Activation of Guanylate Cyclase-C Attenuates Stretch Responses and Sensitization of Mouse Colorectal Afferents
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Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is characterized by altered bowel habits, persistent pain and discomfort, and typically colorectal hypersensitivity. Linaclotide, a peripherally restricted 14 aa peptide approved for the treatment of IBS with constipation, relieves constipation and reduces IBS-associated pain in these patients presumably by activation of guanylate cyclase-C (GC-C), which stimulates production and release of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) from intestinal epithelial cells. We investigated whether activation of GC-C by the endogenous agonist uroguanylin or the primary downstream effector of that activation, cGMP, directly modulates responses and sensitization of mechanosensitive colorectal primary afferents. The distal 2 cm of mouse colorectum with attached pelvic nerve was harvested and pinned flat mucosal side up for in vitro single-fiber recordings, and the encoding properties of mechanosensitive afferents (serosal, mucosal, muscular, and muscular–mucosal; M/M) to probing and circumferential stretch studied. Both cGMP (10–300 μM) and uroguanylin (1–1000 nM) applied directly to colorectal receptive endings significantly reduced responses of muscular and M/M afferents to stretch; serosal and mucosal afferents were not affected. Sensitized responses (i.e., increased responses to stretch) of muscular and M/M afferents were reversed by cGMP, returning responses to stretch to control. Blocking the transport of cGMP from colorectal epithelia by probenecid, a mechanism validated by studies in cultured intestinal T84 cells, abolishes the inhibitory effect of uroguanylin on M/M afferents. These results suggest that GC-C agonists like linaclotide alleviate colorectal pain and hypersensitivity by dampening stretch-sensitive afferent mechanosensitivity and normalizing afferent sensitzation.
rectal afferent endings in response to GC-C agonists (GCCAs), including linaclotide, and this transport is inhibited by the anion transporter inhibitor probenecid (Silos-Santiago et al., unpublished observations). Thus, cGMP may act directly on colorectal afferents to reduce mechanosensory encoding and attenuate stretch/distension-induced pain in IBS patients (Bouin et al., 2002). We tested this hypothesis, first by examining the effects of cGMP on responses to mechanical stimuli and acute sensitization of PN colorectal afferents. Second, we examined the effects of the endogenous GCCA uroguanylin on colorectal afferent mechanosensitivity in the absence and presence of probenecid to confirm that GCCA-induced attenuation of afferent responses depended upon cGMP transport from epithelial cells. The efficacy of probenecid was documented using cultured intestinal T84 cells, which express GC-C. Finally, we examined the effects of cGMP on sensitized colorectal afferents in a model of persistent colorectal hypersensitivity. Portions of these data were reported in abstract form (Feng et al., 2012a).

Materials and Methods

All experiments were approved by the University of Pittsburgh Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

In vitro mouse colon–pelvic nerve preparation

As previously described (Feng et al., 2012c), male mice (C57BL/6, 6–8 weeks old, 20–30 g; Taconic) were killed via CO2 inhalation followed by exsanguination after perforating the right atrium. The distal colorectum with attached PN was dissected and transferred to ice-cold Krebs’ solution bubbled with carbogen (95% O2, 5% CO2). The colorectum was opened longitudinally, pinned flat mucosal side up in a tissue chamber, and the PN extended into an adjacent recording chamber filled with paraffin oil. The tissue chamber was superfused with a modified Krebs’ solution containing the following (in mM): 117.9 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 25 NaHCO3, 1.3 NaH2PO4, 1.2 MgSO4, 7 H2O, 2.5 CaCl2, 11.1 d-glucose, 2 butyrate, and 20 acetate at a temperature of −34°C to which was added nifedipine (4 μM) and indomethacin (3 μM). The PN was teased into fine bundles (~10 μm thickness) for single-fiber recording.

Stimulus–response functions

As previously described (Feng and Gebhart, 2011), mechanosensitive colorectal afferents were classified as serosal, mucosal, mucosal, or muscular–mucosal (M/M) based upon responses to probing with calibrated nylon monofilaments (0.4, 1, and 1.4 g force), mucosal brushing (10 mg of force), and stretch. Muscular and M/M afferents both respond to stretch and their stimulus-response functions (SRFs) to circumferential stretch were generated using a servo-controlled force actuator (Aurora Scientific) as described previously (Feng and Gebhart, 2011). Custom-made claws (~1 mm interval) were inserted along the antimesenteric edge of the colorectum to permit homogenous, circumferential stretch by a slow ramped force (0–170 mN at 5 mN/s) corresponding to intraluminal pressures of 0–45 mmHg (Feng et al., 2010).

