Why compromise the patella? Five-year follow-up results of medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction with soft tissue patellar fixation
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Abstract

Purpose This study investigates the redislocation rate and functional outcome at a minimum follow-up of five years after medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) reconstruction with soft tissue patellar fixation for patella instability.

Methods Patients were retrospectively identified and knees were evaluated for trochlea dysplasia according to Dejour, for presence of patella alta and for presence of cartilage lesion at surgery. At a minimum follow-up of five years, information about an incident of redislocation was obtained. Kujala, Lysholm, and Tegner questionnaires as well as range of motion were used to measure functional outcome.

Results Eighty-nine knees were included. Follow-up rate for redislocation was 79.8% and for functional outcome 58.4%. After a mean follow-up of 5.8 years, the redislocation rate was 5.6%. There was significant improvement of the Kujala score (68.8 to 88.2, \( p = 0.000 \)) and of the Lysholm score (71.3 to 88.4, \( p = 0.000 \)). Range of motion at follow-up was 149.0° (115–165). 77.5% of the knees had patella alta and 52.9% trochlear dysplasia types B, C, or D. Patellar cartilage lesions were present in 54.2%. Redislocations occurred in knees with trochlear dysplasia type C in combination with patella alta.

Conclusion MPFL reconstruction with soft tissue patellar fixation leads to significant improvement of knee function and low midterm redislocation rate. Patients with high-grade trochlear dysplasia should be considered for additional osseous correction.

Keywords MPFL · Medial patellofemoral ligament · Patella instability · Patella dislocation · Trochlear dysplasia · Patella alta

Introduction

Lateral patella dislocation occurs in 5.8 to 77.8 of 100,000 patients per year [1, 2]. Without surgical treatment redislocation occurs in up to 40–70% [3, 4]. Lateral patella instability can lead to severe functional impairment of the affected knee and recurrent dislocation increases the risk of patellofemoral osteoarthritis [5, 6].

Dejour et al. described trochlea dysplasia (TD) as the pathognomonic feature of patella instability [7]. The biomechanical study by Senavongse and Amis described the complex interaction of the patella stabilizing structures such as the musculus vastus medialis obliquus, the trochlea, and the medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) [8]. Next to TD, patella alta quantified by the Caton-Deschamps Index (CDI) correlates with the manifestation of patella instability [7]. Increased tuberositas tibiae-tibial groove (TT-TG) distance is another crucial risk factor for patellar instability, which is another means of quantification of patellofemoral alignment [9].

With some restrictions, treatment algorithms advised conservative treatment for first patella dislocation in the past [3, 10]. However, rupture of the MPFL, which typically comes along with first patella dislocation, is considered a major cause for the development of persisting lateral patella instability and recurrent dislocations [11, 12]. MPFL reconstruction improves knee function and reduces the risk of redislocation [13, 14]. Based on this experience, surgical treatment for
patients with predisposing factors of lateral patella instability is increasingly recommended even before redislocation occurs [15]. MPFL reconstruction with autologous tendon grafts therefore has evolved to the standard of care for the treatment of lateral patellar instability [3, 10, 14, 16].

Several techniques for bony fixation of the tendon graft to the patellar insertion site have been described. These techniques require either patellar drilling or bone anchor systems [17]. Patella fracture, foreign body irritation, and implant failure are clinical relevant complications observed with these bony fixation techniques [13, 17, 18].

A soft tissue fixation technique with resorbable sutures, which does not compromise the patellar bony integrity, is favored at the study institution for MPFL reconstruction. With this approach, significant improvement of knee function and a redislocation rate of 3.7% after one year were demonstrated in 2015 [19]. However, to date, it has not been investigated whether this technique provides sufficient patella stability over midterm.

It was hypothesized that the redislocation rate for MPFL reconstruction with soft tissue patellar fixation is comparable with the results of osseous patellar fixation described in the literature. It was also investigated whether there is significant functional improvement after a minimum five year follow-up.

