MEAN EXIT TIME FOR SURFACE-MEDIATED DIFFUSION:
SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR
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Abstract. We consider a model of surface-mediated diffusion with alternating phases of pure
bulk and surface diffusion. For this process, we compute the mean exit time from a disk through
a hole on the circle. We develop a spectral approach to this escape problem in which the mean
exit time is explicitly expressed through the eigenvalues of the related self-adjoint operator. This
representation is particularly well suited to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the mean exit
time in the limit of large desorption rate λ. For a point-like target, we show that the mean exit time
diverges as √λ. For extended targets, we establish the asymptotic approach to a finite limit. In both
cases, the mean exit time is shown to asymptotically increase as λ tends to infinity. We also revise
the optimality regime of surface-mediated diffusion. Although the presentation is limited to the unit
disk, the spectral approach can be extended to other domains such as rectangles or spheres.

1. Introduction. Many transport and search processes exhibit intermittent character when different modes of motion are alternated. Typical examples are animals
foraging (with phases of rapid relocation and slow exploration), facilitated search mechanism on DNA (with phases of pure bulk diffusion and chain sliding), vesicle
transportation in living cells (with phases of active transport by motor proteins and
passive diffusion in the cytoplasm), water transport in confining media (with phases
of pure bulk diffusion and surface exploration) [3, 4]. The intermittence is often ex-
pected to facilitate transport and search processes, e.g., by reducing the mean search
time necessary to reach a target (food, specific DNA sequence, nucleus, or reaction
zone in the above examples). In particular, the mean exit time from a bounded do-
main through an opening (a target) on the boundary has been actively studied during
the last decade [9, 8]. For pure bulk diffusion, Singer et al. derived the asymptotic
behavior of the mean exit time in the narrow escape limit (when the size of the target
is small) [21, 19, 20, 18, 10]. Isaacson and Newby developed uniform in time asymp-
totic expansions in the target radius of the first passage time density for the diffusing
molecule to find the target [7]. The escape problem for an intermittent process with
phases of surface and pure bulk diffusion (the so-called surface-mediated diffusion)
has been recently solved for rotation-invariant domains [1, 2]. The known eigenbases
for the Laplace operators governing pure bulk and surface diffusions allowed one to
express the mean exit time in a closed matrix form. Under well-defined conditions,
the mean exit time was shown to be minimized at an optimal desorption rate that
characterizes switching from surface to pure bulk diffusion. These results have been
extended in various directions [16, 17, 5, 6]. An alternative master equation approach
for discrete (on-lattice) surface-mediate diffusion (also called the bulk-mediated sur-
face diffusion) has been proposed [14, 12, 13].

In the present paper, we propose a rigorous spectral analysis of the above escape
problem. We focus on surface-mediated diffusion in the unit disk and derive a spectral
representation of the mean exit time. This representation is well suited to investigate
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the asymptotic behavior of the mean exit time in the limit of large desorption rate $\lambda$. For a point-like target, we show that the mean exit time diverges as $\sqrt{\lambda}$. For extended targets, we establish the asymptotic approach to a finite limit. In both cases, the mean exit time is shown to asymptotically increase as $\lambda$ tends to infinity. We also revise the optimality regime of surface-mediated diffusion. Although the presentation is limited to the unit disk, the spectral approach can be extended to other domains such as rectangles or spheres.

2. A self-adjoint operator formulation. We study the following model of surface-mediated diffusion in the unit disk $D = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ whose boundary $\partial D$ includes an exit (or a target) of angular size $2\epsilon$ (i.e., an arc of the unit circle between $\pi - \epsilon$ and $\pi + \epsilon$), with $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \pi$. A starting point $e^{i\theta}$ is taken on the unit circle. If the starting point is located on the target then the process is immediately stopped. Otherwise, the particle moves along the circle according to a Brownian motion with the diffusion coefficient $D_1$ for a duration of $\min\{\tau_{\lambda}, \tau\}$, where $\tau_{\lambda}$ is a random variable with exponential law of parameter $\lambda \geq 0$, and $\tau$ is the first hitting time of the target. If $\tau \leq \tau_{\lambda}$ then the process stops. If $\tau > \tau_{\lambda}$ then the particle is relocated at time $\tau_{\lambda}$ along the normal inside the disk at a distance $0 < a \leq 1$ to start there a 2D Brownian motion with the diffusion coefficient $D_2$. This motion is stopped after hitting back the unit circle, and the same procedure is restarted from this last hitting point. We define $t_1(\theta)$ as being the expected time to reach the target. Similarly, for $0 \leq r < 1$, we define $t_2(re^{i\theta})$ as being the expected time to reach the target starting from the point $re^{i\theta}$ inside the unit disk.

It has been shown in [2] that these two functions satisfy the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
D_1 t_1''(\theta) + \lambda [t_2 ((1 - a)e^{i\theta}) - t_1(\theta)] &= -1 \\
D_2 \Delta t_2 &= -1 \\
t_2(e^{i\theta}) &= t_1(\theta) \quad (\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]) \\
t_1(\theta) &= 0 \quad \text{if } \theta \in [-\pi, -\pi + \epsilon] \cup [\pi - \epsilon, \pi] 
\end{align*}
$$

Let us notice that, by symmetry, $t_1(\theta)$ is an even function so it is sufficient to determine it on $[0, \pi]$.

The solution to Eq. (2.2) is the sum of the particular solution $\frac{1-r^2}{4D_2}$ to the inhomogeneous (Poisson) equation $\Delta u = -\frac{1}{D_2}$, $u|_{\partial D} = 0$, and the solution to the Dirichlet problem $\Delta v = 0$, $v|_{\partial D} = t_1$.

Since $t_1$ is even it may be represented as a cosine series

$$
t_1(\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \cos n\theta,
$$

from which

$$
t_2(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1-r^2}{4D_2} + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n r^n \cos n\theta.
$$

Eq. (2.1) then becomes

$$
t_1''(\theta) = -\frac{1}{D_1} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} U(t_1),
$$
where $U$ is the operator on $L^2([0,\pi])$ defined by

$$U \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n \cos n\theta \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n (1 - (1 - a)^n) \cos n\theta.$$  

This operator can also be written as $U = V^2$, where

$$V \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n \cos n\theta \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} \cos n\theta. \quad (2.6)$$

Next we introduce the Sturm Liouville operator $T$ defined on $L^2([0,\pi])$ as $Tf = u$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ 
\begin{array}{ll}
u'' &= f \\
u'(0) &= u(\pi - \epsilon)
\end{array}
\right. \quad (2.7) \\
\pi - \epsilon &\leq \theta \leq \pi. \quad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

The operator $T$ is negative self-adjoint. Finally, we define $\tilde{T} = -ETR$ as an operator on $L^2([0,\pi - \epsilon])$, where $R : L^2([0,\pi]) \rightarrow L^2([0,\pi - \epsilon])$ is the natural restriction, and $E : L^2([0,\pi - \epsilon]) \rightarrow L^2([0,\pi])$ is the natural extension by 0. The operator $\tilde{T}$ can be written explicitly as

$$\tilde{T}(f) = \begin{cases} 
\int_{0}^{\pi - \epsilon} \theta \, d\theta, & 0 \leq \theta < \pi - \epsilon, \\
\vphantom{\int_{0}^{\pi - \epsilon}} 0, & \pi - \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi.
\end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

One can easily check that the eigenbasis of this operator is

$$\nu_n = \frac{(1 - \epsilon/\pi)^2}{(n + 1/2)^2}, \quad u_n = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \left( \frac{(n + 1/2)\theta}{1 - \epsilon/\pi} \right), & 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi - \epsilon, \\
0, & \pi - \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi.
\end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

These eigenvectors form an orthogonal basis of $L^2[0,\pi - \epsilon]$ by Sturm-Liouville theory.

