Structural Transitions of the Conserved and Metastable Hantaviral Glycoprotein Envelope
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ABSTRACT

Hantaviruses are zoonotic pathogens that cause severe hemorrhagic fever and pulmonary syndrome. The outer membrane of the hantavirus envelope displays a lattice of two glycoproteins, Gn and Gc, which orchestrate host cell recognition and entry. Here, we describe the crystal structure of the Gn glycoprotein ectodomain from the Asiatic Hantaan virus (HTNV), the most prevalent pathogenic hantavirus. Structural overlay analysis reveals that the HTNV Gn fold is highly similar to the Gn of Puumala virus (PUUV), a genetically and geographically distinct and less pathogenic hantavirus found predominantly in northeastern Europe, confirming that the hantaviral Gn fold is architecturally conserved across hantavirus clades. Interestingly, HTNV Gn crystallized at acidic pH, in a compact tetrameric configuration distinct from the organization at neutral pH. Analysis of the Gn, both in solution and in the context of the virion, confirms the pH-sensitive oligomeric nature of the glycoprotein, indicating that the hantaviral Gn undergoes structural transitions during host cell entry. These data allow us to present a structural model for how acidification during endocytic uptake of the virus triggers the dissociation of the metastable Gn-Gc lattice to enable insertion of the Gc-resident hydrophobic fusion loops into the host cell membrane. Together, these data reveal the dynamic plasticity of the structurally conserved hantaviral surface.

IMPORTANCE

Although outbreaks of Korean hemorrhagic fever were first recognized during the Korean War (1950 to 1953), it was not until 1978 that they were found to be caused by Hantaan virus (HTNV), the most prevalent pathogenic hantavirus. Here, we describe the crystal structure of HTNV envelope glycoprotein Gn, an integral component of the Gn-Gc glycoprotein spike complex responsible for host cell entry. HTNV Gn is structurally conserved with the Gn of a genetically and geographically distal hantavirus, Puumala virus, indicating that the observed α/β fold is well preserved across the Hantaviridae family. The combination of our crystal structure with solution state analysis of recombinant protein and electron cryo-microscopy of acidified hantavirus allows us to propose a model for endosome-induced reorganization of the hantaviral glycoprotein lattice. This provides a molecular-level rationale for the exposure of the hydrophobic fusion loops on the Gc, a process required for fusion of viral and cellular membranes.
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Viruses in the family Hantaviridae, within the order Bunyavirales, comprise a group of negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses (1, 2). Hantaviruses known to be pathogenic in humans belong to three clades, each carried by a distinct group of
rodents: Old World mice and rats (Murinae), New World mice and rats (Neotominae and Sigmodontinae), and voles (Arvicolinae). Upon zoonotic transmission into humans, hantaviruses cause hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) or hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome (HCPS), diseases resulting in mortality rates of up to 12% and 40%, respectively (1, 3, 4). While humans are typically infected via inhalation of aerosolized excreta from rodent carriers, human-to-human transmission has been reported for the South American Andes virus (ANDV) (5, 6). Hantaviral infections affect tens of thousands of people annually, yet treatment and prevention options remain extremely limited (1).

The hantaviral envelope comprises a lipid bilayer with an outer proteinaceous shell of two glycoproteins, Gn and Gc, which are synthesized as a single polyprotein precursor (GPC) (7). Following cleavage of the GPC at a WAASA recognition sequence during protein biosynthesis (8), the Gn and Gc form a lattice of mature spike complexes (9–12). Crystal structures of Puumala virus (PUUV) Gn and Gc and Hantaan virus (HTNV) Gc ectodomains have been determined, revealing that the Gn forms a globular α/β sandwich and that the Gc forms a class II fusion protein fold, which forms trimers in the postfusion state (12–14). Fitting of the PUUV Gn ectodomain crystal structure into a low-resolution reconstruction of the mature hantaviral glycoprotein spike complex revealed that the Gn is membrane distal and likely shields hydrophobic fusion loops on the Gc (12). In addition to native functionality, hantaviral Gn and Gc glycoproteins are important targets of the neutralizing humoral immune response (15–19).

Several cellular factors, including integrins, decay-accelerating factor, and complement receptor gC1qR-p32, have been reported to mediate hantavirus entry into host cells (20–23). Following receptor recognition, hantaviruses undergo clathrin-mediated endocytosis, and the viral genome is delivered into the cytoplasm following Gc-mediated fusion of viral and host membranes, a process triggered by the acidic pH of late endosomes or lysosomes (24). Although histidines in Gc domain III have been shown to be essential for triggering Gc-mediated fusion in other bunyaviruses (25, 26), the residues involved in this pH-dependent process for hantaviruses have yet to be identified.

