AUTO-CORRELATION FUNCTIONS OF SATO–TATE DISTRIBUTIONS AND IDENTITIES OF SYMPLECTIC CHARACTERS
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Abstract. The Sato–Tate distributions for genus 2 curves (conjecturally) describe the statistics of numbers of rational points on the curves. In this paper, we explicitly compute the auto-correlation functions of Sato–Tate distributions for genus 2 curves as sums of irreducible characters of symplectic groups. Our computations bring about families of identities involving irreducible characters of symplectic groups $Sp(2m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, which have interest in their own rights.

1. Introduction

The connection between random matrices and number theory was first conjectured by H. L. Montgomery [Mon73] in 1973 from the observation made by himself and F. J. Dyson that the two-point correlation function of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function is the same as the two-point correlation function of the eigenvalues of random matrices. Since then extensive research on correlation functions of $L$-functions and random matrices has been performed. For example, see [BK96, BK95, Hej94, KS99, Rub98, RS96].

In 2000, Keating and Snaith [KS00b, KS00a] studied averages of characteristic polynomials of random matrices motivated in part by this connection to number theory and in part by the importance of these averages in quantum chaos [AS95]. Over the years it has become clear that averages of characteristic polynomials are fundamental for random matrix models, and many important developments have been made to the theory [BDS03, BS06, BH00, BH01, FS02a, FS02b, MN01]. In particular, the auto-correlation functions of the distributions of characteristic polynomials in the compact classical groups were computed by Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein and Snaith [CFK+03, CFK+05] in connection with conjectures for integral moments of zeta and $L$-functions, and by Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer [CFZ08, CFZ05] in connection with conjectures for ratios of $L$-functions. Later, Bump and Gamburd [BG06] obtained different derivations of such formulae applying the symmetric function theory and (analogues of) the dual Cauchy identity along with classical results due to Weyl and Littlewood. Their results show that the auto-correlation functions are actually combinations of characters of classical groups.

Date: June 10, 2020.

†The research of S.-j. Oh was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A2C4069647).
More precisely, Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein and Snaith [CFK+03] computed Selberg’s integrals to obtain the following formula (and many other formulae):

\[
\int_{\text{USp}(2g)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \det(I + x_j \gamma) \right) \, d\gamma = \sum_{\lambda: \text{even}} s_\lambda(x_1, \cdots, x_m),
\]

where \( s_\lambda \) is the Schur function and the sum is over partitions \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \) with all parts \( \lambda_j \) even and \( 2g \geq \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0 \). The left-hand side of (1.1) is the average of the products of characteristic polynomials of random matrices in \( \text{USp}(2g) \) as \( d\gamma \) is the Haar probability measure on \( \text{USp}(2g) \). Here, since \( -\gamma \in \text{USp}(2g) \) for \( \gamma \in \text{USp}(2g) \), we may use \( \det(I + x_j \gamma) \).

In a totally different way, Bump and Gamburd [BG06] could compute the same integral to obtain

\[
\int_{\text{USp}(2g)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \det(I + x_j \gamma) \right) \, d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^g \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(g^m) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}(t_1^\pm, \ldots, t_g^\pm),
\]

where \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(g^m) \) is the irreducible character of \( \text{Sp}(2m) \) associated with the rectangular partition \((g^m)\). The equality of the right-hand sides of (1.1) and (1.2) can be shown directly through branching of the character \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(g^m) \). In fact, Bump and Gamburd used an analogue of the dual Cauchy identity due to Jimbo–Miwa [JM85] and Howe [How95]:

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{g} (x_i + x_i^{-1} + t_j + t_j^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda \leq (g^m)} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(x_1^\pm, \ldots, x_m^\pm) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}(t_1^\pm, \ldots, t_g^\pm),
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda} = (m - \lambda'_g, \ldots, m - \lambda'_1) \) with \( \lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_g) \) the transpose of \( \lambda \). This identity can be considered as a reflection of Howe duality.

While most of the connections of number theory to random matrices are still conjectural, the celebrated Sato–Tate conjecture for elliptic curves is directly related to random matrices in nature and has been proved (under some conditions) by the works of R. Taylor, jointly with L. Clozel, M. Harris, and N. Shepherd-Barron [CHT08, Tay08, HSBT10]. The conjecture concerns the distribution of Euler factors of an elliptic curve over a number field. It specifically predicts that this distribution always takes one of the three forms, one occurring whenever the elliptic curve fails to have complex multiplication, which is the generic case, and two exceptional cases arising for curves with complex multiplication, and furthermore says that all three distributions are the same as the distributions of eigenvalues of random matrices in the compact groups \( SU(2), U(1), \) and \( N(U(1)) \) respectively, where \( N(U(1)) \) is the normalizer of \( U(1) \) in \( SU(2) \).

This amazing structural randomness in arithmetic data is not expected to be restricted to the case of elliptic curves. Indeed, J.-P. Serre, N. Katz and P. Sarnak proposed a generalized Sato–Tate conjecture for curves of higher genera [Ser94, KS99]. Pursuing this direction, K. S. Kedlaya and A. V. Sutherland [KS09] and later together with F. Fité and V. Rotger [FKRS12] made a list of 55 compact subgroups of \( \text{USp}(4) \) called Sato–Tate groups that would classify all...

1By this notation we mean \( \text{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \) or \( \text{USp}(2m) \) according to the context. We keep this ambiguity for notational convenience.
the distributions of Euler factors for abelian surfaces and showed that at most 52 of them can actually arise from abelian surfaces.

For example, the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = x^5 - x + 1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ falls into the generic case and has the distribution given by the group $\text{USp}(4)$, while the curve $y^2 = x^6 + 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ has the distribution given by the subgroup $\langle U(1), \zeta_{12} \rangle$ of $\text{USp}(4)$, where $\zeta_{12}$ is a primitive $12^{th}$ root of unity (embedded into $\text{USp}(4)$). Thus $\text{USp}(4)$ and $\langle U(1), \zeta_{12} \rangle$ are examples of the Sato–Tate groups for genus 2 curves.

Through enormous amount of computer computation [FKRS12], they found abelian surfaces whose Euler factors have the same distributions as the distributions of characteristic polynomials of the 52 Sato–Tate groups. Since then, the Sato–Tate conjecture for abelian surfaces defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which covers 34 Sato–Tate groups, has been established by C. Johansson and N. Taylor [Joh17, Tay20] except for the generic case $\text{USp}(4)$. Actually, those three groups, which do not arise for abelian surfaces, and two other subgroups of $\text{USp}(4)$ appear when certain motives of weight 3 are considered in [FKS16]. Thus all the 57 groups are interesting in number theory and arithmetic geometry, and we will call all of them Sato–Tate groups in what follows.

In this paper, we describe the distributions of characteristic polynomials of random matrices in Sato–Tate groups $H \leq \text{USp}(4)$ by computing the auto-correlation functions

$$\int_H \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) d\gamma, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

as sums of irreducible characters of $\text{Sp}(2m)$. We consider all the 57 Sato–Tate groups $H \leq \text{USp}(4)$, and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $H \leq \text{USp}(4)$ be a Sato–Tate group. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$\int_H \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^m \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor (m-b)/2 \rfloor} \text{m}(b+2z,b \chi_{2m-b-2z,1}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}),$$

where the coefficients $\text{m}(b+2z,b \chi_{2m-b-2z,1}^{\text{Sp}(4)})$ are the multiplicities of the trivial representation in the restrictions $\chi_{(b+2z,b)}^{\text{Sp}(4)}|_H$ and are explicitly given in Table 5.1.

As the statement of the theorem manifests, this result can also be interpreted as a result on branching rules in representation theory. Indeed, our approach starts with the identity (1.3) and converts the problem to the branching rules of irreducible characters of $\text{USp}(4)$ restricted to Sato–Tate groups $H$. Though a few of the Sato–Tate groups invoke classical branching rules, almost all of them call for a new study because they are disconnected and involve twists by automorphisms.

In classical situations, branching rules invoke many interesting combinatorial questions (e.g. [Kin71, KT90]). With Sato–Tate groups, we also meet various combinatorial problems, and adopt crystals as our main combinatorial tools for the problems. One can find details about

\[2\text{In [FKS19], an additional Sato–Tate axiom is included for abelian varieties of dimension } \leq 3, \text{ and it eliminates those 3 groups which do not arise for abelian surfaces.}\]
crystals, for example, in [BuSch17, HK02, Kash02]. However, in some cases, we need more concrete realizations of representations of USp(4).

Moreover, since most of the Sato–Tate groups are disconnected, we can decompose the integral (1.4) according to coset decompositions, and find that the characteristic polynomials over some cosets are independent of the elements of the cosets. Combining this observation with the computations of branching rules, we obtain families of non-trivial identities of irreducible characters of Sp(m) for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $\kappa_1 = -2, \kappa_2 = 2, \kappa_3 = 1, \kappa_4 = 0$, and $\kappa_6 = -1$. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, we have

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^2 + \kappa_i + x_i^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m-1 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor m-b \rfloor} \psi_n(z, b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(1m-b-2z, 12z)},
\]

where $\psi_n(z, b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ are respectively defined in (5.8) and (5.9) and in Table 5.3;

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left( \frac{m-k}{[(m-k)/2]} \right) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (x_{i_j}^2 + x_{i_j}^{-2}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{|\frac{m}{2}|} \sum_{z=0}^{|\frac{m}{2}|-\ell} (-1)^{z} \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(2m-2z-2z, 12z)},
\]

where the summation over $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ is set to be equal to 1 when $k = 0$;

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i + x_i^{-1}) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(1m-2j)} = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \xi_2(z, b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(2m-b-2z, 12z)},
\]

where $\xi_2(z, b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in Table 5.4.

Notice that the irreducible characters $\chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(\lambda)}$ are symmetric functions with the number of terms growing very fast as $m$ increases, but that the coefficients (e.g. $\psi_n(z, b)$) are independent of $m$. These identities seem intriguing from the viewpoint of representation theory and algebraic combinatorics. Without the motivation coming from the Sato–Tate distributions which led to the computations in this paper, it might have been difficult for us to expect that such identities exist.

1.1. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we present backgrounds for Sato–Tate groups. In Section 3, the dual Cauchy identity for symplectic groups will be used to convert computation of auto-correlation functions into that of branching rules of USp(4). Section 4 is devoted to the genus one case. This case will demonstrate basic ideas which apply to the genus two case. In Section 5, the main theorems are stated and proved by considering each of the Sato–Tate groups using the results of Section 6, where we study branching rules for Sato–Tate groups through crystals and other methods.

**Convention 1.3.** Throughout this paper, we keep the following conventions.

(i) For a statement $P$, $\delta(P)$ is equal to 1 or 0 according to whether $P$ is true or not.

(ii) For a partition $\lambda$, we denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda'_q \geq 0)$ the transpose of $\lambda$.

(iii) The term for $k = 0$ in a summation over $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m$ is set to be equal to 1.

(iv) For $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $m \equiv_k m'$ if $k$ divides $m - m'$, and $m \not\equiv_k m'$ otherwise.
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2. Sato–Tate groups

In this section, we briefly overview backgrounds of Sato–Tate groups and their auto-correlation functions of characteristic polynomials. More details can be found in [KS09, FKRS12].

Let $C$ be a smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible algebraic curve of genus $g$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. For each prime $p$ where $C$ has good reduction, we define the zeta function $Z(C/\mathbb{F}_p; T)$ by

$$Z(C/\mathbb{F}_p; T) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k T^k / k \right),$$

where $N_k$ is the number of the points on $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_p^k$. It is well-known [Art24] that $Z(C/\mathbb{F}_p; T)$ is a rational function of the form

$$Z(C/\mathbb{F}_p; T) = \frac{L_p(T)}{(1 - T)(1 - pT)},$$

where $L_p \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ with constant term 1. For example, when $C$ is an elliptic curve, i.e. when $g = 1$, we have $L_p(T) = 1 - a_p T + pT^2$ and $L_p(1)$ is equal to the number of points on $C$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Set $\bar{L}_p(T) := L_p(p^{-1/2}T)$ and write

$$\bar{L}_p(T) = T^{2g} + a_{1,p} T^{2g-1} + a_{2,p} T^{2g-2} + \cdots + a_{2g-1,p} T^2 + a_{1,p} T + 1.$$

Let $P_C(N)$ be the set of primes $p \leq N$ for which the curve $C$ has good reduction.

**Definition 2.1.** For $1 \leq k \leq g$ and $m \geq 0$, define $a_k(m; g)$ to be the average value of $a_{k,p}^m$ over $p \in P_C(N)$ as $N \to \infty$.

The values $a_k(m; g)$, $m \geq 0$, are the $m$th moments of the distribution of $a_{k,p}$, and we are interested in how to describe $a_k(m; g)$. The generalized Sato–Tate conjecture expects that curves of fixed genus $g$ are classified into certain families and that $a_k(m; g)$ are all the same for curves in each family. In particular, there is a generic family of curves for each genus $g$.

Let $\ell$ be a prime and $T_\ell(C)$ be the Tate module, i.e., the inverse limit of the $\ell^n$-torsion subgroups ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) of the Jacobian $J(C)$ of $C$. Then we obtain the representation

$$\rho_\ell : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Aut}(T_\ell(C)) \cong \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

We say that the curve $C$ has large Galois image if the image of $\rho_\ell$ is Zariski dense in $\text{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \subset \text{GL}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for any $\ell$. The curves with large Galois image form the generic family of curves with a fixed genus $g$.

**Example 2.2.** The following curves are from the generic families ([KS09, FKRS12]).

- $g = 1$, $C$: $y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$
  
  $a_1(m; 1) : 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5, 0, 14, 0, 42, 0, 132, \ldots$ (Catalan numbers)
\( g = 2 \), \( C: y^2 = x^5 - x + 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1(m; 2) & : 1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 14, 0, 84, 0, 594, 0, 4719, \ldots \\
a_2(m; 2) & : 1, 1, 2, 4, 10, 27, 82, 268, 940, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

\( g = 3 \), \( C: y^2 = x^7 - x + 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1(m; 3) & : 1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 15, 0, 104, 0, 909, 0, 9449, \ldots \\
a_2(m; 3) & : 1, 1, 2, 5, 16, 62, 282, 1459, 8375, \ldots \\
a_3(m; 3) & : 1, 0, 2, 0, 23, 0, 684, 0, 34760, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

The generalized Sato–Tate conjecture predicts that these distributions are actually the same as the distributions of eigenvalues of random matrices. To be precise, let us consider the group \( \text{USp}(2g) \) with the Haar probability measure. Let \( \det(I - x\gamma) = x^{2g} + c_1 x^{2g-1} + c_2 x^{2g-2} + \cdots + c_g + c_{g+1}x + 1 \) be the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix \( \gamma \) of \( \text{USp}(2g) \).

**Definition 2.3.** For each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, g \), let \( X_k \) be the random variable corresponding to the coefficient \( c_k \), and define \( c_k(m; g) \) to be the \( m \)th moment \( E[X_k^m], m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), of the random variable \( X_k \).

The following is the generalized Sato–Tate conjecture for the case that \( C \) is in the generic family.

**Conjecture 2.4 ([KS99]).** Let \( C \) be a smooth projective curve of genus \( g \). Assume that \( C \) is in the generic family. Then, for each \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, g \) and \( m \geq 0 \), we have

\[
a_k(m; g) = c_k(m; g).
\]

Therefore it is important to compute the distribution of the characteristic polynomials of random matrices in \( \text{USp}(2g) \). Ultimately, the auto-correlation functions

\[
(2.1) \quad \int_{\text{USp}(2g)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \det(I + x_j\gamma) \right) d\gamma
\]

for \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) will describe the distribution completely. The number \( c_k(m; g) \) will appear as the coefficient of \( (x_1 \cdots x_m)^k \) in (2.1).

As mentioned in the previous section, Conrey–Farmer–Keating–Rubinstein–Snaith [CFK+03] and Bump–Gamburd [BG06] obtained

\[
(2.2) \quad \int_{\text{USp}(2g)} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m} \det(I + x_j\gamma) \right) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^g \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(g^m)}(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}),
\]

where \( \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(g^m)} \) is the irreducible character of \( \text{Sp}(2m) \) associated with the rectangular partition \( (g^m) \). By computing the coefficients of \( (x_1 \cdots x_m)^k \) in the right-hand side of (2.2), which are nothing but weight multiplicities, one can check

\[
a_k(m; g) = c_k(m; g)
\]
for the sequences $a_k(m; g)$ in Example 2.2. Thus we see validity of the generalized Sato–Tate conjecture for the curves in the example.

