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ABSTRACT

Evidence from a large sample of quasar absorption-line spectra in damped Ly\alpha systems has suggested a possible time variation of the fine-structure constant, \(\alpha\). The most statistically significant portion of this sample involves the comparison of Mg and Fe wavelength shifts using the many-multiplet (MM) method. However, the sensitivity of this method to the abundance of heavy isotopes, especially Mg, is enough to imitate an apparent variation in \(\alpha\) at the redshift range \(0.5 < z < 1.8\). We implement recent yields of intermediate mass (IM) stars into a chemical evolution model and show that the ensuing isotope distribution of Mg can account for the observed variation in \(\alpha\) provided the early initial mass function was particularly rich in IM stars or that the heavy Mg isotope yields from asymptotic giant branch stars are even higher than in present-day models. As such, these observations of quasar absorption spectra can be used to probe the nucleosynthetic history of low-metallicity damped Ly\alpha systems in the redshift range \(0.5 < z < 1.8\). This analysis, in conjunction with other abundance measurements of low-metallicity systems, reinforces the mounting evidence that star formation at low metallicities may have been strongly influenced by a population of IM stars. Such IM stars have a significant influence on other abundances, particularly nitrogen. We constrain our models with independent measurements of N, Si, and Fe in damped Ly\alpha systems as well as C/O in low-metallicity stars. In this way, we obtain consistent model parameters for this chemical evolution interpretation of the MM method results.

Subject headings: cosmological parameters — galaxies: abundances — galaxies: evolution — stars: AGB and post-AGB — quasars: absorption lines

1. INTRODUCTION

The origin and dynamics of the fundamental constants of nature is one of the deepest questions in physics. One of the most widely held tenets in physics is that the laws of nature are universal, constant, and favor symmetries. Nevertheless, in various unified theories (including string theory), gauge and Yukawa couplings often appear as dynamical variables that are only “fixed” when a related scalar field (such as a dilaton in string theory) picks up a vacuum expectation value. While one may naturally expect that couplings become constant at or near the unification scale, only experimental evidence can constrain the degree to which these constants vary at late times.

In this context, there has been considerable excitement in recent years over the prospect that a time variation in the dimensionless fine-structure constant, \(\alpha\), may have been observed (Webb et al. 1999; Murphy et al. 2001c, 2001a, 2003a). This evidence is based on an application of the “many-multiplet” (MM) method to quasar absorption lines in damped Ly\alpha systems (DLAs). Attempts at constraining or measuring time variations of \(\alpha\) in quasar absorption-line spectra have a long history, going back to work by Bahcall & Salpeter (1965) using O \textsc{iii} and Ne \textsc{iii} emission lines. This method was re-examined recently by Bahcall et al. (2004). Other recent attempts include measurements of absorption line spectra in alkali-like atoms (Potekhin & Varshalovich 1994; Varshalovich & Potekhin 1994; Murphy et al. 2001b; Martinez Fiorenzano et al. 2003). While many observations have led to interesting limits on the temporal variation of \(\alpha\) (for a recent review, see Uzan 2003), only the MM method has led to a quantitatively positive result. Murphy et al. (2003a) deduce that \(\delta \alpha / \alpha = (-0.54 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}\) over a redshift range of \(0.5 < z < 3\), where \(\delta \alpha\) is defined as the deviation from present value. The implications of this deduced variation in \(\alpha\) at around 5 \(\sigma\) significance are phenomenal, and several cosmological models to explain its origin have been proposed (see, e.g., Beckenstein 1982; Sandvik et al. 2002; Olive & Pospelov 2002; Wetterich 2003; Anchordoqui & Goldberg 2003; Copeland et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2003; Byrne & Kolda 2004). The caveat of implementing such a precise method, however, is its possible sensitivity to unknown systematic errors.

Some of the excitement concerning the evolution of the fine-structure constant has been focused on finding alternative explanations for the observed line structures or other systematic errors. Chand et al. (2004) and Srianand et al. (2004) probed the sensitivity of the MM method with respect to synthetic signal alterations and found that the MM method may break down in well-blended, multicolor systems. They also applied the MM method independently and found \(\delta \alpha / \alpha = (-0.06 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}\). Another group (Quast et al. 2004) has also recently applied the MM method using exceptionally high resolution QSO absorption-line spectra. Their results are also consistent with a null hypothesis regarding the fine-structure evolution. Other potential systematic errors in the MM method have been
elicited by others. These involve the cloud velocity structure and line blending (Bahcall et al. 2004) or cloud inhomogeneity and spectrographic inconsistencies (Levshakov 2003).

A number of sources of possible systematic error in this method have been well documented (Murphy et al. 2001a, 2003b; see also Bahcall et al. 2004). Here we focus on one of these possible systematic errors for which there is recent evidence of a new interpretation, namely, the isotopic abundances of Mg assumed in the analysis. In this paper, we expand on our earlier studies (Ashenfelter et al. 2004) of possible systematic effects from the chemical evolution of magnesium isotopes within DLA quasar absorption-line systems. All of the results quoted above are based on the assumption that the isotopic abundance ratios of Mg are solar in these systems. Based on galactic chemical evolution studies previously available (Timmes et al. 1995), one could argue that the ratio of $^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ is expected to decrease at low metallicity. In this case, if it is assumed that only $^{24}\text{Mg}$ is present in the absorbers, the Murphy et al. (2003a) result becomes significantly stronger, $\delta\alpha/\alpha = (-0.86 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-5}$ (assuming also that only $^{26}\text{Si}$ is present), and the Chand et al. (2004) limit becomes a detection, $\delta\alpha/\alpha = (-0.36 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}$. Hence, it is possible that the detections of time-varying $\alpha$ are even more significant than the quoted confidence limits. However, we show that it is also plausible that the $^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratio was in fact sufficiently higher at low metallicity, to account for the apparent variation in $\alpha$ as seen in the so-called “low-redshift” ($0.5 < z < 1.8$) data. Thus, the MM method of analysis may provide important new insights into the chemical evolution of damped Ly$\alpha$ quasar absorption-line systems rather than conclusive evidence for a time-varying fine-structure constant.

We begin the present discussion by briefly reviewing the current observational limits on the variations of the fine-structure constant. In § 3, we discuss the theory and observations of the Mg isotopes. The sensitivity of $\delta\alpha/\alpha$ to the Mg isotopic abundances is explained in § 4. In § 5, we describe a simple chemical evolution model, which we then use to address the question of the history of the Mg isotopes and other elements as a function of metallicity. Results of this study and the sensitivity to the model are discussed in § 6. Our summary and conclusions are given in § 7.

2. LIMITS ON THE FINE-STRUCTURE EVOLUTION

There are a number of important astrophysical and terrestrial constraints on the fine-structure constant that must be respected. The most priordial of the limits comes from big bang nucleosynthesis (Kolb et al. 1986; Malaney & Mathews 1993; Scherrer & Spergel 1995; Campbell & Olive 1995; Bergstrom et al. 1999; Nollett & Lopez 2002), which tests for variations back to the time-varying $\alpha$ scales linearly with metallicity in the carbon shell. As a result, it would be expected that the ejecta from the first generation of Type II supernovae would contribute almost no $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$. Some of the solar abundance of Mg is produced in Type I supernovae but with the $^{28}\text{Si}$ production rate of change of $\alpha$ being a factor of $10^5$ slower (Olive et al. 2002, 2004; Fujii & Iwamoto 2003) and are applicable to a redshift of $z \approx 0.45$. This limit improves by a factor of $\approx 25$ when variations in $\alpha$ are assumed to be coupled with variations in other physical constants. The strongest limit is based on the $^{149}\text{Sm}$ resonant neutron-capture cross section operating in the Oklo natural fission reactor (Shylyakhter 1976; Damour & Dyson 1996; Fujii et al. 2000) about 2 billion years ago ($z \approx 0.15$). The limit on $\alpha$ is $\delta\alpha/\alpha < 10^{-7}$ and can be improved by 2–3 orders of magnitude when coupled with variations in other constants (Olive et al. 2002). Finally, atomic clocks provide very stringent constraints on the present-day rate of change of $\alpha$. By comparing hyperfine transitions in $^{88}\text{Rb}$ and $^{138}\text{Cs}$ using atomic fountains over a period of 5 yr, Marion et al. (2003) were able to derive the limit $\dot{\alpha}/\alpha < 1.5 \times 10^{-15}$ yr$^{-1}$. Combined with data from $^{132}\text{Hg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$, Fischer et al. (2003) obtain a slightly stronger bound $\delta\alpha/\alpha = (0.9 \pm 4.2) \times 10^{-15}$ over a 3–4 yr period. For a comprehensive review, see Uzan (2003).

