Regulation of Regenerative Periodontal Healing by NAMPT
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Abstract

Periodontitis is a chronic multifactorial inflammatory disease characterized by the progressive destruction of the tooth-supporting tissues, such as the periodontal ligament (PDL). Pathogenic microorganisms embedded in a biofilm on the tooth surface can trigger local synthesis of proinflammatory mediators and matrix-degrading proteases by infiltrating and resident cells of the periodontium. As a consequence of the exaggerated immunoinflammatory and proteolytic processes, the periodontal tissues are subjected to degradation and resorption, which can result in periodontal pocket formation and even tooth loss [1–4]. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III that analyzed the health and nutritional status in the United States revealed that approximately half of the US population aged ≥30 years suffers from periodontitis [5]. It is widely accepted that periodontitis has a significant negative impact on physical, psychological, and social aspects of life and also affects systemic health in affected individuals [6–8].

The main goal of periodontal therapy is to arrest the inflammatory and tissue-destructive processes by reducing or eliminating the pathogenic microorganisms in the periodontal pockets [9]. Conventional periodontal treatment comprises nonsurgical or surgical debridement, sometimes applied in combination with antibiotics, and achieves periodontal healing mainly by repair [10]. Regenerative healing can be stimulated by the application of bioactive molecules, such as enamel matrix derivative (EMD), during periodontal surgery [11–13]. A great number of in vitro studies have demonstrated that EMD stimulates the synthesis of growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)
and transforming growth factor (TGF) β1, matrix molecules, such as collagen type I (COL1) and peristin (POSTN), osteogenesis-related factors, such as runt-related transcription factor (RUNX) 2, calcium deposition, and in vitro wound healing [14, 15]. It is widely accepted that the regeneration-promoting activities of EMD are induced at least in part by these mechanisms. Moreover, it has been shown that the beneficial effects of EMD on periodontal regeneration are mediated, at least partially, by bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) and TGFβ, because fractions of EMD contain BMP- and TGFβ-like activity and EMD stimulates BMP and TGFβ synthesis in periodontal cells [16–19]. Binding of TGFβ and BMP to their receptor complexes triggers SMAD (sma- and mad-related protein) and non-SMAD signaling cascades [20, 21].

Regeneration of periodontal tissues is often an unpredictable challenge due to a number of as yet unidentified local and systemic factors that can modulate healing processes. Previous studies suggest that microbial, inflammatory, and biomechanical signals can interfere with the beneficial effects of EMD on periodontal cells, emphasizing the critical role of the cell environment for optimal periodontal regeneration [22–27]. In addition, recent meta-analyses have revealed that obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus are significantly associated with periodontitis, and it has been suggested that adipokines might be a critical pathomechanistic link in these associations [28–31]. Adipokines are cytokines released from the adipose tissue and regulate food intake and energy expenditure but also immunoinflammatory processes [32, 33]. Since the serum levels of a number of proinflammatory adipokines, such as nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), are increased in obesity and some obesity–related diseases, it has been speculated that such adipokines could enhance periodontal inflammation and, thereby, increase the risk of periodontitis or compromise periodontal healing in obese individuals [34–38].

