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Abstract

We demonstrate reversible and switchable actuation using AC electric fields to bring two surfaces separated by a thin film of ionic fluid in and out of adhesive contact. Using a surface force balance we apply electric fields normal to a crossed-cylinder contact and measure directly the adhesive force and surface separation with sub-molecular resolution. Taking advantage of the oscillatory structural force acting between the surfaces across the fluid, which we show to be unaffected by the AC field, we pick between the distinct (quantized) adhesive states through precise tuning of the field. This proof-of-concept indicates exquisite control of surface interactions using an external field.
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The control of friction and adhesion have been central to technological advancement since ancient times, from starting fires [1] to building monuments to kings [2]. Tribology, the science of adhesion and friction, has progressively adjusted focus over the past century from macro- and mesoscales down to the nanoscale [3–5]. A basic tenet of tribology is that the adhesion and friction between two materials is fully determined by the properties of the surface material and of any added lubricant. Recently, a series of pioneering experiments challenged this view: friction and adhesion may be controlled by means of external stimuli, without need for altering the material components [6, 7]. Strategies for such external control include mechanical excitations [8, 9] and photo-switching of friction and adhesion at materials coated in light-responsive molecules [10].

An alternative route to external control of surface interactions is through electrical polarisation of one or both surfaces. An attractive feature of using electrical signals is the ability to switch surface interactions (such as adhesion) ‘on’ and ‘off’, remotely and reversibly. Furthermore, electric fields and electrical polarisation can be applied noise-free, require no bespoke chemistry or additional mechanical components, and they can be focussed at particular sites of interest. A few cases of friction and adhesion control with electric fields have been reported [7]. For example, friction has been increased across semiconducting contacts by applying a bias that alters the doping levels locally and thus changes the friction force [11–13].
Electric fields have been used to control the friction between two surfaces coated with polyelectrolyte brushes, as the field controls the degree of interpenetration of the brushes in contact [14]. Constant voltages have been used to alter the friction between AFM tips and gold or graphite surfaces separated by thin layers of ionic liquid; applying a potential to the sliding surfaces changes the composition of the boundary layer and thus changes the friction response [15, 16]. Another example of constant voltage friction control has recently been demonstrated in the surface force balance (SFB), with a gold and mica surface immersed in an aqueous electrolyte. The gold potential can be used to determine if ions will be introduced between the surfaces when they are in contact, and thus produce a different friction response [17].

Here, we demonstrate electric-field control of adhesion using a different mechanism. By applying an alternating (AC) electric field perpendicular to two surfaces with a liquid film between, we introduce a force which can be used to drive the surfaces in and out of adhesive contact. Taking advantage of the oscillatory interaction potential between the surfaces across the liquid, the AC actuation allows us to choose between the available adhesive states and thus provides exquisite control of the adhesion strength. Our measurements, carried out with a SFB, have resolution in surface separation of ∼0.1 nm and therefore provide insight into the adhesion process at the level of individual liquid layers between the surfaces.

The SFB used for these experiments is optimised for measuring the interaction forces between surfaces across fluids as a function of their separation distance with sub-molecular resolution. For the present experiments the SFB was adapted for applying electric fields precisely perpendicular to the confinement direction; the set-up is shown schematically in figure 1, and has been presented recently [18].

For our proof-of-concept experiments we chose an ionic liquid as the fluid medium due to the very strong oscillatory surface forces in ionic liquids compared to the equivalent structural forces in non-charged systems [19–23]. Furthermore, ionic liquids are well known to perform favourably in engineering contexts due to their negligible vapour pressure, chemical stability, resistance to degradation, and lubrication qualities [24, 25]. We apply AC electric fields rather than DC fields because DC fields typically lead to electrochemical degradation and are subject to electrostatic screening in ion-containing fluids. AC fields, on the other hand, act in an unscreened manner if the frequency is higher than the double-layer charging time of the system [26].

The fluid film is confined between the two silver electrodes with mica sheets acting as dielectric spacers coating each electrode. The mica sheets are atomically smooth and precisely uniform in thickness, $T_m$, across the whole area of the two electrodes (figure 1). The mica-coated electrodes are supported on optical lenses with cylindrical curvature ($R \approx 1$ cm), mounted in crossed-cylinder geometry, to provide a reproducible point contact. The electrodes are formed by thermal evaporation of Ag to a thickness of 40 nm, chosen so that they can simultaneously act as semi-transparent mirrors for white light interferometry. Collimated white light (from an Ar–Xe arc lamp bulb, Osram) is incident normal to the crossed-cylinders, and the emergent pattern of fringes of equal chromatic order (FECO) is used to determine the closest separation of the surfaces, $D$, within 0.1 nm [27]. Forces between the surfaces are also determined from the FECO by the deflection of a leaf spring of known spring constant $k$. Electric fields are applied perpendicular to the liquid film by setting up a potential difference between the silver mirror-electrodes, in a manner similar to that first reported by Drummond [14]. Wire attachments are made with a conductive glue (EPON 1004, shell chemicals, mixed with powdered graphite), and bias is applied to the top lens using a signal generator (Keysight 33511B) while the bottom lens is connected to ground. The applied voltage signal is sinusoidal, with root-mean-square amplitude $V_0$ and frequency $\nu$. Typical frequencies used in our experiments are 1 to 10 kHz, which should be faster than the double layer charging time of our system [18].

