Confinement of chiral magnetic modulations in the precursor region of FeGe
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Abstract
We report on magnetic susceptibility and specific heat measurements of the cubic helimagnet FeGe in external magnetic fields and temperatures near the onset of long-range magnetic order at $T_C = 278.2(3)$ K. Pronounced anomalies in the field-dependent $\chi_{ac}(H)$ data as well as in the corresponding imaginary part $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ reveal a precursor region around $T_C$ in the magnetic phase diagram. The occurrence of a maximum at $T_0 = 279.6$ K in the zero-field specific heat data indicates a second-order transition into a magnetically ordered state. A shoulder evolves above this maximum as a magnetic field is applied. The field dependence of both features coincides with crossover lines from the field-polarized to the paramagnetic state deduced from $\chi_{ac}(T)$ at constant magnetic fields. The experimental findings are analyzed within the standard Dzyaloshinskii theory for cubic helimagnets. The remarkable multiplicity of modulated precursor states and the complexity of the magnetic phase diagram near the magnetic ordering are explained by the change of the character of solitonic inter-core interactions and the onset of specific confined chiral modulations in this area.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Cubic iron monogermanide, FeGe [1], together with the isostructural MnSi [2] and MnGe [3] as well as the pseudo-binary compounds Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Si (0.1 $\leq x < 0.7$) [4–7], Mn$_{1-y}$Co$_y$Si (0 $\leq y < 0.9$) [5, 8], and Mn$_{1-z}$Fe$_z$Si (0 $\leq z < 0.13$) [8, 9] are non-centrosymmetric cubic helimagnets (space group $P2_13$, B20-type structure) with Curie temperatures $T_C$ ranging from a few Kelvin to almost ambient temperature. The ground state of these magnets is well understood and consists of long-range helical modulations with periods up to several hundred unit-cells (see table 1). The sense of the magnetization rotation is fixed due to the presence of the chiral Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya (DM) interaction [20, 21]. However, in their magnetic field–temperature, $(H, T)$, phase diagrams, as sketched in figure 1(a), numerous puzzling physical anomalies have been observed in a narrow temperature interval in the vicinity of $T_C$ [8, 10, 22–42]. The origin of these ‘precursor anomalies’ (hatched area in figure 1(a)) and notably the magnetic structure of the so-called A phase is a long-standing and intriguing problem in chiral magnetism.

The theoretical investigations in the precursor region are closely connected with the idea of chiral skyrmions, i.e. axisymmetric solitonic states. The prediction of a possible formation of such magnetic vortices in non-centrosymmetric ferromagnets in general [43, 44] and in cubic helimagnets in particular [45–47] has triggered an intensive quest for these
Fig. 1. (a) Schematic magnetic phase diagram for cubic helimagnets based on experimental and theoretical findings. Different magnetic states can exist and co-exist in applied magnetic fields $\vec{H} = H/\vec{H}_D$ ($\vec{H}_D$ is a material specific field) up to certain critical fields: conical helices, cones (b), for fields $H > H_{cone}(T)$ along the propagation direction $\vec{k}$, helicoids (c) as helical states distorted by a field perpendicular to $\vec{k}$ below $H_{helicoid}(T)$, and radial skyrmions which condense into a hexagonal lattice (d) in fields along the skyrmion axes for $H < H_{skyrm}(T)$. The small precursor region (hatched area) sets in above the confinement temperature $T_D$ [47, 48] and extends up to the nucleation temperature $T_0$ [52], where stable solitonic units can be nucleated. The A phase is located just below the Curie temperature $T_C$.

Table 1. Curie temperature $T_C$, spontaneous magnetic moment $\mu_s(T \to 0)$, lattice parameter $a$, helix period $L_0$, and saturation field $H_D \equiv H_{sat}(T = 0)$ for some cubic helimagnets with B20-type structure (space group $P2_13$).

| Material          | $T_C$ (K) | $\mu_s(\mu_B)$ | $a(\text{Å})$ | $L_0(\text{Å})$ | $H_D$ (T) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| FeGe              | 278.2 [10]| 1 [11]          | 4.700 [12]   | 700 [1]        | 0.359 [13]|
| MnSi              | 29.5 [14] | 0.4 [14]        | 4.559 [15]   | 180 [2]        | 0.62 [16] |
| MnGe              | 170 [3]   | 1.7 [3]         | 4.795 [17]   | 30 [3]         | 12 [3]    |
| Mn$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$Si | 6.8 [18] | 0.17            | 4.5529       | 100 [9]        | 0.645 [9] |
| Fe$_{0.65}$Co$_{0.35}$Si | 58.8 [4] | 0.218 [4]       | 4.471 [19]   | 471 [5]        | 0.145 [4] |

$^a$ Orders antiferromagnetically.

