Perceived Nutrition and Health Concerns: Do They Protect against Unhealthy Dietary Patterns in Polish Adults?
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Abstract: The aim of the study was to explore the associations between perceived health and nutrition concerns, sociodemographic characteristics and unhealthy dietary patterns in a representative group of Polish adults. The data were collected in 2017 through a cross-sectional quantitative survey under the National Health Program 2016–2020. Logistic regression models were used to analyze the data. It was found that higher health concerns decreased the chances of adhering to upper tertiles of “Fast food & alcohol” and “Refined food & sweetened beverages” dietary patterns (DPs), thus displaying less frequent consumption of such foods. No relationship was found between health concerns and adhering to the “Fat food” and “Butter” DPs. Nutrition concerns increased the likelihood of frequent consumption of foods from “Fast food & alcohol” DP. Women were less likely to adhere to unhealthy eating patterns than men, while older people were less likely to often consume fast food, alcohol, or refined food and sweetened beverages. Findings of this study showed that concerns about health or nutrition were differently associated with dietary patterns and consumption of unhealthy foods. These relationships should be considered when developing interventions to address health-related lifestyle changes. However, further research is needed to identify cause-effect relationships between these variables.
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1. Introduction

The public interest in health and nutrition is constantly increasing; however, at the same time, widespread occurrence of diet-related non-communicable diseases, especially obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary heart disease, and metabolic syndrome, is still observed [1–3]. An unhealthy diet, characterized by high sugar and fat intake and low intake of fruit and vegetables is one of the leading causes of non-communicable diseases globally [3] and is strongly associated with early mortality [4,5]. Simultaneously, interventions aimed at changing eating behaviors have demonstrated only modest effects over time [6]. Previous studies indicate clearly that gaining a better understanding of unhealthy diet predictors is necessary in order to increase effectiveness of actions focused on reducing the occurrence of undesirable behaviors [7,8]. To achieve this goal, it is reasonable to focus on dietary patterns that reveal the complex nature of diet by considering the synergistic effects of foods and nutrients within the body as well as the way we consume food [9,10]. Until now, such studies most often took into account particular groups of food products and predictors of their consumption [11,12]. Despite the widespread promotion of healthy lifestyle, the WHO recommendations have not been implemented sufficiently in the Polish population [13] nor in other European countries [14,15]. So-called discretionary foods and drinks, which are not needed to meet nutritional requirements but contribute to overall food satisfaction even if consumed occasionally or in small amounts, may cause
deterioration of health [16]. Despite the fact that unhealthy food is consumed in excessive amounts [17,18] and the potential risks associated with it are often underestimated by consumers [19]. Thus, the perceived risk/concerns about health and nutrition should be considered as a factor of vital importance for understanding and then modifying undesirable behaviors. Until now, researchers have most often used two constructs to study this predictor of an individual’s behavior, i.e., “health interests” [20] or “health concern” [21,22], which reflect either the importance of or the concerns about health, nutrition, and food. Some research has been focused on understanding consumer perception of food risks, while other studies have taken into account risks and concerns connected to one’s own lifestyle including eating behaviors [23]. Regardless of the difference in approach to the perceived risk/concerns, it is considered that it tends to be lower than the actual risk [24,25]. However, the impact of perceived risk on behavioral change is still unclear. Several studies have found a positive relationship between perceived risk and health-related behaviors, while others have not [26,27]. This relationship should be further examined, especially regarding non-recommended foods (i.e., discretionary foods).

It can be expected that unhealthy dietary patterns are differentiated internally [28,29] and therefore an individual’s characteristics, including demographics and those related to health and nutrition concerns, may have different predictive importance. Thus, we hypothesized that those who had a high level of concerns about health or nutrition would display unhealthy dietary behaviors to a lesser extent. The rationale for this hypothesis was that a high level of concerns may be an important motive in establishing and maintaining more healthy dietary behaviors. Moreover, our assumption that unhealthy dietary patterns are differentiated internally allows formulating the hypothesis that the prediction of unhealthy dietary patterns differs in conformance with various sociodemographic characteristics of the group. To date, limited evidence has been reported on the associations between perceived health and nutrition concerns and dietary patterns, including unhealthy dietary patterns (DPs). Thus, the aim of the study was to explore the associations between perceived health and nutrition concerns, sociodemographic characteristics, and unhealthy dietary patterns in a representative group of Polish adults.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Human Nutrition and Consumer Science, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland, on 19 June 2017 (Resolution No. 22/2017). The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. Before starting the interview, the interviewer explained the purpose of the survey and asked the respondent for consent to participate.

