Tranexamic acid in adult elective orthopaedic and complex spinal surgery: A review
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Introduction and background

Tranexamic acid (TXA) was first used in the treatment of post-partum hemorrhage and was popularized for its blood-sparing effects by the CRASH-2 (Clinical Randomization of an Antifibrinolytic in Significant Hemorrhage) trauma trial and the MATTERS (Military Application of Tranexamic Acid in Trauma Emergency Resuscitation) trial examining its use in military trauma resuscitation. Since 2006, the number of articles examining the effects of Tranexamic acid on blood loss and transfusion rates in total joint arthroplasty has increased tenfold. These investigations have led to TXA’s widespread acknowledgement as an effective means to reduce blood loss and transfusion rates in orthopaedic surgery. Nonetheless, questions still remain regarding dosing, route of administration, and secondary outcomes including, cost savings and hospital length of stay. In this review we will survey the available literature.

TXA is an antifibrinolytic that works to inhibit the plasmin mediated degradation of fibrin. By attaching to the 4-5 lysine-binding site on plasmin, TXA prevents the breakdown of clots formed through activation of the coagulation cascade, thereby reducing blood loss. The drug exhibits triexponential decay with a half life of approximately 2 hours for the terminal elimination phase. The volume of distribution is between nine and 12L, and urinary excretion accounts for 95% of elimination [1].

In orthopaedic surgery, TXA is used most notably in the two most common elective orthopaedic procedures necessitating transfusion, total hip (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) [2]. Blood loss in TKA and THA have been reported to be 1000-2000 ml [3-5] and 700-2000 ml [6,7] respectively. As a result, up to 30% of patients may require allogenic transfusion [4,5,7]. Transfusion rates in complex spine surgery are similarly high and therefore TXA has had growing use in these cases as well.

TXA in total joint arthroplasty

In total joint surgery, intravenous, topical and oral routes of administration have been reported in the literature. IV administration is the most widely reported route and there is an abundance of evidence supporting its use. Topical administration is touted to reduce total systemic absorption of the drug and is subdivided into intra-articular injection and joint irrigation just prior to closure. Oral administration has been advocated with the primary purported advantages being cost containment and similar bioavailability.

IV and topical use

For each of the proposed routes of administration, a wide variety of dosing strategies have been reported. Among the many proposed approaches, the most commonly cited IV dosing for TKA and THA is a single dose of 1 gram and an additional dose of 2 grams divided into two individual one gram doses administered at different times. In the published literature the timing of exactly when these doses are administered varies and in several studies is not explicitly explained [8-19]. The most commonly cited dosages for topical TXA are 1.5-3 grams for joint irrigation and 0.5-2 grams for intra-articular injection typically administered following tourniquet let down or immediately before closure [14,17,20-27]. Investigations using these dosing strategies almost universally observed significant decreases in total blood loss, total hemoglobin reduction, and transfusion rates. Transfusion rate reduction is somewhat less ubiquitous, likely owing to differences in each institution’s baseline transfusion protocol/threshold in THA and TKA.

Despite the multiplicity of proposed dosing protocols, few prospective studies have directly compared doses for the purpose of optimization. Maniar et al. directly compared both IV and topical dosing strategies in 240 patients undergoing TKA and concluded that a single IV dose is insufficient to significantly reduce total blood loss, and a single topical dose produces a small but significant improvement in total blood loss [28]. Ultimately, the authors favored a triple dosing regimen for maximal reduction of total blood loss and drain output. A major hurdle in the comparisons of doses between studies is the lack of uniformity of the available data and specifically, the plethora of outcomes metrics used in the evaluation of TXA’s efficacy. The most common metrics include total blood loss, intra-operative blood loss, post operative blood loss, drain output, hemoglobin reduction, and transfusion rates. Meta analyses comparing studies that use the same metrics for efficacy have widely determined TXA use is superior to placebo controls, however such analyses are rarely, if ever, able to compare studies using the exact same dosing regimens and so their conclusions are typically broadly applicable to the use of TXA but do not delve into the optimal IV and or topical dosing strategies other than speculation [6,7,29-31].

