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INTRODUCTION

Peroxisomes are ubiquitous organelles found in most eukaryotic cells. They are key metabolic platforms for purine catabolism, fatty acid beta-oxidation (FAO), bile acid synthesis, and ether phospholipid synthesis (Wanders et al., 2016). Various peroxisomal enzymes are involved in modulation of metabolic responses to various signals (Poirier et al., 2006). In addition, peroxisomes are important redox regulating organelles because of their dual functions in the generation and scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) (Du et al., 2015; Fransen, 2012). Peroxisomal biogenesis and degradation must be tightly regulated to maintain appropriate peroxisomal sizes, numbers, and functions to prevent unwanted pathological situations (Honsho et al., 2016; Waterham et al., 2016). Numerous genes and proteins, including peroxins (PEX), dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1), and mitochondrial fission factor, have been identified in the regulation of peroxisomal biogenesis and division (Kiel et al., 2006; Mayerhofer, 2016; Schlüter et al., 2006).

The estimated half-life for peroxisomes is approximately 2 days, suggesting that biogenesis and degradation of peroxisomes are dynamic processes. Selective autophagy of cellular...
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Fig. 1. A model of peroxisomal biogenesis. Peroxisome biogenesis is coordinated by two different pathways, de novo biogenesis and the ‘growth and division’. First, peroxisomes can be formed by peroxisome assembly and maturation of pre-peroxisomal vesicles originated from ER or mitochondria, which contain pre-peroxisomal carriers, including PEX3 and PEX16. Second, peroxisomes can proliferate the numbers by a growth and division progress from existing peroxisomes. PEX3 and PEX16 proteins mediate elongation and fission of the peroxisomes.

Fig. 1. A model of peroxisomal biogenesis. Peroxisome biogenesis is coordinated by two different pathways, de novo biogenesis and the ‘growth and division’. First, peroxisomes can be formed by peroxisome assembly and maturation of pre-peroxisomal vesicles originated from ER or mitochondria, which contain pre-peroxisomal carriers, including PEX3 and PEX16. Second, peroxisomes can proliferate the numbers by a growth and division progress from existing peroxisomes. PEX3 and PEX16 proteins mediate elongation and fission of the peroxisomes.

organelles is an important event for the maintenance of homeostasis in various internal and external stress responses (Anding and Baehrecke, 2017). Pexophagy is a catabolic progress for selective degradation of peroxisomes by autophagy, and several key regulators for pexophagy have been recently identified in yeast and mammals. Here, we present a brief introduction of peroxisomal biogenesis/degradation, and then focus on recent advances of the molecular mechanisms of pexophagy, and their relevance to physiological and pathological responses.

OVERVIEW OF PEROXISOMES: GENERAL FUNCTIONS, BIOGENESIS, AND DEGRADATION

The first description of peroxisomes, which contained hydrogen peroxide-generating oxidases, was published by the biochemist Christian de Duve in the 1960s (Bowers, 1998; Braverman et al., 2013). Peroxisomes are single, membrane-bounded and small (0.2-1 μm diameter) organelles, with several hundred found in each mammalian cell (Braverman et al., 2013). They are highly dynamic, ubiquitous, and multifunctional organelles present in most eukaryotic cells (Hiltunen et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2012; Islinger et al., 2010). They play critical roles in various biological responses, as “multipurpose organelles” that function in both catabolic and anabolic pathways, as well as in diverse responses to different tissues and single organisms (Islinger et al., 2010).

