The Protective HIV-1 Envelope gp41 Antigen P1 Acts as a Mucosal Adjuvant Stimulating the Innate Immunity
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Mucosal nasal vaccine development, although ideal to protect from pathogens invading mucosally, is limited by the lack of specific adjuvant. We recently used P1, a conserved region of HIV-1 gp41-envelope glycoprotein, as efficient antigen in a prophylactic HIV-1 mucosal vaccine applied nasally. Herein, P1 immunomodulation properties were assessed on human nasal mucosal models by measuring induction of cytokine and chemokine production, intracellular signaling pathways, mucosal dendritic cell (DC) activation, and T cell proliferation. P1 adjuvant properties were evaluated by quantification of antigen-specific B cell responses against a model antigen in an in vitro immunization model. We now demonstrated that P1 has additional immunological properties. P1 initiates immune responses by inducing nasal epithelial cells to secrete the Th2-cytokine thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), a described mucosal adjuvant. Secreted TSLP activates, in turn, intracellular calcium flux and PAR-2-associated NFAT signaling pathway regulated by microRNA-4485. Thereafter, P1 induces mucosal dendritic cell maturation, secretion of TSLP in a TSLP-receptor (R)-dependent autocrine loop, but also IL-6, IL-10, IL-8, CCL20, CCL22, and MMP-9, and proliferation of CD4+ T cells. Finally, P1 acts as an adjuvant to stimulate antigen-specific B cell responses in vitro. Overall, P1 is a multi-functional domain with various immuno-modulatory properties. In addition to being a protective vaccine antigen for HIV prevention, P1 acts as adjuvant for other mucosal vaccines able to stimulate humoral and cellular antigen-specific responses.
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INTRODUCTION

Although most human pathogens initiate infection at mucosal sites, only a few licensed mucosal vaccines have been established so far (1). Intranasal immunization, by inducing an antigen-specific immunity in both the mucosal and systemic compartments and by being applied in an atraumatic manner following pulverization, is currently considered as an ideal strategy for prevention against pathogens invading mucosa (2–4). Of note, the early side effects attributed to nasal immunization,
including facial nerve paralysis, are no longer a concern since they are attributed to the specific ADP-ribosylating toxin-based adjuvant used in these studies rather than to the nasal immunization route (4–6). Mucosal immunization is highly compartmentalized with unique pathways linking the inductive and effector sites (2, 3). In particular, nasal vaccination elicits antigen-specific antibody responses in genital tracts (7) and would be therefore beneficial to prevent transmission of sexually transmitted pathogens. Nevertheless, very limited efforts have been made to understand the mechanisms by which nasal vaccines and dedicated adjuvants activate the local nasal innate and adaptive immunity as a first step to establish an effective vaccination.

P1 is a conserved 35 amino acid peptide covering the Membrane Proximal External Region (MPER) of HIV-1 envelope subunit gp41 (8, 9). The MPER is a major target of broadly neutralizing antibodies and thus obviously a very interesting target for an HIV-1 vaccine. In addition, P1 mediates HIV-1 mucosal transcytosis, a principal mucosal entry pathway for HIV-1, by interacting with Galactosyl Ceramide (GalCer), the mucosal receptor of HIV-1 (9–11). Accordingly, we have recently evaluated the protective efficacy of a gp41-subunit-virome vaccine at mucosal sites in non-human primates (8). This vaccine that used P1 as antigen linked to virosomes, an adjuvant-free vaccine carrier, was applied twice by the intramuscular route followed by two intranasal applications. In the primate model, full protection was observed after repeated vaginal challenges with simian–human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) correlated with P1/gp41-specific cervicovaginal antibodies, with IgAs blocking transcytosis and IgGs mediating antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). In contrast, in protected animals, serum IgGs totally lacked antiviral activities. Furthermore, in a Phase I clinical trial, we found that P1-virome vaccination induced mucosal P1-specific antibodies with antiviral activities (12). These results highlighted the critical role of mucosal antibodies as the first line of defense against virus entry.

Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) is an IL-7-like cytokine considered as a master regulator of the T helper 2 (Th2) inflammatory responses by priming dendritic cells (DCs), especially mucosal ones (13, 14). We and others recently reported that TSLP is secreted by epithelial cells during HIV-1 mucosal transmission following the interaction of the viral envelope with epithelial cells (15, 16). In turn, TSLP chemo-attract mucosal DCs to the mucosal compartment (16), suggesting that TSLP could modulate the mucosal immune response following mucosal vaccination. Accordingly, in a study using the HIV-1 envelope gp140 as antigen, TSLP acts as a strong mucosal adjuvant in the mouse model (17). TSLP induced a strong humoral response both in serum and at the genital level following intranasal immunization, comparable to the adjuvant effect of cholera toxin (CT) tested in parallel. In addition, a new study reported that all-trans retinoic acid (RA) shows adjuvant activity through TSLP production (18). Furthermore, a recent study showed that TSLP and TSLP-receptor (TSLP-R) were up-regulated in mucosal DCs of mice nasally immunized with pneumococcal surface protein A plus CT (19) and that in TSLP-R knockout mice, the specific IgA response is remarkably reduced. This indicates that TSLP and its receptor are major contributors to the mucosal adjuvant effect of CT and that TSLP- TSLP-R signaling is critical in IgA elicitation.

