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Mutagenic Effects of Ribavirin on Hepatitis E Virus—Viral Extinction versus Selection of Fitness-Enhancing Mutations
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Abstract: Hepatitis E virus (HEV), an important agent of viral hepatitis worldwide, can cause severe courses of infection in pregnant women and immunosuppressed patients. To date, HEV infections can only be treated with ribavirin (RBV). Major drawbacks of this therapy are that RBV is not approved for administration to pregnant women and that the virus can acquire mutations, which render the intra-host population less sensitive or even resistant to RBV. One of the proposed modes of action of RBV is a direct mutagenic effect on viral genomes, inducing mismatches and subsequent nucleotide substitutions. These transition events can drive the already error-prone viral replication beyond an error threshold, causing viral population extinction. In contrast, the expanded heterogeneous viral population can facilitate selection of mutant viruses with enhanced replication fitness. Emergence of these mutant viruses can lead to therapeutic failure. Consequently, the onset of RBV treatment in chronically HEV-infected individuals can result in two divergent outcomes: viral extinction versus selection of fitness-enhanced viruses. Following an overview of RNA viruses treated with RBV in clinics and a summary of the different antiviral modes of action of this drug, we focus on the mutagenic effect of RBV on HEV intrahost populations, and how HEV is able to overcome lethal mutagenesis.
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1. Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) was first described as novel agent responsible for enterically transmitted non-A, non-B hepatitis by Reyes and colleagues in 1991 [1]. This was 35 years after the first documented epidemic outbreak (1955–1956) of a retrospectively identified HEV infection—transmitted via the fecal–oral route—in New Delhi, India [2].

HEV is a nonenveloped single-stranded RNA virus with a 7.2 kb genome of positive orientation. Three open reading frames (ORFs) encode for: (1) the nonstructural proteins (ORF1), comprising a methyltransferase, a papain-like cysteine protease, a helicase, and an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), connected by a Y-domain and a hypervariable region (HVR); (2) the capsid protein (ORF2); and (3) small proteins whose functions are not yet completely understood (ORF3) [3]. The viral subgenomic RNA is comparable to mammalian mRNAs, flanked by a 5′-methylguanine cap and a 3′-poly(A) tail. HEV has recently been taxonomically reassigned to the genus Orthohepevirus in the family of Hepeviridae [4]. Differences in the sequences of isolates led to the current classification into seven genotypes, four of which infect humans. HEV-1 and HEV-2 (i.e., genotypes 1 and 2) are solely human pathogens and are mainly transmitted orally by feces-contaminated drinking water.
These genotypes are endemic in Africa, southeast Asia, and Mexico, while the zoonotic genotypes 3 and 4 are predominantly found in northern America, Europe, and northern Asia as summarized by the *Hepeviridae* Study Group of the International Committee on the Taxonomy of Viruses [4] and others [5].

An infection with HEV is usually self-limiting, causing arthralgia, flu-like myalgia, vomiting, and symptoms characteristic of hepatitis like jaundice and itching [6]. Progression to chronicity is generally described for pregnant women and immunosuppressed individuals, such as patients recovering from solid organ transplantation [7]. Data for HIV-coinfected patients are contradictory and still under discussion, as extensively reviewed by Debes et al. [8,9]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), each year more than 20 million individuals are newly infected with the HEV [10]. With more than three million symptomatic cases of HEV infection reported worldwide each year and about 70,000 HEV-related deaths [6], HEV must be reconsidered to be a major global health burden, with appropriate resources redirected toward effective control and eventual eradication [11,12]. Recently, studies reporting extrahepatic manifestations of HEV have accumulated, detailing potential connections between HEV infection and neurological disorders, including Guillain-Barré syndrome [13–19].

Ribavirin (RBV) is a broad-spectrum antiviral agent with numerous clinical applications against viral pathogens; it is currently the only treatment option for chronically infected HEV patients. Several publications have documented the emergence of single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in viral genomes that cause either reduced RBV sensitivity or RBV resistance [20–23]. Recent studies also indicate HEV acquired mutations under RBV therapy that decreased the sensitivity to RBV treatment regimes in vitro and, most importantly, in vivo [24–26].

In this article, we start with an overview of selected RNA viruses that are or have been clinically treated with RBV, and summarize this drug’s different antiviral modes of action. The second part focuses on the mutagenic effect of RBV on HEV intra-host populations and how HEV is able to overcome the lethal mutagenesis induced by this guanosine analog.

### 2. RNA Viruses and Ribavirin

In 1972, RBV was described as a broad-spectrum antiviral against several DNA and RNA viruses [27]. Since then, numerous studies have reported on the in vitro antiviral properties of RBV. Figure 1 provides an overview of a selection of RNA viruses against which RBV was shown to be active: hepatitis C virus (HCV, *Flaviviridae*), dengue virus (DENV, *Flaviviridae*), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV, *Paramyxoviridae*), influenza A and B virus (*Orthomyxoviridae*), chikungunya virus (CHIKV, *Togaviridae*), poliovirus (*Picornaviridae*), Hantaan virus (*Bunyaviridae*), and Lassa virus (*Arenaviridae*) [28,29] (Figure 1). For further reading we would like to refer to other reviews like [29–31].

Studying multiple viruses from the family *Flaviviridae*, Crance et al. investigated the in vitro antiviral properties of RBV against 11 flaviviruses including DENV, Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), and yellow fever virus (YFV). Inhibition of virus replication was observed for all tested flaviviruses [32]. Furthermore, effectiveness of RBV could be confirmed in vivo for YFV using a hamster model by administering early upon infection [33,34]. However, these effects could not be confirmed in a nonhuman primate model [33]. Therefore, further studies are required to evaluate the possible application of RBV as a treatment option for YFV. Here, the dosage as well as the time points of treatment represent the major hurdles, which need to be overcome [33]. Additionally, hemorrhagic fever-causing viruses, which are categorized into the families of *Arenaviridae*, *Bunyaviridae*, and *Togaviridae*, were demonstrated to be susceptible to inhibition by RBV (Figure 1). For example, for Lassa virus the antiviral efficiency of RBV was proven both in vitro and in vivo in guinea pigs and monkeys [35,36]. Hantaviruses (i.e., Hantaan virus) and phleboviruses (i.e., Rift Valley fever virus, RVF) were also shown to be susceptible to RBV treatment [35]. In a mouse model for Hantaan virus, an increase of survival and milder signs of disease were described [35]. In experimental RVF infections of mice and hamsters, RBV led to a prevention of death, delay of death, or the onset of milder symptoms, depending on the time point of administration [35]. In general, higher doses of RBV were needed
to inhibit flaviviruses compared to arena-, bunya-, and hantaviruses [33]. For CHIKV, RBV also demonstrated antiviral effects, although to a lesser extent when compared to interferon-α (IFN-α).

Nevertheless, a synergistic effect of RBV and IFN-α could be demonstrated in vitro [37,38]. Moreover, the antiviral properties of RBV could be shown for members of the Picornaviridae: both foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) [39,40] and poliovirus (PV) [41] were inhibited by RBV (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Antiviral properties of ribavirin (RBV) against RNA viruses. The broad-spectrum antiviral properties of RBV have been reported for several RNA viruses. Depicted is a selection of the different viral families and the respective genus and species. Viruses for which RBV was clinically approved are highlighted with an orange box. Viruses for which lethal mutagenesis or increased mutation rate was proposed as a possible RBV mechanism are indicated in blue.

