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‘Kalipso’ is a new cultivar of plum obtained in a plum breeding program at the Research Institute of Pomology and Floriculture (now Research Institute of Horticulture) in Skierniewice, Poland, by Tadeusz Jakubowski and Edward Żurawicz. ‘Kalipso’ is a prolific cultivar. In the central part of Poland, ‘Kalipso’ plums ripen in the last 10 d of July are very tasty, typically dessert in character, but also suitable for processing. Fruit is not very prone to dropping and remain on the tree after reaching harvest maturity. The tree grows moderately vigorously and comes early into bearing fruit. ‘Kalipso’ is tolerant to Plums (PPV), a causal agent of sharka (plum pox) disease. With standard orchard protection, the flowers, shoots, and fruit are unaffected by brown rot [Monilinia laxa (Aderh. et Ruth.) Honey] and [Monilinia fructigena (Aderh. et Ruth.) Honey]. To obtain abundant fruiting, ‘Kalipso’ trees should be grown in the presence of pollinating cultivars (pollinizers), such as ‘Jojo’, ‘Węgierka Dąbrowicka’, ‘Całanska Rana’, or ‘Emper’. Prunus domestica is an economically important fruit crop species in Poland. In the last 10 years, the annual production of plums in Poland has amounted to about 100,000 t (FAOSTAT, 2013; GUS, 2014). In terms of the overall fruit production in Poland, plums are in sixth place—behind apples, sour cherries, strawberries, currants, and red raspberries. The program of breeding new cultivars of plum at the Research Institute of Pomology began in 1954. This program developed the ‘Węgierka Dąbrowicka’ plum and three clones of the ‘Common Prune’, which were named ‘Nectavit’, ‘Całanska Rana’, or ‘Emper’.

Table 1. Flowering time of ‘Kalipso’ trees grown on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks and Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks in Dąbrowice (average values for 2011–15).

| Cultivar    | Beginning | Full | End | Intensity z (1–9) |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------------------|
| Kalipso    | 24 Apr.   | 26 Apr. | 1 May | 6.3 |
| Herman     | 26 Apr.   | 27 Apr. | 1 May | 6.0 |
| Całanska Rana | 24 Apr. | 25 Apr. | 30 Apr. | 6.6 |
| Opal       | 25 Apr.   | 26 Apr. | 2 May  | 5.2 |
| Całanska Lepotica | 26 Apr. | 27 Apr. | 2 May  | 6.7 |
| Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks | 24 Apr. | 25 Apr. | 30 Apr. | 6.9 |
| Opal       | 24 Apr.   | 25 Apr. | 2 May  | 5.6 |
| Całanska Lepotica | 26 Apr. | 27 Apr. | 2 May  | 7.1 |

Table 2. Harvest time, fruiting and vigour of ‘Kalipso’ trees grown on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks and Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks in Dąbrowice, 2011–15.

| Cultivar    | Harvest date | Total yield 2011–15 (kg/tree) | Trunk cross-sectional area (cm²) | Cropping efficiency$^a$ (kg/cm²) |
|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Kalipso    | 27 July      | 53.9 b$^c$                 | 65.7 a                           | 0.9 b                            |
| Herman     | 16 July      | 66.0 c                     | 108.1 b                          | 0.7 b                            |
| Całanska Rana | 25 July | 24.9 a                     | 112.2 b                          | 0.2 a                            |
| Opal       | 30 July     | 49.2 b                      | 120.0 b                          | 0.4 a                             |
| Całanska Lepotica | 7 Aug. | 72.0 c                     | 74.0 a                           | 1.0 b                            |
| Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks | 25 July | 33.9 a                     | 57.6 b                           | 0.6 a                            |
| Opal       | 30 July     | 57.8 b                      | 56.4 b                           | 1.0 b                             |
| Całanska Lepotica | 7 Aug. | 42.0 a                     | 44.0 a                           | 1.0 b                            |

$^a$Means in columns followed by the same letter separately for each rootstocks do not differ significantly according to Duncan’s test ($P < 0.05$).

