Effects of Atorvastatin in Patients with Acute Spinal Cord Injury
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Study Design: Clinical trial study.
Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of atorvastatin on sensory and motor function in patients with acute spinal cord injury.

Overview of Literature: The prevalence and incidence of traumatic spinal cord injury are increasing. Statins are well established for use in hypercholesterolemia as well as during anti-inflammatory events.

Methods: This clinical trial study included 60 patients with acute spinal cord injury. These were randomly divided into two groups: the case group which received atorvastatin and also underwent surgical therapy and the control group which only underwent surgical therapy.

Results: The severity of spinal cord lesions was evaluated based on the Frankel grade at three periods; this showed no significant difference between the two groups. Comparisons of the levels of pain between the groups based on a Visual Analog Scale system showed no significant difference at the three periods.

Conclusions: We observed no improvement at the 3- and 6-month follow-up in patients who were administered atorvastatin. However, a comparison of the two groups based on pain severity demonstrated a significant difference, suggesting that atorvastatin had a positive effect on patients with spinal cord injury.
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Introduction

The prevalence and incidence of traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) have been increasing. SCI has an early and a secondary phase. The early phase occurs because of physical injury to the spinal cord and causes devastation of the local vascular system, leading to microhemorrhaging of the white and gray matter [1]. The secondary phase results from a severe inflammation response, vascular changes, glutamate excitotoxicity, ischemia reperfusion injury, ionic hemostasis changes, and oxidative cell injury [2,3]. The term “complete injury” indicates no sensory and/or motor function more than three segments below the injury level, whereas “incomplete injury” indicates the existence of some sensory and motor function more than three segments below the injury level [4,5]. Many strategies using neuroprotective agents have demonstrated low success in human clinical trials [6].

The use of statins for hypercholesterolemia is well established; they inhibit hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme
A (HMG-CoA) reductase, which converts HMG-CoA to mevalonate [7,8]. They also have an anti-inflammatory role [9], and they provide neuroprotective effects by reducing the levels of inflammatory factors such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), and interleukin 1β (IL-1β) [10,11]. They exhibit antioxidant effects and reduce endothelial nitric oxide, which causes neuronal degeneration in the secondary phase of SCI [12]. Statins prescribed for hypercholesterolemia include atorvastatin, lovastatin, and pravastatin [13]. Pannu et al. [14] demonstrated that using atorvastatin for 1 week in patients with SCI resulted in a significant reduction in the inflammatory condition as well as neuronal recovery, increased motor function, and reduced neuronal cell death. Another study by Pannu et al. [15] reported significant neuroprotective effects of statins in post-SCI treatment. Conversely, Pathak et al. [16] demonstrated anti-oxidative and anti-analgesic effects of atorvastatin in the spinal cord. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of atorvastatin on sensory and motor function in patients with acute SCI.

**Materials and Methods**

This was a clinical trial in which all subjects were patients with acute SCI referred to the education center of Imam Reza hospital of Tabriz university of Medical Sciences during 2014–2015. This study was registered at the Iranian Registration of Clinical Trial Center (IRCT): 2014032113947. It included 60 patients with acute SCI at Frankel grades A–C. The patients were matched by sex, body weight, and Frankel grade and were randomly divided into two groups (n=30 patients each). The case group received atorvastatin immediately on admission in addition to surgical therapy, whereas the control group underwent only surgical therapy. The following inclusion criteria were applicable: patients with acute SCI aged 18–70 years, with Frankel grade classes A–C and fracture of the vertebrae C4–L2. The exclusion criteria were as follows: renal or hepatic disease, penetration injury, traumatic brain injury, lactation or pregnancy, recent alcohol consumption, neurologic or psychological disease, life-threatening injuries, and the inability for oral drug intake. Severity of spinal lesions was determined according to the Frankel grading system, a classification system that grades patients from A to E on the basis of their sensory and motor lesions as follows: A, no sensation or motion; B, no motion but a little sensation remains; C, sensation is good and there is some motion, although it is not usable; D, sensation is good and although motion is incomplete, it is usable; and E, natural sensation and motion. During the study, the case group received atorvastatin at a dosage of 5 mg/kg orally daily for 4 weeks. The patients were evaluated for sensory and motor function and the level of pain on admission and 3 and 6 months later.

Different methods have been proposed for evaluating postoperative pain, including the numerical rating scale, single descriptive scale, visual analog scale (VAS), and University of Melbourne pain scale. VAS is the most common method used in studies determining pain according to behavioral factors [17]. The capability and adequacy of this method for evaluating postoperative pain have been demonstrated [18,19], and it was chosen to evaluate the levels of pain in the present study. The patients’ recovery or non-recovery status were also evaluated based on neurologic symptoms. Recovery was considered as achieving full sensory and motor function.

The study design was approved by the ethics committee of Tabriz University of Medical Science. Prior to the study, all aspects of the study were explained to the patients, who gave their informed consent. The patients’ information was kept confidential.

