Hi-PoAD technique for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis in Adult: Personal case series
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Abstract

Purpose The aim of this article is to present an original surgical technique for the treatment of rigid Adult Idiopathic Scoliosis (AdIS) and the results at minimum 2 years follow-up in a cohort of 40 patients.

Methods We retrospectively reviewed 40 patients affected by rigid AdIS, older than 40 years and operated with a posterior one stage surgical technique summarized with the acronym Hi-PoAD, (high-density pedicle screws, Ponte osteotomies, asymmetric rods contouring, direct vertebral rotation). The demographic and surgical data were collected, and the improvement of clinical scores and radiologic parameters was obtained after surgery, at 1 and 2 years and at final follow-up, to assess deformity correction, coronal and sagittal balance and clinical outcome.

Results The average follow-up was 2.9 years (range 2–3.5). Average coronal Cobb angle decreased from 65.0° ± 8.4 to 18.9° ± 3.9 (p < 0.01). Rotation sagittal angle decreased from 26.2° ± 4.4° to 12.4° ± 2.8° (p < 0.01). Mean thoracic kyphosis improved from 23.1° ± 3.6° to 36.0° ± 3.9°. SRS-22 improved form 2.9 ± 0.4 to 3.7 ± 0.6 (p < 0.01). Four early post-operative deep wound infections were observed, all healed after debridement and implant retention. No mechanical complication, junctional kyphosis, deformity progression or non-union were recorded at the last follow-up.

Conclusions Hi-PoAD technique proved to be safe and effective in the treatment of rigid Adult Idiopathic Scoliosis. The reason for the success is related to the combined strategies adopted, that dissipates corrective forces over several levels, reducing mechanical stress at the screw–bone interface and optimizing corrective potential.
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Introduction

Adult Idiopathic Scoliosis (AdIS) is as an Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis (AIS) of the thoracic and/or lumbar spine which progresses in adult life (Aebi type 2), usually combined with secondary degeneration and/or imbalance [1]. Despite adult life is defined as starting at the end of the growing period, the strategies of surgical correction of AdIS can be effectively inspired from those adopted in AIS in the second and third decade of life [2]. The main features of Aebi type 2 AdIS usually occur from the fourth decade of life with worsening of the main curve (in terms of angular value, as well as stiffness) and structural changes of compensatory curves [3]. Correction strategy in this population of patients should consider these features with highlighted differences with respect to AIS [4, 5]: for this reason, we assumed that distributing corrective strength through a combination of different manoeuvres may result in enhanced clinical and radiographical results alongside a low rate of complications in patients over 40 years with rigid AdIS.

The aim of this article is to describe the innovative posterior one stage surgical technique summarized with the acronym Hi-PoAD, which consists of simultaneous application of different surgical strategies, all well described individually, as high-density screwing [6–9], Ponte osteotomies [10–13], asymmetric rods contouring [14–16], direct vertebral rotation (DVR) [17] in the treatment of rigid AdIS (with less...
than 25% of correction at bending supine radiographs), the complication rate and the clinical and radiographical results obtained at minimum 2 years follow-up (FU) in a cohort of 40 patients over 40 years treated.

Materials and methods

Study sample

A retrospective review of patients over 40 years old with rigid AdIS who underwent surgery with Hi-PoAD technique was undertaken.

Follow-up evaluations were performed post-operatively, at 1 and 2 years and up to final follow-up. Informed consents for participation in the study and for publication of clinical images were obtained from each patient.

Data collection

The patients enrolled were all affected by AdIS of the thoracic and/or lumbar spine, with less than 25% of reduction in the main curve. Patients with lack of flexibility due to anterior vertebral bony fusion (congenital or acquired) evaluated by routinely performed pre-operative MRI were excluded from the study.

