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Abstract

We construct the coarse moduli space $M_{qc}(\sigma)$ of quadratic line complexes with a fixed Segre symbol $\sigma$ as well as the moduli space $M_{ss}(\sigma)$ of the corresponding singular surfaces. We show that the map associating to a quadratic line complex its singular surface induces a morphism $\pi : M_{qc}(\sigma) \to M_{ss}(\sigma)$. Finally we deduce that the varieties of cosingular quadratic line complexes are almost always curves.

1 Introduction

Let $G$ denote a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5$ over the field of complex numbers, considered as the Plücker quadric parametrizing lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$. A quadratic complex or to be more precise a quadratic line complex is by definition a complete intersection $X = F \cap G$ with a quadric $F \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ different from $G$. A quadratic complex can be considered as a pencil of quadrics. Hence the Segre symbol $\sigma = \sigma(X)$ of a quadratic complex is well defined. For the definition see Section 2. The first aim of the paper is to construct the moduli spaces of quadratic complexes with a fixed Segre symbol.

Let $SO(G)$ denote the special orthogonal group associated to the quadric $G$. Two quadratic complexes $X_1$ and $X_2$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a matrix $A \in SO(G)$ such that $X_2 = A(X_1)$. This gives an action of $SO(G)$ on the space of quadratic complexes and the notion of semistable quadratic complexes is well defined. It turns out (Corollary 3.3) that a quadratic complex $X$ is semistable if and only its discriminant admits at least two different roots or equivalently if its Segre symbol consists of at least two brackets. On the other hand, a quadratic complex is non-reduced (respectively reducible) if and only if its Segre symbol contains a bracket of length 5 (respectively 4). Hence all irreducible and reduced quadratic complexes are semistable. The irreducible and reduced quadratic complexes with a fixed Segre symbol $\sigma$ form an irreducible variety on which the group $SO(G)$ acts and such that all orbits are of the same dimension. This implies that the coarse moduli space $M_{qc}(\sigma)$ of these quadratic complexes exists and is a quasiprojective variety of dimension $r - 2$, where $r$ denotes the number of brackets of the Segre symbol $\sigma$ (see Theorem 4.7).

The classical method for investigating quadratic complexes is to study the set of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ parametrized by the points of $X$. For any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$, the lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ passing
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through $p$ are parametrized by a plane $\alpha(p)$ containd in $G$. For a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ the intersection $\alpha(p) \cap F$ is a smooth conic. The set $S = S(X) = \{p \in \mathbb{P}^3 : rk(\alpha(p) \cap F) \leq 2\}$ is a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, not necessarily irreducible. It is called the singular surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ associated to the quadratic complex $X$. The surface $S(X)$ is a quartic whose singularities depend on the Segre symbol $\sigma(X)$. The next aim of the paper is to construct the moduli space of these quartics.

The group $SL(4) = SL(4, \mathbb{C})$ acts in a natural way on the space of quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Hence it makes sense to talk about semistability of these quartics. We show (see Proposition 6.2) that a quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SL(4)$ if and only if it does not admit a triple point whose tangent cone is a cone over a cuspidal plane cubic (possibly degenerated). We use this to construct the moduli space $M_{ss}(\sigma)$ of quartics with a singularity of type $\sigma$ (Theorem 6.4).

The moduli space $M_{qc}(\sigma)$ is constructed using the action of the group $SO(G) \simeq SO(6)$, whereas the moduli space $M_{ss}(\sigma)$ is constructed with respect to the action of the group $SL(4)$. Note that there is an isomorphism

$$PSO(6) \simeq PSL(4).$$

We use this isomorphism to show that the map associating to a quadratic complex $X$ its singular surface $S(X)$ induces a morphism

$$\pi : M_{qc}(\sigma) \longrightarrow M_{ss}(\sigma).$$

In the classical literature (see e.g. [8]) two quadratic complexes are called cosingular if their singular surfaces are isomorphic. So the fibres of the morphism $\pi$ are just the varieties of cosingular complexes. In [9] Klein showed the variety of cosingular complexes in the generic case, i.e. for $\sigma = [111111]$, is just the projective line. We show (see table 7.3) that the varieties of cosingular complexes are generically curves, except in one case. Finally, in the last section we reprove Klein’s result using our set up.

We would like to thank G.-M. Greuel for pointing out the paper [2] to us.

Notation: A quadric $F \in \mathbb{P}^n$ is up to a nonzero constant given by a symmetric matrix of degree $n + 1$. By a slight abuse of notation we denote the matrix by the same letter as the quadric it defines. We work over the field of complex numbers. Most of the results are valid over an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$, however some of the computations use complex numbers. Hence the groups $SO(G), SO(6), SL(4)$ etc. always mean the corresponding complex Lie groups.

### 2 The Segre symbol of a quadratic complex

Let $G \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth quadric which we fix in the sequel. We consider $G$ as the Plücker quadric, although not always with Plücker coordinates. In fact, we choose the coordinates appropriate to the statement we want to prove. However in any case, a point $x \in G$ represents a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$, denoted by $l_x$. Let $F$ denote a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^6$, different from $G$. The complete intersection

$$X = F \cap G,$$
parametrizes a set of lines in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), which is classically called a quadratic line complex. We call the variety \( X \) itself the quadratic line complex or to be short the quadratic complex defined by \( F \).

A quadratic line complex determines a pencil of quadrics in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \), namely

\[
\mathcal{P} = \{ Q_{(\lambda, \mu)} = \lambda F + \mu G \mid (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \}
\]

which we call the pencil associated to the quadratic line complex. Note that the space of pencils of quadrics is by definition the Grassmannian \( Gr(2, 21) \) of lines in \( \mathbb{P}^{20} \) and thus of dimension 38, whereas, as we shall see, the space of quadratic line complexes is of dimension 19 only. Pencils of quadrics are classified according to their Segre symbol. The Segre symbol of the quadratic complex is by definition the Segre symbol of the associated pencil of quadrics.

Let us recall the definition of the Segre symbol: The discriminant of the pencil \( \mathcal{P} \) is by definition the binary sextic

\[
\Delta = \Delta(\lambda, \mu) := \det(\lambda F + \mu G).
\]

The discriminant \( \Delta \) of \( \mathcal{P} \) depends on the choice of the matrices \( F \) and \( G \). The roots of \( \Delta \), however, are uniquely determined up to an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \). In particular, the multiplicities of the roots are uniquely determined.

Suppose \( (\bar{\lambda} : \bar{\mu}) \) is a root of \( \Delta \). It may also happen that all the subdeterminants of \( \lambda F + \mu G \) of a certain order vanish. Suppose that all subdeterminants of order \( 6 - d \) vanish for some \( d \geq 0 \), but not all subdeterminants of order \( 5 - d \). This means that the quadric \( Q_{(\lambda, \mu)} \) is a d-cone with vertex a linear space of dimension \( d \) and directrix a smooth quadric in a linear subspace of dimension \( 4 - d \) in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \).

Let \( l_i \) denote the minimum multiplicity of the root \( (\bar{\lambda} : \bar{\mu}) \) in the subdeterminants of order \( 6 - i \), for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, d \). Then \( l_i > l_{i+1} \) for all \( i \) so that \( e_i := l_i - l_{i+1} > 0 \), and we have:

\[
\Delta(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda\bar{\mu} - \bar{\lambda}\mu)^{e_0} \cdots (\lambda\bar{\mu} - \bar{\lambda}\mu)^{e_d} \Delta_1(\lambda, \mu),
\]

with \( \Delta_1(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}) \neq 0 \). The numbers \( e_i \) are called the characteristic numbers of the the root \( (\bar{\lambda} : \bar{\mu}) \) and the factors \( (\lambda\bar{\mu} - \bar{\lambda}\mu)^{e_i} \) are called the elementary divisors of the pencil \( \mathcal{P} \).

