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Abstract:
Background: Malocclusion is one of the most common dental problems together with dental caries, gingival disease, dental fluorosis which varies in different parts of the world among different populations. The aim of this study was to assess the malocclusion pattern in Bangladeshi population to provide quantitative information regarding the pattern of dentofacial characteristics.

Methods: This cross sectional study was carried out with the orthodontic records of 256 patients who attended and treated in the Department of Orthodontics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University Hospital, Dhaka. Malocclusion pattern mainly assessed by Angle’s classification system; along with incisor classification system other variables like overjet, overbite, cross bite, crowding, spacing and median diastema were recorded. Finally data were analyzed by using SPSS software (Version 21).

Results: The study result showed out of 256 orthodontic patients majority (68.7%) were female, in Angle’s classification Class I malocclusion was the most prevalent (55.5%) type of malocclusion followed by Class II (38.3%) and Class III (6.3%). The most prevalent malocclusion trait found crowding (67.7%), followed by increase overjet (65.6%), increase overbite or deep bite (50.4%); the least prevalent malocclusion trait found scissor bite (1.2%) followed by posterior cross bite (5.1%) and median diastema (12.5%). Statistical significant relationship observed in the distribution of malocclusion by Angle’s classification with sex (as p value < .05).

Conclusion: This hospital based study concludes that in Angle’s classification system; Class I malocclusion was prevalent along with the malocclusion trait crowding, which actually gives a general idea about the malocclusion pattern in Bangladeshi population.
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INTRODUCTION:
Malocclusion may be defined as an improper relationship between the teeth in the opposite jaws or an irregularity of the teeth beyond the accepted range of normal, which has been a prevalent disorder in recent decades.\(^1\) It is considered as one of the most common dental problems together with dental caries, gingival disease and dental fluorosis.\(^2\) The prevalence of malocclusion varies in different parts of the world among different populations, it is an important determinant in planning appropriate levels of orthodontic treatment.\(^3\) Knowledge about the distribution of different patterns malocclusions may help orthodontic practitioners in a better understanding of the extent of malocclusion problem in a geographic location and help them in the proper orientation and management of treatment possibilities.\(^4\) As well as the knowledge about the prevalence and severity of malocclusion is important for early diagnosis and planning of orthodontic services. The present study was aimed to assess the malocclusion pattern in Bangladeshi population to provide quantitative information regarding the pattern of dentofacial characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:
This cross sectional study was carried out with the orthodontic records of 256 patients who attended and treated in the...
Department of Orthodontics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Hospital, Dhaka were taken as the study population. All the patients were self-referred and those who had history of previous orthodontic treatment, craniofacial deformities or syndrome, systemic disease, missing first molar, extensive carious lesion in permanent teeth were excluded from this study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee of BSMMU. Data were collected from written case records and dental casts of orthodontic patients with the help of simple divider, brass wire, metallic ruler and clinical examination was carried out by using a mouth mirror, probe, small light source with an assistant. The occlusal anterior-posterior relationships were assessed by using Angle’s classification, which is a qualitative method of recording occlusal traits. This method is widely used, as well as useful method for easy documentation and provides a common channel of communication among dental practitioners. According to this classification Class I malocclusion considered as when the mesio-buccal cusp of the maxillary first permanent molar occluded with the anterior buccal groove of the mandibular first permanent molar on both sides. In Class II and Class III malocclusion molar relationship recorded as, when the mesio-buccal cusp of the maxillary first permanent molar occluded at least half a cusp width anterior or posterior to the anterior buccal groove of the mandibular first permanent molar on both sides, respectively. Based on incisor relationship malocclusion; classified as Class I, Class II division I, Class II division II and Class III Incisor classification, which is more helpful in clinical practice than the Angle’s classification. Other variables examined in this study were overjet, overbite, crowding, crossbite, spacing and median diastema. The overjet which is the horizontal distance in millimeters between the labial surfaces of the maxillary and mandibular central incisors considered normal as 2 – 3 millimeter, and the overbite was considered normal if the maxillary central incisors overlapped the incisal third of the crown of mandibular central incisors. Crowding and spacing were assessed by subtracting the sum of mesio-distal width of teeth in an arch from arch perimeter. The value is negative in crowding and positive in spacing. Cross bite was assessed by evaluating the transverse relationship of the upper and lower jaw. Posterior cross bite was considered when the buccal cusp of the upper teeth (at least two neighboring tooth) occlude lingual to the maximum height of the buccal cusp of the opposing lower tooth. Scissor bite was recorded when the lingual cusp of the upper tooth occluded buccal to the maximum height of the buccal cusp of the opposite tooth. Posterior cross bite and scissor bite were registered as bilateral, right and left. Maxillary median diastema was recorded when a space of 2 mm or more existed between the maxillary central incisors. Finally data were analyzed by using SPSS software (Version 21) on the basis of different variables.

