Pyrene Carboxylate Ligand Based Coordination Polymers for Microwave-Assisted Solvent-Free Cyanosilylation of Aldehydes
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Abstract: The new coordination polymers (CPs) [Zn(μ-1xO1·1xO2-L)(H2O)3], n(H2O) (1) and [Cd(μ4-1xO1·2xN3,4xO3-L)(H2O)3], n(H2O) (2) are reported, being prepared by the solvothermal reactions of 5-(pyren-4-ylmethyl)aminoisophthalic acid (H2L) with Zn(NO3)2·6H2O or Cd(NO3)2·4H2O, respectively. They were synthesized in a basic ethanolic medium or a DMF:H2O mixture, respectively. These compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, FTIR spectroscopy, thermogravimetric and elemental analysis. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that compound 1 is a one dimensional linear coordination polymer, whereas 2 presents a two dimensional network. In both compounds, the coordinating ligand ([L]2−) is twisted due to the rotation of the pyrene ring around the CH2-NH bond. In compound 1, the Zn(II) metal ion has a tetrahedral geometry, whereas, in 2, the dinuclear [Cd2(COO)2] moiety acts as a secondary building unit and the Cd(II) ion possesses a distorted octahedral geometry. Recently, several CPs have been explored for the cyanosilylation reaction under conventional conditions, but microwave-assisted cyanosilylation of aldehydes catalyzed by CPs has not yet been well studied. Thus, we have tested the solvent-free microwave-assisted cyanosilylation reactions of different aldehydes, with trimethylsilyl cyanide, using our synthesized compounds, which behave as highly active heterogeneous catalysts. The coordination polymer 1 is more effective than 2, conceivably due to the higher Lewis acidity of the Zn(II) than the Cd(II) center and to a higher accessibility of the metal centers in the former framework. We have also checked the heterogeneity and recyclability of these coordination polymers, showing that they remain active at least after four recyclings.
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1. Introduction

Coordination polymers are commonly viewed as a type of exceedingly popular functional materials because of their charming 1D, 2D and 3D architectures, in addition to their substantial utility in numerous applications, which include molecular sensing, gas storage and separation, etc. [1,2]. These materials can be deliberately designed to produce explicit structures, topologies and intriguing properties, which are of high demand [3].

Among various applications of the synthesized coordination polymers (CPs), catalysis is one of the most important and explored areas of research where they have been employed, often as heterogeneous catalysts [4–6]. This is largely due to their robustness and insoluble nature in most of the common organic solvents. The important organic transformations for which CPs have been developed and employed as heterogeneous catalysts include...
cyanosilylation of aldehydes or ketones [7]. Knoevenagel condensation [8], oxidation of alkanes, alcohols or olefins [9], Henry reaction [10,11], ring-opening of epoxides [12], transesterification [13], cascade [14] reactions, etc.

Furthermore, in the domain of catalysis, cyanosilylation constitutes an important C-C bond formation reaction, leading to cyanohydrins, which are regarded as a class of compounds with interest in chemistry and biology, being broadly applied for the synthesis of plenty of vital compounds, such as α-hydroxy acids and aldehydes and β-amino alcohols [15]. Trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) is one of the mostly used reagents employed for the synthesis of cyanohydrins promoted by the presence of a Lewis acid or base catalyst, due to its facile use which proceeds via nucleophilic addition to carbonyl compounds to generate cyanohydrin trimethylsilyl ethers. Along these lines, the development of a powerful catalyst, which can effectively catalyze such a reaction is an important area of present-day research [16]. Although an array of complexes involving metal ions from d-, f- and p-blocks have been employed as catalysts for such a transformation [17–19], they mostly act in a homogeneous manner, and just a modest number of heterogeneous catalysts have been documented in this direction [20,21].

Other relevant features of a catalytic reaction concern the employed conditions. Although many of the catalytic reactions are being performed under conventional heating, there is an ever-increasing demand for an alternate energy source which will not only be cost-effective but also highly efficient and environmentally friendly. Microwave irradiation (MW) provides a notable technique which can take lesser time to perform a reaction, generate a better yield and selectivity, and is an ideal choice to look for. For a long time, our group has been utilizing this technique for carrying out many catalytic transformations [22]. Recently, various CPs have been explored for cyanosilylation of aldehydes under conventional conditions [23]; however, microwave-assisted cyanosilylation of aldehydes catalyzed by CPs has not yet been significantly studied. Thus, the exploration of appropriate CP based catalysts for the microwave-assisted solvent-free cyanosilylation of aldehydes is a topic of great interest.

