Impact of magnetic dopants on magnetic and topological phases in magnetic topological insulators
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A topological insulator doped with random magnetic impurities is studied. The system is modelled by the Kane-Mele model with a random spin exchange between conduction electrons and magnetic dopants. The dynamical mean field theory for disordered systems is used to investigate the electron dynamics. The magnetic long-range order and the topological invariant are calculated within the mean field theory. They reveal a rich phase diagram, where different magnetic long-range orders such as antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic one can exist in the metallic or insulating phases, depending on electron and magnetic impurity fillings. It is found that insulator only occurs at electron half filling, quarter filling and when electron filling is equal to magnetic impurity filling. However, non-trivial topology is observed only in half-filling antiferromagnetic insulator and quarter-filling ferromagnetic insulator. At electron half filling, the spin Hall conductance is quantized and it is robust against magnetic doping, while at electron quarter filling, magnetic dopants drive the ferromagnetic topological insulator to ferromagnetic metal. The quantum anomalous Hall effect is observed only at electron quarter filling and dense magnetic doping.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic topological quantum materials are a relatively new type of materials, where topologically nontrivial electron properties coexist with magnetic ordering. These novel materials include magnetic topological insulators (MTIs), magnetic Weyl semimetals, magnetic Dirac semimetals... Experiments observed a remarkable quantization of the anomalous Hall conductance in a number of materials, for instance \((Bi,Sb)\)(Se,Te)3 doped with magnetic impurities. In these materials the origin of the quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) effect relies on the spin-orbital coupling (SOC) and magnetism. Upon magnetic impurity doping, the spin exchange (SE) between the conduction electrons and magnetic moments induces a spontaneous magnetization at low temperature. The macroscopic magnetization could act on conduction electrons as the magnetic field in the anomalous Hall effect. The SOC keeps the topologically nontrivial band structure in the magnetic state. Without the topologically nontrivial band structure, the magnetic impurity doping alone could not cause the QAH effect, for instance, in the dilute magnetic semiconductors (DMSs), the magnetic dopants also induce a magnetic ordering, but the anomalous Hall conductance is not quantized, because the band structure of the DMSs is topologically trivial.

Although the QAH effect emerges as a result of the interplay between the SOC and magnetism, its occurrence also depends on the filling level of conduction electrons and the concentration of magnetic dopants. The dopings of conduction electrons and magnetic impurities can drive both the topological and magnetic phase transitions. In particular, first principle calculations showed successive topological and magnetic phase transitions from quantum spin Hall (QSH) state to QAH state, and then to ferromagnetic state, when the concentration of magnetic impurities increases. In these phase transitions magnetic dopants alter the SOC and the SE strengths, and the successive topological and magnetic phases are established as a result of the interplay between the SOC and the SE only. Moreover, when magnetic impurities are doped, disorder and inhomogeneity are inevitably introduced. As a consequence, the SE between conduction electrons and magnetic impurities is a random variable. Disorder of magnetic dopants can also induce random deviations of the magnetic moments from the macroscopic magnetization. Therefore, the induced magnetic ordering at low temperature depends on the concentration and the distribution of magnetic dopants. In many materials such as the DMSs or the colossal magnetoresistant materials, the doping of magnetic impurities is crucially important in determining the electronic and magnetic properties. While the impact of electron and magnetic dopings on the magnetic and topological properties of the MTIs was experimentally studied, it has received less theoretical attention.

