More on higher order decays of the lighter top squark
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Abstract

We discuss the three-body decays $\tilde{t} \to W^+ b \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\tilde{t} \to H^+ b \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\tilde{t} \to b \tilde{l}^+_i \nu_i$, and $\tilde{t} \to b \tilde{\nu}_l l^+$ ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) of the lighter top squark $\tilde{t}$ within the minimal supersymmetric standard model. We give the complete analytical formulas for the decay widths and present a numerical study in view of an upgraded Tevatron, the CERN LHC, and a future lepton collider demonstrating the importance of these decay modes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The search for supersymmetry (SUSY) \[1,2\] plays an important rôle in the experimental program at the colliders LEP2 and Tevatron. It will be even more important at future colliders, e.g. an upgraded Tevatron, LHC, an \(e^+e^-\) linear collider or a \(\mu^+\mu^-\) collider. Therefore many phenomenological studies have been carried out in recent years (see e.g. \[3--6\] and references therein).

Within the supersymmetric extensions of the standard model (SM) the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) \[2,7\] is the most investigated one. The MSSM implies that every SM fermion has two spin 0 partners called sfermions \(\tilde{f}_L, \tilde{f}_R\). In general sfermions decay according to \(\tilde{f}_k \rightarrow f \tilde{\chi}_i^0, f' \tilde{\chi}_j^\pm\) where \(\tilde{\chi}_i^0\) and \(\tilde{\chi}_j^\pm\) denote neutralinos and charginos, respectively. Here we assume that the lightest neutralino is the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP).

Owing to large Yukawa couplings the sfermions of the third generation have a quite different phenomenology compared to those of the first two generations (see e.g. \[8\] and references therein). The large Yukawa couplings imply a large mixing between \(\tilde{f}_L, \tilde{f}_R\) and large couplings to the higgsino components of neutralinos and charginos. This is in particular the case for the lighter top squark \(\tilde{t}_1\) because of the large top quark mass \[9\]. The large top quark mass also implies the existence of scenarios where all two-body decay modes of \(\tilde{t}_1\) (e.g. \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_i^0, b \tilde{\chi}_j^\pm, t \tilde{g}\)) are kinematically forbidden at tree-level. In these scenarios higher order decays of \(\tilde{t}_1\) become relevant: \[10,11\]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{t}_1 & \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0, \\
\tilde{t}_1 & \rightarrow W^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \\
\tilde{t}_1 & \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \\
\tilde{t}_1 & \rightarrow b \tilde{l}_i^+ \nu_l, \\
\tilde{t}_1 & \rightarrow b \tilde{\nu}_l l^+, 
\end{align*}
\]

where \(l\) denotes \(e, \mu, \tau\).

In \[10\] it has been shown that decays into sleptons are dominating over the decays into \(c \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0\) if they are kinematically allowed. However, they have used the approximation: \(m_b = 0, h_b = h_t = 0 \ (l = e, \mu, \tau), \ m_{\tilde{t}_1} \ll m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_1} \ll m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_2}\). In \[11\] it has been shown that for small \(\tan\beta\) the decay \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0\) in general dominates over \(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^0\) whereas for large \(\tan\beta\) their branching ratios can be of comparable size. In this paper we present the complete formulas for the three-body decays which are so far missing in the literature. We also perform a numerical analysis for the mass range of an upgraded Tevatron, the LHC, and a future lepton collider including the possibility that all of the above decay channels are simultaneously open. In particular it turns out that the inclusion of the bottom and tau Yukawa couplings \(h_b, h_\tau\) is important.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. II we fix our notation and give the analytical expressions for the decay amplitudes together with the relevant parts of the MSSM Lagrangian. In Sect. III we present our numerical results for the branching ratios of the three-body decays in scenarios accessible either at the Tevatron run II, LHC, or a future lepton collider. Our conclusions are drawn in Sect. IV. The analytical formulas for the squared amplitudes are listed in Appendix A, and Appendix B gives the various couplings.
II. FORMULAS FOR THE DECAY WIDTHS

In this section we fix our notation and we give the Lagrangian relevant for the calculation of the decay widths. Moreover, we present the analytical formulas for the matrix elements and generic formulas for the decay widths. The complete formulas for the latter are rather lengthy and are listed in Appendix A.

The parameters relevant for the following discussion are \( M', M, m_{A^0}, \mu, \tan \beta, M_{\tilde{D}_i}, M_{\tilde{Q}_i}, M_{\tilde{U}_i}, A_d, \) and \( A_u. \) \( M' \) and \( M \) are the \( U(1) \) and \( SU(2) \) gaugino masses, for which we assume the GUT relation \( M' = 5/3 \tan^2 \theta_W M. \) \( \mu \) is the parameter of the Higgs superpotential, \( m_{A^0} \) the mass of the pseudoscalar Higgs boson, and \( \tan \beta = v_2/v_1 \) where \( v_i \) denotes the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs doublet \( H_i. \) \( M_{\tilde{D}_i}, M_{\tilde{Q}_i} \) and \( M_{\tilde{U}_i} \) are soft SUSY breaking masses for the squarks, \( A_d \) and \( A_u \) are trilinear Higgs–squark couplings, and \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) is the generation index.

The mass matrix for sfermions in the \((\tilde{f}_L, \tilde{f}_R)\) basis has the following form [8]:

\[
M^2_{\tilde{f}_i} = \begin{pmatrix}
m^2_{\tilde{f}_i} & a_i m_{\tilde{f}_i} \\
& a_i m_{\tilde{f}_i} & m^2_{\tilde{f}_R}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(6)

with

\[
\begin{align*}
m^2_{\tilde{u}_{Li}} &= M^2_{\tilde{Q}_i} + m^2_{u_i} + m^2_Z \cos 2\beta (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W), \\
m^2_{\tilde{u}_{Ri}} &= M^2_{\tilde{U}_i} + m^2_{u_i} + \frac{2}{3} m^2_Z \cos 2\beta \sin^2 \theta_W, \\
m^2_{\tilde{d}_{Li}} &= M^2_{\tilde{Q}_i} + m^2_{d_i} - m^2_Z \cos 2\beta (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W), \\
m^2_{\tilde{d}_{Ri}} &= M^2_{\tilde{D}_i} + m^2_{d_i} - \frac{1}{3} m^2_Z \cos 2\beta \sin^2 \theta_W, \\
m^2_{\tilde{\ell}_{Li}} &= M^2_{\tilde{L}_i} + m^2_{\ell_i} - m^2_Z \cos 2\beta (\frac{1}{2} - \sin^2 \theta_W), \\
m^2_{\tilde{\ell}_{Ri}} &= M^2_{\tilde{E}_i} + m^2_{\ell_i} - m^2_Z \cos 2\beta \sin^2 \theta_W,
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
a_u m_{u_i} &= m_{u_i} (A_{u_i} - \mu \cot \beta), \\
a_d m_{d_i} &= m_{d_i} (A_{d_i} - \mu \tan \beta), \\
a_{\ell_i} m_{\ell_i} &= m_{\ell_i} (A_{\ell_i} - \mu \tan \beta),
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where \( i \) is a generation index \((u_i = u, c, t; d_i = d, s, b; \ell_i = e, \nu, \tau)\) which will be suppressed in the following. The mass eigenstates \( \tilde{f}_1 \) and \( \tilde{f}_2 \) are related to \( \tilde{f}_L \) and \( \tilde{f}_R \) by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{f}_1 \\
\tilde{f}_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_f & \sin \theta_f \\
-\sin \theta_f & \cos \theta_f
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{f}_L \\
\tilde{f}_R
\end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_f \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{f}_L \\
\tilde{f}_R
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(9)

with the eigenvalues

\[
m^2_{\tilde{f}_{1,2}} = \frac{1}{2} (m^2_{\tilde{f}_L} + m^2_{\tilde{f}_R}) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(m^2_{\tilde{f}_L} - m^2_{\tilde{f}_R})^2 + 4 a^2_f m^2_f}.
\]

