Age of first exposure to American football and long-term neuropsychiatric and cognitive outcomes
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Previous research suggests that age of first exposure (AFE) to football before age 12 may have long-term clinical implications; however, this relationship has only been examined in small samples of former professional football players. We examined the association between AFE to football and behavior, mood and cognition in a large cohort of former amateur and professional football players. The sample included 214 former football players without other contact sport history. Participants completed the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone (BTACT), and self-reported measures of executive function and behavioral regulation (Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version Metacognition Index (MI), Behavioral Regulation Index (BRI)), depression (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)) and apathy (Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES)). Outcomes were continuous and dichotomized as clinically impaired. AFE was dichotomized into < 12 and ≥ 12, and examined continuously. Multivariate mixed-effect regressions controlling for age, education and duration of play showed AFE to football before age 12 corresponded with > 2 x increased odds for clinically impaired scores on all measures but BTACT: (odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI): BRI, 2.16,1.19–3.91; MI, 2.10,1.17–3.76; CES-D, 3.08,1.65–5.76; AES, 2.39,1.32–4.32). Younger AFE predicted increased odds for clinical impairment on the AES (OR, 95% CI: 0.86, 0.76–0.97) and CES-D (OR, 95% CI: 0.85, 0.74–0.97). There was no interaction between AFE and highest level of play. Younger AFE to football, before age 12 in particular, was associated with increased odds for impairment in self-reported neuropsychiatric and executive function in 214 former American football players. Longitudinal studies will inform youth football policy and safety decisions.
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INTRODUCTION

Exposure to repetitive head impacts (RHI) during American football has become a significant concern to clinicians, researchers and the general community because of their association with long-term neurological consequences.1 RHI exposure, with or without symptomatic concussions, can alter the structure and function of the brain to potentially underpin cognitive, behavior and mood deficits observed in some former amateur and professional football players.1–22 An additional growing concern is chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE). CTE is a neurodegenerative disease that can only be diagnosed postmortem23 and has been found in individuals exposed to RHI, particularly former American football players and boxers.24–26 Long-term clinical and neurological consequences related to RHI exposure (including those in CTE), however, are quite heterogeneous and have not been observed in all former American football players.25–29 It is hypothesized that RHI exposure interacts with other risk factors (for example, genetic and environmental) to alter vulnerability to long-term neurological dysfunction.

Age of first exposure (AFE) to football may be one modifier of later-life neurological and clinical outcomes. Youth football is played between ages of 5 and 14, a period when the brain undergoes substantial maturation in males.30–42 Exposure to RHI over a single season of youth football (without diagnosed concussions) has been associated with white matter alterations in 8–13 year olds.43 RHI exposure during peak neurodevelopment may disrupt normal brain maturation to increase vulnerability to long-term clinical impairments, especially in the context of continued football participation.44,45 In a study of former National Football League (NFL) players, subjects who began playing football before age 12 exhibited greater verbal memory and executive dysfunction,44 and reduced microstructural integrity of the anterior corpus callosum45 in middle age, compared with those who began playing football at 12 or older. These findings were not replicated in a recent NFL-funded study that examined...
years of youth football play and clinical outcomes in a sample of 45 former NFL players.46

The few studies that have reported on AFE to football and long-term clinical outcomes are limited by small sample size, inclusion of only former professional football players and lack of assessment of neuropsychiatric features, including behavioral and mood functioning—clinical domains affected by RHI exposure and CTE.27,47,48

Here, we examined the relationship between AFE to football and behavior, mood and cognitive outcomes in a large cohort of both amateur (that is, those who played only through high school or college) and professional American football players.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The sample included 214 former American football players from the ongoing Longitudinal Examination to Gather Evidence of Neurodegenerative Disease (LEGEND) study at the Boston University Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) and CTE Center. A description of LEGEND has been provided previously.1,5,49 LEGEND is a longitudinal research registry of living active and former contact and non-contact sport athletes across the country. An objective of LEGEND is to identify risk factors for the short- and long-term consequences of RHI exposure. Participants for LEGEND are recruited through website postings and word of mouth. Inclusion criteria are broad to optimize generalizability and include > 18 years of age and history of participation in an organized sport. The present sample included only male former American football players who played high school, college or professional football, and did not participate in any other organized contact sports. Participants with a self-reported history of concussion within 1 year of their initial LEGEND interview were excluded. These inclusion/exclusion criteria were applied to facilitate internal and external validity between AFE to football and clinical outcomes. In addition, previous work from our team examined AFE to football and cognition in former NFL players44 who were part of the recently concluded study, ‘Diagnosing and Evaluating Traumatic Encephalopathy using Clinical Tests’ (DETECT). Three DETECT participants who enrolled into LEGEND were excluded to derive an entirely distinct sample of former American football players.

