Micro-CT guided illustration of the head anatomy of penguins (Aves: Sphenisciformes: Spheniscidae)
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Abstract

The illustration is an important tool to aid in the description and understanding of anatomy, and penguins (Aves: Sphenisciformes: Spheniscidae) are an important clade in environmental monitoring, paleontology, and other research fields. Traditionally, anatomic illustration has been informed by dissection. More recently, micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) has proven to be a powerful tool for three-dimensional anatomic imaging, although larger specimens are more challenging to image due to increased X-ray attenuation. Here, we used traditional dissection and micro-CT to illustrate the skulls of Aptenodytes patagonicus, Eudyptula minor, and Pygoscelis papua, and the extracranial soft tissue of E. minor. Micro-CT prevented the loss of orientation, disarticulation, and distortion of bones that might result from cleaning and drying skulls, while immobilization was achieved by freezing the specimens before imaging. All bony elements in the head were accurately depicted. Fixing, dehydrating, and diffusion staining with iodine (diceCT) enabled the identification of muscles and other large nonmineralized structures, but specimen preparation precluded the ability to show smaller nerves and vessels. The results presented here provide a guide for anatomic studies of penguins and our summary of sample preparation and imaging techniques are applicable for studies of other similarly sized biological specimens.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Penguins (Aves: Sphenisciformes: Spheniscidae) are an important clade in multiple research disciplines including, for example, animal physiology, coastal ecosystem health, and paleontology. Extant species of Spheniscidae have been the subject of particular anatomic attention, being the extant representatives of an ancient lineage with a fossil record as old as the early Paleocene and distinct from other birds for the entirety of the Cenozoic (Jadwiszczak, 2009; Ksepka & Ando, 2011; Slack et al., 2006; Triche, 2007). Although other avian lineages share some of the features of Spheniscidae, including a long history of flightlessness (e.g., ratites such as the emu, Dromaius novaehollandiae) and diving ability (e.g., the common murre common guillemot, Uria aalge, Piatt & Nettleship, 1985), penguins are the only...
The illustration is an important tool to aid in the understanding of anatomy and numerous illustrations of avian head anatomy are readily available (Baumel et al., 1993; Gheti et al., 1976; Sosa & Acosta Hospitaleche, 2018). Anatomic studies of the penguin head include descriptions of the skull and the muscles of the jaw (Zusi, 1975), the supraorbital gland of Pygoscelis penguins (Herbert, 1975), the skull and musculature of the emperor penguin (Sosa & Acosta Hospitaleche, 2018) and the skulls of Pygoscelis penguins (Acosta Hospitaleche & Tambussi, 2006). Detailed comparative anatomic descriptions of the head are also available for both extinct and extant penguins (Degrange et al., 2018; Tambussi et al., 2015; Triche, 2007). However, neither illustrated anatomic diagrams of the head comparable to those found in human anatomic textbooks nor micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) renders of the head of the penguin are available, despite their usefulness for didactic purposes or in the veterinary care of these animals.

Micro-CT has emerged as a powerful imaging tool for natural history specimens and comprehensive head anatomy of birds including the dove (Columba livia; Jones et al., 2019) and common buzzard (Buteo buteo; Lautenschlager et al., 2014) as imaged by micro-CT has been published. Bone can be particularly well imaged with this technique, although care has to be taken to prevent movement during the scanning procedure. The use of X-ray contrast media (contrast-enhanced CT [CE-CT]) aids the visualization of nonmineralized tissue (Metscher, 2009) and can allow histological-scale resolution of embryonic tissue (Metscher, 2009b). Diffusible iodine-based contrast-enhanced computed tomography (diceCT) has become increasingly popular due to its low cost, ease of handling, and its ability to differentiate between major types of soft tissue (Gignac et al., 2016). The penguin’s head is larger than that of both the dove and common buzzard. However, similarly sized soft tissue structures from other animals have been successfully imaged using diceCT (Gignac & Kley, 2014; Gignac et al., 2016; Lupon et al., 2020).

Here, our aim was to develop easily interpretable illustrations of the extracranial anatomy of the penguin head, equal in quality to those seen in human anatomy texts, to support teaching, and research and to aid veterinarians in the interpretation of diagnostic imaging and when undertaking therapeutic interventions. We concentrated on the bones and large organs, excluding the illustration of Systema Nervosa and Systema Cardiovasculare, both because of the condition in which the specimens arrived and for the sake of brevity. We have also included in the associated repository reconstructions from the micro-CT images, including files that can be 3D-printed for didactic purposes. By developing these resources for penguins, we also aimed to show the utility both of anatomic illustration as a facilitator in the understanding of anatomy and of micro-CT for resolving fine anatomic features, and thereby encourage the development of similar resources for other important research taxa.

We elected to use the head of a little penguin (Eudyptula minor) for this purpose. This animal is known in te reo Māori as kororā and we have used these common names interchangeably. We also examined the heads of both gentoo (Pygoscelis papua) and king (Aptenodytes patagonicus) penguins, concentrating on the skeletal elements. We anticipated that the highest resolution images would be obtained from the little penguin, given the smaller tissue volume and the scanner able to be used for this head, and this influenced our decision to concentrate on that species. Although primarily the reason for selecting these species was their availability in Auckland, New Zealand, nevertheless they include both the smallest penguin, the kororā, which rarely dives below 60 m (Montague, 1985), as well as the second-largest extant penguin, the king, capable of diving to over 300 m (Culik et al., 1996). They also occupy a diverse range of habitats, from warm temperate shores to subantarctic islands (Shirihai, 2007), and represent different lineages within Spheniscidae (Baker et al., 2006; Zusi, 1975), with recent DNA evidence suggesting that Aptenodytes, perhaps along with Pygoscelis, are sister to all other extant penguins (Cole et al., 2019; Vianna et al., 2020). We aimed, therefore, to uncover novel anatomic features of Spheniscidae and perhaps differences between genera, apart from the obvious difference in size.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Specimens

We obtained the heads of three little penguins (kororā), Eudyptula minor (Forster, 1781) (L1, L2, and L3), two gentoo penguins, Pygoscelis papua (Forster, 1781) (G1 and G2) and four king penguins (Miller, 1778; K1, K2, K3, and K4). All kororā were adults, had been found dead of natural causes in coastal areas of the Auckland Region, New Zealand and had been stored frozen before our obtaining them. The gentoo and king specimens had been bred in captivity and were resident in SEA LIFE Kelly Tarlton’s Aquarium in Auckland but were of South Georgian descent and had been euthanized either because of age-related functional deterioration or, in the case of G2, failure to thrive at 7 weeks old. G2 was thus the only juvenile bird in the series. Only the heads of L1, L2, G1, and K1 were completely intact when we received them, the others having been subject to postmortem examination or, in the case of L3, cleaned by cold water maceration over a period of months. What remains of these now mostly skeletal specimens are held in the Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland. The eye of one little penguin in the care of Auckland Zoo was filmed to ascertain pupil shape and color under both mesopic and photopic conditions, while intubated under general anesthesia for the purposes of having its beak trimmed. All specimens were obtained and examined with permission from the New Zealand Department of Conservation (68003-DOA) and ethics approval from SEA LIFE Kelly Tarlton’s Aquarium (SL[G] AR001) and Auckland Zoo. All raw data, including micro-CT, photographs, and the pupil video, are available in the online open access repository https://figshare.com/search?q=10.17608/k6.auckland.c.5599341 (Digital Science).

