PhERF2, an ethylene-responsive element binding factor, plays an essential role in waterlogging tolerance of petunia
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Abstract
Ethylene-responsive element binding factors (ERFs) are involved in regulation of various stress responses in plants, but their biological functions in waterlogging stress are largely unclear. In this study, we identified a petunia (Petunia × hybrida) ERF gene, PhERF2, that was significantly induced by waterlogging in wild-type (WT). To study the regulatory role of PhERF2 in waterlogging responses, transgenic petunia plants with RNAi silencing and overexpression of PhERF2 were generated. Compared with WT plants, PhERF2 silencing compromised the tolerance of petunia seedlings to waterlogging, shown as 96% mortality after 4 days waterlogging and 14 days recovery, while overexpression of PhERF2 improved the survival of seedlings subjected to waterlogging. PhERF2-RNAi lines exhibited earlier and more severe leaf chlorosis and necrosis than WT, whereas plants overexpressing PhERF2 showed promoted growth vigor under waterlogging. Chlorophyll content was dramatically lower in PhERF2-silenced plants than WT or overexpression plants. Typical characteristics of programmed cell death (PCD), DNA condensation, and moon-shaped nuclei were only observed in PhERF2-overexpressing lines but not in PhERF2-RNAi or control lines. Furthermore, transcript abundances of the alcoholic fermentation-related genes ADH1-1, ADH1-2, ADH1-3, PDC1, and PDC2 were reduced in PhERF2-silenced plants, but increased in PhERF2-overexpressing plants following exposure to 12-h waterlogging. In contrast, expression of the lactate fermentation-related gene LDH was up-regulated in PhERF2-silenced plants, but down-regulated in its overexpressing plants. Moreover, PhERF2 was observed to directly bind to the ADH1-2 promoter bearing ATCTA motifs. Our results demonstrate that PhERF2 contributes to petunia waterlogging tolerance through modulation of PCD and alcoholic fermentation system.

Introduction
Global climate change brings about a frequent occurrence of extreme rainfall events, and it increases the demand for improvement of plant tolerance to waterlogging4, which is defined as the state in which soil is saturated with water most of the time, restricting exposure to air and causing anaerobic conditions. Plants under waterlogging conditions undergo hypoxic stress with difficulty in oxygen diffusion, resulting in a drop in photosynthesis, respiration, and chlorophyll accumulation2. Adaptation of plants to low oxygen levels takes place at three stages3. At the beginning, several signal transduction components are rapidly induced in plants, and then metabolic adaptation is initiated through fermentation pathways. Finally, programmed cell death (PCD) and cell wall autolysis cause morphological changes such as aerenchyma formation in adventitious roots5. PCD is closely related with the cellular phenomenon of DNA condensation and moon-shaped nuclei5. These molecular and morphological adaptations serve to promote oxygen retention and capture efficiencies for alleviating waterlogging stress.
Plants produce metabolic energy through fermentative glycolysis and not oxidative respiration in response to waterlogging stress\(^6\)–\(^8\). In the case of oxygen deficiency, respiration varies from the aerobic to the anaerobic mode, which is implicated in glycolysis and fermentation\(^9\). The first step of fermentation pathway reveals that pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) is responsible for catalysis of the conversion of pyruvate to acetaldelyde. Then acetaldelyde is converted to ethanol via alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), leading to regeneration of NAD\(^+\) in dehydrogenase systems. This process plays a major role in the alcoholic fermentation pathway, and is vital for sustenance of glycolysis under hypoxic conditions\(^10\). Besides, the conversion of pyruvate to lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) also contributes to the production of NAD\(^+\). The functional characterization of anaerobic proteins (ANPs), such as PDC, ADH, and LDH, have been reported in some plant species, including Arabidopsis\(^11\), salt marsh grass\(^12\), rice\(^9\), pigeon pea\(^10\), and maize\(^13\).

