Flavor supplementation during late gestation and lactation periods increases the reproductive performance and alters fecal microbiota of the sows
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ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of flavor on reproductive performance and fecal microbiota of sows during late gestation and lactation. A total of 20 healthy Yorkshire sows were fed a corn-soybean basal diet unsupplemented or supplemented with 0.1% flavor compound from d 90 of gestation to 25 d post-farrowing, and then the piglets were weaned. The reproductive performance and the fecal microbiota of sows were analyzed. Compared with the controls, flavor supplementation in maternal diets increased (P < 0.05) weaning litter weight, litter weight gain, weaning body weight, and average daily gain of piglets. There was a trend of increase in the average daily feed intake of sows (P = 0.09) by maternal dietary flavor addition. The backfat thickness and litter size were not affected by flavor supplementation (P > 0.05). The 16S rRNA analysis showed that flavor supplementation significantly increased the abundance of Phascolarctobacterium (P < 0.05), but significantly decreased genera Terrisporobacter, Alloprevotella, Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1, and Escherichia-shigella (P < 0.05). Spearman correlation analysis showed that Phascolarctobacterium was positively correlated with the average daily feed intake of sows (P < 0.05), the litter weight gain and average daily gain of piglets (P < 0.05). In contrast, Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1 and unclassified_f__Lachnospiraceae were negatively correlated with the litter weight gain and average daily gain of piglets (P < 0.05). Taken together, dietary flavor supplementation improved the reproductive performance of the sows, which was associated with enhanced beneficial microbiota and decreased potentially pathogenic bacteria in the sows.

© 2021 Chinese Association of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the performance of sows plays an important role in the productivity of the whole swine industry. Modern genetic selection for high growth rate and lean tissue accretion results in lower appetite in sows (Wang et al., 2014), which might exert a negative effect on the maintenance of body weight, body condition, and lactation performance of the sows (Lundgren et al., 2014). A lower feed intake during the lactation period results in decreased milk production (Zijlstra et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2004), which could limit the growth and development of nursery pigs (He et al., 2017). So, increasing feed intake of the lactational sows might...
be beneficial to maternal condition, and growth of nursery pigs. Since the 1960s, feed flavors have been widely used in nursery pig diets as palatability enhancers and feed attractants to increase feed intake (Seabolt et al., 2010; Sulabo et al., 2010). However, the effect of flavor addition on the performance of sows has seldom been reported. Therefore, the effect of flavors supplementation on maternal performance still needs to be further investigated.

It is generally believed that intestinal microbiota interacts with host cells through multiple levels of mechanisms, therefore regulating the metabolism, immunity, development, and behavior of the host (Erkosar et al., 2013; Valeriano et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Gut microbiota composition is affected by developmental stage, physiological status of animals, as well as various environmental factors, such as nutritional composition, pathogen infection, antibiotic application, and others (Ji et al., 2017). It has been reported that gut microbiota undergoes a remarkable shift during pregnancy and lactation periods (Santacruz et al., 2010; Koren et al., 2017), which might be passed onto the developing fetus or newborn piglets through the placenta or maternal milk, respectively (Eeveraert et al., 2017; Macpherson et al., 2017). Recent studies indicate that gut microbiota of the sows plays an important role in the performance of both the maternal and offspring pigs (Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Xiong et al., 2019). Feed flavors, such as palatability enhancers and feed attractants, was widely used in swine production. But to the best of our knowledge, there has been no research on the effect of dietary flavor on gut microbiota. Thus, it was necessary to determine the effect of flavor addition on the gut microbiota community.

