Methylosome Protein 50 and PKCδ/p38δ Protein Signaling Control Keratinocyte Proliferation via Opposing Effects on p21Cip1 Gene Expression*
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Background: Keratinocytes cease proliferation during differentiation, and the mechanism that mediates these events is not well understood.

Results: PRMT5/MEP50 control p21Cip1 promoter methylation to silence gene expression, and this is reversed by PKCδ/p38δ signaling.

Conclusion: PRMT5/MEP50 and PKCδ/p38δ signaling produce opposing actions to control keratinocyte proliferation during differentiation.

Significance: This study describes cross-talk between MAPK signaling and epigenetic mechanisms to control cell proliferation.

Protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5) is a key epigenetic regulator that symmetrically dimethylates arginine residues on histones H3 and H4 to silence gene expression. PRMT5 is frequently observed in a complex with the cofactor methylosome protein 50 (MEP50), which is required for PRMT5 activity. PKCδ/p38δ signaling, a key controller of keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation, increases p21Cip1 expression to suppress keratinocyte proliferation. We now show that MEP50 enhances keratinocyte proliferation and survival via mechanisms that include silencing of p21Cip1 expression. This is associated with enhanced PRMT5-MEP50 interaction at the p21Cip1 promoter and enhanced arginine dimethylation of the promoter-associated histones H3 and H4. MEP50 is also associated with a MEP50-dependent reduction in the level of p53, a key controller of p21Cip1 gene expression. We confirm an important biological role for MEP50 and PRMT5 in regulating keratinocyte proliferation using a stratified epidermal equivalent model that mimics in vivo epidermal keratinocyte differentiation. In this model, PRMT5 or MEP50 knockdown results in reduced keratinocyte proliferation. We further show that PKCδ/p38δ signaling suppresses MEP50 expression, leading to reduced H3/H4 arginine dimethylation at the p21Cip1 promoter, and that this is associated with enhanced p21Cip1 expression and reduced cell proliferation. These findings describe an opposing action between PKCδ/p38δ MAPK signaling and PRMT5/MEP50 epigenetic silencing mechanisms in regulating cell proliferation.

PKCδ and p38δ are key components of a cascade that controls keratinocyte differentiation and proliferation (1–7). PKCδ activates a MEKK1/MEK3/p38δ signaling cascade that triggers events that enhance keratinocyte differentiation and suppress proliferation (4, 6, 8, 9). Downstream events include activation of AP1, Sp1, and Kruppel-like transcription factors, leading to activation of differentiation and suppression of proliferation (1, 3, 10–18). This cascade has an important role in controlling differentiation-associated gene expression (15–17), which has been confirmed by transgenic mouse experiments, demonstrating a key role for specific transcription factors and gene response elements in this regulation (15–17, 19).

A recent study indicates that PRMT5, an arginine methyltransferase that symmetrically dimethylates arginine residues on target proteins, acts to antagonize PKCδ/MEK3/p38δ signaling (20). In this context, PRMT5 acts to enhance keratinocyte survival (20). PRMT5 associates with a coactivator called MEP50 (21, 22), an interaction that is required for PRMT5 activation (21, 23). This complex then functions to dimethylate specific arginines on target proteins, leading to altered protein function. Loss of either PRMT5 or MEP50 reduces this activity (21, 22). Histones are important PRMT5 targets. PRMT5 produces changes in histone dimethylation that are part of the epigenetic code that controls gene expression (24, 25). Histones 3 and 4 are important PRMT5 targets. The PRMT5-MEP50 complex symmetrically dimethylates arginine 3 of histone H4 (H4R3me2s), and arginine 8 of histone H3 (H3R8me2s), and these modifications are associated with silencing of gene expression (26–28).

Keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation are important processes in the keratinocyte life cycle that must be balanced to produce a stratified tissue that functions as an appropriate barrier (29). Delayed cessation of keratinocyte proliferation, for example, can lead to epidermal disease (19, 30). In this study, we examine the role of MEP50 as a controller of keratinocyte proliferation. We show that MEP50 enhances keratinocyte prolif-
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To understand the mechanism, we study the impact of MEP50 expression on p21Cip1 gene expression, a key controller of keratinocyte proliferation (2, 31). We show that MEP50 has a role in stimulating dimethylation of histones associated with key regulator elements in the p21Cip1 gene promoter and that this is associated with silencing of p21Cip1 expression. We further show that PKCδ/p38δ antiproliferation signaling reduces PRMT5 and MEP50 expression and PRMT5-MEP50 association with the p21Cip1 gene. This leads to reduced H3R8me2s and H4R3me2s formation at the p21Cip1 promoter, leading to derepression. We propose that PRMT5-MEP50 silencing of antiproliferation genes is an important mechanism of survival and that PKCδ/p38δ signaling pathways reduce the expression of this PRMT5-MEP50 dimethylation complex as a mechanism to induce p21Cip1 expression and suppress proliferation during keratinocyte differentiation.

