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Abstract

Background: Epigenetic mechanisms are important in aging and may be involved in late-life changes in cognitive abilities. We conducted an epigenome-wide association study of leukocyte DNA methylation in relation to level and change in cognitive abilities, from midlife through late-life in 535 Swedish twins.

Results: Methylation levels were measured with the Infinium Human Methylation 450K or Infinium MethylationEpic array, and all sites passing quality control on both arrays were selected for analysis (n=250,816). Empirical Bayes estimates of individual intercept (age 65), linear, and quadratic change were obtained from latent growth curve models of cognitive traits and used as outcomes in linear regression models. Significant sites (p<2.4×10^{-7}) were followed-up in between-within twin pair models adjusting for familial confounding, and full growth modelling. We identified six significant associations between DNA methylation and level of cognitive abilities at age 65: cg18064256 (PPP1R13L) with processing speed and spatial ability; cg04549090 (NRXN3) with spatial ability; cg09988380 (POGZ), cg25651129 (-), and cg08011941 (ENTPD8) with working memory. The genes are involved in neuro-inflammation, neuropsychiatric disorders, and ATP metabolism. Within-pair associations were approximately half that of between-pair associations across all sites. In full growth curve models, associations between DNA methylation and cognitive level at age 65 were of small effect sizes, and associations between DNA methylation and longitudinal change in cognitive abilities of very small effect sizes.

Conclusions: Leukocyte DNA methylation was associated with level, but not change in cognitive abilities. The associations were substantially attenuated in within pair analyses, indicating they are influenced in part by genetic factors.

Background

The past decade has highlighted epigenetic influences, mechanisms regulating gene expression through reversible modifications, as major players in the aging process. The brain is one of the most affected organs, where substantial epigenetic changes result in decline in synaptic plasticity, memory, and learning(1). These alterations may thus help explain the substantial individual variability seen in late-life cognitive ability, where some stay cognitively healthy and others suffer from debilitating cognitive decline(2–5). DNA methylation is the most extensively studied epigenetic mechanism and refers to the addition of a methyl group to a cytosine located next to a guanine in the DNA, a so called CpG site. Gene promotors are often rich in CpG sites, where hypermethylation is associated with downregulated gene expression and hypomethylation with upregulated expression(1). DNA methylation is thus an important mechanism in tissue-specific transcriptional regulation, and studying differences and changes in DNA methylation can help us understand biological mechanisms underlying health and disease. However, the interpretation of associations are complicated as methylation levels at certain sites are influenced by genetic variants, so called methylation quantitative trait loci (meQTLs)(6). Twin- and sibling designs
present the opportunity to study DNA methylation while adjusting for the effects of meQTLs, and examine the extent of familial confounding(7).

To the best of our knowledge, only three previous epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) of cognitive abilities in population-based samples have been conducted. Marioni and colleagues conducted an extensive meta-analysis across 11 cohorts (mean age 56–79), studying cross-sectional associations between blood DNA methylation and cognitive abilities in different domains(8). Starnawska and colleagues studied blood DNA methylation in relation to level and 10-year linear change in general cognitive ability in 243 monozygotic twin pairs with a mean age of 70 at last measurement(9). Recently, the first EWAS of cognition in a Chinese sample was conducted by Wang et al., who studied blood DNA methylation and cognitive function in 30 monozygotic twin pairs with a median age of 52 years(10).

Considering the substantial changes occurring from mid- through late-life in both cognitive abilities and the epigenetic landscape of the aging brain, age differences may have substantial effects on associations between DNA methylation and cognitive abilities. Therefore, we here aimed to add to previous work by studying epigenome-wide DNA methylation in association with both intercept level and longitudinal change in cognitive abilities (processing speed, verbal and spatial ability, episodic and working memory, and general cognitive ability). By utilizing a twin design, we also examined the presence and extent of genetic confounding. We first modelled the association between whole blood DNA methylation at CpG sites and cognitive abilities using empirical Bayes (EB) estimates to capture level and change in cognition, based on measures spanning up to 24 years from midlife through late-life. Significant and suggestive associations were followed up in 1) analyses within twin pairs to investigate the extent of genetic and other familial confounding, and 2) full latent growth-curve analyses of change in cognitive abilities. Significant sites were further characterized through look-up in previously published results from the same sample and in online tools to study longitudinal changes in methylation during aging, identify meQTLs, examine correlations between methylation levels in blood and brain, and study tissue expression of the relevant genes.

