combinations with other agents looking for deep response as primary outcome. The results of the INNOVATE study, which randomized WM patients to ibrutinib and rituximab versus rituximab alone, are eagerly awaited (NCT02165397). Given the relatively benign toxicity profile of ibrutinib, combinations with monoclonal antibodies, proteasome inhibitors, alkylators, and other agents are likely to be well tolerated have greater efficacy at inducing deep responses in WM patients.
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Genetic biomarkers of sensitivity and resistance to venetoclax monotherapy in patients with relapsed acute myeloid leukemia

To the Editor:

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a heterogeneous malignancy characterized by chromosomal aberrations and somatic mutations that identify biologically distinct subsets and guide risk stratification for therapy. Treatment-associated changes in clonal architecture are common in AML, with emergence or clearance of specific sub-clones driving sensitivity and resistance to therapy. Therefore, the molecular characterization of emerging clones may facilitate the selection of optimal targeted therapies and rational combinations.

Venetoclax, a selective BCL-2 inhibitor, induced a complete response or complete response with incomplete blood recovery (CR/CRi) in 6/32 (19%) patients with AML who either had relapsed/refractory disease or were medically unfit for intensive chemotherapy. In this report, we present a comparison of genetic biomarkers observed in pre- and post-treatment specimens from 29 of the 32 patients enrolled on this phase II study. Measurable reduction in bone marrow (BM) blast counts was observed in 15/29 (52%) of the patients, including CR/CRi in 6, a ≥50% reduction in BM blasts in 5, and a more modest blast reduction of <50% in 4 (Supporting Information Figure 1). The remaining patients (14/29, 48%) had no blast reduction.

We investigated the presence of somatic mutations commonly associated with AML in baseline and end-of-treatment samples. DNA isolated from blood and bone marrow specimens was analyzed by next-generation sequencing using the TruSight Myeloid panel (Illumina), the FoundationOne Heme panel (Foundation Medicine), or whole exome sequencing (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Khalifa Institute). Comparison of mutations at baseline and end of treatment is shown in Figure 1A.

At baseline, 10/29 (34%) patients had mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1/2 (IDH1/2) genes. Of these, 7 (70%) had a reduction in BM blasts, including 3 CR/CRi. At baseline, 11/29 (38%) patients had spliceosome mutations in SRSF2 or ZRSR2. Ten (88%) of these patients had a decrease in BM blasts, including 3 CR/CRi. Seven patients had both IDH1/2 and spliceosome mutations with BM blast reductions observed in 6 (86%). In total, 11/14 (79%) patients with
mutations in IDH1/2 or SRSF2/ZRS2 had evidence of BM blast reduction, including 4 CR/CRI, implicating these as possible markers of sensitivity to venetoclax (Figure 1A).

Among 14 patients who did not have a decrease in BM blasts on venetoclax treatment, 3 (21%) had FMS-like tyrosine kinase-3-internal tandem duplication (FLT3-ITD) and 4 (29%) had protein tyrosine...
phosphatase, non-receptor type 11 (PTPN11) mutation at baseline, with 1 having both. Three patients had baseline mutations in both the IDH/spliceosome and FLT3-ITD/PTPN11 groups and these three were the only patients who harbored IDH/spliceosome mutations and did not have BM blast reductions on venetoclax. The median time on study was 106 days (range, 50–256) for the IDH/spliceosome \(^{(n = 11)}\) and 25 days (range, 15–31) for FLT3-ITD/PTPN11 \(^{(n = 6)}\) patients (P = .0018, Wilcoxon) (Figure 1B). These data suggest that FLT3-ITD or PTPN11 mutations in AML may produce intrinsic/primary resistance to venetoclax.

We also performed mutational analysis on matched end-of-treatment samples from 20 patients at the time of AML progression/therapy termination. The IDH1/2, SRSF2/ZRSR2, FLT3-ITD, and PTPN11 mutations identified prior to treatment were still present at the end of therapy in all patients. Notably, in 5 IDH/spliceosome \(^{\ast}\) patients that were negative for FLT3-ITD/PTPN11 mutations at baseline, FLT3-ITD \((n = 2)\), PTPN11 \((n = 1)\), or both \((n = 2)\) mutations were now detected in the end-of-treatment samples. The median time on study for these five patients was 87 days (range, 50–107) as compared to 131 days (range, 83–256) for the six patients who had IDH/spliceosome mutations at baseline and did not acquire FLT3 or PTPN11 mutations (Figure 1C). Furthermore, two patients in whom venetoclax initially induced BM blast reductions had both FLT3-ITD and PTPN11 mutations newly detectable at the end of treatment in different subclones, based on allele frequency.

Based on our sequencing findings, we assessed the combination of venetoclax with the small-molecule FLT3 inhibitor quizartinib in the FLT3-ITD+ mutant xenograft model MV-4–11 (Supporting Information Methods). In vitro, the MV-4–11 cells were sensitive to BCL-2 inhibition by venetoclax.\(^{3}\) However, similar to our clinical observations, venetoclax did not inhibit the growth of these tumors when implanted in vivo. Daily dosing of quizartinib induced tumor regressions in this model, although the tumors regrew following cessation of therapy. Strikingly, co-treatment with venetoclax and quizartinib induced similar tumor regressions as quizartinib alone but with significantly increased durability, preventing tumor re-emergence for up to 3 months post-cessation of treatment (Figure 1D). These data suggest that combining venetoclax with FLT3 inhibitors could be highly effective for the treatment of FLT3-mutated AML and may also prevent the emergence of FLT3-mutated, venetoclax-resistant sub-clones in patients who do not have an already detectable FLT3 mutation.

