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Abstract
Background: We hypothesized that plasma levels of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP) would be elevated, and adiponectin concentrations reduced, in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (ARAS) and that BNP levels might be used to identify patients who would benefit from percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty (PTRA).

Methods: Data were collected before renal angiography in 91 patients with hypertension and suspected ARAS (significant ARAS; n=47, and non-RAS; n=44) and in 20 healthy controls (C). In ARAS patients analyses were repeated four weeks after PTRA. Results: Ambulatory systolic blood pressure (ASBP) was significantly elevated in the ARAS group vs. both C and non-RAS groups. Baseline plasma BNP and NT-proBNP levels were significantly elevated, and adiponectin concentrations reduced, in the ARAS group vs. C but not vs. the non-RAS group. One month after PTRA, ASBP was reduced vs. baseline (149±16 to 139±15 mm Hg, p<0.01). Brain natriuretic peptides were not significantly affected by PTRA. Conclusions: Patients with ARAS showed elevated levels of BNP and NT-proBNP concentrations, and reduced levels of adiponectin, compared to healthy controls but not vs. hypertensive individuals without RAS. Our data do not support the use of BNP analyses in the identification of ARAS patients who will have a beneficial blood pressure response to PTRA.
Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP) are secreted in equimolar amounts during hemodynamic and neurohormonal stress of the heart [1]. Because of their close correlation with the severity of symptoms and prognosis they have been developed as biomarkers in heart failure [2, 3]. Brain natriuretic peptide has a serum half-life of ≈20 minutes [4], while the corresponding value for NT-proBNP is ≈120 minutes. Both BNP and NT-proBNP plasma concentrations increase in renal failure. However, elimination of NT-proBNP is likely more dependent on glomerular filtration than BNP, which is also cleared by receptor-mediated uptake and protease action, resulting in an increased NT-proBNP/BNP ratio in renal failure [5, 6]. The most important physiological actions of BNP take place in the kidney where it exerts natriuretic and diuretic effects, causes renal vasodilation, increases glomerular filtration rate and inhibits renin release [7]. In addition, in vitro data suggest that angiotensin II (Ang II) directly induces the synthesis and release of BNP [8]. Hence, plasma BNP may be increased in patients with renovascular hypertension at least partly due to an enhanced activity of the renin-angiotensin system [8-10].

The adipose tissue is not a passive energy depot, but in fact is an active endocrine organ secreting a variety of hormones, i.e. adipokines. Dysregulation of these adipokines is believed to be important for the development of cardiovascular disease in obesity [11]. Adiponectin is a 244 amino acid protein that is highly expressed by adipocytes and is present in human plasma [12]. Adiponectin has a variety of protective functions and is believed to exert anti-inflammatory, anti-atherogenic and anti-diabetic effects [13]. As we previously have shown that patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (ARAS) have increased plasma levels of inflammatory biomarkers [14], and show an atherogenic lipoprotein profile [15], we speculated that ARAS patients may have reduced plasma levels of adiponectin that could contribute to the aforementioned abnormalities.

The aim of the present investigation was to examine plasma levels of BNPs and adiponectin in patients with ARAS. We hypothesized that brain natriuretic peptides would be elevated in ARAS patients and that higher levels might predict a favourable outcome of percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty (PTRA).
disease or treatment with immune modulating drugs e.g. calcineurin inhibitors or oral steroids. Twenty age-matched healthy subjects without any medications were recruited from the database of the Gothenburg MONICA study and served as controls. The MONICA (multinational monitoring of trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease) project was established in the early 1980s to monitor trends in cardiovascular diseases and to relate these to risk factors [16]. The Ethics Committee of the University of Gothenburg approved the study and all participants gave written consent to participate.

Protocol and measurements

Ninety-one patients with hypertension and suspected RAS were included and all patients underwent renal angiography. Angioplasty was carried out on forty-seven patients with significant stenosis (ARAS-group). A significant RAS was defined as a lesion with a trans-stenotic mean arterial pressure gradient (MAPG) of ≥10 mmHg or a ≥50% diameter stenosis on angiography in those cases in which the MAPG was not measured because of technical difficulties due to high-grade stenosis and luminal occlusion during the procedure. Forty-four individuals had no significant RAS and were therefore only subjected to diagnostic procedure (non-RAS group).