Let us check that $\tilde{T}$ is a self-adjoint non-negative operator on $L^2([0,\pi])$. Let $f$, $g$ be in $L^2([0,\pi])$: 

$$-\langle \tilde{T}f, g \rangle = \langle ETRf, g \rangle = \int_{0}^{\pi - \epsilon} T(Rf) \, Rg = \int_{0}^{\pi - \epsilon} T(Rf) \, Rg = \int_{0}^{\pi} Rf \, T(Rg) = \int_{0}^{\pi} f \, ETR(g) = -\langle f, \tilde{T}g \rangle,$$

which proves the claim, since the operator $ETR$ is negative.

The operator $\tilde{T}$ allows us to translate Eq. (2.5) into

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \tilde{T}(1) - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \tilde{T}U(t_1). \quad (2.11)$$

We next apply the operator $V$ to both sides of Eq. (2.11) to get, writing $s_1 = V(t_1)$,

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) V\tilde{T}(1) - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} V\tilde{T}V(s_1),$$
which can be solved in $s_1$ as

$$ s_1 = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left( I + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} V\tilde{T} V \right)^{-1} (\psi), \quad (2.12) $$

where $\psi = V\tilde{T}(1)$. This is an exact solution of the original problem for a fixed starting point. We emphasize that the operators $V$ and $\tilde{T}$, as well as the function $\psi = V\tilde{T}(1)$, are given explicitly. At first thought, this representation looks similar to the mean exit time found in [2] (see also [14, 17]). Although both derivations are conceptually similar, the major advantage of the present approach is the use of the self-adjoint operator $V\tilde{T}V$. This feature allows one to invert the operator $(I + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} V\tilde{T} V)$ in Eq. (2.14) and to express the mean exit time in a spectral form (see below).

The case of a randomly distributed starting point on the circle with uniform law is of particular interest. This is equivalent to averaging the mean exit time over the starting points that we denote as

$$ \langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \ t_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle t_1, 1 \rangle. $$

Using Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12), we can write

$$ \pi \langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \langle \tilde{T} V(s_1), 1 \rangle $$

$$ = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \langle s_1, \psi \rangle, \quad (2.13) $$

from which it follows that the knowledge of $s_1$ allows to compute $\langle t_1 \rangle$:

$$ \langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left( \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \langle \left( I + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} V\tilde{T} V \right)^{-1} \psi, \psi \rangle \right). \quad (2.14) $$

By spectral theorem there exists an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0, \pi])$ which diagonalizes the self-adjoint operator $V\tilde{T}V$. More precisely, $L^2([0, \pi])$ is the orthogonal direct sum of ker($V\tilde{T}V$) and Im($V\tilde{T}V$) and we obtain this orthonormal basis by completing any orthonormal basis of ker($V\tilde{T}V$) with the basis formed by the normalized eigenvectors associated with positive eigenvalues.

To identify these two spaces let us notice first that ker $V$ is the one dimensional space of constant functions. Thus ker($V\tilde{T}V$) is the space of functions $f \in L^2([0, \pi])$ such that $\tilde{T}(Vf)$ is constant. But since $Vf = \tilde{T}(Vf)$ on $[0, \pi - \epsilon]$, $Vf \equiv 0$ on $[0, \pi - \epsilon]$. So $f \in$ ker($V\tilde{T}V$) implies supp($Vf$) $\subset [\pi - \epsilon, \pi]$, and this implication is easily seen to be an equivalence. With a slight abuse of language, we write ker($V\tilde{T}V$) $= V^{-1}(L^2(\pi - \epsilon, \pi))$. It follows that Im($V\tilde{T}V$) $= V(L^2([0, \pi - \epsilon]))$.

We call $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ the orthonormal basis of Im($V\tilde{T}V$) such that $V\tilde{T}Ve_n = \lambda_n e_n$ and $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

When $\epsilon = 0$, the eigenbasis $e_n$ is simply formed by cosine functions, and the analysis is straightforward (see below). When $\epsilon > 0$, we first observe that $\psi = V\tilde{T}(1) = V\tilde{T}(\varphi_0)$ where

$$ \varphi_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } [0, \pi - \epsilon], \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} & \text{on } [\pi - \epsilon, \pi]. \end{cases} $$
so that \( f_0^\pi \varphi_0 = 0 \), and thus there exists \( \psi_0 \in L^2([0,\pi]) \) such that \( \varphi_0 = V\psi_0 \). It follows that \( \psi \in \text{Im}(VT\psi) \) and we can write
\[
\psi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \psi_n e_n,
\]
with coefficients \( \psi_n \) forming a sequence in \( \ell^2 \). Using this representation, Eq. (2.12) is formally solved as
\[
s_1 = \frac{1}{D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \lambda_n} e_n.
\]
Plugging this expression into Eq. (2.14) we obtain
\[
\langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left[ \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\psi_n^2}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \lambda_n} \right].
\]
(2.15)
This spectral representation is particularly well suited for the asymptotic analysis of the mean exit time.

2.1. Point-like target \((\epsilon = 0)\). We first consider the case of \( \epsilon = 0 \). Although such a target is not accessible for 2D pure bulk diffusion, it can still be reached through 1D surface diffusion. In this case, one easily gets
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{T}(\cos n\theta) &= -T(\cos n\theta) = \frac{\cos n\theta - (-1)^n}{n^2} \quad (n \geq 1), \\
\hat{T}(1) &= -T(1) = \frac{\pi^2 - \theta^2}{2}, \\
V\tilde{T}(\cos n\theta) &= \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^2} \cos n\theta \quad (n \geq 1), \\
V\tilde{T}(1) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
so that
\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_n &= \begin{cases} 
\frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^2} (n \geq 1), \\
0 & (n = 0),
\end{cases} \\
e_n &= \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2/\pi} \cos n\theta (n \geq 1), \\
\sqrt{1/\pi} & (n = 0).
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
(2.16)
(2.17)
(2.18)

For \( n \geq 1 \), we have
\[
\psi_n = \langle \psi, e_n \rangle = \langle V\tilde{T}(1), e_n \rangle = \sqrt{2/\pi} \langle \hat{T}(1), V(\cos n\theta) \rangle = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} \left( \frac{\pi^2 - \theta^2}{2}, \cos n\theta \right) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2},
\]
(2.19)
while \( \langle \psi, 1 \rangle = \langle V\tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle = \langle \hat{T}(1), V1 \rangle = 0 \). Substituting this expression into Eq. (2.15), we get
\[
\langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left[ \langle \hat{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2\pi \lambda}{D_1} (1 - (1 - a)^n) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left( 1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left[ \langle \hat{T}(1), 1 \rangle - 2\pi \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \right] \\
+ 2\pi \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} (1 - (1 - a)^n)}.
\]
(2.20)
From Eq. (2.20), we get
\[
\langle T(1), 1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} = \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{\pi^2 - \theta^2}{2} = \frac{1}{3} \pi^3. \tag{2.21}
\]

We also know the value of the Riemann zeta function
\[
\zeta(2) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \tag{2.22}
\]

Plugging Eqs. (2.21) and (2.22) into Eq. (2.20) yields
\[
\langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} = \frac{2}{D_1} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + \frac{1}{D_1} \left(1 - (1 - a)^n\right)}. \tag{2.23}
\]

We retrieved the exact representation of the mean exit time for point-like target that was first derived in [1].

