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Abstract
Abdominal pain is one of the most frequent reasons that elderly people visit the emergency department (ED). In this article, we review the deadliest causes of abdominal pain in this population, including mesenteric ischemia, abdominal aortic aneurysm, and appendicitis and potentially lethal non-abdominal causes. We also highlight the pitfalls in diagnosing, or rather misdiagnosing, these clinical entities.
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Review
Introduction
The world’s population is increasing, and the elderly represent its fastest growing segment. The number of emergency department (ED) visits for the geriatric population is also increasing. Providing care to elderly patients presents its own unique set of challenges. This is especially true for elderly patients presenting with acute abdominal pain. This subset of patients is at extremely high risk, with a mortality rate approaching 10% [1]. They also consume a tremendous amount of ED resources, requiring laboratory testing, imaging, and consultant services at significantly higher rates than younger patients. Elderly patients with acute abdominal pain present diagnostic challenges as well. Their distinctive physiology leads to atypical presentations, with delayed symptoms, less predictable alterations in vital signs in response to disease, and markedly unreliable physical examinations. The unwary practitioner can often be falsely reassured by the patient’s seemingly innocuous appearance and deceptively normal laboratory values. In this paper, we highlight some of the unique ways that otherwise straightforward disease processes present in the elderly and present strategies for their management.

Vascular disorders
Being the most time sensitive of all diagnoses, vascular disorders should be considered early in the course of any elderly patient presenting with acute abdominal pain.

Acute mesenteric ischemia
Acute mesenteric ischemia (AMI) is a nonspecific term encompassing disease processes that result in ischemic damage due to decreased blood flow from the mesenteric vascular system (Table 1). Although the overall incidence of mesenteric ischemia is low in the ED population, it is more common and is acutely life-threatening, with mortality estimates above 50% [2]. Many of the specific risk factors for AMI increase in prevalence in older populations.

Superior mesenteric artery (SMA) embolus is the most common variety [3]. Patients at highest risk for this type of mesenteric ischemia have a cardiac source of emboli, such as atrial fibrillation, dilated cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, and valvular disease [4]. Approximately one-third of these patients have a history of an embolic event [5]. Thrombosis of the SMA, about 15% of AMI cases, is found in patients with typical atherosclerosis risk factors. Deposition of plaque at the origin of the SMA can lead to flow-limiting stenosis (Figure 1). Patients with this condition may have a history of long-standing post-prandial abdominal pain or ‘intestinal angina,’ a sign of chronic mesenteric ischemia [6]. Plaque rupture can occlude the SMA, leading to acute SMA thrombosis.

Superior mesenteric vein (SMV) thrombosis, often caused by a hypercoagulable state, is present in 5% to 15% of cases of AMI. Patients with this condition are usually much younger than patients with SMA embolus. Half of these patients have a personal or family history of venous thromboembolism. Similar to SMA thrombosis, this course can be indolent and nonspecific [7].

Non-occlusive mesenteric ischemia (NOMI) develops as the result of a low-flow state with vasospasm of the branches of the SMA, rather than acute occlusion. NOMI
can develop in patients who are hypotensive, on vasopressors, severely volume depleted, or on dialysis. Generally more common in critically ill patients, it may occur acutely in situations such as trauma or cocaine abuse. NOMI has a very high mortality rate, likely due to the combination of comorbidities and the difficulty in making this diagnosis.

Clinicians in the ED must be aware of a patient’s risk factors for AMI and maintain a high level of suspicion for this disease. Classically, the patient presents with nonreproducible abdominal pain, commonly referred to as ‘pain out of proportion to exam findings.’ This reflects the visceral, rather than a peritoneal, origin of the pain [8]. However, some patients might present initially with vomiting and diarrhea, complaints of intermittent abdominal pain when eating, or other more subtle complaints. Traditional teaching is that laboratory tests, such as measurement of the lactic acid level, can be helpful in identifying patients at greater risk; however, there is no specific lab test for mesenteric ischemia. Lactate levels could be normal in those who present early; elevation is often a late finding [9]. Surgical consult and appropriate imaging early in the course have been shown to improve outcomes, as this is a time-sensitive diagnosis. Angiography is the traditional test of choice and has been shown to decrease the risk of mortality if performed early [7]. Multidetector-row computed tomography (CT) has demonstrated good accuracy in cases of AMI. It has the advantages of being more readily available and less invasive than angiography. It can also elucidate other causes of severe abdominal pain [10].

