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INTRODUCTION

The cerebellum is essential for smooth, purposeful movement. Recently, human neuroimaging and animal behavior studies have implicated the cerebellum in the processing of signals for perception, cognition, and emotion (Schmahmann, 2010; Bastian, 2011; D’Angelo and Casali, 2012), particularly in circumstances involving predictions or timing. Participation of the cerebellum in higher order brain function is likely mediated by extensive connections with cortical and sub-cortical centers. These anatomical connections raise the intriguing possibility that cerebellar dysfunction may lead not only to motor impairments, but also to non-motor deficits in complex neurological conditions. Furthermore, the implication that cerebellar circuits malfunction in certain neurodevelopmental disorders suggests that cerebellar processing could be required during development for proper wiring in other brain areas (Kuempler et al., 2007). We discuss the etiology of cerebellar disease in the context of how circuits are organized, and present evidence that cerebellar connectivity may be altered in ataxia, dystonia, and autism spectrum disorders ASD.

ALTHOUGH CEREBELLAR CIRCUITS ARE STRUCTURALLY “SIMPLE,” THEY CONTAIN MILLIONS OF CONNECTIONS

To appreciate how the cerebellum works, it is useful to first recall the major cell types, and revisit the relationships between them (Figure 1). Purkinje cells are the corner stone of all cerebellar circuits; during development they orchestrate morphogenesis, and in the adult each one computes hundreds of thousands of signals (Figure 1B). The elaborate dendrite of each Purkinje cell is directly innervated by a single excitatory climbing fiber that comes from the inferior olive in the brainstem (Figures 1, 2A). Purkinje cells also receive excitatory input indirectly from mossy fibers, which originate from over two-dozen brain and spinal cord nuclei. Approximately 25 million mossy fibers enter the cerebellum and synapse on ~50 billion granule cells (Palitovits et al., 1972; Andersen et al., 1992). Granule cells then converge massively (100,000 to 1) onto the dendrites of Purkinje cells. This striking expansion from mossy fibers to granule cells and equally striking contraction from granule cells onto the Purkinje cell dendrite is believed to provide a computational benefit, namely the ability of the cerebellum to discriminate a large number of different patterns (Marr, 1969; Albus, 1971; Brunel et al., 2004). Various inhibitory interneurons regulate the excitatory inputs onto Purkinje cells (Figure 1), and specialized astrocytes called Bergmann glia maintain efficient synaptic signaling. The Purkinje cells send exclusively inhibitory signals to the cerebellar nuclei, which control the final output of the cerebellum (White and Sillitoe, 2013). An excitatory feedback projection terminating in mossy fiber-like endings exists between the cerebellar nuclei and granule cell layer, and an inhibitory feedback connection is made from the cerebellar nuclei to the inferior olive. These two connections form parts of the nucleo-cortical (Tolbert et al., 1976; Hess,
Cytoarchitecture and connectivity in the cerebellum.
(A) Mouse brain shown from a lateral view with the cerebellum highlighted in color. (B) The basic cerebellar circuit is comprised of granule cells, Purkinje cells, stellate and basket cell interneurons, and deep nuclei. Afferent information is delivered to the cerebellum as climbing fibers or mossy fibers. The plus and minus signs indicate whether each synapse is excitatory or inhibitory. Note that inhibitory connections between the cerebellar nuclei and inferior olive complete the olivo-cortico-nuclear loop and excitatory projections from the cerebellar nuclei loop back to the cerebellar cortex. Panel (B) was modified from (Reeber et al., 2012). For simplicity we have not shown Golgi cells, unipolar brush cells, Lugaro cells, and candelabrum cells.

1982) and olivo-cortico-nuclear loops (Angaut and Sotelo, 1987; Chaumont et al., 2013), respectively. This canonical cerebellar circuit, which was once thought to be simple and synonymous with motor signaling, is now thought to have underlying complexities that also mediate non-motor brain behaviors.

