X-ray and Cryo-electron Microscopy Structures of Monalysin Pore-forming Toxin Reveal Multimerization of the Pro-form*
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Background: Monalysin is a β-barrel pore-forming toxin secreted by Pseudomonas entomophila.

Results: Monalysin structure belongs to the Aerolysin fold family but is devoid of receptor-binding domain; pro-Monalysin forms a stable 18-mer complex.

Conclusion: The delivery of 18 subunits may bypass the requirement of receptor-dependent concentration.

Significance: The functional mechanism of Monalysin differs from that of described Aerolysin family members.

β-Barrel pore-forming toxins (β-PFT), a large family of bacterial toxins, are generally secreted as water-soluble monomers and can form oligomeric pores in membranes following proteolytic cleavage and interaction with cell surface receptors. Monalysin has been recently identified as a β-PFT that contributes to the virulence of Pseudomonas entomophila against Drosoptila. It is secreted as a pro-protein that becomes active upon cleavage. Here we report the crystal and cryo-electron microscopy structure of the pro-form of Monalysin as well as the crystal structures of the cleaved form and of an inactive mutant lacking the membrane-spanning region. The overall structure of Monalysin displays an elongated shape, which resembles those of β-pore-forming toxins, such as Aerolysin, but is devoid of a receptor-binding domain. X-ray crystallography, cryo-electron microscopy, and light-scattering studies show that pro-Monalysin forms a stable doughnut-like 18-mer complex composed of two disk-shaped nonamers held together by N-terminal swapping of the pro-peptides. This observation is in contrast with the monomeric pro-form of the other β-PFTs that are receptor-dependent for membrane interaction. The membrane-spanning region of pro-Monalysin is fully buried in the center of the doughnut, suggesting that upon cleavage of pro-peptides, the two disk-shaped nonamers can, and have to, dissociate to leave the transmembrane segments free to deploy and lead to pore formation. In contrast with other toxins, the delivery of 18 subunits at once, near the cell surface, may be used to bypass the requirement of receptor-dependent concentration to reach the threshold for oligomerization into the pore-forming complex.

Pore-forming toxins (PFTs) are produced by a wide range of organisms, such as bacteria, insects, marine invertebrates, poisonous reptiles, and mammals, mostly for defense, attack, or signaling (1). PFTs are generally secreted as soluble monomers that diffuse toward the membrane of target cells. Recognition and binding to a specific receptor cause them to associate with the target membrane, form multimers, and undergo a conformational change, leading to the formation of an aqueous pore inserted in the membrane. PFTs are classified according to the type of secondary structure elements used to cross the lipid bilayer at the onset of pore formation (2). Hence, these toxins are usually divided into two categories: α-PFTs and β-PFTs.

The heptameric structure of the Staphylococcus aureus α-hemolysin pore was determined more than 15 years ago (3) and was until recently the only high resolution structure of a β-PFT in the membrane-inserted form. The ring-shaped complex resembles a mushroom, with the cap forming the extracellular domain and the stem forming the membrane-spanning region, in which each subunit contributes one β-hairpin. In the recent past, several structures of PFTs have been determined, but the structures of both the soluble and the pore forms are available for only a few: the α-PFT ClyA (4, 5) and the β-PFTs cytolyisin (6, 7) and γ-hemolysin (8–10). Recently, the structures of Aerolysin mutants in their monomeric and heptameric forms have been determined by using a combination of x-ray crystallography, cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), molecular dynamics, and computational modeling (11). It should be noted that contrary to the α-hemolysin pore, which displays a
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mushroom shape, the Aerolysin pore looks like a rivet with a flat disk-shaped head.