LEGEND study procedures involve annual completion of online self-report measures of executive function, behavior, mood and a telephone-based objective cognitive assessment. Telephone-administered structured questionnaires ascertain demographic (for example, age, education and race), athletic history (for example, AFE to football and seasons of football play), and military, substance use and medical history. The BU Medical Campus Institutional Review Board approved all study procedures, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Measures

The measures administered for LEGEND1 assess clinical domains affected by RHI exposure1,4,5,11 and CTE.27,47 and include the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone (BTACT), Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version (BRIEF-A), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES). The BRIEF-A, CES-D and AES are all completed by subjects online, whereas the BTACT is an objective measure of cognitive function administered by telephone. This study reports on initial LEGEND evaluations. Each measure was examined as a continuous variable, and dichotomized to classify participants as clinically impaired using empirically derived cutoff scores. The measures and cutoffs that reflect clinically meaningful impairment include the following.

Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone. The BTACT is a 20-min telephone-based objective assessment of cognition.46,51 Telephone administration of cognitive tests is convenient, inexpensive and validated.50,52 The BTACT evaluates episodic verbal memory, working memory, semantic fluency, task switching, inductive reasoning and processing speed. A global composite score is derived using a bi-factor analytic approach.50 Bi-factor global scores are then adjusted for age and gender utilizing a regression-based approach that makes use of data from a healthy normative sample.53 Lower scores reflect worse cognition, and impairment was defined as ≥ 1.5 s.d.’s below the normative mean.

Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version. Participants completed an online version of the BRIEF-A,44,55 a validated 75-item self-report instrument that measures executive function behaviors. Participants rate how often executive-related behaviors are problematic using a three-point Likert scale, with higher scores representing worse dysfunction. Two summary indices are derived from the BRIEF-A, the Behavioral Regulation Index (BRI) and the Metacognition Index (MI). Raw scores were converted to T-scores using age-adjusted normative data. A higher T-score reflects greater dysfunction, with ≥ 65 (1.5 s.d. above the normative mean) being clinically impaired.44,55 A BRI T-score was not calculated for one participant because of missing raw data.

Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale. The CES-D is a 20-item self-report checklist of depressive symptoms,56 and was completed online. The CES-D asks participants to use a four-point Likert scale to rate the presence and severity of depressive symptoms in the past week. Higher scores reflect worse depressive symptomatology, and ≥ 16 suggests clinical depression.57

Apathy Evaluation Scale. The AES is an 18-item self-reported measure of cognitive, behavioral and emotional symptoms of apathy.58 The AES was completed online, and participants rated the presence and severity of apathy symptoms in the past 4 weeks using a four-point Likert scale. Higher scores represent greater symptoms of apathy, and ≥ 34 defined clinically meaningful apathy.59

