Experimental Evaluation of a Spectral Index to Characterize Temporal Variations in the Direct Normal Irradiance Spectrum
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Abstract: A simple index is desirable to assess the effects on both flat-plate and concentrating photovoltaics of natural changes in the solar spectrum. Some studies have suggested that the relationship between the Average Photon Energy (APE) and the shape of individual global tilted irradiance, global horizontal irradiance, or direct normal irradiance (DNI) spectra is bijective and can therefore be used as a single number to unequivocally replace a complete spectral distribution. This paper reevaluates these studies with a modified methodology to assess whether a one-to-one relationship really exists between APE and spectral DNI. A 12-month dataset collected in Jaén (Spain) using a sun-tracking spectroradiometer provides the necessary spectral DNI data between 350 and 1050 nm. After quality control and filtering, 78,772 valid spectra were analyzed. The methodology is based on a statistical analysis of the spectral distributions binned in 0.02-eV APE intervals, from 1.74 to 1.90 eV. For each interval, both the standard deviation and coefficient of variation (CV) are determined across all 50-nm bands into which the 350–1050-nm waveband is divided. CV stays below 3.5% within the 450–900-nm interval but rises up to 13% outside of it. It is concluded that APE may be approximately assumed to uniquely characterize the DNI spectrum distribution for Jaén (and presumably for locations with similar climates) only over the limited 450–900-nm waveband.
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1. Introduction

The accurate estimation of both the expected and predicted electricity yields of photovoltaic (PV) systems is normally carried out by following a standard procedure [1]. This step is crucial to ascertain the production of electricity that such systems may deliver, thus enabling both the bankability of PV projects [2] and the quality assurance for PV plants [3], among other desirable outcomes. After the two quantities (incident broadband irradiance and temperature) that have the most impact on power output, the spectral distribution of the irradiance (spectral irradiance, in short) is generally considered the largest influence to natural variations in the output of clean PV devices [4,5]. It is worth noting that conventional flat-plate PV are less sensitive to varying spectral irradiance than Concentrating...
Photovoltaics (CPV). Such a higher sensitivity of the latter technology to spectral effects is especially noticeable when concentrated sunlight of 1000 suns or more is used in High Concentrating Photovoltaics (HCPV) [6], where multi-junction solar cells based on series-connected subcells with different bands of absorption are used in conjunction with optical elements [7,8]. The present contribution is mostly related to the latter type of application.

Spectral effects may be quantified using two methods aimed at estimating a spectral mismatch (MM) factor [9]. The first is based on measurements of the outdoor short-circuit current of the PV device under investigation. The second is based on combining its spectral response with spectra recorded with spectroradiometers—although synthetic or modeled spectra may also be used. One hindrance in the first method is that spectral effects might be difficult to isolate the impacts of device temperature, soiling, or degradation and, in the case of flat-plate PV, angular losses. Hence, the second method is often preferred but requires knowledge of (i) the actual spectrum under the atmospheric conditions at any specific moment (in the case of outdoor exposure) and (ii) the actual spectral response of the PV device under test. The procedure also implies numerical integrations in a 2D domain (wavelength and time). This can become cumbersome if this needs to be repeated at high frequency and can be inexact if the spectral response of the PV device is not known precisely, which is often the case.

To overcome the above difficulties, a simplifying approach—recourse to 1D (time only) spectral indexes that would be independent of the cell’s spectral response—has been proposed in the literature. Indeed, such kinds of indexes are often preferred to the integrated useful fraction [10], the spectrally weighted ratio [11], the spectrally corrected global irradiance [12], or other metrics used in non-concentrating PV that depend on the specific device under scrutiny. The same preference holds for some other PV device-dependent indexes used in CPV characterization, such as the Z-parameter [13], the spectrally corrected direct normal irradiance [14], etc. A comprehensive review of spectral indexes has been recently presented [8].

In view of the above, finding a PV device-independent spectral index that could uniquely characterize individual spectra would be most useful for both PV science and engineering. A review of the literature shows that only two such indexes have been investigated and proposed as possible candidates to meet this requirement: the blue fraction (BF) and the average photon energy (APE). BF has been introduced to assess the ratio of spectral irradiance integrated below 650 nm to the total irradiance [15], whereas APE is the mean energy of all the photons that impinge on a given surface [16].

