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Abstract: This study was conducted at Abohoye Dengera natural forest to investigate the status of Harwood’s Francolin (Pternistis harwoodi) and threats affecting its population. Data were collected using line transect method and questionnaire survey. The main objective of the study was to investigating the status of Pternistis harwoodi and anthropogenic effects at Abohoye Dengera natural forest. A stratified random sampling method was used to assess the Pternistis harwoodi across the four dominant habitat types found in the study area: forest, woodland, scrubland, and farmland. Surveys were conducted during January 2018 and August 2018 which includes both the dry and wet seasons. Data collection was carried out during 6:00 to 10:00 AM, and 4:00 to 6:00 PM, when the activity of birds was more prominent. Line-transect methods were used to collect data on Pternistis harwoodi. The Harwood’s Francolin species was estimated using direct (Line transect) and indirect (“calls” of the Harwood’s Francolin n) methods. Field data were collected from a total of 8 line transects ranging from 0.5–1 km, each 150–300 m far apart. During the study period a total of 83 Pternistis harwoodi were observed. A total of 60 households are living in the surrounding area. Out of these 30(50%) of them were interviewed. Major threats reported in the study area included: wildfire, agriculture, hunting, free grazing, predation, and firewood/timber collection. Among all these threats, deforestation was found to be the major threat in the study area, followed by wildfire, free grazing, agriculture, hunting, firewood/timber collection, and predation. Pternistis harwoodi preferred forest habitat followed by farmland, scrubland and wood land. To overcome the above challenges, proper conservation measures have to be taken to solve the problems and prevent the bird from its vulnerable situation.
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1. Introduction

Harwood’s Francolin (Pternistis harwoodi) is avian species restricted to the highlands of central Ethiopia around the Abbay River and its tributaries. It is abundant in the high and middle courses of the River. It was entirely restricted to Typha (bulrush) beds growing along small, shallow water courses and Acacia thorn-scrub. The species is now known to occur in scrub, river banks, thickets, rocky areas, dense woodland, and crop land and at the base of cliffs [22, 34, 13, 28]. In Ethiopia, there are 10 species of francolins. The Phasianidae family commonly referred to as “pheasants” includes bird species such as francolins, quails and stone partridge. Of these Harwood’s Francolin (Pternistis harwoodi) is the only endemic species. Ethiopia is a country endowed with unique endemic fauna, flora and forest resources. Birds show less endemism than mammals. Pternistis harwoodi is having a range restricted to the central Ethiopian highlands [2, 26, 6, 12, 25, 8, 15].
Pternistis harwoodi is listed as vulnerable to extinction in the category of threatened bird species of the world. Hunting affects the species. It is heavily hunted for food and is sometimes caught for sale at local market. Anthropogenic activities such as unplanned settlement, developmental activities, intensive agriculture and overgrazing by livestock are challenges to the conservation of the species. Deforestation, firewood, commercial logging, subsistence farming, plantations, and mining are the major causes of the threat. Agricultural intensification can cause decline among the farmland birds [11, 7, 29, 35, 8, 32].

Habitat loss, over-exploitation of wildlife and forest resources and climate change are major causes of biodiversity loss. The situation is most severe in the tropical regions. Human population growth, particularly in developing countries, has intense effects on consumption patterns of land and wild resources, which is considered as an indirect driver of biodiversity loss. Anthropogenic factors can have major impacts on ecosystem functioning and stability, which are often reflected in changes to biodiversity. Increasingly, human population growth coupled with the rise in demand for settlements, agricultural land and wood products is altering important wildlife habitats, bird diversity patterns and overall biodiversity around the world [9, 20, 23, 18, 16].

The natural vegetation of Abohoye Dengera has been altered and destroyed by intensive human use including habitat destruction through agriculture, free-grazing, fire, hunting, firewood/ timber collection and predation. These anthropogenic activities are the main threats to Pternistis harwoodi. The study area is also known for its forest coverage and home of Ethiopian endemic Harwood’s Francolin but today, the forest is changing into farm land and grazing land. Most of the lowlands have been converted into agricultural and pastoral lands. Therefore, in the light of the stated problems, the main objective of this study was to identify the challenges of Harwood Francolin and to establish appropriate conservation system [Personal observation, 2010].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Area

Abohoye Dengera Natural forest is found in Awi Administrative Zone, Amhara National Regional State, and it lies between 21° 71’99” to 21° 40’57” E latitude, and 129° 12’52” to 128° 96’00” N longitude (Figure 1). The area is located in the north western part of Ethiopia about 507 km from Addis Ababa, 150 km from Bair Dar, and 20 km from Fendeka, the capital of Jawi Woreda. The study area represents Acacia Commiphora Woodland Ecosystem. The area is with low altitude, and the annual mean temperatures ranging between 38.5°C to 42°C with the mean annual temperature 40.25°C. The annual precipitation is between 1200mm to 1300 mm, with the mean annual rainfall 1250 mm.

