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The implementation of social distancing measures (e.g., school closures) by governments worldwide to prevent the spread of COVID-19 has affected millions of children and their families. However, the consequences of such measures on the wellbeing of children with special educational needs (SEN) and their caregivers are not fully understood. The present study examined the socioemotional wellbeing and daily habits of children between 5 and 12 years old with SEN during the first national COVID-19 lockdown in the United Kingdom. Participants were 995 caregivers between 18 and 61 years old living in the United Kingdom who completed a 20-min electronic survey on child and family wellbeing distributed via social networks between July and August 2020. The findings showed that children with SEN were more likely to experience more emotional and behavioral difficulties during the lockdown than children without SEN. Children with SEN but without mental health difficulties did not have more behavior difficulties during the lockdown than children without SEN. There was a significant increase in screen time and decrease in sleep time for children with and without SEN during the lockdown, but children with SEN were using screens more often than children without SEN both before and during the lockdown. Finally, caregivers with children with SEN reported more difficulty with the confinement than caregivers with children without SEN, but caregiver and child poor mental health were likely to explain the difference. The findings show that the wellbeing of children with SEN was more likely to be negatively affected by the lockdown than the wellbeing of children without SEN. Caregiver and child mental health were likely to explain the differences.
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INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has had an unprecedented impact on children and families across the world. To cope with the rapid spread of the disease, governments worldwide implemented wide-ranging public health measures including lockdowns and school closures. The United Kingdom (UK) has been one of the seriously affected countries, which led the government to implement the first national lockdown in March 2020 to slow the spread of the coronavirus (Worldometer, 2020). The lockdown resulted in school closures for all children except for vulnerable children and children of keyworkers. School closures resulted in extensive disruption to the psychological, academic, and social lives of children and young people (Holmes et al., 2020; Creswell et al., 2021; Panchal et al., 2021). Additionally, because education, mental health, and social care service provision were rapidly withdrawn (National Autistic Society, 2020), many children with special educational needs and disabilities (SEND) and their families were left without access to their usual support (Coughlan, 2020; O'Connor et al., 2020; Pearcey et al., 2020; Asbury et al., 2021; Family Fund, 2021).

Research based on parental reports has suggested that many children with SEND experienced a decline in their mental health and wellbeing during the pandemic (Alghrani and Byrne, 2020; Disabled Children’s Partnership, 2020; Asbury et al., 2021; Council for Disabled Children, 2021; Family Fund, 2021; National Autistic Society, 2020). According to the findings from the Co-SPACE study, as the first lockdown progressed over a 1-month period, children and adolescents with special educational needs (SEN) and neurodevelopmental disorders (ND) had markedly increased emotional difficulties, conduct problems, and hyperactivity/inattention compared to those without SEN/ND (CO-SPACE, 2021; Waite et al., 2021). Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the mental health of families with children with SEND disproportionately. Increases in mental health difficulties could be due to the already higher prevalence of mental health conditions in children with SEND (Simonoff et al., 2008; Neil et al., 2016; Cai et al., 2018; Dehghan et al., 2020; Predescu et al., 2020) and their parents (Asbury et al., 2021). However, another body of growing research suggests that not all families and children with SEND experienced the effects of the pandemic in the same way. Research by the Council for Disabled Children (2021) in England found that restrictions helped some children and young people stay mentally healthy as they enjoyed home-schooling and a slower pace of life. Likewise, the Co-SPACE study demonstrated that children and adolescents with SEN/ND scored lower on conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, and emotional symptoms compared to children without SEN/ND who had increased scores across these symptoms during the lockdown (Waite et al., 2021). This was an intriguing finding as it suggested that children with and without SEND have been affected differently by the pandemic; thus, generalizations about the impact of COVID-19 on their wellbeing should be avoided. Further research is required to fully understand the effects of the pandemic on the socioemotional wellbeing of children with SEND.