NAMPT is mainly produced by macrophages and adipocytes in the adipose tissue, triggers NFκB activation, and elicits synthesis of inflammatory mediators [39]. Increased serum levels of NAMPT have been found in obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, atherosclerosis, and other diseases [34–36]. Therefore, elevated NAMPT levels could be one mechanism, whereby these diseases contribute to the initiation and progression of periodontitis. Interestingly, NAMPT is also present at high levels in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), gingival tissues, and serum from patients afflicted with periodontitis, irrespective of the presence of obesity [40–42]. These observations indicate that NAMPT is also produced locally in the periodontium and may play a role in the etiopathogenesis of periodontitis. Our previous experiments have demonstrated that periodontal pathogens upregulate NAMPT in periodontal cells and, additionally, that NAMPT could contribute to periodontal inflammation and destruction by stimulating periodontal cells to produce proinflammatory and proteolytic molecules [43, 44]. However, whether NAMPT also interferes with periodontal healing is as yet unknown. Therefore, this in vitro study sought to examine whether the regenerative capacity of periodontal cells in the presence of EMD is modulated by NAMPT. A better understanding of the interactions between regenerative molecules and local as well as systemic factors may help better predict and even improve the outcome of currently applied periodontal treatment approaches.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Culture and Treatment of Cells. PDL cells from 18 periodontally healthy donors, who underwent tooth extraction for orthodontic reasons, were used for the experiments. Informed consent and approval of the Ethics Committee of the University of Bonn were obtained. The cells were grown in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen), 100 units penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. Cells between 3rd and 5th passage were seeded (50,000 cells/well) on culture plates and grown to 80% confluence. One day prior to the experiments, the FBS concentration was reduced to 1%. Medium was changed every second day. In order to simulate regenerative conditions in vitro, PDL cells were treated with EMD (Emdogain, Straumann, Freiburg, Germany) in the presence and absence of NAMPT. As in our previous studies, EMD was applied at a concentration of 100 µg/mL because this concentration had been used by several investigators before and ensured that our data were comparable with those of other studies [24–27]. In order to investigate the effects of NAMPT, various concentrations of this adipokine (30, 100, and 300 ng/mL; Biomol, Hamburg, Germany) were added to cells. In our experiments, the standard concentration of NAMPT was 100 ng/mL. This concentration correlates well with the studies by Pradeep and coworkers, who have reported a NAMPT concentration of 98.32 ng/mL in gingival crevicular fluid [40–42]. However, these studies only included a small number of patients, so that even higher concentrations can be expected in some individuals and were therefore also studied in a subset of our experiments. In the present study, cells were exposed to EMD and/or NAMPT for up to 14 d (Figure 1). In order to analyze the intracellular mechanisms used by NAMPT to modulate the actions of EMD, cells were preincubated with specific inhibitors against NFκB (pyrroline dithiocarbamate, PDTG; 10 µM; Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), MEK1/2 (U0126; 10 µM; Calbiochem), JNK (SP600125; 10 µM; Calbiochem), and p38 (SB203580; 10 µM; Calbiochem) signaling pathways 1 h before experiments.

2.2. Real-Time PCR. RNA was extracted by using an RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and a total of 1 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript™ Select cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany) at 42°C for 90 min followed by 85°C for 5 min. Expression of VEGF, TGFβ1, and its receptor TGFβR2, BMP receptors (BMPRIA, BMPRIB, and BMPR2), COL1, POSTN, and RUNX2 was detected by real-time PCR using the iCycler iQ detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories), SYBR Green (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and specific primers (QuantitTect Primer Assay, Qiagen) (Figure 1). One µL of cDNA was amplified as
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- Analysis of SMAD1/5/8 phosphorylation (WB)
- Analysis of SMAD1/5/8 nuclear translocation (IF)
- Analysis of VEGF, TGFβ1, COL1, POSTN, RUNX2, BMPR1A, BMPR1B, BMPR2, and TGFβR2 gene expressions (qPCR)
- Analysis of wound fill (in vitro wound healing assay)
- Analysis of VEGF and TGFβ1 protein levels (ELISA)
- Analysis of wound fill (in vitro wound healing assay)
- Treatment of cells with EMD and/or NAMPT (+/− inhibitors)

**Figure 1: Study design flowchart.** EMD: enamel matrix derivative; NAMPT: nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase; SMAD: sma- and mad-related protein; WB: western blot; IF: immunofluorescence; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor; TGFβ1: transforming growth factor β1; COL1: collagen type I; POSTN: periostin; RUNX2: runt-related transcription factor 2; BMPR1A, 1B, or 2: bone morphogenetic protein receptor 1A, 1B, or 2; TGFβR2: TGFβ receptor 2; qPCR: quantitative polymerase chain reaction; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

2.3. ELISA. The protein levels of VEGF and TGFβ1 in the supernatants of PDL cells were analysed by commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Figure 1). The absorbance was measured by using a microplate reader (PowerWave x, BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) at 450 nm. The data were normalized by cell number that was determined with an automatic cell counter (Moelab, Hilden, Germany).

