Combination anesthetic therapy: co-delivery of ropivacaine and meloxicam using transcriptional transactivator peptide modified nanostructured lipid carriers in vitro and in vivo
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ABSTRACT

Combination therapy combining two drugs in one modified drug delivery system is used to achieve synergistic analgesic effect, and bring effective control of pain management, especially postoperative pain management. In the present study, a combination of drug delivery technologies was utilized. Transcriptional transactivator (TAT) peptide modified, transdermal nanocarriers were designed to co-deliver ropivacaine (RVC) and meloxicam (MLX) and anticipated to achieve longer analgesic effect and lower side effect. TAT modified nanostructured lipid carriers (TAT-NLCs) were used to co-deliver RVC and MLX. RVC and MLX co-loaded TAT-NLCs (TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX) were evaluated through in vitro skin permeation and in vivo treatment studies. NLCs-RVC/MLX showed uniform and spherical morphology, with a size of 133.4 ± 4.6 nm and a zeta potential of 20.6 ± 1.8 mV. The results illustrated the anesthetic pain relief ability of the present constructed system was significantly improved by the TAT modification through the enhanced skin permeation efficiency and the co-delivery of MLX along with RVC that improved pain management by reducing inflammation at the injured area. This study provides an efficient and facile method for preparing TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX as a promising system to achieve synergistic analgesic effect.

Introduction

Effective control of pain management, especially postoperative pain management, remains challenging up to now (Mathiesen et al., 2012; Rawal, 2016). Researchers have estimated that 75% surgical patients did not accept adequate pain relief in the USA, and their pain converted from acute postoperative pain (nociceptive and inflammatory pain) to chronic pain (Kehlet et al., 2006; Wu & Raja, 2011; Gan et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2016). Therefore, there is a compelling need for exploiting novel drug delivery system and drug combination therapy.

Common drugs widely used for postoperative analgesia include opioids, and nonopiods such as local anesthetics (LAs) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Brigham et al., 2021). Due to opioid-related adverse events (respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, etc.) and short analgesic time (12–24 h) from common LAs injection, various researchers have dedicated themselves to more effective non-opioid analgesics (72 h or more analgesic time), and two new formulations have been approved by the FDA that are lipoosomal bupivacaine EXPAREL (via injection into the surgical site), and bupivacaine/meloxicam (MLX) extended release solution ZYNRELEF KIT (via instillation into the surgical site) (Balocco et al., 2018; Shah et al., 2018; Prabhat et al., 2019; Ottoboni et al., 2020). LAs (bupivacaine, etc.) and NSAIDs (meloxicam, etc.) combination therapy combine with two different mechanisms of action to achieve synergistic analgesic effect, and bring new era in the clinical area of analgesia (Vicsusi et al., 2019). In the present study, transdermal nanocarriers were designed to co-deliver ropivacaine (RVC) and MLX and anticipated to achieve longer analgesic effect and lower side effect.

Ropivacaine, a new aminoamide LA, shows longer analgesic duration (up to 12 h) and less cardiovascular or central nervous system toxicities compared with bupivacaine (Scott et al., 1989; Yang et al., 2019). Ropivacaine hydrochloride injection (Naropin) has been approved for local or regional anesthesia of surgery and for acute pain management, with a plasma clearance rate of 8 L/min, a renal clearance rate of 1 mL/min, and a final half-life of 1.8 h (Peskin, 2005). Due to its appropriate physiochemical property (log P = 2.9, low molecule weight = 274.41), the prerequisites for transdermal delivery system are met (McClure, 1996).

Meloxicam, a long-acting NSAID, inhibits cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2, highly inducible after tissue injury and inflammation) over cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) that can alleviate pain and reduce inflammation at the injured area (Samad et al., 2001). Meloxicam injection (ANJESO) has been approved for use in adults for the management of moderate-to-severe
pain, alone or in combination with non-NSAID analgesics, with a time to peak of 0.12 h and a half-life period of 23.3 h (Anjeso, 2020). Due to its poor aqueous solubility and low skin permeation, researchers have designed suitable transdermal drug delivery system (nanostructured lipid carriers, NLCs) for MLX delivery (Khalil et al., 2014; Khurana et al., 2015).

Current postoperative pharmacotherapy is typically achieved by injectable, transdermal, or subcutaneous routes (Brigham et al., 2021). Transdermal drug delivery system is an effective method for achieving localized drug delivery that can alleviate anxiety and discomfort caused by needle insertion, especially relieve pain for up to 3 h or 3 months via self-administered by the patient (de Araújo et al., 2013; You et al., 2017).

