The role of VEGF, PDGF and IL-6 on diabetic foot ulcer after Platelet Rich Fibrin + hyaluronic therapy

Ronald W. Kartikaa, Idrus Alwib, Franciscus D. Suyatnac, Em Yunirb, Sarwono Waspadjib, Suzzana Immanueld, Todung Silalahie, Saleha Sungkarf, Jusuf Rachmatg, Mirta Hediyyati Reksodiputroh, Saptawati Bardosonoi,*

a Doctoral Program in Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
b Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia – Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia
c Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Jakarta, Indonesia
d Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia – Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia
e Department of Internal Medicine, Krida Wacana Christian University, Jakarta, Indonesia
f Department of Clinical Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia
g Department of Thoracic Cardiac and Vascular Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia
h Facial Plastic Reconstructive Division, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia
i Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Diabetic foot ulcer
Platelet-rich fibrin
Hyaluronic acid

ABSTRACT

Background: Current standard management of diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) consists of surgical debridement followed by soak NaCl 0.9% gauzes tight infection and glycaemic control. Nowadays the use of advanced platelet-rich fibrin (A-PRF) has emerged as an adjunctive method for treating DFUs. This study was conducted to demonstrate the ability of combine A-PRF + HA as a complementary therapy in DFUs healing related with angiogenesis, inflammation and granulation index process.

Methods: This open label randomized controlled trial was conducted in Koja District Hospital and Gatot Soebroto Hospital Jakarta, Indonesia on July 2019–April 2020. DFUs patients with wound duration of three months, Wagner-2, with size of ulcer less than 40 cm² were included in the study. The number of subjects was calculated based on the rule of thumb and allocated randomly into three groups, namely topical A-PRF + HA, A-PRF and Sodium Chloride 0.9% as a control, for each of 10 subjects. A-PRF made by 10 mL venous blood, centrifuge 200 G in 10 min, meanwhile A-PRF + HA though mix both them with vertex machine around 5 min. Biomarker such as VEGF, PDGF and IL-6 examined from DFU taken by cotton swab and analysis using ELISA. Granulation Index was measured using ImageJ. Biomarkers and granulation index were evaluated on day 0, 3, 7 and 14. Data were analysed using SPSS version 20 with Anova and Kruskal Wallis test to compare the angiogenesis and inflammation effect between the three groups.

Result: In topical dressing A-PRF + HA, there is an increase in delta VEGF on day-3 (43.1 pg/mg protein) and day-7 (275.8 pg/mg protein) compared to A-PRF on day-3 (1.8 pg/mg protein) and day-7 (104.7 pg/mg protein), also NaCl (control) on day-3 (-4.9 pg/mg protein) and day-7 (28.3 pg/mg protein). So that the delta VEGF of A-PRF + HA group increase significantly compared with others on day-3 (p = 0.003) and day-7 (p < 0.001). Meanwhile A-PRF + AH group, there is also a decrease in delta IL-6 after therapy on day-3 (-4.9 pg/mg protein) and day-7 (28.3 pg/mg protein). So that the delta VEGF of A-PRF + HA group increase significantly compared with others on day-3 (p = 0.003) and day-7 (p < 0.001). Meanwhile A-PRF + AH group, there is also a decrease in delta IL-6 after therapy on day-3 (-10.9 pg/mg protein) and day-7 (-18.3 pg/mg protein) compared to A-PRF in delta IL-6 on day-3 (-3.7 pg/mg protein) and on day-7 (-7.8 pg/mg protein). In NaCl (control) group there is a increase delta IL-6 on day-3 (4.3 pg/mg protein) and on day-7 (35.5 pg/mg protein). So that the delta IL-6 of A-PRF + HA group decrease significantly compared with others only on day-7 (p = 0.015). In PDGF le level analysis, A-PRF + HA group increase significantly (p = 0.012) only in day -7 compare with other group (5.5 pg/mg protein).

