Reconstructive Outcomes of Extensive Soft-Tissue Defects after Palliative Mastectomy for Advanced Breast Cancer
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Abstract

Background: In advanced breast cancer, reconstruction can be performed depending on the patient’s situation, and can improve the subsequent treatment and quality of life. We examined the effect of reconstruction after palliative mastectomy on survival and quality of life.

Methods: Between April 2010 and April 2021, 40 patients underwent reconstruction after palliative mastectomy for stage-IV breast cancer. To evaluate postoperative satisfaction, changes in the global health and quality-of-life scores after reconstruction were evaluated using questionnaires by the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. The survival rate according to reconstructive surgery was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis.

Results: Reconstruction methods included free transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (TRAM) flap (n=3), pedicled latissimus dorsi (LD) flap (n=3), pedicled TRAM flap (n=2), pedicled LD with pedicled vertical rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap (n=1), tissue expander insertion (n=3), and split-thickness skin graft (n=28). There were no major complications or decreased life expectancy due to reconstruction. All patients healed well and started conventional chemoradiotherapy at 31.3 days postoperatively. The quality-of-life scores increased from 37 to 83 after reconstruction. There was no difference in the survival rates between those who underwent reconstruction with split-thickness skin graft and flap operation (P>0.05). The mean survival time postoperatively was 43.9 months.

Conclusion: Compared to primary closure, reconstruction of extensive soft-tissue defects after palliative mastectomy did not affect life expectancy or delay postoperative chemoradiotherapy; it led to an improved quality of life. Therefore, the extensive defects expected after palliative mastectomy should not influence indications for reconstruction surgery.
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Introduction

Palliative mastectomy is performed in patients diagnosed with advanced-stage breast cancer for symptomatic and local control [1]. The median survival of these patients is approximately 27.1 months [2]. The purpose of palliative mastectomy is to relieve the patient’s symptoms, optimize local control, improve the overall health and quality of life, and even increase the life expectancy [3]. In cases where the tumor is not large, it is usually possible to perform primary closure after resection, and additional reconstruction is not required. However, if the tumor is large and extensive soft-tissue defects occur after resection, reconstruction is required [2].

There have been few studies on reconstruction after palliative mastectomy in patients with stage-IV breast cancer. In previous studies, reconstruction after mastectomy for palliative purposes was considered from two perspectives. The first was about which method was more effective when reconstructive surgery was required after palliative mastectomy [4]; the other was focused on whether cosmetic reconstruction...
was necessary after palliative mastectomy [5]. However, these studies did not directly compare the surgical results between patients requiring a reconstructive operation and those who did not. In addition, studies on reconstructive surgery usually only included the split-thickness skin graft (STSG) method.

Therefore, we evaluated the outcomes of various reconstructive methods after mastectomy for palliative purposes in patients with stage-IV breast cancer at a single institution over the past 10 years. We aimed to determine the postoperative satisfaction of patients who underwent reconstruction after palliative mastectomy and to determine whether additional reconstruction methods affect the survival rates after palliative mastectomy.

Methods

Patients
Between April 2010 and April 2021, 102 patients underwent palliative mastectomy, of which 62 and 40 underwent primary closure and reconstruction, respectively. Data of patients who underwent reconstructive surgery after palliative mastectomy (i.e., patients’ age, sex, diagnosis, stage, surgical method, excised skin area, reconstruction method, complications, metastasis site, follow-up period, and survival time) were collected and reviewed retrospectively. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB No. H-2108-081-1245) and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The patients provided written informed consent for the publication and the use of their images.

Operation
In the preoperative stage, patients with potential for reconstructive surgery after palliative mastectomy were selected, and the plastic surgery team was prepared for reconstructive surgery. After the general surgeons performed palliative mastectomy, thoracic surgeons performed chest wall resection when the tumor had invaded the chest wall. Whether or not reconstructive surgery was actually performed was decided depending on whether primary closure by general surgeons was possible after palliative mastectomy, or whether the patient wanted to undergo cosmetic reconstructive surgery. Plastic surgeons performed reconstruction based on the following: location, size, and depth of the defect; damaged structures; and past surgical history. In many reconstruction cases, STSG was considered first; however, when it was determined that reconstruction with skin graft alone was impossible because of an extensive resection, flap surgery was planned preoperatively.

Evaluation
To determine the effect of palliative total mastectomy and subsequent reconstruction on the patient’s overall health and quality of life, we surveyed the change in these indicators before and after surgery through questionnaires. Patient satisfaction after surgery was investigated using the Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30-questions, and Quality of Life Questionnaire-Breast Cancer-23-questions of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Afterwards, survival analysis was performed to determine if there were any differences in the survival rates depending on the reconstruction method. Survival was censored at the time of the last follow-up examination, and the unadjusted overall survival was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis [6].

