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1. Introduction

In December 2019, several cases of Pneumonia were reported in the Wuhan province of China. It wasn’t until later on that it was recognized as the novel coronavirus that would create ripples across the world. Then, a few months later, precisely on March 11, 2020, due to its widespread rate of infection, it was confirmed as a pandemic by the World Health Organization, and given the name COVID-19 (Gao et al., 2021;
This pandemic has caused large-scale human deaths and has wreaked havoc on the global financial markets and economic activities thus far. The impact of this pandemic has been so colossal that it has managed to curtail the flow of economic and financial activities. Experts believe that such a type of economic contraction has not been experienced before. Mounting uncertainties and the international economic interruption have prompted the financial markets to close down (Song & Zhou, 2020; Wang et al., 2021b). After the pandemic was recognized for its ability to spread at a rapid rate, the stock markets crashed from the record high to the lowest points within a week. Moreover, it was the most severe test for the world’s financial system, since the global financial crisis of 2008. According to the Asian Development Bank, the total economic cost attached to the COVID-19, in monetary terms, has been predicted to be about $5.8–$8.8 trillion. This is roughly equal to 6.4%–9.7% of the global GDP (Park et al., 2020). Apart from other things, the unmatched shocks associated with the macroeconomic indicators and health systems are most probably deemed to have had negative external effects on the financial structure of every state, developed or developing. Moreover, Due to the fear, apprehension and uncertainty surrounding the pandemic-related matters, the aggregate demand, production, trading activities, and economic actions also showed a slowdown, causing unemployment and poverty to rise. There has also been a high level of risk attached to the financial institutions in almost every nation that revolves around the notion that they will collapse without the adequate government support (IMF 2020).

A plethora of studies have appeared in this regard, which have confirmed that the COVID-19 pandemic has already started to damage the economies. It is doing so by disturbing the macroeconomic signs such as aggregate demand and supply, savings and investments, production, trading activities, and employment. The implication if this is that it will eventually cause poverty to rise, and is more likely to instigate a recessionary or depressive period (Baldwin & Di Mauro, 2020; Bank, 2020; Barua, 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Coibion et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). Hence, in a world that is hit by a pandemic, there are bigger threats to cater to when the survival and existence of financial institutions, the financial stability, and safety, and supervisory restraint across nations, irrespective of their economic status, i.e., developed or developing, are factors that need to be addressed (Barret et al., 2020; Beck & Hensher, 2020; Feyisa, 2020; Stiller & Zink, 2020; Su et al., 2020b; Umar et al., 2021b, 2021d). More importantly, due to this pandemic, the banks have been, and most likely will continue to be the ultimate sufferers. This is because banks are more vulnerable to a large variety of risks, as compared to other financial institutions. These risks include the fluctuations in the interest rate, cash flow, credit, and other risks that can damage the bank’s reputation. Moreover, they are involved in day-to-day dealings with various stakeholders like individuals, businesses, firms, and the government, which makes their position even more vulnerable (Carey & Stulz, 2007; Ji et al., 2021).

Under such circumstances where each sector of the economy is affected by the ongoing pandemic, banks are more prone to the wide variety of risks that may cause the banking sector to breakdown because the depositors will lose their faiths in banking institutions which will squeeze the liquidity capacity of the bank and its ability to
forward the credits. On the other side, more and more people will default; thus, causing the non-performing assets to rise and returns from the loan to reduce (Goodell, 2020; Stiller & Zink, 2020; Su et al., 2021b; Umar et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a). Since the banks are under much more pressure during the pandemic, they will be in a dicey situation to face the threats (e.g., credit, liquidity, market, and interest rate risks) posed by the post-pandemic world. However, the gravity of these risks will be much higher in the developing economies as banks in these countries are connected to millions of individuals and firms and serve them under a much riskier economic and financial environment as they are not protected against any external threats posed by natural calamity. On one side, the policies under which banks operate in these countries are not stable. On the other side, the banks have to face aggressive competition inside the banking industry, which seriously curtails their ability to perform well in the uncertain environment caused by pandemics (Sibley et al., 2020).

