Human Anti-Aβ IgGs Target Conformational Epitopes on Synthetic Dimer Assemblies and the AD Brain-Derived Peptide
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Abstract

Soluble non-fibrillar assemblies of amyloid-beta (Aβ) and aggregated tau protein are the proximate synaptotoxic species associated with Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Anti-Aβ immunotherapy is a promising and advanced therapeutic strategy, but the precise Aβ species to target is not yet known. Previously, we and others have shown that natural human IgGs (NAbs) target diverse Aβ conformers and have therapeutic potential. We now demonstrate that these antibodies bind with nM avidity to conformational epitopes on plate-immobilized synthetic Aβ dimer assemblies, including synaptotoxic protofibrils, and targeted these conformers in solution. Importantly, NAbs also recognized Aβ extracted from the water-soluble phase of human AD brain, including species that migrated on denaturing PAGE as SDS-stable dimers. The critical reliance on Aβ’s conformational state for NAb binding, and not a linear sequence epitope, was confirmed by the antibody’s nM reactivity with plate-immobilized protofibrils, and weak uM binding to synthetic Aβ monomers and peptide fragments. The antibody’s lack of reactivity against a linear sequence epitope was confirmed by our ability to isolate anti-Aβ NAbs from intravenous immunoglobulin using affinity matrices, immunoglobulin light chain fibrils and Cibacron blue, which had no sequence similarity with the peptide. These findings suggest that further investigations on the molecular basis and the therapeutic/diagnostic potential of anti-Aβ NAbs are warranted.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a devastatingly common age-related disorder that progressively affects regions of the brain that are associated with higher cognitive functions, such as memory and learning [1]. Pathological hallmarks of AD include, extracellular neuritic deposits of fibrillar Aβ, a 38–43 peptide fragment of the amyloid precursor protein, intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles of hyperphosphorylated tau protein, synaptic loss and cortical atrophy [2]. Increasing experimental evidence indicates that soluble Aβ aggregates are an upstream pathological species that may cause neuronal compromise through a variety of different not mutually exclusive mechanisms, including toxicity that is associated with prion protein, and which occurs in synergy with hyperphosphorylated tau [3,4].

Although current therapy for AD is only palliative, extensive \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} studies indicate that anti-Aβ immunotherapy may potentiate the disease for some patients [2,5,6]. However, results have been mixed for recent clinical trials that focused on passive or active vaccination of anti-Aβ antibodies for AD [5,6]. Presumably this is because the disease was treated at an advanced stage and/or it is not clear if these antibodies targeted the most pathogenic Aβ species. Nevertheless, anti-Aβ therapy remains one of the most promising approaches for AD, and a reagent that slows disease progression by about a decade may be sufficient to reduce its incidence by a third [7]. To-date, most passive immunotherapy trials have used humanized anti-Aβ monoclonal antibodies that recognize linear sequence epitopes, and react with multiple Aβ conformers, including native non-pathogenic monomers. An alternative therapeutic approach is to supplement a patient’s naturally occurring antibody (NAb) response against pathogenic
Aβ since these antibodies may decrease with aging and AD [8,9]. To-date, four open-label clinical trials, phase I-II, have been completed on mild to moderate AD patients treated with intravenous immunoglobulin (IV IgG; commercially available preparations that consist of a broad spectrum of polyclonal human IgGs purified from 1000’s of normal individuals, including anti-Aβ NAbs [10,11]) [12,13]. Although these studies involved small patient numbers and lacked control groups, the results indicate that IV IgG was well tolerated, and benefited some patients by slowing memory decline [12,13]. Given these promising results, two randomized, placebo-controlled phase III and a phase II studies on IV IgG for AD are ongoing [12].

Anti-Aβ NAbs mechanism of action on AD is not yet known, and it is possible that in addition to anti-Aβ NAbs, other antibodies, such as anti-inflammatory and immune modulatory IgGs, may act in synergy to benefit an AD patient [14,15]. Nevertheless, results from recent clinical trials have demonstrated IV IgG’s ability to reduce an AD patient’s soluble pool of cerebral Aβ and increase the amount of Aβ in the blood [12,13] – a process consistent with the beneficial effect of anti-Aβ immunotherapy [5,12,13]. Extensive in vitro and transgenic mice studies have indicated that anti-Aβ NAbs have therapeutic potential for AD and have multiple mechanisms of action [11,12,16,17,18,19,20], including an ability to neutralize toxic Aβ species [8,18,21]. These antibodies can specifically react with a plethora of synthetic Aβ conformers [11,17,18,19], and cross-react with non-Aβ amyloidogenic species [17,22]. However, it is unclear what portion of their Aβ reactivity is against synaptotoxic Aβ assemblies, and if NAbs have a preference for conformational [23] or linear sequence [24] epitopes. An antibody that recognizes a conformational epitope on synaptotoxic Aβ conformers would have clear therapeutic advantage to an antibody that indiscriminately recognizes a linear sequence epitope on all Aβ species, including physiologically relevant monomeric peptide. Although the precise Aβ species to target is not yet known, substantial experimental evidence suggests that soluble non-fibrillar Aβ aggregates are the proximate synaptotoxic species [25,26,27,28]. Given our current poor understanding on how anti-Aβ NAbs function, we are focused on deciphering NAb’s specificity for pathogenically relevant Aβ, and how these interactions may modulate Aβ’s synaptotoxicity. Here we now report investigations on NAb’s specificity for conformational and linear sequence Aβ epitopes, synthetic Aβ dimer assemblies, and AD brain-derived Aβ. Our findings strongly indicate that further investigations on the diagnostic/therapeutic relevance of NAb-Aβ interactions are warranted.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Human brain tissue was obtained from the Dublin Brain Bank (www.brainbank.ie). The brain bank has written informed consent from patients for all tissue samples, and the collection and processing of brain tissue was approved by the Royal College of Surgeon’s in Ireland (RCSI) Ethics Committee. The human brain tissue samples were received and used in accordance with University College Dublin’s Human Research Ethics Committee guidelines (under approval LS-E-10-10-Walsh).

Proteins, Peptides, and Chemicals

Wild-type human Aβ[1–40] (Aβ), DAEFRHDGSY-EVHHQKLVFF-AEDVGNSKGA-IIGLMVGGVV, Aβ in which serine 26 was substituted with cysteine, and Aβ that contained a N- or C-terminal cysteine and phenylalanine instead of proline at position 19 were synthesized and purified by Dr. James Elliott at Yale University (New Haven, CT). Peptide mass and purity (>95%) were confirmed by electrospray ionization/ion trap mass spectrometry and reverse-phase HPLC. Custom-made overlapping Aβ peptide fragments (a Pepset™ library) were purchased crude from Mimotopes (Raleigh, NC, USA), and masses confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry. An immunoglobulin light chain (LC) variable domain λ5 protein, J05, was produced in Escherichia coli and purified as described previously [29]. An anti-Aβ N-terminal mAb, 6E10, was purchased from Signet ( Dedham, MA, USA). A polyclonal rabbit anti-Aβ antibody, AW8, was raised against aggregated synthetic Aβ1–42 and recognizes multiple linear epitopes and can bind to a variety of Aβ conformers [28]. Intravenous immunoglobulin (IV IgG; Gammagard liquid®) was provided by Baxter BioScience (Vienna, Austria). ImmunoPure Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and H2O2 (30% in water) were from Pierce (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Unbranched dextran standards of molecular masses: 43,800; 21,400; 9890, and 4440 were purchased from Pharmacosmos (Holbaek, Denmark). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MI, USA) and were of the highest purity available.

