High-Resolution Petrographic Evidence Confirming Detrital and Biogenic Magnetites as Remanence Carriers for Zongpu Carbonates in the Gamba Area, South Tibet
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Paleocene carbonates from the Gamba area of South Tibet provide the largest paleomagnetic dataset for constraining the paleogeography of the India-Asia collision in the early stage. Previous studies argued that the characteristic remanences (ChRMs) obtained from this unit were remagnetized via orogenic fluids. This study carries out a high-resolution petrographic study on the Paleocene carbonates from Gamba aiming to test the nature of the ChRMs. Electron microscopic observation on magnetic extracts identified a large amount of detrital magnetite that are multi- to single domain in sizes and nanoscale biogenic magnetite. Minor framboidal iron oxides were also identified, which were previously interpreted as authigenic magnetite that substitutes pyrite. However, our scanning and transmission electron microscopic (SEM/TEM) observations, along with optical microscope and Raman spectrum investigations further suggest that these magnetic minerals are pigmentary hematite and goethite that are incapable of carrying a stable primary magnetization. We therefore argue that the ChRMs of the limestones from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area are carried by detrital and biogenic magnetites rather than authigenic magnetite. The paleomagnetic data from the Gamba area are interpreted as primary origin and can thus be used for tectonic reconstructions. We emphasize that magnetic extraction, integrated with advanced mineralogic studies (e.g., electron backscatter diffraction and electron diffraction) are effective approaches for investigating the origin of magnetic carriers in carbonate rocks.
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INTRODUCTION

Consecutive indentation of India into continental Asia resulted in a rapid uplift of the Tibetan Plateau that has profoundly changed the climatic pattern and topography of Asia since the Cenozoic era (Yin and Harrison, 2000; Jagoutz et al., 2016). The timing and position of the initial collision between India and Asia remain highly debated (e.g., Ding et al., 2005; Leech et al., 2005; Aitchison et al., 2007; Ali and Aitchison, 2008; Najman et al., 2010; Yi et al., 2011; van Hinsbergen et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2016; An et al., 2021). On the paleolatitudinal comparison based on reliable paleomagnetic
poles, paleomagnetism provides a direct constrain on timing and locus for the initial collision between India and Asia (e.g., Dupont-Nivet et al., 2010; Najman et al., 2010; Yi et al., 2011; Yi et al., 2021).

The Indian plate was subjected to rapid northward motion toward Asia during the Cretaceous and Paleocene (Patriat and Achache, 1984; Yin and Harrison, 2000; van Hinsbergen et al., 2011). The kinematics of the northern margin of India can be constrained by the Cretaceous and Paleogene paleomagnetic data obtained from the Tethyan Himalaya (Besse et al., 1984; Patzelt et al., 1996; Tong et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2019; Y. Zhang et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020). For the lack of contemporary volcanic rocks, the Late Cretaceous to Paleocene sedimentary rocks from the Tethyan Himalaya are especially crucial for reconstructing the overall process of the India-Asia collision. Several paleomagnetic poles were reported from the marine sediments of the Tethyan Himalaya with the Late Cretaceous to Paleocene in ages (Besse et al., 1984; Patzelt et al., 1996; Tong et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020). In the light of these poles, a variety of paleogeographic reconstructions were established with small (Besse et al., 1984; Tong et al., 2008), moderate (Yi et al., 2011), and enlarged (Meng et al., 2020) Greater India or hypothesized oceanic basins, namely, “Greater India Basin” (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012) or “North India Sea” (Yuan et al., 2020).

A continuously outcropped marine sedimentary sequence is well-preserved in the Gamba area. Among these units, the Zongshan (71–65 Ma) and Zongpu (62–56 Ma) formations (Willems and Zhang, 1993; Wan et al., 2002a; Wan et al., 2002b) provide a unique opportunity for constraining the locus of the Tethyan Himalaya covering a critical stage of the India-Asia collision. Detailed lithological and biostratigraphic reconstructions were established with small (Besse et al., 1984; Tong et al., 2008), moderate (Yi et al., 2011) and enlarged (Meng et al., 2020) Greater India or hypothesized oceanic basins, namely, “Greater India Basin” (van Hinsbergen et al., 2012) or “North India Sea” (Yuan et al., 2020).

