Contribution of the Tumor Microenvironment to Metabolic Changes Triggering Resistance of Multiple Myeloma to Proteasome Inhibitors

Jonas Schwestermann, Andrej Besse, Christoph Driessen and Lenka Besse*

Laboratory of Experimental Oncology, Clinics for Medical Hematology and Oncology, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen, St. Gallen, Switzerland

Virtually all patients with multiple myeloma become unresponsive to treatment with proteasome inhibitors over time. Relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma is accompanied by the clonal evolution of myeloma cells with heterogeneous genomic aberrations, diverse proteomic and metabolic alterations, and profound changes of the bone marrow microenvironment. However, the molecular mechanisms that drive resistance to proteasome inhibitors within the context of the bone marrow microenvironment remain elusive. In this review article, we summarize the latest knowledge about the complex interaction of malignant plasma cells with its surrounding microenvironment. We discuss the pivotal role of metabolic reprograming of malignant plasma cells within the tumor microenvironment with a subsequent focus on metabolic rewiring in plasma cells upon treatment with proteasome inhibitors, driving multiple ways of adaptation to the treatment. At the same time, mutual interaction of plasma cells with the surrounding tumor microenvironment drives multiple metabolic alterations in the bone marrow. This provides a tumor-promoting environment, but at the same time may offer novel therapeutic options for the treatment of relapsed/refractory myeloma patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a plasma cell (PC) malignancy that is characterized by clonal expansion of malignant PCs inside the bone marrow (BM). Excessive production of monoclonal immunoglobulins (Igs) together with complex interactions with other members of the BM microenvironment (BMM) lead to pathological complications including bone lesions, hypercalcemia, renal failure, cytopenia and immunodeficiency at the time of MM diagnosis (1). Despite the development of novel and biology-driven anti-MM drugs in the past two decades, disease heterogeneity, early relapse and treatment resistance still pose major challenges in MM therapy. Moreover, subclonal heterogeneity of PCs evolves alongside disease progression through
selection of increasingly drug-resistant as well as genetically and metabolically adapted subclones (2, 3). Nowadays, immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs), immunotherapies based on monoclonal antibodies (mABs), and proteasome inhibitors (PIs) constitute an integral part of MM treatment regimens and have considerably improved patient prognosis. However, patients who are triple-class refractory towards IMiDs, mABs and PIs only have 5.6 months median overall survival (4), emphasizing the need to understand the underlying mechanisms that mediate (multi-)drug resistance in MM.

Since MM PCs secrete immense amounts of Igs, they are highly dependent on their ability to dispose of misfolded proteins via proteasomal degradation. Approximately 90% of total protein degradation occurs via the ubiquitin-proteasome system. In addition, MM PCs heavily rely on the unfolded protein response (UPR) and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-associated degradation (ERAD) machinery to ensure adequate protein folding and turnover to maintain cellular proteostasis (5). Proteasomes are proteolytic complexes that degrade ubiquitinated proteins and are composed of a 20S core catalytic particle and a 19S regulatory particle. The 20S particle has three distinct catalytic sites: the chymotrypsin-like site (β5 subunit), the trypsin-like site (β2 subunit) and the caspase-like site (β1 subunit) (6). PIs, such as Bortezomib (BTZ), Carbilzomib (CFZ), and Ixazomib, are selective inhibitors that by design bind to the β5 catalytically active site of proteasomes and inhibit its activity (7). Notably, at higher concentrations, BTZ also co-inhibits the β1 subunit, whereas CFZ co-inhibits the β2 subunit (6, 7), thus providing a slightly different scenario of proteasome inhibition, likely contributing to different clinical outcomes of treatment with the drugs. Proteasome inhibition causes excessive accumulation of (misfolded) proteins within MM cells, leading to prolonged and irresolvable ER/proteotoxic stress, and apoptosis (8, 9). Although the PI drugs are initially very effective, the evolving resistance and disease progression in relapsed/refractory MM (RRMM) remains a long-term clinical challenge. The biology of PI-resistant MM is currently being dissected in some detail (10–12). Increasing evidence suggests a metabolic rewiring as a cell biological basis of the adaptation of MM cells to PIs at the sub-clonal level (13).

In recent years, accumulating evidence has also emphasized the importance of the BMM for MM pathogenesis, cell growth, survival, migration, and drug resistance (14). The BMM is composed of a cellular and a non-cellular compartment and MM PCs strongly interact with both compartments in a mutual fashion. Such interactions are regulated in an autocrine and/or paracrine fashion and induce proteomic and metabolomic changes in MM and other BM resident cells, thereby creating a hypoxic, nutrient depleted, and tumor supportive microenvironment. Thus, not surprisingly, due to the supportive and protective contribution of the tumor microenvironment (TME) and metabolic rewiring of MM PCs, the therapy of RRMM remains difficult (15–17).

In this review, we summarize the key players involved in TME-mediated PI resistance and delineate contact dependent and contact independent interactions between them and MM PCs. Moreover, we describe proteomic and metabolomic reprogramming of MM cells within the TME, elucidate the metabolic consequences of proteasome inhibition and metabolism-related factors promoting PI resistance in MM in the context of the TME and further present potential strategies on how to overcome TME-mediated PI resistance.

THE TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT IN MULTIPLE MYELOMA

Malignant transformation of normal PCs to MM is not only a result of molecular changes of the cells themselves but is likewise influenced by the surrounding BMM and its interactions with the malignant PCs. The BMM surrounding malignant PCs during active disease, also called a TME, is a sophisticated network of cells of hematopoietic origin (including myeloid cells, T- and B-lymphocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, osteoclasts, etc.), or mesenchymal origin (mesenchymal stromal cells, fibroblasts, osteoblasts, adipocytes, endothelial cells (ECs)). The non-cellular compartment comprises the extracellular matrix (ECM) and the liquid BM milieu, including soluble factors such as cytokines, growth factors and chemokines, which are produced and/or affected by the cellular compartment of the BMM. Malignant PCs constantly interact with their surrounding TME thereby gaining access to a wide array of TME-derived pro-survival signals, which help them thrive within the BM niche. Moreover, molecular changes occurring during the progression of MM in malignant PCs and within the TME culminate in an expansion of malignant PCs throughout the BM. At the same time, soluble factors and physical interaction with other BM-homing cell types mediate drug resistance of PCs in several settings. The following sections will shed some light onto key cellular and soluble compartments of the TME, which are associated with disease pathogenesis and progression, and their interaction within the TME.

Key Players of the Myeloma TME

Bone-Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

BM-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (BMSCs) are multipotent cells located within the BM stroma that are required for bone development, homeostatic remodeling, and repair (18). They can differentiate into various cell lineages, such as adipocytes, osteoblasts, fibroblasts, ECs, pericytes and neuronal cells. Together they form the skeletal structure of the BM and generate a permissive environment that influences the function and differentiation of hematopoietic cells. In MM, BMSCs strongly interact with malignant PCs in a reciprocally supporting manner towards cancer progression (19).

Osteoclasts

Osteoclasts are multinucleated monocyte-macrophage derivatives that degrade bone, and thus are involved in periodic repair and remodeling of bone tissue. Osteoclasts dissolve bone mineral by massive acid secretion and secrete specialized proteinases to degrade the organic protein matrix,
which is mainly composed of type I collagen. In myeloma, osteoclasts are heavily activated due to various soluble factors secreted by MM cells and BMSCs, which ultimately leads to bone lesions, a hallmark of MM (20, 21).

Osteoblasts
Osteoblasts are specialized, terminally differentiated BMSCs that synthesize dense, crosslinked collagen as well as specialized proteins, such as osteocalcin, osteopontin and hydroxyapatite, which are essential components of the bone matrix. Physiologically, osteoblasts and BMSCs both produce osteoprotegerin (OPG), which counteracts bone resorption and further prevents osteoclast maturation and activation (22, 23). In MM, OPG has been shown to be bound, internalized and degraded by MM cells (24). Thus, MM cells inhibit osteoblast formation and differentiation, resulting in bone loss (25, 26).

Bone Marrow Endothelial Cells
ECs line the interior surface of blood vessels (vascular ECs) and lymphatic vessels (lymphatic ECs). ECs form the barrier between vessels and tissue and allow for the exchange of nutrients, hormones, or catabolites as well as the transit of white blood cells into and out of the surrounding tissue. At the same time, ECs are involved in processes such as inflammation, angiogenesis, and blood pressure control. BM angiogenesis is a hallmark of MM progression (27, 28). Induction of proangiogenic genes and secretion of growth factors and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) by MM cells facilitate ECs growth and neovascularization of the TME (29–32). Moreover, a progressive increase in BM microvascular density correlates with the development of PC disorders, ranging from monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) to smoldering (SMM) and active MM (28).

