Mediator Modulates Gli3-Dependent Sonic Hedgehog Signaling
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The physiological and pathological manifestations of Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling arise from the specification of unique transcriptional programs dependent upon key nuclear effectors of the Ci/Gli family of transcription factors. However, the underlying mechanism by which Gli proteins regulate target gene transcription in the nucleus remains poorly understood. Here, we identify and characterize a physical and functional interaction between Gli3 and the MED12 subunit within the RNA polymerase II transcriptional Mediator. We show that Gli3 binds to MED12 and intact Mediator both in vitro and in vivo through a Gli3 transactivation domain (MBD; MED12/Mediator-binding domain) whose activity derives from concerted functional interactions with both Mediator and the histone acetyltransferase CBP. Analysis of MBD truncation mutants revealed an excellent correlation between the in vivo activation strength of an MBD derivative and its ability to bind MED12 and intact Mediator in vitro, indicative of a critical functional interaction between the Gli3 MBD and the MED12 interface in Mediator. Disruption of the Gli3-MED12 interaction through dominant-negative interference inhibited, while RNA interference-mediated MED12 depletion enhanced, both MBD transactivation function and Gli3 target gene induction in response to Shh signaling. We propose that activated Gli3 physically targets the MED12 interface within Mediator in order to functionally reverse Mediator-dependent suppression of Shh target gene transcription. These findings thus link MED12 to the modulation of Gli3-dependent Shh signaling and further implicate Mediator in a broad range of developmental and pathological processes driven by Shh signal transduction.

The proper specification and maintenance of cell fate during embryonic development and postnatal homeostasis are driven by signal transduction pathways that specify unique programs of protein-encoding gene transcription. Among these, the Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway plays a particularly important role in embryonic pattern formation and maintenance of adult stem cell number (27, 32, 90). Accordingly, dysregulated Hh signaling has been linked to a variety of pathologies, including developmental anomalies and cancer (43, 63, 65, 90).

Our current knowledge regarding Hh signaling at the molecular level derives from studies of a broad range of model metazoan organisms, including Drosophila melanogaster, where Hh was first identified as a segment polarity gene product (8, 51, 68, 72, 88, 89). Drosophila Hh occupies a central role in pattern formation of the anterior-posterior (A-P) axis through its ability to modulate the functional status of Cubitus interruptus (Ci), a DNA-binding transcription factor and bifunctional regulator of Hh target gene transcription (1, 3, 4, 8, 13, 23, 26, 51, 58, 64, 89, 95). Because Ci is targeted for Hh-dependent proteolytic cleavage, its functional status in the anterior compartment is determined by a diffusible gradient of Hh concentration that decreases anteriorly from the compartment border. Thus, in anterior cells distant from the A-P border where Hh is low or absent, cleavage of Ci produces a truncated DNA-binding-competent derivative that silences Hh target gene transcription through an N-terminal repression domain (4, 21, 64). By contrast, in anterior cells at the A-P border where Hh is present in high concentrations, suppression of Ci cleavage leads to accumulation of full-length Ci that stimulates border-specific gene transcription through a C-terminal transactivation domain (4, 21, 64, 98). In this manner, a morphogenetic gradient of Hh controls the pattern of expressed genes that function in turn to specify cell fate.

The intracellular signaling events leading to Hh-induced suppression of Ci cleavage, while not fully clarified, have nonetheless been deciphered in considerable detail. The active signaling form of Hh corresponds to a 19-kDa dually lipidated species derived from autocatalytic processing of the full-length Hh translation product (60). This Hh derivative binds to the 12-pass transmembrane protein Patched (Ptc), thereby precluding Ptc-mediated inhibition of Smoothened (Smo), a seven-pass transmembrane protein with distant homology to G-protein-coupled receptors (15, 24, 41, 61, 85, 91). Activated Smo in turn promotes the accumulation and activation of latent full-length Ci in the cytoplasm through a complex series of events including suppression of Ci repressor processing and possible posttranslational modification (38, 40, 57, 73). Ultimately, full-length Ci activator translocates into the nucleus, whereupon it binds to and stimulates Hh target genes that function further in cell fate specification.

Fundamental aspects of invertebrate Hh signaling have been conserved in vertebrates, and the enhanced complexity of the latter is generally reflected in a combinatorial expansion in the number of Hh pathway components. Thus, mammals encode three distinct Hh family members (Sonic [Shh], Indian [Ihh], and Desert [Dhh]), two Ptc homologues (Ptc1 and Ptc2),...
and three Ci-related transcription factors (Gli1, Gli2, and Gli3) (12, 22, 25, 70, 81, 85). Among the mammalian Hh protein family, Shh is the best characterized and functions to regulate cell fate specification, proliferation, and/or differentiation in a wide variety of target tissues and organ systems. For example, Shh controls dorsoventral patterning of the neural tube and the somites, anteroposterior patterning of the limb buds, and morphogenesis of the hindgut (32, 71). As obligate effectors of Shh signaling, the three Gli proteins possess distinct transcriptional properties. Whereas Gli1 functions primarily as an activator, Gli2 and Gli3 more closely resemble Ci structurally and functionally as bipartite transcriptional regulators of Shh target genes (6, 18, 20, 29, 30, 50, 54, 62, 69, 75, 80, 82). With specific respect to Gli3, Shh suppresses the proteolytic production of a Gli3 repressor, thereby promoting the accumulation of full-length Gli3 with activator potential (18, 55, 96). While the Gli3 repressor has clearly been shown to play a broad and essential role in antagonizing Shh activity in a variety of tissues, the relative importance of the Gli3 activator as an effector of Shh signaling has been more controversial (29, 54, 55, 79, 93, 99). However, recent gene targeting studies of mice have clearly established the importance of a Gli3 activator function in proper patterning of the ventral spinal cord (7, 53). In addition, a Gli3 activator function has been implicated in both sclerotome formation and regulation of gastric glandular growth in mice (10, 45).

Reflective of its role as an effector of Shh signaling, mutations and translocations involving the Gli3 locus cause a variety of developmental disorders, including Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome and Pallister-Hall syndrome (39, 42). Mutations associated with these polysyndactyly disorders are predicted to affect the repressor and/or the activator functions of Gli3. Surprisingly, little is currently known regarding the mechanism by which Gli3 functions as a bipartite regulator of gene transcription in the nucleus. However, a more detailed description of the transcriptional regulatory properties of Gli3 will be essential to fully understand the molecular basis for its important roles in development and disease.

