Arp2/3 complex interactions and actin network turnover in lamellipodia
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Cell migration is initiated by lamellipodia—membrane-enclosed sheets of cytoplasm containing densely packed actin filament networks. Although the molecular details of network turnover remain obscure, recent work points towards key roles in filament nucleation for Arp2/3 complex and its activator WAVE complex. Here, we combine fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of different lamellipodial components with a new method of data analysis to shed light on the dynamics of actin assembly/disassembly. We show that Arp2/3 complex is incorporated into the network exclusively at the lamellipodium tip, like actin, at sites coincident with WAVE complex accumulation. Capping protein likewise showed a turnover similar to actin and Arp2/3 complex, but was confined to the tip. In contrast, cortactin—another prominent Arp2/3 complex regulator—and ADF/cofilin—previously implicated in driving both filament nucleation and disassembly—were rapidly exchanged throughout the lamellipodium. These results suggest that Arp2/3- and WAVE complex-driven actin filament nucleation at the lamellipodium tip is uncoupled from the activities of both cortactin and cofilin. Network turnover is additionally regulated by the spatially segregated activities of capping protein at the tip and cofilin throughout the mesh.

Introduction

The migration of cells and tissues is fundamental to metazoan life, driving tissue morphogenesis, homeostasis and defence. Cell migration requires dynamic reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, with filaments providing mechanical support of protrusion of the front and retraction of the rear. The lamellipodium, a thin leaflet of plasma membrane filled with actin filaments, constitutes the key organelle generating the force for directional protrusion of the cell periphery (Small et al, 2002; Pollard, 2007). Importantly, the actin filaments building the lamellipodium are oriented with their fast-growing, barbed ends pointing outwards (Small et al, 1978), allowing the centrifugal growth of the network. Work over the years has largely focused on signalling pathways stimulating the formation of these structures (Rafitopoulos and Hall, 2004; Disanza et al, 2005), and the molecular players driving actin polymerization to induce and maintain them (Pollard and Borisy, 2003; Pollard, 2007). Although the increase in knowledge on the biochemical activities of factors implicated in actin polymerization at the cell periphery has been significant, the detailed actin assembly and disassembly kinetics in lamellipodia are unsettled and controversial. Breakthroughs in actin biochemistry include the discovery of the Arp2/3 complex (Machesky et al, 1994) and formins (Woychik et al, 1999), recognition of their relevance in nucleating actin filaments (reviewed in Goley and Welch, 2006; Goode and Eck, 2007; Pollard, 2007), as well as in vitro reconstitution of actin-based motility using purified proteins (Loisel et al, 1999; Romero et al, 2004). Spire (Quinlan et al, 2005) and Cordon-Bleu (Ahuja et al, 2007), implicated in vesicle trafficking and neuronal morphogenesis, respectively, add to the list of actin nucleators found in eukaryotes.

There is increasing consensus on the list of molecules harbouring key functions in lamellipodium protrusion (Small et al, 2002; Stradal and Scita, 2006), mostly based on manipulations of protein expression or activity. Suppression of Arp2/3 complex components by RNA interference (RNAi) or sequestration of the complex in the cytosol inhibits lamellipodia formation (Machesky and Insall, 1998; Kunda et al, 2003; Steffen et al, 2006). Arp2/3 complex activation in lamellipodia is thought to be mediated by WAVE complex (Stradal et al, 2004) and cortactin, although the relevance of cortactin in this process is controversial (Cosen-Binker and Kapus, 2006). Heterodimeric capping protein is also essential for Arp2/3 complex-mediated motility, both when reconstituted in vitro (Loisel et al, 1999) and in vivo, as RNAi-mediated knockdown causes excessive filopodia formation at the expense of lamellipodia (Mejjillano et al, 2004). Another protein family of comparable relevance is ADF/cofilin proteins, which were proposed to promote lamellipodial protrusion by driving both actin
assembly and disassembly (Carlier et al., 1999; Ghosh et al., 2004; Hotulainen et al., 2005; Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006). All these factors are found to be enriched in lamellipodial protrusions, sometimes with significant spatial variations (Small et al., 2002), but their turnover rates in steady-state protrusion of live lamellipodia are much less well defined. For example, differences of opinion still exist about the site(s) of actin assembly within lamellipodia (Wang, 1985; Theriot and Mitchison, 1991; Small, 1995; Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002).