In this work, we study the impact of magnetic dopants on the magnetic and topological phases existing in the MTIs. We will construct a minimal model for MTIs. It should include at least two terms: the SOC which is responsible for the topologically nontrivial band structure and the SE between the magnetic dopants and conduction electrons that could induce a magnetic ordering at low temperature. The QAH effect emerges as a result of the interplay between the SOC and the SE. In contrast to the first principle calculations, where the SOC and the SE are altered by magnetic dopants, in the minimal model the SOC and the SE are fixed upon magnetic...
doping. This allows us to study the direct impact of magnetic dopants on the magnetic and topological properties. In the previous study, a theoretical model for the MTIs was proposed\(^{11}\). This model is based on a combination of the Kane-Mele model\(^{15}\) and the SE between conduction electrons and magnetic impurities. It also looks like the double-exchange (DE) model with a SOC\(^{14,16}\). The DE model is a minimal model proposed for different magnetic materials such as DMSs\(^{12}\), colossal magnetoresistance materials\(^{14,15}\). We found that the proposed model exhibits various magnetic insulating states, which occur at electron half and quarter (or three quarters) fillings, and they are topological insulator at appropriate values of the SE\(^{11}\). However, the previous studies assumed that the magnetic impurities are present at every lattice site\(^{14,15}\). The doping of magnetic impurities away from the full filling and the disorder effect introduced by magnetic dopants were not previously considered. In this work, we study the impact of magnetic doping on the magnetic and topological properties, taking into account disorder and inhomogeneity introduced by magnetic dopants. The dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) for disordered systems is used to study the proposed model\(^{17-21}\). Originally, the DMFT was introduced in order to correctly treat local electron correlations in infinite dimensional systems\(^{22}\). It has widely been used to study strong electron correlations\(^{23}\). Especially, the DMFT has successfully treated the SE in the DE-based models\(^{24,26}\). By adopting the DMFT for disordered systems, we calculate both the spontaneous magnetization and the topological invariant self-consistently. They reveal rich phase diagrams, depending on electron and magnetic dopings. It is found that the insulating state only occurs at electron (hole) half, quarter fillings, and at electron filling equaled to the concentration of magnetic dopants. However, the insulating state is topologically nontrivial only at electron (hole) half and quarter fillings. At electron half filling, the QSH effect is observed and it is robust against the magnetic impurity doping, while at electron quarter filling, the magnetic doping away from full magnetic filling suppresses the observed QAH effect. These findings reveal that magnetic dopants impact differently on the topological properties of the MTIs depending on electron filling.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the minimum model for MTIs and the DMFT for treating the SE and disorder introduced by magnetic dopants. The numerical results are presented in Sec. III. Finally, Sec. IV is the conclusion of the present work.

II. MODEL AND DYNAMICAL MEAN FIELD THEORY

We consider a topological insulator doped with magnetic impurities. For the sake of simplicity, the topological insulator is modelled by the Kane-Mele Hamiltonian\(^{12}\). The Kane-Mele model consists of a nearest-neighbor hopping and an intrinsic SOC. In addition, magnetic impurities are randomly distributed over the lattice. They are locally coupled with conduction electrons via a SE. The Hamiltonian describing the model reads

\[
H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + i\lambda \sum_{\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle, ss', \sigma} \nu_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \sigma^z_{ss'} c_{j\sigma'} + \sum_{i, ss', \sigma} J_S c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \sigma_{ss'} c_{i\sigma'} , \tag{1}
\]

where \(c_{i\sigma}^\dagger (c_{i\sigma})\) is the creation (annihilation) operator for electron with spin \(\sigma\) at site \(i\) of a honeycomb lattice. \(\langle ij \rangle\) and \(\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle\) denote the nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor lattice sites, respectively. \(t\) is the hopping parameter for the nearest-neighbor sites, and \(\lambda\) is the strength of the intrinsic SOC. The sign \(\nu_{ij} = \pm 1\) depends on the hopping direction, as shown in Fig. [1] \(\sigma\) are the Pauli matrices. The honeycomb lattice is chosen, since the SOC in this lattice induces a topological insulating state\(^{29}\). \(S_i\) is spin of magnetic impurity at lattice site \(i\). We also treat it classically, as widely used in the studies of materials doped with magnetic impurities\(^{14,24-31}\). Indeed, the magnetic moment of magnetic dopants is often big, for instance doped Mn ions in topological insulator Bi\(_{2-x}\)Mn\(_x\)Te\(_3\) have the magnetic moment \(\sim 4\mu_B\). This consideration excludes any possibility of the Kondo effect\(^{33,34}\). In fact, no any signature of the Kondo effect was observed in the MTIs. \(J_\delta\) is the strength of SE at lattice site \(i\). We consider only the substitutional doping of magnetic impurities, and avoid any interstitial one. Indeed, first principle calculations show the substitutional doping is energetically more favorable than the interstitial one\(^{27}\). In contrast to the previous studies\(^{14,15,11}\), in this study magnetic impurities are randomly doped, and the SE is valid only on the lattice sites, where magnetic impurities are located. We consider a binary distribution of magnetic dopants

