Technical Notes

Use of Saito technique to resect an anterior lumbar spine meningioma: Technical note
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal meningiomas represent 25–46% of all spinal intracanalicular tumors. They are considered the second most-common lesions, only surpassed by schwannomas. However, complete resection may prove challenging for anterior spinal meningiomas, particularly for those with dural invasion requiring duroplasty and reconstruction. Therefore, many surgeons frequently achieve only Simpson Grade II (i.e. coagulation of the dural implantation site) rather than Grade I resections (i.e. total removal) to avoid the wound/healing complications and pseudomeningoceles associated with persistent cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage.

In 2001, Saito et al. recommended gross total resection of posterior spinal meningiomas by separating the inner from the outer dural layers, removing the inner layer alone (i.e. that was infiltrated by tumor), and preserving the outer layer for closure (i.e. only if not clearly invaded).

ABSTRACT

Background: Complete (Simpson Grade I: total removal) resections for anterior spinal meningiomas are especially challenging. This is largely attributed to difficulty obtaining a water-tight dural repair where the tumor has infiltrated the dura requiring duroplasty, thus often resulting in just a Simpson Grade II resection (i.e. coagulation of the dural implantation site). Here, we present a 56-year-old female who underwent resection of a ventral lumbar meningioma utilizing the Saito technique, that effectively separated the dura into two layers, removing just the inner layer but leaving the outer layer intact for direct dural repair.

Methods: A 56-year-old female underwent a L1–L2 laminectomy. The anterior intradural resection of tumor was achieved with the Saito technique; this required cutting circumferentially around the tumor insertion site, and removing only the inner layer.

Results: Postoperatively, the patient did well without tumor recurrence over 8 years. The postoperative biopsy confirmed a World Health Organization Grade I meningothelial meningioma.

Conclusion: Saito's technique proved to be a safe and effective method for achieving gross total resection of an anterior lumbar meningioma.
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Here, we present a 56-year-old female with an anterior lumbar meningioma that was effectively surgically removed using Saito’s technique.

CASE ILLUSTRATION

A 56-year-old female was diagnosed on an enhanced MR with an anterior L1–L3 intradural mass. She had complained of lumbar radiculopathy for the past 3 years and was neurologically intact [Figure 1].

Technique

The patient underwent a routine L1–L2 laminectomy. Under the microscope, utilizing a bipolar and micro-scissors, the tumor was resected in a piecemeal fashion; then, the inner portion of the dura was resected leaving the outer dura for closure [Figure 2]. The inner dural layer was resected and sent for biopsy, leaving the outer layer intact. The biopsy and final pathology confirmed a World Health Organization Grade I meningothelial meningioma that partly infiltrated the inner layer of the dura mater (i.e. not the outer layer); the Ki67 labeling index was 5% [Figure 3]. The posterior dural sac incision was routinely closed and supplemented with a fat graft with DuraSeal® (Integra Life Sciences, Plainsboro, NJ). The patient sustained no postoperative complications and was asymptomatic with no evidence of recurrence 8 years later [Figure 3].

DISCUSSION

In 2001, Saito et al. published a novel technique to achieve total resection of spinal meningiomas by excising only the inner layer of dura involved, while preserving the outer layer for dural closure.[10] The primary objective of meningioma surgery is to achieve a Simpson I total resection and, therefore, reduce the risk of recurrence. However, such total resections, particularly for anteriorly placed spinal lesions, are often not routinely performed due to the risk of incurring postoperative CSF leaks [Table 1].[11] Therefore, many “complete resections” are actually Simpson Grade II partial removals (i.e. coagulation of the dural implantation site).[11] In this case of an anterior lumbar meningioma, a Simpson Grade I removal was achieved without tumor recurrence for the subsequent 8 years.

Higher tumor recurrence rates for Grade II Simpson resections

Several studies emphasized that Simpson Grade II resections for ventrally located spinal meningiomas resulted in higher tumor recurrence rates. Nakamura et al.[7] showed that six out of 17 ventral meningiomas (35.3%) recurred after Simpson Grade II removal. The most important findings of this study were that there was no tumor recurrence in any of 43 patients treated by Simpson Grade I resection and six (32%) of 19 patients treated by Simpson Grade II resection developed recurrence between 6 and 21 years (mean: 12.2 years) after the operation. Similarly, Postalci et al.,[8] in a study with 46 spinal meningiomas, found that five out of seven (71%) ventral meningiomas recurred after resections [Table 1].

Figure 1: Preoperative contrast-enhanced sagittal (a) and axial (b) lumbar spine MRI showing an intradural and extramedullary enhanced round mass at the L1–L2 disc level. The tumor originated in the ventral dura and occupied most of the spinal canal.

Figure 2: Schematic of the operative technique. (a) The figure shows a cross section of the lumbar spine at the tumor level. (b) Conventional laminectomy was performed to expose the relevant site. After lateral displacement of the cauda equina roots, the tumor was removed with microsurgical technique. (c) After tumor removal, the inner layer of the dura was cut around the tumor implantation site (arrows), dissected away from the outer layer with a # 6 Rhoton dissector, and removed. (d) Primary closure of the posterior dura. Preservation of outer layer of the anterior dura avoided cerebrospinal fluid leakage and pseudomeningocele.
Lower recurrence rates but higher morbidity with Simpson Grade I meningioma resections

In a retrospective review of 20 patients, Kim et al.[4] concluded that a Simpson Grade I removal was less frequently performed for ventral meningiomas lesions, and the recurrence rate was 5% over an average of 12.9 postoperative years (obviously Grade II original resections). When Barber et al.[1] analyzed 32 studies, involving 896 patients with meningiomas, those undergoing Simpson Grade I resections incurred a 14.3% incidence of new postoperative complications/new neurological deficits versus a 3.4% frequency for Grade II resections (3.4%). Of interest, however, there were no statistically significant differences in tumor recurrence rates over the average follow-up of 95.4 ± 53.7 months between the two techniques.

