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Abstract

In this note, we introduce monoidal subcategories of the tensor category of finite-dimen-
sional representations of a simply-laced quantum affine algebra, parametrized by arbitrary
Dynkin quivers. For linearly oriented quivers of types $A$ and $D$, we show that these categories
provide monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of the same type. The proof is purely
representation-theoretical, in the spirit of [HL1].
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1 Introduction

The theory of cluster algebras has received a lot of attention in the recent years because of its
numerous connections with many fields, in particular Lie theory and quiver representations.

One important problem is to categorify cluster algebras. In recent years, many examples of
additive categorifications of cluster algebras have been constructed. The concept of a monoidal
categorification of a cluster algebra, which is quite different, was introduced in [HL1], Definition
2.1. If a cluster algebra has a monoidal categorification, we get informations on its structure
(positivity, linear independence of cluster monomials). Conversely, if a monoidal category is a
monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra of finite type, we can calculate the factorization of
any simple object as a tensor product of finitely many prime objects, as well as the composition
factors of a tensor product of simple objects.

In [HL1] we have introduced a certain monoidal subcategory $\mathcal{C}_1$ of the category $\mathcal{C}$ of finite-
dimensional representations of a simply-laced quantum affine algebra, and we have conjectured
that $\mathcal{C}_1$ is a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra of the same type. This conjecture was
proved in [HL1] for types $A$ and $D_4$, and in [N] for all $A, D, E$ types. The proof in [HL1] relies on
representation theory, and on the well-developed combinatorics of cluster algebras of finite type.
Nakajima’s proof is different and uses additional geometric tools: a tensor category of perverse
sheaves on quiver varieties, and the Caldero-Chapoton formula for cluster variables.
The categories $\mathcal{C}_1$ of [HL] are associated with bipartite Dynkin quivers. In this note, we introduce monoidal subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{\xi}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ associated with arbitrary Dynkin quivers. For types $A$ and $D$, we show that the categories $\mathcal{C}_{\xi}$ corresponding to linearly oriented quivers provide new monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of the same type. The proof is similar to [HL]. However, the main calculations are much simpler because, for these choices of $\xi$, the irreducibility criterion for products of prime representations is more accessible than for the categories $\mathcal{C}_1$. This is why we can also treat in this note the cases $D_n$ ($n \geq 5$).

In his PhD thesis, Fan Qin [Q] has recently generalized the geometric approach of Nakajima (partly in collaboration with Kimura), and obtained monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras associated with an arbitrary acyclic quiver (not necessarily bipartite) using perverse sheaves on quiver varieties.

Acknowledgments: The first author would like to thank A. Zelevinsky for explaining the results in [YZ, Y]. The authors are grateful to the referee for useful comments.

2 Cluster algebras and their monoidal categorifications

We refer to [FZ, K] for excellent surveys on cluster algebras.

2.1 Let $0 \leq n < r$ be some fixed integers. If $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})$ is an $r \times (r-n)$-matrix with integer entries, then the principal part $B$ of $\tilde{B}$ is the square matrix obtained from $\tilde{B}$ by deleting the last $n$ rows. Given some $k \in [1, r-n]$ define a new $r \times (r-n)$-matrix $\mu_k(\tilde{B}) = (b_{ij}^{'})$ by

$$b_{ij}^{'} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ b_{ij} + \frac{|b_{ik}|b_{kj} + b_{ik}|b_{kj}|}{2} & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $i \in [1, r]$ and $j \in [1, r-n]$. One calls $\mu_k(\tilde{B})$ the mutation of the matrix $\tilde{B}$ in direction $k$. If $\tilde{B}$ is an integer matrix whose principal part is skew-symmetric, then it is easy to check that $\mu_k(\tilde{B})$ is also an integer matrix with skew-symmetric principal part. We will assume from now on that $\tilde{B}$ has skew-symmetric principal part. In this case, one can equivalently encode $\tilde{B}$ by a quiver $\Gamma$ with vertex set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and with $b_{ij}$ arrows from $j$ to $i$ if $b_{ij} > 0$ and $-b_{ij}$ arrows from $i$ to $j$ if $b_{ij} < 0$.

Now Fomin and Zelevinsky define a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ as follows. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ be the field of rational functions in $r$ commuting indeterminates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$. One calls $(x, \tilde{B})$ the initial seed of $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$. For $1 \leq k \leq r-n$ define

$$x_{k}^{*} = \frac{\prod_{b_{ik} > 0} x_{i}^{b_{ik}} + \prod_{b_{ik} < 0} x_{i}^{-b_{ik}}}{x_{k}}.$$  

The pair $(\mu_k(x), \mu_k(\tilde{B}))$, where $\mu_k(x)$ is obtained from $x$ by replacing $x_k$ by $x_k^{*}$, is the mutation of the seed $(x, \tilde{B})$ in direction $k$. One can iterate this procedure and obtain new seeds by mutating $(\mu_k(x), \mu_k(\tilde{B}))$ in any direction $l \in [1, r-n]$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of all seeds obtained from $(x, \tilde{B})$ by any finite sequence of mutations. Each seed of $\mathcal{S}$ consists of an $r$-tuple of elements of $\mathbb{F}$ called a cluster, and of a matrix. The elements of a cluster are its cluster variables. One does not mutate the last $n$ elements of a cluster; they are called frozen variables and belong to every cluster. We then define the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{B})$ as the subring of $\mathbb{F}$ generated by all the cluster variables of the seeds of $\mathcal{S}$. A cluster monomial is a monomial in the cluster variables of a single cluster. Two cluster variables are said to be compatible if they occur in the same cluster.
The first important result of the theory is that every cluster variable \( z \) of \( \mathcal{A}(\tilde{B}) \) is a Laurent polynomial in \( x \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \). It is conjectured that the coefficients are positive.