$^b$ Interpolated value using data in [8].

exotic states [7, 10, 32–34, 37–42, 48, 49]. Of particular interest in the experimental investigations is the A phase, a small area below $T_C$ reported for MnSi many years ago [24, 25]. Within this pocket transverse chiral modulations attributed to helicoids have been identified [29] (figure 1(c)) while outside this area a one-dimensional cone phase has been observed [2] (figure 1(b)). A possible magnetic state with transverse chiral modulation in applied field can also rely on skyrmionic textures [43, 44, 46–48] as a dense-packed hexagonal skyrmion lattice sketched in figure 1(d). Subsequent intensive experimental investigations [8, 32–34, 38, 39] led to conflicting conclusions about the magnetic state of the A phase [10, 37, 40, 41]. The existing experimental data are still inconclusive to reconstruct detailed magnetic structures of the modulated states arising in the precursor area and/or to prove the existence or non-existence of skyrmionic states either in the A pockets or in any other part of the precursor region.

Chiral skyrmions have been finally discovered in thin layers of FeGe [50] in a broad temperature range far below the precursor region found in bulk samples. Similar skyrmionic states have been observed in thin films of Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Si [51], MnSi [52], and in the non-centrosymmetric cubic ferrimagnet Cu$_2$OSeO$_3$ [52]. These break-through investigations are based on the direct observation of helical and skyrmionic states by Lorentz TEM. They confirm the existence of essential features of chiral skyrmions in non-centrosymmetric magnets as proper static and multidimensional topological solitons and the field-driven formation of skyrmion lattices, as theoretically anticipated [43–45, 47, 53].

In contrast, the recent attempts to resolve and to understand the magnetic structures in the precursor region and the temperature-driven magnetic ordering in bulk chiral magnets do not provide a clear picture [7, 8, 10, 32–39, 41]. Nevertheless, these studies have accumulated an impressive database on peculiarities of magnetic properties in this enigmatic area. Together with earlier observations [22, 24–27, 29, 30] and recent theoretical findings [10, 47, 48] the following important conclusions about the physical nature of the precursor region can be drawn: (i) it consists of a multiplicity of modulated states which have complex multidimensional textures, and (ii) precursor states are fundamentally different from regular chiral modulations, as theoretically motivated in [10, 48].

The theoretical justification for the complexity of the precursor phenomena is based on the analysis of rigorous solutions for modulated states from the basic phenomenological model of chiral magnets near the ordering temperature [10, 47, 48]. It was found that the magnetic properties of chiral modulations strongly depend on the interactions of their solitonic constituents, i.e. axisymmetric (double-twisted) cell cores in skyrmion lattices and kinks in helicoids. In the main part of the magnetic phase diagram chiral textures consist of assemblies of localized particle-like modulations with repulsive core–core interactions. Near the Curie temperature, however, these interactions become attractive as a result of the ‘softening’ of the magnetization amplitude [48]. These attractive inter-core interactions drastically influence the magnetic structures and properties of the chiral modulations and are responsible for numerous anomalies in the precursor region [10, 47, 48].

The outline of this paper is as following: section 2 starts from a brief theoretical overview of chiral phases in cubic helimagnets including results for low-temperature modulations [20, 21, 44, 45] and rigorous solutions for helical and skyrmionic states near the ordering temperature [10,
Based on this, we reconstruct a schematic magnetic phase diagram of a cubic helimagnet (figure 1) and expound a new picture of chiral precursor states introduced in [10, 47, 48]. Then we present in section 4 magnetic ac-susceptibility and specific heat measurements on FeGe in external fields in the vicinity of the transition into the long-range ordered helical state at \( T_C = 278.2 \text{ K} \) [10]. FeGe provides a generic example of a chiral cubic helimagnet with a complex magnetic phase diagram in a very narrow precursor region around \( T_C \) where some kinds of magnetic fluctuations are perceived. In section 5 we discuss the relation between the observed results and theoretical solutions for precursor states and compare the experimental findings with the calculated phase diagram for a basic model within the framework of the phenomenological Dzyaloshinskii theory of cubic helimagnets.

## 2. Magnetic phase diagram of cubic helimagnets

The theoretical description of chiral cubic helimagnets can be based on the phenomenological Dzyaloshinskii theory [20]. The magnetic states are found as energy minima of a (free) energy functional for the components of the magnetization vector \( M \) [21]:

\[
w = A(\text{grad} M)^2 - DM \cdot \text{rot} M - M \cdot H + w_a(M) + f(M). \tag{1}
\]

This model includes the exchange stiffness with constant \( A \) and the DM interaction with constant \( D \) as main magnetic couplings. Together with the Zeeman energy they are the isotropic interactions \( w_0(M) \). The free energy also contains the much weaker anisotropy energy \( w_a(M) = \sum_i [K_i M_i^2 + K_{c_i} M_i^4] \) with the exchange anisotropy \( K \) and the cubic magnetocrystalline anisotropy \( K_c \) (\( x_i \) are the components of the spatial variable). Finally, the homogeneous magnetic free energy contribution \( f(M) \) describes the variation of the magnetization modulus \( M \equiv |M| \).