By employing state-of-the-art bleaching and photoactivation approaches combined with a new method of analysis and mathematical modelling, we revisit the mechanism of actin filament turnover in lamellipodia. Our findings, which reveal treadmillning for both actin and Arp2/3 complex, but uncoupling of their dynamics from cortactin and coflin, call for a re-evaluation of the roles of these proteins in lamellipodia assembly.

Results and discussion

The turnover of lamellipodial actin was initially examined using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) (Wang, 1985) or photoactivation (Theriot and Mitchison, 1991), leading to the proposal of two distinct models for actin filament turnover in lamellipodia, treadmillng versus nucleation release, respectively. Whereas the former mechanism assumes assembly of individual lamellipodial filaments at the front and disassembly at the rear, the latter features short filaments, released from the front, and capable of continuous turnover throughout the lamellipodium (Small, 1995). Several groups have subsequently studied actin network flow using fluorescent speckle microscopy, which exploits inhomogeneous incorporation of low amounts of fluorescent molecules into polymer (Danuser and Waterman-Storer, 2006). Again, analysis of single-molecule speckles in lamellipodia suggested that most of the actin filaments in the lamellipodium are generated away from the front (see Supplementary Movie 2). Consistent with treadmilling, which largely coincided with exchange of non-activated actin monomers into the lamellipodial actin meshwork (Figure 1C and Supplementary Movie 3). Using photoactivation, the average speed of actin translocation to the leading edge was determined to be approximately 3.1±1.5 µm/s in B16-F1 cells, with peak rates in individual cells of up to 6 µm/s (data not shown). In the FRAP experiment, this rapid translocation of unpolymerized actin was detected as the incorporation of a narrow line of bleached actin into the lamellipodium tip (Figure 1C). If there were an active reorganization of the lamellipodial network by intra-lamellipodial assembly/disassembly (Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002), we would expect a rapid dissipation of the line of bleached actin, which was not the case. Instead, bleached actin from the lamella that incorporated into the lamellipodium tip flowed steadily rearwards in a well-defined line (Figure 1C), consistent with treadmillning.

To re-evaluate when and where actin filaments are nucleated within lamellipodia of migrating cells, we bleached or photoactivated actin derivatives expressed in motile B16-F1 melanoma cells at various subcellular locations (Figure 1). In initial experiments, a laser scanning device of a confocal microscope was used to bleach rectangular regions in lamellipodia of cells expressing EGFP-actin, and recovery of fluorescence was recorded with wide-field imaging, enabling high spatial and temporal resolution (Figure 1A and Supplementary Movie 1). At 2 s after bleaching, a sharp rim of actin was observed at the lamellipodium tip, which progressively expanded rearwards into more proximal regions of the lamellipodium with an average speed of 3.78 µm/min (Figure 1A) (n = 13). These data already indicated a strong bias of incorporation of actin at the lamellipodium front, as observed previously (Wang, 1985), and are inconsistent with the view deduced from in vitro studies that treadmillning does not contribute much to actin filament turnover in cells (Pollard, 2007). To exclude the possibility that energy introduced into the system by bleaching may cause an experimentally induced modification of actin filament dynamics in our cells, we employed two additional methods to study actin network turnover in lamellipodia: photoactivation and a pseudo-fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLIP) approach. Activation of photoactivatable EGFP-tagged actin co-expressed with mRFP–actin revealed rapid rearward flow of the activated probe and its dissipation from the rear of the lamellipodium (Figure 1B), which largely coincided with exchange of non-activated actin from the front (see Supplementary Movie 2). Consistent with previous observations (Wang, 1985; Hotulainen et al., 2005; Nakagawa et al., 2006), these data supported a treadmillning mode of actin filament turnover in lamellipodia. However, it was recently proposed that FRAP and photoactivation of lamellipodial actin may lead to erroneous interpretations, due to significant turnover of the network from within the bleached or photoactivated area while flowing rearward (Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002). To exclude a contribution of intra-lamellipodial assembly/disassembly events to network turnover, we bleached thin lines parallel to the lamellipodial leading edge and established that the bleached lines travelled rearwards with the lamellipodial mesh (not shown) similar to wider bleached regions (see above). In addition, we exploited the rapid translocation of unpolymerized actin to the leading edge discovered recently (Zicha et al., 2003). In this FLIP type of experiment, we rapidly bleached the lamella in a position several microns behind the lamellipodium and recorded the incorporation of bleached actin monomers into the lamellipodial actin meshwork (Figure 1C and Supplementary Movie 3). Using photoactivation, the average speed of actin translocation to the leading edge was determined to be approximately 3.1±1.5 µm/s in B16-F1 cells, with peak rates in individual cells of up to 6 µm/s (data not shown). In the FLIP experiment, this rapid translocation of unpolymerized actin was detected as the incorporation of a narrow line of bleached actin into the lamellipodium tip (Figure 1C). If there were an active reorganization of the lamellipodial network by intra-lamellipodial assembly/disassembly (Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002), we would expect a rapid dissipation of the line of bleached actin, which was not the case. Instead, bleached actin from the lamella that incorporated into the lamellipodium tip flowed steadily rearwards in a well-defined line (Figure 1C), consistent with treadmillning.