Results

Study population

The study was based on 535 individuals from the Swedish Adoption/Twin Study of Aging (SATSA)(11), a sub-study of the population-based Swedish Twin Registry (STR)(12). Cognitive abilities covering processing speed, verbal ability, spatial ability, episodic memory, and working memory were tested during up to 10 in-person testing occasions. A measure of general cognitive ability was created based on all domains. Individuals diagnosed with dementia were censored from the time of diagnosis and onwards. The mean number of cognitive assessments was 5.4 assessments (SD=2.3, range 1-10) over an average of 12.2 years (SD=7.3, range 0-24). Blood samples were collected from the third in-person testing occasion and onwards, and DNA methylation measured from the first available blood sample was used in this study. The sample consisted of 313 (58.5%) women and 222 (41.5%) men, with a mean age of
64.8 (SD=8.3, range 48-94) years at first participation and 68.2 (SD=9.5, range 48-94) years at first blood sample. At the time of blood sampling, 95 individuals were current smokers (17.8%). The sample included 238 (82 monozygotic, 156 dizygotic) complete twin pairs.

**EWAS of empirical Bayes estimates for level and change in cognitive abilities**

In the first step of analyses, we performed an EWAS to identify epigenome-wide significant (threshold pre-defined at \( p<2.4 \times 10^{-7} \)) and suggestive (threshold pre-defined at \( p<10^{-5} \)) signals.

As longitudinal models are computationally intense and hence not ideal for the EWAS setting, we first obtained EB estimates by applying linear and quadratic latent growth curve models(14) to each cognitive domain. Thus, individual measures of cognitive level at the intercept age and of the linear and quadratic change across time were obtained and used as separate outcomes in epigenome-wide analyses. To obtain more precise EB estimates, cognitive information across all in-person testing occasions was used, regardless of when methylation was measured. A quadratic model best fit the data for all domains except working memory, where the linear model showed the best fit. Intercept age was set at 65 for all domains except verbal ability where the intercept age 70 best fit the data (based on previous work(15)).

The epigenome-wide analyses were then modelled in linear regressions, with DNA methylation at each CpG site as the exposure and the EB estimates as separate outcomes. The models were adjusted for sex, age and smoking at time of blood sample, methylation array, and relatedness among the twins. Estimates for linear and quadratic slopes were scaled to represent 10-year change.

Significant findings from the EWAS of DNA methylation and EB estimates of level and change in cognitive abilities are presented in Table 1, and suggestive findings in Additional file 1. In total, 5 CpG sites reached epigenome-wide significance, all with level of cognitive ability at the intercept age65: cg18064256 (PPP1R13L) with lower level of processing speed and spatial ability; cg04549090 (NRXN3) with higher level of spatial ability; cg08011941 (ENTPD8) and cg25651129 (-) with higher level and cg09988380 (POGZ) with lower level of working memory.

Another 131 suggestive associations were identified (Additional file 1). Of note is that cg18064256 also showed a suggestive association with general cognitive ability at age 65 and 10-year linear change in processing speed, and cg04549090 with level of general cognitive ability at age 65. Another 11 CpG sites showed suggestive associations with more than one cognitive domain or growth feature (Additional file 1). All sites with a significant or suggestive p-value were carried forward to follow-up analyses of the respective cognitive domain.

**Between-within models of DNA methylation and empirical Bayes estimates for level and change in cognitive abilities**

We applied between-within models(16), where the between-pair estimate represents the average effect in the population while the within pair estimate represents the effect after adjusting for genetic and other
familial factors shared within the twin pair. As in the epigenome-wide analyses, DNA methylation at each CpG site was modelled as the exposure and EB estimates for cognitive level and change as the outcome, and the models adjusted for sex, age and smoking at time of blood sample, and methylation array.