Peroxisomal functions
Peroxisomes are usually key compartments for two major functions involving FAO and generation, and detoxification of hydrogen peroxide (Deb and Nagotu, 2017; Hiltunen et al., 2003; Hu et al., 2012). Peroxisomes are important organelles for FAO, which occurs in both mitochondria and peroxisomes in animal cells and are important for the production of fatty acids and their derivatives (Deb and Nagotu, 2017). In peroxisomes, beta-oxidation of fatty acids mainly involves very long-chain fatty acids (> C22) and branched fatty acids, and usually starts with the conversion of fatty acids to their acyl-CoA derivatives in the cytosol (Deb and Nagotu, 2017; Demarquoy and Le Borgne, 2015). The fatty acyl-CoA derivatives are transported into peroxisomes via specific peroxisomal proteins, including mammalian ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily D Member 1 (ABCD1) transporter (Demarquoy and Le Borgne, 2015). FAO also contributes to the generation of hydrogen peroxide in plant peroxisomes (Hu et al., 2012), also in animal cells (Fransen et al., 2012). Thus, peroxisomes are major organelles that link redox regulation and FAO to play multiple functional roles in living cells (Deb and Nagotu, 2017).

Because peroxisomes are also involved in secondary metabolism, i.e., catabolic pathways of oleic acid, methanol, polyamines, and purines, it is inevitable that high levels of hydrogen peroxide are produced (Kumar et al., 2014). Peroxisomes produce important enzymes that generate hydrogen peroxide, including glycolate oxidase, urate oxidase, aspartate oxidase, polyamine oxidase, and acyl-CoA oxidase (Bonekamp et al., 2009; Deb and Nagotu, 2017). In addition, peroxisomes produce superoxides using the enzyme, xanthine oxidase (Bonekamp et al., 2009). To counterbalance oxidative stresses, the peroxisomes are major centers for antioxidant enzyme generation, especially catalase.
Furthermore, peroxisomes are principal sources for the production of RNS and reactive sulfur species (Bonekamp et al., 2009). Because ROS and RNS play important roles in the activation or inhibition of multiple signal transduction kinases and phosphatases, peroxisomes serve as key centers for the regulation of various biological responses through the generation or removal of redox messengers in cells (Fransen et al., 2012; Giao and Schöttker, 2017).

**Biogenesis and degradation**

The number, morphology, and size of peroxisomes are dynamically regulated in response to environmental and developmental cues (Heiland and Erdmann, 2005; Huybrechts et al., 2009). The biogenesis of peroxisomes is very complicated and regulated by more than 30 different PEX proteins (Kiel et al., 2006; Mayerhofer, 2016; Schlüter et al., 2006). Because peroxisomes do not have an independent system for genome or protein synthesis, they usually import all soluble proteins post-translationally from the cytosol into the peroxisomal matrix (Mayerhofer, 2016). PEX plays important, but in many cases redundant, roles in many biological processes including targeting peroxisomal membrane proteins (PMPs) to the peroxisomes, PMPs insertion and import into the matrix, control of peroxisome size, and the functional maintenance of peroxisomes (Giannopoulou et al., 2016; Kiel et al., 2006; Mayerhofer, 2016; Schlüter et al., 2006). The functions and molecular mechanisms of peroxisomal proteins are briefly described in this review (Fig. 1 and Table 1), because they are comprehensively described in a series of recent review articles (Honsho et al., 2016; Kim, 2017; Waterham et al., 2016).

Peroxisomal proteins are regulated at the transcriptional level by numerous gene regulators. Among them, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) is a well-characterized nuclear receptor that is essential for controlling the transcriptional activation of peroxisomal proteins, especially peroxisomal beta-oxidation (Pawlak et al., 2015). PPARα is essential in controlling the gene regulation of ACOX1 and EHHADH, the rate-limiting enzymes of peroxisomal beta-oxidation (Rakhshandehroo et al., 2009). Notably, PPARα has been reported as an autophagy regulator, even of feeding (Lee et al., 2014), and also in the activation of xenophagy against mycobacterial infection (Kim et al., 2017). PPARα functions in the regulation of autophagy is mediated through the modulation of autophagy-related genes and lysosomal genes, including TFEB (Kim et al., 2017). Future studies are needed to characterize the role of PPARα.