In the current study, we synthesized the pro-ligand 5-[(pyren-4-ylmethyl)amino]isophthalic acid (H$_2$L) and constructed the one-dimensional [Zn(µ-1κO$_1$:1κO$_2$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$·n(H$_2$O) (1) and the two-dimensional [Cd(µ$_4$-1κO$_1$O$_2$-2κN:3,kκO$_3$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$·n(H$_2$O) (2) coordination polymers. They have been characterized by FTIR, thermogravimetric, elemental, and single-crystal and powder X-ray diffraction analyses. The insolubility and existence of both Lewis acid and basic (amine) centers in these CPs makes them favorable materials for bifunctional heterogeneous catalysis. Thus, we tested their heterogeneous catalytic activity towards the solvent-free microwave-assisted cyanosilylation reaction of different aldehydes under mild conditions.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and Characterization

The solvothermal reaction of H$_2$L with Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O in ethanol and NH$_4$OH leads to the formation of the coordination polymer [Zn(µ-1κO$_1$:1κO$_2$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$·n(H$_2$O) (1) [L = 5-[(pyren-4-ylmethyl)amino]isophthalate]. Upon performing the solvothermal reaction using Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O in the presence of DMF and water mixture, we obtained the coordination polymer [Cd(µ$_4$-1κO$_1$O$_2$-2κN:3,kκO$_3$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2$]$_n$·n(H$_2$O) (2) (Figure 1). In the FTIR spectra, the characteristic strong bands for the asymmetric stretching of coordinated carboxylate groups of 1 and 2 appear at 1640–1641 cm$^{-1}$ and the symmetric stretching is observed at 1350–1370 cm$^{-1}$. The C=C stretching frequencies of the aromatic rings are present in the 1561–1572 cm$^{-1}$ and 1411–1421 cm$^{-1}$ regions. The band attributed to the secondary amine group can be found in the 2914–2934 cm$^{-1}$ region.
Figure 1. Synthesis of coordination polymers 1 and 2 by reactions of H$_2$L and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O, under solvothermal conditions.

2.2. Thermogravimetric Analyses

Thermogravimetric analyses were performed under dinitrogen from room temperature to ca. 800 °C, with a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. Features of the thermal stability of the coordination polymers 1 and 2 are presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Thermogravimetric curves for 1 (A) and 2 (B).

The coordination polymer 1 shows a total weight decrease of 10.1% between 59 and 263 °C, corresponding to the loss of the non-coordinated and coordinated water molecules (calcd: 10.5%). Afterwards it remains stable up to 388 °C and then starts to decompose until 739 °C (Figure 2A). Compound 2 shows a weight loss of 31% in the 65–135 °C temperature range, which is due to the removal of the non-coordinated water molecule (calcd: 3.5%). In the temperature range of 136–210 °C, it loses the coordinated water molecule, equivalent to a weight loss of 3.2% (calcd: 3.5%). However, above this temperature, the framework remains stable up to 364 °C and then starts to decompose until 745 °C (Figure 2B).

2.3. Crystal Structure Analysis

The asymmetric unit of the coordination polymer 1 contains one zinc(II) ion, one L$_2^{2-}$ ligand, two coordinated and one crystallization water molecules (Figure 3A,B). The Zn(II) center presents a distorted tetrahedral environment ($\tau^{4} = 0.91$) [24], and via the deproto- nated L$_2^{2-}$ ligand, it generates a 1D polymeric chain (Figure 3C). The metal ion binds two carboxylate oxygen atoms from two L$_2^{2-}$ units [Zn1-O2 2.007(17) Å and Zn1-O3 1.970(16) Å] and two water molecules [Zn1-O5 2.020(2) Å and Zn1-O6 2.025(2) Å]; the O–Zn–O bond angles fall within the range of 103.0(7)$^\circ$ to 119.7(9)$^\circ$. The pyrene and isophthalate ring of the ligand are almost perpendicular with a dihedral angle of 73.34$^\circ$. The observed non-planarity of the ligand is due to the relative twisting of the CH$_2$-NH group, and the...
C4-N1-C9-C10 torsional angle is 163.21°. The carboxylate groups, working in a monodentate fashion, are nearly in the plane of the isophthalate ring (dihedral angles of 8.53° and 12.92°). The distance between two symmetry related Zn(II) ions in a chain is 10.239 Å, which is considerably larger than the shortest intermolecular distance of 5.412 Å between two metal ions in vicinal chains.

Figure 3. (A) Molecular structure of framework 1. (B) Schematic representation of framework 1. (C) Hydrogen bonded 1D chain of framework 1.

The 1D chains of coordination polymer 1 are hydrogen bonded through several O-H⋯O interactions between the coordinated water molecules and the carboxylate-O atoms, forming a two-dimensional hydrogen bonded network. Moreover, these 2D hydrogen bonded networks are further connected via π⋯π interactions (between pyrene rings) with a distance of 3.489 Å (Supplementary Materials Figure S1A), N-H⋯π interactions (between amine NH and pyrene ring, d\textsubscript{D-π} 3.644 Å, Supplementary Materials Figure S1B) and C-H⋯π interactions (between pyrene CH and pyrene ring, d\textsubscript{D-π} 3.451 Å), which expand the structure to the third dimension.