Aside from the generic family of curves whose distribution is (expected to be) given by $\text{USp}(2g)$, there are exceptional families of curves. For elliptic curves, there are two exceptional families (only one over $\mathbb{Q}$) which consist of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. The Sato–Tate conjecture, which is proven much earlier for these exceptional families of $g = 1$ [Deu41], tells us that the moment sequences $a_1(m; 1)$ are the same as those of $N(U(1))$ and $U(1)$, respectively. Here $N(U(1))$ is the normalizer of $U(1)$ in $SU(2) \cong \text{USp}(2)$.

For genus 2 curves, there are a lot more of exceptional families. Kedlaya and Sutherland [KS09] and later with Fité and Rotger [FKRS12] made a conjectural, exhaustive list of 55 compact subgroups of $\text{USp}(4)$ that would classify all the distributions of Euler factors for abelian surfaces, and called the groups Sato–Tate groups. Later, when they considered certain motives of weight 3 [FKS16], two other groups were added to the list of Sato–Tate groups that are subgroups of $\text{USp}(4)$. They determined the moment sequences $c_k(m; 2)$, $k = 1, 2$, for each Sato–Tate group by expressing them as combinations of some sequences. In the process they investigated a huge number of abelian surfaces to see that Euler factors have the same distributions as the Sato–Tate distributions, supporting their refined, generalized Sato–Tate conjecture for abelian surfaces.

3. Dual Cauchy identity

In this section, we use the dual Cauchy identity for symplectic groups to convert computation of auto-correlation functions into that of branching rules.

We recall the dual Cauchy identity for symplectic groups:

**Proposition 3.1** ([JM85, How95]). For $m, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{g} (x_i + x_i^{-1} + t_j + t_j^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda \leq (g^{m})} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}(t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_g^{\pm 1}),$$

where we set

$$\tilde{\lambda} := (m - \lambda'_g, \ldots, m - \lambda'_1)$$

with $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_g)$ the transpose of $\lambda$.

Let $H$ be a compact subgroup of $\text{USp}(2g)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we want to compute the auto-correlation of distribution of characteristic polynomials of $H$:

$$\int_H \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) d\gamma.$$  

Fix $g$ for the time being. For $\gamma \in \text{USp}(2g)$ with eigenvalues $t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_g^{\pm 1}$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{g} (1 + x_i t_j)(1 + x_i t_j^{-1}).$$

We also write $\chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}(\gamma) = \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}(t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_g^{\pm 1})$ for simplicity of notations.
Definition 3.2. Let \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) \) denote the multiplicity of the trivial representation \( 1_H \) of \( H \) in the restriction of \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda \) to \( H \). That is,
\[
\chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda|_H = m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) 1_H + \text{sum of nontrivial irreducible characters of } H.
\]

The following proposition shows that the decomposition multiplicities \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) \) are the coefficients of character expansion of auto-correlation functions.

Proposition 3.3. For each \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), the auto-correlation function of the distribution of characteristic polynomials of \( H \) is given by
\[
\int_H \prod_{i=1}^m \det(I + x_i \gamma) \, d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^g \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (g^m)} m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_\lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}).
\]

Proof. Since we have
\[
(1 + x_i t_j)(1 + x_i t_j^{-1}) = x_i(x_i + t_j^{-1}) = x_i(x_i + t_j^{-1}),
\]
it follows from the dual Cauchy identity (3.1) that
\[
(x_1 \cdots x_m)^{-g} \int_H \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) \, d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^{-g} \int_H \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + x_i t_j)(1 + x_i t_j^{-1})
\]
\[
= \int_H \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^m (x_i + x_j^{-1} + t_j + t_j^{-1}) \, d\gamma = \int_H \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (g^m)} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_\lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda(\gamma) \, d\gamma
\]
\[
= \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (g^m)} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_\lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}) \int_H \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda(\gamma) \, d\gamma.
\]

From Schur orthogonality (for example, [Bum13]), the integral \( \int_H \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda(\gamma) \, d\gamma \) is equal to the multiplicity of the trivial representation \( 1_H \) of \( H \) in the restriction of \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda \) to \( H \), which is \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) \) by definition.

When \( H \) is clear from the context, we will simply write \( m_\tilde{\lambda} \) for \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) \). For simplicity, we also write
\[
\chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_\lambda = \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_\lambda(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}).
\]

As a special case, we obtain the identity (1.2) where \( H \) is equal to the generic Sato–Tate group USp(2g).

Corollary 3.4 ([BG06]). When \( H = \text{USp}(2g) \), we obtain
\[
\int_{\text{USp}(2g)} \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) \, d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^g \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(g^m)}(x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 1}).
\]

Proof. Since \( H = \text{USp}(2g) \), we have \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) = 0 \) unless \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda \) itself is trivial. If \( \chi^{\text{Sp}(2g)}_\lambda \) is trivial, we get \( \tilde{\lambda} = \emptyset \), \( m_\tilde{\lambda}(H) = 1 \) and \( \lambda = (g^m) \). \( \square \)
4. Prototype: case \( g = 1 \)

In this section, we compute \( m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(H) \) for non-generic Sato–Tate groups \( H \subseteq \text{USp}(2) \) when \( g = 1 \). The computations will demonstrate our approach which extends to the case \( g = 2 \) in Sections 5 and 6.

Let \( U(1) = \{ u \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |u| = 1 \} \) be the circle group. We embed \( U(1) \) into \( \text{USp}(2) \) by

\[
u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & \bar{u} \\ \bar{u} & u \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Thus \( U(1) \) is a (maximal) torus of \( \text{USp}(2) \). Under this embedding the non-generic Sato–Tate groups are \( U(1) \) and its normalizer \( N(U(1)) \).

The auto-correlation functions for \( g = 1 \) are explicitly given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** For each \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have

\[
\int_H m \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} m_{(2j)} \chi_{(m-2j)},
\]

where

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
H & m_{(2j)} \\
\hline
\text{USp}(2) & \delta(j = 0) \\
U(1) & 1 \\
N(U(1)) & \delta(j \equiv 0) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** If \( \lambda \subseteq (1^m) \), we can write

\[
\lambda = (1^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\lambda} = (m-k) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.
\]

First, assume that \( H = U(1) \). Let \( v_1 = (1, 0) \) and \( v_2 = (0, 1) \) be the standard unit vectors of \( V := \mathbb{C}^2 \), and consider the standard representation of \( \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( V \). Consider the irreducible representation \( \text{Sym}^{m-k}(V) \) of \( \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) with the character \( \chi_{(m-k)}^{\text{Sp}(2)} \) of degree \( m - k + 1 \). Then the trivial \( U(1) \)-module is generated by \( v_1^j v_2^j \) only when \( m - k = 2j \). Thus the restriction \( \chi_{(m-k)}^{\text{Sp}(2)}|_{U(1)} \) has the trivial character with multiplicity 1 if and only if \( m - k \) is even. That is,

\[
m_{(m-k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m - k \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Thus it follows from Proposition 3.3 that

\[
\int_{U(1)} m \prod_{j=1}^m \det(I + x_j \gamma) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m) \sum_{k=0}^{m} m_{(m-k)} \chi_{(1^k)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)} = (x_1 \cdots x_m) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \chi_{(1^{m-2j})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]

where we change the indices by \( m - k = 2j \). This proves (4.1) for \( H = U(1) \).

Next, assume that \( H = N(U(1)) \). Let \( J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{USp}(2) \). Then

\[
N(U(1)) = U(1) \bigsqcup J U(1),
\]
and \( Jv_1 = -v_2 \) and \( Jv_2 = v_1 \) on the standard representation of \( \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) on \( V \). Consider again the irreducible representation \( \text{Sym}^{m-k}(V) \) of \( \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C}) \). As noted above, the trivial \( \text{U}(1) \)-module is generated by \( v_1^2 v_2^2 \) when \( m-k = 2j \), and \( J \) acts trivially on \( v_1^2 v_2^2 \) if and only if \( j \) is even. Consequently, the restriction \( \chi_{(m-k)}^{\text{Sp}(2)}|_{\text{U}(1)} \) has

\[
\chi_{(m-k)}^{\text{Sp}(2)} = \delta(m-k \equiv 0).
\]

Now it follows from Proposition 3.3 that

\[
(\int_{\text{U}(1)} \det(I + x\gamma)dx = (x_1 \cdots x_{m}) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\text{det}(x_k)}{J_{v_k}} = (x_1 \cdots x_{m}) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \chi_{(1^{m-k})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]

where we change the indices by \( m-k = 4\ell \). This proves (5.7) for \( H = \text{U}(1) \).

Together with Corollary 3.4, we have completed a proof. \( \Box \)

As corollaries, we obtain the following identities which are interesting in their own rights.

**Proposition 4.2.** For each \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have the following identities:

\[
\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{m-2\ell} \leq m} (x_{i_j} + x_{i_j}^{-1}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \chi_{(1^{m-2\ell})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]

(4.4)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i + x_i^{-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \chi_{(1^{m-2j})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}.
\]

(4.5)

**Proof.** Since \( \int_{\text{U}(1)} \gamma^k d\gamma = \delta(k = 0) \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have

\[
\int_{\text{U}(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i + x_i^{-1} + (u + u^{-1}))du
\]

\[
= \int_{\text{U}(1)} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} (x_{i_j} + x_{i_j}^{-1} + (u + u^{-1})^{m-k})du
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} (x_{i_j} + x_{i_j}^{-1} + (u + u^{-1})^{m-k})du
\]

\[
= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{m-2\ell} \leq m} (x_{i_j} + x_{i_j}^{-1}),
\]

(4.6)

where we put \( m-k = 2\ell \) for the last equality. Since \( \det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + (u + u^{-1})x + x^2 \) for \( \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \), the identity (4.4) follows from Theorem 4.1.

For any \( \gamma \in J \text{U}(1) \), we compute to see that

\[
\det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + x^2.
\]
Thus we have
\[
\int_{N(U(1))} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) \, d\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma_1) \, d\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i J \gamma_1) \, d\gamma_1,
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) \, d\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_i^2)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} (x_1 \cdots x_m) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(1 - 2j) + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_i^2),
\]
where \(d\gamma_1\) is the probability Haar measure on \(U(1)\) and we use (4.2) for the last equality. By comparing with (4.3), we obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_i^2) = (x_1 \cdots x_m) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(1 - 2j).
\]
Diving both sides by \(x_1 \cdots x_m\), we obtain the desired identity (4.5). \(\square\)

5. Main results: case \(g = 2\)

After fixing notations for Sato–Tate groups, we present the first main theorem of this paper and go over its proof. Much of the computations of branching rules involving crystals will be performed in Section 6 though we use the results of the branching rules in this section. In the process we will decompose Sato–Tate groups into cosets and prove various identities of irreducible characters of \(\text{Sp}(2m)\) for all \(m \geq 1\), which form another set of main results in this paper.

We will adopt the same notations for the Sato–Tate groups as in [FKRS12]. To make this paper more self-contained, we recall the definitions of these groups. We take the group \(\text{USp}(4)\) to fix the symplectic form \(\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0\end{array}\right)\), where \(I_2\) is the \(2 \times 2\) identity matrix. Let \(E_{ij}\) be the \(4 \times 4\) elementary matrix which has \((i, j)\)-entry equal to 1 and other entries equal to 0. We fix a basis for the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})\):
\[
e_1 = E_{12} - E_{43}, \quad f_1 = E_{21} - E_{34}, \quad h_1 = E_{11} - E_{22} - E_{33} + E_{44},
\]
\[
e_2 = E_{24}, \quad f_2 = E_{42}, \quad h_2 = E_{22} - E_{44}.
\]
Set
\[
\hat{e}_1 = E_{13}, \quad \hat{f}_1 = E_{31}, \quad \hat{h}_1 = E_{11} - E_{33},
\]
\[
\hat{e}_2 = e_2 = E_{24}, \quad \hat{f}_2 = f_2 = E_{42}, \quad \hat{h}_2 = h_2 = E_{22} - E_{44}.
\]
Define weights \(\epsilon_i, i = 1, 2\), by \(\epsilon_i(\hat{h}_j) = \delta_{ij}\). Then the simple roots \(\mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})\) are
\[
\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad \alpha_2 = 2\epsilon_2,
\]
and the fundamental weights are
\[
\varpi_1 = \epsilon_1, \quad \varpi_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2.
\]
A pair of non-negative integers \((a, b)\) with \(a \geq b\), or a partition \((a, b)\) of length \(\leq 2\) will be considered as a weight corresponding to \(a\epsilon_1 + b\epsilon_2\).

We embed \(U(1)\) into \(USp(4)\) by
\[
u \mapsto \text{diag}(u, u, u^{-1}, u^{-1}),
\]
and \(SU(2)\) and \(U(2)\) into \(USp(4)\) by
\[
A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A} \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \(\overline{A}\) consists of the complex conjugates of the entries of \(A\).

We fix an embedding
\[
SU(2) \times SU(2) \hookrightarrow USp(4)
\]
in such a way that the induced Lie algebra embedding \(sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \times sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \to sp_4(\mathbb{C})\) gives
\[
(h, 0) \mapsto \hat{h}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (0, h) \mapsto \hat{h}_2.
\]
From this, we also induce an embedding
\[
U(1) \times SU(2) \hookrightarrow USp(4).
\]
Identify \(SU(2)\) with the group of unit quaternions via the isomorphism
\[
a + bi + cj + dk \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & c + di \\ -c + di & a - bi \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R},
\]
and also identify them with the corresponding elements in \(USp(4)\) through the embedding \(SU(2) \hookrightarrow USp(4)\) in (5.1). For example, with this identification, we have
\[
j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
Q_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm 1 \pm i \pm j \pm k\},
\]
\[
Q_2 = \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm i), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm j), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm 1 \pm k), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm i \pm j), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm i \pm k), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm j \pm k)\right\}.
\]

We have embedding\(^3\) \(U(1) \times U(1)\) into \(USp(4)\) by
\[
(u_1, u_2) \mapsto \text{diag}(u_1, u_2, u_1^{-1}, u_2^{-1}).
\]
Let
\[
a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
\(^3\)In \([FKRS12]\), the symplectic form of \(USp(4)\) is changed for \(U(1) \times U(1)\) and for the groups that contain it. In this paper, we do not change the symplectic form. There is no difference except for switching some indices.
Definition 5.1 (Sato–Tate groups). (1) For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, define
\[ C_n := \langle U(1), \zeta_{2n} \rangle. \]
For $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, define
\[ D_n := \langle C_n, j \rangle. \]
With $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ in (5.3) and (5.4), respectively, define
\[ T := \langle U(1), Q_1 \rangle \] and \[ O := \langle T, Q_2 \rangle. \]
(2) Define the groups
\[ J(C_n) := \langle C_n, J \rangle \] for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$,
\[ J(D_n) := \langle D_n, J \rangle \] for $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$,
\[ J(T) := \langle T, J \rangle, \]
\[ J(O) := \langle O, J \rangle. \]
(3) For $n = 2, 4, 6$, define
\[ C_{n,1} := \langle U(1), J\zeta_{2n} \rangle \] and \[ D_{n,1} := \langle U(1), J\zeta_{2n}, J \rangle. \]
For $n = 3, 4, 6$, define
\[ D_{n,2} := \langle U(1), \zeta_{2n}, J \rangle, \]
and define
\[ O_1 := \langle T, JQ_2 \rangle \]
with $Q_2$ in (5.4).
(4) For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, define
\[ E_n := \langle SU(2), e^{\pi i/n} \rangle \] and \[ J(E_n) := \langle SU(2), e^{\pi i/n}, J \rangle, \]
where $e^{\pi i/n}$ is identified with
\[ \text{diag}(e^{\pi i/n}, e^{\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}). \]
(5) The image of $U(2)$ is denoted by the same notation and its normalizer by $N(U(2))$.
(6) Define $F$ to be the image of $U(1) \times U(1)$ under the embedding (5.5), and define
\[ F_a = \langle F, a \rangle, \quad F_c = \langle F, c \rangle, \quad F_{ab} = \langle F, ab \rangle, \quad F_{ac} = \langle F, ac \rangle, \]
\[ F_{ab,c} = \langle F, ab, c \rangle, \quad F_{a,b,c} = \langle F, a, b, c \rangle, \]
where $a, b, c$ are defined in (5.6).
(7) Define $G_{1,3}$ and $G_{3,3}$ to be the images of $U(1) \times SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ respectively under the embedding (5.2), and $N(G_{1,3})$ and $N(G_{3,3})$ to be their normalizers in $USp(4)$.

