Fiber-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy for Trace-Gas Sensing in the High-Concentration Gas Background With an Anti-Resonant Hollow Core Fiber
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In this article, with an anti-resonant hollow core fiber (ARHCF), fiber-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (FERS) for trace-gas sensing in a high-concentration gas background is demonstrated for the first time. The performance of the apparatus is verified by detecting trace-gas in the high concentration SF6 and gaseous impurities in the high concentration C2H6. With a 1.5 W laser source and 60 s exposure time, the limit of detection (LOD) of gases at tens of ppm levels is achieved, including carbonyl sulfide (COS), carbon tetrafluoride (CF4), carbon dioxide (CO2), carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH4), acetylene (C2H2), ethylene (C2H4), propyne (C3H4), propylene (C3H6), and propane (C3H8). Quantification of multi-gas with great accuracy exceeding 94% is also realized. It shows that the FERS can demonstrate the ability of multi-gas sensing with high selectivity, sensitivity, and accuracy.
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INTRODUCTION

Trace-gas sensing in a high-concentration gas background is important for environmental pollution [1], equipment fault diagnosis [2], chemical composition analysis [3], and gaseous energy detection [4]. For example, the natural gases extracted during the recovery and treatment of oilfield-associated gas contain acidic trace-gases such as hydrogen sulfide (H2S) and carbon dioxide (CO2), which will react with water and cause corrosion of natural gas transportation pipelines [5]. Sulfur hexafluoride [6] (SF6), a preferred insulating and arc-quenching medium in gas insulation equipment, decomposes and produces trace characteristic gases during partial discharge or overheating. By obtaining the type and concentrations of the decomposition gases, the equipment failure can be accurately diagnosed [7]. The content of impurities in the high-purity gas represents the purification of the standard gas [8]. Therefore, accurate monitoring of the types and concentrations of trace gases in a high-concentration gas background is necessary.

In some applications, the concentration of characteristic gas is very low and the composition is complex, which requires a highly sensitive and selective gas analysis method. At present, the existing gas analysis methods are mainly divided into chemical sensor methods [9], gas chromatography (GC) methods [10], and optical methods. The chemical sensor method has high sensitivity, rapid
response, and small volume, but there exist shortcomings of cross interference, poor long-term stability, and repeatability. Although GC has high sensitivity, it is time- and gas-consuming, and the aging of the chromatographic column is adverse to quantification.

Optical methods are mainly based on absorption and scattering effects, such as infrared absorption spectroscopy [11], photoacoustic spectroscopy [12], photothermal spectroscopy [13], and Raman spectroscopy, both of which can provide exceptional sensitivity and selectivity. However, since homonuclear diatoms (O₂ and H₂, etc.) only have a weak electric four-dipole moment [14], the absorption effect is extremely weak, so it is difficult to realize the detection of trace homonuclear diatomic gases [15]. In addition, the absorption spectrum lines are relatively dense, and the absorption spectrum of a high-concentration background gas is likely to overlap with the trace-gas spectrum lines. Therefore, it is difficult to detect trace gases in a high-concentration gas background based on the absorption effect. Moreover, different gases can only produce absorption effects on specific laser wavelengths corresponding to the energy level of each gas, so multiple laser sources may be required when detecting multi-component gases.

Raman spectroscopy is another effective optical method for gas sensing based on scattering effects. When the incident light with frequency \( \nu_0 \) passes through the gas, the incident laser light will excite gas molecules to generate spherical divergent Raman scattered light with frequency \( \nu_0 \pm \nu_R \). Different gases have their own unique \( \nu_R \), namely Raman frequency shift, so Raman spectroscopy can detect the multicomponent gases (except for monatomic gases) simultaneously and highly selectively with a single-wavelength laser. It is worth noting that Raman spectroscopy can easily distinguish multi-component gases in a high-concentration gas background, owing to the relatively independent vibration Raman frequency shift with little overlapping. However, due to the extremely low gas Raman scattering cross section [16], the sensitivity is low, which limits its practical application in trace-gas detection [17], such as power transformer fault diagnosis [18].

The sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy can be improved when combined with an optical resonant cavity, namely, cavity-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (CERS) [19]. By locking the laser frequency to the resonant mode of a FP cavity, the laser will be confined and accumulated, resulting in quite a strong laser power buildup due to constructive interference. The sensitivity enhancement of CERS can reach three orders of magnitude. However, the resonant cavity has extremely high requirements for the collimation of the optical path, resulting in insuffcient anti-interference ability and usually requiring tens of milliliters of gas volume, which is not suitable for many applications with only little gases.

Another method to enhance the sensitivity of Raman spectroscopy is combined with hollow core fiber or capillary, namely, fiber-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (FERS), which improves the collection efficiency of spherical divergent Raman scattered light to about 360°. It not only requires a small gas volume of microliters but also has a flexible layout. Compared to hollow-core capillary [20] with high-transmission loss [21], hollow-core fiber has lower transmission loss [22]. Therefore, FERS combined with hollow core fiber can achieve higher Raman signal enhancement. The hollow-core photonic crystal fiber (HCPCF) and anti-resonant hollow core fiber (ARHCF) are the most commonly used in FERS. Buric [23] uses FERS with HCPCF to analyze the fuel gases. The detection limit (LOD) of CH₄ and C₃H₈ is less than 140 ppm bar with a laser of 100 mW at 514.5 nm and an exposure time of 1 s. Popp

![Schematic diagram of the FERS setup.](image1)

**FIGURE 1** Schematic diagram of the FERS setup. The FERS setup consists of the following components: half-wave plate (HP), polarization beam splitter (PBS), dichroic mirror (F), pinhole (P), achromatic lens (L₁, L₂), gas cell (A₁, A₂), photodetector (PD), edge filter (EF), beam trap (BT), spectrometer (SPEC), and personal computer (PC).
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**FIGURE 2** Raman spectrum of 5 bar pure SF₆.
reported the highly selective and sensitive detection of complex gas mixtures (consisting of H2, CH4, N2, O2, and CO2) by FERS with HCPCF. With a laser wavelength of 532 nm, laser power of 1.3 W, and 1 s exposure time, the LOD of N2, O2, CO2, CH4, and H2 are 140, 160, 80, 4, and 90 ppm bar, respectively. Compared with the HCPCF, the ARHCF has a larger core diameter and is easier to achieve efficient coupling and has lower transmission loss of about 80 dB/km at 532 nm or 13.8 dB/km at 539 nm, which is more conducive to signal enhancement. In addition, Andreas Knebl [27] first applied the ARHCF in FERS. With a laser wavelength of 532 nm, 1.3 W power, and a 30 s exposure time, the LODs of O2 and CO2 are 125 and 25 ppm bar, respectively. Duluo Zuo [28] integrated ARHCF into the Raman system, by collecting scattering radiation in the forward direction and filtering of the background through selection of an appropriate integral region, the LODs of CH4, C2H4, C2H2, C2H6, H2, and CO were determined as 1.2, 1.7, 2.6, 2.9, 13.8, and 16.7 ppm bar, respectively, with the input excitation laser power of 200 mW and exposure time of 60 s.

To our best knowledge, fiber-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for trace-gas sensing in a high-concentration gas background has not been reported. Therefore, in this article, we build FERS with ARHCF to realize the detection of multi-component trace gases in a high-concentration gas background. The performance of the apparatus is verified by the detection of decomposition gas in the high-concentration sulfur hexafluoride [29] (SF6) and gaseous impurities in the high-concentration ethane.

**EXPERIMENT SETUP**

The experimental setup of the FERS with ARHCF in this work is shown in Figure 1. A solid Nd: YAG laser-emitting linearly polarized light with a wavelength of 532 nm and a power of 1.5 W is used as a light source. The half-wave plate (HP, Thorlabs
WPV10L-532) is used to adjust the polarization and power of the laser beam when cooperating with the polarization beam splitter (PBS, Thorlabs CCM1-PBS251). After reflecting by a dichroic mirror (F, Semrock LPD02-532RU-25), the laser beam passes through achromatic lens L1 (Thorlabs AC254-060-A), focuses into the ARHCF, and excites gas molecules in the core of ARHCF to generate spherical divergent Raman scattered light. The Raman scattering light is collected backward from the fiber enter end (A1) by the same lens (L1) and passes through the dichroic mirror (F) and the two long-pass edge filters EF (532 nm Semrock LP03-532RE-25), which are used to filter out laser radiation, Rayleigh scattering signals, and anti-Stokes Raman scattering light. Then, the remaining Stokes Raman scattering light is focused and coupled into the spectrometer (Andor SR500) through the achromatic lens L2 (Thorlabs AC254-075-A) and recorded using the CCD camera (Andor iDus 416). The grating line is 1,200/mm, and the blaze wavelength is 750 nm.

