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Abstract

**Background:** The present study aimed to describe the perception of safety from crime in the neighborhood and to evaluate its association with leisure-time and transport-related physical activity in adults.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional population based study was conducted in the urban area of Pelotas, Brazil, in 2012. Perceived insecurity from crime in the neighborhood was measured using the Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale (NEWS) and the City Stress Inventory (CSI). Physical activity was measured using an adapted version of the leisure and transportation sections of the long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire.

**Results:** Overall, 52.3% (95%CI49.0; 55.6) of the participants reported perceived exposure to an unsafe neighborhood. Subjects who practiced 150 or more minutes per week of physical activity during leisure-time and transportation were 10.5% (95%CI9.0; 12.0) and 51.7% (95%CI48.7; 54.7), respectively. There were no significant associations between physical activity (leisure-time or transport-related) and perceived insecurity from crime, neither in unadjusted nor in adjusted analyses.

**Conclusion:** There was no evidence that the perception of safety from crime is associated to higher physical activity levels among Brazilian adults.

Introduction

Over 5 million annual deaths worldwide are attributable to physical inactivity [1], a risk factor that affects one third of the world's adult population [2]. For public health, understanding the correlates and determinants of this behavior is therefore essential [3]. Until two decades ago, there were few studies linking environmental characteristics with physical activity practice [3]. Most correlates investigated at that time were based on theoretical models emphasizing psychological and social influences on behavior, not considering aspects that go beyond the individual level [4].

More recently, ecological models have gained widespread attention in the field, emphasizing that one's behavior is influenced by factors ranging from the individual to the macro-societal levels [5]. Consequently, several studies have explored the influence of environmental factors on the development or maintenance of healthy behavior, characterizing the individuals' interaction with the environment as an important tool to understand complex behaviors such as physical inactivity [3,6,7].

In this context, topics like population growth, insecurity related to traffic, air pollution and access to parks and other facilities have been identified as important correlates of physical activity [8]. Perceived insecurity from crime is another characteristic that has been studied as a possible correlate of physical activity, although the findings on this association remain inconsistent [9]. Furthermore, leisure-time and transport-related physical activity have different correlates [10] and studies reporting findings specific to each domain are desirable. Additionally, few studies from low and middle-income countries are available, exactly the parts of the world in which insecurity from crime is more of a major public health threat [11]. For example, the average homicide rate worldwide was estimated at 7.9 per 100,000 people, whereas in Brazil the equivalent rate was 29.6 per 100,000 people [12].

The aim of the present study was to describe the perception of insecurity from crime in the neighborhood and to evaluate its association with leisure-time and transport-related physical activity in adults living in Pelotas, Brazil.

Methods

The Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Pelotas Medical School approved the study protocol (n°. 17/11) and written informed consent was obtained from each participant. This study is part of a multipurpose health survey, further details about its methodology are available in a previous publication [13]. It was a cross-sectional population based study carried out in the urban area of Pelotas, Brazil in 2012. The city is located in the
south of Rio Grande do Sul state, with a population of about 300,000 inhabitants in the urban zone [14].

The sampling process was conducted in multiple stages. The primary sampling units were 495 census tracts defined by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE). Each census tract contains approximately 300 households. In total, 130 census tracts were randomly sampled and around 13 households per tract were systematically selected. All individuals aged 20 years or older, living in the sampled households, were eligible for the study, with the exception of those with serious physical or mental impairment that impeded them to answer to the questionnaire. At least three attempts with each sampled individual were done before considering him/her as a refusal; no replacement was done.

The outcome variables leisure-time and transport-related physical activity were assessed through an adapted version of the leisure and transportation sections of the long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [15]. Transport-related physical activity was measured using questions referring to walking or bicycle riding. Leisure-time physical activity was measured using questions related to frequency and duration of walking, running and bicycle riding.

Individuals who reported 150 minutes or more per week of leisure-time physical activity were considered active in this domain [16]. In terms of transport-related physical activity, those reporting to use an active mode of transportation at least once per week were considered active. In both domains, only activities with a

### Table 1. Sample description and the prevalence of physical activity according to demographic, socioeconomic and environmental variables.

