Association between gestation length and lactation performance, lactation curve, calf birth weight and dystocia in Holstein dairy cows in Iran

Hadi Atashi¹, Anise Asaadi²

¹Shiraz University, Department of Animal Science, Shiraz, Iran
²Shiraz University, School of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Clinical Science, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract

In this study, 252,798 lactations on 108,077 cows in 433 herds were used to determine the association between gestation length (GL) and lactation performance, lactation curve, calf birth weight and dystocia in Holstein dairy cows in Iran. The GL averaged 278.1 ± 5.41 d, was categorized as short (SGL; at 1 SD below the population mean), average (AGL; the population mean ± 1 SD), or long (LGL; at least 1 SD above the population mean). Factors including parity, calf gender and calving season were associated with the GL. Primiparous cows with SGL had less lactation performance than those with longer GL; however, there was no difference between those with AGL and LGL. Multiparous cows with longer GL always had more partial and 305-d lactation performance. Primiparous cows with SGL produced less milk at the beginning of lactation and at the peak than those with AGL or LGL; inverse trends were found for lactation persistency, upward and downward slopes of the lactation curve. Within multiparous, a direct relationship was found between GL and the peak yield, where cows with longer GL always produced more milk at the peak. Multiparous cows with SGL produced less milk at the beginning of lactation, reached their peaks later, had higher lactation persistency and showed a lower upward slope of the lactation curve than those with AGL or LGL. There was a direct relationship between GL and calf birth weight, where cows with longer GL had calves with more weight at the birth. Within primiparous, cows with SGL had the lowest and those with LGL had the highest rate of dystocia. However, multiparous cows with AGL had a lower rate of dystocia than those with SGL or LGL. Although there was a direct relationship between GL and lactation performance, intermediate GL seems optimal when considering dystocia.
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Introduction

Gestation length (GL), defined as the interval in days between breeding and calving, is closely related to the reproductive period (Silveira et al., 2015). The GL and factors affecting it have been investigated extensively in dairy cows and it was confirmed that different breeds have different GL (Silva et al., 1992; Johanson and Berger, 2003; Norman et al., 2009; Chud et al., 2014). There are a number of factors identified to impact GL such as genetics, month of conception (or calving), age and parity of the dam, calf gender, litter size (single vs. double), the number of days in milk, and the level of milk production (Silva et al., 1992; Nogalski and Piwczyński, 2012; Tomasek et al., 2017). Although the GL is not included in genetic evaluations in most countries, several studies have confirmed that genetic variation for GL is large enough to change it through selection (Chud et al., 2014; Silveira et al., 2015; Lacerda et al., 2018; Haile-Mariam and Pryce, 2019). The GL is associated with a number of economically important traits, including lactation performance, productive life, health traits, calving ease and calf mortality (Hansen et al., 2004; Vieira-Neto et al., 2017; Haile-Mariam and Pryce, 2019). It has shown that longer GL is associated with higher calf birth weight and a higher rate of dystocia which can result in a higher rate of calf and cow mortality and longer postpartum interval (Meijering 1984; Hansen et al., 2004; Chud et al., 2014; Jamrozik and Miller, 2014). Thus, although GL can be used in the genetic selection process, before making any recommendation to change GL, its possible effects on such traits should be considered (Jenkins et al., 2016). Even though many studies have documented the association between GL and subsequent lactation performance in dairy cattle (Norman et al., 2011; Jenkins et al., 2016; Vieira-Neto et al., 2017), the relationship between GL and lactation performance may be quantified more accurately and in more detail using mathematical models describing the lactation curve. To our knowledge, no study has investigated the association between GL with dam’s subsequent lactation curve in dairy cows. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the association between GL and lactation curve in primiparous and multiparous Holstein cows in Iran. The association of GL with calf birth weight, dystocia, partial and 305-d lactation performance was also investigated.