The consequences of the COVID-19 for the banking industry in the developing economies could be severe as there will be a massive rise in the number of loan-defaulters, retrievals will become difficult and tougher, clients will drain savings to fund day-to-day expenses, accessibility of loanable reserves will reduce, and new investment request will also squeeze (Lagoarde-Segot & Leoni, 2013; Su et al., 2020a, 2020b). Though banks are considered an engine of growth in any economy, their importance in greasing the wheel of the developing and emerging economies has increased manifold due to their role in providing capital financing both in the short and long run (Rizvi et al., 2020b). The task and influence of banks are massive, predominantly in states where the financial arrangement is immature due to feeble or non-operative security market, the deficiency of effectual and tolerable legal set-up, the absence of contemporary and essential pecuniary instruments, and knowledge and novelty performing an inadequate part (Barua, 2020). Furthermore, in developing and emerging economies, banks are crucial for intensifying economic growth. If the banks are at the disposal of high risk, the process of funds utilization will be significantly hampered (Naqvi et al., 2021; Umar et al., 2021a). Consequently, the economic development in the emerging economy will suffer.

To the best of our knowledge, empirical evidence on the impact of a pandemic on banking sector performance is also insufficient. To date, no one empirical study has inspected the effect of the Covid-19 pandemic on the banking sector’s performance. This paper attempts to identify the transmission channels between the COVID-19 pandemic and banking sector performance. This study is an effort to explore the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic for the banking industry in emerging Asian economies. As discussed above, banking in emerging economies is more vulnerable to such external and massive threats. Hence, it is imperative to study their performance in the post-COVID-19 era, particularly in emerging Asian economies. The findings in this study offer valuable implications to regulators’ banking sectors across several Asia regions and different banking systems.

There are various sections involved in this study. The next section is reported the literature review. In Section 3, we have discussed data and methodology, followed by the results in Section 4 and the conclusion in Section 5.
2. Literature review

Global financial markets exerted negative influence by the COVID-19 pandemic. Specifically, financial institutions are expected to be weak in response to shocks in both domestic and international economic markets (Kwabi et al., 2020). Thus, banking systems are presently at excessive threat due to the influences of the Covid-19 pandemic. Current literature explores the possible effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the banking sector and financial markets, for instance, its impact on the behavior of the gold market (Akhtaruzzaman et al., 2021), bond markets (Mirza et al., 2020), cryptocurrencies (Goodell & Goutte, 2021), the stock market (Zaremba et al., 2021), and oil prices (Su et al., 2021a; Umar et al., 2021c). Thus, very few studies investigate the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on sustainable banking sector performance.

After the 2008 global financial crisis, strict liquidity and capital supplies were placed for financial institutions with Basel III that helped the worldwide financial framework enhance its protection to a certain degree (Baldwin & Di Mauro, 2020). Conversely, banking sectors are still smashing harder than the industrial sector due to the speedy blowout of the COVID-19 pandemic. The negative effect on the financial sector is expected to be larger as compared to earlier calamities because the banking sector is, by nature, susceptible to the crisis.

The emerging literature on the financial sector investigates the potential effects of COVID-19 on financial markets. One strand of this literature explores how the COVID-19 pandemic influences the stock markets. The study done by Shanaev et al. (2020) explored the effect of COVID-19 pandemic on stock markets, taking a sample of 51 economies by employing three proxy measures of COVID-19 pandemic such as COVID-19 cases, numbers, and infection peak, government monetary and fiscal measures, and reflecting sentiments measures such as ‘Google trends search volume for COVID-19’. Although all aspects severely affected the stock markets, the extent of the effect varied considerably. The key driver of the reduction in stock market returns is the magnitude of policy involvements. Ashraf (2020) revealed that although the response of stock markets of 64 economies to the expansion of the COVID-19 confirmed numbers are negative, the effect on the growth of COVID-19 confirmed deaths cases is insignificant. The study of Al-Awadhi et al. (2020) demonstrated the negative impact of the expansion in total numbers of COVID-19 confirmed and death cases on the stock return in China. Wang and Enilov (2020) reported that the growth of COVID-19 confirmed cases exert a significant decline in stock returns in the United States, Italy, Germany, France, and Canada. Zaremba et al. (2021) revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic tends to increase volatility in the stock market.

