MORE ON LIE DERIVATIONS OF GENERALIZED MATRIX ALGEBRAS
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Abstract. Motivated by the Cheung’s elaborate work [Linear Multilinear Algebra, 51 (2003), 299-310], we investigate the construction of a Lie derivation on a generalized matrix algebra and apply it to give a characterization for such a Lie derivation to be proper. Our approach not only provides a direct proof for some known results in the theory, but also it presents several sufficient conditions assuring the properness of Lie derivations on certain generalized matrix algebras.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \( A \) be a unital algebra (over a commutative unital ring \( R \)) and \( M \) be an \( A \)-module. A linear mapping \( D : A \to M \) is said to be a derivation if
\[
D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b) \quad (a, b \in A).
\]
A linear mapping \( L : A \to M \) is called a Lie derivation if
\[
L([a, b]) = [L(a), b] + [a, L(b)] \quad (a, b \in A),
\]
where \([a, b] = ab - ba\). Every derivation is trivially a Lie derivation. If \( D : A \to A \) is a derivation and \( \tau : A \to Z(A) \) is a linear map, where \( Z(A) \) denotes the center of \( A \), then \( D + \tau \) is a Lie derivation if and only if \( \tau \) vanishes at commutators (i.e. \( \tau([a, b]) = 0 \), for all \( a, b \in A \)). Lie derivations of this form are called proper. Clearly a Lie derivation \( L \) is proper if and only if \( L = D + \tau \) for some derivation \( D \) and a linear center valued map \( \tau \) on \( A \) (i.e. \( \tau(A) \subseteq Z(A) \)). The fundamental question is that under what conditions a Lie derivation on an algebra is proper. We say that an algebra \( A \) has “Lie Derivation Property” if every Lie derivation from \( A \) into itself is proper.

In this paper we study the Lie derivation property for the general matrix algebras. First we briefly introduce a general matrix algebra. A Morita context \((A, B, M, N, \Phi_{MN}, \Psi_{NM})\) consists of two unital algebras \( A, B \), an \((A, B)\)-module \( M \), a \((B, A)\)-module \( N \), and two module homomorphisms \( \Phi_{MN} : M \otimes_B N \to A \) and \( \Psi_{NM} : N \otimes_A M \to B \) satisfying the
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following commutative diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M} \otimes B \mathcal{N} \otimes A \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{MN}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{M}}} & A \otimes A \mathcal{M} \\
I_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathcal{MN}} & \cong & \\
\mathcal{M} \otimes B \mathcal{B} & \cong & \mathcal{M}
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{N} \otimes A \mathcal{M} \otimes B \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{NM}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{N}}} & B \otimes B \mathcal{N} \\
I_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{MN}} & \cong & \\
\mathcal{N} \otimes A \mathcal{A} & \cong & \mathcal{N}.
\end{array}
\]

For a Morita context \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \Phi_{\mathcal{MN}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{NM}})\), the set

\[
\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}, b \in \mathcal{B} \right\}
\]

forms an algebra under the usual matrix operations, where at least one of two modules \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\mathcal{N}\) is distinct from zero. The algebra \(\mathcal{G}\) is called a generalized matrix algebra. In the case where \(\mathcal{N} = 0\), \(\mathcal{G}\) becomes the so-called triangular algebra \(\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})\), whose Lie derivation property is investigated by Cheung [3]. Generalized matrix algebras were first introduced by Sands [12], where he studied various radicals of algebras occurring in Morita contexts.

A direct verification reveals that the center \(Z(\mathcal{G})\) of \(\mathcal{G}\) is

\[
Z(\mathcal{G}) = \{a \oplus b \mid a \in Z(\mathcal{A}), b \in Z(\mathcal{B}), am = mb, na = bn \text{ for all } m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\},
\]

where \(a \oplus b = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{G}\). We also define two natural projections \(\pi_\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\) and \(\pi_\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\) by

\[
\pi_\mathcal{A} : \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\mathcal{B} : \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto b.
\]

It is clear that, \(\pi_\mathcal{A}(Z(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})\) and \(\pi_\mathcal{B}(Z(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{B})\). Further, similar to [3, Proposition 3] one can show that, if \(\mathcal{M}\) is a faithful \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\)-module (i.e. \(a\mathcal{M} = 0\) necessities \(a = 0\) and \(\mathcal{M}b = 0\) necessities \(b = 0\)), then there exists a unique algebra isomorphism

\[
\varphi : \pi_\mathcal{A}(Z(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \pi_\mathcal{B}(Z(\mathcal{G}))
\]

such that \(am = m\varphi(a)\) and \(\varphi(a)n = na\) for all \(m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\); or equivalently, \(a \oplus \varphi(a) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\) for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}\).

