Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan 64200, Pakistan. 3Institute of Chemical Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan. 4Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia. 5Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia. 6Departamento de Química Fundamental, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 748, São Paulo 05508-000, Brazil. 7Department of Chemistry, Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan. *email: muhammad.khalid@kfueit.edu.pk; Khalid@iq.usp.br
Among a large variety of organic materials, fullerenes and their derivatives are also regarded as the most effective NLO molecules in addition to their role as an organic solar cell8. Fullerenes are electron deficient 3-dimensional cage like π-conjugated structures possess active NLO responses due to delocalization of the electronic charge. The fullerene C_{60} derivatives (2a, 2b and 2c) are designed using pyrroleidine and tetrafluorafulvalene moieties. Robust second-order and third-order NLO properties are exhibited by compound 2c with $\beta_{\text{total}} = 15.69 \times 10^{-36}$ esu and $\gamma > 284.29 \times 10^{-36}$ esu, respectively. The $\gamma$ value was about three times higher than the parent compound. The study revealed the importance of π-conjugation in tuning the NLO properties of donor–π–acceptor fullerene compounds9. Though there are several important applications of fullerenes in optoelectronics and in nonlinear optics, however, there are some drawbacks that persist in this early class of compounds. These include; weaker absorption in the visible and NIR regions; thermal and photochemical instability; non-tunable energies of LUMO and less absorption of sunlight. An idea to replace fullerene-based electron acceptors has revolutionized the field of material sciences to a great extent. There is a need of more efficient opto-electronic materials for which non-fullerene acceptors (NFAs) is an emerging area especially in the field of organic semiconductors10. They have facile synthesis, wide optical simulation, suitable structural morphology, tunable band gaps and strong light absorption capabilities as compared to fullerene derivatives11-12.

Owing to their stable nature, their optoelectronic properties can be modified for obtaining promising results. Khalid et al. designed dipyrrolo[2,3-b:20,30-e]pyrazine2,6 (1H,5H)-dione (PzDP) based small molecular non-fullerene acceptor moieties with A1–π–A2–π–A1 configuration and performed their quantum chemical study. Interestingly, higher open circuit voltage with wider absorption values are recorded. Hence, small molecular NFAs proved as efficient materials for optics and electronics13. According to our best knowledge, very few NLO based work has been reported regarding NFAs up till now14-16. Not long since, NFAs have appeared an interesting area of modern NLO study and this research paper would be another contribution in elucidating non-linear optical properties of the selected NFA molecule and its derivatives17. The literature includes a variety of structural frameworks such as donor–acceptor, donor–π-linker-acceptor, donor–π–acceptor–π–donor, donor–π–π–acceptor, and donor–acceptor–π–spacer–acceptor19,20. These push–pull schemes accelerate the range of penetration towards greater wavelengths, decrease the HOMO–LUMO energy differences and extend delocalization of electrons, consequently exhibiting a good NLO behavior. Anna et al.determined the NLO properties of push–pull tetracene using hyper-Rayleigh scattering technique with the help of femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser. The derivative (1d) with the strongest push–pull mechanism is found with highest NLO activity. Moreover, the same compound exhibited the least HOMO–LUMO energy gap (4.97 eV) which leaded to efficient charge transfer21. Similarly, another series of push–pull porphyrins having triphenylamine (TPA) and dicyanovinyl (DCN) groups have been synthesized and characterized using various spectroscopic techniques and DFT studies for calculating their third-order NLO properties. Surprisingly, the push–pull chromophores exhibited bathochromic shifts (21–48 nm and 38–80 nm) which is consistent with enhanced resonance due to TPA and –I effect of DCN group. The two-photon absorption coefficients ($\beta$) are found in the range of $0.87 \times 10^{-13}$ to $4.28 \times 10^{-13}$ m W$^{-1}$ while, the effect of DCN group. Interestingly, higher open circuit voltage with wider absorption values are recorded. Hence, small molecular NFAs proved as efficient materials for optics and electronics13. According to our best knowledge, very few NLO based work has been reported regarding NFAs up till now14-16. Not long since, NFAs have appeared an interesting area of modern NLO study and this research paper would be another contribution in elucidating non-linear optical properties of the selected NFA molecule and its derivatives17. The literature includes a variety of structural frameworks such as donor–acceptor, donor–π-linker-acceptor, donor–π–acceptor–π–donor, donor–π–π–acceptor, and donor–acceptor–π–spacer–acceptor19,20. These push–pull schemes accelerate the range of penetration towards greater wavelengths, decrease the HOMO–LUMO energy differences and extend delocalization of electrons, consequently exhibiting a good NLO behavior. Anna et al.determined the NLO properties of push–pull tetracene using hyper-Rayleigh scattering technique with the help of femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser. The derivative (1d) with the strongest push–pull mechanism is found with highest NLO activity. Moreover, the same compound exhibited the least HOMO–LUMO energy gap (4.97 eV) which leaded to efficient charge transfer21. Similarly, another series of push–pull porphyrins having triphenylamine (TPA) and dicyanovinyl (DCN) groups have been synthesized and characterized using various spectroscopic techniques and DFT studies for calculating their third-order NLO properties. Surprisingly, the push–pull chromophores exhibited bathochromic shifts (21–48 nm and 38–80 nm) which is consistent with enhanced resonance due to TPA and –I effect of DCN group. The two-photon absorption coefficients ($\beta$) are found in the range of $0.87 \times 10^{-13}$ to $4.28 \times 10^{-13}$ m W$^{-1}$ while, the nonlinear refractive index ($n_2$) in the range of $1.21 \times 10^{-10}$ to $7.36 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$ W$^{-1}$. The results revealed them as potential candidates in the nonlinear optics and photonic devices22. Keeping in view the above discussion, herein, we have taken BDTN-Th as a parent non-fullerene acceptor molecule23 and modified it into a new reference compound (TPBR1): 2-{[(Z)-5-{[(Z)-4-(dicyanomethylene)-6-oxo-4H-cyclopenta[c]thiophen-5(6H)-ylidene)methyl]-4-isobutyl-4H-thieno[3,2-b]pyrrole-6-yl]thiophen}-4-isobutyl-5,8-dimethoxy-4H-benz[4,5]-thieno[3,2-b]thieno[2,3-d]pyrrol-2-yl)methylene]-6-oxo-5,6-dihydro-4H-cyclopenta[c]thiophen-4-ylidine) malononitrile24. After literature review, structural tailoring of TPBR1 is done by modifying the one end capped acceptor with a strong donor (Dibenzo-Tetraazafulvalene i.e. Dibenzo-TAF)24 having an IUPAC name as 14,15-dimethyl-7,8,14,15-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[4,5]imidazo[1,2-a]benzo[4,5]imidazo[2,1-c][1,4]diazepine, and various kinds of strong electron withdrawing acceptor moieties on the other end in order to achieve strong push–pull configuration. A DFT based study for TPBR1 and its derivatives has been presented in this research paper. It is anticipated that our NLO-based study on NFAs may not only fulfill the above mentioned research gap but also provides a new pathway for researchers in further exploration of NLO behavior.

