Regulation of redox balance in cancer and T cells
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Abstract

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) mediate redox signaling necessary for numerous cellular functions. Yet, high levels of ROS in cells and tissues can cause damage and cell death. Therefore, regulation of redox homeostasis is essential for ROS-dependent signaling that does not incur cellular damage. Cells achieve this optimal balance by coordinating ROS production and elimination. In this review, we discuss the mechanisms by which proliferating cancer and T cells maintain a carefully controlled redox balance. Greater insight into such redox biology may enable precisely targeted manipulation of ROS for effective medical therapies against cancer or immunological disorders.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are oxygen (O)−containing molecules with higher chemical reactivity than molecular oxygen (O2) itself. ROS are generated upon partial reduction of O2, and include superoxide anion (O2−), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and hydroxyl radical (OH•). Due to their reactivity towards lipid, protein, and DNA, ROS have traditionally been considered solely as toxic molecules associated with oxidative damage. However, over the past two decades, there has been growing appreciation for the role of H2O2 as a secondary messenger in cellular signaling. Though very high levels of ROS, especially OH•, produce oxidative damage and cell death, a pool of spatially localized and moderate concentration of ROS are required for many biological processes including cell survival, death, proliferation, and immune responses (1-3). Therefore, cells maintain an optimal concentration and localization of intracellular ROS that support necessary signaling pathways without causing cellular damage and death. Under physiological conditions, such redox homeostasis is achieved by the careful control of both ROS production and elimination.

In recent years, growing interest towards tumor redox biology has highlighted a unique state of redox homeostasis in cancer cells that support their pathological proliferation, proposing modulation of ROS as a promising anti-cancer therapy. On the other hand, development of cancer immunotherapies has emphasized the significance of immune cell, especially T cell, -regulatory pathways of tumors. However, the current understanding on the redox balance in T cells is limited, challenging the prediction on how anti- or pro-oxidants would affect the antitumor immunity. Therefore, understanding of redox biology in both cancer and T cells is essential for the progress in treatments against cancer, and furthermore, immune disorders. In this review, we discuss the molecular mechanisms by which cancer and T cells maintain redox homeostasis.

Production of ROS

The two main sources of intracellular ROS are mitochondria and NADPH oxidases (NOXs) (Fig. 1) (4, 5). Mammalian mitochondria have 11 known sites that produce O2−. These sites include electron transport chain (ETC) complex I and III, which are best characterized for their role in redox signaling (4, 6). During aerobic respiration, the ETC complexes relay electrons from nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and dihydroflavin...
adenine dinucleotide (FADH₂) to complex IV, where the final electron acceptor, O₂, is reduced to water (H₂O). However, a small percentage (<0.5%) of electrons escape from the chain, and non-enzymatically react with O₂ to generate O₂• (7). The O₂• from complex I and III is released into mitochondrial matrix. Complex III also releases O₂• into mitochondrial intermembrane space where the O₂• traverses through the voltage dependent anion channels (VDAC) into cytoplasm (Fig. 1) (6, 8).

Several factors regulate generation of mitochondrial ROS (mROS) to the times, levels, and locations necessary for cellular signaling. The rate at which mROS is produced depends on kinetic and thermodynamic determinants including concentration of electron carriers within the ETC, redox state of the electron carriers, availability of mitochondrial O₂, and proximity of O₂ to electron carriers (6). Mitochondrial membrane potential (ψ) is another crucial regulator of mROS production rate. ψ is required for mROS generation (9), despite that ψ and mROS levels are negatively correlated (10). The production and release of mROS can be augmented by diverse signaling factors including hypoxia, thermogenesis, nutrient metabolites, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), SHC-transforming protein 1, and toll-like receptors (10-15). Additionally, mitochondria are dynamic organelles that constantly move inside the cells. In response to cellular signals, therefore, mitochondria can redistribute in order to form localized pools of mROS that influence signaling pathways (16).

