The near-threshold peak of the $\phi$-photoproduction cross section and its interpretation

Alvin Kiswandhi and Shin Nan Yang

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

Abstract.

We study, within phenomenological Lagrangian approach, the possibility that the near-threshold peak found in the $\phi$-photoproduction cross section is caused by a resonance. We show that, by employing a $J^P = 3/2^-$ resonance with a mass of $2.08 \pm 0.05$ GeV and a width of $0.570 \pm 0.169$ GeV, the LEPS data which include new data on nine spin-density matrix elements can indeed be described reasonably well. We also find that the ratio of helicity amplitudes $A_1/2/A_3/2$ calculated from the resulting coupling constants differs in sign from that of $D_{13}(2080)$. The resonance is further found to be able to improve the theoretical description of $\omega$ photoproduction against a new set of data if a large value for OZI evading parameter is assumed.
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The appearance of a local maximum at around $E_\gamma \sim 2.0$ GeV in the differential cross section (DCS) of $\phi$ photoproduction on protons at forward angles has been known for some time [1]. However, it has been found that it is not possible to describe the peak by $t$-channel exchanges only [2]–[7].

Here, we follow from Ref. [8], where we study whether the nonmonotonic behavior found in Ref. [1] can be described by a resonance. Namely, we will add a resonance to a model consisting of Pomeron and $(\pi, \eta)$ exchanges [9, 10], and see if we can better describe the experimental data by a suitable set of properties of the resonance which include spin, parity, mass, width and coupling constants. The closeness of the resonance to the threshold suggests that its spin would probably just either be $1/2$ or $3/2$. Similar analysis was also done in a coupled-channel model in Ref. [11]. However, the analysis was redone to correct a previous confusion in the phase of the Pomeron-exchange amplitude [12].

However, we improve upon our previous study [8] by fitting our model to a new LEPS spin-density matrix elements (SDME) data [13], in addition to the previous DCS data [1, 14].

The tree-level invariant amplitudes used here are given in Ref. [8]. Only the mass, width, and the products of coupling constants of the resonance are free parameters and they are determined by the use of MINUIT, by fitting to the LEPS as well as previous experimental data [1, 13, 14].

We found that the nonmonotonic behavior of the DCS at forward direction as a function of photon energy cannot be describe by the nonresonant contribution only.
Our results for the DCS of $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ at forward direction as a function of photon energy $E_\gamma$ (left) and as a function of $t$ at eight different photon LAB energies (right). Data are from Refs. [1, 14]. The dotted, dashed, and solid lines denote contributions from nonresonant, resonance with $J^P = 3/2^-$, and their sum, respectively.

Moreover, an addition of a $J^P = 1/2^\pm$ resonance also cannot produce such behavior near threshold, in contrast to the finding of Refs. [11, 12]. We found that both $J^P = 3/2^\pm$ resonances can describe the data reasonably well. However, the extracted properties of the $J^P = 3/2^-$ resonance are more stable against the use of different data sets used in our previous study [8], compared to that of $J^P = 3/2^+$. Therefore, we prefer the choice of $J^P = 3/2^-$ resonance with mass and width of $2.08 \pm 0.05$ and $0.570 \pm 0.169$, respectively. The resulting coupling constants are $e g_{\gamma NN}^{1} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(1)} = -0.205 \pm 0.095$, $e g_{\gamma NN}^{1} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(2)} = -0.025 \pm 0.017$, $e g_{\gamma NN}^{1} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(3)} = -0.033 \pm 0.018$, $e g_{\gamma NN}^{2} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(1)} = -0.266 \pm 0.136$, $e g_{\gamma NN}^{2} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(2)} = -0.033 \pm 0.033$, and $e g_{\gamma NN}^{2} g_{\phi NN^{*}}^{(3)} = -0.043 \pm 0.032$.

Our best fits with $J^P = 3/2^-$ to the experimental energy dependence of the DCS at forward angle and angular dependence of the DCS [1, 14] are shown in Fig. 1. One sees from Fig. 1 that the resonance improves the agreement with the data.

Our results for the SDME in the Gottfried-Jackson system [6, 15], are shown in Fig. 2. Here, the inclusion of resonant contribution does help the agreement with the data in some cases, especially for $\rho_{1-1}^1$ and $\text{Imp}\rho_{1-1}^1$ at $1.77 - 1.97$ GeV. However, for $\rho_{10}^1$ at all energies, it does actually reduce the agreement, already good without the inclusion of the resonance, although still reasonably within error bars. For other $\rho$’s, the effects are somewhat minimal, which is expected since the $t$-channel exchanges results are already good.

We caution against an attempt to identify the $3/2^-$ as the $D_{13}(2080)$ as listed in PDG [16]. With the coupling constants given above, we obtain a value of $A_{1/2}/A_{3/2} = 1.05$ which differ from $-1.18$ for $D_{13}(2080)$ [16] in relative sign.

We also find that the effects of the resonance are generally quite substantial in many polarization observables [3]. Results for some single and double polarization observables $\Sigma_x$, $P_y$, $e^{\phi T}$, and $C^{\phi T}_x$ are shown in left panel of Fig. 3. The results using a $3/2^+$ resonance are also shown by dash-dotted curve to show that the measurements of these observables would help to determine the parity of the resonance.

We also expect that a resonance in $\phi N$ channel would also appear in the $\omega N$ channel.
because of the $\phi - \omega$ mixing. The conventional "minimal" parametrization relating $\phi NN^*$ and $\omega NN^*$ is $g_{\phi NN^*} = -\tan \Delta \theta_V x_{OZI} g_{\omega NN^*}$, with $\Delta \theta_V \approx 3.7^\circ$ corresponds to the deviation from the ideal $\phi - \omega$ mixing angle. The larger the value of the OZI-evading parameter $x_{OZI}$, the larger is the strangeness content of the resonance.

Here, we add the resonance postulated here to the model of Ref. [17] with $x_{OZI} = 12$, whose prediction is given in the dashed line in right panel of Fig. 3. We see that the DCS at $W = 2.085$ GeV can be reproduced with roughly the correct strength. However, it is shown in Ref. [8] that this value of $x_{OZI}$ produces better agreement to the data at
The large value of $x_{\text{OZI}} = 12$ would imply that the resonance would contain a considerable amount of strangeness content.

In summary, we study the possibility that the near-threshold nonmonotonic behavior of $\phi$-photoproduction cross section observed by the LEPS collaboration as a possible signature of a resonance. We confirm that this behavior cannot be explained by the nonresonant contribution alone, as well as by adding a resonance with $J = 1/2$. However, a resonance with $J^P = 3/2^-$ would bring a reasonable agreement with most of the LEPS data, with a greater stability with respect to changes of the new data used in the fitting [13], compared to $J^P = 3/2^+$. The resonance mass and width obtained are $2.08 \pm 0.05$ and $0.570 \pm 0.169$ GeV, respectively. The ratio of the helicity amplitudes calculated from the coupling constants differs from that of the known $D_{13}(2080)$ by a minus sign.

We also find that the resonance contributes significantly to the polarization observables, which can also be used to determine the parity of the resonance if it indeed exists. When a $J = 3/2^-$ resonance is added to the model of Ref. [17] with a large value of OZI-evading parameter $x_{\text{OZI}} = 12$, the agreement of the model prediction with the most recent data is improved substantially, which implies that the resonance would contain a considerable amount of strangeness content.
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