Bone Marrow Adipocytes
BM adipocytes (BMAds) are derived from BMSCs and constitute the majority of BM adipose tissue, a type of fat deposit in the BM. BM adipose tissue expands with aging and obesity, two well-known MM risk factors, suggesting that BMAds play a role in MM pathogenesis. BMAds contribute to systemic metabolism via secretion of circulating adipokines (cytokines secreted by adipose tissues) as well as free fatty acids and are involved in processes such as bone remodeling and hematopoiesis. Specifically, the MM-associated adipocytes exhibit reduced adipogenic gene expression and lipid loss and support MM cell growth and resistance to Dexamethasone-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (33). At the same time, MM-induced BMAd-derived exosomal lncRNA mediate resistance towards BTZ, CFZ and Melphalan via apoptosis inhibition (34). The precise role of BMAds in MM needs to be further elucidated, as obesity is associated with increased risk of MGUS development and progression to MM (35, 36); however, being underweight is a risk factor of mortality in newly diagnosed MM (37).

Bone Marrow Immune Cells
A general alteration of the immune system, a condition termed immunosuppression, is a common characteristic of MM patients, that has been associated with disease evolution from its precursor stages (38). In the context of the BM niche, complex interactions between immune cells and MM PCs shift the balance towards an immunosuppressive environment (39). It is characterized by high concentration of immunosuppressive factors, loss of effective antigen presentation, effector cell dysfunction and expansion of immunosuppressive cell populations, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), regulatory T cells (Treg), tumor-associated macrophages, Th17 cells and T cells expressing checkpoint molecules, all together promoting myeloma progression (40–42). Recent studies have shown that malignant transformation of PCs is associated with altered expression of HLA class I antigen processing machinery (APM) components, and further downregulated expression of proteasome subunits (43). These changes lead to decreased expression of tumor antigen peptides on the PCs surface, enabling MM cells to evade CD8+ T cell recognition and killing (44, 45). Whether alterations in the immune system are responsible for disease progression to MM, or in contrast, abnormalities in the malignant PCs induce an immunosuppressive microenvironment, favoring the transition from SMM to active MM is still a matter of debate.

Interaction of the TME With MM Cells

Contact Dependent Interaction
Cell Adhesion/Cell-to-Cell Interaction
An important aspect of the TME in MM is cellular crosstalk mediated by cell-to-cell interaction via receptor-ligand binding. Virtually all cell types present within the BM can interact with MM PCs and directly support MM growth and metabolism, immune evasion and therapy resistance (Figure 1). The cellular interaction induces downstream signaling, ultimately triggering the release of soluble factors into the TME, or direct exchange of mitochondria through tunneling nanotubes (TNT).

Interaction With BMSCs. Myeloma cells interact with BMSCs via binding of very late antigen-4 (VLA-4), also known as α4β1 integrin, to vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1) or via binding of lymphocyte function associated-1 (LFA-1), also known as integrin subunit β2, or Mucin 1 (MUC1, cell surface associated) to intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) (46). BMSC-MM cell-to-cell interaction triggers downstream signal cascades in both cell types, which ultimately increases MM cell fitness. Therefore, perhaps not surprisingly, high LFA-1 is associated with poor prognosis in MM patients and in mice (47, 48), and presence of MUC1 promotes MM proliferation (49). While in MM this interaction activates nuclear factor kappa B (NFkB) signaling, a major driver of MM survival and proliferation (50), in BMSCs, it induces the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), Notch, and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathways, which leads to the transcription and subsequent secretion of numerous cytokines (51).

Interaction With ECs. The interaction of MM PCs with ECs is implicated via P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 (PSGL-1) binding on MM PCs to E- and P-selectins on the surface of ECs, particularly during early cell adhesion (52, 53). Likewise, expression
of adhesion molecules VLA-4, LFA-1 and CD44 on MM cells correlate with increased angiogenesis in active MM (54). Additionally, MM-associated ECs show elevated membrane expression of junctional adhesion molecule-A (JAM-A), which correlates with disease progression through enhancement of MM-associated angiogenesis. The interaction between MM-associated ECs and MM PCs increases the expression of JAM-A also on MM PCs surface (55).

**Interaction With Immune Cells.** Myeloma cells interact with surrounding immune cells via CD28 and programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) molecules. Plasma and myeloma cells express CD28, a protein known for its role in providing co-stimulatory signals required for T cell activation and survival. MM cells retain CD28 expression due to its pro-survival capacity upon binding to CD80/CD86, which is expressed by BMSCs and dendritic cells (DCs) (56–58). Both CD28 and CD86 are essential for PCs development, myeloma survival and therapy resistance (57, 59, 60). At the same time, DCs interact with MM cells via CD80/CD86–CD28 interaction, promoting a downregulation of proteasome subunit expression and a consequent escape of MM cells from CD8+ T cell recognition and killing (45). Moreover, plasmacytoid DCs promote MM cell growth, survival, and drug resistance (43) and express high surface levels of PD-L1 conferring T cell and NK cell immune suppression via the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1)–PD-L1 signaling axis (61, 62).

Within the MM-TME, PD-1 has been shown to be strongly expressed by γδ T cells (63) and NK cells (64) and to interact with PD-L1, expressed by myeloma PCs, DCs, and MDSCs thereby
downregulating the immune response. Tumor associated macrophages are strongly represented in the TME. They have been shown to increase MM cell survival and protection from drug-induced apoptosis via contact-dependent interaction with MM PCs, involving PSGL-1 and ICAM-1 on MM PCs and E- and P-selectins and CD18 on the cell surface of macrophages (65–67). Myeloma cells can also directly induce formation of functional T_{reg} cells in a contact dependent manner, acting as immature and tolerogenic antigen presenting cells (APCs) (68), as well as in an independent manner via expression of the inducible co-stimulator ligand (ICOSL) (69).

A myriad of interactions between immune cells and MM cells are currently being studied towards identification of novel therapeutic approaches or are developed in the clinics as immunotherapy and immune-stimulating drugs (70, 71).

**Interaction With Osteoblast Progenitors.** MM cells co-cultured with human osteoblast progenitor cells exert inhibitory effects on osteocalcin, alkaline phosphatase, collagen I mRNA, protein expression, and RUNX family transcription factor 2 (RUNX2)/core binding factor alpha 1 (CBFA1) activity in osteoblast progenitor cells, thereby suppressing osteoblast formation and differentiation. Such inhibitory effects are partly driven by physical interaction between MM cells and osteoblast progenitor cells, involving the VLA-4–VCAM1 integrin system (25).

**Mitochondrial Trafficking**

In recent years, several studies have shown the importance of (bidirectional) mitochondrial trafficking through tunneling nanotubes (TNT) and partial cell fusions, and its association with increased growth potential, survival benefits, enhanced chemoresistance, as well as altered metabolism and functional properties of tumor cells (72–77). Specifically in MM, MM PCs endorse mitochondria uptake from autologous BMSCs once exposed to increasing concentrations of different chemotherapeutic drugs, thereby promoting MM survival and increasing the level of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) (via increased oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) capacity), while lowering superoxide levels. These changes were proportional to the amount of incorporated BMSCs-derived mitochondria as well as the drug concentration but were independent of the type and mechanism of action of the applied drug. At the same time, autologous BMSCs incorporate the MM cell-derived mitochondria as well, which leads to increased levels of intracellular superoxides in BMSCs. In addition, the supportive effect of stromal cells could be successfully abrogated by the use of chemotherapeutic agents in combination with Metformin, an inhibitor of OXPHOS (77). Interestingly, mitochondrial trafficking appears to be a CD38-dependent process and shRNA-mediated knockdown of CD38 inhibited the trafficking and TNT formation in vitro, blocked the trafficking in vivo and improved survival of NSG mice that were engrafted with MM cell line with reduced CD38 expression (75).

MM-primed BMSCs have decreased reliance on mitochondrial metabolism as compared to healthy BMSCs, and increased tendency to deliver mitochondria to MM PCs. Particularly, PC-induced expression of connexin 43 (CX43) in BMSCs causing expression of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12, also known as stromal-derived factor-1 alpha; SDF-1α) and consequent stimulation of its receptor C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) on MM cells facilitates mitochondrial trafficking. An in vitro co-culture experiment showed that this interaction could be disturbed via selective inhibition of CXCR4 using Plerixafor, a CXCR4 antagonist, resulting in decreased mitochondrial transfer. In addition, the intracellular CXCR4 expression was elevated in CD138+ MM cells from MM patients who failed to respond to BTZ, suggesting that CXCR4 mediates chemoresistance in MM (76).

**Contact Independent Interaction**

**Cytokine Signaling and Soluble Factors**

In the context of the TME, the direct ligand-receptor-mediated crosstalk between MM PCs and BM cellular compartment induces the release of soluble factors, mainly cytokines, growth factors and chemokines. Upon binding to their cognate receptors, these factors trigger intracellular signaling. Since all cells in the BM niche sense and respond to such stimuli, the soluble factors-mediated interaction stimulates MM PCs growth and survival, but at the same time promotes BM neovascularization, bone remodeling and immune evasion (Figure 2).

**Factors Promoting MM Growth and Survival.** Physical interaction between MM cells and BMSCs via VLA-4–VCAM1 binding induces BMSCs to produce multiple cytokines. One of them is interleukin-6 (IL-6), which is essential for MM growth, survival, migration, and drug resistance. It binds to its cognate receptor (IL-6R) and signals through mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK)/MAPK, janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), and PI3K/Akt pathways, leading to increase and stabilization of anti-apoptotic proteins, such as myeloid leukemia 1 (MCL-1) (50, 78–81). At the same time, MM PCs uniquely express the signaling lymphocytic activation molecule family member 7 (SLAMF7) receptor, which is cleaved via unknown mechanisms and detected as a soluble form (sSLAMF7) exclusively in the serum of MM patients (82). sSLAMF7 enhanced the growth of MM cells via homophilic interaction with surface SLAMF7 and subsequent activation of the downstream signaling pathways (83).

