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Abstract

MeerKAT radio continuum and XMM-Newton X-ray images have recently revealed a spectacular bipolar channel at the Galactic Center that spans several degrees (~0.5 kpc). An intermittent jet likely formed this channel and is consistent with earlier evidence of a sustained, Seyfert-level outburst fueled by black hole accretion onto Sgr A* several Myr ago. Therefore, to trace a now weak jet that perhaps penetrated, deflected, and percolated along multiple paths through the interstellar medium, relevant interactions are identified and quantified in archival X-ray images, Hubble Space Telescope Paschen α images and Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array millimeter-wave spectra, and new SOAR telescope IR spectra. Hydrodynamical simulations are used to show how a nuclear jet can explain these structures and inflate the ROSAT/eROSITA X-ray and Fermi γ-ray bubbles that extend ±75° from the Galactic plane. Thus, our Galactic outflow has features in common with energetic, jet-driven structures in the prototypical Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068.
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1. Introduction

In recent years we have come to recognize that the Galactic Center (GC) is recovering from a major power surge. Due to rapid improvements in multiwavelength spectral imaging, we can now associate the lingering record of this ferocity with structures in classical active galactic nuclei (AGNs). In particular, radio continuum (MeerKAT; Heywood et al. 2019) and X-ray (Ponti et al. 2019) ridges and shells even more distant from the Galactic plane resemble energetic outflows from some Seyfert galaxies. While some of the GC’s low-latitude loops may be nearby (e.g., Tsuboi et al. 2020), X-rays arch far above the plane (ROSAT; Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003; eROSITA; Predehl et al. 2020) to envelop γ-ray (“Fermi”; Su et al. 2010) bubbles across ~150° of sky.

To assess the impacts of such outbursts on the assembly and evolution of an L* galaxy like the Milky Way (MW), we must understand how often they occur and which processes power them. The date and duration of the most recently ended episode of significant power are key. Quantifying vestigial outcomes such as a fading jet today could probe the pressure gradient in hot gas in the GC, in turn uniquely constraining the duty cycle, accretion flow, and duration of recent AGN episodes in a substantial spiral galaxy.

Set against an ancient stellar population at the GC is a distinct population of young, intermediate mass stars on elliptic orbits. All of these are 3–8 Myr old (Paumard et al. 2006; Mauerhan et al. 2010; Yelda et al. 2014) with several ejected to high velocities within the last 5 Myr (Koposov et al. 2020). As such, they likely formed from the same fuel that rejuvenated the nuclear activity (Lucas et al. 2013).

However, the implied rate to form stars of <0.1 M⊙ yr⁻¹ is orders of magnitude too slow to inflate structures seen on a 10 pc scale let alone a thousand times larger. Nor can starbursts explain coherent, large-scale patterns in UV absorption lines (Fox et al. 2014), Hα (Krishnarao et al. 2020), X-ray spectral (Miller & Bregman 2016), and H1 (Lockman & McClure-Griffiths 2016) velocities, and the lingering non-stellar ionization of transceivers in the halo (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019).

These “fading echoes” tell us that Sgr A* at the very center was a thousandfold brighter than its current mean up to a century ago (Churazov et al. 2017) and for at least several centuries prior, 10⁻⁷ times brighter several thousand years ago, to a million times brighter within the last Myr (e.g., Nakashima et al. 2013; Ponti et al. 2013), timescales far too brief for stellar evolutionary processes. Thus, the consensus that ~10⁵⁶–⁵⁷ erg were injected into the interstellar medium (ISM) 2–8 Myr ago (e.g., Miller & Bregman 2016), possibly by a powerful jet sustained for up to 1 Myr (Guo & Mathews 2012; Yang et al. 2012; Zhang & Guo 2020; Mondal et al. 2021).

Are residual effects of an episodic MW jet evident? No characteristic, linear radio jet has been imaged at small radii in any data set or, definitely, on any scale. But kiloparsec-scale jets persist in otherwise normal barred spiral galaxies (e.g., Cecil et al. 2002; Keel et al. 2006), and some galactic black holes with jets are accreting only 100 times faster than the abstrinsious Sgr A* (e.g., Diţer et al. 2018). Moreover, very-long-baseline interferometry closure phases down to millimeter wavelengths (mm-VLBI, Bower et al. 2004) and the inclusion of co-phased Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) data to improve imaging (Issaoun et al. 2019) support a consistent interpretation of Sgr A* (e.g., Falcke et al. 1993) from radio through IR (and to X-rays during flares), and constrains the angle from our sightline of a plausible magnetized jet (Markoff et al. 2007; Issaoun et al. 2019). Infrared VLTI mapping has constrained the orbital inclination of bright flares in the current accretion disk of Sgr A* (Gravity
Collaboration et al. 2018), providing us with an axis near which
to search on larger scales. Beyond the 0.5 pc radius dominated
by Sgr A*, perhaps a weak nuclear jet lurks in the complicated
GC environment.

Therefore, in Section 2 we first summarize relevant
structures uncovered or linked together by recent, wide-field,
multiwavelength mosaics and by interferometry at very small
scales. Then, in Section 3 we identify aligned X-ray, infrared,
and molecular structures that we propose may trace the
currently active jet across 10 pc as its effects appear
sporadically in various wave bands. Jet dynamical times at
this scale would be several centuries, so in Section 4 our 3D
hydrodynamical simulations explore how the cocoon of a
low-power, inclined jet might alter the kinematics of adjacent cold
gas over several millennia. Because dynamic structures reach
hundreds of pc radii, we also simulate gas flows on that scale.
Section 5 mentions insights from AGN NGC 1068 whose more
powerful jets have been redirected by collisions also on that
scale. Position angle (PA) is measured in (R.A., decl.), but
otherwise north etc. refer to Galactic coordinates; our figures
default to north at top, and “the jet” is shorthand for “our
proposed jet.” At the GC 7.9 kpc from us (VERA Collaboration et al. 2020), 1" = 0.04 pc and 1 = 2.4 pc. All velocities are
relative to the local standard of rest.

2. Bubbles and Channels

Interferometers are imaging the GC in emission lines of
various excitation energies and critical densities to isolate
kinematical structures now on scales from just outside the
innermost stable orbit around the $M_{BH} = 4.1 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$
central black hole (Peikker et al. 2020), to cooler parts of its accretion
disk within 0.01 pc that rotate at ~500 km s$^{-1}$ (Murchikova et al. 2019).
Beyond at 0.25 pc lies a possible interaction with
one arm of the ionized “nuclear minispiral” (Li et al. 2013,
hereafter LMB13) within the ~4.5 pc diameter molecular Circumnuclear Disk/torus (CND, Tsuboi et al. 2018, hereafter T18). The CND starts at a 1.5 pc radius, tilts 30$^\circ$ ± 5$^\circ$ from
edge-on to us and, critically as we will discuss later, tilts ~20$^\circ$
to the Galactic plane (Zhao et al. 2009, hereafter Z09; Hsieh et al. 2017; T18). Simulations (e.g., Tress et al. 2020) suggest
that it is replenished by stellar feedback at a rate ~0.3 $M_{\odot}$
yr$^{-1}$.

Beyond the CND, X-ray emission concentrates into a pair of
5 × 10 pc ellipsoidal lobes elongated from the Galactic plane.
The northern one (Figure 1) is transected by radial streams of
radio continuum emission (Zhao et al. 2016, hereafter Z16) and
dark, radial dust streaks. Highly ionized edges of many
molecular clouds within a 5$^\circ$ radius show the fluorescent
6.4 keV iron Kα line and reflected continua plus the lower-
energy end of a plausible Compton bump (Koyama 2018)
that together signify nonequilibrium photoionization. Time-corre-
lated variations of their fluxes have been sequenced in space (e.g.,
Churazov et al. 2017) to trace past eruptions associated
with enhanced accretion to infer that Sgr A* powered down
within the last century from a state ~10$^4$ × brighter than today
that had persisted for centuries.

The northern X-ray lobe ends in projection on a “molecular
loop” (ML) comprised of two distinct kinematical systems over
100–180 pc in longitude at latitudes $b = 0^\circ$–0.35 whose profiles in various molecular lines split at ~35 and
+70 km s$^{-1}$ centers (Figure 9 of Henshaw et al. 2016) near longitude 0$. Also rooted here is one end of the radio
continuum “Q-lobe” (Sofue & Handa 1984) that rises to 15 pc
and entrains dust and synchrotron emission (Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) and ions (Figure 2) are workflow up to 14 kpc revealed by eROSITA (Predelh et al. 2020) envelopec $5^\circ$ (6 kpc) high Fermi $\gamma$-ray bubbles (FB
hereafter, Ackermann et al. 2014) that jet models (Zhang & Guo 2020), among other processes, can explain.

Figure 1. This region at the GC with degree labels shows X-rays, energetic electrons, and molecular gas overlaid on Paschen $\alpha$ from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in rust color. The last, shown log-scaled, brightens through orange to yellow especially in the minispiral around SgrA* in the lower quarter of box (b) that delineates Figure 5 panels (b)-(d). The northern X-ray lobe
envelops Chandra in green (2–4 keV) and blue (4.5–8 keV, mostly within the CND and at many compact sources) is spanned by radio continuum filaments (ragged gray–white overlay) mapped by the JVLA (shown alone in Figure 2(e)). Within box (b) in pink is the redshifted ALMA CS emission
discussed in Section 3.3. In translucent overlay is one of our smaller-scale 3D simulations launched along the dashed yellow jet axis. The larger-scale simulation is compared to data in Figures 3 and 31. Box (a) spans Figure 11.
Figure 2. (a) Ponti et al. (2019) Figure 4 in inverse grayscale (1.5–2.6 keV X-rays from XMM-Newton) is blue in (c), where it is overlaid with the MeerKAT 1.28 GHz image (b) from Heywood et al. (2019) Figure 1 in pink. Galactic coordinate degrees are marked in (c). Panel (d) adds to GC structures in (b): green contours show 15 GHz emission in the extended narrow-line region of the nearby AGN NGC 1068 (Very Large Array (VLA); Wilson & Ulvestad 1987, Figure 1, used with permission of the AAS) and 5 GHz (MERLIN; Gallimore et al. 2004, Figure 1, used with permission of the AAS) emission is indicated by beige contours near the nucleus. The northern radio lobe of NGC 1068 and the northern Fermi Bubble of the MW (arc in (a)) flare out at an identical scale. The central region at 5.5 GHz from Z16 is shown with log-scaled intensities in (e), which covers the region plotted in Figure 1; the jet axis in blue is extrapolated on the other panels. The box in (e) delineates Figure 5 panels (b)+ (d).
LMB13 proposed the one grayscale and the CND delineated by the ellipse; et al. = X-ray jet; 2009-28 in inverse grayscale (magenta-green-blue from NASA 17 registered images. intensity scaled with CND delineated; (ray (2019) Figure 3. The Astrophysical Journal, 2015) close-up from Ponti et al. (2016) with the CND delineated; (9) Close-up of 5.5 GHz JVLA log-intensity scaled with CND delineated; (10) Paschen α HST/NICMOS from Wang et al. (2010a); (11) Chandra 2.6–4.5 keV in red, 4.5–8 keV in blue (P19); (12) 5.5 GHz JVLA with Chandra 2.6–8 keV (P19) showing the southern X-ray jet; (13) ALMA CS –77.5 km s–1 channel (Tsuió et al., 2018), H42α in orange (Tsuió et al. 2017). The counter-jet is projected northward from the southern X-ray jet. (14) Simulated jet particle density at t = 3.6 kyr superimposed on the T18 ALMA CS +77.5 km s–1 data cube slice; (15) T18 data cube slice and our inferred geometry; (16) Chandra 2.4–8 keV magenta-green-blue from NASA/CXC/STScI Wang (press release STScI-2009-28); (17) Paschen α HST/NICMOS atop the T18 ALMA datacube slice. ray “cap” at its north boundary and an X-ray bright “claw” at its southwestern boundary. Connecting these, an apparent linear “channel” inclined 15° (Su & Finkbeiner 2012) from the Galactic polar axis that might trace a jet did not survive more Fermi data (Ackermann et al. 2014), although subtracting fore/background γ-ray emission is model specific.