COVID-19 pandemic might influence the banking sector in several manners (Rizvi et al., 2020a). For example, Aldasoro and Ehlers (2018) denoted that the worldwide banking sector holds large-scale US$denominated borrowings to financial investments, international trade, and an assorted set of dollar sets. Financial crises squeeze the monetary sector that provides loans, inferring risks for the worldwide financial system (Mirza et al., 2020). The banking system in emerging countries is more harshly by the decline in the movement of these funds. Bahaj and Reis (2020) reported that in response to COVID-19, central banks expand the current swap lines and generate new lines to reduce the costs of dollar financing. Sensible regulatory
measures of the banking sector, for instance, easing capital buffers and relaxing the handling of non-performing loans, have been located to alleviate the COVID-19 negative effects on the financial system stability (Goodell, 2020). The study of Demir and Danisman (2021) demonstrated that equity markets of the economies with tighter regulatory needs on liquidity and capital are inclined to be more resilient to this pandemic. In response to Basel III liquidity and capital reforms after the 2008 financial crisis, the banking sector is well-positioned to captivate the severe effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, facilitating the behavior of capital buffers and non-performing loans during the COVID-19 pandemic could risk the solvency of banks. Inspired by such vulnerability, our study investigates how the COVID-19 pandemic affects banking sector performance in the emerging Asian market.

3. Model, methods, and data

Banking sectors globally responded negatively to the COVID-19. The spread of the COVID-19 shock has severely affected banking activities in Asian countries via many transmission channels such as depositors, financial intermediaries, and creditors (Demir & Danisman, 2021). With the various local and global transmission of the COVID-19, all economic players (suppliers, financial intermediaries, consumers, etc.) are facing an extraordinary crisis. The present study examines the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on banking sector performance; we followed Demir and Danisman (2021) empirical framework.

In this study, we have used panel data econometric approaches, which have many advantages over cross-sectional and time series. First and foremost, the number of observations increased manifold, and we do not need to worry about the problems of a limited degree of freedom and omitted variables bias. As the panel data contains both the time series and cross-sectional characteristics, it provides more information, and results are more reliable due to more sample impulsiveness (Gujarati et al., 2012; Hsiao, 2007). Various panel data techniques can be used to deal with panel data, and in this study, we apply four different techniques, namely Pooled Ordinary Least Square (POLS), Fixed Effect Model (FEM), Random Effect Model (REM), and Poisson Pseudo-maximum Likelihood (PPML) estimators. The panel model is:

\[ \text{ROA}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{GDP}_{it} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Bank size}_{it} + \beta_3 \cdot \text{COVID19}_{it} + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{it} \]

where ROA\(_{it}\) is the return on assets of country banks \(i\) at time \(t\), GDP\(_{it}\) is nominal GDP; Bank size is bank size; and COVID19\(_{it}\) is a pandemic of COVID-19; \(\alpha_i\) individual-specific unobservable effects; and \(\epsilon_{it}\) the idiosyncratic error. Firstly, the model is estimated through POLS, REM, and FEM. Pooled OLS is a good technique to start with because it can serve as a baseline model, and its results can be compared with other more advanced techniques (Ranilović, 2017). The basic assumption behind pooled OLS is to combine all the time series and cross-section observations and analyze them through a single grand regression (Gujarati et al., 2012). However, this method has the disadvantage of not accounting for the undetected heterogeneity, which could provide misleading results. To solve this problem, we can use the fixed-effect model to take care of the unobserved heterogeneous effects but assume that
they are constant across time. Nonetheless, this method cannot estimate the time-invariant variables due to perfect collinearity with specific fixed effects. This model is applicable if the selection of cross-sectional units is not random rather pre-determined. On the other hand, if the cross-section units are randomly selected, the random effect model is an appropriate technique. The random effect model assumes that unobserved heterogeneous effects are independent and are not correlated with any of the regressors. Hence, in this technique, we can add time-invariant variables. Nevertheless, if the regressors and unobserved factors are correlated, then it gives rise to erroneous estimates. The selection between random and fixed effect models is not easy to choose, but the Husman specifica
tion test made this difficult task easy.

