Characterisations of human prostate stem cells reveal deficiency in class I UGT enzymes as a novel mechanism for castration-resistant prostate cancer
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Background: Evidence increasingly supports that prostate cancer is initiated by the malignant transformation of stem cells (SCs). Furthermore, many SC-signalling pathways are shown to be shared in prostate cancer. Therefore, we planned transcriptome characterisation of adult prostate SCs as a strategy to consider new targets for cancer treatment.

Methods: Intuitive pathway analysis was used for putative target discovery in 12 matched selections of human prostate SCs, transiently amplifying cells and terminally differentiated cells. These were pooled into three groups according to the stage of differentiation for mRNA microarray analysis. Targets identified were validated using uncultured primary tissue (n=12), functional models of prostate cancer and a tissue microarray consisting of benign (n=42) and malignant prostate (n=223).

Results: A deficiency in class 1 UDP glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzymes (UGT1A) was identified in prostate SCs, which are involved in androgen catabolism. Class 1 UGT enzyme expression was also downregulated in cancer SCs and during progression to metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Reduction of UGT1A expression in vitro was seen to improve cell survival and increase androgen receptor (AR) activity, as shown by upregulation of prostate-specific antigen expression.

Interpretation: Inactivation of intracellular androgen catabolism represents a novel mechanism to maintain AR activity during CRPC.

Mechanistic studies of tumorigenesis point towards stem cells (SCs) being the cell of origin in prostate cancer initiation, suggesting stem pathways have a crucial role in the early stages of cancer development (Gu et al, 2007; Goldstein et al, 2010; Lawson et al, 2010). Furthermore, known stem pathways have been seen to be aberrantly expressed as the prostate cancer progresses (Linn et al, 2010; Jia et al, 2011; Kregel et al, 2013). Characterisation of the genes at play within prostate SCs, and characterising these same markers in the malignant setting may identify unique therapeutic opportunities for the treatment of prostate cancer.

We have recently demonstrated the presence of the androgen receptor (AR) within normal human prostate SCs (Williamson et al, 2012), so identification of specific novel AR pathway processes within these cells will be of particular importance. Such mechanisms may explain the maintenance of androgen ‘addiction’ in the malignant setting during cancer progression despite androgen deprivation, as it is established that the AR pathway has diverse roles depending on the degree of prostate differentiation (Gregory et al, 1998; Buchanan et al, 2001; Mousses et al, 2001; Chen et al, 2004; Taplin and Balk, 2004; Attard et al, 2009; Bonkhoff and Berges, 2010; Lee et al, 2012).
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Human prostate CSCs can be enriched by their expressions of $\alpha_2\beta_1$-integrins and CD133 (Richardson et al., 2004). In this work, we describe gene expression signatures associated with SCs ($\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{+}VE$), transiently amplifying (TAP) cells ($\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{−}VE$) and terminally differentiated (TD) cells ($\alpha_2\beta_1^{LOW}CD133^{−}VE$). Following the intuitive pathway analysis of transcriptome characterisation of human prostates. Within normal prostate SCs, we discovered a deficiency in class 1 UDP (uridine 5′-diphospho)-glucuronosyltransferase; UGT) enzymes (UGTA1), which are regulators of androgen catabolism. Our functional in vitro models of prostate cancer demonstrated that UGT1A knock down leads to upregulation of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) along with increased cell survival. Furthermore, reduced UGT1A expression was shown to be a feature of clinical prostate cancer that was associated with a poorer prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue collection and gene expression array analysis. Human prostate samples were collected from a total of 50 patients and processed, as previously described (Williamson et al., 2012), to yield $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{+}VE$ cells (stem progenitors), $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{−}VE$ (transiently amplifying progenitors) and $\alpha_2\beta_1^{LOW}CD133^{−}VE$ (differentiated) epithelial cells without culturing (Richardson et al., 2004; Heer et al., 2006; Williamson et al., 2012). These immediately underwent RNA isolation (micro RNeasy, Qiagen, Manchester, UK), with only the highest quality samples being taken forward for analysis. To ensure the highest quality of data, before being taken forward for study, samples must have demonstrated an RNA integrity number > 8.0 in each selected fraction. This approach yielded 12 high-quality matched patient samples to be taken forward for further analysis (Supplementary Table 1). These were pooled using a validated methodology to account for biological variance (Kendirzorski et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007) creating three pooled samples of $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{+}VE$, $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{−}VE$ and $\alpha_2\beta_1^{LOW}CD133^{−}VE$. These samples were then investigated on Affymetrix (High Wycombe, UK) U133 plus 2.0 chips. Detailed methods and analyses used are outlined in depth in the Supplementary Information.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis. MessageBOOSTER cDNA synthesis amplification kit (Epicient Biotechnologies, Madison, WI, USA) was employed for prospective validation of gene signatures identified in the microarray on the unpooled individual sorted samples. Real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) 7900HT) was performed on subsequent cDNA libraries using SYBR Green (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) and cDNA-specific primers sets detailed in Supplementary Table 2. All data were normalised to the housekeeping gene GAPDH.

