Development and validation of a TaqMan RT-PCR method for identification of mayonnaise spoilage yeast *Pichia kudriavzevii*
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**Abstract**

Food spoilage and its contamination with yeast and mold is a serious problem of food industry. Despite the high fat content, mayonnaise is an attractive substrate for food spoilage microorganisms. The aim of this study was to develop a method for yeast identification in mayonnaise and to test commercially available mayonnaises for the presence of these contaminating microorganisms. Based on the sequencing of intergenic regions ITS1 and ITS2, we identified a yeast microorganism that causes mayonnaise spoilage. We found that DNA sequences were more than 99% identical to the GenBank DNA sequences from *Pichia kudriavzevii*. We developed a specific to *P. kudriavzevii* TaqMan probe and primers. The reaction conditions were optimized regarding to the components concentration and temperature cycle. The minimum amount of *P. kudriavzevii* DNA that could be detected by developed method was 50 fg. The minimal number of *P. kudriavzevii* cells that could be detected by developed method without pre-enrichment was 50. We tested verified method with DNAs from microorganisms of different taxonomic groups that were obtained from three collections of microorganisms. Finally, we analyzed 20 different brands of mayonnaise from 14 producers and 10 different brands of mayonnaise sauce from seven producers. We determined the Cq parameter that characterizes transition of the fluorescence curve to the logarithmic phase and, therefore, correlates with the extent of sample contamination with *P. kudriavzevii* yeast. *P. kudriavzevii* was detected in six analyzed samples of mayonnaise and one sample of mayonnaise sauce.
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**Introduction**

Food spoilage and its contamination with pathogenic microorganisms is a serious problem of food industry. Often, contaminating agents are eukaryotic microorganisms, such as yeast and molds. Microbial contamination is very common for the products with a high fat content, e.g., mayonnaises. Mayonnaise is a semi-liquid emulsion of oil, egg yolks, whole eggs, vinegar, and/or lemon juice and some other ingredients (salt, spices, glucose, etc.) with pH 3.6 to 4.6 (Jay et al. 2005). The risk of spoilage is exacerbated by the refusal of food-producing companies to use preservatives. Despite the high fat content, mayonnaise is an attractive substrate for food spoilage microorganisms and even some pathogenic microbes. One of the first studies on mayonnaise contamination was performed by Fabian and Wethington (1950), who identified yeast in mayonnaise samples; other authors have demonstrated later that yeast, e.g., *Saccharomyces bailii*, often contaminates mayonnaise (Kurtzman et al. 1971). The prevalent contaminating bacteria in mayonnaises are halophilic/halotolerant species, such as *Micrococcus* and *Bacillus* (Sagdic et al. 2017).

Among potential sources of microbial contamination are eggs, because egg yolk is one of the main mayonnaise ingredients. Bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella* spp. and yeasts, such as *Candida albicans* (Lee et al. 2017), and *Coxiella burnetii* (Tatsumi et al. 2006) were found in eggs; for the latter, the identification method using real-time PCR has been developed.
Molecular identification of yeasts
DNA from the grown colonies was isolated using commercially available PROBA-GS kit (DNA Technology, Russia). Polymerase chain reaction was performed with an Eppendorf MasterCycler Personal cycler. Each PCR reaction mixture contained 2.5 µl of 10× reaction buffer, 1 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µl of 10 µM forward primer, 1 µl of 10 µM reverse primer, 3 µl of 25 mM Mg²⁺, 1 µg of template DNA, 2.5 units of thermostable Taq DNA polymerase (Evrogen, Russia), and deionized water (up to 25 µl). PCR regime included initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 54 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 45 s; final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min. Fungal-specific primers for molecular identification were: direct ITS1 primer—5′-TCC TCC GAA CCT GCG G; reverse ITS4 primer—5′-TCCTCC GCTTATTGATGC (White et al. 1990).

PCR products were stained with ethidium bromide and visualized at 312 nm with a TCO-20LM transilluminator after electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel.

RCR products were purified from the gel with a Cleanup Standard kit (Evrogen, Russia) and sequenced with an Applied Biosystems 3500 automated sequencer using a BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and ITS1/ITS4 primers.