Despite some early promising results presented by Louwen et al. [17] and later limited results reported by Paudyal and Imenes [18], the possible one-to-one relationship between BF and spectral irradiance still remains highly unexplored. Indeed, this issue has been scarcely addressed thus far [8,18], therefore justifying further investigation. In contrast, APE is an older and more popular index amongst the PV community. It has been widely used to provide a readily qualitative assessment on whether a specific spectrum is shifted to shorter or longer wavelengths relative to the standard spectrum. Thus, a more in-depth analysis of this parameter, including its physical significance, is provided hereafter.

Minemoto et al. [19] first analyzed the relationship between APE and the spectrum shape of global tilted irradiance (GTI). Their experimental campaign was conducted in Kusatsu City, Japan (latitude $34^\circ58'\text{ N}$) over a period of three years. Spectral irradiance was monitored on a $15.3^\circ$-tilted surface facing the equator and led the authors to the conclusion that the relationship between APE and the GTI spectrum was bijective. Their methodology was based on an International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard [20], which states by how much the output of a solar simulator can deviate from the AM1.5G reference spectrum. Their reasoning was basically that, if spectral distributions grouped in very narrow APE intervals have a very small standard deviation (SD) in all considered 50-nm bands, such distributions may be considered equal. A closer insight to that methodology is provided in what follows. Subsequent work assumed this one-to-one relationship between
APE and GTI [21–30], although further investigation at other sites was encouraged to confirm the possible universality of such a relationship.

Norton et al. [31] carried out a statistical analysis very similar to that of Minemoto et al. [19], using measurements of spectral global horizontal irradiance (GHI) collected at two sites with different climates: Ispra, Italy (latitude 45°49′ N, longitude 8°36′ E) and Golden, CO, USA (latitude 39°45′ N, longitude 105°13′ W). Measurements were recorded for 16 months at the latter location and over two years at the former, using dissimilar instruments and experimental protocols at each site. Norton and coworkers concluded that the relationship between APE and the GHI spectrum shape was bijective. However, these authors also raised the need for further collection and analysis of spectral irradiance data at other sites because firm conclusions could not be drawn from measurements at only two sites.

In contrast, the relationship between the spectral distribution of GTI and APE was found not bijective by Dirnberger et al. [12]. They noted that spectral distributions with the same value of APE might yield different values of MM for the same PV material. Their conclusion was based on the analysis of spectral GTI data collected on a 35°-tilt equator-facing surface over a 3.5-year experimental campaign conducted in Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany (latitude 47°59′ N).

A later study presented by Nofuentes et al. [32] applied the same methodology as proposed by Minemoto et al. [19] to two spectral datasets collected on a 30°-tilt equator-facing surface during a two-year experimental campaign conducted in two Spanish cities with nearly the same Mediterranean-Continental climate: Jaén (latitude 37°49′ N) and Madrid (latitude 40°24′ N). These authors underlined that the coefficient of variation (CV) was more appropriate in evaluating the dispersion around the mean than SD alone. Hence, they postulated that spectral distributions with nearly the same APE value should have a small value of CV across all considered 50-nm bands to be considered equivalent. The results obtained for the two Spanish sites under scrutiny showed that bijectivity between APE and GTI spectrum shape could be assumed only from a pragmatic point of view and only over the 450–900-nm waveband, where CV stays below 3.3%. The reasons why noticeable dispersion occurred below 450 nm or above 900 nm were deeply analyzed from both an instrumental standpoint and atmospheric physics principles.

Tsuiji et al. [33] carried out a similar statistical analysis to that of Minemoto et al. [19] with spectral GTI data collected on equator-facing surfaces at three Japanese sites for one or two years: Kusatsu (15.3° tilt, as in the study discussed above [19]), Tsukuba (latitude 36°04′ N, 20° tilt), and Miyazaki (latitude 31°49′ N, 35° tilt). They concluded that APE uniquely represented the spectral distribution of GTI, even though CV values in excess of 30% were found within the 900–950 nm waveband for the three sites under scrutiny.

