Fabrication of Electrospun Polycaprolactone/Gelatin Composite Nanofibrous Scaffolds with Cellular Responses
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Abstract: Numerous wound care products have been investigated for skin tissue engineering. Factors which influence to skin substitute selection are condition of patient, wound depth, infection in wound. Other factors which also play role in deciding skin substitute are cost, its availability, ease of storage, required operative interventions. With perspective of Indian market, commercial available skin substitutes are either costly or their availability restricted to major cities only. A cost effective skin substitute is strongly needed to heal wounds with minimal scarring and maximum function. The aim of this study was to investigate the possibility of synthetic scaffold loaded with Wharton’s jelly derived Mesenchymal stem cells and to access the role of scaffolds in proliferation and differentiation of MSCs in-vitro, in order to achieve for the healing of wound graft substitutes with improved biological properties. As a result, WJ-MSCs were isolated, harvested and seeded on the surface of the fabricated PCL/GE nanofibrous scaffold. The biological properties and growth of MSCs were studied for anti-inflammation, cytotoxicity, cell proliferation, and SEM analysis indicated that the fabricated synthetic scaffold supported cells attachment, viability, and proliferation of cells. The characterization studies of nanofibers were studied for ATR-FTIR, XRD, TEM, viscosity, and degradation studies suggest that the nanofibrous scaffold loaded with stem cells could be an excellent tissue-engineered skin base for wound healing and skin regeneration.
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1. Introduction

Skin wounds continue to be a major public health concern worldwide resulting from surgical procedures, reduced circulations, mechanical trauma, burns, or aging [1]. Among all the injuries burn is a major global public health problem and also a main cause of morbidity [2, 3]. As stated by world health organisation (WHO) around 2,65,000 deaths occur each year due to fires alone globally; electrical burns, with more deaths from scalds and other forms of burns. In India, burn remains is the second largest group of injuries following road accidents with an estimated annual incidence of 6-7 million and nearly 1.4 lakh people die owing to severe burn while 2.4 lakh people suffer with disability [3]. Several natural skin substitutes such as allografts, xenografts and autografts have been widely used to restore wound defects. However, these skin substitutes cannot achieve skin regeneration due to risk of infection, limited donor sites, slow healing and lead formation of scar [4, 5]. In recent times, the rapid growth of nanotechnology has spurred the progression of nanofibrous scaffolds which has been found to play significant role in the management of skin-related disorders and deep burn [6]. Biomaterials scaffolds play an essential role in this technology by providing synthetic as well as suitable extra cellular matrix (ECM) surroundings for growing cells and drug delivery in critically injured skin [6]. There are numerous fabrication techniques including self-assembly, phase separation and electrospinning. Among all the techniques, Electrospinning is a fiber production technique which utilizes electric force for fabricating highly porous nanosize scaffolds from a wide variety of polymers.
comprises biopolymers such as gelatin, fibrinogen and collagen on a large scale [7]. The large surface area to volume ratio of nanofibers enhances the diffusion efficiency of nutrient and gaseous exchange [8]. Porosity, air permeability, and surface wettability of nanofibers are vital elements for tissue regeneration [9]. Moreover, electrospinning is capable to fabricate nanofibers with identical morphology and architectural features to the natural ECM in skin [10].

