Scaling of ammonia stripping towers in the treatment of groundwater polluted by municipal solid waste landfill leachate: study of the causes of scaling and its effects on stripping performance
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ABSTRACT

This paper documents the causes of the scaling of stripping towers used for the treatment of groundwater polluted by the leachate from an old municipal solid waste (MSW) landfill in northern Italy. The effects of the scaling on the stripping performance are also reported. The whole process consists of a coagulation-flocculation pre-treatment at pH > 11, followed by an ammonia stripping stage, after heating the water to 38°C in order to improve removal efficiency. The stripped ammonia is recovered by absorption with sulfuric acid, producing a 30% solution of ammonium sulfate (reused as a base fertilizer). The effluent air stream is recirculated to the stripping towers (closed loop systems) in order to avoid an excessive temperature drop inside the packings, mainly in winter, with consequent loss of efficiency and risk of icing. The progressive scaling of the packing has resulted in a loss of ammonia removal efficiency from an initial value of 98% (clean packing) down to 80% after six months of continuous operation, necessitating a chemical cleaning. Optimum conditions for design and operation of the stripping process are also documented.
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Incrustação das torres de arraste de amônia no tratamento de águas subterrâneas poluídas pelo chorume de aterro de resíduos sólidos urbanos: estudo das causas da incrustação e seus efeitos na eficiência de extração

RESUMO

O artigo relata as causas de incrustação nos materiais de enchimento das torres de arraste no tratamento de águas subterrâneas poluídas pelo chorume de um antigo aterro de resíduos sólidos urbanos no norte da Itália. Também relata os efeitos da incrustação sobre a eficiência de extração de amoníaco. O processo de tratamento é constituído numa primeira etapa de coagulação-floculação a pH > 11 e subsequente remoção da amônia em torres de arraste após
Scaling of ammonia stripping towers in the treatment of effluents from landfills

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. The treatment plant

Figure 1 shows the simplified diagram of the physical-chemical process for the treatment of polluted groundwater (Raboni et al., 2013b). The plant is composed of two parallel lines.
Highly contaminated groundwater ($Q = 160 \text{ m}^3\text{ h}^{-1}$) is first treated by coagulation-flocculation, dosing 41% and 35% solutions of ferric chloride and sodium hydroxide, respectively (pH increase up to pH > 11, converting the ammonium ions into free ammonia in order to aid the subsequent stripping process). The effluent of the coagulation-flocculation step is heated to a temperature of 38°C (by means of the landfill biogas) in order to improve the efficiency of ammonia stripping. This pre-heating was determined by the need to achieve highly efficient ammonia removal in order to comply with the standards for the final discharge into the nearby waterway. The stripping air (flow rate 120,000 Nm$^3$ h$^{-1}$ for each line) flows through the towers in countercurrent to the water.

The two stripping towers (one tower per line) are made of concrete with an inner lining in polypropylene and have an internal diameter of 5.5 m and a 12 m packing height (Pall rings), divided into two consecutive stages to avoid excessive loads on the rings and to prevent crushing.

All of the plant’s operating conditions (e.g. water temperature; hydraulic load; stripping air flow rate) and construction features (e.g. packing height; type of packing) were defined by preliminary tests carried out on a small pilot plant.

The outgoing air from the stripping towers, enriched with ammonia, feeds the absorption towers (two towers per line), which are made of polypropylene, with an internal diameter of 3.6 m and a 9 m packing height. A countercurrent recirculating absorbent solution of sulfuric acid is fed to the towers. The bottom flow of the absorption towers consists of a 30% ammonium sulfate solution (approximately 2,500 kg d$^{-1}$ as pure ammonium sulfate), which is reused as base fertilizer. The top air flow is recirculated to the stripping tower (closed circuit between the stripping and the absorption units). The liquid effluent from the stripping towers is cooled (with heat recovery) and then filtered through sand beds prior to the final pH neutralization and discharge to the watercourse. Weakly contaminated groundwater ($Q = 140 \text{ m}^3\text{ h}^{-1}$) is fed through this final stage of filtration and neutralization, giving the added benefit of reducing the consumption of the neutralizing acid.