Computational procedure

In present investigation, non-fullerene based acceptor type chromophores (TPBD2-TPBD6) were designed with D–π–A configuration by structural tailoring with various vigorous acceptor units. The geometries of the TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 were optimized at M06-2X functional25 and 6-311G(d,p) basis set at ground state using DFT approach. The 6-311G(d,p) basis set (a split-valence triple-zeta basis plus d, p polarization functions on non-hydrogen and hydrogen, respectively)28 was a hybrid method. To exploit the NLO behavior of afore-mentioned chromophores various quantum chemical investigations like frontier molecular orbital (FMO), density of states (DOS) and absorption properties were executed utilizing the Gaussian 09 program package27. For the investigation of solvent effect, conductor like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) was utilized28. A variety of software were employed to get the reliable information of entitled compounds which included Gaussian28, Avogadro29, Chemcraft30, Multiwfn32 and Gauss View 5.0 33 were utilized for interpreting the results from output files. To determine the chemical reactivity of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6, global reactivity parameters (GRPs) were calculated from their HOMO–LUMO energy band gaps34. The quantitative analysis of D–π–A architecture was calculated from their HOMO–LUMO energy band gaps34. The quantitative analysis of D–π–A architecture was performed in DOS in order to support the the information obtained by frontier molecular orbitals. The NLO properties like average polarizability ($\alpha$), first hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{\text{total}}$)35 and second hyperpolarizability ($\gamma_{\text{total}}$) were estimated as the above-mentioned functional.
Results and discussion

Structural designing of D–π–A species. The present quantum chemical study envisages some efficient non-fullerene based D–π–A infrastructures as NLO probes with fused heterocyclic component as an essential part of every structure, are schematically represented in Fig. 1 and the optimized structures are presented in Fig. 2 in the supplementary information (SI). The reference (TPBR1) is prepared by side-chain modifications in the parent (BDTN-Th) molecule23 as shown in the Fig. 1 in order to avoid computational cost. An exceptionally strong donor moiety i.e. Dibenzo-Tetraazafulvalene (Dibenzo-TAF)24 is utilized along with a central heterocyclic fused ring structure (functioning as a π-core) are retained in all derivatives. The Dibenzo-TAF has been regarded as one of the “Super-Electron Donors” and acts as an aromatic stabilized cation as well as possesses electron-donating nitrogen atoms both of which can assist in the electron transfer36. The compound regarded as one of the “Super-Electron Donors” and acts as an aromatic stabilized cation as well as possesses electron-donating nitrogen atoms both of which can assist in the electron transfer36. The compound TPBR1 is a D–π–A type which is structurally modified into D–π–A derivatives (TPBD2-TPBD6). The first derivative (TPBD2) is formed by introducing the donor moiety at its one acceptor end, while the other end-capped acceptor is retained. The rest of the compounds (TPBD3, TPBD4, TPBD5 and TPBD6) are designed with same D–π–A configuration by modifying the vigorous acceptor moieties keeping the same donor group as that in TPBD2. The Fig. S3 represents the structures of all acceptors along with their IUPAC names which are employed in the structural modeling. A schematic representation of the entitled molecules is presented in Fig. 2. It is anticipated that they may function as innovative NLO systems in research and technology. Moreover, literature survey has revealed that acceptor species are important in tuning the energy band gap (Eg), and absorption wavelength (λmax) of a compound37. Therefore, we have designed five derivatives abbreviated as TPBD2-TPBD6 from a parent chromophore (TPBR1).