| Variables                  | Leisure-time physical activity | Transport-related physical activity |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                            | N    | %         | Prevalence (95%CI) | p       | N    | %         | Prevalence (95%CI) | p       |
| Gender                     |      |           |                    |         |      |           |                    |         |
| Male                       | 1,184| 41.2      | 13.0 (11.0 – 15.1) | 0.001** | 49.6 | 46.0 – 53.1 |
| Female                     | 1,690| 58.8      | 8.7 (7.1 – 10.4)   | 0.053*  | 53.2 | 49.7 – 56.7 |
| Skin color                 |      |           |                    |         |      |           |                    |         |
| White                      | 2,375| 82.6      | 10.9 (9.3 – 12.5)  | 0.144*  | 51.2 | 48.1 – 54.2 |
| Non-white                  | 499  | 17.4      | 8.6 (6.0 – 11.3)   | 0.239*  | 54.3 | 48.8 – 59.8 |
| Age (years)                |      |           |                    |         |      |           |                    |         |
| 20–29                      | 607  | 21.2      | 10.7 (7.7 – 13.7)  | 0.176*  | 58.6 | 53.7 – 63.4 |
| 30–39                      | 535  | 18.6      | 7.5 (5.2 – 9.8)    | 0.001** | 49.0 | 44.3 – 53.7 |
| 40–49                      | 586  | 20.4      | 10.9 (8.1 – 13.7)  | 0.001** | 52.0 | 47.0 – 56.9 |
| 50–59                      | 507  | 17.6      | 12.5 (9.4 – 15.6)  | 0.001** | 52.8 | 47.7 – 57.8 |
| 60 or more                 | 639  | 22.2      | 10.8 (7.8 – 13.8)  | 0.001** | 46.4 | 41.7 – 51.1 |
| Schooling (years of study) |      |           |                    |         |      |           |                    |         |
| 0 – 4                      | 503  | 17.6      | 5.2 (3.0 – 7.4)    | 0.001** | 50.0 | 45.3 – 54.7 |
| 5 – 8                      | 801  | 27.9      | 9.1 (6.7 – 11.6)   | 0.494*  | 50.0 | 45.6 – 54.5 |
| 9 – 12                     | 897  | 31.2      | 11.3 (9.0 – 13.5)  | 0.001** | 53.0 | 49.1 – 56.9 |
| 13 or more                 | 670  | 23.3      | 15.1 (11.9 – 18.3) | 0.001** | 53.4 | 48.1 – 58.6 |
| Per capita income (quintiles)* |      |           |                    |         |      |           |                    |         |
| 1° - Until R$ 344,10       | 564  | 20.3      | 10.0 (6.9 – 13.0)  | 0.001*  | 57.6 | 51.8 – 63.5 |
| 2° - R$ 344,11 to 580,50   | 551  | 19.8      | 7.1 (4.4 – 9.7)    | 0.001*  | 51.3 | 46.2 – 56.4 |
| 3° - R$ 580,51 to 807,33   | 553  | 19.9      | 11.1 (8.2 – 14.0)  | 0.001*  | 53.0 | 48.2 – 57.7 |
| 4° - R$ 807,34 to 1440,27  | 552  | 19.8      | 8.5 (6.3 – 10.8)   | 0.001*  | 51.0 | 45.2 – 56.8 |
| 5° - R$ 1,440,28 to 20,000,00 | 562 | 20.2      | 15.5 (11.8 – 19.2) | 0.001*  | 46.3 | 40.8 – 51.9 |

Continuation

| City areas of Pelotas      | Leisure-time physical activity | Transport-related physical activity |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                            | N    | %         | Prevalence (95%CI) | p       | N    | %         | Prevalence (95%CI) | p       |
| Center                     | 554  | 19.3      | 15.0 (11.5 – 18.5) | 0.002*  | 60.8 | 55.0 – 66.5 |
| Periphery                  | 2,320| 80.7      | 10.5 (9.0 – 12.0)  | 0.001*  | 49.5 | 46.2 – 52.9 |
| Total                      | 2,874| 100       | 10.5 (9.0 – 12.0)  | 0.001*  | 51.7 | 48.7 – 54.7 |

Pelotas/RS-Brazil, 2012.
*One Brazilian Real equivalent to 2.29 American Dollars (exchange rate used: August 2, 2013).
** Chi-square test for linear trend.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108136.t001

The outcome variables leisure-time and transport-related physical activity were assessed through an adapted version of the leisure and transportation sections of the long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [15]. Transport-related physical activity was measured using questions referring to walking or bicycle riding. Leisure-time physical activity was measured using questions related to frequency and duration of walking, running and bicycle riding.

