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Abstract. Modern highly mechanized agricultural production is characterized by the incompatibility of simultaneous matching of the maximum pressure of agricultural mobile equipment movers on the soil and the possibility of increasing the tractors energy saturation when equipping them with existing wheel engines. (Research purpose) To coordinate the maximum pressure on the soil with the permissible norms, weight utilization factors and the level of tractors energy saturation. (Materials and methods) The authors used a calculated method for determining the maximum pressure on the soil using a universal tire characteristic. (Results and discussion) The authors found that when performing spring works on closing moisture and sowing row crops at a maximum pressure of 80 kilopascals, corresponding to all types of soil, the most mass universal row tractor Belarus 1020 had a maximum pressure of 150 kilopascals, which was 70 kilopascals higher than the permissible one for the corresponding crop shortage. They found that replacing ordinary tires with agrophilic tires could increase the area of the contact spot by 16 percent, while reducing the coefficient of unevenness and increasing the coefficient of use of the adhesion weight. The main condition for replacing ordinary tires with agrophilic tires was to keep the traction force unchanged. (Conclusions) Summarizing the results of the research as agrophilic direction, ensuring the compliance impacts on soil and positively solving the problems of saturation, it is possible to recommend the development of navigation systems in achieving utilization hitch weight equal to 0.5 (low tire pressure), equal to 0.6 (rubber joint with torsion bar suspension) and 0.7 (rubber track). It was found that the conducted research, taking into account the available data on traction tests of the T-250 tractor, would allow to include an agrophilic concept with a hypothetical dependence of the adhesion weight use factor on the longitudinal unevenness coefficient in the revised State Standard 27021-86 “Agricultural and forestry Tractors. Traction classes”.
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стую одновременной реализации требований экологических организаций к максимальному давлению движителей сельскохозяйственной мобильной техники на почву и возможностям повышения энергонасыщенности тракторов при оснащении их существующими колесными движителями. (Цель исследования) Согласовать максимальное давление на почву с допустимыми нормами, коэффициентами использования сцепного веса и уровнем энергонасыщенности тракторов. (Материалы и методы) Использовали расчетный метод определения максимального давления на почву с помощью универсальной характеристики шины. (Результаты и обсуждение) Установили, что при выполнении весенных работ по закрытию влаги и проведении посева пропашных культур при допустимом максимальном давлении 80 килопаскалей, соответствующем всем типам почв, самый массовый универсально-пропашной трактор Беларус 1020 имеет максимальное давление 150 килопаскалей, что на 70 килопаскалей превышает допустимое при соответствующем недоборе урожая. Установили, что замена ординарных шин на агрофильные позволяет увеличить площадь пятна контакта на 16 процентов с одновременным уменьшением коэффициента неравномерности и увеличением коэффициента использования сцепного веса. Принципиальным условием замены ординарных шин на агрофильные служит сохранение неизменным создаваемого трактором тягового усилия. (Выводы) Определили оптимальные значения коэффициента использования сцепного веса: для шин сверхнизкого давления – 0,5, для резинотехнического шарнира с торсионной подвеской – 0,6, для резиноармированной гусеницы – 0,7. Установили, что проведенные исследования с учетом имеющихся данных о тяговых испытаниях гусеничного трактора Т-250, позволят включить агрофильную концепцию с гипотетической (предполагаемой) зависимостью коэффициента использования сцепного веса от коэффициента продольной неравномерности в пересматриваемый ГОСТ 27021-86 «Тракторы сельскохозяйственные и лесозаготовительные. Тяговые классы».
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Modern highly mechanized agricultural production is characterized by the incompatibility of the simultaneous implementation of the environmental organizations requirements for the maximum pressure of the agricultural mobile equipment propellers on the soil (State Standard R 58655-2019 replacing State Standard 26955-86) and the possibilities of increasing the tractors energy saturation when equipped with existing wheeled propellers (State Standard 27021-86) [1-4].

