Breeding progress for pathogen resistance is a second major driver for yield increase in German winter wheat at contrasting N levels
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Breeding has substantially increased the genetic yield potential, but fungal pathogens are still major constraints for wheat production. Therefore, breeding success for resistance and its impact on yield were analyzed on a large panel of winter wheat cultivars, representing breeding progress in Germany during the last decades, in large scale field trials under different fungicide and nitrogen treatments. Results revealed a highly significant effect of genotype (G) and year (Y) on resistances and G × Y interactions were significant for all pathogens tested, i.e. leaf rust, strip rust, powdery mildew and Fusarium head blight. N-fertilization significantly increased the susceptibility to biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens. Resistance was significantly improved over time but at different rates for the pathogens. Although the average progress of resistance against each pathogen was higher at the elevated N level in absolute terms, it was very similar at both N levels on a relative basis. Grain yield was increased significantly over time under all treatments but was considerably higher without fungicides particularly at high N-input. Our results strongly indicate that wheat breeding resulted in a substantial increase of grain yield along with a constant improvement of resistance to fungal pathogens, thereby contributing to an environment-friendly and sustainable wheat production.

Innovations in resistance to pathogens have been a major goal in wheat breeding as plant resistance is the most environment-friendly and cost-efficient way of plant protection. Together with higher yield potential and enhanced production systems improved resistance has resulted in an average yield increase of 1–2% per year on a global scale over the last 50 years1. However, cereal rusts (P. striiformis, P. triticina), powdery mildew (Blumeria graminis), and Fusarium sp. remain major fungal wheat pathogens in temperate regions including Germany2–5. Each of these pathogens is a threat to wheat production, causing yield losses of up to 70% in susceptible cultivars6,7. Nonetheless, devastating fungal epidemics were prevented in Europe including Germany and elsewhere in the last decades by the use of fungicides and resistant cultivars8.

Puccinia striiformis Westend f.sp. tritici (PS) is the causal agent of stripe or yellow rust (YR). With the rise of the “Warrior” and “Kranich” races, YR has again gained importance also in Germany since 2011 most likely due to changes in the adaptation of P. striiformis to higher temperatures9,10. Moreover, the pathogen is expected to further benefit from climate change accompanied by rising ambient winter temperature and its long-distance migration capacity11,12. Nitrogen (N) fertilization affects the level of YR infestation, with higher N increasing disease severity depending on the cultivar and environmental conditions13–15.

Puccinia triticina Erikss. (PT) causing leaf rust (LR) is the most widespread and economically important wheat rust worldwide16–18 although its importance decreased slightly in Germany in recent years due to the abundance of YR19. Races of P. triticina recently prevalent in Germany carry many different virulence genes against most of the resistance genes used in elite wheat cultivars20,21. An increasing severity of LR was observed along with the rate of N applied22–24.

Similar to the rusts many different isolates are known for Blumeria graminis (DC.) Speer f.sp. tritici (BGT), the causal agent of powdery mildew (PM). Various Pm genes have been introduced from older wheat cultivars or land-races25,26 or were transferred from ancestral wheat and closely related wild species such as T. monococcum27.
The pathogens over the last 50 years, studies to quantify this efforts and its impact on grain yield have not been combined synthetic-organic fertilizer but no significant progress in non-fertilized conditions.

T4 vs. T2. Stressed conditions particularly at higher N rates in both drought years are also supported by harvest Tab. 2). Higher N together with higher soil moisture in 2016 resulted in a more normal 14% increase in GRY in inconsistent results or no significant effect at different N levels.

To quantify breeding progress in German winter wheat varieties released between 1966 and 2007 were investigated by Ahlemeyer and Fried51,52. Yield progress was between 30.7 kg ha⁻¹ (including plant protection) and 32.2 kg ha⁻¹ (without plant protection) mainly resulting from a higher number of kernels per spike (KPS). Significant progress in resistances to fungal pathogens was made as indicated by decreasing susceptibility for PM and LR. Further studies of long-term breeding progress in German winter wheat yield revealed genetic gains of 51 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ and relative genetic gains of 0.66% a⁻¹ at low intensity and 1.16% a⁻¹ at high intensity42,43. Ahrends et al.44 found a treatment-specific linear yield increase ranging from 25 to 32 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ of 16 cultivars released in Germany between 1895 and 2007 if treated with combined synthetic-organic fertilizer but no significant progress in non-fertilized conditions.

While it is generally agreed that wheat has been improved towards more durable resistances against fungal pathogens over the last 50 years57, studies to quantify this efforts and its impact on grain yield have not been published. Voss-Fels et al.58 intensively investigated breeding progress in economically successful European winter wheat with respect to production intensity. This study aims to complement the former general analysis based on the subset of economically important German cultivars. The objectives were to quantify the breeding progress with respect to resistance to major fungal pathogens and its effect on grain yield in relation to (i) nitrogen fertilization, (ii) fungicide treatment, (iii) and year of cultivar release.

### Results

#### Reaction of winter wheat varieties to major fungal diseases.

Stripe or yellow rust (YR) was the most prevalent disease with a three-year’s mean score of infection (mean of annual average ordinates, AO) of 7.8 ± 6.8% leaf area infected, followed by leaf rust (LR) 6.9% ± 4.9%, and powdery mildew (PM) with 4.9 ± 3.4%. Fusarium head blight (FHB) was scored with an average of 2.6 ± 1.8% ear area infected. N fertilization (T1 vs. T3) significantly increased the susceptibility to biotrophic pathogens (YR + 7.7%, LR + 23.6%, PM + 23.3%) as well as to the hemibiotrophic pathogen (FHB + 33.3%). Summary statistics, orthogonal contrasts between treatments and ANOVA results are shown in Table 1. Genetic variation of cultivars (G) to all fungal diseases was highly significant (p < 0.001). Nitrogen (N) and year (Y) as well had a highly significant impact on the susceptibility to all fungal pathogens. Relative importance of diseases differed among years as indicated by significant G × Y interaction (above average: 2015 YR; 2016 FHB; 2017 LR, FHB, PM). G × N and G × N × Y interactions were significant for FHB only.

