Leukocyte function assessed via serial microlitre sampling of peripheral blood from sepsis patients correlates with disease severity
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Dysregulated leukocyte responses underlie the pathobiology of sepsis1, which is a leading cause of death. However, measures of leukocyte function are not routinely available in clinical care. Here we report the development and testing of an inertial microfluidic system for the label-free isolation and downstream functional assessment of leukocytes from 50 μl of peripheral blood. We used the system to assess leukocyte phenotype and function in serial samples from 18 hospitalized patients with sepsis and 10 healthy subjects. The sepsis samples had significantly higher levels of CD16dim and CD16+ neutrophils and CD16+ ‘intermediate’ monocytes, as well as significantly lower levels of neutrophil- elastase release, O2− production and phagolysosome formation. Repeated sampling of sepsis patients over 7 days showed that leukocyte activation (measured by isodielectric separation) and leukocyte phenotype and function were significantly more predictive of the clinical course than complete-blood-count parameters. We conclude that the serial assessment of leukocyte function in microlitre blood volumes is feasible and that it provides significantly more prognostic information than leukocyte counting.

Dysregulated inflammation underlies the pathobiology of sepsis1, which is a leading cause of death from infection2. In healthy patients, neutrophils and monocytes are early responders that are important for combating a pathogen, limiting tissue damage and promoting the return to organ function and homeostasis3. These leukocytes must integrate diverse environmental signals to inform their protective functional responses4. Sepsis is characterized by immune cell dysfunction, which is linked to the pathophysiology of the disease with consequent organ injury and increased associated mortality5. In experimental sepsis in mice, regulating leukocyte function decreases organ injury and lessens the severity of the infection6. The assessment of leukocyte activation and function may enable new therapeutic strategies for the management of human sepsis and inflammatory diseases.

Sepsis patients are commonly monitored by clinical criteria and peripheral blood leukocyte counts; however, measures of leukocyte function are not routinely available for clinical care. The monitoring of leukocyte counts does not reliably reflect patients’ clinical and treatment responses. Sepsis-3 guidelines define sepsis as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection, where end-organ injury and disease severity are defined by the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score4. These criteria carry an ~30% misdiagnosis rate and offer little insight into the underlying pathobiology. Multiple point-of-care microfluidic devices have been used to investigate circulating cytokines and other mediators of inflammation as potential biomarkers in sepsis, but no device that reliably predicts severity and outcomes has emerged8–14. Since leukocytes (white blood cells) are sensors for multiple environmental cues and pathogens5, their activation and function could prove useful as cellular biomarkers of illness. To this end, polymorphonuclear neutrophil (PMN) dysmotility in microfluidic chambers correlates with sepsis diagnosis15. Importantly, repeated measurement of the complete blood count (CBC) can contribute to anaemia, which is often present in hospitalized critically ill patients16. Ideally, new methods for the assessment of leukocyte function during the course of a patient’s illness should be sample sparing to decrease the risk of iatrogenic anaemia.

The sorting of leukocytes using spiral inertial microfluidics was introduced in 2012 (refs. 17,18). We modified our previous device and developed a closed-loop inertial microfluidic platform for the label-free isolation of adequate leukocyte numbers from microlitre blood volumes. A sample sparing approach would enable the acquisition of multiple biospecimens in one day, or during a hospital stay, for the repeated assessment of the host response without leading to iatrogenic anaemia. Here we demonstrate the power of preparative inertial microfluidics and cell-based assays of leukocyte activation and function in a proof-of-concept cohort of human patients with sepsis. Despite a relatively small sample size, this innovative integrative approach was more highly correlated to sepsis severity than the current clinical practice of measuring peripheral blood leukocyte counts and differentials.

**Results**

Inertial microfluidic separation of leukocytes from blood. To enable the assessment of in vivo leukocyte activation and to minimize sample volume, we first developed an inertial microfluidics system (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1) for the label-free isolation of leukocytes from microlitre (50 μl) quantities of human blood. We used the system to assess leukocyte phenotype and function in serial samples from 18 hospitalized patients with sepsis and 10 healthy subjects. The sepsis samples had significantly higher levels of CD16dim and CD16+ neutrophils and CD16+ ‘intermediate’ monocytes, as well as significantly lower levels of neutrophil- elastase release, O2− production and phagolysosome formation. Repeated sampling of sepsis patients over 7 days showed that leukocyte activation (measured by isodielectric separation) and leukocyte phenotype and function were significantly more predictive of the clinical course than complete-blood-count parameters. We conclude that the serial assessment of leukocyte function in microlitre blood volumes is feasible and that it provides significantly more prognostic information than leukocyte counting.
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**Fig. 1 | Closed-loop inertial microfluidic separation of leukocytes from whole blood.** a. A schematic of the closed-loop operation of the spiral microfluidics system; x-cross section of inertial microfluidics near inlet, and y-cross section near outlet. b. The experimental set-up for the closed-loop inertial microfluidics system. c. A comparison between a sample sparing approach using inertial microfluidics and a standard density-based gradient separation for leukocytes, and their functional assessment. d. The operation time for inertial microfluidics (n = 4) compared to density-based gradients (n = 4). e. The PMN concentration in peripheral whole blood (pre-isolation; n = 5) and after inertial microfluidics separation (n = 5). f. The erythrocyte count pre-isolation (n = 7) and after inertial microfluidics (n = 7) or density-based gradient separation (n = 3). g. i. Markers of PMN activation were assessed pre-isolation and after inertial microfluidics or density-based gradient separation, namely the expression of CD11b (MFI; n = 4–6; g) and CD66b (MFI; n = 4–6; h) and CD18 (percentage total PMN; n = 4; i). The values are expressed as the mean ± s.e.m. *P < 0.05 for density-based gradient versus inertial microfluidics; **P < 0.05 for inertial microfluidics or density-based gradient versus pre-isolation by unpaired Student’s two-tailed t test. IW, inward; MFI, median fluorescence intensity; OW, outward; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell.