Serosal and mucosal afferents do not respond to stretch, and SRFs were generated using ascending steps of probing forces (1–80 mN/5 s duration, 25 ms interstimulus interval) applied with a 0.6 mm nylon monofilament (#6.45; Stoelting) driven by the servo-controlled force actuator, thus ensuring precise and reproducible stimulation of the receptive field. The diameter of the probe was selected to approximately match receptive field size, which is typically 1 mm² (Feng and Gebhart, 2011), and sharp edges rounded to reduce stress concentration.

Compliance was quantified by measuring colorectal circumference during stretch. Colorectal deformation during stretch was recorded through the stereo dissection microscope using a CCD camera; images were extracted every 2 s during stretch and circumference measured using ImageJ (v1.44p; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Application of chemicals to afferent endings

cGMP, inflammatory soup (IS), the endogenous GC-C agonist UGN-A, or negative control peptides uroguanylin-B (UGN-B, an isomer of UGN-A) and calcitonin were applied to afferent endings in the colorectum as reported previously (Feng and Gebhart, 2011). After establishing a baseline (control) SRF, the receptive ending was isolated (4 × 4 square × 10 mm high tubing), the Krebs’ solution removed and replaced by 150 μl of cGMP or UGN-A (5 min) or IS or IS plus cGMP (3 min). The tubing was then removed, re-exposing the ending to Krebs’ solution, and a response to the same mechanical stimulus (stretch or probing) acquired immediately after (cGMP and UGN-A) or within 4–6 min (IS). For each fiber tested with cGMP or UGN-A, SRFs were determined before (control) and after exposure to ascending concentrations (10, 30, 100, and 300 μM) for cGMP and 1, 10, 100, and 1000 mM for UGN-A with a 15 min washout between successive applications; 20 min after exposure to the last concentration tested, an SRF concluded the protocol. In an additional group of eight fibers, the GC-C receptor selectivity of uroguanylin was examined using UGN-A and two negative control peptides (UGN-B and calcitonin; all at 100 μM), which were sequentially applied for 5 min each with a 20 min washout between successive applications. Only one concentration of IS or IS plus cGMP was tested per fiber; similarly, a final test was conducted following a 20 min wash period. In some experiments with UGN-A, the colorectum was perfused with Krebs’ solution containing probenecid (100 μM) to block the transport of cGMP (synthesis stimulated by UGN-A) from epithelial cells.

cGMP was dissolved in Krebs’ solution at 15 mM and prepared in aliquots of 20 μl. UGN-A was dissolved in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, to 600 μM and prepared in aliquots of 20 μl. Probenecid was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to 200 mM and prepared in aliquots of 50 μl. For evaluation of specificity of action (see Fig. 8), UGN-A, UGN-B, and calcitonin were all dissolved in distilled water to 10 μM and prepared in 10 μl aliquots. IS was prepared in aliquots of 20 μl by combining bradykinin, serotonin, and histamine dissolved in distilled water with prostaglandin E2 dissolved in DMSO, resulting in 250 μM final concentration for each mediator. All aliquots were frozen, stored at −20°C, and diluted on the day of an experiment to final concentrations in freshly oxygenated Krebs’ solution (cGMP, UGN-A, UGN-B, calcitonin, and probenecid) or acidic, pH 6.0, Krebs’ solution (10 μM for all mediators of IS) (Feng and Gebhart, 2011). cGMP (300 μM or 30 mM) was added to some aliquots of IS before dilution to achieve a final concentration of 10 or 300 μM for cGMP and all mediators. The pH of IS and acidic Krebs’ solution was adjusted to 6.0 by HCl. UGN-A, UGN-B, and calcitonin were gifts from Ironwood Pharmaceuticals; all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Colorectal hypersensitivity

As described previously (Feng et al., 2012c), mice were anesthetized (2% isoflurane; Hospira), the skin incised to expose the right abdominal musculature, and the bare ends of two lengths of Teflon-coated wire (Cooner Wire) inserted into the muscle and secured with 5–0 VICRYL sutures (Ethicon); the other ends were tunneled subcutaneously and externalized through a small skin incision at the nape of the neck. On the day of baseline testing (7 d after surgery), mice were briefly sedated with isoflurane and a lubricated polyethylene balloon (1.5 cm long × 0.9 cm diameter) inserted tranally into the colorectum 0.5–1 cm from the anal verge and secured with the tail with tape. Mice were placed in a plastic cylinder inside a sound-attenuating dark chamber and allowed to recover from isoflurane (30 min) before testing.