#### Grain yield and yield components.

Mean grain yield (GRY) across all treatments was 6.4 ± 2.0 t ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Regarding yield components, mean thousand kernel weight (TKW) was 34.6 ± 5.9 g, kernels per spike (KPS) 38.7 ± 11.7, ears per square meter (ESM) 492 ± 84 ears m⁻², biomass (BM) 17.8 ± 3.6 t ATM ha⁻¹, and harvest index (HI) 0.34 ± 0.06 (Table 2). GRY, BM and all direct yield components showed substantial genetic variation (G, p ≤ 0.001). Treatments resulted in highly significant differences for GRY and most of the yield components except ESM as direct comparisons show (Tables 1, 2). Plant protection (PP, T1, T3 vs. T2, T4) significantly increased BM (+ 20.8%), GRY (+ 40.9%), and all yield components (TKW + 20.0%, KPS + 17.3%, ESM + 1.1%), as well as HI (+ 15.9%). Elevated N fertilization (T1, T2 vs. T3, T4) resulted in significantly higher BM (+ 4.3%) and ESM (+ 2.4%), whereas TKW (~ 8.8%), HI (~ 8.6%), and GRY (~ 3.4%) were significantly decreased. KPS (+ 1.7%) was not significantly affected by N application. When a higher N supply together with plant protection results into higher GRY (2015 7.4 t ha⁻¹ vs. 2016 7.7 t ha⁻¹), higher susceptibility to fungal pathogens at a high N rate resulted in lower GRY (T1 5.7 t ha⁻¹ vs. T3 5.0 t ha⁻¹). Increased GRY due to higher N under plant protection (T4 vs. T2) was much less than expected. While lodging (T4 > T3 > T2 > T1) might have contributed to lower GRY at higher N the results can be explained by the influence of the year. Year (Y) significantly affected GRY, BM, and all yield components mainly due to annual differences in the intensity of drought periods during spring and summer. While GRY (7.8 t ha⁻¹), KPS (45.6), BM (19.1 t ATM ha⁻¹), and HI (0.37) were highest in 2016, the year 2015 with the strongest drought resulted in the lowest average performance (GRY 5.2 t ha⁻¹, BM 15.2 t ATM ha⁻¹, KPS 28.7, HI 0.30). ESM was significantly increased in 2015 (538 ears m⁻²) likely resulting from high Nmin and above average precipitation in winter 2014/15. N application was likely only partly successful in the drought stress years 2015 and 2017. Moreover, early N application might have been contributed to drought stress by higher tiller competition (ESM higher in T3 and T4 than in T1 and T2, c.f. Tab. 2). Higher N together with higher soil moisture in 2016 resulted in a more normal 14% increase in GRY in T4 vs. T2. Stressed conditions particularly at higher N rates in both drought years are also supported by harvest.
null
All leaf diseases, including LR at 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and low YR abundance, were also negatively correlated with the yield component KPS, with BM, and HI and to a lesser extend with TKW. In comparison, FHB shows higher correlations mainly with GRY, TKW, and BM at low N, but additionally also with KPS at high N supply. ESM is assumed (linear fit: T2-T1 = 0.642 + 0.0473*T1_fungal_sum; V4-V3 = 0.999 + 0.0712*V3_fungal_sum), a yield response to fungicides. If a linear dependency between yield gain to fungicides and the sum of disease scores is increasing sum of disease scores (Fungal sum) at both N levels. Even the most resistant cultivars show a positive gain due to fungicides alone of + 0.64 t ha\(^{-1}\) at the low N rate and + 1.00 t/ha can be inferred.

Table 2. Summary statistics, orthogonal contrasts between treatments based on LSmeans and ANOVA results of yield and yield components and ANOVA results with significance levels of 3-year field trials (2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17) obtained on 178 wheat cultivars. GRY, grain yield in t/ha (average moisture 14%); TKW, 1000 kernel weight in g; KPS, kernels per spike; ESM, stand density/m\(^2\); BM, aboveground biomass (ATM) in t/ha; HI, harvest index; T1–T4, treatments T1 (target supply 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) + inoculation), T2 (target supply 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) + fungicides), T3 (target supply 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) + inoculation), T4 (target supply 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) + fungicides); ∅, mean; SD, standard deviation; Signif. p, significance level of p value: *** < 0.001, ** < 0.01, * < 0.05, ns not significant.

| Yield parameter observations (N) | GRY    | TKW    | KPS    | ESM    | BM     | HI     |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Treatment                        | ∅ ± SD | ∅ ± SD | ∅ ± SD | ∅ ± SD | ∅ ± SD | ∅ ± SD |
| T1                               | 5.73 ± 1.18 | 33.5 ± 4.5 | 36.6 ± 8.8 | 483.8 ± 16.0 | 16.0 ± 2.3 | 0.335 ± 0.045 |
| T2                               | 7.36 ± 1.85 | 38.9 ± 5.3 | 40.2 ± 11.9 | 490.8 ± 18.8 | 18.8 ± 3.4 | 0.365 ± 0.058 |
| T3                               | 4.95 ± 1.40 | 39.4 ± 4.8 | 34.7 ± 9.2 | 496.7 ± 16.2 | 16.2 ± 3.1 | 0.286 ± 0.056 |
| T4                               | 7.69 ± 2.17 | 36.6 ± 4.4 | 43.4 ± 14.0 | 500.7 ± 20.1 | 21.3 ± 3.8 | 0.355 ± 0.065 |
| Total                            | 6.43 ± 2.94 | 34.6 ± 5.9 | 38.7 ± 11.7 | 492.8 ± 17.8 | 17.8 ± 3.6 | 0.335 ± 0.064 |

Orthogonal contrasts
- p>F signific. p: < 0.001***
- p>F signific. p: < 0.01***
- p>F signific. p: < 0.05***
- p>F signific. p: ns

Genotype (G)                      | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** |
Nitrogen (N)                      | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | 0.0063**   | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** |
Plant protection (PP)             | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** |
Year (Y)                          | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** |
G × Y                             | 0.1872 ns  | < 0.001*** | 0.7066 ns  | < 0.001*** | 0.9988 ns  | 0.9942 ns  |
G × N                             | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 0.9652 ns  | 0.9942 ns  | 0.9942 ns  | 0.9942 ns  |
G × PP                            | 0.0001***  | 0.0003***  | 0.2824 ns  | 0.0003***  | 0.0001***  | 0.0001***  |
G × N × PP                        | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 0.8112 ns  | 0.8722 ns  | 0.9902 ns  | 1.0000 ns  |
G × N × PP × Y                    | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  | 1.0000 ns  |

ANOVA source of variation
- p>F signific. p: < 0.001***
- p>F signific. p: < 0.01***
- p>F signific. p: < 0.05***
- p>F signific. p: ns

Breeding progress for disease resistance and its relation to yield enhancement. All four fungal diseases correlated significantly negatively with the year of cultivars’ release (Table 5, Figs. 3, 4, 5, c.f. Supplementary Table S4). The average rate of susceptibility over time, estimated from the slope of linear regression, decreased for all four fungal diseases, indicating sustained breeding progress. Moreover, the progress in general fungal resistance was slightly stronger at high N input (–0.28% infestation per year at 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) vs. –0.30% a\(^{-1}\) at 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)). Specifically, susceptibility to PM (110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\): –0.13% a\(^{-1}\); 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\): –0.14% a\(^{-1}\)),
and YR (110 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.11% a⁻¹, 220 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.13% a⁻¹) was notably and significantly reduced. Although a decreasing slope cannot be shown for LR based on a simple bivariate analysis, it can be inferred from the partial analysis of LR. In LR (YR1) the class of low YR infestation, if the competitive influence of YR is largely excluded, LR decreases considerably (110 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.14% a⁻¹ not significant; 220 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.18% a⁻¹). Susceptibility against FHB decreased slightly (110 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.008% a⁻¹, not significant; 220 kg N ha⁻¹: − 0.012% a⁻¹).

