Declines in marathon performance: Sex differences in elite and recreational athletes
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Abstract

The first aim of this study was to determine the age group at which marathon performance declines in top male and female runners and to compare that to the runners of average ability. Another aim of this study was to examine the age-related yearly decline in marathon performance between age group winners and the average marathon finisher. Data from the New York (NYC), Boston, and Chicago marathons from 2001–2016 were analyzed. Age, sex, and location were used in multiple linear regression models to determine the rate of decline in marathon times. Winners of each age group were assessed in 5-year increments from 16 through 74 years old (n = 47 per age group). The fastest times were between 25–34 years old, with overall champion males at 28.3 years old, and overall champion females at 30.8 years old (p = 0.004). At 35 years of age up to 74 years of age, female age group winners had a faster yearly decline in marathon finishing times compared to male age group winners, irrespective of marathon location [women = (min:sec) 2:33 per year, n = 336; men = 2:06 per year, n = 373, p < 0.01]. The median times between each age group only slowed beginning at 50 years old, thereafter the decline was similar between both men and women (women = 2:36, n = 140; men = 2:57, n = 150, p = 0.11). The median times were fastest at Boston and similar between Chicago and NYC. In conclusion, the rate of decline at 35 years old up to 74 years old is roughly linear (adjusted r² = 0.88, p < 0.001) with female age group winners demonstrating 27 s per year greater decline per year compared to male age group winners.

Introduction

The relationship between age and running time in elite marathoners is U-shaped, where between 15 and 25 years old, marathon times get faster, and then after about 35 years of age, marathon times get slower [1–4]. Since ~ 40 to 77% of the variation in marathon performance is accounted for by differences in maximal oxygen consumption [5–10], then the 1–2% decline in maximal oxygen consumption after 25–35 years of age [11] is partially responsible for the age-related decline in marathon performance. Aging studies show that peak endurance performance is maintained until ~ 35 years of age [3, 4, 12], after which
maximal oxygen consumption, lactate threshold, and exercise economy all progressively decline [11, 13]. As well, a reduction in overall exercise training stimulus tends to decline with age, too [13].

Over the past 16 years, the vast majority of the overall marathon winners come from the 25–29 and 30 to 34 years old age groups (Tables 1 and 2). Studies demonstrate that at ~35 years of age, the “slow-down” of marathon finishing times is relatively linear in elite runners with a ~2.5% per year rate of decline [1]. However, the annual rate of decline in marathon performance (minutes:seconds) in age-group winners compared to average finishers is not known. Some studies use only the mean finishing times for a given age range to determine the rate of decline [14, 15], while others only used data from one or two marathons spread over one to two years [1, 2] or one marathon spread over many years [3, 16, 17]. In the former studies [14, 15] the lack of a decline in marathon times between 20–49 or 20–54 years is due to combining all the finishers, fast and slow, together in each age group and generating a mean finishing time for each age group. Combining all runners together not help in deciphering the annual rate of decline between the top runners compared to the average finishers as the mean data is influenced by slower and faster runners, extreme outliers, and the number of finishers per age group. As such, the slowest and fastest finishers in each age group cancel out, and a meaningful understanding of the decline in marathon performance with aging cannot be ascertained. The studies that analyzed overall winners and age group winners together with the rest of the finishers [14, 15] does not allow one to separately compare the rate of decline between the top runners versus the average runner, as we sought to do. In other studies, a quadratic function was used to fit the data [1–3], but we feel that a simple linear model could also fit the age-related decline in marathon performance beginning at 35 years old. The first

| Year | Boston | New York City | Chicago |
|------|--------|---------------|---------|
| 2001 | Lee, Bong-Ju | Tesfaye, Jifar | Kimondu, Ben |
| 2002 | Rop, Rodgers | Rop, Rodgers | Khannouchi, Khalid |
| 2003 | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech | Lel, Martin | Rutto, Evans |
| 2004 | Cherigat, Timothy | Ramaala, Hendrik | Rutto, Evans |
| 2005 | Negussie, Hailu | Tergat, Paul | Limo, Felix |
| 2006 | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech | Gomes Dos Santos, Marlison | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech |
| 2007 | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech | Lel, Martin | Ivuti, Patrick |
| 2008 | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech | Gomes Dos Santos, Marlison | Cheruiyot, Evans |
| 2009 | Merga, Deriba | Keflezighi, Meb | Wanjiru, Sammy |
| 2010 | Cheruiyot, Robert Kipkoech | Gebremariam, Gebre | Wanjiru, Sammy |
| 2011 | Mutai, Geoffrey | Mutai, Geoffrey | Mosop, Moses |
| 2012 | Korir, Wesley | The marathon was not run | Kebede, Tsegaye |
| 2013 | Desisa, Lelisa | Mutai, Geoffrey | Kinetto, Dennis |
| 2014 | Keflezighi, Meb | Kipsang, Wilson | Kiphcoge, Eliud |
| 2015 | Desisa, Lelisa | Biwott, Stanley | Chumba, Dickson |
| 2016 | Hayle, Lemhi Berhanu | Ghebreslassie, Ghirmay | Kirui, Abel |
| Average | 28.1 | 29.5 | 27.4 |
| SD | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| Min | 21.6 | 21.0 | 22.9 |
| Max | 39.0 | 36.4 | 34.4 |

The last names are present first, followed by the first names.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.t001
The aim of this study was to determine the age group at which marathon performance declines in top male and female runners and to compare that to the runners of average ability (those that finish in the middle of their age group). Based on the data from Leyk and colleagues who examined the top 10 overall finishers from several German marathons between 2003–2005 [14], it is our hypothesis that the decline in marathon performance would begin at about 35 years old (i.e. the 35–39 years age group). For the runners of average ability, the age-related decline in performance would begin later, perhaps at around 50 years old, as demonstrated elsewhere [14].

Other studies only focus on increasing participation rates or performances in older runners [17–20], or pacing strategies [21, 22] and do not examine declining performances across a wide range of ages. Thus, another aim of this study was to examine the age-related decline in marathon performance between age group winners and the average marathon finisher (the median running time in his/her age group). Based on the data by Lehto and colleagues [3], it was our hypothesis that the decline in marathon performance would be fairly linear, with the age-group winners slowing down at a faster rate compared to the average finisher. Fairly linear means that the multiple linear regression model would have an $R^2$ of at least 0.8.