In the previous study, a transcriptional transactivator peptide (TAT) modified lidocaine loaded nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) was designed for topical anesthetic therapy (Wang et al., 2016). In the present study, TAT modified NLCs (TAT-NLCs) were used to co-deliver RVC and MLX. RVC and MLX co-loaded TAT-NLCs (TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX) were evaluated through in vitro skin permeation and in vivo treatment studies.

Materials and methods

Materials

Transcriptional transactivator peptide–polyethylene glycol-1200–distearoyl phosphoethanolamine (TAT–PEG1200–DSPE) was prepared by our lab as reported before (Wang et al., 2016). Ropivacaine, meloxicam, Tween-80, and stearic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Polyoxyl castor oil (Cremophor ELP) was donated by BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany); soybean phospholipids (SPCs) were purchased from Taiwei Pharmaceuticals (Shanghai, China); Labrafac PG (propylene glycol dicaprylocaprate) was a kind offer from Gattefossé (Gennevilliers, France). All other reagents and solvents were analytical or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade. Kunming mice (4–6 weeks old, 18–22 g weight) were purchased from the Medical Animal Test Center of Shandong University. The animal experiments followed the guidance of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for the care and use of laboratory animals.

Preparation of TAT-NLCs

TAT-NLCs were prepared by emulsion evaporation–solidification method (Wang et al., 2016). Briefly, SPC (20 mg), Labrafac PG (20 mg), and Cremophor ELP (1 mL) were dissolved in 9 mL ethanol at 60 °C to get the oil phase. TAT–PEG1200–DSPE (100 mg) and Tween-80 (1%) were dissolved in 40 mL double distilled water to form an aqueous phase. The oil phase was then added dropwise into the aqueous phase and the whole system was kept stirring (400 r/min) by a C-MAG HS 10 digital magnetic stirrer (IKA-Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany) in 60 °C for 3 h in order to remove the organic solvents. Then, the nanoemulsion was added into 50 mL of distilled water at 0 °C immediately and stirred for 30 min. TAT-NLCs were harvested and stored at 2–8 °C (method 1). The amount of SPC, Labrafac PG, Cremophor ELP, TAT–PEG1200–DSPE, and Tween-80 used was screened by single factor and orthogonal design.

TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX (Figure 1) were prepared similar to the method 1 above: the aqueous phase was the same; the oil phase was prepared using RVC (2 mg), MLX (2 mg), SPC (20 mg), Labrafac PG (20 mg), and Cremophor ELP (1 mL) dissolved in 9 mL ethanol.

RVC loaded TAT-NLCs (TAT-NLCs-RVC) were prepared similar to the method 1 above: the aqueous phase was the same; the oil phase was prepared using RVC (2 mg), SPC (20 mg), Labrafac PG (20 mg), and Cremophor ELP (1 mL) dissolved in 9 mL ethanol.

MLX loaded TAT-NLCs (TAT-NLCs-MLX) were prepared similar to the method 1 above: the aqueous phase was the same; the oil phase was prepared using MLX (2 mg), SPC (20 mg), Labrafac PG (20 mg), and Cremophor ELP (1 mL) dissolved in 9 mL ethanol.

RVC and MLX co-loaded NLCs which were not modified by TAT ligands (NLCs-RVC/MLX) were prepared using ‘method 1’ above: the oil phase was the same; the aqueous phase was prepared using Tween-80 (1%) dissolved in 40 mL double distilled water.

Characterization of NLCs

The particle size, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential of NLCs were measured using Zetasizer Nano (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) (Hong et al., 2019). The morphology and size of TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX imaged by a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Drug encapsulation efficiency (EE) and drug loading (DL) capacity were evaluated by quantifying the amount of RVC and MLX loaded in the NLCs. The amount of entrapped RVC was detected by reverse-phase HPLC using an Agilent 1200 system equipped with a UV monitor (Agilent G1314-60100, Santa Clara, CA) (Zhai et al., 2015). Separations were carried out using a BDS C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm). RPV was detected at 225 nm with a mobile phase containing a mixture of acetonitrile:phosphate buffer (60:40) at a flow-rate of 1.0 mL/min. The amount of MLX was analyzed at 360 nm using validated UV-spectrophotometric method (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) (Khalil et al., 2014). The EE and DL were calculated as follows:

\[
EE (\%) = \frac{\text{amount of drugs loaded in the NLCs}}{\text{amount of fed drugs}} \times 100
\]

\[
DL (\%) = \frac{\text{amount of drugs loaded in the NLCs}}{\text{all materials in the system}} \times 100
\]

Stability of NLCs

Stability of NLCs was evaluated by observing the appearance, diameter, and EE of the systems during the storage time at
the temperature of 30 ± 2 °C and 2–8 °C (Chen & You, 2017). The results were calculated and then analyzed at the predetermined time intervals (0, 30, 60, and 90 days).