Martindale [9] was the first one who investigated the Lie derivation property of certain primitive rings. Cheung [4] initiated the study of various mappings on triangular algebras; in particular, he studied the Lie derivation property for triangular algebras in [3]. Following his work [3], Lie derivations on a wide variety of algebras have been studied by many authors (see [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14] and the references therein). The main result of Cheung [3] has recently extended by Du and Wang [5] for a generalized matrix algebra. They showed that [5, Theorem 1] a general matrix algebra \(\mathcal{G}\) with \(\mathcal{M}\) faithful enjoys the Lie derivation property if \(\pi_\mathcal{A}(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{A}), \pi_\mathcal{B}(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{B})\), and either \(\mathcal{A}\) or \(\mathcal{B}\) does not contain nonzero central
ideals. This result developed for Lie \( n \)--derivation in [14, Theorem 1], (see also [13, Theorem 2.1]).

In this paper we use the construction of Lie derivations of a generalized matrix algebra \( G \) (Proposition 2.1) to give a criterion for properness Lie derivations on \( G \) (see Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4). We then deduce not only, as a byproduct, the aforementioned result of Du and Wang (Theorem 3.1) but also we provide some alternative sufficient conditions ensuring the Lie derivation property for \( G \) (Theorems 3.2, 3.3). We then state our main result, Theorem 3.4, giving some sufficient conditions assuring the Lie derivation property for a generalized matrix algebra. In the last section, we include some applications of our results to some special generalized matrix algebras such as triangular algebras, the full matrix algebras and the algebras of operators on a Banach space.

2. PROPER LIE DERIVATIONS

From now on, we assume that the modules \( M \) and \( N \) appeared in the generalized matrix algebra \( G \) are 2--torion free. Recall that a module \( M \) is called 2--torsion free if \( 2m = 0 \) implies \( m = 0 \) for any \( m \in M \).

We commence with the following result providing the construction of (Lie) derivations of a generalized matrix algebra. It needs a standard argument, however Li and Wei [6] gave a complete proof for the first two parts of it.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( G = \left( \begin{array}{c} A \\ M \\ N \\ B \end{array} \right) \) be a generalized matrix algebra. Then

- ([6, Proposition 4.1]). A linear mapping \( L \) on \( G \) is a Lie derivation if and only if it has the presentation

\[
L \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} P(a) - mn_0 - m_0n + h_B(b) & am_0 - m_0b + f(m) \\ n_0a - bn_0 + g(n) & h_A(a) + n_0m + nm_0 + Q(b) \end{array} \right),
\]

(1)

for some \( m_0 \in M, n_0 \in N \) and some linear maps \( P : A \rightarrow A, Q : B \rightarrow B, f : M \rightarrow M, g : N \rightarrow N, h_B : B \rightarrow Z(A) \) and \( h_A : A \rightarrow Z(B) \) satisfying the following conditions:

(a) \( P, Q \) are Lie derivations;
(b) \( h_B([b, b']) = 0, h_A([a, a']) = 0; \)
(c) \( f(am) = P(a)m - mh_A(a) + af(m), f(mb) = mQ(b) - h_B(b)m + f(m)b; \)
(d) \( g(na) = nP(a) - h_A(a)n + g(n)a, g(bn) = Q(b)n - nh_B(b) + bg(n); \)
(e) \( P(mn) - h_B(nm) = mg(n) + f(m)n, Q(nm) - h_A(mn) = g(n)m + n f(m); \)

for all \( a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B, m \in M \) and \( n \in N \).