It has been widely agreed that, generally, the pandemic disrupted the routine of children with SEND including healthy daily habits such as a balanced diet and screen use, and adequate physical exercise and sleep (Geraghty and Lyons, 2021). It has been suggested that these disruptions had had a negative impact on the wellbeing of children with SEND, because they thrive on predictable routines and consistent schedules (Narzisi, 2020; Toseeb et al., 2020). For instance, a study of a small sample of Spanish children with SEND (n = 38) aged between 2 and 12 years old found that most children were overusing technology and screens (81.5%), engaging in little physical exercise (57.9%), and were eating more (86.9%) than usual during the lockdown (Berasategi Sancho et al., 2021). Another study of a sample of Canadian children (mean age: 11.7) found that while children with an autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) used screens as much as children in a community sample during the lockdown, there was a significantly steeper increase in change in screen use time with age for the community sample compared to the ASD sample (Cardy et al., 2021). These mixed findings highlight the need for more research to help understand the impact of the lockdown on the daily habits of children with SEND and in relation to children with no SEND.

In addition to the changes in socioemotional wellbeing and daily habits of children, the lockdown interfered with family life. Families who are raising children with SEND face more stressors than those with children without SEND (McStay et al., 2014; McConnell and Savage, 2015). Such stressors can, in turn, influence the quality of family relationships, making patient and empathic parenting challenging at times (Osborne et al., 2008). Families in several European countries (Italy, Spain, and Belgium; Orgilés et al., 2020; Stassart et al., 2021) and the United Kingdom (Morgül et al., 2020) reported difficulties with family co-existence during the home confinement. In the United Kingdom, families who found co-existence difficult were more likely to report that their children’s behavior and emotional state had changed for the worse since the lockdown had started (Morgül et al., 2020). To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated how families with SEND children coped with family co-existence during the lockdown compared to children without SEND.

The lockdown might have affected children with SEND and their families disproportionately compared to children with no SEND with negative implications for their mental health and wellbeing (Toseeb et al., 2020; Asbury et al., 2021). Despite these negative effects, research is primarily focused on the general child population mostly including neurotypical children (Su et al., 2021). Additionally, emerging research suggests that children with SEND have been impacted differentially in terms of their social and emotional outcomes and daily routines, with some children having experienced poorer wellbeing and adopted poorer habits while for others old habits and wellbeing did not change significantly. Furthermore, the studies vary significantly in terms of sample size, measurement, conceptualization of daily habits, and cultural context, making the interpretation of findings challenging. Finally, more research on how caregivers of children with SEND experienced the confinement and its consequences is required. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine changes before and during the lockdown in a range of social and
emotional wellbeing outcomes and in daily habits, and family co-existence difficulty in children with SEN and in relation with children with no SEN in a large sample of children living in the United Kingdom during the first national lockdown.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants and Procedures**

Caregivers living in the United Kingdom were invited to complete an electronic survey on child and family wellbeing between July and August 2020. The survey was distributed via social networks (e.g., Facebook, Instagram), e-mail, and messaging groups (e.g., WhatsApp). The caregivers were asked to provide sociodemographic information and complete a set of questionnaires about their own mental health and wellbeing, parenting stress, response to COVID-19 lockdown, and about their perception of the impact of the lockdown on their child’s psychological wellbeing and daily habits, and of the child’s mental health and wellbeing. Children did not participate in the survey. Survey development details have been described in Morgül et al. (2020). The study was approved by the University of Roehampton Research Ethics Committee (PSYC 20/367). The total number of participants was 995 caregivers between 18 and 61 years old ($M_{age} = 39.16$ years, $SD = 5.62$). Nearly one in six caregivers reported that their children received support for special educational needs (SEN) ($n_{SEN} = 169, 17.0\%$; $n_{non-SEN} = 826, 83.0\%$). The percentage of all pupils in England with SEN support in 2020/21 was 12.2% (Office for National Statistics, 2021). Therefore, the sample had a higher proportion of children receiving SEN support than the school population in England. Participant characteristics by SEN support are presented in Table 1. Caregivers were mostly of White ethnic background (94.1%SEN, 91.4%non-SEN). Across both samples most caregivers were married ($n_{SEN} = 105, 62.1\%; n_{non-SEN} = 633, 76.6\%$), in employment ($n_{SEN} = 105, 78.9\%; n_{non-SEN} = 619, 85.4\%$) and had at least a university degree ($n_{SEN} = 103, 63.2\%; n_{non-SEN} = 657, 80.2\%)$. Children were ($n_{boys-SEN} = 106, 63.1\%; n_{boys-non-SEN} = 440, 53.5\%$) between 5 and 12 years old ($M_{age_{SEN}} = 8.28$ years; $SD = 2.1; M_{age_{non-SEN}} = 7.32$ years, $SD = 2.0$).

