Protein kinase C inhibitors override ZEB1-induced chemoresistance in HCC
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Abstract
Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process by which tumour cells lose epithelial characteristics, become mesenchymal and highly motile. EMT pathways also induce stem cell features and resistance to apoptosis. Identifying and targeting this pool of tumour cells is a major challenge. Protein kinase C (PKC) inhibition has been shown to eliminate breast cancer stem cells but has never been assessed in hepatocellular cancer (HCC). We investigated ZEB family of EMT inducer expression as a biomarker for metastatic HCC and evaluated the efficacy of PKC inhibitors for HCC treatment. We showed that ZEB1 positivity predicted patient survival in multiple cohorts and also validated as an independent biomarker of HCC metastasis. ZEB1-expressing HCC cell lines became resistant to conventional chemotherapeutic agents and were enriched in CD44high/CD24low cell population. ZEB1- or TGFβ-induced EMT increased PKCa abundance. Probing public databases ascertained a positive association of ZEB1 and PKCa expression in human HCC tumours. Inhibition of PKCa activity by small molecule inhibitors or by PKCA knockdown reduced viability of mesenchymal HCC cells in vitro and in vivo. Our results suggest that ZEB1 expression predicts survival and metastatic potential of HCC. Chemoresistant/mesenchymal HCC cells become addicted to PKC pathway and display sensitivity to PKC inhibitors such as UCN-01. Stratifying patients according to ZEB1 and combining UCN-01 with conventional chemotherapy may be an advantageous chemotherapeutic strategy.

Introduction
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a common and deadly cancer1. HCC is very resistant to cytotoxic chemotherapy, therefore most patients are treated with surgery or ablation2. However, such approaches usually fail due to the presence of advanced disease at presentation4. Most patients who undergo curative surgery subsequently develop intra- and extra-hepatic metastases. Currently, there are no biomarkers that can prognosticate HCC trajectory or drugs that can target chemoresistant/metastatic cells.

EMT is a trans-differentiation programme that plays a major role in cancer spread by inducing the formation of motile/metastatic carcinoma cells4. EMT pathways also facilitate acquisition of stem cell properties and chemoresistance4,5,6. Diverse extracellular stimuli activate EMT pathways by inducing Twist, SNAIL and the ZEB family of transcription factors (EMT-TFs)6. As EMT-TFs are initiators of EMT programmes, identifying the correct EMT inducer and using its expression as a biomarker will allow patient stratification for defining metastatic potential and also decision for therapy. Among EMT-TFs, ZEB family members have not been studied in a collective manner in HCC. As metastasis and therapy-resistance represent the principal causes of HCC-related mortality,
understanding the expression and function of ZEB proteins is critically important.

Here we evaluate the expression of E-Cadherin, ZEB1 and ZEB2 in primary HCC. We showed that ZEB1, but not ZEB2, positivity predicted poor patient survival and externally validated it as a biomarker of HCC metastasis using two independent patient cohorts. We also assessed the functional contribution of ZEB1 to EMT, chemoresistance and hepatosphere forming properties. Recently, protein kinase C alpha (PKCα) activation has been implicated in the formation and survival of cancer stem cells (CSCs)\(^7\). It also became evident that mesenchymal/metastatic carcinoma cells are not addicted to Ras oncogene\(^8\) but require PKC pathway activation for survival\(^1,8\). Therefore, exploring in vitro and in vivo models, we asked whether PKC pathway is implicated in the survival of ZEB expressing HCC cells.

Materials and methods

Please see Supplementary information for assessment of cell viability, apoptosis and motility, expression analysis, western blotting and immunofluorescence, hepatosphere formation assay and bioinformatic analysis.