For 0 < a < 1, in the following inequalities
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + \frac{1}{D_1}} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + (1 - (1 - a)^n) \frac{1}{D_1}} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + a \frac{1}{D_1}},
\]

Since \( f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + \frac{1}{D_1}} \) is decreasing, by applying the property that \( \int_{n-1}^{n} f(x)dx \leq f(n) \leq \int_{n}^{n+1} f(x)dx \), one can replace sums by integrals to get
\[
\sqrt{\frac{D_1 \pi}{\lambda}} F(D_1/\lambda) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 + (1 - (1 - a)^n) \frac{1}{D_1}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{D_1 \pi}{\lambda}} \tag{2.24}
\]

where \( F(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(\sqrt{x}) \). One obtains therefore
\[
\frac{\pi F(D_1/\lambda)}{\sqrt{D_1 \lambda}} \left(1 + \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2}\right) \leq \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{aD_1 \lambda}} \left(1 + \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2}\right) \tag{2.24}
\]

In the limit of large \( \lambda \), \( F(x) \) can be approximated by 1, i.e.
\[
\frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2 \sqrt{D_1 \lambda}} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{D_1 \lambda}} \lesssim \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} \leq \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2 \sqrt{D_1 \lambda}} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{D_1 a \lambda}} \tag{2.25}
\]

Therefore, we conclude that \( \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} \) asymptotically increases to infinity, as illustrated on Fig. 2.1b. As a result, if \( \frac{\partial \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0}}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} < 0 \), then \( \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} \) has a minimum. We compute
\[
\frac{\partial \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0}}{\partial \lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{2}{D_1} \left(\frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^4}\right) < 0
\]
\[
\Leftrightarrow \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^4}
\]
\[
\Leftrightarrow D_2 > D_{2, crit} = D_1 \frac{\pi^2 (1 - (1 - a)^2)}{24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^4}} \tag{2.26}
\]
We retrieved the optimality condition first reported in [1]. The relation (2.26) determines the critical value of the pure bulk diffusion coefficient \( D_{2,crit} \), which for small \( a \) can be approximated as

\[
\lim_{a \to 0} D_{2,crit} = D_1 \frac{\pi^2}{12\zeta(3)} \approx 0.68D_1. \tag{2.27}
\]

If \( D_2 > D_{2,crit} \), then \( \langle t_1 \rangle_{\epsilon=0} \) has a minimum.

**Fig. 2.1.** Mean exit time \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) as a function of \( \lambda \) for \( \epsilon = 0 \) (a) and \( \epsilon = 0.01 \) (b), with \( a = 0.01 \). When \( D_2 = 0.5 < D_{2,crit} \) (blue solid line), \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) monotonously increases with \( \lambda \) so that the smallest mean exit time corresponds to \( \lambda = 0 \) (surface diffusion without intermittence). When \( D_2 = 2 > D_{2,crit} \) (red dashed line), \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) starts first to decrease with \( \lambda \), passes through a minimum and monotonously increases to infinity. For point-like target (a), thin solid lines indicate the leading term of the lower bound \( \frac{1-(1-a)^2}{4D_1} \pi \sqrt{\lambda} \) from Eq. (2.25). Note that the upper bound, which is larger by \( a^{-1/2} \), strongly overestimates the mean exit time. Finally, correction terms of the order \( \lambda^{-1/2} \) are negligible for large \( \lambda \). For extended target (b), horizontal lines indicate the limiting values of the mean exit time as \( \lambda \to \infty \). Symbols present the diagonal approximation (4.3).

### 2.2. Extended target \( (\epsilon > 0) \)

In sharp contrast to a point-like target, the mean exit time \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) to an extended target \( (\epsilon > 0) \) converges in a finite limit as \( \lambda \to \infty \). As a consequence, the quantity \( \langle \tilde{T}(1) \rangle \) is

\[
\langle \tilde{T}(1) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n} \left( \frac{\lambda}{D_1} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} \right).
\]

Plugging Eq. (2.28) into Eq. (2.15), we obtain

\[
\langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1-(1-a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda} \right)}.
\]
This formula generalizes Eq. (2.23) to extended targets.

Similarly, we have
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n (D_n/\lambda + \lambda_n)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2},
\]
so that
\[
T = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \langle t_1 \rangle = \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4\pi D_2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2},
\]
(2.31)
i.e., we got a spectral representation for the time \( T \) corresponding to pure bulk diffusion. Note also that the series \( S = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \) converges.

We may now state the main theorem of this work:

**Theorem 2.1.** The function \( \lambda \mapsto \langle t_1 \rangle \) is eventually increasing as \( \lambda \to +\infty \). Moreover, if
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{d(\langle t_1 \rangle)}{d\lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} < 0,
\]
then the function \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) passes through a minimum.

**Proof.**

**Lemma 2.2.**
\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^3} = +\infty.
\]
The proof of this lemma will be given in the next section. We assume it is true for the rest of the proof of the main theorem.

We rewrite Eq. (2.30) as follows
\[
\langle t_1 \rangle = T + \frac{S}{\pi \lambda} - \frac{D_1}{\lambda} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4\pi D_2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2 (\lambda_n + D_n/\lambda)} - \frac{D_1}{\pi \lambda^2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda^2 (\lambda_n + D_n/\lambda)}.
\]
(2.32)
Since
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2 (\lambda_n + D_n/\lambda)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^3} = +\infty,
\]
we see that
\[
\langle t_1 \rangle = T - \frac{D_1}{\lambda} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4\pi D_2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2 (\lambda_n + D_n/\lambda)} + O(\lambda^{-1}),
\]
from which the principle part of \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) is indeed non-decreasing as \( \lambda \to \infty \).

