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Abstract

In this work, we present a method for content selection and document planning for automated news and report generation from structured statistical data such as that offered by the European Union’s statistical agency, Eurostat. The method is driven by the data and is highly topic-independent within the statistical dataset domain. As our approach is not based on machine learning, it is suitable for introducing news automation to the wide variety of domains where no training data is available. As such, it is suitable as a low-cost (in terms of implementation effort) baseline for document structuring prior to introduction of domain-specific knowledge.

1 Introduction

Automated generation of news texts from structured data – often referred to as ‘automated journalism’ (Graefe, 2016; Dörr, 2015; Caswell and Dörr, 2018) or ‘news automation’ (Linden, 2017; Siren-Heikel et al., 2019; Dierickx, 2019) – is of great interest to various news producers. It is seen as a way of ‘providing efficiency, increasing output and aiding in reallocating resources to pursue quality journalism’ (Siren-Heikel et al., 2019, p. 47). While data-to-text NLG systems are still far from common especially among the smaller, regional news industry players, at least among the larger newsrooms the use of NLG approaches has clearly been established (Fanta, 2017).

While secrecy in the industry makes it difficult to establish the commercial reality as an outsider, the limited available evidence indicates that commercial automated journalism is mostly done using rule-based methods despite a surge of academic interest in increasingly complex neural methods for NLG (e.g. Puduppully et al., 2019; Ferreira et al., 2019): Interviews of news automation users indicate that the employed methods are mostly based on templates (Siren-Heikel et al., 2019), as are the few open source code repositories of real-world news automation systems (Yleisradio, 2018). Indeed, some NLG industry experts believe that especially end-to-end neural models do not match customer needs at this time (Reiter, 2019).

Contributing factors include a lack of control (Reiter, 2019); issues with hallucination of non-grounded output (Nie et al., 2019; Dušek et al., 2019; Reiter, 2018); the difficulty in surgically correcting any issues identified in trained neural models beyond additional training; as well as the difficulty of establishing what the ‘worst case’ performance of a neural model is.

In addition, we believe that that while neural NLG methods are theoretically highly transferable, the practical transferability of neural NLG solutions to many news domains is limited by a lack of training data. While newsrooms have extensive archives of news text, these are rarely associated with the matching data that is the ‘input’ for each piece of news text (E.g., MacKova and Sido, 2020, pp. 43–44, Kanerva et al., 2019, p. 247). At the same time, the non-trainable methods for NLG, too, suffer from difficulties in transferability and reusability (Linden, 2017).

In this work, we investigate document planning (selecting what content and in what order should appear in the document) for structured, statistical data-to-text NLG in the context of automated journalism targeting human journalists. We are not in search of a perfect method, but rather something that is relatively easy to implement as a subdomain-independent baseline and which can then be enhanced with domain-specific processing later-on. Such a method would make it easier to introduce automated journalism solutions to completely new subdomains within the larger statistical data domain.
2 Structuring Hard News

When queried for insight into news structure, journalists and academics often recite the concept of the "(inverted) news pyramid", where the news article is structured so that the order in which information appears in the text reflects the journalist's belief about the importance of the piece of information (Thomson et al., 2008). While the precise origin of the structure is not clear (Pöttker, 2003), it has become so prototypical that it is held self-evident in the journalistic trade literature: "Every journalist knows how to write a traditional news text: start with the most important thing and continue until you have either said everything relevant or the space reserved for the story runs out" (Suolopuisto, 2018, translated from Finnish).