Preparation of Amyloidogenic Conformers

WT Aβ monomers, dihydroyx cross-linked Aβ protein species (CAPS), disulfide cross-linked S26C Aβ dimers ([S26CAβ]2), protofibers formed from [S26CAP]2 (PFs), and Aβ and LC fibrils were generated as described below, and were used immediately or frozen at −80°C. WT and S26C Aβ peptide concentrations were determined by absorbance at 275 nm using the molar extinction coefficient for tyrosine at 275 nm (ε275 = 1400 M−1.cm−1).

The concentrations of CAPS and LC protein were determined using the MicroBCA assay (ThermoFisher Scientific) with a BSA standard curve.

WT and S26C Aβ monomers and [S26CAP]2 were isolated from different sized Aβ assemblies using size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Peptides were incubated in 50 mM Tris-HCl containing 6 M guanidine HCl, pH 8.0, to dissociate pre-existing aggregates, and then characterized on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex™ 75 column (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala Sweden) eluted at 0.3 ml/min in 25 mM ammonium acetate, pH 8.5 [30]. Fractions that contained Aβ monomers or [S26CAP]2 were analyzed by SDS-PAGE using 16% polyacrylamide tris-tricine gels and silver staining [31]. Meta-stable Thioflavin T (ThT) positive PFs were generated by diluting freshly-isolated [Aβ40S26C]2 to ~0.1 mg/ml in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, and incubating the reaction mixture for ~3 days at 37°C [30]. The reaction was monitored by ThT fluorescence and was judged complete when the fluorescent signal reached a maximum plateau value [32] (Fig. S1A). Formation of protofibrils was confirmed by electron microscopy (EM), and by the presence of ThT positive aggregates in reaction supernatants after centrifugation at 16,000×g for 20 min (Figs. S1B-D & S3). ThT positive CAPS were generated by incubating ~0.2 mg/ml Aβ for ~3 h at 37°C with 1.1 μM HRP and 250 μM H2O2 in PBS, pH 7.4, and the reaction product purified using copper (CuSO4) precipitation [11]. Dityrosine cross-linked peptide was confirmed by SDS-PAGE, ThT and dityrosine fluorescence emission spectra (Figs. S2 & S3). Fluorescence emission was determined with excitation at 320 nm and emission at 350–550 nm, using an Amino Bowman series 2 spectrophotofluorimeter.

WT Aβ and LC fibrils were generated by incubating 0.2 mg/ml of the amyloidogenic proteins in PBS containing 0.02% sodium azide, pH 7.4, at 37°C for 14 days [23]. Fibrillogenesis was judged
complete when Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence reached maximum plateau values. The reaction products were harvested by centrifugation at 20,200×g for 30 min at room temperature, and fibril morphology confirmed by negative contrast EM (Fig. S2).

TBS Extract of Human Brain

Frozen temporal cortices from 54 and 66 year-old females each with dementia, fulfilment amyloid and tangle pathology (Braak stage = xandy, respectively) were obtained from the Dublin Brain Bank (www.brainbank.ie). Tris-buffered saline (TBS) extracts of AD brain specimens were prepared, as described previously, divided into 0.5 mL aliquots, and stored at −80°C [20]. The presence of Aβ in each TBS extract was confirmed using a highly sensitive immunoprecipitation/western blotting protocol and the peptide’s concentration estimated by comparison with synthetic Aβ standards [28].

Isolation of Anti-Aβ NAbs

Aβ-reactive NAbs were isolated from IVIg using Aβ conformer, LC fibril, or Cibacron blue affinity chromatography [11,17]. Aβ fibril-, CAPS-, or LC fibril-isolated antibodies were generated by passing, at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, 50 ml of 0.22 μm filtered 10 mg/ml IVIg in binding buffer (PBS, pH 7.4) through a fibril or CAPS column consisting of the amyloidogenic conformer cross-linked to N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) Sepharose®4, fast-flow agarose matrix (Amersham Biosciences Corp., Piscataway, NJ). Aβ monomer-isolated antibodies were generated using an affinity column consisting of an equimolar mix of monomeric N- or C-terminal cysteine containing F19P Aβ monomer-isolated antibodies were generated using an affinity column consisting of an equimolar mix of monomeric N- or C-terminal cysteine containing F19P Aβ cross-linked to iodoacetyl coupling gel (SulfoLink coupling resin, Pierce) [11]. The antibody flow through was collected, and the column was washed with binding buffer until the wash solution had no appreciable absorbance at 280 nm. Fibril-bound IgGs were eluted in 1-mL fractions using 0.1 M Glycine-HCl, pH 2.7, into Eppendorf tubes containing neutralization buffer (1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.0). The concentration of antibody in the eluant and flow through fractions was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of 1.25 and a relative molecular mass of 150,000. Antibody fractions were pooled, buffer exchanged into PBS and concentrated to ~1 mg/ml using a PL-30 Centricon (Millipore) apparatus, used immediately or stored at −80°C.

Cibacron blue F3GA-isolated IgGs were generated using an Affi-Gel Blue gel column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Briefly, 50 ml of 0.22 μm filtered 20 mg/ml IVIg in binding buffer (PBS containing 0.6 M NaCl, pH 7.4) was passed through the dye column at a flow rate of ~1 mL/min. The column was washed with binding buffer until there was no absorbance at 280 nm, and the dye-bound antibodies eluted using high salt buffer (PBS containing 1.5 M NaCl, pH 7.4). As described above, the concentration of antibody in column fractions was determined by absorbance at 280 nm, and the antibody preparations were pooled, concentrated to 1 mg/ml, and buffer exchanged into PBS.

Antibody Binding to Amyloidogenic Conformers

Our standard ELISAs [33], europium-linked immunoabsorbent microtiter plate assay [23], and immunoprecipitation/Western blot protocol [34] were used to establish NAb and control antibody’s interactions with synthetic Aβ conformers, LC fibrils, and AD brain-derived Aβ. Antibody binding to microtiter plate-immobilized amyloidogenic conformers (400 ng/well) was carried out in the presence or absence of solution-phase Aβ inhibitors in assay buffer consisting of PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20, pH 7.4. A biotinylated polyclonal goat anti-human or anti-mouse IgG (μ heavy chain specific; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) served as the secondary antibody. Streptavidin-HRP [Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.] and TMB substrate (SureBlue Reserve™, KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), or streptavidin-europium and enhancement solution (DELFA®, PerkinElmer, Inc., Boston, MA, USA) served as the detection system. Antibody binding to solution-phase amyloidogenic conformers was determined using a capture ELISA consisting of plate-immobilized antibody (~400 ng/well) binding to synthetic Aβ conformers in assay buffer. A polyclonal rabbit anti-Aβ antibody, AW8 [28] served as the secondary antibody, and a HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (whole molecule, GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) and TMB substrate (SureBlue Reserve™, KPL) were used as the detection system.

