Perceptions, attitudes and barriers to obesity management: Japanese data from the ACTION-IO study
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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: The prevalence of obesity is rising in Japan and represents a considerable unmet medical need. The Awareness, Care and Treatment in Obesity Management – International Observation (ACTION-IO) study was designed to identify the perceptions, attitudes and barriers to obesity care among people with obesity (PwO) and healthcare professionals (HCPs) in Japan.

Materials and Methods: An online, cross-sectional survey was carried out in 11 countries, including Japan.

Results: The survey was completed by 2,001 PwO and 302 HCPs in Japan. Fewer PwO (58%) than HCPs (85%) perceived obesity as a chronic disease. Most PwO (81%) thought that weight loss was their own responsibility, and waited a considerable time before seeking support from their HCP (mean 6 years). Most PwO (64%) had made one or more serious weight loss attempt in the past. In contrast, a serious attempt at losing weight was reported by HCPs for just 21% of their patients. Just 24% of PwO had weight discussions with an HCP in the past 5 years; of those, 56% expressed positive feelings after such a conversation, and just 2% felt offended. Lack of patient motivation (68%) and patient disinterest (61%) were reported by HCPs as barriers to weight management conversations. A higher proportion of obesity specialists (37%) than non-specialists (22%) thought their patients were motivated to lose weight.

Conclusions: Our Japanese dataset shows a need to raise awareness of the pathophysiological basis and clinical management of obesity among PwO and HCPs. The largely positive feelings expressed by PwO after weight loss conversations should encourage HCPs to initiate earlier discussions before obesity-related complications occur.

INTRODUCTION
Obesity is a serious, chronic progressive disease with an increasing prevalence worldwide1,2. Obesity represents a significant public health concern, because it is associated with multiple comorbidities that increase the risk of disability and mortality3–5; it is also thought to be a risk factor for COVID-19-related mortality6,7. Furthermore, individuals with obesity experience a decline in health-related quality of life, and often face stigmatization and discrimination, resulting in low emotional and mental well-being8–10. Like many developed countries, Japan is facing an increase in the incidence of obesity; results from the latest National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan showed that 32.2% of males and 21.9% of females were affected by obesity in 201811. Importantly, a high body mass index (BMI) has been associated with an increased mortality rate in Japan12, and even those with mild obesity tend to suffer from obesity-related complications13.

The Japan Society for the Study of Obesity guidelines define obesity as a disease, and recommend lifestyle interventions, including dietary, exercise and behavioral therapies, as the first lines of treatment for obesity disease14. The Japanese national health insurance system aims to execute annual health checks, and provide guidance to reduce the risk factors and medical
expenses associated with lifestyle-related chronic diseases\textsuperscript{15,16}. The health checks evaluate metabolic risk factors and specifically focus on abdominal obesity\textsuperscript{15,16}. As a part of this initiative, specific health guidance is provided to individuals with obesity or metabolic syndrome or those at high risk of developing a chronic lifestyle-related disease, and includes lifestyle counseling and interventions\textsuperscript{15-17}. Pharmacotherapy might be considered only after these step-by-step treatments have been trialed, and it is only recommended if individuals do not achieve or maintain a definitive target reduction of $\geq 3\%$ bodyweight after 3–6 months and/or if rapid weight loss is required due to the presence of serious comorbidities\textsuperscript{14}. In such cases, people with obesity (PwO) with BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} and visceral fat area $\geq 100$ cm\textsuperscript{2} accompanied by two or more comorbidities or PwO with BMI $\geq 35$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} presenting with one or more comorbidities can initiate pharmacotherapy\textsuperscript{14}. Despite the availability of evidence-based policy guidelines, limited pharmacotherapy options are available in Japan; currently only mazindol is available for use in patients with a BMI of $\geq 35$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{14}. The patient selection criteria for surgical treatment are equally strict\textsuperscript{18}. Bariatric surgery is intended for weight reduction, and might only be suggested for PwO with a BMI of $\geq 35$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} who are non-responsive to pharmacotherapy. In contrast, metabolic surgery is intended to address obesity-related complications, and is only recommended for those with a BMI of $\geq 32$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} presenting with type 2 diabetes or at least two obesity-related comorbidities\textsuperscript{19,20}. As of 2016, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy is the only bariatric surgical procedure covered by the Japanese national health insurance system\textsuperscript{18}.

