Positive Influence of a Probiotic Mixture on the Intestinal Morphology and Microbiota of Farmed Guinea Fowls (Numida meleagris)
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To understand the effectiveness of a probiotic mixture on intestinal morphology, mucus layer composition, and cecal microbiota diversity, 40 10-day-old Guinea fowls (Numida meleagris) were assigned to two groups: the control group (C), receiving drinking water, and the treated group (P), receiving water plus a commercial multi-strain probiotic (Slab51®, 2 × 10¹¹ CFU/L). Birds were slaughtered after 4 months, and the intestines were collected. Samples from the duodenum, ileum, and cecum were processed for morphological and morphometric studies, and conventional glycohistochemistry. Cecal samples were also used to assess the microbiota by 16S metataxonomic approach. Group P showed significant increase in the villus height (p < 0.001 in the duodenum and p < 0.05 in the ileum and cecum), villus width (p < 0.05 in all investigated tracts), depth of crypts (p < 0.001 in the duodenum and cecum; p < 0.05 in the ileum), and goblet cells per villus (p < 0.001 in all investigated tracts) compared with group C. Cecal microbiota of the birds varied considerably and comparing the relative abundance of the main observational taxonomic units (OTUs), a positive enrichment of several beneficial taxa, such as Oscillospira, Eubacterium, Prevotella, and members of the Ruminococcaceae, was observed. The enrichment of those taxa can improve microbiota stability and resilience facing environmental stresses, enhancing its resistance against invading pathogens. Ruminococcaceae, which represent the most important taxon in both groups, and Prevotella have a key role in the gut physiology due to the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), which are a vital energy source for enterocytes, improve glucose metabolism, and exert an overall anti-inflammatory effect. Probiotic administration enriches the presence of Coprococcus, Oscillospira, and Eubacterium taxa that produce butyrate, which exerts a beneficial effect on growth performance, structure of villi, and pathogen control and has anti-inflammatory properties too. This study indicates that Slab51® supplementation positively affects the morphology and microbiota diversity of the guinea fowl intestine.
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INTRODUCTION

Considering the increasing consumer demand for “natural” products, and the ban of antibiotics in livestock and poultry breeding in many countries (1), the use of probiotics has increasingly been considered a profitable opportunity to obtain mutual benefit for both consumers and industries and for poultry well-being (2).

Probiotics are live microorganisms, which, administered in adequate amounts, have a positive effect on the health and growth efficiency of the host by influencing gut microbiota or modifying immune status, as well as by stimulating digestive processes (2–5). Formerly, probiotics used to be Lactobacillus spp. and Bifidobacterium spp., mostly selected for their capability to survive gastrointestinal conditions, and adhere to epithelial cells (6, 7). Many authors focused on the specific actions that the individual strains composing probiotic mixtures have (8, 9). On the other hand, it is essential to denote that, thanks to the variation in inhibitory mechanisms, mixed-culture probiotics appear to be more effective in inhibiting pathogens than single-strain probiotics (10). The importance of the intestinal microbiota for gastrointestinal function and health have been reported in both mammalian (11) and avian species (2, 12, 13). Regarding the avian species, many studies have demonstrated the effects of dietary probiotic administration in modifying the intestinal gene expression (14), physiology (15), immunology (15, 16), morphology, and mucus composition (12, 14–18). The above-cited investigations indicate that the effects on gut morphology and mucins depend on probiotic composition. The production of mucins takes place in the goblet cells (GCs), and the mucus transcription is regulated by a variety of bioactive factors, including microbiota and its secretory products (11, 19). Mucins are glycoproteins that play a key role in constituting the mucus layer covering the epithelium of the gastrointestinal mucosa. The mucus layer protects the epithelium against physical and chemical injuries caused by food, microbes, and microbial metabolites; promote the gut content elimination (11, 19); and modulate water and electrolyte absorption (20). Interestingly, the intestinal commensal microbiota depends on mucus and undigested dietary carbohydrates for binding sites and energy sources; moreover, the intestinal microbiota affects the functions of the intestinal epithelium, including those of the GC and mucus layers, by a “cross-talk” feedback mechanism (11, 21).

Guinea fowls (Numida meleagris) represent a new promising species as source of poultry meat for human consumption. However, its low meat production and high feed conversion rate (FCR) result in low yield and high breeding cost (22). Therefore, several feeding strategies are being tested to improve the performance, growth, and meat production (23). Recently, it has been shown that the dietary supplementation with a commercial multi-strain probiotic (Slab51®, Ormendes SA, Jouxtens-Mézery, CH) containing a mixture of different species of lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria induced enhancement of growth performance and changes in the composition of mucins in the intestinal tract of pigs (24, 25) as well as clinical amelioration in dogs and cats with chronic intestinal pathology (26, 27).

Especially, Lactobacillus acidophilus is reported to have a negative effect on bacterial cell proliferation, migration, and invasion capacity. Furthermore, many studies confirmed that Lactobacillus spp. can modulate the composition of the intestinal microbiota, and its activity, resulting in an enhanced epithelial function and improved intestinal health. Streptococcus thermophilus activity on the host health is supposed to be mediated by different mechanisms such as the production of thermophilins, able to reduce the invasion by pathogens. In vitro, S. thermophilus has manifested antioxidant and immunomodulation activities, which can both contribute to intestinal health (9). One of the major benefits of Bifidobacterium lactis is decreasing a leaky gut by lowering the permeability of the gut wall and stopping foreign harmful substances from passing into the body (28).