The core diameter of ARHCF is 26 μm. The transmission band of the fiber is 19.5 μm according to the Gaussian beam size and preliminary spatial filtering, which can adjust the laser spot size and filter the silicon signal of the fiber. The pinhole (P) is for preliminary spatial filtering, which can adjust the laser spot size and filter the silicon signal of the fiber by making full use of the spatial distribution pattern. The two ends of the fiber are fixed on the tapered V-groove fiber holder (Thorlabs HFV002) for better laser beam coupling. The holder is fixed in our self-made gas cells (A1, A2), which contain a pressure sensor to facilitate real-time acquisition of total gas pressure. The power meter (PD, Thorlabs PDA100A-EC) is placed at the output end of the fiber to monitor the laser output power in time.

The specific coupling parameters are measured using the beam quality analyzer (Thorlabs BC207VIS/M). The mode field diameter of the laser at L1 is 2 mm. The calculated mode field diameter of the fiber is 19.5 μm according to the Gaussian beam transformation principle as in Eq. 1: (75% of the core diameter [30])

\[
F = \frac{\pi \omega_0}{\lambda},
\]

where \(F\) is the focal length of lens, \(\lambda\) is the laser wavelength, \(\omega_0\) is the mode field diameter of the laser at a certain position, and \(\omega_1\) is the mode field diameter of the fiber.

In order to achieve NA matching, the focal length of L1 needs to be 57.5 mm, which should be customized and expensive, so a 60-mm-focal length lens is used in this experiment. In order to precisely adjust the relative position of the achromatic lens L1 and the fiber to achieve efficient coupling, L1 is fixed on a manual three-axis micron-positioning stage. After measuring the incident and transmitted laser power through the ARHCF, which is 1.1 and 1.4 W, respectively, the coupling efficiency was calculated as about 85%.

Before loading the gas sample, the gas cells and ARHCF was first evacuated using a vacuum pump. Then, the measured gas was pumped into one of the gas cells (A2) to 5 bar. Finally, FERS of the measured gas can be detected after the internal pressure of the fiber reaches equilibrium.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SF6 is widely applied as the preferred insulating and arc-quenching medium in gas-insulated switchgear (GIS) in power systems. The equipment will inevitably yield defects during preparation, installation, and operation. Over the long-term operation, some SF6 molecules decompose and produce several lower fluorides of sulfur SFX (X = 1, 2, ...5) such as SF2, SF3, SF4, and SF5 through partial discharge, arc, spark, and overheating caused by insulation defects. So, in this experiment, we used this FERS apparatus to detect multi-component trace gases (COS, CF4, CO, and CO2) in a high-concentration SF6 background. In order to achieve the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the aforementioned multi-component gases, we need to detect reference spectra of a single gas first.

The experimental condition of SF6 is under 5 bar, which is the working pressure of a running GIS. Figure 2 shows the Raman spectrum of pure SF6 under a low laser power of 100 mW. The peak position of the Raman spectrum is 774 cm\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to the v1 transition vibration mode of the SF6 molecule and proves that the saturated Raman peak is an independent peak.