(10)

The mixing angle \( \theta_f \) is given by

\[
\cos \theta_f = \frac{-a_f m_f}{\sqrt{(m^2_{\tilde{f}_L} - m^2_{\tilde{f}_{1,2}})^2 + a^2_f m^2_f}}, \quad \sin \theta_f = \sqrt{\frac{(m^2_{\tilde{f}_L} - m^2_{\tilde{f}_{1,2}})^2}{(m^2_{\tilde{f}_L} - m^2_{\tilde{f}_{1,2}})^2 + a^2_f m^2_f}}.
\]

(11)
The sneutrino mass is given by:

\[ m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2 = M_{\tilde{L}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{Z}}^2 \cos 2\beta \] (12)

The part of the Lagrangian, which is needed for the calculation of the three-body decay widths, is given by:

\[
\mathcal{L}_I = g \sum_{j=1,2} \bar{b} \left( k_{ij}^L P_L + l_{ij}^L P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^+ t_1 + g \sum_{j=1,2} \bar{f} \left( k_{ij}^R P_L + l_{ij}^R P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^f n
\]

\[
+ g \sum_{j=1,2} \bar{f} \left( l_{ij}^e P_L \right) f \nu^+_j + g \sum_{k=1,2} \bar{f} \left( b_{kj}^L P_L + a_{kj}^L P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^R \tilde{f}_k
\]

\[
-g W_\mu^2 \sum_{j=1,2} \bar{\chi}_j^0 \left( O_{Lj}^L P_L + O_{Rj}^R P_R \right) \gamma^\mu \tilde{\chi}_j^+ - ig W_\mu \sum_{j=1,2} A_{1j}^W b_{ij} \tilde{\chi}_j \partial_\mu \tilde{t}_1
\]

\[
- \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- b_{j} r^\mu P_L t - g H^- \sum_{j=1,2} \bar{\chi}_j^R \left( Q_{Lj}^R P_L + Q_{Lj}^R P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^+ - g H^- \sum_{j=1,2} C_{1j}^H \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{b}_{ij}
\]

\[
+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} H^- b \left( m_b \tan \beta P_L + m_c \cot \beta P_R \right) t
\] (13)

where \( P_{R,L} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2 \). The various couplings are given in Appendix B.

The formula for the decay width \( \Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \) has already been given in (11). Therefore, we give only the corresponding matrix element \( M_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0} \):

\[
M_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0} = - \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=1}^2 A_{1j}^W \frac{(p_t + p_{b_j})^\mu}{p_{b_j}^2 - m_{b_j}^2 - im_{b_j} \Gamma_{b_j}} \bar{u}(p_b) \left[ b_{j1}^L P_L + a_{j1}^L P_R \right] v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) \epsilon_\mu(p_W)
\]

\[
+ g^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \bar{u}(p_b) \left[ l_{i1}^L P_R + k_{ij}^L P_L \right] \left[ p_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - im_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ O_{Lj}^R P_L + O_{Rj}^R P_R \right] \gamma^\mu v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) \epsilon_\mu(p_W)
\]

\[
- \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}(p_b) \gamma^\mu P_L \left[ p_t^2 - m_t^2 - im_t \Gamma_t \right] \left[ b_{11}^L P_L + a_{11}^L P_R \right] v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) \epsilon_\mu(p_W)
\] (14)

In (11) also the formula for \( \Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \) \( \Gamma \) has been rewritten in the notation used here.

In Fig. (11) we show the Feynman diagrams for the decay \( \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \). The matrix element \( M_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0} \) for this decay is given by:

\[
M_{\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0} = -g^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 C_{1j}^H \frac{\bar{u}(p_b) \left[ b_{j1}^L P_L + a_{j1}^L P_R \right] v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})}{p_{b_j}^2 - m_{b_j}^2 - im_{b_j} \Gamma_{b_j}}
\]

\[
- g^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \bar{u}(p_b) \left[ l_{i1}^L P_R + k_{ij}^L P_L \right] \left[ p_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - im_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ Q_{Lj}^R P_L + Q_{Lj}^R P_R \right] \gamma^\mu v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}) \epsilon_\mu(p_W)
\]

\[
+ \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2} m_W} \bar{u}(p_b) \left[ m_b \tan \beta P_L + m_c \cot \beta P_R \right] \left[ p_t^2 - m_t^2 - im_t \Gamma_t \right] \left[ b_{11}^L P_L + a_{11}^L P_R \right] v(p_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})
\] (15)
The decay width is given by

\[ \Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \to H^+ b\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \frac{\alpha^2}{16 \pi m^3_{\tilde{t}_1} \sin^4 \theta_W} \left( m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{H^+} \right)^2 \int \frac{d s}{(m_{\tilde{b}} + m_{\tilde{t}_1})^2} \left( G_{\tilde{t}t} + G_{\tilde{t}b} + G_{\tilde{t}b} + G_{\tilde{t}b} \right). \] (16)

with \( G_{ij} \) given in Appendix A.

Alternatively \( \tilde{t}_1 \) can decay into sleptons: \( \tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\nu}_e e^+, b\tilde{\nu}_\mu \mu^+, \tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\nu}_\tau \tau^+, \) and \( \tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\tau}_{1,2} \nu_\tau \). These decays are mediated through virtual charginos. The Feynman graphs are similar to the second one in Fig. I, where one has to replace the Higgs boson by a slepton and the neutralino by the corresponding lepton. Note, that the decays into \( \tilde{e}_R \) and \( \tilde{\mu}_R \) are negligible because their couplings to the charginos are proportional to \( m_{\tilde{e}_R}/m_W \) and \( m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}/m_W \), respectively. In the case of decays into sneutrinos and leptons the matrix elements \( M_{\tilde{t}_1 \to \tilde{b}^+ \tilde{\nu}_i} \) have the generic form:

\[ M_{\tilde{t}_1 \to \tilde{b}^+ \tilde{\nu}_i} = g^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\bar{u}(p_b) \left[ t^t_{ij} P_R + k^t_{ij} P_L \right] \left[ \tilde{p}_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \right] \left[ \bar{u}_j P_R + k^\nu_j P_L \right] v(p_{\tilde{\nu}_i})}{p_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - im_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}}, \] (17)

whereas for the decays into sleptons and neutrinos we get:

\[ M_{\tilde{t}_1 \to \tilde{b} \tilde{\nu}_i \tilde{\nu}_i} = g^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\bar{u}(p_b) \left[ t^t_{ij} P_R + k^t_{ij} P_L \right] \left[ \tilde{p}_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \right] \left[ \bar{t}_j P_R + k^\nu_j P_L \right] v(p_{\tilde{\nu}_i})}{p_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}^2 - im_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+} \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_j^+}}. \] (18)

In both cases the decay width is given by

\[ \Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\nu}_i \tilde{l}') = \frac{\alpha^2}{16 \pi m^3_{\tilde{t}_1} \sin^4 \theta_W} \left( m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\nu}_i} \right)^2 \int \frac{d s}{(m_{\tilde{b}} + m_{\tilde{t}_1})^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \sum_{j=1}^5 c_{ij}s^{(j-4))} \right) D_i(s). \] (19)

The explicit expressions for \( W_{\tilde{t}_1}, c_{ij}, \) and \( D_i(s) \) are given in Appendix A.

**III. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

In this section we present our numerical results for the branching ratios of the higher order decays of \( \tilde{t}_1 \). Here we consider scenarios where all two-body decays at tree-level are kinematically forbidden.

