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Kingdom-Agnostic Metagenomics and the Importance of Complete Characterization of Enteric Microbial Communities
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Advanced sequencing techniques have shown that bacteria are not the only complex and important microbes in the human intestine. Nonbacterial organisms, particularly the virome and the mycobiome, are important regulators of intestinal immunity and inflammation. The virome is mucosal and systemic; it can alter the host response to bacteria and interact with host genes and bacteria to contribute to disease pathogenesis. The human mycobiome is also complex and can contribute to intestinal inflammation. We review what has recently been learned about the nonbacterial and nonarchaeal microbes in the gastrointestinal tract, discussing their potential effects on health and disease and analytical approaches for their study. Studies of associations between the microbiome and intestinal pathology should incorporate kingdom-agnostic approaches if we are to fully understand intestinal health and disease.
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The microbes that inhabit the intestine can be broadly divided into prokaryotes (bacteria and archaea), bacteriophages, eukaryotic viruses, and the meiofauna. Of these, bacteria have been the most thoroughly studied. However, it has become increasingly evident that transkingdom interactions in the intestinal tract influence health and disease (Figure 1). Harboring 100 trillion prokaryotic cells at densities of $10^{11}$ to $10^{12}$ cells/mL, the human gastrointestinal (GI) tract is one of the most complex microbial ecosystems on Earth.1 Bacteriophages, viruses that infect prokaryotes, are routinely observed to be ~10-fold more abundant than prokaryotes in the same environment and exhibit extensive diversity.5,6 These complex communities interact in a predator-prey relationship, creating a dynamic community structure. Moreover, bacteriophages are a source of horizontal gene transfer among prokaryotic communities.4 An increased understanding of prokaryotes and their bacteriophages is required to fully understand the intestinal metagenome.

There is increasing evidence for the presence of a eukaryotic virome in asymptomatic subjects. This virome includes a range of viruses (an average of nearly 10 infections per healthy person) that permanently infect the host.5 These systemic viruses have profound effects on innate and adaptive immunity. This is particularly evident for herpesviruses, which have been shown to confer protection against influenza virus, adenovirus, and Listeria and Yersinia infections,6–9 exacerbate symptoms of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis,9,10 and reduce autoimmunity in models of lupus.11 Therefore, systemic and local viral infections likely influence the nature of immunity and inflammation in tissues, including those of the GI tract.6–12

The GI tract is also colonized with less abundant microbial eukaryotes. These include a fungal “mycobiome” and other microbial eukaryotes, such as the protozoa Blastocystis.13,14 Combined, these microbial eukaryotes constitute the meiofauna.15 Meiofauna are metazoans with body sizes between 45 μm and 1 mm. This definition also includes eggs and juvenile stages of larger species, including those of parasitic helminths such as Ascaris. The effects of meiofaunal disease have been studied extensively in humans and mice.16–18 Meiofauna have been reported to protect against several immune-mediated diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, type 1 diabetes mellitus, and asthma (reviewed by Elliott and Weinstock19). A full catalogue of meiofauna present in healthy and unhealthy subjects has yet to be completed. This catalogue would assist in identifying...
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appropriate models in which to perform mechanistic studies designed to elucidate their effects on human health.

The GI microbiome is therefore a complex community comprising prokaryotic and nonprokaryotic microbes that includes bacteriophages, the eukaryotic virome, and the meiofauna. Studying such a complex community offers new opportunities and challenges. Many of these challenges can be met by taking advantage of modern nucleic acid sequencing techniques. These techniques will expand our understanding of the total metagenome in GI health and disease and could lead to new therapeutic and diagnostic strategies.

The Prokaryotic Virome

Recent studies have shown that a complex array of viruses inhabit the intestine and could be important for gut homeostasis. The most common of the enteric viruses in the human gut, the bacteriophages, infect prokaryotes. Viruses that infect archaeal organisms have been less well studied. Bacteriophages are rapidly evolving and have been shown to outnumber their hosts 10 to 1, thereby applying constant evolutionary pressure. Bacteriophages are classified by the nature of their nucleic acid and virion morphology. There are 2 broad categories: the tailed, double-stranded DNA viruses of the order Caudovirales (families Podoviridae, Siphoviridae, and Myoviridae) and the nontailed, cubic or filamentous viruses, which are largely composed of single-stranded DNA viruses (family Microviridae). The Caudovirales and Microviridae are the most abundant bacteriophages in the human gut, although several other bacteriophages are readily detected. GI bacteriophages have not been completely cataloged, but studies have shown the extreme diversity of these populations.

Bacteriophages infect their hosts via virulent (lytic) or temperate (lysogenic) strategies. Temperate bacteriophages (prophages) latently integrate genetic material into prokaryotic genomes or reside as extrachromosomal plasmids. Prophage induction often results from environmental stress such as nitric oxide, antibiotics, and nutrient availability, which leads to rapid viral replication and bacterial cell lysis. Many of these environmental stresses and additional metabolic stresses or signals are likely present during development of GI disease.

In addition to inducing cell lysis, bacteriophages transfer genetic material from one prokaryote to another. This may result in transmission of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes and alter the pathogenic and resistance landscape of a prokaryotic community. Bacteriophage-induced changes in prokaryotic community structure and function may have broad implications for health and GI disease. For example, prophage lysogeny might provide Enterococcus faecalis with a competitive advantage in vivo.

Acute changes in the microbiome have also been observed after administration of bacteriophages to mice, suggesting that bacteriophages may be developed to manipulate the microbiome as therapeutic agents.

Advances in Analysis of Intestinal Bacteriophages

A glimpse into the diversity of bacteriophages in human stool was made possible by deep sequencing virus-like particles (VLPs). Nearly 60% of VLP sequences were found to differ from anything within sequence databases (a common theme in virome studies, discussed further in the following text). Of the sequences similar to reference
bacteriophages, more than 80% were from temperate pro-
phages and members of the *Siphoviridae* family, and the
remainder largely consisted of other *Caudovirales* and
*Microviridae*. To date, the fecal virome studies in healthy
subjects have led to several important observations: (1)
there is little intrapersonal variation in bacteriophages, (2)
there is a high degree of interpersonal variation in bacte-
riophages, even among genetically related subjects, (3)
temperate bacteriophages are prevalent, (4) the diversity of
bacteriophages increases in adulthood, and (5) diet affects
the bacteriophage community structure.\textsuperscript{3,24,25,32} Furthermore,
antibiotic resistance gene annotations were observed in
VLP preparations from mice given antibiotics.\textsuperscript{33} Enrich-
ment of VLPs and modern sequencing technologies allow for
investigation of virome diversity at an unprecedented level
and will be valuable for studies of host-associated viromes.

