Hot-medium effects on \( \Upsilon \) yields in \( \text{pPb} \) collisions at LHC energies
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The respective contributions of cold-matter and hot-medium effects to the suppression of \( \Upsilon(1S) \) and \( \Upsilon(2S) \) mesons in \( \text{pPb} \) collisions at energies reached at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) are investigated. Whereas known alterations of the parton density functions in the lead nucleus, and coherent parton energy loss account for the leading fraction of the modifications in cold nuclear matter (CNM), the hot-medium (quark-gluon plasma, QGP) effects turn out to be relevant in spite of the small initial spatial extent of the fireball. We compare our transverse-momentum-, rapidity-, and centrality-dependent theoretical results for the \( \Upsilon \) suppression in \( \text{pPb} \) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of \( \sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 8.16\text{ TeV} \) with recent LHCb and preliminary ALICE data from the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Both cold-matter and hot-medium effects are needed to account for the data. The initial central temperature of the fireball is found to be \( T_{\text{c}} \sim 460\text{ MeV} \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The successive suppression of the bottomonia states \( \Upsilon(1S) \), \( \Upsilon(2S) \), and \( \Upsilon(3S) \) in the hot quark-gluon plasma (QGP) that is created in symmetric high-energy heavy-ion collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [1] and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [2–5] is a valuable indicator for its properties, such as the initial central temperature \( T_{\text{c}} \) [6–13]. In smaller asymmetric systems like \( \text{pPb} \), however, the fireball with temperatures exceeding the critical value \( T = T_{\text{c}} \sim 160\text{ MeV} \) is spatially much less extended than in \( \text{PbPb} \) or \( \text{AuAu} \). Correspondingly, cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects contribute significantly to the modification of bottomonia yields in asymmetric collisions when compared to \( \text{pp} \).

In this work, we explore the contribution of both cold-matter and hot-medium effects on the modification of bottomonia yields in \( \text{pPb} \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 8.16\text{ TeV} \). Transverse-momentum-, rapidity- and centrality-dependent results are compared with recent CMS [4] and preliminary ALICE [5] data.

Regarding the CNM-effects, we consider the modification of the parton distribution functions (PDFs) in a nucleus compared to free nucleons, and the coherent energy loss of the bottomonia on their paths through the medium [14]. These cold-matter effects are not expected to be much different for ground and excited bottomonia states; indeed, the ALICE measurement of the cross section ratio \( \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S) \) in 5.02 TeV \( \text{pPb} \) shows no evidence for different CNM effects on the two states at forward and backward rapidities, albeit within large uncertainties [15].

For the hot-medium effects, we rely on our model for bottomonia suppression in the QGP that we have developed for heavy systems such as \( \text{AuAu} \) and \( \text{PbPb} \), but we adapt it now to the case of small asymmetric systems. The model is based on gluon-induced dissociation, screening of the real part of the quark-antiquark potential, and damping through the imaginary part [11–13]. A significant fraction of the \( \Upsilon(1S) \) suppression can also be due to the reduced feed-down from excited states, once these are mostly screened, or depopulated, as is the case in heavy systems at RHIC and LHC energies. In particular for 5.02 TeV \( \text{PbPb} \) collisions at the LHC, the model has proven its predictive properties [16] regarding the transverse-momentum and centrality dependence of the \( \Upsilon \) suppression.

Although the spatial overlap of projectile and target in \( \text{pPb} \), and hence the initial QGP region before hydrodynamic expansion and gradual cooling, is significantly smaller in \( \text{pPb} \), we conjecture that the basic suppression mechanisms in the hot medium remain unaltered. The emphasis in this work will then be on the interplay of cold-matter and hot-medium effects, and their comparison to data for 8.16 TeV \( \text{pPb} \) collisions at the LHC.