The BMSCs-derived cytokine B-cell activating factor (BAFF), a member of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family, is either expressed on the surface of BMSCs or it appears in a cleaved soluble form. Physiologically, BAFF stimulates B cell growth, and likewise binding of BAFF to its cognate BAFF-receptor (BAFF-R) or transmembrane activator and CAML interactor (TACI), on MM cells leads to increased proliferation and survival of MM (84, 85). Another BMSC-derived cytokine, a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL) can bind to TACI or B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) on MM cells. APRIL and BCMA positively impact survival and growth of MM via MAPK and NFκB signaling and further promote immunosuppression via PD-L1, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), and IL-10 (86).

TNF-α is a well-described mediator of inflammation, which has recently been shown to be one of the main drivers inducing the inflammatory gene signatures in MM-associated BMSCs,
which further promote MM PCs survival and immuno modulation within the TME (87). TNF-α has only a modest impact on the proliferative capacity of MM cells, but it induces expression of adhesion molecules resulting in a 2 to 4-fold increase in binding of MM cells to BMSCs (88). Moreover, TNF-α and IL-6 were significantly increased in the BM aspirates of patients with active MM. TNF-α triggers NFκB and MAPK activation, as well as secretion of IL-6 that is regulated by the JAK/STAT pathway (88, 89).

Similarly, MM cells influence BMSCs to produce growth factors which promote MM growth. A key growth factor promoting MM proliferation is insulin-like growth factor (IGF). IGF binds to its tyrosine kinase receptor, the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R), and in this way supports MM growth, anti-apoptotic signaling and drug resistance to cytotoxic chemotherapy, Dexamethasone, and PIs (90). Moreover, IGF enhances the ability of MM cells to respond to other cytokines and to produce pro-angiogenic cytokines (91).
Factors Involved in Bone Remodeling. MM cells directly activate osteoclasts via secretion of macrophage inflammatory protein 1-α (MIP1-α), also known as C-C motif chemokine ligand 3 (CCL3), and MIP1-β. Binding of MIP1-α to C-C motif chemokine receptor 1 (CCR1) and CCR5 and likewise binding of MIP1-β to CCR5 and CCR8 both induce osteoclast formation and activity (21, 92, 93). MIP1-α further increases adhesion of MM cells to BMSCs and disease burden in immunodeficient mice suffering from MM (92, 93). In return, osteoclasts secrete IL-6 to stimulate the proliferation and growth of MM cells and other osteoclasts in an autocrine and paracrine fashion (94). Upon interaction, osteoclasts upregulate chondroitin synthase 1 (CHSY1), which induces Notch signaling promoting the survival of MM cells (95).

Apart from osteoclast activation, MM cells inhibit osteoblast differentiation via increased secretion of activin A into the microenvironment, leading to suppressed bone formation (96). Moreover, MM cells secrete cytokines, such as dickkopf WNT signaling pathway inhibitor 1 (DKK1), which is physiologically mainly produced by BMSCs and osteoblasts, secreted frizzled related protein 2 (sFRP2) and IL-3. The first two inhibit the canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway, which is responsible for osteoblast differentiation (25). IL-3 inhibits basal and bone morphogenic protein-2 (BMP-2)-stimulated osteoblast formation and plays an important role in stimulating osteoclast formation as well (97). Thus, DKK1, sFRP2, and IL-3 contribute to increased bone resorption in MM.

Beyond MM PCs, BMSCs as well produce osteoclastogenic cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α and parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHRP) (20). The VLA-4–VCAM1 interaction also induces the production of BMSCs-derived receptor activator of NFκB ligand (RANKL), a membrane-bound or soluble (sRANKL) cytokine essential for osteoclast differentiation, which further increases osteoclast activation and bone lysis in MM. Importantly, the high sRANKL/OPG ratio is a negative predictor of survival in MM (20, 98) and it therapeutic targeting with Denosumab, an anti-RANKL antibody, has been shown to reduce osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption markers in MM patients (99). Other factors increasing the sRANKL/OPG ratio are activin A and sclerostin, both produced by bone tissue (100, 101). Activin A, a member of the TGF-β superfamily, is stored in bone tissue and is released from bone upon bone resorption. The increased level of circulating activin A causes downstream signaling through numerous pathways to promote osteoclast differentiation (101). At the same time, sclerostin, a secreted glycoprotein from the bone tissue, can be targeted therapeutically by Romosozumab, an anti-sclerostin antibody, which represents a potential new therapeutic strategy in MM bone disease (102, 103). In addition to increasing the RANKL/OPG ratio, BMSCs secrete IL-7, which has been shown to decrease RUNX2 activity and osteoblast differentiation (25, 104). Moreover, the growth factors produced and secreted by BMSCs, such as TGF-β, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), are all involved in bone remodeling since they influence osteoclast activation and angiogenesis (97, 105).

Factors Promoting Neovascularization. Proliferating MM cells generate a hypoxic milieu within the TME and produce various pro-angiogenic modulators including hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α), VEGF, bFGF, HGF, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), angiopoietin-1 (Ang-1), osteopontin, MMPs (MMP-2 and MMP-9), and heparanase, all of which contribute to EC proliferation and migration, ECM degradation, and neovascularization (32–39). At the same time, BMSCs, osteoclasts, osteoblasts and ECs secrete various pro-angiogenic and other factors, including VEGF, fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), TNF-α, HGF, IL-6 and IL-8, osteopontin, Ang-1, BAFF, CXCL12, and various Notch family members. All of these factors are up-regulated by physical and/or paracrine interactions with MM PCs (106). Heparanase-enhanced shedding of CD138, also known as syndecan-1 (SDC1), by MM PCs promotes endothelial invasion and angiogenesis (32). Moreover, tumor-associated macrophages contribute to MM-associated neovascularization via vascular mimicry and angiogenesis (32). Beyond MM PCs, BMSCs as well produce osteoclastogenic cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α and parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHRP) (20). The VLA-4–VCAM1 interaction also induces the production of BMSCs-derived receptor activator of NFκB ligand (RANKL), a membrane-bound or soluble (sRANKL) cytokine essential for osteoclast differentiation, which further increases osteoclast activation and bone lysis in MM. Importantly, the high sRANKL/OPG ratio is a negative predictor of survival in MM (20, 98) and it therapeutic targeting with Denosumab, an anti-RANKL antibody, has been shown to reduce osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption markers in MM patients (99). Other factors increasing the sRANKL/OPG ratio are activin A and sclerostin, both produced by bone tissue (100, 101). Activin A, a member of the TGF-β superfamily, is stored in bone tissue and is released from bone upon bone resorption. The increased level of circulating activin A causes downstream signaling through numerous pathways to promote osteoclast differentiation (101). At the same time, sclerostin, a secreted glycoprotein from the bone tissue, can be targeted therapeutically by Romosozumab, an anti-sclerostin antibody, which represents a potential new therapeutic strategy in MM bone disease (102, 103). In addition to increasing the RANKL/OPG ratio, BMSCs secrete IL-7, which has been shown to decrease RUNX2 activity and osteoblast differentiation (25, 104). Moreover, the growth factors produced and secreted by BMSCs, such as TGF-β, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), are all involved in bone remodeling since they influence osteoclast activation and angiogenesis (97, 105).
increasing MM JAK-2/STAT3 pathway activation that supports myeloma survival (123).

**Adipokines.** BMAds contribute to changes in systemic metabolism in MM via enhanced secretion of circulating adipokines (124) as well as cytokines, which regulate both bone remodeling via the production of RANKL (125) and hematopoiesis via stem cell factor (SCF) production (126). BMAd-derived adipokines include TNF-α, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), also known as CCL2, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), IL-6, resistin, adiponectin and leptin (127, 128). The anti-myeloma and anti-inflammatory cytokine adiponectin inhibits proliferation and induces cell death in MM cells (129). To protect themselves against the effect of adiponectin, MM cells downregulate adiponectin production via TNF-α secretion (130). On the contrary, leptin, another adipokine produced by BMAds, increases MM cell proliferation, reduces toxicity of PIs, and also counteracts the anti-tumor activity of invariant NK T (iNKT) cells, which express the leptin receptor (131, 132). Moreover, MM-associated adipocytes upregulate the expression of autophagy proteins in MM cells via leptin, leading to increased chemoresistance in vitro and in vivo (133). MCP-1 is involved in transendothelial migration of MM cells and plays an important role as a chemoattractant essential for BM-homing (134). Moreover, MCP-1 promotes macrophage-associated chemoresistance in MM by shifting macrophages towards the M2-like phenotype (135). Further, it was shown that resistin induced multidrug resistance in MM by inhibiting cell death and upregulating the ABC transporter protein expression, leading to increased drug efflux (136).

