Interplay of serum hepcidin with female sex hormones, metabolic syndrome, and abdominal fat distribution among premenopausal and postmenopausal women
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Abstract

Background and purpose: Hepcidin is the central regulatory molecule of systemic iron homeostasis. Serum ferritin, insulin resistance (IR) and metabolic syndrome (MetS), female sex hormones, and abdominal fat distribution are related to each other and all are linked to menopausal state. Our study was the first to assess the impact of these parameters on hepcidin level among premenopausal women (group I) during the early follicular phase (group I-F) and mid-luteal-phase (group I-L) of the same reproductive cycle and among postmenopausal women (group II). Serum iron parameters, estrogen, progesterone and hepcidin, and plasma insulin were assessed. Abdominal subcutaneous fat (SCF) and peritoneal visceral fat (PVF) thickness were measured by unenhanced CT. Group I and group II were divided into MetS and non-MetS subgroups.

Results: The entire group II and MetS-stratified subgroups had significant higher hepcidin level than corresponding group I-F and group I-L. Group I-L had significant higher hepcidin than group I-F. Among group I-F and group I-L, and group II, MetS subgroups had higher hepcidin but not hepcidin/ ferritin ratio (H/F) than corresponding non-MetS; and hepcidin had positive correlations with ferritin, insulin, IR, and SCF. In group I-F and group II, hepcidin had positive correlations with estrogen and progesterone; hepcidin levels increase significantly and linearly with increasing number of MetS features; and cut off values of hepcidin for prediction of MetS were 5.8 ≥ and ≥ 10.3 ng/ml respectively. Main contributors to hepcidin were iron and ferritin in all groups, SCF and progesterone in group I-F, and insulin, progesterone, and MetS in group II. H/F ratio was higher in group II.

Conclusion: Postmenopausal state (postMS), MetS, and luteal phase are independently associated with high hepcidin level. Serum iron parameters (iron and ferritin) as main regulators of hepcidin are preserved regardless of menopausal state. Its regulation differs based on menopausal state: IR, MetS, and progesterone in postMS meanwhile abdominal SCF and progesterone in premenopausal states. Despite positive associations of estrogen and progesterone with hepcidin, they do not explain its higher level in postMS. Hepcidin levels linearly increase with number of Mets feature and it had high sensitivity for diagnosis of MetS.
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Background

Hepcidin as the central regulatory molecule of systemic iron homeostasis is secreted predominantly by the hepatocytes and to lesser extent by the adipose tissue. Hepcidin controls cellular iron efflux via binding to the cellular iron exporter ferroportin and inducing its internalization and degradation. Elevated hepcidin levels lead to decrease dietary iron absorption and prevent the release of iron from hepatocytes and macrophages, resulting in hypoferremia and elevated serum ferritin [1].

Clinical, biochemical, metabolic, and hormonal changes physiologically occur over female reproductive cycle and with the development of menopause. Insulin level starts to increase just before ovulation and reaches the highest level during luteal phase. This was attributed to estradiol and progesterone levels [2]. The serum iron and hepcidin fall during early follicular phase (EFP) then rebound rise and establish during luteal phase of menstrual cycle [3]. In addition, the postmenopausal state (postMS) is associated with significant higher ferritin, insulin resistance (IR), metabolic syndrome (MetS) prevalence, and abdominal fat and significant lower both estradiol and progesterone levels than premenopausal state (preMS). Based on age as a proxy in the absence of data on menopausal state, hepcidin was substantially higher in postMS than the preMS. Of note, postMS is considered as a risk factor for MetS development [4–7]. Estrogen and progesterone may regulate hepcidin gene expression via binding to their specific response elements. The role of estrogen in enhancement or suppression of hepcidin expression is still unclear as both elevated and decreased hepatic hepcidin transcription were described in ovariectomized mice and cellular studies [8–10]. Recent in vitro fertilization study demonstrated that reduced circulating hepcidin was concomitant with enhanced endogenous estrogen production by 5-folds [11]. On the other hand, progesterone enhances hepcidin synthesis in experimental animals, cell culture, and among non-pregnant women undergoing in vitro fertilization [12].

