Towards room-temperature superfluidity of exciton polaritons in an optical microcavity with an embedded MoS$_2$ monolayer
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By considering driven diffusive dynamics of exciton polaritons in an optical microcavity with an embedded molybdenum disulfide monolayer, we determine experimentally relevant range of parameters at which room-temperature superfluidity can be observed. It is shown that the superfluid transitions occur in a trapped polariton gas at laser pumping power $P > 600$ mW and and trapping potential strength $k > 50$ eV/cm$^2$. We also propose a simple analytic model that provides a useful estimate for the polariton gas density, which enables one to determine the conditions for observation of room temperature polariton superfluidity.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, physics of polaritons, a quantum superposition of excitons and cavity photons, attracts significant attention due to fundamental interest to macroscopic collective quantum phenomena in this system[1] as well as due to potential applications in photonics and optoelectronics[2]. Thanks to their small effective mass, polaritons demonstrate the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) and superfluidity at temperatures about six orders higher than that for ultra-cold atomic gases[3–7]. Numerous applications of polariton condensates in optical computing[8–12], nonlinear interferometry[13], novel light sources[14–18], and atomtronics[19] have recently been proposed.

Transition-metal dichalcogenide monolayer crystals provide unprecedented opportunity to transfer these achievements to the room temperature scale. In contrast to another near-perfect two-dimensional material, graphene, single-layer molybdenum and tungsten-based transition metal dichalcogenides are direct-zone semiconductors with the sizable bandgap $E_g \sim 1 - 2$ eV[20]. Extremely large light-matter interaction strength (the Rabi splitting $\sim 50$ meV)[21] and large exciton binding energy $\sim 0.3 - 0.9$ eV in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides[22, 23] make these materials exceptional candidates for observation of room temperature quantum collective phenomena. Observation of polaritons in a microcavity with embedded molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) monolayer at the room temperature has recently been reported in Ref. [21].

However, it is a priori unclear if the conditions for a superfluid transition can be satisfied in actual experiments for a polariton gas in cavities with transition-metal dichalcogenide monolayers at room temperatures. One of the important factors is significant temperature fluctuations in the system that results in spreading of a polariton cloud in a trap and thus, in a drop of the polariton density. We note that temperature spreading is not essential for conventional low-temperature polariton experiments with semiconductor microcavities where the leading factors are quantum fluctuations and polariton-polariton interactions[24].

The goal of this work is to determine a range of experimentally relevant parameters, for which superfluidity of a polariton gas can be achieved at room temperatures in an optical microcavity with an embedded MoS$_2$.
FIG. 1. Temperature of the Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) phase transition, $T_c$, as a function of the polariton gas density $n$ estimated from Eq. (1) where the cutoff length $r_0$ is set equal to the exciton Bohr radius in molybdenum disulfide $a_B \approx 1$ nm and the polariton mass is $m = 3.14 \times 10^{-5} m_0$, see text. It is seen that the KT transition at the room temperature $T = 300$ K occurs at the density $n \approx 4.2 \times 10^{12}$ m$^{-2}$.

monolayer. Specifically, by considering the quasiclassical diffusive dynamics of a trapped polariton gas we determined the values of the trapping potential strength and laser pump power, for which the room temperature polariton superfluidity can be observed.

II. SUPERFLUID PHASE TRANSITION IN A POLARITON GAS

A homogenous two-dimensional Bose gas can only transits to a Bose condensed state, in which the occupation of the lowest energetic level is macroscopically large, at zero temperature since the temperature fluctuations destroy the long-range order in the system. In contrast, an ideal trapped quantum Bose gas undergoes the BEC transition at a finite temperature $T_0 = \hbar/(\pi k_B)^{-1}\sqrt{6kN/m}$ where $k$ is the effective trapping potential strength, $N$ is the total number of particles, and $m$ is the particle mass [25]. At low temperatures $T \ll T_0$ virtually all particles occupy the lowest energetic level that is, a condensate is formed [26]. However, for a Bose gas of interacting particles, the condensate can be significantly depleted due to mutual particle scattering: the condensate fraction for a system of $N \gg 1$ particles falls as $N_c/N \propto \log(N)/N^{1/2}$ [27]. In effect, despite $T_0$ for an ideal gas of polaritons can be estimated as a few hundred K because of a small mass $m$, the actual BEC transition temperature of a trapped polariton gas lies in the helium temperature range [24]. Nevertheless, a Bose system with interactions can transit to a superfluid state even if the condensate fraction is small or absent et all. The famous example is superfluid $^4$He (He-II), in which the occupation of the lowest energetic level is only $\sim 10\%$, and $\sim 90\%$ of particles are “pushed” out of the condensate to higher energetic levels due to strong interatomic interactions [28]. The relation between the Bose condensation and superfluidity is delicate and is discussed in a number of publications (e.g., [26, 29, 30]). The superfluid transition in a two-dimensional system with interactions is a Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) phase transition, which is related to pairing of quantized vortices [31]. The KT transition temperature for a gas of interacting polaritons is given by the following equation [32]

