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Polyploidy is a general physiological process indicative of terminal differentiation. During liver growth, this process generates the appearance of tetraploid (4n) and octoploid (8n) hepatocytes with one or two nuclei. The onset of polyploidy in the liver has been recognized for quite some time; however, the cellular mechanisms that govern it remain unknown. In this report, we observed the sequential appearance during liver growth of binuclear diploid (2n × 2n) and mononuclear 4n hepatocytes from a diploid hepatocyte population. To identify the cell cycle modifications involved in hepatocyte polyploidization, mitosis was then monitored in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes. Twenty percent of mononuclear 2n hepatocytes failed to undergo cytokinesis with no observable contractile movement of the ring. This process led to the formation of binuclear 2n × 2n hepatocytes. This tetraploid condition following cleavage failure did not activate the p53-dependent checkpoint in G1. In fact, binuclear hepatocytes were able to proceed through S phase, and the formation of a bipolar spindle during mitosis constituted the key step leading to the genesis of two mononuclear 4n hepatocytes. Finally, we studied the duplication and clustering of centrosomes in the binuclear hepatocyte. These cells exhibited two centrosomes in G1 that were duplicated during S phase and then clustered by pairs at opposite poles of the cell during metaphase. This event led only to mononuclear 4n progeny and maintained the tetraploidy status of hepatocytes.

Polyploidy is a general physiological process that prevails in many cellular systems including plants, insects, and mammals (1). The onset of cellular polyploidization is associated with late fetal development and postnatal maturation. Advanced polyploidy in mammalian cells is indicative of terminal differentiation and senescence (2). Hepatocytes come under the former category. During growth, the liver parenchyma undergoes dramatic changes characterized by gradual polyploidization during which hepatocytes of several ploidy classes emerge as a result of modified cell division cycles. This process generates the successive appearance of tetraploid and octoploid cell classes with one or two nuclei. Thus, in the liver of a newborn rat, hepatocytes are exclusively diploid (2n),1 and polyploidization starts after weaning. In adult rats, about 10% of hepatocytes are diploid, 70% are tetraploid, and 20% octoploid. If we consider the polyploid fraction, 20–30% of hepatocytes are binuclear (either 2 × 2n or 2 × 4n) (3, 4). The degree of polyploidization varies among mammals (5) and particularly in humans, where the number of polyploid cells averages 20–30% in the adult liver (6, 7). Interestingly, in different liver pathologies, hepatocarcinoma for example, hepatocellular growth shifts to a nonpolyploidizing growth pattern, and expansion of the diploid hepatocyte population has been found to take place (4, 7).

Polyploidization is a general strategy of cell growth that enables an increase in metabolic output, cell mass, and cell size, and may constitute an alternative to cell division (1). In addition, the status of ploidy is likely to affect the expression profile of specific genes, as has been described in a yeast system (8). The biological significance of hepatic polyploidy remains unclear. It has been suggested that the polyploid genome may provide protection against the dominant expression of mutated oncogenes, a safety measure that might be helpful for an organ heavily engaged in drug detoxification (9).

The onset of polyploidy in the liver has been recognized for quite some time. However, the cellular mechanisms that govern the passage from mononuclear 2n to binuclear 2 × 2n and/or mononuclear 4n hepatocytes remain unknown. One possible explanation is that binuclear 2 × 2n hepatocytes may play a pivotal role in the genesis of mononuclear 4n hepatocytes. Hepatocyte binucleation results from either a defect in the cytokinesis of mononuclear 2n cells (3, 7) or the fusion of two mononuclear 2n cells (10). Thereafter, binuclear 2 × 2n hepatocytes may divide, leading to the genesis of two daughter mononuclear 4n hepatocytes. A mononuclear 4n hepatocyte then embarks upon a new round of binucleation/polyploidization with the formation of 2 × 4n and 8n cells. An alternative explanation for liver polyploidization is that a mononuclear 2n hepatocyte gives rise directly to mononuclear 4n cells through endoreplication. This process has already been described in plants, Drosophila, and mammals, notably in megakaryocyte and trophoblast cells, and results from uncoupling of the normal link between S phase and mitosis (1). In murine models exhibiting an absence or deregulated expression of genes such as p21, S-phase kinase associated protein 2 (Skp2), and excision repair cross-complementating protein 1 (ERCC1), endoreplication induces premature liver polyploidization. In these mice, the liver has been characterized by an increase in the number of mononuclear polyploid fractions (11–13).

