Enhancement of methane production from Cotton Stalk using different pretreatment techniques
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China produces large amount of cotton stalk (CS) residues as agricultural biomass, which are incinerated on-site, causing air pollution. The high organic content of CS could be utilized for biogas production, but the direct digestion without pretreatment always leads to a low methane yield and biodegradability, due to the complicated structure of lignocellulose. In order to search best fitting pretreatment methods in effective anaerobic digestion (AD) of CS, effects of various pretreatments including KOH, NaOH, Ca(OH)2, alkali hydrogen peroxide (AHP), H2SO4, H3PO4 and steam explosion (SE) were studied. It was seen that all treatments resulted in varying methane yields. Among all the pretreatments, acid pretreatment is not suitable for AD of CS. The results showed that the highest cumulative methane yield (CMY) of 192.4 mL·gVS−1 was obtained after 3% AHP pretreatment of CS, and the methane yield improved by 254.3% than the untreated CS. Therefore, AHP treatment was proven to be an efficient pretreatment technique. XRD and FTIR analyses had shown that pretreated CS had favorable structural changes. This research is beneficial in developing environment friendly and cost-effective pretreatment technologies to utilize CS for methane production in future application.

Cotton is an important economic crop in China which has output accounting for 25% of the total world’s cotton production1. The cultivation of cotton results in the wastes of cotton stalk (CS) amounting 40 million tons annually. The large amount of CS is used as fuel for cooking and has adverse environmental impacts such as air pollutants emission in rural China. It is necessary to use a cleaner and greener method to treat CS.

CS contains amount of carbohydrates, like cellulose and hemicelluloses, which can be converted into a variety of usable forms of energy such as bioethanol, biohydrogen, and biogas3,4. Among all the biofuels, biogas is paid increasing attention because its production process and conditions are simpler than the production of other biofuels, which make biogas production more practical5. In general, anaerobic digestion (AD) is a three stage process: hydrolysis, acidogenesis and methanogenesis6. The hydrolysis reaction is considered to be the rate limiting step in the whole AD process due to the complicated structure of lignocellulosic biomass6. High cellulose and hemicellulose contents of CS makes it a potential feedstock for biogas production, but it forms a recalcitrant lignocellulose complex with lignin to limit the effective conversion to methane. Especially, the presence of lignin in lignocellulosic CS residues serves as a protective shield and restricts the enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose to dissolved organic matter7. Therefore, an effective pretreatment step is required to accelerate the hydrolysis process and enhance the digestibility of CS.

Chemical pretreatment (acid, alkali, organic solvent, oxidation, catalyzed steam explosion etc.)8–11, physical pretreatment (comminution, liquid hot water, steam explosion, irradiation, etc.)12, and biological pretreatment13 techniques have been investigated in previous research of lignocellulosic biomass. Among all the pretreatment methods, chemical treatment is the most common and intensively studied method for lignocellulosic biomass in AD process. The widely used reagents include sodium hydroxide (NaOH)3,13,14, calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)2)3,15,16, potassium hydroxide (KOH)16, sulfuric acid (H2SO4)3,9, hydrochloric acid (HCl)3, phosphoric acid (H3PO4)9,14,17. For example, Sambusiti et al. reported that wheat straw treated by 10% NaOH (g/g TS) at 40 °C for 24 h achieved a 47% increase in the methane production18. Moreover, alkali hydrogen peroxide (AHP)
pretreatment has gained popularity in recent years, because it is one of the most promising chemical method for the removal of lignin. Sun et al. compared five stalks (wheat, rice, maize, rape and cotton stalks) by 2% AHP pretreatment for improving methane yield, and the methane yield of CS improved 55.7% than the untreated CS. As for physical pretreatment, steam explosion (SE) is also an attractive option. Li et al. found that the cumulative methane yield (CMY) of SE pretreated corn stalk at 1.2 MPa for 10 min could improve 63.9% of methane yield compared with untreated corn stalk. Although many researchers have investigated crop straw, the effects of various pretreatments differ considerably, therefore, the most economical and effective pretreatment method has not been fully explored. Additionally, few reports have shown the pretreatment effects on CS for improving methane yield. Such information is important for evaluation of pretreatments and their practical application. Therefore, a systematic study regarding the impacts of different pretreatment methods on CS for methane production is highly demanded.

