Epidermal growth factor receptor status of histological sub-types of breast cancer
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Summary The histological breakdown of a consecutive series of 264 surgically resected malignant lesions of the breast was studied. Oestrogen and epidermal growth factor receptor status was quantified and presented along with size and lymph node status of the non-ductal lesions. Those non-ductal tumours containing EGF receptors have all recurred within two years of resection. Twenty-one percent of the lobular carcinomas contained EGF receptors compared to 34% of ductal carcinomas. EGF receptor status appeared to be associated with an increased risk of early recurrence and death whatever the histological sub-type of the breast cancer.

Many prognostic variables have been described for use in the study of breast cancer. Patient variables (such as age at menarche or parity) and clinical findings (such as palpable lymph nodes) give useful information.

Study of the resected specimen allows assessment of further variables. Size of the lesion is most accurately measured by the pathologist as is the involvement of lymph nodes with tumour. Various histological scoring systems have been described, the best known of which is the Bloom and Richardson grade (1957). It was originally described for invasive ductal tumours but is sometimes used for other histological variants.

The histological subtype of breast cancer is itself of prognostic value. The currently accepted histological breakdown of tumour types is shown in Table I. Better long-term survival has been attributed to tubular and mucinous lesions and worse to invasive lobular and inflammatory carcinomas (Dixon et al., 1985).

Receptors for steroid hormones such as oestrogen and progesterone are also prognostic indicators (Jensen et al., 1967; McGuire et al., 1968; Howell et al., 1984). Tumours rich in oestrogen receptor (ER) are more likely to respond to endocrine therapy at relapse as well as taking longer to relapse (Howell et al., 1984). They are also likely to recur in 'more favourable' sites such as bone rather than liver and brain (Stewart et al., 1980). The expression of progesterone receptor (PR) is said to indicate that the ER is functionally active and presence of both receptors gives the most favourable outcome (Horwitz & McGuire, 1978).

Receptors for other hormones have been identified but are less well studied. Prolactin (Shiu, 1979), growth hormone (Murphy et al., 1984) and insulin receptors (Benson & Holdaway, 1981) have all been described. Epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) on human breast cancer cells have also been described both for derived cell lines (Fitzpatrick et al., 1984a) and resected specimens (Sainsbury et al., 1985a, Fitzpatrick et al., 1984b). EGFR is a polypeptide similar to urogastrone. In common with transforming growth factor alpha (TGFα), it binds to the EGFR. Part of the EGFR is similar in structure to the erb-B oncoprotein (Downward et al., 1984). TGFα is secreted by breast cancer cells (Salomon et al., 1987) and an autocrine self-stimulatory role has been postulated (Dickson et al., 1986). There is an inverse relationship between EGFR and ER (Sainsbury et al., 1985b), and the presence of EGFR is associated with higher Bloom and Richardson grades (Sainsbury et al., 1985c) and worse patient survival (Sainsbury et al., 1987). EGFR is a better prognostic variable than oestrogen receptor.

A recent report examined the relationship of EGFR with histological type of breast cancer. Skoog et al. (1986) found that whilst 8 of 22 (28%) ductal carcinomas and 2 of 2 medullary carcinomas had EGFR none could be found on nine lobular or four colloid lesions. This series was retrospective using stored tissue and it is not clear to what extent the specimens used for analysis were selected. As such this may represent an atypical population.

Since EGFR status is a predictor of poor outcome we assessed whether there were differences in EGFR expression that could be related to differences in histological sub-type.

We present a series of 264 consecutive surgically resected breast cancer specimens with an analysis of histological type and EGFR and ER status.

Materials and methods

Tumours were collected fresh from theatre and processed immediately. Sections were taken for histological study and immuno-histochemistry and the remainder of the tissue used to assay ER and EGFR by radioligand binding methods. ER levels were determined by a dextran coated charcoal technique (Maynard & Griffiths, 1979) and EGFR by radioligand binding (Sainsbury et al., 1985a; Nicholson et al., 1988).

The cut off point used to determine EGFR positivity was 10 fmol mg⁻¹ membrane protein (Nicholson et al., 1988) and also 10 fmol mg⁻¹ cytosolic protein for ER positivity.

The χ² test was used to analyse the results with Yate's modification if numbers were <10 per cell or 100 in total.

Results

Twenty-five (9%) non-ductal carcinomas were identified in this series of 264 breast cancers. The breakdown of their histology is shown in Table II along with EGFR and ER status, size and lymph node status.

