Study of Etiological Factors in Unilateral Chronic Maxillary Sinusitis
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Abstract
Background: Unilateral maxillary sinusitis is a multifactorial disease. Any anatomical, physiological or pathological features which in a way obstruct free drainage from sinuses permits stasis of secretion and predisposes to infection. In this study every attempt is made to find out possible etiology contributing to unilateral maxillary sinusitis so that correction of etiology will help in correcting the disease and also results in successful outcome of treatment.

Material & Methods: This is a cross sectional study was done in 100 patients presenting with symptoms and signs suggestive of unilateral maxillary chronic sinusitis duration of more than 12 weeks. Intra oral periapical radiographs were taken of maxillary teeth. Imaging of nose and PNS was done with sinus computed tomography, maxillary teeth on CT were examined for periapical lucencies.

Results: The present study showed that maximum number of patients were above 25 years of age. The mean age of patients was 48.82±20.47 years in our study (table 1). Male to female ratio was 3.16:1 & rural was more common (80%) as compared to urban (20%). The most common symptoms were headache/facial pain (80%). The majority of cases had treated with Septoplasty with MMA in 35% cases followed by conservative treatment was done in 24% cases, FESS was done in 19% cases, Turbinoplasty with MMA in 12% cases and endoscopic remodeling of paradoxical turbinate was done in 10% of cases.

Conclusion: We concluded that certain anatomical variations are thought to be predisposing factors for the development of sinus disease it is necessary, for the surgeon to be aware of these variations.
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Introduction
Chronic sinusitis is extremely prevalent disorder that has significant impact on quality of life of affected individual. It is one of the most common problems encountered in ENT practice. Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) refers to disease that persists beyond 12 weeks. Any anatomical, physiological or pathological features which in a way obstruct free drainage from sinuses permits stasis of secretion and predisposes to infection. There is considerable range of anatomical variations in ostiomeatal complex which has been implicated in etiology of sinus infection. Maxillary sinuses are fully dependent on anterior ethmoid region because their ventilation and drainage pass through complicated fissures and narrow compartments.

Unilateral maxillary sinusitis is a multifactorial disease, possible causes are gross deviated nasal septum (DNS), ostiomeatal complex abnormalities like prominent bulla, paradoxically turned middle turbinate, medialized uncinate, prominent agger nasi cells, antrochoanal polyp, and less well recognized odontogenic factor which if not recognized preoperatively will result in endoscopic sinus surgery failure. Foreign body in sinus like tooth root, dental prosthesis are also contributory factors. Messerklinger showed that in most cases infections spread from anterior ethmoidal area and middle meatal region to secondarily affect maxillary and frontal sinuses. The presence of unilateral symptoms or pathology is regarded with caution as sinonasal neoplasms may also present during their early stages with subtle symptoms that mimic an inflammatory pathology. It is always the otolaryngologists endeavor to identify a neoplastic pathology early to prevent complications. Polyps are a common cause of nasal obstruction in adults with a prevalence of about 4% in the general population. In this study every attempt is made to find out possible etiology contributing to unilateral maxillary sinusitis so that correction of etiology will help in correcting the disease and also results in successful outcome of treatment.

Material & Methods:
This is a cross sectional study was done in Department of Otorhinolaryngology, at National Institute of Medical Sciences and Research & Hospital, Jaipur, from January 2017 to June 2018. All patients presenting with symptoms and signs suggestive of unilateral maxillary chronic sinusitis duration of more than 12 weeks.

Inclusion Criteria
- Patients presenting with symptoms of unilateral chronic maxillary sinusitis.

Exclusion Criteria
- Acute Sinusitis.
- Patient suffering from chronic granulomatous diseases of nose.
- Allergic Rhinosinusitis.
- Bilateral chronic maxillary sinusitis.

Method
Patients were selected by the convenience sampling method. After informed consent, a detailed history were taken, ENT examination including complete orodental examination was done.

Investigations
All 100 patients were subjected to complete hemogram, absolute eosinophil count, RBS, urine routine. Antral wash was taken and was sent to department of microbiology.

Imaging
Intra oral peri apical radiographs were taken of maxillary teeth. Imaging of nose and PNS was done with sinus computed tomography, maxillary teeth on CT were examined for periapical lucencies.

