Introduction

Since the beginning of 2020, the world has been plagued by coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). This infection is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and causes a wide range of symptoms, from mild respiratory symptoms to severe illness and even death (Grant et al., 2020). As the virus has been spreading rapidly, the WHO (World Health Organization) has declared COVID-19 a pandemic since 11 March 2020 (World Health Organization, 2020; Cascella, Rajnik, Aleem, Dulebohn, & Di Napoli, 2021).

This pandemic did not leave the world of football untouched as many countries' health authorities and governments applied limitations to reduce the virus's propagation rate (Corsini, Bisciotti, Eirale, & Volpi, 2020). As a result, football matches and training were suspended until a method of decreasing infection risk was established (Herrero-Gonzalez et al., 2020; Meyer et al., 2021; Wilson et al., 2020).

The Iran CORONA Headquarter in sport suspended Iranian professional men's football premier league (Persian Gulf Pro League) and Knockout Cup (Iranian Hazfi Cup) 2019/2020 season on 29 February 2020, due to the COVID-19 outbreak. Although the desire to restart the competitions as soon as possible was surging among players, clubs, and even the fans, the biggest challenge facing the federation and the league was to find suitable safety precautions that protect the health of players, staff, and officials. Therefore, based on the rules and recommendations of the ministry of health, CORONA headquarter in sports formally decided to evaluate the restart of the season under particular restrictions and declared the regulation of football league matches during the corona pandemic in Iran.

This is a report to describe the status of the professional football setting regarding COVID-19 over a modified football season resumption under particular regulations at the peak of the pandemic in a country with high transmission risk. These findings may shed light on how to resume the sports events during the pandemic.

Methods

Study design

This prospective cohort study was conducted between 17 May 2020, and 3 September 2020, and included 16 Iranian professional men's premier league teams and the match officials. The timeline of the professional restart can be found in Fig. 1.

Ethical considerations

This study was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the ministry of health and Iranian Football Medical Assessment and Rehabilitation Center (IFMARC) Ethical Committee and Iran Football League Organization. Also, IFMARC was responsible for the supervising the resumption. On behalf of their players and officials, all teams' boards formally agreed to cooperate in this study.
The measures consist of case identification, regular and repetitive COVID-19 PCR testing, a self-reported symptom checker, positive case isolation, and determining the COVID-19 infection prevalence while holding the football premier league. According to the regulations of the CORONA headquarters in sports, if more than 25% of the team members were found to have positive PCR tests while more than 80% of them were players, the upcoming match has to be canceled. It should be postponed until the team members’ positive PCR test falls below this set point.

Symptom monitoring

Symptoms were monitored using a self-declaration form provided for the players, staff, and officials. The document was created based on the official reports of the Iran Ministry of Health, Research, and Education and Iran CORONA headquarters based on commonly reported symptoms of COVID-19. Before the restart, the common symptoms of COVID-19 were thoroughly explained to the players, staff, and officials by the medical team. They were asked to report any illness or suspicious symptoms to the team physicians immediately by an online self-declaration form.

PCR testing

All the players provided pharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 RNA PCR testing for the first time on 17 May 2020. The tests were supposed to be performed every 5 days based on the first regulation. However, the frequency was reduced to every 10 days by the CORONA headquarters in sports to make it more feasible for teams to follow. Samples were analyzed in laboratories and by PCR kits authorized by the Iran Ministry of Health, Research, and Education. We used the Sansure SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR kit (Hunan, China; target genes: ORF1ab/N) and the reporting followed manufacturer instructions.

Case monitoring and tracing

Individuals with suspicious symptoms or exposure to a COVID-19 patient were tested for the virus and underwent self-isolation with close symptom monitoring. Those with a positive test stayed in self-isolation until 48h after the disappearance of all the symptoms and asymptomatic cases could return to play after a negative PCR test. In case of a positive case, all team physicians performed the case-tracing interview, and immediately after, tests were performed on the previous opposition team in order to identify the index case. Furthermore, team physicians were suggested to follow the practical guideline on cardiorespiratory considerations for return to sports in elite athletes. The final PCR test was performed on 15 August 2020, and both league matches and Knockout Cup successfully finished on 20 August 2020 and 3 September 2020, respectively.
Symptoms

While all the team players and officials were educated and informed about the importance of daily filling of the COVID-19 self-declaration form, no suspicious symptoms were reported by any individual through the self-declaration form before the tests. After a positive test, they were obligated to self-quarantine separately in their own homes. Only 22.22% of the infected subjects (32 out of 144) reported the experience of mild to moderate symptoms in the following days during their quarantine.

PCR tests

A total of 4066 tests were performed, which is summarized in Table 2. According to Table 3, a sum of 191 positive tests were detected throughout the whole resumption. Overall, 17.89% of our population (144 out of 805 individuals) were infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Eighty-five players (18.52% of players) and 59 staff and officials (17.05% of staff and officials) were infected during this period. The difference between the infected proportion in the two categories was not statistically significant (P = 0.59).

