ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS INTO $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ AND $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$ AND APPLICATIONS TO MINIMAL SURFACES

BENOÎT DANIEL

Abstract. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold to be isometrically immersed in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ or $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$ in terms of its first and second fundamental forms and of the projection of the vertical vector field on its tangent plane. We deduce the existence of a one-parameter family of isometric minimal deformations of a given minimal surface in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $H^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, obtained by rotating the shape operator.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the first and second fundamental forms of a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold satisfy two compatibility equations called the Gauss and Codazzi equations. More precisely, let $\tilde{V}$ be an orientable Riemannian manifold of dimension $n+1$ and $V$ a submanifold of $\tilde{V}$ of dimension $n$. Let $\nabla$ (respectively, $\tilde{\nabla}$) be the Riemannian connection of $V$ (respectively, $\tilde{V}$), $R$ (respectively, $\tilde{R}$) be the Riemann curvature tensor of $V$ (respectively, $\tilde{V}$), i.e.,

$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z,$$

and $S$ be the shape operator of $V$ associated to its unit normal $N$, i.e., $SX = -\tilde{\nabla}_X N$.

Then the following equations hold for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ on $V$:

$$\langle R(X,Y)Z,W \rangle - \langle \tilde{R}(X,Y)Z,W \rangle = \langle SX,Z \rangle \langle SY,W \rangle - \langle SY,Z \rangle \langle SX,W \rangle,$$

$$\nabla_X SY - \nabla_Y SX - S[X,Y] = R(X,Y)N.$$

These are respectively the Gauss and Codazzi equations.

In the case where $\tilde{V}$ is a space form, i.e., the sphere $S^{n+1}$, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or the hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}$, these equations become the following:

$$\langle R(X,Y)Z,W \rangle - \kappa \langle \langle X,Z \rangle \langle Y,W \rangle - \langle Y,Z \rangle \langle X,W \rangle \rangle = \langle SX,Z \rangle \langle SY,W \rangle - \langle SY,Z \rangle \langle SX,W \rangle,$$

$$\nabla_X SY - \nabla_Y SX - S[X,Y] = R(X,Y)N,$$

where $\kappa$ is the sectional curvature of $\tilde{V}$, i.e., $\kappa = 1, 0, -1$ for $S^{n+1}$, $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $H^{n+1}$ respectively. Thus the Gauss and Codazzi equations only involve the first and second fundamental forms of $\tilde{V}$; they are defined intrinsically on $V$ (as soon as we know $S$). This comes from the fact that these ambient spaces are isotropic. Moreover, in this case the Gauss and Codazzi equations are also sufficient conditions
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Moreover the immersion is unique up to a global isometry of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ preserving the orientations of both $\mathbb{M}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}$.\[\text{\[Image 267x128 to 276x128]\[Image 285x521 to 292x522]\[Image 334x540]\[Image 355x516\]}\]
The two additional conditions come from the fact that the vertical vector field ∂t is parallel.

The method to prove this theorem is similar to that of Tenenblat ([Ten71]): it is based on differential forms, moving frames and integrable distributions.

This work was motivated by the study of minimal surfaces in S^2 × R and H^2 × R. There were many recent developments in the theory of these surfaces. Rosenberg ([Ros02]) studied minimal surfaces in M × R where M is a surface of non-negative curvature. Nelli and Rosenberg ([NR05]) studied minimal surfaces in H^2 × R and proved a Jenkins-Serrin theorem. Hauswirth ([Hau06]) constructed many examples in H^2 × R. Meeks and Rosenberg ([MR05]) initiated the theory of minimal surfaces in M × R where M is a compact surface. Recently, Abresch and Rosenberg ([ARS04]) extended the notion of a holomorphic Hopf differential to constant mean curvature surfaces in S^2 × R and H^2 × R; using this holomorphic differential, they proved that all immersed constant mean curvature spheres are embedded and rotational.

In this paper, we use our Theorem 3.3 to prove the existence of a one-parameter family of isometric minimal deformations of a given minimal surface in S^2 × R or H^2 × R. This family is obtained by rotating the shape operator; hence it is the analog of the associate family of a minimal surface in R^3. This is the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Theorem 1.2). Let Σ be a simply connected Riemann surface and x : Σ → M^2 × R a conformal minimal immersion. Let N be the induced normal. Let S be the symmetric operator on Σ induced by the shape operator of x(Σ). Let T be the vector field on Σ such that dx(T) is the projection of ∂t onto T(x(Σ)). Let ν = (N, ∂t).

Let z_0 ∈ Σ. Then there exists a unique family (x_θ)θ∈R of conformal minimal immersions x_θ : Σ → M^2 × R such that:

1. x_θ(z_0) = x(z_0) and (dx_θ)_{z_0} = (dx)_{z_0},
2. the metrics induced on Σ by x and x_θ are the same,
3. the symmetric operator on Σ induced by the shape operator of x_θ(Σ) is e^θS,
4. ∂t = dxθ(e^θT) + νN_θ, where N_θ is the unit normal to x_θ.

Moreover we have x_0 = x, and the family (x_θ) is continuous with respect to θ.

In particular taking θ = ± 2π defines a conjugate surface; the geometric properties of conjugate surfaces in M^2 × R and in R^3 are similar. Finally, we give examples of conjugate surfaces. In S^2 × R, we show that helicoids and unduloids are conjugate. In H^2 × R, we show that helicoids are conjugated to catenoids or to minimal surfaces foliated by horizontal curves of constant curvature belonging to the Hauswirth family (see [Hau06]).

2. Preliminaries

**Notation.** In this paper we will use the following index conventions: Latin letters i, j, etc., denote integers between 1 and n, and Greek letters α, β, etc., denote integers between 0 and n + 1. For example, the notation A^j_i = B^j_j means that this relation holds for all integers i, j between 1 and n, and the notation Σ α C^α means C_0 + C_1 + ··· + C_{n+1}.

The set of vector fields on a Riemannian manifold V will be denoted by X(V).
We denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ the unit vector giving the orientation of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$; we call it the vertical vector.

2.1. **The compatibility equations in** $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbb{M}^n = \mathbb{S}^n$ or $\mathbb{M}^n = \mathbb{H}^n$; in the first case we set $\kappa = 1$ and in the second case we set $\kappa = -1$. Let $\bar{R}$ be the Riemann curvature tensor of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an oriented hypersurface of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $N$ the unit normal to $\mathcal{V}$.

**Proposition 2.1.** For $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{V})$ we have

$$
\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \kappa (\langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle Y, Z \rangle \langle X, W \rangle - \langle Y, T \rangle \langle W, T \rangle \langle X, Z \rangle - \langle X, T \rangle \langle Z, T \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle + \langle Z, T \rangle \langle Y, T \rangle \langle X, W \rangle)
$$

where

$$
\nu = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, N \right\rangle
$$

and $T$ is the projection of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $\mathcal{V}$, i.e.,

$$
T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \nu N.
$$

**Proof.** Any vector field on $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ can be written $X(m, t) = (X^t_{M^n}(m), X^t_{\mathbb{R}}(t))$, where, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $X^t_{M^n}$ is a vector field on $\mathbb{M}^n$ and, for each $m \in \mathbb{M}^n$, $X^t_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a vector field on $\mathbb{R}$. Then for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$
\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle \bar{R}_{M^n}(X^t_{M^n}, Y^t_{M^n})Z^t_{M^n}, W^t_{M^n} \rangle
$$

$$
= \kappa (\langle X^t_{M^n}, Z^t_{M^n} \rangle \langle Y^t_{M^n}, W^t_{M^n} \rangle - \langle Y^t_{M^n}, Z^t_{M^n} \rangle \langle X^t_{M^n}, W^t_{M^n} \rangle).
$$

We have $X^t_{M^n} = X - \langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Thus, if $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{V})$, we have $X^t_{M^n} = X - \langle X, T \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and similar expressions for $Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{V})$. A computation gives the expected formula for $\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle$.

Finally we have $N^t_{M^n} = N - \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, so a computation gives the expected formula for $\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)N, Z \rangle$.

Using the fact that the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is parallel, we obtain the following equations.

**Proposition 2.2.** For $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{V})$ we have

$$
\nabla_X T = \nu SX, \quad d\nu(X) = -\langle SX, T \rangle.
$$

**Proof.** We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = T + \nu N$ and $\nabla_X \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$. Thus we get

$$
0 = \nabla_X T + (d\nu(X))N + \nu \nabla_X N = \nabla_X T + \langle SX, T \rangle N + (d\nu(X))N - \nu SX.
$$

Taking the tangential and the normal components in this equality, we obtain the expected formulas.

**Remark 2.3.** In the case of an orthonormal pair $(X, Y)$ we get

$$
\langle \bar{R}(X, Y)X, Y \rangle = \kappa (1 - \langle Y, T \rangle^2 - \langle X, T \rangle^2).
$$

The reader can also refer to section 3.2 in [AR04].
2.2. Moving frames. In this section we introduce some material about the technique of moving frames. The reader can also refer to [Ros02a].

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$, $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection, and $R$ the Riemannian curvature tensor. Let $S$ be a field of symmetric operators $S_y : T_y\mathcal{V} \rightarrow T_y\mathcal{V}$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a local orthonormal frame on $\mathcal{V}$ and $(\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^n)$ the dual basis of $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$, i.e.,

$$\omega^i(e_k) = \delta^i_k.$$

We also set

$$\omega^{n+1} = 0.$$

We define the forms $\omega^j_1, \omega^{n+1}_j, \omega^j_{n+1}$ and $\omega^{n+1}_{n+1}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\omega_j^j(e_k) = \langle \nabla_{e_k} e_j, e_i \rangle,$$

$$\omega^{n+1}_j(e_k) = \langle Se_k, e_j \rangle,$$

Then we have

$$\nabla_{e_k} e_j = \sum_{i} \omega_j^i(e_k) e_i,$$

$$Se_k = \sum_{j} \omega^{n+1}_j(e_k) e_j.$$

Finally we set $R^i_{klij} = \langle R(e_k, e_l) e_j, e_i \rangle$.

