Anisotropic nonlinear elasticity in a spherical-bead pack: Influence of the fabric anisotropy

Yacine Khidas* and Xiaoping Jia†

Université Paris-Est, Laboratoire de Physique des Matériaux Divisés et des Interfaces, CNRS UMR 8108, Cité Descartes, 77457 Marne-la-Vallée, France
(Received 9 November 2009; published 17 February 2010)

Stress-strain measurements and ultrasound propagation experiments in glass bead packs have been simultaneously conducted to characterize the stress-induced anisotropy under uniaxial loading. These measurements realized, respectively, with finite and incremental deformations of the granular assembly, are analyzed within the framework of the effective-medium theory based on the Hertz-Mindlin contact theory. Our work shows that both compressional and shear wave velocities and consequently the incremental elastic moduli agree fairly well with an effective-medium model developed by Johnson et al. [J. Appl. Mech. 65, 380 (1998)] for the oedometric test, but the anisotropic stress ratio resulting from finite deformation does not at all. As indicated by numerical simulations, the discrepancy may arise from the fact that the model does not properly allow the grains to relax from the affine motion approximation. Here we find that the interaction nature at the grain contact could also play a crucial role for the relevant prediction by the model; indeed, such discrepancy can be significantly reduced if the frictional resistance between grains is removed. Another main experimental finding is the influence of the inherent anisotropy of granular packs, realized by different protocols of the sample preparation. Our results reveal that compressional waves are more sensitive to the stress-induced anisotropy, whereas the shear waves are more sensitive to the fabric anisotropy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of nonlinear elasticity in granular materials is not only of fundamental interest but also of practical importance in many fields, including soil mechanics and geophysics. Unlike consolidated porous materials such as sedimentary rocks, soils, and sintered bead packs, dry granular materials acquire solely its elasticity as a result of the applied stress, forming a very inhomogeneous force network [1–6]. The mechanical properties of such materials depend strongly on both the contact interactions between grains and the geometric arrangement (i.e., packing structure). The stress dependence of elasticity which originates with these contact forces at the grain level leads to a complex behavior of the granular medium: nonlinear behavior, loading-path dependence, and stress-induced anisotropy [7]. The change in sound velocity with the applied stress (i.e., acoustoelastic effect) is the characteristic signature of this nonlinear elasticity [1,4,8,9]. The hysteretic often found in the stress-strain experiments of granular media is also associated with the path-dependent nature of the contact forces [7,10,11]. Another issue complicating the analysis of the mechanical properties of granular materials arises from the geometric or fabric anisotropy, which is basically related to the mode of grain deposition and the packing structure in a model system such as the sphere pack [10,12]. This fabric anisotropy is important for the mechanical behavior of granular media such as stress transmission [13], mechanical stability [14] and liquefaction resistance [15].

Photoelastic visualizations [16] and numerical simulations in two-dimensional systems [17–20] demonstrate that the anisotropy induced by an external load may have two distinct effects: one leads to an important change of the fabric anisotropy in the contact network, and the other develops an anisotropic force chain network. Understanding the nonlinear elastic responses and the associated stress-induced anisotropy represents a fundamental issue for granular mechanics. The problem may also be important for understanding the jamming phenomena and shear-induced yielding in a more general class of geomaterials formed from granular media [14–16]. Development of non destructive methods of investigation is therefore desirable for monitoring the evolution of both geometric and mechanical anisotropies in real three-dimensional (3D) granular materials, and allows us to gain a more comprehensive insight into their elastic properties along different stress paths.