The aim of this work was to define the cellular mechanisms

1 The abbreviations used are: 2n, diploid; 4n, tetraploid; 8n, octoploid; 2 × 2n (or 2 × 4n), binuclear; BrdUrd, 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine.
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involved in liver polyploidization by studying the hepatocyte cell cycle. We demonstrated that the binuclear hepatocyte is central to the polyploidization process. Having characterized the in vivo kinetic of liver polyploidization, we showed that the abortion of cytokinesis induced the formation of binuclear hepatocytes. This tetraploid condition following cleavage failure did not activate the p53-dependent checkpoint in G2; binuclear hepatocytes divide and generate two mononuclear 4n daughter cells. This event was driven by the clustering of two centrosomes at each pole of the cell, leading to the formation of a bipolar spindle.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals**—Male Wistar rats (Ifraredo, Lyon, France) were treated in accordance with European Union regulations on animal care. The rats were housed under standard light/dark conditions and received pelleted food and water ad libitum. All rats were weaned 17 days after birth (weaning and the commencement of feeding influence hepatic polyploidy) (5).

**In Situ Detection of Ploidy**—Liver tissues were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin and then embedded in paraffin. Three-micrometer-thick tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and, after hydration, boiled for 3 × 5 min in 10 mmol/liter citrate buffer, pH 6.2, in a microwave oven. Immunohistochemistry was performed as described below. Plasma membranes were labeled with anti-β-tubulin antibody (dilution 1:50, BD Transduction Laboratories). Hoechst 33342 (0.2 μg/ml) was used to stain and quantify DNA in each nucleus. Tissue sections were analyzed under a Zeiss (Axiovert 35) (Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany) inverted microscope equipped for epifluorescence. Zeiss Plan Neofluar 40× objectives (numerical aperture, 0.75) were chosen that enabled the collection of light from the entire thickness of the nucleus, these conditions being essential for adequate DNA content determinations. Images were captured using a cooled CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, Arizona; KAF 1400-G2, class 2) on 4065 gray levels. Automatic quantitative image analysis was performed in 12 bits using IPLab Spectrum software. Nuclei were assigned as mono or binucleated hepatocytes by comparing fluorescent and membrane-labeling images. Other liver cell types (defined by their morphological characteristics), overlapping nuclei, or debris were eliminated interactively. Parameters such as integrated fluorescence intensity (InfF) were stored in computer files for analysis using the KaleidaGraph and Imas- sat software (Imastat as developed by P. Debey) (14). A minimum of 300 hepatocytes were studied and observed on eight to twelve separate fields; these observations concerned specimens from four animals for each point analyzed. This number of hepatocytes was within the range covered by other imaging-based studies.

**Live Cell Videomicroscopy and Imunofluorescence Microscopy on Primary Rat Hepatocytes**—Primary rat hepatocytes were isolated by liver perfusion with a collagenase blend (Liberase, Roche Applied Sciences). After isolation, cells were collected in William’s medium E, treated with a microwave oven. Immunohistochemistry was performed as described below. Plasma membranes were labeled with anti-β-tubulin antibody (dilution 1:50, BD Transduction Laboratories). Hoechst 33342 (0.2 μg/ml) was used to stain and quantify DNA in each nucleus. Tissue sections were analyzed under a Zeiss (Axiovert 35) (Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany) inverted microscope equipped for epifluorescence. Zeiss Plan Neofluar 40× objectives (numerical aperture, 0.75) were chosen that enabled the collection of light from the entire thickness of the nucleus, these conditions being essential for adequate DNA content determinations. Images were captured using a cooled CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, Arizona; KAF 1400-G2, class 2) on 4065 gray levels. Automatic quantitative image analysis was performed in 12 bits using IPLab Spectrum software. Nuclei were assigned as mono or binucleated hepatocytes by comparing fluorescent and membrane-labeling images. Other liver cell types (defined by their morphological characteristics), overlapping nuclei, or debris were eliminated interactively. Parameters such as integrated fluorescence intensity (InfF) were stored in computer files for analysis using the KaleidaGraph and Imas-sat software (Imastat as developed by P. Debey) (14). A minimum of 300 hepatocytes were studied and observed on eight to twelve separate fields; these observations concerned specimens from four animals for each point analyzed. This number of hepatocytes was within the range covered by other imaging-based studies.