The average performances of the plant with regards to the main pollution parameters are summarized in Table 1.
Table 1. Summary of the performance of the experimental plant (data reported as mean, $m$, and standard deviation, $sd$, in brackets).

| Parameter | Raw groundwater | After coagulation-flocculation | After stripping towers | Final effluent to river |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| COD [mg L$^{-1}$] | 370.7 (75.5) | 180.8 (48.9) | 150.5 (43.3) | 77.5 (15.3) |
| NH$_3$ [mg L$^{-1}$] | 199.0 (101.2) | 120.5 (43.5) | 20.5 (8.3) | 9.2 (3.7) |
| Cl$^-$ [mg L$^{-1}$] | 155.2 (25.9) | 430.3 (52.2) | 410.1 (51.8) | 210.4 (32.3) |
| Fe [μg L$^{-1}$] | 350.2 | 85.8 (18.8) | 55.8 (10.2) | 17.3 (6.8) |
| Ni [μg L$^{-1}$] | 93.0 (26.9) | 49.6 (15.7) | 43.5 (15.1) | 32.1 (8.8) |
| Zn [μg L$^{-1}$] | 25.5 (14.1) | 1.3 (8.2) | 9.6 (3.7) | 5.1 (2.2) |

2.2. Research main lines and analytical methods

The research has been focused on two main aspects:

a. Assessment of the extent and the causes of the scaling phenomenon of the two stripping towers. For this purpose, analytical determinations of hardness (total, calcium, and magnesium) and alkalinity (total, phenolphthalein, as well as the share of total hardness in caustic, bicarbonate and carbonate) were carried out at the six sampling points (Figure 1). For each sampling point, pH and temperature were also measured and the Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) and the Ryznar Stability Index (RSI) were calculated as indicators of the precipitation potential of the water (Degremont, 2007). In a period of six months, 35 samples were collected and analyzed for each point.

b. Checking the effects of the scaling on the performance of the stripping towers. For this purpose, the variation in the efficiency of ammonia removal of a single tower was evaluated over a period of six months, during which the initially clean tower progressively reached the highest level of scaling, just before the chemical cleaning. The same efficiency was also evaluated as a function of the liquid rate and the air/liquid ratio, with both the clean and scaled towers. Average daily values, standard deviations and minimum-maximum range were obtained and shown in the illustrative graphs of the various analyzed parameters.

Official standard methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater were adopted for sampling and analysis (Clesceri et al., 1998).

Temperature and pH were measured continuously with fixed probes (± 0.1 accuracy for T and ± 0.05 accuracy for pH).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Extent and causes of scaling

Figure 2 shows the water hardness in the six sampling points of the treatment plant.

First of all, it can be observed that in the raw water the calcium hardness was dominant (81% of the total). In the initial stage of the coagulation-flocculation process a high reduction of the total hardness (90%) was achieved, due to the precipitation at pH > 11.0 of CaCO$_3$ and Mg(OH)$_2$ according to the following reactions (1), (2) and (3):

\[
\text{Ca}(HCO_3)_2 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Mg}(HCO_3)_2 + 4\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{MgCl}_2 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 2\text{NaCl} \tag{3}
\]
Figure 2. Water hardness, as total, calcium and magnesium, in the six sampling points (data as mean, standard deviation and range min-max).

Despite this drastic hardness reduction a further precipitation, within the plates heat exchanger HE1 and especially over the packing of the stripping towers has been observed. This is due to the heating of the water (to 38°C) and to the high water alkalinity, essentially caustic and carbonate as a consequence of the high water pH (Figure 3).

Indeed, the increase in temperature causes a lowering of the solubility product $K_{sp}$ of precipitates (for CaCO$_3$: $K_{sp} = 3.2933 \times 10^{-9}$ mol$^2$ L$^{-2}$ at 25°C, while $K_{sp} = 2.6708 \times 10^{-9}$ mol$^2$ L$^{-2}$ at 38°C) (Green and Perry, 2007; Lide, 2004), and the high alkalinity favors the achievement of the same $K_{sp}$ level (despite the small concentration of the residual hardness).

