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Based on a mesoscopic theory we investigate the non-equilibrium dynamics of a sheared nematic liquid, with the control parameter being the shear stress $\sigma_{xy}$ (rather than the usual shear rate, $\dot{\gamma}$). To this end we supplement the equations of motion for the orientational order parameters by an equation for $\dot{\gamma}$, which then becomes time-dependent. Shearing the system from an isotropic state, the stress-controlled flow properties turn out to be essentially identical to those at fixed $\dot{\gamma}$. Pronounced differences occur when the equilibrium state is nematic. Here, shearing at controlled $\dot{\gamma}$ yields several non-equilibrium transitions between different dynamic states, including chaotic regimes. The corresponding stress-controlled system has only one transition from a regular periodic into a stationary (shear-aligned) state. The position of this transition in the $\sigma_{xy}$-$\dot{\gamma}$ plane turns out to be tunable by the delay time entering our control scheme for $\sigma_{xy}$. Moreover, a sudden change of the control method can stabilize the chaotic states appearing at fixed $\dot{\gamma}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Shearing a fluid of orientable, e.g. rod-like particles is a fundamental example of a soft-matter system driven far away from equilibrium. The complex dynamics of such systems, examples being worm-like or cylindrical micelles [1,2], (polymeric) liquid crystals, blood cells, or colloidal rod (e.g. tobacco virus) suspensions, has become a focus of many experimental investigations [3], computer simulations [4–7], and theoretical studies over the last years (for a recent review, see [8]). Within the isotropic high-temperature (or low-concentration) state, application of external shear typically yields either continuous flow-alignement or a discontinuous paranematic-nematic transition [9], depending on how close the underlying equilibrium transition is [10,11]. Corresponding rheological properties such as the "constitutive curve" formed by the shear stress $\sigma_{xy}$ as function of the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ are already non-linear, implying a non-Newtonian behavior of the viscosity. More spectacularly, shearing inside the nematic (i.e., orientationally ordered, yet translationally disordered) phase can induce various bifurcations, that is, non-equilibrium transitions between different dynamic "states" characterized by a specific periodic or non-periodic motion of the nematic director $\mathbf{n}$ [12–13]. Examples are the tumbling or wagging behavior observed both by theory [12] and in experiments of sheared tobacco viruses [3]. Further issues receiving much attention are the appearance of rheochaos (chaotic stress-strain curves and/or orientational dynamics) [12,14,15–20], and, sometimes combined with that, spontaneous spatial-symmetry breaking associated to shear-banding [3,21]. In the latter situation, the system separates into domains characterized by different local shear rates.

Many of these fascinating phenomena can be described by mesoscopic theories focussing on the motion of some suitable, coarse-grained dynamic variables. An example is the approach developed by Hess [22,23] and Doi [24,25], which is based on an equation of motion for the tensorial orientational order parameter, that is, the alignment tensor $\mathbf{a}(t)$. A constitutive relation then yields the link from $\mathbf{a}(t)$ to the stress tensor $\sigma$ and, thus, the rheology of the system. The theory is highly non-linear due to the use of a fourth-order Landau-de Gennes free energy functional describing the relaxation of $\mathbf{a}$ towards equilibrium. As a consequence of this non-linearity, the (five-dimensional) dynamical system involving the independent components of $\mathbf{a}$ generates complex orientational dynamics and associated rheological properties, even if the analysis is restricted to simple (Couette) shear geometries and to spatially homogeneous systems (for extensions of the Hess-Doi approach towards inhomogeneous systems see, e.g., [26,27]). Another class of mesoscopic theories focuses directly on the shear stress $\sigma_{xy}$ as a dynamic variable [5,14,21,30,31], an example being the non-local Johnson-Segalman model [18,32]. These models are capable of describing, on a quite general level, complex rheological behavior such as shear-banding [5], a drawback being that they reflect the (orientational and/or translational) dynamics within the underlying liquid only indirectly.

In the present study we are interested in both, rheological properties and orientational motion, of a complex fluid composed of rod-like particles. So far, most theoretical studies investigating the orientational dynamics take the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ as an external driving parameter. Experiments, on the other hand, are often conducted at controlled shear stress (see, e.g., [32,33]); moreover, there are various devices where either stress or strain can be controlled. Thus, it is an interesting question to which extent these macroscopic conditions influence the observed dynamics.