The basic chiral modulations of the magnetic states are described by the ‘isotropic’ model, i.e. \( w = w_0(M) \). In this case the magnetization \( M \) has essentially a fixed length in a broad temperature range and nonuniform magnetic states consist only of rotations or twists.

Let us recall the basic solutions for this model [20, 21, 44, 45] which are essential for the discussions of the experimental results presented below. The minimization of \( w_0(M) \) results in the phase diagram sketched in figure 1(a) and yields the following one-dimensional [20, 21] and two-dimensional [44, 45] chiral modulations depicted in figures 1(b)–(d). (i) The cone phase: it is a single harmonic mode propagating along the field direction with period \( L_D \) and flips into the saturated state at a critical field \( H_{\text{cone}}(T) \) (figure 1(a)). The saturation field of the cone phase at zero temperature \( H_D = H_{\text{cone}}(0) = H_{\text{sat}}(0) \) and the modulation period \( L_D \) are given by

\[
H_D = D^2 M/(2A), \quad L_D = 4\pi A/|D|. \tag{2}
\]

They provide characteristic, material specific parameters of the cubic helimagnets and are given for several compounds in table 1. (ii) The helicoid: it is a distorted helix with the propagation direction \( k \) perpendicular to the applied field (see figure 1(c)). (iii) The hexagonal skyrmion lattice: this is a regular solution of the isotropic model with ‘double-twist’ modulations in a certain plane (figure 1(d)) and a homogeneous extension along the third spatial direction [44, 46, 47]. At certain critical fields \( H_{\text{helicoid}}(T) \) and \( H_{\text{skyrm}}(T) \), the helicoids and skyrmion lattices transform into a set of localized structures (solitons), either into isolated 360° domain walls (kinks) or into isolated skyrmions by infinite expansion of the modulation period [20, 44]. In particular, \( H_{\text{helicoid}}(0) = (\pi^2/16)H_D = 0.617H_D \) [20] and \( H_{\text{skyrm}}(0) = 0.801H_D \) [44]. By this transformation, the helicoids reveal themselves as kink-crystals, i.e. a succession of solitonic kinks, 360° domain wall sections with fixed shape and size of their cores. In the magnetic phase diagram (figure 1(a)) the critical fields \( H_{\text{helicoid}}(T), H_{\text{skyrm}}(T), \) and \( H_{\text{cone}}(T) \) set the existence limit for the corresponding modulated states.

The free energy \( f(M) \) becomes an important contribution to the isotropic model \( w = w_0 \) near the ordering temperature. It is usually written in the canonic form of the Landau theory [21], \( f(M) = \alpha(T_C - T)M^2 + bM^4 \), where \( T_C \) is the Curie temperature of the bare ferromagnet. However, the onset of magnetic order in a chiral magnet is shifted to a higher temperature owing to the chiral DM interaction and the exchange shift \( \Delta \). The latter is given by material specific constants and determines the temperature width of the precursor region. Finally, we introduce a confinement temperature \( T_{\text{cf}} \) that separates the phase diagram into two parts with different character of the interactions between chiral skyrmions or kink cores (see figure 1(a)) [10, 47]. All these parameters are given by

\[
T_C = T^0_C + \Delta_D/4, \quad T_{\text{cf}} = T_C - \Delta_D, \quad \Delta_D = D^2/(2\alpha A) < T^0_C. \tag{3}
\]

The nucleation temperature \( T_D = T_C + \Delta_D/4 \) signifies the onset of stable double-twist excitations in the paramagnetic phase [46].

It is important to emphasize a fundamental distinction between a magnetic field-induced saturation of the cone phase and the transitions of helicoids and skyrmion lattices into the (field-polarized) homogeneous state. The spin-flip-like saturation of the conical helix is a typical Landau symmetry-breaking second-order transition. Here, upon decreasing field, the conical modulation sets in as the saturated state experiences an instability with respect to a particular mode. The amplitude of the conical helix modulation with the propagation vector \( k \) is the small parameter of this instability-type of transition [54]. At the transition, this unstable mode with a leading harmonic, expressed by the Fourier coefficient \( M_k \), is relevant even in the presence of anisotropies. Approaching the transition from the ordered state, higher harmonics \( M_{nk} \) become unimportant, i.e. the ratio of Fourier coefficients \( M_{nk}/M_k \rightarrow 0 \).

