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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate short-term effects of a low-carbohydrate diet in overweight and obese subjects with low HDL-C levels.

Methods: Overweight (BMI between 25-30 kg/m²) or obese (BMI over 30 kg/m²) subjects with low HDL-C levels (men with HDL-C <1.03, women <1.29 mmol/l) were invited to the study. A 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate (CHO) diet was given to women and an 1800 kcal 100-gram CHO diet was given to men for four weeks. The distribution of daily energy of the prescribed diet was 21-22% from CHO, 26-29% from protein and 49-53% from fat. Subjects completed a three-day dietary intake record before each visit. Anthropometric indices, body fat ratio, blood lipids, glucose and insulin were measured. Baseline and week-four results were compared with a Wilcoxon signed ranks test.

Results: Twenty-five women and 18 men participated. Basal median LDL-C level of men was 3.11 and basal median LDL-C level of women was 3.00 mmol/l. After four weeks of a low-carbohydrate diet, the median energy intake decreased from 1901 to 1307 kcal/day, daily energy from carbohydrate from 55% to 33%, body weight from 87.7 to 83.0 kg and HDL-C increased from 0.83 to 0.96 mmol/l in men (p < 0.002, for all). After four weeks of a low-carbohydrate diet, the median energy intake tended to decrease (from 1463 to 1243 kcal, p = 0.052), daily energy from carbohydrate decreased from 53% to 30% (p < 0.001) and body weight decreased from 73.2 to 70.8 kg (p < 0.001) in women, but HDL-C did not significantly change (from 1.03 to 1.01 mmol/l, p = 0.165). There were significant decreases in body mass index, waist circumference, body fat ratio, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, triglyceride and insulin levels in all subjects.

Conclusions: HDL-C levels increased significantly with energy restriction, carbohydrate restriction and weight loss in men. HDL-C levels didn’t change in women in whom there was no significant energy restriction but a significant carbohydrate restriction and a relatively small but significant weight loss. Our results suggest that both energy and carbohydrate restriction should be considered in overweight and obese subjects with low HDL-C levels, especially when LDL-C levels are not elevated.

Background

A low high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level is an independent risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1]. Even in subjects with a low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) level that is below 60 mg/dl, either achieved with lipid lowering agents or occurring spontaneously, HDL-C levels show an inverse relationship with CVD risk and a U-shaped relationship with all-cause mortality [2]. The increase in HDL-C level has been found to have a strong independent effect in reducing CVD risk after adjustment of other lipid changes in patients who are on lipid lowering therapy [3]. Although relatively effective LDL-C lowering medical therapies are available, drugs that raise HDL-C level as the principal pharmacodynamic effect do not exist. Physical activity, cessation of smoking, weight loss, moderate alcohol intake, a diet rich in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and a diet low in carbohydrates are
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HDL-C raising strategies [4]. The Mediterranean diet has beneficial effects on CVD risk [5] and is effective in raising HDL-C levels [6]. A targeted lifestyle change program improves CVD risk factors and the distribution of plasma lipoprotein subclasses, especially small dense LDL-C particles [7]. The United States National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP) recommends therapeutic lifestyle changes diet to reduce the risk of CVD [1]. The distribution of daily energy intake is 50-60% from carbohydrate, 15% from protein and 25-35% from fat in the therapeutic lifestyle changes diet. American Heart Association and World Health Organization also recommend a low-fat diet [8,9]. In view of the recent data on the benefits of low-carbohydrate diets, these official recommendations have been challenged [10-12]. The primary objective of the therapeutic lifestyle changes diet is to reduce LDL-C levels to prevent CVD, but low-fat diets decrease HDL-C levels and increase triglycerides as well [13-18]. There are no dietary intervention studies performed in subjects with only low HDL-C levels. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of a low-carbohydrate diet in overweight and obese subjects with low HDL-C levels.

Methods
Subjects were invited to the study from the Turkish Heart Study database. Turkish Heart Study is an epidemiological survey of CVD risk factors periodically performed in Turkey. In 2005 and 2006, we reviewed our 2003 Turkish Heart Study data [19] and invited overweight [body mass index (BMI) ≥25 and <30 kg/m²] and obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) subjects with a low HDL-C level. NCEP HDL-C criterion [HDL-C ≥50 mg/dl (1.29 mmol/l) for women and <40 mg/dl (1.03 mmol/l) for men] was used to categorize subjects to low HDL-C status [1]. The exclusion criteria were the presence of a major medical illness like renal disease, liver disease, cancer, diabetes and CVD and the use of lipid-lowering drugs. A phone call was made to subjects for invitation and to explain the study. Subjects who agreed to participate were instructed on how to record dietary intake and were asked to come to our outpatient offices for a 10-hour fast. At each visit blood pressure, anthropometric indices and body fat ratio were measured and a fasting blood sample was obtained. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kadir Has University, Faculty of Medicine. All subjects signed written informed consent.

