An update on the role of bronchoscopy in the diagnosis of pulmonary disease
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Introduction

Since the introduction of the flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope in 1968, it has been used as an essential tool for diagnosing lung lesions [1]. Over the past decade or so, endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) has been widely used clinically. Convex probe EBUS (CP-EBUS) has replaced surgical mediastinal staging for lung cancer and is extensively used in diagnosing many central lung lesions [2]. Although the diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy is reasonable, CP-EBUS provides a reliable diagnosis in patients with suspected lung cancer [3]. Radial probe EBUS (RP-EBUS), along with biopsy techniques using guide sheath (GS), is widely used to diagnose peripheral pulmonary lesions [4]. Although RP-EBUS guided transbronchial lung biopsy (TBLB) showed relatively lower diagnostic yield than computed tomography (CT)-guided transthoracic needle biopsy (TTNB) in diagnosing peripheral pulmonary lesions, the procedural risks were lower [5]. Recently, ultrathin bronchoscopy (UTB), electromagnetic navigational bronchoscopy (ENB), and cryobiopsy have been introduced to help diagnose lung diseases accurately. In this review, we have described the role of bronchoscopy in diagnosing pulmonary lesions.

Flexible bronchoscopy

Since Shigeto Ikeda first introduced the flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope in 1968, it has become an essential diagnostic tool for pulmonologists [1]. Many diagnostic procedures can be performed using flexible bronchoscopy (FB), such as airway inspection, bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), bronchial brushing, endobronchial biopsy, TBLB, and conventional transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA).

A thorough review of chest CT anatomy determining the lesion to obtain the sample should be done before conducting bronchoscopy. The most common indications for diagnostic FB are as follows: diagnosing airway injury or the presence of a foreign body, lung cancer, interstitial lung disease, pulmonary infection, and the investigation of hemoptysis [6,7]. Bronchoscopic findings of foreign body, bronchogenic carcinoma, and endobronchial tuberculosis...
losses are shown in Fig. 1.

FB has diagnostic value in patients with airway injury. Concerning inhalation injury, FB is of great value in diagnosing and treating it and can be used to predict late structural complications, such as vocal cord stenosis and tracheal stenosis [8-10]. Early recognition of airway injury followed by early surgical interventions is associated with a favorable outcome in patients with acute tracheobronchial injuries by blunt or penetrating trauma [11]. In such cases, rapid diagnosis using FB is very important, and bronchoscopy is the procedure of choice.

FB is suggested as the first-line diagnostic tool in adult patients with foreign body aspiration into the lower airway [12]. The removal of a foreign body by FB was first reported in the 1970s. Since then, several studies reported the removal of foreign bodies with FB. The success rate of foreign body removal by FB is 61% to 97% [13].

FB is frequently used in diagnosing lung cancer. The diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy differs depending on the location of the lesion (central or peripheral). Concerning central lesions, the sensitivity of endobronchial forceps biopsy of a visible endobronchial lesion is 74%. A minimum of three to five biopsy specimens is recommended to increase the rate of diagnosis in an endobronchial biopsy. The sensitivity of FB in diagnosing a central tumor increases to 88% with the addition of bronchial washing, brushing, endobronchial needle aspiration, and TBNA [14,15]. In the American College of Chest Physicians’ guidelines for establishing the diagnosis of lung cancer, the sensitivity of FB procedures in diagnosing peripheral tumors (78%) was lower than that of central tumors (88%) [14]. A minimum of six biopsy specimens is recommended to increase the rate of diagnosis in TBLB.

Since Andersen and Fontana [16] reported 450 cases undergoing TBLB in diffuse pulmonary lung disease (DPLD) in 1972, BAL and TBLB with FB are widely used in clinical practice for diagnosing DPLD. Eosinophilia and lymphocytosis with elevated CD4/CD8 ratio in BAL fluid help diagnose eosinophilic pneumonia and sarcoidosis, respectively [17]. TBLB helps diagnose sarcoidosis, eosinophilic pneumonia, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, lymphangioleiomyomatosis, lymphangitic carcinomatosis, pulmonary Langerhans cell histiocytosis, and multiple lung infections [18].