Maintenance of prostate cancer cell lines. Human prostate benign cell line BPH-1 in addition to human prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP and VCaP (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA, USA) were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma, Gillingham, UK) containing 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma) and 2 mM l-glutamine (Sigma), referred to as complete medium. The isogenic androgen-independent cell line LNCaP-AI, used to mimic castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC; Halkidiou et al., 2003), was maintained in steroid-depleted media; RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma) containing 10% heat-inactivated dextran charcoal-treated fetal calf serum (Hyclone, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and 2 mM l-glutamine (Sigma).

Small-interfering RNA knock down. Cells were seeded in six-well plates before being transfected with either non-silencing small-interfering RNA (siRNA) or equivalent concentration UGT1A siRNA (sequences are listed in Supplementary Information) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen). Additional methods, including western blot, are available in Supplementary Information.

RESULTS

Post-hybridisation quality assurance of microarray data series. Quality assessment of samples confirmed 3′-end-/middle (3′/M) ratios for housekeeping genes below the required threshold of 3, which confirms linear amplification of samples before running of samples and was in keeping with the high-quality threshold set for the samples utilised (Supplementary Figure S3). Investigation of the top genes up- and downregulated between the epithelial fractions confirmed CD133 as the top ranked differentially expressed transcript in the comparison with the $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{+}VE$ and $\alpha_2\beta_1^{LOW}CD133^{−}VE$ fractions (17.88-fold increase in the $\alpha_2\beta_1^{LOW}CD133^{−}VE$ fraction). Distinct gene signatures were observed for each of the transcript profiles for prostate SCs, TAPs and TD cells, and prospective validation of these transcript patterns during differentiation was confirmed using CD133 and p63 real-time PCR (Supplementary Figure S3), with patterns of expression for key markers of prostate differentiation (AR, KLK2, KLK3 and TMPRSS2) matching those shown previously (Williamson et al., 2012).

Differential androgen metabolism and signalling is observed in SCs, CSCs and throughout disease progression. Pathway ontology analysis was undertaken to characterise groups of genes altered during prostate differentiation based on their interacting biological function. Pathway analyses comparing the $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{+}VE$ fraction of SCs with the $\alpha_2\beta_1^{H}CD133^{−}VE$ fraction of early differentiation-committed cells (TAPs) highlighted ‘testosterone biosynthesis, metabolism’ as one of the top ranked differentially expressed pathways ($P<0.001$; Figure 1). Detailed interrogation of the androgen pathways revealed no change in gene expression within the anabolic component of testosterone biosynthesis during normal prostate differentiation (Supplementary Figure S4). However, the catabolic pathways showed a significant difference but only in the UGT1A-enzyme family. Class 1 UGT enzyme expression showed 4- to 10-fold downregulation in normal SCs compared with more differentiated cells, depending upon the
different probe sets for this family in the array (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure S5). UDP glucuronosyltransferase enzymes remove hydrophobic molecules, such as testosterone, from cells by glucuronidation. Previous studies on testosterone glucuronidation have concentrated on the class 2 enzymes in the prostate and in prostate cancer, specifically UGT2B7, UGT2B15 and UGT2B17 (Belanger et al, 2003; Chouinard et al, 2007); however, these were seen to be unaltered between the three epithelial fractions of this study (Supplementary Figure S5). Of note, the specific probe set for UGT1A8 was the third most downregulated probe set (10-fold, \(P < 0.001\)) in the comparison between the \(\delta_2\beta_1^{HI}\) CD133 \(^{+}\) VE and the \(\delta_2\beta_1^{HI}\) CD133 \(^{-}\) VE fraction. Assessment of individual UGT1A enzymes using isofrom-specific real-time PCR primer sets in unpooled individual human prostate samples confirmed UGT1A8 as the highest expressed enzyme in the \(\delta_2\beta_1^{LOW}\) CD133 \(^{-}\) VE TD fraction, and that none of the UGT1A enzymes were detectable in the \(\delta_2\beta_1^{HI}\) CD133 \(^{-}\) VE fraction. Blue thermometers indicate downregulation of genes in the \(\delta_2\beta_1^{HI}\) CD133 \(^{-}\) VE fraction.