In addition to mitochondria, the NOX protein family is another major producer of intracellular ROS. These transmembrane proteins catalyze the transfer of electrons from nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) to O₂, generating O₂• (Fig. 1). NOX isoforms are present in the plasma membrane, as well as intracellular membranes of the nucleus, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum. Depending on its location, a NOX can release O₂• into intracellular or extracellular space (5). The ROS production by NOX depends on the assembly of functional NOX complex. This process is regulated by availability of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), an essential prosthetic group of NOX enzyme (17). Additionally, activation of small guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-binding proteins, protein phosphorylation, and intracellular calcium concentration control incorporation of accessory subunits required for the enzymatic activity of NOX (5). NOX complex can be activated upon cellular signaling by insulin, growth factors, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), angiotensin and toll-like receptors (5, 18-20). NOX isoform activation occurs within discrete subcellular compartments, and such spatial organization of NOX is necessary for ROS-mediated signaling (21).

**Elimination of ROS**

Cells utilize robust antioxidant defense systems to maintain ROS levels. Mitochondria and NOX generate O₂•, a free radical capable of damaging iron-sulfur cluster-containing proteins (22). The primary cellular defense against O₂• accumulation is superoxide dismutase (SOD), which rapidly converts O₂• to H₂O₂ (Fig. 1), keeping the intracellular O₂• level extremely low. Different SOD isoforms reside in specific subcellular compartments; SOD1 in cytosol, SOD2 in mitochondria, and SOD3 in extracellular matrix (23).

The removal of O₂• results in the formation of H₂O₂. Accumulation of H₂O₂ can also be deleterious as it can disrupt cellular signaling. Furthermore, when in excess, H₂O₂ can react with ferrous and cuprous ions, which yields OH•, a strong oxidant that can irreversibly damage lipids, protein and DNA (24). Therefore, cells have multiple antioxidant systems to regulate intracellular H₂O₂ levels, including peroxiredoxin (PRX) / thioredoxin (TRX) system, and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) / glutathione (GSH) system. PRX is a H₂O₂ scavenger, which works in concert with TRX to relay a series of redox reactions in order to reduce H₂O₂. In the first reaction, cysteine residues of PRX undergo oxidation by H₂O₂, reducing H₂O₂ to H₂O. The process removes H₂O₂ but inactivates the PRX. In the second reaction, the cysteine residues of TRX are oxidized as the inactivated PRX is reduced and reactivated. Finally, the oxidized and inactivated TRX is reduced by thioredoxin reductase, which is fueled by the oxidation of a reducing equivalent.
NADPH (25). GPX is another $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ scavenger. Similar to the PRX and TRX, GPX and GSH cooperate to detoxify $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to $\text{H}_2\text{O}$. This process yields an oxidized GSH (GSSG), which is subsequently reduced by glutathione reductase (GR) and NADPH (26). NADPH is generated by a closely regulated metabolic network of pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), one-carbon metabolism, isocitrate dehydrogenases (IDHs), and malic enzymes (MEs) (Fig. 1) (7). Importantly, protein families of PRX and GPX are widely distributed throughout the cells: In mammalian cells, six isoforms of PRXs and eight isoforms of GPXs have distinct cellular localization including cytosol, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, peroxisomes and extracellular space (25, 27). As a result, cells have robust antioxidant systems throughout the cell, by which SODs convert $\text{O}_2^-$ to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, and PRXs and GPXs reduce $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to $\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

To effectively manage the intracellular ROS in temporal, quantitative, and spatial manner, antioxidants are regulated at both mRNA expression and protein enzymatic activity level. One of the major regulators of the antioxidants is nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor (NRF2) (Fig. 1). Under homeostatic conditions, this transcription factor is constitutively ubiquitinated for degradation by Kelch-like ECH-associated protein (KEAP)-1 and Cullin (CUL)-3 E3 ligase complex. However, upon accumulation of ROS, KEAP1 is oxidized by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, thereby inhibiting its ability to ubiquitinate NRF2. As a result, NRF2 is stabilized and translocated into the nucleus, where it binds antioxidant-responsive elements (AREs) within regulatory regions of genes whose protein products are involved in many different antioxidant systems (28). NRF2 is responsible for the synthesis, regeneration and utilization of GSH, as it regulates the expression of GR, glutamate cysteine ligase (GCL, catalyzes the first step of de novo GSH synthesis), solute carrier family 7 member 11 ( SLC7A11, imports cysteine, a building block of GSH), and GPX2 (a GPX isoform expressed in gastrointestinal system) (29-31). NRF2 also supports the PRX/TRX system by promoting transcription of isoforms PRX1 and TRX1 (32, 33). Importantly, NRF2 induces the expression of multiple metabolic enzymes involved in production of NADPH (34). Thus, the extensive array of antioxidant pathways controlled by NRF2 makes NRF2 arguably the master regulator of antioxidants.

