Predictable sedation: Safe administration of oral Midazolam and nitrous oxide gas for paediatric patients in the general dental practice

ABSTRACT

Introduction
Behaviour management for anxious paediatric dental patients is challenging. Solutions include education and sedation. Various drugs have been used to effectively sedate paediatric patients during treatment.

Aims and objectives
The aim of this study was to review literature on the sedation of paediatric patients. The study specifically looked at those reviews covering the combination of two sedation methods in case of more challenging paediatric patients.

Design
The study undertook a literature review focused on studies using nitrous oxide, Midazolam, or a combination of the two substances.

Methods
An electronic search was done on EBSCOhost to source articles published from 1979 to 2019.

Results
A deeper form of sedation can be achieved for paediatric patients when using a combination of nitrous oxide, oxygen and a hypnotic agent such as Midazolam.

Conclusion
Dealing with the anxiety levels of paediatric patients is a challenge for dental health providers. Two of the main strategies used to deal with anxious children are behaviour management and sedation. A critical review of journal articles on the use of nitrous oxide and oxygen in combination with Midazolam was therefore undertaken. The findings suggest that, in order to achieve a deeper form of sedation, the combination of nitrous oxide, oxygen and Midazolam works well to reduce discomfort, anxiety and/or pain in paediatric patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Paediatric dentistry
Children frequently find dentistry challenging, as it induces anxiety. There are various reasons for this:

1. Often, children’s coping skills are not sufficiently developed to deal with such situations. As a result, they behave fearfully.
2. Their anxiety and resultant behaviour can be linked to negative outcomes such as amplified oral ailments, evading dental treatment, and defiance.
3. Behaviour management for young paediatric dental patients may therefore be challenging for both the child and the dentist.

Note that in this paper, the term paediatrics is used to describe children and young people under the age of 21 years. According to The South African Children’s Act No. 38 of 2005, a paediatric patient limit is 12 years of age.

Behavioural management
Possibly the most challenging age group for the paediatric dentist to work with is children between 15 months and six years of age. Dealing with the anxiety levels of paediatric patients is one of the most demanding circumstances in the dental practice, making the
undertaking of helping uncooperative children in need of dental care extremely challenging, if not impossible at times. Two of the main strategies used to deal with anxious children are behaviour management and sedation. Usually, when behaviour techniques are no longer sufficient to manage the child, the help of pharmacological substances is sought.

The dental team can educate children, as well as manage and alleviate their anxiety with suitable mechanisms aimed at eliciting appropriate and cooperative behaviour while in the dental chair. Excellent behaviour management facilitates safe, efficient and better quality treatment by the oral health team.

Children understand and react to painful stimuli in their own individual ways. Additionally, children under the age of four years are susceptible to the experience of painful stimuli, and are usually not able to verbalise their fears. Their reactions can be influenced by listening to older children's inaccurate descriptions of negative experiences, as they too do not always understand their experiences or do not communicate these appropriately. A child's behaviour can also be negatively affected by an anxious or nervous parent. Communication is the most important obstacle to overcome. Educating and, in essence, managing the parent before the child's first dental visit is of utmost importance. Establishing communication is the main goal for behaviour guidance; this alleviates fear and lessens anxiety. Building an undoubting connection will therefore promote a positive attitude between parent, child and the dental health provider. This connection will set the ground work for a trusted relationship, allowing the child to be treated and handled.

Methods used by dental professionals to manage dental nervousness are principally designed to avoid unlikable and unproductive meetings with the paediatric patient. The aim is to create an atmosphere in which one can develop the child's self-confidence, thus allowing the dental oral professional to carry out procedures while limiting disruptions.

Various behaviour management techniques are employed to help prepare young children for dental procedures. Examples of behaviour management techniques are tell-show-do, positive reinforcement, modelling, behaviour shaping, desensitisation and alternative techniques (flexible control, distraction and memory re-structuring). Techniques used to improve communication with young children include distraction, non-verbal communication, voice control, tell-show-do, positive reinforcement, parental presence/absence, and protective stabilisation. To encourage the child to act in a more suitable manner in the dental clinic, the sole use of behavioural management techniques is preferred. However, this is not always possible – especially when working with fearful or anxious children, or with those who have a disability that inhibits them from cooperating with the dental team.

Sedation is often needed in paediatric dentistry when the patient presents with behavioural impairment, angst and apprehension to any dental procedures, which prohibits the dental team to commence with treatment. Sedation in paediatrics therefore becomes advisory when behavioural strategies alone have failed or are contra-indicated.