The following computed parameters demonstrated the influence of acceptor and donor moieties on the NLO response: (i) energy band gap (Eg); (ii) absorption wavelength (λmax); (iii) global reactivity parameters like softness, hardness, electronegativity etc.; (iv) density of states (DOS); (v) hole-electron indices; (vi) linear polarizability (α); (vii) first hyperpolarizability (βeff) and (viii) second hyperpolarizability (γeff). It is anticipated that our designed chromophores will act as an effective photonic material that expresses marvelous NLO properties.

Electronic study. The frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) analysis reveals the electron density distribution pattern for the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)38. The Fig. 3 illustrates the electron density over HOMOs and LUMOs of the compounds under discussion. The energy band gap (Eg = Eg = Ee) obtained from the said analysis is of prime importance in the quantum chemical investigation of materials possessing non-linear optical properties38,40 and are shown in Table 1. The main orbitals (HOMO/LUMO) are shown in Fig. S4 and the other orbitals (HOMO−1/LUMO + 1 and HOMO−2/LUMO + 2) along with their respective energy gaps are presented in Fig. S5 in the supplementary information part.

The HOMO is depicted as the region with high electron donating ability i.e., donor, while the LUMO is found on an electron deficient part of molecule i.e., acceptor moiety and some portion of π-spacer41. The pictorial display is shown with red (negative) and blue (positive) colored regions to elaborate the electronic distribution pattern during the intramolecular charge transfer. A similar distribution pattern of HOMO and LUMO is seen to the absence of a strong donor. The experimentally determined values of HOMO/LUMO (− 5.50/− 3.85 eV)18 in case of TPBR1 show harmony with DFT values (− 6.44/− 2.83 eV) which indicated the suitable selection of functional for computational analysis. The lower band gaps in all the tailored compounds (2.995–2.317 eV)
as compared to reference molecule (3.612 eV) defend their reactive nature with enhanced NLO effects. The compound TPBD5 showed the $E_{	ext{gap}}$ of 2.995 eV which is reduced owing to the presence of D–π–A framework that is absent in TPBR1. This energy gap is further reduced in TPBD4 i.e., 2.955 eV which is attributed to the presence of a stronger DMF (Fig. S3) acceptor species in the side chain of molecule. Further reduction in the band gap is examined, such as 2.760 and 2.367 eV for TPBD2 and TPBD6, respectively. In fact, the structure of TPBD2 is fabricated via the replacement of two fluoro (–F) groups present in TPBD4 with two chloro (–Cl) in...
the vicinity of an acceptor moiety i.e., DCF. Similarly, the compound TPBD6 is designed with another acceptor named as NMF which has incorporated stronger electronegative –NO\textsubscript{2} groups. As it is expected that inductive effect (–I effect) is directly related to the electronegativity of species which greatly influences the electronic charge transfer towards the acceptor moiety. The –F group is found to be more electronegative than –Cl group. Simultaneously, both –F and –Cl groups are electron donating due to resonance (–Cl > –F) and their inductive effect may compete with the resonance effect\textsuperscript{43}. However, the reduction in the band gap of TPBD2 and TPBD6 may be due to (i) better orbital matching, (ii) resonance effect and (iii) particular geometry of the incorporated acceptor species (DCF and NMF, respectively). Among theoretically designed derivatives, we have predicted TPBD3 with least band gap (2.317 eV) is regarded as the most suitable NLO material encompassing highly electron withdrawing acceptor DCN (Fig. S3). The band gap of TPBD3 is found to be 1.559 times less than the $E_{gap}$ of reference molecule. The reduction in energy gap is indicative to the combined effect of strong electronegative groups like nitro (–NO\textsubscript{2}) and chloro (–Cl) in the acceptor part of TPBD3. Thus, a strong electron push–pull force is generated in the molecule leading to fast electron transfer and rapid response as compared to the other derivatives. Hence, the decreasing order of HOMO–LUMO band gaps in the entitled compounds is as follows: TPBR1 > TPBD5 > TPBD4 > TPBD2 > TPBD6 > TPBD3. Overall, a significant assistance of charge transference is observed in the designed derivatives as compared to the reference molecule which is a benchmark in their polarizable nature as proficient and reactive NLO materials.