Reduction in UGT1A expression is seen in cell line models of CRPC and leads to increased AR activity. The functional effects of reduced UGT1A enzymes expression were explored using functional models of prostate cancer. Class 1 UGT protein expression was confirmed in the benign prostatic cell line BPH-1 and the androgen-dependent cell line LNCaP; however, reduced levels of expression for UGT1A were seen in both the isogenic LNCaP-AI and castration-resistant derived line VCaP (Figure 3A). To explore whether reduced UGT1A expression was a functional feature of prostate cancer progression, LNCaP cells were transfected with siRNA targeting the UGT1A enzymes in standard steroid-containing medium. Following UGT1A knock down, increased AR activity was evident by increased PSA expression despite no change in AR expression compared with scrambled siRNA control (Figure 3B). Furthermore, following UGT1A knock down LNCaP cells were seen to have a two-fold increase in clonogenicity compared with scrambled siRNA controls (\(P < 0.05\); Figure 3C). These data confirm that AR is more active in cells, which is probably because of the presence of relatively higher intracellular levels of steroids expected with lower levels of UGT1A.
UGT1A loss allows for androgen ablation survival

Class 1 UGT enzyme expression is downregulated in clinical prostate cancer progression. Decreasing levels of UGT1A immunostaining was associated with increasingly aggressive disease, as determined by D’Amico prostate cancer risk (based on Gleason grade, stage and presenting PSA; P = 0.04, Kruskal–Wallis test; Figure 4A and B). Low levels of UGT1A expression were also associated with increased serum PSA levels upon clinical presentation (P = 0.003, Mann–Whitney U-test; Figure 4C), consistent with reduced UGT1A expression increasing intracellular testosterone bioavailability and AR activity. Interestingly, despite patients with low UGT1A expression being associated with higher-risk disease, they initially responded much better to hormonal therapy than those with high UGT1A expression (P = 0.03, Mann–Whitney U-test; Figure 4D), suggesting a more pronounced ligand-dependant AR activity in tumours with low UGT1A expression. However, this initial responsiveness to hormones is transient as reduced UGT1A expression correlated with a significant reduction in patient survival (P = 0.025, Log-rank analysis; Figure 4E). In particular, this survival effect was pronounced in patients with metastatic disease (P = 0.038, Log-rank analysis; Figure 4F). Interestingly, time to CRPC was not significantly different between high- and low-UGT groups, and it may be that different hormone-escape pathways are established in these two groups during progression to death from the advent of CRPC. Although Cox multivariate analysis showed that UGT1A expression did not confer additional prognostic indication of survival independent of grade and stage, we nevertheless show that reduced UGT1A expression correlates with an increased AR signalling, supporting its role as a mechanism of castration resistance.

DISCUSSION

There is growing evidence that it is the SCs in the ageing prostate that acquire the required mutations to become the cell of origin for malignant transformation and that prostate tumours are maintained by a hierarchal CSC model (Collins et al, 2005; Gu et al,

Figure 2. Expression of UGTA1 enzymes in the prostate differentiation and prostate cancer progression. Error bars represent s.e. of the mean. (A) Expression of individual UGTA1 enzymes following real-time PCR normalised to GAPDH (n = 6). (B) The GSEA for UGT1A comparisons in stem cell (SC) and cancer SC (CSC) vs terminally differentiated (TD) normal and terminally differentiated cancer (CTD) cells, respectively. Barcode plots are graphical representations of the Wilcoxon gene-set test results using ranks. The ranks of the sorted log-fold changes between conditions run along the x axis from high (pink) to low (green). Black lines represent matches to the UGTA1 enzymes. P-values are given in the tables below for the alternative hypothesis that the UGTA1 enzymes tend to be (i) upregulated in the data set or (ii) downregulated. (C) The GSEA for comparisons of UGT1A expression in benign prostate (Ben.), primary prostate cancer (1°) and castrate-resistant metastatic cancer (Mets).