In the present study, we investigated whether P1, in addition to being an antigen, could act as an adjuvant by first exploring its capacity to stimulate epithelial TSLP production. We then evaluated additional immunomodulatory effects of P1 on human nasal mucosal models, including cytokine and chemokine production, intracellular signaling pathways, mucosal DC activation, T cell proliferation, and antigen-specific B cell responses against a model antigen in vitro. Altogether, we report the immunological mechanisms underlying P1-vaccine and the potential of P1 as a nasal mucosal adjuvant.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Peptides**

Peptide P1 (aa 650–685) is derived from the HIV-1 gp41 envelope subunit. P1 clade B (SQNQQKEQNGEPSLDKWSALWNFNNITNWLWYIK) is derived from the clade B HXB2 isolate; P1 clade A (SQIQQKKNIQDLLAWKANLWNWFDISNWLYIR) from the clade A 99UGA07072 isolate, and P1 clade C (SQTQQKEQNLALDSWKNLWFSITNWLYIK) was derived from the clade C Bw96Bw0502 isolate. P1W is a P1 clade B variant with a W666G mutation and P1–5W with all five Ws mutated to G. The scramble peptide sequence comprised the same set of amino acids found in P1 clade B but organized in a random manner (9).

Peptides were synthesized with a purity >95% by Biopetide Co., Inc (San Diego, CA) or United BioSystems (VA, USA).

**Cells**

Nasal RPMI 2650 cells (isolated from the human nasal septum, squamous cell carcinoma, ATCC) were grown in MEMα (Minimum Essential Medium α, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS, Eurobio, Courtaboeuf, France) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

Primary human nasal epithelial cells (HNECs, purchased from PromoCell, Heidelberg, Germany) were isolated from nasal septum or adenoids of healthy donors. Cells from two independent donors were obtained. HNECs were cultured in airway epithelial cell basal medium (PromoCell) and supplemented with airway epithelial cell growth SupplementMix (PromoCell) and only cells from passages 2 to 6 were used.

Monocyte-derived DCs (DCs) were generated from primary human monocytes obtained from PBMCs (purity >98%) as described (11, 20). In brief, human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated from healthy donors blood (EFS, Paris, France), and monocytes were purified from PBMCs by negative selection according to the manufacturer’s instructions (StemCell Technologies, France). DCs were obtained by incubating monocytes for 7 days in complete medium containing GM-CSF (100 ng/ml) and IL-4 (10 ng/ml).
Autologous CD4+ T cells were purified from PMBCs by negative selection according to the manufacturer’s instructions (StemCell Technologies, France) (purity >95%).

Quantitative RT-PCR for TSLP
The expression of short and long form TSLP was quantified as described (21, 22). Briefly, total RNA was extracted using Trizol. Five hundred nanograms of RNA was treated with ezDNase Enzyme (Thermo Fisher) to remove genomic DNA and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the kit SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher). Quantitative PCR was performed using reported primers (21) and the PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher). Reactions were performed in triplicates, with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as the internal control. Amplification, data acquisition, and analysis were carried out using the LightCycler 480 Software (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The levels of TSLP mRNA were normalized to the levels of GAPDH using the ΔΔCt method (23) and were presented as 2^−ΔΔCt values.

MicroRNA Microarray Analysis
Confluent HNECs in 12-well plates were stimulated with medium or P1 (clade B, 125 μM) for 6 h at 37°C. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol. Before analysis, letiTSLP mRNA up-regulation was confirmed by qPCR as described above and RNA quality was assessed with Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Agilent Technologies). Three untreated and treated paired samples from three independent experiments were analyzed by GeneChip miRNA 4.0 arrays (Affymetrix, Thermo Fisher) containing probes for 2,578 human mature microRNAs and 2,025 premature microRNAs (https://assets.thermo.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/brochures/miRNA_4.0_and_4.1_datasheet.pdf). Potential microRNA targets were analyzed with the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (Qiagen).

MiR-4485 Quantification and Knockdown
The quantification and knockdown of microRNA were performed as previously described with some modifications (16). Briefly, total RNA was purified using MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), the expression level of miR-4485 was quantified with TaqMan Small RNA Assays (Thermo Fisher). Reactions were performed in triplicates, and U6 was used as endogenous control. In order to knock down miR-4485, 70% confluent HNEC cells were transfected with anti-miR-4485 inhibitor (67 nM, Qiagen) or mock inhibitor (miSCRIPT inhibitor negative control, 67 nM, Qiagen) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) as described by the manufacturer. 36 h after transfection, miR-4485 expression, when quantified as described above, was reduced by 50–60% in anti-miR-4485 transfected cells as compared to anti-miR control (n = 3 independent experiments).

Signaling Inhibitors
Confluent HNEC cells in 24-well plate were pre-incubated with inhibitors for 1 h at 37°C prior to P1 treatment. Inhibitors, namely dexamethasone (Dex) a NF-κB and MAPK inhibitor (used at 100 nM), and cyclosporin A (CsA) a calcineurin inhibitor (used at 1.5 μM), were from Invivogen and used at the manufacturer’s recommended concentrations. ENMD-1068 (PAR-2 antagonist, Enzo Life Science) was used at 50 μg/ml as described (24, 25).

Calcium Measurement
70–80% confluent RPMI 2650 or HNEC cells in 24-well plates were loaded with 2 mM Fura-2/AM (Molecular Probes) in basal medium without serum/growth factors for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with mammalian saline (26), and measurements were performed in complete medium supplied with HEPES (10 mM) and CaCl2 (2 mM) as described (26). Images were acquired with an inverted fluorescence microscope (Observer Z1, Zeiss, Germany) and analyzed with MetaMorph software (27). Calcium was measured every 5 s by video fluorescence imaging. Results were expressed as 340 nm to 380 nm fluorescence ratio and normalized to the baseline, i.e. ratio at time zero was set as 1.

