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Abstract

Acute kidney injury (AKI) occurs commonly in patients with advanced cirrhosis and negatively impacts pre- and post-transplant outcomes. Physiologic changes that occur in patients with decompensated cirrhosis with ascites, place these patients at high risk of AKI. The most common causes of AKI in cirrhosis include prerenal injury, acute tubular necrosis (ATN), and the hepatorenal syndrome (HRS), accounting for more than 80% of AKI in this population. Distinguishing between these causes is particularly important for prognostication and treatment. Treatment of Type 1 HRS with vasoconstrictors and albumin improves short term survival and renal function in some patients while awaiting liver transplantation. Patients with HRS who fail to respond to medical therapy or those with severe renal failure of other etiology may require renal replacement therapy. Simultaneous liver kidney transplant (SLK) is needed in many of these patients to improve their post-transplant outcomes. However, the criteria to select patients who would benefit from SLK transplantation are based on consensus and lack strong evidence to support them. In this regard, novel serum and/or urinary biomarkers such as neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, interleukins-6 and 18, kidney injury molecule-1, fatty acid binding protein, and endothelin-1 are emerging with a potential for accurately differentiating common causes of AKI. Prospective studies are needed on the use of these biomarkers to predict accurately renal function recovery after liver transplantation alone in order to optimize personalized use of SLK.
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Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI) occurs frequently in patients with end-stage liver disease and cirrhosis and portends a poor prognosis.¹,² Furthermore, mortality in cirrhosis has been shown to increase progressively in association with an increase in the severity of renal dysfunction.² AKI can be due to prerenal, intrinsic renal, and postrenal factors. Prerenal injury results from renal hypoperfusion without damage to the glomerulus or tubules. Left untreated, prerenal injury can progress to acute tubular necrosis (ATN), a type of intrinsic renal injury. Physiologic changes that occur in decompensated cirrhosis listed for orthotopic liver transplant (OLT) place these patients at high risk for AKI. Patients with cirrhosis are unique in that they can also develop hepatorenal syndrome (HRS), a type of prerenal injury that is only seen in patients with advanced liver disease.³ The increase in patients with renal dysfunction receiving transplants, combined with the potential negative effects of significant renal dysfunction on both pre- and post-transplant survival, emphasizes the importance of early identification and treatment of AKI in these patients. In this review we will analyze renal dysfunction in cirrhosis as well as its pertinence to transplant hepatology.

Prevalence of AKI in cirrhosis

The prevalence of renal dysfunction has been reported to vary from 14–50% in patients with cirrhosis. The prevalence is estimated to be approximately 50% among patients with cirrhosis and ascites and 20% of patients with advanced cirrhosis admitted to the hospital.⁴,⁵ The wide range in prevalence is likely due to different study populations and varying definitions of renal dysfunction. For example, in one retrospective study on 932 patients with cirrhosis admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), renal dysfunction as defined by serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL was reported in 14% of cases. Using the same definition, in a prospective study of 206 cirrhotics (100 with sepsis), the prevalence of renal dysfunction was reported at 17% and was higher among patients with sepsis (27% vs. 8%, p<0.0001).⁶ In another retrospective study, acute renal failure as defined by serum creatinine increase by >50% was reported in 23% of 82 decompensated Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) stage C cirrhosis patients.⁵ The prevalence of AKI as defined by increase in serum creatinine by >0.9 mg/dL was reported in 25% of patients, with 93 cirrhosis patients with baseline creatinine <1.4 mg/dL.⁷
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Definition of AKI

The use of a fixed serum creatinine threshold in patients with cirrhosis is problematic for multiple reasons. Muscle atrophy in cirrhosis decreases synthesis of creatinine. Renal tubular secretion of creatinine is increased in cirrhosis, and the increased volume of distribution seen in advanced cirrhosis dilutes serum creatinine measurement. Lastly, elevated bilirubin may interfere with serum creatinine assays, giving a falsely low value. These factors combine to result in an overestimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in cirrhotic patients.