Experimental Procedures

Antibodies and Reagents—Rabbit polyclonal antibodies for MEP50 (catalog no. 2823), PRMT5 (catalog no. 2252), and p21Cip1 (catalog no. 2947) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), and mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin (catalog no. A-5441), anti-FLAG antibody (catalog no. 8592), and anti-FLAG M2-FITC (catalog no. F4049) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Normal rabbit IgG was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). The secondary antibodies included peroxidaselabeled anti-FLAG (catalog no. sc-271292) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Phorbol ester, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), was obtained from Calbiochem (Billerica, MA; catalog no. 524400). We report results from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Phorbol ester, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), was obtained from Calbiochem (Billerica, MA; catalog no. 524400). We report results from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). The secondary antibodies included peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG (catalog no. NXA931, GE Healthcare) and peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (catalog no. NA934V, GE Healthcare). Peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG (catalog no. sc-2033) was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Phorbol ester, 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA), was obtained from Calbiochem (Billerica, MA; catalog no. 254400). We report results using control (catalog no. D-001206-13-05), MEF50 (catalog no. M-006895-01-0005), and PRMT5 (catalog no. M-015817-02-0005) siRNA reagents from Dharmacon Inc (Lafayette, CO). Key findings were confirmed using additional siRNAs that target MEP50 (catalog nos. D-006895-01-0002 and D-006895-02-0002) and PRMT5 (catalog nos. D-015817-01-0002 and D-015817-04-0002).

Cell Culture, Plasmids, and Viruses—Keratinocyte (KERn) serum-free medium (KSFM), 0.25% trypsin, and Hanks’ balanced salt solution were purchased from Invitrogen. Foreskin epidermis, obtained from newborn infants, was separated from the dermis by overnight dispase treatment, and KERn were obtained after dispersal with trypsin and maintained in KSFM supplemented with epidermal growth factor and pituitary extract (6, 7). p21-2326, encoding the human p21Cip1 promoter linked to luciferase, was obtained from Dr. Bert Vogelstein (32). The wild-type p21Cip1 promoter and promoter mutants encoding mutant p53 transcription factor binding sites were constructed in pBluescript II KS (+) (2). PG13-Luc was obtained from Dr. Nancy Colburn (33). The human MEP50-encoding plasmid was constructed by primer amplification using plasmid p-OTB7-FLAG-MEP50 (pOTB7-WDR77, MHS1011-20830316) from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL) as a template. FLAG-MEP50 was amplified as a BamHI/NotI fragment using 5’-GATC GGA TCC ATG GAC TAC AAG GAG GAC GAC GAC AAG ATG CGG AAG GAA ACC CCA (forward) and 5’-GATC GGC GGC TAC TCA GTA ACA CTT GCA GG (reverse) primers. The ATG start codon is shown in boldface, and the FLAG epitope is underlined. The product was then cloned into pcDNA3 to produce pcDNA3-FLAG-MEP50. Adenoviruses encoding HA-p38δ, PKCδ, and empty control virus (tAd5-HA-p38δ, Ad5-5PkCδ, Ad5-FLAG-p38δ, and Ad5-EV) were prepared by propagation in HEK293 cells and followed by cesium chloride gradient centrifugation. For experiments involving adenoviral infection, KERn were treated with adenovirus (multiplicity of infection 15) in KSFM containing 6 µg/ml Polybrene. Tetracycline-inducible viruses (tAd5-EV, tAd5-PKδC, and tAd5-HA-38δ) were coinfected with Ad5-TA-encoding virus to induce PKCδ and p38δ expression (1).

Promoter Luciferase Assay—p21Cip1 promoter reporter plasmid (0.5 µg) and 1 µg of pcDNA3 or pcDNA3-FLAG-MEP50 were mixed with 4.5 µl of FuGENE6 (Promega) diluted in 95.5 µl of KSFM. After a 20-min incubation, this mixture was added to 2 ml of KSFM in dishes containing 50% confluent KERn cultures. After 24 h, cell lysates were collected and processed for the luciferase activity assay (1).

Keratinocyte Electroporation and Cell Proliferation Assay—The AMAXA electroporator and VPD-1002 nucleofection kit was used for keratinocyte electroporation. KERn were harvested with trypsin and replated 1 day prior to electroporation. The cells were then reharvested with trypsin, and 1 million cells were used per electroporation. The cells were suspended in 100 µl of keratinocyte nucleofection solution containing 3 µg of control, MEP50, or PRMT5 siRNA. The mixture was mixed by gentle pipetting, transferred to the electroporation cuvette, and electroporated using the T-018 setting. KSFM (500 µl of keratinocyte nucleofection solution) was added, and the mixture was transferred to a 55-cm2 dishes containing 10 ml of KSFM. The cells were maintained for various time points before the extracts were prepared for mRNA or protein analysis. This method achieves electroporation efficiencies of >90% efficiency (1).

Cells used for proliferation experiments were double-electroporated. This involved an initial electroporation with 3 µg of appropriate siRNA, recovery in culture for 72 h, a repeat electroporation with 3 µg of siRNA, and 24 h of recovery in culture. The cells were then harvested and seeded at low density (15,000 cells/well) in 35-mm dishes, and the cells were counted at 48, 72, and 96 h.

Immunoblot—Cell extracts were prepared in cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no. 9803) containing protease inhibitor (Calbiochem, catalog no. 539134). Equivalent amounts of protein were electrophoresed on 12% denaturing polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose mem-
branes. The membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 and incubated overnight with primary antibody and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 2 h. β-actin served as a gel loading control.

Quantitative RT-PCR—Total RNA was isolated using the RNAspin system (GE Healthcare) and reverse-transcribed using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). RNA (1 μg) was used for cDNA preparation. Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I master mix (Roche Diagnostics) was used to measure mRNA levels. The signals were normalized to the level of cyclophilin A mRNA. The gene-specific primers used for detection of mRNA levels were as follows: p21Cip1, 5'-AAG ACC ATG TGG ACC TGT CAC TGT (forward) and 5'-AGG GCT TCC TCT TGG AGA AGA TCA (reverse); MEP50, 5'-TTG CTC AGC AGG TGG TAC TGA GTT (forward) and 5'-AAT CTG TGA TGC TGG CCT GGG ACA (reverse); p53, 5'-TAA CAG TTC CTG CAT GGG CGG C (forward) and 5'-AGG ACA GGC ACA AAC ACG CAC C (reverse); and cyclophilin A, 5'-CAT CTG CAC TGC CAA GAC TGA (forward) and 5'-TTC ATG CCT TCT TCT AAC TGT GC (reversed).