To complete the proof, we differentiate Eq. (2.32) (the exchange of derivative and sum is easily established):
\[
\pi \frac{d(\langle t_1 \rangle)}{d\lambda} = \frac{S}{\lambda^2} + \frac{D_1}{\lambda^2} \frac{(1 - (1 - a)^2)}{4D_2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n (\lambda_n + D_n/\lambda)^2} + \frac{D_1}{\lambda^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_n^2 (2\lambda_n + D_1/\lambda)^2.
\]

which becomes positive at large $\lambda$ because
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n (\lambda_n + D_1 \lambda)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^3} = +\infty.
\]

Returning to formula (2.15), we have
\[
\pi \frac{d(t_1)}{d\lambda} = \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_1 D_2} \left[ \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{1 + \frac{\lambda \lambda_n}{D_1}} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{D_1} \left[ 1 + \lambda \left(1 - (1 - a)^2\right) \right] \left[ -\frac{1}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{1 + \frac{\lambda \lambda_n}{D_1}} + \frac{\lambda}{D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2 \lambda_n}{D_1^2} \right].
\]

In particular, one gets
\[
\pi \frac{d(t_1)}{d\lambda} \bigg|_{\lambda = 0} = \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_1 D_2} \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{D_1^2} \left[ -\sum_{n \geq 1} \psi_n^2 \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_1 D_2} \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle - \frac{1}{D_1^2} \|V\tilde{T}(1)\|^2,
\]
which becomes negative when
\[
\|V\tilde{T}(1)\|^2 > \frac{D_1}{4D_2} (1 - (1 - a)^2) \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle
\]
\[
\Leftrightarrow \|V\tilde{T}(V_0)\|^2 > \frac{D_1}{4D_2} (1 - (1 - a)^2) \langle V\tilde{T}(V_0), V_0 \rangle.
\]

Note that
\[
\psi = V\tilde{T}(1) = V \left( \frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^2 - \theta^2}{2} \right) = V \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \cos n\theta \left( \frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^2 - \theta^2}{2}, \cos n\theta \right) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \cos n\theta \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} \left( \frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^2 - \theta^2}{2}, \cos n\theta \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \cos n\theta \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi - \epsilon}{n} \cos n\epsilon + \frac{\sin n\epsilon}{n^2} \right).
\]

**Remark 2.1.** The inequality (2.33) determines the critical value for the pure bulk diffusion coefficient $D_{2,\text{crit}}$, above which pure bulk excursions are beneficial. The existence of the optimal value $\lambda$ (that minimizes the function $(t_1)$) depends on this ratio. If $D_2 > D_{2,\text{crit}}$, with
\[
D_{2,\text{crit}} = \frac{D_1 (1 - (1 - a)^2) \langle \tilde{T}(1), 1 \rangle}{4 \|V\tilde{T}(1)\|^2}
\]
\[
= D_1 \pi (\pi - \epsilon)^2 (1 - (1 - a)^2) \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^4} \left( \frac{(\pi - \epsilon) \cos n\epsilon + \frac{\sin n\epsilon}{n} \right)^2 \right)^{-1},
\]
then $\langle t_1 \rangle$ starts first to decrease with $\lambda$, passes through a minimum then monotonously increases. We retrieved the optimality condition first reported in [1].

Proof. [Proof of the main lemma] First we define $\tilde{e}_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} T V e_n$ so that $V \tilde{e}_n = e_n$.

Let $u$ be such that $\psi = VTV(u)$; $u$ must be of the form $\psi_0 + u^\perp$, where $u^\perp \in \ker(VTV)$. Let

$$u_n = \langle u, e_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \langle u, VTV e_n \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \langle VTV u, e_n \rangle = \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** This computation gives another proof that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty$ and thus also that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty$.

Now, on $[0, \pi - \epsilon]$, $-\lambda_n \tilde{e}_n'' = V e_n$ because $\tilde{e}_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} T V e_n$. Let $m, n$ be integers, and we establish:

$$\lambda_n (e_n', e_m') = -\lambda_n (\tilde{e}_n', \tilde{e}_m') = \langle V e_n, \tilde{e}_m \rangle = \langle e_n, V \tilde{e}_m \rangle = \langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \delta_{m,n}.$$

Setting $e_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n} e_n$, we get that $(e_n')$ is an orthonormal system of $L^2([0, \pi])$.

Next assume that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty$: then the above computation shows that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n} e_n$ is a function of the Sobolev space $H^1([0, \pi])$.

Before we continue let us observe that the operator $I - V$ is regularizing: $\forall f \in L^2([0, \pi]), V f = f + g$ where $g \in C^\infty([0, \pi])$.

This implies that

$$V \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n} e_n \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n} \tilde{e}_n + g, \quad g \in C^\infty.$$

On the other hand

$$V \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n} e_n \right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n} e_n,$$

and thus $u_0 = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n}{\lambda_n} e_n \in H^1$.

But $u_0$ minimizes $\|v\|_2^2$ on the set of $v$ such that $\int_0^\pi (Vv - 1)^2 = 0$, $\int_0^\pi Vv = -(\pi - \epsilon)$.

By the theory of constrained extrema, $v$ must be of the form $\lambda V 1_{\pi - \epsilon, \pi} = \lambda 1_{\pi - \epsilon, \pi} + g$ with $g \in C^\infty$. But such a function cannot be in $H^1$.

We have thus proven the main lemma. $\square$

3. Asymptotic behavior. Here we analyze the asymptotic behavior of $\langle t_1 \rangle$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

For point-like target ($\epsilon = 0$), Eqs. (2.18) and (2.19) imply for small $a$ the existence of two distinct asymptotic behaviors:

$$\lambda_n \simeq \frac{a}{n}, \quad \psi_n^2 \simeq \frac{2\pi a}{n^3} \quad (n \ll 1/a),$$

$$\lambda_n \simeq \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \psi_n^2 \simeq \frac{2\pi}{n^4} \quad (n \gg 1/a).$$

(3.1)

(3.2)
In this section, we analyze the case of extended targets ($\varepsilon > 0$) by numerical computations of the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and the spectral weights $\psi_n^2$ (see Appendix B for computational details). As for the point-like target, Figure 3.1 allows one to distinguish two regimes for $\lambda_n$, for small and large $n$:

$$\lambda_n \simeq \begin{cases} \tilde{A}_{a,\varepsilon} n^{-1} & (n \ll 1/a), \\ A_{a} n^{-2} & (n \gg 1/a), \end{cases}$$  \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{A}_{a,\varepsilon}$ and $A_{a}$ are two constants. In Appendix A, we prove the second (large $n$) asymptotic relation and show that the constant $A_{a}$ does not depend on $a$. In turn, the transition between two asymptotic regimes is determined by $1/a$ (and is independent of $\varepsilon$). Note also that $A_{a} \to 1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ according to Eq. (3.2). One can see that these asymptotic relations accurately approximate the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$. The behavior of $A_{a}$ is shown on Fig. 3.3a. As expected, it does not depend on $a$. These numerical results suggest the following conjectural expression:

$$A_{a} = (1 - \varepsilon/\pi)^2,$$  \tag{3.4}$$

which accurately reproduces $A_{a}$ on the whole range of $\varepsilon$ from 0 to $\pi$. According to Eq. (3.1), the coefficient $\tilde{A}_{a,\varepsilon}$ is equal to $a$ when $\varepsilon = 0$. We plot therefore $\tilde{A}_{a,\varepsilon}/a$ on Fig. 3.3b, where this ratio approaches 1 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and 0 as $\varepsilon \to \pi$. Moreover, this ratio weakly depends on $a$ (curves for $a = 0.001$ and $a = 0.1$ almost coincide).

