EZH2 is a negative prognostic biomarker associated with immunosuppression in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract

The enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) plays a critical role in different components of anti-tumor immunity. However, the specific role of EZH2 in modulating MHC Class I antigen presentation and T cell infiltration have not been investigated in HCC. This study analyzed the expression and clinical significance of EZH2 in HCC. The EZH2 genetic alterations were identified using cBioPortal. The EZH2 mRNA and protein levels were found to be significantly higher in HCC than in adjacent normal liver tissues in multiple datasets from the GEO and TCGA databases. High expression of EZH2 was significantly correlated with poor overall survival, disease-specific survival, progression-free survival, and relapse-free survival in almost all patients with HCC. The gene set variance analysis (GSVA) showed that the expression of EZH2 is positively correlated with an immunosuppressive microenvironment and negatively correlated with major MHC class I antigen presentation molecules. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed that high EZH2 expression is positively associated with the MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway and negatively associated with the interferon-gamma signaling pathway in HCC tissues. These findings demonstrate that EZH2 is a potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target in HCC.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most prevalent cancer worldwide [1]. Because most patients are diagnosed in advanced stages, the 5-years overall survival rate is only 10% [2]. Current treatment options that include the use of sorafenib and regorafenib provide only marginal benefits for advanced HCC [3, 4]. Immunotherapy with anti-PD-1 antibodies like pembrolizumab has shown a substantial survival benefit in certain cancers, such as Hodgkin’s disease and melanoma [5, 6]. However, the success of immunotherapy has been much more limited in other cancer types, such as HCC [7]. Therefore, there is a need to identify new therapies for the effective treatment of HCC.

The methyltransferase Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2) is the catalytic subunit of Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PRC2), which catalyzes histone H3 methylation on lysine 27
The H3K27me3 histone modification is frequently associated with repressed chromatin conformation and downstream gene expression [8, 9]. Previous studies have reported that EZH2 plays an essential role in anti-tumor immunity. Peng et al. reported that treatment with an inhibitor of EZH2 together with an inhibitor of DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) led to better tumor growth suppression than either approach alone in ovarian tumor-bearing mice [10, 11]. Previous studies have shown that the disruption of EZH2 in regulatory T cells (Tregs) can enhance anti-tumor immunity by diminishing the suppressive activity of Tregs and enhancing T cell infiltration in the tumor [12, 13]. Zingg et al. showed that EZH2 inactivation could reverse melanoma resistance mechanisms and synergize with anti-CTLA-4 and IL-2 immunotherapy to suppress melanoma growth [14]. Ennishi et al. showed a strong correlation between EZH2 mutation enrichment and MHC class I and class II expression deficiency in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [15]. In hepatocellular carcinoma, the inhibition of EZH2 by small-molecule or genetic inhibitors can enhance HCC cell eradication by NK cells in an NK group 2D (NKG2D) ligand-dependent manner [16]. However, the potential relationship between EZH2 and the immune microenvironment in HCC is still unclear.

Furthermore, clinical investigations have shown that EZH2 is aberrantly upregulated in various malignant tumors such as prostate and breast cancer and is associated with advanced stages and poor prognosis [9, 17]. Previous studies have reported that increased expression of EZH2 was frequently detected in HCC tissues and correlated with the aggressiveness and poor prognosis of HCCs [18–21]. However, these results were based on liver tissue samples from a small patient cohort, and the criteria for screening for positive expression of EZH2 were not elaborate. Therefore, further studies are required to demonstrate better the prognostic value of EZH2 in HCC.

This study aimed to analyze the expression and clinical significance of Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 in Hepatocellular carcinoma. We comprehensively examined the expression of EZH2, its correlation with prognosis, and the status of different tumor-infiltrating immune cells based on data from patients with HCC in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and various public databases. Our results potentially revealed new targets and strategies that could be applied for HCC diagnosis and treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**EZH2 gene expression analysis**

The EZH2 mRNA expression in the HCC and control samples were analyzed using the GSE10143 [22], GSE14520 [23], GSE36376 [24], GSE54236 [25], GSE64041 [26] and GSE76427 [27] datasets in the GEO database. The expression levels of EZH2 in different datasets were detected. Samples were classified into high-/low-expression groups by the median expression value of EZH2. We also downloaded the normalized gene-level RNA-Seq data and clinical information across 33 cancer types from the UCSC Xena (https://xenabrowser.net/), including LIHC. The expression of EZH2 in 371 primary HCC tissues from patients with different tumor sub-groups was analyzed based on individual cancer stages, tumor grade or other clinicopathological features and tumor stages, and 50 adjacent normal liver tissues at the TCGA database.