Immunostaining—Cells maintained on coverslips were fixed for 20 min in phosphate-buffered saline containing 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with methanol chilled at −20 °C. The coverslips were subsequently incubated with the appropriate primary and secondary antibodies for 1 h each. Cells were then incubated with Hoechst 33258 (1:2000) for 5 min, washed, and mounted on glass slides using Fluoromount (Sigma, catalog no. F4680). An Olympus OX81 spinning disc confocal microscope was used to collect fluorescent images. Paraffin-embedded sections of foreskin epidermis were immunostained as described previously using the fluorescence or peroxidase methods. MEP50 antibody (catalog no. ab5772) staining was detected using an appropriate fluorophore-conjugated or biotinylated secondary antibody. Biotinylated secondary antibody was obtained as part of the mouse IgG Vectastain ABC kit (catalog no. PK-6102, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

ChIP—ChIP assays were performed using the Diagenode Low Cell ChIP assay kit (C01010073: kch-maglow-G48). 0.5 × 10⁶ cells were infected with empty adenovirus (multiplicity of infection 15) or adenoviruses encoding HA-p38α or PKCδ, and, after 48 h, 1 million 1 × 10⁶ cells were used for shearing and 0.1 × 10⁵ cells were used for immunoprecipitation per antibody. Enrichment of MEP50-, PRMT5-, H4R3me2s, and H3R8me2s-associated DNA sequences in immunoprecipitated samples were detected by quantitative RT-PCR using sequence-specific primers and LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I master mix. Primers were designed to detect the human p21Cip1 promoter p53 binding site located at nucleotides −1426/−1310 (forward, 5'-CCG AGG TCA GTC GCT GGG TTA GAG G; reverse, 5'-AGA ACC CAG CAC TGG AGC AGC). Epidermal Equivalent Cultures—Freshly isolated foreskin keratinocytes (1.5 × 10⁶) were electroporated with 3 μg of control, MEP50, or PRMT5 siRNA. After 72 h of recovery, the cells were harvested and 2 × 10⁶ cells from each group were electroporated again with 3 μg of the same siRNA. The cells were then seeded onto Millicell-PCF chambers (0.4 μm, 12 mm, catalog no. PIHP01250) in KSFM. After 24 h, the cells were shifted to Epilife medium containing 1.4 mM calcium chloride and 5 μg/ml vitamin C and cultured at the air-liquid interface (3, 34). Fresh Epilife medium was added every 2 days. After 5 days, the epidermal equivalents were harvested for preparation of RNA and protein and histology. Total RNA was isolated for quantitative RT-PCR using the Illustra RNAspin mini kit (GE Healthcare). For protein lysates, the inserts were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline, and the cells were harvested in 0.0625 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) containing 10% glycerol, 5% SDS, and 5% β-mercaptoethanol. Then the cells were sonicated and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was collected for immunoblot analysis (34).

Results

MEP50 Distribution in the Epidermis—MEP50 localizes in the nucleus or cytoplasm in a tissue- and cell type-dependent manner that also depends upon the environment (35–37). To assess MEP50 localization in keratinocytes, we stained paraffin-embedded sections of foreskin epidermis with anti-MEP50. Fig. 1A identifies MEP50 as being present in all epidermal layers. Cytoplasmic localization predominates in the basal and spinous layers, whereas nuclear distribution is prominent in the granular layers. We next compared the distribution of endogenous and FLAG-tagged expressed MEP50 in cultured normal epidermal foreskin keratinocytes. Fig. 1B shows a mixed cytoplasmic and nuclear distribution in these cultures and that expressed FLAG-MEP50 assumes a similar distribution as endogenous MEP50. This is consistent with a previous report showing that MEP50 localizes in the nucleus and cytoplasm in lung cancer cell lines (38). These data also show that vector-expressed FLAG-MEP50 colocalizes with endogenous MEP50.

MEP50 Regulation of Cell Proliferation—A previous study showed that PRMT5 acts to enhance keratinocyte survival and proliferation (20). Because MEP50 is frequently engaged as a PRMT5 cofactor required for PRMT5 activation, we examined whether altering MEP50 expression regulates keratinocyte proliferation. Cells were treated with control, MEP50, or PRMT5 siRNA. Fig. 2A shows that MEP50 or PRMT5 knockdown reduces cell proliferation. Fig. 2B confirms a reduction in MEP50 expression in MEP50 siRNA-treated cells. It also shows that PRMT5 levels are reduced. The reduction in PRMT5 is not surprising considering that these proteins are part of a coregulated complex (2, 21, 23). Simultaneous knockdown of both proteins does not further reduce proliferation, as would be expected if both proteins are a necessary part of the MEP50/PRMT5 complex (data not shown).