Peripheral blood. Eight spiral microchannels with a trapezoidal cross-sectional design (Fig. 1a,b) were connected in parallel with a circulation pump (see Methods) to a sample tube containing diluted blood (1:1,000 volume/volume (v/v))30. As the diluted blood passed through the spiral microchannels, the system ordered and aligned the cells by size at each lateral equilibrium position secondary to a balance between the cells' inertial lift and Dean drag forces20,21. This inertial microfluidics system was compared to an established method for leukocyte isolation by density-based gradient separation (see Methods, Fig. 1c). Relative to density-based gradient separation, the inertial microfluidics system had a shorter operation time (~22 min versus ~175 min; Fig. 1d). This system also increased PMN concentration by approximately eightfold relative to input sample (Fig. 1e). Both leukocyte isolation methods significantly decreased erythrocytes (red blood cells) to a similar extent (Fig. 1f).

To assess the effects of inertial microfluidics on ex vivo cell activation, the PMN expressions of CD11b, CD66b and CD18 were determined. With inertial microfluidics separation, the mean expression of these cell adhesion molecules was similar to pre-isolation whole blood and significantly lower than the density-based gradient method (Fig. 1g–i). In pre-isolation whole blood and after inertial microfluidic separation, PMN subsets were determined by flow cytometry (see Methods, Supplementary Fig. 2). The relative percentages of the PMN subsets (CD16<sup>high</sup>, CD16<sup>dim</sup>, CD16<sup>−</sup>) in pre-isolated whole blood significantly correlated with PMNs after isolation by inertial microfluidics (Supplementary Fig. 3a). The PMN functions in whole blood and after isolation were also similar when phagocytosis and phagolysosome formation were tested using *Escherichia coli* pHrodo bioparticles (Supplementary Fig. 3b). In addition, there was significant concordance for the detection of the PMN activation markers CD62L, CD11b, CD69 (Supplementary Fig. 3c) and CD42b expression on PMNs (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Notably, no significant correlation was identified for CD11b (Supplementary Fig. 3e) and CD42b expression on PMNs (Supplementary Fig. 3f). Together, these findings indicate that the inertial microfluidic separation of leukocytes from whole blood is fast and results in less ex vivo activation than the density-based gradient method.
PMN function in sepsis and healthy patients. To determine PMN functional responses after inertial microfluidic isolation, we assessed degranulation, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase assembly and phagolysosome formation. Cell dose dependency for degranulation was ascertained by phorbol myristate acetate (PMA)-stimulated elastase release (see Methods). Significant PMA-stimulated elastase release was detectable at PMN numbers as low as 5,000 cells from healthy donors (Supplementary Fig. 4a). A receptor-mediated stimulus, leukotriene B4 (LTB₄; 10 nM, 100 nM), increased elastase release from these cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2a).

To determine PMN responses in sepsis, we obtained peripheral blood from 18 sepsis patients within 72 h of admission to Brigham and Women’s Hospital medical intensive care unit (defined as protocol day 0) and on hospital days 3 and 7 thereafter, as well as from 10 healthy control subjects. The subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. The LTB₄-stimulated elastase release from PMNs isolated from patients with sepsis (3.67 ± 0.91 fluorescence RU (relative units), mean ± s.e.m.) was significantly lower than that from cells from healthy subjects (9.77 ± 1.21 fluorescence RU, mean ± s.e.m.) (Fig. 2b) at all time points. By contrast, PMA-stimulated elastase release was similar for PMNs from patients with sepsis and healthy subjects (Fig. 2b). The mean LTB₄-stimulated PMN elastase release in sepsis patients increased from day 0 to day 7 (Fig. 2b). Similar to PMN elastase release, LTB₄ exposure resulted in significantly lower values for superoxide anion production in PMNs from sepsis patients (day 0) (0.15 ± 0.07 nmol O₂⁻/per 10⁴ PMNs, mean ± s.e.m.) relative to healthy donors (1.58 ± 0.21 nmol O₂⁻/per 10⁴ PMNs, mean ± s.e.m.) (see Methods, Fig. 2c). The mean LTB₄-stimulated superoxide anion production also increased from day 0 to day 7 in sepsis patients (Fig. 2c) and PMA-stimulated PMNs from healthy donors produced significantly higher levels of O₂⁻ production relative to sepsis patients at day 0 (Fig. 2c).

PMN subsets in sepsis and healthy patients. Next, PMN subsets were identified by flow cytometry (CD45⁺FSC⁻SSC⁻CD66b⁺ and CD16 status; see Methods, Supplementary Figs. 2 and 4b). In sepsis patients at day 0, the percentages of CD16⁺ and CD16⁻ cells were increased and the frequency of CD16⁺ PMNs was decreased (Fig. 2d,e). In contrast to sepsis patients, the vast majority of PMNs identified in healthy patients were CD16⁺ (Fig. 2d,e). We next determined relative PMN activity for the phagocytosis of E. coli pHrodo bioparticles (see Methods). In sepsis patients, the mean percentage of CD16⁺ PMNs that were pHrodo⁺ was significantly lower at day 0 (Supplementary Figs. 2f and 4c). Notably, the uptake of pHrodo by CD16⁺ PMNs increased significantly from day 0 to day 7 in sepsis patients (Fig. 2f). CD16⁺ PMNs isolated from sepsis patients (day 0) displayed decreased cell surface CD62L relative to cells from healthy subjects (Supplementary Fig. 4d), but no significant differences were seen for the surface expression of CD69 (Supplementary Fig. 4e).

To investigate whether the CD16 PMN subsets were related to disease severity, we next determined their correlation with the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score. The percentage of CD16⁺ PMNs was inversely correlated to the APACHE II score (Pearson’s correlation coefficient r = −0.694; P = 0.0084; Fig. 2g). Conversely, the percentage of CD16⁻ PMNs was positively correlated to the APACHE II score (r = 0.74; P = 0.0024; Fig. 2h). Over the week of monitoring, the percentages of these PMN subsets in sepsis patients evolved towards the values in healthy patients (Fig. 2i–k).

