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Abstract

Sexual homicides of women and children are extreme forms of violence against women and children and are located within the broader context of gender inequality and social norms that condone sexual violence and gender based violence against women and children. The aim of this study is to describe the prevalence and characteristics of sexual homicide of women and children nationally in South Africa.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective national mortuary based study to identify all adult female homicides (18 years and older) and all child homicides (boys and girls < 18 years) in 2009 in a randomly selected, proportionate sample of mortuaries. Victim, perpetrator and crime data were collected in three processes: from the mortuary register, the autopsy report and from police with the identification of sexual homicides validated across the data collection processes.

Findings

Among the 2670 (95% CI: 2311–2979) adult women killed in 2009, 494 (95% CI: 406–574) were identified as sexual homicides which was 19.8% (95% CI: 17.6–22.0) of all adult female homicides and among 1277 (95% CI: 1091–1462) children killed in SA, sexual homicides were found in 104 (95% CI: 77–132) of the child homicides which was 8.7% (95% CI: 10.9–11.2%) of these murders. Strangulation was the most common cause of death for both children and adult females. A distinct age and sex pattern was found among children with only 1% boy child death identified as a sexual homicide and 92% of all the child sexual homicides were among girls. Strangulation was the most common manner of death among children (35.5%) and perpetrators were seldom strangers. However, no difference in the proportion of convictions between the sexual homicides and non-sexual homicides were found for both adult females and children.
Conclusion

Rape homicide is not a rare event in South Africa, with one in five female homicides and nearly one in ten child homicides identified with an associated sexual crime. These high prevalences are amongst the highest levels reported in the literature with our study among the few reporting on the epidemiology of child sexual homicide. Reducing mortality is an important policy goal for South Africa and for the rest of the world and the prevention of female and child homicide is an important part of attaining this goal.

Introduction

Sexual homicide of women is a distinct form of gender-based violence that combines two of the most extreme forms of violence against women. It is a violation that garners exceptional community outrage, as seen in the global response in 2013 to the gang rape and murder of Jyoti Singh Pandey in India and the brutal rape and murder of Anene Booysen in South Africa [1]. Sexual homicide has been described as a rare event in many countries such as United States (US) where under 1% of all homicides (male and female) are identified as sexual homicides [2] and in the United Kingdom (UK) where 3.7% of those found guilty of homicide included a sexual violence component [3]. However a recent study from Alaska found 17% of all homicides to be sexual homicides [4]. The high estimate in the Alaskan study may be largely due to forensic pathologists working closely with forensic nurse specialists who assisted with the identification of sexual assaults during postmortem examinations. Whilst the Alaskan estimate was much higher than standard estimates from the USA, it was very similar to that reported in a South African study on female homicide where, in a national sample, 16.3% of all the female homicides in 1999 were identified as sexual homicides [5]. Thus, in these two settings it is not rare events. It was also very similar to the proportion of sexual assault found in a 10-year study (2000–2009) of all female homicides seen in a Forensic Medical Laboratory (mortuary) in the Western Cape, South Africa which was 21.1% [6].

There is also very little epidemiological data on sexual homicides of children. Available data are from forensic laboratories in develop settings, such as the Schmidt and Madea report on three child sexual homicides identified over a period of 5 years (1992–1996) from a German University Forensic department database [7]. This article describes the forensic aspects of the injuries. The 8-year follow-up study from Alaska retrieved data from forensic nursing reports and found 20% of the 8-year sample were children under 18 years. In South Africa child sexual homicides were reported as part of a study of femicides in the Cape Town region, and one in three (32.5%) of all the female child homicides were identified as sexual homicides. The paper described 30 child sexual homicides cases over a period of 10 years [6].

Sexual violence is a common feature in the lives of many adult women and children in South Africa as shown in population studies [8,9] and sexual homicides are therefore located within the broader context of gender inequality and the underlying system of patriarchy which drives violence against women and children [10]. Research was conducted on homicides in 1999 in South Africa in a national study of female homicide [11]. In order to examine trends in homicide, similar research was conducted ten years later [12]. In this paper, we describe the prevalence of sexual homicide of adult women and male and female children in 2009 in South Africa, forensic aspects of the cases, and social and demographic characteristics of the victims and perpetrators. In drafting this paper, we acknowledge that in the literature there are differences in use of the terms rape, sexual violence and sexual assault. In this study, we define sexual...
homicide as cases where the post-mortem report included a statement that sexual assault was suspected.

**Methods**

Our study combines data extraction from health and police records and interviews with police officers. The South African Inquest Act of 1959 require post mortem examinations on all unnatural deaths and this allowed us to collect data on female and child homicide cases that were presented to medical legal laboratories between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2009. We conducted a cross-sectional national retrospective mortuary based study of adult female and child homicides using a similar study design as for the 1999 female homicide study [11].