Immunoprecipitation/Western blot analysis of solution-phase antibody binding to synthetic Aβ conformers and AD brain-derived Aβ was investigated using samples that were precleared for nonspecific protein binding to the antibody capture beads (a 1:1 mixture of Protein A sepharose (Sigma) and Protein G agarose (Roche, Mannheim, Germany)) [34]. Briefly, 0.5 ml of neat TBS extract of AD or normal brain, or the same volume of a synthetic Aβ conformer (diluted to ~0.2–20 μg/ml with 1% BSA in PBS containing 0.05% Tween, pH 7.4), was incubated with 20 μl of antibody capture beads for 1 h at room temperature. The beads were sedimented by centrifugation at 4,000×g for 5 min, the supernatant collected, IVIg (100 μg/ml) or AW8 (200 μg/ml) added to the precleared samples, and the mixtures incubated as before. The antibody-bead complexes were sedimented, supernatants removed, pellets washed, and antibody-bound Aβ liberated by heating the pellet at 100°C for 5 min in 2 × SDS sample buffer [34]. The boiled samples were immediately electrophoresed on 16% polyacrylamide tris-tricine gels, transferred onto 0.2 μm nitrocellulose (Optitran, Schleicher and Schull, Germany) at 400 mA for 2 h. Membrane-bound Aβ was then detected using an equimolar mixture (0.5 μg/ml each) of N-terminal and mid-region Aβ-reactive mAbs 6E10 and 4G8, and enhanced chemiluminescence (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Rockford, IL) as the detection system [34].

Thioflavin T Fluorescence

Fluorescent measurements were carried out in duplicate by diluting an Aβ or LC sample to 3 μg/100 μl/well with assay buffer (PBS, pH 7.4, or 25 mM ammonium acetate, pH 8.5, containing 30 μM ThT) in a black polystyrene 96-well microtiter plate (ThermoFisher Scientific). ThT signal was determined with excitation at 435 nm and emission at 485 nm using a SpectraMax M2 multi-detection microplate reader (Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA) [35].

Electron Microscopy

Negative contrast EM was performed by applying 10 μl aliquots of a test sample on to duplicate carbon-coated formvar grids (Electron Microscope Sciences, Washington, PA) that were cross-linked with 0.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde, and stained with 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate solution (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA). The EM grids were examined using a Tecnai™ G2 Spirit BioTWIN electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR).

Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy

Aβ solutions (~0.2 mg/ml) were placed in a 1 mm path length quartz cuvette (Starna Scientific Ltd., Essex, UK) and spectra obtained at 22°C using a J-810 JASCO spectropolarimeter (Jasco Corp., Tokyo, Japan) [30]. Spectra were generated from three data accumulations between ~195–260 nm with 10 nm/ min continuous scanning and a 0.5 nm bandwidth. Raw data were
manipulated by subtraction of buffer spectra and by binomial smoothing according to the manufacturer's instructions [Jasco Corp.].

General Curve Fitting

Antibody binding curves and aggregation time courses were fitted using Sigmaplot 2000, version 6 (Systat Software, Chicago, IL) or GraphPad Prism, version 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

NAb's Primarily Recognize Aβ-related Conformational Epitope(s)

NAb's preference for conformational or linear sequence epitopes on Aβ was determined by ELISA and affinity column chromatography using as substrates, Aβ conformers (monomers, CAPS, fibrils) and non-Aβ fibrils that consisted of LC protein with no known primary sequence identity with Aβ. The antibody binding curves and column depletion studies shown in Figure 1 demonstrate that the majority, if not all, of NAb's binding to Aβ was directed against conformational epitope(s) that were similarly exposed on LC fibrils. In particular, NAb's isolated from IVIg after one passage through an Aβ or LC fibril column recognized either fibril species, but had a slight (~3-fold) preference for the plate-immobilized fibril that the antibodies were purified against, with antibody concentration at half maximal effect, EC₅₀ₐ, of ~40 nM (Fig. 1A-D, Table 1). In contrast, anti-Aβ NAb's isolated and pooled from four sequential passages of an IVIg preparation through either fibril column (eluant and flow through generated for each passage) had similar reactivity with plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils and LC fibrils, with EC₅₀ values of ~60 nM. The antibody flow through from the fourth passage for each column was similarly depleted (~80–100%) against binding to Aβ conformers (monomers, CAPS, fibrils) and LC fibril column matrices, even though the preparations still contained ~99% of antibodies that were originally applied to the columns (Fig. 1E-F). SDS-PAGE analysis confirmed that the antibody eluants had no detectable Aβ or LC that may have leaked off the affinity matrices.

The NAb's reliance on Aβ's conformational state, and not a particular linear sequence segment, was confirmed by Aβ fibril-isolated NAb's nM binding to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils, and only weak µM reactivity with monomers and overlapping peptide fragments of the 40- and 42-mer Aβ peptides (Fig. 2). The anti-Aβ NAb's bound ~40-fold stronger to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils than control NAb's from an Aβ fibril column flow through preparation. Notably, both the anti-Aβ NAb and antibody flow through preparations had similar µM avidity for plate-immobilized Aβ monomers and peptide fragments, indicating that these interactions were nonspecific. The NAb's relatively weak interactions with Aβ monomers was not due to an antibody-bound antigen masking Aβ reactivity since pretreating the NAb's to dissociate such complexes, using a low pH (3.5) method [36], only enhanced antibody reactivity ~2-fold against plate-immobilized Aβ monomers (Fig. S4). Moreover, the antibodies had enhanced binding to the ELISA blocking agent, BSA, compared to untreated NAb's, indicating that acid pretreatment induced non-specific IgG polyreactivity. In contrast to NAb's, a control anti-Aβ N-terminal mAb, 6E10, bound similarly to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils, and N-terminal Aβ fragments, Aβ(1-13) and Aβ(3-13) (Fig. 2).

Given the NAb's propensity to cross-react with Aβ and LC aggregates [17], we established if these antibody's still maintained binding to Aβ conformers in the presence of normal human plasma and CSF — bodily fluids which contain endogenous molecules that bind to amyloidogenic assemblies [37]. Figure 3 and Table 2 show that normal human plasma had only a modest effect on NAb binding to plate-immobilized CAPS and Aβ fibrils. Plasma reduced the maximum binding signal amplitude by ~40%, but did not affect strong antibody-fibril interactions since at relatively low antibody concentrations, depicted by the sloping segments of the binding curves, the fluid had no effect. Plasma's net effect was to lower EC₅₀ values for NAb's binding to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils by ~3-fold, with EC₅₀ values of ~30 nM (Fig. 3, Table 2). In contrast, CSF had no effect on NAb binding to Aβ (data not shown).

Anti-Aβ NAb's can be Isolated by Cibacron Blue Affinity Chromatography

Pursuant to using Cibacron blue F3GA to remove albumin from plasma, we discovered that under high salt conditions, anti-Aβ NAb's bound specifically to this dye. Figure 4 and Table 3 show that anti-Aβ NAb's isolated by passing IVIg through a Cibacron blue column bound ~20-fold stronger to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils and CAPS than the unfractionated preparation, with EC₅₀ of ~100 nM. In contrast, the same preparation was only ~2-fold enriched in binding to plate-immobilized Aβ monomers, with EC₅₀ of ~500 nM (Fig. 4, Table 3). Essentially no anti-Aβ IgGs were isolated using the bead matrix alone, confirming that the antibodies bound specifically to the dye. The relatively high ionic strength required to facilitate anti-Aβ NAb's binding to Cibacron blue suggests that dye-NAb complexes were stabilized by hydrophobic interactions. However, we were not able to specifically isolate anti-Aβ IgGs from IVIg using a standard hydrophobic matrix, phenyl sepharose CL-4B (Sigma). The amount of antibody that eluted off the dye column after one passage, determined by absorbance at 280 nm, corresponded to ~0.6% of the total IgGs applied, and was ~3-fold more than was obtained using a similarly sized Aβ fibril column. The relatively large amount of dye-isolated antibodies presumably reflected a greater proportion of Aβ-unreactive antibodies than was present in Aβ fibril-isolated antibody preparations, and resulted in a ~2-fold larger EC₅₀ value of ~100 nM for dye-isolated NAb's binding to plate-immobilized Aβ aggregates (Fig. 4, Table 3). Despite the latter differences, two experimental findings indicate that the dye- and fibril-isolated antibody preparations contained similar anti-Aβ IgGs. First, four sequential passages of an IVIg preparation through either the dye or fibril column (eluant and flow through generated for each passage) removed ~1% of the antibodies that were originally applied to the columns, but the final antibody flow through was >90% reduced in binding to an Aβ fibril column. Lastly, both the dye- and fibril-isolated IgGs were similarly cross-reactive with Aβ and LC fibrils (Fig. 4D, Table 3).

