1. Introduction

Vegetation maps have two main values: their own idiosyncrasy as a cartographic documentary source and their configuring a basic tool in both territorial management and scientific and technical work (Barrera, 2017). Vegetation is the most perceptible element of the physical environment of much of the world's landscapes including the Sierra del Aramo, except in those areas where it is absent and the rock emerges (Beato Bergua, 2018). In addition, it has an impact on water flows and modeling processes, and in this way plant formations play a major role in the composition of the landscape (Bertrand, 1966; Ibarra, 1993a, 1993b; Martínez de Písón, 1983). Through an understanding of structure and dynamics of vegetation, data are obtained not only on the ecological behavior, present situation, and physiognomy of communities, but also on the relationship between the vegetation in question and the anthropic factor. Thus, the vegetation offers us the chance to understand the evolution and the current state of a landscape system (Bertrand, 1964; Bertrand & Bertrand, 1986), especially in highly anthropized areas where there are elevated natural values that can be or become integrated into the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC and the Natura 2000 Network (Beato Bergua et al., 2017; Dalle Fratte et al., 2019; Evans & Arvela, 2011; Gianuzzi et al., 2016; Viciani et al., 2016; Viciá et al., 2017, 2018). In this sense, vegetation mapping is indispensable in all eco-geographical analysis for nature conservation (Černecký et al., 2020; Freudenberger et al., 2013; Führer, 2000; Ibarra & Yetano, 1998; Maxted et al., 1997; Panareda, 2000).

It is worth noting that the mapping of vegetation owes a great deal to the French biogeographer Marcel-Henri Gaussen (Küchler, 1967). Among his contributions is the rational use of the color scheme; Gaussen gave an ecological-climatic significance to this by mixing the basic colors according to the combination of the environmental variables that determine the plant formations represented (Gaussen, 1936, 1947, 1958). Despite the age of his cartographic proposal, it is still very useful and in use, and is questioned only by the chromatic nuances that translate the dynamics of vegetation in relation to a given climatic situation, such as a finalist conception (Alexandre & Génin, 2011; Rodríguez & Castañón, 2016).

The mapping of vegetation in Spain dates back to the map ‘Bodenund Vegetationsverh-\text{-}Itnisse der Iberischen Halbinsel’ by Willkomm of 1852 and the ‘Bosquejos dasográficos’ of the Comisión del Mapa Forestal de España created in 1868 (Barrera, 2017). Since then, numerous maps have been published at the state level, both of real vegetation and of vegetation series (Rivas-Martínez, 1987), the forest maps of the national inventories being of special note (see, for example, MAGRAMA, 2012 and MAPAMA, 2018). Similarly, the production of regional maps at 1:50,000, 1:25,000, and even 1:10,000 scale, has been extensive. In the case of Asturias, the work of the INDURUT (1994); its contribution has not yet been improved upon 25 years later, despite the need for
precise and updated vegetation mapping because of the momentous changes that are taking place in the Asturian Massif (Beato Bergua et al., 2017; Beato Bergua et al., 2019b). The aim of this article, therefore, is to present the mapping of the vegetation of the Sierra del Aramo, an Asturian mountain range. Without coming under any figure of protection, the Aramo offers extensive natural and cultural richness, but is currently subject to important socioeconomic and landscape changes that need to be investigated.

2. Study area

The Sierra del Aramo is situated at the intersection of the 43°16′N parallel and the 5°53′W meridian, that is, in the Asturian Central Massif of the Cantabrian Mountains (NW Spain), just 20 km southwest of Oviedo, the Asturian capital (Figure 1). It is a mountain of medium altitude (the highest summit is the Gamoniterio, 1791 m a.s.l.), and is formed by a limestone ridge above the Trubia and Caudal river basins, which have been carved out shales, sandstones, limestones and coal strata. The verticalized sheets of Namurian limestones are being thrust over the Asturian Central Coal Basin, which explains the topographical and ecological differences. The Sierra del Aramo is crowned by a karst platform over 1300 m a.s.l. whereas the Aramo hillsides are steep and present rectilinear slopes between rocky escarpments and debris deposits (Beato Bergua et al., 2019c).