Figure 1. Location map of Abohoy Dengera Natural Forest.
2.2. Materials

Topography map of the study area, Digital camera, 10 x 40 binocular, and Geographic Positioning System (GPS), Sleeping bags, Field tent, Field guidelines, Field shoes, rain coat, note books, Measuring tape, Field guide of birds were used during the survey.

2.3. Methods

Preliminary survey was conducted during November 2017 to determine the physical features of the study area and to identify the main threatening factors of Harwood Francolin. The study was conducted in January 2018 and August 2018 during both the dry and wet seasons, respectively. From a total of 60 farmers, who are living in the surroundings area of the present study site 30(50%) the respondents were interviewed. Focused group discussions with local people and government employees were also conducted. The study was conducted in randomly selected area in the natural forest which covered four habitat types: forest, scrubland, wood land, and farmland based on topography and vegetation structures of the area.

Line transect method was used [5, 31] to study Harwood’s Francolin survey. Thus, a total of 12 line transects were used in four different habitats. The line transects ranging in length from 0.5 km, each 150 to 300 m. Survey was conducted by walking on the existing footpath and track using the knowledge of standard field guides [27]. Observations were carried out early in the morning (6:00-10:00 AM) and late in the afternoon (4:00-6:00 PM) when bird activities were maximizing [33, 24, 4]. Both direct and indirect methods were applied to estimate the population of Harwood Francolin. The direct method was “line transects method” while indirect population estimation employed the “Calls Method” [10, 30]. A primary source of data was used to collect information about life history and threats affecting Harwood francolin in Abohoye Dengera natural forest. Primary data sources were field observations, interviews and focus group discussions. A semi-structured interview was prepared to collect the data on the status and threats of Harwood’s Francolin in the area. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, frequency; and correlation, and SAS software were used to run the analysis.

3. Results

Table 1. Age group frequency and percentage distribution.

| AGEGROUP | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative |
|----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| 15-24    | 5         | 16.67   | 5          |
| 25-34    | 9         | 30.00   | 14         |
| 35-44    | 11        | 36.67   | 25         |
| 45-54    | 3         | 10.00   | 28         |
| >54      | 2         | 6.67    | 30         |

Respondents who participated in the interview/questionnaire were grouped into five age categories i.e. 15-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, and >54 years. It showed that 16.67% respondents belonged to age group of 15-24, 30% from 25-34, 36.67% from 35-44, 10% from 45-54 and the remaining 6.67% respondents were age group of greater than 54.

Occupation of the respondents showed that 43.33% of them were engaged with farming and livestock rearing (mixing farming), 40% were farmers, and16.67% were government employees.

Table 2. Occupation frequency and percentage distribution.

| OCCUPATION                  | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative | Cumulative |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
| Employee                    | 5         | 16.67   | 5          |            |
| Farming                     | 12        | 40.00   | 5          | 16.67      |
| Farming and livestock       | 13        | 43.33   | 17         | 56.67      |

Table 3. Educational status frequency and percentage distribution.

| EDUCATIONALSTATUS | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative | Cumulative |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|------------|------------|
| Degree            | 4         | 13.33   | 4          | 13.33      |
| Diploma           | 1         | 3.33    | 4          | 13.33      |
| Illiterate        | 13        | 43.33   | 18         | 60.00      |
| Preparatory       | 1         | 3.33    | 18         | 60.00      |
| Primary           | 9         | 30.00   | 28         | 93.33      |
| secondary         | 2         | 6.67    | 30         | 100.00     |

Educational level of the respondents indicated that 43.33% were illiterates, 30% were primary school completed, 6.67% were secondary school completed, 3.33% were preparatory school, 3.33% were diploma graduates, and 13.33% were with bachelor degree.
Table 4. Habitat and season association.

