Article

Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Mental Health of Healthcare Workers

Andreas Chatzittofis 1,2,* , Maria Karanikola 3, Kyriaki Michailidou 4 and Anastasia Constantinidou 1

1 Medical School, University of Cyprus, Nicosia 1678, Cyprus; constantinidou.anastasia@ucy.ac.cy
2 Department of Clinical Sciences/Psychiatry, Umeå University, 90187 Umeå, Sweden
3 Department of Nursing, School of Health Sciences, Cyprus University of Technology, Limassol 3041, Cyprus; maria.karanikola@cut.ac.cy
4 Biostatistics Unit, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics and Cyprus School of Molecular Medicine, Nicosia 1683, Cyprus; kyriakimi@cing.ac.cy
* Correspondence: Chatzittofis.andreas@ucy.ac.cy

Abstract: The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has a great impact on healthcare workers (HCWs) that includes negative mental health outcomes, such as post-traumatic stress, anxiety and depressive symptoms. In this cross-sectional study, we report on mental health outcomes among HCWs in Cyprus. Data were collected between 3 May and 27 May 2020, with the use of an online questionnaire that included demographics (sex, age, occupation, education, work sector, years of work experience), the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) which assesses depressive symptoms, the Impact of Events Scale Revised (IES-R), which measures post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, and the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) which quantifies stress responses. Participants (42% physicians, 24% nurses, 18% physiotherapists, 16% classified as “other”) were 58% of female gender and aged 21–76. A total of 79 (18.6%) and 62 HCWs (14.6%) reported clinically significant depressive (PHQ-9 ≥ 10) and post-traumatic stress (IES-R > 33) symptoms respectively. Nurses were more likely than physicians to suffer from depression (adjusted prevalence ratio 1.7 (1.06–2.73); p = 0.035) and PTSD (adjusted prevalence ratio 2.51 (1.49–4.23); p = 0.001). Even in a country with a rather low spread of the COVID-19, such as Cyprus, HCWs reported a substantial mental health burden, with nurses reporting increased depressive and PTSD symptoms compared to other HCWs.
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1. Introduction

Coping with the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has been a challenge for healthcare systems and especially healthcare workers (HCWs) worldwide. HCWs are confronted with a demanding work environment that impacts on their physical and mental health. It is well documented that during the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) 2003 epidemic, a significant proportion of HCWs reported symptoms of post-traumatic syndrome, depression, anxiety and fear [1]. An early study in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the importance of meeting HCWs’ specific needs in order to reduce the stress they face and better cope with the pandemic [2]. A recent empirical study in China reported symptoms of indirect psychological trauma in both the general population and HCWs; however, frontline employees were not found to be more prone to these symptoms [3]. Previous studies on the psychological burden associated with the pandemic have mainly focused on frontline HCWs, those that are directly involved in COVID-19 patient care, such as those working in emergency departments and intensive care units. In addition, most of the studies on HCWs were conducted in countries with a high impact of the pandemic on the general population and the healthcare system, such as China and Italy [3–5].

Nevertheless, there are scant data on the COVID-19-related psychological distress in HCWs in countries with a decreased burden on their healthcare systems due to early
measures [6]. The Republic of Cyprus (RC) is a small island country (total population of 840,000) with a relatively limited impact of COVID-19 on the population, and subsequently on the healthcare system compared to other countries so far (November 2020). Specifically, a case fatality rate of 2.6% was reported in the RC until June 2020 [7], while at the beginning of May, the Cyprus Ministry of Health announced a total of 872 cases of COVID-19, with patients’ median age at 46 years, and 15 deaths due to COVID-19. At the same time, a small-scale study in a sample of the general population (n = 216) showed that 8.33% to 13.89% of participants experienced clinical anxiety and depressive symptoms [8]. However, the web-based study by Solomou and Constantinidou (2020) on 1642 adults of the general population revealed that more than one out of five reported mentally distressing symptoms [9]. The degree to which HCWs have been affected by the social isolation measures and the work-related challenges due to the pandemic remains understudied. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the mental distress of HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic in the RC, particularly the presence of post-traumatic stress, depressive and anxiety symptoms.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Ethics

Participants submitted their informed consent for participation in the study over a web-based platform. The study protocol was approved by the National Bioethics Committee of Cyprus (Protocol number: 2020.01.89).

