Drosophila CLOCK target gene characterization: implications for circadian tissue-specific gene expression
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CLOCK (CLK) is a master transcriptional regulator of the circadian clock in Drosophila. To identify CLK direct target genes and address circadian transcriptional regulation in Drosophila, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) tiling array assays (ChIP–chip) with a number of circadian proteins. CLK binding cycles on at least 800 sites with maximal binding in the early night. The CLK partner protein CYCLE (CYC) is on most of these sites. The CLK/CYC heterodimer is joined 4–6 h later by the transcriptional repressor PERIOD (PER), indicating that the majority of CLK targets are regulated similarly to core circadian genes. About 30% of target genes also show cycling RNA polymerase II (Pol II) binding. Many of these generate cycling RNAs despite not being documented in prior RNA cycling studies. This is due in part to different RNA isoforms and to fly head tissue heterogeneity. CLK has specific targets in different tissues, implying that important CLK partner proteins and/or mechanisms contribute to gene-specific and tissue-specific regulation.
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Organisms ranging from cyanobacteria to humans display changes in metabolism, physiology, and behavior that undergo daily oscillations with ~24-h periods. These oscillations are regulated by core circadian clocks, which function to drive and orchestrate these daily fluctuations. In Drosophila, the core clock is comprised, in part, of two interlocked feedback loops. The principal negative feedback loop includes the basic helix–loop–helix PAS transcription factors CLOCK (CLK) and CYCLE (CYC), which heterodimerize and bind to E-boxes (CACCTG) of the core clock genes period (per) and timeless (tim) to activate their transcription [Yu et al. 2006; Taylor and Hardin 2008]. per and tim mRNAs are translated in the cytoplasm; PER and TIM heterodimerize, become phosphorylated, and localize to the nucleus [Hardin et al. 1990; Edery et al. 1994; Curtin et al. 1995; Shafer et al. 2002; Meyer et al. 2006]. PER and TIM then repress CLK-mediated transcription, followed by their degradation in the late night/early morning [Edery et al. 1994; Darlington et al. 1998; Ko et al. 2002; Menet et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2010]. In the second feedback loop, CLK/CYC directly activates the transcription of vri and pdp1 [Blau and Young 1999; McDonald et al. 2001; Ueda et al. 2002]. The resulting proteins, VRI and PDP1, may then regulate clock (Clk) transcription, either negatively [VRI] or positively [PDP1], contributing to rhythmic Clk transcription [Cyran et al. 2003]. Another level of regulation is provided by the core clock gene clockwork orange (cwo) [Kadener et al. 2007; Lim et al. 2007; Matsumoto et al. 2007]. It is also a CLK/CYC direct target gene and encodes a transcriptional repressor that contributes to the temporal repression of CLK/CYC activity like PER and TIM. These five CLK/CYC direct target genes (per, tim, vri, pdp1, and cwo), along with Clk and cyc, are considered core clock genes and act to maintain robust molecular circadian rhythms of the Drosophila molecular clock.

CLK/CYC and their homologs, CLK/BMAL1, in mammals are considered the master regulators of the molecular circadian clock. For example, ectopic expression of Drosophila Clk in noncircadian locations can induce the formation of ectopic clocks by the criterion of PER expression and cycling [Zhao et al. 2003], and a dominant-negative mutation of Clk strongly diminishes all behavioral and molecular oscillations in flies (clk
d) [Allada et al. 1998]
and mice (CLKΔ19) (King et al. 1997). The circadian period of locomotor activity rhythms is sensitive to CLK gene dose in both organisms (Antočič et al. 1997; Kadner et al. 2008). This central role of CLK/CYC and CLK/BMAL1 suggests a simple model in which the heterodimer directly controls a limited number of key genes. CLK direct target genes in flies like per, tim, vri, pdp1, and cwo—all transcription factors—would then control the cyclical expression of output genes. Consistent with this transcriptional cascade model, studies in Drosophila S2 cells and fly heads identified only 28 CLK direct target genes, including the five transcription factor core clock genes and other transcription factors (Kadner et al. 2007).

To initiate an understanding of the role of CLK in direct target gene regulation, we recently described chromatin immunoprecipitations (ChIPs) for CLK, PER, and RNA polymerase II (Pol II) on per and tim (Menet et al. 2010). CLK is maximally recruited to the promoters of these genes in the early night, Zeitgeber times 14–16 (ZT14–ZT16). At these times, transcription is active, also evident by the presence of Pol II in coding regions. PER binds to per and tim chromatin at ZT18 with a concomitant decrease in transcription and Pol II signal. This is followed by a further decrease in transcription and CLK binding, resulting in minimal transcription and minimal CLK binding at about ZT22–ZT2. The results inspired a model of sequential “ON-DNA” and “OFF-DNA” transcriptional repression. In the “ON-DNA” phase, PER binds to per and tim chromatin, presumably via CLK/CYC, to repress transcription. This is followed by the “OFF-DNA” phase, in which CLK/CYC is mostly absent from chromatin and transcription is minimal.

