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ABSTRACT

An increasing amount of observational evidence supports the notion that there are two modes of star formation: a quiescent mode in disk-like galaxies, and a starburst mode, which is generally interpreted as driven by merging. Using a semi-analytic model of galaxy formation, we derive the relative contribution to the cosmic star formation rate density of quiescently starforming and starburst galaxies, predicted under the assumption that starburst events are triggered by galaxy encounters (merging and fly-by kind) during their merging histories. We show that, within this framework, quiescently starforming galaxies dominate the cosmic star formation rate density at all redshifts. The contribution of the burst-dominated starforming galaxies increases with redshift, rising from ≤ 5 % at low redshift (z ≤0.1) to ~ 20% at z ≥ 5. We estimated that the fraction of the final (z=0) galaxy stellar mass which is formed through the burst component of star formation is ~10% for 10^{10} M_\odot ≤ M_\star ≤ 10^{11} M_\odot . Starburst galaxies, selected according to their distance from the galaxy main sequence, account for ~10% of the star formation rate density in the redshift interval 1.5 < z < 2.5, i.e. at the cosmic peak of the star formation activity.
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1. Introduction

How galaxies build up their stellar mass is a central question in galaxy formation. Many previous works have demonstrated that a substantial fraction (30%-50%) of the stellar mass formed between z ~ 3 and z ~ 1 (Dickinson et al. 2003; Fontana et al. 2003, 2004, 2006; Glazebrook et al. 2004; Drory et al. 2004; Rudnick et al. 2006; Papovich et al. 2006; Yan et al. 2006; Pozzetti et al. 2007). However, it is still unclear what is the major channel for galaxy growth during this period. Two star formation modes have been identified: the first is a "quiescent" mode in disk-like galaxies where the star formation is extended over the whole galaxy disk and occurs on time scales of ~ (1 - 2) Gyr ; while the second is a compact, starburst mode, where the star formation tends to be dominated by the nuclear regions and the gas depletion time scales are significantly lower (~ 10^7 - 10^8 yr) than those in quiescently starforming galaxies. This accelerated mode of star formation is likely triggered by major mergers, as suggested by observational evidence and theoretical arguments. Observationally, major mergers are associated with enhancements in star formation in local Ultra Luminous Infrared Galaxies (ULIRGs, Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Elbaz et al. 2007), and some submillimeter galaxies (SMGs, Tacconi et al. 2008; Daddi et al. 2007, 2009; Engel et al. 2010). On the theoretical side, the merger-driven scenario for starburst galaxies is supported by the results of high-resolution numerical simulations, which showed the effectiveness of galaxy major mergers in causing the loss of angular momentum of the gas in the galaxy disk, with the consequent trigger of starburst events into the central regions of the galaxy (Hernquist 1989; Barnes & Hernquist 1991, 1996; Mihos & Hernquist 1994; 1996; and by the success of semi-analytic models (SAMs) of galaxy formation, which include this additional channel of star formation, in reproducing the early formation of stars in massive galaxies (e.g. Somerville et al. 2001; Menci et al. 2004, 2005). An effective tools to understand the relative contribution of the different star formation modes is provided by the scaling relations connecting the star formation rate (SFR) with global galactic quantities, like the gas mass (the Schmidt-Kennicutt relation) or the total stellar mass (M_\star). In fact, the normalization and/or the scaling of the SFR with such quantities differs for starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies. As for the former, the extension of the well-established local relation (Kennicutt 1998) to higher redshifts has shown that quiescently starforming galaxies follow the local Schmidt-Kennicutt relation, while the SFRs of starburst galaxies are typically one order of magnitude greater than expected from their projected gas surface density (Daddi et al. 2010; Genzel et al. 2010). As for the correlation between the SFR and M_\star, it has recently been shown that quiescently starforming galaxies lie along a "main sequence" characterized by a typical, redshift-dependent value of the specific star formation rate (SSFR=SFR/M_\star), while the less numerous starburst population is characterized by larger values of the SSFR. The main sequence is observed over a large range of redshift, from z ~ 0 to z ~ 6, and it is characterized by a small scatter (~ 0.3 dex), by a normalization which increases by a factor of ~20 from z = 0 to z = 2, and by a slope which is sensitive to the technique used to select the sample of starforming galaxies and to the procedure for measuring M_\star and SFR, with values ranging from 0.6 to 1 (Brinchmann et al. 2004; Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007, 2009; Santini et al. 2009; Salim et al. 2007; Stark et al. 2009; González et al. 2011).
The techniques used to measure the SFRs and the stellar masses in galaxies are based on different observational tracers. A fruitful approach to estimate the stellar mass is the SED fitting. This technique is based on the comparison between a grid of spectral templates computed from standard spectral synthesis models and the available multiwavelength photometry (Papovich et al. 2001; Shapley et al. 2001, 2005; Fontana et al. 2006; Santini et al. 2009). However, such a method does not provide reliable star formation histories at high redshifts, where the uncertainties become larger due to the SFR-age-metallicity degeneracies. An alternative commonly used estimator of the SFR is the UV rest-frame band, where young and massive stars emit most of their light. Here the drawback is that dust absorbs, reprocesses, and re-radiates UV photons at near-to-far IR wavelengths, so that reliability of UV luminosity as a SFR tracer depends on large and uncertain corrections relying upon the dust properties. Since most of the energy radiated by newly formed stars is reprocessed by dust, in order to accurately derive the SFR it is necessary to determine the dust bolometric output. In the past, the total IR luminosity (from 8 to 1000 µm) was calculated by extrapolating observation in the mid-IR. In the launch of the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) it has now become possible to measure the far-IR luminosity of distant galaxies at wavelengths where the dust emission is known to peak.