2.2. Study Design and Sampling

This was a descriptive, correlational and cross-sectional study. Data were collected between 3 May and 7 May 2020 using an online questionnaire. This was disseminated via personal email to the members of the Cyprus Medical Association and the professional association of physiotherapists. Moreover, a targeted dissemination strategy through social networks to nurses, occupational therapists and pharmacists was applied using a snowball technique to recruit additional groups of HCWs. The sampling period was one week after the peak of the first wave of the pandemic in the RC, and just before the first phase of the gradual easing of restrictions after the general lockdown; the healthcare system was receiving the highest numbers of service users at that time.

2.3. Measurement Tools

The questionnaire included (a) demographic variables (sex, age, occupation, education, work sector, years of work experience), (b) personal history of mental health problems (Have you ever been diagnosed with an anxiety disorder? Have you ever been diagnosed with a depressive disorder?), and (c) the following validated assessment tools in Greek, which is the most widely spoken language in the RC:

1. The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) for the assessment of depressive symptom intensity, during the preceding two weeks. The total scale score ranges between 0 and 27; values equal to 10 or greater were used herein as the cut off for clinically relevant depressive symptoms [10,11]. Since suicidality is the most alarming among depressive symptoms, we deemed PHQ-9 item [9]: (Have you thoughts that you would be better off dead, or of hurting yourself? Not at all (0), Several Days (1), More than half of the days (2), Nearly every day (3)), as indicative of a severe mental disturbance. Thus, scores \( \geq 1 \) were considered highly indicative of suicide ideation and were reported in the results.

2. The 22-item Impact of Events Scale Revised (IES-R) for the assessment of post-traumatic stress symptoms during the last 7 days. Each item is scored 0–4. The total scale score ranges between 0 and 88; according to Mystakidou et al. [12], values greater than the cutoff of 33 denote clinically relevant symptoms.

3. The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) for the measurement of self-perceived stress intensity. Each item is scored 0–4. The total scale score ranges between 0 and
higher scores reflect high stress levels [13,14]. As there is no consensus regarding cutoff values for PSS10 [13,14], we considered the upper quartile of the distribution of PSS-10 scores to denote clinically meaningful stress levels [15].

2.4. Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics of demographic, educational, employment and clinical variables were reported as mean (M) and standard deviations (SD), or frequencies for continuous (age, years of work experience, PHQ-9, IES-R, PSS-10 scores) and categorical (sex, education, work sector, personal mental health history, professional group, care for COVID-19 patients) variables, respectively. Skewness and kurtosis of the distribution of the continuous variables were evaluated by the Shapiro–Wilk test to confirm normality. The overall score of the PHQ 9, IES-R and PSS 10 scales was calculated as the sum of component items’ scores.

We used the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test to investigate group differences in continuous variables. Univariate analyses were performed using linear (scores were used as continuous numerical variables) and logistic regression models. For the logistic regression models, the total score of all three scales was treated as a dichotomous categorical variable accounting for either absence (score below the cutoff, i.e., PHQ-9 < 10, IES-R < 33, and 1st to 3rd quartile for PSS-10), or presence (score equal or higher of the cut off, i.e., PHQ-9 ≥ 10, IES-R > 33, and upper quartile for PSS-10) of any clinical symptoms. We also developed multivariable linear and logistic regression models in order to adjust for potential confounders (age and gender). Prevalence rate ratios were calculated using logistic regression [16]. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \), and all tests were 2-tailed. Data analyses were performed using the statistical software R [17].

3. Results

3.1. Participants’ Characteristics

A total of 424 HCWs completed the questionnaire. In total, 248 (58.5%) were female and 176 (41.5%) were male, with a mean age of 38.78 years (range: 21–76 (SD: 11.40)). Male participants were older on average (mean (SD): 42.1 (11.9)) than females (mean (SD): 36.4 (10.4)). A total of 178 (42%) participants were physicians, 103 (24%) were nurses, 75 (18%) were physiotherapists and 68 (16%) were classified as “other” (i.e., occupational therapists, pharmacists, clinical psychologists). In total, 193 (46%) were employed in public inpatient settings, 142 (33%) in private outpatient settings, 67 (16%) in private inpatient settings, 14 (3.3%) in COVID-19 units and 8 (1.9%) in emergency departments. More than 50% of participants had at least 10 years of work experience. The characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1.

3.2. Depressive, PTSD and Stress Symptoms

Of the participants, 79 (19%) screened positive for clinical depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 score ≥ 10) and 62 (15%) for PTSD symptoms (IES-R score > 33). In total, 106 participants scored above 22.5 in the PSS-10 scale, which corresponds to the top 25% percentile, and were thus classified as experiencing high stress (Table 1). Additionally, 24 participants (5.7%) scored above 1 on the PHQ-9 question on suicide ideation and were thus classified as experiencing suicidality.

