Objective Evaluation of the Longevity of A Calcium Hydroxylapatite-Based Filler (Radiesse®)
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Abstract

Background: Calcium hydroxylapatite (CaHA) based soft-tissue filler (Radiesse®, Merz) is categorised as a biodegradable collagen-stimulating implant. It is comprised of a suspension of 25-45 micron diameter microspheres of CaHA(30%) in a carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) gel carrier (70%) and is one of the most well researched soft-tissue fillers. However, great discrepancies exist in the literature regarding the duration of clinical effect with the implant, ranging from only 6 to 24 months.

Objective: This is a retrospective chart analysis of four patients injected with CaHA filler for volume augmentation in the malar region of the face. Objective volume calculations were performed with the Canfield Vectra 3D Imaging System three and five months post-implantation, with the view to determine the longevity of the volumizing effect of the filler substance.

Discussion: CaHA based filler is believed to afford immediate volume restoration due to the CMC gel component and a long-term action due to neocollagenesis induced by the CaHA particles. The CMC gel is known to dissipate within 6-8 weeks, only to be replaced by new collagen induced by the CaHA particles. Thus soft-tissue formation is believed to lead to a sustained volumizing effect.

Results of this retrospective study clearly show that the volume replacement afforded by the filler was not sustained, with 65% and up to 96% reduction in volume at five months.

Conclusion: The volumizing effect of CaHA based filler is shown to have limited longevity, despite the fact that it is a proven biostimulant. It is possible that the CaHA microspheres are degraded relatively swiftly in interstitial fluid and therefore cannot sustain their biostimulating effect.
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Introduction

Soft tissue fillers, originally developed for the filling of rhytids and folds, are currently used for the global restoration of facial volume and optimization of facial contours as in chin, tear trough, cheek, lip, and jawline correction. Recently several fillers have proven to be of value in the correction of non-facial areas. They are of great benefit in hand rejuvenation, rejuvenation of the décolleté and neck, reduction of cellulite dimpling, buttock augmentation, volumizing of the labia majoré, and post-liposuction deformity correction [1,2].

The current plethora of soft-tissue filler substances essentially comprises three general categories; the replacement biodegradable fillers (Hyaluronic acid products), the permanent non-biodegradable fillers (ex. Polymethylmethacrylate, polyacrylamide gel, silicone), and biodegradable collagen-stimulating substances. There is no doubt that hyaluronans are the most widely used soft-tissue fillers worldwide [3,4]. This is despite the fact that they have limited longevity, between 6-12 months, since they are metabolized by endogenous hyaluronidase enzymes. The hyaluronic acid filler’s longevity depends upon the extent of cross-linkage and the concentration and particle size of each product. These medical devices are replacement fillers and simply restore lost volume but do not induce long-term collagen-stimulating effects [5,6].

The non-biodegradable products are generally not approved in most countries as they are associated with severe side effects and persistent granulomas that can occur several years after implantation [4].

The quest for longer-lasting biodegradable substances has resulted in the development of substances that provide longer-term correction by inducing new soft tissue formation. This category of biodegradable collagen-stimulating substances includes three types of implantable medical devices; poly-lactic acid (PLLA), calcium hydroxyapatite (CaHA), and polycaprolactone-based fillers. Typically, these are
particulate substances between 25 to 45 microns in size that illicit an inflammatory reaction resulting in encapsulation of the particles and the prevention of particle migration. They ultimately lead to neocollagenesis, and the induction of new soft tissue formation by these biostimulating substances results in long-lasting cosmetic correction, in certain cases persisting well over two years [7,8].

CaHA-based filler (Radiesse®, Merz) is comprised of a suspension of CaHA microsphere ranging from 25 to 45 micron in diameter, in a carboxymethyl cellulose gel carrier [9]. It is one of the most extensively studied fillers currently used for soft tissue augmentation. However, great discrepancies exist in the literature regarding the duration of clinical effect with the implant, ranging anywhere from only 6 months to 24 months [9-13]. The vast majority of these studies have employed subjective evaluation scales such as the global aesthetic improvement scale and the wrinkle severity rating scale, which rely on the investigator’s and patient’s evaluation of the results.

A review of the literature revealed only one objective study whereby 3D surface scanning was employed for the quantification of soft tissue changes in three female subjects treated with CaHA filler. Volume quantifications were made immediately post injection, 2 weeks and six months after injection with an Artect 3D HT surface scanner (Artec Group, San Diego, California). The authors concluded that the filler persisted for six months after treatment. However qualitative data from the colour coding of the heat maps showed a clear decline in volume at six months [14].

Three-dimensional (3D) imaging systems for the face and body are currently employed for surgical planning and research. The Canfield 3D stereophotogrammetric camera and software (Vectra; Canfield Scientific, Fairfield, NJ) is being increasingly used in computerized volume calculations post filler injections, breast augmentation and cryolipolysis [15-18]. The system has been shown to be reliable and reproducible and the 3D imaging system measuring shows a linear association with Magnetic Resonance Imaging [19].

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective chart review of four female subjects, aged 28-50 years, treated with CaHA filler on the malar area of the face, between January to June 2015. The subjects retrospectively studied were randomly selected. Ethical approval to analyse the patient data retrospectively was awarded by the Clinic Director based on consent given by the patients to analyse and publish the data (Figure 1).

Injection technique

In our clinics, all patients that receive filler injections in the infraorbital area are pre-treated with an infraorbital block (2% xylocaine+1:80,000 adrenaline). The CaHA filler is then injected onto the supra-periorbital plane in the malar area on both sides of the face, with a 22 G × 50 mm blunt-tipped cannula.