Isodielectric separation (IDS) of human PMNs in sepsis and healthy patients. IDS can determine an isodielectric position (IPD) for PMNs that distinguishes between resting and activated cells. To determine whether IDS can identify differences between PMNs from sepsis and healthy donors, we next measured the IPD for freshly isolated PMNs exposed to PMA (20 nM) or vehicle (ethanol 0.02%) (Fig. 3a; see Methods). For these assays, the PMNs were isolated from 100 µl peripheral blood by negative selection (rather than the inertial microfluidics system) before introduction into the IDS chamber. PMN IPD was inversely correlated to electrical voltage (Supplementary Fig. 5a). When exposed to an electrical voltage of 7 MHz, PMNs incubated with PMA had a lower IPD (activated PMNs) and flow in the lower conductivity channel (σ₁) (Fig. 3a,b). By contrast, PMNs incubated with vehicle have a higher IPD (non-activated PMNs) and flow in the higher conductivity channel (σ₀) (Fig. 3a,b). When exposed to vehicle, PMNs from patients with sepsis demonstrated a significantly lower median IPD relative to PMNs from healthy donors (Fig. 3c,d). With PMA stimulation, the median IPD of PMNs from healthy donors significantly decreased to a level that approximated that of PMNs from sepsis patients (Fig. 3c,d, Supplementary Fig. 5b). The difference between the median IPD of PMNs exposed to PMA or vehicle can be expressed as Δmed IPD (med IPDveh − median IPDpm) (Fig. 3c,e). The Δmed IPD of PMNs from sepsis patients (day 0) was significantly lower than the Δmed IPD of PMNs in healthy patients (Fig. 3c,e). The Δmed IPD of PMNs from sepsis patients significantly increased from day 0 to day 7 (Supplementary Fig. 6a), but the median IPD from sepsis patients had no significant changes from day 0 to day 7 (Supplementary Fig. 6b). To investigate whether PMN IPD was related to disease severity, we next determined whether the IPD correlated with clinical severity scores obtained on admission. The Δmed IPD was inversely correlated with the SOFA score (r = −0.64, P = 0.019; Fig. 3f). There was a similar but not significant trend for Δmed IPD and APACHE II score (r = −0.38, P = 0.29; Supplementary Fig. 6c) and median IPD was not correlated with the SOFA score (r = 0.08, P = 0.77;
Monocyte subsets in sepsis and healthy patients. Peripheral blood monocytes play essential roles in host responses during sepsis and were isolated from whole blood using inertial microfluidics (Fig. 4a). To assess the effects of inertial microfluidics on ex vivo cell activation, monocytes in pre-isolation whole blood and after isolation were determined by flow cytometry (see Methods, Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8a). The relative percentages of monocyte subsets (classical, intermediate and non-classical) in the isolated cells was concordant with those in whole blood and had a significant correlation by linear regression (Supplementary Fig. 7a). There was also a significant correlation between isolated cells and whole
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- **Fig. 6d** and APACHE II score at day 0 ($r = 0.25$, $P = 0.40$; Supplementary Fig. 6e).
blood for the monocyte activation markers CD62L (Supplementary Fig. 7b), CD69 (Supplementary Fig. 7c) and CD11b (Supplementary Fig. 7d). Monocyte function in whole blood and after isolation was similar when phagolysosome formation was tested using E. coli pHrodo bioparticles (Supplementary Fig. 7e). Similar to PMNs, no significant correlation for monocyte CD42b expression was present (Supplementary Fig. 7f). Classical monocytes isolated from sepsis patients (day 0) displayed no differences in cell surface CD62L relative to cells from healthy subjects (Supplementary Fig. 8b).

Next, monocyte subsets were assessed in sepsis and healthy patients by flow cytometry (CD45+ FSC− SSC− and CD14 and CD16 status; see Methods, Fig. 4a). Relative to healthy patients, patients with sepsis had significantly increased percentages of intermediate monocytes and significantly decreased percentages of classical monocytes; no significant differences were identified for non-classical monocytes (Fig. 4a,b). To investigate whether monocyte subsets were related to disease severity, we then determined whether their percentages correlated with APACHE II score. There was a trend for an inverse correlation between classical monocyte percentage and APACHE II score (Fig. 6a), the percentage of CD16bright PMNs was inversely correlated and the percentages of CD16dim and CD16− PMNs were positively correlated with the SOFA score (Fig. 6b–d). In addition, the \( \Delta_{\text{med}} \) IDP of PMNs from sepsis patients demonstrated a significant inverse correlation with SOFA score (Fig. 6e). The median IDP of PMNs from sepsis patients had no significant correlation with SOFA score (Supplementary Fig. 10a). Moreover, there was no significant correlation identified between severity indicators and other PMN functional responses (Supplementary Fig. 10b–c) or monocyte subsets (Supplementary Fig. 11). The \( \Delta_{\text{med}} \) IDP of PMNs in sepsis patients was also significantly lower in patients requiring mechanical ventilation (Fig. 6f).

