Bridgmanite-Predominant Lower Mantle Evidenced from Velocity and Density Profiles across the 660-km Discontinuity
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Abstract (Nature Communications style <150 words)

Earth’s mantle composition is essential to our understanding of its physics and dynamics. Here we report single-crystal elasticity ($C_{ij}$) of (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite, Mg$_{0.88}$Fe$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.14}$Si$_{0.90}$O$_{3}$ with Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe=$\sim$0.65, up to $\sim$82 GPa measured in diamond anvil cells. Together with heat capacity measurements on bridgmanite and ferropericlase, we develop a fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model to simultaneously evaluate lower-mantle mineralogy and geotherm via comparisons of P-wave, S-wave velocities, and density ($V_P$, $V_S$, and $\rho$) with one-dimensional seismic profiles. Our best-fit model demonstrates the lower mantle consists of $\sim$89 vol% (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite, $\sim$4 vol% ferropericlase, and $\sim$7 vol% CaSiO$_3$ perovskite. A chemically layered mantle with pyrolitic upper mantle and bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle would display $\sim$3.2(±1.5)%, $\sim$5.2(±1.5)%, and $\sim$5.0(±1.0)% jumps in $V_P$, $V_S$, and $\rho$, respectively, across the 660-km discontinuity, which are well consistent with seismic reflection observations. The lower mantle could have become bridgmanite-predominant via accumulations of ancient silica-rich materials, which helps explain current deep-Earth seismic and geochemical signatures.
Introduction

Strong seismic reflections at 660-km depth, marked by sharp velocity and density jumps\textsuperscript{1-6}, have long been associated with a structural transition from ringwoodite to bridgmanite and ferropericlase\textsuperscript{7}. Geochemical and petrological observations indicate that Earth’s upper mantle likely consists of pyrolite\textsuperscript{8} with approximately three portions of peridotite and one portion of basalt, although some studies also proposed a garnet-rich piclogite in the transition zone\textsuperscript{9}. If one assumes the whole mantle is chemically homogenous in major elements, a pyrolitic lower mantle would have \textasciitilde 75 vol\% (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite [(Mg,Fe,Al),(Fe,Al,Si)O\textsubscript{3}, Bgm], \textasciitilde 18 vol\% ferropericlase [(Mg,Fe)O, Fp], and \textasciitilde 7 vol\% CaSiO\textsubscript{3} perovskite\textsuperscript{10}. However, studies show that structural transition(s) alone in pyrolite cannot simply explain the aforementioned velocity and density jumps at 660-km depth\textsuperscript{3,11}. A recent seismic study observed regional small-scale topography along the 660-km boundary, implying a discontinuous chemical layer across the region\textsuperscript{12}. Moreover, such a pyrolitic model with Mg/Si\textasciitilde 1.25 has much less Si than the chondritic bulk Earth model\textsuperscript{13} with Mg/Si\textasciitilde 1.0. To address the “missing Si” conundrum in the silicate Earth, Si as a light element in the core\textsuperscript{14} and/or a Si-rich lower mantle\textsuperscript{15} have been proposed previously. Thus far, the first-order question on the mantle mineralogy remains as to whether or not the 660-km discontinuity represents a structural transition as well as a chemical boundary. In other words, is the mantle chemically homogeneous or layered into upper and lower mantles?

Velocity and density comparisons between seismic observations\textsuperscript{1,2} and mineral physics models\textsuperscript{10,16-18} have been widely used to provide insights into the mantle mineralogy. Of particular example is the study by Murakami et al.\textsuperscript{17}, which used $V_s$ of polycrystalline Al-bearing bridgmanite up to 124 GPa and 2700 K to suggest a perovskitic lower mantle with greater than 93 vol\% bridgmanite. However, it has been pointed out by Cottaar et al.\textsuperscript{19} that the conclusion of a
perovskitic lower mantle\textsuperscript{17} was not supported because of using inconsistent thermoelastic modeling and inappropriate averaging schemes for mineral aggregates. A recent study\textsuperscript{16} extended aggregate $V_P$ and $V_S$ of (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite, Mg$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.1}$Si$_{0.9}$O$_3$ (Fe10-Al10-Bgm), up to 40 GPa at room temperature from single-crystal elasticity measurements and suggested a Fe$^{3+}$-rich pyrolitic lower mantle above 1200-km depth. Nevertheless, further analyses\textsuperscript{20} revealed different velocity profiles and large uncertainties of the reported elasticity\textsuperscript{16} as a result of using insensitive crystal platelets with limited high-pressure $V_P$ data. To make matters worse, velocity and density profiles of candidate minerals in these studies\textsuperscript{10,16-18} were typically modeled along a previously reported geotherm\textsuperscript{21-24}, a pressure-temperature (P-T) profile of the mantle. For instance, Katsura et al.\textsuperscript{22} assume the whole mantle is dominated by heat convection and calculate an adiabatic geotherm for pyrolite with a temperature gradient: $(\partial T/\partial P)_S = \alpha T/\rho C_P$, where $T$ is the temperature at a certain depth/pressure, and $\alpha$, $\rho$, and $C_P$ are thermal expansion coefficient, density, and isobaric heat capacity of constituent materials, respectively. Building a consistent mantle geotherm would thus require quantitative knowledge of its mineralogy and respective high P-T thermoelastic properties. In a nutshell, because of the lack of a complete dataset on extended $V_P$, $V_S$, $\rho$, and $C_P$ properties with small uncertainties and a fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model on geotherm, we could not unambiguously constrain the lower-mantle mineralogy and associated Mg/Si, and understand seismic and dynamic signatures across the 660-km boundary.

Here we reliably determined single-crystal elasticity ($C_{ij}$) of (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite, Mg$_{0.88}$Fe$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.14}$Si$_{0.90}$O$_3$ (Fe10-Al14-Bgm) with Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe$=\sim$0.65, up to $\sim$82 GPa at 300 K using impulsive stimulated light scattering (ISLS), Brillouin light scattering (BLS), and synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD) in diamond anvil cells (DACs) (Methods, see detailed sample characterizations in a literature\textsuperscript{25}). Two platelets with crystallographic orientations of (-0.50, 0.05, -0.50, 0.10) and (0.40, 0.20, 0.30) were loaded into DACs.
-0.86) and (0.65, -0.59, 0.48) are selected with sufficient sensitivities to derive full $C_{ij}$ with small uncertainties (Fig. 1a-b). The use of both ISLS and BLS\textsuperscript{26,27} enables reliable $V_P$ and $V_S$ measurements on selected platelets as a function of azimuthal angles, and XRD results provide the relevant pressure-density relationship (Fig. 1c-e, Supplementary Table 1, and Supplementary Fig. 1). This combined approach in data collection and analyses overcomes previous difficulties\textsuperscript{16,17} in obtaining $V_P$ of bridgmanite above 20 GPa when only using BLS. Furthermore, we measured ambient-pressure $C_P$ of polycrystalline $\text{Mg}_{0.96}\text{Fe}_{0.05}\text{Si}_{0.99}\text{O}_3$ bridgmanite with $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\sum\text{Fe}=-0.28$ and $\text{Mg}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}$ ferropericlase at 2-300 K and 300-400 K, respectively, using a physical property measurement system (Methods, Fig. 1f-g, and Supplementary Table 2). These thermoelastic data of bridgmanite and ferropericlase as well as literature reports for $\text{CaSiO}_3$ perovskite\textsuperscript{28} are evaluated altogether using a fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model\textsuperscript{29} within the framework of Mie-Grüneisen thermal equation of state (EoS) and finite-strain theory to provide multiple physical constraints on lower-mantle mineralogy and geotherm.