Conclusion: The study shows the superior role of combined A-PRF + HA in the treatment DFU though increase angiogenesis and decrease inflammation pathway. The advantage of using A-PRF + HA is that it accelerates wound healing by increasing granulation tissue compared to A-PRF alone.
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1. Introduction

Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is challenging to health care professionals because only few effective topical therapeutic interventions were available. Among of those existing topical treatments, growth factor has shown to be beneficial for healing of DFU in conjunction with extensive surgical debridement [1].

The complete process of DFU healing has inhibition due to lack of growth factor levels and prolonged inflammation. There is chronic inflammation that shows the levels of matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMPs) in which contributes wound healing [3]. These inflammatory cells release cytokines, including interleukins (IL1, IL6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) [4].

Neuro-ischemic disturbances in DFU will reduce oxygen and nutrients which will disrupt the cells on the wound surface such as macrophages, keratinocyte, mast cells, and fibroblasts that contribute to produce some growth factor in wound healing [5].

Growth factors has a role in wound healing especially in inflammation and proliferative phase. At present, only topical application of recombinant human growth factor has been approved by U.S. Food and Drug Administration and European authorities for the treatment of diabetic neuropathic ulcers [6].

Autologous Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) has been used a knee osteoarthrosis case by remodeling and increases the synthetic capacity of chondrocytes and matrix production, also inhibits the apoptosis process of chondrocytes through increased collagen type 2 deposition. In addition, cytokines that cause inflammation and pain are also inhibited by PRP so that treatment can also reduce symptoms and complaints of pain. Platelet rich fibrin (PRF), second generation of PRP, has been used in dermatology and plastics surgery for wound healing [7].

Autologous PRF gel consists of higher platelet concentration, chemokines, cytokines, any growth factors, and fibrin scaffold which stimulate proliferation and differentiation cell to form a new tissue [8]. It stimulates the molecular and cellular induction of normal wound healing responses. In the injured model, the ratio of growth factor released PRF (with a higher platelet content compared to PRP), had a higher amount of TGF-β1 which would induce stronger cell migration in vitro [36].

In the last decade, PRF has been developed to advanced-PRF (A-PRF) which has more growth factors resulting faster and better wound healing, although in autologous PRF from diabetic patient, the amount of growth factor was not as much as non-diabetic patient. In chronic diabetes, the systemic accumulation of glycation end products irreversibly destroys the entire physiology of fibroblasts, proliferating endothelial cells which will inhibit the production of granulation tissue [38].

Previous studies reported the use of PRF in the prevention and treatment of osteonecrosis of the jaw and gave good results and might user-friendly technique with an excellent cost-benefit ratio in oral surgery [35].

The DFU patient has also low growth factor and prolonged inflammation, thus to optimize growth factor release and control the inflammation, hyaluronic acid (HA) is added to A-PRF [10]. Hyaluronic Acid is a glycosaminoglycan that could enhance angiogenesis, wound healing and reduces chronic inflammation in DFU [11]. However, combination of A-PRF + HA has not been used in the treatment of DFU, which make it is necessary to do research to find out the effect of combined of A-PRF and HA in the treatment of DFU.

The combination of HA and Platelet concentrate has been known for the treatment of skin aging, and in the case of osteoarthritis [12] Tissue technique as a scaffold provide templates to improve GF retain in HA gel [13]. Otherwise there has not been reported yet about the combined HA and PRF that could increase diabetic foot healing. This study was conducted to demonstrate the ability of combine A-PRF + HA as a complementary therapy in DFUs healing and explain these mechanism related with angiogenesis, inflammation and granulation index.

2. Methods

We conducted an open label randomized controlled trial on July 2019 to April 2020. Informed consent was obtained from subject were willing to follow the research. The study has been approved by The Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia ID 0855/UN2.F1/ETIK/2018.