If \( (\lambda_i : \mu_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \) are the roots of \( \Delta \) and \( e_0^i, \ldots, e_d^i \) the characteristic numbers associated to the root \( (\lambda_j : \mu_j) \) and \( d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \ldots \geq d_r \), then

\[
\sigma_X = \sigma_{\mathcal{P}} = [(e_0^1 \cdots e_{d_1}^1)(e_0^2 \cdots e_{d_2}^2) \cdots (e_0^r \cdots e_{d_r}^r)]
\]

is called the Segre symbol of the quadratic complex \( X \) or the pencil \( \mathcal{P} \). The parentheses are omitted if \( d_i = 1 \). In order to make it unique, we assume that the expressions \( (e_0^i, \ldots, e_{d_i}^i) \) are ordered lexicographically if \( d_i = d_j \). We call these expressions the brackets of the Segre symbol \( \sigma_X \) or of the pencil \( \mathcal{P} \) (even if the parentheses are omitted, i.e. \( d_i = 1 \)).

It is a classical fact (see e.g. [6] p. 278)) that 2 pencils of quadrics \( \mathcal{P}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{P}_2 \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n \), whose discriminants have roots exactly at \( (\lambda_1 : \mu_1) \) and \( (\lambda_2 : \mu_2) \), are isomorphic, that is, projectively equivalent in \( \mathbb{P}^n \), if and only if they have the same Segre symbol and there is an automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) taking \( (\lambda_1 : \mu_1) \) to \( (\lambda_i^2 : \mu_i^2) \) for all \( i \), where the brackets corresponding to \( (\lambda_i^1 : \mu_i^1) \) and \( (\lambda_i^2 : \mu_i^2) \) are of the same type. This can be used to define a normal form for those pencils \( \mathcal{P} \), whose discriminant is not identically zero (see [6] p. 280)):
For every $e_i^j$ occurring in the Segre symbol of $X$ consider the $e_i^j \times e_i^j$-matrices

$$F_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \\
0 & \ldots & 1 & \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
1 & \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^5$ can be chosen in such a way that $F$ and $G$ are given as block diagonal matrices as follows

$$F = \text{diag}(F_{11}, \ldots, F_{rd}) \quad \text{and} \quad G = \text{diag}(G_{11}, \ldots, G_{rd}).$$

We call these coordinates Segre coordinates of the quadratic complex $X$. Note that Segre coordinates are not uniquely determined.

**Remark 2.1.** If $F$ and $G$ are given in Segre coordinates, the matrix $(G^{-1}F)^t$ will be in Jordan normal form. This gives another way to determine the Segre normal form: If $X = F \cap G$, choose the coordinates in such a way that the matrix $(G^{-1}F)^t$ is in Jordan normal form. Then the Segre normal form can be read off from this.

From the Segre normal form it is easy to derive the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $(e_0, \ldots, e_d)$ denote a bracket in the Segre symbol of a quadratic complex. Then $e_0 \geq e_1 \geq \cdots \geq e_d$.

The lemma is valid for any pencil of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^n$, a general quadric of which is smooth. The proof is the same.

**Proof.** The Segre symbol of a pencil $\lambda F + \mu G$ does not depend on the coordinates chosen. Hence we may choose Segre coordinates for the pencil. In particular, we can choose the coordinates in such a way, that the matrix $\bar{F}$ of the block in the matrix $F$ corresponding to the root $(\bar{\lambda} : 1)$, which belongs to the bracket $(e_0, \ldots, e_d)$, is of the form

$$\bar{F} = \text{diag}(\bar{F}_0, \cdots, \bar{F}_d) \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{F}_i = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \bar{\lambda} \\
0 & \ldots & 1 & \bar{\lambda} & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
1 & \bar{\lambda} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\bar{\lambda} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

such that the sizes $\bar{e}_i$ of the matrices $\bar{F}_i$ are ordered as follows: $\bar{e}_0 \geq \bar{e}_1 \geq \cdots \geq \bar{e}_d$. We have to show that $e_i = \bar{e}_i$ for all $i$. For this it suffices to show that the minimum multiplicity of the root $\bar{\lambda}$ in the subdeterminants of order $6 - i$ of the matrix $\bar{F}$ is $l_i = \sum_{j=i}^d \bar{e}_j$. Clearly we have $l_i \geq \sum_{j=i}^d e_j$ for all $i$ and have to show only that the minimum can be obtained. For $i = 0$ this is clear. For $i = 1$ cancel the last line and column of the matrix $\bar{F}$ and compute the corresponding minor to see this. Then proceed successively always cancelling the line and column given by the last line and column of the submatrix $\bar{F}_i$. The corresponding minor always is the minimum. □
The quadric line complex $X$ is by definition the base locus of the pencil $\mathcal{P}$. Thus $X$ is the intersection of any two different quadrics of the pencil.

The brackets in the Segre Symbol correspond 1-1 to the cones in the pencil. We call the cone $Q_{(\lambda_i;\mu_i)}$ corresponding to the bracket $(e_i^0, \ldots, e_i^d)$ a cone of type $(e_i^0, \ldots, e_i^d)$. The quadric $Q_{(\lambda_i;\mu_i)}$ is then a $d_i$-cone and the corresponding root in the discriminant $\Delta$ is a root of multiplicity $e_i^i = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i} e_i^j$. By a slight abuse of notation we call $Q_{(\lambda_i;\mu_i)}$ a $d_i$-cone of multiplicity $e_i^i$.

The following table gives a list of the singularities of $X$ corresponding to the brackets occurring in this paper.

| bracket | dim of vertex | vertex $\cap X$ | type of singularities |
|---------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | 0            | $\emptyset$    | no singularities      |
| 2       | 0            | 1 point        | $A_1$                 |
| 3       | 0            | 1 point        | $A_2$                 |
| 4       | 0            | 1 point        | $A_3$                 |
| (11)    | 1            | 2 different points | $A_1$ |
| (21)    | 1            | 1 point        | $A_2$                 |
| (22)    | 1            | 1 point        | $A_3$                 |
| (111)   | 2            | smooth conic $C$ | $X$ singular along $C$ |
| (211)   | 2            | rank 2 conic $C$ | $X$ singular along $C$ |

3 Semistable quadratic complexes

Recall that we fixed a smooth quadric $G$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Considering the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{20}$ as the space parametrizing nontrivial quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^5$, a quadratic line complex is given by a line in $\mathbb{P}^{20}$ passing through $G$. Thus the space of quadratic line complexes can be considered as the closed subvariety

$$LC = \{ L \in Gr(2, 21) \mid G \in L \}$$

of the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{20}$.

Two quadratic line complexes $X_1$ and $X_2$ are called isomorphic if there is an automorphism $A$ of $\mathbb{P}^5$ with $X_2 = A(X_1)$ which fixes the quadric $G$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^5$ fixing the quadric $G$ is by definition the group $PSO(G) \simeq PSO(6, k)$. We work instead with the finite covering $SO(G)$. Hence we get an action of the reductive group $SO(G)$ on the projective variety $LC$. Since $LC$ clearly admits an $SO(G)$-linearized line bundle, the notion of semistability is well defined for quadratic line complexes.

In order to determine the semistable quadratic line complexes, we will use various coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^5$. Let us recall the relation between the corresponding special orthogonal groups. Let $G$ and $G'$ denote the matrices of the Plücker quadric with respect to two coordinate systems. We normalize the matrices such that the determinants of $G$ and $G'$ are 1 and denote the corresponding groups by $SO(G)$ and $SO(G')$. Let $A$ denote a matrix of the coordinate change, so that $A'GA = G'$ and $A' = A^{-1}$. Then

$$SO(G) \to SO(G'), \quad g \mapsto h = A'gA$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Now choose the coordinates in such a way that $G$ is given by the matrix $1_6$. In classical terminology the corresponding coordinates are called Klein coordinates. Here
the corresponding group \(SO(G)\) coincides with the usual orthogonal group \(SO(6)\). Let \(S_0\) denote the space of quadrics in \(\mathbb{P}^5\) with trace 0, i.e. the vector space of nonzero symmetric \(6 \times 6\)-matrices of trace 0 modulo \(\mathbb{C}^*\). Obviously \(S_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{19}\) and the group \(SO(6)\) acts on \(S_0\) by \((g, M) \mapsto g^tMg\).

**Proposition 3.1.** There is a canonical isomorphism

\[
\Phi : LC \to S_0
\]

compatible with the actions of \(SO(G)\) and \(SO(6)\). In particular the variety of quadratic complexes is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{P}^{19}\).