With respect to the activation function of Gli3, previous studies have revealed a physical and functional interaction between mammalian Gli3 and the histone acetyltransferase CBP (18). The observation that the CBP-binding domain on Gli3 (CBD; amino acids 827 to 1132 of the 1,596-residue protein) can function as an independent transactivation domain supports the idea that CBP is a transcriptional coactivator of Gli3 (18). However, more recent studies have identified Gli3 sequences outside the CBD with autonomous transactivation function, suggesting the involvement of additional unidentified activities in Gli3-directed transcription (42).

In this regard, recent genetic studies of Drosophila have revealed that mutations in Mediator subunits MED12 and MED13 variably affect developmental pathways regulated by Hh signaling. In the eye disc, loss of MED12 or MED13 results in diminished expression of the Hh target genes decapentaplegic (dpp) and atonal (ato) in the morphogenetic furrow and persistent expression of these genes posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, where cells are normally unresponsive to Hh (94). As a result, eye disc cells mutant for either MED12 or MED13 fail to differentiate (94). In the wing disc, loss of MED12 or MED13 results in migration of anterior cells into the posterior compartment at the A-P border, suggesting a requirement for MED12 and MED13 for the expression of cell adhesion molecules normally activated by Ci (36). However, whether these effects reflect a direct or indirect role for Mediator in Ci target gene transcription has heretofore remained unknown.

Mediator is an evolutionarily conserved multiprotein interface between gene-specific transcription factors and the RNA polymerase II general transcription machinery (16, 47, 59, 86). In this capacity, Mediator serves to promote the assembly, activation, and regeneration of transcription complexes on core promoters during the initiation and reinitiation phases of transcription (5, 11, 34, 48, 56, 66, 76, 77, 97, 103). Because of its direct association with both signal-activated transcription factors and the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery, Mediator has been proposed to function as a general conduit and integrator of regulatory signals that converge on the promoters of protein-encoding genes (100). Consistent with this idea, several Mediator subunits are functionally required for activated transcription in response to diverse cell signaling pathways. These include MED1 for nuclear receptor, MED14 for gamma interferon, MED23 for RAS/mitogen-activated protein kinase, and MED15 for transforming growth factor beta signaling pathways (9, 44, 49, 84, 102).

To clarify the role of Mediator in transcription control, we undertook a broad-base yeast two-hybrid screening approach to identify interaction partners for different human Mediator subunits. Among MED12-interacting proteins, we identified human Gli3. Herein, we document a direct physical and functional interaction between Gli3 and the MED12 subunit in Mediator. We show that Gli3 binds to isolated MED12 and intact Mediator both in vitro and in vivo through a novel transactivation domain (MBD; MED12/Mediator-binding domain) whose activity derives from concerted functional interactions with both Mediator and CBP. Analysis of MBD truncation mutants revealed an excellent correlation between the in vivo activation strength of an MBD derivative and its ability to bind MED12 and intact with Mediator in vitro, indicative of a critical functional interaction between the Gli3 MBD and the MED12 interface in Mediator. Disruption of the Gli3-MED3 MED12 interaction through dominant-negative interference inhibited while MED12 depletion enhanced both MBD transactivation activity and Gli3-dependent gene induction in response to Shh signaling. We propose that activated Gli3 physically targets the MED12 interface within Mediator in order to functionally reverse Mediator-dependent suppression of Shh target gene transcription. These findings thus link MED12 to the modulation of Gli3-dependent Shh signaling and suggest that MED12 could be important to ensure an appropriate transcriptional response to a graded Shh signal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast two-hybrid screening. Yeast two-hybrid screening was performed following the manufacturer’s recommended procedures for the Matchmaker GAL4 Two-Hybrid System 3 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). Briefly, a human MED12 cDNA fragment encoding amino acids 1750 to 2212 was expressed as a GAL4 DNA-binding domain fusion protein from the bait plasmid pGBKT7. For screening purposes, the MED12 bait plasmid was cotransformed into the Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain AH109 along with a library of human embryonic brain cDNAs, expressed from the prey plasmid pACT2 as GAL4 activation domain fusion proteins (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). After two successive rounds of
E. coli (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-D-galactosidase) plates, positive clones were inoculated into selective yeast culture medium, and plasmid DNA purified from cultures was transformed into Escherichia coli to amplify the isolated prey plasmids under ampiclon selection. Individual prey plasmids were subsequently cotransformed along with the MED12 bait plasmid back into AH109 yeast cells to confirm their positive status by selection on Leu-Trp–His– Ade–X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-D-galactosidase) plates. Subsequently, positive prey plasmids were sequenced and the BLAST (Genbank) alignment program was used to determine protein similarity indices.

**Expression and reporter plasmids.** A detailed description of all expression and reporter plasmids used in this study will be furnished upon request. Full-length MED12 and truncation fragments thereof used in glutathione S-transferase (GST) pull-down experiments have been described previously (46) and were expressed by coupled in vitro transcription-translation reactions (TNT SP6/T7 Quickcoupled transcription-translation system; Promega, Madison, WI). Human Gli3 cDNA sequences encoding Gli3 amino acids 1006 to 1596, 1046 to 1596, 1090 to 1596, 1006 to 1376, and 1006 to 1260 were cloned by PCR-based methods into the E. coli expression plasmid pGEX-4P-1 for production of GST fusion proteins used in GST pull-down experiments or mammalian expression plasmid pM1 for production of GALA fusion proteins used in transient reporter-based transcription assays. Plasmid pact-FLAG-Gli3 for mammalian expression of FLAG epitope-tagged human Gli3 has been described previously (18). Plasmid pCS2+E1A-12S for mammalian expression of the adenovirus 12S E1A protein was generated by PCR-based subcloning of the adenovirus 12S cDNA into pCS2+. Plasmid pReRSV-CBP for mammalian expression of human CBP was a kind gift of Paul M. Lieberman (The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA). Plasmids pCMV-GALA–Gli-β-Cat for mammalian expression of a GALA DNA-binding domain–human β-catenin chimera and pGEX4T-1 for bacterial expression of a GST–β-catenin activation domain chimera were generous gifts of Andreas Hecht (Universitaet Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany). The pG4E1A and pG4A–Vp16 expression plasmids as well as the pG4E1B-Luc reporter plasmid used in transient reporter-based transcription assays were a kind gift of Arnold J. Berk (University of California, Los Angeles). Reporter plasmid 8XGli-BS luciferase bearing eight copies of a Gli-binding site derived from the murine Gli-1 and LRP5 promoters for reporter assay of basal Gli transcription activity was a generous gift of Hiroshi Nozaki (University of California, Los Angeles, Japan). Plasmid pJT4-Shh for mammalian expression of Shh has been described previously (82).

