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Summary
This article presents a novel Chinese class n-gram model for contextual postprocessing of handwriting recognition results. The word classes in the model are automatically discovered by a corpus-based simulated annealing procedure. Three other language models, least-word, word-frequency, and the powerful inter-word character bigram model, have been constructed for comparison. Extensive experiments on large text corpora show that the discovered class bigram model outperforms the other three competing models.

1. INTRODUCTION
Class-based language models (Brown et al., 1992) have been proposed for dealing with two problems confronted by the well-known word n-gram language models - (1) data sparseness: the amount of training data is insufficient for estimating the huge number of parameters; and (2) domain robustness: the model is not adaptable to new application domains. The classes can be either linguistic categories or statistical word clusters. The former includes morphological features (Lee L. et al., 1993), grammatical parts-of-speech (Dercum and Merlaldo, 1986; Church, 1989; Chang and Chen, 1993a), and semantic categories. The latter uses word classes discovered by the computer using statistical characteristics in very large corpora. There have recently been several groups working on corpus-based word class discovery such as Brown et al. (1992), Jardino and Adda (1993), Schutze (1993), and Chang and Chen (1993a). However, the practical value of word class discovery needs to be proved by real-world applications. In this paper, we apply the discovered word classes to language models for contextual postprocessing of Chinese handwriting recognition.

The Chinese language has more than 10,000 character categories. Therefore, the problem of Chinese character recognition is very challenging and has attracted many researchers. The field has usually divided into three types: on-line recognition, printed character recognition, and handwriting recognition, in the order of difficulty. The recognition systems have been reported to have character accuracies ranging from 60% to 99%, by character recognizers for different types of texts from different producers. Misrecognitions and/or rejections are hard to avoid due to the problems of different fonts, characters with similar shape, character segmentation, different writers, and algorithmic imperfections. Therefore, contextual postprocessing of the recognition results is very useful in both reducing the number of recognition errors and saving the time in human proofreading.

Contextual postprocessing of character recognition results is not novel: Shinghal (1983) and Sinha (1988) proposed approaches for English; Sugimura and Saito (1985) dealt with the reject correction of Japanese character recognition; and several researchers (Chou B. and Chang, 1992; Lee H. et al., 1993) presented approaches for postprocessing Chinese character recognition, just to name a few.

Three large text corpora have been used in the experiments: 10-million-character 1991ud for collecting character bigrams and word frequencies, 540-thousand-character day7 for word class discovery, and 92-thousand-character pol12 for evaluating postprocessing language models.

A simulated annealing approach is used for discovering the statistical word classes in the training corpus. The discovery process converges to an optimal class assignment to the words, with a minimal perplexity for a predefined number of classes. The discovered word classes are then used in the class bigram language model for postprocessing.

We have used a state-of-the-art Chinese handwriting recognizer (Li et al., 1992) developed by ATC, CCL, ITRI, Taiwan as the basis of our experiments. The CCL/IICCR handwritten character database (5401 character categories, 200 samples each category) (Tu et al., 1991) was automatically sorted according to character quality (Chou S. and Yu, 1993). The recognizer produces N best category candidates for each character sample in the test part of the database. The postprocessor then uses as its input the category candidates for the pol12 corpus and chooses one of the candidates for each character as its output.

For comparison, we have also implemented three other language models: a least-word model, a word-frequency model, and the powerful inter-word character bigram model (Lee L. et al., 1993). We have conducted extensive experiments with the discovered class bigram (changing the number of classes) and these three competitive models on character samples.
with different quality. The experimental results show that our discovered class bigram model outperforms the three competing models.

2. WORD CLASS DISCOVERY

We describe in this section the problem of corpus-based word class discovery and the simulated annealing approach for the problem.