The following is one of the main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 1.1). For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have
\[ \int_{H} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \det(I + x_j \gamma) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}_{(b+2z,b)} \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(4)}(2m-b-2z,12z), \]
where $\mathbf{m}_{(b+2z,b)}$ is the multiplicity of the trivial representation in the restriction $\chi_{\text{Sp}(4)}^{\text{Sp}(4)}(b+2z,b)|_H$ for each Sate–Tate group $H \leq USp(4)$ and is explicitly given in Table 5.1.
Here we define

\[ \eta_1(z, b) := (b + 1)(z^2 + zb + 2z + b/2 + 1), \]

\[ \eta_2(z, b) := \begin{cases} -\frac{b+1}{2} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{b}{2} + \delta(z \text{ is even}) & \text{if } b \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \]

and the functions \( \eta_i(z, b), i = 3, 4, 6 \) on the congruence classes of \( z \) and \( b \) as in Table 5.2; define
Table 5.3. Functions $\psi_i(z, b)$, $i = 3, 4, 6$

| $z \backslash b$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0             | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1| 0 | 0 |
| 1             | -1| 1 | 0 | 1 | -1| 0 |
| 2             | 0 | -1| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 5.4. Function $\xi_2(z, b)$

| $z \backslash b$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|
| 0             | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1             | -1| -1| 0 | 0 |

(5.8) \[ \psi_1(z, b) := (-1)^b(b + 1)(z + b/2 + 1), \]
(5.9) \[ \psi_2(z, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^b}{2} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd}, \\ \frac{(-1)^b}{2}(z + b/2 + 1) & \text{if } b \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \]

and the functions $\psi_i(z, b)$, $i = 3, 4, 6$ on the congruence classes of $z$ and $b$ as in Table 5.3; define \[ \xi_1(z, b) := z(b + 1) + \lfloor b/2 \rfloor + 1, \]

and $\xi_2(z, b)$ on the congruence classes of $z$ and $b$ as in Table 5.4; finally define

(5.10) \[ \theta_i(z, b) := \frac{1}{2} \eta_i(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_i(z, b), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6. \]

More explicitly,

\[ \theta_1(z, b) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}z(b + 1)(z + b + 1) & \text{if } b \text{ is odd}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(z + 1)(b + 1)(z + b + 2) & \text{if } b \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \]

\[ \theta_2(z, b) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}(b + 1) & \text{if } b \text{ is odd and } z \text{ is odd}, \\ 0 & \text{if } b \text{ is odd and } z \text{ is even}, \\ -\frac{1}{2}(z + 1) & \text{if } b \text{ is even and } z \text{ is odd}, \\ \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}z + 1 & \text{if } b \text{ is even and } z \text{ is even}, \end{cases} \]

and $\theta_i(z, b)$, $i = 3, 4, 6$, are determined by the congruence classes of $z$ and $b$ as in Table 5.5.

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 5.2. The cases $J(C_n)$, $J(E_n)$ and $F_\infty$ will lead to Theorems 5.5, 5.9 and 5.10, which are also main results of this paper. We denote by $V_{\text{Sp}(4)}^{\text{Sp}(4)}$ the irreducible representation of $\text{Sp}(4)$ with character $\chi_{\lambda}^{\text{Sp}(4)}$.

From Proposition 3.3, we have

\[ \int_H \prod_{i=1}^m \det(I + x_i \gamma) \, d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{\lambda \leq (2m)} m_{\lambda}(H) \chi_{\lambda}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}. \]
In particular, \( \tilde{\lambda} = (m - \lambda'_2, m - \lambda'_1) \) with \( \lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) \) the transpose of \( \lambda \). For \( \tilde{\lambda} = (a, b) \leq (m^2) \), we define \( z := (a - b)/2 \). Then \( \tilde{\lambda} = (b + 2z, b) \) and \( \lambda = (2^{m-b-2z}, 1^{2z}) \) for \( 0 \leq b \leq m \) and \( 0 \leq z \leq \frac{m-b}{2} \).

For each Sato–Tate group \( H \), the number \( m_{(a,b)}(H) \) is equal to the number of independent weight vectors \( v_{\mu} \) with weight \( \mu \) in \( V^{Sp(4)}_{(a,b)} \) which are fixed by \( H \) and satisfy some other conditions. Since each \( H \) contains either
\[
\text{diag}(u, u, u^{-1}, u^{-1}) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{diag}(u, u^{-1}, u^{-1}, u), \quad u \in U(1),
\]
one necessary condition for \( \mu \) is that
\[
\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
\]
If \( a - b \) is odd then this condition cannot be satisfied. Thus, for each of the Sato–Tate groups,
\[
\text{(5.11)} \quad m_{(a,b)} = m_{(b+2z,b)} = 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad a - b \quad \text{is even, or equivalently, unless} \quad z \quad \text{is an integer}.
\]
This justifies having only integer values for \( z \) in (5.7).

5.1. Groups \( C_n \). For each \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \), the group \( C_n \leq USp(4) \) consists of the matrices of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & \bar{A}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
with \( A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi ir + s\pi i/n} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi ir - s\pi i/n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in [0, 1), \quad s = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n - 1.
\]
By definition the number \( m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(C_n) \) is equal to the multiplicity of the trivial representation in \( \chi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{Sp(4)} |_{C_n} \).

Let \( v_{\mu} \) be a vector of weight \( \mu \) in \( V^{Sp(4)}_{\tilde{\lambda}} \). Then we obtain
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & \bar{A}
\end{pmatrix} v_{\mu} = (e^{2\pi ir})^{\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2)}(e^{s\pi i/n})^{\mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2)} v_{\mu},
\]
where \( \hat{h}_1 = E_{11} - E_{33} \) and \( \hat{h}_2 = E_{22} - E_{44} \) as before. Thus the number \( m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(C_n) \) is equal to the number of independent vectors with weight \( \mu \) in the representation \( V^{Sp(4)}_{\tilde{\lambda}} \) such that
\[
\text{(5.12)} \quad \mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6.
\]
The numbers \( m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(C_n) \) are all calculated in Section 6.2 (Propositions 6.4–6.9) and they match with the formulae in Table 5.1. This prove Theorem 5.2 for the groups \( C_n \).

| \( z \setminus b \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| \( z \setminus b \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -3 | -1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
For convenience in notation, define

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_1 &:= \eta_1, & \eta_2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2, & \eta_3 &:= \frac{1}{3} \eta_1 + \frac{2}{3} \eta_3, \\
\eta_4 &:= \frac{1}{4} \eta_1 + \frac{3}{4} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_4, & \eta_6 &:= \frac{1}{6} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{3} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_6.
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have

\[
(5.13) \quad \int_{C_n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) d\gamma_{C_n} = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \eta_n(z, b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(\eta_{2m-b-2z, 12z})
\]

for \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \), where \( d\gamma_{C_n} \) is the probability Haar measure on \( C_n \).

**5.2. Cosets of** \( C_n \). We need to study the cosets of \( C_1 \) in \( C_n \) to understand other groups. Consider

\[
\gamma = \text{diag}(ue^{\pi i/n}, ue^{-\pi i/n}, u^{-1}e^{-\pi i/n}, u^{-1}e^{\pi i/n}) \in \zeta_2 n C_1, \quad u \in U(1).
\]

Then we have

\[
(5.14) \quad \det(I + x \gamma) = (1 + xu e^{\pi i/n})(1 + xu^{-1}e^{\pi i/n})(1 + xu^{-1}e^{-\pi i/n})(1 + xu^{-1}e^{\pi i/n})
\]

where we set \( \omega_n = -2, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \) for \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \), respectively.

**Proposition 5.3.** For \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \), we have

\[
(5.15) \quad \int_{C_1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \zeta_2 n \gamma) d\gamma_{C_1} = \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + \omega_n x_i u + x_i^2 u^2)(1 + \omega_n x_i u^{-1} + x_i^2 u^{-2}) du
\]

\[
= (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \eta_n(z, b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(\eta_{2m-b-2z, 12z}).
\]

**Proof.** The case \( n = 1 \) is already checked as a part of Theorem 5.2, and we have only to consider \( n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \). To begin with, note that we have the coset decompositions

\[
(5.16) \quad C_2 = C_1 \sqcup \zeta_2 C_1, \quad C_3 = C_1 \sqcup \zeta_6 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_6^2 C_1, \quad C_4 = C_1 \sqcup \zeta_8 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_4 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_3 C_1,
\]

\[
(5.17) \quad C_6 = C_1 \sqcup \zeta_{12} C_1 \sqcup \zeta_6 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_4 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_6^3 C_1 \sqcup \zeta_{12}^2 C_1.
\]

Let \( d\gamma_{C_n} \) and \( du \) be the probability Haar measures on \( C_n \) and \( U(1) \), respectively. Note that \( C_1 \cong U(1) \). Recall (5.13):

\[
\int_{C_n} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) d\gamma_{C_n} = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \eta_n(z, b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(\eta_{2m-b-2z, 12z}).
\]

In what follows we write

\[
(5.18) \quad \Delta(\zeta) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \zeta) d\gamma
\]

to ease the notation, where \( d\gamma \) is the probability Haar measure on the group the integral is over.
When \( n = 2 \), we obtain from (5.14) and (5.16),

\[
\int_{C_2} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{4}\gamma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \right\rfloor} \eta_1(z, b) \chi_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_i^2 u^2)(1 + x_i^2 u^{-2})\, du.
\]

Since \( \tilde{\eta}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 \), we obtain (5.15) for \( n = 2 \).

For \( n = 3 \), since \(-u \in U(1)\) for \( u \in U(1)\), a similar computation to (5.14) yields

\[
\int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{6}\gamma) = \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{6}\gamma).
\]

Thus we obtain from (5.14) and (5.16),

\[
\int_{C_3} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{2}{3} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{6}\gamma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{3} (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \right\rfloor} \eta_1(z, b) \chi_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)} + \frac{2}{3} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_i^2 u^2)(1 + x_i^2 u^{-2})\, du.
\]

Since \( \tilde{\eta}_3 = \frac{1}{3} \eta_1 + \frac{2}{3} \eta_2 \), we obtain (5.15) for \( n = 3 \).

When \( n = 4 \), we get

\[
\int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{8}\gamma) = \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{8}\gamma).
\]

Thus we obtain from (5.14) and (5.16),

\[
\int_{C_4} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{4}\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{8}\gamma)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \right\rfloor} (\eta_1 + \eta_2)(z, b) \chi_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + \sqrt{2} x_i u + x_i^2 u^2)(1 + \sqrt{2} x_i u^{-1} + x_i^2 u^{-2})\, du.
\]

Since \( \tilde{\eta}_4 = \frac{1}{4} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{4} \eta_4 \), we obtain (5.15) for \( n = 4 \).

The case \( n = 6 \) is similar to the previous cases, and we obtain

\[
\int_{C_6} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{6} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{6} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{4}\gamma) + \frac{1}{3} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{6}\gamma) + \frac{1}{3} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_{12}\gamma).
\]

Since \( \tilde{\eta}_6 = \frac{1}{6} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{3} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{3} \eta_6 \), we obtain (5.15) for \( n = 6 \).
Corollary 5.4. For any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have
\[
\sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \right\rfloor} \eta_2(z, b) \chi_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m/2} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_{m-2\ell} \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^{m-2\ell} (x_{ij}^2 + x_{ij}^{-2})
\]
\[= \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} \chi_{(1m-2j)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(x_1^2, \ldots, x_m^2).
\]

Proof. The first equality comes from (5.15) and (4.6), and the second equality comes from (4.4).

5.3. Groups \( D_n \). We have the coset decomposition \( D_n = C_n \sqcup jC_n \) with
\[
j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
for \( n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \). Consider
\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^{-\pi i/n} & 0 & 0 \\ -u^\pi i/n & 0 & u^{-1}e^{\pi i/n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -u^{-1}e^{-\pi i/n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in jC_n, \quad u \in U(1).
\]
We see that
\[
\det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^2u^2)(1 + x^2u^{-2})
\]
for any \( n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \). Then we have
\[
\int_{D_n} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(j\gamma)
\]
\[= \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}(1 + x_i^2u^2)(1 + x_i^2u^{-2})du.
\]
By (5.15), we obtain
\[
\int_{C_n} \Delta(j\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \right\rfloor} \eta_2(z, b) \chi_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]
and it follows from (5.13) that \( \tilde{m}(D_n) \) are given by
\[
\frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, 4, 6.
\]
These coincide with the formulae in Table 5.1 and prove Theorem 5.2 for the groups \( D_n \).

5.4. Group \( T \). There are 24 cosets of \( C_1 \) in \( T \), whose representatives are given by
\[
\{ \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \frac{1}{2}(\pm 1 \pm i \pm j \pm k) \}.
\]
From computations of the characteristic polynomials for the elements of each coset, we see the following.

(i) There are 2 cosets whose characteristic polynomials are
\[
(1 - 2xu + x^2u^2)(1 - 2xu^{-1} + x^2u^{-2}) \quad (u \in U(1)).
\]
By (5.14), these are the same as those of \( C_1 \).
(ii) There are 6 cosets whose characteristic polynomials are
\[(1 + x^2 u^2)(1 + x^2 u^{-2}) \quad (u \in U(1)),\]
which are the same as those of \(\zeta_4 C_1\) by (5.14).

(iii) The characteristic polynomials of the remaining 16 cosets are
\[(1 + xu + x^2 u^2)(1 + xu^{-1} + x^2 u^{-2}) \quad (u \in U(1)).\]
These are the same as those of \(\zeta_6 C_1\) by (5.14).

Thus we obtain
\[
\int_T \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{2}{24} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{6}{24} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_4 \gamma) + \frac{16}{24} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_6 \gamma)
\]
and it follows from (5.15) that
\[
(5.21) \quad m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(T) = \frac{1}{12} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2 + \frac{2}{3} \eta_3.
\]
This proves Theorem 5.2 for the group \(T\).

5.5. Group \(O\). There are 48 cosets of \(C_1\) as one can see from (5.4). We compute the characteristic polynomial for each coset. Comparing with (5.14), we obtain 2 cosets with \(C_1\) polynomials, 18 cosets with \(\zeta_4 C_1\) polynomials, 16 cosets with \(\zeta_6 C_1\) polynomials and 12 cosets with \(\zeta_8 C_1\) polynomials.

Thus we obtain
\[
\int_O \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{2}{48} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{18}{48} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_4 \gamma) + \frac{16}{48} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_6 \gamma) + \frac{12}{48} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\zeta_8 \gamma),
\]
and it follows from (5.15) that
\[
(5.22) \quad m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(O) = \frac{1}{24} \eta_1 + \frac{3}{8} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{4} \eta_4.
\]
This proves Theorem 5.2 for the group \(O\).

5.6. Groups \(J(C_n)\). Recall \(J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\). The group \(J(C_n)\) is defined to be the group obtained by adjoining \(J\) to \(C_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6\), and we have the decomposition
\[J(C_n) = C_n \sqcup JC_n.\]

The number \(m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(J(C_n))\) is equal to the number of independent \(J\)-fixed vectors with weight \(\mu\) in the representation \(\bar{\chi}^\text{Sp(4)}\) satisfying the conditions in (5.12). The numbers \(m_{\tilde{\lambda}}(J(C_n))\) are all calculated in Propositions 6.12 and 6.13, and they coincide with the formulae in Table 5.1. This prove Theorem 5.2 for the groups \(J(C_n)\).

Define
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\theta}_1 &:= \theta_1, \\
\tilde{\theta}_2 &:= \frac{1}{2} \theta_1 + \frac{3}{4} \theta_2, \\
\tilde{\theta}_3 &:= \frac{1}{4} \theta_1 + \frac{2}{3} \theta_3, \\
\tilde{\theta}_4 &:= \frac{1}{4} \theta_1 + \frac{1}{3} \theta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_4, \\
\tilde{\theta}_5 &:= \frac{1}{6} \theta_1 + \frac{1}{4} \theta_2 + \frac{1}{3} \theta_3 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_6.
\end{align*}
\]
Then the result for $J(C_n)$ can be written as

(5.24) \[ \int_{J(C_n)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \gamma) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \bar{\theta}_n(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}. \]

Using the above result, we now prove another main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 5.5 (Theorem 1.2 (1)).** Let $\kappa_1 = -2, \kappa_2 = 2, \kappa_3 = 1, \kappa_4 = 0$, and $\kappa_6 = -1$. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, we have the following identities:

(5.25) \[ \int_{C_1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i \zeta_{2n} \gamma) d\gamma_{C_1} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^2 + \kappa_n + x_i^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \psi_n(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}, \]

where $\psi_n(z, b)$ are defined in (5.8), (5.9) and Table 5.3.

**Proof.** We have $J\zeta_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{\pi i/n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{\pi i/n} & 0 & 0 \\ e^{\pi i/n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For $\gamma \in C_1$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, direct computation shows

(5.26) \[ \det(I + x \zeta_{2n} \gamma) = 1 - (e^{\pi i/n} + e^{-\pi i/n})x^2 + x^4 = 1 - \kappa_n x^2 + x^4. \]

This proves the first equality in (5.25).