**Sociodemographic Information and Family Co-existence During the COVID-19 Lockdown**

The first part of the survey included children’s and families’ sociodemographic characteristics (e.g., participant age, marital status, education level, employment status, ethnicity, and child age, gender, school type, receipt of SEN support. Additionally, caregivers were asked to rate how hard they thought it was for their family to co-exist during the lockdown on a five-point rating scale ($1 = very easy; 5 = very difficult$).

**Child and Caregiver Mental Health and Wellbeing**

**Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire**

Caregivers completed the parent-reported version of the 25-item Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 2001) designed for children between 4 and 17 years old. The SDQ is a psychometrically strong parent-reported questionnaire used internationally to screen for poor mental health and wellbeing in children (Stone et al., 2010). Caregivers are asked to indicate whether the child exhibits a range of emotional and behavioral difficulties on a three-point scale ($0 = not true—2 = certainly true$). The items can be divided between five subscales of five items each (emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity, peer problems, and pro-social behavior). Example items include “Considerate of other people’s feelings,” “Restless, overactive, cannot stay still for long,” “Often has temper tantrums or hot tempers.” A total difficulty score (range: 0–40) was generated by adding all the subscales, except for the pro-social behavior. Internal reliability of the total SDQ score was good (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.87$).

**Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6)**

The K6 (Kessler et al., 2002) was used to examine caregivers’ psychological wellbeing. The K6 assesses the frequency of psychological distress over a period of 30 days prior to administration. It includes six items rated on a 5-point scale ($1 = all of the time - 5 = none of the time$). Example items include “How often did you feel nervous?” and “How often did you feel hopeless?” Positive valence items were reverse coded. A total score of psychological distress (range: 6–30) was generated by adding all the items. Higher scores reflect higher levels of psychological distress (Kessler et al., 2003). The scale demonstrated excellent internal reliability (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.90$).

**Parental Stress Scale**

The 18-item self-administered Parental Stress Scale (PSS) was used to assess caregivers’ feelings about their parenting role (Berry and Jones, 1995). It comprises four subscales that examine parenting stressors (6 items), loss of control (3 items), satisfaction (3 items), and rewards (6 items) rated on a 5-point scale ($1 = strongly disagree—5 = strongly agree$). Example items include “I enjoy spending time with my children” (rewards), “The major source of stress in my life is my children” (stressors), “I feel overwhelmed by the responsibility of being a parent” (loss of control), and “I am happy in my role as a parent” (satisfaction). Eight items are reverse scored. A total parenting stress score was calculated by adding the subscales (range: 18–90) in each sample. Lower scores indicate lower stress levels of stress. Internal reliability was good (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.88$).

**Change in Child Social and Emotional Wellbeing Before and During the Lockdown**

Caregivers were asked to indicate how much they thought their children’s emotional state and behavior changed during the lockdown by rating 11 emotional and behavioral symptom items on a five-point scale ($1 = much less compared to before quarantine; 2 = somewhat less compared to before quarantine; 3 = stayed the same; 4 = somewhat more compared to before quarantine; 5 = much more compared to before quarantine$) (Morgül et al., 2020). To examine the proportion of children whose emotional and behavioral symptoms changed significantly, a categorical variable was created based on the child’s score ($1–3 = no change score; 4–5 = change score$). To examine the child’s total emotional and behavioral change we created a total internalizing behavior
TABLE 1 | Between-group differences by participant characteristics.