Table 1: Significant epigenome-wide associations of DNA methylation and level and change in cognitive abilities

| Cognitive domain and CpG site | Total sample |  | Between-pair effect |  | Within-pair effect |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                              | Total sample | Between-pair effect | Within-pair effect |
|                              | n=535 individuals | n=297 pairs | n=238 complete pairs |
|                              | Gene | Position | Beta | SE | P- | Beta | SE | P- | Beta | SE | P- |
| Processing speed (intercept) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|                              | PPP1R13L | 19:45905621 | -1.77 | 0.31 | 1.55e-08 | -2.22 | 0.51 | 2.20e-05 | -1.14 | 0.34 | 9.42e-04 |
| Spatial ability (intercept)  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|                              | NRXN3 | 14:79033036 | 1.95 | 0.36 | 1.23e-07 | 2.34 | 0.55 | 2.95e-05 | 1.02 | 0.37 | 6.55e-03 |
|                              | PPP1R13L | 19:45905621 | -2.01 | 0.38 | 1.67e-07 | -2.15 | 0.56 | 1.45e-04 | -1.74 | 0.38 | 5.99e-06 |
| Working memory (intercept)   | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|                              | POGZ | 1:151431765 | -2.11 | 0.40 | 1.88e-07 | -2.64 | 0.61 | 2.57e-04 | -1.04 | 0.48 | 6.28e-03 |
|                              | ENTPD8 | 9:140333139 | 2.00 | 0.35 | 2.67e-07 | 2.49 | 0.62 | 1.21 | 0.44 | 1.01 | 0.47 | 0.03 |

Note. Significant (p<2.4x10^-7) associations from epigenome-wide analyses of DNA methylation and level and change in processing speed, verbal and spatial ability, episodic and working memory, and general cognitive.
ability in the total sample, followed by results between- and within twin pairs. Empirical Bayes estimates for level of cognitive ability at the intercept age (age 70 for verbal ability, 65 for all other domains) as well as 10-year linear and quadratic change were modelled as separate outcomes. Linear regression was applied to the total sample, and between-within models to compare estimates between and within twin pairs. All models were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, methylation array, and number of testing waves with cognitive measures.
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Results from between-within models are presented in Table 1 for significant associations from the epigenome-wide analyses, and in Additional file 1 for suggestive associations. All the significant associations presented were substantially reduced with, on average, halved beta values for the association between methylation on cognitive abilities within twin pairs compared to between pairs.

**Latent growth curve models of DNA methylation and level and change in cognitive abilities**

Latent growth curve models with age in decades as the time scale were fitted simultaneously with identified methylation sites to evaluate the trajectory features of cognitive abilities during late-life, using cognitive data from the time of methylation measurement and onwards. The intercept term here represents the level of cognitive ability at the intercept age (70 years for verbal ability, 65 years for all other domains), while the linear term represents the instantaneous linear rate of change at the intercept age, and the quadratic term the acceleration of change across age. As in the epigenome-wide analyses, the models were adjusted for sex, age and smoking at time of blood sample, methylation array, and relatedness among the twins. To evaluate the significance of the effect of methylation on level and change taken together, a likelihood ratio test was performed, comparing the model fit of the full model to that of a null-model with only covariates and no methylation included. Standardized mean differences (Cohen’s d equivalents) in cognitive abilities by 1 SD higher DNA methylation were calculated for the intercept level and for change over 10 years from the intercept age (see methods section)(17).

Growth features for each cognitive domain from a null model (without DNA methylation predictors) are presented in Additional file 2. The intercept level ranged from 51.0 to 54.7, the linear slope from -0.5 to -3.4, and the quadratic slope from -0.5 to -1.4. The effects of DNA methylation on the intercept level, 10-year linear change, and 10-year quadratic change in cognitive abilities are presented in Table 2 (significant associations in the epigenome-wide analyses) and Additional file 3 (suggestive associations in the epigenome-wide analyses). Figure 1 visualizes the estimated growth trajectories with one SD higher methylation for the significant sites, alongside the estimated trajectories from the corresponding null model.

