Ex-vivo Mechanical Testing of Novel Laryngeal Clamps Used for Laryngeal Advancement Constructs
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Rostral laryngeal advancement, also known as laryngeal tie-forward, is used to treat horses for intermittent dorsal displacement of the soft palate and has a morbidity rate of about 6%. We hypothesized that a novel laryngeal clamp would prevent morbidity associated with the sutures tearing through the thyroid cartilage. Larynges (n = 35 horses) were used for ex vivo testing. For uniaxial testing, 15 equine larynges were tested in one of three laryngeal tie-forward constructs [standard laryngeal tie-forward; modified laryngeal tie-forward using a suture-button; and modified laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp]. For biaxial testing, 20 larynges were tested in one of two treatment groups: laryngeal tie-forward and laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp. Constructs were tested in single cycle-to-failure. Statistical analyses were performed using ANOVA for uniaxial testing and t-tests for biaxial testing. The laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp construct was superior to laryngeal tie-forward and laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp in resistance to pullout in uniaxial testing. The laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp presented a significantly different method of failure than the standard laryngeal tie-forward in the biaxial testing. Failure modes for each construct were primarily by suture failure at the clamp (laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp), suture pullout through the thyroid cartilage, or, less commonly, tearing of the cricothyroid ligament (laryngeal tie-forward). In uniaxial testing, the laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp failed most commonly due to tearing of the cricothyroid ligament, whereas the standard laryngeal tie-forward and the laryngeal tie-forward using a suture-button failed due to the tearing of the cartilage. The laryngeal clamps provided greater stiffness, load at yield, and tensile stress at yield than did the standard construct. Laryngeal clamps may offer an alternative to standard methods of anchoring the thyroid cartilage when performing the laryngeal tie-forward procedure. Further testing and clinical trials are needed to elucidate the utility of the laryngeal tie-forward using a laryngeal clamp.
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INTRODUCTION

Intermittent dorsal displacement of the soft palate (IDDSP) is a multifactorial disease occurring in 10–20% of racehorses (1–7) and 28% of competing draft horses (8). Females and young horses (2–4 years old) have been reported to be more affected than male and older horses (9). The etiology of this disease is multifactorial, and proposed causes include reduced activity of the thyrohyoid muscles (10–12), reduced activity of the palatine and palatopharyngeal muscles (13), and reduced activity of the hypoglossal nerve (14).

The suggested medical and surgical treatments of affected horses are many, because the pathophysiology associated with IDDSP is complex (15–22). Surgical treatments include myectomy of the laryngeal retractor muscles (18–20), staphylectomy (21, 22), palatoplasty (21, 22), and rostral advancement of the larynx, commonly referred to as the laryngeal tie-forward (LTF) procedure (10–12). The success rate of the LTF procedure in preventing IDDSP is reported to be in the range of 80–82%, which is better than the rate associated with the other procedures (11, 12). This procedure advances the larynx dorsally and rostrally using a biaxial suture construct in which two non-absorbable sutures, one inserted through the caudoventral aspect of the right lamina of the thyroid cartilage and the other inserted in a similar manner through the left lamina of the thyroid cartilage and anchored to each other at the basihyoid bone, mimic the action of the thyrohyoid muscles (10, 11). The incidence of complications associated with this surgery has been reported to be about 6%, and the primary complication has been the failure of one or both sutures to retain the larynx in its new position (23, 24).

The LTF procedure is most often performed using polyethylene or polyester sutures (10, 11, 25, 26), and according to previous reports, the procedure can fail if one or both sutures break or tear through the thyroid cartilage. The LTF procedure reported by Woodie et al. (11) and Cheetham et al. (12) has been modified recently in an attempt to increase the mechanical strength of the construct (5, 11, 26, 27). Rossignol et al. (27) modified the procedure by anchoring the suture to the thyroid cartilage with metallic implants (i.e., “suture buttons”; LTFC), which resulted in improvement of the mechanical stability of the construct during mechanical testing (27). Rossignol et al. (27) found, however, that the strength of the modified LTFC construct was inferior to that of the standard LTF construct and reported that the method of failure in both types of constructs was pullout of the suture from the thyroid cartilage (25). An implant that reduces the risk of a pullout from the thyroid cartilage is needed, because the method of failure of the LTF procedure in all tested constructs has been pullout of the construct through the thyroid cartilage.