HTNV remains one of the most deadly and prevalent hantaviruses to infect humans, with approximately 1.5 million cases in China over the last six decades (1, 27, 28). Here, we sought to understand the architecture of the HTNV glycoprotein envelope and report the crystal structure of the Gn ectodomain to 2.15-Å resolution. HTNV Gn bears a striking resemblance to the genetically distal PUUV Gn, revealing that hantaviral glycoprotein structure and assembly are likely to be well-conserved across the Hantavirus family (12). Furthermore, our HTNV Gn crystal structure was obtained at acidic pH and forms a tetrameric configuration architecturally distinct from its expected organization at neutral pH. Using analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) and cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), we confirmed that our Gn glycoprotein forms pH-sensitive oligomeric states in solution and that conformational rearrangements to the Gn-Gc glycoprotein lattice are incurred upon exposure to acidic environments, such as those existing in endosomal compartments. We present a model for the endosome-induced reorganization of the hantaviral glycoprotein lattice using the crystal structures of our tetrameric HTNV Gn and a previously reported HTNV Gc trimer (14) and propose that reorientation of the Gn provides the Gc with the steric freedom required for membrane fusion.

RESULTS

Structure of HTNV Gn. Pathogens known to cause human disease within the family Hantaviridae are categorized into three clades according to their respective rodent reservoirs (voles, Old World mice and rats, and New World mice and rats) (Fig. 1A). Of the two glycoproteins presented on the hantaviral surface, the Gn is more exposed than the cognate Gc glycoprotein and exhibits higher levels of sequence diversity (12, 29). To determine whether the genetic diversity of the Gn glycoprotein is reflected in architecture, we sought to determine the structure of the Gn from HTNV, a pathogen
responsible for severe HFRS in eastern Asia, and compared it to the previously reported structure of the Gn glycoprotein from the genetically distinct PUUV.

A construct comprising HTNV Gn ectodomain residues 18 to 371 (Fig. 1B) was crystallized under a condition comprising equal volumes of the protein in sample buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl) and precipitant (1.6 M ammonium sulfate, 0.1 M citrate pH 4.0). The structure of HTNV Gn was solved to 2.15-Å resolution (Table 1) using the only other known hantaviral Gn crystal structure, PUUV Gn (PDB accession code 5FXU), as a search model. The one molecule of HTNV Gn observed in the asymmetric unit displays a β-sandwich fold stabilized by seven disulfide bonds and composed of five antiparallel β-sheets and six α-helices (Fig. 1B). While PUUV Gn and HTNV Gn share limited amino acid sequence identity (44%) and similarity (64%) over the crystallized region, HTNV Gn exhibits a high level of structural homology to PUUV Gn (1.3-Å root-mean-square deviation [RMSD] over 315 Cα atoms), corroborating the hypothesis that HTNV is associated with severe clinical disease.

FIG 1 Phylogeny and crystal structure of HTNV Gn ectodomain. (A) A maximum-likelihood phylogeny of 42 hantaviral Gn glycoprotein sequences separates hantavirus species according to host reservoir. The three clades of hantaviruses borne by rodents are annotated in yellow, green, and blue. Hantaviruses carried by shrews and moles are annotated in purple, and Longquann virus, isolated from bats, is annotated in white. The scale bar indicates amino acid substitutions per site. (B) The organization of the HTNV glycoprotein precursor (above) and crystal structure of the HTNV Gn ectodomain to 2.15-Å resolution (below). The schematic was produced with DOG (60) with the crystallized region of the Gn indicated by a bar colored as a rainbow. The signal peptide (SP), transmembrane domains (TM), the hydrophobic region preceding the WAASA cleavage site (TM'), intraviral domains (IV), and WAASA signal peptidase cleavage site are annotated. Putative N-linked glycosylation sequons are labeled above the schematic (pink pins). The structure is presented as a cartoon and colored as a rainbow ramped from blue (N terminus) to red (C terminus). Disordered regions comprising residues 190 to 197 and residues 281 to 289 are highlighted (dotted lines, green and orange, respectively). The crystallographically observed glycan at Asn134 is shown as pink sticks. (C) Structural comparison of HTNV Gn and PUUV Gn. Overlay of HTNV Gn and PUUV Gn, colored as a rainbow and in gray, respectively (ribbon representation), is shown on the left. At right is the HTNV Gn with root mean square (RMS) deviation of equivalent residues between PUUV Gn mapped onto the Cα trace. The tube radius and color represent the RMS deviation (ramped from blue to red). Regions with high deviations between PUUV Gn and HTNV Gn structures are thick and red. Regions with low deviations are thin and blue.
that the Gn fold is a well-conserved feature among hantaviruses (Fig. 1C) (12). We note that two loops (residues 190 to 197 and residues 281 to 289) (Fig. 1B) were not ordered well enough for model building in the HTNV Gn structure. These loops are also disordered in PUUV Gn, indicating inherent flexibility or a requirement for an additional interaction partner (e.g., the cognate Gc) to assume a stable conformation.