$$T_c = \frac{2\pi \hbar^2 n}{m \log\log(1/nr_0^2)}$$

where $n$ is the gas density and $r_0$ is the small-scale cutoff length. The dependence [1] for polaritons in a cavity with embedded molybdenum disulfide is shown in Fig. 1. It is seen in Fig. 1 that a polariton gas can undergo a superfluid transition at the room temperature $T = 300$ K if the gas density is high enough, $n > n_c \approx 4.2 \times 10^{12}$ m$^{-2}$. At $n \sim n_c$, the thermal wavelength of polaritons $\lambda_T = 2^{1/2}\pi \hbar/(mk_BT)^{1/2} \approx 1.3 \, \mu$m is about five times larger than the inter-particle distance $r_s = (\pi n)^{-1/2} \approx 0.28 \, \mu$m and thus, quantum collective effects are important at densities above $n_c$. However, the gas parameter is small, $n_c a_B^2 \sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$, that justifies
the application of the weakly interacting gas model \[2\], see the next sections.

In what follows we identify the range of experimental parameters at which the KT superfluid transition can be observed in a trapped polariton gas in microcavities with an embedded transition-metal dichalcogenide monolayer. In our consideration, we focus on microcavities with an embedded molybdenum disulfide monolayer that is, on a system where room-temperature polaritons have recently been observed \[21\].

III. SIMULATION METHOD

The dynamics of a normal polariton gas at the scales much larger than the polariton mean free path can be described by the driven diffusion equation

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\mu \text{div}(Fn) + D\Delta n - \Gamma n + P_n \tag{2}
\]

where \(n \equiv n(r, t)\) is the two-dimensional density of the polariton gas, which depends on the spatial coordinate \(r = (x, y)\) in the microcavity plane and time \(t\), \(\mu\) is the polariton mobility, \(F \equiv F(r, t)\) is the external force acting on polaritons, \(D\) is the diffusivity, \(\Gamma\) is the width of the polariton resonance and \(P_n\) is the source (see Ref. \[1\] for extensive review). In what follows, we consider the dynamics of the polariton gas in an in-plane trapping potential \(U_{\text{trap}}(r) = \frac{1}{2}kr^2\), where \(k\) is the effective strength of the trap and \(r = |r|\).

The main mechanisms of the diffusive collective motion of polaritons include their mutual interactions, scattering on phonons and scottering on excitonic and photonic defects in the microcavity \[33\]. (We consider an undoped cavity.) In our simulations, we take into account the density-dependent polariton interactions in the mean-field approximation where the effective repulsive interaction potential is \(U_{pp}(r, t) = gn(r, t)\), which describes exactly the same interactions that lead to the blue shift of the polariton excitation spectrum in a superfluid state \[1\]. Here, \(g = 6E_Ba_B^2|X_k|^4\) is the effective polariton-polariton interaction strength \[1\], with \(E_B\) and \(a_B\) to be the respective binding energy and Bohr radius of the excitons, while \(X_k\) is the Hopfield coefficient that describes the excitonic fraction in the polariton wave function.

In our approach, the driven-diffusive dynamics of the polariton gas is captured via a quasiclassic stochastic differential equation for the center-of-mass coordinate \(r(t)\) of the polariton wave packets,

\[
dr(t) = \mu \mathbf{F}(r(t), t)dt + \sqrt{2D}d\mathbf{W}(t). \tag{3}
\]

The first term in Eq. (3) describes the effect of the force \(\mathbf{F}(r, t)\) on the polariton diffusion and the second term is the Brownian contribution with \(d\mathbf{W}(t)\) to be the differential of a Wiener process with unit variance. The ensemble-averaged distribution of “tracer” particles (or quasiparticles) that obey Eq. (3) reproduces the driven-diffusive dynamics \[2\] \[34\]. The advantage of the model (3) is that, in contrast to Eq. (2), the stochastic equation describes the fluctuations of the particle density. The model (3) is off lattice and is computationally effective for systems with a large number of particles. The stochastic model (3) was applied for the description of diffusive properties of quantum gases (see Ref. \[1\] as well as for nanoparticle diffusion in fluids \[34\].