2.2 | Specimen preparation and imaging

Because stabilization of large, unfixed specimens during a long acquisition period is challenging, particularly when imaging in air,
and because the heads were frozen when we received them, we imaged all specimens frozen, as previously described (De Rycke et al., 2012; Ferrare et al., 2013; Green & Gignac, 2021). Micro-CT data acquisitions of the little penguin head were conducted using a Bruker Skyscan 1172 while for the gentoo head we used a Bruker Skyscan 1272. The most caudal part of the head of G1 and beaks of both L1 and G1 were removed from the rest of the head and their feathers shortened with scissors to allow them to fit into the micro-CT scanner, as the 1172 instrument (used for L1) can only fit a cylinder up to 50 mm in diameter and 70 high, while the maximum volume that can be scanned with the 1272 instrument (used for G2) is a cylinder 75 mm in diameter and 80 mm high, although removal of the plate can allow a sample of 100 mm to be placed in the instrument. The large volume of the head ensured that a complete thaw did not occur during scanning, thus preventing movement artefact. The images were reconstructed using InstaRecon CBR Server Premium 15K (InstaRecon Inc.). Analysis was done using CTAnal V1.18.4.0 (Bruker) and additional editing was done using Adobe Photoshop CC V19.1.3 (RRID:SCR_014199). Data from the king penguin head was acquired with an X25 CT system NSI (North Star Imaging). The beak of K1 did not fit into the field that was imaged but did not require shortening to fit into that more open industrial scanner. The software used for analysis was Geomagic Design X (Geomagic Inc.). Further details on scanning parameters are presented in Table 1. All data were subsequently visualized in three dimensions using CTVox V 3.3 (Bruker) and Amira 2021.2

### TABLE 1 The imaging conditions used for micro-CT of the penguin heads

| Sample | CT    | kV   | µA | Filter Al. | Exposure time (ms) | µm pixel resolution | Rotation step (deg.) | Rotation (deg.) | Number of fields | Scan time (hours) | Frame Ave. | Random movement |
|--------|-------|------|----|------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Little | 1172  | 100  | 100| 1.0        | 1100               | 27.09               | 0.5                  | 360              | 6                | 6.25              | 2           | 6               |
| Gentoo | 1272  | 100  | 100| 0.5        | 1500               | 20.0                | 0.6                  | 360              | 18               | 15.5              | 2           | 6               |
| King   | X25   | 150  | 100| None       | -                  | 170.8               | Helical              | 360 × 12         | -                | 0.25              | -           | -               |

**FIGURE 1** Three-dimensional CTVox reconstructions of frozen head micro-CT scans. (a) Little penguin (*Eudyptula minor*) L1. (b) Gentoo penguin (*Pygoscelis papua*) G1. (c) King penguin (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) K1. (a) and (b) are approximately left lateral views. The view of the king penguin (c) is of the right side of the skull and has been rotated 180° around the y axis for ease of comparison with the other figures. It has also been rotated 10° around the x axis to display a foramen in Parietis caudalis orbitae (arrow). These images may be viewed stereoscopically using red-green glasses. The detail visible in K1 is markedly lower than that visible in L1 or G1 due to the lower resolution scanner required to image the larger head. There is also an artificial discontinuity present at the level of the mid orbit of K1, due to transient vibration during the imaging process in a factory environment (the arrowheads mark the medial border of Fossa glandulae nasalis, which should be continuous).
FIGURE 2  *Eudyptula minor*, illustration of the skull (specimen L1), left lateral view

FIGURE 3  *Eudyptula minor*, illustration of the skull (specimen L1), ventral view
**FIGURE 4** *Pygoscelis papua*, left lateral view of the skull (specimen G1). Examination of the 7-week-old G2 revealed a foramen in Paries caudalis orbitae not present in G1. Epibranchiale was not visible in the micro-computed tomography image and was drawn solely using cleaned bone and estimating its position from dissection.

**FIGURE 5** *Aptenodytes patagonicus*, illustration of the skull (specimen K1). Left lateral view. In three king penguins, K1, K2, and K4, there was a round nonossified foramen in the center of Paries caudalis orbitae (not visible from this angle but shown on Figure 1). In king penguin K3, this area was still visible as a thin but clearly calcified area on dissection.

**FIGURE 6** *Eudyptula minor*, illustration of the most superficial muscles of the head (specimen L1), left lateral view. Partes ventralis et profundus of Musculus adductor mandibulae externus were inseparable at their wide insertion on the external surface of osa mandibulae. Musculus levator palpabrae dorsalis and Musculus orbicularis oculi (not pictured) were virtually impossible to see with the naked eye, but just visible on micro-computed tomography.
Data View V 1.5.4.0 (Bruker) was used to adjust rotational and tilt orientation to give comparable views of all samples. As it provides two-dimensional transverse, coronal and sagittal planes with accurate dimensions, Data View V 1.5.4.0 was also used to obtain measurements. Three-dimensional visualization programs such as CTVox are not as accurate in this regard because of distortions induced by perspective, a function of the camera in that program. (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

After enucleation of Bulbus oculi dextri, the head of L1 was defrosted and fixed in 1% formaldehyde and 1.25% glutaraldehyde, both to improve the penetration by the contrast agent and allow visualization in air, the latter providing good contrast with a tissue while reducing the density of the specimens to X-ray. The specimen was then dehydrated using increasing concentrations of ethanol over 18 days, to remove lipid content and this reduction in tissue volume further reduces the energy required while imaging. (Lupon et al., 2020).
It was then diffusion stained with alcoholic potassium triiodide (IKI) solution 0.75% for a period of 14 days before being briefly washed in 70% alcohol before rescanning using the same Bruker Skyscan 1172 and software as described above.

To confirm the anatomy obtained through imaging and to answer remaining uncertainties, following micro-CT the heads of L1 and G1 were dissected, L1 having been fixed and stained as described above while G1 was defrosted and dissected fresh. The heads of L2, G2, K3, and K4 were also dissected fresh while that of K2 had been preserved by means of immersion in a 4% formaldehyde solution for 3 months. Dissection was performed both under direct visualization and, to identify finer details, using a Lumera 700 ceiling-mounted operating microscope (Carl Zeiss AG). Photographs were taken during dissection for purposes of documentation. Soft tissue was subsequently removed from the skulls of G1, G2, and K4 by means of burial for 2 months in soil, to allow osteological examination. Two photographs were taken of the lateral aspect of the skull of L3, one straight lateral and one from an angle of 30° dorsally and 30° caudally, to create shadowing for the purpose of accurate illustration.

