A new species of *Cricosaurus* (Thalattosuchia, Metriorhynchidae) based upon a remarkably well-preserved skeleton from the Upper Jurassic of Germany
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**ABSTRACT**

Herein we describe a new and exceptionally well-preserved skeleton of the metriorhynchid thalattosuchian *Cricosaurus* from the upper Kimmeridgian Torleite Formation of Painten in Bavaria (Southern Germany). The specimen is articulated, shows soft-tissue preservation, and represents one of the most complete metriorhynchid skeletons known. The exceptional preservation allows us to explore the morphological variation of the tail region in the Metriorhynchidae, a part of the skeleton that has long been neglected. Based on our description and phylogenetic analyses, we name this specimen *Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* sp. nov. Our phylogenetic analyses recover a *Cricosaurus* subclade composed of four species from Southern Germany and one from Argentina. We provide revised diagnoses for the Southern German members of this subclade, revealing the presence of at least four closely-related *Cricosaurus* species in the upper Kimmeridgian-early Tithonian of Southern Germany. Interestingly, within this subclade there is evidence of rapid change in tail construction and feeding ecology. However, there is no evidence of sympatry between these taxa, and the two species known from the same ammonite subzone are exclusively found in different northern-Tethys lagoons. Most interesting, however, is the variation in the skulls, dorsal neural spines, the tail displacement units, and flukes between these different species. This previously unexplored variation within Metriorhynchidae hints to differences in locomotory abilities between different species.
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INTRODUCTION

The Upper Jurassic limestones of the Altmühl valley in Bavaria (Southern Germany) are well known for their exclusively well-preserved vertebrate fossils (e.g., Arratia et al., 2015). They have yielded iconic specimens, such as the dinosaurs Archaeopteryx and Compsognathus; numerous pterosaurs, but also aquatic tetrapods including turtles, pleurosaurs, ichthyosaurs, and thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs (see Arratia et al., 2015 and references therein). The first thalattosuchian remains described from these units were named Crocodilus priscus (now Aeolodon priscus, a teleosauroid) and Lacerta gigantea (now Geosaurus giganteus, a metriorhynchid) by von Sömmerring (1814, 1816). They were also the first thalattosuchian taxa to be formally named in scientific publications (Young et al., 2018).

Subsequently, a number of thalattosuchian specimens have been reported from localities in the Altmühl valley, the majority of which are metriorhynchids (Frey and Tischlinger, 2015). Metriorhynchidae is a clade of pelagic crocodylomorphs that were geographically widespread in the Late Jurassic (e.g., Frey et al., 2002; Buchy et al., 2006; Gasparini et al., 2006; Young et al., 2010; Herrera et al., 2013a, 2015). The metriorhynchids of Southern Germany are of special importance because of their unique preservation in terms of articulation and completeness. In contrast to this the clay pits of Peterborough UK yielded hundreds of metriorhynchid fossils that are found disarticulated, often incomplete, and taphonomically deformed (e.g., Andrews, 1913; Foffa and Young, 2014; Foffa et al., 2018; MTY pers. obs.). Thus, elucidating the postcranial morphology of Metriorhynchidae, determining how variable it is, and investigating macroevolutionary trends are frustratingly difficult.

The genus Cricosaurus was established by Wagner (1858) for material discovered in the Altmühl valley. The type species, Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner, 1852), is based on a complete but dorsoventrally crushed skull. When Wagner (1858) established the genus Cricosaurus, he also erected two additional species, C. grandis and C. medi. Today, C. grandis is considered to be a species of Geosaurus (Young and Andrade, 2009), while the holotype of C. medi was thought to be lost until recently (see Sachs et al., 2019; Herrera et al., 2021a). For over 100 years Cricosaurus was considered to be a subjective junior synonym of Geosaurus (first proposed by Fraas, 1902), until Young and Andrade (2009) split the two genera. Cricosaurus is now known as a geographically widespread taxon, with fossils discovered in Upper Jurassic strata of Europe, South America, and North America, as well as Lower Cretaceous strata of western Europe (e.g., Frey et al., 2002; Young and Andrade, 2009; Herrera et al., 2013a, 2021a, 2021b; Sachs et al. 2020).

Complete skeletons of Cricosaurus, however, are rare. The postcranial anatomy is so far only known for Cricosaurus suevicus Fraas, 1901 (initially described as Geosaurus suevicus by Fraas, 1901) from the upper Kimeridgian strata of Nusplingen (Baden-Württemberg, SW Germany) and C. bambergensis Sachs, Young, Abel, and Maillison, 2019 from the upper Kimeridgian strata of Wattendorf (Bavaria, Southern Germany). The postcranial skeleton of C. araucanensis (Gasparini and Dellapé, 1976), from the early late Tithonian of Argentina, is known from incomplete and partially articulated skeletons (Herrera et al., 2009, 2013b, 2017). Accordingly, the morphological variation of the postcranial skeleton is largely unknown.

This research describes and names a new articulated skeleton (BMMS-BK 1-2, Figure 1) that is one of the most complete and best-preserved Cricosaurus fossils on record. The specimen is on display at the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum in Solnhofen (Germany) and derives from the Upper Jurassic Torleite Formation. It was found in 2002 by Raimund Albersdörfer in the Rygol quarry in Painen, Bavaria (Southern Germany). BMMS-BK 1-2 has not been described before and yields new information on the cranial and postcranial anatomy of the genus Cricosaurus, revealing a previously underappreciated diversity in tail morphology within Metriorhynchidae. Furthermore, with the data gleaned from the specimen we revise the diagnoses for most of the Cricosaurus species of the late Kimeridgian-to-early Tithonian of Southern Germany.
Institutional abbreviations. BMMS, Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum Solnhofen, Germany; GPIT, Palaeontological collections Tübingen, Senckenberg Centre for Human Evolution and Palaeoenvironment, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; NAMU, Naturkunde-Museum Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany; NKMB, Naturkunde-Museum Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany; NHMUK, Natural History Museum, London, UK; SMNS, Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Stuttgart, Germany; SNSB-BSPG, Staatliche Naturwissenschaftliche Sammlungen Bayerns-Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Munich, Germany.

GEOLOGY AND STRATIGRAPHY

BMMS-BK 1-2 was found in the upper Kimmeridgian Torleite Formation (Figure 2), which forms the most basal formation of the Upper Weißjura Group in Bavaria and can be divided into geographically differing members. Its lithology represents a carbonate rock succession, dominated by lithographic as well as thin siliceous limestones (‘Plattensilex’). The formation ranges from the upper Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis to the upper Hybonoticeras beckeri Tethyan ammonite Zones (Niebuhr and Pürner, 2014).

The specimen dates to the Hybonoticeras beckeri Tethyan ammonite Zone and Lithacoceras ulmense Subzone of the Arnstorf Member in the eastern Franconian Jura. The Arnstorf Member is characterized by the dominating siliceous limestones (‘Plattensilex’). Lithographic limestones are less abundant (Schweigert, 2007; Niebuhr and Pürner, 2014). The matrix BMMS-BK 1-2 is embedded in is a white-coloured thin and fine-laminated siliceous limestone.

PALAEENVIRONMENT

The rocks of the Arnstorf Member were deposited in the ‘Painten lagoon’ of the Solnhofen archipelago, and the high abundance of land plants suggests it was a near-shore environment. Aside from marine reptiles like thalattosuchians and turtles (Spindler and Albersdörfer, 2019), the lagoon was most notably inhabited by a vast diversity of
non-tetrapod Osteichthyes as well as a high abundance of "teuthid" cephalopods. The presence of the latter indicates that the lagoon was mostly open to the marine realm (Röper, 2005). In addition to Cricosaurus, other articulated metriorhynchid fossils have been discovered from the Painten lagoon (including so far unpublished specimens, such as two large geosaurines and a juvenile metriorhynchid) (Spindler and Albersdörfer, 2019).

**LEGAL STATEMENT AND DATA PERMANENCE**

BMMS-BK 1-2 was given as a permanent loan to the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum (BMMS) in Solnhofen by palaeontologist Raimund Albersdörfer. Mr. Albersdörfer is well known for providing valuable specimens to science, including, among others, the unique skeletons of the theropod dinosaurs Sciurumimus albersdoerferi and Archaeopteryx albersdoerferi. BMMS-BK 1-2 is on display at the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum since 2008 and has been figured in textbooks with the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum as its permanent depository (Frey and Tischlinger, 2015).

It is guaranteed by contract (deposited at the BMMS) that BMMS-BK 1-2 will always be available in the public domain. This contract stipulates that the specimen is officially curated by the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum, and that it will not be sold to a non-public entity. BMMS-BK 1-2 thus has the same legal status as Sciurumimus albersdoerferi (BMMS-BK 11, permanent loan by Mr. Albersdörfer to the Bürgermeister-Müller-Museum), Archaeopteryx albersdoerferi (SNSB-BSPG VN-2010/1, permanent loan by Mr. Albersdörfer to the Bayerische Staatsammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie) and other recently described specimens from the Altmühl valley (e.g., Foth et al., 2014; Rauhut et al., 2012, 2018) or the limestones of Wattendorf (Joyce and Mäuser, 2020) (but see also discussion in Haug et al., 2020).