One SD higher methylation level in cg18064256 (*PPP1R13L*) was associated with lower levels of processing speed and spatial ability at age 65, with a steeper linear decrease at age 65, but slightly less accelerating decrease. One SD higher methylation in cg04549090 (*NRXN3*) was associated with higher levels of spatial ability at age 65, a less steep rate of linear change at the same age, followed by a more accelerating decline. cg09988380 was associated with lower level of working memory at age 65 but a
less steep linear rate of change. cg25651129 and cg08011941 were associated with higher level of working memory at age 65 but with a steeper linear decline.

The standardized effect sizes for the associations between DNA methylation and intercept cognitive level ranged between 0.14-0.18 (Table 2), thus considered of small magnitude(18). The associations between DNA methylation and 10-year change from age 65 to 75 were of very small magnitude (0.01-0.09), but would reach larger magnitudes when cumulating over decades.

**Characterization of the CpG sites**

To characterize longitudinal change in methylation at the five significant CpG sites and to identify meQTLs, we extracted results from a study by Wang and colleagues (19), which studied longitudinal change in methylation levels during aging and *cis*-meQTLs (within 1 million base pairs) in the SATSA sample. None of the five sites were significantly associated with age in the study by Wang et al., nor was any evidence of *cis*-meQTLs driving methylation identified.

**Table 2: The association between DNA methylation and longitudinal trajectories of cognitive abilities**
| Cognitive domain and CpG site | CpG on intercept | CpG on linear change | CpG on quadratic change | LRT CpG | Cohen’s d equivalent |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------|---------------------|
|                              | Beta  | SE  | P-value | Beta  | SE  | P-value | Beta  | SE  | P-value | Intercept | Change |
| Processing speed              |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |           |        |
| cg18064256                   | -1.35 | 0.32 | 0.05    | -0.38 | 0.26 | 0.15    | 0.03  | 0.15 | 0.85    | 1.01e-05  | 0.14   | 0.04   |
| Spatial ability               |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |           |        |        |
| cg04549090                   | 1.11e-04 | 0.35 | 0.04    | 0.24  | 0.22 | 0.27    | -0.15 | 0.16 | 0.34    | 5.25e-04  | 0.14   | 0.01   |
| cg18064256                   | 1.41e-06 | 0.35 | 0.06    | -0.35 | 0.23 | 0.13    | 0.10  | 0.17 | 0.54    | 8.53e-07  | 0.17   | 0.03   |
| Working memory               |       |     |         |       |     |         |       |     |         |           |        |        |
| cg09988380                   | -1.61 | 0.37 | 0.05    | 0.30  | 0.21 | 0.16    | --    | --   | --      | 9.93e-05  | 0.16   | 0.03   |
| cg25651129                   | 1.83  | 0.35 | 0.07    | -0.85 | 0.21 | 0.03    | --    | --   | --      | 2.63e-07  | 0.18   | 0.09   |
| cg08011941                   | 1.41  | 0.37 | 0.04    | -0.11 | 0.22 | 0.61    | --    | --   | --      | 4.22e-04  | 0.14   | 0.01   |

**Note.** Mean cognitive level, 10-year linear change, and 10-year quadratic change in cognitive abilities in relation to DNA methylation at sites significant in EWAS analyses. Beta values, standard errors, and p-values were obtained from latent growth-curve models, with age (in decades) as the underlying time scale. Age was centered at 65 for all domains. The models were further adjusted for sex, smoking, and methylation array. The model fit was compared to a null model not including DNA methylation to assess the significance of the effect of DNA methylation on cognitive level and change. Standardized mean differences (Cohen’s d equivalents) by 1 standard deviation higher DNA methylation at respective site was calculated for the intercept level and for 10-year change in cognitive abilities.