Operative time, blood loss, length of stay, intra- and post-operative complications were recorded. Coronal Cobb’s angle of each curve, coronal flexibility (difference in Cobb’s angle of the main coronal curve between the pre-operative full-length standing and lateral supine side-bending X-rays, expressed as a percentage), thoracic kyphosis (TK) and lumbar lordosis (LL) angles were measured on pre- and post-operative full-length standing and lateral side-bending radiographs. C7 plumb line (C7PL)/central sacral vertical line (CSVL) and sagittal vertical axis (SVA) were used to assess coronal and sagittal imbalance. The rotation angle sagittal (RAsag) was calculated in the 22 patients whose pre- and post-operative CT-scans were available. SRS-22 questionnaire was administered pre-operatively and at last follow-up.

Surgical planning

A surgical planning was performed on pre-operative radiographs in order to assess the fusion area, the correct levels for Ponte osteotomies and the rods contour.

The fusion area was pre-operatively defined according to the criteria by Lenke et al. [18]. In the presence of disc degeneration at the level distal to the planned lower instrumented vertebra (LIV) and when compensatory curves showed low flexibility, the fusion area was extended distally.

The first Ponte osteotomy was planned at the apex of the main curve and at the two periapical levels (above and below the apex) in all cases. Further extension proximally and distally was performed in all the curves with a Cobb angular value over 70°. When osteotomies were planned distal to T12, they were performed according to Smith-Petersen.

The rods were asymmetrically moulded, to obtain a synergic action on sagittal profile restoration, with a lifting effect at the physiological apex of thoracic kyphosis and a lowering effect in the lumbar spine to restore lumbar lordosis; at the scoliosis apex, the concavity rod was higher (over-bended) than the convexity rod in order to obtain a derotational effect (Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4).

Surgical technique

All surgeries were performed by the first author. Patient was placed prone on the Allen table with multimodal evoked potentials neuromonitoring. Subperiosteal exposure of the posterior elements was performed. The inferior articular facets were excised at every level of the desired fusion area, to allow spinal release and increase spinal flexibility with the exception of the two most cephalad instrumented vertebrae to reduce the risk of proximal junction syndrome. A 2.7-mm drill hole was performed at every level included in the fusion area adopting a funnel technique in the thoracic tract. In presence of type C and D pedicles [19], an in–out-in trajectory was chosen. The drilled tract was tapped for 1.5 cm with tap smaller than the screw diameter (e.g. 3.5 mm for a 4.5 mm screw).

With the aim of optimizing force transmission from the tulip to the screw, uniplanar pedicle screws (K2M® MESA® Stryker) were adopted in 24 cases, while polyaxial lockable screws (Must®, Medacta®) were used in 16 cases. At T12, given the more medial entry point at this level, a polyaxial screw was always chosen to facilitate rod engaging in the tulip. Ponte osteotomies were then performed according to the pre-operative planning with resection of the spinous process, removal of the residual superior facets, wide inferior and superior laminectomies with the removal of the entire ligamentum flavum extending from pedicle to pedicle to promote release in concavity and compression in convexity.

Two different 5.5-mm cobalt-chrome rods were then asymmetrically moulded (with the convexity rod less bended than the concavity rod), placed through the crickets (K2M® MESA® Stryker) or through the locking towers (Must®, Medacta®) and simultaneously rotated with the curves consensually oriented on the sagittal plane. Extensors were applied over the crickets or the locking towers at periapical levels both in concavity and in convexity and direct vertebral rotation was performed. Maintaining a derotative force applied over the extensors, the translation manoeuvre was performed progressively, tightening the crickets or the locking towers. This allowed to sum the corrective power of DVR to that offered by translational manoeuvre over differently moulded rods. The translation manoeuvre over the
rods was performed dissipating the corrective forces over all the instrumented levels, especially at the apex of the concavity, where the distance between the tulips and the rod is maximal, as well as the mechanical stresses at the screw–bone interface. When the distance between the rod and the tulips was considered too high by the Surgeon, with the risk of screw pull-out, rod curvature was slightly reduced using in situ rod benders. Before engaging the rods in the tulips, selective compression and distraction were performed at apical and periapical levels to close gaps at the Ponte osteotomy sites. Final engagement of the rods was then performed. In this phase, if a minimal amount of pull-out was