Characteristic remanent magnetizations (ChRMs) reported from the Zongshan and Zongpu formations in the Gamba area passed positive fold and reversal tests, along with internally consistent magnetostatigraphy and biostratigraphic ages (71–56 Ma), permitting the original authors to interpret them as primary (Patzelt et al., 1996; Yi et al., 2011). However, based on the detailed rock magnetic and petrographic studies, along with a reanalysis of the fold test performed on the Zongpu Formation, Huang et al. (2017a), Huang et al. (2017b) argued for a widespread remagnetization via orogenic fluids in the Gamba area, and thus the paleomagnetic poles obtained from Zongpu Formation limestones cannot any longer be used to constrain the geometry of the India-Asia collision. As a response, Yi et al. (2017) addressed the reliability of their fold tests performed on the Zongpu and Zongshan formations (see Supplementary Text for details) and acknowledged the new rock magnetic insight presented by Huang et al. (2017a), but argued for an acquisition of the ChRMs in the early diageneric stage.

On the basis of rock magnetism and SEM observations incorporating EDS analysis, Huang et al. (2017a), Huang et al. (2017b), Huang et al. (2019) argued for the presence of abundant authigenic magnetites in carbonates preserved within the Tethyan domain of Tibet. These authigenic magnetites were suggested to result from a partial or complete replacement of pyrite crystals/framboids by secondary magnetites that were responsible for a widespread chemical remagnetization in the Gamba and Tring area, Tethyan Himalaya (Huang et al., 2017a; Huang et al., 2017b). However, authigenic magnetic minerals are common for marine sediments due to the diageneric during the burial process that may alter the combination of magnetic components (Roberts, 2015 and references therein) and complicate the discrimination of rock magnetic parameters. The authigenic magnetic spheres cannot be directly related to a chemical remagnetization (Saffer and McCabe, 1992; Suk et al., 1992), although the ability of carrying stable remanence of these magnetic spheres remains elusive (Xu et al., 1994; Suk and Halgedahl, 1996). Moreover, as EDS analyses cannot distinguish magnetic particles among magnetite, hematite, and goethite due to the imprecise measurement of Fe/O ratios (Sun and Jackson, 1994; Xu et al., 1998; Weil and Van der Voo, 2002; Franke et al., 2007), the arguments by Huang et al. (2017a), Huang et al. (2017b), Huang et al. (2019) needs to be further studied.

In an effort to clarify the type and origin of the magnetic carriers in the Zongpu carbonates, we carry out a combined study integrating optical microscopy, SEM/TEM observations, and Raman spectroscopy measurements on thin sections and magnetic extracts of pilot samples from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamab area, Tethyan Himalaya. By this way, we further evaluated the nature of ChRMs reported from the Zongpu Formation by previous studies.

**SAMPLING SITES AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

Figure 1 illustrates the structure of the Indus-Yarlung Zangbo suture zone, in which paleomagnetic sampling localities and lithostratigraphic units are indicated. Detailed geological background is available in many previous studies (e.g., Wan et al., 2002a; Wan et al., 2002b; Yi et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017a). The Paleocene carbonate rocks of the Zongpu Formation were deposited in a shallow-marine carbonate ramp on the northern Indian passive margin (Li et al., 2015). The Zongpu Formation is divided into four members by lithology: massive limestone (Member I), marls (Member II), nodular limestones (Member III), and well-bedded limestones (Member IV) (Willems and Zhang, 1993). Polished thin sections (xg38-3, xg160-1, xg145-3, and xg121-1) were processed on samples collected by Yi et al. (2011) (red dots in Figure 1C). In addition, block limestone samples (GB) of ~1 kg in weight was collected from the top of the Zongpu Formation for a magnetic extraction and SEM/TEM observations (Section A of Yi et al. (2011), Figure 1B,C).