Figure 3 shows the Raman spectra of COS, CF4, CO, and CO2. Figure 3A shows the Raman spectrum of 0.05 bar COS (10,000 ppm of COS in Ar at 5 bar), and the exposure time is 60 s; the v1 transition at 858 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2v2 transition at 1,048 cm\(^{-1}\) are achieved, corresponding to the stretching vibration of C-S and bend vibration. According to three times the signal-to-noise ratio, the LOD is 11.6 Pa (858 cm\(^{-1}\)), corresponding to 23.2 ppm at 5 bar. Figure 3B shows the Raman spectrum of 0.05 bar CF4 (10,000 ppm of CF4 in Ar at...
5 bar), and the $v_\text{1}$ transition at 908 cm$^{-1}$ is achieved, corresponding to the stretching vibration of C-F; the LOD is 24.2 Pa, equivalent to 48.5 ppm at 5 bar. Figure 3C shows the Raman spectrum of 0.05 bar CO$_2$ (10,000 ppm of CO$_2$ in Ar at 5 bar). A Fermi resonance pair ($v_1/2v_2$) is observed at 1,285 cm$^{-1}$ ($v_1^-$) and 1,388 cm$^{-1}$ ($v_1^+$), the hot band is observed at 1,409 cm$^{-1}$, and 13CO$_2$ can be also observed at 1,265 cm$^{-1}$. A LOD of 0.54 Pa for CO$_2$ (1,388 cm$^{-1}$) is achieved, equivalent to 39.4 ppm at 5 bar. Figure 3D shows the Raman spectrum of 0.05 bar CO (10,000 ppm of CO in Ar at 5 bar); the Q1 vibration of CO is

\textbf{FIGURE 6} | Raman spectra of 250 Pa of (A) CH$_4$, (B) C$_2$H$_2$, (C) C$_2$H$_4$, (D) C$_2$H$_6$, (E) C$_3$H$_4$, (F) C$_3$H$_6$, and (G) C$_3$H$_8$. 
observed at 2,142 cm\(^{-1}\), and the LOD is 52.4 Pa, corresponding to 104.8 ppm at 5 bar.

For online quantification of the concentrations of each gas in the high-concentration SF\(_6\) background, the Raman spectra of each gas should be taken at a known value of laser power, total pressure, exposure time, fiber length, and temperature. The test gas concentration (ratio of partial pressure to total pressure) will be acquired based on the peak intensity ratio of the calibration gas. We take COS, for example, as shown in Figure 4. This quantitative calibration is very robust owing to the linearity between partial pressure and Raman intensity, with an R-square value of 0.9997. The other gases have almost the same linear relationship.

Figure 5 shows the Raman spectra of trace multi-gas of COS, CF\(_4\), CO\(_2\), and CO in the high-concentration SF\(_6\) background (the concentration of each gas is COS: 100 ppm, CF\(_4\): 650 ppm, CO\(_2\): 450 ppm, and CO: 550 ppm, and the rest is SF\(_6\), the total pressure is 5 bar, and the exposure time is 60 s). Each peak can be identified for different gases, proving that Raman spectroscopy can be used to detect decomposition gas in the high concentration of SF\(_6\). Based on the built-up quantification curve of FERS, the calculated concentrations of COS, CF\(_4\), CO\(_2\), and CO are 105.6, 639.8, 437.3, and 542.9 ppm at 5 bar, respectively, which is basically consistent with the actual value. The measuring performance of FERS for SF\(_6\) depositions in the high-concentration SF\(_6\) background is summarized in Table 1.

Ethane of high-purity is an important raw material for the organic synthesis industry, but it often contains alkane impurities, such as methane, ethylene, acetylene, propane, propylene, and propyne. In this article, the FERS apparatus is also used to detect impurities in high-concentration ethane. Similarly, in order to be able to qualitatively and quantitatively analyze impurity gases, the detection of the aforementioned reference spectra of single gases, such as methane, ethylene, acetylene, propane, propylene, and propyne (from Chongqing Lihong company), is carried out first.

