The role of *Candida albicans* homologous recombination factors Rad54 and Rdh54 in DNA damage sensitivity

Samantha J Hoot1,2, Xiuzhong Zheng1, Catherine J Potenski1, Theodore C White3 and Hannah L Klein1*

**Abstract**

**Background:** The fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* is frequently seen in immune suppressed patients, and resistance to one of the most widely used antifungals, fluconazole (FLC), can evolve rapidly. In recent years it has become clear that plasticity of the *Candida albicans* genome contributes to drug resistance through loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at resistance genes and gross chromosomal rearrangements that amplify gene copy number of resistance associated genes. This study addresses the role of the homologous recombination factors Rad54 and Rdh54 in cell growth, DNA damage and FLC resistance in *Candida albicans*.

**Results:** The data presented here support a role for homologous recombination in cell growth and DNA damage sensitivity, as *Candida albicans* rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutants were hypersensitive to MMS and menadione, and had an aberrant cell and nuclear morphology. The *Candida albicans* rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutant was defective in invasion of Spider agar, presumably due to the altered cellular morphology. In contrast, mutation of the related gene RDH54 did not contribute significantly to DNA damage resistance and cell growth, and deletion of either *Candida albicans* RAD54 or *Candida albicans* RDH54 did not alter FLC susceptibility.

**Conclusions:** Together, these results support a role for homologous recombination in genome stability under non-damaging conditions. The nuclear morphology defects in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutants show that Rad54 performs an essential role during mitotic growth and that in its absence, cells arrest in G2. The viability of the single mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ and the inability to construct the double mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ suggests that Rdh54 can partially compensate for Rad54 during mitotic growth.

**Background**

The pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans* is one of the most common causes of fungal infection in immune compromised patients. There is a limited spectrum of antifungal drugs to which *C. albicans* is susceptible, which includes the azoles, amphotericin B and the echinocandins. Theazole drug fluconazole (FLC) is a commonly used drug to treat oropharyngeal candidiasis but resistance to this drug can develop rapidly in the clinical setting. FLC has long been used to treat cases of life-threatening invasive candidiasis, but the emergence of azole resistance has favored the use of the echinocandins in invasive disease [1].

Resistance to the azoles can develop through a number of mechanisms, including point mutations or overexpression of a number of resistance genes. Genes known to be involved in *Candida albicans* resistance to FLC include the drug efflux pumps encoded by *CDR1*, *CDR2* and *MDR1*, the FLC target encoded by *ERG11* (lanosterol 14-alpha-demethylase) and the transcription factors that control the expression of these genes [2-6]. Studies of FLC resistant clinical and laboratory derived isolates of *Candida albicans* have shown that point mutations followed by loss of heterozygosity (LOH) events can further increase resistance [7-9]. Recent work has shown that gross chromosomal rearrangements that lead to aneuploidy and isochromosome formation contribute to FLC resistance by amplification of *ERG11* and *TAC1* mutant alleles [10,11]. This evidence suggests that the plasticity of the *Candida albicans* genome provides...
a selective advantage in certain environmental conditions, such as exposure to antifungal drugs.

Work to elucidate the mechanism that leads to these types of genome events in Candida albicans has shown that certain DNA repair mechanisms are not involved. For example, mechanisms such as non-homologous end joining, base excision repair and nucleotide excision repair do not appear to contribute significantly to the development of FLC resistance [12,13]. However, there is some evidence suggesting a role for homologous recombination in FLC resistance, as deletion of RAD50, RAD52 or MRE11 in Candida albicans alters FLC susceptibility [12].

The role that homologous recombination plays in FLC susceptibility and genome plasticity is not fully understood, although it is known that homologous recombination pathways preserve genome structure. Since the LOH events seen in FLC resistance Candida albicans clinical isolates likely occurs through a recombination mediated event, homologous recombination pathways may be required for LOH in clinical isolates, and defects in homologous recombination may inhibit the development of FLC resistance through LOH events. To further understand the role that homologous recombination pathways play in genome maintenance and DNA damage resistance in Candida albicans, we have examined the phenotypes of two genes proposed to be involved in homologous recombination based on their homology to the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genes. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, two members of the SNF2 family of chromatin remodelers, RAD54 and RDH54 act in the repair of double strand DNA breaks through homologous recombination [14-16]. In vitro data suggest that Rad54 and Rdh54 act at stages of recombination involving strand displacement and D-loop formation [17]. RAD54 and RDH54 belong to the RAD52 epistasis group, which contains genes required for repair of double strand breaks generated through spontaneous events or exogenous damage. In humans, two RAD54 homologues, hRAD54 and RAD54B are present, and mutation of these is associated with tumor formation [18-20]. Despite similar in vitro activities of the Rad54 and Rdh54 proteins, the Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants have different phenotypes with respect to mitotic and meiotic recombination [16] and DNA damage [14].