The asymmetric unit of [Cd(μ\textsubscript{4}-1κ\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}2κN:3,4κO\textsubscript{3}-L)(H\textsubscript{2}O)]\textsubscript{n} \cdot n(H\textsubscript{2}O) (2) contains one Cd(II) ion, one L\textsuperscript{2−} ligand and one of each coordinated and non-coordinated water molecules (Figure 4A,B). The distorted octahedral coordination environment of Cd(II) ion is occupied by four carboxylate-O from three adjacent L\textsuperscript{2−} ligands [Cd1-O1 2.228(2), Cd1-O1′ 2.451(3), Cd1-O3 2.343(2), Cd1-O4 2.401(3)] and one amine NH from a L\textsuperscript{2−} ligand [Cd1-N1 2.470(3)], and the remaining site is engaged with the water molecule [Cd1-O5 2.190(3)]. The O–Cd–O bond angles are within the range of 54.45(9)° to 173.60(9)°. In this coordination polymer, the amine NH participates in the metal coordination, which we have not observed in other coordination polymers. The deprotonated L\textsuperscript{2−} ligand coordinates simultaneously to four metal ions, with two of them linked by a bridging-chelate carboxylate group, another one where carboxylate is in a chelate-monodentate mode, and the remaining one by the amine NH group.
as a model substrate, trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) as the silylating agent and the CP 1 or 2 as catalyst, and various reaction conditions like solvent, temperature and catalyst amount, were optimized.

2.4. Catalytic Activity Studies

Taking advantage of the high thermal stability and insolubility in most of the common organic solvents of the coordination polymers 1 and 2, we tested them as heterogeneous catalysts for the cyanosilylation reaction of different aldehydes under microwave-assisted solvent-free conditions (Scheme 1). To begin with, benzaldehyde was taken as a model substrate, trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) as the silylating agent and the CP 1 or 2 as catalyst, and various reaction conditions like solvent, temperature and catalyst amount, were optimized.
was identified by 1H NMR spectroscopy (Supplementary Materials Figure S4), and the microwave irradiation (5 W), for 1.5 h, under solvent-free conditions. The desired product mol%) was placed in a Pyrex tube covered with a Teflon cap and stirred at 50 °C, under solvent-free conditions. The desired product was identified by 1H NMR spectroscopy (Supplementary Materials Figure S4), and the obtained reaction yields are within 18–23% (entries 22–24, Table 1). Thus, we checked the cyanosilylation reaction with zinc nitrate, cadmium nitrate and the free ligand (H2L) and the obtained reaction yields are within 18–23% (entries 22–24, Table 1). We also optimized the optimal catalyst amount was considered as 2 mol% (relative to the aldehyde). Increasing the reaction temperature from 25 to 50 °C results in an increase to 97% or 85% for compound 1 or 2 as catalyst, respectively. These observations are consistent with the optimal reaction conditions for the cyanosilylation of the aldehyde with TMSCN (entries 13 and 2, Table 1), but an additional blank test was performed with benzaldehyde and TMSCN without any catalyst or solvent, and resulted in only 10% yield of the final product after 1.5 h (entry 21, Table 1). We also carried out the cyanosilylation reaction at different temperatures, to examine the effect of temperature. We obtained only 32% or 25% of product yield upon performing the reaction at room temperature (25 °C) (entry 15 or 18, Table 1), using the metal.

We carried out the reactions in the presence of different solvents, such as MeOH, CHCl3, CH3CN or THF, and in the absence of any solvent. Solvent-free conditions show the maximum catalytic activity, leading to a product yield of 97% or 85% for coordination polymer 1 or 2, respectively (entries 1 and 2, Table 1). The use of other solvents leads to lower yields in the range of 57–81% for compound 1 (entries 3–6, Table 1 and Figure 5B) and 41–76% for compound 2 (entries 7–10, Table 1 and Figure 5B). Performing the reaction in CH3CN gives the lowest yield of 57% or 41% for compound 1 or 2, respectively. These observations are consistent with the competition of the solvent with the aldehyde substrate for the coordination to the metal.

Table 1. Cyanosilylation of benzaldehyde with trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) with coordination polymer 1 or 2 as catalyst a.