2.2. Study Design and Sample Collection

The data were collected in November–December 2017 through a cross-sectional quantitative survey under the National Health Program 2016–2020. According to the study design, recruitment and data collection were conducted by a research agency—KANTAR TNS. The computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) technique was used to collect all data.

The sample for the study was a random, stratified representation of the total population of Poland aged 18–86 years old. The study group was recruited from Universal Electronic System of Population Register (PESEL) which is administered by the Polish Ministry of Digitization. The bases for stratification of the sample were data on the demographics of Poland, published by the Central Statistical Office in Poland (CSO-GUS), current as of 30 June 2016. Individuals were selected by the method of systematic drawing within distinguished strata. Stratification took into account the size of the city (9 classes) and their territorial distribution (16 provinces—voivodships). The required number of people was drawn, i.e., 1000 respondents and a 3-fold reserve for the sample. Therefore, a total sample size of \( N = 4000 \) was drawn. Prior to the draw, populations within previously
drawn cities and villages were ordered in order of birth date. Then individual people were selected using the systematic random draw method. The study group included people without diagnosed kidney diseases (dialysis) or neoplastic diseases, non-pregnant and lactating women, and people who consciously consented to participate in the study. People on dialysis and those with neoplastic diseases were excluded because in these cases subjective taste impairment is possible, and alterations in taste sensitivity influence food preferences and appetite [30,31]. As a result, the study sample consisted of 1017 participants.

2.3. Frequency of Eating Habits

A Dietary Habits and Nutrition Beliefs Questionnaire (KomPAN) [32,33] was used to assess the frequency of consumption of 11 food groups representing discretionary foods (refined plain bread; sausages; butter; margarine; lard and bacon; instant soups and ready-made soups; fast food; sweets; energy drinks; sweetened beverages) and 3 alcohol beverages (beer, wine and vodka).

All participants were asked to record their habitual frequency of consumption for each food group within the last three months according to the following categories: 1—less than once a month or never; 2—1–3 times a month; 3—once a week; 4—a few times a week; 5—once a day; 6—a few times a day.

2.4. Perceived Health and Nutrition Concerns

The original Health Concern Scale (HCS) [34] was expanded by adding two statements on the concern about the risk of cancer and diabetes. A 7-point Likert scale starting from “definitely not” (1) through “neither no nor yes” (4) to “definitely yes” (7) was used to estimate each statement separately. Two indices were developed using the modified HCS. The Health Concerns Index (HCI) was developed by use of five statements: (1) I am concerned about gaining weight; (2) I am concerned about the risk of high blood pressure; (3) I am concerned about the risk of coronary heart disease; (4) I am concerned about the risk of diabetes; and (5) I am concerned about the risk of cancer.

The Nutrition Concern Index (NCI) included seven statements: (1) I am concerned about consuming many calories; (2) I am concerned about consuming a lot of fat in my food; (3) I am concerned about consuming a lot of cholesterol in my food; (4) I am concerned about consuming a lot of sugar in my food; (5) I am concerned about consuming a lot of salt in my food; (6) I am concerned about providing a sufficient amount of energy with my food; and (7) I am concerned about food additives in my food.

The sum of the scores for each index was calculated. The range for HCI was 5–35 points and for NCI, 7—48 points. Participants were divided into three groups based on tertile distribution of HCI and NCI, separately.

2.5. Socio-Demographic Variables

Detailed sociodemographic data were collected: gender, age, education, place of residence. Data about weight and height were self-reported by the participants. Body mass index (BMI), adapting the Quetelet equation (body mass (kg)/height^2 (m^2)) was calculated and interpreted according to the criteria of the World Health Organization [35]. BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m^2 was assessed as normal, BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m^2 was considered overweight and BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m^2 obesity, while BMI < 18.5 kg/m^2 was assessed as underweight [36].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The obtained data are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD) with minimum, maximum, and median values. The distributions of the analyzed variables were verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test. To analyze the differences in NCI and HCI between two or more independent groups, the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunn’s post-hoc method with the Bonferroni correction were used, respectively. The accepted level of significance was set at p < 0.05.
A principal components factor analysis (PCA) was conducted to derive dietary patterns based on the frequency of the consumption of ten food groups and three groups of alcohol (Table 1). The factors were rotated by an orthogonal (Varimax) transformation. The number of factors was based on the following criteria: components with an eigenvalue of 0.1, scree plot test, and the interpretability of the factors. The eigenvalues signify the amount of variance explained by each of the factors. Food items were considered to load on a factor if they had an absolute correlation 0.5 with it. A data-driven (a posteriori) approach was used to identify dietary patterns [37]. PDs were derived by principal component analyses (PCA) to which variables describing the frequency of eating some foods were introduced. The factorability of data was confirmed with the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett’s test of sphericity achieving statistical significance. The KMO value was 0.850, which attests the correct choice of analysis and the number of factors. Bartlett’s test had a significance of \( p < 0.0001 \) [38]. Four unhealthy dietary patterns (factors) were derived: “Fast food & alcohol” (factor 1), “Refined food & sweetened beverages” (factor 2), “Fat food” (factor 3), and “Butter” (factor 4) (Table 1). They explained 60.6% of total variance.

