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ABSTRACT

Objective: Field experiments were conducted at Agricultural University, Rajendra Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana to study the dissipation kinetics of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos in okra fruit. Decontamination study was also conducted to evaluate quality of okra pods by reducing the residues of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos by using different processes such as 2% salt solution, acetic acid, biowash, butter milk, cooking, drying. Formula 1(T1), frying, lemon water, sodium bicarbonate, tamarind water and tap water.

Methods: All the pesticide residues with one test dose at two spray i.e., first spray at flowering stage and second spray after an interval of ten days was carried out. The samples drawn at specific periods were analyzed by liquid chromatography and mass spectrophotometry (LC-MS/MS).

Results: The initial deposit of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos in okra was found to be 2.239, 2.586, 2.401, 1.39, 0.78 mg/kg respectively. More than 98 % of carbendazim, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos dissipated after 15 d and monocrotophos was dissipated after 10 d. Sodium bicarbonate and 2% salt solution are the best methods for decontamination after cooking. The decontamination values of frying and formula 1 seems to be almost same. After these two methods biowash thought to be the method of choice.

Conclusion: These results are helpful in setting up maximum residual limit (MRLs) of these pesticides in okra in India. From the results, it could be recommended that cooking suits best for almost all of the pesticide residues.
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INTRODUCTION

Vegetables supply essential nutrients and vitamins and hence are an important constituent of human diet. Vegetables are often infested by certain insect pest such as Aphids, Thrips, Jassids, Fruit borer, Shoot borer and Leafhopper during their growth [1]. Though the use of Pesticides and Insecticides are recognized as important for food production, their usage might cause potential health risks such as chronic neurotoxicity, endocrine disruption, genotoxicity, mutagenicity and carcinogenesis through consumption of dietary residues [2]. High percentages of pesticide residue levels are present in fruits and vegetables when compared to other foods of plant origin.

The random utilize of pesticides especially at the mature stage and non-adoption of safe waiting time are the due reasons of accumulation of pesticide residues that leads to contamination of vegetables [3, 4]. Inappropriate use of pesticides may lead to public concern on food safety and human health [5–10], environmental contamination [11, 12], insect resistance and resurgence [12 –14] though most of the pesticides have increased in okra vegetable crop over the years and hence their monitoring is very important. Keeping in view the above facts, an attempt has been made in the present study to estimate the quantity of these residual pesticides in okra at different days of pre-harvest intervals and estimate the effect of traditional processing methods on the reduction of pesticide residues and evaluate their levels present in commercially produced okra. In addition, the study may develop/suggest effective methods through which pesticides can be reduced/removed or even decontaminated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dissipation and decontamination studies

The field experiments were conducted at Agricultural College, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad and Telangana, India. A 30 m² experimental plot was chosen and each treatment was carried out in triplicate in randomized block design. Okra crop that was grown through organic farming without pesticide spray serve as control. To investigate the dissipation of quinalphos, carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate with one test dose at two spray i.e., first spray at flowering stage and second spray after an interval of ten days was carried out. Okra fruits were collected randomly at 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 d after the last spray from all the the...
Okra fruit samples were collected at various treatments and packed in poly bags to avoid contamination. The sample collected at 0 d interval also used for decontamination studies for determining the effect of various processing techniques.

The okra samples without any pesticide interference serves as blank for analyte. The lists of risk mitigation methods utilized in the present study are presented in table 2. The efficiency of these decontamination methods in reducing pesticide residues from the okra fruit samples was calculated. The efficiency of these decontamination methods to safeguard the health of consumers.