2.4. Alizarin Red S Staining. The calcium accumulation in PDL cell cultures following 14 d of treatment with EMD and/or NAMPT was analyzed by using alizarin red S staining (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Munich, Germany), as described in our previous studies (Figure 1) [24, 25]. Briefly, cell monolayers were grown until confluence and 3 mm wide wounds were created in a standardized manner in the cell monolayers. The wounded cell monolayers were treated with EMD and, simultaneously, with various concentrations of NAMPT (0, 30, 100, and 300 ng/mL) for 5 d. At every day, the wounds were documented by inverse microscopy (Axiovert 25 C, 5x objective, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) and digital photography (Kodak DC 290, Kodak, Stuttgart, Germany) (Figure 1). Measurement and analysis of the wound widths were performed with special software (Alpha DigiDoc 1000, Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA).

2.5. In Vitro Wound Healing. In order to examine the effect of NAMPT on wound fill, an in vitro wound healing model was used, as in our previous experiments [24, 25]. Briefly, cells were grown until confluence and 3 mm wide wounds were created in a standardized manner in the cell monolayers. The wounded cell monolayers were treated with EMD and, simultaneously, with various concentrations of NAMPT (0, 30, 100, and 300 ng/mL) for 5 d. At every day, the wounds were documented by inverse microscopy (Axiovert 25 C, 5x objective, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) and digital photography (Kodak DC 290, Kodak, Stuttgart, Germany) (Figure 1). Measurement and analysis of the wound widths were performed with special software (Alpha DigiDoc 1000, Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA).

2.6. Immunofluorescence. PDL cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4 for 10 min, washed with PBS, and treated with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) for 5 min. Then, cells were washed again and blocked with nonfat dry milk (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 1 h. After washing, cells were incubated with a rabbit anti-SMAD1/5/8 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz,
BIOTECHNOLOGY and mouse anti-blotswerereprobedwithrabbitanti-SMAD1/5/8 (SantaCruz scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) was used as an HRP substrate. All Lightningchemiluminescencereagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cambridge, UK) to assure equal input of proteins.

2.7. Western Blot Analysis. Phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/8 was analyzed from whole lysate (20 to 40 µg protein) of cells treated with EMD in the presence or absence of NAMPT (Figure 1). Cells were washed twice with ice cold PBS and collected in RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). Equal amounts of protein were resolved through SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The membranes blocked with 5% nonfat milk were probed with a specific rabbit anti-pSMAD1/5/8 antibody (Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). The binding of the primary antibody was revealed with horseradish peroxidase- (HRP-) labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Suffolk, UK). Lightning chemiluminescence reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) was used as an HRP substrate. All blots were reprobed with rabbit anti-SMAD1/5/8 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and mouse anti-β-actin antibodies (abcam, Cambridge, UK) to assure equal input of proteins.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean values and standard errors of the mean (SEM) were calculated. Parametric (t test and ANOVA followed by the post hoc Tukey’s test) and nonparametric tests (Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney U-tests) were applied for statistical analysis by using the IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software. Differences between groups were considered significant at P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Regulation of EMD Effects on Growth Factors by NAMPT. Since the regeneration-promotive effects of EMD are at least partly mediated by upregulation of growth factors, we first sought to determine whether these EMD actions on PDL cells are modulated by NAMPT. As expected from previous studies, EMD caused a significant upregulation of VEGF and TGFβ1 in PDL cells at 1 d and 3 d. However, the EMD-stimulated VEGF and TGFβ1 expressions were significantly reduced in the presence of NAMPT at both time points, as shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). Interestingly, NAMPT did not induce a significant downregulation of the constitutive VEGF and TGFβ1 expressions (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Dose response experiments revealed that the inhibitory effects of NAMPT on the EMD-stimulated VEGF and TGFβ1 upregulation were similar over a wide range of concentrations (Figures 2(c) and 2(d)). By preincubation of cells with a specific inhibitor against the JNK signaling pathway, the inhibitory effects of NAMPT on the EMD-induced VEGF and TGFβ1 expressions were completely blocked at 1 d. However, inhibition of NFκB, MEK1/2, or p38 signaling did not interfere with the actions of NAMPT at this time point (data not shown).

