New insights on the effects of ionic liquid structural changes at the gene expression level: Molecular mechanisms of toxicity in *Daphnia magna*
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**ABSTRACT**

Knowledge on the molecular basis of ionic liquids’ (ILs) ecotoxicity is critical for the development of these designer solvents as their structure can be engineered to simultaneously meet functionality performance and environmental safety. The molecular effects of ILs were investigated by using RNA-sequencing following *Daphnia magna* exposure to imidazolium- and cholinium-based ILs: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C<sub>2</sub> mim]<sub>Cl</sub>), 1-dodecyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C<sub>12</sub> mim]<sub>Cl</sub>) and cholinium chloride ([Chol]<sub>Cl</sub>); the selection allowing to compare different families and cation alkyl chains. ILs shared mechanisms of toxicity focusing e.g. cellular membrane and cytoskeleton, oxidative stress, energy production, protein biosynthesis, DNA damage, disease initiation. [C<sub>12</sub> mim]<sub>Cl</sub> and [C<sub>2</sub> mim]<sub>Cl</sub> were the least and the most toxic ILs at the transcriptional level, denoting the role of the alkyl chain as a driver of ILs toxicity. Also, it was reinforced that [Chol]<sub>Cl</sub> is not devoid of environmental hazardous potential regardless of its argued biological compatibility. Unique gene expression signatures could also be identified for each IL, enlightening specific mechanisms of toxicity.

**1. Introduction**

Ionic liquids (ILs) are a broad group of salts with low melting points that firstly emerged as “green” solvents by being more efficient, effective and environmentally friendly than traditional solvents (Plechkova and Seddon, 2008; Pawłowska et al., 2019). Ionic liquids have been gaining attention because of their design character - they exhibit distinct physical and chemical properties depending upon their chemical structure; thus their design can be tuned for a specific application (Plechkova and Seddon, 2008). Due to nearly unlimited possibilities of IL structures by cation and anion selection and functionalization, their range of applications has been broadening from their traditional use as designer solvents in organic reactions to fields as diverse as electronics, polymers, nanomaterials, biomass processing, spectroscopy, optics, lubricants, fuels, and refrigerants (Kubisa, 2004; Plechkova and Seddon, 2008). Such a broad range of applications will likely lead to a massive increase in their industrial use, as supported by the exponential rise in the field’s publications and patents (Pawłowska et al., 2019; Shamshina and Rogers, 2020). This widespread use will result in ILs acting as environmental pollutants by originating in industrial post-production waste, discharge of untreated or ineffectively treated wastewater and accidental spills occurring during processing or transportation (Zhao et al., 2007; Amde et al., 2015). As the legislation for commercializing new chemicals is now stringent (e.g. see REACH; EC Regulation no. 1907/2006), the optimization of ILs’ technical performance needs to run in parallel with the minimization of negative environmental impacts (Ventura et al., 2013; Amde et al., 2015).

Despite being initially touted as “green” solvents, ILs are not devoid of toxicity and can pose a threat to human health and lead to negative environmental effects to aquatic biota (Romero et al., 2008; Thuy Pham et al., 2010; Cvjetko Bubalo et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2015; Macário et al., 2020). Ionic liquids toxicity depends on their specific structure and molecular architecture, cation and anion cores and physical and chemical properties (Matzke et al., 2013; Cvjetko Bubalo et al., 2014). The increased knowledge regarding the toxicity of ILs lead to the establishment of the so-called heuristic rules of design, i.e. general trends when designing ILs towards better performance regarding toxicity and biodegradability, the most paradigmatic example being that...
longer alkyl chains increase ILs toxicity (Matzke et al., 2013; Cvjetko Bubalo et al., 2014). However, several exceptions to the heuristic rules have been found, with literature presenting contradictory results as well as data gaps concerning the biological effects and mechanistic aspects of ILs toxicity (Santos et al., 2015; Heckenbach et al., 2016; Parajo et al., 2019). Thus, the development of truly environmental friendlier ILs can benefit from clarifying structure–ecotoxicity relationships and unveiling the molecular basis of ILs toxicity (Ventura et al., 2013; Heckenbach et al., 2016; Parajo et al., 2019). Next-generation sequencing technologies have already been employed to address biotechnological exploitation of fungi and bacteria using ILs (Khudyakov et al., 2012; Alves et al., 2016), but RNA-sequencing (high-throughput, high-speed and high-sensitivity technique), enabling the quantification of gene expression across the whole transcriptome, can be used to broadly uncovering of mechanisms of toxic action while contributing to the environmental risk assessment of these compounds (Ozsolak and Milos, 2011; Han et al., 2015).

