The contributions of dark matter annihilation to the global 21cm spectrum observed by the EDGES experiment
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The EDGES experiment has observed an absorption feature in the global 21cm spectrum with a surprisingly large amplitude. These results can be explained by decreasing the kinetic temperature of baryons, which could be achieved through the scattering between the baryons and cold dark matter particles. It seems that the mostly researched dark matter annihilation model is not able to explain such a large amplitude, since the interactions between the particles produced by the dark matter annihilation and the particles that have been present in the Universe could increase the baryonic temperature. Recently, C. Feng and G. Holder have suggested that the large amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could be produced by considering the possible excess of the early radio radiation. In this paper, we propose that the dark matter annihilation still works to explain the large amplitude observed by the EDGES experiment. By considering the possible excess of the early radio radiation, the large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could be produced even including the dark matter annihilation.
I. INTRODUCTION

As an important way of exploring the "dark ages" of the Universe, the global 21cm spectrum has been studied in theory by many works; see, e.g., Refs. [1–3]. Recently, the EDGES experiment reported the observational results on the global 21cm spectrum, which finds an absorption feature at the redshift \( z \sim 17 \) with a surprisingly large amplitude \( T_{21} \sim 500 \text{ mK} \) [4], twice as large as expected. The amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum is accounted for the competitions among the kinetic temperature \( (T_k) \), the CMB thermodynamic temperature \( (T_{\text{CMB}}) \) and the spin temperature \( (T_s) \). One possible way of explaining the observed large amplitude is decreasing the kinetic temperature \( T_k \), which could be achieved if the scattering between the baryons and cold dark matter particles is present [5–8]. Another possible way is enhancing the temperature of the cosmic radio background [9–11]. In Ref. [12], the ARCADE-2 experiment reported the excess of the cosmic radio background in the frequency \( \nu < 1 \text{ GHz} \), the corresponding temperature can be fitted with a form

\[
T(\nu) = T_0 + T_e \left( \frac{\nu}{1 \text{ GHz}} \right)^\alpha ,
\]

where \( T_0 = 2.729 \pm 0.004 \text{ K} \) is the CMB thermodynamic temperature at \( z = 0 \), \( T_e = 1.19 \pm 0.14 \text{ K} \) and \( \alpha = -2.62 \pm 0.04 \). The excess of the cosmic radio background, not explained easily by the standard sources, could be from the early radio sources such as the radio-loud quasars; see, e.g., Refs. [13–17]. Some authors have found that the radio excess could be explained by the dark matter annihilation [18–20]. The main point is that the electrons produced during the dark matter annihilation could emit the synchrotron radiation within the cosmic magnetic field. On the other hand, the evolution of the Universe could be influenced by the dark matter annihilation [21–27]. One of the influences is heating the intergalactic medium (IGM) and enhancing the kinetic temperature \( T_k \). Therefore, it seems that the dark matter annihilation is not able to explain the observed large amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum [28, 29]. In Ref. [10], the authors found that the amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum could reach a very large amplitude, \( T_{21} \sim 1100 \text{ mK} \), even with 10 percent of the observed radio excess. In this paper, we propose that although the dark matter annihilation can increase the kinetic temperature, it could still explain the observed large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum. By considering the possible excess of the early radio radiation caused by, such as the early radio sources, even the dark matter annihilation heats the IGM, a large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could appear, which is consistent with the observational results of the EDGES experiment.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we review the basic quantities of the global 21cm signal. The influences of dark matter annihilation and the first stars on IGM are investigated in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we investigate the global 21cm spectrum including the dark matter annihilation and the excess of the cosmic radio background. The conclusions and discussions are given in Sec. V.

II. THE BASIC QUANTITIES OF THE GLOBAL 21CM SIGNAL

In this section, we briefly review the basic quantities of the global 21cm signal. For detailed discussions, one can refer to, e.g., Refs. [1–3] and references therein. The 21cm signal is accounted for by the transition of the hyperfine split of the hydrogen atoms. The ground state of hydrogen can split into triplet and singlet states, and the energy change of the two levels is \( E = 5.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV} \) corresponding to the wavelength of photon \( \lambda = 21 \text{ cm} \). The spin temperature \( T_s \) is defined as

\[
\frac{n_1}{n_0} = 3 \exp \left( -\frac{T_s}{T_s} \right) ,
\]

where \( n_1 \) and \( n_0 \) are the number densities of hydrogen atoms in triplet and singlet states, and \( T_s \) is the equivalent temperature corresponding to the transition energy.