Interestingly enough, none of the limits above cover the redshift range corresponding to the quasar absorption-line DLAs that yield the recent evidence of a variation in $\alpha$. Hence, the door remains open for creative model building. Nevertheless, the strength of these limits, particularly the Oklo and $^{187}\text{Re}$ bounds, may indicate that something other than a time-varying $\alpha$ may be responsible for the effects seen in the MM method.

3. Mg: THEORY AND OBSERVATIONS

3.1. Expected Trends of Mg Production

The MM method is sensitive to the Mg isotope abundances. In Type II supernovae, it is produced in the carbon and neon burning shells with an abundance somewhat less than 10% of the oxygen abundance produced in massive stars (Woosley & Weaver 1995; henceforth WW95). $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ are produced primarily in the outer carbon layer by the reactions $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha n)^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{25}\text{Mg}(\alpha \gamma)^{26}\text{Mg}$. The solar metallicity models of WW95 eject solar values with Mg isotopes ratios reasonably close to the terrestrial observed value of $^{24}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg} : ^{26}\text{Mg} = 79 : 10 : 11$ (Rosman & Taylor 1998). More massive stars tend to be slightly enhanced in the heavy isotopes (e.g., the WW95 25 $M_\odot$ model gives a ratio of 65:15:20). Furthermore, the abundance of $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ scales linearly with metallicity in the carbon shell. As a result, it would be expected that the ejecta from the first generation of Type II supernovae would contribute almost no $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$. Some of the solar abundance of Mg is produced in Type I supernovae but with the $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ far below solar. For example, the models of Thielemann et al. (1986) give $[^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{26}\text{Fe}] \approx -1.2$. Because of the absence of free neutrons, essentially no $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ is produced in Type I supernovae. Thus, in previous chemical evolution models the heavy Mg isotopes
could only be efficiently produced at late times in high-metallicity Type II supernovae.

Moreover, of critical importance in the present study is that $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ can also be produced in intermediate-mass (IM) asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. It has been recently noted (Karakas & Lattanzio 2003; Siess et al. 2002; Forestini & Charbonnel 1997) that IM stars of low metallicity can in fact be efficient producers of the heavy Mg isotopes during the thermally pulsing AGB phase.

It should be noted that the Mg yields of WW95 near 20 $M_{\odot}$ are substantially lower than the predictions of other stellar models as is described in Argast et al. (2002). While this underestimation only affects a narrow mass range in the Type II yields, it may have an impact on the total Mg yield in initial mass function (IMF) weighted chemical evolution models. If the $^{24}\text{Mg}$ production from the Type II models were corrected upward with respect to the WW95 yields, the expected heavy isotopic ratio would decrease. $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ scales with the metallicity, so it would not be affected by this uncertainty. The primary consequence of the $^{24}\text{Mg}$ underestimate in this mass range would be to slightly enhance the impact of the Mg yields from AGB stars on the Mg isotopic ratio. However, an enhancement of the Type II Mg yields could be compensated by an appropriate adjustment to the IMF as described below in §5.

### 3.2. Mg Production in AGB Stars

Heavy magnesium isotopes are synthesized via two mechanisms, both of which are particularly robust in 2.5–6 $M_{\odot}$ stars with low metallicity. Such low-metallicity stars are precisely the kinds of objects that ought to produce the abundances observed in DLA QSO absorption-line systems at high redshift for the reasons already described.

One process particularly effective in low-metallicity AGB stars (Boothroyd et al. 1995) is hot bottom burning (HBB). During the AGB phase, stars develop an extended outer convective envelope, and material in the hydrogen envelope is mixed downward to regions of high temperature at the base. Of particular interest is that the base of the envelope is more compact and at a higher temperature in low-metallicity stars than in stars of solar composition. This can be traced to the decreased opacity of these objects. Because these stars become sufficiently hot ($T > 7 \times 10^7$ K), proton capture processes in the Mg-Al cycle become effective. Proton capture on $^{24}\text{Mg}$ then leads to the production of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ (from the decay of $^{25}\text{Al}$) and to $^{26}\text{Al}$ (which decays to $^{26}\text{Mg}$). The relevant thermonuclear burning reactions have a strong sensitivity to temperature. Hence, IM progenitor stars of low metallicity can contribute abundant products from high-temperature burning to the interstellar medium. Moreover, these stars should also have a shorter timescale than their higher metallicity counterparts, although this effect is not large since 5–6 $M_{\odot}$ stars have relatively short lifetimes at any metallicity.

A second contributing process occurs deeper in the star during thermal pulses of the helium-burning shell. The helium shell experiences periodic thermonuclear runaways when the ignition of the triple-$\alpha$ reaction occurs under electron-degenerate conditions. Because of electron degeneracy, the star is unable to expand and cool. Hence, the temperature rapidly rises until the onset of convection to transport the energy away. During these thermal pulses, $^{22}\text{Ne}$ is produced by $\alpha$-capture on $^{14}\text{N}$, which itself is left over from the CNO cycle. Heavy magnesium isotopes are then produced via the $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,n)^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{22}\text{Ne}(\alpha,\gamma)^{26}\text{Mg}$ reactions. Note that this process is important for stars with $M \approx 3 M_{\odot}$ (Karakas & Lattanzio 2003), while HBB is more important for $M \geq 4 M_{\odot}$.

Several groups give credence to the assertion that AGB stars produce heavy Mg isotopes. Siess et al. (2002) describe the $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ production that occurs during the third dredge-up. A key point is that even though seed material is less plentiful in low-metallicity stars, the reactions are very temperature sensitive. Hence, the increased temperature in the interior of low-metallicity stars more than compensates for the depleted seed material, leading to significant production of the heavy Mg isotopes. Moreover, seed material produced and mixed during the first two dredge-up episodes will also be more efficiently produced because of the heightened temperature. It has even been argued that these high-temperature processes may also be net destroyers of $^{24}\text{Mg}$ (Forestini & Charbonnel 1997; Karakas & Lattanzio 2003).

To illustrate this phenomenon regarding the Mg evolution in AGB stars, Denissenkov & Herwig (2003) modeled a typical low-metallicity ($Z = 0.0001$) AGB star of 5 $M_{\odot}$, and found that it was capable of taking an initial ratio of $^{24}\text{Mg}$:$^{25}\text{Mg}$:$^{26}\text{Mg} = 90:5:5$ to the extreme ratio of 13:71:16! It should be noted that a Mg isotopic composition this enriched in $^{25}\text{Mg}$ has never been detected. Nevertheless, their model establishes an upper limit on the effect of HBB on material dredged up to the surface. The main impetus of their study was to qualitatively illustrate that neither low seed material nor recently updated reaction rates can prevent the efficient processing of Mg in AGB stars.

### 3.3. Observations of Mg Abundances

The data on Mg abundances in low-metallicity stars exhibit considerable dispersion. This dispersion is in excess of a factor of 3 for a fixed value of $\left[\text{Fe}/\text{H}\right]$. While such dispersion could be a symptom of systematic error when data from several samples are combined, the observed intrinsic dispersion in abundances of low-metallicity stars is generally interpreted (e.g., Ishimaru & Wanajo 1999) as evidence for effects of local stochastic star formation events. (We note, however, that the recent data of Cayrel et al. [2004] show considerably less dispersion in $\left[\text{Mg}/\text{Fe}\right]$ and other $\left[\alpha/\text{Fe}\right]$ ratios in halo stars than previous results. Hence, the need for highly inhomogeneous halo evolution is correspondingly reduced.)

Gay & Lambert (2000) determined the Mg isotopic ratios in 20 stars in the metallicity range $-1.8 < \left[\text{Fe}/\text{H}\right] < 0.0$ with the aim of testing theoretical predictions (e.g., Timmes et al. 1995). These data exhibit a large dispersion in the $^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ isotope ratio. Hence, the case for a low $^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratio at low $\left[\text{Fe}/\text{H}\right]$ was perhaps not yet unambiguously established, although their results indicated that $^{25,26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ appears to decrease at low metallicity for normal stars. Nevertheless, based on the large dispersion in these data, one could not make the case for either a high or a low ratio of heavy Mg isotopes. However, indirect evidence for Mg-Al–cycle element production in low-metallicity stars is suggested by the observations of Denissenkov et al. (1998), who found substantial Al enhancements in globular cluster giants at the expense of Mg abundances.

Although many of the stars studied by Gay & Lambert (2000) were found to have Mg isotopic abundance ratios somewhat higher than predicted, even the “peculiar” stars, which show enrichments in $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$, do not have abundance ratios substantially above solar. Moreover, it should be noted that Timmes et al. (1995) point out that their model underestimates the $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ by around a factor of 2 at a metallicity.
of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-1$, and that this discrepancy may indicate that another source of magnesium isotopes is in operation at low metallicity.