All patients were subjected to baseline measurements one day before angiography. Routine laboratory analyses, BNP, NT-proBNP and adiponectin were measured immediately before renal angiography in all patients. In patients that were subjected to PTRA analyses were repeated four weeks after intervention (except for adiponectin). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP) were measured after 5 minutes rest in the sitting position immediately before and one day and four weeks after renal angiography. Ambulatory (24h) SBP (ASBP) and DBP (ADBP) were measured by an ambulatory blood pressure system (Model 90217, Spacelabs Healthcare) one day before angiography and four weeks after PTRA. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated according to the 4-variable equation from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study. Notably, all patients with suspected RAS had been on treatment with a HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor (i.e. statin) for at least two weeks at the time of baseline measurements. The majority of patients was already on statin therapy at the time of study inclusion and continued with the same dosage throughout. In remaining patients treatment with simvastatin was started at the time of study inclusion in a daily dose of 20 mg that was maintained throughout. In addition, most patients in both hypertensive groups were already on low-dose acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) at this time-point, while treatment with ASA was started 1-2 days before PTRA among those patients who were not already on this treatment. Treatments with statins and ASA were maintained unaltered in patients with ARAS throughout the study period. Healthy controls (n=20) were only studied at one time-point and data were compared with baseline values from both hypertensive groups.

Renal angiography and angioplasty

Digital subtraction angiography was used for evaluating renal arteries. The procedures of renal angiography and PTRA have been described previously [17]. A 4 French catheter was used for measurements of intra-arterial pressure gradients. The diameter of stenosis was estimated manually in all cases. Indications for stent placement were angioplasty failure (elastic recoil or flow-limiting dissection resulting in >30% residual luminal narrowing, absence of antegrade flow, or significant residual MAPG), or restenosis.

Biochemical analyses

Standard laboratory methods at the Department of Clinical Chemistry at Sahlgrenska University Hospital (SWEDAC approved according to European norm 45001) were used for routine analyses. Plasma renin activity (PRA) was measured by a radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (DiaSorin, Stillwater, MN, USA), with inter- and intraassay coefficients of variation (CV) of less than 10%. The plasma concentration of Ang II (Euro-Diagnostica, Malmö, Sweden) was also measured by RIA.

Brain natriuretic peptide was analysed using the manual Shionoria BNP method (CIS Bio international, Gif-sur-Yvette, France) on freshly thawed EDTA-plasma samples. The inter-sample CV was between 5.4 and 7.0%. The EDTA-plasma for BNP testing was frozen at -70°C within 2 h after collection. N-terminal pro-BNP was analysed in serum with the Roche Elecsys system on Modular E 2551 with a CV between 3.7 and 5.0%. An ELISA kit from LINCO Research Inc. (St. Charles, MO, USA) was used for the quantification of plasma adiponectin (CV 8.55%).
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Statistics

Analyses were performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). If data were not normally distributed, Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks was used. Unpaired t-test or Mann–Whitney U test was used when appropriate for comparisons between groups. Bonferroni corrections were made for multiple comparisons. To analyse within group effects of PTRA paired t-test or Wilcoxon’s signed rank test was used for normally distributed and non-normally distributed data, respectively. The Pearson correlation (or Spearman correlation when data did not meet assumption about normality) coefficient was used to evaluate correlations. All tests were two-tailed and p-values <0.05 were considered significant. Results are presented as means±SD if not stated otherwise. Software SPSS 18.0.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used.

Results

Patient characteristics at baseline

Patient characteristics prior to PTRA are shown in table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between groups in age, BMI or gender distribution. Patients with ARAS more often had a diagnosis of diabetes. The prevalence of coronary artery disease and atrial fibrillation, and the duration of hypertension (12±16 vs. 12±15 years in group ARAS and non-RAS, respectively) did not differ between groups. Plasma levels of serum LDL-cholesterol (S-LDL-C) and HDL-cholesterol (S-HDL-C) were reduced in hypertensive groups vs. healthy controls (table 1). This finding could be explained by the fact that all hypertensive patients with suspected RAS were on statin treatment.

Blood pressure, kidney function and biomarkers at baseline

Ambulatory systolic and diastolic blood pressure and pulse pressure (PP) were significantly elevated in both hypertensive groups vs. healthy controls (table 2). In addition, ASBP and ambulatory PP were significantly elevated in group ARAS vs. the non-RAS group (table 2). There was no difference between hypertensive groups in the proportion of patients using diuretics or beta-blockers. Estimated GFR was reduced and urinary albumin excretion and serum creatinine levels elevated in both hypertensive groups vs. healthy controls (table 2). The blood leukocyte count differed between groups and was significantly elevated in the ARAS group vs. controls (table 2). There were no statistically significant differences between groups in serum levels of aldosterone, Ang II or in PRA (table 2).
Brain natriuretic peptides and adiponectin at baseline

Plasma BNP and NT-proBNP concentrations were elevated in patients with significant ARAS compared to healthy controls ($p<0.05$), but not in comparison to the non-RAS group (table 2). In addition, there was a statistically significant increase in NT-proBNP in the ARAS group vs. controls ($p<0.05$). Plasma adiponectin was significantly reduced in the ARAS group compared to controls (table 2, $p<0.05$), however, this difference did not reach statistical significance when patients with diabetes had been excluded from the analysis (data not shown).