Considering the critical role of intestinal microbiota on physiology, metabolism, and immune response of the host (Hollister et al., 2014), as well as the functional role of maternal intestinal bacteria on the progeny gut microbiota colonization and shaping of the immune response in newborn animals (Macpherson et al., 2017), we hypothesized that flavor supplementation during late gestation and lactation periods would improve reproductive performance by improving feed intake and regulating gut microbiota of the sows. In the present study, sows were unsupplemented or supplemented with flavor compounds from d 90 of pregnancy to 25 d post-farrowing. Reproductive performance, fecal microbiota of the sows, and growth performance of the piglets were determined.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals, treatment, housing, and sample collection

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China Agricultural University. A total of 20 healthy Yorkshire sows with second parity were assigned into a control group (CON) or flavor supplementary group (FLA) based on backfat thickness. The individual sow was considered as an experimental unit, and there were 10 replicates per treatment group. All the sows were artificially inseminated with pooled semen from Landrace boars and were fed a soy-bean basal diet unsupplemented or supplemented with 1.0 g of flavor/kg diet (milk flavor; DadHank Biotechnology Corporation, Chengdu, China) from d 90 of gestation to 25 d post-farrowing. The chemical composition of milk flavor is presented in Table 1. The basal diets for the gestational or lactational sows were formulated according to NRC (2012). The composition of the basal diets is presented in Table 2.

Pregnant sows were housed individually in crates until d 108 of pregnancy, and then were housed in farrowing crates to 25 d post-farrowing. The birth weight of piglets and litter size were recorded. Cross-fostering was performed within 24 h post-farrowing and litters of piglets were standardized to 10 to 12 piglets. Creep feed was not offered. Sows were fed 2 times (i.e. 07:30 and 14:00) on gestation with a total of 2.7 kg/d gestational diet, and they were fed 3 times (i.e. 07:30, 11:30 and 16:00) on lactation with a total of 1.5 kg/d lactational diet on d 1 and 2.5 kg/d on d 2. Sows were fed 0.5 kg more feed each day from d 3 to 7, and then sows were fed ad libitum from d 8 to 25 during lactation. The numbers of litter after cross-fostering and weaning were recorded. Nursing pigs were weighed on d 1 (birth) and d 25 (weaning) of age. On d 90 of gestation and d 25 of lactation, the backfat thickness of each sow was measured at 6.5 cm off the midline in the last rib level (P2) by using an ultrasonography (Lean-meter; Renco Corporation, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Litter weight, litter weight gain and average daily gain (ADG) were

---

### Table 1

| Chemical composition | Content, % |
|----------------------|------------|
| Coconut aldehyde    | 4.25       |
| Propyl octyl lactone | 2.13       |
| Benzyl alcohol      | 1.95       |
| Isoamyl acetate     | 1.60       |
| Strawberry aldehyde | 1.07       |
| Peach aldehyde      | 1.00       |
| Ethyl butyrate       | 0.98       |
| Piperonyl aldehyde   | 0.88       |
| Butyric acid        | 0.75       |
| Ethyl valin         | 0.75       |
| Ethyl acetocetate   | 0.40       |
| Benzyl butyrate     | 0.33       |
| Eugenol             | 0.33       |
| Isoamyl isovalerate  | 0.33       |
| Benzyl acetate      | 0.28       |
| Ethyl acetate       | 0.26       |
| 4-Hydroxy-2-butanone| 0.25       |
| Decalactone         | 0.25       |
| Anisic aldehyde     | 0.20       |

### Table 2

| Ingredients and chemical composition of diets (as-fed basis, %) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Item | Content                | Gestation | Lactation |
|------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Corn | 71.76                  | 63.07     |
| Wheat bran | 3.76                  | 5.67      |
| Soybean meal | 14.85                 | 20.01     |
| Extruded soybean | 3.00                  | 5.00      |
| Soybean oil | 2.50                  | 2.50      |
| Premix 1 | 1.00                  | 1.00      |
| Salt | 0.50                   | 0.50      |
| Limestone | 1.13                  | 0.84      |
| Calcium hydrophosphate | 1.50               | 1.41      |
| Total | 100.00                 | 100.00    |
| Chemical composition 2 |                      |           |           |
| Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg | 3.357.00 | 3.360.00 |
| Crude protein | 14.69                | 17.21     |
| SID lysine | 0.58                  | 0.73      |
| SID methionine + cysteine | 0.47              | 0.53      |
| SID threonine | 0.44                  | 0.52      |
| SID tryptophan | 0.13                  | 0.17      |
| SID valine | 0.56                   | 0.65      |
| Calcium | 0.87                   | 0.77      |
| Phosphorus | 0.62                   | 0.65      |
| STTD phosphorus | 0.37                  | 0.38      |

SID = standardized ileal digestible; STTD = standardized total tract digestible.

