Time-aware Collaborative Filtering with the Piecewise Decay Function
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Abstract—In this paper, we determine the appropriate decay function for item-based collaborative filtering (CF). Instead of intuitive deduction, we introduce the Similarity-Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SSNR) to quantify the impacts of rated items on current recommendations. By measuring the variation of SSNR over time, drift in user interest is well visualized and quantified. Based on the trend changes of SSNR, the piecewise decay function is thus devised and incorporated to build our time-aware CF algorithm. Experiments show that the proposed algorithm strongly outperforms the conventional item-based CF algorithm and other time-aware algorithms with various decay functions.

I. INTRODUCTION

On the rapidly changing Internet, user interest constantly changes over time, which presents a unique challenge for practical recommender systems. To cope with this, time-aware collaborative filtering (CF) is proposed as a solution to provide timely recommendations by exploiting the temporal information in rating data [1][2], such as the date the rating is generated. A straightforward and low-complexity scheme is to incorporate, in the framework of conventional CF, time-dependent weights in accounting the influence of ratings with different ages. As rating influence generally decreases with age, decay functions are introduced to weigh ratings [1]. Though benefits from time-awareness are observed in several experiments [1][2], the forms of decay function are still determined by intuitive deduction because of limited understanding on temporal data. In this paper, we identify and verify the appropriate form of decay function by introducing a novel measure to quantify the influence of ratings, which is the most crucial issue to understand and better utilize temporal rating data. The major contributions are two-fold:

- Revealing dynamics of individual rating behavior. A new index, the Similarity-Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (SSNR), is introduced to quantify the impact of one’s past ratings on his/her current favorite. By measuring the SSNR on a real dataset with precision in one second, we provide a clear picture of the rating impact variation over time. Specifically, we observe three distinct phases in the time variation of SSNR, namely a short-term decay, a long-term decay and a plateau between them. By considering the corresponding time scales, we suggest different mechanisms to explain the short- and long-term decay respectively.
- The proposal of the piecewise decay function. By drawing analogy with signal combining, we apply the Maximal Ratio Combining (MRC) method, and derive that the weights of ratings should be proportional to their SSNR. Thus, the observed time variation of SSNR provides a good reference for the decay function, and the three distinct phases naturally lead to a piecewise power decay function. Incorporating the decay function with CF leads to our proposed time-aware recommendation algorithm.

To examine the recommendation quality, we test our proposed algorithm on the Delicious dataset, compared with conventional item-based CF and other time-aware algorithms with various decay functions. With hit-rate as the evaluation metric, remarkable improvements ranging from 11% to 63% are observed.

II. RELATED WORK

Time-aware CF algorithms are highly promising in raising recommendation accuracy and timeliness. Among them, a kind of recency-based algorithms, which employ the time window or the decay function to emphasize recent ratings, are preferred for their simplicity and adaptability to drifting of user interest. Reference [1] adopted the exponential function to produce time-dependent weights for ratings. A more complex scheme was proposed in [3], which weighs ratings by their prediction accuracy for recent ratings instead of by timestamps. Furthermore, similar ideas were also used in [2] and [4], incorporated with more complex user interest models. Besides the user interest drifting, studies in [2][4][5] tried to capture more temporal effects, but brought high computation burden at the same time.

Besides in the time-aware CF, decay functions also play an important role in many applications. An coarse-grained discrete function is used in [6] to convert implicit ratings to multi-value ratings. In [7], the linear function is adopted to weigh examples for a content-based recommender system. The

1Actually, various types of user behavior other than rating exist, but in this paper, the term rate and rating are loosely used to indicate all behaviors showing user’s preference, for example saving a bookmark, buying a product, or voting on a movie.
most widely used decay function, exponential function, is used in [8] for mining concept drifting data.

### III. Temporal Dynamics in Ratings Data

#### A. Delicious Data

The rating data examined in subsequent analyses is collected from a well known social bookmarking website, delicious.com. Ratings in the Delicious data are users’ implicit feedbacks. When user $\alpha$ saved bookmark (item) $i$ at time $t_{ai}$, a rating occurred. To study the dynamics with a fine time scale, the timestamp $t_{ai}$ is recorded with precision in one second.

After data collection, many bookmarks in the dataset are found to be saved by only one user. These bookmarks have no contribution in making recommendations, as they have no user overlap, and thus no quantified relation with all other bookmarks. Hence we remove them to avoid irrelevant computation. The attributes of the pre-processed dataset are summarized in Table I. Note that the sparsity of the Delicious data is very high and poses a big challenge to recommendation algorithms [5]. As to preserve its original features and make closer correspondence between our study and the real world, we make no further modification on the data.

#### B. Temporal Dynamics of Rating Impact

Intuitively impacts of ratings should decay with the lapse of time. It implies that recent ratings are more relevant than old ratings to identify one’s current favorite. Many time-aware CF algorithms are based on this deduction, but to the best of our knowledge, there is no concrete evidence or clear picture about how the decay goes with time. Limited knowledge on the decay process hinders the potential improvements of the algorithms.