**Signaling of ROS**

$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is the most stable form of ROS with an ability to freely diffuse through membranes. Such characteristic makes $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ an ideal intracellular signaling molecule. Indeed, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ mediates signal transduction by selectively oxidizing cysteine residues within proteins, leading to alteration of their structure, and importantly, activity (35). One of the determinants of the specificity of oxidation necessary for this process is logarithmic acid dissociation constant (pKa) of the cysteine residues. Under physiological pH, the thiol group (SH) of cysteine residues with low pKa, exists as a thiolate ($\text{S}^-$), which is highly susceptible to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-mediated oxidation (36). The oxidation process can generate reversible modifications of $\text{S}^-$ including sulfinic acid (SOH), disulfide bonds (S–S) (Fig. 1), and sulfenamide (S–N). The oxidized forms of $\text{S}^-$ can be reduced back by TRX and glutaredoxin (GRX) (35). The best-characterized targets of this redox-regulation include phosphatases, kinases, and antioxidants (37, 38).

The molecular mechanisms by which the ROS producers and antioxidant systems coordinate to conduct redox signaling is an important research area. There are two proposed mechanisms; redox relay, and floodgate. In redox relay model, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ scavengers PRX or GPX act as primary $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ receptors that specifically transfers the oxidation to the redox-regulated target protein (35). For example, a cytoplasmic PRX isoform PRX2 gets oxidized by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, and subsequently transfers this oxidizing equivalent to signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)-3, inhibiting its transcriptional activity (39). The floodgate model hypothesizes that “flooding” the proximity of a redox-regulated protein with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidizes and inactivates ROS scavengers in the area, thereby allowing $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ oxidation of the target (35). This model is evidenced by a redox signaling pathway activated in the adrenal cortex mitochondria during steroidogenesis. Upon generation of corticosterone, cytochrome P450 produces a localized pool of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, which oxidizes and
inactivates PRX3 leading to further accumulation of H₂O₂, P38 activation, and suppression of steroidogenesis (40). These models and examples suggest that the specificity and efficiency of redox signaling are dependent on precise organization of ROS producers and scavengers, further highlighting the significance of their regulatory mechanisms.

**Regulation of Redox Balance in Cancer Cells**

In various types of cancer cells, ROS support their survival, proliferation, and metastasis through activating pro-tumorigenic cellular signaling. The classic examples are phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) / protein kinase B (AKT), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) / extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), and hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α signaling (Fig. 2), wherein ROS oxidizes and inactivates their negative regulators; phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), MAPK phosphatase, and prolyl hydroxylase (PHD)-2, respectively (37, 41, 42). ROS also promote cancer cell proliferation through activation of nuclear factor κ-light chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) (Fig. 2). A recent study demonstrated that mROS activate protein kinase D (PKD)-1 and NF-κB to upregulate epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling, inducing formation of pancreatic pre-neoplastic lesions. The *in vivo* development of abnormal pancreatic structures was abrogated by a mitochondria-targeted antioxidant, mitoQ (43). In addition, ROS participate in a pro-metastatic signaling of protein tyrosine kinase Src / focal adhesion kinase Pyk2 pathway. mROS is required to upregulate the Src/Pyk2 signaling, leading to migration and invasion of different types of cancer cells. Importantly, administration of mROS scavenger, mitoTEMPO, prevents metastatic tumor dissemination of breast cancer xenograft (44). Therefore, ROS has causative impact on tumorigenesis and progression.