- ([6, Proposition 4.2]). A linear mapping \( D \) on \( G \) is a derivation if and only if it has the presentation

\[
D \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} P'(a) - mn_0 - m_0n & am_0 - m_0b + f'(m) \\ n_0a - bn_0 + g'(n) & n_0m + nm_0 + Q'(b) \end{array} \right),
\]

(2)

where \( m_0 \in M, n_0 \in N, P' : A \rightarrow A, Q' : B \rightarrow B, f' : M \rightarrow M \) and \( g' : N \rightarrow N \) are linear maps satisfying the following conditions:

(a') \( P', Q' \) are derivations;
(b') $f'(am) = P'(a)m + af'(m)$, $f'(mb) = mQ'(b) + f'(m)b$;
(c') $g'(na) = nP'(a) + g'(n)a$, $g'(bn) = Q'(b)n + bg'(n)$;
(d') $P'(mn) = mg'(n) + f'(m)n$, $Q'(nm) = g'(n)m + nf'(m)$;

for all $a \in A, b \in B, m \in M$ and $n \in N$.

- A linear mapping $\tau$ on $G$ is center valued and vanishes at commutators (i.e. $\tau(G) \subseteq Z(G)$ and $\tau([G, G]) = 0$) if and only if $\tau$ has the presentation

$$\tau\left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_A(a) + h_B(b) & 0 \\ 0 & h_A(a) + \ell_B(b) \end{array}\right),$$

where $\ell_A : A \rightarrow Z(A), h_B : B \rightarrow Z(A), h_A : A \rightarrow Z(B), \ell_B : B \rightarrow Z(B)$ are linear maps vanishing at commutators, having the following properties:

(a') $\ell_A(a) + h_A(a) \in Z(G)$ and $h_B(b) + \ell_B(b) \in Z(G)$, for all $a \in A, b \in B$;
(b') $\ell_A(mn) = h_B(nm)$ and $h_A(mn) = \ell_B(nm)$, for all $m \in M, n \in N$.

Following the method of [3, Theorem 6], in the next theorem we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Lie derivation on a generalized matrix algebra $G$ to be proper.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $G$ be a generalized matrix algebra. A Lie derivation $L$ on $G$ of the form presented in (1) is proper if and only if there exist linear mappings $\ell_A : A \rightarrow Z(A)$ and $\ell_B : B \rightarrow Z(B)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(A) $P - \ell_A$ and $Q - \ell_B$ are derivations on $A$ and $B$, respectively;
(B) $\ell_A(a) + h_A(a) \in Z(G)$ and $h_B(b) + \ell_B(b) \in Z(G)$, for all $a \in A, b \in B$;  
(C) $\ell_A(mn) = h_B(nm)$ and $h_A(mn) = \ell_B(nm)$, for all $m \in M, n \in N$.

**Proof.** For the sufficiency, employing the characterization of $L$ as in (1), we define $D$ and $\tau$ by

$$D\left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} (P - \ell_A)(a) - mn_a - m_0n & am_0 - m_0b + f(m) \\ n_0a - bn_0 + g(n) & n_0m + nm_0 + (Q - \ell_B)(b) \end{array}\right)$$

and

$$\tau\left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \ell_A(a) + h_B(b) & 0 \\ 0 & h_A(a) + \ell_B(b) \end{array}\right).$$

Then, by Proposition 2.1, a direct verification reveals that $D$ is a derivation, $\tau$ is center valued and $L = D + \tau$.

For the necessity, suppose that $L$ is of the form $D + \tau$, where $D$ is a derivation and $\tau$ maps into $Z(G)$. Applying the presentations (1), (2) for $L$ and $D$, respectively, we get $\tau = L - D$ as

$$\tau\left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} (P - P')(a) + h_B(b) & 0 \\ 0 & h_A(a) + (Q - Q')(b) \end{array}\right).$$

By setting $\ell_A = P - P', \ell_B = Q - Q'$, a direct verification shows that $\ell_A, \ell_B$ are our desired maps satisfying the required conditions. □

**Remark 2.3.** In the case where $M$ is faithful as an $(A, B)$-module, then we have the following simplifications in Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2:
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modification of the main result of Du and Wang [5]. See also [14, Corollary 1] and examine [13, Theorem 2.1] for \( n = 2 \).

**Theorem 3.1** ([5, Theorem 1]). Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a generalized matrix algebra with faithful \( \mathcal{M} \). Then \( \mathcal{G} \) has Lie derivation property if
\(\pi_A(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{A}), \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{B}),\) and

(ii) either \(\mathcal{A}\) or \(\mathcal{B}\) does not contain nonzero central ideals.

