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Emergency oxygen therapy: from guideline to implementation

Educational aims

- To help readers understand the best way to use oxygen when they care for patients with medical emergencies.
- To encourage best practice in the use of emergency oxygen therapy.
- To raise awareness of the British Thoracic Society (BTS) guideline for emergency oxygen use.

Summary

Oxygen is the most commonly used drug in emergency medicine and when used judiciously in the treatment of hypoxaemia it undoubtedly saves life. However, oxygen is often used inappropriately and the dangers of over-oxygenation are unappreciated.

In 2008, the first formal guidance on emergency oxygen use was produced by the British Thoracic Society. The guideline is objective, evidence based and peer reviewed, advocating safe use of oxygen by encouraging target saturation levels to be prescribed for each patient, based on a combination of what is believed to be safe and normal or near-normal. In the majority of patients a target saturation range of 94–98% is advised. The importance of recognition of patients at risk of type 2 respiratory failure is highlighted and, in such patients, a target saturation range of 88–92% is recommended.
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Why is a guideline for emergency oxygen necessary?

Oxygen is the most commonly used drug in emergency medicine. 34% of ambulance patients receive oxygen during transit and 15–17% of hospital inpatients will be receiving oxygen at any given time [1, 2]. Yet prior to 2008, there was no national or international guidance available for the safe use of oxygen.

There are common misconceptions regarding the safe use of oxygen and many people are unaware of the dangers of hyperoxaemia.

It is widely believed that that supplemental oxygen alleviates dyspnoea in the absence of hypoxaemia (low arterial oxygen levels). No evidence of benefit exists for administering oxygen in patients who are normoxaemic (normal arterial oxygen levels) or very mildly hypoxaemic [3, 4]. Dyspnoea can occur for many reasons other than cardiorespiratory disease, including metabolic acidosis, anxiety and pain, and treatment with oxygen is not indicated in these cases.

Another common misconception is that one “can’t give too much oxygen” and there is general lack of appreciation for the dangers of hyperoxaemia. Historically, high levels of oxygen were given to all patients with dyspnoea and critical illness [5]. It is well established that severe hypoxaemia results in rapid organ failure and death. Oxygen saves lives when used appropriately to correct hypoxaemia and is an essential component in resuscitation of the critically ill; however, there is little evidence that supra-physiological levels of oxygen have a clinical benefit in most instances. Evidence does exist, however, that inappropriate use of oxygen can be detrimental.

Hyperoxaemia can cause coronary vasoconstriction [6]. Paradigmatically, therefore, giving too much oxygen at the time of an acute ischaemic injury may worsen oxygen delivery to the cardiac muscle. Use of high-flow oxygen has been associated with increased reperfusion injury, infarct size and mortality in myocardial infarction [7, 8]. Theoretically, hyperoxaemia may have similar effects on cerebral blood flow. One randomised controlled trial found that in minor or moderate stroke, oxygen administration was linked to increased mortality when compared with air [9].

High-flow oxygen is commonly used in intensive therapy units (ITU) and hyperoxaemia is common in these wards [10–12]. Studies in critical care have shown that in cardiac arrest survivors [10, 12] and in patients receiving ITU care [11, 13], hyperoxaemia is linked to worse outcomes than normoxaemia.

In the ward setting, patients using high-flow oxygen without a target saturation range may have high oxygen saturation (>98%), which can be falsely reassuring to staff. The ability of pulse oximetry to detect clinical deterioration is masked by the high oxygen saturation and patients may become severely hypoxic before the staff are alerted to the deterioration in gas exchange. By contrast, if oxygen administration was titrated against patient need, setting to achieve a normal oxygen saturation target range, pulse oximetry should allow early detection of increasing oxygen requirements [14, 15].

Inappropriate oxygen use in patients at risk of type 2 respiratory failure (T2RF) can result in life-threatening hypercapnia (higher than normal levels of carbon dioxide in arterial blood), respiratory acidosis, organ dysfunction, coma and death. Vulnerable groups include not only chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), where high concentrations of inspired oxygen are linked with increased mortality during acute exacerbation [16, 17], but also severe asthma, cystic fibrosis, bronchiectasis, chronic heart failure, and obesity hypoventilation [5, 18]. All at-risk patients need to be identified when prescribing and administering oxygen.