**The research purpose** is to coordinate the maximum pressure \( q_{\text{max}} \) on the soil with permissible norms, coefficients of adhesion weight \( q_a \) using and the level of tractors energy saturation.

**Materials and methods.** To solve this problem, it is necessary to conduct in-depth experimental studies of the longitudinal non-uniformity coefficient influence the pressure distribution over the tire \( K_2 \) contact area on the adhesion weight \( q_a \) use coefficient for given slipping \( \delta_{\text{max}} \) and maximum contact pressure \( q_{\text{max}} \).

To coordinate the maximum pressure \( q_{\text{max}} \) on the soil with the permissible norms, the adhesion weight \( q_a \) use coefficients and the energy saturation level, the authors used the calculation method for determining the maximum pressure on the soil using the universal characteristics of the tire, given in State Standard R 58656-2019 in combination with the results of actual traction tests of progressive wheel and tracked movers [5-7].

**Results and discussion.** As a result of the research, it was found that when performing spring work on closing moisture and sowing row crops at an admissible maximum pressure of 80 kPa, corresponding to all types of soils, the most massive universal row-crop tractor Belarus 1020 had a maximum pressure of 150 kPa, which was 70 kPa higher than permissible with a corresponding shortage of harvest (Fig. 1).

Based on the previous results, the authors considered the possibility of reducing the maximum pressure of the tractor, based on Fig. 2 and formula (1), obtained taking into account that \( q_a = \dot{q} \cdot K_2 \), where \( K_2 = 1.5 \) was the coefficient of longitudinal non-uniformity of pressure distribution over the support area of the tire-soil contact [6, 8-14].

For new highly elastic tires \( K_2 \) could be specified when determined according to a method agreed with consumer, customer and tire developer representatives (State Standard R 58656-2019, replacing State Standard 26953-86. Agricultural mobile equipment. Methods for determining the impact of propellers on the soil).

\[
\frac{G}{F_{\text{ww}}} = G_k \]

where \( G_k \) – mass that created a static load on the soil by a single wheel propeller; \( F_{\text{ww}} \) – wheel contact area with soil, \( m^2 \).
Таблица 1

| Трактор и его оборудование | Основная нагрузка, кг | Максимальная тяговая мощность, кВт (л.с.) | Индикаторы при максимальной тяговой мощности | Кондиционер тяговой эффективности | Сопротивление скольжения, % | Адгезионный коэффициент | Коэффициент агрономической неровности |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Каустрол К-150К на обычных шинах FD-14А 21.3R24 | 7970 | 65.2 (88.7) | 38.5 (3925) | 6.1 | 426 (331) | 23.4 | 0.493 |
| 2-1 | 69.5 (94.5) | 38.5 (3925) | 6.5 | 423 (331) | 23.4 | 0.493 |
| 2-2 | 78.8 (107.1) | 35.0 (3570) | 8.1 | 378 (278) | 18.6 | 0.454 |
| 2-3 | 83.2 (113) | 26.5 (2700) | 11.3 | 359 (260) | 11.2 | 0.339 |
| 2-4 | 71.2 (96.7) | 42.0 (4280) | 6.1 | 416 (306) | 24.4 | 0.476 |
| 2-1 | 79.1 (107.6) | 39.0 (3980) | 7.3 | 382 (281) | 19.6 | 0.442 |
| 2-2 | 80.8 (109.2) | 34.0 (3470) | 8.5 | 366 (269) | 12.8 | 0.386 |
| 2-3 | 83.1 (113.1) | 27.0 (2750) | 11.1 | 331 (244) | 11.2 | 0.306 |
| 2-4 | 86.2 (117.1) | 47.0 (4790) | 6.6 | 336 (247) | 13.2 | 0.539 |
| 2-1 | 95.6 (129.8) | 43.0 (4380) | 8.0 | 312 (230) | 9.4 | 0.493 |
| 2-2 | 98.6 (134.1) | 39.0 (3980) | 9.1 | 304 (224) | 6.4 | 0.448 |
| 2-3 | 94.8 (128.7) | 32.5 (3310) | 10.5 | 298 (220) | 3.8 | 0.372 |