Ionized segments of the Magellanic Stream near the southern Galactic pole are our most distant transceivers of past activity; their unusually high excitation and kinematics (Fox et al. 2020) may arise from exposure to a cone of high-spectrum photons during a prolonged Seyfert phase of the MW 2.5–4.5 Myr ago (Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003; Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019).

3. Uncovering the Milky Way’s Jet

As LMB13 noted, within the GC all candidate jets in various wave bands have quite different position angles (PAs) on sky. But in Section 3.2 and in interactive Figure 3 we describe unique features that straddle Sgr A* and may trace the effects of a plausible jet cocoon for 4/2. LMB13 proposed the one currently active south of Sgr A*. Here, we assess three more diametrically across Sgr A* to the north. Gas moving radially near the GC can be on either side of the CND depending on preference for in- or outflow. T18 interpreted as inflowing some of the structures that in the following discussion we interpret as outflowing.

3.1. Jet Near Our Sightline at Sgr A*?

Especially parallel to the Galactic plane, VLBI views of activity very nearby Sgr A* are blurred by electron scattering that increases as \( \lambda^2 \). Millimeter-VLBI arrays blur less to probe closer to Sgr A* where relativistic asymmetries ambiguate separation of accretion flows from jet flows, especially if the jet axis is not near the sky plane or if it starts beyond the scale accessed by the shorter baselines of a sparse antenna array.

Closure phases at \( 17 \) mm fitted by Markoff et al. (2007) to their model of a bipolar, freely expanding jet radiating synchrotron yielded a jet axis along PA 90°–120°, <13° on sky from the Galactic polar axis, and inclined \( \geq 75° \) to our sightline. Symmetric closure phases at \( 3.5 \) mm taken with sparse north–south baselines (Broderick et al. 2011) allowed a 48°–73° inclination to avoid Doppler boosting one side of a bipolar jet.

Subsequently, with co-phased ALMA, LMT, and the GBT linked in too, Issaoun et al. (2019) found negligible asymmetry of the intrinsic (i.e., unscattered) image of Sgr A*, hence that any jet must launch \( \leq 20° \) from our sightline (Figure 4(b).
3.2. Jet Orientations within 1 pc South of Sgr A*  

3.2.1. Prior Work

The Chandra X-ray archive provided many calibrated ACIS-I detector event files\(^7\) of the GC that we merged with CIAO-v4.11 to create an image similar to those of Baganoff et al. (2003) and Zhu et al. (2019). The resulting Figures 5(b) and (g) show source G359.944-0.052, which is marginally resolved at 0.04 pc across (Zhu et al. 2019) and extends 0.3 pc along PA 12475 ± 19.5. LMB13 proposed a synchrotron origin for its featureless spectrum and constrained its properties as a jet. Zhu

\(^7\) We used sequences 5951, 5950, 4684, 4683, 3549, 3665, 3393, 3392, 3663, 2951, 2943, 2954, 2052, and 242.
et al. (2019) found that its initial hard X-ray spectrum softened along its length and hence inferred synchrotron cooling of a few years from a supply of relativistic electrons that has been stable over 20 yr of observation.

Between it and Sgr A’ LMB13 also identified the -shaped “Seagull Nebula” in VLA images, and argued that it is a jet/ISM interaction evident also as a gap in the proximate minispiral arm (see Figure 6 bottom) where adjacent IR spectra show shock-excited emission-line ratios. Because the nebula is wider than the X-ray feature, they suggested that the nebula spans the lower-momentum jet cocoon. Summing over the shock front yields a dereddened Paschen luminosity of ~2.3 x 10^{30} erg s^{-1} (Wang et al. 2010b).

Z09 derived 3D orbits from proper+Doppler motions of clumps in the minispiral, found mostly Keplerian motion, and established uncertainties mostly along our sightline (their Table 5); small deviations from Keplerian (Tsuboi et al. 2017; Goicoechea et al. 2018) imply enough confinement to prevent the thermal dispersal of ionized gas but insufficient to ram decelerate it. Recent dust polarization maps from SOFIA/HAWC+ indicate some magnetic confinement (Morris et al. 2021), and the twisted morphology of a minispiral arm (Figure 6) is likewise suggestive.

3.2.2. New Insights on Interaction with the CND

We projected onto our sightline the uncertain Keplerian orbit of the minispiral Eastern Arm (Figure 4). If the southern jet is indeed interacting with the Eastern Arm, only the subset of the orbits in panel (c) that remain in panel (d) are allowed by our sightline. This set orients the jet across the ~50° range shown in side-view Figure 5(c) and overhead panel (f). Its axis at this scale would therefore be 15°–30° from the Galactic polar axis, and the tilt of the CND torus places the jet axis closer to its eastern end than to its western.

The minispiral interaction therefore inclines the northern jet 73°–126° to us, consistent with jet+scattering models that reproduce the mm-VLBI visibilities of Sgr A’ (Markoff et al. 2007) but contrary to recent more face-on determinations (Figure 4(b) circled insert and magenta line in (c)).

3.3. Jet Influence Visible North of Sgr A’ within 2 pc

Here, we examine possible interactions of a counter-jet with the ISM north of Sgr A’. None appear in X-rays or radio continua. However, T18 highlight very suggestive molecular structures. Their program 2012.1.00080.S archived full data cubes of C^{34}S, CH_{3}OH lines, H42α, and CS channels LSR −2.5 to +127.5 km s^{-1} (remaining blueshifted channels of CS were flagged for quality control and therefore were unavailable). Sgr A’ lies near the edge of their imaged field using combined 12 and 7 m dish baselines. Hence, new observations will be needed for features beyond 1/6 north. Other archived ALMA data sets of the GC are too insensitive or narrow field for us, so in Section 3.4 we extend coverage with a published Nobeyama 45 m spectral map.

Recall that northward flow along most of the orientations allowed by a southern jet interaction (Figure 4) would appear redshifted. We view the Eastern Arm behind Sgr A’ where its uncertain orbit permits interaction with a northern colinear jet for some of these orientations.

3.3.1. Anomaly C of T18

The ALMA data cubes reveal a uniquely linear structure within the otherwise complicated motions of highly excited molecular gas that project on the GC region. Specifically, T18 identified (their Figure 12) molecular gas having kinematics anomalous to the ~115 ± 10 km s^{-1} rotation plus 23 ± 5 km s^{-1} average contraction of the CND that they derived. They clarified deviations from coherent “streamers” that are plausibly associated with fueling (e.g., Hsieh et al. 2017, and references therein), finding that Anomaly C between 71–92 km s^{-1} has strong CS(J = 2 – 1), C^{34}S, and CH_{3}OH lines but faint/absent others (Figure 7) including H42α. They used Figure 5(e) to show that this Anomaly is not connected kinematically to the minispiral.

3.3.2. New Insights on Anomaly C

The beam taper used by T18 to image the region introduced enough uncertainty in the continuum level (suppressed in the dark areas of Figure 5(e)) to prevent us from extracting reliable emission-line profiles across Anomaly C. Therefore, in Figure 8 we simply sum emission over sequential channel maps. There, Anomaly C appears as linear features in the 71–92 km s^{-1} channels, so that its velocity dispersion is near the median of values throughout the central molecular zone (Henshaw et al. 2016), which implies Mach numbers >25 for this molecular flow. Northward its redshifted velocities decrease by <5 km s^{-1} along its ~50° length. Its double strands at its highest receding velocities merge together at 83 km s^{-1} for 12 km s^{-1}, then fade completely by 71 km s^{-1}. C^{34}S and CH_{3}OH lines trend the same way.

This is the coherent pattern of a mostly transverse flow toward us, in an opaque semi-cylinder tilted away from us to
Figure 7. ALMA LSR $+77.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ channel in diagnostic lines (based on parts of Figures 3–6 of T18) after continuum subtraction. The lines show that Anomaly C has $10^{10} (T_{ex}/200 \text{ K}) M_\odot$ of weakly shocked molecular gas at $\lesssim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The CND is the dashed ellipse, and the nuclear minispiral within it is shown as white 100 GHz continuum contours. The dashed line extrapolates the southern jet–Sgr A* axis to the north; it lies slightly west of Anomaly C.

Figure 8. Inverse grayscale image over $1.6 \times 2.3 \text{ arcmin}^2$ of ALMA CS ($J = 2 - 1$) channels, and their sums in the rightmost column; velocity in $\text{km s}^{-1}$ relative to the LSR. The region plotted is similar to that in Figure 5(d). Continuum emission has not been subtracted but is only noticeable at Sgr A* (black dot) and in the minispiral. The proposed jet impact to the north, Anomaly C, is delineated by the red box to show how it shifts from parallel strands starting at bottom left to center filled in the top row. The dashed projected jet axis adjacent to the fainter western strand is drawn near the right side within the box. The rightmost column sums emission over the velocities indicated.
provide the overall redshift (Figure 9), and brightened where closest to the inner edge of the CND. Summing flux over the boxes in the rightmost column of Figure 7, the double strand comprises 27% of the mass of Anomaly C; the single strand has the rest.