**Results**

Figure 1c-e shows representative BLS and ISLS spectra with high signal-to-noise ratios to derive $V_{S1}$, $V_{S2}$, and $V_P$ of single-crystal $\text{Fe}_{10-\text{Al}_{14}}\text{Bgm}$ at $\sim$82 GPa. Furthermore, measured $C_P$ of Fe-bearing bridgmanite as a function of temperature are consistent with literature reports\textsuperscript{30,31} of $\text{MgSiO}_3$ bridgmanite within uncertainties (Fig. 1f-g). This indicates that Fe substitution has a negligible effect on $C_P$ of bridgmanite. The modeled high P-T $C_P$ of bridgmanite as well as ferropericlase agree well with \textit{ab initio} calculations\textsuperscript{32,33}.

Collected velocities with rotations of the DAC about its compression axis over a range of 200° were fit using Christoffel’s equations\textsuperscript{34} to derive its full $C_{ij}$ at each experimental pressure (Supplementary Figs. 2-3). Results show that all $C_{ij}$ increase monotonically with pressure up to 82
GPa with uncertainties of 1-2%, except $C_{55}$ and $C_{23}$ with errors of ~3-4% (Supplementary Tables 3-4). In particular, the derived $C_{ij}$ of Fe10-Al14-Bgm at 25 and 35 GPa are comparable to those of literature single-crystal bridgmanite$^{35-37}$. We note that the slight difference on pressure derivatives of $C_{ij}$ between this study and *ab initio* calculations for MgSiO$_3$ bridgmanite$^{38-40}$ might come from compositional differences and/or limitations of theoretical simulations. Calculated aggregate $V_s$ and $V_P$ of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm show uncertainties less than 1.0% using a Voigt-Reuss-Hill averaging scheme$^{41}$ (Methods).

Our results show that aggregate $V_s$ and $V_P$ of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm increase monotonically with pressure up to ~82 GPa (Fig. 2). Literature studies indicate that lower-mantle bridgmanite could accommodate abundant Fe$^{3+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ to replace dodecahedral-site (A-site) Mg$^{2+}$ and octahedral-site (B-site) Si$^{4+}$ via charge-coupled substitution$^{42}$. B-site Fe$^{3+}$ in Fe-bearing bridgmanite is reported to undergo a spin transition at approximately 40-60 GPa, which is associated with an abrupt $V_P$ softening$^{26}$. Our bridgmanite, $\text{Mg}_{0.88}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.14}\text{Si}_{0.90}\text{O}_3$, contains 14% Al preferentially in the B site and ~10% Fe mostly in the A site. Thus, the sample is not expected to undergo a spin transition and will display a monotonic increase in $V_S$ and $V_P$ with pressure. Additionally, we note that the room-temperature $V_s$ and $V_P$ of bridgmanite are much higher than those of ferropericlase$^{27,43}$ and CaSiO$_3$ perovskite$^{28}$.

**Discussion**

Here, we have developed a fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model to simultaneously evaluate lower-mantle mineralogy and temperature profile. In this model, we assume that the lower mantle, to the first order, is chemically homogenous, adiabatic, and under gravitational self-compression with Bullen’s parameter close to one because of the consistency between 1D seismic profiles$^{1,2}$ and the Adams-Williamson equation$^{44}$ (see Methods for details).. Third-order Eulerian
finite-strain equations\textsuperscript{29} and Mie-Grüneisen EoS are adopted to model high P-T thermoelastic properties of bridgmanite, ferropericlase, and CaSiO\textsubscript{3} perovskite, including \(V_P\), \(V_S\), \(\rho\), \(\alpha\), and \(C_P\) (Supplementary Tables 5-6, Supplementary Figs. 4-7). We have also considered effects of the spin crossover in ferropericlase\textsuperscript{27,43} as well as Fe partitioning \((K_0)\) between bridgmanite and ferropericlase in the lower mantle\textsuperscript{10}. To quantitatively evaluate Fe and Al substitution effects on the velocity and density of bridgmanite, experimental data from this study and the literature\textsuperscript{16,17,45-47} are fit together to derive the adiabatic bulk (shear) modulus, \(K_{S0} (\mu_0)\), and its pressure derivative, \(K'_{S0} (\mu'_0)\), as a function of Fe and Al contents. Moreover, considering the frequency dependence of seismic attenuation\textsuperscript{48}, corrections from experimental high-frequency data (~GHz) to seismic frequencies (~Hz) are also conducted for modeled velocity profiles (Methods, Supplementary Fig. 8). The amount of CaSiO\textsubscript{3} perovskite is fixed as 7 vol\% because its abundance in both pyrolitic and chondritic models\textsuperscript{13} is about 5-10 vol\%. With all these parameters taken into consideration, we initially use a pyrolitic lower-mantle mineralogy as a reference to evaluate \(V_P\), \(V_S\), and \(\rho\) profiles of the three major minerals along an adiabatic geotherm. Considering trade-offs between compositional and thermal parameters, an iterative procedure with uncertainty minimization is conducted until the best-fit model converges with PREM profiles\textsuperscript{1}.

In our best-fit model, bridgmanite displays slightly higher velocities than those of PREM, while ferropericlase and CaSiO\textsubscript{3} perovskite show lower velocities (Fig. 2c-d and Supplementary Fig. 9). In particular, \(V_S\) of these three minerals are well distinguishable from one another after taking uncertainties into account, that makes it the most sensitive elastic parameter to evaluate the lower-mantle mineralogy. \(V_P\) softening across the spin crossover in ferropericlase is smeared out but still visible at mid-lower mantle P-T. Notably, the spin crossover in ferropericlase increases its Fe content in the low-spin state\textsuperscript{10}, which in turn flattens \(V_S\) toward the deeper lower mantle. We
note that if $K_D$ is constant with depth, $V_s$ of ferropericlase increases monotonically in the lower mantle (Supplementary Fig. 9g-i).

Our best fits to PREM\(^1\) show a lower-mantle mineralogy of $\sim 88.7(\pm 2.0)$ vol% (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite, $\sim 4.3(\pm 2.0)$ vol% ferropericlase, and 7 vol% (fixed) CaSiO\(_3\) perovskite (Fig. 3), thereafter called the bridgmanite-predominant lower-mantle model. In contrast, a pyrolitic model shows lower $V_P$ and $V_S$ than those of PREM, whereas $\rho$ profiles between pyrolitic and bridgmanite-predominant models are indistinguishable. With an adiabatic lower-mantle convection\(^{44}\), the derived geotherm ranges from 1920 K at 660-km depth, an anchor potential temperature for the post-spinel phase transformation\(^7\), to 2560(±80) K at 2800-km depth. Our best-fit geotherm is comparable with that for a chondritic composition from \textit{ab initio} calculations\(^{24}\). On the other hand, if we assume the lower mantle is superadiabatic\(^{44,49}\) with Bullen’s parameter of $\sim 0.8$, the superadiabatic geotherm will be $\sim 300$ K higher than our best-fit adiabat at $\sim 2800$-km depth. It should be noted that such a superadiabatic geotherm will consequently result in significant velocity mismatches with PREM in both bridgmanite-predominant and pyrolitic models. To further test the sensitivity of $K_D$ and the amount of CaSiO\(_3\) perovskite to our modeled results, we allow them to vary within a reasonable compositional range and find that variations in these two parameters have limited effects on the derived lower-mantle mineralogy (e.g., they would cause $\sim 2$ vol% variation in bridgmanite content) and $< 0.5\%$ in geotherm (Supplementary Fig. 10 and Supplementary Table 7). We note that the anelastic relaxation correction from $\sim$GHz to $\sim$Hz slightly decreases modeled velocity profiles by $\sim 0.3\%$; without such a correction, the modelled velocity profiles would be more similar to a pyrolitic composition (less bridgmanite) in the lower mantle.