Fig. 1 Surgical technique. The apex of the scoliotic curve and the apex of the desired thoracic kyphosis are determined, and the rods are asymmetrically moulded accordingly. In detail, at the desired kyphosis apex, both the rods are over-shaped without height differences between the two sides, in order to obtain a prevalent lifting effect and restore kyphosis; on the contrary, at the apex of the thoracic curve, the rod in concavity is over-shaped and the rod in convexity is under-shaped with high height difference between the two sides, in order to obtain a prevalent derotating and lifting effect. At the apex of the lumbar curve, the rod in concavity is over-shaped and the rod in convexity is under-shaped, with low height difference between the two sides, to obtain a derotating and a lowering effect and restore lumbar lordosis.
Fig. 2 Clinical case, 67-year-old woman, 29 months follow-up. Thoracic scoliosis with a main curve of 66° Cobb. T4-L3 fusion was performed, with three periapical Ponte osteotomies. Cobb’s angle decreased to 21° and Thoracic Kyphosis increased to 35°.

Fig. 3 Clinical case, 65-year-old woman, 24 months follow-up. Double major scoliosis with main thoracic (MT) curve of 83° and lumbar curve (L) of 52°. T3-L5 fusion was performed, with five periapical Ponte osteotomies. Cobb’s angle of the MT curve decreased to 38° and of the L curve decreased to 31°; thoracic kyphosis increased to 36° and lumbar lordosis to 42°.
observed at the apical and periapical levels in concavity, it was considered clinically irrelevant. In situ rod benders were used to complete coronal correction if needed. An extensive decortication of the posterior elements of the instrumented vertebrae was performed. Subfascial drain was placed, and standard suture was performed.

Early mobilization out of bed started on post-operative day 1. For the first 6 weeks after surgery, a thoracic lumbar sacral orthosis (TLSO) to restrict spinal movements and facilitate initial bone graft fusion was prescribed.

**Patient’s characteristics**

Forty patients (8 males and 32 females) were included, with a follow-up of 2.9 ± 0.4 (range 2–3.5). The average age was 51.4 ± 6 (range 41–63). Patient’s characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

**Statistical analysis**

Parametric test was used to compare samples in case of continuous variables, normal distribution and appropriate numerousness. The Shapiro–Wilks test was used to verify normal distribution. The Levene test was used to evaluate homogeneity of the variances. As parametric test, we used two-tailed student t test to compare the average of the variables for homoscedastic paired groups. As nonparametric test, we used the two-tailed Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired group. Continuity correction was applied in case of discrete distribution. P-values < 0.05 were considered to be significant. SPSS 17.0 statistical analysis software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis.

**Results**

Average surgical time was 283.4 ± 56.3 min, and average blood loss was 1045 ± 555.4 mL (Table 1). Intra-operatively, the surgical planning regarding fusion area was respected in all the cases with no need to extend the instrumentation proximally or distally for screws pull-out during corrective

---

**Table 1** Patient’s characteristics

| Characteristics                  | Value               |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Patients n                       | 40 (8 males, 32 females) |
| Average age (years)              | 51.4 ± 6 (range 41–63) |
| Curve type                       | 27 thoracic, 9 thoraco-lumbar/lumbar, 4 double major |
| Coronal flexibility              | 13.3% ± 4.6         |
| Average fused levels             | 11.6 ± 1.4 (range 9–14) |
| Average pedicle screws implanted | 22.7 ± 2.7 (range 17–28) |
| Screw density                    | 98.1% ± 2.7% (range 91.7–100%) |
| Ponte osteotomies                | 4.5 ± 1 (range 3–6) |
| Average surgical time (mins)     | 283.4 ± 56.3        |
| Average blood loss (mL)          | 1045 ± 555.4 mL     |
| Average length of stay (days)    | 7.1 ± 3.4 (range 5–10) |
| Average follow-up (years)        | 2.9 ± 0.4 (range 2–3.5) |
manoeuvre at the most cephalad and caudal levels. Less than 5 mm screw pull-out at the periapical area happened in four cases at the end of the corrective manoeuvre, during the final seating of the rod in the tulips: in two cases, it involved two screws at the concavity apex in a thoracic curve, in the remaining two cases it involved only one screw. Patients were discharged after an average length of stay of 7 days (range 5–9).