**Proposition 2.4.** We have the following formulas:

(3) \[ d\omega^i + \sum_p \omega^i_p \wedge \omega^p = 0, \]

(4) \[ \sum_p \omega^{n+1}_p \wedge \omega^p = 0, \]

(5) \[ d\omega_j^i + \sum_p \omega^i_p \wedge \omega^p_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_l R^i_{klij} \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \]

(6) \[ d\omega^{n+1}_j + \sum_p \omega^{n+1}_p \wedge \omega^j_p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_l (\nabla_{e_k} Se_l - \nabla_{e_l} Se_k - S[e_k, e_l], e_j) \omega^k \wedge \omega^l. \]

**Proof.** These are well known formulas. However, since our conventions slightly differ from those of [Ten71] and [Ros02a], we give a proof for sake of clarity.

We have $d\omega^i(e_p, e_q) = -\omega^i([e_p, e_q]) = -\omega^i(\nabla_{e_p} e_q - \nabla_{e_q} e_p) = -\omega^i_q(e_p) + \omega^i_p(e_q)$ and $\sum_k \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k(e_p, e_q) = \omega^i_q(e_p) - \omega^i_p(e_q)$, so (3) is proved. Also, we have $\sum_k (\omega^{n+1}_k \wedge \omega^k)(e_p, e_q) = \omega^{n+1}_q(e_p) - \omega^{n+1}_p(e_q) = (Se_p, e_q) - (Se_q, e_p) = 0$, so (4) is proved.

We have $\omega^i_j = \sum_k \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_k} e_j \rangle \omega^k$, so

$$d\omega^i_j = \sum_k \sum_l e_l \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_k} e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k + \sum_k \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_k} e_j \rangle d\omega^k$$

$$= \sum_k \sum_l (\langle e_i, \nabla_{e_k} e_j \rangle + \langle e_j, \nabla_{e_k} e_i \rangle) \omega^l \wedge \omega^k$$

$$= -\sum_k \sum_l (e_i, \nabla_{e_k} e_j) \omega^l \wedge \omega^k.$$
Moreover we have
\[\sum_k \sum_l \langle e_i, \nabla e_k e_l \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l = \sum_k \sum_l \sum_q \langle e_i, \nabla e_k e_j \rangle \langle e_k, \nabla e_q e_l \rangle \omega^q \wedge \omega^l \]
\[= \sum_l \sum_q \langle e_i, \nabla e_q e_l \rangle \omega^q \wedge \omega^l.\]

On the other hand we have
\[\sum_p \omega^i_p \wedge \omega^p_j = \sum_k \sum_l \sum_p \langle e_i, \nabla e_i e_p \rangle \langle e_p, \nabla e_k e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l \]
\[= -\sum_k \sum_l \sum_p \langle \nabla e_i e_i, e_p \rangle \langle e_p, \nabla e_k e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k \]
\[= -\sum_k \sum_l \langle \nabla e_i e_i, \nabla e_k e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k.\]

Thus we conclude that
\[d \omega^j_i + \sum_p \omega^i_p \wedge \omega^p_j = \sum_k \sum_l \langle e_i, \nabla e_i \nabla e_k e_j - \nabla \nabla e_i e_k e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k.\]

Adding this equality with itself after exchanging \(k\) and \(l\) and using the fact that \(\omega^k \wedge \omega^l = -\omega^l \wedge \omega^k\), we get
\[2 \left( d \omega^j_i + \sum_p \omega^i_p \wedge \omega^p_j \right) = \sum_k \sum_l \langle e_i, R(e_k, e_l) e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k,\]

and finally we get (5).

We have \(\omega^{n+1}_j = \sum_k \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle \omega^k\), so
\[d \omega^{n+1}_j = \sum_k \sum_l \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k + \sum_k \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle d \omega^k \]
\[= \sum_k \sum_l \langle (\nabla e_l S e_k, e_j) + (S e_k, \nabla e_l e_j) \rangle \omega^l \wedge \omega^k - \sum_k \sum_l \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l.\]

Moreover we have
\[\sum_k \sum_l \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l = \sum_k \sum_l \sum_q \langle S e_k, e_j \rangle \langle e_k, \nabla e_q e_l \rangle \omega^q \wedge \omega^l \]
\[= \sum_l \sum_q \langle S e_j, \nabla e_q e_l \rangle \omega^q \wedge \omega^l.\]

On the other hand we have
\[\sum_p \omega^{n+1}_p \wedge \omega^p_j = \sum_k \sum_p \langle S e_k, e_p \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^p_j \]
\[= \sum_k \sum_p \langle S e_k, e_p \rangle \langle e_p, \nabla e_i e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l \]
\[= \sum_k \sum_l \langle S e_k, \nabla e_i e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l.\]
Thus we conclude that
\[ \omega^{j+1}A + \sum_{p} \omega^{p+1}B \times \omega_{j}^{p} = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} ((\nabla_{e_{i}}S_{e_{k}}, e_{j}) - (S_{e_{j}}, \nabla_{e_{i}}e_{k}))\omega^{j} \times \omega^{k} \]
\[ = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} (e_{j}, \nabla_{e_{i}}S_{e_{k}} - S_{\nabla_{e_{i}}e_{k}})\omega^{j} \times \omega^{k}. \]

Adding this equality with itself after exchanging \( k \) and \( l \) and using the fact that \( \omega^{k} \times \omega^{l} = -\omega^{l} \times \omega^{k} \), we get
\[ 2\left( \omega^{j+1}A + \sum_{p} \omega^{p+1}B \times \omega_{j}^{p} \right) = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} (e_{j}, \nabla_{e_{i}}S_{e_{k}} - \nabla_{e_{i}}S_{e_{k}} - S[e_{j}, e_{k}])\omega^{j} \times \omega^{k}, \]
and finally we get \( \square \).

2.3. Some facts about hypersurfaces of \( \mathbb{S}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) and \( \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \). In this section we consider an orientable hypersurface \( \mathcal{V} \) of \( \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) with \( \mathbb{M}^{n} = \mathbb{S}^{n} \) or \( \mathbb{M}^{n} = \mathbb{H}^{n} \).

We denote by \( L^{p} \) the \( p \)-dimensional Lorentz space, i.e., \( \mathbb{R}^{p} \) endowed with the quadratic form
\[ -(dx^{0})^{2} + (dx^{1})^{2} + \cdots + (dx^{p-1})^{2}. \]

We will use the following inclusions: we have
\[ \mathbb{S}^{n} = \{(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; (x^{0})^{2} + \sum_{i} (x^{i})^{2} = 1\}, \]
and so
\[ \mathbb{S}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^{n+2}, \]
and we have
\[ \mathbb{H}^{n} = \{(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}) \in \mathbb{L}^{n+1}; -(x^{0})^{2} + \sum_{i} (x^{i})^{2} = -1, x^{0} > 0\}, \]
and so
\[ \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{L}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{L}^{n+2}. \]
In the case of \( \mathbb{S}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) we set \( \kappa = 1 \) and \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \). In the case of \( \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) we set \( \kappa = -1 \) and \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} = \mathbb{L}^{n+2} \).

We denote by \( \nabla, \bar{\nabla} \) and \( \bar{\bar{\nabla}} \) the connections of \( \mathcal{V}, \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) and \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \) respectively, by \( \bar{N}(x) \) the normal to \( \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) in \( \mathbb{E}^{n+2} \) at a point \( x \in \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \), i.e.,
\[ \bar{N}(x) = (x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}, 0), \]
and by \( N(x) \) the normal to \( \mathcal{V} \) in \( \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) at a point \( x \in \mathcal{V} \). We denote by \( \mathbb{S} \) the shape operator of \( \mathcal{V} \) in \( \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \). The shape operator of \( \mathbb{M}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) is \( \mathbb{S}X = -\kappa d\bar{N}(X) = \kappa (-X + \langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}}) \). We should be careful with the sign convention in the definition of the shape operator: here we have chosen
\[ \bar{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} Y = \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y + \langle \bar{\mathbb{S}}X, Y \rangle \bar{N}, \]
i.e.,
\[ \langle \bar{\mathbb{S}}X, Y \rangle = \kappa \langle \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y, \bar{N} \rangle, \]
because in the case of \( \mathbb{S}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) we have \( \langle \bar{N}, \bar{N} \rangle = 1 \), whereas in the case of \( \mathbb{H}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \) we have \( \langle \bar{N}, \bar{N} \rangle = -1 \).
Let \((e_1, \ldots, e_n)\) be a local orthonormal frame on \(V\), \(e_{n+1} = N\) and \(e_0 = \tilde{N}\) (on \(V\)). We define the forms \(\omega^i, \omega^{n+1}_i, \omega^{i}_{n+1}\) and \(\omega^{n+1}_{n+1}\) as in Section 2.2. Moreover we set
\[
\omega^0_i(e_k) = \langle \tilde{S}e_k, e_i \rangle = -\kappa \langle e_k, e_i \rangle + \kappa \langle e_k, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle \langle e_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle,
\]
\[
\omega^{n+1}_0 = -\kappa \omega^0_0.
\]
With these definitions we have
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_e^k e^\beta = \sum_{\alpha} \omega^\beta_{\alpha}(e_k) e_\alpha.
\]
Let \((E_0, \ldots, E_{n+1})\) be the canonical frame of \(E^{n+2}\) (with \(\langle E_0, E_0 \rangle = \kappa\) and \(E_{n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\)). Let \(A \in M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R})\) be the matrix (the indices going from 0 to \(n + 1\)) whose columns are the coordinates of the \(e_\beta\) in the frame \((E_\alpha)\), i.e.,
\[
e_\beta = \sum_{\alpha} A^\alpha_\beta E_\alpha.
\]
Then, on the one hand we have
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_e^k e^\beta = \sum_{\alpha} dA^\alpha_\beta(e_k) E_\alpha,
\]
and on the other hand we have
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_e^k e^\beta = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma} \omega^\gamma_\beta(e_k) A^\alpha_\gamma E_\alpha.
\]
Thus we have
\[
A^{-1} dA = \Omega
\]
with \(\Omega = (\omega^\beta_{\alpha}) \in M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R})\), the indices going from 0 to \(n + 1\).

