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A B S T R A C T

The present experiment was carried out during 2019-20 at Horticulture Farm of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD), with 10+1 treatments, replicated thrice the treatments were T1 Control, T2 RDF (100%), T3 Sulphur @ 2%, T4 Sulphur @ 4%, T5 Sulphur @ 6%, T6 Sulphur @ 8%, T7 Magnesium@ 2%, T8 Magnesium@ 4%, T9 Magnesium@ 6%, T10 Magnesium@ 8%. From the present investigation it is found that treatment T10 Magnesium@ 8% was found superior in respect of the parameters Plant height (cm), Number of Leaves per plant, Fresh weight of bulb per plant (g), Neck thickness (mm), Equatorial diameter of bulb (cm), Polar diameter of bulb (cm), Bulb yield (q/ha), Total soluble solid (° Brix).

Introduction

Onion (Allium cepa L.) belongs to family Alliaceae is an established commercial bulbous crop and is one of the most common and indispensable vegetable cum condiment crop grown and consumed widely across the world. It is used as salad or cooked in various ways in all curries, fried, boiled or baked. It has very good medicinal value. Nutritive value of onion varies from variety to variety. Small sized onions are more nutritive than big ones. Its major value is in its flavor. Onion ranks medium in calorific value. The factual demand of onions within the country and for the export has made it essential to supply onions round the year either form fresh harvest or from stocks. Onion is a native of Asia. In India onion is mainly cultivated in the states Maharashtra, Bihar, Karnataka, Gujrat, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa and Madhya Pradesh. Onion is an export oriented crop earning valuable foreign exchange for the country. The onion export is done mainly to Malaysia, Singapore, Bangladesh, Nepal, Srilanka, Mauritius, Philippines, Indonesia,
gulf countries and Pakistan. In India onion occupies 882 thousand hectare area with a production of 18736 thousand MT and average productivity is 21.2 MT/ hectare (NHB 2014). It”s acreage in Madhya Pradesh is 117.3 Thousand hectare area with a production of 2826.0 Thousand MT and average productivity is 24.1 MT/ hectare

Magnesium (Mg), similarly as the other metallic nutrients, is contained in soil in 3 fractions: exchangeable, inexchangeable and water soluble (1). The inexchangeable fraction is composed of magnesium contained in primary and secondary minerals, including those of different hydration rates (2). In turn, the exchangeable fraction of this nutrient typically accounts for approx. 5% total magnesium content in soil and from 4 to 20% cation exchange capacity of soil. Magnesium is an important and essential macronutrient for the proper development and functioning of living organisms. It participates in the regulation of many biochemical processes, first of all being involved in the appropriate functioning of the brain in animals and humans. It is also a component of systemic fluids and bones. In case of plants magnesium (from 15 to 30% its total contents) is bound in chlorophyll molecules (3, 4). It is estimated that magnesium in 75÷80% serves the function of a co-factor in many enzymatic processes, connected e.g. with phosphorylation, dephosphorylation, as well as hydrolysis of different compounds, and what is more it stabilizes the structure of different nucleotides (1). Magnesium requirement of plants to a considerable degree depends on the pool of available forms of this nutrient in the soil. It usually amounts to 1 to over 20 mg Mg ·100 g soil. Magnesium is absorbed by plants in the form of the Mg2+ cation, but similarly as calcium (Ca), as a mobile element is may be leached, particularly in case of light soils, deeper into the soil profile by precipitation waters, which as a consequence contributes also to the consistent acidification of soil and poses a threat of insufficient nutrition of plants with this nutrient.