| Entry | Catalyst | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst Amount (mol%) | Solvent | Yield b (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------|
| 1     | 1        | 50               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 97          |
| 2     | 2        | 50               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 85          |
| 3     | 1        | 50               | 2.0                    | MeOH    | 78          |
| 4     | 1        | 50               | 2.0                    | CHCl3   | 67          |
| 5     | 1        | 50               | 2.0                    | CH3CN   | 57          |
| 6     | 1        | 50               | 2.0                    | THF     | 81          |
| 7     | 2        | 50               | 2.0                    | MeOH    | 55          |
| 8     | 2        | 50               | 2.0                    | CHCl3   | 43          |
| 9     | 2        | 50               | 2.0                    | CH3CN   | 41          |
| 10    | 2        | 50               | 2.0                    | THF     | 76          |
| 11    | 1        | 50               | 1.0                    | Solvent free | 52          |
| 12    | 1        | 50               | 5.0                    | Solvent free | 98          |
| 13    | 2        | 50               | 1.0                    | Solvent free | 30          |
| 14    | 2        | 50               | 5.0                    | Solvent free | 85          |
| 15    | 1        | 25               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 32          |
| 16    | 1        | 40               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 81          |
| 17    | 1        | 60               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 96          |
| 18    | 2        | 25               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 25          |
| 19    | 2        | 40               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 80          |
| 20    | 2        | 60               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 84          |
| 21    | Blank    | 50               | -                      | Solvent free | 10          |
| 22    | H2L      | 50               | 2.0                    | Solvent free | 18          |
| 23    | Zn(NO3)2·6H2O | 50           | 2.0                | Solvent free | 28          |
| 24    | Cd(NO3)2·4H2O | 50            | 2.0                  | Solvent free | 23          |

a Reaction conditions (unless stated otherwise): benzaldehyde (1 mmol), 2.0 mol% (relative to the aldehyde) of catalyst, trimethylsilyl cyanide (250 µL, 2 mmol), 1.5 h reaction time, at 50 °C. b Calculated by 1H-NMR as the number of moles of final product 2-phenyl-2-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)acetonitrile per mole of aldehyde X 100.
Cyanosilylation of different substituted aldehydes with trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN)

Table 1. Cyanosilylation of benzaldehyde with trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) with coordination polymer 1 (red pillar) and 2 (green pillar).

| Entry | Catalyst | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst Amount | Solvent | Yield (%) |
|-------|----------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|
| 1     | CP 1     | 50               | 2.0             | CHCl₃   | 43        |
| 2     | CP 2     | 50               | 2.0             | MeOH    | 55        |
| 3     | CP 2     | 50               | 2.0             | THF     | 81        |
| 4     | CP 2     | 50               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 98 |
| 5     | CP 1     | 50               | 1.0             | Solvent free | 52 |
| 6     | CP 2     | 50               | 5.0             | Solvent free | 85 |
| 7     | CP 2     | 50               | 1.0             | Solvent free | 30 |
| 8     | CP 2     | 60               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 84 |
| 9     | CP 1     | 40               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 80 |
| 10    | CP 2     | 25               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 25 |
| 11    | CP 1     | 50               | 2.0             | CHCl₃   | 43        |
| 12    | CP 1     | 50               | 2.0             | MeOH    | 55        |
| 13    | CP 1     | 50               | 2.0             | THF     | 81        |
| 14    | CP 1     | 50               | 5.0             | Solvent free | 85 |
| 15    | CP 1     | 50               | 1.0             | Solvent free | 30 |
| 16    | CP 1     | 60               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 84 |
| 17    | CP 1     | 40               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 80 |
| 18    | CP 1     | 25               | 2.0             | Solvent free | 25 |

In order to optimize the catalyst loading, we changed the catalyst amount from 1.0 mol% and observed a high enhancement of the product yield from 52 to 97% for 1 (entries 11 and 1, Table 1) or from 30 to 85% for 2 (entries 13 and 2, Table 1), but an additional increase of that amount (up to 5%) resulted only in an almost undetected yield improvement for 1 (entry 12, Table 1) and in no yield change for 2 (entry 14, Table 1). Thus, the optimal catalyst amount was considered as 2 mol% (relative to the aldehyde).

We also carried out the cyanosilylation reaction at different temperatures, to examine the effect of temperature. We obtained only 32% or 25% of product yield upon performing the reaction at room temperature (25 °C) (entry 15 or 18, Table 1), using 1 or 2 as catalyst, respectively. Increasing the reaction temperature from 25 to 50 °C results in an yield increase to 97% or 85% for 1 or 2 (entry 1 or 2), respectively. Further increase in the temperature to 60 °C did not improve the reaction yield (entries 17 and 20, Table 1).

A blank test was performed with benzaldehyde and TMSCN without any catalyst and resulted in only 10% yield of the final product after 1.5 h (entry 21, Table 1). We also checked the cyanosilylation reaction with zinc nitrate, cadmium nitrate and the free ligand (H₂L) and the obtained reaction yields are within 18–23% (entries 22–24, Table 1). Thus, the optimized reaction conditions for the cyanosilylation of the aldehyde with TMSCN concern 2 mol% of the coordination polymer catalyst at 50 °C without any solvent. Under the optimized reaction conditions our coordination polymers 1 and 2 produce 97% and 85% of final product yields after 1.5 h under microwave irradiation, respectively. They correspond to a turnover number (TON) of 48.5 and 42.5, and a turnover frequency (TOF) of 32.3 and 28.3 h⁻¹.