**EZH2 protein expression analysis**

The expression data of EZH2 protein from HCC and normal liver tissue samples at the TCGA database were obtained from The Human Protein Atlas (http://www.proteinatlas.org) database [28].
Survival analysis in Kaplan-Meier plotter

The Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://www.kmplot.com) [29], a robust online publicly available database, was used to study the relationship between the expression of EZH2 and patient clinical outcomes for liver hepatocellular carcinoma. We determined the prognostic value of mRNA expression of EZH2 according to progression-free survival (PFS), relapse-free survival (RFS), disease-specific survival (DSS), and overall survival (OS) in the hepatocellular carcinoma. A total of 370 patients were included in this dataset. Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and log-rank \( p \)-values were calculated. We also explored the effect of EZH2 expression combined with different clinicopathological features on liver hepatocellular carcinoma. The independent TCGA LIHC data was used to examine the association between the expression of EZH2 and survival.

TCGA data and tumor-infiltration analysis

Gene sets of 28 subpopulations of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes were obtained from a previous study [30], including cell types related to adaptive immunity (activated, central memory, effector memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, γδ T cells, type 1 helper T (TH1) cells, TH2 cells, TH17 cells, regulatory T cells, follicular helper T cells, and activated, immature and memory B cells), and cell types related to innate immunity (macrophages; monocytes; mast cells; eosinophils; neutrophils; activated, plasmacytoid and immature dendritic cells; natural killer cells; natural killer T cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cell). We used the gene set variance analysis (GSVA) program to calculate the absolute enrichment score of gene signatures for immune cells in each sample, which is referred to as relative immune cell abundance [31]. The Spearman correlation between EZH2 expression level and GSVA score was calculated for each type of immune cell across liver hepatocellular carcinoma, considering FDR < 0.05 as statistical significance.

Dene set enrichment analysis

To identify the specific gene sets or pathways associated with high EZH2, we performed differential gene expression analysis for LIHC TCGA RNA-Seq data based on EZH2 status. Patients with EZH2 above the median were defined as ‘EZH2-High’ and those with EZH2 below the median defined as ‘EZH2-Low’. Differential expression genes (\( p \)-value < 0.01, FDR < 0.05) were ranked by logFC from high to low and then selected for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with gene sets from MSigDB [32].

TISIDB analysis

The TISIDB database (http://cis.hku.hk/TISIDB) integrates 988 reported immune-related anti-tumor genes, high-throughput screening techniques, molecular profiling, and paracancerous multi-omics data, and various resources for immunological data retrieved from seven public databases [33]. The database enables analyses of correlations for selected genes with lymphocytes, immunomodulators, and chemokines. We employed the TISIDB database to investigate correlations between EZH2 expression and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules.

c-BioPortal database analysis

The cBio Cancer Genomics Portal (http://cbioportal.org) has multidimensional cancer genomics data sets [34]. Mutation, copy number variation (CNV), and gene co-occurrence of
EZH2 in HCC were analyzed using the c-BioPortal tool. The tab OncoPrint displayed an overview of genetic alterations per sample in EZH2.

**Statistical analysis**

All the statistical analyses were performed using R software, version 3.6.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, http://www.r-project.org/). The t-test was used to analyze the variance in expression levels of EZH2 between different groups. P values were determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. The survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. The survival analyses were performed by R programming of “survival” and “survminer” packages. The best cut-off values of gene expression were determined by algorithms embedded in the KM plotter. The log-rank test p < 0.05 indicates the significance of survival time differences. The correlations between EZH2 and immune signature score or gene expression levels were calculated using the Spearman method. Spearman correlation analysis using GraphPad Prism. Gene set enrichment analysis was performed by R programming of “GSEABase” and “clusterProfiler” package. Gene set variance analysis was performed by R programming of “GSVA”. The p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Elevated mRNA expression levels of EZH2 in HCC**

We observed significantly higher expression of EZH2 mRNA in the HCC than in the normal liver tissues (Fig 1A) from the TCGA database. Similarly, significantly higher levels of EZH2 mRNA were observed in HCC tissues than in adjacent normal liver tissues from multiple GEO datasets (Fig 1B–1F). These results demonstrated that EZH2 is overexpressed in HCC.