A more rigorous analysis of the structures employed in 'hard' news is presented by White (1997), who argues that hard news articles have an 'orbital' structure consisting of a nucleus which represents the main point of the article and satellites that give context and additional information about the nucleus. White (1997) assigns the role of the nucleus to the combination of the headline and the lead paragraph of the article, and describes the subsequent paragraphs as the satellites. White (1997) identifies five possible relations between a satellite and the nucleus: elaboration, cause-and-effect, justification, contextualization and appraisal. Thomson et al. (2008), in turn, identify that the satellites can elaborate, reiterate, describe causes or consequences, contextualize or provide additional assessment. An important observation is that – as indicated by 'orbital' – these satellites are relatively freely reorderable without affecting readability or meaning. Together, these two observations indicate that a good document plan for hard news (1) prioritizes more newsworthy items and (2) contains some overarching theme (exemplified by the nucleus) so that the text as a whole is coherent, i.e. the satellites are in some way related to the nucleus.

The relations identified by White (1997) and Thomson et al. (2008) are highly similar to those identified in the more general Rhetorical Structure Theory (RST) (Mann and Thompson, 1988), which uses similar nucleus-satellite terminology. However, whereas White (1997) and Thomson et al. (2008) analyze news text on the level of paragraphs, RST can be applied on a more fine-grained level to much shorter text spans. As RST shows that similar relations can be applied on a sub-paragraph level, we hypothesize that a reasonably approximation of a news article might be constructed by applying White's (1997) orbital theory also within paragraphs, by considering the first sentence of the paragraph a nucleus, and the others as satellites.

Importantly, we interpret the orbital theory of news structuring to suggest that – as the satellites are freely orderable – the actual type of relation is not as important for document planning as knowing that some relation exists between the satellite and the nucleus. We hypothesize that while identifying whether a specific (RST) relation exists between two arbitrary pieces of information requires domain knowledge, an approximation of whether two arbitrary pieces of information are related in some way could be obtained by inspecting their similarity in a domain-independent fashion.

That is, we expect that a piece of information regarding the US health care funding in 2020 is more likely to be related in some way to a piece of information discussing the US health care funding in 2020 than to another piece of information discussing the health care funding in Sweden in 1978. If a heuristic or similarity measure identifying such relations could be identified, it could be used together with some estimate of newsworthiness to construct paragraph and document plans that seek to maximize both the key aspects identified above: newsworthiness and the relatedness of the content.

As noted in the introduction, there is a distinction between the theoretical and the practical transferability of neural processing methods. We believe that a good baseline document planning and content selection approach should avoid the need for training data present in the many of recently proposed document planning and content selection approaches. This rules out as unsuitable most recent work that are based on learning from an aligned corpus of data and human-written texts, such as Angeli et al. (2010), Konstas and Lapata (2013), Wiseman et al. (2017), Zhang et al. (2017), Li and Wan (2018), Dou et al. (2018) and Puduppully et al. (2019).

Outside of these trainable approaches, to our knowledge, most other document planning approaches are based on 'hand-engineered' (Konstas and Lapata, 2013), domain-specific methods. A highly relevant survey of various document planning methods is presented by Gkatzia (2016). While these previous works are – to at least some degree – domain-specific, they establish concepts...
and ideas that are highly relevant for our goal. Both Hallett et al. (2006) and Gatt et al. (2009) describe a core set of information, called ‘summary spine’ or ‘key events’, that they hold as more important than the rest of the available information. They, as well as Banaee et al. (2013), also employ a numeric estimate of importance. Demir et al. (2010) identify that content already selected for inclusion in the document plan affects how well suited so-far unselected content is for inclusion. Sripada et al. (2003) identify Gricean maxims (Grice, 1975) as providing requirements for document planning and content selection.

3 Context

Our work on document planning is done in the context of a series of data-to-text NLG applications producing short highlights of structured statistical data. Importantly, the applications are intended to be deployed in contexts where they must be able to produce texts highlighting between 10 and 30 data points from datasets measured in 100,000s of data points. The resulting texts are intended to both alert journalists to potential news and to provide them with a starting place from which to write the final news text.