In Sec. [1] we consider the initial populations of the bottomonia states. Aspects of the treatment of CNM effects in 8.16 TeV \( \text{pPb} \) collisions are briefly reviewed in Sec. [III]. These had extensively been considered by a large group of authors in Ref. [14]. For the initial-state modification of the parton distribution functions in the nuclear medium, we use the most recent global analysis of nuclear shadowing that provides a new set of PDFs, Ref. [17]. It includes, in particular, LHC data from the 5.02 TeV \( \text{pPb} \) run. Coherent parton energy loss is also accounted for, in the model of Arleo and Peigné [18–20]. In Sec. [IV] we consider the hot-medium effects in our model [12] that we have originally developed for symmetric heavy systems such as \( \text{PbPb} \). We reconsider the main aspects of the model, tailoring it now to the case of smaller and asymmetric systems such as \( \text{pPb} \). The resulting calculations and comparisons with data from two LHC-collaborations obtained in the 8.16 TeV \( \text{pPb} \) run are presented in Sec. [V]. The conclusions are drawn in Sec. [VI].
II. BOTTOMONIA POPULATIONS

The production of Υ mesons in proton-proton collisions can occur either directly in parton scattering, or via feed-down from the decay of heavier bottomonium states, such as χ_{bc}, or higher-mass Υ states, thus complicating the theoretical description of bottomonium production. In this work, we make use of the experimentally measured double-differential pp cross sections at 8 TeV of dimuon pairs from Υ decays, $d^2σ_{pp}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy$, from the LHCb collaboration [21]. These data are rescaled using the corresponding dimuon branching ratios to obtain the inclusive bottomonium-decay cross sections in pp collisions, $d^2σ_{pp}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy$. Then, we apply an inverse feed-down cascade [11, 13, 22] for every $p⊥$ and $y$ bin to reconstruct the (direct) bottomonium-production cross sections in pp collisions, $d^2σ_{pp}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy$. The latter do not include the indirect contributions from feed-down and hence, are always smaller than the measured decay cross sections. We fit decay and production cross sections separately with an analytical fit function proposed in Ref. [19],

$$\frac{d^2σ}{dp⊥dy} = N' (\frac{p0}{p0 + p⊥})^m \left( 1 - \frac{2M⊥}{\sqrt{s}} \cosh y \right)^n.$$

The errors of the decay cross sections are given by the experimental uncertainties. For the bottomonium-production cross sections, we propagate the errors of the dimuon data, which we assume to be uncorrelated, through the inverse decay cascade. The fits are shown in Fig. 1. Apart from the smallest rapidity bin, the fits are sufficiently precise, with an overall $\chi^2/ndf = 2.12$ and $\chi^2/ndf = 0.50$ for the $Υ(1S)$-decay and $Υ(1S)$-production cross sections, respectively, cf. Tab. I. Hence, we build the subsequent calculations for the $Υ(1S)$ and similarly, $Υ(2S)$ yields in pPb collisions on the analytical functions.

TABLE I. Fit parameters of the double-differential cross sections for $Υ(1S)$ decays and $Υ(1S)$ production in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV pp collisions.

|       | $N'$ | $p0$ | $m$  | $n$  | $\chi^2/ndf$ |
|-------|------|------|------|------|--------------|
| decays | 7.605 | 6.184 | 2.552 | 13.36 | 2.12         |
| production | 4.002 | 6.232 | 2.642 | 13.38 | 0.50         |

III. COLD-MATTER EFFECTS

In asymmetric collisions such as pPb, the bottomonia yields are already influenced by the presence of nuclear matter – even if a hot medium was completely absent. These well-known cold nuclear matter effects include purely initial-state effects – in particular, the modification of the initial gluon densities –, as well as mixed initial- and final-state effects such as the coherent parton energy loss induced by the nuclear medium. We subsequently consider the above two CNM-effects and determine the corresponding modification of bottomonia yields in pPb as compared to what is expected from pp collisions at LHC energies.