Breeding success in terms of healthier cultivars is also indicated by the best cultivars and their respective year of release (c.f. Supplementary Table S3 and S4). The healthiest cultivars against all fungal diseases (fungal sum) in the panel at both N levels were ‘SY Ferry’ (released 2012), ‘Xanthippe’ (2011), and ‘Zappa’ (2009). The cultivars with the lowest mean YR infestation at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ were ‘Desamo’ (2013), ‘Nelson’ (2011) and ‘SY Ferry’ (2012) while ‘Zappa’ (2009), ‘Torrild’ (2005) and ‘Edgar’ (2010) were the best at 220 kg N ha⁻¹. ‘Capone’ (2012), ‘Xanthippe’ (2011), and ‘Hyland’ (2009) at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ and ‘Xanthippe’ (2011), ‘Hyland’ (2009), and ‘Muskat’ (2010) at 220 kg N ha⁻¹ showed the lowest LR infection. With regard to PM ‘Tabasco’ (2008), ‘Memory’ (2013), and ‘Anapolis’ (2013) at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ and ‘Primus’ (2009), ‘Tabasco’ (2008), and ‘Edward’ (2013) at 220 kg N ha⁻¹ were the best cultivars, nearly without infestation. ‘Greif’ (1989), ‘Elixer’ (2012), and ‘Chevalier’ (2005).
although the average progress for overall resistance against all fungal pathogens tested was higher at 220 kg N ha⁻¹ than at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ in absolute terms, it was very similar at different N levels on a relative basis (−0.93% a⁻¹ at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ and−0.88% a⁻¹ at 220 kg N ha⁻¹; starting 1965 set to 100%, Table 5). Relative breeding progress against PM (110 kg N ha⁻¹: −1.49% a⁻¹; 220 kg N ha⁻¹: −1.39% a⁻¹) was very strong. Considerable improvement can also be found for resistance against YR (110 kg N ha⁻¹: −1.00% a⁻¹, 220 kg N ha⁻¹: −1.12% a⁻¹) as well as against LR (YR1: −1.10% a⁻¹ und 220 kg N ha⁻¹: −1.12% a⁻¹; both in cultivars with low YR), but was relatively weak against FHB (110 kg N ha⁻¹: −0.33% a⁻¹; 220 kg N ha⁻¹: −0.37% a⁻¹).

Breeding gain for GRY was highly significant in all production systems (treatments) with an average rate of +38.3 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ (T1 + 38.1 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, T2 + 34.1 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, T3 + 45.6 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, T4 + 35.5 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹; Table 4, Fig. 5). GRY increase was more pronounced in treatments without plant protection (T1, T3) and strongest without plant protection at high N fertilization (T3, Fig. 5). Annual gain in GRY over the last 50 years on a relative basis was +0.75% a⁻¹, ranging from moderate +0.55% a⁻¹ at both treatments with plant protection independent from N input (T2, T4) to a steeper increase (+0.86% a⁻¹) at the low intensity treatment (T1) and the highest increase (+1.33% a⁻¹) without plant protection at high N fertilization (T3). Mostly recent cultivars, such as ’Tobak’ (2011), ’Memory’ (2013), and ’Elixer’ (2012) gave the best yield at treatment T1, combining high yield potential at low N and low yield damage by fungal pathogens. ’Hyland’ (2009), ’Premio’ (2006), and ’Potenzial’ (2006) yielded best at the protected low N treatment T2. ’Elixer’ (2012), ’Gordian’ (2013), and ’Desamo’ (2013) produced the highest yield without protection at high N (T3), and ’Hyland’ (2009), ’Kalahari’ (2010), and

**Table 4.** Bivariate analysis between diseases (YR, LR including LR by YR classes, PM, FHB), and yield (GRY) based on LSmeans (observations treatment-specific, over years and repeats) assuming a linear model with variance explained (R²) and significance levels of p values of each model tested, and equations of linear fit to estimate grain yield from values of each disease. T1, T3, treatment 1, treatment 3; YR, stripe rust; LR, leaf rust; YR₁–YR₅, quantile classes of YR infestation (YR₁ < 4.0455%, YR₂ 4.0455 to 6.1509%, YR₃ 6.1509 to 9.1852%, YR₄ 9.1852 to 13.242%, YR₅ 13.242 to 31%); PM, powdery mildew; FHB, Fusarium head blight; GRY, grain yield; Signif. p, significance level of p value: *** < 0.001, ** < 0.01, * < 0.05, ns not significant.
'Winnetou' (2002) at T4 with fungicides, respectively. The best yield performance to all treatments, exhibiting the highest flexibility to the production system, gave 'Gordian' (2013), 'Elixer' (2012), and 'Patras' (2012).

Discussion

It is well known that the rate of nitrogen supply can alter the susceptibility of crop plants to fungal pathogens, but the effects depend on the host, the type of pathogen (biotrophic vs. necrotrophic)\textsuperscript{59,60}, and the time of N application\textsuperscript{61}. As the impact of N supply on crop diseases is complex, it was argued that it should be investigated in a crop- and pathogen-specific manner\textsuperscript{62}.

