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We study the transfer matrix for domain wall fermions to understand the origin and significance of oscillatory contributions to hadron correlation functions that arise for M >1. For a free particle in one space, one time, and one flavor dimension, the eigenmodes of the one-body operator appearing in the transfer matrix are calculated, and the role of the negative eigenmodes arising when M > 1 is studied. In the case of three space dimensions, oscillatory behavior for hadron correlation functions in QCD is shown to emerge for free fermions when M exceeds 1, and to increase with increasing M. Analogous behavior is observed for domain wall fermions on HYP smeared MILC lattices, and a procedure is demonstrated for subtracting oscillating terms from physical observables.
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1. Introduction

Oscillatory terms in the dependence of lattice correlation functions on Euclidean time \( t \) arise for domain wall fermions with domain wall mass \( M > 1 \), so the goal of this work is to explore their origin, whether they are benign or malevolent, and removal of their contributions to physical observables.

For domain wall fermions[1, 2], the domain wall mass \( M \) generates a bound state in the extra “flavor” direction \( s \). For free fermions, the region \( 0 < M < 2 \) is free of doublers, and the choice of \( M < 1 \) produces exponential decay \( e^{-\alpha s} \) in the flavor direction. The choice \( M > 1 \) produces singularities in \( \alpha \) and oscillating behavior for the propagator in the flavor direction[2] \( G \sim (1 - M)^{-s-s'} \). For fermions in a gauge field, an additive mass shift modifies the values \( M = 0, 1, \) and \( 2 \) above, and in practice, one uses spectral flow to determine the region of \( M \) with the lowest density of low eigenmodes of the Hermitian Dirac operator, \( \gamma_5 D_W \). Thus, for the DBW2 action[3], the LHPC hybrid action using DW fermions on HYP smeared MILC configurations[4], and the 2+1 dimensional DW action [5], the domain wall masses were determined to be \( M = 1.7, 1.7, \) and \( 1.8 \) respectively, and in the first two cases, oscillatory terms in the \( t \) dependence of hadron correlations functions have been observed.

To understand the origin of the oscillatory modes, we study the behavior of the transfer matrix in \( t \). If one analyzes the lattice theory in the physical dimensions of space and \( t \) by integrating out all the flavor slices except the boundaries containing the chiral fermions, the theory is intrinsically nonlocal and we are unable to construct a one-step transfer matrix. However, we may alternatively consider correlation functions in the full dimensions of space, \( s \) and \( t \), and restrict our attention to operators that are located on the flavor boundaries containing chiral fermions. In this case, it is straightforward to construct the DW transfer matrix in the \( t \)-direction following the approach of Lüscher[6], and the analysis is similar to the construction of the DW transfer matrix in the \( s \) direction[7, 8]. For simplicity, we will first consider the case of free fermions in one spatial dimension plus \( s \) plus \( t \) and then examine lattice calculations of correlation functions in three spatial dimensions plus \( s \) plus \( t \) for both free fermions and fermions propagating in HYP smeared MILC configurations for 2+1 flavors of dynamical fermions. We will show that free fermions and fermions in dynamical gluon configurations display the same oscillatory behavior, and implement a simple procedure for subtracting the oscillatory contributions from correlation functions.

2. Domain wall transfer matrix in \( t \)

We write the temporal gauge domain wall action in one spatial dimension in the following form:

\[
D_{DW}(t, \vec{x}, s; t', \vec{x}', s') = -\left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2}\delta_{t+1, t'} + \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2}\delta_{t-1, t'}\right)\delta_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}'}\delta_{s, s'} + \delta_{t, t'}D\{xs\}(\vec{x}, s; \vec{x}', s'),
\]

\[
D\{xs\}(\vec{x}, s; \vec{x}', s') = \begin{pmatrix}
B & C \\
-C^\dagger & B
\end{pmatrix},
\]
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where