Significant results were achieved on the three planes after surgery (Table 2): the average post-operative Cobb angle decreased by 70.9% (from 65.0° ± 8.4 to 18.9° ± 3.9, \( p < 0.001 \)). On the sagittal plane, post-operative TK increased by 55.8% (from 23.1° ± 3.6 to 36.0° ± 3.9, \( p < 0.001 \)), while LL increased by 31.6% (from 29.7° ± 4.3 to 39.1° ± 4.5, \( p < 0.001 \)). The average C7PL/CSVVL reduced to physiological value of 1.3 ± 0.5 cm (\( p < 0.001 \)). No differences were observed in the radiological results between post-operative values and final follow-up.

SRS-22 questionnaire increased in all patients, from a pre-operative value of 2.8 ± 0.4 to a mean of 3.8 ± 0.5 at last follow-up (\( p < 0.001 \)).

We had four early post-operative deep wound infections, healed after debridement and implant retention.

At the last follow-up, no cases of progression of the deformity, proximal or distal junction pathology, pull-out of the screws or non-union were recorded.

**Discussion**

*Hi-PoAD* technique, although technically demanding, resulted in average operative time and blood loss equal or inferior to the principal case series dealing with AdIS [2, 5, 13, 20, 21]. It also proved to be efficient in respect surgical planning, with no need to extent the fusion area due to screw pull-out at the most cephalad and caudal levels, meaning that the procedure is really effective in dissipating corrective forces avoiding intra-operative mechanical complications. In the four cases in which a minimal pull-out was observed at periapical levels, no mechanical complications nor correction loss was observed up to final follow-up.

The triplanar correction rate of the technique proved to be really efficient with excellent correction rates in terms of coronal and sagittal correction (70.1% correction of the main curve, 57.9% increase in TK and 32% increase in LL). Indeed, the obtained 70.1% of coronal correction is superior to the higher percentage of correction observed in the literature [2, 5, 13, 20]. Only Zhu et al. [21] reported similar correction rates (up to 71%) but in younger patients (22.2 years old) with flexible curves (curve flexibility of 48%).

*Hi-PoAD* proved to be really effective also in restoring an adequate sagittal and coronal balance (with SVA improvement of 42.5% and C7PL/CSVVL reduction of 40.9%), which

---

**Table 2**

| Variable                              | Pre-op | Post-op | % variation | P-value pre-op vs post-op | Pre-op | Post-op | % variation | P-value pre-op vs post-op | Pre-op | Post-op | % variation | P-value pre-op vs post-op | Pre-op | Post-op | % variation | P-value pre-op vs post-op |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Main coronal curve                    | 65.0° ± 8.4 | 18.9° ± 3.9 | -70.9%       | \(< 0.001\)               | 19.2° ± 4.0 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | \(< 0.001\)               | 19.2° ± 4.0 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | \(< 0.001\)               | 19.2° ± 4.0 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | 19.1° ± 4.2 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| TK                                    | 23.1° ± 3.6 | 36.0° ± 3.9 | 55.8%        | \(< 0.001\)               | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | \(< 0.001\)               | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | \(< 0.001\)               | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | 35.9° ± 4.0 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| LL                                    | 29.7° ± 4.3 | 39.1° ± 4.5 | 31.6%        | \(< 0.001\)               | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | \(< 0.001\)               | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | \(< 0.001\)               | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | 39.7° ± 4.3 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| C7PL/CSVVL                            | 2.2 cm ± 0.8 | 1.3 cm ± 0.5 | -39.3%       | \(< 0.001\)               | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | \(< 0.001\)               | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | \(< 0.001\)               | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | 14 mm ± 0.6 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| SVA                                   | 1.9 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | -47.4%       | \(< 0.001\)               | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | \(< 0.001\)               | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | \(< 0.001\)               | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | 1.0 cm ± 0.3 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| RAsag†                                | 26.2° ± 4.4 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 52.7%        | \(< 0.001\)               | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | \(< 0.001\)               | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | \(< 0.001\)               | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | 12.4° ± 2.8 | \(< 0.001\)               |
| SRS-22                                | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 0%           | 1                         | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 1                         | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 1                         | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 2.9° ± 0.4 | 1                         |

