The photocurrent generated by photon replica states of an off-resonantly coupled dot-cavity system
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Transport properties of a quantum dot coupled to a photon cavity are investigated using a quantum master equation in the steady-state regime. In the off-resonance regime, when the photon energy is smaller than the energy spacing between the lowest electron states of the quantum dot, we calculate the current that is generated by photon replica states as the electronic system is pumped with photons. Tuning the electron-photon coupling strength, the photocurrent can be enhanced by the influences of the photon polarization, and the cavity-photon coupling strength of the environment. We show that the current generated through the photon replicas is very sensitive to the photon polarization, but it is not strongly dependent on the average number of photons in the environment.

The light-matter interaction in nanoscale systems is one of the most fundamental and interesting topic of modern nanodevices1,2, especially if the light consists of few photons. In this case the light must be treated as fully quantized3,4. Few photons interacting with a quantized electronic system, both in weak and strong coupling regimes, are very attractive for fundamental research and applications of nanotechnology5,6. For instance, an unconventional photon blockade is observed in a quantum dot (QD) weakly coupled to a quantum electrodynamics (QED) cavity, in which a photon blockade effect can control the efficiency of single photon sources7. In addition, in the strong coupling limit a quantum cavity coupled to a double quantum dot (DQD) system offers the capability of a coherent spectroscopy of a DQD qubit in the dispersive regime8.

The coupling strength between an electronic system and a photon field in a cavity, $g_\gamma$, can be compared to the coupling strength of the cavity to the environment, $\kappa$. The system is said to be in the strong coupling regime if $g_\gamma > \kappa$. Note though that in addition to this condition the strength of the interaction could also be compared to a characteristic energy spacing of the electron system10. In the strong coupling regime, several interesting phenomena have been observed such as photon-induced tunneling in a vacuum Rabi split two level quantum dot system11 and photon blockade in the presence of effective photon-photon interactions in a qubit system12. Recently, it has been proposed that the entanglement properties of the cavity–photons with electrons in a strong coupling regime can be used to mediate non–Coulombic entanglement between two distant electrons13. In the weak coupling regime, when $g_\gamma < \kappa$, the photon losses in the cavity overcome the electron–photon coupling element. As a result, photons may leave the cavity faster than being absorbed by the electronic system. In the weak coupling regime a high-performance single-photon source achieves very high efficiency and it can be used as a multi-photon interferometric solid-state device14.

The strong coupling regime opens the way towards a deterministic building of qubit–photon entanglement15, single photon states16, and long-range coupling of semiconductor qubits17,18. In addition, the strong coupling regime is most desirable for optoelectronic nanodevices and it is an emerging technology in the microelectronics industry in the form of solid state-based quantum processors19.

In previous publications, we demonstrated the effects of a photon cavity on the time-dependent electron transport in a strong electron-photon coupling regime for both charge and thermoelectric transport through a
A photon cavity with a single photon mode in the steady-state regime. We consider a strong coupling between the QD system and the photon cavity, \( (\gamma > \kappa) \). We use a Markovian quantum master equation to investigate the current through the QD system that is generated due to photon replica states under the influences of the photon polarization, the cavity-environment coupling strength and the average photon number in the environment.

Results

The system under investigation is a QD embedded in a short two-dimensional GaAs quantum wire with hard-wall confinement in the \( x \)-direction and parabolic confinement in the \( y \)-direction with characteristic confinement energy \( h\Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV} \). The QD system and the leads are assumed to be formed in a AlGaAs-GaAs heterostructure. The system is exposed to a weak external perpendicular magnetic field, \( B = 0.1 \text{ T} \), in the \( z \)-direction leading to a cyclotron energy \( h\omega_c = 0.172 \text{ meV} \). Therefore, the effective confinement energy is given by \( h\Omega_0 = h \sqrt{\Omega_0^2 + \omega_c^2} \). With the weak external magnetic field we avoid the effects of the Lorentz force on electron transport in the QD system. The role of this magnetic field is to lift the spin degeneracy by a small Zeeman splitting.

The QD system is connected to two leads with \( \mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV} \) the chemical potential of the left lead and for the right lead \( \mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV} \). The gate voltage is \( V_g = 0.651 \text{ meV} \) which is set to place the first photon replica of the one-electron ground state in the bias window. The temperature of the leads is fixed at \( T = 0.5 \text{ K} \). The weak external perpendicular magnetic field is also applied to both leads.