Note that that the coordinates for \(LC\) can be chosen arbitrarily. That is the reason for denoting the group acting on \(LC\) by \(SO(G)\).

**Proof.** Given any quadratic complex \(X\), choose Klein coordinates. Then the associated pencil of quadrics \(\{\lambda F + \mu G \mid (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1\}\) contains exactly one quadric of trace 0, namely

\[
F_0 = F - \frac{trF}{6}G.
\]

Certainly this definition does not depend on the choice of \(F\). Conversely, if \(F_0\) is a non-zero quadratic form of trace 0, then \(F_0\) and \(G (= 1_6)\) are linearly independent and thus determine a quadratic line complex. Certainly the maps \(X \mapsto F_0\) and \(F_0 \mapsto X\) are algebraic and inverse to each other, giving the canonical isomorphism as stated.

In both cases the special orthogonal group acts by conjugation and according to (1), this is independent of the chosen coordinates. Hence the maps are compatible with the given actions. \(\square\)

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1 we get that a quadratic complex is semistable with respect to the action of \(SO(G)\) if and only if the associated quadric of trace 0 in \(\mathbb{P}^5\) is semistable with respect to the action of \(SO(6)\). The next proposition gives a criterion for an arbitrary quadric

\[
F = \sum_{i,j=1}^{6} f_{ij}x_i x_j
\]

with \(f_{ij} = f_{ji}\) for \(i \neq j\) in \(\mathbb{P}^5\) to be semistable with respect to the action of \(SO(6)\).

**Proposition 3.2.** The quadric \(F\) in \(\mathbb{P}^5\) is not semistable with respect to the action of \(SO(6)\) if and only if it is equivalent under this action to a quadric \(Q = (q_{ij})\) with

\[
q_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3 \text{ and } q_{14} = q_{15} = q_{16} = q_{25} = q_{35} = q_{45} = 0.
\]

In other words, a quadric \(F\) in \(\mathbb{P}^5\) is semistable with respect to the action of \(SO(6)\) if and only if there is no \(g \in SO(6)\) such that

\[
g^t F g = \begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & * & * & * & * & * \\
  0 & * & * & * & * & * \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & * 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(2)
Proof. Choose the coordinates of \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) in such a way that
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3)
In classical terminology these coordinates are called \textit{Plücker coordinates}. Then the matrices \( \text{diag}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_3^{-1}) \) with \( x_i \in \mathbb{C}^* \) form a maximal torus of \( SO(6) \). This fact and the form of the Weyl group of \( SO(6) \) imply that every 1-parameter subgroup of \( SO(6) \) is conjugate to one of the form
\[
\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to SO(6), \quad t \mapsto \text{diag}(t^{r_1}, t^{r_2}, t^{r_3}, t^{r_4}, t^{r_5}, t^{r_6})
\]
with integers \( r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3 \geq 0 \) and \( r_4 = -r_1, r_5 = -r_2, r_6 = -r_3 \) acting on the space of quadrics in the usual way. In particular it acts on a monomial \( x_i x_j \) of degree 2 by
\[
\lambda(t)(x_i x_j) = t^{-r_i-r_j} x_i x_j \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 6.
\]
Defining
\[
\mu(F, \lambda) = \max\{r_i + r_j \mid f_{ij} \neq 0\},
\]
the Hilbert-Mumford criterion implies that it suffices to show that for a given quadric \( Q = (q_{ij}) \) there exists a \( \lambda \) as above with \( \mu(Q, \lambda) < 0 \) if and only if the coefficients in the statement of the proposition vanish.

It is easy to see that if there exists a 1-parameter group \( \lambda \) as above with \( \mu(Q, \lambda) < 0 \), then the coefficients vanish. For example, if \( q_{15} \neq 0 \), then \( \mu(Q, \lambda) \geq r_1 + r_5 \geq r_2 - r_2 = 0 \), a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose all these coefficients vanish. So
\[
Q = 2q_{24}x_2x_4 + 2q_{34}x_3x_4 + 2q_{35}x_3x_5 + \sum_{i,j=4}^{6} q_{ij}x_i x_j.
\]
Taking \( r_1 = 3, r_2 = 2 \) and \( r_3 = 1 \) we get
\[
\mu(Q, \lambda) = \max(2r_4, 2r_5, 2r_6, r_4 + r_5, r_4 + r_6, r_5 + r_6, r_2 + r_4, r_3 + r_4, r_3 + r_4) = -1 < 0.
\]
This completes the proof of the proposition.

Corollary 3.3. A quadratic complex \( X \) is semistable with respect to the action of \( SO(G) \) if the discriminant \( \Delta(X) \) has at least two different roots, i.e. if its Segre symbol consists of at least 2 brackets.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.1 a quadratic complex in Klein coordinates is semistable if and only if the quadric \( \Phi(X) \) of trace 0 is semistable. Changing to Plücker coordinates the statement remains true, since according to (1) the matrix \( A \) of the coordinate change satisfies \( A^t = A^{-1} \). Then \( G \) is given by (3). Let \( F_0 \) denote the matrix \( \Phi(X) \) transformed into Plücker coordinates, i.e. \( F_0 = A^t \Phi(X) A \).

According to Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 \( X \) is not semistable if and only if \( F_0 \) is equivalent under the action of \( SO(6) \) to a matrix of the form of the right hand side of (2). Since the multiplicities of the roots of \( \Delta(X) \) stay the same under a change of coordinates, we may even assume that \( F_0 \) is of this form. But then clearly \( \Delta(X)(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda^6 \). In particular \( \Delta \) has only one root.

Remark 3.4. One could even work out which irreducible quadratic complexes (see Lemma 4.1 below) are not semistable. They are exactly those with Segre symbols [6], [(51)], [(42)], [(33)], [(411)], [(321)] and [(222)].
4 Moduli spaces of quadratic complexes

In this section we construct the moduli spaces of quadratic complexes with a fixed Segre symbol. First we need some preliminaries. Recall that a quadratic complex is called irreducible (respectively non-reduced), if it is irreducible (respectively non-reduced) as a variety in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

**Lemma 4.1.** (1) A quadratic complex is non-reduced if and only if its Segre symbol contains a bracket of length 5;

(2) A quadratic complex is reducible if and only if its Segre symbol contains a bracket of length 4.

**Proof.** (1): Suppose the Segre symbol of a quadratic complex $X$ contains a bracket of length 5. It corresponds to a 4-cone, which is a double plane $F$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Hence $X = F \cap G$ is non-reduced. Conversely, if $X$ is non-reduced, it follows from the Jacobi criterion that the associated pencil contains a double plane. The bracket corresponding to it is of length 5.

(2): Suppose the Segre symbol of $X$ contains a bracket of length 4. It corresponds to a 3-cone $F$. The directrix of $F$ is a nonsingular quadric in $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. consists of 2 different points. Hence $F$ and thus $X$ is reducible.

Conversely, suppose $X$ reducible. No component of $X$ can be of degree 1, since otherwise the smooth quadric $G$ would contain a projective space of dimension 3. Hence $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with smooth 3-dimensional quadrics $X_1$ and $X_2$. Let $H_i (\simeq \mathbb{P}^4)$ denote the linear span of $X_i$ for $i = 1$ and 2. Then $F_0 := H_1 \cup H_2$ is a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5$ such that $X = F_0 \cap G$.

Two different $\mathbb{P}^4$’s in $\mathbb{P}^5$ intersect in a $\mathbb{P}^3$. This means that $F_0$ is a 3-cone. The bracket corresponding to it is of length 4.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $X$ and $X'$ be quadratic complexes with associated pencils $\{ \lambda F + \mu G \mid (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \}$ and $\{ \lambda' F' + \mu' G \mid (\lambda' : \mu') \in \mathbb{P}^1 \}$ and roots $(\lambda_i : \mu_i)$ and $(\lambda'_i : \mu'_i)$ of the corresponding discriminants.

Then the quadratic complexes $X$ and $X'$ are isomorphic if and only if they have the same Segre symbol and there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ fixing $(0 : 1)$ and carrying $(\lambda_i : \mu_i)$ to $(\lambda'_i : \mu'_i)$ for all $i$, where $(\lambda_i : \mu_i)$ and $(\lambda'_i : \mu'_i)$ correspond to brackets of the same type.

