Introduction

Postoperative defects of the maxillofacial area can cause functional deterioration of mastication, swallowing, speech, and hearing, as well as considerably distorting the patient’s face and thus potentially influencing the patient’s mental state\(^1\), \(^2\). In addition, the importance of rehabilitation for these patients is increasing due to improved life expectancy with more complex and radical treatments.

Prostheses are widely used to compensate for defects in the maxillofacial area, with clinical surveys and our previous study demonstrating the three-staged prosthetic technique as a rational and efficient approach\(^3\)-\(^6\). In addition, complex articulation gymnastics and mechanotherapy compose of the comprehensive approach for the defect at maxillofacial area after surgery.

Method

We retrospectively reviewed the medical histories and ambulatory cards of 107 oncological patients with postoperative maxillofacial defects that underwent various prosthetic procedures.

Results

Patients

The study enrolled men and women with cancer, aged 20-70 years. Most patients had stage III or IV disease, while the remaining patients were diagnosed as stage I or II. Patients were divided into three groups to prescribe
defect-specific rehabilitation programs, as demonstrated previously:\(^6\): patients with defects of the upper jaw and intact teeth on the remaining jaw (n = 68); patients with defects of the upper and lower jaws with partial defects of the teeth on the remaining upper and lower jaws (n = 53); patients with extensive defects of the upper jaw, facial soft tissues, and full secondary adentia of alveolar process on the remaining upper jaw (n = 13).

**Indication for the complex orthopedic apparatus**

The following factors were carefully assessed before treatment: the possibilities of plastic surgery, accompanying disease, presence of scarred, changeable tissue around the postoperative defect, and wound infection. The patient also had to approved further surgical intervention.

**Objectives of primary stage of orthopedic intervention**

The primary treatment must ensure the return of independent eating and speech functions immediately after surgery, and restore the oral cavity functions, especially in patients with defects of both upper and lower jaws. Separation of the wound surface and oral cavity is inevitable for wound healing, and polyurethane plastics are useful at this stage for producing a protective plate on the day of surgery to improve the results of immediate orthopedic treatment. Applying antiseptic-soaked tampons the postoperative cavity should be considered.

**Objectives of the second stage of orthopedic intervention**

The second stage intervention aimed to create an adequate bed for an obturator-part of the permanent prosthesis, with success ensuring the improvement of lost functions.

**Objectives of the third stage of orthopedic intervention**

A permanent prosthesis was designed to restore oral cavity functions (chewing, swallowing, and speech), as well as the original external image of the face.

**Discussion**

Rehabilitation after surgical resection of malignant tumors in the maxillofacial area has increased in prominence because of improved patient life expectancies, resulting from the multidisciplinary treatment approach including radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and hypothermic treatment\(^1,3,7-9\). Furthermore, therapeutic psycho-pharmacological and psychosocial rehabilitation in oncological patients further stabilizes their quality of life. The recommendations extracted in this study are expected to further improve the successful treatment and rehabilitation of patients with maxillofacial malignancies.
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