The spin temperature is mainly effected by (i) the background photons; (ii) the collisions of the hydrogen atoms with other particles; (iii) the resonant scattering of Ly\( \alpha \) photons. Including these factors, the spin temperature can be written as [21, 22].

\footnote{One should notice that the radio excess explained by the dark matter annihilation is observed at \( z = 0 \).}
\[ T_s = \frac{T_{\text{CMB}} + (y_\alpha + y_c)T_k}{1 + y_\alpha + y_c}, \]  

where \( y_\alpha \) corresponds to the Wouthuysen-Field effect, and in this work we adopt the form used in Refs. \[22, 30\].

\[ y_\alpha = \frac{P_{10}T_s}{A_{10}T_k} e^{-0.3(1+z)^{0.5}T_k^{-2/3}(1+0.4/T_k)^{-1}} \]

where \( A_{10} = 2.85 \times 10^{-15} \text{s}^{-1} \) is the Einstein coefficient of the hyperfine spontaneous transition. \( P_{10} = 1.3 \times 10^9 \text{J} \) is the de-excitation rate of the hyperfine triplet state due to Ly\( \alpha \) scattering. \( J_\alpha \) is the intensity of Ly\( \alpha \) radiation \[31, 32\],

\[ J_\alpha = \frac{c(1+z)^2}{4\pi} \int_{z}^{z_{\text{max}}} \epsilon(\nu', z') H(z') dz' \]

where \( \nu' = \nu_\alpha (1+z')/(1+z) \). \( \epsilon(\nu', z') \) is the comoving photon emissivity \[1, 31–33\]. Theoretically, the star formation affected by dark matter annihilation could influence the Ly\( \alpha \) radiation, see e.g., Refs. \[22, 34, 35\]. In this work, we neglect this effect which will be discussed detailedly in the near future work. In Eq. (3), \( y_c \) corresponds to the collision effect between hydrogen atoms, electrons and protons, and in this work, we adopt the form used in Refs. \[22, 30, 36, 37\].

\[ y_c = \frac{(C_{\text{HH}} + C_{\text{eH}} + C_{\text{pH}}) T_s}{A_{10}T_k} \]

where \( C_{\text{HH, eH, pH}} \) are the de-excitation rate and we adopt the forms used in Refs. \[30, 36\].

In general, the mostly used quantity for the observation of the global 21cm signal is the brightness temperature \( T_{21} \) which can be written as \[21, 32\]

\[ T_{21} = 26(1 - x_e) \left( \frac{\Omega_b h}{0.02} \right) \left( \frac{0.3}{\Omega_m} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1 + z}{10} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( 1 - \frac{T_{\text{CMB}}}{T_s} \right) \text{mK}, \]

where \( x_e \) is the fraction of free electrons.

### III. THE INFLUENCES OF DARK MATTER ANNIHILATION AND THE FIRST STARS ON THE INTERGALACTIC MEDIUM

Dark matter as the main component of the Universe has been confirmed by many observations while its nature is still unknown. There are many dark matter models and the mostly researched one is weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) \[38–40\]. According to the theory, WIMPs could annihilate into normal particles, such as photons, electrons and positrons. There are interactions between the particles produced by the dark matter annihilation and the particles present in the Universe. These interactions could influence the evolution of the IGM and the main influences on IGM are heating, ionization and excitation \[21–26\]. Including the dark matter annihilation, the changes of the ionization degree \( (x_e) \) and the temperature of IGM \( (T_k) \) with the time are \[21, 26\]

\[ (1 + z) \frac{dx_e}{dz} = \frac{1}{H(z)} [R_e(z) - I_e(z) - I_{\text{DM}}(z)], \]

\[ (1 + z) \frac{dT_k}{dz} = \frac{8\sigma_{\alpha R} T_{\text{CMB}}^4 x_e}{3m_e c H(z)} \left( 1 + f_{\text{He}} + x_e \right) (T_k - T_{\text{CMB}}) \]

\[- \frac{2}{3k_B H(z)} \left( 1 + f_{\text{He}} + x_e \right) + T_k, \]
where $R_s(z)$ and $I_s(z)$ are the standard recombination rate and ionization rate, respectively. $I_{DM}$ and $K_{DM}$ are the ionization rate and heating rate caused by the dark matter annihilation \cite{24,26}. For our purposes, the influences of dark matter annihilation on the evolution of the IGM should be included in order to investigate the changes of $T_k$ with time. In this paper, we follow the methods presented in Refs. \cite{24,26} and modify the public code RECFAST \cite{23} to include the effects of dark matter annihilation. Including the dark matter annihilation, for example, at the redshift $z \sim 20$, the kinetic temperature $T_k$ and ionization degree $x_e$ could reach up to $T_k \sim 100$ K and $x_e \sim 0.001$, respectively \cite{21,22,41}.