Cytokines and Chemokine Quantification
TSLP, IL-25/IL-17E, IL-33, INF-γ, IL-10, IL-12/23p40, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-13, TNF-α, MMP-9, IL-8/CXCL8, MIP-3α/CCL2, MCP-1/CCL20, MDC/CCL22, TARC/CCL17, APRIL, and BAFF were measured in culture supernatants from the indicated experiments with custom multiplex LumineX assays (Bio-techne) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Additionally, the indicated TSLP was measured in culture supernatants by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with a limit of detection of 8 pg/ml (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

DC–EC Co-Culture and DC Activation
Three DC culture systems were developed. Monocytes derived DCs (5 × 10^5 cells) were incubated for 24 h in medium alone and considered as non-mucosal DCs (DCs) or co-cultured with nasal epithelial cell (RPMI-2650 cell line) monolayer in 24-well plate (DC–EC or eduDC systems for 24 h at 37°C. In turn, DCs were either further cultured with ECs during P1 stimulation (DC–ECs) or separated from EC and transferred into a new plate (eduDCs) for further P1 treatment. Subsequently, P1 (clade B, 125 μM) or medium were added to each of the DCs, DC–ECs or eduDCs cultures for 16 h. DCs were collected and frozen at −80°C for subsequent cytokine and chemokine analyses.

DC-T Cell Co-Cultures
DCs and confluent ECs were co-cultured overnight as described above, and DC–EC or eduDC was further incubated with P1 (clade B, 125 μM) or medium for 24 h. Then, DCs were separated and incubated with autologous CD4+ T cells pre-labeled with
CFSE (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, at a ratio of 1:5 (DC/T). After 5 days of culture, CD4+ T cell proliferation was analyzed by flow cytometry as described (29, 30) using Phytohaemagglutinin (PHA) (5 µg/ml) as positive control.

In Vitro Immunization Assay

In vitro immunization assay was performed as reported (31) with modifications. Briefly, 1 × 10⁶ CD8-depleted PBMCs (Human CD8 Depletion Cocktail, StemCell Technologies, France) were co-cultured for 24 h with RPMI 2650 cells (1 × 10⁶) pre-seeded in 48-well plates for 48 h. Then, ovalbumin (OVA, EndoFit Ovalbumin, 10 µg/ml, Inovigen) alone, OVA together with P1 (5 µM, 25 µM, 125 µM), OVA together with P1 mutant (P1mut, 125 µM), or medium were added to in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA solution, Thermo Fisher), IL-4 (10 ng/mL), IL-2 (10 UI/mL) and 2-mercaptoethanol (20 µM) for 7 days.

For the detection of OVA-specific B cells, at the time points indicated, PMBCs were surface stained with ovalbumin conjugated to fluorescein (OVA-FITC, 20 µg/ml, Thermo), PE-conjugated mouse anti-human CD20 (BD Biosciences, CA, USA), APC-conjugated goat anti-human IgA or donkey anti-human IgG (Jackson Immunoresearch, PA, USA) as indicated by the manufacturer. Specific labeling was quantified by flow cytometry with a Guava EasyCyte flow cytometer (Merck-Millipore), and analyzed with the dedicated InCyte software, using the following strategy: CD20+B cells were first gated and cells double positive for OVA-FITC+ and APC-conjugated anti-IgA or anti-IgG were determined as OVA-IgA or IgG-specific B-cells, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments. Statistical significance was analyzed by the two-tailed Student’s t-test with the GraphPad Prism software.

RESULTS

P1 Induces TSLP Secretion in Nasal Epithelial Cells by Interacting With Galactosyl Ceramide

We first investigate whether P1 induced TSLP secretion in nasal epithelium. Therefore, we cultured human nasal epithelial cells (RPMI 2650) with P1 clade B for 2–24 h at 37°C and analyzed the culture supernatants for TSLP secretion. Compared with the medium and scramble peptides used as negative controls, P1 up-regulates TSLP secretion in a dose-dependent manner from 2 to 4 h (Figure 1A). At 125 µM, when P1 adopts a trimeric oligomerization state (9), P1 induces a significantly higher secretion of TSLP than in a monomeric state (at 5 µM and 25 µM). TSLP secretion occurs rapidly within hours post stimulation reaching a plateau from 4 to 24 h.

Although the P1 sequence is relatively conserved, in contrast to highly mutated regions of HIV-1 envelope gp120, the P1 sequence varies between HIV-1 clade A that is common in West Africa, clade B that predominates in Europe and the USA, and clade C that predominates in Africa and China (Figure 1B). Consequently, we next analyzed whether TSLP secretion was restricted to clade B derived P1 or would also be stimulated by P1 derived from clade A and C viruses (Figure 1B). Secretion of TSLP induced by clade A compared to clade B P1 is reduced by 20% (41.8 ± 2.6 pg/ml for clade A, 52.3 ± 3.4 pg/ml for clade B P1 at 125 µM, p < 0.05, n = 5) whereas P1 clade C failed to induce TSLP secretion. P1 clade C differs from P1 clades B and A by the ELDKW motif, we have previously shown to be determinant in P1 clade B binding to galactosyl ceramide (GalCer), the epithelial HIV-1 receptor (9, 10). We thus hypothesized that P1 clades B and A interact with GalCer initiated TSLP secretion. Accordingly, P1 clade B mutated in W666G (P1W) that fails to interact with GalCer (9) completely loses the capacity to induce TSLP secretion. Furthermore, when the interaction between P1 and GalCer was blocked by pre-incubation with anti-GalCer antibody, TSLP production is entirely blocked, confirming that TSLP secretion is initiated by P1 interaction with GalCer (Figure 1C). Importantly, P1 stimulation also induces primary human nasal epithelial cells (HNECs) to secrete TSLP in a GalCer-dependent manner (Figure 1D).