Imidazolium- and cholinium-based ILs are amongst the most studied and important families of ILs. Imidazolium-based ILs have widespread applications in different industries, e.g. lubricants, solvents for synthesis, separation and purification processes, drug synthesis and drug delivery systems (Egorova et al., 2017; Ventura et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2019), but they can be toxic for human cells and aquatic organisms, with longer alkyl chains typically inducing higher toxic effects (Bernet et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2009; Ventura et al., 2013; Bubalo et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Cholinium-based ILs show a range of foreseen applications, including their use as solvents for biocatalysis and biomass conversion, biopolymer science, separation and purification processes (Garcia et al., 2010; Egorova et al., 2017; Ventura et al., 2017). Despite the higher “biocompatibility” and biodegradability of cholinium-based ILs that contribute to a supposed lower environmentally hazardous potential compared to imidazolium-based ILs, experimental studies already highlighted the toxic potential of some of these structures (Sinha et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2015; Mena et al., 2020). Although it has been proposed that cholinium-based ILs exhibit different mechanisms of toxicity than imidazolium-based ILs, these mechanisms remain mildly studied (Ventura et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2015; Heckenbach et al., 2016). Yet, molecular studies revealed that the toxicity of ILs relies predominately on cell membrane damage and oxidative stress (Thuy Pham et al., 2010; Costa et al., 2017). In fact, ILs can bind and interact with the cell membrane, possibly leading to cell permeability changes and the affectation of cell integrity that ultimately can result in cell death, as well as promote the entrance of ILs into the cytoplasm, thereby exacerbating negative cellular effects (Hartmann et al., 2015; Galluzzi et al., 2018). Above all, these effects seem to result from oxidative stress, as production of reactive oxygen species (ROS; e.g. O$_2•$, OH•, and H$_2$O$_2$) has been reported in different species due to ILs exposure (Kumar et al., 2011; Du et al., 2014). Downstream consequences such as the inefficiency of the antioxidant system in mitigating ROS insult following exposure to ILs has also been shown, including further membrane damage, lipid peroxidation, mitochondria impairment and DNA damage (Cvjetko Bubalo et al., 2014; Du et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2017). Ultimately, ILs-related oxidative stress was already linked to apoptosis, i.e. programmed cell death (Ranne et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012; Martins et al., 2013). Changes in the structure and function of different cell organelles, such as the mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum and chloroplasts, have also been reported as direct effects of ILs and/or the indirect effect of ROS damage (Liu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2018; Xia et al., 2018).

Taking the above into account, the general aim of this study was to understand how the design of imidazolium- and cholinium-based ILs can translate into differential mechanisms of toxicity at the molecular level through RNA-sequencing (i.e. covering for most of the theoretically possible mechanisms of toxicity triggered at the transcriptomic level). Daphnia magna was used as a model species. Due to its key role in freshwater food webs decisively supporting the structure and function of freshwater ecosystems (Hall et al., 1976; Carpenter et al., 1985; Lampert, 2006), and proven sensitivity to a wide range of environmental contaminants, this species was established as a model in different fields, including ecotoxicology and consequently the setting of regulatory standards for environmental protection (Lampert, 2006; Colbourne et al., 2011; Miner et al., 2012). By assessing gene expression patterns following D. magna exposure to three judiciously selected ILs – 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C$_2$ mim][Cl]), 1-dodecyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C$_{12}$ mim][Cl]) and cholinium chloride ([Chol][Cl]), we specifically aimed at assessing and comparing: (i) the effect of the elongation of the alkyl chain of the cation by confronting [C$_2$ mim][Cl] with [C$_{12}$ mim][Cl], which are extremes within the most common representatives of imidazolium-based ILs bearing a similar cation structure and the same anion regarding the alkyl chain length but also, and consequently, expected environmental toxicity in general; (ii) the effects of different cations ([C$_2$ mim][Cl] vs. [Chol][Cl]) in modulating toxicity mechanisms.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

The imidazolium-based ILs used in this experiment, i.e. 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C$_2$ mim][Cl]; CAS 65039-09-0) and 1-dodecyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride ([C$_{12}$ mim][Cl]; CAS 114569-84-5) were acquired from IoLife (Germany), bearing > 98% purity. Cholinium chloride ([Chol][Cl]; CAS 67-48-1) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, also bearing > 98% purity. For the exposures, stock solutions of each IL were prepared in the appropriate D. magna culture medium (ASTM hard water [ASTM, 1980]) and test solutions were prepared by direct dilution. Chemicals used to prepare the culture medium were of analytical grade.

2.2. D. magna culturing and exposure levels establishment

Monoclonal cultures of Daphnia magna (clone Beak) have been reared in our laboratory for more than 50 generations, in ASTM hard water medium enriched with vitamins and supplemented with an organic additive (Ascomycum nodosum extract) (Baird et al., 1989), under a temperature of 20 ± 2°C and a 16 h/8 h light/dark photoperiod provided by cool fluorescent white lights. The culture medium is renewed and organisms are fed three times a week with concentrated suspensions of Raphidocelis subcapitata (3 × 10$^5$ cells mL$^{-1}$), which is cyclically cultured in Woods Hole MBL (Stein, 1973). In order to define exposure levels for the definitive experiment, standardized acute toxicity tests were run (OECD, 2004). Briefly, D. magna neonates, ageing less than 24 h and born between the 3rd and 5th brood in the bulk cultures, were exposed to a range of concentrations of each IL and a blank control for 48 h, with no food supply and under the same photoperiod and temperature previously described for cultures. Each treatment was set with 4 replicates holding 5 neonates each. Immobilization was recorded at the end of each test and the effective concentration causing 20% mortality (EC$_{20}$) was estimated by Probit Analysis: 352.2 mg L$^{-1}$ for [Chol][Cl] (95% CI: 239.4–428.5 mg L$^{-1}$); 126.3 mg L$^{-1}$ for [C$_2$ mim][Cl] (95% CI: 110.1–138.8 mg L$^{-1}$); 2.270 × 10$^{-3}$ mg L$^{-1}$ for [C$_{12}$ mim][Cl] (95% CI: 1.794 × 10$^{-2}$ mg L$^{-1}$–2.668 × 10$^{-2}$ mg L$^{-1}$).

2.3. D. magna experiment for gene expression analysis

Before starting the experiment, dedicated bulk cultures were established for a synchronized yield of the 3rd brood neonates needed (1440), all strictly ageing less than 10 h old to avoid age-related interference in gene expression patterns. These neonates were then randomly assigned to 12 glass vessels comprising three replicates for each of the four treatments, i.e. control (blank ASTM medium) and EC$_{20}$ of [Chol][Cl], [C$_2$ mim][Cl] and [C$_{12}$ mim][Cl]. Each replicate contained a total of 120 neonates in 500 mL of test solution, and the exposure lasted for exactly
48 h with no food addition, under the same temperature and photoperiod conditions as previously described for cultures. After exposure, active organisms were randomly collected from each replicate into RNAlater® for further storage at –80 °C.