In patients with ARAS, baseline BNP and NT-proBNP levels correlated significantly to age, ASBP, ambulatory PP, serum creatinine, eGFR and to urinary albumin excretion (table 3). Baseline BNP was also correlated to blood leukocyte count ($r=0.515; p<0.001$). However, in multiple regression analysis only serum creatinine levels showed a statistically significant relationship to both BNP and NT-proBNP ($r = 0.42, p<0.05$ for BNP; and $r = 0.67, p<0.001$ for NT-proBNP). In multiple regression analysis baseline BNP was also significantly correlated to age ($p<0.05$), whereas NT-proBNP disclosed a relationship to ASBP ($p<0.05$).

Plasma adiponectin levels showed a significant correlation to BMI, ADBP and to S-HDL-C (table 3), but in multiple regression analysis only S-HDL-C showed a significant correlation ($p<0.01$, figure 1). Notably, there was a statistically significant, and similar, correlation between plasma adiponectin and S-HDL-C also in the non-RAS group (figure 1).

Effects of PTRA on blood pressure, kidney function and biomarkers

Four weeks after PTRA, ASBP had decreased from $149\pm16$ to $139\pm15$ ($p<0.01$, figure 2) and ADBP from $82\pm10$ to $77\pm11$ ($p<0.01$, figure 2). Also, ambulatory PP was reduced four weeks after PTRA ($67\pm14$ vs. $62\pm14$ mmHg, before and after PTRA, respectively $p<0.01$). The number of antihypertensive drugs was not significantly altered four weeks after PTRA (data not shown). Serum creatinine levels ($113\pm35$ vs. $112\pm37$ µmol/L, before and after PTRA, respectively, figure 2) and eGFR (data not shown) were not significantly affected by PTRA.

| Table 2. Blood pressure, kidney function and biomarkers at baseline |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Healthy controls | Non-RAS (n=44) | ARAS (n=47) | p-value |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| ASBP [mmHg]       | 115±9          | 140±16*     | 149±16*†| p<0.001 |
| ADBP [mmHg]       | 71±6           | 81±9*       | 82±10*  | P<0.001 |
| Ambulatory PP [mmHg] | 43±7   | 59±16*     | 67±14*†| P<0.001 |
| Antihypertensive drugs [n] | 26±1.1 | 26±1.1     | 2.6±1.1| NA      |
| Use of diuretics, n [%] | 33(70%) | 33(70%)    | 33(70%)| NA      |
| Use of beta-blockers, n [%] | 41(87%) | 41(87%)    | 41(87%)| NA      |
| S-creatinine [µmol/L] | 77±14  | 115±42*    | 113±35*| p<0.001 |
| eGFR [ml/min/1.73m²] | 79±14  | 56±20*     | 57±18*  | p<0.001 |
| tU-albumin [mg/24hrs] | 7±4   | 169±380*   | 97±175* | p<0.01  |
| B-WBC [x10⁹/L]      | 6.3±2.1       | 7.1±2.2     | 8.0±3.2*| p<0.01  |
| S-aldosterone [mmol/L] | 0.55±0.21 | 0.56±0.40  | 0.48±0.26| ns      |
| PRA [ng/ml/h]       | 1.23±0.65     | 1.71±2.4    | 1.98±1.89| ns      |
| P-Ang II [pg/ml]    | 12.0±4.9      | 17±23.6     | 13.2±8.8| ns      |
| S-BNP [ng/L]        | 28±20         | 48±68       | 82±99*  | p<0.05  |
| S-NT-proBNP [ng/L]  | 87±55         | 350±808*    | 521±737*| p<0.001 |
| P-adiponectin [mg/L] | 12.0±4.9  | 10.3±6.2    | 9.3±7.5*| p<0.05  |

PTRA: percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty; RAS, renal artery stenosis; ARAS, atherosclerotic RAS; ASBP, ambulatory systolic blood pressure; ADBP, ambulatory diastolic blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to the 4-variable equation from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study; tU-albumin, total 24-h urinary albumin excretion; B-WBC, blood leukocyte count; PRA, plasma renin activity; P-Ang II, plasma angiotensin II; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; ns, not significant, NA, not applicable. Data are expressed as means±SD. * denotes $p<0.05$ vs. healthy controls and † denotes $p<0.05$ vs. non-RAS. P-values are for Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) non-parametric ANOVA. For categorical data chi square tests were performed.
Serum aldosterone, plasma Ang II and PRA were not significantly affected by PTRA (data not shown). In addition, PTRA had no statistically significant effects on serum BNP (82±99 ng/L before and after PTRA, respectively, figure 3) or NT-proBNP (521±737 vs. 465±654 ng/L, before and after PTRA, respectively, figure 3) when analysed four weeks after intervention.