CS and H$^{13}$CO transitions track dense molecular gas at $n(H_2) = 10^4$ and $10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively. C$^{34}$S/CS tracks an optical depth at $n(H_2) = 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. CH$_3$OH forms on cold dust whose ice but not grain is evaporated in weak shocks (a jump $\Delta V \lesssim 10$ km s$^{-1}$); it is bright at both ends of Anomaly C. Thus, Figure 7 shows Anomaly C to be weakly (C-)shocked gas at $\lesssim 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$.

Assuming LTE, T18 established its total mass as $10^4 (T_{ex}/200 \text{ K}) M_\odot$, $\sim 3\%$ of the CND’s mass. We used the channel sums shown in the right-hand column of Figure 8 to isolate the western strand closest to the jet in channels 83–92 km s$^{-1}$, which we found comprises 16% of the mass of Anomaly C hence a kinetic energy $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{17} (T_{ex}/200 \text{ K})$ erg. By comparison, the jet seen by McLeod et al. (2018) to flow from a $\sim 15 M_\odot$ protostar at $>300$ km s$^{-1}$ for $\sim 10$ pc encompasses $\sim 0.1 M_\odot$ of ionized gas and could ultimately entrain $<10 M_\odot$ of molecular gas to $\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$. While unquestionably the star-forming environment of the GC is unusual, to explain Anomaly C without Sgr A*, more than 100 such intermediate mass protostars would have had to form in the nuclear cluster within the last $\sim 40$ kyr and develop a single directional flow without disturbing the rest of the CND.

### 3.3.3. Anomaly A of T18

T18 identified other structures whose kinematics deviate from the clockwise-rotating CND. Here, we mention only two, first redshifted “Anomaly A” that “counter-rotates” (Martín et al. 2012) by $\sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ on the near side to us in the Western Arc (see Figure 5(d)). It is closest at 67–117.5 km s$^{-1}$ in CS, C$^{34}$S, and SiO (which tracks fast shocks), but is faint in H$^{13}$CO$^+$. In SiO $J = 2–1$ its filaments span $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$, broader than other features around the CND (T18 Figure 8(d)), that extend for $\sim 1'$ from its northern edge. T18 estimated an LTE ionized mass of $(1200–6500) (T_{ex}/100 \text{ K}) M_\odot$ to optical depth 1.5. Therefore, like Anomaly C, it is shock excited but here stronger at $\sim 50$ km s$^{-1}$ and potentially several times more massive. T18 suggested that this gas is infalling to Sgr A*, but our simulations described in Section 4 show a broad pattern of jet induced outflow from the CND that generates filaments with kinematics like Anomaly A.

### 3.4. Jet Influence beyond 2 pc North of the GC?

#### 3.4.1. Prior Work

Beyond the ALMA data cube, 6 × 12 pc bipolar ellipsoids anchored on the CND (Morris et al. 2003) are prominent in Ar-Ca + Blue-Ca and Ca XIX bands (photon energies 3.27–3.73, 4.07–4.5, and 3.78–3.99 keV, respectively; Ponti et al. 2015, hereafter P15). Each contains $1–3 M_\odot$ of hot gas with a thermal energy $\sim 10^{45}$ erg. Their shocked edges brighten at 4′ from the GC, which suggests to those authors an explosive not stellar-wind origin. No compact features to the north in the Chandra image (e.g., Figures 10–12 of P15) align with the jet axis, but the XMM-Newton bands show (see Figure 12 of P15) that the north lobe at 5′4 (13 pc on sky) has a bright edge across a plausible jet span. Closer in, Figure 1 shows that the north lobe is embedded within a broad cone (−75° opening angle) of radial dust plumes and Paschen $\alpha$ (Wang et al. 2010b, Figure 2) but no CS (J = 2 – 1) (Figure 7 of Hsieh et al. 2016). The position–velocity diagram (PVD hereafter) in Figure 10 shows that CS with kinematics like those of Anomaly C span 110 km s$^{-1}$ and extend north for 1′8 to almost $b = 0^\circ$. (We explain shortly how SOAR telescope near-infrared spectra registered the Paschen $\alpha$ HST image to this PVD of CS.)

#### 3.4.2. New Insights on Nebula SmR-3

Nebula SmR-3 is 3′6 from the GC (8.6 pc on sky, Figure 11). Z16 derived its 5.5 GHz flux density of $0.41 \pm 0.06$ Jy, assuming thermal emission.\(^8\) Across a third of its southern boundary (Figure 12 top) is a bright wedge perpendicular to the projected jet axis that would span at least 3″ as seen from Sgr A*. Within the boxes in Figure 11(a) we subtracted the underlying diffuse emission then summed the Paschen $\alpha$ flux. The bigger box has $\sim 3.9 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$, while the smaller has

\(^8\) We used the 5.5 GHz image of Z16 from Dr. Zhao’s website because the JVLA archive provided only $uv$ tracks that would require specialized processing beyond the scope of this paper to optimize the dynamic range of the derived image.
Figure 10. The base image contours latitude—velocity (b−v) CS(J = 1 − 0) emission-line profiles (based on Figure 7 of Hsieh et al. 2016 region C, reproduced by permission of the AAS) from the Nobeyama 45 m dish. The velocity scale is at bottom and latitude is at left. These velocity profiles are extracted by summing over the Galactic longitudes delineated by the box that superimposes the Paschen α emission in color and shows the interaction in Figure 11 at the southern edge of the SmR-3 nebula of Z16. Note the faint northward extension of the jet/Anomaly C in the red wing of the profiles at 70–90 km s−1 at bottom right; it is not evident in the CS(J = 2 − 1) PVD of Hsieh et al. (2016). “ML blue” and “ML red” are parts of the very extensive molecular features discussed by Henshaw et al. (2016) and references therein.

Figure 11. The possible jet/ISM impact north of Sgr A* on the southern boundary of nebula SmR-3. The region plotted is delineated by box (a) in Figure 1. (a) Line-only emission after subtracting continuum using the NICMOS/F190 image as described in Dong et al. (2011) and extracted from the HST/NICMOS Paschen α Survey of the Galactic Centre (Wang et al. 2010b). The jet axis defined at a smaller scale near the CND is extrapolated as the dotted red line. The 10′′ × 6′′ and 20′′ × 10′′ (magnified as Figure 12 top) boxes delineate where we summed Paschen α flux. (b) NICMOS F187N filter image; the nearest WN star lies just off the top right of this figure. (c) JVLA 5.5 GHz continuum (from Z16) matching (a) well.

1.7 × 10^{30} \text{erg s}^{-1}. These straddle the luminosity of Paschen α at the southern shock (Figure 12 bottom). Likewise panel (c) yields 120 and 15 mJy, respectively, i.e., the V-feature in radio contains a smaller fraction of the southern boundary flux. Just south of SmR-3 we find an average surface brightness over 3 × 3 arcsec^2 of 0.12 mJy per 1.6 × 0.6 arcsec^2 beam versus 0.44 mJy beam^{-1} at its southern boundary. Converting these optically thin values to volume emissivity requires the length along our sightline. Figure 11(c) shows that most of the radio emission below the southern boundary of SmR-3 lies within filament NWstr-3 of Z16, originating near Sgr A* and not distributed throughout the GC region. It is barely resolved, ∼1.75′′ across, so we assume this depth along our sightline. The on/off flux ratio here is less than four so is not a J-shock front, but instead might be ionized by the WR and other hot/windy stars nearby, or be a C-shock (ions too weak to dissociate the ambient, magnetized ISM).

To constrain its location and excitation, on UT 2021 May 29 λλ1−2.4 μm SOAR/tspec4.1 (Schlawin et al. 2014; Herter et al. 2020) echelle spectra were obtained along the three slits shown in Figure 12 at spectral resolution R ∼ 3500 with a 1.7′′ wide slit in 0.75 FWHM seeing. Several emission lines were mapped including the He I triplet from hot stars in the GC; after accounting for the instrumental profile of >80 km s^{-1}, all were narrower than 6 km s^{-1} without detectable broad wings. The nebula is likely on the near side of the GC because the Pa β/Br γ flux ratio of ∼2.5 that we measure indicates the K-band extinction characteristic of that region (Fritz et al. 2011).

These spectra, with those along Anomaly D, and their relation to the radio continuum emission will be discussed in a subsequent paper, but Figure 13 shows Br γ emission-line profiles along the slits across SmR-3 with wavelengths simultaneously calibrated from OH^+ lines and the velocity scale corrected to the LSR. All velocity centroids are at
−62 ± 5 km s$^{-1}$, placing SmR-3 25 km s$^{-1}$ from the centroid of the blueshifted side of the split CS line profile in Figure 10. Section 5.2 discusses the implications of this velocity.

4. Simulations

Our numerical simulations examine whether the observations described above could trace the effects of an AGN jet. The main difficulty in modeling an MW jet and its ISM interactions is that we know neither the jet properties nor the ISM conditions when jet activity starts. Prior jet events are also likely, in which case we also do not know the duty cycle. While a study of the full parameter space of the jet and ISM properties is beyond the scope of this work, we can nevertheless create, without excessive tuning, models that match the observed gas-dynamical phenomena and morphological structures.

We performed a series of 3D relativistic hydrodynamical simulations of an AGN jet launched from the MW central black hole that interacts with the surrounding ISM on two separate spatial scales: (1) the central kiloparsec-scale volume of the MW disk to compare its morphology with the MeerKAT 1.274 GHz and the 1.5–2.6 keV XMM-Newton X-ray data sets; (2) the CND-scale GC to focus mostly on the formation of spatio-kinematical molecular structures resembling Anomaly C. Our simulations do not directly address the formation of the larger-scale Fermi and ROSAT/eROSITA bubbles.

We constructed initial conditions that satisfy the observational constraints described in Sections 2 and 3 and that represent the gravitational potential and gas distribution of the central MW. The Appendix details how the simulations are set up and conducted. The jet power is fixed at $P_{\text{jet}} = 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Simulation results including movies are available at https://www2.ecc.tsukuba.ac.jp/Astro/Members/ayw/research/mwagn.

4.1. Results from the Kiloparsec-scale Simulations

Figure 14 is a volume rendering of two snapshots, at $t \approx 0.5$ Myr and $t \approx 3$ Myr, of the simulation to display the
expulsion of the ISM clouds (density in orange) and the spatial extent of the jet plasma by using the jet tracer variable (bluish-white).