**Table 1. Peroxisomal proteins and their relevance with pathological aspects**

| Gene   | Function                                                                 | Diseases                      | References                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PEX1   | Peroxisome fusion and/or peroxisomal matrix protein import               | IRD, NALD, ZSD, HS            | Reuber et al., 1997; Smith et al., 2016; Tamura et al., 2001; Yik et al., 2009 |
| PEX2   | Peroxisomal matrix protein import                                       | ZS, Mild ZSD, IRD             | Shimozawa et al., 1999a                                                   |
| PEX3   | The assembly and maintenance of the peroxisomal membrane                | ZS, Mild IRD                  | Muntau et al., 2000                                                       |
| PEX5   | Peroxisomal matrix proteins carrying PTS1                               | NALD, ZSD, RCDPS              | Baray et al., 2015; Shimozawa et al., 1999c                               |
| PEX6   | Peroxisome fusion and/or peroxisomal matrix protein import              | NALD, ZSD, HS                 | Smith et al., 2016; Yik et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 1999                   |
| PEX7   | Peroxisomal matrix proteins carrying PTS2                               | RCDP1, Mild RD                | Braverman et al., 1997; van den Brink et al., 2003                       |
| PEX10  | Peroxisomal matrix protein import                                       | NALD, ZSD                    | Warren et al., 1998; Yik et al., 2009                                     |
| PEX11β | Peroxisome division                                                     | Mild ZSD                     | Ebberink et al., 2012                                                     |
| PEX12  | Peroxisomal matrix protein import                                       | IRD, NALD, ZSD                | Chang et al., 1997; Yik et al., 2009                                      |
| PEX13  | Peroxisomal matrix protein import                                       | NALD, ZSD                    | Al-Dirbashi et al., 2009; Liu et al., 1999; Shimozawa et al., 1999b       |
| PEX14  | The initial docking site for PTS1 and PTS2 receptors of matrix proteins  | ZS                            | Huybrechts et al., 2008                                                   |
|        | / an essential component of peroxisomal import machinery                |                               |                                                                           |
| PEX16  | Peroxisome division or peroxisomal membrane biogenesis                  | ZSD                           | South and Gould, 1999                                                     |
| PEX19  | The import and/or assembly of numerous peroxisomal membrane proteins.   | ZS                            | Matsuzono et al., 1999                                                    |
| PEX26  | Receptor export, membrane receptors for Pex1 and Pex6                   | ZSD, NALD, IRD                | Matsumoto et al., 2003; Yik et al., 2009                                  |
| ACBD5  | Acyl-CoA binding protein which acts as the (Atg37)                       | Retinal dystrophy, WMD         | Ferdinandusse et al., 2017                                                |
| (Atg37) | peroxisome receptor for pexophagy                                      |                               |                                                                           |

ATM, Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated; HS, Heimler syndrome; IRD, Infantile refsum disease; NALD, Neonatal adrenoleukodystrophy; RCDP, Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate; WMD, White matter dementia; ZS, Zellweger syndrome; ZSD, Zellweger spectrum disorders
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PPARα in the regulation of pexophagy and other types of selective autophagy. Importantly, peroxisomes have multiple quality control mechanisms to maintain proper functioning and offer protection from damage through molecular chaperones and the activation of pexophagy, a selective autophagy for peroxisomes (Kumar et al., 2014). In this review, pexophagy, one of the mechanisms of peroxisomal quality control, will be discussed in detail.

MOLECULAR REGULATORY MECHANISMS OF PEXOPHAGY

Ubiquitination-mediated pexophagy

Recent advances in the understanding of selective autophagy have suggested that ubiquitination of membrane proteins of specific organelles is required for selective autophagy (Feng et al., 2017; Vives-Bauza et al., 2010; Yamashita et al., 2014). Consistent with this possibility, pexophagy is highly induced by the ubiquitination of PMPs (Kim et al., 2008). With the aid of these proteins, ubiquitin (Ub) exposed to cytoplasm is targeted by Ub-binding autophagy adaptors. For example, ectopic expression of a peroxisomal membrane protein, PMP34, fused with Ub in the cytoplasmic tail, dramatically enhanced pexophagy (Kim et al., 2008). In addition, pexophagy was only induced by overexpression of PEX3 tagged with Ub on the cytosolic side, but not by PEX3 tagged with Ub on the N-terminal luminal side of peroxisomes, indicating that ubiquitination of the peroxisomal protein, PEX3, is an important event for pexophagy (Yamashita et al., 2014). However, Yamashita et al. reported that expression of a PEX3 mutant, which was defective in PEX3 ubiquitination because of substitutions of all lysine and cysteine residues, still induced peroxisome ubiquitination and degradation (Yamashita et al., 2014). These differences suggested that ubiquitination of PEX3 is dispensable for pexophagy, and that unidentified peroxisomal proteins are further ubiquitinated on the peroxisomal membrane. Thus, the physiological consequences of ubiquitination of PEX3 still remain to be fully characterized.