Long-Form TSLP Is Up-Regulated After P1 Stimulation

Two transcript variants of TSLP, namely the short (sfTSLP) and the long (lfTSLP) forms, were recently identified (32). The expression of sfTSLP has been suggested to be constitutive and homeostatic, whereas the lfTSLP leads to proinflammatory responses (32). We thus investigated which form(s) of TSLP was up-regulated by P1 stimulation of nasal epithelial cells. When analyzed at the transcriptional level in nasal RPMI and primary HNECs, the expression of sfTSLP and lfTSLP differs by a factor >10². Upon P1 stimulation of both nasal RPMI cells and primary HNECs, the level of the sfTSLP transcript remains unchanged (Figure 1E). In contrast upon P1 stimulation, the level of lfTSLP transcription in both nasal RPMI cells and HNECs increased by 1.9 (p = 0.02, n = 5) and 5.9-fold (p = 0.004, n = 6), respectively, compared to unstimulated cells. Altogether, these results indicate that P1 up-regulates lfTSLP selectively at a transcriptional level.

P1-Induced lfTSLP Expression Is Regulated by miR-4485, Calcineurin, and PAR-2

Next, we investigated the intracellular mechanisms leading to TSLP expression after P1 interaction with GalCer. We concentrated on primary HNECs as its increase in lfTSLP transcription level upon P1 stimulation is higher compared to that in nasal RPMI cells (Figure 1E).

We have previously shown that the non-coding microRNA miR-375 controls TSLP expression in primary human foreskin keratinocytes (16), as it does in human intestinal cell lines (33). When tested in primary HNECs, we found that the TSLP secretion induced by P1 described above is not accompanied...
by a change in miR-375 expression. We thus further investigated the microRNA profiles upon nasal epithelial HNEC stimulation by P1 after treatment with or in the absence of P1 for 6 h, comparatively by microRNA array analysis. As a result, 39 microRNAs are differentially expressed with a fold change ranging from 1.3 to 9.15 when p < 0.05, including 23 up-regulated and 16 down-regulated genes (Figure 2A, Table 1).

Remarkably, in the microRNA array analysis, the highest up-regulated gene upon P1 stimulation is the miR-4485-3p with a nine-fold increase (Table 1). We validated this up-regulation by qPCR resulting in an increase in miR-4485-3p expression by 2.6 ± 0.8-fold (n = 4) upon P1 stimulation (Figure 2B). MiR-4485-3p is a relatively newly described microRNA that is poorly characterized at the experimental level. The only described activity of miR-4485-3p is to regulate mitochondrial functions, suggesting a role in tumor suppression (34). Thus, we first evaluated whether this microRNA controlled TSLP expression. Therefore, primary HNECs were transfected with a specific siRNA to inhibit miR-4485-3p expression before P1 stimulation. As a result, knocking down miR-4485-3p by 50–60% decreases in turn P1-induced TSLP expression by 48 ± 10% (p < 0.01, n = 4), compared to cells transfected with a mock inhibitor (Figure 2C).

Bioinformatic analyses were conducted to further elucidate the mechanisms by which P1 modulates all identified microRNAs and subsequent intracellular signaling pathways. The genes predicted to be targeted by identified microRNAs participate in several signaling pathways, the five principals including G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR)-associated signaling, Nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) signaling, Rho GDP signaling, Ephrin receptor signaling, and thrombin signaling (Figure 2D).

Corroborating this predictive analysis designating NFAT, GPCR, and thrombin (PAR associated) pathways (35) as the main ones induced by P1 stimulation, it has been described that in keratinocytes, TSLP production is regulated by Ca2+-dependent NFAT signaling itself triggered by the activation of GPCR protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR-2) (36). Thus, we next evaluated experimentally whether inhibitors specific to these pathways also reduced P1-induced TSLP expression in primary HNECs. Therefore, HNECs were pre-treated with the calcineurin inhibitor Cyclosporine A (CsA) or with the PAR-2 antagonist ENMD-1068 prior to P1 stimulation. Accordingly, TSLP expression was reduced by 67 ± 4% (p < 0.001, n = 3) upon CsA pre-treatment and by 46±14% (p < 0.05, n = 3) following ENMD-1068 pre-treatment (Figure 2E). Furthermore, CsA and ENMD-1068 inhibitors also blocked the up-regulation of miR-4485-3p (Figure 2B). In contrast, blocking NF-κB and MAPK with Dexamethasone (Dex) had no effect on TSLP expression.
These results provide direct and indirect evidence that miR-4485-3p, calcineurin, and PAR-2-mediated signaling tightly correlate with P1-induced TSLP expression. To further confirm that P1 activates calcineurin, we investigated whether, in nasal epithelial cells, P1 induces calcium fluxes that generally cause calcineurin activation (37). Accordingly, using fluorescent dye Fura-2/AM imaging technology, we observed in both nasal RPMI cells and primary HNEC cells that P1 treatment induces an immediate extracellular calcium influx in a concentration-dependent manner (125 μM vs 25 μM of P1, n = 3) (Figures 3A, B). In contrast, treatment with control peptides (P1–5W mutant and P1 clade C, both at 125 μM) fails to raise the calcium level significantly.

Together, these data indicated that in nasal epithelial cells, P1-stimulated TSLP expression is regulated by miR-4485 via a Ca²⁺-dependent NFAT signaling pathway through the interaction with PAR-2 receptor.