Setting \(G = \text{diag}(\kappa, 1, \ldots, 1) \in M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R})\), we have
\[
A \in SO^+(E^{n+2}), \quad \Omega \in so(E^{n+2}),
\]
where \(SO^+(E^{n+2})\) is the connected component of \(I_{n+2}\) in
\[
SO(E^{n+2}) = \{ Z \in M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R}); ^t ZGZ = G, \det Z = 1 \}
\]
and where
\[
so(E^{n+2}) = \{ H \in M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R}); ^t HG + GH = 0 \}.
\]
In the case of \(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) we have \(SO^+(E^{n+2}) = SO(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})\).

3. Isometric immersions into \(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}\)

3.1. The compatibility equations. We consider a simply connected Riemannian manifold \(V\) of dimension \(n\). Let \(ds^2\) be the metric on \(V\) (we will also denote it by \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\)), \(\nabla\) the Riemannian connection of \(V\) and \(R\) its Riemann curvature tensor. Let \(S\) be a field of symmetric operators \(S_\alpha : T_y V \to T_y V\), \(T\) a vector field on \(V\) such that \(||T|| \leq 1\) and \(\nu\) a smooth function on \(V\) such that \(\nu^2 \leq 1\).

The compatibility equations for hypersurfaces in \(\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) established in Section 2.1 suggest we introduce the following definition.
Definition 3.1. We say that \((ds^2, S, T, \nu)\) satisfies the compatibility equations respectively for \(S^n \times \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) if

\[
||T||^2 + \nu^2 = 1
\]

and, for all \(X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{V})\),

\[
R(X, Y)Z = (SX, Z)SY - (SY, Z)SX + \kappa((X, Z)Y - (Y, Z)X - (X, T)(X, Z)T - (X, T)(Z, T)Y + (X, T)(Y, Z)T + (Y, T)(Z, T)X),
\]

\[
(7)
\]

\[
\nabla_X SY - \nabla_Y SX - S[X, Y] = \kappa \nu ((Y, T)X - (X, T)Y),
\]

\[
(9)
\]

\[
(10)
\]

where \(\kappa = 1\) and \(\kappa = -1\) for \(S^n \times \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}\) respectively.

Remark 3.2. We notice that (9) implies (10) except when \(\nu = 0\) (by differentiating the identity \(\langle T, T \rangle + \nu^2 = 1\) with respect to \(X\)).

3.2. Codimension 1 isometric immersions into \(S^n \times \mathbb{R}\) and \(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}\). In this section we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let \(\mathcal{V}\) be a simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension \(n\), \(ds^2\) its metric and \(\nabla\) its Riemannian connection. Let \(S\) be a field of symmetric operators \(S_\gamma : T_\gamma \mathcal{V} \to T_\gamma \mathcal{V}\), \(T\) a vector field on \(\mathcal{V}\) and \(\nu\) a smooth function on \(\mathcal{V}\) such that \(||T||^2 + \nu^2 = 1\).

Let \(M^n = S^n\) or \(M^n = \mathbb{H}^n\). Assume that \((ds^2, S, T, \nu)\) satisfies the compatibility equations for \(M^n \times \mathbb{R}\). Then there exists an isometric immersion \(f : \mathcal{V} \to M^n \times \mathbb{R}\) such that the shape operator with respect to the normal \(N\) associated to \(f\) is

\[
df \circ S \circ df^{-1}
\]

and such that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = df(T) + \nu N.
\]

Moreover the immersion is unique up to a global isometry of \(M^n \times \mathbb{R}\) preserving the orientations of both \(M^n\) and \(\mathbb{R}\).

To prove this theorem, we consider a local orthonormal frame \((e_1, \ldots, e_n)\) on \(\mathcal{V}\) and the forms \(\omega^i\), \(\omega^{i+1}\), \(\omega_j\), \(\omega_j^{i+1}\), \(\omega_{i+1}\) and \(\omega_{i+1}^{n+1}\) as in Section 2.2. We set \(\mathbb{E}^{n+2} = \mathbb{R}^{n+2}\) or \(\mathbb{E}^{n+2} = \mathbb{L}^{n+2}\) (according to \(M^n\)). We denote by \((E_0, \ldots, E_n)\) the canonical frame of \(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}\) (with \(\langle E_0, E_n \rangle = -1\) in the case of \(\mathbb{L}^{n+2}\)); in particular we have \(E_{n+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\). We set

\[
T^k = \langle T, e_k \rangle, \quad T^{n+1} = \nu, \quad T^0 = 0.
\]

Moreover we set

\[
\omega^0_j(e_k) = \kappa(T^j T^k - \delta^j_k), \quad \omega^0_{n+1}(e_k) = \kappa \nu T^k,
\]

\[
\omega^i_j = -\kappa \omega^0_j, \quad \omega^0_{n+1} = -\kappa \omega^i_{n+1}, \quad \omega^0_0 = 0.
\]

We define the one-form \(\eta\) on \(\mathcal{V}\) by

\[
\eta(X) = \langle T, X \rangle.
\]
In the frame \((e_1, \ldots, e_n)\) we have \(\eta = \sum_k T^k \omega^k\). Finally we define the following matrix of one-forms:

\[
\Omega = (\omega_{ij}^k) \in \mathcal{M}_{n+2}(\mathbb{R}),
\]

the indices going from 0 to \(n + 1\).

From now on we assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. We first prove some technical lemmas that are consequences of the compatibility equations.

**Lemma 3.4.** We have

\[
d\eta = 0.
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
d\eta(X, Y) = X \cdot \eta(Y) - Y \cdot \eta(X) - \eta([X, Y])
\]

\[
= \langle \nabla_X T, Y \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y T, X \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \nu S X, Y \rangle - \langle \nu S Y, X \rangle
\]

\[
= 0,
\]

where we have used condition (9). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.5.** We have

\[
d T^\alpha = \sum_\gamma T^\gamma \omega^\alpha_\gamma.
\]

**Proof.** This is a consequence of condition (9) for \(\alpha = j\), of condition (10) for \(\alpha = n + 1\), and of the definitions for \(\alpha = 0\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.6.** We have

\[
d\Omega + \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0.
\]

**Proof.** We set \(\Psi = d\Omega + \Omega \wedge \Omega\) and \(R_{klj}^{\alpha} = (R(e_k, e_l)e_j, e_i)\).

By Proposition 2.4 we have

\[
\Psi_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_l R_{klj}^{\alpha} \omega^k \wedge \omega^l + \omega^n_{j+1} \wedge \omega^n_{i+1} + \omega^0_i \wedge \omega^0_j.
\]

Since the Gauss equation (9) is satisfied, we have

\[
R_{klj}^{i} = \tilde{R}_{klj}^{i} + \omega^n_{j+1} \wedge \omega^n_{i+1}(e_k, e_l)
\]

with

\[
\tilde{R}_{klj}^{i} = \kappa(\delta_k^j \delta_l^i - \delta_k^j \delta_l^i - T^l T^j \delta_k^i - T^k T^j \delta_l^i + T^k T^l \delta_j^i + T^l T^j \delta_l^i).
\]

On the other hand, a computation shows that \(\omega^0_i \wedge \omega^0_j(e_k, e_l) = \tilde{R}_{klj}^{i}\). Thus we have

\[
R_{klj}^{i} = \omega^n_{j+1} \wedge \omega^n_{i+1}(e_k, e_l) + \omega^0_i \wedge \omega^0_j(e_k, e_l).\]

We conclude that \(\Psi_j = 0\).

By Proposition 2.4 we have

\[
\Psi_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_l \langle \nabla_k S e_l - \nabla_l S e_k - S[e_k, e_l], e_j \rangle \omega^k \wedge \omega^l + \omega^n_{j+1} \wedge \omega^0_j.
\]

Since the Codazzi equation (8) is satisfied, we have

\[
\langle \nabla_k S e_l - \nabla_l S e_k - S[e_k, e_l], e_j \rangle = \kappa(T^l T^n \delta_j^l - T^k T^n \delta_j^k).
\]

On the other hand, a computation shows that

\[
\omega^n_{j+1} \wedge \omega^0_j(e_k, e_l) = \kappa(T^l T^n \delta_j^l - T^k T^n \delta_j^k).
\]

We conclude that \(\Psi_j^{n+1} = 0\).
We have $\omega_j^0 = \kappa(T^j_\eta - \omega^j)$. Since $d\eta = 0$ (by Lemma 3.3), we get
\[
d\omega_j^0 = \kappa(dT^j \wedge \eta - d\omega^j) = \kappa dT^j \wedge \eta + \kappa \sum_k \omega_k^j \wedge \omega^k
\]
by Proposition 2.4. Thus by a straightforward computation we get
\[
\Psi^0_j(e_p, e_q) = d\omega_j^0(e_p, e_q) + \sum_k \omega_k^j \wedge \omega^k + \omega_j^0 \wedge \omega_{n+1}^j (e_p, e_q)
\]
\[
= \kappa(dT^j(e_p)\eta(e_q) - dT^j(e_q)\eta(e_p)) + \omega_j^0(e_p) - \omega_j^0(e_q)
\]
\[
+ \kappa \left(T^p \sum_k T^k_j \omega_k^j(e_q) - T^q \sum_k T^k_j \omega_j^k(e_p) - \omega_j^0(e_q) + \omega_j^0(e_p)\right)
\]
\[
+ \kappa \left(T^p T^{n+1} \omega_j^{n+1}(e_q) - T^q T^{n+1} \omega_j^{n+1}(e_p)\right).
\]
Using the definition of $\eta$ and Lemma 3.5 for $\alpha = j$, we conclude that $\hat{\Psi}_j^{n+2} = 0$.

