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Blocking the primary stages of infection, namely bacterial attachment to host cell receptors and colonization of the mucosal surface, may be the most effective strategy to prevent bacterial infections. Bacterial attachment usually involves an interaction between a bacterial surface protein called an adhesin and the host cell receptor. Recent preclinical vaccine studies with the FimH adhesin (derived from uropathogenic Escherichia coli) have confirmed that antibodies elicited against an adhesin can impede colonization, block infection, and prevent disease. The studies indicate that prophylactic vaccination with adhesins can block bacterial infections. With recent advances in the identification, characterization, and isolation of other adhesins, similar approaches are being explored to prevent infections, from otitis media and dental caries to pneumonia and sepsis.

The ultimate aim of any vaccine is to produce long-term protective immune responses against a pathogen. These responses include systemic humoral antibodies that neutralize invasive organisms and cytotoxic T cells, which are required to clear certain infections, particularly chronic viral infections such as HIV (1). Helper T-cell and cytokine responses also influence humoral and cellular immune responses (2). For most bacteria and viruses, the first encounter with their host involves attachment to a eukaryotic cell surface, which results in colonization of the host prior to disease. In such cases, induced antibody responses at the mucosal surface could prevent attachment and abrogate colonization. The ideal target for such antibodies—surface proteins known as adhesinsmediate microbial attachment to host tissue (3). We review recent advances in the identification, isolation, and purification of adhesins (and putative adhesins) that could serve as vaccines to elicit such responses. Studies of at least one adhesin, the FimH protein from uropathogenic Escherichia coli, show that anti-adhesin antibodies can block microbial attachment and subsequent disease. Furthermore, while specific induction of immune responses along the mucosal surface by mucosal immunization may have its advantages (4-7), the FimH studies demonstrate that immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies alone, which transudate into secretions after parenteral vaccination with the FimH adhesin, are sufficient to block colonization and infection.

The Role of Adhesins in Microbial Pathogenesis

To initiate infection, bacterial pathogens must first be able to colonize an appropriate target tissue of the host (8,9). This tropism (ability to gain access to a niche within the body), in association with the ability of the bacterium to breach mucosal barriers and invade the host, distinguishes pathogenic from commensal organisms. Colonization begins with the attachment of the bacterium to receptors expressed by cells forming the lining of the mucosa (Figure 1A, 1B). Certain species of bacteria are restricted in terms of the hosts and tissues they infect and the diseases they cause. In many cases, tropism for specific tissues has been corroborated in the laboratory by in vitro binding assays with isolated epithelial cells collected from sites of infection or from infection-prone hosts.

Attachment is mediated by adhesin proteins; bacterial lectins are the most common type of adhesin among both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria (3,10-12). Adhesins, such as the
Figure 1. Four mechanisms of bacterial adherence where anti-adhesin vaccines could potentially block colonization and infection. A shows pili or fibrillae protruding from the bacterial surface. These proteinaceous appendages bind to host cell surface molecules, usually carbohydrates, by adhesin proteins located at the distal tip of the pilus/fibrillar organelle. Antibodies targeting the adhesin protein block the bacterial/host interaction. B demonstrates a similar process of bacterial/epithelial cell interactions mediated by afimbrial adhesin proteins. In this case, antibodies directed against the bacterial surface proteins should also block attachment and colonization by impeding the ability of the bacteria to associate with mucosal tissues. C illustrates that some bacteria establish intimate associations with eukaryotic cells by intimin proteins, resulting in cytoskeletal rearrangements, host cell signaling, possible internalization of the bacteria, and in many cases systemic disease. Blocking the intimate association/adherence may also be another strategy to prevent bacterial infections. D shows a novel mechanism whereby bacteria secrete their own receptor protein, which is internalized by the target host cell, phosphorylated, and embedded in the eukaryotic cell as a new receptor for tight binding by the bacterium. Theoretically, blocking the secreted receptor (Hp90) before it is internalized by the host cell could provide another mechanism to block bacterial adherence and infection.

