Chromosomal instability drives metastasis through a cytosolic DNA response
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Chromosomal instability is a hallmark of cancer that results from ongoing errors in chromosome segregation during mitosis. Although chromosomal instability is a major driver of tumour evolution, its role in metastasis has not been established. Here we show that chromosomal instability promotes metastasis by sustaining a tumour cell–autonomous response to cytosolic DNA. Errors in chromosome segregation create a preponderance of micronuclei whose rupture spills genomic DNA into the cytosol. This leads to the activation of the cGAS–STING (cyclic GMP–AMP synthase–stimulator of interferon genes) cytosolic DNA–sensing pathway and downstream noncanonical NF-κB signalling. Genetic suppression of chromosomal instability markedly delays metastasis even in highly aneuploid tumour models, whereas continuous chromosome segregation errors promote cellular invasion and metastasis in a STING–dependent manner. By subverting lethal epithelial responses to cytosolic DNA, chromosomally unstable tumour cells co-opt chronic activation of innate immune pathways to spread to distant organs.

Chromosomal instability (CIN) correlates with tumour metastasis1,2, but it remains unclear whether it is a mere bystander or a driver of metastatic progression. Chromosomally unstable cells show evidence of chromosome missegregation during anaphase3,4, offering an attractive bottleneck in which to target CIN and probe its selective contribution to metastasis. Destabilization of microtubule attachments to chromosomes at the kinetochores, through overexpression of the non-motile microtubule-depolymerizing kinesin-13 family proteins KIF2B or KIF2C (also known as MCAK), directly suppresses CIN in otherwise chromosomally unstable cells5,7. Cells overexpressing KIF2B or MCAK continue to propagate abnormal aneuploid karyotypes, albeit in a stable manner7. As such, this approach permits direct experimental interrogation of CIN, as defined by the rate of ongoing chromosome missegregation, independently of aneuploidy, which is defined as a state of abnormal chromosome numbers.

Increased CIN in human metastases

First, to determine whether CIN is associated with human metastases, we applied the weighted-genomic integrity index (wGII) as a proxy for CIN9 to 79 matched pairs of primary tumour and brain metastasis from a published cohort9. Metastases showed higher wGII than primary tumours (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1a, b).

Next, karyotype analysis of primary breast tumours and metastases archived in the Mitelman Database of chromosomal translocations10 revealed a predilection for near-diploid (2n) karyotypes in primary tumours. Conversely, metastases were enriched for cells with near-triploid (3n) karyotypes and had twice as many structural or numerical chromosomal aberrations per clone as primary tumours. The number of chromosomal aberrations was highest in tumour samples with karyotypes ranging between the diploid and tetraploid (4n) range (Fig. 1b, c and Extended Data Fig. 1c, d).

Finally, histological analysis of primary tumours from patients with locally advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma11 revealed a significant association (P < 0.05) between aneuphane chromosome missegregation and the incidence of lymph node metastasis (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 1e).

CIN is a driver of metastasis

To determine whether CIN is causally involved in metastasis, we used transplantable metastatic tumour models of human (MDA-MB-231) or mouse (4T1) triple-negative breast cancer and human lung adenocarcinoma (H2030), in which 47%, 55%, and 67% of anaphase cells, respectively, show evidence of chromosome missegregation. Overexpression of KIF2B or MCAK suppressed chromosome missegregation, whereas overexpression of a dominant-negative MCAK mutant12 (dnMCAK) led to a modest increase in chromosome missegregation in MDA-MB-231 cells. Overexpression of KIF2B or MCAK did not alter cellular proliferation or the number of centrosomes per cell (Fig. 2a, b and Extended Data Fig. 1f–i). As a control, we overexpressed KIF2A, a third member of the kinesin-13 family that lacks kinetochore and centromere localization domains13; although KIF2A showed microtubule-depolymerizing activity on interphase microtubules, it had no observable effect on CIN (Fig. 2b and Extended Data Fig. 1j–k).

We ruled out a direct role for kinesin-13-mediated microtubule depolymerization in...
activating small GTPases by performing RhoA and Ral pull-down assays, which revealed low basal levels of activity and no correlation with overexpression of kinesin-13 family proteins (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). Hereafter, we refer to cells expressing MCAK or KIF2B as CIN-low and to control cells or those expressing KIF2A or dnMCAK as CIN-high.

Karyotyping of the parental MDA-MB-231 cells revealed a widely aneuploid (approximately 3n) chromosome content with widespread karyotypic heterogeneity (Extended Data Fig. 2c). Suppression of CIN reduced both numerical and structural karyotypic heterogeneity in single-cell-derived clones, as supported by the presence of fewer chromosomes exhibiting non-clonal structural abnormalities and decreased numerical chromosome heterogeneity in CIN-low cells (Extended Data Fig. 2c–h). Notably, CIN-low cells maintained highly aneuploid karyotypes, but faithfully propagated them in a stable manner. Thus, by comparing chromosomally stable aneuploid cells to their chromosomally unstable aneuploid counterparts, we can experimentally examine the role of CIN, independent of aneuploidy, in metastasis.

We injected MDA-MB-231 cells into the left cardiac ventricles of athymic mice to enable systemic dissemination while tracking metastatic colonization using a bioluminescence reporter. Differences in chromosome missegregation rates had a marked effect on colonization: mice harbouring CIN-high cells rapidly succumbed to metastatic disease, with a median survival of 70 days, whereas mice injected with CIN-low cells had a lower metastatic burden and a median survival of 207 days. Many metastases from CIN-low cells waned and waned and, at times, spontaneously resolved, whereas metastases from CIN-high cells involved multiple organs and progressed rapidly, leading to death. Similar results were obtained after injection of lung adenocarcinoma H2030 cells (Fig. 2c–e and Extended Data Fig. 3a–c). Overexpression of the spindle assembly checkpoint protein MAD2 in MCAK-expressing cells partially rescued chromosome missegregation and correspondingly augmented metastasis (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 3f).

We performed orthotopic injections of MDA-MB-231 or 4T1 cells into the mammary fat pads of athymic or immune-competent BALB/c mice, respectively, followed by surgical excision of the primary tumour. Suppression of CIN had no effect on the efficiency of primary tumour implantation, and even enhanced primary tumour growth in the 4T1 model. However, in both models, suppression of CIN significantly reduced spontaneous metastasis and prolonged survival (Extended Data Fig. 3d, e).

We then assessed chromosome missegregation in the injected cells and in cells derived from primary tumours and metastatic colonies (Fig. 3a). We performed this analysis using MDA-MB-231 cells and two metastasis-competent xenografts (PDX) derived from patients with oestrogen receptor-positive (ER+) and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Regardless of the CIN status of the injected cells, the majority of metastases were enriched for higher rates of chromosome missegregation, whereas cells derived from most primary tumours had significantly lower rates of CIN (Fig. 3b–d). For instance, when CIN-high cells (Fig. 3d, dnMCAK, blue bars) were injected into the mammary fat pad, chromosome missegregation rates decreased in the primary tumours (green bars) before increasing once more in metastases spontaneously arising within the same animal (orange bars).
CIN enrichment for mesenchymal traits

Bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) identified 1,584 genes that were differentially expressed between CIN-low and CIN-high MDA-MB-231 cells. Principal component analysis and unsupervised clustering accurately separated samples according to their CIN status. Metastasis-related and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) gene sets were relatively enriched in CIN-high cells. The top 23 differentially expressed genes in CIN-high cells (referred to as CIN signature) predicted distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) in a meta-analysis as well as a validation cohort of patients with breast cancer, irrespective of tumour subtype, grade, or lymph node status (Extended Data Figs 4, 5).

RNA-seq of primary tumour-derived and metastasis-derived cells revealed pathways that were shared among metastases and CIN-high cells. However, metastases contained a large number of differentially upregulated EMT and inflammation-related genes that were proportionately clustered on chromosome 1, signifying chromosome 1-specific selection. Karyotype analysis revealed that the injected cell lines and most metastases had three copies of chromosome 1, whereas primary tumours consistently had two copies. Thus chromosome 1 loss is a recurrent event during primary tumour growth in this model (Extended Data Figs 4c–f, 5a–e).

