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1 Introduction
We introduce a new problem, CSPLib problem number 50, to generate all degree sequences that have a corresponding diamond-free graph with secondary properties. This problem arises naturally from a problem in mathematics to do with balanced incomplete block designs; we devote a section of this paper to this. The problem itself is challenging with respect to computational effort arising from the large number of symmetries within the models. We introduce two models for this problem. The second model is an improvement on the first, and this improvement largely consists of breaking the problem into two stages, the first stage producing graphical degree sequences that satisfy arithmetic constraints and the second part testing that there exists a graph with that degree sequence that is diamond-free. We now present the problem in detail and then give motivation for it. Two models are then presented, along with a listing of solutions. We then conclude and suggest further work that might be done.

2 Problem Definition
Given a simple undirected graph $G = (V,E)$, $V$ is the set of vertices and $E$ the set of undirected edges. The edge $\{u,v\} \in E$ if and only if vertex $u$ is adjacent to vertex $v$ in $G$. The graph is simple in that there are no loop edges, i.e. $\forall v \in V \ [\{v,v\} \not\in E]$. Each vertex $v$ in $V$ has a degree $\delta(v) = |\{\{v,w\} : \{v,w\} \in E\}|$, i.e. the number of edges incident on that vertex. A diamond is a set of four vertices in $V$ such that there are at least five edges between those vertices (see Figure 1 for an example of a diamond). Conversely, a graph is diamond-free if it has no diamond as a subgraph, i.e. for every set of four vertices the number of edges between those vertices is at most four.

In our problem we have additional properties required of the degree sequences of the graphs, in particular that the degree of each vertex is greater than zero (i.e. isolated vertices are disallowed), the degree of each vertex is divisible by 3, and the sum of the degrees is divisible by 12 (i.e. $|E|$ is divisible by 6).

The problem is then for a given value of $n$, such that $|V| = n$, produce all unique degree sequences $\delta(1) \geq \delta(2) \geq \ldots \geq \delta(n)$ such that there exists a diamond-free graph with that degree sequence, each degree is non-zero and divisible by 3, and the number of edges is divisible by 6.
In Figure 2 we give the unique degree sequence for \( n = 8 \) and an adjacency matrix and simple graph that both corresponds to that sequence and represents a diamond-free graph.

3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1
0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1
0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1
0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1
0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1
1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1
1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1
1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1

Fig. 2. A degree sequence for \( n = 8 \) with the corresponding adjacency matrix and graph that is diamond-free.

3 Motivation
The problem is a byproduct of attempting to classify partial linear spaces that can be produced during the execution of an extension of Stinson’s hillwalking algorithm for block designs with block size 4. First we need some definitions (see \[\Pi\]).

Definition 1. A Balanced Incomplete Block Design (BIBD) is a pair \((V, B)\) where \(V\) is a set of \( n \) points and \( B\) a collection of subsets of \( V\) (blocks) such that each element of \( V\) is contained in exactly \( r \) blocks and every 2-subset of \( V\) is contained in exactly \( \lambda \) blocks.

Variations on BIBDs include Pairwise Balanced Designs (PBDs) in which blocks can have different sizes, and linear spaces which are PBDs in which every block...
Algorithm 1 Algorithm to generate an STS on $n$ points

\begin{verbatim}
\begin{algorithm}
\caption{Algorithm to generate an STS on $n$ points}
\begin{algorithmic}
\State $n \leftarrow$ number of points
\State LivePairs $\leftarrow \{(i, j) : 0 \leq i < j < n\}$
\State Blocks $\leftarrow$ empty set
\While{LivePairs not empty}
\State choose $(x, y)$ and $(y, z)$ from LivePairs
\State remove $(x, y)$ and $(y, z)$ from LivePairs
\State add $(x, y, z)$ to Blocks
\If{$(y, z)$ is in LivePairs}
\State remove $(y, z)$ from LivePairs
\Else
\State remove existing block containing $(y, z)$, $(w, y, z)$
\State add $(w, z)$ to LivePairs
\EndIf
\EndWhile
\end{algorithmic}
\end{algorithm}
\end{verbatim}

A natural extension to this algorithm, for the case where block size is 4, is proposed in Algorithm 2. This algorithm does not always work. It is possible for the algorithm to fail to terminate due to reaching a point where the block design is not created and there are no suitable overlapping triples $(x, y, z)$ and $(x, y, w)$ in LiveTriples. For this reason, we replace the condition on the while loop by

\begin{verbatim}
\State while LiveTriples not empty and overlapping triples exist
\end{verbatim}

