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Abstract

The present research study was conducted during 2019-2020. In this study attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises was studied. Due to non-availability of appropriate scale to measure attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises, it was thought necessary to construct a scale for the purpose. Keeping this in view, an attempt has been made to develop a scale for measuring the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises. Method of summated rating scale, by Likert (1932) was used. Thirty six statements were selected from fifty four statements for which ‘t’ values were worked out. Statements both positive and negative with t-values more than 1.75 for were selected. Statement wise content analysis of attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises was conducted. “I prefer to work with strong desire to achieve excellence in running the agri enterprise” with highest mean score (4.71) ranked first followed by “I prefer to be self-confident while taking decisions in running the agri-enterprise”. In the same way remaining 34 statements were keenly analyzed and presented in the paper.
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Introduction

Attitude is the prime cause for the growth of an individual and will have great impact on the way we think, the way we perceive and the way we do the things. It is the determining factor for the success or failure of any vibrant endeavor. As entrepreneurship is the most predominant avenue for the growth and development of a country, it became mandatory to strengthen the entrepreneurship through potential and dynamic rural youth of the country. Rural youth are a formidable force in the entrepreneurial sector.

While their contribution towards attaining food security cannot be under estimated, their lukewarm attitude towards agri-enterprise is a primary issue of concern and challenge to the future of the entrepreneurship in India. The attitude of youth towards agri-enterprises is the important concern to design deliberate
strategies for attracting rural youth towards agripreneurship. Hence, there is need to study the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises. Edwards defined attitude as the degree of positive or negative affect associated with some psychological object. Attitude in this study was operationally defined as the degree of positive or negative feeling of rural youth towards agri-enterprise.

**Materials and Methods**

To measure the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprise, a scale has been developed by the following procedure. Method of summated rating scale, by Likert (1932) was used to construct the attitude scale of rural youth towards agri-enterprise.

**Steps used in construction of attitude scale are given below**

The following steps were carried out to construct the scale to measure the attitude of rural youth towards agripreneurship (Edwards, 1969 and Chandrakandan et al., 2000).

**Collection and editing of items**

A set of Fifty four statements representing the attitude of rural youth towards agripreneurship were collected initially from available literature and interaction with experts and then edited on the basis of criteria suggested by Thurstone and Chave (1929), Likert (1932) and Edwards (1957).

Out of fifty four statements, thirty six statements were retained after editing. These statements were administered to 88 judges having expertise in agri-enterprises. The respondents were asked to indicate their degree of agreement or disagreement with each statement on a five point continuum ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. A score of 5 was given to strongly agree response, 4 to agree response, 3 to undecided response, 2 to disagree response and 1 to strongly disagree response, for a positive statement and for negative statement, the scoring pattern was reversed viz., strongly disagree response with 1 weightage, agree with 2, undecided with 3, disagree with 4 and strongly disagree with 5 weightage in that order. Their responses were recorded and total score for each respondent was arrived by summing up the scores on all the statements.

The scores of the individual statements were summed up to get the total scores of the respondents. Based on the total scores obtained, the respondents were arranged in descending order. Then the top 25 per cent of the respondents with the highest scores and the bottom 25 per cent of the respondents with the lowest scores were considered as criterion groups to evaluate individual statements. The middle 50 per cent of the respondents were deleted for further analysis. The top 25 per cent were considered as high group and bottom 25 per cent were considered as low group to calculate the critical ratio i.e., ‘t’ value for each statement. The calculated ‘t’ value for each statement will measure the extent to which the statement differentiates between the respondents of high group and low group. The ‘t’ values were calculated by using the formula suggested by Edwards (1957). The ‘t’ value for each statement was calculated by using the formula.

$$t = \frac{(\bar{X}_H - \bar{X}_L)}{\sqrt{\sum (X_H - \bar{X}_H)^2 + \sum (X_L - \bar{X}_L)^2} / n (n-1)}$$

where,

- $\bar{X}_H = \text{Mean score on a given statement for the high group}$
- $\bar{X}_L = \text{Mean score on a given statement for the low group}$
Selection of attitude statements for final scale

After computing ‘t’ values for all the items (Table 1), statements comprising of twenty five positive and nine negative statements with t value equal to or greater than 1.75 were finally selected and included in the attitude scale.

Reliability of the scale

A scale is reliable when it will consistently produce the same results when applied on the same sample (Goode and Hatt, 1952). For testing the reliability, split half method was employed. The attitude scale of 36 statements was distributed to thirty rural youth in agripreneurship of non-sample area for their responses. After getting back the responses, the scale was divided into two halves, all odd statements into one half and all even statements into another. Then the co-efficient of reliability was calculated between the two halves. The correlation coefficient for both the sets was worked out. The correlation coefficient (r=0.84) was significant at 0.01 level indicating the attitude scale was highly suitable for administration to the rural youth in agripreneurship.