On this basis, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the above-cited probiotic complex on the intestinal morphology, goblet cell number and the related mucin production, and cecum microbiota of guinea fowls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Trials

At 10 days of age, 40 unsexed healthy pearl guinea fowls (Numida meleagris), with an average weight of 110.00 ± 0.99 g, were randomly assigned to two groups: the control group (C) received water without any additive, while the treated group (P) received water supplemented with the probiotic mixture Slab51®, at a dosage of 2 × 10^11 colony-forming units per liter (CFU/L). The water was given ad libitum to each fowl to ensure water intake and to reproduce the usual way of administration used in fowl rearing. Water intake varies greatly based on environmental factors (e.g., temperature and relative humidity), feed composition, calendar period, and the age of the birds. Slab51® (recently marketed in Europe under the trademark SivoMixx®, Ormendes SA, Jouxtens-Mezer, CH) is a commercial multi-strain probiotic containing 200 billion lactic acid bacteria per 1.5 g of product, comprised of the following strains: Streptococcus thermophilus DSM 32245/CNCM 1-5570, Bifidobacterium lactis DSM 32246/CNCM I-5571, Bifidobacterium lactis DSM 32247/CNCM I-5572, Lactobacillus acidophilus DSM 32241/CNCM 1-5567, Lactobacillus helveticus DSM 32242/CNCM I-5573, Lactobacillus paracasei DSM 32243/CNCM I-5568, Lactobacillus plantarum DSM 32244/CNCM I-5569, and Lactobacillus brevis DSM 27961/CNCM I-5566. Both groups were housed in a commercial farm, in two adjacent sheds (12 m² each), with litter on the bottom, under controlled photoperiod (days 1–28: 23-h L/8-h D; days 29–120: natural according to summer season), and natural aeration. Throughout the trial, both the groups received the same commercial pellet feed, administered ad libitum, as starter feed (Broilers Gialli 1 0/22 BR, Mangimi Cruciani Srl, Montappone, MC, Italy) followed by growing feed (Broilers Gialli 2 22/42 BR, Mangimi Cruciani Srl, Montappone, MC, Italy), that changed in proximate composition in relation to the age of the animals (Table 1). At the end of the normal growth process, at 120 days of age, birds of both the groups were weighted with an
electronic balance (ACS-A9, My Scale, Foggia, Italy), before being slaughtered by electrical stunning and bleeding.

From all the birds, segments of ~3 cm were collected from the duodenum, ileum, and one of the two cecum intestines and fixed in 4% (v/v) phosphate-buffered saline paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C. The samples were then dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial sections (5 µm thick) were cut, and after being dewaxed with xylene and hydrated in an ethanol series of descending concentrations, they were stained with hematoxylin–eosin for morphological studies and by histochemical procedures for mucin characterization.

The presence of GCs was demonstrated by alcian blue pH 2.5 (AB 2.5) and periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) staining sequence, which reveals acidic, neutral, and mixed mucins.

The second cecum intestine of the birds was collected immediately after evisceration, individually packed in plastic tubes and soon frozen at −80°C, until use for molecular test.

**Morphometric Measurement**

Hematoxylin–eosin-stained sections of 10 well-oriented villi of the duodenum, ileum, and cecum from each animal were photographed with a × 4 lens using a light microscope (Eclipse Ni-U; Nikon, Japan) and used to measure the villus height (VH), villus width (VW), and the crypt depth (CD). Then, the ratio of the villus height to crypt depth (VH:CD) was calculated. In addition, the total number of GCs stained with AB 2.5–PAS method was determined by counting both sides of the 10 well-oriented villi of the duodenum, ileum, and cecum tracts with a ×10 lens. The density of GCs as the number per 100 µm of villus length (15, 18) was also counted. Images were analyzed with the image-analyzing program NIS Elements BR (Version 4.30) (Nikon, JP).

**DNA Extraction and 16S rRNA High-Throughput Amplicon Target Sequencing**

Total microbial DNA were extracted from cecal content using a commercial kit (QIAamp® DNA Microbiome, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to the recommendations of the manufacturer. Briefly, the cecum was flame, held flat with two surgical tweezers and cut along the longitudinal axis with a scalpel blade. Then a sterile swab was rubbed on the bottom of the cecal wall. Sterile instruments, DNA-free pipette tips, and consumables were used to avoid false results due to contamination. Eluted nucleic acids were quantified by NanoDrop instrument (Celbio, Milan, Italy) and DNA samples were standardized at 50 ng/µl and stored frozen (−20°C) until use. DNA extracts were used as template in the PCR amplifying the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the universal primers and protocols described by Klindworth et al. (30). PCR amplicons were cleaned and tagged according to the Illumina 16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation guidelines. Sequencing was performed with a MiSeq Illumina instrument that generated 250-bp paired-end reads according to the instruction of the manufacturer.

**Bioinformatics and Statistical Analysis**

We set the maximum acceptable probability at 5% for type I error (α = 0.05) and at 20% for type II (β = 0.2). Based on previous studies, we expected a moderate to high magnitude of the probiotic effect on histologic measures (standardized range ≥ 0.9 standard deviations), also because to be relevant in terms of zootechnical practice, a probiotic would have to exert such an effect as to make the expenditure for farmers acceptable. Accordingly, the sample size was calculated to have 0.8 power of the study, 0.05 significance level, and 0.9 magnitude of effect by using the G*Power version 3.1.9.6 (31).

**TABLE 1** | Proximate composition of the feeds administered to both the groups of guinea fowls (Numida meleagris) during the trial.

| Feeding phases of guinea fowls (days) | Proximate composition (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Protein                              | 22.0                      |
| Lipids                               | 5.0                       |
| Ash                                  | 7.4                       |
| Fiber                                | 4.4                       |
| Calcium                              | 1.00                      |
| Phosphorus                           | 1.00                      |
| Sodium                               | 0.20                      |
| Lysine                               | 1.2                       |
| Methionine                           | 0.60                      |
| Phytase (FTY)                        | 1,500                     |
| Endo-1,4-beta-xylanasis (FXU)        | 200                       |
| Vitamin A E672 (IU/kg)               | 12,000                    |
| Vitamin D3 E671 (IU/kg)              | 2,000                     |
| Vitamin E (91% alpha-tocopherol) (mg/kg) | 40             |
| Copper E4 (mg/kg)                    | 16                        |
| Selenium E8 (mg/kg)                 | 0.16                      |
| Lutein E161b (g/kg)                  | –                         |
| Zeaxanthin E161 (g/kg)               | –                         |

Morphometric measures are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). Differences in means were compared by multiple Student’s t-test. The results were evaluated for statistical significance, and p-values are provided. To account for the probability of false positives due to multiple tests, the q-values were calculated as the estimate of the a posteriori probability of the null hypothesis for each test (32); q-values are reported along with p-values for each morphometric comparison.

