Soil microorganisms control plant ectoparasitic nematodes in natural coastal foredunes
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Abstract Belowground herbivores can exert important controls on the composition of natural plant communities. Until now, relatively few studies have investigated which factors may control the abundance of belowground herbivores. In Dutch coastal foredunes, the root-feeding nematode Tylenchorhynchus ventralis is capable of reducing the performance of the dominant grass Ammophila arenaria (Marram grass). However, field surveys show that populations of this nematode usually are controlled to nondamaging densities, but the control mechanism is unknown. In the present study, we first established that T. ventralis populations are top-down controlled by soil biota. Then, selective removal of soil fauna suggested that soil microorganisms play an important role in controlling T. ventralis. This result was confirmed by an experiment where selective inoculation of microarthropods, nematodes and microbes together with T. ventralis into sterilized dune soil resulted in nematode control when microbes were present. Adding nematodes had some effect, whereas microarthropods did not have a significant effect on T. ventralis. Our results have important implications for the appreciation of herbivore controls in natural soils. Soil food web models assume that herbivorous nematodes are controlled by predaceous invertebrates, whereas many biological control studies focus on managing nematode abundance by soil microorganisms. We propose that soil microorganisms play a more important role than do carnivorous soil invertebrates in the top-down control of herbivorous ectoparasitic nematodes in natural ecosystems. This is opposite to many studies on factors controlling root-feeding insects, which are supposed to be controlled by carnivorous invertebrates, parasitoids, or entomopathogenic nematodes. Our conclusion is that the ectoparasitic nematode T. ventralis is potentially able to limit productivity of the dune grass A. arenaria but that soil organisms, mostly microorganisms, usually prevent the development of growth-reducing population densities.
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Introduction

Root herbivores play an important role in shaping the composition of natural plant communities (Brown and
Nematodes and insects represent the vast majority of the belowground herbivores (Brown and Gange 1990; Stanton 1988). Nematodes are more abundant than soil insects, and in some grassland ecosystems, nematodes are the dominant herbivores (Ingham and Detling 1986). Root-feeding nematodes have been estimated to take up as much as one quarter of the net primary production of grassland vegetation (Stanton 1988), and they affect plant quality (Davis et al. 1994; Troelstra et al. 2001), plant diversity, and vegetation succession (de Deyn et al. 2003). Root-feeding nematodes can also indirectly affect plant performance by their influence on bottom-up and top-down control of aboveground invertebrate herbivores (Bezemer et al. 2005). However, in spite of the increasing knowledge on the significant role of belowground herbivores in the control of plant abundance and plant community composition, relatively few studies have investigated which factors control the abundance of the belowground herbivores in natural ecosystems (Strong et al. 1996, 1999).

Herbivore abundance can be influenced by natural enemies (top-down), by the host plant (bottom-up), and by competition with other herbivores (horizontal control). In (semi) natural ecosystems, most studies on the control of root-feeding nematodes have focused on plant quality (Yeates 1987), interspecific competition (Brinkman et al. 2004, 2005), plant community composition (de Deyn et al. 2004), plant succession and soil conditions (Verschoor et al. 2002), and mycorrhizal fungi (de la Peña et al. 2006). Soil food web models assume root-feeding nematodes to be controlled by carnivorous nematodes and microarthropods (Hunt et al. 1987; Neutel et al. 2002). However, most biological control studies in agricultural systems focus on managing nematode abundance by parasitic soil microorganisms (Kerry 2000; Sikora 1992) or mycorrhizal fungi (Hol and Cook 2005), suggesting that root-feeding nematodes are mainly controlled by microorganisms. Therefore, previous studies show little agreement and do not clearly predict how root-feeding nematodes will be controlled in natural ecosystems.