3.3. Univariate Associations of Depressive, PTSD and Stress Symptoms with Sex, Age, Personal History of Mental Health Problems and Years of Work Experience

Increased age and male gender were statistically significantly associated with reduced scores in PHQ-9 (beta = −0.09, \( p = 1.83 \times 10^{-5} \)) and IES-R scores (beta = −1.46, \( p = 0.003 \)) respectively) and IES-R scales (beta = −0.17, \( p = 0.005 \) and beta = −6.79, \( p = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \), respectively). A positive personal history of depression was associated with increased intensity of both PTSD and depressive symptoms (beta = 6.41, \( p = 0.003 \) and beta = 3.23, \( p = 0.02 \), respectively). A positive personal history of an anxiety disorder did not associate with either
PTSD or depressive symptoms intensity. Increased self-perceived stress levels were posi-
tively associated with a positive personal history of an anxiety (beta = 4.7, \( p = 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \))
or depressive disorder (beta = 6.4, \( p = 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \)). Additionally, an adverse association
was noted between years of work experience and PHQ-9 score (beta = −0.1, \( p = 2.6 \times
10^{-5} \)), IES-R score (beta = −0.24, \( p = 0.0003 \)) and PSS scores (beta = −0.16, \( p = 5 \times 10^{-7} \)).
Kruskal–Wallis tests were also performed and showed comparably significant \( p \)-values.

**Table 1. Characteristics of study participants.**

| Variable                  | Total Sample N = 424 | Physicians N = 178 | Nurses N = 103 | Physiotherapists N = 75 | Other N = 68 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| **Occupation**            |                      |                    |               |                         |              |
| Sex                       | 248 (58%)            | 90 (51%)           | 64 (62%)      | 40 (53%)                | 54 (79%)     |
| Female                    | 176 (42%)            | 88 (49%)           | 39 (38%)      | 35 (47%)                | 14 (21%)     |
| Age                       | 38.8 (11.4)          | 43.9 (12.6)        | 34.7 (7.59)   | 38.2 (9.24)             | 32.2 (8.9)   |
| **Work sector**           |                      |                    |               |                         |              |
| Inpatient public sector   | 193 (46%)            | 87 (49%)           | 67 (65%)      | 10 (13%)                | 29 (43%)     |
| Private outpatient sector | 142 (33%)            | 54 (30%)           | 4 (3.9%)      | 58 (77%)                | 26 (38%)     |
| Inpatient private sector  | 67 (16%)             | 31 (17%)           | 20 (19%)      | 7 (9.3%)                | 9 (13%)      |
| COVID-19 unit             | 8 (1.9%)             | 1 (0.6%)           | 5 (4.9%)      | 0 (0%)                  | 2 (2.9%)     |
| Emergency department      | 14 (3.3%)            | 5 (2.8%)           | 7 (6.8%)      | 0 (0%)                  | 2 (2.9%)     |
| **Years of work experience** |                |                    |               |                         |              |
| <5                        | 84 (20%)             | 29 (16%)           | 15 (15%)      | 9 (12%)                 | 31 (46%)     |
| ≥5 <10                    | 96 (23%)             | 27 (15%)           | 43 (42%)      | 16 (21%)                | 10 (15%)     |
| >10                       | 244 (58%)            | 122 (69%)          | 45 (44%)      | 50 (67%)                | 27 (40%)     |
| **Educational level**     |                      |                    |               |                         |              |
| Associate Degree          | 18 (4.2%)            | 3 (1.7%)           | 1 (1.0%)      | 0 (0%)                  | 14 (21%)     |
| Bachelor’s                | 176 (42%)            | 71 (40%)           | 37 (36%)      | 38 (51%)                | 30 (44%)     |
| Master’s                  | 181 (43%)            | 63 (35%)           | 64 (62%)      | 36 (48%)                | 18 (26%)     |
| Doctoral                  | 49 (12%)             | 41 (23%)           | 1 (1.0%)      | 1 (1.3%)                | 6 (8.8%)     |
| **PHQ-9 score ≥ 10**      | 79 (19%)             | 21 (12%)           | 27 (26%)      | 12 (16%)                | 19 (28%)     |
| IES-R score > 33          | 62 (15%)             | 13 (7.3%)          | 26 (25%)      | 8 (11%)                 | 15 (22%)     |
| IES-R total               | 17 (14)              | 14 (13)            | 22 (16)       | 16 (12)                 | 21 (15)      |
| PSS-10 score              | 18 (7)               | 16 (7)             | 19 (6)        | 18 (7)                  | 21 (8)       |
| **Stress levels (IQR)**   |                      |                    |               |                         |              |
| low                       | 109 (26%)            | 60 (34%)           | 17 (17%)      | 20 (27%)                | 12 (18%)     |
| medium                    | 209 (49%)            | 9 (52%)            | 55 (53%)      | 32 (43%)                | 29 (43%)     |
| high                      | 106 (25%)            | 25 (14%)           | 31 (30%)      | 23 (31%)                | 27 (40%)     |

1 Statistics presented: n/N (%); mean (SD), PHQ-9: the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire, IES-R: the Impact of Events Scale Revised, PSS-10: the-10 item Perceived Stress Scale, IQR: Interquartile range.