Fundamentally, natural and synthetic polymers are the principal scaffold materials utilize for tissue engineering applications. Among the synthetic polymers, PLGA (poly [lactic-co-glycolic acid]), PLLA (poly-L-lactic acid), PCL (Polycaprolactone) have been broadly used owing to their biocompatibility, biodegradability and appropriate mechanical properties [11-14]. In comparison to other synthetic polymers, polycaprolactone (PCL) is a bioreabsorbable and biocompatible polymer with outstanding mechanical properties, [15, 16] approved by food drug and administration (FDA) for usage in several biomedical applications. On the other hand, collagen, chitosan, gelatin, fibrinogen, chitin, and hyaluronic acid are commonly used natural polymer due to their superior biocompatibility [16, 17]. Among all natural polymers, gelatin has been generally used due to its low cost, easy availability and also contains the similar biological properties as collagen, a key element of the native ECM [18, 19]. Therefore, the hybrid PCL/ Gelatin has gained considerable interests as excellent candidate for electrospinning fibrous scaffold for wound healing, layered dermal reconstitution and nerve tissue engineering [20, 21]. Moreover, MSCs because they are globally available tissue and no ethical concern associated with their collection since they are usually discarded as human waste [23]. Therefore, mesenchymal stem cells isolated from Wharton’s jelly become convenient alternative sources of MSCs for tissue repair and cell therapy in the field of regenerative medicine. In this study, we used PCL/Gelatin composite fibrous scaffolds seeded with mesenchymal stem cell for wound healing. This study investigates the potential and future prospects of synthetic scaffolds as skin substitutes fabricated using electrospinning for tissue repair and regeneration.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in R&D, CelluGen Biotech, Gurgaon, India, after obtaining prior approval of the study protocol by the Institutional Committee for Stem Cell Research (IC-SCR).

2.1. Material

Poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). Gelatin (GE) in powder form was purchased from MP Biomedical (India) and its organic solvent 1, 1, 1, 3, 3, 3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) (purity ≥ 99.0%) was purchased from Alfa-Aesar (Product of Great Britain). All the other chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and used without further purification. The Umbilical cord tissue (n = 5) irrespective of the sex of baby was collected from full-term births after caesarean section was obtained from the C-section delivery process with donor consent form and institutional guidelines.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of PCL/GE-Based Polymer Solution

The 9% polymer blend solution of PCL/GE, which were 9% (w/v) (PCL= 0.6 g; GE= 0.3g), were prepared by dissolving PCL pellets and GE powder into 10 ml of HFIP through stirring magnetically up to 12h using a magnetic stirrer (REMI, India) until the mixture dissolve completely at room temperature.

2.2.2. Fabrication of PCL/Gelatin-Based Electrospun Nanofibers

An electrospinning unit (E-spin Nanotech, Super-ES-1, India) was used in the fabrication of PCL/GE –based nanofibers. The prepared polymer solution (9% [w/v] PCL/GE-based) was transferred into a 5 ml syringe with the constant flow rate of 0.5ml/h using a syringe pump. Once the voltage at 14 kV was applied to the needles of the syringe, a fluid jet was applied to the needles and accelerated towards a drum collector aluminium sheet. The needle was placed at a distance of 12.5 cm away from the aluminium collector. The polymer solution was evaporated and the charged polymer fibers were deposited on the collector in the form of nanofibers.

2.3. Characterization of PCL/GE-Based Electrospun Nanofibers

2.3.1. Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR): Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

Chemical bonding of PCL/GE was determined by ATR-FTIR. The functional groups present in the electros spun PCL - gelatin nanofibers were analyzed using Fourier transform–infrared (FT–IR) spectrometer (Spectrum 100, Perkin Elmer, USA). The spectra were recorded between 4000 and 400 cm\(^{-1}\) with a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\).

2.3.2. X-ray Powder Diffraction (XRD)

The electrospun samples were measured by analyzing the unique crystallography patterns for each of the material components obtained in X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies. A monochromatic Cu X-ray source (40 kV) was utilized to
2.3.3. Viscosity

Viscosity measurements of different PCL-GE concentration solution was carried out at different shear rates ranging from 0.1 to 500 s⁻¹ at 25°C. The spindle arrangement was parallel plate geometry with a gap of 100μm and 30 mm diameter plate.

2.3.4. In-vitro Degradation Study

The selected sample of nanofibers was cut into 1cm² pieces and immersed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.4) and incubated in-vitro at 37°C for different periods of time (day 1 and day 28). After each degradation period, the nanofibers were washed and subsequently dried in room temperature for 24 hours and all the samples were rinsed twice with distilled water to remove salts and then dried the weight of the dried samples were measured and the percentage weight loss was calculated using following formula:

\[
\text{Weight loss (\%) = \frac{\text{initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{initial weight}}} \times 100
\]

2.3.5. Transmission Electron Microscopy of Core-Shell Structure

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI-TECNAI G2 20S-TWIN, FEI, Hillsboro, USA) was performed to ascertain the core-shell structure of nanofibers. The nanofibers with different combinations of PCL-SF were directly electropun on the carbon coated grids of TEM and observed under 80kV, low voltage was applied for nanofibers to avoid radiation damage.