Consistent with a previous fitting of PUUV Gn into the highest resolution cryo-electron microscopy reconstruction of a hantaviral envelope available (Tula virus [TULV], 16-Å resolution) (12), fitting of individual HTNV Gn protomers reveals that HTNV Gn likely localizes to membrane-distal tetrameric spikes of the mature envelope surface (Fig. 2). We observed two potential orientations (Fig. 2, fit 1 and fit 2) of the HTNV Gn in this membrane-distal density, both of which were also congruent with a lower-resolution (25-Å) reconstruction of the HTNV glycoprotein spike (10). This compatibility of fit suggests that despite the observed differences in the TULV and HTNV reconstructions (7, 10, 12), which may potentially have resulted from the different averaging techniques employed, genetically distinct hantaviral Gn glycoprotein ectodomains are likely to assume a conserved tetrameric and membrane-distal configuration, shielding the Gc fusion protein on the mature virion.

A potential HTNV Gn homo-oligomerization interface formed at acidic pH. HTNV Gn was crystallized at acidic pH, and analysis of crystallographic packing revealed a compact tetrameric assembly, termed herein the acidic Gn tetramer (Fig. 3). Residues 82 to 96 appear to play a chief role in the construction of this higher-order assembly, forming a β-hairpin that interlocks into a pocket formed on the neighboring Gn protomer (Fig. 3A). Each of the four equivalent protomeric interfaces of the acidic Gn tetramer is extensive, occluding approximately 1,850 Å² of solvent-accessible surface, and reinforced by 16 hydrogen bonds (30).

### TABLE 1 Crystallographic data and refinement statistics for HTNV Gn

| Parameter | Value for the parameter |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| **Data collection statistics** | |
| Space group | I422 |
| Cell dimensions, a, b, c (Å) | 110.9, 110.9, 180.6 |
| α, β, γ (°) | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 78.42–2.15 (2.21–2.15) |
| Rmerge | 0.195 (>1) |
| I/σ(I) | 14.8 (2.0) |
| CC1/2 | 0.999 (0.883) |
| Completeness (%) | 100 (100) |
| Redundancy | 38.2 (36.4) |
| **Refinement statistics** | |
| Resolution (Å) | 59.21–2.15 (2.22–2.15) |
| No. reflections, | 30,848 (1,574) |
| Rwork/Rfree | 0.201/0.227 |
| No. of atoms | |
| Protein | 2,588 |
| Ligand/ion | 48 |
| Water | 171 |
| B factors (Å²) | |
| Protein | 48.1 |
| Ligand/ion | 68.9 |
| Water | 47.1 |
| RMS deviation | |
| Bond length (Å) | 0.002 |
| Bond angle (°) | 0.447 |
| Ramachandran statistics (%) | |
| Residues in preferred region | 96.04 |
| Residues in allowed region | 3.96 |
| Outliers | 0 |

*The value for the highest-resolution shell is shown in parentheses.

*The Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated between two random half data sets.
The formation of a homotetrameric Gn in the crystal is consistent with the existence of tetrameric Gn-Gc spikes, as displayed on the hantaviral surface (9, 12). However, we note that our acidic tetramer is architecturally distinct from that formed by fitting of individual Gn glycoproteins into a reconstruction of the mature (pH 8.0) TULV spike (Fig. 2B and 3B). Indeed, although the acidic tetramer more closely resembles fit 2 than fit 1, it is far more compact than either fit and exhibits a width reduced by approximately one-third (50 Å) (Fig. 3B). The conformation of the acidic tetramer thus differs from that existing on the mature virion, raising the possibility that it may be relevant during endocytosis of the virion into a host cell.