Case tracing

Our contact tracing revealed that 21 (14.6%) individuals were infected in contact with a positive case in a football setting (Table 4). After interviewing the infected subjects, team physicians manifested that of 85 infected players, 11 (12.94%) reported to have been in contact with a fellow teammate with a positive PCR test in a football setting either in a training session and off the pitch or in an official match. Also, 87.5% of the team’s medical staff, who had a positive PCR test, reported close contact inside their team.

Discussion

Principal findings

In this study, we reported the status of the professional football restart regarding COVID-19 under national regulations during the pandemic. Under hygienic precautions, 805 individuals from 16 teams participated in 77 matches. During that time, no severe course of disease which required hospitalization or critical medical care has been detected. However, 191 individuals (17.89%) were infected with the coronavirus (diagnosed with a positive PCR test). Furthermore, in our study, no suspicious symptoms were reported by the team physicians or the participants before the tests. Thus, symptom monitoring alone seemed to be ineffective in precisely indicating the disease’s status (Gostic, Gomez, Mummah, Kucharski, & Lloyd-Smith, 2020), especially in our young athletic population who are less likely to express significant symptoms compared to the general population (Baettig, Parini, Cardona, & Morand, 2021; Williamson, Walker, & Bhaskaran, 2020). Undeniably, we tried to emphasize on early case identification as the most practical method in controlling the disease’s spread (Peck, 2020; Poon et al., 2003), mainly when more asymptomatic cases in the population are expected. Therefore, repeated regular PCR testing played a pivotal role in our approach to resume the sports event (Loeffelholz & Tang, 2020; WHO Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) technical guidance, 2020).

Why higher rates of infection?

Compared to the studies conducted by Meyer et al. (2021) and Schumacher, Tabben, and Hassoun (2020), which reported the status of Germany and Qatar professional football resumption, respectively, our infectious rate was relatively higher. Although it is difficult to express whether football resumption is associated with an increased rate of COVID-19 infection, it is worthy to remark that in Iran, the population infectious rate during our study period was 20 cases per 100,000 per week (Roser, Ritchie, Ortiz-Ospina, & Hasell, 2020), compared to only 5 per 100,000 infections per week in Germany (Meyer et al., 2021). Thus, the nation-wide rate may might justify our higher infectious rates. On the other hand, various other factors could be associated with a higher infectious rate in
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Table 1: Total number of days, matches, performed PCR tests and positive tests per match weeks

| Periods | Days | Matches (n) | Performed PCR tests | Positive tests (%) |
|---------|------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Training | 38   | 0           | 1596                | 72 (4.51)          |
| MW1     | 4    | 1           | 254                 | 6 (2.36)           |
| MW2     | 6    | 8           | 414                 | 34 (8.21)          |
| MW3     | 6    | 6           | 408                 | 24 (5.88)          |
| MW4     | 6    | 7           | 317                 | 20 (6.30)          |
| MW5     | 7    | 8           | 434                 | 20 (4.60)          |
| MW6     | 4    | 8           | 55                  | 0 (0)              |
| MW7     | 4    | 2           | 192                 | 7 (3.64)           |
| MW8     | 6    | 8           | 114                 | 2 (1.75)           |
| MW9     | 4    | 4           | 5                   | 3 (60.0)           |
| MW10    | 5    | 6           | 248                 | 3 (1.20)           |
| MW11    | 5    | 8           | 29                  | 0 (0)              |
| MW12    | 5    | 8           | 0                   | 0 (0)              |
| MW13    | 9    | 2           | 0                   | 0 (0)              |
| MW14a   | 1    | 1           | 0                   | 0 (0)              |
| Total   | 110  | 77          | 4066                | 191 (4.69)         |

MW: Match week
*a* Knockout Cup final

Table 2: Number of performed PCR tests in players and staff

| Groups          | Tests | Tests per person | Tests per match | Tests per day |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Players         | 2503  | 5.45             | 32.51           | 22.75         |
| Staff and officials | 1563  | 4.51             | 20.29           | 14.21         |
| Total           | 4066  | 5.05             | 52.80           | 36.96         |

Table 3: Number of positive PCR tests in players and staff

| Groups          | Positive PCR | Positive PCR per match | Positive PCR per day |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Players         | 116 (4.63%)  | 1.51                   | 1.05                 |
| Staff and officials | 75 (4.80%) | 0.97                   | 0.68                 |
| Total           | 191 (4.69%)  | 2.48                   | 1.73                 |