The literature reviewed above targets flat-plate PV applications only and thus focuses on the global spectrum (on either horizontal or tilted surfaces). The bijectivity between APE and spectral direct normal irradiance (DNI) has not received much attention so far, which is a justification for the present investigation. Apparently, Chantana et al. [34] are the only authors who have explored the APE–DNI relationship experimentally and only at a single site. They applied the same general methodology as Minemoto et al. [19] to spectral DNI measurements collected over a one-year experimental campaign conducted in Kusatsu. Chantana and coworkers concluded that a one-to-one relationship did exist between APE and the DNI spectral shape. Unfortunately, they did not analyze the variation of CV across all the studied 10-nm wavebands. It is worth mentioning, though, that a previous theoretical study presented by Gueymard [35] and based on simulations of the cloudless direct spectrum by means of the Simple Model of the Atmospheric Radiative Transfer of Sunshine (SMARTS) I [36] had disproved the bijectivity between APE and the DNI spectral shape. That study showed that different combinations of air mass (AM), aerosol optical depth (AOD), and precipitable water (PW) could result in significantly different DNI spectral distributions with however the same resulting APE value.
The present work aims at exploring whether the APE uniquely characterizes the varying DNI spectrum—at least in practical terms—from an experimental standpoint. In view of the above literature review, this study is the second known attempt to elucidate such an issue by analyzing measured DNI spectra. The present 12-month experimental study is based on spectroradiometric measurements at Jaén, Spain. The general methodology of Nofuentes et al. [32] is followed to perform an appropriate statistical analysis of the spectral data.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Setup

The experimental campaign was conducted at the University of Jaén (Spain, with a Mediterranean-Continental climate), a small-size city (113,000 inhabitants) where periodic Saharan dust episodes occur in summer, together with high levels of olive-tree pollen during May. A comprehensive description of this site in atmospheric terms was carried out in a previous work [32].

The empirical data used in this paper was collected at the outdoor research facilities located on the flat roof of one of the buildings of the High Technical School of the Engineering and Technology campus, located within the city. These facilities were described in detail in previous studies [37,38].

The direct spectrum was measured by an EKO™ MS700 spectroradiometer equipped with a collimator tube and fixed to a two-axis BSQ™ D1506 solar tracker for concentrating PV applications, as shown in Figure 1. The collimator tube was made of black PVC and was devised according to the values of the slope angle (1°) and aperture angle (5°) specified in the MS700 spectroradiometer datasheet. This experimental setup has been successfully used in earlier work [39].

![Figure 1. Pyrheliometer (a) and spectroradiometer with collimator tube (b) used in this work.](image)

The specifications of the above instrumentation include a 10-nm spectral resolution, a wavelength interval of ≈3.3 nm between 350 and 1050 nm, and a temperature dependency of ±1% between −20 and +50 °C. The 12-month monitoring period extended from March 2013 to February 2014 at different time intervals. Observations were normally done every 20 s, but the interval had to be relaxed to 5 min during a few periods; this did not influence the results, as discussed in Section 2. The instruments were calibrated by the manufacturer.
just before the experimental campaign and after it, in October 2014, with no significant change in sensitivity. Additionally, the broadband DNI was measured with a first-class Kipp & Zonen CHP 1 pyrheliometer. Spectral instances recorded while the broadband DNI was below 50 W m\(^{-2}\) were excluded to avoid the noise introduced by a low signal. Neglecting these measured spectra does not affect the calculations to be presented in what follows because the annual DNI fraction collected below this threshold only accounts for \(\approx 1\%\) in Jaén [38]. After filtering of the data, 78,772 valid DNI spectra were available for further analysis.

As discussed earlier, the possible bijectivity between APE and spectral DNI for a given site was apparently investigated in only one study so far [34]. Thus, it is worth mentioning that the same spectroradiometer brand and model that was used in their work is also used here, thus making any comparison of results impervious to experimental differences. Their data filtering and its subsequent processing do not differ substantially from that presented in this paper either, except in a few aspects that will be described hereafter.