**P1 Further Stimulates Epithelial Secretion of MMP-9, CCL20, CCL2, and IL-10**

We next investigated whether, in addition to TSLP, P1 could stimulate epithelial secretion of additional immune factors prone to attract antigen presenting cells (APCs). Therefore, nasal RPMI cells where incubated with P1 (125 μM) and after 24 h, the cell culture medium was analyzed for interleukin (IL)-25/IL-17E, IL-33, IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-12/23p40, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-13, TNF-α, Matrix metalloproteinase 9 ((MMP-9), IL-8/CXCL8, MIP-3α/
Together with TSLP (16), this set of immune factors could initiate an adaptive immune response, both in vivo and in vitro (15, 29, 30). Therefore, we further assessed if P1 activated mucosal DCs could promote T cell proliferation. As a result, P1 primed eduDCs induced the proliferation of autologous CD4+ T cells, whereas treatment with control peptides (P1W mutant and P1 clade C) or P1 stimulation on CD4+ T cells alone has no effect by a 24 h co-culture with ECs. Subsequently, these ‘educated’ DCs were either maintained in culture with ECs and referred to as DC–EC, or separated from the epithelium and referred to as eduDC. Alternatively, DCs only cultured with medium represented ‘non-mucosal’ DCs.

Each type of DCs was stimulated with P1 overnight, and the expression of maturation markers was assessed by flow cytometry. Compared to untreated cells, P1-treated mucosal DCs, either DC–EC or eduDCs, show a significant up-regulation of co-stimulatory molecules CD83 (Figure 4B) and CD86 (Figure 4C). In contrast, P1 has no effect on ‘non-mucosal’ DCs. Surprisingly, P1 also significantly enhanced the expression of TSLP receptor, with both chain TSLP-R (Figure 4D) and IL-7Rα (Figure 4E) being up-regulated on the DCs in all three models.

The cytokine and chemokine secretion profiles were also studied in these models, comparatively. Compared with non-mucosal DCs, P1 induces a significant increase in IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, CCL20, CCL22, and MMP-9 secretion in eduDC and DC–EC models as well as that of TSLP secretion, although more modest. In contrast, P1-stimulation or slightly decreases in DC–EC (Figure 5A). In addition, several cytokines, such as IL-25, IL-33, IL-4, IL-5, remain undetectable whatever the model, whereas others, such as IL-12, IL-13, CCL2, CCL17, TNF-α, APRIL, and BAFF, are secreted equally in all three models.

CCL2, MCP-1/CCL20, MDC/CCL22, TARC/CCL17, APRIL, and BAFF by Luminex technology. As a result, P1 selectively induced the secretion of MMP-9, CCL20, IL-8, and IL-10 (Figure 3C). Furthermore, as observed for P1-induced TSLP secretion, P1W and P1 clade C were unable to stimulate significant MMP-9, CCL20 CCL2, or IL-10 production. Together with TSLP (16), this set of immune factors could facilitate recruitment of APCs to the mucosal surface for initiation of an immune response, since CCL20 and CCL2 chemo-attract macrophages and immature DCs and MMP-9 degrade the extracellular matrix and facilitate the migration of immune cells in or out the epithelium. Treg cells have IgA-inducing functions and require RA, TGF-b1, IL-10, and TSLP for their differentiation (38). DCs, either DC–EC or eduDCs, show a significant up-regulation of co-stimulatory molecules CD83 (Figure 4B) and CD86 (Figure 4C). In contrast, P1 has no effect on ‘non-mucosal’ DCs.

The cytokine and chemokine secretion profiles were also studied in these models, comparatively. Compared with non-mucosal DCs, P1 induces a significant increase in IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, CCL20, CCL22, and MMP-9 secretion in eduDC and DC–EC models as well as that of TSLP secretion, although more modest. In contrast, IFN-γ secretion remains unchanged upon P1-stimulation or slightly decreases in DC–EC (Figure 5A). In addition, several cytokines, such as IL-25, IL-33, IL-4, IL-5, remain undetectable whatever the model, whereas others, such as IL-12, IL-13, CCL2, CCL17, TNF-α, APRIL, and BAFF, are secreted equally in all three models.

Activated DCs are known to stimulate T-cell proliferation to initiate an adaptive immune response, both in vivo and in vitro (15, 29, 30). Therefore, we further assessed if P1 activated mucosal DCs could promote T cell proliferation. As a result, P1 primed eduDCs induced the proliferation of autologous CD4+ T cells, whereas treatment with control peptides (P1W mutant and P1 clade C) or P1 stimulation on CD4+ T cells alone has no effect.