**Cell culture.** HeLa human cervical carcinoma, 293 human embryonic kidney, and CH310T1/2 mouse fibroblast cell lines were routinely cultured at 37°C and 10% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen/Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT) and penicillin-streptomycin–tetracycline (Invitrogen/Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, MD).

**GST pull-down assays.** GST–Gli3 and GST–β-Cat derivatives were expressed in E. coli strain BL21 CodonPlus (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), and soluble lysates were prepared in Lysis 250 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitors (20 μg/ml antipain, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 2 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μg/ml pepstatin, 0.2% NP-40). Bead-immobilized GST–Gli3 derivatives were washed once with 0.1 M KCl D buffer (0.2% NP-40). Bead-immobilized GST–Gli3 derivatives were washed three times with the same buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with Laemmli sample buffer, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and either visualized by silver staining or detected by immunoblot analysis using CBP-specific and Mediator subunit-specific antibodies.

**CBP, purified Mediator, or both were incubated with immobilized GST derivatives in 0.15 M KCl D buffer (0.1% NP-40) for 2 h at 4°C and washed three times with the same buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with Laemmli sample buffer, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and either visualized by silver staining or detected by immunoblot analysis using CBP-specific and Mediator subunit-specific antibodies.

**Recombinant full-length CBP was expressed in and purified from Sf9 insect cells as described previously (14). Mediator purification from a HeLa/S3-derived clonal cell line expressing a hemagglutinin epitope-tagged MED6 Mediator subunit has been described previously (46).**

**Transfections, reporter assays, and RNAi.** HeLa or CH310T1/2 cells were seeded into 12-well cell culture plates 24 h prior to transfection. Cells (50 to 60% confluence) were transfected with reporter, activator, and effector plasmids as indicated in the figure legends using Fugene 6 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) following the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h posttransfection, transfected cells were harvested and assayed for luciferase (Promega, Madison, WI) and β-galactosidase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) activities as described previously (92). All transfections were performed a minimum of three times in duplicate. For coupled transient reporter/RNA interference (RNAi) experiments in HeLa cells, cells were transfected with 20 nM CBP-specific (sc-29244; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), MED12-specific (M-009902-00), MED23-specific (M-013220-00), or control (D-001210-01-05) siRNAs (Dharmacon, Chicago, IL) using TransIT-SiQuest transfection reagent (Mirus Bio Corporation, Madison, WI) 48 h prior to transfection with reporter and activator plasmids using Fugene 6 as described above. Reporter assays were performed 36 h posttransfection as described above.

**For coupled transient reporter/RNAi experiments in CH310T1/2 cells, cells were co-transfected with 2.2 μM Gli3-specific (M-045779-00), MED12-specific (M-048744-00), or control (D-001210-01-20) siRNAs (Dharmacon, Chicago, IL) using a Nucleofector II instrument and Nucleofector Kit R (Amaxa Biosystems, Koeln, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Electroporated cells were seeded at 1.5 × 105 cells/well in 12-well cell culture plates 24 h prior to transfection with the Shh-β-CAT luciferase reporter plasmid (0.4 μg) and the internal control p-ac521-luciferase expression plasmid (0.1 μg) using Fugene 6 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Twenty-four hours following plasmid transfection, all transfected cell culture medium was replaced with control or Shh-conditioned medium, and cells were cultured for an additional 24 h to prior cell harvest and assay of reporter gene activity as described above.

**Antibodies.** Antibodies used for immunoblot analyses correspond to the following: MED1 (sc-9898), MED6 (sc-9433), MED12 (sc-5372), CDK5 (sc-13155, sc-1521), CBP (sc-369), and GALA (sc-510) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); MED23 (55175) was purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). Purified rabbit antibody raised against murine Gli3 was a generous gift from Susan Mackem (Laboratory of Pathology, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD). MED14 antibody was a kind gift from Michael J. Garabedian (New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY). Antibody used for standard immunoprecipitation analysis corresponds to the monoclonal antibody 4E1B (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). Antibody used for ChIP analysis correspond to the following: Gli3 (sc-20688) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); CDK9 (RB-018-P1) was purchased from Lab Vision Corporation (Fremont, CA).

**Immunoprecipitation assays.** HeLa cells transfected with pact-FLAG-Gli3 (6 μg) were harvested at 48 h and nuclear extracts prepared as described previously (22). Nuclear extract (1 mg; adjusted to 0.1 M KCl and 0.2% NP-40) was incubated for 12 h at 4°C with 10 μg of either CDK5-specific goat polyclonal antibodies or goat immunoglobulin G (IgG) as a negative control. Following addition of protein A-Sepharose beads (20 μl) and incubation for 2 h at 4°C, immune complexes were washed five times for 5 min each at 4°C with 0.1 M KCl D buffer (0.2% NP-40). Immunoprecipitated proteins were subsequently eluted with Laemmli sample buffer, resolved by SDS-10% PAGE, and processed by immunoblot analysis for the presence of individual Mediator subunits and FLAG epitope-tagged Gli3.

**Shh-conditioned medium production.** Shh-conditioned medium was prepared as described previously (74). Briefly, 293 cells were transfected with the empty pT4 expression plasmid or the pT4-shh expression plasmid using Fugene 6 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Seventy-two hours posttransfection, control-conditioned and Shh-conditioned media were collected, filtered through 0.2-μm cell culture filter units, and stored at −80°C.

**Shh signaling assays and quantitative real-time RT-PCR.** CH310T1/2 cells (50 to 60% confluence) were either untransfected or transfected with 100 nM Gli3-specific (M-045798-00), MED12-specific (M-048744-00), or control (D-001210-01-20) siRNAs (Dharmacon, Chicago, IL) using Oligofectamine transfection reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). At 48 h posttransfection, transfected cell
culture medium was replaced with control-conditioned or Shh-conditioned medium, and cells were cultured for an additional 24 h prior to harvest and isolation of RNA using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RNA was reverse transcribed using oligo(dT) and Superscript III (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following standard procedures and used in quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR). Primers used for quantitative real-time PCR were as follows: Gli1 (5'-GAG CCC TTC TTT AGG ATT CCC A-3' and 5'-ACC CCG AGT AGA GTC ATG TGG-3'), cyclin D1 (5'-TTG TGC ATC TAC ACT GAC AAC TC-3' and 5'-AGG GTG GGT TGG AAA TGA ACT-3'), and β-actin (5'-CAA AGA CCT GTA CGC CAA CAC AGT-3' and 5'-ACT CCT GCT TGC TGA TCC ACA TCT-3'). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using an Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and Absolute SYBR Green ROX Mix (ABgene, Epsom, United Kingdom). Gli1 and cyclin D1 RNA levels were normalized to β-actin levels.

