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HSP70-binding protein HSPBP1 regulates chaperone expression at a posttranslational level and is essential for spermatogenesis
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ABSTRACT Molecular chaperones play key roles during growth, development, and stress survival. The ability to induce chaperone expression enables cells to cope with the accumulation of nonnative proteins under stress and complete developmental processes with an increased requirement for chaperone assistance. Here we generate and analyze transgenic mice that lack the cochaperone HSPBP1, a nucleotide-exchange factor of HSP70 proteins and inhibitor of chaperone-assisted protein degradation. Male HSPBP1−/− mice are sterile because of impaired meiosis and massive apoptosis of spermatocytes. HSPBP1 deficiency in testes strongly reduces the expression of the inducible, antiapoptotic HSP70 family members HSPA1L and HSPA2, the latter of which is essential for synaptonemal complex disassembly during meiosis. We demonstrate that HSPBP1 affects chaperone expression at a posttranslational level by inhibiting the ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of inducible HSP70 proteins. We further provide evidence that the cochaperone BAG2 contributes to HSP70 stabilization in tissues other than testes. Our findings reveal that chaperone expression is determined not only by regulated transcription, but also by controlled degradation, with degradation-inhibiting cochaperones exerting essential prosurvival functions.

INTRODUCTION

The 70-kDa heat shock proteins (HSP70s) and their regulating cochaperones constitute multifunctional cellular machineries essential for protein homeostasis (proteostasis; Mayer and Bukau, 2005; Brodsky and Chiosis, 2006; Vos et al., 2008; Kampinga and Craig, 2010; Kettern et al., 2010; Hartl et al., 2011). Owing to their ability to bind hydrophobic protein sequences, HSP70s assist folding and assembly, conformational regulation, sorting, and degradation of client proteins. In the cytoplasm of mammalian cells, housekeeping functions are exerted by constitutively expressed HSPA8 (also known as HSC70 or HSP73; Vos et al., 2008; Kampinga and Craig, 2010). Proteostasis capacity is increased under stressful conditions, such as heat or oxidative stress, by the expression of inducible HSP70s, including HSPA1A, HSPA1B, and HSPA1L (Vos et al., 2008; Murphy, 2013). A cytoplasmic HSP70 family member with a restricted expression pattern is HSPA2 (HSP70-2), which was initially identified as a testis-specific chaperone essential for spermatogenesis (Dix et al., 1996, 1997; Zhu et al., 1997; Son et al., 2000). The process of spermatogenesis is a delicate and highly synchronized germ cell maturation pathway, which takes place in the seminiferous tubules of the testes (Russell et al., 1990). The...
underlying developmental program places high demands on cellular homeostasis and is associated with a very active apoptosis machinery that serves to sort out unfit cells (Baum et al., 2005; Shaha et al., 2010; Okamoto et al., 2014). In agreement with an important role of HSPA2 during sperm cell maturation, HSPA2 transcription is strongly induced during early meiotic stages. Expression reaches a peak at pachytene, when the chaperone increasingly engages in the conformational regulation of signaling molecules and the disassembly of synaptonemal complexes (SCs) that connect paired, homologous chromosomes (Dix et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 1997). Targeted disruption of HSPA2 causes sperm cell apoptosis and infertility in mice (Dix et al., 1996), and decreased expression of the chaperone is also associated with male infertility in humans (Feng et al., 2001).

Thus spermatogenesis is critically dependent on chaperones such as HSPA2, and even mild stress conditions, such as hyperthermia, can trigger a massive demise of spermatocytes (Rockett et al., 2001; Paul et al., 2009).

More recent studies also identified HSPA2 in a range of cancer cells (Rohde et al., 2005; Scieglnska et al., 2011). This finding is remarkable because HSPA2 and also other inducible HSP70 chaperones display strong antiapoptotic activity and are required for cancer cell growth (Ravagnan et al., 2001; Nylundsted et al., 2004; Rohde et al., 2005; Laneau et al., 2008). In general, tumorigenesis involves a series of molecular events allowing tumor cells to proliferate indefinitely and evade cellular safeguard mechanisms, such as apoptosis. This process of facilitated evolution increasingly leads to a dependence of the tumor cell on a specific set of oncogenes and nononcogenes (Luo et al., 2009). The upregulated transcription of inducible HSPA70 chaperones during tumorigenesis is one example of nononcogene dependence, which may in part be mediated by heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1; Dai et al., 2007, 2012). Consistent with an important buffering role of chaperones during tumorigenesis, cancer treatment frequently relies on stress sensitization therapies, such as hyperthermia, to selectively kill off cancer cells. The strong parallels between spermatogenesis and tumorigenesis suggest that similar molecular mechanisms are at play. Indeed, mis-regulation of HSF1-dependent chaperone expression severely impairs spermatogenesis (Nakai et al., 2000; Vydra et al., 2006; Widlak et al., 2007; Akerfelt et al., 2010).

Chaperone-binding cochaperones confer functional specificity to the HSP70 chaperone system (Hartl et al., 2011; Kampina and Craig, 2010; Kettern et al., 2010; Mayer and Bukau, 2005; Vos et al., 2008). Engagement of HSP70s in protein degradation is triggered by cochaperones that act as chaperone-associated ubiquitin ligases and mediate the attachment of a ubiquitin-based degradation signal onto HSP70-bound client proteins (Kettern et al., 2010). The founding members of these cochaperones is the carboxy-terminus of HSP70 interacting protein (CHIP), which triggers the degradation of a broad range of HSP70 clients (Arndt et al., 2007). Of importance, CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation can direct clients either to the proteasome, a proteolytic complex specialized in the degradation of ubiquitylated proteins, or lysosomes, in a process called chaperone-assisted selective autophagy (Arndt et al., 2010; Kettern et al., 2010; Ulbricht et al., 2013). Pathway selection is aided by additional cochaperones that enter the CHIP/HSP70 complex, by which members of the BCL2-associated athanogene (BAG) protein family are of particular importance (Takayama and Reed, 2001; Kettern et al., 2010). BAG1 facilitates docking of the CHIP/HSP70 complex at the proteasome (Lüders et al., 2000; Demand et al., 2001), whereas BAG3 triggers lysosomal client degradation (Carra et al., 2008; Gamerdinger et al., 2009, 2011; Arndt et al., 2010). Of note, the ubiquitin ligase activity of CHIP targets not only the bound client protein in the assembled complex. Instead, also BAG1, BAG3, HSPA8, and HSPA1A/B were shown to be modified by CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation (Jiang et al., 2001; Alberti et al., 2002; Arndt et al., 2010). This mainly seems to provide additional sorting information for client degradation. However, in the case of inducible HSPA1A/B, CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation provides a means to initiate the degradation of the chaperone proteins themselves (Qian et al., 2006). In this way, the cellular concentration of the chaperones is reduced when cells recover from stressful conditions and have to get rid of excess chaperone molecules (Qian et al., 2006). This suggests that CHIP-mediated degradation contributes to the regulation of chaperone expression at a posttranslational level.

Chaperone cofactors can stimulate as well as restrict CHIP-mediated protein degradation. Restriction is mediated by the cochaperones HSPBP1 and BAG2. Binding of one of these cochaperones to the HSP70/CHIP complex inhibits the ubiquitin ligase activity of CHIP (Alberti et al., 2004; Arndt et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2005). As a consequence, chaperone clients such as the CFTR ion channel are stabilized (Alberti et al., 2004; Arndt et al., 2005). Yet the full repertoire of chaperone clients affected by the CHIP inhibitors and their effect on organism development and homeostasis has not been established.

