Spin waves propagating through a stripe magnetic domain structure and their applications to reservoir computing
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Spin waves propagating through a stripe domain structure and reservoir computing with their spin dynamics have been numerically studied with focusing on the relation between physical phenomena and computing capabilities. Our system utilizes a spin-wave-based device that has a continuous magnetic garnet film and 1-input/72-output electrodes on the top. To control spatially-distributed spin dynamics, a stripe magnetic domain structure and amplitude-modulated triangular input waves were used. The spatially-arranged electrodes detected spin vector outputs with various nonlinear characteristics that were leveraged for reservoir computing. By moderately suppressing nonlinear phenomena, our system achieves 100\% prediction accuracy in temporal exclusive-OR (XOR) problems with a delay step up to 5. At the same time, it shows perfect inference in delay tasks with a delay step more than 7 and its memory capacity has a maximum value of 21. This study demonstrated that our spin-wave-based reservoir computing has a high potential for edge-computing applications and also can offer a rich opportunity for further understanding of the underlying nonlinear physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reservoir computing is a computational framework which is originally based on recurrent neural networks \textsuperscript{1,2}. It is realized with a system having a reservoir part and a readout part. In this computational framework, the role of the reservoir part is to nonlinearly transform time-series input data to high-dimensional spatiotemporal signals, which allows us to optimize the readout part with linear regression while the reservoir part is unchanged. Owing to its unique feature, reservoir computing models require much less training cost than deep neural networks. Thus, it is promising for machine-learning-based edge computing \textsuperscript{3,4} that can perform energy-efficient information processing of a time-series data obtained from mobile devices and sensors. Recently, it has been demonstrated that reservoir computing systems can be realized with reservoirs based on physical phenomena. Such reservoir computing, called physical reservoir computing \textsuperscript{5}, can create machine-learning electronic devices that are directly mounted onto terminal devices, leading to revolutionary technologies in next-generation Internet-of-Things (IoT) era. The following examples are some of the typical systems and devices used for physical reservoir computing: optoelectronic systems \textsuperscript{6,7}, optical systems \textsuperscript{8,9}, an electronic circuit \textsuperscript{10}, memristive resistance networks \textsuperscript{11,12}, a soft material \textsuperscript{13}, water in a bucket \textsuperscript{14}, a silicon beam \textsuperscript{15}, a ferroelectric metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor \textsuperscript{16}, spin torque oscillators \textsuperscript{17,18}, a magnetic tunnel junction \textsuperscript{19}, nanomagnets \textsuperscript{20}, and spin waves \textsuperscript{21,22}. Although the relation between physical properties and computation capabilities in these physical systems has not been fully understood, physical reservoir computing can be performed well when a physical system possesses rich dynamics including high-dimensionality, nonlinear-
FIG. 1. Spin-wave-based reservoir computing system that is composed of an input preprocessing, a reservoir part, and a readout part. The computing is executed following the order of (1) – (8) in the training, whereas it is executed following the order of (3) – (6) and then (9) – (10) in the testing. In a spin-wave-based reservoir device in the reservoir part, a red area is the input exciter, a zebra pattern with pale and deep greens represents a stripe magnetic domain structure, and bended black arrows schematically illustrate the expected propagation of spin waves with multiple reflections by the magnetic domains. Blue curves schematically illustrate time-series output waveforms obtained at 72 detectors in the device.

computing capabilities, which is one main interest in the field of physical reservoir computing. In this paper, we numerically study reservoir computing using a spin-wave-based reservoir device. To efficiently realize spatially-distributed rich dynamics of spin waves with nonlinear phenomena, including nonlinear propagation and multiple reflections, amplitude-modulated waves representing a bit sequence are used for input signals and a stripe magnetic domain structure is introduced in a continuous magnetic garnet film where spin waves propagate. It appears that various waveforms are obtained at different positions in the magnetic garnet film by controlling nonlinear phenomena with input and material parameters. Then, using the resultant waveforms under various parameter conditions, benchmark tasks are solved to reveal what features of spin waves are effective for high-capability reservoir computing. In temporal XOR problems with various interval time steps, it is found that the prediction accuracy strongly depends on the above two parameters. Under moderate suppression of nonlinear phenomena in spin waves, 100% prediction accuracy is achieved for relatively-large delay steps up to 5. At the same time, the memory capacity estimated using delay tasks with various delay time steps has a maximum value of 21. Finally, the relation between physical phenomena and computing capabilities is discussed.

II. RESERVOIR COMPUTING SYSTEM

Spin-wave-based reservoir computing was performed with simulator-calculated spin waves. Figure 1 shows a schematic of our reservoir computing system that consists of a signal preprocessing part, a spin-wave-based reservoir part, and a readout part. In this study, the discrete time step is expressed by \( n (= 1, 2, \ldots, 1100) \) and the input data is expressed by \( u(n) \) that has a bit value (0 or 1) changing randomly with \( n \).

First, in the preprocessing part, \( u(n) \) is transformed to a reservoir input signal that is the change in the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy \( K_u(n) \) of the magnetic garnet film in the reservoir device. When a time-series reservoir input signal \( K_u(n) \) is fed into the input exciter of the magnetic garnet film (the red line), spin waves are excited and then propagated. Since the magnetic garnet film has a stripe domain structure illustrated by pale and very-pale green stripes, as shown later, it is expected that spin waves reflected multiple times interfere with each other, as illustrated by black bended arrows. Reservoir output signals \( x_i(t) \) \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, 72) \) in response to the reservoir input signal are obtained by detecting spin waves at the \( t \)th detector on the magnetic garnet film, where \( t \) represents continuous time. To use steady response, the output signals in the first 100 time steps (corresponding to the first 100 bits) are discarded in the readout processing.