**Proof.** For the proof we choose Segre coordinates. As quoted already in Section 2, the corresponding pencils are isomorphic if and only if they have the same Segre symbol and there is an automorphism $(x_0 : x_1) \mapsto (ax_0 + bx_1 : cx_0 + dx_1)$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ carrying $(\lambda_i : \mu_i)$ into $(\lambda'_i : \mu'_i)$ for all $i$, where the brackets of $(\lambda_i : \mu_i)$ and $(\lambda'_i : \mu'_i)$ are of the same type. Now an isomorphism of quadratic complexes maps $G$ onto $G$. This means just that the automorphism of the associated pencils fixes the point $(0 : 1)$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

**Corollary 4.3.** If $\sigma$ is a Segre symbol with at most 2 brackets, then all quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$ are isomorphic.

**Proof.** Let $X_1$ and $X_2$ be quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$. Since the discriminants $\Delta(X_1)$ and $\Delta(X_2)$ admit $r \leq 2$ roots, there is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ fixing $(0 : 1)$ and carrying the roots of $\Delta(X_1)$ onto the roots of $\Delta(X_2)$. So the assertion follows from Lemma 4.2.
Hence the moduli space of quadratic line complexes with a fixed Segre symbol consists of a point only whenever the corresponding discriminant admits at most 2 different roots. In particular the varieties of cosingular complexes are not interesting for these Segre symbols. We assume in the sequel that \( \sigma \) is a Segre symbol with the following 2 properties:

1. \( \sigma \) does not contain any brackets of length \( \geq 4 \); (4)
2. \( \sigma \) consists of at least 3 brackets. (5)

According to Lemma 4.1, (4) implies that every quadratic complex with Segre symbol \( \sigma \) is irreducible and reduced and (5) means, as we shall see, that the corresponding moduli space is positive dimensional. There are exactly 23 Segre symbols with the properties (4) and (5), see table 7.3 below. Let \( \sigma \) be one of them. We want to construct the moduli space \( M(\sigma) \) of quadratic complexes with Segre symbol \( \sigma \).

**Lemma 4.4.** The quadratic line complexes with Segre symbol \( \sigma \) are parametrized by a quasiprojective subvariety \( R(\sigma) \) of the variety \( LC \cong \mathbb{P}^{19} \) of all quadratic complexes.

**Proof.** Clearly the quadratic complexes of Segre normal form with Segre symbol \( \sigma \) are parametrized by a quasiprojective variety \( \tilde{R}(\sigma) \). In fact, \( \tilde{R}(\sigma) \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^r \setminus \{ \text{diagonals} \} \), where \( r \) denotes the number of brackets in \( \sigma \). Since every quadratic complex is isomorphic to one in Segre normal form, \( R(\sigma) \) is the image of the map

\[
SO(G) \times \tilde{R}(\sigma) \to LC, \quad (g, X) \mapsto g^tXg
\]

and as such a quasiprojective subvariety of \( LC \). \qed

The group \( SO(G) \) acts on the variety \( R(\sigma) \) in an obvious way. We have to determine the stabilizer of any \( X \in R(\sigma) \).

**Lemma 4.5.** Let the Segre symbol \( \sigma \) satisfy (4) and (5) and suppose that \( \sigma \) consists of \( r_i \) brackets of length \( i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and 3. Then the stabilizer of any quadratic complex \( X \in R(\sigma) \) in \( SO(G) \) is of dimension

\[
\dim \text{Stab}(X) = r_2 + 3r_3,
\]

except in the case \( \sigma = [(2, 2), 1, 1] \), where it has dimension 2. In particular the dimension of the stabilizer depends only on the Segre symbol \( \sigma \) and not on the quadratic complex \( X \in R(\sigma) \).

**Proof.** A matrix \( A \in SL(6) \) is in the stabilizer of \( X \) if and only if \( A^tGA = G \) and \( A^tFA = F \). Since the pencil is in Segre's normal form, \( G = G^{-1} \), and these equations are equivalent to

\[
A^t = GA^{-1}G \quad \text{and} \quad GFA = AGF
\]

Suppose first that every cone is a zero cone. We have to show that the stabilizer is zero-dimensional.

It suffices to show that the stabilizer of every pair of blocks corresponding to a zero-cone of type \( d \) is zero-dimensional. The Segre normal form of these blocks \( G_i \) of \( G \) and \( F_i \) of \( F \) are given by the \( d \times d \) matrices:

\[
G_i = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
F_i = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \lambda \\
0 & \ldots & 1 & \lambda & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
1 & \lambda & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Consider the $d \times d$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. Computing $G_i F_i A$ and $AG_i F_i$, we see from the second equation of (6) that $A$ must be of the form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{11} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{(n-1),1} & a_{(n-2),1} & \ldots & a_{11} & 0 \\ a_{n1} & a_{(n-1),1} & \ldots & a_{21} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this, we deduce from $A^t G_i A = G_i$, that

$$G_i = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{11}a_{n1} + 2a_{21}a_{(n-1),1} + \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 2a_{11}a_{21} & a_{11}^2 \\ 2a_{11}a_{(n-1),1} + 2a_{21}a_{(n-2),1} & \ldots & \ldots & a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2a_{11}a_{31} + a_{21}^2 & 2a_{11}a_{21} & a_{11}^2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 2a_{11}a_{21} & a_{11}^2 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ a_{11}^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies that $A = \pm id$ and thus the assertion in this case. Note that the result does not depend on $\lambda$, which means that the dimension of the stabilizer does not depend on the chosen quadratic complex.

Since the proof in the remaining cases is analogous, we omit the details. To be more precise, we distinguish the following cases and the proof always uses the equations (6).

First assume the pencil has $k_1$ 1-cones none with a bracket of type $(2,2)$, and all other cones are 0-cones. In this case the stabilizer is $k_1$-dimensional.

In case the pencil $P$ has a 2-cone, it can have only this one 2-cone according to our hypotheses. Segre’s normal form has therefore one block of either of these 2 forms:

$$G_i = \mathbb{1} \text{ and } F_i = \lambda \mathbb{1} \text{ or } G_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$ In the first case, the equation $A^t F_i A = F_i$ implies $A^t = A^{-1}$ and the stabilizer is $SO(3)$ which clearly 3-dimensional. In the second case the stabilizer also is seen to be 3-dimensional. It follows that if the pencil has $k_0$ 0-cones and $k_1$ 1-cones (none with a bracket of type $(2,2)$) and $k_2 (= 1)$ 2-cones, the stabilizer has dimension $k_1 + 3k_2$.

Finally consider the exceptional case $\sigma = [(2,2), 1, 1]$. The reason for the increase of the dimension of the stabilizer in this case is that there are two 2 blocks corresponding to the same root of the discriminant and both this blocks are not diagonal.

As a consequence of Lemmas 4.2, 4.4 and 4.5 we obtain

**Corollary 4.6.** With the assumptions of above, let $r = r_1 + r_2 + r_3$ be the number of brackets in $\sigma$. Then we have

$$\dim R(\sigma) = r + 13 - \dim Stab(X)$$

where $X$ is any quadratic complex with Segre symbol $\sigma$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $\sigma$ be a Segre symbol satisfying (4) and (5) consisting of $r$ brackets. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ of quadratic complexes exists and is a quasiprojective variety of dimension $r - 2$. 