| Sociodemographics | SEN (n = 169) | Non-SEN (n = 826) | Test | Effect size⁰ |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|------|--------------|
| Mother⁴ | f (%) | f (%) | χ² | Phi ϕ/Cramer’s V |
| Ethnicity | 159 (94.1) | 755 (91.4) | 1.35 | – |
| Married⁵ | 105 (62.1) | 633 (76.6) | 15.41*** | 0.124 |
| Higher education⁶ | 103 (63.2) | 657 (80.2) | 22.53*** | 0.151 |
| In employment⁷ | 105 (78.9) | 619 (85.4) | 3.53 | – |
| Boys | 106 (63.1) | 440 (53.5) | 5.23* | 0.073 |

| Mental health and wellbeing | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Parental mental health (K6) | 7.92 (5.13) | 6.61 (5.2) | –3.01** | 0.254 |
| Parenting stress (PSS) | 46.36 (10.83) | 41.97 (9.75) | –5.24*** | 0.426 |
| Child mental health (SDQ) | 18.86 (7.40) | 11.64 (6.45) | –11.79*** | 1.040 |

| Change in social and emotional wellbeing before and during the lockdown | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Internalizing behavior change | 17.75 (4.71) | 17.33 (3.80) | –1.07 | – |
| Externalizing behavior change | 21.98 (5.14) | 21.11 (4.47) | –2.03* | 0.181 |
| Family co-existence difficulty | 3.54 (1.14) | 3.12 (1.09) | –4.50*** | 0.377 |

| Daily habits of children | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Before lockdown | | |
| Screen time⁸ | 2.85 (1.02) | 2.53 (1.06) | –3.57*** | 0.307 |
| Physical activity⁹ | 3.38 (1.39) | 3.27 (1.30) | –1.05 | – |
| Sleep duration¹ | 9.20 (2.04) | 10.05 (1.25) | 5.26*** | 0.502 |

| During lockdown | | |
| Screen time | 5.08 (1.13) | 4.54 (1.33) | –5.48*** | 0.438 |
| Physical activity | 2.92 (1.52) | 2.74 (1.34) | –1.44 | – |
| Sleep duration | 8.77 (2.25) | 9.67 (1.61) | 4.97*** | 0.460 |

---

³Mother vs. father/caregiver/step-parent.
⁴Married vs. widowed/divorced/separated/never married.
⁵Higher education/postgraduate vs. non-higher education (up to high school at 16 years and college education).
⁶In employment vs. not in employment/lost job due to COVID-19.
⁷Ranged between 1 and 6: 1-less than 30 min, 2–30 to 60 min, 3–60 to 90 min, 4–90 to 120 min, 5–120 to 180 min, 6-more than 180 min.
¹Duration in hours.
⁰Effect size = Phi ϕ for categorical variables, Cramer’s V for multi-categorical variables and Cohen’s d for continuous variables.
**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05 (2-tailed).

(5 items; range: 5–25; Cronbach’s α = 0.88) and externalizing behavior (6 items; range: 6–30; Cronbach’s α = 0.92) change score, respectively, by summing up the responses of the caregivers across the symptom items. Details on score development can be found in Morgül et al. (2021).

Data Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS 26 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). To check for the assumptions of normality, skewness and kurtosis values were calculated for the dimensional variables. None of them presented extreme skewness and kurtosis values falling outside the proposed threshold values of ± 2, suggesting a normal distribution for the variables of interest (George and Mallery, 2010). To compare variables between children receiving SEN support and children not receiving we used Chi-squared tests for categorical variables and independent groups t-tests for continuous variables. The effect size of the between-group differences was calculated using Cohen’s d for continuous variables, and Phi ϕ or Cramer’s V for categorical or multi-categorical variables, respectively. To compare differences in change in daily habits before and during the lockdown, we used mixed factorial ANOVA with SEN provision (SEN/non-SEN) the between-group factor and time (before/during) the within-group factor. To measure the size of the difference we used the partial eta square. The Bonferroni statistic was used for intergroup and intragroup multiple comparisons. The homogeneity of variance in a few of the daily habit variables was violated because of unequal group sizes. Therefore, we used a more stringent p-value level (p = 0.01) for these analyses (Tabachnick et al., 2007). Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were used to examine the independent effect of SEN on children’s social and emotional wellbeing before and during the lockdown.
RESULTS

Between-Group Differences in Participant Characteristics

Caregivers of children receiving SEN support, compared to those without this support, were more likely to have spent fewer years in education, be non-married/lone, have significantly higher scores on mental health difficulties and parenting stress, and to experience more difficulty in coping with family co-existence during the lockdown (Table 1). Children who received SEN support, compared to those without SEN support, were reported to experience a significantly higher level of mental health difficulties, and more change in daily habits during the lockdown. Children who received SEN support were older and tended to be boys.