Despite advances in sequencing technology, we have
been unable to classify most sequences obtained from VLP
preparations. The studies discussed in the preceding text
were unable to classify 60% to 87% of VLP sequences or
contigs.\textsuperscript{3,25,33} Development of more extensive bacteriophage
reference databases or new classification schemes is
required to better describe bacteriophage gene content and
compare bacteriophage communities between individual
subjects or groups. Given the importance of bacteriophage
regulation of the bacterial microbiome, a major effort is
warranted.

**How Do Bacteriophages Affect Human GI Function?**

Intestinal health is associated with a diverse prokaryotic
community; reduced bacterial diversity is associated with
diseases such as IBD.\textsuperscript{34} The exchange of fitness genes,
including antibiotic and nitric oxide resistance genes, is
increased by bacteriophage-mediated transmission of ge-
netic material.\textsuperscript{29,33} Intestinal inflammation, a feature of IBD,
might stimulate prophage induction, based on reports of
abnormal enteric bacteriophage communities in patients
with IBD.\textsuperscript{35,36} Microscopy and nucleic acid sequencing
studies have shown increases in *Caudovirales* and bacte-
riophages in ileal tissues from patients with IBD compared
with controls. Bacteriophages might therefore disrupt bac-
terial communities or even act directly on GI cells to pro-
mote the development of IBD.

Little is known about interactions between bacterio-
phages and mammalian cells. Bacterial translocation is
associated with intestinal disease and results from break-
down in the intestinal barrier,\textsuperscript{37} yet bacteriophage trans-
location and its association with disease is less clear. A study
of 19 patients with Crohn’s disease (CD) and 18 healthy
controls provided evidence that bacteriophages can spread
systemically; *Mycobacterium* bacteriophages were isolated
from blood samples from patients with CD and controls.\textsuperscript{38}
Studies have reported bacteriophage translocation in an-
imals (reviewed by Górski et al\textsuperscript{39}). In the circulation, bacte-
riophages would be readily available for immune detection;
they are known to induce humoral immune responses.\textsuperscript{40,41}

Additionally, bacteriophages have been reported to have
adjuvant-like effects during virus infection of eukaryotic
cells\textsuperscript{42} and to stimulate cytokine production by macrophages
in vitro.\textsuperscript{33} Furthermore, bacteriophage capsid proteins
adhere to mucin glycoproteins,\textsuperscript{44} putting them in proximity
with the intestinal epithelium, where they might interact with
the immune system. Given their abundance in the intestine,
their ability to stimulate immune responses, and their effects
on the prokaryotic community, bacteriophages should
continue to be evaluated for their roles in maintenance of GI
health and development of disease.

**The Eukaryotic Virome**

Viruses that infect eukaryotic cells in the GI tract are less
abundant than bacteriophages but are the main etiologic
agents of acute gastroenteritis,\textsuperscript{43} persist in healthy and
immunocompromised subjects,\textsuperscript{46} and can cause intestinal
disease.\textsuperscript{47} There are several well-characterized enteric viral
pathogens that cause acute enteritis or colitis (eg, rotavirus,
norovirus, adenovirus, astrovirus, and coronavirus; re-
viewed elsewhere).\textsuperscript{45,48,49} The issue of persistence of these
viruses has been understudied, but a significant proportion
of apparently healthy subjects may shed certain “patho-
genic” enteric eukaryotic viruses. For example, noroviruses
may be shed for extended periods and can be the source of
outbreaks of disease among those exposed to the carrier.\textsuperscript{50–54}
Sequencing of fecal samples from healthy chil-
dren has revealed a complex community of eukaryotic vi-
ruses that includes picobirnaviruses, adenoviruses,
anelloviruses, astroviruses, bocaviruses, enteroviruses, ro-
taviruses, and sapoviruses.\textsuperscript{46} A study of RNA viruses in the
fecal material of 2 healthy donors revealed 42 viral species,
including 35 plant RNA viruses (probably originating from
digested food) and human picobirnavirus.\textsuperscript{55} Picobirnavi-
ruses have been widely detected in stool samples from
subjects with diarrhea of unknown etiology,\textsuperscript{56–58} in healthy
subjects,\textsuperscript{46} and in livestock,\textsuperscript{59} although the pathogenic po-
tential of picobirnaviruses is unclear. The detection of these
viruses in humans indicates that many viruses capable of
infecting eukaryotic cells reside within the human GI tract.

*Anelloviridae* and *Circoviridae* families have also been
widely detected in the human gut\textsuperscript{60–62} and in some cases
systemically.\textsuperscript{63,64} Anelloviruses and circoviruses are
incredibly diverse and are present in many organisms, likely
related to their status as ancient components of the tree of
life and to the high rate of recombination among single-
stranded DNA viruses.\textsuperscript{65–67} Although it is unclear how
these viruses are transmitted to humans, anellovirus ge-
nomes are readily detected within the first few months of
life; nearly 100% of children seroconvert by the time they
are 5 years of age.\textsuperscript{64,68} Given the near ubiquitous presence
of anelloviruses and circoviruses in plants and livestock,\textsuperscript{69}
transmission by the ingestion of contaminated food seems
likely. This was recently reported for gyrovirus 4, which is a
circovirus detected in poultry products and human stool.\textsuperscript{70}

Although there is no strong evidence that anelloviruses
cause diseases in animals, a relationship has been described
between anellovirus DNA and fever in pediatric patients.\textsuperscript{71}
In contrast, circoviruses cause a wide array of diseases in birds, pigs, and, more recently, dogs. The diseases caused by dog and porcine circovirus increase in severity during coinfection with one or more other enteric pathogens, so the pathogenesis of circovirus might depend on the pathogenic effects of other organisms.