**Exosomes**

Exosomes, a subtype of extracellular vesicles (EVs), are membranous vesicles (30–100 nm in diameter) of endocytic origin, which are generated in multivesicular endosomes (MVEs) and are released upon fusion of MVEs with the cell membrane (137). Exosomes are secreted by most cell types and act as carriers for intercellular transfer of nucleic acids, nucleoproteins (RNA, microRNA, DNA), enzymes, soluble factors, lipids and various other cytosolic molecules from parent to recipient cells, thereby inducing phenotypic and/or functional changes in the recipient cells (138–140). Since exosomes carry information and thus modulate the behavior of local and distant recipient cells, they are involved in a variety of physiological and pathological processes, such as malignant transformation and/or induction of the pre-metastatic niche (140, 141). Emerging evidence shows that MM-derived exosomes reprogram recipient cell functions in the BM to modulate and shape a pro-MM environment capable of supporting disease progression (140). Exosomes signaling is bidirectional and BMSC-derived exosomes (BMSC-EXs) have been found to induce MM growth, survival, and drug resistance (142). It has been shown that BMSC-EXs obtained from MM patients promoted MM growth, whereas BMSC-EXs from healthy individuals inhibited MM proliferation (143). Another study has shown that BMSC-EXs obtained from MM patients contain different cargo, such as lower levels of the tumor suppressor microRNA-15a and higher levels of IL-6, CCL2, and fibronectin, when compared to BMSC-EXs from healthy individuals. Thus, MM-BMSC-EXs, when delivered to MM cells, increase their proliferative capacity and survival (143). Emerging evidence shows that BMSCs selectively transfer specific proteins into MM cells that induce p38, p53, c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), and Akt pathways to promote MM cell survival (144). It was also reported that BMSC-EXs (healthy or MM-derived) both induce upregulation of anti-apoptotic B-cell lymphoma-2 (Bcl-2) and downregulation of pro-apoptotic Caspase 9 and Caspase 3 in MM cells, thereby mitigating BTZ-induced apoptosis (144). Moreover, MM-derived exosomes contain and thus increase the levels of microRNA-146a in BMSCs, leading to enhanced secretion of several cytokines and chemokines by BMSCs, including CXCL1, IL6, IL-8, IP-10, also known as CXCL10, MCP-1, and CCL-5, resulting in enhanced MM cell viability and migration (145). In recent years, there has been a growing interest in understanding how exosomes contribute to MM pathogenesis and to further exploit their potential as prognostic, diagnostic and/or therapeutic tools in the treatment of MM (142).

**The Role of the ECM in MM**

The BMM provides a three-dimension structure called the ECM, which consists of extracellular macromolecules, such as fibronectin, collagen, osteopontin, hyaluronan, laminin, enzymes, glycoproteins, and minerals, which provide structural and biochemical support to surrounding cells (146, 147). The ECM enables cell adhesion, cell-to-cell communication and differentiation (148). MM cells bind to ECM structures via activated VLA-4 and integrin subunit beta 7 (ITGB7), also known as integrin a4b1 and integrin b7, respectively (149, 150). At the same time, binding of VLA-4 to fibronectin induces activation of NFkB, leading to pro-survival signaling and cell adhesion mediated drug resistance (CAM-DR) (151). ITGB7 is constitutively active in MM cells and is essential for MM cell survival and CAM-DR (150, 152). Other integrins, such as VLA-5 and neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NCAM or CD56) or integrin b5, are less essential, but still important in MM progression (149, 153). CD138 (SDC1) is a heparan sulfate proteoglycan and a surface marker of MM PCs. It binds to type I collagen and induces expression of MMP-1 to promote tumor invasion, bone resorption, and angiogenesis (105, 154). CD138 expression correlates with MM cell survival and growth and has been shown to promote myeloma progression in vivo (155, 156). Additional ECM-binding proteins are CD44, receptor for hyaluronic acid-mediated motility (RHAMM) and CD38, all of which are receptors for the secreted scaffold protein hyaluronan. The first two also regulate the CXCL12–CXCR4 signaling axis (157). In summary, adhesion of myeloma cells to ECM structures has been shown to be important for survival and CAM-DR, e.g., towards anti-MM drugs such as BTZ, Vincristine, Doxorubicin and Dexamethasone (151, 157, 158).

**CRUCIAL FACTORS INVOLVED IN BONE MARROW HOMING OF PCS**

The homing, lodging and retention of PCs into the BM niche is primarily mediated by the PC-expressed chemokine receptor...
CXCR4, which interacts with CXCL12, a chemokine highly expressed in the BMM and secreted by osteoblasts and BMSCs (Figure 3) (159, 160). The expression of CXCR4 is dynamically regulated as the PCs move from the peripheral blood milieu to the BM and significantly decreases upon homing to the BM in response to CXCL12, which is elevated in the BM in contrast to the peripheral blood milieu and its levels are significantly increased in the BM of MM patients (161). CXCL12 induced signaling enhances MM cell motility, facilitates cytoskeletal rearrangements, and promotes transient upregulation and increased affinity of VLA-4 (α4β1) to bind its cognate ligand VCAM1, which is expressed by BM vascular endothelium (161–163). These mechanisms are essential for transendothelial migration of PCs into the BMM and likely play an important role during MM cell recirculation. VLA-4 has also been reported to be crucial for anchoring and retention of MM cells to BM niches (20, 164). Blocking of the CXCL12–CXCR4 interaction disrupts ties between MM cells and the BMM, thereby promoting cell mobilization into the circulation (161, 165). Moreover, in contrast to increased CXCR4 expression, PCs show decreased CXCR5 and CXCR7 expression, leading to loss of responsiveness to B and T zone chemokines CXCL13, CCL9 and CCL21 in the secondary lymphoid structures and lymph nodes (166).

Other crucial molecules involved in PC migration, adhesion of PCs to vascular endothelium and subsequent homing to the BM are the α4β7 integrin, CD44 and E- and P-selectins and their ligands (Figure 3) (53, 150). The α4β7 integrin is a receptor that interacts with mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule 1 (MAdCAM-1), present mainly in venular endothelium, and fibronectin in the BM (167). The contribution of E-selectin during homing of MM to the BM has been shown using enzyme inhibitors (168) and E-selectin blocking antibodies (163). After transendothelial migration, PCs upregulate specific genes with the aim to migrate and adhere to ECM proteins and/or co-localize with other native BM cells within the stromal compartment. The adhesion of MM PCs to the BMM is modulated via membrane-embedded tetraspanins, such as CD81 and CD82, which negatively affect myeloma invasion, adhesion, motility, migration as well as secretion of MPP-9 in human MM cell lines (169). In contrast, the transmembrane receptor roundabout guidance receptor 1 (ROBO1) was found to be essential for MM adhesion to BMSCs and ECs and supports homing and dissemination of PCs to the BM niche (170). Furthermore, constitutive activation of cyclin D1 causes increased MM cell adhesion to stromal cells and fibronectin, stabilized F-actin fibers, and also enhanced chemotaxis and inflammatory chemokine secretion (171).

Molecular alterations in the homing signaling of MM PCs lead to dissemination of MM cells outside the BM to the peripheral blood, where they appear as circulating PCs, or their homing to other tissues and/or organs. MM PCs rely on

**FIGURE 3** | Crucial factors involved in bone marrow homing of plasma cells. PCs express several surface receptors which serve as environmental sensors but are also crucial for interactions with vascular structures and other components of the BM niche. The homing, lodging and retention of PCs to the BM is primarily mediated via the CXCL12–CXCR4 signaling axis. BMSC, bone marrow stromal cell; CCL, C-C motif chemokine ligand CCR, C-C motif chemokine receptor; CXCL, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand; CXCR, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor; MAdCAM-1, mucosal vascular addressin cell adhesion molecule 1; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MIP-1α, macrophage inflammatory protein 1-α; MM, multiple myeloma; PSGL-1, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1; ROBO1, roundabout guidance receptor 1; VCAM1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1; VLA-4, very late antigen-4.
functional CCR1 (ligand: MIP1-α/CCL3) and CCR2 (ligand: MCP-1/CCL2) signaling to regulate PC migration in specific conditions (172). Under hypoxic conditions, HIF2-α strongly induces the expression of CCR1 on the surface of MM PCs. The induced CCR1 signaling abrogates the MM PC homing in response to CXCL12, thereby driving MM cells to egress from the BM to the periphery (173). Likewise, neutralizing or shedding of CD138 increases MM PC motility and rapidly triggers migration of PCs cells in vivo, which leads to increased intravasation and dissemination to other bones (174). Moreover, circulating PCs show overexpression of CD44 and CD97 (175). Dysregulation of several factors is implicated in the migration of PCs cells of CD138 increases MM PC motility and rapidly triggers the BM to the periphery (173). Likewise, neutralizing or shedding response to CXCL12, thereby driving MM cells to egress from (170).MM cells display an elevated glycolytic profile and depend on glucose transporters (GLUT), such as GLUT1 and GLUT4 (191, 192). At the same time, enhanced aerobic glycolysis induces the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and leads to increased production of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) and glutathione (GSH), which both help tumor cells to cope with oxidative stress (193). Lactate, a product of anaerobic glycolysis, together with pyruvate can both serve as major carbon sources to fuel the mitochondrial TCA cycle and thus support OXPHOS as well (194, 195). Lactate and pyruvate are transported via bidirectional proton-linked monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), such as MCT1 (mainly lactate import) and MCT4 (mainly lactate export) (196, 197). MM cells, at least partly, depend on lactate under normoxic conditions and increase the level of MCT1 transporters, whereas they upregulate MCT4 expression under hypoxic conditions to transport lactate outside of the cell, suggesting that in aerobic conditions they use the extracellular lactate as an additional energy source (198).