While displaying broad antiviral activity against a wide range of RNA viruses, clinical data on the application of RBV are still limited and restricted to only a few viruses. Initially, RBV was considered as a treatment option for influenza A and B virus infections. However, clinical trials showed inconclusive data; although some studies reported an improvement of symptoms of influenza virus infection, results were generally inconsistent [42,43]. Due to lack of conclusive data from clinical trials, coupled with the development of alternative antiviral therapies, RBV has never been approved for the treatment of influenza virus. Nonetheless, Lassa virus, HCV, and RSV are prominent examples of viruses for which RBV has received approval as an antiviral compound for clinical application [44]. RBV was shown to be effective in treating patients suffering from Lassa fever [45] and can be administered orally, intravenously, or as pre- or post-exposure prophylaxis [46]. In 1998, RBV was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a treatment option for HCV [47] and was, in combination with pegylated IFN-α, the standard treatment for chronic HCV infection for over two decades [48]. It has been shown that after failure of a monotherapy with IFN-α alone, a combination therapy with RBV is more effective than subsequent repetition of IFN-α monotherapy [49]. However, the sustained virological response (SVR) rates varied among genotypes, and dual therapy was associated with severe side effects [48]. Nowadays, RBV is no longer the standard-of-care anti-HCV therapy, and has been replaced by direct-acting antivirals (DAAs).

Initial trials for the treatment of RSV infection showed a reduced duration of hospitalization and
requirement of mechanical ventilation [50,51]. A routine use of RBV in RSV-infected children is not recommended; however, treatment can be considered for individual cases [50].

Taken together, since its first description as an antiviral in 1972, RBV has been shown to be active against a broad range of RNA viruses. However, due to limited clinical trial data supporting its in vivo efficacy, clinical applications are currently limited to a minority of viruses.

3. Multiple Modes of Action for Ribavirin

The broad antiviral effect of RBV against numerous RNA viruses suggests different modes of action for the molecule; indeed, several antiviral mechanisms have been described in the past [29,52] and are summarized in Figure 2A. Among the indirect mechanisms, a T-cell-mediated effect was described for HCV (Figure 2A). Here, the balance of T helper cells was changed by switching from a T helper type 2 phenotype to a T helper type 1 [29]. In a study by Hultgren et al., an inhibition of in vitro T-cell proliferation as well as a change in secreted cytokines was observed [53]. Simultaneously, alanine transaminase (ALT) levels in serum were reduced with no change in HCV titers [53]. Furthermore, an early switch of a T helper type 1 immune response to a T helper type 2 immune response was associated with disease progression and the development of chronicity [54]. Thus, RBV restored the T helper 1 phenotype needed for balanced expression and secretion of cytokines produced from type 1 and 2 T helper cells [29]. Another example where an immunomodulatory effect was described for RBV is in RSV infection. It was proposed that a T helper type 2 cytokine response initiated the cascade leading to airway hyper-reactivity, which in turn can be blocked by RBV treatment [55] (Figure 2A).

Another indirect mode of action for RBV is the inhibition of the cellular inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), which was already proposed in 1973 [56] (Figure 2A). After uptake into the cell, RBV is phosphorylated to RBV mono-, di-, and triphosphate (RMP, RDP, and RTP, respectively). RMP represents a good mimic of inosine monophosphate (IMP) and thereby inhibits the synthesis of IMP to xanthosine monophosphate (XMP) by IMPDH. Consequently, no guanosine monophosphate (GMP), and subsequently guanosine triphosphate (GTP), can be synthesized. In vitro, replication of measles virus in Vero cells could be blocked by the addition of XMP, GMP, and to a lesser extent, also IMP [56], which underlines the mode of action of RMP. A linear correlation of the depletion of GTP pools and in vitro antiviral activity of RBV against human parainfluenza virus 3 and YFV was confirmed [57]. Furthermore, the addition of guanosine to cell cultures restored the antiviral activity of RBV against GB virus B (GBV-B) [58]. In contrast, in vitro experiments with Lassa virus and Hantaan virus indicated that RBV did not primarily act via depletion of GTP pools for these two viruses [59,60]. Moreover, experiments with influenza A virus showed no linear correlation of intracellular GTP pools and viral replication with increasing concentrations of RBV [61]. Additionally, the authors did not observe a complete restoration of influenza A virus replication after addition of guanosine [61]. No effect of guanosine or GMP on the antiviral effect of RBV against influenza A virus in mice could be demonstrated [62]. Overall, these data suggest that other mechanisms for the mode of action of RBV exist.

The influence of RBV on the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISG) is controversial in the literature. Most studies, both in vivo and in vitro, come from the HCV and RSV fields. RBV is able to increase the antiviral effects of an IFN-based therapy and restore IFN-responsiveness in HCV-infected livers [63–66]. Also, a direct, IFN-independent upregulation of ISGs has been proposed [67,68]. However, a recent study with HCV patients receiving RBV monotherapy showed a downregulation of abnormally preactivated ISGs through chromatin remodeling and modulation of histone methylation, resulting in a higher liver susceptibility to IFN by lowering the baseline expression of certain ISGs [69].
Figure 2. Mode of action of ribavirin. (A) Several antiviral mechanisms for ribavirin have been proposed and are depicted schematically. Among these are effects on the immune clearance, inhibition of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), influence on interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), inhibition of viral replication, inhibition of capping, and RNA mutagenesis; (B) The mutagenic effect on RNA is visualized in more detail. In altering the synthesis of (−)-RNA and (+)-RNA, RBV is randomly incorporated in the nascent strands and subsequently leads to transition events causing C–U (a), U–C (b) and G–A (c) or A–G substitutions. CTL: cytotoxic T lymphocyte; Th1: T helper cell, type 1; Th2: T helper cell, type 2; TNFα: Tumor necrosis factor alpha; INFγ: interferon gamma; IMP: inosine monophosphate; GMP: guanosine monophosphate; GTP: guanosine triphosphate.
Some RNA viruses, as well as cellular mRNAs, harbor a 7-methylguanosine cap structure at the 5’ end [70]. The RBV-induced reduction of GTP pools within the cell was proposed to also have an effect on the capping efficiency of RNA viruses (Figure 2A). For example, DENV encodes for a 2′-O-methyltransferase at the N-terminus of the NS5 polymerase, termed NS5MTaseDV. NS5MTaseDV binds GTP and catalyzes the formation of a 5′ cap structure [71]. After RBV treatment, less GTP is present and RTP was shown to compete for binding to the NS5MTaseDV, thereby blocking the synthesis of the 5′ cap [71]. Likewise, RBV directly and strongly inhibited the viral mRNA guanylyltransferase of vaccinia virus and thus prevented capping of nascent viral RNA [72,73]. However, this mechanism is controversially discussed in literature [72–75], and not all RNA viruses display a 7-methylguanosine cap structure at the 5′ end. Therefore, this mode of action cannot account exclusively for the observed effects of RBV.