Development TaqMan RT-PCR
Nucleotide sequence alignment was carried out in MEGA6 tool (Tamura et al. 2013). Primer/probe sets for identification of P. kudriavzevii were designed according to the following criteria: primer length between 18 and 30 bp; no distinctive hairpin structures and dimers; GC content from 20 to 80%; minimal G/C content at the 3′ end of the primers; minimum identical nucleotides together in TaqMan probes; no G at the probe 5′-end; PCR-product size from 80 to 250 bp;
annealing temperature of the probe at least 4 °C above the annealing temperature of the primers.

Real-time PCR was carried out in a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, USA) using commercially available qPCRmix-HS mixture (Eurogen, Russia) according to the following protocol: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 37 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 54 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 30 s.

Results
Bioinformatics analysis and primer/probe design
To identify contaminating yeast species, we selected eight commercially available mayonnaise samples and plated them on agar plates. After 3-day growth, yeast-resembling colonies were isolated for further molecular biological studies. Yeast presence was found in four samples of mayonnaise. After DNA isolation we sequenced the DNA fragments that contained 5.8S rRNA gene and two internally transcribed spacers, ITS1 and ITS2 and compared them to nucleotide sequences from the GenBank database. We found out that all sequences were more than 99% identical to the DNA sequences from \textit{P. kudriavzevii}. The sequenced fragments were also analyzed using the data from the Boldsystem (http://www.boldsystems.org) that confirmed that the identified microorganism was \textit{P. kudriavzevii}. The obtained sequences were deposited in the Genbank database under the corresponding numbers: MH626402.1, MH626403.1, MH626404.1, MH626405.1, MH626406.1, MH626407.1, MH626408.1 and MH626409.1.

We analyzed over 180 registered in GenBank DNA sequences of 5.8S, 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA genes and internally transcribed spacers ITS1 and ITS2 for \textit{P. kudriavzevii} and synonymic species (\textit{Issatchenkia orientalis}, \textit{Candida krusei}) to identify specific sequences. The primer/probe sets for identification of \textit{P. kudriavzevii} were suggested (Table 1).

More rigorous analysis of the designed primer/probe sets revealed possible heterodimer (8 nucleotides) formation between the TaqMan probe and direct primer and between the TaqMan probe and reverse primer in the primer/probe sets 4 and 6, respectively, so they were omitted from further study. The remaining four primer/probe sets were synthesized and verified in real-time PCR with yeast DNA. No TaqMan probe hybridization was observed for the sets 1 and 3; out of sets 2 and 4, TaqMan probe from set 2 hybridized more strongly and at earlier PCR cycles, so this primer/probe set was used in further studies. Specificity to \textit{P. kudriavzevii} DNA mostly provides TaqMan probe and a reverse primer from set 2. The site for TaqMan probe hybridization is specific for this yeast. Homologous sites of the TaqMan probe hybridization to DNA of the closest eukaryotic microorganisms registered in the GenBank are shown in Fig. 1.

The site for attaching the TaqMan probe to DNA was highly specific to this species of yeast. There was no variability of this site inside the \textit{P. kudriavzevii} species. However, it was found that there is still a small probability of a positive TaqMan reaction with DNA from yeasts that phylogenetically most close to \textit{Pichia} sp.—\textit{Martiniziozyma} sp. and \textit{Saturnispora} sp. (Fig. 2).