In addition we created a high-resolution 3D digital photogrammetric model of BMMS-BK 1-2.
Type species. *Stenosaurus elegans* Wagner, 1852 (sensu Nesbitt, 2011) (following recommendation 67B of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) Code). Now referred to as *Cricosaurus elegans* Wagner, 1852 (Young and Andrade, 2009).

**Etymology.** 'Ring lizard'. κρίκος (kríkos) is Ancient Greek for ring, referring to the large scleral rings preserved in the orbits of the holotype.

**Emended diagnosis.** Metriorhynchid crocodylomorph with the following unique combination of characters: external surface of the dermatoocranium is largely smooth, usually lacking conspicuous ornamentation; external nares separated by a bony premaxillary intermaxillary bar, with the nares terminating posterior to the second maxillary alveoli; acute angle formed by the postero-lateral and postero-medial processes of the frontal; dorsal margin of the supratemporal bar is lower than the postero-medial process of the frontal; orbits as large as the supratemporal fenestrae (at least in morphologically mature individuals); lateral margins of the prefrontals rounded in dorsal view; surangular and angular well-developed, anterolaterally exceeding beyond the anterior margin of the orbits; symphysis of the mandible low; dentition has variable labiolingual compression, with some species having teeth with a sub-circular cross-section and others with a sub-rectangular one; dentition is bicarinate, but the carinae are faint (i.e., a low carinal keel); calcaneum tuber absent or vestigial; proximal end of metatarsal I greatly enlarged (modified from Young and Andrade, 2009; Sachs et al., 2019; Herrera et al., 2021a, 2021b).

*Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* sp. nov.

**Holotype.** BMMS-BK 1-2, almost complete articulated skeleton (Figure 1).

**Type locality.** Rygol quarry, Painten, Bavaria, Germany.

**Type formation.** Arnstorf Member, Torleite Formation.

**Type horizon.** Lithacoceras ulmense Subzone, Hybonoticeras beckeri Tethyan ammonite Zone, upper Kimmeridgian, Upper Jurassic.

**Geological provenance.** Upper Jurassic. Lithacoceras ulmense Subzone, Hybonoticeras beckeri Tethyan ammonite Zone, upper Kimmeridgian.

**Etymology.** The species epithet *albersdoerferi* honours palaeontologist Raimund Albersdörfer for making the specimen available to science.

**Diagnosis.** A member of *Cricosaurus* with the following unique combination of characters (proposed autapomorphic characters are indicated by an asterisk *): bicarinate dentition, lacking conspicuous enamel ornamentation; tooth crowns in premaxilla, maxilla and dentary distinctly labiolingually compressed (shared with *C. bambergensis*, *C. elegans*, and *C. suevicus*); presence of pronounced reception pits for dentary tooth crowns on the lateral margins of the anterior and mid-maxilla (shared with *C. bambergensis*); presence of pronounced reception pits on the lateral margins of the middle dentary*; morphology of the tail displacement unit: the distal-most six preflexural vertebrae are very strongly posteriorly inclined and lie on top of the prezygapophyses of the adjacent vertebra with their posterior margins; the distal-most preflexural vertebra lacks the rod-like neural spine present in *C. suevicus* (SMNS 9808).

*Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* and *C. suevicus* share the following synapomorphies: (1) dorsal vertebrae neural spines are rectangular in lateral view; (2) almost all dorsal neural spines have flat dorsal margins; (3) the distal-most preflexural vertebra has a dorsoventrally deep hemapophysis, with a midline flange (i.e., unlike the rod-shaped...
hemapophysis as in C. bambergensis NKMB-P-Watt14/274 and Rhacheosaurus gracilis NHMUK PV R 3948 – see Sachs et al., 2019); (4) the five-six proximal-most flexural caudal vertebrae have strongly anteriorly oriented neural spines (more prominently than in C. bambergensis NKMB-P-Watt14/274, R. gracilis NHMUK PV R 3948 and Thalattosuchus superciliosus GPIT-PV-31379); and (5) the flexural hemapophyses contact one another along their posteroventral-anterdorsal margins (not along their posterior-anterior margins as in C. bambergensis NKMB-P-Watt14/274, R. gracilis NHMUK PV R 3948 and T. superciliosus GPIT-PV-31379).
GENERAL REMARKS

The skull of BMMS-BK 1-2 is largely complete and preserved in left lateral view with the left mandibular ramus still attached (Figure 3). The opposite right mandibular ramus is disarticulated and flipped to the side, likewise displaying the lateral aspect. The palatal and dorsal sides of the skull and the medial portions of the mandible are obscured by matrix. The occipital part is covered by bone fragments. Part of the dorsally placed external nares is likewise obscured by matrix. The circular orbit is placed in the posterior third of the skull and bears a prominent scleral ring. The posterior portion of the skull, formed by the quadratojugal and squamosal, is damaged and partly missing, as is the supratemporal fenestra and the posterior part of the infratemporal fenestra. The anterior frame of the latter is present as a semi-oval excavation that is demarcated by the jugal ventrally and by the postorbital anterodorsally. Several cranial sutures are difficult to trace as the skull was severely damaged and bears numerous cracks.

Some parts of the postcranial skeleton (several neural spines, phalanges, hemapophyses, and the distalmost caudal vertebrae) are covered by plaster or have been reconstructed to give the fossil a nicer and more complete appearance. These parts are not considered in the description of the specimen and are indicated in Appendix 4.

**Premaxilla.** The premaxillae are poorly preserved (Figure 3) and only the lateral aspect of the left premaxilla and part of its dorsal side are visible. The premaxillae frame the external nares, which have an anteroposteriorly elongate and transversely narrow appearance. A bony bridge placed dorsal to the external nares seems to have formed a septum separating them in the undistorted skull (see discussion in Young et al., 2020a). The external surface of the left premaxilla is irregular and bears some slit-shaped foramina. The premaxillary-maxillary suture is barely preserved and extends posteromedially. The exact number of premaxillary teeth cannot be provided as the alveolar part of the premaxilla is damaged, and only one posterior tooth is largely complete.

**Maxilla.** The left maxilla is exposed in lateral view (Figure 3). It is an anteroposteriorly elongate and dorsoventrally narrow element. While well preserved, the midsection of the maxilla is collapsed. The anterior premaxillary contact is indicated by a barely visible suture. Dorsally a long, straight, and posteriorly downcurved nasal contact is visible, and the preorbital fossa is evident posterodorsally, adjacent to the maxilla-nasal suture. Posteriorly the maxilla contacts the lacrimal via a long but barely visible suture. The maxillary midsection is mostly covered by the strip-shaped jugal. In lateral view, the maxilla appears to terminate approximately at level with the anterior edge of the orbit. Laterally a number of elongate slit-shaped foramina extend parallel to the tooth row. Twenty-three teeth can be counted, which vary in size. Reception pits are present between the second and 13th maxillary tooth, whereas the alveolar margin is straight in the posterior maxilla.

**Nasal.** The nasals are prominent subtriangular elements that make up almost half of the preorbital portion of the skull roof (Figure 3). Ventrally a long, slightly curved maxillary suture is visible. Posterodorsally a short lacrimal contact is established. Here a reduced preorbital fenestra is placed that is, however, badly damaged by distortion. It seems that most of the frame of the preorbital fenestra is formed by the nasal and lacrimal with a minor contribution from the maxilla. On the posterior side the nasal contacts the prefrontal via a long and posterodorsally curved suture.

**Lacrimal.** The exposed left lacrimal is a subtriangular element (Figure 3). It has a curved posterior edge that forms the anterodorsal demarcation of the orbit. Posterodorsally an irregular prefrontal contact is visible, and anterodorsally there is an about equally long lacrimal-nasal contact. The maxilla meets the lacrimal anterodorsally, and it is demarcated ventrally by the jugal. Anteriorly the lacrimal forms part of the preorbital fenestra.

**Prefrontal.** The left prefrontal is well preserved. It is a large, subtriangular element that forms the anterodorsal frame of the orbit (Figure 3). Ventrally, the prefrontal contacts the lacrimal and anteriorly a long, recurved prefrontal-nasal suture is visible. The dorsal part of the prefrontal overhangs the orbit with its rounded but damaged lateral side. The posterodorsal contact to the frontal cannot be determined due to the poor preservation of this part of the skull. The dorsal surface of the exposed left prefrontal is ornamented with a low number of pits and extensive number of grooves.