FimH adhesin produced by most *Enterobacteriaceae* (including uropathogenic *E. coli*), are highly conserved proteins (13). This lack of major variation is most likely due to the requirement that all pathogenic strains recognize invariant host receptors. Although minor changes in the adhesin protein have been observed (2% divergence) and correlate with decreased or increased affinity for binding to sugars (14), antibodies against a single FimH protein cross-react with >90% of *E. coli* strains expressing the FimH adhesin and block binding to bladder cells in vitro (15,16). Furthermore, antibodies against FimH from a single isolate protect against in vivo
colonization by >90% of uropathogenic strains in a murine model for cystitis (unpub. obs.). This high degree of antigenic conservation is another reason why adhesins may serve as ideal vaccines.

Aside from mediating colonization of the host, bacterial attachment often results in the up-regulation or expression of many other virulence genes encoding various proteins that allow for invasion of the host (Figure 1C) (17-23). The proteins can mediate tighter associations with epithelial cells, trigger epithelial cell–actin filament rearrangements, and induce changes in host-cell signaling and function. In some cases, the bacteria may also secrete a protein that inserts into mammalian cells and serves as a receptor for its own intimate adherence with the host (Figure 1D) (24). Given that cross-talk between pathogenic bacteria and host cells after microbial attachment may trigger expression of virulence factors leading to local inflammation or invasive disease, vaccines that block bacterial attachment may have multiple advantages.

Many studies have demonstrated the utility of vaccines against bacterial surface components in blocking attachment in vitro as well as in vivo (25-28). However, as understanding of the mechanisms of attachment has evolved and characterization of specific adhesin molecules has been refined, new opportunities have emerged for the development of adhesin-based vaccines.

**Table 1. Adhesins of gram-negative bacteria**

| Adhesin          | Strain                  | Ligand                                | Reference  |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Pili familya     |                         |                                       |            |
| PapG             | *Escherichia coli*      | Gala(1-4)Gal in globoseries of glycolipids | Hultgren (33) |
| SfaS             | *E. coli*               | a-sialyl-2,3-b-galactose              |            |
| FimH             | *E. coli*               | Mannose-oligosaccharides              |            |
| HifE             | *Haemophilus influenzae*| Sialylyganglioside-GM1                |            |
| PrsG             | *E. coli*               | Gala(1-4)Gal in globoseries of glycolipids |            |
| MrkD             | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | Type V collagen                       |            |
| FHA              | *Bordetella pertussis*  | Sulfated sugars on cell-surface      | Brennan (34) |
| Pertactin        | *B. pertussis*          | Integrins                            | Brennan (34) |
| HMW1/HMW2        | *H. influenzae*         | Human epithelial cells                | St. Gme (35) |
| Hia              | *H. influenzae*         | Human conjunctival cells              | Barenkamp (36) |
| Leb-binding adhesin | *Helicobacter pylori* | Fucosylated Leb histo-blood group antigens | Iiver (37) |

*aRepresentative examples from the large family of pili-associated adhesins.
FHA, filamentous hemagglutinin; HMW, high molecular weight; Hia, *H. influenzae* adhesin

**Bacterial Adhesins: Gram-Negative Organisms**

One of the best understood mechanisms of bacterial adherence is attachment mediated by cell surface structures called pili or fimbriae. Pili are long, flexible structures that extend outward from the bacterial surface of many species of bacteria and allow for contact between the bacteria and the host cell. Originally pili were thought to be homopolymeric structures composed of approximately 1,000 copies of a single structural subunit (fimbrin) packed in a helical array. However, for many pili, such as the highly characterized Type 1 and Pap pili expressed on *E. coli* and other *Enterobacteriaceae*, they are heteropolymeric structures with minor tip fibrillae proteins located at the distal end of the organelle (11). The specific interaction with receptor architectures on host cell surfaces is mediated by one of these tip proteins, called adhesins. For example, FimH adhesin mediates attachment to α-D-mannosides (29,30) by type 1 pili, while PapG mediates binding to α-D-gal(1-4)β-D-Gal-containing receptors on host cells by Pap pili (31,32) (Table 1). The specificity of interaction may be involved in conferring tropism to the bladder and kidney tissues, respectively (33,38). Pili-associated adhesins have been identified in a number of other bacteria as well (Table 1).