**Table 1 | Subject characteristics**

| Characteristic | Healthy patients \((n = 10)\) | Sepsis patients \((n = 18)\) |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sex (%)       | Male: 60; female: 40           | Male: 88.9; female: 11.1    |
| Race, ethnicity (%) | Asian (30) | Asian (0) |
|               | Black (0) | Black (5.6) |
|               | Hispanic (0) | Hispanic (16.7) |
|               | White (70) | White (77.8) |
| Age (mean (range)) | 31.2 (23–40) | 59.7 (19–92) |
| Pneumonia (%) | – | 27.8 |
| ARDS (%)      | – | 27.8 |
| Malignancy (%) | – | 61.1 |
| APACHE II (mean (range)) | – | 25.4 (11–40) |
| SOFA score (mean (range)) | – | 7.89 (3–17) |
| Vasopressors (%) | – | 22.20 |
| Mechanical ventilation (%) | – | 38.9 |
| Mortality (%)  | – | 22.2 |
| White blood cells \((x1,000 \text{ per mm}^3)\) (mean (range)) | 6.9 (5–10) | 14.27 (0.08–40.6) |

**Discussion**

Dysregulated immune responses to infection and tissue injury underlie sepsis pathobiology; yet these immune responses are not directly measured in routine clinical management. Multiple groups have developed point-of-care microfluidic devices to investigate potential biomarkers in sepsis diagnosis. C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, interleukin-6, neopterin and many others have been studied extensively but provided limited utility in sepsis severity assessment and outcomes\(^{8–14}\). In a large cohort of patients, using a point-of-care microfluidic device, CD64 surface receptor expression on neutrophils was found to be predictive of patient diagnosis but was of limited use in sepsis severity assessment.\(^{\text{11}}\) A recent study demonstrated that the measurement of patterns of neutrophil spontaneous motility in microfluidic chambers enabled the accurate diagnosis of sepsis, which suggests that the measurement of leukocyte function (rather than number) may represent a potential approach for the development of sepsis severity biomarkers\(^{12}\). Here we modified and demonstrated a closed-loop microfluidics platform to isolate leukocytes using microlitre quantities of peripheral blood volumes to provide an innovative biomedical engineering approach to both the label-free sample preparation of leukocytes and the study of leukocyte activation and function in a clinical context. Our approach provides evidence that leukocyte responses: are measurable in small aliquots of peripheral blood; are distinct in sepsis and healthy patients; are dynamic over time; reflect sepsis clinical severity; are more predictive of the clinical course than leukocyte count; and are differential by repeated CBC (the current clinical practice). This sample sparing approach would enable the repeated assessment of leukocyte activation and function without substantially increasing the risk for iatrogenic anaemia, which is a common problem in intensive care units\(^{14}\). The features described here enable its potential clinical integration.
Recently, microfluidic devices have been developed to enable clinical sample preparation. For example, a surface acoustic wave assisted microfluidics platform was proposed as a method to separateblood components, for example, circulating tumour cells, leukocytes, mesenchymal stromal cells, bacteria and microvesicles. The different electrical properties between blood components were utilized to develop this technology. The method involves isolating blood samples, applying electrical fields to separate components, and analyzing the resulting images and electrical signatures. This approach has potential applications in personalized medicine and diagnostics.
cells can also enable the fractionation of fluid components with electrode-embedded microfluidics, for example stem cells from enzyme-digested adipose tissue\(^{46}\), the profiling of yeast genotypes\(^{34}\) and neutrophils from white blood cells\(^{42}\). These platforms have the advantage of obtaining a high purity separation from a small volume of sample without the use of a membrane filter. External stimuli can cause leukocyte activation, which may lead to inaccuracies in the assessment of the host response. Inertial microfluidics was introduced as a passive, label-free separation method. The fluid flow in an intermediate Reynolds’s number regime (~1 < Re ~100) through a curved microchannel was used to order and align particles by their size at each lateral equilibrium position due to the balance between the inertial lift force and Dean drag force\(^{21,26,32–34}\). This technique has been applied to various clinical samples, for example stem cells from humans to facilitate clinical integration. Bacteremia during sepsis was previously shown to activate the complement system that reduces PMN superoxide anion production, phagocytosis\(^{45}\) and degranulation\(^{44}\). In this study, we found that patients with sepsis had relatively decreased LTB\(_4\)-induced PMN superoxide anion production and elastase release on admission. By contrast, PMN responses to PMA were similar in sepsis and healthy patients. These findings suggest that, in sepsis patients, PMN responses to receptor-mediated soluble stimuli were uncoupled with a preserved capacity for NADPH oxidase assembly and degranulation in the presence of receptor independent stimuli. The detection of this functional distinction emphasizes the potential value of a cellular biomarker. There was a time-dependent increase in LTB\(_4\)-initiated responses in sepsis from day 0 to day 7 that reflected decreasing clinical severity.

According to previous studies, the composition of circulating PMNs can change in sepsis patients\(^{47}\). Leukocyte differential counting commonly identifies PMN band cells in sepsis patients that can be distinguished from mature PMNs by morphology and flow cytometry criteria\(^{47}\). Here we identified PMN subsets using flow cytometry with a focus on CD16 surface expression. PMNs from healthy subjects were predominantly CD16\(_{low}\). Patients with sepsis had increased percentages of CD16\(_{low}\) and CD16\(_{low}\) PMNs and a decreased percentage of CD16\(_{high}\) PMNs relative to healthy patients. Notably, the percentage of CD16\(_{high}\) and CD16\(_{low}\) PMNs
**Fig. 5** | Principal component analyses for routine CBC measures, clinical severity and leukocyte phenotype and function in sepsis and healthy patients.

**a–h.** Two-dimensional score plots and loading plots from multivariate principal component analyses and mean Z score were determined for routine clinical CBC parameters (**a, b**), clinical severity indicators (**c, d**), leukocyte phenotype markers (**e, f; CD16\textsuperscript{bright} PMNs and classical monocytes; see Supplementary Fig. 7 for CD16\textsuperscript{dim} PMNs, CD16\textsuperscript{−} PMNs, intermediate monocytes and non-classical monocytes) and neutrophil functional responses (**g, h**). The mean Z scores were derived from individual variable Z scores in a given subject (n = 9 healthy subjects and n = 11 sequential samples from 5 sepsis patients).

**P < 0.05** in sepsis patients (day 0) versus healthy patients, **P < 0.05** in sepsis patients day 7 versus day 0 by unpaired Student’s two-tailed t-test.

Horizontal lines and error bars in **b, d, f, h** indicate mean ± s.e.m. Values for O\textsubscript{2} production and elastase release were obtained from PMNs exposed to LTB\textsubscript{4}.
significantly changed over time. The dynamic changes in PMN subsets correlated to clinical severity scores at presentation (APACHE II score) and over time (SOFA score).