A recent study based on Herschel observations of starforming galaxies at 1.5 < z < 2.5 indicates that only ~2% of massive (M ∗ > 10¹⁰M_☉) galaxies in this sample have starburst nature and account for only ~10% of the star formation rate density (SFRD) at z ≈ 2 (Rodighiero et al. 2011). This finding represents a test case for the merger-driven scenario for starburst galaxies, since in this framework the occurrence of the starburst events is driven by the galaxy merger rates which are expected to increase with redshift. In this paper we investigate this issue in the framework of a theoretical model of galaxy formation that includes a physical description of starburst triggered by galaxy interactions during their merging histories. The latter are described through Monte Carlo realizations, and are connected to gas processes and star formation using a semi-analytic model of galaxy formation in a cosmological framework (Menci et al. 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008). In the model the starburst events are triggered not only by galaxy major mergers (i.e. the fusion of galaxies with comparable mass, m ∝ m′) but also by minor mergers (m ≪ m′) and by closer galaxy interactions which do not lead to bound merging (fly-by events). Minor mergers and fly-by events induce starburst with a lower efficiency compared to major mergers, but they are more probable, so they contribute appreciably to the cosmic star formation history.

A description of the SAM is given in Section 2; in Section 3 we derive the predicted SFR-M ∗ relation at 1.5 < z < 2.5 and the contribution to the cosmic SFRD of starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies; discussions and conclusions follow in Sections 4 and 5.

2. The Model

We use the SAM described in details in Menci et al. (2004, 2005, 2006, 2008), which connects, within a cosmological framework, the baryonic processes (gas cooling, star formation, supernova feedback) to the merging histories of the dark matter (DM) haloes. AGN activities, triggered by galaxy interactions in common DM haloes, and the related feedback processes are also included. Here we recall the basic points.

2.1. The dark matter merging trees

Galaxy formation and evolution is driven by the collapse and growth of DM haloes, which originate from the gravitational instability of overdense regions in the primordial DM density field. This is taken to be a random, Gaussian density field with Cold Dark Matter (CDM) power spectrum within the "concordance cosmology" (Spergel et al. 2007) for which we adopt round parameters Ω_m = 0.3, Ω_b = 0.04 and Hubble constant (in units of 100 km/s/Mpc) h = 0.7. The normalization of the spectrum is taken to be σ8 = 0.9 in terms of the variance of the field smoothed over regions of 8 h⁻¹ Mpc.

As cosmic time increases, larger and larger regions of the density field collapse, and eventually lead to the formation of groups and clusters of galaxies; previously formed, galactic size condensations are enclosed. The merging rates of the DM haloes are provided by the Extended Press & Schechter formalism (see Bond et al. 1991; Lacey & Cole 1993). The clumps included into larger DM haloes may survive as satellites, or merge to form larger galaxies due to binary aggregations, or coalesce into the central dominant galaxy due to dynamical friction; these processes take place over time scales that grow longer over cosmic time, so the number of satellite galaxies increases as the DM host haloes grow from groups to clusters (see Menci et al. 2005, 2006).

2.2. The star formation law

The processes connecting the baryonic components to the growing DM haloes (with mass m) are computed as follows. Starting from an initial amount mΩ_c/Ω of gas at virial temperature of the galactic haloes, we compute the mass m_c of cold baryons within the cooling radius. The cooled gas mass m_c settles into a rotationally supported disc with radius r_d (typically ranging from 1 to 5 kpc), rotation velocity v_d and dynamical time t_d = r_d/v_d, all computed after Mo et al. (1998). Two channels of star formation may convert part of such gas into stars: i) quiescent star formation, corresponding to the gradual conversion of the cold gas in the galaxy disk into stars, for which we assume the canonical Schmidt-Kennicutt form:

\[ SFR_q = m_c/v_d \]  

where \( SFR_q = q_1 \Delta q \) and \( q_1 \) is a model free parameter which is chosen to match the Kennicutt (1998) relation; ii) burst-like star formation triggered by galaxy interactions, at a rate:

\[ SFR_b \propto f m_c/v_d \]  

here the time scale \( t_d \) is assumed to be the crossing time for the destabilized cold gas component (\( t_d \)), and \( f \) is the fraction of cold gas destabilized by the encounters. A clear visualization of the two star formation modes implemented in the model is given in figure 1 where we show the star formation histories (SFHs) for two subsets of galaxies selected from the Monte Carlo simulations. The fraction \( f \) of cold gas destabilized by the encounters has been worked out by Cavaliere & Vittorini (2000) in terms of the variation \( \Delta j \) of the specific angular momentum \( j \approx G m/v_d \) of the gas to read (Menci et al. 2004):

\[ f \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta j}{j} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m' \tau_d v_d}{b \bar{V}_{in}} \]  