2.4. RNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing

As appropriate amounts of RNA were not available from single individuals, pools of 90 individuals collected from each replicate were used for extraction; this approach has been followed in several other studies. Organisms were homogenized with a disposable pestle before RNA extraction with the RNeasy kit and Qiashredder by Qiagen (Venlo, Netherlands) following the manufacturer’s protocol. After extraction, the quality and concentration of the RNA were assessed by using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA); RNA integrity was assessed in a 1% agarose TAE gel electrophoresis stained with Green Safe Premium (NZYTech, Portugal) and visualized under UV light. RNA samples passing this preliminary quality check and further quality analysis (RIN ≥ 7, input of ≥ 1 μg total RNA and free of contaminating DNA) were sequenced (STABvida, Portugal). The library construction of cDNA libraries was carried out using the Kapa Stranded mRNA Library Preparation Kit (Kapa Biosystems) and the generated DNA fragments were sequenced in an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform, using 150 bp paired-end sequencing reads.

2.5. Bioinformatics and data analysis

On average, 5.2 × 10^7 ± 7.6 × 10^6 reads were generated per sample. First, the quality of the reads was analyzed with FastQC (version 0.11.9, Babraham Bioinformatics). Then, reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic (version 0.39) (Bolger et al., 2014) and the success of this task was validated by re-running reads quality analysis in FastQC. Processed sequencing reads were deposited in GEO and are available under the accession number: GSE156769. The cleaned reads were mapped against the reference transcriptome of D. magna (Orsini et al., 2016) using the STAR aligner, version 2.7.3a (Dobin et al., 2013). HTseq-count (Anders et al., 2015) in the intersection-nonempty mode (for a full picture on overlapping gene models and thus ambiguity level of each mapping strategy) was used for reads counting.

The dataset was filtered to discard genes with less than 1 cpm in at least two samples. This resulted in 15,115 quantifiable genes, which were normalized to correct for compositional bias among samples, using the trimmed mean of M-values (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010). Exploratory unsupervised clustering analysis of gene expression based on log-fold-change and considering all samples was first run, and summarized in a multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) plot to examine intra-treatment consistency among replicates and to gain an overview of the magnitude of the expectable differences among ILs in gene expression patterns. A GLM approach with specific contrasts (quasi-likelihood, QL, F-test) was used to test for differential gene expression among treatments: (i) control vs. each IL treatment to address its effects; (ii) [C2 mim]Cl vs. [Chol]Cl to address the effects of the cation; (iii) [C2 min]Cl vs. [C12 mim]Cl to address the effects of the elongation of the alkyl chain. Accounting for multiple testing errors, significant differences were assigned at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%. For each contrast, differentially expressed genes were annotated (Orsini et al., 2016) and KEGG enrichment analysis (Subramanian et al., 2005) followed through the Fisher’s exact test to identify significantly over-represented ontologies, gene families and gene pathways.

Unless stated otherwise, data analysis was run in R version 3.6.1 (Team, 2019), using the Edget package (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010).

3. Results and discussion

In this study, we exposed D. magna to concentrations found equitoxic at the individual level (immobilization EC20). These EC20 estimates (see EC20 values detailed in Section 2.2) show that, considering an integrative endpoint at the individual level, [Chol]Cl and [C12 mim]Cl are the least and the most toxic ILs, as well as that [C2 mim]Cl is much more toxic than [C2 mim]Cl at the individual level. Thus, toxicity patterns at the individual level agree with the previously postulated heuristic rules relating structure and ecotoxicity of ILs by confirming the influence of the elongation of the alkyl chain of the imidazolium cation ([C2 mim]Cl vs. [C12 mim]Cl) as a key driver of toxicity, as well as the higher capacity of the imidazolium cation to cause harm compared to the cholinium cation ([C2 mim]Cl vs. [Chol]Cl) (Ventura et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2015).

3.1. Overall gene expression patterns following exposure to the three tested ILs

When normalizing the exposure to equitoxic levels among all ILs (exposures run at the concentration expected to elicit 20% immobilization of D. magna, i.e. EC20, for all tested ILs), our intent was to reach also equitoxic levels at the molecular level, thus very distinctive gene expression magnitudes among ILs were not expected but rather evidences of distinct mechanisms of toxic action. Instead, we observed clear separate clusters for the three ILs at the molecular level, with [C2 mim]Cl spatially associated with the control with low gene expression levels, while [C12 mim]Cl and [Chol]Cl were clearly teased apart by scaling higher gene expression levels in the first or the second axis, respectively (Fig. 1). This primarily evidences that toxicity ranging depends on the focused endpoint and that toxicity at the individual level, necessarily reflecting the integration of multiple cellular and organismal processes, is not necessarily a direct translation of toxicity at lower levels of biological organization, such as the transcriptional level. On the other hand, it supports the alkyl chain effect at the molecular level as the exposure to [C12 mim]Cl affected gene expression more pronouncedly than exposure to [C2 mim]Cl (Fig. 1) even though at the organismal level the exposure was equitoxic for the endpoint immobility. It is well established as a heuristic rule for ILs toxicity that the increase of the cation alkyl chain length provokes higher toxicity (‘side-chain’ effect) for a wide variety of endpoints (e.g. survival, reproduction, behavior) in different species and in D. magna responding to imidazolium-based ILs in particular (Bernot et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2009; Ventura et al., 2013; Bubalo et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). This is because the elongation of the alkyl chain increases the lipophilicity and/or hydrophobicity of ILs, which in turn determines a higher capacity to disrupt the cell membrane and its permeability (Mendonca et al., 2018), eventually allowing IL accumulation in the cytoplasm and consequently promoting intracellular mechanisms of toxicity (Ranke et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012; Cook 2015).