We have $\omega_{n+1}^0 = \kappa T^{n+1}_\eta$, and so $d\omega_{n+1}^0 = \kappa dT^{n+1} \wedge \eta$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus by a straightforward computation we get
\[
\Psi_{n+1}^0(e_p, e_q) = d\omega_{n+1}^0(e_p, e_q) + \sum_k \omega_k^0 \wedge \omega_{n+1}^k (e_p, e_q)
\]
\[
= \kappa(T^p dT^{n+1}(e_p) - T^p dT^{n+1}(e_q))
\]
\[
+ \kappa \left(T^p \sum_k T^k \omega_{n+1}^k(e_q) - T^q \sum_k T^k \omega_{n+1}^k(e_p)\right)
\]
\[
+ \kappa(-\omega_{n+1}^0(e_q) + \omega_{n+1}^0(e_p)).
\]
The last two terms cancel because $S$ is symmetric. Using Lemma 3.5 for $\alpha = n+1$, we conclude that $\Psi_{n+1}^0 = 0$.

The fact that $\Psi_0^0 = 0$ and $\Psi_{n+1}^0 = 0$ is clear. We conclude by noticing that $\Psi_{n+1}^i = -\Psi_{n+1}^i = 0$.

For $y \in V$, let $Z(y)$ be the set of matrices $Z \in SO^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$ such that the coefficients of the last line of $Z$ are the $T^\beta(y)$. It is a manifold of dimension $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (since the map $F : SO^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}) \to S(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}), Z \mapsto (Z^\beta_{\beta})_{\beta}$ (i.e., $F(Z)$ is the last line of $Z$), where $S(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}) = \{x \in \mathbb{E}^{n+2}; \langle E, E \rangle = 1\}$ is a submersion).

We now prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** Assume that the compatibility equations for $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ are satisfied. Let $y_0 \in V$ and $A_0 \in Z(y_0)$. Then there exist a neighbourhood $U_1$ of $y_0$ in $V$ and a unique map $A : U_1 \to SO^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$ such that
\[
A^{-1} dA = \Omega,
\]
\[
\forall y \in U_1, \quad A(y) \in Z(y),
\]
\[
A(y_0) = A_0.
\]

**Proof.** Let $U$ be a coordinate neighbourhood in $V$. The set
\[
\mathcal{F} = \{(y, Z) \in U \times SO^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}); Z \in Z(y)\}
\]
is a manifold of dimension $n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, and
\[
T_{(y,Z)} \mathcal{F} = \{(u, \zeta) \in T_y U \oplus T_Z SO^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}); \zeta^{n+1} = (dT^\beta)_y(u)\}.
\]
Indeed, in the neighbourhood of point of $U$ there exists a map $y \mapsto M(y) \in \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$ such that the last line of $M(y)$ is $(T^\beta(y))_\beta$, and we have $Z \in Z(y)$ if and only if

$$ZM(y)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $B \in \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+1})$. Then, if $\varphi$ is a local parametrization of the set of such matrices, the map $(y, v) \mapsto (y, \varphi(v)M(y))$ is a local parametrization of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $Z$ denote the projection $U \times \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}) \rightarrow \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}) \subset M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R})$. We consider on $\mathcal{F}$ the following matrix of 1-forms:

$$\Theta = Z^{-1}dZ - \Omega;$$

namely for $(y, Z) \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\Theta_{(y, Z)} : T_{(y, Z)} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M_{n+2}(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\Theta_{(y, Z)}(u, \zeta) = Z^{-1}\zeta - \Omega_y(u).$$

We claim that, for each $(y, Z) \in \mathcal{F}$, the space

$$\mathcal{D}(y, Z) = \ker \Theta_{(y, Z)}$$

has dimension $n$. We first notice that the matrix $\Theta$ belongs to $\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$ since $\Omega$ and $Z^{-1}dZ$ do as well. Moreover we have

$$(Z\Theta)_{\beta}^{n+1} = dZ_{\beta}^{n+1} - \sum_\gamma Z_{\gamma}^{n+1}\omega_\beta^\gamma = dT^\beta - \sum_\gamma T^\gamma\omega_\beta^\gamma = 0$$

by Lemma 3.5. Thus the values of $\Theta_{(y, Z)}$ lie in the space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ H \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}); (ZH)_{\beta}^{n+1} = 0 \},$$

which has dimension $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ (indeed, the map $F : \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{E}^{n+2}), Z \mapsto (Z^\beta_{\beta})_{\beta}$ is a submersion, and we have $H \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $ZH \in \ker(dF)_Z$).

Moreover, the space $T_{(y, Z)} \mathcal{F}$ contains the subspace $\{(0, ZH); H \in \mathcal{H}\}$, and the restriction of $\Theta_{(y, Z)}$ on this subspace is the map $(0, ZH) \mapsto H$. Thus $\Theta_{(y, Z)}$ is onto $\mathcal{H}$, and consequently the linear map $\Theta_{(y, Z)}$ has rank $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. This finishes proving the claim.

We now prove that the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is involutive. Using Lemma 3.6 we get

$$d\Theta = -Z^{-1}dZ \wedge Z^{-1}dZ - d\Omega = -(\Theta + \Omega) \wedge (\Theta + \Omega) - d\Omega = -\Theta \wedge \Theta - \Theta \wedge \Omega - \Omega \wedge \Theta.$$
We now prove the theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3**. Let $y_0 \in \mathcal{V}$, $A \in \mathcal{Z}(y_0)$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider on $\mathcal{V}$ a local orthonormal frame $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ in the neighbourhood of $y_0$, and we keep the same notation. Then by Proposition 3.7 there exists a unique map $A : U_1 \to \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$ such that

$$A^{-1}dA = \Omega,$$

$$\forall y \in U_1, \quad A(y) \in \mathcal{Z}(y),$$

$$A(y_0) = A_0,$$

where $U_1$ is a neighbourhood of $y_0$, which we can assume is simply connected.

We set $f^0 = A_0^0$, $f^i = A_0^i$ and we call $f^{n+1}$ the unique function on $U_1$ such that $df^{n+1} = \eta$ and $f^{n+1}(y_0) = t_0$ (this function exists since $U_1$ is simply connected and $d\eta = 0$). Thus we defined a map $f : U_1 \to \mathbb{E}^{n+2}$. Since $A_0^{n+1} = T^0 = 0$ and $A \in \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$, in the case of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ we have $(f^0)^2 + \sum_i (f^i)^2 = \sum \alpha (A_0^\alpha)^2 = 1$, and in the case of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ we have $- (f^0)^2 + \sum_i (f^i)^2 = -(A_0^0)^2 + \sum \alpha (A_0^\alpha)^2 + (A_0^{n+1})^2 = -1$ and $f^0 = A_0^0 > 0$. Thus in both cases we have $(f^0, \ldots, f^n) \in M^n$, i.e., the values of $f$ lie in $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Since $dA = A\Omega$, we have, for $\alpha < n + 1$,

$$df^\alpha(e_k) = \sum_j A_\beta^\alpha \omega_j^\alpha(e_k) + A_{n+1}^\alpha \omega_0^{n+1}(e_k)$$

$$= \sum_j A_\beta^\alpha (\delta_j^k - T_j^k) - A_{n+1}^\alpha T^{n+1}T_k$$

$$= A_k^\alpha - T_k \sum_\beta A_{\beta}^\alpha A_{\beta}^{n+1}$$

$$= A_k^\alpha$$

and

$$df^{n+1}(e_k) = \eta(e_k) = T_k = A_k^{n+1}.$$

This means that $df(e_k)$ is given by the column $k$ of the matrix $A$.

Since $A$ is an invertible matrix, $df$ has rank $n$, and so $f$ is an immersion. Also, since $A \in \text{SO}^+(\mathbb{E}^{n+2})$, we have $(dA(e_p), df(e_q)) = \delta_{pq}$, and so $f$ is an isometry.

The columns of $A(y)$ form a direct orthonormal frame of $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}$. Columns 1 to $n$ form a direct orthonormal frame of $T_{f(y)}(\mathcal{V})$ and column 0 is the projection of $f(y)$ on $M^n \times \{0\}$, i.e., the unit normal $N(f(y))$ to $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ at the point $f(y)$. Thus column $(n + 1)$ is the unit normal $N(f(y))$ to $f(\mathcal{V})$ in $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ at the point $f(y)$.

We set $X_j = df(e_j)$. Then we have

$$\langle dX_j(X_k), N \rangle = \sum_\alpha dA_\alpha^\alpha(e_k)A_{n+1}^\alpha = \sum_\gamma A_\gamma^\alpha A_{n+1}^\alpha \omega_j^\gamma(e_k)$$

$$= \omega_j^{n+1}(e_k) = \langle Se_k, e_j \rangle.$$

This means that the shape operator of $f(\mathcal{V})$ in $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is $df \circ S \circ df^{-1}$.