To provide a comprehensive understanding of the perceptions, attitudes and barriers to obesity care among PwO and health-care professionals (HCPs) in Japan, we analyzed the Japanese data collected in the Awareness, Care and Treatment in Obesity MaNagement – International Observation (ACTION-IO) study.

**METHODS**

Methodology for the ACTION-IO survey has been reported previously\textsuperscript{31}. Briefly, it was a cross-sectional, non-interventional, descriptive study that collected data through an online survey carried out in 11 countries, including Japan. Japanese PwO and HCPs completed the survey in their native language or in English between 4 June 2018 and 26 July 2018.

The two questionnaires were developed by an international steering committee of experts in obesity, including representatives from each participating country and three medical doctors who were employed by Novo Nordisk (study sponsor). Questionnaire items were phrased and presented in the same order for each respondent. Items presented in a list were shown in alphabetical, categorical, chronological or random order, as deemed relevant for each set of responses. The KJT Group (Honeoye Falls, NY, USA) carried out the online survey and managed the collection and analysis of de-identified data. The questionnaires were approved by an ethics/independent review board in Japan. The study was carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices\textsuperscript{22} and the Declaration of Helsinki\textsuperscript{23}, and was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03584191).

Respondents were recruited by e-mail (PwO, HCPs) and by telephone or in-person (HCPs), and completed the survey in Japanese. All respondents were required to provide electronic informed consent before initiation of the screening questions and survey. Five-point end-anchored Likert scales were used to assess agreement, where a rating of 1 meant “do not agree at all”, and a rating of 5 meant “completely agree”. Ratings of 4 or 5 were grouped and reported as “agree”, unless stated otherwise. For the Japanese dataset, a subgroup analysis of obesity specialists versus non-specialists was carried out to evaluate the effect that formal obesity training has on the perceptions, attitudes and behaviors of HCPs toward PwO. An obesity specialist is defined as a qualified HCP who sees $\geq 50\%$ of their patients for the management of obesity or excess weight, and/or has received advanced formal training in obesity treatment beyond medical school, and/or perceives themselves as an obesity expert or works in an obesity service clinic.

To reduce sampling bias, a stratified sampling approach was used for PwO, whereby the outbound samples were sent in accordance with predetermined demographic targets, including sex, age, household income, education and region. To achieve a nationally representative sample, targets were monitored throughout data collection. Before participation, PwO were not informed of the specific study goals, but only that the purpose was “to determine treatment experiences of patients with a specific condition”.

Respondent eligibility was based on predetermined demographic targets and was determined using a series of screening questions. BMI inclusion criteria for Japan differed from the global dataset due to differences in the definitions of obesity classes in Asia\textsuperscript{24}. Class I obesity was defined as BMI 25–29.9 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, and class II obesity as BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{24}. Eligible Japanese PwO were aged $\geq 18$ years, with a current BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2} based on self-reported height and weight. Eligible HCPs were medical practitioners with $\geq 2$ years of practice experience who spend $\geq 70\%$ of their time in direct patient care and who had seen $\geq 100$ patients during the past month, at least 10 of whom had a BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m\textsuperscript{2}. HCPs specializing in general, plastic or bariatric surgery were excluded. Respondents who met these eligibility criteria and other study criteria completed the full survey.

Analysis of de-identified data was carried out by the KJT Group using SPSS (version 23.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), Stata (version IC 14.2; StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) and Excel (version 2016; Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). Results are presented using descriptive statistics (means, medians, frequencies). The final PwO sample, including respondents who were not eligible to take part in the full survey, was subsequently weighted to representative demographic targets.
(age, sex, household income, education and region). HCP data were monitored for specialist types, but were not weighted.