**Table 1: Recommended dose of pesticides**

| Treatment | Common name of the insecticide | Dosage (g a. i ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1         | Carbenzadim 50% WP              | 80                    |
| 2         | Monocrotophos 36% SL            | 437 ml/ha             |
| 3         | Acephate 75SP                   | 747 g/ha              |
| 4         | Spiromesifen 24% OC             | 62.5 ml/ha            |
| 5         | Quinalphos 25% EC               | 125.0 ml/ha           |

**Table 2: The various decontamination methods employed in the present study**

| Treatment | Description                                                                 |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T1        | Dipping in 2% salt solution for 10 min (80 grams of table salt was added to 4L of water, and 2 kg okra dipped in saltwater for 1 h) |
| T2        | Dipping in 4% acetic acid solution for 1 min (160 ml of acetic acid was added to 4 lts of water; 2 kg okra samples dipped in the solution for 10 min) |
| T3        | Dipping in biowash for 10 min (biowash was added to 4 lts of water, and 2 kg okra samples is dipped in biowash for 10 min) |
| T4        | Dipping in butter milk for 30 min (our curd was added to 4 lts of water to prepare buttermilk and 2 kg okra samples is dipped in butter milk for 30 min) |
| T5        | Cooking in pressure cooker (2 kg okra sample was cooked in pressure cooker for 10 min) |
| T6        | Okra dried under sun to remove moisture and the powdered samples are used for analysis. |
| T7        | Dipping in 4% acetic acid+0.1%NAHCO3+1 lemon (1lemon/1lt): 160 ml of acetic acid, 4 gms of sodium bicarbonate, lemon juice of 4 lemons added to 4 lts of water; 2 kg Okra samples dipping in the solution for 10 min) |
| T8        | Dipping in 2% tamarind solution for 10 min (80 grams of tamarind was added to 4 lts of water, and 2 kg okra samples is dipped in biowash for 10 min) |
| T9        | Dipping in 0.1% sodium bicarbonate solution for 10 min (4 grams of sodium bicarbonate was added to 4 lts of water; 2 kg Okra sample is dipped in solution for 10 min) |
| T10       | Dipping in 0.1% sodium bicarbonate solution for 10 min (4 grams of sodium bicarbonate was added to 4 lts of water; 2 kg Okra sample is dipped in solution for 10 min) |
| T11       | Dipping in 2% tamarind solution for 10 min (80 grams of tamarind was added to 4 lts of water, and 2 kg okra sample dipped in salt water for 30 min) |
| T12       | Tap water wash                                                               |

**Preparation of sample**

**Extraction of the sample**

The modified QuEChERS extraction procedure was employed for the sample clean up step with the addition of GCB (Graphitised Carbon Black). Magnesium sulfate and PSA (Primary Secondary amine Sorbent) were added for removal of residual water, sugar and carbohydrates respectively. This procedure has been validated (in-house) to satisfy the European Union SANCO/12571/2013 guidelines [20].

A representative sample was homogenized and ~150 g of the sample has been transferred into a 50 ml tarson tube and appropriate amounts of multi pesticide mix standards were added. Subsequently, 30 ml of acetonitrile (v/v) was added and the mixture was homogenised with homogenizer and vortexed for 1 minute. Then 3.0 g of anhydrous sodium chloride was added and vortexed for 30 seconds and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 10 min. The organic layer (~16 ml supernatant) was transferred to a 15 ml tarson tube supplemented with anhydrous sodium sulphate (9.0 g) and thoroughly shaken, vortexed for 1 min. The use of sodium sulphate was to absorb moisture. The supernatant (8 ml) obtained was transferred to a 15 ml tarson tube containing 1500 mg of magnesium sulphate and 400 mg of PSA and 7.5 mg of GCB. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 rpm. An aliquot of 2 ml supernatant eventually was transferred to a Ria vial then evaporated at 45 °C in turbo evaporator. The residue was reconstituted with mobile phase (1 ml), filtered and injected into LC-MS/MS system.

The okra samples without any pesticide interference serves as blank for validation experiments and the same extraction procedure was employed for the samples that are treated with decontamination procedures.