Cell activation can be determined by monitoring the IDP after IDS.49 Previous quantifiable methods for the electrical characterization of neutrophil activation, such as patch clamping and electrorotation,49 have a low throughput that makes it difficult to continuously characterize thousands of cells to provide clinically meaningful results. Other high-throughput electrical characterization techniques, such as microfluidic impedance cytometry,50 have not been shown to be sensitive to PMN activation and, furthermore, are sensitive to size variations that may confound the analysis. The rapid quantification of activated PMNs using IDS can provide a timely indicator of host responses to sepsis progression, thus allowing faster stratification of the severity of illness and improved administration of targeted treatment. In the course of the research presented here, we found that the electrical properties correlated better with the SOFA score and mechanical ventilation than the current measures of leukocyte enumeration. Differences in the electrical properties of human PMNs are due to electrical changes in both membrane capacitance and cytoplasm conductivity during the degranulation process and a substantial increase in reactive oxygen species generation. The IDS platform enables the characterization of PMN activation in sepsis patients by monitoring the cells’ natural (high-conductivity) media. In preclinical animal models, electrical cell profiles correlate with established assessments of cell activation (flow cytometry immunophenotyping).54 Here, IDS distinguished ex vivo human PMNs activated by PMA from non-activated PMNs. We used the $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP, as it provides the quantitative IDP change that reflects the changing electrical properties according to PMN activation, with the addition of a positive control to account for variabilities in the device and sample. In healthy patients, a decreased median IDP with PMA relative to the vehicle was expressed as a $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP. The $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP in sepsis patients was significantly smaller because the median IDP for vehicle-exposed PMNs from patients with sepsis was already reduced compared to PMNs from healthy subjects, consistent with the notion that PMNs from sepsis patients were activated in vivo. The $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP for PMNs from sepsis patients significantly increased from day 0 to day 7, approaching the $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP for PMNs in healthy patients at hospital day 7. In addition, the SOFA score was inversely correlated with $\Delta_{\text{med}}$ IDP. Notably, the differences in flow rate through the designed inertial microfluidic and IDS devices precluded their integration, so PMNs were isolated by negative selection before IDS. However, there are a variety of ways to connect a high-flow-rate device to a low-flow-rate device. One approach, for example, is to store isolated PMNs from the spiral device in an injection loop, which can be loaded at a high flow rate, and then inject that sample into the IDS device at a lower flow rate for electrical profiling. In this fashion, the injection loop serves to bridge the flow rate mismatch between the two devices. Such integration will be one focus of future work.

Monocytes in peripheral human blood can be classified into classical CD16<sup>dim</sup>CD14<sup>high</sup>, intermediate CD16<sup>dim</sup>CD14<sup>high</sup>CD14<sup>dim</sup> and non-classical CD16<sup>dim</sup>CD14<sup>dim</sup> monocyte phenotypes.51 In acute inflammation, the levels of circulating classical monocytes can rapidly decrease with increased intermediate monocytes and non-classical monocytes.52,53 The intermediate monocyte population is of interest as, relative to other monocyte subsets, these cells display pro-inflammatory properties and are associated with risk for acute inflammatory diseases, such as acute respiratory distress syndrome.
Consistent with these reported changes, we identified an expansion of intermediate monocytes and concomitant reduction in the percentages of classical monocytes in patients admitted with sepsis (day 0). The APACHE II score was inversely correlated with the classical monocyte percentage. The percentages of classical and intermediate monocytes changed over time, returning towards the values seen in healthy patients. Thus, bedside determinations of monocyte subsets in patients with sepsis may reflect disease severity and convey prognostic information.

In clinical practice, clinical assessment of the immune system during disease is based almost entirely on clinical criteria and the peripheral blood leukocyte count and differential. Using a multifactorial component analysis, the score plot of routine CBC measures and clinical severity indicators determined a notable overlap between healthy subjects and sepsis patients (day 0 to day 7) and thus they were not powerful identifiers of ‘sick’ versus ‘not sick’ clinical status. By comparison, the score plot and aggregation of the mean $z$ scores of leukocyte phenotype markers and PMN functional responses differentiated healthy and sepsis patients significantly at day 0. Measures of PMN function changed significantly from day 0 to day 7 and correlated with the SOFA score. The Δ$_{m}$ IDP was significantly lower for the most critically ill patients who required mechanical ventilation. Together, these findings suggest the measurement of leukocyte function (rather than number) as a potential biomarker of sepsis severity.

While individual inflammatory mediators can be significantly correlated with sepsis and its severity, they have not proved clinically useful secondary to the heterogeneous nature of sepsis-associated infections and host responses. Single cell transcriptomics approaches show promise but are limited by expense and availability. Our findings in this study support cell-based measures as a potential clinical tool for assessing sepsis severity. Here the measures of cell activation and function outperformed routine CBC enumeration for correlation with sepsis severity and distinguishing sepsis patients from healthy patients. In addition, only microlitre quantities of blood were required to ascertain these functional measures. Here the percentages of PMN subsets and the Δ$_{m}$ IDP of PMNs best correlated with sepsis severity. Several factors may explain the relatively low $r$ values for some of these correlations, including: variance in the clinical prediction tools (SOFA and APACHE II scores); variance in the clinical disorders and comorbidities leading to sepsis in the volunteer subjects; and the limited sample size in this proof-of-concept clinical cohort. While the limitations of the SOFA score are acknowledged, it is the best available measure at present. In addition to the prediction tool, we identified a correlation between leukocyte function (Δ$_{m}$ IDP) and the clinical need for mechanical ventilation as a surrogate for disease severity.

