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ABSTRACT

Objective: After the first description of percutaneous posterolateral nucleotomy by Kambin in 1973, transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD) was developed and its use has been increasing in recent years. To describe the surgical technique and anesthesia protocol of transforaminal PELD under local and sedoanalgesia in patients with lumbar disc herniations (LDH) and to report our early results.

Materials and Methods: We included 20 patients who underwent transforaminal PELD under local and sedoanalgesia within a period of two months between January 2019 and February 2019 and who had at least a three-month postoperative follow-up period. LDH was at L4-5 in 28.6% of the patients, at L5-S1 in 33.3% of the patients, at L3-4 in 14.3% of the patients, both at L4-L5 and L5-S1 levels in 14.3% of the patients, and at L2-L3 in 1 patient.

Results: The mean preoperative Visual analog scale (VAS) score was 9.4±1.8 (range=8-10) and the mean early postoperative VAS score was 1.8±1.2 (range=0-6). During follow-up, recurrent LDH was seen in 2 patients. One patient developed epidural fibrosis. The mean VAS scores were found to be 1.8±1.69 at the third month follow-up. There was a significant difference between the preoperative VAS scores and the VAS scores in the early postoperative and third month follow-up (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Transforaminal PELD under local and sedoanalgesia is an alternative method to classical microdiscectomy in patients with LDH. It is a crucial advantage that it does not require general anesthesia and that patients can provide feedback during surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

After the first description of percutaneous posterolateral nucleotomy by Kambin in 1973, transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD) was developed and its use has been increasing in recent years. The transforaminal approach has many advantages over open surgery. These have been described in the literature as the preservation of posterior ligamentous and bone structures, lesser postoperative instability, facet arthropathy, narrowing of the disc space, and epidural scarring.[4,6,13-18,23,30,31,33,34,36,38-42] Migrated disc herniations, especially sequestrated ones, may require excessive resection of the lamina when approached by conventional posterior laminotomy. This may cause postoperative instability and low back pain. With the recent advancements in endoscopic spine surgery, the indications of PELD have expanded considerably and many transforaminal and interlaminar endoscopic methods have been described for migrated disc herniations which had been previously considered inaccessible by endoscopic methods.[4,5,20,21] The aim of this study is to describe the surgical technique and sedoanalgesia protocol of PELD under local and sedoanalgesia in patients with lumbar disc herniations (LDH) and to report our early results.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our study was a retrospective clinical study performed according to the principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, “Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects” (revised in 2013). We retrospectively evaluated 20 patients who underwent PELD within a two-month period between January 2019 and February 2019 and who had an at least a three-month postoperative follow-up period. We did not include patients who underwent interlaminar PELD under general anesthesia. The mean age of the patients was 49.7±18.9 years. Of patients, 12 were female and 8 were male. LDH was at L4-5 in 28.6% of the patients, at L5-S1 in 33.3% of the patients, at L3-4 in 14.3% of the patients, both at L4-L5 and L5-S1 levels in 14.3% of the patients, and at L2-L3 in one patient. Anatomically, 61.9% of LDH’s were paracentral, 19% were foraminal, 9.5% were down-migrated paracentral, and one...
was centrally located. Three patients had recurrent LDHs which had been previously treated with open microscopic discectomy. All patients underwent transforaminal PELD under local and sedoanalgesia. In 23.8% of the patients, foraminoplasty was performed by using hand reamers to reach the extruded disc material. All patients who underwent foraminoplasty had LDH at the L5-S1 level. Foraminoplasty was performed in 50% of patients with LDH at the L5-S1 level. We evaluated the clinical results using visual analog scale (VAS) for leg pain preoperatively, early postoperatively and at three-month intervals postoperatively.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in Windows 22 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) using the IBM SPSS package (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). We used the Paired t-test to compare preoperative and postoperative VAS scores. The value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Surgical Technique