Now the algorithm terminates, but rather than always producing a block design, either produces a block design, or a 4$^*$ structure, for which the complement has no overlapping triples. I.e. the complement graph is diamond-free.
Algorithm 2 Algorithm to generate a block design with block size 4 on \( n \) points

\[
\begin{align*}
&n \leftarrow \text{number of points} \\
&\text{LiveTriples} \leftarrow \{(i, j, k) : 0 \leq i < j < k < n\} \\
&\text{Blocks} \leftarrow \text{empty set} \\
\textbf{while} \ \text{LiveTriples not empty} \ \textbf{do} \\
&\quad \text{choose } (x, y, z) \text{ and } (x, y, w) \text{ from LiveTriples} \\
&\quad \text{remove } (x, y, z) \text{ and } (x, y, w) \text{ from LivePairs} \\
&\quad \text{add } (x, y, z, w) \text{ to Blocks} \\
&\quad \text{if } (y, z, w) \text{ is in LiveTriples then} \\
&\quad\quad \text{remove } (y, z, w) \text{ from LiveTriples} \\
&\quad\quad \text{else} \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{remove existing block containing } (y, z, w), (u, y, z, w) \\
&\quad\quad\quad \text{add } (u, y, z) \text{ and } (u, z, w) \text{ to LiveTriples} \\
&\quad \textbf{end if} \\
\textbf{end while}
\end{align*}
\]

When \( n = 13 \) the algorithm either produces a block design, or a \( 4^8 \) structure whose complement graph consists of 4 non-intersecting triangles.

The next open problem therefore is for \( n = 16 \). If the algorithm does not produce a block design, what is the nature of the structure it does produce? To do this, we need to classify the \( 4^s \) structures whose complement graph is diamond-free.

The cases for which the \( 4^s \) structure has at least 2 points that are in the maximum number of blocks (5) are fairly straightforward. (There are fewer cases as this number increases.). However if the number of such points is 0 or 1, there is a large number of sub-cases to consider. The problem is simplified if we can dismiss potential \( 4^s \) structures because the degree sequences of their complements can not be associated with a diamond-free graph. This leads us to the problem outlined in this report: to classify the degree sequences of diamond-free graphs of order 15 and 16. Note that each point that is not in 5 blocks is either in no blocks or is in blocks with in some number of points, where that number is divisible by 3. Thus for every point there is a vertex in the complement graph whose degree is also divisible by 3. In addition, since the number of pairs in both a block design on 16 points and a \( 4^s \) structure are divisible by 6, the number of edges in the complement graph must be divisible by 6.

4 Constraint Models for Diamond-free Degree Sequences

We present two constraint models for the diamond-free degree sequence problem. The first model we call model A, the second model B. In many respects the two models are very similar but what is different is how we solve them. In the subsequent descriptions we assume that we have as input the integer \( n \), where \(|V| = n\) and vertex \( i \in V \). All the constraint models were implemented using the choco toolkit [2]. Further we assume that a variable \( x \) has a domain of values \( \text{dom}(x) \).
4.1 Model A
Model A is based on the adjacency matrix model of a graph. We have a 0/1 constrained integer variable for each edge in the graph such that \( A_{ij} = 1 \iff \{i, j\} \in E \). In addition we have constrained integer variables \( \text{deg}_1 \) to \( \text{deg}_n \) corresponding to the degrees of each vertex, such that

\[
\forall i \in [1..n] \; \text{dom}(\text{deg}_i) = [3..n - 1]
\]

We then have constraints to ensure that the graph is simple:

\[
\forall i \in [1..n] \forall j \in [i..n] \; [A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}]
\]

\[
\forall i \in [1..n] [A_{i,i} = 0]
\]

Constraints are then required to ensure that the graph is diamond-free:

\[
\forall \{i,j,k,l\} \in V \; [A_{i,j} + A_{i,k} + A_{i,l} + A_{j,k} + A_{j,l} + A_{k,l} \leq 4]
\]

Finally we have constraints on the degree sequence:

\[
\forall i \in [1..n] [\text{deg}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}]
\]

\[
\forall i \in [1..n-1] [\text{deg}_i \geq \text{deg}_{i+1}]
\]

\[
\forall i \in [1..n] [\text{deg}_i \; \text{mod} \; 3 = 0]
\]

\[
\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{deg}_i
\]

\[
\sigma \; \text{mod} \; 12 = 0
\]

The vertex degree variables \( \text{deg}_1 \) to \( \text{deg}_n \) are the decision variables.