Clinical variables
Body weight and composition were measured with a Tanita Body Composition Analyzer BC-418 MA (Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Bioimpedance analysis was performed in the morning before breakfast and subjects were instructed to void before the measurement. Body weight was measured in kilograms to within 0.1 kg. Height was measured to within 0.5 cm with a measuring stick. BMI was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms to squared height in meters. Waist circumference was measured at the midpoint between lower margin of the rib cage and superior iliac crest during mild expiration with a non-elastic measuring tape. Waist circumference was measured to within 0.5 cm. All measurements were taken when subjects were on light clothing and after shoes were taken off. Blood pressure was measured on the right arm with an automated sphygmomanometer (Omron automatic blood pressure monitor with IntelliSense®, Bannockburn, IL, USA) after fifteen minute of rest with the subject in the sitting position. The mean of two recordings, five minutes apart was recorded.

The metabolic syndrome was defined by the NCEP criteria that were modified by the American Heart Association and the United States National Heart Lung and Blood Institute [1,20]. According to NCEP, metabolic syndrome is diagnosed if three of the following five components are abnormal: 1) waist circumference ≥102 cm in men or ≥88 cm in women, 2) systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, 3) serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dl (1.69 mmol/l), 4) HDL-C <50 mg/dl (1.29 mmol/l) for women and <40 mg/dl (1.03 mmol/l) for men [1], 5) fasting plasma glucose ≥100 mg/dl (5.56 mmol/l) [20]. Subjects on drug therapy for hypertension, high blood glucose or abnormal lipid levels are also assigned to abnormal component status [20].

Laboratory variables
A cobas 6000 multichannel analyzer (Hitachi High Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and commercial kits (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) were used for measurement of cholesterol, HDL-C, triglycerides and glucose. Cholesterol (kit: CHOL2) and triglycerides (kit: TRIGL) were measured with an enzymatic colorimetric method. A homogeneous enzymatic colorimetric test was used for direct measurement of HDL-C (kit: HDLC3). LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated by the Friedewald formula. Glucose (kit: GLUC3) was measured by hexokinase method. Fasting insulin levels were measured with an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (kit: Insulin) in a cobas e 411 analyzer (Hitachi High Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Insulin resistance was estimated by Homeostasis Model Assessment (HOMA) equation. HOMA equals fasting serum insulin (μU/ml) times fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) divided by 22.5 [21].

Dietary intervention
The participants were free-living and were instructed not to alter their physical activity level during the study.
Subjects visited Medical Nutrition Center three times: basal, at two weeks and at four weeks. The results of baseline and week-four visits are reported here. Subjects were asked to complete a three-day food intake record prior to each visit. The daily energy intake and the dietary composition were calculated from subjects’ dietary history records by pen and paper [22]. Sample menus that were given to subjects were shown in the additional file 1. Women were instructed to follow a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. 21% of daily energy was from carbohydrate, 26% from protein and 53% from fat in the diet that was recommended to women. The analysis of the sample menu with BEBIS nutritional analysis software program (developed by Stuttgart-Hohenheim University, Stuttgart, Germany) showed that the prescribed diet for women included 52% polysaccharides, 17% disaccharides and 31% monosaccharides and 21 grams of fiber daily. The prescribed simple sugar intake constituted approximately 7% of the total energy intake. The distribution of fatty acids was as follows: 33% saturated, 17% polyunsaturated and 50% monounsaturated fatty acids. Ingested proteins were mainly of animal origin and vegetable proteins constituted approximately 22% of daily protein intake in the 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet that was prescribed to women. Men were instructed to follow an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. 22% of daily energy was from carbohydrate, 29% from protein and 49% from fat in the diet that was recommended to men. The analysis of the sample menu with BEBIS nutritional analysis software program showed that the prescribed diet for men included 59% polysaccharides, 17% disaccharides and 24% monosaccharides and 27 grams of fiber daily. The prescribed simple sugar intake constituted approximately 5% of the total energy intake. The distribution of fatty acids was as follows: 32% saturated, 20% polyunsaturated and 48% monounsaturated fatty acids. Ingested proteins were mainly of animal origin and vegetable proteins constituted approximately 19% of daily protein intake in the 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet that was prescribed to men. Meat, chicken or fish consumption was recommended once or twice per day to all subjects (additional file 1). As following a low-carbohydrate diet is expensive, subjects were given food products like salami, sausages, nuts and cheese to prevent noncompliance. Subjects were counseled to select from different food items at each visit. None of the participants consumed pork because pork is religiously forbidden in Islam and is not available on the Turkish market.