2.1 The problem

Let $T = w_1, w_2, ..., w_L$ be a text corpus with $L$ words; $V = v_1, v_2, ..., v_{NV}$ be the vocabulary composed of the $NV$ distinct words in $T$; and $C = C_1, C_2, ..., C_{NC}$ be the set of classes, where $NC$ is a predefined number of classes. The word class discovery problem can be formulated as follows: Given $V$ and $C$ (with a fixed $NC$), find a class assignment $\phi$ from $V$ to $C$ which maximizes the estimated probability of $T$, $p(T)$, according to a specific probabilistic language model.

For a class bigram model, find $\phi : V \rightarrow C$ to maximize $p(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{L} p(w_i | \phi(w_i)) p(\phi(w_i) | \phi(w_{i-1}))$.

Alternatively, perplexity (Jardino and Adda, 1993) or average mutual information (Brown et al., 1992) can be used as the characteristic value for optimization. Perplexity, $PP$, is a well-known quality metric for language models in speech recognition: $PP = \bar{p}(T)^{-\frac{1}{k}}$.

The perplexity for a class bigram model is:

$$PP = \exp\left(\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \ln(p(w_i | \phi(w_i))p(\phi(w_i) | \phi(w_{i-1})))\right)$$

where $w_j$ is the $j$-th word in the text and $\phi(w_j)$ is the class that $w_j$ is assigned to.

For class $N$-gram models with fixed $NC$, lower perplexity indicates better class assignment of the words. The word class discovery problem is thus defined: find the class assignment of the words to minimize the perplexity of the training text.

2.2 The simulated annealing approach

The word class discovery problem can be considered as a combinatorial optimization problem to be solved with a simulated annealing approach. Jardino and Adda (1993) used the approach for automatically classifying French and German words. The four components (Kirkpatrick et al., 1983) of a simulated annealing algorithm are (1) a specification of configuration, (2) a random move generator for rearrangements of the elements in a configuration, (3) a cost function for evaluating a configuration, (4) an annealing schedule that specifies time and duration to decrease the control parameter (or temperature). The configuration is clearly the class assignment $\phi$, for the word class discovery problem. The move generator is also straightforward -- randomly choosing a word to be reassigned to a randomly chosen class. Perplexity can serve as the cost function to evaluate the quality of word classification. The Metropolis algorithm specifies the annealing schedule. The discovery procedure is thus: (1) Initialize: Assign the words randomly to the predefined number of classes to have an initial configuration; (2) Move: Reassign a randomly selected word to a randomly selected class (Monte Carlo principle); (3) Accept or Backtrack: If the perplexity decreases, accept the new configuration according to the control parameter $cp$. The new configuration is accepted if $\exp(\Delta PP/cp)$ is greater than a random number between 0 and 1, where $\Delta PP$ is the difference of perplexities for two consecutive steps. $cp$ is decreased logarithmically (multiplied by an annealing factor $af$) after a fixed number of iterations.

3. CONTEXTUAL POSTPROCESSING OF HANDWRITING RECOGNITION

The problem of contextual postprocessing can be described as follows: The character recognizer produces top $K$ candidates (with similarity score) for each character in the input stream; the postprocessor then decides which of the $K$ candidates is correct based on the context and a language model. Let the recognizer produce the candidate matrix $M$ for the input sequence of length $N$:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdots & C_{N1} \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{N2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
C_{1K} & C_{2K} & \cdots & C_{NK}
\end{array}
$$

the postprocessor is to find the combination with highest probability according to the language model: $O = O_1, O_2, ... O_N = \arg\max P(O|M)$

The overall probability can be divided into two parts: pattern recognition probability and linguistic probability, $P(O|M) = P_{PR}(O|M) \times P_{LM}(O|M)$. The former is produced by the recognizer, while the latter is defined by the language model.

This problem can be reformulated as one of finding the optimal path in a word lattice, since word is the smallest meaningful unit in the Chinese language. The word lattice is formed with the words proposed by a word hypothesizer, which is composed of a dictionary matcher and some lexical rules. Thus, $P_{LM}(O|M) = \max_{all paths} P(path)$, where a path is a word sequence formed by a character combination in $M$.