An increase in serum creatinine as small as 0.3 mg/dL is meaningful and can impact patient survival and outcomes. Hence, AKI has largely replaced the terms acute renal failure (ARF), renal dysfunction, and renal insufficiency because it takes into account smaller but still clinically significant disturbances in renal function. Further, this allows for the stratification of patients into various stages of renal injury based on degree of creatinine elevation compared to baseline value. This is relevant because patient survival is not only impacted by the stage of renal dysfunction but also by the progression of renal dysfunction on follow-up. For example, in one prospective study on 192 hospitalized patients with cirrhosis, in-hospital mortality varied from 2% for AKI stage 1, 7% for stage 2, and up to 21% for stage 3. Furthermore, the mortality rates were 29% and 60% for stage 1 patients progressing to stage 2 and 3, respectively. Mortality increased to 19% for patients presenting in stage 2 and then progressing to stage 3 during the hospital stay. Patients with end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis had 60–71% in-hospital mortality.

The main problem with using the well validated AKI network classification system in cirrhosis is twofold. Firstly, the baseline serum creatinine may not be available from the previous week. Secondly, the urine output measurements in cirrhosis patients may be unreliable, as these patients may be oliguric despite maintaining normal GFR due to sodium retention. This takes into account smaller but still clinically significant disturbances in renal function. Further, this allows for the stratification of patients into various stages of renal injury based on degree of creatinine elevation compared to baseline value. This is relevant because patient survival is not only impacted by the stage of renal dysfunction but also by the progression of renal dysfunction on follow-up. For example, in one prospective study on 192 hospitalized patients with cirrhosis, in-hospital mortality varied from 2% for AKI stage 1, 7% for stage 2, and up to 21% for stage 3. Furthermore, the mortality rates were 29% and 60% for stage 1 patients progressing to stage 2 and 3, respectively. Mortality increased to 19% for patients presenting in stage 2 and then progressing to stage 3 during the hospital stay. Patients with end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis had 60–71% in-hospital mortality.

Table 1. Diagnostic criteria of renal dysfunction in cirrhosis

| Diagnosis                        | Definition                                      |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Acute kidney injury              | Rise in serum creatinine of ≥50% from baseline or a rise of serum creatinine by ≥0.3 mg/dL in <48 h |
| Chronic kidney disease           | GFR of <60 mL/min for >3 months calculated using MDRD6 formula. |
| Acute-on-chronic kidney disease  | Rise in serum creatinine of ≥50% from baseline or a rise of serum creatinine by ≥0.3 mg/dL in <48 h in a patient with cirrhosis whose GFR is <60 mL/min for >3 months calculated using MDRD6 formula. |

GFR, glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, modified diet in renal disease.

Based on this consensus document, AKI in cirrhosis is now defined as an increase in serum creatinine of ≥50% from baseline value within the last 3 months or ≥0.3 mg/dL (≥26.4 μmol/L) within 48 h. The updated criteria for AKI further classify AKI into three stages, with Stage 1 defined as an increase in serum creatinine ≥0.3 mg/dL or ≥1.5–2 fold from baseline; Stage 2 defined as an increase ≥2–3 fold from baseline; and Stage 3 defined as an increase ≥3 fold from baseline, serum creatinine ≥4.0 mg/dL with an acute increase ≥0.3 mg/dL, or initiation of renal replacement therapy. Using this definition, about 34% of 278 patients listed for OLT at our institution developed AKI during 1 year follow-up while waiting for LT. Further, AKI was associated with increase in waitlist mortality.

Pathophysiology of renal dysfunction in cirrhosis

Patients with cirrhosis develop portal hypertension with resultant splanchnic vasodilation and pooling of blood secondary to increased resistance to portal flow (Fig. 1). This is due to fixed resistance from hepatic fibrosis and dynamic resistance in splanchnic arteries due to a) vasodilators such as nitric oxide, carbon monoxide, and endogenous cannabinoids and b) vasodilation from inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6 induced by bacterial translocation from the gut. Pooling of blood in the splanchnic system leads to decreased effective circulatory blood volume in patients with cirrhosis. The compensatory increase in cardiac output via activation of the sympathetic nervous system by carotid baroreceptors maintains sufficient renal perfusion. However, with decompensation of cirrhosis and increasing severity of portal hypertension, the compensatory increase in cardiac output is inadequate to maintain circulatory blood volume and adequate renal perfusion. Further, the development of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy in up to 40–50% of patients with cirrhosis adds to this problem.

As the severity of liver disease progresses, decreased renal perfusion causes activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, resulting in sodium and water retention and extra-splanchnic vasoconstriction. This leads to ascites and decreased renal perfusion respectively, and explains the common observation in clinical practice of AKI in cirrhosis with ascites. In one study, presence of ascites was associated with a five-fold increase in risk of AKI.

