Approaches Looking Finite Elements Analysis of a Structural Model of Lid Stratified with Cellular Polymeric Core Specific to a Pressure Vessel
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The paper treats Finite Element Analysis (FEA) specific to the mechanical behavior of a structural layer cap type with polymer cellular cores within a pressure vessel. The layered composite structure comprises two outer polymer shells (synthetic glass/plexiglass) and cellular polymer core with triangular shaped cells. This analysis uses the Autodesk Inventor 2016 Professional 3D design and modeling software, in conjunction with the FEA analysis program, ANSYS 14.5 - Workbench, using the Mesh module. Mechanical behavior of the structural models specific to the four types of test caps, each having different cellular polymer core (ABS, PLA, PC and CF, 3 and 5 mm thickness), is revealed through the analysis of the state of stresses and deformations and correlating the FEA simulation results with experimental testing. Since the analysis leads to quasi-equivalent results under identical conditions of application until the fracture of the caps, it is found that such an FEA approach of the mechanical system components occupies an important place in the modern design process, being one of the ways of identifying the deformation fields and equivalent stresses in the analyzed composite structures.
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Although the research of recent years has highlighted the attempt to harmonize mechanical testing with standards that define in detail such procedures and provide clear information on the dimensions of the specimens, the tolerances, the details of the materials that can be used for the tests, yet the comparative studies between the methods in the standards European Testing and American (ASTM) showed both similar results and differences between test results on the same materials [1]. Thus, although progress made over the past decades has had a positive impact on the evolution of composite materials testing, as well as the involvement of numerous companies in the field of composite research and development, there are limitations and uncertainties for some tests that required the development of new methods and analysis techniques [2, 3, 5, 8].

As a relatively modern method, structural analysis using finite element method (FEA) is particularly versatile and efficient for analyzing the complex structural behavior of laminated composite structures, bringing to the forefront the possibility of applying it to various structures for which experimental testing could not use prototype configurations only through representative specimens [12-14, 22]. So, with all the cost and time constraints of prototype execution, FEA application is an innovative solution of great interest both economically and in terms of simulation time, and its results are most of the times dependent of the performance of the computing programs and the possibilities of their use [15].

The intense preoccupations in the international academic field, demonstrated by the large number of scientific papers published in the field and supported by the results obtained in various industrial applications [23-25], reflect the practical importance of the finite element analysis of any composite layered structures [4].

In the literature, the cellular structure is referred to as the cellular core due to its positioning in a sandwich assembly that comprises two outer shells of the cellular core along with the joint addition of the core and the outer shells (example: sticking with thin-film and ultra-adherent film) [6, 7, 10, 11]. This bonding joint causes the cellular
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Fig. 1. The main components of composite sandwich structures (a) and the geometry of the cell core (b)
core and outer shells to behave as a continuous structure, thus transferring axial and transverse loads to and from the cellular core which provide sufficient rigidity to maintain equidistance between the outer envelopes [13, 14]. From the structural point of view (fig. 1), the main function of the cell core is to stabilize the outer sheaths to avoid buckling and deformation and to withstand the shear stresses along its thickness. The outer envelope supports, besides some of the local stresses and tension and compressive stresses, their main function being to provide the bending and shear stiffness of the sandwich assembly [2, 9].

Therefore, the use of modeling and simulation through the finite element analysis of mechanical system components occupies an important place in the modern design process and is one of the ways to identify deformation fields and equivalent stresses within the analyzed composite structures [2].

**Experimental part**

**Materials and methods**

The analysis of the experimental model of a pressurized container with a laminated lid and a cellular polymer core is based on the finite element method and involves knowledge of material behavior, geometric shape, type of contact with other parts, loads / stresses, functional behavior etc. [2, 3, 9].

The purpose of the finite element analysis is to highlight the mechanical behavior of the composite structures specific to the stratified cover of the experimental model in figure 2 polycarbonate cellular polymers with ABS (acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene) cellular polymers, PLA (polylactic acid or polylactide), PC (polycarbonate) and CF (carbon fiber) thicknesses of 3 and 5 mm [2, 9].

The Finite Element Analysis Model of Cellular Composite Structures was developed using the Autodesk Inventor 2016 Professional 3D Design and Modeling Program, in conjunction with the FEA Analysis Program, ANSYS 14.5 - Workbench.

The first stage of FEA analysis modeling is important because its shape and dimensions have direct implications for the precision and cost of the analysis.

The pre-processing step is done using the ANSYS computing program, which involves meshing and obtaining a correctly split model into finite elements that does not introduce computational errors and is of a suitable size so as not to increase the processing time of the simulation. At this stage, it is intended to define the properties of the materials and their behavior according to the manufacturer / standard material / mechanical tests, the introduction of the limit conditions and the loads.

Post-processing of the results obtained by solving the model with finite elements implies the visualization of the deformations and stresses states of the structure with finite elements and the visualization in various forms (lists, fields, diagrams, graphs) of the obtained sizes.

