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Abstract. Krupnova TG, Rakova OV, Plaksina AL, Gavrilkina SV, Baranov EO, Abramyan AD. 2020. Effect of urban greening and land use on air pollution in Chelyabinsk, Russia. Biodiversitas 21: 2716-2720. Chelyabinsk is a major industrial Russian city that faces diverse environmental issues, the most important of which is air emissions. The primary sources of air pollution in Chelyabinsk are industry (concrete product plants, ferrous and nonferrous metallurgy such as zinc production plants, and pulp production), thermal power stations, and transport. People have known that trees can help to reduce air pollutants for a long time. We studied 8 zones within a radius of one kilometer from the state air pollution monitoring stations. Eight land-use types such as industrial category, residential category, natural and semi-natural broadleaved vegetation, natural and semi-natural coniferous vegetation, broadleaved forest, coniferous forest, artificial broadleaved vegetation, and artificial coniferous vegetation, were obtained. The response of air pollution to land-use and urban greening was analyzed. Analysis results showed that there was no correlation between industrial and residential categories of land-use and concentrations of the most dangerous air pollutants in Chelyabinsk (formaldehyde, hydrogen fluoride, and nitrogen dioxide). The dominant factor affecting urban air quality was urban greening.
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INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is one of the most dangerous factors that influenced human health. There are many primary and secondary sources of air pollution in industrial cities. The amount and source of emissions, local topography, prevailing meteorological conditions, land use, and land cover have a large effect on air pollution in a particular city area. It is well known that urban greening and urban forests can improve air pollution (Abhijith et al. 2017, Taleghani et al. 2020, Xing et al. 2020). The researchers considered the urban greening as the lungs of cities (Xu et al. 2020). Urban trees accumulate the airborne fine particulate matter, PM2.5 (Zhou et al. 2019, Han et al. 2020). It was shown that trees affect nitrogen oxides (NOx), benzo(a)pyrene, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and ozone (O3) concentrations (Klingberg et al. 2017, Buccolieri et al. 2018). According to Nowak et al. (2014) trees remove 651,000 tonnes of air pollutants per year. However, there is discussion about tree roles in decreasing local air pollutant concentrations. Recent studies have shown that traditional urban greening schemes may not work well. Tall trees and large buildings form street canyons in city conditions (Abhijith et al. 2017). Trees actually make pollution more concentrated. Planting tall trees in street with traffic is not effective strategy according to air pollution modelling (Santiago et al. 2017).

Chelyabinsk is a typical industrial Russian city. It is the capital of the South Ural region. Chelyabinsk is a major industrial center, including metallurgical, machine-building, metalworking enterprises, instrument-making factories, food, chemical, and light industry production facilities. There is heavy smog in Chelyabinsk urban area during adverse weather conditions such as low wind speed and no rain. Residents of Chelyabinsk worry about 'black sky' air pollution. The city has 8 stationary stations and some mobile laboratories for the study of quality of air pollution levels. The laboratories are used for the routine of observation on the residential areas of Chelyabinsk, and on the border of sanitary-protective zones of different plants.

The most dangerous pollutants of Chelyabinsk are formaldehyde, phenol, sulfide hydrogen (H2S), nitrogen oxides (NOx), ammonia (NH3), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen fluoride (HF) and common particulate matter (PM). They affect human health and vegetation such as epiphytic lichens (Krupnova et al. 2017). The health effects of the common toxic air pollutants of Chelyabinsk are different. Short-term effects include headache, runny nose, nausea, and difficulty breathing. Inhalation of nitrogen oxides can lead to pulmonary edema. Formaldehyde causes cancer. Signs of hydrogen fluoride chronic exposure include conjunctivitis, bronchitis, pneumonia, pneumocleseriosis.
The PM health effects cardiovascular morbidity, respiratory diseases, and lung cancer (Theophanides et al. 2011).

In this study, we assessed the relationships urban land use, urban greening, and air pollution inside Chelyabinsk territory. We tried to understand how they influence the local concentrations of toxic air pollutants. In this study, we analyse the data of air monitoring stations and examine land-use cover and greening in proximity to air quality monitors. Studies presenting the influence of vegetation and land use on air pollution of Chelyabinsk have not been found. We used GIS technology to demonstrate the major factors that affect air pollution levels in the Chelyabinsk. The aim of our study was to improve understanding of the effect of urban land use and vegetation on air quality of Chelyabinsk.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was conducted in Chelyabinsk, situated on the border of the Urals and Siberia, Russia Federation. For this study we selected eight sites. The location of the measurement sites is shown in Fig. 1. These sites are stationary stations of the state monitoring of atmospheric air in Chelyabinsk. From 2016 to 2019, the data of state monitoring of the state of atmospheric air are available on the public website of the Ministry of Ecology of the Chelyabinsk Region (mineco174). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the investigated sites. Land use was studied within a radius of one kilometer from state air pollution monitoring stations.