The wire-QD system is coupled to a photon field with energy \( h\omega_c = 1.31 \text{ meV} \). The photon energy is smaller than the energy distance between the one-electron ground state and the first excited thereof. Under this condition the QD system is off-resonant with the cavity, but the anisotropic polarizability of the charge in the system is different for the two linear photon polarizations along the \( x \)- and the \( y \)-direction, as will be seen below. We intentionally choose the off-resonant regime, with a photon replica in the bias window to study the current yield generated by such states.

The first photon replica of the one-electron ground state can be understood as a state with the same electron part, but one photon added in the case of a vanishing electron-photon interaction. As the interaction is increased the replica state acquires a more complex photon component consisting of a small amount of a 0-, 2- and higher number of photons. A strong interaction makes the mean number of photons in the replica state to deviate from an integer number. As the electron states of the system have a shape reflecting the geometry of it, we can similarly interpret the slight changes in the electron component of the replica as a polarization of the electron charge caused by the cavity field. We should be talking about cavity-photon dressed electron states. If the energy of cavity mode brings the first photon replica close to the first excitation of the ground state we obtain a Rabi resonance resulting in states with a mean photon number far from an integer. But, even out of resonance, the energy of cavity mode brings the first photon replica close to the first excitation of the ground state we obtain a Rabi resonance resulting in states with a mean photon number far from an integer.

Figure 1 shows the many-body energy spectrum of the wire-QD system as a function of the electron-photon coupling strength, \( g_x \), for the \( x \)- (a) and \( y \)-polarized (b) photon field. 0 indicates the one-electron ground-state and 1st refers to the first-excited one-electron state. In addition, 1γ0 and 2γ0 are the first and the second photon replicas of the one-electron ground-state, respectively.

At the given gate voltage, \( V_g = 0.651 \text{ meV} \), the first photon replica of the ground-state, 1γ0, is found to be in the bias window. Tuning the electron-photon coupling strength, the energy of the states is shifted up or down and can form anti-crossings, especially in the \( x \)-polarized photon field. For instance, 1γ0 is shifted down with increasing \( g_x \) and leaves the bias window at high electron-photon coupling strength in the \( x \)-polarized photon field, while it remains in the bias window for the \( y \)-polarization. In addition, the 1st state is approaching 2γ0 starting to form an anti-crossing at high electron-photon coupling strength in the \( x \)-polarization while the same phenomenon can not be seen for the \( y \)-polarization. The states are effectively stronger coupled to the \( x \)-polarized

Figure 1. Schematic diagram displaying the QD-system (black) connected to the leads (blue) and coupled to a photon field (red). The green arrows indicate the direction of electron transport in the system.
photon field compared to the \( y \)-polarization as the anisotropy of the system makes the charge more polarizable in the \( x \)-direction.

The mean photon number or photon content of the aforementioned four one-electron states is shown in Fig. 3 for the \( x \)-polarized (a) and \( y \)-polarized photon field (b). Increasing the electron-photon coupling strength, photon-exchange between the \( 1^{st} \) and \( 2\gamma0 \) states for the \( x \)-polarization is observed. The photon content of \( 1^{st} \) is enhanced to \( \approx 0.4 \) and the photon content of \( 2\gamma0 \) decreases to \( \approx 1.2 \). In addition, the photon content of \( 1\gamma0 \) is suppressed to \( \approx 0.65 \) in the \( x \)-polarization. The characteristics of the photon content here together with the energy spectra shown in Fig. 2 indicate that the states \( 1^{st} \) and \( 2\gamma0 \) are approaching a Rabi-resonance in the case of an \( x \)-polarized photon field.27.
The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV}$ and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV}$. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1 \text{ T}$, $V_g = 0.651 \text{ meV}$, $T_{L,R} = 0.5 \text{ K}$, and $h\Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV}$.

Figure 4. Current from the left lead to the QD-system ($I_L$) as a function of the electron-photon coupling strength, $g_x$, for $x$- (purple rectangles) and $y$-polarized (green triangles) of the photon field. The photon energy is $\hbar\omega_\gamma = 1.31 \text{ meV}$, $\kappa = 10^{-5} \text{ meV}$, and $\theta = 1$. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV}$ and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV}$. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1 \text{ T}$, $V_g = 0.651 \text{ meV}$, $T_{L,R} = 0.5 \text{ K}$, and $h\Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV}$.