Cerebellar connections are integrated into multiple brain networks
The classic view that cerebellar function is restricted to controlling motor coordination has been challenged by recent imaging studies in humans that suggest cerebellar contributions to cognition (language), emotional behavior (fear), sleep, and even non-somatic, visceral responses (Demirtas-Tatlidede et al., 2011; Baumann and Mattingley, 2012; D’Angelo and Casali, 2012). Anatomical studies performed in non-human primates and rodents strongly support the imaging data. Extensive mono- and polysynaptic pathways connect the cerebellum to the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, hypothalamus, periaqueductal gray, basal ganglia, thalamus, brain stem, and spinal cord (Dietrichs and Haines, 1989; Middleton and Strick, 2001; Hoshi et al., 2005; Cerminara et al., 2009; Buckner et al., 2011; Dum and Strick, 2012). Considering such widespread connections between the cerebellum and the forebrain, dozens of brainstem nuclei, and with several major autonomic centers (Figure 3), it seems difficult to imagine that cerebellar circuit dysfunction would interfere only with the ability to perform motor tasks. Still, valid arguments against non-motor contributions of the cerebellum have been presented (Glickstein, 2007), and recent data demonstrates that caution should be taken when interpreting for cerebellar non-motor behavior in experimental preparations (Galliano et al., 2013). Keeping in mind that a lively debate continues as to whether the cerebellum is involved in non-motor function (Lemon and Edgley, 2010), in the following sections we present recent evidence that has unveiled unexpected roles for the cerebellum in conditions that are historically “non-cerebellar” (Table 1).

Diseases of the cerebellum: significantly more than just uncoordinated locomotion
Cerebellar damage causes a number of motor symptoms including dysmetria (in which patients overshoot (hypermetria) or undershoot (hypometria) a target during voluntary goal-directed tasks), hypotonia, tremor, and dysarthric speech. These symptoms, and the interpretations of what they mean to brain function date back to the pioneering neurological examinations of Sir Gordon Holmes (Holmes, 1939). However, descriptions of cerebellar diseases, and in particular those that affect Purkinje cell development and/or function, typically disrupt the accuracy and coordination of movement, conditions which are often cumulatively referred to as “ataxia.”

Ataxia, the classic case of cerebellar dysfunction
As a symptom, ataxia refers to uncoordinated movement and as a disorder it refers to a family of neurological diseases that typically involve neurodegeneration. Ataxia-related defects can also...
be acquired, and develop as a result of stroke, multiple sclerosis, tumors, alcoholism, peripheral neuropathy, metabolic disorders, and vitamin deficiencies (Klockgether, 2010). Ataxia can also arise sporadically (Klockgether, 2010). Patients with ataxia have poor muscle control, and when they have limb movement problems the lack of balance and coordination ultimately disturbs their gait, a symptom often associated with cerebellar defects.

Cerebellar ataxia is the most common form of ataxia. There are over 60 forms of inherited cerebellar-based ataxia, with more than half of them classified as either spinocerebellar ataxias, Friedreich’s ataxia, episodic ataxia, or fragile X tremor/ataxia syndrome (Durr, 2010; Klockgether, 2010). Recapitulating disease mechanisms in engineered animal models has allowed major breakthroughs in our understanding of the pathogenesis of the cerebellar ataxias (Burright et al., 1995; Hamilton et al., 1996; Serra et al., 2006). A unifying cellular phenotype observed in the nervous system of ataxic mice and humans, regardless of the type of ataxia, is extensive Purkinje cell degeneration. However, while neuronal degeneration may be essential for the severe pathophysiological features of ataxia (Chen et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009), electrophysiological and calcium imaging data show that Purkinje cells and their major inputs are dysfunctional prior to degeneration in ataxia mouse models coinciding with milder ataxic phenotypes (Barnes et al., 2011; Hourez et al., 2011; Shakkottai et al., 2011; Kasumu et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2013). This prompts two considerations regarding disease etiology in any disorder that alters the brain at the level of circuits: (1) neuronal function can be affected in the absence of pathological defects, and (2) neuronal circuit dysfunction may be the primary cause of behavioral symptoms. A case in point is dystonia, where no clear or consistent pathology is evident, yet brain dysfunction can cause overt behaviors that are obstructive to daily life.