We hypothesized that a custom-designed, novel laryngeal clamp (LTFC) would distribute tensile forces over a large area of the thyroid cartilage, thereby eliminating morbidity associated with failure at the suture-cartilage interface. The objectives of the study were to design and manufacture a novel laryngeal clamp and to study the mechanical characteristics of the LTFC constructs (LTF, LTFB, LTFC) anchored to only one lamina of the thyroid cartilage (uniaxial testing) and to mechanically test the strength of two different constructs (LTF, LTFC) anchored to both laminae of the thyroid cartilage (biaxial testing). We expected that the difference in ex vivo uniaxial mechanical testing between the constructs would be significantly different, but testing between right-sided and left-sided constructs would not be significant. We expected the results of ex vivo mechanical testing between the standard construct and the construct modified with clamps would be significantly different in biaxial mechanical tests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of the Larynxes

Thirty-five larynges, each with its hyoid apparatus attached, were collected from horses within 24 h after the horses were euthanized for a reason unrelated to the respiratory tract. Of these 35 larynges, 15 were used in proof-of-concept, uniaxial mechanical studies, and 20 were used in biaxial mechanical studies. Signalment data, including age, weight, breed, and sex, were available for horses supplying the larynges (n = 20) used for biaxial testing. Larynges were frozen at −20°C until mechanical testing was performed (25, 27–32) and were thawed at room temperature for 24 h prior to testing. The larynges were wrapped in isotonic saline-soaked gauze after they were thawed to keep them moist until tested.

Sample Measurements Collection

Before performing uniaxial tests, the thickness of the laminae of 15 thyroid cartilages was measured at 3 locations, 10 mm apart, on the caudoventral margin of the laminae, close to the insertion of the sternothyroideus muscles, using a digital caliper1. The thickness of the laminae of the 20 thyroid cartilages used for biaxial testing was measured in a similar fashion but in 10 different locations on each lamina to assess the properties of the thyroid cartilage (Figure 1, Table 1). Locations 1 and 2 were at the ventral and axial aspect of the thyroid cartilage. Locations 3 to 5 were at the dorsal aspect of the thyroid cartilage, and locations 6 to 10 were at the caudoventral aspect of the right and left laminae, close to the insertion of the sternothyroideus muscle. Measurements were obtained to determine the space between the arms of the U-shaped clamp necessary to insert the clamp onto the thyroid cartilage (Figure 1).

Uniaxial Testing

Fifteen larynges were randomly assigned to one of three treatment groups according to the construct tested, and each side of the larynx to which the construct was applied was tested separately. Uniaxial tests on the suture-cartilage interface included the following: (1) standard LTF using USP no. 5

---

**Abbreviations**: IDDSP, Intermittent Displacement of the Soft Palate; LTF, Laryngeal Tie-forward; LTFC, Laryngeal Tie-forward modified with suture buttons; PVC, Polyvinyl Chloride.

---

1 Husky Digital Caliper, The Home Depot, Atlanta, GA.
FIGURE 1 | Thickness at 10 different anatomical positions. The thickest portion of the thyroid cartilage was found in positions 7–10, at the attachment of previously removed sternothyroides muscle.

polyester suture\(^2\), (2) LTF modified with a suture button (LTFB), and (3) LTF modified with a custom-designed laryngeal clamp (LTFC) (Figure 2). The uniaxial testing was performed to evaluate the performance of a single implant anchored to the thyroid cartilage and to assess the difference in the mechanical performance between the left and right laminae of the thyroid cartilage.

**Biaxial Testing**
Twenty larynges were randomly assigned to one of two treatment groups according to the construct tested, and both sides of the larynx to which the construct was applied were tested simultaneously. The constructs tested included the LTF construct using USP no. 5 polyester suture\(^2\) and the LTFC. The ceratohyoid and thyrohyoid bones were removed from the basihyoid bone, which was mounted on the loading frame of an electromechanical testing machine\(^3\). The free ends of the sutures passing through the laminae of the thyroid cartilage were attached to the basihyoid bone (Figure 3).

---

\(^2\)Covidien, 710 Medtronic Parkway, Minneapolis, MN.
\(^3\)Instron 5900,Instron,Norwood,MA.