Another suggested mechanism is the direct impact of RBV treatment on the function of viral polymerases (Figure 2A,B). Here, RTP is thought to directly inhibit viral RNA replication by being recognized by the viral polymerase and thereby leading to chain termination or preventing the binding of other nucleotides important for elongation [76]. In a cell-free system, RTP was shown to inhibit the RNA polymerase of influenza A virus [77]. Moreover, inhibition of viral RNA synthesis of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) in the presence of RMP, RDP, and RTP was described with the triphosphorylated form being the least active [78]. This would argue against a mode of action that is based on the incorporation of RTP in the nascent viral RNA in VSV. In the same study, an inhibitory effect of RDP on La Crosse virus RNA synthesis was also reported [78]. Interestingly, Crotty et al. could demonstrate that RTP is indeed employed by PV RdRp, and that integrated RBV acts as mutagen [41]. Another example of an effect of RTP on the viral polymerase is the case of reovirus. Rankin et al. proposed that RTP binds close to the catalytic site of the transcriptase, thereby affecting the helicase function and subsequently lowering the binding affinity of viral RNA [79]. As a consequence, elongation of the viral RNA is inhibited. Interestingly, no effect on the capping activity was demonstrated [79]. The nucleotide binding site of the polymerase is highly conserved among HCV genotypes, supporting this proposed mechanism [76]. Indeed, in vitro analysis showed a minor decrease of HCV replication [52,76,80]. However, in clinical trials with RBV monotherapy, only a mild decrease of HCV replication was noticed [81,82].

In recent years, a mutagenic effect of RBV via its incorporation into newly synthesized RNA genomes, leading to viral extinction was described for several RNA viruses (Figure 2B). In contrast to DNA viruses, the major characteristic of RNA viruses is the occurrence of a cloud of related but genetically distinct variants in infected patients, often referred to as a quasispecies. However, the term “quasispecies” refers to a particular mutation–selection balance, with natural selection acting on the group rather than on the individual [83,84]. It is not simply a surrogate for genetic heterogeneity [85]. While quasispecies behavior has been demonstrated experimentally in artificially expanded poliovirus populations in infected mice [86], evidence is lacking for quasispecies’ behavior in many viruses, including HEV. These diverse intra-host viral populations are the result of the lack of proofreading activity of RdRp. However, due to this high variation, viral isolates are close to the error threshold, which would lead to reduction in viral fitness [87]. Incorporation of RBV into newly synthesized RNA genomes thereby increases the frequency of mutations in the population, pushing the virus over an error threshold and resulting in viral extinction. This mechanism of action for RBV has been described, at least in vitro, for FMDV [21], poliovirus [28], HCV [88], GBV-B [58], Hantaan virus [89], and HEV [25,26].

Ever since the first reports by Sidwell et al. describing RBV as a broad-spectrum antiviral [27], there have been multiple discussions about its mechanisms of action. Of course one has to always keep in mind that in vitro data, where most of the proposed models arose from, cannot just be translated into in vivo situations. Remarkably enough, monotherapy with RBV is only potently effective against Lassa virus [45] and HEV [90,91]. Future studies should address questions regarding the biocompatibility of RBV and its availability in the targeted liver to investigate if intracellular
concentrations can account for the different proposed mechanisms—for example, to outcompete cellular nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) for misincorporation.

In summary, several mechanisms have been postulated for RBV activity. Among these, there are indirect, immunomodulatory mechanisms and effects on IMPDH. Furthermore, mechanisms on the virus itself were described by inhibition of the capping efficiency, the viral polymerase, and a mutagenic effect on newly synthesized RNA genomes.

4. Hepatitis E Virus as Intra-Host Viral Populations

RNA viruses do not exist as a clonal population of genomes within the infected host, but rather diversify into a swarm of related but non-identical genome sequences [83]. This heterogeneous viral population—also referred to as mutant cloud, mutant swarm, or mutant spectra—is capable of better adapting to changing environmental conditions and rapidly evolving, during passage from host to host, due to its high heterogeneity. The concept of quasispecies was mainly developed by Manfred Eigen and Peter Schuster [92]. By demonstrating viral heterogeneity for FMDV [93,94] and VSV [95,96] Domingo and colleagues and Holland and colleagues were the first to extrapolate this concept to virology [84,97].

These viral populations are the product of very high replication rates found in RNA viruses, coupled with a lack of an RdRp proofreading function. For HCV, it is estimated that between $10^{11}$ and $10^{12}$ new virions are produced in one infected individual per day [98,99]. Estimates for HEV do not currently exist, although comparably high replication rates can be assumed. There is data on 3′-end repair mechanisms identified in small RNA viral polymerases [100]. In coronaviruses, for example, a 3′-to-5′ exoribonuclease (ExoN) domain within the nonstructural protein 14 was identified as being essential for high-fidelity replication [101,102]; for HCV, pyrophosphorolytic and NTP-mediated nucleotide excision activity of the NS5B RdRp have been described as viral mechanisms for removing misincorporated bases [103,104]. Despite these reports, most RNA viruses, and most likely also HEV, do not have any real proofreading capability, causing an error-prone replication of viral genomes. Together with the short generation times, this results in highly diverse intra-host populations [96].

As expected, HEV also exists as a heterogeneous population within infected individuals [25,26,105–107]. Early publications relied on the classical tools for detecting diversification of viral genomes, including restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and haplotype profiling [105,106] or clonal sequencing [107] to characterize HEV intra-host diversity. Recently, next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods have been utilized to study the distribution of SNVs in HEV genomes over time [25,26].

HEV and most other RNA virus populations exist in close proximity to the so-called genomic error threshold, which defines a maximum error rate that still guarantees the maintenance and transmission of the genetic information of the master sequence [83,84]. A replication and, most importantly, mutation rate beyond this extinction threshold causes a sharp reduction in the efficiency of transmission of the genetic information contained in the population master sequence to the next generation of viral progeny, a phenomenon sometimes referred to as error catastrophe [108]: the majority of genomes in the population are nonfunctional. Broad-spectrum antiviral agents like RBV can cause increased mutation rates, and potentially can result in the extinction of the virus population in a process called lethal mutagenesis [108]. However, the mutated viral intra-host populations can acquire mutations accounting for drug resistance or decreased sensitivity to RBV as a direct consequence of the boosted complexity of the mutational spectra. This has been shown for several viruses like HCV [20], FMDV [21], PV [22], Sindbis virus [23], and also for HEV [24–26]. The dynamics of HEV populations in patients under RBV therapy is not fully understood, but recent studies and reports from other RNA viruses point to a dichotomy of opposing outcomes resulting from RBV therapy: RBV-induced lethal mutagenesis resulting in viral extinction versus the accumulation of mutations beneficial to the virus in the population, which can lead to therapeutic failure [25,26].

As a consequence of the emergence of RBV-resistant mutations and subsequent treatment failure, clinicians could draw back on combination therapies to overcome or avoid this phenomenon.
Possible combinations are one mutagen and a conventional antiviral drug or using several RNA mutagens in combination or sequence as proposed by Perales and Domingo [109–111].

5. Hepatitis E Virus and Mechanisms of Ribavirin Action

HEV is one of the pathogenic viruses that can currently only be treated with RBV as an off-label drug. IFN-α as an alternative therapy has been evaluated in small patient cohorts with limited success and considerable side effects [112,113]. In addition, in vitro data suggests careful assessment of IFNs when treating HEV [114,115]. Considering high mortality rates of over 20% for genotype-1-infected pregnant women [116,117], the urgent need for extensive research in the field of novel anti-HEV treatment regimens is required. Patients who fail to achieve sustained virological responses after RBV therapy for HEV have no further treatment options: this is particularly of importance in a solid organ transplant setting, as a reduction of immunosuppression beyond a certain level will lead to the rejection of the allograft [118,119], and hepatitis caused by HEV cannot be impeded.