To identify additional Drosophila direct target genes as well as confirm and extend this model, we expanded on this initial study (Menet et al. 2010) and present here a genome-wide analysis of CLK, PER, CYC, and Pol II binding to chromatin from Drosophila and mouse heads. There are ~1500 CLK-binding peaks, at least 60% of which cycle with maximal CLK binding at ZT14 in early night. At this time, CYC is also present in the same regions that bind CLK, and 4–6 h later, the repressor PER is also bound to CLK direct targets. This suggests that the majority of CLK direct targets are regulated similarly to the core clock genes (Menet et al. 2010). About 30% of target genes show cyclical Pol II binding at promoters or within coding regions, which correlates with active transcription. Many of these CLK direct targets are of interest and have never been previously implicated in circadian transcriptional studies; e.g., in circadian microarray assays focused on identifying cycling mRNAs. A recent study in mice suggests that BMAL1 also binds to a large number of genes in the liver (>2000), only 29% of which had been previously implicated to be under circadian regulation (Rey et al. 2011). In the case of these fly data, we show that the discrepancy with previous cycling RNA studies is due to (1) CLK binding and regulation of specific mRNA isoforms; (2) low mRNA cycling amplitudes for many of these direct target genes, and (3) the tissue complexity of the fly head. Heterogeneity of CLK binding within different head tissues suggests the presence of important CLK partner proteins and mechanisms that contribute to gene-specific and tissue-specific circadian transcriptional regulation.

**Results**

Identification of CLK direct target genes in Drosophila

To identify CLK direct target genes, we used a strain with two CLK-V5 transgenes (previously described, Kadner et al. 2008) to perform anti-CLK ChIPs at six time points throughout the day (see the Materials and Methods). Anti-V5 ChIPs were performed from Drosophila head extracts, and the CLK-bound DNA fragments were identified using Drosophila Tiling 2.0 arrays (Affymetrix). Peaks of CLK binding were identified using the MAT algorithm (Johnson et al. 2006). One-thousand-five-hundred significant CLK peaks were identified, defined as a site with a P-value of <10^-4 in two independent CLK ChIP experiments (see the Materials and Methods; Supplemental Fig. 1).

As expected, these significant CLK peaks were enriched for canonical E-boxes as well as degenerate E-boxes (Supplemental Table 2). The top five CLK-binding sites, ranked by statistical significance, are within or adjacent to the known core clock genes vri, tim, pdp1, per, and cwo (Supplemental Table 1). We previously described cycling CLK binding to per and tim, and show here pdp1, there is very strong cycling CLK binding to the middle of the gene, adjacent to the start site of the circadian isoform pdp1c (Fig. 1A; Zheng et al. 2009), suggesting that this cycling drives circadian transcription of this isoform. Like for per and tim (Taylor and Hardin 2008; Menet et al. 2010), CLK levels increase until the signal peaks at ZT14, after which it decreases back to low levels. However, CLK binding is still above background even at these trough values, suggesting that the chromatin is never completely inaccessible (Fig. 1B).

To identify other peaks where CLK binding cycles with a 24-h period, we performed a modified Fourier analysis with an F24 cutoff of 0.7 and a P-value of 0.05 (Wijnen et al. 2005). With these stringent parameters, ~60% of the 1500 peaks manifest circadian cycling. As observed for the core clock genes (Taylor and Hardin 2008; Menet et al. 2010), maximal CLK binding on most of these genes occurs between ZT14 and ZT16 (Fig. 1E, 2C). Although 40% of CLK peaks were characterized as “nongcycling” using our stringent parameters, most oscillate upon visual inspection, suggesting that 60% is a gross underestimate (Supplemental Fig. 2).

The cycling CLK-binding sites were visually inspected on the Integrated Genome Browser (IGB; Affymetrix). Three-hundred-fifty-three sites could not be assigned to a single gene, because they were near more than one transcription start site (319 peaks) or in an intergenic region far from any annotated gene (44 peaks). However, ~500 cycling peaks could be unambiguously mapped to a single gene like the five core clock genes (Fig. 1A; Menet et al. 2010). These genes will henceforth be referred to as the “mapped 500” (see the Materials and Methods; Supplemental Table 1). Two examples are the circadian kinase gene dbt and the
CLK binding to direct target genes peaks at ZT14. CLK ChIPs were performed at six different time points throughout the day, and the resulting DNA was analyzed using tiling arrays (Affymetrix). CLK binding is visualized using the IGB. Genes above the genomic coordinates are transcribed from left to right (plus strand), and genes below the genomic coordinates are transcribed from right to left (minus strand). CLK binds rhythmically to the promoters of *pdp1* (primarily the ε isoform) ([A]), of *pdb1* (primarily the ε isoform) zoomed in to show binding even at ZT2 ([B]), of *dbt* ([C]), and in the middle of *lim1* ([D]). CLK binding peaks at ZT14 on these three genes. ([E]) CLK binding cycles on ~800 genes. Genes were sorted by binding phase, and CLK ChIP signal is portrayed using a heat map in which data for a 24-h period are concatenated to show cycling. CLK ChIP signal ranges from low (dark blue; Z-score between 2 and 0.5; i.e., between 2 and 0.5 standard deviations below the mean) to medium (white; Z-score between −0.5 and +0.5) to highest (yellow; Z-score between 0.5 and 2). For most genes, the highest CLK ChIP signal is at ZT14.
Figure 2. CLK direct targets also bind PER and CYC. (A) CLK (green; ZT14), CYC (orange; ZT14), and PER (red; ZT18) are all bound in nearly identical locations on \textit{pdp1}, \textit{dbt}, and \textit{lim1}. (B) The ChIP signals of CLK, PER, and CYC in regions of CLK binding are represented using a heat map. As expected, CLK ChIP signals are strong (yellow; \(Z\)-score between 2 and 6) in the middle of the CLK peaks. The PER ChIP signal is also high (yellow; \(Z\)-score between 2 and 6) in these regions, suggesting that PER binds with CLK on the majority of genes. Despite a lower CYC ChIP strength, CYC binding is also enriched where CLK binds (yellow; \(Z\)-score between 1.5 and 6). This suggests that the majority of CLK direct targets are also bound by PER and CYC. (C) Histogram showing the phase of cycling CLK (green) and PER (red) binding as determined by Fourier analysis [see the Materials and Methods]. CLK binding precedes PER binding by \(\sim 4\)–\(6\) h.
homeodomain gene *lim1* (Fig. 1C,D; gene #41 and #48, respectively, as shown in Supplemental Table 1).

