The extended BLMSSM with a 125 GeV Higgs boson and dark matter
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Abstract To extend the BLMSSM, we not only add exotic Higgs superfields (\(\Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}\)) to make the exotic lepton heavy, but also introduce the superfields (\(Y', Y\')) having couplings with lepton and exotic lepton at tree level. The obtained model is called as EBLMSSM, which has difference from BLMSSM especially for the exotic slepton (lepton) and exotic sneutrino (neutrino). We deduce the mass matrices and the needed couplings in this model. To confine the parameters in the parameter space, the Higgs boson mass \(m_h\) and the processes \(h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\), \(h^0 \rightarrow VV, V = (Z, W)\) are studied in the EBLMSSM. With the assumed parameter space, we obtain reasonable numerical results according to data on Higgs from ATLAS and CMS. As a cold dark matter candidate, the relic density for the lightest mass eigenstate of \(Y\) and \(Y'\) mixing is also studied.

1 Introduction

The total lepton number (L) and baryon number (B) are good symmetries because neutrinoless double beta decay or proton decay has not been observed. In the standard model (SM), L and B are global symmetries \([1,2]\). However, the individual lepton numbers \(L_i = L_e, L_\mu, L_\tau\) are not exact symmetries at the electroweak scale because of the neutrino oscillation and the neutrinos with tiny masses \([3,4]\). In the Universe, there is matter-antimatter asymmetry, then the baryon number must be broken.

With the detection of the light Higgs \(h^0(m_h^0 = 125.1\text{ GeV})\) \([5,6]\), the SM succeeds greatly and the Higgs mechanism is compelling. Beyond the SM, supersymmetry \([7,8]\) provides a possibility to understand the light Higgs. The minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM (MSSM) \([9]\) is one of the favorite models, where the light Higgs mass at tree level is \(m_{h}^{tree} = m_Z |\cos 2\beta|\) \([10–12]\). The one loop corrections to Higgs mass mainly come from fermions and sfermions, that depend on the virtual particle masses and the couplings with the Higgs.

There are many papers about the gauged B and L models, although most of them are non-supersymmetric \([13,14]\). Extending MSSM with the local gauged B and L, one obtains the so called BLMSSM, which was proposed by the authors in Refs. \([10–12]\). The proton remains stable, as B and L are broken at the TeV scale. Therefore, a large desert between the electroweak scale and grand unified scale is not necessary. In BLMSSM, the baryon number is changed by one unit, at the same time the lepton number is broken in an even number. R-parity in BLMSSM is not conserved, and it can explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe. There are some works for Higgs and dark matters \([15–17]\) in the BLMSSM \([18,19]\). In the framework of BLMSSM, the light Higgs mass and the decays \(h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\) and \(h^0 \rightarrow VV, V = (Z, W)\) are studied in our previous work \([19]\). Some lepton flavor violating processes and CP-violating processes are researched with the new parameters in BLMSSM \([20,21]\).

In BLMSSM, the exotic leptons are not heavy, because their masses just have relation with the parameters \(Y_{e_4} \nu_d, Y_{e_5} \nu_u\). Here \(\nu_u\) and \(\nu_d\) are the vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of two Higgs doublets \(H_u\) and \(H_d\). In general, the Yukawa couplings \(Y_{e_4}\) and \(Y_{e_5}\) are not large parameters, so the exotic lepton masses are around 100 GeV. The light exotic leptons may lead to that the BLMSSM is excluded by high energy physics experiments in the future. To obtain heavy exotic leptons, we add two exotic Higgs superfields to the BLMSSM, and they are SU(2) singlets \(\Phi_{NL}\) and \(\varphi_{NL}\), whose VEVs are \(\nu_{NL}\) and \(\bar{\nu}_{NL}\) \([22]\). The exotic leptons and the superfields \(\Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}\) have Yukawa couplings, then \(\nu_{NL}\) and \(\bar{\nu}_{NL}\) give contributions to the diagonal elements...
of the exotic lepton mass matrix. So the exotic leptons turn heavy and should be unstable. In the end, the super fields \( Y \) and \( Y' \) are also introduced. At tree level, there are couplings for lepton-exotic lepton-\( Y(Y') \). It is appealing that this extension of BLMSSM produces some new cold dark matter candidates, such as the lightest mass eigenstate of \( Y \) and \( Y' \) mixing. The four-component spinor \( \tilde{Y} \) is made up of the superpartners of \( Y \) and \( Y' \). In this extended BLMSSM (EBLMSSM), we study the lightest CP even Higgs mass with the one loop corrections. The Higgs decays \( h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) and \( h^0 \rightarrow VV, \ V = (Z, W) \) are also calculated here. Supposing the lightest mass eigenstate of \( Y \) and \( Y' \) mixing as a cold dark matter candidate, we study the relic density.

After this introduction, in Sect. 2, we introduce the EBLMSS in detail, including the mass matrices and the couplings different from those in the BLMSSM. The mass of the lightest CP-even Higgs \( h^0 \) is deduced in the Sect. 3. The Sect. 4 is used to give the formulation of the Higgs decays \( h^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, h^0 \rightarrow VV, \ V = (Z, W) \) and dark matter relic density. The corresponding numerical results are computed in Sect. 5. The last section is used for the discussion and conclusion.

2 Extend the BLMSSM

The local gauge group of the BLMSSM [10–12] is \( SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_L \). In the BLMSSM, the exotic lepton masses are obtained from the Yukawa couplings with the two Higgs doublets \( H_u \) and \( H_d \). The VEVs of \( H_u \) and \( H_d \) are \( v_u \) and \( v_d \) with the relation \( \sqrt{v_u^2 + v_d^2} = v \sim 250 \) GeV. Therefore, the exotic lepton masses are not very heavy, though they can satisfy the experiment bounds at present. In the future, with the development of high energy experiments, the experiment bounds for the exotic lepton masses can improve in a great possibility. Therefore, we introduce the exotic Higgs superfields \( \Phi_{NL} \) and \( \varphi_{NL} \) with nonzero VEVs to make the exotic lepton heavy. The heavy exotic leptons should be unstable, then the superfields \( Y, Y' \) are introduced accordingly. These introduced superfields lead to tree level couplings for lepton-exotic lepton-\( Y(Y') \).

In EBLMSSM, we show the superfields in the Table 1.