Based on the galactic chemical evolution models available at that time (e.g., Timmes et al. 1995), the adoption of solar isotopic Mg ratios by Murphy et al. (2003a) in the MM method would appear to have been safe and conservative. Previous models seemed to indicate that the $^{24}\text{Mg}:^{25}\text{Mg}:^{26}\text{Mg}$ ratio was higher than 79:21 in the past, and it was shown that a composition more rich in $^{24}\text{Mg}$ only strengthens the case for the evolution of $\alpha$. However, as we discuss in more detail below, increasing the abundances of the heavier Mg isotopes would yield a larger multiplet splitting from isotope shift effects. This would imply an apparently higher value for $\alpha$ (i.e., closer to the present value). Indeed, raising the heavy isotope concentration to $^{24}\text{Mg}:^{25}\text{Mg}:^{26}\text{Mg} = 63:37$ could remove the significance of the signal for a time-varying fine-structure constant.

In support of this possibility, a new study of Mg isotopic abundances in stars in the globular cluster NGC 6752 (Yong et al. 2003) affects the case for assuming a solar isotopic composition. This study looked at 20 bright red giants with an assigned metallicity of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]=-1.62$. Since globular clusters may be the remnants of early galactic chemical evolution, their abundances might be representative of the abundances to be found in the DLAs of interest in the present study. The observations of Yong et al. (2003) show a considerable spread in the Mg isotopic ratios, which range from $^{24}\text{Mg}:^{25}\text{Mg}:^{26}\text{Mg} = 84:8:8$ (slightly poor in the heavies) to 53:9:37 (greatly enriched in $^{26}\text{Mg}$). Of the 20 stars observed, 15 of them show $^{24}\text{Mg}$ fractions of 78% or less (i.e., enriched in heavy isotopes relative to solar), and seven of them show fractions of 70% or less, with four of them in the range 53%–67%. This latter range, if representative of the abundances in the DLAs of the study, is sufficiently low to have substantially affected any determination of $\alpha$. A previous study (Shetrone 1996) also found unusually high abundances of the heavy Mg isotopes in giant stars in the globular cluster M13. For this system, $^{24}\text{Mg}:^{25}\text{Mg}:^{26}\text{Mg}$ ratios as low as 50:50 and even 44:56 were found. Such values exceed the necessary condition to account for the apparent variation in the fine-structure constant in the low-redshift sample of Murphy et al. (2003b).

Other chemical evolution models of low-metallicity systems also suggest that there may be a missing contributor to the cosmic Mg abundance. Standard chemical evolution models were compared to observations of DLAs by Fenne et al. (2003, 2004). These studies indicate that supplemental sources of Mg beyond massive stars are needed. They concluded that, although Mg is dominated in the present-day interstellar medium (ISM) by supernova (SN) ejecta, SNe probably played a lesser role to that of IM stars in earlier epochs.

It should be emphasized that the $\alpha$ derived from the MM method is sensitive to the isotopic ratio of Mg and not the total abundance. The missing Mg from chemical evolution models and abundance measurements points to another source, one which played a dominant role in the earliest epochs of star formation. In our models, this source is derived from AGB stars.

4. SENSITIVITY OF THE MM METHOD TO ISOTOPTIC SHIFTS OF Mg

Before we describe the specifics of the chemical evolution model, it is necessary to illustrate how the heavy isotopes affect the determination of $\alpha$ from the MM method. Normally, the MM method would compare the line shifts of the species particularly sensitive to any real change in $\alpha$ to one with a comparatively minor sensitivity (referred to as an anchor). For the low-redshift sample, which consists of 74 out of 128 measured absorption systems (and the most significant evidence for variation in the Murphy et al. [2003a] data, as well as all of the data in the recent analysis of Chand et al. [2004]), Fe lines are compared to Mg lines (which serve as the anchor). For our purposes, the sensitivity of the MM method to the fine-structure constant can be roughly approximated by subtracting the wavenumber shift of Fe from Mg,

$$\Delta\omega_{\text{Mg}} - \Delta\omega_{\text{Fe}} = q_{\text{eff}} X = q_{\text{eff}} 2(\beta_\alpha/\alpha),$$

where $\Delta\omega_i$ is the difference between the observed wavenumber of line $i$ and the laboratory value. The quantity $X$ relates to the change in $\alpha$, $X \equiv (\alpha_Z/\alpha) - 1 \approx 2(\beta_\alpha/\alpha)$, where the approximation is adequate for the small variations of interest here. While the true method simultaneously fits ratios of Fe lines to Mg lines, the effects of isotope shifts can be estimated by taking the average trend as is described below. The quantity $q_{\text{eff}}$ is the difference between the average value of $q$ for Mg and that for Fe. From the $q$ values given in Murphy et al. (2003a), we obtain $q_{\text{eff}} \approx 1280 \pm 150$ from an average of the 74 low-$z$ absorption systems.

The same wavenumber shifts can be accomplished from the isotopic shift (IS) of Mg alone (since the IS for Fe is small),

$$\Delta\omega_{\text{Mg}} - \Delta\omega_{\text{Fe}} = \omega_{A'} - \omega_A.$$  

The IS depends sensitively on the field shifts, specific mass shifts, and normal mass shifts that in turn depend on the nuclear charge configuration. Hence, it must be determined experimentally. Accordingly, Berengut et al. (2003a) have experimentally deduced the coefficients of these shifts. They also provided a relationship between isotopic abundances and frequency shifts,

$$\omega_{A'} - \omega_A = \frac{1}{c} \left[ (k_{\text{sms}} + k_{\text{sms}}) \left( \frac{1}{A'} - \frac{1}{A} \right) + F \delta (\rho^2) \right],$$

where $A'$ is the mean atomic mass (enhanced with the heavier isotopes) and $A$ is the solar atomic mass number (for Mg, $A = 24.32$). The quantity $k_{\text{sms}}$ is the specific mass shift coefficient, while $k_{\text{sms}}$ is the normal mass shift. $F$ is the field shift, while $\delta (\rho^2)$ is the difference in the mean square radius, and $c$ is the speed of light. Incorporating the coefficients given in Berengut et al. (2004), we can determine the corresponding effective $\alpha$ variation for the $A'$ corresponding to a specific isotopic composition of Mg. Recall that increasing the abundances of the heavier Mg isotopes yields a larger value for the deduced $\alpha$, and a ratio of $^{24}\text{Mg}:^{25}\text{Mg}:^{26}\text{Mg} = 63:37$ is sufficient to obviate the need for a time-varying fine-structure constant. Note that the IS also depends on the relative ratio of $^{25}\text{Mg}$ versus $^{26}\text{Mg}$. The heavy isotopic ratio of $^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg}) / ^{24}\text{Mg} = 37/63 = 0.58$ quoted above still assumes a solar ratio of $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{26}\text{Mg}$. The change in the deduced values of $\delta \alpha_\alpha/\alpha$ relative to those obtained when assuming a solar isotopic composition (i.e., the correction to $\delta \alpha/\alpha$ due to nonsolar values of the Mg isotopic abundances) is shown in Figure 1. Therefore, for solar isotopic composition $\left( ^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg} / ^{24}\text{Mg} = 0.27 \right)$, the value of $\alpha$ is the same as that obtained by Murphy et al. (2003a) and Chand et al. (2004). When only $^{24}\text{Mg}$ is assumed to be present, there is a shift of about $-0.67 \times 10^{-3}$, thereby amplifying the signal they would have reported. Note that this shift is somewhat stronger than the shift quoted in Murphy et al. (2003a), as we
are using the more recent results of Berengut et al. (2003a, 2004).

In contrast, when the ratio of heavies to $^{24}\text{Mg}$ is larger, the
deducted $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ is smaller and can effectively cancel the effect
obtained by Murphy et al. (2003a). Using our adopted mean value
of $q_{\text{eff}}$, we find that the Mg isotopic ratio required to
cancel the apparent result of Murphy et al. (2003a) is
$^{(25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg} = 0.62$. Figure 1 also shows the
dependence of $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ on the ratio of $^{26}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg}$ in addition to
the total isotopic ratio. If the ratio $^{26}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg}$ were 2:1 or 3:1,
the necessary total isotopic ratio, $^{(25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg}$, would
be only 0.54 and 0.50, respectively. A subsolar ratio of
$^{26}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg} = 8:10$ would require the total isotopic ratio to be
0.68. Figure 1 also illustrates that the ratio of $^{26}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg}$ has
a pronounced effect on the deduced value of $\delta\alpha / \alpha$. The deduced
value of $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ increases by $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ even for a
solar ratio of $(^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg} = 0.27$ just by varying the
ratio of $^{25}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg}$ from 1:1 to 3:1.