In the present study we explore the implications of controlled stress (as compared to controlled shear rate) via an extension of the mesoscopic equations for $\mathbf{a}(t)$ [22,23]. In order to fix the (time-averaged) shear stress at an externally imposed value, we supplement the five equations of motion for the nematic order parameters by a further differential equation for $\dot{\gamma}$. The approach of $\sigma_{xy}$ towards its target value is governed by a control time, $\tau_g$. This control scheme is inspired by the method used in experimental devices: the shear rate is adjusted such that the
desired value of the shear stress is approached. Some preliminary results for stress-controlled systems have been published in Ref. \[32\]. In the present paper we undertake a more systematic study focussing on spatially homogeneous states with only one (constant) non-vanishing component of the shear rate (and stress-) tensor. This restriction excludes, e.g., the appearance of shear bands \[8, 21\], shear-induced layering \[37\], and other effects \[38\] such as the emergence of secondary flow. However, we consider an analysis of the homogeneous system as compulsory before the full hydrodynamics with spatially inhomogeneous shear rates is performed. Nonetheless, the present control approach could, in principle, be applied to inhomogeneous systems (and cross-couplings between deformation rate and stresses) without further ado. Indeed, the mesoscopic theory on which our study is based, is fully capable of treating inhomogeneous effects, and we have already performed investigations in this direction (yet without stress control) in Refs. \[27, 39–41\]. A similar strategy was taken by other researchers (see, e.g, \[42\]).

Numerical results for both, orientational dynamics and rheological properties (i.e., stress-strain curves and resulting viscosities) are presented for stress-controlled systems at a fixed value of the "tumbling" parameter \[3 \[23\] (that is, the coupling strength between flow and orientational motion), which seems particularly promising based on earlier studies under fixed-strain conditions \[12\]. The most interesting results are found at temperatures below the isotropic-to-nematic (I-N) phase transition, where ordering occurs, and a complete description of the non-equilibrium dynamics requires the full tensor, \(a(t)\).

Taking \(a\) as the relevant variable, the system's equilibrium behavior (in the absence of shear) is governed by the Landau-de Gennes free energy \[46\]

\[
\Phi = \frac{1}{2} A(T) a - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} B (a \cdot a) : a + \frac{1}{4} C (a : a)^2 , \quad (2.1)
\]

where the notation ",,:" stands for the trace over the product of the two symmetric tensors, and ",:" indicates conventional matrix multiplication. In Eq. (2.1), \(T\) is the temperature which is the driving parameter for an I-N transition in a thermotropic liquid crystal (for lyotropic systems, one would replace \(T\) by the concentration of the particles).

Switching on an external shear flow characterized by a velocity field \(v\), the alignment tensor becomes a time-dependent quantity. Here we employ the approach first derived from concepts of irreversible thermodynamics \[22\] and later from a generalized Fokker-Planck equation for the orientational distribution function \[23, 22\] (for similar though not identical approaches, see, e.g., \[43, 44\]). For homogeneous systems the equation of motion reads

\[
\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} - 2\omega \times a - 2k\Gamma \cdot a + \tau_a^{-1} \Phi (a) = -\sqrt{2} \frac{\kappa}{r_a} \Gamma , \quad (2.2)
\]

where \(\omega = (1/2)\nabla \times v\) is the vorticity and \(\Gamma = \nabla v\) is the strain rate tensor. Specializing to the case of a plane Couette flow characterized by a flow in \(x\)-direction, \(v = \gamma \hat{e}_x\), the strain rate tensor simplifies to \(\Gamma = \gamma \hat{e}_x \hat{e}_y\) and \(\omega = -(1/2)\gamma \hat{e}_z\). In these formulæ, \(\hat{e}_a (\alpha = x, y, z)\) denote unit vectors along the coordinate axes. Further, the parameters \(\tau_a\) and \(r_a\) are relaxation time coefficients, and \(k\) is a dimensionless coefficient. Finally, the (tensorial) quantity \(\Phi (a)\) appearing in Eq. (2.2) corresponds to the derivative of the free energy \[21\] with respect to the (non-conserved) order parameter, i.e., \(\Phi = \partial \Phi / \partial a\). In the absence of shear (i.e., for \(v = \omega = \Gamma = 0\)) this term governs the relaxation of \(a(t)\) towards its equilibrium value determined by \(\Phi = 0\). We note that the Ericksen-Leslie theory of orientational dynamics \[44, 45\] follows from the present approach, when the alignment
tensor is uniaxial and the effect of the shear flow on the magnitude of the order parameter can be disregarded. Furthermore, as noted already in the Introduction, the present approach can be generalized and has been already applied to inhomogeneous alignment states\cite{21,33–35}, central steps being the inclusion of gradient elasticity terms in the free energy (see also\cite{36}) and an appropriate description of feedback effects of the orientational motion on the flow profile\cite{21,33,35}. Not surprisingly, however, these generalizations of the theory imply a substantial increase of the numerical effort, which is the reason why we stick to homogeneous states in the present work.