After further spreading the reaction time to 2.5 h, the final product yield increased up to 99% in the case of 1 or 87% for compound 2, but the TOF value decreases markedly (from 32.3 h⁻¹ to 19.4 h⁻¹ for 1 and from 28.3 h⁻¹ to 17.0 h⁻¹ for 2). The yield vs. time plots...
for the solvent-free cyanosilylation reaction of benzaldehyde and TMSCN catalyzed by 1 or 2 is presented in Figure 5A. We also performed the same reaction under normal heating conditions, instead of microwave, and the product yield was only 87% for compound 1 after 1.5 h, and it took 3 h to reach 99% (Figure 5B). Thus, the use of microwave irradiation accelerated the reaction quite significantly.

We also tested the activity of our catalysts 1 and 2 towards different substituted aromatic aldehydes. The aromatic aldehydes containing strong electron-withdrawing groups, such as nitro, bromo and chloro, exhibit the highest yields in the range of 95–99% for 1 and 89–96% for 2 (entries 1–4, Table 2). In contrast, the aldehydes containing electron-donating substituent, such as methyl or methoxy, display lower yields 58–75% (entries 7 and 8, Table 2). The meta- and para-hydroxy substituted aldehydes show moderate reactivity and produce the corresponding cyanohydrin derivatives within the yield range of 67–91% (entries 5 and 6, Table 2), being also above the corresponding yields for the aldehydes with electron-donor substituents. These behaviors are in accord with the expected effect of the substituent on the electrophilic character of the aldehyde CHO carbon to undergo attack by the cyano group of TMSCN.

Table 2. Cyanosilylation of different substituted aldehydes with trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) with catalyst 1 or 2.

| Entry | Reactant                   | Yield (%) with 1 | Yield (%) with 2 |
|-------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1     | 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde        | >99              | 96               |
| 2     | 3-Nitrobenzaldehyde        | 98               | 95               |
| 3     | 4-Bromobenzaldehyde        | >99              | 94               |
| 4     | 4-Chlorobenzaldehyde       | 95               | 89               |
| 5     | 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde      | 91               | 75               |
| 6     | 3-Hydroxybenzaldehyde      | 88               | 67               |
| 7     | 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde      | 75               | 64               |
| 8     | 4-Methylbenzaldehyde       | 71               | 58               |

* Reaction conditions: aldehyde (1 mmol), trimethylsilyl cyanide (250 µL, 2 mmol), 2.0 mol% of catalyst 1 or 2 for 1.5 h at 50 °C. b Calculated by 1H NMR.

The recyclability of the catalysts 1 and 2 was also tested. At the end of a reaction cycle, the catalyst was separated by centrifugation, washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and dried before recycling it. It could be successfully recycled at least for four consecutive cycles, without considerably losing its activity, as shown in Figure 6B.

To check the leaching and heterogeneity of our catalysts, we separated the catalyst by centrifugation after 0.5 h and kept the catalyst-free reaction mixture under the same environment for 1 h more. After elimination of the solid catalyst 1 or 2 from the reaction mixture, no perceptible rise in the yield was observed (Figure 6A, blue and green dotted lines), which substantiates the absence of leaching and the heterogeneous nature of the catalyst. FTIR and powder X-ray diffraction analyses of the catalyst before and after the catalysis reaction were performed, to check the structural integrity of our catalysts 1 and 2, and no significant changes in their patterns were observed, as shown in Supplementary Materials Figure S2 and S3. These results support that the structures of the catalysts 1 and 2 remain intact after the catalytic reaction.
5 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde 91 75 
6 3-Hydroxybenzaldehyde 88 67 
7 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde 75 64 
8 4-Methylbenzaldehyde 71 58 

*a Reaction conditions: aldehyde (1 mmol), trimethylsilyl cyanide (250 μL, 2 mmol), 2.0 mol% of catalyst 1 or 2 for 1.5 h at 50 °C. b Calculated by 1H NMR.

The recyclability of the catalysts 1 and 2 was also tested. At the end of a reaction cycle, the catalyst was separated by centrifugation, washed with CH2Cl2 and dried before recycling it. It could be successfully recycled at least for four consecutive cycles, without considerably losing its activity, as shown in Figure 6B.

**Figure 6.** (A) Plots of product yield vs. time for the solvent-free cyanosilylation reaction, catalyzed by 1 (purple line) and 2 (red line) (blue dotted line indicates the catalyst 1 removal after 0.5 h and the green dotted line concerns the catalyst 2 removal after 0.5 h). (B) Effect of the catalyst recycling on the final product yield (blue pillars for compound 1 and brown pillars for compound 2).

