INTRODUCTION

The population of the world is increasing rapidly and the requirements of humans also get increase concerning the population density (Tong & Qiu, 2020). However, relative to the growth of population density and urbanization, the land area does not get increase. Hence, it is difficult to carry out horizontal construction. Because of that, people have to go for vertical construction like multi-storied buildings or apartments to facilitate their requirements. In this scenario, professionals tend to use various techniques to develop lightweight construction materials.

Dead load accumulated by walling material such as bricks and blocks are directly course for the overall apartment weight. This results to increase the capital cost of the construction project as well. However, the dead weight which is coming from solid walls would be drastically reduced by the use of lightweight composite materials for the walls in the high-rise buildings. In this aspect, the usage of lightweight composite wall panels has been identified to be one of the best solutions to rectify this fact (Das, 2012). To prepare such composites, any number of materials are used to prepare such composites and coir fiber is one of those materials.
When reviewing past literature (Syed et al., 2020; Hussain, 2011; Putra et al., 2020; Hwang et al., 2016) it revealed that the coir fiber is having significant properties and coir fibers can be used as a reinforcing material in polymer-based lightweight composites. Many researches have been performed with polymer matrix composites using coconut fibers.

Coir fiber is one of the versatile and sustainable construction materials in the construction field. It is easily decomposable and consists of lignocellulosic fiber with renewable properties. The price is very low and a vast amount of products can be fabricated using coir fiber. Further, it is having very vital properties such as low thermal conductivity and bulk density etc. (Rejeesh & Saju, 2017)

Many researches have characterized the coir fiber to evaluate the physical, mechanical and chemical properties. In Brazil, the ultimate tensile strength, young's modulus, and elongation of the lignocellulose coir fibers were investigated as 118–143 MPa, 1.3–2.7 GPa, and 25–60% respectively (Mathura & Cree, 2016). Another research was performed and obtained the ultimate tensile strength, young's modulus, and elongation of the lignocellulose as 175 MPa, 4 – 6.02 GPa, and 30% respectively (Ho et al., 2012). The diameter range of coir fiber was measured to be 0.272 mm to 0.513 mm and the crystallinity index for lignocellulosic coir fiber calculated using Segal empirical method was recorded to be 47.82% (Lomelí-Ramírez et al., 2018).

According to the official website of International Year for Natural Fibres’2009, Annually 500,000 tons of coconut fiber are manufactured by Sri Lanka and India. Sri Lanka and India are the main exporters and belong to around 90% world production of coir fibers (Rohit & Dixit, 2016). If building material could be manufactured from coir fibers, it is a good alternative material to replace existing environmentally hazardous materials (Marimuthu et al., 2019). Anyhow, characterization of coir fiber in Sri Lanka is essential before commencing the fabrication of composites. But characteristics of coir fiber is not available in the Sri Lankan context. Hence authors describe a scientific characterization for brown coconut fiber in the Sri Lankan context.

Diameter measurement

An optical microscope (CX 410, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure the diameter of the coconut fibers. The three equidistant points were marked on one fiber and diameters were measured on these three points. Then average diameter was calculated and this could be considered as the mean diameter of each single fiber (Widnyana et al., 2020). 5 numbers of coir fibers were undergone to this measurement for more generalized results.
The density of fiber was measured using the pycnometric method (Rao & Rao, 2007). The selected fibres were dried for 96 hours in a desiccator containing silica and they were cut into 5 mm to 15 mm length segments. These fiber pieces were put into a pycnometer and then placed in the desiccator again for 24 hr. Before commencing the density measurements, the fibres were soaked in toluene solution for 2 hours to remove the microbubbles in the fibres. This testing process was repeated 5 times and the average value was calculated to have more accurate results. The density of the coir fiber \( \rho_f \) was calculated using Eq. (1) (Ravindran et al., 2011).

\[
\rho_f = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)}{(m_3 - m_1)(m_4 - m_2)} \rho_t
\]

where: 
- \( m_1 \) is the mass of the empty pycnometer (kg),
- \( m_2 \) is the mass of the pycnometer filled with cut fibres (kg),
- \( m_3 \) is the mass of the pycnometer filled with toluene (kg),
- \( m_4 \) is the mass of the pycnometer filled with cut fibres and toluene (kg),
- \( \rho_t \) is the density of toluene (g/cm\(^3\)) which is 0.866 g/cm\(^3\).