Methods

Patient inclusion and exclusion

This study was approved by the institution’s ethics review board (IRB-Number 147/16-ge). The electronic database of the study institution was retrospectively scanned for patella dislocations treated with MPFL reconstruction with an autologous gracilis tendon graft and soft tissue patellar fixation performed between 2010 and 2012. In patients with significant misalignment, such as a TT-TG > 20 mm, MPFL reconstruction was combined with tuberosity transfer. Since the aim was to investigate the results after isolated MPFL reconstruction, these patients were excluded. Further exclusion criteria were syndromal underlying diseases, previous total knee arthroplasty before follow-up. Population. Follow-up questionnaires were received for 50 knees (79.8%) in 68 patients, which represent the investigated population. Follow-up questionnaires were received for 50 knees (70.4%) (Fig. 4).

Eighty-nine patients were included. Information on post-operative redislocation was obtained for 71 of 89 knees (79.8%) in 68 patients, which represent the investigated population. Follow-up questionnaires were received for 50 knees (70.4%) (Fig. 4).

Parameters assessed

For patients’ characteristics, history of previous operations on the affected knee was extracted from the patient charts. Presence of cartilage lesion with description of location and classification according to Outerbridge was tabulated from the surgical reports at MPFL reconstruction [20]. CDI and the type of TD according to Dejour et al. were evaluated on pre-operative radiographs and MRIs [7]. The methods are depicted in Figs. 2 and 3.

For outcome, patients were invited for follow-up examination between January and December 2017. At this follow-up, patients completed the questionnaires on the current and pre-operative Kujala, Lysholm, and Tegner score. Range of motion was measured. The patients were asked about subsequent surgeries and events of redislocations. Patients who failed to appear for examination were contacted by telephone.

Statistics

Parameters are depicted by mean and range. For the reference redislocation rate of osseous patellar graft fixation reported in the literature, we referred to the recent review article by Song et al. [21]. Pre-operative to follow-up scores were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Correlation of categorical parameters was calculated with Cramer’s V and of ordinal with metric parameters with Spearman rank correlation. Only sample sizes with $N > 5$ were tested. $p$ was set significant at $< 0.05$. Statistics were conducted with SPSS 23 (SPSS Inc. USA).

Results

Eighty-nine patients were included. Information on post-operative redislocation was obtained for 71 of 89 knees (79.8%) in 68 patients, which represent the investigated population. Follow-up questionnaires were received for 50 knees (70.4%) (Fig. 4).

38.0% were knees of male patients. Mean age at surgery was 20.9 years (10–47). Growth plates were closed in 76.1%. The left knee was affected in 47.9%. 77.5% knees had no history of previous surgery. 18.3% had previous medial reefing and lateral release. 4.2% of knees had other previous operations: one removal of a chondral flake, one refixation, and the other reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament and partial resection of the lateral meniscus. Patellar cartilage lesions were present in 54.2%; of these, 23.1% with grade 1, 35.6% with grade 2, 28.3% with grade 3, and 13.0% with
19.1% of knees were classified to have a normal trochlea, type A TD was observed in 27.9 %, type B was observed in 29.4 %, type C was observed in 19.1%, and 4.4% had TD type D. Another three knees could not be classified (4.4 %). Mean CDI was 1.4 [1, 2]. In 77.5 % of the knees, patella alta (CDI > 1.2) was observed (Table 1).

19.7% (N = 14) of knees were treated after first patella dislocation and all others after recurrent patella dislocation. After a mean follow-up of 5.8 years (69.8 months (59–86)), the redislocation rate was 5.6%. Redislocations occurred within 28 months after the procedure. All four occurred exclusively in the 13 knees with TD type C knees with CDI > 1.2. The correlation was highly significant (Cramer’s V 0.542, p = 0.000).