A comparison of the catalytic activity, towards the cyanosilylation of aldehydes with TMSCN, of our catalyst 1 with other reported coordination polymers is shown in Table 3. Our catalyst appears to be more efficient for such a reaction. For example, the cyanosilylation reaction of benzaldehyde and TMSCN at 50 °C, under solvent-free conditions catalyzed by [Pr(3,5-DSB)(Phen)]n produce a yield of 78% after 3 h reaction time (entry 2, Table 3) [25]. The heterometallic CP [{Co0.6Ni1.4(H2O)2(Bpe)2}(V4O12)]·4H2O·Bpe gives a yield of 77%, at 50 °C, for 16 h, under solvent-free conditions (entry 3, Table 3) [26]. Another heterometallic coordination polymer, [NaCu(2,4-HPdc)(2,4-Pdc)], catalyzes the same reaction also under solvent-free conditions, for 24 h reaction time, producing an yield of 85% (entry 4, Table 3) [27]. Moreover, the mononuclear Sn(II) complex of 3-amino-2-pyrazinecarboxylate gives a reaction yield of 75%, at 50 °C, after 4 h (entry 5, Table 3) [28]. In this context, our catalyst 1 also leads to a 97% yield under solvent-free condition, at 50 °C, after 1.5 h reaction time (entry 1, Table 3). Therefore, our catalyst produces a higher product yield, in a shorter time, under solvent-free conditions.
| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent/Temp/Time | Aldehyde | Yield (%) | Reference |
|-------|----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | 1        | Solvent free/50 °C/1.5 h | Benzaldehyde | 97        | This work |
| 2     | [Pr(3,5-DSB)(Phen)]₄ | Solvent free/50 °C/3 h | Benzaldehyde | 78        | [23]      |
| 3     | [CoₓNi₁₋₄(H₂O)₂(Bpe)₂][V₄O₁₂]·4H₂O·Bpe | Solvent free/50 °C/16 h | Benzaldehyde | 77        | [24]      |
| 4     | [NaCu(2,4-HPdc)(2,4-Pdc)] | Solvent free/50 °C/24 h | Benzaldehyde | 85        | [25]      |
| 5     | [Sn(L₁)₂] | Solvent free/50 °C/4 h | Benzaldehyde | 75        | [26]      |
| 6     | 1        | THF/50 °C/1.5 h | Benzaldehyde | 81        | This work |
| 7     | [Pb(L₁)₂]₂ | DCM/50 °C/6 h | Benzaldehyde | 97        | [27]      |
| 8     | [Cu₃(benzenetricarboxylate)₂]₄ | pentane/40 °C/72 h | Benzaldehyde | 57        | [28]      |
| 9     | [Cd₂(1,4-NDC)₂(DMF)₂]₄ | Tolene/50 °C/72 h | 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde | 49 | [29] |
| 10    | [[Zn(dpe)(µ-OOCCH₃)₂][H₂O]]₉ | DCM/RT/24 h | Benzaldehyde | 14        | [30]      |
| 11    | O₂CCH₂CH₃₄₁[(ClO₄)₂(4,4'-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄]₁₈ | DCM/RT/24 h | Benzaldehyde | 22        | [31]      |

3,5-DSB = 3,5-disulfobenzoic acid; Phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; L₁ = 3-amino-2-pyrazinecarboxylate; Bpe = 1,4-NDC = 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylate; 2,4-H₂Pdc = pyridine-2,4-dicarboxylic acid; dpe = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethene; 4,4'-bpy = 4,4'-bipyridine.
Moreover, our catalyst 1 also shows a high efficiency in comparison to other reported coordination polymers, upon performing the cyanosilylation of benzaldehyde with TMSCN in the presence of a solvent (yield of 81%, in THF at 50 °C after 1.5 h, entry 6, Table 3). For example, the dinuclear Pb(II)-3-amino-2-pyrazinecarboxylate complex produces the reaction yield of 97%, at 50 °C, in DCM, after 6 h, a higher reaction time than our catalyst (entry 7, Table 3) [29]. An overall reaction yield of 57% after 48 h at 40 °C was obtained by using the 3D [Cu3(benzenetricarboxylate)]n MOF as catalyst (entry 8, Table 3) [30]. The 3D coordination polymer [Cd2(1,4-NDC)2(DMF)]n catalyzes the cyanosilylation of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde with an overall yield of 49% after 72 h at 50 °C (entry 9, Table 3) [31]. Moreover, the Zn(II) coordination polymers [[Zn(dpe)(μ-OOCCH₃)]₂(H₂O)]n and [[Zn₃(4,4'-bpy)₄(μ-O₂CCH₂CH₃)₄]ClO₄]₂(4,4'-bpy)₂(H₂O)₄]n produce an yield of 14% and 22% upon performing the reaction in DCM, at room temperature, after 24 h, respectively (entries 10 and 11, Table 3) [32,33].

Based on our previous reports, a similar plausible catalytic reaction mechanism is proposed, wherein a Zn(II) center activates the aldehyde carbonyl group and trimethylsilyl cyanide with promotion of the nucleophilic attack of the CN group to the carbonyl carbon, leading to the construction of a C-C bond and thus to the cyanohydrin.

