OSB and Marine Plywood: Performance Comparison for use with Light Steel Frame Walls in Brazil
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Abstract: The use of light steel frame and wood frame in Brazil is still recent, hence there is little information about the durability of these technologies applied in Brazilian climate conditions. The wood-based boards are used as a part of the light steel or wood frame walls and are designed to contribute to the wall structural behavior (horizontal reinforcement and resistance to suspended loads). Once the information if such boards meet the DL (design life) set in the Brazilian code of residential building performance is still unknown, this paper analyses the technical characteristics of two wood-based boards - OSB and marine plywood - aiming to gather more information concerning durability under liquid water action. Thus, tests were carried out on samples of these two boards to evaluate their behavior against liquid water and after accelerated ageing test. In all cases, OSB showed to be more sensitive to early degradation than plywood.
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1 Introduction

The use of light steel frame and wood frame in Brazil is still recent. The first researches and publications were conducted in the last decade (Crasto, 2005; Milan, Novello, and Dos Reis, 2011; Oliveira and Mitidieri Filho, 2011; SiNAT, 2016), because of that there is little information about the durability of these technologies applied in Brazilian climate conditions, which can be around 23°C and 76% of relative humidity (RH) in the South East, with an monthly average solar radiation between 16 and 24 MJ/m².day (Tiba, 2000).

Wood-based boards are used as a part of the light steel frame or light wood frame walls. Mostly, the outer part of these external walls is formed by four layers (from exterior to interior): basecoat (mortar-based layer around 6mm thick), cementitious board, weather resistant barrier (sheathing membrane) and wood-based board (OSB or marine plywood), the last one improving the wall structural behavior (Campos, 2014; Rego, 2012). The basecoat is directly

¹ In Brazil there is no standard setting the minimum requirements for plywood; however, there are two main types of plywood in the market: one used for making wood-based products, like cabinets (simply called “plywood”) and another one, known as “marine plywood”, used in situations where the presence of liquid water and high levels of relative humidity are rather frequent, like in boat hulls; hence the designation “marine” before the word plywood. According to APA (www.apawood.org), a product like that could receive the classification “APA marine”. The boards used in this paper were supplied by a big manufacturer in Brazil.
adhered to the cementitious board, which is fastened (screws) to the OSB with the sheathing membrane in between. Also, in Brazil is quite common to have a wood-based board (usually OSB) at the inner side of the wall right behind the gypsum board as a way of improving the wall resistance to suspended loads; since shelves, frames and other loads can be fastened everywhere, whether there is a steel stud or not, a continuous layer is a need.

In terms of durability, the outer board might be directly affected by the wind-driven rain and the inner board by the high level of relative humidity (RH) inside the building. Water vapor movement is a matter of vapor pressure and it normally goes from outside to inside during the summer and from inside to outside during the winter (for cold countries). But the thing is not as “simple” as that, since there is no requirement for airtightness of light steel frame walls in Brazil: penetrations, holes and electrical fixtures are not sealed. Hence, air leakage (exfiltration) might be so high that the use of vapor barriers on the inner part of exterior walls is useless and a waste of money. To sum up with, knowing that keeping windows open is a cultural behavior in Brazil, the RH inside the house is probably close to the RH outside during warm seasons, which means that, basically speaking, RH all around the wall will be like the exterior RH. There might be some exceptions, though, mainly during the winter in southern cities, but in any case, interior air conditions are uncontrolled most of the time. A deeper discussion about this subject is out of the scope of this text, but it can be found elsewhere (Quirouette, 1985; Straube, 2002; Kumaran, 2009; Lstiburek, 2009; NRC, 2015; ABCB, 2016).

According to ABNT NBR 15575 (ABNT, 2013), Brazilian code of residential building performance, the design life (DL) of the main structural elements (steel and wood profiles) and of the other elements whose maintenance is not easy is at least 50 years. Since the wood-based layer contributes to the wall structural behavior and its maintenance is quite laborious, the design life (DL) of it should be equivalent to that of the main structural elements (steel and wood studs).