In the readout part, \( x_i(t) \) are converted to \( y_i(n) \) through some signal processing: an envelope processing, a low-pass filtering, and an averaging over the time step range. Then, an optimization of the readout part is performed based on a linear regression [25]. By collecting \( y_i(n) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_y \) with \( N_y \leq 72 \), a reservoir output vector \( y(n) \) is given by

\[
y(n) = (y_1(n), \ldots, y_{N_y}(n))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y}.
\]  (1)

The system output at the \( k \)th node is expressed by \( z_k(n) \)
and then a system output vector $z(n)$ is given by

$$z(n) = (z_1(n), \ldots, z_{N_z}(n))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_z}.$$  (2)

The system output is expressed by

$$z(n) = W^\text{out} y(n),$$  (3)

where $W^\text{out}$ is an output weight matrix:

$$W^\text{out} = (w_{ki}^\text{out}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_z \times N_y}.$$  (4)

After the reservoir outputs $y(n)$ from $n = 101$ to 1100 are divided into two parts, 500 steps in the first part are used for training, whereas 474 steps in the second part are used for testing. In the training, the target signal $d_k(n)$ for the $k$th system output in each task is generated using $u(n)$ and then the target of the system output vector $d(n)$ is given by

$$d(n) = (d_1(n), \ldots, d_{N_z}(n))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_z}.$$  (5)

In the training, to determine the optimum weight matrix $W^*$, $Y$ and $D$ matrices are given by column-wise collections of $y(n)$ and $d(n)$, respectively, as follows:

$$Y = [y(101), \ldots, y(500)] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_y \times 500}.$$  (6)

$$D = [d(101), \ldots, d(500)] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_z \times 500}.$$  (7)

Then, $W^*$ is calculated by using the pseudo-inverse matrix $Y^\dagger$:

$$W^* = DY^\dagger.$$  (8)

In the testing, a model output signal vector $z^*(n)$ is calculated using $W^*$ and $y(n)$ as follows:

$$z^*(n) = W^* y(n).$$  (9)

Then, a binary output signal $b_k^*(n)$ at the $k$th node is calculated from $z_k^*(n)$ with a threshold of 0.5 as follows:

$$b_k^*(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & (z_k^*(n) \geq 0.5) \\ 0 & (z_k^*(n) < 0.5). \end{cases}$$

For evaluating the computing capability of the system output at the $k$th node, the prediction accuracy of a computing task in the testing is calculated using the normalized Hamming distance as follows:

$$\text{ACC} = 1 - \frac{\sum_n h(d_k(n), b_k^*(n))}{L},$$  (10)

where $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hamming distance and $L = 474$ is the total time step length used in the testing.

III. SPIN-WAVE-BASED RESERVOIR COMPUTING DEVICE

A. Device Structure

Figure 2 shows a schematic device structure that is composed of (from the bottom to top) a conductive substrate, a magnetic garnet film, a magneto-electric (ME) coupling layer, and input (a red cuboid)/output (gray cuboids) electrodes. It is basically the same as that in our previous paper [22] and the main differences are the shape of the input electrode, the arrangement of the output electrodes, and the stripe magnetic domain structure. The magnetic garnet film/ME coupling bilayer structure is typically called synthetic multiferroic structure that converts from magnetic to electrical properties, and vice versa. In the operation of an actual device, spin waves in the magnetic garnet film are excited and detected by the voltages of the input and output electrodes, respectively, through the function of the synthetic multiferroic structure, such as voltage controlled magnetic anisotropy (VCMA) [26].

In numerical experiments in this study, since spin dynamics in the magnetic garnet film is simulated, input and output are expressed by the change in the properties of the magnetic garnet film near the surface, as a typical ME coupling in synthetic multiferroic structures. The input is expressed by the change in the magnetic anisotropy near the surface. The output is expressed by the out-of-plane component of the averaged spins over a small volume beneath each output electrode since the output voltage is expected to be proportional to the out-of-plane component of spins near the surface.

B. Key Ideas for High Performance in Reservoir Computing

The followings are our consideration on what physical phenomena are specifically needed in our spin-wave-
based reservoir computing device:

- High-dimensionality: Different waveforms are obtained at different positions in space.
- Nonlinearity: Nonlinear phenomena, such as nonlinear propagation and interference, occur in response to a time-series input signal.
- Input-history dependency and fading memory property: Spin waves excited by an input signal that corresponds to one bit information cause fluctuations at the same position for a certain time length.

In physical reservoir computing, the reservoir part appropriately designed in advance is unchanged. Thus, the input transformation from a time-series data to a time-series physical quantities and the configuration of a reservoir device are essential for the realization of rich physical dynamics. Our key ideas for these two building blocks are described below.

Regarding input signals, our idea is to use amplitude-modulated waves corresponding to bit sequence data. This is because transient nonlinear responses to input signals are effective for reservoir computing and such responses excited by forced oscillations can be reliably measured in the signal envelope, as in spin torque oscillators. When the input $u(n)$ is transformed to a reservoir input signal, the time period of the modulation signal is an important parameter, since it is expected that nonlinear transient phenomena strongly depend on it. Thus, this study examines how spin dynamics changes depending on this time period.

Regarding the configuration of a reservoir device, our idea is to realize unstable spin waves in a stripe domain structure that is frequently obtained in magnetic garnet films under near-zero external DC magnetic field $H_{\text{EX}}$ and such responses excited by forced oscillations can be reliably measured in the signal envelope, as in spin torque oscillators. When the input $u(n)$ is transformed to a reservoir input signal, the time period of the modulation signal is an important parameter, since it is expected that nonlinear transient phenomena strongly depend on it. Thus, this study examines how spin dynamics changes depending on this time period.

Regarding the configuration of a reservoir device, our idea is to realize unstable spin waves in a stripe domain structure that is frequently obtained in magnetic garnet films under near-zero external DC magnetic field $H_{\text{EX}}$. Here, “unstable” is used to indicate a situation that long-range dipole interactions are not negligible due to large polar angles in spin precessions and the precession axis for each spin dynamically changes. From previous papers by other groups, unstable spin waves can lead to rich dynamics, including period-doubling bifurcations and chaotic behavior, when a high-power radio-frequency (RF) input is used. Instead of this method, when a stripe domain structure with a small $H_{\text{EX}}$ is used, the following features are expected: Unstable spin waves result in space-varying spin dynamics, they show nonlinear phenomena, and they are split and reflected multiple times in the presence of domains (and domain boundaries). These can lead to the aforementioned physical characteristics needed for reservoir computing. Since the properties of the magnetic garnet film are important parameters, this study examines how spin dynamics changes depending on the damping factor at the boundaries of the garnet film, as will be specifically explained in Sec. III C. It is noteworthy that a small $H_{\text{EX}}$ can be realized by an available technology for on-chip device, which is advantageous for feasible implementation.