3.1 Least-word model (LW)

A simple language model is based on a dictionary (actually a wordlist). The characteristic function of the model is the number of words in the word-lattice path. The best path is simply one with the least number of
words, \( P_{LM}(O|M) = (-1)^{r} \cdot \#\text{words-in-the-path} \). This is similar to the principle of Maximum Matching in Chinese word segmentation.

3.2 Word-frequency model (WF)

Another simple model is based on the word frequencies of the words in the word-lattice path. This can be considered as a word unigram language model. The path probability is the product of word probabilities of the words in the path.

3.3 Inter-word character bigram model (IWCB)

Lee L. et al. (1993) recently presented a novel idea called word-lattice-based Chinese character bigrams for Chinese language modeling. Basically, they approximate the effect of word bigrams by applying character bigrams to the boundary characters of adjacent words. The approach is simple and very effective. It can also be considered as one of class-based bigram models, using morphological features - the first and last characters of a word. We had implemented a variation of the model, called inter-word character bigram model. Word probabilities and Chinese character bigrams were built from the 10-million-character UD corpus. The path probability is computed as the product of word probabilities and inter-word character bigram probabilities of the words in the path. This model is one of the best among the existing Chinese language models, and has been successfully applied to Chinese homophone disambiguation and linguistic decoding (Lee L. et al., 1993).

3.4 Discovered class bigram model

Our novel language model uses the word classes discovered by the simulated annealing procedure as the basis of class bigram language model. The number of classes (NC) can be selected according to the size of training corpus.

Every word in the training corpus is assigned to a certain class after the training process converges with a minimal perplexity. Thus, we can store the class indices in the corresponding lexical entries in the dictionary. Words in a word-lattice path are then automatically mapped to the class indices through dictionary look-up. The path probability is thus the product of lexical probabilities and contextual class bigram probabilities, as in a usual class bigram language model.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1 The corpora and word bigrams

The 1991 UD newspaper corpus (1991ud) of approximately 10,000,000 characters has been used for collecting the character bigrams and word frequencies used in the IWCB model. A subcorpus of 1991ud, day7, was used for word class discovery.

The subcorpus is first segmented automatically into sentences, then into words by our Viterbi-based word identification program VSG. Statistics of the day7 subcorpus are summarized: 42,937 sentences, 23,977 word-types (3,377 1-character, 16,064 2-character, 2,461 3-character, 2,135 4-character), and 355,374 word-tokens (189,838 1-character, 156,267 2-character, 10,783 3-character, 4,499 4-character).

A simple program is then used for counting the word collocation frequencies for the 23,977x23,977 word bigram, in which only 203,304 entries are non-zero. After that, the full word bigram is stored in compressed form.

The simulated annealing procedure is very time-consuming; that is why we have used the smaller day7 rather than the original 1991ud corpus for word class discovery. For example, it took 201.2 CPU hours on a DEC 3000/500 AXP workstation to classify 23,977 words into 200 classes with 50,000 trials in each of 416 iterations, using the day7 corpus.

An independent set of news abstract articles, poli2, were collected for evaluating the performance of language models. poli2 is different from day7 in both publisher and time period poli2 contains 6,930 sentences or 92,710 Chinese characters.

4.2 Handwriting recognition

We have used a state-of-the-art Chinese handwriting recognizer (Li et al., 1992) developed by ATC, CCL, ITRI, Taiwan as the basis of our experiments. The CChinese handwritten character database (5491 character categories, 200 samples each category) (Yu et al., 1991) was first automatically sorted according to character quality (Chou S. and Yu, 1993), then was divided into two parts: the odd-rank samples for training the recognizer, the even-rank samples as hold out test data.