Not all adhesins are associated with pili. *Bordetella pertussis* expresses at least two
putative adhesins on its surface: filamentous hemagglutinin (FHA) and pertactin (34). FHA is thought to mediate attachment to sulfated sugars on cell-surface glycoconjugates, although it may also have other properties. Pertactin is thought to mediate binding by the Arg-Gly-Asp triplet binding sequence characteristic of integrin-binding proteins, although the role of this binding activity in the pathogenesis of Bordetella infections is unclear. Both FHA and pertactin are components in the recently approved acellular pertussis vaccine.

In Haemophilus influenzae, two families of nonpilus adhesins have been identified: high-molecular weight adhesion proteins (HMW1 and HMW2) and immunogenic high molecular-weight surface-exposed proteins, the prototypic member of which has been designated Hia for H. influenzae adhesin (35). Both families are expressed by nontypable H. influenzae, which colonize the respiratory tract and cause such diseases as otitis media, pneumonia, and bronchitis. The HMW proteins, which share homology with the B. pertussis FHA protein, mediate specific attachment of H. influenzae to different types of human epithelial cells in vitro and have been implicated in directing respiratory tract tropism for these organisms. The Hia protein, in contrast, mediates tight association with human conjunctival cells and is present only in H. influenzae strains deficient in HMW1/HMW2 expression (36). Given the dichotomy among nontypable strains expressing either HMW or Hia-like adhesins and the serologic conservation at least among the HMW1 and HMW2 proteins, a vaccine based on a combination of such proteins may be protective against disease caused by most nontypable H. influenzae.

Another nonpilus adhesin has been identified and purified from Helicobacter pylori. The adhesin, called Leb-binding adhesin, mediates bacterial adherence to fucosylated Lewis b (Leb) histoblood group antigens, which are expressed along the mucosal surface of the gastric epithelium (37). The Leb-binding adhesin may be involved in conferring tropism for stomach epithelium and allowing pathogenic bacteria to establish an ecologic niche within the gastrointestinal tract. In association with other virulence determinants expressed by H. pylori in the stomach, the colonization process ultimately results in ulcer formation.

A unique mechanism has been identified by which certain strains of enteropathogenic E. coli that cause severe diarrhea target cells for attachment: Enteropathogenic E. coli express and insert their own receptor (Hp90) into mammalian cell surfaces, thereby allowing the bacteria to attach and establish intimate contact with the epithelial cells (24) (Figure 1D). Although a bacterially encoded receptor for a cognate adhesin protein ( intimin), Hp90 is expressed and secreted by enteropathogenic E. coli before colonization; therefore, it may also serve as a target for vaccine development.

Bacterial Adhesins: Gram-Positive Organisms

Some of the most well-characterized colonization factors in gram-positive bacteria—the polypeptides of the antigen I/II family—bind to salivary glycoproteins in a lectinlike interaction (11) and promote adhesion to the tooth surface (Table 2). These proteins include the original AgI/II from Streptococcus mutans, also known as SpaP, P1, or PAc, and the Streptococcus sobrinus SpaA and PAg proteins (39). Streptococcus gordonii expresses two antigen I/II polypeptides, SspA and SspB, products of tandem chromosomal genes (39).

Surface proteins of the antigen I/II family contain alanine-rich repeats, which adopt an α-helical coiled-coil structure, proline rich repeats, and a carboxy-terminal region that includes the gram-positive cell wall anchor motif LPXTG (12,49). Binding activity to salivary glycoprotein has been attributed to both the highly conserved alanine rich repeats (50,51) and the proline-rich repeating sequences (52). In addition to salivary glycoprotein binding activity, SspA has been implicated in coaggregation of S. gordonii with Actinomyces (39). Such bacterial coaggregation may be involved in dental plaque formation.

Two other S. gordonii proteins, CshA and CshB, have also been implicated in coaggregation with Actinomyces. These adhesins may play a role in adherence of S. gordonii to immobilized fibronectin in vitro (52) and in colonization in vivo (40).

Staphylococcus aureus also expresses fibronectin-binding adhesins. Two genes encoding for fibronectin-binding proteins have been identified in S. aureus—fna and fnb (41). Fibronectin binding activity is critical in
pathogenesis because it allows the bacteria to adhere to extracellular matrix components including fibronectin and collagen (53). This can result in cutaneous infections and in life-threatening bacteremia and endocarditis (54).