Recently, two independent studies were able to correlate RBV treatment failure with the emergence of novel single-nucleotide variations in the viral genome during treatment [25,26]. Both research groups identified a variant previously described, G1634R [24], as well as other new variants, K1383N, D1384G, K1398R, V1479I, and Y1587F, all in the polymerase region of ORF1. In addition, Todt et al. also determined nine additional SNVs in ORFs 2 and 3 [26]. In both studies, K1383N mutations emerged in several patients; additionally, an overall increase in viral intra-host heterogeneity could be shown [25,26]. The authors demonstrated significant increases in the number of sites exhibiting SNVs, synonymous as well as nonsynonymous, in viral populations after the first administration of RBV in nine patients. This phenomenon was observed for all ORFs of the HEV genome. Interestingly, this increase in heterogeneity was reversible with a decline in the number of SNV sites when RBV treatment was stopped. Strikingly, none of the described variants that became dominant in the viral populations under treatment resulted in a decreased sensitivity to RBV when cloned into an HEV subgenomic reporter replicon in tissue culture. Only G1634R mutations altered the viral replication efficacy, increasing replication rates [24,26], while RBV sensitivity was unmodified [24,26,120]. Why RBV treatment fails in some patients, while others are able to clear the virus under RBV monotherapy, remains an open question.

RBV has been shown to block HEV replication through a depletion of cellular GTP pools in cell culture model systems [121], in addition to the strong mutagenic effect of RBV on the HEV genome in vivo described above. RBV inhibits the IMPDH, thus causing a two-fold reduction of the intracellular GTP pools and increasing CTP and UTP concentrations at the same time [122,123]. HEV genome replication is a cyclic process of alternating synthesis of negative-strand RNA and positive-strand RNA [124]. During the replication process, the extrinsically administered, RTP is randomly incorporated into the nascent negative-stranded RNA as a result of pairing with either of the pyrimidine bases cytidine or uracil (Figure 2B, upper panel). This negative-stranded antigenome RNA then serves as a template for subsequent production of positive-stranded genomic RNA. The RdRp subsequently incorporates, again randomly, a cytidine or uracil at RBV residues located in the antigenome template (Figure 2B, middle panel). These stochastic incorporations lead to nucleotide substitutions in the newly synthesized viral genomes. Additionally, RBV will also be incorporated in the positive-stranded RNA genome, leading to increased amounts of replication-defective viral genomes packaged into the capsid, ultimately leading to an increase in frequency of replication-defective virions. Additionally, new antigenome templates can be produced from defective positive-stranded genomes, so misincorporations are amplified in the replication process (Figure 2B, lower panel). This results in the fixation of transitional substitutions in nascent RNAs. Transitional purine-to-purine (G<>A) or pyrimidine-to-pyrimidine (C<>T) nucleotide substitutions are preferentially enriched during RBV monotherapy, leading to the observed synonymous exchanges as well as to the amino acid replacements favorable for the survival of the viral population [25,26]. Whether RBV also inhibits the HEV methyltransferase comparably to the direct inhibition of the
vaccinia virus guanylyltransferase (see above), or if the RTP is incorporated as a cap analog [72,73] (thus impacting correct translation) has not been investigated yet.

The mutagenic effect of RBV-based therapy can have divergent effects on HEV populations, which may impact the therapy success. On the one hand, RBV increases the mutation rate in the viral genome, driving the population towards its extinction threshold. In contrast, the increased variability in the viral population can result in selection of variants with improved replication fitness which become dominant in the viral population and are associated with therapeutic failure. These advantageous variants could be (i) a downregulation of the replication machinery, thus preventing the accumulation of more mutations, as shown from in vitro data when reverse engineering the K1383N variant into HEV cell culture systems [25], and (ii) an increase in viral polymerase fidelity as hypothesized by Debing et al. for the K1383N variant—a mutant with a substitution in the F1-motif of the RdRp—which could hinder the incorporation of RBV into the viral genome. In fact, the lab of Esteban Domingo was able to dissect a multistep process of viral adaption to a mutagenic nucleoside analog in FMDV that led to an extinction escape by changing the fidelity of the polymerase [125].

6. Conclusions

HEV is a life-threatening infection when immunosuppressed individuals fail to achieve an SVR during RBV treatment. Currently, clinicians do not have alternative therapy regimens available. Recent studies have suggested that the heterogeneous viral population is able to acquire SNVs that decrease RBV sensitivity [25,26]. Their data supports a conclusion whereupon the mutagenic effect of the broad-spectrum antiviral agent leads to increased heterogeneity in the intra-host viral population introducing a race between the virus trying to gain and accumulate beneficial variations and the mutagenic potential of RBV intended to drive the virus beyond an error threshold and thus into lethal mutagenesis resulting in viral extinction.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) through a GINAICO grant 16GW0105. E.S. was further supported by the Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Reyes, G.R.; Yarbough, P.O.; Tam, A.W.; Purdy, M.A.; Huang, C.C.; Kim, J.S.P.; Bradley, D.W.; Fry, K.E. Hepatitis-E Virus (HEV)—The Novel Agent Responsible for Enterically Transmitted Non-A, Non-B Hepatitis. Gastroenterol. Jpn. 1991, 26, 142–147. [PubMed]
2. Viswanathan, R. Infectious hepatitis in Delhi (1955–1956): A critical study-epidemiology. 1957. Natl. Med. J. India 2013, 26, 362–377. [PubMed]
3. Debing, Y.; Moradpour, D.; Neyts, J.; Gouttenoire, J. Update on hepatitis E virology: Implications for clinical practice. J. Hepatol. 2016, 65, 200–212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Smith, D.B.; Simmonds, P.; International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses Hepeviridae Study Group; Jameel, S.; Emerson, S.U.; Harrison, T.J.; Meng, X.J.; Okamoto, H.; van der Poel, W.H.; Purdy, M.A. Consensus proposals for classification of the family Hepeviridae. J. Gen. Virol. 2014, 95 Pt 10, 2223–2232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Blum, H.E. History and Global Burden of Viral Hepatitis. Dig. Dis. 2016, 34, 293–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Wedemeyer, H.; Pischke, S.; Manns, M.P. Pathogenesis and treatment of hepatitis E virus infection. Gastroenterology 2012, 142, 1388–1397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Sahai, S.; Mishra, V.; Ganga, D.; Jatav, O.P. Viral Hepatitis in Pregnancy—A study of its Effect on Maternal and Foetal Outcome. J. Assoc. Physicians India 2015, 63, 28–33. [PubMed]
8. Debes, J.D.; Pisano, M.B.; Lotto, M.; Re, V. Corrigendum to “Hepatitis E virus infection in the HIV-positive patient”. J. Clin. Virol. 2016, 82, 181–182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Debes, J.D.; Pisano, M.B.; Lotto, M.; Re, V. Hepatitis E virus infection in the HIV-positive patient. J. Clin. Virol. 2016, 80, 102–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. World Health Organization Hepatitis E (Fact Sheet). Available online: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs280/en/ (accessed on 25 July 2016).

