**Fuyuanichthys wangi gen. et sp. nov. from the Middle Triassic (Ladinian) of China highlights the early diversification of ginglymodian fishes**
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**ABSTRACT**

A series of well-preserved fossil assemblages from the Middle Triassic marine rock succession in Southwest China provide unique evidences for studying the early evolution of holostean fishes, including Halecomorphi (e.g., bowfin) and Ginglymodi (e.g., gars). Ginglymodi have the earliest record in the early Middle Triassic (Anisian, \(\sim 244 \text{ Ma} \)) of China, represented by *Kyphosichthys* and *Sangiorgioichthys sui* from Yunnan and *S. yangjuanensis* from Guizhou. Here, we report the discovery of a new ginglymodian, *Fuyuanichthys wangi* gen. et sp. nov., based on 22 well-preserved specimens from the lower part of the Zhuganpo member of the Falang Formation in eastern Yunnan and western Guizhou, which documents the first discovery of convincing ginglymodians from the late Middle Triassic (Ladinian, \(\sim 240 \text{ Ma} \)) Xingyi biota in China. *Fuyuanichthys* possesses a unique combination of features that easily distinguishes it from other ginglymodians, such as presence of a median gular and short and edentulous maxillae, and absence of a supramaxilla and supraorbitals. As one of the smallest known ginglymodians with a maximum standard length of \(\sim 75 \text{ mm} \), the new finding further supports that the Middle Triassic Ginglymodi have a relatively small range of body sizes compared with the Halecomorphi from the same ecosystems in China. Results of a phylogenetic analysis recover *Fuyuanichthys* as a sister taxon to *Kyphosichthys* at the ginglymodian stem, and provide new insights into the early evolution of this clade.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ginglymodi are a major lineage of ray-finned fishes, including gars and their closely-related fossil taxa (Regan, 1923; Patterson, 1973; Patterson, 1982; Grande, 2010; Cavin, 2010; López-Arbarello, 2012). They retain many primitive neopterygian features, e.g., thick dermal skull bones ornamented with enameloid tubercles, rhombic scales and fringing fulcras, and consequently are important for better understanding the early evolution of this clade. Living gars, represented by seven species in two genera of the family Lepisosteidae.
are restricted to freshwater environments of North and Central America and Cuba, and all have an elongated body with long, toothed jaws, but extinct representatives of this group have a wider ecological adaptation and greater morphological diversity (Bartram, 1977; Schulzke & Möller, 1986; Olsen & McCune, 1991; Maisey, 1991; Wenz, 1999; Bürgin, 2004; Cavin & Suteethorn, 2006; Tintori & Lombardo, 2007; Lombardo & Tintori, 2008; Xu & Wu, 2012; Schröder, López-Arbarello & Ebert, 2012; Cavin, Deesri & Suteethorn, 2013; Gibson, 2013; Deesri et al., 2014; López-Arbarello & Alvarado-Ortega, 2011; López-Arbarello et al., 2011; López-Arbarello et al., 2016; Brito, Alvarado-Ortega & Meunier, 2017). Recent studies based on morphological data (Grande, 2010; Deesri, Jintasakul & Cavin, 2016; López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018), fitting well with molecular studies (Hurley et al., 2007; Near et al., 2012; Broughton et al., 2013; Betancur-R et al., 2017), consistently recover Ginglymodi as the sister group to Halecomorphi (Amia and fossil relatives; Olsen, 1984; Grande & Bemis, 1998).

Ginglymodi have the earliest fossil record in the early Middle Triassic (Anisian) of China (López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018), represented by three ginglymodians from the Guanling Formation of Luoping, Yunnan Province (Sangiorgioichthys sui, López-Arbarello & Alvarado-Ortega, 2011; Kyphosichthys, Xu & Wu, 2012) and Panxian, Guizhou Province (S. yangjuanensis, Chen et al., 2014). Here, we report the discovery of a new ginglymodian based on 22 specimens from the lower part of the Zhuganpo member of the Falang Formation exposed in the Shibilianshan, Fuyuan, Yunnan and Baiwanyao, Xingyi, Guizhou, China (Fig. 1). Most specimens are nearly complete and well-preserved in the dark grey thin- to medium-bedded marlites or argillaceous limestones. Biostratigraphical studies (Chen, 1985; Li, 2006; Zou et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016a) generally suggest a late Middle Triassic (Ladinian) age for these fossil beds, as supported by the zircon U-Pb dating (240.8 ± 1.8 Ma; Li et al., 2016b). Also from the fossil beds are rich invertebrates, several other kinds of bony fishes, and diverse marine reptiles; the whole fossil assemblage represents the renowned Xingyi biota (Young, 1958; Su, 1959; Jin, 2001; Jin, 2006; Liu, Yin & Wang, 2002; Liu et al., 2003; Li, 2006; Geng, Zhu & Jin, 2009; Xu et al., 2012; Xu, Zhao & Shen, 2015; Xu, Ma & Zhao, 2018; Benton et al., 2013; Tintori et al., 2015; Xu & Ma, 2018). The discovery represents the first ginglymodian known in the Xingyi biota and provides new insights into the early evolution of this clade.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

All specimens are curated at the fossil collections of the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology (IVPP), Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, China. They were mechanically prepared with sharp steel needles. Illustrations were drawn manually and then prepared using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator software packages (CS5). The relative position of fins and scale counts were expressed following Westoll (1944). The phylogenetic analysis was performed on the basis of a data matrix of 201 characters coded across 37 actinopteran taxa with *Pteronisculus stensioi* selected for out-group comparison (Supplemental Information). Besides the new taxon described here, the selected holostean
include 21 ginglymodians and eight halecomorphs. The taxonomically uncertain taxon *Luoxiongichthys* (*Wen et al., 2012*) was not included, pending its redescription and revision. *Sangiorgioichthys sui* (*López-Arbarello et al., 2011*) was not included either because it is currently being restudied based on new material. The characters were mainly adopted or modified from previous analyses of neopterygian phylogeny (*Gardiner & Schaeffer, 1989; Gardiner, Maisey & Littlewood, 1996; Arratia, 1999; Arratia, 2013; Grande, 2010; Cavin, 2010; López-Arbarello, 2012; López-Arbarello et al., 2016; López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018; Xu, Gao & Finarelli, 2014; Xu, Zhao & Coates, 2014; Xu, Gao & Coates, 2015; Xu & Zhao, 2016; Xu & Ma, 2016; Xu & Ma, 2018; Deesri, Jintasakul & Cavin, 2016; Giles et al., 2017; Sun & Ni, 2018*). All characters were unordered and equally weighted. Tree searches were accomplished with the heuristic search algorithm (gaps treated as missing data; 10,000 random addition sequence replicates; tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping, with 10 trees held at each step and multiple trees saved) in PAUP* 4.0b10 (*Swofford, 2003*).

