Rotation of the convective core in $\gamma$ Dor stars measured by dips in period spacings of g modes coupled with inertial modes
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ABSTRACT

The relation of period spacing ($\Delta P$) versus period ($P$) of dipole prograde g modes is known to be useful to measure rotation rates in the g-mode cavity of rapidly rotating $\gamma$ Dor and slowly pulsating B (SPB) stars. In a rapidly rotating star, an inertial mode in the convective core can resonantly couple with g modes propagative in the surrounding radiative region. The resonant coupling causes a dip in the $P$- $\Delta P$ relation, distinct from the modulations due to the chemical composition gradient. Such a resonant dip in $\Delta P$ of prograde dipole g modes appears around a frequency corresponding to a spin parameter $2 f_{\text{rot}}(\text{cc})/\nu_{\text{rot}} \approx 8 - 11$ with $f_{\text{rot}}(\text{cc})$ being the rotation frequency of the convective core and $\nu_{\text{rot}}$ the pulsation frequency in the co-rotating frame. The spin parameter at the resonance depends somewhat on the extent of core overshooting, central hydrogen abundance, and other stellar parameters. We can fit the period at the observed dip with the prediction from prograde dipole g modes of a main-sequence model, allowing the convective core to rotate differentially from the surrounding g-mode cavity. We have performed such fittings for 16 selected $\gamma$ Dor stars having well defined dips, and found that the majority of $\gamma$ Dor stars we studied rotate nearly uniformly, while convective cores tend to rotate slightly faster than the g-mode cavity in less evolved stars.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Many F to B type main-sequence stars show light variations attributed to many simultaneously excited nonradial low-degree g mode oscillations with periods ranging from $\sim$ 0.5 to $\sim$ 2 days. These variables are called Doradus ($\gamma$ Dor) stars and Slowly Pulsating B (SPB) stars. It is known that g modes in the SPB stars are excited by the Fe-Ni opacity bump (Gautschy & Saio 1993; Dziembowski et al. 1993), while the excitation mechanism for g modes in the $\gamma$ Dor stars is not settled yet (see, e.g., Kahraman Aliçavuş et al. 2020).

The densely and regularly distributed periods of g modes, whose propagation zone is located in the deep interior including chemically inhomogeneous layers surrounding the convective core, are ideal for asteroseismology to probe the deep interior structure of a star. The long pulsation periods, which are often comparable to rotation periods, are significantly affected by the Coriolis force. This property, in turn, can be used to probe the rotation in the deep interior, although ground-based photometric observations are not suitable for such long and multiple periodic pulsations. The obstacle has been resolved by the recent advents of space photometry from satellites. In particular, up to four-year long ultra-accurate space photometry by the Kepler satellite (Borucki et al. 2010) brought revolutionary developments in asteroseismology for $\gamma$ Dor and SPB stars (e.g., Kurtz et al. 2014; Keent et al. 2015; Triana et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2016; Schmid & Aerts 2016; Van Reeth et al. 2016; Li et al. 2020a; Pápics et al. 2017).

Period spacings ($\Delta P$) of intermediate to high order g modes in a slowly rotating chemically homogeneous (ZAMS) star are roughly constant (in the co-rotating frame) with respect to period or frequency. In evolved main-sequence stars, however, period spacings show modulations caused by a steep gradient of the hydrogen abundance exterior to the convective core (Miglio et al. 2008). The modulation amplitude is sensitive to diffusive mixing of chemical composition in radiative layers (Bouabid et al. 2013). In addition, the mean value of the period spacing (in the co-rotating frame) decreases with evolution (due to an increase in the Brunt-Väisälä frequency in the g-mode cavity). These properties are useful to infer the strength of diffusion in the deep interior and the evolutionary stages of $\gamma$ Dor stars, although dependences on initial chemical
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composition, core-overtshooting, stellar mass, and etc. should be taken into account (Mombarg et al. 2019). We will discuss, in this paper, another type of $\Delta P$ modulations (dips) that occur in rapidly rotating stars due to the resonant coupling between g modes in the near-core region and an inertial mode in the convective core.

If the rotation period is comparable to the pulsation periods in the co-rotating frame, g-mode period spacings in the inertial frame vary as a function of period (Bouabid et al. 2013; Van Reeth et al. 2015b; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Christophe et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a). The property has been used to estimate rotation frequencies in the g mode cavity of $\gamma$ Dor stars and SPB (slowly pulsating B) stars (e.g., Van Reeth et al. 2016; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Zwintz et al. 2017; Pápics et al. 2017; Christophe et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019b, 2020a; Takata et al. 2020). To calculate g-mode frequencies of a rotating stars, traditional approximation of rotation (e.g., Lee & Saio 1997, TAR), where the horizontal component of angular velocity of a rotating stars, traditional approximation of rotation (e.g., Lee & Saio 1997, TAR), where the horizontal component of angular velocity, $\Omega \sin \theta$, is neglected, has been employed in many investigations. It is known that the approximation gives sufficiently accurate frequencies and hence accurate period spacings ($\Delta P$) of g modes and their modulations due to a steep chemical-composition gradient. Recently, however, Saio et al. (2018b) found that $P - \Delta P$ relation of dipole prograde g modes calculated without using the TAR has a narrow deviation from that obtained by using the TAR. Ouazzani et al. (2020) found the cause of the deviation (or dip) to be resonance couplings with an inertial mode in the convective core.

In this paper, we further investigate the property of the dips in the $P - \Delta P$ relation of g modes caused by the resonance with an inertial mode in the convective core. We first discuss the theoretical property of the period spacing of g-modes and couplings with an inertial mode in §2 and §3. Then, we fit theoretical $P - \Delta P$ relations and resonance dips with observational data of some $\gamma$ Dor stars to estimate rotation rates of convective cores. In §6 we show no resonance coupling to occur between an inertial mode and r modes. In Appendix A we show an example (KIC 1431379) of large dips caused by chemical composition gradient.

2 Period spacings of g modes in a rotating star

The traditional approximation of rotation (TAR) is useful for studying the property of low-frequency nonradial pulsations in rotating stars. The TAR neglects the horizontal component of the angular velocity of rotation $\Omega \sin \theta$ (uniform rotation is assumed), centrifugal force of rotation, and the Eulerian perturbations of gravitational potential (i.e., Cowling approximation). Then, the governing equations for low-frequency nonradial adiabatic pulsations of a rotating star are reduced to those for a non-rotating star except that $\ell (\ell + 1)$ is replaced with $\lambda$, the eigenvalue of the Laplace’s tidal equation (e.g., Lee & Saio 1997), where $\ell$ means the latitudinal degree of a nonradial pulsation in a non-rotating star. While $\ell (\ell + 1)$ is constant, $\lambda$ varies as a function of spin parameter, $s$, defined as

$$s = \frac{2 \Omega}{\omega} = \frac{2 f_{\text{rot}}}{v_{\text{co-rot}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\omega$ and $v_{\text{co-rot}}$ are, respectively, angular and cyclic frequency of pulsation in the co-rotating frame, while $f_{\text{rot}}$ is cyclic frequency of rotation. The eigenvalue $\lambda$ varies significantly if $s > 1$ (see e.g. Lee & Saio 1997; Townsend 2003; Saio et al. 2018b). Because of the variation of $\lambda$, low-frequency oscillations in moderately to rapidly rotating stars (i.e., $s > 1$) have properties significantly different from those in a non-rotating star.

According to the recent analyses of Kepler light curves for low-frequency pulsations in $\gamma$ Dor stars (Van Reeth et al. 2016; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019b, 2020a) and SBP stars (Pápics et al. 2015, 2017), the majority of pulsations in moderately to rapidly rotating stars are prograde sectoral g modes (sometimes called Kelvin modes; see e.g., Townsend 2003; Takata et al. 2020) and r modes (normal modes of Rossby waves; e.g. Saio et al. 2018a). In this paper, we discuss prograde sectoral g modes in rapidly rotating stars. We adopt, as in Ouazzani et al. (2020); Saio et al. (2018b) and in Unno et al. (1989), the convention that negative azimuthal order $m < 0$ corresponds to prograde modes. We also note that all the theoretical pulsation frequencies (or periods) in this paper have been obtained using the adiabatic approximation.

