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Changes in Index of Microcirculatory Resistance during PCI in the Left Anterior Descending Coronary Artery in Relation to Total Length of Implanted Stents
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Aim. To investigate the relationship between stent length and changes in microvascular resistance during PCI in stable coronary artery disease (CAD).

Methods and Results. We measured fractional flow reserve (FFR), index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), and coronary flow reserve (CFR) before and after stenting in 42 consecutive subjects with stable coronary artery undergoing PCI with stent in the LAD. Patients that had very long stent length (38–78 mm) had lower FFR before stenting than patients that had long (23–37 mm) and moderate (12–22 mm) stent length (0.59 ± 0.16, 0.70 ± 0.12, and 0.75 ± 0.07; p = 0.002). FFR improved after stenting and more so in subjects with very long stent length compared to long and moderate stent length (0.27 ± 0.16, 0.15 ± 0.12, and 0.12 ± 0.07; p for interaction = 0.013). Corrected IMR (IMR corr) increased after stenting in subjects who had very long stent length, whereas IMR corr was lower after stenting in subjects who had long or moderate stent length (4.6 ± 10.7, −1.4 ± 9.9, and −4.2 ± 7.8; p for interaction = 0.009). Conclusions. Changes in IMR during PCI in the LAD in stable CAD seem to be related to total length of stents implanted, possibly influencing post-PCI FFR. Larger studies are needed to confirm the relationship.

1. Introduction

Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is a validated and reproducible measure of the functional severity of a coronary artery lesion [1, 2]. FFR-guided PCI with DES and optimal medical therapy (OMT) improves outcome and angina symptoms for patients with stable coronary artery disease compared to OMT alone [3, 4]. Furthermore, higher post-PCI FFR is associated with better outcome [5, 6]. However, increased coronary microvascular resistance is associated with higher post-PCI FFR [7], and coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMVD) is associated with long-term recurrence of restenosis [8].
microvascular resistance immediately after stenting [12–14], whereas other reports have shown that microvascular resistance is independent of epicardial lesions [15–18]. The relationship between stent length and changes in microvascular resistance is not known. We hypothesised that there was no improvement, or even impairment, in microvascular resistance post-PCI with very long total stent length. For this purpose, we measured FFR, IMR, and coronary flow reserve (CFR) with thermodilution before and after stenting in 42 consecutive subjects with stable coronary artery undergoing PCI with stent of the LAD. The relationships between changes in coronary flow indices and total stent length were analysed.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects. Consecutive patients, 18–85 years of age, with an life expectancy of >2 years that were planned for coronary angiography due to stable coronary artery disease were included between 2nd of September 2015 and 20th of September 2017. Subjects were included before coronary anatomy was known. Patients with acute coronary syndrome, congestive heart failure with ejection fraction <39%, prior heart transplantation, prior coronary artery by-pass grafting, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease scheduled for surgery/intervention, cancer within three years of admission, peri- and/or myocarditis, contrast allergy, atrial fibrillation with ventricular rate >120, asthma bronchiale, and atroventricular block II-III were excluded. After coronary angiography, measurements of FFR, CFR, and IMR in the LAD were conducted irrespectively of coronary anatomy. Subjects with chronic total occlusion in the LAD, heavy calcification, or tortuosity in the left main/LAD hindering safe passage of a guide-wire with pressure and temperature sensors were excluded at the discretion of the PCI operator. In total, 274 subjects were included after oral and written informed consent was obtained. In the current analysis, we report pre- to post-PCI changes in FFR, CFR, and IMR in relation to total stent length and other variables in subjects treated with PCI in the LAD who had flow indices measured both before and after PCI (n = 42). Ethical permission was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm (no 2015/962–31).

