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Abstract

The impact of serum prealbumin in patients with esophageal carcinoma after undergoing esophagectomy remains unclear, we speculated that serum prealbumin is associated with anastomotic leak (AL) after surgery, low serum prealbumin level may lead to AL. The aim of the study was to evaluate the relationship between serum prealbumin levels and AL after esophagectomy, to explore the value of serum prealbumin as an early predictor of AL after esophagectomy.

Between January 2014 and December 2018, 255 patients were enrolled in this study, their basic characteristics and perioperative serum prealbumin levels were retrospectively analyzed. Statistical analysis by t test, nonparametric test and logistic regression were used to analyze data for patients with and without AL. Based on a receiver operator characteristic curve, a cut-off value for serum prealbumin levels as a predictor of AL was determined.

Among the 255 patients, 18 patients were diagnosed with AL. The overall AL rate was 7.0% (18/255) including 12 cases of intrathoracic AL and 6 cases of cervical AL. By univariate analysis, we identified postoperative serum prealbumin level as a risk factor for AL (\( P < .001 \)). Multivariate analysis also demonstrated postoperative serum prealbumin level (\( P = .028 \)) to be an independent risk factor for AL. The best cut-off value of postoperative serum prealbumin level was 131 mg/L for predicting AL, with 83.3% sensitivity and 72.2% specificity.

Postoperative serum prealbumin level was significantly associated with AL. it may help the early prediction of postoperative AL.

Abbreviations: AL = anastomotic leak, AUC = area under the curve, BMI = body mass index, ROC = receiver operator characteristic.
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1. Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the seventh most common cancer and the sixth cancer related death worldwide.\(^{[1,2]}\) It is also the fourth most common cause of death in China.\(^{[3]}\) Surgery remains the main treatment of esophageal cancer. Anastomotic leak (AL) is a common and serious complication after esophagectomy with the incidence varied between 5% and 20%. The mortality rate for patients suffering this threatening clinical situation can reach 60%\(^{[1,4-6]}\). Early prediction and timely management are crucial to prevent anastomotic leak.

In previous studies, many perioperative risk factors were associated with anastomotic leak including obesity, hypertension, diabetes, operation time, intraoperative blood loss, and amylase concentration.\(^{[7-13]}\) But the predictive sensitivity and specificity is not ideal. Serum prealbumin is a nutritional indicator which can reflect the recent nutritional status of a patient. However, the relationship between serum prealbumin and postoperative anastomotic leak in esophageal cancer remains unclear, and few studies have reported the details of that. Considering the significance of the serum prealbumin, we hypothesized that serum prealbumin level is related to the AL.
after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer, low serum prealbumin level may predict the poor growth of anastomotic site and anastomotic leak postoperatively.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the relationship between serum prealbumin levels and postoperative AL after esophagectomy, as well as to determine whether serum prealbumin levels could be used as an early predictive factor for AL after esophageal cancer surgery.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who underwent esophagectomy for esophageal cancer at the Department of Thoracic Surgery in the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University between January 2014 and December 2018. The clinical data for eligible patients were retrospectively collected and analyzed. The inclusion criteria included clear pathological diagnosis and radical surgery, as well as serum prealbumin levels were assessed during the perioperative period. Exclusion criteria were:

1. neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy patients;
2. radioactive seed implantation patients;
3. multiple primary tumor patients;
4. multiple metastases and locally advanced patients;
5. hypertension, diabetes, liver patients with cirrhosis, nephritis or severe cardiopulmonary dysfunction;
6. patients with anemia and hypoproteinemina; and
7. patients with severe infection, massive pleural effusion, empyema, and death after surgery.

This was a nonrandomized and retrospective study. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University.

2.2. Diagnosis of anastomotic leak

The diagnosis criteria include clinical manifestation and examination. Clinical manifestation:

1. cervical AL: accompanied or not by fever, increased infection index, redness and swelling of neck incision skin, fluid accumulation below the incision accompanied by rancid smell, purulent secretion overflow or saliva, gastric juice overflow when the incision suture was removed;
2. intrathoracic AL: high fever, chest and back pain, shortness of breath, dyspnea, increased heart rate, chest tightness and palpitation, and even systemic toxic symptoms, turbid fluid, gastric juice, and bile fluid found in the thoracic duct.