The ethylene-responsive element binding factor (ERF) proteins are crucial transcriptional regulators in response to diverse biotic and abiotic stresses in plants. Members of the ERF family regulate stress responses mostly through the direct binding to specific promoter sequences (cis-acting GCC box) of defense-related genes\(^14\), but certain members have different binding sites. For instance, AtRAP2.2\(^15\) and AtRAP2.12\(^16\) belonging to ERF-VII subgroup in Arabidopsis, specifically recognize the motif ATCTA in the promoter of downstream genes. ERF genes have been identified in a number of plants, including Arabidopsis\(^17\), rice\(^18,19\), soybean\(^20\), and wheat (Triticum aestivum)\(^21\). Constitutive expression of soybean GmERF3 in transgenic tobacco plants reduces susceptibility to high salinity, dehydration, fungal, and viral diseases\(^22\). Ectopic overexpression of JERF1 and JERF3 increases resistance to drought and osmotic stress in tobacco and rice\(^23,24\).

Transgenic Arabidopsis plants with overexpressed AtERF98 exhibit enhanced tolerance to salt via regulation of ascorbic acid synthesis\(^25\). Moreover, the biological role of ERFs in waterlogging stress has been reported previously. Overexpression of an ERF gene Sub1A leads to an enhanced tolerance to water submersion in rice\(^26–28\). Licausi et al.\(^29\) found that double mutants of hre1 and hre2, two hypoxia-inducible ERFs in Arabidopsis, display increased sensitivity to anoxia. HRE1 overexpression improves anoxia tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis plants, and increases the PDC and ADH activities. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants constitutively expressing BnERF2.4 from Brassica napus exhibit enhanced submergence tolerance and alleviated oxidative damage\(^30\). However, the molecular mechanism of how ERFs regulate waterlogging tolerance remains largely unknown.

Petunia, an important horticultural plant that is highly sensitive to submersion, is an excellent model system for studies of waterlogging responses. In previous work, we identified a cluster of transcription factors during petunia flower development via transcriptomic analysis, including some ERFs\(^31\). We have recently reported a critical role of PhERF2 in antiviral RNA silencing and also observed that expression levels of PhERF2 were significantly induced by stress-related hormones including ethylene, abscisic acid, salicylic acid, and methyl jasmonate as well as abiotic stress treatments such as cold, NaCl, and water stress\(^32\). The fact that roles of PhERF2 homologs in tolerance to abiotic stresses, such as salt\(^33\) and cold\(^34\), are characterized in other species prompts us to hypothesize that PhERF2 is involved in the stress regulation. Here, we report an additional function of PhERF2 in petunia waterlogging tolerance. PhERF2 silencing reduced petunia tolerance to waterlogging, and its overexpression increased the tolerance. Our results support an important role of PhERF2 in the regulation of waterlogging resistance in petunia.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Petunia (Petunia × hybrida) cultivar ’Mitchell Diploid’ was used for generation of stable transformants. Seeds of wild-type (WT) and homozygous T2 generation transgenic petunia plants were surface-sterilized with 75% ethanol and 5% NaClO. After rinsing five times with sterilized water, WT and transgenic petunia seeds were placed on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium without antibiotics or containing 50 mg L\(^-1\) kanamycin, respectively. Two weeks post germination, transgenic petunia seedlings were transferred to non-antibiotic MS medium to continue growth for 1 week. Both were then transplanted into the soil mixture and kept at 23/19 °C day/night under a photoperiod of 12/12 h light/dark. To determine transcript abundances of genes in petunia plants exposed to waterlogging stress, uppermost or lower leaves at different times after treatment were harvested for RNA extraction.

Generation of transgenic petunia plants

A 339-bp fragment and a full length 1143-bp fragment harboring the ORF region of PhERF2 were PCR-amplified and cloned into pGSA1285 and pGSA1403 vectors for generating the RNAi and overexpression constructs, respectively, as previously described\(^32\). The constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 (Takara, Otsu, Shiga, Japan) via electroporation. For the electroporation, 20 μl of LBA4404 competent cells were mixed with 0.1–0.2 μg of constructed plasmids and subjected to an electrical pulse at 2.5 kV and 400 Ω in a cold cuvette using a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA). The cells were suspended in 1 ml of liquid LB medium for 2 h of incubation at 28 °C, and then spread on
solid LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics for selection of positive colonies. Leaf discs of petunia ‘Mitchell Diploid’ were used for inoculation with Agrobacterium according to previous description. The resulting transformants were selected on MS plates supplemented with 100 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin. After a continuous cultivation, the PhERF2-RNAi (1A, 1B, and 4B) and PhERF2-overexpressing (C, D, and 1) lines in the T2 generation exhibiting 100% survival on MS selection medium were obtained and used for further waterlogging assay.