The Kujala and the Lysholm score improved significantly from 68.8 (19–92) (N = 47) and 71.3 (24–100) (N = 48) before MPFL reconstruction to 88.2 (49–100) (N = 47) (p = 0.000) and 88.4 (28–100) (N = 48) (p = 0.000) at follow-up. Tegner score was already low at the time of surgery (5.12 (2–9) (N = 50) and did not significantly change to follow-up (5.0 (2–9) (N = 50) (p = 0.497)) (Fig. 5). Range of motion at follow-up was 149.0° (115–165).

Stratification of TD to mild (normal or type A) or to high (types B, C, and D) did not affect the significant improvement in Kujala and Lysholm score. The same was observed for stratification by selected patient factors such as CDI and grading of patellar cartilage lesions. Improvement was observed in all subgroups, however, not always significant. Table 2 depicts these changes from pre-operative to follow-up for the Kujala score and Table 3 for the Lysholm score. Factors that
did affect pre-operative functional score were the numbers of pre-operative dislocations and a history of preceding surgery for patellar instability. Spearman correlation for a number of pre-operative dislocations was $\rho = -0.4$ for pre-operative Kujala score ($p = 0.003$) and $\rho = -0.3$ for pre-operative Lysholm score ($p = 0.038$). Post-operative functional scores did not correlate significantly ($p = 0.948$ and 0.750). For a history of preceding surgery for patella instability before MPFL reconstruction, Spearman correlation was $\rho = 0.4$ ($p = 0.014$) for pre-operative Kujala and $\rho = 0.2$ ($p = 0.221$) for pre-operative Lysholm score. This factor, a history of surgery for patella instability, significantly correlated with the Lysholm at follow-up score ($\rho = 0.4; p = 0.008$), as well as with the Kujala score at follow-up ($\rho = 0.4; p = 0.005$).

The TD type C with patella alta subgroup did not significantly improve in clinical scores with $p = 0.144$, $p = 0.255$, and $p = 0.180$ for Kujala, Lysholm, and Tegner score, respectively.

![Image of trochlea dysplasia evaluated on axial MRI. Evaluation is performed on the most proximal axial image with the complete cartilaginous trochlea. a Type A in a right knee with a shallow trochlea. b Type B in a right knee with a flat to convex trochlea. c Type C in a left knee with asymmetry of the trochlear facets and hypoplastic medial condyle. d Type D in a right knee with asymmetry of the trochlear facets and the medial facet appears elevated. Note that the patella is laterally dislocated.](image)

**Fig. 3** Examples of trochlea dysplasia evaluated on axial MRI. Evaluation is performed on the most proximal axial image with the complete cartilaginous trochlea. a Type A in a right knee with a shallow trochlea. b Type B in a right knee with a flat to convex trochlea. c Type C in a left knee with asymmetry of the trochlear facets and hypoplastic medial condyle. d Type D in a right knee with asymmetry of the trochlear facets and the medial facet appears elevated. Note that the patella is laterally dislocated.

**Fig. 4** Patient inclusion; ACL anterior cruciate ligament

![Diagram of knee dislocations and additional details.](image)

| 97 knees identified | Excluded N= 8 |
|---------------------|---------------|
| 8 Additional bony procedure N=3 |
| Underlying syndromal disease N=3 |
| Indwelling arthroplasty N=1 |
| Postoperative Arthroplasty N=1 |

| 89 knees included |
|-------------------|
| Lost N= 18 (20%) |

| 71 knees with information on redilocation |
|------------------------------------------|
| Postoperative ACL-Reconstruction N=1 |
| No further information N= 16 |

| 50 knees with questionnaire follow-up |
|--------------------------------------|

**Table 1** Trochlea dysplasia (TD) grouped by Caton-Deschamps Index (CDI)

| TD type | Total n (%) | CDI ≤ 1.2 n (%) | CDI > 1.2 n (%) |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Normal  | 13 (19.1)   | 5 (6.9)         | 8 (11.1)        |
| A       | 19 (27.9)   | 2 (2.8)         | 17 (11.1)       |
| B       | 20 (29.4)   | 6 (8.3)         | 14 (19.4)       |
| C       | 13 (19.1)   | 1 (1.4)         | 12 (16.6)       |
| D       | 3 (4.4)     | 0 (0.0)         | 3 (4.4)         |
| n.c.    | 3 (4.4)     | / *             | 1 (1.4)         |
| Total   | 71 (100)    | 14* (19.7*)     | 55 (77.5)       |