Eukaryotic Virome Dynamics in Disease

The human immune system develops over the first several years of life. Because of this and the increased susceptibility to viral gastroenteritis in newborns, many researchers have used virus-specific approaches to associate eukaryotic virome expansion with immunosuppression and disease. For example, stool samples from 2 healthy infant siblings were collected at 1-week intervals over a period of 1 year; virus shedding was analyzed using polymerase chain reaction. Interestingly, the healthy infants shed a number of different eukaryotic viruses (eg, picobirnaviruses, adenoviruses, anelloviruses, astroviruses, bocaviruses, enteroviruses, rotaviruses, and sapoviruses) for extended periods without major clinical symptoms. Another study of children with nonpolio acute flaccid paralysis and healthy controls identified several known and novel viruses in both groups of subjects. Sequencing of stool samples from pediatric patients with acute diarrhea identified known enteric pathogens and several highly divergent viruses, with as little as 35% amino acid identity to the nearest relative in GenBank. The viruses identified included new astroviruses, picobirnaviruses, caliciviruses, nodaviruses, and anelloviruses. These findings show that the human GI tract is colonized by a diverse set of viruses during early stages of development in healthy infants and those with diseases. The role of these viruses on the development and function of the immune system, as well as the overall generation of a healthy or diseased GI tract, requires further study.

It is intriguing to speculate that an immature immune system allows for prolonged eukaryotic virome expansion in children and the establishment of an enteric eukaryotic virome. However, it is not clear whether this would be an event that conditions a healthy immune system or a pathogenic process. A recent study of the enteric virome in monkeys infected with simian immunodeficiency virus could shed light on the interactions between the GI virome and the immune system. In the study, infection with simian immunodeficiency virus led to expansion of enteric eukaryotic viruses in Rhesus macaques, including picornaviruses, adenoviruses, paroviruses, circoviruses, and caliciviruses. This virome expansion corresponded with increased gut permeability and ileal epithelial pathology. Interestingly, virome expansion and disease was not observed in simian immunodeficiency virus–infected African green monkeys, which do not develop acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, suggesting that increased virus shedding, and potentially damage to the intestinal wall, is secondary to immunodeficiency.

Eukaryotic Viruses and IBD

The etiology of IBD is unclear, but environmental stimuli, microbiome composition, and genetics all appear to contribute. Genome-wide association and metadata analyses have associated 163 loci with an increased risk of CD and ulcerative colitis. These studies revealed a number of common pathways involved in the pathogenesis of IBD, including autophagy, barrier function, and adaptive and innate immunity. However, a study of monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs revealed that the concordance rates for CD and ulcerative colitis were only 35% and 16%, respectively, indicating that environmental factors are major determinants of disease development. Intestinal microbiome imbalance, or dysbiosis, is believed to be one important environmental determinant, and enteric viruses could be involved. A role for enteric virus infection in the pathogenesis of IBD was reported in mice deficient for the IBD susceptibility gene Atg16L1. In this study, IBD-like pathology developed in mice with disruptions in Atg16L1 after infection with murine norovirus but not in wild-type mice. Pathology was also dependent on the bacterial composition of the gut, shown by the reversal of virus-induced disease with antibiotic treatment. This finding indicates that a balance of enteric viruses, bacteria, and host genetic factors determine the health of the intestine and that it is important to consider the enteric virome in studies of intestinal diseases.

Introduction to Meiofauna

The diversity of meiofauna living on or in our bodies is vastly underappreciated. This is unfortunate because humans are constantly exposed to these organisms, particularly in developing countries. These meiofauna have extensive biochemical capacities and can affect the activities of human cells and those of other commensal microbes. A detailed cataloging and functional analysis of our associated eukaryotic brethren is required for a full understanding of our personal microbial ecology.

Many members of the meiofauna significantly affect morbidity and mortality, including fungi (eg, Candida, Aspergillus), unicellular Protozoa (eg, Giardia, Entamoeba), and helminthic worms (eg, Ascaris). Similar to studies on prokaryotic community structure, modern sequencing technologies have expanded our view of meiofauna abundance and diversity. Few of these studies have focused on identifying meiofauna in the human GI tract and have instead focused on other tissues such as skin. The analysis of skin for fungi is particularly informative, because the skin contains a diverse mycobiome that varies by location on the body. This is parallel to the earlier discovery of the bacterial microbiome, which relied on the use of advanced molecular techniques. No study has shown sampling to saturation, so our knowledge of meiofauna diversity in various environments will likely expand with continued analysis.

Meiofauna in the GI Microbiome

Similar to the study of eukaryotic viruses, extensive research has been dedicated to the examination of...
meiofauna in the context of infectious disease, particularly when morbidity and mortality are high (e.g., amoebiasis). However, these studies have focused primarily on epidemiology, host-pathogen interactions, and treatment.92–94 Several studies have used low-throughput sequencing or culture-based techniques to show that commensal GI meiofauna exist and may be important in promoting health or disease.95–98 A summarized overview of meiofauna regularly associated with the human GI tract is shown in Figure 2. Blastocystis, a single-celled protozoan, is a common GI inhabitant, as are fungi from the genus Candida.97,98 Moreover, restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of 18S ribosomal DNA clones identified 37 different fungal types, including several species of Aspergillus and Saccharomyces, among other diverse fungal genera in the human GI tract.95 These findings were later supported and expanded to include members of Penicillium and Pneumocystis.96 All of these studies were relatively limited in the number of samples processed across different demographic groups, but the trend is that high-throughput molecular techniques identified higher levels of diversity than low-throughput techniques.