We have fixed the parameters as in [1] to avoid colour breaking minima: we have used \( m_{\tilde{t}_1}, \cos \theta_L, \tan \beta, \) and \( \mu \) as input parameters in the top squark sector. For the sbottom (stau) sector we have fixed \( M_{\tilde{Q}}, M_{\tilde{D}} \) and \( A_b \) (\( M_{\tilde{E}}, M_{\tilde{L}}, \) and \( A_e \)) as input parameters. For simplicity, we assume that the soft SUSY breaking parameters are equal for all generations. Note, that due to \( SU(2) \) invariance \( M_{\tilde{Q}} \) appears in both up- and down-type squark mass matrices. In the sbottom (stau) sector the physical quantities \( m_{\tilde{b}_1}, m_{\tilde{b}_2}, \) and \( \cos \theta_b \) (\( m_{\tilde{\tau}_1}, m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}, \) and \( \cos \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} \)) obviously change with \( \mu \) and \( \tan \beta \).
In Fig. 2(a) and (b) we show the branching ratios of $\tilde{t}_1$ as a function of $\cos\theta_\tilde{t}$. We have restricted the $\cos\theta_\tilde{t}$ range such that $|A_t| \leq 1$ TeV to avoid color/charge breaking minima. The parameters and physical quantities are given in Tab. I. The slepton parameters have been chosen such that the sum of the masses of the final state particles are $215 \pm 5$ GeV. In Fig. 2(a) we show BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$), BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$), BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e$) + BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_e \tilde{e}_+^L$), and BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau$) + BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1^+ + \tilde{\chi}_1^0$). Here we have not included the possibility of the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \to b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ because with this parameter set there exists no value of $m_{A^0}$ which simultaneously allows this decay and fulfills the condition $m_{H^0} \geq 71$ GeV [12] (we have used the MSSM formula for the calculation of $m_{H^0}$ including 1-loop corrections as given in [13]). However, we will discuss this decay later on. We have summed up those branching ratios for the decays into sleptons that give the same final state, for example:

$$\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1^+ \to b \tau^+ \nu_\tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \quad \tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau \to b \tau^+ \nu_\tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Note, that in the above cases the assumption $m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_b < m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}$ implies $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} > m_{\tilde{t}_1}$. Therefore, the sleptons can only decay into the corresponding lepton plus $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ except for a small parameter region where the decay into $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ is possible. However, this decay is negligible due to kinematics in that region. The branching ratios for decays into $\tilde{\mu}_L$ or $\tilde{\nu}_e$ are practically the same as those into $\tilde{e}_L$ or $\tilde{\nu}_e$. For this set of parameters BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$) is $O(10^{-4})$ independent of $\cos\theta_\tilde{t}$ and therefore negligible. Near $\cos\theta_\tilde{t} = -0.3$ BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$) is almost 100% because the $\tilde{t}_1$-$\tilde{\chi}_1^+$-$b$ coupling $\tilde{l}_{11}$ vanishes. We have found that the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is dominated by the $t$-quark exchange. In many cases the interference term between $t$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^+$ is more important than the $\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^+$ exchange. Moreover, we have found that the contribution from sbottom exchange is in general negligible.

In Fig. 2(b) the branching ratios for the various decays into sleptons are shown. For small $\tan\beta$ sleptons couple mainly to the gaugino components of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$. This leads to BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$) $\geq$ BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_{1,2}^+$) because $\cos\theta_\tilde{t} \simeq 0.68$. The decays into sneutrinos are prefered by kinematics while the decay into $\tilde{\tau}_2$ is suppressed by the same reason (Table I). Moreover, the matrix elements Eqs. (7) and (8) for the decays into charged and neutral sleptons have a different structure in the limit $m_b, m_t \to 0$:

$$M_{\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tilde{\tau}_1^+} \sim m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \tilde{u}(p_b) P_R v(p_1), \quad (21)
M_{\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1^+} \sim \tilde{u}(p_b) P_R \tilde{\nu}_\tau v(p_\nu_1). \quad (22)$$

This leads to different decay widths even in the limit of equal slepton masses.

In Figs. 3(a) and (b) we show the branching ratios as a function of $\tan\beta$ for $\cos\theta_\tilde{t} = 0.6$ and the other parameters as above. For small $\tan\beta$ the decay into $\tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the most important one. The branching ratios for the decays into sleptons decrease with increasing $\tan\beta$ except for the decay into $\tilde{\tau}_1$. This results from: (i) for increasing $\tan\beta$ the gaugino component of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ decreases while its mass increases, (ii) the masses of the sleptons increase with increasing $\tan\beta$, except $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ which decreases, and (iii) the $\tau$ Yukawa coupling increases. These facts lead to the dominance of $\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1$ for large $\tan\beta$ as can be seen in Fig. 3(b). In addition, the decay into $c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ gains some importance for large $\tan\beta$ because its width is proportional to the bottom Yukawa coupling in the approximation of [13].

The assumption that no two-body decays be allowed at tree level implies that $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} > m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_b$. Therefore, one expects an increase of BR($\tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$) if $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ increases, because
the decay into $bW^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is dominated by the $t$ exchange whereas for the decays into sleptons $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ exchange dominates. This is demonstrated in Figs. 3(a) and (b) where we have fixed $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 350$ GeV. Here also the decay into $bH^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is possible. However, this channel is in general suppressed by kinematics. We have not found any case with $m_{H^+} \leq 120$ GeV while $m_{h^0} > 75$ GeV [2].

These general features still hold if $\tan\beta$ increases as can be seen in Figs. 3(a) and (b). Here we have fixed $\cos\theta_t = 0.7$. In accordance with the discussion above, the decay into $\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\nu}_1$ gains importance with increasing $\tan\beta$. Note, that for large $\tan\beta$ $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ decreases since $m_{H^+}$ increases due to radiative corrections. However, there are scenarios where the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \to b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ becomes important. This can be seen in Fig. 3 where the branching ratios are shown as a function of $M_D$ for $m_A^\prime = 90$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 30$, and the other parameters as in Tab. 1. At the lower end of the $M_D$ range we get $m_{H^+} = 114$ GeV. Moreover, $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ is approximately $m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_W$ leading to an enhancement of this width. We have found that contrary to the case $\tilde{t}_1 \to bW^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ for the decays $\tilde{t}_1 \to bH^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ sbottom exchange can be important. This is a consequence of the different spin structure of the corresponding matrix elements (Eqs. (14) and (15)) and because of the large bottom Yukawa coupling. The decrease in $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to bH^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ for $M_D \geq 450$ GeV is mainly due to the fact that $m_{H^+}$ grows with increasing $M_D$.