The sedation of children for the delivery of dental care has been successfully executed using different drug regimens. Currently, these are the most popular sedation methods used by paediatric dentists:

- Nitrous oxide inhalation sedation with oxygen alone
- Midazolam (benzodiazepine) alone, or
- A combination of both drugs.

In addition, in-office sedation is cost-effective and safer than conscious sedation and general anaesthesia. Other options include Dexmedetomidine hydrochloride (Orion Pharma, Turku, Finland) α2-adrenergic agonist, CHLORAL HYDRATE (Pocral®, Hanlim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Seoul, Korea) inhibitory action on the cerebral hemisphere of central nerve system and General anaesthesia (The alternative of oral sedation for paediatric dental care).

**Nitrous oxide**

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) gas, commonly referred to as laughing gas, is a method used for sedation. N₂O₂ presents itself as an odourless and colourless gas. The technique is effective because it results in a pleasurable feeling, which in turn relaxes the patient. The drug is fast acting, and its effects can be rapidly and effortlessly reversed when needed. For this reason, it is considered to be a safe method of sedation.

**Midazolam**

Midazolam is a short-acting benzodiazepine derivative used to produce sleepiness or drowsiness and to relieve anxiety before surgery or certain procedures. When Midazolam is used before surgery, the patient will not remember some of the details about the procedure.

### SEDATION

Most of the reviewed articles only focused on one type of sedation (i.e. either nitrous oxide gas or Midazolam), and not on the combination of nitrous oxide gas and Midazolam. This study specifically looked at those reviews covering the combination of the two sedation

---

**Table 1. The effect of different types of sedation on children**

| Responsiveness | Minimal sedation anxiolysis | Moderate sedation/Analgesia (‘conscious sedation’) | Deep sedation / Analgesia | General anaesthesia |
|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Airway         | Unaffected                   | No intervention required                         | Intervention may be required | Intervention often required |
| Spontaneous ventilation | Unaffected                  | Adequate                                         | May be inadequate          | Frequently inadequate |
| Cardiovascular function | Unaffected                   | Usually maintained                               | Usually maintained         | May be impaired      |

---

**Table 1:**

- **Responsiveness:** Normal response to verbal stimulation
- **Airway:** Unaffected
- **Spontaneous ventilation:** Unaffected
- **Cardiovascular function:** Unaffected
- **Minimal sedation anxiolysis:** Purposeful response to verbal or tactile stimulation
- **Moderate sedation/Analgesia (‘conscious sedation’):** Following repeated or painful stimulation
- **Deep sedation / Analgesia:** Unarousable even with painful stimulus
- **General anaesthesia:**
methods in order to inform the general dentist, chair assistant and specialist about the outcomes of combining two sedation methods in case of more challenging paediatric patients.

Sedation, which is the consequence of a down regulation of state, is short of anaesthetic sleep. Ideally, sedation produces sleepiness or drowsiness with euphoria and muscular relaxation, but not full anaesthetic sleep. A short time after administration, the agent should be effectively absorbed. The rapid effect of sedation allows treatment to begin almost immediately. The agent should be able to be promptly reversed, allowing for a speedy recovery.

Anxiety tends to lessen the pain threshold. Hence, it is imperative to have an agent that has a sedative effect as well as an anxiolytic effect. Amnesia is a welcome side-effect for sedation and for sedative drugs. The General Dental Council (GDC) describes sedation as: ‘A technique, of depressing the central nervous system by a drug, allowing for execution of planned treatment to be done whilst maintaining lingual communion throughout the duration of sedation. The sedation level should allow for the reflex behaviours to be maintained to protect the airway specifically the pharynx from aspiration, allow the patient to remain conscious and be able to comprehend and react to spoken instructions. Many different drugs have been employed to effectively sedate paediatric patients during dental care treatment.

One of the more favourable sedation techniques used by paediatric dentists is the combined use of the inhalation of nitrous oxide (N₂O) and oxygen (O₂), as a sedation alone or, in combination with Midazolam. Moderate sedation can be successfully administered in the clinical management of a paediatric dental patient, with both intranasal and oral sedation using Midazolam in combination with nitrous oxide gas.

SEDATION IN THE PAEDODONTICS PRACTICE

Team effort and discussions are required to decide whether or not to sedate a paediatric patient. Decisions about which sedation technique to use, the mode of delivery and the type of agent depend on the suitability of the sedation techniques for that child and caregiver. General anaesthesia (GA) in paediatric patients is always risky for the following reasons:

- Children have a somewhat larger epiglottis and tongue
- The head-to-body ratio of children
- Children have a different (higher) metabolic rate compared to adults
- Children’s adenoid mass
- Children’s anterior and higher vocal cords
- Children have a smaller, less developed and retrognathic mandible
- Children have a smaller lung capacity
- Children have less oxygen reserves, so they can desaturate more quickly than adults do.