### 2.2. Average Photon Energy

Originally proposed by Jardine et al. [16] to qualitatively assess the shape of the spectral irradiance, APE is the average energy of the photons over a specific spectrum distribution. It may be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{APE} = \frac{\int_a^b E(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_a^b \Phi_{\text{ph}}(\lambda) d\lambda}
\]  

(1)

where \(E(\lambda)\) in W m\(^{-2}\) nm\(^{-1}\) is the spectral irradiance, \(\Phi_{\text{ph}}(\lambda)\) in m\(^{-2}\) nm\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) is the spectral photon flux density, and \(a\) in nm and \(b\) in nm are the lower and upper limits, respectively, of the considered waveband of the solar spectrum. Solar spectra rich in photons with shorter wavelengths yield higher values of APE, whereas solar spectra rich in photons with longer wavelengths yield lower values of APE.

An equivalent definition was proposed later [35]:

\[
\text{APE} = hc(kq\lambda_{\text{eff}})^{-1},
\]

(2)

where

\[
\lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\int_a^b \lambda E(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_a^b E(\lambda) d\lambda}
\]

(3)

and where \(\lambda_{\text{eff}}\) is the “effective wavelength” in nm, \(h\) is the Planck constant, \(c\) is the speed of light in vacuum, \(q\) is the electronic charge, and \(k\) is a constant to reconcile units, equal here to \(1 \times 10^{-9}\) for \(\lambda_{\text{eff}}\) expressed in nm. By using Equation (2), possible variations in APE are intuitively linked to varying incident irradiance spectral balances. Thus, blue-biased spectra are conducive to shorter effective wavelengths, which in turn lead to higher values of APE. Conversely, red-biased spectra—conducive to larger values of \(\lambda_{\text{eff}}\)—lead to lower values of APE.

Obviously, the value of APE also depends on the considered spectral range. In this sense, the 350–1050 nm waveband (typically sensed by the silicon-based detectors used in many commercial spectroradiometers) has been used to calculate APE in many previous studies [16,19,32,34,40–44]. For that specific waveband, the values of APE for the AM1.5G and AM1.5D reference spectra equal 1.878 and 1.846 eV, respectively. The corresponding effective wavelengths are 662.6 and 671.7 nm, respectively. The slight red shift in the DNI spectrum compared to the GTI spectrum results from the latter’s inclusion of diffuse irradiance, which is rich in blue wavelengths.
2.3. Methodology

As commented on in Section 1, the methodology initially proposed by Minemoto et al. [19] and followed by Chantana et al. [34], is applied as closely as possible in this investigation to assess whether a given APE value is uniquely linked to a specific DNI spectrum shape. However, as discussed in Section 1, such a methodology is improved here by adding a detailed analysis of variance, as argued by Nofuentes et al. [32]. In that study, the coefficient of variation (CV)—defined as the percentage ratio of the standard deviation (SD) to the mean—was shown to provide a better insight into the scatter around the mean than SD alone.

Based on previous experience, the design of the APE analysis procedure used in this work was articulated with the steps described below:

(1) The trapezoidal rule was used to calculate the broadband DNI between 350 and 1050 nm for each experimental direct spectrum. (That calculated DNI value was obviously smaller than the reading from the pyrheliometer, for which the spectral range was ≈290–4000 nm.)

(2) Equation (1) was used to calculate the APE for each DNI spectrum.

(3) The wavelength range was divided into fourteen 50-nm bands—from 350 to 1050 nm—for each spectral instance. The fractional contribution of each 50-nm spectral band to the broadband irradiance (\(R_c\)) calculated in step 1 was obtained.

(4) All the DNI spectral measurements were grouped into APE intervals of 0.02-eV width. More specifically, eight intervals ranging from 1.74–1.76 eV to 1.88–1.90 eV were considered. Spectral measurements having an APE within ±0.01 eV of the central value of each of these intervals were binned inside them.

(5) For every APE interval and within each 50-nm band, spectral measurements with \(R_c\) values below the 10th percentile or above the 90th percentile were eliminated to minimize the influence of outliers.