In order to drive the pro-tumorigenic redox signaling, cancer cells have elevated levels of intracellular ROS. Increased ROS production is instigated by acquisition of oncogenes such as the constitutively active isoforms of *Ras* (Fig. 2). Upon overexpression of *H-Ras*V12, human fibroblasts produce large amounts of O₂•⁻ by NOX (45). Furthermore, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts lacking functional P53, expression of *H-ras*V12 or *K-ras*V12 increases production of mROS (46). The oncogenic *K-ras* induced-mROS has been found to be necessary for the formation of lung adenocarcinoma, and pancreatic pre-neoplastic lesions (43, 46). The increase in ROS production can also be driven by tumor hypoxia (11). Additionally, cancer cells can further potentiate ROS production by downregulating antioxidant systems at the sites of ROS generation. Mitochondrial Sirtuin (SIRT)-3 deacetylates and activates mROS scavengers and other potential antioxidant enzymes (47). Thus, loss of SIRT3, as observed in many breast cancers, induce mROS accumulation, and HIF1-α stabilization (48).

Elevated intracellular ROS in cancer cells is also contingent on suppressing global antioxidant systems. Many tumor suppressors serve antioxidant functions in non-transformed cells. A redox-regulated DNA damage sensing protein, ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) kinase, can inhibit ROS production (49-51). An ATM-regulated tumor suppressor, breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein (BRCA1) interferes with KEAP1-mediated ubiquitination of NRF2, stabilizing and activating the master regulator of antioxidant systems (52). Tumor suppressor P53 is another potential activator of NRF2, and increases the expression of antioxidant enzymes including SOD2 and GPX1, as well as production of NADPH, the reducing equivalent necessary to reactivate antioxidant systems (53-55). It is important to note that P53 can also reduce the expression of SLC7A11, which uptakes cysteine for GSH synthesis, thereby playing a prooxidant role under certain physiological contexts (56). However, the antioxidant function of P53 has been found to be necessary for its ability to prevent cancer (57). Therefore, loss of tumor suppressors promotes tumorigenesis by elevating intracellular ROS levels (Fig. 2).

Paradoxically, cancer cells concomitantly elevate their antioxidant capacity, in order to buffer ROS from rising to levels that are toxic. Excessive amount of ROS can be detrimental to cancer cell viability and proliferation. Such vulnerability can
posit a great challenge during metastasis as cancer cells detached from extracellular matrix experience elevated ROS levels (58). Moreover, blood and viscera are oxidizing environments hostile to the survival and proliferation of circulating cancer cells (59). As a result, excess ROS limits metastasis as well as tumorigenesis. Consequently, upregulation of NRF2 has been observed in a broad spectrum of tumor types including skin, lung, pancreas, breast, ovarian, and prostate (Fig. 2). Such NRF2 dysregulation can be mediated by loss-of-function mutations in KEAP1, or gain-of-function mutations in NRF2 that allow constitutive stabilization of NRF2 (60). Acquisition of the K-Ras, Braf and Myc oncogenes can also activate NRF2 antioxidant program. Importantly, NRF2 is required for the pancreatic and lung tumorigenesis in vivo (61). This has led to investigations on targeting NRF2 dependent cancers. Indeed, a recent study used chemical proteomics to identify a NRF2 regulated protein, nuclear receptor subfamily 0 group B member 1 (NROB1), as a druggable target in KEAP1-null non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs) (62).

GSH is another important antioxidant molecule for cancer cells. Elevation of GSH has been observed in tissues of breast, ovarian, head and neck, and lung cancer (63) (Fig. 2). The abundant GSH in tumor tissues is supported by the increased cellular availability of its biosynthetic precursors; glutamate, glycine and cysteine. The cystine/glutamate antiporter SLC7A11, the main route of cysteine acquisition, is highly expressed in human tumors (56). Furthermore, glutamate cysteine ligase modifier subunit (GCLM), which is necessary for the efficient synthesis of GSH, is upregulated across multiple types of human cancer (64). Aside from the de novo biosynthesis, another process that affects the cellular GSH level is its regeneration: Oxidized GSSG is reduced back to GSH by GR and NADPH. To facilitate this process, cancer cells upregulate the production of NADPH, which will be discussed below. The elevation and maintenance of cellular GSH levels are essential for tumor initiation and proliferation (64, 65). Additionally, a GPX isoenzyme, GPX4, has been found to be required for the survival of therapy-resistant cancer cells, further highlighting the importance of GSH-mediated antioxidant pathways in cancer progression (Fig. 2) (66).