In 2010, the UK National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) [19] reported 9 deaths directly attributable to oxygen therapy over a 5-year period. The four reported deaths due to over-oxygenation are thought to be a gross underestimation. NPSA estimates that, in the UK, a few thousand deaths could be avoided each year by controlled oxygen use [16, 17, 20, 21]. The NPSA relies on clinicians reporting adverse events.

Oxygen prescribing is poor

Despite being a drug, oxygen is often not prescribed appropriately, signed for on drug

**Emergency oxygen therapy**

Breathe | June 2013 | Volume 9 | No 4
charts or regularly reviewed. The 2008 national oxygen audit (carried out prior to the publication of the emergency oxygen guideline) showed that, in UK hospitals, less than one-third of patients receiving oxygen had any written prescription, in only 10% of cases was consideration given to a target saturation range and in only 5% of cases was oxygen signed for to indicate it had been administered [2].

The British Thoracic Society (BTS) Emergency Oxygen Guideline, published in 2008, addresses many of the issues surrounding the use and prescribing of oxygen, specifically regarding target saturation ranges [5]. The recommendations aim to guide clinicians, encouraging levels of oxygenation that are appropriate for each patient, based on a combination of what is believed to be safe and normal or near-normal.

How was the guideline produced?

A working party was established by the BTS Standards of Care Committee in 2003. A bespoke literature search identified 3306 papers and, following abstract review, 184 relevant articles were evaluated in their entirety. In conjunction with representatives from a number of societies and colleges with an interest in emergency oxygen (21 in total), objective, evidence based, peer reviewed guidelines were produced in 2008, aimed at all healthcare professionals using oxygen and endorsed by a number of professional bodies including Royal College of Physicians, Resuscitation Council (UK), Society of Emergency Medicine, Intensive Care Society, Royal College of Anaesthetists and British Paramedic Association, to name but a few [5].

Key messages from the guidelines

The guidelines cover the use of oxygen in critically ill and hypoxaemic adults and those who are at risk of hypoxaemia. A number of central points are addressed.

Oxygen is a treatment for hypoxaemia

As mentioned above, there is little evidence for the use of supplemental oxygen in the non-hypoxaemic patient (exceptions to the rule include treatment of carbon monoxide poisoning and pneumothorax).

Oxygen is an essential part of resuscitation and patient stabilisation in critical illness

Pulse oximetry should be recorded in all patients as the ‘fifth vital sign’ and further assessment with arterial blood gases (ABGs) performed, if indicated (see box). All patients with severe hypoxaemia (including arrest and pre-arrest situations), acute breathlessness, severe hypoxia and any other critical illness should be given high-concentration supplemental oxygen in the initial stages of the resuscitation process. Once the patient is stable, formal assessment of the need for oxygen should be made, guided by pulse oximetry plus ABGs if required.

Arterial blood gases (ABGs) are recommended in:

- All critically ill patients
- Unexpected or inappropriate hypoxaemia (oxygen saturation <94% or requiring supplemental oxygen to maintain this)
- Deteriorating saturation, increasing oxygen need or increasing dyspnoea in a previously stable patient
- Patients at risk of type 1 respiratory failure with acute dyspnoea, decreasing saturation, drowsiness or other symptoms suggestive of hypercapnia
- Dyspnoeic patients who are at risk of metabolic acidosis (e.g. diabetes and renal failure)
- Dyspnoeic or critically ill patients with an inadequate/unreliable oximetry signal due to poor peripheral circulation
- Unexpected change in early warning score or fall of >1% in saturation
In all acutely unwell patients, not at risk of T2RF, the recommended target saturation range is 94–98%.

Evidence suggests that in healthy nonsmoking adults, saturations of 96–98% are normal. Oxygen saturation diminishes slightly with age and in patients over the age of 70 years, saturation of ≥94% may be normal for a particular patient, especially if there is underlying lung disease or heart failure. If the patient is clinically stable, supplemental oxygen is not required [22, 23].

Research from intensive care medicine shows impaired medium-term survival with oxygen saturation <90%; therefore, in critical illness, it is recommended that saturation be kept at >90% [24–28].

As most ward settings rely on pulse oximetry to measure oxygenation rather than the invasive monitoring that occurs in critical care areas, the BTS recommends a ‘normal’ target saturation of 94–98%. This allows a margin of safety (90–94%) for possible inaccuracies in pulse oximetry readings and a “safety cushion” to allow for fluctuation in the oxygen saturation.