**Waterlogging treatments**

To examine the impact of waterlogging stress on WT, PhERF2-RNAi, and -overexpressing plants, the following treatments were applied to 5-week-old petunia plants before morphology was recorded: 0, 24 h waterlogging, 4 days waterlogging, +14 days recovery. All treatments were replicated 5 times and 16 plants were used for each replication. Plants were subjected to 24 h continuous flooding treatment by putting small pots with plants into larger ones, which were then excessively irrigated with tap water at room temperature. For flooding experiments, the water level was kept at 2–3 cm higher than soil surface during the flooding process. After the treatment, a complete drainage was ensured through a drilled hole located underneath the pot. The plants untreated with flooding were used as a control. We hypothesize that transcriptional responses to waterlogging occur much earlier than physiological and metabolic processes and morphological alteration. Therefore, for expression analysis of ADHs, PDCs, and LDH, leaf samples with three biological replicates from 6-week-old WT and transgenic plants were collected at 12 h after waterlogging treatments.

**Measurement of chlorophyll content**

Total chlorophyll (a + b) content was measured as described previously. Briefly, fresh leaf samples (0.1 g) were extracted for 24 h with 10 ml of acetone:anhydrous ethanol (1:1, v/v) mixture solution in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance of resulting solvent was measured at both 663 and 645 nm using a Beckman Coulter DU 800 spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA). Chlorophyll contents were calculated based on the fresh weight.

**Cell death assay**

The 20–30 mm of distal roots from WT and transgenic lines at various times after treatment with waterlogging were fixed by immersion in a mixture solution of formaldehyde:ethanol:glacial acetic acid (18:1:1, v/v) at room temperature for at least 24 h under vacuum. After that, the samples were washed three times in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol (70–100%), followed by subsequent air drying. A confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM 700, Carl Zeiss, Germany) was used for examination of the cells. The histological detection of root nuclei was performed through staining with 1 mg L⁻¹ DAPI (4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindol dihydrochloride) in 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4), and then examining under a confocal laser-scanning microscope. Over 100 cells for each sample were observed for any DNA condensation and moon-shaped nuclei, an indication of PCD.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA extraction was performed on petunia leaves using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The isolated RNA samples were purified with RNase-free DNase I (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and subsequently transcribed to first-strand cDNA using oligo(dT)₂₀ primer with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis was conducted using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (2x) (ABI7300; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with cDNA being templates. Constitutively expressed 26S ribosomal RNA was used as a reference gene to standardize cDNA. Oligonucleotide primers used for detection of gene transcripts are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed as previously described with minor changes. The complete coding region of PhERF2 was amplified and cloned into the pET28a vector. The overexpression of the corresponding PhERF2 protein was achieved through the culturing of transformed E. coli Rosetta (DE3) cells by addition of the inducer isopropylthio-β-galactoside at 16 °C for 24 h. The recombinant proteins were extracted and applied to the column (HisTrap HP, GE) for purification according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The biotin-labeled WT or site-directed mutant probe containing a 35-bp oligonucleotide in the ADH1-2 promoter was amplified using the primers Bio-pADH1-2-F/pADH1-2-R or Bio-mpADH1-2-F/mpADH1-2-R, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). The non-labeled probe served as the competitor. Subsequently, the interaction of PhERF2 with ADH1-2 promoter was carried out using the LightShift EMSA Optimization and Control Kit (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The protein-DNA complexes were separated by electrophoresis on a Tris-glycine-buffered 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel. The binding signals of PhERF2 with biotin-labeled probe were detected using the Chemiluminescent Nucleic Acid Detection Module Kit (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA).
Dual luciferase assay