For ease of comparison with most existing literature, the traditional actinopterygian nomenclature of *Grande & Bemis (1998)* and *Grande (2010)* are generally followed. The segmented and unbranched ray just anterior to the branched rays is termed as the first principal ray in dorsal and anal fins. In caudal fin, the dorsal and ventral segmented and unbranched rays adjacent to the branched rays are termed as the first and last principal rays, respectively. All other segmented and unbranched rays are termed as rudimentary rays, following the nomenclature used in many other contributions (e.g., *Alvarado-Ortega & Espinosa-Arrubarrena, 2008; López-Arbarello & Alvarado-Ortega, 2011*), although the rudimentary rays are also termed as procurent rays in the ventral lobe of the caudal fin by some authors (e.g., *Arratia, 2013*).

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature.
(ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:69D69D4F-1F36-472D-BBA5-BA37E22E360B. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

Holostei *Müller, 1844*

Ginglymodi *Cope, 1872*

*Fuyuanichthys* gen. nov.

**Etymology.** The generic epithet ‘Fuyuan’ refers to Fuyuan County (Qujing, Yunnan), and the Greek suffix ‘ichthys’ means fish.

**Type species.** *Fuyuanichthys wangi* gen. et sp. nov.

**Diagnosis.** Same as for the type and only known species. *Fuyuanichthys wangi* gen. et sp. nov.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet honors Mr. Kuan Wang, who contributed to the fossil collecting.

Holotype. IVPP V22923, a complete, laterally compressed specimen from Shibalianshan, Fuyuan, Yunnan (Fig. 2A).

**Referred Material.** IVPP V 19980, 19989–19993 from Baiwanyao, Xingyi, Guizhou; IVPP V 22920–22922, 22924–22926, 24266–24274 from Shibalianshan, Fuyuan, Yunnan.

**Locality and horizon.** Shibalianshan, Fuyuan, Yunnan, and Baiwanyao, Xingyi, Guizhou, China; lower part of Zhuganpo member of the Falang Formation, Ladinian (240.8 ± 1.8 Ma; *Li et al., 2016b*), Middle Triassic.

**Diagnosis.** A small-sized ginglymodian distinguished from other members of this clade by the following combination of features: body depth 42–46% of standard length; frontal 1.8–2.0 times as long as parietal; absence of supraorbitals; absence of supramaxilla; maxilla relatively short and edentulous, ending below anterior orbital margin; large sensory pores in nasal and dentary; L-shaped preopercle; six infraorbitals (including two anterior infraorbitals); two large suborbitals posterior to circumorbital bones, and single small suborbital between preopercle and dermopterotic; six pairs of branchiostegal rays; presence of median gular; scales smooth with straight posterior margin; 9–11 dorsal rays; eight principal anal rays; 16–18 principal caudal rays; and scale formula of D20/P8–9, A14–15, C25–27/T29–31.
DESCRIPTION

Shape and size

*Fuyuanichthys* has a blunt snout, a fusiform body and an abbreviated heterocercal caudal fin with a forked profile. The holotype (Fig. 2) has a standard length (SL) of 49.1 and a head length of 18.4 mm; the largest specimen (IVPP V19992) has a SL up to 74.8 mm. The
Table 1  Measurement data (in mm).

| Specimens | SL  | HL  | BD  | PVL | PDL | PAL | TL  |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| V19980    | 72.3| 26.9| 32.6| 43.9| 51.5| 58.8| –   |
| V19989    | 68.1| 24.5| 32.3| –   | 51.2| 54.0| 82.6|
| V19990    | 46.7| 20.1| 21.6| 30.9| 34.7| 38.9| 60.8|
| V19992    | 74.8| 27.9| 35.3| 44.4| 52.3| 59.3| 99.3|
| V19993    | 62.1| 24.1| 31.6| –   | 47.5| 50.7| 83.3|
| V22920    | 38.9| 14.9| 17.3| 23.5| 27.3| 30.1| 48.6|
| V22922    | 55.5| 21.6| 28.2| 36.6| 40.8| 45.6| 69.8|
| V22923    | 49.1| 18.4| 22.6| 30.8| 35.2| 40.1| 63.6|
| V22924    | 63.3| 24.5| 30.2| 40.0| 47.4| 50.5| 86.5|
| V22925    | 55.0| 21.4| 23.0| 37.3| 40.3| 46.3| 72.7|
| V24268    | 50.0| 21.1| 22.8| 31.8| 36.3| 41.2| 62.1|
| V24271    | 54.7| 19.8| 24.3| 34.4| 38.7| 45.8| 72.2|
| V24273    | 56.5| 22.6| 24.8| 37.3| 40.7| 46.2| 73.0|

Notes.

BD, body depth; HL, head length; PAL, preanal length; PDL, predorsal length; PVL, prepelvic length; SL, standard length; TL, total length.

greatest body depth lies midway between the posterior margin of the opercle and the origin of the dorsal fin, and accounts for 42–46% of the SL (Table 1).

Snout

The canal-bearing bones in the snout region include a median rostral and a pair of nasals and antorbitals (Figs. 2–4). The median rostral is a small, dorsoventrally short bone with a concaved anterior margin and a slightly convex posterior margin (Fig. 4). It contacts the nasals posterodorsally and overlies the anterior portions of the premaxillae. The ethmoid commissure is housed in this bone. The nasal is elongate and rectangular, without obvious notches for nostrils. The pores for the supraorbital sensory canal in the nasal are notably large (Fig. 3B). A similar condition is otherwise known in Kyphosichthys (Xu & Wu, 2012; Sun & Ni, 2018). The antorbital is small and L-shaped, with a relatively short, canal-bearing anterior arm.

Skull roof

The skull roofing bones include a pair of frontals, parietals, dermopterotic, and extrascapulars. The outer surfaces of these bones are ornamented with ganoin ridges and tubercles. The frontals are elongate, 1.8–2.0 times as long as the parietal (Figs. 2–4). Each frontal contacts both the parietal and dermopterotic with a convex posterior margin, and the nasal with a concave anterior margin. It gradually widens posteriorly, having a conspicuous constriction above the orbital region. The parietals are roughly rectangular and twice as long as its width, with a triangular posterolateral process inserting between the dermopterotic and extrascapular. There are three pit-lines on each parietal; the anterior pit-line originates at the middle portion of the parietal, extends anteriorly and nearly contacts the supraorbital sensory canal; the middle pit-line extends laterally into the middle portion
of the dermopterotic; and the posterior pit-line is confined in the posterolateral portion of this bone.

The dermopterotics are roughly rectangular or somewhat hourglass-shaped with a constricted middle portion. The medial margin is nearly straight or slightly convex and the lateral margin slightly concave. Each dermopterotic contacts both the frontal and dermosphenotic anteriorly, and the extrascapular posteriorly. The temporal sensory canal runs longitudinally through the dermopterotic near the lateral margin of this bone.