$^b$The trunk cross-sectional area data were taken in autumn of 2015.

$^c$Cropping efficiency was calculated in autumn of 2015.
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been derived at the Horticultural Research Station in Alnarp, Sweden, by crossing ‘Early Favorite’—the English cultivars with ‘Oulins Gauge’—the French cultivar (Lucka, 1994). ‘Opal’ was introduced into commercial cultivation in 1925. It is an early ripening cultivar, high yielding, and with excellent fruit flavor, but the trees of this variety often set an excessive number of fruit, which results in small fruit at harvest, reduced fruit flavor, and biennial bearing (Grzyb and Rozpara, 2007). ‘Opal’ is listed in the Polish Register of Varieties, and is grown in Polish orchards. ‘Caçanska Lepotica’ was obtained in 1961 in the former Yugoslavia, at the Fruit Institute in Čačak, now in Serbia. It was derived by crossing ‘Stanley’—as the maternal form, with ‘Ruth Gersteretter’—as the paternal form (Decroocq et al., 2004). ‘Stanley’ had been obtained in the United States at the Cornell–Geneva Station in 1913, by crossing ‘Prune d’Agen’, the French cultivar, with ‘Grand Duke’, the English cultivar, whereas ‘Ruth Gersteretter’ was obtained in Germany in 1920 by crossing ‘Bonne de Bry’, the French cultivar, with ‘Czar’, the English cultivar (Grzyb and Rozpara, 2007). ‘Caçanska Lepotica’ is listed in the Polish Register of Varieties, and is now one of the most widely grown cultivars of plum in this country. The cultivar bears fruit abundantly and has low susceptibility to plum diseases, but its trees are only moderately resistant to frost, and the flavor of its fruit is not always acceptable to consumers.

‘Kalipso’ was tested under the designation ‘SL-01’. The experiment was established in the autumn of 2008, in the Experimental Orchard in Dąbrowice (central Poland, 145 m above sea level, lat. 51°54’ N, long. 20°06′ E). The evaluation of the growth and yielding of ‘Kalipso’ trees was conducted in the years 2011–15. The standard cultivars in the experiment were ‘Herman’, ‘Caçanska Rana’, ‘Opal’, and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’, which are the early ripening cultivars and are commonly grown in Poland. The nursery material needed to establish the experiment had been produced in Mar. 2008 by winter grafting on two rootstocks—Myrobalan seedlings (Prunus cerasifera Ehrh.) and Wangenheim Prune seedlings (P. domestica). The scions and rootstocks used for the grafting were free of viral diseases. In April, the obtaining grafts were planted in 5-L containers filled with compost soil and placed in high plastic tunnels. The resulting 7-month-old trees were planted in a randomized block design in triplicate, with three trees per plot, spaced at 2 × 4 m (1250 trees/ha).

Description

Flowering. ‘Kalipso’ is a cultivar with a moderately early flowering time. In the central part of Poland, its trees began to blossom around 24 Apr., which was at much the same time as the trees of the standards— ‘Opal’ and ‘Caçanska Rana’, and about 2 d before those of ‘Herman’ and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’. The intensity of flowering of ‘Kalipso’ trees was higher than in ‘Opal’ and similar to or slightly lower than in ‘Herman’, ‘Caçanska Rana’, and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’. The flowering of ‘Kalipso’ trees was a little more intense on Wangenheim Prune than on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks (Table 1).