Fig. 1. Summary (two-dimensional MDS plot) of the similarity analysis among all RNA replicated samples obtained following exposure of D. magna to [Chol] Cl, [C2 mim]Cl, [C12 mim]Cl and a blank control, based on normalized gene expression levels (log-fold-change, logFC).
et al., 2019). The marked difference between the expression profiles of the control and [C12mim]Cl was expected as it is in line with the high toxic potential of this IL to Daphnia (Wells and Coombe, 2006) and with what was concomitantly observed herein, i.e. by lowest EC20 concentration by several orders of magnitude among the three ILs (see Section 2.2).

On the other hand, and although generally in line with the heuristic rule defining that the cation has a prominent role in defining ILs toxicity, the higher relative impact found at the molecular level of [Chol]Cl compared to [C2mim]Cl was somewhat unexpected, given that [Chol]Cl exhibited the lowest toxicity at the individual level, with an EC20 estimate three times higher than that of [C2mim]Cl (see Section 2.2). It has been noticed that not all choline-based ILs are devoid of environmental hazardous potential although these ILs have been typically touted as safer than ILs belonging to other families, and [Chol]Cl is amongst the least toxic ILs of the cholinium family (Ventura et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2015; Sintra et al., 2017). Its lower toxicity compared to [C2mim]Cl has been evidenced, for example (i) for D. magna, by a three-fold higher 48-h immobilization EC20 (present study; Section 2.2); (ii) concerning the bioluminescence of Aliivibrio fischeri (Munoz et al., 2015) and (iii) regarding antimicrobial activity (Petkovic et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2014). Remarkably, as far as we could identify in the literature, the comparison between these two ILs regarding ecotoxicity is limited to acute/short-term exposures. It is reasonable to hypothesize that mechanisms of toxicity identified at the transcriptional level following exposure to both ILs translate into effects at the individual level at different paces. Because gene expression patterns have been recognized as early-warning biomarkers of exposure (Piña et al., 2007), our profile for [Chol]Cl may highlight mechanisms of toxicity (see below) that would only be pictured at the individual level following our profile for [Chol]Cl may highlight mechanisms of toxicity (see below) that would only be pictured at the individual level following exposure to [Chol]Cl as to their relative toxicity. Regardless of this hypothesized revolution in the current understanding of the environmental safety of the cholinium cation compared to imidazolium counterparts, the distinctive gene expression patterns of [Chol]Cl and [C2mim]Cl obtained herein clearly support previous claims that cholinium-based ILs exhibit different mechanisms of toxicity than imidazolium-based ILs (Ventura et al., 2014; Sharma and Mukhopadhyay, 2018).

Consistently with the general patterns regarding expression levels above, quantitative analysis of differentially expressed genes relative to the control reveals a dramatic increase in numbers when comparing exposure to [C2mim]Cl with exposure to [C12mim]Cl and [Chol]Cl treatments (Table 1). This supports that [C2mim]Cl bears the lowest potential to induce transcriptional changes in D. magna, apparently confirming the inconsistency of the picture touting cholinium cations as environmentally safer than imidazolium cations. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that [Chol]Cl exposure presented the highest number of genes differentially expressed from the control (Table 1). In agreement, gene ontology, family and pathway significant enrichment trends were most responsive to [C12mim]Cl (Table 1), thus further reinforcing the following relative toxicity ordering at the transcriptional level: [C2mim]Cl < [Chol]Cl ≪ [C12mim]Cl.

### 3.2. Gene expression patterns shared by the three tested ILs: common routes of toxic action

In spite of the differences in the gene expression profiles induced by the tested ILs, 242 genes significantly differentially expressed compared to the control were shared by the three of them (Fig. 2), these being the genes likely marking the general molecular mechanisms of ILs toxicity. However, the enrichment analysis revealed that none gene families or metabolic pathways were shared by the three ILs (Fig. 3). Three gene ontologies were shared by the three ILs treatments (Fig. 2) but no significant enrichments occurred at this level, with underrepresentation being rather observed (see Table 2). Based on similar transcriptomic responses observed in the exposure of Daphnia to different stressors (Asselman et al., 2012; De Coninck et al., 2014), as well as on the toxicity mechanisms reported in literature for ILs (see Introduction), we expected effects on genes involved in membrane disruption, ROS handling, mitochondrial metabolism and protein synthesis to be shared by the three ILs. Our results are consistent with this expectation, especially at the differential expression level.

Membrane disruption is the mechanism most commonly reported for ILs toxicity (this is not so straightforward for [Chol]Cl; (Mendoza et al., 2018)), as these compounds typically have the primary capacity of attacking the double-layered lipid structure of the membrane by binding, inserting and disrupting it, leading to membrane permeation and damage (Hartmann et al., 2015; Cook et al., 2019). In addition, it has been reported that ILs act as polar narcotics, thus causing membrane-bound protein disruption, which leads to changes in cell functionality and ultimately cell death (Zhao et al., 2007; Yoo et al., 2016; Bubalo et al., 2017). In agreement, we observed major changes in membrane-related genes (Supplementary Table S1), with numerous being up-regulated (e.g. several transmembrane proteins) and others down-regulated (e.g. Aquaporin-5 and sulfite transporter) as presented in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table S2. This indicates that the exposure to

![Fig. 2. Venn Diagram overlapping the number of genes significantly (GLM; FDR level of 0.05) differentially expressed from the control following exposure to [Chol]Cl, [C2mim]Cl and [C12mim]Cl.