ChIP assays. CH310T1/2 cells cultured for 6 h with control or Shh-conditioned medium were treated with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Cross-linking reactions were quenched with glycine, and soluble chromatin was obtained by sonication of pellet cells in lysis buffer (50 mM PIPES [piperazine-N,N'-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid)], pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl, 1% NP-40) prior to processing as described previously (28). DNA isolated with a QIAquick PCR purification kit was subsequently used in amplification reactions using primers specific for the Gli-binding region within the Gli1 gene (18).

RESULTS

Gli3 binds directly to MED12 both in vitro and vivo. To identify MED12-interacting proteins, we used the MED12 C terminus (amino acids 1750 to 2212) as bait to screen a human fetal brain cDNA library by the yeast two-hybrid assay (Fig. 1A). This region of MED12 encompasses part of a PQL domain rich in Pro, Gln, and Leu residues and a Gln-rich OPA domain. From among ~2 × 10^6 independent clones screened, we identified a partial cDNA for Gli3 (encoding amino acids 1006 to 1596; Fig. 1B).

To confirm the physical interaction between MED12 and Gli3 and also to map the Gli3-binding domain on MED12, we expressed Gli3 amino acids 1006 to 1596 as a GST fusion
protein and tested the ability of GST–Gli3 1006–1596 to bind to various MED12 fragments produced by transcription and translation in vitro (Fig. 1A). GST–Gli3 1006–1596 bound strongly to full-length MED12 and the MED12 PQL domain (amino acids 1651 to 2086) but failed to bind to MED12 fragments corresponding to the N terminus, an internal region, and the OPA domain at the C terminus (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, GST–Gli3 1006–1596 bound only weakly to the MED12 yeast two-hybrid bait fragment (amino acids 1750 to 2212), thus indicating that the amino-terminal region of the PQL domain is particularly important for the interaction between MED12 and Gli3 (Fig. 1C). Apparently, the weak interaction between Gli3 and the MED12 bait fragment was nonetheless sufficient to support yeast colony outgrowth in the two-hybrid assay. In fact, we confirmed by quantitative assays that GST–Gli3 1006–1596 and the MED12 bait fragment interact weakly, but specifically, in yeast (data not shown). In summary, the Gli3-binding domain on MED12 corresponds to the MED12 PQL domain (amino acids 1651 to 2086).

To reciprocally map the MED12-binding domain on Gli3, we screened a panel of GST–Gli3 1006–1596 amino- and carboxyl-terminal truncation derivatives (Fig. 1B) for their respective abilities to bind to full-length MED12 produced by transcription and translation in vitro. Analysis of amino-terminal truncation derivatives revealed comparably strong MED12 binding to GST–Gli3 1006–1596 and its derivatives 1046–1596 and 1090–1596 and a significant reduction in MED12 binding to GST–Gli3 1132–1596 (Fig. 1D). Analysis of GST–Gli3 1006–1596 carboxyl-terminal truncation derivatives revealed a significant loss of MED12 binding to derivatives 1006–1376 and 1006–1260 (Fig. 1D). Therefore, the MED12-binding domain on Gli3 corresponds to amino acids 1090 to 1596. Although this domain partially overlaps the previously identified Gli3 CBD (amino acids 827 to 1132) (18), MED12 does not bind to the CBD (Fig. 1D). Significantly, the MED12-binding domain on Gli3 corresponds almost perfectly to a region (amino acids 1044 to 1580) shown previously to harbor autonomous transactivation function (42), raising the possibility that Mediator, through its MED12 subunit, might represent a functionally important target of Gli3.

**Gli3 binds directly to intact Mediator both in vitro and in vivo.** Because Gli3 sequence 1006 to 1596 interacted specifically with MED12 by yeast two-hybrid and GST pull-down assays, we next asked whether GST–Gli3 1006–1596 could pull down intact Mediator present in a HeLa cell nuclear extract. A GST–β-catenin activation domain fusion protein was included as a positive control for these experiments, since we recently documented a direct physical and functional interaction between the β-catenin activation domain and the Mediator interface in Mediator (46). Similarly to GST–β-catenin, GST–Gli3 1006–1596, but not GST alone, bound intact Mediator, as revealed by the presence in pull-down eluates of individual Mediator subunits MED12, MED23, CDK8, and MED6 (Fig. 2A). To identify the minimal Mediator-binding domain on Gli3, we also examined the same panel of Gli3 1006–1596 amino- and carboxyl-terminal truncation derivatives that were tested for MED12 binding for their respective abilities to bind to intact Mediator. In general, we observed an excellent correlation between the ability of a particular Gli3 truncation derivative to bind to isolated MED12 and intact Mediator. Thus, GST–Gli3 1006–1596 and its amino-terminal truncation derivatives 1046–1596 and 1090–1596 all bound intact Mediator strongly, while GST–Gli3 1132–1596 did not (Fig. 2A).

Interestingly, the level of Mediator binding was significantly enhanced by incremental truncation of Gli3 sequences between 1006 and 1090, although the basis for this effect is presently unknown. Analysis of GST–Gli3 1006–1596 carboxyl-terminal truncation derivatives revealed a significant reduction in Mediator binding to GST–Gli3 derivatives 1006–1376 and 1006–1260 (Fig. 2A). Similarly to MED12, intact Mediator did not bind to the Gli3 CBD (Fig. 2A). Therefore, the Mediator-binding domain on Gli3 coincides with the MED12-binding domain and corresponds to amino acids 1090 to 1596. We hereafter refer to this region on Gli3 as the MBD.

To determine if Gli3 binds Mediator directly, we examined the ability of GST–Gli3 MBD to bind Mediator purified from a HeLa/S3-derived cell line expressing a hemagglutinin epitope-tagged MED6 Mediator subunit (46). This analysis revealed that GST–Gli3 MBD bound specifically and efficiently to intact purified Mediator, demonstrating that the interaction between Gli3 and Mediator is indeed direct (Fig. 2B).

To determine if the Gli3 MBD binds Mediator exclusively through its MED12 interface, we examined the ability of GST–Gli3 MBD to bind Mediator present in nuclear extracts derived from HeLa cells transfected with control or MED12-specific siRNA. MED12 knockdown (≥80%) was accompanied by a corresponding reduction (≥65%) in the steady-state level of CDK8 protein (Fig. 2C). This result is consistent with our recent finding that RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion in HeLa cells led to a corresponding reduction in the steady-state levels of CDK8 and CycC proteins as well as their stable incorporation into Mediator and further suggests that MED12 is critical to ensure the integrity of a MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module (46). Surprisingly, despite significant depletion of the MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module in MED12 siRNA-transfected cell extracts, GST–Gli3 MBD nonetheless still bound Mediator, as revealed by the presence in pull-down eluates of individual Mediator subunits MED1, MED14, and MED23 (Fig. 2C, lane 4). This observation suggests that the Gli3 MBD interacts with Mediator through at least two different subunits, MED12 within the MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module and an unidentified subunit(s) within the core Mediator lacking this module. Notably, the Gli3 MBD bound MED12-deficient Mediator with apparently lower affinity than MED12-proficient Mediator, since reduced levels of MED1, MED14, and MED23 were recovered from GST-MBD matrices loaded with MED12 knockdown versus control extracts (Fig. 2C; compare lanes 3 and 4). Thus, both MED12 and the unidentified MBD target subunit(s) within core Mediator apparently contribute to maximal binding between Mediator and the Gli3 MBD.