In the graph of Figure 2 it can be observed that the precipitation of the residual hardness started in the plate heat exchanger HE1 and was completed inside the stripping towers. However, a further effect of post-precipitation, though much smaller, was also found in the heat exchanger HE2 downstream of the stripping towers and in the subsequent centrifuge pumps feeding the water to the final stage of sand filtration and neutralization. This result was observed despite the lowering of both water temperature to 25.2°C and pH to 10.67 in the output of the stripping towers. Total hardness increased in the final effluent, simply due to the mixing with the weakly contaminated groundwater.

The precipitation of calcium carbonate is governed by the following equilibria (4), (5) and (6):

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(s) \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(aq) \quad K_{sp} = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \cdot [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- \quad K_{a2} = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-] \cdot [\text{H}^+]}{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \quad K_{a1} = \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3] \cdot [\text{H}^+]}{[\text{HCO}_3^-]} \quad (6)
\]
where:

$K_{a1}$ and $K_{a2}$ are the equilibrium constants.

Combining the three equations, the following correlation between the solubility of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and the hydrogen ion concentration (and consequently the water pH) is obtained (7):

$$\lbrack \text{Ca}^{2+} \rbrack^2 = K_{sp} \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{[\text{H}^+]}{K_{a2}} + \frac{[\text{H}^+]^2}{K_{a2} \cdot K_{a1}} \right)$$

(7)

Figure 3. Alkalinity values (total, phenolphthaleine, caustic, carbonate, bicarbonate) at the six sampling points (data as mean, standard deviation and range min-max).

The level of scaling reached in the plates heat exchanger HE1 has imposed periodic chemical washings with hydrochloric acid solutions. The same kind of washing was necessary for the removal of the even heavier scaling of the packing of the stripping towers (periodicity of chemical washing of about six months). This scaling has mostly affected the upper area of the packing because of three factors: higher concentrations of hardness and alkalinity in the water at the top of the stripping tower; higher temperature (38°C, compared with the outlet, 25.2°C); higher pH (11.3, compared with the outlet, 10.67).
The variation of the temperature profile along the stripping tower is determined by the cooling induced by the stripping air coming from the absorption tower (closed-loop recirculation of the air). This air has an acidic character and therefore influences the vertical pH profile along the tower. The trend of pH and temperature in the six sampling points of the plant is shown in the graph of Figure 4.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** pH and temperature at the six sampling points (data as mean, standard deviation and range min-max).

The progressive scaling of the packing resulted in an increase of the weight of the single Pall rings up to seven fold the original weight (clean Pall rings) and in a relevant reduction of the interfacial surface area (over 40%).

In order to assess the potential scaling of the water, the LSI and the RSI were calculated for the six sampling points (Figure 5).

The LSI, traditionally used for this type of evaluation, is represented by the following expression (8):

\[
LSI = pH - pH_s = pH - \left[ (pK_{a2} - pK_{sp}) + pCa^{2+} + pAlk \right]
\]  

where:
- \( pH \) is the real pH of the water and
- \( pH_s \) is the saturation pH.

\( LSI < 0 \) indicates aggressive water, while \( LSI > 0 \) indicates a scaling character of the water.

As \( pH_s \) contains a dependence from both the salinity of the water and temperature (dependence in the constants \( K_{a2} \) and \( K_{sp} \)) the \( LSI \) was easier calculated by using the Langelier-Hoover abacus (Degrémont, 2007).