Under the TAR, frequency of a prograde sectoral g mode in the co-rotating frame is given as

$$v_{\text{co-rot}} = \frac{|m|}{n_g} v_0 \quad \text{for} \quad s > 1 \text{ and } n_g \gg 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$v_0 = \frac{1}{2 \Omega^2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} N \frac{d r}{r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with Brunt-Väisälä frequency $N$ and $n_g (> 0)$ being the number of radial nodes (Saio et al. 2018b). In equation (2), the property of $\lambda \sim m^2$ (if $s > 1$) for prograde sectoral modes (e.g. Lee & Saio 1997; Townsend 2003) is used. Since the range of g-mode cavity $r_1 < r < r_2$ is not very sensitive to g-mode frequencies, $v_0$ is nearly constant for a star, so that the period spacing in the co-rotating frame,

$$\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}} \approx \frac{1}{|m| v_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

is nearly constant.

Open circles in Fig. 1 show period-spacing versus frequency in the co-rotating frame obtained with the TAR for prograde sectoral g modes of $m = -1$ (blue) and $-2$ (red) assuming a rotation frequency of 2.20 d$^{-1}$ in a 1.5-$M_\odot$ main sequence model. (An initial composition of $(X, Z) = (0.72, 0.0144)$ (Ekström et al. 2012) is adopted unless stated otherwise in the stellar evolution models in this paper.) As predicted by the approximate relations in equations (2),(4), the effect of different $m$ is nearly compensated by taking vertical axes as $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}|m|$ and $v_{\text{co-rot}}/|m|$, respectively. Period spacings modulate due to the presence of a steep hydrogen abundance gradient around the convective core (Miglio et al. 2008; Bouabid et al. 2013). In the inertial frame, period spacing decreases with period for prograde sectoral g modes, which is employed to measure the rotation speed in the g-mode cavity in the envelope (Van Reeth et al. 2015b; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Christophe et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019a).

Filled circles in Fig. 1 show results obtained without the TAR, by using the expansion method of Lee & Baraffe (1995), in which the eigenfunction of a pulsation mode is expressed by a sum of a truncated series of terms proportional to different degrees of spherical harmonics $Y^m_{\ell}$. We include four to eight spherical harmonics

1 However, no r modes have been found in SBP stars despite that the excitation by the r mechanism is predicted (e.g., Townsend 2005; Savonije 2005; Lee 2006).
2 Stellar structure models were obtained by the MESA code (v7184; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015), in which convective core boundary was determined by the Schwarzschild criterion, elemental diffusion was activated to have smooth Brunt-Väisälä frequency, and radiation turbulence was also activated to prevent too much helium settling. Rotational deformation was neglected.
Rotation of convective core

3 COUPLING BETWEEN G MODES AND INERTIAL MODES IN THE CONVECTIVE CORE

In order to confirm that the dips of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ seen in Fig. 1 are caused by resonance couplings with inertial modes in the convective core, we plot eigenfunctions of dipole ($m = -1$) g modes around these dips in Fig. 2. Solid lines are radial displacements $\xi_r$ (the first component of expansion; i.e., with $\ell = 1$)\(^3\) as a function of fractional radius ($0 < r/R < 0.15$) for some prograde dipole modes around $s = 9.3$ ($n_g = 49$; upper panel) and at $s = 24$ ($n_g = 125$; lower panel). For all cases, $\xi_r$ is normalized to unity at the stellar surface ($r = R$). Clearly, the amplitude in the convective core is maximum for the mode at the center of each dip of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$, indicating the resonance coupling between an inertial mode in the convective core and g modes in the surrounding radiative g-mode cavity. The dashed blue line in Fig. 2 shows the horizontal displacement $\xi_h$ (multiplied by 0.1) of the $n_g = 49$ mode for comparison with the radial displacement (blue solid line). As is well known, the horizontal displacement of a high-order g mode is much larger than the radial displacement in the g-mode cavity. However, the horizontal and radial displacements are comparable with each other for the inertial mode in the convective core. Therefore, for a g mode coupled with an inertial mode, the contrast between the amplitude in the convective core and in the radiative region is much larger for the radial displacement.

The mode with $n_g = 49$ (upper panel) has no node in the convective core, while the mode with $n_g = 125$ (lower panel) has one node in the convective core. This indicates that the ‘fundamental’ inertial mode in the convective core couples with g modes in the upper panel, while the ‘first-overtone’ inertial mode couples with g modes in the lower panel. When the frequency of an inertial mode in the convective core becomes very close to the frequency of a g mode in the radiative region, the amplitude in the core becomes

\(^3\) The other components with $\ell = 3, 5, \ldots$ are very small compared to the $\ell = 1$ component within the convective core except close to the core boundary.

Figure 1. Period spacing in the co-rotating frame, $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$, versus frequency in the co-rotating frame, $\nu_{\text{co-rot}}$ for prograde sectoral g modes of $m = -1$ (blue symbols) and $-2$ (red symbols) in a main-sequence model of 1.5 $M_\odot$. To compensate for the effect of different azimuthal order $m$, vertical and horizontal axes are multiplied and divided by $|m|$, respectively (see equations (2),(4)). Open symbols show results obtained using the traditional approximation of rotation (TAR). Numbers shown at minima in $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ indicate the number of radial nodes in the eigenfunction for the mode at each minimum. The minima occur at spin parameters of 9.3 and 24 for $m = -1$ modes, and at 7.2 and 16 for $m = -2$ modes.

Figure 2. Radial displacements of g modes as a function of fractional radius in the central part of the 1.5-$M_\odot$ model. Plotted are for g modes around period-spacing minima at spin parameters of about 9.3 (upper panel) and about 24 (lower panel). The radial displacement is normalized to unity at the stellar surface. The blue dashed line shows the horizontal displacement of the $n_g = 49$ mode for comparison. The convective core boundary is located at $r/R = 0.071$.

...
In a rotating convective core, where the Brunt-Väisälä frequency is almost zero ($N^2 = 0$), low frequency inertial waves approximately obey the local dispersion relation given (in the co-rotating frame) as

$$\omega^2 = \frac{(2\Omega \cdot k)^2}{k^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\frac{\omega}{2\Omega}\right)^2 = \frac{k^2}{k^2} \quad \text{[5]}$$

(e.g., Unno et al. 1989; Lee & Saio 1997), where $k$ means wave number. This indicates that the property of the inertial modes in the convective core is governed by the spin parameter, $s = 2\Omega/\omega$.

Fig. 3 shows frequencies (in the co-rotating frame) of prograde dipole g modes as a function of rotation frequency for the same $1.5\,M_\odot$ main-sequence model used in Fig. 1, but with different rotation frequencies. The diagonal feature is a sequence of avoided crossings between g and p modes in the radiative g-mode cavity and the fundamental inertial mode in the convective core that occur at a spin parameter of $s = 9.3$ (dashed line in Fig. 3). This is consistent with the dispersion relation [equation (5)] indicating the spin parameter governs the property of the inertial mode irrespective of the rotation frequency.

The intrusion of the inertial mode frequency into the g-mode frequency spectrum causes a slight decrease in the spacings of g mode frequencies around the avoided crossing at a fixed rotation frequency. The maximum mode density corresponds to a dip of $\Delta P_{g\text{-mode}}$ at $\nu = 0.47\,d^{-1}$ seen in Fig. 1. This phenomenon is similar to the period-spacing dips of mixed modes in the red giants, in which coupling occurs between g modes in the core and p modes in the envelope (e.g., Mosser et al. 2012; Christensen-Dalsgaard 2012).

Fig. 4 shows period spacings of dipole prograde g modes (in the co-rotating frame) at various evolutionary stages of a $1.50\,M_\odot$ model with a rotation frequency of $2.20\,d^{-1}$. Each evolutionary stage is designated by the central hydrogen abundance $X_c$ and color coded as indicated. Open circles are results obtained using the TAR. The gradual increase in period spacings toward low-frequency limit (in evolved models in particular) is probably due to a poor resolution in our numerical calculations.

**Figure 3.** G-mode frequencies in the co-rotating frame versus rotation frequency of a $1.5\,M_\odot$ main-sequence model. A sequence of avoided crossings passes diagonally; i.e., with a constant spin parameter, $s = 2\Omega_\text{rot}/\nu_\text{co-rot}$, of about 9.3. (Dashed line indicates the locus of $s = 9.3$.) The feature is caused by the interaction with an inertial mode in the convective core.