In a previous study (12), we showed that Monalysin is a β-PFT from *Pseudomonas entomophila* secreted as a pro-protein that should be cleaved to become fully active. Indeed, cleaved Monalysin was more active than pro-Monalysin. Nevertheless, at high concentration (more than 10 nM), we were able to detect cytotoxic activity with the pro-Monalysin. The pro-Monalysin may be cleaved by proteases present at the surface of the cells. Many toxins are secreted by pathogenic organisms as inactive precursors, presumably to protect the producing cells from self-destruction or to increase the efficiency of delivery to the target cells. Activation of toxin precursors often involves proteolytic processing by enzymes produced either by the pathogen itself or by the host organism. Different effects resulting from proteolytic cleavage have been described for bacterial toxins. It is noteworthy that in the case of anthrax toxin, proteolytic cleavage have been described for bacterial toxins. It is noteworthy that in the case of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa, extracellular proteases may be cleaved by proteases present at the surface of the cell. To determine M

In the pro-Monalysin structure, the C-terminal hairpin that should be cleaved to become fully active. Indeed, cleaved Monalysin C-terminal hairpin that should be cleaved to become fully active. Indeed, cleaved Monalysin C-terminal peptide also serves as a molecular chaperone because it promotes the folding of the protein soluble state (21).

Here, to explore the activation mechanism of Monalysin, we determined three crystal structures of Monalysin (pro and cleaved forms and an inactive mutant) as well as the cryo-EM reconstruction of the pro-Monalysin particle. Coupled with studies in solution using light scattering (SEC/MALS/UV/RI), our findings reveal that pro-Monalysin forms a stable 18-mer complex in solution composed of two disk-shaped nonamers held together by N-terminal swapping of the pro-peptides.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Protein Expression, Purification, and Analysis**—Expression and purification of recombinant pro-Monalysin were performed as described previously (22). Limited trypsinolysis (1:10 w/w) was performed for 15 min at room temperature, and the soluble and non-soluble fractions were separated by centrifugation at 15,000 × *g* for 5 min.

The sequence of the Monalysin mutant M

SEC/MALS/UV/RI Analysis—For the size exclusion chromatography (SEC) with on-line multianalyte laser light scattering (MALS), absorbance (UV), and refractive index (RI) analysis, pure pro-Monalysin and M

Crystallization, Data Collection, and Processing—Crystallization and data collection of pro-Monalysin and tantalum derivative were performed as described previously (22). The cleaved Monalysin crystals were obtained at room temperature from drops in which pro-Monalysin was co-crystallized with 1.5 mM HgCl₂, and appeared after several months contrary to pro-Monalysin crystals that appeared within a few days (22). The crystals were flash-frozen with 15% glycerol in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected to 1.7 Å resolution on beamline ID14-4 at the European Synchrotron Research Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France). The M

Monalysin crystals were obtained, using a Mosquito robot (TTP Labtech), from the Crystal Screens 1 condition number 20 (0.1 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5, 0.2 M calcium acetate, 18% PEG8000). They were flash-frozen with 10% glycerol in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected to 2.65 Å resolution on beamline ID29 at the ESRF. The data sets were integrated with XDS (23) and scaled with SCALA (24) from CCP4 Suite v6.3.0 (25). Data collection statistics are reported in Table 1.

Structure Solution and Refinement—The structure of pro-Monalysin was solved by the single-wavelength anomalous diffraction method using the tantalum derivative data set at 3.6 Å resolution. A nine-tantalum complex substructure was as described previously (22). The experimental phases were further improved by solvent flattening and histogram matching using SOLOMON (26) and DM (27) as implemented in SHARP (28). The partial model was then used for molecular replacement with the native pro-Monalysin data set at 2.85 Å resolution using MOLREP (29). The pro-Monalysin model was manually completed using Turbo-Frodo (30). The structures of cleaved Monalysin and M

**Cytolytic Assay**—Cytolytic assay was performed according to previously published protocol (34). S2 cells (5.10⁵) were washed twice and suspended in PBS and then mixed into 100 nM pro-Monalysin, 100 nM Monalysin mutant M
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assess cell lysis rate, cell density variation was monitored through measurement of OD$_{600}$ nm every 5 min, after a brief shaking, during 1 h at room temperature, using a Tecan micro-plate reader.