3. Materials and Methods

The synthesis of the ligand and coordination polymers were performed at a relatively high temperature. The chemicals were used as received from commercial sources. Bruker Vertex 70 instrument was used to record the FTIR spectra (4000–400 cm⁻¹) in KBr pellets. The ¹H NMR spectra for the ligand were recorded at room temperature, on a Bruker Avance II + 300 (UltraShield™ Magnet) spectrometer, operating at 300.130 MHz, and the chemical shifts are reported in ppm, using tetramethylsilane as the internal reference. TGA was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer instrument system (STA6000), at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, under a dinitrogen atmosphere. C, H and N elemental analyses for the coordination polymers were carried out by the Microanalytical Service of the Instituto Superior Técnico.

A D8 Advance Bruker AXS (Bragg Brentano geometry) diffractometer, with Cu-radiation (Cu Kα, λ = 1.5406 Å), was used to collect powder X-ray data (PXRD), operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. The typical data-collection range was between 5° and 40°, and a flat plate configuration was used.

3.1. Synthesis of 5-{(pyren-4-ylmethyl)amino}isophthalic Acid (H₂L)

The pro-ligand H₂L was synthesized, using a two-step reaction. In the first step, 1-pyrene-carboxaldehyde (0.576 g, 2.5 mmol) and 5-aminoisophthalic acid (0.453 g, 2.5 mmol) were placed in a round bottom flask, and then dry methanol (25 mL) was added. After stirring overnight at room temperature, a yellow suspension was obtained. The solution was filtered, and the yellow solid was collected on filter paper, which was further washed several times, using fresh methanol. The obtained compound was dried in air and used in next step, without purification.

In the second step, the isolated compound (1.58 g, 4 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of methanol, and NaBH₄ was gradually added to the suspension, until a colorless solution was obtained. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was left, stirring overnight, at room temperature. Upon completion, the methanol was evaporated, and 10 mL water was added into it, followed by the acidification until pH = 2, with a diluted solution of HCl. The obtained white solid product H₂L was isolated by filtration and thoroughly washed with water. Yield: 89%. FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3843 (wbr), 3381 (s), 1600 (s, νasym C=O), 1542 (s, ν C=C), 1425 (s, νsym C=O), 1330 (s), 1271 (s), 1244 (s), 1137 (s), 1127 (s), 1083 (s), 997 (s), 964 (w), 950 (w), 889 (s), 759 (s), 711 (s), 694 (s); ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d⁶): δ 8.48–8.45 (1H, m, Ar-H), 8.31–8.26 (4H, m, Ar-H), 8.25–8.15 (4H, m, Ar-H), 7.74 (1H, s, Ar-H), 7.43 (2H, s, Ar-H), 6.78 (1H, s, N-H), 5.05 (2H, s, –CH₂). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₅H₁₉NO₄ (M = 397.42): C, 75.55; H, 4.82; N, 3.52. Found: C, 75.52; H, 4.65; N, 3.61.
3.2. Synthesis of the Coordination Polymer $[\text{Zn}(\text{L})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]_n\cdot n(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (1)

A mixture of H$_2$L (10 mg, 0.025 mmol) and Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (7.4 mg, 0.025 mmol) in 2 mL EtOH was prepared, and afterwards, 0.5 mL of NH$_4$OH (30% aqueous solution) was added. This mixture was then put into an 8 mL glass vessel, sealed and heated at 75°C, for 48 h. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, giving colorless crystals of 1. FTIR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) 3381 (mbr), 2914 (w), 1640 (s, $\nu_{asym}$ C=O), 1561 (s, $\nu$ C=C), 1504 (w), 1421 (s, $\nu$ C=C), 1352 (s, $\nu_{sym}$ C=O), 1322 (m), 1274 (m), 1199 (s), 1109 (w), 1076 (w), 979 (w), 846 (s), 828 (s), 781 (m), 712 (w). Anal. Calcd. for C$_{25}$H$_{21}$NO$_7$Zn (M = 512.80): C, 58.55; H, 4.13; N, 2.73. Found: C, 58.23; H, 3.86; N, 2.42.

3.3. Synthesis of the Coordination Polymer $[\text{Cd}(\text{L})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]_n\cdot n(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (2)

Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (8 mg, 0.025 mmol) and H$_2$L (10 mg, 0.025 mmol) were dissolved in 2 mL of DMF:H$_2$O (2:1, v/v) mixture, and the obtained system was sealed in a capped glass vessel and heated at 75°C, for 48 h. The mixture was then cooled gradually, and colorless crystals of the coordination polymer 2 were obtained. FTIR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$) 3320 (mbr), 2934 (w), 1641 (s, $\nu_{asym}$ C=O), 1572 (s, $\nu$ C=C), 1531(s), 1411 (s, $\nu_{sym}$ C=O), 1370 (s, $\nu_{sym}$ C=O), 1284 (s), 1148 (w), 1080 (w), 1106 (m), 906 (w), 840 (s), 802 (s), 779 (s), 673 (m). Anal. Calcd. for C$_{25}$H$_{21}$NO$_7$CdNO$_3$·6H$_2$O (M = 539.82): C, 55.62; H, 3.17; N, 2.59. Found: C, 55.33; H, 3.15; N, 2.78.