Finally, the coefficients of the vertical vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = E_{n+1}$ in the orthonormal frame $(\hat{N}, X_1, \ldots, X_n, N)$ are given by the last line of $A$. Since $A(y) \in \mathcal{Z}(y)$ for all $y \in U_2$ we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \sum_j T_j^j X_j + T^{n+1} N = df(T) + \nu N.$$
We now prove that the local immersion is unique up to a global isometry of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{f} : U_3 \to \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be another immersion satisfying the conclusion of the theorem, where $U_3$ is a simply connected neighbourhood of $y_0$ included in $U_1$, let $(\tilde{X}_\beta)$ be the associated frame (i.e., $\tilde{X}_j = d\tilde{f}(e_j)$, $\tilde{X}_{n+1}$ is the normal of $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{V})$ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{X}_0$ is the normal to $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}$) and let $\tilde{A}$ be the matrix of the coordinates of the frame $(\tilde{X}_\beta)$ in the frame $(E_\alpha)$. Up to a direct isometry of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we can assume that $\tilde{f}(y_0) = \tilde{f}(y_0)$ and that the frames $(X_\beta(y_0))$ and $(\tilde{X}_\beta(y_0))$ coincide, i.e., $A(y_0) = \tilde{A}(y_0)$. We notice that this isometry necessarily fixes $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ since the $T^\alpha$ are the same for $x$ and $\tilde{x}$. The matrices $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ satisfy $A^{-1}dA = \Omega$ and $\tilde{A}^{-1}d\tilde{A} = \Omega$ (see Section 2). $A(y), \tilde{A}(y) \in \mathcal{Z}(y)$ and $A(y_0) = \tilde{A}(y_0)$. Thus by the uniqueness of the solution of the equation in Proposition 3.7 we get $A(y) = \tilde{A}(y)$. Considering the columns of these matrices, we get $f^i = \tilde{f}^i$ and $f^0 = \tilde{f}^0$. Finally we have $df^{n+1} = \eta = \tilde{f}^n + 1$ and $f^{n+1}(y_0) = \tilde{f}^{n+1}(y_0)$; thus we have $f^{n+1} = \tilde{f}^{n+1}$. This finishes proving that $f = \tilde{f}$ on $U_3$.

Finally we prove that this local immersion $f$ can be extended to $\mathbb{V}$ in a unique way. Let $y_1 \in \mathbb{V}$. Then there exists a curve $\Gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{V}$ such that $\Gamma(0) = y_0$ and $\Gamma(1) = y_1$. Each point of $\Gamma$ has a neighbourhood such that there exists an isometric immersion (unique up to an isometry of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ preserving the orientations of $\mathbb{M}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}$) of this neighbourhood satisfying the properties of the theorem. From this family of neighbourhoods we can extract a finite family $(W_1, \ldots, W_p)$ covering $\Gamma$ with $W_1 = U_1$. Then the above uniqueness argument shows that we can extend successively the immersion $f$ to the $W_k$ in a unique way. In particular $f(y_1)$ is defined. Moreover, this value $f(y_1)$ does not depend on the choice of the curve $\Gamma$ joining $y_0$ to $y_1$ because $\mathbb{V}$ is simply connected.

\begin{proposition}
If $(ds^2, S, T, \nu)$ satisfies the compatibility equations and corresponds to an immersion $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, then $(ds^2, -S, T, -\nu)$ and $(ds^2, S, -T, -\nu)$ also satisfy the compatibility equations and correspond to the immersion $\sigma \circ f$ where $\sigma$ is an isometry of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

1. reversing the orientation of $\mathbb{M}^n$ and preserving the orientation of $\mathbb{R}$ in the case of $(ds^2, -S, T, -\nu)$,
2. preserving the orientation of $\mathbb{M}^n$ and reversing the orientation of $\mathbb{R}$ in the case of $(ds^2, -S, -T, \nu)$,
3. reversing the orientations of both $\mathbb{M}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}$ in the case of $(ds^2, S, -T, -\nu)$.
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
We deal with the first case (the two others are similar). Let $\tilde{f} = \sigma \circ f$. Then the normal to $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma \circ \hat{N}$, and since $\sigma$ reverses the orientation of $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ the normal to $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{V})$ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\hat{N} = -\sigma \circ \hat{N}$. From this we deduce that $\hat{S} = -S$. Moreover we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = df(T) + \nu \hat{N}$, and so, since $\sigma$ preserves the orientation of $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \sigma \circ df(T) + \nu \sigma \circ \hat{N} = df(T) - \nu \hat{N}.$$ 

We conclude that $\hat{T} = T$ and $\hat{\nu} = -\nu$.
\end{proof}

### 3.3. Remark: Another proof in the case of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

In this section we outline another proof of Theorem 5.3 in the case of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ that does not involve the Lorentz space. Greek letters will denote indices between 1 and $n + 1$.

We first consider an orientable hypersurface $\mathbb{V}$ of an $(n + 1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{V}$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be a local orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{V}$, $e_{n+1}$ the
Let $A \in \text{SO}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of the $e_\beta$ in the frame $(E_\alpha)$, namely $A_\alpha^\beta = (e_\beta, E_\alpha)$. Let $\Omega = (\omega_\alpha^\beta) \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. The matrix $A$ satisfies the following equation:

$$A^{-1}dA = \Omega + L(A)$$

with

$$L(A)^\beta_\gamma = \sum_k \left( \sum_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} A_\alpha^\beta A_\delta^\gamma A_\epsilon^\delta \Gamma^\gamma_{\gamma\alpha} \right) \omega^k,$$

where the $\Gamma^\delta_{\gamma\alpha}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the frame $(E_\alpha)$. Notice that these matrices have size $n+1$, whereas those of Section 2.3 have size $n+2$.

We now assume that $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and that $\mathcal{V}$ is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ endowed with $S$, $T$, $\nu$ satisfying the compatibility equations for $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. We consider a local orthonormal frame $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ on $U \subset \mathcal{V}$, the associated one-forms $\omega^\alpha$, $\omega_\alpha^\beta$ and the matrix of one-forms $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

We use the fact that there exists an orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{H}^n$ whose Christoffel symbols are constant. More precisely, we can choose the frame $(E_\alpha)$ on $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma^i_{ij} = -\Gamma^i_{ji} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j \leq n$ and all the other Christoffel symbols vanish.

The first step is to prove the following proposition, which is analogous to Proposition 3.7.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $y_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ and $A_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(y_0)$. Then there exist a neighbourhood $U_1$ of $y_0$ in $\mathcal{V}$ and a unique map $A : U_1 \to \text{SO}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$A^{-1}dA = \Omega + L(A),$$

$$\forall y \in U_1, \quad A(y) \in \mathcal{Z}(y),$$

$$A(y_0) = A_0,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(y)$ is defined in a way analogous to that of Section 2.2.

To prove this proposition, we introduce the form $\Theta = Z^{-1}dZ - \Omega - L(Z)$ on $\mathcal{I} = \{(y, Z) \in U \times \text{SO}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}); Z \in \mathcal{Z}(y)\}$; this is well defined since the Christoffel symbols are constant. A long calculation shows that the distribution $\mathcal{D}(y, Z) = \ker \Theta_{(y, Z)}$ is involutive. We conclude as in the proof of Proposition 3.7.

The second step is to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. There exist a neighbourhood $U_2$ of $y_0$ contained in $U_1$ and a function $f : U_2 \to \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$df = (B \circ f)A\omega,$$

$$f(y_0) = x_0,$$
where $\omega$ is the column $(\omega^1, \ldots, \omega^n, 0)$ and, for $x \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $B(x) \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is the matrix of the coordinates of the frame $(E_\alpha(x))$ in the frame $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$ (we choose the upper half-space model for $\mathbb{H}^n$).

To prove it, we consider the form $B^{-1}dx - A\omega$ on $U_1 \times \tilde{V}$, and we show that its kernel again defines an involutive distribution.

The last step is to check that this map $f$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 4.2.

4. Applications to minimal surfaces in $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

4.1. The associate family. Let $M^2 = S^2$ or $M^2 = \mathbb{H}^2$. Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface with a metric $ds^2$ (which we also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$), $\nabla$ its Riemannian connection, and $J$ the rotation of angle $\frac{\pi}{2}$ on $T\Sigma$. Let $S$ be a field of symmetric operators $S_y : T_y \Sigma \to T_y \Sigma$. Let $T$ be a vector field on $\Sigma$ and $\nu$ a smooth function on $\Sigma$ such that $||T||^2 + \nu^2 = 1$.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that $S$ is trace-free and that $(ds^2, S, T, \nu)$ satisfies the compatibility equations for $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$S_\theta = e^{\theta J}S = (\cos \theta)S + (\sin \theta)JS,$$
$$T_\theta = e^{\theta J}T = (\cos \theta)T + (\sin \theta)JT,$$

i.e., $S_\theta$ and $T_\theta$ are obtained by rotating $S$ and $T$ by the angle $\theta$.

Then $S_\theta$ is symmetric and trace-free, $||T_\theta||^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ and $(ds^2, S_\theta, T_\theta, \nu)$ satisfies the compatibility equations for $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The fact that $S_\theta$ is symmetric and trace-free comes from an elementary computation. Moreover we have $||T_\theta|| = ||T||$. We notice that, since $\dim \Sigma = 2$, the Gauss equation (1) is equivalent to

$$K = \det S + \kappa(1 - ||T||^2),$$

where $K$ is the Gauss curvature of $ds^2$. Since $\det(e^{\theta J}) = 1$, we have $\det S_\theta = \det S$, and so the Gauss equation is satisfied for $(ds^2, S_\theta, T_\theta, \nu)$.

Since $e^{\theta J}$ commutes with $\nabla_X$ (see [AR04], section 3.2) and preserves the metric, equations (1) and (10) are also satisfied for $(ds^2, S_\theta, T_\theta, \nu)$.

To prove that the Codazzi equation (9) is satisfied by $(ds^2, S_\theta, T_\theta, \nu)$, we first notice that, since

$$\nabla_X e^{\theta J}S - \nabla_Y e^{\theta J}S - e^{\theta J}[X, Y] = e^{\theta J}(\nabla_X SY - \nabla_Y SX - S[X, Y]),$$

it suffices to prove that

$$\langle e^{\theta J}T, Y \rangle X - \langle e^{\theta J}T, X \rangle Y = e^{\theta J}(\langle T, Y \rangle X - \langle T, X \rangle Y).$$

This is obvious at a point where $X = 0$. At a point where $X \neq 0$, we can write $Y = \lambda X + \mu JX$, and a computation shows that both expressions are equal to

$$\mu \cos \theta \langle T, JX \rangle X + \mu \sin \theta \langle T, X \rangle X - \mu \cos \theta \langle T, X \rangle JX + \mu \sin \theta \langle T, JX \rangle JX.$$

\[ \square \]

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a simply connected Riemann surface and $x : \Sigma \to M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ a conformal minimal immersion. Let $N$ be the induced normal. Let $S$ be the symmetric operator on $\Sigma$ induced by the shape operator of $x(\Sigma)$. Also, let $T$ be the vector field on $\Sigma$ such that $dx(T)$ is the projection of $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ onto $T(x(\Sigma))$ and let $\nu = \langle N, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rangle$.
Let \( z_0 \in \Sigma \). Then there exists a unique family \( (x_\theta)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \) of conformal minimal immersions \( x_\theta : \Sigma \to M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) such that:

1. \( x_\theta(z_0) = x(z_0) \) and \( (dx_\theta)_{z_0} = (dx)_{z_0} \),
2. the metrics induced on \( \Sigma \) by \( x \) and \( x_\theta \) are the same,
3. the symmetric operator on \( \Sigma \) induced by the shape operator of \( x_\theta(\Sigma) \) is \( e^{\theta}S \),
4. \( \theta = dx_\theta(e^{\theta}T) + \nu N_\theta \), where \( N_\theta \) is the unit normal to \( x_\theta \).