A. Modification of Bottomonium Production

The modification from pp to pPb collisions is quantified by the nuclear modification factor

$$R_{pPb}(b, p⊥, y) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll}(b) \rangle} \frac{d^2σ_{pPb}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy(b, p⊥, y)}{d^2σ_{pp}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy(p⊥, y)}$$

where $d^2σ_{pp}^{Υ→X}/dp⊥dy$ is the Lorentz-invariant double-differential cross section for Υ decays. For pPb, these cross sections are calculated via the decay cascade [22] from the corresponding production cross sections after applying all cold-matter and hot-medium modifications. $R_{pPb}$ depends on the rapidity $y$, transverse momentum $p⊥$, and the centrality of the collision which can be expressed either in terms of the impact parameter $b$ or by
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The shifted quantities are expressed by

\[ y_{\text{shift}} = \text{arccosh} \left( \frac{E(p_{\perp}, y) + \varepsilon}{M_{\perp}(y_{\text{shift}})} \right) - y_{\text{beam}}, \]

\[ p_{\perp}^{\text{shift}} = \sqrt{p_{\perp}^2 + \Delta p_{\perp}^2 + 2p_{\perp} \Delta p_{\perp} \cos \varphi}, \]

\[ p_{\parallel}^{\text{shift}} = \sqrt{[E(p_{\perp}, y) + \varepsilon]^2 - M_{\perp}^2(p_{\text{shift}}^2)}. \]

The convolution integrates over the energy loss \( \varepsilon \) and the angle \( \varphi \) between the transverse momentum of the bottomonium and the total transverse momentum kick \( \Delta p_{\perp} \) in the lead nucleus. It is based on Arleo’s and Peigné’s model of parton energy loss [18–20], where partons traversing a medium are expected to lose energy via induced gluon radiation caused by interactions with multiple static scattering centers of the medium.

Hence, the number of produced bottomonia in pPb collisions can be calculated from the production of higher energetic bottomonia in pp collisions and the probability that they will emit the energy difference \( \varepsilon \) through gluon radiation. The probability distribution of an energy loss \( \varepsilon \) of bottomonia with energy \( E \) in the lead rest frame is given by the normalized quenching weight \( P \) [19]. The transverse-momentum kick \( \Delta p_{\perp} \) is related to the gluon saturation scale in the lead nuclei, and the shifted variables in Eqs. (5) - (8) follow from kinematic considerations.

Further, we also include the gluonic nuclear modification factor \( R_g \) of the gluon PDF in Pb compared to p in Eq. [4]. This is a simplification of the shadowing effects in the Color Evaporation Model as formulated by R. Vogt [21], since we assume that the main contribution to the bottomonium-production cross section comes from gluon fusion. Hence, the bottomonium momentum fraction \( x_2 \) is given by the kinematics of 2 \( \rightarrow \) 1 processes

\[ x_2(p_{\perp}, y) = \frac{M_{T,\perp}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}} \exp(-y), \]

\[ x_2^{\text{shift}} = x_2(p_{\perp}^{\text{shift}}, y^{\text{shift}}) \]

where \( M_{T,\perp} \) is the transverse mass of the final-state bottomonium. In our calculations, we use the EPPS16 set [17] which includes the most recent global analysis of nuclear shadowing.

### B. Effective Path Length

The centrality dependence of the CNM modification factor is caused by the changing effective path length \( L_{\text{eff}} \) which in turn affects the quenching weight \( P \). The path length for a projectile travelling through a medium is proportional to the number of binary collisions and the mean free path. The latter is given by the inverse of the product of the inelastic pp cross section \( \sigma_{\text{pp}}^{\text{inel}} \) and the mean number density \( \rho_0 \) in the nucleus,

\[ L_{\text{eff}, \text{pp}}(b) = \frac{(N_{\text{coll}})(b)}{\rho_0 \sigma_{\text{pp}}^{\text{inel}}}, \]

with the mean number density (\( V_{\text{HS}} \) is the equivalent hard-sphere volume)

\[ \rho_0 = \frac{208}{V_{\text{HS}}} = 208 \frac{3}{4\pi R_{\text{pp}}^3} \approx 0.17 \text{ fm}^{-3}. \]
Using the results from our Glauber calculation and $\sigma^{\text{inel}}_{\text{pp}}(8\text{ TeV}) \simeq 7.46\text{ fm}^2$, we obtain the value
\[ L_{\text{eff}}, p_b(0) \approx 12.26\text{ fm} \tag{13} \]
in central collisions, which is scaled with $\langle N_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b)$ for more peripheral collisions.