Results
The present study showed that maximum number of patients were above 25 years of age. The mean age of patients was 48.82±20.47 years in our study (table 1). Male to female ratio was 3.16:1 & rural was more common (80%) as compared to urban (20%) (table 2). The most common symptoms were headache/facial pain (80%), followed by nasal obstruction.
(53%), nasal discharge (38%), sneezing (36%) and epistaxis (5%) in our study (graph 1). Our study showed that the external nose deformity was found in 12% of cases and sinus tenderness positive in 25% of cases. In anterior rhinoscopy, right deviated nasal septum was found most commonly (39%) followed by B/L inferior turbinate hypertrophy occurred in 22% of patients, left deviated nasal septum in 20% of cases and mass positive in 19% of patients. In posterior rhinoscopy, positive mass present in 19% of cases (table 3).

In nasal endoscopy, right deviated nasal septum was found most commonly 39% followed by left deviated nasal septum in 20% of cases, pale colored mass arising from middle meatus 19%, B/L inferior turbinate hypertrophy with middle turbinate hypertrophy 12% and B/L inferior turbinate hypertrophy with paradoxical middle turbinate hypertrophy in 10% patients (graph 2).

In our study showed that the mostly patients occurred with right deviated nasal septum (39%) followed by left DNS (20%), antrochoanal polyp (19%), concha bullosa (12%) and paradoxical turned middle turbinate present in 10% cases (table 4). The majority of cases had treated with Septoplasty with MMA in 35% cases followed by conservative treatment was done in 24% cases, FESS was done in 19% cases, Turbinoplasty with MMA in 12% cases and endoscopic remodeling of paradoxical turbinate was done in 10% of cases (table 5).

**Table 1: Age wise distribution of cases**

| Age (yrs) | No. of patients | Percentage |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| 15-25 yrs | 13              | 13%        |
| 26-35 yrs | 17              | 17%        |
| 36-45 yrs | 17              | 17%        |
| 46-55 yrs | 14              | 14%        |
| 56-65 yrs | 16              | 16%        |
| 66-75 yrs | 13              | 13%        |
| >75 yrs   | 10              | 10%        |
| Total     | 100             | 100%       |

**Table 2: Demographic profile of patients**

| Demographic profile | No. of patients | Percentage |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Sex                 |                 |            |
| Male                 | 76              | 76%        |
| Female               | 24              | 24%        |
| Region               |                 |            |
| Rural               | 80              | 80%        |
| Urban               | 20              | 20%        |

**Table 3: Examination of cases**

|                          | No. of patients | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| External nose            |                 |            |
| Deformity                | 12              | 12%        |
| Normal                   | 88              | 88%        |
| Sinus tenderness         |                 |            |
| Positive                 | 25              | 25%        |
| Negative                 | 73              | 73%        |
| Anterior rhinoscopy      |                 |            |
| B/L ITH                  | 22              | 22%        |
| Left DNS                 | 20              | 20%        |
| Right DNS                | 39              | 39%        |
| Mass Positive            | 19              | 19%        |
| Posterior rhinoscopy     |                 |            |
| Mass Positive            | 19              | 19%        |
**Table 4:** Diagnosis of patients

| Diagnosis                  | No. of patients | Percentage |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Right DNS                  | 39             | 39%        |
| Left DNS                   | 20             | 20%        |
| Antrochoanal polyp         | 19             | 19%        |
| Concha bullosa             | 12             | 12%        |
| Paradoxical turned middle turbinate | 10 | 10% |
| Total                      | 100            | 100%       |

**Table 5:** Treatment of patients

| Treatment                               | No. of patients | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Conservative treatment                  | 24              | 24%        |
| Septoplasty+MMA                         | 35              | 35%        |
| FESS                                    | 19              | 19%        |
| Turbinoplasty+MMA                       | 12              | 12%        |
| Endoscopic remodeling of paradoxical turbinate | 10 | 10% |
| Total                                   | 100             | 100%       |

**Discussion**

Unilateral maxillary sinusitis is a multifactorial disease, possible causes are gross deviated nasal septum (DNS), ostiomeatal complex abnormalities like prominent bulla, paradoxically turned middle turbinate, medialised uncinate, prominent agger nasi cells, antrochoanal polyp, and less well recognized odontogenic factor which if not recognized preoperatively will result in endoscopic sinus surgery failure. In this study every attempt is made to find out possible etiology contributing to unilateral maxillary sinusitis so that correction of etiology will help in correcting the disease and also results in successful outcome of treatment.