Moreover we have \( x_0 = x \), and the family \( (x_\theta) \) is continuous with respect to \( \theta \).

The family of immersions \( (x_\theta)_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \) is called the associate family of the immersion \( x \), and the immersion \( x_\theta^{-1} \) is called the conjugate immersion of the immersion \( x \), and the immersion \( x_{-\theta} \) is called the opposite immersion of the immersion \( x \).

Proof. Let \( ds^2 \) be the metric on \( \Sigma \) induced by \( x \). Then \( (ds^2, S, T, \nu) \) satisfies the compatibility equations for \( M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \). Thus, by Proposition 14.1, \( (ds^2, e^{\theta}S, e^{\theta}T, \nu) \) does as well. Thus by Theorem 3.3 there exists a unique immersion \( x_\theta \) satisfying the properties of the theorem. The fact that \( x_0 = x \) is clear.

Finally, \( (ds^2, e^{\theta}S, e^{\theta}T, \nu) \) defines a matrix of one-forms \( \Omega_\theta \) and a matrix of functions \( A_\theta \) satisfying \( A_\theta^{-1}dA_\theta = \Omega_\theta \) (by Proposition 3.7). By continuity of \( \Omega_\theta \) with respect to \( \theta \), we obtain the continuity of \( A_\theta \) with respect to \( \theta \) and then the continuity of \( x_\theta \) with respect to \( \theta \).

\[ \square \]

Remark 4.3. Let \( \tau : \Sigma' \to \Sigma \) be a conformal diffeomorphism. If \( \tau \) preserves the orientation, then \( (x \circ \tau)_\theta = x_\theta \circ \tau \); if \( \tau \) reverses the orientation, then \( (x \circ \tau)_\theta = x_{-\theta} \circ \tau \).

In the sequel, we will speak of associate and conjugate immersions even if condition 1 is not satisfied; i.e., we will consider these notions up to isometries of \( M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) preserving the orientations of both \( M^2 \) and \( \mathbb{R} \).

Remark 4.4. The opposite immersion is \( x_\pi = \sigma \circ x \), where \( \sigma \) is an isometry of \( M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) preserving the orientation of \( M^2 \) and reversing the orientation of \( \mathbb{R} \) (see Proposition 3.8, case (2)).

Remark 4.5. This associate family for minimal immersions in \( M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) is analogous to the associate family for minimal immersions in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Conformal minimal immersions in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) are given by the Weierstrass representation

\[
x(z) = x(z_0) + \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_0}^z (1 - g^2, i(1 + g^2), 2g) \omega,
\]

where \( g \) is a meromorphic function on \( \Sigma \) (the Gauss map) and \( \omega \) a holomorphic one-form. Then the associate immersions are

\[
x_\theta(z) = x(z_0) + \operatorname{Re} \int_{z_0}^z (1 - g^2, i(1 + g^2), 2g) e^{-i\theta} \omega.
\]

Let \( x = (\varphi, h) : \Sigma \to M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) be a conformal minimal immersion. Then \( h \) is a real harmonic function and \( \varphi \) is a harmonic map to \( M^2 \). We set

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right).
\]

The Hopf differential of \( \varphi \) is the following 2-form (see [Ros025]):

\[
Q \varphi = 4 \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) dz^2 = \left( \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} \right|^2 - 2i \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v} \right) \right) dz^2.
\]
It is a holomorphic 2-form on $\Sigma$, and since $x$ is conformal we have
\[ Q\varphi = -4 \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz^2 = -\left( dh + ih^* \right)^2 = -4 \left( T, \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) dz^2, \]
where $h^*$ is the harmonic conjugate function of $h$ (i.e., $\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial u} = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial v}$ and $\frac{\partial h^*}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial u}$). The reader can refer to [SY97] for harmonic maps.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $x = (\varphi, h) : \Sigma \to \mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be a conformal minimal immersion, and $(x_\theta) = ((\varphi_\theta, h_\theta))$ its associate family of conformal minimal immersions. Let $h^*$ be the harmonic conjugate of $h$. Then we have
\[ h_\theta = (\cos \theta)h + (\sin \theta)h^*, \quad Q\varphi_\theta = e^{-2i\theta}Q\varphi. \]

**Proof.** We have
\[ \frac{\partial h_\theta}{\partial u} = \left( \frac{\partial x_\theta}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} , T_\theta \right) = \cos \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} , T \right) + \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} , JT \right) = \cos \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} , T \right) - \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial v} , T \right) = \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} - \sin \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial v}. \]

In the same way we have $\frac{\partial h_\theta}{\partial v} = \cos \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial v} , T \right) + \sin \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} , JT \right) = \cos \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial h}{\partial u}$. This proves that $h_\theta = (\cos \theta)h + (\sin \theta)h^*$. The expression of $Q\varphi_\theta$ follows immediately. \hfill \Box

**Remark 4.7.** Recently, Hauswirth, Sá Earp and Toubiana ([HSET08]) defined the following notion of associated immersions in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$: two isometric conformal minimal immersions in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are said to be associated if their Hopf differential differ by the multiplication by some constant $e^{i\theta}$. Moreover, they proved that two isometric conformal minimal immersions in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ having the same Hopf differential are equal up to an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus the notions of associated immersions in the sense of this paper and in the sense of [HSET08] are equivalent.

In [SET05], Sá Earp and Toubiana ask the following question: if two conformal minimal immersions $x, \tilde{x} : \Sigma \to \mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are isometric, are they associated? (This result holds for $\mathbb{R}^3$.)

**Remark 4.8.** Abresch and Rosenberg ([AR04]) defined a holomorphic Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. For minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, this Hopf differential is
\[ Q(X, Y) = -\frac{\kappa}{2} (\langle T, X \rangle \langle T, Y \rangle - \langle T, JX \rangle \langle T, JY \rangle) + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\langle T, JX \rangle \langle T, Y \rangle + \langle T, X \rangle \langle T, JY \rangle). \]

A computation shows that
\[ Q = \frac{\kappa}{2} Q\varphi. \]

**Proposition 4.9.** Let $x : \Sigma \to \mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be a conformal minimal immersion. If $x$ does not define a horizontal $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \{t\}$, then the zeros of $T$ are isolated.

**Proof.** The height function $h = \langle x, \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \rangle$ satisfies $dh(X) = \langle T, X \rangle$; thus the zeros of $T$ are the zeros of $dh$. Since $h$ is harmonic, either the zeros of $dh$ are isolated or $h$ is constant. The latter case is excluded by hypothesis. \hfill \Box
Remark 4.10. Umbilic points (i.e., zeroes of the shape operator) may be non-isolated: for example, helicoids and unduloids in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ have curves of umbilic points (see Section 4.2).

We now give some geometric properties of conjugate surfaces.

The transformation $S \mapsto J S$ implies that curvature lines and asymptotic lines are exchanged by conjugation (as in $\mathbb{R}^3$). (More generally the normal curvature and the normal torsion of a curve are swapped up to a sign.) The reader can refer to [Kar05] for geometric properties of conjugate surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Moreover, the transformation $T \mapsto J T$ implies the following transformation: a horizontal curve $\gamma$ along which the surface is vertical (i.e., $\nu = 0$ along $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ is orthogonal to $T$) is mapped to a vertical curve (i.e., $\nu = 0$ along $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ is proportional to $T$), and vice versa. We also notice that a minimal surface cannot be horizontal along a horizontal curve unless the minimal surface is a horizontal surface $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \{t\}$ (indeed, this would imply that $T = 0$ along this curve).

Hence conjugation swaps two pairs of Schwarz reflections:

(1) the symmetry with respect to a vertical plane containing a curvature line becomes the rotation with respect to a horizontal geodesic of $\mathbb{M}^2$, and vice versa,
(2) the symmetry with respect to a horizontal plane containing a curvature line becomes the rotation with respect to a vertical straight line, and vice versa.

The first case is illustrated by a generatrix curve of an unduloid or a catenoid and a horizontal line of a helicoid; the second case is illustrated by the waist circle of an unduloid or a catenoid and the axis of a helicoid. These examples are detailed in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

4.2. Helicoids and unduloids in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Apart from the horizontal spheres $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{t\}$ and the vertical cylinders $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbb{S}^1$ being a great circle in $\mathbb{S}^2$), the most simple examples of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are helicoids and unduloids. These surfaces are described in [PR99] and [Ros02b]. They are properly embedded and foliated by circles. Unduloids are rotational and vertically periodic; helicoids are invariant by a screw motion.

Helicoids. For $\beta \neq 0$, the helicoid $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ is given by the following conformal immersion:

$$x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \varphi(u) \cos \beta v \\ \sin \varphi(u) \sin \beta v \\ \cos \varphi(u) \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$

where the function $\varphi$ satisfies

$$\varphi'(u)^2 = 1 + \beta^2 \sin^2 \varphi(u), \quad \varphi''(u) = \beta^2 \sin \varphi(u) \cos \varphi(u).$$

We can assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(u) > 0$. When $\beta > 0$ we say that $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ is a right helicoid; when $\beta < 0$ we say that $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ is a left helicoid.