**Reagents and chemicals**

All the reagents employed in the present study analytical grade reagents were employed in this study. Analytical standard of pesticides (purity ≥ 99.8 %) was supplied from Sigma Aldrich, Germany, Ehrenstoffer (Japan), acetonitrile and glacial acetic acid (HPLC-grade) purchased from Merck (India). Methanol was purchased from J. T. Baker, magnesium sulphate was purchased from Agilent, while sodium acetate purchased from Merck (India) and ammonium acetate was purchased from Sigma (g). Formic acid was purchased from Merck (Xalostoc, Mexico). Primary and secondary amine sorbent (PSA) and Graphitised carbon block (GCB) purchased from Agilent, ultrapure water and HPLC water procured from Merck (Xalostoc, Mexico).

The stock solutions were prepared at 1000 ppm in an appropriate solvent, stored at -20±2 °C in a deep freezer. From the above stock solution the working standards were prepared.
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Instrumental conditions

Chromatography and mass spectrometry

The chromatographic analysis was performed using an UHPLC (equipped with a Quaternary pump (LC20AD), autosampler (SIL20AC), Shim-pack XR-ODSIII column (75 × 2 mm, 1.6 µm) used for separation of the pesticide residues. 10 mM ammonium acetate in water (Mobile phase A) and 10 mmol ammonium acetate in methanol (Mobile phase B) at 0.4 ml/min flow rate was employed for separation of pesticide residues. The elution was programmed as follows: A (40)–B (60) (2 min), A (10)–B (90) (6 min), A (40%)–B (60%) (4 min) with a run time of 12 min and the volume injected was 5 µl. Triplet Quadrupole Mass spectrophotometry (Lab ware solutions, Schimadzu, Japan) (Desolvation gas temperature: 250 °C; Heat block temperature: 300 °C; Drying gas flow–15 L/min; Nebulizer gas (N₂) flow-2 L/min; Dwell time–10 msec⁻¹; Interface voltage–4.5-5.0 kv) has been employed for the present study. The retention times, Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) transitions of all the compounds used for the quantitative and qualitative estimation are presented in table 1. Linearity was calculated based on five different concentrations (10 ppb, 50ppb, 100ppb, 250ppb, 500 ppb) of pesticide residues and the recovery was validated by fortifying the untreated okra samples with standards of the mix of 5 compounds. The LOD (limit of detection) for 5 compounds was nearer to 0.005 mg/kg and the limit of quantification (LOQ) being nearer to 0.01 mg/kg.

Method validation

Selectivity and linearity curves

The method selectivity was checked by blank injections. The method is set to be free of any target compounds as there was no signal observed at retention times of the compounds. Matrix-matched calibration (MMC) was used to minimise the effect of the matrix. Analytical MMC curves were constructed using blank okra extracts with appropriate quantity of pesticide mix standard at different levels such as 10, 50, 100, 250, 500 µg/kg. The obtained data was analysed by Lab Solution Software.

LOD, LOQ and measurement of uncertainty

The LOD was the lowest concentration of analyte in a sample which can be detected and not quantified. The LOQ is the lowest spike level meeting the method performance criteria trueness and precision (70-120% and RSD ≤ 15%, respectively). Measurement uncertainty (MU) was assessed following SANCO/12571/2013 guidelines. LOD and LOQ values obtained are presented in table 1 [29-31].

Trueness and precision

The trueness of the method was determined based on the values obtained from the recovery assay. The samples were spiked at three different concentrations ie., 50.0, 250, 500 µg/kg (n=6 at each concentration) for three different days by two analysts and analytes recovery was calculated using true value and analysed value. The obtained data were used for determining the precision and measurement of uncertainty (MU). The precision was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) and is determined with replication data (n=6) of 3 different days at each concentration level and the results obtained are presented in table 3. The chromatogram showing the total ions (TIC) is given in fig. 1. To get the chromatogram, blank extracts of okra samples were fortified with all the pesticide mix at 500 ppb, the more intense MRM transition for each compound was picked up.