The objectives of this research were to: (1) carry out KOH, NaOH, Ca(OH)2, AHP, H2SO4, H3PO4, SE pretreatment to evaluate their varying effects on CS, (2) systematically and comprehensively compare the AD performance of CS after different pretreatments, (3) verify structural changes of different pretreated CS.

Methods

Substrates. CS was obtained from a farm in Henan province, China. The raw CS was cut with a chaff-cutter to less than 5 cm in length and milled to 18-mesh powder by a high-speed grinder (XINGSHILIHE, Beijing, China), and then was put in airtight plastic bag at room temperature for future use. The inoculum sludge was collected from an anaerobic digester at Donghuashan biogas plant in Beijing, China.

Pretreatment. The pretreatment contained the solutions of KOH (1.5, 3.0, 4.5, and 6.0%, w/w), NaOH (1.5, 3.0, 4.5, and 6.0%, w/w), Ca(OH)2 (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0%, w/w), AHP (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0%, w/w), H2SO4 (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0%, w/w), H3PO4 (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0%, w/w). 50 g of raw CS were added to the respective solutions. The moisture content (MC) was 90%, calculated using Eq. (1). All samples were pretreated at room temperature for 24 h and manually mixed once every four hours. The pretreated samples were rinsed with distilled water until neutral pH was attained, and the extra water was removed. SE pretreatment was performed in a high-pressure reactor. 80 g of CS were treated with saturated steam at 0.9, 1.2, 1.5 MPa and 5, 10, 15 min respectively. All pretreated CS were stored at 4 °C before experiment.

\[
MC(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{\text{dry matter weight of CS}}{\text{weight of CS + water added}}\right) \times 100\%
\]

(1)

Maximal methane yield (MMY), experimental methane yield (EMY) and biodegradability (Bd).

Maximal methane yield (MMY) of CS was calculated by Eqs (2) and (3), and Bd was analyzed according to Eq (4).

\[
C_{x}H_{y}O_{z} + \left[n + \frac{a}{4} - \frac{b}{2} - \frac{3c}{4}\right]H_{2}O \rightarrow \left[n + \frac{a}{8} - \frac{b}{4} - \frac{3c}{8}\right]CH_{4} + \left[\frac{n}{2} + \frac{a}{8} + \frac{b}{4} - \frac{3c}{8}\right]CO_{2} + cNH_{3} +\]

(2)

\[
\text{MMY (mL · gVS}^{-1} = \frac{22.4 \times 1000 \times \left(n + \frac{a}{8} - \frac{b}{4} - \frac{3c}{8}\right)}{12n + a + 16b + 14c} \times (1 - \text{lignin%} \text{ - ash%})
\]

(3)

\[
\text{Bd = } \frac{\text{EMY}}{\text{MMY}} \times 100\%
\]

(4)

AD Experiments. The AD experiments were carried out in 500 ml digesters with an organic loading (OL) of 25.6 gVS·L⁻¹ and feedstock/inoculum (F/I) ratio of 0.8. Distilled water was added to make the working volume up to 250 ml. Besides, two blank digesters, without feedstock which contained the same sludge and distilled water only, were used as corrections. Each digester was flushed for 3 min with 99.0% pure nitrogen to remove all the oxygen, screw cap and rubber stopper were fixed to ensure anaerobic condition. The bottles were then placed in an incubator at 37 °C for 61 days. All reactors were shaken manually for 1 min every day. The composition of biogas was analyzed once a day during the first ten days of digestion and three times a week later.