Fourteen (56%) were lobular carcinomas of which 3

| Table I Histological types of breast cancer. |
|---------------------------------------------|
| **Invasive**                                |
| Infiltrating ductal NOS (not otherwise specific) |
| Lobular invasive                            |
| Medullary                                   |
| Mucoind                                    |
| Tubular                                    |
| Adenocystic                                 |
| Papillary                                  |
| Carcinosarcoma                              |
| Mixed histologies                           |
| **Non-invasive**                            |
| Lobular carcinoma in situ                   |
| Ductal carcinoma in situ                    |
Table II Histology, EGF receptor and oestrogenreceptor status, size and lymph node status of 25 non-ductal breast cancers.

|        | EGFr | ER | Size (cm) | Lymph node status |
|--------|------|----|-----------|-------------------|
| Lobular n=14 |      |    |           |                   |
|        | +    | -  | 3.3       |                   |
|        | +    | -  | 2.0       | 2/3               |
|        | +    | -  | 4.5       |                   |
| (21% EGFr +ve) |  |    |           |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 3.5       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 2.0       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 5.0       | 1/2               |
|        | -    | +  | 9.0       | 6/7               |
|        | -    | +  | 2.5       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 3.0       | 1/5               |
|        | -    | +  | 1.4       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 8.0       | 1/1               |
|        | -    | +  | 0.7       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 4.0       | 6/6               |
|        | -    | +  | 2.5       | 6/6               |
| Mucoid n=3 |      |    |           |                   |
|        | +    | -  | 4.1       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 5.1       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 2.5       | 1/16              |
| Tubular n=3 |      |    |           |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 2.4       |                   |
|        | -    | +  | 1.8       | 1/5               |
|        | -    | +  | 1.5       |                   |
| Cystosarcoma phyllodes |  |    | 14.0      |                   |
|        | +    |    |           |                   |
|        | +    |    | 10.5      |                   |
| Clear cell |      |    |           |                   |
|        | -    |    | 2.5       |                   |
| Carcinoid |      |    | 1.7       | 3/3               |
| Fibrosarcoma |      |    | 3.5       |                   |
| n=25 |  | | 5 EGFr +ve | 12 ER +ve |

Table III Relationship of EGF receptor and oestrogen receptor for ductal and lobular cancers.

|               | EGFr |   |   |
|---------------|------|---|---|
|               | Positive | Negative |   |
| Ductal        |         |           |   |
| ER positive   | 10     | 84        |   |
| negative      | 71     | 74        | 239 |
| \(\chi^2=35.7; P<0.001\) | |
| Lobular       |         |           |   |
| ER positive   | 0      | 6         |   |
| negative      | 3      | 5         | 14  |
| Histology     |         |           |   |
| Ductal        | 81     | 158       |   |
| Lobular       | 3      | 11        | 253 |
| \(\chi^2=0.44; P=0.5\) | |

(21%) had EGFr (all ER negative), 6 (43%) were ER positive (and EGFr negative) and the remaining 5 were negative for both receptors. All three tubular lesions were ER positive and EGFr negative. The cystosarcoma phyllodes lesions had undergone sarcomatous change – one was EGFr+, ER– and the other ER+, EGFr–.

The previously reported finding of an inverse relationship for the ductal lesions is shown in Table III along with the \(\chi^2\) analysis for lobular lesions. Thirty-four percent of these lesions contained EGF receptors.

All the patients in the non-ductal group of tumours with detectable EGFr have experienced recurrence within 2 years of excision.

Discussion

The association of a worse outlook for patients with cancers containing EGF has been found for tumours of breast (Sainsbury et al., 1987), bladder (Neal et al., 1985) and stomach (Tahara et al., 1986). Only in the case of the uterus is there a report of a better outcome (Hofman et al., 1984). Although the highest expression of EGF appears to be in squamous carcinomas there are reports showing that EGFr are found in approximately 35% of breast tumours. Several studies have shown the inverse relationship between EGFr and ER (Sainsbury et al., 1985b; Peres et al., 1984; Battaglia et al., 1988).

Increased EGFr expression is associated with enhancement of tumour growth when cell lines are implanted into nude mice (Films et al., 1987). This enhanced growth is seen even if nude mice are inoculated with EGFr positive cell lines that do not secrete excess EGF or TGF\(\alpha\) suggesting that increased numbers of EGFr are sensitive to endogenous, background, growth factors. Some tumour cell lines do secrete TGF\(\alpha\)s so there may be a mixture of autocrine and paracrine stimulation. It has been postulated that the stroma in which the epithelial cells sit may be releasing growth factors. T47D cells secrete a PDGF-like substance which may be responsible for the intense schirrous reaction seen round some lesions (Rozengurt et al., 1985).

EGFr expression is related to markers of poor differentiation and there is a correlation with higher Bloom and Richardson scores for ductal carcinomas (Sainsbury et al., 1985c).

Overexpression of other oncogenes is also related to poor prognosis – there are recent reports of the neu oncogene being overexpressed in tumours which relapsed early (Slamon et al., 1987). There are preliminary data (Wright, unpublished) showing that tumours staining positively for neu are associated with early recurrence and death. This finding was independent of EGFr status and the combination of neu positivity with EGFr increased the prognostic power.

The histological sub-type of breast cancer allows the pathologist to provide some indication of prognosis. Tubular lesions, along with other tumours with special histological features were found in patients who survived long term whereas tumours with no special histological feature were found in cases which died early. Cribriform tumours were found in 13.4% of long term survivors but in no patient who died in under 10 years whilst tumours of no special feature were found in 27.7% of the long term survivors and in 83% of those who died early (Dixon et al., 1985).

Our data, combined with those of Skoog et al. (1986), suggest that the histologically high risk tumours are associated with positive EGFr. It appears that EGFr positivity in any histological subtype may be associated with poor prognosis. Furthermore, these EGFr positive lesions are more likely to recur early.
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