2.4. In-vitro Stem Cells Experiments and Characterization Studies

2.4.1. Stem Cells Culture

The human umbilical cord was retrieved after caesarean section with informed consent. WJ-MSCs were isolated from umbilical cord via explant culture as described in the previous publication. Briefly, 35-40 jelly explants with size of 0.5 mm were transferred in tissue-culture-grade T-75 flask (Nunc, Denmark) which contained culture medium. After incubation at 37°C for 3-5 days non-adherent cells were removed and fresh media was added. In this study, MSCs were cultured and expanded in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium-Nutrient mixture Ham’s F-12 (1:1) with Glutamax (1X); 2.438 g/L Sodium Bicarbonate; Sodium Pyruvate (DMEM/F12+; Gibco, USA) with 10% PLTMax Human Platelet Lysate (SCM141, Merck) supplemented with 2 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; Sigma-Aldrich, UK). Cells were maintained in a 5% CO₂ incubator (Thermo Scientific, USA) at 37°C and the medium was renewed every five days. After reaching 70-80% confluence cells were dissociated using TrypLE Express (Gibco, USA) and passaged 1:3 into fresh culture flasks.

2.4.2. Characterization of Stem Cells

All the experiments were performed with WJ-MSCs from passage 1-2. Thereafter, cells were characterized for surface antigen expression using flow cytometry analysis (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences, USA) and data analysis was done using the CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences, USA) [24]. The cells were positive for the cell surface markers were analyzed with CD90-FITC, CD73-APC, CD105-PE (BD Pharmingen, USA) and negative for CD45-FITC, CD34-PE, CD79a-APC and HLA-DR BD Pharmingen, USA). The ability to differentiate into osteogenic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic lineages using specific culture media were studied as per previous studies [24, 25]. The experiments are conducted using the guidelines approved by Inter-National Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) to define human MSCs [26].

2.5. Characterization of the MSCs Seeded Scaffolds

2.5.1. Cytotoxicity Evaluation of Scaffolds

The cytotoxicity of MSCs on synthetic scaffold was studied via MTT (3-(4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay after 48 hours [27, 28]. The method of analysis employed using standard techniques was studied in detail in the previous published publication [29].

2.5.2. Cell Attachment and Cell Proliferation

Cell proliferation was analysed by using the Alamar blue (AB) assay [30]. The cell scaffold constructs were removed from the culture plates at days 1, 3, 5, 7, 14 and 21, using standard conventional protocols were studied in detail in the earlier published methodology [29].

2.5.3. Anti-Inflammation Study

The experiment was carried out with minor modification Gnana et al. 2011 [31]. The standard drug and test samples (PCL/Gelatin nano fibers) were diluted with phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 7.4). The different concentrations of standard drug and test samples was mixed with 1 ml of 1mM albumin solution in phosphate buffer and incubated at 37°C in incubator for 15 min. Denaturation was induced by keeping the reaction mixture at 60°C in water bath for 15 min. After cooling, the turbidity was measured at 660 nm. Percentage of inhibition of denaturation was calculated from control where no drug was added. The diclofenac sodium was used as standard drug. The percentage inhibition of denaturation was calculated by following formula.

\[
\% \text{ of Inhibition} = \left[ \frac{(OD \text{ of test}) - (OD \text{ of control})}{OD \text{ of test}} \right] \times 100
\]

2.5.4. Cell Attachment and Morphology

Cell attachment and morphology of cells seeded on the synthetic scaffolds were studied via scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples were collected at different time intervals, at the end of particular incubation time, cell-
scaffold constructs were washed twice with PBS and kept in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (TCI, India) solution for 2 hours at room temperature and maintained at -80°C as per the published protocol [29].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Software (La Jolla, CA, USA). Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for illustration. The statistical significance of metabolic activity and proliferation potential among harvested cells was determined using two-way ANOVA. P < 0.0001 was considered statistically significant.