**METABOLIC REPROGRAMMING OF MM WITHIN THE TME**

PCs adapt their cellular metabolism to sustain continuous production of the monoclonal immunoglobulins. This is ensured by the enlargement of the ER during PC development, to cope with continuous protein secretion (179). Even in normal PCs, the massive Ig production comes with excessive amounts of misfolded proteins, that are not effectively degraded, thus generating high proteasome load, which may ultimately trigger apoptosis if it reaches a certain threshold (180). Thus, the lifespan of antibody-secreting PCs is tightly regulated and may be rather short. On contrary, MM PCs adapt their protein synthesis and degradation machinery to sustain high proteasome load and eventually evade apoptosis. At the same time, a plethora of anti-apoptotic signals is provided also by the surrounding TME. To survive such high cellular protein turnover and to cope with high energy expenditure and biosynthesis for rapid cell proliferation, MM PCs adapt to their TME by exploiting the available resources (Figure 4). Increasing glycolysis and glutaminolysis are two of the most common, but vital prospects for cancer cells in the TME (181, 182). Moreover, the metabolic reprogramming shapes the TME towards a hypoxic, acidic (high lactate levels) and nutrient depleted milieu, thereby supporting cancer proliferation and metastasis (183, 184). However, such an environment negatively impacts anti-tumor immune cell performance (185–187).

**Glutamine Metabolism**

Several studies have emphasized the importance of glutamine (Gln) in PC metabolism (199–201). Gln is one of the most abundant free amino acids in the human blood that supports bioenergetics, biosynthesis, tumor growth as well as the production of antioxidants through glutaminolysis (202). During glutaminolysis, Gln is imported into the cell through glutamine transporters such as solute carrier family 1 member 5 (SLC1A5), also known as ASCT2, and solute carrier family 38 member 1 (SLC38A1), also known as SNAT1. Subsequently, it is converted to glutamate via glutaminases (GLS1 and GLS2) and further to α-ketoglutarate (α-KG), oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA, thereby fueling the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (203–207). At the same time, Gln serves as a major source of nitrogen for synthesis of nonessential amino acids, nucleotides and hexoamines (208). Numerous studies have demonstrated the importance of Gln-derived TCA metabolites in Gln-dependent cancer cells (209–211). Likewise, hematological cancer cells and in particular acute myeloid leukemia (AML) blasts (212, 213) and MM cells (199, 200, 214–216) rely on extracellular Gln supplementation for their growth. A gene expression profiling analysis of multiple datasets revealed increased expression of Gln transporters ASCT2, SNAT1 and solute carrier family 7 member 5 (SLC7A5), also known as LAT1, across the progression of monoclonal gammopathies. Notably, ASCT2 inhibition in human myeloma cell lines (HMCLs) considerably decreased Gln uptake and significantly reduced MM cell growth (199).

Late-stage MM is characterized by strong oncogenic MYC activity (217), which modulates both glycolysis and glutaminolysis (218–221). MYC enhances Gln metabolism by inducing ASCT2 and GLS1 expression to favor glutaminolysis (222, 223). In addition, MM cells mainly depend on extracellular...
Gln uptake rather than on intracellular Gln synthesis, which is associated with low glutamine synthetase (GS) expression (199). Interestingly, a recent in vitro study has shown that Gln depletion in HMCLs induced rapid MYC and Cyclin D1 protein degradation, resulting in increased apoptosis. Moreover, decreased MYC protein levels may potentially have downstream effects which render MM cells more susceptible towards the activity of immune cells, since MYC also regulates anti-tumor immunity through CD47 and PD-L1 in vivo (221). At the same time, Gln withdrawal enhanced the expression and binding of Bcl-2 like protein 11 (BIM) to BCL-2 in MM PCs, sensitizing MM cells towards the BH3-mimetic inhibitor Venetoclax (201).

**Molecular Signaling Pathways and Transcription Factors Involved in Metabolic Reprogramming in MM**

Several signaling pathways are involved in metabolic reprogramming of malignant PCs. The PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, which regulates proliferation, growth, and survival and other basic cell functions, is upregulated in MM and can be activated by various stimuli, including IL-6 (78) and CXCL12 (161). Once activated, Akt signaling promotes the induction of several glycolytic enzymes, including hexokinase (HK), phosphofructokinase (PFK) and upregulates GLUT1/4 expression (224). In addition, Akt triggers mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR)/mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) activation, leading to enhanced expression of several glycolytic enzymes such as phosphofructokinase I (PFK-1) and thus promoting a metabolic shift from physiologically preferred OXPHOS in PCs towards enhanced glycolysis in malignant PCs (225).

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a sensor of cellular energy levels. When energy is low, AMPK positively regulates signaling pathways that generate ATP, for example fatty acid β-oxidation and autophagy, and at the same time inhibits anabolic processes, such as gluconeogenesis, fatty acid synthesis and protein synthesis (226). Moreover, activated AMPK can phosphorylate and activate tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2), which results in attenuated mTOR signaling, a master regulator of cellular metabolism (227). It has been shown that tumor cells, including MM cells (129), downregulate AMPK to evade its inhibitory effect on cell growth and proliferation (228).

The transcription factors HIF-1α, MYC and P53 also play an important role during metabolic reprogramming of MM cells. HIF-1α is highly expressed in MM BM and is an important regulator of cellular metabolism (229). HIF-1α triggers the expression of glycolytic genes, including GLUT1, HK2, lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) as well as suppressors of the TCA cycle (230, 231). As mentioned, c-Myc activity is enhanced in MM and is a master regulator of genes involved in glycolysis and glutaminolysis (222). Notably, c-Myc induces transactivation of LDHA (232) and promotes the expression of glucose transporters and major rate-limiting enzymes in glycolysis (233). At the same time, c-
Myc regulates cancer cell glutamine metabolism by inducing the expression of ASCT2 and GLS1 (223). The tumor suppressor P53 is mutated in most cancer types, including MM, and its mutational status serves as a robust negative prognostic marker in myeloma (234). P53 suppresses glycolysis and thus favors OXPHOS via downregulation of GLUT1/4, and at the same time upregulates phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), a tumor suppressor gene, which inhibits the PI3K-Akt pathway. Therefore, defective P53 pushes metabolic rewiring of cancer cells towards increased glycolysis (235). In summary, altered activity of the transcription factors HIF-1α, MYC and P53 results in decreased OXPHOS and simultaneously increased glycolysis and glutaminolysis, which promotes MM cell growth and proliferation by providing them with sufficient amount of carbon building blocks and energy.

The Impact of Metabolic Changes on the TME and Immunosurveillance in MM

During neoplastic transformation, the malignant PCs rewire their metabolism which enables them to evade apoptotic signals and rapidly proliferate. Concomitantly, cells of the TME adapt their cellular metabolism towards survival in a hypoxic microenvironment with high concentrations of lactic acid and low levels of Gln, forming a MM PCs-supporting milieu (Figure 4).

Hypoxia

Oxygen-deprived conditions promote immunosuppression and evasion of immune detection. NK cells play an important role in immune monitoring and anti-tumor activity. The surface receptors of NK cells killer cell lectin like receptor K1 (KLRC1), also known as NKG2D, and DNAX accessory molecule-1 (DNAM-1), also known as CD226, are required for cell-mediated killing via binding to their ligands ribonucleic acid export-1 (RAE-1) and poliovirus receptor (PVR), respectively, both of which are expressed by MM cells (236, 237). Notably, these receptors are strongly decreased on NK cells derived from MM patients, resulting in impaired NK cell function (42, 238). Several studies reported that hypoxic environments negatively impact NKG2D expression on NK cells, partially due to tumor-derived hypoxic microvesicles (200–1000 nm in diameter) that contain TGF-β (239, 240).

HIF-1α, stabilized during hypoxia with HIF-1β within the HIF-1 complex, directly upregulates PD-L1 expression via binding to the hypoxia-response element (HRE) of the PD-L1 gene promoter, thereby contributing to an immunosuppressive TME (241, 242). Notably, PD-L1 expression on MM PCs from minimal residual disease (MRD) positive MM patients is upregulated, in contrast to PCs from healthy donors (243, 244). Subsequently, NK cells derived from MM patients express PD-1 whereas normal NK cells do not (64), suggesting the immunosurveillance to be significantly impaired in patients with positive MRD.

