Variants of BALB/c 3T3 Cells Lacking Complex Gangliosides Retain a Fibronectin Matrix and Spread Normally on Fibronectin-coated Substrates
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Abstract. Evidence has accumulated that di- and trisialogangliosides are involved in the interaction of cells with fibronectin. We have therefore tested the ability of variants of BALB/c 3T3 deficient in such gangliosides to organize a fibronectin matrix and to spread on fibronectin-coated substrates. Whereas BALB/c 3T3 cells contained gangliosides GM3, GM1, and GD1a, direct chemical analysis showed that five out of six variants isolated contained no detectable GD1a. By the overlaying of thin layer chromatograms of cellular gangliosides with 125I-cholera toxin, these variants were also found to lack ganglioside GM1. In contrast, the sialogalactoprotein profile of these cells, analyzed using an 125I-ricin/SDS polyacrylamide gel overlay technique, was similar to that of the parent cell line. All variants organized an extensive fibronectin matrix comparable to that of BALB/c 3T3, as shown using either immunofluorescence or lactoperoxidase-catalyzed iodination. The variants could also spread on fibronectin-coated substrates and adopt a morphology similar to that of BALB/c 3T3 cells, with little or no difference in the concentration of fibronectin required for 50% cell spreading. Cell spreading of the variants was accompanied by the formation of focal contacts and microfilament bundles, in a manner closely resembling that seen with BALB/c 3T3 cells. Treatment of BALB/c 3T3 cells with neuraminidase, which converts much of the cellular GD1a to GM1, did not affect cell spreading on fibronectin. The results clearly demonstrate that complex gangliosides are not essential for retention of a fibronectin matrix or for spreading on fibronectin-coated substrates.

CELL adhesion to and spreading on substrates coated with the extracellular matrix glycoprotein fibronectin is thought to proceed through the interaction of a cell surface receptor with a cell binding domain in fibronectin (21, 45). The molecular features of this domain that are important for cell attachment have recently been determined (34). Characterization of the receptor has however been hampered by the apparent low affinity of soluble fibronectin for its receptor. Nevertheless reports implicating glycoproteins (3, 9, 24, 30, 35, 43), proteoglycans (26, 31), and gangliosides (23, 38, 46, 47) as the fibronectin receptor have accumulated.

Interest in a possible role for gangliosides in this context originated from experiments in which di- and trisialogangliosides were shown to be effective inhibitors of cell attachment and spreading on fibronectin-coated substrates (23, 32, 47). Despite a number of doubts concerning the specificity of this effect (33, 36), the observation that gangliosides can mediate the retention of fibronectin at the surface of a ganglioside-deficient mouse L cell variant (NCTC 2071A) has renewed speculation that gangliosides are in some way involved in the interaction of cells with fibronectin (38, 46). However, as not all cells that interact with fibronectin synthesize the more complex gangliosides, such a role must be limited to certain cells or cell types. BALB/c 3T3 cells synthesize ganglioside GM3 as well as the more complex gangliosides GM1 and GD1a, and also synthesize a fibronectin-containing extracellular matrix and can spread on fibronectin-coated substrates.

We have recently isolated variants of BALB/c 3T3 cells deficient in complex ganglioside biosynthesis (39), and we now report on the further characterization of these variants and their ability to interact with fibronectin.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Culture Conditions

Subclones of BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31) were isolated, and the one with growth characteristics and ganglioside composition most like those of the parent cell line was used for subsequent studies (S24 cells). Variants defective in synthesis of gangliosides more complex than GM3 were isolated from S24 cells exposed to ethane methane sulfonate using a cholera toxin, antitoxin, and complement selection procedure, as described elsewhere (39). Variants M1-3

Ganglioside nomenclature is according to Svennerholm (42).

GM3 = NeuAca2→3Galβ1→4Glc→Cer; GM2 = GalNacβ1→4(NeuAca2→3)Galβ1→4Glc→Cer; GM1 = Galβ1→3GalNacβ1→4(NeuAca2→3)Galβ1→4Glc→Cer; GD1b = Galβ1→3GalNacβ1→4(NeuAca2→3)Galβ1→4Glc→Cer; GT1b = NeuAca2→3Galβ1→3GalNacβ1→4(NeuAca2→8 NeuAca2→3)Galβ1→4Glc→Cer.
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and M5-7 were cloned from populations surviving five or six rounds of selection. One variant, V4, was isolated from S24 cells not treated with mutagen. Cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DME), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated newborn calf serum, plus antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Biocult, Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY).