There are several case studies and original research papers that look at the effects of aging on marathon performance [1–4, 12, 14–18, 23–27]. However, there is a combination of several aspects of this study which makes it novel. First, we chose a recent 16-year period of analyses (2001–2016) to account for year to year differences in the weather, which affects finishing times [28, 29]. Some studies do not do this [1, 2]. Second, we combined data from New York City, Chicago, and Boston Marathons because these three marathons have the most

### Table 2. Overall female marathon champions and their respective ages.

| Year | Boston | New York City | Chicago |
|------|--------|---------------|---------|
|      | Name   | age | Name   | age | Name   | Age |
| 2001 | Ndereba, Catherine | 28.8 | Okayo, Margaret | 25.5 | Nderaba, Catherine | 29.2 |
| 2002 | Okayo, Margaret | 25.9 | Chepchumba, Joyce | 32.0 | Radcliffe, Paula | 28.8 |
| 2003 | Zakharova, Svetlana | 32.6 | Okayo, Margaret | 27.4 | Zakharova, Svetlana | 33.1 |
| 2004 | Ndereba, Catherine | 31.8 | Radcliffe, Paula | 30.9 | Tomescu-Dita, Constantina | 34.7 |
| 2005 | Ndereba, Catherine | 32.8 | Prokopcuka, Jelena | 29.2 | Kastor, Deena | 32.7 |
| 2006 | Jeptoo, Rita | 25.2 | Prokopcuka, Jelena | 30.1 | Adere, Berhane | 32.9 |
| 2007 | Grigoryeva, Lidiya | 33.3 | Radcliffe, Paula | 33.9 | Adere, Berhane | 33.9 |
| 2008 | Tune, Dire | 22.9 | Radcliffe, Paula | 34.9 | Grigoryeva, Lidiya | 34.8 |
| 2009 | Kosgei, Salina | 32.5 | Tulu, Derartu | 37.6 | Mikitenko, Irina | 37.2 |
| 2010 | Erkesso, Teyba | 27.5 | Kiplagat, Edna | 31.0 | Baysa, Astede | 23.5 |
| 2011 | Kilel, Caroline | 30.1 | Dado, Firehiwot | 27.8 | Dibaba, Ejegayehu | 29.8 |
| 2012 | Cherop, Sharon | 28.1 | The marathon was not run | | Baysa, Astede | 25.5 |
| 2013 | Jeptoo, Rita | 32.2 | Jeptoo, Priscacah | 29.4 | Jeptoo, Rita | 32.7 |
| 2014 | Jeptoo, Rita | 33.2 | Keitany, Mary | 32.8 | Jeptoo, Rita | 33.7 |
| 2015 | Rotich, Caroline | 31.0 | Keitany, Mary | 33.8 | Kiplagat, Florence | 28.6 |
| 2016 | Baysa, Atsede | 29.0 | Keitany, Mary | 34.8 | Kiplagat, Florence | 29.6 |
| Average | 29.8 | | 31.4 | | 31.3 |
| SD | 3.2 | | 3.3 | | 3.6 |
| Min | 22.9 | | 25.5 | | 23.5 |
| Max | 33.3 | | 37.6 | | 37.2 |

The last names are present first, followed by the first names.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.t002
participants in North America [30]. In fact, about ~116,000 runners ran the New York City, Chicago, and Boston Marathons combined in 2016, alone. None of the previous studies used data from all three marathons combined up to 2016.

Methods

Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was sought at Georgia State University (IRB number: H16184, Reference number: 336136) for the use of publicly available data on marathon finishing times from Boston, New York City (NYC), and Chicago marathons between 2001 and 2016. The IRB determined that this research study was not human subjects’ research. Thus we were allowed to proceed without IRB oversight.

As such, data mining was performed by searching publicly available websites for the Boston, NYC, and Chicago marathons. For each finisher, we obtained the sex, marathon finish time, year of the race, age, age group, and the city which the marathon was run. The sample included all participants from each of the 12 age groups (16–19; 20–24; 25–29; 30–34; 35–39; 40–44; 45–49; 50–54; 55–59; 60–64; 65–69; 70–74 years old) for each year between 2001 and 2016.

Statistical analysis

First, to compare the age difference between the sexes for the overall champion runners from each marathon (listed in Tables 1 and 2), we used an independent t-test as the data was normally distributed. To determine whether marathon city influenced finish time in elite and recreational runners, a Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by ranks was used to compare the winning and median finishing times between the three cities when all age groups were placed together, and males and females were placed together. This type of ANOVA was used since the finishing times within each city were not normally distributed. If there was an overall significant difference in the ANOVA, then a Bonferroni correction was used to adjust the p-value for multiple tests. The effect size was then calculated as the $r$, which is the z-score divided by the square root of the sample size. Small, medium, and large effect sizes, were defined as $r = 0.1, 0.3, \text{ and } 0.5$, respectively.

To determine differences in finishing time between age group categories in elite and recreational runners, a Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by ranks was used to compare finishing times among the twelve different age groups within each sex. This type of ANOVA was used since the finishing times within some age groups were not normally distributed. If and when the ANOVA was found to have overall statistical significance ($p < 0.05$), a stepped procedure (homogenous subsets) was used to determine where the significant differences were between the age groups. For this procedure, the $p$-value is adjusted overall, across all tests, and the type I error rate remains at 5%. This method does not compare every age group with every other age group (it does not perform all pairwise comparisons), so that the adjustment of $p$-values does not need to be so strict because there are not as many comparisons with the data, but rather selects age groups that are similar and places them together in subsets. The procedure that SPSS uses (stepped-procedure) begins by ordering the age groups based on the sum of ranks from smallest to largest. If there are ties, the median is used to decide the order. Step one of this procedure is to see whether the first ranked age group is the same as the second and if so, then the third age group is added to see if its ranking is statistically the same as the first two age groups. If yes, then the fourth age group is put together with the first three. At any point statistical significance is found, then the procedure is stopped, and the last age group that was included is now is carried into the next step, and the groups that are not carried forward is a subset (they are essentially the same). In step two, the process is repeated over
again (see the detailed procedure on pages 238–239 and pages 243–246 of the following textbook [31]). We decided on a two-tailed type I probability level of 0.05.