**TABLE 1 | Measurements of thickness in 10 anatomical positions.**

| Position | Mean   | Std. Deviation |
|----------|--------|----------------|
| 1.00     | 3.8772 | 0.84690        |
| 2.00     | 3.9444 | 0.76652        |
| 3.00     | 3.9336 | 0.72256        |
| 4.00     | 3.5096 | 0.56269        |
| 5.00     | 3.7696 | 0.60627        |
| 6.00     | 3.9156 | 0.67555        |
| 7.00     | 6.0163 | 1.22410        |
| 8.00     | 4.9548 | 0.81034        |
| 9.00     | 4.4984 | 0.67038        |
| 10.00    | 6.4740 | 1.43194        |

**FIGURE 2 | Single-side LTF mechanical testing.** This figure presents the experimental arrangement of a larynx in the MTS testing machine. The larynx was attached to the 3.2 cm PVC cylinder, which was attached to the holding grip of the MTS machine. A custom-made holding grip with a stainless-steel bar was attached to the loading cell. Each larynx was in the same treatment group, but each side of the construct was tested separately. The ends of the suture were tightened and tied around the stainless-steel bar.

**Laryngeal Tie-Forward (LTF)**
The LTF procedure was performed in a manner similar to the technique described by Woodie et al.\(^{11}\) and Cheetham et
FIGURE 3 | LTF vs. LTFC biaxial construct testing. This figure shows the arrangement of the LTF and LTFC constructs in the MTS machine. Larynges were mounted on a PVC cylinder, as in the single-side testing, and the basihyoid bone was attached to the holding grip of the loading cell. In the standard LTF construct, on the left side, the free ends of the ipsilateral dorsal suture and contralateral ventral suture were advanced rostrally and dorsally to the basihyoid bone. The same procedure was performed on the right side. The ventral and dorsal sutures were tightened and tied together around the ventral aspect of the lingual process of the basihyoid bone. In the LTFC construct modified with the laryngeal clamps, both ends of the sutures were advanced rostrally and dorsal to the bone and tied together around the ventral aspect of the lingual process.

Laryngeal Tie-Forward Modified With Suture Buttons (LTFB)
The LTFB procedure was performed in a manner similar to the technique described by Rossignol et al. (27). Using this technique, USP No. 5 polyester suture\(^2\) was threaded through the eyelet of the suture-button (2 mm thick rounded plate with 2, 1.5 mm diameter holes), and the free ends of the sutures were inserted through the eye of a \(\frac{1}{2}\)-circle, trocar-point, needle\(^4\) to create a single loop around the caudoventral margin of the lamina close to the tendon of insertion of the sternothyroideus muscle (Figure 3).

Laryngeal Tie-Forward Modified With Novel Laryngeal Clamp (LTFC)
The laryngeal clamps, composed of 316-stainless steel, were a broad U-shaped device designed to wrap around the caudoventral border of the laminae of the thyroid cartilage. One arm of the clamp was 10 mm long, the other was 14 mm long, and the gap with the “U” between the arms was 7 mm (Figure 5). The clamp was inserted around the caudoventral border of the right or left lamina of the thyroid cartilage close to the site of insertion of the sternothyroideus muscle, so the short arm lay dorsal to the lamina and the long arm ventral to the lamina. The dorsal arm contained two, 2-mm diameter holes spaced 2 mm apart, which together served as an eyelet for the suture, and the ventral arm contained a slit through which the suture was easily passed (Figure 5). The suture was attached to the clamp by threading one end of the suture through one hole in the eyelet and the other end through the adjacent hole, so the ends emerged between the arms of the clamp. The ends of the suture were then threaded through the eye of a \(\frac{1}{2}\)-circle, trocar-point needle\(^4\), which was passed once through the right or left lamina of the thyroid cartilage close to the insertion of the sternothyroideus muscle. The needle emerged \(\sim 5-10\) mm rostral to the caudal margin of the lamina (Figure 6). The needle was removed, and the ends of the suture were passed through the slit in the dorsal arm of the clamp. The clamp became seated firmly around the

\(^{1}\)Richard-Allan, cat. no. 217001, Aspen Surgical, Caledonia, MI.

\(^{2}\)USP = United States Pharmacopeia.

