Investigating Physical Exercise among Jordanians with Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

This study is aimed to investigate exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) among Jordanian diabetic patients, and their correlation with their physical characteristics and perceived exercise benefits and barriers, exercise self-efficacy, and exercise planning. An exploratory descriptive design was utilized using the cross-sectional survey with self-reported questionnaires (Demographics, Charlson Comorbidity Index, Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale, Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale, and Commitment to a Plan for Exercise Scale). A convenience sample of 115 Jordanians with diabetes mellitus was recruited from diabetes outpatient clinics. Participants reported an average number of 3.2 physical activities per week (average of 2.9 hours), with walking being the most common activity. Participant's body mass index, comorbidity index, and exercise self-efficacy were correlated with both frequency and duration of exercise \( r = -0.393, -0.286, 0.219 \) and \( -0.272, 0.383, 0.260 \), respectively. A predictive model of five predictors (age, BMI, CCI, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers) that significantly predicted exercise duration \( R^2 = 0.34, F = 9.14, P < 0.000 \) was found. Diabetic patients were found to exercise less than optimum. Illness itself was not a cause of not exercising compared to lack of time and desire. Factors that can enhance or inhibit participants' engagement in exercise should be included in designing tailored exercise educational programs.
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1. Introduction

This Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a stressful chronic disease that is prevalent worldwide with a total number of 371 million adult diabetics in addition to 187 million undiagnosed cases, killing 4.8 million patients, and costing $471.6 billion annually [1]. These numbers are expected to increase by 2030 to affect 439 million, with the speed of 69% affecting new diabetics in the developing countries, which is higher than that expected (20%) in the developed countries [2]. Such difference could be attributed to the limited resources in the developing countries where healthcare utilization is vastly affected by the cost of care, inadequate hospital bed capacity, and low socioeconomic status [3].

According to the International Diabetes Federation [1], 80% of people with diabetes live in low- and middle-income countries. Jordan, as a developing country, has a relatively high diabetes prevalence rate that was reported to be 11.62%, with approximately 2740 deaths annually. This prevalence rate is above the global average (8.3%), above the regional rate of Middle East and North Africa (10.9%), and above those reported in many countries in the region comparable to Jordan such as Iraq (9.71%), Syria (9.63%), and Turkey (7.91%). In addition, a national study found that the age-standardized prevalence of diabetes in Jordan has increased from 13% to 17.1% over 10 years (1994-2004) [4], which indicates that more Jordanians are becoming diabetics at a higher rate.

Jordanian diabetics, like diabetics worldwide, have suffered from many of diabetes-related complications. Such complications include low quality of life [5], high depression rate [6], elevated rates of developing diabetic foot syndrome [7] and diabetic retinopathy [8], and higher rates of sexual dysfunction among men [9] and women [10]. Finally, DM was found to be the leading cause of developing end-stage renal disease necessitating hemodialysis in Jordan [11].

In addition to being a developing country with limited healthcare resources, many factors have potentiated the above mentioned high DM prevalence in Jordan. Such factors include high rate of obesity and physical inactivity [12], elevated lipid profile [13], in addition to the demographic and socio-cultural changes (e.g., aging of the population) that increased the environmental risk factors for diabetes [11].

It is known that DM, as a chronic disease, has no cure. Thus, diabetes management focuses more on controlling blood sugar (medication, diet, and exercise) and strategies to prevent its chronic complications. This absence of cure produces, along with complicated treatment, a state of poor functional and psychosocial status among patients. Accordingly, one strategy that can aid in achieving cost-effective treatment and healthcare utilization by the patient is to teach them self-care behaviors such as physical exercise, which may optimize their treatment and outcomes. Physical exercise is a healthy behavior that has the potential to prevent musculoskeletal disorders and to decrease the risk of developing complications for many chronic illnesses [14] [15].

Even though heavy exercise is contraindicated for diabetic patients as it can precipitate hypoglycemia and death, moderate regular exercises are of great benefit for them both physically and mentally. For instance, a 12-month exercise program was efficient in decreasing diabetic patients’ body mass index (BMI), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C) readings, and emotional distress [16]. On the other hand, physical inactivity is a chief problem that can complicate health outcomes of patients with chronic diseases including DM [17] [18].

