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Abstract—Triple heterojunction (THJ) tunneling field-effect transistors (TFETs) have been proposed to resolve the low on-current challenge of TFETs. However, the design space for THJ-TFETs is limited by fabrication challenges with respect to device dimensions and material interfaces. This work shows that the original THJ-TFET design with 12-nm body thickness has poor performance because its subthreshold swing (SS) is 50 mV/decade and the on-current is only 6 µA/µm. To improve the performance, the doping profile of THJ-TFET is engineered to boost the resonant tunneling efficiency. The proposed THJ-TFET design shows an SS of 40 mV/decade over four orders of drain current and an on-current of 325 µA/µm with $V_{GS} = 0.3$ V. Since THJ-TFETs have multiple quantum wells and material interfaces in the tunneling junction, quantum transport simulations in such devices are complicated. State-of-the-art mode-space quantum transport simulation, including the effect of thermalization and scattering, is employed in this work to optimize THJ-TFET design.

Index Terms—Atomistic mode-space quantum transport, channel thickness, scattering, triple heterojunction (THJ) tunneling field-effect transistors (TFETs).

I. INTRODUCTION

POWER consumption in CPUs has impacted Moore’s law significantly [1], [2]. An obvious solution to reduce the power supply is to replace the metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs), which is

limited by the Boltzmann tyranny, with new devices such as the tunneling field-effect transistors (TFETs) [3]–[14] and negative-capacitance field-effect transistors (NC-FETs) [15], [16]. However, these steep subthreshold slope devices come with challenges that hinder their widespread applications. The primary challenge of TFETs is their low on-current. TFETs are shown to suffer from low on-current issue since the quantum tunneling probability is usually much lower than one [17]. The tunneling probability depends on several factors, such as tunneling distance, electric field, resonance conditions, and effective tunneling mass. Several approaches have been introduced to increase the tunneling probability based on optimizing these four factors. For example, in GaN-based heterojunction TFETs, the tunneling distance is reduced by engineering the band diagram [18]; in a dielectric engineered TFET, the electric field at the tunneling junction is increased by using two different dielectrics [19]; in a resonance-TFET, quantum resonances are used to increase the tunneling probability close to one [20]; in a phosphorene-based TFET, the low effective tunneling mass increases the tunneling probability [21].

A triple heterojunction (THJ-) TFET based on III–V materials allows an advantage in optimizing all of the factors mentioned above. A THJ reduces the tunneling distance using the band diagram engineering. It also uses resonance tunneling to improve the tunneling probability in the on-state and provides small effective tunneling mass due to III–V materials [22]–[25].

Despite the benefits of THJ-TFETs, the fabrication constraints, such as device dimensions and material combinations, limit the performance of a THJ-TFET. For the III–V TFET, achieving a sub-5-nm body thickness is challenging and is yet to be demonstrated. We investigated the doping profile design to improve the performance without the necessity of such thin body thickness.

For example, a 4-nm-thick THJ-TFET with a conventional p-i-n doping profile shown in Fig. 1(a) predicts an excellent performance; however, as the body thickness ($T_{ch}$) approaches a realistic value of 12 nm, the performance degrades. The reason for the high sensitivity of performance on body thickness in the original design is that, in p-i-n structures, the electric field ($E$) at the tunnel junction depends on both the depletion width ($W_D$) and the scaling length ($\lambda$), as shown in the following equation [26], [27]:

$$E \propto 1/(W_D + \lambda(T_{ch})).$$  (1)

To address these issues in designing THJ-TFETs, the doping profile is engineered, as shown in Fig. 1(b). By replacing
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the intrinsic part of the channel with the doped regions, the electric field is determined by the depletion width, which is not strongly dependent on $T_{ch}$, as shown in the following equation:

$$E \propto 1/(W_{D, source} + W_{D, channel}). \quad (2)$$

Hence, the proposed design provides better performance for thicker devices by reducing the impact of thickness on the electrostatic profile.

The proposed design also considers fabrication technology constraints, including the limitation of the doping density in each material, the width of the strained quantum well, the crystal growth direction, and the channel material’s choice to have a high-quality oxide interface. The proposed design shows the subthreshold swing (SS) of 40 mV/decade over four orders of drain current. The high on-current of 325 $\mu$A/μm is achieved with a low supply voltage ($V_{DD}$) of 0.3 V.