An effective drug should assist with anxiety management (anxiolysis) using minimal sedation and allowing patients to respond naturally and routinely to verbal commands. Cardiovascular and upper respiratory functions should remain unaffected. However, cognitive function and physical coordination may be impaired.

PATIENT SELECTION

When selecting a specific form of sedation, the pre-operative evaluation should comprise a meticulous medical history (including all chronic and acute medication) and dental history, prior hospitalisations and operations. In addition, according to the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA), physical status classification ought to be determined as part of the patient’s assessment. Table II below summarises the ASA classification.

| Scale | Description                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Class I | Normal healthy patients                          |
| Class II | Patients with mild systemic disease              |
| Class III | Patients with severe systemic disease that is limiting but not incapacitating |
| Class IV | Patients with incapacitating disease that is a constant threat to life |
| Class V | Patients not expected to live more than 24 hours |

Paediatric patients who fall under ASA Class I or Class II (see Table III) are deemed fit to receive sedation in a general paediatric practice. Those in Classes III to V should be treated in a hospital environment. Should the child have a history of recent respiratory illnesses or common infections, then the airway, weight and vital signs should be assessed before general anaesthesia is administered.

The employment of basic monitoring devices such as a pulse oximeter and blood pressure cuff is somewhat mandatory for lighter sedation techniques and highly recommended.

PATIENT MONITORING

Regulatory bodies and professional associations with advanced technology have provided the framework for the safe and effective practice of patient sedation. Clinicians’ sedation techniques in earlier times were largely unregulated, usually only relying on direct clinical findings such as physical rate of respiration and signs of cyanosis to assess the sedated patient. Safety increased when regular monitoring became normal practice. Observation includes the use of a pulse oximeter for the electronic monitoring of blood oxygen saturation levels, pulse and blood pressure. An oxygen saturation level of 90% or below should be seen as alarming for a 4- to 6-year-old patient undergoing a dental procedure (a level of 95 O₂ and above is acceptable).

INHALATION SEDATION

The technique of inhalation sedation analgesia using nitrous oxide gas can be used to control pain. Nitrous oxide gas is a weak anaesthetic agent that is very useful in alleviating anxiety in patients. The use of N₂O is safe and effective for children who are anxious but cooperative. It is a reasona-
bly simple technique to use as an adjunct to dental care, assisting patients to accept routine operative dental care. The acceptance of the mask can be made more favourable when lending out the mask to the patient and parents to role-play at home. This makes inhalation sedation a safe and relatively easy technique to use. In addition, inhalation sedation has a quick induction and it is completely reversible, with a short recovery time. Nitrous oxide gas can be titrated to the desired level of sedation. Contra-indications include, but are not limited to, a blocked nose, severe psychosis, cystic fibrosis, chronic upper airway obstructions (due to large adenoids), intercommunication problems, reluctant patients, pregnancy and acute respiratory tract infections. Nausea is often induced due to fluctuating concentrations of N₂O₂ resulting in alternative mouth and nose breathing. Patients receiving nitrous oxide sedation may continue with their normal daily routines upon discharge from the practice or clinic.

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) inhalation sedation is used by 85% of paedodontics for dental sedation. However, it has a proven high-technique sensitivity and as a single-drug sedation it has a low potency. In order to overcome the shortcomings of both drug routes, i.e. Midazolam and N₂O, the N₂O inhalation technique is frequently used in combination with oral medications.

ORAL SEDATION

Oral sedation is one of the most popular routes due to the ease of administration for most children. Benzodiazepines, Midazolam, chloral hydrate, ketamine, hydroxyzine, Promazine and Fentanyl are commonly used drugs in this context. The popularity and usage of Midazolam has increased over the past decade due to its safety record and short-acting nature. It allows for relatively quick recuperation and for the almost immediate safe discharge of the patient. The oral dosage of 0.3 to 0.7mg/kg, and a maximum dose of 10mg for older children, is used.

An example of an ideal situation is the following:

- **Child:** aged 6 to 8 years
- **ASA classification:** 1 or 2
- **Type of procedures:** short procedures
- **BMI:** should be ideal
- **Discharge:** Caregiver able to look after child after procedure.

Children older than six years may be more difficult to manage due to them being more disinhibited and having more paradoxical reactions while children with a higher BMI may have trouble with airway management. Children should have fasted for a few hours to avoid vomiting after the procedure. A significant limitation of the oral route is that it cannot be titrated accurately as it has a hepatic metabolism route, unlike gas which is easier to titrate.