We continue to use the notational convention in (5.18). We have

\[ \int_{J(C_n)} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(\gamma_n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(J\gamma_n). \]

When $n = 1$, we get from (5.13), (5.24) and (5.26)

\[ \int_{J(C_1)} \Delta(\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \bar{\theta}_1(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1} \Delta(\gamma_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1} \Delta(J\gamma_1) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 \cdots x_m \right)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \eta_1(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)} + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - 2x_i^2 + x_i^4). \]

Since $\bar{\theta}_1 = \theta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_1$ and $\eta_1 = \bar{\eta}_1$ by definition, we obtain

\[ \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i^2 - 2 + x_i^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \psi_1(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}, \]

as desired.

Assume that $n = 2$. Using (5.16) along with (5.13), (5.24) and (5.26), we obtain

\[ \int_{J(C_2)} \Delta(\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \bar{\theta}_2(z, b) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)} \]

...
Since \( L = \frac{1}{4} I \), we obtain
\[
\Phi(L) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta(\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_1 \right) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)} + \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + 2x_i^2 + x_i^4).
\]
Since \( \eta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \theta_2 = \frac{1}{4} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 \), we obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^2 + 2 + x^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \psi_2(z,b) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}.
\]
When \( n = 3 \), similar computation yields
\[
\int_{J(C_3)} \Delta(\gamma_3) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_1 \right) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)} + \frac{1}{3} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x^2 + x^4).
\]
Since \( \eta_3 = \frac{1}{3} \theta_1 + \frac{2}{3} \theta_2 = \frac{1}{6} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{3} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{3} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{3} \psi_2 \), we obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^2 + 1 + x^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \psi_3(z,b) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}.
\]
When \( n = 4 \), it follows from
\[
\int_{J(C_4)} \Delta(\gamma_4) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_4 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_2 \right) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)} + \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x^4)
\]
that
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^2 + x^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \psi_4(z,b) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}.
\]
Finally, when \( n = 6 \), we have
\[
\int_{J(C_6)} \Delta(\gamma_6) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta_6 + \frac{1}{12} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{12} \psi_2 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_3 \right) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)} + \frac{1}{6} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - x^2 + x^4),
\]
and obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^2 - 1 + x^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \psi_6(z,b) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}.
\]

The following identities are obtained from computations in the proof above. These identities will be used in the next subsection.

**Corollary 5.6.** For \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 \), we have
\[
(5.27) \quad \int_{J(C_1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \det(I + x_i J) d\gamma = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{m} \tilde{\psi}_6(z,b) \chi^{\Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)},
\]
where we define
\[ \bar{\psi}_1 := \psi_1, \quad \bar{\psi}_2 := \frac{1}{2} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2, \quad \bar{\psi}_3 := \frac{1}{3} \psi_1 + \frac{2}{3} \psi_3, \]
\[ \bar{\psi}_4 := \frac{1}{4} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_4, \quad \bar{\psi}_6 := \frac{1}{6} \psi_1 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_2 + \frac{1}{3} \psi_3 + \frac{1}{3} \psi_6. \]

We also obtain the following identity from (4.5):

Corollary 5.7. For any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^2 + x^{-2}) = \sum_{b=0}^{m-1} \sum_{z=0}^{m-b} \psi_4(z,b) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(x_1^{\pm 2}, \ldots, x_m^{\pm 2}).
\]

5.7. Groups \( J(D_n) \). We have the decompositions
\[ J(D_n) = D_n \sqcup JD_n \quad \text{and} \quad D_n = C_n \sqcup jC_n, \]
where \( j = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \). For any \( \gamma \in C_n, n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \), direct computation shows
\[ \det(I + xJj\gamma) = 1 + 2x^2 + x^4. \]

Using (5.20), (5.25) and (5.27), we compute
\[
\int_{J(D_n)} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_n} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{J_n} \Delta(J\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_n} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_n} \Delta(J\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_n} \Delta(JJ\gamma)
\]
\[ = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m-1} \sum_{z=0}^{m-b} \left( \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta}_n + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_n + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_2 \right) \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{\text{Sp}(2m)}(\eta_1^{\pm 2}, \ldots, \psi_3^{\pm 2}). \]

Then we have
\[ \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta}_n + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_n + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_n + \frac{1}{2} \theta_2, \]
which are the same as the formulae in Table 5.1 for \( n = 2, 3, 4, 6 \). This proves Theorem 5.2 for the groups \( J(D_n) \).

5.8. Groups \( J(T) \) and \( J(O) \). We have the decompositions
\[ J(T) = T \sqcup JT \quad \text{and} \quad J(O) = O \sqcup JO. \]

As we have noticed in Sections 5.4 and 5.5, elements of each coset \( S \) of \( C_1 \) in \( T \) or \( O \) have the same characteristic polynomials of the elements of \( \zeta_{2n} C_1 \) for some \( n \). Then the coset \( JS \) in \( JT \) or \( JO \) has the same characteristic polynomials as those of \( J\zeta_{2n} C_1 \). It follows from (5.21), (5.22) and (5.25) that
\[
m_\chi(J(T)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{12} \theta_1 + \frac{5}{2} \eta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \eta_3) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{12} \psi_1 + \frac{5}{2} \psi_2 + \frac{3}{2} \psi_3) = \frac{1}{12} \theta_1 + \frac{5}{2} \theta_2 + \frac{3}{2} \theta_3,
\]
\[
m_\chi(J(O)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{20} \theta_1 + \frac{3}{5} \eta_2 + \frac{3}{5} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{5} \eta_4) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{20} \psi_1 + \frac{3}{5} \psi_2 + \frac{3}{5} \psi_3 + \frac{1}{5} \psi_4)
\]
\[ = \frac{1}{20} \theta_1 + \frac{3}{5} \theta_2 + \frac{3}{5} \theta_3 + \frac{1}{5} \theta_4. \]

This proves Theorem 5.2 for \( J(T) \) and \( J(O) \).
5.9. **Groups** $C_{n,1}$. Recall $C_{n,1} = \langle U(1), \zeta_{2n} \rangle$, $n = 2, 4, 6$. The group $C_{n,1}$ has the subgroup $\langle U(1), \zeta_n \rangle$ of index 2 which is isomorphic to $C_{n/2}$. Thus we have the decomposition

$$C_{n,1} = C_{n/2} \cup J\zeta_{2n}C_{n/2}.$$

It follows from (5.16) that

$$J\zeta_8C_2 = J\zeta_8C_1 \cup J\zeta_8^3 C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad J\zeta_{12}C_3 = J\zeta_{12}C_1 \cup J\zeta_5^2 \cup J\zeta_4 C_1.$$

Since

$$\int_{C_{n,1}} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{n/2}} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{n/2}} \Delta(J\zeta_{2n}\gamma),$$

we use (5.13) and (5.25) to obtain

$$m\tilde{\lambda}(C_{2,1}) = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\eta}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2,$$

$$m\tilde{\lambda}(C_{4,1}) = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\eta}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_4,$$

$$m\tilde{\lambda}(C_{6,1}) = \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\eta}_3 + \frac{1}{6} \psi_2 + \frac{1}{3} \psi_6.$$

Thus we have proved Theorem 5.2 for $C_{n,1}$.

5.10. **Groups** $D_{n,1}$. We have the decompositions

$$D_{n,1} = C_{n,1} \cup jC_{n,1} \quad \text{and} \quad jC_{n,1} = jC_{n/2} \cup jJ\zeta_{2n}C_{n/2}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{D_{n,1}} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{n,1}} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_{n,1}} \Delta(j\gamma)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_{n,1}} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_{n/2}} \Delta(j\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{C_{n/2}} \Delta(j\zeta_{2n}\gamma).$$

For any $\gamma \in jJ\zeta_{2n}C_{n/2}$, one can check

$$\det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + 2x^2 + x^4.$$

Thus it follows from (5.19) and (5.25) that

$$m\tilde{\lambda}(D_{n,1}) = \frac{1}{2} m\tilde{\lambda}(C_{n,1}) + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\eta}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_2.$$

These are the same as the formulae for $D_{n,1}$ in Theorem 5.2.

5.11. **Groups** $D_{n,2}$. We have the decomposition

$$D_{n,2} = C_n \cup jJC_n, \quad n = 3, 4, 6.$$

For any $\gamma \in jJC_n$, we obtain

$$\det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + 2x^2 + x^4.$$

Since we have

$$\int_{D_{n,2}} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_n} \Delta(Jj\gamma),$$

we get

$$m\tilde{\lambda}(D_{n,2}) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\eta}_n + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2.$$
These are the same as the formulae for $D_{n,2}$ in Theorem 5.2.

5.12. **Group** $O_1$. Recall $O_1 = \langle T, JQ_2 \rangle$ with $Q_2$ in (5.4). There are 48 cosets of $C_1$ in $O_1$. Half of them belong to the subgroup $T$. In the other half, 12 of the 24 cosets have the same characteristic polynomial as $J\zeta_4C_1$ and the remaining 12 cosets have the same as $J\zeta_8C_1$. By (5.25), we obtain

$$m_\lambda(O_1) = \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda(T) + \frac{1}{4} \psi_2 + \frac{1}{4} \psi_4.$$ 

This coincides with the formula in Table 5.1 for $O_1$.

5.13. **Groups** $E_n$. We have $E_n = \langle SU(2), e^{\pi i/n} \rangle$, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, where $e^{\pi i/n}$ is identified with

$$\text{diag}(e^{\pi i/n}, e^{\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}, e^{-\pi i/n}).$$

The number $m_\lambda(E_n)$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ with weight $\mu$ in $V^{\text{Sp}(4)}_\lambda$ that generate the trivial representation of $SU(2)$ and satisfy $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$, equivalently, the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$ and $e_1 v_\mu = f_1 v_\mu = 0$. The numbers $m_\lambda(E_n)$ are all calculated in Proposition 6.20, and they coincide with the formulae in Table 5.1. This proves Theorem 5.2 for $E_n$.

5.14. **Groups** $J(E_n)$. The number $m_\lambda(J(E_1))$ is equal to the number of independent $J$-fixed weight vectors $v_\mu$ with weight $\mu$ in $V^{\text{Sp}(4)}_\lambda$ such that $e_1 v_\mu = f_1 v_\mu = 0$. The numbers $m_\lambda(J(E_1))$ are calculated in Proposition 6.23, and they are the same as the formulae in Table 5.1.

For the other $J(E_n)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, observe that

$$\det \left( I + xJ \begin{pmatrix} tA & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{t}A \end{pmatrix} \right) = 1 + (2 - |\text{tr} A|^2)x^2 + x^4$$

for any $A \in SU(2)$ and $t \in U(1)$. Thus

$$\int_{E_n} \Delta(J\gamma) = \int_{E_1} \Delta(J\gamma) \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, 4, 6.$$ 

Notice from Propositions 6.23 that

$$m_\lambda(J(E_1)) = \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda(E_1) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\ell} \delta(b \equiv 2 0).$$

Since $J(E_1) = E_1 \sqcup JE_1$, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 5.8.** For $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$,

$$\int_{E_n} \Delta(J\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} \delta(b \equiv 2 0) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,1^{2z})} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-2\ell-2z,1^{2z})}.$$ 

(5.29)

$$= (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-\ell}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{2z} \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-2\ell-2z,1^{2z})}.$$
From $J(E_n) = E_n \cup J E_n$, $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, we also obtain
\[ m_\lambda(J(E_n)) = \frac{1}{2} m_\lambda(E_n) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^z \delta(b \equiv 2 \ 0), \]
which are equal to the formulae in Table 5.1.

Using the above results on $J(E_n)$, we now prove another identity presented in the introduction.

**Theorem 5.9 (Theorem 1.2 (2)).** For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the following identity holds:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m-k}{((m-k)/2)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( x_{i_j}^2 + x_{i_j}^{-2} \right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - \ell} (-1)^z \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(2m-2\ell-2z,12z)}.
\]

**Proof.** Recall
\[(5.30) \quad \int_{\text{SU}(2)} |\text{tr} \, A|^{2k} \, dA = \mathcal{C}_k,
\]
where $\mathcal{C}_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$ is the $k$th Catalan number. It is known that the second inverse binomial transformations of Catalan numbers are central binomial coefficients. Precisely, we have
\[(5.31) \quad \binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} 2^{m-k} \mathcal{C}_k.
\]

Using (5.30) and (5.31), we obtain
\[
\int_{\text{SU}(2)} (2 - |\text{tr} \, A|^{2})^m \, dA = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} 2^{m-k} \mathcal{C}_k \int_{\text{SU}(2)} |\text{tr} \, A|^{2k} \, dA = \binom{m}{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}.
\]

Then, using (5.28), we have
\[
\int_{E_n} \Delta(J \gamma) = \int_{\text{SU}(2)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( 1 + (2 - |\text{tr} \, A|^{2}) x_i^2 + x_i^4 \right) \, dA
\]
\[
= (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m-k}{((m-k)/2)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( x_{i_j}^2 + x_{i_j}^{-2} \right).
\]

On the other hand, we have from (5.29)
\[
\int_{E_n} \Delta(J \gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - \ell} (-1)^z \chi_{\text{Sp}(2m)}^{(2m-2\ell-2z,12z)},
\]
and obtain the desired identity. \qed
5.15. **Groups U(2) and N(U(2)).** The number $m^\lambda(U(2))$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ with weight $\mu$ in $V^{Sp(4)}_{\lambda}$ such that $e_1v_\mu = f_1v_\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 0$, and we see from (6.17) that such a vector $v_\mu$ exists with multiplicity 1 if and only if $b$ is even. The number $m^\lambda(N(U(2)))$ is equal to the number of such vectors $v_\mu$ which is fixed by $J$, and it follows from (6.19) that, when it exits, the vector $v_\mu$ is fixed by $J$ if and only if $J$ is even. These match with the formulae in Table 5.1.

5.16. **Groups $F^f$.** Recall that we have the embedding $U(1) \times U(1)$ into $USp(4)$ given by

$$(u_1, u_2) \mapsto \text{diag}(u_1, u_2, u_1^{-1}, u_2^{-1}),$$

and that

$$\lambda \in F.$$  

We have the decomposition

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

$$ab = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ac = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.16.1. **Group $F$.** We have $F \cong U(1) \times U(1)$. The number $m^\lambda(F)$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ such that $\mu = 0$. This number is calculated in Proposition 6.24, and coincides with the formula in Table 5.1. That is,

$$(5.32) \quad \int_F \Delta(\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \xi_1(z, b) \chi^{Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)},$$

where

$$\xi_1(z, b) = z(b + 1) + \lfloor b/2 \rfloor + 1.$$  

5.16.2. **Group $F^a$.** The number $m^\lambda(F^a)$ is equal to the number of $a$-fixed independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ such that $\mu = 0$. This number is calculated in Proposition 6.25, and we obtain

$$(5.33) \quad \int_{F^a} \Delta(\gamma) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \xi_2 \right) \chi^{Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)},$$

Using (5.33), we can establish the last identity presented in the introduction.

**Theorem 5.10** (Theorem 1.2 (3)). For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the following identity holds:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x_i + x_i^{-1}) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \chi^{Sp(2m)}_{(1m-2j)} = \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-b}{2} \rfloor} \xi_2(z, b) \chi^{Sp(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z, 12z)}.$$  

**Proof.** We have the decomposition

$$F^a = F \sqcup aF.$$  

For $\gamma \in aF$, we have

$$\det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^2)(1 + (u + u^{-1})x + x^2), \quad u \in U(1).$$
Thus we obtain
\[
\int_{F_\alpha} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(a\gamma) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + x_i^2) \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + (u + u^{-1})x_i + x_i^2) du \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + x_i^2) \sum_{j=0}^\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \chi_{(1^{m-2j})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]
where we use the identity in Theorem 4.1 for $U(1)$. It follows from (5.32) and (5.33) that
\[
\int_{F_\alpha} \Delta(a\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + x_i^2) \sum_{j=0}^\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \chi_{(1^{m-2j})}^{\text{Sp}(2m)},
\]
and we obtain the desired identity. □

5.16.3. Group $F_c$. We have $F_c = F \sqcup cF$. For $\gamma \in cF$, we obtain
\[
\det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + (u_1u_2 + u_1^{-1}u_2^{-1})x^2 + x^4.
\]
Thus
\[
\int_{F_c} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(c\gamma) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + (u_1u_2 + u_1^{-1}u_2^{-1})x_i^2 + x_i^4) du_1 du_2 \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + (u_1 + u_1^{-1})x_i^2 + x_i^4) du_1 du_2 \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{U(1)} \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + (u + u^{-1})x_i^2 + x_i^4) du,
\]
since $du_1$ is translation-invariant. It follows from (5.15) that
\[
m_{\chi}(F_c) = \frac{1}{2} \xi_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2(z, b),
\]
which is the same as the formula in Table 5.1.