| Gene family | [C2mim]Cl vs. [Chol]Cl | [C12mim]Cl vs. [Chol]Cl | [C2mim]Cl vs. [C12mim]Cl |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Genes       | 257                    | 145                     | 2856                     |
| Up-regulated| 112                    | 10                      | 479                      |
| Down-regulated| 3335                  | 44                      | 242                      |
| Gene ontology | 19                    | 12                      | 287                      |
| Gene pathway | 19                    | 12                      | 140                      |
| [Chol]Cl    | 6216                   | 2768                    | 2889                     |

**Table 1** Overview of the differential gene expression resulting from exposure of D. magna to selected ILs ([C2mim]Cl, [C12mim]Cl and [Chol]Cl) compared to the control; the last two columns provide the corresponding view on specific contrasts made to address the postulated heuristic rules for IL toxicity. Detailed in the table is the number of significantly differentially expressed genes within each contrast (GLM; FDR level of 0.05) or the number of over-represented gene ontologies, gene families and gene pathways (Fisher’s exact test; p < 0.01).
the ILs likely provoked major changes in the cell membrane of *Daphnia* (Venkata Nancharaiah et al., 2012; Yoo et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2018), compromising the integrity of cells. The structural integrity of the cell seems further to be impacted by ILs, as denoted by numerous changes in the expression of cytoskeleton-related genes, including the up-regulation of *Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit* gene and down-regulation of genes and factors coding for the depolymerization of filaments (Supplementary Table S1) as well as the significant enrichment of gene ontologies and families (e.g. *Prefoldin subunit*), which may signal the establishment of higher level effects. Supporting this view, the Focal adhesion pathway was significantly enriched following exposure to [C₂₅₃min]Cl and [Chol]Cl (Fig. 3; Table 2), which serves as a mechanical and signaling connection between the actin cytoskeleton and membrane receptors; changes in this pathway have been correlated with cancer and developmental abnormalities (Lang et al., 2006; Korsnes et al., 2007). Therefore, our results agree with previous claims that ILs can modify the rigidity and morphology of the cells, by acting on the physical properties of the outer cell layer, which is linked to the actin cytoskeleton (Galluzzi et al., 2018). Consistently, differential expression and significant enrichment was observed for important molecular features - e.g. gene *neurexin IV* (Supplementary Table S1), gene ontology *Myosin complex* and metabolic pathways *Adherens junction* and *ECM-receptor interaction* (Table 2) - whose biological functions include cell signaling and adhesion, e.g. cell–cell contact and cell-matrix adhesion (Stork et al., 2009).

The formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is known to be a general trigger of ILs toxicity, eventually towards cell membrane damage and cell integrity breakdown (Kumar et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2013; Du et al., 2014). Increased ROS production together with the inhibition (rather than increased activity) of antioxidant enzymes has been observed consistently as a result of exposure of different aquatic organisms to ILs (Yu et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013). We found evidence that the down-regulation of genes encoding for components of these enzymes also occurs at the transcriptional level (Supplementary Table S1), reinforcing that the antioxidant system of *Daphnia* is ineffective in protecting cells from oxidative stress promoted by ILs (Yu et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013) as these compounds do not only cause ROS increase but also directly affect the antioxidant defense of the cells. Increased ROS production is also linked with impacts in several cellular structures, e.g. mitochondria, endoplasmatic reticulum and nucleus, as well as impacts in different pathways, including detoxification and lipids metabolism (Chen et al., 2018; Zeis et al., 2019). Accordingly, we found significant differential expression of the gene *glutathione S-transferase Mu 3* (Supplementary Table S1) following exposure to all tested ILs. Given that the mu class of glutathione S-transferase enzymes is involved in the detoxification of xenobiotics linked with the antioxidant defense activity (Liu et al., 2020), our results suggest the involvement of detoxification mechanisms for coping with IL exposure. Nevertheless, the up-regulation of the gene was observed in the [C₂₅₃min]Cl treatment while its down-regulation was conversely found for both the least toxic ILs ([C₅₃min]Cl and [Chol]Cl) (Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 4).

Major changes in gene expression occurred regarding mitochondrial metabolism, including the differential expression of the *Acyl coa dehydrogenase* gene,encoding for a mitochondrial enzyme that is involved in the breakdown of fatty acid molecules through the β-oxidation pathway, which has been touted to be a key route in the biodegradation of ILs (Ghisl and Thorpe, 2004; Jordan and Gathergood, 2015). Also for *Acyl coa dehydrogenase*, a positive fold change in the expression was observed following exposure to [C₂₅₃min]Cl while [C₅₃min]Cl and [Chol]Cl treatments resulted in the down-regulation of the gene (Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 4). Moreover, differential gene expression shared by the three tested ILs occurred on other mitochondrial genes (e.g. L-2-hydroxylutarate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial and *mitochondrial atp synthase b chain*), possibly resulting in alterations in mitochondrial morphology, membrane polarization and ATP synthesis inhibition (Scammells et al., 2005; Li et al., 2012; Dickinson et al., 2016). Significant enrichment was observed of e.g. gene ontology *Oxidoreductase activity* and metabolic pathway *ATP synthase* (Table 2) supporting the occurrence of higher-level consequences as a result of related gene expression changes. The overall down-regulation of the mitochondrial gene coding for 4-aminobutyrate aminotransferase was also observed (Supplementary Table S1). Similar results were reported by Martins et al. (2013), who found major changes in metabolic processes in two fungi due to exposure to [Chol]Cl and [C₅₃min]Cl. Consistently, we found a down-regulation of the gene coding for lipase (Supplementary Table S1), the enzyme catalyzing lipid hydrolysis (Hasler, 1935). Again, specific regulation analysis denotes that [C₂₅₃min]Cl promoted the up-regulation of these genes, while [C₅₃min]Cl and [Chol]Cl promoted their down-regulation (Fig. 4; Supplementary Table S2).