10
Proof. According to Lemma 4.4 the variety $R(\sigma)$ parametrizes all quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$. The group $SO(G)$ acts on $R(\sigma)$ and two quadratic complexes are isomorphic if and only if they differ by this action. According to Corollary 3.3 every element of $R(\sigma)$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SO(G)$. Hence according to Corollary 3.3 every element of $R(\sigma)$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SO(G)$. Hence according to [10, Theorem 3.14] a good quotient $M(\sigma)$ of $R(\sigma)$ modulo the action of $SO(G)$ exists, is a quasiprojective variety and parametrizes the closed orbits. Since by Lemma 4.5 all orbits are of the same dimension, all orbits are closed in $R(\sigma)$. Hence $M(\sigma)$ parametrizes the isomorphism classes of quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$. For the dimension we have according to Corollary 4.6

$$\dim M(\sigma) = \dim R(\sigma) - \dim SO(G) + \dim \text{Stab}(X) = r - 2.$$ 

\[\square\]

5 The singular surface of a quadratic complex

Recall that a point $x \in G$ represents a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which we denote by $l_x$. The points of $G$ which correspond to the lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ lying in a plane $h \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ form a plane $\alpha(p)$ contained in $G$. Similarly, the points of $G$ corresponding to lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ lying in a plane $h \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ form a plane $\beta(h)$ contained in $G$. Therefore we have two systems of planes on $G$ and in fact these are the only planes in $G$. Two distinct planes of the same system meet exactly in one point, while two planes of different systems are either disjoint or meet exactly in a line. Conversely, every line on $G$ is contained in exactly one plane of each of the two systems. Following Newstead [11], we call the planes $\alpha(p)$ $\alpha$-planes and the planes $\beta(h)$ $\beta$-planes.

Now let $\sigma$ denote a Segre symbol satisfying properties (4) and (5) and consider a quadratic line complex

$$X = F \cap G,$$

with Segre symbol $\sigma$. For a general point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ the intersection $\alpha(p) \cap F$ is a smooth conic in $\alpha(p)$. The set

$$S = \{p \in \mathbb{P}^3 : \text{rk}(\alpha(p) \cap F) \leq 2\}$$

is a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, not necessarily irreducible. It is called the singular surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ associated to the complex $X$. Clearly it does not depend on the quadric $F$ defining the quadratic complex, but only on the complex $X$ itself. The set

$$R = \{p \in \mathbb{P}^3 : \text{rk}(\alpha(p) \cap F) \leq 1\}$$

is an algebraic subset of dimension $\leq 1$ of $S$. For $p \in S \setminus R$, the intersection $\alpha(p) \cap F$ parametrizes two different pencils of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ intersecting in the common point $p$, denoted as the focus of the two cofocal pencils. For $p \in R$, either the intersection $\alpha(p) \cap F$ parametrizes one pencil of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ counted twice, or the plane $\alpha(p)$ is contained in $X$. In particular $S$ is the set of foci of pencils of lines in the complex $X$.

We now define a surface $\Sigma \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ closely related to $S$. For any $x \in X$ the line $l_x \in \mathbb{P}^3$ is called a singular line of the complex $X$ at a point $p \in l_x$ if the plane $\alpha(p)$ is contained in the tangent space $T_x F$. This means that the line $l_x$ belongs to more than one pencil of the complex (or to one pencil counted twice).
If the line \( l_x \) is singular at the point \( p \), the point \( p \) is certainly contained in the surface \( S \). Conversely, for \( p \in S \setminus R \) there is a unique singular line at \( p \), namely the line of intersection of the two corresponding cofocal pencils. If \( p \in R \), any line \( l_x \) through \( p \) is singular at \( p \). We will see that the set

\[
\Sigma := \{ x \in X : l_x \text{ is a singular line of the complex } X \}
\]

is a surface in \( X \), not necessarily irreducible. We call it the singular surface in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) associated to \( X \). In order to work out the relation between \( \Sigma \) and \( S \) we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( x \in \Sigma \).

(a) If \( x \) is a smooth point of \( X \), the line \( l_x \) is singular at exactly one point \( p \in l_x \).

(b) If \( x \) is a singular point of \( X \), the line \( l_x \) is singular at any point \( p \in l_x \).

**Proof.** (a): Suppose the line \( l_x \) is singular at the points \( p \neq q \). Since \( \alpha(p) \cap \alpha(q) = x \), the linear span of \( \alpha(p) \) and \( \alpha(q) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) is the whole tangent space \( T_x G \). Hence \( \alpha(p) \) and \( \alpha(q) \) cannot be both contained in \( T_x F \neq T_x G \).

(b): If \( x \) is a singular point of \( X \), we have \( T_x G \subset T_x F \). So for any point \( p \in l_x \), \( \alpha(p) \subset T_x G \subset T_x F \).

**Remark 5.2.** If the \( \alpha \)-plane \( \alpha(p) \) is contained in \( X \), any line passing through \( p \) is singular exactly at \( p \). If the \( \beta \)-plane \( \beta(h) \) is contained in \( X \), any line in the plane \( h \) is singular at exactly one point \( p \) and this gives a bijection \( \beta(h) \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \to h \setminus \text{Sing}(S) \).

As a consequence of Lemma 5.1, we can define a map

\[
\pi : \Sigma \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \to S
\]

by associating to each \( x \in \Sigma \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \) the unique point \( p \in l_x \) at which the line \( l_x \) is singular.

**Lemma 5.3.** For any smooth point \( x \in X \) the following conditions are equivalent:

1. \( x \in \Sigma \);
2. there is a \( y \in G \), \( y \neq x \) such that \( T_x F = T_y G \).

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2): Suppose \( l_x \) is singular at \( p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \), i.e. \( \alpha(p) \subset T_x F \). Since \( x \) is a smooth point of \( X \), the tangent space \( T_x F \) has projective dimension 4 and \( G \) vanishes on \( \alpha(p) \), which has projective dimension 2. This implies that the restriction of \( G \) to \( T_x F \) is singular. So \( T_x F \) is tangent to \( G \) at some point \( y \in G \). Clearly \( y \neq x \), since otherwise \( x \) would be a singular point of \( X \).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1): Suppose \( T_x F = T_y G \) for some \( y \neq x \). The line \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{P}^5 \) is contained in \( G \) and hence it is contained in exactly one plane of each system of planes of \( G \). Let \( \alpha(p) \) the one corresponding to a point \( p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \). Then \( \alpha(p) \subset T_x F \), i.e. \( x \in \Sigma \).

We may assume that \( F \) is a smooth quadric, as is the case for the Segre normal form. The following theorem shows that \( \Sigma \) is a complete intersection surface in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \).

**Theorem 5.4.** Let \( H \) denote the quadric defined by the symmetric matrix \( H = FG^{-1}F \). Then

\[
\Sigma = F \cap G \cap H. \tag{7}
\]
Proof. According to Lemma 5.1 the line $l_x$ is singular for any singular point $x$ of $X$, i.e. whenever $T_x F = T_x G$. Together with Lemma 5.3 we get that for any $x \in X$ we have: $x \in \Sigma$ if and only if $T_x F = T_x G$ for some point $x' \in X$ (not necessarily different from $x$).

The dual coordinates of the tangent space $T_x F$, considered as a point in $\mathbb{P}^5^*$, are $x^* = Hx$, and this is tangent to $G$ if and only if $(x^*)^t G^{-1} x^* = 0$. This implies the assertion. \( \Box \)

Remark 5.5. According to the definition, $\Sigma$ depends only on $X$ and not on the choice of $F$. This can be seen also from the description in the theorem, since, if $F$ is replaced by $F + \lambda G$, then $H$ is replaced by $H + 2\lambda F + \lambda^2 G$.

Theorem 5.4 implies that the map $\pi : \Sigma \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \to S$ as defined above can be described in a way which can be applied to compute the singular surface. For this we choose the coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^5$ in such a way that the matrix $G$ satisfies $G = G^{-1}$. This is the case for example for Plücker-, Klein- and Segre coordinates. Then we have

**Proposition 5.6.** For any $x \in \Sigma \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$ the point $Fx$ is in $G$ and the map $\pi : \Sigma \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \to S$ is given by

$$\pi(x) = l_x \cap l_{Fx}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof.** Suppose $x \in \Sigma$ is a smooth point of $X$. Since $G = G^{-1}$, we have according to (7), $x \in \Sigma$ if and only if

$$x^t G x = 0, \quad x^t F x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^t F G F x = 0.$$  

The last equation can be read also as $(Fx)^t G (Fx) = 0$, which means that $Fx \in G$.

