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Abstract

We extend the calculable analytic approach to marginal deformations recently developed in
open bosonic string field theory to open superstring field theory formulated by Berkovits. We
construct analytic solutions to all orders in the deformation parameter when operator products
made of the marginal operator and the associated superconformal primary field are regular.
1 Introduction

Ever since the analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open bosonic string field theory [1] was constructed by Schnabl [2], new analytic technologies have been developed [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], and analytic solutions for marginal deformations were recently constructed [16, 17]. We believe that we are now in a new phase of research on open string field theory.

Extension of these new technologies to closed string field theory, however, does not seem straightforward. The star product [1] used in open string field theory has a simpler description in the conformal field theory (CFT) formulation when we use a coordinate called the sliver frame which was originally introduced in [37]. It has been an important ingredient in recent developments. Closed bosonic string field theory [38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43] and heterotic string field theory [44, 45], however, use infinitely many non-associative string products, and we have not found any coordinate where simple descriptions of these string products are possible.

On the other hand, extension to open superstring field theory formulated by Berkovits [46] is promising because the string product used in the theory is the same as that in open bosonic string field theory. In this paper we construct analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory.

We first review the solutions for marginal deformations in open bosonic string field theory. The solutions take the form of an expansion in terms of the deformation parameter $\lambda$, and analytic expressions to all order in $\lambda$ have been derived when operator products made of the marginal operator are regular [16, 17]. When the operator product of the marginal operator with itself is singular, solutions were constructed to $O(\lambda^3)$ by regularizing the singularity and by adding counterterms [17].

The goal of this paper is to construct analytic solutions in open superstring field theory when operator products made of the marginal operator and the associated superconformal primary field of dimension $1/2$ are regular. It will be a starting point for constructing analytic solutions when these operators have singular operator products. We first simplify the equation of motion for open superstring field theory by field redefinition. We then make an ansatz motivated by the structure of the solutions in the bosonic case and solve the equation of motion analytically. The solutions in the superstring case turn out to be remarkably simple and similar to those in the bosonic case. The final section of the paper is devoted to conclusions and discussion.

We learned that T. Erler independently found analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory [47] prior to our construction.

\[\text{1} \quad \text{For earlier study of marginal deformations in string field theory and related work, see [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32].} \]

\[\text{2} \quad \text{See [33, 34, 35, 36] for reviews.} \]
2 Solutions in open bosonic string field theory

In this section, we review the analytic solutions for marginal deformations constructed in [16, 17] for the open bosonic string. The equation of motion for open bosonic string field theory [1] is given by

\[ Q_B \Psi + \Psi^2 = 0, \tag{2.1} \]

where \( \Psi \) is the open string field and \( Q_B \) is the BRST operator. All the string products in this paper are defined by the star product [1]. The open bosonic string field \( \Psi \) has ghost number 1 and is Grassmann odd. The BRST operator is Grassmann odd and is nilpotent: \( Q_B^2 = 0 \). It is a derivation with respect to the star product:

\[ Q_B (\varphi_1 \varphi_2) = (Q_B \varphi_1) \varphi_2 + (-1)^{\varphi_1} \varphi_1 (Q_B \varphi_2) \tag{2.2} \]

for any states \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \), where \( (-1)^{\varphi_1} = 1 \) when \( \varphi_1 \) is Grassmann even and \( (-1)^{\varphi_1} = -1 \) when \( \varphi_1 \) is Grassmann odd.

The deformation of the boundary CFT for the open string by a matter primary field \( V \) of dimension 1 is marginal to linear order in the deformation parameter. When the deformation is exactly marginal, we expect a solution of the form

\[ \Psi_\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \Psi^{(n)}, \tag{2.3} \]

where \( \lambda \) is the deformation parameter, to the nonlinear equation of motion (2.1). When operator products made of \( V \) are regular, analytic expressions of \( \Psi^{(n)} \)'s were derived in [16, 17], and the BPZ inner product \( \langle \varphi, \Psi^{(n)} \rangle \) for a state \( \varphi \) in the Fock space is given by

\[ \langle \varphi, \Psi^{(n)} \rangle = \int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \cdots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \langle f \circ \varphi(0) cV(1) B cV(1 + t_1) B cV(1 + t_1 + t_2) \cdots \times B cV(1 + t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{n-1}) \rangle_{W_{i+t_1+t_2+\cdots+t_{n-1}}}. \tag{2.4} \]

We follow the notation used in [3, 10, 17]. In particular, see the beginning of section 2 of [3] for the relation to the notation used in [2]. Here and in what follows we use \( \varphi \) to denote a generic state in the Fock space and \( \varphi(0) \) to denote its corresponding operator in the state-operator mapping. We use the doubling trick in calculating CFT correlation functions. As in [10], we define the oriented straight lines \( V_\alpha^\pm \) by

\[ V_\alpha^\pm = \left\{ z \left| \text{Re}(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) \right. \right\}, \quad \text{orientation: } \pm \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) - i \infty \to \pm \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) + i \infty, \tag{2.5} \]
and the surface $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ can be represented as the region between $V_{0}^{-}$ and $V_{2\alpha}^{+}$, where $V_{0}^{-}$ and $V_{2\alpha}^{+}$ are identified by translation. The function $f(z)$ is

$$f(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan z,$$

and $f \circ \varphi(z)$ denotes the conformal transformation of $\varphi(z)$ by the map $f(z)$. The operator $B$ is defined by

$$B = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} b(z),$$

and when $B$ is located between two operators at $t_1$ and $t_2$ with $1/2 < t_1 < t_2$, the contour of the integral can be taken to be $-V_{\alpha}^{+}$ with $2t_1 - 1 < \alpha < 2t_2 - 1$. The anticommutation relation of $B$ and $c(z)$ is

$$\{B, c(z)\} = 1,$$

and $B^2 = 0$.