We then performed single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) on three MDA-MB-231 cell lines—two CIN-low (KIF2B and MCAK) and one CIN-high (dnMCAK)—comprising a total of 6,821 cells. Clustering of single cells using EMT genes successfully classified most cells according to their CIN-status and revealed a fraction of cells (primarily CIN-high) that was highly enriched in mesenchymal markers (Fig. 4a). Unsupervised graph-based clustering, based on all expressed genes, identified 12 phenotypically distinct subpopulations. One subpopulation was defined by increased expression of genes involved in EMT and metastasis (referred to as subpopulation ‘M’) and was concomitantly enriched for CIN signature genes. This subpopulation comprised 45% of dnMCAK expressing cells compared to 6% of CIN-low cells (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 6a, b).

In agreement with the scRNA-seq data, CIN-high cells exhibited increased migratory and invasive behaviour in vitro, and displayed evidence of actin cytoskeletal reorganization, diffuse vimentin staining, and increased cytoplasmic and nuclear localization of β-catenin (Extended Data Figs 6c, d, 7a–d). As expected, MAD2 overexpression rescued invasion and migration of MCAK-expressing cells. Furthermore, the ability of KIF2B or MCAK overexpression to suppress invasion in vitro was dependent on the cell cycle, as the addition of thymidine after transient transfection of either protein abrogated this phenotype (Extended Data Figs 6f, 7e, f and Supplementary Fig. 2).

CIN generates cytosolic DNA

To better define CIN-responsive pathways, we performed a gene–gene Pearson correlation analysis using scRNA-seq data and identified two large gene modules: module 1 was characterized by proliferative and metabolic pathways, whereas module 2 comprised EMT and inflammation gene sets (Fig. 5a). There was a strong positive correlation between inflammation-related, CIN signature, and EMT genes in the scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data (Figs 4b, 5b and Extended Data Fig. 4b, c).
The induction of inflammatory pathways in response to CIN was unexpected and was reminiscent of a viral infection. We investigated whether CIN might introduce genomic DNA into the cytosol, thereby eliciting cellular responses normally reserved for anti-viral immunity.18,19 The exposure of genomic DNA to the cytosol can result from either primary nuclear or micronuclear envelope ruptures.20–24 We performed live-cell imaging using a GFP reporter with a nuclear localization signal (NLS–GFP)25 and found no correlation between primary nuclear or micronuclear envelope ruptures20–24. Instead, CIN-high cells and those derived from metastases exhibited a higher preponderance of micronuclei than did CIN-low or primary tumour-derived cells, respectively (Fig. 5c–e and Extended Data Fig. 8a–c). To test whether the presence of rupture-prone micronuclei correlated with increased cytosolic DNA, we stained cells using two different anti-dsDNA antibodies after selective plasma membrane permeabilization and found increased cytosolic dsDNA and single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) in CIN-high cells. The dsDNA signal, which was distinct from mitochondrial staining, disappeared after treatment with double-strand-specific—but not single-strand-specific—nuclease and after overexpression of DNASE2, confirming the specificity of these antibodies (Fig. 5f and Extended Data Fig. 8d–h). Quantification of dsDNA levels after subcellular fractionation revealed a fourfold reduction in cytosolic DNA in CIN-low cells compared to CIN-high cells (Fig. 5g). Whole-genome sequencing of subcellular fractions at 30 × coverage confirmed the genomic origin of cytosolic DNA (data not shown). To determine whether missegregated chromosomes provide a source of cytosolic DNA, we used an inducible Y-chromosome-specific missegregation system established in chromosomally stable DLD-1 colorectal cancer cells.26 Whole-chromosome fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) probes targeting the Y chromosome or an independent autosome (chromosome 15) revealed selective incorporation of the Y chromosome into micronuclei two days after chromosome missegregation induced by doxycycline and auxin (Dox/IAA) treatment. Notably, Y-chromosome-specific fragments were found dispersed within the cytosol 2–3 days after Dox/IAA addition, whereas the control autosome remained confined to the nucleus (Fig. 5h), demonstrating that cytosolic DNA is generated from chromosomes undergoing high rates of missegregation. Suppression of micronuclear envelope rupture by mCherry–lamin B2 overexpression27 reduced cytosolic dsDNA staining without influencing chromosome segregation errors. Accordingly, such overexpression reduced metastasis after intracardiac or tail vein injection of MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 5i and Extended Data Fig. 3g, h).

Metastasis from cytosolic DNA response

In chromosomally stable cells, cytosolic dsDNA is scarce and is sensed by the cGAS–STING pathway,19 leading to induction of type I interferon stimulated genes (ISGs)23,25,27. Indeed, induced missegregation of the Y chromosome led to the upregulation of OAS2, an ISG, and increased interferon-β production by DLD-1 cells.
κ

signature, STING, and the CIN-responsive NC-NF-κB cells (Fig. 6d, Extended Data Figs 4e, 9c–e).

expression of MAD2 increased nuclear RELB in MCAK-expressing inflammatory pathways, whereas the addition of cGAMP or overexpression of MAD2 increased nuclear localization of RELB and led to downregulation of EMT and reduced levels of the noncanonical NF-κB gene products p100, and reduced levels of the noncanonical NF-κB genes in tumours with higher levels of CIN signature gene products (Fig. 6e), and higher expression of key regulators of the noncanonical NF-κB pathway or its CIN-responsive target genes was associated with shorter DMFS and disease-free survival in breast and lung cancers. Conversely, increased expression of canonical NF-κB or type I interferon regulatory factors was associated with an improved prognosis (Extended Data Fig. 10a).

cGAS activation by cancer cells has been invoked in brain metastasis through a tumour cell-non-autonomous mechanism. We found that STING and downstream noncanonical NF-κB activity mediate metastasis in a tumour cell-autonomous fashion, as evidenced by reduction in metastatic dissemination, lifespan extension, and reduction in in vitro and in vivo invasion of CIN-high cells depleted of STING, RELB, or p100 (encoded by NFKB2). Conversely, the addition of cGAMP increased invasion and migration of CIN-low cells (Fig. 6f, g and Extended Data Figs 6f, 9j, 10b–d). These findings are in line with reported roles for the noncanonical NF-κB pathway in EMT, cellular invasion, and metastasis. The benefits of the noncanonical pathway may justify the scarcity of inactivating mutations in cGAS and STING among breast and lung cancers (Extended Data Fig. 10e).

Discussion

Our work reveals an unexpected link between CIN, chronic activation of cytosolic DNA-sensing pathways, and metastasis. In addition to fuelling karyotypic heterogeneity that serves as a substrate for natural selection, ongoing chromosome missegregation is required to replenish cytosolic DNA pools and to maintain cells in a pro-metastatic state. Consequently, suppression of CIN reduces metastasis even in highly aneuploid cells. The repercussions of STING activation are context-dependent and range from senescence to tumorigenesis.

(Extended Data Fig. 9f, g). It is unclear how chromosomally unstable cancer cells cope with the constant presence of cytosolic DNA. We found notable localization of cGAS to approximately half of all micronuclei, as previously observed. Impeding micronuclear rupture through lamin B2 overexpression significantly diminished the relative fraction of cGAS+ micronuclei (Fig. 6a, b). Furthermore, CIN-high cells exhibited increased levels and perinuclear localization of STING, congruent with pathway activation (Fig. 6c).

Notably, there was no evidence for robust activation of downstream canonical NF-κB or type I interferon signalling in CIN-high cells, as evidenced by the lack of a significant increase in p65 or IRF3 phosphorylation, absence of p65 or IRF3 nuclear translocation, undetectable levels of interferon-β, and failure to induce ISGs (Extended Data Figs 8i, j, 9), in line with previous observations.