Figure 5. Partial current of the four lowest one-electron states versus the electron-photon coupling strength ($g_x$) for $x$- (a), $y$-polarized (b) photon field. The states with spin-down have hollow circle shape and the states with spin-up have filled circle shape. Herein, 0$^-$, and 0$^+$ indicate the one-electron ground-state with spin-down and the spin-up (purple), respectively. 1$^\gamma 0^-$ refers to the one-photon replica of the 0 with spin-down (green hollow) and 1$^\gamma 0^+$ for spin-up (green filled circles), 1$^x$- displays the one-electron first-excited state with spin-down ($-0.5$) (blue hollow) and 1$^x 0^+$ the spin-up (blue filled circles), and 2$^x 0^-$ is the two-photon replica of 0 with spin-down (orange hollow) and 2$^x 0^+$ the spin-up (orange filled circles). The photon energy is $\hbar\omega_\gamma = 1.31 \text{ meV}$, and $\kappa = 10^{-5} \text{ meV}$, $\theta = 1$. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25 \text{ meV}$ and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15 \text{ meV}$. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1 \text{ T}$, $V_g = 0.651 \text{ meV}$, $T_{L,R} = 0.5 \text{ K}$, and $h\Omega_0 = 2.0 \text{ meV}$.

We now present the properties of the electron transport displayed by the current through the system. The current carried by the electrons depends on the width and location of the bias window. Here we assume the chemical potential of the left(right) lead to be $1.25(1.15 \text{ meV})$, respectively. The current from the left lead into the QD system is displayed in Fig. 4 for $x$- (purple rectangles) and $y$-polarized (green rectangles) photon field. The current is enhanced with the electron-photon coupling strength reaching a maximum at $g_x = 0.1 \text{ meV}$ for the $x$-polarized photon field while the current is very small and remains almost constant with increasing $g_x$ in the $y$-polarization. The enhancement of current is related to the charge and the electron-photon dressed states which are more polarizable in the $x$-direction. In addition, the transport through the photon replica state such as 1$^\gamma 0^-$ located in the bias window is enhanced with $g_x$. As expected the current after $g_x = 0.1 \text{ meV}$ decreases as the photon replica state, 1$^\gamma 0^-$, leaves the bias window. We stress that the characteristics of current would not be the same as is shown in Fig. 4 if there would only be slightly photon dressed states of the QD system or additional electron-photon dressed states together with the photon replica states in the bias window. We note that the left and the right currents here, i.e. the current through the QD, are equal in magnitude because the system is in the steady-state regime.

To understand the detailed characteristics of the electron transport we present Fig. 5 which shows the partial currents, i.e. the currents going through individual states, for the $x$- (a) and $y$-polarized (b) photon field. For the given chemical potentials the first photon replica, 1$^\gamma 0^-$, is located in the bias window. In $x$-polarized photon field, 1$^\gamma 0^-$ is the most active state in the transport as is shown in Fig. 5(a) in which the partial current for the four lowest states of the QD system coupled to the cavity is plotted. The current through 1$^\gamma 0^-$ with spin down (green hollow circle) and 1$^\gamma 0^+$ for spin up (green filled circle) is enhanced by increased electron-photon coupling strength up to $g_x = 0.15 \text{ meV}$. The current is suppressed at higher electron-photon coupling strength in the case of $x$-polarization. The reason for the current suppression through 1$^\gamma 0^+$ after $g_x = 0.15 \text{ meV}$ is that 1$^\gamma 0^+$ is moving out of the bias window as the coupling increases. In addition to the first photon replica state, the second photon replica state 2$^\gamma 0^+$ participates in the electron motion and a small current through 2$^\gamma 0^+$ is observed for both spin down (orange hollow circles) and spin up (orange filled circles). Again, the current through 2$^\gamma 0^+$ for both spin components is approaching zero but at the same time the first-excited state gains charge leading to generation of a small current via 1$^x$ with both spin components (blue) at high electron-photon coupling strength, $g_x > 0.15 \text{ meV}$. The discharging of 2$^\gamma 0^+$ and charging of 1$^x$ is related to the photon-exchange between these two states shown in Fig. 3(a) leading...
to an intraband transition that occurs between them. The characteristics of total current shown in Fig. 4 follow the partial currents of the photon replica states $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_0^1$ shown in Fig. 5(a). It indicates that more than 95% of the total current is generated due to the contribution of the photon replica state, $\gamma_0^1$, to the electron transport. Therefore, we can call the total current the photo-generated, or the photocurrent of the QD system.