Examinations: confirmed by oral methylene blue injection, upper gastrointestinal angiography, gastroscopy, and computed tomography.\(^{(14)}\)

2.3. Detection of serum prealbumin

We looked up the illness record of hospitalized esophageal cancer. All patients who met the criteria were included and serum prealbumin levels during the perioperative period were recorded. (Fasting venous blood was taken between 6–7 AM within a week before surgery and within 2 weeks after surgery.

All laboratory values were determined using routine automated analyzers at the Departments of Clinical Chemistry in laboratory department.)

2.4. Data collection

The following clinical characteristics of included patients were summarized; demographic information, drinking history, smoking history, operation type, operation time, and intraoperative blood loss. In addition to serum prealbumin, serum albumin and hemoglobin were measured for each patient.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL). Data were assessed for normality and presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. T-test, nonparametric test, and logistic regression were used to compare characteristics of patients with AL and those without. A receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve was used to identify the best cut-off value for serum prealbumin as a predictive factor for AL. \(P < .05\) was considered statistically significant. The main steps of methods section are summarized in Figure 1.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of patient characteristics and surgical approach

Of the 255 patients included in the study, there were 212 males and 43 females. Of these patients 141 underwent sweet surgery, 9 underwent Ivor-Lewis surgery, 14 underwent McKeown surgery, and 91 underwent Minimally invasive esophagectomy surgery. There were 18 cases of anastomotic leak after operation, the incidence rate was 7.0% (18/255), including 6 cases of neck AL and 12 cases of intrathoracic AL. In order to analyze risk factors for AL, patients were divided into 2 groups based on whether they suffered anastomotic leak. As shown in Table 1, there was no difference in gender, age, smoking history, or drinking history between the groups. No statistical difference was observed in operation time, operation type, or intraoperative blood loss. There was no significant difference in serum albumin, hemoglobin, or preoperative serum prealbumin between the AL and non-AL group.

3.2. The relationship between serum prealbumin and risk of AL

To determine the risk factors for AL and further evaluate the relationship between perioperative serum prealbumin levels and AL, we performed univariate analysis and Multivariate analysis. As described in Table 2, univariate analysis found no statistically significant difference in gender, age, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, drinking history, preoperative hemoglobin, preoperative serum albumin, preoperative serum prealbumin, operation time, intraoperative blood loss, or operation type \((P > .05)\). In contrast, postoperative serum prealbumin correlated with postoperative AL \((P < .001)\). The results of multivariate analysis are shown in Table 3. Postoperative serum prealbumin was an independent risk factor for AL (95% CI: 0.948–0.999; \(P = .028\)).
3.3. Confirmation of serum prealbumin cut-off value as the predictor for AL

The serum prealbumin level after operation are analyzed by ROC curve. As shown in Figure 2, The area under the curve (AUC) and the optimal cutoff was calculated. Results showed the best cut-off value to be 131 mg/L, with a sensitivity and a specificity of 83.3% and 72.2%, respectively. The AUC area was 0.805 ($P < .001$). When serum prealbumin levels were below 131 mg/L, AL would be predicted.

4. Discussion

Our study indicated that patients with low postoperative serum prealbumin levels tend to suffer from AL after esophagectomy. Postoperative serum prealbumin is an independent predictor of AL. AL is the most serious postoperative complications of esophageal cancer,\(^4,5\) this fatal complication still affects the prognosis of esophageal cancer.\(^15,16\) In clinical practice, AL needs to be predicted in advance for surgeon so special precaution will be took for the high risk patients. Factors influencing AL postoperatively have always been a research focus, especially those related to infection and malnutrition. Some studies have shown that poor nutrition status is a potentially serious condition which is often simultaneous with cancer.\(^17\) Patients with esophageal cancer often suffer from malnutrition due to decreased nutrient intake and increased tumor metabolism, with 80% of esophageal cancer patients exhibits protein-malnutrition.\(^18\) Malnutrition directly impacts the incidence of AL in postoperative patients with esophageal cancer. To some extent, patients with esophageal cancer have lowered immunity, with trauma caused by surgery and postoperative stress contributing to insufficient nutrition. So, the body is in a state of negative nitrogen balance with poor tissue growth and healing capacity. Furthermore, the operation area and the anastomotic site are inflamed and edematous. Edema of the intestinal mucosa results in a nutrient absorption disorder, which further aggravates malnutrition. Therefore, early identification of malnutrition and early nutritional support promote anastomotic healing, and avoiding AL.