To determine the rate of decline in marathon performance with age, multiple linear regression using the stepwise procedure was conducted to determine which independent variables (age, sex (0 = female, 1 = male), marathon location (Boston, Chicago, NYC)) were predictors of marathon finish time. Separate regression models were made for age group winners and for the average finisher (the median finishing times). Since regression is very sensitive to extreme cases, outliers were removed. Data were screened by identifying outliers. Any outlier, defined as ≥ 3.0 standard deviation of the residuals were eliminated. A plot was created between the standardized residuals (y-axis) and standardized predicted values (x-axis) to see if the values were consistently spread out, which would indicate normality and homoscedasticity. We also examined the probability-probability plot of the regression standardized residuals. Then curve fitting was used to determine whether the rate of decline in marathon performance was a linear or quadratic function.

To compare the rate of decline in marathon finishing times with age between men and women age group winners, we compared slopes by calculating the test statistic as z-scores. Thus, \( z = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{s_{a_1-a_2}} \) where \( a_1 \) is the age rate decline in age group male winners, \( a_2 \) is the age rate decline in age group female winners, \( s_{a_1} \) is the standard error of the slope of the age group male winners, squared; and \( s_{a_2} \) is the standard error of the slope of the age group female winners, squared. To compare the rate of decline between the average finisher for the males and the average finisher of the females, we also compared slopes by calculating the test statistic as z-scores. A z-score of ± 1.96 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 21.0, Chicago, IL.

**Results**

**Missing data**

A small number of specific data points were not available in certain website databases, and thus could not be included in the analysis. The 2012 NYC marathon was canceled, so no data were available. Median finishing times for the NYC marathon were only available for four years (2013–2016), and median data for the Boston Marathon were available for ten years (2006–2016). This included winners of each age category between 2001 and 2016 [S1 Data (all ages, 1125 cases) and S2 Data (≥ 35 years old, 751 cases)] and the median finishers [S3 Data (all ages, 719 cases) and S4 Data (≥ 50 years old, 300 cases)]. A summary of the results for both age group winners (including overall champions) are presented in Figs 1, 3 and 5, and mean marathon performance per age group are presented in Figs 2, 4 and 6. Specifically, a comparison of performances between cities are depicted in Fig 1 (age group winners) and Fig 2 (median finishers in each age group).

**Overall champions of each marathon**

The overall champions for each of the Boston, Chicago, and NYC marathons from 2001–2016 are presented in Tables 1 and 2. From 2001–2016, 47 marathons occurred from these cities. There were 9 men that were overall champions more than once [Cheruiyot (6), Mutai (3), Rop (2), Keflezighi (2), Desisa (2), Lel (2), Gomes Dos Santos (2), Rutto (2), Wanjiru (2)] and 11 women that were overall champions more than once [Jeptoo (5), Ndereba (4), Radcliff (4), Keitany (3), Okayo (3), Baysa (3), Kiplagat (2), Prokopcuka (2), Adere (2),
Zakharova (2), Grigoryeva (2)]. Thus, 49% of the men’s marathons contained repeat winners, and 68% of the women’s marathons contained repeat winners. When comparing these two proportions, there was near significance (Chi-Square = 3.46, \( p = 0.063 \)). The mean age (and SD) for the men’s and women’s winners for all marathons combined were 28.3 (3.7) years old (95% bootstrapped CI = 27.3 to 29.4 years old), and 30.8 (3.4) years old (95% bootstrapped CI = 29.9 to 31.8 years old), respectively. This age difference of 2.5 years (95% bootstrapped CI = 1.0 to 4.0 years) was statistically significant (\( p = 0.004 \)), and was a medium effect (effect size = 2.5/3.8 = 0.66).

Differences in finishing times between cities

There was no difference in finishing times for the winners of each age group between the three cities (Fig 1, \( r = \) about 0.01 for all paired combinations). However, there was a difference in the median finishing times for each age group between the three cities (Fig 2, \( r = 0.59 \) between Boston vs Chicago, and \( r = 0.45 \) between Boston vs. New York, and \( r = 0.1 \) between Chicago and New York). The median finishing times for the Boston Marathon were significantly faster compared to Chicago and New York, respectively, by about 48–50 minutes (\( p < 0.001 \)). There was no statistical difference in median finishing times between Chicago and New York City (adjusted significance, \( p = 0.11 \)). No data was eliminated from the analyses in Figs 1 or 2 (see S1 and S3 Data).
Age-group winners

When the winners of each age group are assessed (n = ~47 data points per age group, Table 3), the fastest times were found to be between 25–34 years old, and then the times became statistically slower beginning at 35 years of age (p < 0.001, Figs 3 and 4).

As we were concerned with the rate of slow-down beginning at 35 years old, we initially examined 751 subjects between 35 and 74 years old that won their respective age group (this includes the overall champions) (S2 Data); however, 42 cases were eliminated due to the fact they were outliers (standard deviation of the residuals were > 3.0). The outlying cases were 568–9, 571, 581–2, 585, 587, 591, 597, 599–601, 659, 661–5, 667–9, 674–81, 683, 685–6, 690–2, 697–9, 703, 721, 724, 727, Regression results (n = 709 data points ≥ 35 years old) indicated an overall model of two variables (age, sex) that significantly predicted winning marathon finishing time [adjusted $r^2 = 0.88$, F(2,706) = 2539, SEE = 10 minutes 14 seconds, p < 0.001, Eq 1]. The fit of the model was excellent (S1 Fig). The model accounted for 88% of the variance in winning marathon times at 35 years old up to 74 years old. About 70% of the variance in the model was accounted for by age, and ~ 18% by sex. The 95% CI of the slope for the decrease in marathon time with age after 34 years old, was (min: sec) 2:14 to 2:23 per...
year. Overall, men were 23:37 to 26:39 faster compared to women (95% CI) beginning at 35 years old. A quadratic function did not enhance the fit beginning at 35 years old up to 74 years old.

**Winning finishing time (min) = 2.31 \cdot (age in years) - 25.14 \cdot (sex) + 69.49 \quad (1)**

(Sex: 0 = female, and 1 = male)

Furthermore, when comparing males versus females, the results demonstrate that female winners slow down at a faster rate than male winners beginning at 35 years old [women = 2:33 (min:sec) per year, 95% CI: 2:27 to 2:40, n = 336; men = 2:06, 95% CI: 2:02 to 2:11, n = 373] (z = 6.48, Df = 705, p < 0.001).