C. Simulation Procedure and Material Parameters

Figures 3(a) and (b) show top-schematic and cross-sectional-schematic views of a magnetic garnet film used for the simulation, respectively, where red and blue regions are the input and output regions, respectively, and a Cartesian coordinate system is defined in each figure. Hereafter, the input and output region are called the input exciter and detector, respectively. The $x$-$y$ plane has an area of $12 \times 12 \, \mu$m$^2$ and a thickness of 320 nm along the $z$ direction, where pale-green and deep-green regions are the transmission and damping regions of spin waves, respectively, and the transmission region includes the input exciter and detectors. The upper and lower boundaries are connected for reducing the simulation time, which is expected to have no influence on detected spin waves since the detectors are arranged far from these boundaries. Figure 3(c) shows the detailed top-view arrangement of the input exciter (a red rectangle) and detectors (blue rectangles) in the magnetic garnet film, respectively. The input exciter has an area of $400 \, \text{nm} \times 4 \, \mu$m centered at the origin of the $x$-$y$ plane and has a depth of 40 nm along the $z$ axis (Fig. 3(b)). The detectors have an area of $200 \, \text{nm} \times 200 \, \text{nm}$ and a depth of 40 nm along the $z$ axis (Fig. 3(b)), they are arranged at a 400 nm pitch interval along the $x$ axis and at a 1000 nm pitch interval along the $y$ axis, respectively, and the detector number is defined in Fig. 3(c). The detectors are divided into 4 groups Area-1, -2, -3, and -4 by the broken-gray boundaries along the $y$ axis and each group has 18 detectors. The damping regions at the left and right boundaries are expected to fully damp the spin waves propagating from the input region at the center, i.e., there is no reflection of spin waves from the boundaries to the center. The reflection of spin waves will be verified later.

We used a micromagnetic simulator Mumax3 for numerical experiments on spin dynamics in the magnetic garnet film in Figs. 3(a) and (b). The simulation and material parameters are as follows: The mesh unit defined by the $xyz$ axes is cubic with 40 nm on one side, a spin (magnetic moment) is located at every mesh corner, the simulation temperature is 0 K, the saturation magnetization $M_s$ is 190 kA/m, the stiffness constant $A_{\text{EX}}$ is $3.7 \times 10^{-12} \, \text{J/m}$, the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy $K_u$ along the $z$ axis is $K_u^H = 5 \, \text{kJ/m}^3$ in the damping region and the transmission region except the input exciter, and the damping constant $\alpha_0$ is 0.001 in the transmission region. The $M_s$ value is that of a bulk Y$_3$Fe$_5$O$_{12}$ (YIG) at a few K, the $A_{\text{EX}}$ value is identical with the value for a liquid-phasé epitaxy (LPE) grown YIG film on a Gd$_3$Ga$_5$O$_{12}$ (GGG) substrate, and the $K_u^H$ is similar to the values for pulsed laser deposition (PLD) grown YIG films on GGG substrates. In the input exciter, $K_u$ along the $z$ axis was changed in proportion to an input signal, as will be explained in Sec. III C. To study fundamental properties and reservoir computing with various types of spin dynamics, $\alpha$ in the damping region was var-
The initial magnetic domain structure for spin dynamics simulation was formed using the following procedure: First $\mu_0 H^{\text{EX}} = 0.05$ T was applied, then it was reduced to 0.01 T in step of 0.01 T, and finally it was reduced to 0.005 T in step of 0.001 T. At each step, the spin distribution was relaxed. Figures 3(a) and (b) show top and cross-sectional views of the distributions of $s_z$ in the magnetic garnet film after the final step, respectively, where the magnitude is defined by a color bar and the cross-sectional view is extended along the $z$ axis for visibility. The magnetic domain has a checkered pattern composed of red and blue lines along the $y$ axis, i.e., a stripe domain structure with two domains saturating along the $\pm z$ directions. The deviation from the complete stripe structure increases as the $x$ position approaches the left and right boundaries. This feature is preferable for reservoir computing because it can lead to various waveforms at the detectors.

### D. Magnetic Domain Structure

The x-y plane and thickness along the z axis of the magnetic garnet film is $12 \times 12 \mu$m$^2$ and 320 nm, respectively. The depths of the input exciter and detectors are 40 nm from the surface, as shown in (b). (c) Detailed top-view structure of the input exciter and detectors on the top of the garnet film, where detectors with $200 \times 200$ nm$^2$ are arranged with 200 nm and 800 nm in pitch along the $x$ and $y$ axes, respectively, and the number is defined for each detector. All the detectors are divided into 4 groups Area-1, -2, -3 and -4, each of which has 18 detectors.

The reasons are simply to reduce simulation time and to eliminate the influence of spin waves reflected back from the left and right boundaries by intentionally reducing the amplitudes of spin waves while propagating (the latter will be discussed in III A). Thus, since this $\alpha_0$ value just leads to smaller decay lengths of propagating spin waves, consistent results with those in this study will be obtained when simulation is performed using a YIG film having a larger $x$-$y$ plane and a smaller $\alpha_0$ value.

### E. Reservoir Input Signals

In the preprocessing part in Fig. 4, $u(n)$ was transformed to the reservoir input signal $K_u(n)$ as follows.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{(a) Top and (b) cross-sectional views of the distributions of $s_z$ in the magnetic garnet film after the relaxation under a magnetic field $\mu_0 H^{\text{EX}} = 0.005$ T along the $+y$ direction, where the magnitude is defined by a color bar. The cross-sectional view is along the $x$ axis between two open arrows and it is extended along the $z$ axis for visibility.}
\end{figure}
F. Analysis of Spin Dynamics

To clarify the relation between physical phenomena and computing capabilities, it is necessary to analyze how spin dynamics are changed by the values of the two parameters $\alpha$ and $X$. Hence, reservoir output waveforms at the detectors were observed and they were also characterized by discrete Fourier transform (DFT) spectra that were calculated using output waveforms in the $n$ range from 101 to 1100. In input signals, since a triangular pulse with a period of 1 ns is repeated, namely, a triangular wave with 1 GHz is used as a carrier wave, a DFT spectrum always has a component at 1 GHz. Hereafter, the input signal frequency 1 GHz is denoted by $f_{IN}$. In the analysis, a DFT spectrum is divided into four parts with different names, following each feature [38], as shown in Fig. 5(b):

- Odd-number harmonics: waves characterized by DFT components at 1, 3, and 5 GHz that are the fundamental frequencies for a triangular pulse.