Association of IR with elevated ferritin is bidirectional and is known as dysmetabolic hyperferritinemia (DH) which is illustrated by elevated ferritin and features of MetS. Hepcidin/ferritin ratio (H/F), reflecting hepcidin expression relative to iron stores may clinically help for discrimination of DH from other iron overload condition as hereditary hemochromatosis where hepcidin is inappropriately low. Elevated H/F may signify impaired hepcidin action or may indicate other factors which rise hepcidin level independent of serum ferritin such as inflammatory cytokines. So, hepcidin resistance may underly DH pathogenesis as hepcidin seems to play a role in iron accumulation as the iron challenge does not restrain iron absorption despite adequate hepcidin production [13–15]. Ferritin is the main regulator for hepcidin level in male and females; meanwhile, MetS was a contributor to higher hepcidin level only in females in a population study [16]. However, another study demonstrated the association of hepcidin, ferritin, and MetS most frequently in men [17]. Associations of serum hepcidin with insulin level, homeostatic model for assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and IR conditions reveal marked variability in different population studies [18–20]. The contribution of adipose tissue derived hepcidin to the circulating hepcidin levels is still unclear. Researchers suggested major role of adipose tissue in elevated hepcidin among obese premenopausal women while others reported actually very little contribution of adipose tissue [21, 22].

Up to date, roles of MetS, female sex hormones, IR, abdominal fat distribution as factors that could potentially have a great effect in determining the hepcidin in women based on menopausal state were not addressed before. The aim of this study is to explore the possible influence and interplay of female sex hormones and IR and MetS, abdominal fat on hepcidin level in the preMS during the EFP and mid luteal phase (MLP) and in the postMS.
Subjects and method

The present observational case-control study included 160 women who were divided according to menopausal state into preMS group (group I, *n* = 96) and in postMS group (group II, *n* = 64). preMS group was assessed during EFP and MLP of same menstrual cycle and termed group I-F and group I-L respectively. Both the preMS and postMS groups were further subdivided into metabolic and non-metabolic subgroups (with metabolic/ non-metabolic ratios of 45/51 and 36/28, respectively). The present study was conducted in Endocrinology and Diabetic unit, Internal Medicine Department from June 2016 to June 2017. The subjects were selected from attendant to outpatient clinic for checkup examination. Premenopausal women had regular menstrual cycle (21–35 days) and menses length between 3 and 5 days. Menopause was defined as permanent cessation of menstrual periods, determined retrospectively after a woman has experienced 12 months of amenorrhea without any obvious pathological or physiological causes [23]. According to established criteria, MetS diagnosis was based on the presence of at least three of the following five features: waist circumference ≥ 88 cm; fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 100 mg/dL; serum triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL; serum high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) ≤ 50 mg/dL; and blood pressure ≥ 130/85 mmHg [24]. Women that met any one of the following exclusion criteria were not included in the study: with age < 20 years, pregnancy, lactation, or irregular menses, anemia, recent infection or inflammation, cardiovascular disorder, diabetes mellitus, chronic illness, organ dysfunction, and malignancy anywhere or taking medications or hormonal therapy that may influence the studied laboratory parameters or previous ovarian surgery.

The study protocol was approved by the local Institutional Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. All the subjects gave written informed consent before enrolling in the study. Through history taking and anthropometric measurements that included waist circumference and systemic arterial blood pressure were assessed according to standard methods.

Biochemical and hormonal investigations

For each premenopausal woman, the laboratory investigations were carried out over one menstrual cycle when blood samples were collected twice; one during EFP (3–5 days) and another in MLP (18–22 days). Blood samples were taken once from postmenopausal females. An overnight fasting and 12-h fasting blood samples were collected at 9:00 AM and prepared for routine laboratory investigations including the measurement of FPG and complete lipogram according to standard laboratory methods. The stored serum and plasma samples at −70 °C were used for the following analysis according to recommendations of the kit’s manufacturers. Serum iron was determinate via quantitative colorimetric method using kits supplied by STANBIO laboratory, USA. Quantitative determination of plasma insulin and serum ferritin, estradiol, and progesterone concentration was done via ELISA kits (Chemux BioScience Inc, South San Francisco, USA). Serum hepcidin was assessed via sandwich-ELISA (Elabscience Biotechnology Co., Ltd, China): HOMA- IR was calculated [25].