**Ganglioside Purification**

Lipids were extracted from cell pellets (5-10 mg of protein) with 20 vol chloroform/methanol (2:1 then 1:2 vol/vol). The extract was dried under N$_2$, redissolved in chloroform/methanol (2:1 vol/vol), and partitioned against 0.2 vol water. The organic phase was washed three times with chloroform/methanol water (3:48:47 vol/vol), and the combined aqueous phases were dried, then dissolved in 0.25 ml 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, and 0.2% gelatin for 1 h at 4°C. The sheets were washed with buffer, and the gangliosides were detected by spraying with the resorcinol reagent (41). Standard gangliosides were purchased from Supelco, Inc., Bellefonte, PA.

**Detection of Ganglioside GM1 in BALB/c 3T3 Cells Using a TLC, $^{125}$I-labeled Cholera Toxin Overlay Procedure**

Cellular gangliosides were separated on paper-backed silica gel G TLC plates (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) using the solvent chloroform/methanol/0.2% aqueous CaCl$_2$ (5:4:1 vol/vol), and ganglioside GM1 was detected using a modification of the procedure described by Magnani et al. (27). In brief, the dried chromatogram was immersed in 0.1% (wt/vol) polyisobutylmethacrylate (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) for 1 min, dried, sprayed, then soaked in 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, containing 0.2% gelatin for 1 h at 4°C. Plates were overlayed with iodinated cholera toxin (0.5 x 10$^5$ cpm/ml) in the same buffer for 2 h at 4°C, then washed over a 15-min period with five changes of buffer before being dried and autoradiographed. Cholera toxin was iodinated to a specific activity of 10 μCi/μg as previously described (40).

**Separation of Cell Proteins by SDS PAGE and Detection of Galactolipids with $^{125}$I-labeled Ricin**

Total cell proteins were separated in SDS polyacrylamide gels (25), and the proteins were transferred electrophoretically to nitrocellulose sheets (44), which were then overlaid with $^{125}$I-labeled ricin (1 x 10$^6$ cpm/ml in 0.15 M NaCl/50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, containing 1.0% bovine serum albumin) for 3 h at 20°C. The sheets were washed with buffer, and the ricin binding proteins were detected by autoradiography. Ricin (RCAM, Miles Laboratories Ltd., Slough, England) was iodinated to a specific activity of 4 μCi/μg as described by Burridge (8).

**Surface Labeling Procedures**

Sialoglycoconjugates were labeled using the IO$_4$/B$_3$H$_4$ method (13), and surface proteins were labeled by lactoperoxidase-catalyzed iodination (19).

**Immunofluorescent Staining for Fibronectin and Actin**

Cells grown either on glass coverslips or directly on tissue culture plastic (35-mm dishes) were fixed in 3.8% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min at room temperature and washed three times with PBS. For fibronectin staining, cells were incubated for 40 min with a rabbit anti-human plasma fibronectin serum (diluted 1:100 in PBS), washed three times with PBS, and then incubated for 30 min with a 1:50 dilution of rhodamine-labeled goat anti-rabbit Ig (Tissue Culture Service Ltd., Slough, England). After extensive washing over a 30-min period, coverslips were mounted in PBS/50% glycerol. Cells stained in culture dishes were covered with a 25-mm-diam coverslip (thickness No. 1) using PBS/glycerol as mountant. Actin was visualized in fixed and permeabilized (0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min) cells using fluorescein-conjugated phallolidin (Molecular Probes Inc., Junction City, OR), as previously described (32).

**Cell Spreading on Ligand-coated Substrates**

Cell spreading on fibronectin or cholera toxin B-subunit-coated substrates was assayed as previously described (32). To visualize focal contacts, cells (5 x 10$^7$/ml in DME) were plated onto glass coverslips derivatized with fibronectin as described by Aplin and Hughes (2). After varying periods, coverslips were mounted in DME onto a glass slide using a rubber O ring as a spacer. Cells were viewed using a Zeiss Standard 16 microscope equipped with epifluorescence, polarizing and analyzer filters, plus a × 63 antifade objective, essentially as described by Abercrombie and Dunn (1).