Cytosolic DNA, however, can activate the noncanonical NF-κB pathway in a STING-dependent and TBK1-independent manner. We found evidence for noncanonical NF-κB activation in CIN-high cells, as revealed by lower levels of the precursor protein p100, a trend towards higher ratios of p52 or phosphorylated p100 relative to total p100, and reduced levels of the noncanonical NF-κB pathway inhibitor TRAF2 (Extended Data Fig. 8i, j). Given the subtle differences seen at the protein level, we assessed the nuclear localization of RELB, the binding partner of p52, and observed increased nuclear localization in CIN-high cells. This was often accompanied by cytosolic staining, indicative of chronic pathway activation. STING depletion reduced nuclear localization of RELB and led to downregulation of EMT and inflammatory pathways, whereas the addition of cGAMP or overexpression of MAD2 increased nuclear RELB in MCAK-expressing cells (Fig. 6d, Extended Data Figs 4e, 9c–e).

Bulk RNA-seq data identified a number of noncanonical NF-κB target genes that were upregulated in response to CIN (CIN-responsive NC-NF-κB genes). There was a robust correlation between the CIN signature, STING, and the CIN-responsive NC-NF-κB genes in scRNA-seq data, in contrast to a weaker correlation between CIN and type I interferon targets (Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 6e).

Figure 6 | Metastasis from a cytosolic DNA response.** a**, MDA-MB-231 cells stained for DNA and cGAS; scale bar, 5 μm. **b**, Percentage of micronuclei with cGAS localization (cGAS+), n = 200 cells. **c**, Cells stained for DNA and STING; scale bar, 20 μm. **d**, Percentage of MDA-MB-231 cells with nuclear RELB, n = 150 cells. **e**, Average ± normalized expression of CIN-responsive noncanonical NF-κB target genes in breast cancer patients with low (<30th percentile, n = 330) or high (>30th percentile, n = 332) CIN gene expression signature. **f**, Photon flux (p s−1) of whole animals after intracardiac injection with MDA-MB-231 cells expressing control shRNA, STING shRNA (f), RELB shRNA (g) or NFKB2 shRNA (g); n = 9, 7, and 9 mice for the control, STING shRNA1, and STING shRNA2 groups, respectively (f); n = 22, 10, 10, 10, and 9 mice for the control, RELB shRNA1, RELB shRNA2, NFKB2 shRNA1, and NFKB2 shRNA2 groups, respectively (g). Data shown as mean ± s.d. (**b, d, f**), median ± interquartile range with bars spanning 10th–90th percentiles (e), median (f, g); significance tested using two-sided t-test (b, d), two-sided Mann–Whitney test (e–g); n = 4 (a, b) and 3 (c, d) independent experiments.
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Given that chromosomally unstable cells are awash with cytosolic DNA, our results raise the possibility that by suppressing downstream I interferon signalling and instead upregulating the alternative NF-kB pathway, such cells have substituted a lethal epithelial response to inflammation with that of myeloid-derived cells, thereby engaging in some form of immune mimicry. Restoration of normal responses to inflammation would constitute a viable therapeutic strategy to target chromosomally unstable cells.

The emergence, and subsequent tolerance, of CIN represents an important bottleneck during tumour evolution and metastasis. These two mutually exclusive states, which were recently observed in a pan-cancer genomic analysis of metastatic tumours, are likely to account for the reversibility in chromosome missegregation rates seen in primary tumours and metastases, and provide an explanation for the negative effect of aneuploidy during early tumorigenesis and metastasis.

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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METHODS
Genomic analysis of primary tumour–metastasis matched pairs. Whole-exome DNA sequence data from 79 brain metastases with matched primary tumour and normal tissue\(^{9}\) were downloaded from the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) and processed as described\(^{43}\) to derive allele-specific segmented DNA copy number data for each sample. The weighted genome instability index (wGII), which describes the proportion of the genome that was classified as aberrant relative to tumour ploidy, was determined as described\(^{44}\).

**Mitelman database analysis.** All available breast adenocarcinoma cases in the Mitelman database\(^{45}\) were analysed. The primary literature was reviewed to determine the source of the sample (primary tumour or metastasis). When clonal karyotype was reported as a range, the average value was used for that given clone. Karyotype aberrations included structural aberrations as well as numerical deviations from the overall karyotype of the clone.

**Analysis of chromosome segregation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** We analysed primary tumour specimens from 60 patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)\(^{1}\). Haematoxylin and eosin-stained primary tumour samples of sufficient quality for high-resolution microscopy analysis were available for forty patients. Analysis was restricted to cells fixed while undergoing anaphase, as previously described\(^{44,45}\). Chromosome missegregation was defined by the presence of haematoxylin staining between the remaining segregating chromosomes during anaphase and was reported as the percentage of cells undergoing anaphase with evidence of chromosome missegregation, as previously described\(^{44}\). Clinical lymph node status was defined on the basis of clinical examination or radiographic evidence of lymph node tumour involvement\(^{3}\).

**Single-cell karyotyping.** Cultures were treated with colcemid at a final concentration of 0.1 μg/ml\(^{-1}\). Following 45 min incubation at 37 °C, the cultures were trypsinized, resuspended in pre-warmed 0.075 M KCl, incubated for an additional 10 min at 37 °C and fixed in methanol-acetic acid (3:1). The fixed cell suspension was then dropped onto slides, stained in 0.08 μg/ml\(^{-1}\) DAPI in 2× SSC for 5 min and mounted in antifade solution (Vectashield, Vector Labs). Metaphase spreads were captured using a Nikon Eclipse E800 epifluorescence microscope equipped with GenASi Cytogenetic suite (Applied Spectral Imaging). For each sample a minimum of 20 inverted DAPI-stained metaphases were fully karyotyped and analysed according to the International System of Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) 2013.

**FISH analysis.** FISH analysis was performed on fixed cells prepared for single-cell karyotyping. Based on karyotype data, four chromosomies were selected to further evaluate numerical instability. Probes specific for centromere 3 (red), centromere 4 (orange) and centromere 9 (green) were purchased form Abbott-Vysis. The chromosome 6 centromeric probe was home-brew (PAC clone P308; labelled with green DUTP, MSKCC Molecular Cytogenetics Core Facility). Probe labelling, hybridization, post-hybridization washing, and fluorescence detection were performed according to standard laboratory procedures\(^{46}\). For each probe, three normal peripheral blood samples (GM07535, GM06875 and GM00558), obtained from Coriell Institute, were also analysed to derive cut-off values for chromosome 6.

**RNAi screening.**siRNA screening was performed using the TIR1 auxin-dependent plant E3 ligase, an auxin-inducible degron (AID)-tagged CENP-A modified at the endogenous allele (CENP-A\(^{AG}\)), and a doxycycline-inducible CENP-A\(^{AG}\) rescue gene integrated into the Flp-In locus as previously described\(^{47}\). Cells (4×10\(^4\)) were seeded into 4-well chamber slides and treated with doxycycline (DOX, Sigma-Aldrich) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA, Sigma-Aldrich) for up to 3 days to induce Y chromosome missegregation and micronuclei. Slides were washed in PBS, fixed in 3:1 methanol-acetic acid for 15 min at room temperature, and dehydrated with 80% ethanol. Chromosome paint FISH probes targeting chromosomes Y and 15 (MetaSystems) were mixed at equal ratios, applied to cells, sealed with a coverslip, and denatured at 75 °C for 2 min followed by overnight hybridization at 37 °C in a humidified chamber. Slides were washed in 0.4× saline–sodium citrate (SSC) buffer for 2 min at 72 °C, followed by a 30 s wash in 2× SSC, 0.05% Tween-20 buffer at room temperature. Cells were counterstained with DAPI and captured on a DeltaVision Elite (Applied Healthcare) microscope system at 60× magnification (25×0.2 μm z-stacks) followed by image deconvolution and maximum intensity quick projection.