The RSI, which has always positive values, is defined by the following expression (9):

\[
RSI = 2 \cdot pH_s - pH
\]
Although less used due to its empirical character, the RSI has the advantage of being well correlated with the water’s tendency to form scale or to be aggressive, as follows:

\[ RSI = 4-5 \] - high scaling  
\[ RSI = 5-6 \] - scaling  
\[ RSI = 6-7 \] - weak scaling  
\[ RSI = 7-8 \] - aggressive  
\[ RSI = 8-9 \] - very aggressive  
\[ RSI > 9 \] - strongly aggressive

The values calculated for both these indices prove the “high scaling” potential of the water fed into the stripping tower (\( LSI = 3.51 \) and \( RSI = 4.26 \), as mean) and only “scaling” for the outlet water (\( LSI = 2.55 \) and \( RSI = 5.57 \), as mean), confirming the highlighted effects of scaling along the plant. Only the final effluent downstream of the neutralization regains values of the indexes close to equilibrium (\( LSI = 0.72 \) and \( RSI = 6.41 \), as mean).

### 3.2. Effects of the scaling on the stripping performance

Figure 6 shows the evolution of ammonia removal efficiency of the stripping towers during six months of continuous operation with a liquid rate of 2.1 m \(^3\) h \(^{-1}\) m \(^{-2}\) and an air/water ratio of 2,400 Nm \(^3\) m \(^{-3}\).

The efficiency undergoes a progressive reduction from the initial value of 98% (clean packing) down to the value of 80%, at which the tower has been subjected to chemical cleaning to remove the scaling. The curve has an increasing gradient due to the fact that the progressive scaling determines not only a reduction of the interfacial surface area of packing, but also determines an increase of the head losses with consequent gradual reduction of the air flow, and then the air / liquid ratio.
Figure 6. Variation of the ammonia removal efficiency during six months of continuous operation, showing the effect of the progressive scaling (mean value and 95% interval of confidence).

Figure 7 shows the efficiency of ammonia stripping as a function of the liquid rate, as regards the clean towers (at 38°C and 32°C) and the towers at the highest level of scaling (at 38°C).

The comparison of the curves allows an immediate assessment of the impact of the temperature and scaling on the ammonia removal efficiency.

Figure 8 shows the same efficiency as a function of the air/liquid ratio, always with reference to clean towers and towers at the highest level of scaling.
The observation of the curves in Figures 7 and 8 highlights the following points:

– for the achievement of high ammonia removal efficiencies (higher than 95%) it is necessary to operate with liquid rates below 4.5 m$^3$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ and with air/liquid ratios over 1,500 Nm$^3$ m$^{-3}$ (at 38°C);

– due to the problem of scaling, a highly efficient stripping continuous process is achievable only with two or more parallel stripping towers in order to cope with the progressive loss of efficiency of the scaled towers and the off-service periods for the chemical washing.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The physical-chemical process studied, consisting of coagulation-flocculation at pH > 11 followed by the water heating at 38°C and ammonia stripping (with subsequent recovery of ammonia by absorption with a sulfuric acid solution) showed severe scaling issues (mainly limestone) over the stripping towers packing, with an increase in weight of the single components (Pall rings) up to seven times the original weight. The scaling has mostly affected the upper zone of the tower because of three factors: higher concentrations of hardness and alkalinity in the water at the top of the stripping tower; inlet water temperature higher than the outlet one (38°C respect to 25.2°C); and inlet water pH higher than the outlet one (11.28 respect to 10.67). The temperature profile along the tower was determined by the cooling action of the stripping air coming from the ammonia absorption towers (closed-loop air recirculation), while pH profile was influenced by the acid character of the air recirculated to the stripping towers.

The scaling effects were well highlighted by the Langelier and Ryznar saturation indexes.

The progressive scaling produced an 18% loss in ammonia removal efficiency (from 98% to 80%) caused by the reduction of both the packing interfacial surface (up to 40%) and the air/water ratio (due to the increase in head losses). As a consequence of the scale build-up, a periodic (every six months) chemical washing of the stripping towers with a 30% hydrochloric acid solution was carried out.

The results of this study demonstrate the real possibility of achieving high ammonia removal efficiencies (higher than 95%) operating the stripping towers at 38°C with liquid.
rates below 4.5 m$^3$ m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ and air/water ratios above 1,500 Nm$^3$ m$^{-3}$. However, a highly efficient continuous process is achievable only with two or more parallel stripping towers in order to cope with the progressive loss of efficiency (due to scale build-up) and the off-service periods for chemical washing.
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