2.2. Flow Measurements. FFR, IMR, and CFR were measured in the LAD after initial coronary angiography and again after PCI of the LAD. A coronary guide-wire with pressure and temperature sensors (Pressure Wire X; Abbott Inc., CA, USA) was advanced in the LAD (sensors >70 mm from the catheter tip and distal to the lesion). Three millilitres of cold saline was injected into the LAD, and thermodilution curves were recorded. The procedure was repeated in total three times calculating the mean transit time (Tmnbase). Then, adenosine (dosage of 167 μg/kg/min) was administered intravenously in a large cubital vein for two minutes to induce stable hyperaemia. A higher infusion rate of adenosine 1 mg/ml than in other studies [19, 20] was used for feasibility (70 kg patient receives an infusion of 700 ml per hour, 80 kg patient receives an infusion of 800 ml per hour, and so on.). Furthermore, infusion rates of 160–180 μg/kg/min are safe and recommended to receive maximal hyperaemia [20, 21]. Again, three millilitres of cold saline was injected in the LAD three times, and hyperaemic thermodilution curves (Tmnhyp) and distal (Pd) and proximal (Pa) coronary pressure were recorded. Measurements were repeated after PCI in the LAD in the same position as before stenting.

FFR was calculated by dividing Pd by Pa (Pd/Pa) during hyperaemia. CFR was calculated as the ratio between Tmnbase and Tmnhyp (Tmnbase/Tmnhyp). IMR was calculated as the product of Tmnhyp and Pd (Tmnhyp × Pd). IMR can be overestimated in subjects with FFR ≤0.80 due to collateral flow, and thus corrected IMR (IMRcorr) was calculated according to Yong [22]: IMRcorr = Pa × Tmnhyp × ([1.35 × Pd/ Pa) − 0.32]).

2.3. Statistics. Median values and interquartile range (IQR) are presented for continuous variables. Numbers and proportions are presented for categorical variables. Stent length was categorized into three different categories since the variable is ordinal and non-normally distributed; moderate (12–22 mm), long (23–37 mm), and very long (38–78 mm) stent length. Linear regression was used to compare flow indices between groups. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA analyses (Wilks-Lambda) were used to analyse changes in IMR and CFR before and after stenting in relation to stent length group. Non-normally distributed variables, including IMRcorr, were log-transformed before analysis. α was two-sided and set to 0.05. IBM SPSS Statistics 23.0 was used for analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Procedural Characteristics. Forty-two patients underwent stenting of the LAD and had flow indices measured before and after stenting. Seven patients were women, seven had diabetes mellitus, and two thirds of the patients had one-vessel disease (Table 1). A majority (90%) of the patients had Canadian Cardiovascular Society grade I and II angina pectoris. All patients were stented with second generation drug-eluting stent (everolimus, n = 23; zotarolimus, n = 13; sirolimus, n = 6). One patient in the long stent length group (23–37 mm) received a biodegradable vascular scaffold, and one patient in the very long stent length group (38–78 mm) was stented also in the left main. All patients were on double antiplatelet therapy. Two patients were on ticagrelor, of which one was a non-responder to clopidogrel (Multiple APT test) and the other patient was allergic to clopidogrel. A few (n = 4) lesions were treated by direct stenting, a majority of the stents were post-dilated (Table 2), and no debulking devices such as rotational atherectomy were used. Patients that had very long stent length had lower FFR before stenting and higher Tmnhyp after stenting than the other two groups (Table 3).
mediate rise in the resistance of the coronary circulation and (ii) improved FFR values after PCI with very long stent length. Supporting this, is a randomized trial of direct stenting compared to stenting with predilatation (more complex technique), indicating that direct stenting with fewer dilatations is less traumatic for the coronary microcirculation as compared to conventional stenting [23]. Elective PCI might impair resting microvascular perfusion [24] through several different mechanisms acutely elevating the coronary microcirculatory resistance; release of local vasoconstrictors [25], vasoconstriction [26], impairment of endothelial function [27, 28], and distal embolization [29, 30]. Microvascular impairment is more likely to occur during more complex PCI and/or in very long lesions than in less complex interventions.

