**Introduction**

In developing countries like India, the rationale for the impetus on estimation of chronological age (CA) is varied. First and foremost, 80% of Indian population resides in rural areas where the awareness for registration of childbirth is minimal. Hence, individuals are not aware of their definite date of birth.
increase in the number of mass disasters as well as criminal offenses rendered by the juveniles in the country making age estimation mandatory for legal deliberations and forensics. Furthermore, intentional manipulation in age at national level sports selections, as mentioned in a recent issue of the Times of India dated September 11, 2015, highlights the need for determination of exact CA of players by means other than birth certificate. A viable alternative for estimation of individual age is would be Dental Age Estimation (DAE) and establishment of its correlation with CA. DAE is an integral part of forensic odontology, that has recently been introduced in undergraduate BDS curriculum, hence methods of dental age estimation that are user friendly, less time consuming and more easily comprehended by undergraduate students are bound to gain importance.

The techniques routinely employed for DAE are based on gingival emergence, eruption sequence of the teeth, or developmental stage analysis using radiographs of which panorex assessment by Demirjian and Nolla have been most widely used. Radiographs have proved to be most accurate and less prone to inter-examiner errors. Demirjian’s method considered as the gold standard is based on the calcification of the permanent seven teeth on the left side of the mandible, i.e., from central incisor to the second molar, whether erupted or not. The calcification of a tooth is divided into eight stages, and each stage has been designated a particular score which is different for boys and girls. Although numerous studies have been done utilizing this method in different ethnic populations, the most commonly witnessed drawback is that the method is time consuming and less user friendly as numerous tables have to be referred to. Thus, the authors felt the need to simplify this tedious process and prepare a comprehensive chart compiling data from all the original tables of Demirjian (DAEcc) and test its interpretation and ease of applicability by undergraduate BDS students.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of comprehensive chart (DAEcc)
The comprehensive chart (DAEcc) was prepared and submitted as a proposal for STS (Short Term Studentship) project conducted by ICMR in the year 2015.

Original Demirjian method
The original Demirjian tables provide with a chart representing the calcification stages and separate scoring tables for boys and girls, assigning a particular score to each calcification stage, the sum of which is then compared with the tables provided for DAE, separately for boys and girls. Thus, a total of three tables are required to be considered at a particular time while estimating the age of a person.

Abridged form of Demirjian (DAEcc)
DAEcc prepared in the present study depicted tooth number in FDI notation as well as all the eight developmental stages of each tooth, as given separately in the original tables by Demirjian. In addition, it included scanned copies of pictorial representation of developmental stages of all seven teeth under consideration, i.e., incisors, canines, premolars and molars. The scoring system of each developmental stage was also incorporated into DAEcc for both boys and girls, the sum of which would be added to give a final score at the end of the table. The total score mentioned in DAEcc would be subjected for calculation of dental age in reference to the original tables by Demirjian for boys and girls. Annexure has been provided by the authors, wherein a common description for each stage with respect to the amount of calcified crown and root, formation of root apices and closure of root apices has been described for a better understanding.

Application of DAEcc for ease of operator
The ease of operator in using DAEcc for age estimation was evaluated on 50 second year BDS students of Faculty of Dentistry, Jamia Millia Islamia, after explaining the study to them and obtaining due consent from them for participating in the study. A demonstration was given by single instructor to this group of students for tracing the seven mandibular teeth (as explained by Demirjian) on the panorex. This was followed by matching the tracing to the pictorial representation of teeth in the DAEcc and assigning the corresponding score to the tracing. A sum total of all individual scores was computed for locating the analogous dental age in the original tables by Demerjian, separately for boys and girls. The time taken by demonstration was approximately 15 minutes after which the students were given an exercise to trace.

Results
The results as observed on evaluation of tracings by the single instructor are compiled in Table 3.

Discussion
Literature supports DAE using Demirjian’s method in Northeastern Turkish children, Malay population, Western Chinese children, Malaysian children, Belgaum population, and Lucknow children. However, our study validates the use of Demirjian system in North Indian population. The studies that have employed Demirjian for DAE indicate referral to a number of tables and charts, making the whole process very time consuming and cumbersome. Hence, ease of operator and abatement of time requirement necessitated the formulation of a comprehensive chart. We found no precedence of our study with respect to assessment of ease of operator in DAE on using the Demirjian system. Results of our study demonstrated that 42 out of 50 2nd year undergraduate students with no prior experience in tracing or reading radiographs were able to trace the panorex correctly. Average time taken by the students for tracing was 5 min, thus, attested the simplicity of the process and reduction in time taken. Subsequent to the tracing, 39 out of these 42 students
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Table 1: Comprehensive chart for dental age estimation*