Procedures

Land cover mapping

We studied zones within a radius of one kilometer from state air pollution monitoring stations which we chose as investigated sites. We used 4 satellite images at 0.7 m of spatial resolution from summers 2016-2019. We used satellite images of different years, google street image, and field verification for land-use cover mapping of zones around investigated sites. There were no significant changes in vegetation between different years in selected zones. We created maps using MapInfo Pro.

We used the method of visual interpretation using direct features (texture, color, structure, and image shape) to identify objects in satellite images. To identify the effects of air emissions we chose to use the simplified set of 8 land-use categories shown in Table 2. Chelyabinsk is characterized by green areas inside and around the city consisting of a heterogeneous scheme combining individual gardens, courtyard greening, greening of industrial areas, public parks, 14 urban forests. It should note that there is no official or any other inventory of trees in Chelyabinsk. There are a lot of industrial areas. Private housing construction preserved in Chelyabinsk along with modern multi-stored buildings.

Figure 1. Location of investigated sites in Chelyabinsk, Russia

Table 1. Description of investigated sites

| Site | Location Latitude (N) | Location Longitude (E) | Sources of pollution* |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| S1   | 55°10’03.05”          | 61°49’26.30”           | Chelyabinsk Pipe Plant, transport |
| S2   | 55°25’46.22”          | 61°37’91.42”           | Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant |
| S3   | 55°19’53.52”          | 61°30’72.51”           | It is residential area. There were no specific sources |
| S4   | 55°18’32.79”          | 61°43’31.56”           | Chelyabinsk Electrometallurgical Integrated Plant |
| S5   | 55°26’73.86”          | 61°38’86.71”           | Chelyabinsk Metallurgical Plant |
| S6   | 55°18’52.24”          | 61°38’21.03”           | Chelyabinsk Zinc Plant, transport |
| S7   | 55°18’30.64”          | 61°41’39.51”           | Chelyabinsk Electrometallurgical Integrated Plant, Chelyabinsk Zinc Plant |
| S8   | 55°15’31.96”          | 61°36’62.39”           | It is residential area. There were no specific sources |

Note: * plant is located on the distance less than 3 km
Table 2. Land-use categories used in this study

| Category                          | Abbreviation | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Industrial                        | Ind          | It is an area of industrial enterprises and thermal power stations. It can serve as a source of various anthropogenic emissions of gases such as benzo(a)pyrene, formaldehyde, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, hydrogen fluoride, and common particulate matter |
| Residential                       | R            | It is an area of private house location. It uses fuel such as wood and coal for heating                                                                                   |
| Natural and semi-natural broadleaved vegetation | NBV         | It is vegetation formation composed principally of broadleaved trees by natural origin in urban areas, such as public urban parks, urban natural areas, and street tree |
| Natural and semi-natural coniferous vegetation | NCV        | It is vegetation formation composed principally of coniferous trees by natural origin in urban areas, such as public urban parks, urban natural areas, and street tree |
| Broadleaved forest                | BF           | It is principally broadleaved urban forests                                                                                                                                  |
| Coniferous forest                 | CF           | It is principally coniferous urban forests                                                                                                                                 |
| Artificial broadleaved vegetation | ABV          | It is vegetation formation composed principally of broadleaved trees by anthropogenic origin in urban areas, such as public urban parks, urban natural areas, and street tree |
| Artificial coniferous vegetation  | ACV          | It is vegetation formation composed principally of coniferous trees by anthropogenic origin in urban areas, such as public urban parks, urban natural areas, and street tree |

Data analysis

Land use cover calculation

The areas of each land-use type were calculated using MapInfo Pro. We calculated the cover of each land-use type in % of total area of zones around investigated sites. Also, we calculated the total broadleaved and coniferous urban greening - TBG and TCG, respectively:

\[
TBG,\% = NBV,\% + BF,\% + ABV,\%
\]

\[
TCG,\% = NCV,\% + CF,\% + ACV,\%
\]

