Studying QCD factorizations in exclusive $\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho_0^L\rho_0^L$
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Abstract
The exclusive process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho_0^L\rho_0^L$ allows to study various
dynamics and factorization properties of perturbative QCD. At moderate energy, we demonstrate how collinear QCD factorization emerges,
involving generalized distribution amplitudes (GDA) and transition distribution amplitudes (TDA). At higher energies, in the Regge limit of QCD, we show that it offers a promising probe of the BFKL resummation effects to be studied at the International Linear Collider (ILC).

1 Introduction: Exclusive processes at high energy QCD
1.1 Motivation
Since a decade, there has been much progress in experimental and theoretical understanding of hard exclusive processes, including Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (involving Generalized Parton Distributions) and $\gamma\gamma$ scattering in fixed target $e^\pm p$ (HERMES, JLab, ...) experiments and at colliders, such as $e^\pm p$ (H1, ZEUS) or $e^+e^-$ (LEP, Belle, BaBar, BEPC). Meanwhile, the hard Pomeron [1] concept has been developed and tested at inclusive (total cross-section), semi-inclusive (diffraction, forward jets, ...) and exclusive (meson production) level, for colliders at very large energy: $e^\pm p$ (HERA), $p\bar{p}$ (Tevatron) and $e^+e^-$ (LEP, ILC). Here we focus on

$$\gamma^*\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho_0^L\rho_0^L$$

(1)

with both $\gamma^*$ hard, through $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho_0^L\rho_0^L$ with double tagged outgoing leptons (Fig.1). It is a beautiful theoretical laboratory for investigating different dynamics (collinear, multigluon) and factorization properties of high energy QCD: it allows a perturbative study of GPD-like objects at moderate $s$ and of the hard Pomeron at asymptotic $s$.

1.2 From DIS to GDA and TDA in collinear factorization
Deep Inelastic Scattering, as an inclusive process, gives access to the forward amplitude through the optical theorem. Structure functions can be written as convolution of (hard) Coefficient Functions with (soft) Parton Distributions. Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering and meson electroproduction on a hadron $\gamma^*h \rightarrow \gamma h, h'h$, as exclusive processes, give access to the full amplitude, which is a convolution, for $-t \ll s$, of a (hard) CF with a (soft) Generalized Parton Distribution [2,3]. Extensions were made from GPDs. First [2,4], the crossed process $\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow h h'$ can be factorized, for $s \ll -t$, as a convolution of a (hard) CF with a (soft) Generalized Distribution
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Amplitude describing the correlator between two quark fields and a two hadron state. Second [5], starting from meson electroproduction and performing $t \leftrightarrow u$ crossing, and then allowing the initial and the final hadron to differ, we write the amplitude for the process $\gamma^* h \rightarrow h^* h'$ as a convolution of a (hard) CF with a (soft) Transition Distribution Amplitude describing the $h \rightarrow h'$ transition and with a (soft) Distribution Amplitude (describing $q\bar{q}h^*$ vertex).

We will rely on collinear factorization for our process \( \{1\} \) at each $q\bar{q}\rho$ vertex only. At high $Q^2$, each of the two quarks making the $\rho$ mesons are almost collinear, flying in the light cone directions $p_1$ and $p_2$ (used as Sudakov vectors), and their momentum read $\ell \sim z_1 k_1$ and $\ell_1 \sim z_2 k_2$. The amplitude $M$ is factorized as a convolution of a hard part $M_H$ with two $\rho^0_L$ DAs (see Fig. 2), defined as matrix elements of non local quarks fields correlator on the light cone (describing $q\bar{q}h^*$ vertex).

$$\langle \rho^0_L(k) | \bar{q}(x) \gamma^\mu q(0) | 0 \rangle = \frac{f_\rho}{\sqrt{2}} k^\mu \int_0^1 dz \, e^{i z \ell} \phi(z), \quad \text{for } q = u, d.$$

2 Computation at fixed $W^2$

2.1 Direct calculation

We compute [6] the amplitude $M$ following the Brodsky, Lepage approach [7]. At Born order (quark exchange) and in the forward case for simplicity, the amplitude $M$ reads\footnote{We limit ourselves to longitudinally polarized mesons to avoid potential end-point singularities.}

$$M = T^\mu \nu \epsilon_\mu(q_1)\epsilon_\nu(q_2), \quad T^\alpha \beta = \frac{1}{2} g_{F}^{\mu \nu} T^\alpha \beta + \left( p_1^\mu + \frac{Q_1^2}{s} p_2^\mu \right) \left( p_2^\nu + \frac{Q_2^2}{s} p_1^\nu \right) \frac{4}{s^2} T^\alpha \beta p_2 p_1.$$

In the case of longitudinally polarized photons, their polarization vectors read, with $s \equiv p_1 \cdot p_2$,

$$\epsilon_\parallel(q_1) = \frac{1}{Q_1} q_1 + \frac{2 Q_1}{s} p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_\parallel(q_2) = \frac{1}{Q_2} q_2 + \frac{2 Q_2}{s} p_1.$$