The work presented here on Candida albicans RAD54 and RDH54 examines the role these genes play in DNA damage sensitivity and in FLC susceptibility in Candida albicans. We found that Candida albicans RAD54 is required for normal cell growth and in its absence cells had an aberrant cell cycle, misdivide the nucleus, and appeared to have a DNA damage checkpoint arrest. In contrast, we found no DNA damage sensitivity or alteration of the cell cycle in rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutants. We did not observe a changed growth response to FLC, but merely observed slower growth of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain with or without FLC. Interestingly, Candida albicans RAD54 and RDH54 appeared to have some functional overlap as we were unable to construct the double mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ.

**Results**

**Identification of Candida albicans homologues of Saccharomyces cerevisiae RAD54 and RDH54**

To identify putative homologues of Saccharomyces cerevisiae RAD54 and RDH54, the protein sequence from each ORF was used for BLAST analysis. For each protein, putative homologues encoded in the Candida albicans genome were identified. For Rad54, a BLAST score of 1.6e-245 and 69% amino acid identity over the region of highest homology was obtained. BLAST analysis of Rdh54 identified a homologue with a score of 2.6e-128 and with 45% amino acid identity. The genes identified in the BLAST searches correspond to ORF 19.5004 and 19.5367, respectively in the Candida Genome Database maintained at Stanford University (http://www.candida-genome.org). Expression profiling of Candida albicans throughout the cell cycle has indicated that Candida albicans RAD54 expression peaks in S-phase and Candida albicans RDH54 peaks approximately at the G1/S transition [21].

**Candida albicans RAD54 deletion results in a slow growth phenotype**

To characterize the role of RAD54 and RDH54 in Candida albicans, deletion strains were made in the wild-type strain SC5314 using the SAT1-FLP technique described in [22]. Homozygous null transformants were obtained for both genes, indicating that neither was essential for growth in Candida albicans. Growth curves were performed in rich media (YPD) and revealed a growth defect in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ deletion mutant (Figure 1a). The RAD54 reconstruction strain did not have this defect, and grew as well as wildtype. The doubling times of each strain were calculated, and indicated that the heterozygous null mutants, the rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutant, and the RAD54 reconstruction strain all have doubling times comparable to SC5314, whereas the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain had an increased doubling time (Figure 1b). Additionally, growth on solid media showed a decreased colony size in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ deletion mutant when compared to the wildtype or reconstruction strains (Figure 2a). These results are similar to those obtained for other homologous recombination mutants in Candida albicans, as previously reported for RAD52 and RAD51 [23,24].

We attempted to construct the double mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ without success. The
RAD54/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ was fully viable and was identical to the single homozygous rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutant for all phenotypes assayed.

*Candida albicans* RAD54 deletion causes altered cell and colony morphology

Growth of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain on YPD agar plates showed not only a decrease in colony size, but also a severe colony morphology defect. The colonies had a wrinkled appearance in contrast to the larger, smooth colonies of the parental strain and the rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutant. The heterozygous deletion mutants did not have altered colony morphology, and grew as smooth colonies as seen with the wildtype strain (data not shown). The altered colony morphology was rescued by reintroduction of *Candida albicans* RAD54 in the reconstruction strain.

| Strain                        | Doubling time (min) ± standard deviation |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| WT                            | 77.3 ± 10.4                              |
| rdh54Δ/RDH54                 | 74.4 ± 12.9                              |
| rad54Δ/RAD54                 | 74.0 ± 10.1                              |
| rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ                | 74.7 ± 8.8                               |
| rad54Δ/rad54Δ RAD54(+)       | 75.1 ± 6.2                               |
| rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ rad54Δ/RAD54   | 79.1 ± 8.4                               |
| rad54Δ/rad54Δ-1              | 111.3 ± 11.3                             |
| rad54Δ/rad54Δ-2              | 112.6 ± 1.6                               |