Table 4: Contact tracing of the individuals with positive PCR tests

| Area of contact                           | Players n = 85, n (%) | Officials and staff n = 51, n (%) | Medical staff n = 8, n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Undefined (unknown)                       | 23 (27.05)            | 21 (41.17)                       | 0 (0)                      |
| Contact with a positive case among family members | 18 (21.17)          | 12 (23.59)                       | 0 (0)                      |
| Attending outdoor gatherings/celebrations or restaurants | 32 (37.64)            | 15 (29.41)                       | 0 (0)                      |
| Attending a health care facility          | 1 (1.17)              | 0 (0)                            | 1 (12.5)                   |
| Contact with a positive case from football setting | 11 (12.94)            | 3 (5.88)                         | 7 (87.5)                   |

Iran, such as the economic and social barriers of establishing an isolated and centralized camp during the competition, uncontrolled and frequent commuting of participants in the community during the competition, and the attitudes of players as individuals with high physical strength towards the COVID-19.

Even though it is deceivable to interpret that our measures can be applied thoroughly for other settings, we reported a positive rate of 4.69% in our setting while, during the same period, the positive rate was 10.35% of the tested individuals in the country (Walsh et al., 2020). Although we managed to finish the season with approximately 1.31 cases per day and no severe cases, it is notable that due to the involvement of multiple factors such as the public’s uncertain attitude towards the pandemic, our study design is unable to illuminate how this would affect our population.

PCR testing limitations

The pragmatic challenge of our study was to rely solely on the results of the PCR tests to make decisions for the individuals or the teams. Aside from its considerable costs, the PCR test cannot precisely distinguish between past and current viral infection. A positive PCR test, especially in asymptomatic individuals, can typically be due to contiguous remnants of the virus in the pharynx (Walsh et al., 2020). Also, since no COVID-19 testing has been performed on our subjects before this study, there is the possibility to detect an old infection in those who tested positive for the first time.

On the other hand, although PCR is a sensitive test, if the virus colonizes in lower respiratory tract regions or the sample-taking technique is not performed correctly, it would come up as a false negative (Yan, Chang, & Wang, 2020; Wölfel et al., 2020; Winichakoon et al., 2020). The sample-taking is an unpleasant experience for each individual, and teams tend to keep their valuable players for the season’s final stages. Hence, we used external laboratory testing staff to minimize these possible behaviors.

COVID-19 transmission and football

Although during the case-tracing interviews, most subjects found it difficult to distinguish the precise location of transmission, there has been no report of transmissions between two different teams on the pitch. Our more in-depth assessments let us to assume that the virus transmission is more likely to happen between teammates in shared hotel rooms or the locker rooms rather than on the pitch. Trying to support this statement, after finding a positive PCR test, we immediately performed the tests on the
previous opposition team and the results unveiled no positive tests. Our findings are in line with the results of the study by Jones, Phillips, and Kemp (2021), who concluded that despite of a high number of close interactions between players during a rugby match, in-game SARS-CoV-2 transmission is limited during outdoor sport competitions. While focusing solely on the player interactions is probably an oversimplification of SARS-CoV-2 transmission, these results could indicate that taking more strict measures off the pitch is possibly more profound than the match itself. Furthermore, our results showed that since team doctors and physiotherapists spend a longer time on protective measures for the medical services, more emphasis must be placed with the medical and rehabilitation and physiotherapists spend a longer time on protective measures for the medical staff.

Study limitations

It is notable to acknowledge our study’s limitations. To elaborate, not all teams could follow the regulation due to the testing cost. Therefore, a different timeline of testing was reported by each team, additionally, owing to the price of the PCR tests, the lack of testing external staff in the pandemic, and the inconvenience of the sampling process for the individuals, we could not perform tests on a shorter duration basis, which would be the most desirable circumstance for our study. We believe that using a sample pooling strategy (Lagopati et al., 2021) could reduce the costs of the tests and improve the effectiveness of case detection, therefore further studies are needed using this method to evaluate the hypothesis. Since reporting CT values for RT-PCR and performing immunity profiling were not obligatory according to the CORONA headquarter protocols, we could not assess these measures in our study. Besides, the self-declaration form was supposed to be filled by the individuals after enough education was provided to them by the medical team. However, to place reliance on unsupervised individuals to report symptoms of a viral disease to be quite vague is another limitation of our study.

Conclusion

This report of the resumption of professional football after the COVID-19 pandemic under the assigned protocols of Iranian CORONA headquarters in sport revealed that in a situation—where due to financial constraints it was not feasible to set up isolated sport camps (bubbles) for the competitions—repetitive PCR testing alongside symptom monitoring and case-tracing made restarting outdoor sporting events possible. We showed that more attention should be paid to prevent the spread of the virus off the pitch compared to in-match contacts. Using shorter time-frames in testing or using pool sampling methods may be beneficial. Having relied on self-reported symptom monitoring may have had its limitations in the presented model.
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