Empirical data for top-down mechanisms are rare for terrestrial ecosystems relative to the many studies in aquatic systems (Walker and Jones 2001). In general, trophic cascades have been argued to be less common on land than in water (Polis and Strong 1996). Nevertheless, there is empirical evidence supporting the existence of trophic cascades in terrestrial plant–predator–prey systems (Schmitz et al. 2004). Tritrophic systems of plants, aboveground insect herbivores, and their natural aboveground enemies are the best-studied terrestrial examples of top-down and bottom-up herbivore controls (Carson and Root 1999; Rosenheim 1998). Below ground, tritrophic interactions may not essentially differ from what is known above ground (Bezemer and van Dam 2005), although rates of dispersal of organisms and chemical compounds will be lower than is mostly the case above ground (Rasmann et al. 2005; van der Putten 2003). Therefore, the challenge is, similar to that above ground (Schmitz et al. 2004), to assess what controls the abundance of root herbivores. This knowledge will enhance our understanding of belowground multitrophic interactions and their influences on plant performance and plant community composition.

In the present study, the role of microarthropods, nematodes, and microorganisms in controlling the abundance of the root-feeding nematode *Tylencehorhynchus ventralis* (Loof 1963) Fortuner and Luc (synonym *Telotylenchus ventralis*) was experimentally compared. This nematode is a polyphagous ectoparasite, which means that it is a quite generalistic root feeder that penetrates outer cortical cells with its stylet to collect and ingest cell contents (Yeates et al. 1993). *T. ventralis* is a root parasite of the dominant coastal foredune grass *Ammophila arenaria* (Marram grass). In field soil, *T. ventralis* reaches densities that are 80 times lower than achieved when inoculated into sterilized dune soil (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). Whereas *T. ventralis* can strongly reduce growth of *A. arenaria* in sterilized soil, field densities in nonsterilized soil are too low to directly influence plant performance (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). The roots of *A. arenaria* are parasitized by an array of herbivorous nematodes ranging from ectoparasites to sedentary endoparasites (de Rooij van der Goes et al. 1995). The control mechanisms of root herbivorous nematodes in dunes appear to highly depend on the feeding type of the nematode, and even on the species of nematode. Whereas the sedentary root knot nematode *Meloidogyne maritima* (Jepson 1987) Karssen, van Aelst and Cook is controlled by competition (Brinkman et al. 2005), the sedentary cyst nematode *Heteroder a arenaria* (Cooper 1955) Robinson, Stone, Hooper and Rowe appears to be controlled by bottom-up processes (van der Stoel et al. 2006). The migratory endoparasitic root lesion nematode *Pratylenchus penetrans* (Cobb 1917) is controlled by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (de la Peña et al. 2006). Thus far, the factors that control the ectoparasitic nematode *T. ventralis* associated with *A. arenaria* are unknown.

Previous studies showed bottom-up control of *A. arenaria* to occur only when the plants were severely growth reduced (de Rooij van der Goes et al. 1995). Alternatively, competition with cyst and root lesion
nematodes is a potential factor controlling ectoparasitic nematodes (Eisenback 1993). However, endoparasitic nematodes did not control abundance of *T. ventralis* (Brinkman et al. 2004). In the present study, the top-down factors that may be involved in the control of *T. ventralis* populations were investigated in order to determine how belowground trophic interactions might influence plant performance and vegetation composition.

To assess the top-down control of *T. ventralis*, three experiments were performed. The aim of experiment 1 was to elucidate the potential top-down control of *T. ventralis* by the dune soil community. In experiment 2, the particular role of microorganisms was investigated by selective elimination of soil fauna (nematodes and microarthropods). In experiment 3, the hypothesis that emerged from experiment 2, that soil microorganisms are the main cause of top-down control of *T. ventralis*, was tested. Here, we applied Koch’s postulates by collecting microorganisms, nematodes, and microarthropods from dune soil and adding them to sterilized soil inoculated with *T. ventralis*. New evidence that top-down control by soil microorganisms is the most important factor controlling the abundance of ectoparasitic nematodes in dune soil is presented and discussed.