**Patient material and analysis of ZEB immunoexpression**

Paraffin-embedded samples of primary HCC were included from two prospectively maintained registries of consecutive patients, who underwent tumour resection between 1997 and 2010 at the Department of Surgery, University of Southampton, UK, and 96 consecutive patients who underwent tumour resection between 2001 and 2006 from the Department of Surgery, Policlinico di Abano Terme, Padua, Italy. Patient anonymization and IHC was performed using ethics no: 10/H0504/32. Results are transparently presented according to the Biospecimen Reporting for Improved Study Quality (BRISQ) and reporting recommendations for tumour marker prognostic studies (REMARK) guidelines\(^9,10\). Median follow-up time was 21 months for the UK cohort and 60 months in the Italian cohort. Tumour containing blocks were cut to (4 \(\mu\)M) sections and immunohistochemical staining for ZEB1, ZEB2 and E-Cadherin undertaken as previously described\(^11\). Further details of this section can be found in Supplementary information.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analysis. Two sample \(t\)-test was performed for analysis of migrations and viability assays. Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test (where appropriate) was applied to assess independence of ZEB1, ZEB2 and E-Cadherin expression with clinic-pathological parameters. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were used to assess differences in OS and DFS, and significance reported using the Log-rank test. Multivariable analysis was performed using Cox-proportional hazards regression and included all the covariates listed in Supplementary Table S1. The survival end-point for univariate and multivariable analysis was time to recurrence (DFS) or time to death (OS) after surgery. Patients with missing outcome data were right-censored from analysis with the last observed outcome. Student \(t\)-test was performed during gene expression analysis and group comparisons, considering the groups are not paired. In all statistical analysis results were considered significant when the \(p\)-value was <0.05.

**Cell lines, transfections and reagents**

SNU387, SNU423, SNU475, Huh7, PLC/PRF/5 (HCC), HepG2 (Hepatoblastoma) and SKHep1 (adenocarcinoma of liver) were purchased from ATCC. Hep40 cells (HCC) were kindly provided by Prof. M. Ozturk (IBG, Izmir, Turkey). Cells were propagated in DMEM (PAA) supplemented with 10% FCS, Penicillin/Streptomycin (50 U/ml) and 2 mM L-Glutamine in a humidified, 5% CO\(_2\) incubator. The authenticity of Hepatoma cell lines were validated by STR analysis (Eurofins, Germany) and sequencing of p53 cDNA as they contain different p53 mutations\(^12\). MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza) has been used routinely to check mycoplasma contamination. Full-length human ZEB1 and ZEB2 cDNA were cloned into pCDNA4 plasmid with N-terminal HA-tag and sequence verified. E-Cadherin promoter luciferase reporter was used as described before\(^11\). Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine LTX reagent (Invitrogen). Where necessary, cells were treated with TGF-\(\beta\) (R&D systems), UCN-01 and Midostaurin (Enzo Life sciences), Oxaliplatin (Hospira, UK) and Sorafenib (Bayer, UK). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma. Small hairpin RNA constructs (control and validated PKC\(\alpha\) targeting, TRC no: TRCN0000195322-PKC-sh-1 and TRCN0000001693-PKC-sh-2) were purchased from Sigma.

**In vivo studies**

Female SCID BALB/C mice (12–14-week old) were used in all in vivo experiments. For subcutaneous injections, \(1 \times 10^5\) cells (SKHep1, Huh7, PLC/PRF/5 or HepG2) were mixed 50/50 with Matrigel (BD) and injected as 100 \(\mu\)l on both flanks. Ten days later, and when tumours became palpable, UCN-01 (2 mg/kg) or PBS was injected intra-peritoneally weekly for the duration of the experiment. The follow-up time was set to 14 weeks for the experiment involving SKHep1 and 6 weeks for E-HCC cells. All animals were independently assessed by animal facility technicians for welfare reasons and culled when tumour burden exceeded pre-defined acceptable limits. For orthotopic injections \(2.5 \times 10^5\) SNU387 or SKHep1 cells were mixed 50/50 with Matrigel and...
injected as 60 μl into liver parenchyma after laparotomy. Four animals were assigned to each group (control vs UCN-01). Animals were allowed to recover from surgery for 10 days before intraperitoneal UCN-01 (2 mg/kg/week) or PBS (control) treatments. Animals were assessed and weighed weekly by researchers blinded to the treatment groups. When weight loss exceeded 20% (week 5 for SKHep1, week 7 for SNU387), all animals were injected intravenously with the 800CW-2DG probe (Licor), and culled 18 h later. Livers and lungs were harvested, imaged and analysed using an IVIS Lumina III imaging unit (Perkin Elmer). The unit of signal is set to average radiant efficiency (Fluorescence emission radiance per incident excitation power) as recommended by the manufacturer.