The change in the deduced value of $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ is also sensitive
to the value of $q_{\text{eff}}$. While we have approximated the MM
method with an effective average from the low-$z$ absorption
systems, this value is somewhat uncertain. In Figure 2, we
illustrate the sensitivity of the deduced value for $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ to $q_{\text{eff}}$.
Adopting a solar ratio for $^{26}\text{Mg} : ^{25}\text{Mg}$ and taking the 1 $\sigma$
range of $q_{\text{eff}}$, we obtain a mean value and uncertainty in the Mg
isotopic ratio needed to compensate for the apparent deviation
in the fine-structure constant reported in Murphy et al. (2003a)
of $(^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg} = 0.62 \pm 0.05$. Similarly, we infer
$(^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg} = 0.30 \pm 0.01$ for the Chand et al. (2004)
data. This uncertainty in $q_{\text{eff}}$ translates into an uncertainty in
$\delta\alpha / \alpha$ of $\pm 0.14 \times 10^{-5}$ near a shift in $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ near $5.4 \times 10^{-6}$.

While $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ is sensitive to the Mg isotopic composition
in the low-redshift sample, the systems at $z \geq 1.8$ primarily depend
on Si. In Berengut et al. (2004), it was determined that the
Si ii lines are relatively insensitive to a heavy isotopic shift,
although heavy Si isotopes may be produced in an analogous
fashion to the Mg isotopes in AGB stars. Fully accounting for

Fig. 2.—Shift in deduced values of $\delta\alpha / \alpha$ relative to values deduced from
an assumed solar Mg isotopic composition resulting from different values of
$q_{\text{eff}}$. The solid curve is the shift using the mean value of $q_{\text{eff}} = 1280$. The
dotted curves show the effect of the 1 $\sigma$ limits on $q_{\text{eff}}$, $\pm 150$, where the
uncertainty is dominated by the uncertainty of the $q_{Fe}$ given in Murphy et al.
(2003a). The steeper slope corresponds to $q_{\text{eff}} = 1130$, and the flatter slope
corresponds to $q_{\text{eff}} = 1430$.

5. DLA CHEMICAL EVOLUTION WITH EARLY AGB ENRICHMENT

In order to explore the Mg isotopic evolution in DLAs, it is
important to build a plausible chemical evolution model that
constrains by observations. Unfortunately, detailed abundances
for most of the systems observed in Murphy et al. (2003a)
have not been reported. Nevertheless, we can assume that their sample
of DLA absorption systems have similar characteristics to those of measured DLA systems within the
same redshift range. Systems in the redshift range of interest
(0.5 $< z < 1.8$) typically span a broad range of metallicities
with the mean value around $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.1$ (Pettini
1999; Cen et al. 2003). Pettini (1999) also demonstrated that
there is no discernible evolution of metallicity in the redshift range
of $z = 0.4–3.5$. This lack of metallicity evolution in DLAs is further supported by Prochaska & Wolfe (2000, 2002). DLAs are distinguished by their high neutral hydrogen
column densities; however, if a system were to produce large
amounts of metals, it would also tend to ionize the neutral
hydrogen gas. Alternatively, systems with high column densities
in neutral hydrogen should also have reached the conditions for star formation. In fact, no observed DLA has a
metallicity lower than $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -3$ (Cen et al. 2003). Hence, we
confine our chemical evolution models to the broad range of metallicities observed in DLA systems.

In this section, we describe a chemical evolution model that
can account for the observed variation in the fine-structure
constant. We use recent Mg isotopic yields from AGB stars.
We then compare the model abundances to observed abundances
in DLA systems as a constraint on this interpretation of
the MM results.

For our purposes, a simple recalculation of the model of
Timmes et al. (1995) with and without the enhanced contribution from AGB stars is sufficient. This allows us to make a
direct comparison with the conclusions of the previous authors.
We use the same metallicity-dependent yields of WW95 for Type II supernovae, using the same choices for explosion energies as in Timmes et al. (1995; i.e., model A of WW95 for $m = 11–25 M_\odot$ and model B for $m = 30, 35$, and $40 M_\odot$, which was taken as the upper limit of the IMF.) We adopt the metallicity-dependent stellar lifetimes and the yields for the stellar mass range from $6–7 M_\odot$ given by Portinari et al. (1998). We supplement the IM yields of Marigo (2001) for stellar masses up to $5 M_\odot$ (using the $\alpha = 2$ mixing length parameter model) with the recent AGB yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003), in which the abundances of all three Mg isotopes are included.

Although the yields for massive stars are available from zero to solar metallicity, we need to extrapolate the yields for IM stars below the lowest adopted metallicity model of $Z = 0.004$. We keep the yields constant when below the lowest metallicity model. This approach is adequate for primary elements, but needs to be justified for elements such as N and Mg, which are known to derive from secondary sources at high metallicity. Although N is largely a secondary element at high metallicity, it is known (Pagel 1997) to be largely primary at low metallicity ($Z \lesssim 0.004$). Hence, constant nitrogen for $Z \lesssim 0.004$ seems justified. Likewise, Mg yields can be held constant, since they roughly scale with N. Obviously, these assumptions lead to some uncertainty and need to be checked with future calculations of IM stars at lower metallicity.

In addition to extrapolating to low metallicity, it was also necessary to interpolate in the mass range of $7–12 M_\odot$ between the IM models and WW95 models. This leads to an uncertainty in the yields for that mass range. A simple linear interpolation between the IM and WW95 models would be dominated by the larger yields of the Type II SNe. In addition, stars in that mass range at some point no longer experience the thermally pulsing AGB phase. Therefore, we adopt a more conservative approach whereby we interpolate the yields for both models to zero for $9 M_\odot$ stars in order to distribute the uncertainties in the yields between both sets of yield models. We also adopt the solar abundances of Grevesse & Sauval (1998).

The model of Timmes et al. (1995) is based on an exponential infall of primordial gas over a timescale of 4 Gyr and a Schmidt law for the star formation rate. For our purposes, the efficiency of star formation can be modified to best account for the observed abundances in DLAs. This is particularly true given that they are most likely the progenitors of a wide range of galaxy morphologies.

We assume instantaneous mixing with no outflow. Hence, the evolution of the mass fraction $X_i$ of isotope $i$ can be written,

$$\frac{d(M_{\text{gas}}(t)X_i)}{dt} = m_{\text{CO}}X_i^{12}R_{12} + \dot{M}_{\text{B},i} - B(t)X_i(t)$$

$$+ \int_{0.8}^{40} B(t - \tau(m))\Psi(m)X_i^{12}(t - \tau(m)) dm$$

$$+ \int_{2.5}^{7.0} B(t - \tau(m))\Psi(m)X_i^{AGB}(t - \tau(m)) dm$$

(4)

where $B(t)$ (in units of $M_\odot$ Gyr$^{-1}$) is the stellar birthrate function at time $t$, $\Psi(m)$ is the IMF, $X_i^{12}$ is the mass fraction of isotope $i$ ejected by various sources specified by superscripts ($x = S$ for normal evolution, $x = 1a$ for supernovae Type Ia ejecta, and $x = AGB$ for the supplement ejecta of Karakas & Lattanzio [2003]). The lifetime of a progenitor star of mass $m$ is denoted $\tau(m)$, while $m_{\text{CO}}$ is the mass of the carbon-oxygen white dwarf progenitor of the Type Ia supernovae, with $R_{12}$ as the rate of Type Ia supernovae. The quantity $M_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas mass at time $t$, and $\dot{M}_{\text{B},i}$ represents the galactic infall rate of isotope $i$ (presumed to be primordial material). The third term on the right-hand side of equation (4) refers to the amount of isotope $i$ incorporated into new stars. The yields given by Karakas & Lattanzio (2003) are for AGB stars up to $6.5 M_\odot$. Even at $m = 6.5 M_\odot$, the production of heavy Mg isotopes is still increasing with mass. Therefore, we extrapolate the Karakas & Lattanzio (2003) yields to $7 M_\odot$. Beyond that, the effective Mg yield is linearly interpolated to zero at $8 M_\odot$ because such stars do not ignite the He shell burning under electron-degenerate conditions. Neither do they go through an AGB phase. Although our results are somewhat sensitive to this extrapolation, a change in our result due to a different extrapolation could be compensated by a change in the AGB enhanced IMF.

While the stellar evolution models of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003) show robust AGB evolution beyond $5 M_\odot$, the adopted CNO yields of Padova stellar models of Marigo (2001) and Portinari et al. (1998) do not exhibit AGB evolution beyond $5 M_\odot$. Until self-consistent yield models of AGB stars are developed that follow both Mg and CNO evolution, we are limited to supplementing Mg evolution in the manner previously described. Consequently, this approach may affect the direct coupling of the Mg to the associated CNO abundances.

