Relationship between corneal biomechanical properties and structural biomarkers in patients with normal-tension glaucoma: a retrospective study
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Abstract

Background: We evaluated the relationships between corneal biomechanical properties and structural parameters in patients with newly diagnosed, untreated normal-tension glaucoma (NTG).

Methods: All subjects were evaluated using an Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA) measuring corneal hysteresis (CH) and the corneal resistance factor (CRF). Central corneal thickness (CCT), Goldmann applanation tonometric (GAT) data, axial length, and the spherical equivalent (SE), were also measured. Confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy was performed with the aid of a Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT III). We sought correlations between HRT parameters and different variables including CCT, CH, and the CRF. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify significant associations between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters.

Results: We enrolled 95 eyes of 95 NTG patients and 93 eyes of 93 normal subjects. CH and the CRF were significantly lower in more advanced glaucomatous eyes (P = 0.001, P = 0.008, respectively). The rim area, rim volume, linear cup-to-disc ratio (LCDR), and mean retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness were significantly worse in more advanced glaucomatous eyes (P < 0.001, P < 0.001, P < 0.001, and P = 0.001). CH was directly associated with rim area, rim volume, and mean RNFL thickness (P = 0.012, P = 0.028, and P = 0.043) and inversely associated with LCDR (P = 0.015), after adjusting for age, axial length, CCT, disc area, GAT data, and SE. However, in normal subjects, there were no significant associations between corneal biomechanical properties and HRT parameters.

Conclusions: A lower CH is significantly associated with a smaller rim area and volume, a thinner RNFL, and a larger LCDR, independent of disc size, corneal thickness, intraocular pressure, and age.
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Background

Glaucoma is characterised by a loss of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), optic disc cupping, thinning of the retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL), and associated visual-field defects [1]. Burgoyne suggested that the central pathophysiology of glaucoma is damage to RGC axons within the lamina cribrosa of the optic nerve head [2]. Corneal biomechanical properties, such as corneal hysteresis (CH) and central corneal thickness (CCT) have been found to be significantly associated with individual optic nerve head behaviour and susceptibility to a given level of intraocular pressure (IOP) [3–11]. Indeed, a thinner CCT has been suggested as a risk factor in the development and progression of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) [4, 12, 13]. Recent studies have demonstrated that CH, determined with an ocular response analyser (ORA; Reichert Ophthalmic Instruments, Buffalo, New York, USA), offers better corneal biomechanical properties than does CCT [3, 5, 6, 8–10, 14, 15]. Anand et al.
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reported that worse eye in asymmetric POAG was associated with lower CH, but not with CCT [10]. Moreover, previous longitudinal studies reported that CH may be a more important risk factor related to visual field progression than CCT [3, 9, 11]. Recently, Zhang et al. reported that faster rates of RNFL thickness deterioration was associated with lower CH, but not with CCT [8].

CH is a measure of the viscoelastic damping properties of the corneal tissue [16]. It has been suggested that CH may be related to the biomechanical characteristics of the lamina cribrosa and peripapillary sclera that could affect the susceptibility of the optic nerve head to glaucomatous damage [5, 8, 17]. It also has been suggested that CH could be associated with the biomechanical properties of the optic nerve head [15, 18]. Prata et al. found that eyes with lower CH had a larger cup-to-disc ratio and deeper cup [18]. Khawaja et al. reported that a larger cup-to-disc ratio was associated with lower CH [15]; however, only those with high-tension POAG were included in their study, and the association between structural biomarkers and corneal biomechanical properties in normal-tension glaucoma (NTG) eyes were not assessed [15, 18].

Although POAG with untreated IOP ≤21 mmHg is defined as NTG [19], IOP is a major predictor of progression in NTG as well as in high-tension POAG [4, 20–25]. The results of previous studies suggest that CH may be related to the susceptibility of the optic disc to glaucomatous damage induced by IOP [3, 5, 6]. Additionally, CH is significantly lower in NTG patients than in normal subjects [14, 26–28]. Thus, the relationships between structural biomarkers such as optic nerve head parameters and corneal biomechanical properties may differ between the patients with NTG and normal subjects, but no study on the relationship between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters in NTG eyes has yet been reported. Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters in patients with NTG.