Finally, we want to discuss a scenario which is within the reach of an upgraded Tevatron. Here we refer to the examples of [11]. In general the decays into sleptons clearly dominate when they are kinematically allowed (except the case when the couplings of the top squark to the lighter chargino nearly vanishes). In the case $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 170$ GeV, $\cos\theta_t = -0.7$, $M_D = M_Q = 500$ GeV, $A_b = A_\tau = -350$ GeV, $\mu = -1000$ GeV, $M = 165$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$ (scenario b of Table I in [11]) we obtain: $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b\nu_\tau \tilde{\nu}_1^\tau) = 2.8\%$, $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b\nu_\tau \tilde{\nu}_1^\mu) = 10.5\%$, $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b\nu_\tau \tilde{\nu}_1^e) = 2 \times 10^{-3}\%$, $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) = 28.1\%$, and $\text{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to b\tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) = 27.8\%$. The order of magnitude is independent of $\mu$ and $\cos\theta_t$ because the lighter chargino is mainly gaugino-like in the parameter space where the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \to bW^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is possible (see Fig. 2b of [11]). For increasing $\tan\beta$ we have found a similar behaviour as in Figs. 3 and 5: dominance of the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \to b\nu_\tau \tilde{\nu}_1^\tau$, an increase of $\tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and a decrease of all other decay channels.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated the three-body decays $\tilde{t}_1 \to bH^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\tilde{t}_1 \to b\nu_l \tilde{\nu}_1^l$, and $\tilde{t}_1 \to b l^+ \tilde{\nu}_l$ ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) including all terms proportional to $m_b$, $m_\tau$, and all Yukawa couplings. We have compared these decays with $\tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ [10] and $\tilde{t}_1 \to bW^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ [11]. These decays are competitive in that part of the parameter space — accessible at an upgraded Tevatron, the LHC or a future lepton collider — where the tree-level two-body decays $\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tilde{\chi}_1^+$ and $\tilde{t}_1 \to t \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ are kinematically forbidden. We have found that for $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \leq 200$ GeV the decays into sleptons dominate due to kinematics. In the range $200$ GeV $\leq m_{\tilde{t}_1} \leq 300$ GeV all the decays mentioned above compete with each other. The branching ratios depend crucially on the coupling of $\tilde{t}_1$ to $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$, implying that one can get information on the mixing angle of the top squarks, once the chargino properties are known. For heavier top squark masses the decay into $bW^+\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, mainly proceeding via a virtual $t$ quark, is in general the most important one.
In addition, we have found that for small $\tan \beta$ the decays into sneutrinos are more important than the decays into charged sleptons. This is a result of the different spin structures of the corresponding matrix elements. For large $\tan \beta$ the decay into the lighter stau becomes important due to the large tau Yukawa coupling (implying also a smaller stau mass).

The decay into $b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is kinematically suppressed because the existing mass bounds on the neutral Higgs bosons also imply a lower bound on $m_{H^+}$. However, in scenarios where radiative corrections decrease $m_{H^+}$ and where at the same time $m_{\tilde{t}_1} > m_{\tilde{b}_1}$ we have found branching ratios of the order of 30%.

The large variety of possible three-body decay modes implies the chance to determine the properties of $\tilde{t}_1$ also when higher order decays are dominant. Clearly, a detailed Monte Carlo study will be necessary to see how the different channels can be separated.
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APPENDIX A: FORMULAS FOR THE THREE-BODY DECAY WIDTHS

In the subsequent sections the formulas for the decay widths are listed which have been omitted in Sec. II.

1. The width $\Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0)$

The decay width is given by

$$\Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \frac{\alpha^2}{16 \pi m_{\tilde{t}_1}^3 \sin^4 \theta_W} \int ds \left( G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0} + G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ t} + G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{b}} + G_{tt} + G_{t\tilde{b}} + G_{\tilde{b}\tilde{b}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

with

$$G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (a_{i1} + a_{i2}s) J_1^0 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 - s; \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}}) \right]$$

$$+ (a_{i3} + a_{i4}s) J_1^1 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 - s; \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}})$$

$$+ a_{i4} J_2^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 - s; \Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}})$$

\hspace{1cm} (A1)
\[G_{\bar{x}^+t} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (b_{1i} + b_{2i}s)J_{t}^{0}(m_{t_i}^2 + m_{H_t}^2 + m_{b_i}^2 + m_{s_i}^2 - m_{\bar{x}_1^+}^2 - s, -\Gamma_{\bar{x}_1^+}, \Gamma_{t_i}) + (b_{3i} + b_{4i}s)J_{t}^{1}(m_{t_i}^2 + m_{H_t}^2 + m_{b_i}^2 + m_{s_i}^2 - m_{\bar{x}_1^+}^2 - s, \Gamma_{\bar{x}_1^+}, m_{\bar{x}_1^+}, \Gamma_{t_i}) + b_{14}J_{t}^{2}(m_{t_i}^2 + m_{H_t}^2 + m_{b_i}^2 + m_{s_i}^2 - m_{\bar{x}_1^+}^2 - s, -\Gamma_{\bar{x}_1^+}, \Gamma_{t_i}, \Gamma_{m_t}) \right] \] (A3)

\[G_{\bar{x}^+\bar{b}} = \sum_{k,i=1}^{2} \left[ (c_{ik1} + c_{ik2}s)J_{st}^{0}(m_{b_k}^2, \Gamma_{b_k} m_{b_k}, m_{t_i}^2 + m_{H_t}^2 + m_{b_i}^2 + m_{s_i}^2 - m_{\bar{x}_1^+}^2 - s, -\Gamma_{\bar{x}_1^+}, m_{\bar{x}_1^+}, \Gamma_{t_i}, \Gamma_{m_t}) \right] \] (A4)

\[G_{tt} = (d_{1} + d_{2}s)J_{t}^{0}(m_{t_i}^2, \Gamma_{t_i} m_{t_i}) + (d_{3} + d_{4}s)J_{t}^{1}(m_{t_i}^2, \Gamma_{t_i} m_{t_i}) + d_{4}J_{t}^{2}(m_{t_i}^2, \Gamma_{t_i} m_{t_i}) \] (A5)

\[G_{tb} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left[ (e_{k1} + e_{k2}s)J_{st}^{0}(m_{b_k}^2, \Gamma_{b_k} m_{b_k}, m_{t_i}^2, \Gamma_{t_i} m_{t_i}) + e_{k3}J_{st}^{1}(m_{b_k}^2, \Gamma_{b_k} m_{b_k}, m_{t_i}^2, \Gamma_{t_i} m_{t_i}) \right] \] (A6)

\[G_{bb} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(s,m_{t_i}^2,m_{H_t}^2)\lambda(s,m_{s_i}^2,m_{b_i}^2)}{s}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{(f_{k1} + f_{k2}s)(s - m_{b_k}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{b_k}^2 m_{b_k}^2}{(s - m_{b_k}^2)^2 + \Gamma_{b_k}^2 m_{b_k}^2} + \text{Re}\left[ \frac{(f_{31} + f_{32}s)(s - m_{b_1}^2 + i\Gamma_{b_1} m_{b_1})(s - m_{b_2}^2 - i\Gamma_{b_2} m_{b_2})}{(s - m_{b_1}^2 + i\Gamma_{b_1} m_{b_1})(s - m_{b_2}^2 - i\Gamma_{b_2} m_{b_2})} \right] \right\} \] (A7)

The integrals \(J_{t,tt,st}^{0,1,2}\) are:

\[J_{t}^{i}(m_{1}^2, m_{1} \Gamma_{1}) = \int_{t_{\text{min}}}^{t_{\text{max}}} dt \frac{t^i}{(t - m_{1}^2)^2 + m_{1}^2 \Gamma_{1}^2}, \quad (A8)\]

\[J_{tt}^{i}(m_{1}^2, m_{1} \Gamma_{1}, m_{2}^2, m_{2} \Gamma_{2}) = \text{Re} \int_{t_{\text{min}}}^{t_{\text{max}}} dt \frac{t^i}{(t - m_{1}^2 + i m_{1} \Gamma_{1})(t - m_{2}^2 - i m_{2} \Gamma_{2})}, \quad (A9)\]

\[J_{st}^{i}(m_{1}^2, m_{1} \Gamma_{1}, m_{2}^2, m_{2} \Gamma_{2}) = \frac{1}{s - m_{1}^2 + i m_{1} \Gamma_{1}} \int_{t_{\text{min}}}^{t_{\text{max}}} dt \frac{t^i}{(t - m_{2}^2 - i m_{2} \Gamma_{2})}, \quad (A10)\]
with \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Their integration range is given by

\[
\begin{aligned}
t_{\min}^{\max} &= \frac{m_t^2 + m_b^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - s}{2} - \frac{(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - m_b^2)}{2s} \\
&\pm \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(s, m_t^2, m_{H^+}^2)\lambda(s, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2, m_b^2)}}{2s},
\end{aligned}
\]  