**Electron Microscopy**—3-$\mu$l samples were applied and incubated onto glow-discharged Quantifoil grids for 1 min and subsequently blotted for 3 s before plunging into liquid ethane for vitrification using a FEI Vitrobot. $\sim$220 CCD images were collected under low dose conditions using a Tecnai F30 microscope with a field emission gun operated at 300 kV (IGBMC, Strasbourg, France) and a FEI Eagle 4Kx4K CCD camera at a magnification of 59,000× (1.92 Å/pixel at the specimen level), and over a range of nominal defocus values comprised between $-2$ and $-3.5$ μm. Defocus estimation and contrast transfer function (CTF) correction were carried out using FINDCTF2D (TIGRIS software from Timothy Grant, Imperial College London). 50,000 particles were automatically selected using the program Boxer from the EMAN2 package (35), extracted into boxes of 144,144, and combined into a dataset stack (Fig. 1A). The dataset was pretreated using the SPIDER package (36) and submitted to maximum likelihood classification and alignment (37) using the Xmipp package (38). The initial map was built from a visually selected class average representing a side-view imposing D9 symmetry (Fig. 1, B and C). The initial structure was then refined by three-dimensional maximum likelihood refinement and further refined by projection matching with an angular sampling of 5° using SPIDER. After refinement, a final structure was obtained at a resolution of approximately 17 Å as estimated by Fourier shell correlation and the half-bit threshold criterion (39) (Fig. 1D). The final reconstruction was built with $\sim$12,000 particles after removal of most particles in a top-view orientation to compensate for their large over-representation and reduce preferential views effects and three-dimensional distortions. Molecular graphics and analyses were performed with the UCSF Chimera package (Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco (supported by NIGMS P41-GM103311 through the National Institutes of Health)). The model/EM map or EM map/EM map fitting was performed by the option “fit in map” of the “volume” register. The difference map was calculated using Chimera. First, the x-ray structure was converted into a density map using the option “Fit into structure,” with a target resolution and values comparable with that of the EM map. This command also fit the new map using the original EM volume. Then, maps were subtracted using the command: “vop subtract #1 #2 modelId #3” to produce the difference map presented here.

**Results**

**Crystal Structure of Inactive Full-length Pro-Monalysin**—The pro-Monalysin protein crystallized in space group C2 with nine molecules in the asymmetric unit and an estimated solvent content of 53.5%. The structure was solved by the single-wavelength anomalous diffraction method using a tantalum derivative and was refined at 2.85 Å resolution (Table 1). Electron density was observed for residues 9–17 and 36–271 in all nine copies. The nine protomers are arranged as a disk-shaped complex resembling a conical washer. A symmetry-related disk stacks against the unique disk in the asymmetric unit, their two concave faces facing each other to form a doughnut-shaped 18-mer complex. This doughnut is 140 Å wide and $\sim$80 Å thick, and its internal channel diameter is 30 Å (Fig. 2A). The pro-
Monalysin monomer is an elongated molecule with overall dimensions of \( \sim 75 \, \text{Å} \times 40 \, \text{Å} \times 35 \, \text{Å} \) (Fig. 2B). Because an amino acid stretch was missing in the electron density map, we isolated protein samples from carefully washed crystals and analyzed them by SDS-PAGE to check the integrity of the crystallized material. A unique band corresponding to the full-length protein was observed, assessing the protein integrity (Fig. 3A, lane 1). We deduced thus that the N terminus segment (residues 9–17), visible in the electron density map (Fig. 2C), should be connected to the main protein domain through a polypeptide stretch not visible in the electron density map, probably due to disorder. However, it was not possible at this stage to determine the N terminus fragment connectivity as two residues at position 36, one from the same monomer and the other from a different monomer, are almost equidistant to residue 17 and are therefore equally good candidates for establishing connection (Fig. 2D). The N terminus segment (residues 9–17) contributes to about 40% of the buried surface area at the interface between the two nonamer disks as calculated by the Proteins, Interfaces, Structures and Assemblies (PISA) service at the European Bioinformatics Institute (40). The buried surface area between both nonamers is 14,444 Å\(^2\) with the peptide at the European Bioinformatics Institute (40). The buried surface area between the two nonamer disks as calculated by the Proteins, Interfaces, Structures and Assemblies (PISA) service (9–17) contributes to about 40% of the buried surface area at the interface. Additionally, the N-terminal fragment (residues 9–17) forms the walls of a canyon that accommodates the central \( \beta \)-sheet composed of three antiparallel \( \beta \)-strands (\( \beta_3 \), \( \beta_6 \), and \( \beta_8/9 \)) and flanked by the predicted pore-forming segment (12) and the C terminus region on either side (Fig. 2, B and E). The pore-forming domain (residues 102–170, green in Fig. 2, B and E), located between strands \( \beta_3 \) and \( \beta_6 \), forms two antiparallel \( \beta \)-strands connected by three \( \alpha \)-helices, \( \alpha_3 \), \( \alpha_4 \), and \( \alpha_5 \). It is noteworthy that secondary structure prediction methods did not assign a helical secondary structure to the region encompassing \( \alpha \)-helices \( \alpha_3 \), \( \alpha_4 \), and \( \alpha_5 \), suggesting that this region might have an equal propensity to form \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) secondary structures (Fig. 4). The C-terminal region forms a long \( \alpha \)-helix followed by a small hairpin and a short \( \alpha \)-helix. This latter helix, together with two hairpins located at one end of the central \( \beta \) sheet, forms the walls of a canyon that accommodates the N-terminal fragment (residues 9–17). This N terminus stretch buries 568 Å\(^2\) of solvent-accessible surface on the protein core.

