INTRODUCTION

Human identification is a universal process based on scientific principles. Studies done so far show that even lip prints are unique for an individual and hence have the potential for personal identification. Material and Methods: The study comprised of 100 Nepalese medical students (50 males; 50 females). A photographic method was adopted rather than the old traditional lipstick method. The photographed lip prints were transferred into a computer to identify the character/lip print pattern. Statistical analysis was done using the SPSS (version 23.0) software package and Chi-square test was applied to evaluate the existence of correlation of lip print pattern with gender. Results: Type-II lip print pattern was frequently observed in all the four quadrants of both the sexes. This was followed by in order Type-I’ > Type-I > Type-IV and Type-III lip patterns. There was a difference in gender wise distribution of lip prints. Conclusion: Further specific population based and gender specific studies on lip prints with larger sample size are required for comparison of lip prints with gender, so that a database could be created and used for representing sex differentiation.
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College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal. The subjects aged between 18-25 years belonging to the Nepalese population were chosen by simple random method. The study was conducted over a period of 2 months, from 1st of July to 31st August 2018, after approval of the Institutional Ethical Committee. All the subjects were briefed about the purpose of the study and informed consent was obtained from each of them. Only healthy subjects free from oral pathologies, inflammation, abnormalities or deformities such as cleft lip, cut marks, surgical scars or lesions around the lips were included in the study.

In this study classification of lip print patterns proposed by Suzuki and Tsuchihashi\textsuperscript{4,5} was followed.

Type I: Long vertical (Clear-cut vertical grooves that run across the lips).
Type I': Short vertical (Partial length groove of type I).
Type II: Branched grooves (Branching Y-shaped pattern).
Type III: Intersected grooves (Criss-cross/’x’ pattern grooves).
Type IV: Reticular pattern (Grooves that forms rectangular shape).
Type V: Mixed/Indefinite (Grooves that do not fall into any of the above categories, combination of two or more patterns and/or cannot be differentiated morphologically/undetermined).

The subjects were made to stand erect comfortable with head aligned in Frankfurt plane. The subjects with their lips in ‘natural condition’ (free of lip sticks, lip gloss or any cosmetic products) were photographed using a digital camera NIKON D5300.

**Lip print examination**

After transferring the photograph of the lips on a computer, firstly the lip was divided into four quadrants in a clockwise direction namely Quadrant 1, Quadrant 2, Quadrant 3, and Quadrant 4, respectively (Figure 1). The lip prints were then studied in detail with respect to each quadrant in accordance to the predominance of the lip print pattern in relation to gender. Comparison was done by studying the number and position of different lip print patterns in all the four quadrants. The photographs of lip print observed in this study is depicted in (Figure 2).

**Statistical analysis**

The existence of association of lip print pattern with gender was analysed using Chi square test. The p-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Table 1: Gender wise distribution of lip prints**

| Types of lip prints | Male students (n=50) | Female students (n=50) | Total students (n=100) |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|                     | (200) Quadrants %    | (200) Quadrants %      | (400) Quadrants %     |
| Type I              | 18  9.00             | 11  5.50              | 29  7.25             |
| Type I'             | 27  13.50            | 18  9.00              | 45  11.25            |
| Type II             | 151 75.50            | 150 75.00             | 301 75.25            |
| Type III            | 4   2.00             | 7   3.50              | 11  2.75             |
| Type IV             | 0   0.00             | 14  7.00              | 14  3.50             |
| Type V              | 0   0.00             | 0   0.00              | 0   0.00             |
| Total               | 200 100.00           | 200 100.00            | 400 100.00           |
RESULT

The present study was piloted to assess the distribution and gender-wise predilection of lip print patterns. The overall distribution of lip print impressions and its types with respect to each quadrant in both the sexes is shown in (Tables 1 and 2).