**Results**

**Assessment of ZEB family protein expression in HCC**

The functional redundancy of ZEB family in relation to patient survival was not studied in HCC, therefore we investigated the expression of E-Cadherin, ZEB1 and ZEB2 by IHC, using 40 consecutive HCC patients operated in the UK. Clinico-pathological variables of this cohort are provided in Table S1. Predominant nuclear expression of ZEB1 is observed in 28% of tumours (Fig. 1a). ZEB1 expression was significantly associated with vascular invasion, tumour stage, and presence of satellite lesions (Supplementary Table S1). Median overall survival (OS, \( p = 0.003 \)) and disease-free survival (DFS, \( p = 0.004 \)) were reduced in the ZEB1-positive group (Fig. 1a). Multivariate analysis revealed ZEB1 expression

---

**Fig. 1 Immunoexpression of ZEB family proteins in primary HCC is associated with outcome in independent patient cohorts from the UK and Italy.**  
**a** E-Cadherin (left panels), ZEB1 (middle panels) and ZEB2 (right panels) expression in UK-HCC cohort (\( n = 40 \)) as detected by IHC. Considering the functions of the proteins, membranous E-Cadherin expression is marked as positive whereas cytoplasmic (aberrant) and no-expression of E-Cadherin is marked as negative. More than 10% nuclear ZEB1 or ZEB2 expression is marked as positive, <10% or predominant cytoplasmic expression is marked as negative. Absence of E-Cadherin or presence of ZEB1 is associated with overall survival whereas ZEB1 positivity is associated with disease-free survival (time to metastasis/recurrence).  
**b, d** Independent prognostic markers, as assessed by Cox regression analysis, showing statistical significance in the UK (b) and Italian (d) cohorts. Blue charts indicate overall survival; pink charts indicate disease-free survival. CI: confidence interval.  
**c** ZEB1 expression is significantly associated with both overall and disease-free survival in Italian-HCC cohort (\( n = 96 \)).
as a significant independent marker of poor OS (\(p = 0.005\)) and DFS (\(p = 0.006\)) (Fig. 1b). ZEB2 positivity was detected in 18% of samples (Fig. 1a). No correlation was observed between ZEB2 expression and OS or DFS. E-Cadherin expression was associated with OS (\(p = 0.012\)) but not with DFS (Fig. 1a). Multivariate analysis demonstrated aberrant/negative E-Cadherin expression as an independent prognostic factor of OS (\(p = 0.002\)), but not DFS (Fig. 1b). Other variables did not associate with any proteins investigated (Supplementary Table S1).

EMT-TF expression was linked with clinical outcomes previously, however, to date, no EMT-associated biomarker has entered clinical practice due to lack of external validation. To address this, we further analysed 96 consecutive HCC samples from an independent patient cohort (Italy). Clinico-pathological characteristics for this cohort are presented in Supplementary Table S2. ZEB1 expression was associated with decreased OS (\(p = 0.008\)) and DFS (\(p = 0.008\)) but not with other variables (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Table S2). Presence of ZEB1 or vascular invasion was independent prognostic markers of OS and DFS (Fig. 1d). Transparent reporting of biospecimen details, patient cohorts, variables evaluated, and statistical analyses for both cohorts are detailed in Supplementary Tables S1–3 in compliance with BRISQ and REMARK guidelines. The observation that ZEB1 expression predicts HCC recurrence and patient survival in multiple independent cohorts strengthens the argument for its use as a prognostic biomarker in HCC.