Protein biosynthesis seems to be another target of ILs in general, as suggested by the differential expression found for genes encoding 40S and 60S proteins and mitochondrial ribosomal proteins (Supplementary Table S1). In *Daphnia*, differential regulation of ribosomes has been previously reported as a general effect of several stressors, including metals, cyanobacterial species and carbamates, at the transcriptional level (Pereira et al., 2010; Vandegehuchte et al., 2010; Asselman et al., 2012). Consistently, specific energy allocation for the purpose of increased protein synthesis was already observed following stressor insult (Asselman et al., 2012). In addition, several genes involved on crustacean molt cycles were down-regulated, namely genes implicated in cuticle construction, collagen formation, chitin processes and carbohydrate dehydratase activity. This suggests that daphnids are increasing the length of their instars and decelerating molting cycles to save energy as a strategy to cope with IL exposure. Both [Chol]Cl and [C₅₃min]Cl promoted the significant enrichment of gene ontologies and families related to cuticle and collagen (Table 2), supporting the likelihood of
Table 2
Gene ontologies (GO), families and pathways significantly (Fisher Test; p < 0.01; actual p-value shown in columns) enriched compared to the control following exposure to each tested IL. Annotations retrieving uninformative or unspecific terms (e.g. uncharacterized proteins) were excluded from this dataset summary.

| Gene ontology                                                                 | [C₅nitrile]CI     | [Chol]CI     | [C₆2nitrile]CI |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| **Shared patterns of enrichment**                                             |                  |              |                |
| Carbohydrate metabolic process                                               | 7.18 × 10⁻²      | 8.52 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Hydrolase activity, hydrolyzing O-glycosyl compound                          | 3.16 × 10⁻¹      | 7.51 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Proteolysis                                                                   | 3.21 × 10⁻¹      | 8.21 × 10⁻⁷  |                |
| Protein kinase activity                                                       | 5.31 × 10⁻³      | 7.15 × 10⁻¹⁰ |                |
| Protein phosphorylation                                                       | 7.44 × 10⁻⁴      | 9.08 × 10⁻¹⁰ |                |
| Protein tyrosine kinase activity                                              | 7.44 × 10⁻⁴      | 2.80 × 10⁻¹⁰ |                |
| Serine-type endopeptidase activity                                            | 1.06 × 10⁻⁴      | 2.28 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Structural constituent of cuticle                                              | 2.45 × 10⁻⁷      | 2.94 × 10⁻³  |                |
| tRNA aminoacylation for protein translation                                   | 9.35 × 10⁻³³     | 3.94 × 10⁻³³ |                |
| **Unique enrichment signatures**                                              |                  |              |                |
| Actin cytoskeleton organization                                               | 3.54 × 10⁻³      | 6.69 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Aminocyt-tRNA ligase activity                                                 | 4.34 × 10⁻⁵      | 3.36 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| ATP binding                                                                   |                  | 2.43 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Carboxypeptidase activity                                                     |                  | 9.70 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Catalytic activity                                                           |                  |                |                |
| Cation binding                                                                |                  |                |                |
| Chitin binding                                                                | 1.10 × 10⁻⁴      | 1.29 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Chitin metabolic process                                                      | 1.28 × 10⁻⁴      |                |                |
| Chromosome organization                                                       | 3.54 × 10⁻³      |                |                |
| Collagen trimmer                                                             |                  |                |                |
| Endopeptidase activity                                                       |                  | 3.36 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Extracellular matrix structural constituent                                   |                  | 2.84 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Extracellular region                                                          | 2.03 × 10⁻³      | 3.36 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Fucosyltransferase activity                                                   |                  |                |                |
| Galactosyltransferase activity                                                |                  | 4.20 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Immune response                                                               | 3.54 × 10⁻³      | 4.50 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Integral component of membrane                                               |                  |                |                |
| Kinase activity                                                               | 4.49 × 10⁻²      | 1.29 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Lipid metabolic process                                                       | 2.65 × 10⁻¹      |                |                |
| Metabolic process                                                             |                  | 4.00 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Metal ion binding                                                             |                  | 3.32 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Metallocarboxypeptidase activity                                              |                  | 3.80 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Metallopeptidase activity                                                     |                  | 4.20 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Methyltransferase activity                                                    |                  | 6.55 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Microtubule motor activity                                                    |                  | 5.02 × 10⁻²  |                |
| Microtubule-based movement                                                    |                  | 5.02 × 10⁻²  |                |
| Motor activity                                                                |                  | 8.23 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Myosin complex                                                                |                  | 8.23 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| NAD (F)₆– protein-arginine ADP-ribosyltransferase activity                    | 3.68 × 10⁻⁴      | 8.03 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| Nucleotide binding                                                            | 2.89 × 10⁻³      |                |                |
| Oxidoreductase activity                                                       |                  | 6.54 × 10⁻²  |                |
| Proteasome core complex                                                       |                  | 8.03 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| Proteasome core complex, alpha-subunit complex                               |                  | 2.84 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Protein ADP-ribosylation                                                      | 1.09 × 10⁻³      |                |                |
| Protein binding                                                               |                  | 3.72 × 10⁻¹⁰ |                |
| Protein glycosylation                                                        |                  | 8.04 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| Protein import                                                               |                  | 6.28 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Protein ubiquitination                                                        |                  | 8.04 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process                    |                  | 8.03 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| Regulation of Rho protein signal transduction                                 | 2.49 × 10⁻⁵      |                |                |
| Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity                                |                  | 2.49 × 10⁻⁵  |                |
| Rho GTPase binding                                                           | 3.54 × 10⁻³      |                |                |
| Ribosome                                                                      |                  | 1.04 × 10⁻¹³ |                |
| Structural constituent of ribosome                                            | 2.12 × 10⁻¹³     | 8.03 × 10⁻⁶  |                |
| Threonine-type endopeptidase activity                                         |                  |                |                |
| Transferase activity, transferring acyl groups                               | 1.34 × 10⁻¹      |                |                |
| Transferase activity, transferring glycosyl groups                            |                  | 4.48 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups                            |                  | 2.00 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Translation                                                                   |                  | 2.59 × 10⁻¹² |                |
| Transmembrane transport                                                       |                  | 1.64 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Transmembrane transporter activity                                            |                  | 1.50 × 10⁻⁴  |                |
| Ubiquitin-protein transferase activity                                        |                  | 5.80 × 10⁻⁹  |                |