A structural similarity search within the Protein Data Bank using the pairwise structural comparison server DALI (41) of the Monalysin structure gave no statistically significant similarities, the highest Z-score being of 4.7 for an insecticidal crystal protein (Protein Data Bank (PDB) 4P00) with a core r.m.s.d. of 4.6 Å. A protein sequence similarity retrieval using the BLAST program uncovered three genes with more than 30% of sequence identity with pro-Monalysin, from Pseudomonas putida (35%), and Pseudomonas sp. (33%). They are annotated as hypothetical proteins.

### Table 1: Data collection and refinement statistics

| Data collection | pro-Monalysin | Tantalum derivative | Cleaved Monalysin | M\(^{A102-170}\) |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Wavelength (Å)  | 1.25452      | 0.93930             | 0.97887         |
| Space group     | C2           | P2,2,2              | P6,2            |
| \( a, b, c \) (Å)| 160,7, 146,4,147 | 64.9, 117.6, 150.6 | 129.5, 129.5, 78.9 |
| \( \beta \) (°)  | 118.3        | 126,982 (18,400)    | 21,936 (3,186)  |
| Resolution (Å\(^2\)) | 30-2-85 | 30-1.7 (1.79-1.7) | 30-2.65 (2.79-2.65) |
| Unique reflections\(^a\) | 3,322 (4,951) | 129,5 (129.5, 78.9) | 129,5, 129.5, 78.9 |
| Multiplicity\(^a\) | 4.3 (4.4) | 6,7 (6.8) | 3.4 (3.4) |
| Completeness (%)\(^a\) | 99.8 (99.9) | 99.9 (100) | 99.7 (99.6) |
| I/\( \sigma \)\(^a\) | 118 (2.1) | 12.9 (3.9) | 7.8 (2.0) |
| \( R_{merge} \) \( (\% \) \( ^{a-b} \) | 95 (65.7) | 9.3 (44.5) | 13.2 (53.4) |

\( ^a \) Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.
\( ^b \) \( R_{merge} \) = \( \sum_{i} \sum_{j} |I_{ij} - \langle I \rangle| / \sum_{i} \sum_{j} I_{ij} \)

\( ^e \) \( R_{merge} \) = \( \sum_{i} \sum_{j} |F_{ij} - \langle F \rangle| / \sum_{i} \sum_{j} F_{ij} \), \( R_{merge} \) is calculated for 5% of randomly selected reflections excluded from refinement.