We numerically integrated Eq. (3) by using the Euler method. The force acting on polaritons was set equal to \(\mathbf{F} = -\nabla U\) where \(U = U_{\text{trap}} + U_{pp}\). The finite-size polariton source at the pumping laser light spot was modeled by adding particles at each numerical time step \(\Delta t\) with the Gaussian spatial probability distribution centered at the spot center. The finite lifetime of polaritons was taken into account by randomly eliminating particles from the ensemble at each time step with the probability \(w = \Gamma \Delta t\).
IV. MODEL PARAMETERS

In our studies, we use the parameters relevant for the A-exciton polaritons in a cavity with an embedded molybdenum disulfide monolayer [21]. In our simulations, we focus on the polariton dynamics at the room temperature \( T = 300 \) K.

The effective polariton mass was calculated as

\[
m = \frac{2}{(m_{ex}^{-1} + m_{ph}^{-1})}. \tag{4}
\]

The exciton mass in MoS\(_2\) was taken equal to \( m_{ex} = m_e + m_h = 0.78m_0 \) where \( m_e = 0.35m_0 \) and \( m_h = 0.43m_0 \) are the electron and hole mass respectively (with \( m_0 \) to be the free electron mass) [33, 34]. The effective photon mass is \( m_{ph} = \pi \sqrt{\epsilon_0 c L_C} \), where the cavity length \( L_C \) was found from the condition of the resonance of the photonic and excitonic states, \( E_{ph} = \pi c \hbar \sqrt{\epsilon_0 L_C} \), where \( E_{ph} \) is the energy of the cavity photon resonance. The latter was taken equal to \( E_{ph} = 1.87 \) eV [21]. The dielectric constant of MoS\(_2\) is \( \epsilon = 4.3 \) [35].

The exciton diffusion coefficient was taken equal to \( D_{ex} = 14 \) cm\(^2\)/s [37]. This value is comparable with the exciton diffusion coefficient in semiconductor quantum wells [1]. The polariton diffusivity was calculated as

\[
D = |X|^{-1} \frac{m_{ex}}{m} D_{ex}. \tag{5}
\]

In what follows, we consider zero detuning between the photonic and excitonic resonances thus, we set \( X = 1/\sqrt{2} \). However, the detuning in the experiments with polaritons in a cavity with MoS\(_2\) monolayer can be varied in a wide range up to \( \sim 50 \) meV [21]. Thus, the diffusive properties of polaritons can be controlled by adjusting the detuning value.

The polariton mobility was calculated as follows [33, 38],

\[
\mu = \frac{\tau_{pol}}{m}. \tag{6}
\]

The respective momentum relaxation times for excitons and polaritons was estimated as \( \tau_{ex} = D_{ex} m_{ex}/k_B T \) and \( \tau_{pol} = |X|^{-1} \tau_{ex} \).

The polariton resonance width was estimated as

\[
\Gamma = |X|^2 \Gamma_{ex} + |C|^2 \Gamma_{ph}. \tag{7}
\]

where \( |C|^2 = 1 - |X|^2 \) is the photon Hopfield coefficient. According to Ref. [39], the radiative exciton lifetime \( \tau_{ex}^{(ph)} \equiv \Gamma_{ex}^{-1} \) in MoS\(_2\) monolayer increases from \( \tau_{ex}^{(ph)} = 0.23 \) ps at \( T \to 0 \) to \( \tau_{ex}^{(ph)} \approx 4 \) ps at \( T = 10 \) K and then, to 270 ps at the room temperature. Even larger radiative exciton life time of 850 ps was reported for MoS\(_2\) monolayer crystals at the room temperature [40]. On the other hand, large broadening of the excitonic resonance \( h\Gamma_{ex} = 30 \) meV (with the respective time scale of 22 fs) was reported in Ref. [21]. The respective short-time processes are probably related to non-radiative trapping of excitons.