| Primer/probe set | Nucleotide sequences | PCR product length, b.p. |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1                | Forward primer GAATTGCAGCAGCTCGTGAATC | TaqMan probe FAM-TCTGGTCTCACTTTGCGGCTG-BHQ1 | Reverse primer CTCCGACGCTTTTTACCG | 149 |
| 2                | Forward primer GCGGAGACGGCATC | TaqMan probe FAM-TGCAAGGCTGGGACTCATGGT-BHQ1 | Reverse primer GATGCGAAACAGGCTTCAAA | 114 |
| 3                | Forward primer GCGGAGACGGCATC | TaqMan probe FAM-GAGACGGATGGTCGCGAGCACAAC | Reverse primer CGGATATTTCCACGTTGTTT | 156 |
| 4                | Forward primer GAGGCGTCTTCTTTCATC | TaqMan probe FAM-CAGTCCGAGGCCTTTACACGTC-BHQ1 | Reverse primer CAGTTGCTCCTTT | 102 |
| 5                | Forward primer GATCTTTGCTGTCCCATC | TaqMan probe FAM-CACACTGAGGCTCGATTCCGCTG-BHQ1 | Reverse primer GCGTCAAGAACTGATGA | 92 |
| 6                | Forward primer GCAGCGCATCGTGAATC | TaqMan probe FAM-TTGGACGGGCTCGTTCTTGCG-BHQ1 | Reverse primer AGCTCAGGCGTCTTTT | 146 |

* The most optimal primer/probe sets for identification of \textit{P. kudriavzevii}
Based on the PCR product expected size and the primer annealing temperature, the PCR regime used was as follows: 94 °C for 4 min followed by 37 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50–58 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s. We ran the reaction at five different primer annealing temperatures: 50, 52, 54, 56, and 58 °C. At all the temperatures tested, the fluorescence curve reached the logarithmic phase at the same cycle number, although the total levels of fluorescence were higher at 50, 52, and 54 °C. Since higher primer annealing temperatures increase primer specificity, 54 °C was chosen as the optimal primer annealing temperature in our experiments. We also tested the effect of TaqMan probe concentration (100, 200, and 500 nM) on the reaction parameters and found that amplification using 200 nM TaqMan probe produced more stable and pronounced amplification curves; the total level of fluorescence with 200 nM TaqMan probe was higher than when using 100 nM TaqMan probe. The optimal number of cycles was 37, because in such a number of cycles fluorescence was not observed in samples without *P. kudriavzevii* DNA.

**Estimation of method sensitivity**

DNA was isolated from *P. kudriavzevii* culture and diluted to 1 ng/μl, diluted 1: 10, 1: 100, 1:1000, and 1: 10,000, and used as a template in real-time PCR with the developed primer/probe set (1 μl per reaction tube), see Fig. 3. The linear dependence of the Cq parameter on the concentration of the genomic DNA of *P. kudriavzevii* was observed (Fig. 4), which means that the reaction is specific only to the target DNA without the formation of non-specific products.

The minimum amount of *Pichia* sp. DNA that could be detected by our method was 50 fg. To estimate the minimal number of live cells sufficient for *P. kudriavzevii* detection, total DNA was isolated from 10, 50, 100, 1000, and 10,000 cells of *P. kudriavzevii* and used as a template in the same PCR. The minimal number of yeast that could be detected by our method without pre-enrichment was 50 cells.

**Verification of the specificity of the developed primer/probe set for *Pichia kudriavzevii***

To verify the specificity of the developed primer/probe, we tested it in PCR with DNAs from microorganisms of different taxonomic groups that were obtained from the Russian Collection of Microorganisms (VKM) at the Skryabin Institute of Microbial Biochemistry and Physiology (Pushchino, Russia), State collection of microorganisms that cause dangerous, particularly dangerous, including zooanthropootic animals diseases (VKOM) at the Federal Research Center for Virology and Microbiology (Pokrov, Russia) and Russian collection of industrial microorganisms (VKMP) State Research Institute.
PCR proceeded only with microorganisms from the *Pichia* genus. No increase in fluorescence was observed for other yeast, molds and bacteria. We also contaminated 23 samples of preliminary tested microbe-free mayonnaise with *P. kudriavzevii*. After these samples were enriched, DNA was isolated and used in the
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real-time PCR with the developed primer/probe set. In all 23 cases, the reaction was positive, i.e., the reproducibility of the method was 100%.

**Testing commercially available mayonnaises and mayonnaise sauces for microbial contamination**

We analyzed 20 different brands of mayonnaise from 14 producers and 10 samples of mayonnaise sauce from seven different brands. We determined the Cq parameter that characterizes transition of the fluorescence curve to the logarithmic phase and, therefore, correlates with the extent of sample contamination with *P. kudriavzevii* yeast.

