Can aerosols be trapped in open flows?
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The fate of aerosols in open flows is relevant in a variety of physical contexts. Previous results are consistent with the assumption that such finite-size particles always escape in open chaotic advection. Here we show that a different behavior is possible. We analyze the dynamics of aerosols both in the absence and presence of gravitational effects, and both when the dynamics of the fluid particles is hyperbolic and nonhyperbolic. Permanent trapping of aerosols much heavier than the advecting fluid is shown to occur in all these cases. This phenomenon is determined by the occurrence of multiple vortices in the flow and is predicted to happen for realistic particle-fluid density ratios.

In open chaotic advection, fluid particles are injected into some domain containing a chaotic saddle, where they move chaotically for a finite amount of time until they finally escape. This is the history of almost all the injected particles in the typical case where the fluid is incompressible. When instead finite-size particles are injected into the domain, the outcome can be fundamentally different. The reason is that these particles are subjected to new forces, in particular the Stokes drag. Their dynamics is dissipative, allowing the existence of attractors where the particles can be trapped. This has been shown to be typical in the case of bubbles, i.e., finite-size particles less dense than the fluid. On the other hand, previous studies on specific open flows support the assumption that finite-size particles denser than the fluid, called aerosols, always escape. Moreover, there is evidence that aerosols escape even faster than the particles of fluid. The physical reason for this is that chaotic saddles are usually associated with the occurrence of vortices. Bubbles in vortices tend to move inwards, therefore possibly being trapped, whereas aerosols tend to spiral outwards, therefore escaping and possibly doing so faster than the fluid itself.

The dynamics of heavy particles in open flows is important in many fields. In astrophysics, it can provide a mechanism for the formation of planetesimals in the primordial solar nebula. In geophysics, it has direct consequences for the transport and activity of pollutants and cloud droplets in the atmosphere. It can also be useful for particle separation in industrial applications.

In this Letter, we show that the premise that aerosols in vortices tend to move outwards does not necessarily imply that these particles will always escape faster in open flows. More remarkably, we show that aerosols much heavier than the advecting fluid can be permanently trapped in open chaotic advection. The mechanism affording the trapping of aerosols is associated with the occurrence of two or more vortices in a bounded region of the flow. In escaping from a vortex, the aerosols may enter the domain of another vortex, which in its turn may drive the particles back to the first vortex. This can lead to the formation of bounded stable orbits that give rise to an attractor. We illustrate this phenomenon for
two widely studied open flows: the blinking vortex system, which has static vortices, and the leapfrogging vortex system, which has moving vortices. These systems do not include any physical obstacle or boundary layer effect \cite{8} that could mask the purely dynamical phenomenon we are interested in. The trapping of aerosols in open flows sharply contrasts with the behavior of the fluid particles, which escape and go to infinity with probability 1.

We start with the equation of motion for a small heavy spherical particle in a fluid flow. In dimensionless form, it reads \cite{9}

\[
\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = A (\mathbf{u} - \dot{\mathbf{r}} - W \mathbf{n}),
\]

where \(\mathbf{r}\) is the position vector of the particle, \(\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}(t), t)\) is the fluid velocity field evaluated at the particle’s position, and \(\mathbf{n}\) is a unit vector pointing upwards in the vertical direction. The two parameters governing the dynamics are the inertia parameter \(A\) and the gravitational parameter \(W\). They can be written in terms of the characteristic length \(L\) and velocity \(U\) of the flow, radius \(a\) of the particle, kinematic viscosity \(\nu\) of the fluid, gravitational acceleration \(g\), and densities \(\rho_p\) and \(\rho_f\) of particle and fluid, respectively. The defining equations for these parameters are \(W = (2a^2\rho_p g)/(9\nu U \rho_f)\) and \(A = R/St\), where \(R = \rho_f/\rho_p \ll 1\) and \(St = (2a^2 U)/(9\nu L)\) is the Stokes number of the particle. In the case of a water droplet in air flow, for example, one has \(\rho_f/\rho_p \sim 10^{-3}\).