Figure 1. Location of the study area.
In general, the analyzed area experiences Atlantic conditions with annual rainfall between 1100 and 1500 mm (around 2000 mm on the summits) and mild temperatures (between 6 and 13°C). According to Beato Bergua (2018) and the Köppen classification there are four types of climates, Csfb₂ (properly temperate and rainy climate), Csfb₃ (transition to fresh climate), Cʃfc (properly fresh climate), and Dʃfc (typical cold mountain climate), as we ascend from the mild lower elevations to the climatic rigors of the summit area. Based on the bioclimatic classification of Rivas-Martínez et al. (2011), we can frame the Sierra del Aramo in the Temperate Oceanic Bioclimate, which in some low areas is presented with Submediterranean Variant. In addition, several bioclimatic belts can be distinguished, with specific plant coverings, depending on the changes in altitude of the thermoclimatic and ombroclimatic values. In terms of temperatures, the Sierra del Aramo displays three thermotypes which are determined from the thermicity index (It) and the positive annual temperature (Tp) (Rivas-Martínez, 2005) (Table 1).

As regards humidity, the Sierra del Aramo has three differentiated ombrotypes (Table 2), which are obtained from the annual ombrothermic index (Io) (Díaz González, 2015; Díaz González & Fernández Prieto, 1994; Rivas-Martínez, 2005). A possible fourth, ultrahyperhumid ombotype has been left out because of the lack of local data.

According to the units proposed by Rivas-Martínez et al. (2014), biogeographically the Sierra del Aramo is in the European Atlantic Province of the Atlantic-Central European Subregion. In particular, it is part of the two sub-provinces in which the Principality of Asturias is divided: although the northern and eastern slopes of the Aramo belong to the Oviedo District of the Cantabrian Atlantic Subprovince (Galician-Asturian Sector), the southern and the western slopes, together with the culminating platform, correspond to the Somiedo and Ubiña District of the Oro-Cantabrian Subprovince (Picceuropean-Ubiniese Sector). Thus, in this area there are 24 potential series of vegetation (Díaz González, 2009), which gives us a first idea of the plant richness that the Aramo can house. Specifically, potential plant formations are Polysticho setiferi-Fraxinetum excelsioris (Tüxen & Oberdorfer 1958) Rivas-Martínez ex C. Navarro 1982, Linario trioníphorae-Quercetum pyrenaicae Rivas-Martínez et al. 1984, Blechno spicant-Quercetum roboris Tüxen & Oberdorfer 1958, Carici sylvaticae-Fagetum sylvaticae (Rivas-Martínez 1965) C. Navarro 1982, Blechno spicant-Fagetum sylvaticae (Tüxen & Oberdorfer 1958) Rivas-Martínez 1963, Hyperico androsaemi-Alnetum glutinosae (Br.-Bl. 1967) Rivas-Martínez in Loidi 1983, Festuco giganteae-Fraxinetum excelsioris F. Prieto & A. Bueno ass. nova, Salicetum angustifolio-albae T.E. Díaz & F. Prieto ass. nova, Daphno can-tractabices-Arctostaphylo uvae-ursi Rivas-Martínez, Izco & Costa 1971, Céphalanthero longifoliae-Quercetum rotundifoliae Rivas-Martínez, T.E. Díaz, F. Prieto, Loidi & Penas 1984, Helleboro occidentalis-Tilietum platypyllii (Prieto & Vázquez 1987) F. Prieto & Vázquez 2009, Luzulo henriquesii-Betuletum celtibericae Rivas-Martínez 1964 nom. mut. (art. 45) (addenda), Saniculo europeaeae-lilacetum aquifolii García-Baq & Rivas-Martínez in Rivas-Martínez & col. 2011. However, the transformation of the plant cover from at least the Bronze Age (Beato Bergua et al., 2019a) and the variety of local geo-ecological environments, explain the actual diversity of plant formations, many of which are susceptible to being valued and conserved as habitats of Community interest within the European Union (Beato Bergua et al., 2017).