Our study showed that the mean age of patients was 48.82±20.47 years. D. Chandrika et al (2017)⁸ found youngest patient was 7 years and oldest patient was 67 years.

Paulius Ugincius et al (2006)⁹ found mean age of the female was 46.6±15.0, the mean age of the men was 42.1±14.4. Statistically significant difference in the age difference of the women and the men was found (p=0.0024).

In present study, male to female ratio was 3.16:1. Rural was more common (80%) as compared to urban (20%). D. Chandrika et al (2017)⁸ found male to female ratio was 1.08:1. Another study conducted by Lee and Lee,¹⁰ in which the male to female ratio was 15:12 with a higher incidence in men.

The most common symptoms was present with headache/facial pain (95%), followed by nasal obstruction (53%), nasal discharge (38%), sneezing (36%) and epistaxis (5%) in our study. Which was consisted with Prakash and Biyyapu et al (2016)¹¹, Longhini and Ferguson¹² & Andric et al.¹³

R. H. Kamel (1989)¹⁴ found that all cases of chronic maxillary sinusitis were associated with anatomical variations and/or pathological abnormalities of ‘the ostiomeatal area’. Dua K, et al (2005)¹⁵ found deviated nasal septum was present in 44% of patients, which was consisted with our results.
In nasal endoscopy, right deviated nasal septum was found most commonly (39%) followed by left deviated nasal septum in 20% of cases, pale colored mass arising from middle meatus (19%), B/L inferior turbinate hypertrophy with middle turbinate hypertrophy (12%) and B/L inferior turbinate hypertrophy with paradoxical middle turbinate hypertrophy in 10 patients. Our study consisted with Pandey A et al (2014)¹⁶ & Chandrika D, Anantharaju GS (2017)⁸.

In our study showed that the, in x-ray PNS the opacification of maxillary sinus present most commonly in 19% of cases and haziness of maxillary sinus present in 12% of cases and in CT scan, the soft tissue attenuation in maxillary sinus present most commonly in 18% of cases followed by middle turbinate pneumatisation in 12% cases, paradoxical curvature of middle turbinate in 10% cases and only 1% cases had seen mass occupying maxillary sinus. Which was consisted with Pandey A et al (2014)¹⁶ & Chandrika D, Anantharaju GS (2017)⁸.

Our study observed that the mostly patients occurred with right deviated nasal septum (39%) followed by left DNS (20%), antrochonal polyp (19%), concha bullosa (12%) and paradoxical turned middle turbinate present in 10% cases. Diagnostic nasal endoscopy with CT improves the diagnostic accuracy. Varied symptomology, varied etiology and uncommon presentation of unilateral maxillary sinusitis requires comprehensive approach by otorhinolaryngologist. Dua K, et al (2005)¹⁵ found deviated nasal septum was found in 44% of patients Wani AA et al (2009)¹⁷ found Concha bullosa was the commonest anatomic variation and was seen in 45 (30%) patients.

In present study found that the majority of cases had treated with septoplasty with MMA (35%) followed by conservative treatment was done in 24% cases, FESS was 19% cases, turbinoplasty with MMA in 12% cases and endoscopic remodeling of paradoxical turbinate was done in 10% of cases. Wani AA et al (2009)¹⁷ revealed that thorough preoperative CT evaluation of the patients undergoing FESS is necessary to detect various anatomical variations in the ostiomeatal complex & Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) has revolutionized the approach and treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis.

**Concluded**

We concluded that certain anatomical variations are thought to be predisposing factors for the development of sinus disease it is necessary, for the surgeon to be aware of these variations. Nasal endoscopy and CT scan PNS assists the surgeon as a “road-map guide” during medical and surgical management of unilateral chronic maxillary sinusitis.
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