We should mention that the current through the aforementioned states for the $y$-polarized photon field is much smaller, 100 times smaller, than that for the $x$-polarization as is displayed in Fig. 5(b). This confirms that the $y$-polarized photon field does not influence much the electron transport and no important effects of the photons can be seen in the energy spectrum and the photon content. This is related to the anisotropy of the QD system in which charge is more polarizable in the $x$-direction.

We now further study the properties of the current in the case of an $x$-polarized photon field and neglect the transport properties for the $y$-polarization because the current is too small. We now tune the cavity-environment coupling $\kappa$, but keep in mind that the electron-photon coupling strength is still greater than the cavity-environment coupling, $g_\gamma > \kappa$. As $n_\gamma$, the mean value of photons in the reservoir, is not zero the parameter $\kappa$ both influences the rate of flow of photons out and into the cavity. The current versus the electron-photon coupling strength for different values of $\kappa$ is shown in Fig. 6. Clearly, the current is enhanced with increasing $\kappa$. To explain, we refer to the partial occupation and current of the QD system. Figure 7 demonstrates the partial occupation of the first photon replica of the one-electron ground state, $\gamma_0^1$, and the electronic state, $1^e$, versus the electron-photon coupling strength. We should remember that only $\gamma_0^1$ is located in the bias window and $1^e$ is above the bias window (see Fig. 2a). The occupation of $\gamma_0^1$ decreases with increasing photon-reservoir coupling rate for all electron-photon coupling strength (see Fig. 7a) while the occupation of $1^e$ is enhanced (see Fig. 7b). This behavior indicates that the participation of photon replica states to the transport becomes weak at

Figure 6. Current from the left lead to the QD-system ($I_L$) as functions of the electron-photon coupling strength $g_\gamma$ for $\kappa = 10^{-5}$ meV (purple rectangles), $10^{-4}$ meV (green triangles), and $10^{-3}$ meV (blue diamonds). The photon energy is $h\omega_\gamma = 1.31$ meV, $\eta_\gamma = 1$, and the photon field is linearly polarized in the $x$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $h\Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV.

Figure 7. Partial occupation of $\gamma_0^1$ (a) and $1^e$ (b) versus the electron-photon coupling strength ($g_\gamma$) for $\kappa = 10^{-5}$ meV (purple squares), $10^{-4}$ meV (green triangles), and $10^{-3}$ meV (blue diamonds) with spin-down (hollow) and spin-up (solid). The photon energy is $h\omega_\gamma = 1.31$ meV, $\eta_\gamma = 1$, and the photon field is linearly polarized in the $x$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $h\Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV.
The photon field is linearly polarized in the $\gamma$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $\hbar\Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV.

Figure 8. Partial current of the four lowest one-electron states (1ES) versus the electron-photon coupling strength ($g_\gamma$) for $\kappa = 10^{-4}$ meV (a), and $10^{-3}$ meV (b). The states with spin-up have hollow circle shape and the states with spin-down have filled circle shape. Herein, $0-$ and $0+$ indicate the one-electron ground-state with spin-down and the spin-up (purple), respectively, $1-0+$ refers to the one-electron replica of the 0 with spin-down (green hollow) and $1+0-$ for spin-up (green filled circles), $1-\gamma$ displays the one-electron first-excited state with spin-down ($-0.5$) (blue hollow) and $1+\gamma$ the spin-up (blue filled circles), and $2-\gamma-0-$ is the two-photon replica of 0 with spin-down (orange hollow) and $2+\gamma+0-$ the spin-up (orange filled circles). The photon energy is $\hbar\omega_\gamma = 1.31$ meV, $\eta_R = 1$, and the photon field is linearly polarized in the $x$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $\hbar\Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV.