The normal to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is

$$\bar{N}(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \varphi(u) \cos \beta v \\ \sin \varphi(u) \sin \beta v \\ \cos \varphi(u) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
The normal to $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is

$$N(u, v) = \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \beta v \\ -\cos \beta v \\ 0 \\ \beta \sin \varphi(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We compute

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u^2}, N \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial v^2}, N \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u \partial v}, N \right\rangle = -\beta \cos \varphi(u).$$

Using the fact that $\langle SX, Y \rangle = \langle dY(X), N \rangle$, we compute that the matrix of $S$ in the frame $(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v})$ is the following:

$$-\frac{\beta \cos \varphi(u)}{\varphi'(u)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In particular the points where $\cos \varphi(u) = 0$ are umbilic points. We also have

$$T = \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad \nu = \frac{\beta \sin \varphi(u)}{\varphi'(u)}.$$

Remark 4.11. When $\beta = 0$, the formula defines a vertical cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. When $\beta \to \infty$, the surface converges to the foliation by horizontal spheres $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{t\}$.

Unduloids. For $\alpha > 1$ or $\alpha < -1$, the unduloid $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is given by the following conformal immersion:

$$x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \psi(u) \cos \alpha v \\ \sin \psi(u) \sin \alpha v \\ \cos \psi(u) \\ u \end{pmatrix},$$

where the function $\psi$ satisfies

$$(12) \quad 1 + \psi'(u)^2 = \alpha^2 \sin^2 \psi(u), \quad \psi''(u) = \alpha^2 \sin \psi(u) \cos \psi(u).$$

We can assume that $\psi'(0) = 0$, $\psi(u) \in (0, \pi)$ and $\cos \psi(0) > 0$.

The normal to $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is

$$N(u, v) = \frac{1}{\alpha \sin \psi(u)} \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \psi(u) \cos \alpha v \\ -\cos \psi(u) \sin \alpha v \\ \sin \psi(u) \\ \psi'(u) \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We compute that the matrix of $S$ in the frame $(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v})$ is the following:

$$-\frac{\alpha \cos \psi(u)}{1 + \psi'(u)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In particular the points where $\cos \psi(u) = 0$ are umbilic points. We also have

$$T = \frac{1}{1 + \psi'(u)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \nu = \frac{\psi'(u)}{\alpha \sin \psi(u)}.$$ 

Remark 4.12. When $\alpha = \pm 1$, the formula defines a vertical cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. When $\alpha \to \infty$, the surface converges to the foliation by horizontal spheres $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \{t\}$.

Proposition 4.13. The conjugate surface of the unduloid $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is the helicoid $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ with $\alpha^2 = 1 + \beta^2$ and $\alpha, \beta$ having the same sign.
Proof. We set $y_1(u) = \alpha \cos \varphi(u)$ and $y_2(u) = \beta \cos \varphi(u)$. A computation shows that both $y_1$ and $y_2$ are solutions of the equation

$$(y')^2 = (y^2 - \alpha^2)(y^2 - \beta^2),$$

and hence of the equation

$$y'' = y(2y^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2).$$

We have $\varphi'(0) = 0$, and so by (12) we have $y_1(0)^2 = \beta^2$ and thus $y_1'(0) = 0$; also, $\varphi(0) = 0$, so $y_2(0) = \beta$ and thus $y_2'(0) = 0$. Moreover, $\cos \varphi(0) > 0$, so $y_1(0)$ has the sign of $\alpha$; since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have the same sign, we have $y_1(0) = \beta$. By the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem we conclude that $y_1 = y_2$. From this we deduce using (12) and (11) that $\varphi'(u)^2 = 1 + \varphi'(u)^2$; thus $U_\alpha$ and $H_\beta$ are locally isometric, and $S_\beta = JS_{U_{\alpha}}$ and $T_\beta = JT_{U_{\alpha}}$. Finally we have $\nu_{U_{\alpha}} = -\frac{y'_1}{\alpha^2-y_1^2}$ and $\nu_{H_{\beta}} = -\frac{y'_2}{\alpha^2-y_2^2}$, so we get $\nu_{H_{\beta}} = \nu_{U_{\alpha}}$. $\Box$

Remark 4.14. The vertical cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is globally invariant by conjugation, but the vertical lines and the horizontal circles are exchanged. For example, a rectangle of height $t$ and whose basis is an arc of angle $\theta$ becomes a rectangle of height $\theta$ and whose basis is an arc of angle $t$.

The horizontal sphere $S^2 \times \{0\}$ is pointwise invariant by conjugation (since it satisfies $S = 0$ and $T = 0$).

Remark 4.15. The horizontal projections of helicoids and unduloids are the Gauss maps of constant mean curvature Delaunay surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$: helicoids in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ come from nodoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and unduloids in $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ come from unduloids in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

This correspondence is described in [Ros03].

4.3. Helicoids and generalized catenoids in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Apart from the horizontal planes $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$ and the vertical planes $\mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbb{H}^1$ being a geodesic of $\mathbb{H}^2$), the most simple examples of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are helicoids and catenoids. These surfaces are described in [PR99] and [NR02]. They are properly embedded. Catenoids are rotational; helicoids are invariant by a screw motion and foliated by geodesics of $\mathbb{H}^2$.

More generally, Hauswirth classified minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ foliated by horizontal curves of constant curvature in $\mathbb{H}^2$ ([Hau06]). These surfaces form a two-parameter family. This family includes, among others, catenoids, helicoids and Riemann-type examples. All the surfaces described in this section belong to the Hauswirth family.

Helicoids. For $\beta \neq 0$, the helicoid $H_\beta$ is given by the following conformal immersion:

$$x(u, v) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \cosh \varphi(u) \\ \sinh \varphi(u) \cos \beta v \\ \sinh \varphi(u) \sin \beta v \\ v \end{array} \right),$$

where the function $\varphi$ satisfies

$$\varphi'(u)^2 = 1 + \beta^2 \sinh^2 \varphi(u), \quad \varphi''(u) = \beta^2 \sinh \varphi(u) \cosh \varphi(u).$$

We can assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. The function $\varphi$ is defined on a bounded interval. When $\beta > 0$ we say that $H_\beta$ is a right helicoid; when $\beta < 0$ we say that $H_\beta$ is a left helicoid.
The normal to \( \mathcal{H}_\beta \) in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) is

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)} \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\sin \beta v \\
-\cos \beta v \\
\beta \sinh \varphi(u)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now \( \beta > 0 \), we compute that the matrix of \( S \) in the frame \( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) \) is the following:

\[
\frac{-\beta \cosh \varphi(u)}{\varphi'(u)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We also have

\[
T = \frac{1}{\varphi'(u)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \nu = \frac{\beta \sinh \varphi(u)}{\varphi'(u)}.
\]

Remark 4.16. When \( \beta = 0 \), the formula defines a vertical plane \( \mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \). When \( \beta \to \infty \), the surface converges to the foliation by horizontal planes \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{ t \} \).

Catenoids. For \( \alpha \neq 0 \), the catenoid \( \mathcal{C}_\alpha \) is given by the following conformal immersion:

\[
x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cosh \psi(u) \\
\sinh \psi(u) \cos \alpha v \\
\sinh \psi(u) \sin \alpha v \\
u
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the function \( \psi \) satisfies

\[
1 + \psi'(u)^2 = \alpha^2 \sinh^2 \psi(u), \quad \psi''(u) = \alpha^2 \sinh \psi(u) \cosh \psi(u).
\]

We can assume that \( \psi'(0) = 0 \) and \( \psi(u) > 0 \). The function \( \psi \) is defined on the interval \( (-u_0, u_0) \) with

\[
u_0 = \int_{\psi(0)}^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 \sinh^2 \psi - 1}} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2 + \alpha^2}(x^2 - 1)}
\]

Thus we have

\[
u_0 < \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x \sqrt{x^2 - 1}} = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

This proves that the height of the catenoid \( \mathcal{C}_\alpha \) is smaller than \( \pi \); moreover the height tends to 0 when \( \alpha \to \infty \) and to \( \pi \) when \( \alpha \to 0 \) (theorem 1 in [NR02] holds for \( t \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \)). The function \( \psi \) is decreasing on \( (-u_0, 0) \) and increasing on \( (0, u_0) \). The waist circle is given by \( u = 0 \).

The normal to \( \mathcal{C}_\alpha \) in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) is

\[
N(u, v) = \frac{1}{\alpha \sinh \psi(u)} \begin{pmatrix}
-\sinh \psi(u) \\
-\cosh \psi(u) \cos \alpha v \\
-\cosh \psi(u) \sin \alpha v \\
\psi'(u)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We compute that the matrix of \( S \) in the frame \( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) \) is the following:

\[
\frac{-\alpha \cosh \psi(u)}{1 + \psi'(u)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We also have

\[
T = \frac{1}{1 + \psi'(u)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \nu = \frac{\psi'(u)}{\alpha \sinh \psi(u)}.
\]
A minimal surface foliated by horocycles. We search a minimal surface such that each horizontal curve is a horocycle in $\mathbb{H}^2$ and such that all the horocycles have the same asymptotic point. Such a surface can be parametrized in the following way:

$$x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda(u)}{2} + \frac{1 + f(u, v)^2}{2\lambda(u)} \\ f(u, v) \\ -\frac{\lambda(u)}{2} + \frac{1 + f(u, v)^2}{2\lambda(u)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} > 0$. This immersion is conformal if and only if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = \frac{f'}{\lambda}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)^2 = 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\right)^2.$$

We deduce from the second relation that $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial v^2} = 0$, and so

$$f(u, v) = \alpha(u)v + \beta(u).$$

Reporting in the first relation we get

$$\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta'}{\beta} = \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}.$$

The immersion is minimal if and only if $\Delta x$ is proportional to the normal $\vec{N}$ to $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$; a computation shows that this happens if and only if $(\lambda')^2 + \alpha^2 \lambda^2 = \lambda \lambda''$, i.e., if and only if $2(\lambda')^2 + \lambda^2 = \lambda \lambda''$, or, equivalently,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)'' = -\frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Up to a reparametrization and an isometry of $\mathbb{H}^2$ we can choose $\lambda(u) = \alpha(u) = \frac{1}{\cos u}$ for $u \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $\beta(u) = 0$. Thus we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.17.** The map

$$x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v^2 + 1}{2\cos u} + \frac{\cos u}{2} \\ \frac{v^2 - \cos u}{2\cos u} + \frac{\cos u}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

defined for $(u, v) \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a conformal minimal embedding such that the curves $u = u_0$ are horocycles in $\mathbb{H}^2$ having the same asymptotic point. We will denote this surface by $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Moreover, the surface $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the unique one (up to isometries of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$) having this property.