**Functional role of ZEB proteins in HCC**

Our findings suggest acquired ZEB1 expression predicts clinical outcome in HCC, therefore we investigated its functional contribution towards HCC biology. Initially we analysed ZEB1, ZEB2, E-cadherin and vimentin expression in eight Hepatoma-derived cell lines. Four of eight cell lines were morphologically epithelial and expressed E-Cadherin (E-HCC); the remainder grew as single cells, expressed vimentin and were mesenchymal (M-HCC, Fig. 2a). We observed an inverse-correlation between the expression of E-Cadherin and ZEB1/ZEB2, further supporting a role for ZEB family as critical EMT-inducers in HCC. Overexpression of ZEB1 activated EMT, as PLC/PRF/5 cells became mesenchymal, downregulated E-Cadherin and increased vimentin (Fig. 2b). Both ZEB family members suppressed CDH1 promoter-driven luciferase expression (Fig. 2c). Ectopic ZEB1 expression induced chemoresistance to chemotherapeutics used in HCC treatment (Fig. 2d) and significantly increased motility of PLC/PRF/5 cells (Fig. 2e) in agreement with our in vivo observations that ZEB1 immunoexpression is associated with metastatic phenotype. ZEB1 also induced a partial G1-arrest, which is considered a hallmark of EMT (Fig. 2f).

**Mesenchymal features define chemoresistance and stem cell ability of HCC**

A feature of EMT is promoting resistance to apoptosis, therefore chemotherapy. We already ascertained that ZEB1 overexpression induces resistance to apoptosis in HCC (Fig. 2d); however, mutation burden may be defining sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents. We, therefore, treated six Hepatoma cell lines that are genetically different, and representing epithelial (\(n = 3\)) or mesenchymal (\(n = 3\)) morphology, with three commonly used chemotherapeutic agents. M-HCC cells were chemoresistant to Oxaliplatin both at IC50 and IC80 values (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. S1). Considerable percentage of M-HCC cells survived higher doses Doxorubicin (Fig. 3b) creating a significant difference in IC80 values (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. S1). Apart from Oxaliplatin and Doxorubicin, the Sorafenib is increasingly used in HCC treatment. Cell lines displayed no trend in terms of Sorafenib-related toxicity and EMT status (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig. S1). These findings suggest that genetically identical (control vs ZEB1 overexpressing cells, Fig. 2d) or genetically different but morphologically similar Hepatoma cells (Fig. 3a–c) can be stratified according to their EMT status and chemoresistance. Therefore, treatment of metastatic HCC with DNA damaging agents is not an effective therapeutic strategy.

EMT pathways are known to induce enrichment of CSC subpopulations. We, therefore, examined sphere-forming ability of Hepatoma cell lines and upon ZEB1 overexpression. E-HCC cell lines formed tightly clustered spheres whereas M-HCC cells, or ZEB1 overexpressing PLC/PRF/5 cells formed clusters similar to grape bunches (Fig. 4a, b). Importantly, the number of hepatospheres was significantly more in M-HCC cells or upon ZEB1 expression compared with epithelial counterparts (Fig. 4c). Further, we investigated the expression of previously proposed stem cell markers such as CD133, CD90, CD24, CD44 or EpCAM during ZEB1-induced EMT. ZEB1 overexpression facilitated a significant change in CD24 and CD44 abundance, whereas all the other potential markers were unchanged (CD90 and CD133) or changed significantly (EpCAM) but remained barely detectable (Fig. 4d). In breast cancer (BC), CD44+/CD24low phenotype dictates stem cell status, induced by EMT-TFs, and is enriched in mesenchymal/chemosresistant BC cell lines. Expression analysis followed by non-hierarchical clustering analysis of E- and M-HCC cell lines for CD24 and CD44 expression confirmed that reduction in CD24 and increase in CD44 (Fig. 4e). The abundance of CD24 and CD44 in Hepatoma cell lines have also been reported in CCLE and Genentech cohorts of geneatlas database and concur with our observations (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. S2). Supporting our data, an association of mesenchymal gene...
expression signature (Twist+, Albumin−, AFP−) with CD44 increase was previously reported in HCC19. These results suggest CD24low/CD44high Hepatoma cells display mesenchymal phenotype, express ZEB1, are stem cell-like, motile and chemoresistant.

Protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitors selectively kill M-HCC cells

Cancer-EMT creates a spectrum of phenotypes20 therefore it is common to observe epithelial, mesenchymal or partial-EMT features within one tumour21. We have shown current chemotherapy regimens for HCC impact E- but not M-HCC cells. For greater clinical efficacy, however, both epithelial- and mesenchymal-cell components of HCC need to be targeted. A recent article identified PKC inhibitors as having selective efficacy in killing BC stem cells7. Accordingly, we tested the response of the E- and M-HCC cells to clinically trialed PKC inhibitors, Midostaurin and UCN-0122,23. IC50 curves revealed that significantly lower concentrations of UCN-01 and Midostaurin were inhibiting the viability of M-HCC, as compared with E-HCC cells (Fig. 5a, b). The mean IC50 for E- and M-HCC cells were significantly different for both drugs (Fig. 5a, b lower panels and Supplementary Fig. S3A). M-HCC cells showed extensive apoptosis as assessed by PARP cleavage and mitochondrial depolarization upon an 8 h UCN-01 treatment whereas limited/no apoptosis was observed in E-HCC cells (Fig. 5c). On the other hand, a longer treatment (36 h) and higher concentrations of Midostaurin were required to observe detectable apoptosis in M-HCC cells (Supplementary Fig. S3B). Unlike UCN-01, Midostaurin induced polyploidy in all cells tested including non-transformed cells such as fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. S4A). All PKC inhibitors induced apoptosis in M-HCC cells and exhibited limited/no activity in E-HCC cells at tested conditions, suggesting their action...
represents class effect (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figs. S3–4). Importantly, normal mesenchymal cells such as fibroblasts tolerated UCN-01 better than M-HCC cells (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Taken together, our data suggest UCN-01 and Midostaurin are effectively killing chemoresistant/mesenchymal HCC cells.

As PKC pathway is a well-documented inducer of tumorigenesis\textsuperscript{24,25}, its activation is reported during EMT-associated CSC formation\textsuperscript{7} and we observed low nM IC\textsubscript{50} values of UCN-01 during viability tests (Fig. 5), we investigated PKC activity and PKC family expression in HCC. Among all PKC isoforms, only PKC\textalpha{} abundance correlated with mesenchymal status (Fig. 6a). Other PKC isoforms were either undetectable (data not shown), expressed equally (PKC\textbeta{}) or showed no correlation with the EMT (PKC\delta{}, \epsilon{}, \theta{}). A specific PKC\textalpha{} substrate or pan-PKC-substrate antibodies showed strong signal only in M-HCC cells suggesting PKC\textalpha{} is the candidate kinase that is inhibited by PKC inhibitors (Fig. 6a)\textsuperscript{26,27}. To assess PKC\textalpha{} and ZEB1 expression in a clinical context we explored a HCC cohort (LIHC) of TCGA database. ZEB1 and PRKCA mRNA expressions showed a significant (p = 2.2 \times 10^{−16}) and positive (r = 0.479) association, similar to that of ZEB1 and Vimentin (p = 3.04 \times 10^{−8}, r = 0.308, Supplementary Fig. S5), which confirms M-HCC specific expression of PKC\textalpha{} in human samples.

These results prompted us to investigate the comparative effect of UCN-01 and Midostaurin on PKC activity in Hepatoma. We found that as little as 20 nM of UCN-01 was capable of inhibiting PKC activity better than 2 \mu{}M Midostaurin (Fig. 6b). This significant difference explains higher IC\textsubscript{50} values and delayed apoptosis for Midostaurin (36 vs 8 h, Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. S3). To determine whether PKC\textalpha{} is a critical regulator of M-HCC cell viability, we knocked-down PRKCA using two different shRNAs. Short-term PRKCA downregulation induced phospho-PKC-substrate signal reduction validating that it is the active PKC family member in M-HCC cells (Fig. 6c).

