Effect of Prosthetic Mesh in Laparoscopic Hernia Repair and Lichtenstein Procedure on Testicular Blood Flow in Patients with Inguinal Hernia
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ABSTRACT
Background: As there are fewer studies on the effect of mesh on testicular function, our study intends to review the safety profile of the Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair and laparoscopic hernia repair with polypropylene mesh on male gonadal function in terms of testicular perfusion.

Aim and Objectives: To determine whether hernioplasty (open & laparoscopic) using synthetic mesh has influence on testicular perfusion.

Methods: Data was collected from the department of surgery at SSGH in the period from January 2015 to August 2015. The patients were studied by Doppler flow parameter PSV, EDV, PI, RI pre operatively, in the early post operative period (post operative 3rd day) and late post operative period (post operative 6th month).

Results: Total 70 patients were studied. 52 patients were operated by Lichtenstein procedure and 18 by Lap-TEP hernia repair with placement of prosthetic mesh. Doppler study was done postoperatively on 3rd day and on 6th month. Data were collected analysed for both group seperately, testicular perfusion and flow was analysed using Student Newman Kuels test to analyse within the subgroups and it showed us that there is a statistical significant difference between pre – operative and post – operative 3rd day parameter, post-operative 3rd day and post-operative 6th month parameter. There is no statistical difference between pre-operative parameters and post-operative 6th month parameter. This result was seen in both group Lichtenstein as well as Lap-TEP repair.

Conclusion: No alteration in testicular volume and arterial flow after a six-month period was observed among patients who underwent surgical correction for inguinal hernia using a polypropylene prosthesis.
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INTRODUCTION
About 10% of people develop some type of hernia during their lifetime. In the USA, more than 750,000 hernia operations are performed each year. Hernias are seven times more common in males than in females. Abramson reported that the overall current risk for a male to have an inguinal hernia was 18% and the lifetime risk was 24%. Hernia repair is one of the commonest surgery performed. The spermatic cord is composed of the vas deferens, testicular vessels including testicular artery and veins, autonomous nerves, spermatic muscle and fascia. Each of these structures could have various effects on testicular perfusion.
Since the original description of hernia repair by Bassini in 1889, hernia surgery underwent numerous refinement with the sole idea to reduce recurrence\(^{(2)}\).

Hernia repair using suture have paved the way to synthetic meshes to cover the myopectineal orifice. The most effective method of repair of inguinal hernia is by means of a tension free technique involving the use of prosthetic mesh to reinforce the abdominal wall in the region of the groin. This can be accomplished by open or laparoscopic techniques; both repairs have been shown to offer faster recovery and lower recurrence rates than the traditional method. Every year, more than one million polypropylene prostheses are implanted for treating hernias around the world\(^{(3,4)}\). Over the evolution of treatments for inguinal hernia, it has been discovered that the use of prostheses is fundamental for obtaining low recurrence rates and high satisfaction rates\(^{(5,6,7)}\).

The euphoria triggered by the results from multicenter studies regarding the use of prosthesis made many authors conclude that the possibility of recurrence and the postoperative complications from inguinal hernias were problems that had been solved\(^{(8,9,10)}\).

The reproductivity of men has been a major concern throughout the history of mankind; moreover, it is accepted to be an important criteria of strength, and unfortunately, lack of reproductivity may result in an abrasive psychosocial pressure against the individuals in some communities.

The incidence of infertility among patients operated for inguinal hernias (overall) has been shown to be greater than in the general population (Shin et al., 2005). Infertility has been proposed to result from intraoperative or postoperative factors. Intraoperative factors include injury to vascular supply and to the vas deferens itself. Postoperative factor includes the fibroblastic reaction induced by the mesh, which intuitively affects the spermatic cord, which lies anterior to it. It has been shown that there is a significant decrease in the cross-sectional diameter of vas and a marked foreign body reaction to the mesh in the tissue surrounding the spermatic cord\(^{(11)}\).

As there are fewer studies on the effect of mesh on testicular function, our study intends to review the safety profile of the Lichtenstein inguinal hernia repair and laparoscopic hernia repair with polypropylene mesh on male gonadal function in terms of testicular volume and perfusion.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This is a prospective observational study carried out in SSG Hospital & Medical college Baroda during the period of January 2015 to June 2015. Cases for mesh repair by both open and laparoscopic total extraperitoneal (LT) repair were selected from the cases admitted for inguinal hernia repair at surgical units of SSG Hospital Baroda were included in the study. Adult patient of age group between 20 – 50 were included. Inguinal hernia of direct and indirect types, unilateral and bilateral hernia were included. All were elective repairs. Special cases like recurrent hernia, strangulated/acute irreducible hernia were excluded. Patient selected may or may not be having respiratory, cardiac or urological pathology. Informed consent was obtained.

All patients admitted for inguinal hernias were evaluated clinically as presented in the proforma. All patients were evaluated for routine blood examination like Hb, TC, DC, RBS, Blood Urea, Serum Creatinine, Urine examination done. Baseline ECG & Chest X-Ray was done in all patient. Shaving (nipple to knee) was done prior to surgery and povidone iodine scrub applied in the morning of the surgery. A single dose of intravenous Cefotaxime 1 gm was administered just before the incision kept.