3. Result and Discussion

Engineering skin substitute by tissue engineering approach is based on creation of three dimensional scaffold analogue to extra cellular matrix which guides cell adhesion and proliferation. The interest in stem cells for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine has been increased because of properties possessed by stem cells such as proliferation potential, multi lineage differentiation potential and capacity to regenerate the tissue defects. The development of cell loaded scaffold may enable complete, accelerated wound regeneration. The following outcomes of the study revealed the positive desired properties of the fabricated synthetic composite.

3.1. Surface Morphology Analysis

Random nanofibers were fabricated through electrospinning. Defect-free random nanofibers were achieved by the optimized the solution and process parameter includes solvent ratio, polymer concentration, flow rate, applied potential, tip-to-target distance and needle size. The electro spinning process parameters for PCL-gelatin blend system were optimized and are PCL-gelatin (6:3) at 9% (w/v) concentration when electrospun at 14 kV applied potential, 0.5 mL/h flow rate, 12.5 cm of tip-to target distance and 16G needle size formed defect-free nanofibers and the average nanofiber diameter was 228 ± 30 nm.

3.2. Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR): Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The characteristic groups present in the PCL–gelatin nanofibers were confirmed using FTIR spectroscopy. FTIR figure shows the FTIR spectra of PCL-gelatin nanofibrous scaffolds. The PCL nanofiber scaffolds showed characteristic bands at 2939.52 cm⁻¹ (asymmetric CH2 stretching), 2862.36 cm⁻¹ (symmetric CH2 stretching), 1724.36 cm⁻¹ (ester carbonyl stretching), 1294.24 cm⁻¹ (C–O stretching) and 1240.23 cm⁻¹ (asymmetric COC stretching). The PCL gelatin nanofibrous scaffold exhibited characteristic bands at 1649.14 cm⁻¹ (amide I), 1544.98 cm⁻¹ (amide II), 1456.26 cm⁻¹ (amide III), and 1365.60 cm⁻¹ (amide IV) along with characteristic bands due to the PCL. FTIR spectrum of PCL-gelatin nanofiber showed characteristic bands of both constituent polymers confirming formation of the blend (Figure 1a). The presence of an amide group confirms the presence of GE in nanofibers after polymer blending and electrospinning. Amide groups in gelatin are able to form hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Thus gelatin has the ability to increase the hydrophilicity of PCL based nanofibers. The samples a to f tested are Sample (a): PCL gelatin optimized polymer solution and process parameters on PCL-gelatin nanofiber scaffold, Sample 1(b) to 1(f) are scaffold exposed with D.H2O, PBS, EtoH, CHCl3, and DCM respectively for analyze the degradation of nanofibers in different solvents. All the exposed samples are observed almost similar type of characteristic bands of FTIR spectrum (Figure 1).

3.3. XRD

X-rays scatter off of electrons, in a process of absorption and re-admission. Diffraction is the accumulative result of the x-ray scattering of a group of electrons that are spaced in an orderly array. For an incident X-ray photon of monochromatic wavelength λ, coherent waves are produced from the sample at an angle of θ (2θ with respect to the incident x-ray beam) if the electron groups interact with the x-ray beam and are spaced at a repeat distance d. The
interaction is described by Bragg's law: \( n\lambda = 2d\sin\theta \). The intensity of the scattered x-ray is proportional to the number of electrons that the x-ray is scattered from. Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns for PCL. The PCL exhibits strong peaks at 25.15 and 26.75° in 2Θ. However, small peaks seen at 21.25 and 23.75° in 2Θ represent a PCL component of the composite suggesting a blended material. XRD analysis adds additional confirmation that electrospun composite scaffolds were produced.