Analytical methods. Basic characteristics. Total solid (TS) and volatile solid (VS) of CS and inoculum sludge were determined by the standard method. Elemental compositions (C, H, N, S) of CS and inoculum sludge were measured by an elemental analyzer (Vario EL cube, Germany). The oxygen content of CS was calculated by assuming C + H + O + N = 99.5% on a VS basis. Cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content was determined by an A2000 fiber analyzer (ANKOM, USA) according to the Van Soest method.

Methane yield. The daily biogas production was determined by measuring headspace pressure in each digester using 3151 WAL-BMP-Test system pressure gauge (WAL Mess- und Regelsysteme GmbH, Germany). The composition of biogas was determined by using a 7890 B gas chromatograph (Agilent, USA). Biogas production was calculated by Eq. (5).

\[
\]
\[ V_{\text{biogas}} = \frac{\Delta P \times V_{\text{head}} \times C}{R \times T} \]

where \( V_{\text{biogas}} \) is daily biogas volume (L), \( P \) refers to absolute pressure (kPa), \( V_{\text{head}} \) represents volume of the head space (L), \( C \) is molar volume (22.4 L·mol\(^{-1}\)), \( R \) means universal gas constant (8.314 J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)), and \( T \) is equal to absolute temperature (K).

**Pretreatment solution and biogas slurry.** For pretreatment solution, the soluble chemical oxygen demand (COD) was determined by using HACH meter (DR2800 spectrophotometer). The soluble saccharides were measured by using phenol-sulfuric acid method with a spectrophotometer (UV-1800PC, Mapada, China) at 490 nm.

Total alkalinity (TA), total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), total volatile fatty acid (TVFA), pH value of the biogas slurry after digestion were analyzed. The pH value was measured with a le438 pH electrode (Mettler Toledo, USA). TAN was determined using HACH meter (DR2800 spectrophotometer). TA was observed by titrimetric method with 1.6 mol·L\(^{-1}\) of sulfuric acid. TVFA was measured by gas chromatography (GC) system (7890A, Agilent, USA).

**Kinetics model.** In this study, the CMY has been modeled by employing modified Gompertz equation (6), which used to analyze the results of AD\(^{26}\).

\[ B = B_0 \exp \left[ -\exp \left( \frac{t - t_l}{\mu_m} + 1 \right) \right] \]

where \( B \) refers to the simulated cumulative methane production (mL·gVS\(^{-1}\)), \( B_0 \) represents the simulated highest methane yield (mL·gVS\(^{-1}\)), \( \mu_m \) stands for the maximum methane production rate (mL·gVS\(^{-1}\)·day\(^{-1}\)), \( e \) is equal to 2.72, \( \lambda \) is the lag phase time (day), and \( t \) means the digestion time (day).

**Micro-structure observation.** XRD analysis. The crystallinity index (CrI) of the cellulose component, which was obtained under different pretreatment, was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The Bruker D8-Advance (Germany) at 40 KV and 40 mA with Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation was used to examine the XRD patterns. Samples were scanned from 5 to 60° at a rate of 5°/min. CrI of these pretreated CS was calculated by Eq. (7)\(^{27}\).

\[ \text{CrI} = \frac{\{I_{002} - I_{\text{amorphous}}\}/I_{002}\} \times 100\% \]

where \( I_{002} \) is the maximal diffraction intensity of the 002 lattice plane, \( I_{\text{amorphous}} \) refers to the intensity of the background peak at 2\(\theta\) = 18°.

FTIR analysis. The structural features of pretreated samples were measured by a Nicolet 6700 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a DLATGS detector over a range of 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\). Raw and pretreated CS were analyzed by grinding with KBr (1:100, w/w) and pressing into transparent pellets.

**Statistical analysis.** All the data and graphs were processed using Origin Pro 8.0 (Origin Lab, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to statistically analyze the data.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characterization of CS and inoculum.** Table 1 shows the basis characteristics of raw CS and inoculum sludge. The VS/TS ratio of CS reached 96.75%, indicating a high organic matter, which was preferred for AD. Moreover, the content of cellulose and lignin was up to 50.42% and 16.32%, higher than some other widely used crop straw and biomass\(^{21}\). According to the data of the elements analysis in Table 1, the MMY of CS was calculated using Eqs (2) and (3) to be 362.9 mL·gVS\(^{-1}\). Raw and pretreated CS were analyzed by grinding with KBr (1:100, w/w) and pressing into transparent pellets.