Harvest time and fruiting. The fruit of ‘Kalipso’ ripen early. In central Poland, this was the last 10 d of July, after ‘Herman’ and ‘Caçanska Rana’ and before ‘Opal’ and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’ (Table 1). On both Myrobalan seedlings and Wangenheim Prune seedlings, ‘Kalipso’ produced fruit yield similar to those of ‘Opal’, but significantly higher than those of ‘Caçanska Rana’, which had a similar ripening time. On Wangenheim Prune seedlings, ‘Kalipso’ yielded like ‘Herman’ and significantly better than ‘Caçanska Lepotica’, whereas on Myrobalan seedlings the yield of ‘Kalipso’ fruit was significantly lower than those of ‘Herman’ and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’. The calculated cropping efficiency index, Table 3. Characteristics of ‘Kalipso’ plums at harvest maturity, collected from trees grown on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks and Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks (average values for 2013–14).

| Cultivars          | Fruit size | Stone to fruit ratio (%) | Susceptibility to fruit drop (1–5)* |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                    | Wt (g)*    | Length (mm)*             | Width (mm)*                         | Stone wt (g) |                               |
| Myrobalan seedlings |            |                          |                                     |              |                               |
| Kalipso            | 32.5 b     | 42.8 b                   | 36.8 a                              | 1.7 c         | 5.1 b                         | 2                                   |
| Herman             | 30.0 a     | 42.2 b                   | 36.0 a                              | 1.2 a         | 3.6 a                         | 5                                   |
| Caçanska Rana      | 61.1 d     | 57.4 d                   | 47.2 c                              | 3.0 e         | 5.0 b                         | 4                                   |
| Opal               | 31.0 ab    | 38.6 a                   | 36.2 a                              | 1.4 b         | 4.8 b                         | 4                                   |
| Caçanska Lepotica  | 43.4 c     | 44.6 c                   | 39.4 b                              | 1.9 d         | 4.3 a                         | 3                                   |
| Wangenheim seedlings |           |                          |                                     |              |                               |
| Kalipso            | 32.0 b     | 42.4 b                   | 36.2 a                              | 1.7 c         | 5.2 b                         | 2                                   |
| Herman             | 27.3 a     | 41.6 b                   | 35.2 a                              | 1.2 a         | 3.9 a                         | 5                                   |
| Caçanska Rana      | 54.6 d     | 56.6 d                   | 46.8 c                              | 3.0 e         | 5.4 b                         | 4                                   |
| Opal               | 26.5 a     | 38.2 a                   | 35.8 a                              | 1.3 b         | 4.9 b                         | 4                                   |
| Caçanska Lepotica  | 43.8 c     | 46.2 a                   | 40.4 b                              | 1.9 d         | 4.3 a                         | 3                                   |

*Means in columns followed by the same letter separately for each rootstocks do not differ significantly according to Duncan’s t test (P ≤ 0.05).

Table 4. Fruit firmness and soluble solids and vitamin C contents of ‘Kalipso’ plums collected from trees grown on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks and Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstocks (average values for 2012–15).

| Cultivars          | Flesh firmness (N) | Soluble solids (°Brix) | Vitamin C (mg 100 g⁻¹) |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                    |                    |                        |                        |
| Myrobalan seedlings |                    |                        |                        |
| Kalipso            | 9.3 c              | 14.4 bc                | 37.0 a                 |
| Herman             | 4.9 a              | 13.1 a                 | 37.0 a                 |
| Caçanska Rana      | 7.0 b              | 14.2 ab                | 44.3 a                 |
| Opal               | 6.5 b              | 15.5 c                 | 37.7 a                 |
| Caçanska Lepotica  | 12.8 d             | 15.3 bc                | 45.6 a                 |
| Wangenheim seedlings |                   |                        |                        |
| Kalipso            | 8.1 b              | 14.8 abc               | 39.0 a                 |
| Herman             | 5.0 a              | 13.3 a                 | 47.6 a                 |
| Caçanska Rana      | 7.4 ab             | 14.1 ab                | 39.3 a                 |
| Opal               | 7.4 ab             | 15.2 bc                | 42.3 a                 |
| Caçanska Lepotica  | 12.1 c             | 16.0 c                 | 45.3 a                 |