Our system, adapted from Leppänen et al. (2017a), is based on a pipeline of components with dedicated responsibilities similar to those described by Reiter and Dale (2000) and Reiter (2007). For this work, the relevant part of the architecture is the Document Planner component. This component receives as input two sets of message data structures, an example of which is shown in Table 1. The messages are extracted automatically from tables of statistical data obtained from Eurostat.

The core set contains messages that are known to be highly relevant to the generation task. Unlike the ‘summary spine’ of Hallett et al. (2006), the set is unlinked and unordered, and not all members of the set are guaranteed to be included in the document plan. The expanded set, contains messages that can be, but are not guaranteed to be, relevant for the document. Expressed using the terminology from Section 2, we assume that only messages in the core set can be nuclei, while messages from either set can be satellites.

These core and expanded sets are determined automatically from user input. When requesting a new text, the user of the system must define a dataset the text is to be generated from, for example the consumer price data available from Eurostat. This dataset is then divided into the core set and the expanded set by the user when they select what country the generated text should focus on. For example, if the user were to select that the text should discuss French consumer prices, the core set would contain all data from the consumer price dataset that pertains directly to France, while the rest of the consumer price dataset (including data pertaining to the UK, Finland, Croatia, etc.) would be set as the expanded set.

We estimate each message’s ‘newsworthiness’ using the Interquartile Range based method described by Leppänen et al. (2017b) with the values scaled to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1 for the purposes of this computation. The resulting value is conceptually similar to ‘importance’ of Gatt et al. (2009) and ‘risk’ of Banaee et al. (2013). The IQR based method compares each data point in turn to a larger distribution, giving it higher scores the further it is from the area between the first and the third quartile of the larger distribution. Values between the quartiles are given a minimal, uniform, score that is dependent on the shape of the distribution. In other words, higher IQR values indicate that the value is more of an outlier compared to the rest of related data in the dataset. As such, it captures a degree of ‘unexpectedness’, which is an important aspect of newsworthiness (Galtung and Ruge, 1965).

We do not use the domain-specific parts of the method described by Leppänen et al. (2017b). That is, we make no value judgement of whether messages pertaining to French consumer prices are more newsworthy than messages pertaining to Croatian consumer prices, nor do we make judgements of whether changes in the price of education are more or less newsworthy than changes in the price of alcohol and tobacco. However, we do weight the scores so that messages with the timestamp field being closer to present receive higher weights, as recency is an important aspect of newsworthiness. While we have described our method for computing the newsworthiness value in some detail, we emphasize that for the rest of this article we only assume that the newsworthiness values are non-negative and that higher values indicate higher newsworthiness.

More crucially for the method described be-
low, we specify that the value_type fields (which describe how the messages’ values are to be interpreted) contain members of a hierarchical taxonomy of data types represented as colon-separated hierarchies of labels. For example, the value_type field value health:cost:hc2:mio_eur would indicate that the number in the value field is the amount of money (cost), measured in millions of euros (mio_eur), spent by some nation (as defined by the location and location_type fields) on rehabilitative care (hc2) in some time period (as defined by the timestamp and timestamp_type fields) and that this is part of the larger health care topic (health). In our case, these labels are automatically established from the headers of the input data tables.

The goal of document structuring is to produce a three-level tree-structure with ordered children. The root node corresponds to the document as a whole and the mid-level structures correspond to paragraphs. The leaves are the messages selected for inclusion in the document. While the messages have not yet, at this stage, been associated with any linguistic structures, they can be conceptualized as being phrases or very short sentences. We are thus concurrently determining both the content and the structure the document.

We emphasize that our applications are employed in domains where they must be able to select some 10-30 messages from a pool of potential messages numbering in 100,000s. Given infinite computational resources, it would be preferable to construct all possible document plans and then score them in some fashion. This, however, is infeasible given the size of the search space. Previously, other authors have employed, for example, stochastic searches with significantly smaller search spaces (Mellish et al., 1998). Indeed, some kind of a beam search approach could be very useful in smartly searching a subset of the search space. However, we have thus far been unable to identify a document-level metric that adequately balances the ‘total amount of newsworthiness’ in a text with the length of the text, a requirement for beam search.