![Fig. 1: Total ion chromatogram (TIC) obtained by LC-MS/MS (ESI positive mode) for blank okra samples fortified at 500 ppb](image-url)

| Compound name | Correlation coefficient | Average recovery with %RSD | Measurement of uncertainty % | LODa | LOQb |
|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------|------|
| Carbendazim   | 0.999                   | 107.77(6.57)                | 98.56(4.65)                   | 7.49 | 6.46 |
| Monocrotophos | 0.995                   | 96.06(8.19)                 | 101.01(5.75)                  | 8.49 | 7.03 |
| Acephate      | 0.999                   | 83.62(2.87)                 | 87.30(6.87)                   | 5.73 | 7.66 |
| Spiromesifen  | 0.996                   | 91.80(5.27)                 | 103.87(8.07)                  | 6.77 | 8.41 |
| Quinalphos    | 0.996                   | 89.56(6.67)                 | 88.67(8.59)                   | 9.86(9.80) | 9.95 | 14.7 | 4.92 |

1RSD%, percentage relative standard deviation (n=16); 2LOD, Limit of detection; 3LOQ, Limit of quantification
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dissipation of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos

Table 4: Dissipation of carbendazim

| DAY | R1    | R2    | R3    | Average | SD   | %RSD    |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|
| 0 D | 2.010 | 2.685 | 2.021 | 2.239   | 0.386| 17.263  |
| 1 D | 1.245 | 0.999 | 1.287 | 1.177   | 0.155| 13.193  |
| 3 D | 0.916 | 0.821 | 0.901 | 0.880   | 0.051| 5.827   |
| 5 D | 0.516 | 0.729 | 0.760 | 0.668   | 0.133| 19.885  |
| 7 D | 0.515 | 0.536 | 0.544 | 0.532   | 0.015| 2.809   |
| 10 D| 0.198 | 0.255 | 0.220 | 0.224   | 0.029| 12.782  |
| 15 D| 0.097 | 0.103 | 0.092 | 0.097   | 0.005| 5.425   |
| 20 D| *BDL  | BDL   | BDL   | BDL     | BDL  | BDL     |

Regression equation: \( Y = 0.115x + 1.506 \)
Regression coefficient: 0.719
Half-life: 3.544
Pre-Harvest interval: 2.920

*BDL-Below Detection Level; SD, Standard deviation; %RSD%, percentage relative standard deviation (n=3)

![Graph of Dissipation Pattern of Carbendazim](image1)

Fig. 2: Semi logarithmic graph showing dissipation pattern of carbendazim in Okra

Table 5: Dissipation of monocrotophos

| DAY | R1    | R2    | R3    | Average | SD   | %RSD    |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|
| 0 D | 2.674 | 2.669 | 2.416 | 2.586   | 0.148| 5.713   |
| 1 D | 1.593 | 1.565 | 2.126 | 1.761   | 0.317| 17.979  |
| 3 D | 1.085 | 0.954 | 1.186 | 1.075   | 0.116| 10.818  |
| 5 D | 0.449 | 0.475 | 0.427 | 0.450   | 0.024| 5.276   |
| 7 D | 0.143 | 0.147 | 0.128 | 0.139   | 0.010| 7.355   |
| 10 D| 0.047 | 0.037 | 0.047 | 0.044   | 0.005| 12.563  |
| 15 D| 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.013   | 0.001| 9.438   |

Regression equation: \( Y = 0.246x + 2.077 \)
Regression coefficient: 0.857
Half-life: 1.718
Pre-Harvest interval: 2.614

SD, Standard deviation; %RSD%, percentage relative standard deviation (n=3)

![Graph of Dissipation Pattern of Monocrotophos](image2)

Fig. 3: Semi logarithmic graph showing dissipation pattern of monocrotophos in Okra
Table 6: Dissipation of spiromesifen

| DAY | R1     | R2     | R3     | Average | SD  | %RSD |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|---------|-----|------|
| 0 D | 2.623  | 2.343  | 2.238  | 2.401   | 0.199 | 8.288 |
| 1 D | 1.770  | 1.635  | 1.767  | 1.724   | 0.078 | 4.524 |
| 3 D | 0.898  | 1.166  | 1.094  | 1.053   | 0.139 | 13.200|
| 5 D | 0.798  | 0.737  | 0.767  | 0.767   | 0.030 | 3.911 |
| 7 D | 0.299  | 0.208  | 0.206  | 0.238   | 0.053 | 22.269|
| 10 D| 0.062  | 0.068  | 0.057  | 0.062   | 0.006 | 9.677 |
| 15 D| 0.009  | 0.008  | 0.008  | 0.008   | 0.001 | 6.928 |