(A11)

where \( s = (p_{l_i} - p_{H^+})^2 \) and \( t = (p_{l_i} - p_t)^2 \) are the usual Mandelstam variables. Note, that \(-\Gamma_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}}\) appears in the entries of the integrals \( G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ b_j} \) and \( G_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+ t} \) because the chargino is exchanged in the \( u \)-channel in our convention. The coefficients are given by:

\[
a_{11} = -4k_{11}^{i}i_{11}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'}m_{b}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 \right) \\
-2Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \left( 2m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 \right) \\
-2k_{11}^{i}l_{11}^{i} \left( (Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \left( m_b^2 + 2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \\
- \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 \right) \\
\left[ \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 \right)^2 + (m_b^2 + m_{H^+}^2) \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \right] - \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \\
- \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+},
\]  

(A12)

\[
a_{12} = 4k_{11}^{i}i_{11}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'}m_{b}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 \right) \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 \right) \\
+ 2k_{11}^{i}l_{11}^{i} \left( (Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} + 2Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \\
+ \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+},
\]  

(A13)

\[
a_{13} = 4k_{11}^{i}i_{11}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'}m_{b}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + 2Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2 \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \\
+ \left( (k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 + (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2 \right) \left( 2m_b^2 + 2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \\
+ 2k_{11}^{i}l_{11}^{i} \left( (Q_{11}^{L'})^2 + (Q_{11}^{R'})^2 \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+},
\]  

(A14)

\[
a_{14} = -\left( k_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{R'})^2 - (l_{11}^{i})^2(Q_{11}^{L'})^2,\right.
\]  

(A15)

\[
a_{31} = -2 \left( \tilde{i}_{12}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{12}^{L'}Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + 2m_b^2 \right) \\
-4 \left( k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{12}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} + k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_b^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \\
-4 \left( k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{12}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} + k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_b^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \\
-2 \left( k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{12}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} + k_{11}^{i}i_{12}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^+} \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + 2m_b^2 \right) \\
-2 \left( i_{11}^{i}i_{11}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} + k_{11}^{i}i_{11}^{i}Q_{11}^{L'}Q_{11}^{R'} \right) \left[ \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 \right)^2 + \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2 + m_{t_1}^2 \right) \left( m_b^2 + m_{H^+}^2 \right) \right]
\]
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\[-2 \left( i_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{R'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2 m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \]
\[-2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{R'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2 m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \]
\[-2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{R'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2 m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) , \] (A16)

\[a_{32} = 2 \left( i_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{11}^i \bar{k}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_{1},m_{\chi_1}} m_{\chi_{1},m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 4 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \] , (A17)

\[a_{33} = 2 \left( i_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{11}^i \bar{k}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_{1},m_{\chi_1}} m_{\chi_{1},m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 4 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( 2 m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} m_{b,m_{\chi_1}} \]
\[+ 2 \left( k_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{12}^i \bar{k}_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} m_{m_{\chi_1},m_{\chi_2}} \left( 2 m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \] , (A18)

\[a_{34} = -2 \left( i_{11}^i \bar{i}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} + k_{11}^i \bar{k}_{12}^j Q_{12}^{L'} Q_{12}^{R'} \right) , \] (A19)

\[b_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m_W} \left\{ b_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_{\chi_1} m_b m_t \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \cot \beta - \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \tan \beta \right] \right\}
\[+ b_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_t \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \cot \beta - \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \tan \beta \right] \]
\[+ a_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_{\chi_0} \]
\[\times \left[ m_b^2 \left( m_{\chi_0}^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \tan \beta - m^2 \left( 2 m_{\chi_0}^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta \right] \]
\[+ a_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_{\chi_1} m_{\chi_0} m_{\chi_1} \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \tan \beta - 2 m_{\chi_0} \cot \beta \right] \]
\[+ b_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_t m_{\chi_0} m_{\chi_1} \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \cot \beta - 2 m_{\chi_0} \tan \beta \right] \]
\[+ a_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_{\chi_0} m_b m_t \]
\[\times \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 + m_{\chi_0}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \cot \beta - \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2 m_{\chi_0}^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \tan \beta \right] \]
\[+ b_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_t m_{\chi_0} m_{\chi_1} \left[ \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \cot \beta - 2 m_{\chi_0} \tan \beta \right] \]
\[+ a_{11}^i k_{11}^j Q_{12}^{L'} m_b \]
\[
* \left[ (m_0^2 m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_t^2 m_{H^+}^2) \tan \beta + m_t^2 \left( m_b^2 + m_t^2 + 2 m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta \right] \\
- a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ \left[ m_b^2 \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 - m_t^2 \right) \tan \beta + m_t^2 \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta \right] \right), \tag{A20}
\]

\[
b_{12} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{m_W} \left\{ b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_b m_t \tan \beta + b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} m_b^2 m_t \tan \beta \\
+ a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_t \cot \beta + b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_b m_t \tan \beta \\
+ a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_t^2 \cot \beta + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_b m_t^2 \cot \beta \right\}, \tag{A21}
\]

\[
b_{13} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m_W} \left\{ b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_b m_t \cot \beta - a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} m_b^2 m_t \cot \beta \\
+ b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} m_t \left( m_{\chi_1}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta - m_b^2 \tan \beta \\
+ a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_t \cot \beta - b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_b m_t \tan \beta \\
+ b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ m_t \cot \beta + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_t \cot \beta \\
+ a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_{\chi_1}^+ \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 \right) \tan \beta - m_t^2 \cot \beta \right\}, \tag{A22}
\]

\[
b_{14} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{m_W} \left\{ b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} m_t \cot \beta + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} m_b \tan \beta \right\}, \tag{A23}
\]

\[
c_{111} = 2 C_{1_{1b}}^{H} \left[ \left( b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} + b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} \right) m_b \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_1}^2 + 2 m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \\
+ \left( a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_0} \left( m_{\chi_0}^2 + m_{H^+}^2 + 2 m_b^2 \right) \\
+ \left( b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} + b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_1} \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \\
+ \left( a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} + b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} \right) 2 m_b m_{\chi_0} m_{\chi_1} \right], \tag{A24}
\]

\[
c_{112} = -2 C_{1_{1b}}^{H} \left[ \left( b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} \right) m_b + \left( a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_0} \\
+ \left( b_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} + b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_1} \right], \tag{A25}
\]

\[
c_{113} = -2 C_{1_{1b}}^{H} \left[ \left( b_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L} + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R} \right) m_b + \left( a_{11}^{11} l_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{L'} + a_{11}^{11} k_{11}^{11} Q_{11}^{R'} \right) m_{\chi_0} \right], \tag{A26}
\]

\[
d_1 = \frac{1}{2 m_w^2} \left[ - \left( (a_{11}^{11})^2 m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta + (b_{11}^{11})^2 m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta \right) m_t^2 \left( m_b^2 + m_{\chi_0}^2 \right) \\
+ \left( (a_{11}^{11})^2 m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta + (b_{11}^{11})^2 m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta \right) \left( m_{\chi_0}^2 - m_t^2 \right) \left( m_{H^+}^2 - m_b^2 \right) \\
+ 2 a_{11}^{11} b_{11}^{11} m_t m_{\chi_1} \left[ (m_{H^+}^2 - m_b^2) \left( m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta + m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta \right) - 2 m_b m_t^2 \right] \right].
\]
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\[ d_2 = \frac{m_b^2}{2 m_W^2} \left( (a^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta \right), \]