\(^{4}\)Trocar-point needle, Richard-Allan, cat. no. 217001, Aspen Surgical, Caledonia, MI.
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FIGURE 5 | The lateral, dorsal, and ventral view of the laryngeal clamps. The design of laryngeal clamps aimed at creating an anchor that wrapped around the caudal border of the thyroid cartilage to distribute the tensile forces applied to the suture. The laryngeal clamps were U-shaped, 5 mm wide, and 1 cm long. The short arm was placed on the dorsal surface of the thyroid cartilage, and the long arm was placed on the ventral surface of the cartilage. The part connecting the bottom and top parts was responsible for distributing the tensile force. The short, dorsal arm had two holes, creating an eyelet for the suture, and the longer ventral arm had a slit, though which suture could easily be passed.

FIGURE 6 | Laryngeal clamps positioned on the caudoventral margin of the thyroid cartilage. The figure shows the arrangement of the laryngeal clamp around the caudoventral border of the thyroid cartilage. The dorsal arm had an eyelet with the relief between two holes, through which the suture was threaded before the clamp was placed. The ends of the suture were threaded through the eye of a ½-circle needle, which was passed through the caudal margin of the thyroid cartilage. After the needle was passed, the ends of the suture were threaded through the slit on the dorsal arm of the clamp. Tension applied to the suture caused the clamp to engage the caudal margin of the lamina of the thyroid cartilage. The suture of this construct had no dorsal and ventral arms, because both arms were inserted through the one hole in the lamina of the thyroid cartilage.

caudal edge of the thyroid cartilage at the site of insertion of the sternothyroideus muscle when tension was applied to the suture (Figure 6).

Uniaxial Biomechanical Testing

Laryngeal constructs were tested in a single cycle-to-failure test using an electromechanical testing system with a 5-kN maximum load cell. The larynges were mounted to the machine as previously described. Briefly, a 3.2-cm diameter cylinder of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) was passed into the lumen of the larynx, and two 3.5 mm diameter, 40 cm long Steinmann pins were placed through the larynx and cylinder perpendicular to the long axis of the cylinder and to each other. One pin was placed through the cricoid cartilage, and a second pin through the center of the thyroid cartilage, securing both cartilages firmly to the PVC cylinder, avoiding interference with the cricothyroid ligament.

After mounting the cylinder and larynx on the loading frame of the electromechanical testing machine with the rostral portion of the larynx uppermost (Figure 2), the ends of the suture were tied together around a 5 mm diameter steel bar attached to a custom-made holding grip, with a surgeon’s knot, and secured with 7 throws (Figure 2). The suture loop resided in the center of the steel bar during the testing of each specimen, to mimic the direction of the suture in vivo and to maintain the repeatability of the testing conditions between the specimens. The pullout tests were performed at a distraction rate of 300 mm/min. The variables recorded for each construct included maximum load (N), stiffness (N/mm), extension at maximum load (mm), extension at the break (mm), extension at yield (mm), load at yield (N), tensile stress at yield (N/mm²), and tensile stress at maximum load (N/mm²). The maximum load was defined as the maximum force loaded to the point of failure of any part of the construct, and the stiffness was calculated from a load-displacement curve. Displacement was defined as a change in distance between the thyroid cartilage and steel bar, and the tensile stress was defined as the force applied to the area of the thyroid cartilage.

Three different modes of construct failure were observed and recorded, including tearing of the thyroid cartilage, tearing of the...
cricothyroid ligament, and breaking of the suture. The thyroid cartilage tore when the implant pulled through the cartilage. The cricothyroid ligament tore when the implant caused the thyroid cartilage to elevate and separate from the cricothyroid cartilage by tearing the cricothyroid ligament, resulting in little or no damage to the thyroid cartilage. Breaking of the suture, the third mode of failure, occurred at the knot of the loop around the steel bar.

**Biaxial Biomechanical Testing**

The constructs tested in biaxial loading mimicked one of two clinical constructs, LTF and LTFC. Both constructs were anchored and mounted on the Instron machine in the manner described above. After removing the hyoid apparatus, the larynx was mounted on the upper loading cell of the electromechanical testing machine. For the LTF construct, the free end of the ipsilateral dorsal arm of the suture and contralateral ventral arm of the suture inserted through one lamina of the thyroid cartilage were advanced rostrally and dorsally to the detached basihyoid bone, which was mounted on the loading frame of the MTS testing machine. The same procedure was performed on the contralateral side. The ventral arms of the right and left sutures were tied to each other around the ventral aspect of the lingual process, with a surgeon’s knot, and secured with 7 throws. The dorsal arms of the right and left sutures were tied together in a similar fashion (Figure 3).

