Common Fixed Point Theorems for Weakly Subsequentially Continuous Mappings in Modified Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Naeem Salem\(^1,\)*, Said Beloul\(^2\)

\(^1\)Department of Mathematics, University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan
\(^2\)Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, El-Oued University, P.O.Box789, El-Oued 39000, Algeria
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1 Introduction

The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was been introduced by Atanassov [1], it can be considered as a generalization to fuzzy sets concept due to Zadeh [30]. Later Çoker [6] introduced a topology on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Park [21] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces as a generalization to fuzzy metric spaces, which is a combination between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and the concept of a fuzzy metric space given by George and Veeramani [9], many authors established some results concerning fixed point in such spaces, see for example [2, 5, 12, 14, 19, 29].

Meanwhile, jungck[16] defined the concept of compatible mappings. Jungck and Roadhes [17] generalized the last concept to the weakly compatible mappings, which is weaken than the compatible ones. Mishra et al [20] generalized the concept of compatibility in the setting of fuzzy metric spaces, he obtained some common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings in such spaces. Recently Bouhadjera and Godet Tobie [4] introduced the concept of subsequential continuity and utilized it with the concept of subcompatible mappings to establish a common fixed point, later Imdad et al. [13] improved these results and replaced subcompatibility by compatibility and subsequential continuity by reciprocal continuity, more recently, Gopal and Imdad [11] combined subsequential continuous maps with compatible maps concept to obtain some results in fuzzy metric spaces. In present work, we will generalize certain definitions to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces in order to obtain some common fixed point theorems by combining the concept of weakly subsequentially continuous mappings due to second author [3] with compatible of type (E) mappings given by Singh et al. [27, 28].

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. [7] Let \( L^* \) be a non empty set such:

\[ L^* = \{(x_1,x_2) \in [0,1]^2, \ x_1 + x_2 \leq 1\} \]

also define an operation \( \leq_{L^*} \) as follows:

\[ (x_1,x_2) \leq_{L^*} (y_1,y_2) \iff (x_1 \leq x_2) \ \text{and} (y_1 \geq y_2), \]
for all \((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in [0, 1]^2\). So \((L^*, \leq_{L^*})\) is a complete lattice.

**Definition 2.1.** ([1]) We called n intuitionistic fuzzy set \(A_{\zeta, \eta} = \{(\zeta_A(u), \eta_A(u)), u \in U\}\), where \(u \in U\), for all \(u \in U\), \(\zeta_A(u) \in [0, 1]\) and \(\eta_A(u) \in [0, 1]\) are said to be the membership degree and the non-membership degree, respectively, of \(u \in A_{\zeta, \eta}\) and furthermore they satisfy \(\zeta_A(u) + \eta_A(u) \leq 1\).

For every \(z_i = (x_i, y_i) \in L^*\), if \(c_i \in [0, 1]\) such that \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j = 1\), then we have:

\[
z_1(x_1, y_1) + \ldots + z_n(x_n, y_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j(x_j, y_j) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j, \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j y_j\right) \in L^*.
\]

Its units are given by \(0_{L^*} = (0, 1)\) and \(1_{L^*} = (1, 0)\). A triangular norm \(T = *\) on \([0, 1]\) is considered as a commutative, associative and increasing mapping \(T : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]\) such that \(T(1, x) = 1 \ast x = x\), for all \(x \in [0, 1]\). The same, a triangular co-norm \(S = \diamond\) is a commutative, associative and increasing mapping \(S : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]\) such that \(S(0, x) = 0 \circ x = x\), for all \(x \in [0, 1]\).

**Definition 2.2.** ([7, 8]) Let \(T\) be a continuous t-norm on \(L^*\), then \(T\) is a continuous t-representable if and only if there exist a continuous t-norm \(*\) and a continuous t-conorm \(\circ\) on \([0, 1]\) satisfy:

\[
T(x, y) = (x_1 \ast y_1, x_2 \circ y_2),
\]

for all \(x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in L^*\).