$$B_t(v, v') = (D + 1 - M) \delta_{v, v'} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \{\vec{x}, \ldots\}} \left( U_{z, \mu} \delta_{\tilde{v} + \mu, v'} - U_{z, \mu}^\dagger \delta_{\tilde{v} - \mu, v'} \right),$$

$$C_t(v, v') = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \{\vec{x}, \ldots\}} \left( U_{z, \mu} \delta_{\tilde{v} + \mu, v'} - U_{z, \mu}^\dagger \delta_{\tilde{v} - \mu, v'} \right) \kappa_{\mu},$$

$$U_{z, s, \mu} = \begin{cases} U_{z, \mu}, & \mu \in \{\vec{t}, \vec{x}\}, \\ -m, & \mu = \hat{s}, s = 0 \text{ or } L_s - 1, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$v = (\vec{x}, s), \quad z = (t, \vec{x}), \quad \kappa = (1, -1), \quad D = 2.$$
transforming Grassman variables $\xi = B^T \psi$, and identifying $e^{-tH} = B^{-1/2} M B^{-1/2}$.

Explicitly constructing the transfer matrix in this way (with appropriate treatment of antiparticle fields as well) for the domain wall action with one spatial dimension yields

$$e^{-H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & C_0 \\ B_1^{-1} & 1 + C_1^T C_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}} \right]^N.$$

Hence, to isolate the oscillatory terms in the transfer matrix, we calculate the eigenmodes

$$e^{-H} \psi(x,s) = \eta \psi(x,s). \quad (2.1)$$

The eigenfunctions in Eq. 2.1 are orthogonal with the weight matrix $\left( \begin{array}{cc} B & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right)$ and all the eigenvalues are necessarily real. Negative eigenvalues $\eta$ correspond to terms that change sign under evolution by one time step and thus produce oscillatory behavior in temporal correlation functions.

3. Negative eigenmodes for free fermions in 3 dimensions

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in Eq. 2.1 were computed for free fermions in one space plus time and flavor dimensions on a mesh with $N_x = 100$, $N_y = 20$ and $ma = 0.05$, and typical results are shown in Fig. 1. The eigenfunctions are momentum eigenstates and the quantity $E = \log |\eta|$ is plotted as a function of $p$ for both positive eigenvalues $\eta$ (denoted by red crosses), where it corresponds to the energy of the mode, and negative eigenvalues (denoted by blue circles). At $M = 1$, there are only positive modes, as expected, and there is a single physical mode corresponding to the free quark dispersion relation. As $M$ increases through 1.1, 1.3, 1.5, and 1.7, the blue points show the increasing presence of negative eigenmodes. However, all these modes have energies comparable to the positive modes at or above the cutoff scale, and are thus expected to simply be additional cutoff effects. The lower right plot shows the chirality $R = \frac{\psi_R \bar{\psi}_L + \psi_L \bar{\psi}_R}{\psi_R \bar{\psi}_R + \psi_L \bar{\psi}_L}$, which should be small for chiral modes, for each of the points shown in the middle right plot for $M = 1.7$. As expected, only the free mode is chiral, and the negative modes at the cutoff scale behave the same as the positive modes at that scale. Thus all the evidence suggests that these modes are innocuous and can be treated like other cutoff effects.

4. Oscillations in correlation functions in 5 dimensions

Analogous negative modes arise for free fermions in three space plus time and flavor dimensions, and their effect on the proton two-point correlation function $C_2(t)$ is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 for a $20^3 \times 32$ lattice with $ma = 0.0810$. To display the oscillations, we calculate the effective mass $E_{eff} = \log C(t)/C(t+1)$ and plot the difference between the effective masses at $M$ and $M = 1$, $E_{eff}(M) - E_{eff}(1)$. For clarity, the results at each $t$ are displaced slightly to the right with increasing $M$ to avoid overlapping symbols and to indicate the behavior with increasing $M$. Note that oscillations first become visible at $M = 1.4$ and increase strongly as $M$ is increased to 1.7, rising on odd time slices and falling on even time slices.
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Figure 1: \( E = \log|\eta| \) as a function of \( p \) for positive and negative eigenvalues \( \eta \) for free fermions in one spatial dimension. The left plots show \( M = 1, 1.1, \) and \( 1.3 \) and the top right plots show \( M = 1.5 \) and \( 1.7 \). The lower right plot shows the chirality \( R \) for all the modes at \( M = 1.7 \).