We first consider the blinking vortex-source system \cite{10}. This 2-dimensional system is periodic in time and consists of two alternately point sources in a plane. It models the alternate injection of rotating fluid in a large shallow basin. The blinking vortex-source system is described by the streamfunction

\[
\Psi = -(K \ln r' + Q \phi') \Theta(\tau) - (K \ln r'' + Q \phi'') \Theta(-\tau),
\]

where \(\Theta\) stands for the Heaviside step function, \(\tau = 0.5T - (t \mod T)\), and \(T\) is the period of the flow. Here, \(r'\) and \(\phi'\) are polar coordinates centered at \((x, y) = (-1, 0)\), while \(r''\) and \(\phi''\) are polar coordinates centered at \((1, 0)\). The parameters \(Q\) and \(K\) are the strengths of the source and vortex, respectively. The parameter \(Q\) is negative (in fact, positive values of \(Q\) define a vortex-sink system). Positive and negative values of \(K\) correspond, respectively, to counterclockwise and clockwise vortex motion. The sources are located at positions \((\pm 1, 0)\). For each half-period, the flow remains steady with only one of the sources open. In the time interval \(0 < t \mod T < 0.5T\), the open source is the one at \((-1, 0)\), whereas in the time interval \(0.5T < t \mod T < T\), the open source is the one at \((1, 0)\). The velocity field of the fluid flow is

\[
\mathbf{u} = (u_x, u_y), \quad u_x = \partial \Psi/\partial y, \quad u_y = -\partial \Psi/\partial x.
\]

The parameters \(Q\), \(K\), and \(T\) in Eq. \eqref{2} can be chosen to yield either a nonhyperbolic or a hyperbolic dynamics for the fluid particles (passive advection). For instance, for \(Q = -20\), \(K = -400\), and \(T = 0.1\), the invariant set is nonhyperbolic as it consists of a chaotic saddle plus (at least) one KAM island. For \(Q = -10\), \(K = -160\), and \(T = 0.1\), on the other hand, apparently there are no islands. Numerical calculations confirm that the survival probability of fluid particles in the neighborhood of the chaotic saddle decays exponentially fast, which is a signature of hyperbolic dynamics.

We now investigate the dynamics of aerosols governed by Eq. \eqref{1} in the flow given by Eqs. \eqref{2} and \eqref{3}, both in the cases where the passive advection is hyperbolic and nonhyperbolic, and both in the absence and presence of gravity. As shown in Fig. \ref{fig:1} the trapping of aerosols in attractors can occur in all these cases. The figure shows, for different parameters, the
FIG. 1: Projection into the physical space of the stroboscopic section \( t \mod \tau = 0 \) for the aerosol dynamics in the flow of Eq. (2). The black \( \times \) symbols indicate the attractors and the gray areas the corresponding basins of attraction for initial velocities equal to the local velocities of the fluid at \( t = 0 \). (a) Nonhyperbolic and (b) hyperbolic passive advection in the absence of gravity; (c) nonhyperbolic and (d) hyperbolic passive advection in the presence of gravity. The attractors in (a-c) are period-one orbits, whereas the attractor in (d) is a period-two orbit. Note that the attractors are not necessarily inside the gray areas because these areas correspond to subsets of the basins of attraction defined by specific initial velocities. The gravity vector points in the negative direction of the \( y \)-axis.