Raman spectra measurements were conducted using a Raman spectrometer (LabRAM HR Evolution) equipped with a laser...
(excitation wavelength of 532 nm) in the School of Earth and Space Sciences (SESS), Peking University. Laser power was reduced by a filter to about 1 mW to avoid the transformation of magnetite, goethite, and pyrite (Hanesch, 2009). Data were obtained with a spectral resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) across the 100–1,500 cm\(^{-1}\) wavenumber offset range. The experiment was carried out under an objective lens with 100 times magnification.

Because of the low laser powers, more than 90 s integration time for individual measurements and 10 accumulations were set to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. In this study, Raman spectra were provided without smoothing or fitting to present the original results during the measurements.

To further examine the magnetic properties, the carbonate rock samples were first disaggregated and then put in buffered acetic acid to dissolve (pH = 4) for several days. Magnetic extraction is performed using a self-designed magnetic probe extraction apparatus (Supplementary Figure S1A). The slurry flowed through a tube with dispersed fine magnetic fractions and pumped continuously through the extraction equipment. Improved extraction-related procedures, following Hounslow et al. (1999), were used to avoid dissolution effects of ultrafine magnetic particles in samples (Sun and Jackson, 1994).

Magnetic extracts of pilot samples were prepared for SEM observation as thin sections using resin as an adhesive (Supplementary Figure S1B). An alternative and highly recommended procedure to prepare SEM samples was to drop the solutions with magnetic extracts on a monocrystalline silicon wafer (Supplementary Figure S1C). To prepare TEM specimens,
distilled water with magnetic extracts was moved to a small container. A rare-earth magnet hovered ~1 cm above the TEM grid which was floated on the surface of the solutions, to attract magnetic extracts for ~5 min (Supplementary Figure S1D). EDS, electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), and photographs were performed with SEM/ESEM system at SESS and Electron Microscopy Laboratory (EML) in the School of Physics, Peking University. The TEM was performed using a JEOL 2100 TEM (200 kV) at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGGCAS).

RESULTS
Optical Petrography and Raman Spectroscopy Analysis
An analysis of the iron oxide-sulfide assemblages in the thin sections (xg38-3, xg160-1, xg145-3, and xg121-1) and magnetic extracts (GB) under reflected white light shows that pyrite-substituted by iron oxides were the most abundant magnetic phase in the Zongpu Formation (Figures 2A–D, Figures 2I–L, and Supplementary Figure S2). Based on the blood-red internal color under plane-polarized light (Figures 2E–H, Figures 2M–P), we interpret the iron oxides with poor crystallinity as fine-grained pigmentary hematite. Goethite phases, displaying intense brownish yellow-orange internal reflections, are identified around hematite pseudoframboids (Figure 2D, Figures 2J–L). The iron sulfides, inferred as pyrite due to the bright-brassy colored reflections with a speckly appearance, yielded two morphologic groups: 1) frambooid spherules (Figures 2A,B,I) and 2) large euhedral grains (Figures 2C,D). The abundant occurrence of the pigmentary hematite and goethite along calcite boundaries and/or intergranular dissolved voids are noticeable. In contrast, the extensive presence of pyrite (framboids and euhedral grains) is well-preserved in calcite crystals as inclusions. Magnetite was not identified by optical microscope observation probably due to its low concentration, although it was supposed to be the main magnetic carrier in the

FIGURE 2 | Photomicrographs illustrating the iron oxide mineralogical features of limestones from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area under reflected light (A–D,I–L) and plane-polarized light (E–H,M–P) images. White dots in (C,L) represent the spots of Raman spectroscopy analyses in Figure 3. Cal = calcite; Gt = goethite; pHem = pigmentary hematite; Py = pyrite.
limestones of the Zongpu Formation (Yi et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2017a).

In addition, the Raman spectrum investigations detected several characteristic peaks (222, 297, and 390 cm$^{-1}$ in Figure 3A; 208, 270, and 380 cm$^{-1}$ in Figure 3B; 344 cm$^{-1}$ and 379 cm$^{-1}$ in Figure 3C). Hematite, goethite and pyrite were identified by comparing the spectra of standard minerals in Hanesch (2009) and RRUFF database (https://rruff.info). For natural minerals, characteristic Raman peaks are usually moderately offset due to differences in

---

**FIGURE 3** | Raman spectrum of the limestones from the Zongpu Formation. Three types of Fe-O-S minerals (hematite, goethite and pyrite) can be identified in the Raman spectra, respectively.