The device design is optimized using the Nanoelectronics Modeling tool NEMO5 [28], [29]. The atomistic tight-binding method uses a ten orbital $sp^3d^5s^*$ basis, which is parameterized to hybrid functional (HSE06) calculations for various strained systems [30]. Carrier transport in THJ-TFETs is complex due to the presence of quantum wells in the tunneling region. The nonequilibrium quantum mechanics of the system includes the electron–electron scattering and the electron–phonon scattering of carriers in these quantum wells, tunneling process at multiple interfaces, and quantum confinement effects [31]–[38].

Trap-assisted tunneling and thermalization in the quantum well are major issues that degrade TFET’s OFF-state [39]–[44]. In this work, we use the state-of-the-art quantum transport method, including thermalization effects, to investigate the new design. Such a method has been developed and verified with experiments [45], [46]. The nonidealities are implicitly considered through a decaying parameter [46]. Since a real-space atomistic simulation is computationally challenging for devices with a large dimension, the atomistic mode-space approach developed in [47] is applied in this work.

This article is divided into four sections. The THJ-TFET device structure that satisfies fabrication constraints is presented in Section II. The design principles for the THJ-TFET with a body thickness of 12 nm are discussed in Section III. In Section IV, we demonstrate the performance of the proposed THJ-TFET. The impact of the channel doping density is further discussed in Section V.

II. THJ-TFET DEVICE STRUCTURE

Fig. 1 shows the double-gated ultrathin-body (UTB) THJ-TFET studied in this work. Fig. 1(a) shows the THJ-TFET with a conventional p-i-n doping profile. It consists of a P-doped source, an intrinsic channel, and an N-doped drain. In the P-doped source, In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As and GaAs$_{0.51}$Sb$_{0.49}$ have the doping density of $N_d = 5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. InAs and InP channels are intrinsic. In the N-doped drain, InP has the doping density of $N_d = 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$.

The UTB confinement direction is along (011) crystal direction, and the electron transport direction is along (100) crystal direction. The electron transport direction is the same as the crystal growth direction to simulate the device structure fabricated by the vertical Fin-TFET technology [48]. The choice of the materials in the heterojunction is compatible with current crystal growth technology limitations. The substrate is assumed to be InP such that InAs quantum well is under 3.41% biaxial compressive strain, while In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As and GaAs$_{0.51}$Sb$_{0.49}$ are not strained since they are lattice-matched to InP substrate. The technologies of growing In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As, GaAs$_{0.51}$Sb$_{0.49}$, and InAs on InP(100) substrate through molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) are all well-developed [49]–[51].

The width of the GaAs$_{0.51}$Sb$_{0.49}$ source quantum well is 3.6 nm. The width of the strained InAs channel quantum well is 2.4 nm, which is less than the critical thickness of 4 nm [52], [53]. The choice of the InAs and GaAsSb quantum well widths is optimized based on fabrication constraints, and the alignment of InAs and GaAsSb quantum well confines states in the tunneling window. The gate length is 30 nm, and the oxide thickness is 3.2 nm. The oxide material is assumed to be ZrO$_2$ with a relative dielectric constant of 15. The source is grounded. The drain is under the applied supply voltage ($V_{DD}$ = 0.3 V). The source to drain bias ($V_{DS}$) is 0.3 V. The spacer is assumed to be air with a dielectric constant of 1 to reduce the fringing field’s impact [54]. Drain-induced barrier tunneling (DBT) may negatively affect performance if a higher dielectric constant material is used in the spacer [55].

Fig. 1(b) shows the proposed design with the same device structure, as shown in Fig. 1(a), while the doping is changed to the p-n-p-n doping profile. In the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile, the InAs channel quantum well is doped to N-type with $N_d = 5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. The InP channel is doped to P-type with $N_d = 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. III–V UTB materials with such high doping density in the thin-film structure have been demonstrated and applied to the high electron mobility transistors (HEMT) and the heterojunction bipolar transistors (HBT) [56], [57]. The abrupt junction is assumed in this work, and no random dopant fluctuation is considered [58]–[61].