**Statistical methods**

Before- and after-diet clinical and laboratory variables were compared. There was no control group. Last observation was carried forward for subjects who did not come to their last scheduled visit and for data that the investigators failed to collect or record. Results at week-2 were carried forward to week-4 for subjects who attended only to the baseline and week-2 visits. Subjects who attended to only baseline visit were excluded from data analysis. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Baseline social characteristics of men and women were compared with a χ² test. When there were any expected frequencies less than five, the rest of the categories were collapsed. Fisher’s exact test was employed instead of χ² test when the expected frequencies were still less than five after collapsing the rest of the categories. Before- and after-diet results for continuous variables were presented as median, 25th and 75th percentile and were compared with a Wilcoxon signed ranks test. Before- and after-diet categorical variables were compared with a McNemar’s test. The correlations between the change in energy intake, the change in carbohydrate intake or the change in insulin sensitivity and the change in outcome variables were found by calculating Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient or Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, as appropriate. The relation between starting weight and weight loss was estimated by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences software, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses.

**Results**

There are 43 subjects, 18 men and 25 women in this study. Initially 50 subjects, 22 men and 28 women agreed to participate. Seven subjects (four men and three women) came only to the basal screening and did not follow the diet at all. Therefore, they were excluded from the analysis. Three subjects (one man and two women) came only to the baseline and week-2 visits and their results were carried forward to week-4. Eighty percent of (40 out of 50) subjects were compliant with the prescribed diet and attended to all three visits. Six men and six women were married to each other. The mean age of men was 38 and standard deviation (SD) was seven years. The mean age of women was 39 and SD was seven years. Social characteristics of the subjects were given in Table 1. Men were more educated than women. There were no differences in smoking, alcohol drinking and physical activity habits between men and women. Twelve men (67%) were overweight and six men (33%) were obese. Ten women (40%) were overweight and 15 women (60%) were obese (χ² = 2.978, p = 0.084 between men and women). Energy content and macronutrient composition before and after the diet were shown in Table 2. The median of men’s baseline energy intake was 1901 kcal and was slightly higher...
than the energy value of the prescribed diet (1800 kcal). Compared to baseline, men achieved around 600 kcal energy deficit with the low-carbohydrate diet. There was a significant reduction in percent energy from carbohydrate and a significant increase in percent energy from protein and fat in men. Men’s individual data for daily energy intake was illustrated in Figure 1. Men’s individual data for daily carbohydrate intake was illustrated in Figure 2. Eighty-nine percent of men (16/18) had an energy intake below the target level of 1800 kcal at the end of the study. Only 39% of men (7/18) were able to achieve the target carbohydrate intake of 100 grams or less per day. The median of women’s baseline energy intake was 1463 kcal and was close to the energy value of the prescribed diet (1400 kcal). Women had an insignificant 220 kcal energy deficit with the prescribed low-carbohydrate diet. There was a significant reduction in percent energy from carbohydrate and a significant increase in percent energy from fat in women. Percent energy from protein did not change significantly before and after the diet in women. Women’s individual data for daily energy intake was illustrated in Figure 1. Women’s individual data for daily carbohydrate intake was illustrated in Figure 2. Eighty-three percent of women (19/23) had an energy intake below the target level of 1400 kcal at the end of the study. Only 22% of women (5/23) were able to achieve the target carbohydrate intake of 75 grams or less per day. As shown in Table 3 and Table 4, after four weeks of an energy-restricted low-carbohydrate diet, all subjects had significant reductions in body weight, BMI, waist circumference, body fat ratio, systolic blood pressure, and total cholesterol, triglyceride and insulin levels, TC/HDL-C ratio and HOMA value compared to baseline. There was a significant increase in median HDL-C levels in men, but not in women. The increase in HDL-C level was illustrated in Figure 1. Men’s individual data for daily macronutrient intake was given as percent energy from macronutrient in upper rows and as amount of macronutrient in grams in lower rows in lines illustrating macronutrient intake.

Table 1 Social characteristics and life-style habits of subjects

| Parameter               | Men (n = 18) | Women (n = 25) | p value |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Education               |             |                |         |
| Less than 6 years       | 39% (7)     | 72% (18)       | 0.030   |
| Between 6 and 11 years  | 22% (4)     | 20% (5)        | 1.000   |
| More than 12 years      | 39% (7)     | 8% (2)         | 0.023   |
| Smoking                 |             |                |         |
| Never-smoker            | 44% (8)     | 52% (13)       | 0.625   |
| Ex-smoker               | 22% (4)     | 4% (1)         | 0.144   |
| Current-smoker          | 33% (6)     | 44% (11)       | 0.480   |
| Alcohol drinking        |             |                |         |
| Non-drinker*            | 72% (13)    | 84% (21)       | 0.455   |
| Drinker*                | 28% (5)     | 16% (4)        | 0.455   |
| Exercise                |             |                |         |
| None to less than 1 hour | 67% (12)  | 64% (16)       | 0.856   |
| Between 1-4 hour/week*  | 22% (4)     | 20% (5)        | 1.000   |
| More than 4 hour/week*  | 11% (2)     | 16% (4)        | 1.000   |

Non-drinker: subject does not consume any alcoholic beverage at all. Drinker: consumes less than one glass of alcoholic beverage or more per week. Percentage and frequency are given and *χ² test is used to compare groups in unmarked rows *percentage and frequency are given and Fisher’s exact test is used to compare groups.