**Frontal.** The frontal is only partly exposed (Figure 3). It has a slightly convex dorsal surface and forms the dorsal frame of the orbit with its lateral portion. The anterior frontal-prefrontal and the posterior frontal-postorbital sutures cannot be traced.

**Postorbital.** The left postorbital is well preserved. It is a large bone that forms the entire posterior demarcation of the orbit with its concave anterior edge (Figure 3). The likewise concave posteroven-
tral side of the postorbital forms the anterodorsal frame of the oval infratemporal fenestra. The midsection of the postorbital, forming the postorbital bar, is posteriorly expanded and wider than the dorsal and ventral portions of the element. The anterodorsal frontal contact cannot be determined due to numerous cracks. Ventrally a straight jugal suture is present.

**Quadrat.** A massive square-shaped but displaced bone is present in the infratemporal fenestra. It probably represents the quadrat displaying its posterior aspect (Figure 3).

**Jugal.** The left jugal is a strap-shaped, anteroposteriorly elongated, and dorsoventrally low element that forms the posteroventral demarcation of the skull (Figure 3). It extends far anteriorly to the level of the preorbital fenestra. Anterioy the jugal overlies the posteriormost part of the maxilla and contacts the lacrimal anterodorsally. The slightly downcurved midsection of the jugal forms the ventral frame of the orbit. Posterior to the orbit the short jugal-postorbital contact is well defined. The posterior-most part of the jugal is broken off, but the preserved portion forms the ventral frame of the infratemporal fenestra.

**Additional skull elements.** Some fragments adjacent to the postorbital cannot be identified with certainty (Figure 3). Two elongate, slightly curved fragments placed adjacent to the postorbital appear be remnants of the squamosals or the conjoint squamosal-postorbital. A small, pointed fragment placed adjacent to the left postorbital appears to be part of the opposite postorbital element.

**Dentary.** The dentaries are well preserved and exposed in lateral view (Figure 3). The right ramus bears 22 teeth in situ, which vary in size. Sixteen teeth are preserved in the left ramus. The actual tooth number is, however, unclear as the empty alveoli are filled with matrix. The lateral portions of the dentaries bear numerous small foramina. Deep reception pits are present in the midsections of the dentary rami and at about the same level the surangulodentary groove starts on each side. The surangulodentary groove is positioned somewhat dorsal to the dorsoventral midline and extends to the surangular. A vertical zigzag-shaped posterior dentary-surangular suture is visible in both rami. Ventrally the slightly oblique angular suture starts at about the level of the anterior end of the jugal. A long ventral splenial contact is also visible in the rear part of both dentaries.

**Coronoid.** The coronoid is laterally exposed at the dorsal end of the rounded coronoid process in the right mandibular ramus. It is placed dorsal to the surangular that forms the remainder of the coronoid process (Figure 3).

**Surangular.** The surangular contacts the dentary via a zigzag-shaped suture near the level of the last preserved maxillary tooth (Figure 3). The surangulodentary groove is very pronounced at the level of the orbit and fades out at the level of the postorbital. The surangular forms the postero dorsal part of the mandible and most of the coronoid process that is visible in the right mandibular ramus. At the dorsal side of the coronoid process a short lateral surangular-coronoid contact is visible. The surangular sutures to the angular ventrally over its entire length. A short posterior contact to the articular is present at the retroarticular process of which the surangular forms part of the dorsal side.

**Angular.** The angular is well visible in lateral view. It starts at about mid-length of the mandible (Figure 3) and forms the posteroventral demarcation of the mandible. The angular is longitudinally curved and becomes gradually higher posteriorly. It terminates at the rear end of the retroarticular process of which it forms the ventral side. Anteriorly each angular contacts the dentary and dorsally a long, curved suture to the surangular is formed. Postero dorally a short angular-articular contact is visible at the retroarticular process of which the angular forms the ventral part. Medially a contact to the splenials is visible.

**Articular.** The articulars are partly exposed on both sides (Figure 3). Their lateral contribution is minor as they only form the postero dorsal part of the retroarticular process. Each articular sutures to the surangular anteriorly and the angular ventrally.

**Hyoid.** Both hyoids are preserved adjacent to the posterior-most part of the mandible (Figure 3). They are elongate, curved elements with a dorsoventrally expanded and transversely flattened posterior end. The latter is more dorsoventrally expanded than the anterior end.

**Dentition.** The dentition is slightly heterodont. All teeth are mediolaterally compressed giving them an oval cross-section, with pointed apices and no evidence of macrowear patterns (Figure 4A). The tooth crowns are all single-cusped and have no accessory cusps or cingula. The surface enamel is smooth, lacking apicobasally aligned ridges or any other macroscopic pattern. Faint carinae are present along the mesial and distal margins.

**Scleral ring.** The scleral ring of the left body side is well-preserved (Figure 4B). It consists of two asymmetrical portions, a larger dorsal and a smaller ventral one. The asymmetry might how-
ever, to some extent, be a preservational artefact. Part of the ventral side of the scleral ring is obscured by the jugal. The scleral ring is narrowest at the anterior and posterior intersections of the two parts. The orbital opening in the center of the ring has an elongated oval shape.

Atlas-axis vertebrae and ribs. The atlas-axis complex is exposed in lateral view. However, large parts, especially of the atlas, are obscured by other bones (Figure 5A). Only the atlas postzygapophysis is well visible as a strap-shaped, posterodorsally oblique element that terminates at the level of the axis prezygapophysis. The axis centrum is also partly obscured but the lateroventrally protruding axis diapophysis is exposed. There are no remnants of the atlas rib in BMMS-BK 1-2, but an elongate fragment posteroventral to the axis centrum could be part of the axis rib. The axis postzygapophysis exceeds the level of the axis centrum posteriorly, but is otherwise obscured by the prezygapophysis of the third cervical vertebra. The neural spine of the atlas-axis complex has a rectangular shape, being anteroposteriorly elongate and dorsoventrally narrow. The dorsal side seems slightly undulating. The posterior margin between the posterodorsal point of the neural spine, and the axis postzygapophysis is concave in shape.

Postaxial cervical vertebrae and ribs. Four articulated postaxial cervical vertebrae are exposed in lateral view in BMMS-BK 1-2 (Figure 5A) of which three are genuine whereas the fourth postaxial vertebra is largely reconstructed (see Appendix 4). The centra are quadratic, being about as long as high. The dorsally placed, ventrolaterally protruding left diapophysis is exposed in the first to third postaxial cervical vertebrae. It is weakly keeled in the first postaxial cervical and shows a prominent, slightly posteriorly inclined lobe in the third postaxial vertebra. The parapophysis is only visible in the second and fourth postaxial cervical vertebra. It is placed ventrolaterally and protrudes ventrally in the second postaxial cervical whereas it has moved to the anterior articular surface rim and is placed anterolaterally, at about the centrum’s mid-height, in the fourth postaxial cervical. The lateral side of the centrum between the dia- and parapophysis is slightly indented. The articular surfaces of the centra and zygapophyses are obscured. The pre- and postzygapophyses exceed the level of the centra with about half of their length. The prezygapophyses are slightly anterodorsally inclined and anteriorly rounded in lateral view. The neural spines are lower than the centra. They have a slightly convex anterior and dorsal edge and a concave posterior side.

The cervical ribs are detached from the centra and slightly overlay each other. The shafts of the first three pairs of ribs have concave anterior and posterior margins, leading to a constricted mid-shaft. The ventral rib portions have a reduced anterior process and an elongated, slightly posterodorsally curved and pointed posterior process. The latter is slender in the first post-axial cervical rib and higher and more prominent in the third post-axial cervical rib. The ribs of the fourth postaxial cervical vertebra are clearly more elongated, resembling the shape of the dorsal ribs. But their proximal portions are either embedded or reconstructed.