**Results**

**Characterization of BALB/c 3T3 Variants Deficient in Complex Gangliosides**

We have recently described the isolation of variants of BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31, subclone S24) defective in complex ganglioside biosynthesis (39). The selection procedure was based on the known specificity of cholera toxin for ganglioside GM1, and on the ability of lyse cells containing GM1 in the presence of toxin, antitoxin, and complement. The ganglioside profile of these variants, as detected by spraying TLC plates with resorcinol, is shown in Fig. 1. The major ganglioside in the parent cell line was GM3, and there was less GD1a. Ganglioside GM1 was barely detectable by this method. In contrast, variants M1, M3, and M5-7 showed little if any ganglioside GD1a. That levels of ganglioside GM1 were also dramatically reduced in these variants was shown by overlaying chromatograms of the separated gangliosides with $^{125}$I-labeled cholera toxin. When this sensitive procedure was used, ganglioside GM1 was readily detected in the parent cell line but was shown to be absent from variants M1, M3, and M5-7 (Fig. 2). The results are in complete agreement with the marked reduction in binding of $^{125}$I-labeled cholera toxin by these cells and the failure to incorporate [1-14C]palmitate into gangliosides more complex than GM3 (39).

Variant V4, isolated from a nonmutagenized population of cells, retained both gangliosides GD1a (Fig. 1) and GM1 (Fig. 2), but at reduced levels. The observation is consistent with the finding that toxin binding by this variant was reduced by only 70%, as compared with a >95% reduction displayed by other variants, and that V4 incorporated a significant amount of [1-14C]palmitate into ganglioside GD1a (39). Further investigation of toxin binding by variant V4 using indirect immunofluorescence showed it to be heterogeneous, ~20% of...
the cells retaining toxin-binding activity. All other variants were uniformly negative for toxin binding as detected by this method (data not shown).

The variant phenotype is perhaps most readily explained by a failure to synthesize one or more of the glycosyltransferase(s) involved in complex ganglioside biosynthesis. Were the same glycosyltransferase(s) also involved in addition of sugars to glycoproteins, the variants described might also be defective in glycoprotein biosynthesis. We have therefore examined both the sialo- and galactoprotein profiles of the variants and compared them with the parent cell line. Fig. 3 shows an experiment in which total cell proteins were separated by SDS PAGE and the proteins transferred to nitrocellulose sheets, which were then overlaid with $^{125}$I-labeled ricin, a galactose-specific lectin. There was no evidence for any reduction in levels or molecular weights of the major ricin-binding galactoproteins in any of the variants tested. Prior treatment of the cells with neuraminidase produced a marked increase in binding of $^{125}$I-labeled ricin to the major galactoprotein in all cells, showing that most of the galactose residues are subterminal to sialic acid residues and that there is no generalized defect in sialylation of glycoproteins in the variants (Fig. 3). Similarly, there was no evidence for any reduction in levels or molecular weights of the sialoglycoproteins detected by $^{125}$I/B$^3$H$_4$ labeling or the proteins detected by lactoperoxidase catalyzed iodination (data not shown). Overall, the results suggest that the variants are specifically defective in synthesis of the more complex gangliosides and that there is no major reduction in glycosylation of glycoproteins in these cells.

Interaction of Ganglioside-deficient Variants with Fibronectin

Evidence has recently been presented that di- and trisialogangliosides may act as the fibronectin receptor (23, 38, 46, 47), and it was therefore of particular interest to establish whether the variants described here could organize a fibronectin-containing matrix. Immunofluorescence detection of fibronectin showed that the parent cell line and all of the variants displayed an extensive extracellular matrix of fibronectin (Fig. 4). The relative levels of fibronectin in the matrix of the different cell lines were essentially similar as detected by labeling cell monolayers by lactoperoxidase-catalyzed iodination (Table I). Interactions between fibroblasts and fibronectin deposited on a substrate triggers the formation of intracellular actin-containing microfilament bundles and cell spreading. The possibility that the more complex gangliosides play an impor-
tant role in mediating this response was investigated by studying the ability of the variants M1, M3, M6, and M7 to spread on substrates coated with fibronectin (Fig. 5). All of the variants tested could spread on fibronectin-coated substrates.