SE: standard error; LRT: likelihood ratio test
We also performed lookup in the online mQTL database(6) (filtering on middle-age individuals) to identify cis- and trans-meQTLS driving methylation at the significant CpG sites. We here identified two potential (not meeting a strict p<10^{-14} significance level(6)) trans-meQTLS: chrX:118976619:I is associated with methylation levels at cg04549090 (p=4.77x10^{-08}) and rs144382559 on chromosome 10 with cg08011941 (p=9.53x10^{-09}). To study whether these two meQTLS were associated with methylation level in the SATSA sample, the two SNPs were extracted from genotype data and modelled in linear regression models as predictors of DNA methylation at the relevant site, and of the EB estimates for the relevant cognitive domain. The SNP on the X-chromosome was modelled separately for men and women. Neither of the SNPs were associated with methylation levels in this sample (chrX:118976619:I with cg04549090, \(\beta=-0.02, p=0.94\) in women, \(\beta=0.11, p=0.57\) in men; rs144382559 with cg08011941, \(\beta=-0.08, p=0.76\)), nor with cognitive level at age 65 (chrX:118976619:I with spatial ability, \(\beta=26.98, p=0.60\) in women, \(\beta=-16.31, p=0.52\) in men; rs144382559 with working memory, \(\beta=-1.87, p=0.33\)).

To investigate whether DNA methylation in blood leukocytes is correlated with that in brain cells, we performed lookup in IMAGE-CpG(20), an online tool to compare methylation levels in blood and brain from live human tissues, and the Blood Brain DNA Methylation Comparison Tool(21), where methylation levels can be compared in blood and four different brain regions (prefrontal cortex, entorhinal cortex, superior temporal gyrus, and cerebellum) from post-mortem samples. According to the Blood Brain DNA Methylation Comparison Tool(21), blood methylation levels of cg18064256 showed a moderate correlation with levels in the entorhinal cortex (\(r=0.40, p=5.4x10^{-4}\)) and the superior temporal gyrus (\(r=0.32, p=5.7x10^{-3}\)). None of the other CpG sites showed significant correlations between blood and brain methylation levels in either online tool.

To investigate expression of the genes across tissues, we performed additional lookup in the Human Protein Atlas(22) (available from http://www.proteinatlas.org). PPP1R13L (cg18064256) and POGZ (cg09988380) are both expressed in several tissues, including brain and blood where both show low brain region and blood cell type specificity. NRXN3 (cg04549090) is primarily expressed in the brain, with low region specificity, and blood where it is primarily expressed in basophils. ENTPD8 (cg08011941) is mainly expressed in the intestines and is generally not expressed in brain or blood cells.

**Discussion**

In this EWAS of late-life level and change in cognitive abilities, we identified six significant associations. One site was associated with level of both spatial ability and processing speed at age 65, one with spatial ability at age 65, and three sites with level of working memory at age 65. By comparing the associations between and within twin pairs, we demonstrated a substantial effect of genetic or other familial influences, with on average 50% reduction of the effect when accounting for familial factors. In addition, 131 suggestive associations were identified, several of which were associated with more than one cognitive domain or trajectory feature. Follow-up in latent growth curve models revealed small effect
sizes for associations between DNA methylation and cognitive level at the intercept age, and very small effect sizes for associations between DNA methylation and 10-year change in cognitive abilities.

Of note among the findings is cg18064256 which was significantly associated with both processing speed and spatial ability at age 65, and, in addition, identified in suggestive associations with linear change in processing speed and level of general cognitive ability at age 65. According to the Blood Brain DNA Methylation Comparison Tool(21), blood methylation levels at cg18064256 may be correlated with methylation in the entorhinal cortex and the superior temporal gyrus, mainly involved in memory(23) and processing of sound and speech(24), respectively. Lookup in the Human Protein Atlas(22) confirmed that the gene is expressed in the brain, across different regions. The CpG site is located in the 5' untranslated region of the PPP1R13L gene, encoding an inhibitor of NF-kappa-B (NFkB) and p53(25), and may be linked to cognitive abilities in late-life through its role in neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. While p53 is crucial for cell functions such as DNA synthesis and repair, transcription, cell cycle control, cellular senescence and apoptosis, NFkB is a main regulator of inflammation(26). The two proteins together appear to control the shift from protective to detrimental effects of inflammation(26).

NRXN3, here significantly associated with spatial ability at age 65 and suggestively associations with general cognitive ability at age 65, is one of three members of the neurexin protein family, all involved in synaptic organization(27). The gene is primarily expressed in the brain, and genetic variants in the neurexin genes are associated with a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders such as autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia(27). In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of differentially expressed genes in Alzheimer's disease, low expression of NRXN3 demonstrated the strongest association with disease risk(28).

