Sedation and Analgesia With Fentanyl and Etomidate for Intrathecal Injection in Childhood Leukemia Patients
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Abstract: In this study, we tried to find a safe as well as fast effective treatment for sedation and analgesia for intrathecal injection in childhood leukemia patients, relieving treatment difficulties and pain, increasing the success rate of single intrathecal injection.

The patients were divided into the experimental group (fentanyl combined with etomidate) and the control group (lidocaine only) randomly. The experimental group was given fentanyl 1 to 2 μg/kg intravenously first, then etomidate 0.1 to 0.3 mg/kg intravenously after the pipe washed. The patients younger than 1.5 years or who did not achieve satisfied sedative and analgesic situation received an additional time of etomidate (0.1–0.3 mg/kg). The patients were given oxygen at the rate of 4–5 L/min during the whole operation, and the finger pulse oximeter was used simultaneously to detect the changes in heart rate (HR) and blood oxygen saturation (SpO2). The doctors who performed the procedures assessed the quality of sedation and analgesia.

In the experimental group, the patients’ HR increased slightly after given fentanyl combined with etomidate. The patients’ SpO2 was stable. Most patients achieved a good sedative and analgesic state within 1 to 2 minutes, and no case of respiration depression or cardiac arrhythmias occurred during the whole operation. The wake-up time was 55.42 ± 20.62 min. In the control group, the patients were not very cooperative during the intrathecal injection, which made the procedures very difficult.

During intrathecal injection, pain obviously reduced and the success rate of single lumbar puncture increased. It is safe and effective to apply fentanyl combined with etomidate for sedation and analgesia.

(Omedicine 94(1):e361)

Abbreviations: ALL = acute lymphocytic leukemia, AML = acute myelocytic leukemia, BP = blood pressure, CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, EEG = electroencephalographical, ESG = electromyelocytic leukemia, BP = blood pressure, CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation, EEG = electroencephalography, ESG = electromyelography, HR = heart rate, SpO2 = oxygen saturation.

INTRODUCTION

Owing to the progress of chemotherapy for leukemia in the last 10 years, the prognosis of acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and acute myelocytic leukemia (AML) has improved significantly. However, because of the existence of the blood-brain barrier, it is hard for chemotherapeutics to pass the meninges to kill leukemia cells in the central nervous system, meaning that central nervous system leukemia (CNS-L) easily occurs. So, an essential part of acute leukemia treatment is central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis, mostly performed by intrathecal chemotherapy. Intrathecal injection by lumbar puncture is an important way for children with leukemia to get intrathecal drug prevention and treatment of meningeal leukemia.

About 20 times of the lumbar puncture need to be done during the entire treatment. Although lumbar puncture is highly safe, this procedure may cause a lot of pain and suffering for the patients and even their parents. At the same time, multiple attempts in one procedure, failures, injury, and delays in treatment of cerebral leukemia may occur in later chemotherapy because of the pain.1–3 Furthermore, the patients’ experience of their first lumbar puncture may directly affect their acceptance of the following treatment.

Therefore, an easily applicable method to relieve the patients’ pain for intrathecal injection is urgently needed. There have been several studies about fentanyl combined with etomidate for sedation and analgesia4–7; this method was safe and effective. However, there were few studies about sedation and analgesia in children. This study will explore the effects of sedation and analgesia with fentanyl and etomidate for intrathecal injection in childhood leukemia patients.

METHODS

Patients

Fifty person-times who received fentanyl combined with etomidate and 52 person-times who received lidocaine only in the intrathecal injection among hospitalized children aged 1 to 14 years with leukemia were collected from March 1, 2013 to May 31, 2014. The patients’ parents provided informed consent before the procedures. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Kunming Children’s Hospital, Kunming, Yunnan, USA.