Between-Group Differences in Internalizing and Externalizing Behavior Change During the Lockdown

Regarding internalizing symptoms, children on SEN support were significantly more worried and anxious during than before the lockdown compared to children without SEN support (Table 2). No statistically significant difference in the total internalizing behavior change score was found (Table 1). Regarding externalizing symptoms, children on SEN support were reported to experience significantly more anger, frustration, irritability, and behavioral problems during than before the lockdown compared to children without SEN support (Table 2). According to the total externalizing behavior change score, children with SEN were more likely to experience negative change in their behavior during the lockdown than children with no SEN (Table 1).

TABLE 2 | Between-group differences in caregivers’ perception of change in children’s internalizing and externalizing symptoms before and during the lockdown.

| Internalizing symptoms                  | SEN (n = 169) | Non-SEN (n = 826) | Test  |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|
| My child is worried                    | 101 (69.8)    | 419 (50.7)        | 4.59* |
| My child is restless                   | 101 (69.8)    | 428 (51.8)        | 3.56  |
| My child is anxious                    | 98 (58.0)     | 351 (42.5)        | 13.60*** |
| My child is sad                        | 79 (46.7)     | 351 (42.5)        | 1.03  |
| My child is uneasy                     | 82 (48.5)     | 341 (41.3)        | 3.01  |
| Externalizing symptoms                 |               |                   |       |
| My child argues with the rest of the family | 101 (69.8)   | 466 (56.4)        | 0.64  |
| My child cries easily                  | 63 (37.3)     | 314 (38.0)        | 0.03  |
| My child is angry                      | 102 (60.4)    | 383 (46.4)        | 10.99** |
| My child feels frustrated              | 118 (69.8)    | 496 (60.0)        | 5.67* |
| My child is irritable                  | 112 (66.3)    | 457 (55.3)        | 6.87** |
| My child has behavioral problems       | 78 (46.2)     | 184 (22.3)        | 41.24*** |

**p < 0.001, *p < 0.01, *p < 0.05 (2-tailed).

Between-Group Differences in Co-existence Difficulty During the Lockdown

Caregivers with children with SEN were significantly more likely to report difficulty with co-existence than caregivers with children without SEN (Table 1). The proportion of caregivers of children on SEN support who reported that “co-existence was very difficult” was more than two times higher (24.9%) than the proportion of caregivers with children on no-SEN support (10.2%). On the contrary, 7.7% of the caregivers with children on SEN support reported that “co-existence was easy” compared to 18% of caregivers with children without SEN support.

Between-Group Differences in Daily Routines Before and During the Lockdown

As shown in Table 1, both before and during the lockdown, children on SEN support tended to spend more time using screens (i.e., iPads, TVs, mobiles, or computers), and slept fewer hours compared to children without SEN support. Mixed factorial ANOVA revealed significant SEN group and time effects on screen time and sleep duration. Specifically, children on SEN support were more likely to spend their time using screens [F(1, 993) = 24.88, p < 0.001, ηp2 = 0.024] and sleep fewer hours [F(1, 993) = 54.29, p < 0.001, ηp2 = 0.052]. The group effect was not statistically significant for physical activity [F(1, 993) = 2.78, p = 0.096, ηp2 = 0.003].

Moreover, children across the whole sample were significantly more likely to spend their time using screens [F(1, 993) = 1.735, p < 0.001, ηp2 = 0.636], sleep fewer hours [F(1, 993) = 45.10, p < 0.001, ηp2 = 0.043] and be less physically active [F(1, 993) = 50.11, p < 0.001, ηp2 = 0.048] during the lockdown compared to before. No statistically significant interaction effect between time (before/during) and group (SEN/non-SEN) was found. Differences in daily habits across both groups at different time points are presented in Figures 1–3.