1 Premix provide the following per kilogram of diets: vitamin A 4,000 IU, vitamin D3 800 IU, vitamin E 44 IU, vitamin K 0.5 mg, biotin 0.2 mg, choline 1250 mg, folacin 1.3 mg, niacin 10 mg, pantothonic acid 12 mg, riboflavin 3.75 mg, thiamin 1 mg, vitamin B6 15 mg, Cu 10 mg, I 0.14 mg, Fe 90 mg, Mn 25 mg, Se 0.15 mg, Zn 100 mg.

2 Calculated value.
calculated. Fresh feces of the sows (n = 5 per treatment) were individually collected from the rectum on d 100 of gestation (G100) and d 14 of lactation (L14), and then were transported to the laboratory and stored at −80 °C until later analysis.

2.2. DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing

The total genomic DNA of fecal bacteria was extracted by using a DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of isolated DNA was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis, and then the genomic DNA was used as a template for PCR amplification. The V3–V4 gene region of 16S rRNA was amplified by using the primers F341 (5′-CCTACGGGRSGCAGCAG-3′) and 806R (5′-GGACTACVGGGTATCTAAAC-3′) (Sun et al., 2017) and the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq sequencing platform at the ReAlBio Genomics Institute (Shanghai, China). Sequences were quality filtered and clustered into operational taxonomic unit (OTU) at 97% identity (Wang et al., 2007).

2.3. Statistical analysis

An individual sow was considered as an experimental unit in all of the statistical analyses. Beyond analysis, the data were tested for normality and homoscedasticity using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The data were considered as statistically significant at P < 0.05.

### Table 3
The effect of flavor supplementation on the performance of lactating sows and suckling piglets.

| Item                                | CON     | FLA     | SEM  | P-value |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|------|---------|
| Average daily feed intake, kg/d     | 7.11    | 7.44    | 0.10 | 0.09    |
| Backfat thickness, mm               |         |         |      |         |
| Initial backfat thickness           | 21.10   | 20.60   | 0.82 | 0.77    |
| Weaning backfat thickness           | 15.80   | 15.00   | 0.72 | 0.59    |
| Backfat thickness change, mm        | 5.30    | 5.60    | 0.49 | 0.77    |
| Litter weight, kg                   | 18.52   | 19.36   | 0.37 | 0.26    |
| Weaning litter weight               | 77.04   | 90.09   | 2.48 | <0.01   |
| Litter weight gain, kg              | 58.52   | 70.72   | 2.43 | 0.01    |
| Mean body weight, kg                | 1.59    | 1.70    | 0.04 | 0.14    |
| Cross-fostering body weight         | 6.77    | 7.91    | 0.21 | <0.01   |
| Average daily gain, g/d             | 207.21  | 248.22  | 8.01 | 0.01    |
| Litter size                         | 11.70   | 11.40   | 0.14 | 0.28    |
| Weaning litter size                 | 11.40   | 11.40   | 0.15 | 1.00    |
| Weaning survival rate, %            | 97.50   | 100.00  | 0.91 | 0.19    |

1 CON, control group, basal diet; FLA, flavor supplementary group, basal diet + feed flavor supplement at 1 g/kg; n = 10 for each group; P < 0.05 means a significant difference.