\[
P(J_i) = (1 - x)\delta(J_i) + x\delta(J_i - J) , \tag{2}
\]

where \(x\) is the concentration of magnetic dopants. Basically, only \(x\) fraction of lattice sites has the local SE between conduction electrons and magnetic dopants. The parameter \(x\) can also be interpreted as a disorder measurement of magnetic impurities. However, both \(x = 0\) and at electron quarter filling, the magnetic insulating state only occurs at electron (hole) half, quarter fillings, and at electron filling equaled to the concentration of magnetic dopants. However, the insulating state is topologically nontrivial only at electron (hole) half and quarter fillings. At electron half filling, the QSH effect is observed and it is robust against the magnetic impurity doping, while at electron quarter filling, the magnetic doping away from full magnetic filling suppresses the observed QAH effect. These findings reveal that magnetic dopants impact differently on the topological properties of the MTIs depending on electron filling.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the minimum model for MTIs and the DMFT for treating the SE and disorder introduced by magnetic dopants. The numerical results are presented in Sec. III. Finally, Sec. IV is the conclusion of the present work.

II. MODEL AND DYNAMICAL MEAN FIELD THEORY

We consider a topological insulator doped with magnetic impurities. For the sake of simplicity, the topological insulator is modelled by the Kane-Mele Hamiltonian\(^{12}\). The Kane-Mele model consists of a

![FIG. 1: (Color online) The sign structure \(\nu_{ij}\) of the SOC term in the honeycomb lattice.](Image)
and \( x = 1 \) correspond to the non-disordered cases. When the magnetic impurities are absent (\( x = 0 \)), the proposed model returns to the Kane-Mele model\(^\text{13}\). The SOC causes a band gap at half filling, and the insulating state has an integer spin Chern number\(^\text{13}\). This yields the QSH effect. In the opposite limit, \( x = 1 \), magnetic impurities are present at every lattice site. Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) essentially describes the interplay between the magnetic impurities and a ferromagnetic topological insulator at electron half filling\(^\text{17–21}\). Indeed, it is basically equivalent to the coherent potential approximation (CPA)\(^\text{38–43}\). Due to the Anderson localization, the DMFT overestimates the critical value of the semimetal-insulator transition, but it is still capable to detect the insulating or magnetic states\(^\text{38–43}\). Such disorders are absent in the proposed Hamiltonian in Eq. (1). Within the DMFT, the self energy depends only on frequency \( \Sigma(k,i\omega_n) \to \Sigma(i\omega_n) \). The DMFT neglects nonlocal correlations at finite dimensions. In the honeycomb lattice, the DMFT overestimates the critical value of the semimetal-insulator transition, but it is still capable to detect the insulating or magnetic states. The Green function obeys the Dyson equation

\[
\overline{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) = G_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) + \sum_{\alpha'} G_{\alpha\alpha'}(i\omega_n) \Sigma_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) \overline{G}_{\alpha\sigma'}(i\omega_n),
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the sublattice notation (\( \alpha = A,B \)), and \( \overline{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) = \sum_k \overline{G}_{\alpha\sigma}(k,i\omega_n) / N \) is the local averaged Green function (\( N \) is the number of sublattice sites). The Green function \( G_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) \) actually represents the effective dynamical mean field of conduction electrons. Within the DMFT, the self energy is determined from an effective single-site action, where \( G_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n) \) serves as the bare noninteracting Green function. The action of the effective single site of sublattice \( \alpha \) with a fixed SE \( J_\alpha \) is

\[
S_{\alpha}(J_\alpha) = -\sum_{\alpha'} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int_0^{\beta'} d\tau' \Psi^\dagger_{\alpha\sigma}(\tau) G^{-1}_{\alpha\sigma}(\tau - \tau') \Psi_{\alpha\sigma}(\tau') - \sum_{\alpha\sigma'} \int_0^\beta d\tau J_{\alpha\sigma'} S^\alpha(\tau) \Psi^\dagger_{\alpha\sigma}(\tau) \sigma_{\alpha\sigma'}^\dagger \Psi_{\alpha\sigma'}(\tau).
\]