**Average finisher**

As for the average man/woman finisher who finishes in the middle of his/her age group (n = 30 data points per age group, Table 4), the slowing of marathon times does not begin until 50 years old for both sexes (Figs 5 and 6).
As we were concerned with the rate of slow-down for the median finishers in each age group, we initially examined subjects between 50 and 74 years old that placed at the 50th percentile for their group. There were 300 subjects between 50 and 74 years old that won their respective age group, but 10 data points were eliminated due to the fact they were outliers (standard deviation of the residuals were ≥ 3.0). These outliers were cases 186, 213, 242, 250, 252–3, 262–4, 266 (S4 Data). Regression results (n = 290 data points) indicated an overall model of three variables (city, age, sex) that significantly predicted the median marathon finishing time \[\text{adjusted } r^2 = 0.86, F(3,286) = 608, \text{SEE} = 13 \text{ minutes 3 seconds, } p < 0.001, \text{ Eq 2}]. The fit of the model was very good (S2 Fig). The model accounted for 86% of the variance in median finishing time at 50 years old up to 74 years old. About 40% of the variance in the model was accounted for by the city, ~29% by age, and ~18% by sex. Since the median times were not different between Chicago or NYC (Fig 2), they were grouped together. The 95% CI of the slope for the decrease in marathon time with age beginning at 50 years old (min: sec) was 2:36 to 3:02. A quadratic function did not enhance the fit in the subjects beginning at

---

**Fig 4. Marathon finishing times for the female winners in each age group category.** The whiskers (error bars) above and below each box represent the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively. Each box represents the 25th (bottom border), 50th (middle border), and 75th (top border) percentiles. The solid black circles represent data that are above the 90th percentile or below the 10th percentile, respectively. When examining the four fastest age groups (20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39 years of age), there were differences between the finishing times between the four age groups, overall (Kruskal-Wallis Analysis of Variance on Ranks, \( p < 0.001 \)). Using a step-down procedure for multiple comparisons, mean times were similar between 25–34 years of age (\( p = 0.95 \) for the 2-sided test, adjusted significance, \( p = 1.00 \)) and then increased at the 35–39 years old age group. A Mann-Whitney test revealed significant differences between the 30–34 (\( n = 47 \)) and 35–39 (\( n = 47 \)) years old age groups (\( p < 0.01 \)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.g004
50 years old up to 74 years old.

\[
\text{Median finishing time (min)} = 46.96 \cdot (\text{city}) + 2.81 \cdot (\text{age}) - 29.77 \cdot (\text{sex}) + 57.18 \quad (2)
\]

(For City: 1 = Boston, 2 = Chicago or NYC; for Sex 1 = male, 0 = female)

Furthermore, when comparing males versus females, the results demonstrate that the average female slows down similarly compared to the average male beginning at 50 years old [women = 2:36 (min:sec) per year, 95% CI: 2:16 to 2:56, n = 140; men = 2:57, 95% CI: 2:40 to 3:14, n = 150] (\(z = 1.60, Df = 286, p = 0.111\)).

**Discussion**

The first aim of this study was to determine the age group at which marathon performance declines in top male and female runners and to compare that to the runners of average ability. Based on data from three of the largest marathons in the U.S., it was determined that fastest runners are at their best between 25 and 34 years of age, and statistically slow down at 35 years of age. In this large dataset, the mean age for the overall champions of the three marathons for men was 27.3 to 29.4 years old, and for the women was 29.9 to 31.8 years old (95% bootstrapped...
CI). These confidence intervals fall within previous reports of peak performance of the marathon and confirm that elite women tend to achieve peak performance 1–2 years later than elite men [23–25, 32, 33]. With regards to the male and female runners of average ability, performance declines were noticed beginning at 50 years old.

Another purpose of this study was to examine the age-related decline in marathon performance between age group winners and the average marathon finisher (the median running time in his/her age group). Age-group winning women runners slowed down at about 30 s per year faster rate compared to age group winning men runners in a linear fashion from 35 years of age to 74 years of age, while the average man and women finisher declines similarly (2 minutes and 47 s per year beginning at 50 years old up to 74 years old). This data is similar to Leyk et al. (2010) who demonstrated that when grouping all runners together (age group winners and all other runners), marathon times do not change until after 54 years old [15]. In fact, their first figure [15] is similar to Figs 5 and 6 of this paper. While the location did not matter for age-group winners, the Boston Marathon had faster median finishing times compared to NYC and Chicago because Boston has strict qualification standards. New York and Chicago marathons also have a guaranteed entry for those that meet a qualification time (based on age and sex), but most individuals that enter the Chicago or NYC marathons have entered into a

Fig 6. Median marathon finishing times for the females in each age group category. The whiskers (error bars) above and below each box represent the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively. Each box represents the 25th (bottom border) 50th (middle border), and 75th (top border) percentiles. The solid black circles represent data that are above the 90th percentile or below the 10th percentile, respectively. Using a step-down procedure for multiple comparisons, median times were similar between 24–49 years of age (p = 0.07 for the 2-sided test, adjusted significance, p = 0.14) and then increased in the 50–54 years old age group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.g006
random lottery draw, where no qualification time is needed. No random lottery draw exists for the Boston Marathon. Although city (or more appropriately, the qualifying standard) does influence the actual finishing time of the median finisher and therefore was appropriate to include in the model, its inclusion should not detract from the broader question regarding the influence of age and sex on declines in marathon performance.

This is the first study to concurrently examine more than a decade of age group winners and median finishers from multiple marathons. As such, the dataset obtained from these three marathons permitted the development of linear models superior to those within the existing literature. Indeed, this data is descriptive in nature. However, the analyses allow us to confirm a few interesting observations.