To examine the physical interaction between Gli3 and Mediator in vivo, we employed coimmunoprecipitation analysis using nuclear extracts from HeLa cells transfected with FLAG epitope-tagged Gli3. Immunoprecipitation of intact Mediator with CDK8-specific antibodies resulted in the specific and efficient coimmunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged Gli3, confirming an association between Gli3 and MED12-proficient Mediator in mammalian cells (Fig. 2D).

**The Gli3 MBD is a potent transactivation domain.** Because Gli3 bound directly to isolated MED12 and intact Mediator,
we considered it likely that the Gli3 MBD might represent a functional transactivation domain within Gli3. To test this possibility, we expressed the Gli3 MBD as a GAL4 DNA-binding domain fusion protein in HeLa cells and tested the GAL4-MBD chimera for its ability to activate transcription from a GAL4-responsive reporter plasmid. We also tested a subset of the Gli3 1006–1596 amino- and carboxyl-terminal truncation derivatives used in the MED12/Mediator binding experiments for their respective transactivation activities when expressed as GAL4 derivatives (Fig. 3A).

Several observations can be made from these functional analyses. First, the Gli3 MBD is a potent transactivator in vivo, with an activity at least 2 orders of magnitude stronger than that of the Gli3 CBD that has previously been shown to function as a physiologically relevant Gli3 transactivation domain (Fig. 3B) (18). Second, an excellent correlation exists between the ability of a particular Gli3 truncation derivative to bind MED12 and intact Mediator in vitro and its ability to function as a potent transactivation domain in vivo. Thus, Gli3 1006–1596 and the Gli3 MBD (1090 to 1596) are both potent transactivation domains in vivo (Fig. 3B) and also bind strongly to MED12 and Mediator in vitro, with the MBD stronger in all respects (Fig. 1D and 2A). By contrast, Gli3 amino-terminal truncation derivative 1132–1596 was significantly reduced in its transactivation capacity in vivo (Fig. 3B) and binds MED12 and Mediator comparatively poorly in vitro (Fig. 1D and 2A).
Finally, the Gli3 CBD (827 to 1132) was the weakest transactivator in vivo (Fig. 3B) and did not bind MED12 or Mediator to an appreciable extent in vitro (Fig. 1D and 2A). In summary, Gli3 truncation derivatives within the MBD exhibit the same rank order and relative strength with respect to MED12/Mediator binding activity in vitro and transactivation function in vivo. This observation supports the notion that Mediator, through its MED12 subunit, might represent a functionally important target of Gli3.

The Gli3 MBD interacts functionally with both Mediator and CBP. Should Gli3 target Mediator for a functional interaction through its MED12 interface, the Gli3-binding domain on MED12 (PQL domain) might be expected to inhibit the transactivation function of the Gli3 MBD through dominant-negative interference. To test this possibility, we examined in HeLa cells the effect of MED12-PQL expression on the transactivation function of GAL4-MBD. This analysis revealed that ectopic expression of the MED12-PQL domain, but not the neighboring MED12-OPA domain to which the Gli3 MBD does not bind, inhibited the transcriptional activity of the MBD in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4A). By contrast, the MED12-PQL domain had no effect on the activity of the Gli3 CBD, which binds to CBP, but not to MED12 or Mediator (Fig. 4A). Because the Gli3 MBD partially overlaps the CBD, we also examined a possible CBP requirement for MBD function. To this end, we examined the influence of adenovirus 12S E1A, a functional inhibitor of CBP, on MBD transactivation activity. As expected, ectopic expression of 12S E1A inhibited the transactivation function of GAL4-CBD (Fig. 4B). Strikingly, however, 12S E1A also proved to be a potent dose-dependent inhibitor of GAL4-MBD-directed transactivation, thus implicating CBP in Gli3 MBD function (Fig. 4B). Consistent with this possibility, ectopic overexpression of CBP stimulated, while RNAi-mediated CBP depletion inhibited, Gli3 MBD transactivation activity (Fig. 4C and D). Taken together, these findings reveal the Gli3 MBD to be a composite transactivation domain whose activity likely derives from concerted functional interactions with both Mediator and CBP.

To explore a possible physical basis to explain the functional requirement for both Mediator and CBP in MBD-directed transactivation, we examined the ability of CBP to bind to the Gli3 MBD as a function of Mediator using highly purified proteins. This analysis revealed that purified recombinant CBP bound to GST-Gli3 MBD efficiently and comparably in the
absence and presence of purified Mediator (Fig. 5). Collectively, these results reveal the Gli3 MBD to be a platform for the independent physical and functional association of CBP and Mediator.

**MED12 negatively modulates Gli3 MBD activation domain function.** The observation that the Gli3-binding domain on MED12 (PQL domain) is a dominant-negative inhibitor of the Gli3 MBD suggests that MED12 is likely to be an important functional target of Gli3 within Mediator. To more rigorously examine the functional interaction between the Gli3 MBD and the MED12 interface in Mediator, we monitored the influence of RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion on the transactivation activity of GAL4-MBD. In HeLa cells, MED12-specific siRNA reduced the steady-state level of MED12 protein by \( \geq 60\% \) and, unexpectedly, enhanced MBD transactivation activity by nearly threefold relative to control siRNA (Fig. 6A and B). This surprising observation suggests that MED12 is a negative regulator of Gli3 MBD activation domain function. By contrast, RNAi-mediated MED23 knockdown (\( \geq 85\% \)) had no appreciable effect on Gli3 MBD function, demonstrating the specificity of the effect of MED12 knockdown on Gli3 MBD transactivation activity (Fig. 6A and C). Further specificity of the effect of MED12 knockdown on enhancement of Gli3 MBD activity was revealed by analysis of the influence of