The solution can be written more compactly as

$$\langle \varphi, \Psi^{(n)} \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} dt_{1} \int_{0}^{1} dt_{2} \ldots \int_{0}^{1} dt_{n-1} \left\langle \left( f \circ \varphi(0) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} cV(1 + \ell_{i}) B \right) cV(1 + \ell_{n-1}) \right\rangle_{W_{1+\ell_{n-1}}},$$

where

$$\ell_{0} = 0, \quad \ell_{i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{i} t_{k} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots.$$

(2.10)

It can be further simplified as

$$\Psi_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda X_{b}} \lambda X_{b},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{1 - \lambda X_{b}} \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda X_{b})^{n}.$$

(2.12)

The state $X_{b}$ is the same as $\Psi^{(1)}$:

$$\langle \varphi, X_{b} \rangle = \langle f \circ \varphi(0) cV(1) \rangle_{W_{1}}.$$

(2.13)

It solves the linearized equation of motion: $Q_{b} X_{b} = 0$. The definition of $J_{b}$ is a little involved. It is defined when it appears as $\varphi_{1} J_{b} \varphi_{2}$ between two states $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ in the Fock space. The string product $\varphi_{1} J_{b} \varphi_{2}$ is given by

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi_{1} J_{b} \varphi_{2} \rangle = \int_{0}^{1} dt \left\langle f \circ \varphi(0) f_{1} \circ \varphi_{1}(0) B f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_{2}(0) \right\rangle_{W_{1+t}}.$$

(2.14)
where $\varphi_1(0)$ and $\varphi_2(0)$ are the operators corresponding to the states $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$, respectively. The map $f_a(z)$ is a combination of $f(z)$ and translation:

$$f_a(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan z + a.$$  \hfill (2.15)

The string product $\varphi_1 J_b \varphi_2$ is well defined if $f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) B f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0)$ is regular in the limit $t \to 0$. In the definition of $\Psi_\lambda$, $J_b$ always appears between two $X_b$'s. Since $c(1) B c(1 + t) = c(1)$ in the limit $t \to 0$, the ghost part of $X_b J_b X_b$ is finite. Therefore, $X_b J_b X_b$ is well defined if the operator product $V(1) V(1 + t)$ is regular in the limit $t \to 0$. The ghost part of the state $\Psi(n) = (X_b J_b)^{n-1} X_b$ is also finite because $B c(z) B = B$ and $c(1) B c(1 + \ell_{n-1}) = c(1)$ in the limit $\ell_{n-1} \to 0$. Therefore, $\Psi(n)$ is well defined if the operator product in the matter sector

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \ldots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} V(1 + \ell_i)$$  \hfill (2.16)

is finite. For example, the marginal deformation associated with the rolling tachyon and the deformations in the light-cone directions satisfy the regularity condition \cite{16,17}.

An important property of $J_b$ is

$$\varphi_1 (Q_B J_b) \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$$  \hfill (2.17)

when $f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0)$ vanishes in the limit $t \to 0$. Since the BRST transformation of $b(z)$ is the energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$, the inner product $\langle \varphi, \varphi_1 (Q_B J_b) \varphi_2 \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi_1 (Q_B J_b) \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 dt \langle f \circ \varphi(0) f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) \mathcal{L} f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \rangle_{W_{1+t}},$$  \hfill (2.18)

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} T(z),$$  \hfill (2.19)

and the contour of the integral is the same as that of $B$. As discussed in \cite{3}, an insertion of $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to taking a derivative with respect to $t$. It is analogous to the relation $L_0 e^{-L_0 t} = -\partial_t e^{-L_0 t}$ in the standard strip coordinates, where $L_0$ is the zero mode of the energy-momentum tensor. We thus have

$$\langle \varphi, \varphi_1 (Q_B J_b) \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 dt \partial_t \langle f \circ \varphi(0) f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \rangle_{W_{1+t}}$$

$$= \langle f \circ \varphi(0) f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) f_2 \circ \varphi_2(0) \rangle_{W_2}$$  \hfill (2.20)

\[ Note that $f_a \circ cV(0) = cV(a)$ because $cV$ is a primary field of dimension 0. \]
when \( f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \) vanishes in the limit \( t \to 0 \). This completes the proof of (2.17).