**Knockdown and overexpression constructs.** Luciferase expression was achieved using pLVX plasmid (expressing tdTomato) and cells stably expressing luciferase were selected using hygromycin and sorted for tdTomato expression. Kinesin-13 family protein expression was achieved using plasmid (pEGFP) transfection or lentiviral (pLenti-GII-CMV-GFP-2A-Puro) expression where cells were selected using G418 (0.5 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) or puromycin (5 μg ml\(^{-1}\)), respectively. DNAse2 overexpression was achieved using a pLenti-GII-CMV-BFP-2A-Puro plasmid with puromycin used for selection. Plasmids containing kinesin-13 proteins or lamin B2 (pQCXIB–mCherry–lmnb2) constructs were obtained from the Compton and Hetzer Laboratories, respectively. Blastidicin was used to select for lmbn2–expressing cells at 10 μg ml\(^{-1}\). All other plasmids were purchased from Applied Biological Materials (https://www.abmgo.com/). Stable knockdown of STING, NFKB2, RELB, and cGAS were achieved using shRNAs in pRRL (SGEP or SGEN) plasmids obtained from the MSKCC RNA Interference Core. Two to four distinct shRNA hairpins were screened per target. Targeted shRNA sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 5. To visualize primary nuclear rupture, cells were stably modified with a retroviral construct expressing both NLS–GFP\(^{48}\) and H2B–Tdtomato (3× NLSscopGFP-P2A–H2BmTomato–IRES–puromycin). Cells were cultured for 24 h after viral transduction before selection with 1 μg ml\(^{-1}\) puromycin and subsequently sorted to select for NLS–GFP and H2B–Tdtomato expression.

**Cell migration in microfluidic devices.** Microfluidic migration devices with precisely defined constrictions were prepared as described previously\(^{49,50}\). Devices were coated with 50 μg ml\(^{-1}\) type-I rat tail collagen (BD Biosciences) in 0.02 M acetic acid overnight at 4 °C. Approximately 80,000 cells were seeded (in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% PenStrep) per migration chamber. Devices were placed in a tissue-culture incubator (37 °C) for 5–6 h to allow the cells to attach and spread on the substrate. The lower chamber was then changed to Leibovitz L15 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% PenStrep before the device was mounted on an inverted microscope (Zeiss Observer Z1) equipped with a temperature-controlled stage (37 °C) for live-cell imaging. The medium reservoirs of the device were covered with glass coverslips to minimize evaporation during live-cell imaging. Cells were imaged for 14–16 h at 10-min intervals with a CCD camera (Photometrics CoolSNAP KINO) using a Zeiss 20×/NA 0.8 air objective. Acquired image sequences were analysed for nuclear rupture frequency, duration, and transit time of cells through 1 μm\(^2\) constric- tions using Zen software (Zeiss) and a custom-written MATLAB 2016a script for analysis.

**Animal studies.** Animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Weill Cornell Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. For disease-specific survival in MDA-MB-231 experiments, power analysis indicated that ten mice per group would be sufficient to detect a difference...
at relative hazard ratios of <0.2 or >5 with 80% power and 95% confidence, given a median disease-specific survival of 3 months in the control group and a total follow up period of 250 days. For the 4T1 experiments, power analysis indicated that ten mice per group would be sufficient to detect a difference at relative hazard ratios of <0.25 or >4.0 with 80% power and 95% confidence, given a median survival of 58 days in the control group and a total follow up period of 180 days. There was no need to randomize animals. Investigators were not blinded to group allocation. Intracardiac injection was performed as previously described32. In brief, cells were trypsinized and washed with PBS and 1 × 10^6 cells (in 100 μl PBS) were injected into the left cardiac ventricle of female athymic 6–7-week-old athymic nude (nu/nu) mice (Jackson Laboratory strain 002019). Cells (2 × 10^6) were injected into the tail vein cohort of animals. Mice were then immediately injected with D-luciferin (150 mg kg⁻¹) and subjected to bioluminescence imaging (BLI) using a time IVIS Spectrum Xenogen instrument (Caliper Life Sciences) to ensure systemic dissemination of tumour cells. Metastatic burden was measured 5–7 weeks after injection using BLI. BLI images were analysed using Living Image Software v.2.56. Disease-specific survival endpoint was met when the mice died or met the criteria for euthanasia under the IACUC protocol and had radiographic evidence of metastatic disease. For orthotopic tumour implantation, 2.5 × 10^5 cells in 50 μl PBS were mixed: 1:1 with Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and injected into the fourth mammary fat pad. Only one tumour was implanted per animal. MDA-MB-231 primary tumours were surgically excised before they reached ~1.5 cm in the largest dimension (which was the maximum allowable under our IACUC protocol) and metastatic dissemination was assessed using BLI imaging at 1–3 week intervals for up to 30 weeks. The distant metastasis-free survival endpoint was met when BLI signal was seen outside the site of primary tumour transplantation. 4T1 tumours were excised 8–9 days after implantation. To derive short-term culture from primary tumours and metastases, anesthetized mice (isoflurane) were imaged then killed. Ex vivo BLI was subsequently performed on organs to define the precise location of the metastatic lesion. Primary tumours and metastases were subsequently mechanically dissociated and cultured in DMEM with selection medium (G418 or hygromycin) to select for tumour cells and exclude host cells. All subsequent assays (karyotyping, RNA-seq, immunofluorescence, and subcellular fractionation) were performed after a single passage from the primary sample. To assess chromosome missegregation from primary tumour-derived and metastasis-derived cells, we performed high-resolution immunofluorescence analysis on passage no. 1 cells, staining for DNA (DAPI) and centromeres (ACA). The presence of cells with DNA or centromere staining in the middle of the anaphase plate was taken as evidence of chromosome missegregation.

**Patient-derived xenograft assays.** Patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models of human metastatic breast cancers were generated by transplanting the freshly obtained surgically excised tumour specimens from patients who had given consent under the IRB approved protocol (MSKCC IRB #97-094) in female NOD/SCID/IL2Rγnull (NSG) mice (Jackson Laboratory strain 005557). All relevant ethical regulations were followed. The oestrogen receptor-positive PDX was derived from breast cancer metastatic to the bone. The TNBC PDX was established from an axillary lymph node metastasis from a patient with inflammatory breast cancer. PDXs were maintained for a maximum of three serial passages. In brief, freshly obtained tumour tissue specimens were either directly transplanted in the mammary fat-pad of the mice or minced into 1–2 mm pieces in serum-free MEM medium with nonessential amino acids (Cat. No. 41500018, Thermo Fisher Scientific) transduced with lentivectors expressing either GFP–luciferase or puLGraf–Luc plasmid (Addgene plasmid: 84516) followed by transplantation into mice. Typically PDX tumour growth became evident during the first 1–3 weeks after engrafting and tumours continued to grow for an additional 4–8 weeks. Primary tumour growth and metastases were followed using BLI or spectrum CT imaging. At the time of removal of primary tumours and metastases, we derived cell suspensions from primary tumours as well as lung and liver metastases. In brief, 500 mg of fresh tumour tissue was chopped into 1–2 mm pieces and incubated in Accutase (AT104; Innovative Cell Technologies) for cell detachment and separation over 1–2 h. The dissociated tissues were sieved through 100-μm cell strainers and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1,200 r.p.m. The pellets were washed and resuspended in the above MEM buffer with 3% FBS. Cells were analysed for chromosome missegregation after one passage.

**RNA sequencing and analysis.** Bulk RNA was extracted from cells using the TRIzol method for random downsampling of matched molecule and cell counts from each subpopulation. t-SNE was used to visualize subpopulation structure based on the first 20 principle components of the imputed count matrix, subsampled by the top 2-pass model44. Gene expression was estimated using cufflinks (v2.2.1, default parameters) and HTSeq (v0.6.1)45. Differential expression analyses were performed using DESeq2 (v1.14.1)51. Pre-ranked gene set enrichment analyses were performed on the DESeq2 log; fold changes52. Prior to any unsupervised analyses, expression counts were transformed using variance stabilizing transformation using the DESeq2 R package. Gene signatures used in the study are listed in Supplementary Table 5. Differentially expressed gene sets and their associated statistics are listed in Supplementary Table 6. To detect potential copy number changes, positional genomic enrichment analysis (PGE) was performed on the upregulated and downregulated differentially expressed genes, separately (padj ≤ 0.1)53. Only significant regions with four or more genes and with P ≤ 0.01 were kept for further analysis. Circos plots were made using the circviz R package54.

**Reverse-transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction.** Cells were collected into trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and total RNA was extracted using PuLarScent RNA mini kit5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA (1 μg) was used for RT–PCR using the RNA to cDNA Ecdory premix (oligo dT) cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Resulting cDNA corresponding to 50 ng total RNA was used in each 20 μl of quantitative real time PCR reaction. qRT–PCR was performed using SybyGreen master mix (Biorad) and the relative expression of each gene was calculated after normalizing to ACTB endogenous control and using the comparative ΔΔCt method. A list of the primers used is in Supplementary Table 4.