Lactate Accumulation

Besides serving as an important source of energy, lactate has an immunomodulatory properties and causes immunosuppression by impairing lymphocyte proliferation, cytokine production and cytotoxic activity (245, 246). Several studies have shown that tumor-cell derived lactate, which lowers the pH within the TME, is able to keep the T lymphocytes in an anergic state. These T cells show reduced cytokine secretion, including IFN-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α, attenuated expression of the T cell receptor (TCR) and IL-2 receptor CD25, as well as impairment of STAT5 and extracellular-signal regulated kinases (ERK) activation after TCR binding (184). Restoring physiological pH levels is able to reverse T cell anergy (247).

Macrophages have great plasticity and exhibit different polarization states dependent on stimulatory effects of their environment. Macrophages can sense the acidity of the TME via G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), which mediate the expression of inducible cyclic AMP early repressors (ICERs). Subsequently ICERs inhibit the toll-like receptor (TLR)- dependent NFκB signaling, thereby preventing macrophages from polarizing towards a pro-inflammatory and anticancer M1-phenotype (248). Recently, it has been shown that lactate can modulate macrophages via epigenetic modification, called lacytation, thereby promoting the polarization of macrophages from the pro-inflammatory and anticancer M1-phenotype to the anti-inflammatory and cancer-promoting M2-phenotype (249). At the same time lactate was shown to induce PD-L1 expression in these M2-like tumor-associated macrophages, which blunts effector T cell function (250).

Glutamine Deprivation

Gln dependency of MM PCs and its preferential uptake, rather than the de novo synthesis, influences significantly the concentration of Gln in the BM plasma of MM patients. There, concentration of Gln was shown to decrease from 0.6 to 0.4 mM, with a concomitant increase of ammonium as compared with MGUS and SMM patients (199). Such environmental changes may severely affect surrounding cells in the BM. Gln scarcity in the BMM impairs BMSC differentiation into osteoblasts and thus possibly contributes to exacerbated osteolytic bone disease in MM. In addition, Gln deprivation induces changes in the expression of BMSC-derived cytokines and chemokines involved in monocyte recruitment (251) and at the same time it activates the expression of Gln synthase in mesenchymal and immune cells, which leads to M2-like macrophages polarization. Intriguingly, Gln synthase inhibition skewes immunosuppressive M2-like macrophages towards pro-inflammatory M1-like macrophages in murine models (252, 253).

METABOLIC ALTERATIONS IN MM PCS UPON PROTEASOME INHIBITION

Due to their tight balance between protein synthesis and degradation, MM PCs are exceptionally sensitive to PI-induced cytotoxicity (254). Proteasome inhibition has a detrimental effect on protein homeostasis in MM PCs: it, leads to accumulation of the misfolded proteins, and at the same time limits amino acid
supply, resulting in cellular starvation (255, 256), which in combination triggers apoptosis. To cope with the harmful effect of acute, proteasome inhibition, MM cells trigger a plethora of molecular mechanisms. These include induction of the UPR and autophagy, formation of inclusion bodies, synthesis of the proteasome subunits to build new proteasomes and a global change in the cellular metabolism (257, 258). These mechanisms generally serve to adapt to proteotoxic stress via expansion of the proteosynthetic apparatus, e.g., via stabilization of terminal nucleotidyltransferase 5C (TENT5C), also known as FAM46C, a non-canonical poly(A) polymerase, which boosts ER metabolism and amino acid supply. In particular the reduced pool of available intracellular amino acids in the cells recovering from proteasome inhibition (e.g., lack of glutamine, arginine, methionine, leucine and lysine) triggers general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2)-activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) signaling, which becomes increasingly activated and which further leads to activation of AKT and inhibition of mTORC (260, 261). Thus, the GCN2-ATF4 dependency may represent a novel therapeutic target in MM PCs recovering from PI-induced stress (258).

In the early response to proteasome inhibition, MM PCs increase expression of factors involved in oxidative stress and synthesis of GSH, a major cellular antioxidant. These factors serve as a cellular response to gradually resolve the effects of proteasome inhibition. At later time points and during recovery from proteasome inhibition, surviving MM PCs switch their metabolism from glycolysis to fatty acid oxidation, alter the mitochondrial metabolism and modulate the levels of several amino acids, gradually leading to a decrease in mitochondria metabolism and amino acid supply. In particular the reduced pool of available intracellular amino acids in the cells recovering from proteasome inhibition (e.g., lack of glutamine, arginine, methionine, leucine and lysine) triggers general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2)-activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) signaling, which becomes increasingly activated and which further leads to activation of AKT and inhibition of mTORC (260, 261). Thus, the GCN2-ATF4 dependency may represent a novel therapeutic target in MM PCs recovering from PI-induced stress (258).

In conclusion, to survive proteasome inhibition, MM PCs considerably change their metabolism, mitochondria and the ER to redistribute cellular resources and decrease their fitness (Figure 5). Consequently, the mechanisms employed by MM cells to recover from PI-induced stress triggers new and druggable vulnerabilities.

**METABOLIC FACTORS PROMOTING RESISTANCE TO PI IN MM**

PI resistance remains a major obstacle to the successful treatment of MM. The proteasome is involved in regulation of cellular metabolism and a short-term proteasome inhibition induces a global metabolic response during the recovery phase. Perhaps not surprisingly, adaptive metabolic changes have been shown to promote resistance to PIs (Figure 5). Although multiple mechanisms for PIs resistance have been proposed, our mechanistic understanding of the acquisition of PI resistance as well as its potential reversal, remains incomplete. Only recently, the metabolic plasticity of MM PCs that is potentiated by the surrounding TME, was revealed and currently represents a major focus of the study of PI resistance.

**Glycolysis and Lactate Production**

Data obtained from cancer cell lines, mouse xenografts and patient-derived tumor samples all indicate a strong association between increased mitochondrial metabolism and decreased PI sensitivity. Notably, when MM cells are forced to use OXPHOS rather than aerobic glycolysis, they develop PI resistance (189, 262). These findings are supported by studies where MM cells increase OXPHOS metabolism when they are forced to survive in the presence of proteasome inhibitors and with subtotal functional inhibition of proteasome activity (263).

On the other hand, during hypoxic conditions, higher glycolytic activity promoted BTZ resistance in MM and subsequent selective inhibition of LDHA sensitized MM cells towards proteasome inhibition via by BTZ. At the same time, HIF-1α knockdown decreased lactate levels and partially restored the cytotoxic effect of BTZ, thereby suggesting that LDHA and HIF-1α may be valuable targets in hypoxia-mediated PI resistance (10). Further work reported increased glucose uptake and glycolysis in PI-adapted cells, mainly to fuel the PPP, subsequently leading to increased anti-oxidant capacity that is essential to maintain a PI-resistant phenotype in MM (11).

**Glutamine**

The pleiotropic role of Gln in cellular functions has also been reported to promote PI resistance in MM cells by serving as the main fuel source to reinforce mitochondrial respiration and OXPHOS. The same study has demonstrated that targeting Gln-induced respiration in PI resistant cells, using the GLS-1 inhibitor CB-839, synergized well with PIs, such as CFZ, to induce severe ER stress and apoptotic cell death in MM cells (214). More recently, the expression of ASCT2, a Gln transporter otherwise important for MM PCs survival (199), was studied with respect to PI resistance. PI-resistant MM depends on ASCT2 and Gln uptake as well and the combination of ASCT2 inhibitors (ASCT2i) synergistically potentiated the cytotoxicity of PIs in MM via induction of apoptosis and modulation of autophagy. Moreover, RNA sequencing of HMCLs treated with CFZ and ASCT2 revealed that the drug combination strongly reduced Gln metabolism regulators, including MYC and NRAS and likewise upregulation of Gln metabolism was associated with advanced disease stage and PI resistance in patients with RRMM (264). Thus, therapeutic approaches to specifically disrupt Gln metabolism may be an effective strategy to interfere with cancer metabolism and tumor progression in combination therapy (199, 214, 265).

**Glutathione**

Glutathione (GSH), a tripeptide, is one of the most abundant and effective tools that cells can exploit in detoxification of drugs and xenobiotics in general. As a potent reductant, it can react with oxidizing agents before they interact with critical cellular constituents, such as nucleic acids, proteins, or lipids. Moreover, it is involved in a plethora of antioxidant reactions, where it serves as a cofactor and thus may indirectly modulate cell proliferation, apoptosis, immune function and fibrogenesis.
GSH exists in the thiol-reduced form, which accounts for >98% of total GSH, disulfide-oxidized (GSSG) form or in the glutathione conjugated form (GS-R) (267, 271). Cellular GSH is in the cytosol (80-85%), mitochondria (10-15%) and a small fraction is present in the ER (272–274). A high GSH to GSSG ratio indicates a good intracellular redox potential, which represents the ability of a cell to cope with oxidative stress (268).

Aerobic metabolism produces vast amounts of ROS, which can be metabolized by GSH peroxidase (GPx), thus reducing ROS, oxidizing GSH, and generating GSSG. In turn, GSSG can be reduced back to GSH by GSSG reductase (GR) at the expense of NADPH, thereby completing the redox cycle (275). In addition, GSH is able to form disulfide bonds with cysteine residues of proteins or to protect thiols under oxidative stress in a process...
called via S-glutathionylation (SSG), a redox-regulated post-translational thiol modification involved in regulation of protein function (276).