Dual luciferase assay was performed using a method previously described\(^4\). The full-length coding sequence of PhERF2 was amplified and inserted into pGreenII62-SK vector as the effector, driven by CaMV 35S promoter, and the empty vector was used as a control. To generate the reporter construct, a 1256-bp ADH1-2 promoter sequence bearing four putative binding sites of PhERF2 (Supplementary Fig. S1) was ligated into transient expression pGreenII0800-LUC vector, with the PhERF2 targeted candidate ADH1-2 promoter driving a firefly luciferase (LUC) gene and a CaMV 35 promoter driving a Renilla luciferase (REN) gene. The primers used for these two constructs are listed in Supplementary Table S1. To examine the transactivation of PhERF2 to ADH1-2 promoter, the A. tumefaciens GV3101 cells transformed with constructed effector and reporter plasmids were used to co-infiltrate 6-leaf-stage petunia seedlings. The enzyme activities of LUC and REN were detected using dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) on a luminometer Tecan Infinite M200 (Männedorf, Switzerland). The promoter activities were indicated by the LUC/REN ratio.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out with a minimum of three biological replicates for different individual plants. The significance of difference was determined through Student’s t test at \(P\) value < 0.05, using JMP (Version 11.0) software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

PhERF2 affects tolerance of petunia to waterlogging

To investigate the role of PhERF2 in waterlogging responses, transgenic petunia plants with RNAi silencing (lines 1A, 1B, and 4B) and overexpression (lines C, D, and I) of PhERF2 were generated, respectively. Waterlogging treatment triggered wilting and leaf chlorosis in all tested plants of transgenic lines, but the symptoms occurred earlier and more seriously in PhERF2-RNAi lines than PhERF2-overexpressing and WT plants (Fig. 1). After waterlogging for 4 days and recovery for 14 days, the PhERF2-silenced plants were severely damaged and suffered 96% mortality, whereas nearly all PhERF2-overexpressing plants survived and displayed a quicker and stronger recovery than WT plants (Fig. 1). At 24 h post waterlogging, particularly, older leaves at the bottom of the plant became chlorotic and rotten in PhERF2-RNAi lines, while the leaves of WT and transgenic lines over-expressing PhERF2 exhibited much milder symptoms with relatively healthy leaves, compared with the non-waterlogged controls (Fig. 2).

Chlorophyll accumulates differently in WT and PhERF2 transgenic plants under waterlogging

After 24 h of exposure to waterlogging stress, the petunia WT, PhERF2-RNAi, and -overexpressing lines showed variable leaf chlorosis and yellowing symptoms (Figs. 2, 3a). To further determine the leaf symptoms change, we measured chlorophyll contents of older leaves at the bottom of the WT and transgenic plants. By comparison with control lines without waterlogging stress, the leaf chlorophyll levels of WT and PhERF2 transgenic lines were decreased rapidly and significantly in response to waterlogging (Fig. 3b). The ratio of chlorophyll content at 24 h post treatment (hpt) to that at 0 hpt in WT plants was substantially higher than in PhERF2-silenced lines but lower than in PhERF2-overexpressing lines (Fig. 3b). Specifically, the chlorophyll content was decreased by 78.3% in WT plants, by 74.9%, 79.9%, and 59.6% in three overexpression lines (C, D, and I), and by 98.8%, 93.8%, and 95.9% in three silencing lines (1A, 1B, and 4B) at 24 h of waterlogging stress, respectively, compared to corresponding unstressed control.

Waterlogging treatment induces PhERF2 expression

To study the expression of PhERF2 in response to waterlogging stress, 5-week-old plants of WT, PhERF2-
overexpressing (D and I) and PhERF2-RNAi (1A and 4B) were waterlogged, and transcript levels of PhERF2 in uppermost leaves were determined by quantitative real-time PCR. PhERF2 expression levels were significantly higher in the overexpression lines and dramatically lower in the silenced lines than in the WT plants (Fig. 4). Transcripts of PhERF2 were markedly up-regulated by waterlogging in the WT and overexpression lines, especially line D and I. A 2.1-fold and 3.7-fold increase of PhERF2 expression in response to 24 h of waterlogging was observed for the overexpression lines, respectively. Two PhERF2-RNAi lines showed remarkable reduction in transcript abundance of PhERF2 under waterlogging stress (Fig. 4).