In patients at risk of T2RF a target saturation range of 88–92% is suggested pending the availability of ABGs. This is based on results from research, primarily in COPD. First, in acute exacerbations of COPD, achieving oxygen saturation greater than 85% has been shown to prevent death [17]. Secondly, nearly half of patients with acute exacerbation of COPD have hypercapnia. The majority of hypercapnic patients with arterial oxygen levels in excess of 10 kPa (equivalent to a saturation of about 92–93%) have associated acidosis [17]. Hence, a target range of 88–92% is recommended and this has been extrapolated from COPD to include other groups at risk of T2RF. The lower limit is set at 88% rather than 85% to allow for potential inaccuracies in pulse oximeter readings.

Not all individuals with COPD will develop T2RF with oxygen therapy. If there is no evidence of hypercapnia on ABGs, target saturation should be increased to 94–98%, providing there are no previous episodes of T2RF or requirement for noninvasive or invasive ventilation. Any alteration in oxygen therapy should be reassessed in 30–60 min by repeat ABG.

If there is no known or documented evidence of COPD, but a presenting patient is >70 years old and a long-term smoker with a history of dyspnoea on exertion it is recommended they are treated as COPD until ABGs are available.

Oxygen is a drug and hence should be prescribed, administered and monitored by trained staff.

In life-threatening situations, high-flow oxygen via a reservoir (non-rebreath) bag should be given immediately, without a prescription, but subsequent documentation should take place.

In all other situations, oxygen should be prescribed by a doctor on a designated document (usually the drug chart) and signed for at each drug round by trained staff.

Guidelines indicate that oxygen is prescribed with a target saturation range, initial delivery device and flow rate and is regularly reviewed by oximetry. Increasing oxygen requirements, decreasing saturation or increasing respiratory rate may herald patient deterioration and should prompt rapid medical assessment. As oxygen requirements decrease, supplemental oxygen can be titrated downwards and eventually discontinued, but the prescription for an oxygen target range should remain active in case the patient deteriorates again.

In conditions where there is risk of T2RF, Venturi masks are the delivery device of choice as constant or known oxygen concentrations are administered, regardless of flow.

In most breathless but non-hypoxaemic patients, supplemental oxygen is not required. However, if a patient’s oxygen saturation falls by ≥3%, even if still within the target range, prompt assessment should ensue (including validity of the pulse oximetry trace) as it may herald acute deterioration in the patient’s condition.

If patients carry an oxygen alert card, due to previous T2RF, the patient specific target range should be prescribed.

Patients who have had previous episodes of T2RF should be issued with an oxygen alert card (documenting an appropriate target range and the need for regular clinical review).
The impact of the guidelines on clinical practice: key results from the BTS oxygen audits

BTS emergency oxygen audits [2] are performed annually and, since the guidelines were implemented in 2008, the number of participating trusts has increased from 47 in 2009 to 443 in 2013, with the most recent audit encompassing 7233 hospital wards and 51 494 patients. The uptake of the guidelines has been excellent, with 85% of participating trusts having implemented an oxygen policy by 2012, compared with 6% in 2009. The audit results show that oxygen use and prescribing are improving, albeit slowly. In 2008, 32% of patients who were using oxygen had some form of prescription, this had risen to 69% in 2009. By 2012, the figure had dropped to 52%, but this may be largely due to selection bias rather than a true drop in prescribing levels. Despite the improvements, disappointingly nearly half of all oxygen in use still remains unprescribed.

Table 1. Recommendations for emergency oxygen use

1. Critical illness requiring high levels of supplemental oxygen
   Give 15 L min\(^{-1}\) via a reservoir mask and once stable, reduce oxygen to aim for a saturation range of 94–98%.
   If patient at risk of T2RF, aim for the same initial saturation as all other critically ill patients pending ABG.

2. Serious illness requiring moderate amounts of oxygen if the patient is hypoxic
   Initially give 2–6 L min\(^{-1}\) via nasal cannulae or 5–10 L min\(^{-1}\) via facemask, aiming for a saturation range of 94–98%.
   If saturation can’t be maintained or initial saturation is <85%, use a reservoir mask with 10–15 L min\(^{-1}\).
   If at risk of T2RF, aim for saturation of 88–92%, adjusting to 94–98% if the ABGs show normal carbon dioxide.