The transition into the saturated state by setting free particle-like solitonic states as 2D isolated skyrmions and 1D kinks has an entirely different character. These entities
already exist in the saturated state as excitations with a stable shape and size of their cores. Therefore, this transition is a nucleation-type of phase transition. Thus, approaching such a nucleation transition from the ordered state of a soliton lattice, all higher harmonic modes of a crystalline array are retained, $M_{nk}/M_k \to \text{const.}$, up to the transition point where the lattice disintegrates into a gaseous set of free solitons. As the Dzyaloshinskii theory of chiral magnets contains kinks and skyrmions as different and competing solitons, modulated states—with the exception of the simple conical helix—are generically expected to form via the nucleation-type of transition.

The character of inter-solitonic interactions in the saturated state ($H > H_{\text{cone}}$), however, strongly conditions how exactly such a nucleation transition takes place. As the formation of modulated states through nucleation of mesoscale structures always involves assembling stable solitonic entities, the nucleation transition in cubic helimagnets is expected to display similar mesophases as observed in the condensation or (partial) crystallization of liquid crystals or polymers [55].

3. Experimental details

For the present investigations single crystals of the cubic modification of FeGe, $\epsilon$-FeGe, were used. Details about crystal growth and structural data are reported elsewhere [56]. The samples were checked with state-of-the-art characterization methods. Subsequent thermodynamic, spectroscopic, x-ray and neutron measurements revealed an excellent quality of the single crystals. In the case of the ac-susceptibility measurements the crystal ($m = 0.995 \text{ mg}$) was aligned with the [100]-axis parallel to the external magnetic field in a Quantum Design PPMS. Field sweeps were done in zero-field mode, i.e. the measuring temperature was always approached from $300 \text{ K}$ in zero field. The excitation field was $10 \text{ Oe}$ and the measuring frequency was $1 \text{ kHz}$. All ac-susceptibility data shown in the following represent the modulus $\chi_{ac} = \sqrt{\chi'^2 + \chi'^2}$, with $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ the real and imaginary part of the susceptibility, respectively. Given the almost spherical shape of the sample, demagnetization effects are very small and can be neglected.

The specific heat was measured in a DC Peltier heat-flow calorimeter with continuous heating [57]. It provides high relative resolution at a very high density of data points ($\Delta T \approx 0.05 \text{ K}$) with a precision of the temperature measurement of about $0.1 \text{ K}$ in the investigated temperature range. The absolute value of the data has been calibrated through comparison with data measured in a commercial Quantum Design PPMS calorimeter. The sample orientation with respect to the field was arbitrary. The sweep rate of the temperature was kept at $1 \text{ K min}^{-1}$. Up to 15 points $\text{K}^{-1}$ were recorded and the data have been smoothed over 5–10 points.

4. Results

Figure 2(a) shows the field dependence of $\chi_{ac}$ of FeGe for temperatures below $T_C = 278.2(3) \text{ K}$ [10]. Common to all curves is a minimum in $\chi_{ac}$ at fields of the order of a few hundred Oe. This is the fingerprint of the A phase, observed first in the isostructural MnSi by ultrasound attenuation [25] and subsequently by $\chi_{ac}$ [30]. It is the phase space where theoretical considerations predicted a new (vortex) state between the uniformly magnetized high-field and the one-dimensionally helically modulated low-field state [43, 44]. Well below $T_C$ and above $H_{c1}$, i.e. in the conical phase, the magnetization changes almost linearly with field and will be eventually constant above $H_{c2}$, when the field-polarized
Figure 3. (a) Field-induced variation of $\chi''_{ac}$, the imaginary part of $\chi_{ac}$, at various temperatures. Apart from the first pronounced peak, which is related to $H_{c2}$, the strength of the other peaks changes strongly with decreasing temperature. They are attributed to the field boundaries $H^{\alpha}_{ac}$ and $H^{\beta}_{ac}$. (b) Phase diagram of FeGe based on the $\chi_{ac}(H)$ data. $H_{c1}$ delimits the helical from the cone phase and at $H_{c2}$ the field-polarized (FP) state is reached. The A region is indicated by the open triangles. The peak positions in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ (bold symbols) agree very well with $H_{c1}$ and the A-phase boundaries, $H^{\alpha}_{ac}$ and $H^{\beta}_{ac}$, albeit no signature of $H^{\gamma}_{ac}$ was observed in $\chi''_{ac}$ below 275 K. The extrapolation of $H_{c2}(T)$ (dashed line) to zero field clearly deviates from $T_C$ where helical order sets in.