**Proof.** Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be a Lie derivation of the form presented in (1). From Corollary 2.4, as (i) implies (A'), we only need to show that \(h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\) for all \(m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\). Without loss of generality suppose that \(\mathcal{A}\) has no nonzero central ideals. Set

\[\gamma(a, b) = \ell_A(a) + h_B(b) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}),\]

where, as in the proof of 2.4, \(\ell_A = \varphi^{-1} \circ h_A\). Then \(p_A = P - \ell_A\) is a derivation. Now Proposition 2.1 (e) implies that

\[p_A(mn) = mg(n) + f(m)n - \gamma(mn, -nm) \quad (m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}),\]

so for each \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), \(p_A(amn) - amg(n) - f(am)n = -\gamma(amn, -nam)\). By the latter identity and the fact that \(p_A\) is a derivation we get

\[p_A(a)mn + ap_A(mn) - amg(n) - p_A(a)mn - af(m)n = -\gamma(amn, -nam).\]

These relations follow that \(a\gamma(mn, -nm) = \gamma(amn, -nam)\), for \(a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\). Therefore for any two elements \(m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\) the set \(\mathcal{A}\gamma(mn, -nm)\) is a central ideal of \(\mathcal{A}\). Thus \(\ell_A(mn) - h_B(nm) = \gamma(mn, -nm) = 0\) and so \(h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) = \ell_A(mn) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\), as claimed. \(\square\)

For an example of an algebra satisfying condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1, one can directly show that every noncommutative unital prime algebra with a nontrivial idempotent does not contain nonzero central ideals. In particular, \(B(X)\), the algebra of operators on a Banach space \(X\) when the dimension \(X\) is greater that 1, does not contain central ideal. The full matrix matrix algebra \(M_n(A), n \geq 2,\) has also no nonzero central ideal, [5, Lemma 1]. In [5, Theorem 2] they also showed that in a generalized matrix algebra \(G\) with loyal \(\mathcal{M}\) if \(\mathcal{A}\) is noncommutative then \(\mathcal{A}\) has no central ideals.

In the next result, we provide some new sufficient conditions assuring the Lie derivation property for \(G\). We say that an algebra \(\mathcal{A}\) is a domain if it has no zero devisors if \(aa' = 0\) implies \(a = 0\) or \(a' = 0\) for any two elements \(a, a' \in \mathcal{A}\).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \(\mathcal{G}\) be a generalized matrix algebra with faithful \(\mathcal{M}\). Then \(\mathcal{G}\) has Lie derivation property if

(i) \(\pi_A(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{A}), \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{B}),\)

(ii) \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\mathcal{B}\) are domain.

**Proof.** Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be a Lie derivation of the form presented in (1). From Corollary 2.4, we only need to show that \(h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\).

Let \(m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\). Using the identities in (c) of Proposition 2.1 for \(a = mn\) and \(b = nm\) we get

\[P(mn)m - mh_A(mn) + mf(m) = mQ(nm) - h_B(nm)m + f(m)nm.\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Multiplying by \(m\) the identities in (e) of Proposition 2.1 we get

\[P(mn)m - h_B(nm)m = mg(n)m + f(m)nm, \quad mQ(nm) - mh_A(mn) = mg(n)m + mnf(m).\]  \hspace{1cm} (7)
Combining the equations in (6) and (7) we get \(2(h_B(nm)m - mh_A(mn)) = 0\), so the 2–torsion freeness of \(\mathcal{M}\) implies that
\[
h_B(nm)m = mh_A(mn). \tag{8}
\]
The faithfulness of \(\mathcal{M}\) guaranties the existence of an isomorphism \(\varphi : \pi_A(Z(G)) \to \pi_B(Z(G))\) satisfying \(a \oplus \varphi(a) \in Z(G)\) for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}\). As \(\pi_B(Z(G)) = Z(B)\), we can define \(\ell_A : \mathcal{A} \to Z(\mathcal{A})\) and \(\ell_B : \mathcal{B} \to Z(\mathcal{B})\) by \(\ell_A = \varphi^{-1} \circ h_A\) and \(\ell_B = \varphi \circ h_B\), respectively. It follows that \(\ell_A(a) \oplus h_A(a) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\) and \(h_B(b) \oplus \ell_B(b) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\) for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\). It follows from (8) that
\[
(h_B(nm) - \ell_A(mn))m = 0; \tag{9}
\]
or equivalently,
\[
m(\ell_B(nm) - h_A(mn)) = 0. \tag{10}
\]
If \(\mathcal{N}m = 0\) and \(m\mathcal{N} = 0\), then trivially \(h_B(nm) - \ell_A(mn) = 0\). Otherwise either \(m\mathcal{N} \neq 0\) or \(\mathcal{N}m \neq 0\). Take \(0 \neq n_0 \in \mathcal{N}\). If \(mn_0 \neq 0\) then, as \(\mathcal{A}\) is a domain, (9) implies that \(h_B(nm) - \ell_A(mn) = 0\); so
\[
h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) = \ell_A(mn) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(G).
\]
If \(n_0m \neq 0\) then, as \(\mathcal{B}\) is a domain, (10) implies that \(\ell_B(nm) - h_A(mn) = 0\); so
\[
h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) = h_B(nm) \oplus \ell_B(nm) \in Z(G).
\]
We therefore have \(h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(G)\) for all \(m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}\), as required. \(\square\)

