Cow dung an undeciphered boon: An overview
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Abstract

Current intensive agriculture system faces a major challenge to achieve higher production while supporting soil health and biodiversity. Among the livestock, cow has a prominent place in our country. For millennia, cow dung has been used for several purposes and served as a source of cheap fuel, housing material and insect repellent. Panchgavya that consisted of five ingredients from cow i.e. dung, urine, milk, curd and ghee is gaining attention of researchers for its various health benefits and its potential as a therapeutic agent against many human diseases. Moreover, the cow dung possesses myriad batteries of microbes that exert their beneficial effects through production of metabolites. Since the ancient times, cow dung has also been used as an vital source of organic fertilizer and in the production of biogas. However, with modern civilization, this natural bioresource is forgotten and its exceptional qualities largely ignored. This review article is an attempt to gather all the scientific research findings that support the use of cow dung and its multifarious role in various sectors.
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Introduction

In India, total cattle population is 192.49 million (Livestock Census 2019) [1]. Cows constitute paramount resources for dairy and agriculture sectors in India. Aimed to increase the indigenous breed of cows ‘Rashtriya Gokul Mission’ was announced in 2014, by the Government of India. Kamdhenu or Indian cow (Bos indicus) is revered as sacred animal by Hindu (Kaushik et al. 2016) [13]. Cow dung is an inexpensive and economically viable option and is locally available in the rural areas of India (Randhawa and Kullar 2011) [38]. The dung coated mud walls and floor signifying its importance as a disinfectant and also provide insulation during summer and winter months. Even today, cow dung cakes are used as fuel in many rural areas. Since the ancient times, cow dung in India has been considered to be very sacred for religious ceremonies as well. For centuries, cow dung has served myriad purposes particularly in Indian rural households serving as a pivotal source of fuel, repelling mosquitoes, flies and as a sanitizer. Additionally, ashes of burnt cow dung are applied for cleaning kitchen utensils (Munshi et al. 2018) [27].

Cow dung is also serves as manure or agriculture fertilizer and escalates soil fertility significantly. Cow manure is a cheap and prime source of renewal energy in form of Biogas in future due to shortage of other non-renewable sources of energy like coal, oil and gases. Livestock waste composts along with minimum inorganic fertilizer as a soil amendment in low-input intensive farming are a viable agricultural practice to enhance soil fertility and productivity and to further lessen soil degradation (Das et al. 2017) [19]. With this background, it is evident that cow dung has been an indispensable and multifarious component in Indian domestic, agricultural and ayurvedic arenas since the time immemorial. This review is an attempt to develop an insight into various traditional and scientific role that is possessed by this un-deciphered bioresource.

Cow Dung: A unique cocktail of microflora

The reticulorumen in ruminants in the site where digestion of structural carbohydrates such as cellulose and hemicellulose can take place to any substantial degree through microbial fermentation. Microbes in the reticulorumen chiefly include bacteria, protozoa, fungi where bacteria and protozoa are harboured largely. From the perspective of a cow, a major benefit of having rumen is to provide a home to bacteria that possess the enzymes require to break the β1-4 linkage between the various sugars that make up cellulose and hemicellulose (Reece et al. 2015) [40].
The reticulo-rumen contains batteries of specialized anaerobic microbial populations responsible for the fiber breakdown, which is affected by biochemical and microbial characteristics of the rumen (Tesfaye and Haileu 2019) [49]. Lower part of the gut of the cow comprises of several microorganisms including Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus acidophilus, B. subtilis, Enterococcus diacylactis, Bifido bacterium and yeasts (commonly Saccharomyces cerevisiae) possessing probiotic activity (Ware et al. 1988) [54]. Since, livestock practices differ from one individual to another and from one geographical site to the other, eventually influences the microbial structure of manure released by the animals (Manyi-Loh et al. 2016) [22].

