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The microstructure and microhardness of isothermally aged Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys were investigated. Peak microhardness occurred after aging at 650 K for 100 h for both alloys and decreased slightly after aging at 400 h. Nanometer-scale spherical L12-structured Al₃Zr precipitates were observed using Transmission Electron Microscopy, these presented r < 7 nm at the center of dendrite branches. In the Al-0.32Zr alloy, particles increased in radius after aging at 650 K from 100 to 400 h while in the Al-0.22Zr alloy, precipitate radii remained constant in the same range. This is possibly due to solute migration to the periphery of dendritic branches, where larger particles nucleated. After aging at 700 K for 100 h, there are growth instabilities at the interface of the particles. A theoretical model, used to predict particle growth by diffusion, presented good agreement with the experimental findings.
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1. Introduction

Studies about cast and aged Al-Zr alloys aim applications at elevated temperatures, in the production of automotive and aeronautical components. In the former, cast Al alloys are employed in pistons, crankshafts, air-cooled cylinder heads and gear housings. In the latter as pylons and missile tail cones.

Al-Zr alloys are precipitation hardening and present elevated thermal stability due to the precipitation of nanometer-scale metastable Al₃Zr L₁₂ precipitates. These particles are coherent, thermodynamically stable and provide hardening even after long times of heat-treatment (400 h) at temperatures of 698 K and nucleate homogeneously in a high number density at the center of the dendrites, where supersaturation is greater. Al₃Zr precipitates present a series of different morphologies, depending on processing conditions: spherical, rod-like, petal-like, cauliflower, cellular and disk-like.

In Al-Zr alloys of hypoperitectic compositions (wt.% Zr < 0.28), Ness showed that after aging at 733 K, an Al-0.18 wt.% Zr alloy presented L₁₂-structured Al₃Zr particles, that increased in size from ~20 to 70 nm in the range of 24 - 700 h of aging time. The authors observed spherical particles mainly, although some rod-like particles are present in (100) matrix directions.

Some authors had performed microstructural characterization in hyperperitectic Al-Zr alloys and observed that the main particles responsible for hardening are the spherical Al₃Zr, nucleated at the center of dendrite branches, while the rod-like and cauliflower morphologies occur at solute depleted regions. The petal-like morphology is characteristic of coarse particles that nucleate during solidification in slowly cooled Al-Zr alloys. They nucleate at high temperatures, and its presence is undesirable for mechanical properties, once they deplete the matrix with Zr. The cellular morphology is associated with the discontinuous precipitation of Al₃Zr along a moving grain boundary. It was observed after aging at 773 K for 120 h in an Al-0.5 wt.% Zr alloy and after aging at the same temperature for 3 h in an Al-9.4 wt.% Zr alloy. The disk-like morphology is the equilibrium tetragonal D₀₂₃ structure, which nucleates after aging at temperatures above 773 K.

The precipitation of L₁₂-structured Al₃Zr particles is modified by the presence of ternary additions. Yb additions promote a two-peak hardening during isochronal aging, by the precipitation of L₁₂-structured Al₃(Zr,Yb) precipitates, that are more coarsening-resistant than Al₃Zr or Al₃Yb particles. Y additions accelerate the precipitation kinetics of Al₃Zr particles and retard coarsening.

In quaternary alloys, the combined effect of Zr, Sc and Er in Al alloys precipitate the L₁₂-structured Al₃(Zr,Sc,Er) precipitate, which present a core-shell structure and provide hardening for periods as 64 days of aging at 673 K. Booth-morrisson et al. studied the effects of Er additions in the quaternary Al-Zr-Sc-Si alloy. It was observed that coarsening and creep resistance at 673 K increased with the presence of Er intermetallics. studied an Al-Mn-Sc-Zr aged at 573 K. Although appreciable hardening was observed by the presence...
of L1₂-structured Al₃(Sc,Zr) particles, Mn solutes were not observed in the precipitation process.

Lefebvre et al. studied Al₃Zr precipitation in an Al-0.34Zr alloy with the presence of Fe and Si from a 1050 Al alloy. No discussions of the influence of Fe and Si over the precipitation of the L1₂-structured Al₃Zr particles were performed, although the authors were the first to study the shearing to bypassing transition of the particles by dislocations.

