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ABSTRACT
Tools and artifacts produced by open source software (OSS) have been woven into the foundation of the technology industry. To keep this foundation intact, the open source community needs to actively invest in sustainable approaches to bring in new contributors and nurture existing ones. We take a first step at this by collaboratively designing a maintainer dashboard that provides recommendations on how to attract and retain open source contributors. For example, by highlighting project goals (e.g., a social good cause) to attract diverse contributors and mechanisms to acknowledge (e.g., a “rising contributor” badge) existing contributors. Next, we conduct a project-specific evaluation with maintainers to better understand use cases in which this tool will be most helpful at supporting their plans for growth. From analyzing feedback, we find recommendations to be useful at signaling projects as welcoming and providing gentle nudges for maintainers to proactively recognize emerging contributors. However, there are complexities to consider when designing recommendations such as the project current development state (e.g., deadlines, milestones, refactoring) and governance model. Finally, we distill our findings to share what the future of recommendations in open source looks like and how to make these recommendations most meaningful over time.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Despite the ubiquity of open source in our technology infrastructure and products, OSS projects struggle with a variety of challenges that can impact project health and sustainability. Research has found OSS to be a challenging ecosystem to navigate, both for newcomers [24, 26] and for experienced OSS contributors in large mature organizations [12].

We scope our study to helping attract and retain newcomers as this group is essential in ensuring a constant flow of contributors and a sustainable community. Newcomers have been found to face particularly challenging barriers. They often struggle with even finding a task to work on [24, 26]. Even after newcomers have contributed to the project, retaining them is nontrivial. In some projects, as high as 80% of these contributors do not transition to long term contributors [28].

The challenges with attracting and retaining newcomers are not just unfortunate for newcomers. A lack of newcomers can also hurt the health and sustainability of OSS projects. It is thus important to implement proactive measures to help make OSS projects more welcoming for newcomers to join and stay.

Previous research has focused on solutions to help newcomers, for example, through mentoring [4, 5, 22] or an information platform that overcomes the common newcomers’ barriers [25]. In this paper, we focus on the other end of the spectrum by empowering maintainers to improve their project and community health for newcomers. OSS maintainers are at the forefront of leading the project and ensuring that the project’s vision stays alive. We designed a first
recommendation dashboard prototype to specifically help maintainers be intentional about attracting and retaining newcomers. The core research question (RQ) for this paper is as follows:

7 How useful are project and community growth recommendations for OSS projects?

To maximize impact we involved different stakeholders. (1) For the design, we collaborated with Open Source Experts (OEs), who regularly communicate with OSS participants such us maintainers and contributors, to ensure that we are designing a prototype that is in alignment with the information flow maintainers are familiar with. (2) We evaluated our dashboard with 8 OSS maintainers.

This paper provides a first prototype of a maintainers’ dashboard that focuses, in its first iteration, on attracting and retaining newcomers. We hope that our prototype and evaluation will help pave the way to incorporating recommendations into GitHub and help maintainers and project leaders be proactive about their project and community growth.

2 BACKGROUND

The health of an open source project depends on a healthy contributor base. Projects therefore need to attract, train, and retain contributors. However, attracting and retaining new contributors is a challenge. Newcomers to a project face a wide variety of challenges [23, 24], that encompass the very first steps a contributor needs to take such as finding a starter task and a lack of response [2, 27, 28]. Even when newcomers are able to make a contribution, transitioning them to become long term contributors is nontrivial [14, 18]. In fact, some projects end up losing 80% of their newcomers [28] and almost half of contributors of a project contribute only once [18]. Even experienced contributors continue to face challenges in a mature OSS organization [12].

The challenges that contributors face include not finding a good task to start with [24], a lack of mentors [2], not being recognized for one’s work [12], a mismatch between contributors’ motivation in joining a project and the project goals [9], as well as a mismatch in career goals and expectations [29]. Scaffolding newcomer learning or encouraging contributors is not an easy task, as community leaders (e.g., maintainers) have limited time to attend to both the project and community needs [17].

Past research has identified strategies to overcome some of these challenges. Several works have researched mechanisms to help newcomers start to contribute. For example, newcomer-friendly issue labels that explicitly highlight starter tasks can help newcomers make their first contribution [3, 11, 24]. Santos et al. [20] provided an approach to automatically identify the skills needed for an OSS tasks, which could then be used to label issues. On the other hand, Huang et al. [13] have shown that certain project topics, especially those that relate to social good help in attracting a diverse set of contributors. Other works have shown the benefit of badges as an attract for projects [19, 30]. For example, code quality badges that signal project quality [21] can help create positive impression among contributors [19].