To address the functions of the genes under direct CLK control, we performed a gene ontology (GO) analysis [GO toolbox] [Martin et al. 2004] on the mapped 500. They are highly enriched for transcription factors, ~10% of the total [64 genes], indicating that CLK sits at the top of a large transcriptional hierarchy. The second most prominent class is protein kinases, including the known circadian kinases *dbt*, *nmo*, and *sgg* [Table 1, Fig. 1C; Martinez et al. 2001; Muskus et al. 2007; Chiu et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2011]. The list also includes substrate-specific transmembrane transporters, phosphatases, and ribonucleoprotein complexes.

**CYC is also bound at CLK-binding sites**

Given the large number of CLK-binding sites, we asked what fraction is also bound by CYC, the CLK partner. To identify CYC binding, we used a transgenic fly line that contained two copies of a Flag-tagged *cyc* transgene [see the Materials and Methods]. Three independent CYC ChIP–chips were performed at the time of maximal CLK binding, ZT14. Binding sites were identified using the same assay and statistical cutoffs used for CLK binding, with only 575 significant peaks of CYC identified.

As expected, the core circadian genes are the top-ranked CYC targets [five of the top six genes] [Supplemental Table 3]. CYC binding is coincident with CLK on *pdp1* (Fig. 2A) as well as on other core clock genes [data not shown]. If we restrict our analysis to the top 100 CLK direct targets also bind CYC significantly. As CLK rank decreases, so does the ability to detect statistically significant levels of CYC via ChIP. To further examine the binding of CYC on all 1500 CLK direct targets, a heat map was used to visualize the binding of CLK and CYC in the region surrounding the CLK peak (Fig. 2B). As expected, maximal CLK ChIP signal is observed in the center of the peak [Z-score between 2 and 6] (Fig. 2B, yellow). CYC ChIP signal is also highest in this location [Z-score between 1.5 and 6] (Fig. 2B, yellow), suggesting that CYC is present at most direct targets despite not being statistically significant. This suggests that the CYC ChIP is relatively weak and the coincidental binding of CYC and CLK at ZT14 is not restricted to the core clock genes; it is found on most if not all CLK direct targets, as shown here for *dbt* and *lim1* (Fig. 2A).

### Table 1. GO of CLK direct targets from “mapped 500”

| GO term CYCLERS                                      | Number of genes | P-value   | Genes                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Transcription, DNA-dependent                         | 64              | 10^−69    | *tim*, *per*, *smr*, *simj*, CtBP, *ph-d*, *gcl*, *Nap1*, *MED15*, *skd*, *tna*, *tara*, *trx*, *Eip75B*, *mal-S*, *EIP74EF*, *Itz-f1*, *Eip93F*, *cbr*, *E2f*, *Dif*, *dl*, *bun*, *Stat92E*, *kay*, *aop*, *brk*, *shn*, *emc*, *esg*, *jumu*, *Th*, *dxd*, *Pdp1*, *CG13624*, *CrehB-17A*, *Kr-h1*, *A3-3*, *crc*, *Mnt*, *CHES-1-like*, *Sox102E*, *phtf*, *cwo*, *GATAAd*, *yph*, *gol*, *crol*, *Lim1*, *opa*, *vri*, *Mef2*, *lilli*, *Tab2*, *sqz*, *sr*, *en*, *arm*, *Mad*, *Ecr*, *brat* |
| Protein kinase activity                               | 20              | 10^−29    | *Pkn*, *Pkd61c*, *CG4290*, *dbt*, *Pitsdrc*, *par-1*, *Pak*, *Adk1*, *Gp150*, *InR*, *Fur2*, *Tao-1*, *nmo*, *Mekk1*, *sgg*, *CG11489*, *CG8878*, *LimK1*, *Pfrx* |
| ATPase activity coupled to the movement of substances | 11              | 10^−18    | *CG33298*, *CG31729*, *CG42321*, *CG9663*, *Atet*, *CG2316*, *Vha68-2*, *Vha26*, *VhaAC39*, *blw*, *rdgB* |
| Substrate-specific transmembrane transporter          | 15              | 10^−16    | *Sh*, *Hk*, *Caβ*, *Caβ1D*, *lh*, *Picot*, *I2078717*, *hoe1*, *CG1732*, *VACHT*, *CG11537*, *CG119060*, *Best1* |
| Phosphoprotein phosphatase activity                   | 10              | 10^−16    | *Mbs*, *alph*, *mhs*, *tws*, *csw*, *puc*, *Mkp3*, *Ptp99A*, *ia2*, *1G0232* |
| Ribonucleoprotein complex                            | 11              | 10^−15    | *RlpL8*, *RlpL30*, *RlpL41*, *RlpL11*, *Rps8*, *RPS3A*, *Rps7*, *Rps11*, *Teh3*, *mRps14*, *elF-4E* |
| GTPase activity                                      | 7               | 10^−12    | *El2b*, *Elf1a48D*, *ElF5B*, *Rab9*, *CG2017*, *Rala*, *sar1* |
| Voltage-gated channel activity                        | 5               | 10^−8     | *Sh*, *Hk*, *Caβ*, *Caα1D*, *lh* |
| Transcription corepressor activity                    | 5               | 10^−8     | *Smr*, *emc*, *Dsp1*, *per*, *CtBP* |
| Microtubule binding                                  | 5               | 10^−8     | *Jupiter*, *futsch*, *nuf*, *tacc*, *shot* |
At least 33% of cycling CLK direct targets show oscillating Pol II

