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Highlights
Tensor factorization identified 4 components that explain vaccine adverse reactions
These components were differently associated with background factors
Only 1 component was significantly associated with post-vaccine antibody titer
These methods and results will inform future studies on vaccine safety and efficacy
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SUMMARY
Symptoms of adverse reactions to vaccines evolve over time, but traditional studies have focused only on the frequency and intensity of symptoms. Here, we attempt to extract the dynamic changes in vaccine adverse reaction symptoms as a small number of interpretable components by using non-negative tensor factorization. We recruited healthcare workers who received two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine at Chiba University Hospital and collected information on adverse reactions using a smartphone/web-based platform. We analyzed the adverse-reaction data after each dose obtained for 1,516 participants who received two doses of vaccine. The non-negative tensor factorization revealed four time-evolving components that represent typical temporal patterns of adverse reactions for both doses. These components were differently associated with background factors and post-vaccine antibody titers. These results demonstrate that complex adverse reactions against vaccines can be explained by a limited number of time-evolving components identified by tensor factorization.

INTRODUCTION
While no definitive post-onset treatment for COVID-19 has yet been established, vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 is the most promising strategy to prevent the spread of COVID-19. Several trials, including the phase 3 trials that provided the basis for emergency use authorization, have shown that the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines reduce the infection, severe disease, and death of COVID-19 (Baden et al., 2021; Dagan et al., 2021; French et al., 2021; Haas et al., 2021; Polack et al., 2020; Voysey et al., 2021). On the other hand, the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines cause a wide range of adverse reactions including injection site events and systemic responses (Baden et al., 2021; Polack et al., 2020; Sadoff et al., 2021; Voysey et al., 2021). Although the incidence of life-threatening serious adverse events was low, systemic reactions such as headache and fever have been reported to occur with a frequency of 60%-80% (Baden et al., 2021; Polack et al., 2020; Sadoff et al., 2021). As booster and/or routine vaccinations are being considered for long-term protection and response to mutant viruses, the adverse reactions that affect daily life are a matter of social concern. A precise characterization of adverse reactions may facilitate the development of safer vaccines and the establishment of safer vaccination schemes.

A data-driven approach to characterize complex phenotypes such as adverse reactions is to break them down into a small number of patterns. Topic modeling approaches, such as non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) and Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), have been applied to identify latent symptom patterns (i.e., subphenotypes) from electronic health record (EHR) data (Huang et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016; Privovar et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2019a). Describing disease as an ensemble of subphenotypes helps us to investigate associations with clinical outcomes and to generate testable hypotheses about the underlying mechanisms.
In identifying the subphenotypes of vaccine adverse reactions, it is important to note that symptoms evolve and progress over time as a series of immune responses. However, conventional studies on vaccine adverse reactions have focused mainly on the frequency and intensity of symptoms and the temporal progression of symptoms is only presented as a population average. Different timing of occurrence of the same symptom may reflect different immune responses, but information on frequency and intensity does not allow us to distinguish the difference.

In this study, we extract time-evolving subphenotypes of vaccine adverse reactions by using non-negative tensor factorization (NTF), one of the topic modeling approaches (Luo et al., 2017). Tensor decomposition has been shown to be useful in integrating information from different modalities and interpreting complex information, such as analyzing gene expression patterns considering 3D anatomical structures (Ji, 2011) and extracting relationships between genes and regulatory transcription factors (Roy et al., 2014). Tensor decomposition has also been applied to Electroencephalogram (EEG) data to analyze epileptic seizures (Acar et al., 2007) and to fMRI data to classify cognitive states (Batmanghelich et al., 2011). In recent years, tensor decomposition has been applied to longitudinal EHR data to extract time-evolving phenotypes of diseases (Perros et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019b). We collected information on adverse reactions against BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine every day from day 1 to day 7 after each dose of vaccination using a smartphone/web-based platform (Yamada et al., 2019), which is represented as a third-order tensor consisting of three axes: symptoms, time, and subjects. A tensor-factorization model can identify the co-occurring symptoms as subphenotypes, describe their temporal progression, and obtain individual intensities of subphenotypes. We demonstrate that diverse and complex adverse reactions to the BNT162b2 vaccine can be decomposed into four time-evolving components. We also show that these components are differently associated with post-vaccine antibody titers and other external information such as immediate reactions and background factors.