Figure 3.2 shows that the asymptotic behavior of the spectral weights $\psi_n^2$ is more complicated. One can distinguish three asymptotic regimes:

$$\psi_n^2 \simeq \begin{cases} \tilde{B}_{a,\varepsilon} n^{-3} & (n \ll \min\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\}), \\ B'_{a,\varepsilon} n^{-4} & (\min\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\} \ll n \ll \max\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\}), \\ B_{a,\varepsilon} n^{-6} & (n \gg \max\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\}), \end{cases}$$  \tag{3.5}$$

In order to observe all three regimes, one needs $1 \ll \min\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\} \ll \max\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\}$, i.e., either $a \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, or $\varepsilon \ll a \ll 1$. For instance, if $a$ or $\varepsilon$ is not small enough, the first regime with $n^{-3}$ may not be well established (Fig. 3.2b). If $a \sim \varepsilon$, the intermediate regime disappears, as illustrated on Fig. 3.2a. Finally, when $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\max\{1/a, 1/\varepsilon\} \to \infty$, the third regime disappears, and one retrieves two regimes for point-like targets.
The behavior of the coefficients $B_{a,\epsilon}$ and $\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon}$ is shown on Fig. 3.3c,d. As expected from Eq. (3.1), $\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon}/(2\pi a)$ approaches 1 as $\epsilon \to 0$ (point-like target). Moreover, such normalized coefficient weakly depends on $a$ (at least for small $a$). The behavior of $B_{a,\epsilon}$ is more complicated. Given that $T$ should converge to the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion, $\langle t_1 \rangle_b$, as $a \to 0$, one gets

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \sim \int_1^{\infty} \frac{B_{a,\epsilon}x^{-6}}{A^2x^{-4} \left( A_{\epsilon}x^{-2} + \frac{D_1}{A_{\epsilon}} \right)} \, dx$$

where

$$\psi_n^2 \sim n^{-3} \sim n^{-4} \sim n^{-6} \epsilon^{-1} a^{-1}$$

The behavior of the coefficients $B_{a,\epsilon}$ and $\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon}$ is shown on Fig. 3.3c,d. As expected from Eq. (3.1), $B_{a,\epsilon}/(2\pi a)$ approaches 1 as $\epsilon \to 0$ (point-like target). Moreover, such normalized coefficient weakly depends on $a$ (at least for small $a$). The behavior of $B_{a,\epsilon}$ is more complicated. Given that $T$ should converge to the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion, $\langle t_1 \rangle_b$, as $a \to 0$, one gets

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \sim \frac{2\pi D_2 \langle t_1 \rangle_b}{a}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Since $\lambda_n \simeq A_{\epsilon} n^{-2}$ is independent of $a$, one concludes that $B_{a,\epsilon} \sim 1/a$ as $a \to 0$. For this reason, we plot $aB_{a,\epsilon}$ on Fig. 3.3c. For large $\epsilon$, two curves for $a = 0.001$ and $a = 0.1$ do coincide, as expected. However, strong deviations emerge at small $\epsilon$. In fact, one needs to consider much smaller $a$ to get coinciding curves over the whole considered range of $\epsilon$. We conclude that the reflection distance $a$ plays an important role, especially for small targets.

Although the above asymptotic regimes for $\lambda_n$ and $\psi_n^2$ remain conjectural, we will investigate their consequences for the asymptotic behavior of the mean exit time $\langle t_1 \rangle$. Using the asymptotic relations for large $n$, we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \left( \frac{D_1}{A_{\epsilon}} \right) \sim \left( \frac{B_{a,\epsilon} \pi \sqrt{\lambda}}{A_{\epsilon} \pi \sqrt{D_1}} \right).$$

Fig. 3.2. Spectral weights $\psi_n^2$ (shown by red solid line) for (a) $a = 0.001$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$; (b) $a = 0.1$ and $\epsilon = 0.001$; and (c) $a = \epsilon = 0.01$. In the first two plots, three asymptotic regimes can be distinguished according to Eq. (3.5), while the intermediate regime disappears in the last plot.
Coefficients $A_\epsilon$, $\tilde{A}_{a,\epsilon}/a$, $aB_{a,\epsilon}$, and $\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon}/a$ from Eqs. (3.3) versus $\epsilon$. Two curves for $a = 0.001$ and $a = 0.1$ coincide that illustrates the independence of $A_\epsilon$ and $\tilde{A}_{a,\epsilon}/a$ of $a$.

Consequently, we get

$$\langle t_1 \rangle = T - \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$C_1 = C_{a,\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{D_1}{D_2}}, \quad C_{a,\epsilon} = (1 - (1 - a)^2) \frac{B_{a,\epsilon}}{8A_{a,\epsilon}^{5/2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

For an accurate numerical computation of $\langle t_1 \rangle$, we consider the behavior of partial sums $f(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{e^{2\lambda_n}}{\lambda_n(\lambda_n + \lambda_\epsilon)}$ (note that $\langle t_1 \rangle$ is obtained in the limit $N \to \infty$ according to Eq. (2.30)). We checked that $f(N) \sim f(\infty) + \frac{c}{N}$ for large $N$ (for a fixed $\lambda$). In practice, we used the fourth order polynomial fit of $f(N)$ versus $1/N$ for $N$ from 1000 to 20000 to extrapolate the value $f(\infty)$.

Figure 3.4 shows the mean exit time $\langle t_1 \rangle$ as a function of $\lambda$ for a small target ($\epsilon = 0.01$) and two values of $a$: 0.01 and 0.001. In both cases, the mean exit time passes through a minimum at some intermediate desorption rate $\lambda_c$ and then approaches the maximum as $\lambda \to \infty$. One can clearly see that the optimal value $\lambda_c$, as well as the height of the maximum at $\lambda \to \infty$, depend on $a$. Although both considered values $a = 0.001$ and $a = 0.01$ are small, the limiting mean exit time $T$ changes significantly. The asymptotic relation (3.7) (shown by thin solid lines) accurately captures the limiting behavior.
The mean exit time $\langle t_1 \rangle$ as a function of $\lambda$ for $\epsilon = 0.01$, $D_1 = D_2 = 1$, and two values of $a$: 0.01 (solid line) and 0.001 (dashed line). Two horizontal lines indicate the mean exit times for surface diffusion $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=0} \approx 3.2586$ and for pure bulk diffusion $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=\infty} \approx 5.2929$ from Eqs. (2.29), (C.5). Thin lines show the asymptotic behavior (3.7) where $T$ and $C_1$ are computed from Eqs. (2.31), (3.8).