Secondly, the main panel equation has the problem of endogeneity, and this issue is easily tackled by the instrumental variables approach, which is named 2SLS (Carson & Sun, 2007). Finally, the Poisson Pseudo-maximum Likelihood estimation technique is getting popular these days while estimating panel data. This method has many advantages over other techniques. Silva and Tenreyro (2006) contended that if the equation under discussion is given in the log-linearized form and the standard errors hurt from the problem of heteroscedasticity, which is a normal thing, then the estimates could be prejudiced and erratic. This type of problem can be solved with robust standard errors, which could change the estimation methodology. The PPML estimator solved this problem without changing the estimation technique, and the estimates of the basic equation become consistent. Moreover, it can also deal with the problem of zero values without making any changes in the original model (Silva & Tenreyro, 2006, 2011). In the case of the OLS technique, if there are zero values in the variable, we can’t take a log of zero. Hence, we need to either drop the zero values or make any changes that provide inconsistent results. The PPML also provides a solution to this problem and allows us to take the log of all the regressors except the dependent variable. Hence, it can solve the zero values problem without disturbing the original model.

We use quarterly data of eight Asian emerging economies named India, Turkey, Japan, China, Indonesia, Korea, rep, Saudi Arabia, Thailand; over the period

| Table 1. Descriptive statistics. |
|---------------------------------|
| | ROA | GDP | Bank size | COVID-cases | COVID-deaths |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Descriptive statistics** |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1.192 | 16.77 | 18.73 | 3.256 | 2.058 |
| Median | 1.100 | 16.33 | 19.04 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Maximum | 2.600 | 22.15 | 22.94 | 16.46 | 12.05 |
| Minimum | -0.200 | 13.24 | 14.62 | 0.000 | -0.693 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.743 | 3.097 | 2.823 | 5.194 | 3.713 |
| Skewness | 0.106 | 0.333 | -0.133 | 1.178 | 1.513 |
| Kurtosis | 1.949 | 1.675 | 1.638 | 2.729 | 3.741 |
| Jarque-Bera | 8.432 | 16.13 | 14.12 | 41.23 | 71.19 |
| Probability | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| **Correlation matrix** |  |  |  |  |
| ROA | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| GDP | 0.092 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Bank size | 0.260 | 0.675 | 1.000 |  |  |
| COVID-cases | -0.096 | 0.006 | 0.035 | 1.000 |  |  |
| COVID-deaths | -0.091 | 0.006 | 0.066 | 0.861 | 1.000 |  |

Source: Author’s estimation.
The dependent variable used in this study is the return on assets (ROA). Following past studies of Sufian and Habibullah (2009) and Sufian and Noor Mohamad Noor (2012), we used bank performance as a dependent variable that is a proxy of return on asset. The dependent variable of ROA is obtained International Monetary Fund (IMF). The choice of independent variables are used in the analysis is based on data availability and previous literature (Barua & Barua, 2021). We used the COVID-19 pandemic as an independent variable, while a set of other focused variables are also GDP and bank size. We captured the shock of the COVID-19 pandemic through COVID confirmed cases and COVID deaths. We converted all datasets into a natural logarithm except ROA. We obtained all datasets from IMF except the COVID-19 pandemic, while the COVID-19 cases and deaths dataset has been retrieved from ‘Our World in Data’. In Table 1, the mean of ROA, GDP, bank size, COVID-cases, and COVID-deaths are 1.192, 16.77, 18.73, 3.256, and 2.058, respectively. 

Figure 1. COVID-19 cases and deaths in Asian emerging economies. 
Source: Author’s estimation.
respectively, while the standard deviation is 0.743, 3.097, 2.823, 5.194, 3.713, respectively. Figure 1 shows the COVID cases and deaths in Asian emerging economies. Findings revealed that COVID confirmed cases and deaths are high in India as compared to other economies. While Figure 2 reported that the COVID pandemic is severely affected the banking industry of India and Japan. Some Asian emerging
countries such as Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey also have well financial sector performance in COVID-pandemic. Thus, the pandemic has had a differential impact on the bank’s return on assets.

4. Empirical analysis and discussion

Our primary analysis is offered in Table 1. For empirical estimates of the Jarque-Bera (J-B) test show that all values are statistically significant, inferring that data all variables are normality distributed. Hence we can estimate our econometric model. Regarding the correlation matrix, the measures of ROA show a positive correlation with GDP and bank size. At the same time, COVID-pandemic variables are negatively correlated with ROA. We also observed that model variables are free from the problem of multicollinearity because their mean value is fairly small.