FIGURE 4. Cricosaurus albersdoerferi sp. nov. (BMMS-BK 1-2) holotype, late Kimmeridgian of Painten (Southern Germany). Close-ups of the dentition (A) and scleral ring (B). Scale bars equal 10 mm.
Dorsal vertebrae and ribs. An articulated series of 15 presacral vertebrae can clearly be identified as dorsal vertebrae (Figure 5B). Four vertebrae at the cervico-dorsal transition are obscured by pectoral girdle elements so the actual number of dorsal vertebrae is probably slightly higher. All vertebrae are exposed in lateral view. The centra are elongated and longer than high in which the centra become slightly longer posteriorly. Distinct lateral striations are present adjacent to the articular surfaces of the centra. The ventral sides of the centra are concave in lateral view. The transverse processes are posterolaterally inclined in the anterior dorsal vertebrae, but they are mostly broken off, displaying their dorsal sides. While all transverse processes have a straight posterior edge the shape of the anterior edges changes along the series. In the anterior half of the dorsal vertebral column the anterior edge is concave while it becomes more angled in the posterior ones. At the same time the medial portion of the transverse processes become more plate-like and wider transversely towards posterior so that a flat medial platform and an elongated lateral process is formed. In the last dorsal vertebrae, the medial platform is very prominent and occupies most of the width of the transverse processes. The zygapophyses are only visible in some posterior dorsal vertebrae. They only slightly exceed the level of the centra with their longitudinal dimensions. The neural spines are largely covered by plaster or they are reconstructed, but those that are genuine have a
vertebrae are broken off and obscure the lateral transverse processes in the proximal-most caudal slightly concave ventral side (Figure 5A). The are reconstructed, but 33 caudals are genuine. All series is preserved. The nine distal-most caudals Caudal vertebrae and ribs.

portions of the sacral ribs are obscured. The distal rib is inclined anteriorly. The distal orderly curved distal portion, whereas the straight second undulated first sacral rib only has a slightly posteri- to anteriorly. Both ribs curved in the anterior dorsal vertebrae showing a slender, slightly offset proximal portion and a circular protuberance for the articulation with the dia-

pophyses. The remainder of each rib is slender and bears a prominent fossa along the posterior side that flares in the distal portion of the rib. The latter is slightly anteroposteriorly expanded and transversely flattened.

**Gastralia.** Several curved, strap-shaped gastralia of the disarticulated gastric basket are present adjacent to the dorsal ribs. Some are, however, reconstructed or obscured by plaster.

**Sacral vertebrae and ribs.** Two complete sacral vertebrae are present, but a crack extends alongside the posterior portion of the second sacral vertebra and rib (Figure 5C). The vertebral centra are longer than high. Laterally they are largely obscured by the sacral ribs, but the exposed ventral sides of the centra are gently concave. As in the dorsal vertebrae the zygapophyses exceed the level of the centra at the longitudinal plane. The neural spines are placed more posteriorly than those in the dorsal vertebrae. The anterior edges are straight, and the dorsal ones are slightly con-

vex. The posterior side of the first sacral neural spine is gently convex dorsally and concave on its ventral side. The neural spine of the second sacral vertebra is damaged. The sacral ribs are massive rod-shaped elements which are pushed down-

wards so that their dorsal aspects are exposed in lateral view. The midsections of the sacral ribs are partly reconstructed or covered by plaster. In the first sacral vertebra the left and right ribs are visible which overlap each other. The first sacral rib is placed in the anterior half of the centrum, the sec-

ond rib meets the centrum posteriorly. Both ribs together form a V-shaped structure in which the undulated first sacral rib only has a slightly posteriorly curved distal portion, whereas the straight second sacral rib is inclined anteriorly. The distal portions of the sacral ribs are obscured.

**Caudal vertebrae and ribs.** An articulated caudal series is preserved. The nine distal-most caudals are reconstructed, but 33 caudals are genuine. All centra are exposed in lateral view and have a slightly concave ventral side (Figure 5A). The transverse processes in the proximal-most caudal vertebrae are broken off and obscure the lateral surfaces of the centra, but they are evident in 14 vertebrae from the proximal part of the tail. In these vertebrae the transverse processes are placed in about the dorsoventral midsection of the centra. The lateral surfaces of the centra are slightly convex in the remaining vertebrae and almost flat in the distal caudals. The ventral sides of the centra are concave. In some of the proximal caudal vertebrae the posteroventral sides of the centra pro-

trude ventrally to meet the hemapophyses. The zygapophyses are small, and they only barely exceed the level of the centra longitudinally. The neural spines of the proximal-most caudals are covered by plaster or they have been recon-

structed, but those in the preflexural caudals 9-15 and 17-22 are genuine (see Appendix 4). The neu-

ral spines of caudals 9-15 bear a pronounced, pointed anterodorsal process that is placed dorsal to the spines mid-height. This process becomes weaker posteriorly and is absent in the 17th caudal.

In the 9th and 10th caudal vertebra the neural spine process is very prominent and placed somewhat ventral to the mid-height of the spines. The anterior surface of the neural spine is strongly concave dor-

sal to this process. The neural spine processes are dorsally directed and noticeably smaller in the suc-

ceeding caudal vertebrae. The neural spines from the 9th caudal vertebra onwards are posterodorsally inclined, in which the inclination becomes gradually stronger towards the tail bend.

The dorsal margins of the neural spines are convex in all vertebrae to the tail bend. The hemapophyses are largely reconstructed or they are covered by plaster, but some genuine hemapophyses are preserved between the 11th and 14th caudal vertebrae. They are elongated rod-like elements with a flared, lobe-shaped ventral side. From the 17th caudal vertebra onwards until the tail bend at the 26th caudal vertebra, the hemapophyses are small rods.

While the morphology of the proximal and mid-caudal vertebrae remains more or less uniform, their shape changes at the tail-bend (Figure 6B). The 26th caudal vertebra becomes stouter in which the anterior and posterior articulation surface are obliquely angled. The anterior articulation sur-

face is posteroventrally inclined, the posterior one anteroventrally, given the centrum a slight triangu-

lar shape. The prezygapophysis is anteriorly ori-

ented, and the neural spine strongly faces postero
dorsally, being about as high as the cen-

trum. In the 27th caudal vertebra, the articular surfaces of the centrum are less inclined. The ventral side of the centrum is strongly concave with the
neural spine facing only slightly posterodorsally and being about as high as the centrum. The prezygapophysis likewise faces anteriorly. In the 28th caudal vertebra the posteroverentral side of the centrum is strongly ventrally inflected, the prezygapophysis is higher than in the adjacent vertebrae and the neural spine faces anteriorly.

In the 29th caudal vertebra the anteroventral and posteroverentral sides of the centrum are placed at the same level, but the hemapophyseal facets are strongly inclined. The neural spine is placed anterodorsally. It is strongly anteriorly inclined and almost twice as high as the caudal centrum. The same morphology applies for the 30th caudal vertebra where the neural spine is even more elongated and the postzygapophysis is reduced. In the 31st caudal vertebra the centrum is similar but more elongated. The prezygapophysis is more expanded anteriorly and reaches to the midsection of the 30th caudal. The neural spine is even more anteriorly inclined, though partly reconstructed, but noticeably smaller than in the 29th and 30th caudal

**FIGURE 6.** Cricosaurus albersdoerferi sp. nov. (BMMS-BK 1-2) holotype, late Kimmeridgian of Painten (Southern Germany). Caudal vertebrae in lateral view. (A) proximal caudals, (B) distal caudal series and tail bend. Abbreviations: ap, anterior process; ffc, first flexural caudal vertebra; hp, hemapophysis; hs, hemapophyseal lobe; ns, neural spine. Scale bars equal 50 mm.
vertebra. The surface between the prezygapophysis and neural spine is prominently excavated. The 32nd caudal vertebra is reconstructed or covered by plaster.

The morphology of the caudal centra 33 and 34 is similar with them being slightly rectangular and having a gently concave ventral side. The neural spines are noticeably smaller than in the previous vertebrae, but it is unclear whether they are completely preserved.

The hemapophyses at the tail bend are largely reconstructed or covered by plaster, but those that are clearly genuine have a peculiar morphology. Between the 25th and 26th caudal vertebrae the hemapophyses are noticeably broader than in the previous vertebrae. The hemapophysis between the 26th and 27th caudal vertebrae is very prominent, almost as high as the centrum, and fan-shaped with a convex ventral side. That between caudal vertebrae 27 and 28 is reconstructed or covered by plaster, but the hemapophysis between the 28th and 29th caudal vertebrae is more quadratic, has almost straight anterior and posterior sides and a prominent anterodorsally placed shaft for the articular on with the centra. The hemapophyses between caudal vertebrae 29 and 33 are reconstructed. The one between caudal vertebrae 33 and 34 has an elongate oval shape.

Scapula. Part of what appears to be the scapula is preserved dorsal to the coracoids, but the preservation does not allow a more detailed description (Figure 7A).

Coracoid. The coracoids are preserved next to each other (Figure 7A). They are flat elements having concave anterior and posterior edges in which the anterior edge is stronger concave than the posterior one, leading to a constricted midsection. The proximal and distal portions are expanded and have convex edges. A small, circular, proximally placed coracoid foramen is evident.

Humerus. Both humeri are preserved (Figure 7A). The right one is visible in ventral aspect, the left one in dorsal view. The humeri are stout, sub-rectangular elements. The anteroproximally placed deltopectoral crest is visible as a rounded flange in the right humerus. The humerus head is rounded but it is not well preserved in the right humerus and obscured in the left one. Ventrally a ridge is formed at the right humerus that extends posterodistally, from the midsection of the proximal end to the posterior midshaft. This ridge might, however, be artificial. The distal end is flat and narrower than the proximal one.

Radius/Ulna. The epipodials are preserved in both fore-limbs (Figure 7A). They are circular elements of about equal size. However, as both fore-limbs are disarticulated it cannot be judged with certainty which of these elements represents the radius and the ulna.