We next consider the rheological properties of the sheared liquid crystal, which are characterized by its pressure tensor, $p$\cite{36}. The latter consists of an isotropic part involving the hydrostatic pressure $p$, an antisymmetric part, and an symmetric traceless part $\bar{p}$ which we refer to as friction pressure tensor\cite{21}. The negative of this latter contribution is the so-called shear stress tensor, $\sigma = -\bar{p}$. This quantity is of special interest since it is directly linked with the orientational dynamics in the non-equilibrium system. Specifically, one has

$$\bar{p} = -2\eta_{\text{hs}}\Gamma + \frac{\kappa}{\tau_0} \Phi(a) - 2\kappa a \Phi(a).$$

(2.3)

where $\eta_{\text{hs}}$ is the so-called second Newtonian viscosity, and $\kappa$ is Boltzmann’s constant. In the absence of shear, one has $\Phi(a) = 0$ and consequently $\bar{p}_{al} = 0$. The friction pressure tensor then reduces to the first term in Eq. (2.3), which is present also in (sheared) systems of spherical particles. A concept to control the shear stress externally is formulated in Sec. II C.

B. Explicit equations of motion

In the practical analysis it is convenient to use scaled variables. Details can be found, e.g., in Refs.\cite{22,23,29} and \cite{46}. The equilibrium behavior of the system is determined by the effective temperature, $\theta = 9AC/2B^2$. It follows that the (first-order) I-N transition occurs at $\theta = 1$ (which corresponds to the I-N coexistence point), and that the nematic phase (isotropic) is (meta-)stable for temperatures $\theta < 9/8$ ($\theta > 0$). For the description of the non-equilibrium system, times and shear rates are made dimensionless with a convenient reference time. The latter is chosen as the relaxation time of the alignment at the isotropic-nematic coexistence, i.e., $\tau_{\text{ref}} = \tau_0 (9C/2B^2)$. Further, the strength of the coupling between the flow and the alignment tensor is characterized by the parameter $\lambda_K = -(2/3)\sqrt{\tau_{\text{ref}}}/\tau_0$. Microscopically, $\lambda_K$ is related to the shape of the particles, which can be characterized by the axis ratio $q$ (for ellipsoidal particles).

Specifically, one has\cite{23}$\lambda_K = 2/(\sqrt{5}a_K)(q^2 - 1)/(q^2 + 1)$ where $a_K = 2B/3C$. It follows that $\lambda_K = 0$ for spherical particles ($q = 1$), whereas $\lambda_K > 0$ for elongated particles ($q > 1$), which is the case considered here. Finally, the scaled analogue of the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ is denoted as $\Gamma = \tau_{\text{ref}}\dot{\gamma}$ (with $\tau_{\text{ref}}$ defined above).

Besides scaling, the other important step towards practical solution of the problem is to rewrite the tensorial equation\cite{22} for the dynamics of $a$ into a set of scalar equations for its five independent components, $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_4$. This is achieved via an expansion of $a$ in a standard tensorial basis\cite{13,45,46}. The resulting components are linked by simple expressions to their cartesian counterparts $a_{\alpha\beta}$ (with $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$), that is, $a_0 \propto -(a_{xx} + a_{yy}), a_1 \propto a_{xx} - a_{yy}, a_2 \propto a_{xy}, a_3 \propto a_{xz}, a_4 \propto a_{yz}$\cite{13,45,46}. Thus, $a_0$ (with negative values), $a_1$, and $a_2$ describe ordering within the shear ($x$-$y$) plane, whereas $a_3$ and $a_4$ describe out-of-plane ordering. The ordinary (first-order) differential equations for the new components of $a$ are given by

$$\dot{a}_0 = -\phi_0 - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\kappa\Gamma a_2,$$

$$\dot{a}_1 = -\phi_1 + \Gamma a_2,$$

$$\dot{a}_2 = -\phi_2 - \Gamma a_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\lambda_K\Gamma - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\kappa\Gamma a_0,$$

$$\dot{a}_3 = -\phi_3 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\kappa + 1)a_4,$$

$$\dot{a}_4 = -\phi_4 + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\kappa - 1)a_3,$$

(2.5)

where the quantities $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_4$, which correspond to the (dimensionless) components of the free energy derivative $\Phi(a)$, are given as

$$\phi_0 = (\theta - 3a_0 + 2a^2)a_0 + 3(a_1^2 + a_2^2) - \frac{3}{2}(a_3^2 + a_4^2),$$

$$\phi_1 = (\theta + 6a_0 + 2a^2)a_1 - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{3}(a_3^2 - a_4^2),$$

$$\phi_2 = (\theta + 6a_0 + 2a^2)a_2 - 3\sqrt{3}a_3a_4,$$

$$\phi_3 = (\theta - 3a_0 + 2a^2)a_3 - 3\sqrt{3}(a_1a_3 + a_2a_4),$$

$$\phi_4 = (\theta - 3a_0 + 2a^2)a_4 - 3\sqrt{3}(a_2a_3 - a_1a_4).$$

(2.6)