(6) For every APE interval defined in step 4, mean values of \(R_c\) (denoted \(<R_c>\)) were calculated in each 50-nm band. Values of SD and CV were also obtained for each of these bands to determine the dispersion of the values of \(R_c\) around its mean value for every APE interval.

As mentioned in Section 1, it should be kept in mind that the analysis carried out by Chantana et al. [34] considered seventy-one 10-nm spectral bands into which the 350–1050 nm waveband was divided instead of the fourteen 50-nm bands considered here (step 3, above).

3. Results and Discussion

The recorded spectral instances that are outside the eight APE intervals stated in step 4 and removed according to step 5 of Section 2.2 account for less than 17% of the whole 12-month experimental database. After filtration, 65,881 spectral instances remained.

It is worth investigating to what extent the local spectral distribution for values of APE close to its standard value (1.846 eV) align with that of the standard spectrum when AM values are close to 1.5. Figure 2 shows the mean percentage contributions of every 50-nm band considered in this work relative to the integrated DNI for measured spectra in which APE and AM are within 1.84–1.86 eV and 1.45–1.55, respectively. The values of \(R_c\) for each of the fourteen bands are shown for the reference spectrum as well. The latter seems to coincide reasonably well with local AM1.5D distributions. The fact that spectral DNI measurements with APE values in the vicinity of 1.85 eV closely resemble the distribution of the reference spectrum is according to expectations and confirms what was already noted by Chantana et al. [34] based on experimental measurements at Kusatsu, Japan. Nevertheless, a careful analysis shows that, despite being apparently small, the SD values obtained at both Jaén and Kusatsu are not negligible when compared to the \(<R_c>\) values across the 50-nm band intervals used here—or 10-nm bands for the Japanese site—that lie outside the central wavelength range (450–900 nm). This dispersion of percentage contributions within the 350–450 nm and 900–1050 nm wavebands was also noted in local GTI spectral distributions for APE and AM around 1.88 eV and 1.5, respectively.
values obtained at both Jaén and Kusatsu are not negligible when compared to the <\( R_{c} \)\> values calculated for each of these bands from the standard AM1.5D spectrum [32]. These two results are mutually consistent because the AM1.5G spectrum is essentially composed of direct irradiance (see, e.g., Figure 10 of [45]).

The AM values corresponding to the spectral measurements recorded over the course of the 12-month experimental campaign spread over a large interval, 1.03–16.00; the calculated average AM is 2.19 and, hence, significantly above the standard 1.5 value. In parallel, the broadband DNI values obtained from the pyrheliometer range from 50 to 1047 W·m\(^{-2}\) and average 715 W·m\(^{-2}\), far lower than the 900 W·m\(^{-2}\) standard value. This could be expected because DNI decreases rapidly when AM increases or when thin clouds partly obscure the sun’s disc.

Figure 3 shows the values of <\( R_{c} \)\> for every 50-nm band according to each APE bin into which the spectral measurements have been grouped. Higher values of APE imply shorter values of \( \lambda_{\text{eff}} \) and, thus, higher relative contributions of shorter wavelengths relative to the integrated DNI within 50-nm bands. Conversely, values of <\( R_{c} \)\> corresponding to 50-nm bands with longer wavelengths are enhanced as APE decreases (and \( \lambda_{\text{eff}} \) increases). Interestingly, note that <\( R_{c} \)\> tends to remain constant in the 600–650-nm spectral band, possibly because it corresponds to the “balance” wavelength for the 350–1050-nm spectrum, close to the effective wavelength of the AM1.5D standard spectrum. It is also worth noting that the results shown in Figure 3 are quite well aligned with those obtained by Chantana et al. [34] in their investigation of the purported one-to-one relationship between APE and the DNI spectrum in Kusatsu (see their Figure 5a).
by Chantana et al. [34] in their investigation of the purported one-to-one relationship between APE and the DNI spectrum in Kusatsu (see their Figure 5a).

Figure 3. Mean values of relative contributions to DNI—integrated from 350 to 1050 nm—across all 50-nm bands for spectral measurements binned in 0.02-eV-width APE intervals over the range 1.75–1.89 eV (central values), using direct irradiance spectra recorded at Jaén.