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Two-tailed significance tests adjusted for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate method were conducted with significance set at P < 0.05. AFE was examined as a dichotomized variable (< 12 and ≥ 12), and as a continuous variable. Age 12 was targeted based on our previous research,44,45 and the neurodevelopmental literature.30–42 Univariate regressions in this sample further showed that age 12 had superior model fit with clinical measures relative to ages 11 and 13. Multivariate linear mixed-effect models examined the relationship between AFE to football (independent variable) and the clinical measures (BRIEF-A (BRI, MI), BTACT, CES-D and AES) as continuous outcome variables. Mixed-effect models reduce type I error by accounting for correlations between groups, outcomes from the same participant and between same tests. Multivariate logistic regression models estimated by generalized estimating equations (GEE) examined the relationship between AFE and each of the clinical measures dichotomized into clinically impaired or not; GEE was used due to the dichotomous outcomes. All analyses controlled for age, years of education, and seasons of football play. Self-reported number of total concussions was not included in the models because this variable is prone to measurement error, as athletes (including former professional American football players) retrospective recall of concussion history can lack accuracy and reliability.50,57 Seasons of football play is a more reliable estimate and encompass cumulative exposure to both concussion and subconcussive injuries. The sample size for analyses examining the BRIEF-A BRI and the BTACT as dependent variables was reduced to 213 because of missing data on these indices. Because symptoms of depression, apathy, behavioral dysregulation and apathy often co-occur and are related, an important component of the mixed-effect and GEE models is that they account for the intercorrelations among the clinical outcome measures. Thus, the relationship between AFE and each clinical measure is not influenced by its association with the other clinical measures. Because of the use of mixed-effect and GEE models, inclusion of any of the specific clinical tests as a covariate is not necessary, and would also be problematic because of reverse causality, given the bidirectional relationships among the clinical measures.

Two sensitivity analyses were performed. A history of learning disability has been suggested to be a confound of AFE to football and clinical function,46 and all models were repeated with history of learning disability included as a covariate. For these sensitivity analyses, the sample size was reduced to 206 because of missing data for history of learning disability; sample size for analyses examining the BRIEF-A BRI and the BTACT as dependent variables was reduced to 205 because of missing data on these indices. We examined whether there was an AFE by level of play interaction on the clinical measures to confirm that findings were not cohort-dependent. Linear mixed-effect and logistic regression models tested whether the cross-product between AFE (both as a dichotomized and continuous variable) and level of play (amateur versus professional)
predicted the clinical measures independent of the main effects of AFE and level of play.

RESULTS

Tables 1 and 2 present sample characteristics. Tables 3 and 4 provide a summary of the mixed-effect and multivariate logistic regression results, including 95% confidence intervals and odds ratios. Among the 214 former football players, compared with those who began playing football ≥12 years of age, those who started playing <12 exhibited higher (that is, worse) scores on the BRIEF-A (MRI: 0.001; MI: 0.016), CES-D (0.001) and the AES (0.002), but not the BTACT (0.35). For these measures, those with an AFE to football <12 had 2× increased odds for clinically meaningful impaired scores, relative to AFE ≥12: BRIEF-A (MRI: 0.016; MI: 0.018), CES-D (0.002) and the AES (0.010). There were no significant effects with BTACT (0.54). Results remained unchanged when learning disability history was included as a covariate. The AFE by level of play interaction term was not associated with the clinical measures.

When AFE to football was treated as a continuous variable, younger AFE to football was associated with higher (that is, worse) scores on the BRIEF-A (MRI: 0.008; MI: 0.035), CES-D (0.008) and the AES (0.008), but not the BTACT (0.46). Younger AFE corresponded to increased odds for clinically meaningful impaired scores on the CES-D (0.046) and AES (0.046). AFE was not significantly associated with clinically elevated scores on the BRIEF-A (MRI: 0.10; MI: 0.09) or the BTACT (0.62). Learning disability history had minimal influence when included as a covariate; however, there was loss of significance for the BRIEF-A MI (continuous; P = 0.12) and odds for clinically impaired scores on the CES-D (P = 0.07). There were no significant associations between the AFE (continuous) by highest level of play interaction term and clinical measures.

DISCUSSION

In this sample of 214 former American football players, those who began playing football before age 12 had >2× increased odds for clinically meaningful impairments in reported behavioral regulation, apathy, and executive function, and >3× increased odds for clinically elevated depression scores, compared with those who began playing at 12 or older. Effects were independent of age, education and duration of football play. Younger AFE to football, in general, corresponded with worse behavioral and mood impairments. To our knowledge, this study is the first to show a relationship between younger AFE to football and reported clinical dysfunction in a cohort that included both former amateur and professional football players. There was no difference in the effect of AFE by highest level of play. These findings validate and expand upon our previous work in a small, entirely distinct sample of former NFL players,44 and extend the influence of AFE to football on clinical function to former football players who only played through high school or college. Overall, this study provides further evidence that playing youth American football may have long-term clinical implications, including behavioral and mood impairments.