Two important studies used modern metagenomic sequencing techniques to analyze the human intestinal meiofauna. The first study was an element of the reports from the Human Microbiome Consortium in 2012. In parallel to the efforts of the Consortium to characterize prokaryotic communities using 16s ribosomal RNA amplicon sequencing, there was an effort to use random, shotgun sequencing, which captured meiofaunal (and other) sequences. Using this approach, <1% of the reads mapped to nonbacterial taxa,99 most of which were fungal, represented by the taxa Ascomycota (which includes Saccharomyces and Candida) and Microsporidia. The remaining eukaryotic assigned reads were represented by Hexamitidae (which includes the genus Giardia), Trichomonadidae, and Entamoeba.100-102 More recently, the fungal meiofauna of 96 stool samples from healthy subjects were analyzed using 454 pyrosequencing of the ITS1 gene fragment.103 In total, 66 fungal genera were identified and fungal sequences were detected in 100% of the samples. Per individual fungal sequence diversity was relatively low compared with prokaryotic diversity; most subjects had fewer than 10 detectable genera. Similar to early studies, Saccharomyces and Candida were the dominant fungal taxa. The investigators also analyzed populations of Archaea in the same stool samples and correlated abundance of Archaea with fungal taxa. In doing so, they correlated abundance of the Archaea Methanobrevibacter with Candida in samples from subjects with carbohydrate-rich diets. These findings indicate that diet can affect proportions of meiofauna and that there is potential for trans-kingdom interactions in the GI tract.

Meiofauna and GI Disease

The incidence of IBD is higher in developed countries than in the developing world.104 This is likely due to several host and environmental factors. One widely considered environmental factor is decreased meiofauna exposure in industrialized communities. This is particularly evident for helminths and Blastocystis, which have been inversely correlated with the incidence of IBD105 and protect animal models of IBD by suppressing inflammation.106-108

Figure 2. Simplified taxonomic overview of meiofauna in the human GI tract. An overview of the common meiofauna present in the human intestine. Opisthokonta include animals, fungi, and many microbial eukaryotic organisms; Amoebozoa are unicellular amoeboid protozoa; Fornicata are unicellular flagellated protozoa; Alveolata contain eukaryotes such as the apicomplexa, ciliates, and dinoflagellates; and Stramenopiles are a major line of eukaryotes containing a wide range of species from algae to diatoms.
protection could result from meiofauna-mediated alterations in the intestinal bacterial community or direct interactions between meiofauna and host cells. A study of 78 patients with IBD and 75 healthy subjects (controls) revealed that T-cell responses to helminth antigen were greater in controls than in patients with IBD, suggesting that exposure or perhaps robust responses to antigens protect against intestinal inflammation. In fact, helminth colonization might have therapeutic potential for patients with IBD.

Several lines of evidence indicate that increased fungal diversity contributes to GI diseases, including IBD. Serum samples from patients with IBD have increased levels of antibodies against Saccharomyces compared with controls, which has proven useful for the diagnosis of IBD but may also suggest fungal involvement in the disease process. Decreases in fungal diversity correlate with an increase in healthy bacterial colonization after probiotic therapy, suggesting a role for fungi in treatment strategies, and trans-kingdom interactions between fungi and bacteria. Studies in mice have identified an interaction between GI fungi and the immune system. The C-type lectin receptor Dectin-1 recognizes B-1,3-glucans found on fungal cell walls. Their interaction leads to production of inflammatory cytokines and T-helper 17 cell-mediated immune responses. Mice lacking Dectin-1 develop more severe chemical-induced colitis than wild-type mice and have altered responses to endogenous fungi.

One of the best examples of trans-kingdom interactions that affect human health is mucosal Candida infection after antibiotic treatment. Yeast are not directly affected by antibiotics, but antibiotic treatment alters bacterial flora, which enables yeast to proliferate. This may be due to competitive exclusion of the yeast by bacterial production of short-chain fatty acids, which may be less prominent during antibiotic treatment. These antibiotic effects can last for months and have been implicated in increases in rates of Candida albicans infection. These studies highlight the importance of trans-kingdom interactions in intestinal health and disease.

The amplicon approach is particularly challenging for viral metagenomics. Viruses are not monophyletic and do not share a conserved gene at a high level of conservation across all or even most taxa. Therefore, a single amplicon-based approach does not identify all viral types in a microbiome. In addition, most viral genes are poorly conserved. In fact, variation at the nucleotide level of up to 5% or even more occurs in quasispecies of a single virus indicating that nucleic acid conservation is not accurate as a differentiator between viruses when multiple related viruses are present. This contrasts strongly with analysis of the bacterial and meiofauna metagenome (at least to the extent that we understand them today), where sequence diversity is low in comparison.

In the absence of a single amplicon-based strategy, laboratory enrichment of VLPs, followed by random sequencing, has proven useful. This process focuses sequencing depth on viral genetic material and has enabled numerous discoveries in GI bacteriophage and eukaryotic virus populations. Unfortunately, current VLP-based protocols likely remove or destroy certain types of viruses, depending on the laboratory methods. For example, during purification of VLPs, filtrates are frequently treated with chloroform before VLP nucleic acid extraction to remove contaminating materials. This process is likely to remove or destroy enveloped viruses, producing less than comprehensive results. Although protocol modifications are required for comprehensive analyses of the total viral metagenome, the utility of these procedures is unquestionable.

Challenges for Kingdom-Agnostic Metagenomics

We must make several considerations in planning kingdom-agnostic metagenomic studies. Metagenomic sequencing randomly samples a sequence from a population of molecules, comprising full or partial microbial genomes and potentially host genetic contaminants in various relative abundances. In a GI microbiome, prokaryotes and bacteriophages are most abundant compared with relatively rare meiofauna and eukaryotic viral taxa.

There are large differences in cellular integrity among these groups (e.g., the chitinous walls of yeast cells vs proteinaceous viral capsids) and nucleic acid encapsidation (e.g., nuclear in many meiofauna vs cytoplasmic in bacteria). These differences require special consideration when the study is planned because variations in specimen storage and nucleic acid extraction may be required. Additionally, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis is required for detection of RNA-based organisms by modern sequencing technologies. Although cDNA synthesis itself is not challenging, the interpretation of results from metagenomic sequencing of a mixed pool of cDNAs and DNA can be. For example, a single-copy gene in a cell may be represented by tens or thousands of transcripts. Our inability to distinguish genes from cDNAs made from transcripts makes it difficult to assign accurate taxonomic abundances.