In the present study four major fungal pathogens, varying in their phenological niche and severity, were used to assess a panel of historically and agronomically important cultivars of German winter wheat at two levels of N supply, resembling relevant low and high input conditions. Resistances and yield traits were analyzed at each N level and in control treatments in which diseases were excluded by extensive fungicide spraying. Substantial variation was detected for all resistances and yield traits. It confirms previous findings that N fertilization tends to increase the number of ears per m\textsuperscript{2}, total biomass, kernels per spike, and grain yield, but reduces TKW if fungal diseases are absent\textsuperscript{58,63}. As ears per m\textsuperscript{2} and biomass are elevated at higher N supply, it can be concluded that also the microclimate was more favorable to fungal pathogens. Accordingly, infestations by all four fungal pathogens analyzed were responsive to the N level (Table 1). Our results support the previous finding that biotrophic fungal pathogens (i.e. \textit{Blumeria graminis}, \textit{Puccinia striiformis}, \textit{P. triticina}) benefit from increased N supply\textsuperscript{13,64,65}. The hemibiotrophic pathogen \textit{Fusarium culmorum} (with a biotrophic and a necrotrophic phase) increases strongly with higher N as well, supporting the findings of\textsuperscript{40} who reported increasing FHB severity with higher N fertilization rates from 0 to 160 kg N ha\textsuperscript{−1} (independent from the type of fertilizer). Although we found a broad genotypic variation among the cultivars ranging from nearly resistant to highly susceptible to all pathogens tested, G × N interaction was not detected for stripe rust (YR), leaf rust (LR), and powdery mildew (PM) infestation. That suggests that the cultivars analyzed respond similarly to increased N fertilization.

The abundance of YR was relatively high, which can be attributed to the fact that a mixture of relatively new and aggressive \textit{P. striiformis} races such as ‘Warrior’, ‘Warrior (−)’, ‘Triticale aggressive’ and ‘Oakley, v7/Kranich’ have been used for inoculation. Most resistance genes deployed in the European breeding genepool are overcome by these highly virulent races, including Yr6 by all four races, Yr8 and Yr10 by ‘Triticale aggressive’, Yr1, Yr2, Yr3, Yr7, Yr9, Yr17, Yr25 and Yr32 by ‘Oakley, v7/Kranich’ and the Warrior races, and YrSd as well as YrSP by the Warrior races\textsuperscript{21,66,67}. There was at least some YR infestation in even the most resistant cultivars, e.g. ‘Desamo’,

\textbf{Figure 2.} Bivariate analysis of grain yield (GRY, t/ha) in relation to fungal diseases as a group (Fungal sum), stripe rust (YR), powdery mildew (PM), and Fusarium head blight (FHB; all above), and partial bivariate analysis (below) of grain yield (GRY) in relation to leaf rust (LR) at five classes of YR infestation based on LSmeans (treatment-specific, over years and repeats). Each pair of analysed parameters with linear trend lines of low N (blue 110 kg N ha\textsuperscript{−1}) and high N input (red 220 kg N ha\textsuperscript{−1}), each trendline with 95\% confidence interval. Equations of all trendlines are given above each plot.
...quantitative resistance most probably caused YR differences detected on the panel. This observation is in accordance with Hovmøller who assumed that the qualitative resistance genes Yr5, Yr24, and Yr15, which are still functional, are very likely not deployed in German elite wheat varieties.

Some genes are known to confer quantitative resistance against YR and LR, namely Lr34, Lr46, Lr67, Lr68, and likely also Yr17. It is not known if German cultivars contain the less common quantitative resistance genes Lr67 and Lr68 as breeders often hide such information and differential sets to study Lr genes usually do not cover...

| Parameter treatment | R² | Equation to estimate parameter | Relative annual improvement 1965 to 2013 (%) |
|---------------------|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Fungal sum (YR + LR + PM + FHB) | | | |
| T1 | 0.451*** | Fungal sum (110) = 574.4 − 0.277*YoR | − 0.932 |
| T3 | 0.439*** | Fungal sum (220) = 632.5 − 0.304*YoR | − 0.881 |
| All | 0.401*** | Fungal sum (110) = 603.2 − 0.2906*YoR | − 0.903 |
| YR | | | |
| T1 | 0.14*** | YR (110) = 237.2 − 0.1149*YoR | − 1.005 |
| T3 | 0.14*** | YR (220) = 274.4 − 0.1333*YoR | − 1.069 |
| All | 0.138*** | YR = 256 − 0.1241*YoR | − 1.022 |
| LR | | | |
| T1 | | Partial analysis, see below | |
| T3 | | Partial analysis, see below | |
| T1 (YR₁) | 0.089 ns | LR (110, YR₁) = 290.9 − 0.1415*YoR | − 1.101 |
| T1 (YR₂) | 0.130** | LR (110, YR₂) = 198.7 − 0.09552*YoR | − 0.868 |
| T1 (YR₃) | 0.113 ns | LR (110, YR₃) = 111 − 0.05238*YoR | − 0.648 |
| T1 (YR₄) | 0.189** | LR (110, YR₄) = 126.9 − 0.06114*YoR | − 0.904 |
| T1 (YR₅) | 0.04 ns | LR (110, YR₅) = 40.77 − 0.01881*YoR | − 0.495 |
| T3 | | Partial analysis, see below | |
| T3 (YR₁) | 0.128* | LR (220, YR₁) = 361.7 − 0.1761*YoR | − 1.124 |
| T3 (YR₂) | 0.007 ns | LR (220, YR₂) = 155.2 − 0.07317*YoR | − 0.641 |
| T3 (YR₃) | 0.057 ns | LR (220, YR₃) = 111 − 0.05155*YoR | − 0.490 |
| T3 (YR₄) | 0.189** | LR (220, YR₄) = 126.9 − 0.06114*YoR | − 0.904 |
| T3 (YR₅) | 0.048 ns | LR (220, YR₅) = 40.77 − 0.01881*YoR | − 0.495 |
| All (YR) | 0.108** | LR (YR₁) = 333.9 − 0.1626*YoR | − 1.130 |
| PM | | | |
| T1 | 0.521*** | PM (110) = 265.6 − 0.1307*YoR | − 1.490 |
| T3 | 0.512*** | PM (220) = 285.4 − 0.1401*YoR | − 1.387 |
| All | 0.494*** | PM = 275.5 − 0.1354*YoR | − 1.435 |
| FHB | | | |
| T1 | 0.022 ns | FHB (110) = 18.5 − 0.008158*YoR | − 0.331 |
| T3 | 0.027* | FHB (220) = 27.24 − 0.01217*YoR | − 0.366 |
| All | 0.017** | FHB = 22.87 − 0.01016*YoR | − 0.350 |
| GRY | | | |
| T1 | 0.38*** | GRY (T1) = − 70.5 + 0.03814*YoR | + 0.858 |
| T2 | 0.47*** | GRY (T2) = − 60.82 + 0.03411*YoR | + 0.548 |
| T3 | 0.38*** | GRY (T3) = − 86.16 + 0.04559*YoR | + 1.334 |
| T4 | 0.42*** | GRY (T4) = − 63.33 + 0.03553*YoR | + 0.546 |
| T₁, T₂ (low N) | 0.19*** | GRY (low N) = − 65.66 + 0.03613*YoR | + 0.677 |
| T₁, T₃ (without PP) | 0.32*** | GRY (wo PP) = − 78.33 + 0.04187*YoR | + 1.061 |
| T₃, T₄ (high N) | 0.11*** | GRY (high N) = − 74.74 + 0.04056*YoR | + 0.818 |
| T₂, T₄ (PP) | 0.42*** | GRY (PP) = − 62.07 + 0.03482*YoR | + 0.549 |
| All | 0.138*** | GRY = − 70.2 + 0.03834*YoR | + 0.745 |