**Materials and methods**

**Soil**

In summer 2003, soil samples were collected from mobile and stable foredunes at Voorne, The Netherlands (Latitude 51°55′N to Longitude 04°05′E). The samples were collected along six transects parallel to the beach and 50 m apart. At each sampling station in the mobile and stable dune, 60 kg of soil was collected from the youngest root zone of *A. arenaria*. The soil was sieved (0.5-cm mesh size) to remove plant parts and debris and stored in plastic bags at 4°C until used (van der Stoel et al. 2002).

**Plants**

Seeds of *A. arenaria* were collected from the same foredune area and stored dry until used. In order to obtain seedlings, the seeds were germinated for 2 weeks on moist glass beads in a climate room at a 16/8 h light/dark regime at a temperature of 25/15°C, respectively. When the first leaf was 2–3 cm long, the seedlings were transplanted to 1.5-L plastic pots filled with 1,500 g of dune soil. In each pot, four seedlings of *A. arenaria* were planted, and the soil surface was covered with aluminum foil to protect the soil from desiccation. The soil moisture was adjusted to 10% w/w and maintained at this level throughout the experiment by weighing the pots twice a week and resetting their initial weight using demineralized water. Once a week, full-strength Hoagland nutrient solution was added at a weekly rate of 12.5 ml pot⁻¹ for the first 3 weeks and then 25 ml pot⁻¹, subsequently (Brinkman et al. 2004). This nutrient supply rate was effective to compensate for effects of nutrient release as a result of soil sterilization in dune soil (Troelstra et al. 2001; van der Putten et al. 1988). The experiments were carried out in a greenhouse at a day temperature of 21°C ± 2°C (day length 16 h) with additional light (to maintain a minimum of 225 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR with SON-T Agro lamps) and a night temperature of 16°C. These temperatures are comparable with summer conditions in the field and are optimal for both plant and nematode development (Troelstra and Wagenaar unpublished results).

**Experiments**

**Experiment 1: multiplication of *T. ventralis* in sterilized and nonsterilized dune soil**

In this experiment, the effect of soil origin (mobile and stable dunes) and soil organisms on multiplication of the ectoparasitic nematode *T. ventralis* was tested. Half of the soil was sterilized by gamma irradiation at an average dose of 25 kGray, which eliminates microorganisms and nematodes effectively from dune soil (de Rooij van der Goes et al. 1998). One week after the seedlings of *A. arenaria* had been transplanted, half the pots were inoculated with 50 *T. ventralis* pot⁻¹. The noninoculated pots served as controls for effects of *T. ventralis* on plant biomass production. There were six replicates of each treatment.

**Experiment 2: reproduction of *T. ventralis* in partially sterilized soil**

Multiplication of *T. ventralis* was studied in soils from which microarthropods and nematodes had been selectively removed by stirring the soil for 15 min at 1,500 rpm. This method has proven to effectively kill the soil fauna (de Rooij van der Goes et al. 1998). We confirmed this by inspecting the soil following stirring and found no live nematodes or microarthropods. The experiment was carried out as described above, but now the soil was completely sterilized by gamma irradiation (average 25 kGray), partially sterilized by stirring...
to remove the soil fauna, or nonstirred in order to have a nonsterilized control soil. Each soil was inoculated with 0, 25, or 250 *T. ventralis* pot⁻¹ in order to examine any interaction between the effect of type of soil sterilization and nematode inoculation density. There were six replicates of each treatment.

Experiment 3: reinoculation of microorganisms, nematodes, and microarthropods into sterilized soil with *T. ventralis*

In order to completely apply Koch’s postulates, microarthropods, nematodes, and microorganisms were extracted from the soil of mobile and stable coastal foredunes and inoculated alone and in all factorial combinations into sterilized dune soil. Then, seedling plants of *A. arenaria* were grown as in the previous experiment, and every pot was inoculated with 50 *T. ventralis*. All treatments were carried out in six replicates.