The superpotential of EBLMSSM is shown here

\[
W_{EBLMSSM} = W_{MSSM} + W_B + W_L + W_X + W_Y, \\
W_L = \lambda_L \tilde{L} \tilde{L} \tilde{\phi}_{NL} + \lambda_E \tilde{E}_L \tilde{\phi}_{NL} \\
+ \lambda_{NL} \tilde{N}_L \tilde{\phi}_{NL} + \mu_{NL} \phi_{NL} \tilde{\phi}_{NL} \\
+ Y_{e_L} \tilde{L}_a \tilde{H}_a \tilde{E}_L + Y_{e_L} \tilde{L}_a \tilde{H}_a \tilde{N}_L \\
+ Y_{\nu_L} \tilde{L}_a \tilde{H}_a \tilde{\nu}_L + Y_{\nu_L} \tilde{L}_a \tilde{H}_a \tilde{N}_L \\
+ Y_{\nu_L} \tilde{H}_a \tilde{\nu}_L + \lambda_{NL} \tilde{N}_L \tilde{\nu}_L \tilde{\phi}_{NL} + \mu_{NL} \tilde{L}_a \tilde{\phi}_{NL}, \\
W_Y = \lambda_Y \tilde{Y} \tilde{Y} + \lambda_{\nu} \tilde{\nu}_L \tilde{\nu}_L + \lambda_{\nu} \tilde{\nu}_L \tilde{\phi}_{NL} + \mu_{\nu} \tilde{\phi}_{NL} \tilde{\phi}_{NL}, \quad (1)
\]

\( W_{MSSM} \) is the superpotential of MSSM. \( W_B \) and \( W_X \) are same as the terms in BLMSSM [19]. \( W_Y \) includes the terms beyond BLMSSM, and they include the couplings of lepton-exotic lepton-\( (l^I - L' - Y) \). Therefore, the heavy exotic leptons can decay to leptons and mass eigenstates of \( Y \) and \( Y' \) mixing whose lighter one can be a dark matter candidate. From \( W_Y \), one can also obtain the coupling of lepton-exotic slepton-\( (l^I - L' - \tilde{Y}) \), where \( \tilde{Y} \) is the four component spinor composed by the superpartners of \( Y \) and \( Y' \). The new couplings of \( l^I - L' - Y \) and \( l^I - L' - \tilde{Y} \) can give one loop corrections to lepton anormal magnetic dipole moment (MDM). They may compensate the deviation between the experiment value and SM prediction for muon MDM. The parameter \( \mu_Y \) can be complex number with non-zero imaginary part, which is a new source of CP-violating. Therefore, the both new couplings produce one loop diagrams contributing to the lepton electric dipole moment (EDM). Further more, if \( \lambda_4 \) and \( \lambda_5 \tilde{N}_L \tilde{\nu}_L \) is a matrix and has non-zero elements relating with lepton flavor, this term can enhance the lepton flavor violating effects. In the whole, \( W_Y \) enriches the lepton physics to a certain degree, and these subjects will be researched in our latter works.

Because of the introduction of the superfields \( \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}, Y, Y' \), the soft breaking terms are written as

\[
L_{EBLMSSM}^{soft} = L_{BLMSSM}^{soft} - m^2_{\varphi_{NL}} \Phi_{NL} \Phi_{NL} + m^2_{\varphi_{NL}} \varphi_{NL} \varphi_{NL} \\
- m^2_{\varphi_{NL}} \Phi_{NL} \varphi_{NL} + A_{LL} \lambda_L \tilde{L} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} \\
+ A_{LE} \lambda_E \tilde{E}_L \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} + A_{LN} \lambda_N \tilde{N}_L \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} \\
+ B_{NL} \mu_{NL} \Phi_{NL} \varphi_{NL} + h.c. \\
+ \left( A_{LL} \lambda_L \tilde{L} \tilde{L} \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} + A_{LN} \lambda_N \tilde{N}_L \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} \right. \\
\left. + A_{LE} \lambda_E \tilde{E}_L \tilde{\Phi}_{NL} \right) + B_{Y} \mu_{Y} YY' + h.c. \). \quad (2)
\]

Here \( L_{EBLMSSM}^{soft} \) is the soft breaking terms of BLMSSM, whose concrete form is in our previous work [19]. The \( SU(2)_L \) doublets \( H_u, H_d \) acquire the nonzero VEVs \( u_u, u_d \). The \( SU(2)_L \) singlets \( \Phi_{B}, \varphi_{B}, \Phi_{L}, \varphi_{L}, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL} \) obtain the nonzero VEVs \( u_B, \varphi_B, u_L, \varphi_L, u_{NL}, \varphi_{NL} \) respectively.

\[
H_u = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( u_u + H_u^0 + i P_u^0 \right) \right), \\
H_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( u_d + H_d^0 + i P_d^0 \right), \\
\Phi_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( u_B + \Phi_B^0 + i \bar{P}_B^0 \right), \\
\varphi_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( u_B + \varphi_B^0 + i \bar{P}_B^0 \right), \quad (2)
\]
\[ \Phi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_L + \Phi_L^0 + i P_L^0 \right), \]
\[ \varphi_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \varphi_L + \Phi_L^0 + i P_L^0 \right), \]
\[ \Phi_{NL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( v_{NL} + \Phi_{NL}^0 + i P_{NL}^0 \right), \]
\[ \varphi_{NL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \varphi_{NL} + \Phi_{NL}^0 + i P_{NL}^0 \right). \]

Here, we define \( \tan \beta = v_\mu / v_\beta \), \( \tan \beta_B = \tilde{v}_B / v_B \), \( \tan \beta_L = \tilde{v}_L / v_L \) and \( \tan \beta_{NL} = \tilde{v}_{NL} / v_{NL} \). The VEVs of the Higgs satisfy the following equations
\[ |\mu|^2 - \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8} (v_\mu^2 - v_\beta^2) + m_{H_u}^2 + Re[B\mu] \cot \beta = 0, \]
\[ |\mu_B|^2 + \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8} (v_\mu^2 - v_\beta^2) + m_{H_u}^2 + Re[B\mu] \cot \beta = 0, \]
\[ |\mu_B|^2 + \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8} (v_\mu^2 - v_\beta^2) + m_{H_u}^2 + Re[B\mu] \cot \beta = 0, \]
\[ |\mu_{NL}|^2 + 3g^2_{\psi_L}V_{\psi_L}^2 + m_{\psi_{NL}}^2 - \text{Re}[B_{NL}\mu_{NL}]\cot\beta_{NL} = 0, \]  

(11)

with \( V_L = \bar{\nu}_L - \nu_L^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\bar{\nu}_{NL} - \nu_{NL}^2) \). Here, the Eqs. (8) and (9) are similar as the corresponding equations in BLMSSM, but Eqs. (8) and (9) have relation with the new parameters \( \nu_{NL} \) and \( \nu_{NL} \). We obtain the new Eqs. (10) and (11) through \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu_{NL}} \) and \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu_{NL}} \) with \( V \) denoting the Higgs scalar potential.

Here we deduce the mass matrices in the EBLMSSM. Compared with BLMSSM, the superfields \( \Phi_{NL} \) and \( \varphi_{NL} \) are introduced and they give corrections to the mass matrices of the slepton, sneutrino, exotic lepton, exotic neutrino, exotic slepton and exotic sneutrino. That is to say, in EBLMSSM, the mass matrices of squark, quark, exotic quark, baryon neutralino, MSSM neutralino, \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) are same as those in the BLMSSM, and their concrete forms can be found in our previous works [23–25]. Though the mass squared matrices of slepton and sneutrino in EBLMSSM are different from those in BLMSSM, we can obtain the slepton and sneutrino mass squared matrices in EBLMSSM easily just using the replacement \( \bar{\nu}_L^2 - \nu_L^2 \to \bar{V}_L^2 \) for the BLMSSM results.

In the BLMSSM, the issue of Landau pole has been discussed in detail by the authors of Refs. [10–12]. Their conclusion is that there are no Landau poles at the low scale due to the new families. In EBLMSSM, the parts of quark (squark), exotic quark (exotic squark) are same as those in BLMSSM. Therefore, the Landau pole condition for the Yukawa couplings of quark (squark), exotic quark (exotic squark) have same behaviors of BLMSSM. The added superfields (\( \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}, Y, Y' \)) do not have couplings with the gauge fields of \( SU(3)_C, SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y \) and \( U(1)_B \). So the characters of gauge couplings \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \) and \( g_B \) in BLMSSM and EBLMSSM are same.