**DYSTONIA PATHOGENESIS PROVIDES NEW INSIGHTS INTO CEREBELLAR (DYS)CONNECTIVITY**

Dystonia is a complex movement disorder that causes involuntary, sustained muscle contractions that result in postural twisting and repetitive movements (Hallett, 2009; Shamim et al., 2011). Symptoms can be mild and transient, appearing only under conditions of exertion or fatigue, or severe and constant enough to make even simple day-to-day movements impossible. The involuntary painful muscle contractions can affect virtually any muscle in the body, causing blepharospams in the eyelids [a frequent result of anti-psychotic drugs; (Hallett, 2009)], to the common writer’s cramp (Shamim et al., 2011), to inherited torsion dystonia that blocks the normal execution of trunk and limbs movements (Muller, 2009; Shamim et al., 2011). It can be acquired as a hereditary disorder, or spontaneously arise as an idiopathic condition. Although it is considered the third most common motor disease, the true prevalence of dystonia is difficult to estimate because it can be comorbid with other disorders such as Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease, stroke, or ataxia, and many milder cases do not get reported (Muller, 2009; Asmus and Gasser, 2010). Despite the wide range of its manifestations and causes, dystonia consistently involves erroneous communication
along circuits that link the cerebral cortex, basal ganglia, thalamus, and brainstem (Hendrix and Vitek, 2012). Recently, several groups have considerably deepened our understanding of dystonia by confirming that the cerebellum can play a role in the disorder (Argyelan et al., 2009; Calderon et al., 2011; LeDoux, 2011; Table 2). Now, the general consensus in field is that in dystonia, communication is disrupted along two primary pathways: the cerebello-thalamo-striatal (CTS) circuit and cerebello-thalamo-cortical (CTC) circuit (Niethammer et al., 2011). Moreover, a recent elegant study demonstrated using a model of rapid onset dystonia-parkinsonism that defects in either the basal ganglia or the cerebellum could instigate disease onset (Calderon et al., 2011; Table 2).

Functional imaging studies have revealed abnormal cerebellar activity in DYT1 dystonia (Eidelberg et al., 1998), hemi-dystonia (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1995), exercise-induced paroxysmal dystonia (Kluge et al., 1998), writer’s cramp (Odergren et al., 1998; Preibisch et al., 2001), cervical dystonia (Galardi et al., 1996) and blepharospasm (Hutchinson et al., 2000). Consistent with these human data, abnormal cerebellar activity is observed in several genetic models of dystonia, including dystonic (dt) rats, both transgenic and knock-in Dyt1 mice, and spontaneous mutant mice such as tottering (Brown and Lorden, 1989; Campbell and Hess, 1998; Calderon et al., 2011; Ulug et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2011). In vivo electrophysiology recording in these rodent models reveals that Purkinje cells lose their regular firing patterns (Figures 2B,C) and instead fire in erratic “burst” patterns (LeDoux, 2011). Interestingly, in mice with ataxia it has been suggested that irregular firing of Purkinje cells is the primary alteration that causes motor defects (Walter et al., 2006). Surgical removal of the cerebellum terminates the dystonic attacks in rodents, supporting the notion that the cerebellum can drive dystonia (Neychev et al., 2008; LeDoux, 2011; Neychev et al., 2011). At the cellular level, Ellen Hess and colleagues have pioneered the view that cerebellar Purkinje cells may be the source of dystonia (Campbell et al., 1999). In their initial experiments they

| Table 2 | Animal models and human data that implicate the cerebellum in dystonia. |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **A. ANIMAL MODELS** | **Model** | **Mode of induction** | **Contribution** | **Reference** |
| | Genetically dystonic rat (dt) | Spontaneous mutation in the Atcay gene | Purkinje cell and cerebellar nuclei “burst” firing | LeDoux et al., 1993, 1998; LeDoux and Lorden, 2002 |
| | tottering mice | Spontaneous mutation in the gene encoding the alpha subunit of the Cacna1a P/Q-type calcium channel | Purkinje cells might contribute to dystonia | Campbell et al., 1999; Neychev et al., 2008 |
| | Purkinje specific deletion of Cacna1a | Conditional mouse genetics | Regional Purkinje cell dysfunction initiates dystonia | Raike et al., 2012 |
| | Dyt1 mutant mice | Genetically engineered knock-in into Tor1a | Gene dysfunction in cerebellum may cause dystonia | Ulug et al., 2011; Yokoi et al., 2012 |
| | Kainic acid (glutamate receptor agonist) | Injection into cerebellum | Abnormal cerebellar activity can induce dystonia | Pizoli et al., 2002 |
| | Ouabain (binds and inhibits the Na*/K*/ATPase sodium pump) | Micro-pump infusion into cerebellum | Cerebellum (presumably Purkinje cells) instigates dystonia | Calderon et al., 2011 |