It is important to analyze uncertainties of these modeled results before one can reach a firm conclusion on the mantle mineralogy and geotherm. Using standard error propagations, our
modeled high P-T $V_p$, $V_s$, and $\rho$ profiles typically have uncertainties of ±2.2%, ±1.8%, and ±1.1%, respectively, at ±1σ level (see Methods). A pyrolic model\textsuperscript{10} with +1σ upper bounds would marginally overlap with PREM profiles\textsuperscript{1} and display an adiabatic geotherm ~100 K higher than our best-fit model at ~2800-km depth (Fig. 3). Alternatively, if the chosen anchor potential temperature at 660-km depth decreases by ~500 K to ~1420 K, a pyrolitic model could also match PREM well. We note that this temperature reduction might be too large to be realistic at the topmost lower mantle according to literature reports on post-spinel and/or post-garnet transformations\textsuperscript{7}. Overall, with the most comprehensive thermoelastic study on major lower-mantle minerals along an adiabatic geotherm to date, our results indicate bridgmanite is the most predominant mineral ranging from ~75 to ~100 vol% after taking ±1σ uncertainties into account.

Analyses of seismic reflections at 660-km depth\textsuperscript{3-6} have shown significant velocity and density jumps with $\Delta V_p/V_p$, $\Delta V_s/V_s$, and $\Delta \rho/\rho$ of ~2.0(±0.7)%, ~4.8(±1.9)%, and ~5.2(±0.3)%, respectively. Magnitudes of these jumps are closely related to structural and possibly compositional transitions across the boundary and thus can provide additional constraints on the mantle mineralogy. If we assume the mantle is chemically layered with a pyrolitic transition zone\textsuperscript{8} and bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle, the calculated $\Delta V_p/V_p$, $\Delta V_s/V_s$, and $\Delta \rho/\rho$ jumps across the 660-km boundary are ~3.2(±1.5)%, ~5.2(±1.5)%, and ~5.0(±1.0)%, respectively (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figs. 11-12). These values are well consistent with seismic reflection observations\textsuperscript{3-6}, falling within 1σ confidence ellipses. In comparison, we further consider three scenarios by assuming a chemically homogeneous whole mantle: (1) peridotite\textsuperscript{50} with a harzburgite composition of Mg/Si=−1.5 (~80 vol% ringwoodite and ~20 vol% majorite); (2) pyrolite\textsuperscript{8} with Mg/Si=−1.25 (~60 vol% ringwoodite and ~40 vol% majorite); (3) piclogite\textsuperscript{9} with Mg/Si=−0.9 (~20 vol% ringwoodite and ~80 vol% majorite). Our calculations show that phase
transformations in these models produce jumps that are not consistent with seismic reflection observations\textsuperscript{3-6}. For instance, the peridotite model displays $\sim 3.3(\pm 0.5)\%$ in $\Delta V_P/V_P$, $\sim 7.6(\pm 0.5)\%$ in $\Delta V_S/V_S$, and $\sim 9.0(\pm 0.3)\%$ in $\Delta \rho/\rho$, while the piclogite model$^9$ would increase $\Delta V_S/V_P$ and $\Delta V_S/V_S$ to $\sim 4.6(\pm 1.0)\%$ and $\sim 8.6(\pm 1.0)\%$, respectively, and decrease $\Delta \rho/\rho$ to $\sim 5.8(\pm 0.8)\%$. We note that early studies$^{51}$ suggest potential mantle differentiation could result in physical mixing of multiple lithologies. We have used peridotite and piclogite as end members to evaluate physical mixtures with different lithology percentages, but their velocity and density jumps are still not comparable with seismically-observed discontinuities at the 660-km depth\textsuperscript{3-6}. In summary, these analyses provide supportive evidence for a chemically layered mantle with a bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle and pyrolitic upper mantle.

Our bridgmanite-predominant lower-mantle model is silica-rich with $\text{Mg/ Si}=\sim 0.97$. Assuming a pyrolitic upper mantle with $\text{Mg/Si}=\sim 1.25$, the $\text{Mg/Si}$ for bulk silicate Earth will be about 1.05. The bulk Earth is generally believed to be chondritic$^{13}$ with $\text{Mg/Si}=\sim 1$, despite some geochemical evidence challenging such a model$^{52}$. The bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle is consistent with the chondritic bulk Earth model$^{15}$ by having more “missing Si” in the lower mantle. Furthermore, the chemically layered mantle can have ramifications on dynamic processes of our planet. Seismic tomography shows that some subducting slabs can penetrate through the transition zone into the lower mantle to help homogenize mantle chemistry in a whole-mantle convection model. In contrast, stagnant slabs are found to be prevalent in the transition zone$^{53}$, that might indicate a layered-mantle convection model. Bridgmanite is suggested to be $\sim 1000$ times rheologically stronger than ferropericlase$^{54}$. The bulk viscosity for a bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle is estimated to be 1-2 orders higher than previously thought for a pyrolitic lower mantle$^{55}$ (Supplementary Fig. 13). A recent geodynamic study$^{55}$ proposed that ancient Si-rich
materials in the lower mantle would behave sufficiently rigid to resist whole-mantle mixing, which helps maintain chemical layering over 4.6 Gyr of Earth’s evolution. In the meanwhile, conduits and channels could exist between these rigid ancient blobs to allow regional penetrations of subducting slabs into the lower mantle. Due to high viscosity of the bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle, some cold slabs could remain metastable and seismically visible with the slow mechanical mixing. Early studies indicate that such highly viscous convecting materials in the lower mantle might make it slightly superadiabatic. Considering the mantle adiabaticity estimated from seismic profiles and other potential contributions, such as internal heating, future studies using combined high-quality mineral physics and high-resolution seismic data are needed to elucidate spatial and temporal signatures of the mantle geophysics and geochemistry.
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Methods

Sample synthesis and characterizations. Single-crystal bridgmanite with run number 5K2667 were synthesized using the 5000-ton Kawai-type multi-anvil apparatus at the Institute for Planetary Materials at Okayama University. Starting materials of magnesium hydroxide [Mg(OH)₂], enstatite [MgSiO₃], aluminum oxide [Al₂O₃], and iron oxide [FeO] were mechanically mixed with desired weight percentages, and were then sealed into a Pt capsule. The Pt capsule were compressed and heated up to ~24 GPa and ~1800 °C for 20 h to synthesize high-quality single-crystal (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite. Details of sample synthesis and characterizations on its chemistry, Fe³⁺/ΣFe, and crystallinity have been well documented in a literature study. Results from electron microprobe analysis, Mössbauer spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, transmission
electron microscopy, and synchrotron XRD show that the synthesized bridgmanite is chemically homogeneous and inclusions-free in micrometer- to nanometer-spatial resolutions with a composition of \( \text{Mg}_{0.88}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.14}\text{Si}_{0.90}\text{O}_3 \) (Fe10-Al14-Bgm, \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\Sigma\text{Fe}=\sim0.65 \)) and ambient lattice parameters of \( a = 4.7875(3) \text{ Å} \), \( b = 4.9423(2) \text{ Å} \), \( c = 6.9205(6) \).