**RESULTS**

**Kinetics of Polyploidization during Liver Growth**—Although several studies have described changes in ploidy at various stages of liver development, none has established the in vivo emergence of tetraploid hepatocytes (binuclear 2n and mononuclear 4n cells). For this purpose, we used an approach based on quantitative fluorescence imaging (14). The simultaneous nuclear and plasma membrane labeling of liver tissue sections made it possible to determine the number of nuclei per cell (Fig. 1A) and thus calculate the binuclear hepatocyte fraction (Fig. 1C). The use of a stoichiometric DNA labeling agent, Hoechst 33342, enabled determination of the DNA content in all cells by measuring the integrated fluorescence emitted by each nucleus. A typical histogram of integrated fluorescence values for mononuclear cells is shown in Fig. 1B. Because of the clear bimodal distribution around two main values separated by a factor of 2, the distribution of 2n and 4n mononuclear hepatocytes was assessed with accuracy even in a small number of nuclei. Using this technique, we examined the hepatic ploidy status of 12–39-day-old rats. The relative proportions of differ- ent hepatocyte ploidy classes during liver development are shown in Fig. 1C. We found that hepatocytes were mostly diploid in the livers of young rats (99% at day 12). From day 22 the proportion of diploid cells started to fall significantly, with the successive appearance of binuclear 2 × 2n and mononuclear 4n hepatocytes. Binuclear 2 × 2n cells were first detected at day 22 (4.6% of hepatocytes), and then their proportion rose rapidly between days 25 and 30 to reach 27.5% of the total hepatocyte population (Fig. 1C). The relative proportion of the binuclear population decreased gradually and comprised only 2 × 2n DNA content until at least day 39 (data not shown). The mononuclear 4n hepatocyte population was only present at significant levels 25 days after birth and represented 5.2% of the total hepatocyte population. Up to day 25, the fraction of
nuclei with a 4n DNA content represented only cycling mononuclear 2n cells in G2, as determined by the percentage of replicating nuclei in control rats injected with BrdUrd (data not shown and Ref. 16). These cells were not present at significant levels after 25 days. At day 30, a sharp increase was observed in the number of mononuclear 4n hepatocytes (10.9% of the total population), and this hepatocyte population was in the majority at day 39, reaching 44.4% of the total population (Fig. 1C). These results clearly demonstrated in vivo that binuclear 2n and mononuclear 4n hepatocytes appear successively during liver growth.