The different parts between BLMSSM and EBLMSSM are the terms including \( \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}, Y, Y' \). The new terms in the superpotential \( \mathcal{W}_L = \lambda_L L d L \bar{d} \bar{\nu}_L + \lambda_R E_R \tilde{E}_R \tilde{E}_R \Phi_{NL} + \lambda_{NL} N_L \bar{N}_L \Phi_{NL} + \mu_{NL} \Phi_{NL} \varphi_{NL} \) and they have corresponding relations with \( \lambda_Q Q_4 \tilde{Q}_4 \Phi_B + \lambda_{U} \tilde{U}_4 \tilde{U}_4 \Phi_B + \mu_{U} \Phi_B \varphi_B \) in \( \mathcal{W}_B \) by the replacements \( L_4 \to \tilde{Q}_4, L_5 \to \tilde{Q}_5, E_4 \to \tilde{E}_4, E_5 \to \tilde{E}_5, N_4 \to \tilde{N}_4, N_5 \to \tilde{N}_5, \Phi_{NL} \to \Phi_B, \varphi_{NL} \to \varphi_B \). The corresponding relations for \( \mathcal{V}_Y \) are \( \lambda_X \tilde{X} \tilde{X} + \lambda_\tilde{X} \tilde{X} \tilde{X} + \mu_X \tilde{X} \tilde{X} \) and \( \mathcal{V}_Y \) are obvious with \( \tilde{L} \to \tilde{Q}, \tilde{L}_5 \to \tilde{Q}_5, \tilde{E} \to \tilde{E} \), \( \tilde{E}_4 \to \tilde{E}_5, \tilde{N}_4 \to \tilde{N}_5 \), \( \Phi_{NL} \to \Phi_B \). From this analysis, the Landau pole conditions of gauge coupling \( g_B \) and Yukawa couplings of exotic leptons should possess similar peculiarities of gauge coupling \( g_B \) and Yukawa couplings of exotic quarks. In conclusion, similar as BLMSSM, there are no Landau poles in EBLMSSM at the low scale because of the new families. The concrete study of Landau poles for the couplings should use renormalization group equation which is tedious, and we shall research this issue in our future work.

2.1 The mass matrices of exotic lepton (slepton) and exotic neutrino (sneutrino) in EBLMSSM

In BLMSSM, the exotic lepton masses are not heavy, because they obtain masses only from \( H_{u} \) and \( H_{d} \). The VEVs of \( \Phi_{NL} \) and \( \varphi_{NL} \) are \( \nu_{NL} \) and \( \nu_{NL} \), that can be large parameters. So, the EBLMSSM exotic leptons are heavier than those in BLMSSM.

The mass matrix for the exotic leptons reads as

\[
-\mathcal{L}^\text{mass}_e = \left( \tilde{e}_{4R}, \tilde{e}_{5R} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_L \bar{\nu}_{NL}, & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{Y}_{e_5} v_d, \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{Y}_{e_4} v_d, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{NL} v_u \end{array} \right) \times \left( \tilde{e}_4^L, \tilde{e}_5^L \right) + h.c.
\]

(12)

Obviously, \( \bar{\nu}_{NL} \) and \( v_{NL} \) are the diagonal elements of the mass matrix in the Eq. (12). It is easy to obtain heavy exotic lepton masses with large \( \bar{\nu}_{NL} \) and \( v_{NL} \). If we take \( \bar{\nu}_{NL} \) and \( v_{NL} \) as zero, the mass matrix is same as that in BLMSSM.

In fact, our used values of \( \bar{\nu}_{NL} \) and \( v_{NL} \) are at TeV order, which produce TeV scale exotic leptons. Heavy exotic leptons have strong adaptive capacity to the experiment bounds. The exotic neutrinos are four-component spinors, whose mass matrix is

\[
-\mathcal{L}^\text{mass}_\nu = \left( \tilde{\nu}_{4R}, \tilde{\nu}_{5R} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_L \bar{\nu}_{NL}, & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{Y}_{e_5} v_d, \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{Y}_{e_4} v_d, & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{NL} v_u \end{array} \right) \times \left( \tilde{\nu}_4^L, \tilde{\nu}_5^L \right) + h.c.
\]

(13)

Similar as the exotic lepton condition, heavy exotic neutrinos are also gotten.

In BLMSSM, the exotic sleptons of 4 generation and 5 generation do not mix, and their mass matrices are both \( 2 \times 2 \). In EBLMSSM, the exotic sleptons of 4 generation and 5 generation mix together, and their mass matrix is \( 4 \times 4 \). With the base \( (\tilde{e}_4, \tilde{e}_4^a, \tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}_5^a) \), we show the elements of exotic slepton mass matrix \( M_{\tilde{e}}^2 \) in the following form.

\[
M_{\tilde{e}}^2 (\tilde{e}_4^a, \tilde{e}_5^a) = \lambda_{\tilde{e}}^L \frac{\tilde{v}_{NL}^2}{2} + \frac{g_{2}^2}{8} [Y_{e_5}^2 + M_{5}^2] - \frac{g_{2}^2 - g_{3}^2}{8} (\nu_{u}^2 - \nu_{d}^2) - g_{2}^2 (3 + L_4) V_{L}^2,
\]

\[
M_{\tilde{e}}^2 (\tilde{e}_5^a) = \lambda_{\tilde{e}}^L \frac{\tilde{v}_{NL}^2}{2} + \frac{g_{2}^2}{4} [Y_{e_5}^2 + M_{5}^2] + \frac{g_{2}^2}{4} (\nu_{u}^2 - \nu_{d}^2) + g_{2}^2 (3 + L_4) V_{L}^2,
\]

\[
M_{\tilde{e}}^2 (\tilde{e}_4^a) = \lambda_{\tilde{e}}^L \frac{\tilde{v}_{NL}^2}{2} + \frac{g_{2}^2 - g_{3}^2}{8} (\nu_{u}^2 - \nu_{d}^2).
\]
\[ M_{E_L}^2(\epsilon^c_4\tilde{e}^c_4) = \lambda_E^2 \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} - \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) + \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e4}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \]

\[ M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_5\tilde{e}^c_5) = \lambda_{E_L}^2 \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} + \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) - \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e5}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \]

\[ M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_6\tilde{e}^c_6) = \mu^*_L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} + \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) + \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e6}|^2 + - M_{L_4}^2 - g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \]

\[ M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_5\tilde{e}^c_4) = \mu^*_L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} - \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) - \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e5}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \]

\[ \begin{aligned} M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_5\tilde{e}^c_4) &= \mu^*_L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} - \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) - \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e5}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \\ M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_6\tilde{e}^c_4) &= \mu^*_L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} - \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) - \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e6}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \end{aligned} \]  

\[ M_{E_L}^2(\tilde{e}^c_5\tilde{e}^c_4) = \mu^*_L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{2} - \frac{g^2_1}{4}(v_u^2 - v_d^2) - \frac{\nu^2}{2}|Y_{e5}|^2 + M_{L_4}^2 + g^2_L L_4 V_{L_4}^2. \]

\[ \begin{aligned} M^2_N(\tilde{\nu}_4\tilde{\nu}_5^c) &= \mu^*_NL \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}} - \lambda_{NL}L \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ M^2_N(\tilde{\nu}_5\tilde{\nu}_4^c) &= \lambda_{NL} \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}} - \mu^*_NL \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ M^2_N(\tilde{\nu}_5\tilde{\nu}_5^c) &= \mu^*_NL \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}} - A_{NL}N \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ M^2_N(\tilde{\nu}_6\tilde{\nu}_4^c) &= \mu^*_NL \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}} - A_{NL}N \frac{u_{NL}^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \end{aligned} \]

For the exotic sneutrino, the mixing of generations 4 and 5 is similar as that of exotic slepton. In the base \((\tilde{\nu}_4, \tilde{\nu}_5^c, \tilde{v}_5, \tilde{v}_5^c, \tilde{v}_6^c)\), we get the mass squared matrix of the exotic sneutrino, and obtain the mass eigenstates by the matrix \(Z_N^T\) through the formula \(Z_N^T M_Z^2 Z_N = diag(m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_1}, m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_2}, m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_3}, m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_4})\).