Electromyographic (EMG) activity was recorded continuously for 10 s before (testing) and 10 s during phasic colorectal distension (CRD; 15 mmHg, 30 mmHg, 45 mmHg, or 60 mmHg). Each distension pressure was tested three times with 4 min between distensions. After establishing baseline responses to CRD on Day 0, mice received either zymosan (0.1 ml at 30 mg/ml dissolved in saline; Sigma-Aldrich) or saline (0.1 ml) intracolonically for 3 consecutive days (Days 0, 1, and 2); visceromotor responses were assessed by CRD on Days 3, 6, 10, 17, and 24.

GC-C gene expression by reverse transcription-quantitative-PCR and in situ hybridization

Total RNA from mouse colon was extracted using Trizol (Life Technologies), purified with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and used to synthesize cDNAs, 500 ng of which was
used for detection of GC-C by TaqMan probes for mouse-specific GC-C and GAPDH as control (Life Technologies) (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

In situ hybridization. Colorectal tissue was frozen in isopentane to −35°C, cryosectioned (6–10 μm), mounted on gelatin-coated or Fisher brand SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific), and stored at −80°C. Before in situ hybridization (ISH), sections were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (parafomaldehyde; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, treated with trichloroacetic acid/acetonic hydrdle, washed, and dehydrated through graded ethanols (30–100%). The sequences of GC-C (Gucy2c Mus masculus; PrimerQuest) primers code a fragment of 622 bp within exons 7 and 11 of the transcripts NM 001127318.1 and NM 1450667.3, cRNA were validated in vitro using SP6 and T7 RNA polymerases. Following validation, antisense and sense probes were synthesized in vitro (Ambion) and radiolabeled with 35S-UTP (>100 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer).

Forward primer: TTTGAGGAGAACCCACAGCTCT and reverse primer: TTAGTTGGTGTCGGTCTGTC. Sequence is recognized by T7 RNA polymerase: 5′-GCCTAATAACGACTAATAGAGG...3′. Sequence is recognized by SP6 RNA polymerase: SP6 = 5′-GCATTAAATATAGGACCATAGTAAAGG...3′.

Sections were hybridized overnight at 55°C in (in): 300 NaCl, 20 Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 EDTA, 10 NaH2PO4, 50% deionized formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 1× Denhardt’s, 0.5 μg/ml total yeast RNA, and 50–80,000 cpm/μl radiolabeled cRNA probe. Tissue sections were washed at 65°C in 50% formamide, 2× SSC, 10 μM dithiothreitol and PBS before treatment with 20 μg/ml RNase A at 37°C for 30 min, and then washed in 2× SSC and 0.1× SSC for 10 min at 37°C. The slides were dehydrated and exposed to Kodak BioMaxMR x-ray film for 5 d followed by dipping in Kodak NTB nuclear track emulsion and exposure in light-tight desiccant boxes at 4°C for 14 d. Photographic development was performed in Kodak D-19 and fixed in 35% sodium thiosulfate solution. Sections were counterstained with cresyl violet and analyzed using bright-field and dark-field microscopy. Sense (control) riboprobes established the level of background signal.

In vitro assay of cGMP accumulation and release in cultured T84 cells

The human colon carcinoma epithelial cell line T84 was obtained from ATCC and cultured in T-150 flasks to 60–70% confluency. Monolayers were harvested from T-150 flasks by 0.05% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA. Monolayers were then washed twice with PBS before treatment with 20 μg/ml RNase A at 37°C for 30 min and then washed in 2× SSC and 0.1× SSC for 10 min at 37°C. The slides were dehydrated and exposed to Kodak BioMaxMR x-ray film for 5 d followed by dipping in Kodak NTB nuclear track emulsion and exposure in light-tight desiccant boxes at 4°C for 14 d. Photographic development was performed in Kodak D-19 and fixed in 35% sodium thiosulfate solution. Sections were counterstained with cresyl violet and analyzed using bright-field and dark-field microscopy. Sense (control) riboprobes established the level of background signal.