Individuals who reported 150 minutes or more per week of leisure-time physical activity were considered active in this domain [16]. In terms of transport-related physical activity, those reporting to use an active mode of transportation at least once per week were considered active. In both domains, only activities with a
minimum duration of 10 minutes were considered. For those subjects who reported to practice leisure-time physical activities, we inquired how distant (if near or far) from their households these activities were practiced.

The questions on the perception of insecurity from crime were based on the “safety from crime” domain from the Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale (NEWS), an instrument that has been validated in many countries, including Brazil [17]. These questions provide information about the individuals’ perception regarding criminality and insecurity for walking in the neighborhood. Questions from the City Stress Inventory (CSI) [18], a scale about disorders and exposure to violence in the neighborhood, were used as well. “Neighborhood” or sentences like “near your house” were defined as places for which the participants could walk in less than 15 minutes. The questions about insecurity were:

1) Near your house, how many times do mild crimes, such as wall graffiti, public telephones destruction, or small thefts like bicycles, gas cylinders or lamps thefts, happen?; 2) Near your house, do serious crimes like armed robbery, burglary, and assaults happen?; 3) Near your house, does buying and sale of drugs happen?; 4) During the day, near your house, do you feel safe to walk, ride a bicycle or do sports?; 5) During the night, near your house, do you feel safe to walk, ride a bicycle or do sports?”. Each of the five questions presented four categories of response: a) never; b) sometimes or few times; c) many times or almost always; d) I do not know. Perceived insecurity from crime was defined as when individuals answered the option many times or almost always in, at least, one of the three first questions, or the option never in, at least, one of the two last ones.

Data were collected through face-to-face interviews, conducted by trained personnel. Interviewers were trained for 40 hours prior to data collection. Pilot studies were conducted in order to evaluate the understanding of the questionnaire by the respondents, as well as took place as the last stage of the training of the interviewers. Quality control was performed by revisiting a random 10% of the sampled individuals. For this group, a summary version of the research instrument was applied, for an

Table 2. Sample description and the prevalence of physical activity according to the variables related to perceived safety from crime.

| Variables                          | N     | %      | Leisure-time physical activity | p    | Prevalence (95%CI) | Transport-related physical activity | p    |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------|------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| Mild crimes                        |       |        | Prevalence (95%CI)             |      |                    | Prevalence (95%CI)                 |      |
| Never                              | 1,168 | 40.7   | 9.0 (7.0 – 11.0)               | 0.118* | 50.9 (47.1 – 54.6) | 0.622* |
| Sometimes or few times             | 1,327 | 46.3   | 11.6 (9.7 – 13.6)              |      | 52.7 (49.1 – 56.3) | 0.567* |
| Many times or almost always        | 372   | 13.0   | 11.1 (7.6 – 14.7)              |      | 50.9 (45.3 – 56.6) | 0.567* |
| Serious crimes                     |       |        |                                |      |                    |                                    |      |
| Never                              | 1,310 | 45.6   | 11.3 (9.2 – 13.4)              | 0.313* | 52.6 (49.0 – 56.2) | 0.663* |
| Sometimes or few times             | 1,271 | 44.3   | 10.2 (8.2 – 12.2)              |      | 50.6 (47.0 – 54.2) | 0.611* |
| Many times or almost always        | 291   | 10.1   | 8.3 (4.9 – 11.6)               |      | 52.8 (46.0 – 59.5) | 0.653* |
| Buying and sale of drugs           |       |        |                                |      |                    |                                    |      |
| Never                              | 1,703 | 59.3   | 10.8 (8.9 – 12.7)              | 0.401* | 51.0 (47.5 – 54.4) | 0.731* |
| Sometimes or few times             | 449   | 15.7   | 11.6 (8.6 – 14.6)              |      | 52.6 (47.2 – 57.9) | 0.611* |
| Many times or almost always        | 717   | 25.0   | 9.1 (6.5 – 11.7)               |      | 53.0 (48.2 – 57.8) | 0.653* |
| Safety for physical activity during the day |       |        |                                |      |                    |                                    |      |
| Never                              | 182   | 6.4    | 8.8 (4.6 – 13.1)               | 0.731* | 48.4 (41.2 – 55.5) | 0.521* |
| Sometimes or few times             | 412   | 14.4   | 10.4 (7.6 – 13.3)              |      | 51.5 (45.5 – 57.4) | 0.193* |
| Many times or almost always        | 2,269 | 79.2   | 10.6 (9.0 – 12.2)              |      | 52.1 (49.0 – 55.3) | 0.521* |
| Safety for physical activity during the night |       |        |                                |      |                    |                                    |      |
| Never                              | 972   | 34.2   | 9.7 (7.4 – 11.9)               | 0.521* | 54.6 (50.6 – 58.5) | 0.207* |
| Sometimes or few times             | 813   | 28.6   | 11.2 (9.1 – 13.3)              |      | 50.4 (45.6 – 55.2) | 0.193* |
| Many times or almost always        | 1,056 | 37.2   | 10.7 (8.7 – 12.8)              |      | 50.8 (46.9 – 54.7) | 0.207* |