Carpal elements. Several elements of the disarticulated carpals are preserved but they are largely obscured by other bones (Figure 7A). The roundish left radiale appears to be partly visible adjacent to supposed radius. The left ulnare seems to be obscured by metacarpals. Radiale and ulnare of the right fore-limb are poorly preserved, but likewise show a circular appearance.

Metacarpals. Four metacarpal elements of the left fore-limb are preserved in a row (Figure 7A). The first metacarpal is crescent-shaped with a semi-circular anterior edge and a slightly concave posterior one. It is larger than the other metacarpal elements. Metacarpals 2-4 are elongated elements of equal length with slightly expanded proximal and distal ends. The fifth metacarpal appears to be obscured by the metacarpal 3 and 4.

Phalanges (forelimb). Only one hourglass-like phalanx is clearly genuine (Figure 7A), the other phalanges are either covered by plaster or they are reconstructed (see Appendix 4). The phalanx has expanded proximal and distal portions and a constricted mid-section. The thickened appearance indicates that this is a phalanx from the first digit.

Ilium. Only the left ilium is visible, but largely obscured by the left femur. It is still aligned to the sacral ribs and has a short protruding anterior process (Figure 7B).

Pubis. The distal part of the left pubis is exposed, whereas the proximal portion is not well visible (Figure 7B). The distal pubis is fan-like expanded and narrows proximally. Its distal edge is convex, and the anterior and posterior sides are concave.

Ischium. The left ischium is damaged and largely obscured by the femora. Only the broken posterior portion is visible. The fragment has slightly convex dorsal and posterior edges. Posteroventrally a prominent, ventrally protruding lobe-shaped portion is evident, formed by the posterior and ventral edge of the ischium.

Femur. Both femora are preserved (Figure 7B). They are elongated, sigmoidally curved elements which lack a fourth trochanter (sensu stricto). The proximal femoral end is slightly wider than the distal one. Each femur is narrowest in the midshaft region. Adjacent to the proximal end, some proxi-modistally extending striations are present. Similar, but less distinct striations are also evident at the
distal end. The proximal heads of both femora bear two inclined facets, which are angled to one another and form a broad tip at their intersection. **Tibia.** The left tibia is preserved in original alignment with the fibula and femur (Figure 7B). It is a rectangular element with slightly concave anterior and posterior edges. The tibia is shorter than the fibula but more anteroposteriorly expanded. The proximal and distal sides are flattened. Distally the tibia contacts the calcaneum. **Fibula.** The elongate left fibula is preserved in original alignment with the tibia and femur (Figure 7B). It is longer than the tibia but only about half as wide. The anterior and posterior sides of the fibula are slightly concave, and the proximal and distal edges are gently convex. Distally the fibula has a short anterior contact to the calcaneum and a long one to the astragalus. **Tarsal elements.** The tarsal elements are preserved in original alignment but they are less well preserved than the surrounding elements (Figure 7B). The anteriorly placed calcaneum is a sub-circular element that is slightly smaller than the astragalus. It contacts the tibia proximally, the fibula posteroproximally and the astragalus posteri-orly. A long anterodistal contact to the first metacarpal and a shorter distal one to distal tarsal 3 is observable. The astragalus is slightly larger than the calcaneum. It contacts the fibula proximally, the calcaneum anteriorly and the distal tarsal 4 distally. The distal tarsal 3 is placed distal to the calcaneum and is about equal in size to the distal tarsal 4 that is placed below the astragalus. The distal tarsals have been slightly shifted posteriorly, but it appears that distal tarsal 3 originally contacted metatarsals 1-3, whereas distal tarsal 4 contacted metatarsal 4 and 5. **Metatarsals.** The metatarsals are preserved in original alignment with the tarsals (Figure 7B). The first metatarsal is placed more proximally than the other metatarsal elements and has a flared antero-posteriorly expanded distal end that contacts the
calcanear. Metatarsals 2-4 are elongated, rod-shaped elements of about equal length. They have expanded proximal and distal ends and a slightly constricted midsection. The fifth metatarsal is a sub-triangular bone that is much smaller than the other metatarsal elements.

**Phalanges (hind limb).** All phalanges except for the terminal ones are elongated with anteroposteriorly expanded proximal and distal ends and a slightly constricted midsection (Figure 7B). The terminal phalanx that is genuine in digit two, is pointed, and the shortest element in the hind-limb. The phalanges of the first digit are partly disarticulated but those of the second to fourth digit are largely well preserved and in original alignment, although partly covered by plaster. The first digit bears two phalanges. The proximal one is partly damaged, but it is clearly smaller than the proximal phalanges of the other digits. The second phalanx of the first digit is pointed and about half as long as the first one. The second digit has three phalanges the proximal one of which is about twice as long as the second phalanx of the second digit. The third digit has four phalanges, in which the terminal one is reconstructed or covered by plaster. The proximal-most phalanx is larger than the first phalanx of the second digit and is also more expanded proximally. It is likewise about twice as long as the second phalanx of the third digit. The latter is about twice as long as the third one. The fourth digit likewise consists of four phalanges in which only the first and second are genuine. The proximal-most one has about the length of the same phalanx in the third digit, but it is broader than all other proximal-most phalanges. The second phalanx of the fourth digit again is about half as long as the first one but also shorter than the same phalanx of the third digit.

**Soft tissue.** Remnants of the integument are preserved. The soft tissue, however, will be described in a separate publication.

**PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS**

A phylogenetic analysis was conducted to assess the evolutionary relationships of BMMS-BK 1-2 within Thalattosuchia. The dataset employed forms part of the ongoing Crocodylomorph Super-Matrix Project and is the phylogenetic framework for the Edinburgh-based CrocTransition Project. This dataset (referred to as the Hastings + Young matrix, or HY matrix) is continually being revised (e.g., Ristevski et al., 2018; Ösi et al., 2018, Foffa et al. 2019; Sachs et al. 2019; Young et al. 2020a, 2020b; Johnson et al. 2020), and herein we revise the most recent version in Young et al. (2020b).

This resulted in the current iteration of the HY matrix containing a total of 179 OTUs scored for 580 characters. Forty-seven characters are morphoclines and were treated as ordered (6, 7, 8, 11, 28, 41, 44, 49, 59, 77, 78, 86, 91, 97, 100, 101, 108, 127, 151, 181, 210, 224, 226, 227, 238, 251, 264, 278, 299, 343, 345, 364, 420, 439, 446, 450, 458, 468, 472, 521, 524, 538, 539, 541, 542, 555). *Batrachotomus kupferzellensis* was used as the outgroup taxon.

The phylogenetic analyses were conducted following the methodology implemented using freeware TNT v1.5-beta, Willi Hennig Society Edition (Goloboff et al., 2008, Goloboff and Catalano, 2016; programme has been made available with the sponsorship of the Willi Hennig Society). We used the same search protocol as that outlined in Young et al. (2020a), using their EIW.tnt script to run an equal weights’ analysis and a series of extended implied weighting analyses (Goloboff, 2014) varying in their k value (piwe = 1, piwe = 3, piwe = 7, piwe = 10, piwe = 15, piwe = 20, and piwe = 50). The script also runs two types of nodal support analyses, a heuristic absolute Bremer support analysis and a symmetric resample analysis. See Young et al. (2020a) for their description of how the script works and for full details of the search protocol.

Overall, the strict consensus topology recovered here (Figure 8; Table 1) is very similar to the one presented in Young et al. (2020a, 2020b) and Herrera et al. (2021a, 2021b). Note, herein we will solely focus on the internal relationships of Metriorhynchinae. The major difference between our
FIGURE 8. Strict consensus topologies of Metriorhynchinae found in the parsimony analyses. A, unweighted topology; B, strongly down-weighted topology (k=1); C, all other weighting analyses topology (k=3, 7, 10, 20, and 50).
TABLE 1. Descriptive statistics of the fundamental cladograms from the parsimony analyses. * = maximum hold of 20,000 most parsimonious cladograms (MPCs) was hit.