**The expression of EZH2 was positively correlated with the development of HCC**

When the expression of EZH2 in HCC specimens from different subgroups of HCC patients in the TCGA database were analyzed, female and older HCC patients showed a significantly higher expression of EZH2 in their liver tissues than in the normal liver tissues (Fig 2A and 2B), the mRNA levels of EZH2 were found to be significantly increased in the higher tumor grades and stages compared to normal tissues (Fig 2C and 2D). Besides, a significantly higher expression of EZH2 was observed in the early stages and grade 1 of HCC than in the normal liver tissues (Fig 2C and 2D). Furthermore, analysis of the Human Protein Atlas database showed that the EZH2 protein levels in the HCC tissues were 75% higher quantity than in the normal liver tissue samples (Fig 2E and 2F).

**High EZH2 expression is associated with poor prognosis**

The Kaplan Meier (KM) plotter online tool was used to establish the relationship between EZH2 expression and the survival outcomes of HCC cohorts with availed survival information. High expression of EZH2 was associated with poor prognosis in HCC (OS: HR = 1.97, 95% CI = 1.38 to 2.81, p<0.001; PFS: HR = 1.76, 95% CI = 1.31 to 2.36, p<0.001; RFS: HR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.28 to 2.50, p<0.001; DSS: HR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.22 to 3.01, p = 0.0042; Fig 3A–3D). In an independent cohort (TCGA-LIHC), HCC with high EZH2 expression had a significantly poorer OS, PFS, DFS, and DSS than those with low expression of EZH2 (Fig 3E–3H).

When the association between EZH2 expression and different clinical characteristics of HCC were investigated, the Kaplan Meier analysis found high expression of EZH2 to correlate with both poor OS and PFS in male (OS: HR = 2.74, p = 3.80E-05; PFS: HR = 2.40, p = 1.10E-
05), female (OS: HR = 2.20, p = 0.006; PFS: HR = 2.26, p = 0.0018), Asian (OS: HR = 5.51, p = 3.50E-07; PFS: HR = 3.84, p = 1.20E-05), White (OS: HR = 1.74, p = 0.0178; PFS: HR = 1.84, p = 0.0006), alcohol consuming (OS: HR = 2.42, p = 0.0136; PFS: HR = 3.62, p = 2.40E-05), and non-alcoholic (OS: HR = 2.24, p = 0.0006; PFS: HR = 2.11, p = 0.0002) patients with hepatitis viral infection (OS: HR = 2.98, p = 0.0103; PFS: HR = 2.08, p = 0.0059), and without hepatitis viral infection (OS: HR = 3.04, p = 1.70E-06; PFS: HR = 3.00, p = 4.80E-07) (Table 1).

Specifically, high EZH2 mRNA expression correlated with poor OS and PFS in HCC patients belonging to stages 1+2 (OS: HR = 2.48, p = 0.0003; PFS: HR = 2.39, p = 0.0004), stages 3+4 (OS: HR = 2.10, p = 0.0094; PFS: HR = 2.41, p = 0.001), grade 1 (OS: HR = 3.40, p = 0.009; PFS: HR = 2.76, p = 0.01), grade 2 (OS: HR = 2.14, p = 0.0042; PFS: HR = 3.29, p = 5.40E-05), grade 3 (OS: HR = 2.72, p = 0.0008; PFS: HR = 2.14, p = 0.0025) patients with vascular invasion (OS: HR = 2.80, p = 0.0115; PFS: HR = 2.59, p = 0.0158), and without vascular invasion (OS: HR = 1.98, p = 0.0078; PFS: HR = 1.93, p = 0.0045) (Table 1). Therefore, from these results the
upregulation of EZH2 mRNA is significantly correlated with poor OS and PFS in almost all HCC patients regardless of their clinical characteristics.