Techniques for Trans-kingdom Metagenomics

Taxonomic studies of meiofauna are similar to those of prokaryotic communities in that amplicon-based sequencing has proven useful. Genes of the small subunit (18S), large subunit (28S), and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions have been regularly used in meiofaunal metagenomic studies. The ITS region is of particular interest because of its high variability, which enables species-level differentiation. However, variation in genome copy number makes the interpretation of eukaryotic amplicon sequencing difficult. Although 16S ribosomal RNA copy numbers also vary in prokaryotic genomes, the variation is more extreme in eukaryotes, which have tens or thousands of copies of ribosomal genes. Thus, alternative techniques are required to confirm any quantitative interpretations.
In addition to differences in proportions of organisms, genome sizes vary greatly among organisms; viral genomes are as small as a few kilobases in size, whereas fungal genomes can be 100 megabases.\(^\text{126}\) The probability of randomly sampling from a microorganism depends on genome size and its proportion. These properties have recently been explored using a generalization of the Steven’s theorem to identify rare genomes in a mixed sample. Wendel et al.\(^\text{127}\) showed that the expected number of reads covering the target genome increases as the total number of supplied reads increases. For example, for a 50-kilobase target in 0.05% abundance and a 100-base pair read length, we would expect to identify 5 reads aligning to the target with just 18,000 input reads. This number increases as more reads are added, achieving 20 assigned reads with 55,000 input reads and so on. The investigators stated that most of these reads will exist as singletons and contig coverage will only occur as more reads are supplied. Because many reads and contigs in viral metagenomes are unique (they do not match anything in the reference database), the assembly of contigs is a highly desirable goal. Analysis of contig structure and shared contigs between samples has been informative, and until more comprehensive reference databases are generated, contig analysis will likely be the primary method for analysis of viral metagenomes.\(^\text{3,5,128}\)

As with all studies that use modern sequencing technologies, computational time and personnel expenses are major limiting components of metagenomic studies. Fortunately, many modern bioinformatic tools are available for analyzing either amplicon or shotgun sequence data.\(^\text{129,130}\) The time to complete any metagenomic analysis (be it amplicon or shotgun) depends on the questions at hand. Each type of project may require additional analyses, which are frequently unexpected, as well as expertise in the specific subject matter. This is particularly true for the non-prokaryotic members of microbial communities because of the scarcity of available reference resources, which makes annotation and classification particularly challenging. Therefore, trained computational biologists and microbiologists are required for the efficient and effective completion of a study.

**Future Directions**

Analyses of the bacterial component of the enteric microbiome have led to a greater understanding of intestinal health and disease. However, we coexist with microbes from all kingdoms of life and the importance of trans-kingdom interactions is becoming increasingly evident. Studies of intestinal health and disease must therefore consider the contributions of microbes from all kingdoms, including the virome and mycobiome. We hope this review brings to light ways to overcome some of the technical hurdles inherent to kingdom-agnostic metagenomics and the importance of a concerted effort to do so. The lessons from the analysis of the bacterial microbiome are profound for all of biology. It is extremely likely that the metagenome as a whole will contain both surprises and unexpected value.

**References**

1. Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ. Prokaryotes: the unseen majority. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95:6578–6583.
2. Suttle CA. Viruses in the sea. Nature 2005;437:356–361.
3. Minot S, Bryson A, Chehoud C, et al. Rapid evolution of the human gut virome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013; 110:12450–12455.
4. Brüssow H, Canchaya C, Hardt W-D. Phages and the evolution of bacterial pathogens: from genomic rearrangements to lysogenic conversion. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2004;68:560–602.
5. Virgin HW, Wherry EJ, Ahmed R. Redefining chronic viral infection. Cell 2009;138:30–50.
6. Barton ES, White DW, Cathelyn JS, et al. Herpesvirus latency confers symbiotic protection from bacterial infection. Nature 2007;447:326–329.
7. Saito F, Ito T, Connott JM, et al. MHV68 latency modulates the host immune response to influenza A virus. Inflammation 2013;36:1295–1303.
8. Nguyen Y, McGuffie BA, Anderson VE, et al. Gamma-herpesvirus modulation of mouse adenovirus type 1 pathogenesis. Virology 2008;380:182–190.
9. Casiraghi C, Shanina I, Cho S, et al. Gammaherpesvirus latency accentuates EAE pathogenesis: relevance to Epstein-Barr virus and multiple sclerosis. PLoS Pathog 2012;8:e1002715.
10. Peacock JW, Elsawa SF, Petty CC, et al. Exacerbation of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in rodents infected with murine gammaherpesvirus-68. Eur J Immunol 2003;33:1849–1858.
11. Larson JD, Thurman JM, Rubtsov AV, et al. Murine gammaherpesvirus 68 infection protects lupus-prone mice from the development of autoimmunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:E1092–E1100.
12. Virgin HW, Todd JA. Metagenomics and personalized medicine. Cell 2011;147:44–56.
13. Huffnagle GB, Noverr MC. The emerging world of the fungal microbiome. Trends Microbiol 2013;21:334–341.
14. Fletcher SM, Stark D, Harkness J, et al. Enteric protozoa in the developed world: a public health perspective. Clin Microbiol Rev 2012;25:420–449.
15. Blik HM, Porazinska DL, Creer S, et al. Sequencing our way towards understanding global eukaryotic biodiversity. Trends Ecol Evol 2012;27:233–243.
16. Gringhuis SI, Kaptein TM, Wevers BA, et al. Dectin-1 is an extracellular pathogen sensor for the induction and processing of IL-1β via a noncanonical caspase-8 inflammasome. Nat Immunol 2012;13:246–254.
17. Ott SJ, Kühbacher T, Musfeldt M, et al. Fungi and inflammatory bowel diseases: alterations of composition and diversity. Scand J Gastroenterol 2008;43:831–841.
18. Cekin AH, Cekin Y, Adakan Y, et al. Blastocystis in patients with gastrointestinal symptoms: a case-control study. BMC Gastroenterol 2012;12:122.
19. Elliott DE, Weinstock JV. Helminth-host immunological interactions: prevention and control of immune-mediated diseases. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012;1247:83–96.
20. Reyes A, Semenkovich NP, Whiteson K, et al. Going viral: next-generation sequencing applied to phage populations in the human gut. Nat Rev Microbiol 2012; 10:607–617.

21. Thurber RV, Haynes M, Breitbart M, et al. Laboratory procedures to generate viral metagenomes. Nat Protoc 2009;4:470–483.