Now, let \(\{T_n\}\) be a sequence such that \(T_1 = T\) and for \(n > 1\) and \(x(i) \in L^*\),

\[
T^n(x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n+1)}) = T(T^{n-1}(x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)}), x^{(n+1)}).
\]

**Definition 2.3.** ([8]) A mapping \(T : (L^*)^2 \to L^*\) is called a triangular norm on \(L^*\) if for all \((x, y, z) \in (L^*)^3\), the following conditions hold:

1. \(T(x, 1_{L^*}) = x\).
2. \(T(x, y) = T(y, x)\).
3. \(T(x, T(y, z)) = T((T(x, y)), z)\).
4. \(x \leq_{L^*} x', y \leq_{L^*} y' \implies T(x, y) \leq_{L^*} T(x', y')\), (monotonicity).

**Definition 2.4.** ([8]) An decreasing mapping \(N : L^* \to L^*\) is called a negator on \(L^*\) if it satisfying: \(N(0_{L^*}) = 1_{L^*}\) and \(N(1_{L^*}) = 0_{L^*}\). Further, \(N\) is called an involutive negator if for every \(x \in L^*\) we have \(N(N(x)) = x\).

An decreasing mapping \(N : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]\) is called a negator on \([0, 1]\) if it satisfying \(N(0) = 1\) and \(N(1) = 0\). We denote by \(N\), for the standard negator on \([0, 1]\) which is defined for all \(x \in [0, 1]\) by: \(N_x(x) = 1 - x\).

**Definition 2.5.** ([24]) Let \(M, N : X^2 \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]\) be two fuzzy sets satisfying \(M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1\), for all \(x, y \in X\) and \(t > 0\). The triplet \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) is called to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if \(X\) is an arbitrary and non-empty set, \(T\) is a continuous t-representable and \(M_{M,N}\) is a mapping (an intuitionistic fuzzy set) from \(X^2 \times (0, \infty)\) into \(L^*\) satisfying the following conditions for every \(x, y \in X\) and \(t, s > 0\):

(i) \(M_{M,N}((x, y, .)) : (0, 1) \to L^*\) is continuous,
(ii) \(M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = 1_{L^*}\) if and only if \(x = y\),
(iii) \(M_{M,N}(x, y, t) > 0_{L^*}\),
(iv) \(M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = M_{M,N}(y, x, t)\),
(v) \(M_{M,N}(x, y, t + s) \geq_{L^*} T(M_{M,N}(x, z, t)(x, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, y, t)(z, y, s))\).

**Example 2.1.** ([24]) Let \((X, d)\) be a metric space and \(T(a, b) = (a_1, b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))\) for all \(a = (a_1, a_2)\) and \(b = (b_1, b_2) \in L^*\). \(M\) and \(N\) are to fuzzy sets on \(X^2 \times (0, \infty)\) defined by:

\[
M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = (M(x, y, t), N(x, y, t)) = \left(\frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x, y)}, \frac{md(x, y)}{ht^n + md(x, y)}\right),
\]

for all \(t, h, m, n \in \mathbb{R}_+\). \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) is modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.
Definition 2.6. [24] Let \( M, N \in L^* \) be two fuzzy sets such that:
\[
\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x,y,t) = (M(x,y,t), N(x,y,t)) = \begin{cases}
(\frac{a_1}{a_2}, \frac{b_1}{b_2}), & \text{if } x < y \\
(\frac{a_2}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{b_1}), & \text{if } y < x
\end{cases}
\]

Then \((X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, T)\) is modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.7. [24] Let \((X, M, N, *, \circ)\) be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and let \(\{x_n\}\) be a sequence in \(X\),

1. \(\{x_n\}\) is convergent to some point \(x\) in \(X\), if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x_n, x, t) = 1_{L^*}\), for all \(t > 0\),
2. \(\{x_n\}\) is a Cauchy sequence if, for each \(0 < \varepsilon < 1\) and \(t > 0\), there exists \(n_0\) such that
\[
\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x_n, y_m, t) > (N_\varepsilon, \varepsilon),
\]
and for each \(n, m \geq n_0\), where \(N_\varepsilon\) is the standard negator.
3. \((X, M, N, *, \circ)\) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is convergent.

Lemma 2.2. [24] Let \(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}\) be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric. Then, for any \(t > 0\), \(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(x, y, t)\) is non-decreasing with respect to \(t\) in \((L^*, \leq_{L^*})\), for all \(x, y \in X\).