---

**FIGURE 4** | (A–L) Secondary-electron SEM images of magnetic extracts in limestones of the Zongpu Formation. (M,P) EBSPs solution of iron oxides corresponding to the white circles indicated in (A–C). Zone axes are labeled using Miller indices. Note the white circle areas are not as accurate as that was shown in the images, because of the low resolution of SEM during EBSD analyses. White arrows in (K,L) indicate the possible occurrence of biogenic magnetite. White dots represent the EDS spots as shown in Supplementary Figure S3. [Fe-O] = iron oxides; Mag = magnetite.
crystallinity and/or crystal defects (Hanesch, 2009). These results are consistent with the oxidation of pyrite framboids to iron (hydr)oxides observed under optical microscopic observations.

SEM Observations of Magnetic Extracts
Abundant pure iron oxides were observed from magnetic extracts by SEM observation. These submicron iron oxide grains appear in various morphology and are composed of broken-octahedral, subangular, and irregular crystals (Figures 4A–J), suggestive of a detrital origin. The acquired Electron Back-scattering Patterns (EBSPs) for these grains show a spinel pattern (Figures 4M–P) that confirm a detrital origin for magnetites, although there may be hematite in some cases. Interestingly, we also found several euhedral magnetic crystals with clear particle boundaries, about 50–100 nm in size (Figures 4K,I). Accordingly, we suggest that these submicron and nanoscale magnetite particles fit the size range of SD and PSD (Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997) and are the possible remanence carrier in the limestones of the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area.

Despite the frequent occurrence of detrital magnetite, iron oxide spherules were also found in the magnetic extracts (Figure 4A). EDS line scanning and mapping show that the iron oxide assemblage contains S in addition to Fe and O in a form of pseudoframboid (Figure 5). Given that cosmic spherules usually contain a low content of Ni (Brownlow et al., 1966) which was not detected by the EDS analysis, we exclude the possibility of

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**FIGURE 5** | Elemental mapping exhibits elemental compositions and distributions of an iron-oxidized framboid. (A) SEM image of a framboid with line scan by energy spectrum. (B–D) Fe, S, and O elements are scattered in most areas. White arrows show significant variation in the distributions of Fe, O, and S elements.
cosmogenesis. Along with our observations in thin sections, we argue that these pseudoframboids are iron (hydr)oxides (hematite and/or goethite) that substitute frambooidal pyrite (Suk et al., 1990).

**TEM Observations of Magnetic Extracts**

The TEM observations reveal that magnetic grains with variable grain sizes are commonly present in magnetic extracts from the Zongpu Formation (Figures 6A–D). Further high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) analyses were carried out to determine the crystal structure of the magnetic particles. All analyzed magnetic minerals, including submicron and nanosized particles, have clear lattice fringes (Figures 6E,F) and sharp diffraction patterns (Figures 6G–I) which indicate that the analyzed magnetic minerals are titanomagnetite and magnetite with well-developed crystallinity.

The TEM images revealed presence of both nanosized and euhedral magnetic crystals for the studied samples (Figures 6C,I,L). The grain size of magnetite and titanomagnetite ranges from tens of nm to several μm. Non-spheroidal iron

---

**FIGURE 6** | High-resolution TEM and SAED analyses of magnetic minerals for magnetic extracts from limestones in the Zongpu Formation. (A–D) Bright-field TEM images at progressively higher magnifications reveal characteristics of mixed magnetic particles with different sizes. (E,F) Clear lattice fringes for the magnetic minerals are observed. The lattice fringes in (F) correspond to <311> plane of titanomagnetite. (G–I) Ring-like and spot-like SAED patterns indicate the particle aggregate and single particle, respectively. The corresponding Miller indices (hkI) are illustrated. (J–L) EDS spectra of the magnetic particles in B–D. The particles in different sizes are magnetite (B,C) and titanomagnetite (D).
oxides are observed in TEM. Together with the EDS spectra (Figures 6J–L) and mineral morphologies, we believe that the remanence magnetic carrier should be detrital magnetite and/or euhedral magnetic particles from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area.