Table 1. Factor-loading matrix for the dietary patterns DPs identified by principal component analysis (PCA; correlation coefficients).

| Food Groups and Alcohol | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| White bread and bakery products, e.g., wheat bread, rye bread, wheat/rye bread, toast bread, bread rolls | -0.221 | 0.726 * | 0.246 | 0.095 |
| Cold meats, smoked sausages, hot-dogs | -0.093 | 0.481 | 0.601 * | 0.089 |
| Butter as a bread spread or as an addition to meals/for frying/for baking | -0.158 | 0.153 | 0.152 | 0.936 * |
| Lard as a bread spread, or as an addition to meals/for frying/for baking etc. | 0.239 | 0.069 | 0.738 * | -0.041 |
| Instant soups or ready-made soups, e.g., tinned, jar, concentrates (excluding frozen soup mixes) | 0.610 * | -0.088 | 0.414 | 0.059 |
| Tinned (jar) meats | 0.471 | -0.081 | 0.626 * | 0.087 |
| Fast foods, e.g., potato chips, hamburgers, pizza, hot-dogs | 0.705 * | 0.071 | 0.209 | 0.035 |
| Sweets, e.g., confectionary, biscuits, cakes, chocolate bars, cereal bars, other | 0.238 | 0.611 * | -0.142 | -0.142 |
| Energy drinks such as Red Bull, Monster, Rockstar or other | 0.768 * | 0.131 | 0.056 | 0.069 |
| Sweetened carbonated or still beverages such as Coca-Cola, Pepsi, Sprite, Fanta, lemonade | 0.495 | 0.608 * | 0.021 | 0.095 |
| Beer | 0.644 * | 0.275 | 0.136 | 0.037 |
| Wine | 0.701 * | -0.139 | 0.029 | -0.061 |
| Vodka | 0.744 * | 0.108 | 0.106 | -0.035 |
| Variance Explained (%) | 30.21 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 7.8 |
| Total Variance Explained (%) | 60.6 |

* Correlations coefficients higher than 0.6.

Based on the tertiles distribution, participants were divided into three categories within each dietary pattern (bottom, middle, upper tertile). The upper tertile represents the greatest adherence and the bottom tertile represents the lowest adherence to the DP.

Logistic regression analysis was used to verify associations between variables describing health and nutrition concerns (HCI, NCI), BMI and age (continuous ones), gender and education (categorical ones) as independent variables and DPs (dependent variables).

Odds ratios (ORs) represent the chances of the adherence to upper tertiles of each DP. The reference groups (OR = 1.00) were those who represent the bottom tertile of each DP. Wald’s test was used to assess the significance of ORs [5]. \( p \)-value < 0.05 was considered as
significant for all tests. All analyses were performed with IBM Statistics SPSS, version 26.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Sample Characteristics

The sample consisted of 1017 adults, including 623 women and 394 men. The socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample are presented in Table 2. Over 57% of the respondents had BMI > 25.0 kg/m².