The first interesting observation was that about 70% of the decline in the winners' finishing times beginning at 35 years old was due to aging while only about 20% was due to sex. Thus, compared to the physiological effects of aging, differences between sexes played a minor role in declining in marathon performance. This held true even for the average runners, where age was twice as important to the decrease in median finishing times compared to sex. However, the contribution of sex to declines in marathon performance is not necessarily solely due to

### Table 3. Descriptive data for the female and male winning time for each age group using the combined data for Boston (2001–2016), NYC (2001–2016), and Chicago marathons (2001–2016).

| Age group category (years) | Sample size | Mean (SD) age in years | Mean (SD) winning time in minutes | Median winning time in minutes | 95% CI for the mean winning time in minutes | 95% CI for the median winning time in minutes |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Females                   |             |                        |                                   |                               |                                             |                                             |
| 16–19                     | 46          | 18.9 (0.3)             | 201.5 (19.5)                      | 200.2                         | 196.4 to 207.2                              | 194.0 to 205.2                              |
| 20–24                     | 47          | 22.9 (1.2)             | 155.3 (10.4)                      | 152.3                         | 152.4 to 158.2                              | 149.4 to 159.1                              |
| 25–29                     | 47          | 27.3 (1.4)             | 145.7 (6.0)                       | 145.2                         | 143.9 to 147.4                              | 144.3 to 147.0                              |
| 30–34                     | 47          | 32.0 (1.4)             | 145.7 (4.0)                       | 145.1                         | 144.4 to 146.8                              | 144.4 to 146.1                              |
| 35–39                     | 47          | 36.6 (1.4)             | 153.0 (8.8)                       | 149.5                         | 150.5 to 155.8                              | 148.4 to 152.8                              |
| 40–44                     | 47          | 41.1 (1.1)             | 160.5 (9.2)                       | 160.2                         | 157.9 to 163.3                              | 154.3 to 165.2                              |
| 45–49                     | 47          | 46.2 (1.4)             | 174.8 (8.1)                       | 174.4                         | 172.5 to 177.6                              | 171.6 to 178.0                              |
| 50–54                     | 47          | 51.5 (1.4)             | 186.6 (9.6)                       | 186.3                         | 183.7 to 189.2                              | 183.7 to 189.2                              |
| 55–59                     | 47          | 56.4 (1.2)             | 197.1 (13.5)                      | 197.1                         | 193.1 to 201.3                              | 192.9 to 201.1                              |
| 60–64                     | 47          | 60.9 (1.1)             | 213.3 (12.7)                      | 212.4                         | 209.8 to 217.0                              | 209.4 to 217.1                              |
| 65–69                     | 47          | 65.9 (1.1)             | 235.1 (17.8)                      | 231.6                         | 230.0 to 240.3                              | 229.1 to 239.9                              |
| 70–74                     | 46          | 71.0 (1.2)             | 276.8 (36.2)                      | 269.4                         | 266.7 to 287.1                              | 264.4 to 287.2                              |
| Males                     |             |                        |                                   |                               |                                             |                                             |
| 16–19                     | 46          | 18.7 (0.5)             | 167.7 (14.0)                      | 169.0                         | 163.7 to 171.7                              | 164.0 to 172.3                              |
| 20–24                     | 47          | 22.9 (1.3)             | 134.2 (5.9)                       | 133.7                         | 132.6 to 138.9                              | 132.2 to 135.7                              |
| 25–29                     | 47          | 27.2 (1.5)             | 128.9 (2.7)                       | 129.0                         | 128.2 to 129.8                              | 128.1 to 129.8                              |
| 30–34                     | 47          | 31.7 (1.4)             | 130.0 (2.7)                       | 129.5                         | 129.2 to 130.7                              | 129.1 to 130.7                              |
| 35–39                     | 47          | 36.9 (1.5)             | 136.4 (6.1)                       | 135.1                         | 134.7 to 138.2                              | 134.3 to 138.0                              |
| 40–44                     | 47          | 41.1 (1.2)             | 143.3 (5.6)                       | 144.0                         | 141.6 to 145.0                              | 141.6 to 145.2                              |
| 45–49                     | 47          | 46.6 (1.3)             | 152.2 (6.2)                       | 151.2                         | 150.6 to 154.0                              | 150.5 to 154.0                              |
| 50–54                     | 47          | 51.0 (1.2)             | 159.9 (5.3)                       | 159.5                         | 158.4 to 161.4                              | 158.3 to 161.3                              |
| 55–59                     | 47          | 56.2 (1.3)             | 169.8 (6.0)                       | 169.3                         | 168.1 to 171.5                              | 167.8 to 171.4                              |
| 60–64                     | 47          | 61.0 (1.2)             | 178.3 (7.6)                       | 177.9                         | 176.1 to 180.4                              | 176.0 to 180.0                              |
| 65–69                     | 47          | 66.2 (1.3)             | 194.4 (9.0)                       | 194.5                         | 191.9 to 196.9                              | 191.9 to 196.9                              |
| 70–74                     | 47          | 71.2 (1.4)             | 217.1 (16.5)                      | 214.5                         | 212.7 to 221.8                              | 208.0 to 219.8                              |

The 95% CI is made from 1000 bootstrapped samples

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.t003

random lottery draw, where no qualification time is needed. No random lottery draw exists for the Boston Marathon. Although city (or more appropriately, the qualifying standard) does influence the actual finishing time of the median finisher and therefore was appropriate to include in the model, its inclusion should not detract from the broader question regarding the influence of age and sex on declines in marathon performance.

This is the first study to concurrently examine more than a decade of age group winners and median finishers from multiple marathons. As such, the dataset obtained from these three marathons permitted the development of linear models superior to those within the existing literature. Indeed, this data is descriptive in nature. However, the analyses allow us to confirm a few interesting observations.

The first interesting observation was that about 70% of the decline in the winners' finishing times beginning at 35 years old was due to aging while only about 20% was due to sex. Thus, compared to the physiological effects of aging, differences between sexes played a minor role in declining in marathon performance. This held true even for the average runners, where age was twice as important to the decrease in median finishing times compared to sex. However, the contribution of sex to declines in marathon performance is not necessarily solely due to
physiological differences between men and women. Previous data has demonstrated that approximately 33% of the variation in marathon performance attributed to sex differences is partly due to a greater participation rate in men [12]. In other words, there may be plenty of women in the world who are physiologically capable of performances similar to the female winners in each age group, but a relative lack of actual participants in the marathon skews the average times of the top female competitors. This may be especially so for elite women, where the very best female runners may simply have less competition, which may alter strategy and influence finishing times. In 2015, 56% of U.S. marathon finishers were men, while 44% were women [34]. In this study, there was an 11% difference in marathon times between men and women at the age of peak performance (Table 3); thus about 3.7% of that 11% difference may be attributed to more men participating in the marathon compared to women [12]. In other words, our data demonstrates that 18% of the variation in marathon performance is due to a sex difference, but 6% of that 18% shared variance is due to greater male participants compared to female participants.