*Figure 1* Growth curves and doubling times of rad54Δ/rad54Δ and rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ strains. A. Log phase growth curves for the indicated strains are shown. Two independent rad54Δ/rad54Δ strains were used, which are designated as 1 and 2. B. Doubling times for the indicated strains, derived from the data shown in panel A. Two independent rad54Δ/rad54Δ strains were used, which are designated as 1 and 2.
**Figure 2** Colony and cell morphology of \( \text{rad54}\Delta/\text{rad54}\Delta \) and \( \text{rdh54}\Delta/\text{rdh54}\Delta \) strains. A. Colony morphology after three days of growth on YPD is shown. B. DIC images and DAPI images of strains of the indicated genotypes. Note the aberrant cell and elongated nucleus in the \( \text{rad54}\Delta/\text{rad54}\Delta \) panel. C. Quantitation and examples of the nuclei morphology types seen in the \( \text{rad54}\Delta/\text{rad54}\Delta \) pseudohyphal cells. D. Quantitation and examples of the nuclei morphology in doublet cells in the WT and \( \text{rad54}\Delta/\text{rad54}\Delta \) cells.
(Figure 2a). Cell morphology of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain was also abnormal, with over 20% of cells exhibiting an elongated morphology reminiscent of pseudohyphae (Figure 2b). These cells did not appear to be true pseudohyphae, as they had a highly aberrant and variable morphology, similar to that seen in Candida albicans strains defective in cell cycle progression. The numbers of cells with normal and abnormal morphology were quantitated and are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2c and 2d. When compared to wildtype, log phase cultures of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain had far fewer normal budding yeast cells, and a large increase in the number of cells exhibiting the abnormal morphology shown in Figure 2b. The elongated pseudohyphal cells displayed an aberrant nuclear morphology with a preponderance of the pseudohyphal cells having an elongated single DAPI staining body stuck in the neck between the two cell bodies (Figure 2c). Additional nuclear morphologies included apparent anucleate cells (two cells with only one nucleus), cells with a nucleus in each bud where one nucleus is elongated, and cells with multiple nuclei (Figure 2c). Regarding the pseudohyphal cells, in the single nucletate cells, 9/14 had an elongated single nucleus, and in the cells with two nuclei, 10/20 had one or two elongated nuclei. DAPI staining of cells also showed additional defects in chromosome segregation in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain. There was an increase in G2 doublet cells that have a single nucleus at the neck (Figure 2d). This morphology is suggestive of a DNA damage checkpoint arrest in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [25] and could apply to Candida albicans [26]. These phenotypes were not seen in the rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ strain, showing that these two genes have different roles in vivo. Additionally, neither the wildtype strain nor the RAD54 reintegation strain showed these aberrant nuclear morphologies.

Sensitivity to DNA damage is increased in the Candida albicans rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutant
In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, deletion of RDH54 and RAD54 leads to increased sensitivity to DNA damage.

| Table 1 Log phase morphology of Candida albicans mutants |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Strain**      | **Unbudded** | **Budded** | **Abnormal/ Pseudohyphae** | **Total** |
| Wildtype        | 108          | 191        | 1                         | 300       |
| rdh54Δ/RDH54    | 111          | 187        | 2                         | 300       |
| rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ   | 78           | 221        | 1                         | 300       |
| rad54Δ/RAD54    | 71           | 227        | 1                         | 300       |
| rad54Δ/rdh54Δ-1 | 92           | 143        | 65                        | 300       |
| rad54Δ/RAD54 (+)| 108          | 191        | 1                         | 300       |

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae haploid rad54Δ is highly sensitive to methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) [19], but the Saccharomyces cerevisiae RDH54 gene does not appear to have as strong of a role in haploid cells, as deletion of RDH54 only increases MMS sensitivity in diploids at normal MMS concentrations [27]. To test the effect of deletion of Candida albicans RAD54 and RDH54 on MMS and menadione sensitivity, spot dilution assays were performed on YPD agar plates containing a range of MMS concentrations from 0.0025% to 0.02%, or menadione concentrations from 0.05 mM to 0.5 mM. Figure 3a shows that the Candida albicans rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain was exquisitely sensitive to MMS when compared to the wildtype strain, as sensitive as the previously reported rad50Δ/rad50Δ, mre11Δ/ mre11Δ and rad52Δ/rad52Δ strains [12]. The rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain also had a moderate increase in sensitivity to oxidative damage from menadione (Figure 3b), similar to that reported for rad50Δ/rad50Δ, mre11Δ/mre11Δ and rad52Δ/rad52Δ strains [12]. The heterozygous deletion strains did not show increased MMS or menadione sensitivity, nor did the rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ homozygous deletion strain. Restoration of one RAD54 allele in the reconstruction strain restored the MMS and menadione sensitivity to wildtype levels.