After sequencing, raw reads were analyzed by the pipeline as previously reported (33). After using FLASH software for the assembly, QIIME 1.9.0 software was used for quality filtering, to assign taxonomy using the greengenes database, and to produce the OTU table. In order to avoid biases due to the different sequencing depth, OTU tables were rarefied at 7,720 sequences/sample. The OTU table displays genus level or family level. Diversity indices (alpha) were calculated using the diversity function of the vegan package in R (34). ANOSIM and ADONIS statistical test were used to find differences in microbial composition. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used...
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FIGURE 1 | Representative histological (A) and glicohistochemical (B,C) views of the guinea fowls (Numida meleagris) intestine. cr, crypts; ct, connective tissue; m, muscularis; v, villum. (A) Hematoxylin–eosin staining; (B,C) Alcian blue pH 2.5 (AB)/periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) staining. (C) Picture shows goblet cells producing acidic mucins (azur staining, AB positivity), neutral mucins (magenta staining, PAS positivity), and both acidic and neutral mucins (violet staining, AB/PAS positivity). Scale bars (A) 250 µm, (B) 200 µm, and (C) 15 µm.

as appropriate to determine significant differences in alpha diversity or OTU abundance. PICRUSt tools (35) were used to predict the potential metabolic pathway of the cecal microbiota. Not normally distributed variables were presented as median and box plots representing the interquartile range between the first and the third quartile, with the error bars showing the lowest and the highest value. Pairwise Spearman’s non-parametric correlations were used to study the relationships between the relative abundance of microbiota and inferred metabolic pathway. The correlation plots were visualized in R using the corrplot package. A p-value of 0.05 or lower was considered as statistically significant.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Number

All the sequencing data were deposited in the sequence read archive of the National Center for Biotechnology Information under the BioProject number PRJNA630376.

RESULTS

All animals survived the experimental period (120 days). At the end of the trial, the probiotic group showed a final mean body weight (1,820.45 ± 209.00 g) higher than that of the control group (1,754.05 ± 140.00 g), but the effect was not large enough to be perceived with this sample size (p = 0.245).

Morphometry

The intestinal mucosa consisted of villi, finger-like projections, and basal crypts (Figures 1A,B). Villi were covered by a simple striated columnar epithelium and GCs were distributed among columnar cells (Figures 1B,C). The results of intestinal morphometric analysis of both the control (C) fowls and probiotic-treated (P) fowls are displayed in Table 2. Probiotic supplementation induced significant increase of VH (p < 0.001 in the duodenum and p < 0.05 in the ileum and cecum), VW (p < 0.05 in all investigated tracts), and CD (p < 0.001 in the duodenum and cecum; p < 0.05 in the ileum) compared with controls. The significant difference in VH observed in the cecum (p < 0.05) was potentially a false positive based on the adjustment of the p-value for multiple comparisons (q > 0.05). No statistical difference was observed in VH:CD between the P and C groups. AB 2.5/PAS staining, which detects all types of acidic mucins and neutral mucins at the same time, revealed that the number of GCs per villus was statistically increased (p < 0.001) in the intestine of the P animals, whereas the density of GCs (cell numbers per 100 µm of villus length) was not statistically different between the C and P fowls (Table 2). The histochemical investigation revealed that most GCs of the intestinal villi from both C and P produced acidic mucins (AB2.5 positivity), whereas a few GCs produced neutral mucins (PAS positivity) (Figures 1B,C).

Cecal Microbiota Characterization

The total number of high-quality paired-end sequences obtained from 16S rRNA sequencing reached 1,040,070 reads, with a median value of 15,997 ± 18,693 reads/sample, and a mean sequence length of 460 bp. The rarefaction analysis and Good’s coverage, expressed as a median percentage (94%), indicated also satisfactory coverage. No significant differences were observed between the control and probiotic feeding diet in terms of complexity or number of OTU observed (Supplementary Table 1). The microbiota was dominated by the presence of Ruminococcaceae (present at 16 vs. 9% of the relative abundance in the C and P groups, respectively), Lactobacillus (10 vs. 8%) Faecalibacterium (8 vs. 7%), Bacteroides
we observed that probiotic inclusion enriched the presence of Oscillospira metabolic pathway (C group. By plotting the correlation between OTU and inferred Ruminococcaceae was higher in the P (7%) than in the C (4%). Therefore, relative abundance of Ruminococcus among other genera. Instead, Adlercreutzia, Clostridium, Collinsella, Enterococcus, Lachnospiraceae, and Streptococcus were observed to be less abundant in birds with probiotic inclusion if compared with the control ones (Figure 3). Among Ruminococcaceae, they reduced in the probiotic group (p < 0.001), but this reduction did not affect the genus Ruminococcus, with its relative abundance not significantly different between the P and C groups (p = 0.879). Therefore, relative abundance of Ruminococcus among other Ruminococcaceae was higher in the P (7%) than in the C (4%) group. By plotting the correlation between OTU and inferred metabolic pathway (Figure 4) we observed a strong positive correlation between Oscillospira and phenylalnine, C5-branched and biotin metabolism, and a positive correlation between Prevotella with lysine and triptophane metabolism. In addition, a direct correlation between Clostridium and cyanooamino metabolism was observed. From the correlation analysis we also observed that Ruminococcus and Phascolarctobacterium showed the highest number of positive correlation while Escherichia showed the highest negative correlation.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrated that a dietary probiotic complex containing Streptococcus, Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus strains improves histomorphometric characteristics of the guinea fowl (Numida meleagris) intestine and induces modification in cecum microbiota. In particular, the probiotic formulation employed in this study significantly increased the VW as well as the CD in the duodenum, ileum, and cecum of the guinea fowls. It is the opinion of the authors that the difference in VH observed in the cecum is not false positive because the villus height in that intestinal tract was highly variable (VH = 464.81 ± 232.47 in C, and VH = 594.97 ± 268.88 in P), and likely hid part of the probiotic effect. In addition, the difference was consistent with the increase in VH, which was observed in the other tracts of the intestine. These results are not consistent with those obtained with other probiotics in the intestine of other avian species. This is in line with the view that the probiotic administration effect depends on the treated avian species and its original gastrointestinal microbial background composition. For example, Protexin® (a multi-strain probiotic containing various bacterial and two yeast strains including Lactobacillus plantarum, L. acidophilus, L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium bifidum, L. rhamnosus, Enterococcus