While this system is amenable to the microbiological determination of bacteria and their responses to antibiotics, here we did not focus on the diagnosis of sepsis. Rather, this project focused on determining the host response to critical illness and we have translated its use to sepsis as the most common clinical condition of leukocyte activation in the intensive care unit. In sepsis there is only a failure to obtain source control of the infection. More commonly, an overly exuberant host immune response leading to multi-organ system injury causes excess morbidity and mortality in sepsis. We lack clinical diagnostics for the early and rapid assessment of the nature and intensity of the host response, so this project was designed to monitor circulating leukocyte activation and function as relevant indicators of the host response to address this important unmet clinical need and, in the longer term, to enable new therapeutic strategies that target the host response. In addition, this study has identified several leukocyte parameters that correlate with sepsis severity and could serve as leukocyte biomarkers for early stratification, on initial assessment, and for disease progression, with repeated measurement. The correlation of these parameters with early stratification and clinical outcomes will need validation and further study in a larger clinical cohort that incorporates patients at more than a single clinical centre.

We have demonstrated that the assessment of specific leukocyte subsets and functional responses using a sample sparing closed-loop inertial microfluidic system and IDS is feasible and that it enabled the predictive assessment of host responses to sepsis and its severity. Measures of leukocyte activation and function outperformed the current measures of leukocyte enumeration (CBC). The bedside assessment of the host immune response to sepsis required only microlitre quantities of blood, which would reduce the risk for iatrogenic anaemia in hospitalized patients. Further research is needed to determine the ultimate clinical utility of the diagnostic testing of host leukocyte function as a window into the immunopathogenesis of disease and its resolution.

**Methods**

**Design of the inertial microfluidic chip.** A spiral microchannel with a trapezoidal cross-section was made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) with soft lithography, as previously described. The mould was designed with three dimensional computer-aided design and micromachined on aluminium (Whits Technologies). Four spiral channels were parallelized with individual inlets and common outlets (Fig. 1a). In this study, double-layered microfluidics (eight spiral microchannels in total) were used to enhance the throughput and match the range of the flow sensor. Functional enhancement of throughput was achieved by stacking microchannels within the tolerable dead volume limits of the assay. The first (thick) and second (thin) layers of the spiral channel were constructed by casting degassed PDMS (10:1 mixture of base and curing agent, Sylgard 184, Dow Corning Inc.) onto the aluminium mould and curing on the hotplate for 10 min at 150°C before peeling the cured PDMS off the mould. Two layers were plasma bonded with the channel side facing up. A thin film of PDMS (BISCO HT-6240 40 Duro silicone sheet, 0.25 mm thick, Rogers Corp.) was also plasma bonded on the glass slide. Both complexes were placed into the oven and annealed for 6 h. Holes for the fluidic access of microchannels were punched with 4 mm biopsy punches (Integra Miltex). The punched two-layered PDMS was then plasma bonded to a PDMS glass slide and placed in a 60°C oven for over 6 h to strengthen the bonding. The microfluidic chips were then sealed in an autoclavable bag and sterilized before use.

**Preparation of the closed-loop inertial microfluidic separation system.** Inertial microfluidic cell separation was performed using a closed-loop system that included a circulation pump, a microfluidic chip (see above), a pinch valve and a flowmeter. The circulation pump (peristaltic, diaphragm and piezo-electric pump, Cole-Parmer) was connected to the sample and to the microfluidic chip via silicone tubing (Cole-Parmer). To evenly load a sample into each channel, a 0.16 cm barbed five-way connector was used and an equal length of tubing was directly plugged into the chip outlets. The inner-wall outlet tubing was also of equal lengths. The inner-wall outlet was connected to the sample for recirculation and the outer-wall outlet was connected to a pinch valve and flowmeter to adjust the fluidic resistance, before ending in the waste tube (Fig. 1a,b and Supplementary Fig. 1). After preparation, PBS was introduced at a flow rate of 4 ml min$^{-1}$ to prime the system before sample loading.

**Sample collection and processing for inertial microfluidic separation system.** This study was reviewed and approved by the Partners Healthcare Institutional Review Board (IRB no. 2002P000272 for healthy donors; IRB no. 2008P000495 for sepsis patients in the Registry of Critical Illness intensive care unit population that was previously described in detail; and IRB no. 2017P00367 for patients admitted to the hospital floor services who had a positive blood culture result within 24 h and were adjudicated to have sepsis based on the Sepsis-3 criteria as determined by an adjudication committee of critical care physicians, as for the Registry of Critical Illness population). After obtaining informed consent, peripheral blood (500 μl) was freshly obtained from 10 healthy volunteers and 18 patients with sepsis within 72 h of admission to the Brigham and Women’s Hospital intensive care unit (day 0) and on days 3 and 7 thereafter of their hospitalization; it was collected in EDTA-containing vacutainer tubes. Sepsis was defined according to the Sepsis-3 guidelines and SOFA scores were calculated as previously described. Healthy volunteers reported general health and drug ingestion or over-the-counter medications were withheld prior to enrolment. A fraction of the freshly obtained peripheral blood (50 μl) was added to the sample tube containing 50 ml buffer solution (PBS without Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$, Gibco) and connected to the circulation pump (see above). The pump flow was set to 12 ml min$^{-1}$ and the flow resistance was adjusted to a 4.0 ml min$^{-1}$ outer-wall outlet flow rate using the pinch valve. When the sample volume reached 7.5 ml, an additional 35 ml of PBS$^{-}$ was added to the sample tube for further leukocyte enrichment. When the sample volume reached 1 ml, the flow resistance was increased and the circulation pump was stopped. Next, air was introduced.
to recover the residual fluid in the tubing and channels. For comparison with microfluidic cell sorting, granulocytes were isolated from healthy subjects by density gradient centrifugation over Histopaque 1077 (Sigma-Aldrich) and Histopaque 1119 (Sigma-Aldrich) as in ref. 4.