If the results of the FEA analysis show good consistency with the experimentally obtained results, further studies can be discarded in experimental parts, leading implicitly to direct economic effects [2, 3].

**Geometric definition of the model**

The 3D model was generated as a structural assembly consisting of the metal container (the cylindrical cover, the welded cap and the flange), the layered composite cover (made up of the top / bottom of synthetic glass - Guttagliss Hobyglass / PMMA adhering to the core polymeric cell via the transparent film of Polymax / crystal express BISON) and metal screws.

Layer structure of layered composite sheets is shown in figure 3, below [2, 3].

![Layer structure of a laminated composite sheet](image)

The 3D model made in Autodesk Inventor 2016 Professional was exported in the neutral .step format, one of the most used file formats for transmitting 3D models (fig. 4), thanks to the major support received by introducing data representation requirements are contained in this file type, according to ISO 10303-21: 2016.

![The 3D model, made according to the execution drawing of the experimental model](image)

Since the model is symmetrical and can be represented by four equal parts, in order to simplify the pre / post-processing time, only a quarter of the container was used in the analysis, having as axis the axis of revolution / rotation of the container. The same considerations have been discarded for modeling and simulating feed and drain fittings, placed on the sheath.

**Mesh model**

Meshing of the finite element model of the container was carried out using pre-processor ANSYS 14.5 - Workbench, using Mesh module (fig. 5) [2].

At the same time, in addition to the type of element, the method of controlling the size of the finite element for sizing the body, was used. For the cylindrical shell, flange, and metal cap, a 4 mm element dimension was chosen, whereas in the case of metal screws, gasket, and cell core layered composite, a 2 mm element dimension was used. A total of 185580 knots and 45314 elements were finally obtained [2].
Defining structural features

Finite element analysis specific to this structural model requires knowledge of physical properties and mechanical behaviors for calculating the stiffness matrix of component elements [2, 15]. These can be grouped in geometric properties and in material properties as shown in Table 1 [2].

In the case of the MEF analysis of the pressure receptacle with a periodic cellular laminated composite cap, only 5 types of materials were considered for the composite cover. Thus, the upper faces are made of synthetic glass (plexiglass) and the core is made of ABS, PLA, CF or PC, having thicknesses of 3 mm and 5 mm. In the case of cylindrical casing, flange, screws and cover, carbon steel was used as a material. Therefore, distinct simulations were made for each type of core material, in total 8 simulations. To highlight the mechanical behavior of the whole structure and to correlate the results of the MEF simulation with the experimental tests [2, 9, 14], that took place until the composite cover was dismantled, it has been used the material release behaviors given by the material producers in combination with values in literature for properties for which no information is provided by producers.

Defining boundary conditions for simulation of layered composite

The limit conditions were specified in the static analysis module of the ANSYS program. Analysis with programs based on FEA requires specification of the limit conditions (restrictions, constraints) for the correct calculation of the results [2, 15]. Their type is diversified according to the type of problem to be analyzed. For analyzing elastic structures, the translation and rotation restrictions with known values [2].

Static analysis is used to determine the displacements, stresses, deformations and forces in its structure or components due to loads that do not induce significant effects of inertia or acceleration (shocks) [2, 3, 14, 15]. Charging and response conditions are supposed to be stationary. The load type that can be applied in the static analysis includes applied external forces and pressures, inertial forces in the stationary state, such as gravity or rotational speed that require non-zero displacements, temperatures. A static analysis can be both linear and nonlinear. In the case presented in the paper, only static non-linear analysis was studied [2].

The fixation of the pattern takes place in the outer face of the metal cover, as it has been considered that this embedding has no effect on the results of the composite cover being analyzed and at the same time the pressure effect on it is negligible. At the same time, the symmetry of the model was considered, blocking the displacements of the faces in their perpendicular directions. The acceleration of gravity of 9.81 m / s² was also taken into consideration on the same axis of rotation / rotation of the container, having a direction perpendicular to its metal bottom (fig. 6) [2].

Due to the load mode of the real model, three calculation steps were considered for a total period of 1 second (neglecting the effect of the adhesive between the plates), namely:

\[ (0 \div 0.25) \text{ s}, \text{ bolt clamping is performed with a force of 5000 N / screw, value specific to the screw size used. The force of strain on a screw is the force that prolongs the screw and deforms the flanges;} \]

| Feature                      | PLA      | PLA + 40% carbon fiber | ABS     | PC      | PMMA    |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Density, \( \rho \) [g/cm³]   | 1.25     | 1.29                    | 1.1     | 1.2     | 1.18    |
| Elastic modulus, \( E \) [GPa]| 2.3      | 2.2                     | 2.2     | 1.56    | 2.7     |
| Elongation [%]                | 6        | 2                       | 2.6     | 4       | 3       |
| Yield strength [MPa]          | 40       | 48                      | 42      | 30      | 50      |
| Tensile strength [MPa]        | 42       | 32                      | 49      | 35      | 60      |
| Shear modulus, \( G \) [GPa]  | 0.92     | 0.81                    | 0.81    | 0.72    | 0.99    |
| Poisson’s ratio, \( \nu \) [-] | 0.36     | 0.36                    | 0.35    | 0.37    | 0.37    |