Here we draw on these research-evidenced strategies (e.g., highlighting starter tasks, explicitly stating project goals, and recognizing continued contributions) when generating our recommendations.

3 APPROACH

To support the two core challenges of attracting and retaining new contributors, we designed a dashboard to propose the different recommendations as we wanted to provide background information of why this recommendation was being proposed. We designed the dashboard with the GitHub interface so that it mirrored the interactions where maintainers manage other contributor activities. The dashboard was created in Figma [6] and is reflected in Figure 1. Our supplemental materials includes an example of the full dashboard [15].

To ensure our dashboard was tailored to the most relevant use cases, we conducted multiple co-design sessions with our OEs to prioritize the recommendations to be included in our dashboard. In these sessions, we used prior literature and OEs insight from OSS research studies to determine project growth recommendations (i.e., those that impact project artifacts such as files, issues, PRs) and a community growth recommendation (i.e., those that affect contributor profiles such as individual badges). For the project growth recommendations (attract), we used issue labels of GOOD-FIRST-ISSUE and FIRST-TIMERS-ONLY as well as adding a topical tag that reflects the type of impact this project will have (see Figure 2(b)). For the community growth recommendation (retain), the corresponding recommendation is to add the RISING CONTRIBUTOR badge.

3.2 Project-Specific Dashboard Evaluation

To understand the usefulness of these recommendations, we conducted project-specific interviews with maintainers from open source for social good (OSS4SG) projects. We used OSS4SG projects (and their maintainers) for our evaluation, as prior work found that OSS4G projects attract contributors who are interested in a broader impact [13]—which is well-aligned with our project growth recommendation. Additionally, as these projects also tend to attract contributors from minority groups, they provided a good opportunity for us to recruit participants from diverse backgrounds.
As interviews were customized for each maintainer, each interview took multiple steps to prepare. First, once the maintainer interview was confirmed, we mined the project activity in the last 6 months (01/2021-06/2021), to generate newcomers activity graphs (see Figure 1(c)) and rising contributors to recommend (see Figure 3). Second, we detected the presence (or lack of) newcomer-friendly issues by analyzing the issue tracker data to identify the state of newcomer-friendly issues in the project in order to recommend adding newcomer-friendly issue labels (see Figure 2(a)). Third, we identified the OSS4SG goal(s) that were aligned with the project to recommend the accurate project growth badge(s) [13].

To recruit participants, we randomly selected 71 projects from the list of 434 OSS4SG projects [13] where the project still existed on GitHub and where we could run the community growth recommendation. For instance, if a project did not have newcomers activity in the last six months it was not selected. We contacted our participants via email. We sent out recruitment emails to the maintainers of the 71 projects. The email included a brief description of the study, the compensation, and a recruitment intake form to complete. The recruitment survey provided the participants with a consent form with an opt-in/ opt-out option, a demographics survey and a link to schedule an interview time. We received eight responses resulting in a response rate of 11.3%. Maintainers reported being from 5 different countries, identified as men and had an OSS experience ranging between 2 and 8 years. Interviews ranged from 30 minutes to 45 minutes, after which, we thanked our participants and compensated them with a $50 gift card.

Each interview was audio and screen recorded for transcript and analysis purposes. Using grounded theory, we qualitatively analyzed the data of the eight interviews and summarized our findings on the usefulness of these recommendations, the lessons learned and ways to improve. We also performed a readout and shared our findings with the OEs.

4 MAINTAINERS’ DASHBOARD

The OSS4SG Maintainers we interviewed found the dashboard prototype to be useful in managing the project and providing a reminder “that there’s more to [helping the project] than [code]” (M8). The two following subsections summarize our findings on the usefulness of project growth recommendations (for attracting newcomers) and the community growth recommendation (for retaining newcomers).

4.1 Project Growth: Attracting Newcomers

For project growth, the dashboard uses two recommendations to help attract newcomers: newcomer-friendly issue labels, and OSS4SG goal(s) project tags.

4.1.1 Newcomer-Friendly Issue Labels. The dashboard analyzes the issue tracker data to identify: (1) the percent of issues that are labeled with a newcomer friendly label (Figure 2(a)) by string-matching against the set of newcomer-friendly labels in “MunGell / awesome-for-beginners” GitHub repository [16]. This list is curated from 187 repositories in 22 programming languages. Additionally, the dashboard detects if the issue labels the project currently uses include newcomer friendly labels used by other OSS projects [16]. Based on the above data, the dashboard suggests adding newcomer friendly label(s) such as “good first issue” (see Figure 2(a)).
4.2 Community Growth: Retaining Newcomers

For the community growth recommendation we focused on newcomers’ retention. The example here focuses on recognizing active newcomers with a “rising contributor” badge.