**EZH2 correlates with immunosuppression**

The top 25% of HCC tumors with higher infiltration of activated CD8+ T cells and APCs exhibited substantially reduced EZH2 expression compared with the bottom 25% of HCC tumors (Fig 4). The analysis of 371 HCC tumors from TCGA showed a significant inverse correlation between the EZH2 expression levels and major MHC class I antigen presentation molecules, including B2M, HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, and HLA-E (Fig 5A–5E), highlighting a potential regulatory function of EZH2 on antigen presentation in HCC. Furthermore, the expression of EZH2 was negatively correlated with MHC molecules in the TISIDB database. The MHC molecules displaying the negative correlations included B2M (Spearman: $\rho = -0.345$, $p = 9.14e^{-12}$), HLA-A (Spearman: $\rho = -0.10$, $p = 0.0791$), HLA-B (Spearman: $\rho = -0.181$, $p = 0.0004$), HLA-C (Spearman: $\rho = -0.166$, $p = 0.00128$), and HLA-E (Spearman: $\rho = -0.293$, $p = 9.03e^{-09}$) (Fig 5F). However, the methylation of EZH2 was positively correlated with MHC molecules: B2M (Spearman: $\rho = 0.283$, $p = 3.15e^{-8}$), HLA-A (Spearman: $\rho = 0.213$, $p = 3.45e^{-05}$), HLA-B (Spearman: $\rho = 0.456$, $p = 1.61e^{-06}$), HLA-C (Spearman: $\rho = 0.144$, $p = 0.005$), and HLA-E (Spearman: $\rho = 0.191$, $p = 0.0002$) (Fig 5G). Thus, the expression of EZH2 is correlated with an immunosuppressive microenvironment.
Fig 3. High EZH2 expression predicts poor prognosis in HCC patients. (A–D) High EZH2 expression was correlated with worse OS, PFS, RFS and DSS in Kaplan-Meier plotter database HCC cohort. (E–H) High EZH2 expression was correlated with worse OS, PFS, RFS and DSS in TCGA HCC cohort (n = 364, n = 370, n = 316, n = 362). The numbers below the figures denote the number of patients at risk in each group. The hazard ratio (HR) was calculated based on the Cox Proportional-Hazards model.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242191.g003
Gene set enrichment analyses of EZH2

To identify the specific gene signatures associated with EZH2 status, we performed differential gene expression analysis for LIHC TCGA RNA-Seq data based on EZH2 status. Patients with EZH2 above and below the median were defined as ‘EZH2-High’, and ‘EZH2-Low’ patients, respectively. Differential expression genes (p-value $< 0.01$, FDR $< 0.05$) were ranked by logFC from high to low and then selected for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with gene sets from MSigDB. From the results of hallmark gene sets, several activated gene signatures (such as MYC_TARGETS_V1, GLYCOLYSIS) and suppressed gene signatures (especially interferon-gamma response) were enriched in LIHC with high EZH2. This showed that high EZH2 expression is positively associated with the MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway and negatively associated with the interferon-gamma signaling pathway (Fig 6A and 6B).
Genomic alterations of EZH2 in HCC

The analysis of cooperative genomic alterations of EZH2 using cBioPortal for liver hepatocellular carcinoma (TCGA, provisional) showed that EZH2 was altered in 11% (39 out of 349) of the tumors. EZH2 is correlated with an immunosuppressive microenvironment. (A–G) The top 25% of HCC tumors with higher infiltration of activated CD8+ T cells and APCs exhibited substantially reduced EZH2 expression compared with the bottom 25% of HCC tumors. p values were determined by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242191.g004

Genomic alterations of EZH2 in HCC
HCC patients (Fig 7A). These alterations included mRNA upregulation in 8.02% (n = 28), amplification (AMP) in 1.43% (n = 5), mutation in 0.29% (n = 1) and multiple alterations in 0.29% (n = 1) of the cases. Thus, AMP is the most common type of EZH2 CNV in HCC.
Amplification of EZH2 results in high expression levels of EZH2 (Fig 7B), whereas methylation of EZH2 results in low expression levels (Fig 7C).

Discussion

HCC is one of the most prevalent and fatal forms of liver cancer. The available treatment options are not effective enough and pose life-threatening severe side effects. DNA methylation and modification of histone proteins are well established epigenetic alterations for regulating gene expression. They influence several aspects of cellular physiology and function. Previous studies have demonstrated that overexpression of EZH2 is related to poor prognosis in various cancers [35]. Notably, EZH2 overexpression is reportedly associated with tumor progression and aggressiveness in HCC [21]. Inhibition of EZH2 has been proposed as a therapeutic strategy to induce apoptotic cell death in cancers with a frequent gain of function mutation or over-expression of EZH2 such as melanoma, ovarian cancer, and lymphoma [36–38]. Suresh Bugide et al. reported that the genetic and pharmacological inhibition of EZH2 results in the re-expression of several NKG2D ligands that correlates with increased cytotoxicity of NK cells toward HCC cells [16]. These previous studies indicated that overexpression of EZH2 modulates epigenetic silencing of genes involved in tumor progression. Therefore, inhibition of EZH2 could be a potential therapeutic target in HCC.