Table 2 Dietary energy and composition before and after four weeks of an energy-restricted low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels

| Parameter               | Before-diet* | After-diet* | p value† |
|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Men (n = 18)‡            |              |             |          |
| Energy intake (kcal/day) | 1901 (1598, 2479) | 1307 (1000, 1619) | 0.001    |
| CHO intake (%)           | 55 (48, 61)  | 33 (28, 42)  | <0.001   |
| CHO intake (gram/day)    | 271 (214, 312) | 111 (93, 126) | <0.001   |
| Protein intake (%)       | 14 (13, 16)  | 22 (19, 26)  | <0.001   |
| Protein intake (gram/day)| 63 (54, 99)  | 74 (54, 85)  | 0.619    |
| Fat intake (%)           | 32 (27, 33)  | 45 (37, 47)  | 0.001    |
| Fat intake (gram/day)    | 71 (51, 85)  | 65 (44, 80)  | 0.407    |
| Women (n = 23)§          |              |             |          |
| Energy intake (kcal/day) | 1463 (1132, 1852) | 1243 (1012, 1313) | 0.052    |
| CHO intake (%)           | 53 (45, 58)  | 30 (28, 39)  | <0.001   |
| CHO intake (gram/day)    | 192 (125, 220) | 92 (80, 114)  | <0.001   |
| Protein intake (%)       | 14 (12, 16)  | 18 (16, 21)  | 0.109    |
| Protein intake (gram/day)| 50 (33, 63)  | 53 (41, 69)  | 0.627    |
| Fat intake (%)           | 31 (26, 36)  | 48 (43, 55)  | <0.001   |
| Fat intake (gram/day)    | 47 (41, 62)  | 68 (45, 79)  | 0.005    |

CHO: carbohydrate *median (25th, 75th percentile) are given in the column †before- and after-diet results are compared with a Wilcoxon signed ranks test §men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks §women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks Daily macronutrient intake is given as percent energy from macronutrient in upper rows and as amount of macronutrient in grams in lower rows in lines illustrating macronutrient intake.
Figure 1 Individual data of daily energy intake before and after low-carbohydrate diet. Energy intake from food records before and after four weeks of an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese men with low HDL-C levels and before and after four weeks of a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese women with low HDL-C levels.
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Figure 2 Individual data of daily carbohydrate intake before and after low-carbohydrate diet. Carbohydrate intake from food records before and after four weeks of an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese men with low HDL-C levels and before and after four weeks of a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese women with low HDL-C levels.
Table 3 Anthropometric indices, bioimpedance analysis, blood pressure and laboratory variables before and after four weeks of an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese men with low HDL-C levels

|                | n* | Before-diet† | After-diet‡ | p value‡ |
|----------------|----|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Body weight (kg) | 18 | 87.7 (82.9, 96.8) | 83.0 (80.5, 90.3) | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 18 | 29.1 (28.2, 30.6) | 27.9 (26.8, 28.9) | <0.001 |
| WC (cm) | 16 | 104.8 (101.0, 108.1) | 99.3 (95.4, 104.1) | <0.001 |
| Body fat ratio (%) | 17 | 25.4 (23.0, 27.7) | 23.4 (20.2, 26.4) | 0.010 |
| Fat mass (kg) | 17 | 22.0 (19.8, 24.9) | 20.8 (16.6, 22.6) | <0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 17 | 130 (123, 140) | 124 (119, 134) | 0.003 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 17 | 76 (73, 91) | 80 (71, 84) | 0.297 |
| TC (mmol/l) | 18 | 4.34 (4.13, 5.29) | 4.20 (3.76, 4.95) | 0.029 |
| HDL-C (mmol/l) | 18 | 0.83 (0.74, 0.88) | 0.96 (0.82, 1.03) | <0.001 |
| TG (mmol/l) | 18 | 3.11 (2.54, 3.76) | 2.80 (2.32, 3.56) | 0.058 |
| Glucose (mmol/l) | 18 | 1.23 (0.85, 1.85) | 0.95 (0.63, 1.27) | 0.004 |
| Glycated hemoglobin | 17 | 8.03 (5.93, 9.83) | 6.12 (4.55, 8.14) | 0.005 |
| HOMA § | 17 | 2.47 (1.74, 3.22) | 1.89 (1.31, 2.63) | 0.003 |

BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; HOMA: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