**Figure 4.** Period spacings of dipole prograde g modes (in the co-rotating frame) at various evolutionary stages of a $1.50\,M_\odot$ model with a rotation frequency of $2.20\,d^{-1}$. Each evolutionary stage is designated by the central hydrogen abundance $X_c$ and color coded as indicated. Open circles are results obtained using the TAR. The gradual increase in period spacings toward low-frequency limit (in evolved models in particular) is probably due to a poor resolution in our numerical calculations.

$\Delta P_{g\text{-mode}}$ decreases with $X_c$ as in the non-rotating case, the mean value of $\Delta P_{g\text{-mode}}$ decreases with evolution, and the modulation due to the steep gradient in hydrogen abundance is largest when $X_c \sim 0.6$. The modulation gets weaker in later evolutionary stages, because diffusion rounds off a sharp edge of chemical composition distributions (Miglio et al. 2008; Bouabid et al. 2013). Comparing variations in $\Delta P_{g\text{-mode}}$ with the results obtained with TAR (open circles) makes the resonance dips obvious even for the $X_c = 0.6$ case with strong modulations due to the chemical composition gradient.

The frequency at a resonance dip is smaller (i.e., the spin parameter is larger) in the ZAMS model than in evolved models. This is consistent with the result of Ouazzani et al. (2020), who obtained that the spin parameter of the dipole prograde inertial mode in

---

4 In this paper we have assumed $N^2 = 0$ in the convective core, while overstable convective modes in the core possibly couple with g modes in the envelope if we assume a non-zero super-adiabatic temperature gradient (i.e. $N^2 < 0$) in the convective core as discussed in Lee & Saio (2020).

5 The convective core mass grows until $X_c$ decreases to $\approx 0.5$. Although determining the physical convective core boundary is not very simple (Gabriel et al. 2014), the numerical model stability and precision suffice for our qualitative evaluation of $\Delta P$ modulations during the stellar evolution.
Rotation of convective core

Eigengunction

Figure 5. Eigengunction $\xi_r$ versus fractional radius, $r/R$ in the ZAMS model of 1.5 $M_\odot$ around a minimum of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$, which corresponds to $n_g = 59$. Different line color indicates the number of radial nodes ($n_g$) for each mode. The convective core boundary is located at $r/R = 0.077$.

Figure 6. Spin parameter at resonance with a ‘fundamental’ prograde dipole inertial mode in the convective core versus central helium mass fraction. Stellar masses are color coded as indicated. Filled and open circles are for models with rotation frequencies of 1.5 $d^{-1}$ and 2.2 $d^{-1}$, respectively. Crosses show the results obtained by Ouazzani et al. (2020) for prograde dipole modes in main-sequence models.

4 EFFECTS OF CORE OVERSHOOTING

Astroseismic analyses for $\gamma$ Dor stars often favor models with convection overshooting (e.g., Murphy et al. 2016; Schmid & Aerts 2016). To see the effects of overshooting in g-mode period spacings, we have included, in some models, diffusive overshooting from the convective core boundary, whose mixing at distance $z$ from the boundary is proportional to

$$\exp[-z/(h_{\text{os}}H_\odot)]$$

(HERWIG 2000) as implemented in MESA, adopting $h_{\text{os}} = 0.01$ (OS01) and 0.02 (OS02).

The overshooting produces a radiative zone around the core boundary with little gradient of chemical composition, in which the Brunt-Väisälä frequency is much lower than in the surrounding layers with a steep gradient of chemical composition. The presence of such a zone affects the propagation of g modes which couple with an inertial mode in the convective core.

Fig. 7 shows period-spacing versus frequency or period of dipole prograde modes in 1.5 $M_\odot$ models with and without overshooting at selected evolution stages (color coded) at a rotation frequency of 1.5 $d^{-1}$. Upper panels show $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ versus $\nu_{\text{co-rot}}$ in the co-rotating frame, while lower panels show $\Delta P_{\nu_{\text{inert}}}$ versus $P_{\nu_{\text{inert}}}$ in the inertial reference frame. Open circles denote results obtained using the TAR. Because of the outstanding general trend of $\Delta P_{\nu_{\text{inert}}}$ versus period $P_{\nu_{\text{inert}}}$ in the inertial frame, dips are less prominent in the inertial frame (lower panels) compared with the appearances in the co-rotating frame (upper panels).

The upper-left panel for models without overshooting is very similar to Fig. 4 for a faster rotation frequency of 2.20 $d^{-1}$. Since a resonance coupling with an inertial mode of the convective core occurs at a spin parameter $(2f_{\text{rot}}/\nu_{\text{co-rot}})$, dips of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ occur at

...
Figure 7. Upper panels show period-spacing ($\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$) of dipole prograde g modes versus frequency in the co-rotating frame ($\nu_{\text{co-rot}}$), while lower panels show the same information as above but in the relation between period-spacing ($\Delta P_{\text{inert}}$) and period ($P_{\text{inert}}$) in the inertial frame. Plotted are prograde dipole g modes in 1.5 $M_\odot$ models for a rotation frequency of 1.5 d at selected evolutionary stages (designated with central hydrogen mass fraction $X_c$). The leftmost panels are for the models without overshooting, while the middle and rightmost panels are for models including overshooting of $h_{\text{os}} = 0.01$ (OS01) and 0.02 (OS02), respectively. Open circles show results obtained using the TAR. For models with overshooting, evolution stages at $X_c = 0.37$ are not shown for better visibility.

larger $\nu_{\text{co-rot}}$ by about 50% in Fig. 4. (Frequencies of dips associated with the first-overtone inertial mode are too small in the models with $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.50$ d$^{-1}$ in Fig. 7.)

The $\nu_{\text{co-rot}} - \Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ relations for models with overshooting (middle and right panels of Fig. 7) are more complex; additional dips tend to appear, while most dips are broader but sometimes sharp dips appear (e.g., $X_c = 0.60$ model with OS01; middle panels of Fig. 7). In addition, period spacings calculated using the TAR often do not agree with the results obtained by the expansion method even outside dips. Furthermore, for some models with overshooting, dips appear even in the period spacings obtained with the TAR (open circles), which never happens in models without overshooting.

In order to understand the cause of these effects of overshooting, we plot in Fig. 8 (models at $X_c = 0.60$) and in Fig. 9 (models at $X_c = 0.05$) the radial displacements of modes at dips in the $\nu_{\text{co-rot}} - \Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ relation as a function of fractional radius (upper panels). The lower panels show the normalized Brunt-Väisälä frequency and mass-fraction of hydrogen profile.

The left upper panel of Fig. 8 shows $\xi_r$ as a function of fractional radius for the two modes at $X_c = 0.60$, and 0.358 d$^{-1}$ in the model at $X_c = 0.60$ without overshooting. While these modes are located at dips of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ (see blue dots in Fig. 7 upper left panel), only the 0.304 d$^{-1}$ (spin parameter $s = 9.87$) mode is in resonance with an inertial mode having large amplitude in the convective core. The dip around the other mode, which have small amplitude in the convective core, should be caused by the steep gradient of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency (Miglio et al. 2008).

As a similar phenomenon occurs also in the OS02 model at $X_c = 0.60$ (right upper panel of Fig. 8); i.e., among the two dips of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ at $\nu_{\text{co-rot}} = 0.288$ and 0.477 d$^{-1}$, only the 0.288 d$^{-1}$ ($s = 10.4$) mode resonantly couples with an inertial mode. The resonance dip in the OS02 model, however, is broader than the resonance dip in the OS00 model (cf. left and right panels of Fig. 7). The broader resonance dip is related with the presence of a chemically homogeneous radiative zone produced by overshooting (OS02). The Brunt-Väisälä frequency is small there so that radial wavelength of a g mode is much larger than that in the surrounding layers with inhomogeneous chemical composition ($\mu$-gradient zone with $\mu$ being the mean molecular weight). The center of a resonance dip of $\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}$ corresponds to a maximum coupling with an inertial mode, which occurs at an optimal spatial wave phase at the convective core boundary. If the wavelength in the radiative zone surrounding the convective core is much longer than the wavelength in the $\mu$-gradient zone, increasing or decreasing the number of nodes by one in the
Rotation of convective core

Figure 8. Upper panels: Radial displacements of selected prograde dipole modes as a function of fractional radius in 1.50-\(M_\odot\) main-sequence models without overshooting (left), with overshooting of OS01 (middle) and OS02 (right) at \(X_c = 0.60\). The color of each line refers to the frequency \(\nu_{\text{co-rot}}\) and the number of radial nodes \(n_g\) written in the same color in the upper-right corner of each panel. Dashed lines show radial displacements obtained using the TAR for the modes corresponding to colors. The TAR is not a good approximation near the center, which causes \(\xi_r\) to steeply increase towards the center. Green dashed line shows the radial displacement of the same TAR mode shown by the blue dashed line but the former is obtained by imposing the inner boundary condition at the convective core boundary; i.e., removing the effect of the convective core. Lower panels: Hydrogen mass fraction (blue lines denoted as \(X_H\)) and normalized Brunt-Väisälä frequency \(r\mathcal{A} = N^2 r / g\) with local gravity \(g\). We note that \(-r\mathcal{A}\)^1/2 < 0.005 for the frequencies of the modes shown in the upper panels.