5.16.4. Group $F_{ab}$. We have $F_{ab} = F \sqcup abF$. For $\gamma \in abF$, we have
\[
\det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^2)^2.
\]
Thus
\[
\int_{F_{ab}} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_F \Delta(ab\gamma)
\]
\[ \int_{F} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{F} \frac{m}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_{i}^{2})^{2}. \]

It follows from (6.24) and (5.25) that

\[ \int_{F_{ab}} \Delta(\gamma) = (x_{1} \cdots x_{m})^{2} \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{m-b} \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{2} \right) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}. \]

This coincides with the formula in Table 5.1.

5.16.5. **Group** \( F_{ac} \). There are four cosets of \( F \) in \( F_{ac} \) represented by \( 1, ac, (ac)^{2}, (ac)^{3} \). For \( \gamma \in acF \) or \( (ac)^{3}F \), we have

\[ \det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + x^{4}. \]

For \( \gamma \in (ac)^{2}F = abF \), we have

\[ \det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^{2})^{2}. \]

Using (5.25), we have

\[ \int_{F_{ac}} \Delta(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{F} \Delta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_{i}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + x_{i}^{4}) \]

\[ = (x_{1} \cdots x_{m})^{2} \sum_{b=0}^{m} \sum_{z=0}^{m-b} \left( \frac{1}{4} \xi_{1} + \frac{1}{4} \psi_{2} + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{4} \right) \chi^{\text{Sp}(2m)}_{(2m-b-2z,12z)}. \]

This coincides with the formula in Table 5.1.

5.16.6. **Group** \( F_{a,b} \). There are four cosets of \( F \) in \( F_{a,b} \) represented by \( 1, a, b, ab \). For \( \gamma \in aF \) or \( bF \), we have

\[ \det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^{2})(1 + (u + u^{-1})x + x^{2}), \quad u \in U(1). \]

For \( \gamma \in abF \), we have

\[ \det(I + x\gamma) = (1 + x^{2})^{2}. \]

Thus it follows from (5.25) and (5.34) that

\[ m_{\lambda}(F_{a,b}) = \frac{1}{4} \xi_{1}(z,b) + \frac{1}{4} \psi_{2}(z,b) + \frac{1}{2} \xi_{2}(z,b), \]

which is the same as the formula in Table 5.1.

5.16.7. **Group** \( F_{ab,c} \). There are four cosets of \( F \) in \( F_{ab,c} \) represented by \( 1, ab, c, abc \). For \( \gamma \in cF \) or \( abcF \), we have

\[ \det(I + x\gamma) = 1 + (u_{1}u_{2}^{-1} + u_{1}^{-1}u_{2})x^{2} + x^{4}. \]

Thus using the results on \( F_{ab} \) and \( F_{c} \), we have

\[ m_{\lambda}(F_{ab,c}) = \frac{1}{4} \xi_{1}(z,b) + \frac{1}{4} \psi_{2}(z,b) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{2}(z,b), \]

which is the same as the formula in Table 5.1.
5.16.8. Group $F_{a,b,c}$. There are eight cosets of $F$ in $F_{a,b,c}$ represented by $1, ac, (ac)^2, (ac)^3, a, b, c, abc$. Using the results on $F_a, F_b, F_{ac}$ and $F_{abc}$, we have

$$m_{\lambda}(F_{a,b,c}) = \frac{1}{8} \xi_1(z,b) + \frac{1}{4} \xi_2(z,b) + \frac{1}{8} \psi_2(z,b) + \frac{1}{4} \psi_4(z,b) + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2(z,b),$$

which coincides with the formula in Table 5.1.

5.17. Groups $G_{1,3}$ and $N(G_{1,3})$. Recall that $G_{1,3} \cong U(1) \times SU(2)$ and

$$N(G_{1,3}) = \langle G_{1,3}, a \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The number $m_{\lambda}(G_{1,3})$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_{\mu}$ in $V_{\lambda}^{Sp(4)}$ such that $\mu = 0$ and $\hat{e}_2$ and $\hat{f}_2$ act trivially, and the number $m_{\lambda}(N(G_{1,3}))$ is equal to the number of $a$-fixed such vectors. From Proposition 6.28, we obtain the same numbers as in the formulae in Table 5.1 for $G_{1,3}$ and $N(G_{1,3})$.

5.18. Groups $G_{3,3}$ and $N(G_{3,3})$. Recall that $G_{3,3} \cong SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and

$$N(G_{3,3}) = \langle G_{3,3}, J \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In this case, the number $m_{\lambda}(G_{3,3})$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_{\mu}$ in $V_{\lambda}^{Sp(4)}$ such that $\mu = 0$ and $\hat{e}_i$ and $\hat{f}_i$ act trivially for $i = 1, 2$, and the number $m_{\lambda}(N(G_{1,3}))$ is equal to the number of $J$-fixed such vectors. From Proposition 6.29, we obtain the same numbers as those in Table 5.1 for $G_{3,3}$ and $N(G_{3,3})$.

We have checked all the formulae in Table 5.1. This completes our proof of Theorem 5.2. □

6. Branching rules

In this section we study branching rules that arise in relation to the Sato–Tate groups. The results are essentially used in Section 5 for the proof of Theorem 5.2. We present these branching rules for Lie algebras. This will be more consistent with standard notations for crystals which are our main tools in this section. We refer the readers to [BuSch17, HK02, Kash02] for a theory of crystals.

The Cartan types of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ will be denoted by $C_2$ and $A_1$, respectively. A partition $(a,b)$ of length $\leq 2$ will be considered as a weight of $C_2$ type corresponding to $a\epsilon_1 + b\epsilon_2$. The irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$ with highest weight $(a,b)$ will be denoted by $V_{C_2}(a,b)$ and its crystal by $B_{C_2}(a,b)$. Similar notations will be adopted for other types. In this section, since we are mainly interested in the Sato–Tate groups,

we assume that $a - b$ is even, and write $z := (a - b)/2$.

This assumption is justified by (5.11).
Example 6.1.

(a) \( \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(2, 2) = \{(2, 2), (1, 1), (0, 0)\} \).

(b) \( \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(4, 2) = \{(4, 2), (3, 1), (2, 0), (3, 3), (2, 2), (1, 1), (2, 4), (1, 3), (0, 2)\} \).

Let \( t_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \) and \( t_2 = (0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \) be weights of type \( A_1 \times A_1 \). For non-negative integers \( p \) and \( q \), the crystal \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2) \) can be realized as the set of one-row standard tableaux with entries 1, \( \overline{1} \), 2 or \( \overline{2} \) having the order \( 1 < \overline{1} < 2 < \overline{2} \) such that

(6.2) the total number of 1 and \( \overline{1} \) is \( p \) and the total number of 2 and \( \overline{2} \) is \( q \).

For instance,

\[
B_{A_1 \times A_1}(3t_1 + t_2) = \{1112, 11\overline{1}2, 11\overline{2}, \overline{1}1\overline{2}, \overline{1}12, \overline{1}21, \overline{1}1\overline{2}, \overline{1}12, \overline{1}21\}.
\]

The cardinality of \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2) \) is \( (p + 1)(q + 1) \).

Note that, \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2) \) is minuscule; i.e., each weight space in \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2) \) is 1-dimensional. The crystal graph of \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(t_1 + t_2) \) is given by

```
  1 12 2
/   /   /
T2 --- T2 --- T2
  2  1
```

Clearly, the Kashiwara operators \( \tilde{e}_1 \) and \( \tilde{e}_2 \) commute. For a pair \((a_1, a_2)\) of non-negative integers and \( i = 1, 2 \), we have

(6.3) \[
B_{A_1 \times A_1}(a_1 t_1 + a_2 t_2) \otimes B_{A_1 \times A_1}(t_i)
= B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 + \delta_{i, 1})t_1 + (a_2 + \delta_{i, 2})t_2) \oplus \delta(a_i \geq 1)B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 - \delta_{i, 1})t_1 + (a_2 - \delta_{i, 2})t_2)
\]

and

\[
B_{A_1 \times A_1}(a_1 t_1 + a_2 t_2) \otimes B_{A_1 \times A_1}(t_1 + t_2)
= B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 + 1)t_1 + (a_2 + 1)t_2) \oplus \delta(a_2 > 0)B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 + 1)t_1 + (a_2 - 1)t_2)
\]

\[
\oplus \delta(a_1 > 0)B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 - 1)t_1 + (a_2 + 1)t_2)
\]

\[
\oplus \delta(a_1 a_2 > 0)B_{A_1 \times A_1}((a_1 - 1)t_1 + (a_2 - 1)t_2).
\]

On the other hand, for a highest weight crystal \( B_{C_2}(a, b) \ (a \geq b \geq 0) \), we have

(6.5) \[
B_{C_2}(a, b) \otimes B_{C_2}(1, 0) = B_{C_2}(a + 1, b) \oplus \delta(a > b)B_{C_2}(a, b + 1)
\]

\[
\oplus \delta(b > 0)B_{C_2}(a, b - 1) \oplus \delta(a - 1 \geq b)B_{C_2}(a - 1, b)
\]

and

\[
B_{C_2}(a, b) \otimes B_{C_2}(1, 1) = B_{C_2}(a + 1, b + 1) \oplus \delta(b > 0)B_{C_2}(a + 1, b - 1)
\]

\[
\oplus \delta(a > b)B_{C_2}(a, b) \oplus \delta(a - 2 \geq b)B_{C_2}(a - 1, b + 1)
\]

\[
\oplus \delta(b > 0)B_{C_2}(a - 1, b - 1).
\]
Proposition 6.2. For each partition \((a, b)\), we have
\[
B_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1 \times A_1} \simeq \bigoplus_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2).
\]

Proof. For simplicity we write \(B_{A_1 \times A_1}(p, q)\) for \(B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)\). By direct calculation, one can see that
\[
B_{C_2}(1, 0)|_{A_1 \times A_1} = B_{A_1}(1, 0) \oplus B_{A_1}(0, 1).
\]

We first apply an induction argument on \(a\) using (6.3) and (6.5) to obtain the formula for \(B_{C_2}(a + 1, b)\). Namely, \(B_{C_2}(a, b) \otimes B_{C_2}(1, 0)\) can be replaced with
\[
\left( \bigoplus_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} B_{A_1}(p, q) \right) \otimes (B_{A_1}(1, 0) \oplus B_{A_1}(0, 1)).
\]

Then, for the composition factors in RHS of (6.5) except \(B_{C_2}(a + 1, b)\), we apply the induction on \(a\). Now we use (6.4) and (6.6) to obtain the formula for \(B_{C_2}(a + 1, b + 1)\). More precisely, \(B_{C_2}(a, b) \otimes B_{C_2}(1, 1)\) can be replaced with
\[
\left( \bigoplus_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} B_{A_1}(p, q) \right) \otimes (B_{A_1}(1, 1) \oplus B_{A_1}(0, 0)).
\]

Then, for the composition factors in RHS of (6.6) except \(B_{C_2}(a + 1, b + 1)\), we apply the induction on \(a + b\). We obtain our assertion for \(B_{C_2}(a + 1, b + 1)\) by comparing LHS and RHS of (6.6). \(\square\)

Corollary 6.3.

(a) For \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)\), the multiplicity of \(B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)\) in \(B_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1 \times A_1}\) is 1.

(b) \(B_{A_1 \times A_1}(0)\) appears in \(B_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1 \times A_1}\) if and only if \(a = b\).

6.2. Sato-Tate groups \(C_n\). Recall that we set \(\hat{h}_1 = E_{11} - E_{33}\) and \(\hat{h}_2 = E_{22} - E_{44}\), where \(E_{ij}\) are the \(4 \times 4\) elementary matrices. From the embedding (5.2), we have the induced Lie algebra embedding \(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{C})\) such that
\[
(h, 0) \mapsto \hat{h}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (0, h) \mapsto \hat{h}_2,
\]

where \(h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\).

Consider the Sato–Tate groups \(C_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6\). By definition the number \(m_\lambda(C_n)\) is equal to the multiplicity of trivial representations in \(\chi_\lambda^{Sp(4)}|_{C_n}\). Throughout this section, write \(\bar{\lambda} = (a, b)\).

As observed in Section 5.1, the number \(m_{(a, b)}(C_n)\) is equal to the number of independent weight vectors with weight \(\mu\) in the representation \(V_{C_2}(a, b)\) such that
\[
\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6.
\]

If \(a - b\) is odd then \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2)\) cannot be zero. This verifies (5.11), and we assume that \(a - b\) is even in what follows, and write
\[
z := (a - b)/2.
\]
Proposition 6.4 ($n = 1$). For a partition $(a, b)$, assume that $a - b$ is even. Then the sum of weight multiplicities of the weights $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$ is given by

$$\sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (\min(p, q) + 1) = (b + 1)(z^2 + zb + 2z + b/2 + 1),$$

which is equal to $\tilde{\eta}_1(z, b) = \eta_1(z, b)$.

Proof. Since we have the branching decomposition in Proposition 6.2, we look at $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ for $(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)$. If a tableau in the realization of $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ has weight $\mu$, then $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2)$ is equal to

$$(\text{the number of 1 and 2}) - (\text{the number of } \tilde{T} \text{ and } \tilde{2}).$$

Thus the number of elements in $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ with weights $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$ is equal to $\min(p, q) + 1$ (recall (6.2)).

The elements $(p, q)$ in $\Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)$ and the corresponding numbers $\min(p, q) + 1$ can each be arranged into an array of size $(2z + 1) \times (b + 1)$ as follows, where we put $(p, q)$ in the left and $\min(p, q) + 1$ in the right:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(a, b) & (a - 1, b - 1) & \cdots & (a - b, 0) & b + 1 & b & \cdots & 1 \\
(a - 1, b + 1) & (a - 2, b) & \cdots & (a - b - 1, 1) & b + 2 & b + 1 & \cdots & 2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
(a - z + 1, b + z - 1) & (a - z, b + 1) & \cdots & (a - b - z + 1, z - 1) & b + z & b + z - 1 & \cdots & z \\
(b + z, b + z) & (b + z - 1, b + z - 1) & \cdots & (z, z) & b + z + 1 & b + z & \cdots & z + 1 \\
(b + z - 1, a - z + 1) & (b + z - 2, a - z) & \cdots & (z - 1, a - b - z + 1) & b + z & b + z - 1 & \cdots & z \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
(b + 1, a - 1) & (b, a - 2) & \cdots & (1, a - b - 1) & b + 2 & b + 1 & \cdots & 2 \\
(b, a) & (b - 1, a - 1) & \cdots & (0, a - b) & b + 1 & b & \cdots & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Taking sums by rows and adding up the results yields

$$\sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (\min(p, q) + 1) = \frac{(b + 1)}{2} (2(b + 2) + 2(b + 4) + \cdots + 2(b + 2z) + (b + 2z + 2)),$$

which is equal to $\eta_1(z, b)$. \hfill \square

Remark 6.5. In (6.7), all $(p, q)$'s with $p = q$ form the row containing $(b + z, b + z)$.

Proposition 6.6 ($n = 2$). For a partition $(a, b)$, assume that $a - b$ is even. Then the sum of weight multiplicities of the weights $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$ and $\mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv_4 0$ is given by

$$\sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} \min(p, q) + 1),$$

and the sum is equal to

$$\tilde{\eta}_2(z, b) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2(z, b).$$

Proof. Write $\mu = re_1 + se_2$ for a weight of $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ satisfying $r + s = 0$. Then we have

$$r - s = 2r \equiv_4 0 \iff r \equiv_2 s \equiv_2 q \equiv_2 0.$$
With this observation, our first assertion follows from the first paragraph in the proof of Proposition 6.4.

Now let us consider the second assertion; i.e., the sum is equal to
\[ \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2(z, b). \]

Since \( p \equiv q \), the sum (6.8) amounts to the sum of odd integers in the right array of (6.7). For any block of 4 integers of the form
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  i+1 & i & i & i-1 \\
\end{array}
\]
in (6.7), the sum of odd integers is \( 2i \). By decomposing the right array in (6.7) into as many such blocks of 4 integers as possible in each of the cases \( b \equiv_2 0,1 \) and \( z \equiv_2 0,1 \), we can check the sum is equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 \).