NAb's Recognize Synthetic Aβ Dimer Assemblies and AD Brain-derived Aβ

An array of Aβ assemblies are likely to mediate toxicity, but burgeoning evidence suggests that water-soluble non-fibrillar assemblies, including those formed from Aβ dimers, have disease-relevant activity [4,25,30,38]. Consequently, we investigated NAb's ability to bind to soluble Aβ dimer assemblies by ELISA and immunoprecipitation/Western blot analyses using synthetic [S26CAβ]₂ and synaptotoxic PFs formed from [S26CAβ]₂ [30,38]. Anti-Aβ NAb's used in these studies were isolated by Cibacron blue and not by fibril affinity chromatography since a very small amount of Aβ was identified in Aβ fibril-isolated antibody preparations (~0.2 µg/ml Aβ (46 nM w.r.t. monomers) in ~2 mg/ml antibody samples) using a highly...
sensitive immunoprecipitation/Western blot protocol [34]. The contaminating peptide was only sufficient to bind to 0.4% of the fibril-isolated NAbs (assuming 1:1 interactions of Ab with IgG), and did not sufficiently affect ELISA determination of antibody avidity, but was sufficient to compromise immunoprecipitation/Western blot investigations.

The SEC chromatograph shown in Figure 5 indicates that freshly-isolated [S26CA]2 were highly pure, and based on the use of linear dextran standards, had an apparent molecular weight of ~10 kDa. A portion of the conformer migrated as a ~16 kDa assembly in denaturing SDS-PAGE, but this was transiently and artificially induced by the detergent [30,39]. The dye-isolated NAbs bound 10-fold stronger to plate-immobilized [S26CA]2 and PFs than to WT Ab monomers, with EC50 of ~50–100 nM (Fig. 5, Table 4). In contrast, mAb, 6E10 did not have a preference for any of the plate-immobilized Ab conformers (Table 4).

Since surface-adsorption of Ab can artificially induce amyloid-like epitopes [11,40], we also tested if the NAbs could bind to [S26CA]2 and PFs in solution. Panel E of Figure 5 and Table 4 show that plate-immobilized anti-Ab NAbs captured solution-phase [S26CA]2 and PFs, with EC50 values of ~5–10 µg/ml (0.6–1.2 µM w.r.t. the dimeric peptide). In contrast, the antibodies bound much weaker to WT Ab monomers (EC50 >100 µg/ml).

NAb's preference for aggregated Ab was not an artifact induced by the secondary anti-Ab polyclonal antibody, AW8, used in the capture ELISA since AW8 bound similarly to saturating amounts of Ab. The plots also show the % IgGs isolated from IVIg over a control unconjugated deactivated sepharose matrix (beads only). The concentration of antibody in eluant preparations was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of 1.25 and a relative molecular mass of 150,000. The % depletion of anti-amyloidogenic NAbs in IVIg flow through preparations from Ab and LC fibril columns, respectively, compared to the unfractionated preparation. Each antibody flow through preparation was generated from the fourth passage of IVIg through a fibril column, as described in Panel E (IgG eluant and flow through was generated for each passage). The % depletion of Ab conformer and LC fibril reactive NAbs in each antibody flow through was determined from the absorbances at 280 nm of antibody eluants generated by passing IVIg and the antibody flow through preparations through Ab conformer or LC fibril columns.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.g001

Figure 1. Ab and LC fibril fractionated NAbs binding to amyloid fibrils. The antibody binding curves are for Ab fibril (A) and LC fibril (B) fractionated IVIg against plate-immobilized Ab fibrils, and for Ab fibril- (C) and LC fibril- (D) isolated IVIg IgGs against plate-immobilized LC fibrils. Each data point represents the average value from three binding studies, which were carried out using assay buffer consisting of 1% BSA in PBS containing 0.05% tween 20, pH 7.4. (E) The % IgGs isolated for each of four sequential passages of a preparation of 10 mg/ml IVIg in PBS, pH 7.4, through a column consisting of Ab or LC fibrils covalently cross-linked to N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) Sepharose4 fast-flow agarose matrix (Amersham Biosciences Corp.). The plots also show the % IgGs isolated from IVIg over a control unconjugated deactivated sepharose matrix (beads only). The concentration of antibody in eluant preparations was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using an extinction coefficient of 1.25 and a relative molecular mass of 150,000. (F) The % depletion of anti-amyloidogenic NAbs in IVIg flow through preparations from Ab and LC fibril columns, respectively, compared to the unfractionated preparation. Each antibody flow through preparation was generated from the fourth passage of IVIg through a fibril column, as described in Panel E (IgG eluant and flow through was generated for each passage). The % depletion of Ab conformer and LC fibril reactive NAbs in each antibody flow through was determined from the absorbances at 280 nm of antibody eluants generated by passing IVIg and the antibody flow through preparations through Ab conformer or LC fibril columns.
of PFs or WT monomers bound to plate-immobilized anti-\(\alpha\)Nabs (data not shown). Solution-phase competition ELISA studies, where both NAb and \(\alpha\)b conformers were in solution, further verified the antibody’s preference for aggregated \(\alpha\)b. Figure 5F and Table 4 show that \([S26CA\beta]_2\) and PFs dose-dependently inhibited antibody binding to plate-immobilized \([S26CA\beta]_2\), with inhibitor concentrations at half maximal effect, \(IC_{50}\) values, of \(4\) and \(16\) mg/ml (\(0.5\) and \(1.8\) M w.r.t. the dimeric peptide), respectively. In contrast, WT \(\alpha\)b monomers lacked significant inhibitory activity, with \(IC_{50}\) values \(50\) mg/ml (\(11.6\) M) (Fig. 5, Table 4). To verify NAb binding to \(\alpha\)b conformers in solution, we tested their ability to immunoprecipitate various synthetic \(\alpha\)b assemblies. Consistent with our ELISA results, Figure 6A shows that the NAbs preferentially bound to \([S26CA\beta]_2\) and PFs without detectable binding to the monomeric peptide. In contrast mAb 6E10 similarly immunoprecipitated all \(\alpha\b\) conformers (Fig. 6A). Control experiments confirmed that the \(\alpha\b\) aggregates did not significantly bind to the protein A/G matrix alone.