A recent study funded by the NFL examined the relationship between years of youth football participation and neuropsychological, neurological and neuroradiological outcomes in 45 former
NFL players, and the authors concluded there were no relationships. That study was limited because a sizable subset of the participants did not play youth football, or only played 1 year. The authors argued that the study by Stamm et al. finding significant effects between AFE to football and cognition in former NFL players was limited by small sample size, decreased generalizability to all football players, arbitrary dichotomization of AFE before and after age 12 and lack of control for a history of learning disability. The present study addresses all of these concerns by examining AFE to football as a continuous variable in 214 former

### Table 2. Clinical test performance

| Test       | Total sample (N = 214) | AFE < 12 (n = 101) | AFE ≥ 12 (n = 113) |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|            | Mean (s.d.) | n (%) | Impaired | Mean (s.d.) | n (%) | Impaired | Mean (s.d.) | n (%) | Impaired |
| BRIEF-A BRI<sup>a</sup> | 64.11 (15.16) | 94 (44.1) | - | 67.01 (14.79) | 51 (50.5) | - | 61.50 (15.07) | 43 (38.4) |
| BRIEF-A MI<sup>44</sup> | 64.71 (15.54) | 103 (48.1) | - | 66.66 (14.73) | 55 (54.5) | - | 62.97 (16.09) | 48 (42.5) |
| BTACT<sup>a</sup> | -0.20 (0.90) | 15 (7.0) | - | -0.31 (0.87) | 8 (7.9) | - | -0.11 (0.92) | 7 (6.3) |
| AES<sup>4</sup> | 34.15 (11.05) | 97 (45.3) | - | 36.42 (10.85) | 56 (55.4) | - | 32.12 (10.88) | 41 (36.3) |
| CES-D<sup>4</sup> | 20.24 (14.48) | 117 (54.7) | - | 23.25 (13.85) | 67 (66.3) | - | 17.55 (14.57) | 50 (44.2) |

Abbreviations: AES, Apathy Evaluation Scale; AFE, age of first exposure; BRI, Behavioral Regulation Index; BRIEF-A, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version; BTACT, Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CI, Metacognition Index.

% impaired includes those who scored above empirically derived cutoff scores that reflect clinical impairment, which includes: CES-D ≥ 16, AES ≥ 34, BRIEF-A BRI and MI ≥ 65, and BTACT < −1.5. BRIEF-A subtests are T-scores and BTACT are age- and gender-adjusted bi-factor scores. *N* = 213 in the overall sample because of missing data.

### Table 3. Summary of linear mixed-effects models examining AFE to football and behavior, mood and cognitive function

| Clinical tests | AFE dichotomized (1, < 12; 0, ≥ 12) | AFE continuous |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
|                | Est | 95% CI | P   | Adj. P<sup>a</sup> | Est | 95% CI | P   | Adj. P<sup>a</sup> |
| BRIEF-A BRI<sup>a</sup> | 7.65 | 3.54, 11.76 | <0.001 | 0.001 | -1.35 | -2.20, -0.50 | 0.002 | 0.008 |
| BRIEF-A MI<sup>44</sup> | 5.50 | 1.19, 9.80 | **0.013** | 0.016 | -0.99 | -1.88, -0.11 | **0.028** | 0.035 |
| BTACT<sup>a</sup> | -12.26 | -38.18, 13.65 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 2.00 | -3.33, 7.34 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| AES<sup>4</sup> | 5.10 | 2.04, 8.15 | **0.001** | 0.002 | -0.93 | -1.56, -0.30 | **0.004** | 0.008 |
| CES-D<sup>4</sup> | 7.29 | 3.38, 11.21 | **0.001** | 0.001 | -1.17 | -1.98, -0.36 | **0.005** | 0.008 |

Abbreviations: Adj, adjusted; AES, Apathy Evaluation Scale; AFE, age of first exposure; BRI, Behavioral Regulation Index; BRIEF-A, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version; BTACT, Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CI, confidence interval; Est, estimate; MI, Metacognition Index. All clinical tests were examined as continuous variables. Lower scores on the BTACT and higher scores on the BRIEF-A subtests, AES and CES-D reflect worse clinical function. Analyses adjusted for age, years of education and seasons of football play. A factor of 100 was applied to the BTACT to facilitate model fit. *P*-values are adjusted for multiple comparisons via the false discovery rate method. The bold is used for those *P* values that are significant.