**Effects of different pretreatment methods on cumulative methane yield.** Figure 1a and b show the CMY of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)-treated CS and H\(_3\)PO\(_4\)-treated CS. In these groups, two different peaks of daily methane yield (mL·gVS\(^{-1}\)) were observed. The soluble chemical oxygen demand (COD) of H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was determined by using Eqs (2) and (3) to be 362.9 mL·gVS\(^{-1}\). Raw and pretreated CS were analyzed by grinding with KBr (1:100, w/w) and pressing into transparent pellets.

**Micro-structure observation.** XRD analysis. The crystallinity index (CrI) of the cellulose component, which was obtained under different pretreatment, was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The Bruker D8-Advance (Germany) at 40 KV and 40 mA with Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation was used to examine the XRD patterns. Samples were scanned from 5 to 60° at a rate of 5°/min. CrI of these pretreated CS was calculated by Eq. (7)\(^{27}\).

\[ \text{CrI} = \frac{\{I_{002} - I_{\text{amorphous}}\}/I_{002}\} \times 100\% \]

where \( I_{002} \) is the maximal diffraction intensity of the 002 lattice plane, \( I_{\text{amorphous}} \) refers to the intensity of the background peak at 2\(\theta\) = 18°.

FTIR analysis. The structural features of pretreated samples were measured by a Nicolet 6700 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with a DLATGS detector over a range of 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\). Raw and pretreated CS were analyzed by grinding with KBr (1:100, w/w) and pressing into transparent pellets.

**Statistical analysis.** All the data and graphs were processed using Origin Pro 8.0 (Origin Lab, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to statistically analyze the data.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characterization of CS and inoculum.** Table 1 shows the basis characteristics of raw CS and inoculum sludge. The VS/TS ratio of CS reached 96.75%, indicating a high organic matter, which was preferred for AD. Moreover, the content of cellulose and lignin was up to 50.42% and 16.32%, higher than some other widely used crop straw and biomass\(^{21}\). According to the data of the elements analysis in Table 1, the MMY of CS was calculated using Eqs (2) and (3) to be 362.9 mL·gVS\(^{-1}\).
The kinetic parameters of the model are shown in Table 2. The correlation coefficients $R^2$ values fell within the range of 0.967–0.995, respectively. It indicated that the Modified Gompertz model was well fitted to the CMY in all selected experimental groups. Moreover, the $B_0$ values of the model were very close to the EMY at different concentrations.

Comparison of different pretreatments effect. The optimal results and kinetics of methane production after different pretreatments. The optimum results for each of the seven pretreatment processes are shown in Fig. 2a. The results showed that the highest CMY obtained from 3% AHP-pretreated CS, and improved 254.3% compared to the untreated CS. This showed a remarkable difference ($P < 0.01$), the optimum condition could be regarded as 3% for AHP pretreatment.

The CMY of CS pretreated by 3% AHP was up to 192.4 mL·gVS$^{-1}$, which showed a remarkable difference ($P < 0.01$) compared with 96.0 mL·gVS$^{-1}$ and 116.2 mL·gVS$^{-1}$ from 1% and 2% AHP-treated CS (Fig. 1g). While the results of 3% AHP-treated CS and 4% AHP-treated CS were similar ($P > 0.05$), the optimum condition could be regarded as 3% for AHP pretreatment.