III. ORIGINAL THJ-TFET DESIGN PRINCIPLES

The design principle of the original THJ-TFETs is introduced in this section before describing the new design,
Fig. 2. Original THJ-TFET design principle: alignment of resonant states. (a) and (b) Energy-resolved LDOSs and transmission for 4- and 12-nm-thick THJ-TFETs when the device is operated in the ON-state.

The key design rule of THJ-TFETs is to align the resonant states of two quantum wells in the tunneling junction and introduce the resonant enhanced transmission. In Section IV, the performance of 12-nm-thick THJ-TFET is improved by aligning the resonant states through the proposed p-n-p-n doping profile shown in Fig. 1(b). The LDOS in Fig. 2 is calculated when the device is operated in the ON-state, where the gate-to-source bias (V_{GS}) is 0.3 V. In Fig. 2(a), the alignment of the resonant states in the GaAsSb and InAs quantum wells results in the enhanced resonant tunneling such that the transmission probability is close to 1. The electrons tunnel from the P-InGaAs source, through the P-GaAsSb and N-InAs layers, into the N-InP channel. On the other hand, in Fig. 2(b), when the body thickness increases to 12 nm, the resonant states are not aligned due to the worse gate control. The transmission, therefore, reduces ~16 orders compared to the case of 4-nm body thickness.

The key design rule of THJ-TFETs is to align the resonant states of two quantum wells in the tunneling junction and introduce the resonant enhanced transmission. In Section IV, the performance of 12-nm-thick THJ-TFET is improved by aligning the resonant states through the proposed p-n-p-n doping profile shown in Fig. 1(b).

IV. IMPROVED THJ-TFET WITH p-n-p-n DOPING PROFILE

In this section, the performance of THJ-TFET with the p-n-p-n doping profile is demonstrated. The p-n-p-n doping profile was originally proposed for homojunction TFETs to improve electric field in the tunneling region [62]–[65]. It plays a more significant role in THJ-TFETs with thick-body thickness. The p-n-p-n doping profile can be engineered in THJ-TFETs not only to increase the electric field but also to help to align the resonant states that introduce the resonance tunneling.

Fig. 3. (a) Transfer I–V characteristics and (b) SS–I_{DS} curve of a THJ TFET with a conventional doping profile (p-i-n) and the optimized doping profile (p-n-p-n) for different body thicknesses (T_{ch}) of 4 and 12 nm.

Fig. 3(a) compares the transfer characteristics of THJ-TFETs with 4- and 12-nm body thicknesses for different doping profiles. The gate-to-source bias (V_{GS}) are shifted to have a fixed OFF-current (I_{OFF}) value of 10^{-3} µA/µm at V_{GS} = 0 V. Noted that I_{OFF} is chosen between International Roadmap for Devices and Systems (IRDS) high performance (HP) and low performance’s (LP’s) spec (I_{OFF} = 100 pA/µm–100 nA/µm). If a lower I_{OFF} is chosen, the corresponding ON-current (I_{ON}) is lower. For THJ-TFET with the p-i-n doping profile, when the body thickness increases from 4 to 12 nm, the loss of gate control dominates the performance such that I_{ON} decreases by a factor of ~16.

However, for the THJ-TFET with the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile, the same thickness increment is shown to improve the ON-current by ~30%. The reason is that, when the body thickness increases from 4 to 12 nm, the engineered built-in electric field in the tunneling junction alleviates the effect of gate control degradation by a better doping profile design. At the same time, the decrease in the confined materials’ bandgaps (E_g) enhances the ON-current [27]. The bandgaps of the materials used in the heterojunctions for different body thicknesses are listed in Table I. The ON-current of the THJ-TFET with different body thicknesses and the doping profiles is summarized in Table II.

The SS–I_{DS} curve for the THJ-TFETs with the p-i-n doping profile and the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile for 4- and 12-nm-thick THJ-TFETs are demonstrated in Fig. 3(b). For a body thickness of 4 nm, the SS for the conventional p-i-n doping profile and the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile does not show a significant difference. Both doping profiles exhibit decent performance. However, as the body thickness increases...
to 12 nm, the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile retains its HP, whereas the conventional p-i-n doping profile degrades drastically.