In vitro assay of cGMP accumulation and release in cultured T84 cells

The human colon carcinoma epithelial cell line T84 was obtained from ATCC and cultured in T-150 flasks to 60–70% confluency. Monolayers were harvested from T-150 flasks by 0.05% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA. Monolayers were then washed twice with PBS before treatment with 20 μg/ml RNase A at 37°C for 30 min and then washed in 2× SSC and 0.1× SSC for 10 min at 37°C. The slides were dehydrated and exposed to Kodak BioMaxMR x-ray film for 5 d followed by dipping in Kodak NTB nuclear track emulsion and exposure in light-tight desiccant boxes at 4°C for 14 d. Photographic development was performed in Kodak D-19 and fixed in 35% sodium thiosulfate solution. Sections were counterstained with cresyl violet and analyzed using bright-field and dark-field microscopy. Sense (control) riboprobes established the level of background signal.

In vitro assay of cGMP accumulation and release in cultured T84 cells

The human colon carcinoma epithelial cell line T84 was obtained from ATCC and cultured in T-150 flasks to 60–70% confluency. Monolayers T84 cells were lysed with cold 0.1M HCl for 30 min on ice. A volume of 175 μl/well of each lysate was transferred to new 96-well plates (Waters) and centrifuged at 1000 × g for 10 min to remove any cell debris. The resulting supernatants were transferred in 90 μl aliquots to new 96-well plates and neutralized to pH 7 with 90 μl of 1N HCl. The centrifuged and neutralized T84 cell lysates were analyzed using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (LC/MS/MS). To measure efflux of cGMP, T84 cells were pre-incubated with probenecid and stimulated with 1 μM human UGN-A. After the 30 min incubation time, the supernatants were removed and analyzed by LC/MS/MS. cGMP (Sigma) was used to prepare a standard curve in 0.1N HCl (for lysate samples) or in DMEM (for supernatant samples). cGMP standards made in 0.1N HCl were prepared as a 2× concentrate and then neutralized with an equal volume of ammonium acetate. Both standard curves had final concentrations of 1–1024 nM.

Data recording and analysis

EMG activity was amplified, filtered, rectified, and quantified using Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design [CED]) and recorded on a PC. SRFs were quantified as the total area of EMG activity during balloon inflation (10 s) minus resting activity recorded in the 10 s period immediately preceding colorectal distension.

Action potentials (APs) were recorded extracellularly using a low-noise AC differential amplifier. Activity was monitored on-line, filtered (0.3–10 kHz), amplified (10,000×), digitized at 20 kHz using a 1401 interface (CED), and stored on a PC. APs were discriminated off-line using Spike 2 software. To avoid erroneous discrimination, no more than two clearly discernible units in any record were studied. The stretch response threshold was defined as the force that evoked the first AP during ramped stretch. Afferents included low- and high-threshold (HT; >68 mN) subgroups as previously described (Feng et al., 2010). For low-threshold (LT) stretch-sensitive afferents, SRFs are generally presented as bins of evoked APs (0–57, 57–113, and 113–170 mN) whereas responses of HT stretch-sensitive afferents are presented as total number of APs during ramped stretch. To facilitate comparison, responses of some LT stretch-sensitive afferents are also presented as total number of APs during ramped stretch; statistical analyses of these data used total number of APs during stimulation. For serosal and mucosal afferents, evoked APs during stimulation were quantified and plotted as SRFs. SRFs were normalized to the respective maximum binned spike number (100%) in control tests. Data are presented throughout as mean ± SEM. One-way and two-way ANOVA or repeated measures was performed as appropriate using SigmaPlot v9.0 (Systat software). Bonferroni post hoc multiple comparisons were performed when F values for main effects were significant. Differences were considered significant when *p < 0.05.