RESULTS
Demographics
In total, 2,001 PwO and 302 HCPs completed the ACTION-IO survey in Japan (Table 1). The response rates for PwO and HCPs were 23% and 58%, respectively; the eligibility rates were 15% and 44%, respectively. The majority of PwO (74%) had class I obesity (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m²), and just 17% had class II obesity (BMI 30–34.9 kg/m²). Overall, 66% of PwO had at least one comorbidity. Of the Japanese HCPs, approximately one-third (36%) considered themselves an obesity specialist.

Perception of obesity as a chronic disease
A similar proportion of PwO (74%) and HCPs (68%) believed that obesity has a large impact on overall health, and that a 5–10% reduction in bodyweight would be extremely beneficial (73% PwO; 88% HCPs; Figure S1a). Despite recognizing that obesity poses a serious health concern, a lower proportion of PwO (58%) perceived obesity as a chronic disease compared to HCPs (85%; Figure S1b).

Attitudes and barriers to weight loss
Most PwO (81%) reported that weight loss was their own responsibility, with only a small proportion (19%) believing that HCPs should also actively contribute (Figure 1, items 1 and 8). Almost half (49%) of HCPs felt that the responsibility to lose weight rested solely on PwO, whereas 58% acknowledged their own responsibility to contribute to their patients’ efforts to lose weight (Figure 1, items 1 and 8). Just 27% of HCPs attested to their patients’ motivation to lose weight, whereas 48% of PwO said they were motivated (Figure 1, item 3). In terms of barriers to weight loss, PwO and HCPs considered lack of exercise (81% PwO; 89% HCPs) and unhealthy eating habits (57% PwO; 93% HCPs) as key contributors. Just 31% of PwO and 56% of HCPs regarded genetic factors as a barrier to losing weight.

Weight loss efforts and outcomes
In total, 64% of PwO reported that they had already made at least one serious weight loss attempt in the past (Figure 2a). However, HCPs perceived that, on mean average, just 21% of their patients had made a serious effort to lose weight (Figure 2b); of these, just 30% were considered by HCPs as responding successfully. The majority of PwO intended to lose weight, with just 28% reporting that they had no weight loss plans within the next 6 months when asked to describe their concerns with weight (Figure 2c). Furthermore, close to half of PwO (44%) thought that if they really put their mind to it, they could lose weight (Figure 1, item 4). Few PwO (31%) reported a weight loss of at least 5% bodyweight over the past 3 years, and of those, just 10% were able to maintain the weight loss for ≥1 year (3.1% of all PwO in total).

Weight management discussions
Fewer than one-quarter (24%) of PwO had weight management discussions with an HCP in the past 5 years (Figure 3a). Furthermore, of the PwO who discussed weight with an HCP, 33% reported that they had initiated the conversation themselves. During these conversations, 23% of PwO discussed excess weight and 19% discussed losing weight with their HCP; interestingly, just 9% had discussed weight loss plans with an

Table 1 | Sample demographics and characteristics

|                          | PwO (n = 2,001) | HCPs (n = 302) |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mean age, years (range)  | 50 (18–87)     | 52 (29–81)     |
| Sex, n (%)               |                |                |
| Male                     | 1,090 (54)     | 272 (90)       |
| Female                   | 910 (45)       | 30 (10)        |
| Other                    | 1 (<1)         | 0 (0)          |
| BMI classification, n (%)|                |                |
| 0                        | 681 (34)       | –              |
| 1                        | 534 (27)       | –              |
| 2                        | 355 (18)       | –              |
| 3                        | 251 (13)       | –              |
| ≥4                       | 180 (9)        | –              |
| HCP category, n (%)      |                |                |
| PCP                      | –              | 150 (50)       |
| Specialist               | –              | 152 (50)       |
| Cardiologist             | –              | 38 (13)        |
| Gastroenterologist       | –              | 36 (12)        |
| Diabetologist            | –              | 31 (10)        |
| Internal medicine (non-PCP) | –            | 23 (8)        |
| Other                    | –              | 17 (6)         |
| Endocrinologist          | –              | 6 (2)          |
| Bariatrics/obesity medicine‡ | –          | 1 (<1)        |
| Obesity specialist§      | –              | 108 (36)       |
| Yes                      | –              | 194 (64)       |