The second main result is the classification of cluster algebras of finite type, i.e. with finitely many different cluster variables. Fomin and Zelevinsky proved that this happens if and only if there exists a seed \((z, \tilde{C})\) such that the quiver attached to the principal part of \( \tilde{C} \) is a Dynkin quiver (that is, an arbitrary orientation of a Dynkin diagram of type \( A, D, E \)).

In \([FZ3]\), Fomin and Zelevinsky have shown that the cluster variables of a cluster algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) have a nice expression in terms of certain polynomials called the \( F \)-polynomials. In type \( A \) and \( D \), explicit formulas for \( F \)-polynomials are known.

2.2 The concept of a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra was introduced in \([HL1]\) Definition 2.1. We say that a simple object \( S \) of a monoidal category is real if \( S \otimes S \) is simple.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a cluster algebra and let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an abelian monoidal category. We say that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a monoidal categorification of \( \mathcal{A} \) if there is an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{A} \) and the Grothendieck ring of \( \mathcal{M} \) such that the cluster monomials of \( \mathcal{A} \) are the classes of all the real simple objects of \( \mathcal{M} \).

A non trivial simple object \( S \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) is prime if there exists no non trivial factorization \( S \cong S_1 \otimes S_2 \). By \([GLS2\) Section 8.2], the cluster variables of \( \mathcal{A} \) are the classes of all the real prime simple objects of \( \mathcal{M} \). So Definition 2.1 coincides with the definition in \([HL1]\).

As an application, we get information on the cluster algebra, as shown by the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** \([HL1]\) If a cluster algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) has a monoidal categorification, then

(i) every cluster variable of \( \mathcal{A} \) has a Laurent expansion with positive coefficients with respect to any cluster;

(ii) the cluster monomials of \( \mathcal{A} \) are linearly independent.

Assertion (ii) can also be proved by using additive categorification, see the recent \([CKLP]\).

Conversely, if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a monoidal categorification of a finite type cluster algebra, we can calculate the factorization of any simple object of \( \mathcal{M} \) as a tensor product of finitely many prime objects, as well as the composition factors of a tensor product of simple objects of \( \mathcal{M} \). Moreover, every simple object in \( \mathcal{M} \) is real.

3 Categories of finite-dimensional representations of \( U_q(\mathfrak{Lg}) \)

For recent surveys on the representation theory of quantum loop algebras, we invite the reader to consult \([CH]\) or \([L]\).

3.1 Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a simple Lie algebra of type \( A, D, E \). We denote by \( I \) the set of vertices of its Dynkin diagram, and we put \( n = |I| \). The Cartan matrix of \( \mathfrak{g} \) is the \( I \times I \) matrix \( C = (C_{ij})_{i,j \in I} \). We denote by \( \alpha_i \) (\( i \in I \)) and \( \varpi_i \) (\( i \in I \)) the simple roots and fundamental weights of \( \mathfrak{g} \), respectively.

Let \( \xi : I \to \mathbb{Z} \) be a height function, that is \( |\xi_j - \xi_i| = 1 \) if \( C_{ij} = -1 \). It induces an orientation \( Q \) of the Dynkin diagram of \( \mathfrak{g} \) such that we have an arrow \( i \to j \) if \( C_{ij} = -1 \) and \( \xi_j = \xi_i - 1 \). Define

\[
\tilde{I} := \{(i, p) \in I \times \mathbb{Z} | p - \xi_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}.
\]
3.2 Let \( L_q \) be the loop algebra attached to \( \mathfrak{g} \), and let \( U_q(L_q) \) be the associated quantum enveloping algebra. We assume that the deformation parameter \( q \in \mathbb{C}^* \) is not a root of unity.

The simple finite-dimensional irreducible \( U_q(L_q) \)-modules (of type 1) are usually labeled by Drinfeld polynomials. Here we shall use an alternative labeling by dominant monomials (see \([FR]\)). Moreover, as in \([HL1]\), we shall restrict our attention to a certain tensor subcategory \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \) of the category of finite-dimensional \( U_q(L_q) \)-modules. The simple modules in \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \) are labeled by the dominant monomials in \( \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{Z}\left[\prod_{(i,p) \in \hat{I}} Y_{i,p}^{\pm 1} \right] \), that is, monomials \( m = \prod_{(i,p) \in \hat{I}} Y_{i,p}^{u_{i,p}(m)} \) such that \( u_{i,p}(m) \geq 0 \) for every \((i,p) \in \hat{I}\).

We shall denote by \( \hat{L}_q \) the loop algebra attached to \( \mathfrak{g} \). We assume that the deformation parameter \( q \in \mathbb{C}^* \) is not a root of unity.

We shall denote by \( L(m) \) the simple module labeled by the dominant monomial \( m \).

By \([FR]\), every object \( M \) in \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \) has a \( q \)-character \( \chi_q(M) \in \mathcal{Y} \). These \( q \)-characters generate a commutative ring \( \mathcal{H} \) isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring of \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \).

By \([FR, FM]\), we have \( \chi_q(L(m)) \in m\mathbb{Z}[A_{i,p+1}^{-1}]_{(i,p) \in \hat{I}} \). For \((i,p) \in \hat{I} \) we denote
\[
A_{i,p+1} = Y_{i,p}Y_{i,p+2}^{-1} \prod_{j \in L, i_j = -1} Y_{j,p+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Y}.
\]

In particular, an element in \( \mathcal{H} \) is characterized by the multiplicity of its dominant monomials. When \( m \) is the only dominant monomial occurring in \( \chi \in \mathcal{Y} \), \( \chi \) is said to be minuscule. We say that \( M \) is minuscule if \( \chi_q(M) \) is minuscule. This implies that \( M \) is simple.

3.3 Define
\[
\hat{I}_{\mathbf{6}} := \{(i, \xi_i) \mid i \in I\} \cup \{(i, \xi_i + 2) \mid i \in I\} \subset \hat{I},
\]
and let \( \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{6}} \) be the subring of \( \mathcal{Y} \) generated by the variables \( Y_{i,p} \) \((i,p) \in \hat{I}_{\mathbf{6}}\).