projection into the physical space of the attractor at time instants \( t \mod \tau = 0 \). The corresponding basins of attraction for initial velocities equal to the local velocities of the fluid are also shown. We note that the dynamics of the aerosols takes place in a 4-dimensional phase space, corresponding to the variables \((x, y, v_x, v_y)\), and is dissipative due to the drag term, whereas the dynamics of the fluid particles is 2-dimensional, since their velocity is a function of their position, and is conservative because the flow is incompressible. Despite the fact that, from the dynamical systems viewpoint, dissipation may give rise to attractors, we stress that we deal with open flows and that in this case the presence of dissipation does not imply the occurrence of attractors in a bounded region. In open systems, attractors may be formed at infinity in the physical space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The trapping of aerosols is counterintuitive also in view of the fact that these particles move outwards in vortices. Notwithstanding, Fig. 1 shows that this phenomenon is possible in a broad range of conditions. Figure 1(a) shows the occurrence of attractors for the dynamics of the aerosols when the underlying passive advection is nonhyperbolic. For small \( W \) and large \( A \), the dynamics of the aerosols can be understood as a perturbation of the dynamics of the fluid particles [11]. As shown below, in this regime attractors can be formed in the KAM islands of the passive advection. In the case of Fig. 1(a), we are not in the limit of weak perturbation and the basin of attraction is actually larger than the KAM island itself. The appearance of attractors when the aerosols are advected by a hyperbolic passive dynamics is less expected, since hyperbolic systems are structurally stable. Nevertheless, the occurrence of attractors is possible also in this case, as shown in Fig. 1(b), and the reason again is that we are far from the weak perturbation limit. Attractors also occur when the gravitational effects are important, i.e., when \( W \) is of
order of 1 or larger. As shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d), this is possible for both nonhyperbolic and hyperbolic passive advection. We consider that the gravitational field points along the y-direction.

It is worth noting the rich variety of possibilities for the motion of aerosols under gravity, which includes both the trapping in smooth open flows described here, the suspension in random closed flows discussed in [12], and the increased average settling velocity when the aerosols are in an infinite, periodic, cellular fluid flow [13]. Note that the mechanism for suspension presented in [12] is based on the change of sign of the curvature of the streamlines while our mechanism is based on the vortex-to-vortex advection of the aerosols. In particular, the curvature of the streamlines of the blinking vortex-source system is one-signed and does not satisfy the conditions considered in [12].

The stroboscopic sections shown in Fig. 1 correspond to attractors that are simple periodic orbits. The full physical space projection of one such attractor is shown in Fig. 2(a). But strange attractors can also occur, as shown in Fig. 2(b). They are formed when either A or W is increased (see Figs. 2(c-d)). The bifurcation diagrams are dominated by the period-doubling route.

The trapping of aerosols in open flows displaying chaotic advection is a general phenomenon. The dynamics defined by Eq. (1) is dissipative. In open flows, dissipation is not a sufficient condition for the occurrence of bounded attractors. However, this condition becomes sufficient in periodic flows if a set of initial conditions with positive volume is advected back to itself after one time period. In the case of bubbles, this condition is frequently satisfied because bubbles tend to remain inside closed orbits of the fluid particles generated by vortices [4, 5]. This mechanism cannot explain the existence of attractors in the case of aerosols because, for heavy particles, rather the opposite happens due to the centrifugal force. However, when multiple vortices are present in the flow and remain confined to a bounded region, we show that a different mechanism can give rise to attractors in which the aerosols are trapped. The mechanism of trapping is in this case based on successive “escape
FIG. 3: Trajectories of an aerosol (black) and a fluid particle (gray) of same initial position. In (a), the aerosol and the fluid particle also have the same initial velocity, whereas in (b-d) the aerosol simply continues the trajectory initiated in (a) and detaches from the fluid. The point source that is open during each time interval is shown as a black dot (the gray dot corresponds to the other one). The dashed lines represent the trajectory of the aerosol before the time interval indicated in the figure. After 2 periods of the fluid flow, the aerosol is already very close to a periodic attractor [cf. Fig. 2(a)]. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 1(b).

“attempts” from distinct vortices. As shown in Fig. 3, a possible outcome of the motion of aerosols outwards successive vortices is the formation of bounded orbits. Trapping occurs if this happens for a set of orbits with positive volume, as shown for the blinking vortex system.