Correlation of baseline data to changes in ASBP and BNP in response to PTRA
Changes in ASBP in response to PTRA were correlated to baseline ASBP (r=0.552; p<0.001), ambulatory PP (r=0.380; p<0.05), eGFR (r=-0.312; p<0.05), plasma glucose (r=-

---

**Table 3.** Correlations between BNP, NT-proBNP, adiponectin and other variables at baseline in patients with significant atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis

|                        | S-BNP    | S-NT-proBNP | P-Adiponectin |
|------------------------|----------|-------------|---------------|
| Age (years)            | r=0.506** | r=0.522**   | ns            |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | ns       | ns          | r=-0.340*     |
| ASBP (mmHg)            | r=0.374** | r=0.520*    | ns            |
| ADBP (mmHg)            | ns       | ns          | r=0.308*      |
| Ambulatory PP (mmHg)   | r=0.515** | r=0.640**   | ns            |
| S-creatinine (µmol/L)  | r=0.428** | r=0.476**   | ns            |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)   | r= -0.547** | r= -0.644** | ns            |
| tU-albumin (mg/24hrs)  | r=0.327*  | r=0.365*    | ns            |
| S-HDL-C (mmol/L)       | ns       | ns          | r=0.538*      |

Statistically significant correlation coefficients are presented. BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide ARAS, atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis; ASBP, ambulatory systolic blood pressure; ADBP, ambulatory diastolic blood pressure; PP, pulse pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to the 4-variable equation from the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study; tU-Albumin, total 24-h urinary albumin excretion; S-HDL-C, serum high density lipoprotein-cholesterol. *p<0.05; **p<0.01

---

**Fig. 1.** Relationship between plasma adiponectin concentration and serum levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol in patients undergoing renal angiography because of suspected atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (RAS). Out of these, 41 patients had no significant RAS (A) and 43 patients had atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis (ARAS) (B). There was a statistically significant correlation between plasma adiponectin levels and S-HDL cholesterol in both groups (p<0.01).
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0.459; p<0.01), PRA (r=0.306; p<0.05) and to Ang II (r=0.474; p<0.01). However, in a stepwise multiple regression analysis, only baseline ASBP, plasma glucose and Ang II significantly predicted changes in ASBP (p<0.05). The significant relationship to plasma glucose did not disappear when diabetic patients were excluded from the analysis.

There was no threshold plasma BNP concentration above which PTRA was shown to cause a more pronounced BP reduction. There was no significant difference in BP response to PTRA between patients from the highest and the lowest quartiles of baseline BNP values (-13.3±5.3 vs. -7.6±3.9 mmHg for ASBP and -6.3±2.5 vs. -4.2±1.8 mmHg for ADBP). However, there were statistically significant correlations between changes in ASBP and delta BNP (r=0.57, p<0.001, figure 4) and delta NT-proBNP (r=0.57, p<0.001) in response to PTRA.

---

**Fig. 2.** Ambulatory systolic (ASBP) and diastolic (ADBP) blood pressure and serum creatinine levels before (pre-PTRA) and four weeks after (post-PTRA) percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty (PTRA) in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Values are means±SD. ** denotes p<0.01 versus baseline.

**Fig. 3.** Serum concentrations of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-pro BNP) before (pre-PTRA) and four weeks after (post-PTRA) percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty (PTRA) in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. Values are means±SD. There were no statistically significant effects of PTRA.

**Fig. 4.** Correlation between change in ambulatory systolic blood pressure (SBP) and change in serum BNP concentration in response to transluminal renal angioplasty (r=0.57, P<0.001) in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis.
Discussion

The main results of the present study were that hypertensive patients with ARAS showed significantly elevated plasma concentrations of BNP and NT-proBNP, but reduced adiponectin levels, compared to healthy controls. However, concentrations of these biomarkers were not significantly different in ARAS patients versus hypertensive individuals without RAS. In addition, although PTRA did not significantly affect BNP or NT-proBNP concentrations in patients with ARAS, there was a significant correlation between changes in plasma BNPs and changes in blood pressure in response to intervention.