Figure 6 shows the Raman spectra of 250 Pa methane, ethane, ethylene, acetylene, propylene, and propane (500 ppm each gas at 5 bar). The Raman spectrum of CH\(_4\) is shown in Figure 6A, which mainly contains \(\nu_1\) transition at 2,917 cm\(^{-1}\) and \(\nu_3\) transition at 3,020 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 4.41 Pa for CH\(_4\) (2,917 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_2\)H\(_2\) is shown in Figure 6B, including \(\nu_1\) transition at 3,372 cm\(^{-1}\) and the \(\nu_2\) transition at 1,972 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 6.18 Pa for C\(_2\)H\(_2\) (1,972 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_2\)H\(_6\) is shown in Figure 6C, which includes the \(\delta_\pi\) transition at 1,344 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_2\) transition at 1,624 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\delta_\pi\) transition at 2,880 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 8.47 Pa for C\(_2\)H\(_6\) (1,344 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_3\)H\(_6\) is shown in Figure 6D, including the \(\nu_5\) transition at 2,997 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_3\) transition at 2,900 cm\(^{-1}\), and the \(\nu_10\) transition at 2,955 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 18.02 Pa for C\(_3\)H\(_6\) (2,955 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_4\)H\(_6\) is shown in Figure 6E, including the \(\nu_1\) transition at 3,336 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_2\) transition at 2,943 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_7\) transition at 2,883 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_3\) transition at 2,136 cm\(^{-1}\), and the \(\nu_5\) transition at 930 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 7.79 Pa for C\(_4\)H\(_6\) (2,943 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_5\)H\(_8\) is shown in Figure 6F, including the \(\nu_14\) transition at 3028 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_2\) transition at 3,010 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_5\) transition at 2,997 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_1\) transition at 2,937 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_14\) + \(\nu_6\) transition at 2,905 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_6\) transition at 2,871 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_5\) transition at 1,415 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_13\) transition at 1,300 cm\(^{-1}\), and the \(\nu_8\) transition at 921 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 36.1 Pa for C\(_5\)H\(_8\) (2,935 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved. The Raman spectrum of C\(_6\)H\(_8\) is shown in Figure 6G, including the \(\nu_1\) transition at 2,976 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_2\) transition at 2,958 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_4\) transition at 2,946 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_5\) transition at 2,929 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_11\) transition at 2,911 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_3\) transition at 2,885 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(\nu_5\) + \(\nu_12\) transition at 2,737 cm\(^{-1}\), and the \(\nu_8\) transition at 868 cm\(^{-1}\), and a LOD of 16.64 Pa for C\(_6\)H\(_8\) (2,885 cm\(^{-1}\)) is achieved.

The simulation detection of methane, ethylene, acetylene, propane, propylene, and propyne was also achieved as shown in Figure 7, which proves that FERS apparatus can be used to detect impurities in high-concentration ethane. The concentration of gaseous impurities in C\(_2\)H\(_6\) is summarized in Table 2.

| Component | Measured concentration (ppm) | LOD (In pascal) | LOD (In ppm at 5 bar) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| CH\(_4\)  | 594.4                         | 4.4             | 8.8                   |
| C\(_2\)H\(_4\) | <12.3                     | 6.2             | 12.3                  |
| C\(_3\)H\(_4\) | 104.2                      | 8.4             | 16.9                  |
| C\(_2\)H\(_6\) | <15.6                     | 7.8             | 15.6                  |
| C\(_3\)H\(_6\) | <72.2                      | 36.1            | 72.2                  |
| C\(_4\)H\(_6\) | 922.7                      | 16.6            | 32.3                  |

TABLE 2 | Quantification of gaseous impurities in C\(_2\)H\(_6\) based on FERS.


**Figure 7** shows the Raman spectrum of alkane impurities in a high-concentration C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} background. The total pressure is 5 bar, and the exposure time is 60 s.

**CONCLUSION**

In this article, we demonstrate the utility of FERS with ARHCF as a unique analysis tool for trace-gas sensing in the high-concentration gas background of SF\textsubscript{6} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}. For NA matching, the 60-mm-focal length lens is employed in FERS. To obtain qualitative and quantitative analysis, the Raman spectra of COS, CF\textsubscript{4}, CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, CH\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6}, and C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} at standard concentration are acquired, respectively. With 60 s exposure time and 5 bar total pressure, the LOD for COS, CF\textsubscript{4}, CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, CH\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{4}, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6}, and C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} can be down to 23.2, 48.5, 39.4, 104.8, 8.8, 12.3, 16.9, 72.2, 15.6, and 33.3 ppm, respectively. It has been proved that the gas Raman scattering intensity has a good linear relationship with gas concentration. Quantification of multi-gas with great accuracy exceeding 94% is also realized. In order to further improve the performance of the system, in the future, we will improve the coupling efficiency of the ARHCF and reduce the signal noise to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the system for practical multi-gas sensing.
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