Table 2. Study sample characteristics.

| Variables                     | N = 1017 | %     |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Gender                        |          |       |
| Women                         | 623      | 61.3  |
| Men                           | 394      | 38.7  |
| Education                     |          |       |
| Primary                       | 130      | 12.8  |
| Vocational                    | 304      | 29.8  |
| Upper secondary               | 443      | 43.6  |
| Higher                        | 140      | 13.8  |
| Place of residence            |          |       |
| Rural area                    | 493      | 48.5  |
| City ≤ 100,000 residents      | 306      | 30.1  |
| City > 100,000 residents      | 218      | 21.4  |
| Age (years)                   |          |       |
| ≤35                           | 281      | 27.6  |
| 36–50                         | 240      | 23.7  |
| 51–65                         | 273      | 26.8  |
| >65                           | 223      | 21.9  |
| Age in years (mean ± standard deviation) | 49.3 ± 17.7 |
| BMI category (kg/m²)          |          |       |
| Underweight (<18.5)           | 19       | 1.9   |
| Normal weight (18.5–24.9)     | 413      | 40.6  |
| Overweight (25.0–29.9)        | 429      | 42.2  |
| Obesity (≥30)                 | 156      | 15.3  |
| BMI in kg/m² (mean ± standard deviation) | 25.9 ± 4.3 |

N—number of participants.

3.2. Health and Nutrition Concerns

Table 3 displays characteristics of the study sample in regards to health and nutrition concerns. The highest score was found for concern about the content of food additives in food (mean 4.1), while the lowest score was noted for concern about getting sufficient energy in one’s food (mean 3.5).

Higher concern about health was reported more often by women compared to men. Moreover, it was reported more by people over 50 compared to younger respondents, and also by those aged 36–50 compared to people aged 35 and younger. Higher health concerns were also reported by people living in cities of up to 100,000 inhabitants compared to those living in rural area, as well as overweight and obese people. Moreover, a higher concern for nutrition was shown by people over 50 years of age than by younger people, by overweight and obese people compared to others, by people living in cities, and by women. Education did not differentiate concern about health or about nutrition (Table 4).
Table 3. Perceived health and nutrition concerns (mean ± standard deviation, median, minimum–maximum) *.

| Indices/Statements | Health Concern Index (HCI) | Nutrition Health Concern (NCI) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| (1) I am concerned about gaining weight | 19.7 ± 6.41 20 ** (5–35) | 26.5 ± 6.56 27 ** (7–48) |
| (2) I am concerned about the risk of high blood pressure | 4.1 ± 1.57 4 ** (1–7) | |
| (3) I am concerned about the risk of coronary heart disease | 3.9 ± 1.52 4 ** (1–7) | |
| (4) I am concerned about the risk of diabetes | 3.9 ± 1.58 4 ** (1–7) | |
| (5) I am concerned about the risk of cancer | 4.0 ± 1.55 4 ** (1–7) | |

* a 7-point scale starting from “definitely not” (1) through “neither no nor yes” (4) to “definitely yes” (7). ** distribution different than normal (verified using Shapiro-Wilk test—p < 0.05).

Table 4. Perceived health and nutrition concerns in the study sample (N = 1017).

| Variable | Concerns about: | Health (HCI) (Range: 5–35 Points) | Nutrition (NCI) (Range: 7–48 Points) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Gender * | | Mean ± SD | p-Value | Mean ± SD | p-Value |
| Female | | 20.3 ± 6.42 | <0.001 | 27.3 ± 8.60 | <0.001 |
| Male | | 18.8 ± 6.31 | | 25.2 ± 8.37 | |
| Age (years) ** | | | | | |
| ≤35 | | 17.9 ± 6.68 | <0.001 | 25.1 ± 8.88 | 0.002 |
| 36–50 | | 19.2 ± 6.19 | 26.1 & 8.27 | | |
| 51–65 | | 20.7 ± 6.14 | 27.6 & 8.54 | | |
| >65 | | 21.4 ± 6.00 | 27.3 & 8.26 | | |
| Place of residence ** | | | | | |
| Rural area | | 19.2 ± 6.25 | 0.032 | 25.7 ± 8.55 | 0.004 |
| City ≤ 100,000 residents | | 20.5 ± 6.57 | | 27.8 ± 8.49 | | |
| City > 100,000 residents | | 19.7 & ± 6.50 | | 26.4 & ± 8.51 | | |
| Education ** | | | | | |
| Primary | | 20.4 ± 6.94 | 0.553 | 26.3 ± 8.94 | 0.087 |
| Vocational | | 19.4 ± 6.40 | | 25.5 ± 8.71 | | |
| Upper secondary | | 19.6 ± 6.37 | | 27.1 ± 8.55 | | |
| Higher | | 19.9 ± 6.10 | | 26.7 ± 7.78 | | |
| BMI category (kg/m²) ** | | | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | | 14.6 ± 6.18 | <0.001 | 21.6 ± 9.03 | <0.001 |
| Normal weight (18.5–24.9) | | 18.1 ± 6.44 | | 25.3 ± 8.66 | | |
| Overweight (25.0–29.9) | | 20.9 ± 6.24 | | 27.6 ± 8.53 | | |
| Obesity (≥30) | | 21.4 ± 5.58 | | 26.9 ± 7.79 | | |

SD—standard deviation. * U Mann-Whitney test ** Kruskall-Wallis with Dunn’s post-hoc test. abcd values with different letter differed significantly at p < 0.05.