\[ d_3 = \frac{-1}{2 m_W^2} \left[ 2 \left( (a^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 \right) m_b^2 m_t^2 + 2 a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_t m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 (m_b^2 (2 + \tan^2 \beta) + m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta) \\
- \left( (a^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta \right) (m_{H^+}^2 + m_t^2) \right], \]

\[ d_4 = \frac{(-a^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_b^2 \tan^2 \beta + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 m_t^2 \cot^2 \beta}{2 m_W^2}, \]

\[ e_{11} = -\sqrt{2} \frac{C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1}}{m_W} \left\{ a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_t m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \left[ (m_{H^+}^2 - m_b^2) \cot \beta - 2 m_b^2 \tan \beta \right] \\
+ b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b m_t \left[ \left( m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta - \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 \right) \tan \beta \right] \\
- a^{\hat{i}}_{11} a^{\hat{i}}_{11} \left[ m_t^2 \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 \right) \cot \beta + m_b^2 \left( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 \right) \tan \beta \right] \\
- b^{\hat{i}}_{11} a^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_t m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \left[ 2 m_b^2 \cot \beta + (m_b^2 - m_{H^+}^2) \tan \beta \right] \right\}, \]

\[ e_{12} = -\sqrt{2} \frac{C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1}}{m_W} \left( a^{\hat{i}}_{11} a^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_t^2 \cot \beta + b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b m_t \tan \beta \right), \]

\[ e_{13} = \sqrt{2} \frac{C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1}}{m_W} \left( a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_t m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \cot \beta + b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b m_t \cot \beta \\
+ a^{\hat{i}}_{11} a^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b^2 \tan \beta + b^{\hat{i}}_{11} a^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \tan \beta \right), \]

\[ f_{11} = -(C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1})^2 \left[ \left( (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 \right) \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 \right) + 4 a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \right], \]

\[ f_{12} = (C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1})^2 \left( (a^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 + (b^{\hat{i}}_{11})^2 \right), \]

\[ f_{31} = -2 C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1} C^H_{\tilde{i}b_2} \left[ (a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11}) \left( m_b^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 \right) + 2 \left( a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} + b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} \right) m_b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^0 \right], \]

\[ f_{32} = 2 C^H_{\tilde{i}b_1} C^H_{\tilde{i}b_2} \left( a^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} + b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} b^{\hat{i}}_{11} \right). \]
One gets the remaining coefficients by replacements:

\[ a_{1i} \rightarrow a_{2i}; \quad i_{11}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow i_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad k_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow k_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad Q_{11}^{L} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{L'}, \quad Q_{11}^{R} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{R'}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+} \]

\[ b_{1i} \rightarrow b_{2i}; \quad i_{11}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow i_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad k_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow k_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad Q_{11}^{L} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{L'}, \quad Q_{11}^{R} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{R'}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+} \]

\[ c_{11i} \rightarrow c_{12i}; \quad i_{11}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow i_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad k_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow k_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad Q_{11}^{L} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{L'}, \quad Q_{11}^{R} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{R'}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+} \]

\[ c_{11i} \rightarrow c_{12i}; \quad a_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (a_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad b_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (b_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad c_{1i}^{H} \rightarrow (c_{12}^{H})_{i1}, \quad c_{1i}^{H} \rightarrow (c_{12}^{H})_{i1} \]

\[ c_{11i} \rightarrow c_{22i}; \quad i_{11}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow i_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad k_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow k_{12}^{\ddagger}, \quad Q_{11}^{L} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{L'}, \quad Q_{11}^{R} \rightarrow Q_{12}^{R'}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+} \]

\[ e_{1i} \rightarrow e_{2i}; \quad a_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (a_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad b_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (b_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+}, \quad (c_{12}^{H})_{i1} \rightarrow (c_{12}^{H})_{i1} \]

\[ f_{1i} \rightarrow f_{2i}; \quad a_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (a_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad b_{1i}^{\ddagger} \rightarrow (b_{12}^{\ddagger})_{i1}, \quad m_{\chi_{1}^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_{2}^+}, \quad (c_{12}^{H})_{i1} \rightarrow (c_{12}^{H})_{i1} \]

2. The widths \( \Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{b} l' l) \)

Here the decay width is given by

\[
\Gamma(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{b} l' l') = \frac{\alpha^2}{16 \pi m_{\tilde{t}_1}^3 \sin^4 \theta_W} \frac{(m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_b)^2}{(m_{l'} + m_{l''})^2} \int ds W_{l' l}(s) \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{5} c_{ij} s^{(j-4)} \right) D_i(s) \tag{A38}
\]

with

\[
D_{1,2}(s) = \frac{1}{(s - m_{\chi_{1,2}^+})^2 + m_{\chi_{1,2}^+} \Gamma_{\chi_{1,2}^+}^2}, \tag{A39}
\]

\[
D_3(s) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{1}{(s - m_{\chi_{1}^+})^2 + i m_{\chi_{1}^+} \Gamma_{\chi_{1}^+} (s - m_{\chi_{2}^+} - i m_{\chi_{2}^+} \Gamma_{\chi_{2}^+})} \right). \tag{A40}
\]

In the case of \( \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{b} e^+ \) one finds in the limit \( m_e \to 0 \) that

\[
W_{e \tilde{e}}(s) = \lambda^2(s, m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2, m_{\tilde{b}}^2) (s - m_{\tilde{b}}^2), \tag{A41}
\]

\[
c_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (k_{11})^2 V_{11}^2 m_{\chi_{1}^+} m_{\tilde{b}}^2 (m_b^2 - m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2), \tag{A42}
\]

\[
c_{12} = V_{11}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{t}_{11})^2 m_{\tilde{b}} (m_b^2 - m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2) + \frac{1}{2} (k_{11})^2 m_{\chi_{1}^+} (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 - m_{\tilde{b}}^2) + 2 \tilde{t}_{11} k_{11} m_b m_{\chi_{1}^+} m_{\tilde{b}}^2 \right], \tag{A43}
\]

\[
c_{13} = V_{11}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{t}_{11})^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 - m_{\tilde{b}}^2) - 2 \tilde{t}_{11} k_{11} m_b m_{\chi_{1}^+} + \frac{1}{2} (k_{11})^2 m_{\chi_{1}^+}^2 \right], \tag{A44}
\]

\[
c_{14} = \frac{- (\tilde{t}_{11})^2 V_{11}^2}{2}, \tag{A45}
\]

\[
c_{31} = k_{11} k_{12} V_{11} V_{12} m_{\tilde{b}} m_{\chi_{1}^+} (m_b^2 - m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2) m_{\tilde{b}}^2, \tag{A46}
\]

\[
c_{32} = V_{11} V_{12} \left[ \tilde{t}_{11} k_{12} m_{\tilde{b}} (m_b^2 - m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2) + k_{11} k_{12} m_{\chi_{1}^+} m_{\chi_{2}^+} (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{b}}^2 - m_{\tilde{b}}^2) \right]
\]
\[ +2k_1^2 k_2^2 \delta m b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 \]

\[ c_{33} = V_{11}V_{12} \left[ \tilde{t}_1^2 \tilde{t}_1 (m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 + m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2) - 2k_1^2 \tilde{t}_1^2 \delta m b m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} \right. \]
\[ \left. -2k_1^2 \tilde{t}_1^2 \delta m b m_{\tilde{\chi}_2} - k_1^2 k_2^2 \delta m \tilde{m}_{\tilde{\chi}_1} \tilde{m}_{\tilde{\chi}_2} \right] \]

\[ c_{34} = -\tilde{t}_1^2 \tilde{t}_1^2 V_{11} V_{12} \]

\[ c_{15} = c_{25} = c_{35} = 0 \]