RESULTS:

Table 1: Age distribution of the patients

| Age (in years) | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------|-----------|------------|
| Mean±SD       | 17.9(±5.9) year, Range : 7 - 36 years |
| <12           | 39        | 15.2       |
| 12-17         | 82        | 32         |
| >17           | 135       | 52.7       |
| Total         | 256(100.0)|            |

Table 1 shows the mean age of the patients was 17.9 (±5.9) years with range 7-36 years, more than half (52.7%) patients were in > 17 years age group.

Figure 1: Sex distribution of the patients [n=256]

Figure 1 shows out of 256 patients 68.7% were female and 31.3% were male.

Table 2: Distribution of malocclusion by Angle’s and incisor classification

| Angle’s classification | n=256 | Incisor classification | n=256 |
|-------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
|                         | Frequecy | Percent | Age | Frequecy | Percent |
| Class I                 | 142    | 55.5     | -   | C        | 101    | 39.5   |
| Class II                | 98     | 38.3     | Class II: division I | 113 | 44.1   |
| Class III               | 16     | 6.3      | Class II: division II | 11  | 4.3    |
|                         |        |          | C   | 31       | 12.1   |

Table 2 shows out of 256 patients, Class I malocclusion found in more than half (55.5%) patients, followed by 38.3% patients were Class II and 6.3% patients were diagnosed as Class III malocclusion. According to incisor classification Class II: division I malocclusion diagnosed in majority (44.1%) patients, followed by Class I in 39.5% and Class III, Class II: division II in 12.1% and 4.3% patients respectively.
Table 3: Distribution of malocclusion with different variables

| Variables | n (%) |
|-----------|-------|
| Overjet   |       |
| Normal    | 60 (23.4) |
| Increase  | 168 (65.6) |
| Decrease  | 28 (10.9)  |
| Overbite  |       |
| Normal    | 93 (36.3) |
| Increase  | 129 (50.4) |
| Decrease  | 34 (13.3)  |
| Cross bite|       |
| Absent    | 240 (93.7) |
| Posterior cross bite | 13 (5.1) |
| Scissor bite | 3 (1.2) |
| Crowding  |       |
| Present   | 173 (67.7) |
| Absent    | 83 (32.3)  |
| Median diastema |       |
| Present   | 32 (12.5) |
| Absent    | 224 (87.5) |
| Spacing   |       |
| Present   | 83 (32.3) |
| Absent    | 173 (67.7) |

Above table shows the increase overjet and overbite values were highest in 65.6% and 50.4% patients respectively. In majority (93.7%) patients cross bite was absent, but posterior cross bite and scissor bite found in 5.1%, 1.2% patients respectively.

Table 4: Distribution of Angle’s malocclusion according to sex

| Sex       | Angle’s classification | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
|-----------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|           | Class I                |       |       |       |
| Male      | 36 (23.4)              | 38 (38.8) | 6 (37.5) |
| Female    | 106 (76.6)             | 60 (61.2) | 10 (62.5) |
| Total     | 142 (100.0)            | 98 (100.0) | 16 (100.0) |

p-value calculated by chi square test
* p value <0.05, ** p value < 0.01

Above table shows statistical significant difference observed in the distribution of malocclusion by Angle’s classification between male and female. Also Class I malocclusion was significant in female (p value < 0.05) and Class II malocclusion was highly significant in female (p value < 0.01)

DISCUSSION:

Present study assessed the malocclusion pattern among the Bangladeshi patients seeking for orthodontic treatment to provide quantitative information regarding the pattern of dentofacial characteristics. According to the study result majority (68.7%) orthodontic patients were female, which supports the study results[10-12], the reason behind this may be female are more concern about aesthetic issues than male. Regarding the malocclusion pattern present study result found that according to Angle’s classification Class I malocclusion was the most prevalent type of malocclusion with 55.5%, 38.3% Class II and 6.3% Class III among the examined Bangladeshi orthodontic patients, which was consistent with other studies reported by Rita SN et al.[10], Rahman MM et al.[13], and inconsistent with the studies[14,15] in India and[16] in Pakistan. In case of incisor classification, Class II division I malocclusion had the highest frequency (44.1%) followed by Class I (39.5%), Class III (12.1%). Distribution of different types of malocclusion may show a great variability even in a population of same origin.[11] Regarding other variables present study showed crowding (67.7%) was more frequent than spacing (32.3%) which was similar to the findings in Pakistani population reported by Erum GE et al.[17] Statistical significant difference observed in the distribution of malocclusion by Angle’s classification between male and female, also female patients presented with high number of Class I and Class II malocclusion with significance value (p value < 0.05), which was inconsistent with the study conducted by Onyeaso CO et al.[18], where they showed male have significantly more Class II and Class III molar relationship than female.