Methods

All procedures were carried out in accordance to the Shiraz University Guidelines for Animal Handling, and the project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University. Data used in this study were records on Holstein cows collected from January 2005 to December 2014 by the Animal Breeding Center of Iran (Karaj, Iran). The herds evaluated were purebred Holsteins, managed under conditions similar to those used in most developed countries, and were under official performance and pedigree recording. The diet, fed as a TMR, was consisted of corn silage, alfalfa hay, barley grain, fat powder, beet pulp, and...
feed additives. Monthly milk recording was performed by trained technicians of the Iranian Animal Breeding Center, according to the guidelines of the International Committee for Animal Recording (ICAR, 2011). The interval between two subsequent recordings ranged from 22 to 37 days. Almost all cows were milked three times daily (morning, afternoon, and night). Test-day milk record was defined as the sum of production for the 3 milkings, beginning with the morning milking of each recording day. After the estimation of 24-hour fat and protein percentages, 24-hour fat and protein yield were computed by multiplying the milk yield and the protein and fat percent collected on the recording day. The Interpolation method proposed by Sargent et al. (1968), known as the reference method for calculating lactations, was used to estimate 305-d fat and protein yield. Farmers, upon observing parturition, subjectively assigned a calving ease score according to the degree of assistance provided. Recognized dystocia scores were as follows: 1 = no problem, 2 = slight problem, 3 = needed assistance, 4 = needed considerable force and 5 = extreme difficulty. In this study, dystocia scores of 1 or 2 were coded as easy calving, and scores of ≥ 3 were coded as difficult calving. Cows with missed birth date, calving date, breeding date, and parity number were deleted. Cows were required to have a minimum of 5 test-day records per lactation. Tests before 6 d or after 320 d were excluded. First calving age (FCA) was calculated as the difference between birth date and calving date at the first parity and restricted to the range of 540 to 1200 d. Ultimately, the dataset used to describe the lactation curve included 2,279,095 test-day records of 316,541 lactations on 137,206 cows distributed in 433 herds.

To describe the lactation curve, an incomplete gamma function proposed by Wood (1967) was used. The function was as follows: 

\[ y_i = a t e^{-(b/c)} \]

where, \( y_i \) is the daily milk yield (kg/d) at DIM \( t \), the variable \( t \) represents the length of time since calving, \( e \) is the Neper number, \( a \) is a parameter representing yield at the beginning of lactation, \( b \) and \( c \) are factors associated with the upward and downward slopes of the lactation curve, respectively. The incomplete gamma function was transformed logarithmically into a linear form as: 

\[ \ln(y_i) = \ln(a) + \ln(t) - c \]

and fitted to 2,279,095 test-day milk records corresponding to 316,541 lactations using a simple program written in Visual Basic (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA). The time at which peak lactation occurred (\( T_{\text{max}} \)) was defined as: 

\[ T_{\text{max}} = \frac{b}{c} \]

expected maximum yield (\( y_{\text{max}} \)) was calculated as: 

\[ y_{\text{max}} = a \left( \frac{b}{c} \right) e^b \]

lactation persistency (\( s \)) was calculated as: 

\[ s = - \frac{b + 1}{\ln(c)} \]

and total milk yield from the time of calving up to 100, 200, and 305 DIM was calculated as: 

\[ y = a \frac{b}{c} e^{-\frac{b}{c} t} \]

where \( n = 100, 200 \), and 305, respectively.

Typical lactation curve has a positive \( a, b, \) and \( c \), then a curve with negatives \( a, b, \) or \( c \) is considered atypical. Of 316,541 lactations, 63,743 (20.14%) were atypical and were excluded. Finally, 1,997,104 test-day milk records corresponding to 252,798 lactations on 108,077 cows were used to determine the association of GL with lactation performance, lactation curve, calf birth weight and the incidence of dystocia during the subsequent lactation. Gestation length was calculated as the difference between the date of conception and the subsequent calving date and restricted to the range of 250 to 290 d. According to Vieira-Neto et al. (2017), the GL (averaged 278.1 ± 5.41 d) was categorized as short (SGL; at least 1 SD below the population mean), average (AGL; the population mean ± 1 SD), or long (LGL; at least 1 SD above the population mean). Cows were also grouped by parity: primiparous (n = 108,077) and multiparous (n = 144,721) cows.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (SAS Institute, 1999). The normality of distribution of GL, partial and 305-d lactation performance, lactation curve traits and calf birth weight were verified using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The effect of factors on the GL was determined using a mixed linear model through the inclusion of herd-calving year combination (HY), two-way interaction of parity (primiparous vs. multiparous) and calving season, two-way interaction of parity and calf gender, covariate effect of FCA, and random effect of service sire. The effect of GL on the parameters describing lactation curve, partial and 305-d lactation performance was determined using multiple regression mixed models in PROC MIXED of SAS through the inclusion of GL in a two-way interaction with parity (primiparous vs. multiparous), fixed effect of (HYS), fixed effect of calf gender, covariate effect of FCA, and random effect of dam’s sire.