The CpGs associated with working memory reside in less well-characterized genes. De-novo mutations in POGZ are causal for neuropsychiatric and neurodevelopmental disorders(29). Expression analyses of the gene demonstrated that it is expressed in the brain throughout the developmental stage and indicated that the protein is involved in regulation of synaptic function and gene expression(29). ENTPD8 is a member of the E-NTPDase family, enzymes involved ATP metabolism, and, according to the Human Protein Atlas(22), expressed mainly in the intestine. While still poorly understood, there is evidence of purinergic signaling involved in neurological and degenerative disease, including AD, Parkinson's disease, and multiple sclerosis(30).

None of the significant sites identified in this study were among the presented findings in the three previously published EWASs of cognitive abilities(8–10). While that may be due to several factors, differences in age and cognitive measures between the study samples are likely to play a role. Out of the two CpG sites significantly (p < 1.7x10^{-8}) associated with cross-sectional cognition in the work by Marioni and colleagues(8), cg12507869 was associated with phonemic verbal fluency, but showed no evidence of association with verbal ability in this sample (p = 0.31). It should be noted, however, that the tests are not directly comparable as the verbal fluency test considers executive functioning in addition to verbal ability, while the test used in this study does not. The other site cg21450381, associated with
global cognitive function, did not pass QC in this sample and was not included in analyses. The findings presented \((p < 10^{-5})\) by Starnawska and colleagues(9) of DNA methylation in relation to general cognitive ability in monozygotic twin pairs were either not replicated \((p\)-values ranging from 0.04 to 0.94\) or did not pass QC in this sample. The study by Wang et al., studying DNA methylation and cognition in 30 Chinese monozygotic twin pairs, used a sequencing-based rather than chip-based method(10). Out of the 574,708 CpG sites analyzed, 28 were associated with cognitive functioning at \(p < 10^{-4}\). These were located in 11 known genes, none of which were significantly associated with cognitive abilities in the present study. This may be due to differences in genetic background and applied methods, in addition to differences in age and cognitive measures.

This study was based on a well-established twin sample with longitudinal and robust measures of cognitive abilities. The presence of meQTLs complicates epigenetic studies, as almost 20% of the variance in DNA methylation may be driven by genetic factors(6). No evidence of \(cis\)-meQTLs was identified in the SATSA sample or in the mQTL database(6, 31), but we did identify two potential \(trans\)-meQTLs. These were not associated with methylation at the relevant sites or with the cognitive domains in this sample, and hence do not account for the genetic confounding identified in the between-within analyses of the sites, highlighting the value of twin designs in methylation studies to adjust for genetic influences. However, twin designs also carry some limitations that need considering. While the within-pair estimates are by default adjusted for factors shared by the twins, they may still be influenced by biases from non-shared confounders and measurement error(32). While studying methylation in blood cells in relation to cognitive abilities is not ideal, conducting methylation studies of longitudinal changes in cognition is not feasible using neuronal tissue due to its inaccessibility. Look-up of the significant sites in the IMAGE-CpG(20) and Blood Brain DNA Methylation Comparison Tool(21) revealed little evidence of correlation between blood and brain methylation, indicating that the associations may signal systemic effects rather than brain-specific processes.

Conclusions

This EWAS of cognitive level and change during late-life contributes to the growing body of evidence highlighting the role of DNA methylation in cognitive aging. We identified six associations between blood DNA methylation and level of processing speed, spatial ability, and working memory at age 65. The genes harboring these sites implicate processes involved in regulation of neuroinflammation, synaptic organization and functioning, ATP metabolism, and neuropsychiatric disorders. All associations were substantially reduced within twin pairs, indicating they are partly driven by familial factors.

Material And Methods

Study population

SATSA has been described in detail previously(11), but briefly, it is a longitudinal study of same-sex twin pairs who were reared apart, matched to a sample of twin pairs reared together. The study consists of up
to 10 in-person testing occasions performed at approximately 3-year intervals between 1984 and 2014. The testing occasions included a health examination, cognitive tests, an interview, and collection of blood samples. A total of 859 individuals participated in at least one testing occasion, of whom 535 had information on DNA methylation from whole blood and were included in this study.