Binding to fibronectin is also essential for the attachment of *S. pyogenes* to respiratory endothelial cells. *S. pyogenes*, a group A streptococcus, has been implicated in various diseases from skin and throat infections to sepsis and shock (55). This binding activity is mediated by several fibronectin binding proteins. Six different genes encoding proteins with fibronectin binding activity have been identified (12). The most well characterized are two closely related proteins, SfbI (42) and Protein F (43). Both proteins are directly involved in the fibronectin-mediated adherence to epithelial cells.

Another group of extensively studied streptococcal adhesins is the LraI family of proteins. Included in this group are FimA from *S. parasanguis*, PsaA from *S. pneumoniae*, ScaA from *S. gordonii*, ScbA from *S. crista*, SsaB from *S. sanguis*, and EfaA from *Enterococcus faecalis* (44,56). These membrane-bound lipoproteins are part of a larger family of ATP-binding cassette metal permeases, involved in the acquisition of manganese (57).

The adhesins FimA and SsaB have high affinity for salivary glycoprotein on tooth surfaces and are involved in colonization of the oral cavity (58). The FimA adhesin for *S. parasanguis* has been localized to the tip of peritrichous surface fimbria on these bacteria (58). FimA is also a major virulence factor of *S. parasanguis* and binds fibrin (44). The ability to bind fibrin has been implicated in the pathogenesis of infective endocarditis.

The *S. pneumoniae* homologue of FimA, PsaA, also has a role in pathogenesis (59,60). While it commonly colonizes the nasopharynx of healthy persons, *S. pneumoniae* is a common pathogen in children and older adults and a leading cause of otitis media, bacterial pneumonia, sepsis, and meningitis. Like its homologues, PsaA may function as an adhesin, according to initial evidence (61). Insertion inactivation of psaA resulted in pneumococcal mutants that exhibited reduced adherence to alveolar epithelial cells in vitro (60). However, the PsaA protein may be a permease involved in the regulation of adherence rather than functioning as an adhesin per se (57,61).

Another pneumococcal protein thought to be an adhesin is CbpA (45) (also known as SpsA [46] and PspC [47]), one of a family of choline binding

---

### Table 2: Adhesins of gram-positive bacteria

| Adhesin | Strain | Ligand | Reference |
|---------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Ag I/II, SpaP | *Streptococcus mutans* | Salivary glycoprotein | Demuth (39) |
| P1, PAc | *Streptococcus gordonii* | Salivary glycoprotein, Actinomyces | |
| SspA, SspB | *Streptococcus sobrinus* | Salivary glycoprotein | McNab (40) |
| CshA, CshB | *S. gordonii* | Actinomyces, fibronectin | Jonsson (41) |
| FnbA, FnbB | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Fibronectin | Talay (42) Hanski (43) |
| SfbI, Protein F | *Streptococcus pyogenes* | Fibronectin | Jenkinson and Lamont (12) |
| LraI family | *Streptococcus parasanguis* | Salivary glycoprotein | |
| FimA | *Streptococcus pneumoniae* | Unknown | Rosenow (45) |
| PsaA | *S. gordonii* | Actinomyces | Hammerschmidt et al. (46) |
| ScaA | *Streptococcus sanguis* | Salivary glycoprotein | Briles et al. (47) |
| SsaB | *Enterococcus faecalis* | Unknown | Smith et al. (48) |
| CbpA/SpsA/PbcA | *S. pneumoniae* | Cytokine activated epithelial and endothelial cells, IgA | |
proteins (CBPs) (i.e., surface proteins noncovalently associated with the phosphocholine on the lipoteichoic acid). CbpA was initially isolated from a mixture of pneumococcal proteins that were able to bind to a choline affinity column. The CBP mix was purified from a strain with an inactivated pspA gene (45). The exogenous CBP mix inhibited adherence of pneumococci to type II pneumocytes and endothelial cells in vitro, suggesting that one or more of these proteins may act as adhesins. CbpA was the most abundant component in this mix and was shown to be on the surface of intact pneumococci in an indirect fluorescent-labeling assay. CbpA is thought to mediate adherence of S. pneumoniae to sialic acid and lacto-N-neotetraose ligands present on cytokine-activated epithelial and endothelial cells in vitro (45). cbpA-defective mutants did not colonize the nasopharynx of infant rats, further supporting its function as an adhesin and potential usefulness as an adhesin-based vaccine (45). In addition, others have shown that this choline binding protein (which they called SpsA) has IgA-binding properties, though the relevance of this function to pneumococcal pathogenesis is unclear (46). Yet another group has demonstrated complement protein C3-binding activity for this protein, which they termed PbcA (48). Whatever its role in pathogenesis, we have demonstrated that the gene for CbpA (SpsA/PbcA/PspC) is highly conserved among the most common pneumococcal isolates, further enhancing its use as a vaccine candidate (62).