Table 4. Descriptive data for the female and male median finishing time for each age group using the combined data for Boston (2001–2016), NYC (2001–2016), and Chicago marathons (2001–2016).

| Age group category (years) | Sample size | Mean (SD) age in years | The mean (SD) of the “median” finishing times in minutes | The median of the “median” finishing times in minutes | 95% CI for the mean of all “median” finishing times in minutes | 95% CI for the median of all the “median” finishing times in minutes |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Females**               |             |                        |                                                        |                                                 |                                               |                                               |
| 16–19                     | 29          | 18.5 (0.8)              | 278.8 (26.6)                                           | 279.4                                           | 269.2 to 288.1                               | 270.9 to 298.0                               |
| 20–24                     | 30          | 23.0 (1.1)              | 262.7 (25.2)                                           | 272.9                                           | 252.8 to 271.9                               | 256.7 to 277.9                               |
| 25–29                     | 30          | 27.3 (1.3)              | 262.6 (26.2)                                           | 274.3                                           | 252.7 to 272.6                               | 257.0 to 278.8                               |
| 30–34                     | 30          | 31.9 (1.5)              | 263.5 (27.3)                                           | 275.4                                           | 254.1 to 273.5                               | 261.2 to 279.5                               |
| 35–39                     | 30          | 36.8 (1.3)              | 264.2 (25.3)                                           | 274.7                                           | 254.8 to 273.3                               | 255.1 to 280.8                               |
| 40–44                     | 30          | 42.0 (1.5)              | 266.5 (24.8)                                           | 275.9                                           | 257.0 to 275.8                               | 251.8 to 281.7                               |
| 45–49                     | 30          | 46.4 (1.5)              | 273.4 (24.8)                                           | 284.6                                           | 264.4 to 282.6                               | 275.0 to 287.1                               |
| 50–54                     | 30          | 51.7 (1.4)              | 283.4 (26.0)                                           | 294.5                                           | 273.2 to 292.6                               | 277.3 to 297.3                               |
| 55–59                     | 30          | 56.8 (1.3)              | 293.7 (26.6)                                           | 301.5                                           | 284.0 to 303.5                               | 292.6 to 305.2                               |
| 60–64                     | 30          | 61.3 (1.4)              | 310.3 (26.0)                                           | 320.6                                           | 300.4 to 319.4                               | 299.1 to 324.0                               |
| 65–69                     | 30          | 66.3 (1.3)              | 315.9 (28.6)                                           | 321.6                                           | 306.0 to 326.6                               | 304.8 to 330.9                               |
| 70–74                     | 30          | 71.6 (1.4)              | 340.8 (43.3)                                           | 351.1                                           | 325.0 to 355.8                               | 316.9 to 361.2                               |
| **Males**                 |             |                        |                                                        |                                                 |                                               |                                               |
| 16–19                     | 30          | 18.4 (0.7)              | 242.7 (32.0)                                           | 250.7                                           | 230.7 to 253.9                               | 234.7 to 260.6                               |
| 20–24                     | 30          | 22.7 (1.5)              | 232.8 (29.1)                                           | 241.1                                           | 222.7 to 243.3                               | 219.7 to 251.1                               |
| 25–29                     | 30          | 27.6 (1.1)              | 233.3 (30.0)                                           | 247.4                                           | 222.2 to 244.5                               | 231.3 to 249.6                               |
| 30–34                     | 30          | 31.8 (1.2)              | 234.7 (28.5)                                           | 247.1                                           | 224.7 to 244.9                               | 232.0 to 249.5                               |
| 35–39                     | 30          | 37.0 (1.4)              | 235.7 (25.2)                                           | 244.9                                           | 225.9 to 244.7                               | 228.3 to 248.3                               |
| 40–44                     | 30          | 41.6 (1.4)              | 237.6 (23.8)                                           | 244.5                                           | 229.5 to 245.9                               | 237.7 to 250.5                               |
| 45–49                     | 30          | 46.6 (1.2)              | 243.5 (21.5)                                           | 251.1                                           | 236.1 to 251.5                               | 244.4 to 254.2                               |
| 50–54                     | 30          | 51.8 (1.5)              | 251.0 (22.1)                                           | 258.1                                           | 243.4 to 258.4                               | 253.2 to 262.2                               |
| 55–59                     | 30          | 56.5 (1.3)              | 262.7 (22.9)                                           | 269.2                                           | 254.5 to 270.5                               | 263.7 to 274.5                               |
| 60–64                     | 30          | 61.6 (1.3)              | 277.2 (22.9)                                           | 283.9                                           | 268.8 to 285.1                               | 281.4 to 288.1                               |
| 65–69                     | 30          | 66.6 (1.4)              | 293.6 (24.4)                                           | 301.6                                           | 284.3 to 301.6                               | 294.0 to 307.8                               |
| 70–74                     | 30          | 71.1 (1.3)              | 310.9 (29.9)                                           | 320.1                                           | 299.8 to 321.2                               | 300.6 to 329.0                               |

The median time is the marathon time that is the 50th percentile for the age group category. Mean (SD). The 95% CI is made from 1000 bootstrapped samples.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172121.t004
A second interesting observation was that the average man slows similarly compared to the average woman beginning at 50 years of age. This is in contrast to the age-group winners, where women slow at a ~30 s per year greater rate than men. We suspect that group differences in reproductive hormonal status may influence these differences. Indeed, menstrual dysfunction is not uncommon in young endurance athletes, with a reported prevalence of ~24% in distance runners [35], and heavy menstrual bleeding more prevalent in elite athletes [36]. It is possible that differences between reproductive hormone status between elite and recreational athletes may influence aging-related effects on performance. For instance, former post-menopausal endurance athletes have enhanced endothelial function compared to age-matched controls, but hormone replacement therapy counters this adaptation in this population [37]. Additionally, age-related changes in body composition may be different between sexes, and this may differ between competitive runners compared to recreational runners.