To test whether the binding of these three circadian transcription factors also leads to rhythmic transcriptional activation, we examined Pol II occupancy. Pol II ChIP–chips with an antibody recognizing the entire Pol II holoenzyme were performed from the same six time points of *Drosophila* head chromatin used for CLK and PER ChIP–chips. Using the methods described above, ~6000 peaks of statistically significant Pol II binding were identified. Most are prominent signals at the 5' ends of genes and resemble those characterized as stalled or poised Pol II in *Drosophila* tissue culture cells and in embryos [Muse et al. 2007; Zeitlinger et al. 2007]. Visual inspection also revealed signals throughout some abundantly transcribed genes as well as peaks of Pol II at the 3' ends of some genes (data not shown).

To assess Pol II cycling, we screened all cycling CLK direct target genes (~800 genes, including both the 500 mapped genes and the ~300 genes that are mapped to more than one gene) using a combination of visual inspection and computation [see the Materials and Methods]. Unlike ChIPs for CLK, PER, and CYC, where signal may reflect binding in specific tissues, Pol II ChIPs may be more similar to assaying mRNA; a cycling signal may be masked by strong Pol II signal in another tissue. For example, if a gene is a CLK target in one tissue but is expressed independently of CLK in another, CLK, PER, and CYC would bind to the gene and visibly cycle in only the first tissue, but the Pol II signal would be a combination of cycling expression in the first tissue and constitutive expression in others [with invisible Pol II cycling]. Despite this limitation, 267 CLK direct target genes (33%) had detectable cycling Pol II. Pol II was either present throughout the ORF [47 genes], promoter-proximal [194 genes], or both [26 genes]. The 73 genes that show cycling Pol II throughout their ORFs, presumably elongating Pol II, include a number of highly transcribed genes and most core clock genes [e.g., *pdp1*] [Fig. 3A]. As previously shown for *tim* and *per*, these profiles show that transcription is relative “OFF” in the late night and early morning (ZT18, ZT22, and ZT2) and then relatively “ON” from ZT6 to ZT10 [Taylor and Hardin 2008; Menet et al. 2010]. This phase of Pol II cycling is also nearly identical on most of those genes with promoter-proximal Pol II. For example, *pdp1* and *dbt* show cycling Pol II at their promoters/start sites, which is maximal at ZT10 [Fig. 3A,B]. To look at Pol II promoter-proximal cycling more broadly, we identified cycling Pol II peaks that overlap with CLK peaks and double-plotted Pol II ChIP signals across circadian time as a heat map [Fig. 3C]. Although Pol II phase is more widely distributed than that of CLK, peak signals [Z-score 1.5–2] [Fig. 3C, yellow] are between ZT6 and ZT14 in most cases. This corresponds to the time of peak circadian transcription [ZT6–ZT12] [So and Rosbash 1997; Menet et al. 2010].

Does CLK binding correlate with mRNA cycling? To address this question, we compared the distribution of cycling mRNAs between the whole *Drosophila* genome and the mapped 500 CLK direct targets. Only 1.4% of all mRNAs in the genome were consistently identified as cycling, i.e., in at least four of six microarray studies [Fig. 4; McDonald and Rosbash 2001; Wijnen et al. 2006; Kadener et al. 2007]. In contrast, 7% of CLK direct targets cycle in at least four of these studies [difference with 1.4%, *P*-value <0.0001]. A much larger fraction of all *Drosophila* genes, ~43%, were inconsistently identified as cycling [i.e., identified in one, two, or three microarray studies], whereas an even larger fraction of CLK direct targets, 62%, were in this category [difference with 43%; *P*-value <0.0001].

To directly evaluate the transcription of CLK target genes, we examined mRNAs by quantitative RT–PCR [qRT–PCR] from 10 CLK direct target genes. Their rank of CLK ChIP signal ranged from #7 to #322 [see Supplemental Table 1], and they showed Pol II cycling in either their promoters [seven genes] or in their ORFs [three genes]. We also examined 10 CLK direct target genes without detectable Pol II cycling.