The inhibitory effects of NAMPT on the EMD-stimulated VEGF and TGFβ1 expressions were also found at protein level, as analyzed by ELISA after 3 d (Table 1).

| Group   | VEGF (ng/10⁶ cells) | TGFβ1 (ng/10⁶ cells) |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control | 1.68 ± 0.08         | 2.08 ± 0.01         |
| EMD     | 3.88 ± 0.32*        | 3.39 ± 0.11*        |
| NAMPT   | 1.73 ± 0.01         | 1.68 ± 0.02         |
| EMD + NAMPT | 3.07 ± 0.34         | 2.78 ± 0.06         |

3.2. Modulation of EMD Actions on Matrix Molecules by NAMPT. EMD also stimulates periodontal regeneration by upregulation of matrix molecules. Therefore, we next studied whether actions of EMD on matrix molecules are modulated by NAMPT. EMD increased significantly the expression of COL1 and POSTN at 1 d and 3 d, but these stimulatory effects were significantly inhibited by NAMPT for COL1 at 1 d and for POSTN at 1 d and 3 d (Figures 2(e) and 2(f)). NAMPT had no regulatory effects on the spontaneous expression of COL1 and POSTN (Figures 2(e) and 2(f)).

3.3. Effects of NAMPT on EMD-Stimulated Mineralization. Next, we wondered whether NAMPT would also interfere with the stimulatory effects of EMD on osteogenesis. As expected, EMD stimulated significantly the expression of RUNX2, an osteogenesis-associated transcription factor, at 1 d and 3 d (Figure 3(a)). Interestingly, the EMD-induced RUNX2 expression was also significantly downregulated by NAMPT, which reached significance at 1 d (Figure 3(a)). Furthermore, treatment of cells with EMD for 14 d caused a pronounced calcium accumulation in cell cultures, as determined by alizarin red S staining (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). When cells were simultaneously treated with EMD and NAMPT, the calcium accumulation was significantly reduced as compared to EMD-stimulated cultures in the absence of NAMPT (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)).

3.4. Effects of NAMPT on EMD-Induced Wound Healing. NAMPT also caused a dose-dependent inhibition of the EMD-stimulated wound healing (Figure 4(a)). The EMD-induced wound closure was significantly reduced by 100 ng/mL of NAMPT and even more pronounced by 300 ng/mL of NAMPT at 3, 4, and 5 d, whereas 30 ng/mL of NAMPT had only a significantly inhibitory effect at 5 d (Figure 4(a)).

3.5. Effects of NAMPT on BMPRs and TGFβRs in the Presence and Absence of EMD. At least some actions of EMD seem to be mediated by BMP or TGFβ because EMD
Figure 2: Effect of EMD on the VEGF (a), TGFβ1 (b), COL1 (c), and POSTN (f) mRNA expressions in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) at 1 d and 3 d. Untreated cells served as control. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 18); * significant (P < 0.05) difference between groups. Effect of various concentrations (0, 30, 100, and 300 ng/mL) of NAMPT on the VEGF (c) and TGFβ1 (d) mRNA expressions in EMD-treated cells at 1 d. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 9).
has been shown to possess BMP- and TGFβ-like activity and, additionally, to upregulate these molecules in periodontal cells. We therefore also examined the effects of NAMPT on BMPRs and TGFβRs. As shown in Figure 4(b), EMD increased significantly the constitutive expression of BMPRIA, BMPRIIB, BMPR2, and TGFβR2 at 1 d. However, NAMPT caused a significant downregulation of the EMD-stimulated expression of these receptors at this time point (Figure 4(b)). Similar results were found for 3 d (data not shown). In the absence of EMD, a significant inhibition by NAMPT was only found for BMPRIA (Figure 4(b)).