Susceptibility to antifungal drugs is not altered in the Candida albicans rad54Δ/rad54Δ and Candida albicans rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutants
Previous reports have linked genomic rearrangements with the development of FLC resistance in clinical isolates of Candida albicans [8,10]. Interestingly, defects in double strand break repair in laboratory generated Candida albicans mutants were previously shown to result in decreased susceptibility to FLC [12]. To test whether the homologous recombination proteins Rad54 and Rdh54 affect susceptibility to FLC, spot dilution assays were performed. The rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutant did not show any alteration in susceptibility to FLC, and this was corroborated by the E-test method. The rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutant had wildtype level of susceptibility (Figure 3c). The rad54Δ/RAD54 and rdh54Δ/RDH54 heterozygous mutants did not show increased susceptibility to FLC, and the RAD54 reconstruction strain also had FLC susceptibility similar to the wildtype strain (Figure 3). It appeared that better growing segregants arose at a higher frequency in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutant when plated on FLC-containing plates (Figure 3c). This would be consistent with a higher spontaneous mutation rate noted for rad54Δ and other homologous recombination mutants in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [28]. Susceptibility to other antifungals tested was also not altered for the mutants. Amphotericin B, 5-flucytosine
Figure 3 MMS, menadione and FLC sensitivity of rad54Δ/rdh54Δ and rad54Δ/rdh54Δ strains. Cells were grown as described in Materials and Methods, diluted and spotted onto plates with the indicated concentrations of MMS, menadione or FLC. The two rad54Δ/rdh54Δ strains are independent transformants, designated as 1 and 2. Cells were photographed after 3 days growth at 30°C. A. MMS sensitivity. B. Menadione sensitivity. C. FLC sensitivity.
and caspofungin were tested using the E-test method, and MIC values are shown in Table 2.

Discussion
These studies address the role of Candida albicans RAD54 and Candida albicans RDH54 in DNA damage and azole sensitivity. This study shows that Candida albicans RAD54 and Candida albicans RDH54 are not essential genes. This is similar to deletion mutants of other homologous recombination genes such as MRE11, RAD50 and RAD52 [12,29]. Nonetheless, the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain gave an aberrant colony morphology that suggested both a slower cell division time and checkpoint arrest to give lethal sectoring and a jagged colony edge. In contrast, the rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ strain grew with wildtype morphology and kinetics. Determination of cell cycle division times verified the slow growth phenotype of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain while the heterozygous and reconstructed rad54Δ/RAD54 strains grew with wildtype kinetics. Examination of individual cells corroborated the aberrant morphology and slower cell cycle time. The rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain accumulated cells with a pseudohyphal shape during log phase growth. DAPI staining of cells showed that nuclear division was aberrant, with the pseudohyphal cells often having one elongated DAPI-staining body. Additionally, the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain had an excess of doublet (large-budded) cells with a single nucleus at the bud neck. This phenotype is suggestive of a checkpoint arrest and a defect in chromosome segregation. Interestingly, the aberrant morphology of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain also extends to growth on Spider medium. The rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain was defective in invasion of Spider agar when compared to the wildtype and reconstructed strains (data not shown), perhaps due to the altered morphology of the cells.

It is noted that this aberrant growth phenotype occurs in response to spontaneous damage. While diploid homozygous homologous recombination mutants in Saccharomyces cerevisiae grow slower than wildtype diploids, they do not show aberrant colony morphology. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ mutant shows an aberrant colony morphology similar to the Candida albicans rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain but is more extreme [14]. Attempts to make a Candida albicans rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ double mutant were unsuccessful, suggesting that the double mutant may be lethal or grows extremely poorly. Homozygous deletions of RAD54 in chicken DT40 cells [30,31], mouse [32], and Drosophila [33] have resulted in sensitivity to ionizing radiation, MMS and crosslinking agents and defective meiosis, but have only a modest effect on cell growth, if discernible at all.