11. Marano, G.; Vaglio, S.; Pupella, S.; Facco, G.; Bianchi, M.; Calizzani, G.; Candura, F.; Catalano, L.; Farina, B.; Lanzoni, M.; et al. Hepatitis E: An old infection with new implications. Blood Transfus. 2015, 13, 6–17. [PubMed]

12. Pischke, S.; Behrendt, P.; Bock, C.T.; Jilg, W.; Manns, M.P.; Wedemeyer, H. Hepatitis E in Germany—An under-reported infectious disease. Dtsch. Arzteblatt Int. 2014, 111, 577–583.

13. Perrin, H.B.; Cintas, P.; Abravanel, F.; Gerolami, R.; d’Alteroche, L.; Raynal, J.N.; Alric, L.; Dupuis, E.; Prudhomme, L.; Vaucher, E.; et al. Neurologic Disorders in Immunocompetent Patients with Autochthonous Acute Hepatitis E. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2015, 21, 1928–1934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Dalton, H.R.; Kamar, N.; van Eijk, J.J.; Mclean, B.N.; Cintas, P.; Bendall, R.P.; Jacobs, B.C. Hepatitis E virus and neurological injury. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 2016, 12, 77–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Van den Berg, B.; van der Eijk, A.A.; Pas, S.D.; Hunter, J.G.; Madden, R.G.; Tio-Gillen, A.P.; Dalton, H.R.; Jacobs, B.C. Guillain-Barre syndrome associated with preceding hepatitis E virus infection. Neurology 2014, 82, 491–497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Shi, R.; Soomro, M.H.; She, R.; Yang, Y.; Wang, T.; Wu, Q.; Li, H.; Hao, W. Evidence of Hepatitis E virus breaking through the blood-brain barrier and replicating in the central nervous system. J. Viral. Hepat. 2016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Silva, M.; Wicki, B.; Tsouni, P.; Cunningham, S.; Doerig, C.; Zanetti, G.; Aubert, V.; Sahli, R.; Moradpour, D.; Kuntzer, T. Hepatitis E virus infection as a direct cause of neuralgic amyotrophy. Muscle Nerve 2016, 54, 325–327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Loly, J.P.; Rikir, E.; Severt, M.; Legros, E.; Defrance, P.; Belaiche, J.; Moonen, G.; Delwaide, J. Guillain-Barre syndrome following hepatitis E. World J. Gastroenterol. 2009, 15, 1645–1647. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Drave, S.A.; Debing, Y.; Walter, S.; Todt, D.; Engelmann, M.; Friesland, M.; Wedemeyer, H.; Neyts, J.; Behrendt, P.; Steinmann, E. Extra-hepatic replication and infection of hepatitis E virus in neuronal-derived cells. J. Viral. Hepat. 2016, 23, 512–521. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Feigelstock, D.A.; Mihalik, K.B.; Feinstone, S.M. Selection of hepatitis C virus resistant to ribavirin. Virol. J. 2011, 8, 402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Sierra, M.; Airaksinen, A.; Gonzalez-Lopez, C.; Agudo, R.; Arias, A.; Domingo, E. Foot-and-mouth disease virus mutant with decreased sensitivity to ribavirin: Implications for error catastrophe. J. Virol. 2007, 81, 2012–2024. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Pfeiffer, J.K.; Kirkegaard, K. A single mutation in poliovirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase confers resistance to mutagenic nucleotide analogs via increased fidelity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2003, 100, 7289–7294. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Scheidel, L.M.; Durbin, R.K.; Stollar, V. Sindbis virus mutants resistant to mycophenolic acid and ribavirin. Virology 1987, 158, 1–7. [CrossRef]

