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ABSTRACT

Aims. The chemically peculiar B star ϕ Phe was, until very recently, considered a triple system, even though the data were not conclusive and the orbits rather uncertain. Very recent results by Korhonen et al. (2013) provided a revised orbit, different from the then available astrometric Hipparcos orbit.

Methods. Additional spectroscopic data, obtained with the BESO spectrograph at Cerro Armazones, confirm the newly found orbit, even though the resulting radial velocities do not allow to improve on the recent orbit. We combine the latter with the Hipparcos measurements to secure the astrometric orbit, and derive the inclination of the system. Using evolutionary tracks, we can finally even though the resulting radial velocities do not allow to improve on the recent orbit. We combine the latter with the Hipparcos measurements to secure the astrometric orbit, and derive the inclination of the system. Using evolutionary tracks, we can finally constrain all the parameters of the two components in this system.

Results. We confirm the mass of the primary, 3 M⊙, and find that the companion has a mass of 0.9 M⊙. The inclination of the system is i = 93° ± 4.7°, and is potentially eclipsing; we predict the time of the next conjunction. Given that the eccentricity of the orbit and the exact value of the semi-amplitude of the radial velocity relies on just one set of points, we also urge observers to measure radial velocities at the next periastron passage in April 2015.
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1. Introduction

ϕ Phe (HIP 8882, HD 11753, HR 558) is a 5th magnitude late-type B star whose chemical peculiarity was first noticed by A.R. Hyland (Dworetsky 1969). Its MK classification is B9pHgMn (Buscombe 1984).

It was suggested very early on (Campbell & Moore 1928) that the radial velocity of ϕ Phe was varying. However, that claim was only confirmed by Dworetsky et al. (1982) on a dataset too small to yield any orbit, not even the period. Leone & Catanzaro (1999) completed the dataset and derived the first spectroscopic orbit (e = 0.32, P = 41,489 days). Grenier et al. (1999) also complemented the dataset with one more radial velocity but did not derive any orbit. In the original reduction of the Hipparcos data (ESA 1997), a circular nearly edge-on 878-day orbit was derived from the sole astrometric observations. ϕ Phe thus made it to the triple star class (Tokovinin 2008) despite the excellent astrometric fit (F2 = 0.10), leaving no room for any detectable wobble caused by the inner component.

Even though the spectroscopic orbit has lately been substantially revised (Korhonen et al. 2013) with a period now reaching sightly more than three years, the multiplicity of the system was not questioned. Using the Hipparcos data, we are going to show that ϕ Phoenici turns out to be a genuine binary (Sect. 3), thus allowing the system to be fully characterised (Sect. 4).

2. Revised spectroscopic orbit

Using about 150 Coralie spectra taken in 2000, 2001, 2009, and 2010 together with a few FEROS and HARPS data points on top of the older radial velocities, Korhonen et al. (2013) have recently drastically changed the orbit. Instead of a month-long period, they obtained a 3-year orbit. Although these new data strongly constrain the top of the velocity curve, its bottom (and thus partly its semi-amplitude) is still essentially set by the sole six additional data from Leone & Catanzard (1999).

The Bochum Echelle Spectroscopic Observer (BESO) is a fibre-fed spectrograph based on slightly improved blueprints of the European Southern Observatory FEROS instrument (located at La Silla). It belongs to the Astronomical Institute of the Ruhr-Universitét Bochum (AIRUB) and is located at Cerro Armazones in the Atacama desert in Chile through a partnership between AIRUB and the Universidad Católica del Norte in Antofagasta. BESO is attached to the 1.5-m Hexapod Telescope. It operates in the 370-860 nm wavelength range with an average resolution of 48,000, varying from order to order between 43,000 and 60,000 (see Fuhrmann et al. 2011, for more details about BESO).