There is little information quantifying the microstructural evolution at the center and periphery of dendrite branches during isothermal aging. As already explained by Knipling et al., Al-Zr alloys present strong solute segregation along dendrites. This gives rise to a range of particle size distributions at distinct regions during aging. Also, there is little information about the mechanical properties of hypoperitectic Al-Zr alloys and few papers had proposed modeling for the prediction of the growth kinetics of L1₂-structured Al₃Zr particles during aging. Therefore, this study investigates the microstructures of dilute Al-0.22wt.%Zr and Al-0.32wt.%Zr alloys after isothermal aging and correlate this information with its Vickers microhardness and with a theoretical model consisting of equations from solidification, nucleation and diffusional growth that simulate the evolution of precipitate radii with time.

2. Experimental

The alloys were conventionally cast, using high purity Al (99.8 %) and an Al-10Zr master alloy (all compositions in wt.%). Conventional casting occurred inserting the metals in a kaolin coated SiC crucible and casting in a resistively heated furnace at 1120 K. Subsequently the melt was stirred, degassed with inert gas (Ar) and poured in a water-cooled Cu mold, producing an ingot weighting ~ 600 g.

Table 1 presents the chemical composition of the alloys. The Al-0.22Zr alloy was analyzed in a Bruker Q4 Tasman Optical Mass Spectrometer and the Al-0.32Zr alloy in a Perkin Elmer Optima 8300 Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer.

The samples were isothermally aged using a resistively heated furnace. The temperatures employed were 650 and 700 K, ±1K at the times of 4, 12, 24, 100 and 400 h. The aging temperatures and times were adopted because a study in the literature demonstrated that these parameters promote precipitation hardening in hiperperitectic Al-Zr alloys. After the treatments, samples were water-quenched to room temperature.

Vickers microhardness tests were performed in accordance with the ASTM E384 standard; using a load of 1.96 N (200 gf) and a dwelling time of 5 s. 20 microhardness tests were performed at each sample.

The as-cast microstructure of the alloys was investigated using Scanning Electron Microscopy - SEM using Backscattered Electrons - BSE for imaging and Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy - EDS for analysis of dispersoids and chemical composition in the matrix.

The microstructures of the specimens were analyzed by Transmission Electron Microscopy - TEM, using a JEOL JEM 1011 microscope, with an accelerating voltage of 100kV, employing bright-field, dark-field and Selected Area Diffraction - SADP techniques.

The samples for TEM analysis were grinded to 100 µm thickness discs and thinned to perforation in a Struers Tenupol 5 electropolishing unit, using an electrolyte of 2/3 methyl alcohol and 1/3 nitric acid at the temperature of 276 K ±3 K. After electropolishing, cleaning of the samples occurred by rinsing it three times into methanol and in methyl alcohol, a procedure similar to the one used by Ünlü.

In the TEM, precipitate radii were measured at the center and periphery of dendrite branches. The former being regions with an apparent higher number density of smaller particles and the latter with an apparent lower number density of bigger particles and also with the presence of rod-like precipitates. Several independent micrographs were recorded to obtain reliable results. Precipitate radii were measured using software ImageJ, the results were exported to the software R statistical to remove outliers and to calculate descriptive statistics as precipitate mean radii - (r). The indexing of the SADPs was realized using the software Web E-MAPS, which simulated the diffraction conditions used in the present study.

3. Modelling Precipitation Kinetics

In the present study, the experimental results of (r) at the center of dendrite branches were compared with theoretical calculations. The model considers distinct aspects from solidification, nucleation and diffusional growth.

The first calculated parameter is the solute content at the center of dendrite branches, which is obtained from the Scheil equation for solute distribution during solidification - Cₜ (Eq.1), considering total mixing in the liquid and no diffusion in the solid, where kₛ is the solid/liquid partition coefficient (Eq.2), Cₛ the nominal solute concentrations of the studied alloys, fₛ the solidified fraction, Cₑ = 0.28wt.%Zr the equilibrium solid solubility and Cₐ = 0.11wt.%Zr the equilibrium liquid solubility.

\[
Cₑ = kₛC₀ (1 - fₛ) \frac{1}{k₀}
\]  
\[k₀ = Cₛ / Cₐ \]

As the solidification conditions are distinct from equilibrium, and the local Zr concentration in the ingot at
the metal/mold interface may differ from the innermost regions, both \( C_m \) and \( k_W \), were fitted simulating values from 0.1 to 0.8 wt.%Zr for \( C_m \) and from 1.00 to 2.54 for \( k_W \). The values that obtained the minimum Residual Sum of Squares - RSS (Eq.3)\(^3\) were selected for the next calculation steps. In Eq.3, \( C_{\text{exp}} \) is the Zr concentration measured along the sample and the i superscripts indicate the number of data points.

\[
RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{\text{exp}} - C_i)^2 
\] (3)

As \( f_i \) varies from 0 to 1, where the former value is the center of dendrite branch and the latter the periphery of dendrite branches. It is considered, for modeling purposes, that the Zr concentration at the center of dendrite branches - \( C_m \) is equal to \( C_s \) for \( f = 0.01 \).