**TABLE 2 | Effects of the multi-strain probiotic Slab51® supplementation on the intestinal morphology of two guinea fowls (Numida meleagris) groups (C and P) (mean ± standard deviation).**

| Item                        | Control (C)        | Probiotic (P)       | p-value | q-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| **Duodenum**                |                    |                    |         |         |
| Villus height (µm)          | 649.11 ± 140.22    | 896.71 ± 122.13    | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Villus width (µm)           | 107.48 ± 20.55     | 132.14 ± 22.10     | 0.025   | 0.041   |
| Crypt depth (µm)            | 101.58 ± 23.07     | 143.38 ± 27.46     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 6.71 ± 2.24        | 6.57 ± 1.31        | 0.728   | 0.771   |
| Goblet cell numbers/100 µm | 9.47 ± 3.02        | 9.44 ± 2.1         | 0.969   | 0.969   |
| Goblet cell numbers per villus | 122.92 ± 7.62   | 169.11 ± 14.32     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| **Ileum**                   |                    |                    |         |         |
| Villus height (µm)          | 671.88 ± 88.69     | 747.52 ± 156.18    | 0.010   | 0.023   |
| Villus width (µm)           | 130.30 ± 17.12     | 156.13 ± 31.95     | 0.023   | 0.041   |
| Crypt depth (µm)            | 110.97 ± 16.8090   | 126.58 ± 25.108    | 0.002   | 0.005   |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 6.33 ± 1.34        | 6.00 ± 1.42        | 0.129   | 0.155   |
| Goblet cell numbers/100 µm | 9.65 ± 2.25        | 9.60 ± 2.29        | 0.463   | 0.112   |
| Goblet cell numbers per villus | 139.24 ± 11.98  | 155.73 ± 3.27      | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| **Cecum**                   |                    |                    |         |         |
| Villus height (µm)          | 464.81 ± 232.47    | 594.97 ± 268.88    | 0.043   | 0.065   |
| Villus width (µm)           | 140.41 ± 25.53     | 162.45 ± 23.16     | 0.023   | 0.041   |
| Crypt depth (µm)            | 84.82 ± 21.54      | 119.10 ± 29.86     | <0.001  | 0.001   |
| Villus height:crypt depth   | 5.47 ± 4.3         | 4.99 ± 3.03        | 0.087   | 0.112   |
| Goblet cell numbers/100 µm | 9.88 ± 4.06        | 8.43 ± 3.48        | 0.083   | 0.112   |
| Goblet cell numbers per villus | 94.09 ± 4.76   | 141.15 ± 13.81     | <0.001  | <0.001  |

The statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.
faecium, Streptococcus salivarius subsp. thermophilus, Aspergillus oryza, and Candida pintolopesii) induced an increase in the duodenal and ileal VH and CD in Japanese quail (15). The same probiotics increased VH, CD, and VH:CD ratio in the ileum of Ross broiler chickens (18), duodenal VH and VW as well as the ileal CD in the Leghorn Hy-line W36 white layer hens (36), and the duodenal VH and CD, unchanging ileal parameters, in the Cobb 500 broiler chicks (37). The latter birds showed an increase in VH in the duodenum and ileum when fed with a diet containing L. salivarius ssp. salicinus
FIGURE 3 | Boxplots showing the relative abundance at genus or family level of the OTUs differentially abundant based on Wilcoxon matched pairs test ($p < 0.05$) in cecal samples of the treated group (P), receiving water plus a commercial multi-strain probiotic Slab51® (blue bars), and the control group C (green bars).

