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Nickle et al. [1] recently reported a computational method for HIV-1 vaccine antigen design; here we compare this method with our previously published vaccine antigen design method [2], using several criteria that we believe to be important for a successful vaccine candidate [2]. The intent of both approaches is to design a set of vaccine antigens that could protect against diverse circulating strains of HIV-1 by eliciting broad T cell responses. T cells recognize short peptides of 8–12 amino acids, called epitopes, that are presented on the surface of infected cells by human HLA proteins. HIV-1 is highly variable, and both vaccine methods [1,2] attempt to provide coverage of most common variants of epitope-length fragments in HIV-1 proteins [3].

The two methods use very different computational strategies, but both achieve high coverage of potential epitopes. The COT+ method generates an antigen set consisting of one full-length synthetic sequence supplemented by a set of sequence fragments [1]. Initially, the Center of Tree (COT) sequence is computed based on a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree inferred for a set of test sequences [4]. The “center” of the tree is identified as the point that minimizes the distances to the terminal branches, and the evolutionary model and tree topology are used to reconstruct the most likely sequence at that center point of the tree [4]. Like an inferred most recent common ancestral sequence [5], a COT sequence is an estimate of an historical entity, based on a concatenation of the most likely nucleotide at each position. The COT+ antigen design method uses the COT sequence as a foundation, and builds on it by sequential addition of protein fragments selected to provide enhanced potential epitope coverage [6]. These fragments are selected using a sliding window across all sequences; peptides are scored by the level of additional coverage they provide, and the highest-scoring fragments are added to the set, with fragments being overlapped where possible. The result is the COT+ sequence set: the full-length COT sequence plus a set of additional sequence fragments of varying lengths (Table 1, Figure 1). The summed length of all fragments are considered in units of “protein lengths”: Nickle et al. [1] illustrate the use of two protein lengths of peptides in addition to the COT protein, for a total of three gene length equivalents. They also provide an option of assembling the fragments into linear proteins (but this provided reduced population coverage relative to the basic COT+ method) [1].

In contrast, the mosaic method that we recently described [2] assembles a specified number of full-length protein sequences that in combination optimize coverage of epitope length peptides in a population. This method creates intact HIV proteins that have the potential for natural expression and processing of epitopes. We employed a genetic algorithm to generate sets of sequences by in silico recombination of natural protein sequences. Starting with randomly recombined natural sequences, the algorithm proceeds by a series of iterations of recombination and selection, optimizing for 9-mer coverage of the input sequences. The result is a small set of full-length protein sequences that collectively approach the upper bound on coverage attainable for a given number of proteins [2].

We designed a three-mosaic protein set using the same input data as Nickle et al. to directly compare the two methods using the same metric as Nickle et al. The mosaic set, despite being constrained to use full-length proteins, performed slightly better in terms of 9-mer coverage than three protein lengths using COT+ (Figure 2). The mosaics used three full-length protein sequences, however, compared to 38 fragments for Gag and 15 for Nef included in the optimal coverage COT+ antigen design (Figure 1, Table 1).

The processing of antigens that ultimately results in the presentation of epitopes is not completely understood. Therefore, a critical issue for the COT+ method is a strategy for assembling the peptide fragments into antigens that would be practical for vaccine delivery. It is possible to simply concatenate the fragments, but this creates large numbers of spurious 9-mers at the junctions (up to eight at each junction; see Table 1), and may impact processing of embedded epitopes in the context of the assembled fragments.