The next calculation step was the determination of the critical radius for nucleation - \( r^* \), assuming that homogenous nucleation occurs at the center of dendrite branches. The values for \( r^* \) are calculated in Eq. (4)\(^2\), where \( \gamma = 0.1033 \, \text{J/m}^2 \) is the interfacial energy of the Al\(_3\)Zr L\(_{12}\) precipitate with the Al matrix at 650 K, \( V_a \) is the atomic volume, \( k = 1.381E-23 \, \text{J/K} \) is the Boltzman constant\(^2\), \( R = 8.314 \, \text{kJ/mol} \) the universal gas constant, \( T \) the absolute temperature and \( C_T^{\text{eq}} \) (Eq.5)\(^4\) is the Zr concentration in the matrix in equilibrium with the Al\(_3\)Zr particle and is calculated from the solvus line of the metastable Al\(_3\)Zr L\(_{12}\), where \( C_T^{\text{solvus}} \) is the equilibrium solvus (Eq.6)\(^2\).

\[
r^* = 2\gamma V_a / kT \ln \left( C_m / C_T^{\text{solvus}} \right) 
\] (4)

\[
C_T^{\text{L12}} = C_T^{\text{L012}} \cdot 0.710 \cdot \exp \left( 1300 / T \right) 
\] (5)

\[
C_T^{\text{D03}} = 1180 \cdot \exp \left( -64.96 \cdot 10^3 / RT \right) 
\] (6)

This calculation step considers that the metastable L\(_{12}\) structured Al\(_3\)Zr particle has its stoichiometric composition and no other intermetallic compounds consume Zr from the matrix. Also, during nucleation, the Zr concentration at the precipitate/matrix interface is equal to the solute concentration in the matrix at the center of dendrite branches\(^3\)\(^6\).

The variation of particle radius considering diffusion control - \( r_d \), is expressed in Eq. (7)\(^3\), \( C_s \) is the Zr content in the particle, \( \Omega \) is the dimensionless supersaturation (Eq. 8), \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient (Eq. (9))\(^1\), where \( D_0 = 7.28x10^{-8} \, \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \) and \( Q = 241.8 \, \text{kJ/mol} \) are the pre-exponential factor and the activation enthalpy for diffusion, respectively\(^3\)\(^2\) and \( t \) is the time.

\[
r_d = \left( 2\Omega D t \right)^{1/2} 
\] (7)

\[
\Omega = \left( C_m - C_T^{\text{eq}} \right) / \left( C_s - C_T^{\text{eq}} \right) 
\] (8)

\[
D = D_0 \exp \left( -Q / RT \right) 
\] (9)

In Eq. 8, \( C_m \) varies with time due to precipitation, because the particles drain solute from the matrix as they grow - \( C_m \) (t) (Eq.10)\(^3\)\(^3\), where \( \tau \) is a temperature-dependent factor (Eq. 11)\(^3\), and \( \lambda \) the experimental interparticle spacing. The variable \( C_T^{\text{ni}} \) (Eq. 12)\(^3\) is the metastable solubility corrected for capillarity effects, where \( V_m \) is the molar volume.

\[
C_m(t) = C_T^{\text{GT}} + \left( C_m - C_T^{\text{GT}} \right) \exp \left( -t / \tau \right) 
\] (10)

\[
\tau = \left( \lambda/2 \right) D a / \beta \exp \left( 2\gamma V_m / r R T \right) 
\] (11)

\[
C_T^{\text{GT}} = C_T^{\text{L12}} \exp \left( 2\gamma V_m / r R T \right) 
\] (12)

When \( r \rightarrow 0 \), the variation of \( r \) with time can be expressed using Eq.7\(^6\) otherwise it is computed considering the sum of \( r^* \) (Eq.4) and \( r_d \) (Eq.7), in Eq.13\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^3\), where \( r_m \) is the particle radius of the model.

\[
r_m = r^* + r_d 
\] (13)

The main limitations of the model are that: (i) solute gradients along the dendrite branches are not considered; (ii) competitive growth, which might appear after soft impingement of the diffusion fields, is not being modeled.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 As-cast condition

Samples were studied in the as-cast condition to assess the presence - or not of primary Al\(_3\)Zr particles formed during solidification. Figure 1a is a TEM micrograph of the Al-0.22Zr alloy. It is possible to observe the contrast due to dislocations in the matrix only. Figure 1b is a bright field micrograph of the Al-0.32Zr alloy. Again the micrograph exhibits the presence of dislocations only.