Global reactivity parameters (GRPs) analysis. The global reactivity descriptors such as electron affinity (EA), ionization potential (IP), electronegativity ($X$), global softness ($\eta$), electrophilicity index ($\mu$), global hardness ($\eta$) and chemical potential ($\mu$) are calculated for TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 by utilizing the energies of FMOs ($E_{gap} = E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}$)\textsuperscript{44,45}. Therefore, HOMOs and LUMOs are supplementary in forecasting the chemical nature of a molecule. The $IP$ and $EA$ are estimated by using the following Equations.

\[
IP = -E_{HOMO}
\]

\[
EA = -E_{LUMO}
\]

The chemical hardness\textsuperscript{46}, chemical potential, electronegativity\textsuperscript{47}, global softness and electrophilicity index\textsuperscript{48} are determined by employing the Koopman’s theorem\textsuperscript{49,50}. The findings of global reactivity descriptors are computed and confined in Table 2.

\[
X = \frac{|IP + EA|}{2}
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{|IP - EA|}{2}
\]

\[
\mu = \frac{E_{HOMO} + E_{LUMO}}{2}
\]
The hardness, chemical potential and stability of a molecule have direct relation with the band gap shown in Tables 3 and 4 and their absorption spectra in chloroform as well as in gaseous phase is shown in Fig. 3. 

| Compounds | DFT $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | $E_e$ (eV) | $f_{10}$ | Major MO contributions (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| TPBR1     | 568.214                       | 2.182     | 2.392   | H $\rightarrow$ L (78%)  |
| TPBD2     | 542.862                       | 2.284     | 1.224   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (25%), H $\rightarrow$ L (65%) |
| TPBD3     | 571.145                       | 2.171     | 1.466   | H-3 $\rightarrow$ L (16%), H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (65%) |
| TPBD4     | 504.637                       | 2.457     | 1.254   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (28%), H $\rightarrow$ L (59%)  |
| TPBD5     | 497.309                       | 2.493     | 1.360   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (31%), H $\rightarrow$ L (54%)  |
| TPBD6     | 532.807                       | 2.327     | 0.883   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L + 1 (77%), H-1 $\rightarrow$ L + 3 (11%) |

Table 3. Computed absorption responses of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 in chloroform solvent phase.

| Compounds | $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) | $E_e$ (eV) | $f_{10}$ | Major MO contributions (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| TPBR1     | 547.488                       | 2.265     | 1.920   | H $\rightarrow$ L (76%)  |
| TPBD2     | 483.897                       | 2.562     | 1.175   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (59%), H $\rightarrow$ L (24%) |
| TPBD3     | 534.668                       | 2.319     | 1.472   | H-3 $\rightarrow$ L (13%), H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (70%) |
| TPBD4     | 458.844                       | 2.702     | 0.991   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (55%), H $\rightarrow$ L (26%) |
| TPBD5     | 460.326                       | 2.693     | 1.018   | H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (55%), H $\rightarrow$ L (25%) |
| TPBD6     | 527.211                       | 2.352     | 1.408   | H-3 $\rightarrow$ L (13%), H-1 $\rightarrow$ L (70%) |

Table 4. Computed absorption responses of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 in gas phase.

The ionization potential demonstrates the electron donating and accepting capabilities (the energy required to transmit an electron from HOMO). The $IP$ values of derivatives are examined to be smaller than that of their parent chromophore which refer the easier releasing of electrons from designed chromophores (TPBD2-TPBD6) with smaller energy required to make them polarized than TPBR1. Interestingly, TPBR1 manifested larger value of $EA$ (2.832 eV) than quinoline–carbazole chromophores (1.07 eV) which supported the acceptor nature of this non-fullerene chromophore. Further, all the designed compounds expressed the comparable values of $EA$ with TPBR1 which elucidated their greater electron accepting nature. This might be due to the presence of robust electron-acceptor moieties (DCF, DCN, DMF, FMF and NMF, respectively). Greater values of $\sigma$ (2.832 eV) than quinoline–carbazole molecules also supported the aforesaid statement. The chemical potential ($\mu$) is correlated to the reactivity and stability of the compounds. The hardness, chemical potential and stability of a molecule have direct relation with the band gap while the reactivity has an inverse relationship. Therefore, a compound with larger energy gap is evaluated to be harder with more kinetic stability and least reactivity. However, the compound with smaller band gap is observed to be softer, highly reactive and less stable. The overall decreasing order of softness values is: TPBD3 > TPBD6 > TPBD2 > TPBD4 > TPBD5 > TPBR1 which is exactly opposite to the hardness, chemical potential and band gap. A relatively smaller values of hardness ($\eta = 0.334–0.432$ eV) are seen in TPBR1 as compared to TPBD1 ($\eta = 1.086$ eV and $\sigma = 0.227$ eV). This might be because of vigorous acceptor units that made the derivatives more reactive as well as polarized and less stable which might attained probable NLO aptitude.