3.4. Procedure for the Cyanosilylation Reaction of Aldehydes

A mixture of an aromatic aldehyde (1 mmol), trimethylsilyl cyanide (250 μL, 2 mmol) and 2.0 mol% catalyst (10.2 mg of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O or 10.8 mg of Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) was placed in a Pyrex tube. The tube was then put in the microwave reactor, and the reaction mixture was left, stirring under MW irradiation (5 W), at 50°C. After the desired time, the catalyst was then separated by centrifugation, and the reaction mixture was evaporated, using a rotary evaporator. Then the final product was dissolved in CDCl$_3$ and analyzed by $^1$H NMR (Supplementary Materials Figure S4).

3.5. Crystal Structure Determinations

X-ray quality single crystals of the coordination polymers 1 and 2 were placed in cryo-oil and mounted in a nylon loop, and the data collection was done by using a Bruker APEX-II PHOTON 100 diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo-Kα ($\lambda$ 0.71069) radiation, at room temperature. Phi and omega scans (0.5° per frame) were used for the data collection, and a full sphere of data was obtained. Bruker SMART [34] software was used to obtain cell parameters and refined the data by using Bruker SAINT [34] on all the observed reflections. By using the SADABS [35] program, the absorption corrections were performed. The crystal structures were solved by using the SIR 97 program and refined with SHELXL-2014/6 [36]. Calculations were performed, using the WinGX System-Version 2014.1 [37]. The H-atoms attached to C- and N-atoms were introduced at geometrically calculated positions and encompassed in the refinement, using the riding-model approximation; $U_{iso}$(H) were defined as 1.2$U_{eq}$ of the parent atoms for phenyl and 1.5$U_{eq}$ of the parent atoms for the O- and N-atoms. Least-square refinements with anisotropic thermal motion parameters for all the non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic ones for the residual atoms were employed. In Supplementary Materials Table S1, the crystallographic data are summarized; in Supplementary Materials Table S2, selected bond distances and angles are presented; and the hydrogen bonding interactions are presented in Supplementary Materials Table S3. The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) codes 2057313 and 2057314 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

4. Conclusions

We synthesized and characterized two new coordination polymers, namely $[\text{Zn}(\mu_1$-1k$^1$O$^3$-1k$^2$O$^3$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2]_n\cdot n(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (1) and $[\text{Cd}(\mu_4$-1k$^1$O$^3$-2k$^2$N-3k$^3$O$^3$-L)(H$_2$O)$_2]_n\cdot n(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (2), constructed from 5-{(pyren-4-ylmethyl)amino}isophthalic acid (H$_2$L) with Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O.
and Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O, respectively, under solvothermal reaction conditions. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses revealed that the coordination polymer 1 features a one-dimensional linear structure, whereas 2 presents a two-dimensional network. The presence of Lewis acid (metal ion) and basic (amine group) centers, as well as the insolubility in organic solvents, makes these CPs suitable for heterogeneous catalysis.

Thus, we tested the catalytic activities of these synthesized coordination polymers towards the cyanosilylation reaction of various aldehydes with TMSCN, under microwave irradiation. They show a high activity for such a reaction under solvent-free conditions, mainly the Zn(II)-coordination polymer (1), which is more active than the Cd(II) one (2), what may reflect the higher Lewis acidity of the Zn(II) center in the former than that of the Cd(II) site in the latter. However, the simpler 1D nature of 1 with more accessible metal centers, in comparison with the two-dimensional coordination polymer 2, can be another reason behind the higher catalytic efficiency of 1. We also demonstrated the heterogeneous nature, the stability and recyclability of the catalysts 1 and 2. They can be recycled at least four times, without losing activity appreciably. Moreover, the use of microwave irradiation in such a reaction reduces the reaction time significantly. This work provides further indication that coordination polymers constructed from simple ligands, bearing both Lewis acid and basic centers, can be applied as efficient heterogeneous catalysts in important types of reactions, such as that investigated herein.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Figure S1: (A) π⋯π interactions (between pyrene rings) in coordination polymer 1, Figure S2: FT-IR spectra of catalysts 1 (A) and 2 (B) before (black) and after (red) the cyanosilylation reaction, Figure S3: PXRD spectra of catalysts 1 (A) and 2 (B) simulate (black), before (red) and after (blue) the cyanosilylation reaction, Figure S4: $^1$H-NMR spectra of solvent-free cyanosilylation of benzaldehyde with catalysts 1 (A) and 2 (B) in CDCl$_3$ (entries 1 and 2, Table 1) (The protons are considered in the integrations are indicated in red colour), Table S1: Crystal data and structure refinement details for compounds 1-2, Table S2: Hydrogen bond geometry (Å, °) in compounds 1-2, Table S3: Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for compounds 1-2.
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