Cow dung is excreted by herbivore bovine animal species that consists of undigested residues of consumed matter which has passed through the cow’s gastrointestinal system (Teo and Teoh 2011) [48] which is acted upon by ruminal microbes. Cow dung contains organic matter and fibrous material like cellulose, lignin and hemicellulose that has passed through the cow’s digestive system (Rajeswari et al. 2016; Munshi et al. 2018) [37, 27]. Generally, cow dung is composed of about 80% water and supports a matrix of undigested plant material that is rich in nutrients, micro-organisms, and their byproducts (Sharma and Singh 2015) [41]. Cow dung is a mixture of dung and urine, usually in the ratio of 3:1 that encompasses crude fibre, crude protein, cellulose, hemicellulose and 24 types of minerals such as N, K, S, traces of P, Fe, Co, Mg, P, Cl, Mn, etc. (Nene 1999; Swain and Ray 2009) [28, 47]. Cow manure contains essential micro and macronutrients and considered as a potential fertilizer for crop growth and it is an economic substitute for synthetic fertilizers (Kiyasudeen et al. 2015) [19]. Chomini et al. (2015) [7] demonstrated that digested cow dung had the highest percent increase for four major amino acids viz threonine, proline, glycine, alanine. Cow dung contains diverse microflora that comprises of about sixty bacterial species including Bacillus sp., Corynebacterium sp., Lactobacillus sp., few fungal sp., (Aspergillus and Trichoderma), about 100 species of protozoa and 2 yeasts (Bhatt and Maheshwari 2019) [6]. Teo and Teoh (2011) [48] recognised five distinct morphologically and physiologically bacterial isolates from cow dung where all the isolates produced protease, lipase and esterase lipase. In a study, Stevenson and Weimer (2002) [46] identified a strain as a member of the genus Trichoderma and designated strain A10, isolated from cow dung and that initially produced about 0.4 g ethanol L\(^{-1}\).

Recently Rawat et al. (2019) [39] conducted a study on indigenous cows Sahiwal and reported a significantly higher population of microorganism in lactating cow dung as compare to heifer. In this study total bacterial population in heifer and lactating cow was found to be 16.17±0.7 and 20.16±1.58 cfu/g respectively. The yeast and fungi were (5.46±0.43 and 7.73±0.47 cfu/g) respectively. In a study, Kiyasudeen et al. (2015) [19] collected three cow dung samples from three different farms with different feeding regime and reported that fresh cow dung samples are rich in microbial colonies. It was reported that total cfu/g of bacteria in CD2 (2.84±0.01x10 cfu/g) was significantly higher than CD3 (2.47±0.01x10 cfu/g) and CD1 (1.78±0.05x10 cfu/g) whereas total CFU/g of fungi in CD3 (2.78±0.01x10 cfu/g) was found to be substantially higher than CD2 (2.36±0.04 x10 cfu/g) and CD1 (2.14±0.01 x10 cfu/g). It was recommended to add nutrient rich supplements to the cows along with grass as major diet to obtain cow dung rich in nutrients. Girija and coworkers (2013) [12] made an attempt to probe the diversity of microbes present in cow dung using 16S rDNA sequencing approach and detected phyla such as Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and proteobacteria which efficiently degrade cellulose, chitin, lignin, xylan. Their findings also showed detection of Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Stenotrophomonas and Pseudomonas species. Recently, Tomar et al. (2020) [31] attempted to isolate bacteria in cow dung using nutrient agar medium (NAM). In this study, they concluded that numerous species of gram positive bacteria were present in cow dung which included Spore forming Bacillus spp., Enterococcus, Diplococcus and gram negative bacteria like - pseudomonas. Cow dung serves as a purifier of all wastes in the nature, is a rich source of microflora that can be utilized as probiotics, live microbial food supplements modifying the intestinal microbiota (Sharma and Singh 2015) [41].