2.2 The lepton neutralino mass matrix in EBLMSSM

In EBLMSSM, the superfields \((\Phi_L, \varphi_L, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL})\) have their SUSY superpartners \((\psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}, \psi_{\Phi_{NL}}, \psi_{\varphi_{NL}})\). They mix with \(\lambda_L\) which is the superpartner of the new lepton type gauge boson \(Z_L^\mu\). Therefore, we deduce their mass matrix in the base \((i\lambda_L, \psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L}, \psi_{\Phi_{NL}}, \psi_{\varphi_{NL}})\)

\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 2M_L & 2u_{LGL} & -2\bar{u}_{LGL} & 3u_{NLGL} & -3\bar{u}_{NLGL} \\ 2u_{LGL} & 0 & -\mu_L & 0 & 0 \\ -2\bar{u}_{LGL} & -\mu_L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3u_{NLGL} & 0 & 0 & -\mu_{NL} & 0 \\ -3\bar{u}_{NLGL} & 0 & 0 & -\mu_{NL} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  

The lepton neutralino mass eigenstates are four-component spinors \(X_{L_i}^0 = (K_{L_i}^0, \tilde{K}_{L_i}^0)^T\), and their mass matrix is diagonalized by the rotation matrix \(Z_{NL}\). The relations for the components are

\[ \begin{aligned} i\lambda_L &= \psi_{\Phi_L}^0 K_{L_i}^0, & \psi_{\Phi_L} &= \psi_{\Phi_{NL}} K_{L_i}^0, & \psi_{\varphi_L} &= Z_{NL}^0 K_{L_i}^0, \\ \psi_{\Phi_{NL}} &= Z_{NL}^0 K_{L_i}^0, & \psi_{\varphi_{NL}} &= Z_{NL}^0 K_{L_i}^0. \end{aligned} \]

In EBLMSSM, there are no \(\psi_{\Phi_{NL}}, \psi_{\varphi_{NL}}\), and the base of lepton neutralino is \((i\lambda_L, \psi_{\Phi_L}, \psi_{\varphi_L})\), whose mass matrix is \(3 \times 3\). EBLMSSM extends this matrix to \(5 \times 5\) including the BLMSSM results.

2.3 The Higgs superfields and \(Y\) in EBLMSSM

The superfields \((\Phi_L, \varphi_L, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL})\) mix together and form \(4 \times 4\) mass squared matrix, which is larger than the corresponding \(2 \times 2\) mass matrix in the BLMSSM. Diagonalizing the mass squared matrix, four CP even exotic Higgs are obtained.

\[ M^2_{\Phi_L}(\Phi_L^0\Phi_L^0) = \frac{1}{2} g^2_L \left( 6u^2 - 2\bar{u}_{L}^2 + 3(v^2_{NL} - \bar{v}_{NL}^2) \right), \]

\[ M^2_{\varphi_L}(\varphi_L^0\varphi_L^0) = \frac{1}{2} g^2_L \left( 6\bar{u}_{L}^2 - 2u^2 - 3(v^2_{NL} - \bar{v}_{NL}^2) \right). \]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\Phi_{NL}^0, \Phi_{NL}^0) = \frac{1}{2} s_L^2 \left( \frac{27}{2} v_{NL}^2 - \frac{9}{2} v_{NL}^2 + 3 (v^2_L - \bar{v}^2_L) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{NL}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi_{NL}}^2,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\phi_{NL}^2, \phi_{NL}^2) = \frac{1}{2} s_L^2 \left( \frac{27}{2} \bar{v}_{NL}^2 - \frac{9}{2} v_{NL}^2 + 3 (\bar{v}^2_L - v^2_L) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{NL}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi_{NL}}^2,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\phi_{NL}^0, \phi_{NL}^0) = -4 s_L^2 v_L \bar{v}_L - \frac{B_{NL \mu NL}}{2},
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\Phi_{NL}^0, \phi_{NL}^0) = -9 s_L^2 v_{NL} \bar{v}_{NL} - \frac{B_{NL \mu NL}}{2},
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\phi_{NL}^0, \phi_{NL}^0) = 6 s_L^2 \bar{v}_L v_{NL},
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\phi_{NL}^0, \phi_{NL}^0) = 6 s_L^2 \bar{v}_L v_{NL}. \tag{18}
\]

We use \( Z_{\phi_L} \) to diagonalize the mass squared matrix in Eq. (18), and the relation between mass eigenstates and the comments are:
\[
\Phi_L^0 = Z_{\phi_L}^1 H_{L_1}^1, \quad \Phi_L^0 = Z_{\phi_L}^2 H_{L_2}^2, \quad \Phi_L^0 = Z_{\phi_L}^3 H_{L_3}^3,
\]
\[
\Phi_{NL}^0 = Z_{\phi_{NL}}^1 H_{NL_1}^1, \quad \Phi_{NL}^0 = Z_{\phi_{NL}}^2 H_{NL_2}^2, \quad \Phi_{NL}^0 = Z_{\phi_{NL}}^3 H_{NL_3}^3. \tag{19}
\]

In EBLMSSM, the conditions for the exotic CP odd Higgs \( P_{NL}^0, \tilde{P}_{NL}^0 \) are same as those in BLMSSM, and they do not mix with the added exotic CP odd Higgs \( P_{NL}^0, \tilde{P}_{NL}^0 \). Here, we show the mass squared matrix for the added exotic CP odd Higgs \( P_{NL}^0, \tilde{P}_{NL}^0 \):
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\rho_{NL}^0, \rho_{NL}^0) = \frac{1}{2} s_L^2 \left( \frac{9}{2} v_{NL}^2 - \frac{9}{2} \bar{v}_{NL}^2 + 3 (v^2_L - \bar{v}^2_L) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{NL}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho_{NL}}^2,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\tilde{\rho}_{NL}^0, \tilde{\rho}_{NL}^0) = \frac{1}{2} s_L^2 \left( \frac{9}{2} \bar{v}_{NL}^2 - \frac{9}{2} v_{NL}^2 + 3 (\bar{v}^2_L - v^2_L) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{NL}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\rho}_{NL}}^2,
\]
\[
\mathcal{M}_S^2(\rho_{NL}^0, \tilde{\rho}_{NL}^0) = -\frac{B_{NL \mu NL}}{2}. \tag{20}
\]