For purely astrometric reasons, ϕ Phe has been monitored by BESO since late 2011. The initial goal of that monitoring was to secure the spectroscopic orbit of the inner components (even the period was still uncertain after Leone & Catanzard 1999) which could then be used to improve the Hipparcos solution. Seventeen spectra were obtained between November 2011 and October 2012 yielding the radial velocities listed in Tab 1. The radial velocities were determined both by fitting some of the
The original choice of adopting a circular orbit to fit the Hipparcos data of this object was for the sake of simplification. Indeed, it means that not only the eccentricity but also the argument of the periastron ($\omega$) can be set to 0. That orbit is listed in the first column of Tab. 2. Strangely enough, the very same orbit was also adopted in the new reduction of the Hipparcos observations by van Leeuwen (2007) despite the seemingly poor fit resulting from that choice: 0.1 versus 12.34 for the goodness of fit (Wilson & Hilferty’s cube root transformation which follows a $N(0,1)$ distribution (Stuart & Ord 1994)). However, in this second reduction of the Hipparcos observations (2015.290). The orbit from Korhonen et al. is plotted in the left panel of Fig. 1. The scatter of both the BESO data and the old velocities from Campbell & Moore (1928) make the usefulness of these sets rather limited, especially the latter as far as constraining the period is concerned.

### Table 1. BESO radial velocities. Typical uncertainty is 2 km s$^{-1}$.

| Date     | HD/2400000 | Vel (km s$^{-1}$) | Date     | HD/2400000 | Vel (km s$^{-1}$) |
|----------|------------|------------------|----------|------------|------------------|
| 2011/11/09 | 55875.52796296 | 6.52            | 2012/09/07 | 56178.85435184 | 16.13            |
| 2012/06/22 | 56101.90094908 | 10.23           | 2012/09/16 | 56187.67641849 | 13.757           |
| 2012/06/27 | 56106.86884269 | 10.63           | 2012/09/27 | 56198.61953704 | 9.19             |
| 2012/07/06 | 56115.93180557 | 7.36            | 2012/10/14 | 56215.67843749 | 12.93            |
| 2012/07/15 | 56124.86010416 | 10.85           | 2012/10/16 | 56217.65492123 | 13.245           |
| 2012/08/10 | 56150.84261572 | 12.87           | 2012/10/20 | 56221.62182871 | 17.56            |
| 2012/08/15 | 56169.63533103 | 10.15           | 2012/10/24 | 56225.60097944 | 15.30            |
| 2012/08/29 | 56169.83555555 | 13.485          | 2012/12/04 | 56266.68113426 | 15.54            |
| 2012/09/02 | 56173.69356481 | 13.952          |          |             |                  |

Although the Thiele-Innes solution fits the Hipparcos data very well, the resulting $K_1$ is slightly larger than the spectroscopic value of Korhonen et al. (2013), i.e. 9.21 km s$^{-1}$. An astrometric value smaller than its spectroscopic counterpart is of-ten questioned despite the absence of hint of the latter in the radial velocities.

The astrometric orbits resulting from both the Campbell and the Thiele-Innes’ approach are listed in Tab. 2. The assessment of such spectro-astrometric combinations was extensively described and improved in several papers (Pourbaix & Arenou 2001; Pourbaix & Boffin 2003; Jancart et al. 2005). It essentially consists in fitting the astrometric data with two different approaches (freezing some parameters in one while leaving them free in the other) and in evaluating the consistency between these two solutions through several statistical tests. In both cases, the eccentricity, period and periastron time are adopted from the spectroscopic orbit. In Campbell’s approach, the amplitude of the radial velocity curve and the argument of the periastron are also adopted from the spectroscopic side. In the alternative, the four Thiele-Innes constants are left free. In no case, the radial velocities are fitted so the $K_1$ listed in Tab. 2 is derived from the astrometric solution alone. If both solutions are consistent (which is the case here), Campbell’s one should be favoured as it results from the larger number of degrees of freedom.

All the assessment indicators are listed in Tab. 3 and confirm the excellent agreement between the spectroscopic orbit and its astrometric counterpart. The goodness of fit is significantly re-duced with the eccentric orbit with respect to the original circular one. Despite the change of the eccentricity and period, the parallax and the proper motion, last three lines of Tab. 2 remain unchanged (within the error bars).