RESULTS

Uncovering time-evolving subphenotypes of adverse reactions by negative tensor factorization

We applied NTF to the adverse-reaction data to extract time-evolving subphenotypes. The data on adverse reactions are represented as a 3-way array consisting of three axes: symptoms, time, and subjects (S columns, T rows, and N slices in Figure 1, respectively). NTF aims to decompose such apparently complex data into a sum of a small number of latent components. Each latent component is expressed as the outer product of symptom module $a_k$, temporal module $b_t$, and subject module $c_k$, indicating what types of symptoms occur at what times and in what populations (Figure 1). To select the optimal number of the latent components ($r$ in Figure 1), we repeatedly decomposed the original data, each with a random 20% of elements deleted, into a different number of components and calculated reconstruction loss (Lin and Boutros, 2020). The median reconstruction error was the smallest when we decomposed the data into four components (Figure S2).

Thus, we extracted four components, consisting of symptom modules, temporal modules, and subject modules (Figure 2A). Component 1 showed diverse systemic symptoms almost exclusively on day 1 after the second dose. Components 2 and 3 shared a similar time course over the two doses, but component 2 was a local event characterized by local pain at the inoculation site, while the main symptom of component 3 was whole-body muscle ache, a systemic response. Similar to component 1, component 4 was characterized by a wide range of systemic symptoms but peaked on day 2 after the second dose.

As shown in the subject module (Figure 2A), component 2 was the most frequent, with more than half of the subjects showing the pattern (1,029 subjects with a $c_k$ score $>$1.0). Components 1 and 3 were present in about one-third of subjects (746 and 603 subjects with a score $>$1.0, respectively), and component 4 was the least frequent, with 407 subjects showing a $c_k$ score $>$1.0.

The study subjects were divided into three major groups when stratified by hierarchical clustering based on these four component scores for subjects (Figure 2B). Group 1 had high component 1 and 4 scores together with the other scores and was considered to represent subjects with severe adverse reactions. Group 2 had high component 1 scores but low component 4 scores and can be interpreted as a group with moderate adverse reactions. Group 3 was mostly mild cases that showed few symptoms except for local pain at the inoculation site or whole-body muscle ache represented by components 2 and 3.
Validation of negative tensor factorization

To evaluate whether the components extracted by NTF reflect the characteristics of the original data, we compared the data reconstructed from the components with the original data. The reconstruction error for the whole data evaluated by MSE was 0.060 and the coefficient of determination $R^2$ was 0.53, indicating that most of the data were well explained by the sum of the components obtained from the NTF.

To investigate the impact of considering time dependence on decomposition, we then applied NMF, as a comparative method of NTF, to the adverse-reaction data at each time point independently. The optimal number of latent components of NMF determined by the masking approach was one except for day 5 after the second dose, which had two components (Figure S3). The NMF components represent the most typical phenotypic pattern of the day, but they do not capture the heterogeneity of phenotypes or the association of components between time points. The reconstruction error evaluated by MSE was 0.093 and $R^2$ was 0.31 for the NMF model, showing that the NTF model fits better with the data. Thus, the NTF could precisely extract the dynamic changes in phenotypes as a small number of interpretable components, which are difficult to extract by independent analysis of each time slice.

We further examined how the pattern of adverse reactions was represented by the tensor components for each subject. Four representative subjects are shown in Figure 3A. Subject #27 was a very mild case, presenting with whole-body muscle ache on day 1 after both the first and second inoculations, and fatigue, chill, headache, and use of acetaminophen/NSAID after the second dose only. This pattern is represented by the sum of components 1 and 3. Subject #521 had similar symptoms but also showed local pain at the inoculation site after both inoculations. In this case, the symptoms are mainly represented as the sum of components 1 and 2. Subject #79 was a case with missing values, who did not respond on day 5 after the second inoculation. The NTF could accommodate missing data with mask property and reconstruct the data by estimating the values that would have been there. Subject #329 was a rather unique case, with severe and widespread symptoms that persisted for seven days after both the first and second doses. In this case, component 4 showed a very high value. Taken together, although the original symptom patterns were accurately reconstructed based on the tensor components in most subjects, there were some exceptions.