**Definition 3.1.** \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \) is the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \) whose simple objects are labeled by monomials of the form \( L(m) \) where \( m \) is a dominant monomial in \( \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{6}} \).

When \( Q \) is a sink-source orientation, we recover the subcategories \( \mathcal{C}_1 \) introduced in \([HL1]\). Since \( \hat{I}_{\mathbf{6}} \) is a “convex slice” of \( \hat{I} \), we get as in \([HL2]\) Lemma 5.8:

**Lemma 3.2.** \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \) is closed under tensor products, hence is a monoidal subcategory of \( \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{Z} \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{6}} \) the subring of \( \mathcal{H} \) spanned by the \( q \)-characters \( \chi_q(L(m)) \) of the simple objects \( L(m) \) in \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \). Then \( \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{6}} \) is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring \( R_{\mathbf{6}} \) of \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \). Note that this ring is a polynomial ring over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with generators the classes of the 2\( n \) fundamental modules
\[
L(Y_{i,\xi_i}), \quad L(Y_{i,\xi_i+2}), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).
\]

The \( q \)-character of a simple object \( L(m) \) of \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \) contains in general many monomials \( m' \) which do not belong to \( \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{6}} \). By discarding these monomials we obtain a truncated \( q \)-character \([HL1]\).

We shall denote by \( \bar{\chi}_q(L(m)) \) the truncated \( q \)-character of \( L(m) \). One checks that for a simple object \( L(m) \) of \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \), all the dominant monomials occurring in \( \chi_q(L(m)) \) belong to the truncated \( q \)-character \( \bar{\chi}_q(L(m)) \) (the proof is similar to that of \([HL1]\) for the category \( \mathcal{C}_1 \), as for the proof of Lemma 5.2 above). Therefore the truncation map \( \chi_q(L(m)) \mapsto \bar{\chi}_q(L(m)) \) extends to an injective algebra homomorphism from \( \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{6}} \) to \( \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{6}} \).

It is sometimes convenient to renormalize the (truncated) \( q \)-character of \( L(m) \) by dividing it by \( m \), so that its leading term becomes 1. The element of \( \mathcal{Y} \) thus obtained is called a renormalized (truncated) \( q \)-character.

Define a partial ordering \( \preceq \) on \( \mathcal{Y} \) by \( \chi \preceq \chi' \) if \( \chi' - \chi \) is an \( \mathbb{N} \)-linear combination of monomials. In particular, we have \( \bar{\chi}_q(M) \preceq \chi_q(M) \) for \( M \) in \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \).
3.4 Let $J \subset I$ and $\mathfrak{g}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding Lie subalgebra. Let $\tilde{I}_J = \tilde{I} \cap (J \times \mathbb{Z})$. For $m$ a monomial, let $m_J = \prod (i,p) \in \tilde{I}_J Y_{i,p}^{u_{i,p}(m)}$. If $m_J$ is dominant, one says that $m$ is $J$-dominant. In this case, let $L_J(m)$ be the sum (with multiplicities) of the monomials $m'$ occurring in $m m_J^{-1} \chi_q(L(m))$ such that $(m')^{-1}$ is a product of $A_{i,p}^{-1}$, $(i,p) \in \tilde{I}_J$. The image of $L_J(m)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,p} | (i,p) \in I_J]$, obtained by sending the $Y_{i,p}$ to $1$ if $(i,p) \notin \tilde{I}_J$, is the $q$-character of the simple $U_q(L_{\mathfrak{g}_J})$ labeled by $m_J$ [H2 Lemma 5.9]. In particular we have the following:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $m$ and $m'$ be two dominant monomials such that $L(m) \otimes L(m')$ is simple. Then $L_J(m) L_J(m') = L_J(mm')$.

For $m$ a dominant monomial one has a decomposition [H1 Proposition 3.1]

$$L(m) = \sum_{m'} \lambda_J(m') L_J(m')$$

where the sum runs over $J$-dominant monomials $m'$. The $\lambda_J(m') \in \mathbb{N}$ are unique. This corresponds to the decomposition of $L(m)$ in the Grothendieck ring of $U_q(L_{\mathfrak{g}_J})$-modules. This decomposition gives an inductive process to construct monomials occurring in $\chi_q(L(m))$. Let us start with $m_0 = m$. Then the monomials $m_1$ of $L_J(m_0)$ occur in $\chi_q(L(m))$. If $m_1$ is $J_1$-dominant ($J_1 \subset J$) and if $L_{J_1}(m_1)$ occurs in the decomposition (3), then the monomials $m_2$ of $L_{J_1}(m_1)$ occur in $\chi_q(L(m))$, and we continue. See [H2 Remark 3.16] for details.

3.5 In this note, we follow the proof of [HL1] to establish that for certain choices of $\xi$ the category $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let us recall the main steps (see [HL1] for details):

1. We define a family $\mathcal{P}$ of prime simple modules in $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ and we label the cluster variables of an acyclic initial seed $\Sigma$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with a subset of $\mathcal{P}$.
2. We prove that the renormalized truncated $q$-characters of the representations of $\mathcal{P}$ coincide with the $F$-polynomials with respect to $\Sigma$ of all the cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}$.
3. We prove an irreducibility criterion for tensor products of two representations in $\mathcal{P}$.
4. By using the following general result, we factorize every simple module in $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ as a tensor product of representations in $\mathcal{P}$.

**Theorem 3.4.** [H4] Let $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ be simple objects in $\mathcal{C}$. Then $S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_N$ is simple if and only $S_i \otimes S_j$ is simple for any $1 \leq i < j \leq N$.

In the next sections, we follow these steps for a good choice of $\xi$ in types $A$ and $D$. We conjecture that for arbitrary choices of $\xi$ and for every type $A, D, E$, $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ is the monoidal catagorification of a cluster algebra of the same type. For type $A$, this can be proved in the same way as explained in Remark 3.3(b). For other types, this can be probably established by using the methods in [N].