A ghost, or artifactual bony discontinuity, was observed in the transaxial planes of the image of K1, in the region of Fossa glandulae nasalis and Os jugale (Figure 1). We initially suspected that this was due to transient vibration of the scanner during acquisition, as it is situated in a factory environment with machine shops in fairly close proximity and is not in the same class of instrument as the other scanners. However, more likely was a timing error, whereby the next scan field start is delayed momentarily, resulting in displacement. The software fuses the transaxial planes by fading out the top of the bottom and fading in the bottom of the one above. We were unable to rescan the head in the same machine because of Covid-19 related restrictions preventing our entry to the factory in which this machine was located. Therefore, to ensure that this appearance was artefactual, we reimaged this head using a Siemens SOMATOM Perspective CT scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.).

Two photographs were also taken of the ventral aspect, one straight ventral and one from an angle of 30° laterally and 30° caudally. Additional editing of photographs was accomplished using Adobe Photoshop CC V19.1.3 (RRID:SCR_014199).

A ghost, or artifactual bony discontinuity, was observed in the transaxial planes of the image of K1, in the region of Fossa glandulae nasalis and Os jugale (Figure 1). We initially suspected that this was due to transient vibration of the scanner during acquisition, as it is situated in a factory environment with machine shops in fairly close proximity and is not in the same class of instrument as the other scanners. However, more likely was a timing error, whereby the next scan field start is delayed momentarily, resulting in displacement. The software fuses the transaxial planes by fading out the top of the bottom and fading in the bottom of the one above. We were unable to rescan the head in the same machine because of Covid-19 related restrictions preventing our entry to the factory in which this machine was located. Therefore, to ensure that this appearance was artefactual, we reimaged this head using a Siemens SOMATOM Perspective CT scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.).

Two photographs were also taken of the ventral aspect, one straight ventral and one from an angle of 30° laterally and 30° caudally. Additional editing of photographs was accomplished using Adobe Photoshop CC V19.1.3 (RRID:SCR_014199).

A ghost, or artifactual bony discontinuity, was observed in the transaxial planes of the image of K1, in the region of Fossa glandulae nasalis and Os jugale (Figure 1). We initially suspected that this was due to transient vibration of the scanner during acquisition, as it is situated in a factory environment with machine shops in fairly close proximity and is not in the same class of instrument as the other scanners. However, more likely was a timing error, whereby the next scan field start is delayed momentarily, resulting in displacement. The software fuses the transaxial planes by fading out the top of the bottom and fading in the bottom of the one above. We were unable to rescan the head in the same machine because of Covid-19 related restrictions preventing our entry to the factory in which this machine was located. Therefore, to ensure that this appearance was artefactual, we reimaged this head using a Siemens SOMATOM Perspective CT scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.).

Two photographs were also taken of the ventral aspect, one straight ventral and one from an angle of 30° laterally and 30° caudally. Additional editing of photographs was accomplished using Adobe Photoshop CC V19.1.3 (RRID:SCR_014199).

A ghost, or artifactual bony discontinuity, was observed in the transaxial planes of the image of K1, in the region of Fossa glandulae nasalis and Os jugale (Figure 1). We initially suspected that this was due to transient vibration of the scanner during acquisition, as it is situated in a factory environment with machine shops in fairly close proximity and is not in the same class of instrument as the other scanners. However, more likely was a timing error, whereby the next scan field start is delayed momentarily, resulting in displacement. The software fuses the transaxial planes by fading out the top of the bottom and fading in the bottom of the one above. We were unable to rescan the head in the same machine because of Covid-19 related restrictions preventing our entry to the factory in which this machine was located. Therefore, to ensure that this appearance was artefactual, we reimaged this head using a Siemens SOMATOM Perspective CT scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.).

A ghost, or artifactual bony discontinuity, was observed in the transaxial planes of the image of K1, in the region of Fossa glandulae nasalis and Os jugale (Figure 1). We initially suspected that this was due to transient vibration of the scanner during acquisition, as it is situated in a factory environment with machine shops in fairly close proximity and is not in the same class of instrument as the other scanners. However, more likely was a timing error, whereby the next scan field start is delayed momentarily, resulting in displacement. The software fuses the transaxial planes by fading out the top of the bottom and fading in the bottom of the one above. We were unable to rescan the head in the same machine because of Covid-19 related restrictions preventing our entry to the factory in which this machine was located. Therefore, to ensure that this appearance was artefactual, we reimaged this head using a Siemens SOMATOM Perspective CT scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.).

Two photographs were also taken of the ventral aspect, one straight ventral and one from an angle of 30° laterally and 30° caudally. Additional editing of photographs was accomplished using Adobe Photoshop CC V19.1.3 (RRID:SCR_014199).
although the resolution afforded by this scanner was lower. We attempted to correct the micro-CT using Amira and by the use of x/y alignment algorithms but this was not successful as the ghosting was rotational and offset from the strong bone signal. Manually erasing the ghost areas in the affected transaxial planes using Photoshop Creative Cloud was also not successful due to thresholding. Hence no.stl file of K1 was able to be created.

2.3 | Scientific illustration

The illustrator, WCO, was unable to view any specimen in person and therefore had to draw the skulls of specimens L1, G1, and K1 using the micro-CT data as the primary source and, secondarily, the aforementioned skull photographs. As they were not imaged, the beaks of all three penguins were illustrated only using photographs of the skull, as was Epibranchiale of G1. All initial drafts were reviewed by PWH, who had access to the primary specimens and was able to make micro-CT renders from the raw data as required. PWH then made sketches of the modifications necessary to ensure accuracy, attaching relevant micro-CT renders and macro photographs as appropriate. This process was repeated until both were satisfied with the illustration produced. Following the completion of accurate illustrations of the skull of L1, the large soft tissue organs, namely the muscles, exocrine glands (glandulae exocrinae) and Bulbus oculi were then sketched onto the illustrations of the bony anatomy of the little penguin by PWH, using a combination of information gathered through diceCT and dissection. WCO then illustrated these sketches and these were further modified by PWH in the same manner as was done for the skulls.

Proper specimen preparation is essential to useful imaging. All our specimens had been frozen while K2, K3, K4, and G2 had also been partially dissected for postmortem examination. The head of L1 was also further treated as above to enhance micro-CT discrimination between soft tissue structures. This disruption of the vasculature these steps entailed precluded the use of perfusion staining of the vasculature. Further, neural tissue is known to be particularly sensitive to disruption by freezing and the removal of lipid during the processing, to which iodine appears to bind, is known to reduce contrast between myelinated nerves and lipid-poor tissues (Gignac & Kley, 2018; Gignac et al., 2016). Hence, Systema Cardiovasculare and Systema Nervosa were unable to be imaged.