We have used for our experiments three sets of character samples, CQ10, CQ20, and CQ30, which are the samples with quality ranks 10, 20, and 30, respectively. The recognition results are summarized in Table 1 (a). The table shows the numbers of character samples in which position the correct character categories were ranked by the recognizer. There are, for example, 5,270 character samples ranked 1, 165 ranked 2, 15 ranked 3, ..., and 4 ranked after 15, for CQ10. The error rates, in terms of character categories, would be 2.43%, 3.48%, and 4.07%, for CQ10, CQ20, and CQ30, respectively.

4.3 Word class discovery

The day7 subcorpus was used for discovering word classes. The initial configuration is: Words with frequency less than \(m\) (currently set to 6) are assigned to Class-1, the unseen word class (Jardino and Adda 1993); punctuation marks are assigned to a special class Class-1; and 4 character number words are assigned to Classes 2, 5, respectively; all other words are assigned to Class-6. The word-types assigned to the six special classes classes 0-5 are not subject to reassignment. The control parameter \(c_p\) is initially set to 0.1 and the annealing factor of 0.9.

We have conducted numbers of experiments with
Table 1: Handwriting Recognition Results

| rank | CQ10 | CQ20 | CQ30 |
|------|------|------|------|
| 1    | 5270 | 5213 | 5181 |
| 2    | 105  | 133  | 162  |
| 3    | 15   | 20   | 29   |
| 4    | 2    | 11   | 7    |
| 5    | 3    | 2    | 5    |
| 6    | 2    | 7    | 3    |
| 7-10 | 0    | 0    | 3    |
| >10  | 4    | 15   | 11   |

(a) Number of Correct Character Categories

| rank | CQ10 | CQ20 | CQ30 |
|------|------|------|------|
| 1    | 90778| 88924| 89699|
| 2    | 1451 | 2994 | 2112 |
| 3    | 178  | 168  | 399  |
| 4    | 2    | 86   | 38   |
| 5    | 135  | 0    | 199  |
| 6    | 64   | 95   | 62   |
| 7-10 | 0    | 0    | 4    |
| >10  | 50   | 391  | 145  |
| out  | 52   | 52   | 52   |

(b) Number of Correct Characters in pol12

different predefined number of classes NC. The automatic discovery procedure stops when the perplexity converges or the control parameter approaches to zero. The converged perplexities range from 670 to 1200, depending on NC. Classifications with higher NC have lower training set perplexities. However, we have to careful about the problem of overtraining due to insufficient training data. See Chang and Chen (1993b) for discussion on the problem.

A statistical language model must be able to deal with the problem of unseen words and bigrams, in real-world applications. We adopt a simple linear smoothing scheme, similar to Jardino and Adda (1993). The interpolation parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are set to $1 - 10^{-5}$ and 0.1, respectively.

4.4 Contextual postprocessing

The pol12 corpus of 92,710 Chinese characters was used for evaluating the performance of contextual postprocessing. The recognition results for the three sets of character samples were used as the basis of evaluation. Table 1 (b) shows the recognition results in terms of the pol12 corpus. The corpus contains 52 uncommon characters which do not belong to any of the 5401 character categories. The table shows the numbers of characters in the corpus in which position the correct characters were ranked by the recognizer. For example, there are 90,778 characters ranked 1, 1451 ranked 2, 178 ranked 3, ..., and 50 ranked after 10, in terms of the CQ10 samples. The recognition error rate for CQ10 would be 2.08%, without contextual postprocessing. The error rate for CQ20, 4.08%, is higher than that for CQ30, 3.25%, because some very common characters, e.g., , , in CQ20 samples are misrecognized. We set the number of candidates $K$ to 6 in the experiments, as a tradeoff for better performance. Therefore, the characters ranked after 6 and the 52 uncommon characters are impossible to recover using the postprocessor. The optimal results a language model can do are thus with error rates 0.11%, 0.48%, and 0.22%, for CQ10, CQ20, and CQ30, respectively.