Only two of 10 CLK direct targets genes without cycling Pol II showed mRNA cycling [Supplemental Fig. 4]. In contrast, eight of the 10 CLK direct target genes with cycling Pol II showed cycling mRNA levels with amplitudes between twofold and threefold and peak expression at approximately ZT14, suggesting that cycling Pol II via ChIP indeed correlates with cycling transcription [Supplemental Fig. 5]. Because seven of these eight genes were identified in less than two microarray studies, it is likely that the relatively low cycling amplitude [twofold to threefold], combined with some experimental variability, may make cycling mRNAs more difficult to detect by Affymetrix microarrays than by PCR [see the Discussion]. The other two genes, *dbt* and *lim1*, showed oscillating mRNA, but with amplitudes just below the cycling cutoff [1.4-fold] [Supplemental Fig. 5].

Another possible explanation for the low frequency of microarray-detectable mRNA cycling among CLK direct targets is isoform specificity, as observed for *pdp1* [Fig. 1A]. Indeed, CLK direct targets are enriched for alternative starts when compared with the whole genome (55% of the mapped 500 vs. 13.75% of the genome; *P*-value <0.0001), and visual inspection indicates that many CLK-binding sites appear linked to specific transcription start sites. For example, *moe* and *mnt* [identified in either one or zero microarray studies, respectively] have apparent isoform-specific CLK binding [Fig. 5A,B]. To test for *moe* and *mnt* isoform-specific mRNA cycling, RNA was assayed by qRT–PCR. The major CLK-bound isoforms are cycling with amplitudes of approximately threefold, whereas other isoforms are not [Figs. 5C,D]. Importantly, this distinction could go undetected in microarray studies where only the 3' ends of transcripts are assayed.

Approximately 1500 CLK direct targets sum
CLK binding from multiple tissues

A third possible explanation for the poor mRNA cycling of CLK direct target genes is the tissue heterogeneity of fly heads. Perhaps CLK binding and cycling transcription
occurs in one location, whereas much more active but temporally constant transcription takes place in another. To test this possibility, we performed head chromatin CLK ChIP from an eyeless strain. Previous studies have shown that a single copy of GMR-hid causes a complete loss of eye tissue with little or no effect on circadian behavior (Malpel et al. 2004). GMR-hid flies expressing two extra copies of dCLK-V5 were harvested at ZT14 and used for CLK ChIP–chip (see the Materials and Methods). By comparing CLK peaks in control and GMR-hid flies, we were able to identify putative CLK targets only in the eye, predominantly absent from the eye, and in the eye as well as other head tissues.

Forty-four percent of CLK direct target genes are no longer detectable in GMR-hid chromatin (see the Materials and Methods). For example, both gol and mnt show dramatically reduced CLK ChIP signals (Fig. 6A,B). Moreover, qRT–PCR shows that both Mnt and Gol mRNA cycles in wild-type flies, but that mRNA levels decrease dramatically in GMR-hid flies, indicating that these genes are expressed predominantly in the eye (Supplemental Fig. 6; data not shown). However, many apparently eye-specific CLK targets are not expressed solely or even predominantly in the eye (data not shown), suggesting that they are CLK direct targets in the eye but are probably expressed by other transcription factors elsewhere in the head.

In contrast to putative eye CLK-binding genes, ~20% of CLK-binding sites are unchanged or even increase in GMR-hid compared with the control strain. Two examples are the transcription factors lim1 and crp (Fig. 6C,D), which are therefore putative CLK targets in non-eye tissue only. Interestingly, a previous study showed that lim1 mRNA is highly enriched in a subset of circadian neurons [Kula-Eversole et al. 2010], the l-LNv, raising the possibility that this is one of the tissues in which CLK binds to lim1.

The remaining ~40% of direct target genes show intermediate decreases in CLK binding upon eye ablation, suggesting that CLK associates with these genes in the eyes as well as in other head tissues. This category not
changes between known to undergo mRNA cycling in the eyes as well as in surprisingly includes the core clock genes, as they are significant (CLK direct targets and the genome as a whole is statistically enriched in cycling genes (identified in four or more studies) as well as genes inconsistently identified to cycle (identified in one, two, or three independent studies) or not cycling (never identified in a study). The graph shows the distribution of all of the genes in the Drosophila genome (gray) or CLK direct target genes (black, only those with cycling binding) into these categories. CLK direct targets are enriched in cycling genes (identified in four or more studies) as well as genes inconsistently identified to cycle (identified in one, two, or three studies). They also show a substantial decrease in the number of genes not identified as cycling in any of the six studies. Double asterisks indicate that the difference between CLK direct targets and the genome as a whole is statistically significant (P-value <10^-4).

Figure 4. CLK direct targets are enriched for cycling genes.

Six different circadian microarray data sets were analyzed and cycling genes were identified. We categorized genes as cycling (identified in four, five, or six independent studies), inconsistently cycling (identified in one, two, or three independent studies) or not cycling (never identified in a study). The graph shows the distribution of all of the genes in the Drosophila genome (gray) or CLK direct target genes (black, only those with cycling binding) into these categories. CLK direct targets are enriched in cycling genes (identified in four or more studies) as well as genes inconsistently identified to cycle (identified in one, two, or three studies). They also show a substantial decrease in the number of genes not identified as cycling in any of the six studies. Double asterisks indicate that the difference between CLK direct targets and the genome as a whole is statistically significant (P-value <10^-4).