Calibration of the FECO in mica–mica direct contact is performed in air in order to determine $T_m$, this later allows for absolute measurement of $D$. An important test carried out at the start of each experiment is the measurement of the capacitive force between the electrodes when an AC field is applied across dry air with the surfaces out of contact. Under these conditions the force can be calculated precisely for our experimental geometry [18], and matching calculation to experiment confirms the quality of all electrodes and connections prior to liquid injection. After calibrations, the ionic liquid (1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide, [C$_{6}$C$_{5}$Pyrr][TFSI], Iolitec 99.5%) was injected between the two electrodes.

We first investigated the interaction potential between the surfaces, as a function of $D$, both with and without the applied
acting between the surfaces, with applied electric field (green electric field on, we approach the surfaces using a mechanical than 100 nm when steady state is reached. Then, keeping the surfaces will approach but remain separated by more than 100 nm when steady state is established, we next explore the possibility of surface actuation by switching the AC field. Figure 3 summarises the results of an example experiment. The surfaces were initially placed at a separation $D = D_0$ (using a mechanical drive), and with no applied field. We varied $D_0$ in the range 30 nm < $D_0$ < 400 nm. An AC field was then applied (frequency $\nu$, RMS magnitude $V_0$ starting at time $t_{in}$). The effect of the field was to drive
the surfaces together [18], and as the separation decreased to ≤6 nm, the field was sufficient to overcome maxima in the oscillatory forces and so drive the surfaces between local energy minima. An example $D(i)$ curve during application of the AC field is shown in figure S3 in the supplement. As shown in the summary example, figure 3, we are able to choose $D_0$, $V_0$, and $t_{\text{off}}$ such that we control the adhesive minimum reached under the AC field. The effect is reversible, with the surfaces jumping out of adhesive contact when the field is switched off. Repeated cycles, switching the field on and off, allows for switching in and out of adhesive minima.

The force between the surfaces brought about by applying an AC field across fluid depends on (i) magnitude of the field, with the ‘steady state’ force imposed by the field, $F_{\text{ss}}$, scaling as $F_{\text{ss}} \sim V_0^2$ (for both molecular and ion-containing fluid media) [18, 36], (ii) dielectric and electrokinetic properties of the medium [18, 36], and (iii) geometric factors $D_0$, $T_0$, and $R$. For dielectric fluids, such as molecular liquids, the force between the electrodes is simply the attraction between charged capacitor plates and has been established quantitatively in our experimental setup [18]. When the fluid contains ions—either as a pure ionic liquid or as a diluted electrolyte—the magnitude of $F_{\text{ss}}$ is substantially larger than this simple capacitor force and evolves over slower timescales than the viscous drainage timescale, as reported recently [18, 36]. The origin of this additional force induced by the action of the electric field on the mobile ions remains intriguing and is not yet fully resolved, although strong clues of the importance of ion asymmetry have been provided by Richter and co-workers [36]. The effect is reminiscent of the observed colloidal forces under AC fields in electrolytes reported by Woehl et al [37, 38]; in their later calculations [39] it was pointed out that oscillating electric fields in electrolytes can create a long-range steady field. Separately, Stone, Holyst and Drummond have suggested the origin of the additional force lies in an excess osmotic pressure due to ions drawn into the region of high field from surrounding reservoirs [36]. Here, without attempting to resolve this mechanistic question, we simply make use of the property that $F_{\text{ss}} = K_{\text{liq}} V_0^2$, with fitting parameter $K_{\text{liq}}$ dependent on the liquid and contact geometry.