Table 5. Bivariate analysis between diseases (YR, LR including LR by YR classes, PM, FHB), and yield (GRY) with years of cultivars release based on LSmeans (observations treatment-specific, over years and repeats) assuming a linear model. Results contain phenotypic variance explained (R²), significance levels of p values of each model tested, equations of linear fit to estimate breeding progress from year of release (YoR), and relative annual improvement of each parameter between 1965 and 2013 (estimated value of 1965 set to 100%). T₁—T₄, treatment 1 to 4; YR, stripe rust; LR, leaf rust; YR₁-YR₅, quantile classes of YR infestation (YR₁ < 4.0455%, YR₂ 4.0455 to 6.1509%, YR₃ 6.1509 to 9.1852%, YR₄ 9.1852 to 13.242%, YR₅ 13.242 to 31%); PM, powdery mildew; FHB, Fusarium head blight; GRY, grain yield; Signif. p, significance level of p value: *** < 0.001, ** < 0.01, * < 0.05, ns not significant.

*Nelson’ or ‘SY Ferry’ (Supplementary Table S3). As qualitative resistance should in general not result in infestation quantitative resistance most probably caused YR differences detected on the panel. This observation is in accordance with Hovmøller, who assumed that the qualitative resistance genes Yr5, Yr24, and Yr15, which are still functional, are very likely not deployed in German elite wheat varieties.

Some genes are known to confer to quantitative resistance against YR and LR, namely Lr34, Lr46, Lr67, Lr68, and likely also Yr17. It is not known if German cultivars contain the less common quantitative resistance genes Lr67 and Lr68 as breeders often hide such information and differential sets to study Lr genes usually do not cover...
Figure 3. Breeding progress in German winter wheat against fungal pathogens. Development of susceptibility to four fungal pathogens (Fungal sum), stripe rust (YR), powdery mildew (PM), and Fusarium head blight (FHB) of 178 winter wheat cultivars across 50 years at two N rates (treatments without plant protection) based on LSmeans (treatment-specific, over years and repeats). Linear trend lines of each disease is given for low N (110 kg N ha−1, lighter colour) and high N input (220 kg N ha−1, darker colour), each with 95% confidence interval. Equations of all trendlines are given above each plot.

Figure 4. Breeding progress in German wheat against leaf rust. Development of susceptibility of 178 winter wheat cultivars to leaf rust (LR) depending from stripe rust (YR) infestation across 50 years at two N rates (treatments without plant protection) based on LSmeans (treatment-specific, over years and repeats). LR infestation of each YR class is given with linear trend lines of low N (110 kg N ha−1, light brown) and high N input (220 kg N ha−1, dark brown), each with 95% confidence interval. Equations of all trendlines are given above each plot.
ance already. Additionally, accumulation of durable quantitative YR resistance loci may have contributed to at least some of the R genes, which mediate qualitative resistance, may contribute also to quantitative resistance. The breakdown of previously introduced resistance genes during the observed time interval supports the hypothesis that the rate of relative improvement (starting in 1965 with the infected leaf areas each set to 100%) equals to a constant rate of 1.0% per year at both N levels. The significant decrease of infestation by YR despite continuous break-down of infestation by YR as both foliar fungal pathogens rival for a very similar ecological niche where YR has the advantage of being able to overcome leaf rust isolates. However, Lr46 might have contributed to increased quantitative resistance in modern cultivars present in our panel via combination of several resistance loci genes. No dedicated study on the occurrence of the more common slow rusting Lr34/Yr18 region exists for German cultivars. However, 'Kormoran' (part of our study, released 1973 by Lochow-Petkus, predecessor of KWS) containing Yr18 and Yr17 is relatively common in German cultivars according to the Wheatpedigree database (www.wheatpedigree.net). It seems likely that Yr17 and/or Lr34/Yr18 together with further quantitative resistance loci genes have been combined in the German elite cultivars as KWS released many successful cultivars with good overall resistance after the year 2000 and other breeders are allowed taking advantage from resistant cultivars through the breeder's privilege. Leaf tip necrosis (LTN) has been described to be associated with some of these quantitative resistance genes. LTN occurred at varying degree in most of the cultivars in 2015. It significantly increased in both protected treatments, T2 and T4, over the five decades along with the increase in disease resistance. Thus, increased LTN could result from increased disease resistance due to a combination of quantitative resistance genes.

Higher N fertilization increases the susceptibility of wheat against YR supporting previous studies, but G × N interaction was not shown to be significant. In other words, the cultivars react very similar to higher N supply. Nevertheless, with respect to breeding progress varietal YR susceptibility was reduced considerably in the course of decades from an average of 11.4% leaf area infected to 5.9% at 110 kg N ha⁻¹ and from 12.5% to 6.1% at 220 kg N ha⁻¹ (cf. Fig. 3). Although the absolute reduction rate was steeper at the higher N level, the rate of relative improvement (starting in 1965 with the infected leaf areas each set to 100%) equals to a constant rate of 1.0% per year at both N levels. The significant decrease of infestation by YR despite continuous break-down of previously introduced resistance genes during the observed time interval supports the hypothesis that at least some of the R genes, which mediate qualitative resistance, may contribute also to quantitative resistance already. Additionally, accumulation of durable quantitative YR resistance loci may have contributed to improved resistance in the field.

In comparison to YR a lower average leaf rust (LR) susceptibility was observed, but the yearly abundance of both rusts differed considerably (cf. Table 1). The increase of mean LR infestation due to higher N fertilization was pronounced which is in agreement with results of previous studies. Being inoculated onto the same wheat plants LR mainly developed on cultivars with a low susceptibility to YR. As a result, LR and YR disease expressions showed a strong negative correlation. It may be hypothesized that LR infestation is competitively suppressed by YR as both foliar fungal pathogens rival for a very similar ecological niche where YR has the advantage of first occupation. Competition is supported by the fact that both diseases do not correlate with each other if YR abundance is low, and partial correlation coefficients decrease along with an increase of YR infestation.