The effect of GL on calf birth weight was determined using the explained model, but the random effect of dam’s sire was replaced with the random effect of service sire. The effect of GL on calving difficulty was investigated using a multivariable logistic regression model through the maximum likelihood method of PROC GENMOD of SAS. In the model, the dependent variable, dystocia score, was 0 for easy and 1 for difficult calving and the independent variables were two-way interaction of parity and GL, herd, calving year, calving season, calf gender, FCA, covariate effect of calf birth weight in each sex category, and random effect of service sire.

### Results

**GL**

The distribution of GL is presented in Table 1. The mean (SD) gestation length was 278.1 (5.41) d. In primiparous cows, 14.21, 70.63 and 15.16% of all GL records were less than 273 (SGL; with mean = 268.6 and SD = 4.44 d), between 273 and 282 (AGL; with mean = 277.6 and SD = 2.64 d), and more than 282 d (LGL; with mean = 284.9 and SD = 1.91 d), respectively. The corresponding values for multiparous cows were 9.39 (SGL; with mean = 268.2 and SD = 4.85 d), 66.77 (AGL; with mean = 278.0 and SD = 2.63 d), and 23.84% (LGL; with mean = 285.1 and SD = 1.98 d), respectively (Table 1).

Factors including parity, calf gender, and calving season were significantly associated with GL. Both primiparous and multiparous cows carrying males had longer GL than those carrying females (P < 0.05). The average (+SE) GL in primiparous cows carrying males and females
was 276.68 (±0.082) and 275.71 (±0.081) d, respectively. The corresponding values for multiparous cows were 277.58 (±0.036) and 276.59 (±0.034) for cows carrying males and females, respectively. In both the primiparous and multiparous cows, the average GL in spring and winter calving was longer than that in summer and autumn calving (P < 0.05). Within primiparous cows, the average GL (±SE) in spring, winter, summer and autumn calving was 276.91 (±0.08), 276.63 (±0.08), 275.97 (±0.08) and 275.28 (±0.08) d, respectively. The corresponding values for multiparous cows were 277.74 (±0.04), 277.47 (±0.04), 276.83 (± 0.04), and 276.30 (± 0.04) d for spring, winter, summer, and autumn calving, respectively.

Association of GL with subsequent lactation performance

The GL influenced subsequent milk, fat, and protein yield (Table 2), nevertheless, an important interaction was found between the GL category and parity. Primiparous cows with SGL produced less partial and 305-d lactation performance than those with AGL or LGL (P < 0.05), but there was no difference between those with AGL and LGL (P > 0.05). Within multiparous cows, there was a direct relationship between GL and lactation performance (Table 2). The 305-d lactation performance as well as 100- and 200-d milk yield in multiparous cows with LGL was higher than in those with AGL (P < 0.05), and in cows with AGL was higher than in those with SGL (P < 0.05).

| Parity  | Gestation length category 1 | Range | Mean (d) | SD (d) | Frequency (no) | Percentage |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|--------|----------------|------------|
| Primiparous | SGL                         | 250-272 | 268.6    | 4.44   | 15361          | 14.21      |
|          | AGL                         | 273-282 | 277.6    | 2.64   | 76331          | 70.63      |
|          | LGL                         | 283-290 | 284.9    | 1.91   | 16385          | 15.16      |
| Multiparous | SGL                        | 250-272 | 268.2    | 4.85   | 13585          | 9.39       |
|          | AGL                         | 273-282 | 278.0    | 2.63   | 96627          | 66.77      |
|          | LGL                         | 283-290 | 285.1    | 1.98   | 34509          | 23.84      |

1Based on gestation length (GL), the cows in each parity were classified into three classes: SGL (more than 1 SD below the population mean), AGL (the population mean ± SD), and LGL (more than 1 SD above the population mean).