Regression equation: \( Y = 0.225x + 2.016 \)
Regression coefficient: 0.904
Half-life: 1.943
Pre-Harvest interval: 2.927

\( *SD \), Standard deviation; \( ^*RSD\% \), percentage relative standard deviation (n=3)

Fig. 4: Semi logarithmic graph showing dissipation pattern of spiromesifen in Okra

Table 7: Dissipation of acephate

| DAY | R1     | R2     | R3     | Average | SD  | %RSD |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|---------|-----|------|
| 0 D | 1.351  | 1.340  | 1.502  | 1.398   | 0.091 | 6.475 |
| 1 D | 1.210  | 1.211  | 1.165  | 1.195   | 0.026 | 2.207 |
| 3 D | 1.009  | 1.073  | 0.836  | 0.973   | 0.122 | 12.594|
| 5 D | 0.791  | 0.806  | 0.836  | 0.811   | 0.023 | 2.827 |
| 7 D | 0.599  | 0.597  | 0.564  | 0.587   | 0.020 | 3.375 |
| 10 D| 0.138  | 0.139  | 0.121  | 0.133   | 0.010 | 7.839 |
| 15 D| 0.015  | 0.013  | 0.012  | 0.013   | 0.001 | 9.438 |

Regression equation: \( Y = 0.118x + 1.364 \)
Regression coefficient: 0.988
Half-life: 3.290
Pre-Harvest interval: 2.544

\( *SD \), Standard deviation; \( ^*RSD\% \), percentage relative standard deviation (n=3)

Fig. 5: Semi logarithmic graph showing dissipation pattern of acephate in Okra
Dissipation of carbendazim in okra fruit

The initial deposit of carbendazim in okra fruits grown in the field was 2.239 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 3.54 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 15 d of spraying (table 4 and fig. 2). The various factors that may influence pesticide persistence are climate, physical and chemical properties of pesticide [6]. MRLs have not yet been set by FAO/WHO, US for carbendazim in okra. Maximum residue limits for carbendazim have been set in tomato in the range of 0.5 mg per kg and cucumber 0.05 mg per kg body weight.

Dissipation of monocrotophos in okra fruit

The initial deposit of monocrotophos in okra fruits grown in the field was 2.586 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 1.718 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 10 d of spraying (table 5 and fig. 3). The various factors that may influence pesticide persistence are climate, physical and chemical properties of pesticide [6]. MRLs (maximum residue limits) have not yet been set by CODEX ALIMENTARIUS [9] for monocrotophos in okra. Maximum residue limits for monocrotophos have been set in tomato at the range of 0.25 mg per kg and cucumber 0.05 mg per kg body weight.

Dissipation of spiromesifen in okra fruit

The initial deposit of spiromesifen in okra fruits grown in the field was 2.401 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 1.94 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 15 d of spraying (table 6 and fig. 4). The initial deposit of monocrotophos in okra fruits grown in the field was 2.586 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 1.718 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 10 d of spraying (table 5 and fig. 3).

Dissipation of acephate in okra fruit

The initial deposit of acephate in okra fruits grown in the field was 1.39 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 3.29 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 15 d of spraying (table 7 and fig. 5). The various factors that may influence pesticide persistence are climate, physical and chemical properties of pesticide [6]. MRLs (maximum residue limits) have not yet been set by FAO/WHO, US [9] for acephate in okra. Maximum residue limits for acephate have been set in cabbage and tomato as 2 mg and 1 mg per kg body weight.