We assessed MMS sensitivity to determine the importance of the homologous recombination genes in DNA damage repair and found, similar to Saccharomyces cerevisiae, that Candida albicans RAD54 is extremely important for MMS damage repair and that Candida albicans RDH54 had no discernible role in MMS damage repair.

As FLC susceptibility has been linked to homologous recombination deficiency in Candida albicans, we determined the FLC susceptibility of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ and rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ strains. We also determined the susceptibility to several other antifungals by E-test analysis, to test whether genomic instability might result in altered susceptibility. The rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ and rad54Δ/rd54Δ strains did not exhibit any significant altered susceptibility to any of the antifungals tested. Additionally, the rad54Δ/rad54Δ and rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ strains showed no significant increase in FLC susceptibility above the reduced growth rate of the strain in the absence of FLC, suggesting that at least in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strain, despite the obvious defects in nuclear segregation and cell division, these do not contribute to FLC resistance in the short term. It is possible that long term exposure to FLC might reveal a role for genomic instability and FLC resistance. It is also possible that the rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutant is buffered by the presence of the wild type RDH54 genes as regards FLC resistance, however the inability to recover the double mutant precludes a direct test of this hypothesis. We noted that strains segregated colonies of varying size on FLC and menadione plates. Such colonies could be candidates for segregants with mutations or genome rearrangements, but nature of the change and the rate of such segregants has not been determined.

Conclusions
The results reported here support a role for homologous recombination genes RAD54 and RDH54 in DNA repair.

Table 2 Antifungal susceptibilities (MIC (µg/mL) of Candida albicans mutants

|            | Fluconazole | Amphotericin B | Caspofungin | 5-Flucystosine |
|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Wildtype (SC5314) | 1           | 0.64           | 0.094       | 2.0            |
| rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ | 0.5         | 0.64           | 0.064       | 2.0            |
| rad54Δ/RAD54 | 1           | 0.64           | 0.094       | 2.0            |
| rad54Δ/rd54Δ-1 | 0.5         | 0.64           | 0.064       | 2.0            |
| rad54Δ/RAD54+ | 0.5         | 0.64           | 0.064       | 2.0            |

* MICs were determined using standard E-test procedure on CAS plates. Values were read after 48 hours of growth.
under nondamaging conditions. The nuclear morphology defects in the rad54Δ/rad54Δ mutants show that Rad54 performs an essential role during mitotic growth and that in its absence, cells arrest in G2, despite the presence of Rdh54. The viability of the single mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ and the inability to construct the double mutant rad54Δ/rad54Δ rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ suggests that Rdh54 can partially compensate for Rad54 during mitotic growth, but that the two proteins have unique roles that contribute to cell viability.

**Methods**

**Strains and growth conditions**

*Candida albicans* wildtype strain SC5314 was used to construct all mutants created for this study. Deletion and replacement of *Candida albicans RAD54* and *Candida albicans RDH54* was done using the nourseothricin resistance marker SAT1 (generously provided by Dr. Joachim Morchauzer) to create homozygous null mutants *Candida albicans* rdh54Δ/rdh54Δ, *Candida albicans* rad54Δ/rad54Δ and the reconstructed strain *Candida albicans* rad54Δ/RAD54 (+). The reconstructed strain rad54Δ/RAD54 (+) was made from one of the rad54Δ/rad54Δ strains. For routine growth, strains were maintained at 30°C on YPD (10 g Difco yeast extract, 20 g Bacto peptone, and 20 g dextrose per liter) with or without 200 μg/mL nourseothricin. Spider media was used for agar invasion assays, with a final pH of 7.2 (10 g nutrient broth, 10 g mannitol, 0.2% K2HPO4 and 20 g Bacto agar per liter).

**Plasmid construction**

To create null mutants of *Candida albicans* RAD54 and *Candida albicans* RDH54, approximately 500 base pairs (bp) of sequence upstream and downstream of these ORFs was cloned on either side of the SAT1-FLP cassette in the vector pFS2A [22]. Fragments were PCR-amplified from SC5314 genomic DNA using the oligonucleotides listed in Table 3. The fragments were designed such that the entire coding sequence from ATG to the stop codon would be replaced by the SAT1 cassette. For both genes, the upstream fragment was cloned using the restriction enzymes *Apa*I and *Xho*I and the downstream fragment was cloned using *Not*I and *Sac*I. To create the *Candida albicans* RAD54 reconstruction vector, the entire coding region, including promoter and terminator sequence was cloned into the *Apa*I-*Xho*I site in the *Candida albicans* RAD54 deletion vector.