**Scanning Electron Microscopic analysis (SEM)**

The surface morphology and cross-sectional features of fibers were observed using scanning electron microscopy. Before the test, the coir samples were coated with a thin conductive material layer of gold by a plasma sputtering apparatus to prevent the potential accumulation of the electrical charges during analysis (Niresh et al., 2019) (Manimaran et al., 2018). The cross-sections and longitudinal views of the fibers were examined at different magnifications. The plasma sputtering apparatus and scanning electronic microscope are shown in Figure 2(a) and Figure 2(b) respectively.

**Fourier Transform Infrared Analysis (FTIR)**

Fourier transform infrared spectra of the coir fiber was determined using a “Perkin Elmer Spectrum” instrument using Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) technique to determine the chemical composition of the brown coir (Griccia et al., 2008). The Infrared Radiation (IR) spectrum was obtained and the analysis was carried out with a FTIR spectrometer with 32 scans per minute and a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) over the wavenumber range from 4000 to 500 cm\(^{-1}\) (Niresh et al., 2019). A coir fiber sample was placed on the sample plate and pressed with a sample holder arm. The size and shape of the peaks are helped to determine the functional groups present in fiber (Moshi et al., 2020).

**X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)**

The X-ray diffraction analysis was performed using the “Ragaku, Ultima IV X-ray Diffractometer” system with Ni-filtered CuK\(\alpha\) radiation. The powdered sample was used for X-ray diffraction analysis. The diffracted intensity of CuK\(\alpha\) radiation was recorded within the 2\(\theta\) range, varying from 3º to 70º at 45 kV and 40 mA. The speed of the goniometer was 20 min\(^{-1}\). The Crystallinity Index (CI) was calculated using Segal empirical method which is given using the following Equation (2).

\[
CI = \frac{I_{200} - I_{am}}{I_{200}} \times 100\%
\]
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where: \( I_{200} \) and \( I_{am} \) are the maximum intensity of diffraction of the lattice peak at a 2θ angle of between 22° and 23°, which represents both crystalline and amorphous materials. The intensity of diffraction of the amorphous material, which is taken at a 2θ angle between 18° and 19° where the intensity is at a minimum respectively. Crystallite Size (CrS) was calculated using Scherrer’s formula Equation (3).

\[
CrS = \frac{0.89\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]  

(3)

where: 0.89 is Scherrer’s constant, 
\( \beta \) is the peak’s full width at half-maximum of the peak and 
\( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the X-ray (0.154 nm), 
\( \theta \) is the Bragg’s angle (Liu et al., 2019).

**Tensile Test**

The tensile test was carried out using a universal testing machine of “INSTRON tensile tester, Model 4465” according to the ASTM D 3822–07 standard. The test was implemented at the ambient temperature 20 °C with 65% relative humidity. The gauge length was varied to determine its effect on the tensile properties. The fiber samples of various gauge lengths of 10 mm, 20 mm, 30 mm, 40 mm, and 50 mm were undergone for the test [Moshi et al. 2020] and crosshead speed was maintained from 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30 mm/min respectively. During the test, the fibers were fixed with pneumatic grips under a pressure of 0.4 MPa. Due to the variabilities of the natural fibers, more than 30 numbers of samples for each gauge length were tested and the average value of the ultimate tensile strength (UTS), young’s modulus (YM), and elongation were obtained (Lomelí-Ramírez et al., 2018; Ravindran et al., 2011)

**Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)**

The thermal stability of coir fibers was investigated using a thermo-gravimetric analyzer (TGA- SDT-650). The 5 mg of fiber sample was weighed and placed in an aluminum pan and then heated from 20 °C to 850 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min at a flow rate of 100 ml/min under an argon atmosphere. The weight changes of coir fiber in the percentages as a function of increasing temperature with a constant heating rate were recorded (Chin et al., 2020).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Since the diameter and sectional properties vary along the length of the coir, it is difficult to measure the diameter more accurately. Table 1 depicts the values taken for 3 consecutive distances for the 5 coir samples. The average diameter was calculated as 0.30 mm. The diameter measurement of coir fiber using the optical microscope is shown in Figure 3.

**Density measurement**

The average density value of the coir fiber was obtained 1.018 g/cm³. Table 2 shows some of the densities of natural fibers and synthetic fibers.
However, the densities of some natural fibers are expressed at a higher value than the proposed coir fiber (Indran & Raj, 2015).