Although exercise has many advantages for diabetic patients, they were found to exercise significantly less than healthy people. Al-Amer et al. [6] and Khattab, Khader, Al-Khawaldeh, & Ajlouni [19] reported that only 16.4% and 29.9% (respectively) of diabetics in their studies engaged in at least 30 minutes of physical exercise. Knowing such tendency among patients with chronic illnesses (including diabetics) to exercise less than optimum should alarm healthcare providers to integrate exercise among their care plans [20].

Among the factors that may affect patients’ adherence to self-care practices, including exercise, are their physical characteristics, mainly BMI, and their health status especially having other comorbidities. For example, a significant negative correlation was reported between patients’ BMI and their glycemic control among diabetic patients [19] and with their exercise activity among patients with arthritis [21]. Also, a significant positive correlation between having comorbidities and fluid and diet nonadherence was found among patients with renal failure [22].

Other factors include patients’ perception of exercise self-efficacy (confidence in one’s ability to perform healthy behaviors in against barriers), exercise benefits and barriers regard their clinical outcomes, and exercise planning [23]. This perception is a significant aspect of the Health Promotion Model that explains health promoting behaviors through causal mechanisms [24]. According to Shin, Hur, Pender, Jang, and Kim [25], per-
ceiving more exercise benefits and less barriers, along with better exercise self-efficacy, can positively promote the commencement and performance of exercise behaviors among patients with chronic illnesses. Also, among Jordanian patients with myocardial infarction, a significant relationship was found between exercise participation and health belief variables [26].

In Jordan, Al-Hassan and Wierenga [27] found that less than 50% of the Jordanian population to perform mild physical exercise. Even Jordanian adolescents were found to be physically inactive [28]. Thus, it is expected that patients with chronic illnesses, including DM, to perceive exercise as a greater challenge compared with the normal population because of their physical limitations. The literature of diabetes in Jordan was interested in diabetes prevalence, complications, and medical treatment. However, the studies that focus on the health promotion behaviors among Jordanian diabetics, such as exercise, are scarce, with no study is found to assess exercise behaviors among Jordanian DM patients.

Therefore, this study came to fill out a gap in this regard so that future studies can build on its outcomes in terms of identifying Jordanian DM patients’ exercise behaviors based on the knowledge generated through this study. The aims of this study are to 1) describe exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) among Jordanian diabetic patients; 2) investigate the correlation between exercise behaviors with their physical characteristics (BMI and comorbidities) and perceived exercise benefits and barriers, exercise self-efficacy, and exercise planning; 3) test the differences in exercise behaviors based on their demographics; and 4) explore the predictors of their exercise duration.

2. Methodology

Design: An exploratory descriptive design was utilized using the cross-sectional survey with self-reported questionnaires.

Setting: Data were collected from Jordanian patients diagnosed with DM (both types) at diabetes outpatient clinics of four hospitals representing Jordanian main healthcare sectors including governmental, teaching, and private sectors. The hospitals were randomly selected from the lists of hospitals in each sector.

Sampling: The study used a convenience sample of Jordanian adult diabetic patients. To be included in the study, participant had to be: 1) at least 18 years old, 2) have been diagnosed with DM, 3) able to read and write in Arabic, and 4) accepts participation. On the other hand, exclusion criteria included patients with severe mental, physical, or cognitive deterioration. One hundred fifty diabetic patients met the eligibility criteria and accepted participation, but only 115 returned the filled out questionnaires giving a response rate of 76.7%.

Data collection: The ethical approval was sought before starting the data collection from the Scientific Research Committees at the Faculty of Nursing-the University of Jordan and the participating hospitals. The heads of the participating units were met, and information regarding the study purpose and procedure of data collection were provided to them, and they were asked to facilitate data collection in their units. Then, trained data collectors screened patients for eligibility, and invited those who met the inclusion criteria for voluntary participation. Those who accepted participation were asked to read the cover letter telling them the purpose of the study along with their rights. Participants were asked either to use the assigned private room for filling out the questionnaires or to take the package home and return it to the head of the unit in a closed envelope. The data collectors were available during filling out the questionnaires to illustrate vague or unclear items. The time needed to finish filling out the questionnaire was approximately 25 - 30 minutes.