3.3. Predictors of the Unhealthy Dietary Patterns

The binary correlations between analyzed variables are presented in Table 5.
Table 5. Bivariate correlations between variables.

| Number | Variable               | Number of Variable |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1.     | Gender                 | 1                  |
| 2.     | Education              | −0.135 **          |
| 3.     | Place of residence     | −0.056             |
| 4.     | Age                    | −0.029             |
| 5.     | BMI                    | 0.158 **           |
| 6.     | Health concern         | −0.112 **          |
| 7.     | Nutrition concern      | −0.116 **          |
| 8.     | Factor 1 ***           | 0.126 **           |
| 9.     | Factor 2               | 0.175 **           |
| 10.    | Factor 3               | 0.045              |
| 11.    | Factor 4               | −0.131 *           |

* correlation significant at \( p = 0.05 \); ** correlation significant at \( p = 0.01 \). *** Factor 1—“Fast food & alcohol” DP; Factor 2—“Refined food & sweetened beverages” DP; Factor 3—“Fat food” DP; Factor 4—“Butter” DP.

The results of logistic regression analysis are presented in Table 6. Women, people with higher education attainment, those living in large cities and younger people were more likely to consume foods from the “Fast food and alcohol” DP. Each subsequent year of life decreased the likelihood of adhering to this DP by 5%. People displaying higher NCI score and lower HCI score were more likely to often consume food included in the “Fast food & alcohol” DP. People with upper secondary education and lower education, those with higher BMI, and younger people were more likely to consume refined food, sweets and sweetened beverages (“Refined food & sweetened beverages” DP). Each subsequent year of life decreased the likelihood of being in the upper tertile of this DP by 3%. Each subsequent kg/m\(^2\) increased the likelihood of being in the upper tertile of this DP by 6%. Women and people displaying lower HCI score were less likely to consume refined food, sweets, and sweetened beverages. Women were also less likely to belong to the upper tertile of the “Fat food” DP compared to men. People with upper secondary education and lower education and those living in rural area were more likely to consume “fat foods”. Women were more likely to belong to the upper tertile of the “Butter” DP. However, people with primary and vocational education and those with higher BMI were less likely to consume butter. Each subsequent kg/m\(^2\) decreased the likelihood of often eating butter by 4%.
Table 6. Associations between dietary patterns and selected characteristics of the study sample (Odds Ratios with 95% Confidence Intervals).