Cancer cells upregulate the metabolic pathways necessary to produce the reducing potential, NADPH (Fig. 2). Oxidative PPP, one of the major sources of NADPH, branches from glycolysis. Certain regulatory enzymes of glycolysis, including glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), Pyruvate kinase isofrom M2 (PKM2), and TP53 induced glycolysis regulatory phosphatase (TIGAR), can redirect glycolytic intermediates into oxidative PPP to generate the reducing potential (67-70). Interestingly, such upregulation of PPP by GAPDH and PKM2 is dependent on oxidation of specific cysteine residues (68, 69). The activators of PPP are often overexpressed in many types of cancer cells. Furthermore, the NADPH-generating / antioxidant capacity of TIGAR and PKM2 are essential for the development of intestinal and lung cancer, respectively (69, 70). On an important note, PKM2 can channel glycolytic precursors into another NADPH-generating pathway branching from glycolysis; one-carbon metabolism (71). One-carbon metabolism produces NADPH as phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) catalyzes serine biosynthesis, and serine hydroxymethyltransferase (SHMT) subsequently incorporates a carbon unit from the serine into folate cycle. In the folate cycle, 5,10-methenyl-THF is oxidized by methylene THF dehydrogenase (MTHFD), generating NADPH (72). When Myc-transformed cells are exposed to hypoxia, HIF1-α and MYC cooperatively increase expression of the mitochondrial isoform of SHMT, SHMT2. This facilitates production of mitochondrial NADPH, which contributes to taming the elevated mROS during hypoxia. Targeting SHMT2 reduced survival of the hypoxic transformed cells, which was rescued by an antioxidant, N-acetylcysteine (NAC) (73). This supports that hypoxic cancer cells upregulate one-carbon metabolism and mitochondrial NADPH level to evade ROS-induced cell death. The generation of mitochondrial NADPH is also important for metastasis. In anchorage-independent tumor spheroids, cytosolic IDH (IDH1), mitochondrial IDH (IDH2), and mitochondrial citrate transporter (CTP) allow
reductive carboxylation to generate NADPH in mitochondria. This process enables cells to maintain mitochondrial redox balance and evade the oxidative stress induced by detachment from extracellular matrix (74). Furthermore, folate cycle inhibition limits distant metastasis of melanoma cells in vivo (59), and targeting PHGDH abrogates the metabolic capacity of breast cancer cells (75).

Collectively, cancer cells expand both their prooxidant and antioxidant capacities. An example that illustrates the importance of this redox balance comes from the observation that adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-deficient intestinal cells use aberrant Wnt signaling to upregulate both mitochondrial and NOX-mediated ROS production, and TIGAR-mediated antioxidant defense (76, 77). Elimination of either pro-oxidant or antioxidant driver attenuates the proliferation of the APC-deficient intestinal crypts in vivo. Yet intriguingly, a simultaneous removal of both synergize to induce more severe proliferative defects (77). This suggest that NOX and TIGAR likely regulate independent ROS pools with opposing functions of pro-proliferation and anti-proliferation, respectively: NOX generates ROS that drive proliferation, while TIGAR limits the damaging ROS. The data is consistent with a model in which the ROS productions localized to the redox-regulated target proteins activate pro-tumorigenic signaling pathways, whereas the antioxidant pathways prevent accumulation of distant ROS from nonspecifically oxidizing macromolecules and inducing cell death (Fig. 2) (78). Further dissection of the molecular mechanisms regulating the redox balance in cancer cells with high spatial and temporal resolutions will provide a more comprehensive blueprint of the cancer redox biology.