Table I. Quantitation of Fibronectin Associated with the Surface of BALB/c 3T3 Cells and Variants Using Lactoperoxidase-catalyzed Iodination

| Cell line          | % Labeled fibronectin (% total incorporation) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| BALB/c 3T3 cells   | 3.1                                           |
| (clone A31, subclone S24) |                                               |
| Variant            |                                               |
| M1                 | 6.2                                           |
| M3                 | 6.4                                           |
| V4                 | 3.6                                           |
| M5                 | 4.4                                           |
| M6                 | 3.9                                           |
| M7                 | 6.3                                           |

Confluent cell monolayers (6-cm dishes) were labeled by lactoperoxidase-catalyzed iodination exactly as described by Hynes (20), and labeled proteins were separated on SDS polyacrylamide (7%) gels. Fixed gels were sliced (1 mm width) and counted in a Beckman 5500 gamma counter (Beckman Instruments Inc., Palo Alto, CA). The counts per minute incorporated into the region of the gel corresponding to an authentic fibronectin standard were expressed as a percentage of total incorporation. The results shown are from a single experiment, but essentially identical results were obtained in a separate experiment. Autoradiographic analysis of duplicate gels confirmed that BALB/c 3T3 cells and variants showed a prominent band exactly co-migrating with a fibronectin standard.

Figure 5. Morphology of BALB/c 3T3 cells and variants on fibronectin-coated substrates. Microtiter wells were coated with 10 µg/ml of human plasma fibronectin (2 h, 37°C) and then treated with 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (1 h at 37°C). Wells were washed twice with PBS, 1 x 10^4 trypsinized cells were added in 100 µl DME, and the cells were allowed to spread for 1.5 h at 37°C in a CO2 incubator. Cells were fixed in 3.8% formaldehyde and stained with crystal violet (0.02% wt/vol). (A) BALB/c 3T3 cell (clone A31 subclone S24) and variants (B) M1, (C) M3, (D) M6, and (E) M7. Bar, 100 µm.

Figure 6. Quantitation of the ability of BALB/c 3T3 cells and variants to spread on fibronectin-coated substrates. Cells were plated onto microtiter wells coated with varying concentrations of fibronectin (0–10 µg/ml) as described in Fig. 5 and allowed to spread for 2 h at 37°C. Cells were fixed and stained, and spreading was quantitated by counting the number of spread cells in from three to six fields of view (80–100 cells/field), which was then expressed as a percentage of the total number of attached cells. Duplicate wells were used for each concentration of fibronectin. The spreading of variants (A) M1 ( ), (B) M3 ( ), (C) M6 ( ), and (D) M7 ( ) is in each case compared with that of BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31, subclone S24) ( ).

Spreading of the variants and the parent cell line on fibronectin-coated substrates was accompanied by the formation of actin-containing microfilament bundles (Fig. 7), although there was considerable heterogeneity in the size and extent of the bundles formed in different cells within the same population. Spreading of the parent cell line was also accompanied by the formation of focal contacts, as seen by interference reflection microscopy, but again there was considerable heterogeneity in the size and number of focal contacts found in cells within the same population (Fig. 8, A and B). Interestingly, the focal contacts formed by these cells under normal culture conditions were generally larger and more clearly defined than those observed during spreading on fibronectin (data not shown). All of the variants were similarly able to form focal contacts both when spreading on fibronectin.
Gangliosides and the Fibronectin Receptor

Figure 7. Organization of actin in BALB/c 3T3 cells and variants after plating onto fibronectin-derivatized coverslips. Cells (5 × 10^4 cells in 100 μl DME) were plated onto 12-mm glass coverslips (in 35-mm dishes) derivatized with fibronectin (200 μg/ml). After 15 min at 37°C (in a CO₂ incubator), coverslips were flooded with DME and incubated for a further 4–6 h at 37°C. Cells were fixed, permeabilized with Triton X-100, and stained for actin with nitrobenzoxadiazole phallolidin, as described in Materials and Methods. (A and B) BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31, subclone S24) and variants (C) M1, (D) M3, (E) V4, (F) M5, (G) M6, and (H) M7. Bar, 20 μm.