*some data are missing for some of the variables (25th, 75th percentile) are given in the column before- and after-diet results are compared with a Wilcoxon signed ranks test

Table 4 Anthropometric indices, bioimpedance analysis, blood pressure and laboratory variables before and after four weeks of a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese with low HDL-C levels

|                | n* | Before-diet† | After-diet‡ | p value‡ |
|----------------|----|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Body weight (kg) | 25 | 73.2 (68.5, 81.7) | 70.8 (65.5, 79.1) | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25 | 30.4 (28.0, 32.9) | 29.5 (27.2, 31.4) | <0.001 |
| WC (cm) | 25 | 95.0 (87.5, 99.0) | 92.0 (86.0, 96.5) | 0.002 |
| Body fat ratio (%) | 24 | 38.0 (34.6, 39.7) | 34.6 (32.7, 39.6) | <0.001 |
| Fat mass (kg) | 24 | 27.7 (23.4, 31.7) | 24.3 (22.1, 29.9) | <0.001 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 25 | 123 (115, 132) | 112 (107, 124) | 0.005 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 25 | 82 (74, 91) | 79 (71, 84) | 0.253 |
| TC (mmol/l) | 25 | 4.50 (3.84, 5.24) | 3.93 (3.56, 4.42) | <0.001 |
| HDL-C (mmol/l) | 25 | 1.03 (0.94, 1.09) | 1.01 (0.89, 1.14) | 0.165 |
| TG (mmol/l) | 25 | 4.34 (3.73, 5.48) | 3.81 (3.35, 4.30) | <0.001 |
| Glucose (mmol/l) | 25 | 3.00 (2.37, 3.59) | 2.46 (2.13, 2.93) | <0.001 |
| Insulin (pmol/l) | 23 | 11.2 (8.09, 15.8) | 8.02 (7.3, 11.2) | 0.011 |
| HOMA § | 23 | 5.11 (4.91, 5.41) | 5.11 (4.83, 5.55) | 0.742 |

BMI: body mass index; WC: waist circumference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; HOMA: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

*some data are missing for some of the variables (25th, 75th percentile) are given in the column before- and after-diet results are compared with a Wilcoxon signed ranks test

was accompanied by a ~600 kcal daily energy deficit and a -4.7 kg difference in median body weight in men. After four weeks of a low-carbohydrate diet, there was no significant change in HDL-C levels in women. This was accompanied by an insignificant 220 kcal daily energy deficit but a significant -2.4 kg difference in median body weight in men. The frequency of the metabolic syndrome and abnormal HDL-C component significantly improved only in men and the frequency of the metabolic syndrome tended to improve in women (Table 5). The scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake versus the change in HDL-C level was presented in Figure 3. The scatter plot of the change in daily carbohydrate intake versus the change in HDL-C level was presented in Figure 4. The correlation coefficients between the change in energy intake, the change in carbohydrate intake or the change in insulin sensitivity and the change in outcome variables were weak (Table 6). The change in daily energy intake was significantly correlated with the change in triglycerides in men. The change in daily carbohydrate intake was significantly correlated with the change in body weight, total cholesterol/HDL-C ratio and triglycerides in the whole group. The change in daily carbohydrate intake was...
significantly correlated with the change in body weight, BMI, total cholesterol/HDL-C ratio and triglycerides in men and with the change in diastolic blood pressure, insulin levels and HOMA in women. There were more instances in which the change in carbohydrate intake was significantly correlated with outcome variables than the change in energy intake (Table 6). The correlation between baseline weight and weight loss was not significant, Spearman’s $\rho = -0.186$, $p = 0.460$ in men and Spearman’s $\rho = 0.014$, $p = 0.948$ in women. The starting weight and final weight were highly correlated (Spearman’s $\rho = 0.983$, $p < 0.001$ for men and Spearman’s $\rho = 0.987$, $p < 0.001$ for women). The relation between the baseline weight and the final weight was shown in Figure 5. The scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake versus weight loss was presented in Figure 6. The scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake from carbohydrate versus weight loss was presented in Figure 7. The scatter plot of the change in daily carbohydrate intake versus weight loss was presented in Figure 8.

**Discussion**

Beneficial effects of low-carbohydrate diets have been observed before. These studies were on normal weight normolipidemic subjects [17,18], overweight or obese subjects [13-16,23-25], subjects with hypertension [14,26], metabolic syndrome [14,16,26,27], hyperlipidemia [13,15] or diabetes [16,27,28]. Our study evaluates the effects of a low-carbohydrate diet in subjects with low HDL-C levels. Compared to North American or European populations, Turks have low levels of total cholesterol and HDL-C and relative roles of the metabolic syndrome and atherogenic dyslipidemia are more pronounced [29]. The mean and SD of HDL-C levels of Turkish men are 37 and 12 mg/dl, respectively. The mean and SD of HDL-C levels of Turkish women are 45 and 13 mg/dl, respectively [30]. Molecular genetic studies showed that single nucleotide polymorphisms in hepatic lipase, cholesterol ester transfer protein and ATP binding cassette transporter A1 genes are associated with plasma HDL-C levels in the Turkish population [31-34]. A previous study showed that 61% of adult