Besides PEX3, recent studies have focused on PEX5 ubiquitination to elucidate the mechanism of pexophagy regulation under certain conditions such as oxidative stress (Zhang et al., 2015). PEX5 binds to the C-terminus of PTS1, the tripeptide peroxisomal targeting signal sequence of peroxisomal proteins, and imports the target proteins into peroxisomes (Hua and Kim, 2016). During the matrix protein import cycle, PEX5 is regulated in an ubiquitination-dependent manner. Monoubiquitination of PEX5 on the cysteine residue by PEX4 and the PEX10/PEX12 complex, which functions as the E2 and E3 enzymes, respectively, and deubiquitination of PEX5 by peroxisomal AAA ATPase, regulates its recycling to the cytosol. However, polyubiquitination of PEX5 on lysine residues results in malfunctioning of the PEX5 recycling machinery, followed by PEX5 extraction from the peroxisome membrane and targeted degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Platta et al., 2014). Interestingly, mono-ubiquitination of the N-terminal cysteine residue of PEX5 serves as a quality control mechanism to remove the

Fig. 2. Pexophagy regulators. Pexophagy is triggered by both stress conditions and peroxisomal dysfunctions. Ubiquitination of PMPs, such as peroxins and PMP70, promotes pexophagy. Both NBR1 and p62 act as autophagy adaptor proteins, which interact with PMPs and sequester target peroxisome into autophagosomes. Under conditions of oxidative stress, the ataxia-telangiectasia mutation activates pexophagy by phosphorylating PEX5, leading to its ubiquitination. Pexophagy may be regulated by an unidentified protein (X).
Now that the export-deficient monoubiquitinated PEX5, generated by fusion of a bulky C-terminal-enhanced green fluorescent protein, induced the elimination of peroxisomes in fibroblasts (Nordgren et al., 2015).

Taken together, previous studies have provided insight into ubiquitination-dependent pexophagy regulation (Fig. 2). However, the precise mechanisms of regulation by Ub, especially in pexophagy-associated disorders, still need to be further characterized.

Adaptor-mediated pexophagy

The p62 (sequestosome1/SQSTM1) protein has been identified as a mediator in the nuclear factor kappa B-signaling pathway during tumorigenesis (Duran et al., 2008), and NBR1 was originally reported as an ovarian tumor antigen monitored in ovarian cancer (Campbell et al., 2004). Recent advances in autophagy have shown that both p62 and NBR1 are involved in the degradation of protein aggregates, damaged organelles, intracellular bacteria, phagocytic membrane remnants, and in endocytic trafficking (Johansen and Lamark, 2011; Kirkin et al., 2009; Mardakheh et al., 2010). In this section, we will describe the pexophagy adaptor proteins, including NBR1 and p62 (Fig. 2).