Anatomic features were labeled according to the Nomina Anatomica Avium (NAA) (Baumel et al., 1993). Holliday and Witmer (2007)
have made more recent suggestions regarding the labeling of the muscles of the adductor chamber and for this reason, we labeled these muscles with both. The NAA notes various subdivisions of Musculus pterygoideus have been described in different avian species. Zusi (1975) described this muscle in the kororā and found the same subdivisions as did we, although he altered the names he had given to these subdivisions in a subsequent paper describing the same muscle and the same subdivisions in hummingbirds (Aves: Trochilidae; Zusi & Bentz, 1984). Given that we were also examining the species and that our findings were identical, we used his later nomenclature for these subdivisions.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Micro-CT images and illustrations

Micro-CT skeletal reconstructions of transaxial scans of each frozen head (L1, G1, and K1) made using the.bmp raw data are presented in Figure 1; a stereoscopic image may be obtained by using red-green glasses. More CTVox (freeware that allows three-dimensional visualization) reconstructions made using this same data, both stereoscopic and nonstereoscopic, of all three skulls, the transfer functions used to create these reconstructions, .stl files of L1 and G1 suitable for 3D printing and the DICOM files from the Siemens CT performed on K1 due to the observed artefact on the X25 CT system NSI scanner are available at https://figshare.com/search?q=10.17608/k6.auckland.c.5599341, the same repository that contains the raw data referred to earlier. The illustrations produced from these images, in combination with cleaned skulls as described above, are presented in Figures 2–5. The same figshare also contains thick coronal sections reconstructed using CTVox from the diceCT of L1, the raw data of the diceCT reconstructed and exported as 8 bit.bmp or 16 bit.tif files, CTVox renderings made using either file type, and Amira 2021.2 (.am) files with the major skeletal elements, muscle groups and other solid organs labeled and segmented. The illustrations of the nonmineralized extracranial tissues of L1 produced using these images, aided by dissection, are presented in Figures 6–11.

3.2 | Skeletal elements

Basic data regarding skull dimensions is presented in Table 2. There were clear differences in size, which correlated with the size of the animal.

The rostral portion of Maxilla was unable to be imaged by micro-CT in any animal but disarticulated with ease in the juvenile gentoo penguin G2 (Figure 12). Its tip, Rostrum maxillae, was formed by
Os premaxillare and featured a ventral curve. Os premaxillare was penetrated by numerous Foramina neurovascularia on each side and articulated caudally via long, slender processes with the more caudal skeletal elements. These included Ossa nasalia dorsally, Ossa maxillaria ventrolaterally and Ossa palatina ventromedially. Processus maxillaris ossis palatini (synonymous with Processus premaxillaris ossis palatini) fitted into a groove on the ventral surface of Os maxillare between the base of Processus maxillopalatinus ossis maxillaris and Processus jugalis ossis maxillaris. Together these bones formed the composite Maxilla, with the extended contact zones between each skeletal element, the Zonae flexoriae, allowing some bending (Baumel et al., 1993). Close to Calvaria, each side of Maxilla diverged from the other at an angle of 15° in all penguins, but they became more parallel rostrally such that Maxilla ended in a tapering tip, being more elongated relative to body size in the king penguin than in the other species (compare Figures 2, 4, and 5). The lateral aspect of Maxilla was pierced by Apertura nasi ossea while the dorsal surface sloped gradually upwards as it proceeded caudally and the ventral surface had a sharp lateral edge, Crista tomialis.

The prominent vault of Cranium (more specifically, Neurocranium) was formed by Calvaria which, together with Basis cranii externa, enclosed Encephalon (Figure 12). As in other adult birds, Cranium was formed from the fusion of the convex Ossa frontalia together with Os basioccipitale, Ossa exoccipitalia, Os supraocci- pitale, Ossa laterosphenoidalae, Os basisphenoidale, Os parasphenoidale, Ossa squamosa, Ossa parietalia, Os mesethmoidalae and Ossa ectethmoidalae. We were unable to visualize Sutura cranii between these bones on either micro-CT or direct inspection of the skull. Rostrally the convex Ossa frontalia descended to articulate with Ossa nasalia. Extending along Margo supraorbitalis from Sutura lacrimofrontalis to Processus postorbitalis, widening caudadly, was the fenestrated Fossa glandula nasalis (Figure 1). In both the king and gentoo penguin there was a prominent ridge on its lateral margin, although the fossa was deeper and the ridge more developed in the gentoo such that Glandula nasalis fitted snugly into the fossa. In contrast, in the little penguin this ridge was absent and Glandula nasalis overhung Orbita rather than being fully contained within a bony fossa. Caudally this fossa was terminated by Crista temporalis, a feature which also delimited the rostral extent of Fossa temporalis. This latter fossa extended caudally, becoming deeper as it did so, before terminating at the sharp edge of Crista nuchalis transversa, a ridge which extended toward but did not cross the sagittal line dorsally. The quadrangular occipital aspect of Calvaria was dominated centrally by Prominentia cerebelli, its most caudal point, dorsal to which was the midline Crista nuchalis sagittalis. Ventrally, Basis cranii externa was dominated by the circular Foramen magnum, where Cranium articulated with Atlas at Articulatio atlanto-occipitalis and through which passed Medulla spinalis and Meninges as they headed caudally through Columna vertebralis. Immediately rostral to Foramen magnum was Condylus occipitalis while to each side were multiple ostia and canales for nervi craniales, Arteria carotis cerebralis and Vena carotis cerebralis.

| Sample               | Total length of skull (mm) | Skull width (mm) | Skull depth (mm) | Width of internarial bar (mm) | Width at base of bill (mm) | Length of bill (mm) | Salt gland fossae (mm) | Distance between pupils (mm) | Width of internarial bar (mm) 
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------
| Little (Eudyptula minor) | 103                       | 30.0            | 28.0            | 4.7                         | 11.1                      | 40                  | 4.7                    | 31                            | 3.5                           |
| Gentoo (Pygoscelis papua) | 172                       | 51.8            | 45.0            | 3.1                         | 11.1                      | 78                  | 3.2                    | 51                            | 3.2                           |
| King (Aptenodytes patagonicus) | 212                       | 55.3            | 55.3            | 12                          | 71.4                      | 105                 | 4.7                    | 89                            | 4.7                           |

Note: The interpupillary distance was measured between the center of each bony pupil, as determined by the center of the anterior aperture of Anulus ossicularis, sciceae on micro-CT.