4 Research Objective

Based on the above considerations, our main goal is to identify a widely applicable method for content selection and document planning that matches the following requirements:

- REQ1: The method needs to be highly performant
- REQ2: The method should not be dependent on domain knowledge
- REQ3: The document should have a theme
- REQ4: The document should have multiple paragraphs but not be excessively long
- REQ5: The paragraphs should have distinct themes related to the document theme
- REQ6: The paragraph themes should be newsworthy in their own right
- REQ7: The paragraphs should not be excessively long or short
- REQ8: All messages should relate to the paragraph theme
- REQ9: All messages should be newsworthy
- REQ10: Within each paragraph, the messages should be presented in an order that produces a coherent narrative

Again, we emphasize that our goal is not to identify a method that is optimal for any specific scenario, but rather to determine a baseline method that is adequate for a broad spectrum of applications and sub-domains.

5 A Baseline Approach to Document Planning

Optimally, we would wish to produce some sort of a globally optimal document plan. However, as discussed above, this would entail significant computational costs and require a scoring function applicable to the document as a whole. As such, we propose a method for producing document plans in a greedy, linear, and iterative fashion. At every stage, decisions are made considering only a limited local context, thus avoiding the need for a method of determining the global quality of the document plan, thus fulfilling REQ1 (‘The method needs to be highly performant’).

The document’s overall theme, in our use case, is selected by the user who initiates the generation task. In initiating the task, the users selects both a dataset and a focus location. The generation process then derives the core messages and expanded messages sets (the inputs to the Document Planner, see Section 3) so that both sets discuss the dataset...
indicated by the user (i.e. messages from other datasets are not generated) and that the core set contains messages pertaining to the user’s indicated focus location, while messages pertaining to all other locations are in the expanded set. This fulfills REQ3 (‘The document should have a theme’). This step is also independent of the specific subdomain, thus fulfilling REQ2 (‘The method should not be dependent on domain knowledge’). This step thus fulfills all the relevant requirements. Next, we’ll describe how both the first and subsequent paragraphs can be planned in a way consistent with the requirements defined above.

### 5.1 Planning the First Paragraph

At the start of the document planning process, we select the most newsworthy message from the core messages set to act as the nucleus ($n_1$) of the first paragraph ($p_1$). This nucleus establishes the theme of the first paragraph as follows: We inspect the value_type field of this first nucleus $n_1$, and retrieve a prefix Prefix($n_1$). The prefix is the least amount of colon-separated labels wherein the total amount of prefixes in the core set is greater than the minimal amount of paragraphs a document can have, in our case two. In our case, as a consequence of our label hierarchy, this is always the first three colon-separated units. For the message shown in Table 1, the prefix would thus be `cphi:hicp2015:cp-hi02`, meaning that the first paragraph’s theme would be the prices of alcoholic beverages and tobacco. This fulfills REQ5, ‘the paragraphs should have distinct themes related to the document theme’ for the first paragraph.

Next, the first paragraph is completed with satellites from the union of the core messages and the expanded messages sets. These satellites are initially filtered so that only messages that have the same prefix as the nucleus $n_i$ are considered in paragraph $p_i$ to fulfill REQ8 (‘All messages should relate to the paragraph theme’). The satellites are then selected in a linear, greedy, and iterative manner to fulfill REQ1.

For selecting the $k$’th satellite to a partially constructed paragraph already containing $k - 1$ satellites and one nucleus, we consider both the newsworthiness of the available messages (REQ9), as well as how well they would fit the already constructed segment (REQ8). Observing only the newsworthiness would produce a highly incoherent narrative, whereas focusing only on the narrative risks leaving out highly important information.