Discussion

Previous circadian models in Drosophila suggested a transcriptional cascade in which CLK directly controls a limited number of genes, including core clock genes, which then drive the oscillating expression of many different output genes. The results of this study indicate that CLK directly regulates not only the five core clock genes [i.e., pdp1, vri, tim, per, and cwo], but also many output genes, including ~60 additional transcription factors. Some of these are tissue-specific; e.g., lim1 and ctp [Table 1; Fig. 6]. In addition, CLK direct target gene regulation may impact timekeeping in yet unforeseen ways. For example, CLK, PER, and CYC bind to three of the four known circadian kinases; i.e., dbt [rank #41], nmo [rank #48], and sgg (rank #794) [Martinek et al. 2001; Muskus et al. 2007; Chiu et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2011]. Although none of these mRNAs have been previously reported to cycle, both dbt and sgg have cycling Pol II [Fig. 3B; data not shown], and dbt shows weak oscillations via qRT–PCR (Supplemental Fig. 5). nmo expression is enriched in circadian neurons and has been shown to cycle in l-LNvs [Kula-Eversole et al. 2010]. The data, taken together, indicate that this simple ChIP–chip strategy has uncovered important relationships and suggest that the transcriptional regulation of some of these new target genes warrants further investigation.

Most of the 1500 CLK direct target genes are also bound by two other circadian transcription factors: CYC and PER. Because a previous study from our laboratory showed that there is a progressive, ordered recruitment of CLK, Pol II, and PER on per and tim [Menet et al. 2010], the same basic mechanism is conserved on most CLK direct targets. CLK binding increases in late morning and gives rise to an increase in Pol II signal where detectable (ZT6–ZT10). CLK binding is maximal in the early night (ZT14), and both CLK binding and Pol II occupancy decrease rapidly after the repressor PER is bound to chromatin 4–6 h later, at ZT18 [Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. 3]. Interestingly, PER binds to nearly all CLK direct targets at the identical CLK/CYC locations, suggesting PER recruitment through protein–protein interactions [Fig. 2A,B; Menet et al. 2010].

The identical binding sites for CLK, CYC, and PER suggest that binding is not background binding or “sterile” binding with no functional consequence. This is because three components of the circadian transcription machinery are present with proper temporal regulation. Pol II cycling on ~30% of cycling CLK targets further supports this interpretation. The Pol II signal is maximal from mid- to late morning (ZT6–ZT10), which slightly anticipates the maximal transcription times of core circadian genes like per and tim [So and Rosbash 1997; Menet et al. 2010]. Most Pol II signals are promoter-proximal and may reflect poised Pol II complexes often found on genes that respond quickly to environmental stimuli [Rougvie and Lis 1988; Kim et al. 2005; Muse et al. 2007; Saha et al. 2011].

To address RNA cycling, we examined 10 direct target genes with Pol II cycling. Eight of these genes show oscillating mRNA with >1.5-fold amplitude, suggesting that oscillating Pol II indeed reflects cycling transcription. Because this assay may underestimate cycling transcription due to tissue heterogeneity [i.e., masking by non-cycling gene expression elsewhere in the head], ~30% is a minimal estimate of CLK direct targets with cyclical mRNA.

Interestingly, previous microarray studies did not detect many of these genes [Fig. 4]. One possibility is that the alternative start sites that characterize 55% of CLK direct targets are not detectable on microarrays; e.g., moe and mnt [Fig. 5]. However, several mRNAs that cycle robustly by qRT–PCR are not isoform-specific and are also not consistently identified in microarray studies. A second possibility is that the relatively low cycling amplitude of many target genes—two- or less compared with the much greater amplitudes of core clock genes such as tim (10-fold), per (15-fold), and pdp1 (20-fold) assayed in parallel [data not shown]—may be more difficult to detect on microarrays.

Low-amplitude cycling may result from relatively stable mRNA, which will dampen the amplitude of cycling
transcription. Alternatively, or in addition, low-amplitude cycling may reflect cycling in one head location and noncycling elsewhere within the head, which will dampen head RNA cycling amplitude. This is likely for many eyespecific CLK targets, which appear expressed elsewhere in the head via a CLK-independent mechanism.

A third and arguably more interesting explanation for low-amplitude cycling is that CLK binds on promoters with other transcription factors within single tissues. These could include chromatin modifiers and would function together with CLK in a gene- and tissue-specific fashion. For example, a gene could be constitutively expressed at a basal level by one transcription factor, with temporal CLK binding causing a modest boost to transcription. For example, *gol* is a CLK target exclusively in the eye, and *gol* mRNA cycles with a fourfold amplitude (Supplemental Fig. 5). Rather than cycling from “OFF” [no or very low mRNA levels] to “ON,” however, *gol* mRNA levels are quite high even at the trough or lowest time points [data not shown]. This suggests that *gol* cycles from a substantial basal level in the late night and daytime to an even higher level of expression in the evening and early night. Since mRNA levels decrease by >10-fold in *GMR-hid* flies [Supplemental Fig. 6], trough transcription levels are not likely from other tissues. Therefore, CLK probably acts on *gol* and other targets not as an “ON/OFF switch,” but rather in concert with other factors to boost a basal level of gene expression at a particular time of day and cause low-amplitude cycling within a single tissue.