aMeasured directly from the skull.
bMeasured using data view.
The paired Orbitae were partially separated by Os mesethmoidale, part of the fused Calvaria, which formed most of the rostral, osseous portion of Septum interorbitale. There was significant osteological variation within birds of the same species in Paries caudalis orbitae; the orbital aspect of Os laterosphenoidale in L1, L2, and G1 was completely ossified but in G2 (the only juvenile bird, 7 weeks old), as well as K1, K2, and K4, there was a round nonossified area centrally (Figure 1). In K3, this area was still visible as a translucent area to the naked eye but when tapped was clearly calcified. Os etethmoidale and Os lacrimale delimited the rostral extent of each Orbita. Os lacrimale articulated with Os frontalis, Os nasale (at Sutura lacrimonasalis) and Arcus jugale (at Articulatio jugolacrimalis) and, as has been observed by other authors, approached the latter bone more closely in Spheniscidae than in many other birds (Baumel et al., 1993). Flanking each Orbita ventrolaterally was the sigmoidal Arcus jugalis, a composite bone that is said to comprises part of Os maxillare, Os jugale and Os quadratojugale. We found three separate skeletal elements to Arcus jugale on micro-CT of L1 but a distinction could not be made between Os quadratojugale and Os jugale in either G1 or K1; in the case of the latter, the resolution may have been too low to allow such visualization but this was not the case in G1 (Figure 13). Neither could we separately identify Os jugale from Os quadratojugale when we disarticulated G2 (Figure 12). The bony Palatus, ventral to Orbitae, comprised paired plate-like Ossa pterygoidea caudally and more rostral Ossa palatina, the two contacting at Articulatio pterygoalatina. The central portion of Os palatinum, which articulated with the midline Rostrum parasphenoidale of Calvaria, was flattened dorsoventrally. From this slightly curved "plate" Processus pterygoideus extended caudally. There were two rostral processes, the long and slender Processus maxillaris, which articulated with the midline Rostrum parasphenoidale of Calvaria, and, medially, Ventrolaterally, Cranium articulated with Os quadratum via two condyles, both of which lay in the same joint cavity (Articulatio quadrato-squamoso-otica). This columnar bone had a rostral projection, Processus orbitalis, from which several Musculi mandibulare took origin. The ventral portion of Os quadratum articulated with Arcus jugalis at Articulatio quadrato-quadratojugalis, Ossa mandibulae at the complex Articulatio quadromandibularis (Figure 14) and, medially,
Os pteryoideum (Articulatio quadrato-pterigoidea). Ossa mandibulae are known consist of, in each of two rami, Os dentale, Os angulare, Os articulare, Os coronoides, Os prearticular, Os spleniale and Os supra-angulare (Figure 15). However, on micro-CT we were unable to separate Os supra-angulare from Os coronoides. There were two long processes that extended rostrally from Os supra-angulare, one dorsal to and the other ventral to the dorsal portion of Os dentale. The two Rami mandibulae were fused at Rostrum mandibulae and were fenestrated rostrally in a similar manner to that seen in Os premaxillare. Each ramus extended caudally from Rostrum mandibulae at an angle of 8° in L1 (but 2° in K3 and G1) to the sagittal midline. At approximately halfway along each ramus there was a caudoventral bend and the angulation changed such that the angle each side made with the midline was 18° in L1, 11° in K3, and 12° in G1. Each also contained two oval fenestra, their long axes parallel to the edge of Ossa mandibulae, the rounder Fenestra caudalis mandibularis and the larger, more elongated Fenestra rostralis mandibularis. Prominent on the caudodorsal surface was Processus coronoides, to which attached the aponeurosis of Musculus adductor mandibulae externus pars rostralis. On micro-CT, which did not image the most rostral portion of Ossa mandibulae, junctura were visible between the elements of Ossa mandibulae (Figure 14); Sutura dentosupraangularis between Os dentale and Os supra-angulare was also visible to the naked eye. Lateral to Articulatio quadratomandibularis, Ossa mandibulae were attached via Ligamentum postorbitale to Processus postorbitale, while caudal to this hinge joint was the flattened Processus retroarticularis. An Articulatio mandibulo-lusphenoidalis has been reported as present in some but not all

**FIGURE 13** Pygoscelis papua, micro-CT reconstructions of Arcus jugalis (specimen G1). (a) CT Vox reconstruction of Arcus jugalis sinister. Purple arrowheads indicate Processus jugalis ossis maxillaris, with the purple asterix at its caudal termination, while green and yellow arrowheads indicate Os jugale and Os quadratojugale, indistinguishable in this penguin. (b) Amira 6.5.0 reconstruction of Arcus jugalis sinister in little penguin (Eudyptula minor) L1. Processus jugalis ossis maxillaris is colored purple, Os jugale yellow and Os quadratojugale green. (c)–(f) Coronal micro-CT sections through Arcus jugalis sinister of L1 at the points indicated on (b). Note that Processus jugalis ossis maxillaris (purple arrowheads), Os jugale (yellow arrowheads) and Os quadratojugale (green arrowheads) are able to be separately identified in this penguin, all three being present in section D. (g)–(j) Coronal micro-CT images through Arcus jugalis sinister of G1 at approximately equivalent locations to those in L1. Unlike L1, however, at no point was Os jugale able to be separated from Os quadratojugale in G1. Because Processus jugalis ossis maxillaris did not extend as far caudally in G1 as in L1, it is not present in section H.
Spheniscidae (Bock, 1960); the two bones remained 1.3 mm apart in L1 and 2 mm apart in K1 at the closest approach (imaging did not include this area in G1) and we could not detect an attachment on either dissection or diceCT.

The midline Basihyale was situated rostrally within Apparatus hyobranchialis and its two lateral processes articulated caudolaterally with the long straight Ceratobranchialia (Figure 16). Each Ceratobranchiale diverged at an angle of 15° to the midline in L1 and K1 (20° in G1) before articulating caudally with Epibranchiale, which curved dorsally as it headed further toward the caudal extremity of Caput. Articulating caudally with Basihyale was the midline Urohyale, which in L1 did not appear to be ossified on micro-CT, while Larynx and, on its caudal margin, Trachea, was situated ventral to Apparatus hyobranchialis.

### 3.3 Soft tissue elements

The origin, path, insertion, and general description of each muscle that we were able to positively identify is summarized in Table 3, together with the figures in which they feature. Notable was the variation in extent and thickness of Musculus cucullaris capitis even between different individuals of the same species (Figure 17). In L1, the animal we elected to illustrate, there were two separate divisions, both taking origin on Crista temporalis, one slightly more dorsal and deeper to the other. Very soon after its origin both split into multiple small bundles radiating both caudally and ventrally, those of the more dorsal division heading more caudally and those of the more ventral division heading more ventrally and interdigitating with similar bundles of fibers arising off the more superficial Musculus constrictor colli. G2 was similar to L1 in this regard. However, L2, G1, and K4 had only one muscle belly and Musculus cucullaris capitis was much larger, even adjusting for the relative size of the animal, in G1 than in either L1 or L2, and it was larger again in K2, such that it enveloped the entire back of the head. Amira and CTVox reconstructions of the areas of soft tissue of primary concern to this paper are shown in Figure 18–21.