22. Stern A, Sorek R. The phage-host arms race: shaping the evolution of microbes. Bioessays 2011;33:43–51.

23. Ackermann H-W. Phage classification and characterization. Methods Mol Biol 2009;501:127–140.

24. Minot S, Sinha R, Chen J, et al. The human gut virome: inter-individual variation and dynamic response to diet. Genome Res 2011;21:1616–1625.

25. Shah V, Lyford G, Gores G, et al. Nitric oxide in gastrointestinal health and disease. Gastroenterology 2004; 126:903–913.

26. Fortier L-C, Sekulovic O. Importance of prophages to evolution and virulence of bacterial pathogens. Virulence 2013;4:354–365.

27. Duerkop BA, Clements CV, Rollins D, et al. A composite bacteriophage alters colonization by an intestinal commensal bacterium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109: 17621–17626.

28. Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, et al. Human nutrition, the gut microbiota and the immune system. Nature 2011; 474:327–336.

29. Shah V, Lyford G, Gores G, et al. Nitric oxide in gastrointestinal health and disease. Gastroenterology 2004; 126:903–913.

30. Reyes A, Haynes M, Hanson N, et al. Viruses in the faecal microbiota of monozygotic twins and their mothers. Nature 2010;466:334–338.

31. Parent K, Wilson ID. Mycobacteriophage in Crohn’s disease. Gut 1971;12:1019–1020.

32. Breitbart M, Haynes M, Kelley S, et al. Viral diversity and dysbiosis in the human gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110: 20236–20241.

33. Ackermann H-W. Phage classification and characterization. Methods Mol Biol 2009;501:127–140.

34. Minot S, Sinha R, Chen J, et al. The human gut virome: inter-individual variation and dynamic response to diet. Genome Res 2011;21:1616–1625.

35. Reyes A, Haynes M, Hanson N, et al. Viruses in the faecal microbiota of monozygotic twins and their mothers. Nature 2010;466:334–338.

36. Wagner J, Maksimovic J, Farrow G, et al. Bacteriophages in gut samples from pediatric Crohn’s disease patients: metagenomic analysis using 454 pyrosequencing. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013;19:1598–1608.

37. Sandifer NG, Douek DC. Microbial translocation in HIV infection: causes, consequences and treatment opportunities. Nat Rev Microbiol 2012;10:655–666.

38. Lepage P, Colombet J, Marteau P, et al. Dysbiosis in gut samples from pediatric Crohn’s disease patients: metagenomic analysis using 454 pyrosequencing. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013;19:1598–1608.

39. Görski A, Wazna E, Dabrowska B-W, et al. Bacteriophage translocation. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2006;46:313–319.

40. Uhr JW, Dancis J, Franklin EC, et al. The antibody response to bacteriophage phi-X 174 in newborn premature infants. J Clin Invest 1962;41:1509–1513.

41. Uhr JW, Finkelstein MS, Baumann JB. Antibody formation. III. The primary and secondary antibody response to bacteriophage phi X 174 in guinea pigs. J Exp Med 1962; 115:655–670.

42. Mori K, Kubo T, Kibayashi Y, et al. Anti-vaccinia virus effect of M13 bacteriophage DNA. Antiviral Res 1996; 31:79–86.

43. Eriksson F, Tsagozis P, Lundberg K, et al. Tumor-specific bacteriophages induce tumor destruction through activation of tumor-associated macrophages. J Immunol 2009;182:3105–3111.

44. Barr J, O’Rourke M, Furlan M, et al. Bacteriophage adhering to mucus provide a non-host-derived immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110:10771–10776.

45. Clark B, McKendrick M. A review of viral gastroenteritis. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2004;17:461–469.

46. Kupasinszky B, Minor P, Delwart E. Nearly constant shedding of diverse enteric viruses by two healthy infants. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:3427–3434.

47. Cadwell K, Patel KK, Maloney NS, et al. Virus-plus-susceptibility gene interaction determines Crohn’s disease gene Atg16L1 phenotypes in intestine. Cell 2010; 141:1135–1145.

48. Glass RI, Parashar UD, Estes MK. Norovirus gastroenteritis. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1776–1785.

49. Eckardt AJ, Baumgart DC. Viral gastroenteritis in adults. Recent Pat Antiinfect Drug Discov 2011;6:54–63.

50. Attmar RL, Opekun AR, Gilger MA, et al. Norwalk virus shedding after experimental human infection. Emerg Infect Dis 2008;14:1553–1557.

51. Rockx B, de Wit M, Vennema H, et al. Natural history of foodborne norovirus gastroenteritis at an international conference traced to food handled by a post-symptomatic caterer. Epidemiol Infect 1993;111:157–162.

52. Patterson T, Hutchings P, Palmer S. Outbreak of SRSV gastroenteritis at an international conference traced to food handled by a post-symptomatic caterer. Epidemiol Infect 2002;35:246–253.

53. Cheon DS, Jeong HS, Jeong A, et al. Seasonal prevalence of asymptomatic norovirus infection in Korean children. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2010;7:1427–1430.

54. Takahashi S, Wang Q, Chen N, et al. Characterization of emerging GII.g/GII.12 noroviruses from a gastroenteritis outbreak in the United States in 2010. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:3234–3244.

55. Zhang T, Breitbart M, Lee WH, et al. RNA viral community in human feces: prevalence of plant pathogenic viruses. PLoS Biol 2006;4:e63.

56. Bánkai K, Jakab F, Reuter G, et al. Sequence heterogeneity among human picobirnaviruses detected in a gastroenteritis outbreak. Arch Virol 2003;148:2281–2291.

57. Finkbeiner SR, Alford AF, Tarr PI, et al. Metagenomic analysis of human diarrhea: viral detection and discovery. PLoS Pathog 2008;4:e1000011.
58. van Leeuwen M, Williams MMW, Koraka P, et al. Human picobirnaviruses identified by molecular screening of diarrhea samples. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:1787–1794.

59. Ganesh B, Bányai K, Martella V, et al. Picobirnavirus infections: viral persistence and zoonotic potential. Rev Med Virol 2012;22:245–256.

60. Li L, Kapoor A, Silkas B, et al. Multiple diverse circoviruses infect farm animals and are commonly found in human and chimpanzee feces. J Virol 2010;84:1674–1682.