The coefficients \( c_{2i} \) are obtained from \( c_{1i} \) by the replacements: \( k_1^2 \to k_2^2 \), \( \tilde{t}_1^2 \to \tilde{t}_2^2 \), \( V_{11} \to V_{12} \) and \( m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^+ \to m_{\tilde{\chi}_2}^+ \). In the case of \( \tilde{t}_1 \to b \tilde{\nu}_e \tilde{\tau}^+ \) one finds that

\[ W_{\tilde{\nu}_e}(s) = \lambda^2(s, m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2, m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2) \lambda^2(s, m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2, m_{\tau}^2) \]

\[ c_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 (\tilde{t}_1^2) + (\tilde{t}_1)^2 (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ c_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 (\tilde{t}_1^2) + (\tilde{t}_1^2) (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) + 2k_1^2 \tilde{t}_1^2 \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 + (\tilde{t}_1^2) \right) m_{\tilde{\nu}_e} \left( m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ c_{13} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 (\tilde{t}_1^2) + (\tilde{t}_1^2) (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) - 2k_1^2 \tilde{t}_1^2 \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 + (\tilde{t}_1^2) \right) m_{\tilde{\nu}_e} \left( m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ c_{14} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 (\tilde{t}_1^2) + (\tilde{t}_1^2) (k_{\tilde{\nu}_e})^2 \right) \]

\[ c_{31} = \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ c_{32} = \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) + \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ +2 \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ +2 \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ +2 \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]

\[ -4 \left( k_{\tilde{\nu}_e} k_{\tilde{\tau}} \delta \tilde{t}_1^2 \right) \left( m_{\tilde{\nu}_e}^2 - m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \right) \]
The coefficients \( c_{33} \) are obtained from \( c_{2i} \) by the replacements: \( k_i \rightarrow k_i^\tau, l_i \rightarrow l_i^\tau, k_i^\nu \rightarrow k_i^\nu \), \( l_i^\nu \rightarrow l_i^\nu \) and \( m_{\tilde{\chi}_i^+} \rightarrow m_{\tilde{\chi}_i^+} \). In the case of \( \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\tau}_1^\nu \), one finds:

\[
W_{\nu_\tau \tilde{t}_1}(s) = \lambda^2(s, m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2, m_b^2),
\]

\[
c_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+}^4 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2),
\]

\[
c_{12} = (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 [(l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2] + \frac{1}{2} (k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2)
\]

\[
-2 k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2,
\]

\[
c_{13} = (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 + 2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - m_b^2) + 4 k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\]

\[
+ (k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2)
\],

\[
c_{14} = (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 (2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2) - \frac{1}{2} (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + 2 k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\]

\[
c_{15} = -\frac{1}{2} (l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2 (k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau)^2,
\]

\[
c_{31} = l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2),
\]

\[
c_{32} = l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau \left[ k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^4 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2) + 2 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 (m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - m_b^2)
\]

\[
-2 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\],

\[
c_{33} = l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau \left[ l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + 2 (m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2) + 4 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\]

\[
+ 4 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - 2 k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - m_b^2)
\],

\[
c_{34} = l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau \left[ l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau (2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 + m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 - m_b^2) - 2 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\]

\[
-2 l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{b} m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 - l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}^2
\],

\[
c_{35} = -l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau k_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau l_{\tilde{t}_1}^\tau .
\]
The coefficients $c_{2i}$ are obtained from $c_{i}$ by the replacements: $k_{11}^i \rightarrow k_{12}^i$, $l_{11}^i \rightarrow l_{12}^i$, $l_{11}^\tau \rightarrow l_{12}^\tau$ and $m_{\chi_1^+} \rightarrow m_{\chi_2^+}$. To get the coefficients for $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\tau}_2 \nu_\tau$ one has to make the replacements: $l_{1i}^\tau \rightarrow l_{2i}^\tau$ and $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \rightarrow m_{\tilde{\tau}_2}$. For $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{e}_L^\pm \nu_e$ one gets the corresponding coefficients by the replacements: $l_{1i}^\tau \rightarrow u_{1i}$ and $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \rightarrow m_{\tilde{e}_L}$.

**APPENDIX B: COUPLINGS**

Here we give the couplings that were used in Eq. (13): The Yukawa couplings of the sfermions are given by:

$$Y_\tau = \frac{m_\tau}{\sqrt{2} m_W \cos \beta}, \quad Y_b = \frac{m_b}{\sqrt{2} m_W \cos \beta}, \quad Y_t = \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{2} m_W \sin \beta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

The $\tilde{q}_i - q - \tilde{\chi}_j^+$ couplings read then

$$l_{ij}^q = R_{in}^q \mathcal{O}_{jn}^q, \quad k_{ij}^\tau = R_{i1}^q \mathcal{O}_{j2}^q$$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

with

$$\mathcal{O}_j^i = \left( \begin{array}{c} -V_{j1} \\ Y_i V_{j2} \end{array} \right), \quad \mathcal{O}_j^b = \left( \begin{array}{c} -U_{j1} \\ Y_b U_{j2} \end{array} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

where $U_{ij}$ and $V_{ij}$ are the mixing matrices of the charginos \[14\]. In case of sleptons we have:

$$l_{ij}^e = R_{in}^q \mathcal{O}_{jn}^q, \quad k_{ij}^\tau = 0, \quad l_{ij}^\nu = -V_{j1}, \quad k_{ij}^\nu = Y_\tau U_{j2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B4)

with

$$\mathcal{O}_j^\nu = \left( \begin{array}{c} -U_{j1} \\ Y_i U_{j2} \end{array} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B5)

The $\tilde{q}_i - q - \tilde{\chi}_k^0$ couplings are given by

$$a_{ik}^\tilde{q} = R_{in}^\tilde{q} \mathcal{A}_{kn}^f, \quad b_{ik}^\tilde{q} = R_{in}^\tilde{q} \mathcal{B}_{kn}^f$$  \hspace{1cm} (B6)

with

$$\mathcal{A}_k^f = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_{Lk}^f \\ h_{Rk}^f \end{array} \right), \quad \mathcal{B}_k^f = \left( \begin{array}{c} h_{Lk}^f \\ f_{Rk}^f \end{array} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B7)

and

$$h_{Lk}^f = Y_t (\sin \beta N_{k3} - \cos \beta N_{k4}), \quad f_{Lk}^f = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin \theta_W N_{k1} - \sqrt{2} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin \theta_W q) \frac{N_{k2}}{\cos \theta_W},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B8)

$$h_{Rk}^f = Y_t (\sin \beta N_{k3} - \cos \beta N_{k4}), \quad f_{Rk}^f = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin \theta_W (\tan \theta_W N_{k2} - N_{k1})$$

$$h_{Lk}^b = -Y_b (\cos \beta N_{k3} + \sin \beta N_{k4}), \quad f_{Lk}^b = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin \theta_W N_{k1} + \sqrt{2} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sin \theta_W q) \frac{N_{k2}}{\cos \theta_W},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B9)

$$h_{Rk}^b = -Y_b (\cos \beta N_{k3} + \sin \beta N_{k4}), \quad f_{Rk}^b = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin \theta_W (\tan \theta_W N_{k2} - N_{k1})$$
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where $N_{ij}$ is the mixing matrix of the neutralinos \cite{12}. The couplings $\tilde{t}_i\tilde{b}_j-W^+$ read

$$A^W_{i\bar{b}_j} = (A^W_{\bar{b}_i\bar{t}_j})^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{\bar{b}_i} \cos \theta_{\bar{t}_j} - \sin \theta_{\bar{b}_i} \cos \theta_{\bar{t}_j} \\ - \cos \theta_{\bar{b}_i} \sin \theta_{\bar{t}_j} \sin \theta_{\bar{b}_i} \sin \theta_{\bar{t}_j} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B10)