A Monte Carlo model of diffusion was employed to simulate the fluorescence recovery observed in the lamellipodium shown in Figure 1A (for details on the model, see Supplementary data). The model that best fitted the experimental data is shown in Figure 1D (compare Figure 1A and D, see Supplementary Movie 4) and assumed actin assembly probability to be highest at the leading edge (for actin assembly and disassembly probability profiles relative to the distance from the leading edge, see Figure 1E). From these data, we conclude that actin predominantly polymerizes at the lamellipodium front, presumably at the interface between plasma membrane and the filament ends in the network abutting the membrane. However, to obtain a more unbiased determination of the dynamic behaviour of actin, applicable also to the regulatory molecules studied here, we developed a quantifiable parameter which we called ‘treadmilling factor’ (TMF) (Figure 1F, see Supplementary Movie 5). To obtain the TMF, we extracted and plotted the recovery of fluorescence in both the front and the rear half of the lamellipodium over time (Figure 1G). Upon normalization of
Figure 1 Actin assembly is restricted to the lamellipodium tip. (A) FRAP of EGFP–actin in lamellipodium of B16-F1 cell bleached as indicated by rectangle. Numbers in post-bleach images correspond to seconds. Bar: 3 μm. (B) Photoactivation of PA–EGFP–actin (green) within a lamellipodial region as indicated by rectangle in cell co-expressing mRFP–actin (red). Bar: 2 μm. (C) Rapid translocation to the leading edge of EGFP–actin bleached in the lamella (rectangle). Bleached actin incorporates at the leading edge and treadmills rearwards with the actin filament network (red arrows). Bar: 2 μm. (D) Monte Carlo diffusion model of the experiment shown in (A), with actin assembly/disassembly probability profiles shown in (E). Bar: 3 μm. (E) G-to-F (polymerization) and F-to-G (depolymerization) probability as a function of distance from the leading edge assumed for the simulation shown in (D). (F) Diagram explaining TMF calculation. Average intensities of front (F) and back (B) parts of the lamellipodium are plotted over time, and differential intensity per unit time calculated as shown. (G, H) Treadmilling analyses of the experiment shown in (A) and of its simulation shown in (D), respectively. (I) Treadmilling analysis for EGFP–actin as averaged from six independent movies. Data correspond to means and s.e.m. of front and back halves of analysed lamellipodia as indicated. Linear curves correspond to best fits of averaged data.
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fluorescence to compare individual movies (see Materials and methods), the TMF was taken as the difference between the two curves averaged over time and constituted a direct measure of biased recovery from the front (Figure 1G). For the movie shown in Figure 1A, the TMF was 0.41 (Figure 1G), which compared closely to 0.43 (Figure 1H) obtained from the computer simulation depicted in Figure 1D. According to definition, the latter value comes close to the highest possible value for a TMF for a component with continuous recovery from the front, at least for the lamellipodium width given (see Supplementary data and below). In contrast, proteins with homogenous recovery over the entire lamellipodium will display TMFs close to 0. Importantly, the TMF for EGFP–actin (0.44) obtained from the average curves of six movies recorded with a double scan-headed confocal (see Supplementary Movie 6) was practically identical to the value observed in the simulation (Figure 1I). Taken together, these data show that actin assembly in the lamellipodia of these motile cells occurs exclusively at the front, with the actin filament arrays turning over by treadmilling. In addition, rapid severing and re-annexing of actin filaments as proposed recently to drive dynamic network rearrangements in lamellipodia (Miyoshi et al., 2006) cannot occur with significant frequency in the lamellipodia of B16-F1 (this study) and MTLn3 (see below) cells, as well as fibroblasts (Wang, 1985) or neuronal cells (Nakagawa et al., 2006), because such activities would preclude a strongly biased recovery from the front.