The scalar superfields \( Y \) and \( Y' \) mix, and their mass squared matrix is deduced here. This condition is similar as that of \( X \) and \( X' \), then the lightest mass eigenstate of \( Y \) and \( Y' \) can be a candidate of the dark matter. With \( S_Y = g^2_3 (2 + L_4) V_y^2 \), the concrete form for the mass squared matrix is shown here. To obtain mass eigenstates, the matrix \( Z_Y \) is used through the following formula, with the supposition \( m_{Y_1}^2 < m_{Y_2}^2 \):
\[
Z_Y = \begin{pmatrix}
|\mu_Y|^2 + S_Y & -\mu_Y B_Y \\
-\mu_Y B^*_Y & |\mu_Y|^2 - S_Y
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
Y_1 & 0 \\
0 & Y_2
\end{array} \right).
\]

The superpartners of \( Y \) and \( Y' \) form four-component Dirac spinors, and the mass term for superfields \( \tilde{Y} \) is shown as:
\[
-m_{\tilde{Y}}^2 = \mu_Y \tilde{Y}, \quad \tilde{Y} = \left( \frac{\psi_Y}{\bar{\psi}_Y} \right). \tag{22}
\]

The spinor \( \tilde{Y} \) and the mixing of superfields \( Y, Y' \) are all new terms beyond BLMSSM, that add abundant contents to lepton physics and dark matter physics.

2.4 Some couplings with \( h^0 \) in EBLMSSM

In EBLMSSM, the exotic slepton(sneutrino) of generations 4 and 5 mix. So the couplings with exotic slepton(sneutrino) are different from the corresponding results in BLMSSM. We deduce the couplings of \( h^0 \) and exotic sleptons:
\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \frac{E_{ji}^2}{4 \sqrt{2} M_W} \left[ (e^2 u \sin \beta - 4 \sqrt{2} s_W \cos \beta) (Z_{E_i}^1 s_W Z_{E_j}^1 - Z_{E_j}^1 s_W Z_{E_i}^1) \right.
\]
\[
- \mu_{E_i}^2 \sqrt{2} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1 - u \sin \beta Y_{E_i} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) - A_{E_i} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{E} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1 - \frac{1}{2} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 \lambda_{E} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) \cos \alpha
\]
\[
\left. - (e^2 u \cos \beta - 4 \sqrt{2} s_W \sin \beta) (Z_{E_i}^1 s_W Z_{E_j}^1 - Z_{E_j}^1 s_W Z_{E_i}^1) \right) - u \cos \beta Y_{E_i} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) - A_{E_i} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{E} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1 - \frac{1}{2} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 \lambda_{E} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) \cos \alpha
\]
\[
\left. - (e^2 u \cos \beta - 4 \sqrt{2} s_W \sin \beta) (Z_{E_i}^1 s_W Z_{E_j}^1 - Z_{E_j}^1 s_W Z_{E_i}^1) \right) - u \cos \beta Y_{E_i} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) - A_{E_i} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1
\]
\[
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{E} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 Z_{E_j}^1 - \frac{1}{2} Y_{E_i} Z_{E_i}^1 \lambda_{E} Z_{E_j}^1 \right) \cos \alpha
\]
In this coupling, the new terms beyond BLMSSM are deduced in EBLMSSM. We show the couplings the weak-mixing angle. The concrete form of

\[
Z_{10} \sum \lambda L Z_{N}^{i} \bar{\nu}_{N} = - \frac{1}{2} Y_{\nu} Z_{N}^{i} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{N}^{i} \sin \alpha.
\]

(24)

The h^0 - \bar{L} - \bar{\nu} coupling has the same form as in BLMSSM. While, the h^0 - \bar{L} - \bar{\nu} coupling gets corrected terms, but these terms are suppressed by the tiny neutrino Yukawa coupling Y_{\nu}.

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i,j=1}^{6} \nu^{i} \bar{\nu}^{j} R_{\nu}^{0} & \sin \alpha \left[ \frac{\mu^{*}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu} Z_{N}^{i} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{N}^{j} \right] \\
& - e^{2} \frac{C_{\nu}}{C_{W}^{2}} B_{R} \sum_{i,j=1}^{6} \nu^{i} \bar{\nu}^{j} Z_{N}^{i} L_{j} \\
& + \cos \alpha \left( \left( \lambda_{\nu} \nu_{L} - A_{\nu} \right) Y_{\nu} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{N}^{i} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{N}^{j} \right) \\
& - \nu \sin \beta |Y_{\nu}|^{2} \delta_{ij} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(25)

Here, s_{W} (c_{W}) denotes sin \theta_{W} (cos \theta_{W}), with \theta_{W} representing the weak-mixing angle. The concrete form of B_{R}^{0} is in Ref. [9].

2.5 The couplings with Y

For the dark matter candidate Y_{1}, the necessary tree level couplings are deduced in EBLMSSM. We show the couplings (lepton-exotic lepton-Y) and (neutrino-exotic neutrino-Y)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \bar{e}^{i} \left( \lambda_{4} W_{L}^{i} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{j} P_{R} - \lambda_{6} U_{L}^{i} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{j} P_{L} \right) L_{i+3} Y_{j} \\
& - \sum_{i,j=1}^{6} \sum_{a=1, i,j=1}^{3} \bar{N}_{a}^{0} \left( \lambda_{4} Z_{N}^{a} \nu_{L} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{i} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{j} \right) P_{R} \\
& + \lambda_{5} Z_{N}^{i} \left( \lambda_{4} Z_{N}^{a} \nu_{L} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{i} \nu_{N} \bar{Z}_{Y}^{j} \right) \bar{N}_{a}^{0} \right) N_{i+3} Y_{j} + h.c.
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

The new gauge boson Z_{L} couples with leptons, neutrinos and Y, whose concrete forms are

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} &= - \sum_{i=1}^{3} g_{L} Z_{L}^{i} \bar{e}^{i} \gamma_{\mu} e^{i} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} g_{L}(2 + L_{A}) Z_{L}^{i} \gamma^{0} \nu_{N} \bar{\nu}_{N} \nu_{Y} P_{L} \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{6} g_{L} Z_{L}^{i} \bar{N}_{a}^{0} (Z_{N}^{a} \nu_{L} \nu_{N} \nu_{Y} P_{L} \\
& + \lambda_{5} Z_{N}^{i} \left( \lambda_{4} Z_{N}^{a} \nu_{L} \nu_{N} \nu_{Y} P_{L} \right) \bar{N}_{a}^{0} + h.c.
\end{align*}
\]

(27)

\[\varphi_{L}\] gives masses to the light neutrinos trough the see-saw mechanism and \(\Phi_{L}, \varphi_{L}, \Phi_{NL}, \varphi_{NL}\) mix together produc-
\[ \Delta_{12} = \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu^2(\Delta_4 - \mu \cot \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}_i}, m_{\tilde{E}_j}), \right. \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \cot \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \tan \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \cot \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right), \]

\[ \Delta_{13} = \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu^2(\Delta_4 - \mu \cot \beta)^2}{(m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2)^2} g(m_{\tilde{E}_i}, m_{\tilde{E}_j}), \right. \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \cot \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right), \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \cot \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{G_F Y_{e_4}^2 v^4}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2 \sin^2 \beta} \left\{ \frac{\mu(\mu \cot \beta - A_{\nu_4})}{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right\} + \ln \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{N}_1}^2}{m_{\tilde{N}_2}^2} \right), \]