state is reached. This means that $\chi_{ac}(H) \equiv \partial M/\partial H$ is finite and only weakly field dependent in the conical phase and approaches zero above $H_{c2}$ (corresponding to $H_{cone}$ in figure 1(a)). However, the reduction of $M(H)$ across the A phase ($\partial M/\partial H < 0$) due to a reconstruction of the magnetic modulations [44] will lead to the evolution of a minimum in $\chi_{ac}(H)$. Thus, determining the fields and temperatures where this minimum in $\chi_{ac}$ appears allows us to locate the A phase in the $(H, T)$ phase diagram. We define the lower and upper field boundaries of the A phase as $H^{\alpha}_{ac}$ and $H^{\beta}_{ac}$, respectively, by the inflection points in $\chi_{ac}(H)$. This criterion gives also the lower ($H_{c1}$) and upper critical field ($H_{c2}$). The corresponding peaks $\partial \chi/\partial H$ are clearly resolved (figure 2(c)). It turns out that the location of the inflection points, apart from the one related to $H_{c2}$, coincides with peaks in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ (figure 2(d)). The occurrence of peaks in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ indicates the first-order character of these phase transitions, already concluded from a small hysteresis observed in a field cycle made at 276 K [10].

The temperature variation of $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ contains additional information relevant for the construction of the magnetic phase diagram. Already from the data in the immediate vicinity of $T_C$ shown in the inset to figure 2(a) it becomes evident that $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ is very sensitive to subtle changes of the magnetic ordering. At 278.5 K, very close, but slightly above $T_C$, $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ shows no distinct features. It is almost field independent up to 400 Oe, then smoothly increases and eventually becomes constant for $H \gtrsim 1.2$ kOe. It is worthwhile mentioning that this kind of field dependence was observed up to about 283 K. The absence of any field dependence at higher temperatures might indicate that eventually the paramagnetic state is reached. However, just 0.2 K below $T_C$, at 278 K, three distinct peaks appeared in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$. The height of the peak related to $H^{(2)}_{A}$ is only a fraction of the peak corresponding to $H^{(1)}_{A}$. This height ratio changes drastically within 0.5 K. At 277.5 K both peaks are well pronounced although the height and position of the peak attributed to $H^{(1)}_{c1}$ is unchanged. Moreover, the position of the peak related to $H^{(2)}_{A}$ is shifted further up in field than the position of the peak attributed to $H^{(1)}_{A}$.

The influence of temperature on the peak positions and heights is shown in figure 3(a) where $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ for temperatures across the A-phase region is plotted. The height of the peak assigned to $H^{(2)}_{A}$ continues to increase and reaches a maximum at 277 K (inset to figure 3(a)). It fades away below 275 K and only the peak related to $H^{(1)}_{c1}$ is present (main part of figure 3(a)). The height of the peak related to $H^{(1)}_{A}$ however, attains its maximum already at 277.5 K and is hardly visible below 275 K. This might indicate that the character of the phase transition at $H^{(1)}_{A}$ below 275 K has changed from first to second order. The analysis of these field-sweep data can be summarized in the phase diagram shown in figure 3(b). Well below $T_C$ it shows the helical, cone, and field-polarized phases already known from neutron scattering data [1]. The A-phase region exists in the temperature range 273 K \( \lesssim T \lesssim 278 \) K and for fields $H^{(1)}_{A} \leq H \leq H^{(2)}_{A}$ [10]. Also the position of the peaks in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ are plotted (bold symbols in figure 3(b)). They are in very good agreement with the phase transition lines $H^{(1)}_{c1}$ and $H^{(2)}_{A}$ and in part for $H^{(1)}_{A}$. The disappearance of the peak related to $H^{(1)}_{A}$ in $\chi''_{ac}(H)$ below 275 K is interpreted as an additional indication of a division of the A region into the $A_1$ and $A_2$ pockets [10].

In order to get further information on the magnetic phase transition, we measured the specific heat, $C_p(T)$, of
FeGe at various magnetic fields in the vicinity of \( T_C \). The field was along an arbitrary direction with respect to the crystallographic axes of the crystal. Figure 4 shows \( C_p(T) \) curves at selected magnetic fields. The phase transition into a magnetically ordered state in zero field is seen as a pronounced maximum at \( T_0 = 279.6 \) K. This temperature is about 1.5 K above \( T_C \) where the long-period spiral propagating along equivalent [100] directions is found in polarized small-angle neutron scattering [1] and where our \( \chi_{ac}(T) \) data show a well defined maximum [10]. The maximum in the zero-field \( C_p(T) \) data signals that some kind of magnetic order is already established at \( T_0 \), i.e. prior to the long-ranged helical order setting in at \( T_C \). The shape of the maximum resembles a slightly broadened \( \lambda \)-anomaly, characteristic for a magnetic transition with fluctuations. A distinct shoulder becomes clearly separated from the maximum beyond 340 Oe (arrows). Upon increasing the field the magnetic phase transition broadens and a distinct shoulder develops above \( T_0 \) for \( H \geq 640 \) Oe (arrows).