### Table 1. Thermotypes existing in the Sierra del Aramo. *ltc: compensated thermicity index. Based on Díaz González (2015).

| Thermotypes       | Thermicity index *ltc* | Positive annual temperature Tp | Altitude |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Mesotemperate (Coline) | 181–300               | 1401–2000                      | 90–700 m a.s.l. (Up to 900 meters on the sunny slopes) |
| Supratemperate (Montane) | 21–180               | 801–1400                       | 700 (from 900 meters on the sunny slopes)–1,700 m a.s.l. (1700) |
| Orotemperate (Subalpine) | –                    | 381–800                        | >1700 m a.s.l. |

### Table 2. Ombrotypes in the Sierra del Aramo. Based on Díaz González (2015).

| Ombrotypes  | Io   | Precipitation (mm) |
|-------------|------|--------------------|
| Subhumid    | 3.6–6.0 | Upper Subhumid (700–900 m a.s.l.) |
| Humid       | 6.0–12.0 | Lower Humid (900–1150 m a.s.l.), Upper Humid (1150–1400 m a.s.l.) |
| Hyperhumid  | 12.0–24.0 | Lower Hyperhumid (1400–1750 m a.s.l.), Upper Hyperhumid (1750–2000 m a.s.l.) |

### 3. Materials and methods

For the characterization of the different plant formations present in the Sierra del Aramo we have used bibliographic sources relating to the framework of study itself (Beato Bergua, 2018; Cortina, 2017; Mayor, 1996; Navarro, 1974) and to the Asturian region (Díaz González, 2014, 2015; Díaz González & Fernández Prieto, 1988, 1994; Díaz González & Vázquez, 2004). The information obtained during the field work carried out between 2010 and 2020 has also been essential, since it has allowed us to map the plant formations and make a biogeographic map (Bertrand, 1966; Fidalgo, 1997; Panareda, 2000). Specifically, the methodology has been based on the identification and delimitation of plant formations by means of numerous transects, in which GPS data on location and delimitation were taken. In these ten
years, more than a hundred inventories have been carried out trying to know all the plant formations and geoecological environments. The floristic composition and phynonomic structure of the formations were determined according to phytosociological criteria of abundance-dominance of the species (Arozena & Molina, 2000; Braun-Blanquet, 1979; Fidalgo, 1997).

In addition, we have used the cartographic information and the reports of the Thematic Vegetation Map of the Principalities of Asturias (INDUROT, 1994), the Forest Map of Spain of the Fourth National Forest Inventory (MAGRAMA, 2012), the latest Forest Map (MAPAMA, 2018), and the maps of Navarro (1974), Beato Bergua et al. (2017) and Beato Bergua (2018). Finally, the spatial boundaries of the different elements that make up the plant mosaic have been corrected and specified via the photointerpretation of the PNOA orthophoto (the Aerial Orthophoto National Program taken from 2017 have been used in ECW format through digital screen), using the computer software Arcgis 10.1, and according to criteria for color, texture, location and dynamics.

The construction of the map of the Sierra del Aramo has been made over the digital data of the Spanish National Geographic Institute (IGN) (http://www.ign.es/). We have used the National Topographic Base at 1:25,000, vector format SHAPEFILE (.shp). The indexing of georeferenced data was made with ESRI ArcMap10.1 with a Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) projection (Zone 30) and the European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 Datum (ETRS89); and the graphic project was performed with ADOBE Illustrator CS6. The selection of colors has been made under the inspiration of the Gaussen method: blue indicates moisture; yellow, drought; red, heat; violet and pink, intense luminosity, etc. (Rodríguez & Castañón, 2016). All plant formations are presented in a smooth color except those originating from a plantation; in these cases, a black horizontal stripe pattern has been superimposed. The location map of the study area is made with the Digital Terrain Model with 5-meter grid spacing, raster format ASCII (.asc) ESRI array, of the Spanish National Geographic Institute.

4. Cartographic representation

To obtain an adequate visualization of the cartographic units from the geographical point of view, 28 types of vegetation cover have been selected (Main Map). These classes are defined in the following sections (22) of this paper. Table 3 shows the connections between the map and the phytosociological units.

4.1. Fagus sylvatica Woodland (Beechwoods)

These develop on carbonated and siliceous substrates, where formations can be found corresponding to the series Carici sylvatica-Fagetum sylvaticae S. and Blechno spicanti-Fagetum sylvaticae S., respectively. The tree cover of the beechwoods, which are demanding of rich soils, can become monospecific, although it is more common for beech to concur with other trees such as Quercus petraea, Fraxinus excelsior, Acer pseudoplatanus and Taxus baccata, accompanied by shrubs, sometimes tree-like, such as Corylus avellana, Crataegus monogyna, Ilex aquifolium and Sorbus aria. Polistichum setiferum, Dryopteris filix-mas, Anemone nemorosa, Helleborous viridis, Daphne laureola, Carex sylvatica, Galium odoratum, Melica uniflora and Mercurialis perennis are abundant (Figure 2).