**Genesis of Binuclear Hepatocytes and Abortion of Cytokinesis**—To define the genesis of binuclear hepatocytes, we monitored the division of hepatocytes isolated from 24-day-old rats corresponding to the moment of appearance of these cells in vivo. After 48 h of culture, mononuclear 2n hepatocytes were observed for 24 h to visualize their passage through mitosis; during this period, 60% of mononuclear 2n hepatocytes were in S phase or had already proceeded through this phase (BrdUrd labeling, data not shown). At least 50 mononuclear 2n hepatocytes were inspected during four independent experiments, and no morphological evidence of cell apoptosis was observed at any time during the study. In 80% of the mononuclear 2n hepatocytes analyzed division was normal (example on Fig. 2A and on Video 1), the cell initiated prophase, and the chromosome then lined up along the metaphase plate (Fig. 2A, panel 2). Cells then pinched off in the middle during telophase/cytokinesis (Fig. 2A, panel 3). Once mitosis was complete, the resulting two daughter mononuclear 2n hepatocytes became individualized (Fig. 2B, panel 4). In contrast, 20% of the mononuclear 2n cells observed exited from mitosis inappropriately (example on Fig. 2B and on Video 2). The initial steps (prophase, metaphase, and anaphase) took place as expected, but during telophase, cytokinesis aborted. The cells established an acto-myosin ring-like structure (Fig. 2B, panel 3) but no contractile movement could be seen. Ultimately, disappearance of the ring led to the formation of a binuclear hepatocyte (Fig. 2B, panel 4). The average length of mitosis was similar during the two types of division (61 ± 5 min; from three independent experiments). In particular, the duration of telophase was not reduced by the abortion of cytokinesis. We conclude, therefore, that acytokinesis is the basis of hepatocyte binucleation.

**Binuclear Hepatocyte Is a Pivotal Cell for the Genesis of Tetraploid Hepatocytes**—We then determined whether binuclear hepatocytes could proceed through a complete subsequent cell cycle. In fact, under certain experimental conditions recent results have demonstrated the existence of a p53-dependent checkpoint control acting in G1 to recognize tetraploid cells and induce their arrest (17–19). For this purpose, we first analyzed whether binuclear hepatocytes were able to progress through S phase. We performed BrdUrd labeling on hepatocytes isolated from 28 days-old male rats (the maximum level of binuclear...
cells is found in the liver at this age). Analysis of BrdUrd incorporation revealed that DNA replication occurred in binuclear as well as mononuclear hepatocytes (Fig. 3A); maximum labeling (35%) was observed between 48 and 60 h of culture, which corresponds to the peak of S phase for the mononuclear 2n fraction. We next determined whether the absence of the p53-dependent checkpoint could explain the cell cycle progression of binuclear cells. We monitored the level of p53 by Western blot and immunofluorescence on primary hepatocyte cultures (28 day-old male rats) (Fig. 3B and C). We did not observe any significant changes to the expression of p53 at different times during culture compared with the increasing expression of cyclin E (Fig. 3B). Moreover, p53 was expressed in both mononuclear 2n and binuclear 2 × 2n hepatocytes with a predominant nuclear localization. (Fig. 3C, 48 and 60 h of culture, panels 1, 2, 4, and 5). Furthermore, we observed the same p53 pattern in hepatocytes isolated from 12 day-old male rats (Fig. 3C, 48 h of culture, panels 3 and 6). Taken together, these results indicate that p53 was expressed in hepatocytes of different ploidy classes; moreover, its expression did not prevent the cell cycle progression of binuclear hepatocytes.

Thereafter, we monitored the mitosis of binuclear cells using time-lapse videomicroscopy. After 48 h of culture in four different experiments, 20 binuclear cells were analyzed for up to 24 h. We observed that all of the binuclear cells monitored proceeded identically through mitosis. An example is shown in Fig. 4 and on Video 3. We observed that both nuclei of the binuclear hepatocyte entered mitosis simultaneously. The key step occurred during

**Fig. 2.** Genesis of binuclear 2 × 2n hepatocytes because of the failure of cytokinesis. Hepatocytes were isolated from 24 day-old male rats and cultured in serum-free medium with epidermal growth factor (5 nM), insulin (100 nM), and dexamethasone (25 nM). Epidermal growth factor was added 24 h after plating. Hepatocytes were mounted on time-lapse videomicroscopy 48 h after plating. The mitosis of mononuclear 2n cells was monitored for 24 h. Images are shown at selected time points. A, division of a mononuclear 2n hepatocyte leads to two mononuclear daughter 2n cells (80% of cases). Arrows indicate the metaphase plate on panel 2 and the contractile ring on panel 3. B, division of a mononuclear 2n hepatocyte leads to a binuclear 2 × 2n cell (20% of cases). Arrows indicate the metaphase plate on panel 2 and the deficient contractile ring on panel 3. Complete movies (Video 1 and 2) are available as supplementary information.