To create the LTFC construct, both ends of each suture were advanced rostrally and dorsally to the ipsilateral side of the basihyoid bone (Figure 3). The four arms of the sutures were tied together around the ventral aspect of the lingual process of the basihyoid bone, with a surgeon’s knot, and secured with 7 throws. The pullout test was performed in a manner similar to that performed for uniaxial testing at a distraction rate of 300 mm/min. Three different modes of construct failure were observed and recorded and were the same as those observed during uniaxial testing.

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS Statistics 25. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables for all treatment groups and included minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation. The normality of data distribution for each variable was performed by using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality.

The thickness of the 3 sites measured on laminae of the thyroid cartilage of the larynges used for uniaxial testing was compared by using a two-tailed independent samples T-test. The thickness of the 10 sites measured on the right lamina of the thyroid cartilage of the larynges used for biaxial testing was compared to the thickness of the left lamina by using a two-tailed independent samples T-test. The results were examined for homogeneity of variance, and each site was compared by using ANOVA and the Tukey’s post-hoc test. The thickness was also correlated with the age, breed, and weight of donors by using a two-tailed Pearson correlation.

For uniaxial tests, the differences in maximum load, stiffness, extension at maximum load, extension at break, extension at yield, load at yield, tensile stress at yield, and tensile stress at maximum load between the left and right testing side of the thyroid cartilage were examined for homogeneity of variance. The differences between each testing group were then analyzed by using ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test. The correlation between the construct and method of failure was examined by using Fisher’s exact tests.

For biaxial tests, the differences between two constructs were compared by using a two-tailed independent samples T-test, and the correlation between the construct and method of failure was tested by using the Fisher’s exact test. The level of statistical significance was established at \( p < 0.05 \) and the power of the experiment design and test combination was calculated using PS software in the reference to the results of maximum load during mechanical testing.

**RESULTS**

**Study Population**

The weight of the horses from which the 35 larynges were harvested ranged from 450 to 650 kg (mean 570 ± 70.7 kg), and the ages of these horses ranged from 2 to 29 years old (mean 14.8 ± 8.1 years). Information about the breed and sex of the donors was obtained only for the 20 larynges used in biaxial tests. The breeds of these 20 horses included Thoroughbred (11), American Quarter Horse (3), Tennessee Walking Horse (1), Warmblood (2), American Standardbred (1), Hanoverian (1), and Clydesdale (1). Ten donors were female, and 10 were geldings.

**Sample Measurements Collection**

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality of distribution showed the measurements of cartilage thickness to be normally distributed in all tested positions (\( p > 0.05 \)). The Levene's test for equality of variances revealed that equal variances in all variables could be assumed (\( p > 0.05 \)). The descriptive statistics of the thickness measurements of the thyroid cartilage of the 15 larynges used in the single-side testing (i.e., uniaxial tests) revealed that the mean thickness of the caudoventral edge of the left thyroid cartilage lamina was 4.58 ± 0.7 mm. The mean thickness of the caudoventral edge of the right thyroid cartilage lamina was 4.70 ± 0.7 mm (Table 3). The measurements of the caudoventral edge of the thyroid cartilage of the 10 larynges used in biaxial testing revealed that the mean thickness of the caudoventral edge of the left thyroid cartilage lamina was 4.48 ± 1.3 mm. The mean thickness of the caudoventral edge of the right thyroid cartilage lamina was 4.50 ± 1.2 mm. The differences in the average thickness between the left and right laminae of the thyroid cartilage in the study population were not statistically significant (\( p > 0.05 \)) (Tables 2, 3).