In vitro cytotoxicity of NLCs

The cytotoxicity of NLCs was evaluated by CCK-8 assay (Zhang et al., 2017). L929 cells were seeded into 96-well plates (5 × 10^4 cells/well) and incubated for 24 h. Drugs loaded NLCs and free drugs (drugs in 1.5% Carbomer 940 to form gels) were added to the cells and incubated for another 48 h, then CCK-8 solution (10 µL) was added to each well and cells were incubated for 1 h. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured and cell viability (CV) as calculated as follows:

\[
CV(\%) = \frac{\text{absorbance of cells treated with samples}}{\text{absorbance of cells treated with fresh medium}} \times 100.
\]

In vitro skin permeation efficiency of NLCs

In vitro skin permeation efficiency of NLCs was evaluated using the abdominal full-thickness skins of SD rats (Yang et al., 2019). Briefly, SD rats were sacrificed and the fur on the abdominal area of the rats was removed and the adherent muscle, fat, vasculature, and subcutaneous tissue were excised. The stripped skin was tied between the donor and receptor compartment of the Franz diffusion cells with an effective permeation area of 3.14 cm^2. The receptor compartment was filled with PBS (pH 7.4) under constantly stirring (400 rpm). Drugs loaded NLCs and drugs solution (0.5 mL, 5 mg/mL) were added to the skin and samples (0.3 mL) were collected from the receptor compartment, then equivalent amount of PBS was added. The amount of permeated drugs was analyzed by HPLC and the cumulative amount of drugs penetrated (\(P_n\)) was calculated using equation:

\[
P_n = \frac{C_i \times V_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A \times C_i \times V_i}{A},
\]

where \(C_i\) and \(C_n\) refer to the drug concentration of the receptor medium at each sampling time and the drug concentration of the \(i\)th sample, respectively; \(V_0\) and \(V_i\) correspond to the volumes of the receptor compartment and the collected sample, respectively; \(A\) means the effective diffusion area. The steady-state fluxes (\(J_{ss}\)) were obtained by representing the amount of drug permeated per unit area versus time. The permeability coefficient (\(K_p\)) was calculated using the equation:

\[
K_p = J_{ss}/C_0,
\]

where \(C_0\) corresponds to the drug concentration in the donor compartment.

In vivo skin permeation ability of NLCs

In vivo skin permeation ability of NLCs was evaluated on the abdominal site of SD rats (Liu & Zhao, 2019). The hair at the abdominal site of rats was shaved off and the skin area was washed with distilled water. Drugs loaded NLCs and drugs solution (0.5 mL, 5 mg/mL) were applied on the skin surface (4 cm^2) then bound with gauze. At predetermined time points, rats were sacrificed and the skin was cut into pieces, homogenized with methanol and acetonitrile. The mixture was then separated by centrifuging (12,000 rpm, 10 min) and the amount of drugs was analyzed by HPLC as described in ‘Characterization of NLCs’ section.

In vivo anesthetic pain relief assessment

The plantar surface of the left hind paw of each rat was prepared aseptically, and the incisional pain model was created by making a longitudinal skin incision (1 cm) extending toward the digits with a blade at a point approximately 0.5 cm distal to the tibiotarsal joint on the plantar surface of the left hind paw (Choi et al., 2020). For testing mechanical allodynia, the rats were placed in a testing chamber and permitted to acclimatize for 30 min prior to testing. Von Frey filaments with scores of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 26, and 60 g were applied to the soft tissue of the left hind paw to determine withdrawal thresholds (Safakhah et al., 2016). The force applied by the first filament is equivalent to 2 g. Apply each filament three times, each time three seconds followed by five seconds intervals. If a negative reaction was seen (no movement), the filament applies the next greater force. If the two responses observed were positive (paw retraction), the score obtained was considered to be a response threshold. If the animal did not respond to a 60 g score, that score was considered a threshold response.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-test and a value of \(p<.05\) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characterization of NLCs

TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX showed uniform and spherical morphology (Figure 1) with a size of 133.4 ± 4.6 nm (Table 1), which is larger than that of NLCs-RVC/MLX (101.9 ± 4.2 nm). The zeta potential of TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX and NLCs-RVC/MLX was 20.6 ± 1.8 and 10.3 ± 1.3 mV, respectively. All the TAT-NLCs showed similar size and surface change. The EE of NLCs was around 90%. During 90 days of storage time at the temperature of 2–8 °C, the appearance, diameter, and EE of NLCs were not changed (data not shown), proving the stability of the systems. The diameter and EE of NLCs remained unchanged for 96 hours under the condition of 30 ± 2 °C.

In vitro cytotoxicity

In vitro cytotoxicity of drugs loaded NLCs and free drugs was evaluated by the L929 cells viability (Figure 2). Drugs loaded NLCs showed negligible cytotoxicity on cells at the study concentrations, while free drugs showed cell inhibition effects on cells at the higher concentrations (from 20 to 100 µM).
In vitro skin permeation efficiency

In vitro skin permeation efficiency of drugs from NLCs and free drugs was compared using the Franz diffusion cells with the skin of rats (Figure 3). Figure 3(A,B) illustrates the accumulative penetration curves of RVC and MLX, and the penetration parameters are summarized in Table 2. The data showed that TAT-NLCs significantly improved the permeation efficiency of drugs when compared with unmodified NLCs, the latter also exhibited better skin permeation ability than that of free drugs ($p < 0.05$). After 48 h of drug administration,

Table 1. Characterization of NLCs (mean ± SD, $n = 3$).

| Formulation       | Particle size (nm) | PDI      | Zeta potential (mV) | EE (%) | DL (%) |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|--------|--------|
|                   |                    |          |                     | RVC    | MLX    | RVC    | MLX    |
| TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX  | 133.4 ± 4.6        | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 20.6 ± 1.8           | 93.5 ± 3.1 | 89.9 ± 3.2 | 10.3 ± 0.9 | 9.5 ± 0.7 |
| TAT-NLCs-MLX      | 129.8 ± 4.8        | 0.17 ± 0.02 | 19.8 ± 2.1           | ––     | 90.8 ± 3.2 | ––     | 10.1 ± 0.8 |
| NLCs-RVC/MLX      | 101.9 ± 4.2        | 0.15 ± 0.02 | 10.3 ± 1.3           | 90.8 ± 2.7 | 91.3 ± 2.9 | 12.2 ± 1.1 | 13.1 ± 0.9 |

In vitro cytotoxicity of drugs loaded NLCs and free drugs evaluated by the L929 cells viability. Data presented as means ± SD ($n = 3$). *$p < 0.05$.  

**Figure 1.** Scheme graph and morphology of TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX.

**Figure 2.** In vitro cytotoxicity of drugs loaded NLCs and free drugs evaluated by the L929 cells viability. Data presented as means ± SD ($n = 3$). *$p < 0.05$.  

**In vitro skin permeation efficiency**

In vitro skin permeation efficiency of drugs from NLCs and free drugs was compared using the Franz diffusion cells with the skin of rats (Figure 3). Figure 3(A,B) illustrates the accumulative penetration curves of RVC and MLX, and the penetration parameters are summarized in Table 2. The data showed that TAT-NLCs significantly improved the permeation efficiency of drugs when compared with unmodified NLCs, the latter also exhibited better skin permeation ability than that of free drugs ($p < 0.05$). After 48 h of drug administration,
the RVC permeation amount of TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX, NLCs-RVC/MLX, and free RVC/MLX was 898.3 ± 28.9, 513.7 ± 20.9, and 312.6 ± 15.5 lg/cm²/cm², respectively (Table 2). TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX exhibited the most prominent permeability coefficient (Kp), which is 2.6-fold compared with free RVC/MLX.

**In vitro skin permeation ability**

In vivo skin permeation profiles of drugs from NLCs and free drugs (Figure 4) were different from in vitro permeation results. Fewer drugs were penetrated through the skin from free drugs groups compared with in vitro study. TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX exhibited enhanced in vivo drugs penetration ability, better than that of NLCs-RVC/MLX and free RVC/MLX. Comparing Figure 4 with Figure 3, conclusion could be made that at the first 1 h, free drugs showed well permeation efficiency in vitro while the in vivo permeation ability was not ideal. However, the permeation behaviors of NLCs formulations were similar, which could be the evidence of the NLCs formulations could improve the in vivo efficiency of drugs.