3.4. Regression Analysis for Predicting Prevalence of Depressive, PTSD and Stress Symptoms

We performed logistic regression analyses, adjusted for sex and age, in order to cal-
culate the prevalence ratio of depressive and PTSD symptoms and perceived stress level
(we used the dichotomized categorial variables using the cutoff threshold of PHQ-9 ≥ 10,
IES-R > 33 and upper quartile for PSS-10, respectively). Covariates evaluated were occu-
pation, if participants provided care for COVID-19 patients and work setting (private vs.
public) (Table 2). Adjusted prevalence ratio (OR (95% CI)) was higher for nurses when
compared to physicians, for depression 1.7 (1.06–2.73); \( p = 0.035 \), and PTSD 2.51 (1.49–4.23);
\( p = 0.001 \).
Table 2. Logistic regression analyses for predicting prevalence of depressive, PTSD and stress symptoms.

| PHQ-9 Score ≥ 10 OR (95% CI) | IES-R > 33 OR (95% CI) | PSS-10 Score Upper Quartile OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Adjusted * p-Value *        | Adjusted p-Value *     | Adjusted p-Value *                 |
| Physicians (reference)      | 1                      | 1                                 | 1                                 |
| Nurses                      | 1.70 (1.06–2.73)       | 2.51 (1.49–4.23)                  | 1.17 (0.57–2.39)                  |
| Physiotherapist             | 1.18 (0.66–2.1)        | 1.27 (0.64–2.52)                  | 1.29 (0.6–2.8)                    |
| Other                       | 1.59 (0.93–2.7)        | 1.8 (0.99–3.25)                   | 1.66 (0.8–3.43)                   |
| Care for COVID-19 patients  |                        |                                   |                                   |
| No (reference)              | 1                      | 1                                 | 1                                 |
| Yes                         | 1.08 (0.91–1.28)       | 1.22 (1.01–1.48)                  | 0.99 (0.79–1.25)                  |
| Work setting                |                        |                                   |                                   |
| Public (reference)          | 1                      | 1                                 | 1                                 |
| Private                     | 1.29 (0.76–2.19)       | 0.70 (0.44–1.11)                  | 0.97 (0.66–1.44)                  |

OR (95% CI): Odds Ratio and 95% Confidence Interval, * adjusted for age and sex.

4. Discussion

In this report, we presented HCWs’ mental health and associated risk factors in the Republic of Cyprus during the COVID-19 pandemic. Most of the published studies on HCWs’ mental distress were conducted in Asian countries, mainly China, as well as in Italy and Spain [5]. To our knowledge, this is the first study in HCWs in a country with a relatively low SARS-CoV-2 burden. Specifically, approximately 19% of participants reported clinically relevant depressive symptoms, while 15% reported PTSD symptoms. Predictors of increased intensity of depressive and PTSD symptoms were female sex (estimates between 1.46 to 6.79 lower for men), younger age (estimates between 0.09 to 0.17 lower for each year decrease) and being a nurse versus other healthcare professions (ORs between 1.7–2.51).

These results are in line with previous reports from countries with a high prevalence of SARS-CoV-2. In particular, the magnitude of the pandemic was associated with increased psychological distress in HCWs in the Hubei province of China, who were reported to be at a high risk for depressive symptoms [4]. However, the impact on their mental health was comparable to the one reported herein, as a prevalence of approximately 14.8% of clinical depressive symptoms and 10% of severe PTSD symptoms in Chinese WCHs using the same assessment tools (PHQ 9 and IES-R) was reported, respectively [4].