In our model, the cosmic Type Ia supernova rate is given by the formulation of Kobayashi et al. (2000). This rate incorporates a minimum metallicity condition before the operation of Type Ia supernovae of $[\text{Fe}/H] > -1.1$. This is adopted as a necessary condition for the white dwarf progenitor to accrete effectively from the binary companion. This condition was hypothesized by Nomoto et al. (2003) based on the fact that the stellar wind velocity from the binary companion is slower at low metallicity and also that the mass range of white dwarf progenitors is somewhat limited for the short timescales corresponding to low metallicity.

We propose a modest early enhancement of the IMF along the lines of Fields et al. (1997, 2001). We present some theoretical and observational evidence for this enhancement below. Even though the early IMF is enhanced in the IM range, the usual normalization is still adopted,

$$\int \Psi(m) dm = 1.$$

(5)

We then write the star formation rate $B\Psi$ as

$$B(t)\Psi(m) = B_1(t)\Psi_1(m) + B_2(t)\Psi_2(m)$$

$$= B_1 m^{-2.31} + (B_2/m) \exp\left\{-\left[\log(m/m_\odot)^2/(2\sigma^2)\right]\right\}.$$  

(6)

The $\Psi_1(m)$ IMF accounts for a standard Salpeter distribution of stellar masses. $\Psi_2(m)$ is an additional lognormal component of stars peaked at $m_\odot$. The dimensionless width, $\sigma$, allows the mass distribution to extend across the entire IMF range. For the model of Ashenfelter et al. (2004), $m_\odot = 5 M_\odot$ and $\sigma = 0.07$ was adopted. In the present work, a range for these parameters is adopted consistent with the constraints deduced in Adams & Laughlin (1996).

For the normal stellar component, we parameterize the time dependence of the stellar birthrate function $B_1(t)$ as

$$B_1(t) = (1.0 - e^{-t/\tau})Y_{\text{SF}}M_{\text{gas}}(t)/M_{\text{tot}(t)}^2,$$

(7)
of enhancement, however, quickly evolves to a standard Salpeter IMF. The values $A_1$ quickly gets diluted as the normal Salpeter component evolves. Early on (depending on the values for the free parameters), but the IM component obviously dominates the mass recycle rate formation with a smooth transition from the burst. We note that while for the IM component we similarly adopt a mass distribution that is peaked at a higher mass, $A_2$, $\tau_1$, and $\tau_2$ are 0.5 and 0.2 Gyr, respectively.

$$B_2(t) = A_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} \epsilon_{SF} M_{tot}(t) \left[ M_{gas}/M_{tot}(t) \right]^2. \quad (8)$$

This model thus contains an early burst of IM stars peaked at $m_{peak}$, with a spread of masses governed by the dimensionless width $\sigma$. The burst is exponentially suppressed on a timescale of $\tau_2$. The $B_1$ component describes the standard quiescent star formation with a smooth transition from the burst. We note that the IM component obviously dominates the mass recycle rate early on (depending on the values for the free parameters), but quickly gets diluted as the normal Salpeter component evolves. The coefficient $A_2$ in $B_2(t)$ was adjusted to produce sufficient Mg isotope enhancement. Finally, $\epsilon_{SF}$ is the coefficient of star formation efficiency. For reference, Timmes et al. (1995) determined that the best fit for the solar neighborhood was $\epsilon_{SF} = 2.8$.

It should be noted that this coefficient gets normalized with the IMF, so that it only affects the abundance ratio when the lognormal enhancement is competing with the normal power law component of the IMF. The normalized IMF is shown at four different times in Figure 3. The sensitivity of our results to each of the parameter choices in equations (6)–(8) are discussed in § 6.

In addition to the overall star formation efficiency, $\epsilon_{SF}$, the model consists of two parameter categories, the parameters that determine the total amount of IM stars above the standard IMF ($A_2$, $\tau_1$, $\tau_2$) and those that determine the distribution within the enhancement ($m_{peak}$, $\sigma$). The exponential decay of the enhancement of IM stars ensures that a smooth transition into the standard IMF occurs. The combination of $A_2$ and $\tau_2$ determines the ratio of the two IMFs while they are in competition.

5.1. Arguments for an AGB-enhanced IMF at Low Metallicity

To some extent, our adopted increase in the IMF for IM stars may simply be thought of as a compensation for the uncertainties in the nucleosynthesis yields of AGB stars. Indeed, a very small change in burning temperature or a more efficient dredge-up could easily accomplish the required enhanced $^{25,26}$Mg yields. Nevertheless, there are also reasons to expect that the early IMF would be considerably different than the present day IMF. Hence, we adopt an enhanced AGB star IMF as a reasonable parameterization.

Arguments for an enhanced IMF for IM stars are as follows. With fewer metals initially, cooling in the dense protostellar cloud is predominantly done by atomic hydrogen, which is less efficient. Therefore, a more massive cloud is required to cool and gravitationally collapse into stars. The lower limit of the IMF depends sensitively on the length scale of density fluctuations and turbulent fragmentation. These density fluctuations in the present-day ISM are largely the result of previous star formation, which was obviously absent in the first star formation epoch. Moreover, these primordial clouds are at higher temperatures in the low-metallicity ISM. Therefore, they further inhibit the smaller length scales of the density fluctuations. Uehara et al. (1996) set the lower mass limit of the extremely metal-poor stars at $1.4 M_\odot$, which consequently implies that all metal-free stars have since evolved into white dwarfs or other remnants. Although a $1.4 M_\odot$, cutoff does not necessarily imply a higher relative abundance of IM with respect to massive stars, arguments of a more steeply sloped early IM have also been proposed that would suppress massive stars relative to the IM stars of interest here. For example, Padoan & Nordlund (2002) suggest a steeper IMF slope as due to weaker magnetic fields in molecular clouds at high redshift.

A further constraint is provided by the models of Yoshii & Saio (1985), who concluded, based on an opacity-limited fragmentation model, that a metal-free IMF should be peaked at $4-10 M_\odot$. It should then decline steeply on both sides of the peak. Furthermore, competing models of a metal-free IMF have the peak at much higher mass (Hernandez & Ferrara 2001) and can even allow for very massive objects (VMOs) to form (Susa et al. 1996).

Further potential constraints on an enhanced IMF for IM stars exist from observations of stars in the Galactic halo, although we emphasize that details of the local Galactic environment do not necessarily apply to the Ly$\alpha$ systems of interest to the present study. Even so, Adams & Laughlin (1996) and Fields et al. (1997; see also Ryu et al. 1990) delved into the form of the IMF that could match the MACHO data of gravitational microlenses in the Galactic halo. They independently determined that the early IMF is tightly constrained to be in the mass range of $1 < M_\odot < 8$. These IM stars would evolve into white dwarfs and populate the halo if they had formed early enough to evolve and cool. Specifically, they determined that the maximum of the lognormal distribution is around 2–3 $M_\odot$ and is sharply peaked.

Regarding those earlier models that produce a very strong enhancement of IM stars, it has since been argued that these models overproduce current constraints on halo white dwarfs (Gibson & Mould 1997; Flynn et al. 2003; Garcia-Berro et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2004). We note, however, that these MACHO IMFs are more significantly enhanced with IM stars than the models considered here. While their goal was to generate a significant fraction of the Galactic halo in white dwarfs, our intention is to produce enough Mg isotopes from IM stars to imitate a variation in $\alpha$. Since this model quickly vanishes at early times because of the exponential decay factor in equation (8), it only can partially populate the Galactic halo. An important consideration since the earlier work on the MACHO IMF models is that the estimated age of the universe has been reduced so that the white dwarfs would have had less time to cool. A smaller estimated age of the universe could be offset by a mass distribution that is peaked at a higher mass.
Furthermore, MACHO IMF models are probably still difficult to reconcile with the C, N, and O constraint (Gibson & Mould 1997) and new observations of old, white, cool halo white dwarfs (Flynn et al. 2003). In spite of these difficulties with the halo MACHO models, we emphasize that the present models for Lyα systems are not affected by these constraints, both because the present models produce fewer white dwarfs than the MACHO models, and because the evolution of the Lyα systems is not necessarily the same as that of the Galactic halo.

5.2. Observational Evidence of AGB-heavy IMF at Low Metallicity

There is a large body of evidence suggesting a strong early contribution from IM stars. Indeed, ejecta from such AGB stars is needed to account for the observed abundances in both the low-metallicity stars in or near the Milky Way and those deduced in DLAs. Abia et al. (2001) give an overview of the abundances of the most extremely metal-poor stars in our Galaxy and argue that an IMF peaked in the IM range of 3–8 $M_\odot$ is favored over an IMF populated by VMOs. This argument is based on the observed large number of low-metallicity halo stars with greatly enhanced C and N abundances. Intermediate-mass stars are particularly efficient at producing very large [C/N/Fe] ratios found in $\sim$1/4 of the iron deficient stars (Norris et al. 2000; Rossi et al. 1999). The most likely source of these abundances is accretion from a binary AGB companion. Reinforcing this conclusion are the observations that s-process elements, especially Pb, appear even at the lowest of metallicities (Aoki et al. 2001; Sivarani et al. 2003, 2004). Only in some cases can the s-process enrichment be attributed to Roche lobe overflow from a companion. In others, an alternative explanation may be necessary. Because massive stars pollute the ISM before IM stars of the same age, the s-process cannot “outpace” the r-process except in several special circumstances: the r-process elements may not have mixed efficiently into the ISM and cluster, or the local first epoch of star formation may have been dominated by IM stars as described herein.