Flow cytometry analysis. For flow cytometry analysis, 50 μl peripheral venous blood was used to obtain 100,000 leukocytes via the inertial microfluidic system (Fig. 1). Leukocytes were incubated for 20 min at RT with the following antibodies to human proteins to be noted in parentheses: anti-CD11b PE-Cy7 (BD Biosciences), anti-CD66b Pacific Blue (BioLegend), anti-CD38 APC-Cy7, anti-CD11b PE-Cy7 (EMD Millipore), and anti-CD16 PE-Cy7 (BD Biosciences). After staining, the cells were lysed and fixed with 2 ml 1:4 dilution of Lyse/Fix Buffer 5X (BD Biosciences) with distilled water. The samples were acquired from the BD LSR Fortessa flow cytometer and analysed using FlowJo version 10.1 (Tree Star). PMN (CD45+SSC-FFSC+) subsets were determined by CD16 and CD66b surface expression. Monocyte (CD45+SSC-FFSC+) subsets were determined by CD14 and CD16 surface expression. The molecules of leukocyte activation were assessed, namely the expression of CD62L, CD11b, CD69 and CD42a.

PMN O2− production. PMNs were isolated from 50 μl peripheral blood using the inertial microfluidic system (Fig. 1). PMNs (10,000 per well) were transferred to a 96-well plate and exposed to cytochrome b at a 1:500 dilution or vehicle for 30 min at 37 °C. After incubation, the plate was immediately put in an ice water bath to stop the reaction. The cells were pelleted at 600 g for 5 min and then resuspended with 2 ml PBS (with Ca2+ and Mg2+). The supernatant was transferred to another 96-well plate. The plate was read on the absorbance plate reader (uQuant Biotek Instrument) using the 500–600 nm spectrum.

PMN elastase degranulation. PMNs were isolated from 50 μl peripheral blood using the inertial microfluidic system (Fig. 1). PMNs (5,000 per well) were transferred to a 96-well plate and exposed to LTβ at 100 nM or vehicle (ethanol 0.02% v/v) and exposed to cytochrome C reduction from equine heart (Sigma c2506; 0.0007% v/v) for 10 min at 37 °C. In addition, PMNs were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C after being stimulated with either PMA at 100 nM or vehicle (ethanol 0.02% v/v) and exposed to cytochrome C reduction from equine heart (0.0007% v/v). After incubation, the plate was immediately put in an ice water bath to stop the reaction. The cells were pelleted at 1,200 r.p.m. for 10 min and 2.5 μl of the supernatant were lysed and fixed with 2 ml 1:4 dilution of Lyse/Fix Buffer 5X (BD Biosciences) with distilled water. The data were acquired from the BD LSR Fortessa flow cytometer and analysed using FlowJo version 10.1 (Tree Star). PMN (CD45+SSC−FFSC+) subsets were determined by CD16 and CD66b surface expression. The molecules of leukocyte activation were assessed, namely the expression of CD62L, CD11b, CD69 and CD42a.

Device fabrication and pretreatment. The double-sided IDS device consisted of a microfluidic channel covered by a double-sided tape and cut by two etched-patterned glass slides. We e-beam evaporated 10 nm of Ti and 200 nm of Au and performed a lift-off to pattern the electrodes on the glass. The gap and width of the interdigitated electrodes were 15 and 50 μm, respectively. We cut a 2-mm-wide microchannel using a laser cutter in 25-μm-thick double-sided tape (silicon transfer film adhesive, Adhesive Research) and aligned it to the glass substrate. We then pressed the double-sided tape to the glass substrate. The 2.5-mm-wide inlets were punched using diamond bits on the top glass slide to allow fluidic access to the microchannel. The top glass slide was then aligned and pressed onto the top side of the tape on a hotplate at 90 °C for 60 min with a 1 kg weight on top to ensure a proper seal. Finally, we attached the wires to electrode contact pads on both glass slides using silver paste (MG Chemicals). The contact pads were cleaned. A conductive silver paste (MG Chemicals) was applied onto the contact pads to ensure good electrical contact. The surface treatment of the device was performed by applying a preflow treatment of 1% (weight/volume) Pluronic F-127 solution (Sigma-Aldrich) to prevent the attachment of bioparticles to the device surface. After 15 min of Pluronic treatment, we washed the device by loading fresh PBS into the inlets and flushing for 5 min. After IDS operation, we washed the device with Tryple Select 10X (Life Technologies, A12177–01) and incubated it for 10 min at 37 °C in 5% CO2 to remove the attached non-specific cells. Following the incubation step, we washed the device 2–3 times with deionized water.

Sample processing for IDS. PMNs were isolated from 100 μl whole blood by magnetic bead-based negative selection using the MACSExpress Neutrophil Extraction Kit (Miltenyi Biotech) and a strong magnet (MACSExpress Separator, Miltenyi Biotech). Harvested PMNs were resuspended in PBS (with Ca2+ and Mg2+) by centrifugation at 1,000 r.p.m. for 5 min. PMNs were stimulated with PMA at 20 nM (Cayman Chemical Co. Inc.) or vehicle (ethanol v/v 0.02%) and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C in 5% CO2. After incubation, PMNs were resuspended in PBS buffer by a single centrifugation at 1,000 r.p.m. for 5 min.

IDS experimental set-up. MACS-separated PMNs suspended in high-conductivity media were allowed to flow into the microfluidic chip. The other two inlets containing intermediate and low conductivity media provided a parallel laminar flow that generated a transverse conductivity gradient. The slanted planar electrodes that were patterned at the top and bottom guided the PMNs in the direction of decreasing medium conductivity until the DEP force became sufficiently small. The PMNs escaped the dielectrophoretic barrier at their IDP, at which point they were at a dielectric equilibrium with the surrounding medium. The PMNs continued downstream unobstructed for collection and imaging. A camera near the end of the microchannel continuously took images of the deflected PMNs. We detected these cells and computed the resulting IDP distribution by image processing. A function generator (Agilent 33220A) and an amplifier applied a 15 V peak-to-peak AC field at various frequencies from 1 to 10 MHz. PMNs were observed using a camera (Image QE, LaVision) with fully automated microscopy (Axio Imager M1m, Zeiss). We analysed the images to detect the cells in each frame and determine the centroid of each cell using a MATLAB script. Then we created the cell correlogram distribution that correspond to the resulting IDP distribution. To drive the flow process, external syringe pumps controlled the flow in all three inlets. BD Luer-Lok 1 ml syringes were attached to 150 μm diameter Tygon tubes and inserted into the device inlets. We used cell suspensions (1 × 106 cells per ml) in PBS buffer with a conductivity of 1.25 ± 0.5 mS−1 (PBS and 1% BSA). We mixed the PBS buffer with an isotonic sucrose solution to make an intermediate conductivity buffer (σ = 0.85 ± 0.5 mS−1) and a low conductivity buffer (σ = 0.45 ± 0.5 mS−1). To avoid fouling and the injection of air bubbles, we used a custom-made fluidic switch that allowed device priming and cleaning between samples.