Measurement of abdominal fat

Unenhanced computerized tomography (CT) scan images were obtained to measure peritoneal visceral fat thickness (PVF) and subcutaneous fat thickness (SCF) at the level of the top of the iliac crest.

Statistical analysis

In all the statistical analyses, the SPSS software version 22.0 was used. Data for continuous normally were expressed as mean ± SD and analyzed using the Student’s *t* test or by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with polynomial contrasts for linear trend, when appropriate. Data for continuous non-normally distributed data were expressed as median (interquartile range) and compared using the Mann–Whitney test. Qualitative data were analyzed with the chi-squared test and with chi-squared analysis for linear trend, when appropriate. Paired sample *t* test was used to compare EFP and MLP of the reproductive cycle in the premenopausal group. Correlations between quantitative variables were assessed using Spearman’s or Pearson’s correlation. Independent predictors of serum hepcidin levels were assessed through stepwise linear regression analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic utility of hepcidin for diagnosis of MetS. Areas under curves (AUCs) were measured to calculate specificity and sensitivity. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Premenopausal and postmenopausal women were matched as regard proportion of MetS (46% vs. 56%, *p* = 0.26).

Table 1 summarizes the main clinical, anthropometric and biochemical features, and abdominal fat distribution among the studied groups and subgroups. Compared to preMS, postMS was associated with significant higher systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, FPG, and abdominal SCF among entire subjects and stratified MetS and non-MetS subgroups. We also found significant higher (low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol) LDL-c,
HDL-c, and PVF only in metabolic subgroups and significantly higher PVF among entire subjects of postMS than corresponding preMS.

Table 2 shows the iron and hormonal parameters during EFP and MLP in preMS and in postMS. Among total subjects and stratified metabolic and non-metabolic subgroups: The statistical significant ascending order for the studied phases and states according to serum iron parameters (iron, ferritin, hepcidin, H/F ratio) was EFP, MLP, and postMS respectively except for H/F ratio when MLP was compared to either postMS in non-metabolic subgroups or EFP in metabolic subgroups. Moreover, the statistical significant ascending order according to serum estradiol and progesterone levels was postMS, EFP, and MLP except for progesterone when EFP was compared to postMS in non-metabolic groups. Plasma insulin and HOMA-IR were significantly lower in the EFP than in both MLP and postMS along with insignificant difference between the latter conditions among entire subjects and stratified metabolic groups and between the EFP and postMS in non-metabolic groups. MLP was associated with higher insulin and IR than postMS in non-metabolic subgroups (Table 2).

Comparison of metabolic and non-metabolic subgroups within the same phase or state
Among EFP, MLP, and postMS, metabolic groups had significant lower iron and significant higher ferritin, hepcidin, insulin, and HOMA-IR than non-metabolic groups. Metabolic group of EFP showed higher estradiol and progesterone and metabolic group of postMS had higher estradiol than phase or state matched non-metabolic group (Table 2).

Association of metabolic syndrome and hepcidin level
We stratified hepcidin levels into quartiles to evaluate the proportion of MetS with each quartile. Among both preMS and postMS, MetS% significantly rise with ascending order’s hepcidin quartiles (Table 3(A)). Also, serum hepcidin levels were significantly increased according to increasing number of MetS features (Table 3(B)). ROC curve analysis was done to evaluate the diagnostic ability of hepcidin for MetS. Cut off value of hepcidin for diagnosis of MetS was ≥5.8 ng/ml and ≥10.3 ng/ml in preMS and postMS respectively. The AUC, the sensitivity, and specificity were summarized in Table 3(C) and Figs. 1 and 2.

Bivariate and multivariate correlations for hepcidin

1. Correlations of hepcidin with component of MetS

Hepcidin had significant positive correlations and waist circumference, SBP, DBP, triglyceride, FPG, and significant negative correlations with HDL-c in preMS and postMS (p < 0.001 for all).