It is accepted that human physical performance declines with advancing age and there are multiple theories available to explain this mechanism besides the obvious reduction in aerobic capacity. Some of these theories include decreased motivation [26, 38], the decline in the magnitude of the training stimulus [39], and orthopedic issues [40]. In champion runners, the former two may occur but are unlikely to explain performance declines in the elite population, in particular for those professional runners whose financial income is dependent on fast finishing times.

**Limitations**

The median finishing times for the NYC marathon were only available for four years (2013–2016), and median data for the Boston Marathon were available for ten years (2006–2016). Thus we do not have the complete 2001–2016 data set from these three marathons. However, we are confident that the use of these three marathons over several years provides us with enough data to give a careful analysis. The first figure in the article by Leyk and colleagues [15] is similar to Figs 5 and 6 of this study. Thus we are confident that our results are valid.

There may also be some concern that we eliminated a small percentage of the original data points during the development of the two equations. We considered these data as outliers which were not appropriate to include as part of a valid regression model. However, if all the data is analyzed and no outliers are eliminated from the model, we see that for the age group winners beginning at 35 years of age up to 74 years of age, women still slow down at a faster rate than men, but the rate is now ~60 s per year faster slow-down rate in women compared to men (instead of ~30 s per year difference between women and men). For the average finisher, the results do not change: men and women slow down at similar rates at ≥50 years old up to 74 years old. Because we included the city where the race was run in our regression model, there may be concern that our equation for the median finisher is specific to these three races. Although it is clear that qualifying standards for a marathon (i.e., Boston) can influence actual finishing times, the magnitude of decline is comparable across events. Additionally, our complete dataset is freely available, so that interested researchers can perform their modeling of the data.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, elite performance in the 35–39 years old age group is significantly slower compared to the fastest age groups (25–29 and 30–34 years old age groups), while average runners in the 50–54 years old age group experience a significant reduction in performance compared to younger age groups. At 35 years old, the rate of decline in male age group winners is about two minutes per year, whereas the rate of decline in women age group winners is about two
minutes thirty seconds per year. Average runners can expect to decrease similarly with age, with males and females slowing down at a rate of about 2:49 per year beginning at 50 years old.

**Supporting information**

S1 Data. The SPSS data set for the age group winners (all ages, 1125 cases).
(SAV)

S2 Data. The SPSS data set for age group winners (≥ 35 years old, 751 cases).
(SAV)

S3 Data. The SPSS data set for the median finisher (all ages, 719 cases).
(SAV)

S4 Data. The SPSS data set for the median finisher (≥ 50 years old, 300 cases).
(SAV)

S1 Fig. Visual Fit of Eq 1 in PowerPoint. For the age-group winners: First, a histogram of the frequency of the data points versus the standardized residuals is plotted on slide 1. Slide 2 shows the probability-probability plot. Slide 3 shows the standardized residuals plotted against the standardized predicted values.
(PPTX)

S2 Fig. Visual Fit of Eq 2 in PowerPoint. For the median finishers: First, a histogram of the frequency of the data points versus the standardized residuals is plotted on slide 1. Slide 2 shows the probability-probability plot. Slide 3 shows the standardized residuals plotted against the standardized predicted values.
(PPTX)

**Acknowledgments**

This study received no funding. This paper was presented as a poster at the 2016 American College of Sports Medicine Conference in Boston, MA. The decline in marathon performance begins at about 35 years of age in top runners. Zavorsky GS, Tomko KA, Smoliga JM. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2016 May;48(5 Suppl 1):339. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000486028.23569.e9.

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** GSZ KAT JMS.