For people with obesity (PwO), all numbers (n) and demographic percentage values (age, sex) were unweighted, whereas all non-demographic percentage values were weighted. Healthcare professional (HCP) data, including all numbers (n) and percentage values, were not weighted. PCP, primary care physician. *Of the 302 HCPs, 48 declined to provide their height and/or weight measurements, so body mass index (BMI) classification was not determined for these respondents. ‡Bariatric surgeons were ineligible per protocol-prespecified criteria. §A qualified HCP who sees ≥50% of their patients for the management of obesity or excess weight, and/or has received advanced formal training in obesity treatment beyond medical school, and/or perceives themselves as an obesity expert or works in an obesity service clinic.
Attitudes toward obesity

| Statement                                                                 | Percentage of respondents in agreement with statement (rated 4 or 5) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1a. My weight loss is completely my responsibility                        | 81                                                                   |
| 1b. My patients’ weight loss is completely their responsibility           | 49                                                                   |
| 2a. For me to lose weight, I would need to completely change my lifestyle  | 67                                                                   |
| 2b. For my patients to lose weight, they would need to completely change their lifestyles | 82                                                                   |
| 3a. I am motivated to lose weight                                          | 48                                                                   |
| 3b. My patients are motivated to lose weight                              | 27                                                                   |
| 4a. I could lose weight if I really set my mind to it                      | 63                                                                   |
| 4b. My patients could lose weight if they set their mind to it             | 63                                                                   |
| 5a. I know how to lose weight                                              | 34                                                                   |
| 5b. My patients know what they need to do to lose weight                  | 29                                                                   |
| 6a. I do not feel comfortable bringing up my weight unless my HCP mentions it first | 26                                                                   |
| 6b. I do not feel comfortable bringing up my patients’ weight unless they mention it first | 15                                                                   |
| 7a. I know how to keep the weight off                                     | 22                                                                   |
| 7b. My patients know how to keep the weight off                           | 15                                                                   |
| 8a. My HCP has a responsibility to actively contribute to a successful weight loss effort | 19                                                                   |
| 8b. I have a responsibility to actively contribute to my patients’ weight loss effort | 58                                                                   |
| 9a. There is nothing my doctor can do to help me manage my weight         | 17                                                                   |
| 9b. There is nothing I can do to help patients manage their weight        | 2                                                                    |
| 10a. I am past the point where I can lose weight on my own                | 16                                                                   |
| 10b. My patients are past the point where they can lose weight on their own | 33                                                                   |
| 11a. If I lost weight, it would be easy for me to keep the weight off      | 13                                                                   |
| 11b. If my patients lost weight, it would be easy for them to keep the weight off | 11                                                                   |
| 12a. Obesity is less important to me than other diseases                  | 13                                                                   |
| 12b. Obesity is less important to me than many of the other diseases I treat | 8                                                                    |
| 13a. It is easy for me to lose weight                                     | 8                                                                    |
| 13b. It is easy for my patients to lose weight                            | 9                                                                    |
| 14a. I am happy with my current weight                                    | 4                                                                    |
| 14b. My patients are happy with their current weight                     | 6                                                                    |