Figure 4. Specific heat of FeGe in the vicinity of the magnetic transition in external magnetic fields. Curves are shifted with respect to zero field as indicated. The position \( T_0(H) \) of the peak maximum is taken as the indication of the occurrence of magnetic ordering. A small step-like increase in \( C_p(T) \) occurs just below the maximum and for fields below 340 Oe (blue arrows). Upon increasing the field the magnetic phase transition broadens and a distinct shoulder develops above \( T_0 \) for \( H \geq 640 \) Oe (arrows). The broadening of the maximum in field indicates that the magnetic phase transition more and more towards a continuous crossover. This is analogous to a textbook 3D-Heisenberg ferromagnetic transition but some intrinsic broadening remains down to the lowest fields suggesting the presence of additional finite-size effects, associated with a length scale similar to effects of a domain texture. Only a very broad magnetic contribution to the specific heat remains above 5 kOe. This is the usual signature of the crossover from a paramagnetic to a field-polarized state. We used the maximum in \( C_p(T) \) to extract the onset of magnetic ordering and the inflection point (pronounced minimum in the derivative of \( C_p(T) \)) above the maximum to locate the shoulder. Note that some structure in the form of a small upward step may be present just below the \( C_p(T) \) maximum at low fields (indicated by arrows in figure 4). This step is nearly hidden in the noise but occurs reproducibly in repeated runs in the same applied field.

High-resolution AC specific heat measurements are needed to probe this structure in more detail.

The field dependence of these two temperatures is added to the phase diagram of FeGe presented in figure 5. This diagram includes the field-sweep data of figure 3(b) as well as data obtained from \( \chi_{ac}(H) \) [10]. Below \( T_C = 278.2 \) K and zero field the helical modulation propagates along equivalent [100] directions. An external magnetic field of the strength of the lower critical field \( H_{c1} \) forces the propagation direction along the field. Above \( H_{c1} \) a longitudinal cone is formed with the cone opening angle closing at \( H_{c2} \) where the field-polarized state is reached. These phases have been found in FeGe [1], MnSi [2], and in pseudo-binary systems Fe\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Si [4-6], Mn\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\)Si [5, 8], and Mn\(_{1-x}\)Fe\(_x\)Si [8, 9]. The A region found in FeGe is to some extent peculiar as it shows subtleties not observed for other cubic helimagnets. In FeGe the A...
region is split into several pockets [10]. The prominent part, labeled A_1, is separated by phase transition lines $H_A^{(1)}$ and $H_A^{(2)}$ from the conical phase and the A_2 pocket, respectively. The latter has no clear upper field boundary and seems to transform smoothly into the conical state. Distinct from these pockets and the conical phase two other pockets, A_0 and A_3, can be identified. The existence of the two crossover lines $H_A^{(1)}$ and $H_A^{(2)}$ found in $X_{ac}(T)$ [10] is now confirmed by the specific heat data (colored symbols in figure 5). This shows that a simple picture of a metamagnetic-like transition between paramagnetic and field-polarized states like in MnSi [58] and other 3d and 5f itinerant systems [59] is not applicable. In this crossover region ($T > T_C$ and $H > 0$) complex field- and temperature-induced magnetic reorientation processes take place which might lead to the formation of short-ranged chiral modulations. Such precursor phenomena have been predicted for cubic helimagnets [46–48].

5. Discussion

The results of section 4 demonstrate a remarkable complexity of the magnetic phase diagram of FeGe, both below and above the Curie temperature. In combination with experimental results on MnSi [37, 41], Mn_1-yCo_ySi [9], and Mn_1-yFe_ySi [42] obtained by different neutron scattering techniques this provides profound evidence for complex magnetic states in the precursor region of cubic helimagnets. Theoretically these phenomena can be understood by the nucleation of modulated magnetic states composed of confined chiral solitons as described in section 2. For the Dzyaloshinskii model of chiral magnets (1), it is found that these solitons are coupled through attractive inter-soliton interactions above the confinement temperature, as defined in (3). More precisely, the interaction energy between isolated kinks or between skyrmions shows damped oscillations above the confinement temperature, and the inter-soliton potential develops negative attractive wells for certain distances between the soliton cores. Therefore, bound soliton states can be formed [10, 47, 48]. Thus, this confinement temperature, which is near the ordering temperature, marks a crossover where the nucleation transition should evolve differently than at lower temperature. A detailed analysis of skyrmion solutions for the isotropic model $w = w_0(M) + f(M)$ (1) shows [47, 48] that the region with attractive skyrmions in the phase diagram (figure 1(a)) is separated from the regular region by a crossover line [10, 48]