**Data curation:** GSZ KAT.

**Formal analysis:** GSZ.

**Investigation:** GSZ KAT JMS.

**Methodology:** GSZ.

**Project administration:** KAT.

**Resources:** GSZ.

**Supervision:** GSZ JMS.

**Validation:** JMS.

**Visualization:** GSZ.
Writing – original draft: KAT GSZ.
Writing – review & editing: GSZ JMS KAT.

References

1. Lara B, Salinero JJ, Del Coso J. The relationship between age and running time in elite marathoners is U-shaped. Age. 2014; 36(2):1003–8. doi: 10.1007/s11357-013-9614-z PMID: 24407890
2. Connick MJ, Beckman EM, Tweedy SM. Relative Age Affects Marathon Performance in Male and Female Athletes. J Sports Sci Med. 2015; 14(3):669–74. PMID: 26336355
3. Lehto N. Effects of age on marathon finishing time among male amateur runners in Stockholm Marathon 1979–2014. J Sport Health Sci. 2016; 5(3):349–54.
4. Knechtle B, Assadi H, Lepers R, Rosemann T, Rust CA. Relationship between age and elite marathon race time in world single age records from 5 to 93 years. BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil. 2014; 6:31. doi: 10.1186/2052-1847-6-31 PMID: 25120915
5. Florence S, Weir JP. Relationship of critical velocity to marathon running performance. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1997; 75(3):274–8. PMID: 9088849
6. Billat VL, Demarle A, Slawinski J, Paiva M, Koralsztein JP. Physical and training characteristics of top-class marathon runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001; 33(12):2089–97. PMID: 11740304
7. Hagan RD, Upton SJ, Duncan JJ, Gettman LR. Marathon performance in relation to maximal aerobic power and training indices in female distance runners. Br J Sports Med. 1987; 21(1):3–7. PMID: 3580726
8. Loftin M, Sothern M, Koss C, Tuuri G, Vanvrancenk C, Kontos A, et al. Energy expenditure and influence of physiologic factors during marathon running. J Strength Cond Res. 2007; 21(4):1188–91. doi: 10.1519/R-22666.1 PMID: 18076277
9. Maughan RJ, Leiper JB. Aerobic capacity and fractional utilisation of aerobic capacity in elite and non-elite male and female marathon runners. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1983; 52(1):80–7. PMID: 6686134
10. Lavin KM, Straub AM, Uhranowsky KA, Smoliga JM, Zavorsky GS. Alveolar-membrane diffusing capacity limits performance in Boston marathon qualifiers. PLoS One. 2012; 7(9):e44513. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044513
11. Trappe S. Marathon runners: how do they age? Sports Med. 2007; 37(4–5):302–5. PMID: 17465594
12. Hunter SK, Stevens AA. Sex differences in marathon running with advanced age: physiology or participation? Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013; 45(1):148–56. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182690006 PMID: 22843112
13. Tanaka H, Seals DR. Endurance exercise performance in Masters athletes: age-associated changes and underlying physiological mechanisms. J Physiol. 2008; 586(1):55–63. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.141879 PMID: 17717011
14. Leyk D, Erley O, Ridder D, Leurs M, Ruther T, Wunderlich M, et al. Age-related changes in marathon and half-marathon performances. Int J Sports Med. 2007; 28(6):513–7. PMID: 1760902
15. Leyk D, Ruther T, Wunderlich M, Sievert A, Essfeld D, Witzki A, et al. Physical performance in middle age and old age: good news for our sedentary and aging society. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2010; 107(46):809–16. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2010.0809 PMID: 21151416
16. Santos-Lozano A, Angulo AM, Collado PS, Sanchis-Gomar F, Pareja-Galeano H, Fiuza-Luces C, et al. Aging’s effects on marathon performance insights from the New York City race. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2015; 10(7):840–7. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2014-0366 PMID: 25671466
17. Jokl P, Sethi PM, Cooper AJ. Master’s performance in the New York City Marathon 1983–1999. Br J Sports Med. 2004; 38(4):408–12. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2002.003566 PMID: 15273172
18. Lepers R, Cattagni T. Do older athletes reach limits in their performance during marathon running? Age. 2012; 34(3):773–81. doi: 10.1007/s11357-011-9271-z PMID: 21617894
19. Anthony D, Rust CA, Cribari M, Rosemann T, Lepers R, Knechtle B. Differences in participation and performance trends in age group half and full marathoners. Chin J Physiol. 2014; 57(4):209–19. doi: 10.4077/CJP.2014.BAC219 PMID: 25246062
20. Ahmadyar B, Rust CA, Rosemann T, Knechtle B. Participation and performance trends in elderly marathoners in four of the world’s largest marathons during 2004–2011. Springerplus. 2015; 4:465. doi: 10.1186/s40064-015-1254-6 PMID: 26339566
21. Deane RO, Carter RE, Joyner MJ, Hunter SK. Men are more likely than women to slow in the marathon. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015; 47(3):607–16. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000000432 PMID: 24983344

22. March DS, Vanderburgh PM, Titlebaum PJ, Hoops ML. Age, sex, and finish time as determinants of pacing in the marathon. J Strength Cond Res. 2011; 25(2):386–91. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181bftdf0 PMID: 20224445

23. Knechtle B, Aschmann A, Onywera V, Nikolaidis PT, Rosemann T, Rust CA. Performance and age of African and non-African runners in World Marathon Majors races 2000–2014. J Sports Sci. 2016;1–13.

24. Hunter SK, Stevens AA, Magenniss K, Skelton KW, Fauth M. Is there a sex difference in the age of elite marathon runners? Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011; 43(4):656–64. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181f4e00 PMID: 20881885

25. Nikolaidis PT, Onywera VO, Knechtle B. Running Performance, Nationality, Sex and Age in 10km, Half-marathon, Marathon and 100km Ultra-marathon IAAF 1999–2015. J Strength Cond Res. 2016.

26. Addison O, Steinbrenner G, Goldberg AP, Katzel LI. Aging, Fitness, and Marathon Times in a 91-Year-Old Man Who Competed in 627 Marathons. Br J Med Med Res. 2015; 8(12):1074–9. doi: 10.9734/BJMMR/2015/17946 PMID: 26290832

27. Brendle DC, Joseph LJ, Sorkin JD, McNelly D, Katzel LI. Aging and marathon times in an 81-year-old man who competed in 591 marathons. Am J Cardiol. 2003; 91(9):1154–6. PMID: 12714171

28. Ely MR, Cheuvront SN, Roberts WO, Montain SJ. Impact of weather on marathon-running performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007; 39(3):487–93. doi: 10.1249/mss.0b013e31802d3ab PMID: 17473775

29. El Helou N, Tafflet M, Berthelot G, Tolaini J, Marc A, Guillaume M, et al. Impact of environmental parameters on marathon running performance. PLoS One. 2012; 7(5):e37407. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037407 PMID: 22649525

30. Marathonguide.com. http://www.marathonguide.com/

31. Fields A. Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication Inc.; 2014. 916 p.

32. Lara B, Salinero JJ, Del Coso J. The relationship between age and running time in elite marathoners is U-shaped. Age (Dordr). 2014; 36(2):1003–8.

33. Berthelot G, Len S, Helliard P, Tafflet M, Guillaume M, Vollmer JC, et al. Exponential growth combined with exponential decline explains lifetime performance evolution in individual and human species. Age (Dordr). 2012; 34(4):1001–9.

34. Report RUSAAM. http://www.runningusa.org/marathon-report-2016?returnTo=main 2016.

35. Tomten SE. Prevalence of menstrual dysfunction in Norwegian long-distance runners participating in the Oslo Marathon games. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1996; 6(3):164–71. PMID: 8827845

36. Bruinvels G, Burden R, Brown N, Richards T, Pedlar C. The Prevalence and Impact of Heavy Menstrual Bleeding (Menorrhagia) in Elite and Non-Elite Athletes. PLoS One. 2016; 11(2):e0149881. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0149881 PMID: 26901873

37. Hagmar M, Eriksson MJ, Lindholm C, Schenck-Gustafsson K, Hirschberg AL. Endothelial function in post-menopausal former elite athletes. Clin J Sport Med. 2006; 16(3):247–52. PMID: 16778547

38. Medic N, Young BW, Grove JR. Perceptions of five-year competitive categories: model of how relative age influences competitiveness in masters sport. J Sports Sci Med. 2013; 12(4):724–9. PMID: 24421732

39. Katzel LI, Sorkin JD, Fleg JL. A comparison of longitudinal changes in aerobic fitness in older endurance athletes and sedentary men. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2001; 49(12):1657–64. PMID: 11844000

40. Maharam LG, Bauman PA, Kalman D, Skolnik H, Perle SM. Masters athletes: factors affecting performance. Sports Med. 1999; 28(4):273–85. PMID: 10565553