Figure 1 | People with obesity (PwO) and healthcare professionals’ (HCPs) attitudes toward weight loss in Japan. Rated on a scale of 1–5, where 1 means “do not agree at all” and 5 means “completely agree”. Agreement was defined as a rating of 4 or 5. Black, PwO; dark gray, HCPs.
Figure 2 | Weight loss efforts and level of concern regarding weight in Japanese people with obesity (PwO). (a) Number of serious attempts made in the past to lose weight, as reported by PwO. (b) Proportion of PwO considered to have made a serious past effort to lose weight, as reported by healthcare professionals (HCPs). (c) Level of concern with weight and weight loss plans among PwO at the time of completing the survey, as evaluated from agreement with the selected statements.
HCP in the past 6 months (Figure 3b). Of the PwO who had discussed weight with an HCP, there was a mean time delay of 6 years between starting to struggle with their weight and when they first discussed their weight with an HCP (Figure 3c). HCPs reported that weight loss conversations were had with 57% of their patients, and that 36% of patients had initiated these discussions.

Most PwO (73%) who had discussed their weight with an HCP reported receiving a formal obesity diagnosis (18% of all PwO in total; Figure 3a). In contrast, HCPs reported telling 62% of PwO that they have a diagnosis of obesity; 13% of HCPs reported never informing their patients about the diagnosis. PwO were willing to attend follow-up appointments after their weight management discussions; nearly all PwO (97%) reported that they went or were planning to go to these appointments, if scheduled. However, among PwO who had discussions about their weight with HCPs, just 15% were scheduled a follow-up appointment or call after their last visit (4% of all PwO in total; Figure 3a). HCPs reported scheduling follow-up appointments for 31% of their patients, of whom 59% reportedly attended these appointments all or most of the time.

When assessing their weight management discussions with HCPs, most PwO (71%) liked that their HCP had brought up the subject of weight during appointments. However, few PwO (23%) found these conversations very or extremely helpful. For PwO who did not discuss their weight with an HCP, fewer than half (43%) stated they would like their HCPs to raise the subject (Figure 3d). After weight management discussions, 56% of PwO expressed positive feelings; slightly fewer PwO (48%) expressed negative feelings after such a conversation (Figure S2). Just 2% of PwO felt offended (Figure S2).

**Barriers to weight loss conversations**

The top reason cited by PwO for not discussing their weight with an HCP was the assumption that losing weight was completely their own responsibility (PwO 48%, HCPs 9%; Figure 4). In contrast, HCPs reported lack of patient motivation (HCPs 68%, PwO 13%) and patient disinterest (HCPs 61%, PwO 7%) as the top reasons for not discussing weight with their patients (Figure 4). More than half (57%) of HCPs also considered limited appointment time as a contributing factor to not having weight loss conversations with their patients, as they felt rushed (Figure 4).

Just 10% of all HCPs felt very or extremely comfortable during weight management conversations with their patients (Figure 5a). Interestingly, the level of comfort among HCPs did not appear to differ between obesity specialists and non-specialists, with 10% of obesity specialists or non-specialists finding weight loss conversations very or extremely comfortable (Figure 5a). In contrast, 22% of obesity specialists and 26% of non-specialists were not at all comfortable discussing weight with their patients (Figure 5a). In accordance with these findings, weight management conversations were perceived as being very helpful or extremely helpful by just 11% of all HCPs (Figure 5b). Notably, a higher percentage of obesity specialists (15%) perceived these conversations as helpful, when compared with non-specialists (8%; Figure 5b).

Differences in attitudes toward weight loss were also observed between obesity specialists and non-specialists (Figure 5c). Many obesity specialists (74%) believed that they have a responsibility to actively contribute to their patients’ weight loss, whereas fewer non-specialists (49%) agreed with this statement (Figure 5c). In turn, a higher proportion of non-specialists (53%) believed that their patients are responsible for their own weight loss, when compared with obesity specialists (42%; Figure 5c). Additionally, a higher proportion of obesity specialists (37%) than non-specialists (22%) felt that their patients were motivated to lose weight (Figure 5c).