Likewise, acidophilic beechwoods are often mixed forests (Fagus sylvatica, Quercus petraea, Betula celtiberica, Taxus baccata, Corylus avellana, Ilex aquifolium and Sorbus aria), although the arboreal and shrub strata are poorer in species than in eutrophic forests. In addition, the sub-shrub stratum is composed of bushes of Erica arborea bushes and ferns (Dryopteris affinis, Dryopteris dilatata) that also appear in the herbaceous (Blechnum spicant) together with Luzula sylvatica subsp. henriquesii, Deschamp sia flexuosa, Saxifraga spathularis, Vaccinium myrtillus and Euphorbia hyberna.

4.2. Mixed forests with a dominance of yews

Two interesting forest patches have been mapped on the Aramo’s summit platform with an abundance of Taxus baccata. The southernmost is related to the series of eutrophic beech trees (Carici sylvatica-Fagetum sylvaticae) because of the profusion of beech and holly. However, the other (in the central area of the summit platform) does not present any specimens of this syntaxa and stands out for its biodiversity (Quercus petraea, Fraxinus excelsior, Acer pseudoplatanus, Tilia platyphyllos and Tilia cordata) and the domain of Taxus baccata in some sectors. It has not been possible to assign this second forest patch to any of the phytosociological associations proposed to date (Beato Bergua et al., 2019a).

4.3. Quercus petraea Woodland (Sessile Oakwoods)

The oakwoods of Quercus petraea develops in the middle mountain in the Somiedo and Ubína biogeographic districts. Quercus petraea coexists with lime-trees on the best nourished soils (Helleboro occidentalis-Tilietum platyphyllii) and with birch and beech in the poorest (Luzulo henriquesii-Quercetum petraeae). The lime-tree forests (Tilia platyphyllos and Tilia cordata) are actually mixed forests with sessile oak, maples, mountain elms, and even beech, with very rich and diverse lower strata. On the other hand, the tree cover of the acidophilic forest is basically
Table 3. Relationship between each paper section, phytosociological units and map classes.

| Paper section | Phytosociological unit | Map class                  |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1. Fagus sylvatica Woodland (Beechwoods) | Carici sylvaticae-Fagetum sylvaticae | Eutrophic Beechwoods |
| 4.2. Mixed forests with a dominance of yews | Blechno spinicant-Fagetum sylvaticae | Oligotrophic Beechwoods |
|               | Daphnio cantabricae-Arctostaphyletum ursi | Mixed forests rich in yews |
| 4.3. Quercus petraea Woodland (Sessile Oakwoods) | Cynodon dactylon-Fagetum sylvaticae | Sessile Oakwoods |
| 4.4. Quercus pyrenaica Woodlands (Oro-Cantabrian Pyrenean Oakwoods) | Helleborus revolutus-Fagetum sylvaticae | Oligotrophic Pyrenean Oakwoods |
| 4.5. Quercus robur Woodland (Pedunculate Oakwoods) | Linaria triplinervi-Fagetum sylvaticae | Eutrophic Pedunculate Oakwoods |
| 4.6. Betula alba Woodland (Birchwoods) | Linaria triplinervi-Fagetum sylvaticae | Betulaceae Woodlands |
| 4.7. Riparian Woodlands (Alder, Ash and Willow Woods) | Linaria triplinervi-Fagetum sylvaticae | Willow Woods |
| 4.8. Holm oak woods | Cephalanthera longifoliae-Quercetum rotundifoliae | Holm-Oakwoods |
| 4.9. Ilex aquifolium woodlands | Lauro nobilis-Quercetum ilicis | Holly Woods |
| 4.10. Chestnut Woodlands (Castanea sativa-dominated forests) | Saniculo europeae-Illecebo ilicifolii | Chestnut Woodlands |
| 4.11. Conifer Plantations | Prunus spinosa-Prunetum spinosae | Conifer Plantations |
| 4.12. Other tree plantations | Prunus spinosa-Prunetum spinosae | Other tree plantations |
| 4.13. Hazelnut-dominated shrub formations | Prunus spinosa-Prunetum spinosae | Oligotrophic shrubland |
| 4.14. Heath-gorse formations | Daboecio cantabricae-Ulicetum gallii | Hazel-nut-dominated eutrophic shrublands |
| 4.15. Pulvinate Scrublands | Gentiana pneumonanthes-Ericetum mackaianae | Heath-gorse formations |
| 4.16. Fern fields | Pteridio aquilini-Fagetum sylvaticae | Other heathlands |
| 4.17. Broom Scrublands | Blechno spicant-Quercetum roboris | Pulvinate Scrublands |
| 4.18. Fruit tree plantations and agricultural crops | Lepidothamne fruticoso-Cephalantheretum rotundifoliaceae | Fruit tree and berry plantations, agricultural crops |
| 4.19. Grasslands | Linarion triplinervi | Pastures and grasslands |
| 4.20. Meadows | Linarion rotundifoliaceae | Meadows |
| 4.21. Casmophitic vegetation | Linarion triplinervi | Casmophitic vegetation |
| 4.22. Scree vegetation | Linarion triplinervi | Scree vegetation |