In Eqs. (2.5) we have introduced the abbreviation $a^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{4}a_k^{2}$. As outlined before in Sec. II A the rheological properties of the system are described by the friction tensor $\sigma$, equivalently, the stress tensor, $\sigma$. Introducing the reference shear modulus $G_{al}$, we find from Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4)

$$G_{al} \sigma = -p = 2\eta_{\text{hs}}\Gamma - \frac{p_{al}}{\eta_{\text{hs}}} = 2\eta_{\text{hs}}\Gamma + \sqrt{2}G_{al} \Sigma^{al},$$

(2.7)

where

$$\Sigma^{al} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_K^{-1} \left( \Phi + \frac{2\kappa}{3\lambda_K} \sqrt{6}a \cdot \Phi \right).$$

(2.8)
Here we are particularly interested in the shear stress, that is, the $x$-$y$ component of $\sigma$. Expanding $\sigma$ and $\Sigma^{kl}$ into basis tensors one obtains from Eq. (2.7) \[ \sigma_{xy} = \eta_{\text{iso}} \Gamma + \Sigma_2, \] where
\[ \Sigma_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3} \lambda_K} \left[ \phi_2 - \kappa \left( a_2 \phi_0 + a_0 \phi_2 \right) \right] - \kappa \left( a_4 \phi_3 + a_3 \phi_4 \right) \] (2.10)
with \( \kappa = 2 \kappa/(3 \lambda_K) \).

C. Controlling the shear stress

Previous applications of the dynamical equations have focussed on the system’s orientational dynamics and rheological behavior at constant shear rate, $\Gamma$. The main goal of the present work is to explore the "reverse" situation where, instead of $\Gamma$, the shear stress is held fixed at an (externally imposed) value $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{imp}}$. Indeed, rheological experiments of complex fluids often involve the shear stress as an external control parameter rather than the shear rate.

Within our theory, the instantaneous value of $\sigma_{xy}$ is determined by $\Gamma$ and the instantaneous values for the alignment components $a_k(t)$ [see Eqs. (2.9) and (2.11)]. In order to control the shear stress we follow the experimental strategy and adjust the shear rate, that is, $\Gamma$ becomes a time-dependent quantity. This adjustment is achieved such that we supplement the five differential equations for $a_k(t)$ by an additional equation for $\Gamma(t)$. Specifically,
\[ \tau_g \frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\eta_{\text{iso}}} \left( \sigma_{xy}(t) - \sigma_{xy}^{\text{imp}} \right). \] (2.11)
Here $\sigma_{xy}$ is the instantaneous shear stress as given by \[ \sigma_{xy} = \eta_{\text{iso}} \Gamma + \Sigma_2, \] $\eta_{\text{iso}}$ is the (second Newtonian) viscosity discussed above, and $\tau_g$ is a control (or "delay") time determining the speed of shear stress control.

D. Numerical solution

In order to solve numerically the six-dimensional dynamical system consisting of Eqs. (2.6) for $a_k(t)$ ($k = 0, \ldots, 4$) and Eq. (2.11) for the shear rate $\Gamma(t)$, we employ a standard four-step Runge-Kutta algorithm with adaptive step size control. The resulting time steps are in the range $\Delta t = 0.001 - 0.5$. The initial values for $a_0, a_1, a_2$ are set to zero, while $a_3$ and $a_4$ are set to small, yet unzero values (between 0.01 and 0.1) corresponding to a state with weak orientational order. The initial shear rate is set to $\Gamma(0) = 0.01$, if not stated otherwise.

In analogy to rheological experiments, which are typically not time-resolved, we average the results for $\Gamma$ (at controlled stress conditions) or $\sigma_{xy}$ (controlled shear rate), respectively, over an appropriate number of time steps. To this end we disregard the initial transient behavior, which may strongly depend on the start conditions. The overall number of time steps required to obtain reliable averages strongly depends on the system parameters considered. In the present work we consider a variety of dynamic "states" characterized either by steady-state solutions for $a$ [see Secs. IIIA and IIIB] and by time-dependent orientational dynamics (Sec. IIIC). The corresponding total run times vary between 500 and 150000 time steps.