Having demonstrated nM binding by NAbs to synthetic \(\alpha\)b dimer assemblies, we established if they could recognize \(\alpha\b\) from the water-soluble phase of AD brain [34]. Figure 6B shows that the anti-\(\alpha\b\) NAbs and our control polyclonal anti-\(\alpha\b\) antibody, AW8, immunoprecipitated \(\alpha\b\) (<1 and >5 ng/ml, respectively) that was present in TBS extracts of two AD brains, but had no reactivity with TBS extract of a normal age-

| Table 1. Unmodified and \(\alpha\b\) and LC fibril-isolated NAbs binding to plate-immobilized amyloid fibrils. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| IVlg                             | \(EC_{50}\)     | Max signal      | \(EC_{50}\)     | Max signal      | \(EC_{50}\) A\(\beta\) fibrils |
|                                 | (nM)            | (Eu \(^{-2}\) (fm)) | (nM)            | (Eu \(^{-2}\) (fm)) |                      |
| Unfractionated                   | 1012±74         | 146±3.4         | 2000±24         | 92±6.5          | 0.50               |
| \(\alpha\b\) fibril enriched    | 38±0.2          | 263±5.3         | 137±0.9         | 147±5.4         | 0.28               |
| \(\alpha\b\) fibril residual    | ~3000           | >100            | ~5000           | >90             | –                  |
| LC fibril enriched               | 122±0.7         | 215±6.8         | 52±0.1          | 175±1.8         | 2.3                |
| LC fibril residual               | 2884±11         | 13±2.4          | 3251±58         | 77±8.6          | 0.89               |

\(^1\)Each value for \(EC_{50}\) and max signal for NAb binding to plate-immobilized \(\alpha\b\) or LC fibrils was the average value obtained from three sigmoidal fitted antibody binding curves, such as shown in Figure 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.t001

Figure 2. NAbs binding to \(\alpha\b\) conformers and overlapping peptide fragments. The antibody binding curves are representative of \(\alpha\b\) fibril-isolated (A) and column flow through (depleted) (B) IVlg fractions against plate-immobilized \(\alpha\b\) conformers and overlapping \(\alpha\b\) peptide fragments, respectively. The data symbols represent antibody binding to the \(\alpha\b\) peptides specified in Panel C. (C) Schematic comparison of \(\alpha\b\) fibril-isolated and flow through IVlg fractions, as well as an anti-\(\alpha\b\) N-terminal mAb, 6E10, binding to the plate-immobilized \(\alpha\b\) conformers and overlapping peptide fragments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.g002
Plasma alone are detected [38]. We take these results to mean that Aβ that when subjected to SDS-PAGE only monomers and dimers brain elutes from SEC with a range of molecular weights, but since we have previously shown that Aβ under native conditions as monomers and dimers is uncertain. Whether the immunoprecipitated Aβ migrated in denaturing SDS-PAGE as monomers and dimers.

Table 2. Plasma inhibition of NAbs binding to Aβ conformers.

| Aβ conformer | No plasma | + Plasma | Plasma alone |
|--------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
|              | EC501 (nM) | Max signal (Eu2 (fm)) | Max signal (Eu2 (fm)) | Max signal (Eu2 (fm)) |
| Fibrils      | 67±1      | 232±15   | 350±0.6      | 341±1.5       |
| CAPS         | 62±0.2    | 263±5.3  | 26±4         | 54±2          |

1 Each value for EC50 and max signal for anti-Aβ NAbs, isolated from IVIg using Aβ fibril affinity chromatography, binding to plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils and CAPS was the average value obtained from three sigmoidal fitted antibody binding curves, such as shown in Figure 3.

Discussion

Anti-Aβ immunotherapy is a promising approach for AD, and increasing experimental evidence indicates that soluble Aβ aggregates, including assemblies formed from dimers, are the primary toxic species [5,6,26,30,41]. IVIg, which contains anti-Aβ NAbs, has shown promise in recent clinical trials for AD, but its mode of action is not yet known [12,13]. Nevertheless, current research is primarily focused on anti-Aβ NAbs since extensive in vitro and transgenic animal studies have indicated that these antibodies have unique therapeutic potential for AD [11,12,16,17,18,19,20]. Our finding that anti-Aβ NAbs have nM avidity for solution-phase synthetic Aβ dimer assemblies and AD brain-derived Aβ, as well as their ability to recognize soluble synthetic cross-linked β-amyloid protein species (CAPS) [11] and Aβ trimers [19], indicates that these antibodies are, at least in part, responsible for IVIg's modulation of AD patient's levels of cerebral and blood pool soluble Aβ [12,13,18]. In particular, infusions of IVIg have reduced patient's cerebral Aβ and concurrently increased Aβ's blood pool – a process consistent with the beneficial effects of anti-Aβ immunotherapy [5,6]. Notably, Dodel's lab have recently shown that vaccinating AD transgenic mice with anti-Aβ NAbs, which were isolated from IVIg using an Aβ affinity column, reduced cerebral Aβ levels, increased the peptide's blood pool, and significantly improved the animal's object location memory [18].

Anti-Aβ NAb-mediated clearance of cerebral Aβ may occur through several, not mutually exclusive, mechanisms. This includes Fc-mediated clearance, rapid efflux of Aβ to the periphery via antibody-Aβ complexes, antibody inhibition of Aβ aggregation, and a peripheral sink mechanism whereby antibody targeting of blood pool Aβ alters an equilibrium between brain and blood pool Aβ that causes an efflux of the peptide into the periphery [41,42]. Our findings suggest that the latter peripheral sink mechanism is the most plausible mode of action for anti-Aβ NAbs since their modest (nM) avidity for solution-phase Aβ assemblies may be offset by their relatively high concentration in the blood. In contrast, NAb binding to cerebral Aβ is likely to be minimal since only ~0.1% of these antibodies may enter the brain [44]. Furthermore, our demonstration that NAbs retain Aβ binding in plasma indicates that they are not significantly inhibited from reacting with peripheral Aβ by endogenous plasma molecules [37]. Alternatively, IVIg's modulation of Aβ in bodily fluids of AD patient and transgenic mice may not be due to NAb's modulation of an equilibrium between cerebral and blood pool Aβ, but their direct clearance of Aβ in the brain, and peripheral NAbs binding to blood pool Aβ, which in itself can increase the peptide's half-life [42,43]. Levels of cerebral Aβ may have also been reduced by NAbs in IVIg that can target a receptor for advanced glycation end.
products (RAGE) [46], a protein that transports Aβ into the brain [47], and/or soluble low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-1 (sLRP1) [48], a major Aβ binding protein in the blood that is present in IVIg [46].

The proximate pathogenic Aβ specie(s) to target are still unknown, but assemblies formed from Aβ dimers are prime candidates [26,30,33,38]. The NAbs nM avidity for synthetic Aβ dimer assemblies, [S26CAβ]2 and synaptotoxic PFs, and AD brain-derived Aβ suggest that these antibodies recognize the pathogenic peptide [30,38]. As mentioned above, although NAbs can immunoprecipitate AD brain-derived Aβ, their modest avidity for these conformers, and inability to penetrate the blood brain barrier, suggests that these antibodies are more likely to target Aβ in the periphery, such as plasma membrane-bound Aβ dimers [27]. Nevertheless, anti-Aβ antibodies that enter the brain may still benefit an AD patient by clearing Aβ to the periphery, and/or by neutralizing toxic Aβ species [8,16,18,21] (Fig. S5).