Following the reasoning in Section 2, we assume that two subsequent messages are more likely to form a good narrative if they are similar. As such, we need a method for weighing the message’s newsworthiness by the similarity of the message to the last message of the under-construction paragraph, thus balancing the requirements of REQ8 and REQ9. In terms of the message objects described in Table 1, it seems to us that the intuitive aspects of similarity are related to the degree of similarity within the ‘meta’ fields such as timestamp, location and value_type.

For the timestamp and location fields, we can state that two messages that have identical values in the fields are more similar that two messages that are otherwise the same but have distinct values for said fields. We call this the contextual similarity of the messages, and the fields the contextual fields ($F_c$), as these fields provide us access to the larger context in which the value and value_type fields can be interpreted. Contextual similarity captures the notion that it is likely better to follow a fact about French healthcare spending in 2020 with another piece of information about France in 2020,
rather than about Austria in 1990.

In more precise terms, we propose the following weighing scheme for contextual similarity: The similarity $\text{sim}_c(A, B)$ of two messages $A$ and $B$ is the product of weights $w_f > 1$ for each field $f$ among the contextual fields $F_c$, where both $A$ and $B$ have the same value for the field:

$$\text{sim}_c(A, B) = \prod_{f \in F_c | A.f = B.f} w_f$$

This value strictly increases as more fields are shared between $A$ and $B$. We explicitly define the similarity to be zero if there are no fields $f$ where $A$ and $B$ share a value. If $w_f$ is a uniform value for all fields $f$, this scheme is completely domain-agnostic. Setting different weights $w_f$ for each field $f \in F_c$ allows for encoding some domain knowledge about which fields are the most important for the text, thus providing a method for producing more tailored texts at the cost of slightly violating REQ2. In our case study, we set $w_{\text{timestamp}} = 1.1$ and $w_{\text{location}} = 1.5$.

The above consideration of similarity still ignores valuable information available from the value_type field, which describes how the value in the value field is to be interpreted. Denoting health:cost:hc2:mio_eur (the cost of rehabilitative care in millions of euros) by $T_1$, consider its similarity to $T_2 = \text{health:cost:hc2:eur}_\text{hab}$, the cost of rehabilitative care as euros per inhabitant, and $T_3 = \text{health:cost:hc41:mio_eur}$, the cost of health care related imaging services in millions of euros. Intuitively, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are thematically closer than $T_1$ and $T_3$. We model this similarity between two facts $A$ and $B$ simply as

$$\text{sim}_t(A, B) = \frac{1}{s(A, B)}$$

where $s(A, B)$ is the length – in colon-separated units – of the unshared suffix between $A$ and $B$’s value_type fields. That is, $s(T_1, T_2) = 1$ whereas $s(T_1, T_3) = 2$. We specify that $\text{sim}_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ is zero for all pairs without any shared prefix.

Our formulation of $\text{sim}_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ was influenced by the observation that in our context the messages’ value_type values have a constant number of colon-separated segments. In cases where the lengths of the value_type values differ, an alternative formulation of

$$\text{sim}_t'(A, B) = \frac{2p(A, B)}{\ell(A) + \ell(B)}$$

where $\ell(\cdot)$ provides the length of the value_type value, and $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the length of shared prefix between $A$ and $B$, both measured as colon-separated units, might be preferable if also more complex.

When considering whether the $k$’th satellite $s_i^k$ of paragraph $p_i$ should be a specific candidate $c \in C$, where $C$ is all so far unused messages, we can combine the similarity metrics with the newsworthiness of $c$ into a general fitness value as follows:

$$\text{fit}(c, x) = c.\text{newsworthiness} \times \text{sim}_c(c, x) \times \text{sim}_t(c, x) \times \text{set}_\text{penalty}(c)$$

The set_penalty($c$) factor depends on whether the message originates from the core messages set, or the extended messages set. For messages originating from the core message set, the penalty is 1. For messages originating from the extended messages set, the penalty is $\frac{1}{\text{dist} + 1}$, where dist is the distance from the previous core message.