Regulation of Redox Balance in T cells

T cells are critical for the establishment of host resistance against infectious agents or tumors. To initiate the T cell-mediated adaptive immunity, T cell receptors (TCRs) of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells engage with the peptide-major histocompatibility complex (MHC) ligands displayed by antigen-presenting cells. The TCR stimulation activates multiple signaling pathways and transcription factors, enabling T cells to proliferate, and acquire effector or regulatory functions. The T cell activation is accompanied by rapid generation of ROS (79), implying a crucial role of ROS in TCR signaling. This was first evidenced by an observation that primary T cells treated with antioxidants following TCR stimulation exhibit reduced interleukin (IL)-2 receptor expression and proliferation (80). Antioxidants also suppress the T cell expansion post viral infection in vivo (81). Interestingly, such immunosuppressive effect of antioxidants may be attributable to the necessity of redox signaling in MYC-dependent metabolic reprogramming. MYC is an essential transcription factor in activated T cells that upregulates glycolysis and mitochondrial metabolism to generate the biosynthetic intermediates and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) needed for cell growth and proliferation (82). A negative regulator of MYC, AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), has been found to be inhibited by ROS post-TCR engagement (83). Therefore, ROS potentially amplify the MYC signaling pathway, inducing the metabolic shift, thus the antigen-stimulated T cell expansion.

A major source of ROS production upon TCR stimulation is mitochondria (Fig. 3) (84). Indeed, the ROS generated by mitochondria are necessary for T cell activation and subsequent proliferation. TCR engagement induces a rapid influx of calcium to increase the release of mROS. T cells lacking complex III, one of the major sources of mROS, have limited activation of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), resulting in reduced IL-2 expression and proliferation (Fig. 3). Importantly, such phenotype is rescued by exogenous H2O2, whereas wild-type T cells treated with a mitochondria-targeted antioxidant mimic the complex III deficient T cells. These data strongly support that the complex III-generated mROS is required for the T cell response (85). In addition, mROS from complex I and glyceral-3-phosphate dehydrogenase contribute to IL-2 and IL-4 production through activation of NF-κB and activator protein (AP)-1 (86, 87). Furthermore, upon TCR stimulation, mitochondria translocate to immune synapses where increasing their generation of H2O2 is sufficient to potentiate the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and MAPK signaling
(88, 89). Taken together, mROS have a prominent signaling function during T cell activation.

NOXs also contribute to T cell activation. The elevation of ROS generation induced by TCR stimulation is partly dependent on a phagocyte-type NOX isoform, NOX2. NOX2 can be activated by the TCR triggered-mROS (84), thereby maintaining the ROS levels to sustain T cell activation. Additionally, Duox1, a nonphagocytic isoform of NOX, is an integral part of the redox signaling post-TCR stimulation. TCR engagement activates Duox1, which is required for the phosphorylation of zeta-chain-associated protein kinase (ZAP)-70 and ERK, leading to secretion of interferon (IFN)-γ, TNF-α, IL-4, and IL-10 (90).

Though ROS is required for the T cell activation and subsequent expansion, excessive levels of ROS can jeopardize their viability. In the context of viral infection, elevated ROS productions by NOXs in granulocytes and macrophages impede the survival of infiltrating T cells, thus the rate of viral clearance in vivo (91). Therefore, T cell functionality paradoxically depends on not only ROS, but also the capacities to limit ROS. Activated T cells restrict ROS flow across the mitochondrial permeability transition pores in order to prevent excess mROS from entering the cytosol. Deregulation of the pore permeability leads to increased cell death upon TCR stimulation (92), supporting the necessity of ROS compartmentalization during T cell activation.

Intracellular GSH is another antioxidant defense pivotal for T cell functionality, particularly for its antigen-stimulated proliferative response (Fig. 3). The GSH was first implicated in T cell proliferation when L-buthionine-(S,R)-sulfoximine (BSO), an inhibitor of de novo GSH biosynthesis, was found to induce T cell cytosis (93). Subsequently, it was uncovered that antigen-presenting dendritic cells in contact with T cells secrete cysteine, a precursor of GSH, to be taken up by the T cells. When the cysteine release is inhibited, T cells are unable to proliferate post-TCR stimulation (94), implying the importance of GSH synthesis in the T cell response. Indeed, the de novo GSH biosynthesis is required to induce metabolic rewiring needed for the activated T cells to undergo rapid proliferation. T cells lacking glutamate cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCLC), which is necessary for GSH synthesis, exhibit limited activation of NFAT and mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), resulting in dramatic decrease of MYC expression. Consequently, the GSH deficiency impairs MYC-dependent metabolic reprogramming (Fig. 3). With the un-fulfilled biosynthetic and bioenergetic needs, the GSH-deficient T cells fails to proliferate post-activation, and clear viral infection in vivo (95). Moreover, the necessity of GPX4, in T cell survival, maintenance and antigen-stimulated expansion further highlights the crucial role of GSH-mediated antioxidant pathways in T cell functionality (96). Collectively, antioxidants, as well as localized production of mROS, are essential for the T cell mediated-adaptive immunity.