Fig. 1. The maximum allowable pressure on the soil in the spring period of the main technological operations

Fig. 2. Diagram of the wheel pneumatic tire deformation during static tests:

\[ q_{max}^* = \frac{G}{F} \cdot K_2 \]  
(1)

At the same time, the adhesion weight use factor based on table 1 (tests of tires of LIM company), take \( \phi_{2}^{\kappa} = 0.5 \), where \( \phi_{2}^{\kappa} \) – adhesion weight use factor of agrophilic tires, instead of \( \phi_{1}^{\kappa} = 0.39 \) where \( \phi_{1}^{\kappa} \) – adhesion weight use factor of ordinary tires corresponding to the old State Standard 27021-86.

At the same time, the authors assumed that \( K_{2}^{\kappa} = 1.2 \), where \( K_{2}^{\kappa} \) was the coefficient of agrophilic tires irregularity, instead of \( K_{2}^{\kappa} = 1.5 \) where \( K_{2}^{\kappa} \) – adhesion weight use factor of ordinary tires.

The fundamental condition for replacing ordinary tires at \( \phi_{1}^{\kappa} = 0.39 \) with agrophilic ones at \( \phi_{2}^{\kappa} = 0.5 \) was to maintain a constant traction force created by the tractor:

\[ P_o = Const, \]  
(2)

in accordance with the definition of adhesion weight use factor, was determined by the formula:

\[ P_o = G_K \cdot \phi_2^{\kappa} = G_K \cdot \phi_1^{\kappa}, \]  
(3)
where $G_k^o$ – tractor on ordinary tires adhesion weight, $G_k^A$ – tractor on agrophilic tires adhesion weight.

Based on the fact that $G_k^o = 36$ kN (Belarus 1020):

$$G_k^A = \frac{(G_k^o \cdot \phi^o)}{\phi^A} = \frac{(36 \cdot 0.39)}{0.5} = 28$$ kN.

Based on formula (1), the authors defined:

$$q^o/q^{\text{max}}_A = \frac{(K_k^o \cdot G_k^o \cdot F_k^o)}{(K_k^A \cdot G_k^A \cdot F_k^A)}.$$

Where, taking into account the requirements for reducing the maximum pressure on the soil (Fig. 1) they got:

$$q^{\text{max}}_o/q^{\text{max}}_A = \frac{150 \text{kPa}}{80 \text{kPa}} = 1.88.$$

Given $K_k^o$, $K_k^A$, $G_k^o$, $G_k^A$ they determined:

$$1.88 = \frac{(1.5 \cdot 36 \cdot F_k^A)}{(1.2 \cdot 28 \cdot F_k^o)},$$

where $F_k^o = 1.16 \cdot F_k^A$, where $O$ – ordinary, $A$ – agrophilic.

The scale of the increase in $F_k^A$ in comparison with $F_k^o$ was schematically shown in (Fig. 3), and the sequence of actions to reduce the maximum pressure on the soil was shown on the nomogram (Fig. 4).

The nomogram graphically shows a decrease in the maximum pressure $q$ on the soil from 150 kPa to 80 kPa ($\Delta \Sigma$) with an increase in the adhesion weight use factor $\phi$ from 0.39 to 0.50 due to a decrease in the adhesion weight from 36 kN to 28 kN, a decrease in the coefficient of longitudinal irregularity of $K_k$ from 1.5 to 1.2 – $\Delta K_k$, an increase in the tire contact area by 16% – $\Delta F_k$ with a constant traction force $P_{cr} = 14$ kN and an increase in the energy saturation of $E_{tr}$ from 2.0 kW/kN to 2.6 kW/kN, corresponding to Professor G.M. Kutkov qualifications for third-generation tractors.