Statistical analysis
Patient data were analyzed using univariate methods. Age, skin resection area, postoperative hospital stay, and postoperative survival period were compared between the reconstruction and primary closure groups through an independent sample t-test. Then, the same analysis was performed between the skin graft and the flap surgery groups within the reconstruction group. The results are presented as means±standard deviations. Statistical analyses were performed using PASW Statistics 18 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

Results

Patient demographics, reconstruction methods, and postoperative results are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the patients at the time of reconstruction was 50.3 years (range, 23–71 years). The average period from diagnosis to reconstruction was 15.1 months (range, 3–81 months). Reconstruction methods included free transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (TRAM) flap (n=3), pedicled latissimus dorsi (LD) flap (n=3), pedicled TRAM flap (n=2), pedicled LD with pedicled vertical rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (VRAM) flap (n=1), tissue expander insertion (n=3), and STSG (n=28). STSG comprised 70% of all reconstructions, with a mean area of 253 cm². There were no significant complications due to reconstructive surgery. After STSG, there was one case of partial (>30%) graft loss, one case of breast sero-
ma, one case of axillary seroma, one case of pneumonia, and one case of empyema. However, there was no case of flap failure. All lost skin underwent secondary healing, and there were no cases of reconstruction-related revision surgery.

The patient data for the reconstruction group are summarized in Table 2. On pathological examination, 67.5% (27/40) of the reconstruction patients had invasive ductal carcinoma, followed by invasive lobular carcinoma, inflammatory breast cancer, and malignant phyllodes tumor in decreasing order. The average hospital stay after reconstruction was 8.68 days. The patients started conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy at an average of 31.3 and 91.5 days after undergoing reconstructive surgery, respectively. After completion of soft-tissue healing, the global health score as assessed by the questionnaire improved from 51% preoperatively to 78% postoperatively. In addition, the quality of life reported by the patients was comparable to or slightly improved with global health, from 37% before surgery to 83% postoperatively.

The mean survival time of patients after reconstructive surgery was 43.9 months (range, 1–129 months). There was sig-
significant difference in the survival rate between the patients who underwent reconstruction and those who underwent primary closure after palliative mastectomy (P<0.05) (Fig. 1A). There was no significant difference in the survival rate between the patients who underwent reconstruction with STSG and those who underwent reconstruction with flap (P>0.05) (Fig. 1B). Group analysis and reconstruction subgroup analysis results are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

The cases of patients #7 and #30 are representative cases of patients who underwent reconstruction.

Patient #7 was a 52-year-old woman who was diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma of the right breast, stage cT3N3M1. Palliative mastectomy with chest wall resection and en bloc resection of the third and fourth ribs were performed. The defect was 30×15 cm in size with exposure of the Gore-Tex mesh after chest wall resection. Elevation of a 30×14-cm sized free TRAM flap was performed to resurface the chest wall. Anastomosis was performed with the internal mammary artery in an end-to-end manner. There were no complications, and the patient received postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy. At 50 months postoperatively, the patient expired due to metastases to the brain and lungs (Fig. 2).

Patient #30 was a 44-year-old woman who was diagnosed with invasive micropapillary carcinoma of the right breast, stage cT3N2M1 with PIK3CA M1043V, and NTRK1 mutations. Palliative mastectomy and chest wall resection were performed, leaving a 35×15-cm defect exposing the pericardium. First, a 15×15-cm Gore-Tex dual mesh was applied to cover the pericardium. Next, pedicled LD and pedicled VRAM flaps from the contralateral side were elevated to cover the defect. After insetting of the flaps and approximation of the surrounding tissue, STSG was applied to the remaining defect.
Although the patient received palliative chemotherapy and radiotherapy, metastases to the left breast and lymph nodes occurred. She survived for 8 months after surgery (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Palliative mastectomy is performed for symptomatic and local control in patients with advanced breast cancer with metastatic lesions [1]. Palliative mastectomy can relieve patients’ symptoms, optimize local control, improve the overall health and quality of life, and even increase life expectancy [7]. The efficacy of palliative mastectomy in patients with advanced breast cancer remains controversial [7-10]. While there are several reports stating that palliative mastectomy does not improve the survival rate [9,11], many studies have also shown that the survival rate can be improved by resection of the primary tumor along with an increase in the metastasis-free survival period [12,13]. Large-scale meta-analyses have confirmed that the survival rate could be increased by locoregional therapy, which involves localized radiotherapy after primary tumor resection [14,15]. Because cancer induces an immunosuppressive state, by removing the source, partial restoration of immunity can be expected [16]. It is hypothesized that palliative mastectomy may reduce the tumor burden and increase life expectancy by eliminating the source of metastasis [17].

Therefore, we focused on reconstructive surgery after palliative mastectomy.

**Reconstructive surgery** is performed by plastic surgeons when primary closure is not possible because of a large skin defect after mastectomy, or when muscle resection or chest wall resection has been performed. Aided by skin laxity, primary closure is performed in more than half of the patients after palliative mastectomy. However, the primary closure method has certain limitations. The primary closure method is better when skin laxity is sufficient, but if skin laxity is insufficient, reconstructive surgery is necessary. Therefore, a large number of studies have been conducted to determine the optimal reconstructive method.