\( ^{d} \) ASU, asymmetric unit.

\( ^{c} \) Dashes indicate not applicable.

\( ^{f} \) Root mean square deviation from ideal values.
and hence remain with unknown function. The two last proteins are shorter because they lack the N terminus (Fig. 4).

Crystal Structure of the Cleaved, Active Form of Monalysin—Pro-Monalysin cleavage is required for the full activity of the toxin. In a previous study, we have shown that a shorter form of the protein could be obtained upon storage of samples at 4 °C for a long period of time (12). This processed form has a molecular mass of 26.5 kDa as determined by MALDI-TOF analysis, as opposed to 30.2 kDa for the full-length form. N-terminal Edman sequencing indicated that this processing occurred at the N terminus of Monalysin at residue 33 (12). The cleavage of the pro-Monalysin into its shorter form could also be induced by a limited trypsinolysis. It should be noted that for both methods, most of the protein precipitates and only less than 20% of the protein are found in the soluble fraction as estimated by concentration measurement using absorbance at 280 nm. Interestingly, SDS-PAGE analysis of the precipitated protein coming from both trypsin cleavage and long time storage showed the presence of high molecular mass multimeric forms resistant to SDS (Fig. 3C). Such high molecular mass bands have
Monalysin precipitates upon cleavage, the physiological relevance of the monomeric soluble protein should be considered cautiously.

**Crystal Structure of an Inactive Mutant of Monalysin Obtained by Deletion of the Membrane-spanning Region—**An analysis of the pro-Monalysin structure, in combination with sequence alignment involving several β-PFTs of known structure, made it possible to define the membrane-spanning region of Monalysin as being located between residues 99 and 173 (12). In α toxin, a β-PFT from *Clostridium septicum*, deletion of the amphipathic loop disrupts the pore formation without affecting the fold of the toxin (42). To explore the role of the Monalysin pore-forming region, we generated four Monalysin mutants by deleting increasing lengths of the pore-forming region and accordingly named them M102-170, M102-170, and M102-170. The largest deletion (M102-170) removed all the predicted membrane-spanning region, corresponding to more than a fourth of the protein. M102-170, the best expressed mutant, was produced and purified. SEC/MALS/UV/RI experiments indicate its tetrameric state in solution (Fig. 6A). As expected from our *in silico* analysis, M102-170 was found to lack any cytolytic activity on *Drosophila* S2 cells (Fig. 6B). Crystals of this inactive mutant M102-170 were obtained in space group P61, with two molecules in the asymmetric unit, and the structure was refined at 2.65 Å resolution (Table 1). Electron density was observed for residues 9–17, 36–101, and 171–271. The structure of the mutant was fully superimposable to that of the full-length protein with an r.m.s.d. of 0.86 Å (Fig. 6C). It is worth noticing that the N terminus fragment was found to bind to the rest of the molecule in a very similar way when compared with the pro-Monalysin structure. Furthermore, the excellent quality of the electron density map in this region for the M102-170 mutant made it possible to confirm the sequence assignment of the nine N-terminal residues. However, as for the pro-Monalysin structure, it was not possible to determine the connectivity of the N terminus fragment (Fig. 7).

**Cryo-EM Structure of the Pro-Monalysin—**We know that the 18–35 stretch is present in the crystals of pro-Monalysin but is not sufficiently ordered to give an interpretable electron density map. A careful analysis of the x-ray structure of the 18-mer shows that there are two possible paths for the polypeptidic chain to connect the residues 17 and 36. We thought that EM might reveal the presence of the slightly disordered 18–35 stretch. To this end, we performed cryo-EM-derived reconstruction of the wild-type toxin. The cryo-EM map exhibits a doughnut-like structure very close to that observed in the crystal structure (Fig. 8A). The 18-mer crystal structure of Monalysin fits extremely well within the EM density (cross-correlation coefficient = 0.67). Therefore, the results from three methods, analysis in solution by SEC/MALS/UV/RI, crystal structure, and single particle cryo-EM reconstruction, all point to pro-Monalysin as an 18-mer complex. The difference map calculated between the cryo-EM map and the molecular surface of the x-ray structure of the 18-mer revealed the location of the flexible polypeptide segment (resides 18–35) connecting the N terminus peptide to the rest of the molecule. A continuous density connects the N terminus fragment bound to a monomer coming from a first 9-mer half of the doughnut to a mono-