Due to QED gauge invariance, the first terms in RHS of (2) do not contribute. In the forward case discussed here, the number of diagrams then reduces to 4, as illustrated in Fig. 3. They result into

$$T^\alpha \beta \rho p_2 p_1 = - \frac{s^2 f_\rho^2 C_F g^2(Q_u^2 + Q_d^2)}{8 N_c Q^2 Q_2^2} \int_0^1 dz_1 dz_2 \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) \times \left\{ \frac{(1 - Q_u^2)(1 - Q_d^2)}{(z_1 + z_1 Q_u^2)(z_2 + z_2 Q_d^2)} + \frac{(1 - Q_u^2)(1 - Q_d^2)}{(z_1 + z_1 Q_u^2)(z_2 + z_2 Q_d^2)} + \frac{1}{z_1 z_2} \right\}.$$ 

\footnote{\( \phi(z) = 6z(1 - z) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n C_n^{3/2} (2z - 1) \right). \)}

\footnote{\( g_{F}^{\mu \nu} = g^{\mu \nu} - \frac{p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_1^\nu p_2^\mu}{p_1 \cdot p_2}. \)}
For transversally polarized photons, no simplification occurs and the 12 diagrams give

\[\begin{align*}
T^\alpha \beta g_{T^\alpha \beta} & = -\frac{e^2(Q_u^2 + Q_d^2)}{4 N_c s} g^2 C_F f_\rho^2 \int_0^1 dz_1 dz_2 \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) \left\{ 2 \left( 1 - \frac{Q_2^2}{s} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{Q_1^2}{s} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{(z_2 + z_2 \frac{Q_1^2}{s})^2 (z_1 + z_1 \frac{Q_1^2}{s})^2} + \frac{1}{(z_2 + z_2 \frac{Q_1^2}{s})^2 (z_1 + z_1 \frac{Q_1^2}{s})^2} \right] \\
& \quad \times \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} \left( \frac{1}{z_2 + z_2 \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} - \frac{1}{z_1 + z_1 \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} \left( \frac{1}{z_1 + z_1 \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} - \frac{1}{z_1 + z_1 \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} \right) \right] \}. (4)
\end{align*}\]

The \( z_i \) integrations have no end-point singularity (\( Q_i^2 \) are non-zero and DAs vanishes at \( z_i = 0 \)).

### 2.2 Interpretation in terms of QCD Factorization

#### 2.2.1 GDA for transverse photon in the limit \( A_{QCD}^2 \ll W^2 \ll Max(Q_1^2, Q_2^2) \)

When \( W^2 \) is smaller than the highest photon virtuality, the direct calculation (4) simplifies in\(^4\) extending the results of [8]. This is proven at Born order by computing perturbatively the GDA from its definition

\[\begin{align*}
\langle \rho_L^1(k_1) \rho_L^2(k_2) | \bar{q}(-\alpha n/2) \bar{q} \exp \left[ ig \int \frac{dy}{2} n_\nu A^\nu(y) \right] q(\alpha n/2) | 0 \rangle \\
= \int_0^1 dz e^{-i(2z-1)\alpha(nP)/2} \Phi_{\rho_L^1, \rho_L^2}(z, \zeta, W^2) \quad (for \ Q_1 > Q_2, \ P \sim p_1 \ and \ n \sim p_2)
\end{align*}\]

with the kinematics fixed according to Fig[4]. \( W^2 \) being hard, the GDA can be factorized into Hard part \( \otimes \) DA DA (see Fig[5]), as

\[\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\rho_L^1, \rho_L^2}(z, \zeta \approx 1, W^2) & = -\frac{f_\rho^2 g^2 C_F}{2 N_c W^2} \int_0^1 dz_2 \phi(z) \phi(z_2) \left[ \frac{1}{z_2^2} - \frac{1}{z_2} \right].
\end{align*}\]

---

\(^4\)In this example \( \frac{W^2}{Q_i^2} = \frac{1 - \frac{Q_2^2}{s}}{1 - \frac{Q_1^2}{s}} \approx 1 - \frac{Q_2^2}{s} \ll 1; \) we denote \( C = \frac{e^2(3Q_u^2 + Q_d^2)s^2 C_F f_\rho^2}{4 N_c} \).
In forward kinematics, the QCD Wilson line (last term in
the TDA being defined through the usual GPD kinematics (see Fig.8), with
\[ n = \text{where the QED Wilson line is explicitly indicated (QCD Wilson line gives no contribution).} \]