3.6. Inhibition of SMAD Signaling by NAMPT in EMD-Treated Cells. Next, we sought to unravel intracellular mechanisms, whereby NAMPT abrogates regeneration-promotive actions of EMD. As shown in Figure 5(a), EMD caused a pronounced accumulation of SMAD1/5/8 in the nucleus of EMD-treated cells at 60 min. However, in the presence of NAMPT, this EMD-stimulated nuclear translocation of SMAD1/5/8 was reduced. In the absence of EMD, no obvious effect of NAMPT on the SMAD1/5/8 nuclear translocation was observed (Figure 5(a)). Further experiments revealed that EMD stimulated the phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/8 in

**Figure 3:** Effect of EMD on the RUNX2 (a) mRNA expression in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) at 1 d and 3 d. Untreated cells served as control. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 18); * significant (P < 0.05) difference between groups. Effect of EMD on the calcium accumulation in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) in cultures of PDL cells ((b) and (c)). Untreated cells served as control. The calcium accumulation was analysed by alizarin red S staining, visualized by microscopy (c), and quantified by elution with cetylpyridinium chloride (b) at 14 d. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 12); * significant (P < 0.05) difference between groups. Images from one representative donor are shown.
Figure 4: Effect of various concentrations (0, 30, 100, and 300 ng/mL) of NAMPT on the EMD-induced PDL cell wound fill rate (a). The wound closure, that is, the percentage of fill of the initially cell free zones created by wounding, were analysed over 5 d. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 12); * significantly (P < 0.05) different from EMD-treated cells in the absence of NAMPT. Effect of EMD on the expression of BMPR1A, BMPR1B, BMPR2 and TGFβR2 in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) at 1 d (b). Untreated cells served as control. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Mean ± SEM (n = 18); * significant (P < 0.05) difference between groups.

4. Discussion

The present study demonstrates for the first time that regeneration-promotive actions of EMD on a great number of PDL cell functions critical for periodontal regeneration are jeopardized by NAMPT. The findings of this study suggest that increased levels of NAMPT, as found in obesity and periodontal inflammation, may compromise the regenerative capacity of PDL cells and, thereby, periodontal healing in the presence of EMD.

NAMPT abrogated the stimulatory effects of EMD on VEGF and TGFβ1, COL1, in vitro wound healing, and osteogenic differentiation. VEGF is an essential growth factor, which supports wound healing by its regulatory effects on vascular permeability, influx of inflammatory cells into the site of injury, migration and proliferation of preexisting endothelial cells, and the recruitment of marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells to the local wound site [45]. In addition, VEGF seems to play an important role in the modulation of bone remodeling by attracting endothelial cells and osteoclasts and by stimulating osteoblast differentiation [46]. TGFβ2, another important growth factor, comprises three isoforms and also promotes wound healing like VEGF. TGFβ1 supports migration of wound keratinocytes and, thereby, successful reepithelialization [47]. Moreover, it induces fibroblasts to deposit new extracellular matrix proteins, which promotes cell and vascular in-growth [48]. In addition to growth factors, matrix molecules, such as COL1 and POSTN, are also critical for periodontal homeostasis and healing. Like COL1, POSTN is also strongly expressed in the human PDL and regulates cell-matrix interactions as well as cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation [49, 50]. Furthermore, peristin also aids to disperse mechanical forces applied to the PDL [50, 51]. Interestingly, NAMPT also counteracted the stimulatory effects of EMD on the in vitro wound healing. Following periodontal treatment, periodontal wounds need to be repopulated with cells to achieve periodontal healing [52]. When EMD-treated cells were exposed to NAMPT, the wound closure was dose-dependently delayed, indicating that...
Figure 5: Effect of EMD on the nuclear translocation of SMAD1/5/8 in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) at 60 min, as determined by immunofluorescence (a). Untreated cells served as control. Stimulation of SMAD1/5/8 phosphorylation by EMD over 60 min, as analyzed by immunoblotting (b). Effect of EMD on SMAD1/5/8 phosphorylation in the presence and absence of NAMPT (100 ng/mL) at 60 min, as examined by immunoblotting (c). All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice. Images and blots from one representative donor are shown.