Selection of single-crystal platelets to derive its full \( C_{ij} \). Bridgmanite has an orthorhombic structure (\( Pbnm \)) in lower-mantle conditions with nine independent \( C_{ij} \) to be constrained. Following a literature procedure\(^{35} \), we first double-side polished several platelets to ~25-30 µm thick using 3M diamond film and conducted synchrotron single-crystal XRD at ambient conditions at 13ID-D GeoSoilEnviroCARS (GSECARS) of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) using an incident X-ray with a 0.3344-Å wavelength. ±15° rotations of each platelet about the vertical axis of the sample stage were employed to reliably determine its crystallographic orientation. Then, we calculated the sensitivity of synthetic velocities to \( C_{ij} \) in each specific orientation so that we can select appropriate platelets that can be used to derive full \( C_{ij} \) with small uncertainties. Based on these analyses (Fig. 1a-b), two platelets with orientations of \((-0.50, 0.05, -0.86)\) and \((0.65, -0.59, 0.48)\) with sufficient sensitivities are used for further velocity measurements.

High-pressure velocity and density measurements. BLS and ISLS measurements were conducted on selected platelets up to 82 GPa with a pressure interval of 6-10 GPa in the Mineral Physics Laboratory of the Department of Geological Sciences at The University of Texas at Austin. Re gaskets with initial thicknesses of 250 µm were pre-indented to 25 GPa or ~28-µm thick using a short symmetric 200-µm-culet DAC. Pre-indented areas were drilled with 130-µm diameter holes as sample chambers. Selected platelets were cut using focused ion beam into circular shapes, ~60-70 µm in diameter, and polished down to 10 µm thick to be loaded into sample chambers in
two runs. Neon was used as pressure medium and a ruby sphere pressure calibrant was placed close to the sample to minimize pressure uncertainties (Fig. 1c insert). We note that, to achieve equilibrium and ensure pressure consistency between two runs, each DAC was kept at the target experimental pressure for 2-3 days before each measurement. Ruby fluorescence was taken before and after velocity measurements to evaluate pressure and pressure uncertainties. We use the ruby pressure scale by Dewaele et al. because of its internal consistency with the Au pressure scale used in our complementary XRD experiments.

The BLS system is equipped with a solid-state green laser of 532-nm wavelength (Coherent Verdi V2), an APD detector (Laser Components Count-10B), and a JRS six-pass tandem Fabry-Perot Interferometer. BLS spectra were collected at a forward scattering geometry with an external scattering angle of 48.1° and a focused laser beam of ~30 μm. The ISLS system uses a pump-and-probe technique with an infrared pump laser of 1064-nm wavelength and a green probe laser of 532-nm wavelength. Both lasers have pulse widths of 15 ps and repetition rates of 200,000 Hz. The pump laser is split into two excitation beams, which are then recombined at the sample position with a crossing angle of 20.3°. The focused probe laser has a beam size of 30-40 μm. To avoid potential geometrical errors, both BLS and ISLS systems were aligned precisely using a series of reference spots and iris diaphragms, and were calibrated monthly using distilled water and standard glass. To avoid potential sample degradation due to the metastability of bridgmanite at low pressures, we intentionally started BLS and ISLS measurements from ~24 GPa. BLS and ISLS spectra were collected on two loaded samples in identical orientations as a function of azimuthal angles over 200° with a 10°-step rotation at each experimental pressure. Collected BLS spectra were used to derive $V_s$, and time-domain ISLS spectra were Fourier-transformed into frequency-domain power spectra to derive $V_P$ of the sample at high pressure (Fig. 1c-e).
To confirm the crystal quality of loaded platelets with neon pressure medium, we performed synchrotron single-crystal XRD at 13 ID-D of GSECARS at APS, ANL at several high-pressure points. Supplementary Fig. 1 shows representative XRD results of the platelet with an orientation of (-0.50, 0.05, -0.86) at ~76 GPa. These circular and round diffraction peaks with average FWHM of ~0.04°-0.07° confirmed the high-quality of our crystals in DACs. Analyses of XRD also show consistent orientation information as determined at ambient conditions with small deviations (<0.2°).

A complimentary XRD run was conducted on single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm up to 75 GPa at 300 K to evaluate its pressure-volume (P-V) relationship at 13 ID-D of GSECARS at APS, ANL (Supplementary Table 1). Following a literature procedure\textsuperscript{26}, a piece of Fe10-Al14-Bgm platelet, ~20 µm in length and ~8 µm in thickness, was loaded into a symmetric DAC with 200-µm culets, together with Au as the pressure calibrant and neon as the pressure medium.

**Heat capacity on ferropericlase and bridgmanite.** Specific heat capacity measurements were performed on polycrystalline bridgmanite\textsuperscript{26} $\text{Mg}_{0.96}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.036}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.014}\text{Si}_{0.99}\text{O}_3$ and ferropericlase ($\text{Mg}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2})\text{O}$ using the two-$\tau$ relaxation method with a physical property measurement system (PPMS) (Quantum Design) at the Walker Department of Mechanical Engineering at The University of Texas at Austin. Both samples were double-side polished to a dimension of approximately 1 mm $\times$ 1mm $\times$ 0.5 mm for $C_P$ measurements. Liquid helium was used to cool these samples to as low as 2 K (Fig. 1f-g and Supplementary Table 2).

**Data analyses on single-crystal $C_{ij}$ of Fe10-Al14-Bgm.** Christoffel’s equations\textsuperscript{34} were used to fit collected sound velocities of two single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm platelets as a function of azimuthal angles and to derive its full elastic tensor (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 3):
\[ |C_{ijkl}n_in_j - \rho v^2 \delta_{ik}| = 0 \]  

(1)

where \( C_{ijkl} \) is the elastic tensor with full suffix notation, contracted to \( C_{ij} \) in Voigt form in this study, \( v \) are measured velocities of \( V_P, V_{S1}, \) and \( V_{S2} \), \( n_i \) are wave vector direction cosines, and \( \delta_{ik} \) is the Kronecker delta. We further used a finite-strain theory from the literature\(^{29} \) to fit high-pressure \( C_{ij} \) to quantitatively derive their pressure derivatives (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 4):

\[ C_{ij} = (1 + 2f)^{5/2}(C_{ij0} + a_1 f + a_1 f^2) \]  

(2)

\[ a_1 = (3K'_{S0}C'_{ij0} - 5C_{ij0}) \]  

(3)

\[ a_2 = 6K'_{S0}C'_{ij} - 14C_{ij0} - 1.5K_{S0}\Delta(3K'_{S0} - 16) \]  

(4)

where \( C'_{ij0} \) and \( K'_{S0} \) are pressure derivatives of ambient single-crystal elastic moduli, \( C_{ij0} \), and adiabatic bulk modulus, \( K_{S0} \), respectively, \( \Delta \) is a constant parameter calculated as: \( \Delta = -\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik} \), with values of -3 for \( C_{11}, C_{22}, C_{33} \), and of -1 for \( C_{44}, C_{55}, C_{66}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{23} \), and \( f \) is the Eulerian strain expressed as:

\[ f = \frac{1}{2}\left[(V_0/V)^{2/3} - 1\right] \]  

(5)

where \( V_0 \) (\( V \)) is the unit cell volume at ambient conditions (high-pressure) from XRD. For the principle longitudinal moduli, \( C_{33} \) has a greater pressure derivative than those of \( C_{11} \) and \( C_{22} \), that results in \( C_{33} > C_{22} \) at pressures above \( \sim 70 \) GPa. On the other hand, the pressure derivatives of shear moduli exhibit \( C_{44} > C_{66} > C_{55} \). With pressure increasing, the smallest shear moduli at ambient conditions, \( C_{44} \), exceeds \( C_{55} \) at pressures above 30 GPa and is expected to be greater than \( C_{66} \) at the lowermost mantle pressure. While the off-diagonal moduli display \( C_{23} > C_{13} > C_{12} \) at all the pressure ranges with the same trend for their pressure derivatives. We note that the slight difference on pressure derivatives of \( C_{ij} \) between this study and \textit{ab initio} calculations for MgSiO\(_3\)
bridgmanite\textsuperscript{38-40} might come from compositional differences and/or limitations of theoretical simulations.