(LO) contributions. The virtual t quark loop is the dominant contribution because of the large Yukawa coupling. Therefore, when the couplings of new particles and Higgs are large, they can influence the results obviously. For $h^0 \rightarrow gg$, the EBLMSSM results are same as those in BLMSSM, and are shown as [26–28]

\[ \Gamma_{NP}(h^0 \rightarrow gg) = \frac{G_F a_0^2 m_h^3}{64\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \sum_{q,q'} g_{h^0 qq'} A_{1/2}(x_q) \right|^2 + \sum_{q,q'} g_{h^0 qq'} \frac{m_q^2}{m_q^2} A_0(x_q)^2, \]

with $x_q = m_{h^0}^2/(4m_a^2)$. Here, $q$ and $q'$ are quark and exotic quark. While, $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{q}'$ denote squark and exotic squark. The concrete expressions for $g_{h^0 qq'}$, $g_{h^0 q'q'}$, $g_{h^0 \tilde{q} \tilde{q}'}$ and $g_{h^0 \tilde{q}' \tilde{q}'}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are in literature [19]. The functions $A_{1/2}(x)$ and $A_0(x)$ are [28]

\[ A_{1/2}(x) = \left[ x + (x - 1)(g(x)) \right]/x^2, \]

\[ A_0(x) = -(x - g(x))/x^2, \]

\[ g(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \arcsin^2 \sqrt{x}, & x \leq 1 \\ -1/4 \left[ \ln \frac{1+\sqrt{1-x}}{1-\sqrt{1-x}} - i\pi \right]^2, & x > 1. \end{array} \right. \]

The decay $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ obtains contributions from loop diagrams, and the leading order contributions are from the one loop diagrams. In the EBLMSSM, the exotic quark (squark) and exotic lepton (slepton) give new corrections to the decay width of $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Different from BLMSSM, the exotic leptons in EBLMSSM are more heavy and the exotic sleptons of the 4 and 5 generations mix together. These parts should influence the numerical results of the EBLMSSM theoretical prediction to the process $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ to some extent.

The decay width of $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ can be expressed as [29]

\[ \Gamma_{NP}(h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{G_F a_0^2 m_h^3}{128\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \sum_f N_c Q_f^2 g_{h^0 ff} A_{1/2}(x_f) + \frac{g_{h^0 H^+} m_{W}^2}{m_{H^+}^2} A_0(x_{H^+}) \]

\[ + g_{h^0 WW} A_1(x_W) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{g_{h^0 \tilde{q}^i \tilde{q}^i}}{m_{\tilde{q}^i}^2} A_1/2(x_{\tilde{q}^i}) + \sum_f N_c Q_f^2 g_{h^0 f f} \]

\[ \times \frac{m_\tilde{q}^i}{m_f} A_0(x_f)^2, \]

where $g_{h^0 WW} = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$ and $A_1(x) = -\left[ 2x^2 + 3x + 3(2x - 1)(g(x)) \right]/x^2$.

4 The processes $h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $h^0 \rightarrow VV$, $V = (Z, W)$ and dark matter $Y_1$

4.1 $h^0$ decays

At the LHC, $h^0$ is produced chiefly from the gluon fusion ($gg \rightarrow h^0$). The one loop diagrams are the leading order
The formulae for \( h^0 \to ZZ, WW \) are

\[
\Gamma(h^0 \to WW) = \frac{3e^4 m_{h^0}^2 |g_{h^0WW}|^2 F(m_{h^0}/m_{h^0})}{512\pi^3 s_{WW}^{4/3}},
\]

\[
\Gamma(h^0 \to ZZ) = \frac{e^4 m_{h^0}^2}{2048 \pi^3 s_{WW}^{4/3}} |g_{h^0ZZ}|^2 \times \left(7 - \frac{40}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{160}{9} s_W^4\right) F\left(m_Z/m_{h^0}\right),
\]

(35)

with \( g_{h^0ZZ} = g_{h^0WW} \) and \( F(x) \) is given out in Refs. [30–32]. The observed signals for the diphoton and ZZ, WW channels are quantified by the ratios \( R_{Z\gamma} \) and \( R_{VV} \), \( V = (Z, W) \), whose current values are \( R_{Z\gamma} = 1.16 \pm 0.18 \) and \( R_{VV} = 1.19 \pm 0.22 \) [33].

4.2 Dark matter \( Y \)

In BLMSSM, there are some dark matter candidates such as: the lightest mass eigenstate of \( X \) mixing, \( \tilde{X} \) the four-component spinor composed by the super partners of \( X \) and \( X' \). They are studied in Ref. [18]. In EBLMSSM, the dark matter candidates are more than those in BLMSSM, because the lightest mass eigenstate of \( YY' \) mixing and \( \tilde{Y} \) are dark matter candidates. After \( U(1)_L \) is broken by \( \Phi_L \) and \( \Phi_{NL} \), \( Z_2 \) symmetry is left, which guarantees the stability of the dark matters. There are only two elements \((1, -1)\) in \( Z_2 \) group. This symmetry eliminates the coupling for the mass eigenstates of \( YY' \) mixing with two SM particles. The condition for \( X \) is similar as that of \( Y \), and it is also guaranteed by the \( Z_2 \) symmetry.

In this subsection, we suppose the lightest mass eigenstate of \( YY' \) mixing in Eq. (21) as a dark matter candidate, and calculate the relic density. So we summarize the relic density constraints that any WIMP candidate has to satisfy. The interactions of the WIMP with SM particles are deduced from the EBLMSSM, then we study its annihilation rate and its relic density \( \Omega_D h^2 \) by the thermal dynamics of the Universe. The annihilation cross section \( \sigma(Y_1 Y_1^* \to \text{anything}) \) should be calculated and can be written as \( \sigma_{\nu_{\text{rel}}} = a + b \nu_{\text{rel}} \) in the \( Y_1 Y_1^* \) center of mass frame, \( \nu_{\text{rel}} \) is the twice velocity of \( Y_1 \) in the \( Y_1 Y_1^* \) e.m. system frame. To a good approximation, the freeze-out temperature (\( T_F \)) can be iteratively computed from[15–17]

\[
x_F = \frac{m_D}{T_F} \simeq \ln \left[ \frac{0.038 m_P m_D (a + 6b/x_F)}{\sqrt{8 + \chi}} \right],
\]

(36)

with \( x_F \equiv m_D/T_F \) and \( m_P = m_Y \), representing the WIMP mass, \( m_P = 1.22 \times 10^{19} \text{GeV} \) is the Planck mass and \( g_\chi \) is the number of the relativistic degrees of freedom with mass less than \( T_F \). The density of cold non-baryonic matter is \( \Omega_D h^2 = 0.1186 \pm 0.0020 \) [33], whose formula is simplified as

\[
\Omega_D h^2 \simeq \frac{1.07 \times 10^9 x_F}{\sqrt{g_* M_{PL} (a + 3b/x_F)} \text{GeV}^3}.
\]

(37)

To obtain \( a \) and \( b \) in the \( \sigma_{\nu_{\text{rel}}} \), we study the \( Y_1 Y_1^* \) dominate decay channels whose final states are leptons and light neutrinos: (1) \( Y_1 Y_1^* \to Z_L \to l l l'; (2) \( Y_1 Y_1^* \to Z_L \to \nu l l'; (3) \( Y_1 Y_1^* \to l l \to \nu l l'; (4) \( Y_1 Y_1^* \to l' l' \to \nu l l'; \) and \( \nu \to l' l' \) (5) \( Y_1 Y_1^* \to N' \to \nu l l'. \)