For classical impurity spin \( S \), this effective single-site action can exactly be solved. Indeed, it is basically the action of an one-particle problem. Therefore, the DMFT is actually the CPA. After solving the effective single-site problem, we obtain the local Green function \( G_{\alpha\sigma}(i\omega_n, J_\alpha) \) for a fixed SE \( J_\alpha \). The averaged local Green function can
be calculated by

\[
\overline{G}_{aa}(i\omega_n) = \int dJ_a P(J_a) G_{aa}(i\omega_n, J_a) = (1 - x) G_{aa}(i\omega_n, J_a = 0) + x G_{aa}(i\omega_n, J_a = J),
\]

Then, the self energy is determined by the Dyson equation \([10]\) again. So far, we have obtained the self consistent equations of the DMFT. They can be solved by simple iterations. After solving the DMFT equations, we obtain the self energy and the averaged Green function. The spontaneous magnetizations of sublattice \(A\) and \(B\) are defined as

\[
m_A = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{I, \sigma} \sigma (a^\dagger_{I, \sigma} a_{I, \sigma}),
\]

\[
m_B = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{I, \sigma} \sigma (b^\dagger_{I, \sigma} b_{I, \sigma}),
\]

where \(\sigma = \pm 1\). When \(m_A = \pm m_B \neq 0\) the ground state is ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic, respectively. Due to the local nature, the DMFT does not mix different spin sectors of the Green function, hence the magnetization is not non-coplanar. The topological property can be determined through the disorder-average transport, or by the topological Bott index \([45, 46]\). The Bott index is defined in the real space with a realized configuration of random magnetic impurities. However, calculating the Bott index requires extensive numerical calculations. Instead of calculating the Bott index, here we will use the disorder-average approach proposed in Ref. \([44]\). Within this approach the self energy of disorder-average Green function renormalizes the noninteracting and non-disordered Bloch Hamiltonian. Therefore, the renormalized Bloch Hamiltonian \(H_{\text{eff}}(k) = H_0(k) + \Sigma(i0) = -[\overline{G}(k, i0)]^{-1}\) determines the topological invariant, like in the non-disordered interacting case \([44]\). The topological invariant is determined by

\[
C_\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 k F^\nu_{xy},
\]

where \(F^\nu_{ij} = \partial_i A^\nu_j - \partial_j A^\nu_i, A^\nu_i = i (k_\nu | \partial_i | k_\nu), \) and \(|k_\nu\rangle\) is the orthonormalized eigenstate of matrix \(H_{\text{eff}}(k), \) corresponding to the eigenvalue \(E_\nu(k). \) This topological invariant is actually the Chern number of the effective Hamiltonian, where its renormalization is given by disorder and interaction in the mean field approximation. For weak disorder the disorder-average approach gives consistent results with the Bott index approach \([45, 46]\). In fact, the disorder-average approach has widely been used in determining the ground state topology \([45, 52]\). In numerical calculations one can use the efficient method of discretization of the Brillouin zone to calculate the Chern number in Eq. \([53, 54].\)

![FIG. 2: (Color online) The electron filling \(n\) and the sublattice magnetization \(m_A, m_B\) via the chemical potential \(\mu\) for different values of the SE at magnetic doping \(x = 0.3\) and SOC \(\lambda = 0.5.\) For guiding the eye electron fillings \(n = 0.3, 1.0, 1.7\) are indicated by the horizontal dotted lines.](image-url)

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We numerically solve the DMFT equations by iteration for a given magnetic doping \(x.\) The numerical calculations are performed at fixed fictitious temperature \(T = 0.01,\) which serves as the cell size of the Matsubara frequency mesh. The emergence of magnetism and topology occurs in insulator, therefore we focus on detecting the insulating state. It is detected by a plateau in the curve \(n(\mu),\) the dependence of electron filling on the chemical potential \([11]\). Actually, the plateau reflects the band gap as well as the vanishing of the charge compressibility. They are the signals of the insulating stability.