When we sorted the 1,516 subjects by reconstruction error, only nine subjects, including subject #329, had very high reconstruction errors with MSE>0.4 (Figure 3B). All these nine subjects had persistent severe symptoms, which would be difficult to be adequately represented by the four tensor components because all these components had a peak on day 2 or earlier. Component 4 score for subjects was significantly

Figure 1. Schematic of tensor factorization of adverse reactions against two doses of BNT162b vaccine

The time-series data for vaccine adverse reactions can be represented as a 3D tensor consisting of three indices: symptoms ($S$), time ($T$), and subjects ($N$). Tensor factorization aims to approximate the 3D tensor by a sum of a small number of latent components. Each component is represented by the outer products of the symptom, time, and subject modules. The symptom module indicates what symptoms are included in the component, the time module indicates at what timing the component appears, and the subject module indicates in which subjects the component appears.
associated with reconstruction errors (Table S3), suggesting that atypically severe, widespread, and persistent adverse reactions could not be solely explained by the four components.

Association of tensor components with immediate reactions

Next, we investigated how the tensor components were associated with immediate reactions on day 0. Immediate reactions rarely occurred after the first dose, and the correlation coefficient could not be calculated for some symptoms (gray area in Figure 4A), and no significant correlations were detected for the first dose (Figure 4A, left panel). In contrast, some immediate reactions after the second dose were strongly associated with the tensor components of adverse reactions: redness at the inoculation site was strongly correlated with components 1 and 4; itching with component 4; and nausea with components 1 and 4 (Figure 4A, right panel). Components 2 and 3 showed no significant association with immediate reactions.

Association of tensor components with background factors

We then examined the relationships of the tensor components with background factors (Figures 4B-4E). A history of adverse reactions to other vaccines was positively associated with component 4 score for subjects. A history of contact with patients with COVID-19 was also significantly associated with adverse reactions; no contact with patients with COVID-19 at all was negatively associated with component 3 score for subjects. A history of flu symptoms within a year had a positive association with component 4 score for subjects. Regarding habits, no drinking had a positive association with component 4 score for subjects. Occupations were also significantly associated with adverse reactions with doctors negatively associated with components 1, 3, and 4 scores for subjects, and nurses positively associated with components 1, 2, and 4 scores for subjects. We should note that occupation is strongly confounded with sex. Doctors had about three times as many males as females, and conversely, nurses had only about one-tenth as many males as females (Table S4).

Regarding comorbidities and current medications, malignancy was positively associated with component 4 score for subjects. Autoimmune diseases showed positive associations with component 4 score for subjects. Inhaled and oral corticosteroid and anti-allergic drug were positively associated with component 4 score for subjects, and thyroid drug was also positively associated with component 1 score for subjects.
Women scored significantly higher on all components than men (Figure 4E and Table S5). The association between age and the tensor component was different for each component. For component 3, the 40 and 50s subjects scored significantly lower. Components 1, 2, and 4 scores for subjects were not significantly related to age.

Association of tensor components with antibody titers

We have previously reported that post-vaccination anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titers were affected by the time from second dose to the antibody test, age, sex, the interval between the first and second inoculation, drinking habits, and comorbidities and their medications (Kageyama et al., 2021). Using a generalized additive model that considers the influence of these factors as covariates, we assessed the impact of the tensor components on the post-vaccination antibody titer. We found a strong positive correlation between component 1 score for subjects and the post-vaccination antibody titer, which was similar for both women and men (Figure 4F and Table 1). However, no significant associations were found for the other three components.