It has also been argued that in order to account for the depletion of deuterium but still produce the observed white dwarf population found in the Galactic halo, a nonstandard IMF is required (Fields et al. 1997, 2001). In particular, an early IM dominated by IM stars has the advantage of matching these characteristics of galactic protodisks without the problem of metal overproduction from massive stars. In fact, our star formation rate, equations (6)–(8), is based on the IMF proposed in Fields et al. (2001).

There are two obvious constraints on the magnitude of the enhancement in the AGB IMF at low metallicity. These are carbon and nitrogen production and the implied Type Ia supernova rate. An early IMF enhancement has an insignificant effect on the Type Ia rate because of the adopted minimum metallicity requirement of Nomoto et al. (2003). By the time the ISM reaches the minimum metallicity, the IMF is dominated by the Salpeter IMF. An early IMF enhancement would, however, inevitably produce more carbon and nitrogen. Indeed, recent abundance determinations in low-metallicity systems have already shown a significant enhancement in carbon and nitrogen.

Two such systems are the low-metallicity ($Z \sim 0.004$) globular clusters 47 Tucanae and M71, which show a strong pollution of AGB ejecta early in their evolution yet maintain a constant iron abundance (Bromley et al. 2004; Harbeck et al. 2003). Many of the observed stellar abundances show C depletion correlated with strong N enhancements. This trend is observed in both red giants and main-sequence turnoff stars, thereby making consistent internal contamination unlikely. Because globular clusters are sensitive to feedback from supernovae, we argue that the earliest star formation had to be composed of mostly IM stars and not massive stars. Otherwise, the massive stars would contribute to the ISM first, and the CN enhancements with respect to the iron group would be diluted. Furthermore, SNe and the UV contamination by massive stars would suppress the star formation rate through feedback processes. We note that this model does not contradict the possibility of a very early population of very massive stars that provide an effective prompt initial enrichment ($Z \sim 10^{-3}$) and possibly reionize the universe at redshifts $z > 6$.

The Type Ia supernova rate does not depend on our AGB enhanced IMF because of the adopted minimal metallicity constraint on the efficiency of binary accretion. Roughly 2/3 of the iron produced comes from Type II and the rest is from Type Ia, as is consistently implemented in other chemical evolution models. Matteucci & Recchi (2001) determined that a metallicity condition on Type Ia supernovae varies from the observations of the abundances in the solar neighborhood. Allowing for Type Ia supernovae in our model at the earliest metallicities would shift the abundance evolution toward higher [Fe/H]. While the total abundance of Mg does not impact the QSO absorption spectra, a comparison of the degree to which an AGB enhanced IMF is distinguished from the constant IMF is detailed below. Both theoretical and observational studies of low-metallicity systems seem to point to an IMF dominated by AGB stars and their associated ejecta, at least in some systems. Competing hypotheses for the early IMF, such as a constant IMF or VMOs, have advantages in certain situations but often must also be fine-tuned to account for the full gamut of observations.

6. RESULTS

We next analyze the consequences of our adopted chemical evolution model on the production of the Mg isotopes and hence on the deduced shift $\delta^{12}C$ of the fine-structure constant. We compare these results to a “standard” model of chemical evolution (Timmes et al. 1995), which does not include the yields of AGB stars. This is listed as model 0 in Table 1. We also compute the abundances of other elements and compare them to observations of DLA systems. It is particularly important to consider nitrogen and carbon abundances because these are also ejected from the IM stars responsible for Mg production.

We begin with the model used in Ashenfelter et al. (2004; all models are summarized in Table 1). For this model (model 1 in Table 1), we compute the abundances of N, N/Si, and C/O as a function of [Fe/H], [Si/H], and [O/H], respectively. We then explore the sensitivity of our results to our assumed star formation rate. From this study, we obtain a set of best-concordance parameters that reproduce the observed DLA abundances while still accounting for the data of Murphy et al. (2003a; model 2) or Chand et al. (2004; model 3) without varying the fine-structure constant.
As shown in Ashenfelter et al. (2004), the birthrate function, equations (6)–(8), provides for a large enhancement in the production of $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg due to the copious yields for these isotopes in IM stars at low metallicity. This result is shown in Figure 4. The evolution of the heavy Mg isotopes can be explained as follows. Initially, the production of $^{25,26}$Mg in the ejecta of IM stars is delayed by their relatively long lifetime (compared to massive stars). Initial contributions to the chemical enrichment of the DLA interstellar medium come from the most massive and shortest lived stars. In this model, the burst of IM stars begins to produce $^{25,26}$Mg at $[\text{Fe/H}] \gtrsim -2.5$. At this stage, the IM star formation burst, $^{25}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg are efficiently produced relative to $^{24}$Mg as per the yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003).

At higher metallicity, the ejecta from the standard population of (massive) stars (which is depleted in $^{25,26}$Mg) begins to dilute the ratio relative to $^{24}$Mg. This accounts for the decline from local maximum in $^{25,26}$Mg/$^{24}$Mg around $[\text{Fe/H}] \gtrsim -1.5$. At late times, the impact of the early generation of IM stars is diluted by the ejecta from subsequent generations of stars. The dashed curve excludes the burst of IM stars, while the dotted curve excludes the AGB yields as well as the IM component. This latter curve essentially reproduces the result of Timmes et al. (1995), which we refer to as model 0. We note that the new AGB yields were also included in the chemical evolution model of Fenner et al. (2003), who used a normal stellar IMF. Their results are similar to that shown by the dashed curve in Figure 4. While these results show higher abundances of $^{25,26}$Mg relative to $^{24}$Mg than that given by the dotted curve, they are not high enough to account for the apparent variability in $\alpha$.

As discussed in § 4, the degree to which the heavy isotopes of Mg can effectively shift the value of the deduced fine-structure constant depends sensitively on the $^{26}$Mg/$^{25}$Mg ratio. The evolution of this ratio for model 1 is shown in Figure 5. As one can see, the $^{26}$Mg/$^{25}$Mg ratio remains slightly less than 1 for most of the metallicity range. This is due to the large enhancement of $^{25}$Mg over $^{26}$Mg in $\sim 6 M_\odot$ stars. The fact that this ratio is slightly less than solar requires a slightly larger excess of $^{25,26}$Mg relative to $^{24}$Mg to explain the shift in $\alpha$.

A vital comparison involves the determination of the effective $\alpha$ variation in our model as a function of metallicity. Using the results of § 4, shown in Figure 1, we can determine the shift in $\delta \alpha$ based on the calculated Mg isotopic abundances. This result (for model 1) is shown in Figure 6. As one can see, the existence of the enhanced isotopic abundances of $^{25,26}$Mg at a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.5$ implies no true variation in the fine-structure constant. DLA systems like those used to measure $\delta \alpha/\alpha$ span a wide range of metallicities, $-1.75 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -0.75$, with an average value of $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.1$ (Pettini 1999). By construction, this coincides with the region of maximum effect from isotopic variations of Mg in our model. The horizontal lines show the shift in $\alpha$ needed to compensate for the variation deduced by Murphy et al. (2003a). Since C and N are products of AGB stars, their observed abundances in DLA systems are capable of placing strong constraints on this particular chemical evolution model. In
Figure 7, we show the N abundance produced in model 1 with and without the AGB contribution. Comparing the results with observations of the nitrogen abundance in DLAs indicates that the AGB enhancement in model 1 overproduces nitrogen. Figure 7 also shows that the standard model without an enhanced IMF (model 0) underproduces nitrogen. While this apparent underproduction may be the result of the stochastic nature of the star formation process, in the context of our models at least some enhancement of the IMF for IM stars seems required by these data. The model parameters can in fact be adjusted to minimize the discrepancy with [N/H] while maintaining the requisite Mg isotopic enhancement to account for the variation in $\alpha$. Indeed, the result shown in Figure 6 is clearly dependent on our choice of the six basic model parameters listed in Table 1. In order to optimize the model with respect to both its ability to account for the apparent shift in $\alpha$ and to fit the abundances of the CNO elements, we vary each of these parameters. The result of this optimization will be referred to as model 2. The results of these variations are shown in Figures 8–13, in which we illustrate the influence of each parameter on the shift in $\delta\alpha$ as well as their effect on the nitrogen abundance evolution as a function of [Fe/H]. In each of these figures, the solid curve corresponds to model 1.