Oral use

In the last few years, the use of oral TXA in total joint arthroplasty has increased both in practice and in the published literature. As in
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IV and topical dosing, oral dosing has varied among the handful of studies that have thus far studied oral TXA in arthroplasty. Total dosing, usually divided between pre- and post-operative doses, has been reported as low as 1.95 grams by Fillingham et al. and as high as 6 grams by Bradshaw, et al. [32,33]. The most common metrics reported in the oral TXA literature are net hemoglobin loss and transfusion rates. Fillingham and Irwin found that oral TXA performed comparably to IV TXA in total hemoglobin reduction. Bradshaw and Lee compared oral TXA to placebo and found reduced hemoglobin loss in the oral TXA group [32,34]. Similar to their hemoglobin findings, both Irwin and Fillingham found comparable transfusion rates (<10%) between IV and oral TXA. Zohar, one of the first authors to study oral TXA in total joint surgery found a significant decrease in transfusion rates between placebo and oral TXA groups and Bradshaw’s prospective study of oral TXA in total knee arthroplasty found no transfusions in the TXA group [33,35]. The literature remains somewhat unclear however. By way of example, despite reductions in hemoglobin decrease and total blood loss, Lee did not note significant difference between transfusion rates in oral TXA vs. placebo groups.

The preliminary studies on oral TXA in total joint arthroplasty indicate that it is a promising route of TXA administration for the reduction of total blood loss and cost containment, however as with IV and topical dosing regimens, future investigations are necessary to further evaluate both the optimal dosing protocol and adverse effects in a manner comparable to the other routes of administration.

### TXA in spine surgery

Complex fusions with instrumentation of the spine are associated with long operative times, large exposures and significant blood loss. The extensive dissection and preparation of the vertebrae required for instrumentation and decortication (which exposes large surface area of vascular cancellous bone) for fusion results in high blood loss. In addition, osteotomies for deformity correction are becoming increasingly common and also increase blood loss. As a result, patients undergoing complex spinal surgery often require transfusion [36]. TXA has been widely used within complex spine surgery, from large scoliosis cases to smaller cervical spine procedures, but the majority of the literature on TXA use in adult patients focuses on scoliosis cases. As in total joint arthroplasty, the body of literature examining TXA in spine surgery consistently supports the use of TXA as a means of reducing blood loss and lowering transfusion rates, however the overall effects have been noted to be dose and timing dependent [37].

TXA dosing for spinal procedures varies in volume, timing, and route of administration. There is, however, a preference for intravenous administration over topical administration postulated to be due to the larger incisions seen with spine surgery when compared to total joint arthroplasty. The most common IV dosing involves a 10-20 mg/kg loading dose with a 1-10 mg/kg/h continuous infusion [38]. Topical TXA dosing has not been as extensively researched in spine surgery as in total joint surgery, but common dosing involves 250-500 mg used to irrigate the wound before closure [39,40]. The preponderance of evidence has demonstrated TXA to be both safe and effective at reducing blood loss and decreasing transfusion rates associated with spinal surgery [37,38,41,42] (Table 1).

### Complications

By its very design, TXA’s fibrinolytic mechanism of action promotes the lifespan of clots. This raises the concern for clot-related complications resulting from the completion of Virchow’s Triad.

| Procedure  | Route of Administration | Dose | Timing |
|------------|-------------------------|------|--------|
| TKA/THA    | IV                      | 1 gr additional 1grm dose | Loading preop bolus Post op |
| TKA/THA    | Topical (Joint irrigation) | 1.5-3 grm joint irrigation | Tourniquet let down |
| TKA/THA    | Topical (Intra-articular) | 0.5-2 grm joint irrigation | Injection at closure |
| TKA/THA    | Oral                    | 1.95-6 grm | 1 hour Preop 6 hours post op |
| Spine Surgery | IV                     | 10-20 mg/kg 1-10 mg/kg/hr | Loading dose Continuous infusion |
| Spine Surgery | Topical         | 250-500 mg | Wound irrigation prior to closure |

Specifically these patients have stasis from the post-operative state, endothelial injury resulting from surgery, and a hypercoagulable state secondary to TXA administration. Theoretical complications therefore are venous thromboembolism, cerebrovascular incidents, and myocardial infarction. While myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular incidents, superficial and deep tissue infections, wound dehiscence, and GI hemorrhage have been reported in the TXA literature, no correlation with TXA administration during total joint surgery has been demonstrated.