P*Chi-square test of heterogeneity.
#Score combined with the five previous variables.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108136.t002

3) Near your house, does buying and sale of drugs happen?; 4) During the day, near your house, do you feel safe to walk, ride a bicycle or do sports?; 5) During the night, near your house, do you feel safe to walk, ride a bicycle or do sports?” Each of the five questions presented four categories of response: a) never; b) sometimes or few times; c) many times or almost always; d) I do not know. Perceived insecurity from crime was defined as when individuals answered the option many times or almost always in, at least, one of the three first questions, or the option never in, at least, one of the two last ones.
evaluation of agreement using the \textit{kappa} statistic. The questions about insecurity from crime presented a kappa value of 0.84.

Confounding variables included in the regression models were: sex (male, female), age (in decades), self-report skin color (white, non-white), schooling level (number of years with approval and categorized as 0–4, 5–8, 9–12, \(\geq 13\)), household \textit{per capita} income (divided into quintiles) and place of residence in the city of Pelotas (center or periphery).

Data analysis was carried out in \textit{Stata12.1}, using the \textit{svy} group of commands in order to account for the complex survey design. In the unadjusted analysis, chi-square tests for heterogeneity and linear trend were conducted. Multivariable Poisson regressions were run for both outcomes (leisure-time and transport-related activity). We also tested the interactions between perceived insecurity from crime and (a) sex; (b) age; (c) family income. For all the analyses, a significance value of 5% was adopted.

Table 3. Prevalence of insecurity from crimes according to demographic, socioeconomic and environmental variables.

| Variable                          | Insecurity | (95\%CI)     | \(p\)       |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                  | N          | %            |             |
| Gender                           |            |              | 0.001*      |
| Male                             | 510        | 43.7         | (40.0 – 47.5)|
| Female                           | 967        | 58.3         | (54.7 – 61.9)|
| Skin color                       |            |              | 0.145*      |
| White                            | 1,203      | 51.6         | (48.3 – 54.9)|
| Non-white                        | 274        | 55.7         | (49.6 – 61.5)|
| Age (years)                      |            |              | 0.147*      |
| 20–29                            | 298        | 49.8         | (44.7 – 54.8)|
| 30–39                            | 299        | 56.6         | (51.5 – 61.8)|
| 40–49                            | 302        | 52.8         | (47.7 – 57.9)|
| 50–59                            | 248        | 49.2         | (43.7 – 54.7)|
| 60 or more                       | 330        | 53.1         | (48.1 – 58.0)|
| Schooling (years of study)       |            |              | 0.001**     |
| 0 – 4                            | 274        | 56.0         | (50.6 – 61.2)|
| 5 – 8                            | 429        | 54.4         | (49.7 – 59.0)|
| 9 – 12                           | 469        | 53.5         | (49.2 – 57.8)|
| 13 or more                       | 304        | 45.7         | (40.7 – 50.6)|
| \textit{Per capita} income (in quintiles) |            |              | 0.004**     |
| 1° - Until R$ 344.10              | 319        | 57.4         | (51.7 – 62.9)|
| 2° - R$ 344.11 to 580.50         | 285        | 52.5         | (46.3 – 58.7)|
| 3° - R$ 580.51 to 807.33         | 296        | 54.1         | (47.9 – 60.3)|
| 4° - R$ 807.34 to 1440.27        | 264        | 49.1         | (43.5 – 54.7)|
| 5° - R$ 1,440.28 to 20,000.00    | 272        | 49.3         | (43.3 – 55.2)|
| Continuation                      |            |              |             |
| City areas of Pelotas            |            |              | 0.002*      |
| Center                           | 328        | 59.9         | (55.5 – 64.2)|
| Periphery                        | 1,149      | 50.5         | (46.6 – 54.3)|
| Total                            | 1,477      | 52.3         | (49.0 – 55.6)|

Pelotas/RS-Brazil, 2012.
*Chi-square test of heterogeneity.
**Chi-square test for linear trend.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108136.t003

\textbf{Results}

Within the 1,722 selected households, 3,326 adults were considered eligible for the study, and of them, 2,874 answered to the questionnaire. The response rate was 86.4\% (452 losses and refusals). Most participants were female (58.8\%), aged between 20 and 49 years old (60.2\%), had white skin color (82.6\%), had more than eight years of schooling (54.5\%), and were residents from the periphery of the city (80.7\%). Regarding income, the individuals from the first quintile (poorest) earned up to R$ 344.10 and the ones from the last quintile (wealthiest) earned from R$ 1,440.28 to R$ 20,000.00 (One Brazilian Real equivalent to 2.29 American Dollars as of August, 2013). There was a strong positive association between schooling and income.