The snapshots in Figure 15 show the jet propagating through the height of the MW disk and strongly interacting with ISM clouds. While the outer layers of the clouds are slowly ablated by the shearing jet streams, layers within are soon compressed by radiative shocks and begin to contract into long-lived filaments that fragment due to hydrodynamical and thermal instabilities. Clumps and filaments are slowly carried outward at $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Snapshots in Figure 16 of the mean gas temperature (excluding any jet plasma) along our sightline (x-axis) at a fairly late time $t = 9.8 \text{ Myr}$ show that the clouds, although dispersed by strong interactions with the jet, radiate efficiently and so remain cool.

As the main jet stream interacts with clouds, it splits into multiple secondary streams that percolate through the entire volume to disperse and gradually evacuate clouds from the central region around the main jet path. Even after the jet head has propagated out of the simulation box, strong interactions persist as small clouds in the jet path split and divert jet streams. Throughout the simulation, clouds experience strong ram and thermal pressures from the jet (Wagner et al. 2012).

To find a jet powerful enough to not be entirely frustrated at the end of a simulation but weak enough to interact with a substantial gas volume, we tested ranges of jet powers, $P_{\text{jet}}$, and their associated over-pressures with respect to the ambient medium, bulk Lorentz factors, $\Gamma$, and ratios of jet rest mass energy density to jet pressure, $\chi$. Jet powers of $10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1} > P_{\text{jet}} > 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ are satisfactory; here, we present only the results using the fiducial parameters $P_{\text{jet}} = 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, $\Gamma = 1.1$, $\chi = 50$, and radius $r_{\text{jet}} = 12 \text{ pc}$. At its base the jet is over-pressured thirteen-fold, and its density relative to the adjacent ISM hot-phase gas is $\sim 0.0025$.

We also tested a range of mean column densities for the clouds. We settled on $N \approx 1.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ because smaller values excessively mix jet plasma, hot-phase gas, and clouds, while larger columns produce excessive flow variations for

Figure 15. Midplane slices at $y = 0$ of the evolving density field. In this color map, jet plasma is bluish, hot ISM pale green, and warm and cold clouds are orange–red. Jet plasma can displace dense gas in the central kpc$^3$, consistent with current day observations of the H I and molecular gas density distributions in the MW.

Figure 16. Evolution of the mean gas temperature projected along the x-axis (sightline toward Sgr A*). In this color map, warm clouds are orange–red, while hotter gas including gas ablated from clouds is yellow and blue. Despite the strong dispersal of clouds by the jet, the cloud cores remain cool due to their efficient radiative cooling.
different cloud initializations, i.e., clouds are too large and too few to randomize the jet flow sufficiently. We further discuss the role of \( \bar{N} \) in Section 5.1. Simulations with this column match the synthetic radio morphology of the jet to the MeerKAT 1.274 GHz data.

Figure 17 shows how the gas column density along the \( x \)-axis evolves after 2 Myr. Gas in the central region evacuates over a few Myr. After \( \sim 5 \) Myr much has been pushed away by the jet. At \( t = 9.8 \) Myr, the column density snapshot and Figure 16 map of projected mean temperature show a 250 pc radius, spherical shell of accumulated clouds. Note that the central region continues to evacuate long after the jet has traversed the height of the MW disk because secondary albeit weakening jet streams and lateral pressure gradients around clouds both persist. Our simulations thus support the idea that a jet operating over the most recent few Myr is responsible for the present-day deficit of molecular and neutral gas in the inner kiloparsec of the MW.

By \( \sim 10 \) Myr, much gas has left the central kiloparsec but dense clumps remain as the jet loses its mechanical advantage after breaking out to flow more freely through the inner kiloparsec (Wagner & Bicknell 2011). This simulation shows that \( P_{\text{jet}} = 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) is near the minimum power required to clear the central kiloparsec of the MW of gas.

Figure 18 shows snapshots of the synthetic radio surface brightness at 1.28 GHz of the simulation as seen from Earth. The radio emissivity and free–free absorption was computed as in Bicknell et al. (2018; see their Appendixes). The radio plasma quickly fills the entire volume to make the inner kiloparsec very radio bright. Rather than appearing as a highly collimated beam, the radio jet is broadened by its over-pressure and is split into secondary streams by clouds in its path.

Figure 19 shows soft (0.1–2 keV) and hard X-ray (2–10 keV) surface brightnesses obtained directly from the precomputed cooling function of MAPPINGS V (Sutherland & Dopita 2017), non-ionization-equilibrium cooling calculations that are also used in the simulations. The MAPPINGS V cooling rates per unit density squared at the cell temperature for these two energy bands are multiplied by the cell density squared and integrated along lines of sight. The MAPPINGS V calculations assumed a thermal plasma and the X-ray emission in the two energy bands is predominantly free–free emission. The figure shows that the ISM heats quickly by bow shocks of the jet and collisions, and by shear forces between jet flows and clouds. By 0.5 Myr the bow shock has propagated to the domain boundary.

While the main jet stream remains confined in the inner few hundred parsecs, secondary-jet streams flood the entire domain to keep the X-ray gas hot. The cooling layer of radiative shocks that are propagating into the clouds is especially clear in the soft X-ray images. The hard X-ray surface brightness is associated more with ablated gas that has undergone strong mixing with the hot-phase gas and jet plasma. It is more uniform later at \( t = 3 \) Myr except at bright hot spots where direct head-on interactions with the jet drives particularly strong shocks into dense clouds.
After the jet has pushed beyond 500 pc radius, it substantially reduces the filling factor of clouds to make the radio surface brightness more uniform. The jet morphology remains laterally extended, not as narrow as one might expect, because jet plasma still spreads because of its interaction with remnant cloudlets. At \( t > 8 \) Myr the central region becomes an X-ray cavity because the jet has pushed away most of the thermal gas. The morphological differences of the X-ray emitting gas persist, with the hard X-ray maps showing filamentary ablated gas pointing toward the Galactic plane. These head-tail structures form when the jet backflow ablates clouds.

Outbursts may be cyclical. We therefore repeat the simulations described above, changing only the initial central gas distribution parameter of the McMillan (2017) profile for the H\( ^1 \) disk to \( R_m = 0.3 \) to partially fill the central hole. This represents the gas distribution once the MW disk gas has somewhat resettled following a prior outburst. Figure 20 shows the evolution of the jet and clouds along the midplane slice \( y = 0 \). The evolution is similar to that of the simulation with \( R_m = 0.3 \), but now the jet plasma vents through the Galaxy much more quickly so that most of the plasma has escaped by 1.5 Myr. The tilt of the jet ensures that jet–cloud interactions persist beyond several Myr, but are fewer and weaker than those seen when \( R_m = 0 \). The central region has little dense gas by 5 Myr.

Clouds carried away by the jet plasma either form long filaments later on (e.g., Figure 20, 1.5 Myr panel) or, if the jet stream and cloud collide strongly, flatten perpendicular to the stream and trail ablated gas (Mac Low et al. 1994). An example of the latter can be seen in Figure 15 in the top-right quadrants of the snapshots for \( t = 3.0 \) Myr and \( t = 4.3 \) Myr, where the jet hits clouds. Note how the cloud resembles the southern boundary of SmR-3 seen in Paschen \( \alpha \) and JVLA 5.5 GHz (Figure 12).
Figure 21 shows how the radio surface brightness evolves in this simulation. In the first snapshot at $t = 0.3$ Myr the main jet stream interacts strongly with clouds in the central region to disperse jet plasma in all directions, but mainly into two or three longer, coherent streams that are propagating into the halo. Splitting of the main stream is most pronounced early on. At $t = 3$ Myr we see an asymmetric jet morphology: the northern jet still strongly interacts with a cloud while the southern jet streams into the halo largely unimpeded. Later, at $t = 8$ Myr, both jets are propagating freely into a halo filled with jet plasma. The jets seem to become turbulent 300–400 pc from the central BH, possibly due to their deceleration that may be somewhat amplified by effects of our computational boundary.

Most of the soft X-ray emitting gas shown in the upper panels of Figure 22 is confined to the inner disk, while the hard X-ray emitting gas shown in the lower panels includes swept-up material that has been carried into the upper region of the disk and the halo. The cavities in the disk blown by the jet lead to patchy surface brightness in the X-ray maps, particularly clear in the soft X-rays later on ($t > 3$ Myr). Small X-ray cavities in the disk appear to have typical radii of $\sim 50$ pc at $t = 3$ Myr, 100 pc at $t = 5$ Myr and 100 pc at $t = 8$ Myr. Typical sizes of X-ray bright structures are defined mainly by the clouds, $\sim 50$ to 100 pc, that decrease slightly over time as the clouds are ablated, compressed, and fragmented.

4.2. Results from the CND-scale Simulations

The two simulations with different CND initial conditions reveal different ways to form Anomaly C. In both, the jet hits the front and back edges of the CND directly to start the strongest interactions.

4.2.1. Smooth CND

For the smooth CND, no jet plasma may enter, so the CND remains largely intact throughout the simulation. Figure 23 shows the evolution of the density of the jet and CND along a midplane slice through $y = 0$. Figure 24 shows the volume render of the jet tracer and CND gas density for the $t = 6$ kyr
snapshot. Jet plasma engulfs the CND and drives a strong radiative shock within that quickly stalls to form a dense protective layer, except where the jet hits the CND directly in “splashes.” There, shear instabilities grow at the surface that ponderously ablates gas and entrains as filaments into the jet while it is active.

The filaments stretch along the edge of the jet stream. Their density is fairly low (0.1–1 particles cm$^{-3}$) and their velocities are high (100–1000 km s$^{-1}$), although it is unclear to what extent numerical diffusion is responsible for the heating that facilitates entrainment and acceleration. Regarding morphology, while it is inviting to interpret the formation of filaments as a possible origin of Anomaly C, their total mass is only 100 $M_\odot$. Perhaps we have simulated the interaction of the jet too briefly. But, more likely, our highly idealized setup to explore direct ablation and entrainment of filamentary gas from the smooth surface of the CND does not permit thermal instabilities that would further mass-load the jet entrainment.

After $t = 3.6$ kyr until the run ends at 6 kyr the CND continues to shrink as gas ablates and accretes onto the BH, and the jet continues to entrain the ablated gas from the surface of the CND.