2. Serum hepcidin had significant negative correlation with iron and significant positive correlations

Table 1 Baseline characteristics, biochemical and abdominal fat of premenopausal, and postmenopausal women stratified for having or not the metabolic syndrome

| Variables          | Premenopausal women | Postmenopausal women |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                    | Total (n = 96)      | Non-MetS (n = 51)   | MetS (n = 45)   |
| Age (years)        | 32.5 ± 5.9          | 32.5 ± 6.5          | 32.5 ± 5.1      |
| Waist cir (cm)     | 102.7 ± 11          | 95.8 ± 9.5          | 110.4 ± 6.2     |
| SBP (mmHg)         | 123 ± 9.6           | 115.8 ± 5.8         | 131 ± 6.1       |
| DBP (mmHg)         | 74.9 ± 7.5          | 70.2 ± 5.6          | 80.0 ± 5.9      |
| HB% (g/dl)         | 12.7 ± 0.5          | 125.0 ± 0.43        | 124.8 ± 0.43    |
| FBS (mg/dl)        | 89.8 ± 15.5         | 82.4 ± 9.2          | 98.1 ± 17       |
| TC (mg/dl)         | 203.5 ± 54.7        | 170.2 ± 47.8        | 241.2 ± 33.5    |
| TG (mg/dl)         | 104.5 ± 31.9        | 86.7 ± 25.0         | 124.6 ± 26.6    |
| HDL-C (mg/dl)      | 144.7 ± 47.7        | 115.7 ± 37.8        | 177.4 ± 33      |
| SCF (cm)           | 3 ± 0.7             | 2.69 ± 0.85         | 3.4 ± 0.5       |
| PVF (cm)           | 5.4 ± 0.9           | 5.1 ± 1.02          | 5.7 ± 1.09      |

Quantitative data are expressed as Mean ± SD and compared by using independent samples T test. Compared to corresponding premenopausal women, significant level: p < 0.05 = *, p < 0.01 = **, p < 0.001 = ***

MetS Metabolic syndrome, Waist cir Waist circumference, SBP Systolic blood pressure, DBP Diastolic blood pressure, HB Hemoglobin, FBS Fasting blood sugar, TC Total cholesterol, TG Triglycerides, LDL-C Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HDL-C High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, SCF Subcutaneous fat thickness, PVF Peritoneal visceral fat thickness
with ferritin, insulin and HOMA-IR, abdominal SCF, MetS features’ number in EFP, MLP, and postMS. Hepcidin had positive correlations with estradiol and progesterone in EFP and postMS. Hepcidin was positively correlated with H/F ratio in EFP and with abdominal PVF in postMS. During reproductive cycle, percent increase of serum hepcidin (MLP-EFP/ EFP) was inversely correlated to corresponding per-

centage increased estradiol, insulin, and HOMA-IR and positively correlated to H/F ratio (p < 0.001).

3. By doing multivariate analysis with hepcidin as the dependent factor, the independent factors of hepcidin were iron ferritin, progesterone, and abdominal SCF in EFP, serum iron, ferritin and SCF in MLP, and iron, ferritin, progesterone, insulin, and MetS in postMS (Table 4).

Table 2 Iron and insulin parameters of the luteal, follicular phases of menstrual cycle and postmenopausal state stratified of having or not the MetS