| TABLE I. Simulations parameters for a cavity with embedded MoS\(_2\) layer |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parameter                        | Value               |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Exciton mass                     | \( m_{ex} \)        |
| Photon mass                      | \( m_{ph} \)        |
| Polariton mass                   | \( m \)             |
| Polariton momentum               | \( \tau_{pol} \)    |
| Polariton diffusion coefficient  | \( D \)             |
| Polariton interaction strength   | \( g \)             |
| Polariton mobility               | \( \mu \)           |
| Dielectric constant              | \( \epsilon \)      |
| Polariton life time              | \( \tau_{pol}^{(pol)} \) |
| Trapping potential strength      | \( k \)             |
| Efficiency of polariton generation | \( q \)          |
| Numerical unit of length         | \( \Delta x \)      |
| Numerical time step              | \( \Delta t \)      |

\[ m_0 = 0.78m_0, \quad 1.57 \times 10^{-5}m_0, \quad 3.14 \times 10^{-5}m_0, \quad 9.60 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}, \quad 139 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \quad 1.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ meV/\mu m}^2, \quad 3.36 \times 10^{22} \text{ s/kg}, \quad 4.3, \quad 84 \text{ ps}, \quad 15 - 300 \text{ eV/cm}^2, \quad 10^{-3}, \quad \text{1 \mu m}, \quad 272 \text{ fs}. \]
at impurities or hot carrier thermalization, in agreement with Ref. [39]. In our simulation, we set $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 270$ ps. The photon resonance width was taken equal to $\Gamma_{\text{ph}} = (50 \text{ ps})^{-1}$ [41]. The polariton life time was estimated from Eq. (7) as $\Gamma^{-1} = \tau_{\text{pol}} = 84$ ps, which is in agreement with the observations for semiconductor-based microcavities [41].

In the simulations, we varied the trapping potential strength from $k = 15 \text{ eV/cm}^2$ to $300 \text{ eV/cm}^2$ that lies in the experimentally relevant parameter range [24, 42]. The trapping potential can be formed by applying inhomogeneous stress [42], static electric or magnetic field, or laser radiation (see Ref. [43] and references therein). The polariton interaction constant was calculated as $g = 6E_Ba_B^2|X|^4 = 1.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ meV } \mu\text{m}^2$ given the exciton binding energy $E_B = 0.96$ eV and the exciton Bohr radius $a_B \approx 1 \text{ nm}$ [21, 40]. It is worth noting that, despite the exciton binding energy $E_B$ in transition metal dichalcogenides is significantly larger than that in quantum wells, the polariton interaction strength is of the same order in the both cases.

The polariton density at a point $r$ at the moment $t$ was calculated from the positions of polariton quasiparticles $r_i(t)$ (where the index $i$ labels the quasiparticles) as follows

$$n(r, t) = \sum_i f(|r_i(t) - r|)$$

with the weight function $f(r) = (2\pi r_{av}^2)^{-1}\exp(-r^2/2r_{av}^2)$ and the averaging length $r_{av} = 10 \mu\text{m}$.

Qualitative analysis of experimental data in Refs. [15, 18, 42, 44, 45] for quantum well structures shows that the efficiency of the exciton generation by a continuous wave (cw) light source is $q \sim 0.1\%$; in other words, only $1$ of $10^3$ incident photons creates a bound exciton, which is, in its turn, forms a polariton. A single-layer transition metal dichalcogenide monolayer can capture up to $10\%$ of incident photons [46, 47]. However, the efficiency of the polariton generation for cw pumping in a cavity with MoS$_2$ is not known; we suggest that the value is close to that obtained for the quantum well structures. Thus, in our model, the polariton injection rate, $P_n$, was calculated as

$$P_n = \frac{qP}{E_{\text{ph}}},$$

where $P$ is the pumping laser power. In the simulations, we consider a Gaussian laser spot profile with full width at half maximum (FWHM) of $30 \mu\text{m}$.

The simulations parameters for $T = 300$ K are summarized in Table I.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, we explore the case where the excitation laser spot is positioned at the center of the trap. The relaxation of the total number of polaritons in the system to its steady-state value is shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that the system reaches a steady state $\sim 400$ ps after the excitation is switched on.
The steady-state spatial distribution of polaritons in the trap for the trapping potential strength \( k = 50 \text{ eV/cm}^2 \) is shown in Fig. 3. It is seen that the characteristic polariton cloud size reaches \( \sim 200 \mu\text{m} \) that is, about an order larger than the excitation spot size 30 \( \mu\text{m} \). It is also much larger than the Thomas-Fermi size of a cloud at zero temperature \( a_{TF} = \sqrt{2n_{\text{max}}/k} \approx 1 - 10 \mu\text{m} \) for the maximum density at the center \( n_{\text{max}} \approx n_c \) \[24\]. The main reason for cloud spreading is thermal diffusion of polaritons towards the edges of the cloud from the excitation area. Thus, at room temperatures, thermal spreading is more pronounced than the effect of the interparticle interactions.