The microorganisms were obtained by shaking soil samples of 100 g with demineralized water (1:1 w/w) for 10 min and filtering the supernatant through a 20-μm mesh (Klironomos 2002). Prepared microbial filtrate contained no nematodes, but bacteria and fungi had readily passed through the filter. The pots with microorganisms were inoculated with 10 ml of the filtrate, which was 1/15 of the original soil density. For each pot, nematodes had been extracted from 1,500 g of nonsterile soil by Cobb’s method (Oostenbrink 1960) and added in a suspension of 10 ml pot⁻¹, so that nematode inoculation density corresponded with the density of nematodes in field soil. The nematode community added to the pots was analyzed microscopically (magnification 200×) and consisted of plant parasites (*T. ventralis, T. microphasmis, Pratylenchus* spp., *Paratrylenchus* spp., *Meloidogyne* spp., *Rotylenchus* spp., *Criconematidae*), bacterivores (*Acrobeles* spp., *Acrobeloides* spp., *Chiloplacus* spp., *Cephalobidae, Plectus* spp.), omnivores (*Aporcelaimellus* spp., *Micotylenchus* spp.), and carnivores (*Choanolaimus* spp.).

Microarthropods were collected from nonsterile dune soil by wet sieving through 180-μm mesh and added as 10 ml of suspension pot⁻¹, which corresponded with the field density of microarthropods. Demineralized water was added to all pots in equal amounts.

Assessing the presence of microbial enemies on nematodes in field soil

In order to confirm whether microbial enemies may occur on *T. ventralis* in the field, we extracted mobile nematodes from 100 cm³ of the field soil from each of the sampling sites using an adaptation of the Tray method (Whitehead and Hemming 1965). Half of the resulting nematode suspension was inspected using an inverted microscope (magnification 200×), and the nematodes were checked for symptoms of infection by bacteria or fungi. Nematodes infected by fungi were picked from the suspension and transferred to a corn-meal agar plate with antibiotics to encourage sporulation (Smith and Onions 1994), making possible identification of fungi that were previously found in a vegetative state. Identification of fungal natural enemies was done by observing mycelia and spore structure morphology and comparing this with the descriptions of Barron (1977). Endospores of the parasitic bacterium *Pasteuria* spp. were recorded when observed attached to the nematode cuticle. Symptoms of infection by a nonlethal bacterial parasite *Microbacterium nematophilum* were assessed according to Sustin and Hodgkin (1988).

To detect whether nematode natural enemies may occur as dormant forms in the soil, nematode-baited sprinkle plates were used. Soil (1 g) from each of the samples was sprinkled on water agar (1%) in a 9-cm-diameter Petri dish. A concentrated suspension of an estimated 500 *Caenorhabditis elegans* in the young adult stage (Sustin and Hodgkin 1988) was added to the plates. A negative control containing nematodes only in water agar (1%) was used. The plates were sealed, kept at room temperature, and observed after 2 weeks and subsequently at weekly intervals up to 5 weeks (Barron 1977). Identification of fungal natural enemies was done as described above.

Harvest

All three experiments were harvested 12 weeks after inoculation of *T. ventralis*, allowing this nematode to complete two reproductive cycles (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). The nematodes were extracted from soil by Cobb’s decantation method and from the roots using a mistifier (Oostenbrink 1960). The numbers of *T. ventralis* were counted using a microscope (magnification 200×) and expressed as numbers 100 g⁻¹ of dry soil. The roots and shoots of *A. arenaria* were dried for 48 h at 75°C and weighed.

Data analysis

Normal distribution of data and homogeneity of variance were checked by inspection of the residuals after model fit (using the package Statistica 7). To obtain the normal distribution of data and homogeneity of
variances, numbers of *T. ventralis* were log transformed in experiment 1 and square-root transformed in experiment 2. In all three experiments, the soil origin (stable or mobile dune) did not affect significantly (*P > 0.05*) the measured variables. Therefore, all data from treatments with those two soil origins was pooled, resulting in 12 replicates per treatment. Numbers of *T. ventralis* of experiment 1 were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with main the factor “soil treatment.” Two-way ANOVA with the main factors “soil sterilization” and “nematode inoculation” were performed for root and shoot biomass. Three-way ANOVA with the main factors “stirring,” “sterilization,” and “inoculation density” were performed for analyzing the numbers of *T. ventralis* shoot and root biomass in experiment 2. Experiment 3 was analyzed by three-way ANOVA with the main factors “invertebrates,” “nematodes,” and “microorganisms.” Treatments were compared by posthoc analysis using Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) tests (*P < 0.05*).