Summarizing the results of the research presented in tables 2, 3, as well as in figure 5, it was necessary to include in the revised State Standard 27021-86 “Agricultural and forestry tractors. Traction classes”, the following recommendations.

The nominal tractor tractive force in kilonewtons was determined by the formula

$$P_{cr, \text{nom}} = A \cdot m_e,$$

where $A$ – coefficient set depending on the tractor type; $m_e$ – operating weight of the tractor, kg.

**Coefficient $A$ take equal:**

- $3.24 \times 10^{-3}$ – for agricultural tractors;
- $3.73 \times 10^{-3}$ – for tractors with an operating weight of up to 2600 kg;
- for four- and three-wheeled tractors with two driving wheels (4K2 and 3K2) with an operating weight of over 2600 kg;
- for four-wheel tractors with four driving wheels (4K4) and an operating weight of over 2600 kg:
- $3.92 \times 10^{-3}$ – with tires of the ordinary concept;
- $5.00 \times 10^{-3}$ – with ultra-low pressure tires;
- for tracked tractors:

### Table 2

| Soil background | Winter wheat stubble |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Operational weight, kg | 13200 |
| Transmission | 1-3 | 1-4 | II-1 | II-2 | II-3 | II-4 |
| Maximum traction power, kW | 151.7 | 149.0 | 148.94 | 147.95 | 147.25 | 142.5 |
| Traction force, kN | 97.5 | 87.0 | 75.0 | 61.0 | 51.0 | 47.5 |
| Speed, km/h | 3.6 | 6.2 | 7.15 | 7.95 | 9.3 | 7.8 |
| Slipping, % | 6.2 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| Conditional traction efficiency | 0.809 | 0.799 | 0.794 | 0.789 | 0.785 | 0.760 |
| Coupling weight utilization rate | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.36 |

**Fig. 3.** The necessary increase in the area of the contact spot by 16% with a decrease in the coefficient of longitudinal irregularity:

$A_k$ – length of the contact, m; $B_k$ – width of the contact patch, m; $\Delta F_k$ – increase in the area of the contact at $K_k = 1.2, \text{m}^2$

**Fig. 4.** Coordination of the maximum pressure $q$ on the soil with permissible norms, coefficients of using the adhesion weight $\phi$, and the level of energy saturation $E_{tr}$.
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Таблица 3

| Indicators                                              | Traction concept (GOST 27021-86) | Agrophilic concept (GOST 26955-86) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                                        | Wheel scheme 4K4a               | Track scheme                       |
|                                                        | Ultra low pressure tire wheel   | rubber-reinforced track with       |
|                                                        |                                 | combined friction-pinned engagement|
|                                                        |                                 | RAG*                               |
|                                                        |                                 | metal with rubber-metal hinge and  |
|                                                        |                                 | torsion bar suspension of road      |
|                                                        |                                 | wheels RMSh**                       |
| Adhesive weight utilization rate                        | 0.39                            | 0.50                               |
|                                                        | 0.49                            | 0.70                               |
|                                                        |                                 | 0.60                               |
| Allowable slipping, no more,%                          | 16                              | 3                                  |
|                                                        | 5                               | 3                                  |
|                                                        |                                 | 3                                  |
| Maximum pressure on the soil, kPa                       | 150.0                           | 80.0                               |
|                                                        | 110.0                           | 80.0                               |
|                                                        |                                 | 80.0                               |
| Permissible maximum pressure, kPa                       |                                 | 80.0                               |

* RAG – rubber-reinforced track  ** RMSh – rubber-metal hinge

ЭКОЛОГИЯ

4.9·10⁻³ – with a caterpillar of the ordinary concept;
6.0·10⁻³ – with rubber-metal hinge track, rollers with torsion bar suspension;
7.0·10⁻³ – rubber-reinforced track with a combined friction-pinning system of force transmission
for forestry tractors:

In the absence of data to determine the operating mass, it was taken equal to:
1.15 – values of structural mass for wheeled tractors;
1.08 – values of constructional mass for tracks.