(3)

where \( b \) is the impact parameter, evaluated as the greater of the radius \( r_d \) and the average distance of the galaxies in the halo, \( m' \).
At each time step, the mass \( \Delta \eta \) time step, \( \eta \) is the mass of the partner galaxy in the interaction, \( V_{rel} \) is the relative velocity between galaxies, and the average runs over the probability of finding such a galaxy in the same halo where the galaxy with mass \( m \) is located. The prefactor accounts for the probability 1/2 of inflow rather than outflow related to the sign of \( \Delta j \). We assume for the proportionality constant in eq. (2) the value of 3/4, while the remaining fraction of the inflow is assumed to feed the central black hole (see Sanders & Mirabel 1996). When applied to the black hole accretion and to the related AGN emission the above model has proven to be very successful in reproducing the observed properties of the AGN population from \( z = 6 \) to the present (Menci et al. 2004, 2008; Lamastra et al. 2010).

The probability for a given galaxy to be in a burst phase is defined as the ratio \( \tau_b/\tau_r \) of the duration of the burst to the average time interval between bursts. The rate of such encounters \( \tau_r^{-1} \) is

\[
\tau_r^{-1} = n_f \Sigma(r, v, V_{rel}) V_{rel}
\]

(4)

where \( n_f = 3N_f/4\pi R^2 \) is the number density of galaxies in the same halo, \( V_{rel} \) is their relative velocity, and \( \Sigma \approx \pi(r_j^2 + r_c^2) \) is the cross section for such encounters which is given by Saslaw (1985) in terms of the tidal radius \( r_j \) associated to a galaxy with given circular velocity \( v \) (see Menci et al. 2004).

At each time step, the mass \( \Delta m_h \) returned from the cold gas content of the disk to the hot gas phase due to the energy released by SNe following star formation is estimated from canonical energy balance arguments as \( \Delta m_h = E_{SN} \epsilon_0 \eta_0 \Delta m_c / v_c^2 \), where \( \Delta m_c \) is the stellar mass formed in the time step, \( \eta_0 \) is the number of SNe per unit solar mass (for a Salpeter initial mass function \( \eta_0 = 6.5 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot^{-1} \)), \( E_{SN} = 10^{51} \text{erg/s} \) is the energy of ejecta of each SN, and \( v_c \) is the circular velocity of the galactic halo; \( \epsilon_0 = 0.01 \) is a tunable efficiency for the coupling of the emitted energy with the cold interstellar medium. At each merging event, the masses of the different baryonic phases \( \Delta m_c, \Delta m_h \) in each galaxy are refueled by those in the merging partners. Thus, for each galaxy the star formation is driven by the cooling rate of the hot gas and by the rate of refueling of the cold gas, which in turn is intimately related to the galaxy merging histories. The model has been tested against several observed properties of the local galaxy population like the B band luminosity function, the stellar mass function, the Tully-Fisher relation, the galaxy bimodal colour distribution, the distribution of cold gas and disk sizes. At higher redshifts, the model has been tested against the evolution of the luminosity functions and the number counts in different bands (Menci et al. 2005, 2006).