**Results**

Experiment 1

The numbers of *T. ventralis* at harvest differed significantly between sterilized and nonsterilized soils (*F*<sub>2,33</sub> = 77.9 and *P < 0.001*). In the nonsterilized soil, addition of *T. ventralis* resulted in a significant increase of numbers at the end of the experiment compared with nonsterilized, noninoculated soil (Fig. 1). However, there were five times more *T. ventralis* in the inoculated sterilized soil than in the inoculated nonsterilized soil (Fig. 1; *P < 0.05*; sterilized soil without *T. ventralis* added was not included because the nematodes were absent). These results show that multiplication of *T. ventralis* in nonsterilized soil was significantly enhanced by inoculation but that *T. ventralis* multiplication was significantly reduced by some factor in the nonsterilized soil that could be excluded by soil sterilization.

Soil sterilization influenced shoot biomass more than did *T. ventralis* inoculation (*F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 117, *P < 0.001* for soil sterilization and *F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 4.17, *P < 0.05* for inoculation, Fig. 2), and the effect of *T. ventralis* inoculation depended on soil sterilization (*F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 7.06, *P < 0.05*). Most shoot biomass was produced in sterilized soil, whereas *T. ventralis* inoculation significantly reduced shoot biomass (Fig. 2). As expected, the least shoot biomass was produced in nonsterile soil; however, addition of *T. ventralis* caused no further reduction in growth (Fig. 2). As expected, root biomass was also strongly influenced by soil sterilization (*F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 56.1 and *P < 0.001*), whereas the effect of *T. ventralis* addition was greater than for shoot biomass (*F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 16.8 and *P < 0.001*). As for shoot biomass, the effect of *T. ventralis* inoculation on root biomass depended on soil sterilization (*F*<sub>1,44</sub> = 9.87 and *P < 0.005*), which reflects that shoot biomass was significantly reduced by *T. ventralis* inoculation in the sterilized soil only (Fig. 2).

Experiment 2

Significantly greater populations of *T. ventralis* developed in sterilized than in nonsterilized soil at both inoculation densities (Tables 1 and 2). At the low-inoculation density, the number of the nematodes in nonsterilized soil was 30 times less than in sterilized soil and
Table 1 Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the numbers of Tylenchorhynchus ventralis in nonsterilized and sterilized, and stirred and nonstirred dune soil at three inoculation rates (0, 25, 250 pot⁻¹) after 12 weeks from inoculation to Ammophila arenaria. The data has been square-root transformed to achieve normal error distribution

|                      | df | F     | P    |
|----------------------|----|-------|------|
| Stirring (1)         | 1  | 3.481 | 0.06 |
| Sterilization (2)    | 1  | 137.41| <0.001|
| Inoculation density (3) | 2 | 95.55 | <0.001|
| 1 × 2                | 1  | 0.858 | 0.36 |
| 1 × 3                | 2  | 1.222 | 0.30 |
| 2 × 3                | 2  | 43.94 | <0.001|
| 1 × 2 × 3            | 2  | 1.499 | 0.23 |
| Error                | 132|       |      |

15 times less at the high-inoculation density. There was no significant effect of soil stirring on the numbers of *T. ventralis*, although there was a trend (*P = 0.06*) that stirring reduced *T. ventralis* multiplication.