Characteristics of Demagnetization

Previous rock magnetic investigations indicate the main magnetic carriers of remanence are magnetite from most Zongpu carbonates in the Gamba area, in addition, some of which detected goethite and hematite (Yi et al., 2011). All specimens were subjected to alternating field (AF) demagnetization up to 89 mT in the light of their relatively weak natural remnant magnetization (NRM). Two remnant magnetization components were isolated in the majority of specimens (Figures 7A–F). After removal of a viscous component, xg0-3, xg38-3, and xg145-2 yield a stable characteristic remanence (ChRM) (Figures 7A,B,D). Some specimens reveal an unstable demagnetization trajectory (xg121-2, and xg160-1; Figures 7C,E,F) which were discarded for further discussion (Yi et al., 2011).

DISCUSSION

Origin of the Nanoscale Euhedral Magnetite

SD euhedral magnetites were observed in the magnetic extracts (Figures 4K,L and Figure 6C). There are two possible origins for such magnetic particles in sediments: 1) the magnetic inclusion as erosional detritus from igneous and metamorphic rocks (e.g., Chang et al., 2016); 2) biogenic magnetite (Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008). Both types of magnetic particles are able to carry stable paleomagnetic signals over billions of years (Kirschvink and Lowenstam, 1979; Tarduno et al., 2006; Tarduno et al., 2010). Usually, most of the magnetic nanoparticle inclusions hosted within silicate crystals show high content of Si and low content of Ti that can be identified by EDS analyses (Chang et al., 2016).
this study, however, only very low content of Si and no Ti were detected from the euhedral magnetic crystals (Figure 6L). Furthermore, silicate minerals (e.g., plagioclase and clinopyroxene) were not observed in thin sections (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S4), probably due to the low clastic influx and high carbonate saturation during deposition of the Zongpu Formation (Li et al., 2015). In this case, the origin of nanoscale euhedral magnetite from silicate-hosted magnetic mineral inclusions is highly unlikely. We suggest the nanosized and euhedral magnetic particles are biogenic magnetite that is capable of carrying stable remanences in limestones (Chang et al., 1987). Further study should be required to detect robust evidence of biogenic magnetite based on a broader observation of magnetic extracts from Zongpu carbonates in the Gamba area.

The Possible Origin of Iron Oxide Spherules

In addition to the detrital and biogenic magnetites observed in magnetic extracts, iron oxide spherules were also identified from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area (Figures 4, 5 and Supplementary Figure S2). Several previous studies attribute the remagnetization of carbonates to the replacement of framboidal pyrite by oxidation that is related to orogenic fluids (see review by McCabe and Elmore, 1989). However, the photomicrographs of limestone samples in Huang et al. (2017a) and section A of Yi et al. (2011) present well-preserved fossils (benthic foraminifer, echinoderms, ostracods, and green algae) with particles/matrix support and show no sign of orogenic-type fluids (Supplementary Figures S4, S5; Li and Hu, 2020). Besides, the variations of carbon and oxygen isotopes of bulk carbonate cover the key interval of the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM) (Q, Q, Zhang et al., 2019). The strontium isotopic ratios ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) of calcite are comparable with the global oceanic strontium isotope record (Supplementary Figure S6; Wang et al., 2008) which indicates that the carbonates in the Gamba area have not been altered by orogenic fluids. The origin of iron oxide spherules should thus be explained in other mechanisms.

Suk et al. (1992) proposed different magnetic mineralogy for the primary and remagnetized carbonates. The iron sulfides (e.g., pyrite frambooids) were moderately or completely oxidized to hematite in the former while a replacement of magnetite occurs in remagnetized carbonates. Moreover, oxidation of pyrite under modern atmosphere and groundwater conditions produces goethite and/or hematite (Todd et al., 2003; Sgavetti et al., 2009; Vernon et al., 2019). Recently, a deep abiobitic reaction mechanism of pyrite weathering in rocks was proposed which demonstrated that fracturing and erosion, in addition to atmospheric oxygen, control the reactivity of iron sulfide oxidation (Gu et al., 2020). Therefore, we suggest the large amounts of iron (hydr)oxides (e.g., goethite and hematite) observed in carbonates from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area were more likely oxidized from pyrite under aqueous solutions in contact with the atmosphere.