Sound waves offer a sensitive and non invasive probe of both the structure and the mechanical properties of heterogeneous materials [21–24]. In a granular medium, sound propagation is controlled to a large extent by the properties of the contact force networks forming the solid frame of the material [4,8,25–27]. The effective-medium theory (EMT) has been commonly used to describe both the nonlinear elasticity and sound propagation in the long-wavelength limit. This EMT is generally based on the Hertz-Mindlin theory at the grain contact and the affine approximation or kinematic hypothesis in which the motion of each grain is related to the applied strain. Following this affine approximation, elastic moduli and related sound velocities have been derived for granular media mostly with isotropic fabric (i.e., statistically isotropic distribution of contacts around each grain) [1,4,12,28–31] and only few with anisotropic fabric [12]. However, some acoustic measurements in random packs of glass beads under isotropic loading [4,32] show that the ratio of bulk modulus of sand shear one G is significantly larger than the value predicted by the EMT with bonded elastic spheres (no sliding). Numerical simulations reveal that the discrep-
Mechanical test with the ultrasonic measurement. The schematic diagram of the experimental arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1. Spherical glass beads of diameter between 300 and 400 μm are carefully filled in a duralumin cell up to 70 mm in height and closed by the fitted pistons at the top and bottom surfaces. A controlled vertical load is applied to the granular sample across the top piston. The forces redirected in the horizontal direction are measured by the stiff force sensors placed at the middle of the cell to monitor the stress anisotropy evolution. This special compaction cell is prepared by the rain deposition protocol, specifically for stress-induced anisotropy in the oedometric configuration with isotropic fabric [29]. The aim of our work is twofold. First, we examine the applicability of this EMT to small- and large-deformation mechanical tests corresponding, respectively, to sound propagation and stress-strain measurements. The crucial role of the interaction nature at the grain contact for the appropriate prediction will be discussed. Then, we investigate the respective responses of compressional and shear waves to the elastic anisotropy in granular media. Particular attention is paid to the influence of the fabric anisotropy which is realized by the different sample preparation. Such inherent fabric anisotropy is expected to evolve little under oedometric loading compared to pure shear experiments.

II. EXPERIMENTS

In order to characterize the anisotropic elasticity of granular materials, we developed an apparatus coupling the mechanical test with the ultrasonic measurement. The schematic diagram of the experimental arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1. Spherical glass beads of diameter between 300 and 400 μm are carefully filled in a duralumin cell up to 70 mm in height and closed by the fitted pistons at the top and bottom surfaces. A controlled vertical load is applied to the granular sample across the top piston. The forces redirected in the horizontal direction are measured by the stiff force sensors placed at the middle of the cell to monitor the stress anisotropy evolution. This special compaction cell is prepared by the rain deposition protocol, specifically for stress-induced anisotropy in the oedometric configuration with isotropic fabric [29]. The aim of our work is twofold. First, we examine the applicability of this EMT to small- and large-deformation mechanical tests corresponding, respectively, to sound propagation and stress-strain measurements. The crucial role of the interaction nature at the grain contact for the appropriate prediction will be discussed. Then, we investigate the respective responses of compressional and shear waves to the elastic anisotropy in granular media. Particular attention is paid to the influence of the fabric anisotropy which is realized by the different sample preparation. Such inherent fabric anisotropy is expected to evolve little under oedometric loading compared to pure shear experiments.

A. Granular fabric and packing density

To study the influence of the granular fabric on the induced elastic anisotropy, the bead packs are realized by two different preparation protocols. The first one, known as rain deposition or air pluviation, consists in pouring the glass beads into the cell through two grids of mesh size 2 mm spaced by 2 cm and reaches a dense pack of φ = 0.64. The second, termed as decompaction protocol is to remove gently a horizontal grid through the bead pack from the bottom to the top after filling the beads in the cell; the rearrangements produced by this efficient shearing throughout the sample allow us to obtain a loose pack of φ = 0.60. It is shown by numerical simulations [17,18,34] that the rain deposition protocol creates an anisotropic distribution of the contact angle with two preferred directions oriented roughly at 30° around the vertical (gravity) direction. However, the loose pack prepared by the decompaction protocol is expected to produce a fairly isotropic distribution of the contact angle [35].

Before any measurement, a preloading up to σzz = 400 kPa is applied to the sample in order to minimize the hysteretic effects related to the grain rearrangements and ensure a reproducible initial state. Then the stress-strain and ultrasonic velocity measurements are performed as a function of the applied stress σzz ranging from 70 to 900 kPa. Figure 2 displays the evolution of the solid volume fraction φ versus σzz in the dense and loose packs obtained, respectively, by the two preparation protocols. These results show a good reproducibility of our sample preparation in terms of the initial packing density measured at σzz = 70 kPa for 30 repeated measurements: φdense = 0.642 ± 0.002 and φloose = 0.605 ± 0.002. The fact that the solid volume fraction in the dense packing sample is a little larger than the density of the
random close packing (RCP) is due to the slight dispersion of the bead size. Furthermore, Fig. 2 shows that the packing density of our samples increases slightly, up to a resultant vertical strain \(e_{zz} = 3.10^{-3}\) at the applied \(\sigma_{zz} = 900\) kPa. Both the dense and loose bead packs keep clearly a signature of their preparation protocols. These results imply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than the value to produce the grain fracture of about 20 MPa for the ply that for the applied stress in this work, much less than