| K  | #MPCs | Length | Fit  | CI      | RI      | RC      | HI      |
|----|-------|--------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1  | 315   | 2115   | 200.721 | 0.388  | 0.850  | 0.330  | 0.612  |
| 3  | 45    | 2106   | 131.201 | 0.389  | 0.851  | 0.331  | 0.611  |
| 7  | 45    | 2097   | 84.562  | 0.391  | 0.852  | 0.333  | 0.609  |
| 10 | 45    | 2094   | 68.250  | 0.392  | 0.852  | 0.334  | 0.608  |
| 15 | 45    | 2077   | 52.301  | 0.395  | 0.854  | 0.337  | 0.605  |
| 20 | 45    | 2082   | 42.676  | 0.394  | 0.854  | 0.336  | 0.606  |
| 50 | 45    | 2080   | 20.743  | 0.394  | 0.854  | 0.337  | 0.606  |

results and those of Young et al. (2020b) are the removal of the two Cuban metriorhynchine metriorhynchids. Overall, their removal has led to more consistent results for the internal relationships of Metriorhynchinae, with three differing topologies recovered. All share the following basic structure, from the base of Metriorhynchinae there is: *Thalattosuchus superciliosus*, followed by *Gracilineustes* spp., *Malédicotosuchus* spp., and a sister group relationship between *Metriorhynchus brevirostris* and *Rhacheosaurini*. Within *Rhacheosaurini* there is a split between the *Rhacheosaurus + Cricosaurus* sensu strico subclade and a subclade of consisting of *‘Metriorhynchus’ palpebrosus*, *‘Cricosaurus’ saltillensis*, the ‘Swiss rhacheosaurin’ re-described by Young et al. (2020a) and the diagnostic Early Cretaceous specimen previously referred to *Enaliosuchus macropondylus* (see Sachs et al., 2020). Within *Cricosaurus* there are two subclades: ‘subclade A’ (sensu Herrera et al., 2021b) consists of *C. bambergensis*, *C. albersdoerferi*, *C. suevicus* and *C. elegans* from the Kimeridgian–Tithonian of Southern Germany, and *C. puelchorum* from the Berriasian of Argentina; while ‘subclade B’ (sensu Herrera et al., 2021b) consists of *C. rauhuti* from the Tithonian of Germany, *C. schroederi* from the Valanginian of Germany, *C. vignaudi* from the Tithonian of Mexico, and *C. araucanensis* and *C. lithographicus* from the Tithonian of Argentina.

In the equal weight strict consensus topology (Figure 8A, Appendix 7), the unique arrangements are: *‘Metriorhynchus’ palpebrosus* is recovered in a polytomy with *Rhacheosaurus + Cricosaurus* sensu strico, and the clade consisting of *‘Cricosaurus’ saltillensis* and unnamed specimens from Switzerland and France. *Cricosaurus ‘subclade B’* is fully resolved, with *C. rauhuti* as the basal most taxon, *C. schroederi* is the sister taxon to the South American species, with *C. vignaudi* being the sister taxon to the two Argentinian species (*C. araucanensis* and *C. lithographicus*).

In the strongly down-weighted strict consensus topology (k = 1) (Figure 8B, Appendix 8), *‘Metriorhynchus’ palpebrosus* is now the basal-most member of the *saltillensis*-Swiss-Cretaceous clade. *Cricosaurus ‘subclade B’* is now a polytomy. Curiously, *Gracilineustes acutus* is no longer recovered as the sister taxon to *Gr. leedsi*; instead, it is in a more derived position, between *Malédicotosuchus* spp. and *Me. brevirostris*. This is the first time a HY dataset analyses has failed to recover a monophyletic *Gracilineustes*.

All other weighted strict consensus topologies (k = 3, 7, 10, 15, 20, 50) (Figure 8C, Appendix 9) find identical metriorhynchine internal relationships. *Gracilineustes* is monophyletic, *‘Metriorhynchus’ palpebrosus* is the basal-most member of the *saltillensis*-Swiss-Cretaceous clade, and the South American-Cretaceous *Cricosaurus* subclade is fully resolved.

The character changes made herein has had no impact on the position of *Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* (BMMS-BK 1-2), previously referred to as ‘the Solnhofen *Cricosaurus*’ in the phylogenetic analyses of Young et al. (2020a, 2020b). It is consistently recovered within *Cricosaurus ‘subclade A’*. As with Herrera et al. (2021a, 2021b) *Cricosaurus ‘subclade A’* is herein recovered as a polytomy, regardless of weighting regime used. This is due to: (1) *C. elegans* and *C. puelchorum* not having known postcrania, and thus lacking the relevant character scores, and (2) that we changed the termination of the maxillary tooth-row relative to the orbit anterior margin character scores for three members of ‘subclade A’ (*C. albersdoerferi*, *C. suevicus*, and *C. elegans* were re-scored as a ‘?’). We did the latter, as we agree with Herrera et al. (2021a) that we cannot be sure where the maxillary tooth-row ends. The maximum agreement subtrees remove both *C. elegans* and *C. puelchorum*,
and they consistently recover a resolved ‘subclade A’, with C. bambergensis being the sister taxon to C. albersdoerferi + C. suevicus.

**Southern German Cricosaurus Species Complex**

The question whether there were one or multiple species of *Cricosaurus* species from the late Kimmeridgian–early Tithonian of Southern Germany, or just one, has been discussed in the literature. Andrade et al. (2010) noted that there was a potential synonymy between *C. elegans* and *C. suevicus* and stated that all specimens referred to *C. suevicus* at that time were from Malm Zeta 1 (upper Kimmeridgian), while those referred to *C. elegans* were known from Malm Zeta 2-3 (lower Tithonian). As such, there was a question as to the validity of the specific separation, or whether these where chronospecies or an anagenic lineage.

The recent description of a new species, *Cricosaurus bambergensis* (NKMB-P-Watt14/274) from the upper Kimmeridgian by Sachs et al. (2019) re-opened this debate. They discovered a unique palatal morphotype in the specimen that has a tail fluke morphologically intermediate between more basal metriorhynchines and *C. suevicus*. Moreover, the phylogenetic analysis of Sachs et al. (2019) united *C. elegans*, *C. suevicus*, and *C. bambergensis* into an unresolved clade. It was the first phylogenetic analysis to unite the Southern German *Cricosaurus* species into a sub-clade. Sachs et al. (2019) could not rule out that these three species represent an anagenetic lineage in which the tail fluke became progressively steeper with an increase in soft tissue attachment surface area over time. The unusual palatal morphology of *C. bambergensis* (NKMB-P-Watt14/274) could suggest this is not the case; however, many German *Cricosaurus* specimens do not preserve the ventral surface of the palate.

Furthermore, the recent description of *C. rauhuti* from the lower Tithonian of Germany by Herrera et al. (2021a) provides further evidence that not all the *Cricosaurus* material from the Solnhofen Archipelago is conspecific. Interestingly, the newly described species *C. puelchorum* from the Berriasian of Argentina was recovered in a polytomy with the members of the ‘Southern German subclade’ (see Herrera et al., 2021b; and our results herein). Therein Herrera et al. (2021b) designated the ‘Southern German’ group along with *C. puelchorum’ subclade A’, with ‘subclade B’ composed of the Mexican and Argentinean species, along with *C. rauhuti* from the Tithonian of Germany and *C. Schroederi* from the Valanginian of Germany.

Herein we show that there was morphological variation between the German species of ‘subclade A’ from different ammonite zones, and species from the same ammonite subzone. Note, however, that there is no evidence for sympatry (unless both *C. elegans* and *C. medius* are valid taxa). In fact, the extensive cranial and postcranial differences between these taxa allows us to revise their diagnoses (see below) with the possible exclusion of *C. elegans* and *C. medius* (but see below for why we provisionally retain these species as being distinct from *C. suevicus*). The geologically oldest species in ‘subclade A’, *C. bambergensis*, is only known from the ‘Wattendorf lagoon’, and the *Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis* ammonite Zone (upper Kimmeridgian). Cranially, it differs from all other Southern German *Cricosaurus* specimens (Figure 9) in that: 1) the maxillary row clearly continues posteriorly past the anterior margin of the orbit (a thalattosuchian synapomorphy) and 2) palatines have a distinct midline ridge with paired depressions on either side (autapomorphy – but unknown for *C. suevicus* and *C. albersdoerferi*). The tail displacement unit (Figure 10) is also unique in *C. bambergensis*. The distal-most three preflexural vertebrae have neural spines that are oriented very strongly posteriorly, such that their posterior margins lie on top of the prezygapophyses of the posterior vertebra. The three vertebrae anterior to them have a similar morphology, but the neural spines look more like a ‘regular’ neural spine, albeit strongly oriented posteriorly. The tail fluke of *C. bambergensis* lacks the four synapomorphies shared by *C. albersdoerferi* and *C. suevicus*, retaining the following metriorhynchid synapomorphies: 1) the distal-most preflexural vertebra has a rod-like hemapophysis; 2) the proximal-most five or six flexural vertebrae have anteriorly oriented neural spines, but not strongly oriented anteriorly; 3) the flexural hemapophyses contact one another along their anterior-posterior margins; and 4) the distal-most postflexural hemapophyses return to a ‘rod-like’ morphology. *Cricosaurus bambergensis* also lacks the ‘sub-rectangular’ dorsal neural spines (with an almost flat dorsal margin) shared by *C. albersdoerferi* and *C. suevicus*.