**Table 3. Group analysis**

| Operation | Reconstruction group (n=40) | Primary closure group (n=62) | P-value |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Mean age (yr) | 50.3 | 49.9 | 0.87 |
| Mean excised skin (cm²) | 253 | 147 | 0.01 |
| Mean hospital stay (day) | 8.7 | 4.8 | <0.001 |
| Survival time (mo), median±SD | 14.0±14.2 | 62.3±18.6 | 0.03 |

**Table 4. Reconstruction subgroup analysis**

| Reconstructive method | STSG group (n=28) | Flap group (n=9) | P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Mean age (yr) | 52.6 | 45.7 | 0.11 |
| Mean excised skin (cm²) | 278 | 245 | 0.63 |
| Mean hospital stay (day) | 8.5 | 10.2 | 0.25 |
| Survival time (mo), median±SD | 14.0±2.1 | 50.2±22.1 | 0.07 |

STSG, split-thickness skin graft.
Fig. 2. Reconstruction using free transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (TRAM) flap. (A) Stage cT4N3M0 invasive ductal carcinoma of the left breast. Palliative mastectomy with chest wall resection along with en bloc resection of the third and fourth ribs was performed. The defect was 30×15 cm in size. (B) After chest wall resection, a 30×14-cm sized free TRAM flap was elevated and inset to resurface the chest wall.

Fig. 3. Reconstruction using pedicled LD flap, pedicled VRAM flap, and STSG. (A) Before surgery, a 30×29-cm mass invaded the entire right breast and part of the left breast. (B) The right breast (30×25×7.5 cm), chest wall (11.5×10×1 cm), and left breast (16×12.5 cm) were excised through a wide excision. (C) Reconstruction of the extensive defects was planned using pedicled LD and pedicled VRAM flaps. (D) The chest wall was reconstructed using a Gore-Tex mesh. (E) Photographs were taken immediately after surgery. LD, latissimus dorsi; VRAM, vertical rectus abdominis musculocutaneous; STSG, split-thickness skin graft.
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In this study, in patients who underwent reconstruction after palliative mastectomy, the survival rate was higher in flap reconstruction than in STSG cases (P=0.079). This result was of great importance considering that flap-based reconstruction was usually performed when the tumor infiltrated the surrounding muscle or bone and could not be reconstructed through skin grafting. In cases of flap surgery, there is a possibility that chemotherapy and radiation therapy were more effective, thus, increasing the survival rate. As the number of samples was small and no statistically significant results were obtained, it may be difficult to state that flap surgery improves the survival rate after palliative mastectomy compared to skin grafting. Although flap surgery is a more complex reconstruction method than skin grafting, it is meaningful because the survival rate as well as complications were not high. This could be a more viable option for younger patients who can tolerate flap surgery better. Further research is needed in this regard.

In addition to the survival gain, palliative mastectomy is necessary to improve the quality of life. Palliative mastectomy should be performed to maximally control the symptoms, prevent serious complications, and prolong life while minimizing impairment of lifestyle and quality of life [20]. Although the questionnaire investigating quality of life before and after surgery was administered only to a limited number of patients, mastectomy and subsequent reconstruction were found to significantly improve the quality of life in our study. Admittedly, this included the effect of total mastectomy itself, but it is impossible to improve quality of life without performing proper reconstruction. Although we focused on unavoidable reconstruction after mastectomy, some patients may wish to undergo reconstruction for better quality of life during survival. In stage-IV patients who respond well to systemic therapy and who are expected to survive longer than average, cosmetic breast reconstruction may also be considered [21]. Reconstruction with a TRAM flap was performed to cover extensive soft-tissue defects, and a favorable outcome was confirmed postoperatively. Reconstruction using autologous tissue to replace skin grafts may also be attempted, and can improve the quality of life. When performing cosmetic reconstruction for stage-IV breast cancer patients, special attention should be paid to the indications. Continuing efforts are needed to improve the quality of life of patients with advanced breast cancer after palliative mastectomy by performing appropriate reconstruction surgery after considering the cosmetic aspects.

This study had several limitations. Although a patient-con-
trolled study was ideal to confirm the effects of reconstruction after mastectomy, it was difficult to distinguish and analyze patients who did not undergo palliative mastectomy despite requiring palliative mastectomy. In addition, there were cases where further follow-up examination was not possible because the patient did not survive for a long time. Therefore, it was not possible to obtain a complete dataset for all elements to be comparatively analyzed. Questionnaires on global health and quality of life were collected only from patients who underwent reconstruction, excluding those who underwent only primary closure after palliative mastectomy. In addition, there were cases where further follow-up examination was not possible because the patient did not survive for a long time. Therefore, it was not possible to obtain a complete dataset for all elements to be comparatively analyzed. Questionnaires on global health and quality of life were collected only from patients who underwent reconstruction, excluding those who underwent only primary closure after palliative mastectomy. In conclusion, this study reported the reconstruction outcomes after performing palliative mastectomy using skin grafts and various flaps in patients with advanced breast cancer. Reconstruction methods immediately after palliative mastectomy did not affect patient survival. Successful reconstruction after mastectomy enabled a faster return and improved quality of life as well as a chance to receive further treatment. The complexity of reconstructive surgery of the soft-tissue defect that occurs after palliative mastectomy should not be a major consideration when determining the indications of palliative surgery.
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