| Variables         | “Fast Food & Alcohol” DP (Factor 1) | “Refined Food & Sweetened Beverages” DP (Factor 2) | “Fat Food” DP (Factor 3) | “Butter” DP (Factor 4) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                   | Bottom Tertile | Middle Tertile | Upper Tertile | Bottom Tertile | Middle Tertile | Upper Tertile | Bottom Tertile | Middle Tertile | Upper Tertile |
| Gender            |                |                |              |                |                |              |                |                |              |
| Female            | 1              | 0.54 ***       | 0.39 ****    | 1              | 0.84          | 0.54 ****    | 1              | 0.92          | 0.71 *       |
|                   |                | (0.38; 0.77)   | (0.27; 0.57) |                | (0.60; 1.18)  | (0.38; 0.75) |                | (0.66; 1.27)  | (0.51; 0.99) |
| Male (ref.)       | 1              | 1              | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            |
| Education         |                |                |              |                |                |              |                |                |              |
| Primary           | 1              | 0.33 ***       | 0.36 **      | 1              | 3.77 ****     | 4.62 ****    | 1              | 2.35 **       | 3.37 ****    |
|                   |                | (0.17; 0.66)   | (0.17; 0.76) |                | (1.93; 7.32)  | (2.29; 9.32) |                | (1.23; 4.52)  | (1.72; 6.58) |
| Vocational        | 1              | 0.56 *         | 0.64         | 1              | 2.51 ****     | 3.36 ****    | 1              | 3.02 ****     | 3.39 ****    |
|                   |                | (0.32; 0.98)   | (0.35; 1.15) |                | (1.46; 4.29)  | (1.94; 5.84) |                | (1.80; 5.06)  | (1.94; 5.92) |
| Upper secondary   | 1              | 0.96           | 1.10         | 1              | 2.02 **       | 1.68 *       | 1              | 1.48          | 2.10 **      |
|                   |                | (0.57; 1.63)   | (0.64; 1.90) |                | (1.26; 3.24)  | (1.03; 2.73) |                | (0.94; 2.34)  | (1.28; 3.45) |
| Higher (ref.)     | 1              | 1              | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            |
| Place of residence|                |                |              |                |                |              |                |                |              |
| Rural area        | 1              | 0.98           | 0.62 *       | 1              | 1.41          | 1.15         | 1              | 1.34          | 1.68 *       |
|                   |                | (0.63; 1.52)   | (0.39; 0.97) |                | (0.94; 2.13)  | (0.75; 1.75) |                | (0.90; 2.00)  | (1.11; 2.55) |
| City ≤ 100,000    | 1              | 1.06           | 0.67         | 1              | 0.97          | 0.90         | 1              | 1.39          | 1.44         |
|                   |                | (0.66; 1.69)   | (0.42; 1.09) |                | (0.63; 1.50)  | (0.58; 1.40) |                | (0.91; 2.12)  | (0.92; 2.24) |
| City > 100,000 (ref.) | 1    | 1              | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            | 1              | 1             | 1            |
| Age (years)       | 1              | 0.97 ****      | 0.95 ****    | 1              | 0.99          | 0.97 ****    | 1              | 1.00          | 1.01         |
|                   |                | (0.96; 0.98)   | (0.94; 0.96) |                | (0.98; 1.00)  | (0.96; 0.98) |                | (0.99; 1.01)  | (0.99; 1.02) |
| BMI (kg/m^2)      | 1              | 0.99           | 0.98         | 1              | 1.00          | 1.06 **      | 1              | 1.01          | 1.00         |
|                   |                | (0.95; 1.03)   | (0.93; 1.02) |                | (0.96; 1.04)  | (1.02; 1.11) |                | (0.97; 1.05)  | (0.96; 1.04) |
| Health Concern Index (HCI) | 1 | 0.97          | 0.93 **      | 1              | 1.03          | 0.95 *       | 1              | 1.01          | 1.02         |
|                   |                | (0.93; 1.01)   | (0.89; 0.98) |                | (0.99; 1.08)  | (0.91; 1.00) |                | (0.97; 1.06)  | (0.98; 1.07) |
| Nutrition Concern Index (NCI) | 1 | 1.03          | 1.07 ****    | 1              | 0.96 *        | 0.98         | 1              | 0.99          | 0.99         |
|                   |                | (0.99; 1.06)   | (1.03; 1.11) |                | (0.93; 0.99)  | (0.95; 1.01) |                | (0.96; 1.03)  | (0.96; 1.02) |

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001 (Wald’s test).
4. Discussion

The purpose of the study was focused on the associations between perceived health and nutrition concerns, sociodemographic characteristics, and unhealthy dietary patterns. It was assumed that these factors may have different predictive importance in relation to unhealthy dietary behaviors. Our findings showed that sociodemographic characteristics differentiated both perceived health and nutrition concerns and adherence to unhealthy dietary patterns. Although the importance of sociodemographic features in differentiating individuals’ perceptions, attitudes and behaviors is still under discussion [39], our results justify including these features in the analysis. A previous study by Worsley and Lea [40] suggested that personal values may be stronger predictors of consumers’ concerns about food and health issues than demographics. However, in our study, some demographics turned out to discriminate individuals’ concerns. Women displayed health and nutrition concerns more than men, as confirmed by other studies [21,41]. Other research indicates that they are more conscious of body image and place higher importance on appearance compared to men [42,43]. More women than men perceive their image as overweight [41], and this may be conducive to their greater concerns for nutrition, especially in relation to the effect of food on body weight. Findings of our study confirmed that women were less likely to adhere to such unhealthy patterns as “Fast food & alcohol”, “Refined food & sweetened beverages”, and “Fat food” DPs. Thus, women show not only greater concern for health and nutrition but also have healthier dietary patterns than men. It may result from the greater awareness of women as they usually have more responsibility for complex decisions in everyday food preparation and consumption [44]. Therefore, men and women probably have different views on the risks related to food and nutrition. However, the cause-effect relationship between the reported concerns and behaviors is not obvious and this cross-sectional study does not allow deciding whether greater concerns for health and nutrition precede a more adequate diet, or the opposite.