GSH is a major determinant of BTZ cytotoxicity in MM and likewise proteasome inhibition induces GSH synthesis, thereby providing the cell with a more robust intracellular buffering system to protect against oxidative stress while simultaneously decreasing the amount of misfolded proteins that need to be ubiquitinated and subsequently cleared by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (277, 278). Therefore a plethora of mechanisms of adaptation to PI focus on increased GSH/GSSG ratio. A recent study has demonstrated that PI resistant MM cells exhibit increased expression of Glutathione S-transferase P (GSTP), which mediates SSG of ER resident proteins, such as binding immunoglobulin protein (BiP), calnexin, calreticulin, endoplasmic, sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+}-ATPase (SERCA), and other protein-folding and redox-active proteins, thereby regulating their activities (279–281). Preventing S-glutathionylation in MM cells using a GSTP specific inhibitor restored BiP chaperon activity and/or ATPase activities and reversed resistance towards BTZ (279). Additionally, it was shown that BTZ-induced cytotoxicity was strongly reduced in HMCls, such as ANBL-6 and INA-6, that were supplemented with cysteine or its derivative GSH. Further mechanistic studies revealed that increased intracellular GSH levels impaired the BTZ-induced nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2)-associated stress response primarily via upregulation of the xCT subunit of the cystine/glutamate antiporter (SLC7A11). The inhibition of the xCT activity increased the BTZ-induced cytotoxicity in a subset of HMCLs and primary MM cells, and re-established BTZ sensitivity in BTZ adapted cells (277). Another study has shown that docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) supplementation of MM cells, prior the treatment with BTZ, potently decreased cellular GSH levels and altered the expression of related metabolites and key enzymes involved in GSH metabolism, suggesting a critical role of GSH degradation in overcoming BTZ resistance in MM. In addition, the NRF2–ATF3/ATF4–ChAc glutathione specific gamma-glutamylcysteine transferase 1 (CHAC1) signaling pathway was shown to be potentially implicated in DHA/EPA pretreatment-mediated GSH degradation (282).

GSH can be produced from glutamate, cysteine and glycine. In addition, cysteine can be intracellularly converted from serine for GSH synthesis. The serine synthesis pathway (SSP) has been recently demonstrated to be associated with increased BTZ resistance in MM (11). Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH), the first rate-limiting enzyme in the SSP, was reported to be significantly elevated in CD138+ PCs derived from patients with relapsed MM. In addition, MM cells overexpressing PHGDH exhibited increased cell growth, tumor formation, and resistance to BTZ in vitro and in vivo, whereas inhibition of PHGDH caused decreased cell growth and BTZ resistance in MM cells. Lastly, PHGDH reduced ROS levels via increased GSH synthesis, thereby promoting cell growth and likewise BTZ resistance in MM cells (283). Similarly, glycine has been found to promote MM cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo, and GSH synthesis was identified as the main metabolic pathway contributing to proliferation of MM cells. Thus, pharmacological blockage of glycine uptake and utilization for GSH synthesis shows therapeutic potential in MM treatment (284).

In summary, GSH has central role in MM biology and is the major factor protecting MM PCs from BTZ-induced cytotoxicity. It does not directly interfere with BTZ-induced proteasome inhibition (277), but impairs its cytotoxicity mainly via anti-oxidant defense, protein modification and reduction of proteotoxic stress.

**Specific Metabolic Alterations of PI Resistant MM**

Beyond the major metabolic routes of adaptation described above, such as high GSH/GSSG ratio, PI-resistant cells show a global metabolic shift to sustain high protein production and proliferation, but at the same time to survive the consequences of proteasome inhibition (Figure 5). Proteomic analysis of PI-adapted MM cells revealed elevated levels of proteins involved in metabolic regulation, protein catabolism, fatty acid/β-oxidation, redox control, protein folding and glutathione regulation, whereas downregulated pathways comprised DNA transcription/protein translation, differentiation, lipid biosynthesis, apoptosis, and structural/cytoskeletal functions compared to non-adapted control cells. The same study has further shown increased OXPHOS in PI resistant MM. The in vitro model of MM cell lines adapted to culture conditions containing lethal concentrations of BTZ or CFZ (so-called PI-adapted MM cells) display overexpression of several glycolytic enzymes, NADPH dehydrogenase and NAD(P)H generating enzymes, such as enzymes of the oxidative branch of the PPP and malate dehydrogenase, suggesting that enhanced production of reducing equivalents as adaptive metabolic responses of MM cells to sustain proteasome inhibition (12). Importantly, these reducing equivalents are crucial for the maintenance of redox balance and neutralization of ROS in the mitochondria, and at the same time are important for effective protein folding in the ER. Interestingly, PI-adapted cells also show increased mitochondrial activity compared to PI-naïve cells, indicating that enhanced glycolytic flux may play a role in fueling the PPP and TCA to, among other things, support the production of reducing equivalents to buffer deleterious effects of proteasome inhibition. In line with that, PI-adapted cells display increased expression levels of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), a key enzyme that oxidizes reduced thiols (-SH) of cysteine residues in nascent proteins, thereby catalyzing disulfide bond formation (S-S) and show more effective protein folding in the ER (285, 286), compared to control cells not adapted to PI containing growth conditions (12). Thus, PI adapted MM cells commonly exhibit lower dependency on proteasome activity, partly owing to an improved protein folding machinery which allows them to alleviate PI-induced proteotoxic stress (12). Further mass spectrometry-based, whole metabolomic profiling combined with metabolite pathway and metabolite set enrichment analysis confirmed the proteomic findings and validated the importance of enhanced antioxidant capacity higher redox.
homeostasis, and NAD(P)+/NAD(P)H levels, all of which ultimately lead to improved protein folding and thus less proteotoxic stress in PI resistant MM cells (263). Subsequently, targeting protein folding as a strategy for the treatment of MM has been proposed and strategies to inhibit PDI to overcome PI resistance were shown to effectively and selectively induce cytotoxicity in MM in vitro and in vivo (287, 288).

An alternative proteolytic pathway to replenish the exhausted amino acid pool, which is also involved in PI resistance is autophagy (289–293), a process that regulates sequestration of cellular components, such as misfolded cytoplasmic proteins, protein aggregates (aggresomes) or damaged organelles, into a double-membrane vesicles (autophagosomes). These vesicles subsequently fuse with a lysosome (autolysosome), which results in the degradation of its contents by lysosomal hydrolases, thereby replenishing the cells amino acid pool (294). Thus, autophagy-dependent nutrient recycling may be one way for MM cells to alleviate PI-induced proteotoxic stress and cope with amino acid depletion, thereby increasing PI resistance (255, 256). Sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1 or p62) is the protein aggregates and autophagosomes and serves as a critical mediator of autophagy-associated cargo receptor that bridges ubiquitinylated proteins and subsequent fusion with lysosomes (292, 293). In CFZ resistant HMCs, SQSTM1 is overexpressed via the activation of NRF2 which enhances fatty acid/β-oxidation and in turn increased intracellular NADPH levels (293) and at the same time via kruppel like factor (KLF4) which participates in autophagy pathways activated during stress responses (295).

Additional mechanisms that contribute to increased PI resistance of MM cells involve the upregulation of the 20S proteasome subunits (296, 297) and, paradoxically, the downregulation of 19S proteasome subunits (298). The latter findings have been confirmed by showing that modest reduction of the expression of individual subunits of the 19S proteasome complex, such as PSMC6 increased MM survival and protected cells from the PI-induced cytotoxicity (299). Underlying mechanisms included an increased ratio of the 20S to 26S proteasomes, preservation of protein degradation capacity and reduced proteotoxic stress (300). Related work from thousands of cancer cell lines and tumors indicate that suppressed expression of one or more 19S proteasome subunits led to intrinsic PI resistance and is associated with poor outcome in MM patients (301). Of note, depletion of the 19S proteasome subunits leads to increase in SQSTM1 and proteins maintaining protein homeostasis and involved in the ERAD: ubiquitin recognition factor in ER associated degradation (UFD1L) and the triple AAA ATPase valosin-containing protein (VCP)/p97 (298, 299). At the same time, VCP/p97 has been suggested to be a regulator of cellular metabolism, as glutamine depletion leads to increased VCP/p97 expression, whereas VCP/p97 inhibition perturbs metabolic processes and intracellular amino acid turnover (302). Subsequently, pharmacological depletion of VCP/p97 activity with different inhibitors or with approved drug Disulfiram induced proteotoxic stress and cytotoxicity in MM, including PI resistant MM (256, 303).

In conclusion, adaptation to proteasome inhibition in MM PCs involves a broad network of changes in the ER and mitochondria, which allows for reduced oxidative and proteotoxic stress in the cells.