The $\sigma$ and $\omega$ values are given by the following equations:

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{2\eta}
\]

(6)

\[
\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta}
\]

(7)

The ionization potential demonstrates the electron donating and accepting capabilities (the energy required to transmit an electron from HOMO). The $IP$ values of derivatives are examined to be smaller than that of their parent chromophore which refer the easier releasing of electrons from designed chromophores (TPBD2-TPBD6) with smaller energy required to make them polarized than TPBR1. Interestingly, TPBR1 manifested larger value of $EA$ (2.832 eV) than quinoline–carbazole chromophores (1.07 eV) which supported the acceptor nature of this non-fullerene chromophore. Further, all the designed compounds expressed the comparable values of $EA$ with TPBR1 which elucidated their greater electron accepting nature. This might be due to the presence of robust electron-acceptor moieties (DCF, DCN, DMF, FMF and NMF, respectively). Greater values of electronegativity and electrophilicity index of entitled chromophores than quinoline–carbazole molecules also supported the aforesaid statement. The chemical potential ($\mu$) is correlated to the reactivity and stability of the compounds. The hardness, chemical potential and stability of a molecule have direct relation with the band gap while the reactivity has an inverse relationship. Therefore, a compound with larger energy gap is evaluated to be harder with more kinetic stability and least reactivity. However, the compound with smaller band gap is observed to be softer, highly reactive and less stable. The overall decreasing order of softness values is: TPBD3 > TPBD6 > TPBD2 > TPBD4 > TPBD5 > TPBR1 which is exactly opposite to the hardness, chemical potential and band gap. A relatively smaller values of hardness ($\eta = 0.334–0.432$ eV) are seen in TPBD2-TPBD6 as compared to TPBR1 ($\eta = 1.086$ eV and $\sigma = 0.227$ eV). This might be because of vigorous acceptor units that made the derivatives more reactive as well as polarized and less stable which might attained probable NLO aptitude.

UV–Vis analysis. In order to calculate the electronic excitation spectra, UV–Vis analysis for entitled chromophores was carried out in chloroform and gas phase employing TD-DFT computations using M062X/6-311G(d,p) functional. UV–Vis spectral analysis provides a useful insight of the nature of electronic transitions, probability of charge transfers and contributing configurations in all of the studied molecules. Moreover, the study builds a relationship between the chemical structures of derivatives and their performance as efficient NLO material. By performing TD-DFT computations, six lowest singlet–singlet allowed transitions are evaluated for TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 and results are confined in Tables S2–S13 while some major results are shown in Tables 3 and 4 and their absorption spectra in chloroform as well as in gaseous phase is shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the influence of bridging core unit and acceptor moieties are investigated on the spectral properties of molecules under study.

It is expected that the polar medium elaborated that in $\pi–\pi^*$ state stabilization connected with the $n–\pi^*$ state is intended to be achieved by the use of appropriate electrical levels. Usually, energy of interactions of a chromophore in chloroform solvent is explicated by the effects of polarity and non-covalent interactions (NCIs). Therefore, the dipole–dipole interactions and hydrogen bonding are significant in the stabilization of first singlet

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{2\eta}
\]

(6)

\[
\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta}
\]

(7)
energy level of a molecule\(^{46}\). It has been generally observed that the solvent polarity induces a bathochromic shift in the absorption wavelength. Since, excited state is regarded more polar than the ground state and hence, more stabilizing the excited state as compared to ground state in chloroform\(^{61}\). The data from the above table exploited that TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 show absorbance in the UV–Visible region. For TPBR1 the simulated \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) is observed as 568.214 and 547.488 nm in chloroform and gas phase, respectively. Similarly, the calculated maximum absorbance values for TPBD2-TPBD6 show greater bathochromic shift (571.145–497.309 nm) in polar solvent (chloroform) than that of gaseous phase (534.668–458.844 nm) as exhibited in Fig. 4. This might be because of the solvent effect as explained above.

Among TPBD2-TPBD6 derivatives, it is apparent the highest value of \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) is observed in TPBD3 i.e. 571.145 and 534.668 nm with an oscillator strength (\(f_s\)) of 1.466 and 1.472 in chloroform solvent and gas phase, respectively. Availability of strong electron withdrawing nitro (–NO\(_2\)) and chloro (–Cl) groups on the acceptor region and extended conjugation might be the reason behind this red shift in TPBD3. Consequently, it has the smaller value of excitation energy (2.171 and 2.319 eV) as wavelength and excitation energy have inverse relation to each other. Conversely, TPBD5 compound has shown the lowest \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of 497.309 nm along with the highest excitation energy and oscillator strength of 2.493 eV and 1.360, respectively in the chloroform solvent. While, in a gas phase, the minimum value of \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) and maximum transition energy is observed for TPBD4 (458.844 nm and 2.702 eV). A comparative study of maximum absorption wavelengths of all investigated compounds has revealed the following decreasing order: TPBD3 > TPBR1 > TPBD6 > TPBD4 > TPBD5 in chloroform solvent and TPBR1 > TPBD3 > TPBD6 > TPBD2 > TPBD5 in gas phase. The small drop in \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) value stemmed from the replacement of toxic –CN group in the terminal regions of TPBR1 with –CF\(_3\), –NO\(_2\), –F and –Cl in the derivatives posing environmental friendly compounds with less toxic impact\(^{62}\). Another crucial parameter to evaluate the performance of NLO materials is the excitation or transition energy\(^{63}\). It has been seen in literature that molecule having lower excitation energy possess greater charge transport ability. The presented TD-DFT calculations support our claim that the chromophores studied with the highest \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) and lowest excitation energy values, appeared to be a suitable candidate for potential NLO applications.