Table 1: Fold-change in colony-forming efficiency following UGT1A knock down

| Probeset | 1° vs Ben. | Mets vs Ben. | Mets vs 1° |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Up       | 0.070      | 0.773        | 0.990      |
| Down     | 0.930      | 0.227        | 0.010      |

Figure 3. Functional characterisation of altered UGT1A expression in prostate cancer. (A) Representative western blot analysis measuring expression of UGT1A. (B) Representative western blot analysis of UGT1A, AR and PSA following knock down with UGT1A siRNA. (C) A 2D colony-forming efficiency of LNCaP in complete medium following knock down with UGT1A siRNA (n = 3; P < 0.05).
However, the relevance of the signalling pathways maintaining these cell types during progression of the disease into lethal CRPC remains poorly understood. A transcriptome-based target discovery approach, looking at the pathways within the SC hierarchy and their role in the malignant setting would be of interest. This approach was explored in this paper and revealed novel target pathways.}

There is an ongoing debate over the nature of the SC organisation of the prostate epithelium. Most models of prostate homoeostasis have advocated the presence of undifferentiated SCs within the basal compartment of the prostate (English et al., 1987; Isaacs and Coffey, 1989; Richardson et al., 2004). In particular, a single basally derived SC has been shown to regenerate a fully differentiated prostate epithelium (Leong et al., 2008). The location of the SC niche, however, has been questioned following the identification of luminally derived castration-resistant Nkx3.1 cells (CARNs) (Germann et al., 2012), which are also capable of reconstituting the prostate epithelium from a single cell. An alternative model comes from mouse lineage-tracing experiments that show basally derived multipotent SCs give rise to unipotent basal and luminal progenitors during postnatal development; however, in the adult gland, the epithelium is maintained by unipotent progenitors specific for basal and luminal layers.
et al. support a persistent ‘addiction’ of prostate cancer cells to AR CSCs and advanced prostate cancer, highlights mechanisms that in testosterone catabolism within normal human SCs, in addition UGT enzymes that are required to fully di-glucuronidate responsiveness within prostate cancer, it is both class I and class II Although previous studies of testosterone glucuronidation have DHT (Murai et al., 2006) and has a specific activity against C19 enzymes (Tukey and Strassburg, 2000). We have previously demonstrated that normal SCs of the prostate express low levels of active AR, but that differentiated cells express higher levels of the receptor with higher AR activity. In the normal setting, despite a deficiency in UGT1A enzymes, it appears the receptor is tightly regulated in SCs (Williamson et al., 2012). It is in the cancer setting, where AR function is already overactive and being actively driven (Feldman and Feldman, 2001; Attard et al., 2009; Bonkhoff and Berges, 2010), that deficiency in UGT1A seems to contribute to pathogenesis, with low expression of the enzymes correlating with poor prognosis and downregulation in vitro seen to contribute to AR activation. Deficiency in UGT1A enzymes provides an additional mechanism to maintain AR signalling in CRPC, contributing to recognised phenomena of androgen hypersensitivity, AR amplification and local testosterone production (Feldman and Feldman, 2001). Attempts were made to determine whether these cells had increased testosterone levels using mass spectroscopy; however, because of in vitro culture conditions with large quantities of steroids that can passively cross the cell surface, the system was saturated while preparing specimens, and we were unable to determine intracellular levels with accurate sensitivity. Nevertheless, PSA expression remains an excellent proxy for AR pathway upregulation in our data.

Studies of UGTA1 enzymes have been limited to date, in part because of the similarities of each enzyme to each other. Future studies characterising mechanisms of UGTA1 enzyme gene silencing would be of therapeutic interest in prostate cancer. Our work supports models of CRPC where there is a persistent reliance of prostate cancer cells on AR signalling and AR ligands, reinforcing a mechanistic role for newer therapies that target testosterone and highlights a novel androgen catabolism pathway for target development.
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