Here we show that HSPBP1 deficiency in mice causes male sterility because of impaired spermatogenesis and massive apoptosis of spermatocytes. We demonstrate that HSPBP1 exerts prosurvival functions by inhibiting the ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of inducible HSP70 family members. Finally, we provide evidence that the CHIP inhibitor BAG2 contributes to chaperone stabilization in tissues other than testes. Our study thus establishes a novel regulatory mechanism that controls the abundance of antiapoptotic, inducible members of the HSP70 chaperone family at a posttranslational level and in this way ensures the survival of cells that rely on high-level chaperone expression.

RESULTS
Targeted disruption of HSPBP1 results in male sterility
In an effort to elucidate the physiological role of the HSP70 cochaperone and CHIP inhibitor HSPBP1, we investigated its expression profile in mice. Immunoblot analysis of tissue extracts with an anti-HSPBP1 antibody revealed weak to moderate expression of the cochaperone in brain, muscle, colon, and small intestine and strong expression in testis (Figure 1A). In situ hybridization was performed to identify HSPBP1-expressing cell types in testes. Antisense HSPBP1 probes hybridized specifically to cells present inside the seminiferous tubules of the adult testes, with no staining in the somatic interstitial cells and no staining using the control sense HSPBP1 probe (Figure 1B). The level of HSPBP1 expression varies among seminiferous tubule cross sections, depending on their epithelial stage, and is strongest in epithelial stages I–VI (Figure 1B; Russell et al., 1990). Within the seminiferous tubules, HSPBP1 is expressed in the germ cells, with strongest expression in pachytene meiotic spermatocytes and postmeiotic round spermatids (Figure 1B). The expression pattern of HSPBP1 suggests that the cochaperone might have roles in meiosis and round spermatid differentiation during spermatogenesis.

To investigate the function of HSPBP1 for tissue development and homeostasis, we generated HSPBP1-deficient mice. For this purpose exons 2–4 of the HSPBP1 locus, including the START codon (exon 2), were removed by targeted deletion in embryonic stem (ES) cells (Figure 1C). Mice were derived from these ES cells and backcrossed 10 times to C57BL/6 mice. Southern blot analysis of DNA isolated from ES cell clones and from obtained mice confirmed the
HSPBP1 is abundantly expressed in mouse testis. (A) Soluble lysates of the indicated tissues were prepared from 8-wk-old wild-type (wt) and HSPBP1 deficient (ko) mice. HSPBP1 and HSPA8 were detected using specific antibodies. Each lane corresponds to 100 μg of lysate protein. (B) In situ hybridization for HSPBP1 in adult testis sections. Bound HSPBP1 probe was visualized with dark blue/purple precipitate and sections counterstained with nuclear fast red. Scale bars, 50 μm (low magnification), 10 μm (high magnification). Seminiferous tubule stages are indicated by roman numerals, and examples of mitotic spermatogonia (sg), meiotic spermatocytes (sc), spermatids (sp), round spermatids (rs), and elongated spermatids (es) are annotated. (C) Schematic presentation of the disruption of the mouse HSPBP1 locus by insertion of a targeting construct (tc). Blue boxes indicate exons of the HSPBP1 gene. Regions detected during genotyping are shown. HPRT, hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase. (D) Genotyping of transgenic mice. Top, Southern blot analysis after digestion of DNA from ES cell clones with EcoRI. Bottom, PCR analysis using wt- and ko-specific primer pairs.

FIGURE 1: HSPBP1 is abundantly expressed in mouse testis. (A) Soluble lysates of the indicated tissues were prepared from 8-wk-old wild-type (wt) and HSPBP1 deficient (ko) mice. HSPBP1 and HSPA8 were detected using specific antibodies. Each lane corresponds to 100 μg of lysate protein. (B) In situ hybridization for HSPBP1 in adult testis sections. Bound HSPBP1 probe was visualized with dark blue/purple precipitate and sections counterstained with nuclear fast red. Scale bars, 50 μm (low magnification), 10 μm (high magnification). Seminiferous tubule stages are indicated by roman numerals, and examples of mitotic spermatogonia (sg), meiotic spermatocytes (sc), spermatids (sp), round spermatids (rs), and elongated spermatids (es) are annotated. (C) Schematic presentation of the disruption of the mouse HSPBP1 locus by insertion of a targeting construct (tc). Blue boxes indicate exons of the HSPBP1 gene. Regions detected during genotyping are shown. HPRT, hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase. (D) Genotyping of transgenic mice. Top, Southern blot analysis after digestion of DNA from ES cell clones with EcoRI. Bottom, PCR analysis using wt- and ko-specific primer pairs.

expected targeting of the HSPBP1 gene, and a PCR was established to genotype pups (Figure 1D). The absence of the 40-kDa HSPBP1 protein in tissues of HSPBP1−/− mice was verified by immunoblot analysis (Figure 1A). HSPBP1−/− mice were born at normal Mendelian ratios and were largely indistinguishable from wild-type and heterozygous littermates. However, male HSPBP1−/− mice displayed a severe reduction in the size and weight of their testes, reaching only ~30% of the weight of HSPBP1+/+ and HSPBP1+/− testes at an age of 8 wk (Figure 2, A and B). When mated, male but not female HSPBP1−/− mice were infertile, consistent with a severe impairment of testicular function (Table 1).

Seminiferous tubules of 8-wk-old wild-type and heterozygous mice were indistinguishable and contained spermatogenic cells in mitotic (spermatogonia), meiotic (spermatocytes) and postmeiotic (spermatids) phases of development (Figure 2C). In contrast, tubules of HSPBP1−/− mice displayed significant morphological alterations. Most tubules were highly vacuolized, whereas some appeared relatively intact but still lacked appreciable levels of round and elongated postmeiotic spermatids (Figure 2C). However, a number of cells remained at the basal region of the tubules, where mitotic spermatogonia and early meiotic spermatocytes are normally located (Figure 2C). The testis histology suggested that the infertility and reduced testis weight in HSPBP1−/− male mice are caused by a severe block in spermatogenesis. In agreement with this conclusion, epididymides of HSPBP1 deficient mice were devoid of mature spermatozoa (Figure 2D).

The histology of HSPBP1−/− testes revealed a severe reduction in the number of germ cells present within the seminiferous tubules. Cells in the adluminal region of vacuolized seminiferous tubules showed intense DNA staining and condensed nuclei, possibly reflecting apoptotic cell death (Figure 2D). A terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay that detects DNA strand breaks confirmed this notion. Whereas apoptotic cells were rare in seminiferous tubules of adult HSPBP1+/+ mice, ~20% of the tubules in HSPBP1−/− mice contained multiple apoptotic cells (Figure 2E and F). Thus reduction in germ cell number in HSPBP1−/− testes is at least partly caused by increased cell death in these mutants.