HTNV Gn forms higher-order oligomeric assemblies at acidic pH. We have previously shown by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis that recombinantly produced hantaviral Gn proteins are monomeric in solution at low concentrations at both neutral and acidic pH (12). To assess the oligomeric state of soluble Gn at higher concentrations, analogous to those observed on the native viral envelope (12), we studied HTNV Gn by AUC under both pH-neutral (7.0) and acidic (4.5) conditions. Consistent with our previous SEC analysis, HTNV Gn was predominantly monomeric in solution at pH 7.0 (sedimentation coefficient $s$ in Svedberg units $[S] = 3.5$) (Fig. 3C). Acidification of the Gn, by contrast, resulted in the formation of an array of higher-order species, including putative dimers ($S = 5.2$), trimers ($S = 7.2$), and tetramers ($S = 8.2$). The formation of these species suggests that higher-order Gn oligomers, such as the acidic tetramer observed in our HTNV Gn crystal structure, may form on the hantaviral surface during endocytosis.

Gn-Gc lattices are disrupted upon exposure to acidic pH. Although the pH-dependent conformational rearrangements of the hantaviral Gc fusion glycoprotein are well established (13, 14, 31), there exists a paucity of information with respect to the changes that occur to the higher-order Gn-Gc spike complex upon endocytic uptake of the virion. Using nonpathogenic TULV as a model, we utilized cryo-EM to establish whether proton-induced changes to hantaviral glycoprotein ultrastructure are compatible with our crystallographically observed acidic Gn tetramer (Fig. 4).

---

**FIG 2** Fitting of HTNV Gn into the TULV glycoprotein spike localizes the Gn to tetrameric membrane distal lobes. (A) The TULV glycoprotein spike (Electron Microscopy Data Bank [EMDB] accession no. EMD-3364) was partitioned into five unique segments, as previously described (12): two globular membrane-distal volumes (segment $A$ and $A'$, light and dark blue, respectively), and a central stalk (segment $C$, gray), using Segger (58). Fitting analysis, using the fit-to-segments function of Segger, reveals that HTNV Gn most likely localizes to the membrane-distal volumes, as shown in the plot (right) that illustrates the goodness of fit (density occupancy score) of HTNV Gn into the density segments. The two highest-scoring fitting outcomes for Gn are shown within the globular membrane-distal volumes of TULV (12) (B) and HTNV (10) (C) cryo-EM reconstructions.

---
As expected (12), analysis of TULV particles at neutral pH revealed a regular lattice of two distinct Gn-Gc layers encapsulating a roughly spherical lipid bilayer envelope (Fig. 4A, C, and E). Analysis of TULV envelope at acidic pH, on the other hand, demonstrated that although the virion lipid bilayer maintains a level of regularity similar to that at neutral pH, the Gn-Gc spike complex is metastable and undergoes dramatic structural changes, including the dissolution of the Gn-Gc lattice (Fig. 4B, D).
These data indicate that even in the absence of native host cell factors, such as endosomal components (32, 33), virion acidification is sufficient to trigger hantaviral glycoprotein reorganization, most likely reconfiguring homotypic and heterotypic interactions between Gn and Gc in the process.

DISCUSSION

Here, we present the crystal structure of the Gn glycoprotein from the highly pathogenic HTNV from the family Hantaviridae within the order Bunyavirales (Fig. 1B). Docking of our HTNV Gn crystal structure into a previously reported hantavirus cryo-EM reconstruction localizes the Gn to the outermost surface of the viral envelope (Fig. 2). Similar to studies of Bunyamwera virus in the related Peribunyaviridae family, genus Orthobunyavirus (34), treatment of recombinantly derived Gn glycoprotein and live hantavirions with acidic buffer revealed that the configuration of the envelope glycoprotein complex is metastable and likely undergoes dramatic conformational transitions upon exposure to endosomal compartments (Fig. 3 and 4).

Disruption of heterotypic glycoprotein contacts is required for Gc homotrimerization and insertion of Gc-resident hydrophobic fusion loops into the host cell membrane (13, 14, 31, 35). We present a model predicting how the Gn both shields the hydrophobic fusion loops on the Gc at neutral pH and dissociates from the Gc during Gc-mediated fusion in endosomal compartments (Fig. 5). This model is also consistent with the formation of our crystallographically observed acidic Gn tetramer (Fig. 3), which would sterically facilitate this process by both (i) detaching from the Gc, thus exposing the hydrophobic fusion loops, and (ii) forming a narrower configuration that would provide the freedom for Gc to undergo dimer-to-trimer transitions, including the formation of an expected extended intermediate.