To further understand why THJ-TFET with the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile has a better performance comparing to the case of the traditional p-i-n doping profile, the LDOSs at $V_{GS} = 0.3$ V for different body thicknesses and different doping profiles are shown in Fig. 4.

When the channel thickness of the p-i-n-doped THJ-TFET increases from 4 to 12 nm, the quantum well states are misaligned, as shown in Fig. 4(a) and (c). The resonant states in the InAs channel quantum well are outside the tunneling window. The lack of resonance tunneling leads to significant degradation of the transmission probability and the ON-current.

On the other hand, the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile helps to retain the alignment of GaAsSb and InAs quantum well states in the 12-nm-thick THJ-TFET, as shown in Fig. 4(d). The performance of 12-nm-thick THJ-TFETs with the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile is, therefore, similar to the case of a thinner channel thickness.

The tunneling distance is determined by the electric field in TFET's tunneling region. Generally, the tunneling distance of a TFET with a conventional p-i-n doping profile is highly sensitive to the body thickness; a thinner device has a stronger gate control that leads to a smaller natural scaling length and, hence, a smaller tunneling distance [47], [66], [67]. Since the device with an optimized p-n-p-n doping profile has no intrinsic region in the channel, the scaling lengths are dominated by the depletion width corresponding to the doping profile [67]. As a result, the optimized p-n-p-n doping profile is not just engineered to increase the electric field in the tunneling junction; it also reduces the sensitivity of the performance to the body thickness. Fig. 5 shows the impact of doping profile and body thickness on the electric field along the channel. The peak electric field in p-n-p-n-doped THJ-TFETs has less dependence on the body thickness compared to the conventional p-i-n-doped THJ-TFETs.

V. P-DOPED INP CHANNEL DOPING DENSITY

The benefit of having a p-n junction in the tunneling region is intuitive and is well-studied [62]–[65]. The electric field in the tunneling region is enhanced by the p-n junction’s built-in potential and, therefore, leads to a smaller tunneling distance and a larger transmission probability. The design rule of the p-n junction in the tunneling region is to maximize the doping density to achieve the maximum built-in potential. However, the role of the P-doped channel in the p-n-p-n-doped THJ-TFET is not yet well understood. In this section, the doping density of the P-doped InP channel is studied.

The transfer characteristics and SS–$I_{DS}$ curve of p-n-p-n-doped THJ-TFET with different P-InP channel doping density are shown in Fig. 6. The body thickness of the device is 12 nm. The ON-current at $V_{GS} = 0.3$ V is summarized in Table III. The p-n-p-n-doped THJ-TFET with P-InP channel doping density of $1 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ is the reference case to observe the improvement from applying the p-n junction in the tunneling region. The ON-current increases

---

**TABLE I**

| $T_{ch}$ (nm) | InGaAs | GaAsSb | InAs | GaAsSb |
|--------------|---------|--------|------|--------|
| 4            | 0.9517  | 0.9471 | 0.7016 | 1.504 |
| 12           | 0.7903  | 0.8456 | 0.5010 | 1.3822 |

**TABLE II**

| $T_{ch}$ (nm) | $E_g$ [eV] | $\Delta E_v$ [eV] | $\Delta E_{\text{on}}$ [eV] |
|--------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 4            | 0.9517     | 0.4238            | 0.0456                   |
| 12           | 0.7903     | 0.4273            | 0.0670                   |

**Table III**

| $T_{ch}$ (nm) | $I_{ON}$ [\mu A/\mu m] |
|--------------|-------------------------|
| 4 (PIN)      | 98                      |
| 12 (PIN)     | 6                       |
| 4 (PNPN)     | 248                     |
| 12 (PNPN)    | 325                     |
Fig. 6. (a) Transfer $I−V$ characteristics and (b) SS–IDS curve of the 12-nm-thick THJ TFETs with the p-i-n and p-n-p-n doping profile for $V_{DS} = 0.3$ V. The p-n-p-n-doped TFETs with different P-InP channel doping densities are demonstrated.