The changes the postprocessor makes can be classified into three types: wrong-to-correct (XO), correct-to-wrong (OX), and wrong-to-wrong (XX). In the XO type, a wrong character (i.e., a recognition error) is corrected; in the OX type, a correct character is changed to a wrong one; and in the XX type, a wrong character is changed to another different wrong one. The performance of the postprocessor can be evaluated as the net gain, $\#XOs - \#OXs$.

Table 2: Postprocessing Results for the CQ10, CQ20, CQ30 Character Samples

| Model       | XO  | OX  | XX  | Gain | ER(%) |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|
| No Grammar  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 3.14  |
| Least Word  | 1713| 1361| 67  | 351  | 2.76  |
| Word Freq.  | 2417| 702 | 149 | 1714 | 1.29  |
| IWCB        | 2563| 668 | 204 | 1895 | 1.10  |
| NC = 50     | 2349| 201 | 134 | 2148 | 0.82  |
| NC = 100    | 2354| 201 | 133 | 2153 | 0.81  |
| NC = 150    | 2351| 192 | 128 | 2159 | 0.81  |
| NC = 200    | 2355| 212 | 131 | 2143 | 0.82  |
| NC = 250    | 2361| 240 | 135 | 2120 | 0.85  |
| NC = 300    | 2348| 232 | 141 | 2116 | 0.86  |
| NC = 500    | 2317| 311 | 153 | 2006 | 0.97  |

Table 2 summarizes the experimental results of postprocessing for the three sets of character samples. The columns XO, OX, XX, and Gain list the average numbers of characters in types XO, OX, XX, and XO-OX, respectively. The last column ER lists the overall error rates after postprocessing with the various language models. The No Grammar row lists the error rates without postprocessing; the rows Least Word, Word Freq., and IWCB show the results for the Least-Word, Word-Frequency, and Inter-word Character Bi-gram models; and the NC rows show the results for discovered class bigram models with different numbers of classes. We observe from Table 2 that:

- Our discovered class bigram model out-performed the other three models in general. The order of performance is: NC = 200 > IWCB > WF > LW. The average error rates are: Recognizer: 3.14%, LW:2.76%, WF:1.29%, IWCB:1.10%, and NC = 200: 0.82%.

In other words, our NC = 200 reduced the error rate by 73.89%, while IWCB reduced it by 64.97%,
WF by 58.92%, and LW by 12.10%. Note that a 0.27% average of the characters are always wrong; that is, the least error rate is 0.27%. Excluding these characters, the $NC = 200$ model reduced the error rate by 80.84%!

* The Least-word model is not sufficient (it has negative gain for CQ10), and the Word-frequency model is much better, reducing the error rates by more than fifty percent.
* Our model outperformed the powerful IWCB model, except for CQ20. The difference of CQ20 performance is just 0.05%, while our model outperformed IWCB by much larger margins, 0.51% and 0.43%, for CQ10 and CQ30, respectively. Besides, the storage requirement of our model is much less than that of IWCB model.
* The IWCB model usually corrects more errors than ours, while it also commits much more OX mistakes.

* The optimal NC values for the discovered class bigram models are 200 for CQ10 and CQ20, and 150 for CQ30. This is consistent to the common rule of thumb: the size of training data should be at least ten times the number of parameters, which suggests a NC value of 189 for the size of the day7 corpus (355,347 words).

The $NC = 500$ models are apparently over-trained, which is consistent to the evaluation of test set perplexities we discussed in Chang and Chen (1993b).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have proposed using automatically discovered word classes in Chinese class n-gram models for contextual postprocessing of handwriting recognition results. Three other language models have been constructed for comparison. Extensive experiments on large text corpora show that the discovered class bigram language model has outperformed all the three competing models, including the powerful inter-word character bigram model. Future works include (1) applying the discovered class bigram models to linguistic decoding in Chinese speech recognizer; and (2) studying other automatic discovery approaches.
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