The study was conducted in Koja District Hospital and Gatot Soebroto Hospital, Jakarta. Diabetic patients with DFU, with an average wound duration of three months, categorized as Wagner 2 and ulcer less than 40 cm² were included in the study. All subject had control normal platelet count (150.000–450.000/μL), normal blood glucose and underwent oral antidiabetic. The following criteria were excluded i.e. platelet

![Figure 1. The Process to make A-PRF gel.](image-url)
dysfunction syndrome, unstable hemodynamic, critical thrombocytopenia and pregnancy. This is open randomized control trial, all inclusion criteria subject randomly divided depend of the group (A-PRF + HA, A-PRF and control group). Each group is 10 subject.

2.1. A-PRF + HA preparation

The A-PRF preparation protocol is very simple and the armamentarium required is the same as for PRP. Approximately 10–20 mL of whole venous blood was collected in each of the two sterile 6 ml capacity vacutainer tubes without anticoagulant. The vacutainer tube was then placed in a centrifuge (Figure 1) at 200G (708 RPM) for 8 min. We use low speed and low time concept for PRF production due to this concept will increase in growth factor release from PRF clots. The low-speed concept (A-PRF) demonstrated a significant increase in growth factor release of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1, epidermal growth factor, and insulin-like growth factor It also demonstrated significantly higher messenger RNA (mRNA) levels of PDGF, TGF-β, and collagen1 at either 3 or 7 days [43].

It will result fibrin, buffy coat and erythrocytes. Erythrocytes layer was carefully separated from the buffy coat using a sterile scissor and transferred to a new sterile tube. A-PRF was formed by fibrin and buffy coat, in which platelet (rich growth factor and cytokine) was entrapped in the fibrin. The mechanism followed here is that, fibrinogen initially concentrated at the top of the tube, combines with circulating thrombin by centrifugation, to form fibrin. A fibrin clot is then obtained in the center of the tube, just between the red blood cells at the bottom and the acellular plasma at the top. Platelets are massively trapped in the fibrin web [42].

To make homogeneous A-PRF + HA gel, 1 mL A-PRF was mixed with 0.6 mL HA 0.2% using a vortex machine around 20 s. Hyaluronic acid, which is a glycosaminoglycan compound mixed with vaseline with a ratio of 1: 0.25. Each 1 g of this contained hyaluronic acid sodium salt 0.2%. Gel A-PRF + HA placed in a clean cup and is ready to be applied to the wound surface (Figure 2).

Table 1. Characteristic subject base on intervention.

| Characteristic | A-PRF + AH (n = 10) | A-PRF (n = 10) | Control (n = 10) | p  |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---|
| Age (year)    | 59.8 (SD 12.7)      | 64.7 (SD 12.0) | 59.3 (SD 12.6) | 0.626 |
| Sex. n (%)    |                     |               |                |    |
| Male          | 5/10                | 4 (40)        | 3 (30)         |    |
| Female        | 5/10                | 6 (60)        | 7 (70)         |    |
| BMI           | 28.9 (SD 2.7)       | 27.3 (SD 2.08) | 28.4 (SD 2.5)  | 0.337 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl) | 12.7 (27.4-39.0)   | 12.8 (10.1-15.8) | 12.05 (10.1-16.5) | 0.224 |
| Hematocrite (%) | 36.3 (29.2-42.9)    | 35.4 (27.4-44.6) | 33.8 (24.4-40.8) | 0.145 |
| Leukocytes (10³/μl) | 13.30 (SD 1.08)  | 11.08 (SD1.33) | 9.23 (SD 1.66) | 0.985 |
| Platelet (10³/μl) | 354.9 (SD 167.5)   | 338.8 (SD 164.5) | 319.9 (SD 128.4) | 0.880 |
| Random Blood Glucosa. mg/dl | 286.0 (35.1)  | 243.8 (SD 47.4) | 254.7 (SD 58.6) | 0.104 |
| HbA1C (%) | 11.34 (SD 1.30)    | 9.0 (SD 0.68) | 8.5 (SD 0.72) | 0.950 |
| Cholesterol total mg/dl | 214.5 (SD16.9)  | 249.3 (SD 16.1) | 202.3 (SD 38.6) | 0.096 |
| Albumin mg/dl | 3.3 (2.8-4.2)      | 3.1 (2.8-4.2) | 3.2 (2.8-4.0) | 0.662 |

*a*Delta VEGF of A-PRF+ AH group compare with A-PRF group.