If we do not include the dark matter annihilation, there are several standard processes that could influence the evolution of IGM \cite{1,3,42}. At high redshift, Compton scattering between CMB photons and the free electrons is the main source of heating. After the formation of the first luminous structures, X-rays from e.g. galaxies and quasars are dominant for heating. The luminosity of X-ray is proportional to the star formation rate, which is proportional to the differential increase of the baryon collapse fraction \cite{1,3,42}. The energy deposited in the IGM from X-rays can be written as \cite{22,42}

$$\epsilon_X(z) \approx 1.09 \times 10^{-31} f_X f_s \left[ \frac{\rho_{b,0}(1+z)^3}{h} \right] \left| \frac{df_{\text{coll}}(z)}{dt} \right|,$$

(10)

where $f_{\text{coll}}(z)$ is the collapse fraction \cite{22,42}. $f_X$ is a correction factor referring to the differences of the X-rays between the low and high redshifts. Given the fact that there are a lot of uncertainties for the X-rays from the high redshift objects, $f_X$ is model dependent and in general $f_X \gtrsim 1$ \cite{42}. In this work, we take the conservative and reasonable value as $f_X = 1$. $f_s$ is the star formation efficiency and is model dependent. In Ref. \cite{44}, the authors found that the star formation efficiency is $f_s \approx 0.001 - 0.01$ for normal spiral galaxies and $f_s \approx 0.01 - 0.1$ for starburst galaxies, respectively. In this work, we take the conservative value as $f_s = 0.001$ \cite{42,44,45}. The intensity of Ly$\alpha$ radiation from the X-rays can be written as \cite{1,46}

$$J_{\alpha,X} = \frac{c}{4\pi h(z)\nu_\alpha} \frac{1}{H(z)} \frac{\epsilon_X}{h\nu_\alpha},$$

(11)

The scattering between the neutral hydrogen atoms and the photons in the Lyman-series resonances could also heat the IGM. In Refs. \cite{51,47}, the authors found that the energy deposition rate of this process is very small and we neglect this process in this work. Another heating source is the shocks in the IGM and the shock heating is also model dependent. In Ref. \cite{2}, the authors found that the effects of shock heating on the IGM are $\lesssim 10\%$. In this work, we do not include this process.

The evolution of $T_s$ and $T_k$ with and without dark matter annihilation is shown in Fig. 1. For comparison, the evolution of $T_s$, $T_k$ and $T_{\text{CMB}}$ without the influences of reionization sources is also shown (thin solid black lines). Compared with the case without reionization sources, the temperature of IGM increases after the redshift $z \sim 20$ due to the presence of the heating sources. The spin temperature $T_s$ decouples from $T_k$ at the redshift $z \sim 200$ and is coupled to $T_k$ again after the redshift $z \sim 20$. The evolution of $y_\alpha$ with time is shown in Fig. 2. One of the factors that could influence $y_\alpha$ is the intensity of the Ly$\alpha$ radiation. In Ref. \cite{22}, the authors investigated the evolution of $J_\alpha$ for the cases with and without dark matter annihilation (Fig. 5 in Ref. \cite{22}). It was found that the Ly$\alpha$ background is mainly from the dark matter annihilation during the dark ages, while the contributions from the first stars are dominant after the redshift $z \sim 30$. In Fig. 2, it can be seen that, due to the dark matter annihilation, the values of $y_\alpha$ are larger than that of without dark matter at early times, while the strong gas heating effect reduces the values of $y_\alpha$ after the redshift $z \sim 30$. One should notice that the evolution of $T_s$ and $T_k$ is model dependent, and for detailed discussions, one can refer to e.g. Refs. \cite{3,21,42}.