Our sampling frame included all operating mortuaries in South Africa in 2009 and we stratified the mortuaries into three strata based on the number of autopsies performed per year. The strata were: mortuaries that perform less than 500 autopsies per year (81 mortuaries); mortuaries that perform between 500–1499 autopsies per year (33 mortuaries) and the largest strata were mortuaries that perform more than 1500 autopsies per year (8 mortuaries). We drew a random sample of 38 mortuaries based on proportional allocation (see Table 1 for sampling fraction). Our sample size calculation was based on the national estimates from the 1999 study.

Our data collection process followed a series of steps: first identification of homicide cases from the mortuary death registers, followed by verification of the homicides cases from the data in the post mortem report, and finally interviews with the police investigator for each of the verified cases. Confirmation that the case was a homicide happened at each step, and we extracted the data at each step onto a data capture sheet. Data extracted from the mortuary register included initial cause of death recorded, demographic details of victim (age, sex), identity of police station where case was reported and the case number. The police station and case number were critical to identify and contact the police investigating officers. Data extracted from the post mortem report included injury and pathology data: verification of the cause of death, demographic information and evidence of sexual assault at time of murder and pregnancy status. As for the 1999 study, we defined sexual assault as cases where there was evidence of a sexual component that was not limited to penetration of the genitalia or anus by a penis. This is similar to the definition by Meloy where sexual homicide is defined as a homicide with a ‘sexual element’ [13] (p 2). In our study, sexual homicide cases were those where one or more of the following were recorded in the post mortem report: a statement that sexual assault was suspected; reporting of genital injuries; reporting that body found with clothes/underwear dislodged or removed; the use of sexual assault evidence collection kit or a vaginal swab or sample of pubic hair taken. We also asked the investigating officer at the interview about evidence from the investigation that indicated sexual assault as part of the homicide. During the data collection process if we received information which contradicted the suggestions that a case was a homicide or a sexual homicide we reviewed the case and dropped those from the sample (or this analysis) that on balance did not fit the categorization.

Further information collected from the police included more victim and perpetrator information (where known) such as socio-demographic details of the victim and perpetrator, the

| Mortuary strata                      | Number of mortuaries | Sampling fraction |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Small: < 500 autopsies performed per year | 81                   | 24.7%             |
| Medium: 500–1499 autopsies performed per year | 33                   | 39.4%             |
| Large: > 1499 autopsies performed per year   | 8                    | 55.5%             |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186432.t001
relationship between victim and perpetrator (intimate partner, acquaintance, stranger etc.), victim information (i.e. more than one victim,) details of the murder (scene of crime, weapon used), perpetrator information (number of perpetrators), the investigation and the outcome of the investigation (charged, convicted, length of sentence). We present available sentencing information, but this was not present for most of the children.

The analysis is based on the sexual homicide cases. Information to determine if there was a sexual homicide was not available for 5.4% (n = 66) of the child murders and in 5.9% (n = 157) of the adult women murdered. Data was analysed using STATA release 13[14]. Weighted estimates are presented, as the analysis took into account the study design and allocation of the different sampling weights. We present analysis for adult women (18 years and older) and male and female children (<18 years) separately, and provide prevalence estimates with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) using standard methods for estimating confidence intervals for complex multi-stage sample surveys (Taylor linearization).

We calculated sexual homicide rate for female and male children 0–17 years and for adult women for 18 years and older. We also calculated the sexual homicide rate for females 14 years and older in order to compare with the 1999 study. We used the mid-year population estimates from Statistics South Africa for 2009 which were extrapolated from the 2001 census [15]. These population data are commonly used for government and administrative purposes and were adjusted for population growth and undercount. We also calculated the rate per sexual offences reported to police using the data for the 2009/2010 year (the crime reporting year is from April 2009 to March 2010)[16]. The crime reporting does not disaggregate data for women and children or for male and female and we combined the number of sexual homicides for children and women and used the total figure of 66 992 sexual offences reported as the denominator.

Ethics approval for the study was granted by the South African Medical Research Council Ethics Committee (Protocol ID: EC09-021) and access to the state mortuaries was granted by the National and Provincial Department of Health while access to police stations and police investigating offers were granted by the National and Provincial Police Service and the National Prosecuting Authority. The Police investigating officers were required to provide written informed consent.

Results

Among the 2670 (95% CI: 2311–2979) adult women killed in 2009, 494 (95% CI: 406–574) were identified as sexual homicides which was 19.8% (95% CI: 17.6–22.0) of all adult female homicides. Among the 1277 (95% CI: 1091–1462) children killed in South Africa, sexual assault was found in 104 (95% CI: 77–132) of the child homicides which was 8.7% (95% CI: 10.9–11.2%) of these homicides. We found an adult female sexual homicide rate of 3.05/100 000 women 18 years and older and the child sexual homicides rate of 0.56/100 000 children <18 yrs (Table 2). In children, we found a higher rate among female children (1.03/100 000

| Sexual Homicide                  | Population | N (95% CI) | Rate per 100 000 (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Adult females 18+ years          | 16 185 293 | 494 (406–574) | 3.05 (2.50–3.54) |
| Females 14 + years               | 15 360 904 | 455 (306–605) | 2.5 (1.7–3.3) |
| All children 0–17 years          | 18 529 472 | 104 (77–132)   | 0.56 (0.41–0.71) |
| Female children 0–17 years       | 9 266 507  | 96 (70–122)    | 1.03 (.75–1.31)  |
| Male children 0–17 years         | 9 262 965  | 8 (2–14)       | 0.08 (0.02–0.16) |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186432.t002
girl children) than male children (0.08/100 000 boy children). The combined rate of women and children per 1000 sexual offences reported to police in the 2009/2010 reporting year was 8.92/1000 (95% CI: 6.9–10.4).