To identify the stages of spermatogenesis that are defective in HSPBP1−/− testes, we investigated the expression of stage-specific differentiation markers. Expression of the spermatogonia/early spermatocyte marker DAZL (Ruggiu et al., 1997) was largely unaffected in HSPBP1−/− testes (Figure 2G), suggesting that HSPBP1 deficiency does not impair the number of mitotic spermatogonia.
In contrast, expression of phosphoglycerate kinase-2 (PGK2), a marker for meiotic spermatogonia/round spermatids (McCarey et al., 1992), and the postmeiotic spermatid marker PRM1 (Hecht et al., 1985) were not detectable in testes of HSPBP1−/− mice (Figure 2G), revealing an inability of spermatocytes to progress through meiosis if HSPBP1 is missing. This is also consistent with timing of the appearance of the testicular weight phenotype during the first wave of spermatogenesis in prepubertal pups. HSPBP1−/− testes are similar in weight to control testes at 14 d postpartum (Figure 2B), a stage when mitotic spermatogonia and leptotene- and zygotene-stage meiotic spermatocytes are present (Bellve et al., 1977). By 3 wk postpartum, as the first germ cells exit meiosis and become round spermatids, HSPBP1−/− testis weight is significantly lower than that of controls (Figure 2B).

To investigate the cause of germ cell apoptosis in HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes, we analyzed meiotic progression in chromosome spreads from adult testes (Figure 3). Chromosome spreads from HSPBP1−/− and HSPBP1+/− were immunostained for SYCP3, a component of the axial/lateral elements of the SC that labels this structure throughout meiotic prophase, and for SYCP1, a component of the transverse filaments of the SC that labels fully synapsed regions (Meuwissen et al., 1992; Lammers et al., 1994). Assembly of the axial element of the SC in leptotene and homologous chromosome synapsis and synaptonemal complex assembly in zygotene all appear to occur normally in HSPBP1−/− testes to generate fully synapsed pachytene nuclei (Figure 3A). However, no diplotene or later stages of meiosis were observed among a total of 300 SYCP3-positive meiotic spermatocytes derived from three HSPBP1−/− animals (Figure 3, A and B). In contrast, in control animals, 25% of SYCP3-positive spermatocytes were in the diplo- tene stage of meiosis, undergoing synaptonemal complex disassembly (Figure 3, A and B; p < 0.01, Fisher’s exact test). Thus the spermatogenesis arrest in HSPBP1−/− testes appears to arise from a failure to progress beyond the pachytene stage of meiosis.

A number of mouse meiotic mutants have been described that exhibit apoptosis during pachytene as a response to defects in homologous chromosome synopsis (Burgoyne et al., 2009). It has been proposed that the apoptotic response of these mutants is mediated by unrepaired autosomal DNA double-strand breaks sequestering the meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI) machinery away from the X and Y sex chromosomes (Burgoyne et al., 2009). A second apoptosis-inducing checkpoint mechanism operating in pachytene spermatocytes appears to respond to stalled recombination intermediates (Li and Schimenti, 2007; Burgoyne et al., 2009). Because SYCP3/ SYCP1 immunostaining suggests that chromosome synopsis is not defective in HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes (Figure 3A), we tested whether the apoptosis in HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes might be a response to defects in meiotic recombination or MSCI. Immunostain- ing chromosome spreads for the dsDNA break marker γH2AX
Mahadevaiah et al., 2001) revealed that meiotic recombination is being initiated normally in leptotene in HSPBP1−/− testes and that these breaks are being processed and repaired on autosomes in zygotene and pachytene (Figure 3C). In addition, HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes acquire the late recombination marker MLH1 (Baker et al., 1996), suggesting that recombination nodules are maturing correctly in pachytene (Figure 3D). Thus meiotic recombination appears to be progressing normally in HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes. Furthermore, the persistence of γH2AX staining on the X and Y chromosomes in pachytene (Figure 3C, arrow), suggests that the sex body is forming normally in HSPBP1−/− testes. We confirmed that MSCI is occurring in HSPBP1−/− testes by immunostaining chromosome spreads with antibodies to the Y-encoded RBMY protein (Turner et al., 2002). Like HSPBP1+/− control spermatocytes, HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes are able to down-regulate expression of RBMY during pachytene (Figure 3E), suggesting that MSCI is occurring in these cells. Thus the meiotic prophase I arrest and apoptosis seen in HSPBP1−/− testes does not appear to be caused by overt defects in chromosome synopsis, the progression of meiotic recombination, or meiotic sex chromosome inactivation.

The presence of MLH1 foci in HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes indicates that these cells are progressing into mid/late pachytene (Figure 3D). To test whether HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes are dying during pachytene or progressing through to the end of pachytene, we assessed whether the proportion of early and mid/late pachytene cells differed between HSPBP1+/− and HSPBP1−/− testes. We quantified the proportion of early and mid/late pachytene spermatocytes in HSPBP1−/− testes by immunostaining chromosome spreads with SYCP3 and the mid/late pachytene marker histone H1t (Inselman et al., 2003). Of interest, the proportion of histone H1t-positive pachytene nuclei was similar in chromosome spreads from HSPBP1+/− and HSPBP1−/− testes (132 of 300 HSPBP1+/− pachytene cells were H1t positive, compared with 135 of 300 HSPBP1−/− pachytene cells; Fisher’s exact test, p > 0.5). Thus HSPBP1−/− spermatocytes apparently progress late into pachytene but do not appear to be able to enter diplotene. The late pachytene arrest in HSPBP1−/− testes presumably represents either a failure in meiotic progression at the late pachytene stage or a more direct defect in SC disassembly during diplotene.

**TABLE 1:** Male HSPBP1-deficient mice are sterile.

| Sex | HSPBP1+/+ | HSPBP1+/− | HSPBP1−/− | HSPBP1−/− |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Male | 7.0       | 7.8       | 7.3       | 0         |
| Female| 7.0       | 7.8       | 7.3       | 0         |

Values represent mean of five independent matings.

Ablation of HSPBP1 expression results in a late pachytene arrest of meiotic spermatocytes. (A) Immunostaining of chromosome spreads from adult testes for synaptonemal complex proteins SYCP3 (axial/lateral elements) and SYCP1 (transverse filament). (B) Graph showing the distribution of SYCP3-positive nuclei across meiotic prophase substages as determined by SYCP3/SYCP1 immunostaining (n = 300, three mice of each genotype, 100 nuclei/mouse). (C) Immunostaining of adult testis chromosome spreads with antibodies to the γH2AX (double-stranded DNA-break marker). The sex body is indicated with an arrow. (D) Immunostaining of adult testis chromosome spreads for SYCP3 and MLH1 (late recombination marker). (E) Immunostaining of adult testis chromosome spreads for SYCP3 and RBMY (marker for meiotic sex chromosome inactivation). Scale bars, 20 μm.
spermatogenesis).

Whereas most cell types express HSPA1A and/or HSPA1B as main expression of other inducible HSP70 family members in mouse testes. (Figures 2G and 4B).

Next we analyzed testis lysates for alterations in the chaperone/cochaperone network in the absence of HSPBP1. Although the cellular concentration of HSP90 and constitutively expressed HSPA8 remained largely unaffected, the lack of HSPBP1 had a strong effect in inhibiting activity of the cochaperone. In line with this conclusion, addition of purified HSPBP1 to in vitro ubiquitylation reactions significantly inhibited CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation of HSPA2 and HSPA1L (Figure 6, A–D).

The effect of HSPBP1 on proteasomal degradation of inducible HSP70s was investigated in human HeLa cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). To separate regulated chaperone degradation from other stress responses, inducible chaperones were ectopically expressed under control of a cytomegalovirus promoter and specifically detected through an attached FLAG epitope. In HeLa cells, depletion of endogenous HSPBP1 by specific small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) led to a 50% reduction of HSPA1L expression, consistent with a stabilizing activity of the cochaperone (Figure 6E).