Coronary microvascular disease is one of the limitations when identifying ischemic lesions with FFR [21]. It is difficult to appreciate the effects of immediate (and possibly transient) acute rise in coronary microcirculation resistance corresponding to 4.6 units IMR corr on distal pressure in the LAD (Pd) and subsequent elevation of FFR values after PCI. Studies have consistently shown that higher FFR values after stenting is associated with lower MACE rates [5, 6, 31, 32]. A recent meta-analysis of post-PCI FFR measurements in FAME-1 and FAME-2 showed that the best cut-off value for predicting two-year vessel-oriented composite endpoint (death, MI, revascularization) was 0.915, with a sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 43%. However, the authors conclude that its predictive value is too low for optimization of PCI procedures [32]. Assessing FFR in combination with post-PCI IMR provides information about the treated epicardial lesion and the microcirculatory function immediately after the intervention. This might improve sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value for future major adverse cardiovascular events. The interpretation of post-PCI FFR may benefit from concurrent assessment of the coronary microcirculation, but it needs to be evaluated in studies.

Pre-PCI IMR is associated with post-PCI in a several studies [12, 33, 34]. In our study, pre-PCI IMR are accounted for in the analysis since we are studying changes in IMR during PCI. Diabetes mellitus is associated with post-PCI IMR in a small study mixing acute coronary syndromes and stable coronary artery disease [33]. Diabetes mellitus was not an interaction term for changes in IMR in our study (data not shown), possibly due to low power with only seven subjects with diabetes mellitus.

In experimental human and animal studies, assessment of IMR is independent of artificial stenosis created by balloons and a vascular occluder [17, 18], and studies of IMR before and after PCI have shown that there are no alterations in microvascular resistance when collateral flow is taken into account [15, 16]. However, other studies have shown that
microvascular resistance is attenuated (improved) immediately after PCI [12–14,34], and these effects seem to persist after 10 months of follow-up [12]. Large studies are needed to evaluate the natural course of immediate rise of microcirculatory resistance after stenting, as is the case with subjects with very long total stent length in our study.

We do know that total stent length is associated with restenosis [35], but the contribution of CMVD in restenosis is not established. In one study of 29 patients, lower coronary blood flow response to cold-pressor test measured by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography (a proxy for CMVD) 24 hours after stent implantation in the LAD was associated with long-term recurrence of restenosis [8], indicating that acute coronary microvascular function is important in the pathogenesis of restenosis. Large studies are needed to establish the role of post-PCI CMVD in stable coronary artery disease in relation to long-term prognosis.

4.2. Limitations. This is an observational study and conclusions about causal effects cannot be made. The number of observations is small, and lack of association of IMR and/or changes in IMR with procedural or baseline characteristics variables could be secondary to low power. The results are confined to the LAD only, and effects in the left circumflex or the right coronary artery are not examined. We have not routinely measured cardiac markers after PCI, and thus we cannot present the frequency of type 4a myocardial infarction. Assessment of IMR directly after stenting does not reveal if alterations of post-PCI IMR are transient or permanent.

There are also strengths in this study; all patients in our study had stable coronary artery disease only, and the flow was assessed before and after PCI in the LAD for all patients. The patients were all included prospectively before the coronary anatomy was known which diminishes the inclusion bias inflicted by the perception of the PCI operators.
5. Conclusions

Changes in IMR during PCI in the LAD in stable CAD seem to be related to total length of stents implanted, possibly influencing post-PCI FFR. In this study, PCI with very long total stent length was associated with an immediate rise in coronary microvascular resistance. Larger studies are needed to confirm the relationship between total stent length and changes in IMR during PCI.
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Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Additional Points

Impact on Daily Practice. Complex PCI with very long total stent length in the LAD is associated with acute rise in microcirculatory resistance in subjects with stable coronary artery disease. Acute rise in coronary microcirculatory resistance might augment FFR and thus compromise the interpretation of post-PCI FFR.
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