All participants provided informed consent, and the study was approved by the Regional Ethics board at Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm.

**Cognitive measures**

At each testing occasion, cognitive tests were performed covering four domains: processing speed (Symbol Digit and Figure Identification (Form A) tests), verbal (WAIS Information subtest and Synonyms) and spatial abilities (Block Design and Card Rotations (Form A) tests), and memory which was divided into episodic (Thurstone's Picture Memory Task) and working memory (Digit Span, forward and backward)(33, 34). A measure of general cognitive ability was created based on principal component analysis of all individual tests comprising the four domains, standardized relative to means and variances at the first testing occasion(15). Only non-demented individuals were included in the current analyses, such that individuals who developed dementia contributed data only before dementia diagnosis. Prior to analyses, all measures were transformed into T-scores with mean 50 and a standard deviation of 10, scaled to the first in-person testing occasion.

**DNA methylation measurements**

Extracted DNA was first bisulfate converted with the EZ-96 DNA MagPrep methylation kit (Zymo Research Corp., Orange, CA, USA), and hybridized onto the Infinium Human Methylation 450K Bead Chip (n=385), or the Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip (n=150, both from Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The raw data were pre-processed using a rigorous quality control pipeline(19). Samples with poor correlation to genotype controls or with the wrong predicted sex based on signal ratio from the sex chromosomes were removed, as were probes overlapping a SNP site, residing on sex chromosomes, or with detection p-value above 0.05. R was used for processing the data, applying methylumi.noob(35) for background correction, wateRmelon.dasen(36) for normalization, and the ComBat function in the sva package(37) to adjust for batch effects. Cell counts were not available from the samples, and therefore adjusted for using the Houseman method (38). Methylation levels at each site were transformed to M-values (the logit-2 transformed ratios of methylated to unmethylated probe intensity) for their better statistical properties(39), and the M-values were further standardized for easier interpretation.

CpG sites were selected for analyses based on the following criteria: present on both methylation arrays; passing the QC on both methylation arrays; less than 15% difference in mean methylation between the two arrays (n=4,540 removed). This resulted in a total of 250,816 CpG sites.

**Covariate and genotype data**
Information about sex and date of birth was available in the STR data, and age at each in-person testing occasion calculated. Smoking status was self-reported at the testing occasions, and categorized into current smoking or not smoking at time of blood sample.

SATSA participants were genotyped on Illumina PsychArray (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), and the data imputed against the 1000 Genomes Project phase 1 version 3 reference panel(40).

**Statistical analyses**

**Selection of significant and suggestive thresholds**

There has been some debate regarding p-value threshold for epigenome-wide significance, as there is still limited knowledge of how methylation across CpG sites is correlated. As we here study different, but highly correlated, outcomes, we selected the epigenome-wide $p < 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ threshold suggested for Illumina 450K data by Saffari et al., based on permutation methods(13). While we analyzed substantially fewer CpG sites (~250K) and the threshold in this case is close to that of a Bonferroni adjustment, considered too strict in EWAS studies, it was calculated taking correlation of methylation across sites into account, and in our case also leaves room for considering the different outcomes. However, as this threshold may be too stringent, we defined a suggestive threshold at $p < 10^{-5}$ (used in the study by Starnawska et al.(9)) and present those results in the Additional files.

**EWAS of empirical Bayes estimates for level and change in cognitive abilities**

EB estimates for each cognitive domain were obtained by applying latent growth curve models(14) in SAS 9.4 (PROC MIXED) with twin pair ID as random effect. Linear and quadratic models were applied to all cognitive domains, and EB estimates obtained from the best fitting model (according to the Akaike information criterion (AIC))(41). For each cognitive domain, the EB estimates for intercept level, linear, and quadratic change (based on linear and quadratic age, the latter not included for working memory) were then saved and used as separate outcomes in epigenome-wide analysis.