MEP50 Control of p21Cip1 Expression—To gain insights into the mechanism of MEP50 regulation of proliferation, we examined the effect of MEP50 expression on p21Cip1 expression. p21Cip1 is an important cell cycle control regulator in keratinocytes (2, 31). We have shown previously that p21Cip1 is increased when keratinocyte proliferation is inhibited (2) via a mechanism that involves p53 interaction at the p21Cip1 gene promoter (31). Fig. 3A shows that increased MEP50 expression is associated with reduced p21Cip1 mRNA and protein expression. To examine the impact of MEP50 on p21Cip1 gene expression, KERn cells were transfected with constructs encoding various p21Cip1 promoter segments fused to the luciferase reporter
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**FIGURE 1. MEP50 is expressed in the human epidermis and in cultured keratinocytes.** A, foreskin tissue sections were stained with anti-MEP50, and binding was visualized with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (top panels). The control is IgG. The arrows indicate MEP50 nuclear localization in suprabasal keratinocytes. Foreskin tissue sections (bottom panels) were fixed and stained with anti-MEP50, and antibody binding was visualized using a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody. The arrows indicate nuclear MEP50 accumulation. Scale bars = 10 μm. B, colocalization of endogenous and expressed MEP50. KERn were electroporated with 3 μg of pcDNA3 or pcDNA3-FLAG-MEP50. After 48 h, protein lysates were tested by immunoblot using anti-FLAG and anti-MEP50. β-actin was used as the loading control. After 48 h, the cells were fixed and stained with anti-FLAG (green) and anti-MEP50 (red). Similar results were observed in each of three experiments. The staining indicates MEP50 distribution in the nucleus and cytoplasm. Scale bars = 10 μm.

**FIGURE 2. MEP50 and PRMT5 are required for keratinocyte proliferation.** A, KERn were electroporated twice with control, MEP50, or PRMT5 siRNA, and 15,000 cells/well were plated. After overnight attachment, the cell number was determined (day 0) and at the indicated times thereafter. The values are mean ± S.E. (n = 3). *, p < 0.005. B, immunoblot detection of MEP50 and PRMT5. The immunoblot confirms a reduction in MEP50 in MEP50 siRNA-treated cultures. PRMT5 is also reduced.

**FIGURE 3. MEP50 reduces p21Cip1 expression.** A, a luciferase reporter assay confirms that MEP50 knockdown reduces MEP50 and PRMT5 association with the p21Cip1 promoter. Further ChIP analysis (Fig. 4B) shows that loss of MEP50 is associated with reduced p21Cip1 promoter-associated H4R3me2s formation but no reduction in H3R8me2s formation. These findings suggest that the increase in p21Cip1 expression observed in MEP50 knockdown cells is, in part, due to loss of PRMT5 and MEP50 and reduced formation of H4R3me2s and H3R8me2s (39). These modifications occur at histones associated with genes that are undergoing silencing (22, 39). We therefore examined the role of MEP50 in modifying H3 and H4 associated with the p21Cip1 promoter. We selected the biologically important p21Cip1 promoter p53-2 binding response element as a target (2, 31, 32, 40). This region is known to bind p53 as a requirement for increased p21Cip1 expression (32, 40). Fig. 4A uses a ChIP analysis to show that MEP50 knockdown reduces MEP50 and PRMT5 association with the p21Cip1 promoter. Further ChIP analysis (Fig. 4B) shows that loss of MEP50 is associated with reduced p21Cip1 promoter-associated H4R3me2s formation but no reduction in H3R8me2s formation. These findings suggest that the increase in p21Cip1 expression observed in MEP50 knockdown cells is, in part, due to loss of PRMT5 and MEP50 and reduced formation of H4R3me2s and chromatin surrounding the p53-2 DNA response element in the p21Cip1 promoter. It is not clear why H3R8me2s levels are not reduced.

**PKCδ and p38β Regulation of the MEP50 Level—The PKCδ, MEKK1, MEK3, and p38β signaling cascade is a central controller of keratinocyte proliferation. Activation of this cascade by overexpression of PKCδ or p38β suppresses proliferation and enhances differentiation (9), which is associated with a specific increase in p21Cip1 gene expression (1, 2, 31). We wanted to assess whether activation of this cascade results in reduced expression of MEP50 or PRMT5. Keratinocytes were grown in the presence of empty virus or PKCδ- or p38β-encoding adenovirus, and, after 48 h, extracts were prepared to assess the

gene (2, 31) in the presence or absence of the FLAG-MEP50 expression vector. Fig. 3B shows the structure of the p21Cip1 promoter, which includes a cluster of six Sp1 binding sites in the proximal promoter and two p53 response elements (p53-1 and p53-2) in the distal promoter (2, 31). Fig. 3B shows that MEP50 expression reduces the activity of the full-length p21Cip1 promoter by 70%. As a control, we show that mutation of one or both p53 transcription factor binding sites attenuates the MEP50 impact by reducing the overall promoter activity. These findings indicate that MEP50 and p53 produce opposite effects on p21Cip1 gene expression and that MEP50 expression can antagonize the action of p53.

We next examined whether MEP50 expression reduces p53 as part of the mechanism that leads to reduced p21Cip1 promoter activity. Fig. 3C shows that MEP50 expression reduces the level of p53-encoding mRNA, and Fig. 3D shows that this is associated with a reduction in p53 (PG13-Luc) promoter activity. Fig. 3E confirms that this is associated with loss of p21Cip1 promoter activity. These findings suggest that MEP50 suppresses p53 gene expression as part of the mechanism by which MEP50 reduces p21Cip1 expression.