Methods
This was a cross-sectional, comparative study. We retrospectively reviewed the records of glaucoma patients and normal controls who visited the glaucoma clinic of the ophthalmology department of Pusan National University Hospital. We included the normal controls from those who visited our hospital for regular health checkups or for the management of mild ocular diseases such as dry eye syndrome. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and ethics approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Pusan National University Hospital (IRB #E-2014121).

The inclusion criteria included age >18 years, a clear cornea, and no opacity of the ocular media. All eyes had a best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) ≥20/40 and a spherical equivalent refractive error ≤5.0 dioptres (D) with astigmatism ≤3.0 D. Exclusion criteria included diabetic retinopathy, corneal abnormalities, uveitis, secondary glaucoma, non-glaucomatous optic neuropathies, or any eye disorder except glaucoma. Patients with histories of previous trauma, ocular surgery, or laser treatment were also excluded. If subjects had already been diagnosed with glaucoma and were using IOP-lowering medication, they were excluded. When both eyes were eligible, one eye was randomly chosen for analysis.

Normal subjects were defined as those with no history of ocular disease, IOP ≤21 mmHg, a non-glaucomatous optic nerve head, and a normal visual field. The diagnosis of NTG was based on the following criteria: (1) untreated baseline IOP ≤21 mmHg measured from 9 am to 5 pm at intervals of 2 h; (2) an open angle on gonioscopy; (3) characteristic glaucomatous optic nerve head damage; and (4) corresponding visual field loss. Glaucomatous optic neuropathy was defined when one or more of the following criteria was/were met: a cup-to-disc ratio asymmetry ≥0.2; focal or diffuse neuroretinal rim thinning; localised notching; or an RNFL defect congruent with the visual field abnormality [29, 30]. Glaucomatous visual field loss was defined by two or more of the following three criteria: (1) a group of three points on a pattern deviation probability plot with \( P < 0.05 \), one of which was associated with a \( P \) value <0.01; (2) a pattern standard deviation with \( P < 0.05 \); (3) a Glaucoma Hemifield Test result outside normal limits. All visual field tests had to satisfy the following three criteria in terms of reliability: fixation loss <20%, and false-positive and false-negative rates <15%.

All subjects received a complete ophthalmological examination at the first visit as a routine test of glaucoma clinic, including measurement of IOP performed via Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT); measurement of axial length and BCVA; slit lamp examination; fundoscopy; and gonioscopy. An Auto Kerato-Refractor (ARK-510A; NIDEK, Hiroishi, Japan) was used to measure the spherical equivalent and for keratometry. CCT was measured with the aid of ultrasonic pachymetry (Micropach; Sonomed, New Hyde Park, NY, USA). Visual field examinations were performed with the 30–2 SITA standard program on a Humphrey 740 automated perimeter (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). Glaucoma patients were further divided into two subgroups, thus those with early (MD ≥−6 dB) or moderate-to-advanced (MD <−6 dB) glaucoma.

A trained examiner performed ORA examinations to measure CH, the corneal resistance factor (CRF), corneal-compensated IOP (IOPcc), and Goldmann-
correlated IOP (IOPg). The ORA evaluated two applana-
tion pressure points during every test. The first point
(P1) was that when the air puff pushed the cornea until
it was applanated and the second point (P2) was that
when the applanated cornea returned to its normal
shape. The difference between these two pressure points
(P1 - P2) was defined as the CH. The CRF was derived
from a parameter that reflected the general resistance of
the cornea to deformation [16]. The IOPcc was the
recalculated IOP value using corneal biomechanical in-
formation provided by the CH measurement [31]. A
good-quality ORA measurement was defined as a meas-
urement evidencing symmetric peak heights, similar
widths, and a waveform score > 5.0. At least four good-
quality ORA readings were required for inclusion in the
study. An experienced investigator judged the quality of
all response profiles. To exclude selection bias, we used
the best signal value as selected by dedicated software
(ORA ver. 3.01).

Confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (CSLO) im-
ages were obtained using a Heidelberg Retina Tomo-
graph III (HRT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg,
Germany). Fifteen-degree field-of view scans centred on
the optic nerve head were captured and automatically
repeated three times at each acquisition. To construct a
single composite image, the stack of individual scans
was aligned by the software. Any scans that did not meet
the quality indices were discarded. An experienced ob-
server reviewed stereoscopic images of the optic nerve
head and drew the contour of the optic nerve head on
the mean topographic image. The global stereometric
parameters calculated by HRT software were exported
for further analysis. Of these parameters, we used the
rim area, rim volume, linear cup-to-disc ratio, and mean
RNFL thickness as the principal HRT outcomes in terms
of statistical analyses, because previous studies found
significant differences in these parameters between glau-
comatous and normal eyes [32, 33]. Also, rim area and
rim volume are known to correlate significantly with the
development of POAG [34]. To ensure quality control,
scans with a global pixel standard deviation ≤40 μm
were included in analysis.

All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS
software (ver. 21.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL,
USA). The normality of the data was checked with the
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Student's t-test or the Mann–
Whitney U-test was used to compare variables such as
age, axial length, GAT, CCT, spherical equivalent, ORA,
and HRT parameters between the glaucoma and normal
group. Depending on data normality, Pearson's correla-
tion coefficient or Spearman's rank correlation coeffi-
cient was used to investigate correlations between HRT
parameters and multiple variables, including CH, CRF,
CCT, GAT, axial length, age, and spherical equivalent.

Multiple linear regression analyses with the ENTER
method were used to identify significant associations of
corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head pa-
rameters, with adjustment for potential confounding fac-
tors. CH was found to be dependent on age, axial length,
CCT, IOP, and spherical equivalent [14, 15, 35–37]. Optic
nerve head parameters were related to disc size [38, 39].
Thus, age, axial length, CCT, GAT, spherical equivalent,
and disc size were also entered into the multiple linear re-
gression model as explanatory variables, together with cor-
neal parameters. P-values <0.05 were considered to reflect
statistical significance.

Results

95 patients (95 eyes) with NTG and 93 subjects (93 eyes)
in the normal healthy control group were included in
this study. Ophthalmic and demographic characteristics
are summarised in Table 1. There were no significant
differences in axial length, GAT, CCT, spherical equiva-
 lent, IOPg, or IOPcc between patients with NTG and
normal controls, while CH and the CRF were signifi-
cantly lower in eyes with more advanced glaucoma (P
= 0.001 and P = 0.008, respectively). Visual field parame-
ters including mean deviation (MD), pattern standard
deviation (PSD), and visual field index (VFI) were signifi-
cantly worse in eyes with more advanced glaucoma (all
P < 0.001). HRT parameters, including linear cup-to-disc
d ratio, rim area, rim volume, and mean RNFL thickness,
were also significantly worse in the more advanced glau-
comatous eyes (P < 0.001, P < 0.001, P < 0.001, and P
= 0.001, respectively).

Pearson’s correlation coefficient or Spearman’s rank
correlation coefficient for ophthalmic variables and optic
nerve head parameters in patients with NTG and nor-
mal subjects are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. CH was
positively correlated with rim area and rim volume, and
negatively correlated with linear cup-to-disc ratio in
NTG patients (P = 0.001, P = 0.007, and P = 0.013, re-
spectively) (Fig. 1). CRF was positively correlated with
rim area in NTG patients (P = 0.027). CCT was posi-
tively correlated with rim area and negatively correlated
with linear cup-to-disc ratio in NTG patients (P = 0.012
and P = 0.019, respectively). Age correlated negatively
with rim volume and mean RNFL thickness in both
NTG patients (P = 0.001 and P < 0.001, respectively) and
normal controls (P = 0.003 and P < 0.001, respectively).
Disc area was positively correlated with linear cup-to-
disc ratio in NTG patients (P < 0.001), and linear cup-to-
disc ratio, rim area in normal controls (P < 0.001). Disc
area was negatively correlated with mean RNFL thick-
ness in both NTG patients and normal controls (P <
0.001 and P = 0.001, respectively). However, there was
no significant correlation between corneal biomechanical
properties and HRT parameters in the normal controls.
Multiple linear regression analyses were performed to investigate the parameters that may affect the optic nerve head parameters in both groups (Tables 4 and 5). Regression models were constructed with a HRT parameter as the outcome variable, and CH or the CRF as a covariate. Additionally, each model was adjusted for the following covariates: age, axial length, spherical equivalent, GAT, CCT, and disc area. CH was directly associated with rim area, rim volume, and mean RNFL thickness, and inversely associated with linear cup-to-disc ratio in NTG patients. Neither CCT nor the CRF was associated with the HRT parameter in the adjusted

| Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics, including corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Normal controls                  | NTG patients                        | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Subjects (n)                       | 93                                   | 48      | 47      |
| Age (years)                        | 56.35 ± 10.46                        | 53.92 ± 12.03 | 62.38 ± 11.96 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Gender (male/female)               | 52 / 41                              | 22 / 26 | 32 / 15 | 0.009<sup>b</sup> |
| Axial length (mm)                  | 23.79 ± 0.88                         | 23.78 ± 0.91 | 23.96 ± 1.24 | 0.590<sup>c</sup> |
| GAT (mmHg)                         | 14.97 ± 2.53                         | 15.10 ± 3.18 | 15.17 ± 2.99 | 0.874<sup>a</sup> |
| CCT (μm)                           | 550.84 ± 26.84                       | 551.29 ± 33.45 | 544.66 ± 33.62 | 0.465<sup>c</sup> |
| Spherical equivalent (diopter)     | −0.50 ± 1.68                         | −0.43 ± 1.61 | −0.59 ± 1.95 | 0.891<sup>a</sup> |
| Visual field                       |                                       |         |         |         |
| MD (dB)                            | −1.57 ± 1.57                         | −3.10 ± 1.59 | −12.63 ± 5.63 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| VFI (%)                            | 98.67 ± 1.74                         | 94.21 ± 4.37 | 67.17 ± 21.49 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| PSD (dB)                           | 1.93 ± 0.80                          | 3.84 ± 2.36 | 9.72 ± 3.97 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| ORA parameters (mm Hg)             |                                       |         |         |         |
| CH                                 | 10.83 ± 1.60                         | 10.56 ± 1.44 | 9.78 ± 1.52 | 0.001<sup>c</sup> |
| CRF                                | 10.67 ± 1.88                         | 10.16 ± 1.84 | 9.67 ± 1.34 | 0.008<sup>a</sup> |
| IOPg                               | 15.15 ± 3.96                         | 14.21 ± 3.60 | 14.79 ± 3.51 | 0.373<sup>c</sup> |
| IOPcc                              | 15.22 ± 3.70                         | 14.69 ± 3.06 | 16.05 ± 3.93 | 0.183<sup>c</sup> |
| HRT parameters                     |                                       |         |         |         |
| Linear cup-to-disc ratio           | 0.62 ± 0.15                          | 0.69 ± 0.12 | 0.72 ± 0.13 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Rim area (mm<sup>2</sup>)          | 1.57 ± 0.47                          | 1.10 ± 0.28 | 0.98 ± 0.28 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Rim volume (mm<sup>3</sup>)        | 0.38 ± 0.19                          | 0.26 ± 0.12 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | <0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Mean RNFL thickness (mm)           | 0.23 ± 0.09                          | 0.20 ± 0.08 | 0.16 ± 0.11 | 0.001<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Kruskal–Wallis test, <sup>b</sup>χ<sup>2</sup> test, <sup>c</sup> one-way ANOVA test

CCT Central corneal thickness, CH Corneal hysteresis, CRF Corneal resistance factor, GAT Goldmann applanation tonometry, HRT Heidelberg Retina Tomograph, IOPg Goldmann-correlated intraocular pressure, IOPcc Corneal-compensated intraocular pressure, MD Mean deviation, NTG Normal tension glaucoma, Ocular Response Analyzer, PSD Pattern standard deviation, RNFL Retinal nerve fibre layer, VFI Visual field index