From the participants, 52.3\% (95\%CI 49.0; 55.6) were classified as exposed to insecurity from crime in their neighborhoods, while the prevalence of physical activity was 10.5\% (95\%CI 9.0; 12.0)
During leisure time and 51.7% (95%CI 48.7; 54.7) during transportation (Table 1).

There were associations between leisure-time physical activity and the variables sex \((p = 0.001)\), schooling \((p = 0.001)\), household per capita income \((p = 0.001)\) and place of residence \((p = 0.002)\). The most active groups were men [13.0% (95%CI 11.0; 15.1)], the wealthiest income quintile [15.5% (95%CI 11.8; 19.2)] and residents in the city center [15.5% (95%CI 11.5; 18.5)]. Leisure-time physical activity also increased with the number of years of schooling (Table 1). In relation to transportation, associations were found with age \((p = 0.001)\), income \((p = 0.001)\), and place of residence \((p = 0.001)\), being more active the individuals living in the city center [60.8% (95%CI 55.0; 66.5)]. Additionally, transport-related physical activity decreased with age and income (Table 1).

Perception of frequent (described as “many times” or “always”) drug trade in the neighborhood was mentioned by a quarter of the participants. Perception of frequently occurrence of mild and serious crimes was reported by 13% and 10% of the sample, respectively. Around a third reported perceived insecurity many times or always while practicing physical activity at night. In the bivariate analysis, none of the five variables about perception of insecurity from crime presented a significant association with physical activity (leisure-time or transportation) (Table 2).

Perceived insecurity from crime presented significant associations with sex \((p = 0.001)\), schooling \((p = 0.001)\) and income \((p = 0.004)\). Women reported 33% higher perceived insecurity from crime than men [Prevalence Ratio \((PR) = 1.33 (95\%CI1.24; 1.43)\)]. Perceived security from crime increased with years of schooling and income (Table 3). In the adjusted analysis, no associations between perceived insecurity from crime and leisure-time \((p = 0.716)\) or transport-related \((p = 0.508)\) physical activity were found (Table 4).

Even when the analysis was restricted to the individuals that reported leisure-time activity practice near their residences, no associations were found, neither in crude \((p = 0.954)\) nor in adjusted analysis \((p = 0.823)\) (Table 5). Finally, no interactions between insecurity from crime and (a) sex; (b) age; (c) income at determining physical activity were detected.

### Discussion

Leisure-time physical activity decreased and transport-related physical activity increased, relative to previous studies in the same city [19,20]. Nearly half of the participants (52.3%) reported insecurity in their neighborhoods in the current study. This prevalence might be considered high, but comparisons with other Brazilian cities are difficult because different instruments and operational definitions have been used in previous studies. The

#### Table 4. Association between leisure-time and transport-related physical activity and insecurity from crime.

| Leisure-time physical activity | Crude analysis | Adjusted analysis* |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                               | Prevalence ratio (95%CI) | \(p\) | Prevalence ratio (95%CI) | \(p\) |
| Insecurity from crime | No | 1.00 | 0.173* | 1.00 | 0.716* |
|                       | Yes | 0.85 (0.68 – 1.07) | 0.96 (0.74 – 1.23) |
| Transport-related physical activity | Insecurity from crime | 0.207* | 0.508* |
|                               | No | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|                       | Yes | 1.05 (0.97 – 1.15) | 1.03 (0.95 – 1.11) |

*Chi-square test of heterogeneity.
*Wald test of heterogeneity.
Adjustment for sex, skin color, age, schooling, income and place of residence.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108136.t004

#### Table 5. Association between leisure-time physical activity and insecurity from crime, restricted to individuals who reported practicing these activities close their neighborhoods.