Before we proceed to investigate the influence of the hot fireball in asymmetric systems at LHC energies – in this work, at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}=8\text{ TeV}$, which were originally presented as predictions before the $8.16\text{ TeV}$ pPb run.

\section{IV. HOT-MEDIUM EFFECTS}

Although the spatial extent of the initial QGP-zone in pPb collisions at LHC energies – in this work, at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}=8.16\text{ TeV}$ – is much less compared to symmetric systems like PbPb, it turns out that the dissociation of bottomonia states in the hot medium is significant and can not be neglected. We therefore adapt our model for hot-medium bottomonia suppression in symmetric collisions to the case of asymmetric systems. The bottomonia states are produced with a formation time $\tau_F \simeq 0.4\text{ fm}/c$ \cite{12, 13} in initial hard collisions at finite transverse momentum $p_T$, and then move in the hot expanding fireball made of gluons and light quarks where the dissociation processes take place.

The local equilibration time of the fireball is very short, about $0.1\text{ fm}/c$ for gluons and less than $1\text{ fm}/c$ for quarks \cite{26, 28}, such that the conditions for a hydrodynamic treatment of the expansion and cooling of the hot zone are fulfilled. As in case of symmetric systems \cite{12, 13}, we use perfect-fluid relativistic hydrodynamics with longitudinal and transverse expansion to account for the background bulk evolution. The equations of motion are obtained by imposing four-momentum conservation, and solved in the longitudinally co-moving frame (LCF), with metric
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
g &= -\text{d}t^2 + (\text{d}x^1)^2 + (\text{d}x^2)^2 + \tau^2 \text{d}y^2, \\
\tau &= \sqrt{(x^0)^2 - (x^3)^2}, \quad y = \text{artanh}(x^3/x^0).
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
\end{equation}

Here, the $x^1$-axis is lying within and the $x^2$-axis orthogonal to the reaction plane, while the $x^3$-axis is parallel to the beam axis. The resulting equations of motion
\[ \partial_\mu (\tau T^\mu u_\nu u_\nu) = -\frac{3}{4} \partial_\nu T^4, \quad \partial_\mu (\tau T^3 u^\mu) = 0, \tag{15} \]
for four-velocity $u$ and temperature distribution $T$ in the transverse plane $(x^1, x^2)$ are solved numerically, starting at the initial time $\tau_{\text{init}} = 0.1\text{ fm}/c$ in the LCF. The initial conditions for $u$ and $T$ in symmetric systems are given in Eqs. (14)–(16) of Ref. \cite{12}. For the asymmetric system at hand, we adapt the initial condition for $T$ to scale with the distribution of binary collisions in the transverse plane $\langle n_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b; x^1, x^2)$ that lead to the formation of the hot zone,
\begin{equation}
T(b; \tau_{\text{init}}, x^1, x^2) = T_0 \sqrt{\frac{\langle n_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b; x^1, x^2)}{\langle n_{\text{coll}}\rangle(0; 0, 0)}}. \tag{16}
\end{equation}

In pPb collisions, the expected number of binary collisions in a central collision is $\langle N_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b=0) \simeq 15.6$, where $\langle N_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b) = \int \text{d}^2x' \langle n_{\text{coll}}\rangle(b; x^1, x^2)$.