Older cultivars in the panel were more often infested by YR and because of the negative correlation to LR do not allow an unbiased estimate of LR infestation. Hence, partial correlation analysis of cultivar classes with similar YR susceptibility (Fig. 4) shows that LR resistance has been strongly improved over the past five decades as well. Relative improvement of LR resistance at a rate of 1.1% per year is independent from N input and only slightly higher than the improvement against YR. Ahlemeyer and Friedt found a lower relative annual raise of resistance at 0.6% for LR between 1966 and 2007 based on data from official trials by the German Plant Variety Office analyzed over that period. The difference might be attributable to the different trial types. Our rates of improvement are based on joint trials which subsume durable resistance progress and resistance which has been lost by breakdown of resistance genes. The calculations of Ahlemeyer and Friedt were based on data of continuing official German variety tests for cultivation and use and reflect durable resistance progress only. If durable progress is assumed to be equal for both types of trials, subtracting the rate of durable resistance...
Susceptibility to powdery mildew (PM) was also considerably increased by higher N fertilization. As plant density and biomass was increased due to higher N, it strongly supports previous reports according to which dense sowing and high N supply can increase susceptibility to PM \(^{32,74}\). Although no artificial inoculation with PM was carried out, natural infection occurred regularly with at least low abundance in late fall of each year. The disease severely progressed under humid and warm weather conditions (e.g. in May and July 2017) when even the least susceptible cultivars such as 'Tabasco', 'Zappa' or 'Memory' showed diseases symptoms. PM remains a constant threat to wheat despite the fact that resistance over the past five decades was improved strongly with a decrease of susceptibility from 8.8% to 2.5% leaf area infected at 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and from 10.1% to 3.4% at 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (cf. Fig. 3). That equals to a relative improvement of 1.49% a\(^{-1}\) and 1.39% a\(^{-1}\), respectively. The relative rate of improvement in resistance according to Ahlemeyer and Friedt \(^{51}\) was 1.46% a\(^{-1}\), almost identical with the rate which we observed. Considering that the resistance progress levelled off in the mid 2000\(^{\prime}\)s, recalculation gives a rough estimate of 0.7% (110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) resp. 0.5% (220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) for PM to compensate for the average annual rate of breakdown of resistance, similar to the value for LR. Our results support previous studies, that intense wheat resistance breeding achieved sustained resistance.\(^{4,52,58}\)

The N application rate had a significant impact on the susceptibility to Fusarium head blight (FHB), as infection at 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) was considerably higher than at the lower N supply. FHB symptoms developed rapidly after artificial inoculation with the highly virulent isolate Fc46\(^{50}\) adjusted to the flowering time of cultivars and followed by two subsequent water sprayings. We found significant G × N interaction for FHB suggesting that cultivars react differently to the pathogen at different N levels. This is in contrast to previous studies, in which no significant effects of varying N rates on FHB susceptibility\(^{5,6,41}\) or even contradicting data\(^{42}\) were reported. As expected, only quantitative differences of susceptibility were depicted. In contrast to YR, PM, and LR, where modern cultivars mostly belong to the least susceptible, breeding progress for FHB resistance is not obvious by years of cultivar’s release of the best in comparison to the most susceptible cultivars. However, average infestation decreased slightly over time from 2.5% to 2.1% at 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and from 3.3% to 2.7% at 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3). If a linear trend is assumed, this results in a relative annual decrease in susceptibility of 0.33% a\(^{-1}\) at 110 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and 0.37% a\(^{-1}\) 220 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). FHB resistance of European cultivars is predominantly quantitative, i.e. mediated by many loci with small effects.\(^{38,58}\) It is generally argued that quantitative resistance is more durable and a (gradual) breakdown of such resistances is unlikely. If the latter would be assumed for the recombination of the relative annual improvement, durable breeding progress for FHB resistance would be rather low.

The intensity of fungal diseases as a whole correlates significantly negatively with yield and single yield components (Table 3). The negative effect of fungal pathogens on the different yield components corresponds to the seasonal occurrence of the diseases. Early occurring diseases such as PM and YR significantly decrease crop density (number of ears per square meter) while late occurring diseases usually have no pronounced or at least low significant effect. The number of kernels per spike is the yield component most strongly affected by the foliar diseases. This can be explained by the impairment of photosynthesis and reduction of assimilates impacting flower development, fertilization, and the development of ovules and intact seeds. In contrast, toxins related to the ear disease FHB mainly affect later stages of ovule development and seed formation, potentially leading to the abortion of developing ovules. FHB, YR, and LR have been very abundant mainly during grain filling, which explains their negative correlation with TKW. On the contrary, PM usually occurs earlier but also more permanently and seems to reduce grain yield primarily via the reduction of kernels per spike, biomass and harvest index.

A high N supply tends to promote ears per square meter and all four fungal diseases. In turn, correlations between ears per square meter and each of these diseases are generally low and difficult to interpret. Higher N supply leads to stronger negative correlation between YR, LR and grain yield, as well as for FHB with TKW and grain yield in comparison to a lower N supply. This supports the assumption of the source-based cause of a higher N supply leading to stronger disease infestation. Stronger infestation by fungal pathogens uses the plants’ assimilates and negatively affects photosynthesis, and organ development, which in turn damages yield components resulting in lower biomass formation and finally a reduction in grain yield. The expected loss of grain yield differs for each of the diseases but is in general increased at higher N supply (cf. Fig. 2). In the present study, the biotrophic rust diseases have caused yield losses of 50 up to 153 kg ha\(^{-1}\) per 1% tissue damage with lower losses by leaf rust occurring late in the season. Yield losses resulting from powdery mildew infection were higher (172–187 kg ha\(^{-1}\) per 1% tissue damage) likely due to a longer duration of PM infestation in comparison to the rusts. FHB has not only caused the most severe losses of GRY (217–305 kg ha\(^{-1}\) per % of damaged tissue) via a reduction in TKW, it also releases toxins such as Deoxynivalenol (DON) und Zearalenon (ZEA) which can cause a reduction of kernels per spike, particularly at an increased N level. As it might also lead to a total loss of a wheat harvest by toxic contamination, resistance against this disease should be of high priority in further wheat breeding.