Although TEM images of the as-cast samples did not revealed the presence of dispersoids, SEM results (Figure 2) show the presence of both primary eutectic and peritectic phases. In Figure 2a, it is possible to observe globular Al-Fe phases (arrows 1 and 2) and a Al-Fe-Si lamellar phase (arrow 3), as assessed by EDS analysis. These particles are commonly nucleated during solidification of Al alloys\(^3\)\(^7\).

Figure 2b is a micrograph of the Al-0.32Zr alloy. It is also possible to observe the presence of dispersoids, in this case, possibly primary Al\(_3\)Zr (arrow 4), due to the presence of Al and Zr only, as indicated by its EDS spectrum in Figure 3a. Arrows 5 and 6 indicate the presence of Al, Zr and Fe (Figure 3b).

It is possible that primary Al\(_3\)Zr particles are nucleating heterogeneously over Al-Fe dispersoids during solidification, because there are no equilibrium Al-Zr-Fe phases predicted by the Al rich corner of its ternary diagram. There is a study
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Figure 1. Bright-field TEM micrographs of as-cast samples of (a) Al-0.22Zr and (b) Al-0.32Zr alloys.

Figure 2. SEM micrographs of the as-cast alloys (a) Al-0.20Zr and (b) Al-0.32Zr.

Figure 3. EDS spectrum of arrows 4 (a) and 5 (b) in Fig.2b, with the elements Al, Fe and Zr.

The nucleation of primary Al₃Zr particles occurs in Al-Zr alloys when the solidification cooling rate is bellow a critical value. If the cooling rate is high enough, there is peritectic suppression, which culminates with the formation of a metastable supersaturated solid solution. Once the critical cooling rate for peritectic suppression reduces with lower solute content, this is possibly the reason why no Zr rich particles were observed in the Al-0.22Zr alloy.

The Zr content also influences in the modification of the average grain size of the alloys. In the Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys, the average grain sizes are 127 and 79 µm, respectively, indicating a ~60% decrease in the average grain size due to the presence of Zr.

In the literature in an Al-25Zr-12.5Fe alloy obtained with powder metallurgy in which an Al₁₁Fe₇Zr₆ intermetallic was found. The nucleation of primary Al₃Zr particles occurs in Al-Zr alloys when the solidification cooling rate is bellow a critical value. If the cooling rate is high enough, there is peritectic suppression, which culminates with the formation of a metastable supersaturated solid solution. Once the critical cooling rate for peritectic suppression reduces with lower solute content, this is possibly the reason why no Zr rich particles were observed in the Al-0.22Zr alloy.

The Zr content also influences in the modification of the average grain size of the alloys. In the Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys, the average grain sizes are 127 and 79 µm, respectively, indicating a ~60% decrease in the average grain size due to the presence of Zr.

Inside the grains, EDS measurements were performed in order to observe the Zr segregation throughout the microstructure and use the measurements as a part of the input of the modeling process. Figure 4 exhibits results for the studied alloys. It is possible to observe that the Zr concentration present peaks, which indicates the center of dendrite branches, while the solute depleted areas are possibly the periphery of dendrite branches. Figure 4a presents lower solute concentration than Figure 4b, due to the presence of a higher Zr concentration in the Al-0.32Zr alloy. The average
Zr concentration is above the nominal solute content of the alloy, although Zr peaks are expected by the Scheil model other studies in the literature found similar results.\textsuperscript{26,40}

### 4.2 Age hardening

Figure 5 presents the variation of microhardness as a function of aging temperature and time. Considering the effect of temperature, in the results of the Al-0.22Zr alloy (Figure 5a), at 650 K, hardening was greater than at 700 K, regardless of the aging time. This happens because the driving force for precipitation is greater at the lower temperature,\textsuperscript{6} causing the precipitation of particles with smaller radius during aging at 650 K (section 4.3). The same behavior occurs in the Al-0.32Zr alloy (Figure 5b).