Table 3 presents the socio demographic and crime data of sexual homicides for adult women and children. Although boy children represented a large proportion of all the children murdered (64.2%) they represented only 8% of the sexual homicide victims. The youngest child in the sexual homicide group was a girl of 1 year 8 months old and the youngest boy was 3 years old. The age profile of the child victims showed older children were at greater risk with the 13–18 year group representing more than half (52.3%) of the sexual homicide children. This is also evidence in the difference in mean age between the two groups. The age profile of adult women showed similar proportions among the sexual homicide and the non-sexual homicide group ($p = 0.54$).

There were similar levels of sexual homicides in urban and rural settings for both children ($p = 0.76$) and adult women ($p = 0.73$). The crime scene data showed that among the adult sexual homicides, similar proportions of the crime happened in homes and in public spaces, while non-sexual homicides were more likely to happen in the home (67.2% vs 26.7%). For children, there was no difference in the crime scene between the sexual and non-sexual homicides ($p = 0.38$). Multiple victim crime was also not a common feature of sexual homicides for both children and adult women. Low levels of pregnancy were reported among all of the women (5.2%) and children (2.4%) killed, but when the proportion of sexual homicides were explored among those who were pregnant, high levels were found for both the adult women (27.2%) and children (19%) (data not shown in Table 3). Strangulation was only ranked fourth as the cause of death among all the homicides for adults (7.9%) and 5th for all children (6.5%), but higher proportions were found among the sexual homicide cases of adult women (24.5%). Strangulation was the main cause of death among the child sexual homicides cases (35.5%) with much lower proportion reported among the non-sexual homicides (4.0%). Gun injuries were not common among the sexual homicides for both adult women (8.9%) and children (0%).

Table 4 shows a comparison of perpetrator characteristics by whether homicides were sexual or non-sexual. Higher levels of unidentified perpetrators were found among the sexual homicide cases compared with non-sexual homicide cases for both adult women (35.5% vs 22.9%) and children (29.5% vs 20.6%) but this difference was only significant for adults ($p = <0.00$). Although intimate partners perpetrated 42.8% of all adult female homicides (18 years and older), only 22.5% of the sexual homicides were committed by an intimate partner with acquaintances perpetrating more than a quarter of these crimes (27.6%). Acquaintances were also the most common perpetrators (37.5%) of child sexual homicides while family members were the most common perpetrators of the non-sexual homicide cases (42.6%). The group we describe as ‘other perpetrators’ include gang members, co-workers, teachers, employees, and service providers such as police. More unknown perpetrators were found in the children sexual homicide group compared with the non-sexual homicide group (11.3% vs 3.1%). A significant difference in the mean age of the perpetrators was found for adult female sexual homicides, with perpetrators who committed sexual homicide younger than those who committed non-sexual homicides (30.9 years vs 33.4 years). In contrast, among children the perpetrators of child sexual homicide were older than the perpetrators who committed non-sexual homicide (27.8 years vs 25.1 years).