Having developed a system to record and analyse the spatial and temporal features of actin network turnover in B16-F1 lamellipodia, we turned to studying established regulators of lamellipodia protrusion; initially the actin filament nucleating Arp2/3 complex and its lamellipodial activators, the WAVE complex and cortactin. To ascertain reliable analysis of Arp2/3 complex dynamics, both C- and N-terminal fusions of all seven subunits of the complex were screened for proper incorporation into lamellipodia, as described previously (Rottnet et al., 2006). Of these, the smallest subunits ArpC5 (also known as p16) and ArpC4 (p20) were selected for further analysis. In contrast to recent observations with fluorescent speckle microscopy, which indicated enrichment only in the distal two-thirds of the lamellipodium (Iwasa and Mullins, 2007), we observed EGFP-tagged Arp2/3 complex subunits to accumulate in the entire lamellipodium (Supplementary Figure 1), consistent with original immunolabelling data (Welch et al., 1997), although lamellipodia were on average narrower than in cells expressing EGFP–actin (see below). Arp2/3 complex is considered to amplify the generation of rapidly growing barbed ends by mediating the branching of daughter filaments off the barbed ends or sides of mother filaments. Although Arp2/3 complex could in principle be activated by multiple molecules in lamellipodia, the WAVE complex, which is known to accumulate at the tips of these structures (Steffen et al., 2004; Stradal et al., 2004), is currently considered most relevant for their formation. Previous analyses of Arp2/3 complex speckles indicated dynamics different from actin speckles (Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002), with a biased incorporation at the lamellipodium front (Miyoshi et al., 2006; Iwasa and Mullins, 2007). Irrespective of its activation, Arp2/3 complex may also be subject to rapid turnover in deeper lamellipodial regions, for example, upon network debranching and/or dissociation from actin, which may have previously been missed in single-molecule speckle analyses (Iwasa and Mullins, 2007). To establish lamellipodial Arp2/3 complex dynamics, we performed FRAP experiments with cells expressing ArpC4 (not shown) or ArpC5 (Figure 2A–C). As shown in Figure 2A and B (see also Supplementary Movie 7), Arp2/3 complex largely recovered from the front, which would be consistent with its activation at the lamellipodium tip where the WAVE complex is localized (Steffen et al., 2004). Analysis of the representative cell in Figure 2A showed a significant difference between fluorescence recovery at the front and rear part of the lamellipodium (Figure 2B), which was confirmed by the average recovery behaviour of all cells analysed (Figure 2C). As expression of Arp2/3 complex subunits caused narrowing of the lamellipodium as compared with actin expressers and as the TMF is sensitive to changes in lamellipodium width (see Supplementary Figure 2A and B), we used the average lamellipodium width of ArpC5 expressers for determining the TMFs of both ArpC5 and actin (actinnarrow termed n-actin in Figure 2D). Interestingly, the TMF obtained for actinnarrow was even less (0.28) than that measured for ArpC5 (0.33; Figure 2D; see also Table I). Thus, these data confirm exclusive incorporation of Arp2/3 complex at the lamellipodium front and preclude Arp2/3 complex-mediated branching along filament sides in deeper regions of the lamellipodium and/or active capping/uncapping dynamics on filament pointed ends (Mullins et al., 1998).