JCM 1230 and *L. agilis* JCM 1048 (13). No duodenal effect has been observed in the Hy-line laying hens receiving a diet supplemented with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bacillus subtilis* (38). However, *L. acidophilus* increased VW in the ileum of Kabir chicks (17). Dietary administration of the *B. subtilis* increased VH and VH:CD ratio in both the duodenum and ileum of Ross broilers (39), unchanging duodenal villi morphology, but increasing ileal VH and VH:CD ratio of common broiler chicks (40). Moreover, PrimaLac, a probiotics containing *L. casei*, *L. acidophilus*, *Bifidobacterium thermophilum*, and *Enterococcus faecium*, did not affect the histological characteristics of the small intestine of broiler chickens (41) and turkey poults (12). Lastly, a diet containing *Clostridium butyricum* increased VH and VH:CD of the ileum and cecum from Lohmann pink laying hens (42). The increase in intestinal VH is related to the enhancement of absorptive surface area, for high expression of brush border enzymes capable of greater digestion, and absorption of available nutrients (15, 40, 41, 43, 44) improving growth performance (45). The multi-strain probiotic used in the present study contained lactic acid bacteria, which play a role in the intestinal increase of amylase level and VH (46, 47). Furthermore, we have to take into account that *Lactobacillus* dietary supplementation could increase VH even by means of volatile fatty acids, produced by carbohydrate digestion, which could nourish the intestinal villi leading to an enhancement of VH (37). Last, the increased VH observed in the guinea fowls from group P, compared with group C, may have been due to bacterial–diet interactions and the need for greater absorptive area to accommodate the byproducts associated with microbial fermentation (48). Similar to the VH, VW has been positively related with the absorptive efficiency of intestine in chickens and an increase in the diameter of VW is also closely related to an increase in the absorbent surface (49, 50). We have correlated the increase in both VH and VW in the P birds, the increase in the intestinal absorbent surface and the improvement in body weight, as demonstrated by other researchers (36). Thus, the significant increase in VW in all intestinal tracts in animals of group P represents a further morphological sign related to the enhancement of the absorptive capacity induced by the probiotic used in the current study. Intestinal crypts consist of several cell types, including pluripotent stem cells and cells that differentiate into mature cell lineages during migration along the crypts, such as absorptive cells (enterocytes) and mucin secretory GCs. Therefore, the length of villi is related to the proliferating rate
in the crypts and the differentiating rate of villus epithelial cells (15, 51). Crypt lengthening may be mediated by a spectrum of local, immune, and neuro–humoral factors as well as probiotic dietary administration (52). In this study, we observed that the probiotic supplementation increased the CD in the duodenum, ileum and cecum. It has been reported that CD is directly representative of the intestinal environment and may be used as an indicator of intestinal health (13). It has been detected that the intestinal pathogen Salmonella Typhimurium induced reduction in the intestinal crypt depth of broiler chicks and this was correlated to reduction in absorptive capacity of the villus epithelium due to decreased replacement of enterocytes (53). Enhancement of CD has been reported in the duodenum and ileum of Japanese quail (15), in the ileum of Ross broiler chickens.
(18), and in the ileum, but not in the duodenum, of Leghorn Hy-line W36 white layer hens fed with the multi-strain Protexin (36). Increase in CD is not a regular effect of the probiotic-fed birds. No significant change in CD has been detected in the duodenum and ileum of the *Lactobacillus*-treated broilers (13), in the duodenum of Leghorn Hy-line W36 white layer hens fed with Protexin® (36), and in the cecum of Lohmann pink laying hens fed with a diet containing both *Saccharomyces boulardii* and *Pedicoccus acidilactici* (42). These findings suggest that also for the CD, the morphological modification strictly depends on the type of probiotics and the bird species. As regard the VH:CD ratio, no differences between the C and P groups were noticed in this study. This finding is not consistent with the large number of reports in poultry dietary experiments in which VH and VH:CD ratio show the same trend, i.e., both increase (18, 37, 42) or both remain unvaried (12, 16, 38–41). A few studies on fowls report no change in VH:CD ratio when the increase in VH occurs (14, 36). It is worth to highlight that Bontempo et al. (54) did not observe a significant change in VH:CD ratio in piglets fed with dietary supplementation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ssp. *boulardii* showing greater VH and CD than controls. Villus height and crypt depth represent an indirect indication of the maturity and functional capacity of enterocytes, and the longer the villi and crypts are, the greater number of enterocytes are there present (51). The unchanged VH:CD ratio in the group P of guinea fowls, when compared with C suggests that the higher VH observed in P may be due to their deeper crypts and that the used probiotic did not alter the balance between production and renewal of the cell types constituting the villi.