---

**FIG. 4.** The Gli3 MBD interacts functionally with both MED12 and CBP. (A to C) HeLa cells were transfected with expression plasmids for GAL4-Gli3 MBD (G4-MBD) or GAL4-Gli3 CBD (G4-CBD), as indicated, along with the GAL4-responsive luciferase reporter plasmid pG5E1B-Luc and the internal control pβ-galactosidase expression plasmid. Transfections additionally included the indicated nanogram quantities of expression plasmids for the MED12 PQL and OPA domains (A), the adenovirus 12S E1A protein (B), or human CBP (C). Forty-eight hours posttransfection, harvested whole-cell lysates were processed for luciferase and β-galactosidase activities. Luciferase activities were calculated as described in the legend to Fig. 3. For comparative purposes on the same plot, the relative luciferase activity of the MBD or CBD alone was arbitrarily assigned a transactivation level of 100%; their corresponding activities in the presence of increasing amounts of other expression plasmids are expressed relative to this value. (D) Top panel: HeLa cells were transfected with control (siCNTL) or CBP-specific (siCBP) siRNA as indicated 48 h prior to transfection with expression plasmids for GAL4-Gli3 MBD (G4-MBD), the pG5E1B-Luc reporter plasmid, and the internal control pβ-galactosidase expression plasmid. Thirty-six hours following DNA transfections, harvested whole-cell lysates were processed for luciferase and β-galactosidase activities. Relative transactivation levels were calculated as described for panels A to C. Bottom panel: lysates from an aliquot of cells used in a representative transient-transactivation assay were resolved by SDS-10% PAGE and processed by immunoblot analysis with the indicated antibodies specific for CBP or the p89 subunit of transcription factor IIH (TFIIH), the latter of which served as a loading control.
MED12 knockdown on the activity of the β-catenin transactivation domain. In this case, RNAi-mediated MED12 knockdown (≥60%) inhibited β-catenin transactivation activity by ~5-fold (Fig. 6A and B), consistent with our recent finding that MED12 is a direct physical and functional target of the β-catenin transactivation domain (46). The fact that MED12 depletion has opposing functional consequences for the Gli3 and β-catenin transactivation domains suggests a mechanistic distinction between these two transactivators that share a common target subunit within Mediator.

To confirm the specificity of the effect of MED12 knockdown on both the Gli3 and β-catenin transactivation domains, we also monitored the influence of RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion on the activities of the herpes simplex virus VP16 and adenovirus E1A transactivation domains, whose established targets in Mediator are MED17/25 and MED23, respectively (9, 33, 67, 101). This analysis revealed that MED12 knockdown (≥60%) had no appreciable influence on the activities of either the VP16 or the E1A transactivation domains (Fig. 6A and B). Thus, the influence of MED12 knockdown appears to be restricted functionally to the Gli3 and β-catenin transactivation domains, both of which physically target Mediator for direct interaction through its MED12 interface.

MED12 negatively modulates Gli3-dependent Shh signal transduction. To characterize the functional interaction between Gli3 and MED12 during Shh signal transduction, we initially established an assay for Gli3-dependent stimulation of a Gli-binding site reporter plasmid in response to Shh signaling. Accordingly, murine CH310T1/2 cells were transfected with a Gli-responsive luciferase reporter plasmid (8X3'Gli-BS Luc) bearing eight copies of a Gli-binding site derived from the...
murine HNF3β floor plate enhancer (82), and 24 h later transfected cells were treated with conditioned medium from control (−Shh) or Shh-expressing (+Shh) 293 cells as indicated for an additional 24 h prior to processing of harvested whole-cell lysates for luciferase and ß-galactosidase activities. Luciferase activities were normalized against ß-galactosidase values and expressed relative to the level of luciferase activity obtained in transfected cells treated with control-conditioned medium, which was arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. Thus, in CH310T1/2 cells, the 8X3 Gli-BS Luc reporter gene is induced by Shh in a Gli3-dependent manner. Next, we monitored the influence of MED12 PQL expression and MED12 knockdown on Gli3-dependent reporter gene activity in response to Shh signaling. Reporter gene activity induced by Shh was largely abrogated by ectopic expression of the MED12 PQL domain (Fig. 7B) but enhanced over threefold by RNAi-mediated MED12 knockdown (≥65%) (Fig. 7C and D). These results are congruent with our earlier finding that MED12 suppresses the transactivation function of the isolated MBD and further

FIG. 7. Functional interaction between Gli3 and MED12 during induction of an episomal Shh-responsive reporter gene. (A) CH310T1/2 cells were transfected with the 8X3 Gli-BS Luc reporter plasmid bearing eight copies of a Gli-binding site from the murine HNF3β floor plate enhancer along with the internal control pact-ß-galactosidase expression plasmid. Twenty-four hours posttransfection, cells were treated with conditioned medium from control (−Shh) or Shh-expressing (+Shh) 293 cells as indicated for an additional 24 h prior to processing of harvested whole-cell lysates for luciferase and ß-galactosidase activities. Luciferase activities were normalized against ß-galactosidase values and expressed relative to the level of luciferase activity obtained in transfected cells treated with control-conditioned medium, which was arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. (B) CH310T1/2 cells were transfected with the 8X3 Gli-BS Luc reporter plasmid and the internal control pact-ß-galactosidase expression plasmid without or with the indicated nanogram quantities of expression plasmids for the MED12 PQL and OPA domains. Twenty-four hours posttransfection, cells were treated with conditioned medium from control or Shh-expressing 293 cells for an additional 24 h prior to processing of harvested whole-cell lysates for luciferase and ß-galactosidase activities. Relative luciferase activities were calculated as described for panel A. For comparative purposes on the same plot, the level of Shh-induced reporter gene activity obtained in the absence of cotransfected PQL or OPA expression plasmids was arbitrarily assigned a value of 100%; corresponding induction levels in the presence of increasing amounts of the PQL or OPA expression plasmids are expressed relative to this value. (C) CH310T1/2 cells were electroporated with control (siCNTL), Gli3-specific (siGli3), or MED12-specific (siMED12) siRNA as indicated 24 h prior to transfection with the 8X3 Gli-BS Luc reporter plasmid and the internal control pact-ß-galactosidase expression plasmid. Twenty-four hours following DNA transfections, cells were treated with conditioned medium from control or Shh-expressing 293 cells for an additional 24 h prior to processing of harvested whole-cell lysates for luciferase and ß-galactosidase activities. Relative luciferase activities were calculated as described for panel A. For comparative purposes on the same plot, the level of Shh-induced reporter gene activity obtained in control siRNA-transfected cells was arbitrarily assigned a value of 100%; corresponding induction levels in Gli3-specific and MED12-specific siRNA-transfected cells are expressed relative to this value. (D) Cell lysates from a representative transient transactivation assay in panel C were resolved by SDS-10% PAGE and processed by immunoblot analysis with the indicated antibodies specific for MED12, Gli3, or the p89 subunit of TFIIH, the last of which served as a loading control.
support the notion that MED12 is a negative regulator of Shh-induced Gli3 activator function.