On a broader level, although bunyaviral glycoprotein spike complexes are similarly
derived from two components, Gn and Gc, cryo-EM studies have revealed that the glycoproteins form strikingly diverse assemblies (34). Indeed, the higher-order architecture of the Gn-Gc spike ranges from orthobunyaviral Gn-Gc tripods (34) to hantaviral Gn-Gc tetramers (10–12) and phleboviral icosahedrons composed of Gn-Gc pentamers and hexamers (36–38). Nonetheless, crystallographic investigations of the Gc glycoprotein have revealed commonalities, where hantaviral and phleboviral Gc glycoproteins (13, 14, 25, 39) exhibit a functionally and structurally conserved class II fusion protein fold, which is also present in genetically and pathophysiologically distinct alphaviruses, flaviviruses, and even eukaryotes (40, 41).

The level of structural conservation of the bunyaviral Gn glycoprotein, on the other hand, is more enigmatic. Although the mixed $\alpha/\beta$ fold of the hantaviral Gn ectodomain resembles the alphaviral E2 glycoprotein (42), supporting a conserved functional role in guarding the hydrophobic fusion loops displayed by the cognate fusion glycoprotein, the hantaviral Gn and alphaviral E2 differ substantially. Structural analyses of Gn glycoproteins from other bunyaviral families will therefore be enlightening as to the origin(s) of the observed mixed $\alpha/\beta$ Gn fold. Furthermore, if the Gn glycoprotein is the more structurally variable component of the bunyaviral Gn-Gc spike complex, it may be the architectural mortar which assembles with the conserved Gc building blocks into the diverse glycoprotein spike assemblies reported across the Bunyavirales.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular phylogenetic analysis by the maximum-likelihood method. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the maximum-likelihood method based on the model of Le and Gascuel (43). The tree with the highest log likelihood is shown (Fig. 1A). Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying neighbor-joining and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model and then selecting the topology with the superior log-likelihood value. A discrete gamma distribution ($\gamma$; parameter = 1.2653) was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories [+G]; parameter = 1.2653]). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable (I; 7.9248% sites). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 42 hantavirus Gn amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 612 positions in the final data set. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (44).

Expression and purification of HTNV Gn. The N-terminal ectodomain of HTNV Gn (residues 18 to 371; GenBank accession number AL25321.1) was PCR-amplified from codon-optimized cDNA (GeneArt, Life Technologies) and cloned into the pHSec mammalian expression vector (45). The resulting plasmid cDNA was transiently transfected in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells (ATCC CRL-1573), as

![Image](http://jvi.asm.org/)
previously described (45), in the presence of the class 1 α-1,2-mannosidase inhibitor, kifunensine (46). Cell supernatants were harvested and subjected to dialfiltration at 90 h posttransfection (AKTA Flux diafiltration system; GE Healthcare), and HTNV Gn was purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (5-mL fast flow crude column and AKTA fast protein liquid chromatography [FPLC] system; GE Healthcare) followed by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 10/300 Increase column (GE Healthcare), in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0)–150 mM NaCl buffer.

**Crystal structure determination.** Prior to crystallization, purified HTNV Gn was deglycosylated with endoglycosidase H (endo H; 0.01 mg of endo H per 1 mg of HTNV Gn; 18 h of incubation at 21°C) and repurified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) in 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0)–150 mM NaCl buffer. Purified HTNV Gn was crystallized at room temperature using the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method (47) using 100 nl of protein (6.3 mg/mL in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl buffer) plus 100 nl of precipitant containing 1.6 M ammonium sulfate and 0.1 M citrate at pH 4.0 after 132 days. The crystal was flash-frozen by immersion into a cryo-protectant containing the precipitant mixed with 25% (vol/vol) glycerol, followed by rapid transfer into liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Dectris Pilatus 6M-F detector at beamline 104 (wavelength λ = 0.9795 Å), Diamond Light Source, United Kingdom.

Data were indexed, integrated, and scaled with XIA2 (48). The structure of HTNV Gn was solved by molecular replacement with the program Phaser-MR, within the PHENIX suite (49), using PUUV Gn (PDB accession number 5FXU [12]) as a search model. Structure refinement was performed using iterative refinement in PHENIX. Coot was used for manual rebuilding, and MolProbity was used to validate the model (50, 51). Processing statistics are presented in Table 1.