Now with the coordinates $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_6)$ of $\mathbb{P}^5$,

$$(Fx)^t Y = 0$$

is the equation of $T_x F$ as well as the equation of $T_{Fx} G$, so that $T_x F = T_{Fx} G$. This implies that the line $\overline{x, Fx} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is contained in $G$. It follows that the lines $l_x$ and $l_{Fx}$ intersect in a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ and that the line $l_x$ is singular at $p$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \( \Box \)

6 Semistable quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$

A quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is, up to a nonzero constant, defined by a quartic form

$$S = \sum_{i_0+i_1+i_2+i_3=4} a_{i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3} X_0^{i_0} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} X_3^{i_3}. \quad (9)$$

As usual we denote the quartic surface and the quartic form by the same letter. Two quartic surfaces $S$ and $S'$ are isomorphic if there is a $\varphi \in SL(4)$ such that $\varphi(S) = S'$. This defines an action of $SL(4)$ on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ parametrizing all quartic surfaces, which we want to analyze. The line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)|S$ is $SL(4)$-linearizable, so that we can speak about semistability of points in $\mathbb{P}^{34}$.  
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Lemma 6.1. A quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SL(4)$ if and only if it is not isomorphic to a quartic \([4]\) with

$$a_{4000} = a_{3100} = a_{3010} = a_{3001} = a_{2200} = a_{2110} = a_{2020} = a_{2011} = a_{2002}
$$

$$= a_{1300} = a_{1210} = a_{1201} = a_{1120} = a_{1111} = 0.$$  

Proof. Consider the 1-parameter groups

$$\lambda: \mathbb{C}^* \to SL(4), \quad t \mapsto \text{diag}(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, t^{r_2}, t^{r_3})$$

with integers $r_0 \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq r_3$, $\sum r_i = 0$ acting on the space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ of quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$ in the usual way. In particular it acts on a monomial $x_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}$ of degree 4 by

$$\lambda(t)(x_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}) = t^{-(r_0i_0 + r_1i_1 + r_2i_2 + r_3i_3)}x_0^{i_0}x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}$$

Defining

$$\mu(f, \lambda) = \max\{r_0i_0 + r_1i_1 + r_2i_2 + r_3i_3 \mid a_{i_0i_1i_2i_3} \neq 0\},$$

the Hilbert-Mumford criterion implies that it suffices to show that for a given quartic $f$ there exists a $\lambda$ as above with $\mu(f, \lambda) < 0$ if and only if the coefficients $a_{i_0i_1i_2i_3}$ of the proposition vanish.

It is easy to see that if there exists a one-parameter group $\lambda$ as above with $\mu(f, \lambda) < 0$, then the coefficients vanish. For example if $a_{3001} \neq 0$, then $\mu(f, \lambda) \geq 3r_0 + r_3 = (r_0 - r_1) + (r_0 - r_2) \geq 0$.

Conversely, suppose all these coefficients vanish, and we take $r_0 = 8, r_1 = -1, r_2 = -3, r_3 = -4$, then $\mu(f, \lambda) = -1 < 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \(\square\)

Proposition 6.2. A quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SL(4)$ if and only if it does not admit a triple point whose tangent cone is a cone over a cuspidal plane cubic (possibly degenerated).

Proof. According to Lemma 6.1, $S$ is not semistable if and only if it is isomorphic to a surface $S' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a triple point at $e_0 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ whose tangent cone $TC(e_0)$ is given in coordinates $y_i = \frac{a_i}{x_0}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and 3 by

$$TC(e_0) = a_{1102}y_1y_2^3 + a_{1030}y_2^3 + a_{1021}y_2^2y_3 + a_{1012}y_2y_3^2 + a_{1003}y_3^3. \quad (10)$$

Considered as a plane projective curve, $TC(e_0)$ is a cubic with a cusp at $(1 : 0 : 0)$ (or a degeneration of it). As an isomorphic surface $S$ itself has a singularity of this type.

Conversely, since all cuspidal plane cubics are isomorphic, every surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a singularity of this type is isomorphic to a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a triple point at $(1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$ whose tangent cone is of the form $(10)$. By Lemma 6.1, $S$ is not semistable. \(\square\)

Using this we can construct various moduli spaces of quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Recall that the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ parametrizes all quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Equisingularity induces a stratification of $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ into locally closed algebraic varieties (not necessarily irreducible). Certainly the group action of $SL(4)$ restricts to an action on the strata. We may assume that the dimension of the stabilizers is fixed on the strata by refining the stratification if necessary. Since semistability of a quartic depends only on the singularities of the quartic, we may call a stratum semistable if one quartic in it is.
Let $\sigma$ be a Segre symbol satisfying the assumptions $\text{(4)}$ and $\text{(5)}$, i.e. $\sigma$ consists of at least 3 brackets and does not contain any bracket of length $\geq 4$. One deduces from the equations of the normal forms given in $\cite{8}$ and Section 7 that the quartics occurring as singular surfaces of quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$ all have the same type of singularities. Let $Z_\sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ denote the corresponding stratum. We call the quartics of $Z_\sigma$ singular surfaces of type $\sigma$.

Lemma 6.3. (a) The strata $Z_\sigma$ are locally closed subsets of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ parametrizing all quartics in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

(b) Any singular surface $S$ of a quadratic complex of type $\sigma$ is semistable with respect to the action of $SL(4)$.

Proof. (a): The singularities of the singular quartics of the quadratic complexes with Segre symbol $\sigma$ are (analytically) locally trivial in the sense of $\cite{2}$. It is shown in $\cite{2}$ (see Corollary 0.2 and the proof of 0.3) that the locus of these quartics is analytically locally closed in the base space $\mathbb{P}^{34}$. But $\mathbb{P}^{34}$ being projective, this implies the assertion.

(b): According to Proposition 6.2, $S$ is not semistable if and only if it admits a triple point whose tangent cone is a cone over a cuspidal cubic or a degeneration of it. Checking the equations of $S$ given in $\cite{8}$ and Section 7 below, one sees that this is not the case (it suffices to check this for the most degenerate cases).

Theorem 6.4. The moduli space of singular surfaces of type $\sigma$

$$\mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) = Z_\sigma / SL(4)$$

exists and is a quasiprojective variety.

Proof. According to Lemma 6.3 all elements of $Z_\sigma$ are semistable. As for Lemma 4.5 one checks that all stabilizers are of the same dimension. This implies that all orbits are of the same dimension. As in Theorem 4.7 we conclude that a geometric quotient $\mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) = Z_\sigma / SL(4, \mathbb{C})$ exists and its points parametrize the classes of isomorphic quartics in $Z_\sigma$.

Remark 6.5. It is well known that the singular surface of a generic quadratic complex is a Kummer surface, i.e. a quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, smooth apart from 16 ordinary double points. Moreover every Kummer surface appears as the singular surface of a generic quadratic complex. So in particular we constructed the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\kappa := \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma = [111111]$ of Kummer surfaces. Using the normal form for a Kummer surface (see $\cite{8}$ or $\cite{7}$) one checks that $\dim Z_\sigma = 18$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that Kummer surfaces have finite stabilizer in $SL(4)$ (see e.g. $\cite{1}$ Exercise V.5.1 (3)). From this we conclude

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_\kappa = 3.$$ 

Gonzalez-Dorrego $\cite{5}$ uses the normal form for Kummer surfaces (see $\cite{8}$ p.98 or $\cite{7}$ p.81) to construct the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ as follows: The normal forms parametrize a 3-dimensional quasiprojective variety $\tilde{Z}$. There is a finite group $N$ (an extension of the symmetric group $S_6$ by $\mathbb{F}^4$), which is a subgroup of $SL(4)$ in a natural way and thus acts on $\tilde{Z}$. The quotient $\mathcal{M}_\kappa = \tilde{Z}/N$ is the moduli space of Kummer surfaces. The embedding $\tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z_\sigma$ induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_\kappa \simeq \mathcal{M}_\kappa$. 
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7 The varieties of cosingular complexes

Let $\sigma$ be a Segre symbol satisfying the assumptions (4) and (5), i.e. $\sigma$ consists of at least 3 brackets and does not contain any bracket of length $\geq 4$. In Theorem 4.7 we constructed the moduli space $M_{qc}(\sigma)$ of quadratic complexes of type $\sigma$ and in Theorem 6.4 the moduli space $M_{ss}(\sigma)$ of quartic surfaces of type $\sigma$.