**Yeast transformation and screening**

SC5314 was transformed with linearized (linearized with *Apa*I and *Sac*I) *Candida albicans* RAD54 or *Candida albicans* RDH54 deletion vectors using the standard lithium acetate method [34] with the following modifications. Heat shock at 42°C was carried out overnight, and cells were resuspended in YPD and allowed to grow for 4 hours at 30°C before plating on YPD containing 200 μg/mL cloNAT (Werner BioAgents, Jena, Germany). Recycling of the SAT1 marker was done by growing cells overnight in non-selective media (YPD) and plating onto YPD containing 25 μg/mL nourseothricin. Small colonies that had excised the marker were screened by PCR and used in a successive round of transformation. These transformants were then screened by PCR for homozygous deletion of *Candida albicans* RAD54 and *Candida albicans* RDH54. To create the *Candida albicans* RAD54 reconstruction strain, recycling of the SAT1 marker was performed again and the reconstruction plasmid was introduced to the native locus by another round of transformation.

**Growth rate determination**

Overnight YPD cultures from three independent colonies were used to inoculate 3 mL YPD at an OD600 of 0.05. Cultures were grown at 30°C with shaking. OD measurements were taken every hour for 9 hours to generate growth curves. Doubling times of each strain were calculated using time points within the logarithmic phase of growth. This assay was repeated three times, the mean and standard deviations for each strain is shown.

**Colony morphology and microscopic analysis**

For assessment of colony morphology, cells were grown on YPD for 2 days at 30°C and single colonies were photographed. For colony invasion of agar, strains were streaked onto Spider agar plates (1% nutrient broth, 1% mannitol, 0.2% K2HPO4 and 20 g Bacto agar per liter) and incubated at 37°C for seven days and images were taken. For cell morphology, cells were grown in YPD to early log phase from YPD overnight cultures. Samples were taken, washed and resuspended in PBS buffer, and sonicated for 5 seconds at 30% amplitude in a Fisher

Table 3 List of oligonucleotides used in this study

| Oligonucleotide name | 5’ - 3’ sequence |
|----------------------|------------------|
| CaRAD54upF          | CAACGTAGGGCCTCTAAATGTTGAAATTGG |
| CaRAD54upR          | CAACGTACTCGAGAGGAATAGTACTGT |
| CaRAD54downF        | CAACGTAGGGCCTTTTAAATAAAACAAATGTTG |
| CaRAD54downR        | CAACGTACCTGAGGAGGAAATCTGGAGTGAC |
| CaRDH54upF          | CAACGTAGGGCCCGTATCGAAATAATTTTG |
| CaRDH54upR          | CAACGTACTCGAGGCGTTGACAAATTTT |
| CaRDH54downF        | CAACGTAGGGCCCGGCGTTTGTGACAAATTTT |
| CaRDH54downR        | CAACGTACCTCGAGGAAATCTGGAGTGAC |
| CaRAD54compR        | CAACGTACTCGAGGAAATCTGGAGTGAC |

Restriction site sequences are shown in bold.
Scientific 150T Series Sonic Dismembrator (Fisher Scientific, USA). Light microscopy was used to quantify numbers of single unbudded cells, budding cells, and cells with abnormal or pseudohyphal-like morphology. To assess nuclear integrity, cells were grown to early log phase and stained with DAPI according to a previously published protocol [35]. Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD600 of 0.05 in 5 mL of YPD and allowed to grow for 4 hours at 30°C. Samples were spun down, washed in 1 mL of 1X PBS, and fixed overnight at 4°C in 1 mL of 70% ethanol. Fixed cells were washed and treated for 2 hours in 55 mM HCl with 5 mg/mL pepsin at 37°C, then washed and resuspended in 1 mL of 1X PBS containing 2.5 μg/mL DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Cells were sonicated and visualized using a Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc, Thornwood, NY, USA).