Here we impose a condition on \(\mathcal{M}\) which is slightly stronger than the faithfulness of \(\mathcal{M}\). We say an \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\)–module \(\mathcal{M}\) is strongly faithful if either

- \(\mathcal{M}\) is faithful as a right \(\mathcal{B}\)–module and \(am = 0\) implies \(a = 0\) or \(m = 0\) for any \(a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}\); or

- \(\mathcal{M}\) is faithful as a left \(\mathcal{A}\)–module and \(mb = 0\) implies \(m = 0\) or \(b = 0\) for any \(m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B}\).

It is evident that if \(\mathcal{M}\) is strongly faithful then \(\mathcal{M}\) is faithful and either \(\mathcal{A}\) or \(\mathcal{B}\) has no zero devisors. Following the proof of the above theorem we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** A generalized matrix algebra \(\mathcal{G}\) has Lie derivation property if

(i) \(\pi_A(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{A}), \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{B})\), and

(ii) \(\mathcal{M}\) is strongly faithful.

**Proof.** Following the proof of Theorem 3.2, the equations (9) and (10) together with the strong faithfulness of \(\mathcal{M}\) ensure that \(h_B(nm) - \ell_A(mn) = 0\), so \(h_B(nm) \oplus h_A(mn) = \ell_A(mn) \oplus h_A(mn) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\). The conclusion now follows from Corollary 2.4. \(\square\)

We remark that, to the best of our knowledge, we do not know when one can drop the strong faithfulness in Theorem 3.3.

Similar to what was introduced in [3, Section 3], we also introduce a critical subalgebra \(\mathcal{V}_A\) of an algebra \(\mathcal{A}\). With the same notations as in Theorem 2.2, suppose that \(P\) is a Lie derivation on \(\mathcal{A}\) and \(\ell_A : \mathcal{A} \to Z(\mathcal{A})\) is a linear map such that \(P - \ell_A\) is a derivation on \(\mathcal{A}\). Set
\[
\mathcal{V}_A = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \ell_A(a) \oplus h_A(a) \in Z(\mathcal{G})\}.
\]
In other words, $\mathcal{V}_A$ consist of those elements $a \in A$ such that

$$\ell_A(a)m = mh_A(a) \quad \text{and} \quad n\ell_A(a) = h_A(a)n, \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, n \in \mathcal{N}.$$ 

It is also easy to verify that $\mathcal{V}_A \subseteq h_A^{-1}(\pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G})))$, with equality holds in the case where $\mathcal{M}$ is faithful. With some modifications in the proof of [3, Proposition 10], one can show that $\mathcal{V}_A$ is a subalgebra of $A$ containing all commutators and idempotents. More properties of $\mathcal{V}_A$ are investigated in [10].

We denote by $\mathcal{W}_A$ the smallest subalgebra of $A$ contains all commutators and idempotents. We are especially dealing with those algebras satisfying $\mathcal{W}_A = A$. If $\mathcal{W}_A = A$ then trivially $h_A(A) \subseteq \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G}))$, or equivalently, $\pi_B(\mathcal{L}(A)) \subseteq \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G}))$. Some examples of algebras satisfying $\mathcal{W}_A = A$ are: the full matrix algebra $A = M_n(A), n \geq 2$, where $A$ is a unital algebra, and also every simple unital algebra $A$ with a nontrivial idempotent.

Regarding the latter observations and some suitable combinations of the various assertions in the results 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we apply Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 to arrive the main result of the paper providing several sufficient conditions ensuring the Lie derivation property for a generalized matrix algebra $\mathcal{G}$, which is a generalization of [3, Theorem 11].