Ethical considerations: Participants’ right to anonymity and privacy were assured throughout the process of data collection. Participants were given a cover letter to illustrate the study purpose and the rights of participants. However, returning the filled out questionnaire was considered as an implied consent. Each participant was informed that participation was voluntary with no effects for their decision on their medical treatment, and that he/she could withdraw from the study without penalties.

Instruments: The study package had five parts. The first part asked participants to report their demographic characteristics including their age, gender, weight, height, marital status, level of education, smoking status, type of insurance, type of employment, and comorbidities measured by Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [29]. The second part asked participants concerning their exercise behaviors by requesting them to report the number, duration (in hours), and types of exercise activities they perform per week. Also, this part contained three yes/no questions regarding the reasons of not participating in exercise activities.

The third part uses the Exercise Benefits and Barriers Scale (EBBS), which involves 43 items (29 benefits and
14 barriers of exercise) that uses a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 “strongly disagree” to 4 “Strongly agree”. The benefits (range 29 - 116) and barriers (range 14 - 56) subscales will be separately used. The internal consistency reliability of the EBBS was established with a good Cronbach’s alpha 0.95 for the benefits subscale and 0.86 for the barriers subscale [30].

The fourth part evaluated participants’ exercise self-efficacy using Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale (ESE). On a 10-unit interval ranging from 0% “cannot do” to 100% “certain can do”, the ESE asks participants to rate their confidence of regularly doing 18 exercise routines [23]. The average of the 18 items was considered, with the higher average scores indicating that participants have higher confidence in their abilities to perform exercise. The fifth part asks participants to rate their frequency of doing 20 routines concerning exercise plan using the Commitment to a Plan for Exercise Scale [23]. This scale uses 3-item Likert scale ranging from 1 “Never” to 3 “Often” with a range between 20 - 60, where the greater figures indicate more commitment to a plan for exercise. Shin et al. (2006) utilized the EBBS, ESE, and the Commitment to a Plan for Exercise Scale among patients with osteoporosis and osteoarthritis and reported that Cronbach’s alpha was 0.97 for the benefits subscale, 0.89 for the barriers subscale, 0.97 for the ESE, and 0.87 for the exercise commitment scale.

The original questionnaires were translated into the Arabic language using the standard translation and back-translation protocol [31]. Critical problems in backward translation were considered after a pilot testing in the original study, which was carried out to assure clarity and understandability of the instrument prior to introducing it to the participants, along with assessing feasibility of the study.

Data analysis: Data were analyzed using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS -version 17.0), using $\alpha = 0.05$ (two-tailed). Descriptive statistics were utilized to describe participants’ demographic characteristics and variables of the study. In addition, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to test differences in frequency of exercise and exercise duration based on demographic variables except gender (T-test for independent groups was used). Also, Pearson correlation test was used to test the correlation of exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) with continuous demographics and perceived exercise benefits and barriers, exercise self-efficacy, and exercise planning. Finally, Stepwise linear regression analysis was used to identify predictors of exercise duration.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics

A total of 115 patients returned their questionnaires. Participants’ age ranged from 19 - 80 years (M = 43.2, SD = 17.1), and comorbidity index ranged from 1 - 3 (M = 1.04, SD = 0.7). Regarding their physical characteristics, the average weight was 75.8 Kg (range 45 - 120) with an average BMI of 26.8 (range 17.9 - 38.1). The majority of the sample was found to be male (63.53%), married (52.2%), educated with less than secondary school (32.1%), full-time employees (32.5%), working sedentary works such as teaching and driving (43.5%) or unemployed (14.8%) including housewives, with a monthly income less than $700 (63.5%), covered with governmental health insurance (43.5%). Regarding their smoking history, only 36.5% of the participants were smokers, with an average of 5.5 years of smoking (range 2 - 5), and 2.1 pack per day (range 1 - 5).