To further calculate aggregate adiabatic bulk and shear moduli ($K_s, \mu$) of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm, we employed the Voigt-Reuss-Hill averaging scheme\textsuperscript{57}:

\begin{align}
K_V &= \frac{(C_{11} + C_{22} + C_{33} + 2(C_{12} + C_{13} + C_{23}))}{9} \\
K_R &= \frac{D}{E} \\
K_S &= \frac{(K_V + K_R)}{2} \\
\mu_V &= \frac{(C_{11} + C_{22} + C_{33} + 3(C_{44} + C_{55} + C_{66}) - (C_{12} + C_{13} + C_{23}))}{15} \\
\mu_R &= \frac{15}{D} \left( \frac{4F}{D} + 3 \left( \frac{1}{C_{44}} + \frac{1}{C_{55}} + \frac{1}{C_{66}} \right) \right) \\
\mu &= \frac{(\mu_V + \mu_R)}{2}
\end{align}

where $K_V$ ($\mu_V$) and $K_R$ ($\mu_R$) are upper Voigt and lower Reuss bounds of $K_S$ ($\mu$), respectively, and $D, E,$ and $F$ are three constants, calculated as:

\begin{align}
D &= C_{13}(C_{12}C_{23} - C_{13}C_{22}) + C_{23}(C_{12}C_{13} - C_{11}C_{23}) + C_{33}(C_{11}C_{22} - C_{12}C_{12}) \\
E &= C_{11}(C_{22} + C_{33} - 2C_{23}) + C_{22}(C_{33} - 2C_{13}) - 2C_{12}C_{33} + C_{12}(2C_{23} - C_{12}) \\
&\quad + C_{13}(2C_{12} - C_{13}) + C_{23}(2C_{13} - C_{23}) \\
F &= C_{11}(C_{22} + C_{33} + C_{23}) + C_{22}(C_{33} + C_{13}) + C_{12}C_{33} - C_{12}(C_{23} + C_{12}) \\
&\quad - C_{13}(C_{12} + C_{13}) - C_{23}(C_{13} + C_{23})
\end{align}

Accordingly, aggregate $V_P$ and $V_S$ of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm can be calculated using:

\begin{align}
V_P &= \sqrt{(K_S + 4\mu/3)/\rho} \\
V_S &= \sqrt{\mu/\rho}
\end{align}
Compared with literature reports on aggregate elastic properties of polycrystalline and single-crystal (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite\textsuperscript{16,17,20,35,38,45,47}, our $K_S$, $\mu$, $V_P$, and $V_S$ show a general consistency to the first order (Fig. 2a). In contrast, theoretical calculations on Mg\textsubscript{0.9}Fe\textsubscript{0.1}Al\textsubscript{0.1}Si\textsubscript{0.9}O\textsubscript{3} bridgmanite\textsuperscript{58} shows a much lower $V_S$ than those experimental results, indicating an insufficiency of \textit{ab initio} calculations when Fe and Al are incorporated into bridgmanite. This might be due to the complexity of spin and valance states of Fe ions as well as the complicated site occupancies of Fe and Al in the structure of bridgmanite.

High-pressure aggregate $K_S$ and $\mu$ of single-crystal Fe\textsubscript{10}-Al\textsubscript{14}-Bgm can be fit using third-order Eulerian finite-strain equations\textsuperscript{29}:

$$K_S = (1 + 2f)^{5/2}[K_{S0} + (3K_{S0}K'_{S0} - 5K_{S0})f + 13.5(K_{S0}K'_{S0} - 4K_{S0})f^2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\mu = (1 + 2f)^{5/2}[(\mu_0 + (3K_{S0}\mu'_0 - 5\mu_0)f + (6K_{S0}\mu'_0 - 24K_{S0} - 14\mu_0 + 4.5K_{S0}K'_{S0})f^2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $K_{S0}$ ($\mu_0$) is the adiabatic bulk (shear) modulus at ambient conditions, $K'_{S0}$ ($\mu'_0$) is the pressure derivative of $K_{S0}$ ($\mu_0$). The best fits yield $K'_{S0} = 3.50$ (±0.04) GPa, $\mu'_0 = 1.87$ (±0.02), $K_{S0} = 245.8$ (±1.7) GPa, and $\mu_0 = 170.0$ (±1.5) GPa. We note that literature\textsuperscript{16,45,47} commonly neglect the term at $f^2$ order when evaluating high-pressure elasticity of bridgmanite. Such approximations are acceptable at relatively low pressures (<40 GPa), where the term $f^2$ is small and negletable. However, Helmholtz free energy at higher orders increases with pressure and cannot be simply truncated at high P-T conditions\textsuperscript{29}. Thus, equations used here are more applicable to candidate lower-mantle minerals.

Collected high-pressure XRD patterns of single-crystal Fe\textsubscript{10}-Al\textsubscript{14}-Bgm were analyzed to determine its unit cell parameters and volume. We fit P-V at 300 K using third-order Birch-Murnaghan EoS\textsuperscript{59}. 
\[
P = \frac{3}{2} K_{T0} \left[ (V_0/V)^{7/3} - (V_0/V)^{5/3} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4} (K'_{T0} - 4) \left[ (V_0/V)^{2/3} - 1 \right] \right]
\]  

(19)

where \( K_{T0} \) (\( V_0 \)) is the isothermal bulk modulus (unit cell volume) at ambient conditions, and \( K'_{T0} \) is the pressure derivative of \( K_{T0} \). The best fits show \( K_{T0} = 256 \) (±2) GPa, \( K'_{T0} = 4 \) (fixed), or \( K_{T0} = 259 \) (±4) GPa, \( K'_{T0} = 3.8 \) (±0.2), with fixed \( V_0 \) as 163.75 Å\(^3\), consistent with early studies\(^{60,61}\) on (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite within uncertainties (Supplementary Table 5).