B. Anisotropic stress field

Let us now investigate the stress-induced anisotropy under uniaxial loading in the oedometric configuration. By monitoring the resultant horizontal stress \(\sigma_{xx}\) when increasing the vertical stress \(\sigma_{zz}\), we display in Fig. 3 the stress ratio \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) measured as a function of the applied stress \(\sigma_{zz}\) for the two granular samples, respectively. It is observed that both the bead packs evolve from their initial stress fields at low applied \(\sigma_{zz}=70\) kPa, roughly isotropic, to anisotropic states at high \(\sigma_{zz}\). As mentioned in the previous experiment [29], the isotropic distribution of stress observed at low \(\sigma_{zz}\)

FIG. 2. (Color online) Evolution of the solid volume fraction of the loose and dense packs. Error bars illustrate the data dispersion over 30 measurements.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Evolution of the vertical-to-horizontal stress ratio \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) versus the applied stress \(\sigma_{zz}\) in the dense and loose packs.

As the applied stress increases, the ratio \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) is seen to increase to an asymptotic value at high \(\sigma_{zz}\). For the granular packs prepared by the two distinct protocols, the different asymptotic values of about 11% reveal again a memory effect of the initial state of the sample as seen in the above density measurement. In the conventional oedometric test, the inverse of the asymptotic value \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) is known as Jaky coefficient \(K_0\) of the earth pressure at rest; it is empirically related to the internal friction angle \(\theta_0\) of the medium by \(K_0 = 1 - \sin \theta_0\) [37]. These results thus suggest a possible correlation between the internal friction angle and the fabric of the medium. To examine this issue, we have measured the avalanche angle \(\theta\) at the relative humidity RH=40% for both the dense and loose packs and obtained \(\theta_{\text{dense}} = 30.4 \pm 1.0^\circ\) and \(\theta_{\text{loose}} = 33.2 \pm 0.3^\circ\) for six avalanche experiments. The difference between the two measured avalanche angles is about 9%, consistent with the difference of 11% between the two internal friction angles deduced from the asymptotic values of \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) in Fig. 3. Although the avalanche angle is not the direct measure of the internal friction angle, these stress measurements indicates again the significant effect of the preparation protocol on the granular fabric [13,38].

C. Anisotropic elastic moduli

Different transporst of sound waves in granular media have been detailed in the previous work [39]. When the wavelength is much larger than the bead size, the coherent waves propagate as through an effectively homogeneous medium. Measuring the elastic wave velocity \(V\) allows one to access to the elastic modulus \(M\) of the granular medium by \(M = \rho V^2\) with \(\rho\) the material density [4,39,40]. In our apparatus, the compressional \(P\) waves and shear \(S\) waves are excited and detected, respectively, by longitudinal or transversal piezoelectric transducers of diameter 30 mm. Figure 4 displays a typical pulsed ultrasound transmission along the vertical direction. The arrivals of \(P\)- and \(S\)-wave pulses are
well separated, which allows us to clearly identify the different modes and measure adequately the wave velocities by the time of flight.