When $\epsilon \to 0$, we use Eqs. (3.3) and (3.5) to get

\[
T = \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4 \pi D_2} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\psi_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \approx \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4 \pi D_2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{1/\epsilon} \frac{\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon} \psi_n}{A_{a,\epsilon} \psi_n^2} + O(\epsilon) \right) \right) \\
= \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4 \pi D_2} \left( \frac{\tilde{B}_{a,\epsilon}}{A_{a,\epsilon}^2} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon) \right), \tag{3.9}
\]

This logarithmic divergence is similar to the result from Ref. [21, 19, 20] which describes the mean exit time for non-intermittent pure bulk diffusion (2D Brownian motion) in the narrow escape limit ($\epsilon \to 0$). This case formally corresponds to the double limit $\lambda \to \infty$ and $a \to 0$. Interestingly, the double limit can be taken separately: as $\lambda \to \infty$, the limiting value $T$ exists for any finite $a$. In this way, we extended the classical escape problem for pure bulk diffusion by including reflections at a finite distance $a$. In Appendix C, we provide the exact formula for the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion $\langle t_1 \rangle_b$. In the narrow escape limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one gets $\langle t_1 \rangle_b = \frac{\ln(2/\epsilon)}{D_2} (1 + O(\epsilon))$.

Figure 3.5 shows the dependence of the limiting mean exit time $T$ on $a$ and $\epsilon$. For fixed target size $\epsilon$, $T$ approaches the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion $\langle t_1 \rangle_b$ as $a \to 0$ (Fig. 3.5a). Note that a simple formula for $T$ in the special case $a = 1$ is derived in Appendix D. For fixed reflection distance $a$, $T$ exhibits the logarithmic dependence on $\epsilon$ for $\epsilon \gtrsim a$, in agreement with Eq. (3.9). In the opposite case $\epsilon \lesssim a$, the reflection distance $a$ alters this behavior.

4. Diagonal approximation. In [3], an explicit diagonal approximation to the mean exit time has been proposed and checked numerically to be accurate. In our notations, this approximation consists in removing all nondiagonal elements of the matrix $VTV$ from Eq. (B.6) that represents the operator $VTV$ in the cosine basis. Under this approximation, one gets

\[
\lambda_n \approx \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^2} \pi - \epsilon + \frac{\sin 2n\epsilon}{2n}, \quad e_n \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(n\theta), \tag{4.1}
\]

from which

\[
\psi_n^2 \approx \frac{2(1 - (1 - a)^n)}{\pi n^4} \left( (\pi - \epsilon) \cos n\epsilon + \frac{\sin n\epsilon}{n} \right)^2, \tag{4.2}
\]
Fig. 3.5. (a) The limiting mean exit time $T$ as a function of $a$ for $\epsilon = 0.01$ (circles) and $\epsilon = 0.1$ (crosses), $D_2 = 1$. Two horizontal lines indicate the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda = \infty} = 5.2929$ and $2.9949$, respectively. As expected, $T$ increases with $a$ because every reflection from the boundary by distance $a$ requires additional time to return (given that the target is located at the boundary). In the limit $a = 1$, $T$ becomes $78.2898$ for $\epsilon = 0.01$ and $76.0404$ for $\epsilon = 0.1$ according to Eq. (17). (b) $T$ as a function of $\epsilon$ for $a = 0.001$ (pluses) and $a = 0.01$ (circles). Solid line shows the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion from Eq. (2.8). As expected, the limiting time diverges logarithmically with $\epsilon$ when $\epsilon \gtrsim a$. In the opposite case $\epsilon \lesssim a$, the reflection distance $a$ alters this behavior.

Substituting these approximations into Eq. (2.15), we retrieve the diagonal approximation from [3]:

$$\langle t_1 \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left[ \frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^3}{3} - \frac{2\lambda}{\pi D_1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - (1 - a)^n}{n^2} \frac{((\pi - \epsilon) \cos n\epsilon + \sin n\epsilon)^2}{n^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi D_1}(1 - (1 - a)^n)(\pi - \epsilon + \frac{\sin 2n\epsilon}{2n})} \right]. \tag{4.3}$$

The diagonal approximation has been shown numerically to be very accurate for moderate $\lambda$ and arbitrary $\epsilon$ (see [3]). Although the matrix $VTV$ has indeed the dominant diagonal, the remarkable quality of this approximation remained puzzling. At the same time, the approximation becomes inappropriate in the limit of large $\lambda$. In order to get a finite limit of the mean exit time for $\epsilon > 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, the constant term $(\pi - \epsilon)^3/3$ has to be compensated. In other words, writing Eq. (4.3) as

$$\langle t_1 \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\pi D_1} \left(1 + \lambda \frac{1 - (1 - a)^2}{4D_2} \right) \left[ \left(\frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^3}{3} - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{((\pi - \epsilon) \cos n\epsilon + \sin n\epsilon)^2}{n^2} \right) \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\lambda}{\pi D_1}(1 - (1 - a)^n)(\pi - \epsilon + \frac{\sin 2n\epsilon}{2n}) \right], \tag{4.4}$$

the constant term in square brackets should be canceled. This is true only for $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = \pi$. As a consequence, the diagonal approximation (4.3) (or (4.4)) implies the divergence of the mean exit time as $\lambda \to \infty$, in contrast to the expected convergence to a finite limit for $\epsilon > 0$.

This discrepancy can be interpreted in terms of the asymptotic behavior of the spectral weights $\psi_n^2$. In fact, the approximate relation [42] suggests $\psi_n^2 \propto n^{-4}$, in contrast to the observed $n^{-6}$ decay. In other words, the diagonal approximation operates over an intermediate asymptotic regime, while the ultimate $n^{-6}$ asymptotics
is missed. This observation explains why the diagonal approximation accurately captures the behavior of the mean exit time \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) over small and moderate \( \lambda \) but fails in the limit \( \lambda \to \infty \) (see Fig. 2.1).

**Conclusion.** In summary, we presented a spectral approach for computing the mean exit time \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) from the unit disk by surface-mediated diffusion with two alternating phases of bulk and surface motion. The derived spectral representation is particularly suitable for the asymptotic analysis of the mean exit time in the limit of large desorption rates \( \lambda \). For a point-like target \( (\epsilon = 0) \), we proved the asymptotic increase \( \langle t_1 \rangle \propto \sqrt{\lambda} \) and provided lower and upper bounds. For extended targets \( (\epsilon > 0) \), we investigated the asymptotic approach of the mean exit time to a finite limit and proved an increase of \( \langle t_1 \rangle \) at large \( \lambda \) (the first correction term being \( \propto \lambda^{-1/2} \)). We revealed different asymptotic behaviors of the governing eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) and spectral weights \( \psi_n^2 \) depending on the target size \( \epsilon \) and the reflection distance \( a \). Finally, we discussed the optimality of surface-mediated diffusion and potential limitations of the diagonal approximation for the mean exit time.

5. Appendices.

**A. Asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of the operator \( \tilde{V}TV \). Theorem A.1.** Let \( \lambda_n \) be the eigenvalues of the operator \( \tilde{V}TV \), where the operators \( \tilde{T} \) and \( V \) are defined in Eqs. (2.7) and (2.6). We have

\[
\lambda_n \approx A_\epsilon n^{-2},
\]

(A.1)

where \( A_\epsilon \) depends only on \( \epsilon \).