Mammalian cells also express the cochaperone BAG2, which shares with HSPBP1 the ability to inhibit CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation (Figure 7; Arndt et al., 2005). We therefore investigated whether
BAG2 contributes to the stabilization of inducible HSP70 chaperones. Indeed, siRNA-mediated depletion of endogenous BAG2 from HeLa cells caused a reduction of HSPA1L expression to ~40% (Figure 6E). Moreover, when combined with HSPBP1 depletion, a further decrease in HSPA1L concentration was observed, suggesting cooperation of HSPBP1 and BAG2 in stabilizing inducible HSP70s. Finally, we demonstrated that cochaperone depletion did not affect HSPA1L expression in HeLa cells treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Figure 6E).

HSPA2 stability was analyzed in transiently transfected MEFs. Depletion of endogenous HSPBP1 led to ~50% reduction of HSPA2 expression, and codepletion of BAG2 further reduced chaperone levels to ~30%, which was not observed when proteasome activity was inhibited (Figure 6F). Furthermore, the cellular concentration of constitutively expressed HSPA8 was unaffected by cochaperone depletion (Figure 6F). Taken together, the data indicate that the CHIP inhibitors HSPBP1 and BAG2 jointly control the proteasomal degradation of inducible HSP70 chaperones in diverse mammalian cells.

Intriguingly, BAG2 was not detectable in mouse testis lysates, where HSPBP1 is very abundantly expressed (Figure 6G). In contrast, significant levels of BAG2 were found in brain homogenates that contain only moderate levels of HSPBP1. Furthermore, BAG2 levels were increased in HSPBP1−/− brains, most likely to compensate for the lack of the other CHIP inhibitor (Figure 6G). Thus we conclude that the cochaperones BAG2 and HSPBP1 regulate the expression of inducible HSP70s in a posttranslational and tissue-specific manner.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identify a posttranslational mechanism that controls the cellular abundance of transcriptionally induced HSP70 family members. The two cochaperones HSPBP1 and BAG2 are of central importance in this regard. Both cochaperones were previously shown to inhibit the activity of the chaperone-associated ubiquitin ligase CHIP and restrict the degradation of Hsp70-bound client proteins (Figure 7A; Alberti et al., 2004; Arndt et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2005; Kettern et al., 2011). The findings presented here significantly extend this functional concept by identifying HSPBP1 and BAG2 as key regulators of inducible HSP70 expression (Figure 7B).

HSPBP1 specifically targets the HSP70 chaperones HSPA2 and HSPA1L, the expression of which is induced in testes during spermatogenesis. HSPBP1 interferes with the CHIP-mediated ubiquitylation of both chaperones and thereby prevents their targeting for proteasomal degradation (Figure 6). Accordingly, HSPA2 and HSPA1L protein levels are strongly reduced in testis of HSPBP1−/− mice, and the phenotype of these mice phenocopies those reported for HSPA2-deficient animals (Dix et al., 1996). In both cases, spermatocytes fail to disassemble the synaptonemal complex during meiosis I and arrest at late pachytene, followed by apoptotic cell death (Figure 2; Dix et al., 1996). As a consequence, mice are unable to form mature sperm and display male infertility. Because HSPA2 and HSPA1L expression is induced at late stages of spermatogenesis, the strongly
HSPBP1 is essential for spermatogenesis (Alekseev et al., 2009). It was shown that HSPA2 associates with the cyclin-dependent kinase CDC2 in testes and is required for the formation of an active CDC2/cyclin B kinase complex in pachytene spermatocytes, which drives the G2/M transition during meiosis I (Zhu et al., 1997; Alekseev et al., 2009). In addition, HSPA2 binds to SCs formed between paired homologous chromosomes during meiotic prophase and exerts essential functions during SC disassembly at diploteine (Dix et al., 1997). As in HSPA2−/− tests, synaptonemal complexes formed normally in the absence of HSPBP1 but failed to disassemble, and normal diploteine spermatocytes were not observed in corresponding transgenic mice (Figure 3). The data presented here identify HSPA2 and HSPBP1 as a specific chaperone/cochaperone machinery required for the conformational regulation of signaling pathways and protein complex assembly/disassembly during male meiosis.

The chaperone machinery that drives male meiosis also comprises HSP90 proteins. Targeted disruption of HSP90α in mice results in a failure to mediate SC disassembly, followed by a late pachytene arrest of spermatogenesis and apoptotic death of spermatocytes, similar to findings for HSPBP1- and HSPA2-deficient mice, respectively (Grad et al., 2010). In this regard, it is noteworthy that HSPBP1 acts not only as a CHIP inhibitor, but also as a nucleotide exchange factor of HSP70s, which triggers the release of bound client proteins by stimulating ADP–ATP exchange during the reaction cycle of the chaperones (Raynes and Guerrero, 1998; Shomura et al., 2005). HSPBP1 may therefore facilitate the transfer of client proteins from HSPA2 onto HSP90 in meiotic spermatocytes. This may promote chaperone cooperation during the processing of clients that are targeted by both chaperones, including the previously mentioned kinase CDC2 (Zhu et al., 1997; Grad et al., 2010).

HSPBP1 is not the first cochaperone shown to be required for HSPA2 stabilization in testes. BAG6 (also known as BAT3), which, like BAG2, belongs to the BAG domain protein family (Takayama and Reed, 2001), was recently identified as an HSPA2-stabilizing cochaperone in meiotic spermatocytes (Sasaki et al., 2008). Similar to HSPBP1, BAG6 prevents the ubiquitylation and proteasomal targeting of HSPA2. We therefore considered the possibility that BAG6 may also act as a CHIP inhibitor, but also as a nucleotide exchange factor of HSP70s, which triggers the release of bound client proteins by stimulating ADP–ATP exchange during the reaction cycle of the chaperones (Raynes and Guerrero, 1998; Shomura et al., 2005). This is consistent with findings from other labs that identified BAG6 as a component of a chaperone decreased number of postpachytene cells in HSPBP1−/− testes apparently contributes to the observed reduction in HSPA2 and HSPA1L levels. The effect of HSPBP1 on chaperone stability is therefore most evident in testes of heterozygous animals, in which apoptotic cell death is not increased but HSPA2 and HSPA1L protein levels are strongly reduced, whereas transcript levels are not similarly affected (Figure 5).

The role of HSPA1L in testes has not been elucidated in detail so far. In contrast, recent work revealed molecular details of HSPA2 function during spermatogenesis (Dix et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 1997; 2001), was recently identified as an HSPA2-stabilizing cochaperone in meiotic spermatocytes (Sasaki et al., 2008). Similar to HSPBP1, BAG6 prevents the ubiquitylation and proteasomal targeting of HSPA2. We therefore considered the possibility that BAG6 may also act as a CHIP inhibitor in ternary BAG6/HSP70/CHIP complexes. However, we observed that BAG6 is not detectable in CHIP complexes isolated from mammalian cells, and BAG6 binding to purified HSP70s actually blocks an association with CHIP (Huth and Höhfeld, unpublished results). This is consistent with findings from other labs that identified BAG6 as a component of a chaperone...
machinery distinct from CHIP-containing complexes (Mariappan et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011). BAG6 seems to stabilize HSPA2 in testes by preventing CHIP binding to the chaperone. In contrast, HSPBP1 inhibits the ubiquitylation activity of CHIP in assembled chaperone/cochaperone complexes, most likely by shielding lysine residues used for ubiquitin attachment (Alberti et al., 2004). A hierarchy among the degradation-regulating cochaperones is indicated by the fact that BAG6 stabilizes HSPA2 but not HSPA1 forms in testes (Sasaki et al., 2008), whereas HSPBP1 exerts a broader stabilizing function by preventing the degradation of HSP2A and HSPA1L. Such differential effects could underlie a posttranslational fine tuning of the cellular chaperone repertoire.