**Cow dung: An untapped eco-friendly bioresource**

Energy is one of the foremost factors to global prosperity. With rising population, there is a steady increase in the global demand for energy. The scientific research for renewable sources of energy is essential owing to limited reserves for fossil and coal energy. Furthermore, the high dependency on fossil fuels as prime energy source has caused climate change, environmental pollution and degradation, hence leading to health problems in human (Aremu and Agarry 2012) [4]. The return-to-renewables will help to lessen climate change is an excellent way but it requires to be sustainable in order to ensure a sustainable future and bequest future generations to meet their energy needs (Owusu and Asumadu-Sarkodie 2016) [31]. Using the process of anaerobic digestion, gases are derived from a wide range of organic wastes such as biomass waste, human waste, animal waste and used as source of energy (Putri et al. 2012) [33]. Biogas from renewable source can be one of the response for future energy production (Ambarsari et al. 2018) [3]. Biogas, is a mixture of diverse gases produced by anaerobic fermentation of organic matter from methanogenic bacteria (Sharma 2011; Gupta et al. 2016) [42, 15]. Biogas normally contains 50% and above methane (CH\(_4\)) and other gases in relatively low proportions namely, CO\(_2\), H\(_2\), N\(_2\) and O\(_2\) (Kalia et al. 2000) [16]. Cow dung is the main source of biogas or gobar gas production in India (Gupta et al. 2016) [15]. Cow dung as animal waste possess great potentials for generation of biogas and its use should be encouraged due to its early retention time and high volume of biogas yields (Ukpai and Nnabuchi 2012) [52]. Mixing of cow dung with manure obtained from other species has been investigated by many researchers. Previously, effect of mixing pig and cow dung on biogas yield was evaluated (Kasisira and Muyiija 2009) [17]. The study showed that co-digestion of cow dung with pig manure increased biogas yield as compared to pure samples of either pig or cow dung. Rabiu et al. (2014) [30] studied the effect of cattle manure collected at different time inoculated with rumen fluid of cattle on biogas production at mesophilic condition. Their study revealed that if used between the ranges of 25–50% of rumen fluid, the best performance biogas production was observed. Furthermore, cattle manure collected after 12 h of defecation was recorded with the highest biogas production in comparison to 0 h and 24 h of cattle post-defecation. Similarly, in another study it was documented that cattle rumen fluids produces more biogas than the goats. It was further detailed that the increase in the biogas production at certain level was in respond to the amount of rumen fluids added into the mixture (Rabiu et al. 2014a) [35]. Poultry litter from broilers mixed with an optimum proportion of cow dung was found to be a substrate with a high potential for biogas generation by anaerobic digestion (Miah et al. 2016) [23].
With rising population, there is a tremendous pressure on agriculture to enhance food production to meet the demand. However, imprudent use of chemical fertilizers has led to the decline in soil fertility.Due to hike in prices of chemical fertilizer and their non-efficient role in long term sustainable production, the application of organic manure including cow dung is required for raising maximum productivity in sustainable way with better soil health. It is an effective tool to ameliorate physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil with higher yield of plants in sustained basis without altering the fertility of soil (Raj et al. 2014) [36]. Bacteria isolated from local breeds of different bovine animal have shown potential to be used as plant growth promoting bacteria (Aiysha and Latif 2019) [2]. Addition of cow dung enhances the organic carbon content of degraded soil that may further lead to the increasing activity of beneficial soil microbes as well as the fertility status of soil by increasing the availability of nutrients for the plants from soil (Zaman et al. 2017) [55]. Solomon et al. (2012) [45] compared the effects of organic manure and inorganic fertilizer (N.P.K.) on growth of Maize (Zea Mays L) and concluded that cow dung manure can be used in the absence of N.P.K. fertilizer. Zhang et al. (2020) [56] reported the suitability of cow manure fertilization for tea plantation and highlighted that application of cow manure can not only improve the variety of soil bacteria, but also effectively regulates the structure of soil bacterial community in tea plantation. In similar study, cow dung application have been found to be increased the total N, available P, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, available S, Zn and B contents in soils and biomass yield of stevia (Zaman et al. 2017) [55]. Studies have suggested that exposure to the smoke of mosquito coils can pose significant acute and chronic health risks (Liu et al. 2003) [20]. Cow dung has been studied for its properties as mosquito repellent. Cow dung is a cheap, eco-friendly herbal repellent with long lasting protection that is safe for human and domestic animal skin with no side effect and no feedback of environmental ill effect, as a substitute to synthetic chemical repellents (Mandavagane et al., 2005; Mukherjee and Ghosh 2020) [21, 26]. Cow dung alone or in combination with other mosquito repellent plant species, could effectively used for the preparation of mosquito repellent products (Mukherjee and Ghosh 2020) [20].