**Proof.** In order to prove this statement, we first investigate the following problem: Let \( A \) and \( B \) are two compact, positive, self-adjoint operators. We assume that the eigenvalues of the operator \( A \) are ordered in a decreasing sequence: \( \lambda_1(A) \geq \lambda_2(A) \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n(A) \geq \ldots \geq 0 \). We recall the variational principle as following

**Theorem A.2.**

\[
\lambda_n(A) = \max_F \min_{\emptyset \neq x \in A} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} \quad (\text{where } F \text{ is a subspace of } L^2[0, \pi]; \ \dim F = n).
\]

(A.2)

The max is taken over \( F \), the subspace associated with the first \( n \) eigenvectors of \( A \).

We state two following lemmas which will be needed to prove the proposition A.1.

**Lemma A.3.** We make the assumption that \( \lambda_n(A), \lambda_n(A + B) \) are the \( n \)th eigenvalues of the operators \( A \) and \( A + B \). Then, we have

\[
\lambda_n(A) - \|B\| \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_n(A) + \|B\|,
\]

(A.3)

where \( \|\cdot\| \) define the norm of an operator in \( L^2[0, \pi] \) space.

**Proof.** Let \( F \) be the subspace of \( L^2[0, \pi] \) associated with the first \( n \) eigenvectors of \( A \). For all \( x \in F \), we have

\[
\langle (A + B)x, x \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle Bx, x \rangle.
\]

According to the variational principle, we have

\[
\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \min_{x \in A} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} \|x\|^2 = \lambda_n(A)\|x\|^2.
\]

(A.4)
Besides, we have
\[ |\langle Bx, x \rangle| \leq \|B\| \|x\|^2. \] (A.5)

It follows from Eqs. (A.4) and (A.5) that
\[ \langle (A + B)x, x \rangle \geq \lambda_n(A) \|x\|^2 - \|B\| \|x\|^2. \]

This gives
\[ \min_{0 \neq x \in F} \frac{\langle (A + B)x, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \geq \lambda_n(A) - \|B\|. \]

Again, according to the variational principle, we thus get
\[ \lambda_n(A + B) \geq \lambda_n(A) - \|B\|. \]

In the same manner, if we take \( F \) be associated to the first \( n \) eigenvectors of \( A + B \), we can get
\[ \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_n(A) + \|B\|, \]

and the lemma A.3 follows.

**Lemma A.4.** With the notations used in lemma A.3, if \( \text{rank}(B) < \infty \), then
\[ \lambda_{n + \text{rank}(B)}(A) \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_{n - \text{rank}(B)}(A). \] (A.6)

**Proof.** We call \( F \) the subspace of \( L^2[0, \pi] \) associated with the first \( n - \text{rank}(B) \) eigenvectors of \( A \).

By the variational principle, we have
\[ \forall x \in F \cap \ker(B), \|x\| = 1 : \langle (A + B)x, x \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \lambda_{n + \text{rank}(B)}(A). \]

Consequently,
\[ \min_{x \in F \cap \ker(B), \|x\| = 1} \langle (A + B)x, x \rangle \geq \lambda_{n + \text{rank}(B)}(A). \]

Since
\[ \dim(F \cap \ker(B)) = \dim F - \dim B(F) \geq n, \]

we have
\[ \lambda_n(A + B) \geq \min_{0 \neq x \in \ker(B) \setminus F: \|x\|=1} \langle (A + B)x, x \rangle. \]

So, we conclude that
\[ \lambda_n(A + B) \geq \lambda_{n + \text{rank}(B)}(A). \] (A.7)

The second inequality in (A.6) of this lemma is obtained when we put \( A' = A + B, B' = -B, n' = n - \text{rank}(B) \) and apply the conclusion (A.7) for \( A', B' \) and \( n' \) instead of \( A, B \) and \( n \). ∎

We now call \( \pi_N \) be the orthogonal projection on the first \( N \) eigenvectors of \( B \).
By the property of an orthogonal projection, we can rewrite
\[ B = \pi_N B \pi_N + (I - \pi_N)B(I - \pi_N), \]
then
\[ A + B = A + \pi_N B \pi_N + (I - \pi_N)B(I - \pi_N). \]
We note that
\[ \text{rank}(\pi_N B \pi_N) = N, \]
and
\[ \| (I - \pi_N)B(I - \pi_N) \| \leq \lambda_N(B). \tag{A.8} \]
By applying lemma A.3, we get
\[ \forall n \geq N, \quad \lambda_n(A + \pi_N B \pi_N) - \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_n(A + \pi_N B \pi_N) + \lambda_N(B). \]
According to lemma A.3,
\[ \lambda_{n+N}(A) - \lambda_N(B) \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq \lambda_{n-N}(A) + \lambda_N(B). \tag{A.9} \]

**Lemma A.5.** Let \( \{\lambda_n(A)\} \) and \( \{\lambda_n(B)\} \) are the eigenvalues of two self-adjoint operators \( A \) and \( B \). If \( \lambda_n(A) \sim cn^{-s} \) and \( \lambda_N(B) = \rho^N \) where \( A \) and \( \rho \) are some constants, \( 0 \leq \rho \leq 1 \), then
\[ \lambda_n(A + B) \sim cn^{-s}. \]

**Proof.** Indeed, by applying (A.9), if we choose \( N(n) = n^\delta \), with \( \delta < 1 \), then we obtain from (A.9) that
\[ c(n + n^\delta)^{-s}(1 + o(1)) - \rho^{n^\delta} \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq c(n - n^\delta)^{-s}(1 + o(1)) + \rho^{n^\delta}, \]
Let \( n \to \infty \), we have
\[ cn^{-s}(1 + o(1)) \leq \lambda_n(A + B) \leq cn^{-s}(1 + o(1)). \]
Hence, we conclude that \( \lambda_n(A + B) \sim cn^{-s} \).

We now turn back to prove the Proposition A.1.

We consider the eigenpairs of the operator \( \tilde{V} \tilde{T} V \), where \( \tilde{T} \) is defined in Eq. (2.7), and \( V \) is defined by
\[ V(\cos nx) = \sqrt{1 - (1-a)^n} \cos nx \simeq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1-a)^n \right) \cos nx \]
We note that $V$ and $\tilde{T}$ are self-adjoint operators. We rewrite $V$ as $I - R$ where
\[ R(\cos nx) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - a)^n \cos nx, \]
then,
\[ V\tilde{T}V = (I - R)\tilde{T}(I - R) = \tilde{T} - R\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}R - R\tilde{T}R. \]
Let us denote by $K_N$ the image of the orthonormal projection on the first $N$th eigenvectors of $R$ and $R_N$ the image of the orthonormal projection on the rest eigenvectors of $R$. By definition, $R = K_N + R_N$. Then,
\[ V\tilde{T}V = \tilde{T} - K_N\tilde{T} - K_N\tilde{T}K_N + K_N\tilde{T}R_N + R_N\tilde{T}K_N - R_N\tilde{T}R - R_N\tilde{T}R. \]