Despite significant endeavours, we were unable to create stable PRKCA knockdown M-HCC cells but obtained clones from the same lentiviral backbone suggesting that the observed effect of PKC\textalpha{} deficiency is genuine (data not shown). A colony formation assay using three M-HCC cell lines and two PRKCA targeting shRNAs revealed cell survival is significantly reduced upon prolonged PRKCA downregulation (Fig. 6d). Similar to genetic targeting of PRKCA, low-dose UCN-01 treatment inhibited colony forming ability of Hepatoma cell line.
panel but the observed effect was total repression in M-HCC cells (Supplementary Fig. 3C). Importantly, treating M-HCC or PLC/PRF/5 cells overexpressing ZEB1 during hepatosphere formation with 100 nM UCN-01 resulted in a major decrease in sphere/cluster formation (Fig. 6e). These results are in concordance with a previous study and suggest that M-HCC cells are critically dependent on PKCα for survival.

To exclude genetic variations in Hepatoma cells as the cause of selective sensitivity to UCN-01, we analysed ZEB1- and TGFβ-induced EMT models. ZEB1-induced EMT strongly increased PKCα expression as well as PKC-substrate phosphorylation (Fig. 7a). ZEB1-induced EMT also sensitised PLC/PRF/5 cells to UCN-01-induced apoptosis (Fig. 7a). TGFβ is a well-documented inducer of EMT in HCC. As reported previously, PLC/PRF/5 and Huh7, but not HepG2, cells responded to TGFβ, displaying hallmarks of EMT such as cell scattering, increased expression of ZEB1 and vimentin, downregulation of E-cadherin and formation of cortical actin (Fig. 7b)30. TGFβ also induced the expression of PKCα and PKC activity in PLC/PRF/5 and Huh7 cells (Fig. 7b) but not in HepG2. Thus, we chose PLC/PRF/5 (TGFβ-responsive) and HepG2 (TGFβ-non-responsive) cells to investigate the action of UCN-01 following TGFβ treatment. Activation of TGFβ-induced EMT increased PKCα abundance (Fig. 7b) and sensitised PLC/PRF/5 cells to UCN-01-mediated apoptosis, as assessed by PARP cleavage and mitochondria depolarization, together with inhibition of PKC activity (Fig. 7c). HepG2 cells did not upregulate PKCα or responded to UCN-01 (Fig. 7b, c).

Fig. 4 M-HCC phenotype is associated with enhanced hepatosphere formation and CD44high/CD24low profile. a In conditions of non-adherent growth, E-HCC cells produced spherical and smooth hepatospheres (top panels). M-HCC cells (bottom panels) produced loosely attached cell clusters in the shape of a grape bunch. b ZEB1-induced EMT mimics the phenotype observed in M-HCC cells. c E-HCC and M-HCC cells show stark differences in terms of number of hepatospheres formed. M-HCC cells or EMT phenotype induced by ZEB1 increases the number of hepatospheres formed indicating they contain more stem cell-like cells. d A panel of currently used stem cell markers were assessed by qPCR in HCC upon ZEB1 overexpression. The changes in CD44, EpCAM and CD24 were significant. Among the changing ones CD44 (increase) CD24 (decrease) were most prominent. The analysis of CD44 and CD24 in E- and M-HCC cells by qPCR (e) or RNA-seq by probing geneatlas database (f). The expression data in the form of ΔΔCT (e) or "reads" (f) were presented after non-hierarchical cluster analysis. E- and M-HCC cells clustered as a result of CD24 and CD44 expression.
Finally, to investigate the in vivo efficacy and tolerability of UCN-01, SKHep1 wells (M-HCC) were injected subcutaneously to SCID mice. UCN-01 administration prolonged survival significantly to an extent that the final animal in the treated group was culled without developing a significant tumour (Fig. 8a). UCN-01 was well tolerated at a dose of 2 mg/kg/week. Observing the anti-tumour activity of UCN-01 in a M-HCC cell line prompted us to investigate whether it impacts tumorigenicity of E-HCC cells. All three E-HCC cell lines (Huh7, PLC/PRF/5 and HepG2) were tested in subcutaneous context. The tumours exposed to UCN-01 were smaller (up to half weight) compared with controls (Supplementary Fig. 6). These results suggest UCN-01 has anti-tumour activity for all Hepatoma cell lines but more potent in eliminating M-HCC cells.