For example, if \( b \equiv 1 \) and \( z \equiv 0 \), the first \( z \) rows of the array are decomposed into \( \frac{b+1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \) blocks of 4 integers, and the sum of odd integers from those blocks is
\[ \frac{1}{4}(b+1)(b+z+1). \]
The sum of odd integers in the middle row is
\[ \frac{1}{4}(b+1)(b+2z+1), \]
and the total sum of odd integers is
\[ 2 \times \frac{1}{4}(b+1)(b+z+1) + \frac{1}{4}(b+1)(b+2z+1) = \frac{1}{2}(b+1)(z^2 + zb + 2z + (b+1)/2), \]
which is the same as \( \frac{1}{2} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2 \) in this case. \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.7 (n = 3).** Assume that \( a - b \) is even. Then the sum of weight multiplicities of the weights \( \mu \) such that \( \mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0 \) and \( \mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv_6 0 \) is given by
\[
\sum_{(p,q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)} \left( [\min(p,q)/3] + 1 - \delta(\min(p,q) \equiv_3 1) \right),
\]
and the sum is equal to
\[ \tilde{\eta}_3(z, b) = \frac{1}{3} \eta_1(z, b) + \frac{2}{3} \eta_2(z, b). \]

**Proof.** Consider \((p,q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)\), and without loss of generality assume that \( p \geq q \). Write \( \mu = r\tau_1 + se_2 \) for a weight of \( B_{A_1 \times A_1}(p\tau_1 + q\tau_2) \) satisfying \( r + s = 0 \). Explicitly, the set of such weights \((r,s)\) is
\[
\{(-q,q), (-q+2,q-2), \ldots, (q-2,-q+2), (q,-q)\}.
\]
Since \( r + s = 0 \), the condition \( r - s \equiv_6 0 \) is equivalent to \( s \equiv_3 0 \). In each case of \( q \equiv_3 0,1,2 \), we count the number of pairs \((-s,s)\) in (6.10) such that \( s \equiv_3 0 \), and it is equal to
\[
\begin{cases}
q/3 + 1 & \text{if } q \equiv_3 0, \\
(q-1)/3 & \text{if } q \equiv_3 1, \\
(q+1)/3 & \text{if } q \equiv_3 2.
\end{cases}
\]
This justifies the expression in (6.9).
Now we have to add the numbers \([\lfloor (e-1)/3 \rfloor + 1 - \delta((e-1) \equiv_3 1)\), where \(e\) runs over the right array in (6.7). Observe that, for any consecutive 3 integers \(i, i-1, i-2\), we have

\[
i = \sum_{e=i-1}^{i+1} \lfloor (e-1)/3 \rfloor + 1 - \delta((e-1) \equiv_3 1).
\]

By decomposing the right array in (6.7) into blocks of 3-consecutive integers as many as possible, we can check the sum is equal to \(12\eta_1 + \frac{2}{3}\eta_3\).

**Proposition 6.8** \((n = 4)\). Assume that \(a - b\) is even. Then the sum of weight multiplicities of the weights \(\mu\) such that \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\) and \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv_8 0\) is given by

\[
\sum_{(p,q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)} (2 \times \lfloor \min(p,q)/4 \rfloor + 1),
\]

and the sum is equal to

\[
\bar{\eta}_4(z, b) = \frac{1}{4} \eta_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_4(z, b).
\]

**Proof.** As in the proof of Proposition 6.7, consider \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)\) with \(p \geq q\) and the set (6.10). Since \(r + s = 0\), the condition \(r - s \equiv_8 0\) is equivalent to \(s \equiv_4 0\). If \(s \equiv_4 0\), then we must have \(q \equiv_2 0\). Thus, in each case of \(q \equiv_4 0, 2\), we count the number of pairs \((-s, s)\) in (6.10) such that \(s \equiv_4 0\), and it is equal to

\[
2 \times \lfloor q/4 \rfloor + 1.
\]

This justifies the expression in (6.11).

We need to add the numbers \((2 \times \lfloor (e-1)/4 \rfloor + 1) \times \delta((e-1) \equiv_2 0)\), where \(e\) runs over the right array in (6.7). Observe that, for 8-integers in any block of the form

\[
i + 2\quad i + 1\quad i\quad i - 1\quad i - 1\quad i - 2
\]

in (6.7), we have

\[
2i = \sum_{u=0}^{1} \sum_{e=i-2+u}^{i+1+u} (2 \times \lfloor (e-1)/4 \rfloor + 1) \times \delta((e-1) \equiv_2 0).
\]

By decomposing the right array in (6.7) into as many such blocks of 8 integers as possible in each of the cases \(b \equiv_4 0, 1, 2, 3\) and \(z \equiv_4 0, 1, 2, 3\), we can see that the sum is equal to \(12\eta_1 + \frac{1}{3}\eta_2 + \frac{1}{3}\eta_4\).

**Proposition 6.9** \((n = 6)\). Assume that \(a - b\) is even. Then the sum of weight multiplicities of the weights \(\mu\) such that \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\) and \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 - \hat{h}_2) \equiv_{12} 0\) is given by

\[
\sum_{(p,q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)} (2 \times \lfloor \min(p,q)/6 \rfloor + 1),
\]

and the sum is equal to

\[
\bar{\eta}_6(z, b) = \frac{1}{8} \eta_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{8} \eta_2(z, b) + \frac{1}{3} \eta_3(z, b) + \frac{1}{3} \eta_6(z, b).
\]
Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 6.7, consider \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)\) with \(p \geq q\) and the set (6.10). Since \(r + s = 0\), the condition \(r - s \equiv 0\) is equivalent to \(s \equiv 0\). Thus, in each case of \(q \equiv 0, 2, 4\), we count the number of pairs \((-s, s)\) in (6.10) such that \(s \equiv 0\), and it is equal to

\[
2 \times \lfloor q/6 \rfloor + 1.
\]

This justifies the expression in (6.12).

We have to add the numbers \((2 \times \lfloor (e - 1)/6 \rfloor + 1) \times \delta((e - 1) \equiv 2 0)\), where \(e\) runs over the right array in (6.7). Observe that, for any 12-integers of the form

\[
i + 3 \quad i + 2 \quad i + 1 \quad i \quad i - 1 \quad i - 2
\]

in (6.7), we have

\[
2i = \sum_{u=0}^{1} \sum_{e=i-3+u} (2 \times \lfloor (e - 1)/6 \rfloor + 1) \times \delta((e - 1) \equiv 2 0).
\]

By decomposing the right array in (6.7) into as many such blocks of 12 integers as possible in each of the cases, we see that the sum is equal to

\[
\frac{1}{6} \eta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \eta_2 + \frac{1}{6} \eta_3 + \frac{1}{6} \eta_6.
\]

\(\Box\)

In the next subsection, we need the following.

Corollary 6.10. Assume that \(a - b\) is even. Then we have

\[
\tilde{\psi}_1(z, b) = (-1)^b \sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (p + 1),
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2(z, b) = (-1)^b \sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (p + 1),
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_3(z, b) = (-1)^b \sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} \left( [p/3] + 1 - \delta(p \equiv 0) \right),
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_4(z, b) = (-1)^b \sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (2 \times \lfloor p/4 \rfloor + 1),
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_6(z, b) = (-1)^b \sum_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} (2 \times \lfloor p/6 \rfloor + 1),
\]

where \(\tilde{\psi}_i(z, b)\) are defined in Corollary 5.6.

Proof. After dividing cases according to the congruence classes of \(b\) and \(z\), the computation becomes straightforward in each case. For example, consider \(\tilde{\psi}_2(z, b)\) and assume that \(b\) and \(z\) are both even. Then the sum in the right hand side is equal to

\[
(z + b/2 + 1)(b/2 + 1).
\]

On the other hand, in this case, \(\psi_1(z, b) = (b + 1)(z + b/2 + 1)\) and \(\psi_2(z, b) = z + b/2 + 1\). Thus

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2(z, b) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2(z, b) = (z + b/2 + 1)(b/2 + 1).
\]
The other cases are similar.

6.3. **Sato–Tate groups** $J(C_n)$. Let $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For the branching rules for $J(C_n)$, we need more information than the crystal isomorphism in Proposition 6.2. Let $V$ and $W \subset \wedge^2 V$ be the fundamental representations of $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{C})$. Take a basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_\top, v_\bar{\top}\}$ of $V$ such that

$$J v_1 = v_\top, \quad J v_2 = -v_\top, \quad J v_\top = -v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad J v_\bar{\top} = v_1.$$  

Write

$$w_{12} = v_1 \wedge v_2, \quad w_{1\top} = v_1 \wedge v_\top, \quad w_{1\bar{\top}} = v_1 \wedge v_\bar{\top}, \quad w_{2\top} = v_2 \wedge v_\top, \quad w_{2\bar{\top}} = v_2 \wedge v_\bar{\top},$$

and take the basis $\{w_{12}, w_{1\top}, w_{2\top}, w_{2\bar{\top}}, w_{2\bar{\top}} - w_{1\top}\}$ for $W$. Then we have

$$J(w_{12}) = w_{1\top}, \quad J(w_{1\top}) = -w_{1\bar{\top}}, \quad J(w_{2\top}) = -w_{2\bar{\top}}, \quad J(w_{2\bar{\top}} - w_{1\top}) = -w_{2\bar{\top}} + w_{1\top}.$$  

We realize the representation $V_{c_2}(a, b)$ for a partition $(a, b)$ as the irreducible component of $\text{Sym}^{a-b} V \otimes \text{Sym}^{b} W$ generated by the highest weight vector $v_1^{a-b} \otimes w_{12}^b$. In particular, $V = V_{c_2}(1, 0)$ and $W = V_{c_2}(1, 1)$. We identify $V_{c_2}(a + 1, b + 1)$ with the image of the embedding

$$\iota_{a+1,b+1} : V_{c_2}(a + 1, b + 1) \hookrightarrow V_{c_2}(a, b) \otimes V_{c_2}(1, 1)$$

given by

$$v_1^{a-b} \otimes w_{12}^{b+1} \mapsto (v_1^{a-b} \otimes w_{12}^b) \otimes w_{12}.$$  

Let $V_{\Lambda}(p, q)$ be the representation of $A_1 \times A_1$ with highest weight $pt_1 + qt_2$. When $a - b$ is even, we inductively specify $A_1 \times A_1$-highest weight vectors $v_{(p, q, a, 0)}$ in $V_{c_2}(a, b)$ to describe the decomposition

$$V_{c_2}(a, b)\big|_{A_1 \times A_1} \cong \bigoplus_{(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)} V_{\Lambda}(p, q)$$

in what follows.

For $V_{c_2}(a, 0)$ with $a$ even, let $v_{(p, q, a, 0)} := v_1^{p} v_2^{q}$ for $(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, 0)$. Then one can see that $v_{(p, q, a, 0)}$ are $A_1 \times A_1$-highest weight vectors with highest weights $pt_1 + qt_2$. For the induction, assume that $v_{(p, q, a, b)}$ are $A_1 \times A_1$-highest weight vectors in $V_{c_2}(a, b)$ with weights $pt_1 + qt_2$ for $(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)$ with $a - b$ even.

Consider the following subset of $\Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)$

$$\phi_{a}(a, b) := \{(a, b), (a - 1, b + 1), (a - 2, b + 2), \ldots, (b + 1, a - 1), (b, a)\},$$

by taking $r = 0$ in (6.1). Now the lemma below completes the induction process.

**Lemma 6.11.**

1. For $(p, q) \in \phi_{a}(a, b)$, the vectors

$$v_{(p, q, a+1, b+1)} = v_1^{p-(b+1)} v_2^{q-(b+1)} \otimes w_{12}^{b+1}$$

are $A_1 \times A_1$-highest weight vectors in $V_{c_2}(a + 1, b + 1)$ with weights $pt_1 + qt_2$.  

(2) For each \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)\backslash \phi_k(a, b)\), there is an \(A_1 \times A_1\)-highest weight vector \(v_{(p, q; a+1, b+1)}\) of the form

\[ v_{(p, q; a, b)} \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + u_1 \otimes w_{12} + u_2 \otimes w_{12} + u_3 \otimes w_{22} + u_4 \otimes w_{11} \]

for some \(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in V_{C_2}(a, b)\), where \(V_{C_2}(a+1, b+1)\) is identified with the image of \(i_{a+1, b+1}\) in \(V_{C_2}(a, b) \otimes V_{C_2}(1, 1)\).

**Proof.** Note that \(v_{(a, b, a, b)} = v_{(a, b, a, b)}^{a, b} \otimes w_{12}^1\) is the \(C_2\)-highest weight vector of \(V_{C_2}(a, b)\).

(1) The vectors \(v_{(p, q; a+1, b+1)}\) are obtained from the highest weight vector \(v_{(a, b, a, b)}^{a, b} \otimes w_{12}^1\) by applying \(f_1\) successively. Since there are no \(v_\tau, v_\overline{\tau}\) factors in the elements, they are clearly \(A_1 \times A_1\)-highest weight vectors.

(2) Starting from the \(C_2\)-highest weight vector \(v_{(a, b, a, b)} \otimes w_{12}\), we apply \(f_1\)'s and \(f_2\)'s to obtain \(v_{(p, q; a, b)} \otimes w_{12} + u_1\) and then apply \(f_1 f_2\) to obtain \(v_{(p, q; a, b)} \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + u_1^\prime\), where the terms of \(u_1\) and \(u_1^\prime\) have \(w_{12}, w_{22} - w_{11}\) as right-most factors. We can determine constants \(c_k, d_l, M\) and \(N\),

\[
\left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{N} d_l f_2^l \hat{e}_2^l\right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k f_1^k \hat{e}_1^k\right) \cdot (v_{(p, q; a, b)} \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + u_1^\prime)
\]

is an \(A_1 \times A_1\)-highest vector. Since the action of \(\hat{e}_1^i\) and \(\hat{f}_1^i\), \(i = 1, 2\), on \(v_{(p, q; a, b)} \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11})\) is trivial, this highest weight vector is of the desired form. \(\square\)

**Proposition 6.12** \((n = 1)\). For a partition \((a, b)\) with \(a - b \equiv 0\), the number of linearly independent vectors in \(V_{C_2}(a, b)\),

(i) which are fixed by the action of \(J\), and

(ii) whose weights \(\mu\) satisfy \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\),

is equal to

\[
\bar{\vartheta}_1(z, b) = \vartheta_1(z, b) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}(z + 1)(2z + 1) & \text{if } b \text{ is odd}, \\
\frac{1}{2}(z + 1)(2z + 1)(z + 2) & \text{if } b \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \(v\) is a vector of \(V_{C_2}(a, b)\) which lies inside the \(A_1 \times A_1\)-representation generated by the vector \(v_{(p, q; a, b)}\) inductively defined in Lemma 6.11 for \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)\). Then the number of \(v_1\) and \(v_\overline{\tau}\) factors in each of the terms of \(v\) is \(p\). After the action of \(J\) on \(v\) induced from (6.13), the number of \(v_1\) and \(v_\overline{\tau}\) factor in each of the terms of \(J(v)\) is \(q\). In particular, if \(p \neq q\) then \(v \neq J(v)\). Since \(J^2 = I\), the vector \(v + J(v)\) is fixed by \(J\). Furthermore, if \(v\) is of weight \(\mu\) such that \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\), then the weight of \(J(v)\) has the same property. Thus, when \(p \neq q\), a \(J\)-fixed vector of weight \(\mu\) such that \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\) must be of the form \(v + J(v)\).

Recall that the number of independent vectors in the isomorphic copy of \(V_A(p, q)\) in \(V_{C_2}(a, b)\) with weights \(\mu\) such that \(\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0\) is equal to \(\min(p, q) + 1\), and the numbers are displayed in array (6.7). The observation made in the previous paragraph shows that the total number of \(J\)-fixed independent vectors in the isomorphic copy of \(V_A(p, q)\) for \(p \neq q\) with such weights \(\mu\)
is given by the sum of the numbers in the first $z$ rows of array (6.7):

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
(a, b) & (a-1, b-1) & \cdots & (a-b, 0) \\
(a-1, b+1) & (a-2, b) & \cdots & (a-b-1, 1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
(a-z+1, b+z-1) & (a-z, b+z-2) & \cdots & (a-b-z+1, z-1) \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{cccc}
b+1 & b & \cdots & 1 \\
b+2 & b+1 & \cdots & 2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
b+z & b+z-1 & \cdots & z \\
\end{array}
\]

(see Remark 6.5). Explicitly, the total number for the case $p \neq q$ is

\[
(6.15) \quad \frac{b+1}{2} ((b+2) + (b+4) + \cdots + (b+2z)) = \frac{1}{2} z (b+1) (z+b+1).
\]

Now let us consider the case $p = q$. Using induction on $a + b$, we will show

\[ Jv = (-1)^{b} v \]

for any vector $v$ with weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$ in the isomorphic copy of $V_{\tilde{C}}(a, b)$.

First assume that $(p,p) \notin \phi_{\lambda}(a,b)$, where the set $\phi_{\lambda}(a,b)$ is defined in (6.14). Then by Lemma 6.11 (1) we have a highest weight vector of the form $v_1^{p-b}v_2^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b$. A weight vector $v$ in the $A_1 \times A_1$-representation generated by this highest weight vector has the form

\[ v = \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} (v_1^{p-b}v_2^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b), \quad \ell, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

If we further assume $v$ has weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$, then $\ell + k = p$.