### TABLE 1 | MicroRNAs induced (left) or repressed (right) in HNECs treated with P1.

| MicroRNA ID    | Fold (P1/n.s.) | p Value | MicroRNA ID    | Fold (P1/n.s.) | p Value |
|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| hsa-mir-4485-3p| 9.15           | 0.049   | hsa-mir-3972   | −1.95          | 0.020   |
| hsa-mir-5572   | 1.95           | 0.030   | hsa-mir-4487   | −1.77          | 0.013   |
| hsa-mir-3180   | 1.77           | 0.030   | hsa-mir-4786-5p| −1.62          | 0.024   |
| hsa-mir-3937   | 1.65           | 0.035   | hsa-mir-1246   | −1.56          | 0.012   |
| hsa-mir-1587   | 1.56           | 0.002   | hsa-mir-5093   | −1.53          | 0.026   |
| hsa-mir-6758-5p| 1.56           | 0.012   | hsa-mir-7162-3p| −1.52          | 0.018   |
| hsa-mir-711    | 1.54           | 0.001   | hsa-let-7b-3p  | −1.48          | 0.030   |
| hsa-mir-3180-3p| 1.54           | 0.023   | hsa-mir-3026   | −1.47          | 0.003   |
| hsa-mir-3180-5' | 1.47         | 0.011   | hsa-mir-758-5p | −1.40          | 0.011   |
| hsa-mir-3180-4' | 1.46         | 0.021   | hsa-mir-4677-3p| −1.40          | 0.004   |
| hsa-mir-3180-1' | 1.44         | 0.039   | hsa-mir-3616-3p| −1.40          | 0.003   |
| hsa-mir-3180-2' | 1.44         | 0.039   | hsa-mir-1247-3p| −1.36          | 0.037   |
| hsa-mir-3180-3' | 1.44         | 0.039   | hsa-mir-846    | −1.35          | 0.016   |
| hsa-mir-4666a-5p| 1.41         | 0.040   | hsa-mir-4449*  | −1.33          | 0.023   |
| hsa-mir-7114'  | 1.38           | 0.041   | hsa-mir-4487*  | −1.32          | 0.046   |
| hsa-mir-3181   | 1.37           | 0.042   | hsa-mir-1251-3p| −1.31          | 0.010   |
| hsa-mir-6848-5p| 1.35           | 0.026   | hsa-mir-1231   | −1.34          | 0.013   |
| hsa-mir-4649-3p| 1.33           | 0.035   | hsa-mir-4498   | −1.33          | 0.036   |
| hsa-mir-624-3p | 1.31           | 0.026   | hsa-mir-4646   | −1.35          | 0.016   |
| hsa-mir-1245b-3p| 1.31         | 0.045   | hsa-mir-4449*  | −1.33          | 0.023   |
| hsa-mir-498*   | 1.31           | 0.007   | hsa-mir-1245b-3p| −1.31         | 0.010   |

Hapten format pre-mature microRNA; **n.s., non-stimulated; ***p values were calculated from paired t-test.

**P1 Activates Human Dendritic Cells in a Nasal Mucosal Model**

APCs link the innate and adaptive immune systems and determine the polarization of the immune responses. APCs are thus a key target in vaccine and adjuvant development (38). DCs being the most abundant APCs in airway mucosa (39), we further investigated mucosal DC responses to P1.

It has been suggested that mucosal DCs display unique functions due to the local microenvironment, especially at mucosal level (40). In particular, mucosal DCs modulate their functions by interacting with epithelial cells (ECs) including via epithelial secretion of TSLP (33, 41). Thus, we established a simplified mucosal DC model, by co-culturing DCs and nasal ECs (RPMI-2650 cell line), thereby mimicking the nasal mucosal environment as depicted in Figure 4A. DCs were first ‘educated’ via TSLP secretion, although more modest. In contrast, IFN-γ secretion remains unchanged upon P1-stimulation or slightly decreases in DC–EC (Figure 5A). In addition, several cytokines, such as IL-25, IL-33, IL-4, IL-5, remain undetectable whatever the model, whereas others, such as IL-12, IL-13, CCL2, CCL17, TNF-α, APRIL, and BAFF, are secreted equally in all three models.

Activated DCs are known to stimulate T-cell proliferation to initiate an adaptive immune response, both in vivo and in vitro (15, 29, 30). Therefore, we further assessed if P1 activated mucosal DCs could promote T cell proliferation. As a result, P1 primed eduDCs induced the proliferation of autologous CD4+ T cells, whereas treatment with control peptides (P1W mutant and P1 clade C) or P1 stimulation on CD4+ T cells alone has no effect.
Similar results were observed with DC-ECs, in agreement with the similar cytokine profiles between DC-EC and eduDC as described above. Altogether, these results show that P1 activates mucosal DCs specifically, resulting in Th2 cytokine and chemokine secretion, and in CD4+ T cell proliferation.

**P1 Acts as an Adjuvant to Stimulate Antigen-Specific Humoral Responses In Vitro**

Finally, given that P1 induces various immunomodulatory effects in mucosal cells involved in vaccination at the nasal site, as described above, we investigated whether P1 was able to act as an adjuvant. Using an *in vitro* immunization model with human PBMCs, the capacity of P1 to trigger a humoral immune response against a well-characterized antigen, namely ovalbumin (OVA), was evaluated.

*In vitro* immunization assays have been used to produce specific monoclonal antibodies using a defined antigen complemented with an adjuvant (42). Here, we establish a mucosal immunization model adapted from (29, 31, 43) and using mucosal DCs based on our results presented above. OVA were selected as the model antigen. Therefore, human CD8-depleted PBMCs (n = 5 independent donors) were co-cultured with RPMI 2650 cells for one day to educate DCs, and prior to addition of either medium, OVA, OVA plus P1 mutant (P1mut, **Figure 5B**).
and 25 μM, the concentration at which P1 remains in the monomeric state, induction of OVA-specific B cells is very limited, whereas, at 125 μM, P1 significantly enhances OVA recognition by B cells due to surface expression of OVA-specific IgA and IgG isotypes. Similar results were obtained when B cells were stained with anti-CD19-PE. Importantly, in the absence of nasal epithelial cells during the in vitro immunization, P1 is not able to induce OVA-specific B cells. Within the culture supernatants of day 7, OVA-specific antibody secretion could not be detected by ELISA, most likely because blasts were not formed at this early time point of the immunization and in agreement with the detection of OVA-specific IgA and IgG at the B cell surface, prior to blast differentiation. Hence, P1 appears to act as an adjuvant by promoting the expression of antigen-specific BCR on B cells, which may need additional signals to develop into plasma cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In contrast to intensively used bacterial adjuvants, the beneficial immune properties of viral components have been mostly overlooked. Although viruses are commonly considered to trigger PRRs (Pattern recognition receptors) by their genetic materials, viral envelope proteins have also been reported to initiate local inflammation via the interaction with TLR-2 and TLR-4 (44–47). These motifs are critical for virus transmission but might also be used to improve vaccine uptake and efficacy.