Three glands were identified in Caput. Glandula membranae nictitantis (Figure 8) was located deep to Musculus rectus ventralis and Musculus obliquus ventralis in the rostroventral part of Orbita, while Glandula lacrimalis occupied the caudodorsal portion of Orbita, caudal to Musculus rectus dorsalis (Figure 7). Glandula nasalis was located in Fossa glandulae nasalis, as described previously (Figure 6). Bulbus oculi was visible in all penguins imaged with micro-CT and...
was slightly oval, with an anteroposterior diameter of 14 mm in L1, 21 mm in G1 mm, and 28 mm in K1 and a transequatorial diameter of 19 mm in L1, 30 mm in G1, and 40 mm in K1.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

As digital imaging becomes more widely available, studies that either incorporate or are solely reliant on such techniques are increasing. Digital morphology, however, may not always yield exactly the same result as traditional dissection. In this paper, both traditional techniques and digital reconstructions were used to obtain data and thereby inform illustration. Two questions arose from this process. First, is digital imaging better than classical dissection when attempting to understand anatomy? Second, do digital reconstructions depict anatomy better than traditional illustrations?

#### 4.1 | Digital imaging and reconstruction

The major advantages of micro-CT are the ability to image in situ, the creation of a permanent data set that is not subject to future degradation and the potential for manipulation of the original data set at a later date. Of particular utility in this study, given the back-and-forth process of illustration, was the ability to repeatedly verify anatomic details. Volumetric analysis and measurement of spatial dimensions was also facilitated.

Using micro-CT on frozen specimens eliminated potential bony distortion that may occur with any form of cleaning, a requirement of traditional anatomic methods (Hendry, 1999) and clearly present in the disarticulated Os premaxillare of G2 (Figure 12). It also maintained spatial orientation, ensuring accurate positioning of small bones or structures unattached to the skull, which might have become disarticulated or displaced if they were subject to dissection.
and cleaning, such as Apparatus hyobranchialis and Anulus ossicularis sclerae. Although a microscope could provide a more magnified view of the exterior of skeletal elements, micro-CT could examine the internal structure of bones nondestructively, identify sutures between the small bones of Maxilla and Ossa mandibulae and display joint spaces, the correct three-dimensional morphology of which were lost when bones were disarticulated (Figure 14). A limit was reached when attempting to reconstruct using CT Vox the entirety of thin and deep skeletal elements, such as Cartilago cricoidea, because of insufficient density differentiation from the surrounding tissue (Figure 16), although there was sufficient contrast between bone and soft tissue on raw diceCT data to determine that the skeleton of the tongue, with the exception of Urohyale and Paraglossum, was ossified.

DiceCT required more specimen processing than did using frozen heads. Such preparation is known to cause tissue distortion and differential alteration of volumes (de Bournonville et al., 2019; Hedrick et al., 2018). However, it provided excellent visualization of soft tissues in situ. For instance, glandulae exocrinae were easily distinguished from muscles on diceCT due to a lesser affinity for iodine and CT Vox and Amira were both able to reconstruct subdivisions of complex large muscles, such as Musculus pterygoideus (Figure 18) and Musculus adductor mandibulae externus (Figures 19 and 20), as well as enable accurate orientation of the origins of Musculi bulbi (Figure 21). Thin tissues adjacent to air-filled cavities, particularly Conchae cavi nasi, were particularly well imaged with micro-CT. Many details of this area were visible even without staining, but the addition of contrast-enhanced the mucosal surfaces and the outline of Conchae. The images obtained allowed a better appreciation of this complex structure than that obtained with the operating microscope, particularly for L1 and G1 although less so in the lower resolution images used for K1, most notably with regard to the ability to appreciate the three-dimensional contours of these structures (Figure 22).

4.2 | Classical dissection

Despite their differences, in general, there was a good correlation between diceCT images and dissections and the two were complementary (Figure 23). Further, there did not appear to be such major differences between fresh and fixed tissue as to impede informed illustration, our primary aim.