Postcranially, nothing can be said for *C. elegans* and *C. medius*; however, *C. suevicus* (GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808) and *C. albersdoerferi* (BMMS-BK 1-2) share four tail fluke synapomorphies: 1) the distal-most preflexural vertebra has a dorsoventrally deep hemapophysis, with a midline
flange (i.e., it does not have a rod-like hemapophysis as in *C. bambergensis* NKMB-P-Watt14/274 and *Rhacheosaurus gracilis* NHMUK PV R 3948); 2) the five or six proximal-most flexural vertebrae have strongly anteriorly oriented neural spines (much more so than *C. bambergensis* NKMB-P-Watt14/274, *R. gracilis* NHMUK PV R 3948 and *Thalattosuchus superciliosus* GPIT-PV-31379); 3) the flexural hemapophyses contact one another along their posteroventral-anterodorsal margins (not their posterior-anterior margins as in *C. bambergensis* NKMB-P-Watt14/274, *R. gracilis* NHMUK PV R 3948 and *T. superciliosus* GPIT-PV-31379); and 4) the distal-most postflexural hemapophyses retain their dorsoventrally deep profile (i.e., they do not return to a rod-like morphology, as in *C. bambergensis* NKMB-P-Watt14/274 and *R. gracilis* NHMUK PV R 3948). These two species also share the same unusual dorsal neural spine morphology.

*Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* and *C. suevicus* are known from the same ammonite subzone (*Lithococeras ulmense* Subzone, *Hybonoticeras beckeri* Tethyan ammonite Zone), but not from the same lagoons. The lectotype and all referred specimens of *Cricosaurus suevicus* are only known from the ‘Nusplingen lagoon’ of Baden-Württemberg (Table 2), whereas *C. albersdoerferi* is only known from the ‘Painten lagoon’ of Bavaria.

*Cricosaurus suevicus* (Fraas, 1902; GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513) can be distinguished based on: 1) lack of pronounced, or regu-
lar shallow, reception pits on the lateral margins of the middle maxilla and dentary (shared with *C. elegans* and *C. medius*); 2) the anterior-posterior margins of adjacent dorsal neural spines are in close proximity to one another (autapomorphy); 3) presence of 50 or more caudal vertebrae (symplesiomorphy), and 4) the composition of the tail displacement unit (autapomorphy). In *C. suevicus* (GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513) the distal-most seven preflexural vertebrae have neural spines that are oriented very strongly posteriorly, with their posterior margin lying on top of the prezygapophyses of the according posterior vertebra. These neural spines appear almost rod-like in lateral view. The three vertebrae anterior to them have a similar morphology, but the neural spines are raised such that they do not overlap the prezyapophyses of the vertebrae immediately posterior.

*Cricosaurus albersdoerferi* (BMMS-BK 1-2) can be distinguished based on: 1) presence of pronounced reception pits on the lateral margins of the anterior and mid-maxilla for dentary tooth crowns (shared with *C. bambergensis* NKMB-P-Watt14/274); 2) presence of pronounced reception pits on the lateral margins of the mid-dentary (autapomorphy); 3) having the 'subrectangular' dorsal neural spine shape of *C. suevicus*, but differing in that *C. albersdoerferi* does not have the spines in close proximity (as in *C. suevicus*), and 4) the unique composition of the tail, sharing the same suite of fluke apomorphies with *C. suevicus*, but lacking the rod-like preflexural neural spines seen in *C. suevicus*. As such, *C. albersdoerferi* has a curious mix of features shared with both *C. bambergensis* and *C. suevicus*, perhaps resembling an intermediate form.

The two *L. ulmense* Subzone species are distinguishable based on: 1) shifts in tooth arrangement (evidenced by the dramatic shift in reception pit morphology), 2) change in dorsal neural spine shape, and 3) the composition of the tail displacement unit and fluke. Therefore, it looks as though they are differentiating in terms of feeding ecology and locomotion; and from a morphology that had already changed from that of *C. bambergensis* (NKMB-P-Watt14/274; Sachs et al., 2019).

Unfortunately, the early Tithonian fossil record for *Cricosaurus* is poor. Most of the early Tithonian material has been referred to *C. elegans*, although whether this is correct or not is impossible to say. The most prominent exception is the holotype of *C. medius*. Postcranial elements (with the exception of the anterior cervical vertebrae) are largely unknown from these horizons. As can be seen above, the three late Kimmeridgian taxa have very distinct postcrania. *Cricosaurus elegans* and *C. medius* share with *C. suevicus* the lack of pro-
pronounced, or regular shallow, reception pits on the lateral margins of the middle maxilla and dentary. While this hints to a closer relationship between these three species, more postcranial material from the early Tithonian is needed to be certain. Our phylogenetic analyses corroborates this: 1) as four of the Southern German species form a subclade within *Cricosaurus* that is the sister clade to all other *Cricosaurus* species; 2) *C. bambergensis* is basal to both *C. suevicus* and *C. albersdoerferi*; and 3) the other German species (*C. rauhuti* and *C. schroederi*) appear to be basal members of ‘subclade B’. As discussed above, the lack of resolution in ‘subclade A’ is caused by the dearth of postcranial material from the early Tithonian of Germany (*C. elegans* is scored exclusively for craniodental characters), and *C. puelchorum* lacking postcranial remains as well. Moreover, we have not scored *C. medius* as none of the authors have seen the holotype first-hand.

REVISED DIAGNOSES FOR THE LATE JURASSIC GERMAN SPECIES OF *CRICOSAURUS*

With the recent description of NKMB-P-Watt14/274 as *C. bambergensis* (Sachs et al. 2019), and the discovery of a new complete skeleton from Southern Germany that we name *C. albersdoerferi*, we herein have revised the species diagnoses for three of the members of ‘subclade A’ – those from the late Kimmeridgian-early Tithonian of Southern Germany. We have decided to exclude *C. medius* from a revised diagnosis as the specimen has not been seen first-hand, and it is already being studied by other workers (Y. Herrera, personal commun. 2019). All information concerning *C. medius* comes to us via Y. Herrera (personal commun. 2019). Note, we do not need to re-diagnose the newly established species *C. rauhuti* as it is from a different *Cricosaurus* subclade, and it was named and diagnosed recently by Herrera et al. (2021a).

**TABLE 2.** List of *Cricosaurus* specimens from Southern Germany.

| Specimen | German Zone | Formation | Age | Tethyan ammonite zones | Locality |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-----|------------------------|----------|
| SNSB-BSPG AS I 504 (C. elegans holotype) | Malm Zeta 3 | Mörnsheim Formation | Early Tithonian | Uppermost *Hybonoticeras hybonotum* Zone | Daiting, Bavaria |
| SNSB-BSPG AS VI 2 (C. medius holotype) | Malm Zeta 3 | Mörnsheim Formation | Early Tithonian | Uppermost *Hybonoticeras hybonotum* Zone | Daiting, Bavaria |
| BSPG 1977 XIX 38 | Malm Zeta 3 | Mörnsheim Formation | Early Tithonian | Uppermost *H. hybonotum* Zone | Daiting, Bavaria |
| NHMUK PV OR 43005 | Malm Zeta 2b | Altmühltal Formation | Early Tithonian | *Lithacoceras ruepellianum* Subzone, *H. hybonotum* Zone | Solnhofen, Bavaria |
| NHMUK PV OR 37006 | Malm Zeta 2b | Alt mühl tal Formation | Early Tithonian | *L. riedense* Subzone, *H. hybonotum* Zone | Eichstätt, Bavaria |
| BMMS-BK 1-2 (C. albersdoerferi sp. nov. holotype) | Malm Zeta 1 | Arnstorf Member, Torleite Formation | Late | *L. ulmense* Subzone, *H. beckeri* Zone | Rygol quarry, Painten, Bavaria |
| GPIT-PV-31380 | Malm Zeta 1 | Nusplingen Formation | Late | *L. ulmense* Subzone, *H. beckeri* Zone | Nusplingen, Baden-Württemberg |
| GPIT-PV-31381 | Malm Zeta 1 | Nusplingen Formation | Late | *L. ulmense* Subzone, *H. beckeri* Zone | Nusplingen, Baden-Württemberg |
| SMNS 9808 (C. suevicus lectotype) | Malm Zeta 1 | Nusplingen Formation | Late | *L. ulmense* Subzone, *H. beckeri* Zone | Nusplingen, Baden-Württemberg |
| SMNS 90513 | Malm Zeta 1 | Nusplingen Formation | Late | *L. ulmense* Subzone, *H. beckeri* Zone | Nusplingen, Baden-Württemberg |
| NKMB-P-Watt14/274 (C. bambergensis holotype) | Malm Delta 4 | Wattendorf Member, Torleite Formation | Late | *Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis* Zone | Wattendorf quarry, Wattendorf, Bavaria |
Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner, 1852) Wagner, 1858

v* 1852 Stenosauroidea elegans sp. nov. – Wagner, p. 98, pl. 14. [sic]
v 1858 Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner) gen. et comb. nov. – Wagner, p. 22, Taf. 14, figure 1-2.
v 1888a Metriorhynchus elegans (Wagner) comb. nov. – Lydekker, p. 98.
v 1888 Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner) – Lydekker, p. 98.
v 1893 Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner) – Steel, p. 42.
v 2009 Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner) – Young and Andrade, p. 804.
v 2009 Cricosaurus elegans (Wagner) – Sachs et al., p. 1, figure 9b.