CONCLUSION

The Saito technique proved to be a safe and effective method for achieving gross total resection of an anterior-based lumbar spinal benign meningioma.

Table 1: Literature review on surgical series of ventral spinal meningiomas.

| Author and Year | Study design and Statistical analysis | n | Ventral meningiomas (%) | Simpson I resection | Simpson II resection | Partial resection n (%) | Permanent neurological complications n (%) | Recurrence |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|
| Roux et al., 1996 | Retrospective and Descriptive         | 54 | 21 (39%)                | 0                   | 17 (81%)            | 4 (19%)               | 1 (4.7%)                                 | 2 (9.5%)  |
| King et al., 1998 | Retrospective and Descriptive         | 78 | 30 (38%)                | 0                   | 29 (96%)            | 1 (3.3%)               | 2 (6.6%)                                 | 1 (3.3%)  |
| Sandalciglu et al., 2008 | Retrospective and Descriptive         | 131 | 38 (29%)                | 0                   | 36 (95%)            | 2 (5.2%)               | 2 (5.2%)                                 | 3 (7.9%)  |
| Boström et al., 2008 | Retrospective and Descriptive         | 61 | 12 (20%)                | 0                   | 11 (91.7%)          | 1 (8.3%)               | 0                                        | 1 (8.3%)  |
| Postalci et al., 2011 | Retrospective and descriptive         | 46 | 7 (15%)                 | 0                   | 1 (14%)             | 6 (85.7%)              | 0                                        | 5 (71.4%) |
| Nakamura et al., 2012 | Retrospective and descriptive         | 68 | 38 (55%)                | 19 (50%)            | 17 (45%)            | 2 (5.2%)               | N/A                                      | 6 (15.8%) |
| Kim et al., 2015 | Retrospective and descriptive         | 58 | 30 (52%)                | 2 (6.6%)            | 15 (50%)            | 13 (43.3%)             | 2 (6.6%)                                 | 9 (30%)   |

Figure 3: Postoperative (8-year follow-up) contrast-enhanced lumbar spine MRI. (a) T2-weighted sagittal image showing complete resection of the meningioma. (b) Postcontrast fat-saturated T1 sagittal image. No dural tail was seen around the tumor implantation site. (c) T2-weighted axial image showing complete resection of the meningioma. (d) Postcontrast fat saturated T1 axial image at L1–L2 disc level. Note the tenuous dural enhancement at the tumor implantation site following the resection of the inner dural layer. (e and f) Photomicrographs of hematoxylin-eosin stained tissue (original magnification x10). (e) Meningothelial meningioma. (f) This section shows the dural attachment of the meningioma (T) resected together with the inner layer of the dura (D). Note the tumor invasion only into the innermost part of this layer (black arrowhead), with no signs of compromising the outer portion of the inner layer.
Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Barber SM, Konakondla S, Nakhla J, Fridley JS, Xia J, Oyelese AA, et al. Oncologic benefits of dural resection in spinal meningiomas: A meta-analysis of Simpson grades and recurrence rates. J Neurosurg Spine 2020;32:441-51.
2. Boström A, Bürgel U, Reinacher P, Krings T, Rohde V, Gilsbach JM, et al. A less invasive surgical concept for the resection of spinal meningiomas. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2008;150:551-6.
3. Gezen F, Kahraman S, Çanakci Z, Bedük A. Review of 36 cases of spinal cord meningioma. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2000;25:727-31.
4. Kim CH, Chung CK, Lee SH, Jahng TA, Hyun SJ, Kim KJ, et al. Long-term recurrence rates after the removal of spinal meningiomas in relation to Simpson grades. Eur Spine J 2016;25:4025-32.
5. King AT, Sharr MM, Gullan RW, Bartlett JR. Spinal meningiomas: A 20-year review. Br J Neurosurg 1998;12:521-6.
6. Klekamp J, Samii M. Surgical Results of Spinal Meningiomas, in Modern Neurosurgery of Meningiomas and Pituitary Adenomas. Vienna: Springer; 1996. p. 77-81.
7. Nakamura M, Tsuji O, Fujiyoshi K, Hosogane N, Watanabe K, Tsuji T, et al. Long-term surgical outcomes of spinal meningiomas. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2012;37:617-23.
8. Postalci L, Tugcu B, Gungor A, Guclu G. Spinal meningiomas: Recurrence in ventrally located individuals on long-term follow-up; a review of 46 operated cases. Turk Neurosurg 2011;21:449-53.
9. Roux FX, Nataf F, Pinaudeau M, Borne G, Devaux B, Meder JF. Intraspinal meningiomas: Review of 54 cases with discussion of poor prognosis factors and modern therapeutic management. Surg Neurol 1996;46:458-64.
10. Saito T, Arizono T, Maeda T, Terada K, Iwamoto Y. A novel technique for surgical resection of spinal meningioma. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2001;26:1805-8.
11. Sandalcioglu IE, Hunold A, Müller O, Bassiouni H, Stolke D, Asgari S. Spinal meningiomas: Critical review of 131 surgically treated patients. Eur Spine J 2008;17:1035-41.
12. Solero CL, Fornari M, Giombini S, Lasio G, Oliveri G, Cimino C, et al. Spinal meningiomas: Review of 174 operated cases. Neurosurgery 1989;25:153-60.

How to cite this article: Barrenechea IJ, Marquez LM, Pastor M. Use of Saito technique to resect an anterior lumbar spine meningioma: Technical note. Surg Neurol Int 2021;12:276.