III. DYNAMICS AT CONTROLLED SHEAR STRESS

In the following paragraphs we present numerical results obtained at various dimensionless temperatures $\theta$ around the I-N transition of the equilibrium (unsheared) system. The coupling parameter $\lambda_K$ appearing in Eqs. (2.3) is set to 1.25. This choice is motivated by previous investigations at constant shear rate [12, 13] where, at $\lambda_K = 1.25$, a variety of dynamics "states" including stationary, oscillatory, and chaotic solutions have been found. Here we include such constant-\(\Gamma\) results as a reference. For the constant-stress calculations we choose $\eta_{\text{iso}} = 0.1$ and $\tau_g = 1$ [see Eq. (2.11)], if not stated otherwise. Finally, the parameter $\kappa$ in Eqs. (2.6) is set to zero. Indeed, earlier studies at constant $\Gamma$ indicate that the actual value of $\kappa$ has only minor important for the dynamic behavior.

A. Isotropic phase

We start by considering the temperature $\theta = 1.75$ which is well within the isotropic phase of the unsheared system (i.e., $a_{\Gamma=0} = 0$). Under such conditions, application of an external shear flow yields a stationary, uniaxial alignment of the liquid-crystal particles in the $x$-$y$ plane (that is, the flow plane) of the system. Within our theoretical description, shear-induced alignment (indicated by an "A" in the following) is reflected by the quantities $a_0, a_1$ and $a_2$ approaching non-zero, constant values, whereas $a_3 = a_4 = 0$. The corresponding "constitutive" curve $\sigma_{xy}(\Gamma)$ is shown in Fig. (IIa), where we have included data sets from both, constant strain- and constant-stress calculations. In both cases, the system quickly reaches (after a few hundred time steps) a unique, stationary state, independent of the initial conditions. Moreover, the results from the two types of calculations are quantitatively consistent to a very high accuracy. Specifically, one observes from Fig. (IIa) a monotonic, continuous, and non-linear (i.e., non-Newtonian) increase of the stress with increasing shear rate (note the double-logarithmic scale). The corresponding (dimensionless) viscosity $\eta = \sigma_{xy}/\Gamma$ is plotted in Fig. (IIb).
η from its equilibrium value ($\eta_{\text{eq}} = 1.1$) to its high-shear limit ($\eta_{\text{lim}} = 0.1$) reflects a strongly pronounced shear-thinning, which is quite typical for complex fluids sheared within the isotropic phase [8]. Finally, the degree of shear-induced (uniaxial) ordering as measured by the Maier-Saupe parameter $S(\Gamma)$ is plotted in Fig. 1(c). With increasing $S$ the corresponding director aligns more and more along the $y$-direction, that is, the direction determined by the flow velocity.

From a more technical point of view it is interesting to note that, at the temperature considered, the results obtained at fixed $\sigma_{xy}$ are practically independent of the choice of the control time chosen in Eq. (2.11) (as tested for values of $\tau_g$ between 0.1 and 100). The precise value of $\tau_g$ merely affects the amount of time required to reach the stationary limit. This is illustrated in Fig. 2(b), where we plot the time-dependence of the instantaneous shear rate $\Gamma(t)$ at fixed, imposed stress $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{imp}} = 0.5$ for various delay times $\tau_g$. The larger $\tau_g$, the slower is the approach of $\Gamma(t)$ towards its asymptotic value ($\langle \Gamma \rangle \approx 0.79$), as one might have expected from the structure of the control equation (2.11). The corresponding time dependence of the instantaneous shear stress $\sigma_{xy}(t)$ is plotted in Fig. 2(b). It is seen that $\sigma_{xy}(t)$ relaxes somewhat faster as compared to $\Gamma(t)$, independent of the actual value of $\tau_g$.

B. Shear-induced isotropic-nematic transition

We next consider the temperature $\theta = 1.25$. The corresponding equilibrium system is still globally isotropic, but much closer to the first-order I-N transition (occurring at $\theta = 1$) than the state considered in Sec. III A. As a consequence of the nearby equilibrium transition, shearing induces a non-equilibrium transition from a "paranematic" state characterized by weak orientational ordering into a state with pronounced stationary and (almost) uniaxial nematic order. We note that the equilibrium system has a metastable nematic phase only for lower temperatures, that is, for $\theta < 9/8 = 1.125$). The shear-induced transition is illustrated in Figs. 3(b)-(c), where we plot the stress-strain relation at $\theta = 1.25$ together with corresponding results for the viscosity and the Maier-Saupe parameter. For imposed shear rate, the non-equilibrium transition occurs $\Gamma \approx 0.178$ where we observe pronounced discontinuities in all of the three quantities investigated. Specifically, the jump-like increase of $S$ is accompanied by jumps in $\sigma_{xy}$ (and, consequently, $\eta$) towards smaller values, indicating a sudden shear-thinning of the system.