**MEP50 and PRMT Interact at the p21Cip1 Promoter—**A major role of the PRMT5-MEP50 complex is catalyzing the formation of H4R3me2s and H3R8me2s (39). These modifications occur at histones associated with genes that are undergoing silencing (22, 39). We therefore examined the role of MEP50 in modifying H3 and H4 associated with the p21Cip1 promoter. We selected the biologically important p21Cip1 promoter p53-2 binding response element as a target (2, 31, 32, 40). This region is known to bind p53 as a requirement for increased p21Cip1 expression (32, 40). Fig. 4A uses a ChIP analysis to show that MEP50 knockdown reduces MEP50 and PRMT5 association with the p21Cip1 promoter. Further ChIP analysis (Fig. 4B) shows that loss of MEP50 is associated with reduced p21Cip1 promoter-associated H4R3me2s formation but no reduction in H3R8me2s formation. These findings suggest that the increase in p21Cip1 expression observed in MEP50 knockdown cells is, in part, due to loss of PRMT5 and MEP50 and reduced formation of H4R3me2s and chromatin surrounding the p53-2 DNA response element in the p21Cip1 promoter. It is not clear why H3R8me2s levels are not reduced.

**PKCδ and p38β Regulation of the MEP50 Level—**The PKCδ, MEKK1, MEK3, and p38β signaling cascade is a central controller of keratinocyte proliferation. Activation of this cascade by overexpression of PKCδ or p38β suppresses proliferation and enhances differentiation (9), which is associated with a specific increase in p21Cip1 gene expression (1, 2, 31). We wanted to assess whether activation of this cascade results in reduced expression of MEP50 or PRMT5. Keratinocytes were grown in the presence of empty virus or PKCδ- or p38β-encoding adenovirus, and, after 48 h, extracts were prepared to assess the
impact on total MEP50, PRMT5, H4R3me2s, and H3R8me2s levels. Fig. 5A shows that PKC/H9254 or p38/H9254 expression reduces the total MEP50 and PRMT5 levels and the total cellular levels of H3R8me2s and H4R3me2s while increasing the p21Cip1 level. We next assessed, by ChIP analysis, whether PKC/H9254 or p38/H9254 expression is associated with reduced MEP50 or PRMT5 interaction at the p21Cip1 gene promoter p53-2 response element. Fig. 5, B and C, shows that PKCδ or p38δ expression at the p21Cip1 promoter p53-2 site.

**Impact of TPA Treatment on Promoter Activity**—We also examined whether a known activator of the PKCδ/p38δ cascade (4) alters MEP50 and PRMT5 expression. Fig. 6A shows that TPA treatment reduces total cellular levels of PRMT5 and MEP50. Moreover, the ChIP analysis in Fig. 6, B and C, shows that this treatment reduces MEP50 and PRMT5 levels at the p21Cip1 promoter p53-2 response element and confirms that this is associated with reduced element-associated H4R3me2s and H3R8me2s. Fig. 6D confirms that reduced modification of methylation of histones at the p21Cip1 promoter is associated with an increased p21Cip1 protein level.

**Biological Relevance of PRMT5 and MEP50 Regulation**—To further assess the biological relevance of this regulation, we examined the impact of altering the MEP50 and PRMT5 levels on proliferation using a keratinocyte epidermal equivalent model. In this model, keratinocytes are grown at the air-liquid interface to produce a stratified, multilayered, and differentiated epidermal equivalent (41). This system is a faithful mimic of in vivo-like stratification (41). Primary foreskin keratinocytes were electroporated with control, MEP50, or PRMT5 siRNA and then transferred to Milli-cell chambers for growth as epidermal equivalent cultures.
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To assess the biological impact of MEP50 or PRMT5 knockdown on differentiation and proliferation, we monitored the effect on morphology of the epidermal equivalent cultures. Fig. 7, A and B, shows that cultures expressing normal endogenous levels of MEP50 and PRMT5 undergo appropriate proliferation and differentiation to produce a normal multilayered tissue and stable cornified layer. In contrast, knockdown of either MEP50 or PRMT5 produces a significantly thinner epidermal equivalent. In particular, the viable middle layers are reduced relative to the cornified (top) dead layers, suggestive of reduced proliferation. To test this, we generated epidermal equivalents from cells electroporated with control siRNA or MEP50 siRNA. After 48 h, extracts were prepared for ChIP analysis. DNA from 1 million cells was sheared, and 50,000 cell equivalents of DNA were used for immunoprecipitation. The primers span the p21Cip1 promoter region that includes the p53-2 site. The values are mean ± S.E. (n = 3), * p < 0.005. C, extracts were prepared from the electroporated cells after 48 h to confirm MEP50 knockdown.

Discussion

Keratinocytes constitute the major cell type of the epidermis (29). These cells begin as proliferative cells in the epidermal basal layer and undergo a highly orchestrated differentiation program leading to cornified envelope formation. The result is the formation of a multilayered epidermis in which differentiated cells are released from the surface (29). Decoding the mechanisms that control epidermal homeostasis is an important goal, as is understanding how deregulation of this process leads to disease. Novel PKC isoforms play pivotal roles in the regulation of epidermal homeostasis (5, 7, 43). They activate MAPK signaling to direct specific transcription factors to increase expression of differentiation-associated genes (4). Simultaneously, they control keratinocyte proliferation by increasing the expression of growth-suppressing genes, including p21\(^{Cip1}\) (2). Previous studies from our group have shown that PKC\(\delta\) activates a MEKK1/MEK3/p38\(\delta\) cascade that stimulates KLF4, Sp1, and p53 transcription factor association with the p21\(^{Cip1}\) promoter, leading to increased p21\(^{Cip1}\) expression and reduced cell proliferation (2, 34). However, less is known about mechanisms that antagonize this action to maintain keratinocyte proliferative potential.