Using the couplings in Eqs. (26), (27), (28), we deduce the results of \( a \) and \( b \)

\[
a = \sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \frac{m_{L_i'}^2 + m_{\nu_l}^2}{m_{D}^2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_{4} W_L^{i j} Z_{Y}^{1+} \lambda_{6} U_{L}^{i j} Z_{Y}^{21+} Z_{Y}^{21+} Z_{Y}^{21+}}{8\pi} \right)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{4 m_{D}^2 - m_{\phi_i}^2}{m_{D}^2} \right) \left( \lambda_{NL} Z_{Y}^{(1+3)\alpha} Z_{Y}^{(1+3)\alpha} Z_{Y}^{21+} Z_{Y}^{21+} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( v_{UL} Z_{Y}^{11} - \bar{v}_{UL} Z_{Y}^{21} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( v_{UL} Z_{Y}^{11} - \bar{v}_{UL} Z_{Y}^{21} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{UL} Z_{Y}^{11} - \bar{v}_{UL} Z_{Y}^{21} \right) \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{UL} Z_{Y}^{11} - \bar{v}_{UL} Z_{Y}^{21} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{m_{L_i'}^2 + m_{\nu_l}^2}{m_{D}^2} \right)
\]

\[
\times \lambda_{4} Z_{Y}^{i j} W_{L}^{i j} Z_{Y}^{1+} \lambda_{6} Z_{Y}^{(1+3)\alpha} U_{L}^{i j} Z_{Y}^{21+}
\]

(38)

5 Numerical results

5.1 \( h^0 \) decays and \( m_{A^0}, m_{H^0} \)

In this section, we research the numerical results. For the parameter space, the most strict constraint is that the mass of the lightest eigenvector for the mass squared matrix in Eq. (29) is around 125.1 GeV. To satisfy this constraint, we use \( m_{h^0} = 125.1 \text{GeV} \) as an input parameter. Therefore, the CP odd Higgs mass should meet the following relation.

\[
m_{A^0}^2 = \frac{m_{h^0}^2 - m_{A^0}^2 + \Delta_{11} + \Delta_{22} - m_{A^0} \Delta_4 + \Delta_{12} - \Delta_{11} \Delta_{22}}{-m_{h^0}^2 + m_{A^0}^2 + \cos^2 2\beta + \Delta_B}.
\]

(39)
Au and bottom quark, whose concrete forms are

\[
\Delta_A = \sin^2 \beta \Delta_{11} + \cos^2 \beta \Delta_{22} + \sin 2 \beta \Delta_{12},
\]

\[
\Delta_B = \cos^2 \beta \Delta_{11} + \sin^2 \beta \Delta_{22} + \sin 2 \beta \Delta_{12}.
\]

To obtain the numerical results, we adopt the following parameters as

\[
Y_{u_1} = 1.2Y_t, \quad Y_{u_5} = 0.6Y_t, \quad Y_{d_4} = Y_{d_5} = 2Y_b,
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&g_B = 1/3, \quad \lambda_u = \lambda_d = 0.5, \\
&A_{u_4} = A_{d_4} = A_{d_5} = A_{e_5} = A_{\nu_4} = A_{\nu_5} = 1 \text{ TeV},
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_Q = 0.4, \quad g_L = 1/6, \\
&m_{\tilde{Q}_i} = m_{\tilde{U}_i} = m_{\tilde{E}_i} = m_{\tilde{N}_i} = 1 \text{ TeV},
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
Y_{e_5} = 0.6, \quad \nu_{NL} = \nu_L = A_b = 3 \text{ TeV},
\]

tan \beta_{NL} = \tan \beta_L = 2,
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_L = \lambda_{NL} = \lambda_e = 1, \quad m_{\tilde{L}} = m_{\tilde{e}} = 1.4 \delta_{ij} \text{ TeV}, \\
&A_{\tilde{L}} = A_{\tilde{L}_i} = 0.5 \delta_{ij} \text{ TeV} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3), \\
&\mu_B = 0.5 \text{ TeV}, \\
&m_{\nu_4} = m_{\nu_5} = m_{\nu_3} = 1 \text{ TeV}, \\
&m_{\tilde{N}_4} = m_{\tilde{N}_5} = m_{\tilde{E}_4} = m_{\tilde{E}_5} = m_2 = 1.5 \text{ TeV},
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
&m_{\tilde{D}_4} = 1.2 \text{ TeV}, \\
&B_4 = L_4 = 1.5.
\end{aligned}
\]

Here \(Y_t\) and \(Y_b\) are the Yukawa coupling constants of top quark and bottom quark, whose concrete forms are \(Y_t = \sqrt{2}m_t/(\nu \sin \beta)\) and \(Y_b = \sqrt{2}m_b/(\nu \cos \beta)\) respectively.

To embody the exotic squark corrections, we calculate the results versus \(A_{u_5}\) which has relation with the mass squared matrix of exotic squark. In the left diagram of Fig. 1, \(R_{YY}\) and \(R_{VV}\) versus \(A_{u_5}\) are plotted by the solid line and dashed line respectively with \(m_{\tilde{Q}_1} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} = 1.2 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta = 1.4, \ A_t = 1.7 \text{ TeV}, \nu_B = 3.6 \text{ TeV}, \mu = -2.4 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta_B = 1.5\) and \(Y_{e_5} = 0.5\). In the left diagram of Fig. 1, the solid line \(R_{YY}\) and dashed line \(R_{VV}\) change weakly with the \(A_{u_5}\). When \(A_{u_5}\) enlarges, \(R_{YY}\) is the increasing function and \(R_{VV}\) is the decreasing function. During the \(A_{u_5}\) region (-1700 to 1000) GeV, both \(R_{YY}\) and \(R_{VV}\) satisfy the experiment limits. The dot-dashed line(dotted line) in the right diagram denotes the Higgs mass \(m_0^3\) \((m_H^0)\) varying with \(A_{u_5}\). The dot-dashed line and dotted line increase mildly with \(A_{u_5}\). The value of \(m_0^3\) is a little bigger than 500 GeV, while the value of \(m_H^0\) is very near 500 GeV.

For the squark, we assume the first and second generations are heavy, so they are neglected. The scalar top quarks are not heavy, and their contributions are considerable. \(A_t\) is in the mass squared matrix of scalar top quark influencing the mass and mixing. The effects from \(A_t\) to the ratios \(R_{YY}\), \(R_{VV}\), Higgs masses \(m_A^0\) and \(m_H^0\) are of interest. As \(m_{\tilde{Q}_1} = 2.4 \text{ TeV}\), \(m_{\tilde{U}_3} = 1.2 \text{ TeV}\), \tan \beta = \tan \beta_B = 2.15, \nu_B = 4.1 \text{ TeV}, \mu = -2.05 \text{ TeV}, Y_{e_5} = 0.5 \text{ and } A_{u_5} = 1 \text{ TeV}.