As above let $R(\sigma)$ and $Z_{\sigma}$ denote the spaces parametrizing quadratic complexes and singular surfaces of type $\sigma$ as in sections 4 and 6. In Section 5 we associated to every quadratic complex in $R(\sigma)$ a singular surface in $Z_{\sigma}$. This induces a map

$$\pi : R(\sigma) \rightarrow Z_{\sigma}.$$  

which certainly is holomorphic. According to sections 4 and 6 the groups $SO(6)$ and $SL(4)$ act on $R(\sigma)$ and $Z_{\sigma}$ in a natural way. Certainly these actions factorize via actions of $PSO(6)$ and $PSL(4)$ respectively.

**Lemma 7.1.** There is an isomorphism $\iota : PSO(6) \rightarrow PSL(4)$ such that the map $\pi : R(\sigma) \rightarrow Z_{\sigma}$ is equivariant with respect to the actions of $PSO(6)$ and $PSL(4)$, i.e.

$$\pi(A \cdot X) = \iota(A) \cdot \pi(X)$$

for every $A \in PSO(6)$ and $X \in R(\sigma)$.

**Proof.** This is a well-known fact (see [3, Section 19.1]). In fact, the equivariance of the map $\pi$ can be used to define the isomorphism $\iota$: The points of $\mathbb{P}^3$ parametrize a family of planes, namely the $\alpha$-planes, in the Plücker quadric $G$ and the action of $PSO(6)$ on $\mathbb{P}^5$ induces an action on this $\mathbb{P}^3$. This gives just the isomorphism $\iota$ of the lemma.

**Remark 7.2.** The equivariance of the map $\pi : R(\sigma) \rightarrow Z_{\sigma}$ can also be expressed in terms of the actions of $SO(6)$ and $SL(4)$: there is a surjective homomorphism $\kappa : SL(4) \rightarrow SO(6)$ with kernel of order 2 such that $\pi(\kappa(\alpha) \cdot X) = \alpha \cdot \pi(X)$ for every $\alpha \in SL(4)$ and $X \in R(\sigma)$.

Lemma 7.1 implies that the map $\pi : R(\sigma) \rightarrow Z_{\sigma}$ induces a morphism of the corresponding moduli spaces, which we denote by the same letter

$$\pi : M_{qc}(\sigma) \rightarrow M_{ss}(\sigma).$$

Two quadratic complexes $X$ and $X'$ in $M_{qc}(\sigma)$ are called cosingular if their singular surfaces are isomorphic, i.e. if $\pi(X) = \pi(X')$. The variety $CS(X)$ of quadratic complexes cosingular to $X$ is by definition the fibre of the surface $\pi(X)$ under the map $\pi$:

$$CS(X) := \pi^{-1}(\pi(X)).$$

In the generic case $\sigma = [111111]$ the varieties $CS(X)$ have been investigated by Klein in [9]. It is the aim of this section to compute the dimension of $CS(X)$ for a generic complex $X \in M_{ss}(\sigma)$ for every Segre symbol $\sigma$ satisfying equations (4) and (5). The result is given in the table 7.3 below.

The order of the Segre symbols is chosen as in [8, pp 230-232]. We omit here, however, the quadratic complexes with Segre symbol with less than 3 brackets. We only give the equation of the singular surface, when the equation is either not given or incorrect (i.e. there is a typo) in [8]. For the other cases we refer to the corresponding section of [8].
Table 7.3

| Segre symbol $\sigma$ | singular surface $S$ | $\dim \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$ | $\dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma)$ | $\dim \pi^{-1}(S)$ |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 [111111]             | see [8, p. 98]       | 4                            | 3                            | 1                 |
| 2 [21111]              | see [8, No 171]      | 3                            | 2                            | 1                 |
| 3 [3111]               | see [8, No 180]      | 2                            | 1                            | 1                 |
| 4 [411]                | see [8, No 194]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 5 [2211]               | see [8, No 186]      | 2                            | 1                            | 1                 |
| 6 [321]                | see [8, No 198]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 7 [222]                | see [8, No 203]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 8 [(11)11111]          | see [8, No 162]      | 3                            | 2                            | 1                 |
| 9 [(11)211]            | see [8, No 173]      | 2                            | 1                            | 1                 |
| 10 [(11)31]            | see [8, No 182]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 11 [(11)22]            | see Case 11 below    | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 12 [(21)111]           | see [8, No 172]      | 2                            | 1                            | 1                 |
| 13 [(21)21]            | see [8, No 188]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 14 [(31)11]            | see Case 14 below    | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 15 [(22)11]            | see [8, No 187]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 16 [(11)(11)11]        | see [8, No 167]      | 2                            | 1                            | 1                 |
| 17 [(11)(11)2]         | see [8, No 177]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 18 [(21)(11)1]         | see Case 18 below    | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 19 [(11)(11)(11)]      | coordinate tetrahedron | 1                        | 0                            | 1                 |
| 20 [(111)1111]         | see Case 20 below    | 2                            | 0                            | 2                 |
| 21 [(111)(11)1]        | same as in Case 19   | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 22 [(111)21]           | see [8, No 176]      | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |
| 23 [(211)11]           | see Case 23 below    | 1                            | 0                            | 1                 |

**Case 11:** $\sigma = [(11)22]$

The equations for $G$ and $F$ are

$$G = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_3x_4 + 2x_5x_6$$

$$F = \lambda_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + 2\lambda_2x_3x_4 + 2\lambda_3x_5x_6 + x_3^2 + x_5^2$$

and the equation of the singular surface is

$$S = \lambda_1^2y_1^2y_3^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2y_1^2y_4^2 - 4\lambda_1\lambda_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)y_1y_2y_3y_4.$$  

**Case 14:** $\sigma = [(31)11]$

The equations of $G$ and $F$ are

$$G = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_5^2 + 2x_4x_6$$

$$F = \lambda_1x_1^2 + \lambda_2x_2^2 + \lambda_3(x_3^2 + x_5^2 + 2x_4x_6) + 2x_4x_5.$$ 

Then the equation of the singular surface $S$ is

$$S = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(y_1^4 + y_4^4) + 8(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)y_1y_4(y_1y_3 - y_2y_4) + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2\lambda_3)y_1^2y_4^2.$$
**Case 18:** \( \sigma = [(21)(11)1] \)
The equations of \( G \) and \( F \) are

\[
G = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + 2x_5x_6
\]

\[
F = \lambda_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \lambda_3x_3^2 + \lambda_4(x_4^2 + 2x_5x_6) + x_5^2.
\]

Then \( S \) is given by

\[
S = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(y_1y_3 + y_2y_4)^2 - 4(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)y_1^2y_4^2.
\]

**Case 20:** \( \sigma = [(111)111] \)
The quadric \( G \) is given by \( \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 \) whereas

\[
F = \lambda_1(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) + \lambda_4x_4^2 + \lambda_5x_5^2 + \lambda_6x_6^2.
\]

Then the equation of \( S \) is

\[
S = (y_1y_3 - y_2y_4)^2.
\]

**Case 23:** \( \sigma = [(211)11] \)
Let \( G \) be as in (11) and \( F \) be given by

\[
F = \lambda_1x_1^2 + \lambda_2x_2^2 + \lambda_3(x_3^2 + x_4^2 + 2x_5x_6) + x_5^2.
\]

Then

\[
S = y_1^2y_4^2.
\]

*Proof.* For the proof of the second column, the equations of the singular surfaces, we applied the classical method as outlined in [8], but using Maple 9.5. The third column is a consequence of Theorem 4.7. For the proof of the fourth column we showed in all the cases where \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) = 0 \), again using Maple, that any 2 singular surfaces of that type are isomorphic. Since it is well known that the moduli space of Kummer surfaces is 3-dimensional, we can conclude the remaining dimensions by general arguments.

To give an example, the variety \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21111]) \) is in the closure of the irreducible variety \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([111111]) \) and the variety \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21111]) \) is in the closure of \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21111]) \). So \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21111]) \leq 1 \). But \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21111]) \) cannot be of dimension 0, since it is irreducible and the 0-dimensional variety \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21)(11)1]) \) is in its closure. Hence \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}([21)(11)1]) = 1 \) and we can conclude \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}([211111]) = 2 \). Finally the dimension \( CS(X) \) for a general \( X \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) \) is given as the difference of the dimensions of \( \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) \) and \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) \).