DNA damage and antifungal drug sensitivities
To test the sensitivity of strains in this study to various agents, the agar spot dilution method was used. Overnight YPD cultures were diluted to an OD600 of 1.0 and serial ten-fold dilutions were made to 10^-6.2 μL volumes of each dilution were spotted onto YPD plates, and YPD plates containing FLC, MMS or menadione (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at the indicated concentrations. Plates were incubated for 48 hours at 30°C and images were taken. E-test analysis was performed for common antifungals, using overnight cultures diluted to an OD600 of 0.05 to spread a lawn on CAS plates (9.0 g casitone, 5.0 g yeast extract, 0.54 g KH2PO4, 3.34 g K2HPO4, 20.0 g dextrose and 20.0 g agar per liter). E-test strips were placed on plates, which were incubated for 48 hours at 30°C. Two independent nulls of the RAD54 gene were tested. The MIC was read as the point at which the zone of inhibition intersected the E-test strip.

List of abbreviations
FLC: fluconazole, LOH: loss of heterozygosity, MMS: methyl methanesulfonate; YPD: yeast extract-peptone-dextrose

Acknowledgements and Funding
This work was supported by Public Health Service grants GMS3738 (HLK), T32AI007180 (SJH) from NIAID, and DE17078 (TCW). We thank David Kirkpatrick of the University of Minnesota for kindly providing the RAD54 gene were tested. The MIC was read as the point at which the zone of inhibition intersected the E-test strip.