Sulfur is useful for the formation of amino acids, oligopeptides, chlorophyll, certain enzymes, vitamins and cofactors, proteins and oils, and a variety of secondary products in Allium (Leustek, 2002; Stewart, 2010). Sulfur containing secondary products are act as signaling molecules for fundamental cellular functions (Matsubayashi et al., 2002) and believed to take part in defense mechanisms against pathogenic organisms (Bell, 1981). Sulphur has been found to increase the bulb yield of onion and also improves its quality, especially pungency and flavour (Jaggi and Dixit, 1999). Severe sulphur deficiency during bulb development has detrimental effect on yield and quality of onion (Ajay and Singh, 1994). The original source of soil sulphur is metal sulphide minerals that when exposed to weathering, S-2 oxidizes to SO4 -2 and uptake of sulfur into roots from the soil is almost exclusively as sulfate uptake (Havlín et al., 2005). Soils, which are deficient in sulphur, cannot on their own provide adequate sulphur to meet crop demand resulting in sulphur deficient crops and sub-optimal yields. Subsoil fertility also needs due consideration to have better prediction of sulphur supply in growing plants (Singh, 2001). It is possible to provide sulphur to plants through artificial nutrition. Incidental sulphur returns to soil is possible through farmyard manure and the use of conventional sulphur containing fertilizers, such as Ammonium sulphate (24% S), Ammonium phosphate sulphate (14% S), Potassium sulphate (12% S), Gypsum (17% S) and Elemental sulphur (90-99% S). The liquid fertilizers containing sulphur are Ammonium thiosulphate (26% S), Ammonium polysulphide (40% S), Potassium polysulphide (22% S) and Potassium thiosulphate (17% S) (Messick, 2014). Red and Laterite soils of
West Bengal found deficient in sulphur ranged from 13 to 73 per cent with an average of 45.2 per cent. Among them, as per SAI (Sulphur Availability Index) 87 per cent of the surface soil samples of Birbhum district fall under low sulphur range (Patra et al., 2012).

Local farmers often grow onion as a cash crop and also to meet up household demand in Red and Laterite zone of West Bengal. However, inclusion of sulphur in crop nutrition programme was found rare. It was strongly felt that enriching the soil with sulphur fertilizer would be beneficial for onion crop of this region. An investigation was, therefore, conducted to study the effect of sulphur application on growth, productivity and quality of onion.

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was carried out during 2019-20 at Horticulture Farm of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD), with 10+1 treatments, replicated thrice the treatments were T1 Control, T2 RDF (100%), T3 Sulphur @ 2%, T4 Sulphur @ 4%, T5 Sulphur @ 6%, T6 Sulphur @ 8%, T7 Magnesium@ 2%, T8 Magnesium@ 4%, T9 Magnesium@ 6%, T10 Magnesium@ 8%.

Results and Discussion

Plant height at 30 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatment on plant height at 30 days after sowing (DAS). Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium, T10 (Magnesium@ 8%) recorded maximum plant height 23.77 cm, followed by T5 (Sulphur @ 8%) with 23.55 cm, whereas minimum Plant height 20.47 cm was recorded in Control.

Plant height at 60 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatments on plant height at 60 days after sowing (DAS) (Table 1).

Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium T10 Magnesium@ 8% recorded maximum plant height 47.54 cm, followed by T6 (Sulphur @ 8%) with 46.95 cm, whereas minimum plant height 41.32 cm was recorded in Control.

Plant height at 90 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatment on plant height at 90 days after sowing (DAS). Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium T10 Magnesium@ 8% recorded maximum plant height 68.51 cm, followed by T6 (Sulphur @ 8%) with 66.35 cm, whereas minimum Plant height 59.70 cm was recorded in Control.

Climatic condition in the experimental site:

The area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of Utter Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46° C- 48° C and seldom falls as low as 4° C- 5° C. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94%. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.
Number of leaves

Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatments on Number of Leaves per plant at 30 days after sowing (DAS).

Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium, T\textsubscript{10} (Magnesium@ 8%) recorded maximum Number of Leaves per plant 4.67cm, followed by T\textsubscript{6} (Sulphur @ 8%) with 4.47cm, whereas minimum Number of Leaves per plant 3.70 cm was recorded in Control.

Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatments on Number of Leaves per plant at 60 days after sowing (DAS).

Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium T\textsubscript{10} Magnesium@ 8% recorded maximum Number of Leaves per plant 8.13cm, followed by T\textsubscript{6} (Sulphur @ 8%) with 7.93cm, whereas minimum Number of Leaves per plant 6.67 cm was recorded in Control.

Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS

Data reveals that there was a significant effect of various treatments on Number of Leaves per plant at 90 days after sowing (DAS).

Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium T\textsubscript{10} Magnesium@ 8% recorded maximum Number of Leaves per plant 10.87 cm, followed by T\textsubscript{6} (Sulphur @ 8%) with 10.27 cm, whereas minimum Number of Leaves per plant 8.53 cm was recorded in Control.

Application of Sulphur and Magnesium increased the Number of Leaves per plant. the initiation of more number of leaves in the plant. Similar findings have been reported by Yadav \textit{et al.}, (2003), Haque \textit{et al.}, (2004), Joshi \textit{et al.}, (2005) and El- Tantawy and El-Beik (2009).

Fresh weight of the bulb

Among the different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium T\textsubscript{10} (Magnesium@ 8%) recorded maximum Fresh weight of bulb per plant 70.60, followed by T\textsubscript{6} (Sulphur @ 8%) with 70.32, whereas minimum Fresh weight of bulb per plant 50.75 days was recorded in control.

The plants grown under wider spacing received more nutrients, light and moisture around compared to plants of closer spacing, which was probably the cause of better performance in yield attributes and yield of individual.

Singh \textit{et al.}, (2002), Kanton \textit{et al.}, (2002), Khan \textit{et al.}, (2003), Naik and Hosamani (2003), Viloria \textit{et al.}, (2003), Aliyu \textit{et al.}, (2008) and Dubey \textit{et al.}, (2011) also found similar results.

Neck thickness

The Neck thickness was significantly found to be influenced by Sulphur and Magnesium. The maximum Neck thickness was found in T\textsubscript{10} (Magnesium@ 8%) which was 13.25 mm and the minimum Neck thickness were obtained in T\textsubscript{1} (control) which was 8.74 mm.

The above findings shows optimum The plants grown under wider spacing received more nutrients, light and moisture around compared to plants of closer spacing, which was probably the cause of better performance in yield attributes and yield of individual.

Singh \textit{et al.}, (2002), Kanton \textit{et al.}, (2002), Khan \textit{et al.}, (2003), Naik and Hosamani (2003), Viloria \textit{et al.}, (2003), Aliyu \textit{et al.}, (2008) and Dubey \textit{et al.}, (2011) also found similar results.
**Table 1** Effect of different levels of Sulphur and Magnesium on different parameter of the Onion (*Allium Cepa*.)

| Treatment | Treatment Combination | Plant height | Number of Leaves | Fresh weight of bulb | Neck Thickness | Equatorial Diametr | Polar Diameter | Bulb Yield | T.S.S. |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|--------|
|           |                       | **30 DAS**   | **60 DAS**       | **90 DAS**           | **30 DAS**    | **60 DAS**         | **90 DAS**    |            |        |
| **T1**    | Control               | 20.47        | 41.32            | 59.70                | 3.70         | 6.67               | 8.53          | 50.75      | 8.74   |
| **T2**    | RDF (100%)            | 21.01        | 42.46            | 61.10                | 3.87         | 7.10               | 8.73          | 57.15      | 9.46   |
| **T3**    | Sulphur @ 2%          | 21.09        | 42.66            | 61.40                | 4.10         | 7.34               | 8.83          | 61.54      | 9.76   |
| **T4**    | Sulphur @ 4%          | 21.39        | 41.90            | 61.50                | 3.97         | 6.83               | 9.87          | 64.15      | 10.17  |
| **T5**    | Sulphur @ 6%          | 21.81        | 42.95            | 62.40                | 4.20         | 7.43               | 9.13          | 67.53      | 10.90  |
| **T6**    | Sulphur @ 8%          | 23.55        | 46.95            | 66.35                | 4.47         | 7.57               | 10.27         | 70.32      | 12.57  |
| **T7**    | Magnesium @ 2%        | 22.95        | 44.83            | 64.34                | 4.03         | 7.23               | 10.00         | 68.48      | 11.26  |
| **T8**    | Magnesium @ 4%        | 22.52        | 45.04            | 65.15                | 4.40         | 7.93               | 9.97          | 69.55      | 12.57  |
| **T9**    | Magnesium @ 6%        | 22.65        | 46.27            | 66.25                | 4.27         | 7.53               | 10.07         | 68.45      | 11.85  |
| **T10**   | Magnesium @ 8%        | 23.77        | 47.54            | 68.51                | 4.67         | 8.13               | 10.87         | 70.60      | 13.25  |
| S.Ed. (±) |                       | 2.11         | 1.24             | 0.49                 | 0.97         | 0.24               | 0.54          | 1.56       | 0.25   |
| C.D. At 5%|                       | 4.21         | 2.53             | 1.02                 | 1.91         | 0.52               | 1.18          | 3.21       | 0.63   |
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**Equatorial diameter of bulb (cm)**