24. Debing, Y.; Gisa, A.; Dalmeier, K.; Pischke, S.; Bremer, B.; Manns, M.; Wedemeyer, H.; Suneetha, P.V.; Neyts, J. A Mutation in the hepatitis E virus RNA polymerase promotes its replication and associates with ribavirin treatment failure in organ transplant recipients. Gastroenterology 2014, 147, 1008–1011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Debing, Y.; Ramiere, C.; Dalmeier, K.; Piorkowski, G.; Trabaud, M.A.; Lebosse, F.; Scholtes, C.; Roche, M.; Legras-Lachuer, C.; de Lamballerie, X.; et al. Hepatitis E virus mutations associated with ribavirin treatment failure result in altered viral fitness and ribavirin sensitivity. J. Hepatol. 2016, 65, 499–508. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Todt, D.; Gisa, A.; Radonic, A.; Nitsche, A.; Behrendt, P.; Suneetha, P.V.; Pischke, S.; Bremer, B.; Brown, R.J.; Manns, M.P.; et al. In vivo evidence for ribavirin-induced mutagenesis of the hepatitis E virus genome. Gut 2016, 65, 1733–1743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Sidwell, R.W.; Huffman, J.H.; Khare, G.P.; Allen, L.B.; Witkowski, J.T.; Robins, R.K. Broad-spectrum antiviral activity of Virazole: 1-Beta-d-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide. Science 1972, 177, 705–706. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Vignuzzi, M.; Stone, J.K.; Andino, R. Ribavirin and lethal mutagenesis of poliovirus: Molecular mechanisms, resistance and biological implications. Virus Res. 2005, 107, 173–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Graci, J.D.; Cameron, C.E. Mechanisms of action of ribavirin against distinct viruses. Rev. Med. Virol. 2006, 16, 37–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. De Clercq, E.; Li, G. Approved Antiviral Drugs over the Past 50 Years. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2016, 29, 695–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
31. Snell, N.J. Ribavirin—Current status of a broad spectrum antiviral agent. Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2001, 2, 1317–1324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Crance, J.M.; Scaramozzino, N.; Jouan, A.; Garin, D. Interferon, ribavirin, 6-azauridine and glycyrrhizin: Antiviral compounds active against pathogenic flaviviruses. Antivir. Res. 2003, 58, 73–79. [CrossRef]
33. Monath, T.P. Treatment of yellow fever. Antivir. Res. 2008, 78, 116–124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Julander, J.; Shafer, K.; Morrey, J.; Blatt, L.; Sidwell, R. Comparison of the inhibitory effects of ribavirin and interferon alfacon 1 on a yellow fever virus infection in Syrian golden hamsters. Antivir. Res. 2006, 70, A82–A83.
35. Huggins, J.W. Prospects for treatment of viral hemorrhagic fevers with ribavirin, a broad-spectrum antiviral drug. Rev. Infect. Dis. 1989, 11 (Suppl. 4), S750–S761. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Andrei, G.; de Clercq, E. Molecular approaches for the treatment of hemorrhagic fever virus infections. Antivir. Res. 1993, 22, 45–75. [CrossRef]
37. Burt, F.J.; Rolph, M.S.; Rulli, N.E.; Mahalingam, S.; Heise, M.T. Chikungunya: A re-emerging virus. Lancet 2012, 379, 662–671. [CrossRef]
38. Briolant, S.; Garin, D.; Scaramozzino, N.; Jouan, A.; Crance, J.M. In vitro inhibition of Chikungunya and Semliki Forest viruses replication by antiviral compounds: Synergistic effect of interferon-alpha and ribavirin combination. Antivir. Res. 2004, 61, 111–117. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Airaksinen, A.; Pariente, N.; Menendez-Arias, L.; Domingo, E. Curing of foot-and-mouth disease virus from persistently infected cells by ribavirin involves enhanced mutagenesis. Virology 2003, 311, 339–349. [CrossRef]
40. Pariente, N.; Sierra, S.; Airaksinen, A. Action of mutagenic agents and antiviral inhibitors on foot-and-mouth disease virus. Virus Res. 2005, 107, 183–193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
41. Crotty, S.; Maag, D.; Arnold, J.J.; Zhong, W.; Lau, J.Y.; Hong, Z.; Andino, R.; Cameron, C.E. The broad-spectrum antiviral ribonucleoside ribavirin is an RNA virus mutagen. Nat. Med. 2000, 6, 1375–1379. [PubMed]
42. Stein, D.S.; Creticos, C.M.; Jackson, G.G.; Bernstein, J.M.; Hayden, F.G.; Schiff, G.M.; Bernstein, D.J. Oral ribavirin treatment of influenza A and B. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1987, 31, 1285–1287. [CrossRef]
43. Knight, V.; McClung, H.W.; Wilson, S.Z.; Waters, B.K.; Quarles, J.M.; Cameron, R.W.; Greggs, S.E.; Zerwas, J.M.; Couch, R.B. Ribavirin small-particle aerosol treatment of influenza. Lancet 1981, 2, 945–949. [CrossRef]
44. Debing, Y.; Jochmans, D.; Neyts, J. Intervention strategies for emerging viruses: Use of antivirals. Curr. Opin. Virol. 2013, 3, 217–224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. McCormick, J.B.; King, I.J.; Webb, P.A.; Scribner, C.L.; Craven, R.B.; Johnson, K.M.; Elliott, L.H.; Belmont-Williams, R. Lassa fever. Effective therapy with ribavirin. N. Engl. J. Med. 1986, 314, 20–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Khan, S.H.; Goba, A.; Chu, M.; Roth, C.; Heating, T.; Marx, A.; Fair, J.; Guttieri, M.C.; Ferro, P.; Imes, T.; et al. New opportunities for field research on the pathogenesis and treatment of Lassa fever. Antivir. Res. 2008, 78, 103–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Picardi, A.; Gentilucci, U.V.; Zardi, E.M.; D’Avola, D.; Amoroso, A.; Afeltra, A. The role of ribavirin in the combination therapy of hepatitis C virus infection. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2004, 10, 2081–2092. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
48. Pawlotsky, J.M. Mechanisms of antiviral treatment efficacy and failure in chronic hepatitis C. Antivir. Res. 2003, 59, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
49. Cummings, K.J.; Lee, S.M.; West, E.S.; Cid-Ruzafa, J.; Fein, S.G.; Aoki, Y.; Sulkowski, M.S.; Goodman, S.N. Interferon and ribavirin vs. interferon alone in the re-treatment of chronic hepatitis C previously nonresponsive to interferon: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. JAMA 2001, 285, 193–199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
50. Mejias, A.; Ramilo, O. New options in the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus disease. J. Infect. 2015, 71 (Suppl. 1), S80–S87. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Ventre, K.; Randolph, A.G. Ribavirin for respiratory syncytial virus infection of the lower respiratory tract in infants and young children. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2007. [CrossRef]
52. Paeshuyse, J.; Dallmeier, K.; Neyts, J. Ribavirin for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection: A review of the proposed mechanisms of action. Curr. Opin. Virol. 2011, 1, 590–598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
53. Hultgren, C.; Milich, D.R.; Weiland, O.; Sallberg, M. The antiviral compound ribavirin modulates the T helper (Th) 1/Th2 subset balance in hepatitis B and C virus-specific immune responses. J. Gen. Virol. 1998, 79 Pt 10, 2381–2391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
54. Mosmann, T.R.; Sad, S. The expanding universe of T-cell subsets: Th1, Th2 and more. Immunol. Today 1996, 17, 138–146. [CrossRef]
55. Edell, D.; Bruce, E.; Hale, K.; Edell, D.; Khoshoo, V. Reduced long-term respiratory morbidity after treatment of respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis with ribavirin in previously healthy infants: A preliminary report. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 1998, 25, 154–158. [CrossRef]
56. Streeter, D.G.; Witkowski, J.T.; Khare, G.P.; Sidwell, R.W.; Bauer, R.J.; Robins, R.K.; Simon, L.N. Mechanism of action of 1β-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide (Virazole), a new broad-spectrum antiviral agent. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1973, 70, 1174–1178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
57. Leyssen, P.; Balzarini, J.; de Clercq, E.; Neyts, J. The predominant mechanism by which ribavirin exerts its antiviral activity in vitro against flaviviruses and paramyxoviruses is mediated by inhibition of IMP dehydrogenase. J. Virol. 2005, 79, 1943–1947. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
58. Lanford, R.E.; Chavez, D.; Guerra, B.; Lau, J.Y.; Hong, Z.; Brasky, K.M.; Beames, B. Ribavirin induces error-prone replication of GB virus B in primary tamarin hepatocytes. J. Virol. 2001, 75, 8074–8081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
59. Olschlager, S.; Neyts, J.; Gunther, S. Depletion of GTP pool is not the predominant mechanism by which ribavirin exerts its antiviral effect on Lassa virus. Antivir. Res. 2011, 91, 89–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
60. Sun, Y.; Chung, D.H.; Chu, Y.K.; Jonsson, C.B.; Parker, W.B. Activity of ribavirin against Hantaan virus correlates with production of ribavirin-5′-triphosphate, not with inhibition of IMP dehydrogenase. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2007, 51, 84–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
61. Wray, S.K.; Gilbert, B.E.; Noall, M.W.; Knight, V. Mode of action of ribavirin: Effect of nucleotide pool alterations on influenza virus ribonucleoprotein synthesis. Antivir. Res. 1985, 5, 29–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
62. Knight, V.; Wilson, S.Z.; Alling, D.W.; Moore, R.V.; Longoria, R.M. Lack of interference of guanosine with ribavirin aerosol treatment of influenza A infection in mice. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1981, 20, 477–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
63. Rotman, Y.; Noureddin, M.; Feld, J.J.; Guedj, J.; Witthaus, M.; Han, H.; Park, Y.J.; Park, S.H.; Heller, T.; Ghany, M.G.; et al. Effect of ribavirin on viral kinetics and liver gene expression in chronic hepatitis C. Gut 2014, 63, 161–169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
64. Tokumoto, Y.; Hiasa, Y.; Uesugi, K.; Watanabe, T.; Mashiba, T.; Abe, M.; Kumagi, T.; Ikeda, Y.; Matsuura, B.; Onji, M. Ribavirin regulates hepatitis C virus replication through enhancing interferon-stimulated genes and interleukin 8. J. Infect. Dis. 2012, 205, 1121–1130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
65. Feld, J.J.; Lutchman, G.A.; Heller, T.; Harra, K.; Fleiffer, J.K.; Leff, R.D.; Meek, C.; Rivera, M.; Ko, M.; Koh, C.; et al. Ribavirin improves early responses to peginterferon through improved interferon signaling. Gastroenterology 2010, 139, 154–162. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
66. Feld, J.J.; Nanda, S.; Huang, Y.; Chen, W.; Cam, M.; Pusek, S.N.; Schweigler, L.M.; Theodore, D.; Zacks, S.L.; Liang, T.J.; et al. Hepatic gene expression during treatment with peginterferon and ribavirin: Identifying molecular pathways for treatment response. Hepatology 2007, 46, 1548–1563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
67. Zhang, Y.; Jamaluddin, M.; Wang, S.; Tian, B.; Garofalo, R.P.; Casola, A.; Brasier, A.R. Ribavirin treatment up-regulates antiviral gene expression via the interferon-stimulated response element in respiratory syncytial virus-infected epithelial cells. J. Virol. 2003, 77, 5933–5947. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
68. Su, W.C.; Liu, W.L.; Cheng, C.W.; Chou, Y.B.; Hung, K.H.; Huang, W.H.; Wu, C.L.; Li, Y.T.; Shiau, A.L.; Lai, M.Y. Ribavirin enhances interferon signaling via stimulation of mTOR and p53 activities. FEBS Lett. 2009, 583, 2793–2798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
69. Testoni, B.; Durantel, D.; Lefosse, F.; Fresquet, J.; Helle, F.; Negro, F.; Donato, M.F.; Levrero, M.; Zoulim, F. Ribavirin restores IFNα responsiveness in HCV-infected livers by epigenetic remodelling at interferon stimulated genes. Gut 2016, 65, 672–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
70. Bisaillon, M.; Lemay, G. Viral and cellular enzymes involved in synthesis of mRNA cap structure. Virology 1997, 236, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
71. Benarroch, D.; Egloff, M.P.; Mulard, L.; Guerreiro, C.; Romette, J.L.; Canard, B. A structural basis for the inhibition of the NSS dengue virus mRNA 2′-O-methyltransferase domain by ribavirin 5′-triphosphate. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004, 279, 35638–35643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Bougie, I.; Bisaillon, M. The broad spectrum antiviral nucleoside ribavirin as a substrate for a viral RNA capping enzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004, 279, 22124–22130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Goswami, B.B.; Borek, E.; Sharma, O.K.; Fujitaki, J.; Smith, R.A. The broad spectrum antiviral agent ribavirin inhibits capping of mRNA. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1979, 89, 830–836. [CrossRef]