*b*Delta VEGF of A-PRF+ AH group compare with A-NaCl (control) group.

*Mean (SD), anova test.

Median (min-max), Kruskal Wallis test.

2.2. Wound treatment and monitoring

In this study, the subject was randomly allocated into 3 groups, i.e. A-PRF + HA, A-PRF and sodium chloride (NaCl) 0.9% as control. The A-PRF + HA gel was used in a single application to the surface of the wound and covered by a protective bandage (Figure 3). The same condition was also done for A-PRF alone group. In control just use moist NaCl 0.9% gauze covered by a protective bandage (Figure 3). The same condition was also done for A-PRF alone group. In control just use moist NaCl 0.9% gauze covered with plaster. The wounds were photographed before and after treatment on day-0, day-3, day-7 and day-14 using a digital camera. The granulation area was identified by ImageJ assessment software as an indicator of granulation growth on wound healing process.

2.3. Biomarker of wound healing

To identify the process of angiogenesis and inflammation in DFU healing, samples were taken by cotton swab from DFU surface before providing topical therapy, then the level of VEGF and IL-6 were measured using ELISA.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS version 20 in which association between variables was tested by Anova and Kruskal Wallis, after evaluating the normality of data distributions.

Figure 2. The architecture and density of A-PRF + HA fibrin gel.

Figure 3. Application of A-PRF + HA gel in Diabetic Foot Ulcer.
3. Results

The subjects collected in this study were 35 DM patients with DFUs Wagner 2. Base line characteristic of subject shows in Table 1. Only 30 patient included in inclusion criteria and randomly allocated into three groups (A-PRF + HA, A-PRF and control). The average age was 63.5 years old, with duration of DM more than 5 years and body mass index (BMI) around 28.3 kg/m² (obese). At the start of this study, the baseline data for all groups showed insigniﬁcant differences even though in the A-PRF HA group increase in blood glucose and Hba1C compare with others. In addition, the A-PRF group also had the highest cholesterol levels compared to the other groups. Control of blood glucose and cholesterol levels affected the healing of DFU.

3.1. Biomarker output

In these study we analyze of biomarker by swab i. e VEGF swab to describe wound angiogenesis and Interleukin -6 to describe wound inﬂammation proses.

3.2. Biomarker VEGF (angiogenesis)

In Table 2 shows in the baseline, the VEGF levels were not signiﬁcantly difference among the three groups (p = 0.568), meaning that the data was homogenous. Evaluation on day-3 and day-7 days shows the VEGF level increase in the A-PRF + HA group (232.8 pg/mg to 320.6 pg/mg) and on day-7 (232.8 pg/mg to 544.5 pg/mg). In PRF group, there is a decrease of VEGF level on day-3 (185.7 pg/mg to 180.4 pg/mg) and but an increase day-7 (185.7 pg/mg to 272.8 pg/mg). In control group there is also a decrease of VEGF level on day-3 (183.7 pg/mg to 180.4 pg/mg) and on day-7 (167.9 pg/mg to 48.8 pg/mg). There is signiﬁcant escalation of VEGF use Mann Whitney test, on day-3, A-PRF + HA increase signiﬁcantly compare with A-PRF group (p = 0.002*) and control group (p = 0.005**). Meanwhile VEGF on day-7, A-PRF + HA increase signiﬁcantly compare with A-PRF group (p = 0.002*) and NaCl group (p < 0.001**). Figure 4 shows the ΔVEGF based on the interventions. In A-PRF + HA group, there is a increase in Δ VEGF on day 0–3 and on day 0–7 compare with A-PRF and NaCl group.