There are a variety of clinical methods by which to evaluate malnutrition in cancer patients, ranging from clinical symptoms and signs to anthropometric and biochemical tests. However, evaluation technologies are highly variable and lack reliable and short-term screening methods. Studies have shown serum prealbumin levels effectively reflect the short-term nutritional status of cancer patients.\(^19,20\) Prealbumin is a protein status indicator. Its half-life is shorter than albumin, about 2 days, with storage within the body much smaller than albumin. Changes in prealbumin are more sensitive than albumin with measured values and storage value synchronous. Serum prealbumin
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In this study, the results showed that postoperative serum prealbumin levels were significantly lower than preoperative level. especially in the AL group, serum prealbumin was

---

**Table 1**

Comparison between patients who leaked and patients who did not: patient characteristics.

| Variables                  | Anastomotic leakage | None anastomotic leakage | P value |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Sex                        |                     |                          |         |
| Female                     | 1                   | 42                       | .324    |
| Male                       | 17                  | 195                      |         |
| Age                        |                     |                          | .599    |
| <60                        | 7                   | 73                       |         |
| ≥60                        | 11                  | 164                      |         |
| BMI                        |                     |                          | .249    |
| <20                        | 2                   | 55                       | .378    |
| ≥20                        | 16                  | 182                      |         |
| Smoking history            |                     |                          | .288    |
| Yes                        | 15                  | 164                      |         |
| No                         | 3                   | 73                       |         |
| Drinking history           |                     |                          | .07     |
| Yes                        | 15                  | 129                      |         |
| No                         | 3                   | 98                       |         |
| Preoperative Hemoglobin    | 142.78 ± 14.73      | 138.7 ± 13.72            | .227*   |
| Preoperative serum albumin | 43.5 (42–47.75)     | 43.5 (40–46)             | .247**  |
| Preoperative serum         | 233.33 ± 46.33      | 239.23 ± 46.99           | .595*   |
| Postoperative serum        | 113.56 ± 32.27      | 160.44 ± 44.73           | .001*** |
| Prealbumin (mg/L)          |                     |                          |         |
| Minimum serum Prealbumin   | 95 (81.5–115.75)    | 133 (107–170)            | .001*** |
| (mg/L)                     |                     |                          |         |
| Operation type             |                     |                          |         |
| Sweet                      | 1                   | 13                       | .483    |
| Ivor-Lewis                 | 2                   | 7                        |         |
| McKeown                    | 1                   | 13                       |         |
| MIE                        | 5                   | 86                       |         |
| Operation time (min)       | 311 (246.25–392.5)  | 310 (240–370)            | .216**  |
| Blood loss (ml)            | 200 (200–375)       | 200 (100–250)            | .063**  |

BMI = body mass index, MIE = minimally invasive esophagectomy.

---

**Table 2**

Univariate analysis of risk factors for anastomotic leakage.

| Variables                  | HR (95% CI)         | P value |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Sex                        |                     | .213    |
| Female                     | 1                   |         |
| Male                       | 0.273 (0.035–2.109) | .478    |
| Age                        |                     |         |
| <60                        | 1                   |         |
| ≥60                        | 0.699 (0.261–1.877) | .249    |
| BMI                        |                     |         |
| <20                        | 1                   |         |
| ≥20                        | 0.414 (0.092–1.855) | .217    |
| Smoking history            |                     |         |
| No                         | 1                   |         |
| Yes                        | 0.449 (0.126–1.600) | .284    |
| Drinking history           |                     |         |
| No                         | 1                   |         |
| Yes                        | 0.284 (0.08–1.006)  |         |
| Preoperative hemoglobin    | 1.022 (0.987–1.058) | .227    |
| Preoperative serum albumin | 1.098 (0.971–1.242) | .134    |
| Postoperative serum        | 1.003 (0.993–1.013) | .594    |
| Prealbumin (mg/L)          | 0.970 (0.955–0.985) | <.001*  |
| Minimum serum Prealbumin   | 0.971 (0.956–0.987) | <.001*  |
| Operation type             |                     |         |
| Sweet                      | 1                   | .388    |
| Ivor-Lewis                 | 0.756 (0.082–6.993) | .805    |
| McKeown                    | 3.714 (0.284–48.545)| .317    |
| MIE                        | 0.992 (0.118–8.377) | .994    |
| Operation time (min)       | 1.004 (0.999–1.009) | .108    |
| Blood loss (ml)            | 1.002 (1.001–1.005) | .108    |
Table 3