3. COPD/other conditions at risk of T2RF requiring low dose-controlled oxygen
   Before ABG use a 28% Venturi mask (4 L min\(^{-1}\)) aiming for a saturation range of 88–92%, adjusting to 94–98% if the ABGs show normal carbon dioxide.
   If no diagnosis is known but the patient is 50 years old and a long-term smoker with chronic dyspnoea, treat as presumed COPD. Adapted from the British Thoracic Society guideline for emergency oxygen use in adult patients [5].

4. Conditions for which the patient should be closely monitored but oxygen is not required unless hypoxic
   If hypoxaemia develops, follow recommendations as per serious illness (point 1 above).

* Unless there is a history of previous hypoxemic respiratory failure requiring non-invasive or invasive ventilation, in which case the target saturation should remain at 88–92%.
* If no diagnosis is known but the patient is >75 years old and a long-term smoker with chronic dyspnoea, treat as presumed COPD. Adapted from the British Thoracic Society guideline for emergency oxygen use in adult patients [5].

How was the guideline implemented?

Copies of the guidelines were sent to all hospital chief executives, medical directors, nursing heads and to all primary care and ambulance trusts, as well as to education leads in medical and nursing schools.

Local oxygen champions were identified to review local oxygen policy in accordance with the national guidelines, arrange staff education, and ensure oxygen prescription and monitoring could be achieved on drug and observation charts. Lectures, teaching material and example documentation were made available through the BTS website. Local oxygen champions were also instrumental in re-auditing after the initial implementation of the policy.

The impact of the guidelines on clinical practice: key results from the BTS oxygen audits

BTS emergency oxygen audits [2] are performed annually and, since the guidelines were implemented in 2008, the number of participating trusts has increased from 47 in 2009 to 443 in 2013, with the most recent audit encompassing 7233 hospital wards and 51 494 patients. The uptake of the guidelines has been excellent, with 85% of participating trusts having implemented an oxygen policy by 2012, compared with 6% in 2009. The audit results show that oxygen use and prescribing are improving, albeit slowly. In 2008, 32% of patients who were using oxygen had some form of prescription; this had risen to 69% in 2009. By 2012, the figure had dropped to 52%, but this may be largely due to selection bias rather than a true drop in prescribing levels. Despite the improvements, disappointingly nearly half of all oxygen in use still remains unprescribed.

Table 1. Recommendations for emergency oxygen use

1. Critical illness requiring high levels of supplemental oxygen
   Give 15 L min\(^{-1}\) via a reservoir mask and once stable, reduce oxygen to aim for a saturation range of 94–98%.
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   If no diagnosis is known but the patient is 50 years old and a long-term smoker with chronic dyspnoea, treat as presumed COPD. Adapted from the British Thoracic Society guideline for emergency oxygen use in adult patients [5].
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   If hypoxaemia develops, follow recommendations as per serious illness (point 1 above).
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Educational questions

1. Which of the following statements are true?
   a. The recommended target saturation range for patients not at risk of T2RF is 94–96%.
   b. For most patients with COPD, target saturation range should be set at 88–92% until blood gases are available.
   c. For all critically ill patients, high concentration oxygen should be administered immediately until the patient is stable.
   d. Only patients with COPD are at risk of T2RF.

2. Which of the following are true?
   a. Oxygen is indicated in a patient who is suffering an acute MI who has a saturation of 98%.
   b. Oxygen is indicated in a patient with saturation 93% on room air.
   c. Oxygen is indicated in a patient who is suffering an acute MI who has a saturation of 92%.
   d. Oxygen should be given to all patients having an acute stroke regardless of oxygen saturation.

3. A patient with COPD and a history of hypoxaemic respiratory failure becomes very breathless on the ward. On arrival his breathless on the chest becomes very respiratory failure hypercapnic COPD and a history of arterial saturation. Regardless of oxygen having an acute stroke saturation of 90%.

Key new publications on oxygen since 2008

The dangers of hyperoxaemia are well documented; the most recently published studies on oxygen therapy focus on the dangers of hyperoxaemia which is a more controversial area, with many clinicians still believing that "more is better".

Three large studies have been performed in critical care settings which have produced conflicting results. De Jonge et al. [1] performed a retrospective observational study on oxygenation in the first 24 h after admission to the ITU in 57,377 consecutive patients who were mechanically ventilated. The results showed that the in-hospital mortality was increased with both abnormally low and abnormally high oxygen levels. However, multicentre observational data from Australia (12,187 patients) looking at the worst ABC in first 24 h following admission, suggested that supranormal oxygen levels did not predict hospital mortality after multivariate analysis [10], although this study confirmed the finding of a higher mortality rate in hyperoxaemic patients.