| Parametric and non-parametric quantitative data are expressed as Mean ± SD and median and 25–75% interquartile and compared using student t test and Mann-Whitney between EFP and MLP vs. PMS and using paired samples T test and Wilcoxon signed rank test between EFP vs. MLP respectively. P1 = p-value when we compared metabolic versus non-metabolic within the same phase or state. Significant level is at p value < 0.05 and written in bold |
|---|
| **MetS** Premenopausal women | Post menopause women (PMS) | **P value**<br>**EFP vs. MLP** | **EFP vs. PMS** | **MPI vs. PMS** |
| **Iron (μg/dl)a** | Total | 43 ± 8.4 | 84.3 ± 18 | 126.8 ± 33 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 41 ± 9.9 | 79.3 ± 15.6 | 114.8 ± 30.9 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | No | 44.7 ± 6.5 | 88.5 ± 19.0 | 142.4 ± 29.4 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | 0.035 | 0.01 | 0.001 |
| **Ferritin (ng/ml) a** | Total | 67.6 ± 24.3 | 92 ± 35.5 | 118.6 ± 44.7 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 84.9 ± 16.1 | 119 ± 21.9 | 139.8 ± 43.3 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.007 |
| | No | 52.4 ± 19.7 | 67.8 ± 26.4 | 91.2 ± 29.1 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| **Hepcidin (ng/ml) a** | Total | 6.5 ± 2.6 | 95 ± 3.7 | 128.3 ± 3.8 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 8.4 ± 1.9 | 122 ± 2.3 | 15.3 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | No | 4.9 ± 1.9 | 7.6 ± 2.8 | 9.8 ± 2.6 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| **H/F ratio a** | Total | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.1 ± 0.02 | 0.113 ± 0.03 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.2 |
| | Yes | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.11 ± 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.005 | 0.03 |
| | No | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.11 ± 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.14 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| **Insulin (μu/ml)b** | Total | 11.5 [9–16] | 14 [12–19] | 18 [6.3–19] | <0.001 | 0.04 | 0.249 |
| | Yes | 16 [11–18] | 19 [15–25] | 18 [15–26] | <0.001 | 0.03 | 0.86 |
| | No | 9.0 [7–12] | 12 [10–14] | 8.5 [4–11] | <0.001 | 0.17 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| **HOMA-IR b** | Total | 1.55 [1.1–2.1] | 1.8 [1.6–2.57] | 1.9 [1.05–2.8] | <0.001 | 0.04 | 0.385 |
| | Yes | 2.0 [1.5–2.3] | 2.5 [1.9–3.2] | 3.5 [2.45–4.6] | <0.001 | 0.005 | 0.88 |
| | No | 1.1 [0.9–1.6] | 1.6 [1.3–1.8] | 1.4 [1.1–2.1] | <0.001 | 0.16 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| **Estradiol (pg/ml) a** | Total | 53.4 ± 16.8 | 91.9 ± 26.4 | 26.1 ± 12.9 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 57.4 ± 16.2 | 93.6 ± 22.0 | 31.3 ± 13.5 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | No | 49.9 ± 16.8 | 90.2 ± 29.8 | 19.4 ± 8.2 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | 0.030 | 0.53 | < 0.001 |
| **Progesterone (ng/ml) b** | Total | 1.4 [0.96–1.9] | 10.5 [4.3–13.7] | 1.1 [0.5–1.5] | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 1.7 [1.3–2.7] | 12.2 [5.3–16.7] | 1.1 [0.45–1.5] | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | No | 1.29 [0.9–1.5] | 7.5 [3.5–12.9] | 1.1 [0.68–1.3] | <0.001 | 0.18 | <0.001 |
| | P1 | < 0.001 | 0.20 | 0.49 |
### Table 3  Association of serum hepcidin and metabolic syndrome (MetS)

#### A-Association of MetS % and hepcidin quartiles (Qs)

| Hepcidin Qs | Premenopausal | Post-menopause |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| Q1 (n = 24) | 0(0%)         | 0(0%)          |
| Q2 (n = 24) | 9(37.5%)      | 10(62.5%)      |
| Q3 (n = 24) | 12(50%)       | 10(62.5%)      |
| Q4 (n = 24) | 24(100%)      | 16(100%)       |
| P value     | < 0.001       | < 0.001        |

#### B-Association of serum hepcidin (ng/ml) with MetS features' number

| MetS features (n) | Premenopausal | Post-menopause |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 0                 | 12            | 1              |
| 1                 | 6             | 8              |
| 2                 | 33            | 20             |
| 3                 | 27            | 14             |
| 4                 | 18            | 16             |
| Subjects (n)      | 12            | 8              |
| Hepcidin level    | 3.2 ± 0.6     | 7.6 ± 1.6      |
| P value           | < 0.001       | < 0.001        |