### Abdominal aortic aneurysm

Abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is a disease found almost exclusively in the elderly, and rupture of an AAA carries an extremely high mortality rate [11]. AAA can be a straightforward diagnosis in classic presentations but extraordinarily challenging in atypical cases. It can present similarly to more benign diagnoses such as renal colic or musculoskeletal back pain, meaning it must be considered early in the course of a wide variety of patient complaints. Bedside ultrasound and CT are rapid, reliable, noninvasive tests that can assist in making this diagnosis.

The classic presentation of ruptured AAA is hypotension, abdominal pain, and a pulsatile abdominal mass. While classic, this combination is found in less than half of cases [12]. Hypotension might be transient and could have resolved if the bleeding is retroperitoneal and has tamponaded temporarily. Rupture can also present with isolated back rather than abdominal pain [12]. A urine dipstick could be positive for blood as a result of irritation of the ureter by the AAA. A frequent misdiagnosis in patients with back pain and microscopic hematuria is renal colic. Extreme caution must be taken before diagnosing an elderly individual with new renal colic, musculoskeletal back pain, or even syncope without considering ruptured AAA [13].
Once the diagnosis of AAA is entertained, it can be excluded rapidly and reliably with basic imaging. The fastest, least expensive, and least invasive technique is bedside ultrasound (Figure 2). Even novice users can be trained to identify an AAA accurately and effectively identify using this modality [14,15]. For many physicians, ultrasound is rapidly becoming the bedside tool of choice, and AAA is one diagnosis that supports this movement. CT is very accurate at detecting not only the AAA but also the presence of retroperitoneal hemorrhage (an area where ultrasound falls short). Even a noncontrast CT scan can accurately identify the presence of an AAA and any associated hemorrhage without the risk of contrast nephropathy, allergic reactions, or extra time needed to obtain contrast studies [16].

**Intestinal disorders**

**Bowel obstruction** Small bowel obstruction (SBO) in the elderly is the second most commonly missed surgical emergency, after appendicitis [17]. As in young patients, hernias and adhesions are the leading cause of SBO in the elderly. Causes seen uniquely in the elderly include neoplasm and gallstone ileus (Table 2). Although the presentation of SBO is similar in the elderly, the mortality rate is much higher [18].

Plain radiographs of the abdomen might show evidence of SBO, such as dilated bowel and air-fluid levels (Figure 3). However, the absence of these findings does not rule out obstruction. CT has higher sensitivity for detection of SBO and might identify the cause and location [19].

Large bowel obstructions are much more common in the elderly because of the increased incidence of cancer and diverticulitis in this age group. Though patients classically present with abdominal pain, constipation, and vomiting, nearly half do not have vomiting or constipation. Many complain of diarrhea [20]. Sigmoid and cecal volvuli also cause large bowel obstruction. Cecal volvulus tends to present acutely in a younger population and usually requires emergent surgery. Sigmoid volvulus should be suspected in the chronically ill, debilitated patient and is often of slower onset [21] (Figure 4). Initial management can consist of nonoperative decompression through sigmoidoscopy or barium enema. However, because of the high incidence of recurrence, definitive surgery in a delayed manner is often required.

**Diverticular disease** The prevalence of diverticular disease, or diverticulosis, rises dramatically in the elderly, reaching nearly 80% in people over the age of 85 [22]. Colonic diverticulae are usually asymptomatic, but they can become inflamed (diverticulitis) or bleed.

Diverticulitis occurs in 10% to 20% of patients with diverticular disease, and it is recurrent in 25% of cases.
Patients who are well appearing, have no comorbidities, and have access to good follow-up care may be managed as outpatients, with a low-residue diet and oral antibiotics effective against gram-negative organisms and anaerobes for 7 to 10 days. Most elderly patients require admission for intravenous broad-spectrum antibiotics, bowel rest, and rehydration, in addition to analgesics and anti-emetics as needed. Elderly patients with diverticulitis should have a colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy performed 4 to 6 weeks after resolution of symptoms to exclude an underlying carcinoma, which is present in up to 15% [29].

Bleeding occurs in 15% of patients with diverticulosis. It is the most common cause of lower gastrointestinal bleeding in the elderly. The bleeding is usually mild, but occasionally it is massive. Bleeding ceases spontaneously in 90%, and rebleeding recurs in up to 25%. Multiple risk factors have been associated with bleeding, such as hypertension, anticoagulation, diabetes mellitus, and ischemic heart disease [30]. Diverticular bleeding should be managed initially as any other cause of lower GI bleeding, keeping in mind the importance of early resuscitation and aggressive management and monitoring, given the elderly patient’s decreased physiologic reserve.