Cow dung: a multifaceted biomass for bioremediation

In the last few decades, industrial revolution has caused an exceptional release of hazardous compounds into the environment, jeopardising the environmental balance of our planet (Godambe and Fulekar 2016) [13]. The presence of inorganic pollutants such as metal ions in the ecosystem pose a major environmental problem (Ojedokun et al. 2016) [29]. Bioremediation methods use naturally occurring microorganisms to detoxify man-made pollutants so that they change pollutants to harmless products that make soil fertile in the process (Randhawa and Kullar 2011) [18]. Cow dung ash is a bio-organic waste and absorbent and is an excellent water purifier. Entire harmful bacteria are killed just by adding a pinch or two of cow dung ash in few liters of water (Thakare et al. 2019) [50]. The adsorption abilities of cow dung by using aqueous solution of chromium has been investigated and it was concluded that adsorption highly depends on pH, time and initial concentration of chromium. Cow dung exhibited maximum adsorption at an acidic pH (Moham and Gupta 2014) [29]. Few years back, Godambe and Fulekar (2016) [13] established a unique strategy for biodegradation of one of the most potent and widely accumulated hydrocarbons pollutant, benzene using indigenous source of cow dung. They documented that cow-dung harbour a range of microbes that show a great potential to degrade benzene. These bacteria in isolation or as a consortium utilize and multiply in presence of high benzene concentrations. Similarly, it has been reported that cow dung ash can be used for the removal of dyes from the waste water by adsorption (Sharma and Patel 2017) [43]. Similarly, bio-waste cow dung ash is an efficacious adsorbent in purifying biodiesel analogous to traditional water washing technology (Avinash and Murugesan 2017) [5]. Recently, Ojeme and coworkers (2019) [30] conducted batch experiments to investigate the removal of Pb(II) ions from aqueous solutions using chemically and thermally activated cow dung (CTAC) ash and non-chemically but thermally activated cow dung (NTAC) ash under various experimental conditions and reported cow dung as a potent absorbent as shown by its efficacy for Pb(II) ion removal.

Cow dung: A natural therapeutic gateway?

Cow dung has been referred to as a “gold mine” owing to its vast applications in the arena of agriculture, energy resource, environmental protection, and therapeutic applications (Randhawa and Kullar, 2011) [38]. The people frustrated from the heavy medication of allopoly drugs and being benefited by the panchgavya products for numerous diseases (Dharma et al. 2005) [10]. Previously, in a study it was documented that cow dung extracts exhibited partial antimicrobial property against human pathogens. Furthermore, the Indian cow dung extracts possess greater antimicrobial activity in comparison to other cow dung (Rajeswari et al. 2016) [37]. In a study, Mishra and coworkers (2014) [24] revealed that cow dung extract possess antimicrobial properties, that can be used to counter certain pathogenic diseases and other ailments. In another study, dung obtained from Indian cow exhibited superior antimicrobial activity compared to Jersey and Holstein cow dung. The extracts of the Indian cow dung showed antimicrobial activity against test organisms like Klebsiella pneumonia and Escherichia coli (Rajeswari et al., 2016) [37]. Interestingly, cow dung has been used as the economical substrate for the production of fibrinolytic enzyme (Vijayaraghavan et al. 2016) [65]. Cow dung is a home remedy used by many African communities to manage burn wounds and for its validation. Gololo et al. (2019) [14] suggested that the presence of proteases in cow dung could be one of the contributing factors towards its efficiency in managing traditional African burn wound. Cow dung has been documented to act as skin tonic and on mixing with crushed neem leaves and smeared on skin, it demonstrates good results for boils and heat rashes. Cow dung also used as tooth polish and relieves toothache (Kaushik et al. 2016) [18]. Traditionally, Panchgavya (Sanskrit for a blend of “five products from cow”) that consisted of five ingredients from cow i.e. dung, urine, milk, curd and ghee has shown numerous health benefits and possess many therapeutic properties against many ailments (Rajeswari et al. 2016) [37]. These five products are either used individually or in combination with other herbs, often referred to as Cowpathy or Panchgavya Therapy (Dhama et al. 2013) [9]. Panchgavya appears to be useful for the diseases such as cancer, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and diabetes (Gupta et al. 2016) [19]. Paliwal et al. (2013) [32] determined the efficacy of Panchgavya on Spontaneous Motor Activity, muscle tone and pain on albino rats through administration of Panchgavya (50 mg/rat, orally) daily for 30 consecutive days. Their findings indicated a gradual increase in the muscle tone activity and analgesic activity in terms of reaction time.
Undoubtedly, with these preliminary studies it is evident that cow dung can offer alternate low cost therapy having no side effects, however, there is a meagre scientific data to validate these claims and assertions before establishing efficacy of cow dung and its role as a prominent therapeutic agent. For this proper support and more in-depth probe should be undertaken by the scientists, researchers and clinicians/physicians that will ultimately foster confidence in the public about its good virtues.