Primary Versus Secondary Origin of the ChRMs

The secondary superparamagnetic (SP) to stable single domain (SSD) grain-sized magnetite is a general indicator for chemical remagnetization in carbonates which could well explain the remagnetization that occurred in the Paleozoic carbonates of North America (Channell and McCabe, 1994; Suk and Halgedahl, 1996; Xu et al., 1998; Elmore et al., 2006). A mix of SP and SD particles yields wasp-waisted hysteresis loops and distribution of Day plot along the SP-SD mixing line (Jackson and Swanson-Hysell, 2012). Nevertheless, magnetic minerals in different assemblage and shape anisotropy can also present contrasting coercivity distributions, resulting in wasp-waisted hysteresis loops (Jackson, 1990; Roberts et al., 1995; Newell and Merrill, 2006; Zwing et al., 2005; Jacon and Swanson-Hysell, 2012). It is generally difficult to interpret the magnetic grain size and mineralogy by wasp-waisted hysteresis loops or Day-plot only (Tauxe et al., 1996; Roberts et al., 2018). It also should be caution when using a Day diagram to diagnose remagnetization as occasionally “false positives” and “false negatives” results may present (Jackson and Swanson-Hysell, 2012; Roberts et al., 2018). Moreover, the validity of application of Day-plot in shallow-water carbonates, which are isolated from aqueous detrital input, remains unverified (Jackson and Swanson-Hysell, 2012). On the other hand, our SEM/TEM observations indicate the content of abundant detrital and biogenic magnetites in the investigated carbonates (Figures 4, 6). The optical petrography and Raman spectra analyses present robust evidence that iron (hydr)oxides, i.e., goethite and hematite (Figures 2, 3 and Supplementary Figure S2), rather than magnetite, as substitutes of pyrite frambooids. The imaginable detrital and biogenic magnetite, along with goethite and hematite, would yield wasp-waisted hysteresis loops and distribution of Day plot along the SP-SD mixing line which leads to an incorrect interpretation of remagnetization from Day plot locations (Huang et al., 2017a; Huang et al., 2017b; Huang et al., 2019).

The argument of previous paleomagnetic investigation for a chemical remagnetization of the carbonate rocks in the Gamba area was mainly based on SEM and EDS interpretation (Huang et al., 2017a). Whereafter, the same authors performed analogous analytical processes on the Upper Cretaceous to Paleocene carbonates from the Tingri area in the Tethyan Himalaya and the Upper Triassic limestones in the eastern Qiangtang block, argued for a widespread remagnetization in the Tibetan Tethyan domain (Huang et al., 2017b; Huang et al., 2019). However, the critical “authigenic magnetite”, along with the “orogenic fluids” are only speculated by the authors, regardless that conventional EDS techniques only have a semi-quantitative character which cannot directly distinguish the exact iron oxides. On the contrary, the geochemical evidence from the Zongpu Formation precludes the existence of widespread orogenic fluids as discussed above. Consequently, the remagnetization mechanism of chemical alteration suggested by Huang et al. (2017a) is problematic.

The acquisition of thermoviscous remanent magnetization (TVRM) may lead to widespread remagnetization (e.g., Kent, 1985). However, thermal demagnetization of limestones in the underlying Zongshang Formation reveals unblocking temperatures up to 500–550°C (Patetz et al., 1996). Moreover, the occurrence of anatase in the underlying Jidula Formation suggests that the overlying Zongpu limestones were never heated over 260°C (Patetz et al., 1996). Middleton and Schmidt (1982)
developed a relationship between relaxation and blocking temperature for magnetite with variable grain size, which is in better agreement with the laboratory-observed demagnetization temperature. Even assuming a TVRM acquisition for magnetite with grain size in a lognormal distribution, several billion years, at a heating temperature of ~300°C, is still required to remove ChRMs locked at ~550°C. Such temperatures are typical of low-grade regional metamorphism which have never been reported in a number of paleontological, stratigraphic and petrological studies (Willems and Zhang, 1993; Wan et al., 2002a; Wan et al., 2002b; Li et al., 2015; Li and Hu., 2020). Furthermore, the folding of the Zongpu Formation initiated at ~56 Ma in the Gamba area (Zhang et al., 2012) when the overlying Zhepure Formation is mostly absent. As the fold tests have suggested a clear pre-folding origin of the Zongpu ChRMs, we argue that the burial depth of this unit is up to several hundred meters (i.e., no more than the thickness of the Zongpu Formation). Meanwhile, there was a close match between the reconstructed magnetostratigraphy from the Zongpu Formation (Yi et al., 2011) and the geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS, Gradstein et al., 2012) in the Paleocene, constraining on the biostratigraphic age (Willems and Zhang, 1993). In this case, the ChRMs in the Zongpu carbonates must be acquired during or shortly after the deposition (Yi et al., 2017). A thermal remagnetization via burial can therefore be ruled out at least for the Zongpu Formation.