composed of Quercus petraea and Betula alba, with some shrubs of Aucuparia Sorbus, Ilex aquifolium, Corylus avellana and Erica arborea. This type of oro-cantabrian memorial formation also forms mixed communities with Quercus pyrenaica in the sunnier areas.

4.4. Quercus pyrenaica Woodlands (Oro-Cantabrian Pyrenean Oakwoods)

Quercus pyrenaica is mixed with Castanea sativa on the coline belt in mixed formations with poor undergrowth with Polystichum setiferum, Pteridium aquilinum, Rubus sp.pl, Rosa sp.pl. and Lonicera periclymenum. However, as we ascend the formations are more monospecific (Linario triornithophorae-Quercetum pyrenaei) or mix with sessile oak in the wettest areas and with holm-Oaks in the drier ones. The species reaches altitudes exceeding 1200 m a.s.l. on the sunny slopes with stocky, twisted trunks, and mixed in with other tree species such as Frangula alnus, Pyrus cordata, Ilex aquifolium, Pteridium aquilinum, Erica australis subsp. aragonensis, Erica arborea and Cytisus sp.pl.

4.5. Quercus robur Woodland (Pedunculate Oakwoods)

Quercus robur extends throughout the coline belt of the Oviedese District, usually in deciduous mixed formations. Worth noting is the presence of eutrophic series with maple and ash (Polysticho setiferi-Fraxinetum excelsioris), and oligotrophic series with birch (Blechno spinicant-Quercetum roboris). The acidophilic series is dominated by Quercus robur, Betula alba, Castanea sativa and Laurus nobilis in the lower areas, and is enriched with Fagus sylvatica and Quercus pyrenaica at higher altitudes. The eutrophic,
pedunculate oakwood is more diverse in species (Quercus robur, Fraxinus excelsior, Acer pseudoplatanus, Ulmus glabra, Tilia platyphyllos, Fagus sylvatica) and presents an undergrowth that is composed by Laurus nobilis, Euonymus europaeus, Cornus sanguinea, Corylus avellana, Ilex aquifolium, Crataegus monogyna and Prunus spinosa.

4.6. Betula celtiberica Woodland (Birchwoods)
Although the young forest of Betula pubescens subsp. celtiberica or Betula alba enjoys a wide distribution, birchwoods (Luzulo henriquesii-Betuletum celtibericae) are scarcer and are limited to certain borders of the transition from scrub to forest. These are formations that are not excessively tall and participated by Fagus sylvatica, Quercus petraea, Sorbus aucuparia, Sorbus aria, Erica arborea, etc.