Findings of the study have also shown that health and nutrition concerns increased with BMI. Significantly higher health and nutrition concerns were also observed amongst young women who were overweight or obese [45]. Experienced health problems [46], dietary restrictions implemented by people with excess body weight [47], and repeated unsuccessful attempts at weight control may contribute to developing concern for health [48]. The associations between higher BMI and greater self-perceived risk of diseases such as cancer, heart diseases, and stroke were confirmed in previous studies [49]. There are relatively few studies showing a relationship between BMI and the perception of diet, i.e., concern about nutrition. It is known, however, that the healthy diet perception score may decrease with increasing BMI [50]. Higher diet quality may attenuate genetic predisposition to obesity, and therefore people with a lower BMI may perceive a healthy diet differently than those with a higher BMI [51]. The association between BMI and diet quality may lie deeper, being a result of participants’ social desirability bias. Thus, the relationship between being overweight or obese and the perceived concerns about health or nutrition still require further studying to understand some of the key issues in triggering behavioral change motivation.

At the same time, studies observe more frequent consumption of high-calorie food, as well as other habits leading to excess of energy supplied from the diet of the group of obese people [16,52,53]. In our study, people with a higher BMI were more likely to eat foods from the “Refined food & sweetened beverages” DP. Palatable foods high in fat and sugar are associated with increased food intake as they are more attractive and can be quickly converted into energy [54,55]. Additionally, it has been suggested that the high refined carbohydrate or fat content in this type of food may change the reward neurocircuitry, causing addictive-like eating behaviors and overconsumption [56,57]. Thus, the established dependence may be conditioned physiologically.

Similar to some studies [58,59] we did not find an association between the “Fast food and alcohol” DP and being overweight or obese. However, most of the previous studies indicated that BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ is associated not only with a high intake of fast foods...
but also with high consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages [60]. The inconsistency in results may in part be explained by the cultural background; however, further research is still required to better understand this relationship.

The increase in concern for health and nutrition with age is noted in numerous studies [61,62] and also in our study. Age can correlate with an increase in the diet quality score among adults and the elderly, mainly due to the increased consumption of healthy food and the reduction of unhealthy food [7,63]. It appeared that adherence to “Fast food & alcohol” and “Refined food & sweetened beverages” DPs was related to age. Deteriorating health, but also the desire to maintain good health as long as possible, focuses people’s attention on health with age, including nutrition as a way to maintain health. This was confirmed by the decrease in the likelihood of adherence to “Fast food & alcohol” and “Refined food & sweetened beverages” DPs with age. Moreover, the relationship between some of the concerns (the concern about too many calories, concern about diseases) with age was confirmed by Sun [21].

The unexpected result was the lack of relationship between the education and both concerns about health and nutrition. However, education turned out to be a predictor of adherence to unhealthy DPs. People with an education lower than the upper secondary level were less likely to adhere to the “Fast food & alcohol” DP. At the same time, those people were more likely to adhere to the “Refined food & sweetened beverages” and “Fat food” DPs, which confirmed the results of other studies [8,64]. Generally, a low level of education determines the worse quality of the diet, which results from a large amount of fat and refined carbohydrates [65]. On the other hand, consumption of fast food by people with higher education may result from their greater social activity, including that associated with special occasions [66].

We confirmed that unhealthy dietary patterns are differentiated internally, and therefore, an individual’s characteristics, including demographics and those related to health and nutrition concerns, may have predictive importance. We hypothesized that respondents who were more concerned about health or nutrition would be less likely to display unhealthy dietary behaviors, and this has been partly confirmed. The study showed that higher levels of health concerns decreased the chances of more frequent consumption of foods characteristic for “Fast food & alcohol” and “Refined food & sweetened drinks” DPs. However, no relationship was found for other foods containing a lot of fat (“Fat food” and “Butter” DPs). Previous studies indicated positive relationship between health concerns and organic food consumption [67,68], as well as between health concerns and healthy dietary patterns [45]. It can be assumed that the perceived health-related concerns may therefore lead to the improvement of the diet by introducing healthy behaviors, but they are not sufficient to eliminate unfavorable behaviors. This can be explained by the stronger relationship between health concerns and the cognitive component of the attitude toward health, while unfavorable behaviors are related more to preferences and therefore reflect the affective component rather than the cognitive component [69,70]. Lack of positive relationship between health concerns and the consumption of high-fat foods can suggest an optimistic bias, where the individual underestimates his/her susceptibility to controllable diseases. An alternative explanation is the lack of knowledge about health risk factors, including fat, but this is unlikely due to increasing nutritional awareness [71].