The p62 protein plays a role as an autophagy adaptor in mammals, where it functions as an autophagy-signaling hub (Bjorkoy et al., 2005; Katsuragi et al., 2015; Komatsu et al., 2007). The p62 protein contains several conserved domains, including the phox/Bem1p (PB1) domain mediating protein interaction at the N-terminus; the ZZ-type zinc finger domain, which is a nuclear localization/export signal sequence for nucleocytoplasmic shuttling; the TNF receptor associated factor 6 (TRAF6)-binding domain; the LC3-interacting region (LIR) of the motif; a Keap1-interacting region motif in the middle part of the molecule, and a Ub-associated (UBA) domain at the C-terminus (Katsuragi et al., 2015; Vadlamudi et al., 1996). Mammalian targeting of the rapamycin (mTOR) complex 1 is a major regulator for autophagy activation, and its kinase activity is tightly regulated by cellular nutrient status (Kim and Guan, 2015). In response to amino acid stimulation, p62 interacts with TRAF6, which induces ubiquitination of mTOR at K63, leading to the translocation of mTORC1 to the lysosome and subsequent activation at the lysosome membrane surface (Linares et al., 2013). The Keap1-Nrf2 signaling pathway is one of the major cellular defense signaling pathways against oxidative stress. Under stress conditions, Nrf2 is dissociated from Keap2 and translocates to activate target genes (Liu et al., 2017). The phosphorylation of Nrf2 by mTOR increases the interaction of p62 and Keap1, which inhibits the interaction of Nrf2-Keap1. As a result, Nrf2 is stabilized and functions as a transcription factor (Jain et al., 2010).

In addition, the p62 protein has been extensively studied as an autophagic substrate. It functions as a selective autophagy adaptor and is widely used as a monitoring marker for the autophagic flux (Klionsky et al., 2016). Ubiquitination is utilized as a signal for autophagic degradation of protein aggregates and damaged organelles, and p62 is localized to Ub-positive inclusions (Kirkin et al., 2009). Recent studies have reported that p62 contributes to selective autophagy of protein aggregates (aggrepahgy), damaged mitochondria (mitophagy), damaged lysosome (lysophagy), damaged endoplasmic reticulum (ER-phagy/reticulophagy), and invasive microbes (xenophagy) (Anding and Baehrecke, 2017; Katsuragi et al., 2015; Ryter et al., 2013). The p62 protein binds to mono- and polyubiquitinated components via its UBA domain, and p62 binds to the LC3 region via its LIR motif, which results in autophagic degradation of p62, as well as binding partners (Ichimura et al., 2008; Kirkin et al., 2009). The Ub modifications and p62 binding cooperate to target substrates to autophagosomes. The depletion of p62 strongly inhibits pexophagy, which further indicates that p62 is also an important regulator of pexophagy (Kim et al., 2008). Although p62 usually recruits ubiquitinated cargos, and has a key role in the selective autophagy process, loss of p62 results in relatively little effect on selective autophagy, (Mathew et al., 2009) suggesting the involvement of other adaptor proteins.

Exogenous expression of NBR1 induces peroxisome clustering and targeting to the lysosomes to promote pexophagy, suggesting that NBR1 is another mediator for pexophagy (Deosaran et al., 2013). The molecular structure of NBR1 indicates that it shares a similar domain composition with that of p62. NBR1 also contains PB1 at the N-terminus, and the ZZ domain in the coiled-coil, LIR in the middle part of the molecule, an amphipathic alpha helical J domain (JUBA), and a UBA domain at the C-terminus (Mardakheh et al., 2010; Zientara-Rytter and Subramani, 2016). The PB1 domain of NBR1 mediates interactions with p62 and both C-terminal domains, and the JUBA and UBA domains are involved in localization of NBR1 on peroxisomes (Deosaran et al., 2013). Mutagenesis studies on the NBR1 domain have shown that JUBA, UBA, and LIR are required to mediate pexophagy (Deosaran et al., 2013). Because p62 does not have a JUBA domain that is required for subcellular localization, p62 colocalizes only with ubiquitinated peroxisomes and increases the efficiency of NBR1-mediated pexophagy by associating with NBR1 (Deosaran et al., 2013).

Besides NBR1 and p62, other proteins, including nuclear dot protein 52 kDa (NDP52), optineurin (OPTN), and Tax1-binding protein 1 (TAX1BP1), possess similar functions as a selective autophagy adaptor in mitophagy and xenophagy (Lazarou et al., 2015; Tumbarello et al., 2015; Von Muhlinen and Vicente, 2017). Consistent with these mechanisms of action in mitophagy, several PMPs have been proposed to contribute to pexophagy in response to adaptor proteins.