Figure 9. The current from the left lead to the QD-system ($I_L$) (a), and the mean total photon number $N_\gamma$ (b) as functions of the electron-photon coupling strength $g_\gamma$ for $\eta_R = 1$ (purple rectangles), 1 (green triangles), and 2 (blue diamonds). The photon energy is $\hbar\omega_\gamma = 1.31$ meV, $\kappa = 10^{-3}$ meV, and the photon field is linearly polarized in the $x$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $\hbar\Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV. Note that the lowest value for $g_\gamma$ in the figure is 0.001 meV.

Another feature of our system is the effect of mean photon number $\bar{n}_\gamma$ in the reservoir on the transport properties. We assume the cavity-reservoir coupling, $\kappa = 10^{-5}$ meV, and the chemical potential of the leads to be fixed as the above calculations. We keep in mind that only $1-0$ is located in the bias window for the given values of the chemical potentials and the gate voltage. Figure 9(a) shows the current as a function of the electron-photon coupling strength for different mean photon numbers in the reservoir. The current is almost zero when the mean number of photons is zero, $\bar{n}_\gamma = 0$, which is expected because the photon replica states are not active in the transport in the present situation. For $\bar{n}_\gamma = 0$ the system enters a Coulomb blocking regime in the steady state. In this case, the occupation of $1-0$ is almost zero and in turn the current vanishes. Clearly, the most occupied state here is the ground state which does not contribute to the transferred current through the QD system because it is far below the bias window (see Fig. 2). If we assume $\bar{n}_\gamma = 2$ (blue diamonds), the contribution of $1-0$ and especially $2-0$ is slightly enhanced which can be seen from the occupation of these two states (now shown). Therefore, the current is slightly enhanced for the case of two photons. This happens as the photon replicas are not pure simple perturbational states with an integer number of photons, but instead contain states with 0, 1, and 2 photons at least to some amount. The total mean photon number $N_\gamma$, displayed in Fig. 9(b) is invariably lower than mean photon number in the reservoir $\bar{n}_\gamma$ as the flow of electrons through the system is maintained by the "consumption" of photons, i.e. a photocurrent is maintained in the system. In preparation of Fig. 9 the coupling $g_\gamma$ is never put lower than 0.001 meV, but the coupling of the cavity to the environment $\kappa$ is kept constant. For the lowest $g_\gamma$ the system still achieves a steady-state, but now in a very long time. (We do not set $g_\gamma$ exactly equal to zero as in that unphysical limit it is technically difficult to account for the approach to the steady state properly within the numerical accuracy set by the time scale needed).
The partial current through the four lowest states is displayed in Fig. 10 for $n_R = 2$. It can clearly be seen that the current through $1\gamma_0^-$ and $2\gamma_0^+$ is slightly increased in the case of two photons leading to a slight enhancement of the total current.

**Discussion**

We have calculated the transport properties through a quantum dot system connected to leads and coupled to a photon cavity with a single photon mode. We focus on the transport properties of the photon replica states that are formed in the presence of the photon field coupled to the QD system. These photon replica states can be confined in the bias voltage by setting the chemical potential of the leads. In this way, one can see 95% of the current in the system can be obtained due to the photon replica states. We can thus show the influences of photon polarization, mean photon number in the reservoir, and photon-reservoir coupling rate on the transport properties in the system. We find that the photon polarization plays an important role and can be used to control the photocurrent generated in the system. In addition, the total current is enhanced with increasing the photon-reservoir coupling rate because the partial current carried by both the almost pure electronic states and the photon replica states is increased.

It is important to have in mind that we have not considered very strong electron photon coupling if we compare the photon coupling strength with the energy difference between the one-electron ground state and the first electronic excitation thereof. We have also not selected the photon energy to be very close to this energy difference, but as we account for geometrical effects in our anisotropic system it is clear that the effective electron-photon coupling becomes rather strong, and the only way to approach this regime sincerely is by using a step wise exact numerical diagonalization scheme for all interactions in the central system. Even though, we do consider the variation of the photon fields to be small on the size scale of the electronic system, we are not using a traditional dipole approximation and the higher order interaction effects are important in delivering a more appropriate cavity photon dressed electron states to describe transport of electron through our system. Radiative transitions in our system with a FIR photon mode take time, and the variation of the cavity-photon reservoir coupling strength, $\kappa$, can be used to activate them or reduce their effects.