In an independent high-resolution observation, the variation of the fine-structure constant was also measured by Chand et al. (2004). They ascertained that $\delta\alpha/\alpha = -0.06 \pm 0.06$, assuming solar Mg isotopic abundances. In order to completely account for this variation, a smaller IMF enhancement would still be needed. A model that fits their results also fits the nitrogen abundance well compared to a model with a standard IMF. We have also performed an optimized fit to their independent result. This is given as model 3 in Table 1.

To begin with, the star formation efficiency plays a major role in both the degree to which the heavy Mg isotopes are produced and the degree to which nitrogen is produced in these models. The parameters for the efficiency of star formation and the infall time for the gas can be freely adjusted as well, since the galaxies corresponding to the DLAs encompass a wide range of morphologies and conditions. Timmes et al. (1995) determined that the star formation efficiency parameter, $\epsilon_{SF}$, for the Milky Way in the local neighborhood was close to 3. Elliptical galaxies are generally regarded as being more efficient in forming stars than spirals, while smaller galaxies should be less efficient. Although the Mg isotopic ratio is largely insensitive to the star formation efficiency, it does affect the position of the peak with respect to [Fe/H]. A higher star formation efficiency will produce more massive stars before the longer lived IM stars recycle into the interstellar medium (ISM), as Figure 8 illustrates. Relaxing the metallicity requirement for Type Ia supernovae would shift this plot and others to higher [Fe/H]. However, this adjustment can be compensated by reducing the star formation efficiency.

In Figure 8, we show the effect of varying $\epsilon_{SF}$ on $(^{25}\text{Mg} + ^{26}\text{Mg})/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and [N/H] versus [Fe/H]. In addition, we see from the right panel of Figure 8 that indeed the trend in [N/H] abundances is better reproduced at low metallicity when $\epsilon_{SF}$ is larger.

As described above, several model parameters affect the size of the IMF enhancement. The parameter $A_2$ governs the weighting of the AGB enhancement with respect to the standard
Salpeter IMF component before the AGB enhancement has been exponentially reduced. As expected, the peak of the Mg isotopic ratio is linearly dependent on the parameter $A_2$, as seen in Figure 9. In effect, the Mg isotope peak can be scaled with this parameter. The nitrogen overproduction is tempered when $A_2$ is reduced.

The time constants, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, also affect the total number of IM stars beyond the Salpeter IMF alone, as shown in Figures 10 and 11. The constant $\tau_1$ determines the timescale for the onset of the normal component and, as one can see, has an important effect on the nitrogen abundance. At the same time, $\tau_1$ causes the Mg isotope ratio to become less favorable toward accounting for the apparent variation in $\alpha$. Fortunately, other parameters can boost the abundance of the heavy Mg isotopes without adversely affecting N/H. The time constant, $\tau_2$, which determines how long the IM enhancement lasts, is a prime example. By reducing $\tau_1$ (0 corresponds to the standard component present at the onset of star formation) and increasing $\tau_2$, we can maintain the high abundance of heavy Mg isotopes and at the same time reduce the nitrogen abundance.

Other parameters do not change the total enhancement of IM stars; rather, they affect the distribution. The isotopic ratio is strongly dependent upon the center of the lognormal IMF enhancement, $m_c$. Because the yield of the Mg isotopes is most efficient for progenitor stars with mass between 5–6 $M_{\odot}$, the Mg peak will rise and fall depending upon the proximity of the peak in the IMF to this range. In Figure 12 (left), the model parameters are kept constant except $m_c$. This figure shows that, independent of the total size of the IM enhancement, the Mg isotopic ratio can be greatly enhanced by the choice of $m_c$. The effect of the variation of $m_c$ on the nitrogen abundance is shown in Figure 12 (right).

As Figure 12 (left) illustrates, the Mg ratio requires a value of $m_c$ around 5–6 $M_{\odot}$, whereas models with such high values for $m_c$ do more poorly for the evolution of N/H with respect to the data. To further constrain the optimum value of $m_c$, we can use a broader distribution of the AGB IMF component. However, the
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**Fig. 9.**—Dependence of the Mg isotopic ratio (left) and nitrogen abundance (right) on the coefficient of the IM component of the IMF, $A_2$. All parameters were kept constant except $A_2$, which had the values of 1 (double-dot–dashed curve), 3 (dotted curve), 5 (dot-dashed curve), 8.9 (solid curve), 11 (dashed curve), and 15 (dot–double-dashed curve).

**Fig. 10.**—Dependence of the Mg isotopic ratio (left) and nitrogen abundance (right) on the turn-on timescale of the standard component of the IMF, $\tau_1$. All parameters were kept constant except $\tau_1$, which had the values of 0 (long-dashed curve), 0.05 (dot-dashed curve), 0.1 (dotted curve), 0.2 (double-dot–dashed curve), 0.5 (solid curve), and 0.7 (short-dashed curve).
choice of \( mc \) does constrain the allowed range of the model parameter, \( \sigma \), given in Adams & Laughlin (1996). In Figure 13, we show the corresponding effects of the variation of the width \( \sigma \) on the Mg isotopic ratio and nitrogen evolution.

Finally, using our understanding of the available parameter space, the chemical evolution model was adjusted to obtain an optimal concordance with the measured abundances in DLA systems. While these systems exhibit a large degree of scatter in their respective abundances, a qualitative comparison can be performed. This comparison may also detail the consequences of adopting an IMF enhanced with IM stars, especially when compared to the standard Salpeter IMF model. Figure 14 shows a comparison of calculated and observed \([\text{N}/\text{H}]\) versus \([\text{Fe/H}]\) for the various chemical evolution models described herein. The optimized models, 2 and 3, provide a fair reproduction of the overall trend in the observed abundances.

Figure 15 shows the evolution of nitrogen relative to the alpha element silicon, which is dominantly produced in Type II supernovae. This figure also illustrates the large abundance dispersions typical of DLA systems. The same models are compared to the \([\text{N}/\alpha]\) abundance as \([\alpha/\text{H}]\) evolves. The largest sample for Si abundances comes from the 20 measurements from Centurion et al. (2003). Although there is a great deal of scatter in these data, it has been interpreted that these abundances show a low dispersion \([\text{N}/\text{H}] = -1.5\) plateau and a second high dispersion plateau for \([\text{N}/\text{H}] = -1\). They contend that primary nitrogen from very massive objects would not be able to reproduce the lower plateau, while primary yields from lower mass stars do in fact produce this plateau. Both the AGB enhanced chemical evolution models and the standard IMF model show a plateau; however, the lower plateau is underestimated by 0.5 dex. This fact may indicate that the adopted WW95 primary yields underestimate nitrogen or that an additional source of primary nitrogen has been overlooked. Another important consideration is that the Si yield depends sensitively on the adopted explosion energy and mass cutoff of Type II supernovae. The adopted choice of explosion energy in the no-metallicity model of WW95 leads to a dearth of Si produced. Consequently, this leads to a downward trend at the lowest values of \([\text{Si}/\text{H}]\) in Figure 15 instead of the observed plateau. Centurion et al. (2003) point out that the yields of Meynet & Maeder (2002) match this
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Fig. 11.—Dependence of the Mg isotopic ratio (left) and nitrogen abundance (right) on the decay timescale of the IM component of the IMF, \( \tau_2 \). All parameters were kept constant except \( \tau_2 \), which had the values of 0.1 (double-dot–dashed curve), 0.2 (solid curve), 0.3 (dot-dashed curve), 0.5 (dotted curve), and 0.7 (dashed curve).
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Fig. 12.—Dependence of the Mg isotopic ratio (left) and nitrogen abundance (right) on the value of \( mc \). All parameters were kept constant except \( mc \), which had the values of 2.0 (double-dot–dashed curve), 4.0 (dotted curve), 5.0 (thick solid curve), 5.5 (dashed curve), 5.75 (dot–double-dashed curve), 6.0 (dot-dashed curve), and 7.0 (thin solid curve).
plateau well. They further contend that the second plateau is due to the addition of secondary N from IM stars. While we do not observe a higher plateau in either the enhanced or standard IMF case, the enhanced IMF is more consistent with these abundances than the standard IMF. While both models suffer from a steep slope in between the two plateaus (the steep slope can explain the expeditious transition from the lower plateau to the higher one), the enhanced IMF model can be easily parameterized to account for the range of values of the upper plateau. The standard IMF is unable to account for the broad range of values in the upper plateau. However, one must keep in mind that the standard IMF does not have the parameter degrees of freedom that the enhanced IMF does.