In a patient with hemoptysis, it is essential to detect its cause and location. By far, studies have shown that CT is superior to FB for detecting the cause and location of hemoptysis [19-21]. However, FB can be a useful tool for performing an endoscopic treatment using cold saline, vasoconstrictive agents, topical hemostatic agents, and argon plasma coagulation. Pulmonary isolation technique using FB can also prevent blood aspiration from the bleeding lung to the intact lung, thereby securing the patients’ airway and maintaining oxygenation [22].

FB can be performed safely, and most FBs are performed on an outpatient basis. A large retrospective cohort study demonstrated that the frequency of major (pneumothorax, pulmonary hemorrhage, and respiratory failure) and minor complications (laryngospasm, vomiting, vasovagal syncope, epistaxis, and bronchospasm) was 0.5% and 0.8%, respectively [23]. A multicenter study conducted in Italy also showed that bronchoscopy is a safe method with a low incidence of complications (1.08%) and mortality (0.02%) [24].

These days, with the development of new technologies, such as EBUS, UTB, and ENB, combining FB with these new technologies much improved the diagnostic yield.

**Endobronchial ultrasound-transbronchial needle aspiration**

CP-EBUS is integrated with a convex-shaped ultrasonic transducer at the tip of the bronchoscope. The physician can observe the airway walls and surrounding structures by placing the end of the bronchoscope directly against the airway wall or by inflating the balloon with saline solution. It can be observed in real-time that the needle passes through the bronchial wall and the lesion of interest. The physician aspirates the tissue through a needle and uses...
it for pathological diagnosis. It is possible to avoid blood vessels through the power Doppler mode installed with ultrasound [25].

Yasufuku et al. [26] first reported experiences of CP-EBUS-TBNA for sampling mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes in 2004. In the study, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of CP-EBUS-TBNA in diagnosing malignant lymph nodes were 95.7%, 100%, and 97.1%, respectively. The procedure was safe, and there were no complications. Since then, with the experience of respiratory physicians, it is now widely used as a diagnostic tool for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer, central lung masses, lymphoma, sarcoidosis, and tuberculosis. CP-EBUS-TBNA for the mediastinal lymph node and the central lung mass is shown in Fig. 2.

In patients with suspected mediastinal lymph node involvement (lymph node enlargement, or positron emission tomography uptake), EBUS-TBNA is recommended as the best primary diagnostic tool over surgical staging. The overall median sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were 89%, 100%, 100%, and 91%, respectively. Combined with endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-needle aspiration, the pooled median sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 91%, 100%, 100%, and 96%, respectively [2]. The combination of EUS with bronchoscope-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-B-FNA) and EBUS-TBNA provided additional diagnostic benefits through the sampling of inaccessible lesions by EBUS-TBNA, or when bronchoscopy was difficult due to dyspnea, or cough [27,28]. The feasibility of molecular profiling using EBUS-TBNA derived samples were acceptable, and EBUS-TBNA provided a higher amount of RNA extraction than bronchoscopy or CT-guided core biopsy [29,30]. Rebiopsy by EBUS-TBNA was also a useful sampling method for the analysis of acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor [31,32]. In the absence of rapid on-site evaluation, at least three needle aspirations are recommended per lymph node station, in patients with suspected lung cancer for mediastinal staging [33,34].

Most central lung tumors can be diagnosed by FB; however, some central tumors are not easily diagnosed because they are not observed by FB. If a central tumor is not accessible by FB and is in contact with the bronchus, a direct tissue examination can be carried out using CP-EBUS-TBNA. In previous studies, the sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA for diagnosing unknown lung lesions was 82% to 94%, in patients with central lung tumors not visible during FB. EBUS-related complications in diagnosing central tumors occurred in 5.4% of the cases [35-37]. However, when it comes to diagnosing lymphoma, EBUS-TBNA reported an overall sensitivity of 66.2%. Results showed a higher diagnostic sensitivity in diagnosing the recurrence of lymphoma (77.8%), than the new diagnosis of lymphoma (67.1%) [38].