As expected, both soil stirring and sterilization influenced shoot biomass (Fig. 3; Table 3). Shoot biomass was greater in sterilized than in nonsterilized soil and in stirred than in nonstirred soil; however, inoculation density of *T. ventralis* did not influence the shoot biomass (Fig. 3). Root biomass was affected by stirring, soil sterilization, and inoculation density of *T. ventralis*, whereas effects of inoculation density depended on soil stirring as well as on soil sterilization (Table 3). If no nematodes were inoculated to the pots, soil sterilization almost doubled the root biomass. However, if inoculated with 25 or 250 *T. ventralis* per pot, the roots in sterilized soil with *T. ventralis* did not produce more biomass than those in nonsterilized soil (Fig. 3). Root biomass was significantly increased by soil stirring when no or few (25 pot⁻¹) *T. ventralis* were added to the pots, but there was no increase in root weight at the high inoculation rate.

Experiment 3

The multiplication of *T. ventralis* numbers was significantly reduced by adding a mixture of soil nematodes; however, the effect of adding microorganisms was far

![Fig. 3 Effects of soil stirring, soil sterilization, and addition of Tylenchorhynchus ventralis on shoot and root biomass of Ammophila arenaria. Error bars and letters above indicate significant differences at *P < 0.05* (experiment 2)](image-url)
greater (Fig. 4; Table 4). If microorganisms were present alone or in combination with other soil organisms, the number of *T. ventralis* was always less than when microorganisms were absent. On average, adding a suspension of nematodes reduced final numbers of *T. ventralis* by 15%, whereas adding microorganisms reduced numbers of *T. ventralis* by 55% (Fig. 4). Therefore, the effect of adding nematodes on *T. ventralis* multiplication was substantially weaker than the effect of microorganisms. Microarthropods did not have a significant effect on the numbers of *T. ventralis*. Adding microarthropods, nematodes, and microorganisms did not influence shoot or root biomass (*P* > 0.05; data not shown).

In the suspension of nematodes obtained from the pots to which microorganisms had been added, 47.3% showed signs of infection by culturable microbial enemies (Table 5). The fungal parasite *Catenaria* spp. was found infecting 16 out of 110 *T. ventralis* inspected, and an unidentified fungus was detected inside 30 destroyed of 110 *T. ventralis* checked. Bacterial attachment to the cuticle was also observed for six nematodes, a *Paenibacillus*-like organism was found on four nematodes, and *Pasteuria* spp. on two out of 110 nematodes.

Assessing the presence of microbial enemies on nematodes in field soil

The fungal natural enemies *Catenaria* spp., *Harposporium* spp., and *Myzocyttium* spp. were found infecting nematodes extracted by the Tray method (Whitehead and Hemming 1965). The bacterium *P. penetrans* was attached to root knot nematodes (*M. maritima*). The fungal genera were also detected using nematode-baited sprinkle plates. Some of the nematodes on the plates had a swollen region behind the anus not observed in the original culture or in the negative control. This is a symptom of infection by a nonlethal bacterial parasite, *M. nematicofilium*. An unidentified trapping fungus with nonconstricting rings was also detected in the sprinkle plates but could not be identified, as it did not sporulate. These identifications may not have been exhaustive, but they confirmed the presence of antagonistic microorganism species on *T. ventralis* as well as in the soil from the field.

**Discussion**

In coastal foredunes, the root-feeding ectoparasitic nematode *T. ventralis* would significantly influence the pioneer grass *A. arenaria* if the density of this nematode was not controlled naturally. Our study strongly

| Microorganism group | Degrees of freedom (df) | F value | P value |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| Microarthropods     | 1                      | 0.0006 | 0.981   |
| Nematodes           | 1                      | 5.167  | <0.05   |
| Microorganisms      | 1                      | 87.84  | <0.0001 |
| 1 × 2               | 1                      | 1.736  | 0.191   |
| 1 × 3               | 1                      | 0.618  | 0.434   |
| 2 × 3               | 1                      | 0.672  | 0.415   |
| 1 × 2 × 3           | 1                      | 0.047  | 0.828   |
| Error               | 88                     |        |         |