**Fe and Al effects on the density and velocity of bridgmanite.** Here we assume a linear effect of coupled Fe and Al substitution as well as a total Fe effect of both Fe\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{3+}\) on \( \rho \), \( K_S \), and \( \mu \) of bridgmanite. Literature density data for bridgmanite with different compositions\(^{60,61}\) were fit together using Birch-Murnaghan EoS with fixed \( K'_{T0} = 4 \) (Supplementary Fig. 4), yielding:

\[
\rho_0(Fe,Al) = 4.11 + 1.0 Fe_{Bgm} + 0.04 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(20)

\[
K_{T0}(Fe,Al) = 258 - 28 Fe_{Bgm} + 101 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(21)

where \( Fe_{Bgm} \) and \( Al_{Bgm} \) are Fe and Al contents in bridgmanite, calculated as \( Fe_{Bgm} = \text{Fe}/(\text{Fe}+\text{Mg}) \) and \( Al_{Bgm} = \text{Al}/(\text{Al}+\text{Si}) \), respectively. The modeled \( \rho_0 \) and \( K_{T0} \) for end member MgSiO\(_3\) bridgmanite are consistent with literature reports\(^{45,61}\) and the effect of Fe on \( K_{T0} \) is less significant than that of Al. On the other hand, the best fits to \( K_S \) and \( \mu \) data of bridgmanite\(^{16,17,45-47}\) yield:

\[
K_{S0}(Fe,Al) = 253 - 119 Fe_{Bgm} + 64 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(22)

\[
K'_{S0}(Fe,Al) = 4.29 + 0.9 Fe_{Bgm} - 6.05 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(23)

\[
\mu_0(Fe,Al) = 174.7 - 4.29 Fe_{Bgm} - 98.9 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(24)

\[
\mu'_0(Fe,Al) = 1.67 + 1.10 Fe_{Bgm} + 1.24 Al_{Bgm}
\]

(25)

We note that 68% residues of \( K_S \), \( \mu \), and \( \rho \) in the best fits are less than 1.1%, 1.0%, and 0.3%, respectively (1σ).
Fe partitioning between ferropericlase and bridgmanite as well as the spin crossover in ferropericlase. Early studies\textsuperscript{10} show that Fe partitioning coefficient between bridgmanite and ferropericlase (\(K_D\), given by \([\text{Fe}^{2+}+\text{Fe}^{3+}]_{\text{Bgm}}/\text{Mg}^{2+}]_{\text{Bgm}})/(\text{Fe}^{2+}]_{\text{Fp}}/\text{Mg}^{2+}]_{\text{Fp}})\) could vary significantly with depth as a result of the spin crossover in ferropericlase. Using literature \(K_D\) in a pyrolitic system\textsuperscript{10} for our modeling, Fe content in ferropericlase would change accordingly in the lower mantle. Here we assume a linear Fe effect on \(K_S\), \(\mu\) and \(\rho\) of high-spin (HS) and low-spin (LS) ferropericlase and fit the literature data together\textsuperscript{27,62-66}. The best fits to density using Birch-Murnaghan EoS with fixed \(K'_T=4\) show (Supplementary Fig. 5):

\[
\rho_{0,\text{HS}}(Fe) = 3.58 + 2.40Fe_{\text{Fp}}; K_{T0,\text{HS}}(Fe) = 159.5 - 11.8Fe_{\text{Fp}} \tag{26}
\]

\[
\rho_{0,\text{LS}}(Fe) = 3.58 + 2.83Fe_{\text{Fp}}; K_{T0,\text{LS}}(Fe) = 153.3 + 40.5Fe_{\text{Fp}} \tag{27}
\]

where \(Fe_{\text{Fp}}\) is the Fe content in ferropericlase, given as \(Fe_{\text{Fp}}=\text{Fe}/(\text{Fe}+\text{Mg})\), and subscripts “HS” and “LS” indicate properties of HS and LS ferropericlase, respectively, with the same denotation for other parameters in the followings. Analyses of literature reports\textsuperscript{27,67} were used for Fe effect on \(\mu\) of ferropericlase:

\[
\mu_{0,\text{HS}}(Fe) = 129 - 81.8Fe_{\text{Fp}}; \mu'_{0,\text{HS}}(Fe) = 2.32 - 1.97Fe_{\text{Fp}} \tag{28}
\]

\[
\mu_{0,\text{LS}}(Fe) = 142 - 81.8Fe_{\text{Fp}}; \mu'_{0,\text{LS}}(Fe) = 2.23 - 1.97Fe_{\text{Fp}} \tag{29}
\]

To evaluate the spin crossover effect on \(K_S\), \(\mu\), and \(\rho\) of ferropericlase, we followed the literature modeling procedure\textsuperscript{27,66,67} using:

\[
V(n) = nV_{\text{LS}} + (1 - n)V_{\text{HS}} \tag{30}
\]

\[
\frac{V(n)}{K_S(n)} = n \frac{V_{\text{LS}}}{K_{S_{\text{LS}}}} + (1 - n) \frac{V_{\text{HS}}}{K_{S_{\text{HS}}}} - (V_{\text{LS}} - V_{\text{HS}}) \frac{\partial n}{\partial P} |_{T} \tag{31}
\]
\[
\frac{V(n)}{\mu(n)} \approx n \frac{V_{\text{LS}}}{\mu_{\text{LS}}} + (1 - n) \frac{V_{\text{HS}}}{\mu_{\text{HS}}}
\]  
(32)

where \( n \) is the LS fraction at high P-T. We note that studies\(^{68} \) indicate that Fe effect on the onset pressure and width of the spin crossover is negligible for relatively Fe-poor ferropericlase (<25 mol% Fe), but becomes complex for the Fe-rich (Mg,Fe)O counterpart\(^{68} \). Our modeling is thus limited to ferropericlase containing less than 25 mol% Fe, which is most relevant to the lower-mantle composition.

**The fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model.** \( V_p, V_s, \rho, \) and adiabatic temperature profiles of lower-mantle aggregates can be modeled from \( K_s, \mu, \rho, \alpha, \) and \( C_v \) using a fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model\(^{29} \):

\[
P(V, T) = P_{300K} + \gamma \Delta U_q/V
\]  
(33)

\[
K_s(V, T) = K_T(V, T)(1 + \alpha \gamma T)
\]  
(34)

\[
K_T(V, T) = K_{T,300K} + (\gamma + 1 - q_0)\gamma \Delta U_q/V - \gamma^2 \Delta (C_v T)/V
\]  
(35)

\[
\mu = \mu_{300K} - \eta_{S0} \Delta U_q/V
\]  
(36)

\[
(\partial T/\partial P)_S = \alpha T/\rho C_p
\]  
(37)

where \( P_{300K}, K_{T,300K}, \) and \( \mu_{300K} \) are pressure, isothermal bulk moduli, and shear moduli at 300 K, respectively, that can be calculated using eqs. (17)-(19), \( q_0 \) is a volume-independent constant, \( \gamma \) is the Grüneisen parameter, \( \eta_{S0} \) is the shear strain derivative of \( \gamma \), and \( \Delta U_q \) and \( \Delta (C_v T) \) are internal energy and heat differences between 300 K and high temperature, respectively. \( \gamma \) and \( \alpha \) can be calculated using equations of \( \gamma = \gamma_0 (V/V_0)^{q_0} \) and \( \alpha = \frac{1}{V} (\partial V/\partial T)_p \). High P-T \( U_q \) and \( C_v \) can be modeled with Debye approximations:

\[
U_q(V, T) = 9 n R T \left( \frac{\theta_D}{T} \right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_D/T} \frac{x^3}{e^x - 1} dx
\]  
(38)
\[ C_V(V, T) = 9nRT\left(\frac{\theta_D}{T}\right)^3 \int_0^{\theta_D/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \, dx \]  

(39)

where \( R \) is the gas constant, \( n \) is the number of atoms in the mineral formula, and \( \theta_D \) is Debye temperature, expressed as:

\[ \theta_D = \theta_0 e^{(-\frac{Y-\gamma_0}{q_0})} \]  

(40)

where \( \theta_0 \) is the ambient Debye temperature. \( C_p \) can be derived from \( C_V \) with \( C_p = (1 + \alpha\gamma T)C_V \).