When \( \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = X_b \), the operator product \( cV(1) cV(1+t) \) vanishes in the limit \( t \to 0 \) if \( V(1) V(1+t) \) is regular in the limit \( t \to 0 \). In the language of [17], \( \varphi_1 J_b \varphi_2 \) is

\[
\varphi_1 J_b \varphi_2 = \int_0^1 dt \varphi_1 e^{-(t-1) L_L^+ (-B_L^+)} \varphi_2, \tag{2.21}
\]

and the relation (2.17) follows from \( \{ Q_B, B_L^+ \} = L_L^+ \).

To summarize, when operator products made of \( V \) are regular, the solution (2.11) is well defined, and we can safely use the relations

\[
Q_B X_b = 0, \quad Q_B J_b = 1 \tag{2.22}
\]

for the Grassmann-odd states \( X_b \) and \( J_b \) when we calculate the BRST transformation of \( \Psi_\lambda \). It is now straightforward to calculate \( Q_B \Psi_\lambda \), and the result is

\[
Q_B \Psi_\lambda = - \frac{1}{1-\lambda X_b J_b} \lambda X_b \frac{1}{1-\lambda X_b J_b} \lambda X_b. \tag{2.23}
\]

We have thus shown that \( \Psi_\lambda \) in (2.11) satisfies the equation of motion (2.1).

### 3 Equation of motion for open superstring field theory

The equation of motion for open superstring field theory [46] is

\[
\eta_0 ( e^{-\Phi} Q_B e^{\Phi} ) = 0, \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the open superstring field. It is Grassmann even and has ghost number 0 and picture number 0. The superghost sector is described by \( \eta, \xi, \) and \( \phi \) [48, 49], and the zero modes of \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) are included in the Hilbert space. The operator \( \eta_0 \) is the zero mode of \( \eta \) and a derivation with respect to the star product. For any states \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \), we have

\[
\eta_0 ( \varphi_1 \varphi_2 ) = ( \eta_0 \varphi_1 ) \varphi_2 + ( -1 )^{\varphi_1} \varphi_1 ( \eta_0 \varphi_2 ), \tag{3.2}
\]

as in the case of \( Q_B \), where \( ( -1 )^{\varphi_1} = 1 \) when \( \varphi_1 \) is Grassmann even and \( ( -1 )^{\varphi_1} = -1 \) when \( \varphi_1 \) is Grassmann odd. The Grassmann-odd operator \( \eta_0 \) is nilpotent and anticommutes with \( Q_B \):

\[
Q_B^2 = 0, \quad \eta_0^2 = 0, \quad \{ Q_B, \eta_0 \} = 0. \tag{3.3}
\]

Since \( \eta_0 ( e^{-\Phi} Q_B e^{\Phi} ) = e^{-\Phi} [ Q_B ( e^{\Phi} \eta_0 e^{-\Phi} ) ] e^{\Phi} \), the equation of motion can also be written as follows:

\[
Q_B ( e^{\Phi} \eta_0 e^{-\Phi} ) = 0. \tag{3.4}
\]
We further simplify the equation of motion by field redefinition. Since the open superstring field $\Phi$ has vanishing ghost and picture numbers, there is a natural class of field redefinitions given by

$$\Phi_{\text{new}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Phi_{\text{old}}^n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

where $a_n$’s are constants. The map from $\Phi_{\text{old}}$ to $\Phi_{\text{new}}$ is well defined at least perturbatively. We choose

$$1 - \Phi_{\text{new}} = e^{-\Phi_{\text{old}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

and the equation of motion (3.4) written in terms of $\Phi_{\text{new}}$ is

$$- Q_B \left( \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} \eta_0 \Phi \right) = - \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} \left[ Q_B \eta_0 \Phi + (Q_B \Phi) \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} (\eta_0 \Phi) \right] = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

where

$$\frac{1}{1 - \Phi} \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

In the following sections, we solve the equation of motion of the form

$$Q_B \eta_0 \Phi + (Q_B \Phi) \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} (\eta_0 \Phi) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

or

$$Q_B \eta_0 \Phi + (Q_B \Phi) (\eta_0 \Phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Q_B \Phi) \Phi^n (\eta_0 \Phi) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

## 4 Solutions to second order

For any marginal deformation of the boundary CFT for the open superstring, there is an associated superconformal primary field $V_{1/2}$ of dimension $1/2$, and the marginal operator $V_1$ of dimension 1 is the supersymmetry transformation of $V_{1/2}$. For example, $V_{1/2}$ is the fermionic coordinate $\psi^\mu(z)$ when $V_1$ is the derivative of the bosonic coordinate $i \partial X^\mu(z)$ up to a normalization constant. In the RNS formalism, the unintegrated vertex operator in the $-1$ picture is $c e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}$, and the unintegrated vertex operator in the $0$ picture is $c V_1$. In open superstring field theory [40], the solution to the linearized equation of motion $Q_B \eta_0 \Phi^{(1)} = 0$ associated with the marginal deformation is given by $\Phi^{(1)} = X$, where $X$ is the state corresponding to the operator $\mathcal{V}(0) = c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(0)$:

$$\langle \varphi, X \rangle = \langle f \circ \varphi(0) \mathcal{V}(1) \rangle_{W_1} = \langle f \circ \varphi(0) c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(1) \rangle_{W_1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