**Weight management strategies**

Elimination diets (PwO 32%, HCPs 26%), general improvements in eating habits (PwO 26%, HCPs 42%) and increasing physical activity levels (PwO 24%, HCPs 33%) were the weight management methods most frequently discussed with or recommended by HCPs (Figure 6a). Specific diet or exercise programs, tracking, weight loss medications, bariatric surgery and referrals to specialists were not frequently discussed or recommended by HCPs during conversations about weight (Figure 6a). Most PwO and HCPs regarded general improvements in eating habits (PwO 77%, HCPs 66%) and physical activity levels (PwO 79%, HCPs 46%) as the most effective weight management methods (Figure 6b). Very few HCPs acknowledged the effectiveness of weight loss treatments, including over-the-counter (2%) and prescription (9%) weight loss medications, and bariatric surgery (9%; Figure 6b). Visiting an obesity specialist (14%), behavioral therapy (18%) and specific diet or exercise programs (18% each) were also considered by HCPs to be less effective weight management methods (Figure 6b).

**DISCUSSION**

Results from the Japanese cohort of the ACTION-IO study have identified several differences in the perceptions and attitudes toward obesity and its management among PwO and HCPs. Although the vast majority of respondents recognized that obesity has a large impact on overall health, fewer PwO (58%) than HCPs (85%) perceived obesity as a chronic disease. When compared with the other published ACTION-IO cohorts, fewer PwO in Japan perceived obesity as a chronic disease (68% global cohort21, 78% South Korean cohort25 and 62% Italian cohort26), whereas the proportion of HCPs was about the same (88% global cohort21, 81% South Korean cohort25 and 91% Italian cohort26). The misconception by PwO in Japan regarding the disease status of obesity might explain why many PwO (81%) felt that weight loss was their own responsibility. Notably, <20% of PwO believed that HCPs have a role in contributing to their weight management. This is almost identical to the global, South Korean and Italian
Figure 3 | Weight management conversations among Japanese people with obesity (PwO) and healthcare professionals (HCPs). (a) Proportion of Japanese PwO discussing excess weight or losing weight with their HCP, receiving an obesity diagnosis and having follow-up appointments or calls scheduled. (b) Proportion of PwO who reported discussing excess weight or losing weight with their HCP in the past 5 years or weight loss plans with an HCP in the past 6 months. (c) Proportion of PwO who discussed their weight with an HCP less than 2 years, 3–5 years, 6–10 years or >10 years after they first started struggling with their weight. (d) Proportion of PwO who prefer that their HCP raises the subject of weight during appointments.
Figure 4 | Top five reasons provided by Japanese people with obesity (PwO; black) and healthcare professionals (HCPs; dark gray) for not initiating conversations about weight with their HCP or patient, respectively. Reasons with at least 10% difference between HCPs and PwO are shown above the dashed line, all other reasons are shown below the dashed line.
Figure 5  |  Perceptions and attitudes toward weight management discussions and weight loss among Japanese healthcare professionals (HCPs). (a) Level of comfort with weight management discussions among all HCPs, obesity specialists and non-specialists. (b) Perceived helpfulness of weight management discussions among all HCPs, obesity specialists and non-specialists. (c) Attitudes toward weight loss among all HCPs, obesity specialists and non-specialists, rated on a scale of 1–5. An obesity specialist is defined as a qualified HCP who sees ≥50% of their patients for the management of obesity or excess weight, and/or has received advanced formal training in obesity treatment beyond medical school, and/or perceives themselves as an obesity expert or works in an obesity service clinic.
Figure 6 | Weight management methods discussed/recommended and perceived as being effective by Japanese people with obesity (PwO) and healthcare professionals (HCPs). (a) Weight management methods discussed with an HCP (reported by PwO) and recommended by HCPs. (b) Weight management methods perceived as effective by PwO and HCPs. Only PwO who had ever tried the weight management method were asked about whether they found it to be effective for weight loss. Black, PwO; dark gray, HCPs.