Significant differences were found in the thickness of the laminae of the thyroid cartilage among the different anatomical positions (\( p < 0.05 \)). The position numbers 7 (L−6.01 ± 1.2 mm;
TABLE 2 | Comparison of thickness between the left and right laminae of the thyroid cartilage.

| Side     | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------|-------|---------------|----------------|
| Position_1 | L     | 3.8872        | 0.84690        | 0.278          |
|          | R     | 4.1456        | 0.81930        | 0.278          |
| Position_2 | L     | 3.9444        | 0.76652        | 0.372          |
|          | R     | 3.7652        | 0.63383        | 0.372          |
| Position_3 | L     | 3.9336        | 0.72256        | 0.563          |
|          | R     | 4.0536        | 0.73439        | 0.563          |
| Position_4 | L     | 3.5096        | 0.56269        | 0.332          |
|          | R     | 3.6696        | 0.59214        | 0.332          |
| Position_5 | L     | 3.7696        | 0.60627        | 0.910          |
|          | R     | 3.7496        | 0.64440        | 0.910          |
| Position_6 | L     | 3.9156        | 0.67555        | 0.791          |
|          | R     | 3.9692        | 0.74584        | 0.791          |
| Position_7 | L     | 6.0163        | 1.22410        | 0.716          |
|          | R     | 5.8872        | 1.23921        | 0.716          |
| Position_8 | L     | 4.9548        | 0.81034        | 0.866          |
|          | R     | 4.9972        | 0.82942        | 0.866          |
| Position_9 | L     | 4.4984        | 0.67038        | 0.535          |
|          | R     | 4.6244        | 0.75340        | 0.535          |
| Position_10 | L    | 6.4740        | 1.43194        | 0.495          |
|          | R     | 6.1808        | 1.57979        | 0.495          |

There were no significant differences between the left and right laminae at any of the measured positions.

R—5.89 ± 1.2 mm), 8 (L—4.95 ± 0.8 mm; R—4.99 ± 0.8 mm), and 10 (L—6.47 ± 1.4 mm; R—6.18 ± 1.6 mm) were significantly thicker than the rest of the anatomical positions (p < 0.05) (Table 1). These sites were at the caudal margin of the thyroid cartilage at the site of insertion of each sternothyroideus muscle (Figure 1). No significant correlation was found between the mean thickness of the caudoventral aspect of the margin of the laminae of the thyroid cartilage and the age and breed of the donors for any sites examined (p > 0.05). There was, however, a significant positive correlation between the mean thickness of the laminae and the weight of the donors (r = 0.78, p < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 1).

Uniaxial Biomechanical Testing
Data was normally distributed and homogeneous for all variables (p > 0.05). The two-tailed independent samples T-test did not reveal significant differences in the results of biomechanical testing between the left and right side of the lamina (p > 0.05) (Table 3). The yield on the load-displacement curve corresponded to the tearing of the thyroid cartilage (n = 22) or to the tearing of the cricothyroid ligament (n = 7).

Uniaxial testing of the constructs revealed that the mean pullout strengths of the LTFC (207 ± 43 N) and LTF (199 ± 19 N) constructs were significantly greater than the mean pullout strength of the LTFB construct (176 ± 43 N) (p < 0.05) and that the difference in the mean pullout strength of the LTFC construct did not differ significantly from that of the LTF constructs (p > 0.05). Similarly, the mean load at yield point was significantly greater for the LTFC (161 ± 45 N) and LTF (168 ± 23 N) constructs than that for the LTFB construct (139 ± 51 N) (p < 0.05), but the mean load at yield point for the LTFC construct did not differ significantly from that of the LTF construct. The results of the rest of the uniaxial mechanical testing were not significantly different between the treatment groups (p > 0.05) (Table 4, Graph 1).

Fisher’s exact test revealed a significant correlation between the construct and method of failure (p < 0.05). Of the constructs modified with a laryngeal clamp, 70% failed by tearing the cricothyroid ligament, and 30% failed by tearing...
the thyroid cartilage adjacent to the clamp. None of these constructs failed from the break of the suture. All (100%) of the LTF constructs failed from tearing the thyroid cartilage. Constructs modified with a suture button failed from tearing the thyroid cartilage (90%) or from breaking of the suture (10%) (Supplementary Table 2).

**Biaxial Biomechanical Testing**

All variables, including biomechanical values, were normally distributed (p > 0.05). Tests for equality of variances revealed that equal variances in all variables could be assumed (p > 0.05).