As discussed in the next section where the comparison with data will be shown, we determine the initial central temperature $T_0$ in pPb collisions at $8.16\text{ TeV}$ by fitting our cold-matter plus hot-medium results to LHCb data at forward rapidities, resulting in $T_0 = 460\text{ MeV}$. Obtaining instead the initial central temperature from a comparison of hydrodynamic calculations with experimental results for elliptic flow of charged hadrons might be conceivable in the future, once data become available. It would, however, be less reliable as in case of large symmetric systems, where flow is a more pronounced property. The inclusion of viscosity would alter our results slightly, allowing for lower temperatures at the same QGP lifetime as compared to perfect-fluid hydrodynamics in our modeling. It would therefore require a rescaling of the initial central temperature.
The distribution of binary collisions \( \langle n_{\text{coll}} \rangle(b; x^1, x^2) \) is obtained from a Glauber calculation and is proportional to the nuclear overlap function \( \theta_{\text{pPb}} \),

\[
\theta_{\text{pPb}}(b; x^1, x^2) = \theta_p(b; x^1, x^2) \times \theta_{\text{Pb}}(b; x^1, x^2),
\]

(17)

\[
\theta_p(b; x^1, x^2) = \int dx^3 \rho_p(|b\vec{e}_1 - \vec{x}|),
\]

(18)

\[
\theta_{\text{Pb}}(b; x^1, x^2) = \int dx^3 \rho_{\text{Pb}}(|\vec{x}|),
\]

(19)

where \( \rho_p, \rho_{\text{Pb}} \) are the radial symmetric nucleon distributions of the proton and lead nucleus, respectively. For the latter, a Woods-Saxon potential with parameters taken from [29] is used, whereas we use a Gaussian shape for the proton, with a corresponding radius of 0.875 fm.

The thickness functions \( \theta_p, \theta_{\text{Pb}} \) are displayed in the upper frame of Fig. 2 for \( x^1 = 0 \) and two values of the impact parameter that correspond to central \((b = 0)\) and “minimum-bias” \( \langle n_{\text{coll}} \rangle(b) = \text{MinBias} (\langle n_{\text{coll}} \rangle \simeq 7) \) collisions. In the lower frame, the corresponding initial temperature profiles along the \( x^1\)-axis are shown. The hot medium with \( T > T_c \simeq 160 \text{MeV} \) is generated once the nuclear overlap of the proton with lead is sufficiently strong.

The full, two-dimensional initial temperature profile in the transverse \((x^1, x^2)\)-plane for pPb can be seen in Fig. 3 for the same impact parameters corresponding to central and minimum-bias collisions as in Fig. 2. Although the hot zone is substantially less extended in pPb as compared to PbPb, it is still sufficiently pronounced to cause in-medium dissociation of the initially produced bottomonia states.

To obtain the hot-medium decay widths of the relevant bottomonia states, the energies \( E_{nl}(T) \) and corresponding damping widths \( \Gamma_{nl}^{\text{damp}}(T) \) as a function of QGP temperature \( T \) are needed. To this end, we solve a radial Schrödinger equation with a complex, temperature-dependent potential \( V_{nl}(r, T) \) [12] for the six states \( \Upsilon(nS) \) and \( \chi_b(nP) \), \( n = 1, 2, 3 \), using an iterative method to account for the running of the strong coupling [30].

Additionally, we derive the width caused by gluon-induced dissociation \( \Gamma_{nl}^{\text{diss}}(T) \) [6, 12] through an extension of the operator product expansion [31] and add it incoherently to the damping width. The two mechanisms emerge in different orders in the effective action, as has been shown in potential nonrelativistic QCD (pNRQCD) approaches [32, 33]: The imaginary part of the interaction potential \( V_{nl} \) yields collisional damping (“soft process” in pNRQCD terminology), whereas gluodissociation is described by a singlet-to-octet transition (“ultrasoft process”), and hence, both should be treated individually due to the separation of scales.