\(R_{YY}\) (solid line) and \(R_{VV}\) (dashed line) versus \(A_t\) are shown in the left diagram of Fig. 2. While the right diagram of Fig. 2 gives the Higgs masses \(m_A^0\) \((\text{dot-dashed line})\) and \(m_H^0\) \((\text{dotted line})\). In the \(A_t\) region (2–4.8) TeV, the \(R_{YY}\) varies from 1.25 to 1.34. At the same time, the \(R_{VV}\) is in the range (1.2–1.38). The dot-dashed line and dotted line are very near. In the \(A_t\) region (3000–4000) GeV, the masses of Higgs \(A^0\) and \(H^0\) are around 1000 GeV. In this parameter space, the allowed biggest values of \(A^0\) and \(H^0\) masses can almost reach 1350 GeV.

\(Y_{e_5}\) is the Yukawa coupling constant that can influence the mass matrix of exotic lepton and exotic slepton. We use \(m_{\tilde{Q}_1} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} = 1.2 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta = 2.3, \quad \tan \beta_B = 1.77, \quad A_t = 1.7 \text{ TeV}, \quad \nu_B = 5.43 \text{ TeV}, \quad \mu = -2.64 \text{ TeV}, \quad A_{u_5} = 1 \text{ TeV}\) and obtain the results versus \(Y_{e_5}\) in the Fig. 3. In the left diagram, the \(R_{YY}\) (solid line) and \(R_{VV}\) (dashed line) are around 1.3 and their changes are small during the \(Y_{e_5}\) range (0.05–1). One can see that in the right diagram \(m_A^0\) \((\text{dot-dashed line})\) and \(m_H^0\) \((\text{dotted line})\) possess same behavior versus \(Y_{e_5}\). They are both decreasing functions of \(Y_{e_5}\) and vary from 1500 to 500 GeV. In general, \(Y_{e_5}\) effect to the Higgs masses \(m_A^0\) and \(m_H^0\) is obvious.
Fig. 2 The results versus $A_t$ are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and $R_{VV}$ (dashed line) are in the left diagram. $m_{A^0}$ (dot-dashed line) and $m_{H^0}$ (dotted line) are in the right diagram.

Fig. 3 The results versus $Y_{e_4}$ are shown. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ (solid line) and $R_{VV}$ (dashed line) are in the left diagram. $m_{A^0}$ (dot-dashed line) and $m_{H^0}$ (dotted line) are in the right diagram.

$m_{\tilde{Q}_3}$ and $m_{\tilde{U}_3}$ are the diagonal elements of the squark mass squared matrix, and they should affect the results. Supposing $m_{\tilde{Q}_3} = m_{\tilde{U}_3} = M_Q$, $\tan \beta = 2.1$, $\tan \beta_B = 2.24$, $A_t = 1.7 \text{TeV}$, $v_B = 3.95 \text{TeV}$, $\mu = -1.9 \text{TeV}$, $Y_{e_4} = 0.6$, $A_{h_2} = 1 \text{TeV}$, we calculate the results versus $M_Q$ and plot the diagrams in the Fig. 4. It shows that in this figure the solid line, dashed line, dotted line and dot-dashed line are all stable. $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $R_{VV}$ are around 1.2. At the same time $m_{A^0}$ and $m_{H^0}$ are about 1 TeV.

5.2 Scalar dark matter $Y_1$

Here, we suppose $Y_1$ as a scalar dark matter candidate. In Ref. [33] the density of cold non-baryonic matter is $\Omega_D h^2 = 0.1186 \pm 0.0020$. To obtain the numerical results of dark matter relic density, for consistency the used parameters in this subsection are of the same values as in Eq. (41) if they are supposed. Therefore, we just show the values of the parameters beyond Eq. (41). These parameters are taken as

$\mu_Y = 1500 \text{GeV}$, $\lambda_5 = 1$,
$\mu_L = B_L = B_{NL} = 1 \text{TeV}$, $\tan \beta = 1.4$,

$B_Y = 940 \text{GeV}$, $m_{\Phi_L}^2 = m_{\Phi_{NL}}^2 = \frac{2}{3} \text{TeV}^2$, $Y_{e_4} = 0.5$. \hfill (42)

With the relation $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = Lm$, we study relic density $\Omega_D$ and $x_F$ versus $Lm$ in the Fig. 5. In the right diagram of Fig. 5, the grey area is the experimental results in 3 $\sigma$ and the solid line representing $\Omega_D h^2$ turns small with the increasing $Lm$. During the $Lm$ region (0.7–1.4), $\Omega_D h^2$ satisfies the experiment bounds of dark matter relic density. $x_F$ is stable and in the region (23.5–24).

Taking $Y_{e_4} = 1.3$, $\lambda_4 = \lambda_6 = 1$ and the other parameters being same as Eq. (42) condition, we plot the relic density($x_F$) versus $Y_{e_4}$ in the left (right) diagram of the Fig. 6. In this parameter space, during $Y_{e_4}$ region (0.1–2.5), our theoretical results satisfy the relic density bounds of dark matter, and $x_F$ is very near 23.55. Generally speaking, both the solid line and dashed line are very stable.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Considering the light exotic lepton in BLMSSM, we add exotic Higgs superfields $\Phi_{NL}$ and $\varphi_{NL}$ to BLMSSM in order
Fig. 4 The results versus $MQ$ are shown. $R_{γγ}$ (solid line) and $RVV$ (dashed line) are in the left diagram. $mA$ (dot-dashed line) and $mH$ (dotted line) are in the right diagram.

Fig. 5 The relic density and $xF$ versus $Lm$

Fig. 6 The relic density and $xF$ versus $Ye$.

to make the exotic leptons heavy. Light exotic leptons may be excluded by the experiment in the future. On the other hand, heavy exotic leptons should not be stable. So we also introduce the superfields $Y$ and $Y'$ to make exotic leptons decay quickly. The lightest mass eigenstate of $Y$ and $Y'$ mixing mass matrix can be a dark matter candidate. Therefore, the exotic leptons are heavy enough to decay to SM leptons and $Y$ at tree level. We call this extended BLMSSM as EBLMSSM, where the mass matrices for the particles are deduced and compared with those in BLMSSM. Different from BLMSSM, the exotic sleptons of 4 and 5 generations mix together forming $4 \times 4$ mass squared matrix. EBLMSSM has more abundant content than BLMSSM for the lepton physics.

To confine the parameter space of EBLMSSM, we study the decays $h^0 \rightarrow γγ$ and $h^0 \rightarrow VV, V = (Z, W)$. The CP even Higgs masses $m_{h^0}, m_{H^0}$ and CP odd Higgs mass $m_{A^0}$ are researched. In the numerical calculation, to keep $m_{μ^0} = 125.1$ GeV, we use it as an input parameter. In our used parameter space, the values of $R_{γγ}$ and $RVV$ both meet the experiment limits. The CP odd Higgs mass $m_{A^0}$
is a little heavier than the CP even Higgs mass $m_{H^0}$. Generally speaking, both $m_{A^0}$ and $m_{H^0}$ are in the region (500–1500) GeV. Based on the supposition that the lightest mass eigenstate $Y_1$ of $Y$ and $Y'$ mixing possesses the character of cold dark matter, we research the relic density of $Y_1$. In our used parameter space, $\Omega_1 h^2$ of $Y_1$ can match the experiment bounds. EBLMSSM has a bit more particles and parameters than those in BLMSSM. Therefore, EBLMSSM possesses stronger adaptive capacity to explain the experiment results and some problems in the theory. In our later work, we shall study the EBLMSSM and confine its parameter space to move forward a single step.
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