As occurred in the uniaxial testing, the yield on the load-displacement curve corresponded to tearing of the thyroid cartilage (n = 8) or to tearing of the cricothyroid ligament (n = 3). The LTF construct compared to the LTFC in the biaxial testing had a significantly higher mean maximum load (430.3 ± 64.84 and 367.9 ± 55 N, respectively), lower mean extension at yield (33.38 ± 8.9 and 42.54 ± 7.81 mm, respectively), higher mean extension at maximum load (64.9 ± 9.5 and 52.4 ± 8.4 mm, respectively), higher mean extension at failure (65.4 ± 9.5 and 52.6 ± 8.5 mm, respectively), higher mean extension at maximum load (64.9 ± 9.5 and 52.4 ± 8.4 mm, respectively) and higher mean tensile stress at maximum load (43.13 ± 6.87 and 36.8 ± 9.1, N/mm²) (p < 0.05) (Table 5). The results of the rest of the biaxial mechanical testing were not significantly different between the treatment groups (p > 0.05) (Table 5, Graph 2).

There was a significant correlation between the construct and method of failure (p < 0.05). Of constructs modified with clamps, 80% failed because the suture broke, and 20% failed because the cricothyroid ligament tore (Figure 7). Standard LTF constructs failed because the thyroid cartilage tore (80%) or because the cricothyroid ligament tore (20%) (Supplementary Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The mode of failure of the construct modified with laryngeal clamps differed from the mode of failure of the LTF construct. The mode of failure of the constructs correlated with the type of implant used, regardless of whether one side of the larynx or both sides of the larynx were tested. The LTF construct and the LTFB construct failed in uniaxial testing most commonly by pulling of the implant through the thyroid cartilage. Less commonly, the construct failed when the cricothyroid ligament tore. The LTF construct failed in biaxial testing when the suture pulled through the thyroid cartilage. The construct with the laryngeal clamps failed in uniaxial testing when the thyroid cartilage elevated and separated from the cricoid cartilage at the cricothyroid ligament. All constructs with the laryngeal clamps failed in biaxial testing.
when the suture broke close to one of the laryngeal clamps. The laryngeal clamps, therefore, protected the thyroid cartilage from tearing during uniaxial and biaxial testing.

The mode of failure of the biaxial construct modified with clamps may have perhaps been different, and the pullout force higher, if polyethylene suture, rather than polyester suture, had been used. Polyethylene suture has been shown to provide greater resistance to fraying, higher tensile strength, and more elasticity than the polyester suture used in both trials in our study (26, 33). Although polyethylene sutures performed better than polyester sutures in another similar study, the difference in the performance of the entire construct was not statistically significant, because the construction of the construct was due to failure of the thyroid cartilage, rather than a failure of the suture (25). A study examining the mechanical properties of various suture materials found polyethylene sutures to have a 500-fold greater resistance to fraying than polyester sutures (33). This makes polyethylene sutures particularly advantageous for attaching to metallic anchors or to absorbable anchor eyelets (32). Comparing the mechanical performance of various types of sutures used with the laryngeal clamp was beyond the scope of this study.

The force required to disrupt the LTFC constructs was similar to that of the LTF and LTFB constructs in uniaxial mechanical testing. The differences in the properties of biological samples, including a difference in viscoelasticity, may explain the high variability of force required to disrupt the constructs within each group. In biaxial construct testing, however, the pullout force for the LTF constructs was greater than the pullout force for the LTFC constructs. The LTFB construct was not included in biaxial testing because it performed more poorly in the single-side testing than the LTF construct. In a recent biomechanical study, LTF constructs had a higher pullout force in biaxial testing than did constructs modified with suture buttons, regardless of whether the suture was polyethylene or polyester (25).

The types of constructs failed at different forces and displacement at yield, because of the different modes at which they failed. The yield point in the mechanical testing corresponded with the force and displacement at which the cartilage or the cricothyroid ligament started to fail. Interestingly, the extension at which the construct failed differed distinctly among the types of constructs. The force and displacement at yield was highest for the LTF construct in the uniaxial testing followed next by the LTFC construct and then by the LTFB construct. The load at yield was higher for the LTFC construct than for the LTF construct in the biaxial testing. The higher load at yield resulted in a greater displacement at yield for the LTFC construct than for the LTF construct but not significantly so. The yield point of the LTFC constructs was rarely observed, because the entire construct moved in the Instron machine until the suture failed, whereas the yield point of the LTF construct was easily observed, because the suture, rather than the entire

### TABLE 5 | The comparison between the performance of two biomechanically tested constructs using a 2-tailed independent samples T-test.