4.2.2. Clumpy CND

Figure 25 shows simulations with an initially clumpy CND made turbulent by jet interactions. They evolve very differently from the smooth case just discussed because bow shocks and jet plasma can propagate within the CND. Midplane slices in the top row of Figure 25 and the volume render of the jet tracer variable and CND gas density (Figure 26) are shown at $t = 7$ kyr. As the jet plasma percolates into the CND through lower-density channels, the CND gas disperses and shocks. Its inhomogeneities seed its fragmentation into dense clumps and its contraction is induced by thermal instabilities. It gradually flattens while becoming more porous. As it begins to contract and ablate, we see two developments not apparent in the smooth CND simulations that may be associated with Anomaly C.

First, the gas contracts into elongations that tend to be either parallel or perpendicular to jet streams that are percolating through the CND. Vertical dense plumes appear while more diffuse gas is removed continually from the CND, taking away substantial angular momentum and contracting the CND toward the midplane of its rotation. The plumes contain more gas than the ablated filaments (a few hundred $M_\odot$) and also have much lower velocities because they are not entrained along the jet. They nonetheless result directly from jet–gas interactions: radiative shocks driven by the percolating jet streams trigger their runaway collapse. Their life-span is uncertain, but may be fairly long because their high density minimizes hydrodynamical ablation.

Second, gas clumps are slowly lifting off the edges of the CND. They form cometary head-tail structures that persist due to radiative cooling and continuous compression by shocks. This is more pronounced in the simulation shown in the bottom row of Figure 25, which has a slightly different instance of initial densities so that the filling factor of clouds ahead of the jet is slightly higher to increase head-on collisions with the jet. Such clumps accelerate over a few kyr before dissipating.

Anomaly A may form from CND filaments that are contracting radiatively as they are compressed by jet plasma and gas turbulence incited by the jet. Gas that has been strongly impacted by the jet can diverge strongly from the mean rotation curve of the CND, as seen on galaxy scales in the molecular disk of radio Seyfert galaxy IC 5063 (Mukherjee et al. 2018b).
4.2.3. Relaxation after Jet–CND Interactions

To explore relaxation of the ISM, we followed evolution after switching off the jet at 3.0, 2.8, and 4.2 kyr of jet–CND interactions in the simulation with the smooth and the two simulations with the clumpy CND, respectively. Figure 27 shows snapshots of the last time step of the relaxation.

Figure 27. Snapshots of the last time step of the relaxation. For both the smooth and clumpy CND, the jet plasma, by virtue of its high internal pressure and radial momentum gained through interactions, continues to expand outward adiabatically. Although turbulent motions persist, turbulent and thermal pressures around the CND gradually decrease, and the dense CND gas begins to mix with the ambient ISM. The filaments cease to be compressed by strong shear from a continuous jet stream, so that most of the outflowing filaments and even clumps begin to expand rapidly with the jet plasma.

However, some filaments recondense or form from the highly mixed gas. A prominent example is the long feature denoted by the arrowhead at \((x, z) \approx (2.5, 0)\) pc in the right panel of Figure 27. The density of this filament is intermediate to that of the sharp directly ablated material seen in the smooth CND simulation and that of the thermally collapsing features seen inside the CND in the clumpy CND simulations.

4.2.4. Position–Velocity Diagrams

PVDs clarify more aspects of the jet–CND interactions by giving insight into the resulting velocity structures. They were created by mass-weighting 2D histograms in the \(y\) coordinate (the dimension we see across the MW disk) and \(v_x\) (the velocity component along our sightline) of all simulation cells. Note that the simulated PVD and associated column density maps cannot be compared to, e.g., CO data cubes because the observed gas is often optically thick.

For the simulations with a smooth CND, no features in the PVD were associated with filaments created in the “splash” region where the jet is ablating the CND because the ablated gas densities were too low to produce features discernible from the unperturbed CND.

By contrast, the clumpy CND simulations exhibit outcomes of strong jet–CND interactions. The left column of Figure 28...
shows results at 4 and 7 kyr, and at the end of the relaxation run. For each we also show the column density of the dense CND gas as seen along x (middle column) and z (right column). Individual clumps are clear in the rotation curve. The CND gas is very fragmented and at a <2 pc radius is stretched along the rotation curve. Interaction with the jet steepens the gradient in the PVD of each clump, but flattens the full rotation curve (see, e.g., Mukherjee et al. 2018b), a development that is clearest in the snapshot at 7 kyr. Widths and offsets of individual features in velocity space increase with time, but are generally a few tens of km s\(^{-1}\).

At 4 kyr, a sharp, inverted S-shaped antisymmetric feature about (0, 0) appears that persists to \(t = 7\) kyr. The column density view of the CND shows that this feature is associated with a thin, ring-shaped, strongly sheared and quickly rotating flow near the inner edge of the CND that was compressed by the jet. At 7 kyr, a structure stretches from \(v_x = 30\) km s\(^{-1}\) to \(v_x = -140\) km s\(^{-1}\). It is not clear if this is a single spatially continuous structure.

Mixing and recondensation of high density gas clumps as described in Section 4.2.3 is also evident in the PVD of the final snapshot from the simulation where the jet-perturbed CND is given time to settle (bottom row of Figure 28). The gradient across the CND remains shallower than before the jet–ISM interaction, but the clouds are no longer being sheared and compressed by the jet. Therefore, features in the PVD are less stretched as the clouds recondense. Evident at \((y, v_y) \sim \pm (1\) pc, 100 km s\(^{-1}\)\) are two sharp streaks that span \(-0.5\) pc in \(y\) and 70 km s\(^{-1}\) in \(v_y\); they seem to emanate from clumps. It is unclear if this is a remnant of the inner ring (and associated S-shaped structure in the PVD) in the snapshots while the jet was active because that ring has disappeared.

### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Simulation Caveats and Possible Improvements

These simulations are first steps to explore the effects of the jet on the MW ISM over the past few Myr. We based initial conditions—including gravitational potential and jet and CND orientations—on observations and models of the MW. However, the gas distribution just before the most recent jet episode is unknown, as are possible prior jet episodes. The volume filling factor in our initial gas distributions may, therefore, be excessive. As demonstrated with the kiloparsec-scale simulations, however, different filling factors (by adjusting parameter \(R_{\text{eff}}\) in the McMillan 2017 distribution) can simulate gas distributions resulting from previous jet events. A more extensive survey of filling factors and jet power may then constrain the duty cycle of the MW jet.

Simple simulation physics resulted in encouraging similarities between simulated radio images and the MeerKAT data. We found evidence for several formation scenarios of Anomaly C linked to jet–CND interactions in the GC. There are, however, caveats to consider when comparing models with observations.

First, hydrodynamical interactions between jets and ISM are complex. Outcomes are most sensitive to three parameters that in turn depend on the cloud porosity (filling factor) and scale height of their global distribution: (1) the ratio of jet power to mean ambient density (hot phase+clouds), \(P_{\text{jet}}/\bar{n}\), (2) the mean column density of clouds, \(\bar{N}\), and (3) the duration of jet confinement, \(t_{\text{conf}}\). In this paper we have not explored these parameters systematically. However, past work (Wagner et al. 2012) shows that jet-blown bubble dynamics are set mainly by \(P_{\text{jet}}/\bar{n}\), and that, for a given \(P_{\text{jet}}/\bar{n}\), the acceleration of clouds embedded within the bubble is proportional to \(1/\bar{N}\). For otherwise identical ISM parameters, \(\bar{N}\) also sets the radio morphology: larger values produce more blobby radio structures due to fewer but more prominently diverging secondary-jet streams, smaller values produce a prominent main jet stream and smoother radio surface brightness variations across the source.

Second, our simulations were designed, and their boundary conditions set, to ensure that the dynamics of the ISM gas in the simulation domain were governed primarily by prolonged interactions with the jet. However, it is important to check results with adaptive mesh simulations of the full jet to avoid boundary effects and to capture the dynamics of the jet backflow.

Third, the spatial resolution of our grid and numerical diffusion limits the range of density contrasts from gas compression and cooling that we can capture. For the kiloparsec-scale simulations, this limitation does not affect results significantly because global gas dynamics are mostly governed by \(P_{\text{jet}}/\bar{n}\). However, for the CND-scale simulations, numerical diffusion can inhibit formation of a narrow feature such as Anomaly C in the presence of strong shear or compressive forces that smooth out cooling layers to make their surfaces more susceptible to ablation. The CND-scale
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simulations, therefore, underestimate the mass of narrow filaments, and overestimate the ablation rate and their velocity once entrained.

Finally, the most important physics omitted here are magnetic fields and thermal conduction, which affect the stability and morphology of clouds embedded in fast flows (Shin et al. 2008). The filaments induced by the fast shear flows of the jet and subsequent radiative cooling may be further supported, and thus prolonged, by magnetic fields. Likewise, magnetic field dependent, anisotropic thermal conduction suppresses the growth of dynamical instabilities at the interfaces between clouds and ambient flow (Orlando et al. 2008). For the parsec-scale simulations, including magnetic fields will more accurately calculate the radio surface brightness. Including anisotropic conduction may alter the mixing rate of jet plasma and the ISM, which could alter the predicted X-ray surface brightness. For the kiloparsec-scale simulations, magnetic fields and anisotropic conduction will impact strongly the formation of Anomaly C. Field lines stretched along the jet axis through entrainment and thermal conduction may stabilize dense gas filaments along that axis. Similarly, lateral compression of gas by the jet could enhance the known magnetic field within the CND (Hsieh et al. 2018), which could in turn facilitate the formation of a linear feature such as Anomaly C by prolonging jet compression.

Early snapshots of our kiloparsec simulations of the second outburst suggest that the jet driving the MeerKAT 1.274 GHz structures may have occurred only 0.1–0.3 Myr ago and flowed into an ambient ISM more porous than that represented by the initial conditions of our simulated second outburst. Perhaps this outburst would form multiple broad streams of radio plasma that emerge from close to the plane of the MW disk and an arc-shaped bow shock within the inner 500 pc. Simulations to explore this scenario will require several-fold higher resolution near there. Close comparison of observational data with these and future refined simulations will constrain the history of the Milky Way’s nuclear jet.

5.2. Jet Cocoon Shocks in the North

Returning to observations, Figure 4 shows that the axis perpendicular to the current accretion disk cannot intersect the
putative southern jet interaction, but can hit SmR-3 if that nebula is at a ~20 pc radius beyond the far side of the CND. Conversely, over the allowed jet orientations inferred by the putative southern jet interaction, but can hit SmR-3 if that nebula is at a ~20 pc radius beyond the far side of the CND. Conversely, over the allowed jet interactions inferred by asserting its colinearity with the southern jet interaction, the northern counter-jet emerging from the CND within 30° of the CND’s spin axis would impact if SmR-3 is a diffuse extension of the CND 8.6 pc above the Galactic plane on either side of the CND. Because of its sideways tilt to the Galactic plane, the eastern inner edge of the CND is much closer to the jet than is the western (Figure 5(f)) for all orientations. The simulations emphasize that the jet can alter gas kinematics across the CND and produce localized deviations as large as that of Anomaly A.