Since carbon is also a possible product of IM stars, we also illustrate the evolution of C relative to O (primarily from SNII) in Figure 16. Here we see that essentially all of the curves give a similar good fit to the observed abundance (Akerman et al. 2004) of metal-poor halo stars. Here we see that all of the models do equally well. The basic trend of these data is that at first the C/O diminishes as supernovae produce more oxygen relative to carbon. Later, for [Fe/H] > −0.5, C/O increases because of the late-time ejection of carbon from low-mass AGB stars. The enhanced early IMF considered here has little effect on these curves, except for model 1, in which substantial early carbon enrichment causes the C/O to be a bit too high near [Fe/H] ~ −1.5. Nevertheless, our optimum models 2 and 3 do well in comparison to the data. We note that while it is reassuring that the models fit the data for local halo stars, chemical models for DLAs and the local neighborhood could be very different.

Finally, in Figure 17 we summarize our estimated shift in deduced δα/α relative to that obtained from a solar Mg isotopic composition. It is clear that either of these models provides a possible alternative to the interpretation of a time varying fine-structure constant. Furthermore, the properties of
these models of early AGB enhancements to the IMF are suggested by various abundance measurements in DLA systems and metal-poor stars. In this interpretation, the MM method results have provided important new evidence for the star formation that occurred in the early epochs of DLAs.

Before concluding, we make two final points. First, we emphasize that there is considerable scatter in the data. Scatter is found in the $N/H$ data as well as the data from which Murphy et al. (2003a) and Chand et al. (2004) infer a value for $\delta \alpha/\alpha$ for each individual absorber. Our model can only be viewed as an average star formation history over many individual DLAs. Indeed, because of the stochastic nature of star formation, particularly at early times, we might expect large variations in the production of IM stars and hence the production of the heavy Mg isotopes. For this reason, we believe that the MM method may provide a unique window to the star formation history in DLAs. Second, we also emphasize that, although the Chand et al. (2004) data are consistent with no variation in $\alpha$, this is only so because they chose solar abundances for $25, 26\text{Mg}$. As one can see from Figure 17 (right), model 3, which fits these data, still requires a strong IM component in order to produce nearly solar isotopic abundances of Mg.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have made a study of possible relations among stellar nucleosynthesis, the galactic chemical evolution of damped Ly$\alpha$ systems (DLAs), and the apparent detections of a time-varying fine-structure constant. In particular, we have explored the important effects of high-temperature thermonuclear burning in low-metallicity AGB stars. We have shown that ejecta from these stars could have had a dominant effect on the early galactic chemical evolution of the crucial Mg isotopes in DLA systems.

We have explored a variety of models in which the early initial mass function (IMF) favors the formation of IM stars. Such an enhanced contribution from early IM stars allows for sufficient modification of the Mg isotope ratios to explain the many-multiplet results without a time-varying fine-structure constant. Such a modified IMF may to some extent be a simple parameterization of uncertainties in theoretical estimates of ejected yields from AGB stars, but it is motivated by both theoretical and observational constraints.

To compare with the MM method results, we have used an approximate treatment that qualitatively relates computed Mg isotopic abundances to the deduced fine-structure constant for DLA systems in the redshift range of $0.5 < z < 1.8$. Although this is only an approximation to the MM approach, we have shown that it reproduces the basic conclusions of detailed analysis (Murphy et al. 2003a). There is a real need to redo the MM method analysis in the context of evolving isotopic abundances as derived here. Incorporating isotopic variation would help to better quantify the need (or lack thereof) for IM stars and AGB nucleosynthesis in DLA systems. We hope that the present work will stimulate efforts along this line.

In the context of our schematic analysis, we have explored a variety of chemical evolution models with an eye toward unraveling the time-varying alpha mystery while still satisfying the available constraints from observed elemental abundances in DLA systems. We have concentrated on the chemical evolution of $N$ abundances, which are also produced in AGB stars. We also considered $C$, as well as $O$ and $Si$, largely from Type II supernovae and $Fe$ from Type Ia and Type II supernovae. We find that the observed high nitrogen abundances in DLA systems indeed confirm the need for enhanced ejecta from low-metallicity AGB stars. Even so, our previous model...
(Ashenfelter et al. 2004), which attempted to explain the MM results of Murphy et al. (2003a), tends to overproduce nitrogen and is therefore constrained by the observations. In this paper, however, we report on a parameter search that considers both data sets. We find a new optimum model (model 2 in the present work) that simultaneously fits the observed N/H, C/O, and N/Si trends versus [Fe/H], while still eliminating the need for the time-varying fine-structure constant as deduced from the Murphy et al. (2003a) data for systems in the redshift range 0.5 < z < 1.8.

At the same time, we have also constructed a new model (model 3) that can account for the results of the independent MM method analysis of Chand et al. (2004), which indicate smaller apparent variations in the fine-structure constant. Even though these authors claim results that are consistent with no variation in α, this conclusion is based on the assumption that the Mg isotopic ratio is equal to the solar one. As we have shown, in order to obtain a solar isotope ratio at low metallicity, we must again rely on the role of IM stars and AGB nucleosynthesis.

One conclusion of the present study is that important tests can be made of the hypothesis that AGB nucleosynthesis can account for the apparent variation in the fine-structure constant. The best measurement (although extremely difficult) would be to directly detect Mg isotopic abundances from spectral lines. In our picture, the apparent variations in α should correlate directly with the fraction of heavy Mg isotopes. Conversely, if heavy magnesium abundances are significantly depleted relative to solar, then the MM method results are actually understating the variation in the fine-structure constant. Furthermore, if sufficiently precise data could be obtained to distinguish the 25Mg and 26Mg abundances, then large enhancements of 26Mg observed in some systems could be indicative of Mg production that is specifically attributable to the Mg-Al cycle. If so, large enhancements of 26Mg may also be anticorrelated with Al abundances.

We further suggest that nitrogen (and/or carbon) abundances provide an easier test of the present hypothesis with regards to an enhanced IMF for low-metallicity IM stars. Nitrogen should be measured and correlated with δΣ α in the same DLA systems to which the MM method is applied. A correlation of [N/H] with the largest variations in α would argue in favor of the present hypothesis. As another test of an enhanced IMF, the highest [Fe/H] or [Si/H] (Si and Fe are very correlated) in DLA systems should exhibit a significant overabundance of [N/α] if our IMF is correct. One caveat in using Si abundances is its dependence on the explosion conditions for Type II supernovae. Future work regarding these tests should examine the consequences of adopting these different explosion criteria and compare with different yield models.

Determining whether or not a metallicity condition exists for Type Ia supernovae is related to an IMF enhanced with IM stars. It may very well be that the reduced efficiency of Type Ia supernovae is offset by the enhanced numbers of IM stars, which may satisfy both the previous work of Matteucci & Recchi (2001) and the metallicity conditions of Nomoto et al. (2003). Comparison of abundances of N, C, and Mg with [O/H] may be able to test the viability of IM mass enhanced IMF models independent of SN Ia rates.

One should interpret the large scatter in the inferred variation in α, as well as the observed variation in element abundances like Mg, in the context of the stochastic nature of the star formation process at low metallicity. Our models simply represent a global average, and the contribution of IM stars may very well vary in individual DLAs.

Clearly, more work needs to be done in constraining the effect of chemical evolution on interpretations of DLA observations. Nevertheless, we have established that at least some fraction of the deviations in the fine-structure constant deduced from the MM method could be due to chemical evolution effects. Among work that needs to be done, more stellar models of low-metallicity AGB stars over a broader mass and metallicity range are needed to quantify the model predictions, particularly in the extrapolated mass range of 7 < M < 12 M☉, between the AGB and Type II regimes. In particular, it would be very useful to have a full complement of stellar yields (including C, N, and Mg) in the IM range derived from a self-consistent set of stellar models. Out of necessity, we supplemented the Mg yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2003) with the Padova CNO yield models; however, a self-consistent model would more accurately quantify the correlation of Mg with N from AGB sources.

At the same time, more observations of abundances in DLA systems are needed. Most importantly, the DLAs that are used in the MM method should have their associated abundances quantified in order to see if there are correlations between the apparent variations in α and various abundances. Additionally, more atomic physics work needs to be done to more accurately quantify the possible isotopic shifts in the absorption lines (particularly for the high-z data).

The MM method has presented a very important question as to whether the fine-structure constant varies with time. It is hoped that the present study will stimulate further efforts along all of the above lines with a goal of clarifying this important physical question. If the fine-structure constant does vary in time or space, it provides an important window into the physics beyond the standard model. As such, the chemical evolution effects described herein should be carefully quantified to reduce the systematic uncertainties in the deduced result. Even if our chemical evolution interpretation of the MM results proves to be correct, the MM method will have provided valuable insight into the mysteries of early cosmic star formation and galaxy evolution.
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