**Hole-electron analysis.** In the hole-electron analysis, an excited electron, in the hole region, leaves the hole to the electron region, is a hole-to electron excitation\(^{64–66}\). Data of hole-electron investigation is utilized to estimate the degree of separation and extent of distance between electronic distributions and hole (shown by \(t\) and \(D\) indices, respectively). Extent of overlap among hole and electron is calculated by \(S\) surface index, whereas, electron–hole distribution range is calculated by \(H\) index. The coulomb energy of attraction among the
The Fig. 6a in manuscript displayed a graphical representation of hole and electron surfaces are presented in the Fig. 4. The hole-electron investigation report $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation in TPBD3 needs less energy i.e. 1.92 eV demonstrating easily excitations favored by $–\text{NO}_2$ and $–\text{Cl}$ groups relative to $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitations for TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 excitation possessing highest $f_{\text{osc}}$ $(S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitations) are used and their indices are exhibited in Table 5 while hole + electron surfaces are presented in the Fig. 4. The hole-electron investigation report $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation in TPBD3 needs less energy i.e. 1.92 eV demonstrating easily excitations favored by $–\text{NO}_2$ and $–\text{Cl}$ groups relative to $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitations for TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 excitation possessing energies of 2.18, 2.28, 2.46, 2.49 and 1.97 eV, respectively. Interestingly, in all derivatives for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation, a huge separation among distributions of hole and electron than that of reference compound as these chromophores exhibited the high $D$ and $t$ index values (see Table 5). Further the distribution surface plot of holes and electron also confirmed their hole and electron distribution in different regions as shown in Fig. 5. This separation in turn shows the huge charge transference (CT) in derivatives for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation as compared to TPBR1. As $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition, exhibit low excitation (LE) owing to their less separation among distributions of hole and electron (shown in Fig. 4), which is further validated by the least value of $D$ index i.e. $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ and $t$ index value. 0.85 Å and $t < 0 (−3.08 Å)$, respectively indicating hole and electron distribution in the same region in TPBR1. The higher $S_1$ indices values (0.16–0.56 a.u) in entitled chromophores for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation index values (see Table 5). Further the distribution surface plot of holes and electron also confirmed their hole and electron distribution in different regions as shown in Fig. 5. The pattern of charge distribution among hole and electron investigated with the help of Eq. (8).

### Table 5. Hole-electron analysis indices for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation (with highest $f_{\text{osc}}$) of compounds.

| Compounds  | TPBR1 | TPBD2 | TPBD3 | TPBD4 | TPBD5 | TPBD6 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $f_{\text{osc}}$ ($S_0 \rightarrow S_1$) | 0.92  | 0.92  | 0.92  | 0.92  | 0.92  | 0.92  |
| $D$ (Å)    | 7.55  | 6.46  | 4.77  | 6.68  | 6.78  | 4.81  |
| $t$ (Å)    | $−$3.08 | 8.92  | 16.51 | 6.96  | 6.346 | 16.30 |
| $S_0$ (a.u.) | 0.56  | 0.56  | 0.15  | 0.42  | 0.43  | 0.16  |
| $H$ (Å)    | 4.39  | 4.64  | 6.35  | 4.48  | 4.32  | 6.34  |
| EDI        | 1.15  | 5.78  | 6.69  | 5.35  | 5.07  | 6.67  |

### Density of states (DOS).

The density of states (DOS) analysis is carried out to support the results of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6, accompanied by FMO observation. To perform DOS investigations, the studied compounds are fragmented as donor, π-core and end-capped acceptor unit each represented by a different color (D with red, π-spacer with green and A with blue lines) as indicated in Fig. 5. The higher Sr indices values (0.16–0.56 a.u) in entitled chromophores for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitations indicate π–π* transitions in these molecules. Consequently, among all studied compounds, TPBD3 with highest HDI (6.35) and EDI (6.69), proves upon substituting $–\text{NO}_2$ and $–\text{Cl}$ groups enhances electronic delocalization favoring non-covalent interactions.