We note that the occurrence of the shear-induced transition has been realized on a theoretical basis, already quite some time ago [10, 11]. A recent experimental realization involves colloidal suspensions of tobacco viruses.
Coming back to our model system, the data in Fig. 3 imply that there are values of $\sigma_{xy}$ where two dynamic states characterized by different shear rates can "coexist". Interestingly, when controlling the shear stress (see black triangles in Fig. 3), the system remains in the branch with lower $\Gamma$ up to largest value of $\sigma_{xy}$ where coexistence is possible. This observations turn out to be essentially independent of the details of the stress control, i.e., the control time $\tau_g$ (and the initial value for $\Gamma$). This rather robust behavior is in sharp contrast to the non-equilibrium transitions at lower temperatures to be discussed next.

**C. Shearing within the nematic phase**

As a representative example for the system’s low-temperature dynamics we consider the temperature $\theta = 0$. Here, the nematic state is globally stable already at zero shear, and we are at the lower limit of (meta)stability of the isotropic phase. Our results for the shear stress as function of $\Gamma$ are displayed in Fig. 3. All calculations have been started from almost random initial states (see Sec. III D). Earlier numerical studies [12] with controlled shear rate and $\lambda_K = 1.25$ have already revealed the occurrence of various types of time-dependent dynamics of the nematic director. These include "symmetry-breaking" states where the director rotates out of the shear plane (i.e., $a_0(t) > 0$, $a_3(t) \neq 0$, $a_4(t) \neq 0$). Our present results at constant $\Gamma$ are fully consistent with those in [12]. Specifically, we observe for low shear rates ($\Gamma \lesssim 1.7$) so-called kayaking-tumbling (KT) motion, where director performs out-of-plane oscillations, and its projection onto the shear plane describes an ellipse (i.e., tumbling). Corresponding orbits illustrating the time dependence of $a_1$-$a_4$ are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Increasing $\Gamma$ there exists a (small) window (1.7 $\lesssim \Gamma \lesssim 1.9$) characterized by kayaking-wagging (KW) motion, where the director performs out-of-plane oscillations while its projection in the shear plane describes wagging. From Fig. 4 we see that the transition into the KW state is supplemented by a sudden decrease of the shear stress. Upon further increase of $\Gamma$ we are re-entering the KT state until $\Gamma \approx 3.5$. In the subsequent range of shear rates the system has chaotic (C) features, with the long-time dynamics being strongly dependent on the initial conditions and the largest Lyapunov exponent being positive (for detailed discussion of the chaotic regime, see Refs. [12, 13]). Finally, for shear rates $\Gamma \geq 4.15$ there is a further transition into a flow-aligned (A) state where the director is arrested to a constant direction within the shear plane.

Remarkably, not all of these states are observable when we take the shear stress as a control variable. This can be seen from the data points indicated by (black) triangles in Fig. 3. Specifically, our controlled-stress calculations do neither yield the wagging motion, nor the complex, chaotic behavior. Instead, the system jumps directly from the KT into the shear-aligned (A) state. Moreover, it turns out that the position of this jump in the $\Gamma$-$\sigma_{xy}$ plane can be manipulated, to some extent, by the control time $\tau_g$ (indeed, the initial value of the shear rate has much less effect). The role of $\tau_g$ is illustrated in Fig. 5, where we present results for various values of $\tau_g$ on an enlarged scale. The larger the control time, the longer the system remains on the low-$\Gamma$ branch. In this sense, an increase of $\tau_g$ stabilizes the periodic KT-state. Interestingly, the chaotic region (C) does not appear in stress-controlled calculations even for the largest values of $\tau_g$ considered. Indeed, as we will demonstrate in Sec. III D, a sudden onset of shear-stress control can
Shear rate $\Gamma(t)$ the oscillations. Similar behavior is seen in Fig. 7 for the alignment dynamics are mirrored by corresponding differences in the transient behavior of the instantaneous shear rate and stress, respectively (see insets in Figs. 6 and 7).

For all control times considered, both quantities display pronounced oscillations even in the long-time limit. In particular, $\sigma_{xy}(t)$ reaches its externally imposed value of $\sigma_{xy}^{imp} = 0.575$ only on the average [see Fig. 6]. The amplitude of the oscillations strongly depends on $\tau_g$. Specifically, an increase of the control times tends to damp out the oscillations. Similar behavior is seen in Fig. 7 for the shear rate $\Gamma(t)$.