*Means in columns followed by the same letter separately for each rootstocks do not differ significantly according to Duncan’s t test (P ≤ 0.05).
expressed as a ratio of crop weight (kg) and trunk cross-sectional area (cm²), indicates high productivity of ‘Kalipso’ trees (Table 2). The cropping efficiency index for ‘Kalipso’ trees grown on Myrobalan seedlings was not significantly different from that of ‘Herman’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’, but was significantly higher than that of ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Opal’. For ‘Kalipso’ trees grown on Wangenheim Prune seedlings, the cropping efficiency index was higher than for the trees of the standard cultivars. In relation to ‘Herman’ trees, these differences were insignificant, whereas for the trees of ‘Cačanska Rana’, ‘Opal’, and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’, the cropping efficiency index was significantly lower than that for ‘Kalipso’.

**Fruit.** ‘Kalipso’ plums are of medium size (30–40 g). Regardless of the rootstock used, they were significantly larger than ‘Herman’ plums, but significantly smaller than those of the ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’ (Table 3). The ‘Kalipso’ trees produced larger fruit than those of ‘Opal’, but on Myrobalan seedling rootstocks these differences were not significant. ‘Kalipso’ plums were oval in shape, with a length of 40–46 mm and a width of 34–40 mm. On both rootstocks, the length of the ‘Kalipso’ fruit was significantly less than that of ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’, but was not significantly different from the width of the fruit of ‘Herman’ and ‘Opal’. The stone was of medium size and easily separated from the flesh (Fig. 2). The stone of the ‘Kalipso’ fruit was significantly smaller than that of ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’, but was significantly larger than that of ‘Herman’ and ‘Opal’ (Table 3). The percentage share of stone weight in the total weight of the ‘Kalipso’ fruit was not significantly different from that of ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Opal’, but was significantly greater than that of ‘Herman’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’ (Table 3). An advantage of the ‘Kalipso’ plum was that the fruit was not prone to dropping before harvest and remained on the tree after reaching harvest maturity (Table 3). Their skin is almost completely dark blue with an attractive blush-grey waxy bloom, which additionally makes the appearance of the fruit attractive. The flesh is yellow at first, and in the ripe fruit—orange-yellow, firm, juicy, very tasty. The detailed sensory evaluation of the fruit characteristics were not performed, however, in the opinion of consumers the fruit of ‘Kalipso’ is at least as tasty as fruit of ‘Opal’, and more tasty than fruit of ‘Herman’, ‘Cačanska Lepotica’, and ‘Cačanska Rana’. Regardless of the rootstock used, at the stage of harvest maturity the fruit was significantly firmer than that of ‘Herman’, but inferior in this respect to that of ‘Cačanska Lepotica’ (Table 4). On both rootstocks, the ‘Kalipso’ fruit was also firmer than those of ‘Cačanska Rana’ and ‘Opal’, but in the case of the Wangenheim Prune seedling rootstock these differences were not significant. On Myrobalan seedlings, ‘Kalipso’ plums contained significantly more soluble solids (Brix) than those of ‘Herman’, but did not significantly differ in this respect from those of ‘Cačanska Rana’, ‘Opal’, and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’. By comparison, on Wangenheim Prune seedlings, ‘Kalipso’ plums contained more soluble solids than those of ‘Herman’ and ‘Cačanska Rana’, but less than those of ‘Opal’ and ‘Cačanska Lepotica’; however, the differences were not significant. The vitamin C content in the fruit was not significantly different from the content in the fruit of the standard cultivars, and the rootstocks used did not affect it.

**Tree.** On both rootstocks used, the trees of ‘Kalipso’ grew significantly less vigorously than those of the standards—‘Herman’, ‘Cačanska Rana’, and ‘Opal’, but as vigorously as those of ‘Cačanska Lepotica’ (Table 2). On both rootstocks, the tree formed an upright crown with fairly closely spaced shoots. The shoots were of moderate thickness, relatively long, free of thorns, with a smooth maroon-brown surface. The leaves were medium sized, with a broad oval shape, without gloss. ‘Kalipso’ trees came into fruiting in the 2nd or 3rd year after planting and were very productive. They bore fruit on spurs, 1- and 2-year-old shoots, but the main part of the crop was produced on 2-year-old shoots and spurs formed on 3-year-old and older branches (Fig. 3).