3. Results

3.1. The SFR-M_* relation

As a first step in the study of the contribution of starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies to the cosmic SFRD, we compare in figure 2 the predicted SFR-M_* (left) and SSFR-M_* (right) relations for model galaxies with \( M_* > 10^5 M_\odot \) at \( 1.5 < z < 2.5 \) (coloured contours) with those obtained by Rodighiero et al. (2011) and by Santini et al. (2009). The former authors used a combination of BzK- and Herschel-PACS-selected starforming galaxies from the COSMOS and GOODS fields. The BzK colour selection is designed to identify starforming galaxies in the redshift interval \( 1.5 < z < 2.5 \) by using colours that samples key features in the spectral energy distributions of galaxies, mainly the rest-frame 4000 Å break and the UV continuum slope \( (BzK = (z-K)_{AB} - (B-z)_{AB} \geq -0.2) \), Daddi et al. 2004. The SFHs of BzK galaxies are estimated from the UV-rest frame luminosity corrected for dust reddening and they yield an almost linear correlation with the stellar mass (black circles in fig. 2). The Herschel-PACS detection limit depends on redshift; at \( z \approx 2 \) it corresponds to \( \sim 200 M_\odot/\text{yr} \) and to \( \sim 50 M_\odot/\text{yr} \) for the COSMOS and GOODS fields respectively. The PACS-based SFRs run almost flat with the stellar mass and occupy the upper envelop of the distribution (green squares in fig. 2). This different behaviour could be ascribed to the different selection of the two samples, one being SFR limited, and one being mass limited (Rodighiero et al. 2011). The Santini et al. (2009) sample is based on the GOODS-MUSIC catalog; for detected sources the SFRs are derived from the 24µm emission, corrected to take into account the well-known overestimation of the SFR for bright sources at \( z \sim 2 \) (see Santini et al. 2009).
The SFR-M$_\ast$ relation at $1.5 < z < 2.5$. The five filled contours correspond to equally spaced values of the density (per Mpc$^3$) of model galaxies in logarithmic scale: from $10^{-6}$ for the lightest filled region to $10^{-2}$ for the darkest. Green squares: Herschel-PACS-selected galaxies, black circles: BzK galaxies, from the Rodighiero et al. (2011) sample; blue triangles: GOODS-MUSIC catalog from the Santini et al. (2009) sample. The dashed and long-dashed lines indicate the best-fit of the galaxy main sequence obtained by Rodighiero et al. (2011) and Santini et al. (2009) respectively, while the solid line shows the spline fit to the peaks of the SFR distributions of model galaxies as a function of stellar mass. Right: SSFR as a function of M$_*$ sequence obtained by Rodighiero et al. (2011) and Santini et al. (2009) respectively, while the solid line shows the spline fit to the peaks of the SFR (SSFR) distributions of model galaxies as a function of stellar mass. The dashed and thin solid lines indicate the SSFR > SSFR$_{MS}$-2$\sigma$ and the SSFR > $t_{fp}(z)^{-1}$ limits of the galaxy samples used in the analysis of Section 3.2 respectively.
mode of star formation ($\text{SFR}_q > \text{SFR}_b$, left panel), and galaxies dominated by the burst mode of star formation ($\text{SFR}_b > \text{SFR}_q$, right panel). The former show a tight correlation between the SFR and the stellar mass. This is a natural outcome, being this component a fairly steady function of time (see the smooth component of the SFHs in fig. 1). The scatter of this relation originates from the distribution of cold gas at a given stellar mass, and ultimately stems from the stochastic nature of the merging histories of galaxies. When we select galaxies where $\text{SFR}_b > \text{SFR}_q$ we obtain a more scattered distribution in the SFR-M$_*^\star$ plane. In these galaxies the star formation rate is not only determined by the amount of cold gas available in the galaxy disk, but it is also regulated by the amount of such gas which is destabilized during galaxy interactions (see eq. 2). Minor mergers and fly-by events, which dominate the statistics of encounters in the hierarchical clustering scenario, induce a lower fraction of destabilized gas compared to major mergers (note the dependence of $f$ on the mass ratio $m'/(m$ in eq. 3). This analysis show that the main sequence is mainly populated by quiescently starforming galaxies, while the loci well above and below it by galaxies experiencing major mergers and minor mergers/fly-by events respectively.

### 3.2. The contribution of starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies to the cosmic SFRD

We now quantify the results shown in the previous section, and derive the relative contribution of starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies to the cosmic SFRD and comoving number density in the redshift interval $1.5 < z < 2.5$. To perform a quantitative comparison we first need to define the sample of model starforming galaxies. Since the observational results we compare with are derived from a combination of samples adopting different criteria to select starforming galaxies (see Sect. 3.1), we have performed two different selections of model galaxies in order to reproduce, at least partially, the observational selection effects. The first corresponds to model galaxies with $\text{SSFR} > \text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}} - 2\sigma$ (see dashed line in fig. 2(b)), where $\text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$ is the value obtained for model galaxies and $\sigma = 0.3$ dex is the scatter of the observed SFR-M$_*^\star$ relation (Rodighiero et al. 2011; Daddi et al. 2004). The second corresponds to model galaxies with $\text{SSFR} > \text{tu}(z)^{-1}$ (see the thin solid line in fig. 2(b)), which indicates the corresponding SSFR value at $z = 2$. Within such samples, we derive the distributions of SSFR normalized to the value of main sequence galaxies ($\text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$) in four equally spaced stellar mass bins from $M_* = 10^{10}M_\odot$ to $M_* = 10^{11.5}M_\odot$ (see fig. 4). According to Rodighiero et al. (2011), the galaxies observationally classified as starburst are those with $\text{SSFR}_{\text{SB}} > 4 \times \text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$ (vertical dotted lines in fig. 4). We then proceed to assess how galaxies observationally classified as starbursts compare with model galaxies. To this aim, we derive separately the distributions of SSFR normalized to the value of main sequence galaxies ($\text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$), in four equally spaced stellar mass bins from $M_* = 10^{10}M_\odot$ to $M_* = 10^{11.5}M_\odot$ (see fig. 4). According to Rodighiero et al. (2011), the galaxies observationally classified as starburst are those with $\text{SSFR}_{\text{SB}} > 4 \times \text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$ (vertical dotted lines in fig. 4). We then proceed to assess how galaxies observationally classified as starbursts compare with model galaxies. To this aim, we derive separately the distributions of model galaxies dominated by the quiescent mode of star formation (blue histograms) and of galaxies dominated by the burst mode of star formation (red histograms). We find that the threshold $\text{SSFR}_{\text{SB}} > 4 \times \text{SSFR}_{\text{MS}}$ used by Rodighiero et al. (2011) is indeed effective in filtering out quiescent galaxies, independently of the criterion adopted to define our sample of starforming galaxies; in fact, in the model SSFR values above this threshold are obtained only through the burst component of the star formation. However this criterion fails to select a considerable part...
of the burst-dominated starforming galaxies where the galaxy interactions do not boost the SFRs above the quiescent values (minor mergers and fly-by events). The above results enlighten the physical difference between galaxies observationally classified as starbursts and galaxies with an interaction-driven star formation component. The latter also include galaxies not dominated by the burst mode of star formation, as it is indicated by the distributions of galaxies with a burst component of star formation (SFR$_b$ > 0, green histograms in fig. 4). In the following we will derive the relative contribution to the comoving number density and to the cosmic SFRD in the redshift range $1.5 < z < 2.5$ for all these classes of starforming galaxies: (i) main sequence galaxies (SFR$_q$ > SFR$_b$); (ii) starburst galaxies (SFR$_SB > 4 \times$ SSFR$_{MS}$), (iii) burst-dominated starforming galaxies (SFR$_b > SFR_q$); and (iv) galaxies with an interaction-driven star formation component (SFR$_b > 0$).