When asked about their physical exercise, participants reported an average number of 3.2 physical activities per week, and an average period of 2.9 hours of physical activity per week. The most common type of physical exercise was walking (45.1%) while the least was performing ball sports (6.2%). Regarding the reasons for not practicing physical exercise, the most common reason was having no desire (32.2%), while having the illness was the least common (12.2%). Regarding the main study variables, participants reported moderate exercise self-efficacy (M = 46.9, SD = 11.7), perception of exercise benefits (M = 2.3, SD = 0.3) and barriers (M = 2.4, SD = 0.3), and exercise planning (M = 1.9, SD = 0.3). Detailed participants’ responses regarding physical activity are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Correlates of Exercise Behaviors

To achieve the second research aim regarding the relationship between exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) with their physical characteristics (age, BMI, CCI) and perceived exercise variables (exercise benefits and barriers, self-efficacy, and planning), Pearson correlation coefficient test was performed (Table 2). Data revealed significant ($P < 0.05$) correlations between the aforementioned variables, which emphasizes the importance of understanding exercise related factors. For instance, participant’s BMI, CCI, and exercise self-efficacy
Table 1. Description of exercise behaviors and perceived exercise variables (N = 115).

| Variables              | Range   | Mean (SD) | % (n)   |
|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Type of activity       |         |           |         |
| Walking                | 45.1 (51) |   |         |
| Running                | 16.8 (19) |   |         |
| Physical fitness       | 12.4 (14) |   |         |
| Ball sports            | 6.2 (7)  |   |         |
| Others                 | 20.5 (22) |   |         |
| Reason for not exercising |       |           |         |
| No desire              | 32.2 (37) |   |         |
| No time                | 25.2 (29)  |   |         |
| Illness (DM)           | 12.2 (14) |   |         |
| No answer              | 30.4 (35)  |   |         |
| Exercise Self Efficacy | 20 - 87  | 46.9 (11.7) |         |
| Exercise Benefits      | 1.3 - 2.9 | 2.3 (0.3)  |         |
| Exercise Barriers      | 2 - 3    | 2.4 (0.3)  |         |
| Exercise Planning      | 1.2 - 2.5 | 1.9 (0.3)  |         |
| Frequency of exercise per week | 0 - 8 | 3.2 times (2.5) |         |
| Duration of exercise per week | 0 - 15 | 2.9 hours (3.3) |         |

Table 2. Pearson Correlations of exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) with their physical characteristics and perceived exercise variables.

|       | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9   |
|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| 1. Age| 1.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |
| 2. BMI| 0.053 | 1.00 |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |
| 3. CCI| 0.191* | 0.134 | 1.00 |    |    |    |    |    |     |
| 4. Exercise Self Efficacy | 0.089 | −0.026 | −0.050 | 1.00 |    |    |    |    |     |
| 5. Exercise Benefits | 0.208* | −0.080 | 0.044 | 0.212* | 1.00 |    |    |    |     |
| 6. Exercise Barriers | 0.201* | 0.034 | 0.020 | −0.011 | −0.081 | 1.00 |    |    |     |
| 7. Exercise Planning | −0.042 | −0.297** | −0.140 | 0.141 | 0.087 | 0.178 | 1.00 |    |     |
| 8. Frequency of exercise per week | −0.169 | −0.393** | −0.286** | 0.219* | −0.031 | −0.171 | 0.140 | 1.00 |     |
| 9. Duration of exercise per week | 0.285** | −0.272** | −0.383** | 0.260** | −0.054 | −0.292** | 0.091 | 0.677** | 1.00 |

**Correlation is significant at α = 0.01 (2-tailed), *Correlation is significant at α = 0.05 (2-tailed).

were correlated with both frequency and duration of exercise (r = −0.393, −0.286, 0.219 and −0.272, 0.383, 0.260, respectively). On the other hand, duration of exercise had more correlations (five variables) compared to frequency of exercise (only three variables), with higher correlation for BMI with frequency of exercise (r = −0.393) and for CCI with duration of exercise (r = −0.383) compared to other variables. Interestingly, there was no correlation for exercise self-efficacy with age, BMI, and CCI, however, it had significant relationships with both frequency and duration of exercise (r = 0.219 and 0.260, respectively). To test differences in exercise behaviors (frequency and duration) based on participants’ demographics (aim No. 3), Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and T-test for independent groups (for gender) were used. Results of both tests revealed no differences in both frequency and duration of exercise between various categories of patients’ demographics.

