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Background: After successful utilization of diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) in detecting brain pathologies, it is now being examined for use in the detection of peripheral neuropathies. The aim of this meta-analysis was to evaluate the diagnostic potentials of DTI in carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS).

Material/Methods: The literature search was performed in multiple electronic databases using a keyword search and final selection of the studies was based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. We performed a meta-analyses of mean differences in fractional anisotropy (FA) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) between CTS patients and healthy subjects. Publication bias detection was done with Begg’s test and sensitivity analyses were performed to explore the source/s of higher heterogeneity and the authenticity of results.

Results: FA was significantly lower in CTS patients in comparison with healthy subjects (mean and the difference [95% confidence interval] was –0.06 [–0.10, –0.02] (p=0.003). The ADC was significantly higher in CTS patients (mean difference [95% CI] was 0.10 [0.02, 0.18], p=0.02). Overall sensitivity of FA-based diagnosis was 82.82%, with 77.83% specificity.

Conclusions: DTI can be a valuable tool in diagnosing CTS.
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Background

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is an advanced form of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that reads diffusion of water in tissues in accordance with the microstructural architecture of tissues [1]. The cytoarchitecture of tissues changes from developmental to aged stage, as well as in the pathological conditions. This physical property has provided the basis for the development of diffusion-weighted MRI methods, of which DTI is a highly sensitive and potentially powerful technique to detect the effects of disease and aging on tissue microstructure [2].

Diffusion tensor imaging extracts and characterizes diffusion patterns to provide exquisite details of tissue microstructure and fiber tracking. Because pathological tissue microstructure differs from normal, DTI can also provide quantitative information to differentiate between healthy and pathological states [3]. To quantify the weighted diffusion characterizing the microstructure of the tissues, the 2 most common measures of the diffusion tensor are the trace and anisotropy. Mean diffusivity and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) are widely used in DTI to measure compactness of the tissues and intercellular space and provide estimates independent of fiber directionality [4,5]. The fractional anisotropy (FA) estimates the coherence of oriented structures such as myelinated nerve fibers. It is the extent to which water within a voxel diffuses preferentially along 1 axis rather than exhibiting isotropic diffusion (i.e., diffusing equally along all axes) [6,7]. Both these measures complement each other to attain a highly sensitive 3 dimensional diffusion ellipsoid tensor model called DTI [8].

Diffusion tensor imaging has been used to study the white matter architecture and integrity of the normal tissues and pathological conditions, including multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease, mild cognitive impairment, leukoaraiosis, cervical spondylotic myelopathy, epilepsy, schizophrenia, and aging [9–15]. Furthermore, DTI potentials are also studied in peripheral nervous system in healthy subjects and in patients with peripheral neuropathies.

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common entrapment peripheral neuropathy which is caused by compression of the median nerve at the wrist [16]. Usually, diagnosis is made either with electrophysiological indices or with non-invasive high-resolution ultrasonography. Electrophysiological studies are associated with painful procedure, discomfort, and incidence of considerably higher false negatives and false positives [17,18]. Ultrasonographic diagnosis is less expensive and quicker but its diagnostic strength is lower in the elderly [19,20]. A number of studies have reported that DTI has shown promising results in diagnosing CTS, but results are not always consistent. The present study systematically reviews trials that used DTI to explore its potentials in diagnosing carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) and performs a meta-analysis by evaluating the most usual quantitative measures to attain updated evidence regarding the use of DTI for CTS.

Material and Methods

This study was carried out by following PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [21].

Literature search

The electronic databases EBSCO, Embase, Google Scholar, Ovid SP, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were used for literature search. The major medical subject headings (MeSH) and keywords – carpal tunnel syndrome, peripheral neuropathy, diffusion tensor imaging, fractional anisotropy, diffusivity, diffusion coefficient, and diffusion-weighted MRI – were used in various logical combinations and phrases. The search encompassed original research papers published before August 2015.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were: a) trials recruiting CTS patients to study the diagnostic effectiveness of DTI by comparing it with normal controls; and b) measured and provided values of fractional anisotropy and apparent diffusion coefficient. Exclusion criteria were: a) studies examining the effectiveness of DTI either in CTS patients or in healthy subject but not having both the arms or reporting the outcomes of interest in a single arm only; and b) studies providing relevant information without numeric data.

Data extraction, synthesis, and statistical analyses

During data extraction, numerical values regarding the study endpoints, outcome measures, and outcomes, demographic, and clinical characteristics of the patients and healthy subjects and other relevant data were obtained from identified papers and synthesized on datasheets. Eggers and Begg’s tests were performed to estimate the publication bias and fill and trim method was applied to assess the scope of missing studies.

Meta-analyses of inverse variance weighted mean differences were carried out by using RevMan software (Version 5.3.2; Cochrane Collaboration) under the random-effects model. For this purpose, the mean and standard deviation values of the variables of interest were used to calculate individual effects sizes and then an overall effect size was achieved. The significance of differences between CTS patients and healthy controls in DTI indices (FA and ADC) were tested with a 2-tailed z-test. Between-studies statistical heterogeneity was tested by...
I² index. Sensitivity analyses were performed to test the authenticity of the results. Meta-regression analyses were performed in Stata (version 12) to identify the effect of age and sex on DTI outcomes.