Arp2/3 complex turns over in the lamellipodium tip region with a half-time of recovery ($t_{1/2}$) of 7.1 s, which is almost identical to actin ($t_{1/2} = 7.5$ s), as expected for components incorporated into the lamellipodium network by treadmilling. We next asked how this compares to the dynamics of the presumptive activator of Arp2/3 complex at the lamellipodium tip, the WAVE complex. Of its five constituents—the ubiquitous complex comprises Sra-1, Nap1, Abi-1, HSPC300 and WAVE2 (Gautreau et al., 2004)—Abi interacting proteins (Abi) were the first to be shown to accumulate at the tips of protruding lamellipodia and filopodia (Stradal et al., 2001). Bleaching of Abi-1 at the lamellipodium tip and analysis of its recovery revealed that Abi-1 shows a comparably slow turnover in this location (Figure 2E and F, and Supplementary Movie 8), with a $t_{1/2}$ almost double that of Arp2/3 complex (13.6 s). To examine whether Abi-1 dynamics indeed reports back on the turnover of the entire WAVE complex, we also studied the turnover of WAVE2. As WAVE overexpression is known to suppress the protrusion of spontaneous lamellipodia, FRAP experiments on reasonably bright cells had to be combined with stimulation of lamellipodia formation by aluminium fluoride (AlF4$^-$) treatment (Hahne et al., 2001). Surprisingly, WAVE2 turnover appeared significantly accelerated ($t_{1/2} = 8.6$) as compared with Abi-1 (Figure 2G and H, and Supplementary Movie 9). The increased turnover was not due to AlF4$^-$ treatment, as preliminary rates measured in low WAVE2 expressers without treatment was at least as fast (not shown). Comparable turnover rates ($t_{1/2} = 6.4$) on the membrane were recently also reported for the haematopoietic Nap1 isoform Hem-1 (Weiner et al., 2007). Collectively, these data suggest that Abi-1 dynamics at the lamellipodium tip does not correlate precisely with the dynamics of the WAVE complex. However, this does not necessarily indicate disconnections of WAVE and Abi from each other or from other WAVE complex constituents in the lamellipodium tip. Instead, the differential turnover rates may be explained by...
the fact that Abi-1 is able to engage in actin regulatory complexes additional to WAVE complex (Stradal and Scita, 2006). Thus, individual Abi molecules may be retained in the lamellipodium tip by these additional interactions, for instance with Eps8 or Ena/VASP proteins (Tani et al., 2003). This would be consistent with the similar turnover rates ($t_{1/2} = 14.4$ s) observed for the Ena/VASP family member VASP (Supplementary Figure 3 and Movie 10). Notwithstanding this, Arp2/3 complex turnover at the lamellipodium is worthwhile to be compared with that of its activator WAVE. Although the half-times of fluorescence recovery in the tip region of the lamellipodium are comparable for WAVE and Arp2/3 complex (see also Table I), they are not inconsistent with multiple Arp2/3 complex activations executed by
individual WAVE complex units, in analogy to what is known for Arp2/3 complex activators retained at bacterial or bead surfaces (Wiesner et al., 2003; Carlier and Pantaloni, 2007; Pollard, 2007). However, prerequisites for this assumption to hold true are as follows: the observed fluorescence intensities of Arp2/3 complex and WAVE reflect comparable amounts of endogenous complexes present, and the actual zone of Arp2/3 complex activation at the membrane, corresponding to WAVE localization, is much more restricted than what we define as the tip region by light microscopy, which is likely. In any case, our data also suggest that WAVE, Abi and VASP are components of perhaps partially associated, larger protein assemblies, which share the feature of being pushed forward by the network of polymerizing actin filaments they are engaged in regulating.

The second Arp2/3 complex activator enriched in lamellipodia is the type II nucleation-promoting factor cortactin (Cosen-Binker and Kapus, 2006). In contrast to WAVE (Figure 2G), cortactin labels the entire lamellipodium (Figure 3A and Supplementary Figure 4A and C), in a manner indistinguishable from Arp2/3 complex (Figure 2A, see also Supplementary Figure 1). Nevertheless, its dynamics in the lamellipodium significantly differed from that of actin and Arp2/3 (Supplementary Movies 11–13). First, cortactin did not recover in a treadmilling manner as observed for actin or the Arp2/3 complex (cortactin TMFs = 0.15 and 0.16 for two independent constructs, respectively; Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure 4B). We conclude that the slight deviation from 0 was largely due to the density gradient of cortactin localization from distal to more proximal lamellipodial regions (Figure 3A), which tightly follows that of actin (Rottner et al., 1999; Small et al., 2002), and not due to partial treadmilling. This view was corroborated by the best linear fit for the rear-half recovery curve, which was exponential for both cortactin constructs (Figure 3B and Supplementary Figure 4B), and not sigmoidal as observed for treadmilling components such as actin and Arp2/3 complex (Figures 1E and 2C). Second, the turnover of cortactin in the lamellipo-

### Table 1 Summary of treadmilling factors (TMFs) and half-times of fluorescence recovery (t₁/₂) measured in this study

| Component   | TMF  | t₁/₂   |
|-------------|------|--------|
| Actin       | 0.436| 21.3   |
| p16         | 0.327| 9.2    |
| Actin_narrow| 0.282| 11.1   |
| Actin.tip    | —    | 7.5    |
| p16.tip      | —    | 7.1    |
| Abi          | —    | 13.6   |
| WAVE         | —    | 8.6    |
| Cttn         | 0.155| 6.4    |
| Cttn II      | 0.159| 3.8    |
| CP           | —    | 7.2    |
| Cofilin      | 0.061| 6.5    |
| Cofilin_active| 0.076| 4.2    |
| Cofilin_inactive| 0.066| 2.8   |
| VASP         | —    | 14.4   |

Actin_narrow (also termed n-actin in Figure 2) corresponds to values obtained from actin movies measured as done for p16 expressers. The average width of measured areas in p16 expressers was approximately 1 μm, whereas the tip measurements were done on regions with a dimension of approximately 0.5 μm. The average width analysed in lamellipodia of actin expressers was 2.8 μm.