*Remark 7.4.* It is easy to work out the singularities of the singular surface in every case. For example the singularities of the singular surface of type [21111] consists of one line and 8 points. The classical authors in general did not mention the points (see [8], some singular points are, however, computed in [13]).
8 Cosingular complexes in the generic case

In this section we investigate the variety of cosingular complexes of a generic quadratic complex. Let \( \sigma = [1 \ldots 1] \) which we assume in the whole section. In particular \( \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) \) is the moduli space of Kummer surfaces. Since \( \pi : \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) \to \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) \) is surjective (see Remark 6.5), \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) = 4 \) and \( \dim \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma) = 3 \), a general fibre of \( \pi \) is of dimension 1. We will see that all fibres are curves in this case. The main ideas of this section are due to Klein (see [9]). We reformulate his arguments using our set-up.

Consider a fixed generic complex \( X = F \cap G \) in Segre normal form, (which in this case is the same as the Klein normal form) i.e.

\[
G = \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i x_i^2 = 0
\]

with \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \) pairwise different. For any \( \rho \in \mathbb{C} \), \( \rho \neq \lambda_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 6 \) consider the quadric \( F_\rho \) with equation

\[
F_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i - \rho} = 0.
\]

Lemma 8.1. Let \( \Sigma \) (respectively \( \Sigma_\rho \)) denote the singular surface in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) of the complex \( X = F \cap G \) (respectively \( X_\rho = F_\rho \cap G \)). Then there is an automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) such that \( \varphi(\Sigma) = \Sigma_\rho \).

Proof. According to Theorem 5.4, the surface \( \Sigma \) is the complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) with equations

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2 = 0.
\]

Similarly \( \Sigma_\rho \) is given by the equations

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{x_i^2}{\lambda_i - \rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{x_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2} = 0.
\]

Let the automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( \mathbb{P}^5 \) with \( \varphi(x) = y \) be defined by

\[
x_i = \frac{y_i}{\lambda_i - \rho} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, 6.
\]

If \( x \in \Sigma \), then

\[
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2},
\]

\[
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2} - \rho \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2},
\]

\[
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i^2 \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2} - 2\rho \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda_i y_i^2 + \rho^2 \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{y_i^2}{(\lambda_i - \rho)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} y_i^2.
\]

Hence \( y = \varphi(x) \in \Sigma_\rho \). Similarly one checks \( \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma_\rho) \subset \Sigma \). □

Lemma 8.1 implies that all quadratic complexes \( X_\rho \) are contained in the fibre \( \pi^{-1} S = \pi^{-1} \pi(X) \).
Lemma 8.2. The quadratic complex $X$ is the limit of the complexes $X_\rho$ as $\rho \to \infty$.

Proof. Fix $\rho_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_6\}$. The complex $X_\rho$ can be described as $X_\rho = F'_\rho \cap G$ with

$$F'_\rho = \rho(G + (\rho_0 + \rho)F_\rho) = \rho \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\lambda_i + \rho_0 x_i^2}{\lambda_i - \rho} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{\lambda_i + \rho_0 x_i^2}{\lambda_i - \rho} + 1.$$

Hence $\lim_{\rho \to \infty} F'_\rho = \sum_i \lambda_i x_i^2 + \rho_0 \sum_i x_i^2 = F + \rho_0 G$ which gives the assertion. \qed

For any $X \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$ consider the family of quadratic complexes

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{X, X_\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) \mid X_\rho = F_\rho \cap G \text{ with } F_\rho \text{ as in (13)}\}.$$ 

Certainly the index $\rho$ in $X_\rho$ depends on the chosen quadric $F_\rho$. However we have

Proposition 8.3. For a quadratic complex $X' = F' \cap G \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$ with $F' = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \lambda'_i x_i^2$ the following statements are equivalent

1. $X' \in \mathcal{C}_X$;
2. There is a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in SL(2)$ such that for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$,

$$\lambda'_i = \frac{a_{11}\lambda_i + a_{12}}{a_{21}\lambda_i + a_{22}}.$$ 

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): If $X' = X$, choose $A = 1_2$. So let $X' = X_\rho$ with $\rho \neq \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$. Then $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -\rho \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2)$ with $X' = F' \cap G$ where $F' = \sum_i \frac{-1}{\lambda_i - \rho} x_i^2$, i.e. $\lambda'_i = \frac{-1}{\lambda_i - \rho}$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Let $A \in SL(2)$ be as in (2). If $a_{21} = 0$, then $F' = \frac{a_{11}}{a_{22}} F + \frac{a_{12}}{a_{22}} G$ and thus $X' = X$. If $a_{21} \neq 0$, then

$$\lambda'_i = \frac{a_{11}a_{21}\lambda_i + a_{12} - \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} a_{22}}{a_{21}\lambda_i + a_{22} - \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} a_{22}} = \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} - \frac{1}{a_{21}^2 (\lambda_i + \frac{a_{22}}{a_{21}})}$$

and thus $F' = -\frac{1}{a_{21}^2} F(-\frac{a_{22}}{a_{21}}) + \frac{a_{11}}{a_{21}} G$, i.e. $X' \in \mathcal{C}_X$. \qed

Call two quadratic complexes $X'$ and $X$ equivalent, i.e. $X' \sim X$, if and only if $X' \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Since $SL(2)$ is a group, we obtain as an immediate consequence of the proposition

Corollary 8.4. "$\sim$" is an equivalence relation on the set $\mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$.

Using this we can determine the fibres of the morphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma) \to \mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma)$.

Theorem 8.5. For any quadratic complex $X \in \mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$,

$$\pi^{-1}(X) = \mathcal{C}_X.$$ 

Proof. As quotients of normal varieties by reductive groups, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{qc}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{ss}(\sigma)$ are normal varieties. The generic fibre of $\pi$ being irreducible, every fibre is connected by Zariski’s connectedness theorem. Now Corollary 8.4 implies the assertion. \qed

As a consequence of Proposition 8.3 and Theorem 8.5 we obtain
Corollary 8.6. Two quadratic complexes of $M_{q.c}(\sigma)$ have the same singular surface if and only if they are isomorphic as pencils of quadrics.

Proposition 8.7. Let $X \in M_{q.c}(\sigma)$ be a quadratic complex. For any $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \rho_1 \neq \rho_2$, the quadratic complexes $X_{\rho_1}$ and $X_{\rho_2}$ are non-isomorphic and not isomorphic to $X$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $\rho \in \mathbb{C}, \rho \neq \lambda_i$ for all $i$ the complex $X_{\rho}$ is not isomorphic to $X$. Suppose $X = F \cap G$ with $F$ and $G$ as in (12). Then $X_{\rho} = F_{\rho} \cap G$ with $F_{\rho}$ as in (13).

The roots of $\det(\lambda F + \mu G) = \prod_{i=1}^{6}(\lambda \lambda_i + \mu)$ are $(\lambda : \mu) = (1 : -\lambda_i)$ and the roots of $\det(\lambda F_{\rho} + \mu G) = \prod_{i=1}^{6}(\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \rho} + \mu)$ are $(\lambda : \mu) = (1 : -\frac{1}{\lambda_i - \rho})$. Hence according to Lemma 4.2 the complexes $X$ and $X_{\rho}$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a permutation $\sigma$ of the indices $1, \ldots, 6$ such that the system of linear equations in $c$ and $d$

$$c - d\lambda_i = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \rho} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, 6 \quad (16)$$

admits a solution.

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, there is a unique solution of the first 2 equations. If this would be also a solution for $i = 3, \ldots, 6$, we would have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_1 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma(1)} - \rho} \\ 1 & -\lambda_2 & -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma(2)} - \rho} \\ 1 & -\lambda_i & -\frac{1}{\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \rho} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (17)$$

for $i = 3, \ldots, 6$. But for fixed $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ this has at most 2 solutions in $\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{\sigma(i)}$, whereas $\lambda_3, \ldots, \lambda_6$ are 4 different values. Hence for any permutation $\sigma$ the linear system (16) is unsolvable, which implies the assertion. $\square$
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