The final score describing how good of an addition $c$ would be as the $k$th satellite of the $i$th paragraph $s_i^k$ is then obtained by taking the average of fitnesses of $c$ in relation to both the nucleus $n_i$ and the previous satellite $s_i^{k-1}$ by computing:

$$\text{score}(c, n_i, s_i^{k-1}) = \frac{\text{fit}(c, n_i) + \text{fit}(c, s_i^{k-1})}{2}$$

This maximizes the newsworthiness of the paragraph’s contents (fulfilling REQ9, ‘all messages should be newsworthy’), while also enforcing relatedness to the theme of the paragraph (fulfilling REQ8, ‘all messages should relate to the paragraph theme’) by measuring against the nucleus and with the inclusion of the set_penalty. By continuously measuring against the previously selected satellite, the procedure also allows for interludes to e.g. discuss highly newsworthy information related to but not strictly about the paragraph’s main topic, or ‘thematic drift’. It thus fulfills REQ10 (‘Within each paragraph, the messages should be presented in an order that produces a coherent narrative’) while also paying attention to the pyramid model of news (See Section 2).

Using score, the highest scoring candidate $c_{\text{top}} = \arg \max_{c \in C} \text{score}(c, n_i, s_i^{k-1})$ is then compared to both an absolute threshold $t_{\text{abs}}$ and the newsworthiness of the nucleus $n_i$ multiplied by relative threshold value $t_{\text{rel}}$. Provided that the
maximal paragraph length has not been reached, the top candidate message $c_{top}$ is appended to the paragraph $p_i$ as the $k$'th satellite $s_i^k$ in the document plan provided that either $\text{score}(c_{top}, n_i, s_i^{k-1}) \geq t_{abs}$ or $\text{score}(c_{top}, n_i, s_i^{k-1}) \geq t_{rel} \times n_i.\text{newsworthiness}$.

These thresholds ensure that the paragraph does not stray into minutiae, whether considered in absolute terms or in relation to the nucleus of the paragraph. In cases where the minimum paragraph length has not been reached, the thresholds are ignored and the top candidate is always appended. This accounts for REQ7 (‘The paragraphs should not be excessively long or short’).

The above considerations take into account several free parameters, namely the maximal and minimal paragraph lengths as well as the threshold values $t_{rel}$ and $t_{abs}$. In our case study, we selected the minimal and maximal paragraph lengths as 2 and 5 messages empirically by trialing out various values and observing the resulting texts. These should, naturally, be based on the genre of text and the target audience. For the threshold values we selected 0.2 and 0.5, respectively, using the same method as with the paragraph lengths above. Both the thresholds and the minimal and maximal paragraph lengths should be viewed as (manually) tuneable hyperparameters.

5.2 Planning Subsequent Paragraphs

We then proceed to generate further paragraphs in a manner highly similar to that used when planning the first paragraph. The only distinction is that, when selecting the nucleus $n_i$ for a subsequent paragraph $p_i$, we obtain the message from the core messages set with a highest newsworthiness value that has a prefix (theme) not yet discussed among the previously planned paragraphs $p_1$ - $p_{i-1}$:

$$n_i = \arg\max_{c \in C} c.\text{newsworthiness} \quad (4)$$

where

$$C = \begin{cases} c \in \text{CoreMessages} | \text{Prefix}(c) \notin \\ \text{Prefix}(n_k) | k \in [1..i-1] \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

This ensures that the different paragraphs are highly newsworthy, thus fulfilling REQ6, while also fulfilling REQ5 for having distinct themes for the different paragraphs.