Appendicitis Appendicitis is the most common abdominal surgical emergency in the general population and the third most common indication for abdominal surgery in the elderly patient [31,32]. The incidence of appendicitis is increasing in the elderly population secondary to the increasing life expectancy [31]. Although the overall incidence is lower in the elderly population compared with the general population, the mortality rate is four to eight times higher [31-33]. Up to half of all deaths from appendicitis occur in elderly patients [34]. The high mortality rate is attributed to delayed and atypical presentations leading to frequent misdiagnosis.

Despite the advances in modern medicine, appendicitis is still misdiagnosed 54% of the time in the elderly patient population [35]. Half of the patients who are misdiagnosed have bowel perforation by the time of surgery [35]. One-fifth of all elderly patients with appendicitis present after 3 days of symptoms and another 5% to 10% of patients present after 1 week of symptoms [36]. Less than one-third of patients have fever, anorexia, right lower quadrant pain, or leukocytosis. One-quarter of patients have no right lower quadrant pain at all [35,37,38]. Though multiple scoring systems have been developed to risk-stratify patients with suspected appendicitis, they have not demonstrated sufficient discriminatory or predictive ability to be used in the elderly population [31]. High clinical suspicion and liberal use of CT scanning in elderly patients is necessary to make this diagnosis in a timely fashion (Figure 5).
Miscellaneous causes of abdominal pain

Peptic ulcer disease

Peptic ulcer disease (PUD) is a common and often undiagnosed disease among elderly patients. Approximately half of patients over the age of 60 with PUD initially present with a complication, most often perforation [39,40]. Other complications include hemorrhage, gastric outlet obstruction, and erosion into an adjacent structure [40]. It has been shown that up to 35% of people over the age of 60 with endoscopically proven PUD did not have any abdominal pain, in contrast to only 8% of patients under the age of 60 [40-42].

Elderly patients with PUD have a higher mortality rate than the general population [43,44]. They are more likely to require blood transfusion, to undergo surgery to control bleeding, and to rebleed [45]. The mortality rate associated with perforation in the elderly is 30% compared with 10% in the general population. If the diagnosis is delayed by 24 h, the mortality rate increases eight-fold [44].

Lack of abdominal pain is not the only atypical presentation seen in the elderly. The most common presenting sign is melena [41]. Due to physiologic changes including decreased abdominal musculature, rigidity is absent in approximately 80% of elderly patients who present with perforated PUD, and free air is appreciated on only about 40% of plain radiographs [37] (Figure 6). Vital signs may be normal [21]. New-onset congestive heart failure from chronic anemia has been reported [40].

In addition to the changing physiology of the elderly patient, the increased use of medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), aspirin, steroids, and anticoagulants contribute to an increasing incidence of PUD [40]. Up to 40% of elderly patients take an NSAID, and it has been shown that age is an independent risk factor for gastroduodenal injury. Moreover, the incidence of Helicobacter pylori ranges from 53% to 73% in this population, contributing to an increased risk of duodenal ulcers [40,46].

Biliary disease and pancreatitis

Biliary disease, specifically acute cholecystitis (AC), is the leading surgical emergency among the elderly [47]. The reasons are multifold: age-related changes in the vasculature, increased comorbidities, and an increased incidence of gallstones. The diagnosis might not be straightforward in the elderly. Furthermore, the risk of complications related to AC increases in this population [48].

The typical presentation of AC is a female patient in her forties with fever, right upper quadrant pain, nausea, and vomiting. Elderly patients often do not have these symptoms. Although they might have the classic right upper quadrant pain, nearly 40% do not have nausea and vomiting, and many are afebrile. In addition, laboratory tests that yield abnormalities indicative of AC, such as leukocytosis and abnormal liver function tests, could be normal [49]. Ultrasound, the initial diagnostic study of choice, has good sensitivity and specificity in the elderly [50] (Figure 7).

Complications of cholecystitis such as choledocholithiasis, cholangitis, and emphysematous cholecystitis are also much more common in the elderly [48]. Due to the poor vascularity of the gallbladder, the elderly are at increased risk of perforation and emphysematous cholecystitis [51] (Figure 8). It is important to consider these complications and act expeditiously. The administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics with
anaerobic coverage is recommended, as well as early surgical consult. Delayed surgical management can increase morbidity and mortality rates unnecessarily [52].