The orientational dynamics corresponding to the KT state at $\sigma_{xy}^{imp} = 0.575$ is illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9, where we show phase portraits (orbits) of both, the in-plane motion ($a_2$ as function of $a_1$) and the out-of-plane motion ($a_4$ as function of $a_3$). The ellipse-shaped limit cycle characterizing the functions $a_2(a_1)$ [see Fig. 8] is typical for the KT motion, where the nematic director displays tumbling motion in the shear plane. A closer analysis of the time-dependence of the individual components reveals that the transient behavior observed in the period between $\approx 400$ and $\approx 1400$ time steps (i.e., well before the asymptotic KT state is reached) somewhat depends on the control time, $\tau_g$. Specifically, at $\tau_g = 1$ there is transient flow alignment where $a_0$, $a_1$, $a_2$ are constant, and $a_3 \approx 0$, $a_4 \approx 0$. At $\tau_g = 10$ one finds instead a short period of in-plane tumbling, where $a_1$ and $a_2$ become time-dependent. These differences in the alignment dynamics are mirrored by corresponding differences in the transient behavior of the instantaneous shear rate and stress, respectively (see insets in Figs. 8 and 9). At larger times the orbits related to different values of the control time $\tau_g$ look rather similar. This is in accordance to earlier findings on the robustness of the orientational dynamics with respect to time-dependent perturbations of the shear rate [50].
characterized by oscillatory (yet regular) motion of all components of the tensor. For the choices $N_s = 5 \times 10^4$ and $\tau_g = 1$ [see Figs. 10(b) and 11(b)], the systems displays KT dynamics after the switch, that is, under controlled stress, and corresponding delay time. This signals that we are entering a shear aligned (A) state, characterized by a shear rate of $\langle \Gamma \rangle \approx 25.8$. It is noteworthy that the same A state is achievable with the choices $N_s = 5 \times 10^4$ and $\tau_g = 10$ (or 100). Taken altogether, these results reveal that stress control can indeed stabilize the dynamics in systems characterized by unstable motion without control. Moreover, the character of the final state is tunable by the details of the control scheme, that is in our case, the starting time of the stress control, and the corresponding delay time.
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**FIG. 10:** Shear stress and shear rate as functions of time in systems where the control method switches from fixed shear rate ($\Gamma = 3.7$) to fixed shear stress ($\sigma_{xy}^{\text{imp}} = 2.6$, $\tau_g = 1$). The switch occurs at a) $N_s = 5 \times 10^4$ time steps, b) $N_s = 1 \times 10^5$ time steps. The resulting time steps are $\Delta t \approx 0.01$ for both values of $N_s$.