References
1. Slavin MA, Sorrell TC, Marriott D, Thursky KA, Nguyen Q, Ellis DH, Morrissey CO, Chen SC. Candidaemia in adult cancer patients: risks for fluconazole-resistant isolates and death. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010, 65:1042-1051.
2. Chen CG, Yang YL, Shih HL, Su CL, Lo HJ. Carbohydrate susceptibility of Candida albicans isolated from patients with candidemia. J Antimicrob Chemother 2000, 46:655-651.
3. MacPherson S, Akache B, Weber S, De Deken Y, Raymond M, Turcotte B. Candida albicans zyncl cluster protein Upc2p confers resistance to fluconazole and is an activator of ergosterol biosynthetic genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005, 49:1745-1752.
4. Tsai HJ, Wof AA, Sartie K, Bennett JE. Candida glabrata PDR1, a transcriptional regulator of a pleotropic drug resistance network, mediates azole resistance in clinical isolates and petite mutants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50:1384-1392.
5. Vemtiskiy JP, Edlind TD. Azole resistance in Candida glabrata: coordinate upregulation of multidrug transporters and evidence for a Pdr1-like transcription factor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004, 48:3773-3781.
6. White TC, Marr KA, Bowden RA. Clinical, cellular, and molecular factors that contribute to antifungal resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 1998, 11:582-602.
7. Coste A, Turner V, Ischer F, Morschhauser J, Forche A, Selmecki A, Berman J, Bille J, Sanglard D. A mutation in Tac1p, a transcription factor regulatory of a pleiotropic drug resistance network, is associated with azole resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008, 62:685-690.
8. White TC. The presence of an RAS7 amino acid substitution and loss of allelic variation correlates with an azole-resistant lanosterol 14alpha demethylase in Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997, 41:1488-1494.
9. Selmecki A, Forche A, Berman J. Aneuploidy and isochromosome formation in drug-resistant Candida albicans. Science 2000, 335:367-370.
10. Selmecki A, Gerami-Nejad M, Paulson C, Forche A, Berman J. An isochromosome confers drug resistance in vivo by amplification of two genes, ERG11 and TAC1. Mol Microbiol 2008, 68:624-641.
11. Legrand M, Chan CL, Jautter PA, Kirkpatrick DT. Role of DNA mismatch repair and double-strand break repair in genome stability and antifungal drug resistance in Candida albicans. Eukaryot Cell 2007, 6:2194-2205.
12. Legrand M, Chan CL, Jautter PA, Kirkpatrick DT. Analysis of base excision and nucleotide excision repair in Candida albicans. Microbiology 2008, 154:2446-2456.
13. Klein HL. RHD54, a RAD54 homologue in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, is required for mitotic diploid-specific recombination and repair and for meiosis. Genetics 1997, 147:153-1543.
14. Petukhova G, Stratton S, Sung P. Catalysis of homologous DNA pairing by yeast Rad51 and Rad54 proteins. Nature 1998, 393:91-94.
15. San Filippo J, Sung P, Klein H. Mechanism of eukaryotic homologous recombination. Annu Rev Biochem 2008, 77:229-257.
16. Krogsh D, Snyngston LS. Recombination proteins in yeast. Annu Rev Genet 2004, 38:233-271.
17. Rise D, Murakumo Y, Robbins D, Roth T, Silver A, Negrini M, Schmidt C, Burczak J, Fishel R, Croce CM. Characterization of the human homologue of RAD54: a gene located on chromosome 1p32 at a region of high loss of heterozygosity in breast tumors. Cancer Res 1997, 57:2378-2383.
19. Smirnova M, Van Komen S, Sung P, Klein HL: Effects of tumor-associated mutations on Rad54 functions. J Biol Chem 2004, 279:24081-24088.
20. Tanaka K, Hiramoto T, Fukuda T, Myagawa K: A novel human rad54 homologue, Rad54B, associates with Rad51. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:26316-26321.
21. Cote P, Hogues H, Whiteway M: Transcriptional analysis of the Candida albicans cell cycle. Mol Biol Cell 2000, 20:3363-3373.
22. Reuss O, Vik A, Kolter R, Morschhauser J: The SAT1 flipper, an optimized tool for gene disruption in Candida albicans. Gene 2004, 341:119-127.
23. Andaluz E, Ciudad T, Gomez-Raja J, Calderone R, Larriba G: Rad52 depletion in Candida albicans triggers both the DNA-damage checkpoint and filamentation accompanied by but independent of expression of hypha-specific genes. Mol Microbiol 2006, 59:1452-1472.
24. Garcia-Prieto F, Gomez-Raja J, Andaluz E, Calderone R, Larriba G: Role of the homologous recombination genes RAD51 and RAD59 in the resistance of Candida albicans to UV light, radiomimetic and anti-tumor compounds and oxidizing agents. Fungal Genet Biol 2010, 47:433-445.
25. Weinert TA, Kiser GL, Hartwell LH: Mitotic checkpoint genes in budding yeast and the dependence of mitosis on DNA replication and repair. Genetics 1994, 136:652-665.
26. Shi QM, Wang YM, Zheng XD, Lee RT, Wang Y: Critical role of DNA checkpoints in mediating genotoxic-stress-induced filamentous growth in Candida albicans. Mol Biol Cell 2007, 18:815-826.
27. Chi P, Kwon Y, Seong C, Epstein A, Lam I, Sung P, Klein HL: Yeast recombination factor Rdh54 functionally interacts with the Rad51 recombinase and catalyzes Rad51 removal from DNA. J Biol Chem 2006, 281:26268-26279.
28. Symington LS: Role of RAD52 epistasis group genes in homologous recombination and double-strand break repair. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2002, 66:639-670, table of contents.
29. Ciudad T, Andaluz E, Steinberg-Neifach O, Lue NF, Gow NA, Calderone RA, Larriba G: Homologous recombination in Candida albicans: role of CaRad52p in DNA repair, integration of linear DNA fragments and telomere length. Mol Microbiol 2004, 53:1177-1194.
30. Bezzubova O, Silberglett A, Yamaguchi-Iwai Y, Takeda S, Buerstedde JM: Reduced X-ray resistance and homologous recombination frequencies in a RAD54-/- mutant of the chicken DT40 cell line. Cell 1997, 89:185-193.
31. Takata M, Sasaki MS, Sonoda E, Morrison C, Hashimoto M, Utsumi H, Yamaguchi-Iwai Y, Shinohara A, Takeda S, Buerstedde JM: Homologous recombination and non-homologous end-joining pathways of DNA double-strand break repair have overlapping roles in the maintenance of chromosomal integrity in vertebrate cells. EMBO J 1998, 17:5497-5508.
32. Essers J, Hendriks RW, Swagemakers SM, Troelstra C, de Wit J, Bootsmma D, Hoeijmakers JH, Kanaar R: Disruption of mouse RAD54 reduces ionizing radiation resistance and homologous recombination. Cell 1997, 89:195-204.
33. Kooistra R, Vreken K, Zonneveld JB, de Jong A, Eeken JC, Osgood CJ, Buerstedde JM, Lohman PH, Pastink A: The Dro sophila melanogaster RAD54 homolog, DmRAD54, is involved in the repair of radiation damage and recombination. Mol Cell Biol 1997, 17:6097-6104.
34. Walther A, Wendland J: An improved transformation protocol for the human fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Curr Genet 2003, 42:393-394.
35. Stöver AG, Witek-Janusek L, Mathews HL: A method for flow cytometric analysis of Candida albicans DNA. Journal of Microbiological Methods 1998, 33:191-196.