In this fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model, ten parameters are involved to describe thermoelastic properties of each individual mineral, including \( F_0, V_0, K_0, K'_0, \mu_0, \mu'_0, \theta_0, \gamma_0, q_0, \) and \( \eta_{S0} \). We neglect the parameter \( F_0 \), as it is only related to phase equilibrium and will involve large uncertainties due to limited experimental constraints. \( V_0 \) is ambient unit cell volume from XRD. \( K'_0 \) is the pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus, \( K_0 \). Here, we set \( K_0 \) and \( K'_0 \) from velocity measurements, because they provide direct velocity information. We note that our measured velocity data are used to relate the adiabatic bulk modulus, \( K_S \), therefore, proper conversions on \( K_{S0} \) and \( K'_{S0} \) to \( K_0 \) and \( K'_0 \) have been made using eq. (34). \( K_0 \) and \( K'_0 \) here are internally consistent. Similarly, \( \mu'_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \) can be derived from velocity results. \( \theta_0, \gamma_0, q_0, \) and \( \eta_{S0} \) are four parameters related to high temperature extrapolations (see details for constraining in the followed section).

**Constraints on thermoelastic parameters of major mantle minerals.** Using the fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model\(^{29} \), literature experimental high P-T data and ab initio results\(^{39,67,69,70} \) were used to benchmark thermoelastic parameters for mantle minerals, including bridgmanite\(^{71} \), ferropericlase\(^{27,62-66} \), CaSiO\(_3\) perovskite\(^{28} \), ringwoodite\(^{72} \), and majoritic garnet\(^{73} \) (Supplementary Table 6, Supplementary Figs. 4-7 and 11). Taking bridgmanite as an example, \( \theta_0, \gamma_0, q_0, \) and \( \eta_{S0} \) were taken by fitting literature thermal EoS data of (Mg\(_{0.87}\)Fe\(_{0.13}\))SiO\(_3\) bridgmanite\(^{71} \) up to 130 GPa and 2500 K. A representative test of Mg\(_{0.9}\)Fe\(_{0.1}\)Si\(_{0.9}\)Al\(_{0.1}\)O\(_3\) bridgmanite shows that with all the
other parameters fixed, given perturbations of ±20%, ±30%, ±50%, ±40% to $\theta_0$, $q_0$, $\gamma_0$, and $\eta_S0$, respectively, would cause variations in $V_P$, $V_S$, and $\rho$ less than 0.6% (Supplementary Fig. 7). We emphasize that these perturbations allow us to cover their upper and lower bounds in literature reports29,39,71. Furthermore, modeled $V_P$, $V_S$, and $\rho$ are comparable to those of MgSiO$_3$ bridgmanite using *ab initio* calculations39, where deviations might come from compositional difference and/or limitations of theoretical simulations. On the other hand, high P-T elastic data for MgO, Mg$_{0.94}$Fe$_{0.06}$O, and Mg$_{0.75}$Fe$_{0.25}$O ferropericlase63,66,74 were used to evaluate values and uncertainties of $\theta_0$, $\gamma_0$, and $q_0$ (Supplementary Fig. 5). Our modeled results are consistent with *ab initio* calculations on Mg$_{0.8126}$Fe$_{0.1875}$O ferropericlase67. As to CaSiO$_3$ perovskite, we modeled its high P-T elastic data from Gréaux et al.28 and Sun et al.75, but excluded those from Thomson et al.76 (Supplementary Fig. 6). The density profile by Gréaux et al.28 is consistent with that by Sun et al.75, while Thomson et al.76 reported much lower density than these studies28,75. Our modelled profiles for CaSiO$_3$ perovskite fall into the range of theoretical calculations69,70.

**Modeling lower-mantle mineralogy and geotherm.** Using the aforementioned thermoelastic theory, we model $V_P$, $V_S$, and $\rho$ of candidate lower-mantle minerals along an adiabatic geotherm, and compare them with 1D seismic profiles1,2. Due to the iterative process between compositional and thermal parameters, we use 1920(±40) K as the starting anchor potential temperature at the topmost lower mantle according to literature post-spinel transformation experiments7. We note that majoritic garnet gradually transforms into bridgmanite at 1873-2273 K over a wide range at 660-km depth77. The total volume percentage of bridgmanite ($V_{Bgm}$), ferropericlase ($V_{Fp}$), and CaSiO$_3$ perovskite ($V_{CaPv}$) is defined as 100%. Within the framework of a chemically-homogenous adiabatic lower mantle, we assume: (1) $V_{Bgm}$, $V_{Fp}$, and $V_{CaPv}$ are constant with depth; (2) Total Fe content ($Fe$) is constant with depth: $Fe = Fe_{Bgm}V_{Bgm} + Fe_{Fp}V_{Fp}$. Bulk properties of mineral
aggregates are calculated using Voigt-Reuss-Hill averages\textsuperscript{41}. With all these factors considered, modeled \(V_P\), \(V_S\), and \(\rho\) are compared to PREM\textsuperscript{1} at 28-130 GPa through minimizing misfits. We neglect topmost and lowermost lower-mantle regions, where the breakdown of majoritic garnet and large temperature gradients as well as transformation of bridgmanite into post-perovskite can significantly affect seismic profiles.

**Error propagations on modeled lower-mantle velocity and density profiles.** Uncertainties \((u)\) of modeled \(V_P\), \(V_S\), and \(\rho\) for lower-mantle aggregates along an adiabatic geotherm in this study would primarily come from three parts: uncertainty of experimental data \((u_1)\), uncertainty in evaluations of the Fe/Al effects on the elasticity bridgmanite and ferropericlase \((u_2)\), and uncertainty in high P-T extrapolations \((u_3)\). \(u\) can be calculated as \(u = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2}\) using standard error propagations. Based on our early discussions on \(u_1\), \(u_2\), and \(u_3\), our modeled high P-T \(V_P\), \(V_S\), and \(\rho\) profiles would have typical uncertainties of \(\pm 2.2\%\), \(\pm 1.8\%\), and \(\pm 1.1\%\).

**Velocity corrections from high-frequency experimental data to low-frequency seismic profiles.** Velocity measurements using BLS and ISLS are performed at high-frequency (GHz) conditions, while seismic profiles typically have frequencies on the order of \(\sim\)Hz. Literature\textsuperscript{48} indicate that as a result of the frequency dependence of seismic attenuation, the attenuation factor, \(q\), with frequency, \(\omega\), can be represented as:

\[
q = \frac{-\Delta E}{2\pi E_{max}} = q_{00}(\omega_{00}/\omega)^\tau
\]

where \(\Delta E/E_{max}\) is the fraction of internal energy lost in one cycle of seismic waves, \(q_{00}\) is the reference factor with frequency \(\omega_{00}\), and \(\tau\) is a model-dependent and frequency-dependent parameter. Velocity variations\textsuperscript{48} between \(V_1(\omega_1)\) and \(V_2(\omega_2)\) with frequencies of \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\), respectively, can be expressed as:
\[
\frac{V_2(\omega_2)}{V_1(\omega_1)} = 1 + \frac{q(\omega_1)}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right)^\tau\right]
\]  

(42)

Early studies\(^7\) show that \(\tau\) generally ranges from 0.2 to 0.4. With \(\tau \approx 0.3\), velocity differences with frequencies between GHz and Hz are less than 0.3\% (Supplementary Fig. 8). We note that this equation is typically applied to anelastic dispersion within seismic frequency, ranging from Hz to several thousands of Hz. This equation is used for correcting frequency-dependent velocity to the first order.