The increase in fluorescence was observed in six analyzed samples of mayonnaise: sample 1 (Cq = 20.13), sample 13 (Cq = 31.70), sample 14 (Cq = 28.61), sample 15 (Cq = 30.40), sample 17 (Cq = 33.95) and sample 17 (Cq = 34.50). 1. The increase in fluorescence was observed in sample 1 of mayonnaise sauce (Cq = 34.86).

The Cq values for the “positive” samples differed, thereby indicating different extents of contamination with *P. kudriavzevii* yeast.

**Discussion**

Detection and quantitative estimation of yeast and mold contamination is a sanitary requirement for most food products, although contaminating microorganisms are not usually identified to genera or species. Different yeast and molds might develop differently in different food products. The yeast *P. kudriavzevii*, that were identified by us in mayonnaise samples, tolerate high fat content and low pH and were detected in Chinese vinegar (Li et al. 2014). *P. fermentas* were found in freshly cut apples (Graça et al. 2015); *P. anomala* were identified in maize silage that it characterized by low pH values (Santos et al. 2017). *Pichia* yeast has been also found in other products: *P. guilliermondii* (Sangorrin et al. 2013), *P. membranifaciens*, and *P. manshurica* contaminate wines; *P. fermentans* and *P. kudriavzevii* were found in cheeses (Gonçalves Dos Santos et al. 2017). Also, yeasts of these taxonomic groups were identified in products with high fat content (Arroyo-Lopez et al. 2012). Therefore, early detection of *P. kudriavzevii* yeast will ensure removal of contaminated products from realization and eliminate the use of infected ingredients in the production of mayonnaise and mayonnaise sauces.

In this study, we developed a primer/probe set to a region of *Pichia* genome that included internally transcribed spacer ITS1, 5.8S rRNA gene and internally transcribed spacer ITS2. This region was chosen because the information on its nucleotide sequence in many organisms (including *Pichia* yeast) is widely available in sequence databases, such as GenBank. In addition, the genomic DNA of eukaryotic microorganisms contains this region in several copies. This increases the sensitivity of the method. Using this information, we were able to identify taxon-characteristic conserved nucleotide sequences and to develop a corresponding probe/primer set for *P. kudriavzevii* identification. The primer/probe set used in this study was highly specific and did not anneal to the DNA from other than *Pichia* microorganisms. The sensitivity of our method without pre-enrichment was 50 yeast cells.

We have found that primers and the TaqMan probe can react with DNA of other species from the *Pichia* genus. Given the purpose for which the identification system was developed (detection of mayonnaise spoilage yeast), this fact does not have any negative impact. For the same reason, the hypothetical interaction of the developed TaqMan probe with DNA of *Martiniozyma* sp.
and *Saturnispora* sp. also will not have a negative effect, because these yeasts are very close to *Pichia* sp. (Kurtzman et al. 2008; Kurtzman 2015). We couldn’t check experimentally whether there is a TaqMan probe interaction with DNA of these microorganisms because we did not have verified collection strains of the *Martiniozyma* sp. and *Saturnispora* sp.

The developed method for rapid identification of *Pichia* yeast using real-time PCR reduces the time required for analysis from 5 days to 24 h, which allows rapid detection of contamination source and timed removal of the contaminated produce.

Molecular genetic identification of microorganisms in food products with high fat content (such as mayonnaise) is often impeded by the difficulties in the DNA isolation. In the procedure developed by us, yeast cells were concentrated by centrifugation after preliminary enrichment of the culture. By doing this, we solved both methodical problems, i.e., we increased the method sensitivity by enriching the microorganism culture and removed fats that interfered with DNA isolation.

The developed procedure is rapid and simple and could be carried out in any laboratory equipped with a real-time PCR system. It could also be used for testing other food products.

Screening of commercially available mayonnaises and mayonnaise sauces demonstrated that 6 out of 20 mayonnaises and 1 out of 10 mayonnaise sauces were contaminated with *Pichia* yeast.