---

**Figure 3** Scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake and the change in HDL-C after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. A line of best fit with 95% confidence intervals is shown. Men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks and women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. Change in HDL-C: HDL-C level at week-4 minus HDL-C level at baseline Change in daily energy intake: energy intake at week-4 minus energy intake at baseline.
nondiabetic Turkish population had low HDL-C levels (<40 mg/dl for men and <50 mg/dl for women) [35]. Therefore, we think that the effects of dietary therapy should be investigated in subjects with low HDL-C levels, especially in a population with a high prevalence of low HDL-C levels. Al-Sarraj and coworkers showed that a carbohydrate-restricted diet improves insulin resistance and all features of the metabolic syndrome except for the low HDL-C component in Emirati adults [36]. The authors commented that genetic predisposition to low HDL-C might explain the lack of effect of the low-carbohydrate diet on HDL-C levels [36]. Similarly, genetic factors have been found to be associated with low HDL-C levels in the Turkish population [31-34]. In contrast to the above-mentioned study in Emirati adults, a low-carbohydrate diet with energy restriction raised HDL-C levels in men in our study. As there are discrepant results among dietary intervention studies, further studies are needed in subjects with low HDL-C levels.

It has been reported that carbohydrate intake is related to HDL-C levels and every 100 gram/day increment of carbohydrate intake is associated with a 5.8 mg/dl (0.15 mmol/L) decrease in HDL-C levels after adjustment of confounders in a multivariate nutrient residual model [37]. A low-carbohydrate diet is effective in improving glycemic control and reducing hemoglobinA1c levels in subjects with diabetes mellitus [28]. Volek and Feinman proposed that the metabolic syndrome should be defined as an entity that favorably responds to carbohydrate restriction because a low-carbohydrate diet effectively targets each component of the metabolic syndrome: central obesity, low HDL-C, high triglycerides, high blood pressure and high blood glucose [10,11]. The mechanism of improvement is thought to be secondary to reduction of insulin resistance. Volek et al. stressed that dietary carbohydrate intake is a key element in disposal of excess dietary fat intake, directly or indirectly through the secretion of insulin [38]. Dietary carbohydrate modulates lipolysis, de novo lipogenesis and effects the interaction between dietary fat intake and plasma saturated fatty acid levels. Volek et al. showed that when carbohydrate intake was low and consequently plasma glucose and insulin levels were
Table 6 The correlation coefficients between the change in daily energy intake, the change in daily carbohydrate intake or the change in insulin sensitivity and outcome variables before and after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels

|                          | All subjects† | Men‡§ | Women¶△ |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Δ Energy intake          | 0.286         | 0.386 | -0.123  |
| Δ CHO intake             | 0.321*        | 0.493*| 0.001   |
| Δ HOMA                   | -0.085        | -0.530*| 0.160  |
| Δ BMI                    | 0.121         | 0.352 | -0.149  |
| Δ WC                     | 0.132         | 0.296 | -0.140  |
| Δ body fat ratio         | -0.182        | 0.088 | -0.149  |
| Δ fat mass               | -0.120        | 0.115 | -0.098  |
| Δ SBP                    | 0.082         | 0.0115| -0.009  |
| Δ DBP                    | 0.307         | 0.400 | -0.209  |
| Δ TC                     | -0.084        | 0.185 | -0.034  |
| Δ HDL-C                  | -0.172        | -0.349| -0.007  |
| Δ TC/HDL-C               | 0.134         | 0.232 | 0.057   |
| Δ LDL-C                  | -0.218        | -0.098| -0.211  |
| Δ TG                     | 0.303         | 0.601**| 0.677**|
| Δ Glucose                | -0.113        | 0.220 | -0.189  |
| Δ Insulin                | 0.263         | 0.201 | -0.034  |
| Δ HOMA                   | 0.213         | 0.162 | -0.174  |