First, we consider the case of dilute magnetic doping \((x < 0.5).\) In Fig. 2 we plot the dependence of electron filling \(n\) and the sublattice magnetizations \(m_A, m_B\) on the chemical potential \(\mu\) for a small value \(x.\) It shows the plateau appearance at fillings \(n = 1, n = x\) and \(n = 2 - x\) with appropriate values of the SE. At electron half filling \(n = 1,\) the system is transformed from an insulating state to a metallic state when the SE increases. When the SE vanishes \((J = 0),\) the SOC opens a band gap in the electron structure \([15]\). A weak SE does not change the insulating state, however, it reduces the band gap. As a consequence, at an appropriate value of
the SE, the band gap closes, and ground state becomes metallic. At the same time, the SE also drives a magnetic phase transition. At electron half filling, it can induce an antiferromagnetic (AF) long range order with \( m_A = -m_B \neq 0 \) at low temperature. In a mean field picture, the AF magnetization can act on conduction electrons like a staggered magnetic field, and this field reduces the gap opened by the SOC. Indeed, when a staggered magnetic field is present, the energy spectra of conduction electrons become

\[
E(k) = \pm \sqrt{k^2 + (\lambda \xi k - h)^2},
\]

where \( h \) is the strength of the staggered magnetic field. Actually, in the mean field approximation \( h \sim J \). At the corners of the Brillouin zone \( K = 2\pi(1/3, \pm 1/3, 0) \), \( \xi_k \) vanishes, whereas \( \xi_k \) remains finite. When \( h = \lambda \xi K \), the gap closes. However, in contrast to the non-disordered magnetic case \( (x = 1) \), at finite magnetic doping \( (x < 1) \) strong SE does not open the band gap again, as can be seen in Fig. 3. At strong SE, instead of antiferromagnetic insulator (AFI), antiferromagnetic metal (AFM) is established. This is an effect of magnetic impurity doping. Upon the magnetic doping, some lattice sites are free of the magnetic impurity occupation. Therefore, at these sites conduction electrons are also free of the SE coupling. As a consequence, these conduction electrons give a contribution to the electrical conductivity. However, this effect occurs only for strong SE, which aligns electron spins and magnetic moments in order to optimize the electron kinetic energy. As can be seen in Fig. 2 when the SE is strong, additional plateaus appear in the curve \( n(\mu) \) at \( n = x \) and \( n = 2 - x \). Actually, \( n = x \) and \( n = 2 - x \) are equivalent due to the particle-hole symmetry. In this case, the concentrations of conduction electrons (holes) and of magnetic impurities are the same. As we will see later, depending on magnetic doping \( x \) and the SE strength, the ground state at \( n = x \) (or \( n = 2 - x \)) may become magnetic. In particular, at strong SE and dense magnetic doping \( (x \gtrsim 0.8) \), the ground state at \( n = x \) and \( n = 2 - x \) is AFI. In the limit \( x \to 1 \), these AFI states at \( n = x \) and \( n = 2 - x \) merge into the single AFI at electron half filling \( n = 1 \). As a consequence, at filling \( n = x = 1 \), AFI occurs again when the SE is strong. This can also be interpreted that the AFI at half filling in the full magnetic case \( (x = 1) \) is actually split into two AFI states in the electron and hole domains upon doping of magnetic impurities.

In dense magnetic doping \( (x \gtrsim 0.8) \), additional plateaus in the curve of \( n(\mu) \) are observed at \( n = 0.5 \) and \( n = 1.5 \) and strong SE, as can be seen in Fig. 3. Electron fillings \( n = 0.5 \) and \( n = 1.5 \) are equivalent due to the particle-hole symmetry. At electron quarter filling the insulating state is ferromagnetic because \( m_A = m_B \neq 0 \). This ferromagnetic insulator (FI) is also established in the non-disordered magnetic case \( (x = 1) \). Figure 4 also shows discontinuities of the electron filling \( n \) and the sublattice magnetizations \( m_A, m_B \) at certain values of the chemical potential. At these values of the chemical potential, the electron filling is uncertain, and actually the ground state is spontaneously separated into two phases with the electron fillings corresponding to the extremes of the discontinuity in the curve \( n(\mu) \). This constitutes a phase separation. The phase separation is not a disorder effect, because it also occurs in the non-disordered magnetic case \( x = 1 \). It occurs at the phase boundary between different symmetry phases, such as the magnetic and paramagnetic states. In the magnetic state, the electron ground-state energy is optimized by aligning electron spins and magnetic moments through the SE coupling, while in the paramagnetic state electron spins are not aligned with the magnetic moments, and the optimization of the ground-state energy via the SE coupling is not operative. As a result of the competition of these two phases, a magnetic pattern is energetically formed at the phase boundary. The phase separation often occurs in the DE model upon electron doping.