Association of Special Educational Needs With Change in Externalizing Behavior Before and During the Lockdown and Family Co-existence Difficulty

Two hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine further the association of SEN with the total externalizing behavior change score before and during the lockdown (Table 3), and family co-existence difficulty (Table 4). The variables that significantly differentiated between children with and without SEN support were included in the analysis to control for their effects. In the first regression, sociodemographic variables (Step 1) explained a very small proportion of the variance (1.3%) in children’s externalizing behavior change score. Higher parenting stress and child mental health difficulties significantly contributed to externalizing behavior change (Step 2). The model explained 23.0% of the variance. In the third step, more family co-existence difficulty predicted more negative change.
in externalizing behavior. The model explained 29.2% of the variance. In the fourth step, SEN made a significant but small contribution (1.1%) to the model which explained 30.3% of the variance, after controlling for the effects of sociodemographic characteristics, child and caregiver mental health, and family co-existence difficulty. Additionally, the direction of its association with change in externalizing behavior changed to negative suggesting a net or cross-over suppression effect.

The second regression showed that participant sociodemographic characteristics (Step 1) did not contribute significantly to co-existence difficulty. Child and caregiver mental health made a significant contribution to the model (Step 2) which explained 26.3% of the variance in co-existing difficulty. SEN did not contribute significantly to the final model (Step 3) after controlling for sociodemographic factors and family wellbeing. The final model explained 26.3% of the variance.

**Post hoc Analyses of Suppression Effect**

A net or cross-over suppression refers to cases in which two independent variables and a dependent variable correlate positively with each other, but the inclusion of the two independent variables in the regression equation increases the beta of the most influential variable and changes the beta sign of the weakest variable; that is, a positive zero-correlation becomes a negative beta (Cohen and Cohen, 1975; MacKinnon et al., 2002; Paulhus et al., 2004; Watson et al., 2013; Salgado et al., 2019).

In the absence of a statistical test to examine suppression effects (Smith et al., 1992), partial correlation analysis has been suggested to help understand the relationship between the variables involved in a suppression effect (Meehl, 1945; Velicer, 1978; Olusegun et al., 2015). Accordingly, we carried out bivariate, followed by partial correlations, to examine the associations between SEN and externalizing behavior change while controlling for the effect of the variables that significantly predicted externalizing behavior in the final model: child mental health (SDQ), parenting stress (PSS), and co-existence difficulty. The analysis showed that when child mental health was statistically controlled, having SEN was negatively associated with externalizing behavior change ($r = -0.13$) (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Analysis of between-group differences in the mean total internalizing behavior change score showed that according to their caregivers’ perceptions, the emotional state of children with SEN was not more impacted than the emotional state of children with no SEN. However, an investigation of the distribution of change across internalizing symptoms demonstrated that, despite the lack of difference in total internalizing behavior change score between the groups, the caregivers of children with SEN were more likely to report that their child was more worried and anxious during the lockdown. Analysis of

---

**FIGURE 1 | Change in children's screen use.**

---

The diagram shows the change in screen use of children before and during the lockdown. The graph indicates a significant increase in screen use during the lockdown compared to before. The lines for children (SEN/non-SEN) with and without SEN show a notable difference, with SEN children using screens more during the lockdown.
FIGURE 2 | Change in children's sleep duration.