IV. THE GLOBAL 21CM SPECTRUM INCLUDING THE EXCESS OF THE COSMIC RADIO BACKGROUND

As shown in the above section, the temperature of IGM increases due to the dark matter annihilation. Therefore, the observed large amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could not be explained easily if the dark matter annihilation were included. In this section, we show that the observed large amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could still be explained even including the dark matter annihilation if the excess of the cosmic radio background is included.
As mentioned in Sec. I, the excess of the cosmic radio background in the frequency \( \nu < 1 \) GHz has been observed by the ARCADE-2 experiment. The excess could not be explained easily by the standard sources, such as the galactic emission or extragalactic sources counts [17, 48]. In Ref. [49], the authors found that the radio excess could be explained in the presence of the magnetic turbulence and shocks in merging galaxy clusters, where the non-thermal electrons are re-accelerated via Alfvén waves. Other possible sources of the radio excess would be from the high redshift objects. Considering the uncertainties of the radio sources in early times, the excess fraction of the radio background at the high redshift would be small. Moreover, at the high redshift, including the radio excess the intensity of the radio radiation background would be larger than that of CMB at a rest wavelength of 21 cm [10]. Therefore, following Ref. [10], we write the corresponding temperature of the radio radiation background as

\[
T_{\text{CMB}}(\nu) = T_0 + \beta T_e \left( \frac{\nu}{1 \text{ GHz}} \right)^\alpha,
\]

where \( \beta \) is a free parameter describing the excess fraction of the cosmic radio background at early times. For our purposes, we set \( \nu = 1420 \text{ MHz}/(1 + z) \). It should be noticed that one should use the form \( T_{\text{CMB}} = T_{\text{CMB}}(\nu)(1 + z) \) to calculate the brightness temperature \( T_{21} \) in Eq. (7).

The global 21 cm spectrum in the redshift \( 10 \lesssim z \lesssim 30 \) is shown in Fig. 3. For our calculations, we set the thermally averaged cross section of dark matter annihilation as \( \langle \sigma v \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \). As shown in Fig. 1, the kinetic...
temperature $T_k$ is enhanced in the presence of dark matter annihilation after the redshift $z \sim 30$. For the coupling factor $y_\alpha$, it is depressed for the case of dark matter annihilation after the redshift $z \sim 30$. Therefore, the large absorption feature in the global 21 cm spectrum could not be explained easily if the dark matter annihilation were included. From Fig. it can be seen that including the excess of the cosmic radio background, the large absorption amplitude could appear in the presence of dark matter annihilation. The absorption amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum could reach up to $T_{21} \sim 550$ mK at the redshift $z \sim 16$ for $M_{DM} = 100$ GeV and $\beta = 0.1$ (solid red thin line). For $M_{DM} = 1$ TeV and $\beta = 0.01$ (dotted red thin line), the comparable absorption amplitude of the global 21cm signal appears at the redshift $z \sim 17$. For comparison, we also show the global 21cm spectrum for (i) the case without dark matter (solid black bold line); (ii) the case without dark matter but with the radio excess (solid blue line); (iii) the case without the radio excess but with dark matter annihilation (solid and dashed green bold lines).

It can be seen that the absorption amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum decreases for the case with dark matter annihilation. Similar effects could also be found e.g. in Refs. [21, 22, 37]. As mentioned in Sec. II, shocks in the IGM could also be a heating source. The amplitude of the global 21cm signal would be decreased about $\lesssim 10\%$ if shocking is included.

One issue that should be noticed is that the dark matter particle with a mass $M_{DM} \sim 1$ TeV could also be used to explain the excess of the positrons flux observed by the DAMPE or AMS-2 experiments [50–53]. On the other hand, the dark matter annihilation has influences on the anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background [24, 25, 54], and the main influences are on the thermal and reionization history of the IGM. Therefore, the constraints on the parameters of dark matter could be obtained from the observational results, e.g. the Planck data. In Ref. [54], the authors have got the constraints on the dark matter parameters as $M_{DM} \gtrsim 20$ GeV for $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-26}$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. Therefore, the parameters of the dark matter used here are within the current allowed parameter space.

Another issue that should be noticed is that the electrons and positrons from the dark matter annihilation could emit the synchrotron radiation in the magnetic field of the Universe. This radiation could contribute to the excess of the cosmic radio background in the frequency $\nu \lesssim 1$ GHz, which has been observed by the ARCADE-2 experiment [18–20, 55]. In Refs. [18, 19], the authors found that the excess of the cosmic radio background could be explained by the dark matter annihilation, for example, with the dark matter mass $M_{DM} \sim 20$ GeV and the thermally averaged cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 3 \times 10^{-26}$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel. At early times, the contributions of the dark matter annihilation to the cosmic radio background should be smaller compared to the standard sources such as the radio-loud quasars [18], since the cosmic magnetic field is very weak, $B \lesssim 1$ nG [1].