In addition to thermoviscous remagnetization, the burial diagenetic alteration processes, i.e., clay diagenesis and maturation of organic matter, may also lead to a remagnetization in limestones (Elmore et al., 2012). The NRM intensity generally increases during the amount of alteration from smectite to illite by diagenesis (Katz et al., 2000). However, the magnetization of Zongpu carbonate rocks is as low as 10^{-4}−10^{-5} A/m (Figure 7; Yi et al., 2011) suggesting remagnetization via burial diagenetic is unlikely. Moreover, carbon isotope values of the Zongpu carbonate rocks prior to the carbon isotope excursion (CIE) during PETM is consistent with that of the planktonic foraminifera from pelagic sections (Q. Q. Zhang et al., 2019), indicative of limited diagenesis. It is important to consider the origin for remanences in the Zongsan and Zongpu formations at Tingri area (~200 km west of Gamba) where no pre-folding magnetization was isolated so far (Besse et al., 1984; Liebke et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015). Further paleomagnetic investigation is thus required to address the potential burial diagenetic remagnetization processes in the Tibetan Plateau.

The presence of abundant detrital and biogenic magnetites and the similar strontium isotopic ratios to coeval seawater in the Zongpu limestones precludes widespread chemical remagnetization in the Gamba area. The rock magnetic investigations (Yi et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2017a) and the characteristics of demagnetization (Figure 7) are consistent with high-resolution petrographic observations (Figures 2, 4, 6). Moreover, the ChRMs from Gamba carbonates yielded positive fold and reversal tests (Patzelt et al., 1996; Yi et al., 2011; Yi et al., 2017), and the paleomagnetic pole from the Zongpu Formation hence meets all the criteria for a paleomagnetic study (R = 7) (Meert et al., 2020). We therefore concluded that detrital and biogenic magnetites are the main magnetic carriers of primary remanence and the paleomagnetic results reported by Yi et al. (2011) from the Gamba area can still be used for paleogeographic reconstruction.

**CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE**

The high-resolution petrographic study was carried out on Paleocene carbonates (the Zongpu Formation) from Gamba, South Tibet. Electron microscopic observation of magnetic extracts identified abundant detrital and biogenic magnetites. Minor frambooidal iron oxides were also identified using SEM, optical microscope, and Raman spectrum investigations. However, the magnetic minerals in these framboids are pigmented hematite and/or goethite rather than authigenic magnetite. Therefore, the ChRMs of the limestones from the Zongpu Formation in the Gamba area are carried by detrital and biogenic magnetites. The arguments of chemical remagnetization, based on oversimplified semiquantitative EDS analyses and incomplete rock magnetic measurements in previous studies, should be rejected. Instead, the paleomagnetic data obtained from the Paleocene carbonates in the Gamba area can be used for tectonic reconstructions. We suggest that comprehensive analyses of magnetic extracts with advanced EBSD and TEM are extremely important and favorable to diagnose the substantial magnetization carriers in carbonate rocks. The remagnetization hypotheses in Paleocene carbonates from the Tingri area, Tethyan Himalaya, and the Late Triassic carbonates from the Qiangtang terrane require further study based on the thorough petrographic and mineralogical investigations to determine the origin of the magnetization.
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