Using the relations

\[ J\hat{f}_2 = -\hat{e}_1 J \quad \text{and} \quad J\hat{f}_1 = -\hat{e}_2 J \]

and the symmetry of $A_1 \times A_1$-representation, we obtain

\[ Jv = J\hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} (v_1^{p-b}v_2^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b) = (-1)^{\ell+k} \hat{e}_1^{\ell} \hat{e}_2^{k} J(v_1^{p-b}v_2^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b) \]

\[ = (-1)^{p+b} \hat{e}_1^{\ell} \hat{e}_2^{k} (v_2^{p-b}v_1^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b) = (-1)^{b} \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} (v_1^{p-b}v_2^{p-b} \otimes w_{12}^b) \]

\[ = (-1)^{b} v. \]

Next assume that $(p,p) \notin \phi_{\lambda}(a,b), a \geq b \geq 1$. By Lemma 6.11 (2) we have a highest weight vector of the form

\[ v_{(p,p;a-1,b-1)} \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + u, \]

where $u = u_1 \otimes w_{12} + u_2 \otimes w_{12} + u_3 \otimes w_{21} + u_4 \otimes w_{21}$ for some $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in V_{\tilde{C}}(a-1, b-1)$. Since $\hat{f}_1$ and $\hat{f}_2$ act trivially on $(w_{22} - w_{11})$, a weight vector $v$ in the $A_1 \times A_1$-representation generated by this highest weight vector is of the form

\[ v = \left( \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} v_{(p,p;a-1,b-1)} \right) \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} u. \]

We further assume that $v$ has weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) = 0$. By induction hypothesis, we have

\[ J\hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} v_{(p,p;a-1,b-1)} = (-1)^{b-1} \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} v_{(p,p;a-1,b-1)}. \]

Since $J(w_{22} - w_{11}) = -(w_{22} - w_{11})$, we obtain

\[ Jv = (-1)^{b} \left( \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} v_{(p,p;a-1,b-1)} \right) \otimes (w_{22} - w_{11}) + J \left( \hat{f}_2^{\ell} \hat{f}_1^{k} u \right). \]
Recall that $V_k(p,q)$ is minuscule. The action of $J$ preserves the weight space containing $v$ since the number of $v_2$ factors is equal to that of $v_T$ factors in the terms of $v$. Thus we must have $Jv = (-1)^b v$ as claimed in this case too.

We have just shown that all the weight vectors in the isomorphic copy of $V_k(p,p)$ with weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(h_1 + h_2) = 0$ are fixed by $J$ if $b$ is even, and that none of them are fixed by $J$ if $b$ is odd. Combining this with the result for $p \neq q$ in (6.15), the total number of $J$-fixed independent vectors of $V_\mathcal{C}_2(a,b)$ with weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(h_1 + h_2) = 0$ is equal to (6.15) if $b$ is odd, and to the sum of (6.15) and the $z + 1^{st}$ row of the array in (6.7) if $b$ is even, which is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}z(b + 1)(b + 1) + \{(b + z + 1) + (b + z) + \cdots + (z + 1)\} = \frac{1}{2}(z + 1)(b + 1)(z + b + 2).$$

In either case, we obtain the function $\theta_1(z,b)$.

**Proposition 6.13** ($n = 2, 3, 4, 6$). Assume that $a - b$ is even. For $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, the number of independent vectors in $V_\mathcal{C}_2(a,b)$, which are fixed by the action of $J$, with weights $\mu$ such that $\mu(h_1 + h_2) = 0$ is equal to $\theta_n(z,b)$ defined in (5.23).

**Proof.** Suppose that $v$ is a vector of $V_\mathcal{C}_2(a,b)$ which lies inside the $A_1 \times A_1$-representation generated by the vector $v_{(p,q,a,b)}$ inductively defined in Lemma 6.11 for $(p,q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)$, and assume that $v$ is of weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(h_1 + h_2) = 0$. The total numbers of such vectors are equal to $\tilde{\eta}_n(z,b)$ by Propositions 6.6–6.9, and the numbers of such vectors only for $p = q$ are equal to $\psi_n(z,b)$ by Corollary 6.10.

As observed in the proof of Proposition 6.12, if $p \neq q$ then the $J$-fixed vectors are precisely given by $J(v) + v$; if $p = q$ and $b$ is even then all the vectors $v$ of weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(h_1 + h_2) = 0$ are fixed by $J$; if $p = q$ and $b$ is odd then none of such vectors are fixed by $J$. The conditions $\mu(h_1 - h_2) \equiv 2n$ exactly bring out the restrictions on the sums considered in Propositions 6.6–6.9.

Thus, when $n = 2$, the number of $J$-fixed vectors of weight $\mu$ satisfying the conditions is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\eta}_2(z,b) + (-1)^b\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{(p,p) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b) \\ p \equiv 2 \mod 0}}(p + 1) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\eta}_2(z,b) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\psi}_2(z,b) = \tilde{\theta}_2(z,b),$$

where we use Corollary 6.10 and the definition of $\theta_2(z,b)$ in (5.10) along with the definitions of $\tilde{\eta}_2$, $\tilde{\psi}_2$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$. Similarly, when $n = 3, 4, 5$, the numbers of $J$-fixed vectors of weight $\mu$ satisfying the conditions are equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\eta}_n(z,b) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\psi}_n(z,b) = \tilde{\theta}_n(z,b).$$

**6.4. From $C_2$ to $A_1$ via removing the second vertex.** In this section, we shall prove the branching decomposition of $V_\mathcal{C}_2(a,b)$ to $A_1$ via Levi rule which removes the second vertex in the Dynkin diagram of type $C_2$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\longrightarrow
\end{array}$$
where we assume \( a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{2} \). We are mainly interested in the composition multiplicity of the trivial representation \( V_{A_1}(0) \) inside \( V_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1} \). We state the result at the crystal level as in Proposition 6.2.

**Proposition 6.14.** For a partition \((a, b)\), set \( \epsilon := \delta(a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{2}) \) and \( l = \lceil (a - b - 1)/2 \rceil \). Then we have

\[
B_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} (2i + 1 + \epsilon)(b + 1)B_{A_1}(2i + \epsilon) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=l}^{i+b} (2l + 1 + \epsilon)(l + b + 1 - j)B_{A_1}(2j + \epsilon).
\]

**Proof.** As Proposition 6.2, the assertion can be proved by using double induction on \( a + b \) and the Clebsch–Gordan formula. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.15.** The multiplicity of the trivial representation \( V_{A_1}(0) \) in \( V_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1} \) is equal to \( \delta(a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{2}) \times (b + 1) \).

Now we shall interpret Corollary 6.15 via Kashiwara–Nakashima crystal. The crystal of vector representation is given as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}
\]

with a linear order

\( 1 < 2 < \bar{2} < \bar{1} \).

Now let us recall \( C_2 \)-type Kashiwara–Nakashima tableaux.

**Definition 6.16.** Let \( Y \) be a Young diagram with at most 2-rows.

1. A \( C_2 \)-tableaux of shape \( Y \) is a tableau obtained from \( Y \) by filling the boxes with entries \( \{1, 2, \bar{2}, \bar{1}\} \).

2. A \( C_2 \)-tableaux is said to be **semistandard** if the entries in each row are weakly increasing and the entries in each column are strictly increasing.

We define \( B(Y) \) to be the set of all semistandard \( C_2 \)-tableaux \( T \) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) \( T \) does not have a column \( \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\bar{1}
\end{array} \)

(b) \( T \) does not have a pair of adjacent columns \( \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
\bar{2}
\end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c}
\bar{2} \\
2
\end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c}
\bar{1} \\
\bar{1}
\end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c}
\bar{1} \\
\bar{1}
\end{array} \).

**Definition 6.17.** Assume that \((a, b)\) is a partition with \( a + b \equiv 2 \pmod{2} \). For each \( 0 \leq k \leq b \), define \( T_k \) be the semistandard \( C_2 \)-tableaux of shape \((a, b)\) such that

1. the first row of the tableau \( T_k \) is filled with the sequence of entries \((1^k, 2^z, \bar{2}^y)\), where \( z = \frac{a - b}{2} \) and \( y = \frac{a + b}{2} - k \);

2. the second row of the tableau \( T_k \) is filled with the sequence of entries \((2^k, \bar{1}^{b-k})\).

**Lemma 6.18.** For each \( 0 \leq k \leq b \), the tableaux \( T_k \) is contained in \( B(Y) \).
Proof. The condition (a) is obviously satisfied. Let us check whether $T_k$ satisfies the condition (b). Note that, for $1 \leq s \leq b$, if an entry placed in the position $(1, s)$ is 2, then the entry placed in the position $(2, s)$ is $\bar{1}$, if it exists, by definition. Thus the condition (b) is satisfied. 

Proposition 6.19. For each $0 \leq k \leq b$, we have

$$\tilde{e}_1 T_k = f_1 T_k = 0,$$

where $\tilde{e}_1$ and $f_1$ are the Kashiwara operators.

Proof. We use the far eastern reading [HK02]. Then, since the 1-signature of $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\cdot$, we do not need to read the first $k$-columns for 1-signature.

Set

$$s_1 := \text{(the number of 1's in } T_k) - \text{(the number of } \bar{1} \text{'s in } T_k),$$

$$s_2 := \text{(the number of 2's in } T_k) - \text{(the number of } \bar{2} \text{'s in } T_k).$$

By definition $s_1 = s_2 = 2k - b$. By an induction and (6.16), it suffices to consider when $k = 0$. Then, by the far eastern reading, $T_0$ can be read as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\bar{2} \otimes \cdot & \otimes (\bar{2} \otimes \bar{1}) \otimes \frac{3b-a}{2} \otimes (\bar{2} \otimes \bar{1}) \otimes \frac{a-b}{2}.
\end{align*}$$

Since (i) the 1-signature of $\bar{2}$ is $+$, (ii) the 1-signature of $\bar{2} \otimes \bar{1}$ is $\cdot$, (iii) the 1-signature of $\bar{2} \otimes \bar{1}$ is $\bar{1}$, our assertion follows. 

We keep the assumption that $a - b$ is even. By Corollary 6.15, the $C_2$-tableaux $\{T_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq b}$ exhaust all the trivial representations $V_{A_1}(0)$ inside $V_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1}$. Note that the weight of $T_k$ is

$$2k - b \equiv n 0.$$

(6.17)

For the Sato–Tate groups $E_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, the number $m_{(a,b)}(E_n)$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ in $V_{C_2}(a, b)$ with weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) \equiv 0 (\mod 2n)$ and $e_1 v_\mu = f_1 v_\mu = 0$. By Proposition 6.19, the number $m_{(a,b)}(E_n)$ for each $n$ is equal to the number of tableaux $T_k$ such that

$$2k - b \equiv n 0.$$

We count the number of such tableaux for each $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, and summarize the results in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.20. Assume that $a - b$ is even. Then the number of independent weight vectors $v_\mu$ in $V_{C_2}(a, b)$ with weight $\mu$ such that $\mu(\hat{h}_1 + \hat{h}_2) \equiv 2n 0$ and $e_1 v_\mu = f_1 v_\mu = 0$ is equal to

$$\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
  b + 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\
  (b + 1)\delta(b \equiv 2 0) & \text{if } n = 2, \\
  [b/3] + 1 - \delta(b \equiv 3 1) & \text{if } n = 3, \\
  (2[b/4] + 1)\delta(b \equiv 2 0) & \text{if } n = 4, \\
  (2[b/6] + 1)\delta(b \equiv 2 0) & \text{if } n = 6.
  \end{cases}
\end{align*}$$
Lemma 6.21. Assume that $v$ is a vector of weight 0 in a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the standard basis $\{e, h, f\}$. Suppose that $e^{N+1}v = 0$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the vector

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

either vanishes or generates the trivial representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $f^{(k)} = f^k/k!$ and $e^{(k)} = e^k/k!$.

Proof. Since

$$f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} = \sum_{t=0}^{k} \frac{1}{t!} e^{(k-t)} f^{(k+1-t)} \prod_{s=1}^{t} (s+1-t-h)$$

(see [HK02, Exercise 1.3 (c)]), we have

$$f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} = e^{(k)} f^{(k+1)} + e^{(k-1)} f^{(k)}$$
on the weight 0 space. Similarly, since

$$e f^{(k)} = f^{(k)} e + f^{(k-1)} (h-k+1),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{k+1} e f^{(k)} e^{(k)} = f^{(k)} e^{(k+1)} + f^{(k-1)} e^{(k)}$$
on the weight 0 space.

Since $e^{N+1}v = 0$ and the weight of $v$ is zero, we also have $f^{N+1}v = 0$. Then

$$f \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

$$= f v + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-1)^k (e^{(k)} f^{(k+1)} + e^{(k-1)} f^{(k)}) \right) v$$

$$= f v - f v + (-1)^N e^{(N)} f^{(N+1)} v = 0.$$ 

Similarly,

$$e \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} e f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

$$= e v + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-1)^k (f^{(k)} e^{(k+1)} + f^{(k-1)} e^{(k)}) \right) v$$

$$= e v - e v + (-1)^N f^{(N)} e^{(N+1)} v = 0.$$ 

Now we consider $V_{\mathfrak{c}_2}(a, b)$ for a partition $(a, b)$ with $a-b$ even. Assume that $e_1 v = f_1 v = 0$ for a vector $v$ in $V_{\mathfrak{c}_2}(a, b)$. Since $J$ commutes with $e_1$ and $f_1$, we have

(6.18) $e_1 J v = f_1 J v = 0$. 

6.5. Sato–Tate groups $J(E_n)$. We keep the notations of Section 6.3. We start with a lemma on representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. 

Lemma 6.21. Assume that $v$ is a vector of weight 0 in a finite dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the standard basis $\{e, h, f\}$. Suppose that $e^{N+1}v = 0$ for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the vector

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

either vanishes or generates the trivial representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $f^{(k)} = f^k/k!$ and $e^{(k)} = e^k/k!$. 

Proof. Since

$$f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} = \sum_{t=0}^{k} \frac{1}{t!} e^{(k-t)} f^{(k+1-t)} \prod_{s=1}^{t} (s+1-t-h)$$

(see [HK02, Exercise 1.3 (c)]), we have

$$f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} = e^{(k)} f^{(k+1)} + e^{(k-1)} f^{(k)}$$
on the weight 0 space. Similarly, since

$$e f^{(k)} = f^{(k)} e + f^{(k-1)} (h-k+1),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{k+1} e f^{(k)} e^{(k)} = f^{(k)} e^{(k+1)} + f^{(k-1)} e^{(k)}$$
on the weight 0 space.

Since $e^{N+1}v = 0$ and the weight of $v$ is zero, we also have $f^{N+1}v = 0$. Then

$$f \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k f^{(k+1)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

$$= f v + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-1)^k (e^{(k)} f^{(k+1)} + e^{(k-1)} f^{(k)}) \right) v$$

$$= f v - f v + (-1)^N e^{(N)} f^{(N+1)} v = 0.$$ 

Similarly,

$$e \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} e f^{(k)} e^{(k)} \right) v$$

$$= e v + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-1)^k (f^{(k)} e^{(k+1)} + f^{(k-1)} e^{(k)}) \right) v$$

$$= e v - e v + (-1)^N f^{(N)} e^{(N+1)} v = 0.$$ 

Now we consider $V_{\mathfrak{c}_2}(a, b)$ for a partition $(a, b)$ with $a-b$ even. Assume that $e_1 v = f_1 v = 0$ for a vector $v$ in $V_{\mathfrak{c}_2}(a, b)$. Since $J$ commutes with $e_1$ and $f_1$, we have

(6.18) $e_1 J v = f_1 J v = 0$. 

Lemma 6.22. Assume that \( b \) is even. Suppose that \( v \in V_{c_2}(a, b) \subset \text{Sym}^{a-b} V \otimes \text{Sym}^{b} W \) is a vector of weight 0 such that \( e_1 v = f_1 v = 0 \). Then \( v \) has a scalar multiple of
\[
(v_1^z v_2^z + v_2^z v_1^z) \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}
\]
as a non-zero term, where \( z = (a - b)/2 \).

Proof. Let
\[
\tilde{v} := (v_1^z v_2^z + v_2^z v_1^z) \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}.
\]
If we apply \( e_2^k \) on the vector \( \tilde{v} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq z + b/2 \), the result for each \( k \) can be written in terms of
\[
(v_1^{z+m} v_2^{-m} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}, v_2^{z+m} v_1^{-m} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}, v_2^{z+l} v_2^{-l} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2})
\]
for some \( l \) and \( m \), and the signs of the coefficients of these terms are determined by the parity of the exponent of \( w_2^l \). In particular, the result of the action of \( e_2 \) on \( v_2^{z+m} v_1^{-m} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2} \) is not canceled out with other terms. Thus if we apply \( e_2^{z+b/2} \) on \( \tilde{v} \), all the terms are combined and the result is a scalar multiple of
\[
v_2^{2z} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}.
\]
(One can check that the coefficient is \((-1)^{b/2}(z + b/2)!)\.)