The study examines the impacts of COVID-19 post-implications on the performance of the banking sector for emerging Asian economies. For that purpose, the study disaggregated the data into two categories, i.e., before COVID-19 pandemic shock and with COVID-19 pandemic shock. Following the recent trends, we have applied five different methodologies to confirm the banking performance in the post-COVID world. These methodologies include Pooled OLS, FEM, REM, 2SLS, and PPML. In total, 10 models are estimated using each method, with and without the proxies of COVID-19. First, we discuss the models that are without the variables of COVID-19, and after that, we discuss the models that include the variables of COVID-19.

Models 1–3 in Table 2 and models 1 and 2 in Table 3 are the models which don’t consider the effects of COVID-19 on banking performance. First, we discuss these results, and the estimated coefficient of GDP in the first model is significant if we apply the pooled OLS technique, 2SLS, and PPML. The size of the estimates is 0.144, 1.645, and 0.048 in the POLS, 2SLS, and PPML, respectively. These results imply that as the size of GDP increases, the return on assets also increases by 0.144% with

| Table 2. FEM and REM regression results of ROA. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Without COVID-pandemic shocks | With COVID-pandemic shocks |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| | Pooled OLS | FE | RE | Pooled OLS | FE | RE |
| GDP | 0.144*** | 0.136 | 0.090 | 0.147*** | 0.715** | 0.202* |
| | (0.045) | (0.337) | (0.180) | (0.035) | (0.326) | (0.106) |
| Bank size | 0.219*** | 0.208 | 0.243 | 0.212*** | 1.966*** | -0.289** |
| | (0.050) | (0.320) | (0.189) | (0.039) | (0.354) | (0.116) |
| COVID-cases | -0.059 | -0.016 | -0.026* | -0.071 | -0.027 | -0.023** |
| | (0.037) | (0.015) | (0.015) | (0.052) | (0.020) | (0.011) |
| COVID-deaths | -0.071 | -0.027 | -0.023** | -0.071 | -0.027 | -0.023** |
| | (0.052) | (0.020) | (0.011) | (0.052) | (0.020) | (0.011) |
| Constant | 2.916*** | 7.402** | 4.276** | 2.745*** | 9.723** | 3.263*** |
| | (0.457) | (2.997) | (1.749) | (0.358) | (3.775) | (1.078) |
| Observations | 120 | 120 | 120 | 176 | 176 | 176 |
| R-squared | 0.152 | 0.037 | 0.161 | 0.114 |
| Number of code | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Note. Standard errors in parentheses.
***p < 0.01, **p < 0.05, *p < 0.1.
Source: Author’s estimation.
The estimated coefficients of bank size appeared to be significant with POLS, 2SLS, and PPML estimation techniques, whereas insignificant with FE and RE techniques. A 1% rise in banking size causes the ROA to increase by 0.219% in the POLS, 1.678% in the 2SLS, and 0.070% in the PPML estimators. The 2SLS and PPML coefficients of GDP and bank size confirm that ROA has increased in response to an increase in GDP and bank size without pandemic shocks. However, in the case of without pandemic shocks, the findings of PPML are quite similar to 2SLS. However, one noticeable thing is that the size of the estimates for both the GDP and Bank Size is largest in the case of the 2SLS technique and smallest in the case of the PPML technique.

Next, we discuss the results of models 4–6 in Table 2 and models 3 and 4 in Table 3, which have included the variables of COVID-19. In all these models, the estimated coefficients of GDP are positive except for the 2SLS estimation technique – a 1% rise in the economic growth of the Asian economies give rise to ROA by 0.147%, 0.715%, 0.202%, 0.049% in the POLS, FE, RE, and PPML models respectively. Likewise, the estimates attached to the Bank Size are positively significant in POLS, FE, and the PPML models, whereas negative in the RE model and insignificant in the 2SLS model – a 1% increase in the Bank Size increase the ROA by 0.212% in the POLS model, 1.096% in the FE model, and 0.069% in the PPML model, conversely, a 1% rise in the Bank Size decreases the ROA in the RE model. The estimated coefficients of COVID-cases are negative but insignificant in most of the models except in the RE and PPML models, implying that a 1% rise in the COVID-cases reduces the ROA by 0.026% in the RE model and by 0.019% in the PPML model. On the other hand, a 1% rise in the COVID-deaths reduces the ROA by −0.023 in the RE and PPML models, whereas, in other models, the estimates are negative though insignificant.