In the setting of this systemic and renal vasoconstriction seen in advanced cirrhosis, prostaglandins secreted locally
within the kidneys are the last compensatory mechanism for maintaining renal blood flow.\textsuperscript{25} These physiologic changes combine to set the stage for development of renal insufficiency, either spontaneously with the worsening of liver disease or precipitated by events that further worsen this fragile physiology. Common precipitants of AKI in patients with cirrhosis are use of diuretics, gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, large volume paracentesis without albumin replacement, infections, and use of nephrotoxic drugs, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS).\textsuperscript{3,25}

**Fig. 1. The pathophysiology of renal dysfunction in decompensated cirrhosis.** See text for further discussion. SNS, sympathetic nervous system; RAAS, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System; ADH, antidiuretic hormone.

**Fig. 2. Management approach and algorithm for acute kidney injury in patients with cirrhosis.** ESLD, end-stage liver disease; AKI, acute kidney injury; USG, ultrasonogram; LVP, large volume paracentesis; HRS, hepatorenal syndrome; TIPS, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; RRT, renal replacement therapy; LTA, liver transplant alone; SLK, simultaneous liver kidney.

**Causes of AKI in cirrhosis**

AKI can occur due to prerenal, intrarenal, or postrenal causes.\textsuperscript{3,25} Of these, prerenal etiology is the most common cause of AKI among patients with cirrhosis followed by ATN, while the postrenal etiology due to urinary tract obstruction is extremely rare (Fig. 2). In a retrospective study of 423 patients with cirrhosis admitted to the hospital with a diagnosis of AKI, prerenal and ATN accounted for over 80% of cases (49% prerenal; 35% ATN).\textsuperscript{27} Postrenal injury accounted for...
Volume nonresponsive pre-renal AKI

The first step after AKI is diagnosed is volume expansion (with crystalloids or intravenous albumin) and discontinuation of precipitating medications (such as diuretics, lactulose, and NSAIDs). If renal function does not normalize or improve with this intervention, HRS is an important differential diagnosis to consider as the cause for AKI. HRS is a functional form of renal failure without any major structural or histological changes in the kidneys that is characterized by intense renal vasoconstriction (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Since HRS has been recognized, there remains an unmet need for an accurate biomarker for diagnosis of HRS. It is important to differentiate HRS from intrarenal causes such as ATN, because management and prognosis differ. In the absence of renal biopsy, the diagnosis of HRS remains difficult and is essentially a diagnosis of exclusion (Table 2). Due to concurrent coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia in advanced cirrhosis, renal biopsy carries a high risk of internal bleeding and is rarely performed. In one study on 55 patients with cirrhosis undergoing transjugular renal biopsy, eight patients developed internal bleeding, and four had perinephric hematoma. In another study on 44 patients undergoing percutaneous renal biopsy, bleeding complications occurred in about one-third of the patients. In another study of 20 patients (five transjugular and 15 percutaneous biopsies), bleeding complication occurred in two patients. In our prospective study on 278 patients with cirrhosis listed for liver transplantation, none of the 109 patients who developed AKI underwent renal biopsy for evaluation of AKI.

Approximately 75% of all HRS cases are type 1, which are rapidly occurring with an increase in serum creatinine to over

Figure 3. Renal biopsy findings in hepatorenal syndrome. Renal biopsy findings in hepatorenal syndrome often reveal acute tubular injury with no glomerular abnormalities, as shown here. Here we see findings of acute tubular injury consisting of thinning of proximal tubular epithelial cells with widening of tubular lumens (circled area). Note the two normal glomeruli (hematoxylin and eosin stain, 200×).

Figure 4. Renal biopsy findings in acute tubular necrosis. In contrast, the histologic findings in acute tubular necrosis show necrotic and sloughed off tubule epithelial cells filling tubular lumens (arrow) (hematoxylin and eosin stain, 400×).
Postrenal injury

As stated earlier, postrenal injury is a rare cause of AKI in cirrhosis. This etiology can easily be excluded using renal ultrasound or CT scan.

Determining the cause of AKI

As AKI significantly impacts the outcome of patients with cirrhosis, it is important to prevent the development of AKI if possible and to identify the cause early enough so that appropriate treatment measures can be instituted. A thorough history and careful physical examination are important to evaluate for potentially reversible and/or treatable causes for AKI, such as hypovolemia; hypotension; medications such as diuretics, lactulose, and NSAIDs; skin findings of cellulitis or line infection; and ongoing GI losses, such as nausea, vomiting or diarrhea. The threshold should be low for underlying infection as a cause of AKI, particularly SBP.