Figure 9. Same as Fig. 8 but for models at \(X_c = 0.05\).

latter zone would cause only a slight change in the wave phase at the core boundary so that the coupling strength would change only slightly. For this reason, the large wavelength in the overshooting zone would cause a broader resonance dip than in the models without overshooting.

The effect of overshooting is more complex in the OS01 model at \(X = 0.60\) (blue symbols in the upper middle panel of Fig. 7), in which two deep dips of \(\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}\) appear at \(\nu_{\text{co-rot}} = 0.362\) and 0.259 d\(^{-1}\), and a dip appears at 0.212 d\(^{-1}\) even in the period spacing calculated using the TAR, which never occurs in OS00 models. Radial displacements of these modes are shown in the middle panel of Fig. 8. The inertial mode bounded by the steep increase of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency at \(r/R = 0.095\) (black solid line) is responsible for the 0.362 d\(^{-1}\) dip of \(\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}\), while the inertial mode bounded by the convective core boundary at \(r/R = 0.090\) (red solid line) is responsible for the dip at 0.259 d\(^{-1}\). The frequency of the former mode is slightly larger because the wavelength of the inertial mode is slightly larger than the latter mode for which the thickness of the narrow overshooting zone coincides with the half of the radial wavelength.

Dashed lines stand for \(\xi_r\) of the modes obtained using the TAR. Under the TAR, no wave propagation in the convective core should occur, so that the amplitude should be constant there (except near the center where the amplitude increases steeply toward the center, indicating the TAR to be inappropriate there). For this reason, the radial displacement should be anti-node at the convective core boundary. Since the wavelength of a g mode is generally very small in the near-core region, the amplitude at an anti-node and hence the amplitude in the convective core tend to be very small as illustrated by the black dashed line in the middle panel of Fig. 8. However, the wavelength of a g mode in the zone produced by overshooting, is large and hence the amplitude at the anti-node can be appreciably large as in the case of \(\nu_{\text{co-rot}} = 0.212\) d\(^{-1}\) (blue dashed line). The frequency is at the center of the dip in the \(\Delta P_{\text{co-rot}}\) relation.
obtained with the TAR (blue open circles in the upper middle panel of Fig. 7). Thus, even the period spacing calculated using the TAR can have a dip if the stellar interior has a chemically homogeneous radiative zone surrounding the convective core, although the dip is nothing to do with the inertial mode. As we mentioned above, the steep increase of the displacement under the TAR (dashed lines) near the center is caused by the breakdown of the TAR. However, the amplitude in the convective core does not affect at all the frequency of a mode under the TAR, which we have confirmed by re-calculating the 0.212 d\(^{-1}\) mode, imposing the inner (regularity) boundary condition at the convective-core boundary; i.e., removing the effect of the convective core. The result is shown by the green dashed line and the frequency written in green in the middle panel of Fig. 8. This indicates that the dip under the TAR at 0.212 d\(^{-1}\) should be caused by the peculiar behaviour of the eigenfunction in the narrow overshooting zone.

Fig. 9 shows the same information as Fig. 8 but for models at \(X_e = 0.05\) in the late stage of main-sequence evolution. In these models the convective core is geometrically more compact and surrounded by a thicker \(\mu\)-gradient region, compared to the models with \(X_e = 0.60\). In spite of the considerable differences between models at \(X_e = 0.05\) and 0.60, prograde dipole pulsations show similar phenomena associated with the chemically homogeneous zone produced by overshooting. Eigenfunctions at two dips at \(\nu_{co-rot} = 0.414\) and 0.274 d\(^{-1}\) in the OS01 model at \(X_e = 0.05\) (Fig. 9) are similar to those for \(\nu_{co-rot} = 0.362\) and 0.259 d\(^{-1}\) modes, respectively, at \(X_e = 0.60\) (Fig. 8).

We have found above that the core overshooting affects significantly (and complicatedly) the \(\nu_{co-rot} - \Delta \nu_{co-rot}\) and \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relations. This comes from the fact that the core overshooting produces a chemically (nearly) homogeneous radiative zone where g modes propagate with a wavelength much longer than in the surrounding \(\mu\)-gradient zone. While we assume in this paper that convective overshoot at the core boundary leaves a radiative zone, such a zone may be convective (at least partially) if the thermal time there is sufficiently long, as reviewed by Zahn (2002), who called it ‘convective penetration’. Although matter mixing and hence stellar evolution would be little affected by whether such a narrow zone is convective or radiative, it affects significantly the period spacings of g modes and resonance couplings with inertial modes. If the convective-core overshoot occurs only as penetration, it would slightly increase the size of convective core without significantly affecting the structure of g-mode cavity surrounding the adiabatic region. In this case, period spacings of g modes might increase slightly, caused by a slight increase in the buoyancy radius, \(P_0\) (= 1/\(\nu_0\) in eq.3).

Probably, we should consider layers surrounding a convective core to consist of a inner ‘convective penetration’ zone and a radiative zone of overshooting, although the fraction of each zone is not known. In this paper we specify the extent of overshooting by \(h_{os}\) (eq. 6), which should be regarded to specify the radiative overshooting zone above the possible penetration zone. For this reason, even if a comparison of \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relation with observations happen to prefer a very small \(h_{os}\), it does not necessarily mean that overshooting is negligible, because a substantial penetration zone may be present below the thin radiative zone.

5 COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATION

In agreement with the results of Ouazzani et al. (2020), our calculations using the expansion method predict a dip in period spacings of prograde dipole g modes at a period corresponding to a spin parameter \((f_{rot}/\nu_{co-rot}) \approx 9\) (Fig. 6). The dip is caused by the resonance coupling with the fundamental inertial mode of the convective core. The exact spin parameter at a dip depends on the stellar parameters, the evolution stage, and the assumption of overshooting. If we identify such a dip in observed g mode period spacings, we can determine the rotation frequency of the convective core by finding a model which reproduces the period and depth of the dip. However, no clear resonance dips in g-mode pulsators (i.e., \(\gamma\) Dor, SPB stars) had been found previously, except for an indication in the \(\gamma\) Dor star KIC 5608334 (Saio et al. 2018b). A spin parameter of about 9 corresponds to a period of 0.82\(P_{rot}\) in the inertial frame, at which we expect to find a resonance dip if the observed g-mode period range extends beyond the period. \(\gamma\) Dor stars in the sample analysed by Li et al. (2020a) indicate that the observed maximum spin parameters of (prograde dipole) g modes can be larger than 9 if the rotation periods are shorter than one day. In other words, it is possible to find a resonance dip in some of the stars rotating faster than \(\approx 1\) d\(^{-1}\).

We have searched \(P - \Delta P\) (period versus period-spacing) relations obtained from Kepler data by Van Reeth et al. (2016); Li et al. (2019b, 2020a) for possible resonance dips, and found many \(\gamma\) Dor stars having possible resonance dips. From these \(\gamma\) Dor stars, we have selected 16 stars (Table 1) which have relatively clean \(\Delta P\) dips likely caused by the resonance without much \(\Delta P\) modulations due to chemical composition gradients.\(^6\)

For each of the selected 16 \(\gamma\) Dor stars, we try to find a model (with an assumed extent of overshooting) consistent with the \(P - \Delta P\) sequence with a resonance dip. We adopt the rotation frequency, \(f_{rot}\), obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016); Li et al. (2019b, 2020a) from the observational \(P - \Delta P\) relations, as the rotation frequency throughout the layers exterior to the boundary of the convective core. In other words, we assume that no strong differential rotations are present in the radiative g-mode cavity. This may be justified by the previous studies on \(\gamma\) Dor stars which found the differential rotation from the near-core to the surface to be weak (Kurtz et al. 2014; Saio et al. 2015; Schmid et al. 2015; Murphy et al. 2016; Van Reeth et al. 2018; Li et al. 2020a).