HSPBP1-mediated stabilization of inducible HSP70s is not restricted to spermatocytes. In HeLa cells and MEFs, siRNA-triggered depletion of HSPBP1 caused the pro teaseosomal degradation of inducible HSP70s (Figure 7). Of note, HeLa cells contain yet another degradation-regulating cochaperone, namely BAG2. It shares with HSPBP1 the ability to inhibit CHIP in assembled chaperone/cochaperone complexes (Figure 7; Arndt et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2005). Depletion of BAG2 also led to destabilization of HSPA1L and HSPA2, and the most pronounced effect on chaperone stability was observed when both cochaperones were simultaneously depleted (Figure 6). It seems that HSPBP1 and BAG2 jointly regulate the abundance of inducible HSP70s by inhibiting CHIP-mediated pro teaseosomal targeting. Indeed, both cochaperones were detectable in brain homogenates from mice, and BAG2 expression was increased in HSPBP1−/− brains, most likely as a compensatory mechanism (Figure 6). On the other hand, BAG2 was not detectable in testes, which is consistent with the observed testes-specific phenotype of HSPBP1−/− mice. Although BAG2 could compensate for the loss of HSPBP1 in other tissues, it cannot do so in testes because it is not expressed there, thus making the male reproductive organ particularly prone to HSPBP1 ablation.

We previously observed that HSPBP1−/− mice show increased ubiquitylation and aggregation of antigens in bone marrow–derived dendritic cells, which is dependent on CHIP (Ketterm et al., 2011). The immune cells apparently also rely primarily on HSPBP1 for restric ting CHIP-induced protein degradation. The effect on inducible chaperone expression in dendritic cells remains to be explored.

It is striking that HSPBP1 ablation affects inducible HSP70s but not constitutively expressed HSPA8 (Figure 6). However, this is consistent with previous observations that CHIP triggers the pro teaseosomal degradation of inducible HSPA1A/B, whereas HSPA8 becomes also ubiquitylated by CHIP, but is not degraded by the proteasome (Figure 7B; Jiang et al., 2001; Qian et al., 2006). Accordingly, loss of HSPBP1 activity would be without consequence with regard to HSPA8 stability. The reason for this differential effect of CHIP on chaperone stability is elusive. It is conceivable that differences in the ubiquitylation pattern or the intrinsic folding propensity of the chaperone proteins affect their processing and unfolding at the proteasome, which is a prerequisite for the threading of substrates into the catalytic pro teaseosomal core.

Is the differential treatment of constitutive and inducible HSP70 functionally important? HSPA1A, HSPA1B, and HSPA2, but not HSPA8, are overexpressed in many tumor cells and display strong antiapoptotic activity (Nylandsted et al., 2000, 2004; Ravagnan et al., 2001; Rohde et al., 2005; Daugaard et al., 2007b). It is striking that some tumor cells are strictly dependent on HSPA2, and selective depletion of HSPA2 in these cells induces a caspase-independent cell death pathway that involves lysosome permeabilization (Daugaard et al., 2007a). The same process might contribute to spermatocyte apoptosis observed here upon HSPA2 depletion in HSPBP1−/− testes. In any case, our findings illustrate that the transcriptional induction of HSP70 expression during meiosis needs to be accompanied by cochaperone-mediated stabilization of HSP70 proteins in order to achieve sufficient chaperone buffering to ensure the survival of spermatocytes, which are, like tumor cells, dependent on high chaperone levels. The degradation-regulating cochaperone network may thus emerge as a promising therapeutic target in male infertility and cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal studies

Animal husbandry and all animal experimentation were carried out in compliance with German laws. Approval was obtained from the appropriate state and federal authorities (approval number: 9.93.2.10.31.07.247).

Generation of mutant mouse lines and genotyping

For inactivation of the HSPBP1 locus, the targeting vector was constructed from a 129/ola mouse genomic library and designed to replace the first two exons of the HSPBP1 gene, including the promoter region by the mouse hypoxanthine-phosphoribosyl-trans ferase (HPRT) minigene. The minigene was flanked by sequences homologous to the HSPBP1 gene locus (short arm of homology, 1.4 kb; long arm of homology, 5 kb). The construct was cloned into a Bluescript II SK vector (Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany) and electroporated into HM-1 ES cells (Magin et al., 1998). Successful recombination was verified by Southern blotting, in which genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI and analyzed with Southern probes binding to a region flanking the deletion cassette insertion locus. Resulting positive ES cell clones were injected into C57/BL6/J blastocysts and transferred into C57/BL6/J mice. After successful germ line transmission, mice were maintained by breeding with C57/BL6/J. For genotyping, DNA was isolated from tail biopsies using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Primers annealing to exons 2 and 3 of the HSPBP1 locus were designed for the detection of wild-type loci, and primers targeting exon 2 and the deletion cassette were used to identify HSPBP1−/− mice.

Primers used for genotyping were as follows:

- Exon 2 forward (+/+ and −/−): ACCTGCATTTACAGGCATCTG
- Exon 2 reverse (+/+ only): CTTTGCTGCCCATTGACCT
- Deletion cassette reverse (−/− only): AGCCTACCCCTCTGGTAGATTG

Preparation and analysis of mouse tissues

For analysis of protein expression in different mouse tissues, respective organs from wild-type and HSPBP1−/− mice were dissected and shock-frosted in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were homogenized using a Potter tissue grinder and subsequently resolved in pre heated SDS sample buffer (60°C) to a concentration of 100 mg/ml. Samples were boiled at 92°C for 10 min and centrifuged at 30,000 × g for 15 min for the removal of insoluble components. Resulting supernatants were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and Western blotting. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-HSPBP1 (H98620; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), rabbit anti-HSPBP1 (FL-4; Delta Biolabs, Gilroy, CA), rabbit anti-HSPA2 (HPA000798; Atlas Antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden), rabbit anti-HSC70 (kindly provided by Ulrich Hartl, MPI for Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany), mouse anti-HSC/HSP70 (SPA822; Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY), rabbit anti-HSP70/HSPA1L (SPA812; Enzo Life Sciences), mouse anti-HSPA90 (F-8; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit anti-BAG2 (ab47106; Abcam, Cambridge, MA), mouse anti-FLAG...
InSitu Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon, Temecula, CA) was used. Mated by TUNEL analysis of paraffin tissue sections (4 μm) that were described (Ollinger et al., 2008). Chromosome spreads from adult testes were prepared essentially as described (Russell et al., 1990). Meiotic chromosome spreads

Histological examination

To analyze spermatogenesis in wild-type and HSPBP1−/− testes, hematoxylin/eosin (h/e) stainings were performed. Dissected testes were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight at 4°C. Afterward, samples were washed in PBS and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm were cut and dehydrated for storage. For h/e staining of sections, samples were rehydrated and treated with Mayer’s hematoxylin solution (Microm, Walldorf, Germany) for 1 min. Subsequently, sections were washed with water and incubated in eosin G solution (0.5% in water). After additional washing steps, sections were dehydrated again and mounted in Entellan (Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ).