between-group differences in the total externalizing behavior change score showed that the behavior of children with SEN deteriorated significantly more than the behavior of children with no SEN during the lockdown. The caregivers of children with SEN children reported higher levels of anger, frustration, irritability, and behavioral problems. Further analysis showed that the positive association between SEN and negative change in children's externalizing behavior was spurious; once the child's mental health difficulties (SDQ) were held constant, the association became negative. Altogether, our study showed that caregivers with children with SEN were significantly more concerned about the deterioration of their child's behavior compared to those with children with no SEN. While difficulties were found across a range of internalizing and externalizing symptoms, their behavior was more likely to be affected than their emotional state. Our findings agree with the studies that found that children with SEN were more likely to have elevated rates of emotional and behavior difficulties during the lockdown (Nonweiler et al., 2020; Tso et al., 2020; Asbury et al., 2021; Berasategi Sancho et al., 2021; CO-SPACE, 2021; Family Fund, 2021). Because pre-pandemic prevalence of mental health difficulties in England is higher among children with SEN (McGinnity et al., 2005; Office for National Statistics, 2021) it is unclear whether the increased behavioral problems of children with SEN in our sample was the result of the lockdown or pre-pandemic poor mental health. However, the post hoc analysis showed that the children with SEN who had fewer behavioral difficulties during the lockdown were the ones with stronger mental health. This finding suggests that poor mental health was an important risk factor of poor socioemotional wellbeing in children with SEN during the lockdown. Additionally, it emphasizes the protective role of mental health and wellbeing in children with SEN (Panchal et al., 2021; Raw et al., 2021; Waite et al., 2021) and the need to support the mental health and wellbeing of children and young people with SEN (Skrzypiec et al., 2016). As well as child mental health, emerging research points out to additional individual factors that may modulate the impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on children's outcomes. For instance, recent research has placed emphasis on the effects of personality traits, coping methods and alexithymia as they were found to have a differential impact on COVID-19 related emotional responses such as anxiety and stress (Osimo et al., 2021) and emotional eating (Cecchetto et al., 2021). Additionally, irritability has been suggested to interfere with the emotional state of non-neurotypical children during the lockdown (Türkoğlu et al., 2021; Uçar et al., 2021). Further research to understand how these individual factors were implicated in the emotional and behavioral wellbeing of children with SEN during the lockdown is warranted.

Another finding was that while, generally, children with SEN were perceived to have worse behavior than children without SEN, the children with SEN but without mental health difficulties
behaved better during the lockdown. This finding is compatible with the latest longitudinal findings from the Co-SPACE study which found that particularly younger boys with SEND had less severe hyperactivity and inattention symptoms between June and July 2020 (Raw et al., 2021). Our finding is also consistent with earlier results from the Co-Space study which revealed reductions in externalizing problems (conduct and hyperactivity/inattention) among children and adolescents with SEND during the early lockdown between March to May 2020 (Waite et al., 2021) as well as other studies which found that children and young people with SEND reported that their mental health improved during the lockdown (Mind, 2020; Mansfield et al., 2021). Hence, it appears that, at least for some children, the lockdown may have eased some challenging areas of life, while children with mental health difficulties were significantly impacted regardless of whether they had SEND. It is important
TABLE 4 | Hierarchical regression summary predicting co-existence difficulty during the lockdown in the United Kingdom.

| Variable                        | Step 1         | Step 2         | Step 3         |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                 | B (SE)         | b              | B (SE)         | b              |
|                                 |                |                |                |                |
| Education level                 | −0.06 (0.09)   | −0.02          | 0.07 (0.08)    | 0.03           |
| Marital status                  | −0.16 (0.08)   | −0.06          | 0.01 (0.07)    | 0.00           |
| Child age                       | −0.01 (0.02)   | −0.02          | −0.03 (0.02)   | −0.05          |
| Child gender                    | −0.09 (0.07)   | −0.04          | −0.06 (0.06)   | −0.03          |
| Child mental health (SDQ)       | 0.03 (0.01)    | 0.21***        | 0.03 (0.01)    | 0.20***        |
| Parental mental health (K6)     | 0.02 (0.01)    | 0.11**         | 0.02 (0.01)    | 0.11**         |
| Parenting stress (PSS)          | 0.03 (0.00)    | 0.31***        | 0.03 (0.00)    | 0.31***        |
| Special educational needs (SEN) |                |                |                |                |
| $R^2$                           | 0.007          | 0.263***       | 0.263          |                |
| $\Delta R^2$                    | 0.007          | 0.256          | 0.000          |                |
| $\Delta F$                      | 1.71           | 112.23         | 0.39           |                |

**p < 0.001, *p < 0.01, *p < 0.05 (2-tailed).**

TABLE 5 | Bivariate and partial correlations.

| Control variables | Significant study variables in regression | Externalizing behavior change |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                  | Externalizing behavior change             | --                           |
|                  | Special educational needs (SEN)           | 0.07*                         |
|                  | Child mental health (SDQ)                 | 0.46**                        |
|                  | Parenting Stress (PSS)                    | 0.35**                        |
|                  | Co-existence difficulty                   | 0.44**                        |
| Partial correlations | Child mental health (SDQ) | Externalizing behavior change | -- |
|                  | Special educational needs (SEN)           | -0.13**                       |
|                  | Parenting Stress (PSS)                    | 0.16**                        |
|                  | Co-existence difficulty                   | 0.32**                        |

**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05 (2-tailed).**  
Sign switch in SEN variable is highlighted in bold.