A pair of extrascapulars is present. They are sub-triangular, tapering medially. The left is separated from contact with the right by the posterior portions of the parietals (Figs. 2–4). The supratemporal commissural canal runs transversely through both extrascapulars and parietals. Similar conditions are otherwise known in Sangiorgioichthys sui (López-Arbarello et al., 2011), Kyphosichthys (Xu & Wu, 2012; Sun & Ni, 2018), Macrosemimimus (Schröder, López-Arbarello & Ebert, 2012) and macrosemiids (Bartram, 1977).
Circumorbtial series

There are six rectangular or trapezoidal infraorbitals between the antorbital and the dermosphenotic (Figs. 2–4). Among them, the first two infraorbitals (=anterior infraorbitals; Wenz, 1999; López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018) are positioned anterior to the orbital margin that can be inferred from the sclerotic ring. The third and fourth infraorbitals are 1.6–1.8 times deeper than long, forming the ventral margin of the orbit. The fifth contacts the preopercle posteriorly, being the largest element of the infraorbital series. The third to fifth infraorbitals are ventrally or posterovertrally expanded, resembling the conditions in several other Triassic ginglymodians, e.g., *Lophionotus sanjuanensis* (Gibson, 2013), *Kyphosichthys* (Xu & Wu, 2012; Sun & Ni, 2018), and *Semiolepis* (Lombardo & Tintori, 2008). The last is small, deep and narrow, forming a part of the posterior orbital margin.
The dermosphenotic is deep and trapezoidal, contacting the dermopterotic and suborbital posteriorly, the frontal dorsally, and the last infraorbital ventrally. Two or three pores are visible near its orbital margin. Supraorbital bones are absent.

There are three trapezoidal suborbitals (Figs. 2–4). The anterior two are large and broad, arranged in a dorsoventral row; and the posterior suborbital is small, deep and narrow, positioned between the preopercle and the dermopterotic.

The sclerotic bones are partly discernible near the orbital rim (IVPP V19993), but their number cannot be counted because of poor state of preservation.

**Palate and hyoid arch**

The median parasphenoid, often exposed through the orbit, is elongate, bearing a well-developed ascending ramus and a short basipterygoid process on each side of its middle-posterior portion. Teeth are absent on the ventral margin of this bone, as commonly in other early ginglymodians (Olsen & McCune, 1991; Wenz, 1999; Bürgin, 2004; López-Arbarello et al., 2011). A possible right vomer is positioned anterior to the parasphenoid with several teeth discernable on its oral margin. Elements of the palatoquadrate are partly exposed through the orbit as well, but the sutures between them cannot be identified. Two small, elongate bones exposed near the maxilla probably present dermopalatines, medially covered with long, conical teeth (Fig. 4A). The majority of the quadrate (except the condyle) is laterally covered by the fourth infraorbital and the quadratojugal (Fig. 2) and its complete outline is still unknown. The splint-like quadratojugal (Figs. 2–3) rests along the dorsal margin of the ventral arm of preopercle and contacts the quadrate medially. The hypohyal and the anterior ceratohyal are exposed ventral to the lower jaws in many specimens (Figs. 2–4). The former is nearly square, and the latter elongate, slightly constricted at its middle portion. The posterior ceratohyal, hyomandibula and symplectic are not exposed.

**Jaws**

The upper jaw includes a premaxilla and a maxilla. A supramaxilla is absent. The premaxilla has a horizontally expanded oral region and a deep, posterodorsally directed nasal process (Fig. 4A). Six long, conical teeth are present along the oral margin of the premaxilla. It is still unknown if the olfactory nerve pierces the nasal process of this bone because of the overlay of the rostral and nasals.

The maxilla has a peg-like, medially-directed anterior process and a blade-like posterior portion (Figs. 2–4). It is relatively short, ending below the anterior orbital margin, with a slightly convex posterior margin and a nearly straight oral margin. The maxilla lacks teeth along its oral margin in available specimens. Considering the well-preserved taphonomic nature of these fossils, we believe that the maxilla is edentulous.

The lower jaw is roughly triangular in lateral view, with a prominent coronoid process. The maximum height is about 40% of its length. The dentary is large and wedge-shaped, with a slightly convex dorsal margin and a concave ventral margin. Large conical teeth are present on the anterior portion of this bone. The sensory pores in the dentary are large, resembling the condition in Kyphosichthys (Xu & Wu, 2012; Sun & Ni, 2018). The angular is roughly trapezoidal, being about half of the length of the dentary. The supra-angular
is small and elongate, contacting the dentary anteriorly and the angular ventrally. Small
coronoid bones are exposed medial to the dentary, but their number cannot be counted.
Sharp conical teeth are present on the oral margins of these coronoids (Fig. 4B).

The preopercle is crescent-shaped, having a narrow dorsal portion and a slightly
expanded anteroventral portion (Figs. 2–4). The dorsal tip of the preopercle is separated
from contact with the dermopterotic by a suborbital, which bears no sensory canal or
pores. The preopercular sensory canal is slightly closer to the anterior margin of the
preopercle than to the posterior one (Figs. 2, 4), and runs dorsoventrally through the entire
length of the preopercle. Some pores near the posterior margin of the preopercle probably
represent the posterior diverticulae of this canal (Fig. 3). The opercle is roughly trapezoidal,
1.75 times deeper than long. The subopercle is sickle-shaped, bearing a relatively short
triangular anterodorsal process. Excluding this process, the subopercle is about 30% the
depth of opercle. The interopercle is small and triangular. It tapers anteroventrally and
nearly reaches the posterior end of the lower jaw.

The median gular, exposed in IVPP V19992 (Figs. 4B) and 19993 (4C), is sub-circular
and about half of the length of the lower jaw with concentric ridges on the surface of
this bone. There are six pairs of branchiostegal rays (Fig. 2B). They are elongate bones,
increasing in length and width posteriorly.

Girdles and fins
A posttemporal, a supracleithrum, a cleithrum and two postcleithra are discernible on
each side of the pectoral girdle (Figs. 2B, 2B). The posttemporal is sub-triangular and
tapers medially with its anterior portion slightly overlapped by the extrascapular. The
left posttemporal is separated from contact with the right one by a median scale. The
supracleithrum is deep and anteriorly inclined with its anterior portion overlapped by
the opercle. The lateral line enters the supracleithrum from the posttemporal, obliquely
penetrates the dorsal portion of this bone, and posteriorly enters the scales. The cleithrum
is large and sickle-shaped, with the horizontal branch nearly equal to the vertical branch
in length. A series of small denticles is present along the ridge between the branchial and
lateral surfaces of this bone. There are two postcleithra. The dorsal is rhombic, nearly as
deep as the supracleithrum, and the ventral trapezoidal, half the depth of the dorsal.