As when constructing the subsequent paragraphs, the total length of the document also needs to be considered. To fulfill REQ4 (‘The document should have multiple paragraphs but not be excessively long’), we employ a variation of the method described in the previous section for ending individual paragraphs. A maximal length (in our case, 3 paragraphs) ensures that the document is not allowed to grow beyond reason, whereas a minimal length (for us, 2 paragraphs) ensures that the document is not unreasonably short. After the minimal length has been reached (but not yet the maximal length), a new paragraph is only started if the nucleus of the potential paragraph has a newsworthiness value that is at least 30 % of the newsworthiness value of the first nucleus of the document.

This, as with the satellites, ensures that the document does not stray into minutiae, balancing REQs 4 and 6. the maximal and minimal lengths, as well as the 30 % threshold, were determined by manual fine-tuning and should be viewed as tuneable hyperparameters.

6 Evaluation

The method described above was implemented in a larger NLG application producing news alerts for journalists from datasets provided by Eurostat. A variation of the same application was also developed with a simplified document planner. In this simplified planner, the planner always selects the maximally newsworthy available message as the message without any early stopping threshold. Nuclei are selected from the core messages set, while satellites can be from either set. Contrasting our proposed method with this simplified method enables us to evaluate the importance of narrative coherence in the generated texts. The larger application is multilingual, but the evaluation was conducted using English language texts.

Three experts were recruited from the Finnish News Agency STT, a national European news agency, to evaluate documents on the consumer price indices in five different European nations. For all nations, the judges were shown variants produced by both our proposed method and the simplified method. One of the selected countries is the country the news agency is based in, with the assumption that the judges would have high amounts of world knowledge they would be able to use in evaluating these texts. Another variant pair describes a country that is both relatively small and geographically remote (but still within EU), with the assumption that the journalists are unlikely to
Consumer Prices in Estonia

In June 2020, in Estonia, the monthly growth rate of the harmonized consumer price index for the category ‘education’ was 30.8 points. It was 30.7 percentage points more than the EU average. In July 2020, it was 0.4 percentage points less than the EU average. It was -0.4 points. In May 2020, the yearly growth rate of the harmonized consumer price index for the category ‘education’ was -20.5 points. It was 21.9 percentage points less than the EU average.

In August 2020, the monthly growth rate of the harmonized consumer price index for the category ‘housing, water, electricity, gas and other fuels’ was 2.5 points. It was 2.3 percentage points more than the EU average. In North Macedonia, it was 3 percentage points more than the EU average. It was 3.2 points. Estonia had the 3rd highest monthly growth rate of the harmonized consumer price index for the category ‘housing, water, electricity, gas and other fuels’ across the observed countries. In Sweden, the monthly growth rate of the harmonized consumer price index for the category ‘housing, water, electricity, gas and other fuels’ was 3.1 points.

Figure 1: Example output regarding Eurostat statistics on consumer prices. The text contains 12 messages, selected from among 207,210 messages available during generation.

have much world knowledge about this country’s consumer prices. The three other countries were selected from among those bordering the first country, with the assumption that the journalists would have some, but not much, world knowledge relating to these countries. The final output texts were not inspected prior to selecting the countries.

All of the texts used in the evaluation were generated from a copy of the same underlying Eurostat dataset, entitled ‘Harmonised index of consumer prices - monthly data [ei_cphi_m]’ downloaded in September 2020. It contains country-level data regarding the harmonized consumer prices indices, and their change over time, for various EU nations starting from January 1996. We preprocess the data by adding monthly rankings (i.e. determine what country had the greatest, the second greatest, etc. value for a specific index category during any specific month) and comparisons to the EU average values.

As the evaluation was focused on document planning and content selection, the larger system was simplified in some respects, e.g., to not conduct complex aggregation. This was done to minimize the effect of later stages of the generation process on the evaluation. As a result, the language in the evaluated documents was relatively stilted, as exemplified by Figure 1. The only manual alteration was the addition of headings to indicate the texts’ intended themes.