About one third of the perpetrators of the adult victims were employed and for another third the employment status remained unknown. A significant difference ($p = <0.00$) between the proportions of employed perpetrators was found with lower levels of employment among adult sexual homicide perpetrators compared with non-sexual homicide perpetrators (23.0%...
| Sex of child victims | Adult women (18 yrs) n (95% CI) | Children (0–17 yrs) n (95% CI) | P value | Adult women (18 yrs) n (95% CI) | Children (0–17 yrs) n (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Boy                  |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
|                      |                                   |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| Girl                 |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
|                      |                                   |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| Victim age           |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| Mean age             | 38.2 (37.3–39.1)                 | 38.6 (36.6–40.6)                | 37.86 (36.8–38.9) | 9.1 (7.9–10.3)                | 11.0 (9.7–12.4)                | 9.3 (7.4–10.9) |
| Standard Deviation   | 15.7                             | 16.4                            | 15.4    | 7.4                             | 5.2                             | 7.6     |
| Median age           | 35                               | 35.8                            | 34.5    | 11.6                            | 12.4                            | 12.0    |
| Victim age categories|                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| <5 yrs               | 41.1 (34.1–48.5)                 | 15.3 (8.6–25.8)                 | 42.5 (34.4–50.9) | 0.10                           |                                 |         |
| 5–<13                | 10.1 (8.5–12.1)                  | 32.3 (21.9–44.8)                | 7.4 (5.5–9.8)    |                                 |                                 |         |
| 13–<18               |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| 18–<30               | 35.5 (32.6–38.5)                 | 35.7 (31.7–39.9)                | 35.7 (32.5–39.1) | 0.54                           |                                 |         |
| 30–<45               | 36.8 (33.4–40.3)                 | 35.4 (31.7–39.2)                | 37.5 (33.5–41.5) |                                 |                                 |         |
| 45–<60               | 15.9 (14.0–17.9)                 | 17.6 (14.1–21.9)                | 15.3 (13.3–17.7) |                                 |                                 |         |
| 60+                  | 11.7 (9.9–13.7)                  | 11.3 (8.0–15.7)                 | 11.3 (9.2–13.8)  |                                 |                                 |         |
| *Victim employment   |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| Employed             | 24.5 (21.9–27.2)                 | 23.1 (18.8–28.0)                | 26.0 (23.6–28.6) | 0.40                           |                                 |         |
| Not employed         | 53.0 (48.8–57.2)                 | 54.2 (49.0–59.4)                | 51.3 (47.2–55.4) |                                 |                                 |         |
| Employment status unknown | 22.5 (19.4–25.9) | 22.7 (19.1–26.6) | 22.7 (19.4–26.3) |                                 |                                 |         |
| Crime happened in    |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |
| Urban area           | 50.4 (44.5–56.2)                 | 52.0 (42.1–61.8)                | 50.8 (45.3–56.3) | 0.73                           | 51.0 (42.8–59.1)                | 49.2 (38.9–59.5) |
| Small town/rural    | 49.6 (43.8–55.5)                 | 48.0 (38.2–57.9)                | 49.2 (43.7–54.7) | 49.0 (41.0–57.2)                | 50.8 (40.5–61.1)                | 49.2 (40.1–58.2) |
| Crime scene          |                                  |                                 |         |                                 |                                 |         |

(Continued)
### Table 3. (Continued)

|                           | Adult women (18 yrs) n (95% CI) | Children (0–17 yrs) n (95% CI) | P value  | All child homicides | Sexual homicides | Non-Sexual Homicides | P value |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                           | All adult women Homicides        | Non-Sexual Homicides            |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
|                           | n = 2645 (2311–2979)             | n = 2019 (1758–2281)            |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
|                           | 19.8% (17.5–22.0)                | 80.2% (77.9–82.3)               |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Home                      | 62.4 (60.1–64.6)                 | 46.0 (38.9–53.2)                |          |                     |                  |                      | <0.00   |
|                           | 67.2 (64.5–69.8)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Public space              | 31.0 (29.1–33.0)                 | 47.7 (40.9–54.5)                |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
|                           | 26.7 (24.9–28.7)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Other                     | 3.1 (2.3–4.2)                    | 4.0 (2.4–6.6)                   |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
|                           | 2.4 (1.7–3.6)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Unknown                   | 3.5 (2.9–4.2)                    | 2.4 (1.2–4.5)                   |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
|                           | 3.7 (2.9–4.6)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Multiple victims          |                                  |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Yes                       | 13.1 (11.7–14.7)                 | 11.6 (8.9–14.8)                 |          |                     |                  |                      | 0.29    |
|                           | 13.6 (11.7–15.7)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| No                        | 86.9 (85.3–88.3)                 | 88.5 (85.2–91.1)                |          |                     |                  |                      | 81.1 (77.5–84.3) | 84.4 (82.2–93.2) | 81.0 (77.3–84.2) |
| Victim was pregnant       |                                  |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Yes                       | 5.2 (3.7–7.1)                    | 9.3 (4.1–11.6)                  |          |                     |                  |                      | 0.02    |
|                           | 4.4 (3.5–5.5)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| No                        | 94.8 (92.9–96.3)                 | 93.1 (90.6–95.9)                |          |                     |                  |                      | 97.6 (95.2–98.8) | 100 | 96.9 (93.6–98.5) |
| Cause of death            |                                  |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |
| Gunshot                   | 23.1 (20.0–26.6)                 | 8.3 (6.1–12.8)                  |          |                     |                  |                      | 14.1 (11.6–17.0) | 0 | 16.4 (13.5–19.8) |
|                           | 27.2 (23.6–30.9)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      | <0.00   |         |
| Stabbed                   | 30.0 (26.3–34.0)                 | 24.9 (20.7–29.5)                |          |                     |                  |                      | 23.3 (18.1–29.3) | 21.2 (12.9–32.8) | 24.3 (18.6–31.2) |
|                           | 32.6 (28.5–37.0)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Blunt                     | 19.1 (16.3–22.2)                 | 25.5 (20.8–30.9)                |          |                     |                  |                      | 16.5 (13.6–19.8) | 20.1 (10.4–25.5) | 16.8 (13.5–20.8) |
|                           | 17.9 (14.9–21.3)                 |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Strangled                 | 7.9 (6.6–9.0)                    | 24.5 (20.1–29.5)                |          |                     |                  |                      | 6.5 (5.2–8.0)      | 35.5 (24.3–48.5) | 4.0 (2.9–6.4) |
|                           | 3.6 (2.8–4.7)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Asphyxiated               | 0.8 (0.4–1.3)                    | 2.2 (1.1–4.5)                   |          |                     |                  |                      | 2.8 (1.8–4.4)      | 6.5 (2.5–15.8) | 2.7 (1.7–4.2) |
|                           | 0.3 (0.1–1.1)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Multiple injuries         | 4.8 (3.7–6.2)                    | 3.4 (2.0–5.7)                   |          |                     |                  |                      | 4.6 (3.1–6.6)      | 3.9 (1.4–10.8) | 3.5 (2.3–5.4) |
|                           | 4.1 (3.1–5.3)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Undetermined              | 5.1 (4.0–6.5)                    | 6.2 (4.6–8.4)                   |          |                     |                  |                      | 3.9 (2.8–5.4)      | 11.1 (6.0–19.7) | 3.5 (2.4–5.1) |
|                           | 4.8 (3.4–6.7)                    |                                 |          |                     |                  |                      |         |         |
| Other **                  | 8.8 (7.2–10.7)                   | 4.4 (2.8–6.8)                   |          |                     |                  |                      | 28.1 (22.6–34.4) | 1.7 (0.3–9.6) | 28.6 (22.4–36.2) |