Estimates of production of dung

Dikshit and Birthali (2010) [11] estimated the total wet dung production (about 562 million tonnes) for the year 2003, out of which cattle contributed around 60% while buffalo contributed around 40% of total dung due to difference in the population share in total bovine. The evacuation rate of animal (average dung production/animal/day) mainly depends upon certain factors like quantity of feed intake, type of feed and physiological and environmental factors. It also varied among different species, age-groups and functional classification of bovines.

Probable economy of dung

As the largest livestock population of the world, our country is also facing international scrutiny for its greenhouse gases emission. Therefore, some serious measures should be taken on the issue. We should try to convert our negative into positive. Dung can be utilized as the organic fertilizer instead of chemical fertilizers in the agricultural land. Setting up of biogas plant in the rural area for the prevention of fire wood usage in the domestic work can also reduce the problem of increasing pollution. Conversion of dung into the valuable products such as vermin-compost will not only add-up in the income of the farmer but also replacing it with chemical fertilizer will improve the soil fertility and will enrich the environment. The conversion rate of organic matter (75-80% moisture) into vermicompost is about 40-50 % (Sinha et al. 2010) [41]. If the total dung estimated could have been used for vermicomposting, we would had produced around 314.955 million tonnes of vermin-compost generating income (Rs.10/kg) of Rs. 3,149 billion/ (approx.).

Conclusion

Being largest total bovine population in world but reasonably low per animal productivity, only milk cannot be a way to improve economic status of small scale farmers. Dung has multifaceted properties that were largely ignored, can be a vital player in improving the income of the farmers in today’s time. In the present work, scientific studies published in relation to the multiple usage of cow dung were reviewed and it can be concluded that owing to its rich microflora and many properties cow dung can serve through multiple ways and thus, it is a boon for sustainable livestock farming and can be viable alternative for non-renewable energy source.

References

1. 20th Livestock census, Department of Animal Husbhandy and Dairying, 2019.
2. Aiysha D, Latif, Z. Insights of organic fertilizer micro flora of bovine manure and their useful potentials in sustainable agriculture. PLoS ONE 2019;14(12):e0226155
3. Ambarsari H, Adrian R, Manurung BS. Anaerobic biogas production using microalgae chlorella sp. as biomass co-digested by cow manure and cow rumen fluid as inoculum. IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 2018, 209.
4. Aremu MO, Agarry SE. Comparison of biogas production from cow dung and pig dung under mesophilic condition. International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science 2012;1(4):16-21.
5. Avinash A, Murugesan A. Chemometric analysis of cow dung ash as an absorbent for purifying biodiesel from waste cooking oil. Sci. Rep 2017;7:9526.
6. Bhatt K, Maheshwari DK. Decoding multifarious role of