61. Phan TG, Li L, O’Ryan MG, et al. A third gyrovirus species in human faeces. J Gen Virol 2012;93:1356–1361.

62. Victoria JG, Kapoor A, Li L, et al. Metagenomic analyses of viruses in stool samples from children with acute flaccid paralysis. J Virol 2009;83:4642–4651.

63. Breitbart M, Rohwer F. Method for discovering novel DNA viruses in blood using viral particle selection and shotgun sequencing. BioTechniques 2005;39:729–736.

64. Ninomiya M, Takahashi M, Nishizawa T, et al. Development of PCR assays with nested primers specific for differential detection of three human anelloviruses and early acquisition of dual or triple infection during infancy. J Virol Microbiol 2008;46:507–514.

65. Walter NG, Strunk G. Strand displacement amplification as an in vitro model for rolling-circle replication: deletion formation and evolution during serial transfer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1994;91:7937–7941.

66. Lefevre P, Lett JM, Varsani A, et al. Development of PCR assays with nested primers specific for differential detection of three human anelloviruses and early acquisition of dual or triple infection during infancy. J Virol Microbiol 2008;46:507–514.

67. Scott JF, Eisenberg S, Bertsch LL, et al. A mechanism of duplex DNA replication revealed by enzymatic studies of phage phi X174: catalytic strand separation in advance of replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1977;74:193–197.

68. Ott C, Duret L, Chemin I, et al. Use of a TT virus ORF1 recombinant protein to detect anti-TT virus antibodies in human sera. J Gen Virol 2000;81:2949–2958.

69. Rosario K, Duffy S, Breitbart M. A field guide to eukaryotic circular single-stranded DNA viruses: insights gained from metagenomics. Arch Virol 2012;157:1851–1871.

70. Chu DKW, Poon LLM, Chiu SSS, et al. Characterization of a novel gyrovirus in human stool and chicken meat. J Clin Virol 2012;55:209–213.

71. TeKippe EM, Wylie KM, Deych E, et al. Increased prevalence of anelloviruses in pediatric patients with fever. PLoS One 2012;7:e50937.

72. Varsani A, Regnard GL, Bragg R, et al. Global genetic diversity and geographical and host-species distribution of beak and feather disease virus isolates. J Gen Virol 2011;92:752–767.

73. Li L, McGraw S, Zhu K, et al. Circovirus in tissues of dogs with vasculitis and hemorrhage. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:534–541.

74. Rose N, Opriessnig T, Grasland B, et al. Epidemiology and transmission of porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2). Virus Res 2012;164:78–89.

75. Opriessnig T, Halbur PG. Concurrent infections are important for expression of porcine circovirus associated disease. Virus Res 2012;164:20–32.

76. Kollmann TR, Levy O, Montgomery RR, et al. Innate immune function by Toll-like receptors: distinct responses in newborns and the elderly. Immunity 2012;37:771–783.

77. Handley SA, Thackray LB, Zhao G, et al. Pathogenic simian immunodeficiency virus infection is associated with expansion of the enteric virome. Cell 2012;151:253–266.

78. Khor B, Gardet A, Xavier RJ. Genetics and pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 2011;474:307–317.

79. Maloy KJ, Powrie F. Intestinal homeostasis and its breakdown in inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 2011;474:298–306.

80. Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, et al. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 2012;491:119–124.

81. Spehlmann ME, Begun AZ, Burghardt J, et al. Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease in a German twin cohort: results of a nationwide study. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2008;14:986–997.

82. Honda K, Littman DR. The microbiome in infectious disease and inflammation. Ann Rev Immunol 2012;30:759–795.

83. Irving PM, Gibson PR. Infections and IBD. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008;5:18–27.

84. Sun L, Nava GM, Stappenbeck TS. Host genetic susceptibility, dysbiosis, and viral triggers in inflammatory bowel disease. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2011;27:321–327.

85. Becker SL, Vogt J, Knopp S, et al. Persistent digestive disorders in the tropics: causative infectious pathogens and reference diagnostic tests. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:37.

86. Saingam P, Yap GS, Gause WC. Effect of helminth-induced immunity on infections with microbial pathogens. Nat Immunol 2013;14:1118–1126.

87. García-Vidal C, Viasus D, Carratalà J. Pathogenesis of invasive fungal infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2013;26:270–276.

88. Peng W, Criscione CD. Ascariasis in people and pigs: new inferences from DNA analysis of worm populations. Infect Genet Evol 2013;49:367–370.

89. Findley K, Oh J, Yang J, et al. Topographic diversity of fungal and bacterial communities in human skin. Nature 2013;498:298–307.

90. Edgcomb VP, Kysela DT, Teske A, et al. Benthic eukaryotic diversity in the Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent environment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:7658–7662.

91. López-García P, Moreira D. Tracking microbial biodiversity through molecular and genomic ecology. Res Microbiol 2008;159:67–73.

92. Adamu H, Wegayehu T, Petros B. High prevalence of giardiasis and amoebiasis among ART HIV patients in Fitche Hospital, Ethiopia. PloS One 2013;8:e72634.

93. Cheng S, Clancy CJ, Xu W, et al. Profiling of Candida albicans gene expression during intra-abdominal
candidiasis identifies biologic processes involved in pathogenesis. J Infect Dis 2013;208:1529–1537.

94. Albonico M, Rinaldi L, Sciascia S, et al. Comparison of three copromicroscopic methods to assess albendazole efficacy against soil-transmitted helminth infections in school-aged children on Pemba Island. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2013;107:493–501.

95. Chen Y, Chen Z, Guo R, et al. Correlation between gastrointestinal fungi and varying degrees of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;70:492–498.

96. Dollive S, Peterfreund GL, Sherrill-Mix S, et al. A tool kit for quantifying eukaryotic rRNA gene sequences from human microbiome samples. Genome Biol 2012;13: R60.

97. Nam Y-D, Chang H-W, Kim K-H, et al. Bacterial, archaeal, and eukaryal diversity in the intestines of human microbiome samples. Genome Biol 2012;13: R60.