To demonstrate the generality of our results, we now consider a system with moving vortices: the leapfrogging vortex system [14], which is a 2-dimensional flow consisting of two vortex pairs of equal strength. These vortex pairs move along the direction of a symmetry axis that separates them as a consequence of mutual influence [15]. Denoting the positions of the vortices by \((x_1, \pm y_1)\) and \((x_2, \pm y_2)\), we take \(x_1 = x_2 = 0, y_1 = 0.5,\) and \(y_2 = 1.5\) as the vortex coordinates at \(t = 0\). The Hamiltonian \(H(x_0, x_r, 2y_0, \frac{W}{2}) = 0.5 \ln \left( \frac{(x_2^2 + 2y_0^2)(2y_0^2 - 4(y_r/2)^2)}{(x_1^2 + 4(y_r/2)^2)} \right)\) describes the periodic motion of the vortices, where \(x_0 = (x_1 + x_2)/2, y_0 = (y_1 + y_2)/2, x_r = (x_2 - x_1),\) and \(y_r = (y_2 - y_1).\) The streamfunction in a reference frame whose origin is the point \((x_0(t), 0)\) reads \(\Psi(x, y, t) = \ln \left( \frac{(r_3r_4)}{(r_1r_2)} \right) - \dot{x}_0(t)y,\) where \(r_{1(2)}^2 = [x - x_{1(2)}(t)]^2 + [y - y_{1(2)}(t)]^2\) and \(r_{3(4)}^2 = [x - x_{2(1)}(t)]^2 + [y + y_{2(1)}(t)]^2.\) In this reference frame, the fluid particles come from \(x = \infty,\) are scattered in the region close to the origin, where the vortices are confined, and finally move towards the negative direction of the \(x\)-axis. We have investigated the dynamics of aerosols in this flow and we found trapping for a broad range of the parameter \(A.\) Figure 4 illustrates the trapping of aerosols in this flow for \(A = 50\) and \(W = 0.\)

In the general case of nonhyperbolic passive advection, we can demonstrate the formation of attractors explicitly for \(A \gg 1\) and \(W \ll 1\) using a first-order approximation [11] of the dynamics given by \(\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{u} - W\mathbf{n} - \frac{1}{A} (2\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} - (W\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}.\) If \(W \ll 1\) and the magnitude of the term inside the brackets is much smaller than \(A,\) the dynamics corresponds to a small perturbation of the passive advection in a 2-dimensional effective phase space. If the divergence \(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r}\) of the velocity field is negative, as in the blinking vortex-source system.
FIG. 4: Trapping of aerosols in the leapfrogging vortex flow: physical space projection of the attractors (red × symbols) and corresponding basins of attraction (colored regions) for initial velocities equal to the fluid velocity at $t \mod T = 0.8$, where $T$ is the period of the flow. Also shown are the velocity field of the fluid (black arrows) and the positions of the vortices (black dots) at the same instant. The red curves indicate the orbits described by the attractors. See animation at [http://www.pks.mpg.de/~rdvilela/leapfrogging.html](http://www.pks.mpg.de/~rdvilela/leapfrogging.html)

KAM islands of the passive advection are expected to be transformed into basins of attraction. The formation of attractors in KAM islands has been observed in the advection of bubbles [5] and in the study of Hamiltonian systems [17]. However, in a very neat contrast with the mechanism previously considered in the study of bubbles, in the case of aerosols the KAM islands that give rise to attractors describe the dynamics of particles that necessarily visit more than one vortex. From the relation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} = (\omega^2 - s^2)/(2A)$ [11], we can see that in these islands the strain $s$ must dominate over the vorticity $\omega$. We emphasize, however, that the phenomenon of trapping of aerosols is far more general since it also occurs in non-perturbative regimes (small $A$ and large $W$) and in the absence of KAM islands, as shown in Figs. [14]

In conclusion, we have shown the occurrence of trapping of heavy particles in open flows. This phenomenon does not depend on the nonhyperbolicity of the passive advection and is possible even when the gravitational effect is large. An experiment to demonstrate trapping in the leapfrogging vortex system could be done with air as the working fluid [18]. In this case, the condition $\rho_f/\rho_p \ll 1$ is fulfilled for virtually all solid and liquid particles. The trapping mechanism reported here provides a mechanism for the concentration of heavy particles in specific regions of the physical space. This may play a role in planetesimal formation in primordial nebula with rapidly rotating anticyclonic vortices, where the centrifugal force prevails over the Coriolis force. It may also be useful for particle-fluid and particle-particle (different size classes) separation in industrial applications.
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