Apopotis in testes of wild-type and HSPBP1−/− mice was estimated by TUNEL analysis of paraffin tissue sections (4 μm) that were obtained as described. After sample rehydration, ApopTag Red InSitu Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon, Temecula, CA) was used according to manufacturer’s instructions.

In situ hybridization

A plasmid containing mouse HSPBP1 (GenBank: BC014758.1) in pCMV-SPORT6 (Life Technologies) was used to generate sense and antisense digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes by in vitro transcription (Roche, Nutley, NJ). We hybridized 100 ng of probe to 7-μm wax sections of Bouin’s fixed adult mouse testes at 50°C overnight as described (Best et al., 2008). Bound probe was detected using alkaline phosphatase–conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibodies (Roche) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3′-indolylphosphate/nitro blue tetrazolium substrate (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA). Sections were counterstained with nuclear fast red. Seminiferous tubules were staged as described (Russell et al., 1990).

Meiotic chromosome spreads

Histological examination

To analyze spermatogenesis in wild-type and HSPBP1−/− testes, hematoxylin/eosin (h/e) stainings were performed. Dissected testes were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight at 4°C. Afterward, samples were washed in PBS and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 4 μm were cut and dehydrated for storage. For h/e staining of sections, samples were rehydrated and treated with Mayer’s hematoxylin solution (Microm, Walldorf, Germany) for 1 min. Subsequently, sections were washed with water and incubated in eosin G solution (0.5% in water). After additional washing steps, sections were dehydrated again and mounted in Entellan (Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ).

Apopotis in testes of wild-type and HSPBP1−/− mice was estimated by TUNEL analysis of paraffin tissue sections (4 μm) that were obtained as described. After sample rehydration, ApopTag Red InSitu Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon, Temecula, CA) was used according to manufacturer’s instructions.

In situ hybridization

A plasmid containing mouse HSPBP1 (GenBank: BC014758.1) in pCMV-SPORT6 (Life Technologies) was used to generate sense and antisense digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes by in vitro transcription (Roche, Nutley, NJ). We hybridized 100 ng of probe to 7-μm wax sections of Bouin’s fixed adult mouse testes at 50°C overnight as described (Best et al., 2008). Bound probe was detected using alkaline phosphatase–conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibodies (Roche) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3′-indolylphosphate/nitro blue tetrazolium substrate (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA). Sections were counterstained with nuclear fast red. Seminiferous tubules were staged as described (Russell et al., 1990).

Meiotic chromosome spreads

Chromosome spreads from adult testes were prepared essentially as described (Ollinger et al., 2008). Briefly, one adult testis was homogenized and then resuspended in 1 ml of RPMI medium. One drop of cell suspension was incubated in 5 drops of 4.5% sucrose on a microscope slide for 1 h. Cells were lysed with 1 drop of 0.05% Triton X-100 for 10 min and fixed with 8 drops of fixing solution (2% paraformaldehyde, 0.02% SDS, pH 8.0) for 20 min. The slides were washed, blocked with 5% serum, 0.15% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1% Tween in PBS, and then incubated with primary antibodies, followed by secondary antibodies each for 1–2 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies were mouse anti-SYCP3 (1 μg/μl; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit anti-SYCP3 (1 μg/μl; Lifespan Biosciences, Seattle, WA), rabbit anti-SYCP1 (5 μg/μl; Abcam), rabbit anti-γH2AX (2 μg/μl; Millipore, Billerica, MA), mouse anti-MLH1 (5 μg/μl; BD Biosciences), guinea pig anti-histone H11 (1:1000 dilution; Mary Ann Handel, Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME), and rabbit anti-RBMY (1:500 dilution; David Elliott, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom). Alexa Fluor fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies were used at 1 μg/μl (Life Technologies), and DNA was stained with 2 μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. For scoring meiotic progression and H1t-positive pachytene cells, 100 nuclei were scored from each of three wild-type and three HSPBP1−/− mice.

Immunoprecipitation of HSPBP1 complexes from testes

To characterize endogenous interaction between HSPBP1 and HSPA2, testes from wild-type and HSPBP1−/− mice were prepared as described and suspended in RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, and 1× Complete Protease Inhibitor [Roche]). After 20 min of incubation, samples were centrifuged (30,000 × g, 30 min, 4°C), and protein concentrations were determined using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Samples were adjusted to a concentration of 8 μg/μl. A 4-μg amount of antibody (specific against HSPBP1 or immunoglobulin G as control) was added to 80 μg of protein and incubated at 4°C on a spinning wheel overnight. Afterward, 20 μl of protein–Sepharose was added to each sample, followed by incubation for another 6 h. Protein–Sepharose pellets were washed seven times with RIPA buffer by successive centrifugation steps (1 min, 3000 rpm). Finally, Sepharose pellets were sedimented and boiled in 20 μl of SDS sample buffer (2 min, 92°C). The resulting supernatant was analyzed by SDS–PAGE and Western blotting.

In vitro ubiquitylation of HSP70 chaperones

Purified histidine (His)-HSPA2 at a final concentration of 1 μM was incubated with purified HSPA4 (0.3 μM), UBCHS5b (3 μM), CHIP (1 μM), E1 enzyme (0.1 μM), and ubiquitin (2.5 μg/μl) in ubiquitylation buffer (20 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid, pH 7.2, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.002% phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) in a final volume of 20 μl. Where indicated, purified HSPBP1 was added at a concentration of 1 or 5 μM, respectively. A sample without CHIP served as negative control. Samples were incubated for 30 min at 30°C and subsequently boiled in SDS sample buffer at 95°C for 3 min.

His-HSPA1L ubiquitylation was performed as described using HSPA4 (0.06 μM), UBCHS5b (0.6 μM), E1 enzyme (0.02 μM), and ubiquitin (0.5 μg/μl). The following primary antibodies were used for detection of ubiquitylated HSPA2 and HSPA1L: mouse anti-His-tag (MCA1396P; AbD Serotec, Raleigh, NC), rabbit anti-HSC70 (customized antibody generated by BioGenes GmbH, Berlin, Germany), and mouse anti-polyubiquitin (FK2; Biotrend, Destin, FL). Analysis of HSP70 stability in HeLa and MEF cells

HeLa cells were transfected using jetPRIME transfection reagent (Peqlab, Wilmington, DE) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the analysis of HSPA1L stability, cells were transfected with pCMV-Tag2B-HSPA1L for FLAG-HSPA1L expression and siRNA against HSPBP1 and/or BAG2 as indicated. Unspecific siRNA (Allstars; Qiagen) was used as negative control. Two days after...
transfection, HSPBP1- and BAG2-depleted cells were treated with MG132 (20 μM, 16 h) to analyze proteasomal degradation of FLAG-HSPA1L. After 3 d, cells were harvested, lysed in RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1x Complete Protease Inhibitor), and incubated for 20 min on ice. Lysates were centrifuged at 30,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

For the analysis of HSPA2 stability, MEF cells were transfected as described, using pCMV-Tag2B-HSPB1 and respective siRNAs. MG132 treatment was performed after 36 h of transfection, and cells were subsequently harvested after 2 d. Lysis and Western blot analysis were performed as described.