The effect of Sulphur and Magnesium on Equatorial diameter of bulb of Onion was found significant and varied among the treatments. The highest yield was found in T\(_{10}\) (Magnesium@ 8%) which was 5.97 cm ha\(^{-1}\) and the lowest yield were obtained in T\(_1\) (control) which was 5.22 cm.

Equatorial diameter of bulb which was probably the cause of better performance in yield attributes and yields of individual. Singh *et al.*, (2002), Kanton *et al.*, (2002), Khan *et al.*, (2003), Naik and Hosamani (2003), Viloria *et al.*, (2003), Aliyu *et al.*, (2008) and Dubey *et al.*, (2011) also found similar results.

**Polar diameter of the bulb**

The Polar diameter of bulb weight was significantly found to be influenced by Sulphur and Magnesium among the treatments. The maximum Polar diameter of bulb cm was found in T\(_{10}\) (Magnesium@ 8%) which was 5.23 cm and the minimum Polar diameter of bulb was obtained at T\(_1\) (control) which was 4.64 q ha\(^{-1}\). which was probably the cause of better performance in yield attributes and yield of individual. Singh *et al.*, (2002), Kanton *et al.*, (2002), Khan *et al.*, (2003), Naik and Hosamani (2003), Viloria *et al.*, (2003), Aliyu *et al.*, (2008) and Dubey *et al.*, (2011) also found similar results.

**Bulb yield**

The result of the data shows that the Bulb yield was found to be significant by Sulphur and Magnesium For post harvest the maximum Bulb yield 213.35 q ha\(^{-1}\) at T\(_{10}\) (Magnesium@ 8%) and minimum Bulb yield was 175.86 q ha\(^{-1}\) at T\(_6\) (Sulphur @ 8%) and was found to be significant. The above observation shows that increasing which was probably the cause of better performance in yield attributes and yield of individual. Singh *et al.*, (2002), Kanton *et al.*, (2002), Khan *et al.*, (2003), Naik and Hosamani (2003), Viloria *et al.*, (2003), Aliyu *et al.*, (2008) and Dubey *et al.*, (2011) also found similar results.

**Total Soluble Solids**

The result of the data shows that the Total soluble solid (° Brix) was found to be significant by Sulphur and Magnesium For post harvest the maximum Total soluble solid (° Brix) 10.70 at T\(_{10}\) (Magnesium@ 8%) and minimum Total soluble solid (° Brix) was 7.74 at T\(_6\) (Sulphur @ 8%) and was found to be significant.

Application of Magnesium had significant influence on total soluble solids. Each incremental dose of Magnesium cause significant increase in total soluble solid. Highest total soluble solids content was determined with application of Sulphur and Magnesium. The application of Magnesium might have enhanced the availability of minerals and accumulation of soluble solids in onion bulbs which resulted in more total soluble solid. Banafer and Gupta (2005) also found that total soluble solid content in bulb were increased with the application of different levels of Magnesium.

It is concluded from the present study that application of Sulphur and magnesium at @2%, @4%, @6% and @8% along with 100% N, P, K produced the higher yield of onion, but also improved the soil fertility as compared to application of chemical fertilizers alone. The complimentary use of micronutrients is advantageous as it helps increase N, P and K uptake, maintain micronutrients levels in soil and increases crop productivity. Thus, optimum mineral nutrition along with micronutrient can result in high yield potential of onion through its favorable effect on nutrient supply and soil properties.
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