- Even-number harmonics: waves characterized by DFT components at 2 and 4 GHz.

- Interharmonics: waves characterized by DFT components between the odd- and even-number harmonic frequencies, including the fractional-order harmonics at 1.5, 2.5, 3.5, and 4.5 GHz.

- Sub-$f_{IN}$: waves characterized by DFT components below 1 GHz, including sub-harmonics, and super-subharmonics. In a broad definition, this part is included in interharmonics.

Since triangular pulses were used in input signals, the odd-number harmonics are linear responses, whereas the others are nonlinear responses due to nonlinear phenomena. Hereafter, the odd-number harmonics and even-number harmonics are sometimes collectively called the integer-number harmonics. To obtain accurate components at the integer-number and fractional-number harmonic frequencies in DFT spectra, the window function in the calculation was rectangle and the time length was the maximum common multiples of the time periods of these harmonics in the $n$ range from 101 to 1100. The reason why the rectangle window function was used is that a time-varying mean value is hardly subtracted from the output signal and it possibly has important components for reservoir computing. It is well recognized that this DFT procedure can lead to components at frequencies other than these harmonic frequencies and that quantitative analyses on sub-$f_{IN}$ and interharmonics have not been yet established [38]. Hence, the analysis with DFT spectra is phenomenological based on how the spectrum feature changes with the parameters $X$ and $\alpha$.

---

**FIG. 5.** (a) Example of a reservoir input signal $K_u(n)$ with the time step length $X = 4$ ns: 0 and 1 in $u(n)$ are expressed by $\Delta K_{u, 0} = K_u^H - K_u^L$ and $\Delta K_{u, 1} = K_u^H - K_u^M$, respectively, with a use of $K_u^H$ as the baseline, where $K_u^H$, $K_u^M$, and $K_u^L$ are 5.0, 4.5, and 4.0 kJ/m$^3$, respectively. To analyze the effect of $X$ on the properties of spin waves as well as on the capability of the reservoir computing, reservoir input signals with various $X$ values were prepared; $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns. The repetition number of triangular pulses for one bit can be calculated such that $X$ ns is divided by 1 ns. (b) Schematic illustration of a DFT spectrum, where $f_{IN},$ odd, and even denote the pulse repetition frequency (1 GHz), the odd-number harmonic frequencies (3 and 5 GHz), and the even-number harmonic frequencies (2 and 4 GHz), respectively, and sub-$f_{IN}$ and IH denote interharmonics below $f_{IN}$ and interharmonics between the integer-number harmonic frequencies, respectively.

First, the time lengths of a triangular pulse and the time step length $X$ were set at 1 ns and a multiple of 1 ns, respectively. As described in Sec. III B, $X$ is the time period of the modulation signal, which is an important parameter for controlling spin dynamics. To analyze the effect of $X$ on spin dynamics as well as on the capabilities in reservoir computing, responses to various $X$ values were examined: $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns. The repetition number of triangular pulses for one bit can be calculated such that $X$ ns is divided by 1 ns. For example, when $X = 4$ ns, the repetition number is 4. Next, to change the amplitude of $\Delta K_u$ following the input data, $K_u^M = 4.5$ and $K_u^L = 4$ kJ/m$^3$ were prepared with a use of the maximum $K_u^H = 5.0$ kJ/m$^3$ as the baseline. As an example, Fig. 5(a) shows a reservoir input signal with $X = 4$ ns, in which 0 and 1 in $u(n)$ are expressed by $\Delta K_{u, 0} = K_u^H - K_u^L$ and $\Delta K_{u, 1} = K_u^H - K_u^M$, respectively. Finally, time-series reservoir input signals with various $X$ were prepared using the same $u(n)$ for $n = 1, \ldots, 1100$. 

| Magnetic anisotropy constant $K_u$ (kJ/m$^3$) |
|---------------------------------------------|
| $K_u^H$                                    |
| $K_u^M$                                    |
| $K_u^L$                                    |

| Time step $n$ |
|---------------|
| 1             |
| 2             |
| 3             |

| DFT amplitude |
|---------------|
| $f_{IN},$ odd |
| $f_{IN},$ even |

---

\[ X = 4 \, \text{ns} \]
IV. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SPIN DYNAMICS AT DETECTORS

Figure 6 shows waveforms and DFT spectra obtained with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and various \( X = 1, 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns, where the unit of the horizontal axis for the waveforms is time step \( n \). Red-line plots are results for the reservoir input signal with \( X = 4 \) ns, and blue-line plots are results for reservoir output signals at Detector 1. In the waveforms, the green sections correspond to input value of \( 0 (\Delta K_u, 0) \), whereas the rest white sections correspond to input value of \( 1 (\Delta K_u, 1) \). In the DFT spectrum of the reservoir input signal in the upper-right panel, whereas the peaks at 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 GHz are dominant, the background amplitude shows a gradual decrease with increasing frequency as well as a small oscillation with a constant period. The odd-number harmonics are consistent with the Fourier series expansion of sequential triangular pulses and the gradual decrease is simply interpreted as the random change in \( \Delta K_u \) with \( n \). On the other hand, the period of the small oscillation is 0.25 GHz that corresponds to \( 1/X = 1/4 \text{ns}^{-1} \). Since this relation in oscillation was also confirmed in the DFT spectra for input signals with other \( X \) values (not shown here), it is attributable to the change in \( \Delta K_u \) with the step of \( X \).