**Single-cell RNA sequencing.** Cells were trypsinized and resuspended in PBS. Twenty-one microlitres of a cellular suspension at 400 cells per microlitre, >95% viability, were loaded onto to the 10X Genomics Chromium platform to generate barcoded single-cell GEMs. scRNA-seq libraries were prepared according to 10X Genomics specifications (Single Cell 3’ Reagent Kits User Guide PN-120233, 10X Genomics). GEM-reverse transcription (RT) (55 °C for 2h, 85 °C for 5 min; held at 4 °C) was performed in a C1000 Touch Thermal cycler with 96-Deep Well Reaction Module (Bio-Rad). After RT, GEMs were broken and the single-strand cDNA was cleaned up with DynaBeads MyOne Silane Beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and SPRSelect Reagent Kit (0.6 × SPR; Beckman Coulter). cDNA was amplified for 14 cycles using the C100 Touch Thermal cycler with 96-Deep Well Reaction Module (98 °C for 3 min; 98 °C for 15 s, 67 °C for 2 min and 74 °C for 1 min; held at 4 °C). The quality of the cDNA was analysed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. The resulting cDNA was sheared to ~200 bp using a Covaris S220 instrument (Covaris) and cleaned using 0.6 × SPRI beads. The products were end-removed, A-tailed and ligated to adapters provided in the kit. A unique sample index for each library was introduced through 10 cycles of PCR amplification using the indexes provided in the kit (98 °C for 45 s; 98 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 20 × 14 cycles; 72 °C for 1 min; held at 4 °C). After two SPRI cleanups, libraries were quantified using Qubit fluorometric quantification (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the quality assessed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. Four libraries were pooled and clustered on a HiSeq2500 in rapid mode at 10 pM on a pair end read flow cell and sequenced for 98 cycles of R1, followed by 14 bp 17 index (10X Barcode), 8 bp 15 index (sample index) and 10 bp on R2 (UMI). Primary processing of sequencing images was done using Illumina’s Real Time Analysis software. Demultiplexing and post processing was done using the 10X Genomics Cell Ranger pipeline55 per the manufacturer’s recommendations. scRNA-seq data were processed from raw reads to a molecule count array using the Cell Ranger pipeline55. Additionally, to minimize the effects of experimental artefacts on the analysis, data were pre-processed to filter out cells with low total molecule counts (library size), low complexity and high mitochondrial content, identified by a bimodal fit. The remaining cells were normalized by dividing the expression level of each gene in a cell by its total library size and then scaling by the median library size of all cells. After normalizing by library size, we performed a global clustering of the robustly detected genes using Markov matrix generated when computing diffusion eigenvalues for imputation of dropout noise56. We chose the number of principal components to retain approximately 80% of variance in the data and excluded the first principal component, which was highly correlated with library size. Imputation of both the normalized and unnormalized counting matrix was performed using a Markov matrix raised to the power of 3 (power corresponds the approximate number of weighted nearest neighbours) and with a gene expression distribution computed according to 21 nearest neighbouring cells, as described57. Our analysis was robust to imputation and we obtained similar results without imputed data (not shown). Subpopulations were identified using Phenograph58 and genes differentially expressed in at least one subpopulation were identified by the Kruskal–Wallis rank statistic using a bootstrapping method for random downsampling of matched molecule and cell counts from each subpopulation. t-SNE was used to visualize subpopulation structure based on the first 20 principle components of the imputed count matrix, subsampled by the top
5,150 differentially expressed genes (FDR q of Kruskal–Wallis rank statistic <0.05). The mean expression of key gene signatures in population M versus other subpopulations was z-normalized and visualized using violin plots. All gene signatures are annotated in Supplementary Table 5. The correlation between gene signatures was computed using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient according to the mean expression of all genes per signature per cell. Ward’s minimum variance method was applied to hierarchically cluster cells by their normalized expression of differentially expressed EMT genes.

Patient survival analysis. Genes used for survival analysis are listed in Supplementary Table 5. For the meta-analysis cohort, we used aggregate data from KMPlots 16,18 (https://www.kmplot.com) using only JetSet best probe set and auto-selection for best cutoff between the 25th and 75th percentiles. For the validation cohort in which DMFS data were available 17, we used the z-normalized expression data for a data set and the median value was used as a cutoff. DMFS curves were compared using the log-rank test.

In vitro invasion and migration assays. For the invasion and migration/chemotaxis assays we used the CytoSelect cell invasion (CBA-110) and cell migration (CBA-100) kits, respectively. In brief, 3 × 10^5 cells were suspended in serum-free medium and placed on top of the membrane. Medium containing serum was placed at the bottom and cells that had invaded to the inferior surface of the collagen membrane were stained and counted 18–24 h later. For experiments involving transient transfection, cells were transfected, and thymidine (2 mM) was added 18 h later. Cells were plated on the membrane 3 days after transfection. For the chemotaxis assay, we used a colorimetric approach (OD 560 nm) for quantification. For the scratch assay, cells were treated with mitomycin C (10 μg mL^-1) for 1 h when they reached >90% confluence and then placed in DMEM containing 1% FBS. Wounds were applied using a μl pipette tip and images of the wounds were taken immediately and at subsequent regular intervals. ImageJ was used for quantification of wound surface area.

Quantification of cytosolic DNA. Approximately 1 × 10^7 cells were lyzed and the nuclear, cytosolic, and mitochondrial fractions were obtained using the mitochondrial isolation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 89874). Protease inhibitors were not used to enable subsequent DNA purification. Mitochondria were purified by centrifugation at 12,000g to minimize their contamination in the cytosolic fraction. DNA was subsequently isolated from the nuclear, cytosolic, mitochondrial fractions using the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, 69506) and dsDNA was quantified using Qubit 2.0 (Invitrogen) with Qubit dsDNA HS Reagent.

Code availability. All custom code, statistical analysis, and visualizations were performed in Python or R, and used Nextflow to manage some of the computational pipelines 28. Code for the RNA sequencing analysis is available online at: https://github.com/murphycj/manuscripts/tree/master/BakhoumEtAl2017. The live-cell tracking MATLAB 2016a code can be found at https://github.com/Lammerding/MATLAB-CellTracking.

Data availability. Source data for Figs 1–3, 5, 6, and Extended Data Figs 1–3, 5–10 are provided with the paper. Single-cell RNA sequencing data (shown in Figs 4, 5 and Extended Data Fig. 6) have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive under accession number SRP104750. Bulk RNA-seq data (shown in Extended Data Figs 4, 5) have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE98183.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 1 | Generation of isogenic tumour models of CIN. a, wGII of brain metastases as a function of the wGII of the matched primary tumour. Red line represents linear regression, n = 79 patients. b, Differences in wGII between metastases and matched primary tumours. RCC, renal cell carcinoma; other includes melanoma, sarcoma, and ovarian, thyroid, and salivary gland cancers. c, Number of clones (based on single-cell karyotypes) in primary breast tumours (P; n = 637) or metastases (M; n = 131) found in the Mitelman database. Boxes represent median ± interquartile range and bars span the 10th and 90th percentiles; significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test. d, The number of chromosome aberrations per clone as a function of the total number of chromosomes in a given clone in samples derived from primary breast tumour clones (n = 983) and metastatic clones (n = 186); data shown as mean ± s.d. e, Percentage of N− or N+ patients as a function of chromosome missegregation frequency (n = 20 patients per condition); significance tested using two-sided Fisher’s exact test. f, Immunoblots of cells expressing various GFP-tagged kinesin-13 proteins stained using anti-GFP antibody; β-actin used as a loading control, two independent experiments performed. g, Cellular confluence as a function of time in MDA-MB-231 cells expressing various kinesin-13 proteins or dnMCAK-expressing cells depleted of components of the cytosolic DNA-sensing machinery or the noncanonical NF-κB pathway. Data shown as mean ± s.d., n = 4 independent experiments. h, Left, MCAK- and dnMCAK-expressing cells stained for microtubules (α-tubulin, DM1A), centrosomes (pericentrin) and DNA (DAPI). Scale bar, 5 μm; two independent experiments performed. Right, frequency distribution of the number of pericentrin foci per cell. Significance tested using ANOVA. n = 100 cells per condition, two independent experiments performed. i, Chromosome missegregation in H2030 and 4T1 cells expressing kinesin-13 proteins. Data shown as mean ± s.d., n = 150 cells, three independent experiments performed, significance tested using two-sided t-test. j, Cells expressing kinesin-13 proteins stained for microtubules (DM1A), centrosomes (pericentrin) and DNA (DAPI). Scale bar, 50 μm, two independent experiments performed. k, Fluorescence normalized to cell count of MDA-MB0-231 cells expressing kinesin-13 proteins. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., *P < 0.05, two-sided t-test, n = 10 high-power fields encompassing 477–612 cells, two independent experiments performed.
Extended Data Figure 2 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Karyotype analyses of human tumour cells. 