Appropriate work up for infections should be performed by obtaining pan-cultures and chest radiography. Urinalysis and urine microscopy should be obtained to examine for urine sediment and detect findings suggestive of intrarenal injury such as tubular casts of ATN, microscopic hematuria, and RBC casts in glomerulonephritis or proteinuria. Although fractional secretion of sodium (FENa) is often utilized to help distinguish between prerenal injury and ATN in patients without cirrhosis, it is of limited utility in patients with cirrhosis. A study of 44 patients with biopsy proven ATN and cirrhosis by Wadye et al. revealed that 92% had a FENa <1%. FENa may also be less than 1% in ATN from contrast induced nephropathy and sepsis. Renal ultrasound is indicated to rule out postrenal injury and obstructive disorder of the urinary tract (Fig. 2).

Volume expansion with approximately 1.5 liters of normal saline or 1 gm/kg of albumin (maximum of 100 gm/d) should be administered as soon as AKI is identified in a cirrhosis patient. Rapid reversal of AKI over about 48 hours with bland urine sediment is suggestive of prerenal AKI. However, if the initial measures mentioned above do not reverse the AKI, it is important to determine whether the patient has HRS or ATN. Making this distinction is important for prognostication and determining which patients benefit from liver transplantation alone versus combined liver kidney transplant. Routine clinical examination and urinalysis are unable to make this distinction in about 40–50% of cases. Renal biopsy, the gold standard for differentiating these two causes of AKI, is a high risk procedure and is rarely performed due to the risk of bleeding complications, as previously discussed. The diagnosis of HRS, therefore, mainly remains one of exclusion based on the recommended criteria (Table 2).

Data have emerged regarding the accuracy of urinary and/or serum biomarkers, such as neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), interleukin-18 (IL-18), kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1), and liver-type fatty acid binding protein (L-FABP), to distinguish ATN from HRS. These biomarkers are promising and may prove helpful in distinguishing ATN from HRS type I, as they are more elevated in AKI due to ATN than HRS or prerenal causes of AKI.

A prospective cohort study of 241 patients with cirrhosis examining the use of urinary NGAL as a marker for tubular injury found that urinary NGAL levels were markedly higher in patients with ATN than those patients with prerenal azotemia, chronic kidney disease, and HRS. Patients with HRS had

Volume nonresponsive intrinsic AKI: ATN

The most common cause for intrarenal AKI in cirrhosis is ATN. This occurs commonly either as a complication of sepsis or due to unrecognized and untreated pre-renal injury. In a large retrospective report, the cause of ATN was attributed to sepsis in 61% of cases, hypovolemia in 36% of cases, and nephrotoxic drugs (aminoglycosides) in 2% of cases. Other less common causes of intrarenal injury include membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis with or without cryoglobulinemia associated with hepatitis C, tubular damage due to bile cast nephropathy from high conjugated bilirubin excreted through the glomeruli, and acute interstitial nephritis due to medications, such as antibiotics, NSAIDs, and proton pump inhibitors. When the urine examination shows a high suspicion for glomerular etiology with findings of red blood cells (RBCs) and/or RBC casts, renal biopsy is often performed for diagnosing the exact etiology and treating with specific drugs.
intermediate urinary NGAL levels that were significantly higher than in the group of patients with prerenal AKI and significantly lower than the group of patients with ATN. In another prospective study of 168 patients with cirrhosis and severe sepsis who developed acute renal failure, patients with ATN had significantly higher levels of urinary IL-18 compared to patients without ATN, and IL-18 was found to be an independent predictor of mortality in these patients. Another prospective cohort study of 188 patients with cirrhosis and AKI examined the use of NGAL, IL-18, KIM-1, L-FABP, and urinary albumin to distinguish structural from functional causes of AKI in this population. This study found that the levels of these urinary biomarkers were significantly elevated in patients with ATN compared to patients without ATN. When the biomarkers were combined, the relative risk of being diagnosed with ATN increased in a stepwise fashion for every additional biomarker that was positive. These biomarkers are helpful at distinguishing ATN from non-ATN causes of renal failure. However, there remains an unmet need for an accurate biomarker for the diagnosis of HRS. One study found that the levels of endothelin-1 (ET-1), a molecule secreted by vascular endothelial cells, were accurate in diagnosing HRS as etiology of renal dysfunction among patients receiving liver transplantation. Cystatin C was also found to be an independent predictor of HRS in patients with cirrhosis and ascites with normal renal function, which could prove useful for identifying patients at risk for HRS.3,26