The theoretical \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relation for a given value of \(f_{rot}\) shifts downward (i.e., \(\Delta P_{inert}\) decreases) with decreasing mass and/or advancing evolution stage. For each \(\gamma\) Dor star, we guess the mass of the star from the global parameters (\(T_{eff}, L/L_\odot\)) given in Murphy et al. (2019) (or in Van Reeth et al. (2015a)), and calculate \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relations of prograde dipole g modes for the \(f_{rot}\) obtained by Li et al. (2019b, 2020a), using the TAR at various evolutionary stages, to find the relation closest to the observed one by eye.

Then, using the expansion method, we calculate the \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relation of the model with the same value of \(f_{rot}\). Thus obtained \(P_{inert} - \Delta P_{inert}\) relation has a resonance dip, but its position does not necessarily agree with the observational position (i.e., period). Then, we assume a differential rotation between the convective core and the surrounding g-mode cavity. To calculate g-mode periods by the expansion method for a differentially rotating star, we have adopted the method of Lee (1988), in which the rotation profile is expressed as a function of fractional

\(^6\) We have also searched \(P - \Delta P\) relations of SPB stars obtained by Pápics et al. (2017). However, we found no convincing cases.
radius \( x \),
\[
\Omega(x) = \Omega(1) \left( 1 + \frac{b - 1}{1 + \exp\left[ 200(x - x_{cc}) \right]} \right),
\] (7)
where \( x_{cc} \) is the fractional radius at the boundary of the convective core, and \( b \) is the parameter which determines the rotation rate in the convective core relative to the surface. The factor 200 in the denominator is arbitrarily chosen to have a rapid transition around the core boundary. The rotation rate changes steeply at the boundary of the convective core from \( \approx b\Omega(1) = 2\pi f_{rot}(cc) \approx 0 \Omega(1) = 2\pi f_{rot} \), where \( f_{rot}(cc) \) and \( f_{rot} \) are cyclic rotation frequencies in the convective core and in the radiative envelope including g-mode cavity, respectively. The value of parameter \( b \) is chosen to fit the resonance \( \Delta P_{\text{inert}} \) dip with the observed one.

For each star, adopting a standard initial chemical composition of \((X, Z) = (0.72, 0.014)\), we tried to fit models with three assumptions of core overshooting: i.e., \( h_{\text{os}} = 0.0 \) (OS00), 0.01 (OS01), and 0.02 (OS02). In addition, in order to see the effects of different initial chemical composition, we have also performed the same analysis employing OS00 models with \((X, Z) = (0.724, 0.010)\). The results of the fittings for the 16 \( \gamma \) Dor stars are summarized in Table 1. For some stars lines for models with \( h_{\text{os}} > 0 \) are missing, for which we could not find good models.

5.1 Examples of model fittings

In this subsection we discuss four examples of fitting theoretical predictions (for the standard initial composition) with observational \( P - \Delta P \) relations and dips. Fittings with other 12 \( \gamma \) Dor stars are shown in Appendix B.

5.1.1 KIC 5294571 (Fig. 10)

The dipole prograde g-mode \( P - \Delta P \) relation of KIC 5294571 obtained by Li et al. (2019b) from the Kepler light curve is shown by crosses in Fig. 10. Li et al. (2019b) obtained a rotation frequency of 1.6421 ± 0.0009 d\(^{-1}\) from the g- and r-mode \( P - \Delta P \) relations. The three panels show theoretical \( P_{\text{inert}} - \Delta P_{\text{inert}} \) relations of dipole prograde g modes for models with \( h_{\text{os}} = 0.0 \) (no overshooting; left panel), \( h_{\text{os}} = 0.01 \) (OS01; middle panel) and = 0.02 (OS02; right panel). KIC 5294571 has a clear dip of \( \Delta P \) at a period (in the inertial frame) of 0.495 days. The dip is likely caused by the resonance coupling with an inertial mode in the convective core. However, model predictions for the uniform rotation at 1.642 d\(^{-1}\) (red filled circles) disagree with the dip of KIC 5294571; the degree of the discrepancies depends on the assumptions of overshooting.

In order to fit the period at the resonance dip of KIC 5294571 with the theoretical prediction of each model with \( h_{\text{os}} \), we have searched for a best value of \( f_{\text{rot}}(cc) \) (rotation frequency in the convective core), which is different from the \( f_{\text{rot}} \) of KIC 5294571 attributed to the g-mode cavity. The best fit result is shown by blue squares in each panel and the adopted \( f_{\text{rot}}(cc) \) is written in blue in Fig. 10. By changing \( f_{\text{rot}}(cc) \) the period at the dip shifts, while \( \Delta P \)s in other period range change little because they are mostly determined in the g mode cavity. The best fit \( f_{\text{rot}}(cc) \)s are 1.675, 1.605, and 1.54 d\(^{-1}\) for models with \( h_{\text{os}} = 0.0 \) (left panel), 0.01 (middle panel) and 0.02 (right panel), respectively. The depth of the dip observed in KIC 5294571 agrees better with the models including overshooting, while the convective core rotates slightly slower than the radiative layers.

5.1.2 KIC 5985441 (Fig. 11)

From the \( P - \Delta P \) relations (for prograde dipole and quadrupole g modes) of KIC 5985441, Li et al. (2020a) obtained \( f_{\text{rot}} = 1.473 \pm 0.008 \text{ d}^{-1} \), which corresponds to the rotation rate of the g-mode cavity in this star. The \( P - \Delta P \) relation for the prograde dipole g modes has a large dip at a period of about 0.5 days (crosses connected by dotted line; Fig. 11), which is attributable to the resonance with an inertial mode in the convective core. To fit the pronounced dip with a model it is necessary to assume a differential rotation of ~ 20% between the convective core and the radiative g-mode cavity as shown by blue squares in Fig. 11. While models with or without overshooting can fit reasonably well the \( P - \Delta P \) sequence of KIC 5985441, the model of 1.45 \( M_{\odot} \) without overshooting (left panel) reproduces best the \( P - \Delta P \) pattern as well as the resonance dip at ~ 0.502 days. The differential rotation required in KIC 5985441 is largest among the selected \( \gamma \) Dor stars in this paper (see §5.1.5 below), while the star is least studied probably due to its faintness with a Kepler magnitude of 15.8 mag.

5.1.3 KIC 8330056 (Fig. 12)

Li et al. (2019b) obtained \( f_{\text{rot}} = 1.913 \pm 0.001 \text{ d}^{-1} \) for KIC 8330056 from the \( P - \Delta P \) relations of g and r modes. Crosses plotted in Fig. 12 present the g-mode relation from Li et al. (2019b), where a resonance dip appears at a period of 0.43 days. Model fittings for KIC 8330056 are shown in Fig. 12 in the same format as in the previous cases. The 1.85 \( M_{\odot} \) model without overshooting (left panel) reasonably fit the period and the depth of the resonance dip if \( f_{\text{rot}}(cc) = 1.89 \text{ d}^{-1} \) is assumed, which is very close to \( f_{\text{rot}} = 1.913 \text{ d}^{-1} \) for the g-mode cavity, indicating KIC 8330056 to rotate nearly uniformly. The central hydrogen mass fraction of this model, \( X_c = 0.167 \), indicates a late stage of main-sequence evolution. We note that the rotation rate of this model corresponds to about 83% of the critical Roche model, while we expect little effects of rotational deformation on the g modes and inertial modes which reside in the deep interior.

Models with core-overshooting are less successful for KIC 8330056 (middle and right panels of Fig. 12). The position of the \( \Delta P \) dip can be approximately fitted assuming a convective-core rotation rate of 1.85 d\(^{-1}\) for the OS01 model and uniform rotation for the OS02 model. However, the predicted dips of these models are too shallow and broad compared with the observed one. (We have already seen such broad and shallow dips for evolved models with overshooting in Fig. 7.) For this reason, parameters of OS01 and OS02 models are not listed in Table 1.