In summary, our data suggest that SRSF2/ZRSR2 and IDH1/2 mutations may predict sensitivity to venetoclax therapy in AML. Chan et al. previously demonstrated that IDH1/2 mutations can sensitize leukemic cells to venetoclax.\(^{4}\) However, of the 10 IDH1/2-mutated AML samples assessed in this trial, 7 had co-occurring spliceosome mutations, making it difficult to determine whether only one or both of these mutations together predict for venetoclax sensitivity. Recent findings suggest that IDH2 and SRSF2 mutations cooperate to induce a lethal transplantable myeloproliferative neoplasm.\(^{5}\) Additionally, SRSF2 mutation is known to induce alternative splicing of genes involved in the apoptotic pathway, a possible link to venetoclax sensitivity.\(^{6}\) We note that FLT3-ITD or PTPN11 mutations may confer primary and secondary resistance to venetoclax. Consistent with this, previous studies have shown that FLT3-ITD or PTPN11 mutations can enhance the expression of anti-apoptotic BCL-2 relatives like BCL-X\(_{L}\) and MCL-1.\(^{7,8}\) When combined with venetoclax, the FLT3 inhibitor quizartinib induced more durable responses in FLT3-ITD+ tumor-bearing mice than either agent alone (Figure 1D). Thus, simultaneous targeting of BCL-2 and FLT3 may be one approach to overcome primary resistance and prevent emergence of secondary resistance to venetoclax therapy in AML patients.
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Impact of intranasal fentanyl in nurse initiated protocols for sickle cell vaso-occlusive pain episodes in a pediatric emergency department

To the Editor:

Although pain is a universal feature of sickle cell disease (SCD), there is limited evidence to guide management for vasoocclusive pain episodes (VOE). In 2014, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institutes (NHLBI) published new guidelines recommending rapid evaluation and treatment of VOE in the acute care setting, with timely pain assessments and repeat analgesia as needed to control pain. Despite these guidelines, delays in administration of parenteral analgesia are common in pediatric emergency departments (ED).  

Fentanyl is a potent, synthetic narcotic analgesic with a rapid onset and short duration of action. It is a strong agonist at the μ-opioid receptors, approximately 100 times more potent than morphine. Intranasal fentanyl (INF) has an onset of action of about 5–10 minutes, peaking within 30 minutes. INF 1.4 μg/kg equates to intravenous (IV) fentanyl 1 mcg/kg (approx. 70% bioavailability), and can provide rapid and powerful analgesia in the ED without the need for IV access. Current evidence suggests that INF is a safe and effective method of pain management for children in a variety of clinical settings, and is commonly used in the ED to control acute pain. A quality improvement (QI) initiative by Kavanagh and colleagues was the first to use INF in the management of VOE in children with SCD and demonstrated improvements in time-to-first-parenteral-opioid dose, together with improved time-to-second-opioid and time-to-ED disposition. Of interest, ED discharge rates also increased from 32% to 48%, without an increase in 24-hour readmission or adverse outcomes like respiratory depression. A recently published randomized placebo-controlled controlled trial involving 49 children with SCD presenting to an ED with moderate-severe pain randomized to INF (2 μg/kg, maximum 100 μg) had a greater decrease in median pain score at 20 minutes compared to normal saline placebo. We therefore evaluated the addition of INF to a nurse-initiated protocol at the Egleston Pediatric ED at Children’s Healthcare of Atlanta (CHOA) for the management of SCD/VOE on time-to-first-parenteral-opioid dose administration, ED length of stay (LOS), and admission rates compared to: (1) historical control data prior to implementation of the INF protocol and (2) those who were not treated with INF during the study period. (See Supplement Methods Section for details on setting, participants, the CHOA nurse-initiated SCD-pain protocol [Supporting Information Figure 1], education initiatives utilized to ensure team buy-in, data collection, statistical analysis, and limitations.) All children with an established diagnosis of SCD (all genotypes) between the ages of 2–18 years presenting to the ED with VOE treated with intravenous (IV) opioids were eligible. Electronic medical record data were collected for a 6-month period before and after implementation of INF use to the nurse-initiated protocol. Patient/family and nursing satisfaction with INF was obtained through a Likert Scale Survey.

A total of 248 SCD visits for moderate-to-severe VOE occurred during the 6-month pilot period. Of those, 228 patients received parenteral opioids (92%), of whom 180 (79%) received INF. Of the 48 patients who did not receive INF (INF− group), 36 were not offered INF without explanation for the clinical protocol deviation, while 12 refused INF. Mean age of the 228 patients treated with parenteral opioids was 12 ± 5 years, 56% were female, and 65% had HbSS (See Supporting Information Table 1 for patient clinical characteristics). Patients in the INF+ group had similar gender and hemoglobin genotype, but were older than patients in the INF+ group (13.4 ± 4.0 vs. 11.7 ± 4.5 years, P = 0.01).

Triage pain scores were similar in all groups and improved significantly at the time of ED disposition, without a significant difference in the INF+ vs. INF− groups (Supporting Information Figure 2). Mean time-to-first-parenteral-opioid decreased significantly in the INF+ group compared to historical controls (29 ± 15 vs. 35 ± 18 minutes, P < 0.01, n = 228) and the INF− group (77 ± 44 minutes, P < 0.001; n = 48). The ED LOS between the INF+ group and historical controls was similar, but lower in the INF− group. Admission rates were similar in the INF+ group and historical controls but significantly higher in the INF− group (48% and 45% vs. 71% respectively, P = 0.004; Table 1).

No adverse events including over-sedation or respiratory depression occurred during the study. The most common side effects included complaints of nasal burning and irritation after administration.