Dissipation of quinalphos in okra fruit

The initial deposit of quinalphos in okra fruits grown in the field was 0.72 mg/kg with a half-life (t½) of 2.471 d. More than 98% of this residue had dissipated after 15 d of spraying (table 8 and fig. 6). The various factors that may influence pesticide persistence are climate, physical and chemical properties of pesticide [6]. MRLs (maximum residue limits) have not yet been set by FAO/WHO, US [9] for quinalphos in okra.

The results of the decontamination studies were presented in table 9. The results were shown by applying the weight loss effect. Carbendazim residues were reduced upto 75.2% by cooking (T5) and 61.4% by sodium bicarbonate treatment (T10). The residues of acephate were reduced by 65% with cooking. Monocrotophos was reduced by 68.2% by cooking. The residues of quinalphos were reduced by 76.2% by cooking and 68.5% by sodium bicarbonate washing. According to Nagesh and Verma (1997), decontamination through different processes showed that the residues in cabbage were reduced to some extent by various home processing methods like washing and cooking [32]. Cooking did not help much in reducing the residue below the MRLs of 0.25 for quinalphos. Spiromesifen was reduced effectively by 76% with cooking. Among all the different decontamination methods employed, cooking suits best for almost all of the pesticide residues. Sodium bicarbonate and 2% salt solution are the best methods for decontamination after cooking. The decontamination values of Frying and formula 1(T7) seems to be almost the same. After these two methods, bio wash thought to be the method of choice. Nath et al. reported that washing of treated okra with tap water resulted in considerable removal of malathion deposits by 89.15% [33]. Washing decreased the carbaryl deposit by 69.55%. Cypermethrin residues reduced in tomato, okra, bottle gourd and ridge gourd after all processing steps i.e. about 5-14% by washing, 6-26% by blanching, 6-19% by washing in brine solution and 15-33% by cooking Kadian et al., 2001[34].

### Table 8: Dissipation of quinalphos

| DAY | R1       | R2       | R3       | Average | *SD | %RSD|
|-----|----------|----------|----------|---------|-----|------|
| 0 D | 0.798    | 0.735    | 0.819    | 0.784   | 0.044 | 5.589|
| 1 D | 0.628    | 0.660    | 0.763    | 0.684   | 0.071 | 10.375|
| 3 D | 0.440    | 0.366    | 0.317    | 0.374   | 0.062 | 16.618|
| 5 D | 0.126    | 0.127    | 0.084    | 0.112   | 0.024 | 21.725|
| 7 D | 0.080    | 0.086    | 0.076    | 0.081   | 0.006 | 6.831 |
| 10 D| 0.058    | 0.062    | 0.076    | 0.065   | 0.009 | 13.952|

*SD, Standard deviation; %RSD%, percentage relative standard deviation (n=3)

---

**Fig. 6:** Semi logarithmic graph showing dissolution pattern of quinalphos in okra

---

**Table 8: Dissipation of quinalphos**
CONCLUSION

The initial deposit of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos in okra was found to be 2.239, 2.586, 54.24, 58.24 and 5.628 mg/kg, respectively. More than 98% of acephate and quinalphos in okra was found to be 2.239, 2.586, and 54.24, 58.24 and 5.628 mg/kg, respectively. The initial deposit of carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos were dissipated after 15 d and monocrotophos was dissipated after 10 d. These results are helpful in setting up maximum residual limit (MRLs) of these pesticides in okra in India. Based on the results, it can be concluded that the pesticide residues such as carbendazim, monocrotophos, spiromesifen, acephate and quinalphos were removed by almost all the decontamination methods employed in the study. Among the various decontamination methods employed almost all the pesticide residues considered for the study were removed effectively from okra fruit with simple household processing methods such as cooking, frying, 2% salt solution and Biowash. Among all methods, cooking in a pressure cooker proved to be the best, and also economical. Employing Acetic acid, buttermilk, tamarind water was also found to be best methods of choice at household levels to remove pesticide residues. The results can be propagated and popularized among homemakers for removal of pesticides from okra fruits.
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