Table 2 Pearson correlation coefficients for ophthalmic variables and ONH parameters in NTG patients

| Linear cup-to-disc ratio | Rim area | Rim volume | Mean RNFL thickness |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|
| Age                      | 0.187<sup>a</sup> | −0.061<sup>a</sup> | −0.324<sup>a</sup> | −0.476<sup>a</sup> | <0.001 |
| Axial length             | −0.105   | 0.310      | 0.068              | 0.512              | 0.018 |
| Disc area                | 0.615<sup>a</sup> | <0.001     | 0.072<sup>a</sup>  | 0.400              | 0.161 |
| SE                       | 0.178<sup>a</sup> | 0.084      | −0.033<sup>a</sup> | 0.751              | 0.190 |
| CCT                      | −0.239   | 0.019      | 0.256              | 0.012              | 0.046 |
| CH                       | −0.254   | 0.013      | 0.347              | 0.001              | 0.174 |
| CRF                      | −0.119   | 0.250      | 0.227              | 0.027              | 0.149 |
| GAT                      | 0.042    | 0.683      | −0.053             | 0.608              | 0.067 |

<sup>a</sup>Spearman’s rho

CCT Central corneal thickness, CH Corneal hysteresis, CRF Corneal resistance factor, GAT Goldmann applanation tonometry, HRT Heidelberg retina tomograph, NTG Normal tension glaucoma, ONH Optic nerve head, RNFL Retinal nerve fibre layer, SE Spherical equivalent
**Table 3** Pearson correlation coefficients for ophthalmic variables and ONH parameters in normal subjects

|                         | Linear cup-to-disc ratio | Rim area | Rim volume | Mean RNFL thickness |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------|
|                         | r                        | P value  | r          | P value             | r          | P value             |
| Age                     | 0.166<sup>a</sup>        | 0.111    | −0.133<sup>a</sup> | 0.205             | −0.307<sup>a</sup> | 0.003             | −0.403<sup>a</sup> | <0.001   |
| Axial length            | −0.223                   | 0.032    | 0.080      | 0.445             | 0.151      | 0.150             | 0.167               | 0.110    |
| Disc area               | 0.363<sup>a</sup>        | <0.001   | 0.371<sup>a</sup> | <0.001           | −0.015<sup>a</sup> | 0.890             | −0.348<sup>a</sup> | 0.001    |
| SE                      | 0.232<sup>a</sup>        | 0.025    | −0.125<sup>a</sup> | 0.234           | −0.300<sup>a</sup> | 0.003             | −0.371<sup>a</sup> | <0.001   |
| CCT                     | −0.071                   | 0.501    | −0.022     | 0.833             | −0.002     | 0.987             | 0.100               | 0.339    |
| CH                      | 0.016                    | 0.878    | 0.022      | 0.832             | 0.034      | 0.745             | 0.024               | 0.819    |
| CRF                     | 0.014                    | 0.895    | 0.001      | 0.996             | 0.018      | 0.863             | 0.023               | 0.824    |
| GAT                     | −0.068                   | 0.520    | −0.090     | 0.393             | −0.022     | 0.834             | 0.053               | 0.617    |

<sup>a</sup>Spearman’s rho

CCT Central corneal thickness, CH Corneal hysteresis, CRF Corneal resistance factor, GAT Goldmann applanation tonometry, HRT Heidelberg retina tomograph, ONH optic nerve head, RNFL Retinal nerve fibre layer, SE Spherical equivalent.