Similarly, the action of \( e_1^{a+b} \) on \( v_2^{2z} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2} \) results in a scalar multiple of
\[
v_1^{2z} \otimes w_1^{b/2} w_2^{b/2}
\]
and the following action of \( e_2^{b/2} \) brings it to a scalar multiple of the highest weight vector \( v_1^{2z} \otimes w_1^{b/2} \). Thus we have shown that the highest vector can be obtained from the vector \( \tilde{v} \) by applying \( e_1 \)'s and \( e_2 \)'s. This implies that if we apply \( f_1 \)'s and \( f_2 \)'s on the highest weight vector appropriately then we obtain

a vector \( \tilde{v} \) of weight 0 which has a non-zero scalar multiple of \( \tilde{v} \) as a term.

Set
\[
v := \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} f_1^{(k)} e_1^{(k)} \right) \tilde{v}, \text{ where } e_1^{N+1} \tilde{v} = 0.
\]
Since $e_1 \tilde{v} = f_1 \tilde{v} = 0$, the vector $v$ is non-zero and still has a scalar multiple of $\tilde{v}$ as a term. By Lemma 6.22, we have $e_1 v = f_1 v = 0$. Since every vector of weight 0 on which $e_1$ and $f_1$ act trivially is a scalar multiple of $v$, we are done. □

Continue to assume that $b$ is even, and consider a vector $v$ of weight 0 such that $e_1 v = f_1 v = 0$. Since the space of weight 0 vectors on which $e_1$ and $f_1$ act trivially is one-dimensional, we have $Jv = \pm v$ from the fact that $J^2 = 1$. Furthermore, such a vector has a non-zero term $(v_1^2 v_1^2 + v_2^2 v_2^2) \otimes u_{12}^{b/2} w_{12}^{b/2}$ by Lemma 6.22. Since $Jw_{12} = w_{12}$ and $Jw_{12} = w_{12}$, we see that

$$J \left( (v_1^2 v_1^2 + v_2^2 v_2^2) \otimes u_{12}^{b/2} w_{12}^{b/2} \right) = (-1)^z \left( (v_1^2 v_1^2 + v_2^2 v_2^2) \otimes u_{12}^{b/2} w_{12}^{b/2} \right).$$

It follows that

$$Jv = (-1)^z v.$$

Combining this with the observations made in the paragraph right before Lemma 6.22, we have proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.23.** Assume that $a - b$ is even, and set $z := (a - b)/2$. Then the number of $J$-fixed vectors $v$ with weight $\mu$ such that $e_1 v = f_1 v = 0$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} (b + 1) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^z \delta(b \equiv 0).$$

6.6. **Sato–Tate group $F$.** Recall that we have the embedding $U(1) \times U(1)$ into $USp(4)$ given by

$$(u_1, u_2) \mapsto \text{diag}(u_1, u_2, u_1^{-1}, u_2^{-1}),$$

and that the group $F$ is the image of this embedding. The number $m_{(a,b)}(F)$ is equal to the number of independent weight vectors $v_{12}$ in $V_{C_2}(a,b)$ such that $\mu = 0$.

Recall the array in (6.7), which lists the elements of $\Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)$

**Proposition 6.24.** Assume that $a - b$ is even. Then the multiplicity of weight 0 in $V_{C_2}(a,b)$ is equal to the number of elements $(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)$ such that $p \equiv q \equiv 0 \mod 2$. Explicitly, the number is equal to

$$\xi_1(z, b) := z(b + 1) + \lfloor b/2 \rfloor + 1.$$

**Proof.** A weight zero space appears in $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ only if $p \equiv q \equiv 0 \mod 2$. Then our assertion follows from the fact that $B_{A_1 \times A_1}(pt_1 + qt_2)$ is minuscule, and that the Cartan subalgebra for $A_1 \times A_1$ is the Cartan subalgebra for $C_2$. One can count the number of such pairs $(p, q)$ in (6.7) to see that it is equal to $\xi_1(z, b)$. □

6.7. **Sato–Tate group $F_4$.** Since we have

$$av_1 = -v_1, \quad av_2 = v_2, \quad av_1 = v_1, \quad av_2 = v_2,$$

it follows from Proposition 6.24 that the number of $a$-fixed vectors of weight 0 in $V_{C_2}(a,b)$ is equal to the number of elements $(p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a,b)$ such that $p \equiv 0$ and $q \equiv 2$.0.
Proposition 6.25. The number of \( a \)-fixed vectors of weight 0 in \( V_{C_2}(a, b) \) is equal to
\[
\frac{1}{2} \xi_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \xi_2(z, b),
\]
where \( \xi_2(z, b) \) is defined on the congruence classes of \( z \) and \( b \) by
\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
  z|b & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
  \hline
  0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Proof. There are 8 cases according to the congruence classes of \( z \) and \( b \). Since all the cases are similar, we only prove the case when \( b \equiv 4 \) and \( z \equiv 2 \). In this case, \( a \equiv 4 \), and the pairs \((p, q) \in \Phi_{A_1 \times A_1}(a, b)\) satisfying \( p \equiv 4 \) and \( q \equiv 2 \) can be arranged as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(a, b) & (a - 4, b + 4) & (a - 4, b + 2) \\
(a - 8, b + 8) & (a - 8, b + 6) & (a - 8, b + 4) \\
\vdots & \\
(b, a) & (b, a - 2) & (b, a - 4) \\
\end{array}
\]

The number of pairs in the array is
\[
\frac{z}{2} (b + 1) + \frac{b}{4} + 1,
\]
which is equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \xi_1(z, b) + \frac{1}{2} \xi_2(z, b) \). This proves our assertion in this case.

6.8. From \( C_2 \) to \( A_1 \) via removing the first vertex. In this subsection, we shall prove the branching decomposition of \( V_{C_2}(a, b) \) with \( a + b \equiv 0 \mod 2 \) to \( A_1 \) via \( b := (B_2 \simeq C_2) \times \text{Levi rule} \) which removes the first vertex in the Dynkin diagram of \( C_2 \). Specifically, we want to count the composition multiplicity of \( V_{A_1}(0) \) inside \( V_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1} \).

Proposition 6.26. For a partition \((a, b) = (k + l, k)\), we have
\[
B_{C_2}(k + l, k)|_{A_1} = \left( \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} (l + 1)(i + 1)B_{A_1}(i) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{l+k} (k + 1)(l + k + 1 - i)B_{A_1}(i) \right).
\]

Proof. As Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.14, our assertion follows from the induction on \( a + b \) and the Clebsch–Gordan formula.

Corollary 6.27. The composition multiplicity of \( V_{A_1}(0) \) inside \( V_{C_2}(a, b)|_{A_1} \) is \( b - a + 1 \).

Now we investigate on which crystal elements in \( B_{C_2}(a, b) \) the operators \( \hat{e}_2 \) and \( \hat{f}_2 \) act trivially. For a partition \((a, b)\), set \( c := a - b \). For \( 0 \leq k \leq c \), we define the semistandard \( C_2 \)-tableaux \( T_k' \) as follows:
\[
T_k' = \begin{cases}
  \begin{array}{c}
    1^k \\
    2^l \\
    3^l \\
    4^l \\
  \end{array} 
  & \text{if } k \leq b \text{ and } b - k = 2t + 1, \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    1^k \\
    2^l \\
    3^l \\
    4^l \\
  \end{array} 
  & \text{if } k \leq b \text{ and } b - k = 2t, \\
  \begin{array}{c}
    1^k \\
    2^l \\
    3^l \\
    4^l \\
  \end{array} 
  & \text{if } k \geq b.
\end{cases}
\]
Here \( x \) denotes \( x \cdots x \) \( s \)-times.

Then one can easily check that they are contained in \( B(Y) \) and

\[
\tilde{e}_2 T'_k = \tilde{f}_2 T'_k = 0.
\]

Note that the weight of \( T'_k \) is

\[
(2k - c) \epsilon_1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq c = a - b.
\]

Since the number of such crystal elements is \( b - a + 1 \), it follows from Corollary 6.27 that they exhaust all the crystal elements in \( B_{C_2}(a,b) \) on which the operators \( \tilde{e}_2 \) and \( \tilde{f}_2 \) act trivially.

For the Sato–Tate subgroups \( G_{1,3} \) and \( N(G_{1,3}) \), we record the following.

**Proposition 6.28.** Assume that \( a - b \) is even, and let \( z = (a - b)/2 \). Then the dimension of weight 0 space in \( V_{C_2}(a,b) \) on which \( e_2 \) and \( f_2 \) act trivially is one, and the space is fixed by the action of \( a \) precisely when \( z \) is even.

**Proof.** It follows from (6.20) that the weight of \( T'_k \) is zero if and only if \( k = (a - b)/2 \). This proves the first assertion. For the second assertion, let \( v \) be a vector in the one-dimensional weight 0 space on which \( e_2 \) and \( f_2 \) act trivially. Then the vector lies in the \( A_1 \times A_1 \)-representation generated by the highest weight vector \( v_{(a-b,0,a,b)} \) defined in Lemma 6.11. It follows from the definition of \( v_{(a-b,0,a,b)} \) that the vector \( v \) has a scalar multiple of

\[
v^2 \nu_T^2 \otimes (w_2 - w_1)^b
\]

as a term. Since \( av_1 = -v_T, av_T = v_1 \) and \( a(w_2 - w_1) = w_2 - w_1 \), the vector \( v \) is fixed by \( a \) if and only if \( z \) is even. \( \square \)

Finally, for the Sato–Tate subgroups \( G_{3,3} \) and \( N(G_{3,3}) \), we have the following.

**Proposition 6.29.** The dimension of weight 0 space in \( V_{C_2}(a,b) \) on which \( e_i \) and \( f_i \) act trivially, \( i = 1,2 \), is one if \( a = b \) and is zero otherwise. In the case \( a = b \), the space is fixed by the action of \( J \) precisely when \( b \) is even.

**Proof.** The first assertion follows from Corollary 6.3 (b). For the second assertion, let \( v \) be a vector in the one-dimensional weight 0 space on which \( e_i \) and \( f_i \) act trivially, \( i = 1,2 \). Then the vector \( v \) is a scalar multiple of \( v_{(0,0,a,b)} \) defined in Lemma 6.11. It follows from the definition of \( v_{(0,0,a,b)} \) that the vector \( v \) has a scalar multiple of

\[
(w_2 - w_1)^b
\]

as a term. Since \( J(w_2 - w_1) = -(w_2 - w_1) \), the vector \( v_\mu \) is fixed by \( J \) if and only if \( b \) is even. \( \square \)
References

[Art24] E. Artin. Quadratische Körper im Gebiete der höheren Kongruenzen. I. Math. Z., 19(1):153–206, 1924.

[AS95] A. V. Andreev and B. D. Simons. Correlators of Spectral Determinants in Quantum Chaos. Phys. Rev. Lett., 75:2304–2307, 1995.

[BDS03] Jinho Baik, Percy Deift, and Eugene Strahov. Products and ratios of characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices. volume 44, pages 3657–3670, 2003. Integrability, topological solitons and beyond.

[BH00] Edouard Brézin and Shinobu Hikami. Characteristic polynomials of random matrices. Comm. Math. Phys., 214(1):111–135, 2000.

[BH01] E. Brézin and S. Hikami. Characteristic polynomials of real symmetric random matrices. Comm. Math. Phys., 223(2):363–382, 2001.

[BK95] E. B. Bogomolny and J. P. Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros. I. Three- and four-point correlations. Nonlinearity, 8(6):1115–1131, 1995.

[BK96] ______. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros. II. n-point correlations. Nonlinearity, 9(4):911–935, 1996.

[BS06] A. Borodin and E. Strahov. Averages of characteristic polynomials in random matrix theory. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 59(2):161–253, 2006.

[Bum13] D. Bump. Lie groups, volume 225 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.

[BG06] D. Bump and A. Gamburd. On the averages of characteristic polynomials from classical groups. Comm. Math. Phys., 265(1):227–274, 2006.

[BuSch17] D. Bump and A. Schilling. Crystal bases, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017.

[CFK+03] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, J. P. Keating, M. O. Rubinstein, and N. C. Snaith. Autocorrelation of random matrix polynomials. Comm. Math. Phys., 237(3):365–395, 2003.

[CFK+05] ______. Integral moments of L-functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 91(1):33–104, 2005.

[CFZ06] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, and M. R. Zirnbauer. Howe pairs, supersymmetry, and ratios of random characteristic polynomials for the unitary groups U(N), 2005, arXiv:math-ph/0511024.

[CFZ08] ______. Autocorrelation of ratios of L-functions. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 2(3):593–636, 2008.

[CHT08] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor. Automorphy for some l-adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (108):1–181, 2008. With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vigneras.

[Deu41] M. Deuring. Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper. Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ., 14:197–272, 1941.

[FKRS12] F. Fité, K. S. Kedlaya, V. Rotger, and A. V. Sutherland. Sato-Tate distributions and Galois endomorphism modules in genus 2. Compos. Math., 148(5):1390–1442, 2012.

[FKS16] F. Fité, K. S. Kedlaya, and A. V. Sutherland. Sato-Tate groups of some weight 3 motives. Contemp. Math., 663:57–101, 2016.

[FKS19] ______. Sato-Tate groups of abelian threefolds: a preview of the classification. preprint, arXiv:1911.02071.

[FS02a] Y. V. Fyodorov and E. Strahov. Characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices and Duistermaat–Heckman localisation on non-compact Kähler manifolds. Nuclear Phys. B, 630(3):453–491, 2002.

[FS02b] ______. On correlation functions of characteristic polynomials for chiral Gaussian unitary ensemble. Nuclear Phys. B, 647(3):581–597, 2002.

[Hej94] D. A. Hejhal. On the triple correlation of zeros of the zeta function. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (7):293ff., approx. 10 pp. 1994.
[HSBT10] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron, and R. Taylor. A family of Calabi–Yau varieties and potential automorphy. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(2):779–813, 2010.

[HK02] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang. *Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 42, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[How95] R. Howe. Perspectives on invariant theory: Schur duality, multiplicity-free actions and beyond. In *The Schur lectures (1992) (Tel Aviv)*, volume 8 of *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, pages 1–182. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1995.

[JM85] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa. On a duality of branching rules for affine Lie algebras. In *Algebraic groups and related topics (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983)*, volume 6 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 17–65. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[Joh17] C. Johansson. On the Sato–Tate conjecture for non-generic abelian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(9):6303–6325, 2017. With an appendix by F. Fité.

[Kash02] M. Kashiwara. *Bases cristallines des groupes quantiques*, Cours Spécialisés 9, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.

[KS09] K. S. Kedlaya, and A. V. Sutherland. Hyperelliptic curves, $L$-polynomials, and random matrices, *Contemp. Math.*, 487:119–162, 2009.

[Kin71] R. C. King. Modification rules and products of irreducible representations of the unitary, orthogonal, and symplectic groups. *J. Math. Phys.*, 12:1588–1598, 1971.

[KS99] N. M. Katz and P. Sarnak. *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*, volume 45 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[KS00a] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and $L$-functions at $s = 1/2$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):91–110, 2000.

[KS00b] ———. Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):57–89, 2000.

[KT90] K. Koike and I. Terada. Young diagrammatic methods for the restriction of representations of complex classical Lie groups to reductive subgroups of maximal rank. *Adv. Math.*, 79(1):104–135, 1990.

[MN01] M. L. Mehta and J.-M. Normand. Moments of the characteristic polynomial in the three ensembles of random matrices. *J. Phys. A*, 34(22):4627–4639, 2001.

[Mon73] H. L. Montgomery. The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. In *Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972)*, pages 181–193, 1973.

[Ser94] J.-P. Serre. Propriétés conjecturales des groupes de Galois motiviques et des représentations $l$-adiques, in Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991). *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 55, 377–400, 1994.

[RS96] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak. Zeros of principal $L$-functions and random matrix theory. volume 81, pages 269–322. 1996. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr.

[Rub98] M. O. Rubinstein. *Evidence for a spectral interpretation of the zeros of $L$-functions*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1998. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University.

[Tay20] N. Taylor. Sato–Tate distributions on Abelian surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373:3541–3559, 2020.

[Tay08] R. Taylor. Automorphy for some $l$-adic lifts of automorphic mod $l$ Galois representations. II. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (108):183–239, 2008.

---

**Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, U.S.A.**

*Email address: khlee@math.uconn.edu*

**Department of Mathematics, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, South Korea**

*Email address: sejin0928@gmail.com*