Definition 2.8. Mappings \(A\) and \(S\) on a modified IFMS \((X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, T)\) are:

1. compatible [24] if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(ASx_n, Sx_n, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]
for all \(t > 0\), whenever \(\{x_n\}\) is a sequence in \(X\) such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z \in X\).
2. non compatible [29] if there exists at least one sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(X\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = x \in X,
\]
but
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(ASx_n, Sx_n, t) \neq 1_{L^*},
\]
or non-existent for at least one \(t > 0\).
3. weakly compatible [24] if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e, if \(Au = Su\) for some \(u \in X\), then \(ASu = SAu\).
4. satisfy the property (EA) [24] if there exists a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(X\) such that for all \(t > 0\)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Ax_n, z, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Sx_n, z, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]
for some \(z \in X\).

Definition 2.9. [19] Two mappings \(A\) and \(S\) of a modified IFMS \((X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, T)\) are reciprocally continuous if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(ASx_n, Az) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Sx_n, Sz, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]
whenever \(\{x_n\}\) is a sequence in \(X\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z,
\]
for some \(z \in X\).
Motivated by [3], we get:

**Definition 2.10.** Mappings \((A \text{ and } S)\) are weakly subsequentially continuous (wsc), if there exists a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) satisfying

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z,
\]

for some \(z \in X\) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, Az, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]
or

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(SAx_n, Sz, t) = 1_{L^*}.
\]

In above definition if we have only

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, Az, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]

then \((A, S)\) is called to be \(A\)-subsequentially continuous.

**Example 2.3.** Let \(X = [0, 2] \text{ and } M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, \frac{|x-y|}{t+|x-y|}\right)\) with \(T(a, b) = (a_1b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))\) for all \(a = (a_1, a_2)\) and \(b = (b_1, b_2)\) in \(L^*\). Define \(A, S\) as follows:

\[
Ax = \begin{cases}
1 + x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
\frac{x+1}{2}, & 1 < x \leq 2
\end{cases}, \quad Sx = \begin{cases}
1 - x, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
2 - x, & 1 < x \leq 2
\end{cases}
\]

It is clear the discontinuity of \(A\) and \(S\) at 1.

Let \(\{x_n\}\) be a sequence in \(X\) defined by: \(x_n = \frac{1}{n}\), for each \(n \geq 1\).

Clearly that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(Ax_n, 1, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(Sx_n, 1, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]

we have again:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, A(1), t) = 1_{L^*}.
\]

Hence \((A, S)\) is \(A\)-subsequentially continuous.

Motivated by [27] [28], define:

**Definition 2.11.** Self maps \(A\) and \(S\) of a modified IFMS \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) are said to be compatible of type \((E)\), if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(S^2 x_n, Az, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(SAx_n, Az, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(A^2 x_n, Sz, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, Sz, t) = 1_{L^*},
\]

whenever \(\{x_n\}\) is a sequence in \(X\) satisfying:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = z,
\]

for some \(z \in X\).

**Definition 2.12.** Two self mappings \(A\) and \(S\) of a modified IFMS \((X, M, *T)\) into itself are said to be \(A\)-compatible of type \((E)\), if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(A^2 x_n, Sz, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, Sz, t) = 1_{L^*}.
\]

Remark that if the pair \((A, S)\) is compatible of type \((E)\), so it is \(S\)-compatible and \(A\)-compatible of type \((E)\), but the converse may be not true.

**Example 2.4.** Let \(X = \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } M_{M,N}(x, y, t) = \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}, \frac{|x-y|}{t+|x-y|}\right)\) with \(T(a, b) = (a_1b_1, \min(a_2 + b_2, 1))\) for all \(a = (a_1, a_2)\) and \(b = (b_1, b_2)\) in \(L^*\). We define \(A, S\) as follows:

\[
Ax = \begin{cases}
2, & 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\
x + 1, & x > 2
\end{cases}, \quad Sx = \begin{cases}
\frac{x+2}{2}, & 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\
0, & x > 2
\end{cases}
\]
Consider the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) which defined by: \( x_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \).

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(Sx_n, 2, t) = 1_{L^*}
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(A^2x_n, S(2), t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(ASx_n, S(2), t) = 1_{L^*}
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(S^2x_n, A(2), t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{M,N}(SAx_n, A(2), t) = 1_{L^*},
\]

then \((A, S)\) is compatible of type \((E)\).

Let \( \Psi \) be the set of all continuous functions \( F : (L^*)^6 \to L^* \) satisfying the conditions:

\( (F_1) \) : For all \( u, v \geq L^*, F(u, v, u, v, v, u) \geq L^* 0_{L^*} \), or \( F(u, v, u, u, v, u) \geq L^* 0_{L^*} \) implies that \( u \geq L^* v \).