In the reservoir output signals plotted with the blue lines, the following features are seen. The prominent feature is that nonlinear phenomena were obtained under all the conditions examined, since all the DFT spectra have peaked components at the even-number harmonic frequencies and components at the sub-\( f_{IN} \) and interharmonic frequencies. At \( X = 1 \) ns, the amplitude of the waveform changes frequently with \( n \) and its outline does not apparently respond to the reservoir input signal at the present time step \( n \). As \( X \) is increased, the outline of the waveform more clearly responds to the reservoir input signal at the present time step, in such a way that the amplitude of the waveform increases (decreases) after the bit transition from 1 to 0 (from 0 to 1). In the range of 107 \( - \) 114 (corresponds to an input sequence of \([0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]\)), the waveforms for \( X = 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns show an almost complete change from the maximum to minimum amplitudes, and vice versa, with similar relaxation times. In the range of 100 \( - \) 107 (corresponds to an input sequence of \([0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]\)), the outlines of the waveforms slightly differ from each other. Considering the similar relaxation times in the range of 107 \( - \) 114, the difference in the outline of the waveform between \( X = 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns mainly arises from the difference in the time step length \( X \). On the other hand, the DFT spectrum changes with \( X \). As \( X \) is increased, the sub-\( f_{IN} \) and interharmonic components decrease, whereas the integer-number harmonic components remain almost unchanged. These features indicate that the most change in spin dynamics originates from nonlinear phenomena characterized by the sub-\( f_{IN} \) and interharmonic components.

Figure 7 shows waveforms and DFT spectra obtained with various \( \alpha \) values \((0.001, 0.01, 0.1, \) and 1\) and \( X = 4 \) ns, where blue lines are the results for reservoir output signals at Detector 1. In the range of 100 \( - \) 107 (corresponds to an input sequence of \([0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0]\)), the outline of the waveform responds to the present input signal more clearly with increasing \( \alpha \), while the amplitude becomes smaller. In the DFT spectra, when the sub-\( f_{IN} \) components and the relative ratios between the integer-number harmonic components are observed, they change little with \( \alpha \). In contrast, the interharmonic components greatly increase with decreasing \( \alpha \), which is similar to the feature with decreasing \( X \) in Fig. 6. However, the spectrum for \( \alpha = 0.001 \) and \( X = 4 \) ns in Fig. 7 differs from that for \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 1 \) ns in Fig. 6. Thus, the effects of decreasing \( \alpha \) and \( X \) on the reservoir output signal are roughly similar, but they are
Important phenomena were also found when waveforms and DFT spectra at all the detectors were observed. Figure 9 shows waveforms and DFT spectra at Detector 1, 7, and 12 when \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 4 \) ns. With the increase of the detector number from 1 to 7 (the increase of the distance from the input exciter), the waveforms more ambiguously respond to the present input signal, which are confirmed by the valleys and peaks of the outline. Moreover, even when the waveform at Detector 1 is shifted along the horizontal axis and its amplitude is reduced, a waveform identical with that at Detector 7 cannot be created. Thus, it is very probable that the spin waves are nonlinearly changed while propagating due to nonlinear phenomena and reflection with multiple times. In the waveform at Detector 12, long-period beats with a width of \( \sim 4 \) ns appear and the relation between the waveform and input signal is unclear. On the other hand, in the DFT spectra, as the detector number increases, the components at higher frequencies preferentially decrease and finally the components at around 1 GHz are present at Detector 12. Thus, the change in the waveform with the increase of the distance from the input exciter partially originates from the feature that spin waves propagating in long distances are inevitably determined by the stripe domain structure.

V. POSTPROCESS OF RESERVOIR OUTPUT SIGNALS

In the readout part in Fig. 4, the reservoir output signals \( x_i(t) (i = 1, \ldots, 72) \) are converted to a 72-dimensional output vector \( \mathbf{y}(n) \) at each time step \( n \). More specifically, \( x_i(t) \) in a time step period are summarized into one value that reflects a characteristic response to the input signals from near past to \( n \). As seen in the

![Waveforms and DFT spectra](image)

**FIG. 7.** Waveforms and DFT spectra of reservoir output signals obtained at Detector 1 when various \( \alpha \) values (0.001, 0.01, 0.1, and 1) and \( X = 4 \) ns.

![Waveforms and DFT spectra](image)

**FIG. 8.** Waveforms and DFT spectra of reservoir output signals obtained at Detectors 37, 13, and 1 when \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 4 \) ns.

![Waveforms and DFT spectra](image)

**FIG. 9.** Waveforms and DFT spectra of reservoir output signals obtained at Detectors 1, 7, and 12 when \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 4 \) ns.

not identical. This indicates that the change in the spin dynamics by \( \alpha \) is different from that by \( X \).

Reservoir output signals at various detectors were observed to confirm the realization of various waveforms. Figure 8 shows waveforms and DFT spectra at Detectors 1, 13, and 37 obtained for \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 4 \) ns. Whereas the three detectors are located at the nearest \( x \) position from the input exciter, the \( y \) positions are different, as shown in Fig. 8(c). The three waveforms are roughly similar, but not identical. The difference is also characterized by the DFT spectra, where each spectrum has a unique feature that is most simply confirmed in the relative ratios between the integer-number harmonic components. Thus, it was found that the three detectors have the different output waveforms even though they are located in the stripe domain structure without significant deviation, as seen in Fig. 4(a). In the same manner, when waveforms and DFT spectra at all the detectors were observed, they were unique for each detector.
DFT spectra, the reservoir output signals have oscillations whose fundamental time period is smaller than \(X\) and their envelopes are expected to reflect characteristic responses. Moreover, instantaneous changes should be excluded since they can be noise that does not reflect characteristic responses. Based on these considerations, the following procedure of the post signal processing was used, as shown in Figs. 10 (a) – (d). First, an envelope processing and a subsequent low-pass filtering were performed for the reservoir output signals \(x_i(t)(i = 1, \cdots, 72)\), as shown in Figs. 10 (b) and (c). In the low-pass filtering, the cut-off frequency \(f_c\) defined by \(-10\) dB is 1.3 GHz. Then, the resultant signal at each detector was averaged over the time period of \(n\), i.e., \(X\), as shown in Fig. 10 (d). Finally, the averaged value at Detector \(i\) was assigned to \(y_i(n)(i = 1, \cdots, 72)\) in \(y(n)\). Clearly, the post signal processing extracts information in the low frequency range \(\leq f_c\). Nonetheless, spin waves in a wide frequency range are probably related to \(y(n)\) since they can dynamically interact with each other through nonlinear phenomena. It should be noted that the above postprocess procedure is feasible for implementation since each signal processing is widely used one.