The same qualitative behavior is observed in the right panel of Fig. 2 for domain wall fermions on HYP-smeared MILC lattices, for which the chopped lattice size is \( 20^3 \times 32, \alpha = 0.125 \) fm, \( m_\pi = 759 \) MeV and 50 configurations were used. For the MILC lattices, the oscillations become visible at \( M = 1.5 \) and grow much larger by \( M = 1.7 \).

An alternative way of detecting oscillations, which is convenient computationally, is to calculate the ratio of the correlation function at \( M \) to that at \( M = 1 \), \( R = C_2(M,t)/C_2(1,t) \). This ratio is shown in Fig. 3 for the pion and the proton, where for aesthetic purposes, we have also multiplied by \( e^{\Delta m t} \) to make the curve flat. Note that oscillations become visible in both the pion and proton at \( M = 1.5 \), and become large by \( M = 1.7 \). Also note that the effect is much larger for the proton, where for \( M = 1.7 \) it is 12% whereas for the pion it is 3%.
Figure 2: Proton effective mass $E_{\text{eff}} = \log C(t)/C(t+1)$ in three space dimensions for free fermions (left) and HYP smeared MILC lattices (right) for domain wall masses $1 \leq M \leq 1.7$. To display the oscillations, the plot shows $E_{\text{eff}}(M) - E_{\text{eff}}(1)$.

Figure 3: Correlation function ratio $R = C_2(M,t)/C_2(1,t)e^{\Delta m t}$ for the pion (left) and nucleon (right) for HYP smeared MILC lattices

5. Subtraction of oscillating terms

Since all the evidence suggests that the negative eigenmodes are innocuous excitations at the cutoff scale for both free quarks and QCD, a straightforward way to remove their effect from correlation functions is to fit the correlation function with the sum of an exponential for the physical ground state, exponentials for physical excited states, and exponentials multiplied by $(-1)^t$ for the negative eigenmodes of the transfer matrix. Thus, for the 2-point function, including the leading corrections yields

$$f(t) = A_0 e^{-m_0 t} + A_1 e^{-m_1 t} + \tilde{A}_1 e^{-\tilde{m}_1 t} (-1)^t,$$

and for the normalized 3-point function for a matrix element we obtain

$$\frac{\langle J(T^2) O(t) J(-T^2) \rangle}{\langle J(T^2) J(-T^2) \rangle} = B_0 + B_1 \cosh[(m_1 - m_0)t] + \tilde{B}_1 \cosh[(\tilde{m}_1 - m_0)t] (-1)^t.$$

Figure 4 shows the application of this analysis[9] for MILC lattices with $m_{\pi} = 759$ MeV. The 2-point function determines all three masses as well as three amplitudes, and using these masses,
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[9] J. Bratt, private communication, (2007)

the normalized 3-point function determines the three amplitudes $B_0, B_1$, and $\tilde{B}_1$ and thereby enables accurate extraction of the physical matrix element, $B_0$, for the vector current operator.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we have calculated the transfer matrix in the Euclidean time direction for free domain wall fermions and shown that negative eigenmodes arise for $M > 1$ and produce oscillatory behavior in lattice correlation functions. We argue that these are innocuous lattice effects at the cutoff scale and show how their effects can be removed from QCD correlation functions. In the future, however, since the additive mass shift depends on the gauge action and lattice spacing, we believe care should be taken in choosing the domain wall mass $M$ for each action and lattice spacing to eliminate the appearance of these modes in lattice calculations.
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