In a meta-analysis of 553 patients with sarcoidosis, the pooled diagnostic accuracy of EBUS-TBNA for diagnosing sarcoidosis was 79% [39]. EBUS-TBNA was also an effective diagnostic tool for intrathoracic tuberculosis. The pooled sensitivity of EBUS-TBNA for diagnosing intrathoracic tuberculosis lymphadenopathy was 87% [40].

Complication rates in EBUS-TBNA were low (1% to 5%) [41,42]. Rarely, serious complications such as bronchogenic cyst infection, mediastinal abscess, mediastinitis, pericarditis, and sepsis have been reported [41,43].

**Endobronchial ultrasound and a guide sheath**

Using RP-EBUS, physicians can detect peripheral pulmonary lesions and can perform a biopsy. After peripheral pulmonary lesions are searched using an RP-EBUS inside GS, the RP is withdrawn, leaving the GS in place. Then bronchial brush and biopsy forceps are introduced into the GS, and brushings and biopsy specimens are collected [4].

In 1992, Hurter and Hanrath [44] reported the initial experience of RP-EBUS during routine FB. Following this, Kurimoto et al. [4] reported a technique using EBUS and a GS (EBUS-GS) to increase the diagnostic yield of peripheral pulmonary lesions. The overall yield of EBUS-GS was 77%, 81% for malignant lesions, and

---

**Fig. 2.** Axial images of chest computed tomography and convex probe-endobronchial ultrasound-transbronchial needle aspiration for the right paratracheal lymph node (A) and the central lung mass (B).
Three classes of lesions were identified by RP-EBUS based on the internal structure of the lesion: type I (homogeneous pattern), type II (hyperechoic dots and linear arcs pattern), and type III (heterogeneous pattern). This endobronchial ultrasonographic information suggests the histology of the lung lesions [45].

A meta-analysis revealed that RP-EBUS had a point specificity of 1.00 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.99–1.00) and a point sensitivity of 0.73 (95% CI, 0.70–0.76) for the detection of lung cancer. The diagnostic yield was higher for lesions > 20 mm (77.7%; 95% CI, 73%–82%) than for the lesions ≤ 20 mm (56.3%; 95% CI, 51%–61%). The diagnostic yield was also affected by the probe location. The ultrasound image associated with the probe location of RP-EBUS is shown in Fig. 3. Advancing the probe within the pulmonary lesions yielded the highest diagnostic accuracy (87%). The yield when the probe was advanced adjacent to the lesion was lower (42%) [46]. Several studies demonstrated that the probe position within the lesion was associated with diagnostic success in patients with EBUS-GS [47-49]. Besides this, peripheral location, bronchus sign on chest tomography, and the use of forceps as the first instrument were the independent factors for diagnostic success [49-52]. The cumulative diagnostic yield reached a plateau after the fifth biopsy specimen was collected. Thus, at least five biopsy specimens are needed to increase the diagnostic yield [49].

Izumo et al. [53] reported that RP-EBUS-GS could be considered as a diagnostic method for ground-glass opacity (GGO) lesions under the guidance of fluoroscopy. The diagnostic yield was 65%, and a visible EBUS image was an independent factor affecting the diagnostic yield. The blizzard and mixed blizzard signs are useful ultrasound images to detect the correct location of GGO pulmonary lesions [54]. In our institution, RP-EBUS-GS is performed to diagnose GGO pulmonary lesions (Fig. 4). In addition to diagnosing malignant lesions, RP-EBUS-GS was a useful and safe diagnostic method for diagnosing diffuse lung lesions [55].

The complication rates were lower (0% to 7.4%) than CT-guided TTNB [56-58]. Pneumothorax occurred in 1.0% of the patients [59], and bleeding, infection, and breaking of radial probes can occur in some cases [58].

Fig. 3. Axial images of chest computed tomography and the ultrasound images associated with the probe location of radial probe-endobronchial ultrasound. (A) Within the lesion. (B) Adjacent to the lesion.