| Patient ID: ____ | OPD number: ____ |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Gender: ____     | Nationality: ____|
| Date of data collection: ____ | Place of origin: ____ |
| Dentition present: ____ | |
| **Determination of score based on developmental stages of the tooth** |
| **Tooth number** | **Developmental stages of the tooth** | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 31 | CS: By/Gr | 0.0/0.0 | 1.9/2.4 | 4.1/5.1 | 8.2/9.3 | 11.8/12.9 |
| 32 | CS: By/Gr | 0.0/0.0 | 3.2/3.2 | 5.2/5.6 | 7.8/8.0 | 11.7/12.2 | 13.7/14.2 |
| 33 | CS: By/Gr | 0.0/0.0 | 3.5/3.8 | 7.9/7.3 | 10.0/10.3 | 11.0/11.6 | 11.9/12.4 |
| 34 | CS: By/Gr | 0.0/0.0 | 3.4/3.7 | 7.0/7.5 | 11.0/11.8 | 12.3/13.1 | 12.7/13.4 | 13.5/14.1 |
| 35 | CS: By/Gr | 1.7/1.8 | 3.1/3.4 | 5.4/6.5 | 9.7/10.6 | 12.0/12.7 | 12.8/13.5 | 13.2/13.8 | 14.4/14.6 |
| 36 | CS: By/Gr | 0.0/0.0 | 8.0/4.5 | 9.6/6.2 | 12.3/9.0 | 17.0/14.0 | 19.3/16.2 |
| 37 | CS: By/Gr | 2.1/2.7 | 3.5/3.9 | 5.9/6.9 | 10.1/11.1 | 12.5/13.5 | 13.2/14.2 | 13.6/14.5 | 15.4/15.6 |

Total score

*Adapted from the scoring and calcification tables given by Demirjian.20 OPD: Outpatient department

were able to correctly perform the age estimation, the average time taken for which was approximately 7 min. The time taken to precisely to evaluate the dental age was divided in three groups of less than <10 min, 10–12 min, and >12 min. The original sample of Demirjian was French Canadian population and when used in other ethnic populations, it was found to overestimate the dental age. The abridged DAE\textsubscript{cc}, as proved in our study, is less time consuming and is easy to interpret, thus making it a potential tool for forensic age estimation in a large sample of North Indian population in future studies. Also, its ease in comprehensibility by BDS undergraduates supports its introduction in forensic odontology manual in BDS curriculum.

**Advantages of DAE\textsubscript{cc}**
- Reduced paper work
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Table 2: Developmental stages of the tooth*

| Stage | Substages | Uniradicular | Multiradicular |
|-------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| A     |           | Beginning of calcification at superior level of crypt without fusion of CP |               |
| B     | A         | Regularly outlined occlusal surface with fusion of CP |               |
|       | B         | EF complete at occlusal surface with extension and convergence at CR |               |
|       | C         | Beginning of dentinal deposit |               |
|       | D         | Curved shape of PC outline at occlusal border |               |
|       | A         | CF complete to CEJ |               |
|       | B         | PC - superior border curved, cervically concave with visible PH occasionally | PC - trapezoidal form |
|       | C         | Beginning of dentinal deposit |               |
|       | D         | Curved shape of PC outline at occlusal border |               |
|       | A         | CF complete to CEJ |               |
|       | B         | PC - superior border curved, cervically concave with visible PH occasionally | PC - trapezoidal form |
|       | C         | Curved shape of PC outline at occlusal border |               |

*Adapted from the scoring and calcification tables given by Demirjian.2

RC: Root canal, RL: Root length, PC: Pulp chamber, PH: Pulp horn, CH: Crown height, R: Root, A: Apex, AE: Apical end, pdl: Periodontal membrane, RB: Radicular bifurcation, CF: Calcification points, CEJ: Cementoenamel junction, CF: Crown formation, EF: Enamel formation, CR: Cervical region

Table 3: Results of tracing by single instructor

| Total number of students | Students with correct tracings |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 50                       | 42                            |

| Time taken for tracing | Students with correct score |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <10 min               | 39                          |
| 10-12 min             | 23                          |
| >12 min               | 6                           |

- Ease in comprehension
- Less time taking

Conclusion

An abridged form of Demirjian (DAEcc) was prepared to ease the interpretation and evaluation of dental age using panorex. It was easily comprehended by dental undergraduate students and average time taken to trace and interpret the calcification stages was 10 to 12 minutes.

Proposal based on the present study

- The authors propose inclusion of DAEcc in forensic odontology manual for BDS undergraduate students as part of dental age estimation techniques.
- DAEcc to be used for a wider Indian population to establish its correlation with CA so that it may be used for forensic age estimation purposes.
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