The increase in VH is proportional with the deepening of the crypts, and that therefore, while keeping the constant VH:CD ratio with the C group, is even more evident than an increase in the absorbent surface. Despite the stimulation with Slab51 allowing the increase in intestinal absorbent surface in the P group compared with C, the VH:CD ratio remained unchanged, allowing proper bowel function. Although there is a simultaneous increase in the VH and CD parameters, there is no statistically significant increase in the GCs density (number of cells/100 µm of the villus) observed in all intestinal tracts of guinea fowls from the P group compared with group C. Same result has been reported for the ileum of Protexin-supplemented Ross broilers (18), although those probiotics induced an increase of the GCs density in small intestine of the Japanese quail (15). However, as it was to be expected, due to greater VH of the P animals, we counted a higher \((p < 0.001)\) number of GCs/villus than in the intestine of the control ones. The presence of a greater number of GCs in the guinea fowls from the P group is an important fact, since these cells influence the quantity and quality of the mucus that covers the villi (55). Mucins perform a very important function in the modulation of the intestinal microbiota, as they contain a very large number of glycopolypeptide motifs of attack for bacteria, contributing to the mechanism of the non-immune exclusion of the intestinal microbiota (56). According to this mechanism, the different bacterial species can adhere to the mucins and not directly to the epithelial cells, and therefore be eliminated with the replacement of the mucins themselves. In return, the glycan repertoire of mucins can select for distinct mucosa-associated bacteria that are able to bind or degrade specific mucin glycans as a nutrient source (56). With regard to the high presence of GCs producing acidic glycans, this finding has also been reported in the intestine of other avians (37, 57). Acidic glycans are involved in regulating the interactions with microorganisms and parasitic helminths, as well as in preventing inflammatory disorders (11, 58, 59). The negative charge of the acidic glycans can protect the host from mucin degradation by glycosidases (60–62), thereby impeding colonization by enteric pathogens and reducing the gastrointestinal infections (48, 63). Several factors such as hormones (neuropeptides), inflammatory mediators, and microbial colonization can affect the GCs activity and the secretion of mucin (19, 64). Bacterial colonization acts on mucin production and GCs proliferation *via* prostaglandin (65), cytokines (66), lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and flagellin A (from Gram-negative bacteria), and lipoteichoic acids (LTA) (from Gram-positive bacteria) (64). Like in mammals, and also in the chicken intestine, the probiotics have been demonstrated to positively modify mucin dynamics (14, 48, 67). This response to bacterial colonization is consistent with several reports attesting to the importance of the microbiota for the function and health of the bird intestine (12, 13, 16, 40, 42). High levels of diversity have been described as beneficial because it can improve microbiota stability and resilience facing environmental stresses (68), enhancing its resistance against invading pathogens (69). Although being well-documented in other species, studies in birds report conflicting results about the positive effect of higher level of diversity, not always associated with better productive performances (70). In poultry, several taxa can have high relevance on the intestinal health due to their specific metabolic features. Particularly *Ruminococcaceae*, which represent the most important taxon in both the probiotic and control groups, have been reported to have a key role in the gut physiology due to the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), vital energy source for enterocytes (71), and the degradation of cellulose (72). Moreover, *Coprococcus*, *Oscillospira*, and *Eubacterium* genera, which were found to be enriched by the probiotic administration, are known to produce butyrate (33, 73, 74) which exerts a beneficial effect on growth performance, structure of villi, and pathogen control, and has anti-inflammatory properties (75). In particular, we observed a strong association between *Oscillospira* and inferred metabolic pathways belonging to butanoate metabolism that confirms the beneficial role of this taxa in gut of the animals. Regarding *Coprococcus* and *Eubacterium*, both are considered as beneficial microbes able to increase cholesterol synthesis (*via* acetate), gluconeogenesis (*via* propionate), and energy source for colonocytes (*via* butyrate) (76). Our results show that in the intestine of the guinea fowls of group P, the treatment with probiotics simultaneously increased *Ruminococcaceae* and *Coprococcus*, suggesting a favorable ratio in the production of butyrate. In guinea fowls, the treatment induces a new bacterial asset, which is biochemically characterized by the coexistence of *Coprococcus* (acetate producer) and *Ruminococcaceae* (acetate consumers and butyrate producers). Those species are metabolically complementary and might lead to an increase in butyrate production. Butyrate-producing bacteria, as *Ruminococcaceae*,
present in the GI tract of birds and mammals, can be net utilizers of acetate, which is normally regarded as an end-stage product of anaerobic fermentation (77). Also, the butyrate provides a fuel for epithelial cells of the large intestine thereby influencing colonic/cecal health, GCs differentiation, and mucin composition (56). Different studies demonstrated that Ruminococcaceaeae, Faecalibacterium, and Roseburia spp., grown in the presence of 60 mm acetate and 10 mm glucose, derived 85–90% butyrate-C from external acetate (77). This was due to the rapid interchange between extracellular acetate and intracellular acetyl-CoA, plus net acetate uptake. In contrast, a Coprococcus-related strain that is a net acetate producer derived only 28% butyrate-C from external acetate (77). Although we did not evaluate short-chain fatty acids in the feces, it should be noted that the probiotic administration enriches the presence of Prevotella that several studies reported to be considered as a taxon associated with a healthy gut due its ability to produce SCFAs (78, 79), improved glucose metabolism (80), or an overall anti-inflammatory effect (81). A positive effect on modulation of the cecal microbiota by the administration of the probiotic was also observed in the reduction of Collinsella reported as a pro-inflammatory taxon that can affect the metabolism by decreasing liver glycogenesis (82). The abundance of Lachnospiraceaeae was lower in the P group than in C. They have been associated to poor weight gain and higher feed conversion rate (FCR) in poultry because of the production of other SCFAs than butyrate, particularly propionate, which could induce satiety (83, 84) reducing food intake. However, their presence should not be considered negative since acetate, as previously mentioned, would promote the growth of Bifidobacteria (70). The abundance of Lactobacillales have been reported to decrease in chicken gut since the second week of age, being replaced by other Firmicutes, while their persistence has been related to lower performance. On the other hand, Lactobacillus producing lactic acid would cause a pH reduction which may inhibit pathogens (70). A few studies have described cecal microbiota of guinea fowls, but from the evidence on poultry, it was possible to determine that the dietary supplement of Slab51® probiotic increased microbiota diversity, and therefore more resilient to stress and more resistant to colonization by pathogenic organisms (70). Despite the administration of the probiotic mixture that was started when the birds were already 10 days old, due to the unavailability of 1-day old birds from the supplier, the increase of VH, VW, CD, and GCs and goblet cells per villus together with the enrichment of several beneficial taxa such as Oscillospira, Eubacterium, Prevotella, and some members of the Lachnospiraceaeae (e.g., L.-ruminococcus, Blautia, and Coprococcus) provide evidence of the positive influence of this commercial multi-strain probiotic on the intestinal mucosal morphology and microbiota composition of guinea fowls.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, PRJNA630376.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Welfare Body of the University of Camerino, Italy (prot. 4/2021).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LG, AR, LB, and GR were responsible for the conception of the study. SD, LG, GR, and AB performed data interpretation and wrote the manuscript. SD and NS performed morphological analysis. PR, PN, AB, AA, and IF analyzed the fecal microbiota. LG, LB, LF, AA, and GR reviewed the manuscript and provided critical suggestions and comments. All authors discussed the results and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Michele Carrassi for the technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2021.743899/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

1. Ayasan T. Effects of dietary inclusion of Proxin (probiotic) on hatchability of Japanese quails. Indian J Anim Sci. (2013) 83:78–81.

2. Patterson JA, Burkholder KM. Application of prebiotics and probiotics in poultry production. Poult Sci. (2003) 82:627–31. doi: 10.1093/ps/82.4.627

3. Guaner F, Schaafsma GJ. Probiotics. Int J Food Microbiol. (1998) 39:237–8. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(97)00136-0

4. Fuller R. Reasons for the apparent variation in the probiotic response. Biologia. (2006) 61:751–4. doi: 10.2478/s11756-006-0152-3

5. Meng QW, Yan L, Ao X, Zhou TX, Wang JP, Lee JH, et al. Influence of probiotics in different energy and nutrient density diets on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, meat quality, and blood characteristics in growing-finishig pigs. J Anim Sci. (2010) 88:3320–6. doi: 10.2527/jas.2009-2308

6. Dunne C, O’Mahony L, Murphy L, Thornton G, Morrissey D, O’Halloran S, Feeney M, et al. In vitro selection criteria for probiotic bacteria of human origin: correlation with in vivo findings. Am J Clin Nutr. (2001) 73:386S–92S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/73.2.386S

7. Delgado S, O’Sullivan E, Fitzgerald G, Mayo B. In vitro selection of new probiotic candidates. J Appl Microbiol. (2008) 104:1119–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03642.x