**a, b,** Immunoblots showing total RAC1 (a) or RHOA (b) levels as well as RAC1 or RHOA that was pulled down using antibodies that were specific to the GTP-bound form of RAC1 (a) or RHOA (b). Positive and negative controls were total MDA-MB-231 cell lysates supplanted with non-hydrolysable GTP (nhGTP) and GDP, respectively. β-actin was used as a loading control; two independent experiments performed.

**c–e,** Representative karyotypes (DAPI-banding) from parental MDA-MB-231 cells (c) or populations derived from single cells expressing MCAK (d) or KIF2A (e) that were allowed to divide for 30 days.

**f,** The number of non-clonal (present in less than 25% of the cells in a single clone) structurally abnormal chromosomes in CIN-low or CIN-high MDA-MB-231 cells.

Mar, chromosomes so structurally abnormal that they could not be identified by conventional banding; data shown as mean ± s.d., n = 140 cells from 7 clonal populations, significance tested using two-way ANOVA test.

**g,** Examples taken from four distinct cells belonging to the same clonal population (derived from a single KIF2A-expressing cell) showing convergent translocations involving chromosome 22 with four other chromosomes. *h,** Deviation from modal chromosome number in single-cell-derived clones grown for 30 days. Four chromosomes were assayed for each clone using centromere-specific probes. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005 compared to control clone 4 by two-sided χ²-test, n = 300 cells per clone. Diploid controls were used to determine the false-positive rate of the centromeric probes.
Extended Data Figure 3 | See next page for caption.
**Extended Data Figure 3 | CIN promotes the formation and maintenance of metastasis.**

**a.** Normalized photon flux over time of whole animals injected with MDA-MB-231 cells expressing kinesin-13 proteins. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m. $n =$ 8 (MCAK), 7 (KIF2B), 5 (control), 4 (KIF2A), and 9 (dnMCAK) mice per group; three independent experiments performed. **b.** Representative images of mice injected with MDA-MB-231 cells expressing dnMCAK (above) or KIF2B (below) with disease burden tracked using BLI; three independent experiments performed. **c.** Photon flux (p s$^{-1}$) of whole animals imaged 5 weeks after intracardiac injection with control or MCAK-expressing H2030 cells. Horizontal bars represent the mean, significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test, $n =$ 10 mice in the MCAK group and 5 mice in the control group. **d.** Left, representative BLI images (from two independent experiments) of mice orthotopically transplanted with MDA-MB-231 cells. Images taken before (day 33) and after (day 90) tumour excision. Metastasis can be detected in the mouse transplanted with dnMCAK-expressing cells at day 90. Middle, total flux (p s$^{-1}$) emitted from primary tumours 52 days after transplantation. Data shown as mean ± s.d., $n =$ 5 (CIN-low) and 14 (CIN-high) mice, $P =$ 0.13, two-sided Mann–Whitney test. Right, DMFS of mice orthotopically transplanted with MDA-MB-231 cells with various levels of CIN. $n =$ 15 (CIN-low) and 29 (CIN-high) mice, pairwise significance tested with two-sided log-rank test. **e.** Tumour volume at 8 days (top) and survival (bottom) of mice transplanted with mouse 4T1 cells into the mammary fat pad. Bars represent median ± interquartile range, pairwise significance tested with two-sided t-test (top) and two-sided log-rank test (bottom). $n =$ 20 (CIN-low) and 30 (CIN-high) mice. **f.** Top, immunoblots of MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressing MCAK or MCAK and MAD2 stained for MAD2 using α-tubulin used as a loading control; three independent experiments performed. Bottom, percentage of anaphase cells exhibiting evidence of chromosome missegregation in cells overexpressing MCAK or MCAK and MAD2. Data shown as mean ± s.d., $n =$ 150 cells, three experiments performed, significance tested using two-sided t-test. **g.** Top, immunoblots of MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressing dnMCAK or dnMCAK and lamin B2 stained for lamin B2 using anti-lamin B2 antibody with β-actin used as a loading control. Two experiments performed. Bottom, percentage of anaphase cells exhibiting evidence of chromosome missegregation in cells overexpressing dnMCAK or dnMCAK and lamin B2. Data shown as mean ± s.d., $n =$ 150 cells, three experiments performed, significance tested using two-sided t-test. **h.** Photon flux (p s$^{-1}$) of whole animals after intracardiac (left) or tail vein (right) injection with MDA-MB-231 cells expressing dnMCAK or dnMCAK and lamin B2. Bars represent the median, significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test, $n =$ 9 (dnMCAK), 15 (dnMCAK and Lamin B2) mice in the intracardiac injection cohort and 5 mice per group in the tail vein injection cohort.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Transcriptional consequences of CIN in cancer cells. a, Principal component analysis (left) and unsupervised clustering (right) of five MDA-MB-231 cell lines expressing different kinesin-13 proteins based on bulk RNA expression data. b–e, Gene set enrichment analysis results showing HALLMARK gene sets that are highly enriched in CIN-high (control, KIF2A, and dnMCAK) compared with CIN-low cells (MCAK and KIF2B) (b, c) or STING-depleted cells (e), or after comparing metastases with primary tumours (d). Significance tested using one-sided weighted Smirnov–Kolmogorov test corrected for multiple tests. f, Heat map of consensus chromosomal karyotypes of cells derived from primary tumours and metastases showing selective increase in chromosome 1 copy number in metastases compared with primary tumours.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Prognostic impact of CIN signature.