For the granular materials under uniaxial loading and the geometry of the cell considered here, the elastic tensor \( C_{ij} \) relating the incremental stress \( d\sigma_i \) and strain \( de_j \), \( d\sigma_i = C_{ij} de_j \), would have a symmetry belonging to the orthotropic class where the usual notation for equivalent indices is used with ‘1’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘11’, ‘2’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘22’, ‘3’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘33’ and ‘4’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘23’, ‘5’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘13’, ‘6’ \( \rightarrow \) ‘12’. In such a case, there are nine independent constants as shown by the matrix \([41]\)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    d\sigma_1 \\
    d\sigma_2 \\
    d\sigma_3 \\
    d\sigma_4 \\
    d\sigma_5 \\
    d\sigma_6
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
    C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{55} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
    de_1 \\
    de_2 \\
    de_3 \\
    de_4 \\
    de_5 \\
    de_6
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By means of \( P \) and \( S \) waves of different polarization propagating along the horizontal and vertical directions (i.e., principal axes), respectively, we can infer the diagonal elastic components \((i=J)\) from the velocity measurement \( C_{ij} = \rho V_{ij}^2 \). For determining the off-diagonal components, velocity measurements of waves propagating along a direction inclined to the principal axes should be necessary. We display in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) the wave velocities of several elastic waves measured as a function of the applied stress \( \sigma_{zz} \) in our loose pack and dense one, respectively. Here \( V_{11} \) and \( V_{33} \) correspond to the velocities of \( P \) waves propagating along the horizontal and the vertical \((x-\text{and} \ z\)-axis) directions. \( V_{44} \) denotes the velocity of the \( S \) wave propagating vertically, whereas \( V_{55} \) and \( V_{66} \) correspond to those of the \( S \) waves propagating horizontally and being polarized along the vertical and perpendicular \((y\)-axis) directions. Each data presented in Fig. 5 results from an average of six experimental runs and the data dispersion or error bar is lower than 2% in the case of the loose pack and 3% in the dense sample, illustrating thus a good reproducibility of these acoustic measurements.

As shown in Fig. 5(a) for the loose pack, there is a clear difference of \( P \)-wave velocity between \( V_{11} \) and \( V_{33} \) \((>V_{11})\) going up to 15% when the stress \( \sigma_{zz} \) is increased to 900 kPa. For the \( S \) wave, the velocity difference \( V_{44} > V_{55} > V_{66} \) is less important than that of \( P \) wave: indeed, at \( \sigma_{zz} = 900 \) kPa \( V_{44} \) is about 5% and 8% greater than \( V_{55} \) and \( V_{66} \), respectively. In contrast to the previous works under load superior to a few MPa \([4,29,32]\), our acoustic measurements are realized at much lower stress, ranging from 70 to 900 kPa. In such range of loading, it is expected that both the solid volume fraction \( \phi \) (Fig. 2) and the coordination number \( Z \) would vary little \([4]\). As the decompaction protocol tends to create an isotropic fabric in the loose granular sample, our measurements indicate that the elastic anisotropy observed here shall stem from the induced stress anisotropy shown in Fig. 3.

For the dense pack prepared by rain deposition, Fig. 5(b) displays again a significant difference between the \( P \)-wave velocities \( V_{11} \) and \( V_{33} \). When the anisotropy of the stress field is developed, such elastic anisotropy rises to 18% at \( \sigma_{zz} = 900 \) kPa. Unlike the \( P \)-wave velocity, the \( S \)-wave velocity appears to be sensitive to the fabric anisotropy produced by rain deposition in the dense granular pack. Contrary to the measurement in the loose packing, we observe a significant difference between \( V_{44} = 306 \pm 2 \) m/s, \( V_{55} = 342 \pm 7 \) m/s, and \( V_{66} = 333 \pm 6 \) m/s at the lowest stress \( \sigma_{zz} = 72 \) kPa where the stress field is nearly isotropic (see Fig. 3). Moreover, when \( \sigma_{zz} \) is increased the \( S \)-wave velocities evolve differently, but recover a similar behavior at high load: a difference of 8% is found again between \( V_{44} \) and \( V_{66} \) at \( \sigma_{zz} = 900 \) kPa. These results imply a particular sensitivity of the wave to the fabric anisotropy which affects the elastic anisotropy together with the stress anisotropy.
It is worthwhile to mention that several research groups in soil mechanics (e.g., Hoque and Tatsuoka [10] and Kuwano and Jardine [42]) have observed different values of Young moduli $E_x$ and $E_y$ in the vertical and horizontal direction at isotropic stress, measured by small strain cycles in triaxial tests with sands ($\varepsilon < 10^{-5}$). Such anisotropic moduli $E_y < E_x$ was devoted to the inherent anisotropy from rain deposition of grains, but it is not evidenced by our $P$-wave velocities $V_{33}$ and $V_{11}$ at isotropic stress as described above. On the other hand, Bellotti et al. reported contradicting results to those in [10,42] with $E_y > E_x$ obtained from $P$-wave velocity measurements [43]. Understanding this issue awaits further studies.