So far, we have observed the insulating state at electron (hole) fillings \( n = 1, n = 0.5 \), and \( n = x \). However, the insulating state at quarter filling \( (n = 0.5) \) occurs only when the doping of magnetic impurities closes to \( x = 1 \). In the case of dilute magnetic doping, it is absent. Electron fillings \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 0.5 \), where insulator...
is stable, reflect the number of occupied bands in the proposed model. At half filling $n = 1$, the insulating state occurs when two lowest bands are fully occupied, whereas at quarter filling $n = 0.5$, the full occupation of the lowest band yields the insulating state. For other models, where the number of energy bands is larger, the filling condition for the insulating stability may be changed.\(^{15}\)

### A. Half filling $n = 1$

In Fig. 4 we plot the phase diagram for a fixed SOC at electron half filling $n = 1$. It shows that the insulating state exists regardless of magnetic impurity doping when SE is weak. At weak SE the paramagnetic insulator (PI) is established. We have also calculated the Chern number defined in Eq. (8). It turns out this PI is topological.

Across this phase transition the topological invariant is robust against the SE coupling until the SE closes the band gap. On the other hand, the SE coupling aligns electron spins with the magnetic moments and electron spins through the van Vleck mechanism. This indicates that the QSH effect is protected even in the presence of magnetic dopant disorder as long as the band gap is still open. Some MTI materials doped with magnetic impurities favor the AF state, for instance, first-principle calculations show an AF state in Bi$_2$Sc$_3$ doped with Fe ions.\(^{27}\) However, it is still challenge to find the coexistence of the QSH effect and AF ordering in MTIs.

### B. Quarter filling $n = 0.5$

In Fig. 5 we plot the phase diagram at electron quarter filling $n = 0.5$. The insulating state exists only at strong SE coupling and large values of magnetic impurity doping ($x > 0.8$). At small values of $x$, only metallic state exists. The insulating state is ferromagnetic, since strong SE coupling energetically favors the parallel alignment of electron spins like in the DE mechanism. In the ferromagnetic insulator (FI), only the lowest band is fully occupied, and three other bands are empty. It turns out

**FIG. 4:** Phase diagram at electron half filling $n = 1$ ($\lambda = 0.5$). Abbreviations PTI, AFTI, AFM denote paramagnetic topological insulator, antiferromagnetic topological insulator, and antiferromagnetic metal, respectively.

**FIG. 5:** (Color online) Phase diagram at electron quarter filling $n = 0.5$ ($\lambda = 0.5$). Abbreviations PM, FM, FTI denote paramagnetic metal, ferromagnetic metal, and ferromagnetic topological insulator, respectively.
that the FI is topological since the Chern number calculated by Eq. (3) gives \( C = 1 \) for the lowest band. This yields the QAH effect. First principle calculations for real material Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\) doped with Cr ions also reveal the QAH effect. The phase diagram plotted in Fig. 5 also shows that doping of magnetic impurities can drive the topological FI to non-topological ferromagnetic metal (FM). However, this topological phase transition is actually an insulator-metal transition. At the phase boundary, the gap closes. However, a further decrease of magnetic doping does not open the gap again, because electron filling is fixed \( n = 0.5 \) and the chemical potential lies within an energy band. The phase transition at electron quarter filling is quite different in comparison with the magnetic topological phase transition at electron half filling. At electron half filling the topological invariant remains the same across the magnetic phase transition, whereas at electron quarter filling, the spontaneous ferromagnetic magnetization is maintained across the insulator-metal transition, and the non-trivial topological invariant appears in the insulating side only. Doping of magnetic impurities away from full filling suppresses the gap, hence simultaneously destroys the topological invariant. The anomalous Hall effect was also suggested to exist in conducting ferromagnets, however it cannot be quantized in metals.\(^7\)