In brief, we have considered the popular dark matter annihilation model. The dark matter annihilation has influences on the evolution of the Universe. One of the influences is heating the IGM. Therefore, the absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could be reduced or washed out if the dark matter annihilation were included. To explain the observational results of the EDGES experiment, one of the methods is enhancing the cosmic radio background. By considering the excess of the cosmic radio background at high redshift, the heating effects of dark matter annihilation could be weakened. On the other hand, if there is a large radio excess at high redshift, the absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could be very large. For this case, the dark matter annihilation could provide a kind of way of pulling the amplitude back.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

Recently, the EDGES experiment has reported a large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum. One possible way of explaining the results is decreasing the kinetic temperature. Therefore, it seems that the observed results could not be explained easily if the dark matter annihilation is included due to its heating effects on the IGM. In this work, we have proposed that by considering the excess of the cosmic radio background at early times, although the dark matter annihilation could increase the kinetic temperature, the large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could also be produced. For example, for dark matter mass $M_{DM} = 1$ TeV and $\sim 1\%$ excess of the cosmic radio background, the absorption amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum could reach up to $T_{21} \sim 550$ mK at the redshift $z \sim 17$.

The excess of the cosmic radio background reported by the ARCADE-2 experiment can not be explained easily by the standard sources. The radio excess would be contributed (all or partly) by the redshifted radiation produced at high redshift. Since the dark matter could annihilate into electrons, therefore, it is naturally expected that the synchrotron radiation from these electrons could contribute to the excess of the cosmic radio background. In Refs. [18, 19],

$^4$ This value is much smaller than that of the present, $B \sim 1\mu$G.

$^5$ In general, the dark matter annihilation can be neglected, and only the radio excess is included. The large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum could also be produced [10]. However, because there is no observational evidence that the dark matter can not annihilate, it is interesting and worth it to include the dark matter annihilation during the evolution of the Universe.
FIG. 3. The global 21cm spectrum in the redshift $10 \leq z \leq 30$ including the dark matter annihilation and the excess of the cosmic radio background. Here we set the thermally averaged cross section of dark matter annihilation as $(\sigma v) = 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, the mass of dark matter particle and the parameter $\beta$ as $M_{DM} = 100 \text{ GeV}, \beta = 0.1$ (solid red thin line); $M_{DM} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \beta = 0.01$ (dotted red thin line). For comparison, we also show the global 21cm spectrum for (i) the case without dark matter (solid black bold line); (ii) the case without the excess of the cosmic radio background ($\beta = 0$), $M_{DM} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ (solid green bold line) and $M_{DM} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ (dashed green bold line); (iii) the case without dark matter but with the excess of the cosmic radio background ($\beta = 0.01$, solid blue bold line). The horizontal lines correspond to the temperature of the global 21cm spectrum observed by EDGES experiments, $T_{21} = -500_{-500}^{+200} \text{ mK}$ [4, 27].

authors found that the radio excess can be explained by the dark matter annihilation. However, one should notice that the contributions of the radio radiation from the dark matter annihilation are mainly from the late times when the intensity of the cosmic magnetic field is large [19]. At high redshift the contributions of dark matter annihilation to the cosmic radio background would be limited for the standard dark matter halos, because the cosmic magnetic field is weak. However, other astrophysical sources such as the radio-loud quasars could be the sources of the cosmic radio background excess.

In conclusion, we have shown that the popular dark matter annihilation model still works to explain the surprisingly large absorption amplitude of the global 21cm spectrum in the presence of the cosmic radio background excess, which could be caused by astrophysical sources such as the radio-loud quasars. There are some other different effects that could influence our final results, such as the effects of different dark matter annihilation models on the structure formation, the evolution of IGM and the Ly$\alpha$ radiation. In theory, the constraints on the dark matter model, such as the lower limit constraints on the dark matter mass, could be obtained from the observational results of the EDGES experiment. Moreover, for the small dark matter mass, even including 100% of the cosmic radio background excess it is still not possible to explain the large absorption amplitude in the global 21cm spectrum, because the heating effect from dark matter annihilation is too strong. More detailed calculations and possible constraints on the different dark matter models will be given in the near future work.
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