| **B. HUMAN PHYSIOLOGY AND NEUROPATHOLOGY** | **Approach** | **Measurement** | **Contribution** | **Reference** |
| | Eye blink conditioning (cervical dystonia) | Function of the olivo-cerebellar pathway | Functional defects in the cerebellar circuit in dystonia | Teo et al., 2009 |
| | DTI imaging (DYT1 and DYT6 carriers) | Tractography | Cerebello-thalamic pathway is defective in dystonia patients | Argyelan et al., 2009 |
| | [(18F)-fluorodeoxyglucose PET (DYT11 myoclonus-dystonia patients)] | Metabolic changes | Metabolic changes in the cerebellum and inferior olive of dystonia patients | Carbon et al., 2013 |
| | Neuropathology (cervical dystonia) | Purkinje cell density | Purkinje cell loss is “patchy” in dystonia | Prudente et al., 2013 |

This table lists some recent publications that demonstrate a potentially critically role for the cerebellum in various forms of dystonia. For clarity we have only listed a few pertinent examples.
removed Purkinje cells by cross-breeding dystonic *tottering* mice with mutants that exhibit Purkinje cell degeneration (Campbell et al., 1999). Remarkably, doing so alleviated dystonia. In their recent studies, Hess’ group showed using an elegant conditional genetic approach that selectively eliminating the Cacna1a calcium channel in Purkinje cells is sufficient to evoke widespread dystonic movements (Raike et al., 2012). Moreover, this conditional approach was further used to show that stress, caffeine, and alcohol can operate through shared mechanisms to trigger severe episodic dystonia attacks (Raike et al., 2013). While Purkinje cell defects induce dystonia, extra-cerebellar synapses may be targeted to block dystonia. Micro-lesions made in the central-lateral thalamus, which connects the cerebellum to the basal ganglia (Ichinohe et al., 2000), alleviated motor deficits in mice with rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism (Calderon et al., 2011).

On the one hand, genetically altering Purkinje cells has revealed an unexpected requirement for the cerebellum in dystonia, yet on the other hand, it may not be entirely surprising that altering Purkinje cell function would produce complex motor deficits. In fact, one has to wonder whether defective Purkinje cell communication could also, and perhaps simultaneously, influence non-motor behavior. This logic was recently put to the test in experiments that sought to determine whether the cerebellum is linked to ASD.

**AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDERS MAY BE LINKED TO CEREBELLAR DEVELOPMENT AND FUNCTION**

The ASD’s are developmental disorders characterized by impaired social communication, repetitive stereotypic behaviors, and delayed language development (Association, 1994). Individuals with ASD can also display dysfunction in both fine and gross motor skills (Fatemi et al., 2012). Although the signs and symptoms of ASD have become well appreciated, an ongoing debate has been centered on one important question: what regions of the brain are defective in ASD? Not so appreciated is that the cerebellum exhibits consistent neuropathological abnormalities in ASD (Ritvo et al., 1986; Bauman, 1991). In postmortem brain tissue from ASD patients, regardless of age, sex, and cognitive ability, a significant decrease in the number of Purkinje cells is reported (Bauman and Kemper, 2005; Whitney et al., 2008; Fatemi et al., 2012). In addition, functional neuroimaging demonstrates abnormal cerebellar activation in patients with ASD (Allen et al., 2004). Although controversial, in part due to co-morbidity with other developmental deficits, magnetic resonance imaging has also revealed hypoplasia of the cerebellum in some ASD patients (Courchesne et al., 1994; Stanfield et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2009).

Genetic studies also support a role for the cerebellum in ASD (Fatemi et al., 2012). For example, trinucleotide repeat expansions that cause fragile X syndrome by disrupting the function of the gene *FMRI* lead to cerebellar vermis abnormalities. In both global and Purkinje cell-specific fragile X knockout mice, Purkinje cell spiral morphology, synaptic plasticity, and cerebellar behaviors are impaired. Moreover, in humans, cerebellar learning is deficient as fragile X patients show abnormal eye blink conditioning (Koekkoek et al., 2005; Smit et al., 2008; Tobia and Woodruft-Pak, 2009). There is also a Fragile X associated ataxia/tremor syndrome exhibited by parents of Fragile X patients (Hagerman et al., 2001; Hall and O’Keefe, 2012). This syndrome is linked to “premutation” expansions in the fragile X gene and presents with classic cerebellar deficits of gait ataxia and tremor. Imaging studies show clear atrophy of the cerebellum.