The third study was an observational study, based on a database of 42,571 adult patients from 120 ITUs admitted after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. This study showed that arterial hyperoxaemia was independently associated with increased inhospital mortality compared with either hypoxaemia or normoxaemia [11] and that there was a dose-dependent association between supranormal oxygen levels and the risk of in-hospital death [12].

These findings should be interpreted with some caution as they are observational and retrospective and also because the relationship between oxygen and mortality is not necessarily causal. It is, therefore, difficult to draw sound conclusions from these studies, but it is reasonable to recommend that keeping oxygen saturation levels in the normal range (94–96%) is critically if patients is best, as no increase in mortality was seen in the normoxaemic range in all three studies.

Other studies have investigated use of oxygen in emergency care settings. The dangers of high-flow oxygen in COPD have been well documented since the 1960s [13]. However, the first randomised trial of controlled oxygen therapy in acute exacerbations of COPD was published in 2010 and confirmed that mortality was increased when high concentration oxygen was given compared with controlled oxygen, with a target range of 88–92% (mortality 5% versus 4%, respectively) [14]. Patients given controlled oxygen were also much less likely to develop respiratory acidosis or hypercapnia. Similarly, the risk of hypercapnia in obesity hyperventilation syndrome is well established; however, the first randomised placebo-controlled trial of obesity hyperventilation syndrome was published in 2011 and showed that breathing 100% oxygen worsened hypercapnia in stable patients with obesity hyperventilation syndrome [15].

Recent randomised controlled trials have shown that using high concentration oxygen is associated with increased risk of hypercapnia in acute asthma and pneumonia [16, 17]. Conditions where the risk of hypercapnia is perhaps less well recognised. Patients were randomised to receive either high concentration oxygen (6 L min⁻¹ via simple facemask) or ambient oxygen to achieve a target saturation of 95–96% for 60 min. In acute asthma, 10% of hypercapnia recorded occurred among the patients given high concentration oxygen. In pneumonia, there was a higher proportion of patients with a 4 mm Hg rise in carbon dioxide in the high oxygen concentration group (43.7% versus 12.8%), which was statistically significant. These are the first randomised controlled studies to suggest that increasing in carbon dioxide in response to high concentration oxygen are not just limited to COPD and other diseases with a well-recognised risk of hypercapnia.

As described earlier, studies have suggested that high-flow oxygen can be detrimental in acute myocardial infarction, but...
this is contradicted by the recent OPTIMISE study [8]. This was a pilot study, in which 165 patients presenting with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) were randomised to either high-flow oxygen (6 L·min⁻¹ via facemask) or controlled oxygen with target saturation of 94–98% prior to emergency percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). No difference was found between the two arms of the study in 30-day mortality or infarct size. This study was flawed in that patients were randomised to treatment in hospital and most had received high-flow oxygen in the ambulance on route to hospital. Therefore, the results only apply to the short period of time between admission to hospital and primary PCI. The ongoing Air Versus Oxygen In myocardial infarction (AVOID) study is a multicentre randomised controlled trial comparing high-flow versus controlled oxygen in STEMI. This study will enrol 490 patients and includes controlled oxygen therapy in the pre-hospital setting [4]. We look forward to the publication of the results, which may provide some clarity for the optimal use of oxygen in acute myocardial infarction.

Updating the current guideline

The next version of the BTS emergency oxygen guideline will be titled ‘BTS guideline for oxygen use in adults in healthcare and emergency settings’ and will be a separate guideline on emergency use in children. The key principles will remain that oxygen is a treatment of hypoxemia and that oxygen should be prescribed to a target range. The target ranges specified in the 2008 guideline are likely to remain unchanged. The new children’s guideline will provide comprehensive guidance on the emergency use of oxygen in paediatric healthcare and the adult guideline has been extended to include first responders and palliative care settings. Use of oxygen in continuous positive airway pressure ventilation systems, heliox and nitrous oxide mixtures, procedures that require conscious sedation, the peri-operative period and in trigger warning systems (e.g. early warning scores) will also be included. Publication is anticipated in 2014.

Key points

- Oxygen is a treatment for hypoxemia not breathlessness.
- Oxygen is a drug and should be prescribed with a target saturation range.
- The recommended oxygen target saturation range in patients not at risk of type II respiratory failure is 94–98%.
- The recommended oxygen target saturation range in patients at risk of type II respiratory failure is 88–92%.
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