All patients underwent surgery using the Karl Storz Endoscopy Spine TIP System (Karl Storz SE & CO Tuttlingen, Germany). All surgeries were performed under local anesthesia and conscious sedation in the prone position. Conscious sedation was achieved with midozolam and fentanyl or a combination of midozolam and remifentanyl. Because patients were under conscious sedation, a continuous feedback was obtained from the patient during surgery to prevent any possible neural injury. Midozolam was administered intravenously at a dose of 0.05 mg/kg 30 minutes before the surgery. If necessary, the same dose was repeated during surgery. Fentanyl was administered intravenously at a dose of 0.8 µg/kg 10 minutes before the surgery. During the painful sections of the procedure, such as insertion of the obturator into the disc, the same dose was administrated not to exceed 200 µg in total. Remifentayl was started at a dose of 0.1 µg/kg/min with continuous infusion and the dose was reduced to half of it after the painful sections of the procedure had been completed. The distance from the midline of the skin entry point which was specific for each patient was calculated using axial magnetic resonance (MR) images before the surgery. By giving the necessary tilts to the fluoroscopy, real anteroposterior (AP) and lateral images of the disc space were taken and straight lines were drawn to reach the disc fragment transforaminally. At the intersection of these two lines, the skin and intramuscular space were infiltrated with 1% lidocaine which coincided with the distance measured previously from axial MR images. An 18-gauge spinal needle was inserted posterolaterally through the skin under fluoroscopy guidance. According to this technique, the distance of the entry point from the middle line in Turkish patients ranged from 9 to 14 cm according to the structure of the patient and the level of LDH. The placement of the 18-gauge spinal needle in the correct place considering the placement of the disc fragment constitutes the most important step for the removal of the herniation. In endoscopic discectomy, the disc fragment can be removed only if the surgical instrument is placed in the correct place. If the needle is in the medial pedicular line in the AP image and at the level of the posterior vertebra in the lateral image at the same time, it means that the needle is in the ideal position (Figure 1). The location of the nerve roots and the safe triangle of Kambin where the drug will spread in the epidural space are confirmed with the injection of the radiopaque (Figure 2). Epidural block is then made with 5 mL of 0.5% lidocaine. If the inside-out technique is used, the needle is advanced into the disc and discography is performed with 2 mL radiopaque to confirm that the needle is in the disc space. Then a 0.8 mm guidewire is passed through the needle. If the outside-in technique is to be used, foraminoplasty is performed with sequential hand reamers starting from 4 mm to 9 mm on the guidewire (Figure 3). Proper caution must be taken not to advance the tip of the hand reamers beyond the medial pedicular line in the AP image in order to prevent possible nerve

Figure 1. If the needle is in the medial pedicular line in the anteroposterior image and at the level of the posterior vertebra in the lateral image at the same time, it means that needle is in the ideal position.

Figure 2. The location of the nerve roots and the safe triangle of Kambin where the drug will spread in the epidural space are confirmed with the injection of the radiopaque.
The obturator is then advanced over the guidewire. If the inside-out technique is to be used, the obturator is hammered into the disc on the guidewire. If the outside-in technique is to be used, the obturator is advanced to the location of the fragment. The cannula, which is 8 mm in diameter, is placed over the obturator with rotating movements. It should be noted that the opening of the angled working cannula faces dorsally in the epidural space. The obturator is removed from the working cannula and a 25° endoscope is inserted into the working cannula to perform discectomy by using endoscopic forceps and other handpieces (Figure 4). When the inside-out technique is used, we used the half and half technique, as described by Lee et al. (20). In this technique, half of the working cannula is placed in the ventral of the posterior line of the vertebral body (disc space), and the other half is placed dorsally in the epidural space.

**RESULTS**

The mean preoperative VAS score was 9.4±1.8 (range=8-10) and the mean early postoperative VAS score was 1.85±1.2 (range=0-6). In our series, there was no LDH that we could not excise with transforaminal PELD. We confirmed the early postoperative decompression using axial and sagittal T2 MR images. During follow-up, recurrent LDH was seen in two patients. One patient developed epidural fibrosis. He was treated with sacral endoscopic lumbar neurolysis. The mean VAS scores were found to be 1.8±1.69 at the third-month follow-up. At the last follow-up, 80% of the patients stated that they recovered completely and 85% stated that they could have the same surgery again. There was a significant difference between the preoperative VAS scores and the VAS scores in the early postoperative and third-month follow-up (p<0.001). There was no significant difference between early postoperative VAS scores and the third month follow-up VAS scores (p=0.9).