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for anastomotic leakage.

| Variables                  | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Sex                        |             |         |
| Female                     | 1           | .676    |
| Male                       | 0.536 (.029-9.998) | .295    |
| Age                        |             |         |
| <60                        | 1           | .236    |
| ≥60                        | 1.898 (.573-6.293) | .907    |
| BMI                        |             |         |
| <20                        | 1           | .879    |
| ≥20                        | 0.367 (.070-1.928) | .272    |
| Smoking history            |             |         |
| No                         | 1           | .212    |
| Yes                        | 1.152 (.185-7.185) | .001    |
| Drinking history           |             |         |
| No                         | 1           | .946    |
| Yes                        | 0.364 (.074-1.783) | .587    |
| Preoperative hemoglobin (g/L) | 0.988 (.940-1.040) | .650    |
| Preoperative serum albumin (g/L) | 1.102 (.926-1.312) | .273    |
| Preoperative serum Prealbumin (mg/L) | 1.008 (.994-1.023) | .727    |
| Postoperative serum Prealbumin (mg/L) | 0.973 (.948-0.999) | .028*   |
| Minimum serum prealbumin (mg/L) | 0.990 (.962-1.018) | .465    |
| Operation type             |             |         |
| Sweet                      | 1           | .598    |
| Ivor-Lewis                 | 0.491 (.038-6.392) | .819    |
| McKeown                    | 0.688 (.027-17.430) | .793    |
| MIE                        | 0.720 (.062-8.351) | .001    |
| Operation time (min)       |             |         |
| No                         | 1           | .598    |
| Yes                        | 1.001 (.996-1.004) | .907    |

BMI = body mass index, MIE = minimally invasive esophagectomy.
* Statistically significant (P < .05).

extremely low compared to the level before operation. According to the ROC curve, the postoperative serum prealbumin concentration cut-off value was 131 mg/L, with 83.3% sensitivity, and 72.2% specificity. The AUC was 0.833 (P < .001). It showed that low postoperative serum prealbumin level was likely to lead to AL, which is consistent with the results of other studies. Multivariate analysis showed serum prealbumin levels after surgery to be an independent risk factor for AL. Therefore, postoperative serum prealbumin levels could be used as an early predictive factor of AL after esophagectomy. Routine monitoring of serum prealbumin levels after surgery and dynamic follow-up will help determine the degree of malnutrition and give an early warning of AL. If the clinical test value is lower than 131 mg/L, AL may occur. Therefore, a reasonable, appropriate, and comprehensive nutritional treatment schemes should be implemented to improve protein-malnutrition status, and to facilitate the growth and healing of anastomotic stoma.

There are several possible limitations of this study. First, this was a single-center retrospective study, and the sample size might be small. Second, Serum prealbumin has been described as a negative acute phase reactant, that may be affected by inflammation or infection.[21,31,32] Patients have more or less an inflammatory response after esophagectomy, that potential factors may lead to a decrease in prealbumin levels. Thus, further multi-center prospective studies with large sample are warranted.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the results of this study demonstrated that postoperative serum prealbumin levels can early predict AL after esophagectomy. Low serum prealbumin levels suggest that the risk of AL is significantly increased especially in patients with serum prealbumin level below 131 mg/L. It is important to develop a suitable clinical treatment strategy to reduce the incidence of AL, and to improve the prognosis of patients.
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