NAMPT may also inhibit critical cell functions, such as proliferation and migration, under regenerative conditions. PDL cells can acquire an osteoblastic phenotype, and a number of studies have shown that EMD stimulates expression of osteogenesis-associated factors, such as RUNX2, and calcium deposition, an early marker of matrix mineralization, from PDL cells [14]. As expected, EMD upregulated RUNX2 and induced calcium deposition in the present study. In the presence of NAMPT, the stimulatory effects of EMD on these osteogenesis-related processes were reduced. Although NAMPT inhibited significantly the EMD-induced RUNX2 and collagen expressions at 1 day, the inhibitory effects of NAMPT did not reach significance at 3 days due to the pronounced standard deviations. However, including a higher number of donors in these experiments might have resulted in statistically significant changes also at 3 days. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that NAMPT interferes with both the EMD-induced effects on both periodontal soft and hard tissue regeneration.

We also sought to unravel the mechanisms, whereby NAMPT modulates the actions of EMD. Fractions of EMD contain BMP- and TGFβ-like activity and EMD also upregulates BMP and TGFβ in periodontal cells [16–19]. Therefore, it is thought that beneficial effects of EMD on periodontal regeneration are mediated, at least in part, by these factors. We therefore studied whether NAMPT regulates the expression of their receptors as a possible mechanism, whereby NAMPT may modulate the actions of EMD. BMP binds to BMPR1, upon which BMPR2 is recruited into the complex, or binds to a preformed complex of BMPRI and BMPR2, which triggers the activation of SMAD and non-SMAD pathways [21]. Following binding of TGFβ to TGFβR2, TGFβR1 is recruited into a heterotetrameric receptor complex and then phosphorylated on serine residues by TGFβR2, which also finally results in the activation of the SMAD signaling pathway [20]. As shown in the present and our previous studies, PDL cells express receptors for BMP and TGFβ [24–26]. EMD increased the constitutive expression of BMPRs
and TGFβRs, and this EMD-stimulated receptor upregulation was inhibited by NAMPT. These findings suggest that NAMPT can regulate actions of EMD also at receptor level, as it has recently been shown for interleukin-1β and biomechanical forces [24–26].

Furthermore, we sought to identify intracellular mechanisms, whereby the stimulatory effects of EMD are modulated by NAMPT. Our experiments revealed that the inhibition of the EMD-induced VEGF and TGFβ1 upregulation by NAMPT was JNK-dependent. Moreover, NAMPT inhibited the EMD-stimulated phosphorylation of SMADs and, additionally, inhibited their nuclear translocation. Further experiments are needed to clarify how JNK interferes with SMAD signaling and whether additional signaling pathways are involved.

The present study also confirms our previous studies on the harmful effects of inflammatory signals on regenerative periodontal healing [24–26]. Moreover, in the present study, we provide original evidence that also systemic factors, such as NAMPT, whose levels are increased in obesity, metabolic syndrome, and diabetes mellitus, may interfere with periodontal healing. Although PDL cells are of utmost importance in periodontal regenerative healing, in a clinical setting, additional cells, such as inflammatory cells, keratinocytes, gingival fibroblasts, and osteo- and cementoblasts, are involved. Further studies should examine whether NAMPT also affects these cells under normal and regenerative conditions. Moreover, in addition to NAMPT, the levels of other adipokines, such as leptin, resistin, and adiponectin, are altered in obesity and periodontal inflammation [53–56]. Future studies should therefore focus on the regulation of periodontal cells and their regenerative capacity by these adipokines.

In summary, the present study shows for the first time that beneficial effects of EMD on a number of PDL cell functions critical for periodontal regeneration are counteracted by NAMPT. Enhanced levels of NAMPT, as found in obesity and periodontal inflammation, may compromise the regenerative capacity of PDL cells and, thereby, regenerative periodontal healing in the presence of EMD.
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