**Theorem 3.4.** A generalized matrix algebra $\mathcal{G}$ has Lie derivation property if the following three conditions hold:

(I) $\pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful left $\mathcal{A}$-module; or $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{W}_A$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful left $\mathcal{A}$-module; or $\mathcal{A}$ has Lie derivation property and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{W}_A$.

(II) $\pi_A(Z(\mathcal{G})) = Z(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful right $\mathcal{B}$-module; or $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{W}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a faithful right $\mathcal{B}$-module; or $\mathcal{B}$ has Lie derivation property and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{W}_B$.

(III) One of the following assertions holds:

(i) Either $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$ does not contain nonzero central ideals.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are domain.

(iii) Either $\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathcal{N}$ is strongly faithful.

4. **Some Applications**

The main examples of generalized matrix algebras are: a triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$, a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent, the algebra $B(X)$ of operators on a Banach space $X$ and the full matrix algebra $M_n(A)$ on a unital algebra $A$.

**Lie derivations on trivial generalized matrix algebras and $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$.** We say $\mathcal{G}$ is a trivial generalized matrix algebra when $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N} = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M} = 0$. The main example of trivial generalized matrix algebra is the so-called triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ whose Lie derivation property has been extensively investigated by Cheung [3, 4]. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 3.4 we get the following corollary which generalizes [3, Corollary 7, Theorem 11] to trivial generalized matrix algebras.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a trivial generalized matrix algebra and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie derivation on $\mathcal{G}$ of the form presented in (1). If $\mathcal{L}$ is proper then $h_A(A) \subseteq \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{G}))$ and $h_B(B) \subseteq \pi_A(Z(\mathcal{G}))$. The converse is also hold in the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is faithful.
In particular, a trivial generalized matrix algebra $G$ has Lie derivation property if the following two conditions hold:

(I) $\pi_B(Z(G)) = Z(B)$ and $M$ is a faithful left $A$-module; or $A = W_A$ and $M$ is a faithful left $A$-module; or $A$ has Lie derivation property and $A = W_A$.

(II) $\pi_A(Z(G)) = Z(A)$ and $M$ is a faithful right $B$-module; or $B = W_B$ and $M$ is a faithful right $B$-module; or $B$ has Lie derivation property and $B = W_B$.

The following example which has been adapted from [1, Example 3.8] presents a trivial generalized matrix algebra (which is not a triangular algebra) without the Lie derivation property.

**Example 4.2.** Let $M$ be a commutative unital algebra of dimension 3 (on the commutative unital ring $R$) with base $\{1, a_0, b_0\}$ such that $a_0^2 = b_0^2 = a_0 b_0 = b_0 a_0 = 0$. Set $N = M$ and let $A$ and $B$ be the subalgebras of $M$ generated by $\{1, a_0\}$ and $\{1, b_0\}$, respectively. Then $MN = 0 = N, M$ so the generalized matrix algebra $G = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix}$ is trivial, and a direct calculation reveals that the map $L : G \rightarrow G$ defined as

$$L\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
 r_1 + r_2 a_0 & s_1 + s_2 a_0 + s_3 b_0 \\
 t_1 + t_2 a_0 + t_3 b_0 & u_1 + u_2 b_0
\end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}
 u_2 a_0 & -s_3 a_0 - s_2 b_0 \\
 -t_3 a_0 - t_2 b_0 & r_2 b_0
\end{pmatrix},$$

(where the coefficients are taken from the ring $R$) is a non-proper Lie derivation. It is worthwhile mentioning that $Z(G) = R \cdot 1_G$ and $\pi_A(Z(G)) = R \cdot 1_A \neq Z(A), \pi_B(Z(G)) = R \cdot 1_B \neq Z(B)$.

Furthermore, it is also easy to verify that $A \neq W_A, B \neq W_B$. Therefore, none of the conditions (I) and (II) of Corollary 4.1 is hold. Nevertheless, $A$ and $B$ have Lie derivation property.

It should be also noted that $h_A(A) \not\subseteq \pi_B(Z(G)), h_B(B) \not\subseteq \pi_A(Z(G));$ as, $h_A(a_0) = b_0$ and $h_B(b_0) = a_0$.