### Table 4
Sequencing data and the alpha diversity in each group of sows.

| Item                                | G100    | L14     |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Seq_num                             | 37,236.40 | 35,306.80 |
| OTU_num                             | 701.80  | 708.80  |
| Shannon                             | 4.84    | 4.97    |
| Simpson                             | 0.020   | 0.017   |
| ACE                                 | 809.51  | 820.79  |
| Chao                                | 820.16  | 823.74  |
| Coverage                            | 0.996   | 0.995   |

| Item                                | SEM     | P-values  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Diet                                | Diet    | Stage     | Diet × Stage |
| Seq_num                             | 0.16    | 0.61      | 0.44        |
| OTU_num                             | 0.73    | <0.01     | 0.54        |
| Shannon                             | 0.59    | 0.02      | 0.05        |
| Simpson                             | 0.001   | 0.87      | 0.26        |
| ACE                                 | 0.98    | 0.01      | 0.72        |
| Chao                                | 0.92    | <0.01     | 0.84        |
| Coverage                            | 0.31    | 0.05      | 0.76        |

Seq_num — sequence number; OTU_num — operational taxonomic unit number; ACE — abundance-based coverage estimator.

1 Sows were regarded as the experimental units, n = 5 for each group. G100: d 100 of gestation; L14: d 14 of lactation; CON, control group, basal diet; FLA, flavor supplementary group, basal diet + feed flavor supplement at 1 g/kg. When significant main effects or interactive effects were observed, the means were compared using the least significant difference method with a P < 0.05 indicating significance.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effect of flavor supplementation on performance of the sows and piglets

The performance of the sows and piglets are showing in Table 3. Compared with the controls, flavor supplementation in maternal diets increased (P < 0.05) weaning litter weight, litter weight gain, weaning body weight, and average daily gain of piglets. There was a trend of increase in the daily feed intake of sows (P = 0.09) by maternal dietary flavor addition. The backfat thickness, litter size, and weaning survival rate were not affected by flavor supplementation (P > 0.05).

#### 3.2. Effect of flavor supplementation on sequence data, alpha-diversity, and beta-diversity

A total of 721,063 sequences were obtained, with an average of 36,053 sequences per sample, and the average length of the sequence was 416 bp. Overall, 1,146 OTU were detected according to a nucleotide sequence identity of 97% between sequences. Bacterial diversity (Shannon and Simpson), richness estimators (Chao and ACE), and the Coverage (good’s coverage estimator) are shown in Table 4. Shannon index was significantly decreased (P < 0.05) by the reproductive stage, and there was a tendency to decrease (0.05 ≤ P < 0.10) by the diet × stage interaction. The richness of bacteria as evidenced by Chao and ACE was decreased (P < 0.05) by the reproductive stage, but not affected by the diet or the diet × stage interaction. A similar result was observed for the Coverage, neither
the diet nor the reproductive stage affected the Coverage of the fecal bacteria of the sows.

To evaluate overall differences in beta-diversity, PCoA was used to identify discrepancies between groups. Principal coordinate analysis was conducted based on Bray–Curtis distance of OTU relative abundance in sow fecal microbiota. As shown in Fig. 1A, the fecal microbiota of CON and FLA were similar on G100 (ANOSIM: $R = 0.11$, $P = 0.22$), but it tended to be separate from each group (ANOSIM: $R = 0.20$, $P = 0.09$) on L14 (Fig. 1B). From Fig. 1C and D, we can know the fecal microbiota of G100 and L14 in CON group were not separated (ANOSIM: $R = 0.11$, $P = 0.74$), but they separated in FLA group (ANOSIM: $R = 0.36$, $P = 0.03$).

### 3.3 Effects of flavor administration on community composition of microbiota at phyla or genera level

The relative abundance of bacteria at the phylum level of all samples are presented in Fig. 2A. As shown, the top 5 dominant
phyla (>1% at least in 1 of the 4 groups) were Firmicutes (45.19%), Bacteroidetes (41.19%), Spirochaetes (7.83%), Proteobacteria (2.60%), and unclassified_k_norank_d_Bacteria (1.44%). At the genus level, 34 dominant genera were identified (> 1% at least in 1 of the 4 groups). The top 5 dominant genera are *norank_f_Muribaculaceae*, *Treponema_2*, *Prevotellaceae_NK3B31_group*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Rikenellaceae_RC9_gut_group*, with an average percentage of 8.03%, 7.60%, 5.18%, 4.86%, and 4.42% respectively (Fig. 2B).