4 Type $A$

4.1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a cluster algebra of type $A_n$ in the Fomin-Zelevinsky classification. As is well-known, the combinatorics of $\mathcal{A}$ is conveniently recorded in a regular polygon $\mathcal{P}$ with $n + 3$ vertices labeled from $0$ to $n + 2$, see [FZ1] §12.2]. Here, each cluster variable $x_{ab}$ ($0 \leq a < b \leq n + 2$) is labeled by the segment joining vertex $a$ to vertex $b$. The cluster variables $x_{ab}$ for which the segment $[a, b]$ is a side of the polygon are frozen. Moreover we specialize

$$x_{01} = x_{n+1,n+2} = x_{0,n+2} = 1.$$
The exchange relations (Ptolemy relations) are of the form
\[ x_{ac}x_{bd} = x_{ab}x_{cd} + x_{ad}x_{bc}, \quad (a < b < c < d). \] (4)

The clusters of \( \mathcal{A} \) correspond to the triangulations of \( \mathbf{P} \). The variables \( x_{0i} \) (\( 2 \leq i \leq n+1 \)) together with the \( n \) frozen variables \( x_{i,i+1} \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)) form a cluster, whose associated quiver is
\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
  x_{02} & \rightarrow & x_{03} & \rightarrow & x_{04} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & x_{0,n+1} \\
  \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
  x_{12} & x_{23} & x_{34} & & & & & & x_{n,n+1}
\end{array} \]

Note that the principal part of this quiver (i.e. the subquiver with vertices the non-frozen variables) is a quiver of type \( A_n \) with linear orientation. We denote by \( \Sigma \) this particular seed of \( \mathcal{A} \).

4.2 Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be of type \( A_n \). We will write \( Y_{0,p} = Y_{n+1,p} = 1 \) for \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \). We choose the height function
\[ \xi(i) := i, \quad (i \in I), \]
corresponding to a quiver \( \mathbf{Q} \) of type \( A_n \) with linear orientation. We define the following family of irreducible representations in \( \mathcal{E}_\xi \):
\[ \mathcal{P} := \{ L(i, j) := L(Y_{i,j}Y_{j,j+2}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1 \}. \]
The simple modules \( L(i, j) \) are evaluation representations whose \( q \)-characters are known (see references in [CH]). In particular they are prime. We have \( \tilde{\chi}_q(L(0,j)) = Y_{j,j+2} \) and if \( i \neq 0 \) we have
\[ \tilde{\chi}_q(L(i,j)) = Y_{i,j}Y_{j,j+2}(1 + A_{i,i+1}^{-1} + (A_{i,i+1}A_{i+1,i+2})^{-1} + \cdots + (A_{i,i+1}A_{i+1,i+2}\cdots A_{j-1,j})^{-1}). \]

Dividing both sides by \( Y_{i,j}Y_{j,j+2} \) and setting \( t_i := A_{i,i+1}^{-1} \), we see that this formula for the renormalized truncated \( q \)-characters coincides with the formula for \( F \)-polynomials computed in [YZ, Example 1.14]. It is easy to deduce from this that we have the following relations in \( \mathcal{R}_\xi \) (also obtained in [MY]):
\[ [L(i,j)][L(j,l)] = [L(i,l)][L(j,k)] + [L(i,j-1)][L(k+1,l)] \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n+1. \] (5)

Therefore, comparing with (4), we see that the assignment
\[ x_{ab} \mapsto [L(a,b-1)], \quad (0 \leq a < b \leq n+2) \]
ex tends to an isomorphism from the cluster algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) to the Grothendieck ring \( \mathcal{R}_\xi \). This isomorphism maps the seed \( \Sigma \) to
\[ \begin{array}{cccccccc}
  L(0,1) & \rightarrow & L(0,2) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & L(0,n) \\
  \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
  L(1,1) & L(2,2) & & & & & & L(n,n)
\end{array} \]
where the \( L(i,i) \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)) correspond to frozen variables.

We say that \( (i,k) \) and \( (j,l) \) are crossing if and only if \( i < j < k < l \) or \( j < i < l < k \). Otherwise, we say that \( (i,k) \) and \( (j,l) \) are noncrossing. The next proposition is similar to the classical irreducibility criterion for prime representations of \( U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_2) \), except that here, spectral parameters are replaced by nodes of the Dynkin diagram.
Proposition 4.1. The module $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)$ is simple if and only if $(i, j)$ and $(k, l)$ are noncrossing.

Proof — The “only if” part follows from (5). We prove the “if” part. Let $M = Y_{i,j}Y_{j,j+2}Y_{k,k}Y_{l,l+2}$. We have $\widetilde{\chi}(L(M)) \cong \chi = \widetilde{\chi}(L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l))$. We prove the other inequality. By symmetry, we reduce to the following two cases:

(a) if $j < k$ or $(k = 0$ and $i, j \leq l)$ or $(1 \leq k \leq i, j = l)$, then $\chi$ contains a unique dominant monomial, namely $M$, so $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)$ is simple.

(b) if $1 \leq k \leq i < j < l$, then $\chi$ contains exactly two dominant monomials, namely $M$ and $M' = M(A_{k,k+1}A_{k+1,k+2} \cdots A_{j,j+1})^{-1}$.

So it suffices to prove that $M'$ occurs in $\widetilde{\chi}(L(M))$. First, by (3.4), the monomial $M'' = M(A_{k,k+1}A_{k+1,k+2} \cdots A_{i-1,i})^{-1}$ occurs in $\widetilde{\chi}(L(M))$. Hence $L_j(M'')$ occurs in the decomposition (3) for $J = \{i, \ldots, n\}$. But $L(Y_{i,-i}Y_{j,-j-2}) \otimes L(Y_{i,-i})$ is minuscule and simple. Hence, by [13] Corollary 4.11, the tensor product $L(Y_{i,j}Y_{j,j+2}) \otimes L(Y_{i,i})$ is simple, isomorphic to $L(Y_{i,i}Y_{j,j+2})^{-1}$. So $Y_{i,i}^2Y_{j,j+2}(A_{i+1,i} \cdots A_{j,j+1})^{-1}$ occurs in $\widetilde{\chi}(L(Y_{i,i}^2Y_{j,j+2}))$ and $M'$ occurs in $L_j(M'')$. \hfill \square

Therefore, as explained in (3.5) we get the following:

Theorem 4.2. $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ is a monoidal categorification of the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of type $A_n$.