Our recent mass spectrometry analysis identified PRMT5, a type II symmetric arginine methyltransferase, as a novel component of the PKC\(\delta/p38\) regulatory complex (20). We further showed that PRMT5 acts to enhance cell survival by catalyzing symmetric dimethyl arginine modification of target proteins in this complex, leading to inhibition of p38\(\delta\) activity (20). This represents a mechanism whereby PRMT5 acts to suppress activity in a growth suppression signaling cascade.

However, PRMT5 is also known to act at the level of chromatin to increase histone arginine dimethylation as a mechanism to silence gene expression (44). Silencing is mediated by PRMT5-MEP50-dependent histone arginine dimethylation of H4R3 and H3R8 to form H4R3me2s and H3R8me2s (21, 44, 45). In this study, we examine the impact of PRMT5 on histone arginine dimethylation of the p21\(^{Cip1}\) promoter as a mechanism of keratinocyte growth control. We focus on the role of MEP50, a cofactor of PRMT5 required for PRMT5 catalytic activity. We find that MEP50 is abundantly expressed in the foreskin epidermis and monolayer keratinocyte cultures, where it is present in both the nucleus and cytoplasm. This localization is similar to that observed in other cell types (38). We show that MEP50 knockdown leads to a substantial reduction in cell proliferation. Moreover, the reduction is associated with increased expression of p21\(^{Cip1}\), a known inhibitor of keratinocyte proliferation (2, 31). Knockdown studies show that the MEP50 level is inversely correlated with the p21\(^{Cip1}\) mRNA level and promoter activity.

Previous studies show that the p53 transcription factor is a key positive activator of p21\(^{Cip1}\) expression (40) and that it acts by binding to two p53 response elements, p53-1 and p53-2, in the distal region of the p21\(^{Cip1}\) promoter. In an effort to dissect the mechanism of MEP50 action, we manipulated MEP50 expression using siRNA and expression vectors and monitored the impact on p21\(^{Cip1}\) gene expression, promoter activity, and modification of histone surrounding the p53-2 response element in the p21\(^{Cip1}\) promoter. These studies showed an inverse relationship between the MEP50 level and p21\(^{Cip1}\) expression and promoter activity. We further show that MEP50 interaction at the p53-2 response element is associated with the acquisition of the histone silencing marks H4R3me2s and H3R8m2s.
This is a particularly important finding because the p53-2 response element (nucleotides −1393/−1374) in the p21Cip1 promoter is a key positive regulatory element (31). This suggests that MEP50, acting with PRMT5, inhibits p21Cip1 by producing silencing histone marks in this region of chromatin. We have not assayed the impact of these manipulations on the arginine dimethylation of histones H4 and H3 at the p53-1 site (nucleotides −2281/−2261), but we assume that the results would be similar.

Few studies have examined the interplay between cell cycle regulators such as p21Cip1 and PRMT5-MEP50. However, one study suggests that MEP50, acting with PRMT5, inhibits p21Cip1 by producing silencing histone marks in this region of chromatin. We have not assayed the impact of these manipulations on the arginine dimethylation of histones H4 and H3 at the p53-1 site (nucleotides −2281/−2261), but we assume that the results would be similar.

A novel aspect of this work is identifying an opposing/antagonistic relationship between PKC\(\delta\)/p38\(\alpha\) signaling and MEP50-PRMT5 action. We show that activation of PKC\(\delta\)/p38\(\delta\) signaling, by expression of either of these kinases or by treatment with an activator of PKC\(\delta\) (TPA) results in increased p21Cip1 expression via direct transcriptional activation of p21Cip1 gene expression (2, 31). This study shows that PRMT5-MEP50 opposes this regulation and that this is associated with symmetric dimethyl arginine modification of histones on the p21Cip1 promoter. Therefore, this study establishes a new biochemical link that may help explain the opposing actions of PKC\(\delta\)/p38\(\alpha\) signaling and MEP50-PRMT5 as regulators of the p21Cip1 locus. This would be consistent with our previous report showing that PRMT5 dimethylates proteins in a p38\(\alpha\) complex, leading to reduced p38\(\alpha\) phosphorylation and activity in this cascade (20). Together, these findings suggest that PRMT5-MEP50 inhibits PKC\(\delta\)/p38\(\delta\) signaling in keratinocytes via multiple mechanisms.

![FIGURE 5. PKC\(\delta\) and p38\(\alpha\) regulate MEP50 and PRMT5 level and activity.](image-url)
An additional significant finding is that PKCδ/p38δ-dependent signaling reduces the MEP50 and PRMT5 protein level and histone arginine dimethylation. Specifically, H3R8me2s and H4R3me2s levels are reduced at key transcriptional elements in the p21Cip1 promoter. This suggests that activity in this prodifferentiation/antiproliferation cascade actively suppresses function of MEP50-PRMT5 pro-proliferation/prosurvival regulation. This, in theory, suggests that this combination of events leads to a more efficient induction of gene (p21Cip1) expression. These findings are also interesting from the perspective that PRMT5 and MEP50 have been shown to be pro-survival proteins in a variety of cancer models (35–38, 46, 48–50), which supports the idea that these proteins are elevated under conditions where cells need to retain proliferative potential.