Research by the UK Council for Disabled Children (2021) found that some children with SEN liked not having to go out and interact with others face-to-face. Instead, they learned how to connect with their friends over online gaming because they found this way of communication easier. Though digital devices kept many of these children socially and emotionally connected, excessive screen time has also negative mental health impacts including attention-deficit symptoms, depression, anxiety, and technology addiction (Amin et al., 2020; Dienlin and Johannes, 2020; King et al., 2020; Lanca and Saw, 2020; Oswald et al., 2020; Xiang et al., 2020; Hudimova, 2021; Wong et al., 2021). Because there are mixed consequences of prolonged screen use on children with SEN, further research is necessary to understand how to balance the positive effects of digital social connectedness and negative effects of excessive use in children with SEN.

Families supporting their child’s complex and special needs at home had little or no other support (i.e., wider family support, individual educational therapy support, institutional, and mental support) available to them at the time of the lockdown (Su et al., 2021). Moreover, other lockdown related factors such as lack of coping mechanisms, isolation from social support networks, disruption in routines, challenging family circumstances, and financial hardship might have caused additional difficulties for
these families (Merello, 2021; Su et al., 2021). In this context, the experience of the confinement and its consequences might have been more challenging for children with SEN and their families. A growing body of research has shown that caregivers with SEN/D found caring for their children during the lockdown difficult (Castro-Kemp and Mahmud, 2021; Kallitsoglou and Topalli, 2021). As expected, we also found that caregivers with children with SEN reported significantly high rates of family co-existence difficulty. Additionally, they were more likely to report difficulty than caregivers with children without SEN. Notably, however, we found that SEN did not significantly predict co-existence difficulty when child and caregiver characteristics were statistically controlled. Specifically, the findings demonstrated that families with SEN children found the confinement more challenging because of associated family adversity (i.e., poor parental mental health and associated stress around parenting), and the child’s poor mental health and not the child’s SEN per se. Our findings point out to the child’s family ecology as a potential explanatory factor of the difficulties that the families with children with SEN experienced during the lockdown. Moreover, they highlight the significance of caregiver and child mental health for a harmonious family life (Prime et al., 2020).

The findings should be interpreted in relation to the study’s methodological limitations. The cross-sectional design did not allow to examine the long-term impact of the lockdown on children’s internalizing and externalizing behavior and daily habits. Additionally, changes in children’s symptoms were based only on perceived caregiver report. Majority of participants were white female university graduates. Therefore, generalization of the findings should be approached with caution. Furthermore, since we did not have pre-pandemic data, it is difficult to understand if the behavioral symptoms change was due to the lockdown or pre-existing levels of mental health difficulties in children with SEN. Replication studies should include a longitudinal design, multi-informant assessment methods of children’s emotional and behavioral difficulties, and examination of passive vs. interactive screen time. These limitations notwithstanding, our findings have implications for supporting families with SEN. Our study showed that poor mental health was an important risk factor of the wellbeing of children with SEN during the pandemic. Mental health lay the foundation from which children and young people can start exploring the learning opportunities available to them (Weisbrot and Ryst, 2020). Therefore, the aims of education post-pandemic should be re-examined and consider the systematic implementation of school-wide and selective evidence-based intervention programs to support the outcomes of children with SEN. Furthermore, the caregivers with children with SEN who experienced more difficulty with the confinement were those who experienced more adversity such as poor mental health. Consistent with research on family risk and resilience and the benefits of positive parenting for children’s emotional and behavioral outcomes before and during the lockdown (Prime et al., 2020; Raw et al., 2021), our research calls for more concerted action to support the caregivers of children with SEN.
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