### Table 3. Assessment of the presence of the spectroscopic orbit in the Hipparcos observations. The notations are those from Pourbaix & Boffin (2003).

| Indicator | Value | Indicator | Value |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| $P_{F_1}$ | $< 10^{-6}$ | $\epsilon$ | 0.589825 |
| $P_{F_2}$ | $< 10^{-6}$ | $D$ | 1.922401 |
| $P_{F_3}$ | $< 10^{-6}$ | $P_{F_4}$ | 0.645796 |
| $F_{2T_1}$ | -0.866067 | $P_{F_5}$ | 0.408080 |

Although the Thiele-Innes solution fits the Hipparcos data very well, the resulting $K_1$ is slightly larger than the spectroscopic value of Korhonen et al. (2013), i.e. 9.21 km s$^{-1}$. An astrometric value smaller than its spectroscopic counterpart is often the sign that the assumption about the absence of light from the secondary is questionable (very unlikely, as we will see at the end of Sect. E). In the case of HIP 8882, could the spectroscopic value be underestimated? This cannot be ruled out as the bottom of the velocity curve is constrained by the six points over five consecutive days from Leone & Catanzard (1999), with the observed velocity ranging from -4.4 to -3.0 km s$^{-1}$. The next minimum of the radial velocity will take place on JD 2457130 (2015.290).

For the sake of completeness, we did fit the data coming from the second reduction of the Hipparcos observations (van Leeuwen 2007). The resulting $a_0$ is way too small (4.20 ± 0.33 mas) and, even though the goodness of fit goes from 12.34...
Table 2. Astrometric orbits based on the original Hipparcos data with and without spectroscopic help. The second column lists the published solution (ESA 1997). As in the Hipparcos Catalogue, \( F_2 \) denotes the Goodness of Fit and \( \varpi \) the parallax. The third column lists the proper motion after Tycho-2 (Høg et al. 2000).

| Element          | Original                  | Tycho-2                  | Spectro-based Campbell | Thiele-Innes |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| \( e \)          | 0 (fixed)                 |                          | 0.589 (fixed)          |              |
| \( P \) (d)      | 877.6541 \( \pm 117.3686 \) | 1126.11 (fixed)          |                        |              |
| \( T_0 \) (JD-2 400 000) | 48 589.2621 \( \pm 38.5598 \) | 53 766.2 (fixed)        |                        |              |
| \( \omega_0 \) (mas) | 5.91 \( \pm 0.93 \)     | 8.4 \( \pm 0.52 \)     | 9.8                    |              |
| \( \psi \) (deg.) | 93.51 \( \pm 5.34 \)     | 93 \( \pm 4.7 \)       | 93                     |              |
| \( \omega \) (deg.) | 0 (fixed)                 | 201.6 (fixed)           | 196                    |              |
| \( \Omega \) (deg.) | 161.88 \( \pm 6.94 \)  | 159 \( \pm 6.4 \)     | 156                    |              |
| \( A \) (mas)    | 8 \( \pm 1.0 \)          | 4 \( \pm 1.0 \)        | 8 \( \pm 1.0 \)        |              |
| \( B \) (mas)    | -4 \( \pm 1.0 \)         | 2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)     | -6 \( \pm 0.67 \)      |              |
| \( F \) (mas)    | -2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)      | 2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)     | 2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)     |              |
| \( G \) (mas)    | -2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)      | 2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)     | 2.7 \( \pm 0.80 \)     |              |
| \( F_2 \)        | 0.10                      | -0.83                    | -0.87                  |              |
| \( K_1 \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | 6.93                     | 9.21 (fixed)            | 11                     |              |
| \( \varpi \) (mas) | 10.55 \( \pm 0.69 \)    | 10.87 \( \pm 0.67 \)   | 10.6 \( \pm 0.69 \)    |              |
| \( \mu_\alpha \) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | -34.32 \( \pm 0.68 \) | -33.7 \( \pm 0.7 \)   | -35.3 \( \pm 0.74 \)  | -35.7 \( \pm 0.78 \) |
| \( \mu_\delta \) (mas yr\(^{-1}\)) | -28.34 \( \pm 1.39 \) | -26.0 \( \pm 0.6 \)   | -25.8 \( \pm 0.52 \)  | -25.4 \( \pm 0.61 \) |

Fig. 1. **Left panel:** Spectroscopic orbit with data from Leone & Catanzaro (1999), Grenier et al. (1999), Korhonen et al. (2013) (all as filled disks), BESO (open triangles) and Campbell & Moore (1928) as filled diabolos. **Right panel:** New astrometric orbit based on the original Hipparcos observations.