Some recent experimental results illustrate that problems can occur at unnatural boundaries in polyproteins. We initially designed mosaic protein sets for Env, Gag, Pol, and Nef [2], although we highlighted creating mosaics from Gag and the conserved center of Nef [2]. Nef is highly variable, but is relatively conserved near the center of the protein, where the most intense and frequent T cell responses have been observed in the setting of natural infection [7]. Therefore, we excluded the variable regions of Nef and generated a single fusion protein comprising a mosaic full-length Gag plus the relatively conserved center of Nef. The Gag+central Nef fusion gene construct was cloned into a DNA vaccine vector and transfected into 293T cells. Protein expression was evaluated by Western blot analysis using HIV-specific MAbs (241-D, Gag; 6.2 and EH1, Nef). The mosaic polyprotein was larger than a Gag protein, as expected, and Gag-specific cellular immune responses were detected by IFN-γ Elispot assay in splenocytes from mice immunized with this polyprotein construct; however, Nef-specific responses were

Table 1. Number of Proteins or Protein Fragments Included in the Antigen Design, and the Number of Rare or Unique 9-mers in Various Protein Sets

| Antigen Set                  | Rare 9-mers | Unnatural 9-mers | Number of Fragments |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Gag COT+                    | 4           | 53               | 38                  |
| Gag COT+ concatenated       | 6           | 324              | 3                   |
| 3 Gag Mosaics               | 0           | 0                | 3                   |
| Nef COT+                    | 9           | 5                | 15                  |
| Nef COT+ concatenated       | 11          | 90               | 3                   |
| 3 Nef Mosaics               | 0           | 0                | 3                   |
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not detected. Furthermore, using recombinant Gag and Nef protein as coating antigens in an ELISA, we detected anti-Gag but not anti-Nef antibody responses in these mice. Thus, this Gag/Nef fusion construct expresses Gag and elicits Gag-specific immune responses, but does not induce anti-Nef antibody or T cell responses in vivo. These results demonstrate that unnatural linking of polypeptides can have unexpected and undesirable consequences, and that appropriate assembly of peptides can be a nontrivial endeavor.

Another important aspect of mosaic protein design is the explicit exclusion of unnatural or very rare epitope-length fragments [2]. While the excellent coverage of Mosaics illustrates that this approach captures more rare variants than other approaches (such as combining optimized natural strains or sets of consensus sequences) [1,2], unique or extremely rare variants are excluded at a user-specified rarity threshold. Including such fragments in a vaccine has the potential to elicit T cell responses that are unlikely to provide cross-protection against circulating strains, and could even divert vaccine-induced T cell responses from conserved epitopes that are relevant for protection. We therefore set a threshold such that all nine amino acid-length fragments that are incorporated into mosaic proteins are found a minimum of three times in the input data. In contrast, despite algorithmic “smoothing” [1], the COT+ protein fragments contain multiple rare and unnatural 9-mers (Table 1).

An exciting potential of this type of strategy is that it may provide an approach for making a global HIV vaccine. We previously compared mosaic vaccine design at three levels: regional, within-subtype, and global [2]; in contrast, COT+ was initially applied only to the B subtype [1]. The C subtype regional comparison was based on a large South African sequence dataset [8] compared to the non–South African C subtype Los Alamos database sequences; there was no discernable advantage in creating a South African–specific mosaic versus a global C subtype mosaic vaccine in terms of coverage of South African diversity [2]. We then compared B and C subtype mosaics with mosaics designed for the entire HIV-1 M group. We found that optimizing using one HIV subtype results in a dramatic reduction in coverage of other
subtypes (generally about 25% for Gag and the Nef mosaics [2], also see Figure 2E for a COT comparison). In contrast, mosaics designed using a large and representative set of M group proteins from the Los Alamos database gave only slightly lower coverage for any given subtype compared to within-subtype optimized mosaics [2]—the tradeoff for this relatively small loss of coverage is the potential for much broader (i.e., global) coverage. In Figure 2C, we show the 9-mer coverage of the M group Gag mosaics designed using the global set of M group sequences from the Los Alamos database. Coverage is comparable (within a few percent) for all subtypes tested [2] as well as for the test set from Nickle et al. [1] (Figure 2D).