Prevention

Since renal function impacts outcomes both before and after transplantation, prevention of AKI is crucial while managing patients with cirrhosis. Physicians caring for patients with cirrhosis should always advise patients to maintain adequate hydration and avoid nephrotoxic medications. Specifically, NSAIDS, aminoglycoside antibiotics, and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors should be avoided in these patients if at all possible. Diuretics should be used judiciously, and the doses should be optimized with careful monitoring of renal function. Patients needing paracentesis should have albumin replacement if more than 5 L are removed in order to avoid postparacentesis hypovolemia and precipitation of AKI. Contrast imaging should be performed only when needed, such as to confirm a suspicious lesion on ultrasound and to exclude hepatocellular carcinoma. High-risk patients requiring contrasted studies should have measures instituted to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy. Gadolinium containing MRI contrast agents should be avoided due to the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis among patients with cirrhosis with renal dysfunction. Cirrhosis is an immune compromised state, and these patients are at increased risk of infection. Therefore, early evaluation and treatment of infection is imperative for patients with cirrhosis who develop an AKI. Patients with GI bleeding remain at risk for infections, especially SBP. Hence, third-generation cephalosporins have been shown to improve survival in this population and should be used prophylactically to prevent infections. Patients with SBP, especially those with CTP stage B or C cirrhosis, should receive albumin infusion of 1.5 gm/kg body weight on day 1 and 1 gm/kg on day 3, to reduce the risk of HRS (33% vs. 10%), along with antibiotic administration. This practice has been shown to improve survival and reduce in-hospital mortality compared to patients receiving placebo (29% vs. 10%, p=0.01). Recently, data have emerged that albumin administration is beneficial in improving survival and prevention of AKI among patients with cirrhosis and infections other than SBP. Patients with an established episode of SBP are at risk of recurrence of another episode, with a rate as high as 70% over 1 year. These patients should receive secondary prophylaxis with antibiotics for life or until liver transplantation. Primary anti-biotic prophylaxis should also be considered for patients with severe liver disease and ascitic fluid protein lower than 15 g/L, as these patients are at increased risk of SBP. One placebo-controlled randomized trial showed a reduction in the incidence of HRS (28% vs. 41%) and an improvement in 3 month survival (94% vs. 62%) in this population when compared to placebo. In this regard, use of prophylactic antibiotics may be considered for patients with a baseline Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score above 20. In a case controlled retrospective study, development of SBP was shown to be linearly associated with the baseline MELD score within 1 month prior to the onset of this infection. The risk was much increased with a baseline MELD score above 20.

Management

General management of AKI in cirrhosis involves a thorough review of the medication list and withdrawal of offending medications, volume expansion in patients with suspected hypovolemia, and prompt recognition and treatment of bacterial infection. Prerenal AKI is primarily managed by volume expansion and withholding offending medications, specifically diuretics and lactulose (in the setting of severe diarrhea). In patients with hypoalbuminemia, albumin is used for volume expansion at a dose of 1 g/kg body weight per day to a maximum of 100 g albumin/day. While diuretics are withheld, symptomatic ascites may be managed with large volume paracentesis with albumin supplementation. Unrecognized AKI, severe hypovolemia, and sepsis may result in intrarenal injury due to ATN. Patients with intrarenal injury may require hemodialysis for volume overload, hyperkalemia, symptomatic uremia, or metabolic acidosis. For patients with intrinsic kidney injury secondary to hepatitis C related glomerulonephropathies, antiviral treatment may result in improved renal function.