These natural fibers could be used to satisfy the reinforcement requirement for developing the composites. Then would be added the value of being lightweight, reviewable and biodegradable [Lomelí-Ramírez et al., 2018]. It is significant to compare density values with other natural fibers for investigation purposes. The broadly used synthetic fibers like E-glass fiber and carbon fiber are shown the densities of 2.56 g/cm$^3$ and 1.4–1.8 g/cm$^3$ respectively (Roy et al., 2001). The environmental condition and rate of growth of the plant would cause variation of density values (Moshi et al., 2020). Further the process of fiber extraction, available moisture content of the fiber, condition of the existing soil that plant has grown have resulted in the variation of densities (Rao & Rao, 2007).

### Table 1. Diameter Readings

| Sample No. | Point-1 | Point-2 | Point-3 | Average |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1          | 0.26    | 0.31    | 0.33    | 0.30    |
| 2          | 0.36    | 0.33    | 0.30    | 0.33    |
| 3          | 0.31    | 0.28    | 0.28    | 0.29    |
| 4          | 0.34    | 0.31    | 0.30    | 0.32    |
| 5          | 0.28    | 0.28    | 0.29    | 0.28    |

**Figure 3.** Image of coir fiber through optical microscope

### Table 2. Fiber Density (Indran & Raj, 2015)

| Fiber       | Density (g/cm$^3$) |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Natural fiber |                    |
| Jute        | 1.30               |
| Flax        | 1.50               |
| Hemp        | 1.47               |
| Kenaf       | 1.30               |
| Sisal       | 1.50               |
| Banana      | 1.35               |
| Synthetic fiber |              |
| E-glass     | 2.56               |
| Carbon fiber| 1.4–1.8            |

### Scanning Electronic Microscopy analysis (SEM)

The natural fibers are utilized as reinforcement material when producing composites. The fiber surface inconsistencies may cause during the extracting process, because of the fibers age, ecological effects and variation of soil. Therefore, the manufacturer should be keen on sample preparation (Binoj et al., 2016). The SEM offers magnified high-resolution images of the fibers focusing the electron beam on the cross-section and longitudinal surface of the fiber (Sanjay et al., 2019). Figure 4 shows the sectional micrographs of scanning electron microscopic of brown coir fiber in different magnifications. The microfibrils are in different sizes and consists of thick walls (Lomeli-Ramirez et al., 2018). Figure 5 exhibits the SEM images of surface features and morphology of brown coir fiber. The fiber observes a rough surface with many holes and channels. It causes to wettability of the fiber and enhances bonding when it is utilized as reinforcement in manufacturing the composites. The surface roughness of coir fiber may help to bond fiber with matrix material and it influences to generate mechanical interlocking between fiber and matrix material (Binoj et al., 2016). Further, the understanding of the behavior of acoustic and thermal properties, studying surface morphology of coir is very significant (Niresh et al., 2019).

This characterization study reveals that whether the suitability of reinforcement material for a particular composite application or not. Coir consists of a large amount of hemicellulose and cellulose which are bond together. The chemical treatment causes to reduction of hemicellulose and cellulose. Because of this, the proper bonding between fiber and matrix material could be seen
during the development of composites (Sgriccia et al., 2008).

Figure 5a to Figure 5d show the longitudinal micrographs of brown coir fiber. Porous parts are observed on the surface of the fiber (Figure 5a, 5b, 5c). Hemicellulose content could be seen in the white layer in the SEM images of the fiber in Figure 5d. If the fiber surface is smooth, it would not cause proper adhesion between fiber and matrix. Then the surface modification is required for the fiber to achieve good mechanical properties when manufacturing of composite (Moshi et al., 2020). Studying the mechanical properties of the composites, fiber surface characterization like surface roughness, pore size, interfacial bonding between the fiber and matrix, and chemical composition in material are important (da Silva Moura et al., 2019).

**FTIR analysis**

This is one of the techniques used for the chemical characterization of the natural fibers. It helps to identify the molecules and functional groups available in natural fibers. Figure 6 shows the FTIR spectrum of brown coir fiber. The Fourier Transform Infrared spectra were observed between 500 to 4000 cm⁻¹ range. The maximum absorption peak of 3338 cm⁻¹ was recorded due to the availability of the hydroxyl (-OH) group
in the cellulose group in fiber material (Bas-karan et al., 2018) (Theivasanthi et al., 2018). The peaks occurred at 2845 cm\(^{-1}\) highlight the presence of dispersed wax material in the coir fiber (C≡C stretching). The peak appeared at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the stretching of the C=O group indicated the hemicellulose content in coir fiber (Indran & Raj, 2015). The region between 1252 to 1439 cm\(^{-1}\) is correlated with the lignin content category of the C=H group (Hyness et al., 2018). Then after studying the chemical structure of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, it could be decided to the availability of above in the selected brown coir fiber.