### III. COMPARISON WITH THE EFFECTIVE-MEDIUM THEORY

The theory of elasticity a granular pack is primarily based on the Hertz-Mindlin model of contact between grains [44]. The macroscopic stress-strain relations are commonly derived using the effective-medium description, where it is assumed that the motion of grains is affine with the applied macroscopic strain at least on average. Because the presence of the tangential forces at the contacts gives rise to load-displacement relations which are not only nonlinear but also inelastic, the mechanical response of the medium, namely stress-strain relations, must be expected to depend on the entire past history of loading. However, it is shown that the incremental response of the medium; i.e., the second-order effective elastic constants and consequently sound velocities are path-independent of loading [7]. Within the framework of the effective-medium approach, Walton [28] analyzed the mechanical responses of a random pack of identical elastic spheres with isotropic fabric under isotropic strain and purely uniaxial compression, respectively. Furthermore the incremental elastic moduli were derived for these specific initial deformed states. For simplicity the spheres were assumed to be either infinitely rough or perfectly smooth in the calculations; nevertheless these results provide a physical insight of the effect of friction in real grain contacts.

As noted above, our measurements were made in the compaction cell with rigid walls in which the granular sample is subjected neither to purely isotropic nor uniaxial compression. To compare with the experimental data obtained in such widely used oedometric tests, Johnson et al. proposed an analytical model based on the effective-medium theory [29]. This model combines isotropic and uniaxial strains to describe the stress-induced elastic anisotropy in transversely isotropic granular materials: $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{\delta_{ij}} + \varepsilon_{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{3}$ with $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, otherwise $\delta_{ij} = 0$. Here the internal strain $\varepsilon$ stems from the residual stress distribution, namely an initial isotropic stress state produced by the preloading-unloading process as mentioned above, whereas the axial strain $\varepsilon_3$ results from the applied stress $\sigma_{zz}$. As $V_{35}$ differs from $V_{44}$ only by 5% (Fig. 5), the approximation of the transverse isotropy used in this model would be adequate for interpreting our measurements. The predictions of such a model reduce to the results for purely isotropic compression in the limit $\varepsilon_3 \to 0$ and to those for purely uniaxial compression in the opposite limit $\varepsilon \to 0$, respectively [28].

Figure 3 presents the ratio $\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}$ computed for the packs of both bonded (“rough”) and frictionless (“smooth”) spheres, as a function of the applied stress $\sigma_{zz}$. The latter case is obtained by canceling the tangential contact stiffness in the model, i.e., $C_{ij} \to 0$. As described in Eqs. 21 and 22 of [29], the stress tensor $\sigma_{ij}$ is a function of several parameters, $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_3, Z, \phi, \mu_5, \nu_5)$, where $Z$ is the coordination number, $\mu_5 (= 24 \text{ MPa})$ is the shear modulus and $\nu_5 (= 0.2)$ is the Poisson ratio of the glass bead. For a given $C_{ij}$ the ratio $\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}$ versus the applied stress $\sigma_{zz}$ is only parameterized by the glass bead property $\mu_5, \nu_5$ (Fig. 3). The axial strain $\varepsilon_3$ is measured from the displacement of the top piston versus $\sigma_{zz}$ and the residual isotropic strain $\varepsilon$ is deduced from the isotropic residual stress 70 kPa measured at $\varepsilon_3 = 0$, yielding $\varepsilon = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$.

We observe from Fig. 3, that the theoretical curve calculated with the frictionless spheres ($C_{ij} \to 0$) is faithful to the qualitative trend observed in our experiments and is overall in agreement with the measured data; at the high load the stress ratio approaches to the limit value of uniaxial loading, i.e., $\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx} \approx 4$ [28,29]. In contrast, Fig. 3 and the inset show that the no-slip assumption with bonded spheres gives rise to a huge overestimation of this stress ratio, indicating the crucial role of the contact-interaction law in the effective-medium model for describing adequately the evolution of the stress-field anisotropy. We may understand the discrepancy found with the bonded spheres by the following picture. At large deformation applied here of the order of $10^{-3}$, the grains need to rearrange in order to relax the tangential stress accumulated at the contacts. The no-slip assumption with infinitely frictional spheres forbidden such a process and hence fail, whereas the effective-medium analysis with frictionless spheres allow the stress relaxation and provide thus an adequate description of the experiments.