In conclusion, although the COT+ method is an interesting and algorithmically creative suggestion for vaccine design, the Mosaic approach has several advantages. Nickle et al. [1] note that “more computational intensive approaches such as
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In our paper “Coping with Viral Diversity in HIV Vaccine Design” [1], we presented several approaches to incorporate viral variability within vaccine immunogens, including judicious choice of natural strains. Most of our approaches included at least one collinear gene length corresponding to the Center-of-Tree (COT) sequence, which has near-optimal peptide coverage for a single gene. Inclusion of a COT sequence and optimizing the rest of the immunogen for coverage, as suggested in [2], yielded a construct (COT+) with the greatest coverage of peptide diversity, minimally sacrificing peptide coverage in comparison with unconstrained diversity optimization. Fischer et al. [3] introduced mosaics—a different approach to increasing coverage while maintaining collinearity using an optimization algorithm based on simulated recombination.

In their response to Nickle et al. [1], Fischer et al. [4] suggest that maintaining full collinearity of viral gene sequences with native viral proteins is the only tractable approach to producing immunogens inclusive of viral variability. This claim was based on the observation that mosaics had slightly higher coverage than COT+ at 3× and 4× strain lengths, despite the fact that all mosaic components are constrained to be collinear with the full gene. However, as we pointed out, a variety of optimization algorithms can be used to perform coverage optimization, with computationally intensive approaches typically yielding better results. Figure 1 compares the coverage of mosaics with COT+ constructs produced by two optimization algorithms—the simple greedy extension described in Nickle et al. [1], which can be implemented in hours and run in seconds on any modern personal computer, and the more complex combinatorial optimization approach of [5] run for one day on a cluster of 300 PCs. We also include the coverage of a construct optimized without any collinearity constraints, derived using the Kirovski et al. [5] algorithm. The coverage of COT+ created by combinatorial optimization is greater than that of mosaics, especially at larger lengths where even the simple greedy algorithm surpasses the mosaic coverage. Furthermore, the optimized COT+ coverage is almost identical to the coverage of constructs optimized with no collinearity constraints, indicating that the price for imposing a constraint on the immunogen to include a single virus-like strain is small.

Fischer and colleagues also argued that COT+ creates unnatural peptide sequences by concatenation. However, similar concatenation of their mosaics would have produced about 18 unnatural 9-mer peptides. Furthermore, the COT+ approach can be tuned to both penalize the introduction of unnatural peptides on concatenation, and to define the number of segments to be separately expressed, and thus reduce the requirement for concatenation. Several additional inferences were made in the response by Fischer.
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et al. that should be commented upon. First, COT+ may, of course, be optimized for arbitrary HIV clades or combinations of clades, but the publication of our paper in *PLoS Computational Biology* reflects our focus on approaches to immunogen design rather than on the production of an exhaustive series of constructs. Also, just as in the mosaic approach, COT+ can be optimized to exclude rare variants (referred to as smoothing in our paper).

Fischer et al. also discussed disappointing unpublished findings on the immunogenicity induced against Nef by a construct obtained by fusing a full-length Gag gene and the central portion of the Nef gene. However, these results can only be fairly assessed in light of what would be expected for the full-length Nef protein, and in the case of cellular immune responses, in the context of the same MHC specificities. However, these controls were not provided. We certainly agree that there are substantial challenges to the establishment of a multivalent CD8 response, yet multiple strategies have been and are being devised to overcome this important problem. For example, different groups have shown that CD8+ T cell responses can be successfully elicited against CD8+ T cell epitope strings when they are separated by short linker sequences and not in the context of the native protein, implying that they can be processed and presented in vivo [6–11].

Finally, despite 25 years of AIDS research and intensive yet uniformly failed efforts to develop an AIDS vaccine, the scientific community is poorly positioned to determine which, if any, approach to vaccine immunogen design will prove successful. Thus, arguing over methodologies developed with the same goal of incorporating variability has little significance as long as we do not know whether maximizing variability or inclusion of the entire full-length viral proteins are valid strategies. It may very well be that removing certain epitopes could be a more judicious approach than an overall epitope maximization strategy [12]. Indeed, the flexibility afforded by the COT+ approach, which is not limited to full-length proteins, may well prove superior to immunization with full-length viral protein immunogens.
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