Moreover, the results depicted in Figure 3 appear to be a good match for those obtained by Minemoto et al. [19] (also for Kusatsu), Norton et al. [31] (for Ispra and Golden, Colorado), Nofuentes et al. [32] (for Jaén and Madrid), and Tsuji et al. [33] for three Japanese cities: Kusatsu, Tsukuba, and Miyazaki. It should be kept in mind, though, that the work presented here is not strictly comparable with these studies, as they were carried out to elucidate the possible bijectivity between APE and either the GTI or GHI spectral distribution.

Table 1 provides details about the distribution of the spectral measurements binned by their corresponding APE interval. For each of these APE intervals, Table 1 also indicates the corresponding effective wavelength, in conjunction with the maximum, minimum, and mean SD values related to the relative contribution to the integrated 350–1050 nm DNI across the fourteen 50-nm bands under scrutiny. Note that only three APE bands (1.79 ± 0.01 eV, 1.81 ± 0.01 eV, and 1.83 ± 0.01 eV) account for 80% of the spectral instances recorded. Moreover, only less than 1% of the spectral measurements are grouped in bins with APE greater than 1.85 eV, which indicates prevailing red-shifted spectra for the site under investigation. As expected from fundamentals of statistics, the scatter around the mean is larger in APE intervals with fewer samples. In spite of this, the maximum values of SD stay below 0.40%. In comparison, the SD values obtained by Chantana et al. [34] at Kusatsu across all the seventy-one 10-nm bands within the 350–1050 nm spectral range do not exceed 0.20%. Remarkably, SD peaks in the same wavebands (350–400 nm and 900–950 nm) at Kusatsu and Jaén, which deserves scrutiny.

As discussed before [32], SD alone is not enough to suitably assess the dispersion of $R_c$ around its mean for each APE interval across the 350–1050 nm range. Instead, CV is a more meaningful parameter intended for such purpose.

Figure 4 shows the CV values obtained for each 50-nm band grouping defined above. Within the 450–900 nm waveband, CV stays below ~3.5% in contrast with the 900–1050 nm waveband, where CV is found to reach much larger values, close to 10%. Higher spikes even occur in the 350–450 nm waveband, where CV reaches up to 13%.
Table 1. Maximum, minimum, and mean values of SD of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band according to their APE interval and corresponding effective wavelength, $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$.

| Central Value of APE Interval (eV) | $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ for the Central Value of APE Interval (nm) | $SD_{\text{max}}$ (%) | $SD_{\text{min}}$ (%) | $SD_{\text{med}}$ (%) | Number of Samples | Waveband where $SD_{\text{max}}$ Occurs (nm) | Waveband where $SD_{\text{min}}$ Occurs (nm) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1.75                              | 709                                                           | 0.39                   | 0.09                   | 0.21                   | 4123              | 900–950                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.77                              | 701                                                           | 0.38                   | 0.09                   | 0.20                   | 7195              | 900–950                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.79                              | 693                                                           | 0.36                   | 0.08                   | 0.19                   | 13,962            | 900–950                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.81                              | 685                                                           | 0.26                   | 0.08                   | 0.15                   | 21,116            | 900–950                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.83                              | 678                                                           | 0.21                   | 0.06                   | 0.12                   | 17,727            | 900–950                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.85                              | 670                                                           | 0.25                   | 0.07                   | 0.17                   | 1317             | 350–400                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.87                              | 663                                                           | 0.35                   | 0.07                   | 0.19                   | 338              | 350–400                                       | 800–850                                       |
| 1.89                              | 656                                                           | 0.31                   | 0.09                   | 0.19                   | 103              | 350–400                                       | 800–850                                       |
Table 1. Maximum, minimum, and mean values of SD of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band according to their APE interval and Waveband where SDmax occurs, SDmin occurs, and number of samples. 