Our observation that plasma concentrations of BNPs were elevated in ARAS patients vs. healthy controls, but not compared to hypertensive patients without RAS, clearly suggest that other causes than RAS per se were responsible for the increased BNP levels in ARAS patients. In fact, multiple regression analysis showed that serum creatinine was the only variable that was significantly correlated to both plasma BNP and NT-proBNP levels in patients with ARAS. Hence, differences in kidney function likely explained the increase in BNP and NT-proBNP in ARAS subjects vs. healthy controls since ARAS patients had significantly elevated serum creatinine levels reflecting reduced GFR. On the contrary, serum creatinine concentrations were similar in hypertensive patients with or without RAS. Notably, in our study baseline levels of plasma BNP and NT-proBNP could not be used to predict the outcome of PTRA on blood pressure and we could not identify a pre-interventional plasma BNP or NT-proBNP level above which a successful revascularization could be expected. Still, there was a significant correlation between changes in plasma BNPs and changes in blood pressure in response to PTRA. The mechanisms underlying this correlation remain speculative but could theoretically be explained by reduced left ventricular afterload secondary to the fall in blood pressure, decreased activity of the RAAS, or increased natriuresis following restoration of pressure-natriuresis in the previously stenotic kidney.

Our data do not confirm the results of two previous publications in which baseline BNP levels were shown to predict the blood pressure response after revascularization in patients with RAS [18, 19]. Silva et al [18] showed that BNP was increased in 27 patients with severe ARAS, and had decreased the day after successful stent revascularization. In addition, a baseline BNP level >80 pg/ml appeared to be a good predictor of a favourable blood pressure response to revascularization. Staub et al [19] showed in 120 hypertensive patients with RAS that BNP levels were elevated, and decreased after revascularization, and concluded that a pre-interventional BNP >50 pg/ml may be helpful to identify patients in whom PTRA will improve blood pressure. There are a number of possible explanations for the discrepant results in our study compared to those previously published. First, in both the earlier studies [18, 19] patients with bilateral RAS were included whereas we only investigated patients with unilateral RAS. In the study by Silva et al [18] the proportion of patients with bilateral RAS was as high as 33%. It is feasible to speculate that patients with bilateral RAS, who in general have a more volume-dependent hypertension, would have increased plasma levels of BNP at baseline and respond to revascularization with a more prominent BNP reduction. Secondly, Staub et al [19] included patients with fibromuscular dysplasia, who made up 22% of all patients with a favourable blood pressure response, while only patients with ARAS participated in the present study. Thirdly, the majority of patients included in the two earlier studies were on on-going treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs whereas none of the patients in our study were on medications that inhibited the RAAS. It is well known that cardiac BNP synthesis and release is stimulated by both hemodynamic mechanisms and by direct, non-hemodynamic, pathways via neuroendocrine factors such as Ang II [7, 8]. In our study, PTRA had no significant effects on either plasma Ang II levels or PRA and it is therefore possible that Ang II-driven BNP production prevented reductions in plasma BNP levels following intervention.

Interestingly, Chrysochou et al [20] investigated the prognostic role of NT-proBNP in patients with ARAS and found that raised NT-proBNP levels were associated with a greater likelihood of death when subdivided by CKD stage. Thus, although these authors showed
that kidney function was a more important risk factor for death there was an additive risk effect of NT-proBNP. Hence, measurements of BNPs might be helpful in identifying ARAS patients with increased risk and in need of intensified management.

The significant correlation between low plasma adiponectin levels and low S-HDL-C concentrations that we observed in both hypertensive groups (ARAS and non-RAS) in the present study is compatible with previous findings in patients with the metabolic syndrome and in type 2 diabetes [21]. It has previously been shown that low adiponectin levels predict elevated plasma VLDL-apoB concentrations through impaired catabolism of VLDL-apoB [22]. Adiponectin could therefore influence HDL metabolism by regulating the metabolism of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins [22]. Hence, it is possible that suppressed adiponectin levels in ARAS patients may contribute to the dyslipidaemia previously described in this patient group [17].

Conclusion

Hypertensive patients with ARAS have elevated plasma concentrations of BNP and NT-proBNP, but reduced adiponectin levels, compared to healthy controls. However, concentrations of these biomarkers were not significantly different in ARAS patients versus hypertensive individuals without RAS, and the increases in plasma BNP and NT-proBNP vs. healthy controls were most likely explained by a reduced kidney function in ARAS patients. In addition, baseline levels of BNPs could not be used to predict the outcome of PTRA on blood pressure.
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