In sub group analysis of VEGF use Mann Whitney test, on day 0–3, A-PRF + HA increase signiﬁcantly compare with A-PRF group (p = 0.002*) and control group (p = 0.005**). Meanwhile Δ VEGF on day 0–7, A-PRF + HA increase signiﬁcantly compare with A-PRF group (p = 0.002*) and NaCl group (p < 0.001**).

3.3. Biomarker PDGF (fibrogenesis)

Table 3 shows at baseline, the PDGF levels were not signiﬁcantly difference among the three groups (p = 0.337) meaning the fibrogenesis proses in the DFU was homogenous. After interventions, there was a increase in PDGF for the A-PRF + HA group on day-7 (3.4 pg/mg to 8.9 pg/mg) meanwhile In the PRF group, PDGF levels decrease on day-7 (6.5 pg/mg to 5.6 pg/mg). In the control group, PDGF levels increase on day-7 (5.2 pg/mg to 5.3 pg/mg). There is signiﬁcant increase in PDGF level of A-PRF + HA group compare with others on day-7 (p = 0.049).

Figure 5 shows the analysis of PDGF by independent t-test, there is signiﬁcant increase in Δ PDGF on day-3 (p = 0.001) and day 0–7 (p = 0.032) compare with NaCl, other wise the increase of Δ PDGF in group A- PRF + HA was not signiﬁcant compare with A-PRF on day 0–3 (p = 0.400) and day 0–7 (p = 0.344). Thus, in A-PRF + HA group increase Δ PDGF signiﬁcantly compare with A-PRF and NaCl on day-7 (p = 0.012).

3.4. Biomarker IL-6 (inflammation)

Table 4 shows at baseline, the IL-6 levels were not signiﬁcantly difference among the three groups (p = 0.337) meaning the inﬂammation condition in the DFU was homogenous. After interventions, there was a decrease in IL-6 for the A-PRF + HA group on day-3 (106.4 pg/mg to 99.5 pg/mg) and day-7 (106.4 pg/mg to 88.7 pg/mg). In the PRF group, IL-6 levels decrease on day-3 (91.9 pg/mg to 72.8 pg/mg) and on day-7 (91.9 pg/mg to 48.8 pg/mg). Meanwhile in the control group, IL-6 levels increase on day-3 (125.3 pg/mg to 131.1 pg/mg) and on day-7 (125.3 pg/mg to 167.9 pg/mg). There is signiﬁcant decrease in IL-6 level of A-PRF + HA group compare with others on day-7 (p = 0.041).

Figure 6 shows the Δ IL-6 based on the interventions. In A-PRF + HA group, there is a decrease in Δ IL-6 on day 0–7 compare with A-PRF and NaCl. Analysis of delta IL-6 by Mann Whitney test, there is signiﬁcant decrease Δ IL-6 level in A-PRF + HA group compare with A-PRF (p = 0.041*) and NaCl (p = 0.008**) on day-7.
3.5. Clinical outcomes

Table 5 shows that in A-PRF + HA group the granulation index increase significantly compare with A-PRF and control on day-3 and day-7.

Further analysis by comparing the sub groups between A-PRF + HA, A-PRF and control use Post Hoc Anova test was shown in Figure 7.

4. Discussion

One of the additional DFU therapies is to provide topical growth factors, where in chronic diabetes patients has a decrease growth factors and prolonged inflammation in which will inhibit healing. Provide growth factor from services to serve granulation tissue orders. PRF is biocompatible and has significantly improved soft tissue healing. Bone regeneration and increase in bone density in extraction sockets [37].