**Overexpression of PhERF2 induced PCD in response to waterlogging**

To better understand the impact of PhERF2 on waterlogging tolerance at the cellular level, we assessed the cell microstructures of roots from WT and transgenic plants untreated and treated with waterlogging. In the non-waterlogged roots, no cell death was observed, and all the cells and inner nuclei remained intact (Fig. 5). DAPI staining detected cell death in the roots of WT and PhERF2-RNAi (4B) plants after 24 h of waterlogging. Waterlogging caused a loss of cell integrity via lysis and extensive collapse of cell internal structures, with many cells losing protoplasts and generating distorted organelles (Fig. 5). In contrast, waterlogging stress resulted in DNA condensation and the formation of moon-shaped nuclei in waterlogging-tolerant PhERF2-overexpressing lines (I) (Fig. 5).

**PhERF2 mediates alcoholic and lactate fermentations**

Hypoxia resulting from waterlogging initiates a switch from aerobic respiration to anaerobic fermentation via activation of the glycolytic and fermentation pathways. To study the correlation of PhERF2 with fermentation pathways, transcript levels of a number of relevant genes, including three homologous genes to ADH1, two PDC genes, and one LDH gene, were examined. Compared to control plants without waterlogging, transcript abundances of the alcoholic fermentation-related genes ADH1-1, ADH1-2, ADH1-3, PDC1, and PDC2 were decreased in PhERF2-silenced plants but increased in PhERF2-overexpressing plants under waterlogging treatment (Fig. 6). However, PhERF2-silenced plants showed increased transcript abundances of the lactate fermentation-related gene LDH, while PhERF2-overexpressing plants showed...
reduced LDH expression levels under waterlogging conditions. Thus, overexpression of PhERF2 may activate the expression of alcoholic fermentation enzyme genes and alleviate the hypoxic conditions in the overexpression lines, protecting plants from waterlogging damage. The results suggest that a main pathway of NAD$^+$ regeneration in waterlogged PhERF2-overexpressing plants is possibly not lactate fermentation but alcoholic fermentation. On the contrary, PhERF2-silenced plants are probably dependent on lactate fermentation against waterlogging stress.

PhERF2 binds to the ADH1-2 promoter

To further investigate the regulatory mechanism of PhERF2, we searched the promoter regions of those genes with significantly changed expression in transgenic lines. Based on the previously reported binding sites of AtRAP2.1216, an ortholog of PhERF2, we found four motifs with the core ATCTA (or TAGAT in the opposite strand) element in the 1.5 kb promoter region upstream of the ADH1-2 coding sequence (Supplementary Fig. S1). A 35-bp fragment spanning positions −786 to −820 of the ADH1-2 promoter was used as a probe for EMSA experiments (Fig. 7a). A clear binding of PhERF2 protein to the biotin-labeled target probe was visualized as slowed bands in the polyacrylamide gel, whereas no signals were detected from the protein-mutant probe (m-probe) complex (Fig. 7b). Additionally, a dual luciferase transient expression assay based on the effector and reporter constructs (Supplementary Fig. S2) was conducted to test whether the transactivation of ADH1-2 promoter by PhERF2 occurred in petunia plants. Compared with empty vector control, the co-expression of 35S::PhERF2 and pADH1-2::LUC resulted in a 32-fold increase in LUC activity (Fig. 7c). The results revealed the direct interaction between PhERF2 and ADH1-2 promoter.

Discussion

In this study, we analyzed the morphological and physiological variations between WT and transgenic petunia plants, PhERF2-overexpressing and PhERF2-RNAi lines, under waterlogging conditions. There were no significant differences in morphology of plants without waterlogging treatment (Figs. 2, 3). After 24 h of waterlogging treatment, the leaves of the PhERF2-RNAi lines became yellow and withered. The results were in accordance with those reported previously about waterlogging effects on chrysanthemum42. The waterlogging treatment resulted in reduced respiration and photosynthesis of plants due to lack of oxygen43. The plants recovered once the waterlogging ceased. After a successive waterlogging and recovery treatment, the leaves of the PhERF2-RNAi lines became yellow and withered. The results were in accordance with those reported previously about waterlogging effects on chrysanthemum42. The waterlogging treatment resulted in reduced respiration and photosynthesis of plants due to lack of oxygen43. The plants recovered once the waterlogging ceased. After a successive waterlogging and recovery treatment, the PhERF2-RNAi plants were almost dead with all the leaves being severely wilted (Fig. 1), whereas the PhERF2-overexpressing plants were still alive with normal growth, suggesting that they probably used the PhERF2-regulated pathways to recover from the damage. Our data demonstrated that PhERF2 functions as a positive regulator in plant defense against waterlogging stress. Despite the recovery time of up to 14 days, in contrast to overexpression lines, the WT plants failed to completely recover and showed leaf chlorosis and wilting.
symptoms. It is quite likely that the plants may suffer some damage during the recovery period after waterlogging stress in this experiment. The physiological changes of WT and PhERF2 transgenic plants during the transition phase from waterlogging to recovery require further examination.