**Susceptibility to PPV.** A major advantage of the ‘Kalipso’ plum is its low susceptibility to PPV—the causal agent of the sharka disease, which in economic terms is a very serious disease of plum trees. During the study, no visual symptoms of sharka were found on the leaves or fruit of any of the trees in the experiment. By visual symptoms of sharka were found on the leaves of the ‘Kalipso’ plum trees, but no symptoms were found on the leaves or fruit of any of the trees in the experiment.

---

**Table 5. Susceptibility to Plum pox virus (sharka) of the variety ‘Kalipso’ compared with the standard cultivars in terms of the symptoms on the leaves and fruit (% of affected trees in relation to the total number of trees assessed on both rootstocks) (Dażbrowie, 2015).**

| Cultivar | % of trees with symptoms of sharka on leaves | % of trees with symptoms of sharka on fruit |
|----------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|          | +                | ++             | +++            | +                | ++             | +++            |
| Kalipso  | 0                | 0              | 0              | 0                | 0              | 0              |
| Herman   | 33               | 11             | 22             | 44               | 0              | 0              |
| Cačanska Rana | 7      | 14             | 36             | 43               | 0              | 0              |
| Opal     | 0                | 0              | 0              | 0                | 0              | 0              |
| Cačanska Lepotica | 17 | 39             | 11             | 39               | 0              | 0              |

+Minor symptoms of the sharka disease on the leaves and fruit (100% marketable fruit crop).
++Moderate severity of symptoms of the sharka disease on the leaves and fruit (up to 20% of fruit with no commercial value).
+++Very high severity of symptoms of the sharka disease on the leaves and fruit (more than 50% of fruit with no commercial value).

---

**Table 6. Minimum winter temperatures and spring frosts at a height of 1.8 m and the flowering start date of ‘Kalipso’ trees (Dażbrowie, 2009–15).**

| Date            | Minimum winter air temp | Date            | Minimum spring frost temp | Tree flowering start date |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 26 Jan. 2010    | –28.1                   | 25 Apr.         | –2.5                      | 27 Apr. 2010             |
| 22 Feb. 2011    | –22.3                   | 20 Apr./3–4 May | –1.5/–1.1/–1.5            | 22 Apr. 2011             |
| 3–4 Feb. 2012   | –23.3                   | 18 Apr.         | –1.8                      | 26 Apr. 2012             |
| 24 Mar. 2013    | –21.4                   | 15 Apr.         | –1.0                      | 03 May 2013              |
| 25 Jan. 2014    | –16.3                   | 3–5 May         | –0.5/–1.1/–1.3            | 14 Apr. 2014             |
| 30 Dec. 2014    | –14.8                   | 22 Apr.         | –1.0                      | 25 Apr. 2015             |

Fig. 3. Fruiting of the plum variety ‘Kalipso’.
experiment (Table 5). However, the presence of the virus was detected with the double antibody sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay technique (Clark and Adams, 1977) in one tree growing on the Myrobalan seedling rootstock (data not shown), but even in that tree there was no decline in the quality of the fruit, with very few fruit dropping after reaching harvest maturity. By contrast, some trees of the standards—‘Herman’, ‘Caçanska Rana’, and ‘Caçanska Lepotica’ were affected by PPV. The presence of the shakka virus in only one ‘Kalipso’ tree, despite the high infection pressure of the pathogen in the environment of these trees, indicates low susceptibility of this cultivar to shakka. Generally, the absence of visual symptoms, or only minor symptoms of the shakka disease on the leaves and fruit of plum trees is evidence of the tolerance of plum genotypes to the presence of the PPV on the tree (Neumüller, 2011). The shakka disease in Poland causes considerable losses in the form of a significant reduction in fruit yield and deterioration in the quality of the fruit produced. For that reason, the low susceptibility of ‘Kalipso’ plum trees to this disease is a major advantage of this cultivar.