a, Volcano plot showing genes that were differentially expressed between CIN-high and CIN-low MDA-MB-231 cells. Red data points denote genes subsequently used for determining the CIN signature.
b–e, Enrichment plots for all differentially expressed genes (a) or those on chromosome 1 (d, e). Circos plot (c) shows genomic location (outer circle), log, fold expression of genes significantly differentially expressed in metastases compared to primary tumours (middle circles), and log_{10} P (inner circle) for genomic amplifications (red) or deletions (blue) in metastases relative to primary tumours. n = 2 (CIN-low), 3 (CIN-high), 11 (primary tumours), 28 (metastases). Significance tested using two-sided Wald test (a), one-sided weighted Smirnov–Kolmogorov test (b, d, e), and one-sided hypergeometric test (c), all corrected for multiple testing.
f, g, DMFS of breast cancer patients stratified by lymph node status, grade, and receptor status, from a meta-analysis (f, n = 664 patients) or a validation cohort (g, n = 171 patients) divided on the basis of average expression of the CIN gene expression. Significance tested using two-sided log-rank test.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Single-cell sequencing and population detection. a, The cellular composition of every subpopulation presented Fig. 4b. b, Violin plots showing expression probability density of key metastasis and invasion genes in a subpopulation of cells (n = 1,273 cells) enriched for EMT and CIN genes (subpopulation M) compared with the remaining subpopulations (n = 5,548 cells) that were identified using graph-based unsupervised K-nearest neighbour embedding. c, Representative low-power field images (left) and numbers (right) of MDA-MB-231 cells that invaded through a collagen membrane within 18 h of culture. Data shown as mean ± s.d., significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test, n = 10 high-power fields, two independent experiments performed. d, Representative images of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing MCAK or dnMCAK stained for β-actin, vimentin, and DNA. Scale bar, 50 μm, n = 2 independent experiments. e, Single-cell correlation plots between CIN signature genes, canonical NF-κB and type I interferon target genes, n = 6,821 cells. f, Representative phase-contrast images of a wound-healing assay of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing MCAK, MCAK and MAD2 or dnMCAK, and MCAK-expressing cells treated with cGAMP. Scale bar, 800 μm, four experiments performed.
Extended Data Figure 7 | CIN promotes in vitro invasion and migration. a, Left, representative phase-contrast images of MDA-MB-231 cells in the wound area, 36 h after wound creation. Four experiments performed. Right, length-to-width ratio of cells expressing different kinesin-13 proteins. Bars span the interquartile range, \( n = 100 \) cells, two independent experiments performed, significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test. b, Representative MDA-MB-231 cells stained for \( \beta \)-catenin (anti-\( \beta \)-catenin antibody) or DNA (DAPI). Changes in \( \beta \)-catenin are seen upon alteration of CIN; it is enriched at cell–cell junctions in MCAK-expressing cells but is found in the cytoplasm and nucleus in dnMCAK-expressing cells. Scale bar, 30\( \mu \)m, two experiments performed. c, Top, phase-contrast images of a wound-healing assay of cells expressing kinesin-13 proteins. Scale bar, 800\( \mu \)m, two experiments performed. Bottom, wound area (normalized to the 0 h time point) 24 h and 45 h after wound creation. Data shown as mean ± s.d., \( n = 4 \) experiments, significance tested using two-sided \( t \)-test. d, Top, low-power field images of MDA-MB-231 cells that have migrated through a polycarbonate membrane containing 8\( \mu \)m pores within 18 h of culture. Bottom, normalized OD of cells scraped from the bottom of the membrane. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., significance tested using two-sided \( t \)-test, \( n = 3 \) experiments. e, f, Left, number of MDA-MB-231 cells that have successfully invaded through a collagen basement membrane 24 h after plating. Data shown as mean ± s.d., \( n = 20 \) high power fields from two independent experiments, significance tested using two-sided Mann–Whitney test. Right, representative images from high-power fields. Two independent experiments performed. g, i, Representative time-lapse fluorescence and phase-contrast image sequences of control cells expressing NLS–GFP undergoing unconfined migration (g) or going through 1 × 5\( \times \)5\( \times \)5\( \mu \)m\(^2\) constrictions (i). Scale bars, 20\( \mu \)m. Arrows in g indicate cytoplasmic NLS–GFP. Arrows in i indicate formation of nuclear protrusion and subsequent fragments during confined migration. Three independent experiments performed. h, j, Top, the probability of primary nuclear rupture during unconfined conditions (h) or after migration through 1 × 5\( \times \)5\( \mu \)m\(^2\) constrictions (j). Bottom, the number of cells migrating through more than one 1\( \mu \)m-wide constrictions (j) and the duration of nuclear rupture (h), as measured by the length of time for which NLS–GFP signal is observed in the cytosol. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., \( n = 3 \) independent experiments (except for unconfined rupture probability, 2 independent experiments) encompassing 390–665 (h) and 150–336 (j) cells observed during unconfined and confined migration, respectively. Significance tested using two-sided \( t \)-test.
Extended Data Figure 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 8 | CIN generates micronuclei and cytosolic DNA. a, b, Percentage of micronuclei in samples depicted in Fig. 3c, d: injected cells (blue), first-passage cells derived from primary tumours (green), or metastases (orange denotes spontaneous metastases arising from primary tumours, red denotes metastases obtained from direct intracardiac implantation). Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., n = 10 high-power fields encompassing 500–1,500 cells per sample, three independent experiments performed. *P < 0.05 (denotes samples with higher missegregation rates than the injected lines), **P < 0.05 (denotes samples with lower missegregation rates than the injected lines), ***P < 0.05 (denotes significant differences between metastases and matched primary tumours from the same animals), two-tailed t-test. c, Correlation between the percentage of cells exhibiting evidence of chromosome missegregation and the percentage of micronuclei in all injected cell lines as well as cells derived from primary tumours and metastases. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., n = 44 samples.

d–f, Representative images of cells stained for DNA (DAPI), cytosolic single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) (d), DNASE2 (RFP reporter) (e), or cytosolic dsDNA (f). Scale bar, 20 μm, arrows in e denote DNASE2-expressing cell, two independent experiments performed.
g, Representative images of dnMCAK-expressing cells treated with ssDNASE or dsDNASE for 10 min after selective plasma membrane permeabilization (using 0.02% saponin) and stained for DNA (DAPI) and cytosolic dsDNA. Scale bar, 20 μm, one experiment performed.
h, Representative images of dnMCAK-expressing cells stained for mitochondria (anti-CoxIV antibody), DNA (DAPI) or for cytosolic DNA (anti-dsDNA antibody). Scale bar, 20 μm, two independent experiments performed. i, Immunoblots of lysates from cells expressing different kinesin-13 proteins, control or STING shRNA. β-actin used as a loading control. j, Normalized ratio of phosphorylated p52 to p100 (left) and p100 to total p100 (right) protein levels. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., n = 5 independent experiments.
Extended Data Figure 9 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Alternative response to cytosolic DNA in cancer cells. a–d. Representative images of MDA-MB-231 cells stained for DNA (DAPI) and for p65 (a), IRF3 (b), or RELB (c, d). Images were individually contrast-enhanced to emphasize nuclear versus cytosolic localization of p65, IRF3, and RELB. For quantitative comparisons of identical images, see Supplementary Fig. 3. Arrows (c, d) point to RELB-positive nuclei. Scale bars, 20 μm, three independent experiments performed. e. Immunoblots of fractionated lysates. α-tubulin and lamin B2 were used as loading controls for the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, respectively; three independent experiments performed. f, h, Interferon-β levels in conditioned medium from DLD-1 cells (f), MDA-MB-231 or HEK293 cells with and without cGAMP addition (h). Data shown as mean ± s.e.m. n = 3 experiments, significance tested using one-sided Mann–Whitney test. g, i, Relative levels of interferon-responsive genes obtained by RT–qPCR in DLD-1 cells (g) normalized to untreated conditions or MDA-MB-231 cells (i) normalized to control cells. Data shown as mean ± s.d. n = 3 experiments, significance tested using two-sided t-test. j. Immunoblots of lysates of dnMCAK-expressing cells that also co-expressed control shRNA or shRNAs targeting components of the cytosolic DNA-sensing or noncanonical NF-κB pathways. shRNA hairpins are numbered in ascending order according to the efficiency of protein knockdown. Two independent experiments performed.
Extended Data Figure 10  |  See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Effect of cytosolic DNA-sensing pathways on prognosis. a, Distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS), relapse-free survival (RFS) and progression-free survival (PFS) of patients with breast and lung, stratified according to their expression of NF-κB and interferon pathways. Significance tested using two-sided log-rank test. b, Disease-specific survival of mice injected with dnMCAK-expressing MDA-MB-231 cells co-expressing control shRNA, STING shRNA, NFKB2 shRNA, or RELB shRNA. n = 35, 16, 19, and 20 mice in the control, STING shRNA, NFKB2 shRNA, and RELB shRNA groups, respectively; significance tested using two-sided log-rank test. c, Number of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing shRNA targeting genes belonging to the DNA-sensing or noncanonical NF-κB pathways that invaded through a collagen membrane within 24 h of culture. Data shown as mean ± s.d., **P < 0.0001, two-sided Mann–Whitney test, n = 20 high-power fields, two independent experiments performed. d, Number of different normal tissues (vascular, neuronal, or soft tissue) invaded by orthotopically transplanted tumours. Data shown as mean ± s.e.m., *P < 0.05, two-tailed t-test, n = 13 tumours (CIN-high), 20 tumours (noncanonical NF-κB depleted), 19 tumours (cGAS-STING depleted). e, Oncoprints showing genomic alterations in STING (TMEM173) and cGAS (MB21D1) in breast and lung cancers from the TCGA database.
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Experimental design

1. Sample size
   Describe how sample size was determined.
   For disease-specific survival in MDA-MB-231 experiments, power analysis indicated that 10 mice per group will be sufficient to detect a difference at relative hazard ratios of <0.2 or >5 with 80% power and 95% confidence, given a median disease-specific survival of 3 months in the control group and a total follow up period of 250 days. For the 4T1 experiments, Power analysis indicates that 10 mice per group will be sufficient to detect a difference at relative hazard ratios of <0.25 or >4.0 with 80% power and 95% confidence, given a median survival of 58 days in the control group and a total follow up period of 180 days.