| Parity  | GL 2 | 100-d milk 3 (Kg) | 200-d milk 4 (Kg) | 305-d milk 5 (Kg) | 305-d fat (Kg) | 305-d protein (Kg) | Calf birth weight (kg) |
|---------|------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Primiparous | SGL | 2940(6) a | 6002(10) a | 8760(16) a | 252.9(0.62) a | 248.4(0.60) a | 38.80(0.04) a |
|          | AGL | 3020(3) a | 6138(05) a | 8946(08) a | 256.9(0.33) a | 252.2(0.35) a | 40.61(0.03) a |
|          | LGL | 3029(5) a | 6159(10) a | 8982(16) a | 258.1(0.59) a | 252.5(0.60) a | 41.77(0.05) a |
| Multiparous | SGL | 3650(6) a | 6822(11) a | 9242(17) a | 263.5(0.65) a | 259.9(0.65) a | 40.52(0.04) a |
|          | AGL | 3815(3) a | 7164(05) a | 9670(08) a | 268.2(0.33) a | 264.0(0.36) a | 42.89(0.02) a |
|          | LGL | 3848(4) a | 7224(08) a | 9748(12) a | 270.2(0.44) a | 265.2(0.46) a | 44.57(0.03) a |

a,b,c = Least squares means with different superscripts (GL within parity) differ significantly (P < 0.05). 1Based on gestation length (GL), the cows in each parity were classified into three classes: SGL (more than 1 SD below the population mean), AGL (the population mean ± SD), and LGL (more than 1 SD above the population mean). 2Total yield from calving up to DIM of 100 calculated as: y = a ∫ 0 100 e ^(-λt) dt. 3Total yield from calving up to DIM of 200 calculated as: y = a ∫ 0 200 e ^(-λt) dt. 4Total yield from calving up to DIM of 305 calculated as: y = a ∫ 0 305 e ^(-λt) dt.
produced more milk at the peak than those with AGL or SGL, and those with AGL had more peak yield than those with SGL (P < 0.05).

Association of GL with calf birth weight and dystocia

The mean (SD) calf birth weight was 41.72 (5.09) kg. The least squares mean (SE) of male and female calf birth weights was 42.62 (0.02), and 40.44 (0.02) kg, respectively (P < 0.05). The GL affected calf birth weight (Table 2). The average calf birth weight for cows with AGL (40.61 ± 0.03 and 42.89 ± 0.02 kg for primiparous and multiparous cows, respectively) was more than that in those with SGL (38.80 ± 0.04 and 40.52 ± 0.04 kg for primiparous and multiparous cows, respectively) and less than those with LGL (41.77 ± 0.05 and 44.57 ± 0.03 kg for primiparous and multiparous cows, respectively).

Odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals for the effects of calf gender, parity, and GL on the incidence of dystocia are presented in Table 4. The rate of dystocia was 14.78% and was associated with the herd, calving year, calving season, calf gender, calf birth weight, and the two-way interaction of parity and GL (P< 0.05). The rate of dystocia was lower in cows delivering females than those delivering males [12.84 vs. 17.74%, odds ratio (±95% CI) = 0.66 (±0.64-0.68)]. The GL influenced the rate of dystocia (P < 0.05); nevertheless, an important interaction was found between the GL category and parity. There was a linear relationship between GL and dystocia in primiparous cows; however, GL showed a nonlinear relationship with dystocia in multiparous cows (Table 4). The rate of dystocia in primiparous cows with SGL was lower than in cows with AGL [19.80 vs. 20.82%, odds ratio (±95% CI) = 0.88 (±0.84-0.93)], and in cows with LGL was lower than in cows with AGL [12.41 vs. 15.52%, odds ratio (±95% CI) = 0.77 (±0.73-0.81)].
higher than in those with AGL (22.13 vs. 20.82%, odds ratio (±95% CI) = 1.20 (±1.15-1.26)). Within multiparous, the rate of dystocia in cows with SGL (12.45%) and LGL (10.88%) was higher than that in cows with AGL (9.69%) [odds ratio (±95% CI) = 1.27 (±1.19-1.35) and 1.21 (±1.21-1.26) for SGL and LGL vs. AGL, respectively].

## Discussion

The average GL in Holstein cows in Iran was 278.1 d, shorter than those reported by Nadarajah et al. (1989) 281.3 d, Silva et al. (1992) 280 d, and Norman et al. (2009) 281.6 d. The average GL in Danish (Hansen et al., 2004) and American Holstein (Johanson and Berger, 2003) was 278.5 and 277.9 d, respectively. Factors including parity of the dam, calf gender and calving season were significantly associated with GL in a close agreement with previous studies (Nadarajah et al., 1989; Silva et al., 1992; Hansen et al., 2004; Nogalski and Piwczyński, 2012). Both primiparous and multiparous cows carrying males had longer GL than those carrying females. The average GL in cows carrying males was 1.1 (Hansen et al., 2004) and 1.8 d (Nogalski and Piwczyński, 2012) longer than that in those carrying females.