\( (F_2) \) : For all \( u, v \geq L^*, F(u, u, 1, u, u) \geq L^* 0_{L^*} \) implies that \( u \geq L^* 1 \),

where \( u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2) \) and \( 1 = 1_{L^*} = (1, 0) \).

Example 2.5.

\[
F(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = 15t_1 - 13t_2 + 5t_3 - 7t_4 + t_5 - t_6
\]

Example 2.6.

\[
F(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \frac{1}{2}t_2 - \frac{5}{6}t_3 + \frac{1}{3}t_4 + t_5 - t_6
\]

3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, \( A, B, S \) are self mappings on \( X \), if

1. the pair \((A, S)\) is compatible of type \((E)\) and weakly subsequentially continuous and,

2. the pair \((B, T)\) is compatible of type \((E)\) and weakly subsequentially continuous.

Hence \((A, S)\) as well as \((B, T)\) have a coincidence point.

Moreover, the mappings \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) have a unique common fixed point provided for all \( x, y \in X \) and \( t > 0 \) we have:

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax, By, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Ax, Sx, t),
M_{M,N}(By, Ty, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, By, t), M_{M,N}(Ty, Ax, t)) \geq L^* 0_{L^*},
\]

(1)

**Proof.** Since the pair \((A, S)\) is wsc, there exists a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z \) for some \( z \in X \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = Az \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_n = Sz \). Again \((A, S)\) is compatible of type \((E)\) implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} A^2x_n = Sz
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} S^2x_n = Az,
\]

consequently we obtain \( Az = Sz \) and \( z \) is a coincidence point for \( A \) and \( S \). Similarly for \( B \) and \( T \), since \((B, T)\) is wsc (suppose that it is \( B\)-subsequentially continuous) there exists a sequence \( \{y_n\} \) such

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = w
\]

for some \( w \in X \) and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} BTy_n = Bw.
\]

The pair \((B, T)\) is compatible of type \((E)\) implies that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} BTy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} B^2y_n = Tw
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} TB_{y_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^2 y_n = Bw,
\]
so we have \(Bw = Tw\).

We claim \(Az = Bw\), if not by using (1) we get:
\[
F(M_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t), M_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t), M_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t)) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]
and so from (F2), we get \(M_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t) = 1\). Hence \(Az = Bw\).

Now we will prove \(z = Az\), if not by using (1) we get:
\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Bw, t)M(Sx_n, Tw, t), 1, M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Sx_n, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Bw, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Tw, t))) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]
letting \(n \to \infty\) we get
\[
F(M_{M,N}(z, Bw, t), M(z, Tw, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(z, Bw, t), M_{M,N}(z, Tw, t)) = F(M_{M,N}(Az, t), M(Az, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(Az, t), M_{M,N}(Az, t) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]
which implies that \(M_{M,N}(z, Az, t) = 1_L\). Hence \(z = Az\).

Now, We will show that \(z = w\), if not by using (1), we get:
\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax_n, By_n, t)M(Sx_n, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Sx_n, t), M_{M,N}(By_n, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, By_n, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Ty_n, t))) \geq L \cdot 0_L,
\]
letting \(n \to \infty\) we get
\[
F(M_{M,N}(z, w, t), M(z, w, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(z, w, t), M_{M,N}(z, w, t) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]
then \(M_{M,N}(z, w, t) = 1_L\). Hence \(z = w\).

For the uniqueness, suppose \(q\) another fixed point, by using (1) we get:
\[
F(M_{M,N}(z, q, t)M(z, q, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(z, q, t), M_{M,N}(z, q, t) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]
then \(M_{M,N}(z, q, t) = 1\). Hence \(z\) is unique.

If \(A = B\) and \(S = T\) we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) be a modified IFMS and let \(A, S\) two self mappings on \(X\) into itself such the pair \((A, S)\) is wsc and compatible of type \((E)\), then \(A\) and \(S\) have a coincidence point.

**A and S have a unique common fixed point provided, for all \(x, y \in X\) we have:**
\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax, Ay, t), M_{M,N}(Sy, Sx, t), M_{M,N}(Az, Sx, t), M_{M,N}(Ax, Ay, t), M_{M,N}(Sx, Sy, t), M_{M,N}(Ax, Sy, t)) \geq L \cdot 0_L.
\]

**Corollary 3.2.** The results of Theorem 3.7 remain true if the conditions (1) and (2) are replaced by the following:

\(1'(\text{t})\) the pair \((A, S)\) is \(A\)-subsequentially continuous and \(A\)-compatible of type \((E)\) (or \(S\)-subsequentially continuous and \(S\)-compatible of type \((E)\)).