### Table 1. Basic characteristics of CS and inoculum.

| Parameter         | Cotton stalk | Inoculum |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|
| TS (%) $^a$       | 91.08 ± 0.00 | 9.66 ± 0.01 |
| VS (%) $^a$       | 88.12 ± 0.01 | 5.48 ± 0.03 |
| Ash (%) $^a$      | 2.96 ± 0.05  | 4.18 ± 0.04 |
| VS/TG (%)         | 96.75 ± 0.01 | 56.71 ± 0.35 |
| Cellulose (%) $^b$| 50.42 ± 0.86 | ND |
| Hemicellulose (%) $^b$| 15.64 ± 0.03 | ND |
| Lignin (%) $^b$   | 16.32 ± 0.40 | ND |
| C (%) $^b$        | 45.96 ± 0.26 | 28.83 ± 0.66 |
| H (%) $^b$        | 5.97 ± 0.03  | 4.11 ± 0.05 |
| O (%) $^b$        | 43.50 ± 0.25 | ND |
| N (%) $^b$        | 1.02 ± 0.01  | 2.05 ± 0.08 |
| S (%) $^b$        | 0.09 ± 0.00  | 0.64 ± 0.05 |
| C/N               | 45.06 ± 0.19 | 14.13 ± 0.84 |
| pH                | ND           | 7.58 ± 0.08 |
| Calorific value (J·g$^{-1}$) $^b$| 18447 ND |

Notes: $^a$Results are the average and their standard error of triplicate measurements. $^b$As total weight of sample; $^c$as TS of sample; ND = not detectable.
Characteristics of pretreated CS, pretreatment solution and biogas slurry. Table 3 shows the composition of treated CS, the component of pretreatment solution and the characteristics of the biogas slurry after AD. The content of cellulose of all treated CS improved apart from SE pretreated CS at 1.5 MPa for 5 min, whereas AHP pretreated CS has shown the greatest improvement, rising about 7.32%. Furthermore, because a greater amount of non-lignocellulosic substances dissolved, the content of total fiber also increased except SE treated CS. Compared with the untreated CS, the fraction of hemicellulose slightly enhanced in 1% H₂SO₄-treated CS and 4% H₃PO₄-treated CS. On contrary, in alkaline treated CS it reduced. The content of cellulose and hemicellulose decreased sharply from 50.42% to 46.80% and 15.64% to 4.66% after SE pretreatment at 1.5 MPa for 5 min which indicated that SE pretreatment could destroy the structure of CS severely and remove more lignocellulosic than other pretreatment.

Figure 1. Methane yield of CS, (a) H₂SO₄-treated CS; (b) H₃PO₄-treated CS; (c) SE-treated CS; (d) Ca(OH)₂-treated CS; (e) KOH-treated CS; (f) NaOH-treated CS; (g) AHP-treated CS.
The COD and soluble saccharides were the indicators of degradable and solubilized lignin and carbohydrate in pretreatment solution. From Table 3, the concentration of COD and soluble saccharides followed the same trends. However, the value of COD and soluble saccharides showed significant difference, which indicated that they contained some other organic compounds such as aromatic acids, ethanol, furfural derivatives, and uronic acids in pretreatment solution. The two highest COD concentrations appeared in 3% KOH and 1.5% NaOH loading in the black liquor were 13880 mg·L\(^{-1}\) and 14220 mg·L\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicated that KOH and NaOH could remove a large quantity of lignin and carbohydrate. The COD and soluble saccharides of 3% AHP pretreatment were 5760 mg·L\(^{-1}\) and 580 mg·L\(^{-1}\) in the liquid, less than KOH, NaOH, Ca(OH)\(_2\) pretreatment, implying that AHP pretreatment could decrease the loss of degradable organic matters. Obviously, the pretreatment solution of KOH, NaOH, Ca(OH)\(_2\) and AHP treated CS had much more COD and soluble saccharides than H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) treated CS. The results indicated that more lignin and carbohydrate could be deconstructed by alkali pretreatment compared with acid pretreatment.