Primiparous cows with SGL produced less partial and 305-d lactation performance than those with longer gestation length; however, there was no difference between those with AGL and LGL. There was a direct relationship between GL and lactation performance within multiparous cows, while cows with longer GL always had more lactation performance. Vieira-Neto et al. (2017) reported that primiparous cows with longer GL produced more milk than those with SGL or LGL, while multiparous cows with longer GL always produced more daily milk. Norman et al. (2011) found that multiparous cows with longer GL produced more milk, fat, and protein. However, Jenkins et al. (2016) reported that cows with either short or long GL produced less milk, fat, and protein than those with an average GL. The association between GL and lactation performance can be partly explained by this fact that the greatest increase in the mass of parenchymal tissue occurs in late pregnancy (Davis, 2017); therefore, shorter the GL, less the mammary cells, and subsequently less the milk yield. In addition, it may be concluded that multiparous cows with a longer GL would have a longer dry period and more time for udder tissue recovery which can result in a higher partial and 305-d lactation performance (Norman et al., 2011; Atashi et al., 2013; Atashi et al., 2013) reported that cows with a dry period of 51 to 60 d produced 1,212, (primiparous) and 1,166 (multiparous) kg more 305-d milk, during the next lactation than those with a dry period of ≤35 d.

Factors affecting the parameters of lactation curve have been extensively investigated in dairy cattle (Hansen et al., 2006; Atashi et al., 2012). However, to our knowledge, this is the first report to document the association of gestation length and the parameters of the lactation curve in dairy cows. The cows with SGL had a lower yield at the beginning of lactation and higher inclining and declining slopes of the lactation curve than those with AGL or LGL. Within multiparous cows, peak yield in cows with SGL was lower than that in cows with AGL or LGL. In multiparous cows, there was a direct relationship between GL and the peak yield; longer the gestation length, more the peak yield. The average milk yield persistency in both primiparous and multiparous cows with SGL was higher than in those with AGL or LGL. Multiparous cows with a longer GL may have a longer dry period, which can result in a different lactation curve shape. Atashi et al. (2013) reported that shorter dry period (≤50 d) is associated with lower initial and peak yield, steeper inclining and declining slopes of the lactation curve, and higher milk persistency compared with a standard dry period (51-60 d).

Calf birth weight was associated with GL, whereas there was a linear relationship between GL and calf birth
weight. Nogalski and Piwczyński (2012) reported a linear relationship between GL and calf birth weight; longer the gestation length, greater the birth weight of the calf. The reason is clear as two-thirds of fetal birth weight is accrued during the last trimester of gestation (Noakes et al., 2009), so that fetal growth rate averages 300-400 g/d during the last month of gestation (Meijering, 1984). There was a linear relationship between GL and dystocia in primiparous cows; however, GL showed a nonlinear relationship with dystocia in multiparous cows. The rate of dystocia in multiparous cows with SGL or LGL was higher than that in those with AGL. Many studies have shown a nonlinear relationship between GL and dystocia and suggested that an intermediate GL may be optimal (Meyer et al., 2001; Johanson and Berger, 2003; Jamrozik et al., 2005). Vieira-Neto et al. (2017) reported that multiparous cows with either short or long GL had a higher rate of dystocia than those with intermediate GL; however, they found no association between GL categories and the rate of dystocia within primiparous cows. Nogalski and Piwczyński (2012) reported that cows with either short or long GL had a higher rate of dystocia than those with average GL. Norman et al. (2011) reported that an intermediate GL was optimal for the productive life, calving ease, stillbirth, culling, and days open. Philipsson (1976) reported that both short and long GL are associated with increased risk of dystocia. Short GL may increase dystocia through fetal mortality and premature calving, while long GL may increase the rate of dystocia through fetal oversize (Meijering, 1984).

**Conclusion**

This study showed that gestation length is associated with a number of economically important traits including lactation performance, lactation curve, calf birth weight, and dystocia; therefore, gestation length can be considered as an economic trait and may be incorporated into a genetic-economic index. Although there was a direct relationship between GL and lactation performance, intermediate GL seems optimal when considering dystocia. However, more studies are needed to determine optimal GL in Holstein dairy cows.
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