Considering the effect of aging time, in the heat-treatment of the Al-0.22Zr alloy (Figure 5a), the increase in hardness started in 12 h at 650 K, achieving peak strength in 100 h. In 400 h, there is a slight decrease in microhardness. At 700 K, samples started to harden in 24 h, reaching peak-strength in 100 h and maintaining it in 400 h. In the Al-0.32Zr alloy (Figure 5b), microhardness values started to increase at 4 h of aging at 650 K. A steep increase in hardness occurs until 24 h, after which the increment in strength slowed and the alloy achieved peak hardness at 100 h. At 400 h, there is a slight decrease in hardness. At 700 K, similar behavior happens until 24 h, although the microhardness values are lower. The increase in strength was negligible from 24 to 100 h and the decrease in microhardness was greater at 400 h.

In both studied alloys, hardening occurred in shorter times during aging at 650 K. Although diffusion increases with temperature, hence accelerating precipitation kinetics,\textsuperscript{30,35} it is possible that the number density of precipitates in the earlier times of aging at 700 K is low, provided that no increase in hardness can be observed.

Considering the effect of solute content in Figure 5, for a given aging temperature or time, microhardness values are greater in Figure 5b (Al-0.32Zr) than in Figure 5a (Al-0.22Zr). The higher solute content in the former alloy led to the formation of precipitates with lower radii than in the latter during aging (section 4.3).

The precipitation kinetics in Figure 5b is similar to the results obtained by Knipling et al.,\textsuperscript{6} which studied an alloy produced by arc-melting, with similar composition (Al-0.34Zr) and aging temperatures (648 and 698 K), although microhardness values in the present work are ~10 HV 0.2 higher. Ryum\textsuperscript{11} studied a chill cast Al-0.5Zr alloy aged at 773 K. The alloy presented an increase in hardness of ~2 HV 0.3 after aging for 20 - 120 h.

### 4.3 Microstructural characterization of the aged alloys

Table 2 presents measurement results of the particles found in the micrographs. Several independent measurements were realized to obtain particle mean values. Figure 6 present results of measurements of sample aged at 650 K for 100 h in the Al-0.22Zr alloy. Figure 6a is a frequency histogram showing the dispersion of the measurements, indicating a
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Figure 5. Variation of microhardness values as a function of aging time at the temperatures of 650 and 700 K for the (a) Al-0.22Zr and (b) Al-0.32Zr alloys.

Table 2. Particle measurement results.

| Alloy      | Aging condition | Region of dendrite branch | <r> (nm) | Nº of images | Particles counted |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------|------------------|
| Al-0.22Zr  | 650 K 100 h     | Center                    | 7 ± 1    | 10           | 239              |
| Al-0.22Zr  | 650 K 400 h     | Center                    | 7 ± 2    | 3            | 74               |
| Al-0.22Zr  | 650 K 400 h     | Periphery                 | 106 ± 21 | 10           | 183              |
| Al-0.22Zr  | 700 K 100 h     | Center                    | 12 ± 1   | 10           | 596              |
| Al-0.32Zr  | 650 K 100 h     | Center                    | 3 ± 0.4  | 4            | 111              |
| Al-0.32Zr  | 650 K 400 h     | Center                    | 5 ± 1    | 5            | 62               |
| Al-0.32Zr  | 650 K 400 h     | Periphery                 | 19 ± 4   | 3            | 43               |

unimodal distribution of measurements. Figure 6b is a box-whisker diagram, which is useful in showing the dispersion of data and identification of outliers.

4.3.1 Al-0.22Zr Alloy

Figure 7 presents TEM images of the Al-0.22Zr alloy aged at distinct conditions. Figure 7a is a dark-field image of the center of a dendritic branch after aging at 650 K for 100 h, with particles presenting (r) = 7 ± 1 nm. Figure 7b is a dark-field image of a sample aged at 700 K for 100 h at the center of a dendritic branch, with larger particles: (r) = 12 ± 1 nm. Figure 7c is also a dark-field image of a sample aged at 650 K for 400 h. It is possible to observe that there are finer particles at the center of the dendrite branches, with (r) = 7 ± 2 nm and larger particles at the periphery of the dendrite branches, exhibiting (r) = 107 ± 21 nm. Figure 7d is the bright-field image of Figure 7c it is possible to observe the interactions of dislocations and precipitates. At the left corner of the image dislocations are bowing between particles, a process characteristic of the Orowan mechanism⁴¹. At the right corner of the image, precipitates present a straight line, which are possibly due to the interaction of dislocation gliding in different planes with Al₃Zr precipitates.