See [28] for some explicit calculations in open superstring field theory when $V_{1/2}(z) = \psi^\mu(z)$. 
When the deformation is exactly marginal, we expect a solution of the form

\[ \Phi_\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \Phi^{(n)}, \]

(4.2)

where \( \lambda \) is the deformation parameter, to the nonlinear equation of motion (3.9). The equation for \( \Phi^{(2)} \) is

\[ Q_B \eta_0 \Phi^{(2)} = - (Q_B \Phi^{(1)}) (\eta_0 \Phi^{(1)}) = - (Q_B X) (\eta_0 X). \]

(4.3)

The right-hand side is annihilated by \( Q_B \) and by \( \eta_0 \) because \( Q_B \eta_0 X = 0 \). In order to solve the equation for \( \Phi^{(2)} \), we introduce a state \( J \) by replacing \( b(z) \) in \( J b \) for the bosonic case with \( \xi b(z) \).

Since

\[ \eta_0 \cdot \xi b(z) \equiv \oint \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \eta(w) \xi b(z) = b(z) \]

(4.4)

and the BRST transformation of \( b(z) \) gives the energy-momentum tensor, we expect that \( \xi b(z) \) in the superstring case plays a similar role of \( b(z) \) in the bosonic case. In fact, the zero mode of \( \xi b(z) \) divided by \( L_0 \) was used in the calculation of on-shell four-point amplitudes in [50]. We again define \( J \) when it appears as \( \varphi_1 J \varphi_2 \) between two states \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) in the Fock space. The string product \( \varphi_1 J \varphi_2 \) is given by

\[ \langle \varphi, \varphi_1 J \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 dt \langle f \circ \varphi(0) f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) J f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \rangle_W, \]

(4.5)

where \( \varphi_1(0) \) and \( \varphi_2(0) \) are the operators corresponding to the states \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \), respectively. The operator \( J \) is defined by

\[ J = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \xi b(z), \]

(4.6)

and when \( J \) is located between two operators at \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) with \( 1/2 < t_1 < t_2 \), the contour of the integral can be taken to be \( -V^+_{\alpha} \) with \( 2t_1 - 1 < \alpha < 2t_2 - 1 \). As in the case of \( J_b \), the string product \( \varphi_1 J \varphi_2 \) is well defined if \( f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) J f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \) is regular in the limit \( t \to 0 \). We also have an important relation

\[ \varphi_1 \left( Q_B \eta_0 J \right) \varphi_2 = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \]

(4.7)

if \( f_1 \circ \varphi_1(0) f_{1+t} \circ \varphi_2(0) \) vanishes in the limit \( t \to 0 \). The proof of this relation follows from that of (2.17) after we use (4.4) in calculating \( \eta_0 J \). We will discuss these regularity conditions later and proceed for the moment assuming they are satisfied. Namely, we assume that states involving \( J \) are well defined and that we can use the relations

\[ Q_B\eta_0 X = 0, \quad Q_B\eta_0 J = 1 \]

(4.8)

for the Grassmann-even states \( X \) and \( J \).
Motivated by the structure of the solutions in the bosonic case, we look for a solution which consists of \( XJX \), \( Q_B \), and \( \eta_0 \) to the equation (4.3) for \( \Phi^{(2)} \). There are nine possible states:

\[
\begin{align*}
(Q_B \eta_0 X) J X &= 0, & (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X, & (Q_B X) (\eta_0 X), \\
(\eta_0 X) (Q_B J) X, & X (Q_B \eta_0 J) X = X^2, & X (Q_B J) (\eta_0 X), \\
(\eta_0 X) J (Q_B X), & X (\eta_0 J) (Q_B X), & X J (Q_B \eta_0 X) = 0 .
\end{align*}
\] (4.9)

Two of them vanish and one of them reduces to \( X^2 \). We then calculate the action of \( Q_B \eta_0 \) on the nonvanishing states:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q_B \eta_0 [ (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X ] &= -(Q_B X) (\eta_0 X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ (Q_B X) J (\eta_0 X) ] &= (Q_B X) (\eta_0 X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ (\eta_0 X) (Q_B J) X ] &= -(\eta_0 X) (Q_B X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ X (Q_B \eta_0 J) X ] &= -(\eta_0 X) (Q_B X) + (Q_B X) (\eta_0 X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ X (Q_B J) (\eta_0 X) ] &= -(Q_B X) (\eta_0 X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ (\eta_0 X) J (Q_B X) ] &= (\eta_0 X) (Q_B X), \\
Q_B \eta_0 [ X (\eta_0 J) (Q_B X) ] &= -(\eta_0 X) (Q_B X).
\end{align*}
\] (4.10)

We thus find that \( (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X \), \(- (Q_B X) J (\eta_0 X)\), and \( X (Q_B J) (\eta_0 X) \) solve the equation (4.3) for \( \Phi^{(2)} \). We can also take an appropriate linear combination of the seven states, and different solutions should be related by gauge transformations. We choose

\[
\Phi^{(2)} = (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X
\] (4.11)

and consider its extension to \( \Phi^{(n)} \) in the next section.