We identify “rising contributors” by analyzing the newcomers who joined the project in the last six months prior to the interviews and had not contributed to the project before. Using this data we generated newcomers’ joining, activity and retention trends (see Figure 3). We used consistent contribution as a proxy to gauge if a newcomer is a “rising contributor”. If a newcomer contributed at least in the 3 out of the last 6 months they were identified as a “rising contributor” (see Figure 3). See supplemental for the detailed approach [15].

We used the GitHub API to mine OSS4SG software repositories and mined the commit, issue and pull request logs from 434 out of the 437 list of OSS4SG projects collected by Huang et al. [13]. The three omitted projects no longer existed on GitHub. Following are the four core feedback from maintainers about this badge (Figure 3) and its source (Figure 1(c)).

- **Recognizes contributors**... Maintainers shared that “it’s nice to acknowledge people’s work if we have a system that helps do that”(M8) and that recognizing newcomers is “something that [they] wanted to know how to encourage better”(M6).

  More specifically they felt the badges benefit contributors as “a way to gain credibility”(M1) and encourage them to continue contributing. M6 said: “...what we can do to recognize people’s contributions better, I’m all for, and I’d be very happy for GitHub to implement”.

  **...but depends on the contribution model.** Maintainers pointed out that contributors’ compensation attribute needs to be taken into consideration, especially as the open source model is now shifting to a hybrid model with paid and unpaid contributors coexisting. For example, M2 recognized the benefit of the badge, but “I know for a fact that they get paid to contribute to the project. So it won’t really affect their motivation... I also think this would [be] pretty cool for us in specific circumstances like if we have someone who is voluntarily working on the project”. To better accommodate a hybrid OSS model, maintainers suggested adding a filter by team membership to be able to recognize and acknowledge the work of unpaid contributors. Maintainer M6 whose core contributors are full-time employees thought of a different usage for the recommendation: as a hiring mechanism to detect potential new employees where “if someone had been contributing to our open-source project for six months to a year and consistently doing that, we probably would have hired them by then”.

Helps understand contributor activities... Maintainers appreciated the activity information presented in Figure 3. M4 “like(ed) that the activity is noted” as a way “to see what kind of contributions the contributors are making”(M2) in a more comprehensive way than just the commits as “a lot can happen particularly with new developers before commit” (M1). M2 found the information particularly helpful “because it gives a fast overview about who’s coding for the project [and] who’s creating issues”.

**... and overall activity.** The trend graph (Figure 1(c)) helps maintainers assess “that the community is growing” (M2). In particular, understanding how certain events affect the rate of joining, activity and retention of contributors. M3 explained how “This would give an interesting set of data points...if you’re having some hackathon event...or conference”. M3 also shared the difficulty in retaining newcomers post-event and how it is very common to “have a spike and only a handful remain”.

5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work, we took a first step in providing a systematic mechanism through which OSS community leaders (e.g., maintainers) can be proactive about their community’s health and sustainability. We designed and evaluated a dashboard that provides recommendations for maintainers to help them attract (e.g., advertise project goals) and retain (e.g., recognize contributors) newcomers to their project.

We worked closely with OEs, who understand the project practices and communicate regularly with OSS participants. We then evaluated our dashboard with 8 maintainers who shared their feedback on the usefulness of the tool and ways to improve.

One key feedback when creating recommendations was the need to take into consideration the project’s contribution model. With the open source ecosystem increasingly using hybrid models of contribution, different governance models exist. While some projects are driven by a community of volunteers, others are company-driven with paid employees being the main contributors. M4 explained: “because this project in specific was company sponsored...Most of the things that work here [in the project], don’t work elsewhere”.

Other project characteristics such as the project’s current development cycle (e.g., upcoming release, project restructuring) can also impact it’s needs and it’s ability to support newcomers. As future work, we plan to continue to collaborate with the OEs to expand our dashboard design to accommodate these different project characteristics. For example, maintainers requested recommendations for retaining core contributors and for detecting potential new maintainers.

To summarize, open source software is a key digital infrastructure that drives many of our products and a venue for workforce development. However, current project maintainers are often resource-constrained to scaffold newcomers learning, which is not only detrimental to the project’s health, but also to society. Therefore, as researchers we need to identify mechanisms to help maintainers attract and retain (new) contributors. As different OSS projects have different characteristics and needs, it is important to continue to “listen” to maintainers to better understand what tools and support they need. Maintainers’ listening tours [1, 10] and industry conferences [7, 8] are great venues to foster industry-academia collaborations.
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