2.2.2 TDA for longitudinal photon in the limit \( Q_1^2 \gg Q_2^2 \) (or \( Q_1^2 \ll Q_2^2 \))

The amplitude \( M = T^{\alpha \beta} p_2 \alpha p_1 \beta \) can be interpreted in this limit
as a convolution \( M = TDA \otimes CF \otimes DA \), according to

\[
T^{\alpha \beta} p_2 \alpha p_1 \beta = -\frac{C}{2} \int \frac{d\zeta}{1} \int \frac{dz}{0} \left[ \frac{1}{z_1(x - \xi)} + \frac{1}{z_1(x + \xi)} \right] \phi(z_1) \]

\[
\times N_c \left[ \Theta(1 \geq x \geq \xi) \phi \left( x - \xi \right) - \Theta(-\xi \geq x \geq -1) \phi \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - \xi} \right) \right],
\]

the TDA being defined through the usual GPD kinematics (see Fig.8), with \( n_1 = (1 + \xi)p_1 \) and

\[
n_2 = \frac{p_2}{1 + \xi},
\]

defining \( x, \xi \) as momentum fraction along \( n_2 \). This factorisation (see Fig.9a) is proven
at Born order by computing perturbatively the TDA \( \gamma^* \rightarrow p_L \) defined as

\[
\int \frac{dz}{2\pi} e^{i(x_2 - z)} \langle \rho_L^{\mu}(k_2) | q(z/2) | T(x, \xi, t_{\text{min}}) \rangle,
\]

where the QED Wilson line is explicitly indicated (QCD Wilson line gives no contribution). Since \( Q_2^2 \) hard, the TDA can be factorized (see Fig.9b) as

\[
T(x, \xi, t_{\text{min}}) \equiv N_c \left[ \Theta(1 \geq x \geq \xi) \phi \left( x - \xi \right) - \Theta(-\xi \geq x \geq -1) \phi \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - \xi} \right) \right].
\]

with

\[
\int d\zeta \langle \rho_L^{\mu}(k_2) | q(z/2) | T(x, \xi, t_{\text{min}}) \rangle,
\]

where

\[
T(x, \xi, t_{\text{min}}) \equiv N_c \left[ \Theta(1 \geq x \geq \xi) \phi \left( x - \xi \right) - \Theta(-\xi \geq x \geq -1) \phi \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 - \xi} \right) \right].
\]
The Hard term reads, at Born order (see Fig.10),
\[ T_H(z_1, x) = -i g^2 e Q_q \frac{C_F}{2 N_c Q_1^2} \phi(z_1) e^\mu(q_1) \]
\[ \times \left( 2 \xi n_{2\mu} + \frac{1}{1 + \xi n_{1\mu}} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{z_1(x + \xi - i\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{z_1(x - \xi + i\epsilon)} \right], \]

3 Computation at large $W^2$

The dynamics of QCD in the perturbative Regge limit [9] is governed by gluons. BFKL enhancement effects are expected to be important at large rapidity. The exclusive process (11) tests this limit [10–12], for both $Q_1^2$ hard and of the same order (to suppress collinear dynamics à la DGLAP [13] and ERBL [14]), giving access to the full non-forward Pomeron structure, in relation with saturation studies, where a full impact parameter picture is needed. Increasing $s_{\gamma^*\gamma^*}$ for fixed values $Q_1^2$ and $Q_2^2$ causes transition from the linear to non-linear (saturated) regime.

![Figure 10: Hard part $T_H$ at lowest order.](image)

![Figure 11: $\gamma^*_L,e\gamma^*_L,T \to \rho^0_L \rho^0_L (a,b,c)$ and $e^+e^- \to e^+e^- \rho^0_L \rho^0_L (d)$ differential cross-sections.](image)

When $s_{\gamma^*\gamma^*} \gg -t, Q_1^2, Q_2^2$, we rely on the impact representation which reads, at Born order,
\[ \mathcal{M} = i s \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^4 k^2 (r - k)^2} \mathcal{J}^{\gamma^*_L,T}(q_1) \to \rho^0_L(k_1) (k, r - k) \mathcal{J}^{\gamma^*_L,T}(q_2) \to \rho^0_L(k_2) (-k, -r + k) \]
where the impact factors $\mathcal{J}^{\gamma^*_L,T}$ are rational functions of the transverse momenta $(k, r)$. The 2-d integration is treated analytically, relying on conformal transformations in the transverse momentum plane. The integrations over momentum fractions $z_1$ and $z_2$ (hidden in $\mathcal{J}$) are performed numerically. We use $Q_1Q_2$ as a scale for $\alpha_s$. As displayed in Fig.11h,b,c, cross-sections are strongly peaked at small $Q^2$ and small $t$, and longitudinally polarized photons dominates. The
non-forward Born order cross-section for \(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \rho^0 L \rho^0 L\) is obtained with the help of the equivalent photon approximation. Defining \(y_i\) as the longitudinal momentum fractions of the bremsstrahlung photons, one finds that \(\sigma_{e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \rho^0 L \rho^0 L}\) gets its main contribution from the low \(y\) and \(Q^2\) region, which is the very forward region. At ILC, \(\sqrt{s_{e^+e^-}} = 500\) GeV, with \(125\) fb\(^{-1}\) per year. The measurement seems feasible since each detector design includes a very forward electromagnetic calorimeter for luminosity measurement, with tagging angle for outgoing leptons down to 5 mrad. In Fig.11d, we display our results within the Large Detector Concept. We obtain \(\sigma_{tot} = 34.1\) fb and \(4.3 \times 10^3\) events per year. The LL BFKL enhancement is enormous but not trustable, since it is well known that NLL BFKL is far below LL. Work to implement resummed LL BFKL effects [15] is in progress, with results in accordance with the NLL based one [16]. The obtained enhancement is less dramatic (\(\sim 5\)) than with LL BFKL, but still visible.
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