To study the efficacy of UCN-01 in treating metastatic HCC in a physiological micro-environment, we developed orthotopic murine models with SNU387 and SKHep1 cells and followed tumour growth and metastasis with a fluorescent glucose probe (800CW-2DG). Treatment, delivery and analysis of data were conducted in an investigator-blinded manner. Orthotopic tumours formed more quickly as control-treated animals showed cachexia within 3 weeks (Fig. 8b, left panel). UCN-01 treatment did not result in significant weight loss as compared with wild-type mice (Fig. 8b, right panel). When experiment was terminated due to extensive cachexia, livers and lungs were analysed using in vivo imager. The fluorescence, marking the presence of cancer cells, emitted from livers of UCN-01 reduced significantly compared with control animals (Fig. 8c) indicating that UCN-01 inhibited the survival of M-HCC cells. Notably, the fluorescence from dissected lungs was also significantly lower in the UCN-01 group compared with control animals (Fig. 8d), indicating both M-HCC cell lines are truly metastatic and the anti-neoplastic action of UCN-01 takes place in the main- and end-organs of interest. Histopathological analysis confirmed the presence of Hepatoma in livers and lungs of the animals paralleling the fluorescence emission (Fig. 8e). The tumours obtained from UCN-01 treated animals had less PKC activity (Fig. 8f). We also observed areas of pyknotic and shrunken nuclei indicating tumour cell death from UCN-01 (Fig. 8e). Careful examination of non-tumour parts of UCN-01 treated lungs and livers showed no pathological evidence of injury.
to non-neoplastic cells, marking the selectivity of UCN-01 to only M-HCC cells and confirming our in vitro observations. These results indicate that UCN-01 is tolerable for epithelial cells, and can effectively induce apoptosis in M-HCC cells at primary and clinically relevant secondary sites.

**Discussion**

Despite significant advances in surgery and chemotherapy, metastasis and therapy-resistance are key factors contributing to HCC-related mortality. Consequently, the identification of surrogate biomarkers for micrometastases, and the development of targeted therapeutics are key areas of unmet need in HCC.

In this study we performed an empirical expression analysis of ZEB family proteins and identified ZEB1 as an independent and externally validated biomarker of oncological outcome in HCC. We characterised its expression in a panel of commonly used Hepatoma cell lines, and demonstrated that ZEB1-induced EMT leads to chemoresistance to conventional chemotherapeutic agents. ZEB1 is able to stratify HCC into epithelial and mesenchymal sub-types both in vitro and in vivo. We demonstrated for the first time that PKC pathway is activated in mesenchymal HCC cells and that contributes to their survival. We identified UCN-01 having selective activity to M-HCC cells in vitro and in vivo, in doses that can be extrapolated to man, and without effect on the non-malignant cells, at least in mice.

Previous studies showed the expression EMT-TFs to predict for OS and DFS in HCC patients. Our study is the first, however, to use patient material from multiple independent HCC cohorts and follow biomarker reporting guidelines. Adequately powered prospective randomised-trials are necessary to fully confirm ZEB1 as a biomarker with clinical utility in HCC.
These results are in concordance with our findings since M-HCC cells tolerated DNA damaging agents better than E-HCC cells. Sorafenib was proven to have clinical utility in HCC treatment but, as shown in this study and others, there is no evidence that it can selectively target M-HCC cells. Our results also support earlier findings that activation of EMT by ZEB1 or TGFβ overrides Ras, and therefore RTK addiction. Therefore, targeting metastatic carcinoma cells with RTK inhibitors or through Ras pathway (such as with PDFG-R/Raf inhibitor Sorafenib) may not yield significant clinical benefit in metastatic HCC.