CONCLUSIONS. Taking into account the research data as an agrophilic direction, ensuring compliance with the norms of impact on the soil, and positively solving the problems of energy saturation, it was possible to recommend the development of running systems to ensure the achievement of the adhesion weight use factor $\phi_c = 0.5$ (ultra-low pressure tire), $\phi_c = 0.6$ (rubber-metal hinge with torsion bar suspension) and $\phi_c = 0.7$ (rubber-reinforced track).

The conducted research, taking into account the available data on traction tests of the T-250 tracked tractor, would make it possible to include the agrophilic concept with a hypothetical (assumed) dependence of the adhesion weight utilization rate $\phi_c$ on the longitudinal unevenness coefficient $K_2$ in the form of recommendations in the revised State Standard 27021-86 “Agricultural and forestry tractors. Traction classes” [15-20].

REFERENCES

1. Kut’kov G.M. Traktory i avtomobili. Teoriya i tekhnologicheskiye svoystva [Tractors and cars. Theory and technological properties]. Moscow: NITS INFRA-M. 2014. 506 (In Russian).
2. Kut’kov G.M. Razvitie tekhnicheskoy kontseptsii traktora [Development of the tractor technical concept]. Traktory i sel’khozmashiny. 2019. N1. 27-35 (In Russian).
3. Izmaylov A., Shevtsov V., Lavrov A., Godzhaev Z., Zhubina V. Evaluation of the Technical Level of Modern Agricultural Tractors Represented in the Russian Market. SAE Technical Paper. 2018. N1. 1-6 (In English).
4. Antyshev N.M., Shevtsov V.G. Nauchnye osnovy postroeniyi mobil’nykh energeticheskikh sredstv [Scientific basis for building mobile energy facilities]. Agroinzhenernaya Rossiya: stanovlenie, sovremennoe sostoyanie. Strategiya razvitiya. Moscow: Rosinformagrotekh. 2007. 393-414 (In Russian).
5. Rusanov V.A. Problema pereuplosteniya pochv dvizhitelyami i effektivnye puti resheniya [The problem of soil compaction by movers and effective ways to solve it]. Moscow: VIM. 1998. 368 (In Russian).
6. Kut’kov G. M. Razvitie tekhnicheskoy kontseptsii traktora [Development of the technical concept of the tractor]. Traktory i sel’khozmashiny. 2019. N1. C. 27-35 (In Russian).
7. Izmaylov A.Yu., Kryazhkov V.M., Antyshev N.M., Elizarov V.P., Keller N.D., Lobachevskiy Ya.P., Sorokin N.T., Gurylev G.S., Savel’ev G.S., Sizov O.A., Shvetsov V.G. Kontseptsiya modernizatsii parka sel’skokhozyaystvennykh traktorov Rossii na period do 2020 goda [Concept of modernization of the Russian agricultural tractor fleet for the period up to 2020]. Moscow: VIM. 2013. 87 (In Russian).
8. Izmaylov A., Shevtsov V., Lavrov A., Godzhaev Z. Application of the Universal Tire Characteristic for Estimating the Maximum Pressure of a Pneumatic Tractor Wheel on the Ground. SAE Technical Paper. 2015. N1. 5-7 (In English).

9. Shevtsov V.G. Nauchno-inzhenernye osnovy realizatsii strategii razvitiya mobil’noy sel’skokhozyaystvennoy energetiki. Izbrannye voprosy nauchnogo naslediya akademika I.P. Ksenevicha [Scientific and engineering bases for the implementation of the strategy for the development of mobile agricultural energy. Selected questions of the scientific heritage of academician I.P. Ksenevich]. Moscow: VIM. 2009. 174 (In Russian).