The incidence of pancreatitis increases 200-fold after age 65 [53]. Pancreatitis often presents typically in the old as well as the young, with ‘boring’ epigastric pain radiating into the back, associated with vomiting. However, some elderly patients with pancreatitis present with only hypotension and altered mental status, which broadens the differential greatly [39]. In those more than 80 years old, the risk of necrotizing pancreatitis increases significantly. Other diagnoses, such as mesenteric ischemia, may present with elevated amylase as well. Consider CT scanning early in elderly patients with suspected pancreatitis if the diagnosis is in doubt or alternative diagnoses are being considered.

**Non-abdominal causes of abdominal pain**

Failing to consider extra-abdominal causes in the patient presenting with abdominal pain is a frequent pitfall. Several life-threatening illnesses can present with abdominal pain only.

Myocardial infarction is the most important diagnosis to consider. One-third of women above the age of 65 who have an acute myocardial infarction present with only abdominal pain. This is most common in diabetics and in patients with inferior infarctions [54]. In a study of elderly patients with unstable angina, 45% did not have any chest pain, 8% had epigastric pain, 38% had nausea, and 11% had vomiting [55]. Patients with atypical presentations tend to have longer delays in treatment and therefore an increased mortality rate [54]. Therefore, it is prudent to obtain an electrocardiogram in every elderly patient with epigastric pain. Other cardiac illnesses that can present with abdominal pain are congestive heart failure and pericarditis.

Pulmonary processes, especially those involving the lower lobes, are another cause of abdominal pain. These include pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, pleural effusion, and pneumothorax. Metabolic causes such as diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), hypercalcemia, Addisonian crisis, and porphyria should be considered as well in the appropriate clinical circumstances. Herpes zoster should be considered in patients with well-localized abdominal pain. It can be very difficult to diagnose in the pre-vesicular phase.

Genitourinary issues are a significant source of abdominal pain. Cystitis and pyelonephritis often are associated with abdominal pain. Pyelonephritis can present with only abdominal pain or vomiting without any urinary symptoms [54]. A particularly challenging entity to diagnose correctly (and therefore treat) is prostatitis. Both acute and chronic prostatitis require a

| Table 3 Pitfalls in the evaluation of abdominal pain in the elderly |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Pitfalls**                                                   |
| 1. Relying on normal laboratory results to rule out AMI.     |
| 2. Misdiagnosing AMI as gastroenteritis.                     |
| 3. Relying too heavily on classic presentations of common illnesses in the elderly. |
| 4. Over-reliance on a positive urinalysis as indicating the cause of acute abdominal pain. |
| 5. Relying on classic findings and history to rule out appendicitis. |
| 6. Expecting abdominal rigidity when considering a visceral perforation. |
significantly longer course of antibiotics than other urinary tract infections [56].

Asymptomatic bacteriuria affects a significant number of elderly patients - women more than men and institutionalized patients more than community dwellers [56]. However, acute abdominal pain should not be attributed to asymptomatic bacteriuria. Acute urinary retention is another diagnosis that should be entertained and can easily be missed in patients who are unable to provide a clear history. It might be caused by a urinary tract infection, a stone, or medications, usually in the setting of an enlarged prostate.

Conclusions

Elderly patients with acute abdominal pain present a significant challenge to even the most seasoned clinician (Table 3). The atypical presentation of disease is distinctly typical in this group. Despite seemingly innocuous symptoms, many elderly patients with acute abdominal pain have serious pathology, including surgical disease and extra-abdominal processes manifesting with abdominal complaints. The wary clinician will approach these patients with a broad differential and a logical, step-wise approach to ensure that all possibilities are considered in a timely fashion.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

RS wrote several sections of this manuscript as well as organized, edited, and prepared the final submission. TP contributed several sections of the manuscript, edited, and primarily organized the literature sources used in the paper as well as approved the final submission. DK contributed several sections of the manuscript and edited and approved the final submission. JM wrote the introduction and conclusion, provided experience and insight regarding the content, provided editorial revisions and images, and approved the final submission. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Linda J. Kesselring, MS, ELS, for her copyediting, formatting, and organization.