Table 1: Dung production by different categories of bovines, 2003

| Categories | Evacuation rate (kg/day) | Population (million) | Dung production (million tonnes) | % Share in total dung produced |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cattle     |                          |                      |                                  |                               |
| In-milk    | 6.63                     | 35.80                | 86.63                            | 25.78                         |
| Dry        | 6.58                     | 22.30                | 53.56                            | 15.94                         |
| Adult male | 4.46                     | 57.60                | 93.77                            | 27.91                         |
| Young stock| 4.43                     | 63.10                | 102.03                           | 30.37                         |
| Total      | 178.80                   | 335.99               | 59.79                            |                               |
| Buffalo    |                          |                      |                                  |                               |
| In-milk    | 8.35                     | 33.30                | 101.49                           | 44.91                         |
| Dry        | 8.49                     | 13.90                | 43.07                            | 19.06                         |
| Adult male | 6.65                     | 6.70                 | 16.26                            | 7.20                          |
| Young stock| 4.43                     | 40.30                | 65.16                            | 28.83                         |
| Total      | 94.20                    | 225.99               | 40.21                            |                               |
| Grand total| 273.00                   | 561.98               | 100.00                           |                               |

Table 2: Dung production by different categories of bovines, 2019 with the help of evacuation rate suggested by Dikshit and Birthali in 2010

| Categories | Evacuation rate (kg/day) | Population (million) | Dung production (million tonnes) | % Share in total dung produced |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| In-milk    | 6.63                     | 51.98                | 125.78                           | 19.97                         |
| Dry        | 6.58                     | 22.20                | 53.31                            | 8.46                          |
| Adult male | 4.46                     | 47.40                | 77.16                            | 12.25                         |
| Young stock| 4.43*                    | 70.91                | 114.66                           | 18.20                         |
| Total      | 192.49                   | 370.91               | 58.88                            |                               |
| Buffalo    |                          |                      |                                  |                               |
| In-milk    | 8.35                     | 38.16                | 116.30                           | 18.46                         |
| Dry        | 8.49                     | 13.01                | 40.31                            | 6.40                          |
| Adult male | 6.65                     | 9.28                 | 22.52                            | 3.58                          |
| Young stock| 4.43                     | 49.40                | 79.87                            | 12.68                         |
| Total      | 109.85                   | 259.00               | 41.12                            |                               |
| Grand total| 302.34                   | 629.91               | 100.00                           |                               |

*Source: 20th livestock census for animal population as on 2019

~ 87 ~
7. Chomini MS, Ogbonna CIC, Fademara BC, Micah P. Effect of co-digestion of cow dung and poultry manure on biogas yield, proximate and amino acid contents of their effluents. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 2015;8(11):48-56.

8. Das S, Jeong, ST, Das S, Kim PJ. Composted cattle manure increases microbial activity and soil fertility more than composted swine manure in a submerged rice paddy. Front. Microbiol. 2017;08:1702. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01702.

9. Dhma K, Chakraborty S, Tiwari R. Panchgavya therapy (Cowpathy) in safeguarding health of animals and humans – A review. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci 2013;3(6):170-178.

10. Dhma K, Chauhan RS, Singhal L. Panchgavya (cowpathy): An Overview. Int. J. Cow Sci 2005;1(1):1-15.

11. Dikshit AK, BIRTHAL PS. Environmental value of dung in mixed crop-livestock systems. Indian J. Anim. Sci 2010;80(7):679-682.

12. Girija D, Deepa K, Xavier F, Antony I, Shidhi PR. Analysis of cow dung microbtiota-a metagenomic approach. Indian J. Biotech 2013;12:372-378.

13. Godambe T, Fulekar MH. Cow dung Bacteria offer an Effective Bioremediation for Hydrocarbon-Benzene. International Journal of Biotech Trends and Technology 2016;6(3):13-22.