As follows from the high Cq value, one of the mayonnaise samples displayed an extremely high abundance of *Pichia* sp. cells; two other samples were moderately contaminated, and the rest of the samples exhibited low extent of yeast contamination.

Note that the positive count in mayonnaises was higher than in mayonnaise sauces due, probably, to the fact that mayonnaise sauces contain preservatives, while mayonnaises are often positioned as “organic” products free of chemical additives, such as preservatives or aromatic compounds.

In conclusion, we identified yeasts that able to contaminate mayonnaise. Based on the sequencing of intergenic regions ITS1 and ITS2, we shown that spoilage mayonnaise yeasts are *P. kudriavzevii*. We developed a specific to *P. kudriavzevii* TaqMan probe and primers. The reaction was optimized for the components concentration and temperature cycle. The minimal number of yeast cells that could be detected by our method without pre-enrichment was 50 cells. Screening of commercially available mayonnaises and mayonnaise sauces demonstrated that 6 out of 20 mayonnaises and 1 out of 10 mayonnaise sauces were contaminated with *Pichia* yeast. The developed procedure is rapid and simple and could be carried out in any laboratory equipped with a real-time PCR system.

### Table 2 Verification of the specificity of the developed method with microorganisms from different taxonomic groups

| Organism                      | Collection number or strain | Reaction with TaqMan |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Mold and yeast                |                            |                      |
| Alternaria alternata          | VKPM F300                  | –                    |
| Aspergillus flavus            | VKPM F1271                 | –                    |
| Aspergillus carbonarius       | VKPM F301                  | –                    |
| Chaetomium globosum           | VKPM F323                  | –                    |
| Fusarium verticillioides      | VKPM F206                  | –                    |
| Geotrichum candidum           | VKPM F220                  | –                    |
| Penicillium funiculosum       | VKPM F977                  | –                    |
| Penicillium pinophilum        | VKPM F896                  | –                    |
| Phanerochaete chrysosponum    | VKPM F615                  | –                    |
| Phlebia albida                | VKPM F507                  | –                    |
| Pleurotus ostreatus           | VKPM F276                  | –                    |
| Trichoderma reesi             | VKPM F184                  | –                    |
| Trichoderma viride            | VKPM F179                  | –                    |
| Brettanomyces custersianus    | VKM Y-1419                 | –                    |
| Candida pseudotropicalis      | VKPM Y190                  | –                    |
| Candida tropicalis            | VKPM Y143                  | –                    |
| Dekkera anomala               | VKM Y-19                   | –                    |
| Pichia fermentans             | VKM Y-244                  | +                    |
| Pichia kudriavzevii           | VKM Y-184                  | +                    |
| Pichia membranifaciens       | VKM Y-248                  | +                    |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae      | VKPM Y2396                 | –                    |
| Yarrowia lipolytica           | VKPM Y34                   | –                    |
| Zygosaccharomyces rouxii      | VKM Y-866                  | –                    |
| Bacteria                      |                            |                      |
| Bacillus subtilis             | VKPM B5250                 | –                    |
| Cellulomonas flavigena        | VKPM B2560                 | –                    |
| Corynebacterium glutamicum    | VKPM B1003                 | –                    |
| Lactobacillus plantarum       | VKPM B3487                 | –                    |
| Pseudomonas fluorescens       | VKM B-894                  | –                    |
| Streptomyces fulvoviridis     | VKPM AC-605                 | –                    |
| Listeria monocytogenes        | VKOM 766                   | –                    |
| Staphylococcus aureus         | VKOM 209-P                  | –                    |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa        | VKOM 273                   | –                    |
| Bacillus cereus               | VKOM 96                    | –                    |
| Escherichia coli              | VKOM K12                   | –                    |
| Salmonella enteritidis        | VKOM 5765                  | –                    |
| Listeria ivanovii             | VKOM 7842                  | –                    |
| Micrococcus luteus            | VKOM 4698 ATCC             | –                    |
| Rhodococcus equi              | VKOM 5869                  | –                    |
Abbreviations
DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; RNA: ribonucleic acid; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; ITS: internal transcribed spacer.
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