Received: 3 September 2014 Accepted: 8 October 2014
Published online: 21 October 2014

References

1. Fenyo G. Acute abdominal disease in the elderly: experience from two series in Stockholm. Am J Surg 1982, 143(6):751–754.
2. Cho JS, Carr JA, Jacobsen G, Shepard AD, Nypaver TF, Reddy DJ. Long-term outcome after mesenteric artery reconstruction: a 37-year experience. J Vasc Surg 2002, 35:453–460.
3. Greenwald DA, Brandt LJ, Reinus JF. Ischemic bowel disease in the elderly. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2001, 30:445–473.
4. Ruotolo RA, Evans SJ. Mesenteric ischemia in the elderly. Clin Geriatr Med 1999, 15:527–557.
5. Martinez JP, Hogan Jr. Mesenteric ischemia. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2004, 22:609–928.
6. Mikkelsen WP. Intestinal angina: its surgical significance. Am J Surg 1957, 94:262–269.
7. Boley SJ, Sprayregen S, Siegelman SS, Veith FJ: Initial results from an aggressive roentgenological and surgical approach to acute mesenteric ischemia. Surgery 1977, 82:848–855.
8. Sise MJ. Acute mesenteric ischemia. Surg Clin North Am 2014, 94:165–181.
9. Demir ED, Ceyhan SO, Fries H. Beyond lactate: is there a role for serum lactate measurement in diagnosing acute mesenteric ischemia? Dig Surg 2012, 29:225–235.
10. Barraze M, Kang M, Wij J, Kochhar R, Gupta R, Khandelwal N. Role of multidetector CT angiography in the evaluation of suspected mesenteric ischemia. Eur J Radiol 2011, 80:582–587.
11. Johansen K, Kohler TR, Nicholls SC, Zieler RE, Clowes AW, Kazmers A. Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: the Harborview experience. J Vasc Surg 1991, 13:240–247.
12. Banerjee A. Atypical manifestations of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. Postgrad Med J 1993, 69:6–11.
13. Marston WA, Ahlquist R, Johnson G, Meyer AA. Misdiagnosis of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg 1992, 16:17–22.
14. Kuhn M, Bonnin R, Davey MJ, Rowland J, Langlois SI. Emergency department ultrasound scanning for abdominal aortic aneurysm: accessible, accurate, and advantageous. Ann Emerg Med 2000, 36:219–223.
15. Rubano E, Mehta N, Caputo W, Paladin L, Sinert R. Bedside ultrasonography for diagnosing suspected abdominal aortic aneurysms. Acad Emerg Med 2013, 20:128–138.
16. Siegel CL, Cohan RH. CT of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Am J Roentgenol 1994, 163:17–29.
17. Brewer RJ, Golden GT, Ritch DC, Rudolf LE, Wangensteen SL. Abdominal pain: an analysis of 1,000 consecutive cases in a university hospital emergency room. Am J Surg 1976, 131:219–224.
18. Sanson TG, O’Keefe KP. Evaluation of abdominal pain in the elderly. Emerg Med Clin North Am 1996, 14:615–627.
19. Sun S, Gupta S, Sudhakar PJ, Venkataramu NK, Sood B, Wij JD. Comparative evaluation of plain films, ultrasound, and CT in the diagnosis of intestinal obstruction. Acta Radiol 1999, 40(4):422–428.
20. Greenlee HB, Pienkos EJ, Vanderbilt PC, Byrne MP, Mason JH, Banich FE, Frearik RJ. Acute large bowel obstruction. Comparison of county, Veterans Administration, and community hospital populations. Arch Surg 1974, 108:470–476.
21. Martinez JP, Mattu A. Abdominal pain in the elderly. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2006, 24:371–388.
22. Fozcoz LB. Acute diverticulitis [review]. N Engl J Med 1998, 338(21):1521–1526.
23. Stollman N, Raskin JB. Diverticular disease of the colon. Lancet 2004, 363:631–639.
24. Dickinson M, Leo MM. Gastrointestinal emergencies in the elderly. In Geriatric Emergency Medicine: Principles and Practice. Edited by Kahn JH, Maguraan BG, Olshaker JS. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014:207–218.
25. Aderdiep A, Lowenstein R. Infectious emergencies in the elderly. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2006, 24:443–448.
26. Ponika J, Wolborn JK, Brush BE. Acute abdominal pain in aged patients: an analysis of 200 cases. J Am Geriatr Soc 1963, 11:993–1007.
27. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria: Left Lower Quadrant Pain. (www.acr.org/~~/media/ACR/Documents/AppCriteria/Diagnostic/LeftLowerQuadrantPainSuspectedDiverticulitis.pdf). Accessed August 8, 2014.
28. Podnos YD, Jimenez JC, Wilson SE. Intra-abdominal sepsis in elderly persons. Clin Infect Dis 2002, 35:62–68.
29. Place R, Simmang CL. Diverticular disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2002, 16:135–148.
30. Lewis M. Bleeding colonic diverticula. J Clin Gastroenterol 2008, 42:1156–1158.
31. Omari AH, Khanmamor MR, Qasim H, Shamkani AA, Yaseen MK, Hammami SK. Acute appendicitis in the elderly: risk factors for perforation. World J Emerg Surg 2014, 9:6.
32. Kauvar DR. The benign acute abdomen. Clin Geriatr Med 1993, 9:547–58.
33. Gupta H, Duboy D. Abdominal emergencies: has anything changed? Surg Clin N Am 1997, 77:1245–1246.
34. Shoji BT, Becker JM. Colorectal disease in the elderly patient. Surg Clin N Am 1997, 74:303–316.
35. Storm-Dickerson TL, Horratas MC. What have we learned over the past 20 years about appendicitis in the elderly? J Am Soc Surg 2003, 185:198–201.
36. Freund HR, Rubenstein E. Appendicitis in the aged: is it really different? Am Surg 1984, 50:573–576.
37. McNamara RM. Acute abdominal pain. In: Emergency care of the elderly person. Edited by Sanders AB. St. Louis: Beverly Cracom Publications; 1996:219–243.