8. Sandra A, dos Reis LL, da Conceição NP, Siqueira DD, Rosa LL, da Silva M, et al. Review of the mechanisms of probiotic actions in the prevention of colorectal cancer. Nutr Res. (2017) 37:1–19. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2016.11.009

9. Uriot O, Denis S, Junjua M, Roussel Y, Dary-Mourot A, Blanquet-Diot S. Streptococcus thermophilus: from yogurt starter to a new promising probiotic candidate? J. Funct Foods. (2017) 37:74–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2017.07.038
In vitro Galosi et al. Influence of SLAB51

11. Chapman CM, Gibson GR, Rowland I. In vitro evaluation of single- and multi-strain probiotics: inter-species inhibition between probiotic strains, and inhibition of pathogens. *Anaerobe.* (2012) 18:405–13. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2012.05.004

12. Ouwerverk JP, de Vos WM, Belzer C. Glycobiology: bacteria and mucus at the epithelial interface. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.* (2013) 27:25–38. doi: 10.1016/j.bpgj.2013.03.001

13. Rahimi S, Grimes JL, Fletcher O, Oviedo E, Sheldon BW. Effect of a direct-fed microbial (Primolac) on structure and ultrastructure of small intestine in turkey poultys. *Poult Sci.* (2009) 88:491–503. doi: 10.3382/ps.2008-00272

14. Aliakbarpour HR, Chamani M, Rahimi G, Sadeghi AA, Qujeq D. The *Bacillus subtilis* and lact acid bacteria probiotics influences intestinal mucin gene expression, histomorphometry and growth performance in broilers. *Asian-Asian J Anim Sci.* (2012) 25:1285–93. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2012.12110

15. Seifi K, Karimi Torshizi MA, Rahimi S, Kazemifard M. Efficiency of early, single-dose probiotic administration methods on performance, small intestinal morphology, blood biochemistry, and immune response of Japanese quail. *Poult Sci.* (2017) 96:2151–8. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew446

16. Deng W, Dong XF, Tang JM, Zhang Q. The probiotic *Bacillus licheniformis* ameliorates heat stress-induced impairment of egg production, gut morphology, and intestinal mucosal immunity in laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2012) 91:575–82. doi: 10.3382/ps.2010-01293

17. Forte C, Manuelli E, Abbate Y, Papa P, Vecelli L, Tentenelli M, et al. Dietary *Lactobacillus acidophilus* positively influences growth performance, gut morphology, and gut microbiology in rurally reared chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2018) 97:930–6. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex396

18. Kazemi SA, Ahmadi H, Karimi Torshizi MA. Evaluating two multistrain probiotics on growth performance, intestinal morphology, lipid oxidation and ileal microflora in chickens. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2019) 103:1399–407. doi: 10.1111/jpn.13124

19. Kim YS, Ho SB. Intestinal goblet cells and mucus in health and disease: recent insights and progress. *Croat Gastroenterol Rep.* (2010) 12:319–30. doi: 10.1007/s11894-010-0131-2

20. Forstner JF, Forstner GG. Gastrointestinal mucus. In: Johnson LR, editor. *Histopathol.* (2014) 5:277–86.

21. Shah M, Zaneb H, Masood S, Khan RU, Ashraf S, Sikandar A, et al. Effect of dietary supplementation of zinc and multi-microbe probiotic on growth traits and alteration of intestinal architecture in broiler. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins.* (2019) 11:931–7. doi: 10.1007/s12602-018-9424-9

22. Langille MG, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, et al. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA gene sequence data. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2013) 31:814–21. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2676

23. Shalaei M, Hosseini SM, Zergani E. Effect of different supplements on eggshell quality, some characteristics of gastrointestinal tract and performance of laying hens. *Vet Res Forum.* (2014) 5:277–86.

24. Forte C, Acuti G, Manuelli E, Casagrande Proietti P, Pavone S, Trabalza-Marinucci M, et al. Effects of two different probiotics on microflora, morphology, and morphometry of gut in organic laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2016) 95:2528–35. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew164

25. Sen S, Inglea SL, Kim YW, Kim JS, Kim KH, Lohakare JD, et al. Effect of supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* LS 1-2 to broiler diets on growth performance, nutrient retention, caecal microbiology and small intestinal morphology. *Res Vet Sci.* (2012) 93:264–8. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.05.021

26. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3*: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods.* (2007) 39:175–91. doi: 10.3758/BF03193146

27. Storey JD. The positive false discovery rate: a Bayesian interpretation and the q-value. *Ann Statist.* (2003) 31:2013–35. doi: 10.1214/aos/1074290335

28. Shalaei M, Hosseini SM, Zergani E. Effect of different supplements on eggshell quality, some characteristics of gastrointestinal tract and performance of laying hens. *Vet Res Forum.* (2014) 5:277–86.

29. Shah M, Zaneb H, Masood S, Khan RU, Ashraf S, Sikandar A, et al. Effect of dietary supplementation of zinc and multi-microbe probiotic on growth traits and alteration of intestinal architecture in broiler. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins.* (2019) 11:931–7. doi: 10.1007/s12602-018-9424-9

30. Rezaei M, Karimi Torshizi MA, Wall H, Ivarsson E. Body growth, intestinal morphology and microflora of quail on diets and microbiota of cats with chronic constipation/megacolon: a pilot study. *Benef Microbes.* (2018) 9:101–10. doi: 10.3920/BM2017.0023

31. Kim YS, Ho SB. Intestinal goblet cells and mucus in health and disease: recent insights and progress. *Croat Gastroenterol Rep.* (2010) 12:319–30. doi: 10.1007/s11894-010-0131-2

32. Sen S, Inglea SL, Kim YW, Kim JS, Kim KH, Lohakare JD, et al. Effect of supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* LS 1-2 to broiler diets on growth performance, nutrient retention, caecal microbiology and small intestinal morphology. *Res Vet Sci.* (2012) 93:264–8. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.05.021