VI. RESERVOIR COMPUTING

A. Temporal XOR Problems

Reservoir computing was performed using 72-dimensional \(y(n)\) for various conditions with \(\alpha\) (= 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, and 1) and \(X\) (= 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 ns). Hereafter, \(y(n)\) has 72 dimensions unless otherwise noted. To evaluate the device capability for nonlinear transformation of the input signal to \(y(n)\), temporal XOR problems were solved with the target output:

\[
d_k(n) = \text{XOR}(u(n - 1), u(n - k))
\]

(11)

for delay \(k = 2, \ldots, 24\).

To solve the temporal XOR problem with delay \(k\), the signal transformation by the reservoir requires nonlinearity and a memory of past \(k\) inputs. We assume \(k \geq 2\) to eliminate the trivial case of \(k = 1\) where \(d_k(n) = 0\) for all \(n\). Here, to evaluate the capability, the index \(CP\) is defined by the maximum value of \(k\) such that 100% prediction accuracy (ACC = 1) is maintained when \(k\) is increased. First, signals in the computation flow were observed by one example. Figures 11 (a), (b), (c), and (d) show the input \(u(n)\), the target output \(d_3(n)\), the model output \(s_3(n)\), and the binary output \(b^*(n)\), respectively, where \(\alpha = 0.1, X = 4\) ns, open circles are the values at \(n\), and colored lines connecting the nearest neighbor open circles are guides. In this case, \(d_3(n) = b^*_3(n)\) in the entire \(n\) range, meaning ACC = 1. Figures 12 (a), (b), (c), and (d) show ACC calculated for \(\alpha = 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, \) and 1, respectively, plotted against \(k\), where black, red, blue, brown, and green dots represent the results for \(X = 1, 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns, respectively. When \(\alpha = 0.001\) in Fig. 12 (a), all the curves do not have ACC = 1 and immediately decrease to the minimum ACC = 0.5 with increasing \(k\), in which the decreasing slope changes little with \(X\). When \(\alpha\) is increased from 0.001 to 0.01, ACC for each \(X\) becomes larger at \(k = 2\) and the decreasing slope in each curve becomes more steep except \(X = 1\) ns, as shown in Fig. 12 (b). However, since all the curves do not have ACC = 1, CP was not obtained. When \(\alpha\) is increased from 0.01 to 0.1, the features of the curves are highly improved, as shown in Fig. 12 (c). The curves for \(X = 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns have ACC = 1 in \(k \geq 2\), which are confirmed by the plateau in the low \(k\) range. The curves for \(X = 4, 6, \) and 8 ns have similar decreasing slopes. When \(\alpha\) is increased from 0.1 to 1, the feature of the curve for \(X = 2\) ns is slightly improved, whereas the features of the curves for \(X = 4, 6, \) and 8 ns are almost unchanged, as shown in Fig. 12 (d). Table I lists CP for all the \(\alpha\) and \(X\) conditions, in which CP = 4 was obtained for 7 conditions and the highest CP = 5 was obtained for \(\alpha = 0.1\) with \(X = 4\) and 6 ns.
TABLE I. Summaries of the computing capabilities CP, MC\textsuperscript{acc}, and MC obtained for various $\alpha$ and $X$ values. CP for the temporal XOR problems is defined by the maximum value of delay $k$ such that 100% prediction accuracy ($\text{ACC} = 1$) is maintained when $k$ is increased, where bars in CP columns represent N/A. MC\textsuperscript{acc} for the delay tasks is defined by the maximum value of delay $k$ such that 100% prediction accuracy ($\text{ACC} = 1$) is maintained when $k$ is increased. MC for the delay tasks is defined by Eq. (13).

| $\alpha$ | XOR problem | Delay task | XOR problem | Delay task | XOR problem | Delay task | XOR problem | Delay task |
|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|         | $X$ | CP | MC\textsuperscript{acc} | MC | $X$ | CP | MC\textsuperscript{acc} | MC | $X$ | CP | MC\textsuperscript{acc} | MC | $X$ | CP | MC\textsuperscript{acc} | MC |
|---------|-----|----|---------------|---|-----|----|---------------|---|-----|----|---------------|---|-----|----|---------------|---|
| 0.001   | 1 ns | — | 0 | 14 | — | 0 | 36 | — | 15 | 31 | — | 18 | 28 | — | 10 | 24 | — | 4 |
| 0.01    | 2 ns | — | 0 | 19 | — | 9 | 42 | — | 16 | 24 | — | 13 | 21 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 4 |
| 0.1     | 4 ns | — | 1 | 20 | — | 15 | 32 | — | 12 | 16 | — | 11 | 15 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 4 |
| 1       | 6 ns | — | 3 | 18 | — | 15 | 26 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 4 |
|         | 8 ns | — | 5 | 18 | — | 13 | 23 | — | 9 | 10 | — | 8 | 11 | — | 10 | 13 | — | 4 |

FIG. 11. Signals in solving a XOR problem using 72-dimensional $y(n)$ with the target output $d_3(n) = \text{XOR}(u(n-1), u(n-3))$ (corresponding to delay $k = 3$) when $\alpha = 0.1$ and $X = 4$ ns. Red open circles are the input binary data and blue open circles represent the calculated values in the readout part. (a) Input $u(n)$ that has a bit value (0 or 1) changing randomly with $n$. (b) Target signal $d_3(n) = \text{XOR}(u(n-1), u(n-3))$. (c) Model output $z_3^*(n)$. (d) Binary output $b_3^*(n)$ calculated from $z_3^*(n)$ with a threshold of 0.5.

FIG. 12. Prediction accuracy ACC plotted against delay $k$, which were estimated by solving XOR problems using 72-dimensional $y(n)$ with $d_3(n) = \text{XOR}(u(n-1), u(n-k))$ for delay $k = 2, \cdots, 24$: $\alpha = (a) 0.001, (b) 0.01, (c) 0.1, \text{and} (d) 1$, where black, red, blue, brown, and green dots represent the results for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6, \text{and} 8$ ns, respectively, and colored lines connecting nearest-neighbor dots are guides.