**X-ray diffraction analysis**

X-ray diffraction analysis was used to evaluate the crystallinity index of the natural fibers which is shown in Figure 7. It can be noticed that the main crystalline peak occurred at 22.48°, which corresponds to the crystallographic plane of cellulose-I. The lower-intensity peak at 17.48° indicates the fiber contains higher amounts of amorphous material such as hemicelluloses, amorphous cellulose, lignin, and pectin (Mayandi et al., 2016). According to Figure 7, \(I_{200}\) and \(I_{am}\) heights of the peaks at 904.2 cps and 658.63 cps respectively. The calculated crystallinity index using (2) was 37.28%, for the coir, which is less than other natural fibers reported are wrighitia tinctoria seed fiber (49.2%), ramie fiber (58%), Sansevieria cylindrica leaf fibers (60%), Raffia textiles (64%), sisal (71%), jute (71%), flax (80%), hemp (88%), Date palm (38.5%) (Al-Khanbashi et al., 2005) (Ravindran et al., 2011) However, the crystallite size of the coir was determined as 0.4331 nm using Scherrer’s formula (See (1)). The crystallite size for some of the natural fibers are R. textilis (32 nm), ramie fibers (16 nm), cotton fibers (5.5 nm), cornstalk fibers (3.8 nm) and flax fibers (2.8 nm) (Ravindran et al., 2011)
Tensile test

It is very significant to study the mechanical properties of coir fiber and interfacial bonding characteristics, before manufacture the composites (da Silva Moura et al., 2019). The tensile properties of natural fibers are mainly dependent on test conditions, fiber extracted species, and properties of fiber dimensions (Bezazi et al., 2014). More than 30 coir fiber samples were tested varying gauge lengths from 1 cm to 5 cm each. It can be noted that when considered gauge length increases, the cross-section also varies with the length. Then it is affected by the variation of the tensile strength (Binoj et al., 2016). However in the present study, Ultimate tensile strength, Young’s modulus and Elongation of the coir fiber were ranging from 94–159 MPa, 1.2–1.8 GPa, and 21–67%, respectively. Table 3 shows the published values of the above mechanical properties of natural fibers after performing the tensile test.

TGA analysis results

The Thermogravimetric analysis is carried out to study the thermal stability of natural fibers and their composites. The physical and chemical properties can be determined as a function of increasing temperature while maintaining a steady heating rate (Sanjay et al., 2019). The thermal degradation of natural fiber occurs consequently from hemicellulose, cellulose, lignin, wax and other components with increment of temperature (Moshi et al., 2020). Further TGA is used to assess information regarding certain physical properties, such as absorption, adsorption, desorption, second-order phase transitions, vaporization and sublimation. It is also possible to determine chemical properties such as de-solvation, chemisorptions, solid-gas reactions and decomposition.

Figure 6. FTIR spectra of the coir fiber sample

Figure 7. XRD spectrum of brown coir fiber
moisture and volatile organic compound evaporation from the sample. There is no considerable weight loss until 220 °C and it indicates good thermal stability of the fiber with the increment of temperature (Binoj et al., 2016). The second degradation peak occurred at 280–340 °C, which is associated with degradation of hemicellulose and pectin, observed only for coir fiber. The third 340–380 °C peak corresponds to cellulose thermal degradation. A narrow peak extending from 220 to 680 °C highlighted the presence of Lignin (dos Santos et al., 2019).

**CONCLUSION**

The coir fibers were characterized by observing the physical, chemical and mechanical properties. The observed average diameter and density of coir fiber were 0.31 mm and 1.018 g/cm³. The SEM analysis resulted in the surface characterization of features of roughness, pore size, interfacial bonding between the fiber and matrix and available impurities. The FTIR noticed the chemical characterization of the coir fibers and it was cleared to identify the molecules and functional groups available in Sri Lankan coir fibers and which confirms the availability of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin and wax content etc. The X-ray diffraction analysis was used to calculate the crystallinity index and the crystallite size of coir fiber and which were calculated as 37.28% and 0.4331 nm respectively and which were less than other natural fibers reported. In the tensile test, ultimate tensile strength, young’s modulus and elongation of the coir fiber were ranging from 94–159 MPa, 1.2–1.8 GPa, and 21–67% respectively. Thermogravimetric analysis exhibited that Sri Lankan coir fibers are thermally stable below 220 °C.
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