**Methods**

The Hamiltonian describing the QD-system coupled to a photon cavity in the many-body (MB) basis is given as:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_e + \hat{H}_\gamma + \hat{H}_{e-\gamma},$$

with $H_e$ the Hamiltonian of the QD-wire system

$$\hat{H}_e = \int dr \left[ \psi^\dagger(r) \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^* \Omega_0^2 \gamma^2 \right) \psi(r) + V_{QD} + e V_g \hat{\gamma}(r) \right] + H_Z + \int dr \int dr' \left( \hat{\psi}^\dagger(r) \psi^\dagger(r') \chi(r, r') \hat{\gamma}(r') \right) \hat{\psi}(r),$$

Figure 10. Partial current of the four lowest one-electron states (1ES) versus the electron-photon coupling strength ($g_\gamma$) for $n_R = 2$. The states with spin-down have hollow circle shape and the states with spin-up have filled circle shape. Herein, 0−, and 0+ indicate the one-electron ground-state with spin-down and the spin-up (purple), respectively, 1γ0− refers to the one-photon replica of the 0 with spin-down (green hollow) and 1γ0+ for spin-up (green filled circles), 1γi− displays the one-electron first-excited state with spin-down (−0.5) (blue hollow) and 1γi+ the spin-up (blue filled circles), and 2γ0− is the two-photon replica of 0 with spin-down (orange hollow) and 2γ0+ the spin-up (orange filled circles). The photon energy is $\hbar \omega_0 = 1.31$ meV, $\kappa = 10^{-5}$, and the photon field is linearly polarized in the $x$-direction. The chemical potential of the left lead is $\mu_L = 1.25$ meV and the right lead is $\mu_R = 1.15$ meV. The magnetic field is $B = 0.1$ T, $V_g = 0.651$ meV, $T_{L,R} = 0.5$ K, and $\hbar \Omega_0 = 2.0$ meV.
including the electron-electron Coulomb interaction. Herein, $\mathbf{\pi} = \mathbf{p} + (e/c) \mathbf{A}$ with $\mathbf{p}$ being canonical momentum, $\mathbf{A} = -By\hat{x}$ is the magnetic vector potential with $B = B\hat{z}$, and $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_i(\mathbf{r}) d_i$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \psi_i^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) d_i^\dagger$ are the electron field operators with $d_i(d_i^\dagger)$ the annihilation(creation) operators for the single-electron state $i$ corresponding to $\psi_i$. The electron confinement frequency due to the lateral parabolic potential is defined by $\Omega_0$ in the short quantum wire and the potential of the QD is described by

$$ V_{\text{QD}} = V e^{-(\gamma_x^2 + \gamma_y^2)}/2, $$

with $V_j$ its strength, and $\gamma_x, \gamma_y$ are constants that define the diameter of the QD, respectively. The gate voltage, $V_g$ moves the energy states of the QD-wire system with respect to the chemical potential of the leads, and it is assumed to be constant in our calculations. The Zeeman Hamiltonian referring to the interaction between the magnetic moment of an electron and the external magnetic field ($B$), is given by $H_z = \pm g' \mu_B B/2$ with $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton and $g' = -0.44$ the effective g-factor for GaAs. In addition, the electron-electron interaction is shown in the second line of Eq. 2 with $V_j$ being the Coulomb interaction potential. The Coulomb interaction in the leads is neglected.

The second term of Eq. 1 is the Hamiltonian of the free photon field defined via

$$ H_\gamma = \hbar \omega \alpha^\dagger \alpha, $$

with $\hbar \omega$ the energy of the photons in the cavity, and $\alpha(\alpha^\dagger)$ the photon annihilation(creation) operators, respectively. The last part of the Eq. 1 stands for the interaction between the electron in the QD system and the photons in the cavity.

$$ \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{c} \int d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_\gamma + \frac{e}{2m^*c^2} \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) A_\gamma^2, $$

where the first part of Eq. 5 is the par-magnetic and the second part is the dia-magnetic electron-photon interaction. The charge density is given by $\rho = -e\hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}$ and the charge current density can be introduced by

$$ \mathbf{j} = \frac{e}{2m^*}[\hat{\psi}^\dagger (\pi \hat{\psi}) + (\pi^\dagger \hat{\psi})^\dagger \hat{\psi}], $$

with $\hat{\psi}$ the field operator of the QD system. In addition, the photon potential, $A_\gamma$, in the Coulomb gauge is

$$ \hat{\mathbf{A}}_\gamma = A(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\alpha}^\dagger)\mathbf{e}. $$