The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-HSPBP1 (H98620; BD Biosciences), rabbit anti-BAG2 (ab47106; Abcam), mouse anti-FLAG (M2; Sigma-Aldrich), rabbit anti-HSPA8 (SAB2101098; Sigma-Aldrich), and mouse anti-tubulin (T5326; Sigma-Aldrich).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Karen Himmelberg for expert technical assistance, Mary Ann Handel and David Elliott for kind gifts of antibodies, and James Crichton (MRC Human Genetics Unit) for help with meiotic chromosome spread conditions. This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grants SFB 635 TP8 to J.H. and DFG FOR 1352 to B.K.F. (FL 276/7-1/2) and an intramural program grant to Forschungsgemeinschaft.

REFERENCES

Akerfelt M, Vihervaara A, Laiho A, Conter A, Christians ES, Sistonen L, Henkksson E (2010). Heat shock transcription factor 1 localizes to sex chromatin during meiotic repression. J Biol Chem 285, 34469–34476.

Alberti S, Holse K, Arndt V, Schmidt A, Höhfeld J (2004). The cochaperone HSPBP1 inhibits the CHIP ubiquitin ligase and stimulates the maturation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. Mol Cell Biol 15, 4003–4012.

Alberti S, Demand J, Esser C, Emmerich N, Schmid H, Höhfeld J (2002). Ubiquitylation of BAG-1 suggests a novel regulatory mechanism during the sorting of chaperone substrates to the proteasome. J Biol Chem 277, 45920–45927.

Alexeev OM, Richardson RT, O’Rand MG (2009). Linker histones stimulate HSPA2 ATPase activity through NASP binding and inhibit CDC2/cyclin B1 complex formation during meiosis in the mouse. Biol Reprod 81, 739–748.

Allen JW, Dix DJ, Collins BW, Merrick BA, He C, Selkirk JK, Poorman-Allen P, Li XC, Schimenti JC (2007). Mouse pachytene checkpoint 2 (trip13) is an inhibitor of the chaperone-associated ubiquitin ligase CHIP. Mol Biol Cell 18, 5474–5484.

Arnold V, Daniel C, Nastainczyk W, Alberti S, Höhfeld J (2005). BAG2-acts as an inhibitor of the chaperone-associated ubiquitin ligase CHIP. Mol Biol Cell 16, 5891–5900.

Arnold V et al. (2010). Chaperone-assisted selective autophagy is essential for muscle maintenance.Curr Biol 20, 143–148.

Arnold V, Rogon C, Höhfeld J (2007). To be, or not to be—moloculard chaperones in protein degradation. Cell Mol Life Sci 64, 2525–2541.

Baker SM et al. (1996). Involvement of mouse Mlh1 in DNA mismatch repair during maturation of professional antigen presenting cells. PLoS One 6, e16398.

Bergoyne PS, Mahadevaiah SK, Turner JM (2009). The consequences of asynapsis for mammalian meiosis. Nat Rev Genet 10, 207–216.

Carra S, Seguin SJ, Lambert H, Landry J (2008). HspB8 chaperone activity toward poly(Q)-containing proteins depends on its association with Bag5, a stimulator of macroautophagy. J Biol Chem 283, 1437–1444.

Dai Q et al. (2005). Regulation of the cytoplasmic quality control protein degradation pathway by BAG2. J Biol Chem 280, 38673–38681.

Dai C, Santagata S, Tang Z, Shi J, Cao J, Wion K, Bronson RT, Whitesell L, Lindquist S (2012). Loss of tumor suppressor NFI activates HSF1 to promote carcinogenesis. J Clin Invest 122, 3742–3754.

Dai C, Whitesell L, Rogers AB, Lindquist S (2007). Heat shock factor 1 is a powerful multifaceted modifier of carcinogenesis. Cell 130, 1005–1018.

Daugaard M, Kirkegaard-Sorensen T, Ostenfeld MS, Aaboee M, Hoyn-Pedersen M, Omontti TF, Roehde M, Jäättelä M, Höhfeld J (2003). Lens epithelium-derived growth factor is an Hsp70-2 regulated guardian of lysosomal stability in human cancer. Cancer Res 67, 2559–2567.

Daugaard M, Roehde M, Jäättelä M (2007b). The heat shock protein 70 family: Highly homologous proteins with overlapping and distinct functions. FEBs Lett 581, 3702–3710.

Demand J, Alberti S, Patterson C, Höhfeld J (2001). Cooperation of a ubiquitin domain protein and an E3 ubiquitin ligase during chaperone/proteasome coupling. Curr Biol 11, 1569–1577.

Dix DJ, Allen JW, Collins BW, Mori C, Nakamura N, Poornam-Allen P, Goudling EH, Eddy EM (1996). Targeted gene disruption of Hsp70-2 results in failed meiosis, germ cell apoptosis, and male infertility. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 93, 3264–3268.

Dix DJ, Allen JW, Collins BW, Poornam-Allen P, Mori C, Blizard DR, Brown PR, Goudling EH, Strong BD, Eddy EM (1997). HSP70-2 is required for desynapsis of synaptonemal complexes during meiotic prophase in juvenile and adult mouse spermatocytes. Development 124, 4595–4603.

Feng HL, Sandlow JI, Sparks AE (2001). Decreased expression of the heat shock protein hsp70-2 is associated with the pathogenesis of male infertility. Fertil Steril 76, 1136–1139.

Gamerdinger M, Hajeja P, Kaya AM, Wolfrum U, Hartl FU, Behl C (2009). Protein quality control during aging involves recruitment of the macroautophagy pathway by BAG3. EMBO J 28, 889–901.

Gamerdinger M, Kaya AM, Wolfrum U, Clement AM, Behl C (2011). BAG3 mediates chaperone-based aggresome-targeting and selective autophagy of misfolded proteins. EMBO Rep 12, 149–156.

Grad I, Cedenoth CR, Walicik J, Gray C, Bartuengu S, Winssinger N, Desassy B, Nef S, Picard D (2010). The molecular chaperone Hsp90alpha is required for meiotic progression of spermatocytes beyond pachytene in the mouse. PLoS One 5, e15770.

Hartl FU, Bracher A, Hayer-Hartl M (2011). Molecular chaperones in protein folding and proteostasis. Nature 475, 324–332.

Hecht NB, Bower PA, Kleene KC, Distel RJ (1985). Size changes of progranulin 1 mRNA provide a molecular marker to monitor spermatogenesis in wild-type and mutant mice. Differentiation 29, 189–193.

Inselman A, Eaker S, Handel MA (2003). Temporal expression of cell cycle-related proteins during spermatogenesis: establishing a time-line for onset of the meiotic divisions. CytoGenet Genome Res 103, 277–284.

Jiang J, Ballinger CA, Wu Y, Dai O, Cyr DM, Höhfeld J, Patterson C (2001). CHIP is a ubiquitin-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase: Identification of Hsc70 as a target for ubiquitylation. J Biol Chem 276, 42938–42944.

Kampfinga HH, Craig EA (2010). The HSP70 chaperone machinery: J proteins as drivers of functional specificity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 11, 579–592.

Kettern N, Dreisiedler M, Tawo R, Höhfeld J (2010). Chaperone-assisted degradation: multiple pathways in destruction. Biol Chem 391, 481–489.

Kettern N, Rogon C, Limmer A, Schmid H, Höhfeld J (2011). The Has/Hsp70 co-chaperone network controls antigen aggregation and presentation during maturation of professional antigen presenting cells. PLoS One 6, e16398.