Remark 4.3. (a) It follows from Theorem 4.2 that when $\xi_i = i$, every simple module in $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ can be factorized as a tensor product of evaluation representations.

(b) For an arbitrary $\xi$, a theorem similar to Theorem 4.2 can be proved in an analog but slightly more complicated way. A subset $J = [i, j] \subset I$ $(1 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$ has a natural orientation induced by $\xi$. Let $J_+$ (resp. $J_-$) be the set of sources (resp. sinks) of $J$. The prime objects in $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ are the simple modules

$$L(J) := L\left(\prod_{k \in J_+} Y_{k,\xi_k} \prod_{k \in J_-} Y_{k,\xi_k+2}\right), \quad L(i) := L(Y_{i,\xi_i}), \quad L'(i) := L(Y_{i,\xi_i+2}).$$

Note that $L(J)$ is not an evaluation representation if $J$ has several sources or several sinks.

(c) Different choices of $\xi$ yield different subcategories $\mathcal{C}_\xi$. These subcategories seem to be quite similar, but they are not equivalent in general. For example, in type $A_3$, consider the categories $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ with $\xi_i = i$ and $\mathcal{C}_\phi$ with $\phi_1 = 1$, $\phi_2 = 2$, $\phi_3 = 1$. Both categories are monoidal categorifications of a cluster algebra of type $A_3$ with 3 coefficients. The category $\mathcal{C}_\phi$ was studied in [11]. In particular, we have following relation in the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}_\phi$:

$$[L(Y_{1,1}Y_{2,3}Y_{3,1})][L(Y_{2,2})] = [L(Y_{1,1})][L(Y_{3,1})][L(Y_{2,2}Y_{2,4})] + [L(Y_{1,1}Y_{1,3})][L(Y_{3,1}Y_{3,3})].$$

But by (5), in the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}_\xi$, a simple constituent of the tensor product of two simple prime representations can be factorized as a tensor product of at most 2 non trivial representations. Hence, $\mathcal{C}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{C}_\phi$ are not equivalent.
5 Type $D$

5.1 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a cluster algebra of type $D_n$ in the Fomin-Zelevinsky classification. The clusters of $\mathcal{A}$ are now encoded by the centrally symmetric triangulations of a regular polygon $P$ with $2n$ vertices, labeled by $a = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n - 1$ \cite[§12.4]{FZT} (note that a more modern way to record the combinatorics of a cluster algebra of type $D_n$ would be by means of a once-punctured $n$-gon and tagged arcs \cite{FST}). A segment $[a, b]$ joining two vertices is called a diagonal if it meets the interior of $P$, and a side otherwise. Let $\Theta$ be the $180^\circ$ rotation of $P$, and for a vertex $a$, write $\overline{a} = \Theta(a)$. Each non frozen cluster variable is labeled by a $\Theta$-orbit on the set of diagonals of $P$. More precisely, to each non trivial $\Theta$-orbit $([a, b], [\overline{a}, \overline{b}])$ (with $b \neq \overline{a}$) we attach a single cluster variable

$$x_{ab} = x_{\overline{a}\overline{b}}.$$ 

But we associate with every $\Theta$-fixed diagonal $[a, \overline{a}]$ (or diameter) two different cluster variables

$$x_{a\overline{a}} \neq x_{\overline{a}a}.$$ 

We may think of $[a, \overline{a}]$ and $[\overline{a}, a]$ as two different $\Theta$-orbits, supported on the same segment but with two different colors. Given two $\Theta$-orbits, one of which at least being non trivial, we say that they are noncrossing if they do not meet in the interior of $P$. We also declare that two $\Theta$-fixed diagonals are noncrossing if and only if they have the same support or the same color. A centrally symmetric triangulation of $P$ is then a maximal subset of pairwise noncrossing $\Theta$-orbits of diagonals. Such a triangulation always consists of $n$ different $\Theta$-orbits. For instance, for $n = 4$, the following are two distinct triangulations

$$\left\{([1, 3], [\overline{1}, \overline{3}]), ([2, 3], [\overline{2}, \overline{3}]), [3, 3], [\overline{3}, \overline{3}] \right\}, \quad \left\{([1, 3], [\overline{1}, \overline{3}]), ([2, 3], [\overline{2}, \overline{3}]), [3, \overline{3}], [2, \overline{2}] \right\}.$$ 

To the $\Theta$-orbits of the sides $[a, b]$ of $P$ we can also attach some frozen variables $x_{ab} = x_{\overline{a}b}$. We specialize

$$x_{01} = x_{n-1, 0} = 1.$$ 

Our initial seed for the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}$ will correspond to the triangulation

$$\left\{\Theta([a, n-1]) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n-2\right\} \cup \left\{[n-1, n-1], [\overline{n-1}, n-1] \right\}.$$ 

More precisely, it is described by the following quiver

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
& x_{n-1, n-1} & \rightarrow & x_{n-2, n-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & x_{2, n-1} & \rightarrow & x_{1, n-1} \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
x_{12} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{n-3, n-2} & x_{n-2, n-1} & \rightarrow & x_{n-1, n-1} & \leftarrow & x_{n-2, n-1} \rightarrow \ldots \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
& f_n & \leftarrow & f_{n-1} & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & x_{n-1, n-1} & \leftarrow & x_{n-2, n-1} \\
\end{array}$$

where $f_n$ and $f_{n-1}$ are two additional frozen variables, which can not be encoded by sides of $P$. The principal part of the quiver (obtained by removing the frozen vertices $x_{i, i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n - 2$), $f_{n-1}$, $f_n$, and the arrows incident to them) is a Dynkin quiver $Q$ of type $D_n$, hence $\mathcal{A}$ is indeed a cluster algebra of type $D_n$ in the Fomin-Zelevinsky classification.