To examine the functional interaction between Gli3 and MED12 under more biologically relevant conditions, we monitored the influence of MED12 PQL expression and MED12 knockdown on Gli3-dependent activation of endogenous Gli3 target genes in response to Shh signaling. For these experiments, we monitored expression of the Gli1 and cyclin D1 genes, both of which have been reported to be direct transcriptional targets of Gli3 (18, 28). Treatment of CH310T1/2 cells for 24 hours with conditioned medium from Shh-expressing 293 cells, but not control 293 cells, induced Gli1 and cyclin D1
mRNAs by ~6-fold and ~4.5-fold, respectively, as revealed by quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 8A). Induction of both Gli1 and cyclin D1 was completely blocked by cyclopamine, a pharmacological inhibitor of Smo (17, 31, 91), thus confirming that Gli1 and cyclin D1 activation in this system derives from Shh signal transduction (Fig. 8A). Furthermore, RNAi-mediated Gli3 knockdown (≥70%) reduced Gli1 and cyclin D1 induction by 50% and 60%, respectively, thereby implicating Gli3 in the activation of Gli1 and cyclin D1 gene transcription in response to Shh signaling (Fig. 8B).

Having thus established that Gli1 and cyclin D1 gene transcription in CH310T1/2 cells is induced by Shh in a Gli3-dependent manner, we next examined the role of MED12 in this process. Ectopic expression of the MED12 PQL domain inhibited Gli3-dependent induction of Gli1 in response to Shh by ~70% (Fig. 8C). On the other hand, RNAi-mediated MED12 knockdown (≥60%) enhanced Shh-mediated Gli3-dependent induction of Gli1 and cyclin D1 by ~3-fold and ~2-fold, respectively (Fig. 8D). In terms of actual induction levels, Shh induced Gli1 and cyclin D1 expression by an average of ~8-fold and ~3-fold, respectively, in control siRNA-treated cells and by an average of ~22-fold and ~6-fold, respectively, in MED12-depleted cells. These results are consistent with our earlier findings that MED12 suppresses the transactivation function of the Gli3 MBD on both artificial and natural binding site reporter plasmids and further reveals MED12 to be a negative regulator of Gli3 activator function.

MED12-proficient Mediator is recruited to the Gli1 promoter in a Shh-dependent manner. To further examine the functional interaction between Gli3 and Mediator, we employed ChIP analysis to monitor occupancy of Gli3-binding sites within the Gli1 promoter by Gli3 and Mediator as a function of Shh signaling. To this end, CH310T1/2 cells were treated with control or Shh-conditioned medium for 6 h prior to ChIP analysis. We confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR analysis that Gli1 gene expression was induced by Shh under these conditions; relative to control medium, Shh-conditioned medium stimulated Gli1 expression by ~4-fold (Fig. 9A). As expected, Gli3 was detected by ChIP on the Gli1 promoter in both the absence and the presence of Shh signaling (Fig. 9B), an observation concordant with the fact that the Gli3 N-terminal specific antibodies used in this ChIP analysis recognize both the processed Gli3 repressor and full-length Gli3 activator species, and the former is predicted to occupy Gli-binding sites in the absence of Shh signaling (29, 54, 55, 79, 82, 93, 99). Notably, however, occupancy of the Gli1 promoter by the Mediator subunit CDK8 was observed only in Shh-treated cells (Fig. 9B).

Because CDK8 is a reliable indicator of the MED12/13/CDK8/CycC Mediator module and MED12 is essential for incorporation of CDK8 into Mediator (46), this observation suggests that the Mediator species recruited to the Gli1 promoter in response to Shh is Mediator containing the MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module.

**DISCUSSION**

The underlying mechanisms by which Gli proteins regulate target gene transcription in response to Shh signaling remain to be fully clarified. Herein, we have investigated the molecular and cellular requirements for human Gli3 activator function. Of principal import, we identify and characterize a carboxy-terminal Gli3 transactivation domain (MBD) whose activity derives from concerted functional interactions with both Mediator and CBP. This finding extends a previous report of autonomous transactivation function within this region of Gli3 by providing a molecular basis to explain its transactivation activity (42). A physical basis to explain the functional requirement for both Mediator and CBP in Gli3 transactivation derives from our observation that both Mediator and CBP can independently bind to the Gli3 MBD in vitro. Currently, we do not know whether Gli3 might target CBP and Mediator sequentially or simultaneously in vivo. Nonetheless, our collective findings reveal the Gli3 MBD to be a composite transactivation domain that may function at two distinct steps in the transcriptional activation process—CBP-dependent chromatin modification and Mediator-dependent RNA polymerase II transcription complex activation.

While previous studies have directly implicated CBP in Gli3-
dependent Shh signaling, the contribution of Mediator to this process has been less clear. Thus, while previous genetic studies of Drosophila have implicated Mediator subunits MED12 and MED13 in Hh signal transduction (36, 94), it has heretofore remained unknown whether and how Mediator plays a direct or indirect role in Shh signaling. Our work extends these studies and provides the first evidence to implicate Mediator directly in Shh signal transduction through a demonstrated physical and functional interaction between Gli3 and the MED12 interface in Mediator. First, we show that Gli3 binds specifically and directly to isolated MED12 and intact Mediator both in vitro and in vivo. Second, we observe that mutant derivatives of the Gli3 MBD exhibit the same rank order and relative strength with respect to MED12/Mediator binding activity in vitro and transactivation function in vivo. Third, we demonstrate that disruption of the Gli3-MED12 interaction through dominant-negative interference or RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion has profound functional consequences for induction of Gli3 target genes in response to Shh signaling. Finally, we observed recruitment of MED12-containing Mediator to a Gli3-target gene in response to Shh signaling. Collectively, these findings suggest that MED12 is an important functional target of Gli3 within Mediator.

In an effort to investigate the mechanistic consequence of the Gli3-MED12 interaction, we examined the influence of RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion on Gli3 transactivation activity. Unexpectedly, we observed that MED12 depletion enhanced Gli3 MBD transactivation function as well as Gli3-dependent induction of Gli1 and cyclin D1 gene transcription in response to Shh signaling. How might these observations be reconciled with the observation that the Gli3-binding domain on MED12 (PQL domain) inhibits Gli3 transactivation in a dominant-negative manner? We propose a model whereby a Mediator-imposed constraint on Gli3 transactivation is relieved only by direct interaction of the Gli3 MBD with the MED12 interface in Mediator (Fig. 10). Hence, ectopic expression of the MED12 PQL domain would preclude a functional interaction between Gli3 and MED12 necessary to reverse a Mediator-dependent constraint on Gli3 transactivation, one revealed only by RNAi-mediated MED12 depletion. Interestingly, unlike most other signaling pathways, Hh signal transduction proceeds largely by sequential repressive interactions, including effects of Ptc on Smo (90). Our findings indicate that this theme extends to the endpoint of Hh signaling in the nucleus, wherein MED12 is targeted by Gli3 to reverse Mediator-dependent inhibition of Shh signaling.