#### C-ROC curve analysis of hepcidin (ng/ml) for prediction of metabolic syndrome

|                      | Optimal cutoff | AUC | P value | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV  | NPV  | Accuracy |
|----------------------|----------------|-----|---------|-------------|-------------|------|------|----------|
| Premenopausal        | ≥ 5.8          | 0.910 | < 0.001 | 93.3        | 76.5        | 92.9 | 84.4 |          |
| Postmenopausal       | ≥ 10.3         | 0.907 | < 0.001 | 100         | 71.4        | 100  | 87.5 |          |

**Bold:** significant at p value < 0.05

*AUC* Area under the curve, *PPV* Positive predictive value, *NPV* Negative predictive value

---

**Fig. 1** ROC curve for prediction of metabolic syndrome in premenopausal women. ROC curve for prediction of metabolic syndrome in premenopausal women using cutoff value of ≥ 5.8 ng/ml for hepcidin. The AUC, sensitivity, and specificity were 0.910, 93.3%, 76.5% respectively with p values ≤ 0.001.
Discussion

Our study had a novelty to address the roles of female sex hormones, MetS, IR, and abdominal fat distribution in addition to preserved defined role of serum ferritin for elevated hepcidin level in post-MS and during MLP of reproductive cycle in premenopausal women.

We reported higher hepcidin level in post-MS than pre-MS and in MLP than EFP of reproductive cycle regardless of the MetS. These findings were matched to previous population and Hepcidin During Menses (HEPMEN) studies [3, 5]. Fortunately, our study took precedence in accurate definition of menopause that depended on menses caseation while previous population study used age only as a proxy. HEPMEN study demonstrated initial reduction of both hepcidin and the iron during menstrual phase followed by their rebound rise and steady state in the luteal phase of the cycle. That was similar to our data but we did not agree with their state of unremarkable variation of serum ferritin during the reproductive cycle [3]. Of note, 61% of women in HEPMEN study were on oral contraceptives which represent a limitation of their study as hormonal contraception increase iron and ferritin and subsequently it may interfere with interpretation of the results [26]. Our study overcame this limitation as intake of hormonal contraception was an exclusion criterion. We also were pioneering in reporting elevated hepcidin levels in post-MS and MLP among those with and without MetS.

Association of hepcidin with menopausal state and reproductive cycle phases encouraged us to study its association with female sex hormones. Although estradiol and progesterone were positively correlated with hepcidin level during EFP in PreMS and in post-MS in our study, only progesterone was independent predictor of hepcidin in multivariate analysis. Previous data

Table 4 Correlations of hepcidin and linear regression analysis for hepcidin as dependent variable

|                      | Early follicular phase |                       | Mid Luteal phase |                       | Post-menopause |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|                      | Bivariate              | Multivariate         | Bivariate        | Multivariate         | Bivariate     |
|                      | $R = 0.89$             | Adj $R^2 = 0.78$     | $R = 0.83$       | Adj $R^2 = 0.68$     | $R = 0.93$    |
|                      | $p$-value Beta         |                      | $p$-value Beta   |                      | $p$-value Beta |
| Iron                 | -0.38                  | $<0.001$              | 0.20             | $<0.001$              | 0.64          | $<0.001$      |
| Ferritin             | 0.82                   | $<0.001$              | 0.51             | $<0.001$              | -0.57         | $<0.001$      |
| H/F ratio            | 0.39                   | $<0.001$              | 0.143            | 0.165                 | 0.02          | 0.15          |
| Estradiol            | 0.25                   | 0.007                 | 0.19             | 0.06                  | 0.77          | $<0.001$      |
| Progesterone         | 0.45                   | $<0.001$              | 0.22             | $<0.001$              | 0.81          | 0.083         |
| Insulin              | 0.55                   | $<0.001$              | -0.02            | 0.81                  | 0.36          | 0.003         |
| HOMA-IR              | 0.55                   | $<0.001$              | 0.77             | $<0.001$              | 0.78          | $<0.001$      |
| SCT                  | 0.62                   | $<0.001$              | 0.75             | $<0.001$              | 0.78          | 0.24          |
| PVF                  | 0.17                   | 0.96                  | 0.17             | 0.96                  | 0.49          | $<0.001$      |
| MetS                 | 0.79                   | $<0.001$              | 0.75             | $<0.001$              | 0.83          | $<0.001$      |