In practical terms, such results suggest that APE can be assumed to uniquely represent the shape of the direct spectrum within the central 450–900-nm wavelength range only. Following an identical procedure to that described in Section 2.2, Nofuentes et al. [32] came to the same conclusion for Jaén and Madrid regarding the purported one-to-one relationship between APE and spectral GTI. The present confirmation of the findings in [32] is likely related to the similarity between the GTI and DNI spectra under cloudless conditions, as mentioned above. Interestingly, CV values up to 6% and over 30% within the 350–400 nm and 900–1050 nm wavebands, respectively, were found in Japan [33]. However, despite the fact that such high CV values indicate a large scatter of $R_c$ around its mean, Tsuji et al. [33] claimed that APE is a unique characteristic of the GTI spectrum distribution. In any case, the results depicted in Figure 4 deserve some further discussion from both the experimental and theoretical standpoints.

The expanded uncertainties ($U_{95}$) for the spectral measurements obtained with the present spectroradiometer used are $\pm 10.89\%$, $\pm 4.13\%$, and $\pm 4.06\%$ for the 350–450 nm, 450–900 nm, and 900–1050 nm wavebands, respectively, according to the certificate of calibration issued by the manufacturer. No significant additional uncertainty resulting from actual outdoor laboratory measurement conditions is likely because the instrument was cleaned each working day. It was, however, not cleaned during a week in March 2013 and another week in August 2013. Given the relative short periods of time during which the instrument remained uncleaned, no significant differences were found between spectral data collected in such periods relative to those gleaned over the course of the entire experimental campaign.

The dispersion noticed for $R_c$ below 450 nm and the resulting higher values of CV may be explained in part by the higher values of $U_{95}$ at these shorter wavelengths. As discussed previously [32], however, another contribution is likely related to the substantial daily variance in AOD, which has a large impact on the direct spectrum at short wavelengths [46]. In contrast, the lowest $U_{95}$ values occur above 900 nm, where CV also peaks. This would seem paradoxical if $U_{95}$ was the only driver of CV variations along the spectrum, which thus requires scrutiny. As mentioned by Nofuentes et al. [32], it should be borne in mind that the instrument undergoes calibration indoors in a laboratory at a constant ambient temperature (25 °C), thus avoiding the potential impact of large temperature excursions.
during sunny days. Those field conditions could influence the reading accuracy in the NIR part of the spectrum much more than at shorter wavelengths [47,48]. This temperature effect should be small here, however, because the detector core of this specific instrument is temperature controlled and has a specified temperature range of 0 to 50 °C. A more likely explanation for the high CV in the NIR is that the spectral irradiance is weak there due to water vapor absorption. Therefore, measurements in that band are more vulnerable to the influence of noise, which increases the actual measurement uncertainty. Moreover, water vapor conditions (characterized by PW) are variable on a daily basis over Jaén, which creates strong variance in the 900–950 nm waveband, where water vapor absorption is the strongest relative to the whole 350–1050 nm range.

The results shown in Figure 4 confirm previous theoretical findings obtained by means of synthetic spectra generated by the SMARTS radiation model for various ideal atmospheric conditions [35]. Both modeled and experimental spectra obtained under variable conditions show that AM and AOD influence APE in a way that longer wavelengths are enhanced when they increase (red shift). On the other hand, PW has a more limited but antagonistic effect (blue shift). Hence, different sets of conditions (AM, AOD, and PW) could lead to dissimilar spectral distributions of DNI that would exhibit the same value of APE. As a result, Gueymard [35] concluded that APE was not appropriate to characterize the DNI spectral shape with a single number. The present results tend to confirm that finding.

4. Conclusions

This study investigated whether the average photon energy (APE) uniquely represents the direct normal irradiance (DNI) spectrum over the 350–1050 nm band at Jaén, a Spanish sunny site with Mediterranean-Continental climate. No previous study focusing on the application of APE to the direct spectrum is known except at a Japanese location in a different climate.

The methodology used here is based on a procedure that was previously applied to assess the possible bijectivity between APE and the global tilted irradiance (GTI) spectrum at both Jaén and Madrid. This procedure centers on the analysis of the coefficient of variation (CV) of the relative importance of contributions of 50-nm bands compared to the integrated DNI (from 350 to 1050 nm) of the spectral distributions binned in specific APE intervals.