The pectoral fins insert low on the body, and each bears nine distally segmented rays,
preceded by two or three basal fulcra and a series of fringing fulcra. The pelvic girdles
are not exposed. The pelvic fins insert at the 8th or 9th vertical scale row. Each bears five
distally segmented rays, preceded by three basal fulcra and a series of fringing fulcra. In
both paired fins, the first ray is unbranched, and the remaining rays branched distally.

The dorsal fin originates above the 20th vertical scale row. It is composed of 9–11
principal rays. The first ray is unbranched, preceded by three basal fulcra and a series of
fringing fulcra; the remaining rays branched distally. Occasionally, the rudimentary ray is absent in some specimens.
Figure 5  Complete skeleton of IVPP V22922 and caudal fin of IVPP V19992. *Fuyuanichthys wangi* gen. et sp. nov. (A) Complete skeleton, IVPP V22922, coated with ammonium chloride. (B) Caudal fin, IVPP V19992, immersed in water when photographed.

The caudal fin is hemi-heterocercal with a moderately concaved profile (Fig. 5B). It bears 16–18 principal rays, of which eight or nine are present in the dorsal lobe. The marginal principal rays are unbranched and the middle rays are branched up to four times. The articulations between the segments of rays are straight. In addition, there are eight to ten basal fulcra and one rudimentary ray in the dorsal lobe, and two basal fulcra and two rudimentary rays in the ventral lobe. The basal fulcra are elongate, leaf-like elements. Small, elongate fringing fulcra are present at the leading margins of both lobes.

All rays and fulcra are covered with a layer of ganoin, and their surfaces are largely smooth, lacking any tubercles.

**Scales**

The body is fully covered with rhomboid scales. The scales are arranged in 29–31 vertical rows along the lateral line. In addition, there are 8–9 vertical rows of scales extending into
the epaxial lobe of the caudal fin. The ridge scales anterior to the dorsal fin are conspicuous with well-developed spines (Fig. 5A). The scales in the anterior flank region are 1.2 times deeper than wide, and they gradually become shorter and smaller dorsally, ventrally and posteriorly. The scales are smooth with a straight posterior margin. Pegs and anterodorsal extensions are exposed on some scales in the anterior flank region (Appendix S1: Fig. 1).

PHYLOGENETIC RESULTS

The phylogenetic analysis resulted in three most parsimonious trees (tree length = 478 steps, consistency index = 0.5021, retention index = 0.7503), the strict consensus of which is illustrated in Fig. 6. *Fuyuanichthys* is recovered as a sister taxon to *Kyphosichthys* at the ginglymodian stem; the *Fuyuanichthys-Kyphosichthys* clade possesses the following synapomorphies of Ginglymodi: (1), presence of two anterior infraorbitals; (2), presence of six infraorbitals (including four infraorbitals between the antorbital and the infraorbital at the posteroventral corner of the orbit); (3), presence of no more than nine pairs of branchiostegal rays (independently derived in *Teffichthys*); and (4), presence of a relatively short lower jaw (mandibular length no more than 43% of head length).

*Fuyuanichthys* shares four derived features with *Kyphosichthys*: (1), the paired extrascapulars separated from each other by posterior extensions of parietals (independently derived in *Macrosemimimus* and macrosemiids); (2), the quadrate laterally covered by infraorbitals (independently derived in *Thaïichthys, Arrripelepidotes* and lepisosteids); (3), presence of suborbital bones between the preopercle and the dermopterotic (independently derived in *Tlayuanichin, Watsonulus* and some teleosts); and (4), presence of a complete row of elongated scales between the last lateral line scale and the uppermost caudal fin ray (independently derived in *Pholidoctenus*).

The *Fuyuanichthys-Kyphosichthys* clade is more derived than *Ticinolepis* (the most basal ginglymodian) in sharing six derived features with the Semionotiformes-Lepisosteiformes clade (=Neoginglymodi of *López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018*): (1), presence of a relatively long parietal (independently derived in some other neopterygians); (2), a subrectangular dermopterotic not substantially tapered anteriorly or widened posteriorly; (3), a dermopterotic no longer than the parietal; (4), a lacrimal deeper than long; (5), a relatively narrow posttemporal not reaching the midline; and (6) absence of a presupracleithrum. However, this clade lacks some derived features of Semionotiformes shared with Lepisosteiformes, e.g., presence of eight or more infraorbitalts (including six or more infraorbitalts between the antorbital and the infraorbital at the posteroventral corner of the orbit), and absence of a supramaxillary processes on the maxilla.

DISCUSSIONS

*Fuyuanichthys* represents the first convincing record of Ginglymodi in the late Middle Triassic (Ladinian) of China. The previously alleged ginglymodian *Asialepidotus* from the same fossil beds has recently been revised as an ionscopiform halecomorph (*Xu & Ma, 2018*). *Jin (2006)*, in a review of Triassic fishes from China, identified three ginglymodians (*Eosemionotus* sp., *Archaeosemionotus* sp. and an unnamed marosemiid) from the Ladinian
Figure 6  **Strict consensus of three most parsimonious trees.** Strict consensus of three most parsimonious trees (tree length = 478 steps, consistency index = 0.5021, retention index = 0.7503), illustrating the phylogenetic relationships of *Fuyuanichthys wangi* gen. et sp. nov. within the Neopterygii. For character descriptions and codings for the sampled taxa, see Supplemental Information 1.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.6054/fig-6
marine deposits of Yunnan and Guizhou, and argued that the Ladinian fish assemblage from the Monte San Giorgio area of Switzerland and Lombardy of Italy in the western Palaeotethys. However, these ginglymodian taxa have never been described or illustrated. We have not found *Eosemionotus*, *Archaeosemionotus* or marosemiids in Southwest China during our multiple-year field collection. The new fossil specimens described herein cannot be referred to the ginglymodian taxa mentioned by Jin (2006). *Fuyuanichthys* differs from *Eosemionotus* (Schultze & Möller, 1986; Bürgin, 2004) in having a median gular, a pair of frontals (vs. fused into a median plate in *Eosemionotus*), a quadrate laterally covered by infraorbitals (vs. exposed in *Eosemionotus*), three suborbitals (vs. absent in *Eosemionotus*), a relatively short dermopterotic (vs. long in *Eosemionotus*), the separation of extrascapulars by the parietals (vs. extrascapulars contacting medially in *Eosemionotus*), and a caudal fin composed of 16–18 principal rays (vs. 13–15 in *Eosemionotus*). As for *Archaeosemionotus*, this taxon has no longer been considered as a semionotid ginglymodian but a basal halecomorph (López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018). Additionally, results of our analysis suggest that *Fuyuanichthys* is phylogenetically distant from Marosemiidae (Bartram, 1977), although it independently evolved a few traits similar to those in the latter family, such as presence of separated extrascapulars and absence of a supramaxilla.