* statistically significant

\( \text{FU}: \text{follow-up}, \text{TK}: \text{thoracic kyphosis}, \text{LL}: \text{lumbar lordosis}, \text{C7PL/CSVVL}: \text{C7 plumb line (C7PL)/central sacral vertical line (CSVVL)}, \text{SVA}: \text{sagittal vertical axis}, \text{RAsag}: \text{Scoliosis Research Society} 22-\text{item questionnaire} \)
is a mainstream in treatment of AdIS given the low compensation potential of the residual mobile segments after fusion. It is also important to highlight the low complication rate experienced (10%) with respect to the 25–29% range reported by other case series dealing with AdIS [5, 20].

The rationale of Hi-PoAD technique is to combine different surgical strategies to dissipate corrective forces over several levels, in order to reduce the mechanical stress at the screw–bone interface in patients affected by rigid AdIS and older than 40 years, therefore, with a high risk of fixation failure due to excessive forces needed to obtain correction.

A very high screw density is mandatory to avoid mechanical complications during the corrective manoeuvre; it is crucial especially at periapical levels of the curve, both to dissipate the corrective forces at the level of the most rigid and rotated segments and to obtain a segmental control of each space in which Ponte osteotomy is performed.

The use of the original Ponte osteotomy for the treatment of rigid AdIS has been well documented in the literature [10–13]. Clinical and cadaver studies have shown marked segmental flexibility in all three planes produced by Ponte osteotomy [22–24]. Therefore, the apical and the first cephalad and caudal segments were selected as the candidate region for Ponte osteotomy in all cases. The need for additional osteotomies should be carefully evaluated to avoid unnecessary blood loss and prolonged surgical times [23]. The authors performed additional osteotomies only in curves larger than 70°.

Another issue is represented by the corrective manoeuvre. The majority of the studies reporting outcomes after AdIS focuses on osteotomies, without extensive description of the applied manoeuvre [2, 5, 13, 20, 21]. A combined manoeuvre, mixing column translation over differently moulded rods simultaneously applied, DVR and selective compression and distraction at periapical levels are fundamental in author’s opinion to obtain satisfactory results. The translation manoeuvre is extremely effective in restoring an adequate sagittal balance with a high potential in restoring thoracic kyphosis and lumbar lordosis [14–16]. In addition, the translation is proved to be efficient in raising the apex of thoracic kyphosis in a more cephalad position [16, 25], and this finding is proved to be really useful especially when dealing with thoracic and thoraco-lumbar curves in which the kyphosis apex is usually lowered with relevant clinical consequences. The translation manoeuvre is responsible for a certain amount of derotation—given the rods height difference at the curve apex—but DVR is applied at the same time and at the same levels, in order to further reduce the forces over the screws, enhancing translation and promoting a better axial correction with a remarkable outcome in terms of rib hump reduction [16, 25]. Moreover, the simultaneous application of the rods, although technically demanding, is an important factor for the success of the technique: asynchronous application of the rods usually leads to the application of the second rod with a neutralization task or, even worse, when the second rod is applied with corrective purpose, the corrective forces act against the restrain offered by the engaged rod with high risk of mechanical complications. Conversely, the two simultaneously applied rods act in a synergic way, coupling anchor points acting both in concavity and in convexity, optimizing the translation and the direct vertebral rotation avoiding the risk of exerting opposite forces.

The small sample size and the retrospective design are clear limitations of the study, but the homogeneous cohort of patients treated and the presence of only one surgeon reduce variability and represent remarkable strengths of the current paper.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, although technically demanding, Hi-PoAD is a combined technique which, with its high corrective potential on coronal, axial and sagittal plane alongside a low complication rate, may be particularly useful for rigid Adult Idiopathic Scoliosis.
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