Other genes highly expressed in cerebellum such as *EN2, MET*, and *GABRB3* may also be associated with non-syndromic ASD. Each of these genes exhibits specific roles during cerebellar development. In mice, *En2* is required for cell proliferation, tissue patterning, regional morphogenesis, and circuit formation in the cerebellum (White and Sillitoe, 2013). Importantly, two intronic polymorphisms in human *EN2* have been reported to be associated with the risk of developing ASD (Gharani et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2008; Banerjee-Basu and Packer, 2010; Sen et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2010). *Loss of En2* in mice results in altered aggressiveness and excessive grooming, which are hallmark ASD-like behaviors (Cheh et al., 2006; Brielmaier et al., 2012). Several studies have demonstrated an association between three *MET* single nucleotide polymorphisms and ASD (Campbell et al., 2008; Hedrick et al., 2012). *MET* is expressed in proliferating granule cell precursors and disrupting its function results in cerebellar hypoplasia (Ieraci et al., 2002; Fatemi et al., 2012). Positive associations with ASD have also been reported for both common and rare variants of the *GABRB3* gene (Banerjee-Basu and Packer, 2010), and *GABRB3* expression is reduced in the cerebellum of affected individuals. Importantly, *GABRB3* is located within chromosome 15q11–13, a site linked to duplications that are associated with ASD (Fatemi et al., 2012). *GABRB3* null mice display hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis (DeLorey et al., 2008; Fatemi et al., 2009, 2012). Despite the potential links between cerebellar dysfunction and ASD pathogenesis, no clear view has emerged about why the cerebellum might be involved in ASD (genetic and cellular mechanisms?) or how it might be involved (specific brain connections or neural circuits?). However, recent landmark studies strongly support the idea that Purkinje cell dysfunction can result in ASD.

Tuberous sclerosis (TSC1, TSC2) is a rare disorder associated with ASD, and is characterized by benign tumors (hamartomas) that form in the brain, skin, eyes, kidneys, and heart (Curatolo et al., 2008). Interestingly, tuberous sclerosis patients with cerebellar lesions have more severe ASD symptoms than patients with lesions in only other brain regions (Eluvathingal et al., 2006). In a recent paper, Sabin and co-workers showed that loss of Tsc1 from cerebellar Purkinje cells is sufficient to cause social impairments, cognitive defects, abnormal vocalizations, and a number of motor problems (Tsai et al., 2012). The mutant mice also exhibited a reduction in the number of Purkinje cells, and an increase in the expression of endoplasmic reticulum and oxidative stress response markers. The study further showed that Purkinje cell excitability was altered in both heterozygous and homozygous Tsc1 mutants (Tsai et al., 2012) in a very similar manner as has been described in spinocerebellar ataxia models (Hourez et al., 2011; Shakkottai et al., 2011; Kasumu et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2013). Both the pathology and abnormal ASD-like behaviors were successfully blocked in mutants treated with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin. Together, these Tsc1 conditional mutants recapitulated several core features of human ASD and using their model the authors demonstrate that pharmacological treatments
that target Purkinje cell function can alleviate multiple ASD-associated features (Tsai et al., 2012). In a different study, loss of Tsc2 in Purkinje cells resulted in neurodegeneration, increased repetitive behavior, and social interaction deficits (Reith et al., 2013). Cumulatively, the impressive body of data from these two studies suggests that Purkinje cell dysfunction can cause ASD-like behaviors, and that defects in specific cerebellar circuits might be sufficient to trigger downstream neuronal network alterations that contribute to severe abnormalities in motor and non-motor behaviors.