The discovery of *Fuyuanichthys* fills the previous deficiency of ginglymodian fishes in the Middle Triassic of Guizhou and extends the geological range of this clade from the early Middle Triassic (Anisian, ∼244 Ma) of Luoping (*Sangiorgioichthys sui*, *Kyphosichthys grandei*) and Panxian (*S. yangjuanensis*) into the late Middle Triassic (Ladinian, ∼240 Ma) of Fuyuan and Xingyi in South China. *Fuyuanichthys* possesses a unique combination of features that easily distinguishes it from other ginglymodians: (1), absence of supraorbitals (independently lost in some macrosemiids); (2), absence of a supramaxilla (independently lost in macrosemiids and crownward lepisosteiforms); (3) absence of teeth in the maxilla (independently lost in *Tlayuanichin* and several other ginglymodians); (4), presence of two vertical rows of suborbitals; and (5), presence of a median gular (also present in *Kyphosichthys*, but absent in other ginglymodians; the loss of the median gular in the basal ginglymodian *Ticinolepis* and crownward ginglymodians appears independently derived). This unique combination of features makes *Fuyuanichthys* inappropriate to be classified in any ginglymodian families previously known, and it likely represents a new family of this clade. As such, the new finding provides an important addition to our understanding of the morphological diversification of early ginglymodians.

*Fuyuanichthys* represents one of the smallest known holosteans in the Xingyi biota, with a maximum standard length (SL) of ∼75 mm. With regard to body size, it is similar to *Sinoeugnathus* (Su, 1959) and *Subortichthys* (Ma & Xu, 2017; SL = 72 mm) among the Middle Triassic holosteans, and significantly smaller than the contemporary halecomorph *Asialepidotus* (Xu & Ma, 2018; SL = 273 mm). In Luoping biota, halecomorphs have a maximum SL up to 360 mm (*Robustichthys*, Xu, Gao & Finarelli, 2014), and ginglymodians (*Kyphosichthys*, Xu & Wu, 2012; *Sangiorgioichthys sui*, López-Arbarello et al., 2011) are small-sized fishes with a SL of no more than 125 mm. Additionally, in the Panxian biota, the ginglydomian (*S. yangjuanensis*, Chen et al., 2014; SL = 56 mm) is quite smaller than
the halecomorph *Panxianichthys* (Xu & Shen, 2015; Sun et al., 2017; SL = ∼175 mm). It appears that the Ginglymodi have a narrower range of body sizes than the Halecomorphi from the Middle Triassic of South China in the eastern Palaeotethys. The largest holosteans in this age are represented by halecomorphs from the Middle Triassic of South China. In comparison, a somewhat different phenomenon is present in the Middle Triassic holostean assemblages from the Monte San Giorgio area of Switzerland and Lombardy of Italy in the western Palaeotethys, in which, ginglymodians have a range of SL from 50 mm (*Eosemionotus*, Schultz & Möller, 1986; Bürgin, 2004) to 250 mm (*Ticinolepis*, López-Arbarello et al., 2016), and halecomorphs are generally small-sized fishes with a SL of no more than 110 mm (*Eoeugnathus*, Herzog, 2003; Archaeosemionotus, López-Arbarello, Stockar & Bürgin, 2014; and *Allolepidotus*, Lombardo, 2001; Sun et al., 2017). No large-sized halecomorphs have been known from the Middle Triassic of the western Palaeotethys. Further studies are needed to clarify if this is caused by sampling or taphonomic bias.

As listed above, *Fuyuanichthys* differs from other ginglymodians in many features, but it shares with *Kyphosichthys* and *Sangiorgioichthys* sui a noteworthy feature, a pair of extrascapulars separated from each other by the posterior portions of parietals with the supratemporal commissural canal running transversely through both extrascapulars and parietals. A similar condition is present in marosemiids (Bartram, 1977). Some paleoichthyologists (López-Arbarello et al., 2011; Sun & Ni, 2018) suggested that *Sangiorgioichthys* and *Kyphosichthys* primitively have two pairs of extrascapulars, and the medial extrascapular had fused with the parietal. The same hypothesis appears applicable for marosemiids and *Fuyuanichthys*. According to recent analyses (Sun & Ni, 2018; López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018; and us herein), *Sangiorgioichthys*, *Kyphosichthys* and *Fuyuanichthys* are phylogenetically distant from Marosemiidae, and the fusion of the medial extrascapular with the parietal in *Sangiorgioichthys*, *Kyphosichthys* and *Fuyuanichthys* most likely represents convergent evolution with that in the Marosemiidae. However, it is still unknown whether this fusion in the three basal ginglymodians from Southwest China has evolved independently or not. If *Sangiorgioichthys*, *Kyphosichthys* or *Fuyuanichthys* are closely related, as suggested respectively by Sun & Ni (2018) and us herein, the fusion of the medial extrascapular with the parietal appears to have happened in the common ancestor of these three taxa.

Sun & Ni (2018) grouped *Kyphosichthys*, *Sangiorgioichthys* sui, *S. aldae* and *Luoxiongichthys* into their coined family Kyphosichthyidae, and listed three synapomorphies for this family: (1) a triangular suborbital laterally covering the quadrate; (2) infraorbitals at the ventral orbital rim subtriangular, broader ventrally, and about twice deeper than long; and (3) absence of a foramen for the olfactory nerve in the nasal process of the premaxilla. However, the first feature is actually absent in *Kyphosichthys*; instead, the quadrate is laterally covered by a broad infraorbital in this taxon, as in *Fuyuanichthys*. Although the quadrate of *Luoxiongichthys* is also laterally covered by a suborbital, this suborbital is trapezoid (Wen et al., 2012). Indeed, a triangular suborbital laterally covering the quadrate is only present in *Sangiorgioichthys*, as a diagnostic feature of this genus (López-Arbarello & Alvarado-Ortega, 2011). Additionally, it appears that the second feature was not appropriately coded. The ventral infraorbitals are not subtriangular but quadrangular in this alleged family; they are
notably deep (twice deeper than long) in *Kyphosichthys* but are relatively dorsoventrally short (1.3–1.7 deeper than long) in other three taxa. As for the last feature, a foramen for the olfactory nerve is absent in the nasal process of the premaxilla of *Luoxiongichthys* (G-H Xu’s, pers. obs., 2018) but is actually present in *Sangiorgioichthys sui* (G-H Xu & X-Y Ma’s, pers. obs., 2018), as in most ginglymodians (except *Ticinolepis* and marosemiids). The condition is still unknown in *S. aldae* (*Tintori & Lombardo, 2007*) and *Kyphosichthys* (*Xu & Wu, 2012; Sun & Ni, 2018*) because of poor state of preservation. Therefore, the three features above should not be considered as kyphosichthyid synapomorphies. A revision of *Sun & Ni’s* (2018) Kyphosichthyidae is badly needed (*López-Arbarello & Sferco, 2018*). Based on our preliminary studies, *Luoxiongichthys* is phylogenetically distant from *Kyphosichthys*, although they have a similarly deep body. A redescription and revision of *Luoxiongichthys* will be presented in the future.