Here we also showed that ZEB1-expressing, mesenchymal and chemoresistant (M-HCC) cells became addicted to PKCa, and can be selectively eliminated by PKC inhibitors. These compounds are derived from a relatively non-specific kinase inhibitor, staurosporine. UCN-01 is hydroxylated-staurosporine which restricted its activity to kinases with a free water molecule in their ATP binding pocket, such PKC, PDK1 and CHK1. Like other inhibitors, UCN-01 becomes more specific when used at a lower concentrations. Considering the low nM IC50 values of UCN-01 (<62 nM in M-HCC cells), it is feasible to assume its activity to be specific rather than broad. The
Fig. 8 (See legend on next page.)
fact that genetic modelling of PKCα inactivation, as shown in this study and elsewhere, also reduced HCC viability supports our assumption. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that additional pro-survival kinases such as PDK1 or AKT are inhibited by UCN-01 in assays where we used higher concentrations (100–700 nM) and looked at apoptosis in the short term (8 h). Importantly, UCN-01 induced very little/no apoptosis in E-HCC cells or fibroblasts at these conditions. It is also noteworthy to say that UCN-01 showed partial but significant efficacy also in E-HCC cells in long-term treatments such as colony formation assays or in vivo experiments (Supplementary Figs. S3C and S6). This could be due to the fact that all E-HCC cells contain a small proportion of CSCs and UCN-01 is very potent in killing this population. Also, when injected to animals, E-HCC cells are exposed to EMT inducing soluble molecules such as TGFβ or chemokines. Overall, UCN-01 showed greater efficacy in killing M-HCC cells, however, the fact that it is inhibiting the viability of E-HCC cells in long-term assays is another benefit to justify its use in HCC patients.

A recent study investigating pro-survival pathways in metastatic colonization suggested inhibition of PKC signalling by UCN-01 significantly decreased cancer metastasis in vivo by inducing apoptosis. Similarly, overexpression of PKCα and selective activation of PKC signalling in mesenchymal-BC cells and BC stem cells was described. BC CSCs become sensitive to PKC inhibitors which are also staurosporine derivatives. Of note, these inhibitors have never been tested in man, whereas UCN-01 has been assessed in 22 phase I/II human clinical trials showing clinical benefit in progression-free survival. However, lack of biomarker-driven patient stratification has impedied its progression.

In recent years, identification of rare populations (e.g. CD133, CD90 or EpCAM positive) from mainly AFP + HCC (E-HCC) cells and assessing their CSC features has been an approach in attempts to identify HCC stem cells. Unlike other cancers, activatable models of EMT in HCC, such as used in this study, has not been utilised. Our findings supported by data from independent public databases (CCLE and Genentech) suggest that CD44high/C2D4low phenotype is associated with ZEB1-induced EMT (Fig. 4c) and anoikis resistance which are properties of M-HCC cells (Fig. 4a, b); therefore they should be considered for markers of HCC stem cells. Several studies reported CD44high HCC cells having a greater CSC capacity, without, however, considering their EMT status. As few as 10 SKHep1 cells were shown to induce metastatic tumours in 27 of 28 animals injected showing their CSC capacity in vivo. Forced or endogenous ZEB1 expression resulted in enhanced hepatosphere formation suggesting EMT is critical for CSC attributes for HCC.

EMT related genetic/epigenetic changes were proposed to drive the formation of differentiated (epithelial) or undifferentiated (mesenchymal) subclasses of primary and secondary tumours. The survival and response to chemotherapy significantly differs in these types of disease; and patients with an undifferentiated phenotype have significantly poorer prognosis. The considerable complexity of cancer progression requires a therapy where combinations of agents targeting epithelial (Doxorubicin) and mesenchymal (UCN-01) subpopulations of HCC is required. We propose stratifying HCC patients according to ZEB1 expression, and treating patients with ZEB1-positive tumours with an UCN-01 containing combination therapy for a successful treatment.
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