Air pollution data

Concentrations of key pollutants such as formaldehyde, HF, H₂S, CO, phenol, NH₃, NO, NO₂, and common particulate matter (PM) were obtained the public website of the Ministry of Ecology of the Chelyabinsk Region (mineco174). We analyzed data during 2016-2019. Data on the site is not posted every day, but once every few days. In just 4 years, data for \( N_{\text{total}} = 1102 \) days were analyzed. For each pollutant, the number of days was chosen with the excess of the established maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) according to Russian Federation norm at the \( i \)-th investigated site, \( N_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8 \)). For each pollutant in each site, times of exceeded MPC, \( n_i \), % were calculated using the equation:

\[
n_i\% = \frac{N_i}{N_{\text{total}}} \times 100\%.
\]

Where: \( N_i \) is the number of days (times out of 1102 days during 2016-2019) with the excess of MPC at the \( i \)-th investigated site, \( N_{\text{total}} \) is 1102 days for which there were data on air pollutant monitoring posted the public website.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Land-use cover

Sites S3, S6, and S8 did not have industrial areas at a distance of 1 kilometer. With the exception of Site S3, there was residential area within a radius of one kilometer from sites. Site S1 was characterized by maximum residential area cover – 33.3%. Sites had different greening situation. Table 3 shows that most sites were dominated by two greening categories: natural and semi-natural broadleaved vegetation and artificial broadleaved vegetation.

Air pollution

Table 4 shows times during 2016-2019 when exceeds of MPC for the air pollutants were observed.

It should be noted that all pollutants can be divided into two groups according to times of exceeded MPC. For HF, H₂S, CO, phenol, NH₃, NO, and common particulate matter the number of days with exceeded MPC for all sites did not exceed 5 percent of the total number of days. The largest times of MPC exceed were found for formaldehyde (up to 28.2 % of all observation days), HF (14.1% and 11.3% days in Sites S1 and S2, responsibility), and NO₂ (up to 13.4% of days).

Table 3. Land-use covers of zones within a radius of one kilometer from state air pollution monitoring stations

| Site | Ind | R | NBV | NCV | BF | CF | ABV | ACV |
|------|-----|---|-----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|
| S1   | 7.4 | 33.3 | 11.85 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 6 | 0 |
| S2   | 5.8 | 15 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 22.6 | 0 |
| S3   | 0 | 0.78 | 27.55 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 67 | 10.6 | 8.2 |
| S4   | 2.52 | 18.4 | 1.94 | 0 | 1.35 | 0 | 19.4 | 0.1 |
| S5   | 3.4 | 0 | 38.8 | 0.02 | 4.02 | 1.12 | 14.9 | 5.04 |
| S6   | 0 | 16.4 | 0 | 0.37 | 0 | 0 | 16.5 | 0 |
| S7   | 4.64 | 9.45 | 9.7 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 |
| S8   | 0 | 0.38 | 7.08 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 3.32 | 9.7 | 21.8 |
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Table 5 shows that Sites S1, S3, and S5 were the least contaminated with formaldehyde. The greatest number of
times the excess of MPC for formaldehyde was found at
Sites S4, S6, and S7 (see Table 5). Sites S5 and S3 were characterized by the largest greening cover, 47.2%, and
63.86%, respectively. The excesses of the MPC for HF were
were often observed only at two Sites S1 and S2 (see Table
5). In Site S6 it was only one time during investigated period (see Table 4).

Most often, MPC excesses for NO2 were observed at
Sites S4, S6, and S7. They are located near the largest mettallurgical enterprises. Excesses were not detected at
Site S3 and S8. These sites are located in the residential area. They were characterized by high greening cover. Site
S5 had dominated broadleaved greening cover. At Site S8, there was a more coniferous greening than broadleaved one. However, both sites were characterized by the least pollution of the air.

**Discussion**

Chelyabinsk showed heavy air pollution, having about
30% of pollution days in the whole year. According to
results there were three the most dangerous air pollutants in
Chelyabinsk: formaldehyde, HF, and NO2. Cases of high
atmospheric air pollution for other pollutants were rare.

It can be concluded that there is no specific source of
formaldehyde in the city because every Transport is one of
the sources of formaldehyde air pollution. Also,
formaldehyde can be formed as a result of photochemical
reactions with nitrogen oxides and ozone from
hydrocarbons emitted by industrial enterprises. There are
cancer and non-cancer effects after exposure to
formaldehyde at atmospheric air. Different types of cancer
are caused by formaldehyde but they appear at a high level
of formaldehyde. The non-cancer effects include asthma,
allergy, shortness, and sensory irritation to the eyes. They
may occur at low concentrations of formaldehyde. It is well
known that plants absorb formaldehyde by leaves and
metabolize it in the Calvin cycle by two-step enzymatic
oxidation (Kim et al. 2010). In Chelyabinsk urban area the
times of exceeded MPC of the formaldehyde during 2016-
2019 were the smallest for areas characterized by high
greening cover.