Table 6 The correlation coefficients between the change in daily energy intake, the change in daily carbohydrate intake or the change in insulin sensitivity and outcome variables before and after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels (Continued)

| Δ HOMA | 0.390 | 0.435* | 1     |

Δ difference between the value at week-4 and the value at baseline, energy intake: daily energy intake obtained from food records, carbohydrate intake: daily amount of carbohydrate intake in grams obtained from food records, HOMA: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, BMI: body mass index, WC: waist circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TC: total cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG: triglycerides, *p < 0.05 †Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient §Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ¶men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram (22% of daily energy from) carbohydrate diet ‡women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram (21% of daily energy from) carbohydrate diet

due to the lower, a higher saturated fat intake would cause a reduction in relative proportions of circulating saturated fatty acids in triglyceride and cholesterol ester fractions [39]. In addition to improving classical cardiovascular risk factors, compared to a low-fat diet, a low-carbohydrate diet favorably affects nonclassical cardiovascular risk factors like apo B, apo A-I, apo B/apo A-I ratio, LDL particle size and distribution [39], postprandial lipemia and postprandial vascular endothelial function as assessed by flow-mediated dilatation [40]. Carbohydrate restriction also exerts an anti-inflammatory effect with a decrease in proinflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor-α, the chemokines interleukin-8 and monocyte chemotactic protein-1, the adhesion molecules E-selectin and intracellular adhesion molecule-1 and antifibrinolytic substance plasminogen-activator inhibitor-1 [41]. In summary, a large body of evidence indicates that abnormal fatty acid status and inflammatory state that are characteristics of the metabolic syndrome are better improved by a low-carbohydrate diet than a low-fat diet [38-41]. Low-carbohydrate diets have different favorable effects on CVD risk factors than low-fat diets [15,16]. Meckling and coworkers proposed that a low-fat diet should be initiated when an increase in HDL-C level is the primary goal and a low-carbohydrate diet should be initiated when reduction of blood cholesterol is the primary goal [13]. In view of our findings, we suggest that an energy-restricted low-carbohydrate diet should be initiated when an increase in HDL-C level is the primary goal.

Limitations of the study

Even if some low-carbohydrate food items were provided free of charge, the vast majority of subjects could not achieve the target carbohydrate intake in our study. In contrast, most subjects reached their goal in caloric restriction. As low-carbohydrate food items are expensive, their daily amount of carbohydrate intake in grams obtained from food records, carbohydrate intake: daily energy intake obtained from food records, carbohydrate intake: daily energy from) carbohydrate diet daily energy intake obtained from food records, carbohydrate intake: daily amount of carbohydrate intake in grams obtained from food records, HOMA: homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, BMI: body mass index, WC: waist circumference, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, TC: total cholesterol, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG: triglycerides, *p < 0.05 †Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient §Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ¶men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram (22% of daily energy from) carbohydrate diet ‡women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram (21% of daily energy from) carbohydrate diet
Figure 5 Scatter plot of the baseline weight and the final weight after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. A line of best fit with 95% confidence intervals is shown. Men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks and women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks.

Figure 6 Scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake and the change in body weight after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. A line of best fit with 95% confidence intervals is shown. Men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks and women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. Change in body weight: weight at week-4 minus weight at baseline. Change in daily energy intake: daily energy intake at week-4 minus daily energy intake at baseline.
Figure 7 Scatter plot of the change in daily energy intake from carbohydrate and the change in body weight after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. A line of best fit with 95% confidence intervals is shown. Men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks and women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. Change in body weight: weight at week-4 minus weight at baseline. Change in daily energy from carbohydrate: daily energy from carbohydrate at week-4 minus daily energy from carbohydrate at baseline.

Figure 8 Scatter plot of the change in daily carbohydrate intake and the change body weight after four weeks of low-carbohydrate diet in overweight or obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. A line of best fit with 95% confidence intervals is shown. Men were prescribed an 1800 kcal 100-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks and women were prescribed a 1400 kcal 75-gram carbohydrate diet for four weeks. Change in body weight: weight at week-4 minus weight at baseline. Change in daily carbohydrate intake: the amount of carbohydrate intake at week-4 minus the amount of carbohydrate intake at baseline.
it may be difficult to follow a low-carbohydrate diet for the long-term in a developing country, like Turkey. Another limitation is that subjects were invited from a previous epidemiological study, not from the general population. The beneficial outcomes observed in this study were obtained with both energy and carbohydrate restriction. The results of this study should be viewed as pilot data that warrant further research. A long-term study with a control group is needed to validate our results. Studies that prescribe carbohydrate restriction with \textit{ad libitum} energy intake show an increase in HDL-C levels with the dietary intervention [15,42-46], but there are few studies that show no significant change in HDL-C levels with \textit{ad libitum} low-carbohydrate diets [16,27]. Studies that restricted both carbohydrate and energy intake showed a variable response in HDL-C levels as well. Recent selected dietary intervention studies that were published after 2002 and restricted carbohydrates to less than 40% of daily energy intake are summarized in Table 7. Male to female ratio, duration, the degree of energy and carbohydrate restriction, dietary composition and the amount of weight loss are highly variable in these studies. A consistent effect of a low-carbohydrate diet is a reduction of triglyceride levels. As seen in Table 7, studies of low-carbohydrate diets in which subjects lost greater amount of weight are consistently associated with an elevation of HDL-C levels whereas studies with smaller weight reduction are mostly associated with no significant change in HDL-C levels. Except for our study, none of the studies in Table 7 enrolled subjects only with low HDL-C levels. Frisch and coworkers showed no effect of a low-carbohydrate diet on HDL-C levels, although carbohydrate restriction was only 40% of daily energy intake in that study [12]. As seen in Table 7, only few studies showed an elevation of LDL-C levels with low-carbohydrate diets. We also did not observe an elevation of LDL-C level with a low-carbohydrate diet in our study. Carbohydrate restriction causes elevations in HDL-C levels from increased reverse cholesterol transport. The increase in HDL-C levels from carbohydrate restriction is characterized by an increase in HDL particle size but not quantity and an increase in lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase activity with no change in cholesterol ester transfer protein activity [47].