---

**Fig. 1** Scatter plot showing the influence of corneal hysteresis (CH) on various ONH parameters in patients with NTG. (a) Corneal hysteresis was positively correlated with rim area ($r = 0.347$, $P = 0.001$). (b) rim volume ($r = 0.274$, $P = 0.007$). (c) negatively correlated with linear cup-to-disc ratio ($r = -0.254$, $P = 0.013$), and (d) positively correlated with mean retinal nerve fibre layer thickness ($r = 0.199$, $P = 0.053$) in NTG patients. (CH= corneal hysteresis, LCDR= linear cup-to-disc ratio, ONH= optic nerve head, NTG= normal tension glaucoma, RNFL= retinal nerve fibre layer thickness)
analyses in NTG patients. The corneal biomechanical properties were not associated with any HRT parameter in the adjusted analyses in normal controls. The coefficients for CH, the CRF, and CCT in these models are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

The results of multiple linear regression analyses of the associations among corneal parameters and visual field parameters in NTG patients are presented in Table 6. The models were constructed using visual field parameters (MD, VFI) as outcome variables, and CH, CRF, age, axial length, spherical equivalent, GAT, CCT, and disc area as covariates. Of the corneal parameters, CH and CRF had significant associations with visual field parameters. CH and CRF were directly associated with MD and VFI. In normal subjects, no independent parameters were associated with any visual field parameter.

Discussion

We evaluated the relationships between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters in patients with untreated and newly diagnosed NTG. CH was positively correlated with rim area and rim volume, and negatively with the linear cup-to-disc ratio in NTG patients. CRF was positively correlated with rim area in NTG patients. CH and CRF were directly associated with MD and VFI. In normal subjects, no independent parameters were associated with any visual field parameter.

Table 4 Multiple linear regression analysis for association between corneal parameters and ONH parameters in NTG patients

|                  | Linear cup to disc ratio | Rim area | Rim volume | Mean RNFL thickness |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------|
|                  | β  95% CI          | P value | β  95% CI  | P value             | β  95% CI  | P value |
| CH               | -0.019 ~ -0.004  | 0.015   | 0.054 ~ 0.096 | 0.012 | 0.019 ~ 0.036 | 0.028 | 0.013 ~ 0.025 | 0.043 |
| CCT              | 0.000 ~ 0.000     | 0.259   | 0.001 ~ 0.003 | 0.207 | 0.000 ~ 0.001 | 0.782 | 0.000 ~ 0.000 | 0.693 |
| CRF              | -0.011 ~ 0.005    | 0.189   | 0.004 ~ 0.089 | 0.057 | 0.016 ~ 0.034 | 0.090 | 0.000 ~ 0.000 | 0.955 |
| CCT              | -0.001 ~ 0.000    | 0.082   | 0.002 ~ 0.004 | 0.096 | 0.000 ~ 0.001 | 0.455 | 0.000 ~ 0.000 | 0.892 |

Table contains the results for eight regression models. The outcome variables are listed in the first row. Each model includes corneal hysteresis or corneal resistance factor as a covariate. Additionally, each model was adjusted for the following covariates: age, axial length, spherical equivalent, Goldmann applanation tonometry, CCT, and disc area.

Table 5 Multiple linear regression analysis for association between corneal parameters and ONH parameters in normal controls

|                  | Linear cup to disc ratio | Rim area | Rim volume | Mean RNFL thickness |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|---------------------|
|                  | β  95% CI          | P value | β  95% CI  | P value             | β  95% CI  | P value |
| CH               | -0.007 ~ 0.014     | 0.503   | -0.035 ~ 0.096 | 0.358 | 0.018 ~ 0.045 | 0.205 | 0.004 ~ 0.016 | 0.461 |
| CCT              | 0.000 ~ 0.001     | 0.857   | -0.004 ~ 0.033 | 0.760 | -0.001 ~ 0.001 | 0.348 | 0.000 ~ 0.000 | 0.907 |
| CRF              | -0.002 ~ 0.018     | 0.913   | 0.048 ~ 0.068 | 0.727 | 0.012 ~ 0.036 | 0.352 | 0.000 ~ 0.013 | 0.546 |
| CCT              | 0.000 ~ 0.001     | 0.942   | -0.004 ~ 0.004 | 0.962 | -0.001 ~ 0.001 | 0.432 | 0.000 ~ 0.000 | 0.956 |

Table contains the results for eight regression models. The outcome variables are listed in the first row. Each model includes corneal hysteresis or corneal resistance factor as a covariate. Additionally, each model was adjusted for the following covariates: age, axial length, spherical equivalent, Goldmann applanation tonometry, CCT, and disc area.