In the upper half-plane model for $\mathbb{H}^2$, the curve at height $u$ of $\mathcal{C}_0$ is the horizontal Euclidean line $x_2 = \cos u$. Figure [1] is a picture of $\mathcal{C}_0$ (in this picture the model for $\mathbb{H}^2$ is the Poincaré unit disk model). The surface $\mathcal{C}_0$ has height $\pi$. It is symmetric with respect to the horizontal plane $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$, and it is invariant by a one-parameter family of horizontal parabolic isometries.
The normal to $C_0$ in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is

$$N(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{v^2+1}{2} + \frac{\cos^2 u}{2} \\ -v \\ \frac{1-v^2}{2} + \frac{\cos^2 u}{2} \\ \sin u \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We compute that the matrix of $S$ in the frame $(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v})$ is the following:

$$-\cos u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We also have

$$T = \cos^2 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \nu = \sin u.$$
Minimal surfaces foliated by equidistants. For \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \) or \( \gamma \in (-1, 0) \), we consider the following immersion:

\[
x(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cosh \chi(u) \cosh \gamma v \\
\sinh \chi(u) \\
\cosh \chi(u) \sinh \gamma v \\
u
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
1 + \chi'(u)^2 = \gamma^2 \cosh^2 \chi(u), \quad \chi''(u) = \gamma^2 \cosh \chi(u) \sinh \chi(u).
\]

It is a conformal minimal immersion.

We choose \( \chi \) such that \( \chi'(0) = 0 \) and \( \chi(u) > 0 \). The function \( \chi \) is defined on the interval \((-u_0, u_0)\) with

\[
u_0 = \int_{\chi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d\chi}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 \cosh^2 \chi - 1}} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(x^2 - \gamma^2)(x^2 - 1)}}.
\]

Thus we have

\[
u_0 > \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} = \frac{\pi}{2}.
\]

We have defined a minimal surface \( S_\gamma \), which we call a generalized catenoid. Its height is greater than \( \pi \), and tends to \( \pi \) when \( \gamma \to 0 \) and to \( +\infty \) when \( \gamma \to 1 \). The function \( \chi \) is decreasing on \((-\nu_0, 0)\) and increasing on \((0, \nu_0)\). The surface is symmetric with respect to the horizontal plane \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\} \), and it is invariant by a one-parameter family of horizontal hyperbolic isometries. The horizontal curves are equidistants to a geodesic in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \).

The normal to \( S_\gamma \) in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) is

\[
x(u, v) = -\frac{1}{\gamma \cosh \chi(u)} \begin{pmatrix}
\sinh \chi(u) \cosh \gamma v \\
\cosh \chi(u) \\
\sinh \chi(u) \sinh \gamma v \\
-\chi'(u)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We compute that the matrix of \( S \) in the frame \( (\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v}) \) is the following:

\[
-\frac{\gamma \sinh \chi(u)}{1 + \chi'(u)^2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We also have

\[
T = \frac{1}{1 + \chi'(u)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \nu = \frac{\chi'(u)}{\gamma \cosh \chi(u)}.
\]

Remark 4.18. When \( \gamma = \pm 1 \), the formula defines a vertical plane \( \mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \).

Proposition 4.19. The conjugate surface of the catenoid \( C_\alpha \) is the helicoid \( H_\beta \) with \( \beta^2 = 1 + \alpha^2 \) and \( \alpha, \beta \) having the same sign.

Proof. We set \( y_1(u) = \alpha \cosh \psi(u) \) and \( y_2(u) = \beta \cosh \varphi(u) \). A computation shows that both \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are solutions of the equation

\[
y'(y)^2 = (y^2 - \alpha^2)(y^2 - \beta^2),
\]

and hence of the equation

\[
y'' = y(2y^2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2).
\]
We have $\psi'(0) = 0$, and so by (131) we have $y_1(0)^2 = \beta^2$ and thus $y_2'(0) = 0$; also, $\varphi(0) = 0$, so $y_2(0) = \beta$ and thus $y_2'(0) = 0$. Moreover, $y_1(0)$ has the sign of $\alpha$, i.e., the sign of $\beta$, so we get $y_1(0) = \beta$. By the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem we conclude that $y_1 = y_2$ (and in particular they have the same domain of definition). From this we deduce using (13) and (13) that $\varphi'(u)^2 = 1 + \psi'(u)^2$, and thus $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\beta}$ are locally isometric, $S_{3\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = J\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$, and $T_{3\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = JT\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$. Finally we have $\nu_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}} = \frac{y_1'}{y_2'-\alpha\gamma}$ and $\nu_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = \frac{y_2''}{y_2'-\gamma\alpha}$, so we get $\nu_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = \nu_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}$. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 4.20.** The conjugate surface of the surface $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ is the helicoid $\mathcal{H}_1$.

**Proof.** In the case where $\beta = 1$, the function $\varphi$ satisfies $\varphi' = \cosh \varphi$, and thus we have $\varphi(u) = \ln(\tan(\frac{u}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}))$, $\varphi'(u) = \frac{1}{\cos u}$ and $\sinh \varphi(u) = \tan u$. Then, using the above calculations, we easily check that $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$ are locally isometric, and that $S_{3\mathcal{H}_1} = J\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$, $T_{3\mathcal{H}_1} = JT\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$, $\nu_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \nu_{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 4.21.** The conjugate surface of the surface $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ with the opposite orientation is the helicoid $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

**Proposition 4.22.** The conjugate surface of the generalized catenoid $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma}$ is the helicoid $\mathcal{H}_{\beta}$ with $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$ and $\beta, \gamma$ having the same sign.

**Proof.** We set $y_1(u) = \gamma \sinh \chi(u)$ and $y_2(u) = \beta \cosh \varphi(u)$. A computation shows that both $y_1$ and $y_2$ are solutions of the equation

$$(y')^2 = (y^2 + \gamma^2)(y^2 - \beta^2),$$

and hence of the equation

$$y'' = y(2y^2 + \gamma^2 - \beta^2).$$

We have $\chi'(0) = 0$, and so by (131) we have $y_1(0)^2 = \beta^2$ and thus $y_2'(0) = 0$; also, $\varphi(0) = 0$, so $y_2(0) = \beta$ and thus $y_2'(0) = 0$. Moreover, $y_1(0)$ has the sign of $\gamma$, i.e., the sign of $\beta$, so we get $y_1(0) = \beta$. By the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem we conclude that $y_1 = y_2$ (and in particular they have the same domain of definition). From this we deduce using (131) and (13) that $\varphi'(u)^2 = 1 + \chi'(u)^2$, and thus $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma}$, $\mathcal{H}_{\beta}$ are locally isometric, $S_{3\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = J\mathcal{S}_{\gamma}$, and $T_{3\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = JT\mathcal{C}_{\gamma}$. Finally we have $\nu_{\mathcal{G}_{\gamma}} = \frac{y_1'}{y_1^2 + \gamma^2}$ and $\nu_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = \frac{y_2'}{y_2' + \beta\gamma}$, so we get $\nu_{\mathcal{H}_{\beta}} = \nu_{\mathcal{G}_{\gamma}}$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 4.23.** This study shows that there are three types of helicoid conjugates according to the parameter of the screw-motion associated to the helicoid: the first type ones are the catenoids, which are rotational surfaces, the second type one is $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$, which is invariant by a one-parameter family of horizontal parabolic isometries and which corresponds to a critical value of the parameter, the third type ones are the generalized catenoids, which are invariant by a one-parameter family of horizontal hyperbolic isometries.

This phenomenon is very similar to what happens to the conjugate cousins in $\mathbb{H}^3$ of the helicoids in $\mathbb{R}^3$. There exists an isometric correspondence between minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and constant mean curvature one surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3$ called the cousin relation (see [Bry87] and [UY93]). Starting from a helicoid in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we consider its conjugate surface, which is a catenoid in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and then the cousin surface in $\mathbb{H}^3$, which is a catenoid cousin. Catenoid cousins are of three types according to the parameter of the minimal helicoid: some are rotational surfaces, one is invariant by
a one-parameter family of parabolic isometries (and corresponds to a critical value of the parameter), and some are invariant by a one-parameter family of hyperbolic isometries. These surfaces are described in detail in [SET01] and [Ros02a].

**Remark 4.24.** All the above surfaces belong to the Hauswirth family: with the notation of [Hau06], helicoids correspond to \( d = 0, c > 0, c \neq 1 \); catenoids correspond to \( c = 0, d > 1 \); the surface \( C_0 \) corresponds to \( c = 0, d = 1 \); the surfaces \( G_\gamma \) correspond to \( c = 0, d \in (0, 1) \).

**Remark 4.25.** The vertical plane \( \mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \) is globally invariant by conjugation, but the vertical lines and the horizontal geodesics of \( \mathbb{H}^2 \) are exchanged. The horizontal plane \( \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\} \) is pointwise invariant by conjugation (since it satisfies \( S = 0 \) and \( T = 0 \)). This is similar to what happens in \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \).
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