Treatment of HRS

As alluded to earlier in this review, the diagnosis of HRS is essentially one of exclusion. In routine practice, patients are treated for HRS if a) the renal function does not resolve or improve with fluid replacement and holding medications such as diuretics and nephrotoxic medications, b) infections and sepsis are adequately treated or excluded, and c) urine sediment is normal and bland. Given that the renal dysfunction in HRS is a functional issue due to hemodynamic changes in cirrhosis, the definitive therapy for HRS is liver transplantation. The rationale for using vasoconstrictors for the treatment of HRS is reversal of splanchnic vasodilation and squeezing blood into the systemic circulation, thus increasing the effective arterial blood and renal blood flow. The improvement in renal perfusion results in decreased activation of renal vasoconstrictor systems and thus improved GFR. Terlipressin, a vasopressin analog that stimulates V1 receptors on vascular smooth muscle cells and causes both systemic and splanchnic vasoconstriction, has been used for the treatment of HRS. In a systematic review and
meta-analysis of eight randomized studies on 377 patients, terlipressin reduced all-cause mortality by 15%, with reduction in mortality due to HRS by 9%. The authors concluded that terlipressin has survival benefits up to 3 months but only when HRS is a cause of mortality.65 In another study on 18 HRS patients, the response rate was 67% and six non-responders were all patients with acute on chronic liver failure.59 In a meta-analysis examining the use of terlipressin for treatment of type 1 and 2 HRS, an overall improvement in short term mortality and renal function was seen in the group of patients who received terlipressin with or without albumin compared to no treatment or albumin alone.57 Continuous outpatient infusion of terlipressin has been shown to be an option as a bridge to liver transplantation.50

In one randomized controlled study involving 46 patients comparing norepinephrine and terlipressin (n=23 each), HRS was reversed in 74% cases in both groups. However, HRS recurred in 2/3 of patients.61 In a systematic review and meta-analysis of four randomized studies on 154 patients comparing norepinephrine and terlipressin, there was no difference on reversal of HRS, recurrence of HRS, and 30 day mortality. However, the odds of side effects were reduced by 64% with norepinephrine, 0.36 [0.15–0.83] compared to terlipressin.62 The major limitation of norepinephrine is the need for continuous infusion with intensive care monitoring.14 Midozolam is an oral alpha-1 adrenergic agonist that can be used for outpatients and for patients on the regular medical wards. Oral midodrine in combination with subcutaneous octreotide, a somatostatin analog, is successful in reversing HRS and improving short term mortality.63–65 Octreotide potentiates the effects of midodrine by decreasing secretion of glucagon, which plays a role in splanchnic vasodilation.66 In another study, 23 patients with HRS were randomized to receive midodrine and octreotide (n=12) or epinephrine (n=11). There were no differences in the efficacy, safety, and recurrence of HRS between these two drugs.67 In a randomized controlled study comparing midodrine and octreotide plus albumin to terlipressin infusion plus albumin, the rates of reversal of HRS were 70% and 29%, respectively, and there was no difference in 1 and 3 month survival between the two groups.68

All of these vasoconstrictors are given in combination with intravenous albumin, which expands plasma volume and binds vasodilatory compounds, resulting in reduced vasodilation and an increase in mean arterial pressure. The benefits of albumin were demonstrated by a nonrandomized prospective study that found a significant increase in rate of reversal of HRS (77% vs. 25%) and mean arterial pressure in the group of patients receiving terlipressin plus albumin compared to terlipressin alone.59 Based on this study, it is recommended to administer vasoconstrictors with albumin.67 The combined use of terlipressin and albumin improved survival in patients with type 1 HRS treated with TIPS.74,75 Furthermore, patients who undergo TIPS for refractory ascites often have improvement in renal function, although this improvement appears to be delayed.76 However, patients with advanced cirrhosis and AKI are often too sick to undergo the procedure, and TIPS carries a risk of worsened hepatic encephalopathy and bleeding. Until further evaluated with randomized controlled trials, TIPS should be considered an experimental therapy.

**Prognosis and relevance to liver transplantation**

The prognosis for patients with cirrhosis and AKI is very poor.1–3,5,3,32,33,39,46 AKI negatively impacts short- and long-term post-transplant survival.1–4,14,15,26,36,77 Also, increased duration of renal failure prior to transplant appears to be a negative predictor of post-transplant renal function.78 A study examining the effect of pretransplant renal function on survival found that an average pretransplant serum creatinine of 0.8 mg/dL was associated with a 5 year survival of 62%, whereas 5 year survival in patients with pretransplant serum creatinine of 2.7 mg/dL was 42%.77 Another study showed 5 year post-transplant survival of patients with HRS was moderately reduced compared to patients without HRS (60% vs. 68%).79 Medical treatment for HRS appears to improve post-transplant outcomes and should be initiated prior to transplant.80 In regards to etiology of renal dysfunction, a retrospective study of patients undergoing OLT showed ATN as a cause of AKI to be associated with worse survival at 1 and 5 years after liver transplantation compared to patients with HRS as a cause of AKI.61 Clearly, SLK transplantation would be needed to improve post-transplant outcomes in these select patients. As for predicting prognosis, urinary and serum biomarkers will likely prove to be valuable, as previously discussed. In critically ill patients with cirrhosis and
AKI, a simple prediction model, the MBRS score (a 4 point score calculated using mean arterial pressure, serum bilirubin, and the presence of respiratory failure and sepsis), has been found to be useful in predicting in-hospital mortality. A MBRS score of > 2 is associated with 72% in-hospital mortality and a score of 4 with 97% in-hospital mortality.\(^8\)