All the patients were investigated for pre and post operative scrotal Doppler ultrasonography and semen analysis. All colour Doppler ultrasonographies were performed with patient in the supine position with the transducer placed gently on the scrotum by consultant radiologist. After evaluation of the testicular parenchyma and
intra testicular blood flow, testicular artery was examined for: Peak systolic velocity (PSV), End diastolic velocity (EDV), Resistivity index (RI), Pulsatility index(Pi).

Doppler flow parameter PSV, EDV, PI, RI was measured pre operatively, in the early post operative period (post operative 3rd day) and late post operative period (post operative 6th month). Testicular volume was also measured.

**STATISTICAL METHODS**

The comparison between the pre-operative and post-operative doppler parameter for testicular perfusion were analysed by applying analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. Student Newman Keuls test was used to analysed between the sub-groups, to find the statistical difference between them.

**RESULTS**

This prospective observational study entitled “Effect of prosthetic mesh in laparoscopic hernia repair and lichtenstein procedure on testicular blood flow and testicular volume in patients with inguinal hernia” was conducted in SSG Hospital Baroda. It included 77 male patients between 20 to 50 years age group. Seven patients were lost to follow up till 6 month, hence excluded from the study.

**Table 1: Age distribution**

| AGE GROUP | LICHTENSTEIN | LAP-TEP | TOTAL |
|-----------|---------------|---------|-------|
| 20-30     | 17            | 00      | 17    |
| 31-40     | 27            | 06      | 33    |
| 41-50     | 08            | 12      | 20    |

Table shows that 52 patients were operated by Lichtenstein procedure and 18 by Lap-TEP procedure. Most common age group was age 31-40 years.

**Table 2 A : Results of doppler parameter with their range in Lichtenstein group**

| SR NO. | DOPPLER PARAMETERS | PRE-OPERATIVE | POST-OPERATIVE 3RD DAY | POST-OPERATIVE 6TH MONTH |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | PSV (cm/s)         | 9.8 - 11.90   | 16.5 – 18.6             | 10.2 – 11.6              |
| 2      | EDV (cm/s)         | 2.03 – 2.20   | 1.80 – 2.03             | 2.05 – 2.17              |
| 3      | PI                 | 0.53 -0.68    | 0.63 – 0.78             | 0.52 – 0.67              |
| 4      | RI                 | 0.42 -0.54    | 0.54 – 0.71             | 0.42 -0.52               |
The difference within the patients operated by Lichtenstein procedure, before surgery and after surgery at two distinct points (3rd day and 6th month) with regards to PSV values was measured by using analysis of variance (ANOVA). ANOVA showed significant difference within this group. ANOVA also showed significant difference for the other doppler parameters such as EDV, PI and RI.

Table 3 : Student Newman Keuls test for all pair wise comparisons for Lichtenstein procedure

| PSV | EDV | PI  | RI  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Pre-operative (1) | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| Post-op 3rd day (2) | 1, 3 | 1, 3 | 1, 3 | 1, 3 |
| Post-op 6th month (3) | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   |

Now, to check a pairwise difference Student Newman Keuls test was used and it showed statistical difference between pre-operative and post-operative third day. It also showed the statistical difference between post-operative third day and post – operative six month for all four doppler parameters (PSV, EDV, PI, RI). Student Newman Keuls test does not showed the statistical significant difference between pre-operative and post-operative six month for PSV, EDV, PI and RI.

Table 4 A: Results of doppler parameter with their range in Lap – TEP group :

| SR NO. | DOPPLER PARAMETERS | PRE-OPERATIVE | POST-OPERATIVE 3RD DAY | POST-OPERATIVE 6TH MONTH |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | PSV (cm/s)         | 10.2 – 11.90  | 15.4 – 18.0             | 10.3 -11.8               |
| 2      | EDV (cm/s)         | 2.02 – 2.20   | 1.83 – 2.01             | 2.01 -2.17               |
| 3      | PI                 | 0.52 – 0.65   | 0.66 – 0.74             | 0.52 – 0.66              |
| 4      | RI                 | 0.43 – 0.54   | 0.55 – 0.71             | 0.43 -0.52              |

Table 4 B: Comparison between Pre-operative and Post-operative doppler parameters for Lap-TEP procedure

| SR NO. | DOPPLER PARAMETERS | PRE-OPERATIVE (MEAN) | POST-OPERATIVE 3RD DAY (MEAN) | POST-OPERATIVE 6TH MONTH (MEAN) | LAP-TEP (P VALUE) |
|--------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | PSV (cm/s)         | 10.97                | 17.19                         | 11.03                          | P < 0.001         |
| 2      | EDV (cm/s)         | 2.14                 | 1.91                          | 2.12                           | P < 0.001         |
| 3      | PI                 | 0.59                 | 0.68                          | 0.59                           | P < 0.001         |
| 4      | RI                 | 0.48                 | 0.61                          | 0.47                           | P < 0.001         |
The difference within the patients operated by Lap-TEP procedure, before surgery and after surgery at two distinct points (3rd day and 6th month) with regards to PSV values was measured by using analysis of variance (ANOVA). ANOVA showed significant difference within this group. ANOVA also showed significant difference for the other doppler parameters such as EDV, PI and RI.