The large number of CLK target genes in fly heads is explained in part by tissue-specific CLK binding. Transcription assays that measure the cycling of mRNA and Pol II binding in one head tissue can be masked by noncycling expression in another. The ChIP assays, in contrast, are not plagued with the same problem. They can identify a gene bound by the cycling circadian transcription machinery even if the same gene is constitutively expressed elsewhere in the head. Surprisingly 44% of CLK direct targets were no longer detected when eyes were ablated with *GMR-hid* (e.g., Fig. 6). Because many of these mRNAs are not particularly eye-enriched [data not shown], we infer that their genes are constitutively expressed under the control of other transcription factors elsewhere in the head.

The large number of target genes is also explained by the efficiency and sensitivity of the ChIP assay. We infer

Figure 5. CLK binding results in isoform-specific mRNA cycling. Fifty-five percent of CLK direct targets have alternative start sites. (A) CLK binds to both the short [isoform B] and long isoforms of *moe* at ZT14. (B) CLK binds to the short isoform [isoform B] of *mnt* at ZT14. (C) mRNA levels at six time points throughout the day are double-plotted and show that the mRNA resulting from isoform B of *moe* cycles with a peak amplitude at ZT14 [triangles; solid line]. In contrast, mRNAs resulting from the longer isoforms [isoforms D–J] of *moe* do not cycle [squares; dashed line]. (D) mRNA levels at six time points throughout the day are double-plotted and show that the mRNA resulting from isoform B of *mnt* cycles with a peak amplitude at ZT14 [triangles; solid line]. In contrast, mRNAs resulting from the longer isoforms [isoforms A and C] of *mnt* do not cycle [squares; dashed line].
that it can detect CLK binding from a relatively low number of cells within the fly head. *Lim1* is one example and is expressed predominantly in a subset of circadian neurons (l-LNvs; enriched more than four times relative to head) (Kula-Eversole et al. 2010). Preliminary cell-specific CLK ChIP–chip experiments from LNvs confirm that *lim1* is an enriched CLK direct target in these cells (data not shown), suggesting that this is the source of a large fraction of the binding signal in the head ChIP–chip experiments. Experiments are under way to more clearly define circadian neuron-specific CLK-binding patterns.

This tissue specificity also suggests the existence of factors and/or chromatin modifications that help regulate CLK-mediated gene expression. They could enable CLK binding to specific genes in one tissue or inhibit binding in another tissue. These tissue-specific factors are strongly indicated by the *pdp1* and *lk6* CLK-binding patterns, which change so strikingly and specifically in *GMR-hid*. Although not unprecedented (Slattery et al. 2011), tissue-specific factors that enable or inhibit specific DNA-binding locations are intriguing and warrant further investigation and identification.

Materials and methods

Transgenic fly construction and crosses

The following fly strains were used: *yw, yw*, WT *dCLK-V5* (Kadener et al. 2008). To generate *yw, GMR-hid/cyo; dCLK-V5* flies, *yw, dCLK-V5* flies were crossed to *yw,GMR-hid/cyo* flies (Grether et al. 1995) (Bloomington Stock Center no. 5771). CYC-Flag transgenic flies were generated by injecting *yw* embryos with *pCasPeR4.0 cyc7.2-3xFlag* (BestGene, Inc.). *pCasPeR4.0 cyc7.2-3xFlag* was generated in several steps using PCR to amplify a 7176-base-pair (bp) sequence of *cyc* (cyc7.2) from *yw* genomic DNA. A 5147-bp fragment beginning 2 kb upstream of the cyc transcription start site (+1) and ending 1 kb downstream from the 3΄ untranslated region (UTR) (+3147) was amplified in
two steps and cloned into the pBS vector. A fragment from –2000 to +1932 was ligated into pBS using SmaI/EcoRI (+1932). A second fragment spanning from +1932 [EcoRI] to +3147 [NotI, 1.2-kb fragment] was ligated into the same vector. The sequence encoding 3X Flag tag was inserted before the stop codon at the C terminus using overlap PCR to generate pBS-cyc1547-3xFlag. This vector was then digested with NcoI [–1635] and NotI to release a 4.7-kb fragment of cyc. Another 2.5-kb fragment (–4030 to –1566) of cyc upstream sequence was amplified by PCR and digested by KpnI/NcoI [–1635]. The KpnI/NcoI (2.5 kb) PCR product and the NcoI/NotI (4.7-kb) fragment release from pBS were cloned into pCasPeR4.0, resulting in the pCasPeR4.0 cyc7.2-3xFlag vector. This vector was verified by sequencing. The cyc7.2-3xFlag transgene rescues the arrhythmicity of cyc;[period of 24.0 h in DD [constant darkness]] [data not shown].

ChIP–chips

Yw;;dCLK-V5 flies were entrained for 3 d in 12 h:12 h light:dark cycles and then harvested every 4 h for a total of six time points. ChIPs for CLK, PER, and Pol II were performed from the same chromatin samples as previously described [Menet et al. 2010]. Three independent CYC ChIPs were performed at ZT14 as previously described, except that anti-Flag M2 affinity gel [Sigma] was used for the immunoprecipitation [Menet et al. 2010]. Tiling arrays were performed as described previously [Menet et al. 2010].