\(2'(\text{t})\) the pair \((B, T)\) is \(B\)-subsequentially continuous and \(B\)-compatible of type \((E)\) (or \(T\)-subsequentially continuous and \(T\)-compatible of type \((E)\)).

**Theorem 3.2.** The results of Theorem 3.7 remain true if the conditions (1) and (2) are replaced by the following:

\(1''(\text{t})\) the pair \((A, S)\) is subsequentially continuous and \(A\)-compatible(or \(S\)-compatible) of type \((E)\).

\(2''(\text{t})\) the pair \((B, T)\) is subsequentially continuous and \(B\)-compatible(or \(T\)-compatible) of type \((E)\).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \((X, M_{M,N}, T)\) be a modified IMS and let \(A, B, S\) be self mappings on \(X\) satisfying (1), suppose

\(1.\) the pair \((A, S)\) ( or \((B, T)\)) is wsc and compatible of type \((E)\),
We will show \( \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z \) for some \( z \in X \) and \( Az = Sz \).

Moreover the subsequence \( \{Ax_n\} \) converges to \( z \) in \( \overline{AX} \) and since \( \overline{AX} \subset T(X) \), so there exists \( v \in X \) such that \( z = Tv \), we show \( z = Bv \), if not by using (1) we get:

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Bv, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Tv, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Sx_n, t)) = M(Bv, Tv, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, Bv, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Tv, t)) \geq L_0, 0_L^*,
\]

letting \( n \to \infty \), we get:

\[
F(M_{M,N}(z, Bv, t), 1, 1, M(Bv, z, t), M_{M,N}(z, Bv, t), 1) \geq L_0, 0_L^*,
\]

using (F2), we get

\[
M_{M,N}(Tv, Bv, t) = 1,
\]

then \( Tv = Bv \) and so \( v \) is a coincidence point for \( B \) and \( T \), the pair \( (B, T) \) is weakly compatible implies that \( Bz = Tz \).

We show that \( Az = Bz \), if not by using (1) we get

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Az, Bz, t), M(Sz, Tz, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(Sz, Bz, t), M_{M,N}(Az, Tz, t)) \geq L_0, 0_L^*,
\]

from (F2), we get

\[
M_{M,N}(Az, Bz, t) \geq L_0, 1L^*_0,
\]

for all \( t > 0 \), which implies \( M_{M,N}(Az, Bz, t) = 1 \) and so \( Az = Bz \).

Now, we claim \( z = Az \), if not by using (1), we get:

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Az, Bv, t), M(Sz, Tv, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(Sz, Bv, t), M_{M,N}(Az, Tv, t)) = 0,
\]

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Az, z, t), M(Az, z, t), 1, 1, M_{M,N}(Az, z, t), M_{M,N}(Az, z, t)) \geq L_0, 0_L^*,
\]

using (F2), we get

\[
M_{M,N}(Az, z, t) \geq L_0, 1L^*_0,
\]

for all \( t > 0 \), then \( M_{M,N}(Az, z, t) = 1 \) and \( z \) is a common fixed point for \( A, B, S \) and \( T \).

For the uniqueness, it is similar as in proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( (X, M_{M,N}, T) \) be a modified IFMS and let \( A, B, S \) be self mappings a on \( X \) satisfying (1), suppose

1. the pair \( (A, S) \) (or \( (B, T) \)) is wsc and compatible of type (E),

2. \( A(X) \subset T(X) \) (or \( B(X) \subset S(X) \)),

3. \{\( By_n \)\} converges for every sequence \( \{y_n\} \) in \( X \), whenever \{\( Ty_n \)\} converges (or \{\( Ax_n \)\} converges for every sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \), whenever \{\( Sx_n \)\} converges,

4. \((B, T)\) is weakly compatible (or \((A, S)\) is weakly compatible).

Then \( A, B, S \) and \( T \) have unique common fixed point in \( X \).

**Proof.** As in proof of Theorem 3.1, if the pair \( (A, S) \) is wsc and compatible of type (E), then there exists a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) in \( X \) satisfying \( \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = z \) for some \( z \in X \) and \( Az = Sz \).