Results

Effect of cGMP on stretch-sensitive afferents

Responses of 33 muscular and 36 M/M afferents to stretch were studied; representative recordings are shown in Figures 1A and 2A. Local application of cGMP had no effect on colorectal compliance (Fig. 1B). Consistent with our previous study (Feng et al., 2010) showing that ~14% of stretch-sensitive colorectal afferents have high thresholds for response (>68 mN, ~20 mmHg of colorectal distension) to stretch, histograms of response thresholds from muscular (Fig. 1C) and M/M (Fig. 1D) afferents revealed low-threshold (<68 mN) and HT (>68 mN) subgroups. cGMP attenuated responses of LT muscular afferents to stretch only at greater concentrations of 100 and 300 μM (Fig. 1E; overall F(5,60) = 4.6, p < 0.001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.001 for 100 μM, p < 0.05 for 300 μM); responses to stretch recovered after washout (p = 0.323 vs control). Responses of LT M/M fibers to stretch were significantly reduced by all four cGMP concentrations tested (Fig. 1F; overall F(5,55) = 13.6, p < 0.001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.001 vs control for all cGMP concentrations); the effects of cGMP completely washed out (p = 1 vs control). Because cGMP did not exhibit clear concentration-dependent effects, a lower concentration of cGMP (3 μM) was tested on 8 LT muscular and 9 LT M/M afferents (Fig. 1G,H; data summarized for all concentrations of cGMP tested). Responses to stretch of muscular afferents were unaffected except at 100 μM cGMP (Fig. 1G; overall F(6,95) = 3.4, p < 0.05; post hoc comparisons, p = 0.001 for 100 μM vs control) whereas responses of M/M afferents were significantly reduced (Fig. 1H; overall F(6,104) = 11.3, p < 0.001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.05 vs control for all cGMP concentrations).

Responses of HT muscular and M/M afferents to stretch were unaffected by cGMP except at the lowest concentration tested (10 μM). Limited by the relatively low proportion of HT afferents
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In addition to the low-yield nature of single-fiber recordings, the effect of cGMP was tested on fewer HT afferents (five muscular and seven M/M afferents) than LT muscular and M/M afferents, thus practically reducing the power normally associated with a repeated-measures ANOVA. Accordingly, protected paired t tests were used to compare each test concentration with control. Representative recordings are shown in Figure 2A and summarized results in Figure 2B and C.

Effect of cGMP on serosal and mucosal afferents

Representative recordings of a serosal afferent to computer-controlled probing are shown in Figure 3A. cGMP did not affect responses of either serosal (Fig. 3B; overall \( F_{(5, 30)} = 0.97, p = 0.45 \)) or mucosal afferent endings (Fig. 3C; overall \( F_{(5, 30)} = 0.18, p = 0.97 \)) at any concentration tested.

Effect of cGMP on persistently sensitized colorectal afferents

The ability of cGMP to attenuate persistent, established sensitization was assessed in a model of colorectal hypersensitivity induced by intracolonic instillation of zymosan. Saline-treated mice displayed no changes in visceromotor responses to colorectal distension at any time after intracolonic treatment (Fig. 5A; \( F_{(5, 95)} = 0.22, p = 0.95 \)), whereas visceromotor responses in zymosan-treated mice were significantly enhanced (sensitized) (Fig. 5B; overall \( F_{(5, 95)} = 3.4, p = 0.007 \). After the last CRD tests (Day 24), the colorectum and pelvic nerve were harvested for in vitro single-fiber recordings from both saline- and zymosan-
treated mice. Consistent with previous work (Feng et al., 2012c), responses of muscular afferents to stretch were similar between zymosan- and saline-treated mice (Fig. 5C; $F_{(1,108)} = 0.65, p = 0.42$), whereas M/M afferents from zymosan-treated mice exhibited sensitized responses to stretch (Fig. 5D; $F_{(1,87)} = 19, p < 0.001$ vs saline-treated counterparts).

Consistent with results in naive mice (Fig. 1E), cGMP attenuated responses of LT muscular afferents to stretch in saline-treated mice only at greater concentrations (Fig. 6A; overall $F_{(5,65)} = 5.79, p < 0.001$; post hoc comparisons, $p < 0.05$ for 100 and 300 μM) and had no effect in zymosan-treated mice (Fig. 6C; $F_{(5,70)} = 1.7, p = 0.15$). Also consistent with findings in naive mice (Fig. 1F), responses of LT M/M afferents to stretch were significantly reduced by all cGMP concentrations tested in both saline-treated (Fig. 6B; overall $F_{(5,55)} = 5.5, p < 0.001$; post hoc comparisons, $p < 0.01$ for all concentrations) and zymosan-treated groups (Fig. 6D; overall $F_{(5,70)} = 7.9, p < 0.001$; post hoc comparisons, $p < 0.001$ for all concentrations).