Figure 4 shows the maximum density of polaritons, \( n_{\text{max}} \), reached at the center of the cloud as a function of the excitation power \( P \). The data are shown for the trapping potential strength \( k = 50 \text{ eV/cm}^2 \) and temperature \( T = 300 \text{ K} \). It is seen that the maximum density \( n_{\text{max}} \) nearly linearly grows with the increase of the power \( P \). For the excitation power \( P = P_c \approx 600 \text{ mW} \), the maximum density of polaritons reaches the critical density \( n_c \approx 4.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^{-2} \). At the pumping power \( P > P_c \) the central part of a polariton system at room temperatures should demonstrate superfluid properties previously known for a low-temperature polariton superfluid in a semiconductor microcavity (see review \[1\]).

The wings of the spatial distribution always have a density lower than \( n_c \) thus, the superfluid “lake” at the cloud center is surrounded by a low-density gas of polaritons in a normal state.

We emphasize that, after a part of the polariton system transits to a superfluid state, quantum correlations in the polariton dynamics should be taken into account. However, if the superfluid density is small compared to the total density of the system, \( n_{\text{max}} - n_c < n_c \), the superfluid effects on the over-all large-scale density distribution in a steady state can be disregarded to the first approximation. In particular, the presence of a small superfluid fraction will not modify the value of the maximum density in the cloud and thus, the criterion of the superfluidity formation will not be changed. (We note that a few-percent density jump can occur during the superfluid transition, in a similarity with the normal-to-superfluid tran-
tion in He-II \cite{48}; in our case however, this jump is less than or comparable with a typical value of the thermal fluctuations of the density $\sim 4\%$ estimated from Fig. 3 and therefore, it can be disregarded.) We also disregard the dissipative dynamics at a very close vicinity of the superfluid transition where the superfluid component can appear as a fluctuation mode \cite{49}. Our goal is to pinpoint a domain in the parameter space where the room-temperature superfluidity of polaritons can be experimentally achieved.

To better understand the optimal conditions for observations of room-temperature polariton superfluidity, we studied the dependence of the maximum density $n_{\text{max}}$ on the trapping potential strength. The results of the numerical simulations are summarized in Fig. 5. It is seen that the density grows with the rise of the trapping potential strength. From the obtained results it follows that at experimentally realistic pumping power $P \sim 600 \text{ mW}$, the cloud can transit to a superfluid state at $T = 300 \text{ K}$ for the trapping strength $k \geq 50 \text{ eV/cm}^2$. For a larger power $P = 750 \text{ mW}$, the polariton density required for the room-temperature superfluidity is reached at even smaller trapping strengths $k \geq 15 \text{ eV/cm}^2$. On the other hand, for $P = 300 \text{ mW}$, the conditions for the room temperature polariton superfluidity are not satisfied even for large trapping strengths $k \sim 300 \text{ eV/cm}^2$.

To further investigate the trapped polariton gas dynamics, we also studied the effect of the spatial offset in the excitation spot position compared to the center of the parabolic trap. For this purpose, we numerically studied the polariton spatial distribution when the center of the laser spot is shifted along the $x$ axis on the distance $x_0 = 200 \mu \text{m}$ that is, larger than the excitation spot size.
of 30 μm. The obtained numerical results are shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 6a shows the two-dimensional polariton density distribution for \(k = 150\text{ eV/cm}^2\), and Fig. 6b shows its cross-section made along the \(x\) axis at \(y = 0\) for \(k = 150\text{ eV/cm}^2\) (filled squares) and for \(k = 50\text{ eV/cm}^2\) (unfilled triangles). It is seen that the polaritons diffuse towards the center of the trap \(r = 0\). It is also seen that the polariton density at the center of the trap increases with the rise of the trapping potential strength \(k\). However, for moderate strengths \(k \leq 150\text{ eV/cm}^2\), the polariton density at \(r = 0\) remains small compared to the maximum density at the excitation spot area. Specifically, the density at \(r = 0\) is \(\approx 19\%\) of the maximum density in the cloud for \(k = 150\text{ eV/cm}^2\) and it is only 10% for \(k = 50\text{ eV/cm}^2\).