Our findings are consistent with a model whereby Gli3 targets MED12 in order to reverse Mediator-dependent suppression of Gli3 transactivation activity; however, whether and how MED12 contributes directly to such repression remain to be established. A role for MED12 in the negative regulation of Gli3 transactivation is consistent with a large body of evidence linking MED12 to transcriptional repression. For example, MED12, along with Mediator subunits MED13, CDK8, and CycC, marks a transcriptionally repressive form of Mediator (Arc-L) that is biochemically and structurally distinguishable from an activating form of Mediator (CRSP) lacking this module and additionally containing MED26 (Crsp70) (87). Within the repressive MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module, CDK8/CycC has previously been shown to directly repress transcription through phosphorylation and consequent inactivation of the RNA polymerase II CTD kinase activity of TFIIH (2). Thus, disruption of MED12, which anchors CDK8/CycC within Mediator (46), could abrogate CDK8/CycC-mediated repression. Alternatively, MED12 itself might contribute directly to repression through presently unknown mechanisms. Further studies will be required to distinguish among these possibilities.

Regarding the switch between transcriptionally repressive and active Mediator species, current data suggest a model in which the MED12/13/CDK8/CycC module is displaced upon activation of transcription. The mechanism and regulation rel-
evant to this switch have only recently begun to be clarified. Recent work suggests that gene-specific cofactors (i.e., PARP-1) may be important to facilitate an activator-induced reconfiguration in Mediator composition (78). Our work suggests a potential additional mechanism for this switch whereby an activation domain (Gli3 MBD) directly targets the MED12/CDK8/CycC module to overcome repression. Whether additional cofactors are also required to reconfigure Mediator during Gli3 transactivation will require further evaluation.

Interestingly, we observed that while MED12 depletion enhanced Gli3 MBD activity, it nonetheless reduced β-catenin transactivation domain function, consistent with our recent report that MED12 is a direct functional target of the β-catenin transactivation domain (46). Thus, depletion of a common target subunit within Mediator has opposing functional consequences for two distinct transactivation domains. One simple interpretation is that the β-catenin–MED12 interaction facilitates Mediator recruitment, while the Gli3-MED12 interaction triggers a postrecruitment step in the activation process (97), one that concomitantly reconfigures Mediator and reverses negative regulation imposed by the MED12/CDK8/CycC module in Mediator. According to this model, Mediator recruitment to Gli3 target promoters would likely occur in a MED12-independent manner. While chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis revealed Shh-induced recruitment of MED12-proficient Mediator to the Gli1 promoter, our data cannot presently establish whether such recruitment is dependent on MED12. Probable MED12-independent recruitment of Mediator to Gli3 target genes could be achieved through any of several alternative possibilities (Fig. 10). First, the Gli3 MBD could recruit Mediator directly through a subunit other than MED12. Second, the MBD could recruit Mediator directly through CBP or another component of the transcriptional machinery that is assembled in a Gli3-dependent manner. Our finding that the Gli3 MBD can bind to MED12-deficient Mediator leads us to favor the former possibility. Nonetheless, further experiments will be required to establish the means by which Mediator is recruited to Gli3 target genes.

Our conclusion that MED12 is a negative regulator of Gli3-dependent Shh signaling is not wholly unsupported by genetic studies in model metazoan organisms. For example in the Drosophila eye disc, mutational inactivation of MED12 results in persistent expression of the Hh response genes decapentaplegic and atonal posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, where cells are normally unresponsive to Hh, as well as reduced expression of these genes in the morphogenetic furrow where they are normally expressed (94). The former observation would be consistent with a role for MED12 in the negative regulation of Hh signaling if, for example, MED12 was important to establish a threshold response to a gradient of Hh. Reduced expression of Hh response genes in certain cell contexts could derive from the combinatorial input of other developmental signaling pathways in which MED12 plays a positive role. In fact, the phenotypes of MED12 mutations in model metazoan organisms are quite complex and do not clearly phenocopy mutations in any one developmental signaling pathway. It therefore seems likely that the MED12 interface within Mediator functions to process and integrate a diverse range of developmental signals, of which Hh is but one. In support of this possibility, MED12 was recently identified as one of six highly connected “hub” genes linked to multiple developmental signaling pathways during a systematic genetic mapping exercise in Caenorhabditis elegans (52). Our identification herein of MED12 as a negative regulator of Gli3-dependent Shh signaling, coupled with our recent finding that MED12 is a transducer of Wnt/β-catenin signaling (46), further supports the idea that MED12 may function as a global integrator of diverse developmental signaling networks.

Finally, the role of MED12 as a negative regulator of Shh signal transduction could have important biological implications for the proper physiological response to a graded Shh signal. A central issue in developmental biology concerns the means by which morphogenetic gradients elicit differential gene expression and alter cell fates. In this regard, the influence of Shh on the specification of neuronal cell fates in the developing spinal cord has served as a paradigm for the study of vertebrate morphogenetic gradients. In the developing neural tube, a long-range gradient of Shh signaling is sufficient to direct the emergence of distinct neuronal subtypes at precise positions along the dorsal-ventral axis (35, 37). Recent studies suggest that this Shh gradient is transduced into a gradient of Gli transcription factor activity that, in turn, controls the differential expression of homeodomain and helix-loop-helix transcription factors that define distinct neuronal progenitor domains (83). Although the precise mechanism(s) responsible for differential control of gene expression through a gradient of Gli transcription factor activity remains to be elucidated, several strategies have been invoked, each of which functions to effectively alter the threshold response of target genes to a gradient of Gli transcription factor activity. These include differential binding affinities among Gli response elements as well as the presence of additional regulatory elements that bind synergistic activators and/or repressor proteins (83). Our data suggest the possibility of an additional mechanism that involves intrinsic modulation of Gli transcription factor activity by Mediator. In this regard, cell-type-specific changes in MED12 expression and/or activity could alter the concentration threshold of Shh required to induce Gli3-dependent gene activation. Future studies should clarify this issue and further reveal the contribution of Mediator to the entire spectrum of developmental and pathological processes driven by Shh signaling.
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