The couplings $\tilde{t}_i\tilde{b}_j-H^+$ are given by

$$C^H_{i\bar{b}_j} = (C^H_{\bar{b}_i\bar{t}_j})^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{m_W} \mathcal{R}^i \begin{pmatrix} m_b^2 \tan \beta + m_t^2 \cot \beta - m_W^2 \sin(2\beta) m_b (A_b \tan \beta + \mu) \\ m_t (A_t \cot \beta + \mu) \\ 2m_b m_t / \sin(2\beta) \end{pmatrix} (\mathcal{R}^\dagger).$$ \hspace{1cm} (B11)

The $W^+\tilde{\chi}_j^-\tilde{\chi}_k^0$ couplings read:

$$O^L_{kj} = \frac{V_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} (\sin \beta N_{k3} - \cos \beta N_{k4}) + V_{j1} (\sin \theta_W N_{k1} + \cos \theta_W N_{k2}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B12)

$$O^R_{kj} = \frac{V_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} (\cos \beta N_{k3} + \sin \beta N_{k4}) + U_{j1} (\sin \theta_W N_{k1} + \cos \theta_W N_{k2}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B13)

The $H^+\tilde{\chi}_j^-\tilde{\chi}_k^0$ couplings are given by:

$$Q^L_{kj} = \cos \beta \left[ V_{j1} (\cos \beta N_{k4} - \sin \beta N_{k3}) + \frac{V_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} (2 \sin \theta_W N_{k1} + (\cos \theta_W - \sin \theta_W \tan \theta_W) N_{k2}) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (B14)

$$Q^R_{kj} = \sin \beta \left[ U_{j1} (\cos \beta N_{k3} + \sin \beta N_{k4}) - \frac{U_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} (2 \sin \theta_W N_{k1} + (\cos \theta_W - \sin \theta_W \tan \theta_W) N_{k2}) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (B15)
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### TABLE I. Input parameters and resulting quantities used in Fig. 2 and 3.

| Input: | tan $\beta = 3$ | $\mu = 530$ GeV | $M = 270$ GeV |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
|        | $M_D = 370$ GeV | $M_Q = 340$ GeV | $A_b = 150$ GeV |
|        | $M_E = 210$ GeV | $M_L = 210$ GeV | $A_r = 150$ GeV |
|        | $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 250$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{t}} = 0.6$ | |

| Calculated | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} = 130$ | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_1} = 253$ | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_2} = 550$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 342$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{b}_2} = 372$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{b}} = 0.98$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} = 209$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{\tau}_2} = 217$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} = 0.68$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{\nu}_L} = 213$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = m_{\tilde{\nu}_\tau} = 204$ GeV | |

### TABLE II. Input parameters and resulting quantities used in Fig. 4 and 5.

| Input: | tan $\beta = 3$ | $\mu = 750$ GeV | $M = 380$ GeV |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
|        | $M_D = 550$ GeV | $M_Q = 500$ GeV | $A_b = 400$ GeV |
|        | $M_E = 275$ GeV | $M_L = 275$ GeV | $A_r = 400$ GeV |
|        | $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 350$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{t}} = 0.7$ | $m_{A^0} = 110$ GeV |

| Calculated | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} = 186$ | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_1} = 368$ | $m_{\tilde{\chi}^+_2} = 764$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{b}_1} = 502$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{b}_2} = 551$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{b}} = 0.99$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} = 274$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{\tau}_2} = 281$ GeV | $\cos \theta_{\tilde{\tau}} = 0.69$ |
|           | $m_{\tilde{\nu}_L} = 278$ GeV | $m_{\tilde{\nu}_e} = m_{\tilde{\nu}_\tau} = 270$ GeV | $m_{H^+} = 136$ GeV |
FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for the decay $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow H^+ b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$. 
FIG. 2. Branching ratios for $\tilde{t}_1$ decays as a function of $\cos\theta_{\tilde{t}}$ for $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 250$ GeV, $\tan\beta = 3$, $\mu = 530$ GeV, and $M = 270$ GeV. The other parameters are given in Table I. The curves in a) correspond to the transitions: $\circ \tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\blacksquare (\tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_e \tilde{e}^+_L)$, and $\bullet (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2)$. The curves in b) correspond to the transitions: $\circ \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_e \tilde{e}^+_L$, $\square \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1$, $\bigtriangleup \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2$, $\blacksquare \tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e$, and $\bullet \tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau$.

FIG. 3. Branching ratios for $\tilde{t}_1$ decays as a function of $\tan\beta$ for $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 250$ GeV, $\cos\theta_{\tilde{t}} = 0.6$, $\mu = 530$ GeV, $M = 270$ GeV. The other parameters are given in Table I. The curves in a) correspond to the transitions: $\circ \tilde{t}_1 \to b W^+ \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}^0_1$, $\blacksquare (\tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_e \tilde{e}^+_L)$, and $\bullet (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1) + (\tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2)$. The curves in b) correspond to the transitions: $\circ \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_e \tilde{e}^+_L$, $\square \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1$, $\bigtriangleup \tilde{t}_1 \to b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2$, $\blacksquare \tilde{t}_1 \to b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e$, and $\bullet \tilde{t}_1 \to b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau$. 
FIG. 4. Branching ratios for \(\tilde{t}_1\) decays as a function of \(\cos \theta_{\tilde{t}}\) for \(m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 350\) GeV, \(\tan \beta = 3\), \(\mu = 750\) GeV, \(M = 380\) GeV, and \(m_{A^0} = 110\) GeV. The other parameters are given in Table II. The curves in a) correspond to the transitions: \(\circ \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\square \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\blacksquare (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_e \tilde{e}_L^+ )\), and \(\bullet (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2)\). The curves in b) correspond to the transitions: \(\circ \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_e \tilde{e}_L^+\), \(\square \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1\), \(\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2\), \(\blacksquare \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e\), and \(\bullet \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau\).

FIG. 5. Branching ratios for \(\tilde{t}_1\) decays as a function of \(\tan \beta\) for \(m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 350\) GeV, \(\cos \theta_{\tilde{t}} = 0.7\), \(\mu = 750\) GeV, \(M = 380\) GeV and \(m_{A^0} = 110\) GeV. The other parameters are given in Table II. The curves in a) correspond to the transitions: \(\circ \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b W^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\square \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b H^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0\), \(\blacksquare (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_e \tilde{e}_L^+ )\), and \(\bullet (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1) + (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2)\). The curves in b) correspond to the transitions: \(\circ \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_e \tilde{e}_L^+\), \(\square \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1\), \(\triangle \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2\), \(\blacksquare \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e\), and \(\bullet \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau\).
FIG. 6. Branching ratios for $\tilde{t}_1$ decays as a function of $M_{\tilde{D}}$ for $m_{\tilde{t}_1} = 350$ GeV, $\cos \theta_{\tilde{t}} = 0.7$, $\tan \beta = 30$, $\mu = 750$ GeV, and $m_{A^0} = 90$ GeV. The other input parameters are the same as in Table II. The curves in a) correspond to the transitions: $\circ \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b W^+ \chi_1^0$, $\square \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b H^+ \chi_1^0$, $\Delta \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \chi_1^0$, $\blacksquare (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b e^+ \tilde{\nu}_e)$ + $(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_e \tilde{e}_L^+$), and $\bullet (\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tau^+ \tilde{\nu}_\tau)$ + $(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_1)$ + $(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \nu_\tau \tilde{\tau}_2)$. 