The digestion process stability was shown by the characteristics of pH values, TA, TAN, and TVFA in biogas slurry after AD (Table 3). The pH values of all pretreatment were in range of 7.36–7.67, which were suitable for the growth of bacteria. TA indicates the buffering capacity to neutralize acids, which could protect against the low pH of AD system. Some researches held that the TAN was one of the most important indicators in the process of digestion. The value of TAN was in range of 1310–1718 mg·L\(^{-1}\), which indicated that bacteria could utilize them as nitrogen sources. The results of cellulose, hemicellulose and total fiber in Table 3 showed that the pretreatment reduced the content of lignin and carbohydrate, which was beneficial to the conversion of these components during AD. The COD and TAN were the indicators of the degradable lignin and carbohydrate in pretreatment solution. The highest COD and TAN concentrations appeared in 3% KOH and 1.5% NaOH loading, which were 13880 mg·L\(^{-1}\) and 14220 mg·L\(^{-1}\), respectively, and 1310 mg·L\(^{-1}\) and 1718 mg·L\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicated that KOH and NaOH could remove a large quantity of lignin and carbohydrate.

Table 2. The biodegradability of CS after pretreatment and kinetic parameters of the Modified Gompertz model.

| Pretreatment | COD (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) | Soluble saccharides (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) | pH | TA (mgCaCO\(_3\)·L\(^{-1}\)) | TAN (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) | TVFA (mg·L\(^{-1}\)) | Cellulose (%) | Hemicellulose (%) | Total fiber (%) |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| untreated    | —                  | —                                 | 7.66 | 6200                      | 1310             | 0              | 50.42 ± 0.86    | 15.64 ± 0.03    | 82.38 ± 1.31    |
| 3% KOH       | 13880              | 5110                              | 7.36 | 4560                      | 1095             | 0              | 56.65 ± 0.34    | 14.50 ± 0.02    | 90.64 ± 0.48    |
| 1.5% NaOH    | 14220              | 4440                              | 7.37 | 4300                      | 1210             | 0              | 56.70 ± 0.06    | 14.80 ± 0.12    | 90.84 ± 0.10    |
| 1% Ca(OH)\(_2\) | 6120             | 920                               | 7.50 | 5720                      | 1265             | 0              | 53.29 ± 0.18    | 15.09 ± 0.21    | 85.97 ± 0.68    |
| 1% H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 4300            | 980                               | 7.65 | 5940                      | 1340             | 0              | 54.25 ± 0.51    | 16.01 ± 0.43    | 87.66 ± 0.41    |
| 4% H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) | 5760           | 580                               | 7.84 | 4160                      | 1310             | 0              | 55.74 ± 0.72    | 15.52 ± 0.23    | 88.99 ± 0.44    |
| 3% AHP       | 189.1              | 5.46                              | 7.64 | 7020                      | 1718             | 0              | 46.80 ± 0.38    | 4.66 ± 0.75     | 69.98 ± 0.60    |

Table 3. Characteristics of pretreated CS, pretreatment solution after pretreatment and biogas slurry after AD. Results are the average and their standard error of triplicate measurements.

Figure 2. The optimal results and kinetics of methane yield after seven pretreatments.
AD because the ammonia could cause the accumulation of VFA, which resulted in a sharp drop of pH, and then affected the activity of microbes. However, the pH value did not drop and accumulation of TVFA and inhibition of microbial activity were not observed in this study. Besides, the concentration of TAN in blank which contained the same amount of sludge and water was about 1500 mg L\(^{-1}\). Therefore, effluent parameters collectively suggested that the AD system after different pretreatments were stable, and pretreatment didn’t influence the anaerobic digestion system stability.

Analysis of modifications in the chemical structure followed by different pretreatments.

(a) XRD Analysis
XRD was employed to determine and calculate the crystallinity index of cellulose after pretreatment (Fig. 3). There existed similar peaks of raw CS and pretreated CS at 18°, 22° and 35°, which were obtained from cellulose I\(\beta\), illustrated that cellulose crystalline allomorph did not change after the pretreatment. The CrI of untreated CS was 34.1% and it increased to 50.4%, 51.3%, 51.2%, and 47.0% after 3% KOH, 1.5% NaOH, 3% AHP, and SE pretreatment at 1.5 MPa for 5 min, respectively. This was probably because a great proportion of non-crystalline components, including lignin and hemicellulose, were removed as a result of these pretreatments. An increase in the portion of exposed crystalline structure was noticed in the pretreated samples, hence, the CrI of pretreated CS improved compared with the untreated CS. These results were in conformity with the previous studies\(^7,12,16\).