Under waterlogging conditions, the levels of leaf chlorophyll decline significantly in plants. For example, waterlogging results in reduced leaf chlorophyll content in both waterlogging-tolerant and -susceptible plants of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan). In our study, the chlorophyll content also declined in both WT and transgenic lines under waterlogging conditions, but the chlorophyll levels were higher in PhERF2-overexpressing lines than in PhERF2-RNAi lines (Fig. 3). Plants treated with flooding suffer from hypoxia and chlorophyll degradation. It appears that the PhERF2-RNAi lines suffered more from waterlogging stress than WT or

**Fig. 6 Expression of lactate and alcoholic fermentation-related genes in PhERF2-silenced and -overexpressing seedlings under waterlogging conditions.** Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of transcript abundances for ADH1-1, ADH1-2, ADH1-3, PDC1, PDC2, and LDH in the leaves of WT, PhERF2-RNAi lines (1A and 4B) and PhERF2-overexpressing (OE) lines (D and I) at 0 h and 12 h post treatment (hpt) with waterlogging. The leaves at the bottom of 6-week-old plants were used for expression analysis. Data represent the means (±SD) of three biological replicates. 26S rRNA was used as an internal control. Asterisks denote statistical significance using Student’s t test at P < 0.05.
overexpression lines. It seems likely that the chlorosis in the older leaves at the bottom of the plant is attributed to the translocation of nitrogen from lower, older to upper younger leaves under threat from waterlogging.

Expression analysis indicated that PhERF2 was significantly up-regulated in WT and overexpression plants under flooding conditions (Fig. 4). The PhERF2 homologs in other species have similar expression profiles after treatments with abiotic stresses. Expression levels of NtCEF1 from tobacco are elevated under cold and salt conditions. Salt treatment increases transcript abundances of JERF1 and JERF3 in tobacco, and transgenic plants overexpressing these two genes showed decreased susceptibility to salt stress. Pepper CaPF1 expression is markedly induced by low temperature and salt treatments, and ectopic expression of this gene confers tolerance to freezing in Arabidopsis. Besides waterlogging tolerance, thus, a possible role of PhERF2 in plant tolerance to various abiotic stresses should be further examined in the future. Furthermore, up-regulation of PhERF2 under waterlogging suggested a critical involvement of PhERF2 in plant against hypoxic stress and further recovery from waterlogging damage.

Aerenchyma formation occurs following programmed cell death (PCD), which facilitates oxygen capture of waterlogged tissues, eases the hypoxic stresses, and improves the recovery and maintenance of aerobic respiration in plants under waterlogging. The occurrence of PCD under waterlogging raises the survival chances of many plant species. At the morpho-anatomical level, the responses of PhERF2-overexpressing plants to hypoxia were observed with induced PCD, probably leading to aerenchyma development in the roots (Fig. 5). It represents a positive adaptation to waterlogging, since aerenchyma is beneficial to capture oxygen and to store and exchange gases within the waterlogged parts of plants. However, PhERF2-RNAi plants may not be able to respond in any of these ways due to cell damage, thereby failing to survive under waterlogging stress. It seems likely that PhERF2 is involved in the transcriptional regulation of PCD, generally acting as a precursor for aerenchyma formation in plants exposed to waterlogging.