The conformational Aβ epitope(s) recognized by NAbs presumably consist of sequentially discontinuous segments that are close together in three-dimensional space [49]. Our observation that these surfaces are similarly exposed on Aβ aggregates and LC fibrils is consistent with our previous observations that NAbs can react with aggregated Aβ and are pan amyloid fibril-reactive [11,17]. Such epitopes may be formed from Aβ-Aβ interactions, or could also be generated in vivo from the interaction of monomeric peptide with endogenous molecules or matrices [11,40]. The inability of NAbs to recognize synthetic Aβ monomers or the fragmented peptide contrasts with previous studies that suggested anti-Aβ NAbs specifically recognize linear sequence segments [for example [18,19,50]]. However, the latter studies primarily involved surface-immobilized synthetic Aβ, which due to surface adsorption can artificially expose amyloidogenic epitopes that are not present on the solution-phase native peptide [11]. Also, NAb binding to Aβ was not compared to

| Table 3. Unfractionated, Cibacron blue- and Aβ fibril-isolated NAbs binding to plate-immobilized amyloidogenic conformers. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Conformer       | Unfractionated  | Cibacron blue-isolated | Aβ Fibril-isolated |
|                 | EC50 (nM) | Max signal Eu² (fm) | EC50 (nM) | Max signal Eu² (fm) | EC50 (nM) | Max signal Eu² (fm) |
| Aβ Fibrils      | 927±5      | 89±4            | 105±1     | 131±8           | 45±1      | 133±4           |
| CAPS            | ~3000      | >100            | 120±2     | 168±6           | 62±0.3   | 226±4           |
| Aβ Monomers     | ~1000      | >15             | 542±4     | 82±1            | 547±1    | 106±2           |
| LC fibrils      | 2160±20    | 35±2            | 46±1      | 43±2            | 20±1     | 61±3            |

1 Each value for EC50 and max signal for Aβ-reactive NAbs binding to a plate-immobilized amyloidogenic conformer was determined from the average value obtained from three sigmoidal fitted antibody binding curves, such as shown in Figure 4.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.t003

Figure 4. Cibacron blue and Aβ fibril fractionated NAbs binding to amyloidogenic conformers. Antibody binding curves were generated for unfractionated IVIg, Cibacron blue-isolated and depleted, and for Aβ fibril-isolated IVIg IgGs against plate-immobilized Aβ fibrils (A), CAPS (B), Aβ monomers (C), And LC fibrils (D). The data symbols in Panels A to D represent Aβ conformer binding by antibody preparations as specified in the legend of Panel A.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.g004
Figure 5. Isolation of \( \beta \) dimers and NAb binding. (A) [S26CA\( \beta \)]\(_2\) was isolated by SEC using a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 75 column equilibrated with 25 mM ammonium acetate, pH 8.5. Arrows indicate elution of linear dextran standards, and D and M are abbreviations for \( \beta \) dimers and monomers, respectively. SDS-PAGE analysis of the low molecular weight SEC peaks confirmed the presence of dimers or monomers. A portion of \( \beta \) in the dimer fraction migrated as an 16 kDa assembly that was transiently and artificially induced by the detergent [30,39] (B) Cibacron blue-isolated IVIg IgGs, (C) anti-\( \beta \) N-terminal mAb, 6E10, binding to plate-immobilized \( \beta \) conformers: [S26CA\( \beta \)]\(_2\); PFs, and WT \( \beta \) monomers. (D) Plate-immobilized Cibacron blue-isolated IVIg IgGs binding to solution-phase \( \beta \) conformers, and (E) \( \beta \) competition curves for solution-phase \( \beta \) conformer inhibition of 100 nM Cibacron blue-isolated IVIg IgGs binding to plate-immobilized [S26CA\( \beta \)]\(_2\). The data symbols in Panels B to E represent antibody binding to \( \beta \) conformers as specified in the legend of Panel B.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.g005

Table 4. Unfractionated and Cibacron blue-isolated NAbs binding to plate-immobilized amyloidogenic conformers.

| Aβ Conformer          | Direct ELISA | CB\(^1\)-isolated IVlg | CB\(^1\)-isolated IVlg |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                       |              | CB-isolated IVlg       | mAb 6E10               | Aβ capture | Aβ comp |
|                       | EC\(50\) \(\mu\text{g/ml}\) | Max \(\mu\text{g/ml}\) | EC\(50\) \(\mu\text{g/ml}\) | Max \(\mu\text{g/ml}\) | A\(450nm\) | A\(450nm\) |
| [S26CA\( \beta \)]\(_2\) PFs | 64±2 | 1.6±0.1 | 0.12±0.01 | 2.0±0.1 | 4.4±0.02 | 1.9±0.01 | 4.4±0.02 |
| [S26CA\( \beta \)]\(_2\) dimers | 105±2 | 1.50 | 0.09±0.01 | 2.1±0.1 | 9.9±0.3 | 1.9±0.02 | 16±0.01 |
| CAPS                  | 50±1 | 1.3±0.1 | 0.15±0.02 | 2.2±0.02 | 12±0.3 | 1.6±0.01 | >50 |
| WT monomers           | >800 | – | 0.12±0.01 | 2.4±0.01 | >100 | – | >100 |

\(^1\)CB is an abbreviation for Cibacron blue.

\(^2\)Each value for EC\(50\), max signal, and IC\(50\) for antibody binding to a plate-immobilized Aβ conformer was the average value obtained from three sigmoidal fitted antibody binding curves, such as shown in Figure 5.

\(^3\)Max is an abbreviation for maximum assay signal.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.t004
control antibodies, such as anti-\(\alpha\) depleting IVIg, nor was the antibody’s reactivity established against A\(\beta\) monomers and aggregates. To address these anomalies, we investigated anti-A\(\beta\) and control NAbs dose-dependent binding to plate-immobilized A\(\beta\) monomers, fibrils, and overlapping A\(\beta\) fragments. Our investigations clearly showed that although anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs bound to plate-immobilized A\(\beta\) monomers and peptide fragments, this reactivity was very weak, in the \(\mu\)M range, and non-specific since similar binding was obtained with the control antibody. Furthermore, the anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs bound \(\sim 40\)-fold more avidly to plate-immobilized A\(\beta\) fibrils than the control antibody, confirming that strong Nab-A\(\beta\) interactions were critically dependent on the peptide’s conformational state.

Natural human antibodies make up about a third of an individual’s antibody repertoire, target a wide spectrum of self and non-self antigens, and have been implicated in disease and a plethora of physiological functions [51]. Although the function and molecular basis for anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs is not yet known, we have demonstrated their specificity for conformational epitope(s) on A\(\beta\) aggregates, dimers to fibrils, and LC fibrils. We have also previously demonstrated that anti-A\(\beta\) NAb’s are inherently present in normal, presumably healthy individuals, are pan-amyloid fibril reactive, cross-react with CAPS, and have therapeutic potential [11,17,52]. The NAb’s ability to cross-react with a plethora of amyloidogenic assemblies suggests that these IgGs may have evolved to neutralize and/or clear endogenous misfolded proteins containing amyloid-like epitopes in the chaperone-free intercellular milieu. Such conformational binding surfaces presumably contain unique clusters of hydrogen bond donor/acceptor groups, solvent exposed amino acid side chains, and/or a unique chain reversal that are not exposed on natively folded polypeptides [23]. Our ability to isolate anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs from IVIg in high salt buffer using Cibacron blue affinity chromatography indicates that these molecules bind a common, limited set of epitope(s) that have a hydrophobic component. However, the molecular composition of these epitope(s) remains elusive since we were unable to isolate anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs using a standard hydrophobic matrix, phenyl sepharose CL-4B (Sigma).