Responses of serosal afferents to ascending steps (5 s) of probing force were tested on 15 afferents from zymosan-treated mice and 15 afferents from saline-treated mice (data not shown). Baseline responses of serosal afferents were not different between saline- and zymosan-treated groups ($F_{(1,168)} = 0.39, p = 0.53$). Similar to results in naive mice (Fig. 3B), responses of serosal afferents to probing were unaffected by cGMP in either saline-treated ($F_{(5,70)} = 0.6, p = 0.69$) or zymosan-treated groups ($F_{(5,70)} = 0.25, p = 0.94$).
Figure 5. Intracolonic zymosan produces colorectal hypersensitivity and sensitizes afferents. A, Intracolonic saline did not affect visceromotor responses to CRD whereas (B) intracolonic zymosan significantly enhanced visceromotor responses, after which on Day 24 (D24) colorectums were harvested for single-fiber recording. C, Muscular afferent responses to stretch did not differ between saline- and zymosan-treated mice whereas M/M afferents (D) were sensitized. *p < 0.05; see text for details. E, Hybridization with GC-C antisense probe revealed the presence of GC-C mRNA in the mucosal layer of both saline- and zymosan-treated colons; sense probe detected no signal. Corresponding images below are adjacent cresyl violet stained colonic sections.

Activation of GC-C modulates responses of stretch-sensitive afferents through synthesis and extracellular transport of cGMP

The foregoing establishes that cGMP, the primary downstream effector of GC-C activation, decreases baseline responses and prevents sensitization of stretch-sensitive colorectal afferents. We next tested the effect of UGN-A, an endogenous GCCA, on responses to stretch of 14 LT muscular and 15 LT M/M afferents. Similar to cGMP, low concentrations of UGN-A (1 and 10 nM) had no significant effect on responses of LT muscular afferents (Fig. 7A; overall F(5,65) = 5.1, p < 0.001; post hoc comparison vs control, p > 0.12) whereas responses were significantly attenuated at greater concentrations (post hoc comparisons, p = 0.035 for 100 nM, p = 0.001 for 1000 nM). Consistent with the effects of cGMP, responses to stretch of LT M/M afferents were significantly attenuated by all four concentrations of UGN-A (Fig. 7B; overall F(5,270) = 19.1, p < 0.001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.001 for all concentrations). Additional tests were conducted on 8 muscular and 10 M/M afferents at a lower 0.1 nM concentration of UGN-A (Figs. 7C,D; data summarized for all concentrations of UGN-A tested). Responses of muscular afferents were unaffected except at 1000 nM UGN-A (Fig. 7C; overall F(6,101) = 4.1, p < 0.001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.01 for 1000 nM vs control) whereas responses of M/M afferents were significantly attenuated by all concentrations of UGN-A (Fig. 7D; overall F(6,113) = 16. p < 0.0001; post hoc comparisons, p < 0.001 vs control for all UGN-A concentrations except 0.1 nM). UGN-A had no effect on colorectal compliance (data not shown).

The addition of 100 μM probenecid to the Krebs’ solution perfusing the colorectum abolished the effects of UGN-A (10 nM) on LT M/M afferents (Fig. 7E; F(2,12) = 0.18, p = 0.84). Probenecid did not affect colorectal compliance (Fig. 7F) nor did it affect basal responses of M/M afferents to stretch. There was no significant difference between the basal (control) responses of 25 M/M afferents in this study recorded in the presence of Krebs’ solution (Fig. 7D, ctrl) and the seven M/M afferents recorded in the presence of Krebs’ plus100 μM probenecid (Fig. 7E, pro; F(1,90) = 0.17, p = 0.7).

To establish that probenecid is efficacious in blocking the synthesis and release of cGMP, we used cultured intestinal T84 cells that express endogenous GC-C. UGN-A increased the cGMP concentration in lysates (intracellular cGMP) of cultured T84 cells (EC50 = 526 ± 120 nM) whereas its isomeric control peptide UGN-B and calcitonin were without effect over the same range of concentrations tested (Fig. 8A). Probenecid dose dependently inhibited uroguanylin-stimulated cGMP release into the supernatant of cultured T84 cells, a measure of extracellular cGMP (Fig. 8B; overall F(3,12) = 7.2, p < 0.01; post hoc comparisons, p <
mechanism of linaclotide, a GCCA (Busby et al., 2013), in patients with IBS-C (Chey et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2012).