Validity of the scale

Content validity

As the content of the attitude thoroughly covered the entire universe of the rural youth with special emphasis on agripreneurship through available literature and consultation with expert opinion, it was assumed that present scale satisfied the content validity.

| S.No | Statements                                                                 | t values for all statements |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1.   | I feel rural youth need more trainings and technical support from Govt. / NGOs. | 0.169                       |
| 2.   | I feel subsidies and incentives provided by the Government for young agripreneurs are not adequate and supporting (-) | 1.859*                     |
| 3.   | I feel having job either private/ public is better than starting enterprise (-) | 1.767*                     |
| 4.   | I feel agripreneurship is the only source of self-employment for rural youth to arrest their migration to nearby cities. | 1.953*                     |
| 5.   | I would like to give my ideas and take the other ideas for the benefit of agrienterprise. | 1.994*                     |
| 6.   | I want to become a role model for other agripreneurs by succeeding in my own agri-enterprise. | 0.224                      |
| 7.   | I feel an agripreneur should be self motivated in achieving his goals. | 0.447                      |
| 8.   | I feel successful agripreneurs always tend to think ahead. | 1.988*                     |
| 9.   | I feel success will not come without proper publicity and product promotion in agripreneurship | 1.753*                     |
|   | Statement                                                                 | Score  |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 10. | I feel identification of customer needs has nothing to do with agripreneurship | 2.903* |
| 11. | I feel one must be prepared to take risk in agripreneurship               | 1.818* |
| 12. | I feel feasibility study/project appraisal is of no help in grabbing opportunities in agripreneurship | 0.528  |
| 13. | I prefer to work with strong desire to achieve excellence in running the agri-enterprise | 1.919* |
| 14. | I prefer not to exhibit independence in taking decisions regarding running the agri-enterprise | 2.065* |
| 15. | I prefer to be diversified to avoid risk in running the agri-enterprise   | 0.723  |
| 16. | I prefer to act with positive self concept in running the agri-enterprise | 0.169  |
| 17. | I prefer to be cosmopolitan in my work in running the agri-enterprise    | 1.794* |
| 18. | I am a person easily discouraged by failures in the agri-enterprise       | 2.664* |
| 19. | I prefer to grab the opportunity in successful running of the agri-enterprise | 2.449* |
| 20. | I prefer not to give importance for problem solving in running agri-enterprise | 2.536* |
| 21. | I prefer to confront uncertainties in running the agri-enterprise         | 2.822* |
| 22. | I prefer little to negotiate with customers/buyers/contractors for securing better price for the product in running the agri-enterprise | 1.798* |
| 23. | I prefer not to face stressful/crisis situation in running the agri-enterprise | 0.692  |
| 24. | I prefer to give importance for time management in running the agri-enterprise | 0.994  |
| 25. | I prefer little to try innovative methods in running my agri-enterprise  | 0.522  |
| 26. | I prefer little to introduce new products/new methods/new markets in running the agri-enterprise | 2.261* |
| 27. | I prefer to be selective in deciding course of action in running the agri-enterprise | 1.788* |
| 28. | I prefer to develop necessary written & oral communication skills in running the agri-enterprise | 2.534* |
| 29. | I prefer to give importance to visionary outlook in running the agri-enterprise | 2.347* |
| 30. | I prefer little to make effective utilization of resources in running the agri-enterprise | 1.216  |
| 31. | I prefer to know the cost of raw material for production in running the agri-enterprise | 1.869* |
| 32. | I prefer to be self confident while taking decisions in running the agri-enterprise | 2.531* |
| 33. | I prefer to weigh profit making as measure of success in running the agri-enterprise | 0.288  |
| 34. | I prefer little to carry out market survey for effective running of the agri-enterprise | 0.623  |
| 35. | I prefer to lay down the policies of recruitment in running the agri-enterprise | 1.876* |
| 36. | I prefer to get the project report prepared in running the agri-enterprise | 0.449  |
| 37. | I prefer not to know the existing problems in running the agri-enterprise | 1.873* |
| 38. | I prefer to plan for required pollution control measures in running the agri-enterprise | 2.534* |
| 39. | I prefer to know the transport requirement in running the agri-enterprise | 3.376* |
40. I prefer to pay little attention to installation capacity of machine in running the agri-enterprise(-) 3.049*

41. I prefer to emphasize the product standards in running the agri-enterprise 1.814*

42. I prefer to know the advantage of my product over its substitutes in running the agri-enterprise 0.221

43. I prefer to experiment with new product distribution channels in running the agri-enterprise 0.809

44. I prefer less to know the market strategies for sale of product in running the agri-enterprise(-) 0.199

45. I prefer little to know the nature and size of customer for the product in running the agri-enterprise(-) 0.534

46. I prefer less to know the prevailing local/national/international trade in running the enterprise(-) 1.915*

47. I prefer to know the volume of investment required in running the agri-enterprise 2.238*

48. I prefer least to get the profit loss statement prepared in running the agri-enterprise(-) 2.771*

49. I prefer to know the export potential of my product in running the agri enterprise 1.032

50. I prefer little to care for research and development strategies in running the agri enterprise (-) 2.449*

51. I want to be an elite person in society through agripreneurship 1.952*

52. I am ready to invite innovations in agripreneurship 2.083*

53. I work hard and smart to make agri enterprise worthy 1.770*

54. I can overcome any type of hardships in agri enterprise 2.024*

(-) Indicates negative statements. *Statements which were selected for the study.

SA- Strongly Agree A- Agree UD- Undecided DA- Disagree SDA- Strongly Disagree.

Administration of the test

Each of the thirty six statements were administered to the respondents for studying the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises. The score for each individual in the scale was computed by summing up the weights of individual item response. The possible maximum and minimum scores for each respondent was 180 and 36 respectively.

The scale met the reliability and validity test satisfactorily indicated its ability and validity test satisfactorily indicated its ability as an instrument for measuring attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises. This study aims at constructing a scale to measure the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises.

The affective aspect of attitude scale consisted of 36 items, with high reliability, and more predictive validity. This scale can be used in future studies on perceptions and feeling about the rural youth towards agri-enterprises. It will be helpful to the policy makers and administrators to develop suitable coping strategies towards agri-enterprises by knowing the attitude of rural youth towards agri-enterprises.
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