The inclusion \( A(X) \subset T(X) \) implies that there exists a sequence \( \{y_n\} \) in \( X \) such \( \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = z \).

We will show \( \lim_{n \to \infty} By_n = z \), if not by using (1) we get:

\[
F(M_{M,N}(Ax_n, By_n, t), M(Sx_n, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Sx_n, t), M_{M,N}(By_n, Ty_n, t), M_{M,N}(Sx_n, By_n, t), M_{M,N}(Ax_n, Ty_n, t)) \geq L_0, 0_L^*.
\]
Hence all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, therefore

\[ F(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(z, \lim_{n \to \infty} B_{y_n}, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}( \lim_{n \to \infty} B_{y_n}, z, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}( \lim_{n \to \infty} B_{y_n}, z, t), 1) \geq 0_L, \]

from \((F_1)\), we obtain \(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(z, \lim_{n \to \infty} B_{y_n}, t) = 1\). Hence \(\lim_{n \to \infty} B_{y_n} = z\).

Now, we will prove \(z = Az = Sz\), if not by using \([1]\) we get:

\[ F(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, By_n, t), \mathcal{M}(Sz, Ty_n, t), 1, \mathcal{M}(By_n, Ty_n, t), \]

\[ \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Sz, By_n, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, Ty_n, t)) \geq 0_L, \]

letting \(n \to \infty\) we get:

\[ F(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, z, t), \mathcal{M}(Az, z, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, z, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, z, t)) \geq 0_L, \]

from \((F_2)\), we get \(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, z, t) \geq 0_L\), for all \(t > 0\). Hence \(z\) is a common fixed point for \(A\) and \(S\).

Since \(A(X) \subset T(X)\), there exists \(w \in X\) such \(z = Az = Tw\), we will sow \(Tw = Bw\), by putting \(x = z\) and \(y = w\) in \([1]\), we get:

\[ F(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Tw, Bw, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Bw, Tw, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Tw, Bw, t), 1) = \]

\[ F(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Az, Bw, t), 1, 1, \mathcal{M}(Bw, Tw, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Sz, Bw, t), \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Tw, Az, t)) \geq 0_L, \]

using \((F_2)\), we get \(\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Tw, Bw, t) \geq 0_L\) for all \(t > 0\), then:

\[ \mathcal{M}_{M,N}(Tw, Bw, t) = 0_L, \]

which implies that \(Tw = Bw\).

The pair \((B, T)\) is weakly compatible, so \(z = Bz = Tz\) and consequently \(z\) is a common fixed point for \(A, B, S\) and \(T\).

For the uniqueness, it is similar as in proof of Theorem 3.1

**Example 3.1.** Let \((X, \mathcal{M}_{M,N}, T)\) be a modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, with \(X = [0,1]\). For all \(a = (a_1, a_2)\) and \(b = (b_1, b_2) \in L^*,\) and each \(t \in (01)\) let \(T(a, b) = (a_1 b_1), \) \(\min(a_2 + b_2, 1)\), define:

\[ \mathcal{M}(Az, z, t) = \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - y|}, \frac{|x - y|}{t + |x - y|} \right) \]

Define self mappings \(A, B, S\) and \(T\) by: \(Ax = Bx = 1,\)

\( \]

\(Sz = Tx = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & x \text{ is rational} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x \text{ is irrational} \end{array} \right. \)

Consider a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) such for each \(n \geq 1\) we have: \(x_n = \frac{1}{n}\), clearly that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = 1\), also we have:

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_n = A(1) = 1 \]

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} A^2x_n = S(1) = 1, \]

then \((A, S)\) is \(A\)-subsequentially continuous and \(A\)-compatible of type (E).

Taking \(F(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_1 - \frac{5}{3} t_2 - \frac{2}{3} t_3 + \frac{1}{3} t_4 + t_5 - t_6,\) then we will check that the inequality \([1]\) is satisfied: for \(x, y \in [0,1]\), we have:

\[ F(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = (1, 0) - \frac{5}{3} (1, 0) + \frac{5}{6} (1, 0) - \frac{1}{3} (1, 0) - (1, 0) + (1, 0) \]

\[ = (0, 0) \geq 0_L. \]

Hence all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, therefore 1 is the unique common fixed point for \(A\) and \(S\).
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