The epigenome-wide analyses were done by applying linear regression models using the lm function in R 3.5.2. DNA methylation at each CpG site was modelled as the exposure and the EB estimates as separate outcomes. To account for difference in the number of testing waves with cognitive measures, the contribution of each individual was weighted by the inverse standard error (SE) of the EB estimate. Sex, age and smoking at time of blood sample, and methylation array (450K or EPIC) were included as covariates in the models, and robust standard errors were used to correct for relatedness among the twins. Age was treated as a continuous variable, sex and methylation array as categorical variables, and smoking as a binary variable.

All sites with a significant or suggestive p-value were carried forward to follow-up analyses of respective cognitive domain.
Between-within models of DNA methylation and empirical Bayes estimates for level and change in cognitive abilities

Between-within models(16) were applied using linear mixed models in SAS 9.4 (PROC MIXED, SAS Inc., Cary NC) to study the extent of genetic and other familial influences. In this design, both the twin-pair mean methylation (between-pair estimate) and the individual deviation from the twin-pair mean (within-pair estimate) are modelled as fixed-effect predictors of cognitive abilities. As in the epigenome-wide association analyses, DNA methylation at each CpG site was modelled as the exposure and EB estimates for cognitive level and change as the outcome. Individuals were weighted by the individual inverse standard errors of the EB estimates, and twin pair IDs were included as a random effect. The models were adjusted for sex, age and smoking at time of blood sample, and methylation array as above.

Latent growth curve models of DNA methylation and level and change in cognitive abilities

Latent growth curve models with age in decades as the time scale were then fitted. The models included fixed effects, linear, and quadratic trends, with age centered at 65 years for each cognitive outcome, except for verbal ability where age was centered at 70(15) and working memory where quadratic trends were not included. Methylation level at baseline was modelled as a fixed effect on the level of cognitive abilities at the intercept age, and in interaction with age to investigate the effect of baseline methylation levels on longitudinal cognitive trajectories. To account for relatedness between the twins, individual IDs nested within twin pair IDs were modelled as random effects. Random effects on the intercept and linear age were included on both the individual and pair ID level in all models, except for working memory where the model did not support random effects on linear age on the pair ID level. Models for processing speed, verbal and spatial ability (except two suggestive CpGs), and general cognitive ability also supported random effects on quadratic age on the individual ID level, but not on the pair ID level. The model for episodic memory and for two CpGs on spatial ability (cg08972756 and cg18833907) did not support random effects on quadratic change on either individual or pair ID level, and the effect of baseline methylation on quadratic change could not be studied. The models were adjusted for sex, smoking status at baseline (time of blood sample), and methylation array (included as fixed effects). Sex and methylation array were converted to binary variables (sex: 0=male, 1=female; methylation array 0= EPIC, 1=450K) to facilitate model conversion. The estimates for intercept, linear, and quadratic slope thus represents cognitive level and 10-year change (at age 65, except for verbal ability where intercept was set at age 70) for a non-smoking male whose methylation levels were analyzed on the EPIC chip. The significance of the effect of methylation on level and change taken together was evaluated in likelihood ratio tests with 2 (models with methylation effects on intercept and linear change) or 3 (models with methylation effects on intercept, linear, and quadratic change) degrees of freedom, comparing the model fit (-2 log likelihood) of the full model described above to that of a null-model with only covariates and not methylation included. Cohen's $d$(18) equivalents of effect sizes for the standardized mean difference in cognitive level at the intercept age by 1 SD higher DNA methylation were calculated as:

$$d_{\text{intercept}} = \frac{\beta_{\text{intercept}}}{SD}$$
Standardized mean differences in 10-year change in cognitive abilities (from the intercept age) by 1 SD higher DNA methylation were calculated according to Feingold's formula for time-varying effect sizes for quadratic change(17):

\[ d_T = \frac{(\beta_{\text{linear}} \cdot T + \beta_{\text{quadratic}} \cdot T^2)}{SD} \]

where SD=10 (as cognitive measures were transformed into T-scores), and duration is indexed in the formula as T, and T=1 was used to calculate mean differences for 10-year change (as age in decades was the model timescale). For linear models, the quadratic term was omitted from the equation.

To visualize the difference in cognitive level and change, the estimated trajectories from the null models (without DNA methylation) and from the methylation models were plotted with the ggplot2(42) package in R.
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