TME-MEDIATED PI RESISTANCE

The metabolic changes previously described highlight PI-induced adaptations in resistant MM cells that alleviate cellular and proteotoxic stress. However, adaptation to PI is not exclusively cell intrinsic, but is supported and potentially even driven by the surrounding TME as well (Figure 6). MM cells co-cultured with stromal cells show decreased apoptosis following exposure to alkylating agents as well as the PI BTZ. Upon co-culture, stromal cell-derived IL-6 induces JAK2/STAT3 signaling in MM cells, thereby increasing the expression of the MUC1 oncogene, a gene known to confer resistance to apoptotic cell death. In addition, silencing of MUC1 via MUC1-specific shRNA partially reversed stromal induced cell resistance towards BTZ (304). Related work has shown that inhibition of the C-terminal transmembrane domain of MUC1 (MUC1-C) is synergistic with BTZ in downregulating p53-inducible regulator of glycolysis and apoptosis (TIGAR) expression, as well as in depleting NADPH and increasing ROS levels, leading to MM cell death (305). Moreover, BTZ supplementation to the co-culture of BMSCs and primary MM cells or HMCs increased mitochondrial trafficking, thereby reinforcing bioenergetic plasticity in MM cells (75). Importantly, resistance to CFZ has been shown to be mediated by the co-culture with BMSCs in normal and in cyclin D1 overexpressing MM PCs. However, since cyclin D1 expression enhances MM cell adhesion to stromal cells and fibronectin, favors cell migration, and increases chemotaxis as well as inflammatory chemokine secretion, CFZ-mediated resistance was alleviated particularly in cyclin D1 expressing cells by the immunomodulatory drugs, which modify MM–TME interactions, such as CAM-DR (171).

A very recent study has identified PSMA3 and PSMA3-AS1 as BMSCs-derived exosomal RNAs that are released within the BMM and are subsequently absorbed by MM cells, leading to increased PI resistance. PSMA3-AS1 can form an RNA duplex with pre-PSMA3, which promotes PSMA3 expression by reinforcing its stability. In xenograft models, intravenously administered siPSMA-AS1 was found to enhance CFZ sensitivity. Moreover, elevated levels of plasma circulating exosomal PSMA3 and PSMA3-AS1 derived from MM patients were strongly associated with decreased progression-free survival (PFS) and poor overall survival (OS), suggesting a prognostic value in clinical settings (306).

Single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) data from primary BTZ-refractory and early relapsed patients revealed hypoxia tolerance, protein folding, and mitochondrial respiration as molecular pathways involved in PI resistance in patients. Those findings have been validated in larger clinical cohorts.
such as the MMRF CoMMpass Study. In addition, the study has found peptidylprolyl isomerase A (PPIA), a crucial enzyme in the protein folding response pathway, as a potential new therapeutic target to overcome PI resistance. Indeed, inhibition of PPIA using the small molecule inhibitor Ciclosporin or PPIA gene deletion via CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing significantly sensitized MM cells towards Ps (13). At the same time, comparative proteomic profiling of PCs from MM patients responding to BTZ-containing regimens versus non-responders has shown that increased levels of proteasome activator complex subunit 1 (PSME1) and anti-oxidative proteins, such as thioredoxin (TXN) and thioredoxin domain-containing protein 5 (TXNDC5) play a major role in BTZ resistance in patients (307). Moreover, analysis of BTZ-induced systemic changes via proteomic profiling of sera from RRMM patients revealed elevated levels of apolipoprotein C1 (ApoC1), quiescin sulphhydril oxidase 1 (QSOX1) and complement components in MM patients with lower response rates towards BTZ-containing regimens. Notably, QSOX1 contains domains of thioredoxin, confirming previous findings of thioredoxins’ role in ROS homeostasis and BTZ-therapy resistance (308).

In summary, data from co-culture experiments and MM patients not responding to PI-based therapy confirm alleviated oxidative and proteotoxic stress in PCs to be among the most efficient strategies to withstand PI treatment. These can be achieved via intrinsic changes in PCs and/or can be promoted by the surrounding TME.

OVERCOMING TME-MEDIATED PI RESISTANCE

Advancing our understanding of multi-drug resistance in relapsed and late-stage MM patients is critical for the development of more effective therapeutic strategies, which consider not only intracellular metabolic alterations in MM cells but also appraise interactions of MM cells with other members of the TME (Figure 6).

Current clinical practice uses monoclonal anti-CD38 antibodies, such as Daratumumab (309, 310) or Isatuximab (311, 312), in combination with a PI and IMiDs as standard upfront therapy (313). Given the fact that PI-induced mitochondrial trafficking between BMSCs and MM PCs is a CD38-dependent process (75), this provides a solid biological rational for combining Ps in triplet/quadruplet therapies as per current practice.

Since PI-resistant MM cells exhibit enhanced mitochondrial metabolism and increased ROS buffering to sustain more effective protein folding, this characteristic represents a specific vulnerability that can be targeted therapeutically. Elesclomol may exploit this unique metabolic characteristic of PI-resistant MM cells by further increasing oxidative stress levels beyond the critical threshold and induce MM cell death. Indeed, cancer cells with increased mitochondrial energy metabolism exhibit increased sensitivity to Elesclomol due to impaired ability to cope with oxidative stress (314). Elesclomol directly targets the mitochondrial reductase ferredoxin 1 (FDX1), which has been identified as the primary mediator of Elesclomol-induced toxicity, leading to a unique form of copper-dependent cell death (262, 314). Likewise, Nelfinavir, an HIV-protease inhibitor, has been shown to impair glucose uptake and oxidative metabolism in MM and PI resistant MM due to modulation of lipid bilayer fluidity in mitochondria and therefore affecting the proper function of the mitochondrial transition pore (mPTP) (315). These effects of Nelfinavir together with proteasome inhibition provided by BTZ showed high efficacy and high response rate in heavily pretreated RRMM in Phase II studies (316, 317). In a similar fashion to repurpose Nelfinavir for the treatment of RRMM, the Disulfram-based compound CuET is able to overcome the PI resistance in MM and may therefore represent a promising and readily available treatment option for RRMM patients (318). Moreover, modulation of the PI-adaptive metabolism with Metformin or with a GCN-specific inhibitor after PI exposure in a PI-recovery state (7 days after the treatment) may overcome the adaptation to the treatment with PI over time (258).

One of the novel approaches to overcome PI resistance in the context of TME is targeted delivery of the PI drugs within the BMM. A new concept of drug delivery used targeted nanoparticles, which improve specificity and efficacy while at the same time reducing the toxicity of therapeutic drugs. Targeting the MM cells within their BMM via PSGL-1-targeted BTZ, and Rho-associated, coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK) inhibitor-loaded liposomes is more effective than free drugs, non-targeted liposomes, and single-agent controls, and reduces BTZ-induced side effects. These results support the use of PSGL-1-targeted liposomal BTZ-containing formulations to overcome drug resistance and improve patient outcomes in MM (319). Several recent review papers summarize the plethora of available immunotherapies and their mechanism of action in MM (checkpoint inhibitors, or T-cell engaging bispecific antibodies and/or adoptive T-cell/NK cell therapy) (320–322), therefore we will not cover this topic in the present review. Targeting the interaction of malignant PCs with the TME may weaken the TME-mediated drug resistance and/or decrease the immunosuppressive activity and environment induced by active MM. Therapeutic targeting of the CXCL12–CXCR4 axis using CXCR4 inhibitors weakens the adhesion of MM PCs on the TME, which renders them more sensitive to PI treatment (165). Likewise, blockade of the CXCL12–CXCR4 axis via monoclonal antibodies like Ulocuplumab shows promising signals for activity in combination with BTZ (323). Further, BMSCs and vascular ECs from MM patients and healthy individuals significantly inhibit the T cell-mediated MM cell lysis in a cell–cell contact dependent manner and without substantial T cell suppression, suggesting the induction of a cell adhesion-mediated immune resistance (CAM-IR) against cytotoxic T cell lymphocytes. However, BTZ increased the cytotoxic T cell lymphocyte-mediated lysis of MM cells. In addition, repression of survivin using the small molecule inhibitor YM155 synergized with cytotoxic T cell lymphocytes and abrogated CAM-IR in vitro and in vivo (324). Recently, cancer-associated fibroblasts were shown to inhibit CAR T-cell anti-MM activity and to promote MM progression. At the same time, novel CAR T-cells targeting
both MM cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts significantly improved the effector functions of CAR T-cells in MM and represent novel strategy to overcome CAR T-cell therapy resistance (325).

Such studies emphasize the importance of understanding TME-dependent and cell intrinsic metabolic adaptations in PI-resistant MM PCs, to tailor novel feasible therapies to overcome PI-resistance in MM patients.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, PI resistance is a multifaceted phenomenon that emerges upon long term and sublethal drug exposure, which allows for a wide metabolic adaptation in MM PCs subclones over time. Emerging evidence shows that beyond cell intrinsic changes, malignant PCs shape and fine-tune the BMM according to their needs to exploit resources that help them to cope with high energy demands and high redox capacity required for alleviation of oxidative and proteotoxic stress ultimately leading to increased survival in the presence of PI treatment. At the same time, therapy persistent MM PCs shape the BMM to an immunosuppressive state that supports the escape from the immune surveillance.

Recent studies have shown multiple ways to target RRMM, either by targeting MM PCs directly, by targeting the microenvironment, or by strengthening the immune response. The rise of IMiDs and targeted mABs treatments indicate our growing understanding of the therapeutic role of targeting the microenvironment. Overall, advancing our understanding of multi-drug resistance is critical for the development of more effective strategies for the treatment of RRMM.
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