The results of a three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors “microarthropods,” “nematodes,” and “microorganisms” (experiment 3)

**Table 5** Microbial enemies in or attached to *Tylenchorhynchus ventralis* in a suspension obtained from microorganism treatment pots in experiment 3

| Microbial enemy detected | Fraction of affected nematodes (%) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Unidentified assimilative hyphae | 27.3 |
| *Paenibacillus*-like | 3.6 |
| *Catenaria* spp. | 14.5 |
| *Pasteuria* spp. | 1.8 |
| Total | 47.3 |
| (Healthy) | 52.7 |
| Total nematodes examined | 100 (n = 110) |

**Table 4** The numbers of *Tylenchorhynchus ventralis* after 12 weeks from inoculation

![Fig. 4](image-url) The effects of mixed nematode inoculum and microorganisms on *Tylenchorhynchus ventralis* multiplication. *Error bars* and letters above indicate significant differences at *P* < 0.05 (experiment 3)
suggests that the natural control of *T. ventralis* in coastal foredune soil is mostly due to soil microorganisms. When inoculated into sterilized soil, numbers of *T. ventralis* were more than five times greater than when inoculated into nonsterilized soil, whereas selective elimination of soil fauna by stirring did not affect nematode numbers. These results from selective elimination studies were confirmed by isolating microarthropods, nematodes, and microbes and adding these together with *T. ventralis* to sterilized soil. Inoculation with soil microorganisms reduced *T. ventralis* more strongly than did inoculation with a nematode community consisting of other plant parasites, bacterivores, omnivores, and carnivores. The negative effect of the nematode community on *T. ventralis* density might have been due to competition with other root feeders. However, competition between *T. ventralis* and endoparasitic nematodes (i.e., *H. arenaria, M. maritima* and *P. penetrans*) occurred only if numbers of the competitors strongly exceeded present field densities (Brinkman et al. 2004). Therefore, the observed effect of adding nematodes on reducing *T. ventralis* was due to soil microorganisms cointroduced with the nematode suspension, carnivorous nematodes (Jairajpuri and Bilgrami 1990), or by effects of other non-plant-feeding nematodes. Our results highlight an important discrepancy in thinking about control mechanisms of plant-feeding (also called plant-parasitic) nematodes between biocontrol studies on the one hand and soil food web studies on the other. The majority of studies on the biocontrol of nematodes in agricultural ecosystems mostly focus on parasitic bacteria, such as *P. penetrans* and fungi (Kerry 2000), for example *Arthrobotrys* spp. and *Pochonia* spp. (Stirling and Smith 1998). Biological control practice usually does not consider microarthropods to be relevant for parasitic nematode control (Kerry and Gowen 1995), whereas the role of carnivorous nematodes has been considered (Mankau 1980; Yeates and Wardle 1996) but not successfully used. According to the food web model used by Neutel et al. (2002), root-feeding nematodes in coastal ecosystems are affected by predaceous mites and carnivorous nematodes. The role of microorganisms in nematode control is generally ignored in prominent soil food-web models (de Ruiter et al. 1993; Hunt et al. 1987). In our study system, however, soil microorganisms appeared to play a more important role than soil fauna in the control of plant ectoparasitic nematodes. In dune grasslands, the densities of soil fauna are usually rather low, perhaps too low to control significantly the abundance of nematodes (Petersen and Luxton 1982). Our results, therefore, support the view of biological control studies more than it supports soil food-web models for the control of the ectoparasitic nematode *T. ventralis*.

Our results suggest that top-down control by natural enemies is more important for ectoparasitic feeding generalists, such as *T. ventralis*, than are competition (Brinkman et al. 2004) or mycorrhizal fungi (Piškiewicz and Hol unpublished results), although these control mechanisms have been suggested for the other endoparasitic root-feeding nematode species in the same study system (Brinkman et al. 2005; de la Peña et al. 2006; van der Stoel et al. 2006).