The relationship between applied voltage and the accessible adhesive minima is inspected in more detail for one example experiment in figure 4. Smaller voltages allow switching in and out of only the shallower adhesive minima, while larger voltages can be applied to access the deeper minima. Since the minima in the interaction potential of equation (1), are at $(\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda_i} D_{\text{min}} + \phi) = (2n - 1)\pi (n \in 1, 2, \ldots)$, giving $D_{\text{min}} = n\lambda_i + (\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^o}{2\pi})$ and $F_{\text{adh}} = -A e^{-D_{\text{min}}/\lambda_i}$, we have that $\ln |F_{\text{adh}}| = c - n\lambda_i^o$ with $c$ constant. The semi-log plot in figure 4(a) shows the measured $\ln |F_{\text{adh}}|$ vs $D$ (black points; fitted dashed line). Since switching is possible when $|F_{\text{liq}}| > |F_{\text{adh}}|$, plotting points in $V_0^2$ vs film thickness where adhesion switching occurs or otherwise, figure 4(a), creates a phase diagram separating the switching and no-switching regions in $D$, $V_0$. The fact that the line $\ln |F_{\text{adh}}| = c - n\lambda_i^o$ must define the ‘switching boundary’ allows us to determine the parameter $K_{\text{liq}} = 1.38 N \text{ m}^{-2}$. Figure S2(b) shows detail and description of individual measurements. Figure 4(b) shows schematically how the applied force arising from an AC field allows for adhesion in any minimum in the oscillatory profile for which $|F_{\text{liq}}| > |F_{\text{adh}}|$. Since $F_{\text{liq}}$ scales with $V_0^2$, small changes to $V_0$ allow for sensitive tuning of the maximal force applied by the AC field and so controlled adhesion in deeper energy minima. In considering the origin of the adhesion control, it is worth interrogating the (perhaps counterintuitive) observation that the normal oscillatory potential, figure 2, is not altered by application of an AC field and yet the surface force introduced by switching on the field is sufficient to drive the surfaces in a substantial and controlled way. This useful phenomenon arises because of the different range of forces relevant in determining the behaviours: the oscillatory structural force arises from strong solid–liquid surface forces of decay length $\lambda_i \sim 1 \text{ nm}$ [35], while the AC field exerts a body force acting throughout the media between the electrodes [18]. When the crossed-cylinders approach to small $D$ the interaction potential is dominated by the strong oscillatory surface forces at the point asperity determined by the liquid; whereas applied AC field acts across the whole region between the electrodes and so the resulting force is dominated by action away from the asperity contact. In this way, the field can be used as an external drive to explore the energy landscape imposed (determined) by the liquid between the surfaces. In principle, such an external drive could be provided by other mechanisms, such as an electromagnet or piezoelectric actuator; our present measurements present one such mechanism with particularly fine control.

The timescales for the action of the AC electric field to reach steady state in this proof-of-concept experiment are of the order of $10^2–10^3$ s. These timescales may be slow for some practical applications, but it may be possible to optimise this response time by varying factors such as the geometry of
Figure 4. Tuning the voltage allows for adhesion and de-adhesion in different energy minima. (a) Summary phase diagram showing, for one example experiment, the regions in voltage (right axis; logarithmic scale) and film thickness (indicated by minimum index $n$, bottom axis) where the AC field was observed to actuate surfaces in and out of adhesive minima (yellow triangles and yellow shaded region), and where the AC field was insufficient to switch in and out of the adhesion minima (blue squares and blue shaded region). Black circles show the magnitude of the adhesive minima (left axis; logarithmic), and the line of these minima divides the switching region where $|F^{\text{ss}}| > |F_{\text{adh}}|$ (with $F^{\text{ss}} = K_{\text{nl}} V_0^2$) and the no-switching region where $|F^{\text{ss}}| < |F_{\text{adh}}|$. For these experiments, $V_0 = 3.75, 5$ and $7 V_{\text{RMS}}$, $T_{\text{act}} = 3.3 \mu$m and $\nu = 10^4$ Hz. (b) Diagram showing the intersection of an oscillatory force profile, for the $n = 3, 4, 5$, and 6 minima, and the maximal force applied by switching on/off an AC field of two different magnitudes. Applying an AC field can exert a maximal force of $F^{\text{ss}}$ which allows for adhesion and de-adhesion into any force minimum of smaller magnitude.

In summary, we demonstrate exquisite control over surface adhesion using an externally applied electric field. The unscreened electric field exerts a body force acting over long range and with magnitude scaling with the square of the applied potential difference, allowing for actuation of the surfaces in a highly controlled way. With the gap between the surfaces filled by an ionic liquid, the surface forces over nanometric distances are oscillatory; each minimum in the oscillatory potential provides an adhesive well of differing depth. We show that tuning the field to apply forces matching a desired adhesive minimum can be used to choose between the different adhesive states. Looking ahead, the facility to switch between adhesive minima opens the possibility of switching between quantized friction states. It is well established that the friction acting between surfaces lubricated by thin ionic films follows multiple discrete friction-load laws, each with different friction coefficients, determined by the number of liquid layers in the film [34]. Introducing the prospect of switching between adhesive minima using an external field opens the way to switching between these distinct friction states in a reversible way. Such principles could be applied for controlled release of particles, electro-actuation, and breaking at the micro- and nanoscale.
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