Gene family [C₅nitrile]CI [Chol]CI [C₆2nitrile]CI

| Shared patterns of enrichment | [C₅nitrile]CI     | [Chol]CI     | [C₆2nitrile]CI |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Collagen                      | 1.18 × 10⁻⁵      | 8.38 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Cuticle protein                | 1.25 × 10⁻³¹     | 1.35 × 10⁻⁳¹ |                |
| NADH dehydrogenase            | 7.45 × 10⁻⁴      | 2.81 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Pollen-specific lectin-rich repeat extensin protein 1 | 2.14 × 10⁻³ | 8.21 × 10⁻⁴ |                |
| Serine Protease               | 4.23 × 10⁻⁴      | 9.20 × 10⁻³  |                |
| Unique enrichment signatures  |                  |              |                |
| 3H5 ribosomal protein, mitochondrial | 3.64 × 10⁻⁶ |              |                |
| 40S ribosomal protein         |                  | 1.15 × 10⁻¹² |                |

(continued on next page)
higher level effects regarding the molting cycle and consequently growth. It is worth noting that collagens have also important functions for the structural integrity of tissues, and the disturbance of collagen genes can trigger a wide spectrum of diseases (Myllyharju and Kivirikko, 2001).

The repair of DNA and RNA seems to have been triggered by exposure to all ILs (e.g. up-regulation of the gene Alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase alkB; Supplementary Table S1), which may be a response to ROS insult or to direct impact as ILs can enter the cell nucleus and directly damage the DNA (Li et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2013; Jumbri et al., 2020). Programmed cell death occurring as a response to toxic action possibly allows the identification of unique signatures of toxicity at the molecular level.

3.3. Unique gene expression signatures of ILs: specific mechanisms of toxic action

Despite there is this set of 242 genes becoming differentially expressed following exposure to all tested ILs (see above), in a large part opposite regulation trends were observed among the ILs (Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 4). This opposite regulation is particularly evident between [C₄mim]Cl and [Chol]Cl ([C₄mim]Cl, we found 287 genes uniquely differentially expressed among the three ILs. This opposite regulation trend is particularly evident between [C₄mim]Cl and [Chol]Cl (Supplementary Table S2; Fig. 4).

Regarding [C₄mim]Cl, we found 287 genes uniquely differentially expressed from the control as well as the enrichment of the Endoglucanase and Structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) gene families (Table 2; Figs. 2 and 3). The Endoglucanase family of genes is involved in gluconeogenesis, which is an important mechanism of energy production in Daphnia (Nagato et al., 2016) and the SMC family clusters genes involved in the repair of double-stranded breaks in DNA and maintenance of ribosomal DNA stability. The importance of the SMC family to the stress-response of Daphnia is becoming increasingly recognized (Gómez et al., 2016; Hearn et al., 2018), and our results suggest that...
Fig. 4. Heatmap for the fold changes of genes differentially expressed shared by the [Chol]Cl, [C2mim]Cl and [C12mim]Cl treatments. Gene- and treatment- specific fold change values are detailed in Supplementary Table S2.
DNA damage and genomic instability should be important mechanisms of toxicity of \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) towards \textit{Daphnia}. In addition, the expression of several genes related to disease initiation and immune response (e.g. \textit{Metastasis suppressor protein} and \textit{Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1}) (Mansell et al., 2006) changed due to \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) exposure, although the same was observed in the \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) treatment. These specific effects of \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) in gene expression suggest that, despite this IL was the least toxic at the transcriptional level, it may induce higher-level physiological changes in \textit{Daphnia}.

Specific effects of exposure to \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) included 2856 genes exclusively differentially expressed from the control (Fig. 2), as well as the unique significant enrichment of 10 gene ontologies, 6 gene families and 2 pathways (\textit{Nitrogen metabolism}, \textit{ECM-receptor interaction}) (Table 2; Fig. 3). Consistently, and as per the chemical structure of the cholinium cation, the \textit{Carboxyl/cholinesterase} family and several related genes were found uniquely overrepresented and largely down-regulated (Table 2), respectively, which relates to the involvement of the encoded proteins in the metabolic process of choline and related compounds (Wu and Hoy, 2016). Previous studies reported that \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) exposure provided changes in the amino acid metabolism of a fungus species (\textit{Aspergillus nidulans}) as a result of changes occurring in carbon and nitrogen sources (Alves et al., 2016). Accordingly, the \textit{Nitrogen metabolism} pathway was found uniquely enriched following exposure to \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) (Table 2), due to the involvement of choline as a constituent of lipids and the neurotransmitter acetylcholine in \textit{Daphnia} (Jordão et al., 2015; Gómez-Canela et al., 2019). This view is reinforced by the overrepresentation of genes regulating the metabolism of lipids (uniquely enriched gene ontologies: \textit{Lipid metabolic process} and \textit{Transporter activity}, the latter under-represented considering the whole dataset; Table 2). Moreover, the \textit{Extracellular matrix (ECM)}-receptor interaction pathway, which was found uniquely enriched as a response to \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) (Table 2), controls important cellular activities such as adhesion, migration, differentiation, proliferation, apoptosis and also plays an important role in tissue function and organ morphogenesis (Gkretsi and Styanopoulos, 2018). Remarkably, changes in this pathway were demonstrated to occur due to oxidant-antioxidant disturbances (among other stressing scenarios) in the zebrafish, ultimately impairing embryogenesis (Zhang et al., 2017; Zou et al., 2020). Finally, it is worth noting the unique enrichment of the \textit{Chorion peroxidase} gene family promoted by \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) (Table 2). As such genes seem to be important in reproduction, especially in the chorion formation and hardening, this may indicate an early impairment of \textit{D. magna} reproduction due to \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) exposure (Yang et al., 2017). Note that exposure was run during the first instars and way before the onset of egg development.