**CONCLUSION**

The discovery of *Fuyuanichthys wangi* from the renowned Ladinian Xingyi biota fills the previous deficiency of ginglymodians at this age in China and provides an important addition to our understanding of the morphological diversification of early ginglymodians. *Fuyuanichthys* is unusual among the Middle Triassic ginglymodians in having a median gular, short and edentulous maxillae and two vertical rows of suborbitals, and lacking any supramaxilla and supraorbitals. As one of the smallest known ginglymodians, the new finding further supports that the Middle Triassic Ginglymodi have a relatively small range of body sizes compared with the Halecomorphi from the same ecosystems in China. Results of a phylogenetic analysis recovers *Fuyuanichthys* as a sister taxon to *Kyphosichthys* at the ginglymodian stem, and provides new insights into the early evolution of this clade.

**Anatomical abbreviations:**

- ang: angular
- ao: antorbital
- ap: ascending process
- bf: basal fulcrum
- bp: basipterygoid process
- br: branchiostegal ray
- cha: anterior ceratohyal
- cl: cleithrum
- co: coronoid
- den: dentary
- dp: dermopalatine
- dpt: dermopterotic
- drs: dorsal ridge scale
- dsp: dermosphenotic
- es: extrascapular
- ff: fringing fulcrum
- fr: frontal
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M-M Chang for constructive suggestions, M-N Lü for specimen preparation, L Cavin, A López-Arbarello and an anonymous referee for helpful comments on an early version of the manuscript, and M Richter and L Grande for access to comparative material in the Natural History Museum (London) and Field Museum of Natural History (Chicago), respectively.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

The research was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of Chinese Academy of Sciences (grants XDB 26000000 and 18000000), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC grants 41672001, 41272002 and 41688103), the Key research program of Frontier Sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant QYZDB-SSW-DQC040) and the Funds for Paleontology Fieldwork and Fossil Preparation, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
Grant Disclosures
The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Chinese Academy of Sciences: XDB 26000000, 18000000.
National Natural Science Foundation of China: 41672001, 41272002, 41688103.
Frontier Sciences of Chinese Academy of Sciences: QYZDB-SSW-DQC040.

Competing Interests
The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions
• Guang-Hui Xu conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
• Xin-Ying Ma performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, approved the final draft.
• Yi Ren performed the experiments, approved the final draft.

Data Availability
The following information was supplied regarding data availability:
The raw data is available as Supplemental Information 1.

New Species Registration
The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:
Publication LSID:
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:69D69D4F-1F36-472D-BBA5-BA37E22E360B
Genus name, Fuyuanichthys: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:41FDD725-A826-4214-BFDC-3F455B4C48A9.
Species name, Fuyuanichthys wangi: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:99A08E2C-EC59-42F0-8EF8-62778D20D00F.

Supplemental Information
Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6054#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES
Alvarado-Ortega J, Espinosa-Arrubarrena L. 2008. A new genus of ionoscopiform fish (Halecomorphi) from the Lower Cretaceous (Albian) lithographic limestones of the Tlayúa quarry, Puebla, Mexico. Journal of Paleontology 82:163–175.
Arratia G. 1999. The monophyly of Teleostei and stem-group teleosts. Consensus and disagreements. In: Arratia G, Schultze H-P, eds. Mesozoic fishes 2—systematics and fossil record. Munich: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, 265–334.
Arratia G. 2013. Morphology, taxonomy, and phylogeny of Triassic pholidophorid fishes (Actinopterygii, Teleostei). Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Memoir 13:1–138.
Bartram AWH. 1977. The Macrosemiidae, a Mesozoic family of holostean fishes. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Geology 29:137–234.

Benton MJ, Zhang Q-Y, Hu S-X, Chen Z-Q, Wen W, Liu J, Huang J-Y, Zhou C-Y, Xie T, Tong J-N, Choo B. 2013. Exceptional vertebrate biotas from the Triassic of China, and the expansion of marine ecosystems after the Permo–Triassic mass extinction. Earth-Science Reviews 125:199–243 DOI 10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.05.014.

Betancur-R R, Wiley EO, Arratia G, Acero A, Bailly N, Miya M, Lecointre G, Ortí G. 2017. Phylogenetic classification of bony fishes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 17:40 DOI 10.1186/s12862-017-0895-1.

Brito PM, Alvarado-Ortega J, Meunier FJ. 2017. Earliest known lepisosteoid extends the range of anatomically modern gars to the Late Jurassic. Scientific Reports 7:17830 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-17984-w.

Broughton RE, Betancur-R R, Li C, Arratia G, Ortí G. 2013. Multi-locus phylogenetic analysis reveals the pattern and tempo of bony fish evolution. PLOS Currents Tree of Life 5: ecurrents.tol.2ca8041495ffaf0c92756e75247483e DOI 10.1371/currents.tol.2ca8041495ffaf0c92756e75247483e.

Bürgin T. 2004. †Eosemionotus ceresiensis sp. nov., a new semionotiform fish (Actinopetygii, Halecostomi) from the Middle Triassic of Monte San Giorgio (Southern Switzerland). In: Arratia G, Tintori A, eds. Mesozoic fishes 3—systematics, pleoenvironments and biodiversity. Munich: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, 239–251.

Cavin L. 2010. Diversity of Mesozoic semionotiform fishes and the origin of gars (Lepisosteidae). Naturwissenschaften 97:1035–1040 DOI 10.1007/s00114-010-0722-7.

Cavin L, Deesri U, Suteethorn V. 2013. Osteology and relationships of Thaïichthys nov. gen.: a Ginglymodi from the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous of Thailand. Palaeontology 56:183–208 DOI 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2012.01184.x.

Cavin L, Suteethorn V. 2006. A new Semionotiform (Actinopterygii, Neopterygii) from Upper Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous deposits of North-East Thailand, with comments on the relationships of Semionotiforms. Palaeontology 49:339–353 DOI 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2006.00539.x.

Chen W-Q, Sun Z-Y, Tintori A, Jiang D-Y. 2014. A new species of Sangiorgioichthys Tintori & Lombardo, 2007 (Actinopterygii; Semionotiformes) from the Pelsonian (Anisian, Middle Triassic) of Guizhou Province, South China. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie—Abhandlungen 273:65–74 DOI 10.1127/0077-7749/2014/0416.

Chen Z. 1985. Stratigraphical position of Keichousaurus hui from the Middle Triassic of southwestern Guizhou and its significance. Guizhou Geology 5:289–290.

