Pathogens commonly possess naturally occurring intraspecific variation for traits associated with pathogenicity or virulence. Studies of host–pathogen interactions frequently fail to acknowledge this variation, particularly in studies of necrotrophic plant pathogens, where the molecular bases of defense are largely unknown. Necrotrophic plant pathogens, in contrast to obligate parasites of living plant cells known as biotrophs, kill plant cells before consuming them and may survive in the absence of living host cells in dormant or saprophytic states [1–4]. Necrotrophs may kill host cells using an array of toxins, although it is also proposed that these pathogens may activate plant immune responses designed to work against biotrophic pathogens, thus encouraging plant cells to kill themselves [5–9]. While many pathogen species cannot be clearly classified as either biotrophic or necrotrophic, as they shift lifestyles over the course of interactions with their hosts, commonly recognized necrotrophic plant pathogens include various species of Botrytis and Alternaria, as well as Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Pythium irregulare, and Plectosphaerella cucumerina [2,10]. Of these, Botrytis cinerea, a highly generalist pathogen, and Alternaria brassicicola, a specialist pathogen of Brassica, dominate research on molecular mechanisms of plant defense against necrotrophic pathogens.

Plant immune responses against biotrophic pathogens are predominately mediated by specific recognition of the products of pathogen “avirulence” (avr) genes directly or indirectly by the products of plant “resistance” (R) genes; localized cell death is believed to restrict the growth of obligate (biotrophic) parasites [11,12]. Intraspecific variation in pathogen avr genes is common, as these genes are believed to confer a selective pathogen advantage in the absence of the corresponding plant R gene [13–15]. Currently, specific recognition of necrotrophic pathogens by similar mechanisms has not been documented, although similar evolutionary dynamics may shape the interplay between variable plant sensitivity to some necrotroph-produced toxins (called “host selective toxins”) and variable production of these toxins by the pathogen [15–17]. This lack of identified specific recognition has generated a prevailing view in the plant molecular defense research community that as necrotrophic pathogens are not reported to engage in specific interactions with host plants, all isolates of a particular necrotrophic pathogen species are equivalent. This opinion manifests itself in a lack of use of necrotrophic diversity in published studies, as well as a lack of reporting of identifying pathogen data, despite published evidence that necrotrophic pathogens show intraspecific variation affecting pathogenesis- or virulence-related traits [18–24]. We suggest that the limited use of pathogen diversity biases our understanding of plant–necrotrophic interactions. The research community should enforce detailed reporting of identifying pathogen data for studies of plant–necrotrophic interactions and encourage the use of multiple pathogen genotypes.

**Lack of Diversity**

The majority of studies investigating the molecular bases of plant–necrotrophic interactions do not include pathogen variation. Based on a survey of published literature from the last 10 years, fewer than 12% of surveyed studies of plant defense against Botrytis cinerea, the most intensively researched plant necrotrophic pathogen as reflected by publication frequency, report experimental results for more than one pathogen isolate (see Text S1). The diversity of A. brassicicola represented in the current literature is much lower, as none of the surveyed studies reported data from multiple pathogen isolates and almost half of these studies used the same isolate, MUCL20297. While selection of a particular pathogen isolate as a model or laboratory standard may facilitate comparison among studies performed in different laboratories, data from single isolates are too often represented as informative for the whole pathogen species. If the reference isolate is atypical, misleading conclusions may be drawn regarding the biology of the plant–host interaction, and promising lines of research may be abandoned.

**A Cautionary Example: Resveratrol**

The controversial role of phytoalexin defense compounds in providing actual plant defense against pathogens illustrates the importance of including necrotroph variation in studies of plant defense. One phytoalexin compound implicated in plant defense is resveratrol, a stilbenoid phytoalexin produced by Vitis vinifera in response to pathogen attack [25,26]. As the chemical precursors for resveratrol are produced by all plants, transgenic introduction of V. vinifera stilbene synthases into several crop plants provided the capacity for heterologous production of this antimicrobial compound [27]. Independent studies of transgenic tomato, barley, and
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in the published literature. Approximately 15%–20% of surveyed publications reporting original research on plant defense against either B. cinerea or A. brassicicola did not provide any description of the pathogen isolate used. Minimally, an isolate name and explicit details of the isolate’s source should be provided. In addition, references to source materials or isolation methods should include documentation of how the species identity was confirmed, as pathogens may be difficult to distinguish by morphology or collection host. Additional information, such as collection date, host, and geography, may add valuable context for other researchers, especially for species such as B. cinerea where cryptic speciation related to host use and geography have been proposed [33–36].

Steps Forward

Pathogen diversity presents serious challenges and opportunities for understanding pathogen interactions with host defenses. Conclusions drawn from studies employing single, or even multiple, isolates may not accurately represent the biology of the species as a whole. Variation in either the host or the pathogen can alter these relationships and this should be at least acknowledged in biological studies. Further, the lax acknowledgement of genotypic diversity within necrotrophic plant pathogens hinders comparison among studies through both a lack of overlap among experimental isolates used by different research groups and a lack of explicit description of the isolates used. Use of a standardized panel of pathogen isolates is impractical given restrictions on the import and movement of plant pathogens, and might provide a false resolution to this issue, as the rate of genomic change in these pathogens, particularly in response to selection for laboratory growth, is unknown.

A promising strategy would embrace pathogen diversity to provide a more detailed picture of how plant and necrotrophic pathogen species interact, creating a valuable link between molecular- and population-level studies. This would require preliminary evaluation of diversity in a collection of isolates for a given study trait, followed by detailed characterization of a subset of isolates covering the identified range of trait variation. The paucity of studies employing this strategy likely reflects the effort required to obtain large pathogen collections and the increase in experimental resources required. Minimally, the scientific community and particularly scientific journals should require a detailed description of isolates, including isolate verification and proper referencing, as a prerequisite for publication. Cooperation among laboratories to independently confirm experimental findings should also be encouraged, as this will improve interpretation of single-isolate studies and minimize disagreements caused by pathogen variation.

Supporting Information

Text S1 Literature reviewed. List of publications reporting original data on plant interactions with necrotrophic fungal pathogens retrieved from ISI Web of Science using the combined topic search terms “Botrytis cinerea” and “plant defense” or “Alternaria brassicicola” and “plant defense.”

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000759.s001 (0.08 MB DOC)
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