**Fig. 3.** Binuclear hepatocytes progress through S phase. A, hepatocytes were isolated from 28-day-old male rats. The cell cycle progression was evaluated by determining BrdUrd incorporation. Hepatocytes were incubated with BrdUrd for 12 h and subsequently fixed and labeled. In this experiment, BrdUrd was incorporated between 48 and 60 h of culture (maximal DNA synthesis). B, cell lysates were prepared at different times during a primary culture of hepatocytes isolated from the same rat. The expression of p53 and cyclin E was analyzed by immunoblotting as described under “Experimental Procedures.” C, p53 protein was detected by immunofluorescence in hepatocytes isolated either from 28-day-old male rats (48 and 60 h of culture; panels 1, 2, 4, and 5) or from 12-day-old male rats (48 h of culture; panels 3 and 6). Visualization of DNA by Hoechst staining (panels 1–3) and p53 staining with the antibody FL393 (panels 4–6) is shown. The same expression pattern was observed at different time points during the culture.
analysis on primary cell cultures, we showed that a binuclear hepatocyte displayed two centrosomes during G1 phase (2n DNA content per nucleus) and four centrosomes during G2 phase (4n DNA content per nucleus) (Fig. 5A). Thus a binuclear hepatocyte inherited two parental centrosomes from mononuclear 2n cells, which duplicated. We then studied their movement during mitosis. The nuclei entered prophase simultaneously (Fig. 5B). At this point, the four centrosomes moved apart and, at metaphase, clustered in pairs at opposite poles of the cell (Fig. 5B). During telophase, centrosome clustering was maintained (Fig. 5B). Finally, leaving mitosis, the two daughter mononuclear 4n cells will inherit two centrosomes from the binuclear 2 × 2n hepatocyte. This phenomenon was always observed. At last, we determined whether each centrosome was capable of nucleating microtubules. During prophase, the four centrosomes of binuclear hepatocytes nucleated microtubule asters like the two centrosomes of a mononuclear cell (Fig. 5C) and therefore participated in the formation of the bipolar spindle. We were therefore able to demonstrate that, in the binuclear hepatocyte, centrosome clustering appeared to constitute a key event during formation of the bipolar spindle, giving rise solely to mononuclear 4n progeny.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we demonstrate that liver cell polyploidization is an original physiological process passing through a binucleation step. A proportion of mononuclear 2n hepatocytes aborted cytokinesis and thus generated a binuclear hepatocyte 2 × 2n. This binuclear cell was able to pass through a new cell cycle and subsequently divide into two mononuclear 4n cells. Moreover, we showed that the clustering of centrosomes during mitosis was a key step in the genesis of mononuclear 4n hepatocytes.

First of all, we were interested in characterizing changes to hepatocyte polyploidization during liver growth in vivo. In fact, only ex vivo studies utilizing methods such as karyometry (3), cytophotometry (21), flow cytometry (22), and fluorescence microscopy (23) have so far described this phenomenon after cell isolation and purification. In this study, we developed a method that accurately distinguished mononuclear and binuclear cells and measured the DNA content of each nucleus in tissue sections. Our methodology provides the first direct assessment of hepatocyte ploidy status during liver growth and, interestingly, the results of previous ex vivo studies were in line with ours. We demonstrated in vivo during post-natal development that the liver is almost solely made up of diploid hepatocytes for the first 22 days; thereafter, between the ages of 22 and 28 days the decline of this population is strictly correlated with the appearance of the binuclear population. These results strongly suggest that the mononuclear 2n hepatocyte is the precursor of the binuclear hepatocyte. Moreover, we observed that the mononuclear 4n population appeared 30 days after birth, by which stage the binuclear population was slowly declining. These observations suggest that a binuclear status may constitute an intermediate step prior to the generation of mononuclear 4n hepatocytes.