**Conclusions**

A short term energy-restricted low-carbohydrate diet causes weight loss, fat loss, a decrease in total cholesterol and triglyceride levels and an improvement in insulin resistance in overweight and obese subjects with low HDL-C levels. HDL-C levels increased significantly with energy restriction, carbohydrate restriction and weight loss in men. HDL-C levels did not change in women in whom there was no significant energy restriction but a significant carbohydrate restriction and a relatively small but significant amount of weight loss. Differences in gender, baseline characteristics of subjects

### Table 7 Results from recent selected studies\textsuperscript{*} that evaluated the effects of low-carbohydrate diets

| Author Year | n  | Basal BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | Duration (week) | \(\Delta\) Energy (kcal/day) | Dietary composition | \(\Delta\) weight (kg) | HDL-C | TG | LDL-C | Reference |
|-------------|----|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------|----|-------|-----------|
| Sharman 2002 | 12 m | BMI<25                  | 6               | None                        | 8/30/61            | -2.2                 | ↔     | ↓  | ↔     | [18]    |
| Volek 2003  | 10 w | BMI<25                  | 4               | None                        | 10/29/60           | -1.8                 | ↑     | ↓  | ↑     | [17]    |
| Volek 2004  | 13 w | BMI<25                  | 4               | -643                        | 9/28/63            | -3.0                 | ↔     | ↔  | ↔     | [25]    |
| Sharman 2004 | 15 m | BMI>25                  | 6               | -738                        | 8/28/63            | -6.1                 | ↔     | ↓  | ↔     | [24]    |
| Meckling 2004 | 5 w/10 w | BMI>25                | 13/28/59        | -578                        | 16/26/56           | -7.0                 | ↑     | ↓  | ↔     | [13]    |
| Noakes 2006 | 4 w/20 w | BMI>28                | 12              | -615                        | 25/30/50           | -8.0                 | ↑     | ↓  | ↑     | [48]    |
| Westman 2008 | 7 w  | BMI>27                  | 24              | -578                        | 13/28/59           | -11.1                | ↑     | ↓  | ↔     | [28]    |
| Brinkworth 2009 | 11 w  | BMI>27, Abdom obesity | 52             | -500                        | 4/35/61            | -14.5                | ↑     | ↓  | ↑     | [49]    |
| Jenkins 2009* | 10 w/15 w | BMI>27             | 4               | -328                        | 28/30/43           | -3.9                 | ↔     | ↓  | ↔     | [50]    |
| Volek 2009  | 10 w/10 w | BMI>25                | 12              | -847                        | 13/28/59           | -10.1                | ↑     | ↓  | ↔     | [39]    |
| Can 2010    | 18 m | BMI>25                  | 4               | -600                        | 33/22/45           | -3.7                 | ↑     | ↓  | ↔     | T        |
| Can 2010    | 25 w | BMI>25                  | 4               | -220                        | 30/18/48           | -1.1                 | ↔     | ↓  | ↔     | T        |

BMI: body mass index, \(\Delta\) Energy: difference between end-study and baseline mean or median daily energy intake, Dietary composition: percentage of daily energy from carbohydrate/protein/fat, \(\Delta\) weight: difference between mean or median end-study and baseline weight, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG: triglycerides, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, m: men, w: women, Abdom obesity: abdominal obesity, T: this study, *selected studies published after 2002 and restricted carbohydrate intake to less than 40% of daily energy intake are included in the table. A summary of studies published prior to 2004 can be found in the review by Volek and Feinman [10]. Studies of low-carbohydrate diets based on \textit{ad libitum} energy intake, glycemic index or glycemic load are excluded from the table. Only subjects with diabetes mellitus were enrolled into the study and fat are from plant origin in the study.
and the dietary intervention may account for divergent outcomes in HDL-C levels between men and women. The results of this study suggest that both energy and carbohydrate restriction should be considered in overweight and obese subjects with low HDL-C levels, especially when LDL-C levels are not elevated.

**Additional material**

**Additional file 1: Sample menus** Sample menus for an 1800 kcal 100-gm carbohydrate diet and a 1400 kcal 75-gm carbohydrate diet.
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