CCT Central corneal thickness, CH Corneal hysteresis, CI Confidence interval, CRF Corneal resistance factor, NTG Normal tension glaucoma, ONH Optic nerve head, RNFL Retinal nerve fibre layer.
CH in 117 POAG patients using the Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS) score, while neither the mean CCT nor GAT was asymmetric between the worse and better eyes [10]. De Moraes et al. found that lower CH was associated with progression of visual field damage in a multivariable model, whereas CCT was not [9]. In a prospective observational cohort study, Medeiros et al. reported that lower CH was associated with a faster decline in VFI and CH explained a larger proportion of the variation in slope of VFI change than CCT [3]. Zhang et al. found that lower CH was associated with faster thinning of the RNFL whereas CCT was not [8].

We found that NTG patients had lower CH than the normal group. These results are consistent with the earlier studies which found that CH is significantly lower in NTG patients than in normal subjects [14, 26–28]. Morita et al. found that the IOPcc of NTG eyes is significantly higher than that of normal eyes [26]. Ehrlich et al. reported that the IOPcc in patients with NTG eyes was greater than the GAT and that the difference between IOPcc and GAT was larger in patients with NTG than in patients with high-tension POAG or normal subjects [41].

The findings in this study indicate that CH has a greater influence on structural biomarkers than does CCT in NTG patients. Our findings are generally consistent with the earlier studies that examined the relationship between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head topographic parameters [15, 17, 18]. Prata et al. found that CH was the only corneal parameter significantly associated with both linear cup-to-disc ratio and mean cup depth after controlling for age, race, IOP, disc area, and CCT in 42 patients with high-tension POAG [18]. However, they did not report the relationship between corneal biomechanical properties and HRT parameters in NTG patients [18]. Recently, a population-based study reported that CH was significantly associated with anatomical quantitative features of the optic nerve head in the same direction as that seen in glaucoma after adjusting for GAT, CCT, and possible confounders [15]. However, the study lacks specificity of the association with a particular form of glaucoma and a definite relationship of CH with optic nerve head parameters in NTG patients was not established. Bochmann et al. demonstrated that CH in POAG patients with acquired pit was significantly lower than in those patients without structural changes in the optic disc, whereas CCT did not differ between the groups [17]. Two studies have reported that lower CH was associated with thinner RNFL thickness in patients with established or suspected glaucoma [42, 43]; however, the relationship was not statistically significant in a multivariable model after adjusting for possible confounders. These two studies differed from our study in that patients in the previous studies were treated with IOP-lowering therapy, which may affect the relationship between CH and structural markers of glaucoma [43]. The authors of the previous study did not report a particular type of glaucoma; thus, an association with NTG may not have been detected.

There was no statistically significant relationship between corneal factors (CH, the CRF, and CCT) and HRT parameters in the normal group. The relationship between CH and optic nerve head parameters observed in the present study was found only in NTG patients. This suggests that biomechanical changes in ocular tissue occurred in patients with NTG. Our findings are consistent with those of previous studies that investigated the relationship between CCT and the optic nerve head in an elderly normal population [44]. Hawker et al. found no significant correlation between CCT and any global optic nerve head parameters in 690 eyes with normal visual fields [44]. Similarly, a prospective experimental study reported that a normal control group did not show any association between CH and mean cup depth during IOP elevation, whereas a significant association was evident in the glaucoma group [5]. It is possible that the range of HRT parameters in the normal group may be narrow, which may explain the lack of association between corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameters in this study.

Many researchers have suggested that CH may be related to the biomechanical characteristics of the sclera and lamina cribrosa [5, 8, 17, 25]. Corneal stroma and sclera develop from mesoderm [36]. Furthermore, the collagen of the corneal stroma is continuous with the sclera and lamina cribrosa, despite the differences in embryonic development between the cornea and lamina cribrosa [45, 46]. Thus, it seems possible that the cornea, sclera, and lamina cribrosa share similar biomechanical characteristics and CH represents the response of the entire eye wall,
rather than of the cornea alone [5, 36, 47]. This is consistent with studies that reported that eyes with lower CH had a significantly greater decrease in axial length after trabeculectomy and high myopes had lower CH than emmetropes [36, 48]. Johnson et al. demonstrated that the pressure-volume curves of a corneal-scleral shell had the same shape as the pressure-volume curve of a whole globe [7].