The difference of fecal microbiota between CON and FLA on phylum and genus level were analyzed. There was no significant difference (*P* > 0.05) between CON and FLA on the phylum level on G100 and L14 (Fig. 3A and B). As shown in Fig. 4A and B, compared with CON, genus *Phascolarctobacterium* was increased (*P* < 0.05) by flavor supplementation on G100 and L14, whereas genera *Terrisporobacter*, *Alloprevotella*, *Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1*, and *Escherichia-shigella* were significantly decreased in response to flavor supplementation.

---

**Fig. 3.** Differences in fecal microbiota at phylum level among experimental groups. Fecal microbiota differed in sows between the control group (CON) and flavor supplementary group (FLA) on d 100 of gestation (G100) (A) and on d 14 of lactation (L14) (B). Fecal microbiota differed in sows between G100 and L14 in the CON (C) and in the FLA (D). Sows were regarded as the experimental units, *n* = 5 for each group.
Changes in the fecal microbiota were also analyzed from G100 to L14. In CON, genera Ruminococcaceae_UCG_005 and Ruminococcaceae_NK4A214_group were significantly reduced \((P < 0.05)\) from G100 to L14, but the genus Alloprevotella was increased \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 4C). Phylum Proteobacteria, genera Escherichia-shigella, and Bacteroides were found to be less abundant \((P < 0.05)\) from G100 to L14, whereas genera Prevotellaceae_UCG_003 showed more abundance \((P < 0.05)\) (Figs. 3D and 4D).

3.4. Correlation between gut microbiota at genera level and the sows’ reproductive performance

As shown in Fig. 5, the Spearman correlation matrix illustrated that the relative abundance of Phascolarctobacterium was positively correlated with the average daily feed intake of sows \((P < 0.05)\), the litter weight gain and average daily gain of piglets \((P < 0.05)\). In contrast, Clostridium_sensu_stricto_1 and unclassified_f_Lachnospiraceae were negatively correlated with the litter weight gain and average daily gain of piglets \((P < 0.05)\), and Alloprevotella was negatively correlated with the average daily gain of nursing piglets \((P < 0.05)\).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the effects of flavor supplementation on reproductive performance and fecal microbiota of sows during lactation. To the best of our knowledge, this might be the first study to evaluate the difference in the fecal microbiota between the unsupplementation and supplementation of dietary

Fig. 4. Differences in fecal microbiota at genus level among experimental groups. Fecal microbiota differed in sows between the control group (CON) and flavor supplementary group (FLA) on day 100 of gestation (G100) (A) and on day 14 of lactation (L14) (B). Fecal microbiota differed in sows between G100 and L14 in the CON (C) and in the FLA (D). Sows were regarded as the experimental units, \(n = 5\) for each group.
flavor and explore the correlation of fecal microbiota with the reproductive performance of sows.

Insufficient feed intake during lactation resulted in a decrease in the provision of nutrients for milk production, which could limit the growth and development of piglets (Zijlstra et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2004). It has been reported that flavor compounds as palatability enhancers and feed attractants increase the feed intake of the sow, which is correlated with increased milk production as previously described (Strathe et al., 2017), and support piglet growth (Laws et al., 2018; Miao et al., 2019). In the current study, flavor supplementation at a level of 0.1% in the maternal diet increased the average daily feed intake of the sow, litter weight gain, and average daily gain of the piglet. This result was consistent with previous reports showing that flavor addition in maternal feed increased average daily feed intake, digestibility of dry matter, gross energy, crude protein of sow, as well as average daily gain of piglets (Wang et al., 2014; He et al., 2017).