These results are clearly stating that return on assets is negatively affected by the COVID-19 pandemics, which is not surprising because banks are exposed to a wide variety of risks such as interest rate, credit, and reputational risks as compared to...
other financial institutions due to their interaction with a large number of economic agents on a daily basis (So et al., 2021; Wang & Wang, 2021). Therefore, it is more likely that banks will break down due to severe negative external effects of pandemics, particularly in the emerging economies where the banks are not protected against such external threats. The aftershocks of the pandemic for the banks may come in the forms of a massive rise in loan defaulters, difficult recoveries, and a decline in the saving trends may squeeze the bank’s ability to forward credits and funds for new investments (Acharya et al., 2021; Rebucci et al., 2020), all these factors contribute in the falling profits of the banks during and after the pandemic, and consequently, the return on its assets are also negatively affected (Ichsan et al., 2021; Wu & Olson, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

The COVID-19 has a negative impact on financial sector performance because banks are, by nature, very helpless during crisis periods (Demir & Danisman, 2021). They also observed that COVID has directly and indirectly affected financial performance. COVID pandemic has tightened the money markets that shrunk the flow of funds to banking sectors. Our finding is also confirmed by Elnahass et al. (2021), who revealed that COVID-19 has adverse effects on Islamic and conventional banks’ financial performance. Another possible reason is that the COVID pandemic has increased credit line drawdowns, directly harming the banking sector’s performance. The pandemic has also declined loan growth remarkably, which in return also indirectly affected banking sector performance in emerging economies.

5. Conclusion and implications

Compared to other financial institutions, banks are exposed to a wide variety of risks due to their excessive interactions with many economic agents. The role of banks is important, particularly in the emerging economies where it provides short and long-term capital financing for large projects. Banks are performing an important job, and their contribution is massive in economies where the financial structure is underdeveloped due to fragile and nonfunctional security markets. In this perspective, it has become imperative to know the bank’s performance in the Asian economies in the post-COVID-19 times. Hence, in this study, our main objective is to analyze COVID-19 implications for the banking sector in Asian economies. Two different proxies of COVID-19 are used to represent its impact on the bank’s performance. To get the estimates of the variables, we have applied five different methodologies: POLS, FEM, REM, 2SLS, and PPML.

Our findings show that GDP and bank size are positively significant in most models, implying that both these variables help increase the return on assets in Asian economies. The estimates attached to COVID-cases are negative in almost all the models whereas negatively significant in the REM and PPML models, implying that there is a rise in the COVID-19 cases in the Asian economies, but return on assets declines. Similarly, the estimates attached to COVID-deaths are significantly negative in the REM and the PPML model suggesting that as the number of deaths from COVID rises, the return on assets will decrease. However, the size of the estimates
attached to both the variables is too small, confirming that the gravity of COVID-19 consequences on the bank’s performance is not that severe.

Based on these findings, we have forwarded some important policy implications that will provide important guidelines for the policymakers in these testing times. In emerging economies, banks are exposed to a wide variety of high risks, and they are not protected against any external threat such as a pandemic. Hence, the central banks of the respective countries, with the help of federal governments, should protect the financial institutions, particularly, the banks against such external threats by devising policies that would safeguard their interests in case of any natural calamity. Though the magnitude of the negative effects of COVID-19 on the banking performance is not large, it is a warning sign for all the emerging Asian economies where banks forward most of their credit facilities to the sectors which are more sensitive to COVID-19 impacts, and in these countries, the banks suffer from the poor asset quality and weak regulations and policy framework. Hence, the governments in these countries should take preemptive measures to protect the banks against any further shocks. Generally, outcomes of the paper ask for instantaneous, stage-wise, and innovation-based policy procedures with a long-term tactic to avert a forthcoming banking industry crisis in Asia.

The present study has limited empirical analysis for emerging Asian economies by ignoring other economies. The upcoming studies should be conducted at a global level by using the rich level of the dataset and advanced econometric techniques. The upcoming studies should also identify transmission channels among COVID-19 and financial sector performance. Another better way to capture the incremental or marginal negative effects of COVID-19 on financial performance indicators is by using the dummy variables of pre-COVID and post-COVID.
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