Caprin-1 promotes HepG2 cell proliferation, invasion and migration and is associated with poor prognosis in patients with liver cancer
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Abstract. The present study aimed to investigate the role of caprin-1 in liver cancer and its association with the clinicopathological features and prognosis of liver cancer, as well as the underlying mechanism of caprin-1 function. Caprin-1 expression levels in a tissue microarray containing 40 liver cancer tissues, 10 peritumoral tissues and 20 normal liver tissues were analyzed using immunohistochemistry. The clinical data of 154 patients with liver cancer were also collected from The Cancer Genome Atlas database. Kaplan-Meier analysis and a Cox proportional hazards regression model were used to assess the association between caprin-1 expression levels and survival in patients with liver cancer. The effects of caprin-1 knockdown on the mRNA levels of cyclin D1 and cyclin D2 as well as the proliferation, invasion and migration of HepG2 cells were also investigated. The expression level of caprin-1 in liver cancer tissues was significantly higher compared with normal liver tissues or cells (P<0.01). High caprin-1 expression levels were associated with advanced clinical stage (P<0.001) and enhanced tumor invasion (P<0.001). Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that the overall survival time and disease-free survival time in patients with liver cancer with high caprin-1 expression were significantly shorter compared with patients with low caprin-1 expression levels (P=0.002 and P=0.033, respectively). The Cox proportional hazards regression model showed that high caprin-1 expression levels were an independent prognostic factor for liver cancer (P<0.001). Knockdown of caprin-1 in HepG2 cells significantly downregulated mRNA expression levels of cyclin D1 and cyclin D2, inhibited cell proliferation and invasion and the cells were arrested at G0/G1 phase. In conclusion, caprin-1 may be a novel prognostic indicator for patients with liver cancer.

Introduction

Liver cancer is currently the sixth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-associated death worldwide in 2013 (1,2). The number of liver cancer-associated deaths increased from ~510,100 in 1993 to 818,000 in 2013 globally (3,4). At present, surgery, liver transplantation, radio-frequency ablation, interventional therapy, gene therapy and immunotherapy are available for treatment of liver cancer (5). Of these options, surgical treatment, including partial liver tissue resection and liver transplantation, is currently the best treatment option for liver cancer with a 5-year overall survival rate of >70% for early-stage liver cancer (6-8) and 25-39% for liver cancer of all stages worldwide (9). However, surgical resection is feasible in only 10-37% of liver cancer cases as patients with liver cancer are typically diagnosed at an advanced stage worldwide (10). Moreover, the long-term overall survival rate following surgery remains unsatisfactory due to the high recurrence and metastasis rates (50-60%) (9,11). Therefore, diagnosis of liver cancer during an early stage, and the ability to predict the prognosis, recurrence and metastasis of liver cancer following treatment is important for improving the survival of patients.

In contrast to traditional clinicopathological indicators, biomarkers are more advantageous for identifying patients with a higher risk of recurrence or metastasis (12). Although several biomarkers, such as glypican-3 (13), CpG island methylator phenotype (14), neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (10), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (15) have been reported to be prognostic markers for liver cancer recurrence or progression after treatment, their clinical application remains unsatisfactory because of their limited prognostic value, especially in patients with advanced stage disease (10,12-15). Therefore, identifying
Caprin-1 expression is decreased when cells stop proliferating with cell proliferation in all tissues except the brain (16). The cytoplasmic phosphoprotein in vertebrates and is associated with survival. Maybe beneficial in adjuvant therapy and improving patient prognosis. Novel prognostic biomarkers for liver cancer are important and the occurrence and development of tumors, such as osteosarcoma, breast cancer and colon cancer (21-23).