Herein, $A$ is the amplitude of the photon field introduced by the electron-photon coupling constant $g_\gamma = eA_\gamma \Omega_0/c$. The photon polarization can be determined by $e$ in which $e = e_x$ in the x-direction and $e = e_y$ in the y-direction. We assume the wavelength of the FIR cavity photons to be much larger than the size of the short quantum wire and the quantum dot. As a step wise exact numerical diagonalization technique is used to treat the electron-photon and the Coulomb interactions interaction in appropriately truncated Fock-spaces, the electron-photon interaction is treated well beyond a traditional dipole approximation.

A quantum master equation is utilized to study the transport properties of the system in the steady-state regime in which a projection formalism based on the density operator is used. The leads and the central system are assumed to be weakly coupled leading to terms of higher than second order in terms of the coupling to be neglected in the dissipation kernel of the resulting integro-differential equation. We assume that the QD system and leads are uncorrelated before the coupling

$$ \hat{\rho}(t < t_0) = \hat{\rho}_L \hat{\rho}_R \hat{\rho}_S(t < t_0), $$

where $\hat{\rho}_L$ and $\hat{\rho}_R$ are the density operators of the left (L) and the right (R) leads, respectively.

As we are interested in the state of the wire-QD system after the coupling, we can obtain the reduced density operator of the QD system from a partial trace over the combined QD system and leads

$$ \hat{\rho}_S = \text{Tr}_{L,R}[\hat{\rho}(t)]. $$

The non-Markovian generalized master equation is

$$ \partial_t \hat{\rho}_S(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H_\mathbf{S}, \hat{\rho}_S(t)] - \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' K(t, t - t'; \hat{\rho}_S(t')) $$

$$ - \kappa \{ \sigma_R + 1 \} \{ 2\alpha \hat{\rho}_S \alpha^\dagger - \alpha^\dagger \alpha \hat{\rho}_S - \hat{\rho}_S \alpha^\dagger \alpha \} $$

$$ - \kappa \{ \sigma_R \} \{ 2\alpha \hat{\rho}_S \alpha^\dagger - \alpha^\dagger \alpha \hat{\rho}_S - \hat{\rho}_S \alpha^\dagger \alpha \}, $$

where the coupling of the single cavity photon mode is assumed Markovian, and a rotating wave approximation has been used only for this coupling. The second term of the first line of Eq. 10 describes the electron “dissipation” processes caused by both leads, and the second and the third lines of Eq. 10 represents the photon reservoir where $\kappa$ is the photon-cavity coupling constant to the environment (seen as a photon reservoir). $\sigma_R$ is the mean photon number in the reservoir. The photon operators in the cavity, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^\dagger$, are replaced by $\alpha$ and $\alpha^\dagger$, that lead to the
correct steady state by removing all high frequency creation terms from the annihilation operator, and high frequency annihilation terms from the creation operator\textsuperscript{39–38}. Subsequently, a Markovian approximation is applied to the master Eq. (10), and a vectorization together with a Kronecker tensor product transforms it from the many-body Fock space of photon dressed electron states into a Liouville space of transitions to facilitate numerical and analytical solutions\textsuperscript{39}.

We assume the chemical potential of the left lead is higher than that of the right lead producing a bias voltage that generates current through the QD-system coupled to the leads. The charge current from the left lead into the QD-system, $I_{c,L}$, and the current from it into the right lead, $I_{c,R}$, can be introduced as

$$I_{c,L,R} = \text{Tr}_\rho (\Lambda^{L,R} \hat{f}_{c}^\dagger \hat{f}_{c} I(Q)).$$

(11)

The charge operator of the QD-system is $Q = -i \sum_c d_c^\dagger d_c$ with $\hat{d}^\dagger (\hat{d})$ the electron creation (annihilation) operator of the central system, respectively. $\Lambda^{L,R}$ stand for the “dissipation” processes caused by both electron leads\textsuperscript{39,40}. The average total number of photons in the cavity is evaluated as

$$N_c = \text{Tr}_\rho (\hat{\rho} \hat{a}_c^\dagger \hat{a}_c),$$

(12)

and each term in the trace operation performed in the basis of interacting electrons and photons can be regarded as the photon content of the corresponding dressed electron state.
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