The mammalian gastrointestinal tract is inhabited by trillions of microbes which are approximately 10 times the number of body cells, and play a significant role in physiology, metabolism, immunity, development, and the behavior of the host through active interactions between bacteria and host cells. Growing evidence demonstrates that various factors, including developmental stage, gut environment, nutritional and non-nutritional dietary components, and antibiotics, are implicated in and affect the composition of gut microbiota (Ji et al., 2017). Of interest, maternal gut microbiota can subsequently be passed onto the developing fetus or neonates through the placenta, maternal milk, or other routes (DiGiulio et al., 2015; Macpherson et al., 2017), and ultimately, directly or indirectly, affects fetal growth, survival, and offspring development (Turnbaugh et al., 2009; Houghteling and Walker, 2015). Considering the animal welfare of the sows and piglets, as well as the correlation between microbial communities in fecal samples and that in the gut microbiota (Koren et al., 2012b; Falony et al., 2016), fecal microbiota has been used as an indicator of the gut flora of sows in animal nutrition related studies (Vandeputte et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019).

In the present study, we examined the microbiota of the fecal samples by using 16S rRNA sequencing analysis and found that the abundance of *Phascolarctobacterium* was increased by flavor addition on G100 and L14. *Phascolarctobacterium* is one of the short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) producers (Zhang et al., 2015). The increase of *Phascolarctobacterium* by flavor supplementation might produce more SCFA by fermentation (He et al., 2017), which can be
absorbed and used as a source of energy by enterocytes and peripheral tissue, affecting lipogenesis and gluconeogenesis (Zhang et al., 2018). We found that the abundance of *Phascolarctobacterium* was positive associated with the average daily feed intake of sows, litter weight gain, and average daily gain of the piglet. Flavor addition increased the abundance of *Phascolarctobacterium*, which improves the energy supplementation of the sow therefore enhances the maternal condition, milk production, and the growth performance of piglets.

During late gestation and lactation, sows experience substantial immunological and metabolic changes (Cheng et al., 2018). Increased metabolic burdens cause elevated systemic oxidative stress during the specific periods (Tan et al., 2016). *Terrisporobacter* is an anaerobic pathogen (Cheng et al., 2016). The increased abundance of it contributes to an increased oxidative stress as observed in animals (Cai et al., 2019). In this study, flavor supplementation in the maternal diet decreased the abundance of *Terrisporobacter* on d 100 of gestation, indicating that dietary flavor may alleviate maternal oxidative stress during gestation and improve maternal health. Moreover, the abundance of genera *Clostridium_sensu_stricto* I and *Escherichia-shigella*, 2 potentially pathogenic bacteria associated with intestinal disorders (Wells and Wilkins, 1996; Fukuda et al., 2011) were decreased following flavor administration. It has been reported that eugenol and butyric acid, 2 components of the flavor, could inhibit biofilm formation and attenuate the virulence of *Escherichia* (Kim et al., 2016) or *Clostridium* (Hsiao and Siebert, 1999; Salsali et al., 2008), respectively. The *unclassified_f_Lachnospiraceae*, which belongs to the Lachnospiraceae family, is also involved in intra- and extraintestinal diseases (Vacca et al., 2020). In our study, *unclassified_f_Lachnospiraceae* and *Clostridium_sensu_stricto* I were negatively correlated with litter weight gain and average daily gain. Dietary flavor addition reduced maternal pathogenic bacteria and contributed to improve the performance of sows and piglets. The genus *Alloprevotella* is considered to be beneficial bacteria, which can produce SCFA (Kong et al., 2019). Unexpectedly, we observed a decreased abundance of *Alloprevotella* following flavor administration on d 14 of lactation. The reason for this result is not clear at present, and needs to be further investigated.

5. Conclusion

We found that flavor supplementation to maternal diet during gestation and lactation increased feed intake of sows during lactation, average daily gain, weaning body weight, and litter weight gain of piglets. This beneficial effect of flavor was associated with enhanced beneficial microbiota and decreased potentially pathogenic bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of the sow.
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