The waterlogging stress affects diverse physiological and metabolic processes in plants. One major pathway to be affected is glycolytic fermentation, particularly manifesting as an increase in alcohol fermentation with involvement of two key enzymes, PDC and ADH. Induction of LDH-catalyzed lactate fermentation has also been demonstrated in some plant species at the initial stage of hypoxia. Although PhERF2-overexpressing plants responded during waterlogging with an elevation in transcript abundances of PDC and ADH, LDH was not up-regulated but down-regulated (Fig. 6). This indicates that lactate fermentation rather than alcohol fermentation predominantly contributes to NAD regeneration in waterlogged PhERF2-overexpressing plants, while waterlogged PhERF2-silenced plants rely on lactate fermentation, which is a typical feature of plants sensitive to hypoxia. This is consistent with our previous results in the waterlogging-susceptible chrysanthemum cultivar ‘13-13’ and Dendranthema nankingense (Nakai) Tzvel. Therefore, activation of alcoholic fermentation was considered as one effective strategy for plants to survive
under strict anaerobiosis, as demonstrated in the seedlings of cucumber\textsuperscript{61} and chrysanthemum\textsuperscript{62} upon exposure to waterlogging stress.

ERFs represent a large family of transcription factors implicated in diverse biological processes, such as plant growth, development, and stress responses\textsuperscript{63}. In Arabidopsis, approximately 122 putative ERF genes with conserved AP2/ERF domains are found in its genomic DNA\textsuperscript{64}. Although many stress-related members are known to bind GCC box elements sharing a core AGCGGCC motif\textsuperscript{65}, the binding specificity for various ERFs transcription factors appears to be very complicated. Here, our data revealed the specific activation of ADH1-2 promoter containing ATCTA motif by PhERF2 (Fig. 7), which is consistent with previous reports on the binding activity of AtRAP2.12\textsuperscript{16}, a homolog of PhERF2.

Survival of plants under waterlogging stress is associated with avoidance/escape and endurance\textsuperscript{66}. Petioles of submerged \textit{Rumex palustris} plants elongate to allow the leaf lamina to protrude from the water thus restoring normal respiration\textsuperscript{67}. Similarly, internode elongation occurs to avoid waterlogging stress in submerged rice\textsuperscript{68}. This escape response is modulated by the endogenous hormone ethylene, an important signal in response to submergence\textsuperscript{69}. For lowland rice, the waterlogging response involves endurance rather than escape\textsuperscript{69}. In this work, we proposed a model of PhERF2's role in tolerance of petunia to waterlogging (Fig. 8). PhERF2 expression was increased in WT and PhERF2-overexpressing lines after waterlogging. Modulation of PhERF2 expression affected the expression of alcoholic or lactate fermentation-related genes. As a less efficient energy supplier than aerobic respiration, anaerobic fermentation produces the toxic byproducts ethanol and acetaldehyde, leading to cellular metabolism disturbance and root collapse. Thus the timing of the shift from alcoholic to lactic fermentation could be an essential indicator of plant survivability under hypoxia and reduce damage\textsuperscript{69}.

It is worth mentioning that \textit{PhERF2} may participate in the formation of lysigenous aerenchyma through PCD in the roots of overexpression lines. This tissue is responsible for oxygen supplies to submerged roots and therefore alleviates hypoxia. This kind of avoidance strategy is widely employed in plants\textsuperscript{49,52,66,70,71}. By comparison, the silenced lines without PCD appear to activate the lactic fermentation but not alcoholic fermentation pathway. Although this brings about temporary alleviation of energy shortage, it is insufficient to satisfy the ATP demand and simultaneously produces byproducts lactic acid disturbing cellular metabolism\textsuperscript{13}. Thus in the waterlogging-susceptible \textit{PhERF2}-RNAI lines, excessive accumulation of these byproducts might cause root collapse ultimately.

Our results provide new evidence that PhERF2 transcriptionally regulates the PCD and genes of alcoholic fermentation system to protect plants from anaerobic respiration damage, and therefore plays an important role in defense responses against waterlogging stress. EMSA and dual luciferase assays confirmed the direct binding of PhERF2 to ADH1-2 promoter in petunia. However, the mechanism on how PhERF2 and its target gene synergistically modulate plant defense against waterlogging is still unclear. To further dissect the biological function of \textit{PhERF2} in response to waterlogging, future studies should include comprehensive transcriptomic or metabolomic analyses in \textit{PhERF2} transgenic plants.
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