2. Data exclusions
   Describe any data exclusions.
   No data were excluded

3. Replication
   Describe whether the experimental findings were reliably reproduced.
   No attempts for replication failed. Immunofluorescence and immunoblot experiments were performed in three or more biological replicates (see figure legends). Key animal experiments were performed in up to 5 independent experiments

4. Randomization
   Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups.
   No method of randomization was used

5. Blinding
   Describe whether the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis.
   Investigators were not blinded to group allocation.

Note: all studies involving animals and/or human research participants must disclose whether blinding and randomization were used.
6.  **Statistical parameters**

For all figures and tables that use statistical methods, confirm that the following items are present in relevant figure legends (or in the Methods section if additional space is needed).

| n/a | Confirmed |
|-----|-----------|
| ☐   | ☑         |

- The *exact sample size* ($n$) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement (animals, litters, cultures, etc.)
- A description of how samples were collected, noting whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- A statement indicating how many times each experiment was replicated
- The statistical test(s) used and whether they are one- or two-sided (note: only common tests should be described solely by name; more complex techniques should be described in the Methods section)
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as an adjustment for multiple comparisons
- The test results (e.g. $P$ values) given as exact values whenever possible and with confidence intervals noted
- A clear description of statistics including central tendency (e.g. median, mean) and variation (e.g. standard deviation, interquartile range)
- Clearly defined error bars

*See the web collection on statistics for biologists for further resources and guidance.*

7.  **Software**

Policy information about availability of computer code

Describe the software used to analyze the data in this study.

**Bulk RNA seq code is made publicly available (link in the methods section). Single cell RNAseq was analyzed using Python and already-published data and was appropriately referenced in the methods section. Wound healing assay (surface area) was analyzed using ImageJ. Single cell analysis was performed using Python 3.0. Cell migration using microfluidics devices was performed using MATLAB 2016a. RNAseq data was performed using R.**

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the paper but not yet described in the published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). *Nature Methods* guidance for providing algorithms and software for publication provides further information on this topic.

8.  **Materials and reagents**

Policy information about availability of materials

Indicate whether there are restrictions on availability of unique materials or if these materials are only available for distribution by a for-profit company.

**There are no restrictions for distribution of research materials produced during this study.**
9. Antibodies

Describe the antibodies used and how they were validated for use in the system under study (i.e. assay and species).

Pre-validated antibodies were purchased from reputable sources (Cell signaling technology and abcam). Antibodies and their catalog numbers are listed in Supplementary information. Most antibodies were further validated when the target protein was depleted using shRNA.

Supplementary Table 1. Antibodies used in immunofluorescence.

| Antibody against Company | Catalog number |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| α-tubulin Sigma Aldrich  | T9026          |
| β-actin Abcam ab8227     |                |
| β-catenin Abcam ab16051  |                |
| cGAS Sigma Aldrich HPA031700 |            |
| Cox IV Abcam ab16056     |                |
| dsDNA (Extended Fig. 8d) Abcam AB27156 |            |
| dsDNA (Fig. 5f) Thermo Fisher Scientific MAB1293MI |    |
| Human centromere proteins Antibodies Incorporated 15-234-0001 |                |
| IRF3 Abcam ab68481       |                |
| p65 Abcam ab16502        |                |
| Pericentrin Abcam ab4448 |                |
| RelB Abcam ab180127      |                |
| ssDNA Thermo Fisher Scientific MAB3299MI |            |
| Vimentin Abcam ab201637  |                |
| STING Abcam ab181125     |                |

Supplementary Table 2. Antibodies used in immunoblots.

| Antibody against Company | Catalog number |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| β-actin Abcam ab8227     |                |
| cGAS Sigma Aldrich HPA031700 |            |
| GFP Life Technologies A11122 |            |
| IRF3 Abcam ab68481       |                |
| p100/p52 Cell Signaling Technology 4882 |        |
| p65 Abcam ab16502        |                |
| phospho-IRF3 Cell Signaling Technology 4947 |          |
| phospho-p100 Abcam 194919 |            |
| phospho-p65 Cell Signaling Technology 3033 |          |
| phospho-TBK1 Cell Signaling Technology 5483 |          |
| RelB Cell Signaling Technology 4922 |            |
| STING Cell Signaling Technology 13647 |            |
| TBK1 Cell Signaling Technology 3013 |            |
| TRAF2 Cell Signaling Technology 4712 |            |
| TRAF3 Cell Signaling Technology 4729 |            |
| STAT1 Abcam ab47425      |                |

10. Eukaryotic cell lines

a. State the source of each eukaryotic cell line used.

ATCC

None as directly purchased from ATCC

b. Describe the method of cell line authentication used.

Yes. Cells were tested each 4-6 months.

c. Report whether the cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination.

None of the cell lines used are listed in the ICLAC database.

d. If any of the cell lines used are listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC, provide a scientific rationale for their use.
Animals and human research participants

Policy information about studies involving animals; when reporting animal research, follow the ARRIVE guidelines

11. Description of research animals

Provide details on animals and/or animal-derived materials used in the study.

Animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Weill Cornell Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. There was no need to randomize animals. Investigators were not blinded to group allocation. Intracardiac injection was performed as previously described\textsuperscript{31}. Briefly, cells were trypsinized and washed with PBS and a 1x10\textsuperscript{5} cells (in 100 \mu l of PBS) were injected into the left cardiac ventricle of female athymic 6-7 week old athymic nude (nu/nu) mice (Jackson Laboratory strain 002019). 2x10\textsuperscript{5} cells were injected into the tail vein cohort of animals. Mice were then immediately injected with D-luciferin (150 mgkg\textsuperscript{-1}) and subjected to bioluminescence imaging (BLI) using tan IVIS Spectrum Xenogen instrument (Caliper Life Sciences) to ensure systemic dissemination of tumor cells. Metastatic burden was measured 5-7 weeks after injection using BLI. BLI images were analyzed using Living Image Software v.2.50. Disease-specific survival endpoint was met when the mice died or met the criteria for euthanasia under the IACUC protocol and had radiographic evidence of metastatic disease. For Orthotopic tumor implantation, 2.5x10\textsuperscript{5} cells in 50\mu l of PBS were mixed 1:1 with Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and injected into the fourth mammary fat pad. Only one tumor was implanted per animal. MDA-MB-231 primary tumors were surgically excited before they reached ~1.5 cm in the largest dimension (which was the maximum allowable under our IACUC protocol) and metastatic dissemination was assessed using BLI imaging at 1-3 week intervals for up to 30 weeks. Distant metastasis-free survival endpoint was met when BLI signal was seen outside of site of primary tumor transplantation. 4T1 tumors were excised 9 days after implantation. To derive short-term culture from primary tumors and metastases, anesthetized animals (isofluorane) were imaged then sacrificed. Ex-vivo BLI was subsequently performed on harvested organs to define the precise location of the metastatic lesion. Primary tumors and metastases were subsequently mechanically dissociated and cultured in DMEM with selection media (G418 or hygromycin) to select for tumor cells and exclude host cells. All subsequent assays (karyotyping, RNAseq, immunofluorescence, and subcellular fractionation) were performed after a single passage from the primary sample. To assess chromosome missegregation from primary tumor-derived and metastases-derived cells, we performed high-resolution immunofluorescence analysis on passage \#1 cells, staining for DNA (DAPI) and centromeres (ACA). Cells with DNA or centromere staining in the middle of the anaphase plate was taken as evidence of chromosome missegregation.

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

12. Description of human research participants

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants.

All human samples reported in the manuscript were from studies that were previously published and are adequately referenced in the manuscript. Details of patient characteristics - if available - is listed in the referenced manuscript.