Other regulators of pexophagy

In mitophagy, to remove mitochondria, various cytoplasmic adaptor proteins, such as NDP52, NBR1, OPTN, p62, parkin, and TAX1BP1, work together with mitochondrial membrane proteins, including Bcl-2 interacting protein 3, Bcl-2 interacting protein 3-like, FUN14 domain containing1, prohibitin-2, and PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1) (Martinez-Vicente, 2017). Consistent with these mechanisms of action in mitophagy, several PMPs have been proposed to contribute to pexophagy in response to adaptor proteins.
PEX13, another complex protein for PEX5-mediated protein import, is also involved in selective autophagy regulation. Lee et al. reported that both mitochondrial fragmentation and mitophagy were not induced in PEX13 deficient cells (Lee et al., 2016). In addition, ectopic expression of PEX13 mutants, associated with peroxisome biogenesis disorders (PBDs) such as Zellweger syndrome (ZS), showed an increase of mitophagy impairment in PEX13 knockdown cells (Lee et al., 2016). These data suggested that PEX13 functions in mitophagy and virophagy rather than pexophagy (Lee et al., 2016). However, we recently found that the loss of PEX13 triggered pexophagy in neuroblastoma cells (unpublished data).

PEX14 and PEX13 comprise the protein import machinery involved in the docking complex for PEX5 (Hua and Kim, 2016). Jiang et al. recently reported that PEX14 directly interacted with LC3Ⅱ protein and mediated pexophagy under starvation conditions (Jiang et al., 2015). In this process, NBR1 also interacted with PEX14, which is required for the localization of LC3 in peroxisomes. Not only the peroxisomal import machinery proteins but also the peroxisomal RING complex proteins are involved in pexophagy. Sargent et al. recently reported that peroxisomal E3 Ub ligase PEX2 was a causative regulator of pexophagy (Sargent et al., 2016), and PEX2 was upregulated during starvation conditions and in rapamycin-treated cells. Moreover, the overexpression of PEX2 led to gross ubiquitination of peroxisomal proteins such as PEX5 and NBR1, which further caused the degradation of peroxisomes during amino acid starvation (Sargent et al., 2016). Similar to PEX5, 70 kDa peroxisomal membrane protein (PMP70) is also a target of PEX2 and E3 ligase during autophagy activation (Sargent et al., 2016). Thus, the role of ubiquitinated PMP70 in pexophagy needs to be further investigated.

PATHOLOGICAL ASPECTS RELATED TO PEXOPHAGY AND PEROXISOMAL PROTEINS

PBDs and pexophagy

Peroxisomal disorders are caused by impaired peroxisomal biogenesis or metabolic defects of peroxisomes. They are basically genetic disorders, but mainly heterogeneous, thus making diagnosis difficult (Wanders et al., 2017). Various organs, including the brain, eye, liver, kidney, and adrenal cortex are affected in most peroxisomal disorders (Gould and Valle, 2000). PBDs are autosomal recessive disorders that involve impaired peroxisome assembly, peroxisomal enzyme deficiencies, and disabilities. The PBDs include ZS, one of the most severe forms of PBDs, manifested by various genetic and clinical heterogeneities (Zellweger et al., 1988). ZS child patients suffer from defects in brain morphogenesis, visual defects, and sensorineural deafness (Braverman et al., 2013). Later, the related disorders of ZS were reported, involving neonatal leukodystrophy, infantile Refsum disease, and rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata (Heymans et al., 1983; 1985; Moser et al., 1984). It is notable that patients with ZS have mitochondrial abnormalities (Goldfischer et al., 1973), which were also found in the liver-selective PEX5 null mouse model (Dirix et al., 2005), with abnormal interconnections between mitochondrial and peroxisomal functions. Most PBDs in humans are related to mutations in the peroxisomal AAA ATPase complex, consisting of PEX1, PEX6, and PEX26 (Nazaroko, 2017). Thus, peroxisomal AAA ATPase plays an essential role in peroxisome biogenesis by fusion of the pre-peroxisomal vesicles and regulation of ubiquitinated PEX5 recycling. Importantly, Law et al. reported that a peroxisomal AAA ATPase complex prevented pexophagy and development of PBDs (Law et al., 2017). It has been reported that depletion of either PEX1 or PEX26 resulted in the loss of peroxisomes, which could be partly rescued by the simultaneous knockdown of NBR1 (Law et al., 2017). Together, these results suggested that most PBDs do not result from the inability to form peroxisomes but, rather, are caused by impairment of the ability to prevent peroxisome degradation. Thus, the function and role of pexophagy in PBDs needs to be further clarified.