Table 5 : Student Newman Keuls test for all pairwise comparisons for Lap-TEP procedure

|          | PSV | EDV | PI | RI |
|----------|-----|-----|----|----|
| Pre-op   | 2   | 2   | 2  | 2  |
| Post-op 3rd day | 1,3 | 1,3 | 1,3 | 1,3 |
| Post-op 6th month | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Now, to check a pair wise difference Student Newman Keuls test was used and it showed statistical difference between pre-operative and post-operative third day. It also showed the statistical difference between post-operative third day and post – operative six month for all four doppler parameters (PSV, EDV, PI, RI). Student Newman Keuls test does not showed the statistical significant difference between pre-operative and post-operative six month for PSV, EDV, PI and RI.

DISCUSSION

This is a prospective observational study carried out in SSG Hospital & Medical College Baroda during the period of January 2015 to June 2015, the effect of prosthetic mesh in inguinal hernia surgery on and testicular perfusion was evaluated.

Comparisons of results with other studies:

Analysis of the testicular flow

| Study                | PSV     | EDV     | PI     | RI     | P value |
|---------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| H. Ayede et al      | 11.13   | 10.5    | -      | 10.8   | <0.001  |
|                     | 3.1     | 2.9     | -      | 1.4    | <0.001  |
|                     | 1.3     | 1.6     | -      | 0.7    | >0.05   |
|                     | 0.6     | 0.71    | -      | 2.82   | <0.001  |
| S. Hatipoğlu et al  |        |         |        |        |         |
| RI                  | 0.46    | -       | 0.42   | 0.53   | >0.05   |
| Edgar et al         |         |         |        |        |         |
| PSV                 | -       | -       | -      | -      | 0.25    |
| EDV                 | -       | -       | -      | -      | 0.554   |
| PI                  | -       | -       | -      | -      | 0.99    |
| RI                  | -       | -       | -      | -      | 0.58    |
| Kumar et al         |         |         |        |        |         |
| RI                  | 0.53    | 0.63    | 0.53   | 0.64   | 0.9     |
| Our study           |         |         |        |        |         |
| PSV                 | 11.08   | 17.78   | -      | 10.85  | <0.001  |
| EDV                 | 2.08    | 1.91    | -      | 2.12   | <0.001  |
| PI                  | 0.59    | 0.70    | -      | 0.59   | <0.001  |
| RI                  | 0.47    | 0.61    | -      | 0.46   | <0.001  |

A: Pre-operative, B0: Early post-operative 3rd day-2nd week, B1: Intermediate post-operative period 4th week – 2 month, C: Late post-operative 6th month.

H. Aydede et al reported statistical significant difference between pre-operative PSV versus early postoperative PSV, pre-operative EDV versus early postoperative EDV, pre-operative RI versus early postoperative RI although there was no significant difference in pre-operative PI versus early postoperative PI. However no statistically significant difference was found between pre-operative and late postoperative values in terms of Doppler flow parameters. Sinan Hatipoğlu et al reported no significant differences between the
pre-operative and post-operative RI values of testicular and capsular arteries in both groups. Edgar Valente et al, reported no statistically significant alterations in the variables studied were observed pre-operatively and 3rd month, 6th month post-operatively: systolic velocity; diastolic velocity; resistance index; and pulsatility index. Kumar et al, reported 78 (90.69%) patients had increased TARI on Right side at 2nd post-op week (P=0.000). There was no significant effect of Lichtenstein repair on TARI found at 8th week, and 6th month of follow up postoperatively. On the Left side around 63 (73.25%) patients had increased TARI at 2ndpost-op week (P=0.000). There was no significant effect of Lichtenstein repair on TARI at 8th week, and 6th month of postoperative follow up.

In our study we observed testicular flow on three occasions and there was statistical significance difference between doppler parameters such as PSV,EDV,PI and RI between pre-operative and early post-operative, between early post-operative and late post-operative doppler flow parameter. We found no statistical significance difference between pre-operative and late post-operative doppler parameter. This decreased early postoperative testicular flow in both groups can be explained by traumatic tissue oedema rather than inflammatory tissue reaction.

CONCLUSION
Present study concluded that, there is a mild reduction in testicular flow in the early post-operative period, suggested by the slight increase in resistance index and decrease in end diastolic volume in early post-operative period, which may due to the operative trauma and edema in the tissue in early post-operative period.

But over a period of six month this testicular flow parameter returns to normal. This indicates chronic tissue inflammation against mesh have no adverse effect on testicular perfusion over time.
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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LICH Lichtenstein
Lap-TEP Laparoscopic total extraperitoneal
PSV Peak systolic velocity
EDV End diastolic velocity
PI Pulsatility index
RI Resistance index
TV Testicular volume
SC Sperm count
SM Sperm motility
ANOVA Analysis of variance
Pre-op Pre-operative
Post-op Post-operative