38. Pitchumoni CS, Dharmarahan TS. Abdominal pain. In: Geriatric Gastroenterology. Edited by Pitchumoni CS, Dharmarajan TS. New York: Springer; 2012.

39. Caesar R. Dangerous complaints: the acute geriatric abdomen. Emerg Med Rep 1994, 15:191–202.

40. Ragsdale L, Southerland L. Acute abdominal pain in the older adult. Emerg Med Clin North Am 2011, 29:429–448.

41. Chang CC, Wang SS. Acute abdominal pain in the elderly: review article. Int J Gerontol 2007, 1:77–82.

42. Levrat M. Peptic ulcer disease in patients over 60: experience in 287 cases. Am J Dig Dis 1996, 11:279–285.

43. Konan A, Hayran M, Kılıc YA, Karakoc D, Kaynaroglu V. Scoring systems in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in the elderly. Turkish J Trauma Emerg Surg 2011, 17:396–400.

44. Wakayama T. Risk factors influencing the short-term results of gastroduodenal perforation. Surg Today 1994, 24(8):681–687.

45. Borum ML. Peptic-ulcer disease in the elderly. Clin Geriatr Med 1999, 15:457–471.

46. Pilotto A, Franceschi M, Maggi S, Addante F, Sancarlo D. Optimal management of peptic ulcer disease in the elderly. Drugs Aging 2010, 27:545–558.

47. Rosenthal RA, Anderson DK. Surgery in the elderly: observations on the pathophysiology and treatment of cholelithiasis. Exp Gerontol 1993, 28:458–472.

48. Bedi A. Factors effecting the complications in the natural history of acute cholecystitis. Hepatogastroenterology 2001, 48:1275–1278.

49. Morrow DJ, Thompson J, Wilson SE. Acute cholecystitis in the elderly. Arch Surg 1978, 113:1149–1152.

50. Shuman WP. Low sensitivity of sonography and cholescintigraphy in acalculous cholecystitis. Am J Roentgenol 1984, 142:531–534.

51. Carrascosa MF, Salcines-Caviedes JR. Emphysematous cholecystitis. CMAJ 2012, 184:E81.

52. Madden JW, Croker JR, Beynon GPJ. Septicaemia in the elderly. Postgrad Med J 1981, 57:502–506.

53. Martin SP, Ulrich CD II. Pancreatic disease in the elderly. Clin Geriatr Med 1999, 15:579–605.

54. Canto JG, Shlipak MG, Rogers WJ, Malgren J, Frederick P, Lambrew CT, Ornato JP, Kiefe CJ. Prevalence, clinical characteristics and mortality among patients with myocardial infarction presenting without chest pain. JAMA 2000, 283:3223–3229.

55. Canto JG, Finch C, Kiefe CJ, Allison JJ, Li Q, Funkhouser E, Centor RM, Selker HP, Weissman NW. Atypical presentations among Medicare beneficiaries with unstable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 2002, 90:248–253.

56. Haughey M. Genitourinary and gynecologic emergencies in the elderly. In: Geriatric Emergency Medicine: Principles and Practice. Edited by Kahan JH, Maguana RG Jr, Olshaker JS. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014:219–236.

doi:10.1186/s12245-014-0043-2
Cite this article as: Spangler et al.: Abdominal emergencies in the geriatric patient. International Journal of Emergency Medicine 2014 7:43.