The control of *T. ventralis* in nonsterilized soil to which *T. ventralis* was added was not as good as in non-sterile soil, with only the background population of *T. ventralis* present. Earlier studies have shown that multiplication of *T. ventralis* is density and time dependent (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). Over time, a low inoculation density of nematodes may result in population increase, whereas a high inoculation density may result in population decline (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). However, we have no information as to how the microbial control shown in the present study may depend on nematode density, and subsequent long-term studies are required to further explore density dependence of nematode top-down control by microbes.

The two screening methods used to detect microbial enemies of nematodes in the soil yielded a diversity of fungal and bacterial antagonists. The Tray method (Whitehead and Hemming 1965) permitted the extraction of mobile stages of nematodes only, and therefore, dead or dying nematodes could not be screened. The nematode-baited sprinkle plate method, although useful for detecting microbial enemies in dormant forms in the soil, produced biased results that reflected the choice of nematode added, the bacterial-feeding nematode *C. elegans*. The fungal endoparasite *Harposporium* spp. infects nematodes that ingest its spores and therefore would not be able to infect plant parasites due to the narrow lumen of the stylet (Barron 1977). The bacterium *M. nematophilum* is thought to be a specialist parasite of *C. elegans* (Hodgkin et al. 2000). *T. ventralis* was attacked by a subset of the microbial enemy genera found in the soil, which reflects some specificity in the action of these microbes. Some microorganisms, namely *Pasteuria* spp. and the *Paenibacillus*-like organism, have not been detected in the dune soil, although they are widespread in the dune sites. This may be due to their absence or to presence in small numbers that are below the level of detection. The unidentified assimilative hyphae could be the result of attack by generalist trapping fungi. This was a first assessment to confirm the presence of nematode antagonistic microorganisms on *T. ventralis*, and
further studies are needed to isolate culture and inoculate those antagonists in order to evaluate their contribution in root-feeding nematode control.

Soil sterilization always led to increased root and shoot biomass. Previous studies have already shown that soil biota may reduce performance of *A. arenaria* (van der Putten et al. 1988; van der Stoel et al. 2002). The effect of soil sterilization was greater for root biomass than for shoot biomass. In sterilized soil, enhanced plant growth can be caused by nutrient release as a result of the soil sterilization process (Troelstra et al. 2001). We avoided different nutrient status of the sterilized and nonsterilized treatments by adding nutrient solution (van der Putten et al. 1988). When added to sterilized soil, the root-feeding nematode *T. ventralis* reduced root and shoot biomass of *A. arenaria*, and the effect of nematodes on root biomass increased with increasing inoculation density. Addition of *T. ventralis* to the nonsterile soil did not change root biomass, showing that the contribution of this nematode species to growth reduction of *A. arenaria* is limited (de Rooij van der Goes 1995). Our study shows that the dune soil not only contains biotic factors that reduce growth of *A. arenaria* but that there are also (micro) organisms that control population abundance of *T. ventralis*. In prairie grassland ecosystems, root-feeding nematodes have been assessed to account for reducing 58% of aboveground biomass (Stanton 1988). These estimates are based on elimination trials using soil biocides. However, these studies did not verify the biocide effects by inoculation trials, and they also did not account for natural top-down control of the root-feeding nematodes. Our results suggest that when assessing the effects of root feeders on plant production, interactions of the root feeders with their natural predators needs to be taken into account as well.

We conclude that soil microorganisms contribute to controlling the plant ectoparasitic nematode *T. ventralis* at a low population density in natural coastal foredunes. When not controlled, *T. ventralis* would be a key control factor for *A. arenaria* performance. Addition of other soil fauna, i.e., nematodes and microarthropods, did not influence the abundance of *T. ventralis* as much as microorganism addition did, which confirms the marginal effects of soil fauna removal on reproduction of *T. ventralis*. Our results suggest that belowground multitrophic interactions can be crucial for plant performance. Revealing the precise identity of the microorganisms that have negative effects on the *T. ventralis* population, as well as mechanisms and involvement of the host plant, need further studies.
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