Finally, dissociation by screening of the real part of the quark-antiquark potential is taken into account by setting the total decay width to infinity if a state’s energy meets the continuum threshold, leading to the total hot-medium decay width [13, 34]

\[
\Gamma_{nl}^{\text{tot}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{nl}^{\text{damp}}(T) + \Gamma_{nl}^{\text{diss}}(T) & \text{if } E_{nl} < \lim_{r \to \infty} \Re V_{nl}, \\
\infty & \text{else}.
\end{array} \right.
\]

(20)

Due to the high bottom-quark mass, the bottomonia are not expected to be completely co-moving with the expanding hot medium in the transverse plane. We consider this finite relative velocity by applying the relativistic Doppler effect to the medium temperature in the bottomonium rest frame and performing an angular average over the shifted decay widths [13].
We investigate the impact of cold-matter and hot-medium effects on bottomonium yields in pPb collisions, and compare them to the most recent results provided by the CERN collaborations LHCb and ALICE. We calculate the nuclear modification factors for bottomonium in pPb collisions as follows: First, we apply the inverse bottomonium-decay cascade to the corresponding pp cross sections to obtain the cross sections for bottomonium production in pp. The latter are then modified with the CNM effects as discussed in Sec. III as well as with the thermal QGP effects in the hot zone from Sec. IV. Finally, these are propagated through the bottomonium-decay cascade which yields the cross sections for bottomonium decays in pPb collisions. In comparisons with transverse-momentum-, centrality-, and rapidity-dependent data, the respective other variables are integrated over centralities or the corresponding kinematical regions according to Eqs. (3) and (4).

Our model results for the Υ(1S) ground state are compared with the transverse-momentum dependent 8.16 TeV pPb data from the LHCb collaboration [35] in Fig. 4. Upper frame in the backward (Pb-going) region with \(-5 < y_{\text{cms}} < -2.5\), lower frame in the forward (p-going) region with \(-2 < y_{\text{cms}} < 2\). In both cases, calculations for cold-matter effects as obtained from initial-state PDF modifications plus energy loss are displayed in the upper bands, whereas the lower bands include the hot-medium suppression. The small additional suppression from reduced feed-down is included in both cases; if only CNM effects are considered, its impact is negligible. The broad bands refer to the uncertainties of the parton distribution functions only, not to additional systematic uncertainties that may arise from inherent model simplifications or uncertainties in the choice of parameters.

In the backward region, the additional hot-medium suppression beyond the CNM effects at low transverse momentum clearly improves the agreement with the LHCb data. Here, the CNM effects alone predict an enhancement of the nuclear modification factor above one...
tishadowing enhances the number of binary collisions both backward – where CNM effects result in a fairly flat dependence on the centrality – and forward even a slight rise of $R_{p\text{Pb}}$ with increasing centrality. The origin of the discrepancy is presently an open question. Note that ALICE data for $J/\psi$ modification factors in 5.02 TeV pPb collisions show an even stronger rise towards $R_{p\text{Pb}} \simeq 1.2$ with increasing centrality in the backward region \cite{37}, although there is growing suppression in the forward region.

Fig. 6 shows that in the backward region, this is also true when comparing to the recent ALICE $p_{\perp}$-dependent preliminary data \cite{36} for a slightly different rapidity band, $-4.46 < y_{\text{cms}} < -2.96$. In the forward region with $2.03 < y_{\text{cms}} < 3.53$, however, the agreement between our results and the ALICE preliminary data is less convincing than in the LHCb case.

For the centrality dependence displayed in Fig. 6, the CNM effects result in a fairly flat dependence on the number of binary collisions both backward – where antishadowing enhances $R_{p\text{Pb}}$ above one – and forward, where shadowing and energy loss already cause suppression. The hot-medium contributions generate even more suppression in central collisions. This disagrees with the preliminary ALICE data which show almost no centrality dependence in the forward region, and backwards even a slight rise of $R_{p\text{Pb}}$ with increasing centrality. The origin of the discrepancy is presently an open question. Note that ALICE data for $J/\psi$ modification factors in 5.02 TeV pPb collisions show an even stronger rise towards $R_{p\text{Pb}} \simeq 1.2$ with increasing centrality in the backward region \cite{37}, although there is growing suppression in the forward region.