If SLK transplantation can improve the post-transplant survival, one strategy is to use SLK in all patients with pretransplant AKI or renal insufficiency. However, the median wait time of patients on renal transplant list is about 6–8 years due to shortage of donor kidneys. As of 2008, about 40,000 to 50,000 patients are active on the transplant list and waiting for renal transplantation at any given time.\(^8\) Another option would be to use liver transplant alone for all patients with subsequent renal transplantation for patients who fail to recover renal function. However, immediate post-transplant outcomes may be inferior in patients who have high mortality after liver transplant alone. In one study using the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) database, patients listed for SLK transplantation but receiving only liver alone had much higher mortality within the first 48 h after transplantation compared to patients receiving SLK transplantation (11.4% vs. 0.5%, \(p<0.0001\)).\(^4\) Further, patients receiving kidney after liver have worse outcomes compared to patients receiving SLK transplantation.\(^8\)

Therefore, there remains a need for accurate predictors and biomarkers for renal function recovery after liver transplantation alone. This would be helpful to better allocate donor organs and identify patients who would benefit from SLK the most. Many retrospective and national database studies have emerged with variables predictive of renal function recovery after liver transplantation alone.\(^7,8,4,6-8\) Based on these and many other studies, current recommendations for allocating SLK transplant among patients with cirrhosis who have renal insufficiency are: chronic kidney disease with estimated GFR (eGFR) > 30 mL/min, proteinuria > 3 g/d, > 30% glomerulosclerosis or interstitial fibrosis, and/or AKI with requirement of dialysis and/or sustained eGFR < 25 mL/min for > 6 weeks (Table 3).\(^8\) These criteria are based on consensus and lack strong evidence to support. For this reason, within the past decade, the consensus criteria have been revised three times with current criteria emerging in 2012. This is often due to the inability to differentiate ATN versus HRS as the cause of AKI. Patients with HRS should recover their renal function after liver transplantation alone. Patients with intrarenal causes, such as ATN, often do not recover renal function, and these patients would need SLK transplantation.\(^8\) Further, recovery of renal function in patients with HRS after liver transplantation occurs only in about 68–75% cases, possible due to prolonged duration of renal dysfunction prior to transplant and/or the presence of preexisting chronic kidney disease.\(^8,4\)

Since the MELD score was first used for liver transplantation listing in 2002, SLK transplantation has increased by over 300%.\(^8,9\) Given our current imperfect criteria for SLK allocation and inaccurate predictors of post-transplant renal function, there remains a need for serum and/or urinary biomarkers to distinguish ATN from HRS and to predict accurately renal function recovery after liver transplantation.\(^1,4\) Over the last decade or so, many serum and/or urine biomarkers of renal tubular injury have emerged, such as NGAL, KIM-1, IL-18, IL-6, FABP-2, and ET-1. These biomarkers have shown promise in making early diagnosis of AKI and predicting reversal of AKI in surgical and intensive care settings.\(^8\) However, data are limited on their use among patients with cirrhosis and receiving liver transplantation. In a recent study, these biomarkers have shown to be accurate in distinguishing ATN from prerenal etiology of AKI. However, there was quite a bit of overlap in distinguishing HRS from ATN.\(^1,4\) More prospective data are needed with well-designed studies to determine whether these biomarkers are able to accurately predict recovery of renal function after transplant. Making this determination will help to identify patients who would benefit from SLK most and more optimally utilize donor kidneys, which are already scarce.

Conclusions

In conclusion, AKI is a common occurrence in patients with advanced cirrhosis and is associated with high morbidity and mortality. Recognizing the common causes of AKI in cirrhosis along with early diagnosis and treatment is imperative for improving outcomes. Future research needs to be done to further elucidate the role of vasoconstrictors plus albumin in type 2 HRS and to examine the relationship between urinary biomarkers and transplant outcomes so that we may improve the allocation of donor organs to those who need them most.
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