Although a perfectly edge-on orbit would be consistent with the astrometric solution, there is no sign of any eclipse ever reported. The Hipparcos photometry (ESA 1997) shows a constant brightness during the whole mission. The difference between the 5th and 95th percentiles is 0.02 Hp mag and there is no sign of brightness decrease at the epoch of conjunction.

Finally, the revision of the orbit leads to a significant change in the proper motion with respect to the Hipparcos one, especially along the declination axis, getting much closer to the Tycho-2 value (Høg et al. 2000). Unfortunately, the right ascension component moves in the opposite direction. However, the UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2013) proper motion (\(-33.9 \pm 1.0; -28.2 \pm 1.0\) mas yr\(^{-1}\)) confirms neither the Tycho-2 one nor ours, illustrating once more our poorly known this bright system is.
4. Astrophysical outcome

Besides the genuine binary nature of φ Phe, our new combined astrometric-spectroscopic solution confirms the parallax of the system and the orbital inclination, which is now safe to combine with the mass function to derive the mass of the secondary. Dolk et al. (2003) reported \( T_{\text{eff}} = 10,612 \pm 200 \text{ K} \) and \( \log g = 3.79 \pm 0.10 \text{ together with } \text{[Fe/H]} = 0.15 \text{ from Smith & Dworetsky (1993).} \) On the grid of stellar evolutionary tracks from Bertelli et al. (2009), the 3-solar mass track with \( Y = 0.3 \) and \( Z = 0.017 \) gives the best match as far as \( \log g \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) are concerned (left panel of Fig. 2). According to Hakkila et al. (1997), the interstellar extinction \( A_V = 0.03 \pm 0.17 \) which coupled to \( V = 5.112 \) yield the absolute magnitude \( M_V = 0.26 \pm 0.13 \). The point \( (T_{\text{eff}}, M_V) \) in excellent agreement with the log age(\( \text{yr} \)) = 8.41-isochrone from Bertelli et al. (2009) as illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 2.

From the spectroscopy, \( f(m) = 0.048 \pm 0.0015 \text{M}_\odot \) which, combined with \( i = 93^\circ \) (astrometry) and \( M_1 = 3.0 \pm 0.12 \text{M}_\odot \) (evolutionary track), lead to \( M_2 = 0.91 \pm 0.025 \text{M}_\odot \). Using one solar mass as initial guess for \( M_2 \), the dynamical parallax method (Binnendijk, 1960) yields \( M_2 = 0.9045 \text{M}_\odot \) after a few iterations, very consistent with our track estimate. On the log age(\( \text{yr} \)) = 8.41-isochrone (Fig. 2), such a mass corresponds to the big point on the right panel. The magnitudes of the two components differ by 5.7 in \( V \) and 3.9 in \( K \). Such a large difference in \( V \) explains the absence of signal in the Hipparcos data and in the spectra. The semi-major axis of the relative visual orbit is 36.3 mas only, making this system essentially inaccessible to VLT/NACO (Schöller et al. 2010). The two components are nevertheless bright enough to be resolved with the VLTI.

Even though, with \( \Delta m = 5.7 \) in \( V \), some hints of the secondary peak might be detectable in FEROS-based cross correlation function, a thorough inspection of the publicly available spectra has not revealed anything. Should eclipses occur (JD 2457078, i.e. 2015.148), they would yield a change in magnitude of 0.010 and 0.057 in \( V \) and \( K \) respectively, so the constant brightness reported by Hipparcos cannot be used to rule out the possibility of eclipses. Such eclipses would also constrain the orbit to be edge-on within 0.0001\(^\circ\).

5. Conclusion

It is rather disappointing to note that despite the fact that φ Phe belongs to the Bright Star Catalogue, in which it was noted for its chemical peculiarities, one has to wait till 2013 to have the first plausible characterisation of the components of this binary system.

Out of the 235 orbital solutions published in Hipparcos (ESA 1997), 115 were derived without using any ground-based solution, 70 of which had their eccentricity and periastron angle arbitrarily set to 0 as for φ Phe. How many other systems deserve some revision?
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