The present study aimed to investigate the role of caprin-1 in liver cancer and its association with the clinicopathological features and prognosis in patients with liver cancer, as well as the underlying mechanism of caprin-1 function in liver cancer.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples. A tissue microarray (TMA; n=70), including 40 liver cancer samples (One liver cancer sample was excluded as the IHC results revealed it was an interstitial tissue), 10 specimens of paired adjacent normal liver tissue, the distance of which to the tumor tissue was ~4 cm, and 20 specimens of normal liver tissue, together with detailed clinical information were purchased from Xi'an Alenabio Co., Ltd. (cat. no. BC03116a) and used for immunohistochemical analysis. The liver histology and morphology of patients was observed using hematoxylin and eosin staining performed by Pathology department of Guangzhou Red Cross Hospital (Guangzhou, China). The inclusion criteria were patients pathologically diagnosed with liver cancer. The exclusion criteria included patients who had received chemotherapy or radiation therapy before surgery. The clinical information of 134 patients with liver cancer was also collected from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database downloaded from the website cbioportal.org/ to investigate the mRNA expression levels of caprin-1 and to perform survival analysis. The clinical stages of these patients were divided according to American Joint Committee on Cancer staging manual (24).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Specimens were fixed in 10% formalin at room temperature for 48 h and subsequently embedded in paraffin. The paraffin-embedded tissues were cut into 4-µm sections, then deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated using aDAKO EnVisionSystem (Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.). IHC staining was carried out using the UltraSensitive™ SP (mouse/rabbit) IHC kit (catalog no. KIT-0305; MX Biotechnologies) which included endogenous peroxidase blocking solution, serum, secondary antibody, streptavidin-peroxidase and DAB substrate-chromogen. The tissue slice was added with 50 µl peroxidase blocking solution to block the activity of endogenous peroxidase, and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Rinsed with PBS 3 times with 3 min each time. Blocking was performed with goat serum UltraSensitive™ SP (mouse/rabbit) IHC kit for 30 min at room temperature. Then the slides were incubated with Caprin-1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:100 dilution; ab38859; Abcam) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, the slides were incubated with 50 µl streptomyces antibiotin-peroxidase solution for 15 min at room temperature and then soaked in the 100 µl diaminobenzidine for 2 min at room temperature. One slide not incubated with caprin-1 antibody was used as a negative control. The intensity of immunostaining was scored independently by two experienced pathologists, who were blinded to the patient's clinical pathology data and clinical outcomes. The scores were determined and compared by the same pathologist. Under the light microscope, the numbers of positively stained cells in five representative regions at x400 magnification were counted and the mean percentage of positive cells was calculated. Cytoplasmic staining was considered as a positive signal according to the manufacturer's protocol. The staining intensity was stratified and scored according to the following criteria: No staining, 0 points; mild staining, 1 point; medium staining, 2 points; and strong staining, 3 points. Scores for the percentage of immunostaining tumor cells were defined as follows: <5%, 0 Points; 6-25%, 1 point; 26-50%, 2 points; 51-75%, 3 points; and >75%, 4 points. The final immune response score (IRS) for each case was calculated by multiplying the two scores for the immunostaining intensity and the percentage of immunostaining. The expression of caprin-1 was divided into two groups: Low expression level (mean expression levels of caprin-1), and high expression level (>mean expression levels of caprin-1).

Cells and plasmid. Human HepG2 liver cancer cell line (Guangzhou Hunyuuyuan Medical Technology Co., Ltd.) was cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Science) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Science). The caprin-1 knockout (KO) plasmids PXC9-puro-KO-1 and PXC9-puro-KO-2 was constructed by inserting cDNA of siRNA targeting caprin-1 5'-CACCAGCGACAAGAACTT CGGAAACCGTTT-3' (KO-1) or 5'-CACCAGCGACAAGAACTT CGGAAACCGTTT-3' (KO-2) into the BsmBI site of the BsmBI site of PXC9-puro (cat. no. 52961; Addgene, Inc.). The recombinant plasmids were confirmed by sequencing (Symbio Technologies LLC). The knockdown effect of the plasmids was detected using western blot analysis and PXC9-puro-KO-2 was selected for further experiments. The plasmid PXC9-puro-NC sequence was 5'-TAGGCGCGCGCTCCTCCTAC-3' and was a non-targeting control guide used as a negative control.

Transfection using ultrasound treatment. HepG2 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at 1x10^4 cells/well and cultured in medium containing 10% FBS until the cell confluence reached 60-70%. Transfection of the recombinant plasmids was performed using ultrasonic irradiation treatment. Cells were
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incubated with a mixture of 5% sulfur hexafluoride microbubbles (Bracco Suisse SA, Switzerland) dissolved in 0.9% NaCl with 2 µg plasmid in 300 µl serum-free DMEM and irradiated with ultrasound [2.0 MHz, 0.37 (mechanical parameter)] for 20 sec. At 24 h post transfection, the cells were cultured with complete medium containing 10% FBS for an additional 48 h.

Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from HepG2 cells transfected with PXC9-puro-NC or PXC9-puro-KO using an RNA Extraction kit (BioTeke Corporation) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Reverse Transcriptase M-MLV kit (FSQ-101; Toyobo Life Science) with the volume of 20 µl containing 1 µl M-MLV, 1 µl oligonucleotide T, 2 µg RNA template and 4 µl 5x RT buffer at 37˚C for 15 min and then 95˚C for 5 min. RT-qPCR was performed using a SYBR-Green PCR mix (Toyobo Life Science) and specific primers. The sequences of the primers were as follows: Cyclin D1 forward, 5’-CAA TGA CCC CGC ACCATTTTC-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGC AGT CCG GGT CAC ACT-3’; cyclin D2 forward, 5’-GGG AAG TTG AAG TGG AACCTG-3’ and reverse, 5’-GATCATGACGGTGGTTA CAT-3’; β-actin forward, 5’-GGGACACGACAACTGAA-3’ and reverse, 5’-CTAGTCTATGTCGCCCTAGAAGCA-3’. The qPCR were performed in triplicate as follows: 94˚C for 2 min; and 40 cycles of 94˚C for 15 sec, 60˚C for 20 sec, and 72˚C for 20 sec. The data were analyzed using the 2−ΔΔCq method (25).

Western blot analysis. Cells transfected with PXC9-puro-NC or PXC9-puro-KO were collected and lysed using RIPA lysis buffer with 100 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (both Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Protein samples (30 µg per lane) were separated using 10% SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% skimmed milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then incubated with caprin-1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1,000; cat. no. ab38859; Abcam) and GAPDH rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1,000; cat. no. 10494-1-AP; ProteinTech Group, Inc.) overnight at 4˚C. Subsequently the membrane of the upper membrane was removed using a cotton swab, and the cells that had migrated to the bottom surface of the upper chambers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 15 min and stained with 0.05% crystal violet for 15 min at room temperature. Images were captured under a light microscope (MSHOT ML 31, Guangzhou, China) at x400 magnification. The number of cells that had migrated in 3-5 random fields were counted in a blinded manner.

Cell migration assay. HepG2 cells transfected with PXC9-puro-NC or PXC9-puro-KO were seeded at 5x10^4 cells/well and cultured in triplicate in 200 µl serum-free DMEM in the upper chambers of 24-well Transwell chambers (8-µm pores; Corning Inc.). The lower chambers contained 800 µl DMEM containing 20% FBS. After 48 h, the HepG2 cells that had not migrated and remained on the surface membrane of the upper chambers were removed using a cotton swab, and the cells that had migrated to the bottom surface of the upper chambers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4˚C for 15 min and stained with 0.05% crystal violet for 15 min at room temperature. Images were captured using a micropipette tip with a similar width in two groups. The plate was rinsed three times with PBS to wash away the cell debris produced by the scratching. The cells were cultured continuously with DMEM containing 2% FBS for serum starvation and 4 µg/ml mitomycin (to inhibit cell division) to remove the effect of cell proliferation and images were captured at 0, 6, 24 and 48 h after scratching. Migration distance was calculated by dividing the scratch area by the width of scratch, which analyzed by with Image-Pro Plus version 6 software (Media Cybernetics).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.0 software (IBM Corp.). The differences between the two groups were compared using Student’s t-tests. The differences among three groups were compared by one-way ANOVA with LSD post-hoc test. The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. All experiments were repeated three times. Pearson’s χ² test and a Fisher’s exact test were used to analyze the association between caprin-1 protein expression levels and clinicopathological features in the liver cancer cases. Overall survival was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier test and differences were assessed using a log-rank test. Univariate analysis and multivariate survival analysis were performed using the Cox proportional hazard regression model. The relative risks of death are presented as adjusted hazard ratios (HRs)
and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