PwO, discussed with HCP and made weight loss effort, n = 1,297; HCP, discusses weight management with patients, n = 297; PwO Q210A; HCPs Q128; ‘Other’ = 3% PwO, 1% HCPs; ‘none of the above’ = 33% PwO, 2% HCPs
datasets, in which 81%, 81% and 84% of PwO thought that weight loss was their sole responsibility, and just 26%, 36% and 24% believed that HCPs had a duty to support their weight loss efforts, respectively\textsuperscript{21,25,26}. Although PwO and HCPs perceived unhealthy eating habits and lack of exercise as key barriers to weight loss, fewer respondents acknowledged the genetic components underlying obesity disease as a weight loss barrier. Despite nearly half of PwO (48%) expressing their motivation to lose weight, and most having already made at least one serious weight loss attempt in the past, just 27% of HCPs acknowledged their patients’ motivation for weight loss. This lack of appreciation by HCPs might demotivate and discourage PwO from having honest, open discussions regarding their weight. When taken together, these findings show the need to raise awareness of the genetic and pathophysiological mechanisms that contribute to obesity to mitigate feelings of self-blame among Japanese PwO and other barriers to effective obesity care. In addition, HCPs are encouraged to adopt a positive and engaging attitude toward their patients’ weight loss efforts to facilitate PwO–HCP communication.

Misalignment regarding weight loss efforts made by Japanese PwO was also evident in the present study. Nearly half of all PwO believed that they could lose weight if they set their mind to it; however, the vast majority struggled with losing weight and maintaining weight loss, suggesting that they have a limited response on their own. Considerably fewer Japanese PwO (24%) had discussed their weight with an HCP in the past 5 years, when compared with the global dataset (54% of PwO\textsuperscript{21}) and the Italian dataset (64% of PwO\textsuperscript{26}); however, it was only slightly fewer compared with the South Korean dataset (31% of PwO\textsuperscript{25}). The lack of awareness among Japanese PwO regarding the genetic and biological basis of obesity disease, and feelings of self-blame might be preventing patients from discussing weight management with their HCP. Indeed, there was a mean time gap of 6 years between when PwO started to struggle with weight and when they first discussed their weight with an HCP; this was identical to the mean time gap reported for the global and Italian cohorts\textsuperscript{21,26}, whereas the mean time gap in the South Korean cohort was shorter at 1 year\textsuperscript{25}. Reducing this time gap by initiating earlier weight management discussions might be an effective strategy for reducing obesity and associated health conditions, and lessening the economic burden of the disease. The need for HCPs to proactively raise the topic before obesity-related complications occur is further emphasized by the finding that most Japanese PwO selected reducing the risks or health conditions associated with excess weight as their top weight management goal.

Of the PwO who discussed weight with an HCP, just 33% reported initiating the conversation themselves. Furthermore, nearly 60% of PwO (57% vs 35% globally\textsuperscript{21}, 26% in Italy\textsuperscript{26}, 55% in South Korea\textsuperscript{25}) who had not previously discussed their weight with an HCP reported that they would not like their HCP to bring up the topic during appointments. For Japanese PwO, the main reason provided for not discussing weight with an HCP was their belief that losing weight is their own responsibility. These data suggest that Japanese PwO might be reluctant to initiate or participate in weight management discussions during appointments, which once again underscores the need for widespread disease awareness to encourage PwO to seek help from an HCP and to facilitate open discussions. Multiple studies have reported obesity stigmatization by HCPs\textsuperscript{9,10,27}, which might be an additional contributing factor. In contrast, the present results suggest that many PwO who discussed their weight with an HCP (71%) liked that their HCP had raised the topic of weight during their appointments, and they mostly reported positive feelings after such a conversation. This positive attitude expressed by PwO should encourage Japanese HCPs to discuss the subject of weight during appointments with their patients.