We note that rank$(K_N) = N$ and in formula (A.10), whenever there is a $K_N$, we have an operator of rank $N$. Moreover, $-R_N\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}R_N + R_N\tilde{T}R_N$ has the norm dominated by the $N$th eigenvalue of $R$:
\[ \| -R_N\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}R_N + R_N\tilde{T}R_N \| \leq \|R_N\tilde{T}\| + \|\tilde{T}R_N\| + \|R_N\tilde{T}R_N\| \leq c\|R_N\| \leq c\lambda_N(R) = \frac{c}{2}(1 - a)^N. \]
Since the operator $\tilde{T}$ is the solution of the Sturm-Liouville problem, $\lambda_n(\tilde{T}) \sim A_n n^{-2}$. Hence, refer to lemma A.3 we get that
\[ \lambda_n(V\tilde{T}V) \sim A_n n^{-2}. \]

\[ \textbf{B. Numerical computation of spectral characteristics.} \] We briefly present a numerical algorithm to compute the spectral characteristics $\lambda_n$ and $\psi_n$. In order to compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and the eigenvectors $e_n$ of the operator $V\tilde{T}V$, we get an explicit representation of this operator in the basis $\cos n\theta$. First, we find
\[ \tilde{T}(\cos n\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos \theta - \cos(n\pi - \epsilon)}{n^2}, & 0 \leq \theta < \pi - \epsilon, \\ 0, & \pi - \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi, \end{cases} \quad (B.1) \]
and
\[ \tilde{T}(1)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\pi - \epsilon)^2 - \theta^2}{2}, & 0 \leq \theta < \pi - \epsilon, \\ 0, & \pi - \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi, \end{cases} \quad (B.2) \]
from which the expansion of $\tilde{T}(\cos n\theta)$ ($n \geq 0$) in the basis $\{\cos n\theta\}$ of $L^2_{\text{even}}[0, \pi]$ is
\[ \tilde{T}(\cos n\theta) = \sum_{m \geq 0} T_{mn} \cos m\theta \quad n \geq 0, \quad (B.3) \]
where the coefficients $T_{mn}$ are defined by

$$
\frac{\pi}{2} T_{mn} = \begin{cases}
(\hat{T}(\cos n\theta), \cos m\theta), & \text{if } m \geq 1 \\
\frac{1}{2}(\hat{T}(\cos n\theta), 1), & \text{if } m = 0
\end{cases}
$$

In turn, the operator $V$ has a diagonal representation:

$$
V_{mn} = \begin{cases}
\sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^m} & (m = n; \ m, n \geq 0), \\
0 & (m \neq n; \ m, n \geq 0).
\end{cases}
$$

Combining these results, the operator $V\hat{T}$ is represented by the infinite-dimensional matrix $V\hat{T}$ whose elements are

$$
[V\hat{T}]_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^m} \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^n} \left\{ \delta_{mn} \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \pi - \epsilon + \frac{\sin 2n\epsilon}{2n} \right) \right\} - (1 - \delta_{mn}) \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{mn} \left[ \frac{\sin(m-n)\epsilon}{m-n} - \frac{\sin(m+n)\epsilon}{m+n} \right] (m \geq 1; \ n \geq 1),
$$

and $[V\hat{T}]_{m,n} = 0$ if $m = 0$ or $n = 0$. Solving the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ and the eigenvectors $\{e_n\}$ of the operator $V\hat{T}$ is equivalent to finding the eigenpairs of the associated matrix $V\hat{T}$. Note that this matrix is symmetric.

The matrix $V\hat{T}$ is diagonalized in Matlab that finds the eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and the coefficients $v_{mn}$ determining the orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ as

$$
e_n(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} v_{0n} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq 1} v_{mn} \cos m\theta.
$$

The spectral weights $\psi_n$ are then given as

$$
\psi_n = \langle \psi, e_n \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq 1} v_{mn} \langle \psi, \cos m\theta \rangle,
$$

where

$$
\langle \psi, \cos m\theta \rangle = \sqrt{1 - (1 - a)^m} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m^2} \left[ (\pi - \epsilon) \cos m\epsilon + \frac{\sin m\epsilon}{m} \right],
$$

and $\langle \psi, 1 \rangle = (V\hat{T}(1), 1) = \langle \hat{T}(1), V1 \rangle = 0$.

C. Pure bulk diffusion phase. In [15], the mean exit time for pure bulk diffusion phase ($\lambda = \infty, \ a = 0$) was found to be

$$
t_2(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{D_2} \left( \frac{1 - r^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n r^n \cos n\theta \right),
$$
Fig. C.1. Mean exit times $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=0}$ and $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=\infty}$ from Eqs. (2.29) and (C.5) for surface diffusion and pure bulk diffusion, as a function of $\epsilon$. These times are multiplied by the corresponding diffusion coefficients $D_1$ and $D_2$.

\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= -2 \ln[\sin(\epsilon/2)], \quad \text{(C.2)} \\
\alpha_n &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2n} \left[ P_n(\cos \epsilon) + P_{n-1}(\cos \epsilon) \right], \quad \text{(C.3)}
\end{align*}

where $P_n(z)$ are Legendre polynomials. In the limit $r \to 1$, one gets

$$t_1(\theta) = \begin{cases} \\
\frac{1}{D_2} \left( \frac{1}{4} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos n\theta \right) & (0 < \theta < \pi - \epsilon), \\
0 & (\pi - \epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi). \end{cases} \quad \text{(C.4)}$$

The average of the uniformly distributed starting point $\theta$ yields

$$\langle t_1 \rangle_b = \frac{1}{\pi D_2} \left[ -\left( \pi - \epsilon \right) \ln[\sin(\epsilon/2)] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n\epsilon}{2n^2} \left[ P_n(\cos \epsilon) + P_{n-1}(\cos \epsilon) \right] \right]. \quad \text{(C.5)}$$

For small $\epsilon$, the first term dominates yielding $\langle t_1 \rangle_b \simeq \frac{\ln(2/\epsilon)}{D_2} (1 + O(\epsilon))$.

Figure [C.1] shows the mean exit times $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=0}$ and $\langle t_1 \rangle_{\lambda=\infty}$ from Eqs. (2.29) and (C.5) for surface diffusion and pure bulk diffusion, as a function of $\epsilon$.

**D. Transportation case ($a = 1$).** As we earlier discussed, one typically considers small values of the ejection distance $a$. Nevertheless, the above results are applicable to any value of $a$ from 0 to 1. The so-called transportation case $a = 1$ when the particle is reflected to the origin, was studied by Bénichou et al. [3]. In this case, successive explorations between any two reflections are independent that allows one to get much simpler formulas. For instance, in the limit $\lambda \to \infty$, the Laplace transformed probability density of the exit time, $\mathcal{L}[P(t)](s)$ (with a uniformly chosen initial point on the circle), has a simple expression: $\mathcal{L}[P(t)](s) = q \left[ 1 - (1 - q)/I_0(\sqrt{s/D_2}) \right]^{-1}$, where $q = \epsilon/\pi$, and $1/I_0(\sqrt{s/D_2})$ is the Laplace transformed probability density for the first passage time to the circle when started from the origin (with $I_0(z)$ being the modified Bessel function of the first kind). As a consequence, the mean exit is simply

$$\mathcal{T}_{a=1} = \frac{\pi - \epsilon}{4D_2 \epsilon}. \quad \text{(D.1)}$$

This limit is clearly seen on Fig. 3.5.
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