It is well documented that GC-C expression is largely restricted to intestinal epithelial cells; there is no evidence that neuronal elements in the intestine express GC-C (Lucas et al., 2000; Basu et al., 2010). Accordingly, the antihyperalgesic effect of GC-C activation is likely indirect and involves downstream effectors that act on colorectal afferent endings. Recent studies indicate that binding of GCCAs at the apical surface of an intestinal epithelia cell line catalyzes the synthesis of intracellular cGMP (Busby et al., 2010) and promotes its extracellular release from the basolateral membrane (I. Silos-Santiago et al., unpublished observation). In support, local mucosal application of cGMP (3–300 μM) in the present study significantly reduced responses of LT muscular and M/M afferents to circumferential stretch, although effects of cGMP on LT muscular afferents were apparent only at the greater concentrations tested (100–300 μM). This may be accounted for by the likely extension of the sensory endings of M/M afferents into the mucosal layer of the colorectum in closer proximity to the luminal surface where cGMP was applied. Dose-dependent inhibition of M/M afferents by cGMP (10–300 μM) or UGN-A (1–1000 nm) was not apparent whereas lesser concentrations of cGMP (3 μM) or UGN-A (100 pm) moderately attenuated responses of M/M afferents, suggesting that greater concentrations were equally saturating. This observation is consistent with the nanomolar IC₅₀ of UGN-A reported previously in a binding assay (Busby et al., 2010).

In the present study, 17% of stretch-sensitive afferents (5/33 muscular, 7/36 M/M) had high thresholds for response to stretch (>68 mN, equivalent to a distension pressure of ~20 mmHg),

Figure 7. Effect of GC-C activation by UGN-A on LT stretch-sensitive afferents. Similar to cGMP, only greater concentrations of UGN-A reduced responses of muscular afferents to stretch (A), whereas UGN-A attenuated responses of M/M afferents at all concentrations tested (B). A lower concentration of UGN-A (0.1 nm) was also tested all data are summarized (total number of APs during ramped stretch normalized to control = 100%) in C for muscular and in D for M/M afferents. *p < 0.05; see Results for details. The addition of probenecid (100 μM) to the perfused Krebs’ solution abolished the inhibitory effect of UGN-A (10 nm) on M/M afferents (E). To facilitate comparisons, the effect of 10 nm UGN-A on M/M afferents presented in B is reproduced in E in half-tone. Probenecid did not affect colorectal compliance measured by colon circumference during stretch (F).

Discussion

Persistent pain and hypersensitivity in IBS is typically difficult to manage. Clinical and preclinical evidence reveals that enhanced afferent input from the periphery is necessary for the development and maintenance of IBS-related pain, including referred somatic hypersensitivity (Verne et al., 2003, 2005; Zhou et al., 2008), reinforcing the importance of afferent input to central sensitization. The present study provides evidence that local activation of GC-C attenuates colorectal afferent input in both naive and sensitized states through synthesis and extracellular transport of cGMP, and thus likely underlies the antihyperalgesic

Figure 8. Effect of GC-C activation on the synthesis and release of cGMP. UGN-A, but not isomeric UGN-B or calcitonin, dose-dependently triggered an increase in cGMP concentration in T84 cell lysates in vitro (A). In support, application of UGN-B and calcitonin on eight M/M afferents had no effect on their response to stretch whereas UGN-A significantly attenuated the stretch response (C). Uroguanylin-stimulated release of cGMP in T84 cells as indicated by increased supernatant cGMP concentration was dose dependently inhibited by probenecid (B).
consistent with a previous report (Feng et al., 2010). Interestingly, cGMP reduced responses to stretch of both HT muscular and M/M afferents to stretch only at the lowest concentration tested (10 μM); greater concentrations of cGMP (30–300 μM) had no effect on either fiber class. This observation is consistent with a previous study that examined the effect of linaclotide on rodent responses to colorectal distension, the outcome of which showed reduced antihyperalgesic effects at lower doses and an overall bell-shaped dose–response curve (Eutamene et al., 2010). Collectively, LT and HT stretch-sensitive afferents appear to have different sensitivities to cGMP (as judged by their dose–response curves in the presence of cGMP); 10 μM cGMP appears to be the optimal concentration to produce a saturating inhibition of LT-M/M afferents and an attenuated response of HT stretch-sensitive afferents.