**Looking Forward**

A central theme in redox biology is its translational potential: Can we manipulate the redox balance in medicine? Though ROS are necessary signaling molecules, they can turn cytotoxic at wrong time, wrong place, and wrong amount. This duality of ROS leads healthy and diseased cells to rely on precisely coordinated regulations of both ROS generation and elimination. Therefore, to achieve therapeutic benefits with minimum adverse effects, it is crucial to target or foster ROS at the time and/or cellular location that selectively benefits diseased cells. For example, a successful cancer redox therapy may target the localized ROS pool that potentiates pro-tumorigenic redox signaling while fostering distant ROS that induce oxidative damage to cancer cells (78). This therapeutic approach is made plausible by the recent advances in targeted antioxidants that scavenge ROS at specific sites such as mitochondrial complex I or III (97, 98). Furthermore, identification of cancer cell dependencies on specific antioxidants such as GPX4 encourages the development of molecules that disable such antioxidant proteins to potentiate the distant damaging ROS (66, 99). Importantly, the dosage of the anti- and/or pro-oxidants must be regulated to preserve the redox signaling necessary for the healthy cells including anti-tumor T cells. Similarly, an immunomodulation therapy with
anti- and/or pro-oxidants must be administered at specific timing that has become dysregulated, and at dose that does not impede normal immune responses. Therefore, significant medical advances could arise from an improved understanding of redox regulation with high temporal, spatial, and quantitative resolution.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. The production, elimination, and signaling of ROS.

Mitochondria and NADPH oxidases (NOXs) are the main sources of superoxide (O₂⁻), which is converted to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by superoxide dismutases (SODs). H₂O₂ can subsequently; (a) oxidize thiols within redox-regulated proteins to conduct cellular signaling; or (b) be reduced to water by antioxidant systems largely composed of NRF2 regulated enzymes. The peroxiredoxin (PRX) / thioredoxin (TRX) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) / glutathione (GSH) systems are fueled by NADPH. This key reducing equivalent is generated by a complex network of metabolic pathways and enzymes involving pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), isocitrate dehydrogenases (IDHs), malic enzymes (MEs), and one-carbon metabolism. Interestingly, NADPH is also a substrate for the ROS-generating NOXs. This suggests that the antioxidant systems and ROS producers are equally important for biological processes, and they work in concert to regulate redox environments that permits the ROS-mediated signaling without incurring oxidative damage.

Figure 2. Regulation of redox balance in cancer cells

Compared to non-transformed cells, cancer cells have elevated levels of ROS instigated by acquisition of oncogenes, and loss of tumor suppressors. ROS from mitochondria and NOXs oxidize co-localized redox-regulated target proteins to activate pro-tumorigenic signaling pathways including HIF-1α, PI3K, and NF-κB. Distant from the sites of production, however, ROS nonspecifically react with nucleotides and lipids inducing oxidative damage and even cell death. These distant damaging ROS can be controlled by antioxidant systems such as NRF2, NADPH generation, GSH synthesis / regeneration, and GPX4. Therefore, cancer cells producing elevated levels of ROS concomitantly increase such antioxidant capacities. This shift in redox balance enables cancer cells to hyper-activate the proximal ROS-mediated pro-survival and proliferation signaling without experiencing ROS toxicity.

Figure 3. Regulation of redox balance in T cells
Both ROS generation by mitochondria, and ROS scavenging by GSH are essential for the T cell activation. The ROS at defined window activates nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), which in turn induces IL-2 and MYC expressions, leading to T cell metabolic reprogramming, expansion, and differentiation into effector or regulator cells.
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Diagram showing the regulation of redox balance in T cells, involving mitochondrial function, glutathione (GSH) level, NFAT, MYC, and IL-2, with regulation of TCR signaling and metabolic reprogramming.
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