Predictability of adverse reactions and antibody titers

Several significant associations between background factors and tensor components of adverse reactions led us to predict adverse reactions based on background factors. To account for nonlinearities and interactions among background factors, we used a random forest (RF) classifier to predict whether each component score for subjects would exceed a threshold of 1.0. For components 1 and 4 scores for subjects, the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUC), a measure of predictive accuracy, was around 0.65, indicating partial predictability (Figure 5A). On the other hand, the AUC for the scores of components 2 and 3 scores for subjects was low, especially for component 2, AUC = 0.504, indicating almost no predictability. We then assessed the contribution of each background factor to prediction using permutation importance. Factors that have shown significant associations in statistical association analyses (Figures 4A–4E), including age and sex, were identified as important predictors (Figure 5B).

Finally, we predicted antibody titers from background factors and tensor component scores for subjects. Because of the nonlinearity of the antibody titer, it was difficult to predict the value of antibody titer as a regression problem. Therefore, we set six thresholds of antibody titer ($10^8$, $10^9$, $10^{10}$, $10^{11}$, $10^{12}$, and $10^{13}$) and predicted antibody titers as a binary classification problem with RF, whether the antibody titer exceeds each threshold (Figure 5C). The AUCs were 0.645-0.867 for the respective antibody titer thresholds, suggesting the partial predictability of antibody titer (Figure 5D). When the contribution of each variable to prediction was examined by permutation importance, subject scores of the tensor component were extracted as important predictors, in addition to time from second dose to antibody test, interval between the first and second inoculation, acetaminophen/NSAID-intake, and age (Figure 5E). The $c_1$ subject score was important for prediction using $10^{10}$, $10^{11}$, and $10^{12}$ threshold, consistent with $c_1$ score being significantly associated with antibody titers.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we decomposed longitudinal data on adverse reactions after two doses of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine into four time-evolving components by NTF. Of these four components, one represents inoculation site events and other three represent systemic responses, suggesting that the systemic adverse reactions, which have been treated collectively, consist of different immunological elements. Each component was associated differently with responses immediately after vaccination and with background information such as age, sex, and comorbidities. Furthermore, we found that only component 1, which corresponds to the diverse systemic responses on day 1 after the second inoculation, was positively correlated with post-vaccination antibody titer.

One of the main technical challenges in this study is the rank optimization in the NTF. In unsupervised learning, the number of elements to be decomposed (i.e., rank) must be specified in advance. Several methods using coherence, which means whether a decomposed component represents a meaningful
A concept, as a metric for factorization have been proposed in topic modeling (Chang et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2014; Newman et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2019b). However, as this study dealt with ordinal reactions were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation and other associations were assessed using the Tweedie generalized linear model. FDR-corrected p values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

The shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval of the regression line.

Figures 4. Associations of tensor components with immediate reactions, background information, and antibody titters

(A–D) Heatmaps of statistically significant associations of tensor component scores for subjects with immediate reactions on the day of vaccination (A), background factors such as COVID-19 contact, habits, and jobs (B), comorbidities (C), and current medications (D). Associations with the immediate reactions were assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation and other associations were assessed using the Tweedie generalized linear model. FDR-corrected p values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

(E) Associations of tensor components scores for subjects with sex and age.