As particles in samples aged for 100 h are smaller at 650 K than at 700 K (Figures 7a and 7b, respectively), microhardness values are greater after aging at the former condition (Figure 5a). Although after 400 h of aging at 650 K there are large particles at the periphery of the dendrite branches, microhardness values presented a small decrease only. It is possible that the number density of larger precipitates is much lower than at the center of dendrite
Figure 6. Dispersion of precipitate radii in a sample aged at 650 K for 100 h: (a) frequency histogram and (b) box-whisker plot.

branches, provided that the latter particles are capable of maintaining appreciable hardening. The work of Ness, in which an Al-0.18Zr alloy was aged at 733 K for 700 h, did not report the presence of differences between particles at the center and periphery of dendrite branches even though aging temperatures and times were more elevated than in the present study. The author reported the continuous growth of the particles following a diffusional growth law from Ham.

Aged samples presented fine L1₂-structured Al₃Zr precipitates in its microstructure. Figure 8a is a bright-field image of a sample aged at 700 K for 100 h. The diffraction conditions highlight mostly the Al matrix and dislocations, which are overlapping precipitates. At the top left of the micrograph, the blank area is the perforation caused by electropolishing.

Figure 8b is a dark-field image of Figure 8a. It shows nanometer-scale particles homogeneously distributed through the matrix. The dark-field image uses the (010) superlattice reflection, which is characteristic of L1₂-structured Al₃Zr precipitates. At the top left of Figure 8b the SADP of the image exhibits a [103] zone axis, where {020} reflections belong to the Al matrix. Manual indexing of the SADP and simulations using the WEB-E MAPS software, were realized to confirm the structure of the particles. In Figure 8b, arrows indicate precipitates which are also shown in Figure 8c, a complementary dark-field image using a (020) reflection, highlighting matrix interactions, possibly due to dislocations. Precipitate particles in this aging condition present an average mean radius - $\langle r \rangle = 12 \pm 1$ nm. Figure 8d is a bright-field image of the same region in Figure 8a,

Figure 7. TEM images of an Al-0.22Zr alloy: (a) dark-field micrograph of sample aged at 650 K for 100 h; (b) dark-field, aged at 700 K for 100 h; (c) dark-field, aged at 650 K for 400 h; (d) bright-field image of Fig.7c.
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Figure 8. TEM micrographs of the Al-0.22Zr alloy aged at 700 K for 100 h. (a) bright-field image, (b) complementary dark-field image of Fig.8a, (c) complementary dark-field image of Fig.8a and (d) tilted bright-field image.

using tilting of the sample, thus highlighting precipitate particles only.

Figures 9 a and b are bright-field images in diffraction conditions showing the Ashby-Brown contrast, characteristic of coherent particles⁴³. It is possible to qualitatively judge particle coherency by the loss of symmetry of the coherency strains between precipitate and matrix, i.e. the straight black lines along particles transform to a striped pattern and the upper and lower lobes become asymmetrical⁶,⁴⁴, what was not observed in the present study.

Eq. 14⁴⁸ gives the absolute precipitate/matrix mismatch - δ, where \( a₀ = 4.0495 \) Å⁴⁵ and \( aₚ = 4.08 \) Å⁸ are the lattice parameters of Al and Al₃Zr L1₂, respectively, resulting in \( δ = 0.75\% \), a value that indicates elevated coherency⁵. During aging, as particles grow there is a threshold size over which, precipitates start to lose coherency. The number of atomic planes necessary for the loss of coherency - \( n \), is in Eq.15⁵⁰.

\[
\delta = 2|a₀ - aₚ|/a₀ + aₚ |
\]

\[
n = 1/\delta
\]

Figure 10a is a bright-field image of precipitates along dislocations; Figure 10b presents its complementary superlattice dark-field micrograph, using \{100\} reflections. The sample was aged at 700 K for 100 h. It is possible to observe that precipitates present protuberances, possibly due to growth instabilities on solute depleted regions⁶. In the studies of Knipling et al.⁶ and Ness⁸, instabilities were observed in solute depleted regions after aging at 698 K and 733 K, respectively.

Growth instabilities do not appear at the center of dendrite branches. This is because this phenomenon occurs due to solute gradients at the precipitate/matrix interface, as a result of the difference in solid solubility due to the Gibbs-Thomson effect. This difference in solid solubility occurs when any oscillation (spherical harmonic) perturbs the spherical particle. As at the center of dendrite branches the number density of particles is greater, the overlapping of its diffusion field reduces solute gradients, thus stabilizing particle interface⁵⁰.
Figure 9. (a) and (b) Bright-field image of a sample aged at 700 K for 100 h, with Al$_3$Z precipitates, exhibiting the Ashby-Brown coherency strain contrast.