Imaging

The Vectra 3D Imaging system (Canfield Imaging Systems, Fairfield, N.J) is used as part of standard of care in our clinics. The system contains six cameras and captures images in 180 degrees. Standardized full face 2D and 3D images are generated. The high resolution 3 D images produced by the Vectra software can be used for both qualitative volume visualisation and quantitative volume measurements.

Standardized full-face 2D and 3D digital surface imaging were taken of the four patients at baseline and then at 3 months and 5 months after the injection session. Data from the four patients were analysed by importing the baseline and the 3 and 5 month images into the Vectra mirror analysis 3D software. The mid-face region was selected in each case and highlighted as the area to be measured. Change in mid-face volume was computed by registering each the post-treatment images against the baseline image. All volume measurements were recorded in millilitres.

Furthermore, qualitative volume visualization of the degree of contour change was made possible with a colour distance map (See figure 2).

Results

Qualitative data

Figure 2 is representative of colour distance maps indicating a qualitative change in volume over time. The colour scale is shown whereby green is baseline and any increase in volume manifests incrementally as yellow and red.

Figure 2 represents a 45-year-old patient who had been injected with a total of 1.5ml of CaHA filler on both malar areas. The yellow and intense red colours are indicative of volume increase from baseline, seen at one month post treatment. The decline in colour intensity at the fifth month is a clear indication that the volume was not sustained.

Quantitative data

Table 1 shows the volume of CaHA filler injected on each side of the face and the total volume, for each of the patients. The volume remaining at 3 months and 5 months are also shown. The residual volume at 5 months, computed as a percentage of total filler remaining, was only a fraction of the original volume, between 4% and 35%.

Discussion

The CaHA-based filler has gained great popularity as both a volumizing agent and a treatment modality for skin rejuvenation in non-facial skin such as on the neck, decolletage, inner arms, and hands. In certain cases, the bio-stimulant is used concomitantly with microfocused ultrasound for optimal effects [20-24].

The mechanism of action of the soft-tissue filler ultimately depends upon the activation of fibroblasts by the CaHA microspheres to elicit collagen production. Histological and electron microscopic studies in human volunteers have demonstrated new collagen deposition around the microspheres for up to six months [25,26]. Immunohistochemical analysis [23,25] demonstrated a significant increase in both collagen type I and type III at 4 and 7 months post-treatment. A more recent study using picrosirius red staining and polarized light microscopy, 2 months after implantation, mainly newly formed type III collagen was identified [27]. Hence, there is no doubt that CaHA in a collagen stimulating agent. Nonetheless, several studies have demonstrated limited clinical efficacy [14] with the filler and, our results using quantitative Vectra 3D evaluation have also shown a clear decline in volume over five months. Hence it may be concluded that the collagen stimulating effects of the CaHA filler are not long-lasting.

Data from studies on the longevity of another collagen stimulating agents, Poly-L-Lactic acid (PLLA), shows that in contrast to CaHA filler, the volumizing action of PLLA is between 2 to 3 years [28-32].

PLLA is a biodegradable and biocompatible polyhydroxyacid that does not augment the skin directly but has an indirect effect due to neocollagenesis [33]. When injected into the tissue, the particles of PLLA degrade over time, only to be replaced by the patients’ own collagen, a process that persists for up to 25 months [34,35].
Figure 1: Site of injection of Calcium hydroxylapatite filler in the mid face. A 22 G, 50 mm length cannula was inserted at point X on the zygomatic arch and the filler was deposited on the supraperiosteal plane.

Table 1: The volume of CaHA filler injected on each side of the face and the total volume for each of the patients.

| Patient | Volume CaHA filler injected on right side (cc) | Volume CaHA filler injected on left side | Total Volume CaHA filler injected | Total Volume at 3 months | Total Volume at 5 months | % Residual volume at 5 months | % Decrease in volume at 5 months |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| AP      | 0.45                                          | 0.35                                   | 0.80                             | 0.39                     | 0.02                       | 4                             | 96                            |
| GE      | 0.35                                          | 0.45                                   | 0.80                             | 0.20                     | 0.06                       | 7.5                           | 92.5                          |
| JK      | 0.55                                          | 0.45                                   | 1.00                             | 0.49                     | 0.35                       | 35.0                          | 65                            |
| KK      | 0.40                                          | 0.30                                   | 0.70                             | 0.24                     | 0.07                       | 10                            | 90                            |
A study using 3-D digital imaging showed that subjects treated with PLLA had significant increases from baseline in mid-face volume at the end of the trial, six months after the first injection session [36].

Over 29 types of collagen are present in the human body with types I and III being predominantly found in the skin. The collagen fibres, which are the most abundant components of the extracellular matrix, are of high tensile strength and impart strength and resilience to the skin [37]. The efficacy of biostimulating agents in inducing neo-collagenesis and new soft tissue formation is contingent upon the persistence of the biostimulant in the tissue to allow for long-term fibroblast stimulation.

Hence, the limited volumizing action of CaHA may be explained by the limited longevity of the microspheres in the body, possibly due to the swift degradation of the CaHA microspheres in interstitial fluid.

Conclusion

Calcium hydroxylapatite soft tissue filler is a proven biostimulant. However, objective volume assessments performed with the Canfield Vectra 3D analysis system, showed that the volume augmentation with the filler is not sustained but on the contrary, a significant decline is seen within five months of injection.
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