In this paper we address the problem of appropriate decay function by empirical analyses on a real dataset. Firstly, a quantitative measure is needed to quantify the rating impact on the current recommendation. One candidate is the cosine similarity [9], which is defined as

$$s_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha \in U} r_{ai} r_{aj}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha \in U} r_{ai}^2} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha \in U} r_{aj}^2}},$$  

where $s_{ij}$ is the similarity between items $i$ and $j$, and $U$ corresponds to the set of users. For the Delicious data, we adopt a binary implicit rating and put $r_{ai} = 1$ if item $i$ is saved by user $\alpha$ as a bookmark, and otherwise $r_{ai} = 0$. We further denote the current favorite item of user $\alpha$ as $i^*_\alpha$, such that the similarity between his/her saved item $i$ and $i^*_\alpha$ characterizes the impact of rating $r_{ai}$.

However, evaluating similarity is not sufficient to quantify rating impact. Consider a simple case with two rated items $i$ and $k$ by user $\alpha$. For item $i$, $s_{i_{\alpha i}} = 0.8$, but all $s_{ij}$, where $j \neq k$ and $i^*_\alpha$, are larger than 0.9. For item $k$, $s_{k_{\alpha i}} = 0.2$, but all $s_{kj}$, where $j \neq i$ and $i^*_\alpha$, are smaller than 0.1. Though $s_{i_{\alpha i}} > s_{k_{\alpha i}}$, apparently item $k$ is more important than item $i$ to identify $i^*_\alpha$, the current favorite of user $\alpha$. Therefore, the relative similarity with the current item is more relevant than the sheer similarity.

To quantify the relative similarity with the favorite item, we draw analogy with signal and noise in signal processing. Specifically, $s_{i_{\alpha i}}$ can be considered as the useful signal carried by the rated item $i$, and $s_{ij}$, where $j \neq i^*_\alpha$, can be considered as noise. By drawing analogy with the standard Signal-to-Noise-Ratio [10], we introduce the Similarity-Signal-to-Noise-Ratio as

$$SSNR_{\alpha i} = \frac{s_{i_{\alpha i}}^2}{\sum_{j \neq i^*_\alpha} s_{ij}^2}.$$  

High $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ implies item $i$ has a strong impact on predicting the favorite item of user $\alpha$.

To investigate the decay of $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ with the age of rating $r_{ai}$, we conduct statistical analyses on the Delicious data. For each user $\alpha$ in the dataset, we leave his/her latest rating out, and consider the corresponding item as his/her current favorite. The latest rated item and its timestamp are thus denoted as $i^*_\alpha$ and $t^*_\alpha$. The $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ of other rated items are then evaluated by equation (2) with this favorite item. For each rating of user $\alpha$ (except the latest one), a pair of $(SSNR_{\alpha i}, age_{\alpha i})$, where $age_{\alpha i} = t^*_\alpha - t_{ai}$, is obtained and reveals the relationship between rating impact and age. In this case, we will compute $L-1$ pairs of $(SSNR_{\alpha i}, age_{\alpha i})$ for a user with $L$ rated items, and the same computation is conducted for all users.

In Fig. 1 we show $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ as a function of $age_{\alpha i}$. We log-bin $age_{\alpha i}$ and average $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ over each bin. Fig. 1 is shown in log-log scale to illustrate the behaviors of small $SSNR_{\alpha i}$ or with small $age_{\alpha i}$. A trendline is added to outline the variation of $SSNR_{\alpha i}$.

![Fig. 1. Rating SSNR as a function of age. A trendline (the solid line) is added to outline the variation of SSNR.](image)

Despite fluctuation is relatively strong, the SSNR curve shows three distinct phases. Specifically, short- and long-term decay are respectively observed within $10^4$ seconds ($\approx 3$ seconds).

#### Table I

| Users | Items | Ratings | Sparsity | Period       |
|-------|-------|---------|----------|--------------|
| 14025 | 318415| 1806951 | 0.9996   | Jan.2004 - Aug.2007 |
hours) and beyond $10^6$ seconds ($\approx$ 10 days), with a plateau connecting the two phases. $SSNR_{\alpha t}$, defined as the impact of rated item $i$ on current recommendation, is also a measurement of how much interest of user $\alpha$ changes in time $age_{\alpha t}$. Therefore, Fig. I provides us a clear picture of user interest drifting over time.

The corresponding time scales provide hints to explain the origin of the decays. As neither individual nor global preference would have great changes within $10^4$ seconds [2], we attribute the short-term decay to the switch of users’ focuses. As Fig. I is shown, the values of $SSNR$ within $10^4$ are higher than the remains, which can indicate that users’ short-term focuses are more correlated. But the short-term decay shows that users’ focuses are drifting over time. By examining a dataset with precision in one second, for the first time we uncover the short-term decay in rating data. In past studies, these transient effects are regarded as interference but we will show in following sections that they indeed have significant contributions in improving recommendation accuracy.

The long-term decay has been widely discussed in related literature[1]-[4][7], which reflects the change of user’s intrinsic interest. Our quantitative results show that the change does not occur in all time scales. It is only notable beyond about ten days, but within this time window, user interest almost stays the same. We suggest two main causes for the long-term decay. The first one is the attraction from new items, which constantly alters the hotspots of the society. The second one is the change of users’ intrinsic characteristics, such as age, profession, social relationship, etc. These changes happen slowly and less often, but are very likely to affect users’ preferences.