To analyze more precisely the cellular mechanisms involved in hepatocyte polyploidization, we followed the division of cells during primary culture. We directly demonstrated that mononuclear 2n hepatocytes were the precursors of binuclear 2 × 2n cells using time-lapse videomicroscopy. We showed that 20% of mononuclear 2n hepatocytes abort cytokinesis; no movement of the contractile ring was observed, and its disappearance led to the genesis of a binuclear cell. This report therefore provides the first direct evidence that acytokinesis forms the basis for hepatocyte binucleation. Previous studies (based on other cell types) had described the binucleation processes as a result of...
exit from mitosis because of either the absence or abortion of cytokinesis (18, 24–33). However, this process has been observed following antibody suppression or overexpression or the mutagenesis of several proteins involved in cell cleavage. These proteins include passenger proteins such as INCENP (24) and survivin (25); protein kinases such as polo-like kinase and aurora A and B (18, 26, 27); small G-proteins and their regulators such as citron kinase, MgcRacGap, and Vav3 (28–30); and microtubule motor proteins such as CENP-E (31), MKLP1 (32), and PRC1 (33). Our study offers an experimental model to investigate whether one or several of these proteins are also involved in the physiological hepatocyte binucleation process.

In this context, it is interesting to note that a recent study suggested that citron kinase is not implicated in the binucleation process during post-natal liver development (34). Furthermore, we have shown that binuclear hepatocytes divide into two mononuclear 4n hepatocytes. Binuclear hepatocytes were able to progress through S phase in primary culture, and this observation was not due to the culture conditions, since binuclear hepatocytes were also BrdUrd-labeled in vivo during liver growth (data not shown). Intriguingly, recent studies in other cell types (for example HeLa cells and embryo fibroblasts) have shown that tetraploidy, induced by an inappropriate exit of cells from mitosis following the failure of spindle assembly, chromosome segregation, or cytokinesis, causes all cells to arrest in G1 (17, 18). In that model, the function of the p53 protein was required to impose G1 arrest in response to tetraploidization. Its inactivation caused a failure to arrest at this G1 checkpoint, and tetraploid cells then rapidly progressed to aneuploidy. In these cells, amplified numbers of centrosomes and their abnormal clustering led to the formation of multipolar mitotic spindles (19). Contrastingly, in our experimental system p53 was expressed in all binuclear and mononuclear hepatocytes. Thus, our observations demonstrate that G1 checkpoint control by p53 is not activated by physiological acytokinesis in hepatocytes. Three studies in p53-deficient mice have addressed the implication of p53 in hepatocyte polyploidization (13, 21, 35). Although discrepant results were obtained in one of these studies, the other two showed that the p53 genotype (p53+/+, p53−/−) did not influence hepatocyte polyploidization (13, 35). Our study therefore provides direct support for this hypothesis. It will be interesting in the future to search for other potential checkpoints, including (in particular) the retinoblastoma (Rb) pocket protein family (19).

Following the mitosis of binuclear hepatocytes, we established that 100% of these cells formed a bipolar spindle, leading...
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to the alignment of all chromosomes on one metaphase plate, a prerequisite for correct chromosome segregation, thus ensuring the tetraploidy status of progeny cells. Interestingly, we found that the two centrosomes of a G_{1} binuclear hepatocyte duplicated during S phase and then associated in pairs at metaphase at the two opposite poles of the cell. Formation of the bipolar spindle with two active centrosomes at each pole may be necessary to ensure spindle stability and correct segregation of the 8n DNA content. How the centrosomes migrate in pairs to the two opposite poles of the cell is still to be determined. In diploid cells, at G_{2}/M transition the dynamic structure linking parental centrosomes is disrupted, and the two centrosomes then move apart to form the mitotic spindle (36). In binuclear hepatocytes, the lack of disruption to this structure may prevent separation of the pair of centrosomes and lead to their specific positioning at the two poles of the cell in metaphase.

In conclusion, the hepatocyte constitutes a particularly interesting model of a ploidy process leading first to binuclear cells, which then evolve into mononuclear tetraploid cells. Further work will make it possible to decode the molecular mechanisms controlling hepatocyte tetraploidization and the prevention of aneuploidy.
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