The northward jet flow may therefore appear in molecular and ionized gas at the following two plausible jet/ISM interactions.

5.2.1. At Molecular Anomaly C

The kinematics of Anomaly C described in Section 3.4 are consistent with gas dragged by the jet cocoon from the closest part of the CND, thence receding from us as a cylindrical plume; likewise, the 6° and ~5° deviations on sky between the western strand and projected jet are naturally explained by projection there (Figure 29). Starting ~40° beyond the CND, the eastern strand of Anomaly C projected deeper into the CND wall has sufficient optical depth to appear in both CS isotopologues unlike its tenuous western strand. The velocity trends imply a ~10 km s\(^{-1}\) expansion along our sightline of a semi-cylinder inclined away from us to redshift overall by 70–80 km s\(^{-1}\). This redshift deprojects to \(\lesssim 85 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) expanding crosswise or \(\gtrsim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) flowing axially at constant velocity in the cylinder (Figure 5(e)). This is not the “Hubble law” linear acceleration of many protostellar molecular outflows, which attain comparable velocities but have only 1% of the mass in Anomol C.

If the jet is now so weak as to have almost stalled, the cocoon is then cool enough to not radiate efficiently so would expand more crosswise to pressurize the ambient ISM and bend flow streak lines to become almost perpendicular to the jet axis (e.g., the pure hydrodynamical simulation of Chernin et al. 1994). That semi-cylinder Anomaly C appears in CH\(_3\)OH channels points to gas excited by shocks of \(~10 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), which is a plausible velocity for a molecular cloud being shoved aside by an almost adiabatic fossil cocoon.

Anomaly C spans only 20 km s\(^{-1}\), indicating comparable turbulence throughout a dynamic structure. The absence of ionized emission and a ~10 km s\(^{-1}\) molecular flow suggest a C-shock wherein ambipolar diffusion of neutrals past ions dissipates energy. However, the poorly constrained local magnetic field \(B\), confused CO emission, and its uncertain conversion factor in the GC to H\(_2\) density \(n_{H_2}\), all prevent determination of the local Alfvén velocity \(V_{A} \sim 1300 B n_{H_2}^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}\) that must exceed the flow velocity for a C-shock to form. Alternatively, the higher 3D velocities of mostly axial motion would imply a more vigorous jet, but whose side entrainment shock would still be slow enough to emit only soft X-rays that are easily attenuated by a molecular screen. From an optical depth \(\tau = 3.2\) derived from its CS/C\(^{13}\)S fluxes, T18 assume LTE to estimate its total \(m(H_2) = 10^3(T_{ex}/200 \text{ K}) M_\odot\) with excitation temperature \(T_{ex}\) for \(n_{H_2} \sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) from all line constraints. The corresponding kinetic energy of Anomaly C \(\sim 10^{24} (T_{ex}/200 \text{ K}) \text{ erg}\) is at the top end from a single massive protostellar wind, but its molecular mass is at least 100× larger.

Simulations by Wang et al. (2010a) showed that a magnetic field and outflow feedback would prevent a comparable mass from forming many massive stars. Those authors showed that strong radiative cooling behind a direct jet/ISM impact compresses the flow and ultimately shrinks the bow shock, thereby sweeping up less ambient ISM compared to a weak interaction. The low observed velocity dispersion of Anomaly C indicates that the jet here is still supersonic, so should end in a noticeable bow shock that expands the cocoon away from the jet to increase entrainment. Entrainment will eventually make the jet subsonic hence increase turbulence in the surrounding ISM; momentum transfer is most effective at low Mach number through the resulting thin cocoon. Ambient gas would fill the shroud at the local sound speed in only \(~400/(10 \text{ km s}^{-1}) = 15,000 \text{ yr}\), or perhaps half this time if the Alfvén velocity is larger.

5.2.2. At Ionized Nebula SmR-3

The Nobeyama space—velocity map of of Hsieh et al. (2016) in Figure 10 shows that SmR-3 likely projects on the CS flux peak denoted “blue ML.” However, the CS line profiles do not split at SmR-3 because Figures 6–8 of Hsieh et al. (2016) show near-constant 110 km s\(^{-1}\) splitting across at least 35 pc.

In fact, by combining HNCO, N\(_2\)H\(^+\), and HNC data cubes, Henshaw et al. (2016) could track these two distinct kinematical features across at least 1.121 longitude (160 pc, their Figure 19) to place them >55 pc from Sgr A* for all three models that they examined. This placement was revised by Tress et al. (2020), who see in their simulations bi- and trifurcations in the dual bar-fed streams that connect discrete clouds within 30 pc of Sgr A* to the varying distribution of molecular gas at a ~100 pc radius. Evidently, locating toward the GC a specific cloud like SmR-3 is much more uncertain than just pinning it onto the various patterns of excursions around x\(_2\) orbits that were considered by Henshaw et al. (2016).

Figure 30 shows that the mm-VLBI alignment would place an interacting SmR-3 at \(\gtrsim 20 \text{ pc}\) from Sgr A* beyond the CND. Anchored at the southern interaction, a jet directed slightly toward us would place SmR-3 between 10 pc beyond Sgr A* (8.6 pc above the back wall of the CND) and immediately above the front of the CND. However, the blueshifted Br \(\gamma\)
profiles and moderate K-band extinction at the southern edge (Figure 13) favor the near side, roughly perpendicular to the spin axis of the current accretion disk inferred from orbiting hot spots (Gravity Collaboration et al. 2018).

P15 discussed SmR-3 as a shock at the edge of the northern X-ray lobe, noting reduced emission downstream at a molecular cloud. Warm gas in SmR-3 seems to shadow that X-ray lobe, noting reduced emission downstream at a × the left-hand side of the CND, which we omit here for clarity. (Figure 30. Locating spatially nebula SmR-3 (Figure 1) if on the far side of the CND, when constrained by interactions to the S (inner) or at mm-VLBI scale (outer). The alternative nearside placement would be immediately adjacent to the left-hand side of the CND, which we omit here for clarity.

P15 discussed SmR-3 as a shock at the edge of the northern X-ray lobe, noting reduced emission downstream at a molecular cloud. Warm gas in SmR-3 seems to shadow that part of the X-ray lobe. Paschen α at its southern boundary sums to 2.3 × 10^{37} erg s^{-1} and the Sgr A’ spans θ (R > 9 pc) ∼ 6° = 1.2 pc, a plausible envelope of a Mach ≤ 10 jet. However, what fraction of this emission might be jet powered is unknown until spectral maps constrain the contribution of a wind- or ionization-front from nearby WR Paschen α and X-ray source X174522.6-285844 (Mauerhan et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2010a), and radiation from CND clusters alone of 10^{39} erg s^{-1} (Martins et al. 2007).

We explored the southern edge of SmR-3 as a planar shock. The lack of enhanced X-ray emission there bounds its shock velocity v_7, T_e = 3μ_e^2/16k = 3.2 × 10^5v_7^2/n_0 K < 10^6 K (Hollenbach & McKee 1979). With x_α = 2.3 being the postshock concentration of all particles relative to hydrogen in fully ionized gas, we found that v_7 < 2.7. This is consistent with the radial flow velocity constrained upstream at Anomaly C. The pre-shock density comes from the radio continuum and Paschen α fluxes that brighten <0.5 (<0.02 pc) apart (Figure 11), hence limit the cooling length (initial compression to recombination) to d_{cool} = 2.1 × 10^{17}v_7^2/n_0 < 0.02 pc here. Thus a radiant shock must have n_0 > 220 cm^{-3} that would cool gas to 10^4 K in ≤ 75 yr. The MAPPINGS code (Sutherland & Dopita 1993) shows that a planar shock at this velocity and pre-shock density radiates <1% of its power as Paschen α for any reasonable ambient magnetic field. Taking spectral slope α = 1 for γ = 10^{-10} of any nonthermal electron distribution of fraction η, <0.12 mJy beam^{-1} from Section 3.4 at boundary 1/5 along our sightline, and equipartition between magnetic energy density and double the electron density to account for cosmic-ray ions, yields B_0 = η3.4 × 10^{-4} Gauss. Compression ratio <4 means η ≪ 1 and therefore a dynamically unimportant field.

The interval between Sgr A’ and SmR-3 on the sky is spanned by radial filaments in radio, Paschen α, and X-ray wave bands (Figure 1, 2(e), and 10), interspersed with several near-infrared-dark filaments of diminished X-ray emission that converge toward Sgr A’ and so are probably at the GC. The latter resemble dark “hub-filaments” that characterize star-forming molecular clouds elsewhere in the Galactic disk (Myers 2009) and generally align with the local gravity (e.g., Wang et al. 2020), here dominated by Sgr A’ within the much less massive CND (T18).

5.3. Streams and Bow Shocks into the Fermi Bubbles

Over several hundred parsec scale, shells—presumably bubbles—appear. Many features unrelated to the GC are projected on our sightline, so which of these may not have proaic origins is receiving attention (e.g., the western half of the GC Ω-Lobe as a foreground H II region, Tsuboi et al. 2020). But certainly Figure 31 shows that our simulations show radio and X-ray structures at the observed scale. Radio flux derived from the t = 5 Myr simulation reproduces the size and location of bright shells in the MeerKAT image, as well as the ±10 pc scale of the nuclear bilobe shown in Figures 2(e) and (b). Extensive, lower brightness secondary streams extend well beyond the observed structures, while the main stream of this simulation remains well defined as it ascends into the northern Fermi bubble (Figure 31, right panel). The η = 0.6 to 1 Myr X-ray simulation snapshots match the XMM-Newton image; X-rays in snapshots later than t ∼ 1 Myr have faded to invisibility. However, if Sgr A’ were to enter a period of sustained high luminosity, as fading X-ray fluorescence on nearby nebulae show it once did, then these dynamic X-ray features would brighten anew.

We therefore conclude that a ∼10^{41} erg s^{-1} jet interacting with the ISM can explain the observed multiwavelength GC structures on both scales. On 10 kpc scale, the simulations of Mondal et al. (2021) reproduce the observed boundary of the northern FB when modeled as a forward shock using a jetted flow six times more powerful than ours.