**Viscosity of the lower mantle.** The viscosity of bridgmanite (\(10^{21}-10^{23}\) Pa·s) was inferred to be much higher than that of ferrpericlase (\(10^{18}-10^{20}\) Pa·s)\(^5\). Compared with a “load bearing framework” (LBF) model to calculate the viscosity of multiple-phase aggregates with equally-portioned strains between grains, literature results indicate that a non-linear “inter-connected weak layers” (IWL) model\(^7\) is more applicable for the lower mantle containing rheologically weak and strong grains. The bulk lower-mantle viscosity (\(\eta\)) can be calculated as a function of ferropericlase volume fraction (\(x_{\text{Fp}}\)):

\[
\eta = \frac{[a^2 - 2a(a - 1)x_{\text{Fp}} + (a - 1)^2x_{\text{Fp}}^2]b}{a^2 + (b - a^2)x_{\text{Fp}}} \eta_{\text{Fp}}
\]  

(43)

where \(a\) and \(b\) are density and viscosity contrasts between bridgmanite (\(\rho_{\text{Bgm}}, \eta_{\text{Bgm}}\)) and ferropericlase (\(\rho_{\text{Fp}}, \eta_{\text{Fp}}\)), calculated as \(a = \rho_{\text{Bgm}}/\rho_{\text{Fp}}\) and \(b = \eta_{\text{Bgm}}/\eta_{\text{Fp}}\), respectively. We neglect the role of CaSiO\(_3\) perovskite due to the lack of reliable viscosity from the literature.
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**Figure captions:**

Fig. 1. Selections of single-crystal platelets for sound velocity measurements and heat capacity of bridgmanite and ferropericlase. a and b. Sensitivity analyses of $C_{ij}$ to experimentally measured $V_P$, $V_{S1}$, and $V_{S2}$ of single-crystal bridgmanite within two crystallographic orientations of (-0.50, 0.05, -0.86) and (0.65, -0.59, 0.48), respectively. The calculation follows a literature for an orthorhombic structure with nine $C_{ij}$. c-e, Representative BLS, ISLS, and power spectra of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm at ~82 GPa and 300 K. Open circles are raw BLS data and red lines are best fits to derive $V_{S1}$ and $V_{S2}$. Collected time-domain ISLS spectra are Fourier-transformed into frequency-domain power spectra to derive $V_P$. The insert in c shows an image of the sample chamber with a Fe10-Al14-Bgm platelet and ruby sphere pressure calibrant. f and g, High P-T $C_P$ of bridgmanite and ferropericlase. Open circles are our measured $C_P$ on polycrystalline Mg0.96Fe0.05Si0.99O3 bridgmanite and (Mg0.8Fe0.2)O ferropericlase at ambient pressure. Dashed green and pink lines are literature measurements on MgSiO3 bridgmanite30,31. Solid lines are modeled $C_P$ as a function of temperature at ambient pressure (0 GPa, black), 50 GPa (blue), and 120 GPa (red), based on Debye approximations. Dotted red lines are ab initio calculations for MgSiO3 bridgmanite32 and MgO periclase33 for comparisons.
Figure 2 | Velocity profiles of major lower-mantle minerals at high P-T. a and b, Aggregate $V_P$ and $V_S$ at high pressure and 300 K. Solid red symbols are aggregate sound velocities of single-crystal Fe10-Al14-Bgm in this study, and solid red lines are the best fits using a finite-strain theory\textsuperscript{29}. Dashed lines and open triangles are literature data for different bridgmanite compositions: MgSiO$_3$ (black triangles)\textsuperscript{45} and Mg$_{0.95}$Fe$_{0.05}$SiO$_3$ with Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe=0.2 (orange triangles)\textsuperscript{45}; (Mg$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$Si$_{0.9}$Al$_{0.1}$)O$_3$ with Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe=0.67 (gray triangle)\textsuperscript{16}; MgSiO$_3$ (dashed gray lines)\textsuperscript{46} and Al-bearing bridgmanite containing 5.1 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$ (dashed orange lines)\textsuperscript{47}. Velocity profiles of CaSiO$_3$ perovskite\textsuperscript{28} (solid blue lines from modeling) and ferropericlase\textsuperscript{27,43} (solid and open olive circles; olive lines show finite-strain fitting) at 300 K are plotted for comparisons. Uncertainties are smaller than symbols when not shown. c and d, $V_P$ and $V_S$ along an adiabatic geotherm derived in our best-fit model (refer to Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 9). Red lines: (Al,Fe)-bearing bridgmanite; olive lines: ferropericlase; blue lines: CaSiO$_3$ perovskite. $K_D$ between bridgmanite and ferropericlase with depth is taken from the literature\textsuperscript{10}. The flattening in $V_S$ of ferropericlase above ~45 GPa results from Fe preferentially partitioning into ferropericlase across the spin crossover. Seismic profiles\textsuperscript{1,2} are plotted as dashed black and pink lines. Vertical ticks show one standard deviation ($\pm 1\sigma$) derived from error propagations.
Figure 3 | Modeled velocity, density, and temperature profiles of the lower mantle. a, $V_P$; b, $V_S$; c, $\rho$; d, Geotherm. Solid red lines are our best-fits to PREM (open circles) based on the fully internally-consistent thermoelastic model. Modeled results for a pyrolitic lower mantle are plotted as dashed blue lines. Our best-fit model shows the lower mantle is composed of ~88.7(±2.0) vol% bridgmanite, ~4.3(±2.0) vol% ferropericlase, and 7 vol% (fixed) CaSiO$_3$ perovskite. In d, solid red line shows the our derived adiabatic geotherm, whereas an adiabat for pyrolite (dashed blue line) and a superadiabatic geotherm (dashed orange line) with Bullen’s parameter as ~0.8 are plotted for comparisons. Dashed olive and pink lines are literature models assuming a pyrolitic-whole-mantle convection and layered-mantle convection, respectively. Dashed black line is an isentropic geotherm using entropies of mantle minerals based on the Debye model. Dotted black line is modeled for a chondritic composition from $ab\ initio$ calculations. Vertical red ticks represent one standard deviation (~1σ).
Figure 4 | Comparisons of velocity and density jumps across the 660-km discontinuity between seismic observations and mantle compositional models. a, $\Delta \rho/\rho$ versus $\Delta V_p/V_p$; b, $\Delta V_S/V_S$ versus $\Delta V_p/V_p$; c, $\Delta V_S/V_S$ versus $\Delta \rho/\rho$. Two sets of compositional models are considered here: chemically layered mantle and chemically homogeneous whole mantle. Solid red circles are results for a chemically layered mantle with a pyrolitic transition zone and bridgmanite-predominant lower mantle in this study. Solid pink, orange, and blue circles are calculations for a chemically homogeneous whole mantle with Mg/Si of ~1.5 (peridotite), ~1.25 (pyrolite), and ~0.9 (piclogite), respectively. Literature results for a pyrolitic whole mantle are plotted as solid gray circles (WW98). Errors of these models represent one standard deviation ($\pm 1\sigma$). Open symbols are from seismic observations: EK96 (open purple stars); LS06 (open olive stars); CC00 (open olive squares); SF99 (open black stars); PREM (open black circles); AK135 (open olive circles). Shaded dark and light gray areas are confidence ellipsoids within $\pm 1\sigma$ and $\pm 2\sigma$ limits, respectively, from Shearer and Flanagan.