5.1.4 KIC 12066947 (Fig. 13)

KIC 12066947 is a rapidly rotating \( \gamma \) Dor star \((V \sin i = 133.3 \pm 5.6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Van Reeth et al. 2015a})\). The g-mode \( P - \Delta P \) relation of KIC 12066947 has been studied by many authors. Van Reeth et al. (2016) obtained a rotation frequency of 2.160 ± 0.008 d\(^{-1}\), while Christophe et al. (2018) obtained 2.156 ± 0.004 d\(^{-1}\), Li et al. (2019b) obtained 2.159 ± 0.002 d\(^{-1}\), and Takata et al. (2020) obtained 2.15 ± 0.09 d\(^{-1}\). These results are all consistent with each other. We have adopted 2.159 d\(^{-1}\) as the rotation frequency in the g-mode cavity of KIC 12066947. The \( P - \Delta P \) relation of prograde dipole g modes (crosses connected with dotted line in Fig. 13) has a dip at a period
Figure 10. Period-spacing (ΔP) versus period (P) of KIC 5294571 in the inertial frame (crosses Li et al. 2019b) compared with models with no overshooting (h_os = 0; left panel), with h_os = 0.01 (OS01; middle panel), and 0.02 (OS02; right panel). Circles and squares are theoretical (P, ΔP) obtained assuming uniform rotation with a rotation frequency of 1.642 d⁻¹ derived by Li et al. (2019b). Open circles are (P, ΔP) obtained using the TAR, while filled ones are those calculated by the expansion method. Blue squares show (P, ΔP) calculated by the expansion method for the same models but with a convective core rotating at a different rate of f_rot(cc) = 1.675 (left panel), 1.605 (middle panel) and 1.54 d⁻¹ (right panel).

Figure 11. The same as Fig. 10 but for KIC 5985441. Models with uniform rotation at 1.473 d⁻¹ (filled red circles) predict resonance dips at periods of 0.56–0.57 days, which are longer than the observed dip at about 0.5 days. To fit the dip, the convective core has to rotate about 20% faster (exact values depend on the assumed extent of overshooting) as shown by filled blue squares. The additional dip at 0.55 predicted by the differential rotation corresponds to the resonance with the first-overtone inertial mode of the convective core, although observed periods do not extend there.

We note that although f_rot = 2.159 d⁻¹ of KIC 12066947 is larger than the case of KIC 8330056 discussed in §5.1.3, the rotation corresponds to about 55–60% of the critical rotation, less influential than the case of KIC 8330056.

5.1.5 Summary of model fittings

Table 1 summarizes results of model fittings shown in this section and in Appendix B. The table lists observational parameters (Murphy et al. 2019), rotation frequency in the g-mode cavity for each star obtained by Li et al. (2019b, 2020a), and model parameters and the rotation frequency in the convective core to fit observed ΔP dip for each model. Results of metal-poor models with Z = 0.010, are shown as parenthesised numbers in the first row (OS00) of each star in Table 1.

7 Since period data around the dip are missing in the analysis by Li et al. (2019b), we have adopted the data set obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016) for KIC 12066947.
Figure 12. The same as Fig. 10 but for KIC 8330056, for which Li et al. (2019b) obtained a rotation frequency of 1.913 d⁻¹. Crosses connected by dotted line show the observational $P - \Delta P$ relation of prograde dipole g modes, which has a dip at a period of 0.43 days attributable to the resonance coupling with the fundamental inertial mode of the convective core. The dip can be fitted well with a 1.85-$M_\odot$ model having a convective-core (with no overshooting) rotating at 1.89 d⁻¹ (blue squares in the left panel). The resonance dips predicted by the models with core overshooting are too broad and shallow compared with the dip of KIC 8330056.

Figure 13. The same as Fig. 10 but for KIC 12066947. Crosses connected with dotted lines are observational $P - \Delta P$ relation having a resonance dip at 0.38 days (Van Reeth et al. 2016). Rotation rate in the g-mode cavity of KIC 12066947 is 2.159 d⁻¹. The resonance dip is best reproduced by the 1.55-$M_\odot$ model with $h_{os} = 0.01$ (middle panel) with a convective-core rotation of $f_{rot}^{cc} = 2.115$ d⁻¹, which is slightly slower than the rate in the g-mode cavity.

For some stars models with core-overshooting of $h_{os} = 0.02$ and/or 0.01 are not listed, because these models have resonance dips too broad and shallow, while in many cases dips tend to be reproduced well by models with $h_{os} \leq 0.01$. This could indicate the overshooting from the convective core to be largely 'penetrative' type (Zahn 2002) producing mostly adiabatic layers with little radiative zone even if matter in a substantial range is mixed. We note, however, that the preference of a smaller $h_{os}$ could be due to a bias in the selection of stars, because we have selected, in this paper, stars having a strong dip. Further detailed studies would be needed.

Fig. 14 shows the ratio of the best fit rotation frequency in the convective core, $f_{rot}^{cc}$, to the rotation frequency in the g-mode cavity $f_{rot}$ with respect to $f_{rot}^{cc}$ (lower panel) and to the hydrogen mass fraction at the center, $X_c$ (upper panel). Filled (black) and open (blue) circles, and squares (red) are for models without overshooting, with overshooting of $h_{os} = 0.01$, and with $h_{os} = 0.02$, respectively. Points belonging to the same stars are connected by dashed lines to show the effects of the core overshooting assumptions. In addition, results from metal-poor models with $Z = 0.010$ (OS00) are shown by black open circles, while no connecting lines are drawn to avoid too much busyness.

Models with $h_{os} = 0.01$ tend to yield slightly slower convective-core rotation rates compared to the models without overshooting, although the effects are not so large to disturb the general trend. The metal poor models tend to give slightly larger $f_{rot}^{cc}$. Again, the tendency hardly affects the general trends seen in this figure.

The majority of $\gamma$ Dor stars we studied in this paper rotate nearly uniformly, while convective cores tend to rotate slightly faster than the surrounding g-mode cavity. However, there is a notable exception, KIC 5985441, at $f_{rot}^{cc}/f_{rot} \approx 1.2$. The $P - \Delta P$ sequence of KIC 5985441 is fitted reasonably well as shown in Fig. 11 with the moderate differential rotation irrespective of the assumed extent of overshooting or a metal-poor ($Z = 0.010$) composition. Since the star is relatively faint ($K_p = 15.8$ mag), no spectroscopic information is available.
Table 1. Summary of observed parameters and models

| KIC        | Murphy et al. (2019) | Li et al. (2019b) | Li et al. (2020a) |
|------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|            | $\log T_{\text{eff}}(K)$ | $\log L/L_\odot$ | $f_{\text{rot}(\text{obs})} (d^{-1})$ |
| 03341457 (Fig. B1) | 3.841 ± 0.008 | 0.707 ± 0.03 | 1.859 ± 0.001 |
| 0430625 (Fig. B2) | 3.845 ± 0.016 | 1.149 ± 0.046 | 1.12 ± 0.01 |
| 04774208 (Fig. B3) | 3.847 ± 0.014 | 0.801 ± 0.033 | 1.834 ± 0.001 |
| 05294571 (Fig. 10) | 3.837 ± 0.013 | 0.768 ± 0.032 | 1.642 ± 0.0009 |
| 05391059 (Fig. B4) | 3.831 ± 0.013 | 0.767 ± 0.026 | 1.796 ± 0.001 |
| 05985441 (Fig. 11) | 3.848 ± 0.016 | 0.741 ± 0.10 | 1.473 ± 0.008 |
| 0796803 (Fig. B5) | 3.867 ± 0.015 | 0.823 ± 0.023 | 1.94 ± 0.01 |
| 08326356 (Fig. B6) | 3.861 ± 0.015 | 0.991 ± 0.047 | 2.38 ± 0.02 |
| 08330056 (Fig. 12) | 3.873 ± 0.015 | 1.245 ± 0.035 | 1.913 ± 0.001 |
| 09962653 (Fig. B7) | 3.861 ± 0.014 | 0.786 ± 0.021 | 1.763 ± 0.001 |
| 11017637 (Fig. B8) | 3.863 ± 0.014 | 0.771 ± 0.019 | 1.615 ± 0.0008 |
| 11550154 (Fig. B9) | 3.874 ± 0.015 | 0.774 ± 0.019 | 2.017 ± 0.001 |
| 11646699 (Fig. B10) | 3.856 ± 0.012 | 0.742 ± 0.018 | 1.729 ± 0.002 |
| 11907454 (Fig. B11) | 3.857 ± 0.013 | 0.732 ± 0.018 | 1.3387 ± 0.0006 |
| 12060497 (Fig. 13) | 3.865 ± 0.004 | 0.851 ± 0.025 | 2.159 ± 0.002 |
| 12303888 (Fig. B12) | 3.864 ± 0.015 | 0.868 ± 0.03 | 1.3301 ± 0.0007 |

$\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\delta$ are adopted for standard models, while numbers in parentheses are from models with $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\delta$ needed.