Figure 3 Turnover of cortactin and capping protein in the lamellipodium. (A) FRAP of EGFP–cortactin (construct 1) as indicated. Time: seconds; bar: 2 μm. (B) Treadmilling analysis of EGFP–cortactin. Plotted are averaged data (means and s.e.m. of means) and best linear fits of six independent movies. The comparably low TMF is due to homogenous fluorescence recovery in the entire lamellipodium. t₁/₂ given was calculated for entire lamellipodium. (C) FRAP of EGFP-tagged CP-beta2, the accumulation of which is largely confined to the lamellipodium front (see also Supplementary Figure 5). Bar: 2 μm. (D) Summary of FRAP analysis for CP-beta2. Data are means and s.e.m. (eight movies) as well as best linear fit (green) of averaged data. t₁/₂ of fluorescence recovery was calculated from best linear fit.
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...dium was comparably fast, albeit with moderately divergent recovery times measured for the two constructs \( t_{1/2} = 6.4 \) and \( 3.8 \) s; compare with \( t_{1/2} \) of actin in the entire lamellipodium = 21.3 s; see also Table I). To exclude that the two different EGFP–cortactin constructs used did not display erroneous behaviour perhaps due to being non-functional, we tested a third, independently generated EGFP–cortactin, the functionality of which had previously been confirmed by reconstitution of a knockdown phenotype (Zhu et al., 2007). Not unexpectedly, this cortactin variant again displayed rapid, and continuous recovery throughout the entire lamellipodium, without convincing bias of recovery from the front (Supplementary Figure 4C and Supplementary Movie 13), revealing that cortactin recovery does not follow the treadmilling behaviour in lamellipodia as observed for actin and Arp2/3 complex. Thus, although the mechanistic subtleties of cortactin function in lamellipodia remain unclear, the dynamics of most cortactin molecules, at least in deeper lamellipodial regions, is inconsistent with their engagement in the promotion of Arp2/3 complex activation. Through its ability to interact with both F-actin and the Arp2/3 complex (Cosen-Binkes and Kapus, 2006), cortactin may serve to stabilize Arp2/3 complex-induced actin networks rather than drive their (Arp2/3-mediated) assembly.

Two other lamellipodial proteins are considered indispensable for proper lamellipodium protrusion and Arp2/3-mediated motility in general (Carlier and Pantaloni, 2007): heterodimeric capping protein (Mejillano et al., 2004) and ADF/cofilin (Kiuchi et al., 2007). Similar to previous observations (Mejillano et al., 2004; Iwasa and Mullins, 2007), we found capping protein enriched in the front region of the lamellipodium (Supplementary Figure 5), and FRAP experiments revealed a \( t_{1/2} \) of recovery at the lamellipodium tip of approximately 7.2 s (Figure 3C and D, and Supplementary Movie 14), almost an order of magnitude slower than deduced from speckle analysis (Miyoshi et al., 2006). The reason for this discrepancy is unclear, but could arise from the difficulty to ascertain speckle dynamics for proteins with tight spatial restriction, like capping protein (Figure 3C), WAVE complex components or VASP. For example, fluorescent speckle analysis in the same study indicated EGFP–VASP not to display any continuous association with the lamellipodium tip (Miyoshi et al., 2006), whereas FRAP experiments revealed quite slow turnover in this location (Applewhite et al., 2007) (see also Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Movie 10 and Table I). Assuming a balance of actin assembly and disassembly in the lamellipodium at steady state, similar turnover rates at the lamellipodium tip for actin \( t_{1/2} \) of approximately 7.5 s) and capping protein \( t_{1/2} = 7.2 \) s indicate that capping protein does not dissociate from individual filaments until they are disassembled. This is probable at least for filaments short enough to be disassembled within the observed time frame. The dependence of capping protein accumulation in the tip region on actin filaments is corroborated by its loss upon filament depolymerization by latrunculin B (Supplementary Figure 6 and Supplementary Movie 15). Furthermore, the restriction of capping protein to the front is inconsistent with significant retrograde flow of capped filaments into the lamellipodium mesh. As the absence of actin assembly behind the tip region as observed here precludes the existence of elongating barbed ends throughout the lamellipodium (see above), maintenance of capping behind the tip region (if it exists) may be accomplished by additional factors. Potential candidates include Eps8 or twinfilin (Carlier and Pantaloni, 2007), although it should be noted that none of these factors are capable apparently of compensating for an essential aspect of capping protein function in lamellipodium protrusion revealed by RNAi (Mejillano et al., 2004). An alternative explanation of the data is that heterodimeric capping protein selectively aborts growth of short, non-productive filaments, perhaps subtending non-productive angles to the protruding lamellipodium front. Future work is required to test this possibility.