5.3.1. Comparison with the Seyfert NGC 1068 Outflow

The GC is plausibly recovering from a Seyfert-level flare from several Myr ago (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2019), augmented by hot-star winds and radiation, with occasional supernovae from the nuclear star cluster. In prototypical Seyfert NGC 1068, all of these ionizers are operating on a <100 pc radius within its 1 kpc star-forming ring. Figure 2(d) highlights remarkable similarities between NGC 1068 radio structures and those in our GC: a core region delineated by elliptical green contours at a ∼ 60 pc radius that coincides with the bundle of vertical nonthermal, strongly polarized radio filaments (Guan et al. 2021) in the MW that delineates its radio shells, knots in
the compact jet within that have the same scale as the X-ray extension, radio lobes, and GC Lobe in the MW, and blobs at the end of the NGC 1068 jet that distend to become the NE bow-shaped radio lobe at the same scale as the FB in the MW. The latter shape with adjacent optical and X-ray emission (Figure 32) signifies a radiative, detached bow shock formed when a supersonic jet encounters a rapid decline in ambient pressure. In the extended narrow-line region of NGC 1068, this deceleration likely occurs near the “North-East subpeak” bright at 10 μm (Tresch-Fienberg et al. 1987) from localized inverse-Compton heating by relativistic electrons impacting warm dusty gas. HST/STIS spectra show repeated shredding from accelerated clouds up to that point but not beyond (Cecil et al. 2002) as disintegrating clouds load dust into the outflow.

The jet at <100 pc in pink contours in Figure 2(d) is not colinear with the bow-shaped region beyond; it twists on the sky (Figure 2(d) in Gallimore et al. 2004). Indeed Figure 18 of Mukherjee et al. (2018a) from simulations similar to ours shows large deviations from the launch axis of hot bubbles at the ends of a decelerating jet. In the GC, the CND provides the first impact site of a jet even if launched fairly close to the Galactic pole.

5.4. Jet Visibility

A jet appears by particle cooling, compression/re-acceleration at shock fronts, recollimation, and reconnection of magnetic flux tubes. Such opportunities seem scarce in the GC filled today with a hot flow that has banished clouds to a sheath at least 2.5 pc and perhaps 17 pc distant. Our simulations in Section 4 show that the kinematics of a structure as extensive as the broad Polar Arc would require prolonged exposure to a weak jet because a powerful one pounds through the inhomogeneous ISM, enduring many cloud impacts but emerging still fairly collimated within 0.1 Myr. By contrast, a low-power jet almost stalls to blow a bubble in the disk, which eventually becomes a hot vertical channel as the jet cocoon backflows (e.g., Mukherjee et al. 2018a). Most gas barely accelerates radially. Interactions strengthen as the launch axis is declined toward the disk plane, but the \( \leq 30^\circ \) angular displacement of the current jet from the Galactic polar axis argues for prolonged action of a low-power jet.

All interactions proposed here are localized, not broad and windy, impacts on dense gas. Therefore, the observed patterns are consistent with a momentum-driven brightening arising from the ram pressure of the jet cocoon around the low-power outflow. However, the radial pattern of dark filaments, X-ray, and radio emission in Figure 1 suggest a broader wind outflow around the jet.

LMB13 reproduced the spectral slopes of the southern jet from the X-ray strength to radio detection upper limit with a

![Figure 31. Data/simulation comparisons. Hard X-rays (left, from Figure 19, left bottom panel at \( t = 0.6 \) Myr) and radio (right, from Figure 21, third panel now with inverted color map, at \( t = 3 \) Myr) ray-traces from simulations with the XMM-Newton and MeerKAT images (Figure 2) overlaid. On this scale, the jet flow easily spans the observed structures.](image)

![Figure 32. The inner 7 × 15 arcsec² of NGC 1068 (rotated 45° west through north) shows similar structures to our GC; the box delineates the region contoured in Figure 2(d). Part (a) shows in blue the radio jet from 4.9 GHz continuum VLA (Wilson & Ulvestad 1987, Figure 1), in red Chandra 0.25–3 keV X-rays (Young et al. 2001, Figure 3), and in (part a only) green optical-band emission from HST blurred to the resolution of the X-rays. Note the “blobby” nature of the radio jet before it flares into bow-shaped lobes, and how to the north X-rays brighten along its bottom boundary, whereas to south they are extinguished by the dense ISM. Jet orientation has been constrained by ionized gas kinematics from HST and ground-based spectra (e.g., Cecil et al. 2002).](image)
single-zone synchrotron model that Zhu et al. (2019) then applied along the entire feature. The latter authors showed the need for a strong magnetic field to seed inverse-Compton emission from hot dust, whereupon the resulting radio synchrotron would be very strong. However, the X-ray emitting jet is not a radio source, so they thereby obtained a brief X-ray synchrotron cooling time $1.2(B/1 \text{ mG})^{-1.1}(E/5 \text{ keV})^{-0.5}$ yr.

Thus, the jet appears today solely by its interaction with a minispiral arm; the counter-jet at similar radius would project behind most allowed orbits of the Western Arc, so cool gas may be sparse. Adding postshock cooling at the southern interaction totals $\sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ from a jet having much more power. LMB13 constrained the power of the jet today as its particles ram through the southern shock $GM_{\text{BH}}\mu(T)m_{\text{H}/23}/R \times \pi(\rhoT/2)\nuT \approx 2 \times 10^{37}(R/0.5 \text{ pc})(\theta/25')^2(nT/10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3})(\nuT/200 \text{ km s}^{-1})^2$ erg s$^{-1}$ with $R$ the distance between the shock and Sgr A* of mass $M_{\text{BH}}$, $\mu(T)$ is 1.2 the mean molecular weight in warm gas, $nT$ and $\nuT$ the density and Keplerian orbital velocity of the intersected gas in the Eastern Arm, and jet opening angle $\theta \sim 25'$ set by LMB13 to span the uncertain spread of the cocoon at that location. Our simulations therefore show that the current jet can have faded by four orders of magnitude since it formed the observed large-scale structures several Myr ago.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Allowed jet orientations at mm-VLBI and VLTI scales are converging. Beyond, this paper has used ALMA spectral, and Chandra, HST, and JVLA imaging archival data sets with new SOAR telescope near-infrared spectra to propose several signatures of a weak jet near PA 121°:

1. LMB13’s X-ray “streak” with a nonthermal spectrum south of Sgr A* and the associated “Seagull Nebula” shock feature just upstream in one arm of the nuclear minispiral. In this hemisphere, no other jet-like features appear in molecular or ionized gas.

2. Almost diametrically opposite on sky is Anomaly C, an elongated kinematical “tickle” in molecular gas whose properties T18 derived from its relative surface brightness in several diagnostic emission lines: weakly shocked molecular gas at $\lesssim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with $m(H_2) = 10^5(T_{\text{ex}}/200 \text{ K}) M_\odot$, kinetic energy $> 10^{49}$ erg, and velocity dispersion $\sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Uncertain minispiral orbits permit a counter-jet inclined 73°–126° to our sightline, thus would deviate substantially from the axis of the current accretion disk. Our perspective on the jet projects its interaction with the ISM nearer to the far wall of the dense, tilted CND, with much of the allowed inclination range indicating a mostly axial outflow at $\lesssim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and with almost no turbulent broadening; if its motion is mostly transverse expansion then dense gas nearby is being compressed by $> 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

3. A possible jet/molecular cloud interaction 3/6 to the north appears in Paschen $\alpha$ and 5.5 GHz continuum at the southern boundary of SmR-3 of Z16. Here, a wedge of constant brightness suggests a jet cocoon that has not expanded from a 3°–6° opening angle after 10 pc of travel. It has a comparable luminosity to the southern jet/ISM interaction yet spans only 6% of that structure’s solid angle. Our near-infrared spectra show that the structure is blueshifted by 70 km s$^{-1}$, which places it 40 km s$^{-1}$ from the blue component of the ML. It seems to shadow the northern X-ray lobe and has moderate K-band extinction for the GC. Locating this putative ISM interaction on the nearside of the CND would orient the jet far from the spin axis of the observed accretion disk.

We performed relativistic, hydrodynamic simulations of a jet of power $P_{\text{jet}} = 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Over 3 Myr this jet alone would inject 10% of the canonical $10^{36}$ erg discussed in prior studies of the Fermi bubbles, but successfully reproduces structures discussed in this paper. The jet expels most gas in the central kiloparsec within 5–10 Myr as it propagates through the height of the MW disk, consistent with the observed H1 hole there. Imposing a second outburst through a partially refilled central region cleared that gas within 3 Myr, although jet interactions with small clouds persisted to 10 Myr to broaden the jet into several streams. As a result, bright regions of the synthetic radio surface brightness images from later times of this simulation match the lateral extent to the MeerKAT 1.28 GHz image. Synthetic X-ray images made from our simulations exhibit cavities and blobby structures at later times that anti-correlate spatially with the radio surface brightness as evident in Figure 1. Interactions of jet streams with ISM clouds drive radiative shocks into the outer cloud layers that appear in soft X-rays. Strong head-on interactions can sometimes form hot spots of hard X-rays. Clouds that are ablated and carried outward with the jet streams often form filamentary or cometary head-tail structures. Some jet/cloud interactions deform clouds to resemble SmR-3 seen in Paschen $\alpha$ and JVLA 5.5 GHz.

We likewise performed simulations on 10 pc scales, where the jet of identical power interacts with the torus-like CND. We studied a smooth CND and two cases of a clumpy one. For each simulation, the jet was switched off after prolonged interaction with the CND to follow the subsequent relaxation. Each simulation revealed a possible formation mechanism for Anomaly C:

1. Smooth CND: The CND itself cannot be penetrated by jet plasma, but gas ablated from its impacted surface forms narrow filaments that align and persist with the active jet. The densities may be too low and velocities too high for these features to be associated with Anomaly C.

2. Clumpy CND—fragmentation: Jet plasma perturbs gas inside the CND to trigger its compression, runaway radiative cooling, and collapse. The collapsed filaments tend to align parallel or perpendicular to the jet and become more prominent later in the simulations. While their densities and velocities resemble the properties of Anomaly C, their lifetimes are uncertain but likely persist due to their high density. Filaments collapsing in the plane of the CND and pushed to one side by the jet may counter-rotate like Anomaly A.

3. Clumpy CND—cloud lift-up: When clouds are in the jet path or are subject to strong pressure gradients in the jet-blown bubble, they accelerate in bulk to form an elongated cometary head-tail structure of density and velocity intermediate to the two features described above. They appear early in the interaction but persist only while the clump is accelerated (a few kyr).

