Case Report

INTRODUCTION

When reconstruction of the foot is performed in the pretibial area, the ankle, or the dorsum of the foot, the need for a reliable flap remains a challenge. We found that the superficial inferior epigastric artery (SIEA) free flap can be used as an alternative tool for this purpose, as it helps to solve the problems associated with other flaps. We describe 2 cases in which we reconstructed the foot using an SIEA free flap, which was pliable enough to fit the contours of the area. Postoperatively, the flaps were intact and showed excellent aesthetic results. Thus, the SIEA free flap can be an alternative tool for patients with a low body mass index who undergo reconstructive surgery involving the pretibial area, ankle, knee, or dorsum of the foot, all of which require a soft and flexible flap.

CASES

Case 1

A 62-year-old man who was referred to our hospital presented with an ulcer on his right foot that began after callus removal. The ulcer did not heal and gradually developed necrosis. He went to a local clinic where he was diagnosed with diabetes mellitus and was started on medical therapy and wound management for a month.

When he visited our clinic, signs of infection were observed, with a foul odor at the wound site; we therefore prescribed treatment with empirical antibiotics. Furthermore, his serum hemoglobin A1c level was 10.2%, and he had decreased sensa-
tion in his right foot. Angiography performed by a radiologist found partial occlusion in the anterior tibial artery and the peroneal artery.

He was admitted to the plastic surgery unit and he underwent wound debridement twice. The ulcer was found to extend to the underlying muscles, and the defect measured 15 cm × 6 cm (Fig. 1). Once the signs of infection subsided, we reconstructed the area with an SIEA free flap (Fig. 2). The patient was lean, and had a body mass index (BMI) of 17 kg/m², which made him a suitable candidate for reconstruction with an SIEA free flap. The flap was pliable enough to cover the defect site, and it fit the contours of the foot well. After adequate preparation of the vessels, a 7-cm pedicle was created (Figs. 3, 4), and microsurgical anastomoses were performed at the level of the ankle using the posterior tibial artery with end-to-side anastomosis and a vein with end-to-end anastomosis using 10-0 nylon sutures.

Postoperatively, the patient’s serum glucose levels were controlled aggressively, and flap engraftment was performed successfully. On postoperative day (POD) 27, the sutures were removed and the patient was discharged. At follow-up 2 months later, the flap was intact and it showed excellent aesthetic results (Fig. 5).

Case 2
A 45-year-old woman presented with a soft tissue defect over the right medial malleolus. She had previously suffered tibiofibular fractures caused by a road traffic accident and had undergone open reduction and internal fixation. At the time of pre-
sentation, the soft tissue defect measured 6 cm × 4 cm, and the underlying hardware was exposed (Fig. 6). She was transferred to the plastic surgery department for reconstruction of the soft tissue defect over the right medial malleolus. The patient had a BMI of 20 kg/m². We marked the SIEA with the help of a Doppler ultrasound, around the midpoint of the line connecting the anterior superior iliac spine and the pubic tubercle, and then carried out a dissection to expose the superficial inferior epigastric vessels.

Once we marked the design of the flap to fit the measured defect, we dissected until the fascia of Scarpa was revealed (Fig. 7), and elevated the flap above the fascia.

The harvested SIEA flap was fixed over the defect site, and the posterior tibial vessels were anastomosed with the superficial inferior epigastric vessels in an end-to-side manner. The sutures were removed on POD 17 and the reconstructed site showed relatively good contours (Fig. 8) when compared to the uninjured side. The flap was intact and there were no complications.

**DISCUSSION**

In 1863, Wood reconstructed a forearm defect using an SIEA free flap with preserved donor site muscle and fascia. In 1971, Antia and Buch [4] used an SIEA free flap in reconstructive surgery of the face for the first time.

In 1992, Stern and Nahai [5] described 27 cases of reconstructive surgery of the face and limbs that used SIEA free flaps. The flap was well maintained 2 months after surgery, without any postoperative complications.
The advantage of this flap is that the vessels exit the flap from one of its edges, which makes it easy to use in the reconstruction of the face or limbs.

In 2008, Nasir and Aydin [6] reported the advantages of an SIEA free flap in the reconstruction of the extremities. SIEA free flaps were found to cover extensive defects adequately, and the healing of the donor sites was cosmetically excellent. Furthermore, if necessary, debulking surgery could be performed under local anesthesia.

The lower extremities are away from the central circulation and have a high incidence of vascular complications after reconstructive surgery. Moreover, a large flap is required for the reconstruction of the lower extremities due to the poor laxity of the local tissue [6].

During the movements of the lower limbs, their surface contours change, meaning that a flap that does not have contours matching the rest of the limb will not only be aesthetically unpleasant but will also be of poor functional utility. Hence, fasciocutaneous flaps are preferred over muscle flaps when reconstructing the lower limbs.

The SIEA free flap allows a larger flap than does the SCIP free flap. Therefore, an SIEA free flap is suitable for the reconstruction of the lower limbs, as various sizes of flaps may be required.

In patients with diabetes mellitus with peripheral vascular insufficiency, it is important to ensure that the flap has a long enough pedicle to perform the anastomosis of the vessels of the flap with healthy recipient vessels, which may be far from the site of the flap [7].

The physical properties of the SIEA free flap are similar to those of the SCIP free flap, and when the skin flap is harvested, the muscle layer at the donor site is not damaged [3]. Therefore, SIEA flaps have excellent results in terms of healing at the donor site [8].

Additionally, an SIEA flap can provide a pedicle that is 2–3 cm longer than provided by the SCIP free flap, and has a larger mean caliber of vessels (1.9 mm) [9], which makes reconstruction of the lower extremity easier when performing microsurgery.

In the case of a perforator flap, such as the SIEA free flap, dissection of the perforator vessels requires fine microsurgical skills and a thorough understanding of the anatomic variations of the flap pedicle.

The lower abdomen exhibits a layered structure known as the fascia of Scarpa, which allows relatively accurate and safe flap elevation.

However, in obese patients, it is difficult to make a thin flap. Therefore, when selecting patients for reconstruction using the SIEA free flap method, the thickness of the skin in the lower abdominal area should be evaluated preoperatively, and patients who have a low BMI are better candidates for this procedure.

It should be noted that the SIEA has a small caliber and does not exist in 25% of Korean women. Therefore, careful evaluation of the lower abdominal vasculature and screening are important for this reconstructive procedure to be successful [10].

In our cases, after SIEA flap elevation, the donor site was closed vertically. However, from a cosmetic point of view, a bikini incision (abdominoplasty) may be used with excellent cosmetic results [11].

The SIEA free flap can be used as an alternative tool for the reconstruction of lower extremity defects when a soft, pliable, and large flap is required.
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