Adhesins as Vaccines: FimH as a Paradigm for Adhesin-Based Vaccines To Block Colonization

One of the key aspects of proving the potential efficacy of an adhesin-based vaccine in vivo is the development of an animal model of disease that relies on bacterial colonization of the mucosal epithelium mediated by the specific adhesin of interest. Although seemingly straightforward, testing for protection in small animal models of disease is difficult for various reasons: large doses of in vitro grown bacteria are required to establish mucosal colonization in animals, which does not necessarily mimic the course of infection in humans; specific glycoprotein receptors for some adhesins are lacking in animal mucosal tissues that correspond to the site of colonization in humans; and some bacterial adhesins that are usually expressed as part of a larger structure on the bacterial cell surface (e.g., tip adhesins associated with whole pili) are difficult to purify. Despite these difficulties, adhesin-based vaccines have demonstrated efficacy in protecting against infection, thus proving the usefulness of such molecules as subunit vaccines. Research using the FimH adhesin from E. coli provides one such example.

Type 1 pili have long been implicated in bacterial urinary tract infections in humans (63,64). In a murine cystitis model, colonization of the bladder by E. coli was shown to depend on growth conditions that favored expression of type 1 pili and in particular required FimH (15,65). Thus, the murine model was a valid small-animal model to prove whether adhesin-based vaccines might block colonization.

Although purifying large amounts of pilus-associated adhesin is difficult (because most adhesins are proteolytically degraded when expressed as independent moieties), Hultgren et al. demonstrated that the FimH adhesin could be stabilized in an active conformation by the periplasmic chaperone FimC, making it possible to purify full-length FimH protein. Vaccination with the FimCH complex elicited long-lasting immune responses to FimH. Sera from mice vaccinated with the FimH vaccine inhibited uropathogenic strains of E. coli from binding to human bladder cells in vitro. Vaccination with the FimH adhesin-vaccine reduced in vivo colonization of the bladder mucosa by >99% in the murine cystitis model (15). Furthermore, the FimH vaccine protected against colonization and disease by uropathogenic strains of E. coli capable of expressing multiple adhesins. IgG specific for FimH was detected in the urine of protected mice, consistent with our original hypothesis that antibodies directed against an adhesin protein might protect along the mucosal surface. Subsequent studies in a primate model of cystitis have corroborated these findings (Langermann et al., unpub. data). Furthermore, in primate studies we demonstrated a direct correlation between the presence of inhibitory antibodies in secretions and protection against colonization and infection.

While IgG antibodies elicited against adhesins are protective, induction of immune responses along the mucosa can be augmented
by a variety of antigen delivery systems that specifically target mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue and activate the mucosal immune system (4-7,66). These delivery systems include whole-inactivated or live-attenuated bacterial and viral vectors, biodegradable microspheres, liposomes, transgenic plants, and antigens conjugated to or coadministered with the cholera toxin B subunit or attenuated forms of heat labile toxin from *E. coli*. Many of these systems hold promise for future vaccine strategies, but only a few have been tested in humans for safety and adjuvanticity. As these mucosal adjuvants progress further toward approval for use in humans, testing should be done with adhesin antigens to determine if induction of local immune responses enhances the protective efficacy of adhesin-based vaccines as compared with conventional parenteral vaccination. Such studies are under way with the FimH vaccine.

Given the preclinical data with the FimH vaccine, similar efforts should be directed at developing adhesin-based vaccines for a wide range of pathogens. In this regard, additional efforts should also be focused on developing mucosal models of infection. The availability of such models should allow for appropriate screening of adhesin-based vaccines to prevent infections by streptococci, staphylococci, and other pathogens for which vaccine coverage is absent or inadequate.
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