3.3. Predictors of Exercise Duration among Diabetics

This section answers the third research question in this study regarding the predictors of exercise duration among diabetics. Stepwise Linear regression analysis was used to estimate the probability of recorded variables including exercise self-efficacy, perceived benefit and barriers, and planning, in addition to significant sample
characteristics namely age, BMI, and CCI. Seven variables were entered in the analysis, which consisted of 4 steps model with no missing cases on an entry level of $\alpha = 0.05$ and removal at 0.1. As shown in Table 3, results revealed a predictive model of five predictors (age, BMI, CCI, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers) that significantly predicted exercise duration ($R^2 = 0.34$, $F = 9.14$, $P < 0.000$). These factors had a comparable power in their prediction of exercise duration. For instance, CCI, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers had relatively higher predictive effects ($\beta = -0.290$, $P < 0.000$), ($\beta = 0.286$, $P < 0.01$), ($\beta = -0.265$, $P < 0.01$), respectively, compared to age ($\beta = -0.165$, $P < 0.05$) and BMI ($\beta = -0.192$, $P < 0.05$). Consequently, perceived exercise benefits and planning did not have the ability to predict diabetic patient’s exercise duration.

4. Discussion

This descriptive study investigated Jordanian diabetics’ exercise behaviors and their relationships with different demographic and perceived exercise variables. Of concern is the finding that participants’ reported an average of less than three hours of exercise per week, which is way less than the recommended 3.5 hours per week for patients with diabetes and prediabetes [32]. This confirms what have been previously reported about the sedentary life style of Jordanian diabetics [6] [12] [19] and indicates their risk of developing diabetes long term complications. Nonadherence with treatment guidelines is common among patients with chronic illnesses, where patients on hemodialysis were found to be nonadherent to both fluid and diet restriction [33]. This should alarm both healthcare providers and policy maker for the need to integrate physical exercise as part of the routine education in clinics and the media to increase patients’ adherence to the prescribed plans.

Regarding the reasons for not exercising, it was noteworthy that illness itself was least common reason, and the lack of desire was the most common. Such a result may indicate that the disease process in DM does not affect the body to exercise as much as it affects patient’s cognition leading to lose desire either by being afraid to develop hypoglycemia or to have injuries during exercise. Advanced age and the relatively elevated levels of BMI and CCI constitute other possible factors that can hinder their ability to exercise. While nothing can be done for age, appropriate health stabilization facilitated by primary care providers for both DM and comorbidities can encourage patients to exercise and decrease their BMI, which will be encouraging for further exercise [16]. Other reasons may include the poorly designed communities in Jordan where sidewalks and play grounds are not available in every community. So, efforts to promote patients’ physical exercise should consider increasing facilities and areas for exercise including fitness centers and sidewalks. For instance, patient may be advised regarding a customized home-based exercise program that might be beneficial to overcome many of the exercise barriers [34].

Even though participants reported having barriers to exercise, they reported a moderate average of perceived exercise barriers (2.4/4), which was less than that reported among hemodialysis patients (2.66) [35], patients with multiple sclerosis (2.80) [36], and patients with osteoarthritis (2.59) [25]. Such a difference might be attributed to the less acute manifestations of diabetes than other diseases specially if under control.