Figure 10. (a) Bright-field image of an interdendritic region in sample aged at 700 K for 100 h and (b) complementary dark-field image.

In the present study, no growth instabilities emerged in samples aged at 650 K on particles at the periphery of dendrite branches, as shown in Figure 7c. Aging at lower temperatures is usually associated with the formation of more interface instabilities, once diffusion is slower and the elimination of solute gradients is more difficult.

4.3.2 Al-0.32Zr Alloy

Figure 11a is a bright-field image of an Al-0.32Zr alloy aged at 650 K for 100 h. Homogeneously distributed spherical Al$_3$Zr precipitates are present, exhibiting \( r = 3 \pm 0.4 \) nm. The SADP of the image indicates the presence of L1$_2$-structured Al$_3$Zr particles due to the presence of \{110\} reflections and the orientation indicates a [110] zone axis. Figure 11b is a micrograph of the center of a dendrite branch of a sample aged at 650 K for 400 h. Its SADP image also indicates the presence of L1$_2$-structured Al$_3$Zr precipitates, which exhibit \( r = 5 \pm 1 \) nm, Figure 11c is its complementary superlattice dark-field image, using \{100\} reflections and Figure 11d its axial superlattice dark-field image with the (100) reflection.

The nucleation of Al$_3$Zr particles in the hyperperitectic alloy provided a higher increase in hardening at peak strength condition (100 h at 650 K) than in the more dilute alloy, due to the precipitation of finer particles.

Figure 12a shows the edge of a dendrite branch in sample aged at 650 K for 400 h. It is possible to observe that near the center of the dendrite branch (lower right corner), particles present smaller radius, while towards the edge of the dendrite branch (upper left corner), particles present an increase in radius. Figure 12b, shows the periphery of dendrite branches, where particles exhibit \( r = 19 \pm 4 \) nm. In these regions, there are “rod-like” Al$_3$Zr particles, as indicated by arrows. These particles nucleate in solute depleted zones and present L1$_2$-structured Al$_3$Zr precipitates.

Figure 13a is a bright-field micrograph of a sample aged at 650 K for 400 h at the center of dendrite branches. It is possible to observe L1$_2$-structured Al$_3$Zr precipitates near a dislocation. The precipitates present antiphase boundaries - APBs, parallel to each other, as indicated by arrows. Figure 13b, a complementary dark-field image of Figure 13a,
Figure 11. (a) Bright-field micrograph with SADP of a dendritic region in an Al-0.32Zr alloy aged at 650 K for 100 h and (b) for 400 h, both showing fine Al$_3$Zr L1$_2$ precipitates. (c) and (d) are superlattice dark-field and axial superlattice dark-field images.

Figure 12. TEM bright-field micrograph of (a) edge of a dendrite and (b) an interdendritic region in an Al-0.32Zr alloy aged at 650 K for 400 h, exhibiting spherical and rod-like (arrows) Al$_3$Zr L1$_2$ precipitates.

also exhibits these structures. Their presence indicates the beginning of the L1$_2 \rightarrow$ D0$_{23}$ transition, in which an antiphase boundary is formed along {100} planes, with a $\mathbf{v} = a/2\langle 110 \rangle$ dislocation vector and the Al$_3$Zr precipitate changes its structure from face centered cubic (metastable) to tetragonal (stable), although its stoichiometry remains unchanged.

In the present study, no characteristic D0$_{23}$-structured Al$_3$Zr reflections were observed in the SADP with APBs, as {004}, {103} or {105}.$^9$ In the work of Knipling et al.$^6$, the presence of antiphase boundaries was also observed in spherical particles of samples aged after 400 h, at the temperatures of 648 K, likewise in the present study, the
authors identified the particles as the L1$_2$ strukturbericht and the presence of D0$_{23}$ reflections is not observed.

4.3.3 Microstructural evolution

Figure 14 summarizes the main measurement results of \( \langle r \rangle \) for the studied alloys after aging at 650 K. In Figure 14a, there are results for the center of the dendrite branches, while in Figure 14b, the results are from the periphery of the dendrite branches. It is possible to observe that in the later regions \( \langle r \rangle \) values are bigger than in the former, what is predicted in Eq 4, using the concentration profiles from Eq.1, which are shown in Figure 15, using the fitted values of \( k_0 = 1.48 \) and \( k_0 = 1.76 \) for the Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys, respectively. It is possible to observe that even in the more dilute alloy, at the center of dendrite branches the predicted composition is almost twice the nominal Zr composition.