$H/F$ Ratio hepcidin/ferritin ratio, HOMA-IR Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, SCT Subcutaneous fat thickness, PVF Peritoneal visceral fat thickness, $PVF$, MetS Metabolic syndrome
described these associations is still limited and unclear as stimulatory and inhibitory effect of estradiol on hepatic hepcidin expression via a functional estradiol responsive element within its promoter were reported. In addition, both downregulation and unchanged hepcidin expression were described in ovariectomized mice [8–10, 12]. In light of the clinical study among healthy females, suppression and stimulation of endogenous estrogen using gonadotropin-stimulating hormone agonist and follicle-stimulating hormone injection respectively as a part of in-vitro fertilization led to in 5-fold rise of estrogen during stimulation phase and concomitant 40% reduction of hepcidin level without change of serum iron or ferritin [11]. We reported ↑Δ% estradiol was inversely correlated to ↑Δ% hepcidin over menstrual cycle. Higher level of estradiol in MLP may mediate direct or indirect negative feedback on hepcidin synthesis via a physiological regulation or via reduced inflammatory cytokines to increase serum iron levels. Of note, inflammatory cytokines enhance hepcidin synthesis [15, 27, 28]. Collectively, we supposed that higher estradiol may potentially reduce hepcidin synthesis in the subsequent menstrual phase to allow more iron absorption. In accordance to our results, cellular and human clinical studies, e.g., in hepatocyte and among females who administrated progesterone during in-vitro fertilization, reported positive effect of progesterone on hepcidin biosynthesis via binding to membrane-bound progesterone receptor [12]. Increased hepcidin is recorded in high-progesterone states as in early pregnancy [29]. Consequently, increased hepcidin during high progesterone level during MLP in our study matched to this issue. However, these associations failed to explained higher hepcidin level in postMS with reduced female sex hormone levels.

Of note, serum ferritin and iron were the strongest predictors of hepcidin in preMS and postMS. So, hepcidin as the main physiological iron regulatory feedback is preserved. Menses cessation among postmenopausal women leads to higher ferritin. The latter stimulates hepcidin synthesis as a counterbalance mechanism to limit intestinal iron absorption [1].

Regardless of menopausal state or reproductive cycle phase, MetS was associated with higher hepcidin, ferritin, insulin, and HOMA-IR and lower serum iron and unchanged hepcidin/ferritin ratio in our study. We demonstrated cross-talk of MetS with hepcidin. The serum hepcidin level was increased linearly with ascending numbers of classical MetS features, and advance in hepcidin quartiles was associated with increased proportion of MetS among either preMS or postMS. This cross talk was parallel to the previously reported pattern for both serum hepcidin and serum ferritin levels in the population studies [16, 30]. Moreover, we were the first to identify cut off values of hepcidin ≥5.8 and ≥10.3 ng/ml for diagnosis of MetS in preMS and postMS respectively with sensitivity of 93.3% and 100% and specificity of 76.5% and 71.4% respectively. The low-grade inflammatory state in MetS may be underlying cause for elevated hepcidin as inflammatory cytokines were reported to increase hepcidin synthesis [15, 24].

Our study may partly try to answer this issue, why hepcidin was elevated in MetS, postMS and during MLP in preMS via explore the association of hepcidin with insulin, IR, and adipose tissue.