*n.c. not classified; *2 knees (2.8% of total) could neither for CDI nor for TD be classified
Discussion

Isolated MPFL reconstruction resulted in a low post-operative redislocation rate of 5.6% and significant clinical improvement of the knees’ function and patient’s perception. MPFL reconstruction restores the primary stabilization of the patella [11, 12] and is the standard of care for the treatment of lateral patella instability [21]. Osseous fixation techniques of the graft at the patella have been described with suture anchors, interference screws, and different drilling methods. These

Table 2  Comparison of Kujala score pre-operatively and at follow-up stratified to patient factors

|                      | Pre-operatively Mean (range) | Follow-up Mean (range) | p      |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| **Trochlear dysplasia** |                              |                        |        |
| Mild (n = 20)        | 71.8 (30–92)                 | 88.1 (52–100)          | 0.003  |
| High (n = 25)        | 67.48 (19–92)                | 88.24 (49–100)         | 0.000  |
| p                    |                              |                        |        |
| **CDI**              |                              |                        |        |
| ≤ 1.2 (n = 10)       | 66.1 (34–92)                 | 92.3 (51–100)          | 0.007  |
| > 1.2 (n = 36)       | 70.25 (19–92)                | 87.33 (49–100)         | 0.000  |
| p                    |                              |                        |        |
| **Number of pre-operative dislocations** |                      |                        |        |
| 1 (n = 8)            | 81.9 (19–92)                 | 94.8 (86–100)          | 0.071  |
| 2 (n = 9)            | 74.2 (32–92)                 | 85.1 (52–100)          | 0.236  |
| ≥ 3 (n = 29)         | 63.34 (30–90)                | 87.8 (49–100)          | 0.000  |
| p (1 vs > 1)         | 0.006                        | 0.613                  |        |
| **Preceding surgery for pat instability** |                      |                        |        |
| no (n = 36)          | 72.1 (19–92)                 | 91.5 (52–100)          | 0.000  |
| yes (n = 10)         | 57.3 (30–79)                 | 77.2 (49–98)           | 0.011  |
| p                    | 0.016                        | 0.005                  |        |
| **Grading of patellar cartilage lesions** |                      |                        |        |
| < 3 (n = 30)         | 67.7 (30–92)                 | 87.9 (51–100)          | 0.000  |
| ≥ 3 (n = 16)         | 71.5 (19–92)                 | 88.6 (49–100)          | 0.001  |
| p                    | 0.470                        | 0.991                  |        |

Trochlear dysplasia was graded according to Dejour and stratified to mild in case of no or type A and to high in case of types B, C, or D; CDI Caton-Deschmaps Index; cartilage lesions were graded according to Outerbridge; italics indicate significance.
osseous fixation techniques are associated with the risk of implant failure and patella fracture [13, 17]. Although only sparsely discussed in the literature, Hopper et al. reported a patella fracture rate of 5.6% with an interference screw technique [18]. In contrast to these techniques, the investigated soft tissue fixation does not compromise the bone stock of the patella. Instead, the graft is weaved in a u shape through the capsule and the periosteum of the patella and fixed with resorbable sutures. For this quick and easy technique, the current study could demonstrate a low redislocation rate comparable to the one reported for osseous fixation techniques in the literature [14].

Trochlear dysplasia was graded according to Dejour and stratified to mild in case of no or type A and to high in case of types B, C, or D; CDI Caton-Deschamps Index; cartilage lesions were graded according to Outerbridge; italics indicate significance.