In contrast with the PwO findings, Japanese HCPs cited lack of patient motivation and patient disinterest in losing weight as the main reasons preventing them from initiating weight management conversations with their patients. More than half of HCPs also regarded limited appointment time as a restricting factor for engaging in weight loss conversations. Effective implementation of current obesity guidelines and health policies in Japan, including nationwide health checks and counseling, might help remove these barriers. Furthermore, adopting a collaborative approach to obesity care, involving HCPs, nurses and paramedics certified by the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity and other medical associations, might facilitate the clinical management of obesity and help address the needs of Japanese PwO.

Compared with the global, South Korean and Italian datasets, fewer Japanese HCPs acknowledged their responsibility to contribute to their patients’ weight loss efforts (80% global\textsuperscript{21}, 79% South Korea\textsuperscript{25} and 76% Italy\textsuperscript{26} vs 58% Japan). Similarly, a lower proportion of Japanese HCPs felt very or extremely comfortable during weight management conversations (10% vs 54% globally [ACTION-IO study steering committee, personal communication], 52% Italy\textsuperscript{26} and 29% South Korea\textsuperscript{25}), and few considered these conversations to be helpful (11% vs 42% globally [ACTION-IO study steering committee, personal communication] and 41% South Korea\textsuperscript{25}). Cultural differences might help explain the misalignment between the global or South Korean and Japanese datasets with regard to the level of comfort during weight management discussions; in our experience, Japanese HCPs might hesitate to raise the issue of excess weight or obesity, out of politeness and respect for their patients.

Similar to the global, Italian and South Korean studies, both PwO and HCPs perceived general improvements in eating habits and physical activity levels as effective weight management methods\textsuperscript{21,25,26}. This is perhaps unsurprising, given that comprehensive education regarding weight management is provided to Japanese residents to encourage better dietary and exercise habits, and to improve mental health\textsuperscript{17}. However, a smaller proportion of Japanese HCPs, relative to the global, Italian and South Korean datasets, considered treatments, such
as weight loss medication (9% Japan vs 30% global\textsuperscript{21}, 56% South Korea\textsuperscript{22} and 14% Italy\textsuperscript{26}) or bariatric surgery (9% Japan vs 38% global\textsuperscript{21}, 23% South Korea\textsuperscript{22}, and 37% Italy\textsuperscript{26}), as effective weight management options, which might indicate a need to expand the knowledge regarding the latest data on weight management strategies\textsuperscript{21}. A limited number of pharmacotherapy options are available in Japan, which might also impact on HCPs’ perceptions of evidence-based treatments. The fact that a higher proportion of obesity specialists acknowledged their patients’ motivation to lose weight and believed that they have a responsibility to actively contribute to their patients’ weight loss, when compared with non-specialists, further emphasizes the importance of expanding the knowledge of Japanese HCPs regarding the clinical management of obesity. Additionally, both PwO and HCPs should be more proactive about discussing obesity during appointments, and HCPs should also increase the frequency of follow-up appointments and referrals to specialists to ensure that the most suitable treatment strategies are implemented as early as possible.

The strengths of the present study include the large number of respondents and scientific rigor in the design and implementation of the survey, including the stratified sampling approach used to achieve a nationally representative cohort. The limitations of this study include its exploratory and descriptive design, the reliance on self-reported height and weight, the accuracy of respondent recall, and the low response rates, which are typical for survey-based research.

Overall, the present data suggest that although fewer Japanese PwO recognize obesity as a chronic disease, they typically assume full responsibility for their own weight loss and place little importance on the role of Japanese HCPs, instead opting to self-manage their disease. The misperception that PwO are disinterested in or not motivated enough to lose weight prevented HCPs from initiating timely weight management conversations with PwO due to inherent bias. However, that the PwO expressed self-motivation for weight loss and their largely positive feelings after weight management conversations showed that HCPs could initiate earlier weight loss discussions without fear of causing offence and before obesity-related complications occur. The results from the present study also show a need to enhance HCP knowledge about the physiology, causes and treatment of obesity.
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**Figure S1** | PwO and HCP perceptions about obesity and weight management in Japan.

**Figure S2** | PwO outcomes following recent weight management conversations with their HCP in Japan.