*Employment data collected for children from the age 14 years

**Abandoned neonates included in this group

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186432.t003
Table 4. Frequencies of perpetrator characteristics for child and adult women sexual homicides (weighted estimates).

|                        | Adult women (18 + yrs) n (95% CI) | Children (0–17 yrs) n (95% CI) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                        | All adult women Homicides          | Sexual homicides               | Non-Sexual Homicides          | P value | All child homicides       | Sexual homicides        | Non-Sexual Homicides       | P value |
|                        | n = 2645 (2311–2979)              | n = 494 (406–574)              | n = 2019 (1758–2281)          | 80.2% (77.9–82.3) | n = 1277 (1091–1462)     | 8.7% (6.7–11.1)         | n = 1081 (903–1260)       | 91.3% (88.8–93.3) |
| Perpetrator was        |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| identified             |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Identified             | 60.5 (57.0–63.9)                  | 48.6 (42.0–55.2)               | 64.2 (60.4–67.8)               | <0.00   | 58.9 (53.6–64.0)         | 58.1 (44.5–70.6)         | 59.8 (54.1–65.3)         | 0.19 |
| Suspected only         | 13.4 (12.3–14.8)                  | 15.9 (13.2–19.2)               | 12.9 (11.6–14.4)               |         | 18.9 (15.2–23.2)         | 12.4 (7.2–20.7)          | 19.6 (15.4–24.6)         |       |
| Remained unidentified  | 26.1 (23.0–29.5)                  | 35.5 (29.1–42.3)               | 22.9 (19.8–26.3)               |         | 22.17 (18.1–26.9)        | 29.5 (17.2–45.7)         | 20.6 (16.6–25.4)         |       |
|                        |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Relationship with      |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| victim                 |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Intimate partner       | 42.8 (39.6–46.0)                  | 22.5 (18.3–27.4)               | 48.2 (44.5–52.0)               | <0.00   | 3.6 (2.4–5.3)            | 1.8 (0.3–9.8)            | 3.2 (2.0–5.3)            | <0.00 |
| Family member          | 5.6 (4.3–7.10)                    | 4.4 (3.0–6.4)                  | 6.0 (4.4–8.1)                  |         | 41.8 (36.6–49.1)         | 27.1 (19.7–35.9)         | 42.6 (36.5–48.8)         |       |
| Acquaintance           | 17.8 (15.8–20.0)                  | 27.6 (22.6–33.1)               | 15.7 (13.9–17.6)               |         | 33.4 (27.8–39.5)         | 37.5 (28.7–47.1)         | 34.2 (28.4–40.5)         |       |
| Stranger               | 7.6 (6.1–9.4)                     | 9.9 (6.5–14.7)                 | 7.3 (5.8–9.3)                  |         | 4.5 (3.5–5.9)            | 6.7 (2.6–15.9)            | 4.7 (3.5–6.1)            |       |
| Other                  | 0.9 (0.6–1.5)                     | 1.8 (0.8–3.8)                  | 1.8 (0.5–1.2)                  |         | 2.7 (10.0–15.9)          | 15.7 (9.3–25.4)          | 12.3 (9.5–15.8)          |       |
| Unknown                | 25.1 (21.7–28.8)                  | 33.8 (27.4–40.9)               | 22.0 (18.7–25.7)               | <0.00   | 3.9 (3.0–5.0)            | 11.3 (5.7–21.2)          | 3.1 (2.2–4.3)            |       |
| Mean perpetrator age   |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Mean age               | 32.9 (32.2–33.5)                  | 30.9 (29.4–32.4)               | 33.4 (32.5–34.3)               | 0.02    | 25.4 (24.3–26.4)         | 27.8 (25.2–30.4)         | 25.1 (23.9–26.1)         | 0.08 |
| Perpetrator employed   |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Yes                    | 32.0 (28.6–35.6)                  | 23.0 (17.8–29.1)               | 34.4 (31.0–38.1)               | <0.00   | 17.8 (15.5–20.8)         | 23.1 (16.4–31.4)         | 16.5 (13.2–20.4)         | 0.30 |
| No                     | 33.1 (30.2–36.2)                  | 35.7 (29.9–42.0)               | 33.5 (30.2–36.9)               |         | 48.2 (42.9–53.6)         | 50.4 (39.0–61.7)         | 49.3 (43.5–55.0)         |       |
| Unknown                | 34.9 (30.8–39.3)                  | 41.3 (35.2–47.7)               | 32.1 (27.7–36.9)               |         | 34.0 (29.8–38.4)         | 26.6 (15.1–42.4)         | 34.2 (29.7–39.2)         |       |
| Multiple perpetrators  |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Yes                    | 13.5 (12.1–15.0)                  | 17.4 (14.3–21.0)               | 12.7 (11.0–14.6)               | <0.00   | 17.3 (12.9–22.8)         | 6.0 (2.4–14.4)           | 19.0 (14.3–24.9)         | 0.03 |
| No                     | 61.9 (58.2–65.4)                  | 47.7 (20.1–55.4)               | 66.2 (62.5–69.8)               |         | 60.6 (56.5–64.6)         | 68.7 (55.7–79.3)         | 59.6 (54.7–64.3)         |       |
| Unknown                | 24.7 (21.2–28.6)                  | 34.9 (28.8–41.7)               | 21.1 (17.5–25.3)               |         | 22.2 (17.4–27.8)         | 25.3 (15.0–39.3)         | 21.4 (16.3–27.6)         |       |
| *Convicted             |                                   |                                |                                |         |                          |                                |                          |         |
| Yes                    | 26.5 (23.2–30.1)                  | 28.3 (22.1–35.6)               | 26.7 (23.5–30.1)               | 0.59    | 38.8 (29.3–49.1)         | 46.5 (19.0–76.3)         | 33.3 (25.9–41.5)         | 0.44 |
| No                     | 73.5 (69.9–76.6)                  | 71.7 (64.5–77.9)               | 73.4 (69.9–76.5)               |         | 61.2 (50.8–70.6)         | 53.5 (23.8–81.0)         | 66.7 (58.5–74.1)         |       |