(F) Associations between tensor component scores for subjects and post-vaccination anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titers. In this plot, antibody titers were adjusted to the standard conditions of interval to test (days) = 21, inoculation interval (days) = 21, age (year-old) = 40, alcohol = none, immunosuppressant = none, glucocorticoid = none, anti-allergic drug = none, and post COVID-19 infection = none by using a generalized additive model. The shaded area represents the 95% confidence interval of the regression line.
Although it is beyond the scope of this study, we believe that formalizing biomedical data as a tensor and performing tensor decomposition are highly expandable and promising in several directions. For example, the Tucker version of non-negative tensor decomposition (NTD) can be formalized by setting the different ranks for each module (Cichocki et al., 2009). Although the CP decomposition used in this study has an advantage in simplified interpretation, the Tucker decomposition may provide a more flexible and realistic decomposition (Luo et al., 2017). To evaluate reconstruction errors, we can try not only Euclidean distance assuming the Gaussian distribution, but also Kullback-Leibler (KL)-divergence assuming the Poisson distribution, Itakura-Saito (IS)-divergence assuming the Gamma distribution, and many other divergences (Cichocki et al., 2009). These family divergences are referred to as Alpha-Beta-divergences (AB-divergences) and are parameterized by the two parameters (Cichocki et al., 2011). As IS-divergence has been reported to perform well in acoustic data analysis (Févotte et al., 2009), the AB-divergences will allow us to deal with various types of data obtained in biomedical research. Coupled matrix and tensor factorization (CMTF, Acar et al., 2013) can decompose matrices and tensors in a coupled manner. In biomedical research, where incomplete and heterogeneous datasets are obtained, it will become increasingly important to use the joint analysis as CMTF to clarify relationships between heterogeneous datasets and to compensate for missing information. Furthermore, the current NTF does not consider the order of time and cannot characterize temporal interactions. Incorporating architectures such as long short-term memory (LSTM) to account for temporal interactions would be one direction to generalize NTF (Wu et al., 2019).

The four tensor components of adverse reactions provide us with implications of the immune responses against the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Components 2 and 3, which were characterized by local inoculation-site pain and whole-body muscle ache, respectively, are likely to reflect innate immune responses because they showed the same dynamics after the first and second dose and were not associated with antibody responses. The innate immune response to vaccines has been known to have two routes (Hervé et al., 2019): the first route is called the neural route, in which immune cells stimulate nociceptors with cytokines and direct contact, causing local pain (Ren and Dubner, 2010); the second route is called the humoral route, in which cytokines produced by immune cells leak into the circulatory system and act on the CNS, causing systemic fever, headache, and whole-body muscle ache (Saper et al., 2012; Vasilache et al., 2015). Component 2 identified in our study includes local pain at the inoculation site as well as local symptoms such as redness and swelling, suggesting that it corresponds to the neural route. Component 3 includes whole-body muscle ache as well as joint pain and fatigue, which would correspond to the humoral route. The fact that there was little overlap between the subject populations showing components 2 and 3 (Figure 2B), and that only component 3 showed a significant negative correlation with age, suggests that the two innate immune routes are independently regulated.

Components 1 and 4 share the common feature that diverse systemic symptoms are boosted only after the second dose, which may reflect acquired immune responses. It is well known that T cells and B cells contribute to the formation of immune memory in acquired immunity (Lauvau and Goriely, 2016). A few

| component | population | coefficient | p value | FDR |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|-----|
| c1        | all        | 0.08        | 7.2E-7  | 8.6E-6 |
|           | female     | 0.066       | 0.00015 | 0.0016 |
|           | male       | 0.13        | 0.00042 | 0.0042 |
| c2        | all        | 0.025       | 0.42     | 1    |
|           | female     | 0.024       | 0.50     | 1    |
|           | male       | 0.031       | 0.58     | 1    |
| c3        | all        | 0.037       | 0.23     | 1    |
|           | female     | 0.033       | 0.33     | 1    |
|           | male       | 0.055       | 0.36     | 1    |
| c4        | all        | 0.052       | 0.013    | 0.24 |
|           | female     | 0.042       | 0.084    | 0.68 |
|           | male       | 0.060       | 0.31     | 1    |