5 Solutions in open superstring field theory

Remarkably, a simple extension of \( \Phi^{(2)} \) in (4.11) solves the equation of motion (3.9) to all orders in \( \lambda \). A solution is given by

\[
\Phi^{(3)} = (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X ,
\]

\[
\Phi^{(4)} = (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) X ,
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\Phi^{(n)} = [ (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J) ]^{n-1} X ,
\]

or

\[
\Phi_\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X) (\eta_0 J)^2} X ,
\] (5.2)
where
\[
\frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \equiv 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J) \right]^n. \quad (5.3)
\]

Let us now show that \( \Phi_\lambda \) given by (5.2) satisfies the equation of motion (3.9). Since \( Q_B X \) and \( \eta_0 J \) are annihilated by \( \eta_0 \), the state \( \eta_0 \Phi_\lambda \) is given by
\[
\eta_0 \Phi_\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda (\eta_0 X). \quad (5.4)
\]

For the calculation of \( Q_B \Phi_\lambda \), we use
\[
Q_B \left[ (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J) \right] = - Q_B X \quad (5.5)
\]

The state \( Q_B \Phi_\lambda \) is given by
\[
Q_B \Phi_\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda (Q_B X) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda X \right\}. \quad (5.6)
\]

Note that
\[
( Q_B \Phi_\lambda ) \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_\lambda} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda (Q_B X). \quad (5.7)
\]

Finally, \( Q_B \eta_0 \Phi_\lambda \) is given by
\[
Q_B \eta_0 \Phi_\lambda = - \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda (Q_B X) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)} \lambda (\eta_0 X) \right\}. \quad (5.8)
\]

We have thus shown that \( \Phi_\lambda \) given by (5.2) satisfies the equation of motion (3.9).

An explicit expression of \( \Phi^{(n)} \) in the CFT formulation is given by
\[
\langle \varphi, \Phi^{(n)} \rangle = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \ldots \int_0^1 \left\langle f \circ \varphi(0) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left[ Q_B \cdot V(1 + \ell_i) B \right] V(1 + \ell_{n-1}) \right\rangle_{W_{1+\ell_n-1}}, \quad (5.9)
\]

where the BRST transformation of \( V \) is
\[
Q_B \cdot V(z) = cV_1(z) + \eta e^\phi V_{1/2}(z). \quad (5.10)
\]

Note that \( J \) in \( J \) has been replaced by \( B \) in \( \eta_0 J \) because of (4.4). The term \( \eta e^\phi V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_i) \) in \( Q_B \cdot V(1 + \ell_i) \) does not contribute when \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2 \) because \( B^2 = 0 \). By repeatedly
using $\mathcal{B} c(z) \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$, we find

$$\langle \phi, \Phi^{(n)} \rangle = \int d^{n-1} t \left\langle f \circ \phi(0) \, c V_1(1) \mathcal{B} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[ V_i(1 + \ell_i) \right] c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1}) \right\rangle_{W_{1+\ell_{n-1}}},$$

$$+ \int d^{n-1} t \left\langle f \circ \phi(0) \, \eta e^{\phi} V_{1/2}(1) \mathcal{B} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[ V_i(1 + \ell_i) \right] c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1}) \right\rangle_{W_{1+\ell_{n-1}}},$$

where we have defined

$$\int d^{n-1} t \equiv \int_{x_0}^{x_1} dt_1 \int_{x_0}^{x_1} dt_2 \cdots \int_{x_0}^{x_{n-1}} dt_{n-1}. \tag{5.12}$$

We can also construct a different solution if we choose $\Phi^{(2)}$ to be $X (Q_B J) (\eta_0 X)$. It is easy to show that $\bar{\Phi}_\lambda$ given by

$$\bar{\Phi}_\lambda = \lambda X \frac{1}{1 - \lambda (Q_B J) (\eta_0 X)} \tag{5.13}$$

satisfies the equation of motion $(3.9)$. It is also straightforward to construct analytic solutions based on star-algebra projectors other than the sliver state using the method in [10].

### 6 Regularity conditions

In the proof that the solution $(6.2)$ satisfies the equation of motion $(3.9)$, we used the following relations:

$$(Q_B X) (Q_B \eta_0 J) X = (Q_B X) X,$$
$$Q_B \cdot \mathcal{V}(z) = Q_B \left[ c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(z) \right] = c e^{-\phi} V_1(z), \tag{6.2}$$

and $\mathcal{V}$, $Q_B \cdot \mathcal{V}$, and $\eta_0 \cdot \mathcal{V}$ are all primary fields of dimension 0, the condition for $(4.7)$ gives

$$\lim_{w \to z} \left[ c V_1(z) + \eta e^{\phi} V_{1/2}(z) \right] c \xi e^{-\phi} V_{1/2}(w) = 0,$$
$$\lim_{w \to z} \left[ c V_1(z) + \eta e^{\phi} V_{1/2}(z) \right] c V_1(w) + \eta e^{\phi} V_{1/2}(w) = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

These are satisfied if the operator products $V_1(z) V_{1/2}(w)$ and $V_1(z) V_1(w)$ are regular in the limit $w \to z$, and $V_{1/2}(z) V_{1/2}(w)$ vanishes in the limit $w \to z$. The vertex operator $V_{1/2}(z)$ is
Grassmann odd so that the last condition is satisfied if the operator product $V_{1/2}(z)V_{1/2}(w)$ is not singular. To summarize, the equation of motion is satisfied if the operator products $V_1(z)V_{1/2}(w)$, $V_1(z)V_1(w)$, and $V_{1/2}(z)V_{1/2}(w)$ are regular in the limit $w \to z$.