Coated substrates (Fig. 8, C–H) and when grown under normal culture conditions, although there was some tendency for variants to form more extensive close contacts than the parent cell line. Cultures of variant V4 contain some cells that still synthesize complex gangliosides. Experiments in which variant V4 was examined both by interference reflection microscopy and immunofluorescence showed that there was no correlation between the ability of cells to form focal contacts on fibronectin-coated substrates and the ability to bind cholera toxin, a marker for cells containing the more complex ganglioside GM1. We have found no evidence, however, for any alteration in glycoprotein composition. Thus the level(s) and molecular weight(s) of the major ricin-binding galactoside, and the sialoglycoproteins detected by 104/B3H4 labeling, were similar in variants and the parent cell line. These results are consistent with the notion that the variants are specifically defective in synthesis of sialic acid– and galactose-containing glycolipids, and that glycoprotein synthesis has not been affected in these cells. The ability to isolate such variants can be explained by the known specificity of cholera toxin for ganglioside GM1 (12, 14) and the fact that similar carbohydrate sequences to that in GM1 do not appear to be common in glycoproteins. Mutants isolated using ligands with a less restricted specificity, e.g. ricin and wheat germ agglutinin, have been found to show alterations in both glycolipid and glycoprotein biosynthesis (7, 16).

In analyzing the possible relationship between altered ganglioside metabolism and other aspects of the transformed phenotype, we have been particularly interested in reports suggesting that di- and trisialogangliosides might play a role in the interaction of cells with the large external transformation-sensitive glycoprotein, fibronectin. Thus fibronectin-mediated attachment of Chinese hamster ovary cells to collagen was inhibited by gangliosides GT1 = GD1a > GM1 > GM3, and the inhibitory activity was partially retained by the oligosaccharide moiety (23). Spreading of baby hamster kidney cells on fibronectin (32, 47), and the fibronectin-mediated hemagglutination of erythrocytes (47) was similarly inhibited by gangliosides, although the specificity of the effect is brought into question by the observation that gangliosides also inhibi-
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Figure 9. The effect of neuraminidase treatment on spreading of BALB/c 3T3 cells on substrates coated with fibronectin and cholera toxin. Cells were plated onto microtiter wells coated with varying concentrations of fibronectin (●) or cholera toxin (□) as described in Fig. 5 and allowed to spread for 2 h at 37°C. Cell spreading was quantitated as described in Fig. 6, and related to the levels of substrate-bound ligand, determined in parallel experiments using radiolabeled fibronectin or cholera toxin. Where indicated, cells were pretreated with 0.04 U/ml neuraminidase for 1 h at 37°C before plating into the microtiter wells. (A) Spreading of control BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31, subclone S24). (B) Spreading of neuraminidase-treated BALB/c 3T3 cells (clone A31, subclone S24).

We have considered the idea that complex gangliosides might also influence the ability of fibronectin to initiate the transmembrane events that lead to reorganization of the cytoskeleton and to cell spreading. Since glycolipids are not thought to span the membrane it would seem unlikely that they could play a primary role in mediating such events. However, glycolipids are, for reasons that remain unclear, resistant to detergent extraction and remain associated with Triton X-100 cytoskeletons (17, 40) and have recently been reported to be present in the substrate attached material that remains after cells are removed from tissue culture dishes with EDTA (10, 29). Indirect evidence that ligand-ganglio-side interactions can lead to transmembrane cytoskeletal reorganization in lymphocytes has been presented (22), although in fibroblasts the reorganization was incomplete (32). However, we have found no evidence that the variants described in this study are substantially defective in their response to fibronectin-coated substrates. The concentration of fibronectin that promoted 50% cell spreading was unaltered, and spreading was accompanied by formation of actin-containing microfilament bundles that terminated at focal contacts. Similarly, neuraminidase treatment of the parent BALB/c 3T3 cells, which effectively reduces the level of ganglioside GD1a in the cell membrane (11), did not alter the concentration of fibronectin required to support cell spreading.

In summary, we found no evidence that complex gangliosides are absolutely required either for the organization of a fibronectin-containing extracellular matrix or for mediating the spreading response of BALB/c 3T3 cells to fibronectin. Neither would gangliosides appear to be important in modulating the activity of the fibronectin receptor as has been suggested in the case of the receptors for platelet-derived and epidermal growth factor (6). Our conclusions are in apparent conflict with the results obtained with NCTC 2071A cells, in which the more complex gangliosides can clearly influence the ability of the cells to retain fibronectin at the cell surface and to organize a fibronectin matrix (38, 46). They are, however, consistent with numerous reports that point to glycoproteins (4, 9, 24, 30, 35, 43) or proteoglycans (26, 31) as the fibronectin receptor. The lack of agreement on the precise nature of the receptor may be a reflection of differences between cell types or may be related to data that suggest that there are distinct receptors involved in cell attachment to fibronectin and the organization of a fibronectin-containing extracellular matrix (28).
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