---

**FIGURE 3. Western blot and SDS-PAGE analysis of different Monalysin forms.** A, SDS page analysis of washed crystals of pro-Monalysin (lane 1) and washed crystals of cleaved Monalysin (lane 2). MW, molecular weight. B, Western blot analysis of pure recombinant pro-Monalysin (lane 1) and proteins from the filtrate supernatant of a P. entomophila aprA mutant overnight culture (lane 2). C, SDS page analysis of cleaved Monalysin obtained by trypsinolysis, total sample, pellet, and supernatant, respectively; lanes 5–7, cleaved Monalysin (sample stored for a long period of time), total sample, pellet, and supernatant, respectively. The samples were not boiled to avoid complete multimer dissociation. Detection was done using guinea pig anti-pro-Monalysin antiserum (αMonα). The P. entomophila aprA mutant was used to avoid cleavage of Monalysin by the metallo-protease AprA secreted by wild-type *P. entomophila*, as described previously (12).
FIGURE 4. **Sequence of Monalysin and related proteins.** A multiple sequence alignment of Monalysin (P. entomophila (Pe)) and homologous proteins from C. fuscus (Cf), P. putida (Pp), and Pseudomonas sp (Ps) is shown. Monalysin numbering is displayed above. The secondary structure elements predicted by the JPRED server and observed in the crystal structure are represented below the alignment in light gray and dark gray, respectively.

FIGURE 5. **Analysis of cleaved Monalysin.** A, the structure of pro-Monalysin (in green) is superposed to the structure of cleaved Monalysin (in orange). B, SEC/MALS/UV/RI analysis of pro-Monalysin over time, leading to cleaved Monalysin. Chromatograms of the soluble fraction of pro-Monalysin stored at 4 °C for 7 (d7), 35 (d35), and 43 (d43) days are shown in black, blue, and red, respectively. The molar mass (right axis) and the UV280 nm absorbance (left axis) are plotted as a function of the column elution. The measured molar masses are ~500 and ~30 kDa for the first and second eluted peaks, respectively. Inset: SDS-PAGE of the samples used for SEC/MALS/UV/RI analysis (d7, d35, and d43).
mer coming from the other 9-mer (Fig. 8B). This domain swapping surely contributes to maintain the particle cohesion by establishing links between the two disk-shaped nonamers of the doughnut-like structure.

Discussion

Monalysin has been recently identified as a novel PFT that contributes to the virulence of P. entomophila against Drosophila (12). It has been shown that Monalysin requires N-terminal cleavage to become fully active, forms oligomers in vitro, and induces pore formation in artificial lipid membranes. The secondary structure prediction of the membrane-spanning...
domain indicated that Monalysin is a PFT of the \( \beta \)-type, and with the exception of this membrane-spanning domain, no sequence homology could be detected to any other described PFT. In the present study, we determined the structure of pro-Monalysin by x-ray crystallography and cryo-EM. We also determined the crystal structure of the cleaved form of Monalysin and of an inactive mutant lacking the membrane-spanning region. Finally, we analyzed the oligomerization state in solution using SEC/MALS/UV/RI.

The structure of Monalysin reveals similarity to the Aerolysin-like PFTs such as \( \text{Clostridium perfringens} \) ε-toxin (43), Aerolysin (44), LSL (lectin from \( \text{Laetiporus sulphureus} \)) (45), and \( \text{C. perfringens} \) enterotoxin (46), despite no detectable sequence homology. These toxins have an elongated shape, are formed of \( \beta \)-sheets, and gather three (or four) domains (Fig. 2F). Domain I (or domains I and II of Aerolysin) is responsible for binding to the respective toxin receptors. Domains II and III (or domains III and IV of Aerolysin) form the pore-forming domain itself, including a conserved core composed of four \( \beta \)-strands and the insertion \( \beta \)-hairpin (47).