It can be assumed that the metallurgical industry was
the source of HF. However, the sites of excess concentration are located in different parts of the city, and
studying the causes of this phenomenon requires additional
research. In this study, there was no relationship between
land-use and HF concentration. It should be noted that
ground-level concentration of urban air pollutants is
function of emissions, weather conditions, terrain,
photochemical transformations, urban building, and other
factors.

Mettallurgical enterprises emit NO2, called the foxtail
gas. To a lesser extent, for Chelyabinsk, nitrogen dioxide
can be considered as traffic-related air pollutant. Ecotoxicological studies have shown that prolonged
nitrogen dioxide exposures can cause decreases in lung
host defenses and changes in lung structure (Kado et al.
2007). The previous studies have shown the associations of
land cover types with air pollution from NO2 (Guo et al.
2019). Our study also showed that areas with higher
greening cover had lower concentrations of NO2. There
was no effect of the type of greening on the contamination
of formaldehyde and NO2.

Finally, we did not find any associations of the
industrial and residential land-use with formaldehyde, HF,
and NO2 concentrations. There was an influence of urban
greening on air pollution in the studied four years. It should
be noted that urban greening is a win-win solution to
Chelyabinsk urban air pollution but, of course, direct way
to improve urban air quality is decreasing industrial and
transport emissions.

Table 4. Times of exceeded MPC of the air pollutants during 2016-2019

| Site | Formaldehyde | NO2 | HF | NO | CO | Phenol | H2S | NH3 | PM |
|------|--------------|-----|----|----|----|--------|-----|-----|----|
| S1   | 25(2.5)      | 2(0.2) | 124(11.3) | 1(0.1) | 1(0.1) | 15(1.4) | n.o. | n.o. | n.o. |
| S2   | 311(28.2)    | 72(6.5) | 155(14.1) | 9(0.8) | 1(0.1) | 47(4.3) | n.o. | 2(0.2) | 1(0.1) |
| S3   | 14(1.3)      | n.o. | n.o. | n.o. | 3(0.3) | 4(0.4) | n.o. | n.o. | n.o. |
| S4   | 166(15)      | 126(11.4) | n.o. | n.o. | n.o. | 7(0.6) | n.o. | n.o. | 1(0.1) |
| S5   | 13(1.2)      | 6(0.5) | n.o. | 3(0.3) | 13(1.2) | 10(0.9) | 10(0.9) | n.o. | n.o. |
| S6   | 184(16.7)    | 141(12.8) | 1(0.9) | n.o. | 7(0.6) | 11(1) | 1(0.1) | 5(0.5) | n.o. |
| S7   | 258(23.4)    | 148(13.4) | n.o. | 3(0.3) | 4(0.4) | 6(0.5) | n.o. | n.o. | n.o. |
| S8   | 60(5.4)      | n.o. | n.o. | 1(0.9) | 3(0.3) | 11(1) | n.o. | n.o. | 2(0.2) |

Note: n.o.: was not observed

Table 5. Sites’ levels of pollution by formaldehyde, hydrogen fluoride and nitrogen dioxide and land-use cover

| Site | Level of pollution | Land-use cover, % |
|------|-------------------|-----------------|
| NO2 | HF | Formaldehyde | TTB | TCG | TTB+TCG | Ind | R |
| S1  | + | ++++ | + | 16.85 | 0.1 | 16.95 | 7.4 | 33.3 |
| S2  | ++ | ++++ | + | 22.6 | 0.35 | 22.95 | 5.8 | 15 |
| S3  | – | – | + | 38.15 | 8.87 | 47.02 | 0 | 78 |
| S4  | – | – | ++++ | 22.69 | 0.1 | 22.79 | 2.52 | 18.4 |
| S5  | – | – | + | 57.70 | 6.16 | 63.86 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| S6  | ++ | ++++ | + | 16.50 | 0.37 | 16.87 | 0 | 16.4 |
| S7  | +++ | ++++ | + | 26.90 | 0.01 | 26.91 | 4.64 | 9.45 |
| S8  | – | – | ++ | 17.17 | 25.36 | 42.53 | 0 | 38.0 |

Note: - pollutant is not detected, + low level of pollutant (n, % < 5%), ++ middle level of pollutant (5% ≤ n, % < 10%), +++ high level of pollutant (10% ≤ n, %)
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