![Figure 1. Chemical bonding analysis by ATR-FTIR: The samples a to f tested are Sample (a): PCL gelatin optimized polymer solution and process parameters on PCL-gelatin nanofiber scaffold, Sample (b) to (f) are Scaffold exposed with D.H\(_2\)O, PBS, EtoH, CHCl\(_3\), and DCM respectively.](image)

![Figure 2. XRD Patterns for the electrospun fiber: PCL shows high crystallinity with peaks at 25.15 and 26.75° in 2Θ.](image)

### 3.4. Viscosity

Viscosity of the electrospinning solution plays a dominant role in determining the nanofiber formation ability, dimension and texture of the fibers. All the emulsion combinations exhibited non-Newtonian shear thinning property under the application of shear rate. It has been reported [37] that sufficient chain entanglement was necessary among the polymers for initiation of uninterrupted electrospinning yielding fibers in diameter submicron range. Viscosity with both very low and high values might result in beaded nanofibers; an optimum value of viscosity in the range of 0.1 to 2 Pa-s is preferred for spinning [35]. In the conducted study with 9% PCL/GE, it was observed that PCL solution displayed higher viscosity and the stability of the
nanofibers produced was intact but had thicker fiber diameters. The viscosity of the final 9% PCL/GE was 0.1 Pa-s which resulted in breakage of solution into droplets giving rise to multiple beaded fibers.

3.5. **In-vitro Degradation Study**

Degradation of PCL-gelatin nano fibers were studied for 4 weeks, the synthetic scaffolds in the study were cut into square shaped samples with dimension of 10mm x 10mm. Samples were kept in PBS solution at 37°C in a shaking water bath and the PBS was changed every alternate day. The PCL-gelatine nano fibers were removed after, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. In figure 3A shows the morphology degradation of PCL-gelatine nanofibrous from day 0 to day 28, and observed that >50% of scaffold was degraded after 28 days. The figure 3B shows weight loss percentage of PCL-gelatine nanofibrous scaffold was calculated for synthetic membrane (n=5) for 7, 14, 21 and 28 days correspondingly expresses the mean of weight loss percentage of PCL-gelatine nanofibrous scaffold after in-vitro degradation on 7, 14, 21, and 28 days.

![Figure 3. Morphology of degraded nanofibers: (A) In-vitro morphology degradation of PCL-Gelatin nano fibres (A to E) on 7, 14, 21, and 28 days correspondingly, (B): Weight loss percentage of PCL/GE nanofibrous was calculated for synthetic scaffold (n=5) (*SS) after in-vitro degradation on 7, 14, 21, and 28 days respectively.](image)

3.6. **Transmission Electron Microscopy of Core-Shell Structure**

![Figure 4. The PCL/GE nanofibers exhibited distinct core-shell structure with fiber diameter was observed by TEM in Figure E, Figure A to D is the morphology under SEM of nano fiber scaffold with magnification of 500X, 2KX, 5KX, and 10KX respectively.](image)

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to ascertain the core-shell structure of the electrospun nanofibers. As the polymer jet is drawn more towards the collector plate under high electrical voltage, the aqueous phase is drawn inwards in the individual fibers giving rise to core-shell structure. The nanofibers with the optimized combinations at 9% (w/v) PCL-gelatin (6:3) polymer concentration, 14 kV applied potential, 0.5 mL/hr flow rate, at a tip-to-target distance of 12.5 cm were directly electrospun on the carbon coated grids of TEM and observed under 80 kV, low voltage was analyzed. The PCL/GE nanofibers exhibited distinct core-shell structure with fiber diameter was observed in the average was found to be 228 ± 30 nm under the optimized conditions (Figure 4).

3.7. **Cytotoxicity Evaluation**

The cytotoxicity of synthetic scaffold seeded with WJ-MSCs (n=5) was confirmed by quantitative analysis using the MTT assay. The absorbance values of test samples (TS1 and TS2) after exposure to the medium for 48 hours were corresponding to the number of metabolically active cells. The absorbance values of TS1 and TS2 showed good viability and continuous metabolic activity of the MSCs on scaffold than the positive control (PC) and known cells control (KC1-KC4). Thus the results (Figure 5) showed that the metabolic activity of WJ-MSCs on fabricated scaffold was not suppressed in the medium and from the computable scores, it was determined that the extracts of the fabricated biological scaffolds proved no cytotoxic reactivity in this experiment. The statistical analysis used for determine the cytotoxicity effect by One-way ANOVA, P value P<0.0001, with means significant difference (P<0.05), and the data represent cumulative mean ± SD is statistically significant.
Figure 5. Data represent cumulative mean ± SD for determination of cytotoxicity of synthetic scaffolds (n=5): (BC): Blank Control - Culture medium without scaffold and Cells, (PC): Positive Control - Synthetic scaffold without cells, (KC1 to KC4): Known Control Sample - 25000, 50000, 75000 and 100000 MSCs seeded without scaffold respectively, (TS1 and TS2): Test sample - 50000 and 100000 cells seeded on synthetic scaffold respectively. (Absorbance at 595 nm).