1. **Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. The analysis used SPSS ver. 16 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and included descriptive statistics, calculations of abundance and percentages, the T test to compare quantitative variables for independent groups, and the chi-square test for qualitative variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

All 60 patients (30 in each group) completed the study. In the case group, 23 patients (77%) were men and 7 (23%) were women; the mean age was 37±1.9 years (range, 22–54 years). In the control group, 22 (73%) were men and 8 (27%) were women, and the mean age was 35.8±1.98 years (range, 22–54 years). The groups were matched for sex and age at the beginning of the study (Table 1). Severity of spinal cord lesions was evaluated at three periods based on the Frankel grading system (Table 2). At the 3- and 6-month follow-ups, neither group showed signifi-
cant sensory and motor improvement. Table 3 shows a comparison of the levels of pain of the patients between the two groups based on the VAS system; this showed that atorvastatin had no significant effect on the level of pain at the early 3-month follow-up, but at the 6-month follow-up, atorvastatin reduced pain in the case group ($p=0.034$).

Results of the investigation of the recovery or non-

**Table 1. Distribution of age and sex**

| Age and sex | Case group | Control group | $p$-value |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| Sex         |            |               |           |
| Male        | 23 (76.7)  | 22 (73.3)     | 0.76      |
| Female      | 7 (23.3)   | 8 (26.7)      |           |
| Age (yr)    | 37.3±1.94  | 35.8±1.98     | 0.6       |

Values are presented with number (%) or mean±standard deviation.

**Table 2. Severity of lesions based on Frankel classification**

| Frankel grade | Case group | Control group | $p$-value |
|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| At admission |            |               | 0.56      |
| A            | 13 (43.3)  | 15 (50)       |           |
| B            | 6 (20)     | 6 (20)        |           |
| C            | 11 (36.7)  | 9 (30)        |           |
| D            | 0          | 0             |           |
| E            | 0          | 0             |           |
| 3 mo later   |            |               | 0.49      |
| A            | 12 (40)    | 15 (50)       |           |
| B            | 6 (20)     | 4 (13.3)      |           |
| C            | 5 (16.7)   | 6 (20)        |           |
| D            | 5 (16.7)   | 4 (13.3)      |           |
| E            | 2 (6.6)    | 1 (3.3)       |           |
| 6 mo later   |            |               | 0.39      |
| A            | 11 (36.7)  | 14 (46.7)     |           |
| B            | 5 (16.7)   | 4 (13.3)      |           |
| C            | 6 (20)     | 6 (20)        |           |
| D            | 5 (16.7)   | 5 (16.7)      |           |
| E            | 3 (10)     | 1 (3.3)       |           |

Values are presented as number (%).

**Table 3. Comparison of pain grade based on visual analog scale system**

| Time       | Case group | Control group | $p$-value |
|------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| At admission | 9.3±1.17   | 9.13±1.32     | 0.9       |
| 3 mo later  | 2.01±1.12  | 3.9±1.40      | 0.064     |
| 6 mo later  | 1.53±0.70  | 3.67±1.09     | 0.034     |

Values are presented with mean±standard deviation.

recovery statuses of the two groups of patients with complete or incomplete spinal cord lesions are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Atorvastatin was demonstrated to have no significant effect over the different periods investigated.

**Discussion**

We observed no improvement in the 3- and 6-month follow-up in the patients who received atorvastatin. However, the comparison of pain severity in the two groups demonstrated a significant difference at 6 months, suggesting that atorvastatin has a positive effect on pain improvement in patients with SCI over that period. Acute SCI is one of the most common disorders in the field of neurosurgery, often resulting from driving and occupational accidents, falling, and natural disasters, and it results in a large number of patients being referred to trauma centers [20]. SCI involves two stages. The first stage occurs because of primary mechanical trauma and the second stage results from inflammation, apoptosis of cells, and oxidative stress [21]. High levels of nitric oxide reduce the mitochondrial function and increase neuronal death [22,23]. It has been shown that statins can increase the level of endothelial
nitric oxide synthase and reduce the level of inducible nitric oxide, leading to a decrease of stroke in animal models [24,25]. Statins are known for their role in the reduction of cholesterol, but they also have important anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, and antioxidant effects [26]. Statins have limited side effects, such as rare cases of neuropathy and an incidence of myopathy of 1%–5% [27]; thus, they can be considered safe for patients. After SCI, there is an increase in the levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and other cytokines, leading to inflammation [28]. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of atorvastatin on neuroprotection and pain relief in patients with acute SCI who are suffering from sensory and motor dysfunction. However, we found no significant improvement in the case group based on the Frankel classification. However, the improvement was more remarkable after 3 and 6 months. The lack of a significant improvement with atorvastatin was in contrast with the results of a previous study [15]. Pathak et al. [16] demonstrated that atorvastatin reduced pain at a dose of 10 mg/kg to a greater extent than it did at doses of 3 and 30 mg/kg; however, the range of usage across other studies has been 0.5–30 mg/kg [29,30]. The comparison in the level of pain, based on the VAS system, over two periods postoperatively is shown in Table 3. The level of VAS pain at the beginning of study in the case group was 9.3±1.17 and that in the control group was 9.13±1.32. There was no significant difference between the two groups at the 3-month follow-up (p=0.063), but at the 6-month follow-up there was a significant reduction in the level of pain in the case group (p=0.043). Thus, it seems that atorvastatin has positive effect at a dose of 5 mg/kg in patients with acute SCI.

In future, we recommend further studies with more cases and the use of atorvastatin in different doses over a continuous period. Increasing the number of case group patients would be important; the number of patients in the present study was limited.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that atorvastatin had no significant effect on motor and sensory improvement over the 3- and 6-month follow-up in patients with SCI. However, it could reduce the levels of pain at 6 months in these patients. The investigation of the recovered or non-recovered status of the patients demonstrated no significant effect of atorvastatin in the case group.
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