### Table 4. Summary of multivariate logistic regression models examining AFE to football and clinically meaningful scores on measures of behavior, mood and cognitive function

| Clinical tests | AFE dichotomized (1, < 12; 0, ≥ 12) | Continuous AFE |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
|                | OR | 95% CI | P   | Adj. P<sup>a</sup> | OR | 95% CI | P   | Adj. P<sup>a</sup> |
| BRIEF-A BRI<sup>a</sup> | 2.16 | 1.19, 3.91 | **0.011** | 0.016 | 0.89 | 0.79, 1.01 | 0.08 | 0.10 |
| BRIEF-A MI<sup>44</sup> | 2.10 | 1.17, 3.76 | **0.013** | 0.016 | 0.89 | 0.78, 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.09 |
| BTACT<sup>a</sup> | 1.43 | 0.46, 4.41 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.94 | 0.75, 1.18 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| AES<sup>4</sup> | 2.39 | 1.32, 4.32 | **0.004** | 0.010 | 0.86 | 0.76, 0.97 | **0.014** | 0.046 |
| CES-D<sup>4</sup> | 3.08 | 1.65, 5.76 | <0.001 | 0.002 | 0.85 | 0.74, 0.97 | **0.018** | 0.046 |

Abbreviations: Adj, adjusted; AES, Apathy Evaluation Scale; AFE, age of first exposure; BRI, Behavioral Regulation Index; BRIEF-A, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version; BTACT, Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CI, confidence interval; MI, Metacognition Index; OR, odds ratio. Clinical tests were dichotomized into impaired or not impaired using established cutoffs; higher scores reflect clinical impairment. Analyses adjusted for age, years of education and seasons of football play. A factor of 100 was applied to the BTACT to facilitate model fit. *P*-values are adjusted for multiple comparisons via the false discovery rate method. The bold is used for those *P* values that are significant.
effects are most robust. Age 12 (an empirically based cutoff) however, there may be a critical age (that is, age 12) where the continuous scale assumes a constant effect across all ages; treated as a continuous variable. This could be because the models had minimal influence on the results. Notably, effects were diminished for odds of clinical impairment when AFE was exhibited worse (on average) scores on the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult Version (BRIEF-A) Behavioral Regulation Index (BR; \( P = 0.001 \)) and BRIEF-A Metacognition Index (MI; \( P = 0.016 \)). Higher scores represent worse reported clinical function. The circle represents the mean and the horizontal line is the median. The mean group differences were significant after controlling for age, education and total seasons of football play.

American football players, and continues to find a robust relationship between AFE and long-term clinical dysfunction. Inclusion of history of learning disability status as a covariate in the models had minimal influence on the results. Notably, effects were diminished for odds of clinical impairment when AFE was treated as a continuous variable. This could be because the continuous scale assumes a constant effect across all ages; however, there may be a critical age (that is, age 12) where the effects are most robust. Age 12 (an empirically based cutoff)

indeed had superior model fit with clinical measures relative to surrounding age cutoffs (that is, ages 11 and 13).

The specific mechanisms underlying the present findings are unknown. Between ages 9 and 12 is a time of peak maturation of gray and white matter volume, synaptic and neurotransmitter densities and glucose utilization, among other neurodevelopmental milestones. These changes are occurring to structures such as the hippocampus and amygdala, where the neural circuitry modulates clinical functions, such as emotion regulation and behavior. Structures like the amygdala have robust connectivity with the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, a region associated with depression in children and young adults. During this time of peak neurodevelopment, current youth American football players have been estimated, using helmet accelerometry, to experience a median of 252 head impacts per season in one study and a mean of 240 in another. RHI exposure over a single season of youth football can result in alterations of the left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and right superior longitudinal fasciculus white matter tracts. Exposure to RHI, in general, is associated with acute and long-term structural, functional and molecular brain changes based on research in active and former amateur and/or professional football players. The effects of RHI exposure on the brain at a young age may disrupt neurodevelopment and increase vulnerability to the long-term neuropsychiatric and cognitive impairments associated with prolonged exposure to RHI, aging or likely both. AFE to football may contribute to why some former American football players develop long-term clinical impairments, whereas others appear more resistant. Some support for this claim can be found in the setting of acute concussion, where children and adolescents are more vulnerable (compared with adults) to prolonged symptoms disruptions in educational and social development and lower intellect and academic achievement.