3.8. Cell Attachment and Cell Proliferation

Figure 6. A Standard graph of percentage AB reduction versus logarithm of cell growth. Test parameter: Absorbance values at wavelengths of 540nm and 630nm Vs Hours in culture. Test sample (TS1 and TS2): 50000 and 100000 cells seeded on synthetic scaffold, and known sample control (KS1 and KS2): 50000 and 100000 MSCs seeded without scaffold respectively. Whereas blank control (BC): culture medium without scaffold and cells, and Positive control (PC): Synthetic scaffold without cells accordingly.

The results confirms the percentage reduction of AB with different incubation hours and at different initial cell densities and including the standard graph of percentage (%) AB reduction versus logarithm of cell growth (Figure 6). The higher AB reduction was observed in the test culture TS2 compared with TS1 over the whole culture period. In the culture, cell proliferation was gradually increased with culture time over the first 3 days and the metabolic activity of the cells (KS1 and KS2) growing in the medium seems to slow-down by day 5, suggesting that the surfaces were advancing into maximum confluence. Similarly, AB reduction percentage of TS1 and TS2 was started increased after 64 hours were showed that the constant cells growth rate and quantified proliferation of MSCs on the scaffold.

3.9. Anti-Inflammation Study

The anti-inflammatory activity of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers was studied and the anti-inflammatory mechanisms of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers seeded with mesenchymal stem cells secreted cytokines might be released, resulting the decrease in the level of inflammation. The results were obtained from the Control: Diclofenac sodium and changes in the turbidity of the PCL/Gelatin nano fibers seeded with Mesenchymal Stem Cells for anti-inflammatory activity was measured at 660nm were tabulated in the below Table 1 and the percentage of inhibition is demonstrated in figure 7. Denaturation of proteins is a known cause of the inflammation. As part of this investigation on the mechanism of the anti-inflammatory activity, ability of synthetic scaffold seeded with MSCs to inhibit the protein denaturation was studied. Percentage inhibition of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers seeded with Mesenchymal Stem Cells was calculated from 50µg/ml to 1600µg/ml concentration. It is observed that 19.08% at 50µg/ml, 33.5% at 100µg/ml, 43.67% at 200µg/ml, 50.85% at 400µg/ml, 63.28% at 800µg/ml and the maximum inhibition of 75% was observed at 1600µg/ml respectively (Figure 8). No changes in the turbidity were recorded in the untreated control. This study result reveals that the effective inhibition of protein denaturation proved the potential anti-inflammatory activity of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers seeded with Mesenchymal Stem Cells.

Figure 7. Percentage inhibition of synthetic scaffold seeded with mesenchymal stem cells with variation in concentration of diluted test samples.

Figure 8. The anti-inflammatory activity of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers: The anti-inflammatory activity of PCL/Gelatin nano fibers. (A) Control: Diclofenac sodium was used as standard drug (B) Changes in the turbidity of the PCL/Gelatin nano fibers seeded with Mesenchymal Stem Cells for protein denaturation test.
Table 1. Anti-inflammatory activity.

