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Case Presentation: A 64-year-old man presented to the emergency department with a chief complaint of left shoulder pain after a mechanical fall from standing. Plain radiography revealed a displaced fracture of the inferior glenoid rim. A computed tomography further characterized the fracture and the patient was taken emergently by an orthopedic surgeon for open reduction and internal fixation.

Discussion: Scapula fractures, especially isolated glenoid rim fractures, are rare and most typical of high-energy mechanism traumas. A missed or delayed diagnosis can result in long-term suffering and disability. Awareness of radiographic as well as physical findings and the subsequent classification system described below can optimize outcomes for trauma patients with glenoid fractures. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(2):258–260.]
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CASE PRESENTATION

A 64-year-old man presented to the emergency department (ED) complaining of an inability to move his left arm following a mechanical fall during which he landed on his shoulder. On physical exam, the patient was unable to actively abduct, internally or externally rotate the shoulder, and resisted the passive performance of these movements. Radial and ulnar pulses were symmetric and intact. Sensation to light touch was intact throughout the deltoid, forearm, and hand. Symmetric and full strength was observed on wrist flexion and extension, symmetric and full strength with finger adduction and abduction, and no limitation in active extension of the metacarpophalangeal joints. Plain radiography revealed a fracture of the inferior glenoid rim (Image 1). The case was then discussed with the on-call orthopedic surgeon who requested a computed tomography (CT) with three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction (Image 2).

DISCUSSION

Scapular fractures are rare and commonly missed in patients with high-impact blunt trauma. The two strongest predictors for missing these fractures are the experience of the emergency physician and the timeliness of orthopedic consultation – earlier intervention associated with better outcomes. When inappropriately treated, glenoid fractures can cause severe morbidity in all age groups, such as

Image 1. Anteroposterior (left) and oblique (right) views of the left shoulder plain radiograph, showing the inferior rim of the fractured glenoid with extension through the body displaced inferiorly (arrows). Based on the Ideberg classification system of glenoid fractures, this fracture pattern is type II.
nonunion, severe osteoarthritis, and superior shoulder suspensory complex injury, which plays a key role in shoulder joint stability.3

Accurate and expeditious identification and classification of this injury type is critical for optimizing patient outcomes. The Ideberg classification characterizes six glenoid fracture types and is the most commonly used system to describe fractures of the glenoid fossa and rim (Table).1,5 Our patient’s fracture was classified as type II glenoid fossa fracture with inferior neck extension (Image 1). Typically, fractures within type II or greater require some measure of surgical intervention. Physical exam findings such as positive apprehension, relocation, and hyperabduction tests are highly specific for glenohumeral instability and subsequent glenoid fractures. Plain radiography is the appropriate imaging choice for identifying these glenoid fractures.4 The recommended radiographic views for detecting this fracture pattern include true anteroposterior and oblique. Computed tomography (CT) combined with 3D reconstruction is recommended if intra-articular involvement or significant displacement is suspected, as these images permit accurate classification of the fracture and inform subsequent surgical decisions (Image 2).1 The American Osteopathic Foundation also offers a widely used classification system for glenoid fractures: F0 = fracture of the articular segment, not involving the glenoid fossa; F1 = simple glenoid fossa fractures; and F2 = multi-fragmentary glenoid fossa fractures.1 The Ideberg classification is the system preferred by the orthopedic community as it is more descriptive in its characterization of fracture patterns.

The patient described above suffered an F1 fracture, for which non-operative treatment is typically indicated, as 90% are stable and heal well with two weeks of sling stabilization followed by early motion.2 However, our patient also demonstrated greater than 10 millimeters (mm) of intra-articular displacement and therefore appropriately was offered and underwent surgery. Indications for surgical repair include involvement of greater than 25% of glenoid with subluxation of the humerus, greater than 5 mm of glenoid articular step off, or greater than 10 mm of intra-articular displacement on CT imaging.3 However, operative decisions are informed by the entire clinical picture, including the degree of shoulder joint instability and the patient’s lifestyle goals.

**Table. Ideberg classification of glenoid fractures.**

| Type | Fracture description |
|------|----------------------|
| Ia   | Anterior glenoid rim fracture |
| Ib   | Posterior glenoid rim fracture |
| II   | Glenoid fossa fracture with inferior neck/body extension |
| III  | Glenoid fossa fracture with superior neck/body extension |
| IV   | Glenoid fossa fracture with medial body extension |
| Va   | Glenoid fossa fracture with medial and inferior extension (II+IV) |
| Vb   | Glenoid fossa fracture with medial and superior extension (III+IV) |
| Vc   | Glenoid fossa fracture with medial, inferior, superior extension (II+III+IV) |
| VI   | Glenoid fossa fracture with severe comminution |
Comprehensive care of patients with scapula or shoulder injuries in the ED is facilitated through a combination of a thorough and targeted physical exam, appropriate radiographic studies, and consultation with an orthopedic specialist. Recognizing glenoid fractures and ensuring that surgical candidates are promptly identified have significant implications on long-term patient morbidity.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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