Higher level of concerns about nutrition lowered the likelihood of consuming refined food and sweetened beverages, however it increased the chances of more frequent consumption of foods characteristic for “Fast food & alcohol” DPs. Such association between consumption of fatty foods and concerns about nutrition is confirmed also in studies by Noureddine and Metzger [72]. This may result from the fact that the individual’s decisions related to the choice of food are influenced not only by their characteristics (including the perceived concern for health) but also by the environment [73]. Hence, people who perceive potential health risks may be strongly influenced by the environment (e.g., the tradition of eating certain food), which makes it difficult to change behavior regarding healthy eating. Thus, healthy behavior will not be observed. Fast food eaten as a snack helps people cope
with negative emotions or is a component of enjoying a special occasion and is a way of gaining “fast” energy [66]. When faced with health problems, these psychological and social motives can be overwhelming and lead to eating unhealthy foods. In addition, taste preferences can play an important role throughout life and determine food choices [74]. Moreover, people do not necessarily associate their concerns about health with their eating behaviors [72], which suggests that trying to motivate people to eat healthy by focusing on their health concerns may not be an effective strategy. Because individual food choices are influenced by the environment, they are impacted by the comprehensive approach to population health, sustainability and legislation. Therefore, strategies which cover health care, food markets, information management and education while taking into account cultural, social and economic context [73] can significantly help individuals in managing their consumption of unhealthy food and their concerns related to it.

Strengths and Limitations

The strength of our results is a relatively large representative sample of Polish adults, which allows for high confidence in the study results. It is a national population-based sample, with a valid selection of the study group, carried out by professional interviewers. However, our findings are specific to the Polish cultural background and should be used with caution in relation to other populations. Moreover, there are several limitations regarding the study, which should be considered. One of them relates to the potential biases that may occur when self-reported data are analyzed. When providing self-reported weight and height, men typically overestimate height and women underestimate weight [75], which may also affect our results. Self-reported data was collected as it was logistically impossible for the researchers to make measurements, taking into account sample size. The use of food frequency to drive dietary patterns is also a limitation due to overestimation of consumption of some foods when frequency of eating is measured [76]. However, we have chosen questionnaire KomPAN [33] because we aimed to see predominantly “unhealthy” dietary patterns rather than the exact amount of foods. It should be also stated that this was a cross-sectional study and did not allow us to assess the causality of relationships between the variables. Although findings should not be generalized to a population with a different cultural background, our study provides an interesting insight into internal differences within unhealthy dietary patterns and their associations with sociodemographic characteristics, as well as perceived health and nutrition concerns.

5. Conclusions

Our study showed that the relationship between perceived concerns about health and nutrition and frequency of consumption of unhealthy food did not confirm our assumptions. Higher levels of health concerns decreased the chances of adhering to upper tertiles of “Fast food & alcohol” and “Refined food & sweetened beverages” DPs, thus displaying less frequent consumption of foods characteristic for these patterns. However, no relationship was found between health concerns and frequency of consuming other foods containing a lot of fat (“Fat food” and “Butter” DPs). Nutrition concerns increased the likelihood of frequent consumption of foods from the “Fast food & alcohol” DP. It appeared that women were less likely to adhere to unhealthy eating patterns than men, while older people were less likely to often consume fast food, alcohol, refined and sweetened beverages compared to younger respondents. Findings of this study showed the different nature of the relationships between the consumption of unhealthy food and concerns about health compared to the relationships between the consumption of unhealthy food and the concern about nutrition. These relationships should be considered when developing interventions to address health-related lifestyle changes. However, further research is needed to identify cause-effect relationships between these variables.

Determining the characteristics of unhealthy dietary patterns among Poles and exploring the health and nutrition concerns that coexist with those patterns is important for increasing the efficiency of health interventions. Unhealthy eating patterns can be modified
through a variety of policies (ranging from health education programs to targeted pricing and regulatory interventions related to certain harmful or beneficial components of the diet) due to the inverse relationship between perceived dietary concerns and the consumption of unhealthy food. Moreover, efforts are needed to improve public health messages about how lifestyle risk factors impact the chances of developing diseases. These messages need to be adapted to the sociodemographic specificity of risk groups. The results obtained can be used in developing the interventions aimed at lifestyle changes related to health while focusing on the perceived concern for health and nutrition and their relationship with the consumption of unhealthy food.
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