$$H_{cf} = H_0 \sqrt{(1 \pm \nu)(1/2 \pm \nu)}^2, \quad T = T_{cf} + \Delta_D \nu^2, \quad (4)$$

with $H_0 = \Delta_D \sqrt{2} \Delta_D / \beta$, the running parameter $\nu$, and $T_{cf}$, the confinement temperature at zero field. This crossover line is shown in the calculated phase diagram presented in figure 6(a). Importantly, similar effects occur for 1D chiral solitons (kinks), where the crossover line for kinks and skyrmions coincide [60, 61]. This crossover of inter-solitonic interactions is caused by the ‘softening’ of the magnetization modulus and the coupling between angular (twisting) and longitudinal modulations of the magnetization. Correspondingly, rigorous numerical solutions for helicoids and skyrmion lattices in this region show that magnetic states are marked by a strongly inhomogeneous magnitude of the magnetization modulus [46–48, 62].

Thus, the confinement temperature $T_{cf}$ separates the region of the ordered (modulated) states into two different parts. In the main part of the magnetic phase diagram ($T < T_{cf}$) skyrmionic and helical textures consist of localized repulsive cores (figure 1 and 6). The shape of these regular chiral modulations is determined by the strong exchange and DM interactions. These localized modulations are stable or meta-stable against any weaker internal and external perturbations. However, above the confinement temperature ($T_{cf} < T < T_C$), the oscillating and competing character of the inter-soliton couplings strongly influences the formation of the modulated states and their evolution in applied fields [48]. In particular, they establish a confinement pocket (figure 6(a)) where chiral modulations exist only as bound states, i.e. hexagonal and square skyrmion lattices (figures 6(b) and (c)).

The nucleation transition to form modulated magnetic states requires the simultaneous nucleation and condensation of solitonic units. Detailed numerical studies for the isotropic Dzyaloshinskii model in this region [62] show that, owing to the attractive coupling between these stable units, clusters or extended bound configurations (mesophases) have lower energy than the isolated solitons. Different configurations of such clusters, however, are separated by tiny energy barriers. Such characteristic features of confined modulations

Figure 6. (a) Calculated phase diagram for cubic helimagnets. Near the ordering temperature the critical line $H_{cf}(T)$ (4) separates the main part of the phase diagram (I) from regions with attractive kinks and skyrmions (II) and from confined modulations (III). (b) Fragments of a...
underlie the numerous magnetic peculiarities reported near the ordering temperature of the cubic helimagnets: $T_D$ extends above the Curie temperature $T_C$. Therefore, magnetic precursor fluctuations are perceived well above establishing true magnetic long-range order in the form of a helicoidal or conical helix state. This is the region of distinct anomalies between the paramagnetic state and the helical states at zero field, and the paramagnetic region and the field-polarized state, i.e. between the fields $H^\ast_C$ and $H^\ast_r$ (see figure 5). As the formation of a chiral condensate, composed from these localized entities, is not accompanied by a diverging correlation length, only a smooth crossover is expected.

A detailed analysis of rigorous solutions for the isotropic model $w_0(M) + f(M)$ (1) shows that for this functional the cone phase has the lowest energy compared to other modulated phases within all regions where modulated states exist [48]. However, for $T_{C_D} < T < T_C$ the oscillatory, competing character of the inter-soliton couplings is confounding the hierarchy of magnetic interactions characteristic for low-temperature modulations and increases the role of minor couplings such as anisotropies, dipolar interactions, or fluctuations etc. Small ‘anisotropic’ energy contributions to the isotropic model can drastically change the energy balance and stabilize alternative modulated states. To illustrate this fact we present the calculations of the equilibrium energies for the cone and hexagonal skyrmion lattice phases within the isotropic model (figure 7(a)). Importantly, the energy difference between these two phases is minimal at a certain field $h_B$ and gradually decreases to zero as temperature approaches the Curie temperature ($t = (T - T_C)/\Delta_D = 0$). In the vicinity of the Curie temperature and around the line $h_B(t)$, thus, minor effects can stabilize skyrmionic phases.