74. Yan, Y.; Svitkin, Y.; Lee, J.M.; Bisaillon, M.; Pelletier, J. Ribavirin is not a functional mimic of the 7-methylguanosine mRNA cap. *RNA* 2005, 11, 1238–1244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Eriksson, B.; Helgstrand, E.; Johansson, N.G.; Larsson, A.; Misiorny, A.; Noren, J.O.; Philipson, L.; Westman, B.; Beeren, L.; Grudzien, E.; Stepinski, J.; Worch, R.; Zuberek, J.; Stolarski, R.; Darzynkiewicz, E.; Roado, R.E.; et al. The antiviral drug ribavirin does not mimic the 7-methylguanosine moiety of the mRNA cap structure in vitro. *RNA* 2005, 11, 1505–1513. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Thomas, E.; Ghany, M.G.; Liang, T.J. The application and mechanism of action of ribavirin in therapy of hepatitis C. *Antivir. Chem. Chemother.* 2013, 23, 1–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Eriksson, B.; Helgstrand, E.; Johansson, N.G.; Larsson, A.; Misiorny, A.; Noren, J.O.; Philipson, L.; Stenberg, K.; Stening, G.; Stridh, S.; et al. Inhibition of influenza virus ribonucleic acid polymerase by ribavirin triphosphate. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1977, 11, 946–951. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Toltzis, P.; O’Connell, K.; Patterson, J.L. Effect of phosphorylated ribavirin on vesicular stomatitis virus transcription. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1988, 32, 492–497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Rankin, J.T., Jr.; Eppes, S.B.; Antczak, J.B.; Joklik, W.K. Studies on the mechanism of the antiviral activity of ribavirin against reovirus. *Virology* 1989, 168, 147–158. [CrossRef]

80. Lau, J.Y.; Tam, R.C.; Liang, T.J.; Hong, Z. Mechanism of action of ribavirin in the combination treatment of chronic HCV infection. *Hepatology* 2002, 35, 1002–1009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Chung, R.T.; Gale, M., Jr.; Polyak, S.J.; Lemon, S.M.; Liang, T.J.; Hoofnagle, J.H. Mechanisms of action of interferon and ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C: Summary of a workshop. *Hepatology* 2008, 47, 306–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Pawlotsky, J.M.; Dahari, H.; Neumann, A.U.; Hezode, C.; Germanidis, G.; Lonjon, I.; Castara, L.; Dhumet, D. Antiviral action of ribavirin in chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology* 2004, 126, 703–714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Domingo, E.; Schuster, P. What Is a Quasispecies? Historical Origins and Current Scope. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 2016, 392, 1–22. [PubMed]

84. Domingo, E.; Sheldon, J.; Perales, C. Viral quasispecies evolution. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2012, 76, 159–216. [PubMed]

85. Holmes, E.C. The RNA virus quasispecies: Fact or fiction? *J. Mol. Biol.* 2010, 400, 271–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Vignuzzi, M.; Stone, J.K.; Arnold, J.J.; Cameron, C.E.; Andino, R. Quasispecies diversity determines pathogenesis through cooperative interactions in a viral population. *Nature* 2006, 439, 344–348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Domingo, E.; Holland, J.J. RNA virus mutations and fitness for survival. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 1997, 51, 151–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Contreras, A.M.; Haisa, Y.; He, W.; Terella, A.; Schmidt, E.V.; Chung, R.T. Viral RNA mutations are region specific and increased by ribavirin in a full-length hepatitis C virus replication system. *J. Virol.* 2002, 76, 8505–8517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

89. Severson, W.E.; Schmaljohn, C.S.; Javadian, A.; Jonsson, C.B. Ribavirin causes error catastrophe during Hantaan virus replication. *J. Virol.* 2003, 77, 481–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Kamar, N.; Lhomme, S.; Abravanel, F.; Marion, O.; Peron, J.M.; Alric, L.; Izopet, J. Treatment of HEV Infection in Patients with a Solid-Organ Transplant and Chronic Hepatitis. *Viruses* 2016, 8, 222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Dalton, H.R.; Kamar, N. Treatment of hepatitis E virus. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Eigen, M.; Schuster, P. The hypercycle. A principle of natural self-organization. Part A: Emergence of the hypercycle. *Naturwissenschaften* 1977, 64, 541–565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Domingo, E.; Davila, M.; Ortin, J. Nucleotide sequence heterogeneity of the RNA from a natural population of foot-and-mouth-disease virus. *Gene* 1980, 11, 333–346. [CrossRef]
94. Sobrino, F.; Davila, M.; Ortin, J.; Domingo, E. Multiple genetic variants arise in the course of replication of foot-and-mouth disease virus in cell culture. Virology 1983, 128, 310–318. [CrossRef]

95. Spindler, K.R.; Horodyski, F.M.; Holland, J.J. High multiplicities of infection favor rapid and random evolution of vesicular stomatitis virus. Virology 1982, 119, 96–108. [CrossRef]

96. Holland, J.; Spindler, K.; Horodyski, F.; Grabau, E.; Nichols, S.; VandePol, S. Rapid evolution of RNA genomes. Science 1982, 215, 1577–1585. [CrossRef]