DNI spectra from 350 to 1050 nm were collected over the course of a 12-month experimental campaign. Over the range 450–900 nm, spectral distributions obtained for an APE of \( \approx 1.85 \) eV and air mass (AM) of \( \approx 1.5 \) match quite well that of the standard AM1.5D spectrum used as reference in concentrating photovoltaic applications. Nevertheless, some scatter is noticed outside of this central band. Likewise, spectral distributions corresponding to measurements binned in the same APE interval are well aligned within 450–900 nm, where CV remains below \( \approx 3.5\% \). In contrast, this concordance does not hold outside of that spectral range, considering that CV reaches values of up to \( \approx 10\% \) and 13% within 350–450 nm and 900–1050 nm, respectively. This significant scatter at shorter wavelengths is only partially ascribed to the measurement uncertainty of the spectroradiometer reported by the manufacturer, which is relatively large below 450 nm. Theoretical considerations reveal that another explanation for the high CV there is related to the temporal variance in aerosol optical depth, which is significant at Jaén, and results in a more or less pronounced red shift in the spectrum. In contrast, the measuring uncertainty is far smaller beyond 900 nm, but steep temperature excursions during the day may influence measurements. Additionally, weak signal levels around the water vapor absorption band (940 nm) are especially vulnerable to noise, thus conducing an increased uncertainty related to the measurements in such band. Temporal variance in atmospheric precipitable water also induces a more or less significant blue shift of the spectrum.

From a theoretical standpoint, the results presented here confirm previous radiative transfer simulations that rejected the APE bijectivity by showing how a single APE value
could be obtained by combining different values of air mass, aerosol optical depth, and precipitable water, thus shaping significantly different DNI spectral distributions.

To summarize, the results presented in this study disprove the currently assumed one-to-one relationship between APE and the spectral DNI within the whole 350–1050 nm waveband. Rather, APE may only be assumed to uniquely characterize the DNI spectrum distribution only from ≈450 to 900 nm for Jaén in practical terms. Hence, this limited band is recommended when using APE to model the spectral impact on concentrating PV devices. The present findings confirm those from a previous work that focused rather on the GTI spectrum, which is the reference for flat-plate PV applications. The present conclusion that bijectivity is valid over only a part of the DNI spectrum presumably holds for sites with a similar climate as Jaén, although further verification is needed. Hence, further collection and analysis of spectrally resolved DNI data are desirable at more sites worldwide to better evaluate the impact of local climate, since this type of experimental methodology has been done so far at only two sites in relatively temperate climates, which do not allow drawing general conclusions on a worldwide basis.
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Abbreviations

**Terminology**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| DNI          | Direct normal irradiance |
| GHI          | Global horizontal irradiance |
| GTI          | Global tilted irradiance |
| IEC          | International Electrotechnical Commission |
| PV           | Photovoltaic(s) |
| SMARTS       | Simple Model of the Atmospheric Radiative Transfer of Sunshine |
### Symbols

- $a$: Lower wavelength limit of an interval of the spectrum (nm)
- $AM$: Air mass
- $APE$: Average photon energy (eV)
- $AOD$: Aerosol optical depth at any wavelength
- $b$: Upper wavelength limit of an interval of the spectrum (nm)
- $BF$: Blue fraction
- $CV$: Coefficient of variation (%)
- $E(\lambda)$: Spectral Irradiance of the actual solar spectrum (W·m$^{-2}$·nm$^{-1}$)
- $MM$: Spectral mismatch factor
- $PW$: Precipitable water (cm)
- $R_c$: Percentage contribution of a spectral band of a recorded spectral (%) measurement to the broadband irradiance
- $SD$: Standard deviation of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band and the same APE interval (%)
- $SD_{max}$: Maximum value of standard deviation of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band and the same APE interval (%)
- $SD_{mean}$: Average value of standard deviation of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band and the same APE interval (%)
- $SD_{min}$: Minimum value of standard deviation of $R_c$ for every 50-nm band and (%) the same APE interval
- $U_{95}$: Expanded uncertainty related to spectral measurements (%)
- $UF$: Useful fraction
- $\Phi_{ph}(\lambda)$: Spectral Photon Flux Density (m$^{-2}$·nm$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$)
- $\lambda_{eff}$: Effective wavelength (nm)
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