One can easily check that, because of this particular choice of frozen variables, $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to the class of cluster algebras studied in \cite{GLST}. More precisely, let us label the vertices of $Q$ by
generators are the initial cluster variables $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ so that $x_i, x_{n-i-1}$ lies at vertex $i$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}$ lies at vertex $n$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is the same as the algebra attached in [GLSI] to $Q$ and the Weyl group element

$$w = c^2 = (s_{n} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{1})^2.$$  

It follows from [GLSI] Theorem 16.1 (i) that $\mathcal{A}$ is a polynomial ring in $2n$ generators. These generators are the initial cluster variables

$$z_i := x_{i, n-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad z_n := x_{n-1, n-1},$$

together with the new cluster variables $z_i^\alpha (1 \leq i \leq n)$ produced by the sequence of mutations

$$\mu_n \circ \mu_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_2 \circ \mu_1. \quad (6)$$

Recall from [FZI] that, our initial cluster being fixed, the cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}$ also have a natural labelling by almost positive roots. The correspondence is as follows. First, the $\Theta$-orbits of the initial triangulation are labeled by negative simple roots:

$$\Theta([i, n-1]) \mapsto -\alpha_i, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \quad [n-1, n-1] \mapsto -\alpha_{n-1}, \quad [n-1, n-1] \mapsto -\alpha_n.$$  

Any other $\Theta$-orbit $x$ is mapped to the positive root $\sum c_i \alpha_i$, where the diagonals representing $x$ cross the diagonals representing $-\alpha_i$ at $c_i$ pairs of centrally symmetric points (counting an intersection of two diameters of different colors and support as one such pair).

In [YZ, Y], a different labelling for the cluster variables is used. First the choice of an acyclic initial seed is encoded by the choice of a Coxeter element $c$. For our choice of initial seed, this Coxeter element is

$$c = s_{n} s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \cdots s_{1}.$$  

Next the cluster variables are labeled by weights of the form

$$c^m \sigma_i, \quad (i \in I, 0 \leq m \leq h(i, c)),$$

where $h(i, c)$ is the smallest integer such that $c^{h(i, c)} \sigma_i = w_0 \sigma_i$. The correspondence between the two labellings is as follows. To the fundamental weight $\sigma_i$ corresponds $-\alpha_i$, and to the weight $c^m \sigma_i$ ($m \geq 1$) corresponds the positive root $\beta = c^{m-1} \sigma_i - c^m \sigma_i$.

**Example 5.1.** We illustrate all these definitions in the case $n = 4$. Here $P$ is a regular octogon, with vertices labeled by $0, 1, 2, 3, \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}$. Our choice of initial triangulation is

$$\{ ([1, \overline{3}], [\overline{1}, 3]), ([2, \overline{3}], [\overline{2}, 3]), ([3, \overline{3}], [\overline{3}, 3]) \},$$

which corresponds to the Coxeter element $c = s_{4} s_{3} s_{2} s_{1}$. The sixteen $\Theta$-orbits of diagonals (represented by one of their elements), and the corresponding indexings by almost positive roots, and by weights, are given in the table below:

| $[1, \overline{3}]$ | $\alpha_1$ | $\overline{\sigma}_1$ | $[0, \overline{3}]$ | $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ | $c^2 \overline{\sigma}_2$ |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| $[2, \overline{3}]$ | $\alpha_2$ | $\overline{\sigma}_2$ | $[1, \overline{1}]$ | $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ | $c^2 \overline{\sigma}_3$ |
| $[3, \overline{3}]$ | $\alpha_3$ | $\overline{\sigma}_3$ | $[0, \overline{0}]$ | $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ | $c^3 \overline{\sigma}_3$ |
| $[0, 2]$ | $\alpha_4$ | $c^3 \overline{\sigma}_1$ | $[0, \overline{0}]$ | $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ | $c \overline{\sigma}_2$ |
| $[1, \overline{3}]$ | $\alpha_2$ | $c^2 \overline{\sigma}_1$ | $[2, \overline{1}]$ | $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ | $c^2 \overline{\sigma}_1$ |
| $[2, \overline{2}]$ | $\alpha_3$ | $c \overline{\sigma}_3$ | $[2, \overline{0}]$ | $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ | $c \overline{\sigma}_1$ |
| $[2, \overline{2}]$ | $\alpha_4$ | $c \overline{\sigma}_4$ | $[1, \overline{0}]$ | $\alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ | $c^2 \overline{\sigma}_2$ |
5.2 Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be of type \( D_n \). We will write \( Y_{0,p} = Y_{n+1,p} = 1 \) for \( p \in \mathbb{Z} \). We choose the height function \( \xi_i = n - 1 - i \) if \( i < n \) and \( \xi_n = 0 \). This induces a partial order \( \preceq \) on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) defined by

\[
i < j \iff \xi_i < \xi_j.
\]

Note that \( n - 1 \) and \( n \) are not comparable for \( \preceq \). Moreover, for convenience, we extend this to \( \{0, \ldots, n+1\} \) by declaring that 0 is a maximal element and \( n+1 \) a minimal element for \( \preceq \).