### Table 3: Comparison of Lysholm score pre-operatively and at follow-up stratified to patient factors

|                          | Pre-op Mean (range) | Follow-up Mean (range) | $p$  |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|
| Trochlear dysplasia      |                     |                        |      |
| Mild ($n = 21$)          | 73.6 (30–100)       | 88.2 (49–100)          | 0.013|
| High ($n = 25$)          | 71.3 (24–100)       | 87.8 (28–100)          | 0.009|
| CDI                      |                     |                        |      |
| $\leq 1.2$ ($n = 10$)   | 69.1 (30–100)       | 89.8 (28–100)          | 0.093|
| $>1.2$ ($n = 37$)       | 73.1 (24–100)       | 87.86 (49–100)         | 0.001|
| Number of pre-op dislocations |                 |                        |      |
| 1 ($n = 9$)             | 89.3 (24–100)       | 96.1 (84–100)          | 0.715|
| 2 ($n = 9$)             | 73 (34–100)         | 83.9 (49–100)          | 0.312|
| $\geq 3$ ($n = 30$)    | 66.4 (29–100)       | 88.0 (28–100)          | 0.000|
| Preceding surgery for pat. Instability |                |                        |      |
| No ($n = 36$)           | 73.9 (24–100)       | 91.8 (49–100)          | 0.000|
| Yes ($n = 11$)          | 64.7 (36–87)        | 77.73 (28–100)         | 0.100|
| Grading of patellar cartilage lesion |                |                        |      |
| $< 3$ ($n = 30$)        | 70.9 (29–100)       | 89.0 (28–100)          | 0.002|
| $\geq 3$ ($n = 17$)    | 72.0 (24–100)       | 87.33 (60–100)         | 0.011|

This subgroup, the post-operative redislocation rate was 13.8%. Balcarek et al. compared two treatment modalities in knees with severe TD but without patella alta: the knees treated with trochleoplasty and MPFL reconstruction had a redislocation rate of 2.1% whereas the rate for the knees treated with MPFL reconstruction only was 7.0%. But this difference was not significant [14]. Still, the authors recommend to consider trochleoplasty as primary treatment option in knees with severe TD [3]. This study comprises three cases with type D TD. No redislocation was seen in this subgroup, but two of the three patients reported positive apprehension sign in clinical examination. Thus, in knees with severe TD and patella alta, additional bony correction with trochleoplasty should be strongly considered.

There are several limitations to this study. Correctly completed questionnaires were obtained from only 67.6% ($n = 48$). The missing questionnaires were caused by two problems. First is inability to complete the questionnaires and to send them to the study institution, if an appointment for clinical examination was not possible. Second is not matching answer possibilities leading to no, multiple, or incorrect answers. However, statistics resulted in highly significant results and the mean values compare closely to those reported in the literature [14, 22]. Further, a recall bias for the questionnaires retrieving the preoperative condition might be relevant. However, the retrospective assessment of the scores certainly implements the patients’ satisfaction with the procedure.

This study investigated subgroups stratified by the Dejour classification system, which is originally based on
radiographs. High inter- and intra-observer variability needs to be acknowledged for this qualification of TD. Thus, in 53.5% of the knees, the stratification was cross-checked on axial MRIs, which has proven more reproducible results [23].

Although not particularly investigated in the current study, soft tissue fixation does not require intra-operative fluoroscopy for placement control and might even decrease operation time. The soft tissue fixation technique does not produce a bony defect at the patella, which is an advantage of the described soft tissue patellar fixation technique, and thus, implant- or drilling-associated complications are avoided. As shown in the current study, more than half the knees with patella instability have cartilage lesions and thus, future patellar cartilage reconstructive or replacing therapies impede. Under these considerations, MPFL reconstruction with soft tissue patella fixation is a highly reasonable option that after a follow-up of 5.8 years now has proven very low post-operative redislocation rates and significant improvement in knee function scores.

**Conclusion**

MPFL reconstruction with soft tissue patellar fixation leads to very low midterm redislocation rate comparable to the one reported in the literature and to significant improvement of knee function. Patients with high-grade TD should be considered for additional bony correction.
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