Results

Twelve studies [22–33] were selected using the inclusion and exclusion criteria. A flowchart of study screening and selection process is given in Figure 1. Significant publication bias was detected by Begg’s test. A funnel plot depicting publication bias and possible missing studies as estimated with trim and fill method is shown in Figure 2. Overall, the included studies recruited 316 CTS patients and 293 healthy subjects. Age of CTS patients and healthy controls was 48.92±9.52 years and 43.56±8.24 years, respectively. Proportion of males among CTS patients was 24.28±10.43% and in healthy subjects it was 27.67±11.1%.

Fractional anisotropy was significantly lower in CTS patients in comparison with their healthy counterparts. Mean difference and [95% confidence interval; CI] was –0.06 [–0.10, –0.02]; p=0.003 (Figure 3). Statistical heterogeneity was higher (I²=97%) in the overall meta-analysis but sensitivity analysis revealed that I² could be reduced up to a level of 52% without changing the overall effect size.

The apparent diffusion coefficient was significantly higher in CTS patients. Mean difference and [95% CI] was 0.10 [0.02, 0.18]; p=0.02 (Figure 4). Statistical heterogeneity was higher (I²=98%) in the overall meta-analysis but sensitivity analysis revealed that I² could be reduced up to a level of 55% without changing the overall effect size.

Six studies also mentioned sensitivity and specificity of DTI in diagnosing CTS (Table 1). Overall sensitivity of FA-based diagnosis was 82.82% with 77.83% specificity. In these 6 studies, the FA...
with healthy control subjects, CTS patients have significantly lower fractional anisotropy and significantly higher apparent diffusion coefficient when subjected to diffusion tensor imaging. Sensitivity and specificity of fractional anisotropy in distinguishing CTS patients from healthy subjects were 83% and 78%, respectively. These results reveal the potentials of DTI in the diagnosis of chronic nerve compression characteristic of CTS.

Carpal tunnel syndrome is a peripheral neuropathy, with symptoms of pain, numbness, and paresthesia in the hand due to blockade of median nerve conduction that develops by the partial deafferentation after the compression of the median nerve in the carpal tunnel, and is also associated with altered function of the entire somatosensory system, from the peripheral nerves to the brain [34,35]. CTS affects about 2.7% of the general population and has a multifactorial etiology with risk factors including general population and has a multifactorial etiology with risk.

## Discussion

In the present meta-analysis, we found that in comparison with healthy control subjects, CTS patients have significantly lower fractional anisotropy and significantly higher apparent diffusion coefficient when subjected to diffusion tensor imaging. Sensitivity and specificity of fractional anisotropy in distinguishing CTS patients from healthy subjects were 83% and 78%, respectively. These results reveal the potentials of DTI in the diagnosis of chronic nerve compression characteristic of CTS.

Carpal tunnel syndrome is a peripheral neuropathy, with symptoms of pain, numbness, and paresthesia in the hand due to blockade of median nerve conduction that develops by the partial deafferentation after the compression of the median nerve in the carpal tunnel, and is also associated with altered function of the entire somatosensory system, from the peripheral nerves to the brain [34,35]. CTS affects about 2.7% of the general population and has a multifactorial etiology with risk factors including general population and has a multifactorial etiology with risk factors including
Table 1. Sensitivities and specificities of DTI fractional anisotropy in diagnosing CTS observed in 6 studies.

| Study                        | Cut-off | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| Barcelo et al. 2013          | –       | 93.0%       | 91.0%       |
| Bulut et al. 2014            | 0.532   | 94.4%       | 70.8%       |
| Guggenberger et al. 2012     | 0.47    | 83.0%       | 67.0%       |
| Koh et al. 2014              | 0.536   | 73.8%       | 76.2%       |
| Kwon et al. 2014             | 0.44    | 72.0%       | 82.0%       |
| Tasdelen et al. 2012         | 0.554   | 80.7%       | 80.0%       |

The present study identifies ADC as a potentially useful measure of diffusion tensor by virtue of the overall effect size achieved herein, but some of the included studies found that, although, ADC values were positively correlated with severity of damage, association strength was not as strong as with FA [23,31,33]. Kabakci et al. [46] also observed this finding while studying normative diffusion values in the median nerve. Because of this observation, it was suggested that FA is a more reliable indicator than ADC in the diagnosis of CTS [22]. Khalil et al. [27] reported ADC to be non-significantly different in CTS patients in comparison with controls. Lindberg et al. [30] found reduced ADC in the median nerve in recurrent CTS patients, which is also similar to the outcomes reported by Hiltunen et al. [26]. However, both of these studies also reported non-significantly different FA in CTS and control subjects. In the present meta-analysis, the overall specificity and sensitivity of FA in diagnosing CTS were 83% and 78%, respectively, but there was no correlation between the sensitivities and specificities of these 6 studies (r=0.039).

Further research using larger datasets may be needed to refine the evidence. A number of factors need to be considered while analyzing the DTI measurements. Guggenberger et al. [25] reported a decrease in FA from proximal to distal locations. Kabakci et al. [46] observed that FA values at the flexor retinaculum differed from values at the forearm and wrist. Guggenberger et al. [47] compared 3.0 T MR scanners from different vendors to assess the agreement of FA and ADC values of the median nerve and found significant differences; therefore, they suggested that larger studies can minimize such differences.
Conclusions

The most widely used indices of diffusion tensor imaging are the apparent diffusion coefficient and fractional anisotropy. In carpal tunnel syndrome patients, significantly lower fractional anisotropy and significantly higher apparent diffusion coefficient were observed in this meta-analysis, which favors the potential utility of DTI in CTS patients. However, more studies are required to refine this evidence.
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