COMBINATION OF AGENTS

To achieve a deeper form of sedation, nitrous oxide, oxygen and hypnotic agents (benzodiazepines, antihistamines, chloral hydrate and opioids) may be combined. Various research articles have recorded the efficiency and safety of using nitrous oxide with other sedative drugs in children who were uncooperative. Nitrous oxide potentiates the sedative effects of benzodiazepines in sedation. The N₂O mixture enhanced the duration of action of the Midazolam, and this duration was well suited to the dental environment. Although successful sedation events were established, an elevated occurrence of overdose was associated due to adverse sedation events when combinations of drugs were administered.

In children with cerebral palsy, Valium can be used as its side-effects include drowsiness due to its antihistamine properties. The draw-back is its long half-life.

In the 2018 article by Bourke and Phadraig, it was found that nitrous oxide and Midazolam as sedative agents were uniformly safe and effective for the use in healthy young children in a hospital setting. Both trials on nitrous oxide and Midazolam observed no incidence of patients suffering respiratory depression, with a low prevalence of excitatory behaviour. Any desaturation recorded remained inside acceptable clinical limits in all trials. This emphasised the safety of both these techniques. While testing Midazolam and nitrous oxide separately, they found that the Midazolam and nitrous oxide had fairly identical results relating to behaviour management and sedation. However, in a

---

Table III: Description of Class I and Class II of ASA’s physical status classification

| Class | Original |
|-------|----------|
| I     | No organic pathology or patients in whom the pathological process is localized and does not cause any systemic disturbance or abnormality. Examples: This includes patients suffering with fractures unless shock, blood loss, emboli or systemic signs of injury are present in an individual who would otherwise fall in Class I. It includes congenital deformities unless they are causing systemic disturbance, infections that are localized and do not cause fever, many osseous deformities and uncomplicated hernias are included. Any type of operation may fall in this class since only the patient’s physical condition is considered. |
| II    | A moderate but definite systemic disturbance caused either by the condition that is to be treated or surgical intervention or which is caused by other existing pathological processes forms this group. Examples: Mild diabetes. Functional capacity I or IIa. Psychotic patients unable to care for themselves. Mild acidosis. Anemia moderate. Septic or acute pharyngitis. Chronic sinusitis with postnasal discharge. Acute sinusitis, minor or superficial infections that cause a systemic reaction. (If there is a no systemic reaction, fever malaise, leukocytosis etc. add in classifying). Nontoxic adenoma of thyroid that causes partial respiratory obstruction. Mild thyrotoxicosis. Acute osteomyelitis (early). Chronic osteomyelitis. Pulmonary tuberculosis with involvement of pulmonary tissue insufficient to embarrass activity and without other symptoms. |
In a Drug Bank study, 0.5 mg/kg Midazolam, 1 mg/kg Promethazine and 50% N2O/50% oxygen were administered, which was in line with previous investigations where the subjects presented with amnesic effects. Adding N2O is permitted with all sedation methods, leading to increased sedation and improved oxygen delivery to the patient. A concentration of 50% N2O/50% oxygen provides a safe anxiolytic/analgaeic effect by activating the opioid and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors. Preceding researchers advocated 0.5 mg/kg Midazolam, as no difference was seen in sedating efficacy of 0.5, 0.75, and 1 mg/kg Midazolam and some side-effects were reported with 1 mg/kg Midazolam.

CHALLENGING ROLE OF DENTAL ANAESTHESIA

Children’s behaviour in the dental office is becoming more difficult to manage, which is aggravated by parents becoming increasingly particular about their child’s experience and interfering with treatment. In addition, sedation dental practitioners face considerable challenges to achieve the described levels of child-centred care.

CONCLUSION

Providing superior dental care to young paediatric patients can be challenging. Preserving the child’s trust by providing minimal traumatic treatment is the main objective of paediatric dental sedation and treatment. To specifically create a high standard for safety and to incorporate this into the clinical practice, practitioners should strive to reduce patient risk by vigilantly selecting patients who are medically sound for sedation.

Two of the main strategies used to deal with anxious children are behaviour management and sedation. Usually, when behaviour techniques are no longer sufficient to manage the child, the help of pharmacological substances is sought. A critical review of journal articles on the use of nitrous oxide (N2O) and oxygen (O2), as a sedation alone, or in combination with Midazolam, was therefore undertaken. The findings suggest that, in order to achieve a deeper form of sedation, the combination of nitrous oxide, oxygen and a hypnotic agent such as Midazolam works well, allowing paediatric patients to tolerate unpleasant procedures by reducing discomfort, anxiety and/or pain.

It is anticipated that the need for safe dental sedation will grow in the future, given the parents’ preference and the towering incidence of paediatric dental disease.
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