The judges did not receive any direct compensation but their employer, the news agency, is a member of the EU-wide EMBEDDIA research project within which parts of this work was conducted. The evaluations were conducted online. The judges were first provided with some basic information on the type of documents they were to read (i.e. that the texts are intended to be news alerts for journalists, rather than publication ready news texts), the length of the task, etc. All instructions were in the judges’ native language, in this case Finnish. The judges were not told which texts were produced by which variants nor how many variants were being tested. Following this, the judges were shown the documents one by one. For each document, the judges were asked to indicate their agreement with the following statements (translated from Finnish):

Q1: The text matches the heading
Q2: The text is coherent
Q3: The text lacks some pertinent information
Q4: The text contains unnecessary information
Q5: The text has a suitable length

For Q1–Q4, the judges indicated their agreement on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (‘completely disagree’) to 7 (‘completely agree’). For Q5, the answers were provided on 5-point scale ranging from 1 (‘clearly too short’) to 3 (‘length is suitable’) to 5 (‘clearly too long’). In addition, the judges were able to provide textual feedback for each individual text, as well as for the evaluation task as a whole. The judges’ answers to Q1 – Q5, are aggregated in Table 2.

The results indicate that the proposed method statistically significantly increases the document’s coherence (Q2, mean 4.33 vs. 1.60, median 5 vs 2), the matching of the document’s content to the document’s theme (Q1, mean 4.40 vs. 1.80, median 5 vs 2), and produces documents of more suitable length (Q5, mean 2.93 vs. 4.07, median 3 vs 4, with 3 being best). The proposed method also seems...
Table 2: Results obtained during the evaluation. Parentheses indicate answer ranges and whether the higher (↑), lower (↓) or middle values are to be interpreted as the best. The $p_{MWU}$ column contains the (uncorrected) $p$-value of a two-sided Mann-Whitney U test. An asterisk indicates the $p$-value is statistically significant also after applying a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple tests.

| Statement | Our method | Median | Mean | SD | Baseline | Median | Mean | SD | $p_{MWU}$ |
|-----------|------------|--------|------|----|----------|--------|------|----|-----------|
| Q1 (1–7, ↑) | 5 | 4.40 | 1.64 | 2 | 1.80 | 0.41 | < 0.001* |
| Q2 (1–7, ↑) | 5 | 4.33 | 1.76 | 2 | 1.60 | 0.51 | < 0.001* |
| Q3 (1–7, ↓) | 4 | 4.47 | 1.81 | 6 | 5.80 | 1.42 | 0.049 |
| Q4 (1–7, ↓) | 5 | 5.13 | 1.55 | 6 | 6.33 | 0.62 | 0.024 |
| Q5 (1–5, 3 best) | 3 | 2.93 | 0.59 | 4 | 4.07 | 0.70 | < 0.001* |

to result in less unnecessary information being included in the document (Q4, mean 5.13 vs 6.33, median 5 vs 6), and in the text missing less necessary information (Q3, mean 4.47 vs 5.80, median 4 vs 6), but these effects are not statistically significant after correcting for multiple comparisons with the Bonferroni correction. We hypothesize this difference would become significant in a larger-scale evaluation.

The free-form textual feedback provided by the judges, as expected, indicates that the texts could be further improved. For example, in the case of the text shown in Figure 1, the judges called for a sentence explicitly noting that North Macedonia had the highest monthly growth rate. In addition, they noted it might be better to produce distinct, even shorter, texts as ‘news alerts’ while reserving the evaluated texts for use as a starting point when the journalist starts writing.

7 Conclusions

In this work, we have identified a need for, and proposed, a widely applicable baseline document planning method for generating journalistic texts from statistical datasets. Our method is based on observations on the similarities between the orbital theory of news structure (White, 1997) and Rhetorical Structure Theory (Mann and Thompson, 1988). While our proposed method is likely to fall short of the performance of subdomain-specific planning methods, results indicate that it achieves adequate performance while fulfilling a set of requirements identified based on the larger application domain of news generation.
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