The exposure to \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) resulted in 2889 genes uniquely differentially expressed and determined the enrichment of 33 gene ontologies, 3 families and 10 pathways (Table 2; Figs. 2 and 3). The molecular and biological functions of these unique genes and enriched features support that \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) can extensively affect the cell membrane and cytoskeleton (e.g. down-regulation of \textit{Cytoskeleton-associated protein} gene and enrichment of the \textit{Regulation of actin cytoskeleton} pathway; Supplementary Table S3 and Table 2), likely resulting in changes in cell morphology, motility and function, ultimately causing cell death and disease development (Bhat et al., 2019). In particular, the enrichment of \textit{Tight and Adherens junctions} pathways evidenced the ability of \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) to interfere with cell proliferation, differentiation and migration, as well as affecting cell-cell contacts (Hartsock and Nelson, 2008; Hartsock et al., 2014). Changes in these pathways have been related to the development of diseases (Hartsock and Nelson, 2008; Bhat et al., 2019). Furthermore, the exposure to \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) promoted changes (unique in some cases) in the expression of numerous ribosomal genes, as well as the enrichment of \textit{Ribosomal} and \textit{Proteasome} pathways (Table 2), suggesting the impairment of protein synthesis and degradation, as well as of essential cellular functions, e.g. cell cycle, cell differentiation or signal transduction (Myung et al., 2001). Such changes are energy demanding, and we consistently observed the overrepresentation of the \textit{Oxidative phosphorylation} pathway (Table 2). Although this scenario is consistent with increased energy production or with the negative effects of ROS in the mitochondria (Dickinson et al., 2016), both understood as general responses to stress, these pathways were not found overrepresented neither following exposure to \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) nor to \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\). Al last, the \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\)-specific effects in gene expression suggest impairment of cell functioning and signaling processes, as well as of the development and function of the nervous system. For instance, the four pathways exclusively enriched following exposure to \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) (Table 2) included the \textit{Gonadotropin-releasing hormone signaling} and \textit{ErbB} (or epidermal growth factor receptor) \textit{signaling} pathways (see Supplementary Table S3 for the differentially expressed genes involved), both playing important roles in e.g. cell growth and survival; changes in these pathways have been linked with cell programmed death and disease initiation (Gondi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012).

Finally, further studies should clarify on the effective occurrence of neurological disorders in \textit{Daphnia} since the significant enrichment of the \textit{Long-term potentiation} (LTP) and \textit{Alzheimer’s disease} (AD) was observed, as well as significant gene expression changes in numerous related genes as detailed in Supplementary Table S4, including the \textit{Serine/threonine-protein kinase mTOR} and Amyloid beta A4 protein genes (Yoshimoto et al., 1995; Ma et al., 2010). Taking into account that \textit{Daphnia} is becoming increasingly recognized as a valid model for the prospect of mammalian and, in particular, human health effects of exposure to chemicals (Siciliano and Gesuele, 2013; Rivetti et al., 2016), these findings are particularly concerning and raise awareness towards potentially severe toxic effects of \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) that have been unnoticed so far.

4. Conclusion

The common mechanisms of toxicity shared by \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\), \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) and \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) towards \textit{Daphnia magna} included cellular membrane and cytoskeleton damage, oxidative stress, inhibition of antioxidant enzymes, mitochondrial impairment, changes in protein biosynthesis and energy production, and DNA damage. Ultimately, these effects should result in programmed cell death (apoptosis) and disease initiation. Despite the presence of common mechanisms, unique gene expression signatures were recognized for each of the three ILs. While the gene expression profiles corresponding to samples exposed to \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) and control were found similar, the exposure to \([\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) affected gene expression more pronouncedly than \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\), thereby highlighting the effect of the alkyl chain as an important driver of ILs toxicity. On the other hand, higher relative impacts at the molecular level were found driven by \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) compared to \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\). The distinctive gene expression patterns of \([\text{Chol}]\text{Cl}\) and \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) emphasize that imidazolium- and cholinium-based ILs can be distinguished by some typical mechanisms of toxicity. In accordance to the general expression patterns, enrichment analysis reinforced the following relative toxicity ordering at the transcriptional level: \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl} < [\text{Chol}]\text{Cl} < [\text{C}12\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\). This supports the view that \([\text{C}2\text{mim}]\text{Cl}\) bears the lowest potential to induce transcriptional changes in \textit{D. magna}, as well as it confirms the inconsistency of the picture generally touting the cholinium family of ILs as environmentally safer than imidazolium-based ILs. Unique signatures of each IL were highlighted since no consistent shared enrichment was found among the tested ILs. Overall, the alkyl chain ecotoxicological effect of ILs was confirmed but the postulated higher toxicity of the imidazolium cation compared to the cholinium cation was not confirmed regarding effects denoted at the gene expression level, thus suggesting that long-term toxicity studies and further comprehensive evaluation of biodegradability are necessary to better frame the suitability of this heuristic rule to assist the prospective environmental risk assessment of ILs. The validation of these findings using other model species, in particular with species representing different trophic or functional levels, would also be an asset for the comprehensive understanding of the environmental hazardous potential.
of imidazolium- and cholinium-based ILs.
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