**FIG. 11:** Same as Fig. 10 but for the components $a_1$ and $a_2$ of the alignment tensor.

**D. Stabilization of chaotic states**

An interesting feature of our results within the nematic phase is that under controlled stress conditions, the transition from the KT into the shear-aligned state occurs before the system enters the chaotic (C) regime observed in calculations at fixed $\Gamma$ (see Fig. 4). This suggests that stress control might have a stabilizing influence on shear-rate-induced chaotic states. To explore this conjecture we have performed test "experiments" where the control method was switched from fixed shear rate to fixed stress after a predefined number $N_s$ of time steps. That is, for $N < N_s$ the orientational dynamics was calculated via the five equations (2.10), whereas at $N > N_s$ these equations were used together with Eq. (2.11). As an representative example, we consider the behavior obtained at $\Gamma = 3.7$ ($N < N_s$) and $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{imp}} = 2.6$ ($N > N_s$). Numerical results for the instantaneous shear stress and -rate, are displayed in Fig. 11 where we have included data for two values of $N_s$. The corresponding behavior of the in-plane components of the director, $a_1$ and $a_2$, is shown in Fig. 11. In both figures, one clearly recognizes a drastic change of the dynamics upon the switch from shear- to stress control. Before the switch one observes highly irregular dynamics of all non-fixed quantities, that is $\sigma_{xy}(t)$, $a_1(t)$, $a_2(t)$ (and the same holds for the other components of the tensor $a$). Closer results reveals that the system at fixed $\Gamma = 3.7$ is indeed in a chaotic state [2.12]. After the switch, that is, under controlled stress, the dynamics becomes regular. Interestingly, the character of this final state depends on both, the starting point ($N_s$) of the stress control, and the corresponding delay time $\tau_g$. For the choices $N_s = 5 \times 10^4$ and $\tau_g = 1$ [see Figs. 10(b) and 11(b)], the systems displays KT dynamics characterized by oscillatory (yet regular) motion of all components $a_1$-$a_4$ and corresponding oscillations of $\Gamma(t)$. The average shear rate is $\langle \Gamma \rangle \approx 3.1$, which is again a typical value for the KT regime as seen in Fig. 4. Performing then the switch at the later time $N_s = 1 \times 10^5$ (and $\tau_g = 1$) [see Figs. 10(a) and 11(a)], all of the displayed quantities become constant. This signals that we are entering a shear aligned (A) state, characterized by a shear rate of $\langle \Gamma \rangle \approx 25.8$. It is noteworthy that the same A state is achievable with the choices $N_s = 5 \times 10^4$ and $\tau_g = 10$ (or 100). Taken altogether, these results reveal that stress control can indeed stabilize the dynamics in systems characterized by unstable motion without control. Moreover, the character of the final state is tunable by the details of the control scheme, that is in our case, the starting time of the stress control, and the corresponding delay time.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this article we have proposed a mesoscopic theory to investigate the orientational dynamics and rheology of sheared complex fluids at fixed, externally imposed shear stress $\sigma_{xy}$. Our strategy to control $\sigma_{xy}$ consists of supplementing the previously established equations of motion for the orientational order parameters [13] by a relaxation equation for the shear rate, $\Gamma$. The speed of this relaxation can be tuned by a control ("delay") time. Based on the resulting six-dimensional dynamical system we have numerically investigated sheared fluids of rod-like particles at several temperatures around the I-N transition at $\Gamma = 0$. At high temperatures, where the equilibrium system is isotropic, shear stress control yields the same asymptotic behavior (that is, flow alignment) as that encountered at fixed $\Gamma$. Within the low-temperature nematic phase, however, the control variable (stress or strain) influences both, the location and the type of bifurcations between different dynamic states. This finding is relevant not only from a theoretical point...
of view but also for experiments; indeed, in rheological set-ups it is often the shear stress rather than the shear rate which can be fixed externally. In our model, the stress-controlled nematic fluid is characterized by only one non-equilibrium transition from a periodic (kayaking-tumbling) into a flow-aligned state, contrary to its Γ-controlled counterpart which displays, in addition, wagging and chaotic motion. Furthermore, the dynamics at fixed stress is strongly influenced by the delay time \( \tau_g \). Large values of the latter tend to damp oscillatory motion. Moreover, the delay time can be used as a parameter to tune the position of the system’s transition from a low-Γ to a high-Γ branch. Finally, we have demonstrated that our control scheme is capable of stabilizing regular (periodic or stationary) states out of chaotic orbits appearing at fixed Γ.

In the present paper we have restricted ourselves to the investigation of spatially homogeneous states. This is clearly an oversimplification in view of experiments pointing to shear-induced inhomogeneities (see, e.g., [21, 37, 38]). However, as mentioned before, our approach is in principle fully capable of treating inhomogeneities as demonstrated, e.g., in Refs. [27] and [51], the latter study dealing with a full three-dimensional spatially resolved flow problem. Interestingly, there is a recent study of Das et al. [20] suggesting that the chaotic orientational behavior of our present system at constant Γ transforms into a spatiotemporal chaos, when spatial fluctuations are allowed in the theory (via gradient terms in the free energy). This suggests that the stress-controlled dynamics reported in present work may also be spatially extended. We note, however, that a full description of the spatially resolved problem would include feedback effects of the orientational motion on the flow [27], where Γ was assumed to be a constant. Given the complexity of the resulting numerical study we consider the present findings as first indicators for the true dynamics and as useful starting points for a more detailed investigation. A further interesting direction would be an extension of the present study towards polar systems [27, 18].

Finally, the findings in the present study, particularly those regarding chaotic states, are also interesting in the broader context of control in non-linear dynamic systems [43]. In particular, there is currently an intense research on systems such as lasers [52], neural systems [53], excitable media [54, 55], and Brownian ratchet systems [56, 57], where unstable dynamic behavior is controlled via time-delayed feedback control (TDAS) [58]. In this framework the equations of motion are supplemented by “control force” terms involving differences of an appropriate system variable (or output quantity) at a time \( t \) and an earlier time \( t - \tau \). The control force thus vanishes when a specified stationary or periodic state is reached. This strategy is somewhat different from the present approach where the control is realized by an additional equation of motion for the shear rate Γ, which is varied until \( \sigma_{xy} \) approaches an externally imposed value. Despite these differences, a common feature of our approach and the TDAS method is that the time parameter (τ or \( \tau_g \), respectively) plays a crucial role for the asymptotic dynamics (in fact, the present scheme could be viewed as feedback control with additional low-pass filtering involving an exponentially distributed delay [59]). Indeed, in our model the actual value of \( \tau_g \) decides which type of non-chaotic state the unstable system selects when starting the control within the chaotic regime. These similarities suggest that control concepts established in non-linear systems, such as TDAS, may be very fruitful not only for a deeper understanding of the rheological properties, but also for the orientational dynamics. Work in this direction is under way.
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