Here, we reported for the first time about the adjuvant activity of a viral membrane protein and explored the corresponding immunological mechanisms. Therefore, we have characterized the immuno-modulatory properties of P1, a conserved peptide from the HIV-1 glycoprotein gp41 we have previously shown to be a powerful vaccine antigen providing full protection against mucosal HIV infection following intra-nasal immunization (8) and determined its stimulatory activities in human nasal epithelial cells and dendritic cells, the two major targets of nasal vaccination.

Nasal epithelial cells are the first cells encountered by the vaccine applied nasally and are recognized to influence the initiation, regulation, and maintenance of mucosal innate and adaptive immune responses via epithelial-derived factors, such as TSLP (33, 41). The P1-induced TSLP secretion by nasal epithelial cell shown here could thus constitute the initial adjuvant activity achieved by P1 used in a nasal vaccine formulation. TSLP offers several advantages for stimulation of the local immunity and induction of specific antibody production, two main goals of mucosal vaccination (18). In particular, TSLP affects polarization towards Th2 immune responses, promotion of IgA class switching, and mediates the generation and maintenance of memory T cells (48). Hence, the introduction of TSLP in a vaccine formulation applied mucosally strongly stimulates the IgA response (19), and TSLP has demonstrated adjuvant activity in a recent HIV-1 vaccine study with intra-nasal administration in the mouse model (17). Recent studies by us and others demonstrated that TSLP is secreted during the initial steps of HIV-1 entry in the genital mucosa.
following gp120-dependent activation of the TLR-4 pathway through NF-κB signaling (15, 16). Based on the considerable efficacy of our gp41-subunit vaccine comprising virosome, an adjuvant-free vaccine carrier, coupled to gp41 subunits used as antigen, and administered nasally, we decided to investigate whether our vaccine antigen, the gp41-subunit P1, could also stimulate TSLP and thus acts as a mucosal adjuvant.

However, nasal epithelial cells differ from genital ones and thus respond differently to pathogens they are associated with. Hence, nasal epithelial cells cannot be activated by LPS via TLR-4 (49). Accordingly, our study revealed that P1 stimulates TSLP production in nasal epithelial cells by interacting rather with GalCer, the HIV mucosal receptor expressed on epithelial cells and dendritic cells (9–11).

We further studied the in-depth mechanism stimulated by P1 interaction with GalCer to identify the cellular regulator of TSLP expression in nasal epithelium. As TSLP secretion by various stimuli is regulated by miRNA (16, 33), we first studied the microRNA transcriptome selectively induced in nasal epithelial cells upon P1 stimulation. We identified miR-4485 as the main miRNA that is differentially increased in nasal cells upon P1 stimulation. Accordingly, blocking miR-4485 abolished P1-induced TSLP secretion. Further prediction-based systematic analysis based on targets of identified miRNAs computed that the PAR-2 associated NFAT pathway was modulated. PAR-2 plays a key role in TSLP release, particularly the PAR-2/ORAI1/NFAT calcium pathway that has been reported to regulate TSLP production in keratinocytes (36). Here, we experimentally

---

**FIGURE 5 | P1 stimulation of mucosal DCs results in CD4+T cell proliferation.** (A) Cytokine and chemokine production of P1-treated DC models. IL-6, IL-10, IFNγ, TSLP, IL-8, CCL-20, CCL-22, and MMP9 were quantified by a multiplex Luminex assay. The blue star (*) indicates significant differences (p values <0.05, student’s t-test) in each model between P1 (blue bars) and mock (gray bars) treatments, whereas the black star (**) compares P1-treated cells in different models. Data are presented as box-and-whisker plots from n > 3 independent experiments, p values <0.05, paired student’s t-test). (B) P1-treated mucosal DCs stimulate the proliferation of CD4+ T cells. CD4+ T cell proliferation was determined by flow cytometry according to CFSE intracellular concentration. Data are represented as proliferating CD4+ T cells as % of total CD4+ T cells. Phytohaemagglutinin (PHA) was used as positive control. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n > 3 independent experiments; paired student’s t-test *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).
established the capacity of P1 to stimulate the PAR-2/ORA11/ NFAT calcium pathway in nasal epithelial cells. Hence, P1-induced TSLP secretion is blocked by PAR-2 and calcineurin specific inhibitors, and P1 induces an intracellular calcium influx. Furthermore, in addition to TSLP, P1 stimulates the specific secretion of CCL20, CCL2, IL-10, and MMP-9 in nasal epithelial cells. In line with these results, MMP-9 secretion has been shown to be triggered by PAR-2 activation (50).

Our results indicate that P1 can induce TSLP production when interacting with the nasal epithelium thus probably also during nasal vaccination. However, the pathway induced by the gp41-subunit P1 differs completely from that elicited by gp120 within the HIV-1 envelope spike during HIV-1 sexual transmission at genital sites (15, 16). Regarding its role in the nasal vaccination process, TSLP produced at the inductive site could receive from HIV-1 envelope a signal to induce TSLP, and in turn rapidly mobilize vaccine induced immune cells to prevent infection. As a final step in the characterization of P1 adjuvant function, we showed that P1 induces antigen-specific IgG and IgA at the surface of B cell in an in vitro immunization assay. Previous studies reported that using PBMCs depleted in immunosuppressive cells, an antigen-specific immune response can be elicited by antigen sensitization in the presence of cytokines uptake antigens, as characterized in the nasal epithelium (30, 52). DCs are generally considered as the major target of TSLP (53). In a previous study using TSLP as an adjuvant, nasal application at the nasal epithelial surface was sufficient to activate sub-mucosal DCs, suggesting that TSLP-induced signal is able to cross the epithelial barrier (17). Therefore, we further investigated the modulatory effect of P1 on mucosal DCs, by using a nasal ECs and DCs co-culture model to mimic the nasal mucosal environment and cell–cell contacts.