Table 1

Properties used in FEA analysis

Fig. 5. ANSYS R14.5 (mesh) design of the pressure receptacle model with a cellular core layered lid (view of the core cell with the uppermost hidden face)

Fig. 6. Representing boundary conditions in the ANSYS 14.5 pre-processor, Static Structural in the coordinate system XYZ
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-(0.25 ÷ 0.625) s, linear pressure rise from 0 MPa to 0.25 MPa occurs;
-(0.625 ÷ 1.0) s, a linear pressure drop of 0.25 MPa to 0 MPa occurs.

Results and discussions

The post-processing program for results in ANSYS is a powerful and friendly program. Using interactive color graphics, it provides outstanding processing capabilities for the visualized results and finite element analysis. A drawing of the results of visual analysis can be obtained easily in a few seconds. Such an analysis would take many hours of work for an engineer to evaluate it by analytical methods [2, 3].

Using the program capabilities, the following views are possible: contour stresses, travel, temperatures, trace of deformed geometry; plotting history by time of different calculated sizes; solid sections; drawing hidden lines; drawing shadows from a light source; drawing boundary lines.

Thus, in the case of this analysis, the following aspects were pursued [2, 3]:
- values of the specific deformations corresponding to the areas measured by resistive tensometry;
- the values of the minimum and maximum deformations of the layered composite cover assembly;
- the maximum stress values for the evaluation of the mechanical behavior of the layered composite;
- maximum / minimum values and normal pressure distribution on the gasket surface.

In the case of the FEA analysis of the pressurized container with periodic cellular laminated composite cap, it was considered for the structure specific to the layered composite cover, 5 types of materials. Thus, the upper faces are made of synthetic glass (plexiglass) and the core is made of ABS, PLA, CF or PC, having thicknesses of 3 mm and 5 mm. Different simulations have therefore been made for each type of core material, 3 mm thick and 5 mm in total, 8 simulations. In order to highlight the mechanical behavior of the entire structure and to correlate the results of the FEA simulation with the experimental tests [9], that took place until the composite cover was dismantled, it has been used the material release behaviors given by the material producers in combination with literature values [16-21], for properties for which no information is provided by manufacturers.

Output data for nodal points and finite elements could be analyzed, studied and compared in the three directions. The large amount of information prevented their presentation entirely in the paper, but only the obtained values, considered representative, were presented, which can also be compared with the experimental ones [2].

To compare the simulation results with the experimental results, a value / sample of instantaneous values was used for a 3D model in a predefined location. At the measuring points according to the distribution of the strain gauges on the experimental model, termed TER 0, TER 1, ..., TER 8, they were positioned starting from the center of the composite cover, then distributed 90° to one another towards the end of the cover (fig. 7) [2].

The analysis of the state of specific deformations and stresses was focused on the behavior of the layered composite model and less on the metallic model (container, flange, cover, screws) and gasket due to superior mechanical characteristics. However, their effect on the composite cap was considered. At the same time, prior to the commencement of the experiments, for the safety of the personnel and the testing equipment, preliminary resistance and design calculations were made on the components of the container.

For a better characterization of the cellular core layered composite cap, it was the distribution of the von Mises stresses for each component (fig. 8 + fig. 11) [2].

![Fig. 7. Positioning the measuring points on the 3D model of the composite cover (a), according to their positioning on the experimental model (b).](image)

![Fig. 8. Equivalent von Mises stress distribution in (2): a) the top layer of the composite plate; b) core](image)
Analyzing the values and distribution of stresses in figure 8 through figure 11, the following observations were noted:

- Maximum stresses values are similar in the four types of caps and have values of approximately 21 ÷ 25 MPa. These maximum values are located near the screw holes due to the pre-tightening of the bolts and the bending moment due to the internal pressure of the container relative to the screws;

- The stresses distribution is uniform, the maximum tension values at the core holes are similar to those at the center of the upper acrylic plate (20 ÷ 22 MPa);

- The difference in stresses between the upper and lower layers is about 20 ÷ 25 %, indicating a higher yield failure at the level of the upper layer.
Conclusions

Comparing the von Mises equivalent stress values obtained by simulation with those obtained experimentally, we found the following [2]:

- the existence of clear differences between the values of the experimental tensions and the numerical values in the case of the ABS core cap, differences which are mainly due to manufacturing errors;
- the equivalent stress values for plates made of PLA, CF, ABS and PMMA cores are similar (ranging from 0.5% to several tens of percent in certain measuring areas but having the same order of magnitude). Thus, there were small differences between these values, but also a uniform distribution of stresses: the minimum values tend to the outside of the lid, while the maximum values tend towards its interior. Some differences may be due to measurement errors, but also to the simplification and enforcement of limit conditions.
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