Other researchers in China reported, in a large sample of physicians and nurses, a frequency of depressive symptoms up to 25%, and of approximately 9% for PTSD symptoms [18]. This study, however, was conducted in emergency department personnel when the pandemic was under control, not at the peak. Interestingly, a study in Singapore reported higher mental distress in non-medical personnel compared to medical (nurses and physicians), and a lower overall prevalence of mental distress than the one reported in previous outbreaks (Tan et al., 2020) [19]. The authors attributed this difference to the readiness of the healthcare system acquired during the previous SARS outbreak [19]. Thus, the high levels of depressive and PTSD symptoms reported in our study might be related to the fact that this was the first outbreak of a contagious disease in Cyprus with no previous experience on a viral epidemic.
One of the few European studies was conducted in 330 HCWs in Italy, via an online questionnaire, and found that female sex, nursing profession and employment in COVID-19 units were associated with increased risk for emotional exhaustion [5]. Moreover, although the researchers used a shorter version of the IES-R tool, they reported higher levels of PTSD symptoms, i.e., up to 36% compared to the present findings. This difference may be attributed to the fact that in this Italian study, the majority of participants were employed in COVID-19 units and thus directly confronted with the COVID-19 disease.

The present study showed that females and nurses reported increased mental distress during the pandemic. This is in line with data from the three major viral outbreaks of the last 20 years [5,18,20]. A possible explanation for this may be provided by the fact that nurses have direct and longer contact with patients. A review and meta-analysis by Serrano-Ripoll et al. (2020) [21] identified female sex, younger age, lack of support, stigma and occupational parameters as risk factors for mental health deterioration in HCWs during epidemic outbreaks [21]. Nevertheless, previous data associate the proximity of care during the pandemic with mental distress in HCWs, since frontline personnel with direct contact with patients with COVID-19 has been identified as being more vulnerable to anxiety and depressive symptoms [22]. Moreover, personal characteristics of HCWs, i.e., fear of infection, personal psychiatric history, limited time to rest, diminished ability to care for family and challenging decision-making, have been previously associated with increased risk for developing stress, depressive and trauma-like symptoms [5,22]. Some of the aforementioned factors were not included in the present study. Nevertheless, future quantitative studies need to include data on distress due to family concerns, workload, degree of satisfaction with personal protective equipment or education provided in relation to pandemic management.

We also found that approximately 6% of responders exhibited suicide ideation, one of the most alarming symptoms of mental distress. Increased suicide rates were reported in the general population during the SARS epidemic [23,24] as well as in HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic [25,26]. It is already known that HCWs are in high risk for suicidal behavior [27]. In fact, the only study published on suicide during the COVID-19 pandemic reported that 6.5% of 8817 hospital HCWs in China reported suicidal and self-harm ideation [26], yet the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on suicidal ideation remains to be clarified as there are no longitudinal data. Nevertheless, based on the results of the present study, one may underline the necessity of screening tests and preventive strategies toward vulnerable HCWs to secure mental wellbeing among them. A comprehensive approach that considers multiple health priorities at the mental, psychological and physical level during this crisis is proposed to empower the entire healthcare work group.

Another finding of the present study was that HCWs with fewer years of work experience exhibited PTSD and depressive symptoms more frequently. This finding suggests that the more experienced the HCWs, the less mentally distressing experiences they report; this is supported by previous literature [20] and might be explained as the result of acquisition of resilience and development of adaptive coping mechanisms through the years of work.

The timing of data collection at the peak of the epidemic is a strength of the study, whereas the limitations include the cross-sectional design, the self-assessment of the HCWs’ mental health, the post-hoc and arbitrary definition of stress levels (based on quartiles of PSS-10 scores), the dynamic nature of the pandemic and the fact that additional confounders may exist which have not been considered in the present study, such as pre-existing burnout or high moral stress. The recruitment methods cannot exclude the introduction of some form of selection bias in the study sample, which could jeopardize generalizability of results. Specifically, non-uniform sampling methods were used for different categories of HCWs. However, the characteristics of the HCWs in this study are similar to those reported in previous studies in isolated professional groups in Cyprus (i.e., association of nurses, Cyprus Medical Association, professional body physiotherapists), in terms of male/female ratio, age, educational level and years of experience [28–30], which allows us to suggest that our findings could well be generalizable to the HCWs in Cyprus. Finally, as symptoms
of PTSD usually manifest themselves after the traumatic experience occurs, the current study cannot identify the full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, and longitudinal studies are needed. This was previously reported in the SARS epidemic [31]. Thus, further research is warranted to track the dynamic changes of HCWs’ mental health status. Nevertheless, the present data do provide a robust view of the mental health status of HCWs during the peak of the pandemic.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, these findings contribute to the growing literature on the mental health distress of HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic. The current study demonstrated that a considerable number of HCWs reported clinical depressive and PTSD symptoms, revealing the necessity for preventive and supportive measures toward HCWs, even in countries with a relatively SARS-CoV-2 burden, such as the Republic of Cyprus.
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