The structure of Monalysin displays this conserved core with two \( \beta \)-strands (\( \beta_{1/2} \) and \( \beta_{3} \) in Monalysin secondary structure numbering) followed by the putative membrane-spanning region (\( \beta_{4} \), \( \alpha_{3} \), \( \alpha_{4} \), \( \alpha_{5} \), and \( \beta_{5} \)) again followed by two additional \( \beta \)-strands (\( \beta_{6} \) and \( \beta_{8/9} \)). Therefore, Monalysin structure belongs to the Aerolysin-like PFTs fold family but is devoid of obvious receptor-binding domain.

The structure of Monalysin is highly rigid, as shown by the three crystal structures solved in the present study, as they exhibit small r.m.s.d. values between each other. The structures of pro-Monalysin and cleaved Monalysin are highly similar, and strikingly, the deletion of more than a quarter of the residues of Monalysin (mutant \( M^{102–170} \)) did not affect the fold of the core. This observation may suggest that the core structure of Monalysin does not change during pore formation and that conformational changes affect only the membrane-spanning region, as observed in \( \alpha \)-hemolysin (3).

In the present study, x-ray crystallography and cryo-EM studies have shown that pro-Monalysin forms a stable 18-mer complex. A clear electron density was visible in the x-ray electron density map for the N-terminal fragment (residues 9–17). The location of the slightly flexible polypeptide segment (residues 18–35) connecting the N terminus peptide to the rest of the molecule was visible in the cryo-EM reconstruction and revealed the presence of a domain swapping that contributes to maintaining the particle cohesion and stability of the complex. Interestingly, the proteolytic cleavage necessary for the activation of the toxin occurs within this flexible segment.

An important difference between Monalysin and the other known members of the Aerolysin family is the absence of the domain responsible for cellular receptor binding (Fig. 2F). Although in other toxins receptors are used to concentrate the toxin to the cell surface, this mechanism may not apply to Monalysin. We suggest that, in contrast with other toxins, the delivery of 18 subunits at once, nearby the cell surface, may be used to bypass the requirement of receptor-dependent concentration to reach the threshold for oligomerization into the pore-forming complex. The structure of pro-Monalysin shows clearly that the membrane-spanning region is fully buried in the center of the doughnut (Fig. 2A) and therefore cannot enter in contact with the membrane of a target cell. Oligomerization may help to keep the toxin in a highly soluble form and would make it possible to convey a large amount of Monalysin from the production to the functional sites.

Very recently, Degiacomi et al. (11) obtained a near-atomistic model of the Aerolysin pore by using a combination of x-ray crystallography, cryo-EM, molecular dynamics, and computational modeling. Interestingly, the arrangement of the seven monomers of Aerolysin in the flat disk-shaped head of the pore...
structure resembles that of the nine monomers of Monalysin in the present structure (Fig. 9). To date, we have no data on the multimerization state of the Monalysin pore, but it is tempting to think that after cleavage, the 18-mer complex dissociates into two disk-shaped nonamers in which the transmembrane segments are unmasked and ready to engage the conformational change leading to the pore formation (Fig. 10). Further studies on the Monalysin are envisaged to answer questions concerning the pore formation, the recognition of the cell, and the prevention of self-attack.
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FIGURE 10. Putative mechanism for the Monalysin activation and function. Secreted pro-Monalysin oligomerizes in an inactive 18-mer (a). Upon proteolytic cleavage of the N terminus peptides (colored in light and dark blue) (1), the 18-mer structure dissociates into nonamers (b) that are recruited to the target membrane (2). Then, membrane-bound active nonamers (c) undergo oligomerization and conformational changes (3), leading to the formation of the pore (d).
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