Image analysis for IDS. All of the image processing and analysis was performed in MATLAB R2018b. We obtained background images through a time-domain median filter. We calculated the difference between the raw images and background and transformed the images into binary images with a threshold of 0.05. We identified the cell objects in the binary images and extracted their morphometric properties. The extracted cells’ centroid locations in each frame were then applied to the customized multiscale object tracking algorithm, thus creating the cell position distributions that correspond to the resulting IDP distribution.

Statistics. All of the data were expressed as the mean ± s.e.m., unless otherwise indicated. For statistical analysis, comparisons of patients with sepsis and healthy subjects were conducted using an unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. Comparisons of matched samples were conducted using a paired two-tailed Student’s t test. For non-normal distributions, a Mann–Whitney U test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used. Multiple group comparisons were conducted using one-way ANOVA. The correlations were evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient r. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6.0 for Mac (GraphPad). For multivariate statistical analysis, principal component analysis was performed for samples with complete datasets using R software and the mean z score was calculated using SPSS.

Study approval. Written informed consent from subjects or their surrogates was obtained after Institutional Review Board approval (IRB no. 2002P000272 for healthy subjects, IRB no. 2008P000495 for intensive care unit subjects with sepsis and IRB no. 2017P000367 for floor subjects with sepsis), before inclusion in the study at the Brigham and Women’s Hospital.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The data supporting the results in this study are available in the Article and Supplementary Information. The patient data are available from the authors, subject to approval from the Institutional Review Board of Partner’s Healthcare.

Code availability

The custom code used in this study is available at https://github.com/bdlevylab/BDLev-Lab.
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| Sample size  | Based on power calculations, we estimated that we needed up to 20 samples in each group to detect a significant difference in leukocyte phenotypes and function. |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Data exclusions | Samples were excluded if technical matters affected data collection.                                                                 |
| Replication  | Biospecimens from healthy subjects were subjected to repeated testing to establish the cell functional assays developed for this study. Once established, the methods for cell-based assays were replicated by multiple investigators over the duration of the study. Biological replication was assessed by obtaining biospecimens from multiple healthy subjects and two distinct sepsis cohorts. |
| Randomization | Randomization is not relevant to this pre-clinical study.                                                                                                                                   |
| Blinding     | Laboratory investigators were blinded to the diagnosis of patients until data collection and analyses were completed. Patients were assigned to disease group by an independent group of clinical investigators after completion of the laboratory analyses. |
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### Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
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| ☐ | Antibodies |
| ☒ | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| ☒ | Palaeontology |
| ☐ | Animals and other organisms |
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### Methods

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| ☒ | ChiP-seq |
| ☒ | Flow cytometry |
| ☒ | MRI-based neuroimaging |

#### Antibodies

**Antibodies used**

The following antibodies to human proteins were used, with clones noted in parentheses: anti-CD45 PerCP (HI30), anti-CD66b Pacific blue (G10FS), anti-CD16 APC-Cy7 (3G8), anti-CD69 FITC (FN50), anti-CD62L Brilliant Violet 510 (DREG-56), anti-CD42b Alexa Flour 700 (HIP1) (all from BioLegend) and anti-CD14 APC (61D3) and anti-CD11b PE-Cy7 (ICRF44) (from Thermofisher).

**Validation**

The antibodies used are commercially available and were validated by the manufacturers (Biolegend and Thermofisher).

- Anti-CD45 PerCP (HI30) - Audigé A, et al. 2017. BMC Immunology.
- Anti-CD66b Pacific Blue (G10FS) - Gunn BM, et al. 2018. Cell host & microbe.
- Anti-CD16 APC-Cy7 (3G8) - Felices M, et al. 2018. JCI Insight.
- Anti-CD69 FITC (FN50) - Shifrut E. 2018. Cell.
- Anti-CD62L Brilliant Violet 510 (DREG-56) - Charles N, et al. 2010. Nat. Med.
- Anti-CD42b Alexa Flour 700 (HIP1) - Meyer Dos Santos S, et al. 2011. Blood.
- Anti-CD14 APC (61D3) - Kan B, et al. 2018. Nat. Commun.
- Anti-CD11b PE-Cy7 (ICRF44) - Ormel PR, et al. 2018. Nat. Commun.

More information can be found on the manufacturer’s website (Biolegend and Thermofisher).

#### Human research participants

**Policy information about** [studies involving human research participants](#)

**Population characteristics**

Biospecimens were obtained from volunteer healthy human subjects and from two sepsis patient cohorts (ROCI and STEM), as detailed in Methods. Subject characteristics are provided in Table 1.

**Recruitment**

Recruitment details are provided in Methods.

**Ethics oversight**

The study was approved by the Brigham and Women’s Hospital Institutional Review Board. Details are provided in Methods.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
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Methodology

| Sample preparation | Sample preparation is detailed in Methods. |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Instrument         | BD Fortessa                                |
| Software           | Flowjo                                     |
| Cell population abundance | Cell sorting via flow cytometry was not performed. |
| Gating strategy    | Cells were first gated for FSC/SSC, then for live, CD45+ cells, then for cell population-specific markers, as indicated in Methods. |

- Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.