Let us next consider if the solution itself is finite and if any intermediate steps in the proof are well defined. The expressions can be divergent when two or more operators collide, but if the states

$$[(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1} X, \quad [(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1} (Q_B X), \quad [(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1}(\eta_0 X)$$

for any positive integer $n$ are finite, the solution and any intermediate steps in the proof are well defined. An explicit expression of $\Phi^{(n)} = [(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1} X$ has been presented \cite{5.11}. Expressions of $[(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1}(Q_B X)$ and $[(Q_B X)(\eta_0 J)]^{n-1}(\eta_0 X)$ can be obtained from \cite{5.11} by replacing $c \xi e^{-\phi}V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1})$ with $cV_1(1 + \ell_{n-1}) + \eta e^{\phi}V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1})$ and with $-ce^{-\phi}V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1})$, respectively. The superghost sector is finite because $c(z) B c(w)$ is finite in the limit $w \to z$. The superghost sector is also finite because $\eta e^{\phi}(1) \xi e^{-\phi} (1 + \ell_{n-1})$ and $\eta e^{\phi}(1) \xi e^{-\phi}(1 + \ell_{n-1})$ are finite in the limit $\ell_{n-1} \to 0$. Therefore, all the expressions are well defined if the contributions from the matter sector listed below are finite:

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \ldots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigg[ V_1(1 + \ell_i) \bigg],$$

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \ldots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \frac{1}{V_{1/2}(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigg[ V_1(1 + \ell_i) \bigg],$$

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \ldots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \bigg[ V_1(1 + \ell_i) \bigg] V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1}),$$

$$\int_0^1 dt_1 \int_0^1 dt_2 \ldots \int_0^1 dt_{n-1} \frac{1}{V_{1/2}(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \bigg[ V_1(1 + \ell_i) \bigg] V_{1/2}(1 + \ell_{n-1}),$$

where $\ell_i$ was defined in \cite{2.10}. To summarize, if operator products of an arbitrary number of $V_1$’s and at most two $V_{1/2}$’s are regular, the solution \cite{5.2} is well defined and satisfies the equation of motion \cite{3.9}.

7 Conclusions and discussion

We have constructed analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory when operator products made of $V_1$’s and $V_{1/2}$’s are regular. Our solutions are very simple and remarkably similar to the solutions in the bosonic case \cite{10, 17}. We expect that there will be further progress of analytic methods in open superstring field theory.
It would be interesting to study the rolling tachyon in open superstring field theory, and we expect that marginal deformations associated with the rolling tachyon solutions satisfy the regularity conditions discussed in the preceding section. However, deformations we are interested in typically have singular operator products of the marginal operator. In the bosonic case, solutions to third order in $\lambda$ have been constructed when the operator product of the marginal operator is singular [17]. We hope that a procedure similar to the one developed in the bosonic case will work in the superstring case, and it is important to carry out the program to all orders in the deformation parameter.

Our choice of $\Phi^{(2)}$ in (4.11) was based on a technical reason, and it is not clear if this gauge choice is physically suitable. In particular, the solution $\Phi_\lambda$ in (5.2) does not satisfy the reality condition on the string field. However, it is difficult for us to imagine that there are two inequivalent solutions generated by a single marginal operator which coincide to linear order in $\lambda$, and we expect that our solution is related to a real one by a gauge transformation. In fact, we can explicitly confirm this at $O(\lambda^2)$. In order to see this, it is useful to write the solution in the original definition of the string field by inverting the field redefinition (3.6):

$$\Phi_{old} = - \ln (1 - \Phi_{new}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \Phi_n^{(n)} .$$

(7.1)

We expand $\Phi_{old}$ in powers of $\lambda$ as

$$\Phi_{old} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \phi_{old}^{(n)},$$

(7.2)

and then $\Phi_{old}^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{old}^{(2)} = \phi_{new}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} (\phi_{new}^{(1)})^2 = (QBX)(\eta_0J)X + \frac{1}{2} X^2 .$$

(7.3)

The string field $\Phi_{old}^{(2)}$ does not satisfy the reality condition. However, there is another solution which satisfies the reality condition given by

$$\frac{1}{2} [(QBX)(\eta_0J)X + X(\eta_0J)(QBX)] ,$$

(7.4)

and the difference between (7.3) and (7.4) is

$$(QBX)(\eta_0J)X + \frac{1}{2} X^2 - \frac{1}{2} [(QBX)(\eta_0J)X + X(\eta_0J)(QBX)] = \frac{1}{2} QB [X (\eta_0J) X] .$$