Let us now examine the applicability of the effective-medium approach to the wave velocity measurements. Given a stress state $\sigma_{ij}$ and corresponding macroscopic strain $\varepsilon$, the elastic moduli can be derived from the incremental stress of the medium subject to an incremental strain by $d\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} d\varepsilon_{kl}$. Following the work of [29], one obtains

$$C_{ijkl} = \frac{3 \delta_{ij}}{4 \pi^2 R^{1/2} B(2B + C)} \left[ \varepsilon_{ij} + B \delta_{ij} \varepsilon_{kl} + \varepsilon_{kl} \right]$$

where $\delta_{ij}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol, $B = (1 - \nu_5)/(2\mu_5)$, $C = \nu_5/(2\mu_5)$, $\varepsilon = n \cdot u \cdot n$ $R$ with $R$ the grain radius and $\varepsilon$ the strain tensor. The brackets $\langle \rangle$ represent an average over all unit vector $n$ uniformly distributed since the distribution of contact angle (i.e., granular fabric) is assumed to be isotropic. To determine the wave velocities from the elastic moduli in Eq. (2), we perform the calculations by two steps. First, we compute the stress state of a frictionless spheres packing (Cijkl = 0) created by the large axial deformation, which provides an adequate description of the experimental stress field shown in Fig. 3. Then, we consider the appropriate value of $C_{ij}$ ($\neq 0$) according the Hert-Mindlin theory to calculate the elastic moduli by Eq. (2). Note that this procedure of computation is also used in many numeri-
cal simulations where the friction between the particles is turned off during the packing preparation and is turned on to measure the incremental response [4]. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) illustrate the calculated wave velocities in both the loose packing and the dense one. The agreement between the theoretical predictions and experimental results remains fairly good (better than 10%), for the present effective-medium model employing only one adjustable parameter, i.e., $Z=6$ in the dense pack and $Z=5.5$ in the loose pack.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A. Stress dependence of $P$-wave velocities

Based on the Hertz-Mindlin theory of contact and the assumption of isotropic fabric in the sphere pack, the EMT predicts a power-law dependence of the elastic modulus $M \sim P^{1/3}$ (or $V \sim P^{1/6}$) on isotropic compression $P$ [1,4,30,31]. The same power-law scaling is found for the pure triaxial loading (i.e., $e_{xy}=e_{yz}=e_{zx}=0$) as $M \sim \sigma_{zz}^{1/3}$ or $M \sim \sigma_{xy}^{1/3}$ since the stress ratio $\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}$ is constant [28]. Numerous acoustic measurements in sands and glass bead packs showed however that the exponent in the power-law scaling may not be constant, varying from $\frac{3}{2}$ at low pressure to $1/6$ at high pressure [1,8,45,46]. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain this discrepancy, including the contact recruitment by buckling of particle chains or the conical contact due to irregular surfaces [1], the effect of soft shell on coated spheres [47] and the fluctuation of the stress field [48]. However, for the preloaded glass bead packs and the range of the applied stress considered here, the contact recruitment is expected to have a negligible effect, as that suggested by the above density measurement (Fig. 2).

We investigate here the influence of the stress anisotropy on the scaling behavior of the $P$-wave velocity. To do this, we display in Fig. 6 the rescaled $P$-wave velocities $V_{33}/\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ and $V_{11}/\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ as a function of the applied axial stress $\sigma_{zz}$, obtained in the loose and dense packs, respectively. $V_{33}$ is seen to follows adequately the scaling of $\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$, as expected within the framework of the Hertz-Mindlin theory (except the data at $\sigma_{zz}<300$ kPa). In contrast, $V_{11}$ deviates drastically from the $\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ scaling, which is due to the evolution of stress-field anisotropy illustrated in Fig. 3. Indeed, if rescaling $V_{11}$ with the stress $\sigma_{xx}$ parallel to the propagation direction, we recover a scaling behavior $V_{11} \sim \sigma_{xx}^{1/6}$ [insets to Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)], similar to those for $V_{33} \sim \sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ in both the loose and the dense packs having the different granular fabric. These observations agree fairly well with the previous results on the stress dependences of Young moduli obtained from the triaxial test and elastic wave velocity measurements in sands [10,42,43], though the exponents of power law are close to $\frac{1}{6}$ for wave velocity due to the irregular grain surface as discussed above.

