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Table S1. Scaffold diversity using scaled Shannon entropy (SSE) at different numbers of most populated scaffolds

| Data set              | SSE5 | SSE10 | SSE20 | SSE30 | SSE40 | SSE50 | SSE60 | SSE70 |
|-----------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MEGx                  | 0.883| 0.873 | 0.869 | 0.858 | 0.858 | 0.857 | 0.856 |       |
| NATx                  | 0.916| 0.931 | 0.938 | 0.939 | 0.939 | 0.938 | 0.938 | 0.936 |
| GRAS                  | 0.617| 0.57  | 0.541 | 0.526 | 0.517 | 0.512 | 0.507 | 0.501 |
| GRAS subset           | 0.748| 0.732 | 0.725 | 0.716 | 0.710 | 0.705 | 0.700 | 0.695 |
| Carcinogenic          | 0.664| 0.629 | 0.64  | 0.639 | 0.637 | 0.642 | 0.651 | 0.657 |
| Carcinogenic subset   | 0.647| 0.701 | 0.748 | 0.756 | 0.759 | 0.768 | 0.779 | 0.784 |
| Anticancer drugs      | 0.991| 0.964 | 0.974 | 0.981 | 0.986 | 0.989 | 0.991 | 0.992 |
| Non-anticancer drugs  | 0.769| 0.75  | 0.762 | 0.777 | 0.789 | 0.799 | 0.803 | 0.809 |
| Clinical              | 0.863| 0.866 | 0.871 | 0.877 | 0.882 | 0.876 | 0.876 | 0.877 |
| Epigenetic focused    | 0.718| 0.785 | 0.854 | 0.871 | 0.888 | 0.902 | 0.914 | 0.912 |

SSE: Scaled Shannon Entropy at the 70 most populated chemotypes
**Table S2.** Summary of the intra-library similarity distributions computed with Extended Connectivity (ECFP_4)/ Tanimoto

| Data set                             | Min.  | 1st Qu. | Median | Mean  | 3rd Qu. | Max.  | SD    |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| MEGx                                 | 0.000 | 0.101   | 0.128  | 0.135 | 0.160   | 1.000 | 0.052 |
| NATx                                 | 0.013 | 0.111   | 0.136  | 0.150 | 0.167   | 1.000 | 0.072 |
| GRAS (cyclic and acyclic systems)    | 0.000 | 0.091   | 0.130  | 0.141 | 0.178   | 1.000 | 0.073 |
| GRAS subset (cyclic systems)         | 0.000 | 0.095   | 0.131  | 0.139 | 0.171   | 1.000 | 0.067 |
| Carcinogenic                         | 0.000 | 0.050   | 0.081  | 0.089 | 0.116   | 1.000 | 0.059 |
| Carcinogenic subset(cyclic systems)  | 0.000 | 0.062   | 0.091  | 0.098 | 0.125   | 1.000 | 0.057 |
| Anticancer drugs                     | 0.014 | 0.095   | 0.120  | 0.123 | 0.146   | 0.832 | 0.053 |
| Non-anticancer drugs                 | 0.000 | 0.088   | 0.114  | 0.117 | 0.141   | 1.000 | 0.046 |
| Clinical                             | 0.000 | 0.098   | 0.122  | 0.122 | 0.146   | 0.797 | 0.039 |
| Epigenetic focused                   | 0.000 | 0.100   | 0.123  | 0.126 | 0.149   | 1.000 | 0.040 |

1stQ: first quartile; 3rd Q: third quartile
**Figure S1.** Definition of molecular scaffold used in this work. The scaffold (or cyclic system) was obtained after iteratively removing the side chains of the entire molecule. Heteroatoms in the scaffold are considered part of the scaffold. Each cyclic system is assigned with a code (i.e., chemotype identifier) of five characters following the approach of Johnson and Xu (Xu Y-J, Johnson M. Algorithm for naming molecular equivalence classes represented by labeled pseudographs. J Chem Inform Comput Sci. 2001;41(1):181-5). In this approach, acyclic systems are assigned with the chemotype identifier ‘00000’.
Figure S2. Consensus Diversity Plots (CDPs) for the eight data sets and two subsets studied in this work. CDPs in this figure classify the compound data sets considering molecular scaffolds, fingerprint representations, and physicochemical properties. Each data point represents a compound set. Fingerprint-based diversity is plotted on the X-axis. Scaffold diversity is represented in the Y-axis plotting area under the curve (AUC), F$_{50}$, SSE5 and SSE70. The quadrants in red identify compound data sets with high fingerprint-based diversity, the quadrants in white identify data sets with relative low fingerprint-based diversity and lower scaffold diversity; the quadrants in blue locate data sets with high fingerprint-based diversity.
diversity but low scaffold diversity; and the quadrants in yellow identify compound libraries with low fingerprint-based diversity but high scaffold diversity. Data points are colored by the diversity of the physicochemical properties of the data set as measured by the Euclidean distance of six properties of pharmaceutical relevance. The distance is represented with a continuous color scale from red (more diversity), to orange/brown (intermediate diversity) to green (less diversity). The relative size of the data set is represented with the size of the data point: smaller data points indicate compound data sets with fewer molecules. In this application example of the plots, a value of 0.75 for AUC and the median values of the distribution of $F_{50}$, SSE5, SSE70 and ECFP/Tanimoto similarity were used to set the quadrants.
Figure S3. Consensus Diversity Plots using measures of scaffold diversity for the most populated scaffolds (SSE5 and SSE40). The median values of the distribution of SSE5 SSE40 and MACCS/Tanimoto similarity values of all the data sets were used to set the quadrants in the CDPs.