**bold**: significant association (FDR <0.05).
percent of T cells and B cells sensitized to an antigen differentiate into long-lived memory cells and induce a rapid and efficient immune response when the same antigen appears again (Cooper and Alder, 2006; Parish and Kaech, 2009). The response enhanced by acquired immunity is suppressed by CD4 T cells and regulatory T cells, thus preventing harmful immunopathology (Palm and Medzhitov, 2007). Component 1 of the vaccine adverse reaction represents a rapid and strong immune response boosted by adaptive immunity. The fact that only component 1 was strongly and positively associated with post-vaccination antibody titers also supports this hypothesis. On the other hand, component 4, compared with component 1, was characterized by a slower peak and more persistent symptoms (Figure 2A) and occurred in a smaller
number of cases, particularly atypical ones (Figures 2 and 3B). Subjects with a strong component 4 were more likely to have had adverse reactions to other vaccines (Figure 4B), and had a higher rate of malignancies, autoimmune diseases, and steroid administration (Figures 4C and 4D). This suggests that component 4 arises in the context of the dysregulation of acquired immunity. One of the directions for the development of safer vaccines will be to suppress the innate immune response and dysregulation of acquired immunity that may lead to harmful immunopathology, while maintaining the activation of memory B cells that lead to antibody production.

Prediction of adverse reactions based on background factors revealed that adverse reaction components 1 and 4 were partially predictable. The predictability of these systemic adverse reactions may lead to advance prescription of acetaminophen/NSAIDs for high-risk population. Antibody titers were also partially predictable from background factors and adverse reactions. As it is not practical to measure antibody titers at a fixed time for all vaccinated populations, the antibody titer prediction model developed in this study can be used to screen populations in which antibody titers may not rise sufficiently and to recommend additional vaccinations.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that tensor factorization was able to summarize complex data on vaccine-induced adverse reactions into a limited number of time-evolving components that could differentiate underlying immunological processes. These results will inform future studies related to the safety and efficacy of any vaccines and may change our way of analyzing vaccine reactogenicity, leading to the development of safer yet efficacious vaccines and increased adherence to vaccines.

Limitations of the study

First, background information and adverse events were collected through a web-based questionnaire and a mobile app, so they have not been confirmed by experts and contain missing values. Compared to the electronic medical record obtained through interviews with physicians, there should be fluctuations and errors in individual answers. As shown in rank estimation using the masking approach, NTF can compensate for noise in the data. Nevertheless, the results obtained in this study will need to be validated using additional cohorts in the future to ensure generality and reliability. Secondly, we only assessed the antibody responses and did not assess other immune processes such as cellular and cytokine responses after vaccination. Antibodies are a major component of immune defense formed by vaccines, but it is also known that immunity induced by memory T cells and removal of infected cells by CD8 T cells also contribute to the defense against viral infection (Cox and Brokstad, 2020). The adverse reaction components that were not associated with the antibody titer in this study may be related to these other protective responses, so it is necessary to investigate the relationship with immunological parameters other than antibody titer in the future.
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STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Software and algorithms |
| R-4.0.2 | R Foundation for Statistical Computing | https://www.r-project.org/ |
| Python-3.8.5 | Python Software Foundation | https://www.python.org/ |
| TensorLy-0.6.0 | Kossaifi et al., 2016 | http://tensorly.stable/index.html |
| scikit-learn-0.23.2 | Pedregosa et al., 2011 | https://scikit-learn.org/stable/index.html |
| pROC-1.17.0.1 | Robin et al., 2021 | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pROC/index.html |
| missForest-1.4 | Stekhoven and Bühlmann, 2012 | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/missForest/index.html |
| statmod-1.4.35 | Smyth et al., 2021 | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/statmod/index.html |
| mgcv-1.8.34 | Wood and Wood, 2015 | https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mgcv/index.html |

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Eiryo Kawakami (eiryo.kawakami@chiba-u.jp).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
Data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. The raw vaccine adverse-reaction datasets and source-code used to perform NTF are publicly available at https://github.com/github.com/eiryo-kawakami/Vaccine_Tensor_2022_code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Study subjects
We recruited healthcare workers who were receiving the BNT162b2 (Pfizer, Inc., and BioNTech) in the vaccination program of Chiba University Hospital (Kageyama et al., 2021). Out of 2,838 employees in Chiba University Hospital, 2,549 received at least one dose of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (30 µg) from March 3rd to April 9th, 2021. The adverse-reaction information was obtained for 1,774 individuals who received two doses, whose median age was 38 (interquartile range 30–48) with 1,168 (65.8%) being women. All participants gave written informed consent before undergoing any study procedures.