Conditions for enrollment are as follows:

1. 1 to 14 years of age, diagnosed of leukemia, as well as needed intrathecal injection and lumbar puncture
2. The parents were notified and they approved the procedures
3. Without the history of serious hypofunction of the heart, lung, liver, or kidney
4. No rachiterata or other unsuitable conditions for lumbar puncture
5. No sedative or analgesic drugs was used before the procedures

The patients were divided into the experimental group (fentanyl combined with etomidate, 50 times) and the control group (lidocaine only, 52 times) randomly. Analyzed by SPSS
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TABLE 1. Characteristics of the Patients

| Variable                  | Experimental Group | Control Group | P     |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|
|                          | n = 50, n (%)      | n = 52, n (%) |       |
| Age, y (mean ± SD)        | 6.75 ± 2.80        | 7.42 ± 2.79   | 0.233 |
| Weight, kg (mean ± SD)    | 22.02 ± 5.70       | 23.87 ± 5.64  | 0.102 |
| Sex                       |                    |               |       |
| Male                      | 26 (52.0)          | 24 (46.2)     | 0.559 |
| Female                    | 24 (48.0)          | 28 (53.8)     |       |

SD = standard deviation.

independent-samples t test, no significant differences were found in age, weight, or sex (P > 0.05; Table 1).

Materials prepared included fentanyl, etomidate, lidocaine, epinephrine, intravenous pump, oxygen saturation (SpO2) monitor, electrocardiography monitor, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) bag, ventilator, and tracheal intubation facilities.

Application Methods

1. The patients’ blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR), SpO2, and respiration were monitored the day before the operation as basic values. No food or water was allowed 1 hour before the procedure.

2. BP, HR, SpO2, and respiration were monitored intraoperatively and post-operation.

3. The experimental group was given fentanyl 1 to 2 µg/kg intravenously before intrathecal injection by nurses, followed by intravenous etomidate 0.1 to 0.3 mg/kg 3 to 5 minutes later. Lumbar puncture and intrathecal injection were performed once the children achieved satisfactory sedation, in which eyebrow reflex disappeared and the muscles were relaxed. Oxygen at the rate of 4 to 5 L/min was given to the patients once they fell asleep. Pulse, respiration, and SpO2 during the whole operation were monitored. An additional etomidate 0.1 to 0.3 mg/kg could be administered until satisfactory sedation was obtained.

4. The control group was given 2% lidocaine 20–40 mg, from the skin to the intervertebral ligaments for local infiltration anesthesia. Lumbar puncture and intrathecal injection were completed after that.

5. The observation index also included degree of sedation and analgesia, wake-up time (duration between time when the etomidate was discontinued and the time when the patients could respond to questions or opened eyes [for child, preschool]). The doctors who performed the procedures assessed the degree of sedation and analgesia.

Effects of Sedation and Analgesia

Significantly Effective

The patients fell asleep and the procedures could be completed successfully; the monitoring indices, for example, respiration, pulse, and BP, were stable; the patients did not feel pain (face, legs, activity, cry, consolability [FLACC] score 0) and were cooperative during the procedures (Ramsay Sedation Score 4 or greater).^{8}

Effective

The patients did not fall asleep, but the procedures could be completed; the monitoring indices, for example, respiration, pulse, and BP, were stable; the patients felt pain (FLACC score 1–3) and were not very cooperative during the procedures (Ramsay Sedation Score 2 or 3).^{8}

Ineffective

The procedures could not be completed; the patients felt pain (FLACC score 4 or greater) and were not cooperative during the procedures (Ramsay Sedation Score 1).^{8}

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed by SPSSInc.,233-SouthWackerDrive,11thFloor,Chicago,IL60606-6412. Patent number:7,023,453, software version 17.0, by the methods of Wilcoxon rank sum test, χ², Fisher exact test, and analysis of variance, with an alpha level of 0.05. These data were expressed by X ± S.

RESULTS

Effects of Sedation and Analgesia

In the experimental group, the total effective rate was 100%, and significantly effective rate was 64.00%, 36.00% for effective; whereas the total effective rate was 76.92% and ineffective rate was 23.08% in the control group. The curative effects in the experimental group were better than that in the control group significantly (Table 2, P < 0.05 by the Wilcoxon rank sum test).

In the experimental group, 18 patients did not achieve satisfied sedation and analgesia after given fentanyl combined with etomidate. However, after given an additional etomidate (0.1–0.3 mg/kg), they all achieved satisfied situation. No additional etomidate was needed for other children. Most children waked up in 30 minutes to 1 hour. No case of respiration depression or cardiac arrhythmias occurred during the whole operation. The coordination situation of the experimental group was significantly better than that of the control group (P < 0.01 by χ² and Fisher exact test). The experimental group (fentanyl combined with etomidate group) did not demonstrate restlessness or crying, whereas these behaviors were observed in 75% of the control group (Table 2).
TABLE 2. Response and Sedation Quality Evaluation Scores