| Conc. (µg) | OD at 660 nm | % of Inhibition | mean | sd |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|------|----|
|           | Singlet | Duplicate | Triplet | Singlet | Duplicate | Triplet |      |      |
| 50        | 0.299   | 0.302     | 0.305     | 18.2832 | 19.0949 | 19.8907 | 19.0896 | 0.80379 |
| 100       | 0.361   | 0.368     | 0.375     | 32.3176 | 33.6051 | 34.8444 | 33.5891 | 1.26348 |
| 200       | 0.421   | 0.439     | 0.442     | 41.9636 | 44.3432 | 44.721  | 43.6759 | 1.49491 |
| 400       | 0.489   | 0.495     | 0.508     | 50.0341 | 50.6397 | 51.9029 | 50.8589 | 0.95348 |
| 800       | 0.663   | 0.657     | 0.677     | 63.1473 | 62.8108 | 63.9094 | 63.2892 | 0.56289 |
| 1600      | 0.991   | 1.011     | 1.017     | 75.3448 | 75.8325 | 75.9751 | 75.7175 | 0.33054 |

3.10. Cell Attachment and Morphology

The morphology and attachment of cells seeded on the synthetic scaffolds were examined via scanning electron microscopy. Figure 9 shows the SEM micrographs of the MSCs cultured on the surface of PCL/Gelatin membranes for 3 and 5 days. Most of the MSCs were well flattened and favorably spread across all sample surfaces for both third and fifth days, indicating good interaction and integration of MSCs with the surrounding fibers. It is observed at third-day that MSCs adhesion as well as the highest numbers of cells adhered on the nanofibrous surfaces. Similar trend has been found at fifth-day culture, where the PCL/GE sample again showed the best MSC adhesion and had most cells adhered to its surface (figure 9). It is also noted that the fibrous structure appeared quite stable after fifth-day incubation in the culture medium based on the SEM observations, and no indication of the preferential dissolving of the gelatin was found according to the attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy measurements.

![Figure 9. Synthetic nano fiber scaffold under SEM Magnification: (A, D, G) Control: Morphology of the PCL/Gelatin electrospun fibers (Magnification 500X, 5000X and 10,000X respectively), (B, E, H): Morphology of MSCs cultured for 3 days on the PCL/Gelatin electrospun nanofiber scaffold appearance and MSCs are adhered onto synthetic scaffold (Mag: 500X, 5000X and 10,000X respectively), and (C, F, I): Morphology of MSCs cultured for 5 days on the PCL/Gelatin electrospun nanofiber scaffold appearance and MSCs are adhered onto synthetic scaffold (Mag: 500X, 5000X and 10,000X correspondingly).](image)

Taken altogether, the study proved that the PCL/Gelatin sample not only indicated the uppermost tensile strength and elongation rate, also the finest MSC responses in terms of attachment, spreading, viability, proliferation and cytoskeleton organization. The result suggested that the mechanical properties of the nanofibrous scaffold could be a significant part of the cellular microenvironment and play a vital role in affecting the cellular responses.

4. Conclusion

Cell-based tissue engineering provides a huge hope for regenerative therapy, but it has been limited so far due to the insufficient number of cells obtained from donors and the lack of effective ways to deliver them to target sites [34, 35]. To overcome the challenge, a special processing technique is
required to ensure consistent quality and promising option for wound healing matrices to mimic extracellular matrix of skin, nanoporous nature to aid in the transport of oxygen to the wound while keeping bacteria out and bioactive factors can be incorporated into the nanofibres to further promote healing. In this study, we have developed the fabricated skin substitute consists of electrospun nanofibrous seeded with mesenchymal stem cells composite system, with focused on the advantages of artificial scaffold approach over biologic scaffold, in terms of the fabricated raw chemical materials; is biodegradable, can be fabricated in large size according to clinical demand, not have any risk of disease transmission in comparison to natural derived material; easy to use and available ‘off-the-shelf’. The overall results suggested that the nanofibrous network mechanical properties could be an important part of the cellular microenvironment and play an important role in influencing cellular responses. In addition to proving the finest MSC responses in terms of attachment, proliferation, and matrix formation, the PCL/Gelatin nanofibrous also had the highest tensile strength and prolonged rate. Thus, it’s clearly evidenced that electrospun nanofibers allows the design and fabrication of biomimetic scaffolds that offer an architecture, a fabulous potential uses for wound healing, also can deliver the stem cells at target site to makes it a promising candidate for expansion and transplantation of stem cells in large numbers at a target defect site.
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