**Might the cerebellum be performing a common computational task in its motor and non-motor functions?**

Although the identification of the Purkinje cell as a major player in motor and non-motor disease has opened new avenues for understanding complex brain disorders (Table 3), one question that immediately arises is how could a single cell type with seemingly unique and specialized functions encode such diverse disease-related information? One can speculate that some of the basic computational capacities of the cerebellum that have been studied with respect to motor behavior, such as the ability to discriminate patterns and the capacity to use these patterns to learn to make context-dependent predictions (Bastian, 2011), are useful to non-motor areas of the brain. For example, it is intriguing to consider whether cerebellar output may be required during a critical period of development so that cortical circuits responsible for complex social behavior and language can be properly wired. This may not be confined to cortical circuitry. Interestingly, Herrup and colleagues observed that loss of En2 resulted in defects in the position of the amygdala, a brain region regularly altered in individuals with ASD (Kuemeler et al., 2007). These findings suggest that a gene (En2) expressed exclusively in the mid/hindbrain region can affect distant cerebral cortex structures such as the amygdala. Herrup and colleagues hypothesized that disrupting the location of neurons relative to their efferent and afferent partners may have detrimental effects on cognition. In this scenario, cerebellar dysfunction during development could result in ASD-like symptoms (Kuemeler et al., 2007). In adults who suffer cerebellar damage, cognitive impairments may result from a loss of cerebellar processing power contributing to tasks involving prediction or complex sensory discrimination (Bastian, 2011). Such hypotheses derive support from the parallel anatomical substrates that connect the cerebellum to motor areas and non-motor areas. Progress on these fascinating questions is likely to emerge from studies of the remarkable patterning of the cerebellum into a complex array of topographic “zonal” circuits that are thought to shape cellular function during behavior (Figure 4).

### Toward a circuit topography hypothesis for understanding cerebellar disease

Natural selection has adorned the animal kingdom with a rich collection of exquisite patterns. We revel in admiration of butterfly wing spots, peacock feathers and zebra stripes. Beyond their beauty, these precise patterns are essential for sexual selection and evading predators. In humans, our own body parts such as ribs, vertebrae, and digits develop into patterns that permit the execution of essential day-to-day functions. The human brain, arguably the most complicated structure in nature, is no exception to the hypothesis that patterns are inherent to all forms, regardless of their simplicity or complexity. Much like the developing wings and body segments of an insect, the mammalian

### Table 3 | Animal models of cerebellar dysfunction.

| Model                          | Phenotype                                      | Relationship to disease |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ATXN1[82Q]                    | ataxia                                        | Spinocerebellar ataxia type 1 |
| ATXN2[Q127]                   | ataxia                                        | Spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 |
| Genetically dystonic rat (dt) | Cerebellar functional defects and severe co-contractions of the muscles | Dystonia |
| tottering mice                | Baseline locomotor dysfunction with stress induced increase | Episodic ataxia and dystonia |
| Purkinje specific deletion of Cacna1a | Ataxia and dystonic-like postures | Dystonia and ataxia |
| Dyt1 mutant mice             | Generalized motor dysfunction                  | Hereditary dystonia     |
| Kainic acid (glutamate receptor agonist) | Dystonic postures of the limbs and trunk | Generalized dystonia |
| Ouabain (binds and inhibits the Na^+K^+-ATPase sodium pump) | Ataxia and dystonic-like postures (hyperextended limbs) | Rapid onset dystonia- Parkinsonism |
| En2 null mice                 | Motor coordination, motor learning, and social behavior deficits | Autism spectrum disorders |
| Met knock-in mutant mice      | Cerebellar development defects                 | Autism spectrum disorders |
| Gabrb3 null mice             | Cerebellar morphogenesis defects and social behavior impairments | Autism spectrum disorders |
| Purkinje cell deletion of Tsc1 | Purkinje cell electrophysiological dysfunction, repetitive behaviors, social behavior abnormalities | Autism spectrum disorders |
| Purkinje cell deletion of Tsc2 | Social behavior defects and repetitive behaviors | Autism spectrum disorders |

This table lists the animal models we have discussed and their utility in understanding specific cerebellar diseases. Note that for clarity only two spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) models are mentioned—SCA has been extensively studied using different models.
brain contains a striking array of patterns. Each of the billions of neurons in the human brain is decorated with a specific pattern of connections that drive brain function. Although many regions of the brain have patterned neural circuits (Reeber et al., 2012), the cerebellum arguably contains the most exquisitely patterned circuits of all central nervous system structures. This high level of patterning may be essential for packaging a large number of functionally distinct circuits into a logical network for seamless communication during behavior.