So far, the reservoir computing was performed using 72-dimensional $y(n)$ from 72 detectors. Here, the detectors are divided into 4 groups named Area-1, -2, -3, and -4, as shown in Fig. 11(c), and then the temporal XOR problems were solved using 18 detectors in each area, when $\alpha$ was varied and $X = 4$ ns. In consequence, $y(n)$ for each computation is a 18-dimensional vector. Figures (a), (b), (c), and (d) show ACC calculated for $\alpha = 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, \text{and} 1$, respectively, plotted against $k$, where grey, orange, pale-blue, and pale-green dots represent the results for Area-1, -2, -3, and -4, respectively. At each $\alpha$ value, the curves for Area-1, -2, -3, and -4 are similar to each other and have the smaller values than the curve for $X = 4$ ns in Figs. 12(a), (b), (c), and (d). The results clearly indicate that the $y(n)$ dimension effective for reservoir computing increases with increasing number of the detector, i.e., higher dimensionality was
efficiently obtained by the increase of the reservoir output signals with various waveforms. This finding also leads to a conclusion that the periodic arrangement of detectors in Fig. \textbf{3(c)} works well for the realization of high dimensionality in $y(n)$, which is advantageous for feasible implementation of the device.

Here, we discuss the effectiveness of the damping regions at the left and right boundaries. If spin waves are significantly reflected from these boundaries, they also contribute to the output signals at the detectors, particularly, in Area-1 and -4. This is because spin waves propagating from the input exciter can interfere with reflected spin waves that have memories in further past. In this situation, ACC values for Area-1 and -4 can differ significantly reflected from these boundaries, they also contributed to the output signals at the detectors, particularly, in Area-1 and -4. This is because spin waves propagating from the input exciter can interfere with reflected spin waves that have memories in further past. In this situation, ACC values for Area-1 and -4 can differ significantly from those for Area-2 and -3. On the other hand, the curves in Figs. \textbf{13(a), (b), (c), and (d)} do not have a clear Area-dependence at each $\alpha$ value. Thus, the results indicate that spin waves reflected from the left and right boundaries were satisfactorily suppressed.

\section{Delay Tasks and Memory Capacity}

Memory capacity was estimated by solving delay tasks with the target output:

$$d_k(n) = u(n - k) \quad \text{for delay } k = 1, \ldots, 72. \quad (12)$$

In this study, we evaluated memory capacity by two standards. The first one is MC that is defined by the widely-used formula as follows \cite{39}:

$$MC = \sum_{k=1}^{72} \gamma^2(k)$$

where $\gamma$, Cov, and Var denote the coefficient of determination, covariance, and variance, respectively, and $b_n^\ast$ is the binary output at $n$ in the delay task with $k$, respectively. The main purpose of using this index is to study a fundamental feature of the reservoir device by comparing estimated values with those in other works. On the other hand, the second one is MC$^{acc}$ that is defined by the maximum value of $k$ such that 100\% prediction accuracy (ACC = 1) is maintained when $k$ is increased. The purpose of using this index is to clarify the conditions for applications with high-accuracy and long-step memory, such as forecasting nonlinear autoregressive moving average (NARMA) time series \cite{40}. It should be noted that MC$^{acc}$ has a value that is equal to or less than MC.
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\caption{Prediction accuracy ACC plotted against delay $k$, which were estimated by solving XOR problems using 18-dimensional $y(n)$ with $d_k(n) = \text{XOR}(u(n - 1), u(n - k))$ for delay $k = 2, \ldots, 24$: $\alpha = (a) \; 0.001$, (b) $0.01$, (c) $0.1$, and (d) $1$, where grey, orange, pale-blue, and pale-green dots represent the results with $X = 4$ ns for Area-1, -2, -3, and -4, respectively, and colored lines connecting nearest-neighbor dots are guides.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Coefficient of determination $\gamma^2(k)$ plotted against delay $k$, which were estimated by solving delay tasks using 72-dimensional $y(n)$ with the target output $d(n) = u(n - k)$ for delay $k = 1, \ldots, 72$: $\alpha = (a) \; 0.001$, (b) $0.01$, (c) $0.1$, and (d) $1$, where black, red, blue, brown, and green dots represent the results for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6, \text{and } 8$ ns, respectively, and colored lines connecting nearest-neighbor dots are guides.}
\end{figure}
dots represent the results for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns, respectively. When $\alpha = 0.001$ in Fig. 14(a), the curves for all the $X$ values monotonically decrease with increasing $k$ and their shapes are similar to each other. The estimated values of $MC^{\infty\infty}$ for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns are 0, 0, 1, 3, and 5, respectively. When $\alpha = 0.01$ in Fig. 14(b), the curves show different features depending on $X$. As $k$ is increased from 0, the curves except $X = 1$ ns show $\gamma^{2}(k) = 1$ at first and then they monotonically decrease. It was found that $MC^{\infty\infty}$ are not related to the fact that the decreasing slope becomes more steep with increasing $X$: $MC^{\infty\infty}$ for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns are 0, 9, 15, 15, and 13, respectively. When $\alpha = 0.1$ in Fig. 14(c), all the curves are clearly different. As $k$ is increased from 0, all the curves show $\gamma^{2}(k) = 1$ at first, whereas the $k$ position where the curve bends toward 0 becomes lower with increasing $X$. Owing to this feature, $MC^{\infty\infty}$ approximately decreases with increasing $X$: The estimated values of $MC^{\infty\infty}$ for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns are 15, 16, 12, 10, and 9, respectively. When $\alpha = 1$ in Fig. 14(d), the overall feature is similar to that in Fig. 14(c), whereas $MC^{\infty\infty}$ for $X = 1$ ns is slightly improved: The estimated values of $MC^{\infty\infty}$ for $X = 1, 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns are 18, 13, 11, 10, and 8, respectively.

The results for $MC^{\infty\infty}$ and $MC$ are summarized in Table I. When $\alpha = 0.001$, $MC$ is considerably larger than $MC^{\infty\infty}$ in all the $X$ cases, which arise from the gentle decreases in $\gamma^{2}(k)$ with increasing $k$ in Fig. 14(a). When $\alpha = 0.01$, $MC$ is also considerably larger than $MC^{\infty\infty}$ in the cases of $X = 1$ and 2 ns. This is because the decreasing slopes for $X = 1$ and 2 ns are more gradual than those for other $X$ values, as seen in Fig. 14(b). Thus, under the $\alpha$ condition that the decreasing slope depends significantly on $X$, larger $MC^{\infty\infty}$ does not always lead to larger $MC$, i.e., $MC^{\infty\infty}$ is not correlated with $MC$. For $\alpha = 0.1$ and 1, where the decreasing slopes for all the $X$ values are similar to each other, the estimated values of $MC^{\infty\infty}$ are reasonably correlated with $MC$ and, in a wide range of $X$, $MC^{\infty\infty} > 10$ and $MC > 13$ were achieved. These $MC$ values are comparable to those obtained in the reservoir system with a Mackey-Glass nonlinearity and a feedback loop 41 and, to the best of our knowledge, the maximum $MC$ value of 21 is the highest ever in the on-chip electronic systems 16, 18, 20.