Regarding the types of exercise activities, as expected, walking was the most common type of exercise. This type of exercise seems to be the safest and the most convenient for patients, where their concerns about comfort

| Variables                  | B    | SE  | $\beta$ | t     | P value |
|----------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| Age                        | -0.037 | 0.018 | -0.165 | -1.995 | 0.049   |
| CCI                        | -1.383 | 0.379 | -0.290 | -3.646 | 0.000   |
| BMI                        | -0.175 | 0.075 | -0.192 | -2.353 | 0.020   |
| Average Self Efficacy      | 0.082 | 0.023 | 0.286  | 3.559  | 0.001   |
| Average Plan to Exercise   | 0.988 | 0.998 | 0.084  | 0.990  | 0.324   |
| Average Ex Barriers        | -2.995 | 0.922 | -0.265 | -3.249 | 0.002   |
| Average Ex Benefits        | -1.238 | 0.939 | -0.109 | -1.318 | 0.190   |

*Predictors of exercise duration final model produced at $\alpha = 0.05$, $F = 52.4$, $P > 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.342$. 

and safety may affect their actual exercise behaviors [37]. On the other hand, walking regularly is still beneficial by helping in reversing metabolic syndrome risk factors better than exercise of moderate intensity [38], and decreased risk of incident diabetes by 28.5% among Indians with impaired glucose tolerance [39]. Therefore, this should be taken in consideration while providing education about the importance of exercise, which means to go with patient’s favorites regarding the type of exercise in terms of most interest and fitting into personal schedule [32] [37].

Testing the correlation of variables with patient’s exercise behaviors revealed that duration of exercise was correlated (five variables) with more variables than frequency of exercise (three variables), which may indicates the superiority of duration over frequency in assessing the exercise behaviors among patients. Duration of exercise was correlated with patient’s age, BMI, CCI, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers, which highlights the importance of considering such factors in understanding patients’ exercise behaviors. Also, those with advance age, more BMI and comorbidities, more perceived exercise barriers, and less exercise self-efficacy should be targeted in primary care settings as more vulnerable to become sedentary, which means that they need to be encouraged more about exercise. Similarly, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers were found to be correlated among postmenopausal women [40], and age, BMI, and perceived exercise barriers were correlated among patients with arthritis [21]. Among diabetic patients, variables that were correlated with exercise behavior included exercise self-efficacy [41].

Surprisingly, patients’ demographics (except age, BMI, and CCI) did not have any relationship with exercise duration. Many other studies did not find correlation between physical exercise and participants’ psychosocial aspects such as insurance in the general population [42], income among patients with arthritis [21], and gender, ethnicity and working status among patients with Parkinson disease [43]. This may indicate that patients with chronic illnesses, including DM, are most commonly overwhelmed by their physical characteristics than their psychosocial aspects. However, this does not mean to neglect patients’ differences in designing tailored exercise programs that were found to be beneficial in promoting physical activity [37].

Examining the predictors of exercise duration revealed five significant predictors (CCI, exercise self-efficacy, perceived exercise barriers, age, and BMI, respectively). Similar results were found (age, BMI, and perceived exercise barriers) among patients with arthritis [21]. This indicates that those factors need to be considered in any treatment regimen that includes exercise.

Many implications can be derived from the results of this study regarding encouraging diabetic patients toward promoting their exercise behaviors. For instance, it is highly recommended to have tailored exercise educational programs taking in consideration that patients who are older, overweight, having more comorbidities, perceive exercise as burden and need more encouragement than their counterparts. Also, exercise self-efficacy and perceived exercise barriers were found as significant predictors of patients’ exercise behavior, which can be used concurrently while educating patients regarding exercise to increase their self-efficacy and decrease their exercise barriers. However, among the limitations of this study is the small sample size, for which a larger future study is recommended. Also, it is recommended to conduct this study at international level to compare between different cultures so that better understanding of this phenomenon can be achieved. Relying on patient’s self-reported exercise behavior constitutes another limitation, for which future studies can use more objective measures (e.g., observation techniques, pedometer) to assess actual patient’s exercise behaviors.

5. Conclusion

The current study is among the first to assess Jordanian diabetic patients’ exercise behaviors, in which patients are found to exercise less than the recommended time. Illness itself was the least common cause of not exercising compared to lack of time and desire. As expected, age, BMI, CCI, exercise self-efficacy, and perceived exercise barriers were found as significant predictors of exercise duration which should be included in designing tailored exercise educational programs.
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