**In vivo anesthetic pain relief efficacy**

In vivo anesthetic pain relief effects of drugs loaded NLCs and free drugs are presented in Figure 5. We can tell that all the drugs contained formulas that exhibited anesthetic pain relief ability to some extent. Free drugs formulations showed a rapid but short-lasting anesthetic effect, with a peak effect seen within the first 1 or 2 h after drug application. However, NLCs formulation increased the pain relief time, the effects last for 72 h. The strongest anesthetic pain relief was achieved by TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX, which showed a peak value of 53.3 ± 3.1 g. These data were better than that of single RVC-loaded TAT-NLCs-RVC and unmodified NLCs-RVC/MLX (p<.05).

**Discussion**

In the present study, TAT-NLCs were used to co-deliver RVC and MLX. We have compared the use of SPCs with egg yolk lecithin; use of Labrafac PG, Cremophor ELP, and Capryol90. After comparison, the types and amount of lipid in the preparation of NLCs were selected. TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX showed uniform and spherical morphology, with a size of 133.4 ± 4.6 nm and a zeta potential of 20.6 ± 1.8 mV. As Zhang et al. discussed that the small particle size of the delivery system may enhance the bioavailability of bioactive compounds, adhesion efficiency with biological cells, thus resulting in an occlusive effect on the skin layer could lead to a long duration of action of the drug (Zhang et al., 2016). The appearance and diameter of NLCs were not changed during 90 days of storage time, proving the stability of the systems. Zhang et al. reported that the storage stability of nanoparticles could be evaluated by measuring the average particle diameter of nanoparticles throughout the storage period, which could be the evidence that no aggregate was observed (Zhang et al., 2018). In our study, we also add the appearance into this section thus can further determine the stability of the systems.

Evaluation of the cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles is essential, because we need to exclude the materials that used which may bring about cytotoxic effects (Lee et al., 2015). In vitro cytotoxicity of RPV-LPNs and E-LPNs is negligible at all the studied concentrations, which may be used as safety in vivo. The drugs loaded NLCs constructed in this study showed negligible cytotoxicity on cells at the study concentrations, proving the low toxicity of the nano-systems.
Li et al. argue that if nano-systems showed negligible cytotoxicity in vitro, they may be used as safety in vivo (Li et al., 2019).

In vitro and in vivo skin permeation efficiency of NLCs was evaluated using the abdominal full-thickness skins of SD rats and on the abdominal site of SD rats, respectively. Shah et al. informed that TAT is known to have higher permeation enhancing ability which is mainly because of the presence of cationic amino acids like arginines and lysines (Shah et al., 2012). TAT contained more than six arginine groups which might result in enhanced permeation and thus improved skin permeation. In this study, TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX exhibited better skin permeation ability compared with unmodified NLCs-RVC/MLX both in vitro and in vivo. These results were in accordance with the founding of Chen and You, who said that after TAT decoration was accomplished, its penetration rate and flux were significantly higher than non-decorated nano-systems (Chen & You, 2017). Yue et al. summarized that due to the lipid-rich environment of the stratum corneum, lipophilic molecules of NLCs are well suited for translocation across corneum. NLCs have a natural affinity for skin lipids, which allows them to facilitate drug transport by favoring the partitioning of the drug from the vehicle into the skin (Yue et al., 2018). In this research, NLCs formulations significantly improved the drug efficiency, which reflected in the improved skin permeation efficiency than that of free drug formulations.

In vivo anesthetic pain relief effects were assessed in rats whose left hind paw were injured. Meloxicam is a
long-acting NSAID, which can alleviate pain and reduce inflammation at the injured area (Samad et al., 2001). Since MLX has anti-inflammatory activities, it may be useful in reducing the resulting pain behaviors in the prepared rats (Khalil et al., 2014). In the present research, TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX showed better anesthetic pain relief ability than that of single RVC loaded TAT-NLCs-RVC, which could prove the reduction effect of MLX that enhanced the efficiency of the whole system. These results illustrated the anesthetic pain relief ability of the present constructed system was significantly improved by the TAT modification through the enhanced skin permeation efficiency and the co-delivery of MLX along with RVC that improved pain management by reducing inflammation at the injured area. TAT-NLCs-RVC/MLX could be used as a promising system to achieve synergistic analgesic effect.
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