(Continued)
vs 34.4%). Very little differences were found in the employment status of perpetrators among the children ($p = 0.30$). The involvement of multiple perpetrators was more common among adult sexual homicides cases (17.4%) than adult non-sexual homicide cases (12.7%) but this was reversed for children with multiple perpetrators not common (6% vs 19%).

There was no difference in the proportion of convictions among the sexual homicides and non-sexual homicide victims among adult females ($p = 0.59$) while for children the convictions among the sexual homicide cases were higher than the non-sexual homicide cases (46.5% vs 33.3%) but this difference was not significant ($p = 0.44$). The data on the length of sentencing was only available for adult women and for girls victims older than 14 years. Perpetrators of sexual homicides were more likely to receive longer sentences (15 plus years) for both adult women and children among the sexual homicides compared to the non-sexual homicides.

**Discussion**

Our study showed sexual homicides are not rare events in South Africa, with nearly 500 adult female cases in 2009. Indeed, the sexual homicide rate for adult women (3.05/100 000) is similar to the global overall female homicide rate, reported to be between 3–4 per 100 000 females [17]. The proportion of all cases of female homicide among women aged 14 and over that were sexual homicides was 19%, which was higher than that reported in the study of deaths in 1999, where the proportion was 16% [5]. However, it is similar to the 10-year study at a single large mortuary in the Western Cape, where it was reported to be 19.9% among all female homicides [6]. Our finding is similar to that of the Alaskan study (17%).

Our study showed nearly one in ten of the child homicides (8.7%) was a sexual homicide. We could not find similar rates for children in the research literature and this could be one of the first epidemiological studies of sexual homicides among children in developing settings. Older children in 13-18-year group were most at risk and a third of the sexual homicides were among the 5–13-year group which is an age group in which only 10% of all child homicides occurred. We expected the majority of the child sexual homicides to be in the older teenage group (i.e. 13 years and older) and this is what we found. However, having evidence of sexual violence among one in three of the 5-13-year-old children points to the vulnerability of...
younger children. We also calculated the sexual homicide fatality rate per sexual offence reported and found 9 sexual homicides for every 1000 sexual offences reported to police. The absence of disaggregated police data prevented us from calculating a separate adult female and child rate. Similar analysis of fatality rates was not found in the literature and comparison could not be made.

Our study confirms girl children are by far at greater risk of sexual homicide than boy children. This sex pattern is resonant of the broader gender differences in experiences of violence, for example in the proportion of male and female reporting acts of sexual violence to the police [18]. It is possible that the presence of a sexual crime was sometimes missed among the boy children killed because it is not expected. We also found and we had limited information from the police interviews for the boy victims and much of the information remained unknown.