In summary, we have demonstrated that anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs primarily target conformational epitope(s) on soluble synthetic A\(\beta\) assemblies, dimers to fibrils, AD brain-derived A\(\beta\), and these surfaces are similarly exposed on LC fibrils. The latter findings indicate that further investigations on the molecular basis and therapeutic/diagnostic potential of anti-A\(\beta\) NAbs are warranted. Although IVIg is limited in supply and there may not be enough to treat the AD patient population, advancing understanding on the molecular basis for Nab-A\(\beta\) interactions should facilitate the

Figure 6. Nab immunoprecipitation of synthetic and AD brain-derived A\(\beta\). (A) Western blot analysis of synthetic S26CA\(\beta\) conformers immunoprecipitated by NAbs isolated from IVIg by Cibacron blue affinity chromatography, and by mAb 6E10: M, monomers; D, dimers, and PFs. (B) Western blot analysis of soluble A\(\beta\) present in TBS extracts of AD brain immunoprecipitated by unfractionated and Cibacron blue-isolated IVIg IgGs, and by rabbit polyclonal anti-A\(\beta\) IgGs, AW8. Prot A/G alone stands for control studies carried out with antibody capture beads (a 1:1 mixture of Protein A sepharose and Protein G agarose) and brain extract without primary antibody. The Western blots were developed using N-terminal and mid-region A\(\beta\)-reactive mAbs, 6E10 and 4G8, and enhanced chemiluminescence as the detection system. NS, indicates non-specific bands arising from secondary antibody detection of Nab’s light chains. M and D are abbreviations for A\(\beta\) monomers and dimers, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050317.g006
generation of a more renewable therapeutic reagent, such as human monoclonal anti-\(A\beta\) NAb.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 **Quiescent aggregation of \([S26CA\beta]_2\).** (A) Progress curves for the formation of ThT positive material from 22 \(\mu\)M \([S26CA\beta]_2\) (\(\star\)) and S26C\(A\beta\) monomers (\(\circ\)). The reaction was carried out at 37°C in 40 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4. Negative contrast EM was performed on freshly SEC-isolated \([S26CA\beta]_2\) (\(B\)), and on the aggregation reaction product (\(C\), \(D\)). A high magnification of the image shown in panel C. Scale bar, 100 nm. (TIF)

Figure S2 **Biophysical properties and ThT fluorescence of CAPS and LC fibrils.** Negative contrast EM was performed on 0.2 mg/ml CAPS reaction product (A, B), and on the aggregation products of \(A\beta\) (C) and LC (D) fibrils formation reactions. (E) Relative ThT fluorescent signal for 3 \(\mu\)g \(A\beta\) fibrils, LC fibrils CAPS. (F) Emission wavelength spectra of 50 \(\mu\)M CAPS (\(\star\)), and 50 \(\mu\)M of \(A\beta\) monomers (\(\circ\)) in PBS, pH 7.4, with excitation at 320 nm. (TIF)

Figure S3 **ThT fluorescence and circular dichroism spectra for \(A\beta\) conformers.** (A) Relative ThT fluorescent signal for the same weight (3 \(\mu\)g) of WT and S26C\(A\beta\) conformers. (B) Circular dichroism spectra obtained for freshly prepared 20 \(\mu\)M \([S26CA\beta]_2\) (\(-\)), CAPS (+), and WT \(A\beta\) monomers (\(-\)) in 25 mM ammonium acetate, pH 8.5. (TIF)

Figure S4 **Acid-induced enhancement of IVIg binding to plate-immobilized \(A\beta\).** (A) Antibody binding curves against plate-immobilized \(A\beta\) monomers for IVIg that was unmodified (\(\circ\)), pretreated with acid (0.1 M glycine, pH 3.5), concentrated (Pierce concentrator, 20 kDa m.w.c.o.), and then neutralized with 1 M Tris (pH 9.0) (\(\Delta\)), or concentrated without treatment with acid/neutralization buffer (\(\star\)). (TIF)