B. Stress and fabric dependences of $S$-wave velocities

Compared to the $P$-wave velocity, the stress dependence of the $S$-wave velocity is more complicated and strongly influenced by the fabric of the pack. As mentioned above (in Sec. II), $S$ waves are not only sensitive to the stress-field anisotropy but also to the fabric anisotropy. Let us first examine the scaling behavior of the shear velocities versus $\sigma_{zz}$ in the loose bead pack with isotropic fabric. As shown in Fig. 7(a), both $V_{44}$ and $V_{45}$ scale roughly as a power law i.e., $\sim \sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ for $\sigma_{zz}>300$ kPa, which agree fairly well with the stress dependence predicted by the Hertz-Mindlin theory of contact. Compared to the $P$-wave velocity $V_{11}$, the scaling behaviors of the shear velocity $V_{55}$ is somewhat surprising, however, it may be understood by a heuristic picture which accounts for both the propagation direction and the polarization. Indeed, unlike the $P$-wave propagating in the horizontal direction ($V_{11}$), the $S$-wave traveling along the $x$ axis is polarized vertically along the $z$ axis ($V_{55}$) and it would also be affected by the stress component $\sigma_{zz}$. This scenario is consistent with the preceding observation showing that the $S$-wave velocity is less sensitive to the stress-field anisotropy than the $P$-wave velocity (shown in Fig. 5). However, for the $S$-wave traveling along the $x$ axis but polarized horizontally along the $y$ axis ($V_{66}$), Fig. 7(a) shows a scaling behavior of $V_{66}$ deviated from $\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}$ but being consistent with the power law $V_{66} \sim \sigma_{xx}^{1/6}$ [inset to Fig. 7(a)], a situation reminiscent to that of the $P$ wave ($V_{11}$).

We now turn on the scaling behavior of the $S$-wave velocities in the dense pack presenting the fabric anisotropy obtained by rain deposition. Compared to the case of the loose packing with isotropic fabric, the stress dependence of

![FIG. 6. (Color online) Stress dependence of $P$-wave velocities propagating vertically (○: $V_{33}$) and horizontally (●: $V_{11}$) in the (a) loose pack and the (b) dense one. The dashed lines correspond to the predictions by the Hertz-Mindlin theory of contact.](image-url)
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FIG. 7. (Color online) Stress dependence of S-wave velocities propagating vertically (•: \(V_{44}\)) and horizontally (▲: \(V_{55}, \Delta: V_{66}\)) in the (a) loose pack and the (b) dense one. The dashed lines correspond to the predictions by the Hertz-Mindlin contact theory.

\(V_{44}, V_{55}, \) and \(V_{66}\) in the range of the applied stress exhibit certain behavior similar to Fig. 7(a) but with a clear deviation from \(\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}\) or \(\sigma_{xx}^{1/6}\) [Fig. 7(b) and the inset]. This observation reveals the extreme sensitivity of the shear wave velocity to the fabric of the granular pack. However, at the present stage, it is difficult to speculate the scaling behavior of the S-wave velocity on stress due to the interplay between the evolution of the stress anisotropy and the inherent fabric anisotropy. Indeed, the fabric parameter is not included in the effective-medium theory used here.

Note that such complex stress dependences for the shear moduli and the related wave velocities have also been found in numerous triaxial tests [42,43]. Based on a large body of experimental data in sands, the empirical relations emerge between anisotropic shear modulus and stress: e.g., \(G_{bb} (=\rho V_{55}^2) \sim \sigma_{xx}^a \sigma_{zz}^b\) with \(a=0.2\) and \(b\approx 0.3\). At isotropic loading (\(\sigma_{xx}=\sigma_{zz}=\sigma\)), we recover the familiar dependence of the shear modulus \(G \sim \sigma^{1/2}\) as described above in sands. These formulas illustrate the subtle dependence of shear modulus both on the stress along the (horizontal) direction of wave propagation and the stress in the (vertical) direction of particle motion. In our glass bead packs, we find that \(V_{55}\) follows adequately the scaling of \(\sigma_{zz}^{1/6}\) as expected from the Hertz-Mindlin theory of contact [Fig. 7(a)], but it deviates from a power-law scaling of \(\sigma_{xx}^{1/6}\) as can be seen from the significant evolution of the stress ratio \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) over the range of our experiments (Fig. 3). As a matter of fact, the vertical and horizontal stresses \(\sigma_{zz}\) and \(\sigma_{xx}\) are related in an oedometric test, while \(\sigma_{zz}\) and \(\sigma_{xx}\) may be independently controlled in a triaxial test. To better understand the underlying physics of such nonlinear elasticity, we will consider in the further study the application of the effective-medium model with fabric consideration (e.g., see Chang et al. in [12]) to our oedometric measurements.