Our study showed strangulation was among the most common manner of death for the sexual homicide crimes for adult females and by far the most common for children. This information is important for investigative purposes as it can guide forensic and police inquiry. This manner of death has consistently been reported in all studies where pathology findings have been reported [5,6,19,20] and have been reported in non-fatal rape research [21]. This manner of death also points to the possibility that the primary motive may have been rape as strangulation are used to induce unconsciousness.

We showed that the most common perpetrators for the crime against children were people known to them (family members (27.1%) and acquaintances (37.5%). This is similar to findings from studies on violence against children which often occur in the context of domestic and family violence [22]. However, the findings from a systematic review on sexual homicides of children identifies strangers as the most common perpetrators [23]. Indeed, strangers were not common in both the adult females and the children homicides in our study. The review also indicated that abduction was a common operandi in sexual homicides of children [23] but we did not have similar detail on the crime in our study. The investigation of these crimes is known to be difficult and the large group of missing data on the perpetrators attest to this.

The low rate of convictions also points to cases not always well investigated with only 28% of adult women sexual homicides resulting in a conviction but a higher proportion of conviction among children cases (46.5%). This implies the majority of these crimes remained unsolved. Poor investigation of crimes such as rape have been reported in South Africa [24]. Furthermore, the use of forensic crime technology, such as DNA evidence, which is available in South Africa, is obviously not being used effectively in the identification of perpetrators [25]. During the data collection, we as researchers often felt helpless when we encountered evidence of poor investigation documented in the police files in particular, child homicide cases where child abuse was involved. The clear lack of co-ordination among health, police and social services often compromised the management and investigative outcomes of these cases. In response a Child Death Review pilot project was started in two Provinces (Western Cape and Kwa-Zula Natal) in South Africa to improve the investigation but more importantly to strengthen response systems and preventing future child deaths [26].

Our study has limitations. We identified sexual homicides from both medical forensic and crime information and for most cases a rape was clearly obvious such as injuries or other crime information. However, since it is known that rape is often overlooked we included cases where a rape kit was used to collect evidence by a forensic team. This may not be considered clear evidence of a rape crime, but the study team discussed this at length and we were guided by the practice in South Africa where a rape kit would only be used if a rape is strongly suspected. Similarly, we included cases if nudity and disarray clothing were found (underwear removed or around ankles) as these are strongly suggestive of a sexual assault among homicide and non-homicide victims [27]. Given the above it is possible that we included cases that were
not rape homicides. Alternatively, we may have missed rape homicide cases that did not fit our inclusion criteria as this crime is not always detected. We erred on being conservative and discussed all cases where information was not very clear i.e. the only information was the use of a rape kit and no further validation from the investigating officer. Similarly, we used the full autopsy report to find further injury information, and additional crime scene information when uncertain if a case was a homicide (a body may have been found on the side of a road and we had to exclude motor vehicle accident injuries). Perpetrator data availability was also a limitation. One in five of the cases had no perpetrator information. We also did not have details of the circumstances of all the deaths as police data was limited and we do not know if the homicide crime was planned or whether it was an impulsive act during a sexual act. We have no information on whether any of the cases were part of a serial sexual crime, to which literature on sexual homicides often alludes [2,13].

In our previous paper we suggested protocols be developed to ensure routine screening and investigation of all female homicides for sexual crimes and proposed the inclusion of a prescribed proforma document [11]. To our knowledge this has not happened. We continue with this call for all female and child murders to have focused investigations by the police and the forensic pathologist for evidence of a sexual crime. In addition, this research has enabled us to address some of the gaps in the current literature on sexual homicide of adult women and children including the bias towards data from high income countries (1).

Conclusion

Our research shows that sexual homicide is not a rare event in South Africa with one in five female homicides and nearly one in ten child homicides identified, as such this is among the highest reported in the literature. The study also shows the proportion of sexual homicides among all female homicides have increased between 1999 and 2009. Sexual child homicides also show different risk patterns for boy and girl children. Reducing mortality is an important policy goal for South Africa and for the rest of the world and the prevention of female and child homicides is an important part of attaining this goal.

Acknowledgments

We thank the South African Department of Health, the South African Police Service and the National Prosecuting Service for their assistance. We thank Bongwekazi Rapiya and Natasha Hendricks for the fieldwork across the country.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Lorna J. Martin, Rachel Jewkes.

Data curation: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Carl Lombard, Rachel Jewkes.

Formal analysis: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Carl Lombard, Rachel Jewkes.

Funding acquisition: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews.

Investigation: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Rachel Jewkes.

Methodology: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Carl Lombard, Lorna J. Martin, Rachel Jewkes.

Project administration: Naeemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews.

Supervision: Naeemah Abrahams, Carl Lombard, Rachel Jewkes.

Validation: Shanaaz Mathews, Carl Lombard, Lorna J. Martin, Rachel Jewkes.
Visualization: Naemah Abrahams, Carl Lombard.

Writing – original draft: Naemah Abrahams, Carl Lombard.

Writing – review & editing: Naemah Abrahams, Shanaaz Mathews, Carl Lombard, Lorna J. Martin, Rachel Jewkes.