Cerebellar circuits are patterned into a topographic map of genetically determined “zones” (White and Sillitoe, 2013). Zones are best revealed by molecular expression patterns in Purkinje cells. The most comprehensively studied zonal marker is zebrin II (Brochu et al., 1990) (Figures 4B,C), also known as aldolase C. Zebrin II is expressed by a subset of Purkinje cells (zebrin II +) that alternate with Purkinje cells that do not express zebrin II (zebrin II −), thus forming complementary rows of biochemically distinct Purkinje cells. The zonal organization of zebrin II is symmetrical about the midline, highly reproducible between individuals, and conserved across species (Sillitoe et al., 2005).

Molecular tools such as zebrin II expression have been used to show that zonal compartments divide the cerebellar cortex into thousands of reproducible units, with each one containing several hundred Purkinje cells (Apps and Hawkes, 2009). The Purkinje cell zonal plan has a predictable and well-defined relationship to its thousands of incoming afferent projections [Figure 3; (Reeber et al., 2012)]. Moreover, each cerebellar cortical region has a defined and strict relationship to specific cells within the cerebellar nuclei, which send topographic projections out of the cerebellum to unique regions within the brain and spinal cord [Uusisaari and De Schutter, 2011; Figure 3]. Together, Purkinje cell zones and their associated synapses organize cerebellar function into a spatial map that encodes multiple behaviors (Wadiche and Jahr, 2005; Horn et al., 2010; Cerminara and Apps, 2011). It is intriguing to speculate that this zonal plan may extend beyond cerebellar circuits into connected regions such as the thalamus and cerebral cortex. Indeed, cerebellar efferent projections to the inferior olive and the basal ganglia are highly topographic, and because of bi-directional connectivity projections between these structures forms closed loop circuits (Middleton and Strick, 2000; Bazzigaluppi et al., 2012).

With such a high level of organization it seems plausible that certain circuits, and therefore certain zones, may be more affected by some diseases and not others. That is, could disrupting one set of zones cause ataxia while disrupting an adjacent set cause dystonia? Perhaps. However, given that each zone likely encodes multiple behaviors (Cerminara and Apps, 2011), manipulating the function of any given set would almost certainly result in a “mixed” disease outcome. The phenotypes of several animal models of episodic movement disorders support this idea. For instance, in Carvalhal mutant mice [trotting; (Alvina and Khodakhah, 2010)], loss of the Cav2.1 voltage dependent calcium channel not only causes ataxic episodes, but severe dystonia can also be induced in the same mice. Similarly, in Tsc1, Tsc2, and En2 mouse models of ASD, loss of cerebellar function triggers circuit defects that disrupt both motor and non-motor behaviors. And, En2 mutant mice exhibit severe alterations in Purkinje cell patterning and consequently, at least three functionally distinct classes of afferent fibers are mis-targeted into ectopic positions within the cerebellar cortex (White and Sillitoe, 2013). It is therefore intriguing that Purkinje cell loss may be patterned in dystonia and ASD since the cell loss has been described as “patchy” in both conditions (Carper et al., 2006; Prudente et al., 2013). The burning question that we must now tackle is does zonal function directly control disease related behaviors? The answer may lie within the operational units of the zones, which are referred to as cerebellar modules (Ruigrok, 2011). Each module is comprised of topographically organized afferent fibers, Purkinje cell stripe gene expression (e.g., zebrin II), and the zonally organized Purkinje cell efferent projections to the cerebellar nuclei. Systematic analyses will have to be conducted in existing mutant mouse models in order to determine how each module, or subsets of functionally related modules, operates during the expression of disease-related behaviors. In addition, however, powerful inducible approaches such as channelrhodopsin or CreER genetics should be used to target specific modules (or specific circuits within them) to ask whether defective connectivity in select pathways can recapitulate the disease phenotypes. Such models would be invaluable for therapeutic design and testing. In parallel, further studies in humans should be conducted. Recent likelihood meta-analysis of neuroimaging data demonstrated a precise functional topography in different lobules; subsets of lobules are apparently associated with specific functions (e.g., lobule V = sensorimotor function and lobule VII = cognitive function;
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