**AUC analysis.** The HTNV Gn ectodomain was purified as described above. Following purification, aliquots of the sample were buffer exchanged into (i) 10 mM Tris (pH 7.0)–150 mM NaCl and (ii) 10 mM citrate (pH 4.5)–150 mM NaCl. Protein samples were concentrated to 4.9 mg/mL, and sedimentation velocity AUC experiments were performed using a Beckman Optima XL-I operating at 20°C and a speed of 40,000 rpm. Sedimentation profiles were recorded using absorbance optics at a wavelength of 260 nm and interference optics every 6 min for a total of 120 scans. The data were analyzed using Sedfit software with c(s) (sedimentation coefficient distribution) and c(s, f(1)) (size-and-shape distribution) protocols (52).

**Virus preparation.** TULV (strain Moravia) was cultivated on Vero E6 cells (ATCC 94 C-RL1586), as previously described (11). Four days postinfection (dpi), the growth medium was replaced by medium supplemented with 3% fetal calf serum (FCS). At 5, 6, and 7 dpi the virus-containing medium was then centrifuged (3,270 g for 30 min) to remove cell debris. The virus was then concentrated 100-fold using a 100-kDa-cutoff filter (Amicon) and placed on top of a 25 to 65% sucrose density gradient in standard buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.0, and 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.0) in an SW32.1 tube (Beckman Coulter), and the virus was banded by ultracentrifugation (24,000 rpm at 4°C for 12 h; SW32 T1 rotor). Virus-containing fractions were pooled and diluted 1:1 in standard buffer before being pelleted by ultracentrifugation (50,000 rpm at 4°C for 2 h; Beckman Coulter TLS 55 rotor). The virus pellet was resuspended in 40 μL of standard buffer.

**Electron microscopy.** Three microliters of TULV was applied to 1.2-μm-hole carbon grids (C-flat; Protoclip) that were then floated on a droplet of either pH 7.0 or pH 5.0 buffer for 1 min prior to plunge freezing. The pH 7.0 buffer used was the standard buffer, and the pH 5.0 buffer was succinic acid, sodium diammonium phosphate, and glycine (SPG) in the molar ratio of 2:7:7, adjusted to pH 5.0 with HCl. Three microliters of 6-nm gold fiducial markers were added for tomography analysis. Image acquisition and processing data were collected using a Tecnai F30 Polara transmission electron microscope (FEI) operated at 300 kV and at liquid nitrogen temperature. Serial EM (53) was used to acquire images on a direct electron detector (K2 Summit; Gatan) mounted behind an energy filter (QIF Quantum LS; Gatan) and operated at zero-energy-loss mode (slit width, 20 eV). Movies consisting of eight (pH 5.0) or 25 (pH 7.0) frames were acquired at a calibrated magnification of ×37,037, corresponding to a pixel size of 1.35 Å with a defocus target of 4 μm.

Movie frames were aligned and averaged to account for beam-induced motion and damage using MotionCor2 (54) prior to further processing with Relion (55). Contrast transfer function parameters were estimated using CTFIND4 (56), and images were corrected by phase flipping. Particles were picked in an evenly spaced circle around the edge of each virus. The images were then binned by a factor of two and subjected to two-dimensional (2D) classification with a restricted angular search around the original in-plane angle calculated from the nearly circular geometry of the particle projections. Totals of 226 (pH 7.0) and 0 (pH 5.0) particles contributed to each final 2D average. A density profile along the direction perpendicular to the membrane was calculated in Bsoft (57).

**Fitting of the HTNV Gn structure into the TULV reconstruction.** The crystal structure of HTNV Gn was fitted in the TULV cryo-electron tomography (ET) reconstruction, as previously described for PUUV Gn (12). In short, the fitting was performed in the segmented density map of TULV using the fit-to-segments function of Segger (58) in Chimera (59). The crystal structure was converted to density by low-pass filtering to 16-Å resolution with 2.7-Å grid spacing. Subsequently, 1,000 evenly rotated fits were considered for each segment of the EM density while the density outside the target segment was masked. Similar to the fitting of PUUV Gn into the TULV map, fitting of HTNV Gn resulted in the highest density occupancy and cross-correlation values at the membrane-distal lobes of the spike. From the pool of 1,000 evenly rotated fits, the best scoring unique fits were generated by using the optimize fits option of Segger. Redundant fits less than 5 Å or 3° apart were discarded.

**Accession number(s).** Atomic coordinates and structure factors of HTNV Gn have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under accession number 5OPG.
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