**References**

1. Thies W, Beiler I (2011) 2011 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimer's Dement 7: 208–244.
2. Goedert M, Spillantini MG (2006) A century of Alzheimer's disease. Science 303: 777–781.
3. Vilcek JC, Lacor PN, Piet J, Klein WL (2011) Abeta oligomer-induced synapex degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. Cell Mol Neurobiol 31: 939–948.
4. Benilova I, Karran E, De Strooper B (2012) The toxic Abeta oligomer and amyloid-beta immunotherapy? Nat Rev Neurol 6: 108–119.
5. Lemere CA, Masliah E (2010) Can Alzheimer disease be prevented by amyloid-beta immunotherapy? Nat Rev Neurol 6: 108–119.
6. Morgan D (2011) Immunotherapy for Alzheimer’s disease. J Intern Med 269: 54–63.
7. Searle PD, Zimmerman S, Suchinchan D, Reed P, Wang L, et al. (2002) The public health impact of Alzheimer’s disease, 2000–2050: potential implication of treatment advances. Ann Rev Public Health 23: 213–231.
8. Britschgi M, Olin GE, Johns HT, Takeda-Uchimura Y, LeMieux MC, et al. (2009) Neuroprotective natural antibodies to assemblies of amyloidogenic peptides decrease with normal aging and advancing Alzheimer’s disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 12145–12150.
9. Du Y, Dodel R, Hampel H, Burger K, Lin S, et al. (2001) Reduced levels of amyloid\(\beta\)-peptide antibody in Alzheimer disease. Neurology 57: 801–805.
10. Dodel R, Hampel H, Deposky C, Lin S, Gao F, et al. (2002) Human antibodies against amyloid beta peptide: a potential treatment for Alzheimer’s disease. Ann Neurol 52: 253–256.
11. O’Nuallain B, Acero L, Williams AD, Koeppen HP, Weber A, et al. (2008) Human plasma contains cross-reactive \(A\beta\) conformer-specific IgG antibodies. Biochemistry 47: 12254–12256.
12. Dodel R, Neff F, Noecker C, Pul R, Du Y, et al. (2010) Intravenous immunoglobulins as a treatment for Alzheimer’s disease: rationale and current evidence. Drugs 70: 513–528.
13. Rokita T, Dodel R, Bruckner M, Neufert C, Mihatsch MJ, et al. (2009) 18-Month study of intravenous immunoglobulin for treatment of mild Alzheimer disease. Neurobiol Aging 30: 1728–1736.
14. Puli I, Pomeschik Y, Oluf K, Malm T, Koistinaho J, et al. (2012) Effects of human intravenous immunoglobulin on amyloid pathology and neuroinflammation in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. J Neuroinflammation 9: 105.
15. Negi VS, Elharou S, Sibirel S, Graif-Dobos S, Moutlon L, et al. (2007) Intraocular injection of human intravenous immunoglobulin in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. J Neuroinflammation 4: 105.
16. Szabo P, Relkin N, Weksler ME (2008) Natural human antibodies to amyloid beta peptide: a potential treatment for Alzheimer's disease. Autoimmun Rev 7: 415–420.
17. Amini M, Taghavi A, Javadpour K, Ziaie J, Dodel R, et al. (2012) Intravenous immunoglobulin: an update on the clinical use and mechanisms of action. J Clin Immunol 27: 233–245.
18. Magga J, Pul R, Pihlaja R, Kaminen K, Neulamaa S, et al. (2010) Human intravenous immunoglobulin provides protection against Abeta toxicity by multiple mechanisms in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroinflammation 7: 90.
19. O'Sullivan B, Hricik K, Wall JS, Weiss DT, Solomon A (2006) Diagnostic and therapeutic potential of amyloid-reactive IgG antibodies contained in human sera. J Immunol 176: 7071–7078.
20. Solomon B (2007) Intravenous immunoglobulin and Alzheimer’s disease immunotherapy. Curr Opin Mol Ther 9: 79–85.
21. Du Y, Wei X, Dodel R, Sommer N, Hampel H, et al. (2008) Human anti-beta-amyloid antibodies block beta-amyloid fibril formation and prevent beta-amyloid-induced neurotoxicity. Brain 126: 1935-1939.
22. Seabrook, P. Muttioli DM, Rotondo ML, Sharma R, Weber A, et al. (2010) Measurement of anti-beta amyloid antibodies in human blood. J Neuroimmunol 227: 167-174.
23. O’Nuallain B, Wetzel R (2002) Conformational Abs recognizing a generic amyloid fibril epitope. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 1485-1490.
24. Town T, Tan J, Sansone N, Obregón D, Klein T, et al. (2001) Characterization of marine immunoglobulin G antibodies against human amyloid-beta1-42. Neurosci Lett 307: 101–104.
25. Shankar GM, Walsh DM (2009) Alzheimer’s disease: synaptic dysfunction and Abeta. Mol Neurodegener 4: 48.
26. Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ (2007) A beta oligomers - a decade of discovery. J Neurochem 101: 1172–1184.
27. Villenave VL, Perez KA, Pike KE, Kok WM, Rowe CC, et al. (2010) Blood-borne amyloid-beta dimer correlates with clinical markers of Alzheimer’s disease. J Neurosci 30: 6315-6322.
28. Mc Donald JM, Savva GM, Brayne C, Welzel AT, Forster G, et al. (2010) The presence of sodium dodecyl sulphate-stable Abeta dimers is strongly associated with Alzheimer-type dementia. Brain 133: 1328–1341.
29. Wall J, Schell M, Murphy C, Hrcnic R, Stevens FJ, et al. (1999) Thermodynamic instability of human lambda 6 light chains: correlation with fibrillogenicity. Biochemistry 38: 14101–14108.
30. O’Nuallain B, Feir DB, Nicoll AJ, Riese E, Ferguson N, et al. (2010) Amyloid beta-protein dimers rapidly form stable synaptotoxic protofibrils. J Neurosci 30: 14411–14419.
31. Shevchenko A, Wilm M, Vorm O, Mann M (1996) Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. Anal Chem 68: 850-858.
32. Levine H, 3rd. (1999) Quantification of beta-sheet amyloid fibril structures with thioflavin T methods. Methods Enzymol: 274–294.
33. O’Nuallain B, Khylbin I, Mc Donald JM, Foster JS, Welzel A, et al. (2011) A monoclonal antibody against synthetic Abeta dimer assembles neutralizes brain-derived synaptic plasticity disrupting Abeta. J Neurochem 119: 189-201.
34. Shankar GM, Welzel AT, McDonald JM, Selkoe DJ, Walsh DM (2011) Isolation of low-n amyloid-beta-protein oligomers from cultured cells, CSF, and brain. Methods Mol Biol 670: 33–44.
35. Betts V, Leissring MA, Dobos G, Wang R, Selkoe DJ, et al. (2008) Aggregation and catabolism of disease-associated intra-Abeta mutations: reduced proteolysis of Abeta21G by neprilysin. Neurobiol Dis 31: 442–450.
36. Li Q, Gordon M, Cao C, Ugen KE, Morgan D (2007) Improvement of a low pH antigen-antibody dissociation procedure for ELISA measurement of circulating anti-Abeta antibodies. BMC Neurosci 8: 22.
37. Bohrmann B, Tjernberg L, Kuner P, Pöli S, Levvy-Trafit R, et al. (1999) Endogenous proteins controlling amyloid beta-peptide polymerization. Possible implications for beta-amyloid formation in the central nervous system and in peripheral tissues. J Biol Chem 274: 15990–15995.
38. Shankar GM, Li SM, Mehta TH, Garcia-Munoz A, Sheppardson NE, et al. (2008) Amyloid-beta protein dimers isolated directly from Alzheimer’s brains impair synaptic plasticity and memory. Nat Med 14: 837-842.
39. Waldstrom A, Hugolin L, Perazza-Marin A, Jarvet J, Gradin A, et al. (2008) Secondary structure conversions of Alzheimer’s Abeta(1–40) peptide induced by membrane-mimicking detergents. Febs J 275: 5117–5128.
40. Giacometti CE, Norde W (2005) Conformational changes of the amyloid beta-peptide (1–40) adsorbed on solid surfaces. Macromol Biosci 5: 401–407.
41. Gandy S (2010) Testing the amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer’s disease in vivo. Lancet Neurol 9: 333–335.
42. Göde TE, Das P, Levites Y (2009) Quantitative and mechanistic studies of Abeta immunotherapy. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets 8: 31–49.
43. DeMattos RB, Rales KR, Cummins DJ, Dodart JC, Paul SM, et al. (2001) Peripheral anti-A beta antibody alters CNS and plasma A beta clearance and decreases brain A beta burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 8850–8855.
44. Levites Y, Simonsen LA, Price RW, Dukin RS, Yuan B, et al. (2006) Insights into the mechanisms of action of anti-Abeta antibodies in Alzheimer’s disease mouse models. FASEB J 20: 2576-2578.
45. Seubert P, Barbour R, Khan K, Motter R, Tang P, et al. (2008) Antibody Capture of Soluble Abeta Does Not Reduce Cortical Abeta Amyloidosis in the PDAPP Mouse. Neurodegener Dis 5: 65–71.
46. Weber A, Engelmaier A, Teschner H, Schwarz HP (2009) Gammagard Liquid contains anti-RAGE IGG and SLRP. Alzheimer Dement: P146.
47. DeMattos RB, Bales KR, Cummins DJ, Dodart JC, Paul SM, et al. (2001) Peripheral anti-A beta antibody alters CNS and plasma A beta clearance and decreases brain A beta burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 8850–8855.
48. Deane R, Du Yan S, Subnamaryan RK, LaRue B, Jovanesic S, et al. (2003) RAGE mediates amyloid-beta peptide transport across the blood-brain barrier and accumulation in brain. Nat Med 9: 907-913.
49. Sagare A, Deane R, Bell RD, Johnson B, Hamm K, et al. (2007) Clearance of amyloid-beta by circulating lipoprotein receptors. Nat Med 13: 1029-1031.
50. Barlow DJ, Edwards MS, Thornton JM (1986) Continuous and discontinuous protein antigenic determinants. Nature 322: 747–748.
51. Klaver AC, Finke JM, Duganbaranath J, Bala Subramaniam M, Loofer DA (2010) Antibody concentrations to Abeta1–42 monomer and soluble oligomers in untreated and antibody-antigen-associated intravenous immunoglobulin preparations. Int Immunopharmacol 10: 115–119.
52. Avramac S, Ternycky T, Tsonis IA, Lymberis P (2007) Naturally occurring B-cell autoreactivity: a critical overview. J Autoimmun 29: 213–218.
53. O’Nuallain B, Williams A, McWilliams Kooppen HP, Acero I, Weber A, et al. (2010) Anti-amyloidogenic activity of IgGs contained in normal plasma. J Clin Immunol 30 Suppl 1: 837–842.