The upper panel of Fig. 14 shows a subtle tendency of less evolved stars (i.e., with larger $\chi_c$) having larger differential rotation $f_{\text{rot}}(\text{cc})/f_{\text{rot}}$, while the lower panel seems to indicate that the differential rotations tend to be larger in stars having smaller $f_{\text{rot}}$. These tendencies, if real, may be understood as that the convective core of a star rotates slightly faster than the surrounding g-mode cavity at the beginning of the main-sequence evolution, while a part of the g-mode cavity surrounding the convective core boundary has spun up to synchronize with the convective core as evolution proceeds. Although it is an interesting tendency, further studies are definitely needed.
Figure 14. The ratios of the rotation frequency in the convective core \( f_{\text{rot}}(\text{cc}) \) to that of radiative g-mode cavity \( f_{\text{rot}} \) are plotted with respect to the hydrogen mass fraction in the convective core \( X_c \) (upper panel) and \( f_{\text{rot}} \) obtained from \( P - \Delta P \) patterns by Li et al. (2019b, 2020a). Filled (black) and open (blue) circles and open squares (red) correspond to the values obtained by fitting to models without overshoot and with small overshoots; i.e., \( h_{\text{ov}} = 0.00, 0.01, \) and \( 0.02 \) respectively. The same stars are connected with dashed lines. These results are based on models with an initial composition of \( (X, Z) = (0.72, 0.014) \), while black open circles denote results based on the models with \( (X, Z) = (0.724, 0.010) \) without overshooting. The names of two outliers, KIC 5985441 and KIC 4390625, are indicated in the upper panel.

Figure 15. Period spacing versus period for \( r \) modes of \( (m, k) = (1, -2) \) in the inertial frame (whose temperature variations are symmetric with respect to the equator) in the 1.5-\( M_\odot \) models at selected evolutionary stages (i.e., at selected central hydrogen abundance \( X_c \)). A rotation frequency of 1.5 \( \text{d}^{-1} \) is adopted as a typical rate, while no overshooting is included in these models. Open and filled circles are results obtained using the TAR and the expansion method, respectively.

6 \( P - \Delta P \) RELATIONS OF \( R \) MODES

\( R \) mode oscillations are normal modes of global Rossby waves influenced by buoyancy. Pure Rossby waves generated by the Coriolis force which propagate only horizontally, while \( r \) modes, because of the buoyancy effect, propagate also radially. For this reason, the periods of high radial-order \( r \) modes in the co-rotating frame are approximately proportional to the radial order \( |n| \), as for \( g \) modes. \( R \) modes are retrograde in the co-rotating frame, while they are observed as prograde modes in the inertial frame because the frequencies of \( r \) modes in the co-rotating frame are less than the rotation frequency. The observational frequencies are located between \((|m| - 1) f_{\text{rot}} \) and \([m] f_{\text{rot}} \) (see Saio et al. 2018a, for details). The period spacing of \( r \) modes in the inertial frame increases with period, which is opposite to the pattern of prograde \( g \) modes. From this property Van Reeth et al. (2016) discovered \( r \) modes in \( \gamma \) Dor stars. Li et al. (2019b, 2020a) found \( r \) modes (mostly \( m = 1 \) even \( k = -2 \) modes) in many \( \gamma \) Dor stars and, in some cases (e.g., KIC 11775251 Li et al. 2020a), \( r \)-mode \( P - \Delta P \) relations to show modulations similar to dipole \( g \) modes.

In order to see whether or not a resonance coupling occurs between \( r \) modes and inertial modes in the convective core, we have calculated \( r \) mode periods with the TAR and with the expansion method for 1.5-\( M_\odot \) models at some main-sequence evolution stages assuming a uniform rotation of 1.50 \( \text{d}^{-1} \). Obtained \( P_{\text{inert}} - \Delta P_{\text{inert}} \) relations are shown in Fig. 15, where results with the TAR and with the expansion method are shown by open and filled circles, respectively. Although there are some modulations in the \( P - \Delta P \) relations, filled and open circles always stay close to each other, indicating that the TAR works well for \( r \) modes as well as \( g \) modes, and that those modulations should be due to chemical composition gradients rather than the resonance with inertial modes. Although the models shown in this figure have no core overshooting, we have obtained similar results for models with core overshooting.

In the co-rotating frame, frequencies of \( r \) modes of \( (m, k) = (1, -2) \) are limited as \( \nu_{\text{co-rot}} < f_{\text{rot}}/3 \) (Saio et al. 2018a) so that the spin parameter should be \( s > 6 \), while (Ouazzani et al. 2020) has shown that the retrograde inertial mode of \( m = 1 \) in the convective core has a spin parameter of 1.34 – 1.37, much smaller than the spin parameters of the \( r \) modes. This explains why no resonance couplings occur between inertial modes in the convective core and \( r \) modes. Therefore, we should regard modulations in period spacing patterns of \( r \) modes to be caused by chemical composition gradients.

7 CONCLUDING REMARKS

We discussed the dip in \( g \) mode period spacings which is produced by the resonance with an inertial mode in the convective core as Ouazzani et al. (2020) found for the first time. The resonance between prograde dipole \( g \) modes and an (fundamental or first-overtone) inertial mode in the core occurs at certain spin parameters of \( 2 f_{\text{rot}}/\nu_{\text{co-rot}} \sim 8 - 11 \) (fundamental) and 22 – 25 (first-overtone). These values depend on evolutionary stages, on the assumptions of overshooting, and, to a lesser extent, on stellar masses. These properties are consistent with the result of Ouazzani et al. (2020). We have found no resonance couplings between \( r \) modes (propagative
in radiative layers) and retrograde inertial modes in the convective core, while Ouazzani et al. (2020) found resonance couplings between a retrograde inertial mode and retrograde ($m = 1$) g modes (as well as couplings between axisymmetric $m = 0$ g and inertial modes).

From a resonance dip in the g-mode period-spacing pattern of a star, we can measure the rotation frequency of the convective core by fitting a model prediction obtained by the expansion method (Lee & Baraffe 1995; Lee 1988). We can distinguish the true resonance dip from the modulation due to a steep change of hydrogen abundance, by comparing period spacings calculated by the expansion method and those based on the TAR, because the resonance coupling does not occur in the latter. Thus, the resonance dip and $P - \Delta P$ patterns of moderately or rapidly rotating stars, are very useful to probe the rotation frequencies in the central convective core and the surrounding near-core region.

We have selected 16 γ Dor stars having relatively clear resonance dips, and fitted them with dipole prograde g modes of models having parameters consistent with those given in Murphy et al. (2019). Adopting the rotation frequency obtained by Van Reeth et al. (2016); Li et al. (2019b) from the global pattern of $P - \Delta P$ for each star, we found a model which nicely fit the overall feature of the $P - \Delta P$ pattern, while the period at the resonance dip is, in most cases, slightly different from observation. Then, we calculated g-mode periods in differentially rotating models, in which the radiative layers rotates at the same rate as before, while convective core rotates at a different rate, $f_{\text{rot}}(cc)$. By changing the value of $f_{\text{rot}}(cc)$, we have found a model which reproduces the position of the dip as well as the global pattern of the observational $P - \Delta P$ relation.

Seismically inferred differential rotations between stellar envelope and core of main-sequence stars have been discussed many times in the literature (see e.g. Aerts et al. 2017). In most cases the core meant so far the radiative g-mode cavity surrounding the convective core rather than the convective core itself. Because g modes do not propagate in the convective core, g modes themselves do not provide us with information on the rotation in the convective core. Thanks to the resonance coupling with an inertial mode which is propagative in the convective core (Ouazzani et al. 2020), we could obtain, in this paper, rotation frequencies of convective cores from the resonance dips in $P - \Delta P$ patterns of prograde dipole g modes. We found rotation frequencies in the convective cores to be very close to (in many cases slightly faster than) those in the surrounding g-mode cavities. This is a unique new knowledge we could extract from nonradial pulsations of γ Dor variables.
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APPENDIX A: KIC 1431379: A LARGE DIP CAUSED BY CHEMICAL COMPOSITION GRADIENT

This section presents a case, in which observed large dips are fitted with theoretical dips caused by chemical composition gradients. Fig. A1 shows $P - \Delta P$ relations of KIC 1431379 compared with prograde sectoral $m = -1$ and $-2$ modes of a $1.6-M_\odot$ model uniformly rotating at $1.253 \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Li et al. 2019b) without overshooting. Because, the $P - \Delta P$ relations obtained by the expansion method (filled circles) behave similarly to those obtained with the TAR (open circles), large dips for both $m = -1$ and $-2$ relations should be caused by a steep gradient of chemical composition (Miglio et al. 2008; Bouabid et al. 2013). This model predicts a dip caused by the resonance coupling with a core inertial mode to appear in the $m = -1$ sequence at a period of about $0.65 \text{ days}$, which is just beyond the longest period detected in KIC 1431379. Although dips caused by chemical composition gradients are sensitive to evolutionary stages, we could also find a OS02 model with overshooting $h_{\text{os}} = 0.02$ (not shown) which fit the dips similarly well as in Fig. A1.