4.7. Riparian Woodlands (Alder, Ash and Willow Woods)
These formations develop at the bottom of the valleys and gullies where herbaceous strata with fontinal communities of Cardamine raphanifolia and Chrysosplenium oppositifolium are common together with Stellaria alsine, Cardamine flexuosa, Chaeophyllum hirsutum, Apium nodiflorum and Equisetum palustre. The riparian Ash woodlands are made up of Fraxinus excelsior, Acer pseudoplatanus, Ulmus glabra and Salix atrocinerea, and generate authentic galleries. The riverbank forests present various types of willow (Salix sp.pl.), usually bushy and arborescent in size in the areas closest to the river course and accompanied by Alnus glutinosa and Populus nigra in the lower levels. Salix fragilis, Salix elegans subsp. angustifolia and Salix caprea abound in the lower strata. Undoubtedly, it is a plant community of great biodiversity. Likewise, Alnus glutinosa forms small alder woods corresponding to the eastern Cantabrian riparian woodland series Hyperico androsaemi-Alnetum glutinosae S., one of the most biodiverse plant formations in the region (Fraxinus excelsior, Betula celtiberica, Acer pseudoplatanus, Ulmus glabra, Salix alba, Corylus avellana, Laurus nobilis, Sambucus nigra, Frangula alnus, Cornus sanguinea, Hypericum androsaemum, Saxifraga hirsuta, Cirsaea lutetiana, Silene dioica, Festuca gigantea, Hedera helix, Dryopteris affinis, etc.).
4.8. Holm oak woodlands

The holm oak woodlands are relict forests in the Cantabrian mountains (this general assertion has been questioned by Lozano et al., 2002), where to a large extent they are composed of Mediterranean-type vegetation, despite the presence of Atlantic taxa. *Quercus ilex* can be accompanied by *Rhamnus alaternus*, *Arbutus unedo*, *Ruscus aculeatus*, *Asplenium adiantum-nigrum*, *Osyris alba*, *Amelanchier ovalis*, *Rubia peregrina*, *Jasminium fruticans* and *Smilax aspera*. These communities are situated on carbonate rocks in sunny locations of the coline belt in the Oviedese District. In contrast, the Oro-Cantabrian holm oak woodlands of *Quercus rotundifolia* (*Cephalanthero longifoliae-Quercetum rotundifoliae*) grow on calcareous, sunny slopes in the Somiedo and the Ubiña biogeographic districts (Figure 3).

4.9. Ilex aquifolium woodlands

The holly woods are located mainly in the montane belt; they are low density and do not reach tree height. *Ilex aquifolium* colonizes abandoned meadows alongside *Crataegus monogyna* and *Corylus avellana*, and, depending on the wealth or poverty of bases in the soil (*Saniculo europaeae-Ilicetum aquifolii*), in the presence of *Sorbus aucuparia*, *Betula alba*, *Fraxinus excelsior* or *Taxus baccata*. Either way, the herbaceous stratum is very poor and is made up of nemoral species such as *Melica uniflora*, *Mercurialis perennis* and *Helleborus viridis subsp. occidentalis*.

4.10. Chestnut Woodlands (Castanea sativa-dominated forests)

The extension of *Castanea sativa* is very much worthy of note since it occupies much of the coline belt of the Sierra del Aramo and is favored by the use of its fruit and wood (Figure 4). The density of the treetops generates a dark, humid environment similar to that of beechwoods, in which certain species of ferns (*Polystichum setiferum*, *Dryopteris affinis Dryopteris dilata*), brambles, climbing plants, herbaceous plants and mosses barely develop. The chestnut woodlands may be dotted with *Tilia platyphyllos*, *Quercus robur*, *Corylus avellana*, *Betula alba*, *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Acer pseudoplatanus*, etc., and can even constitute mixed, nemoral formations that enjoy great naturalness and biological richness despite originally being plantations.

4.11. Conifer plantations

Conifer plantations are very scarce. The plantations of *Pinus radiata* in some plots in Riosa and Quirós are of note. They are practically monospecific formations with a very poor floristic composition of species typical of anthropized spaces. On the southern slope of the Aramo there are also some experimental plots with *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana* and *Larix sp.*

4.12. Other tree plantations

Leaving aside the chestnut woodlands, forest repopulation is not extensive in the Sierra del Aramo. However, within the study area there are small mosaics of eucalyptus (*Eucaliptus globulus*) as well as poplar and hardwood leafy plantations.