4. Relaxation: After the jet has switched off, the CND gas and any filaments formed through the jet—CND
interactions tend to expand as the surrounding thermal and turbulent pressure drops suddenly. As the turbulence decays, radiative cooling of the mixed gas reforms some filaments.

Some of the structures listed appear in the PVD of our simulations as sharp streak deviations of tens of km s\(^{-1}\) from the mean rotation curve. In simulations with the clumpy CND, clumps within 2 pc radius tend to stretch along the rotation curve. Interactions with the jet also cause the gradients of clumps in the PVD to steepen and those of the entire CND to flatten slightly.

While detailed comparison with the observed PVD is left for future work, we are encouraged that a 10\(^{43}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) jet interacting with an inhomogeneous ISM explains both kiloparsec- and parsec-scale GC structures. Note that the initial MW mass distribution (as modeled by the McMillan 2017 profiles) and the inclination of jet to CND and to the MW disk are very much specified here to examine the case for a jet during the past 1–10 Myr. Due to the tilt of the CND, our results are robust to jet launch angles. These simulations are merely first steps in modeling past interactions of the MW ISM with a jet and their relics evident today. More detailed quantitative comparisons using MHD simulations at higher resolutions will be presented in a later paper.

The regions noted around the CND and our simulations on both scales plausibly delineate an ongoing, albeit currently weak, more collimated outflow within a broader double-lobed molecular and X-ray outflow anchored on the CND. Sgr A* is dim today, but was ten thousand times brighter only a few centuries ago. Sustained brightening by only a hundredfold from today could replenish the jet sufficiently to glow along its entire path a few decades thereafter.

This paper uses ALMA archival data ADS/JAO. ALMA#2012.1.00080.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. JSPS KAKENHI grant No. 19K03862 supported our numerical work. The 5.5 GHz image obtained with the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) was downloaded with thanks to Dr. Zhao from lweb.cfa.harvard.edu/~jzhao/GC/sgra/Feedbk2015apj/SGRAU.FITS. The scientific results reported in this article are based in part on observations made by the Chandra X-ray Observatory and published previously in the cited articles. HST data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute. The specific observations analyzed can be accessed via doi:10.17909/T9JP40. STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support to MAST for these data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NAGS-7584 and by other grants and contracts. Numerical calculations were performed on the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI) facility at ANU. Infrared spectra were obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope, which is a joint project of the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovações (MCTI/LNA) do Brasil, the US National Science Foundation’s NOIRLab, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), and Michigan State University (MSU). We thank Dr. Sean Points (NOIRLab) for advice on tSpec and the referee for a careful review.
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Appendix

Simulations

A.1. Simulation Code and Setup Common to All Runs

All simulations here were performed with the PLUTO code (Mignone et al. 2012) using its relativistic-hydrodynamic module. The warm or cold phase, the hot phase, and the relativistic jet are treated as a single fluid. All simulations included a static gravitational potential and employed a tabulated radiative cooling generated by MAPPINGS V (Sutherland & Dopita 2017). Radiative cooling is crucial here because the clouds cool very quickly compared to the simulation time and, being shocked, evolve very differently to adiabatic clouds. They fragment through thermal instabilities but form dense cores and long filaments (Sutherland & Bicknell 2007; Cooper et al. 2009; Wagner & Bicknell 2011; Wagner et al. 2012; Mukherjee et al. 2016, 2018a).

The uniform Cartesian grid in the cubical domain in all simulations contained 256\(^3\) cells. The right-handed coordinate system is always oriented such that the negative x-axis points toward the Earth observer, that is, the positive x-axis points from the observer toward Sgr A*, and the positive z-axis points northward. The simulation domain was always centered at the coordinate origin where a jet inlet was placed using a region of fixed domain-interior cells. This inlet is described in detail in Mukherjee et al. (2018a). The jet is bidirectional, jet and counter-jet are rotationally symmetric, and the orientation and opening angle of the jet inlet can be set arbitrarily. The jet orientation is a crucial parameter for this study, whereas a modest variation in the opening angle has little effect on the outcome because the over-pressured jet quickly expands then recollimates regardless of the precise opening angle used. Within the jet inlet, the (primitive) cell values are set to constant precomputed jet pressure, density, and velocity values.

The primary jet parameter governing the evolution of the jet as it interacts with the ISM is its power, \(P_{\text{jet}}\). This together with the jet bulk Lorentz factor \(\Gamma\), and the ratio of rest mass energy density to pressure of the jet, \(\chi\), determine how heavy and how over-pressured the jet is. The relationship between these quantities and the jet pressure and density, given a cross-sectional area of the jet, can be found in Sutherland & Bicknell (2007) and Wagner & Bicknell (2011). The jet base is always resolved by at least 12 cells to capture internal shocks properly.

All simulations ran over timescales \(\sim 50 \times \) longer than the jet crossing time through the domain. Our setup neglects the effect of jet backflows after the jet has reached the end of the domain, but we aimed to maximize spatial resolution for following the evolution of the gas. For the regions considered in this work, the effect of secondary-jet streams percolating through the ISM and the heating and pressurization laterally to the main stream are likely more important than the effects of the backflow, and
are likewise captured at maximum spatial resolution in this setup.

Custom outflow boundary conditions that modify PLUTO standard “outflow” were imposed on all six surfaces of the cubical domain walls: in addition to first setting the values of the ghost cells to those of the first cell interior to the boundary surface, the velocity component normal to the boundary surface was set to always face outward. This reduced the amount of spurious inflow from the boundaries caused by turbulent eddies that skim the boundary surfaces, and enabled us to run our simulations for much longer than with the standard “outflow” boundary conditions.

We used a third-order Runge–Kutta time integrator and the piecewise parabolic method (Colella & Woodward 1984) of PLUTO in dimensionally unsplit mode, and the relativistic HLLC Riemann solver (Mignone & Bodo 2005).

In the following we detail the numerical setup for the two scales on which the simulations were conducted.

A.2. Setup for the Kiloparsec-scale Simulations

To capture the full extent of the MeerKAT 1.274 GHz data and the 1.5–2.6 keV XMM-Newton X-ray data with these simulations, we chose a domain size of 1 kpc on a side (4 pc cells).

We employed a two-phase turbulent ISM for our simulations, similar to those used by Mukherjee et al. (2018a). We used the model of the MW radial and vertical gas distributions by McMillan (2017) to set up the fixed gravitational potential and radial and vertical mean gas density profiles of the two-phase ISM. The isothermal hot-phase gas at 107 K and central density of 0.003 particles cm−3 is initially in hydrostatic equilibrium with the gravitational potential. Embedded within, and following the density profile of the H1 disk in the McMillan distribution, are clouds that rotate with Keplerian velocity in the gravitational potential and possess a Gaussian random, but spatially correlated, random velocity dispersion of 10 km s−1. The length scale of ~120 pc over which the velocities are correlated is the same as that for the density distribution of the fractal clouds. The clouds are initially in pressure equilibrium with the hot phase, and the initial porosity of the clouds arises because cloud cells are only initialized if colder than 3 × 106 K. More details can be found in the numerical setup sections in Sutherland & Bicknell (2007) and Mukherjee et al. (2018a).

McMillan profile parameter Rm controls the length scale of the central hole in the profiles of the H1 and molecular disks. In the fiducial models of McMillan, the central kiloparsec is largely devoid of H1 and molecular gas (Rm = 4 kpc), compared to the disk beyond a kiloparsec as observed. When the MW jet became active, the central kiloparsec was likely filled with denser gas, which was then blown away by the jet. Therefore we adopted the fiducial McMillan model and changed only the length scale of the central H1 hole. In the first simulation we set Rm = 0 (no hole), and in another, representing a second outburst, we set Rm = 0.3 kpc (partly filled central hole). The bidirectional jet was oriented such that the N jet was tilted 12°.5 west and 45° away from us. Unknown are the jet power, other jet parameters, and the typical size scale of clouds in the Galactic disk when the jet became active. However, they can be constrained by examining the efficiency with which the jet disperses clouds and by comparing the simulated radio and X-ray morphologies with present-day observations. The fiducial jet power used throughout this study is Pjet = 1041 erg s−1, although we found similar results for jets an order of magnitude more or less powerful.

The simulations were performed over the freefall time of the interstellar gas in the simulation domain.

A.3. Setup for the GC-scale Simulations

Our simulations on GC scales explored the interactions of the jet with the CND. As for the kiloparsec-scale simulations described above, the initial conditions used for the CND do not represent its gas distribution observed today. We do not know what the gas distribution and properties were when the jet became active, and on the GC scales we do not have a well-constrained model, such as the McMillan profiles for the MW on kiloparsec scales. We therefore examined the jet–gas interactions with several different setups that approximate a gas-rich, toroidal CND.

The domain size is always 10 pc a side (using 0.01 pc = 0°.25 cells) to capture the extent of the ALMA data described in Section 3.3, including Anomaly C. We included only the supermassive BH + nuclear star cluster as a static gravitational potential of mass 4.1 × 10⁶ M☉, because the domain is contained within its sphere of influence. The CND is oriented such that the northern part of its symmetry axis is tilted by 30° toward the back and 20° toward the west.

The bidirectional jet is oriented such that the northern jet is tilted toward the west by 3°, and away from us by 35°. It now has Γ = 5 and χ = 1.6.

The simulations were conducted over timescales comparable to the orbital period at 1 pc and approximately a quarter (an eighth) of the orbital period at 2 pc (3 pc).

Unless a sink is present, the steep gravitational potential near Sgr A* can quickly accumulate and heat gas with effects on the CND dynamics. We therefore created a region of cells in the flanks of the jet to gently extract accreting gas from the domain so that the dynamical evolution of the CND gas results solely from the interaction with the jet.

The two different initial conditions are:

1. Smooth CND. The CND in the GC was approximated by a cylindrically symmetric, strongly flared disk structure clipped at a height of 2 pc and rotating with a Keplerian velocity in the BH gravitational potential. The density profile follows Equation (2) in Mukherjee et al. (2018a) with the dimensionless parameter that controls the degree of flaring ϵ = 0.98. No random velocity component was imposed on the CND in this setup.

2. Clumpy CND. The smooth CND gas density profile in Setup (1) is apodized by pre-generated fractal clouds, much like in the setup for the kiloparsec-scale simulations described in Section A.2. The clouds also possessed a velocity dispersion of 10 km s−1. The clumpy CND was then allowed to relax over approximately a quarter of a rotation before the jet was injected. Relaxation settles the gas into a state in which the turbulent velocity field become consistent with the turbulent density field, thereby establishing a Kolmogorov spectrum (Mukherjee et al. 2018a).
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