The predicted relative contribution of the above classes of galaxies to the comoving number density of starforming galaxies is shown in figure 5. The fraction of starbursts (shaded region) and burst-dominated galaxies (hatched region) with respect to the total number of starforming galaxies is compared with the observational results by [Rodighiero et al. (2011)].

While the predicted fraction of starburst galaxies remains around $N_{SB}/N_{MS+SB} \sim 1\%$, the exact values predicted by the model depend on the criteria adopted to define the global sample of starforming galaxies (i.e., $N_{MS+SB}$), defining the upper and the lower envelope of both the shaded and the hatched regions. The smaller fraction of starburst galaxies obtained with the selection SFR$> SFR_{MS} - 2\sigma$ compared to the selection SFR$> \Gamma_f(z)^{-1}$ is due to the larger number of "on-sequence" galaxies selected in the first case (especially for large masses, see solid and dashed histograms in fig. 4), while the number of galaxies $N_{SB}$ remains almost unchanged.

The figure shows that, even in a hierarchical model connecting the physics of galaxies to their merging histories, the fraction of galaxies classified as starburst remains within values $\sim 1\%$, even lower than the observational values ($\sim 2\%$); values around 2-3% are obtained when burst-dominated galaxies are considered. This is due to a twofold reason: (i) in hierarchical models, the statistics of merging events is dominated by minor episodes which do not produce SFR above the threshold adopted in the observations; (ii) the duration of bursts $t \approx 10^7 - 10^8$ yrs is much smaller than the typical time scale between two encounters, so that the probability to find a galaxy in a starburst phase is correspondingly small. As for former point i), we show in figure 6 the fraction of the galaxy population with a star formation component induced by galaxy interactions, SFR$_b$ > 0 (triangles). When all galaxy interactions are considered, including minor merging and fly-by, the fraction of galaxies with an interaction-driven star formation component increases with $M_*$ to reach values $\approx 10^{-1}$. The trend with $M_*$ is due to the larger cross section for interactions of massive galaxies (see eq. 4) and to the fact that massive galaxies are found in dense environments with enhanced galaxy interaction rate. The merging histories of massive galaxies are dominated by minor merging events which are less effective in destabilizing the gas in the galaxy disks (see eq. 5), therefore a lower number of massive galaxies satisfy the SFR$_b$ > SFR$_q$ criterion, and this explains the flattening of the hatched and shaded regions with increasing stellar mass in figure 5.

As for the starburst duty cycle, the above value $\sim 10^{-1}$ of the fraction of galaxies with SFR$_b$ > 0 implies a value $\tau_b/\tau_r \sim 0.1$ for the ratio of the burst duration $\tau_b$ over the interaction time scale $\tau_r$ (see sect. 2.2). This is indeed what is expected from both theoretical arguments and observational hints. In fact, the interaction time scale $\tau_r = (n \Sigma V)^{-1}$ can be estimated assuming a simple geometrical cross section $\Sigma = \pi r^2$. For typical values of the galaxy gravitational radius $r \sim 0.1$ Mpc and of the galaxy relative velocities $V \sim 100$ km/s, and assuming a density of a few galaxies per Mpc$^3$, we obtain an estimate $\tau_r \sim 10^9$ yr. When compared with the galaxy crossing time (determining the duration of bursts, see sect. 2.2) $\tau_b \sim 10^8$ yr, a value $\tau_b/\tau_r \sim 0.1$ is obtained for the duty cycle, as expected. This value is also consistent with the duty cycle of AGN measured at $z \sim 1 - 2$ with luminosity $L \sim 10^{43}$ erg/s [Martini et al. 2009; Eastman et al. 2007], as expected in our framework where both starbursts and AGNs are triggered by galaxy interactions.