| Construct | Mean | Std. Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-----------|------|----------------|----------------|
| Average Thickness (mm) | LTF 4.6010 | 0.33834 | 0.500 |
| | LTFC 4.7880 | 0.79014 | |
| Maximum Load (N) | LTF 430.2940 | 64.84309 | 0.032 |
| | LTFC 367.8790 | 55.19138 | |
| Extension at Failure (mm) | LTF 65.3910 | 9.47112 | 0.005 |
| | LTFC 52.5820 | 8.49055 | |
| Extension at Max Load (mm) | LTF 64.8970 | 9.52261 | 0.006 |
| | LTFC 52.4210 | 8.44992 | |
| Extension at Yield (mm) | LTF 33.3780 | 8.98399 | 0.026 |
| | LTFC 42.5410 | 7.81333 | |
| Load at Yield (N) | LTF 264.6490 | 65.42587 | 0.257 |
| | LTFC 306.2130 | 91.09641 | |
| Tensile Stress at Max Load (N/mm²) | LTF 43.1300 | 6.86934 | 0.040 |
| | LTFC 36.8210 | 9.10963 | |
| Tensile Stress at Yield (N/mm²) | LTF 26.4660 | 6.54315 | 0.257 |
| | LTFC 30.6210 | 5.51279 | |
| Stiffness (N/mm) | LTF 6.7250 | 1.12806 | 0.640 |
| | LTFC 7.0420 | 1.78153 | |

The LTFC construct was inferior to the LTF construct in maximum load (p < 0.05), extension at failure (p < 0.05), extension at maximum load (p < 0.05), and tensile stress at maximum load (p < 0.05). The LTFC construct showed, however, a superior trend compared to the LTF construct in extension at yield (p < 0.05). The difference between the constructs in the remaining results were not significant (p > 0.05).
were stiffer than the LTF constructs in the single-side testing broke. The results of our tests showed that the LTFC constructs were stiffer than the LTF constructs in biaxial testing, resulting in a lower extension when the suture broke. The LTF and LTFC constructs had a similar extension at failure in the uniaxial testing. The extension at failure for the LTFC construct was related to the higher shear stress applied directly to the thyroid cartilage by the suture. The laryngeal clamp protected the cartilage before the test. In this case, the right laryngeal clamp pulled out from the thyroid cartilage without inducing severe disruption of the crico-thyroid ligament and alteration of the geometry of the thyroid cartilage.

The prototype of the laryngeal clamp may offer an alternative to suture anchoring in the thyroid cartilage in LTF constructs. The unique design of the laryngeal clamp protected the cartilage by reducing the shear stress of the suture applied to the cartilage, which resulted in the higher force and tensile strength at the failure of the cartilage or ligament. The results of this study might differ from the physiologic conditions and should be therefore interpreted with caution. The focus of this study was to evaluate the biomechanical performance of the LTF construct modified with a novel laryngeal clamp and to compare it to currently used constructs. One of the concerns with the novel design of the laryngeal clamps is the tension applied to the sutures, which results in shear stress between the sutures and metallic clamps during cyclical loading. The design tried to address these concerns and apply modifications aimed at reducing the shear stress between the suture and implant. Future research should examine additional modifications to reduce the shear stress on the suture to improve the performance of the LTFC construct. Also, the use of different sutures, such as polyethylene sutures, to anchor the clamps should be examined. The limitation of this study was the underpowered ($\beta < 0.8$) design and test combination to detect hypothetical differences in mechanical properties between the constructs. Accounting for the difference in the mean values of maximum loads between the constructs and the standard deviations within the treatment groups, the study would necessitate 237 samples per treatment group to achieve study power $\beta > 0.8$. Furthermore, this is an ex vivo study in which each of the larynges had to undergo one freeze-thaw cycle which could have influenced the mechanical performance of the thyroid cartilage or the cricothyroid ligament. The results of this study might differ from the physiologic conditions and should be therefore interpreted with caution.

FIGURE 7 | This figure shows testing of the LTFC and LTF constructs in the Instron machine. The image on the left shows the construct before the testing, and the image on the right shows the construct after the testing. The left and right laminae of the thyroid cartilage are labeled. Notice that the appearance of the thyroid cartilage on the right looks similar the appearance of the thyroid cartilage before the test. In this case, the right laryngeal clamp pulled out from the thyroid cartilage without inducing severe disruption of the crico-thyroid ligament and alteration of the geometry of the thyroid cartilage.
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