To better assess the biological relevance of MEP50, we used an epidermal equivalent model that efficiently mimics in vivo keratinocyte differentiation (41). Our study shows that knockdown of MEP50 or PRMT5 results in a significant reduction in thickness of the epidermis. This is in agreement with the observation that MEP50 or PRMT5 knockdown decreases keratinocyte thickness.
cyte cell number in studies of monolayer cultures. Additionally, staining of sections from the control, MEP50, and PRMT5 knockdown epidermal equivalents show fewer Ki67-positive cells in the basal layer of MEP50 or PRMT5 knockdown cells compared with the control equivalents. These data strongly suggest that MEP50 and PRMT5 are likely to have a physiologically meaningful role in controlling keratinocyte proliferation in vivo.

In summary, we have shown that a PKCδ/p38 cascade activates p53 interaction at the p21<sup>Cip1</sup> promoter p53 response elements to increase transcription of the p21<sup>Cip1</sup> gene (Fig. 8). p21<sup>Cip1</sup> inhibits cyclin-dependent kinase activity to suppress cell cycle progression and reduce cell proliferation (2, 31). PRMT5 and MEP50 act to antagonize this action by arginine dimethylating proteins that are part of a p38<sup>RK</sup> cascade by PKCδ/H9254 complex formation. p38<sup>RK</sup> mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade that targets CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein that activates involucrin gene transcription in differentiating keratinocytes.

**References**

1. Adhikary, G., Chew, Y. C., Reece, E. A., and Eckert, R. L. (2010) PKC-δ and -η, MEKK1, MEK3, p38δ, and p53 to increase p21<sup>Cip1</sup> expression, which leads to reduced keratinocyte proliferation. PRMT5/MEP50 arginine dimethylates proteins in the p38δ complex to inhibit p38δ (20), and arginine dimethylates histones H3R8me2s and H4R3me2s in the p21<sup>Cip1</sup> promoter to reduce p21<sup>Cip1</sup> expression, leading to increased cell proliferation. We propose that the PKCδ signaling is dominant in differentiated/non-proliferative cells and that PRMT5-MEP50 is dominant in non-differentiated/proliferative cells. The dashed line indicates that PKCδ/p38δ signaling can reduce PRMT5 and MEP50 expression to suppress pro-proliferation signaling.

2. Chew, Y. C., Adhikary, G., Wilson, G. M., Reece, E. A., and Eckert, R. L. (2011) PKCδ suppresses keratinocyte proliferation by increasing p21<sup>Cip1</sup> level by a KLF4-dependent mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 28771–28782.

3. Chew, Y. C., Adhikary, G., Xu, W., Wilson, G. M., and Eckert, R. L. (2013) Protein kinase C δ increases Kruppel-like factor 4 Protein, which drives involucrin gene transcription in differentiating keratinocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 17759–17768.

4. Efimova, T., LaCelle, P., Welter, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (1998) Regulation of human involucrin promoter activity by a protein kinase C. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 24387–24395.

5. Efimova, T., Deucher, A., Kuroki, T., Obha, M., and Eckert, R. L. (2002) Novel protein kinase C isoforms regulate human keratinocyte differentiation by activating a p38 δ mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade that targets CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 31753–31760.

6. Efimova, T., Broome, A. M., and Eckert, R. L. (2003) A regulatory role for p38 δ MAPK in keratinocyte differentiation: evidence for p38 δ-ERK1/2 complex formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 34277–34285.

7. Efimova, T., Broome, A. M., and Eckert, R. L. (2004) Protein kinase Cδ regulates keratinocyte death and survival by regulating activity and subcellular localization of a p38δ-extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 complex. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 8167–8183.

8. Eckert, R. L., Efimova, T., Balasubramanian, S., Crish, J. F., Bone, F., and Dashti, S. (2003) p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases on the body surface: a function for p38δ. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 120, 823–828.

9. Eckert, R. L., Crish, J. F., Efimova, T., Dashti, S. R., Deucher, A., Bone, F., Adhikary, G., Huang, G., Gopalakrishnan, R., and Balasubramanian, S. (2004) Regulation of involucrin gene expression. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 123, 13–22.

10. Welter, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (1995) Differential expression of fos and jun family members c-fos, fosB, Fra-1, Fra-2, c-jun, JunB and JunD during human epidermal keratinocyte differentiation. *Oncogene* 11, 2681–2687.

11. Welter, J. F., Crish, J. F., Agarwal, C., and Eckert, R. L. (1995) Fos-related antigen (Fra-1), JunB and JunD activate human involucrin promoter transcription by binding to proximal and distal AP1 sites to mediate phorbol ester effects on promoter activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 12614–12622.

12. Han, B., Rorke, E. A., Adhikary, G., Chew, Y. C., Xu, W., and Eckert, R. L. (2012) Suppression of AP1 transcription factor function in keratinocyte suppresses differentiation. *PLoS ONE* 7, e36941.

13. Banks, E. B., Crish, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (1999) Transcription factor Sp1 activates involucrin promoter activity in non-epithelial cell types. *Biochem. J.* 337, 507–512.

14. Banks, E. B., Crish, J. F., Welter, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (1998) Characterization of human involucrin promoter distal regulatory region transcriptional activator elements: a role for Sp1 and AP1 binding sites. *Biochem. J.* 331, 61–68.

15. Crish, J. F., Zaim, T. M., and Eckert, R. L. (1998) The distal regulatory region of the human involucrin promoter is required for expression in epidermis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 30460–30465.

16. Crish, J. F., Bone, F., Banks, E. B., and Eckert, R. L. (2002) The human involucrin gene contains spatially distinct regulatory elements that regulate expression during early versus late epidermal differentiation. *Oncogene* 21, 738–747.