We now proceed to estimate the contribution of the different classes of starforming galaxies to the cosmic SFRD at $1.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 2.5$, shown in figure 5. The interaction-driven scenario predicts that the contribution of the entire interacting galaxy population is $\sim 15\%$ irrespective of stellar mass. At lower stellar masses this quantity is mainly contributed by burst-dominated galaxies, while at higher stellar masses the contribution of the quiescent mode of star formation becomes important as it is indicated by the decrease of the ratio SFRD$_{SB}$/SFRD$_{MS+SB}$.
with increasing $M_*$ for the SFR$_b >$ SFR$_q$ and SFR$_{SB} > 4 \times$ SSFR$_{MS}$ populations. In fact, for the burst-dominated starforming galaxy population the contribution to the cosmic SFRD is $\sim 15\%$ for $M_* \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ and (6-10)\% for $M_* \sim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$, and for starburst galaxies it is slightly lower: $\sim 12\%$ for $M_* \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ and (4-6)\% for $M_* \sim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$. Therefore, this scenario is consistent with the observational finding that the cosmic SFRD in the redshift range $1.5 < z < 2.5$ is mainly contributed by quiescently starforming galaxies (Rodighiero et al. 2011). However, it must to be noted that, given the lower fraction of starburst galaxies predicted by the model, the match between the predicted and observed starburst contributions is determined by the lower value of the SFR of model main sequence galaxies (see figure 2).

Since the burst mode of star formation is intimately related to the galaxy interaction rate, which is expected to increase with redshift, we investigate how these contributions change as a function of the cosmic epoch. To address this issue, we derive separately the contribution to the cosmic SFRD of quiescent-dominated and burst-dominated systems as a function of redshift (dashed and dotted lines in figure 6, respectively). We find that quiescent systems dominate the global SFRD at all redshifts. However, the contribution of the burst-dominated population increases with redshift, rising from $\lesssim 5\%$ at low redshift ($z \lesssim 0.1$) to $\sim 20\%$ at $z \gtrsim 5$. This is a typical feature of the hierarchical clustering scenario where the starburst events are triggered by galaxy interaction during their merging histories; a similar evolution was obtained by Hopkins et al. (2010) on the basis of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. The physical origin of this behaviour can be understood as follows: at high redshift both the interaction rate $r^i$ (eq. 4) and the fraction of destabilized gas $f$ (eq. 3) are large; the former due to the large densities, the latter due to the large ratio $m'/m \approx 1$. An immediate implication of the above is that the fraction of the stellar mass formed through the SFR associated with the destabilized cold gas during galaxy interactions increases with redshift. This is illustrated in figure 7, where the contours show the average values of the ratio $M_{\text{burst}}(z)/M_*(z)$ as a function of $M_*$ and $z$. The mass $M_{\text{burst}}(z)$ is the stellar mass that a galaxy has formed through the burst mode of star formation up to that redshift, and $M_*(z)$ is the total galaxy stellar mass at that $z$. From this figure one can infer that $\sim 10\%$ of the final $M_*(z=0)$ galaxy stellar mass has been formed during bursts for $10^{10} M_\odot \leq M_* \leq 10^{11.5} M_\odot$. At higher redshift ($z \gtrsim 4$) this fraction increases up to $\sim 20\%$. To illustrate how the ratio $M_{\text{burst}}(z)/M_*(z)$ varies during the formation of galaxies with different final masses, we also show the growth histories of typical galaxies with final mass: $M_*(z=0) = 10^{12} M_\odot$, $M_*(z=0) = 10^{11} M_\odot$, and $M_*(z=0) = 10^{10} M_\odot$ (red, blue, and purple lines in fig. 7). These paths illustrate that at high redshift ($z \gtrsim 2$) and for massive objects large values of $M_{\text{burst}}(z)/M_*(z)$ are expected, since such massive galaxies formed in biased regions of the density field where high-redshift interactions are
In this section we discuss the origin of the mismatch between the observed SFRs and those expected by various kind of SAMs and hydrodynamical simulations. A similar mismatch between the observed and predicted SFR-M\(_{\ast}\) relations at least by a factor of 2. On the theoretical side, we have investigated whether the mismatch can be ascribed to the implementation of the star formation law usually adopted in SAMs. In this respect, the most critical point is the assumption that the star formation law in eq. (1) is valid at any redshift. To investigate the effect of relaxing this assumption, we have varied the high-redshift star formation timescale (the free parameter \(q\)) so as to obtain star formation efficiencies \(SFR/\nu\) above the local value for \(z \geq 2\). However, even increasing the efficiency up to a factor of 4, we do not find an appreciable up-shift of the model relation almost unchanged.