98. Scanlan PD, Marchesi JR. Micro-eukaryotic diversity of the human distal gut microbiota: qualitative assessment using culture-dependent and -independent analysis of faeces. ISME J 2008;2:2113–1193.

99. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 2012;486:222–227.

100. Muhsen K, Levine MM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between Giardia lamblia and endemic pediatric diarrhea in developing countries. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55(suppl 4):S271–S293.

101. Sterud E, Poppe T, Børnø I. Intracellular infection with Spironucleus barkhanus (Diplomonadida: Hexamitidae) in farmed Arctic char Salvelinus alpinus. Dis Aquat Organ 2003;56:155–161.

102. Dezfulian O, Gharagozlou MJ, Rahbari S. Hexamita infection associated with diarrhoea and stunting in native turkey poult. Trop Biomed 2010;27:504–508.

103. Hofmann C, Dollive S, Grunberg S, et al. Archaea and fungi of the human gut microbiome: correlations with diet and bacterial residents. PLoS One 2013;8: e66019.

104. Bernstein CN, Shanahan F. Disorders of a modern lifestyle: reconciling the epidemiology of inflammatory bowel diseases. Gut 2008;57:1185–1191.

105. Loftus EV. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: Incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. Gastroenterology 2004;126:1504–1517.

106. Setiawan T, Metwali A, Blum AM, et al. Heligmosomoides polygyrus promotes regulatory T-cell cytokine production in the murine normal distal intestine. Infect Immun 2007;75:4655–4663.

107. Metwali A, Setiawan T, Blum AM, et al. Induction of CD8+ regulatory T cells in the intestine by Heligmosomoides polygyrus infection. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2006;291:G253–G259.

108. Elliott DE, Setiawan T, Metwali A, et al. Heligmosomoides polygyrus inhibits established colitis in IL-10-deficient mice. Eur J Immunol 2004;34:2690–2698.

109. Walk ST, Blum AM, Ewing SA-S, et al. Alteration of the murine gut microbiota during infection with the parasitic helminth Heligmosomoides polygyrus. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2010;16:1841–1849.

110. Kabeerdoss J, Pugazhendhi S, Subramanian V, et al. Exposure to hookworms in patients with Crohn’s disease: a case-control study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011;34:923–930.

111. Broadhurst MJ, Leung JM, Kashyap V, et al. IL-22+ CD4+ T cells are associated with therapeutic trichuris trichiura infection in an ulcerative colitis patient. Sci Transl Med 2010;2:60ra88.

112. Seibold F, Stich O, Hufnagl R, et al. Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies in inflammatory bowel disease: a family study. Scand J Gastroenterol 2001;36:196–201.

113. Kaul A, Hutfless S, Liu L, et al. Serum anti-lycan antibody biomarkers for inflammatory bowel disease diagnosis and progression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18:1872–1884.

114. Sendid B, Colombel JF, Jacquotin PM, et al. Specific antibody response to oligomannosidic epitopes in Crohn’s disease. Clin Diag Lab Immunol 1996;3:219–226.

115. Kühbacher T, Ott SJ, Helwig U, et al. Bacterial and fungal microbiota in relation to probiotic therapy (VSL#3) in pouchitis. Gut 2006;55:833–841.

116. liiev ID, Funari VA, Taylor KD, et al. Interactions between commensal fungi and the C-type lectin receptor Dectin-1 influence colitis. Science 2012;336:1314–1317.

117. Sullivan Á, Edlund C, Nord CE. Effect of antimicrobial agents on the ecological balance of human microflora. Lancet Infect Dis 2001;1:101–114.

118. Noverr MC, Huffnagle GB. Regulation of Candida albicans morphogenesis by fatty acid metabolites. Infect Immun 2004;72:6206–6210.

119. Sjögren J, Magnusson J, Broberg A, et al. Antifungal 3-hydroxy fatty acids from Lactobacillus plantarum MiLAB 14. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:7554–7557.

120. Waaij VD. The ecology of the human intestine and its fungal endophyte diversity studies and its implications in Clostridium difficile. Annu Rev Microbiol 1989;43:69–87.

121. Payne S, Gibson G, Wynne A, et al. In vitro studies on colonization resistance of the human gut microbiota to Candida albicans and the effects of tetracycline and Lactobacillus plantarum LPK. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 2003;4:1–8.

122. Dollive S, Chen Y-Y, Grunberg S, et al. Fungi of the murine gut: episodic variation and proliferation during antibiotic treatment. PLoS One 2013;8:e71806.

123. Gazis R, Rehner S, Chaverri P. Species delimitation in fungal endophyte diversity studies and its implications in ecological and biogeographic inferences. Mol Ecol 2011;20:3001–3013.

124. Koonin EV, Dolja VV. A virocentric perspective on the evolution of life. Curr Opin Virol 2013;3:546–557.

125. Mokili JL, Dutilh BE, Lim YW, et al. Identification of a novel human papillomavirus by metagenomic analysis of samples from patients with febrile respiratory illness. PLoS One 2013;8:e68404.

126. Koonin EV. Evolution of genome architecture. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2009;41:298–306.
127. Wendl MC, Kota K, Weinstock GM, et al. Coverage theories for metagenomic DNA sequencing based on a generalization of Stevens’ theorem. J Math Biol 2013; 67:1141–1161.

128. Breitbart M, Felts B, Kelley S, et al. Diversity and population structure of a near-shore marine-sediment viral community. Proc Biol Sci 2004;271:565–574.

129. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 2010;7:335–336.

130. Segata N, Waldron L, Ballarini A, et al. Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique clade-specific marker genes. Nat Methods 2012;9:811–814.

Received November 26, 2013. Accepted February 3, 2014.

Reprint requests
Address requests for reprints to: Herbert W. Virgin, MD, PhD, Washington University School of Medicine, Box 8118, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St Louis, Missouri 63110. e-mail: virgin@wustl.edu.

Conflicts of interest
The authors disclose no conflicts.

Funding
Supported by the Training Program in Immunology and Immunogenetics (5T32AI007163-35), Crohn’s & Colitis Foundation of America grants 3132 and 274415 (to H.W.V.), Broad Foundation grant IBD-0357 (to H.W.V.), and grants R01 AI084887 and R01 OD011170 (to H.W.V.).