**DISCUSSION**

Percutaneous endoscopic disc surgery was modified by many innovative surgeons after the description of Kambin and Gellman (12,18). Some of these modifications were Kambin et al. (17) arthroscopic microdiscectomy, Yeung (39-41) selective endoscopic discectomy, and Mayer and Brock (25,26) PELD. In PELD, the preservation of central disc structures is very important for preventing future disc height reduction, disc degeneration, spinal instability, and postoperative low back pain. Therefore, changing the central disc decompression concept to targeted fragmentectomy was a significant innovation in the history of PELD technique (10,11,29). With the advancement of this technique, the skin entry point became more lateral (10-14 cm from the midline) and the diameter of the working cannulas were enlarged to 7-8 cm. As a result, complete removal of the herniated fragments became more feasible with the use of larger size endoscopic forceps and tools (12,28,29,35). Due to these recent advancements in PELD techniques, there was no LDH that
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we could not excise with transforaminal PELD in our series. One common problem of PELD surgeries is the migration of herniated fragments. If the herniated fragment breaches the posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) and moves into the epidural space, it migrates up or down route in 35%-72% of the cases. Although there is a debate about in which direction it moves more commonly, most surgeons believe that down migration occurs more frequently. Severely migrated fragments are generally placed under the pars interarticularis and medial to the pedicle. Therefore, open removal of these fragments requires extensive removal of the bone, which may result in postoperative instability. Migrated fragments usually lie laterally away from the midline because of PLL attachments and midline septum. The peridental membrane also limits its passage to the midline. For these reasons, transforaminal access is a viable surgical option for migrated herniations. Up-migrated herniations and sequestrations are more commonly seen in elderly patients who may likely have comorbidities such as cardiac disorders, hypertension, or diabetes. Because general anesthesia and open surgery may be risky, PELD with continuous sedation has remarkable advantages over open surgery for these patients.

In our series, there were only two patients with a migrated disc herniation who we treated successfully with transforaminal PELD. In one of these patients, LDH was a recurrent disc herniation which had been previously treated with an open microdiscectomy (Figure 5). One of these down migrated LDHs was at the L5-S1 level and required a foraminoplasty. Successful performance of an L5-S1 transforaminal PELD in patients with a high iliac crest can be challenging. Due to the oblique trajectory created by the iliac crest and narrow foraminal area, L5-S1 transforaminal PELD is a demanding procedure, which is hindered by the L5 transverse process, the hypertrophic L5-S1 facet joint, and the sacral ala. In the study conducted by Choi et al., in which they retrospectively evaluated 100 patients who underwent transforaminal PELD for the L5-S1 level, they concluded that if the height of the iliac crest was located below the mid pedicle of the L5, a conventional posterolateral approach could be performed without difficulty. However, if the height of the iliac crest was above the mid pedicle of the L5, an appropriate working channel location sometimes required foraminal widening to remove the herniated mass. Foraminoplasty was particularly required in cases where the height of the iliac crest was above the L4-5 disc space, and/or an android pelvis and/or central disc herniation was present. Due to these difficulties, half of the cases in which we performed PELD in the L5-S1 level required foraminoplasty. A limitation of our study was the small sample size that was evaluated using transforaminal PELD. However, the validity of this approach has already been shown in the literature with larger series of patients in recent years.

In conclusion, the transforaminal PELD technique, which has evolved considerably in recent years, can be used to remove intra-canal, migrated, foraminal/extrapfaminal, large, and recurrent disc herniations, under local and sedoanalgiesia. It offers several advantages over an open surgical approach including the preservation of posterior ligamentous and bony structures, and less postoperative instability, facet arthropathy, disc space narrowing, and epidural scarring.

CONCLUSION

PELD under local and sedoanalgiesia is an alternative method to classical microdiscectomy in patients with lumbar disc hernia. It is a crucial advantage that it does not require general anesthesia and that patients can provide feedback during surgery. In the early postoperative period, it is possible to evaluate whether the decompression is sufficient with the straight leg raising test before the patient leaves the operating room. Because the procedure does not require nerve manipulation and normal anatomical structures are not damaged, the patient feels less pain in the early postoperative period, can be discharged on the same day, and can return to their daily activities and work life more quickly.
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