(b) FTIR Analysis
The FTIR spectra of raw CS and pretreated CS was shown in Fig. 4. The wide band at around 3418.5 cm\(^{-1}\) is linked with the level of intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonding\(^33\), whereas, the peak at 2920.0 cm\(^{-1}\) with shoulder bands is due to aliphatic CH\(_2\) and symmetric and asymmetric CH\(_3\), respectively\(^14,33\). The absorbance at 1739.2 cm\(^{-1}\) was owing to the ester groups, indicating the sign existed in lignin\(^12\). After KOH, NaOH, Ca(OH)\(_2\) and AHP pretreatment, this peak vanished, implying that alkaline condition could destroy ester groups, and then cut down the linkage in the lignin-carbohydrate. The strong and wide band at 1244.4 cm\(^{-1}\) was ascribed to the =C-O in the aromatic ether group confirming lignin presence\(^18\). The absorbance disappeared in KOH-treated CS, NaOH-treated CS, and AHP-treated CS and decreased in H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)-treated CS, H\(_3\)PO\(_4\)-treated CS, SE-treated CS, implying that all pretreatments are excellent in removing lignin, especially alkaline pretreatment. Furthermore, the intensity at 900 cm\(^{-1}\) band corresponding to 1-D-cellulose linkages had a significant decrease in all pretreatments, which indicated the removal of amorphous components.

Practical application. The performances of pretreatment by H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) were inferior to the other pretreatments. Moreover, the methane yields of CS could be influenced by the long lag period. Therefore, the results showed that H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) or H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) might not be suitable for pretreating CS during anaerobic digestion process. SE pretreatment has lower pollution, however it is not highly recommended, due to higher energy consumption. Besides, many inhibitory compounds such as furfural and HMF might be generated from SE pretreatment process\(^34\). The appropriate conditions such as pressure and time should be chosen, otherwise the process might influence the subsequent digestion reactions. The compared results showed that KOH and NaOH were both suitable for pretreating CS in AD process. But Na\(^+\) ion from the pretreatment of NaOH might influence the digestion process, causing the inhibition of methanogenesis\(^35\). Moreover, the liquid after NaOH pretreatment could result in serious environment problems such as soil salinization and water pollution\(^10\). On contrary, the waste liquid containing KOH might have environmental benefits, including the production of fertilizer\(^26,30\). KOH is much more expensive than Ca(OH)\(_2\) and NaOH. Therefore, it is possible to consider that using combined KOH and
Ca(OH)₂ alkaline pretreatment to reduce the cost by decreasing the KOH dosage and maintaining the efficiency of anaerobic digestion. Compared with NaOH pretreatment, AHP pretreatment could considerably decrease the dosage of NaOH, and reduce Na⁺ ion pollution. Furthermore, hydrogen peroxide in the pretreatment solution could be easily decomposed into water and oxygen to avoid secondary pollution. Therefore, AHP pretreatment was considered to be the promising method for treating CS.

Conclusion
This study compared the performance of various pretreated CS in anaerobic digestion. Acid treated CS could not achieve high methane yield due to the long lag period. Therefore, H₂SO₄ and H₃PO₄ are not suitable to pretreat CS in AD process. Although SE pretreatment has given high methane yield, the treatment is not suitable due to the higher energy consumption and lack of availability of the industrial scale equipment, because it is hard to build big continuous machine with low cost. The efficiency of AD process after KOH and NaOH pretreatment was better than Ca(OH)₂ pretreatment. Results indicated that CS could efficiently be converted to methane after AHP pretreatment at concentration of 3%. In summary, AD after AHP pretreatment could be an effective method to improve the CS utilization. Besides, this study offers a systemic insight into the biogas productions from pretreated CS and provides useful information on the pretreatment of CS in a cost effective and environment friendly way.
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