C. Correlation between induced elastic anisotropy and stress-field anisotropy

In the above sections, we have observed that the elastic moduli and consequently sound velocities (\(P\) and \(S\) waves) depend on both the induced stress-field anisotropy and the inherent fabric of the granular sample. The protocols of the sample preparation used here, i.e., decompaction and rain deposition, allow us to obtain two distinct granular fabrics which also differ in the packing density \(\phi\) as shown in Fig. 2 and probably in the coordination number \(Z\). As suggested by Eq. (2), we may investigate the correlation between the induced stress anisotropy and the elastic anisotropy via the ratio of elastic components dropping thus the parameters \(\phi\) and \(Z\).

Figure 8(a) displays the ratio of the compressional moduli \(C_{33}/C_{11}\) versus the induced stress anisotropy \(\sigma_{zz}/\sigma_{xx}\) for two granular samples with different fabric (loose and dense). The experimental data agree well with the effective-medium model [Eq. (2)], demonstrating that the anisotropy of compressional moduli is principally determined by the stress-field anisotropy and is much less sensitive to the fabric of the granular pack. Also, we depict the evolution of the shear
modulus ratio $C_{44}/C_{56}$ as a function of $\sigma_{xx}/\sigma_{zz}$ in Fig. 8(b). These results confirm again the correlation of the elastic anisotropy with the stress-field anisotropy, though the anisotropy is less pronounced for the shear modulus than for the compressional one. In contrast to the latter, the shear moduli are however very sensitive to the granular fabric. For the loose pack with isotropic fabric, the ratio of the shear components is in good agreement with the effective-medium theory model on the assumption of the isotropic fabric or distribution of contact angle.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have studied the anisotropic elasticity of dry glass bead packs using ultrasonic measurements. Both the influences of the fabric anisotropy and the stress anisotropy are investigated thanks to the granular samples of different inherent fabric under uniaxial loading in an oedometric cell. The stress anisotropy is characterized from the horizontal and vertical force measurements, whereas the elastic moduli are determined from velocity measurements of $P$ and $S$ waves propagating along the vertical and horizontal directions, respectively. For the range of the applied stress in this work, our results show clearly that the compressional modulus anisotropy is more sensitive to the stress-field anisotropy while the shear modulus anisotropy is more sensitive to the fabric anisotropy.

We have tested the applicability of the effective-medium theory to sound propagation associated with the incremental deformation. The predictions by the analytical model based on the affine approximation agree well with the measurements of sound velocity. Moreover, our velocity measurements of compressional waves confirm the scaling behavior on stress, $V_P \sim P^{1/6}$, where $P$ is the normal component of the stress along the propagation direction. As for the shear waves, the stress dependences of velocities are more complex and also strongly influenced by the fabric anisotropy. In the future work, we consider applying the effective-medium model which accounts for the fabric parameters [12] to these velocity measurements and seek to derive the appropriate expressions for elastic moduli under the specific oedometric loading. This could provide an effective tool for probing the fabric anisotropy in a 3D granular material which is quite difficult to obtain by other means.

The present effective-medium model is also applied to analyze the finite deformation of the granular pack. Our stress-strain measurements show the breakdown of this model to describe adequately the deformed state at large deformation. However, such discrepancy between theory and experiment can significantly be reduced when the friction between grains is switched off. As indicated previously [4,33], our observation confirms that the model based on the affine approximation fails because it prevents the relaxation of the tangential stress at the grain contact, especially for large deformation. Other effective-medium models are required for describing the large deformations of granular packs [6,12].
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