Once the information if such boards meet this DL in Brazilian climate is still unknown, this paper analyses the technical characteristics of two wood-based boards - OSB and marine plywood - in terms of bending strength, MOE - modulus of elasticity, internal bond, swelling in thickness and dimensional changes, all of them according to European Standards, aiming to get more information concerning durability of these boards when subjected to liquid water action. Thus, tests were carried out on samples of these two boards to evaluate their behavior before and after accelerated ageing tests.

2 Experimental Work

Samples of OSB (11.1 mm thick, OSB/3 (APA, 2017) and marine plywood (12 mm thick) were tested according to EN standards to gather information about density, EN 323 (CEN, 1993a); moisture content, EN 322 (CEN, 1993b); swelling in thickness, EN 317 (DIN, 1993); bending strength and modulus in bending, EN 310 (BSI, 1993a); internal bond, EN 319 (BSI, 1993b); dimensional changes, EN 318 (BSI, 2002a) and moisture resistance, EN 321 (BSI, 2002b).

EN standards were used because there is no Brazilian standard about the subject; in addition, regardless of having their own specific standards, samples of plywood were also tested according to OSB standards so that mechanical properties can be compared under the same conditions.

Such mechanical properties were measured for both axis: major strength (L = longitudinal)
and minor strength (T = transversal) using a universal testing machine (EMIC DL 10000). To determine the properties related to dimensional changes, a climatic chamber (model Thermotron 2800 programable controller) was used.

For each panel type, 10 50x50mm samples were used for internal bond and swelling in thickness; 10 50mm wide samples for bending properties and ageing and 4 (four) 50mm wide samples for dimensional changes. For the sake of simplicity, the terms mass and weight will be used interchangeably in this text.

2.1 Results and Discussion

2.1.1 Properties of the boards

After reaching constant mass at 21°C and 65% RH, the main properties of the boards were evaluated, and the results can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. OSB and marine plywood properties (average).

| Board type | Density (kg/m³) | Layers   | MC (%)   | MOE (N/mm²) | BS (N/mm²) |
|------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| OSB        | 639.9 ± 24.6   | Homogeneous | 10.5 ± 0.3 | 4607 ± 649  | 32.7 ± 6.4 |
| Plywood    | 623.9 ± 20.9   | Five     | 10.3 ± 0.4 | 7039 ± 559  | 60.3 ± 8.6 |

MC - moisture content, BS - bending strength, MOE - modulus of elasticity

2.1.2 Swelling in thickness

The thicknesses and weights of the specimens (50x50mm) were taken seven times during the 24h bath at 20 ± 2°C so that was possible to see the increasing rate of both (Figure 1).

In Figure 2 is possible to see the total thickness of all the specimens when put together side by side. It is rather evident the difference between OSB and plywood.

After the first 24h of the soak bath, all the specimens were kept under water for more 24h and, then, remained inside a room at 20 ± 2°C and uncontrolled RH levels (around 55%) for a drying session. The results are shown in Figure 3 and here it is important to notice that the moisture content is related to the initial weight of the specimens, not to oven-dry weight. It is possible to see that both OSB and plywood show almost the same wetting and drying curves and practically the same water uptake coefficient (note that X-axis is the square root of time).
Although both OSB and plywood show quite the same water absorption and drying curves, OSB samples went through a much higher swelling after only one cycle, which can lead to problems with loose screws and nails.

2.1.3 Modulus of Elasticity (MOE) and bending strength

These tests were carried out in three conditions: with the samples acclimatized to 65\% RH at 21°C (Natural), after cyclic test (Aged) EN 321 (BSI, 2002b) and with the samples after 24h under tap water (Soak). For each set, 10 specimens were tested, which meant a total of 120 specimens (two boards, three conditions, two axis and 10 specimens each).

The distance between the supports was 20 times the thickness, i.e., 222mm for OSB and 240mm for plywood and both loading head and supports had a diameter of 20mm. Figure 4 presents the retention rate for MOE and bending strength (BS) for each set according to the axis: L stands for longitudinal and T stands for transversal (longitudinal means the axis with the highest strength).