Cope ED. 1872. Observations on the systematic relations of the fishes. Proceedings of the American Association for the Advancement of Science 20:317–343.
Deesri U, Jintasakul P, Cavin L. 2016. A new Ginglymodi (Actinopterygii, Holostei) from the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous of Thailand, with comments on the early diversification of Lepisosteiformes in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 36:e1225747 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2016.1225747.

Deesri U, Lauprasert K, Suteethorn V, Wongko K, Cavin L. 2014. A new species of the ginglymodian fish *Isanichthys* from the Late Jurassic Phu Kradung Formation, northeastern Thailand. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 59:313–331.

Gardiner BG, Maisey JG, Littlewood DTJ. 1996. Interrelationships of basal neopterygians. In: Stiassney MLJ, Parenti LR, Johnson GD, eds. *Interrelationships of fishes*. San Diego: Academic Press, 117–146.

Gardiner BG, Schaeffer B. 1989. Interrelationships of lower actinopterygian fishes. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 97:135–187 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1989.tb00550.x.

Geng B-H, Zhu M, Jin F. 2009. A revision and phylogenetic analysis of *Guizhoucoelacanthus* (Sarcopterygii, Actinistia) from the Triassic of China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 47:165–177.

Gibson SZ. 2013. Biodiversity and evolutionary history of †*Lophionotus* (Neopterygii: †Semionotiformes) from the western United States. *Copeia* 2013:582–603 DOI 10.1643/CJ-12-028.

Giles S, Xu G-H, Near TJ, Friedman M. 2017. Early members of 'living fossil' lineage imply later origin of modern ray-finned fishes. *Nature* 549:265–268 DOI 10.1038/nature23654.

Grande L. 2010. An empirical synthetic pattern study of gars (Lepisosteiformes) and closely related species, based mostly on skeletal anatomy. The resurrection of Holostei. *Copeia* 10(Supplement):1–871.

Grande L, Bemis WE. 1998. A comprehensive phylogenetic study of amiid fishes (Amiidae) based on comparative skeletal anatomy: an empirical search for interconnected patterns of natural history. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Memoir* 4:1–690.

Herzog A. 2003. Eine Neubeschreibung der Gattung *Eoeugnathus* Brough, 1939 (Actinopterygii; Halecomorphi) aus der alpinen Mitteltrias Grau bündens (Schweiz). *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 77:201–218.

Hurley IA, Mueller RL, Dunn KA, Schmidt EJ, Friedman M, Ho RK, Prince VE, Yang ZH, Thomas MG, Coates MI. 2007. A new time-scale for ray-finned fish evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series B* 274:489–498 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2006.3749.

Jin F. 2001. Notes on the discovery of *Birgeria* in China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 39:168–176.

Jin F. 2006. An overview of Triassic fishes from China. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* 44:28–42.

Li C, Wu X-C, Zhao L-J, Nesbitt SJ, Stocker MR, Wang L-T. 2016a. A new armored archosauromorph (Diapsida: Archosauromorpha) from the marine Middle Triassic of China, with implications for the diverse life styles of archosauriforms prior to the diversification of Archosauria. *The Science of Nature* 103:Article 95 DOI 10.1007/s00114-016-1418-4.
Li J-L. 2006. A brief summary of the Triassic marine reptiles of China. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 44:99–108.

Li Z-G, Sun Z-Y, Jiang D-Y, Ji C. 2016b. LA-ICP-MS Zircon U-Pb age of the fossil layer of Triassic Xingyi Fauna from Xingyi, Guizhou, and its significance. *Geological Review* 62:779–790.

Liu G-B, Yin G-Z, Wang X-H. 2002. On the most primitive amiid fish from Upper Triassic of Xingyi, Guizhou. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 41:461–463.

Liu G-B, Yin G-Z, Wang X-H, Luo Y-M, Wang S-Y. 2003. New discovered fishes from *Keichousaurus* bearing horizon of Late Triassic in Xingyi of Guizhou. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 42:346–366.

Lombardo C. 2001. Actinopterygians from the Middle Triassic of northern Italy and Canton Ticino (Switzerland): anatomical descriptions and nomenclatural problems. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 107:345–369 DOI 10.13130/2039-4942/5439.

Lombardo C, Tintori A. 2008. A new semionotid fish (Actinopterygii) from the Upper Triassic of northern Italy. In: Arratia G, Schultze H-P, Wilson MVH, eds. *Mesozoic fishes 4-homology and phylogeny*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, 129–142.

López-Arbarello A. 2012. Phylogenetic interrelationships of ginglymodian fishes (Actinopterygii: Neopterygii). *PLOS ONE* 7:e39370 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0039370.

López-Arbarello A, Alvarado-Ortega J. 2011. New semionotiform (Neopterygii) from the Tlayúa Quarry (Early Cretaceous, Albian), Mexico. *Zootaxa* 2749:1–24.

López-Arbarello A, Burgin T, Furrer H, Stockar R. 2016. New holostean fishes (Actinopterygii: Neopterygii) from the Middle Triassic of the Monte San Giorgio (Canton Ticino, Switzerland). *PeerJ* 4:e2234 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2234.

López-Arbarello A, Sferco E. 2018. Neopterygian phylogeny: the merger assay. *Royal Society Open Science* 5:172337 DOI 10.1098/rsos.172337.

López-Arbarello A, Stockar R, Bürgin T. 2014. Phylogenetic relationships of the Triassic *Arcaiosemionotus* Deecke (Halecomorphi, Ionoscopiformes) from the ‘Perledo Fauna’. *PLOS ONE* 9:e108665 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0108665.

López-Arbarello A, Sun Z-Y, Sferco E, Tintori A, Xu G-H, Sun Y-L, Wu F-X, Jiang D-Y. 2011. New species of *Sanjorgioichthys* Tintori and Lombardo, 2007 (Neopterygii, Semionotiformes) from the Anisian of Luoping (Yunnan Province, South China). *Zootaxa* 2749:25–39.

Ma X-Y, Xu G-H. 2017. A new ionoscopiform fish (Holostei: Halecomorphi) from the Middle Triassic (Anisian) of Yunnan, China. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 55:92–106.

Maisey JG. 1991. *Araripelepidotes* Silva Santos, 1985. In: Maisey JG, ed. *Santana fossils: an illustrated atlas*. New York: TFH Publications Inc., 118–123.

Müller J. 1844. Über den Bau und die Grenzen der Ganoiden, und über das natürliche System der Fische. *Bericht Akademie der Wissenschaften Berlin* 1844:416–422.

Near TJ, Eytan RI, Dornburg A, Kuhn KL, Moore JA, Davis MP, Wainwright PC, Friedman M, Smith WL. 2012. Resolution of ray-finned fish phylogeny and timing
of diversification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109:13698–13703 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1206625109.