The use of topical A-PRF + HA induced the formation of healthy granulation tissue and allowed successful granulation area of the wound. Improvement of granulation tissue because A-PRF works synergistically with HA in increasing the release of growth factors and angiogenesis. In addition, the combination A-PRF + HA decreases the inflammation which is marked by a decrease in IL-6 [14].

4.1. Platelet Rich Fibrin release growth factors

DMT2 diabetes is often associated with chronic hyperglycaemia which can lead to inhibition of wound healing. On the other hand, there
is a relative deficit of growth factors that will contribute to the mechanism of granulation tissue formation through angiogenesis, fibrogenesis and persistent inflammatory processes [15].

In diabetic patients showed decreased growth factors due to microvascular complications such as; retinopathy, diabetic foot ulcer or periodontitis. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a potent angiogenic and vascular permeability factor and is implicated in both of these complications in diabetes. Decrease in the production of transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) and VEGF has been associated with diabetic nephropathy, diabetic foot ulcer and retinopathy [40]. There is lack of expression of IGF1 within the basal layer and fibroblasts may contribute to retarded wound healing in diabetes mellitus. Decrease of growth factor due high glucose and insulin resistant in diabetic foot ulcer tissue. However, in wounds of diabetic mice there was a delay in the appearance of IGF1 and IGF2 compared with non-diabetic mice [46].

Patients with chronic diabetes, there is also a decrease in platelet function. Platelets in type 2 diabetic individuals adhere to the vascular endothelium and aggregate more easily than in healthy individuals. Loss of sensitivity to normal restraint exerted by prostacyclin (PGI2) and nitric oxide (NO) produced by the vascular endothelium will decrease platelet function.

Platelet Rich Fibrin containing Platelets trapped in fibrin and will release some growth factors from platelet alpha granules. Although platelets in T2DM patients have decreased function compared to healthy people, the concentration of growth factors in PRF can still help in healing Diabetic Foot Ulcer (DFU) [41].

In platelet concentrate the amount of growth factor influence by aging, DM and antiplatelet drugs. They might decrease the concentration of growth factor, which weakens the regenerative capacity and anti-aging effects of PRP and reduces the quality of PRP [51].

According Marx 2001, The platelet count is a quantity but has been accepted as one of the major indexes for ensuring the quality of platelet concentrates However, in this study, the inclusion criteria of participants, the number of platelets must be ≥150,000 u/L, so that the number of growth factors in PRF will certainly be homogeneous [49].

In DFU healing, when new granulation tissue occurs formed (around day-4), new blood vessels will form to provide oxygen and nutritional support for the new tissue. The angiogenesis process is stimulated by VEGF, bFGF, and TGF-β. In Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF), granule α of platelets trapped in fibrin of PRF will release growth factors including VEGF, PDGF-BB, TGFβ1, etc. It has the highest growth factor released from platelet concentrates, that is PDGF-AA followed by PDGF-BB, TGFβ1, VEGF, and PDGF-AB [17]. In an in vitro study, several growth factors (PDGF, VEGF, TGF β) incubated in DMEM media were able to survive 14 days of observation with a peak on day 7 [18].

The low speed and low time concept use centrifuge 280 G, 10 min, is an important role in the revascularization of the graft by supporting angiogenesis [44].

PRF clots produced utilizing the low-speed centrifugation speeds (~200 g for 8 min) produce clots that contained a higher concentration of evenly distributed platelets, secreted higher concentrations of growth factors over a 10 day period, and were smaller in size [45].

Low speed centrifugation concept (LSCC) selectively enriches leukocytes, platelets and growth factors within fluid PRF-based matrices [48].

The autologous Platelet Concentrate also has high growth factor in granules alpha that trap in fibrin of PRF. It used on endodontic healing [50].