METHOD DETAILS

Data collection
Background information was collected with web-based questionnaires. Information on adverse reactions was collected every day using a smartphone/web-based platform (Yamada et al., 2019) from the vaccination day (day 0) to the 14th day after vaccination (day 14) for both doses. On the day of inoculation (day 0), subjects were asked to respond to each of the 18 symptoms to assess reactions immediately after inoculation (Table S1). In the questionnaire after day 1, subjects were asked to respond to each of the 12 symptoms with a score from 0 (no symptoms) to 3 (severe symptoms), corresponding to the degree of symptoms (Table S2). We analyzed the adverse-reaction data from day 1 to day 7 after each dose because few adverse
reactions remained and the rates of response to the questionnaires were lower at day 8 or after (Figure S1). We also focused on 1,516 subjects who had a missing response rate of less than 30%.

**Antibody response**

Blood samples were obtained 2–5 weeks after the 2nd dose of vaccination. Antibody titers were determined using Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2S on Cobas 8000 e801 module (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland).

**Non-negative tensor factorization (NTF)**

The time-series data for adverse reactions can be represented as a third-order tensor, \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times T \times N} \), where \( S \) is the number of symptoms, \( T \) is the number of observation time points, and \( N \) is the number of subjects. For the adverse-reaction data in this study, \( S = 12, T = 14, \) and \( N = 1,516 \) and all the elements of \( \mathcal{X} \) were non-negative. We used canonical polyadic (CP) decomposition to represent the third-order tensor as a product of lower-dimensional elements and reveal the latent structures. Since all values of the original third-order tensor in this study are greater than or equal to zero, i.e., non-negative, we used non-negative PARAFAC (Shashua and Hazan, 2005), a type of CP decomposition implemented in a Python library TensorLy (Kossaifi et al., 2016). The non-negative PARAFAC decomposition function `non_negative_parafac` aims to obtain \( r \) components that approximate the original third-order tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) as:

\[
\min_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}} \| \mathcal{X} - \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}^T \|_F^2
\]

subject to: \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \geq 0 \),

where \( \mathcal{A} \) is a third-order diagonal tensor whose \( r \)-th diagonal element \( \Lambda_{rr} = \lambda_r \), \( \mathcal{A} = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_R] \in \mathbb{R}_+^{S \times R} \), \( \mathcal{B} = [b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_T] \in \mathbb{R}_+^{T \times R} \), and \( \mathcal{C} = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_N] \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N \times R} \) denote factor matrices. \( \| \mathcal{X} - \hat{\mathcal{X}} \|_F^2 \) is the squared Frobenius norm to evaluate the reconstruction error between the original tensor \( \mathcal{X} \) and the reconstructed tensor \( \hat{\mathcal{X}} \), \( \ast \) denotes the outer product of two vectors, and \( \mathcal{X}_m \) denote the m-mode product of a tensor by a matrix (m = 1, 2, or 3, Cichocki et al., 2009). The initial values of \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) are filled with random values drawn from the uniform distribution taking values in the range 0 to 1. In each iteration step, \( \mathcal{X} \) is matricized (unfolded) in the m-mode and the multiplicative update rule is performed to update \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \) and \( \mathcal{C} \). In the initialization and each iteration step, the column vectors of \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) are normalized so that their L2-norms are equal to 1. To avoid division by zero or unstable calculation, the small values less than machine epsilon \( \epsilon \) were replaced by \( \epsilon \) in the initialization and the last part of each iteration. The convergence is evaluated by two stopping criteria: the tolerance for reconstruction error variation and the maximum number of iterations. If at least one of the criteria is met (the reconstruction error variation is less than tolerance or the number of iterations exceeds the maximum), the calculation is considered to be converged and stops. We used the tolerance (tol parameter in `non_negative_parafac` function) of \( 10^{-6} \) and the maximum number of iterations (n_iter_max parameter in `non_negative_parafac` function) of 1,000 for the rank optimization and 5,000 for the final decomposition. The mask property implemented in `non_negative_parafac` function was applied on the missing elements in the original tensor to exclude them from the NTF fitting.

**Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF)**

The adverse-reaction data at each time point is represented as a two-order matrix, \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times S} \), where \( S \) is the number of symptoms and \( N \) is the number of subjects. The NMF aims to approximate the matrix \( X \) as an inner product of two matrices \( W \) and \( H \) as:

\[
\min_{W, H} \| X - WH \|_F^2
\]

subject to: \( W, H \geq 0 \),

where \( W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K} \) and \( H \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times S} \). To compare NMF with NTF under the same conditions as possible, we used `non_negative_parafac` function implemented in Python library TensorLy. Since matrix can also be viewed as a second-order tensor, applying non-negative PARAFAC to matrices is equivalent to NMF. Thus, we
performed NMF under the same conditions of initialization, normalization, update rule, avoiding division-by-zero errors, and stopping criteria as for NTF.

**Optimal number of tensor components**
We adopted a masking approach to determine the optimal number of components in NTF, which has been previously proposed for rank optimization of NMF (Lin and Boutros, 2020). The masking approach determines an optimal rank to give the smallest error in imputing the masked elements, which is also known as "denoising" or "unsupervised cross validation" in machine learning context (Vincent et al., 2008; Perry, 2009). This assumes that the reconstruction performance for the masked elements should be maximized at the optimal rank that properly captures the latent structure of the data. In the NTF, some non-zero elements of the original data were randomly masked to obtain the noisy data. The reconstruction error is calculated as the mean squared error (MSE) only for the masked elements. In this study, the median reconstruction error, obtained from 50 independent decompositions for each rank, was used as the optimization metric.

**Machine learning classifier**
Random forest (RF) classifiers were applied to predict tensor component score for subjects and post-vaccination anti-SARS-CoV-2S antibody titers. To account for the nonlinearity and interactions between explanatory and objective variables, we formulated the predictions as binary classification problems, with the objective variables binarized by thresholds. The RF is an ensemble machine learning method that constructs multiple decision trees with randomly selected dataset using bootstrap sampling. We used RandomForestClassifier function in Python package scikit-learn. GridSearchCV function in the scikit-learn package was applied to optimize the hyperparameters of the RF classifiers. The performance of each RF classifier was evaluated using an area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve using R package pROC. Permutation importance was used to assess the contribution of explanatory variables to prediction, which randomly permutes a variable across subjects to evaluate the effect on the performance of the machine learning model, in this case, the AUC. Missing values in the background factors were imputed using the missForest package in R.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
The correlations between decomposed components and acute reactions were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation. The associations with background factors were assessed with a generalized linear model assuming a Tweedie distribution for tensor components to accommodate their zero-inflated nature. We used the glm() function in the R package stats and tweedie() function in the R package statmod. In the association analysis between tensor components and antibody titers, the generalized additive model implemented in R package mgcv (Wood, 2018) was used with covariates including interval to test, age, interval between the 1st and 2nd inoculation, alcohol, use of immunosuppressant, use of glucocorticoid, use of anti-allergic drug, and post COVID-19 infection. To account for the nonlinear effects of interval to test and age, they were added as smooth terms to the model:

\[
\text{Antibody Titer} \sim \alpha + \beta_1 \text{ tensor component subject score} + s(\text{interval to test}) + s(\text{age}) \\
+ \beta_2 \text{ inoculation interval} + \beta_3 \text{ alcohol} + \beta_4 \text{ immunosuppressant} \\
+ \beta_5 \text{ glucocorticoid} + \beta_6 \text{ anti allergic drug} + \beta_7 \text{ COVID19 infection} + \epsilon
\]

Plots in Figure 4F show antibody titers adjusted to the standard conditions of interval to test (days) = 21, inoculation interval (days) = 21, age (year-old) = 40, alcohol = none, immunosuppressant = none, glucocorticoid = none, anti-allergic drug = none, and post COVID-19 infection = none. A two-sided p-value < 0.05, false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected, was considered statistically significant.