![Figure 13](image1.png)

**Figure 13.** Micrographs of an Al-0.32Zr alloy aged at 650 K for 400 h showing precipitates with antiphase boundaries near a dislocation, (a) bright-field and (b) dark-field image (APBs indicated by arrows).

The difference in solute content along the dendrite branches will promote particles with distinct \( r' \) (Eq.4). Results of \( r' \) for the Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys at 650 K are presented in Figure 16. It is possible to observe that at the center of dendrite branches (lower \( f_s \) values) low \( r' \) occur for both alloys, with the Al-0.22Zr presenting slightly greater \( r' \), resulting from its lower solute content. Towards the periphery of dendrite branches (higher \( f_s \) values), \( r' \) values increase progressively reaching values such as 28 nm for the Al-0.22Zr alloy. At a given \( f_s \), Zr content is so low, provided no nucleation occurs.

The precipitates at the center of dendrite branches, Al-0.32Zr alloy in Figure 14a, presented an increase in \( r \) during aging between 100 and 400 h, although in the Al-0.22Zr alloy precipitate radii remained constant.

Eq.7 shows that the greater \( \Omega \), the greater \( r_d \) for a given temperature and time. As \( \Omega \) must be greater in the Al-0.32Zr alloy, possibly this is the reason why the experimental increase
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Figure 16. Critical radius for nucleation at 650 K for the Al-0.22Zr and Al-0.32Zr alloys.

Figure 17. (a) Variation of solute at the center of the dendrite branches during aging at 650 K, (b) variation of Ω during aging, (c) evolution of precipitate radius in the Al-0.32Zr alloy during aging and (d) variation of precipitate radius with time in the Al-0.22Zr alloy.

In radius is greater than in the Al-0.22Zr alloy at the center of dendritic branches (Figure 14a). In the latter alloy, the difference in precipitate radii between the center and periphery of dendrite branches is greater than in the Al-0.32Zr alloy. Considering the Gibbs-Thomson effect, the solute content in the matrix near the smaller precipitates will be greater than near the biggest particles. This will create concentration gradients that lead to solute diffusion in the direction of the largest particles, therefore reducing Ω at the center of dendrite branches. This difference in solute concentration as a function of particle radius is expressed in Eq. (12). This mechanism is characteristic of coarsening, where volume diffusion controls the process (Lifschitz-Sliozov-Wagner - LSW theory) (Eq.16), where \( r_0 \) is the precipitate radius at the onset of coarsening and \( k \), the coalescence rate. In this mechanism, the larger particles drain solute from the smaller ones, provided the former increase in size.
\[ r^3 - r_0^3 = k_r (t - t_0) \]  

(16)

Figure 17a presents the results for Eq. (10). It is possible to observe that during aging at 650 K, the solute content in both the Al-0.32Zr and Al-0.22Zr alloys (full and dashed lines, respectively) decreases with aging time, due to precipitation evolution. Besides having a greater Zr concentration, the Al-0.32Zr alloy present a lower interparticle spacing, thus increasing the Zr consumption during aging, for particle growth.

The reduction in Zr content in the matrix, therefore, reduces the supersaturation at the center of dendrite branches (Figure 17b), reducing the potential for particle growth of the Al-0.32Zr and Al-0.22Zr alloys (Figures 17c and d, respectively).

In Figure 17c, the model (full line, Eq.13) predicts an increase in radius (\( r_\text{m} \)) that is also observed experimentally, resulting in a low RSS for the Al-0.32Zr alloy (RSS = 1.79). The dashed line \( C_m \) is the prediction of particle growth without solute loss due to precipitation. In Figure 17d, the predicted increase in radius is lower than in Figure 17c, largely due to the lower \( Q \). The experimentally observed precipitate radii presented a negligible increase comparing with the Al-0.32Zr alloy. The goodness of fit reduced due to the greater residual at 100 h of aging. The RSS in this case is greater: RSS = 7.78. It is possible that in the Al-0.22Zr alloy, the nucleation of larger particles at the periphery of dendrite branches (Figure 17b), reducing the potential for particle growth of the Al-0.32Zr and Al-0.22Zr alloys (Figures 17c and d, respectively).

Due to its sizes, it is possible to infer that \( L_1 \)-structured Al-Zr precipitates remained coherent until 400 h after aging at 650 or 700 K at the center of dendrite branches, although at the periphery of dendrite branches, after aging at 650 K for 400 h particles presented (\( \tau = 107 \) nm, over the threshold value for the loss of coherency;

The experimental results of the increase in precipitate radius presented overall goodness of fit with the theoretical values for diffusional particle growth.
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