Regarding the rapidity dependence of the $\Upsilon(1S)$ modification factor displayed in Fig. 7, the characteristic forward-backward asymmetric shape that is caused by the CNM effects – with $R_{p\text{Pb}} > 1$ in the backward region due to antishadowing, but $R_{p\text{Pb}} < 1$ in the forward region due to shadowing and energy loss – is maintained, but smoothened once the hot-medium effects are added. In particular, these cause an overall suppression of $R_{p\text{Pb}}$ below one even in the backward region, thus improving the agreement with LHCb and preliminary ALICE data in this region.

The more pronounced suppression of the excited $\Upsilon(2S)$ state as compared to the ground state that ALICE has found in both forward and backward regions as shown in the lower frame of Fig. 7 can not result from CNM ef-
fects: These yield enhancement above one, rather than suppression in the backward region. The predicted Υ(2S) CNM enhancement is in magnitude quite similar to the one of the ground state, whereas the preliminary ALICE data show suppression down to almost 70%, in reasonable agreement with our calculation. This result strongly underlines the importance of hot-medium effects in the observed bottomonia suppression in pPb collisions at LHC energies.

In all our calculations, the formation time for the six included bottomonia states is 0.4 fm/c and the initial central temperature of the fireball is \( T_0 = 460 \text{ MeV} \) – which is somewhat less than the initial central temperature of 480 MeV in 2.76 TeV PbPb collisions or the extrapolated value of 513 MeV in 5.02 TeV PbPb collisions that resulted in agreement of our corresponding predictions with CMS data \[10\]. Modifications due to a different – and possibly, state-dependent – value of the formation time had been discussed in case of symmetric systems in Ref. [12]. The initial central temperature has been determined from the difference between standard CNM-results and data of the LHCb and ALICE collaborations. It will be interesting to see if future determinations of the initial central temperature from other observables yield similar values.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have investigated the modifications of Υ yields in pPb collisions at a LHC energy of 8.16 TeV in relation to scaled pp collisions as functions of transverse momentum, rapidity, and centrality due to both CNM and QGP effects.

We have considered in the initial stages of the collision the CNM effects of shadowing and antishadowing due to the modifications of the parton distribution functions, for which we take most recent values. The partonic energy loss has been accounted for within an established model for parton propagation from the initial to the final state. As a new development, we have combined this well-known CNM treatment with our model for bottomonia suppression through hot-medium effects. So far, it has only been applied to symmetric systems such as PbPb, where its predictions were found to agree with the measured Υ(1S) suppression. In the hot zone, rapid initial local equilibration of quarks and even faster equilibration of gluons ensures that a hydrodynamic approach is applicable, and we consider the corresponding longitudinal, but also transverse expansion, in a perfect-fluid model. In the course of the expansion and cooling, we consider the hot-medium processes gluodissociation, screening and damping, until the temperature falls below the critical value.

In the asymmetric pPb system, the hot-medium suppression turns out to be quite relevant, in spite of the spatially less extended hot zone as compared to symmetric systems. The feed-down cascade from the excited bottomonia states produces some additional ground-state suppression due to melting or depopulation of the excited states, but this contribution is not as significant as in PbPb at 5.02 TeV where the excited states are almost totally screened or depopulated, and therefore, the feed-down to the ground state is substantially reduced.

Summarizing our comparisons with recent LHC data on pPb collisions at 8.16 TeV, we conclude that not only cold nuclear matter effects but also Υ suppression in the hot medium are responsible for the observed modifications of Υ yields in pPb collisions as compared to pp. The hot-medium effects are well represented by our model that has shown considerable predictive properties for symmetric systems, and is evidently also well-suited for smaller and asymmetric systems at energies reached at the Large Hadron Collider, where a less extended fireball of hot quark-gluon plasma is created.
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