While the relationship between iron stores and IR is bidirectional [13], the association of hepcidin with insulin and IR remains vague and was not addressed before in preMS and postMS. In our study, higher hepcidin level in higher insulin and IR states namely MetS, MLP, and postMS; positive association of hepcidin with insulin and HOMA-IR in EFP and PMS; and identification of insulin as independent predictor of hepcidin in PMS highlighted important regulatory role of insulin on hepcidin particularly in postMS. In this context, insulin stimulates hepcidin synthesis via signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 protein synthases (STAT3). Moreover, both insulin and hepcidin are localized in pancreatic β cell granules and there is concomitant increase of both in glucose-treated subjects [31–33]. Variability and fluctuations of insulin level and HOMA-IR during reproductive cycle may be the causes of not being contributors to hepcidin level in preMS. Also, we found percent increase of serum hepcidin was inversely correlated to percentage increase of insulin and HOMA-IR during reproductive cycle. We supposed that physiological process may mediate negative regulatory feedback of insulin on hepcidin as high insulin level in second half of the cycle leads to decrease hepcidin level to allow iron absorption during menses of subsequent menstrual cycle. However, the association of hepcidin with IR states varies in different population studies. Circulating hepcidin levels are positively correlated with obesity in women but not with the presence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Contrarily, researchers demonstrated either unchanged or low hepcidin synthesis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [18, 20, 22]. Hepcidin concentrations vary in different populations with T2D as increased or reduced or unchanged levels were recorded [19].

Another factor was assessed in our study; the role of abdominal SCF and VPF as adipose tissue expresses hepcidin gene and protein [21]. In our study, MetS and postMS were associated with increased abdominal SCF and PVF. In preMS, only abdominal SCF was positively correlated and independent predictors for hepcidin level. Although both abdominal SCF and visceral fat were positively correlated to hepcidin level in postMS, none of them was an independent predictor of hepcidin.
level. Bekri et al. 2006 reported that expression of hepcidin gene and protein in adipose tissue was higher among obese premenopausal women and was positively correlated to inflammation not to iron parameters. They suggested that subcutaneous adipose tissue mass in obese subjects may be accountable for elevated hepcidin level [21]. However, other researchers suggested that adipose tissue may really minimally participate to circulating hepcidin levels as expression of hepatic hepcidin mRNA was ~700-fold greater than that of adipose tissue and suggesting that hepcidin may have tissue-specific regulation and inflammatory parameters rather than adipose tissue mass may mediate enhanced hepcidin level [22].

According to previous data in literature, higher H/F ratio may indicate either hepcidin resistance or elevated hepcidin level independent of the ferritin level [13–15]. In our study, firstly, H/F did not discriminate between metabolic and non-metabolic groups of the same menopausal state or reproductive cycle phase. This may denote that MetS was not associated with hepcidin resistance but associated with proportionate increase in hepcidin to ferritin; secondly, postMS was associated with higher hepcidin/ferritin ratio than preMS. In details, postMS had higher ratio compared to both the EFP and MLP among MetS subgroups; and EFP in non-MetS subgroups. This was associated with insignificant difference of H/F ratio over reproductive cycle in MetS subgroups in spite of higher H/F ratio in MLP than EFP in non-MetS subgroups. Another parallel finding is that insulin and HOMA-IR increased during MLP regardless of MetS along with insignificant and significant higher insulin and HOMA-IR in MLP than postMS among MetS and non-MetS subgroups respectively. So, subjects in non-Mets subgroups presented marked increased IR parallel to increased H/F ratio during MLP. So, high insulin level among women without MetS may stimulate hepcidin synthesis and lead to higher H/F in MLP. Importantly, researchers demonstrated that insulin resistance was associated with MetS in postMS not in preMS [34]. Further studies are needed to explain this finding.

Conclusion

Our study was the first to explore the impact of female sex hormones, IR, and MetS on hepcidin level based on menopausal state and reproductive cycle phases. postMS, MetS, and luteal phase of reproductive cycle are independently associated with elevated hepcidin and ferritin levels. Hepcidin may be used as biomarker for diagnosis of MetS. The iron parameters as main hepcidin regulatory factors are preserved in these conditions. We demonstrated that hepcidin regulation differed between preMS and postMS. Abdominal SCF and progesterone were contributors to hepcidin level in the former state, meanwhile insulin, MetS, and progesterone were contributors in the postMS. Estrogen and progesterone were positively correlated to hepcidin. However, neither estrogen nor progesterone was responsible for higher hipcidin in postMS. H/ F ratio is increased in postMS but unchanged in MetS.

Limitation of our study

Cross-section study cannot provide cause result relationship; the use of a surrogate measure of insulin resistance by HOMA-IR; we lacked information on levels of testosterone, gonadotropin, and transferrin saturation and genetic mutations that are related to iron disorders.
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