*Caprin-1 is highly expressed in liver cancer tissue.* Detailed information on the clinical characteristics of all patients in the present study are shown in Table I. As shown in Fig. 1, caprin-1 expression was primarily localized to the cytoplasm of liver cancer cells with high expression levels, whereas caprin-expression levels were weak in normal liver tissue. Of the 39 liver cancer samples, 7 samples (17.9%) showed low expression levels of caprin-1 (<mean expression levels of caprin-1), whereas 32 samples (82.1%) showed strong staining with high expression levels (>mean expression levels of caprin-1). In addition, the expression levels of caprin-1 in liver cancer tissues were significantly higher compared with that in normal liver tissues (IRS, 9.44±2.62 in liver cancer vs. 5.60±1.65 in normal tissue; P<0.001; Fig. 1B).

**Association between caprin-1 expression and clinical characteristics of patients.** When analyzing the relationship between caprin-1 and clinical characteristics of the patients using TMA data, the results revealed that caprin-1 protein overexpression was associated with advanced clinical stage and tumor invasion (both P<0.001) and enhanced tumor invasion (P<0.001). However, high caprin-1 levels were not associated with age or sex (both P>0.05; Table I). The results from the TCGA database, which contained high-throughput sequencing data for caprin-1 mRNA expression in 154 liver cancer tissues, showed that caprin-1 mRNA expression was upregulated in older patients (P=0.034) and tumor-infiltrating liver cancer tissues (P=0.044; Table I).

**Caprin-1 expression and prognosis of liver cancer from TCGA data.** The association between caprin-1 expression levels and survival time of patients with liver cancer was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method from data downloaded from TCGA database. As shown in Fig. 2, the overall survival time and disease-free survival time of patients with liver cancer was significantly shorter compared with that in patients with liver cancer with low caprin-1 expression levels (P=0.002 and P=0.033, respectively). In patients without metastatic disease, the metastasis-free
and disease-free survival rates of patients with high caprin-1 expression levels were significantly lower compared with that in patients with low caprin-1 expression levels (P=0.003 and P=0.033, respectively).

Caprin-1 is an independent predictor for liver cancer survival. Univariate analysis showed that besides person neoplasm status (HR, 1.826; 95% CI, 1.060-3.146; P=0.030) and tumor invasion (HR, 2.701; 95% CI, 1.203-6.061; P=0.016), caprin-1 expression was also a significant prognostic factor for disease-free survival in patients with liver cancer (HR, 2.284; 95% CI, 1.328-3.930; P=0.003; Table II). Multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazard model showed that besides person neoplasm status (HR,3.573; 95% CI,1.421-8.938; P=0.007), sex (HR, 3.647; 95% CI,1.493-8.909; P=0.005), tumor invasion(HR, 52.503; 95% CI,6.706-411.079; P<0.001), and distant metastasis (HR, 0.048; 95% CI, 0.004-0.574; P=0.048), high caprin-1 expression was also a significant independent prognostic factor in patients with liver cancer (HR, 7.299; 95% CI, 2.614-20.379; P<0.001; Table II).

Caprin-1 knockdown inhibits cell proliferation by arresting cells at G0/G1 phase. Next, the underlying mechanism of caprin-1 in liver cancer was studied in human HepG2 liver cancer cells. A knock out plasmid for caprin-1 was constructed to decrease the expression levels of caprin-1 in cultured cells. As shown in Fig. 3A and B, after HepG2 cells were transfected with either PXC9-puro-KO-1, PXC9-puro-KO-2 or PXC9-puro-NC, the expression levels of caprin-1 were significantly decreased, by 52% in cells treated with PXC9-puro-KO-1 and 67% in cells treated with PXC9-puro-KO-2. PXC9-puro-KO-2 was used for further experiments because of its higher knockout efficiency. Downregulation of caprin-1 significantly inhibited the proliferation of HepG2 cells (Fig. 3C) and the cells were arrested in G0/G1 phase (Fig. 3D and E). The mRNA levels of cyclin D1 and D2 were both downregulated in caprin-1 KO cells (Fig. 3F).