VII. DISCUSSION

Toward deep understanding of physical reservoir computing, it is worthwhile to discuss the relationship between the reservoir-computing capabilities $CP$ and $MC^{\infty\infty}$, with suggestions on the physical properties of the spin waves behind the reservoir computing. The preceding sections have mainly studied on how the physical properties of the spin waves and the reservoir-computing capabilities are changed by the two parameters: the device parameter $\alpha$ and the input signal parameter $X$.

In the evaluation of the capability for the temporal XOR problems, the $\alpha$ and $X$ conditions are narrow for $CP \geq 2$: $X = 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns with $\alpha = 0.1$ and $X = 2, 4, 6,$ and 8 ns with $\alpha = 1$. Thus, $\alpha$ is the primal parameter for solving the temporal XOR problems. Since the decreases of $\alpha$ and $X$ result in the enhancement of nonlinear phenomena characterized by the sub-$f_{IN}$ and interharmonic components, excessive nonlinear phenomena degrade $CP$. It may be considered that richer nonlinear phenomena are preferable for linearly-inseparable problems as the temporal XOR problems, but the results indicate that there are effective and obstructive nonlinear phenomena for reservoir computing. In the evaluation of the memory capacities, the $MC^{\infty\infty}$ values higher than 10 were obtained under the following conditions: $X = 4, 6,$ and 8 ns with $\alpha = 0.01$, $X = 1, 2, 4,$ and 6 ns with $\alpha = 0.1$, and $X = 1, 2, 4,$ and 6 ns with $\alpha = 1$. Thus, the $X$ and $\alpha$ conditions are broad even though the DFT spectra are clearly changed with the $X$ and $\alpha$ values in those ranges. Considering $CP$ and $MC^{\infty\infty}$, the device and input conditions for high-accuracy computing is more restrictive for the temporal XOR problems.

On the other hand, as pointed out previously, whereas the post signal processing in the readout part extracts the characteristics only in the frequency range lower than $f_c = 1.3$ GHz, the computation capabilities strongly depend on $\alpha$ and $X$. This is clear evidence that the characteristics in the high and low frequency ranges dynamically interact with each other through nonlinear phenomena since the influence of the change in $\alpha$ and $X$ was mostly observed in the frequency range higher than $f_c$. Since nonlinear phenomena were characterized only by the DFT spectra that do not directly capture dynamic behavior, further analyses would be necessary. Nonetheless, the above finding is noteworthy for understanding of physical reservoir computing.

When the discussion is focused on the cases of $\alpha = 0.1$ and 1, $MC^{\infty\infty}$ almost monotonically decreases and $CP$ appears with increasing $X$ at each $\alpha$, as confirmed in Table I. This may indicate a tradeoff between $MC^{\infty\infty}$ and $CP$, as pointed out for the echo state network 42. In the present study, since the $CP$ values are not so high, the change in $CP$ with $X$ is not significant. This probably arises from the fact that the $y(n)$ dimension is 72. Considering the result that the $CP$ value becomes higher with increasing number of the output electrodes in Figs. 12 and 13, it is expected that much higher $CP$ values can be obtained with higher-dimensional $y(n)$ that is generated by a reservoir device with larger numbers of output electrodes. This achievement may lead to a significant change in $CP$ with $X$, which can provide further insight into the relation between $MC^{\infty\infty}$ and $CP$.

VIII. SUMMARY

In the first half of the numerical experiments, it was shown how the physical properties of the spin waves were changed by the two parameters: the device parameter $\alpha$
and the input signal parameter \( \alpha \). From the characterization by the DFT spectra, it was found that nonlinear phenomena were obtained in all the \( \alpha \) and \( X \) conditions examined here, which is mainly attributable to the stripe domain structure and unstable spin waves under the low external magnetic field. As \( \alpha \) and \( X \) are decreased, nonlinear phenomena more frequently occur, which was mostly characterized by the increase of the sub-\( f_{\text{IN}} \) and interharmonic components in the DFT spectra. In the latter half of the numerical experiments, the reservoir computing was performed to evaluate CP by solving the temporal XOR problems as well as \( \text{MC}_{\text{acc}} \) and MC by solving the delay tasks. In the temporal XOR problems, \( \text{CP} \geq 4 \) was obtained under the following conditions: \( X = 4, 6, \) and 8 ns with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( X = 2, 4, 6, \) and 8 ns with \( \alpha = 1 \). Since the sub-\( f_{\text{IN}} \) and interharmonic components for \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and 1 are smaller than those for \( \alpha = 0.001 \) and 0.01, moderate suppression of nonlinear physical phenomena was found to be a key to such high \( \text{CP} \) values. Among the conditions for \( \text{CP} \geq 4, \text{MC}_{\text{acc}} \) and MC are relatively high and decrease with increasing \( X \). The maximum value of \( \text{MC}_{\text{acc}} \) is 13 at \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( X = 2 \) ns condition and the value of MC is 21 at the same condition. Therefore, this study demonstrated that the spin-wave-based reservoir computing system simultaneously achieves the relatively-high \( \text{CP} \), \( \text{MC}_{\text{acc}} \), and MC values among electronic reservoir-computing hardware systems.

Since the spin-wave-based reservoir device utilizes the signal transmission through a continuous magnetic garnet film and the signal excitation/detection by input/output electrodes on the top, it is expected that the computing capabilities of the reservoir system can be further improved and various functionalities for edge-computing applications can be realized just by changing the number, selection, and the arrangement of input/output electrodes, an external magnetic field, and the magnetic domain structure. Such scalability also can offer a rich opportunity for further understanding of the underlying nonlinear physics.
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