The geometry with a shifted excitation spot might provide an additional opportunity for the experimental detection of room-temperature polariton superfluidity. One can expect a larger occupation of the lowest energetic state near the trap center \(r = 0\) for a superfluid gas compared to the case of a normal gas (these simulations). In other words, the superfluid polariton gas would “easier” flow towards the bottom of the trap that, in its turn, should lead to higher densities \(n(0)\). Thus, observation of “anomalously” high polariton population \(n(0)\) with the increase of the trapping strength \(k\) or the laser power \(P\) in experiments with a shifted laser spot can be a simple experimental test of the normal-to-superfluid transition in the polariton gas.

Finally, we provide an estimate of the cloud densities that facilitates the search of parameters suitable for the polariton superfluidity observation. As it follows from the above consideration, a key parameter that determines the feasibility of polariton superfluidity at room temperatures is the maximum polariton density at the center of the cloud \(n_{\text{max}}\). To estimate the maximum density \(n_{\text{max}}\), the following model can be utilized. In the case of small trapping potential strengths, the limiting factor for the cloud size is a finite polariton lifetime \(\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})}\). In this limit, the cloud size can be estimated as \(a = (D\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})})^{1/2}\). In the opposite case of strong trapping potentials, the cloud size is limited by thermal fluctuations, \(k_B T \sim \frac{1}{2}ka^2\), and thus, it can be estimated as \(a = (2k_B T/k)^{1/2}\). In the intermediate case, the cloud size can be obtained from the interpolation equation

\[
a = \frac{(D\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})})^{1/2}}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma}},
\]

where \(\gamma = kD\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})}/2k_B T\) is the dimensionless trapping potential strength. We note that for the parameters corresponding to an embedded MoS\(_2\) monolayer at \(T = 300\) K (Table I), one obtains \(\gamma \approx 1\) for \(k \approx 440\) eV/cm\(^2\). By approximating the steady-state cloud shape as an axially-symmetric profile \(n(r) = n_{\text{max}}/(1 + (r/a)^6)\) and the total number of polaritons in the system as \(N \approx P_n\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})}\), one has the following expression for the maximum polariton density,

\[
n_{\text{max}} = \frac{3\sqrt{3} P_n\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})}}{2\pi^2 a^2} \approx 0.26\frac{P_n\tau_{\text{life}}^{(\text{pol})}}{a^2},
\]

in which the parameter \(a\) is given in Eq. (10) and the source \(P_n\) is estimated in Eq. (9). The model Eq. (11) is in good agreement with the results of the simulations, as is seen in Fig. 5.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we studied the polariton gas dynamics in a parabolic trapping potential by using the quasiclassical stochastic equation for the polarion wave packets diffusion. We showed that in a cavity with an embedded molybdenum disulfide monolayer, room-temperature superfluidity in a trapped polariton cloud can be observed for experimentally realistic trapping strengths \(k \sim 50 – 300\) eV/cm\(^2\) and laser excitation power \(P \geq 600\) mW. The experiments with a shifted laser
FIG. 6. (Color online) Polariton diffusion towards the center of the trap in the case where the excitation spot is shifted from the trap center. The pumping power is \( P = 600 \) mW. The offset for the excitation spot center is \( x_0 = 200 \) \( \mu \)m in the positive direction of the \( x \) axis. (a) Two-dimensional polariton density distribution for \( k = 150 \) eV/cm\(^2\). (b) The density distribution calculated along the line \( y = 0 \) for \( k = 50 \) (un-filled triangles) and 150 eV/cm\(^2\) (filled squares). For the reference, the density distribution for \( k = 50 \) eV/cm\(^2\) with zero excitation spot offset, \( x_0 = 0 \), is shown by the dashed curve. Fluctuations of the polariton density (\( \sim 4\% \)) are not shown.

shows that a trapped polariton gas is superfluid at the central part of the polariton cloud if the laser pumping power exceeds the critical value

\[
P_c = \frac{3.8Dn_cE_{ph}}{1 + \gamma q}.
\]

In our studies, we are inspired by the recent observation of room temperature polaritons [21] as well as by the advances of low-temperature polariton physics [1, 2]. Superfluidity of excitons in transition metal dichalcogenide-based systems has recently been predicted for temperatures beyond the helium temperature range [50]. In addition to the fundamental importance of high-temperature quantum effects, polariton superfluidity might be a key to new optoelectronic technologies including those mentioned in Introduction. Room-temperature polariton superfluidity would open a new route towards the design of photonic integrated circuits suitable for the use in optical information processing systems with low energy consumption. Our hope is that the experimental search for room-temperature quantum fundamental effects in transition metal dichalcogenide-based heterostructures would facilitate the progress in this direction.
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