In addition, that inhibition of autophagy can improve the biochemical functions of peroxisome in several PBD-related cells. It was recently reported that treatment of a lysosomal fusion inhibitor, chloroquine, leads to a decrease in very long chain fatty acid (VLCA) and an increase in peroxisomal β-oxidation in PBD mutant fibroblasts generated from PEX1 defect or PEX-G843D PDB patients (Law et al., 2017). Moreover importantly, recent studies suggest that pexophagy is responsible for the cause of 65% of PBDs (Nazaroko, 2017). Nazaroko (2017) indicated that a number of PBDs are not due to an inability in peroxisome biogenesis, but rather due to a dysregulation of autophagy. However, the relative contribution of pexophagy in PBD is largely unknown. Future studies with additional evidence will elucidate the exact contribution of pexophagy in PBD.

Peroxisomal proteins and pexophagy-related pathological conditions

Although there is no direct evidence for dysfunctions in human disease, PEX5 is able to bind an ataxia-telangiectasia mutation (ATM) whose signaling activated ULK1 to induce pexophagy (Zhang et al., 2015). ATM kinase is a well-characterized molecule causing ataxia-telangiectasia syndrome, an autosomal recessive disorder that manifests with neurodegeneration, telangiectasia, immunodeficiency, and various cancers (Choi et al., 2016; Choy and Watters, 2017; Van Os et al., 2016). Future studies will clarify the clinical relevance of ATM function in the context of human diseases related to pexophagy.

The acyl-CoA binding domain containing protein 5 (ACBD5), which is a human ortholog of Atg37, localizes to peroxisomes and functions in pexophagy (Nazaroko, 2014). A recent study has reported that a pathogenic mutation in patients with ACBD5, a peroxisomal membrane protein with a cytosolic acyl-CoA binding domain, was linked to impaired very long-chain fatty acid metabolism, resulting in retinal dystrophy and white matter diseases (Ferdinandusse et al., 2017). It has also been reported that endotoxic injury caused pexophagy to maintain peroxisomal functions during lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation. In a mouse acute kidney injury model, inhibition of pexophagy led to impaired peroxisomes, redox imbalance, and renal damage during
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inflammation (Vasko et al., 2013). However, these results need to be confirmed in human inflammatory diseases. Peroxosomal proteins and their pathological aspects have been summarized (Table 1).

CONCLUSION

Although peroxisomes are important organelles for numerous biological functions such as lipid metabolism, redox balance, and bile acid synthesis, the important factors and molecular mechanisms by which pexophagy is regulated still need to be fully elucidated. Overall, the biogenesis and degradation of peroxisomes are highly dynamic and strictly regulated. Emerging evidence has suggested potential relationships between the Ub-proteasome system and pexophagy as a degradation process involving peroxisomes. In addition, accumulating studies have identified the functions of adaptors such as p62 and NBR1 in mediating pexophagy. However, these adaptors do not exclusively play a role in pexophagy, and are involved in other selective autophagic processes, including xenophagy and mitophagy. Elucidating the roles of pexophagy adaptors and peroxisomal proteins will help to enable a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of pexophagy and its interrelationships with diverse biological processes present in peroxisomes. Understanding how pexophagy is regulated and crosstalks with numerous physiological processes, especially cellular redox system, could contribute to pexophagy-based approaches for the development of novel defense strategies against pathological conditions related to peroxisomal dysfunctions.
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