17. Crish, J. F., Gopalakrishnan, R., Bone, F., Gilliam, A. C., and Eckert, R. L. (2006) The distal and proximal regulatory regions of the involucrin gene promoter have distinct functions and are required for in vivo involucrin
expression. J. Invest. Dermatol. 126, 305–314
18. Crish, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (2008) Synergistic activation of human involucrin gene expression by Fra-1 and p300: evidence for the presence of a multiprotein complex. J. Invest. Dermatol. 128, 530–541
19. Rorke, E. A., Adhikary, G., Jans, R., Crish, J. F., and Eckert, R. L. (2010) AP1 factor inactivation in the suprabasal epidermis causes increased epidermal hyperproliferation and hyperkeratosis but reduced carcinogen-dependent tumor formation. Oncogene 29, 5873–5882
20. Kanade, S. R., and Eckert, R. L. (2012) Protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5) signaling suppresses protein kinase Ca2+ and p38-dependent signaling and keratinocyte differentiation. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 7313–7323
21. Ho, M. C., Wilczek, C., Bonanno, J. B., Xing, L., Seznec, J., Matsui, T., Kanade, S. R., and Eckert, R. L. (2012) Protein arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5) Regulation of Keratinocyte Proliferation. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 16168–16178
22. el-Deiry, W. S., Tokino, T., Velculescu, V. E., Levy, D. B., Parsons, R., Carter, L. G., Onikubo, T., Kumar, P. R., Chan, M. K., Brenowitz, M., Cheng, R. H., Reimer, U., Almo, S. C., and Schechter, D. (2013) Structure of the arginine methyltransferase PRMT5-MEP50 reveals a mechanism for substrate specificity. PLoS ONE 8, e57008
23. Karkhanis, V., Hu, Y. J., Baiocchi, R. A., Imbalzano, A. N., and Sif, S. (2011) Versatility of PRMT5-induced methylation in growth control and development. Trends Biochem. Sci. 36, 633–641
24. Bedford, M. T., and Clarke, S. G. (2009) Protein arginine methyltransferases: evolution and assessment of substrate specificity. PLoS ONE 6, e26250
25. Yang, Y., and Bedford, M. T. (2013) Protein arginine methyltransferases PRMT5 and PRC2, and is involved in transcriptional repression of their target genes. J. M., Wasserman, S. R., Weichert, K., Willard, F. S., Zhang, A., and Emtage, S. (2012) Crystal structure of the human PRMT5:MEP50 complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, 17960–17965
26. Bedford, M. T., and Clarke, S. G. (2009) Protein arginine methylation in mammals: who, what, and why. Mol. Cell 33, 1–13
27. Paolicchio, D., Schiza, V., and Kirmizis, A. (2013) Cross-talk among epigenetic modifications: lessons from histone arginine methylation. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 41, 751–759
28. Macleod, K. F., Sherry, N., Hannon, G., Beach, D., Tokino, T.,Kinzel, K., Vogelstein, B., and Jacks, T. (1995) p53-dependent and independent expression of p21 during cell growth, differentiation, and DNA damage. Genes Dev. 9, 935–944
29. Poumay, Y., Dupont, F., Marcoux, S., Leclercq-Smekens, M., Herin, M., and Coquette, A. (2004) A simple reconstructed human epidermis: preparation of the culture model and utilization in in vitro studies. Arch. Dermatol. Res. 296, 203–211
30. Gerdès, J., Lemke, H., Baisch, H., Wacker, H. H., Schwab, U., and Stein, H. (1984) Cell cycle analysis of a cell proliferation-associated human nuclear antigen defined by the monoclonal antibody Ki-67. J. Immunol. 133, 1710–1715
31. Elinav, T., and Eckert, R. L. (2000) Regulation of human involucrin promoter activity by novel protein kinase C isoforms. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 1601–1607
32. Pal, S., Baiocchi, R. A., Byrd, J. C., Grever, M. R., Jacob, S. T., and Sif, S. (2007) Low levels of miR-92b/96 induce PRMT5 translation and H3R8/H4R3 methylation in mantle cell lymphoma. EMBO J. 26, 3558–3569
33. Krause, C. D., Yang, Z. H., Kim, Y. S., Lee, J. H., Cook, J. R., and Pestka, S. (2007) Protein arginine methyltransferases: evolution and assessment of their pharmacological and therapeutic potential. Pharmacol. Ther. 113, 50–87
34. Aggarwal, P., Vaite, L. P., Kim, J. K., Mellert, H., Gurung, B., Nakagawa, H., Herlyn, M., Hua, X., Rustgi, A. K., McMahon, S. B., and Diehl, J. A. (2010) Nuclear cyclin D1/CDK4 kinase regulates CUL4 expression and triggers neoplastic growth via activation of the PRMT5 methyltransferase. Cancer Cell 18, 329–340
35. Wei, T. Y., Juan, C. C., Hsia, Y. J., Chiu, S. C., Chou, H. Y., Shen, J. M., Wu, Y. C., Chiu, S. C., Hsu, C. P., Liu, K. L., and Yu, C. T. (2012) Protein arginine methyltransferase 5 is a potential oncoprotein that up-regulates G1 cyclins/cyclin-dependent kinases and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT signaling cascade. Cancer Sci. 103, 1640–1650
36. Nicholas, C., Yang, J., Peters, S. B., Bill, M. A., Baiocchi, R. A., Yan, F., Sif, S., Tae, S., Yaneva, M., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Sif, S. (2011) Bromodomain protein 7 interacts with Histone protein 50 promotes androgen- and estrogen-independent tumorigenesis. Carcinog. 32, 1888–1900