### Non-linear optical (NLO) study.

The NLO investigations have been recognized as the most promising technology towards the advancement of several fields such as photonics, optoelectronics and biomedicine. For generating the NLO response, pull–push architecture of compounds is established whose strength depends upon the nature of D and A moieties that are inter-linked through π-framework. The various NLO parameters include linear polarizability $\beta_{\text{linear}}$, first ($\beta_{\text{total}}$) and second ($\gamma_{\text{total}}$) hyperpolarizabilities of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6 are studied in gas phase and promising results are obtained which are expressed in Tables S14–S17. The Fig. 6a in manuscript displayed a graphical representation of $\beta_{\text{linear}}$, $\beta_{\text{total}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{total}}$ for the studied compounds while, the Fig. 6b shows their comparison with energy gap values. The average polarizability $\beta_{\text{linear}}$ value is estimated with the help of Eq. (8).
The first ($\beta_{\text{total}}$)\textsuperscript{71} and second ($\gamma_{\text{total}}$)\textsuperscript{72} hyperpolarizabilities are calculated using the Eqs. (9) and (10).

\[ \beta_x = \beta_{xxxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz}, \]
\[ \beta_y = \beta_{yxx} + \beta_{yyy} + \beta_{yzz}, \]
\[ \beta_z = \beta_{zxx} + \beta_{zyy} + \beta_{zzz}. \]

\[ <a> = 1/3(\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz}) \]  

The first ($\beta_{\text{total}}$)\textsuperscript{71} and second ($\gamma_{\text{total}}$)\textsuperscript{72} hyperpolarizabilities are calculated using the Eqs. (9) and (10).

\[ \beta_{\text{total}} = \left( \beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2 + \beta_z^2 \right)^{1/2} \]  

where $\beta_x = \beta_{xxxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz}$, $\beta_y = \beta_{yxx} + \beta_{yyy} + \beta_{yzz}$, and $\beta_z = \beta_{zxx} + \beta_{zyy} + \beta_{zzz}$. 

Figure 5. A pictorial display of DOS for TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6. Figures are drawn by utilizing PyMOlyze 1.1 version (https://sourceforge.net/projects/pymolylze/). All output files of entitled compounds were computed through Gaussian 09 version D.01 (https://gaussian.com/g09citation/).
Figure 6. (a) Graphical representation of linear polarizability and hyperpolarizabilities of entitled compounds. These graphs are drawn by utilizing Origin Pro 8.5 version (https://originpro.informer.com/8.5/). All output flies of entitled compounds were computed through Gaussian 09 version D.01 (https://gaussian.com/g09citation/). (b) A comparison of $\alpha$, $\beta_{\text{total}}$, $\gamma_{\text{total}}$ with energy band gap ($E_{\text{HOMO-LUMO}}$) of TPBR1 and TPBD2-TPBD6. These graphs are drawn by utilizing Origin Pro 8.5 version (https://originpro.informer.com/8.5/). All output flies of entitled compounds were computed through Gaussian 09 version D.01 (https://gaussian.com/g09citation/).
\[ Y_{\text{total}} = \sqrt{\gamma_{x}^2 + \gamma_{y}^2 + \gamma_{z}^2} \]  

where \( \gamma_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}(Y_{ijij} + Y_{jiij} + Y_{ijji}) \quad i, j = [x, y, z] \)

The dipole moment is estimated by the help of Eq. (11).

\[ \mu = \left( \mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + \mu_z^2 \right)^{1/2} \]

The dipole moment (\( \mu \)) is an important factor to estimate polarizability of organic chromophores. It is the product of charge magnitudes and distance between them. Table S15 pointed out the total estimated values of dipole moment (\( \mu_{\text{total}} \)) along with the three-dimensional tensors along \( x, y \) and \( z \)-axis. All the designed chromophores expressed greater dipole moment (9.854–16.686 D) than that of their parent chromophore (9.208 D). The greatest value of \( \mu_{\text{total}} \) is found in TPBD3 and TPBD6 i.e.16.686 and 16.476 D, respectively because of the presence of strong acceptor units (DCF and NMF, respectively). The findings in the literature revealed that urea was utilized as a standard chromophore for comparative analysis in NLO study and dipole moment for urea is reported as 1.3732 D. The \( \mu_{\text{total}} \) Values of TPBR1, TPBD2, TPBD3, TPBD4, TPBD5 and TPBD6 are 6.71, 9.55, 12.15, 7.18, 7.58 and 11.99 times greater than urea. The higher values of \( \mu_{\text{total}} \) for the entitled chromophores exploited the higher polarizability in them. In all studied chromophores, the greater dipole moment values are examined along \( u_1 \) (TPBR1 = 7.640, TPBD2 = 13.085, TPBD6 = 16.447 and TPBD6 = 16.295 D) except TPBD4 and TPBD5 which exhibited higher values along \( u_3 \) (3.160 and 3.432 D, respectively). Further, linear polarizability behavior of entitled chromophores is also studied and all the derivatives showed comparable values of the \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) with TPBR1 and comparison between values is shown in Fig. 6a. The date in Table S14 explained that all the entitled chromophores exhibited greater responses of dipole moment along x-axis (\( \gamma_x \)). Overall, the \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values of the studied chromophores decrease in the following order: TPBD3 (1.974 × 10^−22) > TPBD6 (1.682 × 10^−22) > TPBR1 (1.854 × 10^−22) > TPBD4 (1.773 × 10^−22) > TPBD4 (1.713 × 10^−22) > TPBR1 (1.682 × 10^−22) esu.