| Habitat of Harwood francolin by season | Seaso ns |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
|                                       | dry     | wet    | Total  |
| Percent Col-Pct                        | 19      | 13     | 32     |
| Percent Row-Pct                        | 22.89   | 15.66  | 38.55  |
|                                       | 59.38   | 40.63  | 99.02  |
|                                       | 46.34   | 30.95  | 77.29  |
| Forest                                | 5       | 4      | 9      |
| Woodland                              | 6.02    | 4.82   | 10.84  |
|                                       | 55.56   | 44.44  |       |
|                                       | 11.90   | 8.10   |       |
|                                       | 10      | 9      | 19     |
| Scrubland                              | 12.05   | 10.84  | 22.89  |
|                                       | 52.63   | 47.37  |       |
|                                       | 24.39   | 21.43  |       |
| Farmland                               | 15      | 8      | 23     |
|                                       | 18.07   | 9.64   | 27.71  |
|                                       | 65.22   | 34.78  |       |
|                                       | 35.71   | 19.51  |       |
| Total                                  | 49      | 34     | 83     |
|                                       | 59.03   | 40.96  | 100.00 |

The number of Harwood’s Francolin observed were relatively high (22.89%) in the forest habitat during the dry season followed by farmland (18.07%), scrubland (12.05%) and the least was woodland (6.02%). During the wet season relatively high (15.66%) number were recorded in the forest followed by scrubland (10.84%), farmland (9.64%) and the least were listed at woodland habitat (4.82%). In general the numbers of Harwood Francolins observed at different habitats showed that forest (38.55%), farmland (27.71%), scrubland (22.89%) and woodland (10.84%).

Table 5. Mean and standard deviation.

| Variable | N | Mean  | Std. dev | Sum  | Minimum | Maximum |
|----------|---|-------|----------|------|---------|---------|
| Habitat  | 0 | --    | --       | --   | --      | --      |
| Dry      | 4 | 12.2500 | 6.05591  | 49.000 | 5.000 | 19.000 |
| Wet      | 4 | 8.5000  | 3.69685  | 34.000 | 4.000 | 13.000 |

The mean number of Harwood Francolin was highest during the dry season with 12.3 + 6.05 individuals and during the wet season with 8.5 + 3.7 individuals. Pearson’s correlations coefficient there is no association between habitats and seasons.

4. Discussion

Most participants reported that they have seen Harwood’s Francolin at Abahoy Dengera Natural Forest, while some respondents reported that they didn’t see the dead Harwood Francolin; in contrast some respondents suggested that they have seen dead Harwood’s Francolin which was killed by traps and sticks thrown by hunters.

Majority of respondents suggested that the male Harwood’s Francolin was different from the female due to the presence of tarsal spurs bigger red bell and large sized. Some respondents reported that the male has only tarsal spurs than female. Majority of the respondents reported that trap was the common method to hunt Harwood’s Francolin. Trap was specifically targeted at francolins, which would walk into the traps and allow a hunter to close up the entrance. Terrestrial birds like Harwood Francolins are easily trapped, and their meat and eggs provide rich sources of protein.

Majority of respondents agreed that agriculture was the main threats in Abohoye Dengera natural forest, while some of the respondents were not agreed. Most of the respondents claimed wild fire and hunting are the leading threat to the species in the area. All of the respondents suggested that the Harwood Francolin has declined due to the absence of sufficient trees, shrubs and herbs which is very important for the survival of the species.
**5. Conclusion**

The highest number Harwood Francolin was recorded in the forest habitat where human disturbance was minimum followed by farmland where sufficient seeds were available; scrubland and the least wood land. The current anthropogenic factors in the natural forest tend to reduce the foraging and nesting opportunities of the Harwood’s Francolin. Thus, the main threats to the species under study are Agriculture, wildfire, free grazing, hunting, firewood collection, and predation. Agriculture is the most important threat that affected the Harwood’s Francolin species followed by wild fire. In order to maintain the population of the Harwood’s Francolin the habitats of the area should be given high priority conservation measures and this finding could be used to plan conservation of the species with the joint contribution of government and local communities. As the local people living around the natural forest are engaging in farming and livestock activities and largely depend on the natural forest, over utilization of natural resources in the area should be properly managed.
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