It is also interesting to examine the impact of other star formation laws on the shape and normalization of the main sequence. This was investigated by Lagos et al. (2011b) by implementing the empirical relation of Blitz & Rosolowsky (2006) and the theoretical model of Krumholz et al. (2009) in the SAMs of Baugh et al. (2005) and Bower et al. (2006). These star formation laws are of the form \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}} = v_{SF}\Sigma_{\text{mol}} = v_{SF}f_{\text{mol}}\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\), where \(\Sigma_{\text{sfr}}\) and \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\) are the surface densities of the SFR and total cold gas mass, \(v_{SF}\) is the inverse of the star formation timescale, and \(f_{\text{mol}} = \Sigma_{\text{mol}}/\Sigma_{\text{gas}}\) is the molecular-to-total gas mass surface density ratio which depends on the hydrostatic gas pressure (Blitz & Rosolowsky 2006). or on the balance between the dissociation of molecules due to the interstellar medium, appears to be higher than the integrated SFRD, after considering the gas recycled fraction into the interstellar medium. A. Lamastra, N. Menci, F. Fiore, P. Santini: The interaction-driven model for starbursts.
stellar far-UV radiation and their formation on the surface of dust grain (Krumholz et al. 2009). They found that the main sequence appears insensitive to the star formation law. Thus, tuning the quiescent star formation law does not seem to provide a solution to the mismatch between the normalization of the predicted and observed galaxy main sequence. It is however possible that other ways of modelling starbursts, e.g. starburst driven by disk instabilities (e.g. Bower et al. 2006; Lagos et al. 2011b; Guo et al. 2011; Fandakis et al. 2012; Hirschmann et al. 2012), could have an impact on the shape and normalization of the main sequence (see Lagos et al. 2011b). While the latter constitutes an interesting complement to the merger-driven starbursts, its consistence with the observed fraction of starbursts is still to be investigated.

An alternative possibility is that the cold gas fractions predicted by the models at \( z \sim 2 \) underestimates the real values, due to a too early conversion of cold gas into stars at earlier cosmic times. However, limiting the cooling and star formation efficiency at high redshifts is an extremely challenging task in the hierarchical clustering scenarios. These predict the high redshifts progenitor galaxies to be characterized by low virial temperature and high densities, making the gas cooling extremely efficient at high redshifts \( z \geq 3 \); the short dynamical timescales and the frequent merging events rapidly convert such available cold gas into stars, leaving only a residual fraction of galactic baryons in the form of cooled gas available for star formation at \( z \approx 2 \). Although such an over-cooling problem (already pointed out in the seminal paper by White & Rees 1978) is alleviated by stellar feedback expelling part of the cold gas from the starforming galactic regions, the efficiency of the latter process is severely limited at high redshifts due to the compactness of dark matter haloes resulting in large escape velocities. In fact, such a long-standing problem of the hierarchical scenario of galaxy formation leads to the over-prediction of low-mass galaxies at \( z \geq 1.5 \), as shown by the comparison of our and other SAMs with the observed stellar mass function (Fontanot et al. 2006; Fontanot et al. 2009; Marchesini et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2011; Santini et al. 2012). The excess of starforming low-mass galaxies at \( z \geq 1.5 \) reflects into an excess of red low-mass galaxies at \( z \sim 0 \), even when a large feedback is implemented in the models (Guo et al. 2011).

Assessing whether the evolution of the cold gas in galaxies is the key to explain (at least partially) the SAMs under-prediction of SFRDs at \( z \leq 2 \) would require a comparison between the predicted and the observed galaxy cold gas fraction as a function of redshift. The evolution of the cold gas content of galaxies represents also one way of testing time-changing star formation laws, since the latter determine the rate at which gas is converted into stars. An increase in the star formation time scale leads to larger cold gas masses in disks and vice versa (see e.g. Lagos et al. 2011b; Fu et al. 2012). In models where starbursts are triggered by disk instabilities, a longer star formation time scale in disks can lead to lower final gas content in some cases, being the disk more prone to instabilities if the total mass is larger (Lagos et al. 2011b). The predictions for such quantity in our model are shown in figure 8 in terms of the gas fraction parameter \( f_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}} / (M_{\odot} + M_{\star}) \), for model galaxies with SFR\( > 10^{8} \) yr\(^{-1}\) and \( M_{\odot} > 10^{10} \) M\(_{\odot}\), as predictions to be tested with future observations.

It is also to be noted that the offset between the observed and predicted galaxy main sequence can be due not only to an underestimation of the predicted SFRs but also to an overestimation of the predicted stellar masses. A physical process that can reduce the stellar mass of galaxies is the disruption of stellar material from merging satellites due to tidal stripping (e.g. Henriques & Thomas 2010). Evidence for the importance of this process in galaxy formation comes from the existence of a diffuse population of intra-cluster stars (Zwicky, 1951; Durrell et al. 2002). Indeed, gas-dynamical simulations (e.g. Moore et al. 1996) generally agree that these stars have been continually stripped from member galaxies throughout the lifetime of a cluster, or have been ejected into intergalactic space by merging galaxy groups, rather than having formed in the intra-cluster medium.