Since the mechanical strength is deeply related to the moisture content of the specimens, the moisture contents of OSB and plywood after soak bath were determined as follows: values taken immediately after the bending test and, then, after 48h in an oven at 103°C. So, when soaked, moisture contents (in percentage, oven-dry basis) were 36.9 ± 2.1 for OSB and 41.8 ± 4.0 for plywood.

All OSB samples presented great deterioration after soaking and cyclic test, so it was difficult to reach good accuracy and precision when measuring the thickness, which means the values presented in Figure 4 vary around ±5%. Mechanical strength loss due to water action is also described by other authors (Kojima and Suzuki, 2011; Korai, Kojima and Suzuki, 2015).
and the results in this paper are in good agreement with those ones; more similar results are also described by Okino et al (2004), when studying OSB with Brazilian wood strands.

![Figure 4. MOE and BS retention rates for OSB and plywood.](image)

2.1.4 Internal bond

Internal bond tests were carried out under five circumstances: 1) original board acclimatized at 65% RH, 21°C (Natural); 2) after swelling (soak bath); 3) after boiling; 4) oven-dry after swelling and 5) oven-dry after boiling (Figure 6). Specimens in situation 4) and 5) were oven-dried for 48h after swelling and boiling tests; then, all the samples from 2) to 5) were acclimatized at 65% RH and 21°C before the internal bond test. Due to the mechanical damage OSB samples went through during swelling and boiling tests (see Figure 2), it was not possible to measure their internal bond.

![Figure 5. Internal bond of OSB and Plywood under different conditions.](image)

2.1.5 Dimensional changes

All the samples in this test had the nominal dimensions of 300x50mm and the following charts show the mass of each specimen over time (dashed line, right vertical axis) and also the percentual variation of the mass in the last 24 hours (solid lines, left vertical axis). These last ones are important to see how long the specimens take to reach the equilibrium as required by EN 318 (BSI, 2002a): up to 0.1% in 24 hours. While Figure 6 shows the result for the cycle 30-65-85, Figure 7 shows the data for the cycle 85-65-30.

All the charts follow the same reasoning as explained here for the cycle 30-65-85: the specimens were taken from room temperature and put into the climatic chamber at 30% RH.
After the mass reaches the equilibrium, the RH level is changed to 65% and the charts show this as the first bump. Afterwards, the RH level is increased to 85% and a second bump shows up; then, the specimens remain in the chamber until equilibrium.

The two following charts, in Figure 8, show the changes of the samples length for both cycles.
as well: set 1 for $L_{65,85}$ and set 2 for $L_{65,30}$.

Figure 8. Changes in length of OSB and plywood: $L_{65,85}$ and $L_{65,30}$.

3 Conclusions

- Water can reach wood-based boards either due to high levels of relative humidity or because of wind-driven rain infiltration, usually taken as 1% of the rain load over the wall (ASHRAE, 2016), whether through crackings, openings, sealant failures and so on. Regarding the materials evaluated in this experiment, OSB is much more sensitive to water than plywood. Hence, its low resiliency against the most abundant degradation agent, together with the fact maintenance is not a common action in Brazil, is an alert that OSB might not be the best option for a country whose climate is hotter and more humid than cold countries that already have issues with wood-based boards;

- Even with boards intended for use in humid conditions, it is important to note that “humid” means “temperature of 20°C and a relative humidity of the surrounding air only exceeding 85 % for a few weeks per year” according to EN 300 (CEN, 2006). Since in most cities of Brazil the relative humidity goes beyond this level many weeks per year, the conditions are very good to obtain high levels of mold index (ASHRAE, 2016; Ojanen et al., 2010); also, temperatures in Brazil are almost always above the minimum threshold for mold growth;

- In all tests OSB showed lower performance when compared to plywood, even where there was no direct contact with liquid water but only with different humidity levels, which means a higher stress level over time due to the greater dimensional changes.
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