| Crude analysis | Adjusted analysis* |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Variable       | Prevalence ratio (95%CI) | \(p\) | Prevalence ratio (95%CI) | \(p\) |
| Insecurity from crimes | No | 1.00 | 0.954* | 1.00 | 0.823* |
| | Yes | 0.99 (0.81 – 1.23) | 1.03 (0.82 – 1.28) |

*Chi-square test of heterogeneity.
*Wald test of heterogeneity.
Adjustment for sex, skin color, age, schooling, income and place of residence.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108136.t005

Pelotas/RS-Brazil, 2012.
Physical Activity and Insecurity from Crime

International Crime Victims Surveys (ICVS) measured perception of crimes such as vandalism, burglary, assaults and robberies. Using the ICVS, perceived insecurity between 2002 and 2005 in São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro were estimated at 20% and 15%, respectively [21].

One of the few sources of descriptive data about perceived security from crime, Statistics Canada, in the General Social Survey (GSS) 2009, showed that women, elderly individuals and those with low income had higher levels of insecurity. In this study, women and low-income individuals reported a higher perceived insecurity and an association with age groups was not found [22]. We were unable to use official statistics from the city, because they are not available. However, we understand that one’s perception of insecurity is at least as important as official data at influencing behavior.

Previous studies investigated perceived safety from crime using questions such as “How safe do you feel walking in your neighborhood at night?” [23], or “Do you feel safe returning to your home when it is dark?” [24]. The phrasing of these questions implies threat, but do not define the source (e.g. crime, stray dogs, traffic). There is a risk that the question will be interpreted differently, making comparative analysis difficult and results unspecific [9]. For these reasons, we chose to use an instrument that clearly defined the source of the perceived threat as well as frequency of occurrence. Future studies could also consider collecting data about the length of time that the individual has lived in the neighborhood, since it might affect the perceived insecurity. Another possible explanation for our findings is the individual history of suffering a crime. A person with such history might have different perceptions about violence.

In the present study, associations between physical activity (leisure-time and transport-related) and perceived insecurity from crime were not observed in any of the analyses carried out. Instruments that specify the sources of insecurity, several operational definitions of the outcomes and exposure, as well as different types of interactions tested, all seem to strengthen the evidence that there is no association between physical activity and insecurity perception.

Florindo et al. conducted a population based study in the Brazilian south-eastern region. Physical activity was measured using the long version of IPAQ (leisure-time and transportation) and insecurity was measured using NEWS. Perceived security from crime was positively associated with transport-related physical activity [25]. The same conclusion was drawn in a study by Parra et al. conducted in Curitiba (PR), Brazil [26]. However, Amorim et al. did not find an association between perceived security from crime and transport-related physical activity in Pelotas (RS), Brazil, but found that leisure-time physical activity was positively associated with perceived security from crime [18]. With similar instruments to those used by Amorim et al. [19], Florindo et al. [25] and Parra et al. [26], but in a different region of Brazil, Hallal et al. [27] did not find associations between leisure-time and transport-related physical activity and perceived insecurity from crime.

The effect of the perceived insecurity on physical activity is complex and is likely affected by multiple levels of influence. Considering that the choice of a transport mode is dependent of factors other than individual preference only, suggests that safety concerns may be less important. Therefore, it is comprehensible that perceived insecurity from crime might not be a determinant of transport-related physical activity. Additionally, in terms of leisure-time physical activity, possibly the pleasure and habit of physical activity override any self-imposed limitation due to perception of insecurity from crime.

Foster et al. suggested that the lack of association between perceived insecurity and leisure-time physical activity could be explained by activities being carried out far away from the neighborhood. Thus, negative perceptions about the place of residence might not influence physical activity levels [9]. To test this hypothesis, an additional analysis was carried out excluding individuals reporting to carry out leisure-time physical activities far away from where they live. No difference in results was found.

Theoretically, perceived insecurity from crime has a higher influence on physical activity among women and elderly people [9]. However, associations were not found when interactions analyses by sex, age and income were tested. Analysis carried out separately for leisure-time and transport-related physical activity might be a possible explanation of these differences comparing with other studies.

Conclusion

High levels of insecurity from crime were found in all population groups. These findings highlight the importance of strategies that improve the interaction between individuals and their living environments, to ensure wellbeing and a better quality of life of the population. Perceived insecurity from crime was not associated with physical activity in the present analysis. An important point to be highlighted is the publication of negative results such as those shown in this manuscript. Since papers with negative results can minimize publication bias. Future studies using prospective approaches, assessing specific sources of insecurity and evaluating interactions separately for each domain of physical activity (leisure-time and transportation) in the population could provide further evidence about this association.
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