4.2. Hyaluronic acid in inflammation and tissue regeneration

Hyaluronic acid (HA), a glycosaminoglycan (GAG) has an unique capacity to bind and retain water molecules and belongs to the extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules Hyaluronic acid as a lower molecular weight molecules will stimulate macrophages to have a pro-inflammatory response, one of the first steps to wound healing. In contrast, high molecular weight hyaluronic acid is demonstrated to stimulate an anti-inflammatory response from macrophages [19] To make balance of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammation, some field in medicine try to mix HA with platelet concentrate preparation. In therapeutic options for osteoarthritis and chronic tendinopathy, PRP or HA injection has used in this cases. Although several studies on the two have been published, the effects of mixing PRF and HA are not fully understood. PRF can stimulate the healing process of different tissues by delivering various growth factors and cytokines that are released by platelets, meanwhile PRF is not effective for treat DFU [23, 24]. In the present study, adding HA to the PRF increase the concentration of VEGF released on day-3 and day-7. Another report by regenerative medicine, the combination of HA + PRP can synergistically promote cartilage regeneration and inhibit OA inflammation [47].

4.3. Combine hyaluronic acid and PRF promote DFU healing by increasing angiogenesis

Effect of Hyaluronic Acid on angiogenesis by binding to receptor surfaces, activating signal transducers and mitogenesis26. Hyaluronic Acid will induce CD44 and RHAMM for angiogenesis transduction in vascular endothelial cells27 Savani et al28 showed that RHAMM-ligand interaction of endothelial cells will increase endothelial cell motility, and CD44-ligand interaction increases endothelial cell proliferation. Both these receptors work in tandem to facilitate formation of new blood vessels. Hyaluronic Acid also activates several CD44-dependent isoforms such as PKC, Raf-1 kinase, MEK-1, and ERK1/2 so that it will increase endothelial cell proliferation. 29 In addition HA binds to the RHAMM receptor and induces tyrosine phosphorylation of p125FAK, paxillin, p42/44, and extracellular ERK1/2, resulting in cell proliferation. 27 Galermo et al. 30 reported that HA leads to upregulation of eNOS and procollagen-1 and downregulation of MMP-9 and MMP-13, thereby increasing angiogenesis and influencing the production of angiogenic-related cytokines in wounds.29 Other mechanisms for promoting EC proliferation by HA are likely related to expression and activation of ezrin, an important linkage protein that interacts with cellular HA. CD44 receptors and the cytoskeletal protein F-actin. Ezrin is an essential molecule that stimulates the proliferation and migration of EC. 21 The combination HA and A-PRF, will cause the release of growth factors slowly because of the hygroscopic nature of retain growth factors from HA itself. While the addition of HA did not enhance all the cellular responses to VIBronectin: Growth factor (VN:GF) complexes examined, it was not inhibitory, and may confer other advantages related to enhanced
4.4. Combine hyaluronic acid and PRF promote DFU healing by reduce inflammation

In this study, we found that A-PRF alone also increase VEGF swab, but is not optimal, compare with control. Meanwhile combine of A-PRF + HA administration increases VEGF swab, endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and tube formation that promotes wound healing in diabetic foot by increasing granulation tissue formed (Figure 3).

The addition of HA to PRF increases the rate of VEGF release because VEGF released from the PRF will stick to the HA surface and release slowly on the DFU surface. Attachment of VEGF to the HA will stimulate proliferation of endothelial cells to occur angiogenesis and stimulate the formation of granulation tissue [20]. Furthermore, it was observed that addition HA in A-PRF cause released growth factor in a significantly higher amount when compared to PRF alone. Combine A-PRF + AH will increase VEGF and endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and new vessels formation. Topical application of Hyaluronic Acid and PRF has affects angiogenesis-related cytokine production in the wound [21]. In these study, adding HA in PRF also increase an inflammation process in DFU, showed by decrease of IL-6 level in A-PRF + HA group on day-3 and day-7 compare with others group. These findings that stimulatory effect of HA on cytokine in PRF will decrease the inflammation progression in DFU. Roney et al [18] in cystitis patients that has damaged surface of urothelium cell. It has decreased of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) so make anti-inflammation when compared to PRF alone. PRF cause released growth factor in a significantly higher amount when compared to PRF alone. PRF + HA on day 5. Thus, a mixture of PRP and HA may result in an enhanced the healing effect on certain tissues through increase fibrosis tissue [14, 31].