| Groups                  | Response in Procedure (n) | Wake-up Time, h | Sedation Quality | Adverse Reactions |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                         | Agitation | Cried | Heavy | Sweaty | Forced | <0.5 | 0.5–1 | 1–2 | Very Satisfied | Satisfied | Not Satisfied | Arrhythmia | Respiration depression |
| Experimental group      | 50 times   | 0     | 0     | 0      | 0      | 4    | 33    | 13  | 32             | 18         | 0             | 0          | 0               |
| Control group           | 52 times   | 39    | 33    | 35     | 39     | 0    | 0     | 0   | 0              | 40         | 12            | 0          | 0               |
| *P*                    |            | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01  | <0.01  |      |       |     |                |            |               |            |                 |

DISCUSSION

There were no significant differences between the two groups, in respiration, HR, or SpO2 before the procedure. The respiration, HR, and SpO2 changed significantly for both groups in pre-operation and intra-operation. For the experimental group, respiration and HR decreased mildly, and SpO2 increased slightly. Nevertheless, the situation is reversed in control group. However, there was no clinical significance for these changes (Table 3).

Drug Dosage and Wake-up Time

Drug dosage in the experimental group is as follows: fentanyl dosage (33.03 ± 8.55) μg, etomidate dosage (7.82 ± 1.59) mg, recovery time (50.92 ± 11.11) min (Table 4).

Drug dosage in the control group is as follows: 2% lidocaine dosage (28.35 ± 3.11) mg (Table 4) http://links.lww.com/MD/A139.

TABLE 3. Changes in Respiration, Heart Rate, and SpO2 (x ± s)

| Groups                  | Respiration, times/min | Heart Rate, times/min | SpO2, % |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                         | Preoperation | Intraoperation | Preoperation | Intraoperation | Preoperation | Intraoperation |
| Experimental group      | 50 times   | 27.68 ± 4.47 | 23.88 ± 4.26* | 109.00 ± 14.61 | 100.04 ± 12.56* | 96.30 ± 1.30 | 96.96 ± 0.83* |
| Control group           | 52 times   | 26.52 ± 4.36 | 29.44 ± 4.73* | 105.21 ± 15.66* | 111.50 ± 16.39* | 96.73 ± 1.07 | 96.03 ± 1.03* |

*Compared with those before examination.

*P* values were calculated using Student’s t-test. All values are mean ± standard deviation.
to be observed carefully during the sedation and analgesia using etomidate and fentanyl.

The children’s pain, tension, and fear were significantly reduced by using fentanyl combined with etomidate for sedation and analgesia in intra-thecal injection. Using this method increased the success rate of single intra-thecal injection, and reduced the incidence of adverse effects as well. It also improved satisfaction of the children’s parents by applying this method. This method is safe and effective for sedation; simultaneously, non-narcotic medical professionals can easily grasp the usage and dosage of the medicine.

Some other methods for sedation and analgesia in children have been researched by several studies. Mantadakis et al studied fentanyl and midazolam. They showed the combination was effective and safe. Meanwhile, there was a research that studied propofol/alfentanil and propofol/ketamine. They found that propofol/ketamine was safer than propofol/alfentanil because of the lower incidence of side effects. In a retrospective review of almost 32 000 people, etomidate, when used for the induction of anesthesia, was associated with a 2.5-fold increase in the risk of dying than those given propofol. So, perhaps propofol is more suitable for sedation in childhood leukemia patients. Lee-Jayaram et al studied ketamine/midazolam versus etomidate/fentanyl. They found that with its significantly shorter sedation and recovery times, K/M is more effective at reducing observed distress than E/F. Perhaps because of the small sample size, no serious adverse reactions were observed in our hospital since this method was used, such as respiration depression and arrhythmia. According to the latest procedural sedation and analgesia in children, supplemental oxygen should be recommended before and during sedation, especially in pediatric patients, owing to their greater susceptibility to hypoxemia. Anesthesiologists and nonanesthesiologists need to work together to achieve a safe and effective sedation and analgesia. To easily observe the outcome, some studies suggest that electrosympathicograph and electroencephalograph can be used in estimating sedation and analgesia. This may make it easier for sedation and analgesia in pediatrics, needing lesser doctors. It is more suitable for some countries that have patients far more than doctors, especially like China. Further study is needed to find a better method for sedation and analgesia reducing the pain brought by intra-thecal injection.
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