Olsen PE. 1984. The skull and pectoral girdle of the parasemionotid fish Watsonulus eugnathoides from the Early Triassic Sakamena Group of Madagascar, with comments on the relationship of the holostean fishes. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 4:481–499 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1984.10012024.

Olsen PE, McCune AR. 1991. Morphology of the Semionotus elegans species group from the Early Jurassic part of the Newark supergroup of eastern North America with comments on the family Semionotidae (Neopterygii). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 11:269–292 DOI 10.1080/02724634.1991.10011398.

Patterson C. 1973. Interrelationships of holosteans. In: Greenwood PH, Miles RS, Patterson C, eds. Interrelationships of fishes. London: Academic Press, 233–305.

Patterson C. 1982. Morphology and interrelationships of primitive actinopterygian fishes. American Zoology 22:241–259 DOI 10.1093/icb/22.2.241.

Regan CT. 1923. The skeleton of Lepidosteus, with remarks on the origin and evolution of the lower neopterygian fishes. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1923:445–461.

Schröder KM, López-Arbarello A, Ebert M. 2012. Macrosemimimus gen. nov. (Actinopterygii, Semionotiformes) from the Late Jurassic of Germany, England and France. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 32:512–529 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2012.649626.

Schultze H-P, Möller H. 1986. Wirbeltierreste aus dem Mittleren Muschelkalk (Trias) von Göttingen, West-Deutschland. Paläontologische Zeitschrift 60:109–129 DOI 10.1007/BF02989426.

Su D-Z. 1959. Triassic fishes from Kueichow, Southwest China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 3:205–210.

Sun Z-Y, Jiang D-Y, Ji C, Hao W-C. 2016. Integrated biochronology for Triassic marine vertebrate faunas of Guizhou Province, South China. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 118:101–110 DOI 10.1016/j.jseaes.2016.01.004.

Sun Z-Y, Ni P-G. 2018. Revision of Kyphosichthys grandei Xu & Wu, 2012 from the Middle Triassic of Yunnan Province, South China: implications for phylogenetic interrelationships of ginglymodian fishes. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 16:67–85 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2016.1269049.

Sun Z-Y, Tintori A, Xu Y-Z, Cristina L, Ni P-G, Jiang D-Y. 2017. A new non-parasemionotiform order of the Halecomorphi (Neopterygii, Actinopterygii) from the Middle Triassic of Tethys. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 15:223–240 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2016.1181679.

Swofford DL. 2003. PAUP* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates. Available at http://paup.phylosolutions.com/.

Tintori A, Lombardo C. 2007. A new early Semionotidae (Semionotiformes, Actinopterygii) from the Upper Ladinian of Monte San Giorgio area (Southern
Switzerland and Northern Italy). Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 113:369–381 DOI 10.13130/2039-4942/5881.

Tintori A, Sun Z-Y, Ni P-G, Lombardo C, Jiang D-Y, Ryosuke M. 2015. Oldest stem Teleostei from the late Ladinian (Middle Triassic) of southern China. Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 121:285–296 DOI 10.13130/2039-4942/6519.

Wen W, Zhang Q-Y, Hu S-X, Zhou C-Y, Xie T, Huang J-Y, Chen Z-Q, Benton MJ. 2012. A new genus of basal actinopterygian fish from the Anisian (Middle Triassic) of Luoping, Yunnan Province, Southwest China. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 57:149–160 DOI 10.4202/app.2010.0089.

Wenz S. 1999. †Pliodetes nigriensis, gen. nov. et. sp. nov., a new semionotid fish from the Lower Cretaceous of Gadoufaoua (Niger Republic): phylogenetic comments. In: Arratia G, Schultze H-P, eds. Mesozoic fishes 2—systematics and fossil record. München: Verlag Dr. Friederich Pfeil, 107–120.

Westoll TS. 1944. The Haplolepidae, a new family of Late Carboniferous bony fishes—a study in taxonomy and evolution. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 83:1–121.

Xu G-H, Gao K-Q, Coates MI. 2015. Taxonomic revision of Plesiosuro mingshuica from the Lower Triassic of northern Gansu, China, and the relationships of early neopterygian clades. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 35:e1001515 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2014.1001515.

Xu G-H, Gao K-Q, Finarelli JA. 2014. A revision of the Middle Triassic scanilepiform fish Fukangichthys longidorsalis from Xinjiang, China, with comments on the phylogeny of the Actinopteri. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 34:747–759 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2014.837053.

Xu G-H, Ma X-Y. 2016. A Middle Triassic stem-neopterygian fish from China sheds new light on the peltopleuriform phylogeny and internal fertilization. Science Bulletin 61:1766–1774 DOI 10.1007/S11434-016-1189-5.

Xu G-H, Ma X-Y. 2018. Redescription and phylogenetic reassessment of Asialepidotus shingyiensis (Holostei: Halecomorphi) from the Middle Triassic (Ladinian) of China. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 184:95–114 DOI 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx105.

Xu G-H, Ma X-Y, Zhao L-J. 2018. A large peltopleurid fish from the Middle Triassic (Ladinian) of Yunnan and Guizhou, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 56:106–120.

Xu G-H, Shen C-C. 2015. Panxianichthys imparilis gen. et sp. nov., a new ionoscopiform (Halecomorphi) from the Middle Triassic of Guizhou, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 53:1–15.

Xu G-H, Wu F-X. 2012. A deep-bodied ginglymodian fish from the Middle Triassic of eastern Yunnan Province, China, and the phylogeny of lower neopterygians. Chinese Science Bulletin 57:111–118 DOI 10.1007/s11434-011-4719-1.

Xu G-H, Zhao L-J. 2016. A Middle Triassic stem-neopterygian fish from China shows remarkable secondary sexual characteristics. Science Bulletin 61:338–344 DOI 10.1007/s11434-016-1007-0.
Xu G-H, Zhao L-J, Coates MI. 2014. The oldest ionoscopiform from China sheds new light on the early evolution of halecomorph fishes. Biology Letters 10:Article 20140204 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2014.0204.

Xu G-H, Zhao L-J, Gao K-Q, Wu F-X. 2012. A new stem-neopterygian fish from the Middle Triassic of China shows the earliest over-water gliding strategy of the vertebrates. Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series B 280:Article 20122261 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2012.2261.

Xu G-H, Zhao L-J, Shen C-C. 2015. A Middle Triassic thoracopterid from China highlights the evolutionary origin of over-water gliding in early ray-finned fishes. Biology Letters 11:Article 20140960 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2014.0960.

Young C-C. 1958. On the new pachypleurosauroidea from Keichow, South-West China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 2:69–81.

Zou X-D, Balini M, Jiang D-Y, Tintori A, Sun Z-Y, Sun Y-L. 2015. Ammonoids from the Zhuganpo Member of the Falang Formation at Nimaigu and their relevance for dating the Xingyi Fossil-Lagerstaette (Late Ladinian, Guizhou, China). Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 121:135–161.