Although the most common side effects of TXA are dizziness and stomach upset, color blindness has been used as an indicator of toxicity. The mechanism of this adverse effect is poorly understood. Nonetheless, as a theoretic result of these patients inability to report a symptom of toxicity, TXA use in color blind individuals is contraindicated. More permanent visual defects have been associated with use of TXA over several days. Discontinuation and prompt ophthalmologic evaluation should be obtained with any change in vision. Case reports on visual change with TXA use suggest that discontinuation of TXA and concomitant corticosteroid treatment results in normal visual acuity and fundoscopic exam. Of note, toxicity is highly unlikely at doses used in orthopaedic and spinal surgery.

In order to reduce the likelihood of complications, investigations have employed a number of exclusion criteria, commonly including a history of vascular incident, bleeding problems, renal compromise, and color blindness. In TKA and TKA, the most notable complication reported in the literature is venous thromboembolism. The vast majority of orthopaedic TXA studies show no increase in the rate of venous thromboembolism events [29-31,43,44]. Nishihara, et al. is the only study in the recently published literature known to the authors that demonstrated a significant increase in venous thromboembolic events after TXA administration in total joint arthroplasty [18]. An obvious criticism of this study however is that the authors did not employ either mechanical or pharmacological VTE prophylaxis in the care of their patients. DVT prophylaxis is considered standard of care at most institutions. Current literature establishes a multitude of pharmacological VTE prophylactic measures used to prevent associated complication. In the last ten years enoxaparin has been the most commonly cited method of pharmacologic prophylaxis, though an increasing body of literature is accumulating regarding the use of aspirin as primary VTE prophylaxis with promising results.

The potential increase in the risk of thromboembolic events secondary to TXA use in spinal surgery is more contentious, due in
part to the less robust available body of TXA-spine literature. A meta-analysis by Li, et al. examining six placebo controlled trials found no significant increase in risk of DVT, however other recent meta analyses have been less absolute in their conclusions about thromboembolic risk, citing a relatively small number of patients and investigations included within their scope of analysis that may be insufficient to make definitive claims on DVT and PE risk [37,45,46]. Future investigations with larger patient cohorts in the coming years will shed further light on the safety of TXA as a means to reduce blood loss in spinal surgery.

**Functional outcomes and cost savings**

The establishment of TXA as an effective means to reduce blood loss and transfusion rates has led a number of authors to investigate the functional outcomes and potential savings associated with its use. Among the most commonly studied functional outcome is length of hospital stay and there remains some debate about TXA’s effect on this metric. Both Goyal and Alshryda found small but significant decreases in hospital stay after TKA with use of TXA, whereas Poeran did not conclude that changes in hospital stay were significant [47-49]. Gillette examined costs associated with potential reductions in length of stay found that TXA use in TKA saved $457 in charges directly related to hospital stay [50]. Studies by Harris and Moskal, et al. revealed up to 25% in total joint arthroplasty may not note financial benefit from the use of TXA, while those with a baseline rate greater than 25% will experience progressively increasing cost savings [56].

**Conclusion**

TXA is widely accepted to decrease blood loss and transfusion rates in total joint arthroplasty and spine surgery. In total joint arthroplasty the most commonly reported routes of administration are IV and topical. Both have shown decrease in EBL and decreased rates of transfusion. More recently, Oral TXA has been shown to have similar efficacy to IV and topical dosing. In spine surgery, IV TXA is the predominant route of administration likely due to its increased ability to provide therapeutic levels over such a large wound surface area.

The risk for increased thromboembolic events from TXA treatment has not been shown to be clinically significant when pharmacological and/or mechanical VTE prophylactic measures are undertaken after total joint surgery. However, certain common exclusion criteria have eliminated a subset of high risk patients, in whom TXA use in total joint arthroplasty has not been effectively studied.

Similarly, the body of literature on TXA in spine surgery is not yet sufficient to make a definitive claim about the risks of DVT and PE. TXA use can lead to significant cost savings associated with decreased transfusions, transfusion related complications, and length of hospital stay, though there is a paucity of data comparing functional outcomes of patients who received TXA to those who did not. Future investigations will build on the current body of knowledge regarding the efficacy of TXA in total joint arthroplasty and complex spinal surgery and these will continue to shed light on the potential for reduction in length of stay and the resulting reduction in healthcare costs.
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