In the present study analysis of lip print patterns revealed that no two lip prints were identical, thus indicating the individuality of lip prints. The commonest lip pattern found in our study was Type II pattern (75.25%). This was followed by in order by Type I’ (11.25%), Type I (7.25%), Type IV (3.5%) Type III (2.75%). There was no Type V (0.00%) lip pattern observed in either gender in this study. There was a difference in gender wise distribution of lip prints. Among males Type II (75.50%) and Type I’ (13.50%) lip pattern occurred more repeatedly followed by Type I (9.00%) and Type III (2.00%) with no Type IV and Type V (0.00%) pattern. While among females Type II (75.00%) and Type I’ (9.00%) occurred more repeatedly followed by Type IV (7.00%) > Type I (5.50%) > Type III (3.50%) with no Type V (0.00%) pattern. There was no statistical significant difference observed in the distribution of lip prints with respect to each quadrant between males and females.

DISCUSSION

Finger prints and DNA comparison are most commonly used tools for the purpose of identification. However, it is useful to have several other methods of identification like cheiloscopy and palatoscopy as alternative tools in situations such as crime scenes, accidents and mass disasters. In this regard, lip prints could be a useful substitution to fingerprints and teeth for human identification. A major factor influencing lip prints is the method by which the prints are recorded. The status of the lips while recording is vital. As suggested by several authors a common problem encountered with traditional lip stick method is smudging or spoiling of lip prints leading to unidentifiable marks. Also, the amount and evenness, pressure and direction of the lipstick applied to the lips can disturb the accuracy of the records as well. Thus, in this study an easier photographic method with nil physical contact as adopted by Kapoor & Badiye in Indian population is applied.

In our present study each individual had a distinctive lip print; no similar lip print pattern appeared in two subjects. This is in agreement with the results of most of the studies which concluded lip prints are not similar among different individuals thus confirming the uniqueness of lip prints.
the lip print.14,15,16 Type II lip pattern was almost equally distributed in both males and females at a proportion of (75.50%) and (75.00%) respectively, followed by Type I (13.50%) in males and (79.00%) in females. There was no Type V (0.00%) lip prints observed in either of the sex in our study. Similar, to our finding predominance of Type II lip print was observed by Karki in Nepalese population, Verma et al, Patel et al and Gondivkar et al in Indian population.10,17,18,19 In contrary study findings of Ghimire et al. mentioned Type I as most frequently occurring lip pattern in Nepalese population.20 The overall pattern of distribution of lip prints in our study was in order Type II (75.25%), Type I’ (11.25%), Type I (7.25%), Type IV (3.50%) and Type III (2.75%). In males distribution of lip prints was in order Type II (75.50%) > Type I’ (13.50%) > Type I (9.00%) > Type III (2.00%) with no Type IV (0.00%) and Type V (0.00%). In females distribution of lip prints was in order Type II (75.00%) > Type I’ (9.00%) > Type IV (7.00%) > Type I (5.50%) > Type III (3.50%) with no Type V (0.00%). This is in accordance to the results of various studies done earlier which reveals that lip prints show ethnic and racial differences thus, it can be a suitable adjunct to identification of the person.10, 17, 18,19

In Nepali judicial system, inspite of the uniqueness of lip prints the practical application of this technique is lacking. As lip prints are unique, effort should be made to record the lip prints along with other traditional methods of identification. There is also need for similar studies on a larger sample size in both sexes to confirm the frequency of unchanging lip print patterns and to study the sex differences, in order to authenticate lip prints as a powerful forensic tool.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, lip prints of study participants did not match with each other. Thus, this finding validates that lip prints are distinctive similar to finger prints and thus have forensic significance. Smudging of lip prints is one of the major limitations of using lip sticks. Hence, photographic technique as adopted in our study could be constructive in recording lip prints to establish a morphologic database that might be of great value in civil and criminal litigations.

RECOMMENDATION

Population specific and gender specific studies in depth with larger sample size should be conducted in a larger scale so that a standard data base could be obtained and used for representing sex differentiation. Hence, analysis and testing of such data in various population groups has become absolutely essential.
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