**Fig. 8.** Gas fraction distributions as a function of redshift of model galaxies with SFR\( > 10^{8} \) yr\(^{-1}\) and \( M_{\odot} > 10^{10} \) M\(_{\odot}\). The filled contours correspond to equally spaced values of the density (per Mpc\(^{3}\)) of galaxies in logarithmic scale: from \( 10^{-3} \) for the lightest filled region to \( 10^{-2.1} \) for the darkest. The plotted value of log \( f_{\text{gas}} \) is the median value for sources in four redshift bins: log \( f_{\text{gas}} = -0.36^{+0.10}_{-0.04} \) (0 < \( z < 0.5 \)); log \( f_{\text{gas}} = -0.22^{+0.06}_{-0.05} \) (0.5 < \( z < 1 \)); log \( f_{\text{gas}} = -0.29^{+0.05}_{-0.05} \) (1 < \( z < 1.5 \)); log \( f_{\text{gas}} = -0.25^{+0.04}_{-0.04} \) (1.5 < \( z < 2.5 \)). The vertical error bars show the interpercentile range containing 68% of the sources.

**5. Conclusions**

We have investigated the relative importance of the quiescent mode and burst mode of star formation in determining the SFR-M\(_{\odot}\) relation and the evolution of the cosmic SFRD, predicted under the assumption that starburst events are triggered by galaxy encounters during their merging histories. The latter are described through Monte Carlo realizations, and are connected to gas processes and star formation using a SAM of galaxy formation in a cosmological framework. The main results of this paper are:
Hierarchical clustering models reproduce the slope and the scatter of the SFR-M relation, however, they under-predict the normalization by a factor of 4-5 at $z \geq 2$ (Daddi et al. 2007; Davé 2008; Fontana et al. 2009; Damen et al. 2009; Santini et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2012). A possible theoretical explanation of this mismatch is that the amount of cold gas in galaxy disks predicted by these models at $z \geq 2$ underestimates the real values. We derive the prediction for the evolution of the gas disk fraction distribution of starforming galaxies, as prediction to be tested with future observations.

The tight correlation between SFR and $M_\star$ of the galaxies on the main sequence is determined by the quiescent component of the star formation. Galaxies dominated by the star formation component induced by galaxy interactions populate the regions well above (major mergers) and below (minor mergers and fly-by events) the sequence.

The predicted SSFR distributions of starforming galaxies indicate that galaxies observationally classified as starburst on the basis of their distance from the main sequence (SSFR$_{SB} > 4 \times$ SSFR$_{MS}$), are indeed dominated by the burst component of the star formation. However, this criterion fails to select part of the burst-dominated starforming galaxies where galaxy interactions do not strongly boost the star formation rate.

Hierarchical clustering scenarios, connecting the properties of galaxies to their merging histories, naturally yield fraction of starburst galaxies at $z \sim 2$ of $\sim$1%, in agreement with the observational estimate (2% [Rodighiero et al. 2011]. This low fraction is due to the fact that in this scenario, the statistic of merging events is dominated by minor episodes which do not produce SSFR above the observational threshold, and to the small probability of finding a galaxy in a starburst phase due to the small value of the duration of the burst ($t \sim 8 \times 10^5$ yrs compared with the typical time scale between galaxy encounters.

Quiescently starforming galaxies dominate the global SFRD at all redshifts. The contribution of the burst-dominated systems increases with redshift, rising from $\lesssim 5\%$ at $z \lesssim 0.1$ to $\sim 20\%$ at $z \gtrsim 5$. This behaviour is determined by the increase of the galaxy interaction rates and of the effectiveness of galaxy interaction in destabilizing the cold gas at high redshift. The fraction of the final ($z = 0$) galaxy stellar mass which is formed through the burst component of star formation is $\sim 10\%$ for $10^{10} M_\odot \lesssim M_\star \lesssim 10^{11.5} M_\odot$.

These findings do not imply that galaxy mergers/interactions play a lesser role in the formation of stars in galaxies. Indeed, for each galaxy the star formation (both the quiescent and burst component) is driven by the cooling rate of the hot gas and by the rate of refueling of the cold gas, which in turn is intimately related to the galaxy merging histories.

We found that the interaction-driven model for starburst galaxies provides strict predictions for the evolution and the mass dependence of the starburst contribution to the cosmic SFRD, which represent effective tools to test this scenario with future observations of large and complete samples of starforming galaxies at $z > 2$. To reach this goal, it is also necessary a reliable observational diagnostic which is able to distinguish between galaxies dominated by the quiescent and burst mode of star formation. The measure of the star formation efficiency and of the IR star formation compactness could represent eligible candidates for this purpose (Daddi et al. 2010; Genzel et al. 2010; Elbaz et al. 2011). The first is based on measurements of the mass and density of molecular gas at high redshift, and on the poor knowledge of the CO luminosity to H$_2$ conversion factor. The technique of separating starbursts and quiescently starforming galaxies based on their IR star formation compactness seems to be promising, since ALMA will provide powerful tools to measure the spatial distribution of star formation in distant galaxies at high angular resolution, making it possible to estimate the compactness of the star formation regions.
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