(7.5)

---

4 A string field within our ansatz satisfies the reality condition when it is odd under the conjugation given by replacing $X \to -X$ and by reversing the order of string products. Signs from anticommuting Grassmann-odd string fields have to be taken care of in reversing the order of string products.
and can be eliminated by a gauge transformation. The open superstring field theory formulated by Berkovits can also be used to describe the \( N = 2 \) string by replacing \( Q_B \) and \( \eta_0 \) with the generators in the \( N = 2 \) string [46], but the reality condition on the string field for the \( N = 2 \) string does not seem to be satisfied for \( \Phi_\lambda \) in (5.2) either. The conjugation in [46] seems to map \( \Phi_\lambda \) in (5.2) to \( \Phi_\lambda \) in (5.13). We again expect that our solution is related to a solution satisfying the reality condition by a gauge transformation. For example, \(- (Q_B X) J (\eta_0 X)\), which is another solution to the equation for \( \Phi^{(2)} \), seems to satisfy the reality condition, and the difference between \(- (Q_B X) J (\eta_0 X)\) and \( \Phi^{(2)} \) in (4.11) is \( \eta_0 \left[ (Q_B X) J X \right] \) and can be eliminated by a gauge transformation generated by \( \eta_0 \). We have also found that \( (Q_B X) (Q_B J) X (\eta_0 J) (\eta_0 X) \), which seems to satisfy the reality condition, solves the equation for \( \Phi^{(3)} \) when \( \Phi^{(2)} \) is \(- (Q_B X) J (\eta_0 X)\), but we have not been able to extend the solution to all orders in \( \lambda \). We think that there is a good chance that solutions satisfying the reality condition for the ordinary superstring or for the \( N = 2 \) string can be found within our ansatz, and it would be desirable to have their explicit expressions. On the other hand, we believe that the solution in (5.2) has an advantage because the actions of \( Q_B \) and \( \eta_0 \) on (5.2) are very simple.

It has been expected that the moduli space of D-branes are reproduced by the moduli space of solutions to open string field theory, and we think that our approach provides a concrete setup to address this question. We have seen a one-to-one correspondence between the condition for exact marginality in boundary CFT [51] and the absence of obstruction in solving the equation of motion for string field theory at \( O(\lambda^2) \) in the bosonic case [17]. It would be important to study the correspondence at higher orders and in the superstring case, and a better understanding of the correspondence might help us complete the program of constructing solutions when the operator product of the marginal operator is singular. We hope that further developments in this subject will shed light on more conceptual issues in string theory such as background independence or the question why the condition that the \( \beta \) function vanishes in the world-sheet theory gives the equation of motion in the spacetime theory.

Note added

After the first version of this paper was submitted to arXiv, we found analytic solutions satisfying the reality condition [52]. We also learned that T. Erler independently constructed analytic solutions satisfying the reality condition, which were presented in the second version of [47].

---

5 Our understanding is that the conjugation in [46] is given by replacing \( X \to X, J \to -J, Q_B \to \eta_0, \) and \( \eta_0 \to Q_B \) and by reversing the order of string products, and the string field should be even under the conjugation. Again signs from anticommuting Grassmann-odd string fields have to be taken care of in reversing the order of string products. The string field \( \Phi_{\text{new}} \) in (3.6) is real when \( \Phi_{\text{old}} \) is real with respect to this reality condition, while this is not the case for the reality condition for the ordinary superstring discussed earlier.
Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Volker Schomerus for helpful conversations. I would also like to thank the Niels Bohr Institute in Copenhagen for hospitality during part of this work.

References

[1] E. Witten, “Noncommutative Geometry And String Field Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B 268, 253 (1986).

[2] M. Schnabl, “Analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open string field theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 10, 433 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511286].

[3] Y. Okawa, “Comments on Schnabl’s analytic solution for tachyon condensation in Witten’s open string field theory,” JHEP 0604, 055 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603159].

[4] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “On the validity of the solution of string field theory,” JHEP 0605, 006 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603195].

[5] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “Schnabl’s $L_0$ operator in the continuous basis,” JHEP 0610, 067 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605254].

[6] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Solving open string field theory with special projectors,” [arXiv:hep-th/0606131].

[7] I. Ellwood and M. Schnabl, “Proof of vanishing cohomology at the tachyon vacuum,” JHEP 0702, 096 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0606142].

[8] H. Fuji, S. Nakayama and H. Suzuki, “Open string amplitudes in various gauges,” JHEP 0701, 011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609047].

[9] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “Universal regularization for string field theory,” JHEP 0702, 038 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610298].

[10] Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Analytic solutions for tachyon condensation with general projectors,” [arXiv:hep-th/0611110].

[11] M. Asano and M. Kato, “New covariant gauges in string field theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/0611189].

[12] M. Asano and M. Kato, “Level truncated tachyon potential in various gauges,” JHEP 0701, 028 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611190].

[13] T. Erler, “Split string formalism and the closed string vacuum,” [arXiv:hep-th/0611200].