Dissection is cheap and does not require significant technology. It is thus more widely accessible than micro-CT. Further, if available, multiple specimens may be dissected to answer questions that arise during the process of illustration without the time and expense that micro-CT involves, and identify anatomic variation between animals, as was the case in regard to Musculus cucullaris capitis (Figure 17). The resolution afforded by dissection can also be increased by using magnification (Figure 14). In this study, PWH felt that traditional dissection more easily enabled accurate sketching of the external contours of muscles. It was also more accurate in the differentiation of the deep and smaller muscles of Apparatus hyobranchialis, as dissection using the operating microscope provided greater resolution than diceCT due to lesser density differentiation away from air-filled spaces. For instance, diceCT images could only confirm on some sections that the little penguin has two divisions of Musculus intermandibularis (Figure 24), a muscle which is singular in some birds but dual in others (Baumel et al., 1993;
| Muscle                                      | Origin                                           | Path                          | Description                                                                 | Insertion                      | Figures references and notes                                      |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Musculi pterylarum (subcutanei)             |                                                  |                               |                                                                             |                               |                                                                      |
| M. constrictor colli                       | Dorsal raphe                                     | Circumferential around caudal | Most superficial, thin sheet of muscle                                      | Ventral raphe                  | Figures 6 and 17. Unable to be reconstructed using Amira            |
| M. constrictor colli pars intermandibularis | Rostroventral M. constrictor colli               | Ventral and rostral           | Fleshy band continuous caudally with M. constrictor colli                  | Ventral raphe                  | Figure 6. Unable to be reconstructed using Amira                     |
| M. cuccullaris capitis                      | Crista temporalis                                | Ventr al and caudal, deep to | Variable extent and either one or two divisions (see text)                 | Into fascia and with some connections M. constrictor colli                | Figures 6, 7 and 17. Unable to be reconstructed using Amira          |
| Musculi bulbi oculi                        |                                                  |                               |                                                                             |                               |                                                                      |
| M. rectus dorsalis                         | Periorbital tissue around Nervus opticus         | Dorsal and rostral            | Strap-like muscle, 5 mm wide at insertion                                  | Bulbus oculi, 8 mm posterior to limbus                                  | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. rectus ventralis                        | Periorbital tissue around Nervus opticus         | Ventral and rostral           | Strap-like muscle, 5 mm wide at insertion                                  | Bulbus oculi, 6.5 mm posterior to limbus                                 | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. rectus lateralis                        | Periorbital tissue around Nervus opticus         | Lateral and slightly dorsal   | Strap-like muscle, 4.5 mm wide at insertion                                 | Bulbus oculi, 5.5 mm posterior to limbus                                 | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. rectus medialis                         | Periorbital tissue around Nervus opticus         | Rostral, bending laterally    | Strap-like muscle, at its widest 9 mm across and 1 mm thick; 5 mm wide at insertion | Bulbus oculi, in little penguin, 7.0 mm posterior to limbus             | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. obliquus dorsalis                       | Rostral Paries rostralis orbitae, approximately midway between dorsal and ventral and near the rim margin | Dorsal and caudal             | Strap-like, 3 mm across                                                     | Bulbus oculi, on rostral edge of M. rectus dorsalis insertion            | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. obliquus ventralis                      | Immediately ventral to M. obliquus dorsalis      | Dorsal and caudal             | Strap-like, 3 mm across                                                     | Bulbus oculi, on rostral edge of M. rectus ventralis insertion           | Figures 8, 9, and 21                                                |
| M. quadratus membranae nictitantis         | Much of the dorsal posterior surface of Bulbus oculi, from near Nervus opticus to 9.5 mm more anteriorly | Converges toward Nervus opticus | Thick muscle which lies flat against Bulbus oculi                          | Folds over itself to create a path (Vagina tendinis) through which Tendo m. pyramidalis passes | Figure 9                                                             |
| M. pyramidalis membranae nictitantis       | Ventrally on Bulbus oculi                        | Becomes tendinous and heads rostrally through the Vagina tendinis created by M. quadratus membranae nictitantis | Smaller, triangular in shape with apex dorsai, toward its apex becomes tendinous | Membrana nictitans                                                       | Figure 9                                                             |
| M. levator palpebrae dorsalis               | Rostrodorsal Margo orbitae                       | Passes caudoventral           | Thin sheet-like muscle                                                      | Palpebra dorsalis              | Figure 6. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira                     |
| Muscle | Origin | Path | Description | Insertion | Figures references and notes |
|--------|--------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| M. depressor palpebrae ventralis | Caudoventral Margo orbitae | Passes rostrodorsal | Thin sheet-like muscle | Tarsus palpebrae ventralis | Figures 7 and 21 |
| Musculi mandibulae | | | | | |
| M. adductor mandibulae externus pars rostralis | Fossa temporalis | Rostroventral | Fills Fossa temporalis, narrows rostrally as it passes between Processus zygomaticus and Processus postorbitalis | Via tendon to Processus coronoides ossum mandibulae | Figures 6, 7, 19, and 20 |
| M. adductor mandibulae externus pars ventralis | Two origins: Processus postorbitalis/Ligamentum postorbitale and Processus zygomaticus | Rostroventral | Sheet-like somewhat triangular muscle | Wide insertion on caudolateral face of Ossa mandibulae | Figures 6, 7, 19, and 20 |
| M. adductor mandibulae externus pars profundus | Os quadratum, corpus et processus oticus | Rostroventral | Sheet-like muscle | Wide insertion Ossa mandibulae caudoventral to pars ventralis | Figures 6, 7, 19, and 20 |
| M. adductor mandibulae ossis quadrati | Os quadratum, deep and rostral to M. adductor mandibulae externus pars profundus | Passes rostrally and ventrally, superficial to M. pseudotemporalis profundus | Strap-like muscle | Ossa mandibulae, medial to Tuberculum pseudotemporeale | Figures 7 and 20 |
| M. pseudotemporalis superficialis | Os laterosphenoidale, medial to Processus postorbitalis | Ventral | Strap-like muscle | Via tendon into Tuberculum pseudotemporeale mandibulae | Figures 8, 19, and 23 |
| M. pseudotemporalis profundus | Processus orbitalis quadrati, deep and rostral to M. adductor mandibulae ossis quadrati | Ventral and slightly rostral | Strap-like muscle | Medial surface of Ossa mandibulae, rostral to M. pseudotemporalis superficialis | Figures 8, 19, and 23 |
| M. pterygoideus pars ventralis lateralis | Lateral border of Os palatinum | Passes caudally, ventral to Os pterygoideum | Large strap-like muscle, indistinguishable with pars ventralis medialis caudally. | Caudomedial Ossa mandibulae and Processus medialis mandibulae | Figures 11, 18, 19, and 23 |
| M. pterygoideus pars ventralis medialis | Ventral surface of Os palatinum | Passes caudally, ventral to Os pterygoideum | Large strap-like muscle, indistinguishable with pars ventralis medialis at insertion | Shares insertion with the above, but more medial fibers | Figures 11, 18, 19, and 23 |
| M. pterygoideus pars dorsalis medialis | Dorsolateral Os palatinum | Passes laterally | Thin flat muscle | Medial aspect of Ossa mandibulae, ventral to the insertion of M. Pseudotemporalis profundus | Figures 11, 19, 23b, and 23c |
| M. protractor pterygoidei et quadrati | Parietes caudalis orbitae | Passes laterally, ventrally and rostrally | Forms the majority of the nonbony portion of Parietis caudalis orbitae | Deep surface of Processus optici ossis quadrati and posterior edge of Os pterygoideum | Figures 11, 19, 23b, and 23c |

(Continues)
| Muscle | Origin | Path | Description | Insertion | Figures references and notes |
|--------|--------|------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| M. depressor mandibulae | Ossa parietalia on either side of Prominentia cerebellaris | Ventral, passing superficial to Os exoccipitale | Processus postarticularis mandibulae | Strap-like, with larger rostrolateral and smaller caudomedial parts (see text) | Figure 7 |

Musculi apparatus hyobranchialis

| M. intermandibularis (a.k.a. M. mylohyoideus) | Medial surface of Pars caudalis mandibulae | Ventrally and medially | Two thin, flat (<1 mm thick) sheet-like muscles, pars ventralis and dorsalis | Ventral midline raphe | Figures 6, 7, 18, and 24 |

| M. serpihyoideus | Lateral aspect of Pars caudalis mandibulae, rostroventral to M. depressor mandibulae | Ventrally, under urohyale. A medial invagination between Mm. intermandibularis and interceratobranchialis | A thin sheet of muscle | Ventral midline raphe | Figure 6. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. stylohyoideus | Pars caudalis mandibulae, deep and ventral to M. serpihyoideus | Ventrally, medially and rostrally | Strap-like muscle | Lateral aspect of Basihyale | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. branchiomandibularis | Ventral margin of Ossa mandibulae, deep to M. intermandibularis and rostroventral to the insertion of M. pterygoideus pars ventralis lateralis | Complex course; passes caudoventrally deep to Mm. serpihyoideus and stylohyoideus | Strap-like rostrally, wraps completely around Epibranchiale caudally | Wraps around Epibranchiale | Figure 10 |

| M. interceratobranchialis | Midline raphe | Lateral and dorsal | Wide band-like muscle | Caudomedial aspect of Ceratobranchiale | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. ceratoglossus | Paraglossum | Caudal and slightly lateral | Small strap-like muscle | Lateral aspect of Ceratobranchiale | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. hyoglossus rostralis | Paraglossum | Directly caudal | Small, thin strap-like muscle | Rostroventral Basihyale | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. cricohyoideus ventralis | Ventral Os basihyale | Caudal and slightly lateral | Band-like muscle, wider rostrally | Ventral Cartilago cricoidea | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

| M. tracheolateralis | Larynx | Caudal along the lateral side of Trachea | Long, thin band-like muscle | Syrinx (not in our study) | Figure 10. Unable to be reconstructed with Amira |