4.13. Hazelnut-dominated shrub formations

*Corylus avellana* develops inside all types of forests and colonizes scree and other inactive deposits. In the sunniest areas within the coline belt it grows alongside *Laurus nobilis*, *Crataegus monogyna* or *Fraxinus excelsior* and with *Rhamnus alaternus*, *Ligustrum vulgare*, *Arbutus unedo* and *Phillyrea latifolia* in xeric biotopes. In soils rich in bases the hazel grow up...
alongside *Crataegus monogyna*, *Rosa* sp.pl. and *Prunus spinosa*. However, the large extent of the plant formations with *Rhamnus alpina*, *Berberis vulgaris* subsp. *cantabrica* and *Ribes alpinum* on the Aramo summit platform (spiny shrublands from the association *Pruno spinosae-Berberidetum cantabricae*) is of note. These are very interesting communities, as are those in which the hazel coexists with *Juniperus alpina*, *Daphne laureola* subsp. *cantabrica*, *Genista hispanica* subsp. *occidentalis* and *Taxus baccata* (Oro-Cantabrian subalpine creeping juniper woods with bearberry and spurge-laurel, *Daphno cantabricae-Arc-tostaphyletum uvae-ursi*).

### 4.14. Heath-gorse formations

The intensity of anthropic use and its subsequent cessation has favored the extension of heath-gorse formations on oligotrophic soils. In particular, in their facies with *Ulex europaeus* heath-gorse formations dominate on the coline belt of the northern area (*Ulici europaei-Ericetum vagantis*), and in that of *Ulex galii*, for all mountain grass areas of the intermediate stations (*Daboecio cantabricae-Ulicetum gal-lii*). Among these high-density, low-height formations, that can sometimes exceed two meters in height, *Ulex* sp.pl., *Erica vagans*, *Daboecia cantabrica*, *Erica cinerea*, and *Erica ciliaris*, *Calluna vulgaris*, etc. are especially relevant.

### 4.15. Pulvinate Scrublands

These are made up of low, padded bushes in open formations dominated by *Genista hispanica* subsp. *occidentalis*. We can distinguish between basophilous broom formations on the coline and montane belts with or without *Ulex europaeus* (with *Helianthemum nummularium*, *Lithodora diffusa*, *Teucrium pyrenai-cum*, *Brachypodium pinnatum* subsp. *rupestre*, *Erica vagans*, etc.), and a third type on the summit platform characterized by the Cantabrian endemism *Genista legionensis*, with *Globularia nudicalis*, *Thymelaea rui-zii* and *Euphorbia flavicoma* subsp. *occidentalis* (Litho-doro diffusae-Genistetum legionensis). The Sierra del Aramo is the westernmost location of *Genista legio-nensis* (Figure 5).

### 4.16. Fern fields

*Pteridium aquilinum* easily colonizes meadows and pastures from the coline to the montane belt on both acidic and basic soils. It appears in extensive almost monospecific formations, or in mixed formations with *Rubus* sp.pl., *Cytisus scoparius*, *Cytisus cantabricus*, *Erica arborea*, *Erica vagans*, *Daboecia cantabrica*, *Ulex europaeus*, *Ulex galii*, *Genista hispa-nica* subsp. *occidentalis*, etc.

### 4.17. Broom scrublands

These scrub communities are limited to few sectors of oligotrophic soils in the Quirós valley at altitudes between 500 and 800 m a.s.l., and are characterized by *Genista florida* subsp. *polygaliphylla*, *Cytisus can-tabricus*, *Erica arborea* and *Pteridium aquilinum*. *Cytisus cantabricus* also appears in the highest areas of the Aramo, and even dominates some high-mountain limestone outcrops.

### 4.18. Fruit tree plantations and agricultural crops

In this section we have included some small plots dedicated to agriculture in the valley bottoms, as well as other plantations of shrubs and fruit trees in the hills (apples, red fruits, etc.). The scarcity of flat areas, the primary orientation of production towards livestock, and the depopulation of the Asturian rural environment, explain the limited area given over to plantations and crops.

---

**Figure 5.** (A) Limestone grasslands on cacuminal skeletal soils with *Genista hispanica* subsp. *occidentalis* communities. (B) *Genista legionensis* patch.
4.19. Grasslands

Different types of herbaceous formations can be distinguished starting at around 1000–1100 m a.s.l. – mountain pastures (mesophilous and hygrophilous), calcareous grasslands, perennial *Nardus* grasslands, and psicroxerophitic basophilus grasslands in the culminating platform. More specifically, we have: a) discontinuous limestone grasslands on cacuminal skeletal soils with summer dryness dominated by *Festuca burnatii* and *Erodium glandulosum*; b) basophilous mesophytic grasslands on deep soils above 1200 m a.s.l. (*Bromus erectus*, *Helianthemum nummularium*, *Brachypodium pinnatum* subsp. *rupestris*, etc.); c) oligotropic grassland of *Jasione laevis* and *Galium saxatile* on washed lime-related substrates above 1200 m a.s.l.; d) perennial nitrophilous grasslands in the high mountain altered by cattle (*Chenopodium bonus-henricus*, *Senecio duriae*, *Urtica dioica*, *Malva sylvestris*, etc.).