[14] C. Imbimbo, “The spectrum of open string field theory at the stable tachyonic vacuum,” [arXiv:hep-th/0611343].

[15] T. Erler, “Split string formalism and the closed string vacuum. II,” [arXiv:hep-th/0612050].

[16] M. Schnabl, “Comments on marginal deformations in open string field theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/0701248].
[17] M. Kiermaier, Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open string field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0701249.

[18] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Large marginal deformations in string field theory,” JHEP 0010, 009 (2000) arXiv:hep-th/0007153.

[19] A. Iqbal and A. Naqvi, “On marginal deformations in superstring field theory,” JHEP 0101, 040 (2000) arXiv:hep-th/0008127.

[20] T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, “Wilson lines and classical solutions in cubic open string field theory,” Prog. Theor. Phys. 106, 863 (2001) arXiv:hep-th/0107046.

[21] M. Marino and R. Schiappa, “Towards vacuum superstring field theory: The supersliver,” J. Math. Phys. 44, 156 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0112231.

[22] J. Kluson, “Exact solutions of open bosonic string field theory,” JHEP 0204, 043 (2002) arXiv:hep-th/0202045.

[23] T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, “Marginal and scalar solutions in cubic open string field theory,” JHEP 0203, 033 (2002) arXiv:hep-th/0202133.

[24] J. Kluson, “Marginal deformations in the open bosonic string field theory for N D0-branes,” Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 827 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0203089.

[25] J. Kluson, “Exact solutions in open bosonic string field theory and marginal deformation in CFT,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 4695 (2004) arXiv:hep-th/0209255.

[26] J. Kluson, “Exact solutions in SFT and marginal deformation in BCFT,” JHEP 0312, 050 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0303199.

[27] E. Coletti, I. Sigalov and W. Taylor, “Abelian and nonabelian vector field effective actions from string field theory,” JHEP 0309, 050 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0306041.

[28] N. Berkovits and M. Schnabl, “Yang-Mills action from open superstring field theory,” JHEP 0309, 022 (2003) arXiv:hep-th/0307019.

[29] A. Sen, “Energy momentum tensor and marginal deformations in open string field theory,” JHEP 0408, 034 (2004) arXiv:hep-th/0403200.

[30] F. Katsumata, T. Takahashi and S. Zeze, “Marginal deformations and closed string couplings in open string field theory,” JHEP 0411, 050 (2004) arXiv:hep-th/0409249.

[31] H. Yang and B. Zwiebach, “Testing closed string field theory with marginal fields,” JHEP 0506, 038 (2005) arXiv:hep-th/0501142.

[32] I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, “Marginal deformations and classical solutions in open superstring field theory,” JHEP 0511, 051 (2005) arXiv:hep-th/0506240.

[33] W. Taylor and B. Zwiebach, “D-branes, tachyons, and string field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0311017.

[34] A. Sen, “Tachyon dynamics in open string theory,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20, 5513 (2005) arXiv:hep-th/0410103.
[35] L. Rastelli, “String field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0509129.

[36] W. Taylor, “String field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0605202.

[37] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Tachyon potentials, star products and universality,” JHEP 0109, 038 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0006240].

[38] B. Zwiebach, “Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the B-V master equation,” Nucl. Phys. B 390, 33 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9206084].

[39] M. Saadi and B. Zwiebach, “Closed String Field Theory from Polyhedra,” Annals Phys. 192, 213 (1989).

[40] T. Kugo, H. Kunitomo and K. Suehiro, “Nonpolynomial Closed String Field Theory,” Phys. Lett. B 226, 48 (1989).

[41] T. Kugo and K. Suehiro, “Nonpolynomial Closed String Field Theory: Action And Its Gauge Invariance,” Nucl. Phys. B 337, 434 (1990).

[42] M. Kaku, “Geometric derivation of string field theory from first principles: Closed strings and modular invariance,” Phys. Rev. D 38, 3052 (1988).

[43] M. Kaku and J. D. Lykken, “Modular-invariant closed-string field theory,” Phys. Rev. D 38, 3067 (1988).

[44] Y. Okawa and B. Zwiebach, “Heterotic string field theory,” JHEP 0407, 042 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406212].

[45] N. Berkovits, Y. Okawa and B. Zwiebach, “WZW-like action for heterotic string field theory,” JHEP 0411, 038 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0409018].

[46] N. Berkovits, “SuperPoincare invariant superstring field theory,” Nucl. Phys. B 450, 90 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. B 459, 439 (1996)] [arXiv:hep-th/9503099].

[47] T. Erler, “Marginal Solutions for the Superstring,” arXiv:0704.0930.

[48] D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, “Conformal Invariance, Supersymmetry And String Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B 271, 93 (1986).

[49] J. Polchinski, “String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond,” Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (1998) 531 p

[50] N. Berkovits and C. T. Echevarria, “Four-point amplitude from open superstring field theory,” Phys. Lett. B 478, 343 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912120].

[51] A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, “Boundary deformation theory and moduli spaces of D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B 545, 233 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811237].

[52] Y. Okawa, “Real analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory,” arXiv:0704.3612 [hep-th].

16