Holotype. SNSB-BSPG AS I 504: complete cranium preserved in lithographic limestone (Figure 9C).

Type locality. Daiting, Bavaria, Germany.

Type formation. Mörnsheim Formation.

Etymology. 'Slender ring lizard'.

Referred specimens. SNSB-BSPG 1977 XIX 38: skull preserved in lithographic limestone (from Daiting; Mörnsheim Formation).

Note. Unfortunately, the C. elegans holotype, and all early Tithonian specimens, are only known from cranial material (or associated cervical vertebrae). Therefore, the dorsal neural spine and tail autapomorphies of the other taxa cannot be compared against the early Tithonian material. We herein refrain from synonymising C. elegans with C. medius and C. suevicus until early Tithonian Cricosaurus postcranial anatomy is: 1) discovered, and 2) better understood. As, the surprising variation in late Kimmeridgian Cricosaurus specimens means we cannot automatically assume conspecificity (the newly described species C. rauhuti from the early Tithonian helps reinforce our reticence).

C. elegans shares the following synapomorphy with C. albersdoerferi (BMMS-BK 1-2), C. medius (SNSB-BSPG AS VI 2) and C. suevicus (GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513): 1) the maxillary tooth row terminates either anterior to, or approximately level to the anterior-margin of the orbits.

Cricosaurus suevicus (Fraas, 1901) Young and Andrade, 2009

v* 1901 Geosaurus suevicus sp. nov. – Fraas, p. 410.
v 1902 Geosaurus suevicus (Fraas) – Fraas p. 41, figure 7 Taf. 5-8.
v 1913 Geosaurus suevicus (Fraas) – Steel, p. 44, figure 18 (2-3).
v 2005 Geosaurus suevecicus (Fraas) – Mueller-Töwe, p. 211. [sic]
v 2009 Cricosaurus suevicus (Fraas) comb. nov. – Young and Andrade, p. 557, figure 6.
v 2010 Cricosaurus suevicus (Fraas) – Young et al., p. 802, figure 1, figure 5.
v 2019 Cricosaurus suevicus (Fraas) – Sachs et al., p. 1.

Lectotype. SMNS 9808: complete skeleton preserved in limestone (Figures 9D, 10B).

Type locality. Nusplingen, Baden-Württemberg, Germany.

Type formation. Nusplingen Formation.

Type horizon. Lithacoceras ulmense Subzone, Hybonoticeras hybonotum Tethyan ammonite Zone, lower Tithonian, Upper Jurassic.

Etymology. 'Swabian ring lizard'.

Referred specimens. SMNS 90513: almost complete skeleton preserved in limestone (Figure 9); GPIT-PV-31380: incomplete skeleton; GPIT-PV-31381: largely complete skeleton (Figures 8D, 9A).

All referred specimens are from the Nusplingen Formation.

Emended diagnosis. A member of Cricosaurus with the following unique combination of characters: dentition lacking conspicuous enamel ornamentation; tooth crowns in the premaxilla, maxilla and dentary show distinct labiobuccal compression (shared with C. albersdoerferi BMMS-BK 1-2, C. bambergensis NKB-P-Watt14/274 and C. suevicus GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513); lack of pronounced, or regular shallow, reception pits on the lateral margins of the middle maxilla and dentary (shared with C. medius SNSB-BSPG AS VI 2 and C. suevicus GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513).

C. suevicus shares the following synapomorphy with C. albersdoerferi (BMMS-BK 1-2), C. medius (SNSB-BSPG AS VI 2) and C. suevicus (GPIT-PV-31381, SMNS 9808, SMNS 90513): 1) the maxillary tooth row terminates either anterior to, or approximately level to the anterior-margin of the orbits.

Note. Unfortunately, the C. suevicus holotype, and all early Tithonian specimens, are only known from cranial material (or associated cervical vertebrae). Therefore, the dorsal neural spine and tail autapomorphies of the other taxa cannot be compared against the early Tithonian material. We herein refrain from synonymising C. suevicus with C. medius and C. suevicus until early Tithonian Cricosaurus postcranial anatomy is: 1) discovered, and 2) better understood. As, the surprising variation in late Kimmeridgian Cricosaurus specimens means we cannot automatically assume conspecificity (the newly described species C. rauhuti from the early Tithonian helps reinforce our reticence).
Geological range. Upper Jurassic. Lithacoceras ulmense Subzone, Hybonoticeras beckeri Tethyan ammonite Zone, upper Kimmeridgian. 

Emended diagnosis. A member of Cricosaurus with the following unique combination of characters (proposed autapomorphic characters are indicated by an asterisk *): bicarinate dentition, lacking conspicuous enamel ornamentation; tooth crowns in the premaxilla, maxilla and dentary show distinct labiolingual compression (shared with C. albersdoerferi BMMS-BK 1-2, C. bambergensis NKMB-P-Watt14/274 and C. elegans SNSB-BSPG AS I 504); lack of pronounced, or regular shallow, reception pits on the lateral margins of the middle maxilla and dentary (shared with C. elegans SNSB-BSPG AS I 504 and C. medius SNSB-BSPG AS VI 2); all the neural spines of the dorsal vertebrae are rectangular when seen in lateral view, a flat dorsal margin is the most prevalent (shared with C. albersdoerferi BMMS-BK 1-2), and the neural spines of adjacent vertebrae are in close proximity to one another*; estimated to have approximately 50, or more, caudal vertebrae; morphology of the tail displacement unit: the distal-most three preflexural neural spines appear almost rod-like appearance (in lateral view) that however, these neural spines do not have the almost rod-like appearance, as in C. bambergensis NKMB-P-Watt14/274 and R. gracilis NHMUK PV R 3948). 

Cricosaurus bambergensis Sachs et al., 2019 v* 2019 Cricosaurus bambergensis sp. nov. – Sachs et al., p. 1, figs.3-7, 9a. 

Holotype. NKMB-P-Watt14/274: almost complete skeleton preserved in lithographic limestone (Figures 9B, 10D). 

Type locality. Kalk- und Dolomitbruch der Andreas Schorr GmbH, Wattendorf, Bamberg district, Bavaria, Southern Germany. 

Type horizon. Wattendorf Member, Torleite Formation. Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis Tethys ammonite Zone, upper Kimmeridgian, Upper Jurassic. 

Etymology. ‘Ring lizard from Bamberg’. 

Geological range. Upper Jurassic. Aulacostephanus pseudomutabilis, Tethys ammonite Zone, upper Kimmeridgian. 

Emended diagnosis. A member of Cricosaurus with the following unique combination of characters (proposed autapomorphic characters are indicated by an asterisk *): bicarinate dentition, lacking conspicuous enamel ornamentation; tooth crowns in the premaxilla, maxilla and dentary show distinct labiolingual compression (shared with C. albersdoerferi BMMS-BK 1-2, C. suevicus SMNS 9808 and C. elegans SNSB-BSPG AS I 504); the maxillary tooth row clearly continues posteriorly past the anterior-margin of the orbit (thalattosuchian synapomorphy); presence of pronounced reception pits on the lateral margins of the anterior and middle maxilla for dentary tooth crowns (shared with C. albersdoerferi BMMS-BK 1-2); palatines have a distinct midline ridge with paired depressions either side*; estimated to have approximately 50, or more, caudal vertebrae; morphology of the tail displacement unit: the distal-most three preflexural vertebrae have neural spines that are oriented strongly posteriorly, their posterior margin lies on top of the prezygapophyses of adjacent vertebrae; however, these neural spines do not have the almost rod-like appearance (in lateral view) that C. suevicus (SMNS 9808) has; the three vertebrae anterior to them have a similar morphology, but the hema
neural spines look more like a ‘regular’ neural spine, albeit strongly oriented posteriorly*.

_Cricosaurus bambergensis_ retains the following four metriorhynchid tail fluke sympleisomorphies (unlike _C. albersdoerferi_ BMMS-BK 1-2 and _C. suevicus_ SMNS 9808, GPIT-PV-31381): 1) the distal-most preflexural caudal vertebra has a rod-like hemapophysis; 2) the proximal-most five or six flexural vertebrae have anteriorly oriented neural spines, but not strongly oriented anteriorly; 3) the flexural hemapophyses contact one another along their anterior-posterior margins; and 4) the distal-most post-flexural hemapophysis return to a ‘rod-like’ morphology (modified from Sachs et al., 2019).
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