We define the following family \( \mathcal{P} \) of representations in \( \mathcal{C}_\xi \):

\[
L(i, j) = L(Y_i, Y_j, \xi_{j+2}), \quad (n + 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 0),
\]

\[
L(i, j)^\dagger = L(Y_{n,0} Y_{n-1,0} Y_i, \xi_{j+2} Y_j, \xi_{j+2}), \quad (n - 2 \leq j < i \leq 0).
\]

Since \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{R}_\xi \) are both polynomial rings over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 2n \) generators, the assignment

\[
z_i \mapsto [L(n+1, i)] = [L(Y_i, \xi_{i+2})], \quad z_i^\dagger \mapsto [L(i, 0)] = [L(Y_i, \xi_i)], \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),
\]

extends to a ring isomorphism \( \mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{R}_\xi \). Thus \( \mathcal{R}_\xi \) is endowed with the structure of a cluster algebra. Moreover, using the \( T \)-system equations for calculating the products

\[
[L(Y_i, \xi_i)] [L(Y_i, \xi_{i+2})] = z_i z_i^\dagger,
\]

and comparing them with the exchange relations involved in the sequence of mutations (6), we can easily check that the frozen variables of \( \mathcal{A} \) are mapped by \( \iota \) to the classes \( [L(i, i)] = [L(Y_i, Y_i, \xi_{i+2})] \). More precisely,

\[
\iota(f_{n-1}) = [L(n-1, n-1)], \quad \iota(f_n) = [L(n, n)], \quad \iota(x_{i,j+1}) = [L(i, i)], \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2).
\]

Therefore \( \iota \) maps the initial seed of \( \mathcal{A} \) to

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
L(n+1, n-1) & \leftarrow & L(n-1, n-1) \\
\uparrow & \swarrow & \\
L(n+1, 1) & \rightarrow & L(n+1, 2) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & L(n+1, n-2) & \leftarrow & L(n-2, n-2) \\
\uparrow & \swarrow & \uparrow & \swarrow & \cdots & \swarrow & \uparrow \\
L(1, 1) & & & L(2, 2) & & & \cdots & & L(n+1, n) & \leftarrow & L(n, n)
\end{array}
\]

5.3 Let us compute the truncated \( q \)-characters of the representations in \( \mathcal{P} \). As in \( \text{[4.2]} \) the modules \( L(i, j) \) are prime minimal affinizations. We have

\[
\overline{\chi}_q(L(n+1, j)) = Y_{j, \xi_{j+2}},
\]

\[
\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)) = Y_i Y_j (1 + A_{i, \xi_{i+2}}^{-1} + \cdots + (A_{i, \xi_{i+2}} \cdots A_{j-1, \xi_j})^{-1}), \quad (n - 1 \leq i),
\]

\[
\overline{\chi}_q(L(n, j)) = Y_{n,0} Y_{n-1,0} Y_i (1 + A_{n, \xi_{n+2}}^{-1} \chi_j), \quad (0 \leq j \leq n-2),
\]

where \( \chi_j := 1 + A_{n-2, \xi_{n-2}}^{-1} + \cdots + (A_{n-2, \xi_{n-2}} \cdots A_{j+1, \xi_j})^{-1} \). In general the \( L(i, j)^\dagger \) are not minimal affinizations. However, we have:

**Lemma 5.2.** For \( n - 2 \leq j < i \leq 0 \), the representation \( L(i, j)^\dagger \) is prime and

\[
\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)^\dagger)) = Y_{n,0} Y_{n-1,0} Y_i (1 + A_{n-1, \xi_{n-1}}^{-1} + A_{n-1, \xi_{n-1}}^{-1}) \chi_j + A_{n-1, \xi_{n-1}}^{-1} A_{n-1, \xi_{n-1}}^{-1} \chi_j \chi_j).
\]
Proof — As $\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)^\dagger) \leq \overline{\chi}_q(L(n, j) \otimes L(n-1, i))$ and $\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)^\dagger) \leq \overline{\chi}_q(L(n, i) \otimes L(n-1, j))$ there are $A, B \preceq \chi_j$ and $C \preceq \chi_i \chi_j$ such that

$$\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)^\dagger) = Y_{n,0} Y_{n-1,0} Y_{i.n., \xi_j + 2} Y_{j.n., \xi_j + 2}(1 + A^{-1}_{n-1,1} A + A^{-1}_{n,1} B + A^{-1}_{n,1} A^{-1}_{n-1,1} C).$$

From Proposition [4.1] with $J = \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we have

$$Y_{n,0} L_J(Y_{n-1,0} Y_{j,n-j+1}) L_J(Y_{i,n-i+1}) = L_J(Y_{n,0} Y_{n-1,0} Y_{i,n-i+1} Y_{j,n-j+1}).$$

Hence, by [3.4] we have $A = \chi_j$. The proof that $B = \chi_j$ is analogous. Similarly, from Proposition 4.1 with $J = \{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, we have

$$L_J(Y_{n-1,2} Y_{i,n-i+1}) L_J(Y_{n-2,1} Y_{j,n-j+1}) = L_J(Y_{n-2,1} Y_{i,n-i+1} Y_{j,n-j+1}).$$

So

$$C = (Y_{n-2,1} Y_{i,n-i+1} Y_{j,n-j+1})^{-1} \overline{\chi}_q(L(Y_{n-2,1} Y_{i,n-i+1} Y_{j,n-j+1})) = \chi_i \chi_j.$$

This explicit formula shows that $\overline{\chi}_q(L(i, j)^\dagger)$ can not be factorized and so $L(i, j)^\dagger$ is prime. □

Let $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P} \setminus \{L(i, i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. We introduce the following bijection between the non frozen cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}$ and the representations in $\mathcal{P}'$.

$$\begin{align*}
x_{ij} &\mapsto L(j-1,i), \quad (0 \leq i \leq j-2 \leq n-3), \\
x_{i\overline{j}} &\mapsto L(j,i)^\dagger, \quad (0 \leq j < i \leq n-2), \\
x_{i\overline{n-j}} &\mapsto L(n-1,i), \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
x_{\overline{i}n} &\mapsto L(n,i), \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
x_{\overline{i},\overline{n-1}} &\mapsto L(n+1,i), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2), \\
x_{\overline{n-1},\overline{n-1}} &\mapsto L(n+1,n-1), \\
x_{\overline{n-1},\overline{n}} &\mapsto L(n+1,n).
\end{align*}$$

One can check that under this correspondence, the renormalized truncated $q$-characters for the representations in $\mathcal{P}'$ coincide with the $F$-polynomials of the cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}$ calculated in [YZ Y]. One then deduces that this bijection is the restriction of the ring automorphism $\iota$ to the set of non frozen cluster variables.