Serosal afferents do not respond to either colorectal stretch or fine mucosal stroking and only reliably respond to mechanical probing of their receptive fields (Feng and Gebhart, 2011). Unlike previous studies in which responses of serosal afferents were quantified by probing of the receptive field with hand-held von Frey-like monofilaments (Brierley et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2005, 2007; Hughes et al., 2009), the current study used a servo-controlled force actuator to precisely and reproducibly deliver probing forces to the receptive field using a thick (φ1 mm), blunt monofilament. We observed no significant effect of cGMP on responses of serosal afferents to probing. This could be interpreted as the absence of a molecular target(s) for cGMP on serosal afferents or, alternatively, as limited/no access to serosal endings by cGMP applied to the luminal surface of the colorectum. Morphological information about serosal endings in the colorectum is limited, with speculation including that they are located in the serosa (because of their high mechanical response thresholds; Blackshaw et al., 2007) or the submucosa close to the colon vasculature (Zagorodnyuk et al., 2010). Both interpretations lack a proximal location of serosal endings to the mucosa where cGMP is released endogenously upon GC-C activation. SRFs of mucosal afferents were quantified here in the same fashion as serosal afferents, and they too were unaffected by cGMP. This agrees with previous studies showing that chemical responses of mucosal afferents were generally unaffected by chemical mediators (for review, see Feng et al., 2012b).

cGMP was shown in the present study to prevent acute sensitization of M/M afferents by inflammatory soup applied to their receptive endings. Interestingly, cGMP prevented sensitization of muscular afferents only at a greater concentration (300 μM), corresponding well to the differential responses of these afferent classes to cGMP (Fig. 1E, F). Importantly, cGMP reversed persistent sensitization of M/M afferents recorded in colorectums from mice established as behaviorally hypersensitive to colorectal distension. Given that afferent sensitization (i.e., enhanced input from normal, physiologic colorectal stimuli) is important in the persistence of IBS symptoms, reversal of long-standing afferent sensitization suggests a novel and highly desirable means of improving the management of pain and hypersensitivity in IBS. Moreover, because linaclotide has low bioavailability and its site of action is localized to GC-C in the epithelial layer of the intestine (Busby et al., 2010), undesirable effects in the CNS are unlikely, thus representing a novel and efficacious approach to managing the abdominal pain experienced by patients with IBS-C.

The mechanisms by which cGMP attenuates afferent responses to stretch and reverses sensitization of M/M afferents were not explored in the current study and require further investigation. Canonical mechanisms that involve intracellular cGMP include: (1) cGMP activation of cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels to dampen neural excitability, (2) pathways associated with protein kinase G that are downstream of cGMP signaling, and (3) cGMP-regulated phosphodiesterases (PDE2 and PDE3). It also has been reported that extracellular cGMP could modulate neuronal functions (e.g., inhibition of kainate autoreceptors in cerebellar neurons; Cervetto et al., 2010). Given the lack of evidence for active transport of cGMP from the extracellular space into the cytosol, we speculate that the modulation of colorectal afferent excitability by cGMP occurs at an extracellular site.

We further validated the role of cGMP in the attenuation of responses of stretch-sensitive colorectal afferents through activation of colorectal GC-C, the endogenous ligand UGN-A, which was 300–1000-fold more potent than cGMP. UGN-A fully replicated the effects of cGMP. Importantly, perfusion of the colorectum with probenecid, a nonselective anion transport inhibitor known to block the extrusion of cGMP (Sager, 2004), abolished the effects of UGN-A on responses of M/M afferents to stretch, strongly implying that extracellular cGMP is required for the action of GC-C agonists. This is further supported by our in vitro studies on cultured intestinal T84 cells, which express endogenous GC-C; application of UGN-A, but not the isomeric UGN-B peptide or calcitonin, induced concentration-dependent release of cGMP in T84 cell lysates, an indicator of intracellular cGMP content. Consistent with other results here, the release of UGN-A-stimulated cGMP into the T84 cell supernatant was dose dependently attenuated by probenecid. We are aware of other pharmacological effects of probenecid, including inhibition of intestinal smooth muscle tone (Mihara et al., 2010) and TRPV2 channel activation (Bang et al., 2007). However, in the present study colorectal compliance was unaffected by probenecid, perhaps due to the presence of an L-type calcium channel blocker in the Krebs’ solution perfusing the colorectum. The reducedafferent drive affected by GC-C activators like uroguanylin is evident from our current study. In a related report, abdominal contractions in response to colorectal distension in naive rats were unaffected by oral administration of the GC-C agonist linaclotide (Eutamene et al., 2010); linaclotide, however, did attenuate colorectal hypersensitivity after colorectal inflammation and in two models of stress-induced hypersensitivity, consistent with findings here relative to afferent fiber sensitization by IS and zymosan. Clearly, GC-C activation attenuates colorectal mechanical hypersensitivity, and whether basal mechanosensitivity is also affected remains to be resolved.
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