References

1. Watson J, Lalu V (2013) Disrupting the Norms: The social and political response to the rape of Anene Booysen Cape Town Henrick Bohll Stifting.
2. Chan H- C, Heide KM (2009) Sexual Homicide: A Synthesis of the Literature. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse 10: 31–54.
3. Kerr KJ, Beech AR, Murphy D (2013) Sexual homicide: Definition, motivation and comparison with other forms of sexual offending. Aggression and Violent Behavior 18: 1–10.
4. Henry T (2010) Characteristics of sex-related homicides in Alaska. Journal of forensic nursing 6: 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-3938.2010.01069.x PMID: 20507418
5. Abrahams N, Martin JJ, Jewkes R, Mathews S, Vetten L, et al. (2008) The epidemiology and the pathology of suspected rape homicide in South Africa. Forensic Science International 178: 132–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2008.03.006 PMID: 18417309
6. Molefe I (2015) Epidemiology and pathology of femicides and suspected sexual homicides in Cape Town: A 10 year follow-up study Cape Town: University of Cape Town 223 p.
7. Schmidt P, Madea B (1999) Rape homicide involving children. Journal of Clinical Forensic Medicine 6: 90–94. PMID: 15335497
8. Burton P, Ward CL, Arzt A, Leoschut L (2015) The Optimus study on Child abuse, Violence and Neglect in South Africa. Cape Town Centre for Justice and Crime Prevention and UCT.
9. Jewkes R, Sikweyiya Y, Morrell R, Dunkle K (2011) The relationship between Intimate Partner Violence, rape and HIV amongst South African men: a cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE 6: e24256. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024256 PMID: 21935392
10. Jewkes R, Fulu E, Roselli T, Garcia-Moreno C (2013) Prevalence and risk factors for non-partner rape perpetration: Findings from the UN Multi-country Cross-sectional study on men and violence in Asia and Pacific. Lancet.
11. Abrahams N, Jewkes R, Martin LJ, Mathews S, Vetten L, et al. (2009) Mortality of women from intimate partner violence in South Africa: A national epidemiological study. Violence and Victims 24: 546–556. PMID: 19694357
12. Abrahams N, Mathews S, Martin LJ, Lombard C, Jewkes R (2013) Intimate partner homicide in South Africa in 1999 and 2009. PLoS Med 10: e1001412. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001412 PMID: 23565064
13. Meloy JR (2000) The nature and dynamics of Sexual Homicide: An integrative Review. Agression and Violent Behaviour 5: 1–22.
14. StataCorp (2012) Intercooled Stata, Version 13. 11.0 ed. Houston: StataCorp.
15. Statistics South Africa (2003) Census in Brief 2001. In: Census 2001, Statistics South Africa, editor. Pretoria: South African Government. Available from: http://www.statssa.gov.za/?page_id=3892
16. South African Police Service (2010) Crime situation in South Africa Pretoria. Available from: http://www.crimestats.za.com/national.html
17. UNODC (2011) The 2011 Global study on Homicide. Vienna: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). Available from: https://www.unodc.org/documents/congress/background-information/Crime_Statistics/Global_Study_on_Homicide_2011.pdf
18. Vetten L, Jewkes R, Fuller R, Christofides N, Loots L, et al. (2008) Tracking Justice: The Attrition of Rape Cases Through the Criminal Justice System in Gauteng. Johannesburg: Tshwaraanang Legal Advocacy Centre. Available from: https://www.csbr.org.za/docs/tracking_justice.pdf
19. Martin L (1999) Violence against women: an analysis of the epidemiology and patterns of injury in rape homicide in Cape Town and in rape in Johannesburg. Unpublished MMed Forensic Pathology Thesis: University of Cape Town.
20. Deming JE, Mittelman RE, Wetti CV (1983) Forensic science aspects of fatal sexual assaults on women. JForensic Sci 28: 572–576.
21. McQuown C, Frey J, Steer S, Fletcher GE, Kinkopf B, et al. (2016) Prevalence of strangulation in survivors of sexual assault and domestic violence. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine 34: 1281–1285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2016.04.029 PMID: 27162112

22. Pinheiro PS (2006) World Report on Violence Against Children. Geneva: United Nations.

23. Heide KM, Beauregard E, Myers WC (2009) Sexually Motivated Child Abduction Murders: Synthesis of the Literature and Case Illustration. Victims & Offenders 4: 58–75.

24. Van der Watt M, Benson B, Labuschagne G (2015) From stranger to serial: (re)emphasising the value of docket analysis as a linkage tool in serial rape identification. Acta Criminologica: Southern African Journal of Criminology 28: 62–77.

25. Meintjes-van der Walt L (2008) Overview of the Use of DNA Evidence in South African Criminal Courts, An. S Afr J Crim Just 21: 22.

26. Mathews S, Martin LJ, Coetzee D, Scott C, Naidoo T, et al. (2016) The South African child death review pilot: A multiagency approach to strengthen healthcare and protection for children. SAMJ: South African Medical Journal 106: 895–899. https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2016.v106i9.11234 PMID: 27601116

27. Byard RW (2010) Sudden death in the young. New York: Cambridge University Press