In fullerene free chromophores, the NLO properties can be recognized from the charge transfer efficiency towards A moiety from D unit through their respective π-bridges. Concisely, enhancement in hyperpolarizability values in TPBD2–TPBD6 rise in alliance with the delocalization of π-electrons. This delocalization reduces the HOMO/LUMO energy gap. As explained in the literature, polarizability of chromophores is greatly affected by the HOMO–LUMO band gap i.e., the smaller the band gap, the larger will be the polarizability values and vice versa. The same trend is examined for chromophores in our studied case where compound TPBD3 showed the greatest \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) value i.e. 3.885 × 10^−27 esu with lowest band gap i.e. 2.317 eV. A comparison between \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values and energy gap between HOMO/LUMO orbitals is shown in Fig. 6b. The \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) value of TPBR1 is 0.122 × 10^−20 esu and all tailored chromophores expressed significant results (1.578–3.885 × 10^−27 esu) than that of TPBR1 because of the strong push–pull configuration. Additionally, a systematical relationship is seen between the molecular structures and \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values. The \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) parameter usually enhanced with the extended conjugated system and strength of the “A” substituents attached like –Cl, –F and –NO2 groups that are contributing to chromophore nonlinearity. In accordance to the above mentioned results, the highest \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) is obtained for TPBD3 i.e., 3.885 × 10^−27 esu. The reason might be hidden in the well-defined D and A moieties utilized in the structure of TPBD3 (Fig. S1). A decreasing trend of \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values of all the aforementioned compounds is as follows: TPBD3 (3.885 × 10^−27) > TPBD6 (3.460 × 10^−22) > TPBD2 (1.963 × 10^−22) > TPBD5 (1.681 × 10^−22) > TPBD4 (1.578 × 10^−22) > TPBR1 (0.122 × 10^−22) esu. The contributing tensors of \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) (\( \gamma_{xxy}, \gamma_{xyx}, \gamma_{xzz} \), etc.) also play a significant role in determining the overall \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values. From the profound observation, it is estimated that in compounds TPBR1, TPBD2, TPBD3 and TPBD6, the \( \gamma_{x} \) (x-axis) is majorly contributing in the \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) as indicated by their positive high values of 0.020, 1.969, 3.894 and 3.467 × 10^−27 esu, respectively. In the remaining compounds, y-axis also contributes in addition to horizontal axis. The compounds TPBD4 and TPBD5 show a clear contribution of x and y-axis from their \( \gamma_{xy} \), contributing tensor values as 3.383 and 7.499 × 10^−29 esu, respectively. A comparative analysis is also performed using urea as standard molecule (\( \gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.372 \times 10^−30 \) esu)74. The second-order hyperpolarizabilities computed for TPBR1, TPBD2, TPBD3, TPBD4, TPBD5 and TPBD6 are 327.95, 5276.88, 10,443.55, 4241.94, 4518.82 and 9301.08 times higher than that of urea. This comparative study with urea exhibited that entitled chromophores showed excellent NLO responses. The results of third-order NLO parameter (\( \gamma_{\text{total}} \)) are confined at the end are also in our support showing the utmost value of \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) in case of TPBD3 as 13.02 × 10^−32 esu. The \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values decrease in the following order: TPBD3 (13.02 × 10^−32) > TPBD6 (10.85 × 10^−32) > TPBD2 (3.439 × 10^−22) > TPBD5 (2.677 × 10^−32) > TPBD4 (2.424 × 10^−22) > TPBR1 (1.039 × 10^−32) esu. Generally, it has been observed that all the derivatives hold polarizable nature and are obtained with less band gap than TPBR1. In particular, compound TPBD3 has secured the highest position amongst all NLO candidates on account of its highest \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \), \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values are

**Conclusion**

Herein, a series of chromophores (TPBD2–TPBD6) is designed with strong push–pull configurations from TPBR1 via modification with various acceptor moieties. Due to the change in configuration from A–π–A (TPBR1) to D–π–A (TPBD2–TPBD6), a marvelous NLO response is examined. FMO analysis showed that an effective transfer of charge has taken place from donor to acceptor through π-bridge in all derivatives with reduction in the band gap (2.317–2.995 eV) than TPBR1 (3.612 eV). Moreover, the GRP studies revealed that conjugation in chromophores causes the exceptional stability in the studied organic molecules. The greater dipole moment (\( \mu_{\text{total}} = 9.854–16.686 \) D) are found for derivatives than parent chromophore which predicts that larger polarizability results in attractive NLO responses. An outstanding NLO findings: \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \), \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{total}} \) values are
noted to be $1.974 \times 10^{-22}$, $3.885 \times 10^{-27}$ and $13.02 \times 10^{-32}$ esu, respectively for TPBD3, which are highest among all said chromophores. Hence, we can conscript the reasonable aptitudes of our derivatives for better NLO properties that recommended their utilization in high-tech photonic appliances.
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