Diabetes in chronic hyperglycaemia has reduced capacity in the proliferation and synthesis of collagen because it is unresponsive to transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β1) and platelet derived growth factor (PDGF-AA) released from PRF plateletrich plasma (PRP) and hyaluronic acid (HA) injection are both therapeutic options for osteoarthritis and chronic tendinopathy. The amounts of transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β1) and platelet derived growth factor (PDGF-AA) released from PRF + HA on day 5. Thus, a mixture of PRP and HA may result in an enhanced the healing effect on certain tissues through increase fibrosis tissue [14, 31].

4.5. Combine hyaluronic acid and PRF promote DFU healing by increase fibrogenesis

Plateletrich plasma (PRP) and hyaluronic acid (HA) injection are both therapeutic options for osteoarthritis and chronic tendinopathy. The amounts of transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β1) and platelet derived growth factor (PDGF-AA) released form PRF + HA on day 5. Thus, a mixture of PRP and HA may result in an enhanced the healing effect on certain tissues through increase fibrosis tissue [14, 31].

Platelet-derived fibrin (PRF) -lysate or hyaluronic acid (HA) can restore the wound healing of leukocytes (lymphocytes and monocytes) so that chronic inflammation can be prevented [23]. Chen et al [24] in an in vitro study, showed a synergistic effect of PRP and HA on cartilage regeneration in OA. In those report, the combination of PRP and HA reduced cytokines production and improved articular chondrocyte proliferation, differentiation through Erk1/2 and Smad2/3 pathway [24, 25].

4.6. Propose mechanism combine of A-PRF + HA in DFU healing

To visualize This is the propose mechanism of A-PRF + HA in increasing the granulation in diabetic foot healing is shown in Figure 8.

The wound healing theory with angiogenesis mechanisms through the role of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β1), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have been extensively studied in animals [31]. However, the use of combine of A-PRF-HA in increasing VEGF through the angiogenesis process has not
been widely discussed. In DFU patients, IL-6 is certainly elevated due to prolonged in inflammation, but the one who get combine therapy can decrease IL-6 levels and accelerate the formation of granulation tissue. In our study, the added of HA in A-PRF will increase VEGF swab through retain of GF in Hyaluronic Acid gel as a VEGF exogen [32, 33]. Hyaluronic Acid also will decrease inflammation (decrease of Interleukin -6 level) that affect increase polarization Macrophage-1 (M-1) to Macrophage-2 (M-2) M2. These polarization will induced keratinocyte and fibroblast produce VEGF endogen in the surface of the wound [33]. The other pathway of granulation increasing is repair of permeability and increase of endothelial cell function [34]. Fundamentally, the role of combine A-PRF + HA heals wounds through induce VEGF release and can suppress inflammatory reactions with decreased IL-6 [34]. So the method of making A-PRF + HA is very young and has a solid architecture so it’s easy to apply on wounds due to density form of A-PRF + HA gel (Figure 6).

The application method of A-PRF + HA gel is very simple and does not require too much money, so I use this treatment cost-effectively (Figure 7).

5. Conclusion

Combination of A-PRF + HA increase the release of VEGF level on day-3 and day-7 significantly compare others group, meanwhile A-PRF + HA increase of PDGF level just on day-7. It also increase granulation index on day-3, day-7 and day-14, significantly compare others group. Topical administration of A-PRF + HA could promotes wound healing process in DFU by increasing angiogenesis and fibrogenesis. This would provide a new simple and cheap modality treatment for diabetic wounds in clinical practice.
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