10. Shevtsov V.G., Soloveychik A.A., Rusanov A.V., Lavrov A.V. Ispol’zovanie universal’noy kharakteristiki dlya opredeleniya maksimal’nogo davleniya kolesnogo dvizhitelya na pochvu [Using a universal tire characteristic to determine the maximum pressure of the wheel drive on the ground]. Aktual’nye napravleniya nauchnykh issledovaniy XXI veka: teoriya i prak-tika: sbornik nauchnykh trudov po materialam Mezdunar. za-uchnoy nauch.-prakt. konf. N2. Ch. 2(7-2). Voronezh: Voronezhskiy gosudarstvennyiy lesotekhnicheskiy universitet. 2014. 169-173 (In Russian).

11. Godzhaev Z.A., Pryadkin V.I. Modelirovanie vzaimodeystviya vysokoelastichnoy shinny s nerovnost’yu dorogi [Modeling the interaction of a highly elastic tire with road roughness]. Traktory i sel’khoz mashiny. 2014. N1. 16-18 (In Russian).

12. Goncharenko S.V., Godzhaev Z.A., Popovskiy A.A., Stankievich E.B., Koren’ V.V. Identifikatsiya traktornyh shin po tyagovomu klassu [Identification of tractor tires by traction class]. Traktory i sel’khoz mashiny. 2010. N4. 25-19 (In Russian).

13. Shevtsov V.G., Godzhaev T.Z., Erilina E.V. Perspektivy razvitiya sel’skokhozyaystvennych mobil’nykh energosredstv [Prospects for the development of agricultural mobile energy facilities]. Traktory i sel’khoz mashiny. 2018. N3. 25-31 (In Russian).

14. Ksenevich I.P., Skotnikov V.A., Lyasco M.I. Khodovaya sistema – pochva – urozhay [Moving system – soil – crop]. Moscow: Agropromizdat. 1985. 384 (In Russian).

15. Provedenie issledovaniy dlya opredeleniya uslovii ratsional’nogo agregatirovaniya gusenichnogo traktora klassa 5-6 s sel’skokhozyaystvennymi mashinami otechestvennogo i zarubezhnogo proizvodstva i razrabotka rekomendatsiy po sferam ego primenenija i naboru sel’skokhozyaystvennykh mashin: otchet o nauchno-issledovatel’skoy rabote [Conducting research to determine the conditions for rational aggregation of a class 5-6 agricultural tractor with agricultural machines of domestic and foreign production and developing recommendations on the scope of its application and a set of agricultural machines: report on research work]. Moscow: VIM. 2007 (In Russian).

16. Lobachevskiy Ya., Godzhaev Z., Shevtsov V., Lavrov A., Sizov O., Merzlyakov A. Harmonizating power categories and towing categories of agricultural tractors with series of preferred numbers. SAE Technical Papers. 2017. January. 18-24 (In English).

17. Shevtsov V., Lavrov A., Godzhaev Z., Kryazhkov,V. et al. The Development of the Russian Agricultural Tractor Market from 2008 to 2014. SAE Technical Paper. 2016. N1. 8128 (In English).

18. Márquez L. Tractores Agricolas: tecnologia y utilización. Madrid: B&H Grupo Editorial. 2012. 844 (In Spanish).

19. Sidorov M.V., Lavrov A.V., Voronin V.A. Modul’no-tekh-nologicheskaya skhema dlya traktorov tyagovogo klassa 1,4 [Modular technological scheme for tractors of traction class 1.4]. Elektrotekhnologii i elektrooborudovanie v APK. 2019. N4(37). 57-62 (In Russian).

20. Godzhaev Z.A., Lavrov A.V., Shevtsov V.G., Zubina V.A. O vybore tekhnologicheskogo napravleniya razvitiya sistem sel’skokhozyaystvennych mobil’nykh energosredstv [About the choice of the technological direction of the development of the system of agricultural mobile energy facilities]. Izvestiya moskovskogo gosudarstvennogo tekhnicheskogo universiteta MAMI. 2020. N1(43). 35-41 (In Russian).

Конфликт интересов. Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.