In addition, we have calculated the magnetic phase diagram for the Dzyaloshinskii model of chiral cubic helimagnets, including cubic exchange anisotropy. This is the classical model that has been used earlier to analyze the magnetic states in chiral cubic helimagnets [21]. The phase diagram with exchange anisotropy $K = -0.05A$ (figure 7(b)) displays an extended area where the hexagonal skyrmion lattice corresponds to the global minimum of the system. This area is located around the $h_B(t)$ line and has a characteristic elongated shape similar to the A pockets observed in cubic helimagnets (see figure 5). Furthermore, these calculations also yield a stable square half-skyrmion lattice as ground state for temperatures $T_{C_D} < T < T_C$ between the paramagnetic $T > T_C$ and the helical phase ($T < T_C$). The formation of this stable skyrmionic texture suggests the spontaneous formation of a further competing type of precursor in the temperature-driven magnetic ordering process with split skyrmionic units and defect points or lines, where the magnetization passes through zero (figure 6(c)). At lower temperatures, long-range ordered condensed phases may finally form. The onset of the helical order occurs at $T_{C_D}$ i.e. below $T_C$ owing to the competition with spontaneous half-skyrmion states [46].

It is worth noting that another important hint to resolve the A-phase puzzle arises from the comparison of the observed (e.g. figure 2) and the calculated $\chi(H)$ dependence (figure 7(c)). Experimentally the characteristic U-shaped anomaly in $\chi_{ac}(H)$ indicating the A-phase area has also been observed in MnSi [27, 30], Mn$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Si and Mn$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$Si [8]. These observed anomalies are very similar to the calculated functions $\chi(H)$ shown in figure 7(c) which correspond to the hexagonal skyrmion lattice.

Interestingly, the calculations of the magnetic phase diagram in the framework of a modified Dzyaloshinskii model [46, 48] also indicated the half-skyrmion lattice as the ground state in the vicinity of the ordering temperature without anisotropic coupling terms. It also yields an elongated region located around $h_B(t)$ with stable helicoids. This model also contains a phase region for a densely packed hexagonal skyrmion lattice, but with a reversed topological charge of the unit cell, i.e. a $+\pi$-skyrmion lattice, in contrast to the $-\pi$-skyrmion lattices found in the common Dzyaloshinskii model (for details see [10, 48]). The comparison between such models shows that details of possible phases and phase diagrams depend very sensitively on model details in the
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precursor region of chiral helimagnets. Therefore, a resolution of the precise magnetic structure in the precursor region requires a very detailed understanding of various additional influences in a realistic model.

6. Conclusion

The ac-magnetic susceptibility data of cubic FeGe yield a complex sequence of different phase transitions and crossovers in the vicinity of \( T_C = 278.2(3) \) K for fields applied parallel to the [100] direction. Apart from the already known helix, cone, and field-polarized states the A-phase region was found. The U-shaped anomalies in \( \chi_{ac} \) as well as pronounced peaks in its imaginary part allowed the A-phase boundaries to be determined. A segmentation of the A-phase region is concluded from the combined \( \chi_{ac}(T) \) and \( \chi_{ac}(H) \) runs and subtleties in \( \chi_{ac''}(H) \). The field dependence of the specific heat data confirmed the existence of a broad crossover region between the field-polarized and paramagnetic state. They yield a \( \lambda \)-like anomaly at \( T_0 = 279.6 \) K (at \( H = 0 \)), which is typical for a magnetic transition accompanied by fluctuations. The field-induced broadening of this anomaly and its shift in temperature indicates that the transition evolves towards a continuous crossover. The usual crossover from the paramagnetic to field-polarized state is observed above 5 kOe. These data show that FeGe provides a generic example of a chiral cubic helimagnet with a complex magnetic phase diagram in a narrow precursor region. It extends about 7 K below \( T_0 \approx 280 \) K where some kind of magnetic precursor fluctuations are perceived. Furthermore, it was possible to obtain an estimate for the confinement temperature \( T_{cf} \approx 273 \) K as well as for the exchange shift \( \Delta_D \approx 6 \) K of the ordering temperature.

The theoretical interpretation of these results is based on the change of the character of inter-soliton interactions from being attractive near the Curie temperature to repulsive in the remaining part of the magnetic phase diagram. A critical phase line, \( H_c(T) \), separates these two parts and defines a confinement region where specific chiral modulations exist. This crossover of the inter-core coupling and the onset of confined modulations are two fundamental phenomena. They provide the first realistic mechanism underlying the rich physics of precursor effects in chiral helimagnets. The experimental and theoretical findings require a substantial revision of the existing picture of the ordering transitions in cubic helimagnets. In a new paradigm of confined chiral precursor states, the heuristically introduced ‘precursor region’ must now be understood by (i) the existence of magnetic localized textures with attractive core-core interactions, (ii) a competition between different types of chiral modulations, i.e. kinks and skyrmions, and (iii) the formation of dense assemblies of these solitonic units. The inherent frustration in the chiral coupling mechanisms then can lead to the formation of various mesophases in very narrow temperature-field ranges. Importantly, the crossover of the inter-core coupling and the formation of specific precursor modulations is a general phenomenon attributed to all chiral systems, including non-centrosymmetric magnets, chiral liquid crystals, and multiferroics.
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