4.2 Model B
Model B is essentially model A broken into two parts, each part solved separately. The first part of the problem is to produce a graphical degree sequence that meets the arithmetic constraints. The second part is to determine if there exists a diamond-free graph with that degree sequence. Therefore solving proceeds as follows.

1. Generate the next degree sequence \( \pi = d_1, d_2, ..., d_n \) that meets the arithmetic constraints. If no more degree sequences exist then terminate the process.
2. If the degree sequence \( \pi \) is not graphical return to step 1.
3. Determine if there is a diamond-free graph with the degree sequence \( \pi \).
4. Return to step 1.
The first part of model B is then as follows. Integer variables $deg_1$ to $deg_n$ correspond to the degrees of each vertex and we satisfy constraints (1), (6), (7), (8) and (9) to generate a degree sequence.

Each valid degree sequence produced is then tested to determine if it is graphical using the Havel-Hakimi algorithm [3]. If the degree sequence is graphical we create an adjacency matrix as in (2) and (3) and post the constraints (4) and (5) (diamond free with given degree sequence) where the variables $deg_1$ to $deg_n$ have been instantiated. Finally we are in a position to post static symmetry breaking constraints. If we are producing a graph and $deg_i = deg_j$ then these two vertices are interchangeable. Consequently we can insist that row $i$ in the adjacency matrix is lexicographically less than or equal to row $j$. Therefore we post the following constraints:

$$\forall i \in [1 \ldots n-1][deg_i = deg_{i+1} \Rightarrow A_i \preceq A_{i+1}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\preceq$ means lexicographically less than or equal. In this second stage of solving the variables $A_{1,1}$ to $A_{n,n}$ are the decision variables.

5 Solutions

Our results are tabulated in Table 1 (at end of report) for $8 \leq n \leq 16$. All our results are produced using model B run on a machine with 8 Intel Zeon E5420 processors running at 2.50 GHz, 32Gb of RAM, with version 5.2 of linux. The longest run time was for $n = 16$ taking about 5 minutes cpu time. Included in Table 1 is the cpu time in seconds to generate all degree sequences for a given value of $n$.

All our results were verified. For each degree sequence the corresponding adjacency matrix was saved to file and verified to correspond to a simple diamond-free graph that matched the degree sequence and satisfied the arithmetic constraints. The verification software did not use any of the constraint programming code.

6 Conclusion

We have presented a new problem, the generation of all degree sequences for diamond free graphs subject to arithmetic constraints. Two models have been presented, A and B. Model A is impractical, whereas model B is two stage and allows static symmetry breaking.

There are two possible improvements. The first is to model A. We might add the lexicographical constraints, as used in model B, conditionally during search. The second improvement worthy of investigation is to employ a mixed integer programming solver for the second stage of model B.

We are currently using the lists of feasible degree sequences for diamond-free graphs with 15 or 16 vertices to simplify our proofs for the classification of 4*-structures with diamond-free complements, when the number of points in the maximum number of blocks is 1 or 0 respectively. The degree sequence results for a smaller number of points will also help to simplify our existing proofs for
cases where more points are in the maximum number of blocks. Ultimately we would like to use our classification to modify the extension of Stinson’s algorithm for block size 4 to ensure that a block design is always produced.

In the more distant future, we would like to analyse the structures produced using our algorithm when $n > 16$. The next case is $n = 25$ and the corresponding diamond-free graphs would have up to 25 vertices.
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| $n$  | time | degree sequence |
|------|------|---------------|
| 8    | 0.1  | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 9    | 0.1  | 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 10   | 0.5  | 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 11   | 0.8  | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 12   | 1.4  | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 13   | 3.7  | 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
| 14   | 14.0 | 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 15   | 107.7| 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 12 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 12 12 12 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| 16   | 339.8| 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 |
|      |      | 12 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 12 9 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 12 12 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
|      |      | 12 12 9 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |

Table 1. Degree sequences, of length $n$, that meet the arithmetic constraints and have a simple diamond-free graph. Tabulated is $n$, cpu time in seconds to generate all sequences of length $n$ and those sequences.