ChIP–chip data analysis

To identify significant peaks of CLK, CYC, and PER, and Pol II binding throughout the genome, Affymetrix CEL files for both input and immunoprecipitation samples from two or more independent experiments were analyzed using MAT [Johnson et al. 2006]. This analysis assigns each peak a MAT score that is a statistical value describing the likelihood that a particular genomic region is enriched in the immunoprecipitation relative to the input sample. It is this value that we refer to as the “ChIP signal.” Peaks were considered significant if they have a P-value of < 10^-4 at any of the six time points [for CLK, PER, and Pol II] or at the time point the experiment was performed [CYC]. The resulting peaks were consolidated by grouping overlapping peaks together. Peaks were preliminarily mapped to genes using an algorithm that assigned each peak to the gene [ORF] it was in. If the peak was not in an ORF, it was then assigned to the two nearest genes. This method led to ~20% of peaks being mapped inaccurately. For example, a peak in the 3' end of an ORF on the top strand and in the promoter of a gene on the bottom strand would be inappropriately mapped to the ORF on the top strand. To ensure that the peaks were mapped as correctly as possible, all peaks shown to be cycling [see below] were visually inspected and mapped to the nearest promoter or promoters. If a peak was >2000 bp from a promoter, it was considered to be intergenic. The results of the automated mapping as well as the visual mapping are both listed in Supplemental Table 1.

The resulting list of CLK peaks was further analyzed to remove any possible background peaks [Supplemental Fig. 1]. First, we removed any peaks that were statistically significant only when both CLK ChIP–chip data sets were analyzed together, but not when they were analyzed independently. Second, we removed any peaks found to be statistically significant when we performed anti-V5 ChIP in a wild-type [yw; no V5 tag present] background. Finally, peaks showing cyclical CLK binding were identified using a Fourier analysis that compares the pattern of CLK binding with a sine wave with a 24-h period and assigns each peak a F24 score, which reflects how well the values match the curve. In this study, a peak was considered cycling if it had a F24 score of >0.7 and a P-value of <0.05 [after 10,000 iterations] [Wijnen et al. 2005]. The resulting ~800 cycling CLK peaks were then inspected visually [see above].

To identify peaks of CYC and PER binding that overlapped with CLK binding, statistically significant peaks of CYC and PER were cross-referenced to the list of statistically significant CLK peaks to identify overlapping peaks [any percentage of overlap was considered as “overlapping”]. In addition, the ChIP–chip signals of CLK, CYC, and PER from the region [+2000 bp] from the center of the CLK peaks were extracted, transformed into log2 scale, and plotted as a heat map using heatmap.2 in R [Fig. 2B].

To identify peaks of cycling Pol II, we used two approaches. First, we analyzed those significant peaks of Pol II binding using a Fourier analysis [see above] to identify cycling peaks. This list was then cross-referenced to a list of significant cycling CLK peaks both by overlapping location and by gene name to identify those CLK direct targets that had cycling Pol II. Second, we performed a visual inspection of Pol II on all cycling CLK direct target genes to [1] determine the location of the cycling peak, [2] verify the correct annotation of the Pol II peak [see above], [3] verify the computational cycling analysis, and [4] look for peaks not found in the computational analysis due to peak consolidation, which often made some cycling peaks undetectable via Fourier analysis. To be classified as cycling by visual analysis, a peak had to cycle with an amplitude >2 and have two or more high time points.

To identify how CLK binding changes when eyes are abladed in GMR-hid, CLK ChIP–chips were performed on heads from yw;; WT dCLK-V5 [wt] and GMR-hid; dCLK-V5 [GMR-hid] at ZT14 as previously described [Menet et al. 2010]. Affymetrix CEL files from both yw;; WT dCLK-V5 [wild type] and GMR-hid; dCLK-V5 [GMR-hid] were analyzed using both MAT [Johnson et al. 2006] and Cisgenom2,0 [Ji et al. 2008]. Since the output of MAT is a statistical value and not a linear scale, we used values of CLK ChIP signal generated using Cisgenom2.0 to better compare the amount of CLK binding in wild-type and GMR-hid by heads. A ratio of CLK ChIP signal was calculated and peaks were classified as either [1] missing in GMR-hid [no detectable peak at all], [2] unchanged in GMR-hid [CLK ChIP signal in GMR-hid was >90% of the signal in wild type], or [3] intermediate effects. To generate Figure 6, CLK ChIP signals [from MAT analysis] from the region [+2000 bp] from the center of the CLK peaks was extracted and plotted to show the difference in CLK ChIP signal between wild type and GMR-hid.

Microarray analysis

to determine whether CLK direct targets are enriched for genes that have cycling mRNAs, data from six different sets of circadian microarray studies from two different laboratories were normalized together using GCRMA in R [McDonald and Rosbash 2001; Wijnen et al. 2006; Kadener et al. 2007]. Each of the six studies were analyzed separately, and cycling mRNAs were identified as those that have an F24 of at least 0.7 and an amplitude of at least 1.5-fold. Despite the uniform analysis, there was only limited overlap in the identified cycling transcripts. We categorized genes as cycling [identified in four, five, or six studies], inconsistently cycling [identified in one, two, or three studies], or not cycling [never identified as cycling] in order to examine whether CLK direct targets have cycling mRNAs.

RNA isolation and qRT–PCR

Total RNA was isolated from fly heads using Trizol reagent [Invitrogen] and were DNase-treated using Turbo DNA-free
Data availability

Affymetrix microarray data for all of the ChIP–chips performed in this study will be available at Gene Expression Omnibus [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo], accession number GSE32613.
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