IV. COLLABORATIVE FILTERING WITH THE PIECEWISE DECAY FUNCTION

Exponential decay was proposed long ago to characterize the decay of rating impact and is now widely used. It is based on human’s forgetting curve, which implies that the ratings decrease in impacts as time goes on because people forget them. However, as discussed, the temporal rating behaviors root in reasons in addition to forgetting. In this section, we will derive an appropriate decay function to incorporate with the item-based CF, which constitute our proposed algorithm.

In general, recommendations by time-aware item-based CF are based on

$$f_{\alpha j} = \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} w(age_{\alpha}) s_{ij},$$

where $f_{\alpha j}$ is the prediction score of item $j$ for user $\alpha$, $I_{\alpha}$ denotes the set of all items rated by user $\alpha$ and $w(t)$ is the decay function employed to weight items with different ages [1][9]. For user $\alpha$, all his/her unseen items are sorted by $f_{\alpha j}$ in the descending order, and the top-$N$ items are delivered as the recommendation results.

In analogy with SSNR on similarity, we can also define SNR on the final predicted probabilities as $fSNR_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha i}^2 / \sum_{k \neq i} f_{\alpha k}^2$, where $i_{\alpha}$ is again the current favorite of user $\alpha$. Obviously, good recommendation results should give item $i_{\alpha}$ a high rank and hence a large $fSNR_{\alpha}$. We then have to assign appropriate weights, i.e. decay function, to maximize the outcome $fSNR$. By drawing analogy with signal combing problem, where MRC is employed for the same purpose[2], we obtain $w(age_{\alpha}) = SSNR_{\alpha t}$. Based on the variation of $SSNR_{\alpha t}$ with $age_{\alpha}$ in Fig. I we take the trendline of the curve to derive $w(age_{\alpha})$. Mathematically, the decay function reads

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{t}{T_s}\right)^{-K_s}, & 0 \leq t < T_s, \\ 1, & T_s \leq t < T_l, \\ \left(\frac{t}{T_l}\right)^{-K_l}, & T_l \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Substituting (4) into (3), we propose a time-aware CF algorithm with the piecewise decay function. In equation (4), four free parameters, $T_s$, $T_l$, $K_s$ and $K_l$, are introduced for fine tuning to achieve the optimal algorithmic performance. $T_s$ and $T_l$ are respectively the time thresholds of short- and long-term decay, and $K_s$ and $K_l$ are the control parameters for the corresponding decay rate.

V. EXPERIMENTS

A. Experiment Design

We evaluate our algorithm on the Delicious data described in section II-A. As the task of recommender systems is to identify one’s current favorite, we adopt the so-called leave-the-latest-out method for cross validation, rather than the traditional K-fold or leave-one-out method. The latest rating of each user, say user $\alpha$, is left out as a probe when making recommendations for him/her, and all the other ratings serve as the training data. The timestamp $t^*_\alpha$ of the latest rating is regarded as the time when the recommendation is made, and is used to calculate ratings’ ages. The latest rated item $i^*_\alpha$ is the test item for evaluating the recommendation accuracy.

In this paper, hit-rate is employed as the evaluation metric for recommendation accuracy [9]. The hit-rate for a specific search depth $N$ is defined as

$$H@N = \frac{1}{|U|} \sum_{\alpha \in U} h(i^*_\alpha, N),$$

where $|U|$ is the number of users, $h(i^*_\alpha, N) = 1$ if item $i^*_\alpha$ is top-$N$ sorted by prediction scores, and $h(i^*_\alpha, N) = 0$ otherwise.

B. Decay Functions

The proposed algorithm is simulated with the parameters $K_s \in [0.1, 1]$, $K_l \in [0.1, 1]$, $T_s \in [100, 10^5]$ and $T_l \in$.

MRC is the optimal combiner for independent Additive-White-Gaussian-Noise channels. For other channel types, MRC is also widely adopted, because its basic idea of boosting the strong signal components and attenuating the weak components will surely improve performance when compared with Equal Gain Combining.

2In this paper, all values of time is in unit of one second.
of the present study, as most past studies overlook the benefits of examining dynamics shorter than one day [2][5].

In this paper, we quantified user interest drifting by a novel quantitative measure by analogy with Signal-to-Noise-Ratio, and applied the findings on our time-aware CF algorithm by a carefully designed decay function. We uncovered and utilized the short-term decay shorter than one day, which is overlooked in the past studies. Experiments show our great algorithmic improvement compared with the present state-of-the-art.

It is worth noting that, users’ activities in the Internet are more bursty than what we observed from the Delicious data, where rich short-term information is ready to be utilized to improve recommendation. As a further example, we construct a semi-artificial dataset from the Delicious data, which emphasizes the bursty behaviors. Experiments on this dataset demonstrate that an improvement of 110% is achieved by the proposed algorithm when compared with the IBCF algorithm. The results will be presented in details in an extended paper.
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