97. Domingo, E.; Wain-Hobson, S. The 30th anniversary of quasispecies. Meeting on ‘Quasispecies: Past, present and future’. EMBO Rep. 2009, 10, 444–448. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Neumann, A.U.; Lam, N.P.; Dahari, D.R.; Wiley, T.E.; Layden, T.J.; Perelson, A.S. Hepatitis C viral dynamics in vivo and the antiviral efficacy of interferon-alpha therapy. Science 1998, 282, 103–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Nowak, M.A.; Bonhoeffer, S.; Hill, A.M.; Thomas, H.C.; McDade, H. Viral dynamics in hepatitis B virus infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1996, 93, 4398–4402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

100. Nagy, P.D.; Carpenter, C.D.; Simon, A.E. A novel 3′-end repair mechanism in an RNA virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1997, 94, 1113–1118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

101. Minskaia, E.; Hertzig, T.; Gorbalenya, A.E.; Campanacci, V.; Cambillau, C.; Canard, B.; Ziebuhr, J. Discovery of an RNA virus 3′→5′ exoribonuclease that is critically involved in coronavirus RNA synthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2006, 103, 5108–5113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

102. Smith, E.C.; Denison, M.R. Implications of altered replication fidelity on the evolution and pathogenesis of coronaviruses. Curr. Opin. Virol. 2012, 2, 519–524. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Jin, Z.; Leveque, V.; Ma, H.; Johnson, K.A.; Klumpp, K. NTP-mediated nucleotide excision activity of hepatitis C virus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2013, 110, E348–E357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Deval, J.; Powdrill, M.H.; D’Abramo, C.M.; Cellai, L.; Gotte, M. Pyrophospholytic excision of nonobligate chain terminators by hepatitis C virus NS5B polymerase. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2007, 51, 2920–2928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Grandadam, M.; Tebbl, S.; Caron, M.; Sirevardana, M.; Larouze, B.; Koec, J.L.; Buisson, Y.; Enouf, V.; Nicand, E. Evidence for hepatitis E virus quasispecies. J. Gen. Virol. 2004, 85 Pt 11, 3189–3194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Cerni, S.; Prpic, J.; Jemersic, L.; Skoric, D. The application of single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis in determining Hepatitis E virus intra-host diversity. J. Virol. Methods 2004, 121, 128–136. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Lhomme, S.; Abravanel, F.; Dubois, M.; Sandres-Saune, K.; Rostaing, L.; Kamar, N.; Izopect, J. Hepatitis E virus quasispecies and the outcome of acute hepatitis E in solid-organ transplant patients. J. Virol. 2012, 86, 10006–10014. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

108. Tejero, H.; Montero, F.; Nuno, J.C. Theories of Lethal Mutagenesis: From Error Catastrophe to Lethal Defection. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2016, 392, 161–179. [PubMed]

109. Perales, C.; Agudo, R.; Domingo, E. Countering quasispecies adaptability: Extinction of a ribavirin-resistant virus mutant by an alternative mutagenic treatment. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e5554. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

110. Perales, C.; Agudo, R.; Tejero, H.; Manrubia, S.C.; Domingo, E. Potential benefits of sequential inhibitor-mutagen treatments of RNA virus infections. PLoS Pathog. 2009, 5, e1000658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Perales, C.; Domingo, E. Antiviral Strategies Based on Lethal Mutagenesis and Error Threshold. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2016, 392, 323–339. [PubMed]

112. Kamar, N.; Rostaing, L.; Abravanel, F.; Garrouste, C.; Esposito, L.; Cardeau-Desangles, I.; Mansuy, J.M.; Selves, J.; Peron, J.M.; Otal, P.; et al. Pegylated interferon-alpha for treating chronic hepatitis E virus infection after liver transplantation. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2010, 50, e30–e33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Haagsma, E.B.; Riezebos-Brilman, A.; van den Berg, A.P.; Porte, R.J.; Niesters, H.G. Treatment of chronic hepatitis E in liver transplant recipients with pegylated interferon-alpha-2b. Liver Transpl. 2010, 16, 474–477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Todt, D.; Francois, C.; Anggakusuma; Behrendt, P.; Engelmann, M.; Knegendorf, L.; Veyres, G.; Wedemeyer, H.; Hartmann, R.; Pietschmann, T.; et al. Antiviral Activities of Different Interferon Types and Subtypes against Hepatitis E Virus Replication. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2016, 60, 2132–2139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
115. Zhou, X.; Xu, L.; Wang, W.; Watashi, K.; Wang, Y.; Sprengers, D.; de Ruiter, P.E.; van der Laan, L.J.; Metselaar, H.J.; Kamar, N.; et al. Disparity of basal and therapeutically activated interferon signalling in constraining hepatitis E virus infection. *J. Viral. Hepat.* 2016, 23, 294–304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Jaiswal, S.P.; Jain, A.K.; Naik, G.; Soni, N.; Chitnis, D.S. Viral hepatitis during pregnancy. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* 2001, 72, 103–108. [CrossRef]

117. Khuroo, M.S.; Teli, M.R.; Skidmore, S.; Sofi, M.A.; Khuroo, M.I. Incidence and severity of viral hepatitis in pregnancy. *Am. J. Med.* 1981, 70, 252–255. [CrossRef]

118. Behrendt, P.; Steinmann, E.; Manns, M.P.; Wedemeyer, H. The impact of hepatitis E in the liver transplant setting. *J. Hepatol.* 2014, 61, 1418–1429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Pischke, S.; Suneetha, P.V.; Baechlein, C.; Barg-Hock, H.; Heim, A.; Kamar, N.; Schlue, J.; Strassburg, C.P.; Lehner, F.; Raupach, R.; et al. Hepatitis E virus infection as a cause of graft hepatitis in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl.* 2010, 16, 74–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Lhomme, S.; Kamar, N.; Nicot, F.; Ducos, J.; Bismuth, M.; Garrigue, V.; Petitjean-Lecherbonnier, J.; Ollivier, I.; Alessandri-Gradt, E.; Goria, O.; et al. Mutation in the Hepatitis E Virus Polymerase and Outcome of Ribavirin Therapy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2016, 60, 1608–1614. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Debing, Y.; Emerson, S.U.; Wang, Y.; Pan, Q.; Balzarini, J.; Dallmeier, K.; Neyts, J. Ribavirin inhibits in vitro hepatitis E virus replication through depletion of cellular GTP pools and is moderately synergistic with alpha interferon. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2014, 58, 267–273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Zimmerman, T.P.; Deeprose, R.D. Metabolism of 5-amino-1-beta-D-ribofuranosylimidazole-4-carboxamide and related five-membered heterocycles to 5'-triphosphates in human blood and L5178Y cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1978, 27, 709–716. [CrossRef]

123. Muller, W.E.; Maidhof, A.; Taschner, H.; Zahn, R.K. Virazole (1-beta-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide; A cytostatic agent. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1977, 26, 1071–1075. [CrossRef]

124. Varma, S.P.; Kumar, A.; Kapur, N.; Durgapal, H.; Acharya, S.K.; Panda, S.K. Hepatitis E virus replication involves alternating negative- and positive-sense RNA synthesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 2011, 92 Pt 3, 572–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Agudo, R.; Ferrer-Orta, C.; Arias, A.; de la Higuera, I.; Perales, C.; Perez-Luque, R.; Verdaguer, N.; Domingo, E. A multi-step process of viral adaptation to a mutagenic nucleoside analogue by modulation of transition types leads to extinction-escape. *PLoS Pathog.* 2010, 6, e10001072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).