Lammers JH, Offenberg HH, van Alderen M, Vink AC, Dietrich AJ, Heyting C (1994). The gene encoding a major component of the lateral elements of synaptonemal complexes of the rat is related to X-linked lymphocyte-regulated genes. Mol Cell Biol 14, 1127–1146.

Lanneau D, Brunet M, Frisan E, Solary E, Fontenay M, Garrido C (2008). Lamin A/C is required for completing meiotic recombination but not synapsis. EMBO Rep 9, 579–584.

Lindeboom RM, Gunneri-Duister T, Limmer A, Jäättelä M (2004). BAG3 ubiquitin domain prevents proteasomal degradation of CHIP. Mol Biol Cell 15, 2336–2346.

Ludden B, McNeill J, Piatkowski C, Schimenti JC (2005). Mouse pachytene checkpoint 2 (trip13) is required for completing meiotic recombination but not synapsis. Mol Cell Biol 14, 1127–1146.

Lu J, Solimini NL, Elledge SJ (2009). Principles of cancer therapy: oncogene and non-oncogene addiction. Cell 136, 823–837.
Lüders J, Demand J, Höhfeld J (2000). The ubiquitin-related BAG-1 provides a link between the molecular chaperones Hsc70/Hsp70 and the proteasome. J Biol Chem 275, 4613–4617.

Magin TM, Schroder R, Leitgeb S, Wagner N, Zatloukal K, Grund C, Melton DW (1998). Lessons from keratin 18 knockout mice: formation of novel keratin filaments, secondary loss of keratin 7 and accumulation of liver-specific keratin 8-positive aggregates. J Cell Biol 140, 1441–1451.

Mahadevia SK, Turner JM, Baudat F, Rogakou EP, de Boer P, Blanco-Rodriguez J, Jasim M, Keeney S, Bonner WM, Burgoyne PS (2001). Recombinational DNA double-strand breaks in mice precede synapsis. Nat Genet 27, 271–276.

Mariappan M, Li X, Stefanovic S, Sharma A, Mateja A, Keenan RJ, Hegde RS (2010). A ribosome-associating factor chaperones tail-anchored membrane proteins. Nature 466, 1120–1124.

Mayer MP, Bukau B (2005). Hsp70 chaperones: cellular functions and molecular mechanism. Cell Mol Life Sci 62, 670–684.

McCarrey JR, Berg WM, Paragouzakis SJ, Zhang PL, Dilworth DD, Arnold BL, Rossi JJ (1992). Differential transcription of Pkg genes during spermatogenesis in the mouse. Dev Biol 154, 160–168.

Meuwissen RL, Offenberg HH, Dietrich AJ, Riesewijk A, van Iersel M, Heytman RS (2000). Hsp70 chaperones: cellular functions and mediated stress recovery by sequential ubiquitination of substrates and induction of apoptosis in mouse testes, which causes hypoxia and oxidative stress in mouse testes, which induces germ cell death. Biol Reprod 80, 913–919.

Qian SB, McDonough H, Boellmann F, Cyr DM, Patterson C (2006). CHIP-mediated stress response by sequential ubiquitination of substrates and Hsp70. Nature 440, 551–555.

Raynvan L et al. (2001). Heat-shock protein 70 antagonizes apoptotic-inducing factor. Nat Cell Biol 3, 839–843.

Rokett JC, Mapp FL, Gargas JB, Lu JC, Mori C, Dix DJ (2001). Effects of hyperthermia on spermatogenesis, apoptosis, gene expression, and fertility in adult male mice. Biol Reprod 65, 229–239.

Rohde M, Daugaard M, Jensen MH, Helin K, Nylandsted J, Jäättelä M (2005). Members of the heat-shock protein 70 family promote cancer cell growth by distinct mechanisms. Genes Dev 19, 570–582.

Ruggiu M, Speed R, Taggart M, McKay SJ, Kilanowski F, Saunders P, Dorin J, Cooke HJ (1997). The mouse Dazla gene encodes a cytoplasmic protein essential for gametogenesis. Nature 389, 73–77.

Russell LD, Ettlin RA, Sinha Hikim AP, Clegg ED (1990). Histological and Histopathological Evaluation of the Tests, Clearwater, FL: Cache River Press.

Sasaki T, Marcon E, McGuire T, Arai Y, Moens PB, Okada H (2008). Bat3 deficiency accelerates the degradation of Hsp70-2/HspA2 during spermatogenesis. J Cell Biol 182, 449–458.

Schieglinska D, Piglowski W, Chekan M, Mazurek A, Krawczyk Z (2011). Differential expression of HSPA1 and HSPA2 proteins in human tissues; tissue microarray-based immunohistochemical study. Histochem Cell Biol 135, 337–350.

Shahla C, Tripathi R, Mishra DP (2010). Male germ cell apoptosis: regulation and biology. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 365, 1501–1515.

Shomura Y, Dragovic Z, Chang HC, Tsvetkov N, Young JC, Brodsky JL, Guerriero V, Hartl FU, Bracher A (2005). Regulation of Hsp70 function by HspBP1: structural analysis reveals an alternate mechanism for Hsp70 nucleotide exchange. Mol Cell 17, 367–379.

Son WY, Han CT, Hwang SH, Lee JH, Kim S, Kim YC (2000). Repression of hspA2 messenger RNA in human testes with abnormal spermatogenesis. Fertil Steril 73, 1138–1144.

Takayama S, Reed JC (2001). Molecular chaperone targeting and regulation by BAG family proteins. Nat Cell Biol 3, E237–E241.

Turner JM, Mahadevaiah SK, Elliott DJ, Garchon HJ, Pehrson JR, Jaenisch R, Burgoyne PS (2002). Meiotic sex chromosome inactivation in male mice with targeted disruptions of Xist. J Cell Sci 115, 4097–4105.

Ulbricht A et al. (2013). Cellular mechanotransduction relies on tension-induced and chaperone-assisted autophagy. Curr Biol 23, 430–435.

Vol C, Hageman J, Carra S, Kampinga HH (2008). Structural and functional diversities between members of the human HSPB, HSPH, HSPA, and DNAJ chaperone families. Biochemistry 47, 7001–7011.

Wang Q, Liu Y, Soetandyo N, Baek K, Hegde R, Ye Y (2011). A ubiquitin ligase-associated chaperone holdase maintains polypeptides in soluble states for proteasome degradation. Mol Cell 42, 758–770.

Widlak W, Vydra N, Malusecka E, Jazab M, Lisowska K, Gowaliska-Kosinska M, Benedyk K, Widlak P, Krawczyk Z, Widlak W (2006). Spermatoocyte-specific expression of constitutively active heat shock factor 1 induces HSP70-resistant apoptosis in male germ cells. Cell Death Differ 13, 212–222.

Wang Q, Liu Y, Soetandyo N, Baek K, Hegde R, Ye Y (2011). A ubiquitin ligase-associated chaperone holdase maintains polypeptides in soluble states for proteasome degradation. Mol Cell 42, 758–770.

Widlak W, Vydra N, Malusecka E, Dudaalavada V, Wiinarski B, Scieginska D, Widlak P (2007). Heat shock transcription factor 1 down-regulates spermatoocyte-specific 70 kDa heat shock protein expression prior to the induction of apoptosis in mouse testes. Genes Cells 12, 487–499.

Zhu D, Dix DJ, Eddy EM (1997). HSP70-2 is required for CDC2 kinase activity in meiosis I of mouse spermatocytes. Development 124, 3007–3014.