Our data reveal that P1 stimulates the expression of the co-stimulatory factors CD83 and CD68 on mucosal DCs, as well as the TSLP receptor. Considering that TSLP regulates Th2 polarization, we investigated the cytokine and chemokine profile stimulated by P1 in mucosal DCs. Our data showed that in mucosal DCs, P1 induced IL-6, IL-10, and reduced IFN-γ corresponding to an anti-inflammatory Th2 response and enhancing IgA class switching. IL-8, CCL20, and CCL22 are also produced and, in turn, could induce the recruitment of immune cells for the initiation of the adaptive immune response (macrophages, lymphocytes, monocytes) to the mucosal stroma. The last factor secreted upon P1 stimulation is MMP-9 that facilitates the migration of immune cells by degrading the extracellular matrix. In addition, P1-modulated mucosal DCs lead to autologous CD4+ T cell proliferation. Thus, our results indicate that P1 activates mucosal DCs to release robust Th2 cytokines and chemokines and therefore might promote the mucosal humoral response.

TSLP produced by ECs is expected to mediate crosstalk with DCs leading to Th2 polarization of the immune response. However, we surprisingly found that ECs are not the only source of TSLP in our mucosal models. Mucosal DCs obtained in our two models, namely DC–EC and eduDC, react similarly to P1 stimulation. In particular, P1 induces a similar level of TSLP secretion and increased the expression of TSLP receptor, two elements that might form together a TSLP autocrine loop, in turn, amplifying the TSLP-induced signal. This autocrine loop is actually consistent with the clinical studies reporting that TSLP secretion and TSLP receptor expression are augmented simultaneously upon EC activation (54, 55). Such autocrine TSLP loop in DCs would sustain the source of TSLP and help to maintain the DC–epithelial crosstalk. Accordingly, we found that the ‘education’ induced by the interaction with ECs and its specific microenvironment provide unique functional features to mucosal DCs upon P1 stimulation characterized by a different immune profile compared to blood DCs. This is consistent with previous reports indicating that the immunological consequences subsequent to an adjuvant stimulation are primarily dependent on the environment in which the adjuvant is applied (41, 56). Further studies will be necessary to better understand the mechanisms involved in the epithelial ‘education’ and on P1-induced DC activation pathways.

As a non-lymphoid area of the mucosal surface, sub-mucosal DCs act as sentinels for monitoring ‘danger signals’ such as cytokines and chemokines induced by antigen stimulated epithelial cells. Upon activation, these DCs may penetrate epithelial tight junctions via trans-epithelial dendrites and
and adjuvants (29, 31, 42, 43). Therefore, we used this in vitro method to evaluate the capacity of P1 to act as an adjuvant and enhance an antigen-specific humoral response using OVA as a model antigen. Our results clearly indicated that P1, when in an oligomeric state, enhanced the number of OVA-specific B cells by either favoring antigen presentation and/or T-B interaction. Both OVA-specific B-cell surface IgA and IgG were detected, whereas specific antibody secretion was undetectable at day 5/7, suggesting that in this in vitro model, class switching occurred, but B cells did not develop into plasmablasts. Specific function of P1 should be confirmed in vivo upon intranasal immunization of P1-adjuvanted antigens.

Altogether, our results show that in addition to its protective vaccine antigen properties contributing to full protection against repeated mucosal SHIV infection (8, 12) and to inducing in a phase I clinical trial in human mucosal P1-specific IgA with in vitro HIV transcytosis blocking properties (8, 12), P1 acts as an adjuvant, as we now demonstrated here. We show that P1 adjuvant activity occurs in a two-step mode of action during nasal vaccination. In the first step, P1 initiates the very first immune responses at nasal epithelial surface, by producing TSLP, a molecule considered as a strong adjuvant, together with CCL20, CCL2, MMP-9 and IL-10, cytokine determinant in the mucosal immune response. This process is initiated by P1 interaction with Galactosyl Ceramide, the epithelial receptor for HIV-1 (9–11), activates calcium influx and the PAR-2 and calcineurin pathways, and is regulated by the microRNA miR-4485. In the second step, P1 modulates mucosal DCs by inducing the expression of maturation markers, promoting chemokine release that can recruit adaptive immune cells and cytokine secretion that can polarize adaptive immunity into a Th2 and IgA preferential fashion. As a result, P1-modulated DCs promote CD4+ T cell proliferation and enhance antigen-specific B cell responses.

**FIGURE 7** | ‘Chain of events’ summarizing the P1 immunomodulatory adjuvant activity in initiating innate and adaptive immune responses at the nasal mucosa. Step 1: P1 activates nasal epithelia cells to produce TSLP by interacting with GalCer, activating, in turn, miR-4485, calcium influx, PAR-2 and calcineurin, as well as the secretion of CCL20, CCL2, MMP-9, and IL-10. These cytokines and chemokines initiate the very first steps of the immune response and mediate the crosstalk between ECs and immune cells such as DCs. Step 2: P1 interacts with mucosal DCs, thereby enhancing the expression of co-stimulatory markers and TSLP receptor in an autocrine loop and favoring DC maturation. As a result, P1-activated mucosal DCs initiate an adaptive immune response, by eliciting chemokine release that in turn can recruit adaptive immune cells and cytokine secretions. Consequently, adaptive immunity is polarized into a Th2 and IgA preferential response. Step 3: Finally, P1-stimulated DCs promote CD4+ T cell proliferation and enhance antigen-specific B cell responses.
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