Figure 5 also shows a magnetic topological phase transition driven by SE at fixed magnetic doping. When the SE is weak, the ground state is paramagnetic metal (PM) although the SOC is present. Actually, the SOC opens a band gap only at electron half filling. Therefore at quarter filling, the SOC does not affect the metallic properties. Both the metal-insulator and the magnetic transitions are driven solely by the SE. However, the SOC causes non-trivial topological invariants of two lowest bands. One lowest band has the Chern number \( C = 1 \), and the other one has \( C = -1 \). Since the two lowest bands have opposite spins, the QSH effect occurs at electron half filling. When the two lowest bands are separated by a gap, the ground state is also insulator at electron quarter filling. Since its topological invariant is integer, the QAH effect occurs. The separation of two lowest bands at electron quarter filling also indicates the fully ferromagnetic state. This can be achieved by strong SE.\(^8\) Therefore the QAH effect occurs only at the FI state. However, the SE coupling separates two lowest bands only at dense magnetic doping. At dilute magnetic doping, the SE is valid only at a small number of lattice sites, and in an average manner, it cannot open a band gap at electron quarter filling. In real MTI materials, the QAH effect was observed at certain range of magnetic impurity concentration.\(^6\)–\(^10\)

C. Equal filling \( n = x \)

In this filling case, the concentration of electrons (holes) is equal to the concentration of magnetic dopants.

![FIG. 6: (Color online) Phase diagram at equal filling \( n = x = 0.3 \). Abbreviations PM, PI, FM, AFI denote paramagnetic metal, paramagnetic insulator, ferromagnetic metal, and antiferromagnetic insulator, respectively. All insulating phases are topologically trivial.](image-url)
IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the impact of magnetic dopants on the magnetic and topological phases which could occur in MTIs. When magnetic impurities are doped into MTIs, they are coupled with conduction electrons via the SE, and simultaneously introduce disorder and inhomogeneity. The interplay between the random SE and the SOC causes rich magnetic and topological phases in MTIs. However, non-trivial topology of the insulating ground state exists only at electron half and quarter fillings. At electron half filling the AFI is stable between the PI and AFM, when the SE strength increases. It exhibits the QSH effect that is robust against the magnetic impurity doping. However, disorder and inhomogeneity which are introduced by magnetic dopants induce the AFM at strong SE, while in the non-disordered case, the AFI is instead established. Actually, the AFI at electron half filling is split into two AFIs in the electron and hole domains upon magnetic doping. Although the AFI is topologically nontrivial at electron half filling, its split AFI states upon magnetic doping are topologically trivial. At electron quarter filling, the QAH effect could occur at the strong SE and dense magnetic doping. However, the magnetic doping also drives the FI to the FM, when it decreases, and therefore it completely suppresses the QAH effect at its appropriate value. These findings reveal that magnetic dopants impact differently on the topological properties of the MTIs, depending on electron filling. At electron half filling the topological invariant is robust against magnetic dopants, while at electron quarter filling it is suppressed by magnetic doping. In addition to the electron half and quarter fillings, we also observed the insulating ground states at equal fillings (i.e., the concentration of electrons (holes) is equal to the concentration of magnetic dopants). However, the insulating states are topologically trivial. In comparison with the non-disordered case, the phase diagram becomes very rich. Disorder and inhomogeneity cause different magnetic orderings in both insulating and metallic states.

Despite the explicit presence of magnetic impurities, the proposed model is also appropriate for intrinsic MTIs, where instead of magnetic impurities, the d-band correlated electrons establish magnetic long-range ordering. The intrinsic MTIs were recently discovered and have attracted intensive attention. Actually, in the intrinsic MTIs only the spin degree of freedom of the d-band correlated electrons is relevant for establishing magnetism, and the charge degree of freedom can be discarded. The SE between the d-band correlated electrons and conduction electrons may interplay with the SOC of conduction electrons and this emerges the topologically nontrivial magnetic ground state. We leave this problem for further studies.
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