Based on previous studies that reported an association between CH and optic nerve head surface compliance, it has been suggested that CH may represent an indirect measure of lamina cribrosa compliance [5, 6, 17, 47]. Wells et al. found that CH was significantly correlated with mean cup depth increase during transient elevation of IOP in glaucoma patients [5]. Prata et al. reported that a lower CH was correlated with a greater change in optic nerve head parameters after IOP reduction in 42 patients with POAG [6]. Lesk et al. demonstrated that a thinner CCT was associated with greater shallowing of the optic cup following IOP reduction in patients with POAG and ocular hypertension [47].

Burgoyne et al. suggested that IOP-related stress and strain on the optic nerve head depended on the biomechanical properties of the optic nerve head, which are associated primarily with the biomechanical properties of the lamina cribrosa, scleral canal, and peripapillary sclera [49]. Changes in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the lamina cribrosa in human and monkey eyes with glaucoma have been reported [50, 51]. Downs et al. found alterations in the viscoelastic properties of the peripapillary sclera of monkey eyes exposed to moderate, short-term, chronic IOP elevation [52]. Girard et al. reported scleral stiffening of monkey eyes in response to moderate IOP elevation [53]. They suggested that these biomechanical changes may be the result of scleral ECM remodelling [52, 53].

Thus, lower CH may be related to increased susceptibility of the optic disc to glaucomatous damage, induced by IOP elevation [3, 5, 6]. IOP increments of the order of 90 mmHg for squeezing of lids, 10 mmHg for eye turned to the side, and 10 mmHg for blinking were recorded in a study by Coleman and Trokel [54]. Patients with lower CH may be less able to damp these brief IOP fluctuations sufficiently when they squeeze, blink, or rub their eyes [7].

EMGT and the Collaborative Normal-Tension Glaucoma Study reported that progression occurred in some eyes with NTG, despite lowering of IOP [20, 23]. The optic nerve head in NTG eyes with lower CH may be more vulnerable to glaucomatous damage even when the GAT value is in the normal range [18]. This may explain partially the association of CH and structural biomarkers in NTG eyes. Given that the multiple regression models in the current investigation were adjusted for GAT, CH may be an IOP-independent risk factor for glaucoma related to the constitution of whole globe wall [9, 11].

Tsikripis et al. found prostaglandin analogue instillation was associated with an increase in CH [55]. An experimental study reported that latanoprost may upregulate matrix metalloproteinases, which can originate degeneration of ECM components [56]. However, we evaluated corneal biomechanical properties and optic nerve head parameter in newly diagnosed untreated cases of NTG to minimise the influence of long term use of prostaglandins on biomechanical properties of cornea.

Our study had certain limitations. First, the sample size of the study population was relatively modest. Second, all subjects included in this study were Asian; the relationship between biomechanical properties of cornea and structural measures may differ in other populations because CH differs by ethnicity [57]. Third, glaucoma severity was not assessed as an independent variable which may influence the impact of corneal biomechanical properties on HRT parameters. Fourth, the study was performed cross-sectionally. Thus, we were unable to determine whether the relationship between CH and HRT parameter in patients with NTG reflects a cause or an effect.

Conclusions

We found that lower corneal hysteresis was associated with smaller rim area and volume, larger linear cup-to-disc ratio, and thinner mean RNFL thickness in newly diagnosed untreated NTG patients after adjustment for age, axial length, corneal thickness, disc size, IOP, and spherical equivalent. The results of this study highlight the importance of corneal biomechanical properties on changes in the optic nerve head in NTG patients and may improve our understandings of the pathophysiological mechanism(s) involved in the development of glaucomatous optic neuropathy.
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