CONCLUSION:

This hospital based study shows that in Angle’s classification: Class I malocclusion was prevalent along with the most prevalent malocclusion trait crowding, which actually gives a general idea about the malocclusion pattern in Bangladeshi population. Identifying occlusal problems and their incidence can help to determine the appropriate treatment plan and manpower needed in orthodontic services. But further studies are required to provide accurate estimates of the orthodontic treatment need in Bangladeshi population.

REFERENCES:

1. Proffit W, Field H, Sarver D. Contemporary orthodontics, 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby; Chapter 1: 2 – 18
2. Dhar V, Jain A, VanDyke T E, Kohli A. Prevalence of gingival diseases, malocclusion and fluorosis in school-going children of rural areas in Udaipur district. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2007 Apr;25(2):103-5. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-4388.33458 PMid:17660647
3. Šidlauskas A, Lopatiene K. “The prevalence of malocclusion among 7-15-year-old Lithuanian schoolchildren,” Medicina,2009, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 147-152. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina45020019 PMid:19289905
4. Bishara S. Textbook of Orthodontics. 1st ed. Philadelphia: Saunders: 2001
5. Tang E L K, Wei S H Y. “Recording and measuring malocclusion: a review of the literature,” American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2007, 131(2) : 192-201.
6. Haq ME. 2002 Essentials Of Orthodontics For Dental Students. 3rd Edn, 31-42.

7. Emmanuel OA. Prevalence of Malocclusion among School children in Benin City, Nigeria. Journal of Biomedical Science, 2008;7(1-2):58-65 https://doi.org/10.4314/jmbr.v7i1.2.44566

8. Thilander B, Pena L, Infante C, Parada SS, de Mayorga C. Prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in children and adolescents in Bogotá, Colombia. An epidemiologic study related to different stages of dental development. Eur J Orthod, 2001;23:153-167 https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/23.2.153 PMid:11398553

9. Tausche E, Luck O, Harzer W. Prevalence of malocclusion in the early mixed dentition and orthodontic treatment need. Eur J Orthod, 2004;26:237-244 https://doi.org/10.1093/ejo/26.3.237 PMid:1522706

10. Rita S N, Hasan M, Dhar P P, Abrar M H, Sadat S M A. Pattern of Malocclusion in Patients Attended in Orthodontic Department of a Tertiary Level Hospital. Journal of Bangladesh College of Physicians and Surgeons, 2019; Vol. 37, No. 3. https://doi.org/10.3329/jbpcs.v37i3.41733

11. Sayin M O, Türkkahraman H. "Malocclusion and crowding in an orthodontically referred turkish population," Angle Orthodontist, 2004; vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 635-639.

12. Jones W B. "Malocclusion and facial types in a group of Saudi Arabian patients referred for orthodontic treatment: a preliminary study," British Journal of Orthodontics, 1987; vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 143-146. https://doi.org/10.1179/bjo.14.3.143 PMid:3475177

13. Rahman M M, Hossain M Z. Pattern of malocclusion in patient seeking orthodontic treatment at Dhaka Dental College & hospital. Bangladesh journal of orthodontics & dentofacial orthopaedics. April 2013, Vol 3 No 2: 9-11 https://doi.org/10.3329/bjdfo.v3i2.24005

14. Kharbanda O P. What is the prevalence of malocclusion in India? Do we know the orthodontic treatment needs of our country? J Indian Orthod Soc, 1999;32:33-41

15. Tewari A. Relationship of the incidence of malocclusion with the socioeconomic status of people. J Indian Dent Assoc, 1966;37:192-200

16. Fida M. Pattern of malocclusion in orthodontic patients: a hospital based study. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2008 Jan-Mar;20(1):43-7. PubMed PMID: 19024184

17. Erum G E, Fida M. Pattern of Malocclusion in Orthodontic Patients: A Hospital Based Study. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad, 2008:20(1):43-47

18. Onyeaso C O, Aderinokun G A, Arowojolu M O. "The pattern of malocclusion among orthodontic patients seen in Dental Centre, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria," African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences, 2002; vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 207-211.