Fig. 4. Axial image of chest computed tomography (A) and the ultrasound image (B) associated with radial probe-endobronchial ultrasound-guide sheath performed to diagnose ground-glass opacity pulmonary lesions.
Ultradthin bronchoscopy

According to previous studies, a bronchoscope with an external diameter of 3.0 mm is considered as a UTB [60]. An ultrathin fiberscope with an external diameter of 2.7 mm and an internal diameter of 0.8 mm with a working channel was introduced in 1996. The ultrathin fiberscope was specifically used in a bronchoscope during mechanical ventilation through tracheal tubes in infants, suctioning, drug injection, and BAL [61]. The diagnostic rate of peripheral lung lesions using UTB was 60.0% to 69.4%, and the diagnostic yield was 57% in lesions less than 20 mm [62,63]. In a multicenter randomized trial conducted in Japan, the UTB with RP-EBUS group showed a superior diagnostic yield than the RP-EBUS-GS with a thin bronchoscopy group (74% vs. 59%, p = 0.044). Complications, such as pneumothorax, bleeding, and pneumonia was similar between the two groups (3% vs. 5%, p = 0.595) [64].

Electromagnetic navigational bronchoscopy

Despite the introduction of RP-EBUS, many peripheral pulmonary lesions are still difficult to diagnose. ENB systems were developed to overcome such limitations. Schwarz et al. [65] first reported ENB in diagnosing peripheral pulmonary lesions with an acceptable diagnostic yield (69%) and safety.

An ENB works like a car reaching its destination via the global positioning system. By far, there are two ENB systems: the Super-Dimension Navigation System (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and the SPiN System (Veran Medical Technologies, St. Louis, MO, USA) [66]. Fig. 5 shows the ENB assisted tissue sampling for a peripheral pulmonary lesion using the SPiN System.

In a meta-analysis of the diagnostic yield of ENB for lung nodules, the pooled sensitivity, specificity, and the diagnostic odds ratio were 82%, 100%, and 97.62%, respectively [67]. One-year results of the prospective, multicenter NAVIGATE study [68] revealed that diagnostic yield was 73%. Greater nodule size, nodule visualization with RP-EBUS, presence of bronchus sign, lower registration error, and catheter suction technique were associated with an increased diagnostic yield. However, lower lobe location was correlated with a decreased diagnostic yield [67]. When ENB was combined with EBUS, the diagnostic yield was greater than ENB alone (88% vs. 59%, p = 0.02) [69].

Fig. 5. (A–E) Electromagnetic navigational bronchoscopy assisted tissue sampling for a peripheral pulmonary lesion using the SPiN System (Veran Medical Technologies, St. Louis, MO, USA).
ENB related complications such as pneumothorax, bleeding, and respiratory failure are reported in about 0% to 5% of the cases in several pieces of literature [67,68]. ENB can be an effective diagnostic method for cases undetectable by FB in high-risk patients with a low complication rate [70].

Cryobiopsy

Transbronchial cryobiopsy is performed to obtain lung tissue for the diagnosis of interstitial lung diseases and lung tumors. Transbronchial cryobiopsy showed higher diagnostic yield than transbronchial forceps biopsy (91.67% vs. 73.13%, *p = 0.0002) in diagnosing interstitial lung diseases and lung tumors [71]. Meta-analysis revealed that the diagnostic yield ranged from 74% to 98%, with a pooled estimate of 83% [72]. Quantitative assessment of samples showed that cryobiopsy samples were larger than forceps biopsy samples (11.17 mm² vs. 4.69 mm², *p < 0.001). A qualitative difference was not observed between cryobiopsy and forceps biopsy [73]. Pneumothorax (6.8% to 12%), moderate/severe bleeding (39%), severe bleeding (0.3%), and death (0.1%) occurred after transbronchial cryobiopsy [72,74].

Conclusion

In this review, we described the role of bronchoscopy in the diagnosis of pulmonary disease. The role of bronchoscopy in the diagnostic field is further increasing as more patients visit hospitals with chest imaging abnormalities due to the popularization of health check-ups. Recent developments in EBUS have enabled safer and more accurate examinations for diagnosing lung diseases. The development and combination of a variety of new diagnostic technologies, including ENB, is expected to make the diagnosis of difficult to diagnose lung diseases more feasible in the future.
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