Winter and spring frost susceptibility. ‘Kalipso’ is a cultivar with high resistance of trees to winter frosts. The occurrence of large winter temperature drops, even to –28.1 °C (26 Jan. 2010), –22.3 °C (22 Feb. 2011), and –23.3 °C (3–4 Feb. 2012) (Table 6), did not cause any visible frost injuries on the trees. There were only sporadic instances of damaged flower buds, but this did not have any noticeable impact on the intensity of flowering and fruiting of the trees. During the course of the experiment, light spring frosts also occurred toward the end of the tree flowering stage, i.e., on 3–4 May 2011 the temperature dropped to –1.1 and –1.5 °C, and on 3–5 May 2014 the temperature dropped to –0.5, –1.1, and –1.3 °C, respectively. The subsequent good growth of fruit and production of abundant crops in spite of the subzero temperatures during flowering also confirms sufficient resistance of the flowers of the variety ‘Kalipso’ to spring frosts.

Availability

‘Kalipso’ has been entered on the Polish National List of Fruit Plant Varieties by the Polish Research Center for Cultivar Testing (in Polish: Centralny Ośrodek Badania Odmian Roślin Uprawnych) and is protected by plant breeders’ rights in Poland until 2 Mar. 2042. Certified plant material of this cultivar can be purchased from the Center for Elite Nursery Stock (in Polish: Ośrodek Elitarnego Materiału Szkółkarskiego OEMS) in Prusy. Nursery producers interested in the multiplication of the cultivar Kalipso and sale of its nursery stock should contact the OEMS via e-mail: oems_prusy@poczta.onet.pl.

Literature Cited

Clark, M.F. and A.N. Adams. 1977. Characteristics of the microplate method of enzyme - linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of plant viruses. J. Gen. Virol. 34:475–483.

Decroocq, V., L.S. Hagen, M.G. Faïé, J.P. Eyquard, and A. Pierronnet. 2004. Microsatellite markers in the Hexaploid Prunus domestica species and parentage lineage of three European plum cultivars using nuclear and chloroplast simple-sequence repeats. Mol. Breed. 13:135–142.

FAOSTAT. 2013. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 17 Aug. 2016. <http://faostat.fao.org>.

Grzyb, Z.S. and E. Rozpara. 2007. Intensywny sad sliwowy. Hortpress Sp. Z o.o., Warszawa, Poland.

GUS. 2014. Central Statistical Office. 17 Aug. 2016. <http://www.stat.gov.pl>.

Jakubowski, T. 1998. Breeding of plum cultivars in Poland. Acta Hort. 478:151–154.

Lucka, M. 1994. Sliwy, p. 186–249. In: A. Rejman (ed.). Pomologia–odmianoznawstwo roślin sadowniczych, PWRiL, Warszawa, Poland.

Neumüller, M. 2011. Fundamental and applied aspects of plum (Prunus domestica) breeding. Global Sci. Books. Fruit, Veg. Cereal Sci. Biotecnol. 5(1):139–156.

Rozpara, E., Z.S. Grzyb, A. Czynczyk, and H. Zdyb. 1998. Growth and yielding of some common prune clones selected in Poland. Acta Hort. 478:203–205.

Vitanova, I., S. Dimkova, and D. Ivanova. 2004. Vegetative and productive parameters of introduced plum cultivars. J. Fruit Ornam. Plant Res. 12:257–262.

Szymajda, M., K. Pruski, E. Żurawicz, and M. Sitarz. 2013. Freezing injuries to flower buds and their influence on yield of apricot (Prunus armeniaca L.) and peach (Prunus persica L.). Can. J. Plant Sci. 93:191–198.

Szymajda, M. and E. Żurawicz. 2014. Seed genotypes for harvesting seeds in the production of generative rootstocks for peach cultivars. Hortic. Sci. (Prague) 41:160–166.