**Example 5.3.** We continue Example 5.1. The table below gives the list of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}$ together with the corresponding representations of $\mathcal{P}'$ and their truncated $q$-characters. Here $t_i = A^{-1}_{i,\xi_i+1}$.
5.4 We now describe which tensor products of representations of $\mathcal{P}$ are simple.

**Proposition 5.4.** We have the following:

(a) Suppose $\{i, k\} \neq \{n - 1, n\}$. Then $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)$ is not simple if and only if $i < k \preceq j < l$ or $k < i \preceq l < j$.

(b) Suppose $\{i, k\} = \{n - 1, n\}$. Then $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)$ is simple if and only if $j = l$ or $i = j$ or $k = l$.

(c) Suppose $j < i$ and $l < k$. Then $L(i, j)^\dagger \otimes L(k, l)^\dagger$ is simple if and only if $j \preceq l < k \preceq i$ or $l \preceq j < i \preceq k$.

(d) Suppose $i \succeq n - 2$ and $l < k$. Then $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)^\dagger$ is simple if and only if $i = j$ or $i < j \preceq l < k$ or $l < k < i < j$ or $l < i < j \preceq k$.

(e) Suppose $i \prec n - 2$ and $l \prec k$. Then $L(i, j) \otimes L(k, l)^\dagger$ is simple if and only if $i = j$ or $(i \neq n + 1)$ and $l \preceq j \preceq k$ or $(i = n + 1$ and $k \preceq j$).

**Proof** — In each case, the proof of non simplicity follows from the identification of truncated $q$-characters with $F$-polynomials in the last section. So we treat only the proof of the simplicity.

(a) The irreducibility is proved as in type A, except for the tensor product

$$L(n + 1, n) \otimes L(n + 1, n - 1)$$

which is minuscule and so is simple.

(b) If $n - 2 \preceq j = l$ or $i = j$ or $k = l$, $L(n, j) \otimes L(n - 1, j)$ is minuscule and so is simple.

(c) By symmetry, we can assume $j \leq l$. Suppose that $j \leq l < k \leq i$ and let us prove that $L(i, j)^\dagger \otimes L(k, l)^\dagger$ is simple. Let $M$ be its highest weight monomial. It suffices to prove that any
The dominant monomial \( m \) occurring in \( \tilde{\chi}(L(i,j)^+) \tilde{\chi}(L(k,l)^+) \) occurs in \( \tilde{\chi}(L(M)) \). If \( A_{n,1}^{-1} \) or \( A_{n,1}^{-1} \) is not a factor of \( mM^{-1} \), this is proved as for type \( A \). If \( A_{n,1}^{-2} \) is a factor of \( mM^{-1} \), first from \( \text{Proposition 5.4} \) \( MA_{n,1}^{-2} \) occurs and \( L_f(MA_{n,1}^{-2}) \) occurs in the decomposition (3) for \( J = \{1, \ldots, n - 2, n\} \). But from type \( A \)

\[
L_f(MA_{n,1}^{-2}) = Y_{n,1}^{-2} L_f(Y_{n,0} Y_{n-2,1} Y_{n-i+1, j, n-j+1}) L_f(Y_{n,0} Y_{n-2,1} Y_{k, n-k+1} Y_{l,n-l+1})
\]

and we can conclude by \( \text{Proposition 5.4} \). This is analog if \( A_{n,1}^{-1} \) is a factor. So we can assume that \( A_{n,1}^{-1} \) and \( A_{n-1,1}^{-1} \) are factors with power 1. Then \( m \) is one of the following monomials

- \( MA_{n,1}^{-1} A_{n-1,1}^{-1} A_{n-2,2}^{-1} \cdots A_{j,n-j}^{-1} \) with multiplicity 5,
- \( MA_{n,1}^{-1} A_{n-1,1}^{-1} A_{n-2,2}^{-1} \cdots A_{j,n-j}^{-1} \) with multiplicity 2,
- \( MA_{n,1}^{-1} A_{n-1,1}^{-1} A_{n-2,2}^{-1} \cdots A_{k,n-k}^{-1} \) with multiplicity 1,
- \( MA_{n,1}^{-1} A_{n-1,1}^{-1} A_{n-2,2}^{-1} \cdots A_{j,n-j}^{-1} A_{j+1,n-j-1} \cdots A_{n-l}^{-1} \) with multiplicity 1.

Then we conclude as above. For example for the last monomial of the list,

\[
M' := MA_{n,1}^{-1} A_{n-2,2}^{-1} \cdots A_{j,n-j}^{-1}
\]

occurs in \( L_{\{n,n-2,\ldots,j\}}(M) \) from type \( A \). Hence \( MA_{j,n-j}^{-1} \) occurs in \( L_{\{n,1,n-2,\ldots,j\}}(MA_{n,1}^{-1}) \), but \( M' \) does not. So \( L_{\{n,n-2,\ldots,j\}}(M') \) occurs in the decomposition (3). Since \( M \) is a monomial in \( L_{\{n,1,n-2,\ldots,j\}}(M') \), we get the result.

\( \text{(d) and (e) : The proof is analog.} \)

Proposition \( \text{5.4} \) implies that the tensor products of representations of \( \mathcal{P} \) corresponding to compatible cluster variables are simple. Indeed, two cluster variables are compatible if and only if the corresponding diagonals in \( \mathcal{P} \) do not cross (with the convention that diameters of the same color do not cross each other) \( \text{[YZ]} \), \( \text{[12.4]} \). This coincides with the conditions of Proposition \( \text{5.4} \).

**Example 5.5**. We continue Example \( \text{5.1} \). The following table lists the compatible pairs of non frozen variables of \( \mathcal{A}' \), and indicates in which case of Proposition \( \text{5.4} \) the corresponding pairs of simple modules fail.
Now, as explained in [3.5] we may conclude that:

**Theorem 5.6.** \( \mathcal{C}_q \) is a monoidal categorification of the cluster algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) of type \( D_n \).
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