This example can be compared to that was given by Cheung in [3, Example 8]. They clarify the same discipline, however, $G$ is not a triangular algebra.

**Lie derivations on unital algebras with a nontrivial idempotent.** In the following we investigate the Lie derivation property for some unital algebras with a nontrivial idempotent. Let $A$ be a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent $p$ and $q = 1 - p$. Then $A$ enjoys the Peirce decomposition $G = \begin{pmatrix} pAq & pAq \\ qAp & qAq \end{pmatrix}$, as a generalized matrix algebra. Applying Theorem 3.4 for this generalized matrix algebra $G$ we obtain the following result which partly improves the case $n = 2$ of a result given by Wang [13, Theorem 2.1] and Benkovič [1, Theorem 5.3].

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $A$ be a 2-torsion free unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent $p$ and $q = 1 - p$. Then $A$ has Lie derivation property if the following three conditions hold:

(I) $Z(pAq) = Z(A)q$ and $pAq$ is a faithful left $pAp$-module; or $pAp = W_{pAp}$ and $pAq$ is a faithful left $pAp$-module; or $pAp$ has Lie derivation property and $pAp = W_{pAp}$.

(II) $Z(pAp) = Z(A)p$ and $qAp$ is a faithful right $qAq$-module; or $qAq = W_{qAq}$ and $qAp$ is a faithful right $qAq$-module; or $qAq$ has Lie derivation property and $qAq = W_{qAq}$.

(III) One of the following assertions holds:

(i) Either $pAp$ or $qAq$ does not contain nonzero central ideals.

(ii) $pAp$ and $qAq$ are domain.
(iii) Either $pAp$ or $qAp$ is strongly faithful.

As a consequence of the above corollary we bring the following result showing that the algebra $B(X)$ of bounded operators on a Banach space $X$ enjoys Lie derivation property. The same result has already proved by Lu and Jing [8] for Lie derivable map at zero and idempotents by a completely different method. See also [7] for the properness of nonlinear derivations on $B(X)$.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $X$ be a Banach space of dimension greater than 2. Then $B(X)$ has Lie derivation property.

**Proof.** Set $\mathcal{A} = B(X)$. Consider a nonzero element $x_0 \in X$ and $f_0 \in X^*$ such that $f_0(x_0) = 1$, then $p = x_0 \otimes f_0$ defined by $y \mapsto f_0(y)x_0$ is a nontrivial idempotent. A direct verification reveals that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the implications (??). Indeed, if $pTpAp = \{0\}$ for some $T \in \mathcal{A}$, then choose a nonzero element $y \in q(X)$ such that $q(y) = y$. Let $x \in X$ then there exists an operator $S \in B(X)$ such that $S(y) = x$ (e.g. $S := x \otimes g$ for some $g \in X^*$ with $q(y) = 1$). We then get $pTp(x) = pTp(S(q(y))) = 0$. Further, it can be readily verify that $Z(\mathcal{A}) = CI_X = C(p + q)$, $Z(pAp) = C\mathcal{P}$ and $Z(qAp) = Cq$. In particular, $Z(pAp) = Z(\mathcal{A})p$, $Z(qAp) = Z(\mathcal{A})q$. These also imply that neither $pAp$ nor $qAp$ has no central ideals. By Corollary 4.3, $\mathcal{A} = B(X)$ has Lie derivation property.

We conclude this section with an application of Corollary 4.3 to the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{A} = M_n(A)$, $n \geq 2$, where $A$ is a 2–torsion free unital algebra. Then $p = e_{11}$ is a nontrivial idempotent and $q = e_{22} + \cdots + e_{nn}$. It is easy to verify that $pAp = A, qAp = M_{n-1}(A)$. As $Z(\mathcal{A}) = Z(A)1_{\mathcal{A}}$ we get $Z(pAp) = Z(\mathcal{A})p, Z(qAp) = Z(\mathcal{A})q$, so both conditions (I) and (II) in Corollary 4.3 are fulfilled. Further, in the case where $n \geq 3$, the algebra $qAp = M_{n-1}(A)$ does not contain nonzero central ideals, so $\mathcal{A} = M_n(A)$ also satisfies assumption (i) of the aforementioned corollary. Therefore $M_n(A)$ has Lie derivation property for $n \geq 3$; this is an adaptation of [5, Corollary 1]. The same result was treated (especially for $n = 2$) in [1, Corollary 5.7].
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