Caprin-1 knockdown inhibits cell migration. The effect of caprin-1 knockdown on cell invasion was also analyzed. As shown in Figs. 4 and 5, after HepG2 cells were transfected...
with either PXC9-puro-KO-2 or PXC9-puro-NC, the migration ability of HepG2 cells were significantly inhibited in the cells transfected with PXC9-puro-KO-2, indicating that downregulation of caprin-1 inhibited the migration of HepG2 cells.

Discussion

In the present study, caprin-1 expression levels in liver cancer tissues and HepG2 cells were significantly higher compared with that in normal liver tissues and cells. Higher expression levels of caprin-1 were associated with advanced stage and enhanced tumor invasion, independent of age or sex. Using TCGA data, it was found that the overall survival time and disease-free survival time of patients with high expression levels of caprin-1 were significantly shorter compared with that in patients with low expression levels. Multivariate analysis showed that high expression levels of caprin-1 were significantly shorter compared with that in patients with low expression levels. Multivariate analysis showed that high expression levels of caprin-1 were a significant independent prognostic factor in patients with liver cancer. The aforementioned results suggested that the expression levels of caprin-1 are associated with the occurrence, development and prognosis of liver cancer. Further experiments in HepG2 cells showed that knockdown of caprin-1 downregulated the expression of cyclin D1 and D2, and inhibited cell proliferation as well as cell migration.

Caprin-1 consists of 709 amino acids and contains an RGG sequence unique to RNA-binding proteins (16). Lack of caprin-1 may delay G1 to S phase progression within the cell cycle (17). Sabile et al (21) found that caprin-1 overexpression can significantly promote the growth and lung metastasis of mouse osteosarcoma. The present study showed that high caprin-1 expression levels were associated with specific advanced clinicopathological features in liver cancer, which is in accordance with the growth promotion function of caprin-1 in osteosarcoma (21). Together, these studies suggest that caprin-1 serves an important role in the regulation of malignant tumors.

Next, the mechanism underlying the association of caprin-1 and liver cancer prognosis was explored. The present study showed that knockdown of caprin-1 inhibited proliferation by arresting cells at G0/G1 phase and downregulated the expression of cyclin D1 and D2. Cyclin D1 and D2 are proteins that couple with extracellular signals to the biochemical machinery...
governing progression through G1 phase of the mammalian cell division cycle (26). These proteins are frequently deregulated in cancer and are biomarkers of cancer phenotype and disease progression (27). The data of the present study also showed that caprin-1 knockdown inhibited the migration of HepG2 cells. Thus, downregulation of caprin-1 expression inhibited proliferation and migration in tumor cells and was associated with poor prognosis in patients with liver cancer. This is consistent with previous reports; for example, caprin-1 was reported to be essential for the proliferation of B lymphocyte cells (17). In addition, in osteosarcoma cells, caprin-1 overexpression can activate the MAPK/ERK and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways, which are involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, apoptosis and survival (21). Overexpression of caprin-1 promotes the proliferation and invasion of breast cancer cells and microRNA (miR)-223 inhibits cancer cell proliferation by targeting the 3’untranslated region of caprin-1; thus, downregulation of caprin-1 expression can inhibit cancer cell proliferation and invasion (22). miR-193a, which is highly expressed in colon tumor cells, causes cell cycle G1 arrest and inhibits cell proliferation by inhibiting Caprin-1 expression (23). The effect of miR-193a-mediated disruption of the caprin-1/G3BP-1/c-MYC/Cyclin D2 complex may be a potential target for anticancer therapeutic applications (23). The data of the present study indicates that caprin-1 can regulate the development of liver cancer through its effects on cell proliferation and migration.

In a previous study, the predictive value of caprin-1 in liver cancer was reported (28). It was found that upregulation of caprin-1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in liver cancer, which is consistent with the conclusion of the present study. However, the relationship between caprin-1 and metastasis in the previous study (28) was not investigated, although both lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis showed no difference with the level of caprin-1 expression. Moreover, the present study explored the underlying mechanism, demonstrating that the predictive value of caprin-1 for the prognosis of liver cancer may be associated with its function in cancer cell proliferation and migration.

The present study had several limitations. First, the TMA cancer tissue and the control tissue samples were not all compared or were they from the same patients in the present study, and only 10 adjacent samples were collected, which may affect the comparison of caprin-1 expression between

### Table II. Prognostic value of caprin-1 mRNA expression levels for overall survival based on Cox proportional hazards model.