4.20. Meadows

The herbaceous formations managed for mowing and cattle grazing were one of the largest formations on the basal slopes and valleys of the Aramo until the cessation of agro-livestock activity (Figure 6). The meadows surfaces of this type present numerous species of grasses, herbaceous species, and legumes. However, they are now dominated by certain forage species such as ‘vallico’ (*Lolium perenne*, *Lolium multiflorum*), and monospecificity is prevalent. According to Navarro (1974) and Mayor (1996) the following types of meadows can be found: a) Mesophilous meadows of *Linum bienne* and *Cynosurus cristatus* in the coline belt; b) Cantabrian mesophilous hay meadows dominated by *Malva moschata* and *Arrhenatherum elatius* subsp. *bulbosum* on wet eutrophic soils in the transition from the coline to the montane belt; c) pastures on the dry eutrophic and skeletal soils of the coline belt (*Saxifraga tridactylites*, *Minuartia hybrida*, *Euphorbia exigua*, etc.); d) grazing meadows, wet and nitrophilous altered coline belt areas (*Lolium perenne*, *Plantago major*, *Polygonum aviculare*, *Poa annua*, *Potentilla reptans*, etc.).

4.21. Casmophitic vegetation

There are ample areas of calcareous rocks in the Sierra del Aramo, especially in the highest areas where limestones appear practically devoid of vegetation, except in some sectors that are colonized by dispersed spiny shrublands and subalpine creeping juniper woods. However, even on the barest rocks, plants develop into fissure communities of great interest. In fact, the rupicolous vegetation has a fairly wide floristic diversity in keeping with the geoeological variability of its locations and the wealth in species, with a large number of endemisms. These plant formations correspond to the alliance *Saxifragion trifurcato-canaliculatae*, specifically, to the association *Crepido-Erinetum* (*Erinus alpinus*, *Crepis albida* subsp. *asturica*, *Saxifraga canaliculata*, *Saxifraga trifurcata*, *Asplenium trichomanes*, etc.).

4.22. Scree vegetation

At the foot of the limestone walls there are numerous scree due to pleistocene periglacial phenomena and gravity. Many of them, now inactive, are being colonized by shrub formations. However, in the pioneering stage the vegetation that protagonizes this phenomenon in the Cantabrian mountain corresponds to the species of the *Linariion filicaulis* alliance. *Arabis alpina*, *Iberis pruitii*, *Rumex scutatus*, *Vincetoxicum hirundinaria*, *Geranium columbinum*, *Digitalis parviflora* and *Galeopsis angustifolia* have been documented in the Sierra del Aramo.

5. Conclusions

This article describes the plant formations and their mapping for the Sierra del Aramo, whose wealth of
biodiversity is outstanding. The map has a legend of 34 classes, of which 28 correspond to plant formations developed over three biogeographical belts and, within these, 4 are tree and crop plantations. These include habitats of Community interest for the European Union and one fundamental issue: the passage between two subprovinces and contrasting biogeographic districts (the Oviedese District of the Cantabrian Atlantic Subprovince and the Somiedo and Ubiña District of the Oro-Cantabrian Subprovince). In addition, the Sierra del Aramo presents other singularities such as the plant formations described earlier in which Taxus baccata participates, the importance of the extent of the pastures despite the process of expansion of the scrub (with a cover that is also outstanding in its different facies), etc. All this constitutes a natural and cultural heritage which needs to be mapped, given that vegetation maps must contribute both to territorial management and to the future monitoring of the evolution of the different plant covers in relation to socio-economic changes.

Software
The digital cartographic base and the digitalization of the vegetation mapping used in the preparation of this map were performed with ESRI ArcMap10.1. The final design was carried out with the graphic program ADOBE Illustrator CS6.
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