On the basis of in vivo and in vitro observations, ADF/cofilin is considered to enhance actin treadmilling by promoting both filament disassembly (Loisel et al., 1999; Kiuchi et al., 2007) and assembly (Ghosh et al., 2004; Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006). Interestingly, Kiuchi et al. (2007) concluded that ADF/cofilin supports protrusion by maintaining a high actin monomer pool instead of driving nucleation, but they did not examine cofilin dynamics in the lamellipodium. We show here that cofilin, which readily associates with the entire lamellipodium (Figure 4A and Supplementary Movie 16), shows FRAP dynamics markedly different from actin or Arp2/3 complex, with a TMF of 0.061, and a \( t_{1/2} \) for the entire lamellipodium of 6.5 s (Figure 4A and B). To exclude that ectopically expressed EGFP-tagged cofilin is subject to rapid inactivation by phosphorylation on serine 3 (Kiuchi et al., 2007), with the potential to change its dynamics, we also examined the turnover of a non-phosphorylatable constitutively active mutant (S3A) and an inactive, phosphorylation-mimetic cofilin (S3D). Interestingly, both mutants (Figure 4E, and Supplementary Movies 17 and 18) recovered throughout the entire lamellipodium with even faster turnover rates than wild-type cofilin (Figure 4E), indicating that phosphorylation/dephosphorylation events may retain the protein in the lamellipodium, but the TMF was virtually identical to the wild-type protein (see also Table I). These data show that inactivation (by phosphorylation) cannot have caused erratic behaviour of our wild-type cofilin. To prove that EGFP tagging had not generally abrogated the functionality of our cofilin, its activity was examined by a number of additional approaches. First, purified, EGFP-tagged cofilin quenched the fluorescence of pyrene-labeled actin filaments in a manner indistinguishable from untagged cofilin (Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006), demonstrating actin filament binding in vitro (Figure 4C). In addition, co-immunoprecipitations showed interaction of all expressed EGFP-tagged cofilin variants with actin in cell extracts, although, as expected, with less efficiency for the inactive variant (Supplementary Figure 7). Furthermore, filament depolymerization/severing mediated by EGFP-tagged wild-type cofilin was demonstrated both by co-sedimentation assay (Figure 4D) and by direct observation of fragmentation of individual actin filaments by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Supplementary Figure 8). Thus, no indication was obtained to suggest that EGFP tagging abrogated the function of the cofilin variants used here, neither in vivo nor in vitro. Importantly, and as opposed to previous suggestions (Ghosh et al., 2004), comparison of actin and cofilin dynamics in lamellipodia thus precludes a significant role for cofilin in promoting nucleation, at least in B16-F1 cells. Instead, our data are consistent with cofilin...
supporting protrusion indirectly by promoting F-actin disassembly both in lamellipodia and other cellular locations (Kiuchi et al., 2007). Finally, to confirm the general relevance of this observation, we extended our experimental approach to MTLn3 mammary carcinoma cells, which are frequently used to study cofilin function in vivo (Ghosh et al., 2004; van...
Rheenen et al., 2007). MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP–actin or cofilin were induced to form lamellipodia with EGF and subjected to FRAP analysis as described above (Figure 5 and Supplementary Movies 19 and 20). Interestingly, the actin network in lamellipodia stimulated by EGF also turned over by treadmilling, whereas cofilin did not, indistinguishable from the observations made with B16-F1 cells moving on laminin.

Collectively, from previous work and the data presented here, we provide a comprehensive model of steady-state lamellipodium protrusion (Figure 6), in which Arp2/3-mediated birth of filaments at the lamellipodium tip—activated by WAVE complex—is counterbalanced by capping of unproductive filaments at the tip and actin disassembly from the rear. In this scenario, neither cortactin nor cofilin is engaged in nucleation (Ghosh et al., 2004; Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006; Cosen-Binker and Kapus, 2006) or severing and re-annealing, as proposed for cofilin recently (Miyoshi et al., 2006). Our findings call for a revision of ideas about lamellipodia turnover (Watanabe and Mitchison, 2002) and the role of cortactin in actin-based motile processes.