#### A. Univariate analysis

| Variable                                      | HR (95%CI)       | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Tumor status, tumor vs. tumor free            | 1.826 (1.060-3.146) | 0.030<sup>a</sup> |
| Age, ≥65 vs. <65 years                        | 0.768 (0.463-1.276) | 0.309   |
| Sex, male vs. female                          | 1.312 (0.782-2.201) | 0.304   |
| Clinical stage, I-II vs. III-IV               | 1.120 (0.646-1.943) | 0.686   |
| Tumor invasion, T1-T2 vs. T3-T4               | 2.701 (1.203-6.061) | 0.016<sup>a</sup> |
| Lymph node stage, N0 vs. N1                   | 0.676 (0.091-5.005) | 0.676   |
| Distant metastasis, M0 vs. M1                 | 2.917 (0.879-9.674) | 0.080   |
| Caprin-1 expression, low vs. high             | 2.284 (1.328-3.930) | 0.003<sup>b</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>P<0.05, <sup>b</sup>P<0.01, <sup>c</sup>P<0.001. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; Low, <mean caprin-1 protein expression level; High, >mean caprin-1 protein expression level.

#### B. Multivariate analysis

| Variable                                      | HR (95%CI)       | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Personal neoplasm status, with tumor vs. tumor free | 3.573 (1.421-8.938) | 0.007<sup>b</sup> |
| Age, ≥65 vs. <65 years                        | 2.208 (0.934-5.218) | 0.071   |
| Sex, male vs. female                          | 3.647 (1.493-8.909) | 0.005<sup>b</sup> |
| Clinical stage, I-II vs. III-IV               | 0.877 (0.369-2.084) | 0.766   |
| Tumor invasion, T1-T2 vs. T3-T4               | 52.503 (6.706-411.079) | <0.001<sup>c</sup> |
| Lymph node stage, N0 vs. N1                   | 0.407 (0.046-3.646) | 0.422   |
| Distant metastasis, M0 vs. M1                 | 0.048 (0.004-0.574) | 0.048<sup>a</sup> |
| Caprin-1 expression, low vs. high             | 7.299 (2.614-20.379) | <0.001<sup>c</sup> |
two groups due to possible genetic differences between cancer tissues and those un-paired samples. However, the present data are consistent with the findings of a previous report (28), indicating that the impact of no paired samples on the results was small. Secondly, a preliminary study was conducted to investigate the mechanism of caprin-1 in liver cancer and further research is required to investigate the underlying molecular mechanisms.

In conclusion, the present study showed that caprin-1 is overexpressed in liver cancer tissues and is associated with the clinicopathological features of liver cancer. Moreover, high caprin-1 expression levels are associated with poor prognosis.
Figure 4. Knockdown of caprin-1 inhibits the migration of HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells transfected with PXC9-puro-NC or PXC9-puro-KO were seeded at 5x10⁴ cells/well in the upper chambers of 24-well Transwell chambers. After 48 h, the cells that had migrated to the bottom surface of the upper chambers were fixed and stained with 0.05% crystal violet. (A) Representative invasion images at different magnifications. (B) Quantitative analysis of migration of HepG2 cells. Experiments were repeated three times. *P<0.01 vs. NC. NC, negative control; KO, knockout.

Figure 5. Knockdown of caprin-1 inhibits migration of HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells transfected with PXC9-puro-NC or PXC9-puro-KO were seeded in 24-well plates at 5x10⁴ cells/well and cultured until they reached 100% confluence. Then the monolayer of cells was wounded using a micropipette tip and cultured continuously. Images at x40 magnification were captured at 0, 6, 24 and 48 h after scratching. (A) Representative migration images at different time points. (B) Quantitative analysis of migration. Experiments were repeated three times. *P<0.01 vs. NC. NC, negative control; KO, knockout.
in liver cancer. Caprin-1 knockdown inhibits the proliferation and migration of liver cancer cells. Caprin-1 may serve as a novel prognostic biomarker for liver cancer, assisting in liver cancer treatment and prediction of patient prognosis.
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