As demonstrated, grain yield could be enhanced over the whole period of five decades in all four production systems (Fig. 5) with an average of + 38.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\). Increasing grain yield over time without chemical plant protection (T1, T3) results both from enhanced genetic grain yield potential (T2 +34.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\), T4 +35.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) as well as from positive and stabilizing effects of enhanced fungal resistance (Fig. 5). Based on the estimated slopes, improved fungal resistance accounts for 11% (+3.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) at low N input and 28.5% (+10.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) of the grain yield increase under intense N conditions. Thus, the strongest improvement of German wheat cultivars has been achieved by enhancing both, yield potential and fungal resistance at high input conditions without plant protection (T3). We found evidence for a positive yield response to fungicides at both N rates even in largely resistant cultivars. More precisely, susceptible varieties profit more from fungicides than resistant cultivars but even the most resistant cultivars show a positive response. Modern fungicides (without strobilurins which were not used) had a very positive effect on yield. Reasons might be protective effects of
fungicides against not yet visible damage by fungal diseases (e.g. by hemibiotrophic pathogens) and an increase in wheat stress tolerance (reduction of ethylene and oxidative stress) which were described by Wu & Tiedemann and Zhang et al.

In our study we used an approach in which all cultivars were analyzed simultaneously in the same field trials; rather than the analysis of historical data from continued official variety trials (VCU trials). Genetic gains in trials of the former design tend to be overestimated in the variants without fungicide applications, attributed to "variety ageing" which results from gradual resistance breakdown. Laidig et al. calculated the genetic gain of winter wheat in German official variety trials for the period from 1983 to 2012 at +1.15% a⁻¹ in intensity 1 (without fungicide) and +0.66% a⁻¹ for intensity 2 (with fungicide). The difference between the two intensities is interpreted by a non-genetic, agronomic trend plus an effect called 'variety ageing' of 0.36% a⁻¹. Earlier, in a similar study including cultivars released from 1966 to 2007 Ahlemeyer and Friedt found a similar trend albeit lower values of 0.63% a⁻¹ (intensity 1) and 0.46% a⁻¹ (intensity 2), respectively. The respective trends observed in our trials range between a higher relative grain yield gain of +1.32% a⁻¹ in variant T3 (comparable with intensity 1 in VCU trials) and a similar 0.54% a⁻¹ in T4 (comparable with intensity 2). ‘Ageing’ as calculated by Laidig et al. assumes the predominant release of mostly resistant varieties which is unlikely as Ahlemeyer and Friedt have shown. Thus, we have to consider ‘ageing’ effects by gradual breakdown of resistances and durable resistance gains both taken together explaining the difference between treatments with and without fungicides. Ahlemeyer and Friedt used susceptibility data from historical field trials which reflect durable resistance gains whereas resistance gains in our trial represent both durable resistance gain plus the loss of resistance by breakdown of R genes (the latter equaling the ageing effect). Thus, the relatively strong difference in grain yield gain between T3 and T4 (and partly also between T2 and T1) may result from the combined effect of these two components of resistance improvement. Drought stress and severe biotic stress through fungal pathogen pressure by artificial inoculation may have contributed additionally to yield differences between treatments. We conclude that the genetic trend in our trial might be somewhat overestimated due to stress, comparable to a potential overestimation of the genetic trend at low input conditions as observed by previous authors.

Genetic gains and ‘variety ageing’ (breakdown of resistance) can be estimated if both variants with and without fungicide treatment are carried out in one trial. The effect of fungicide application then directly affects the outcome of comparative field trials. If chemical protective measures are very strict and successful, genetic gains can be estimated reasonably. That was likely the case regarding YR, LR, and FHB in our trial. If by comparison the fungicide control is not fully effective, older cultivars are burdened stronger by diseases and genetic gains may be biased and over-estimated. Accordingly, average annual breakdown of resistance genes would be underrated which could to some extent be the case for PM in our experiments.

In summary, it can be stated that different fungal pathogens attack wheat at different time points causing varying degrees of crop damage and yield loss. Results allowed quantifying the impact of N fertilization on the infestation by the fungal pathogens and their effects on grain yield as well as yield components. Resistance against fungal pathogens has been improved significantly but at varying speed during the last decades. The slope of improvement of genetic resistance was higher against biotrophic pathogens than to the hemibiotrophic pathogen. Our analyses support the assumption of the accumulation of longer-lasting quantitative resistance loci along with the deployment of race-specific R genes against biotrophic pathogens. Improvement in resistance over time was steeper at the higher N level in absolute but very similar in relative terms. The results strongly indicate that a substantial increase of genetic yield potential has been achieved in addition to simultaneous efforts to improve resistance against different fungal pathogens. As a matter of fact, the trend to higher resistance against the different wheat pathogens does not show signs of slowing down. Therefore, current German elite winter wheat cultivars provide an excellent basis for further yield gain by cross-breeding ("combination breeding"). That will enhance a more environment-friendly, sustainable crop production in the future leading to wheat cultivars which are better suited for farmers’ needs and consumers’ preferences. Drought periods can reduce the effectiveness of fungicide control is not fully effective, older cultivars are burdened stronger by diseases and genetic gains may be biased and over-estimated. Accordingly, average annual breakdown of resistance genes would be underrated which could to some extent be the case for PM in our experiments.

Material and methods

Plant material. A total of 178 German winter wheat cultivars, released in Germany between 1965 and 2013 (Bundessortenamt, BSA) were selected for the study based on their superior economic and agronomic importance in wheat production. The panel therefore represents long-term wheat breeding activities in Germany. General cultivar information including breeders and year of official release are provided in Supplementary Table S1. The panel of cultivars subsumes the German cultivars investigated by Voss-Fels et al.