Materials and methods

DNA constructs and protein purification

The DNA constructs used here are listed in Supplementary data. GST-tagged cofilin constructs were expressed in Escherichia coli strain Rosetta (Promega) and purified from bacterial extracts on glutathione-conjugated agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) using...
standard procedures. The GST tags were cleaved by incubating the purified fusion proteins with PreScission protease in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.3, supplemented with 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 1 mM EDTA overnight at 4°C. After cleavage, the GST tags were removed by passing the protein solution over glutathione-conjugated agarose columns. Cofilin and EGFP-cofilin remained in the flow through and were subsequently dialysed against PBS containing 1 mM DTT and 5 mM benzamidine. Protein concentrations were calculated from the predicted extinction coefficients (Invitrogen; Vector NTI software). Actin was purified from rabbit skeletal muscle as described (Spudich and Watt, 1971) and subsequently gel filtered on a Superdex 200 column using an AKTA purifier system (Amersham Pharmaica Biotech).

F-actin co-sedimentation and pyrene assays

For high-speed actin sedimentation assays, G-actin was first polymerized in actin polymerization buffer containing 10 mM imidazole, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM Na-ATP, 1 mM DTT and 50 mM KCl, pH 7.2 for 2 h at 21°C. Subsequently, filamentous actin was incubated in actin polymerization buffer either in the presence or absence of untagged or GFP-tagged cofilin for additional 2 h at 21°C. The protein mixtures were then sedimented at 100,000 g in a Beckman Optima table top ultracentrifuge for 30 min, and the pellets brought to the original volume in SDS sample buffer. Pellet and supernatant fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

Quenching of pyrenyl fluorescence by cofilin was determined by fluorescence spectroscopy on a Jasco FP-6500 spectrofluorometer at 343 nm excitation wavelength and 384 nm emission wavelength. Briefly, 3 µM F-actin (10% pyrenyl labeled) in actin polymerization buffer was measured alone or after the addition of either untagged or GFP-tagged cofilin (1 µM each) at 21°C.

Cells, transfections and immunoprecipitations

Mouse melanoma cells (B16-F1) were from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL-6323) and maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen, Mouse melanoma cells (B16-F1) were from American Type Culture Cells, transfections and immunoprecipitations

additional 2 h at 21°C in the presence or absence of untagged or GFP-tagged cofilin for additional 2 h at 21°C. The protein mixtures were then sedimented at 100,000 g in a Beckman Optima table top ultracentrifuge for 30 min, and the pellets brought to the original volume in SDS sample buffer. Pellet and supernatant fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining.

Quenching of pyrenyl fluorescence by cofilin was determined by fluorescence spectroscopy on a Jasco FP-6500 spectrofluorometer at 343 nm excitation wavelength and 384 nm emission wavelength. Briefly, 3 µM F-actin (10% pyrenyl labeled) in actin polymerization buffer was measured alone or after the addition of either untagged or GFP-tagged cofilin (1 µM each) at 21°C.

Cells, transfections and immunoprecipitations

Mouse melanoma cells (B16-F1) were from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL-6323) and maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen, Germany) with 10% FBS (PA Laboratories, Austria) at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO$_2$. MTLn3 cells, kindly provided by Jeff Segall and Bob van de Water, were maintained in DMEM, 5% FBS (Sigma) and 2 mM glutamine. Cells were transfected using Superfect (Invitrogen) and FuGene HD (Roche) for B16-F1 and MTLn3, respectively. Following transfection, B16-F1 cells were plated in Ham’s F12 medium (Sigma-Aldrich), and examined on the same day. Aluminium fluoride treatment was carried out as described (Steffen et al, 2004). MTLn3 cells were seeded on glass overnight and stimulated with EGF (5 nM) shortly before movie acquisition.

FRAP

Cells were observed in an open, heated chamber (Warner Instruments, Reading, UK) at 37°C and examined on the same day. Aluminium fluoride treatment was carried out as described (Steffen et al, 2004). MTLn3 cells were seeded on glass overnight and stimulated with EGF (5 nM) shortly before movie acquisition.

FRAP

Cells were observed in an open, heated chamber (Warner Instruments, Reading, UK) at 37°C and examined on the same day. Aluminium fluoride treatment was carried out as described (Steffen et al, 2004). MTLn3 cells were seeded on glass overnight and stimulated with EGF (5 nM) shortly before movie acquisition.
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