This is not a study of risk for CTE or of other neurodegenerative disease. CTE currently cannot be diagnosed during life and the presence of CTE in this sample is unknown. Neuropathological evidence of CTE has been documented in former American football players. The current study found that AFE to football was...
associated with increased odds for impairments in domains described in the literature as being part of the clinical manifestation of CTE.\textsuperscript{47} that is, executive dysfunction, behavioral dysregulation, depression and apathy. These clinical features are not specific to CTE. Young AFE to football may be a risk factor or modifier of the clinical and neuropathological course of CTE, and we are currently conducting clinicopathological investigations in autopsy-confirmed cases of CTE to examine this possibility.\textsuperscript{62}

We found no association between AFE to football and cognition as measured by the BTACT. This finding was unexpected, given previous work from our group showed that former NFL players who began playing football before age 12 exhibited worse neuropsychological test performance on measures of episodic memory and executive function at mid-life, compared with those who began playing football at 12 or older.\textsuperscript{44} In the current sample, only 15 (7.0%) participants were clinically impaired on the BTACT, whereas rates of impairments were >40% across all other clinical measures. Higher rates of impairment on non-BTACT measures may be due to their self-report nature, particularly as this measure is not comprehensive neuropsychological testing.

There are several additional limitations to the present findings. As previously mentioned, this is a convenience sample of self-selected participants and randomization of individuals to groups based on their AFE to football is not possible. A convenience sample could potentially lead to bias effects, especially if AFE plays a role in selection. The findings can only be generalized to male former football players, and the relationship between AFE to other contact sports (for example, soccer) and clinical outcomes, including female contact sports, is unknown and should be the target of future research. There was a wide age range in the sample and older subjects may have had fewer opportunities to participate in organized youth football because it was not widely available until the 1960s. The style of youth football play could have differed across the age groups of the sample, including differences in type and use of protective headgear. We addressed the concern for confound of differences in era of play by including age as a covariate. The causal relationship between AFE to football and long-term clinical outcomes remains unclear, partially because this study was cross-sectional. The cross-sectional study design also limits interpretation of the associations among the clinical outcome measures. The tests examined assess symptoms that often co-occur, with bidirectional relationships (for example, depressive symptoms can affect performance on cognitive tests, cognitive impairment can also lead to symptoms of depression, depressive symptoms and impaired cognition can both be clinical manifestations of a single underlying disorder). Although the mixed-effect and GEE models used accounted for intercorrelations among the clinical measures, it remains challenging to disentangle their exact interactions and subsequent influence on the current findings. In particular, it is unclear whether the reported symptoms are part of a single clinical syndrome, or reflect distinct pathophysiological processes, possibly from the effects of exposure to RHI on strategic brain regions that modulate each of the clinical functions examined. Importantly, although we found significant mean group differences in reported clinical function between the AFE groups, our results underscore that there is significant individual variability in this relationship (Figure 1). Findings from the current study should not be used to inform safety and/or policy decisions in regards to youth football. Any decisions regarding reducing or eliminating youth football must be made with the understanding of the important health and psychosocial benefits of participating in athletics and team sports during pre-adolescence. Future longitudinal studies that objectively monitor the clinical function of youth football players throughout life, including those who do not go on to play football at the high school, college or professional level, are ultimately needed to understand the long-term neurological safety implications of youth tackle football.

CONCLUSIONS
Youth exposure to football may have long-term neurobehavioral consequences. Additional research studies, especially large cohort longitudinal studies, are needed to better understand the potential long-term clinical implications of youth American football to inform policy and safety decision-making.
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