APPENDIX B: OTHER $\gamma$ DOR STARS

This Appendix section shows fittings of $P - \Delta P$ relations (in the same format as Fig. 10) for $\gamma$ Dor stars in Table 1 but not shown in §5.1; KIC 3341457 (Fig. B1), KIC 4390625 (Fig. B2), KIC 4774208 (Fig. B3), KIC 5391059 (Fig. B4), KIC 7968803 (Fig. B5), KIC 8326356 (Fig. B6), KIC 9962653 (Fig. B7), KIC 11017637 (Fig. B8), KIC 11550154 (Fig. B9), KIC 11649699 (Fig. B10), KIC 11907454 (Fig. B11), and KIC 12303838 (Fig. B12). The periods and period spacings of these stars are adopted from Li et al. (2019b, 2020a). They are shown by crosses connected with dotted lines in each figure.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.
KIC 3341457 is an eclipsing binary with an orbital frequency of \( f_{\text{rot}} = 1.859 \pm 0.001 \) d\(^{-1}\) from the \( P - \Delta P \) patterns of prograde dipole g modes and of r modes. A dip in the g-mode \( P - \Delta P \) sequence (crosses) at 0.445 days can be reproduced assuming \( f_{\text{rot}} \) slightly different from the \( f_{\text{rot}} \) of KIC 3341457 irrespective of overshooting assumptions, indicating that rotation throughout the stellar interior is synchronized with the orbital motion in this short period eclipsing binary. The model without overshooting (left panel) also reproduce roughly the broad modulation in the period range 0.43 – 0.44 days.

Figure B2. KIC 4390625: Li et al. (2020a) obtained a near-core (g-cavity) rotation \( f_{\text{rot}} = 1.12 \pm 0.01 \) d\(^{-1}\) from the \( P - \Delta P \) patterns of prograde \( m = -1, -2 \) g modes. The \( P - \Delta P \) relation of the dipole g modes of KIC 4390625 (crosses) has a dip at a period of 0.686 days, which is attributable to couplings with the fundamental inertial mode in the convective core. The best-fit model (blue squares) without overshooting (left panel) assumes that rotation frequencies at the surface and in the convective-core are 1.11 d\(^{-1}\) and 1.22 d\(^{-1}\), respectively. To fit the \( P - \Delta P \) pattern of KIC 4390625 with an OS01 model (middle panel), the model should have a central hydrogen mass fraction of about 0.1. However, such an evolved model has no narrow-resonance dip (see Fig. 7). Therefore, no OS01 model can fit the resonance dip of KIC 4390625, while an OS02 model (right panel) can fit the resonance dip assuming that the convective core rotates at 1.24 d\(^{-1}\), although the predicted dip is slightly broader than observed.
Figure B3. KIC 4774208: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.834 \pm 0.001 \text{ d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and of r modes. The g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation has a dip at $\sim 0.435$ days attributable to resonance couplings with the fundamental inertial mode of the convective core. Each model with or without core overshooting can fit the period at the resonance dip by assuming a rotation rate of the convective core slightly faster than that of the g-mode cavity, while the predicted dips are considerably deeper than observed.

Figure B4. KIC 5391059: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.796 \pm 0.001 \text{ d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and of r modes. The g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation has a dip at $\sim 0.455$ days attributable to resonance couplings with the fundamental inertial mode in the convective core. The OS01 model (middle panel) fits best with the depth of the dip, while the dip predicted by the model without overshooting is too deep, and the OS02 model has a too shallow dip.
Figure B5. KIC 7968803: Li et al. (2020a) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.94 \pm 0.01 \text{ d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ sequences of prograde $m = -1$ and $-2$ g modes. The deep dip in the $P - \Delta P$ relation (crosses) of the dipole g modes at a period of 0.42 days is explained by resonance couplings with an inertial mode in the convective core rotating nearly synchronously with the surrounding g-mode cavity (filled blue squares).

Figure B6. KIC 8326356: Li et al. (2020a) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 2.38 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ sequence of prograde dipole g modes. The pronounced dip at $P_{\text{max}} = 0.343 \text{ days}$ can be explained as the resonance dip with the fundamental inertial mode in the convective core rotating nearly synchronously with the surrounding g-mode cavity (filled blue squares). The effects of different overshooting assumptions are small.
Figure B7. KIC 9962653: Li et al. (2019b) obtained a rotation rate of $1.763 \pm 0.001 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and of r modes. A resonance dip appears at 0.464 days in the g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation (crosses). The 1.53-$M_{\odot}$ model without overshooting (left panel) with a convective core rotating at $f_{\text{rot}}(cc) = 1.795 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ slightly faster than $f_{\text{rot}}$ reproduces well the resonance $\Delta P$ dip of KIC 9962653 as well as the overall shape of the $P - \Delta P$ relation. A similarly good fit is obtained by a 1.50-$M_{\odot}$ model with OS01 ($h_{\text{os}} = 0.01$) overshooting (middle panel) with $f_{\text{rot}}(cc) = 1.75 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ very slightly slower than $f_{\text{rot}}$ in the g mode cavity. However, a $\Delta P$ dip predicted by a 1.50-$M_{\odot}$ models with larger overshooting (OS02, $h_{\text{os}} = 0.02$; right panel) is too shallow and too broad.

Figure B8. KIC 11017637: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.6153 \pm 0.0008 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and r modes. The g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation (crosses connected with dotted lines) has a dip at a period of $\sim 0.5$ days, which can be fitted well, irrespective of the assumptions on core overshooting, if the convective core rotates slightly faster than the radiative g-mode cavity.
Figure B9. KIC 11550154: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 2.017 \pm 0.001 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P\cdot\Delta P$ relations of prograde $m = -1, -2$ g modes and $m = 1$ r modes. The resonance dip at $-0.40$ days is reasonably fitted with the predictions (filled blue squares) of OS00 (left panel) and OS01 (middle panel) models assuming that the convective core rotates slightly faster than the surrounding g-mode cavity. The OS02 model (right panel) shows a large dip deviating from the observational $P\cdot\Delta P$ relation (even for the case of TAR; open circles) in the period range $0.36 - 0.38$ days, which are related with a radiative zone with small Brunt Vaisala frequency produced by the overshooting (see Fig.7).

Figure B10. KIC 11649699: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.729 \pm 0.002 \, \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P\cdot\Delta P$ relations of prograde $m = -1, -2$ g modes and $m = 1$ r modes. The $P\cdot\Delta P$ relation of the prograde dipole g modes of KIC 1164966 is shown by crosses connected with dotted lines. The small dip around a period of $0.455$ days is fitted well with a model without overshooting (left panel) with a convective core rotating slightly faster than the radiative g-mode cavity.
Figure B11. KIC 11907454: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.3387 \pm 0.0006 \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and r modes. The g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation (crosses) has a deep dip at a period of about 0.59 days, which is reproduced best by a model without overshooting (left panel) with a convective core rotating slightly faster than the surrounding g-mode cavity. Although the period of the dip can be fitted with models with overshooting, the predicted depth is shallower.

Figure B12. KIC 12303838: Li et al. (2019b) obtained $f_{\text{rot}} = 1.3301 \pm 0.0007 \text{d}^{-1}$ from the $P - \Delta P$ relations of prograde dipole g modes and r modes. The g-mode $P - \Delta P$ relation has a resonance dip at a period of 0.59 days, which is fitted well with the model without overshooting (left panel) with a convective core rotating slightly faster ($1.415 \text{d}^{-1}$) than the surrounding g-mode cavity ($1.330 \text{d}^{-1}$). Models with overshooting can also reproduce the period at the dip, while they (OS2 model in particular) predict the depth shallower than the observed one.