TABLE III

| Doping profile | P-InP channel doping | PIN | PNP | PPNP | PNP
|----------------|---------------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| $I_{ON}$ [$\mu A/\mu m$] | 6 | 1 x 10$^{16}$ | 5 x 10$^{18}$ | 2 x 10$^{19}$ |

from 6 to 50 $\mu A/\mu m$ when the doping profile is replaced from p-i-n doping profile to p-n-p-n doping profile with P-InP channel doping density of $1 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$.

Interestingly, the performance of 12-nm-thick THJ-TFET improves slightly when P-InP channel doping density increases from $1 \times 10^{16}$ to $5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. The ON-current increases from 50 to 78 $\mu A/\mu m$. The case with the InP channel doped to $5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ shows sub-40-mV/decade SS for a limited range of drain current ($I_{DS}$). However, when the P-InP channel doping density further increases to $2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, the performance improves significantly. The ON-current of such case reaches 325 $\mu A/\mu m$. It exhibits the SS less than 40 mV/decade over four orders of magnitude in the drain current.

To further understand the impact of P-InP channel doping density, the ON-state LDOS is compared in Fig. 7. In Fig. 7, the LDOS and the band diagram are extracted at 1 nm away from the edge of the channel, where the potential is strongly affected by the gate bias. The resonant states in InAs quantum well are outside of the tunneling window in the case of p-i-n doping profile. On the other hand, for the cases of P-n-n-doped THJ-TFET, the resonant states are all located inside the tunneling window regardless of different P-InP channel doping densities. This indicates that the improvement when the P-InP channel doped to $2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ comes from other factors other than the alignment of resonant states. The reason is illustrated through the 2-D channel potential and the band diagram, as shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

Fig. 8 shows the 2-D channel potential for different channel doping profiles. In the case when the P-InP channel doped to $2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, the 2-D channel potential is significantly different from the cases with less channel doping density. The device with such high channel doping density is close to operating in the partially depleted regime; thus, a stronger vertical electric field toward the channel–oxide interface is
observed. The strong vertical electric field lowers the channel barrier at the channel edge. The triangular potential wells perpendicular to the transport direction are formed at the P-InP channel edge, enhancing the electron confinement at the channel–oxide interface. The strong vertical electric field lowers the channel potential barrier at the channel edge. The triangular potential wells perpendicular to the transport direction are formed at the P-InP channel edge, which is close to the channel–oxide interface (depleted region) is pushed down. The reduced channel potential barrier enhances the tunneling current at the channel edge. On the other hand, the channel center’s conduction band is pulled up for the heavily P-doped InP channel. This higher channel potential barrier blocks the tunneling current at the channel center, which is weakly controlled by the gate. The surface tunneling current becomes the main contribution to the ON-current. Therefore, the improved electrostatics given by the heavily P-doped channel is the key to the HP for a thick-body TFET.

VI. CONCLUSION

A THJ TFET design is proposed, considering fabrication constraints, such as the channel thickness and the limitation in doping density of the materials. A THJ TFET with a conventional p-i-n doping profile is shown to degrade in performance when the body thickness increases from 4 to 12 nm. The new doping profile is engineered to increase the electric field in the tunneling junction and reduce the sensitivity of the performance to the body thickness. The ON-current of the optimized design reaches 325 μA/μm, and the SS is less than 40 mV/decade over four orders of magnitude in the drain current. In this work, 4- and 12-nm body thicknesses are used to demonstrate the doping profile optimization concept. The effect of body thickness variability on TFET’s performance, which can be partially mitigated by the p-n-p-n doping profile in principle, requires further study in the future.

APPENDIX: IMPACT OF GATE LENGTH VARIATION

In this work, the proposed design in Fig. 1(b) has a gate length of 30 nm. In this appendix, the transfer I-V characteristics for different gate lengths are shown in Fig. 10. $I_{ON}$ at $V_{GS} = 0.3$ V is listed in Table IV. When the gate length increases from 30 to 35 nm, the ON-current does not increase significantly. As the gate length reduces from 30 to 25 nm, the ON-current reduces to 130 μA/μm due to a worse gate control. A detailed analysis on the short-channel effect (such as drain-induced barrier tunneling) requires further study in the future [68], [69].
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