This study aimed to analyze the expression and clinical significance of Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 in Hepatocellular carcinoma. Generally, we found significantly higher EZH2 mRNA levels in HCC than in normal liver tissues. The high expression of EZH2 was

Fig 6. Gene set enrichment analysis analyses of EZH2. (A–B) Gene set enrichment analysis delineates biological pathways and processes correlated with EZH2 expression using gene sets of “h.all.v7.1.symbols” downloaded from the MSigDB database. (A) Activate and suppressed gene sets enriched in patients with high EZH2 expression; (B) Samples were classified into high- and low-EZH2 groups. Each run was performed with 1000 permutations. Enrichment results with significant associations between high- and low-EZH2 groups are shown.
significantly related to poor prognosis in multiple cohorts, and poor OS and PFS in almost all HCC patients regardless of their clinical characteristics. The expression of EZH2 was found to correlate with an immunosuppressive microenvironment. The EZH2 expression showed a negative association with major MHC class I antigen presentation molecules. Interestingly, the methylation of EZH2 revealed a positive correlation with major MHC class I antigen presentation molecules. Gene set enrichment analysis showed that high expression of EZH2 is positively associated with the MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway, and negatively associated with the interferon-gamma signaling pathway. MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway were reportedly associated with tumor progression and

Fig 7. EZH2 genomic alterations in HCC (cBioPortal). OncoPrint of EZH2 alterations in LIHC cohort. The different types of genetic alterations are highlighted in different colors. (B) EZH2 expression in different EZH2 CNV groups. (C) Relations between the methylation of EZH2 and expression level of EZH2.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242191.g007

We found a positive correlation between the expression of EZH2 and an immunosuppressive microenvironment. The EZH2 expression was negatively associated with an antigen-presenting cell (APC) and CD8+ T cell abundance in HCC. Previous studies showed that EZH2 is critical for the recruitment and immunosuppression function of activated regulatory T cells (Tregs) at the sites of inflammation. Moreover, EZH2-deficient Tregs failed to protect mice from developing autoimmunity in a model of naive T cell-mediated colitis [39, 40]. Our GSEA indicated that high EZH2 expression is positively associated with the MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway and negatively associated with the interferon-gamma signaling pathway. MYC and glycolysis signaling pathway were reportedly associated with tumor progression and
aggressiveness [41, 42]. Interferon signaling has been reported to influence the expression of antigen processing and presenting machinery genes [43, 44]. Our study revealed a negative correlation between EZH2 expression and major MHC class I antigen presentation molecules. Therefore, this study has provided a new line of evidence to support the correlation of EZH2 expression with immunosuppression and poor prognosis. Inhibition of EZH2 could be a novel immunotherapeutic target for promoting HCC anti-tumor immunity to overcome checkpoint blockade resistance.

The EZH2 regulated antigen presentation not only in squamous cell carcinomas but also in other tissues. The major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) molecules played a central role in this process by presenting native intracellular proteins or neoantigens produced by cancer cells to effector CD8 + T cells, hence initiating an immune response [45, 46]. Previous studies showed an inverse correlation between EZH2 and MHC class I antigen presentation molecule expression levels in squamous cell carcinomas, such as head, neck, and lung squamous cell carcinoma [47]. Suresh Bugide et al. showed that EZH2 inhibition enhances HCC eradication by NK cells and that EZH2 functions partly as an oncogene by inhibiting immune response [16]. Based on these results, we propose that EZH2 inhibition alone or in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors therapy might be beneficial for HCC patients.

Even though we found important results that can help in the management of HCC, our study had some limitations. The investigations on the clinical significance of EZH2 in HCC were based on data already reported in the Kaplan-Meier plotter. This study failed to verify these outcomes by testing our own clinical samples. Invitro and animal experiments were not conducted to confirm the role of EZH2 in the growth and progression of HCC, and its relationship with the infiltration of immune cells into the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, further studies that can address these limitations are necessary for comprehensive verification of the role played by EZH2 in HCC.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our results suggest that EZH2 is a potential independent prognostic biomarker for HCC. EZH2 can serve as a biomarker for predicting the outcome of patients with the immunosuppressive microenvironment. Studies using different EZH2 inhibitors and additional HCC preclinical models are needed to confirm our findings.
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