Musculi craniocervicales

| M. complexus | Vertebræs cervicales caudal to axis (not in our study) | Rostral, immediately beneath Musculi pterygarum | Large strap-like muscle | Crista nuchalis transversa, close to the midline | Figure 7 |

| M. splenius capitis | Dorsal surface of axis (not in our study) | Rostral, deep to M. complexus | Thick strap-like muscle widens rostrally | Ventral to the insertion of M. complexus | Figure 8 |
TABLE 3 (Continued)

| Muscle | Origin | Path | Insertion | Description | Figures references and notes |
|--------|--------|------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| M. rectus capitis lateralis | Rostral Vertebrae cervicales (not in our study) | Rostral and parallel to Vertebrae cervicales | Os basioccipitale | Large muscle, oval in cross section | Figures 8, 11, and 18 |
| M. rectus capitis dorsalis | Posterolateral surface of rostral Vertebrae cervicales (not in our study) | Rostral and parallel to Vertebrae cervicales | Os basioccipitale | Large muscle, oval in cross section | Figures 8 and 11 |
| M. rectus capitis ventralis | Ventral surface of rostral Vertebrae cervicales (not in our study) | Rostral and parallel to Vertebrae cervicales | Os basioccipitale, ventral to M. rectus capitis dorsalis | Large muscle, oval in cross section | Figures 8 and 11 |

Note: Some muscles took origin caudal to Caput and thus were in part outside the range of our study, as noted. Muscles which could not be reconstructed using automated segmentation on Amira and for which automated Amira segmentation of Musculi pterylarum was not possible and, although Musculus cucullaris capitis and Musculus constrictor colli were both identifiable on the raw micro-CT scans, it would not have been possible to manually reconstructed them using Amira without almost totally reliance on the dissected specimen. Thus, they were drawn solely from dissection. This may be a problem not confined to this study, as we note that these muscles were not identified on a digital dissection of the rock dove (Jones et al., 2019). Fat bodies were also present on dissection, most prominently in gentoo G1, in the depression above the nose, along either side of the dorsal oral mucosa and below the rostral Apparatus hyobranchialis. However, the processing of L1 removed such deposits from the diceCT images, leaving an empty void (Figure 25). Finally,
previous authors have come to contradictory conclusions regarding the patency of the external Nares gymnorrhinales in Eudyptula (Pycraft, 1903; Zusi, 1975), of interest given its total occlusion and replacement by secondary external nares in the plunge-diving northern gannet Morus bassana (McDonald, 1960). This inconsistency was also unable to be resolved using micro-CT but simple irrigation of sinus antorbitalis with water showed that the Nares were patent in L2, G1, and G2.

On the other hand, dissection is a destructive technique and the same specimen cannot be dissected twice, although a photographic record can be made during the process. It also requires tissue manipulation, which can alter specimen interpretation. Dissection may be performed either on fresh tissue or formalin-fixed tissue. Fixation allows for longer-term preservation but, as with dice CT, causes more tissue alteration and is itself time-consuming. The texture and color of tissues appear different in fresh versus fixed tissue. Further, when Integumentum is removed and the underlying soft tissues exposed to air, they dry out and contract away from each other, a process that in this study began within a matter of hours and further altered the morphology. In this study, we were unsure as to how distinct the two divisions of Musculus depressor mandibulae, as described by Sosa and Acosta Hospitaleche (2018) in adult Emperor penguins (Aptenodytes forsteri) and as
we have illustrated, were. In particular, we wondered if our dissection accentuated a more minor distinction. When examining formalin-fixed tissue, irrespective of the species it appeared that there were two divisions, although there was some interchange of muscle fibers. However, these two divisions were more difficult to discern on fresh tissue, especially in the ventral portion of the muscle. DiceCT was not able to differentiate two divisions, although this may have been because of insufficient resolution.

**4.3 | Digital reconstruction**

A clear advantage of digital reconstruction over traditional illustration is the avoidance of the labor-intensive process of the latter. Not only is an experienced artist required, but in all cases, the initial illustrations in this study had to be revised multiple times using both the actual specimen and micro-CT images (minimum 2 and maximum 9) before they were considered accurate. Furthermore, no artist can possibly hope to make an illustration that reflects exactly the raw digital data in the way that a computer program can. However, some human input and interpretation is required even with digital renders. For instance, although automated digital segmentation using Amira is accurate for bone, where the contrast with other tissues is extremely high, segmentation of muscles requires an operator to trace their outline to obtain any useful image, which dissection can usefully inform. An awareness of potential errors introduced by perspective is also required, particularly when viewing two-dimensional renders of three-dimensional objects (Hadden et al., 2020).

**4.4 | Traditional illustration**

Illustration and digital reconstruction should not be thought of mutually exclusive since the latter can inform the former, as was done in this study. Illustration possesses the ability to incorporate findings from both dissection and micro-CT renders, an advantage that traditional illustration will always have over digital reconstructions, given that the latter, unless given specific manual instruction to the contrary, can only utilize digitally acquired raw data. The advent of micro-CT can only serve to make illustration more accurate than if it...
were to rely on dissection alone, and thus more informative. An informed illustrator can also anticipate and compensate for changes that occur irrespective of specimen preparation and affect all forms of postmortem examination, such as the loss of volume in Bulbus oculi that occurs at death. As we hope the illustrations in this study demonstrate, a skilled artist can also, without changing the basic information presented, produce an illustration that is more aesthetically pleasing and more easily digested by the human brain than a digital reconstruction, and can emphasize notable features. This can be of particular importance to those new to the subject. Hence, just as landscape painting and portraiture has survived the advent of photography, so too we believe shall anatomic illustration.

4.5 | Future considerations

In similar future studies, consideration could be given to leaving the specimen in iodine for longer to improve staining and allow
deeper penetration (Gignac et al., 2016). However, there would likely still be differential staining between tissues closer to external surfaces and those deeper in such large specimens and thus require a compromise, although different, in the choice of scanning parameters. Greater resolution of individual structures would have been possible were we to have dissected out and imaged each element separately, as we have done previously for Anulus ossicularis sclerae (Hadden et al., 2021), although the relationships between structures would be lost. Perfusion-based contrast enhancement using fresh specimens would be necessary to image Systema Cardiovasculare. Finally, the illustration of a wider range of penguin species would further our understanding of the anatomic variation within Spheniscidae, as has been previously described for both extant and extinct species (Tambussi et al., 2015).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Micro-CT scanning allows for detailed anatomic illustration, with frozen heads being useful for bony details and the avoidance of movement artefact, while fixation, dehydration, and staining allow for the differentiation of soft tissues and orientation of fibers within muscles. It is complementary to rather than a replacement for traditional dissection, just as digital reconstructions complement and inform illustration. Larger scanners can be used to image larger specimens, but such scanners have reduced resolving power and the longer X-ray path length also reduces resolution. Penetration of iodine is limited by tissue volume, but the resolution of deeper structures can be improved by the removal of overlying tissue. It is likely that micro-CT technology will continue to develop with time and that this will lead to improved resolution and research groups such as those that have led the development of diceCT.com provide an expanding resource for others who wish to commence this type of study.

Detailed anatomic analysis of micro-CT images may also offer new insights into penguin morphology although some aspects of the anatomy, such as the patency of the nares, may always be easier to ascertain using fresh tissue; a wider range of individuals than were in this study would be required to make definitive statements in this regard. Morphological differences correlate with diet and other behaviors and therefore are of use in determining trophic habits, including those of extinct penguins that one can no longer observe.
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