33. Ma Y, Wang W, Zhang H, Wang J, Zhang W, Gao J, et al. Effects of different probiotics on laying performance, egg quality, oxidative status, and gut health in laying hens. *Animals (Basel).* (2019) 9:1110. doi: 10.3390/ani9121110

34. Pluske JR, Thompson MJ, Atwood CS, Bird PH, Williams IH, Hartmann PE. Maintenance of villus height and crypt depth, and enhancement of disaccharide digestion and monosaccharide absorption, in piglets fed on cows' whole milk after weaning. *Br J Nutr.* (1996) 76:409–22. doi: 10.1079/BJN19960046

35. Awad W, Ghareeb K, Abdel-Raheem S, Böhm J. Effects of dietary inclusion of probiotic and symbiotic on growth performance, organ weights, and intestinal histomorphometry of broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2009) 88:49–56. doi: 10.3382/ps.2008-00244
supplemented with micronised wheat fibre. Br Poult Sci. (2018) 59:422–9. doi: 10.1007/s10070-018-0046-11
46. Jin LZ, Ho YW, Abdallah N, Jaladun S. Digestive and bacterial enzyme activities in broilers fed diets supplemented with Lactococcus culturess. Poult Sci. (2008) 79:466–71. doi: 10.3382/ps.2007.0070
47. Gutierrez-Fuentes C, Zamora-Drorcas LA, Vicente JL, Hernandez-Velasco X, Menconi A, Kuttpuan VA, et al. Effect of a lactic acid bacteria based probiotic, Floromax®B11, on performance, bone qualities, and morphometric analysis of broiler chickens: an economic analysis. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
48. Forder RE, Howarth GS, Tivey DR, Hughes RJ. Bacterial degradation in the human colon: production of sialidase, sialateO-
49. Wang F, Zuo Z, Chen K, Yang Z, Zhao S, et al. Histopathological injuries, ultrastructural changes, and depressed TLR expression in the small intestine of broiler chickens with aflatoxin B1. Toxins (Basel). (2010) 18:1031. doi: 10.3390/toxins100101031
50. Sun Y, Rajput IR, Arain MA, Li Y, Baloch DM. Oral administration of Floramax®B11: effects in broilers fed diets supplemented with Lactobacillus cultures. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
51. Hamson DJ. Alterations in piglet small intestinal structure at weaning. Res Vet Sci. (1986) 40:322–40. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5288(18)30482-X
52. Pearson JP, Brownlee IA. The interaction of large bowel microflora with the colonic mucus barrier. Bull. Anim Sci J. (2004) 91:915–23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005963107
53. Gutiérrez-Fuentes, C, Zuniga-Orozco LA, Vicente JL, Hernandez-Velasco X, Menconi A, Kuttpuan VA, et al. Effect of a lactic acid bacteria based probiotic, Floromax®B11, on performance, bone qualities, and morphometric analysis of broiler chickens: an economic analysis. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
54. Forder RE, Howarth GS, Tivey DR, Hughes RJ. Bacterial degradation in the human colon: production of sialidase, sialateO-
55. Wang F, Zuo Z, Chen K, Yang Z, Zhao S, et al. Histopathological injuries, ultrastructural changes, and depressed TLR expression in the small intestine of broiler chickens with aflatoxin B1. Toxins (Basel). (2010) 18:1031. doi: 10.3390/toxins100101031
56. Sun Y, Rajput IR, Arain MA, Li Y, Baloch DM. Oral administration of Floramax®B11: effects in broilers fed diets supplemented with Lactobacillus cultures. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
57. Hamson DJ. Alterations in piglet small intestinal structure at weaning. Res Vet Sci. (1986) 40:322–40. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5288(18)30482-X
58. Pearson JP, Brownlee IA. The interaction of large bowel microflora with the colonic mucus barrier. Bull. Anim Sci J. (2004) 91:915–23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005963107
59. Gutiérrez-Fuentes, C, Zuniga-Orozco LA, Vicente JL, Hernandez-Velasco X, Menconi A, Kuttpuan VA, et al. Effect of a lactic acid bacteria based probiotic, Floromax®B11, on performance, bone qualities, and morphometric analysis of broiler chickens: an economic analysis. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
60. Hamson DJ. Alterations in piglet small intestinal structure at weaning. Res Vet Sci. (1986) 40:322–40. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5288(18)30482-X
61. Pearson JP, Brownlee IA. The interaction of large bowel microflora with the colonic mucus barrier. Bull. Anim Sci J. (2004) 91:915–23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005963107
62. Gutiérrez-Fuentes, C, Zuniga-Orozco LA, Vicente JL, Hernandez-Velasco X, Menconi A, Kuttpuan VA, et al. Effect of a lactic acid bacteria based probiotic, Floromax®B11, on performance, bone qualities, and morphometric analysis of broiler chickens: an economic analysis. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
63. Hamson DJ. Alterations in piglet small intestinal structure at weaning. Res Vet Sci. (1986) 40:322–40. doi: 10.1016/S0034-5288(18)30482-X
64. Pearson JP, Brownlee IA. The interaction of large bowel microflora with the colonic mucus barrier. Bull. Anim Sci J. (2004) 91:915–23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005963107
65. Gutiérrez-Fuentes, C, Zuniga-Orozco LA, Vicente JL, Hernandez-Velasco X, Menconi A, Kuttpuan VA, et al. Effect of a lactic acid bacteria based probiotic, Floromax®B11, on performance, bone qualities, and morphometric analysis of broiler chickens: an economic analysis. Int J Poult Sci. (2013) 12:322–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2013.322.327
83. Lin HV, Frassetto A, Kowalik EJ Jr, Nawrocki AR, Lu MM, Kosinski JR, et al. Butyrate and propionate protect against diet-induced obesity and regulate gut hormones via free fatty acid receptor 3-independent mechanisms. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7:e35240. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035240

84. Reichardt N, Duncan SH, Young P, Belenguer A, McWilliam Leitch C, Scott KP, et al. Phylogenetic distribution of three pathways for propionate production within the human gut microbiota. *ISME J.* (2014) 8:1323–35. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.14

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.