14. Gololo SS, Makhubela SD, Tshidino T, Mogale MA. Purification and characterization of proteases from cow dung: validation of an ancient African home treatment for burn wounds. Ann Burns Fire Disasters 2019;32(2):103-114.

15. Gupta KK, Aneja KR, Rana D. Current status of cow dung as a bioresource for sustainable development. Bioreesour. Bioprocess 2016;3:28.

16. Kalia VC, Sonakya V, Raizada N. Anaerobic digestion of banana stems waste. Bioreesour. Technol. 2000;73:191-193.

17. Kasisira LL, Muyiiya ND. Assessment on the effect of mixing pig and cattle dung on biogas production. Agric. Eng. Inter. The CIGR e-J 2009; Article No. 6.

18. Kaushik R, Jain J, Rai P. Therapeutic potentials of cow derived products-a review. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research 2016;7(4):1383-1390.

19. Kiyasudeen SK, Ibrahim MHB, Ismail SA. Characterization of fresh cattle wastes using proximate, microbial and spectroscopic principles. American-Eurasian J Agric. & Environ. Sci 2015;15(8):1700-1709.

20. Liu W, Zhang J, Hashim JH, Jalaludin J, Hashim Z, Goldstein BD, et al. Mosquito coil emissions and health implications. Environ Health Perspect. 2003;111(12):1454-1460.

21. Mandavgane SA, Pattalwar VV, Kalambe AR. Development of cow dung based herbal mosquito repellent. Nat. Prod. Radiance 2005;4(4):270-273.

22. Manyi-Loh CE, Mamphweli SN, Meyer EL, Makaka G, Simon M, Okoh AI, et al. An Overview of the Control of Bacterial Pathogens in Cattle Manure. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2016;13(9):843.

23. Miah MR, Rahman AKML, Akanda MR, Pulak A, Rouf MA. Production of biogas from poultry litter mixed with the co-substrate cow dung. J. Taibah Univ. Sci 10(4):497-504.

24. Mishra A, Pal A, Shrivastava S. Cow dung- a boon for antimicrobial activity. DOI: 10.1234/bsl.v5s1.152.

25. Mohan L, Gupta D. Study on removal of chromium from aqueous solution using dry cow dung powder. J. Chem. Pharm 2014;6(6):1066-1070.

26. Mukherjee G, Ghosh S. Use of Cow Dung as Mosquito Repellent. International Research Journal of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences 2020;3(1):61-62.

27. Munshi SK, Roy J, Noor R. Microbiological investigation and determination of the antimicrobial potential of cow dung samples. Stamford J Microbiol 2018;8(1):34-37.

28. Nene YL. Utilizing traditional knowledge in agriculture. Traditional knowledge system of India and Sri Lanka 1999, 32-38.

29. Ojedokun AT, Bello OS. Sequestering heavy metals from wastewater using cow dung. Water Resour. Ind 2016;13:7-13.

30. Ojeme VC, Ayodele O, Oluwasina OO, Okoronkwo EA. Adsorption of Pb(II) Ions from Aqueous Solutions Using Chemically Treated and Untreated Cow Dung Ash. Bioreesources 2019;14(2):2622-2641.

31. Owusu PA, Asumadu-Sarkodie S. A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation. Cogent Eng 2016;3:1167990.

32. Palwal R, Rahni YP, Singh SK, Sen S. Effect of Panchgavya on central actions in albino rats. Pharma Sci. Monit 2013;4(2):3940-3946.

33. Putri DA, Saputro RR, Budiyono. Biogas Production from Cow Manure. Int. Journal of Renewable Energy Development 2012;11(2):61-64.

34. Rabiu A, Yaakub H, Liang JB, Samsudin AA. Increasing biogas production of rumen fluid using cattle manure collected at different time as a substrate. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 2014;7(4):44-47.

35. Rabiu A, Yaakub H, Liang JB, Samsudin AA. Enhancing biogas production rate of cattle manure using rumen fluid of ruminants. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 2014;7(3):25-28.