Experimental site and trial design. The complete panel has been grown on the experimental field of the Julius Kuehn Institute in Quedlinburg, Germany (51.7694 N, 11.147 E, 140 m altitude). The soil type is a Chernozem with a silty loam texture (Loe 1a), an average humus content of 2.1% and a pH value of 7.1. The test site is characterized by subcontinental temperate climatic conditions influenced by its geographical range in the rain shadow of the Harz Mountains with a long-term average air temperature of 8.9 °C and 497 mm yearly precipitation, respectively. The vegetation periods of the three consecutive growing seasons (2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17) were characterized by above average temperatures (+1.3 °C), an average annual precipitation deficit of 29 mm, with drought periods during spring and early summer in 2015 and 2017, and a relatively dry winter in 2016/17. Relative soil moisture was measured in 40 cm depth using PlantCare Mini-Logger XL sensors (PlantCare AG, Russikon, Switzerland) from April to harvest. Relative soil moisture was on average 29.8% (2015 29.3%, 2016 31.1%, 2017 29.1%) which indicates below-average water availability.
Field trials were conducted in yield plots (area 4.5 m²) in three consecutive growing seasons from 2014–2015 to 2017–2018. In each of the three year × environment all 178 cultivars were sown side by side in a full factorial combination of high or low nitrogen levels combined with the presence or absence of fungicides, respectively, each in two replications. To ensure comparable pathogen pressure, artificial inoculation has been carried out in each year. In total, 4272 plots were analyzed. The wheat cultivars were grown in a randomized incomplete block design with four treatments (T1: 110 kg N ha⁻¹, no fungicide; T2: 110 kg N ha⁻¹ + fungicide; T3: 220 kg N ha⁻¹, no fungicide; T4: 220 kg N ha⁻¹ + fungicide) in two replications, each. All trials were sown at a density of 330 seeds m⁻² and received an herbicide (Fenikan®, Bayer AG, Germany) treatment shortly after emergence. All variants were fertilized with Calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN, adjusted for soil Nmin) up to three times from March to May at growth stages BBCH23–25, BBCH24-31, BBCH33–45 (BBCH scale[63]) until the intended target amount was reached. N application in 2015 and 2017 was time-delayed due to drought periods and, by extension, likely only partly effective. Plant height was controlled in all treatments by two applications of growth regulator (CCC 720®, Bayer AG, Germany; Medax Top®, BASF AG, Germany) in April and May. Fungal pathogens have been successfully controlled (infestation ≤ 1%) in treatments 2 and 4 by three annual fungicide applications (Capalo®, Adexar®, both BASF AG, Germany; Prosaro®, Bayer AG, Germany) between April and June. Fungicides, insecticides (Karat® Zeon, Syngenta AG, Switzerland) and growth regulators have been applied due to standard recommendations in Germany. Specific management information of each year and trial including date and amount of application is given in Supplementary Table S2.

Fungicides (plant protection, PP) effectively prevented the development of fungal diseases within the non-inoculated treatments (T2, T4). Symptoms were not scored plotwise but monitored to apply fungicides timely. Accordingly, FHB was never observed in the fungicide treatments. The diseased leaf area did not exceed 1% for YR and LR in all three years, and for PM in 2015 and 2016. Only PM occurred up to 4% on single susceptible cultivars in T2 and T4 during summer 2017.

Pathogen multiplication and inoculation. Pathogen multiplication of P. striiformis (PS, isolates ‘Warrior’, ‘Warrior (--); ‘Oakley, v7/Kranich’, ‘Triticale aggressive’ (cf. Zetzsche et al.[21]) was carried out at the JKI Braunschweig according to Bayles et al.[83]. P. triticina (PT, isolates: ‘77WxR’, ‘Tommii’, ‘167/76wxr’, ‘4083’) were multiplied according to Serfling et al.[84], and F. culmorum (FC, isolate: Fc46) at the JKI Quedlinburg according to Kopahnke et al.[85].

Treatments T1 and T3 were artificially inoculated with mixtures of PS, and PT races (see above) once, and with FC two times, each at periods of favorable growing conditions of the specific pathogen (PS: mid-April, BBCH23–30 at 5 to 10 °C; PT: mid-May, BBCH33–40 at 15 to 25 °C; FC: flowering, BBCH63–69; each at humidity > 80%). For the rusts, all respective plots were inoculated with an oil-spore mixture using a hand-held spinning disc sprayer (ULVA +, Micron Sprayers, Herefordshire, UK). Suspensions with a ratio of 0.2 g rust spores per liter oil (Isopar M, ExxonMobil Chemical Company, Spring, TX, USA) were prepared, sufficient for 400 m² plot area per liter. FC was inoculated by an aqueous suspension adjusted to about 300,000 conidia ml⁻¹ (25 m² plot area per liter). High abundance of BGT led to spontaneous natural infection.

Disease phenotyping and morphological and agronomical traits. Susceptibility of the cultivars to each disease was scored regularly four times (FHB two times) during each growing season starting when the first symptoms were visible. Percentage of leaf area infected was estimated as described by Moll et al.[86]. FHB infection percentage was quantified as a combination of disease severity and disease incidence, referred to as FHB index. The area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated for each cultivar and disease.

\[
AO = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i-1} \left( \frac{y_i + y_{i+1}}{2} \right) / t_p
\]

where (N) is the number of observations, the disease level at the ith observation is coded by (y), time at the ith observation by (t), and the total monitoring period in days is coded by (t). Fungal sum was calculated by unweighted addition of AO values of all four diseases.

The number of ears per square meter (ESM) was calculated based on counting the ears in one running meter of one of the inner rows in each plot. A subsample consisting of all plants of a row length of 0.5 m from inside the plot was cut prior to harvest to determine the harvest index (HI). Each sample was oven-dried starting at 65° increasing to 105 °C overnight. HI was calculated plotwise as the ratio (%) of the grain to the aboveground biomass. Number of kernels per spike (KPS) was computed based on grain yield divided by the thousand kernel weight (1), and replicate. Grain moisture was determined and grain yield was corrected to a standard moisture of 14%.

Statistical analysis. Descriptive and summary statistics, contrast statistic as well as analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each parameter were calculated using JMP 14.0.0[60]. The LSmeans contrast function as implemented in JMP 14.0.0 has been used to test orthogonal contrasts between treatments by means of F statistics in order to examine the effects of the fixed two N rates and two plant protection levels. ANOVA was computed applying a factorial design model including four treatments (two levels of N input times two levels of plant pro-
within three years, and 178 cultivars using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS 9.4 as implemented in JMP 14.0.0. N input and plant protection levels were considered as fixed factors, cultivars (genotypes) and years as random. Treatment-specific adjusted means (LSmeans) of each cultivar were calculated prior to analyses of relationships among traits and between traits and year of cultivar release. PROC RANK via JMP was then used to build quantile groups (classes) of similar YR infestation (YR1 < 4.0455%, YR2 4.0455 to 6.1509%, YR3 6.1509 to 9.1852%, YR4 9.1852 to 13.242%, YR, 13.242 to 31%). Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated using the PROC CORR procedure of JMP 14.0.0/SAS 9.4. Factorial analysis was carried out by means of principal component analysis (PCA) using the PROC FACTOR algorithm. Data were analyzed by means of bivariate analysis also using SAS 9.4 procedure in JMP 14.0.0 to explore the relationships between GRY and the fungal diseases based on treatment-specific adjusted means as input values. To quantify the breeding progress year of cultivar release, considered as continuous variable, and treatment-specific adjusted means have been used. Partial bivariate analysis with YR classes (quantile groups) was used to dissect the relation of both GRY to LR and LR to year of cultivar release due to the LR dependency of YR. Separate analyses of each class was conducted.

Data availability statement
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