36. Raj A, Jhariya MK, Toppo P. Cow dung for ecofriendly and sustainable productive farming. Int. J. Sci. Res 2014;3(10):201-202.

37. Rajeswari S, Poongothai E, Hemalatha N. Antimicrobial activities of cow dung extracts against human pathogens. Int. J. Curr. Pharm. Res. 2016;8(4):9-12.

38. Randhawa GK, Kullar JS. Bioremediation of pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and petrochemicals with gomeya/cow dung. ISRN PharmacoL 2011; https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/362459.

39. Rawat NS, Loh CE, Mamphweli SN, Meyer EL, Makaka G, Simon M, Okoh AI, et al. An Overview of the Control of Bacterial Pathogens in Cattle Manure. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2016;13(9):843.
42. Sharma CK. Biogas-a boon for India. 2011: Biofuels 2–3.
43. Sharma P, Patel B. To analyze the effectiveness of cattle dung ash as natural adsorbent for tertiary wastewater treatment. ParipeX - Indian Journal of Research 2017;6(3):487-488.
44. Sinha R, Agarwal S, Chauhan K, Chandran V, Soni B. Vermiculture technology: reviving the dreams of Sir Charles Darwin for scientific use of earthworms in sustainable development programs. Technol Invest 2010;1(3):155-172.
45. Solomon WGO, Ndana RW, Abdulrahim Y. The Comparative study of the effect of organic manure cow dung and inorganic fertilizer N.P.K on the growth rate of maize (Zea Mays L). Int. Res. J. Agric. Sci. Soil Sci 2012;2(12):516-519.
46. Stevenson DM, Weimer PJ. Isolation and characterization of Trichoderma strain capable of fermenting cellulose to ethanol. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol 2002;59:721-726.
47. Swain MR, Ray RC. Biocontrol and other beneficial activities of Bacillus subtilis isolated from cow dung microflora. Microbiol. Res 2009;164(2):121-130.
48. Teo KC, Teoh SM. Preliminary biological screening of microbes isolated from cow dung in Kampar. Afr. J. Biotechnol 2011;10:1640-1645.
49. Tesfaye A, Hailu Y. The effects of probiotics supplementation on milk yield and composition of lactating dairy cows. J. Phyto 2019;8(1):12-17.
50. Thakare A, Ahmad M, Pande K, Metkari S. Purification of Water by using Cow Dung Ash. Int. J. Eng. Technol 2019;6(6):393-397.
51. Tomar A, Choudhary S, Kumar L, Singh M, Dhillon N, Arya S, et al. Screening of Bacteria Present in Cow Dung. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci 2020;9(2):584-591.
52. Ukpai PA, Nnabuchi MN. Comparative study of biogas production from cow dung, cow pea and cassava peeling using 45 litres biogas digester. Adv. Appl. Sci. Res 2012;3(3):1864-1869.
53. Vijayaraghavan P, Arun A, Vincent SGP, Arasu MV, Al-Dhabi NA. Cow Dung is a Novel Feedstock for Fibrinolytic Enzyme Production from Newly Isolated Bacillus sp. IND7 and Its Application in In Vitro Clot Lysis. Front Microbiol 2016;7:361.
54. Ware Fungsin DR, Read PL, Mantredi ET. Lactation performance of two large dairy herds fed Lactobacillus acidophilus strain BT 1386. J. Dairy Sci 1988;71:219-222.
55. Zaman MM, Chowdhury T, Nahar K, Chowdhury MAH. Effect of cow dung as organic manure on the growth, leaf biomass yield of stevia rebudiana and post harvest soil fertility. J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ 2017;15(2):206-211.
56. Zhang S, Sun L, Wang Y, Fan K, Xu Q, Li Y, et al. Cow manure application effectively regulates the soil bacterial community in tea plantation. BMC Microbiol 2020;20:190.
57. Tulsi (Ocimum sanctum) is considered to be the “Queen of herbs” due to its greater medicinal values. Tulsi, known for its bactericidal, immuno-modulatory, narcotic, anti-inflammatory.