Expanded carrier screening and preimplantation genetic diagnosis in a couple who delivered a baby affected with congenital factor VII deficiency
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Abstract

Background: Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) is a powerful tool for preventing the transmission of Mendelian disorders from generation to generation. However, PGD only can identify monogenically inherited diseases, but not other potential monogenic pathologies. We aimed to use PGD to deliver a healthy baby without congenital FVII deficiency or other common Mendelian diseases in a couple in which both individuals carried a deleterious mutation in the F7 gene.

Methods: After both members of the couple were confirmed to be carriers of the F7 gene mutation by Sanger sequencing, expanded carrier screening (ECS) for 623 recessive inheritance diseases was performed to detect pathological mutations in other genes. PGD and preimplantational genetic screening (PGS) were employed to exclude monogenic disorders and aneuploidy for their embryos.

Results: ECS using targeted capture sequencing technology revealed that the couple carried the heterozygous disease-causative mutations c.3659C > T (p.Thr1220Ile) and c.3209G > A (p.Arg1070Gln) in the CFTR gene. After PGD and PGS, one of their embryos that was free of congenital FVII deficiency, cystic fibrosis (CF) and aneuploidy was transferred, resulting in the birth of a healthy 3200 g male infant.

Conclusion: We successfully implemented PGD for congenital FVII deficiency and PGD after ECS to exclude CF for the first time to the best of our knowledge. Our work significantly improved the reproductive outcome for the couple and provides a clear example of the use of ECS combined with PGD to avoid the delivery of offspring affected not only by identified monogenically inherited diseases but also by other potential monogenic pathologies and aneuploidy.
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Background

To date, more than 5000 Mendelian disorders have been identified in humans, including approximately 1300 autosomal and X-linked recessive disorders. Collectively, these Mendelian disorders account for 20% of infant mortality, approximately 79% of congenital anomalies and 10% of paediatric hospitalizations, thus imposing a significant burden on public health [1–3]. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) is a powerful tool for preventing the transmission of Mendelian disorders from generation to generation. In the past two decades, there have been great advances in the technology and biopsy methods employed for PGD since its first successful application in 1990 [4, 5]. The number of single gene defects applied to PGD has been greater than 200, and the neonatal outcomes associated with PGD are comparable to those of intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment [4, 6, 7].

However, the current PGD strategy for recessive disorders remains challenging to apply. Published data have shown that the main reasons that healthy couples seek PGD are a family history of a transmittable recessive disorder and the risk of passing disease-causative mutations to their offspring [8]. It is estimated that approximately 5 in 100 infertile couples are at risk of having a child affected with a recessive disorder, which might omit most high-risk carrier couples with an absence of a family history of a monogenic disorder [3]. Therefore, carrier screening for recessive diseases is an important component of preconception and prenatal care. Over the last 50 years, carrier screening has progressed from addressing a small group of highly selected diseases relevant to high-risk populations to an expanded list of diseases included in preconception and prenatal screening in all healthy individuals [9–11]. Theoretically, PGD can be expanded to any recessive genetic disease with a definitive molecular diagnosis.

Herein, we report a carrier couple who previously had adverse reproductive outcomes due to a deficiency of the F7 gene. Expanded carrier screening (ECS) using targeted sequencing technology revealed that both members of the couple were carriers of pathogenic variants in the CFTR gene. We describe the successful application of ECS in combination with PGD and preimplantational genetic screening (PGS) to prevent the birth of offspring affected with FVII deficiency, cystic fibrosis (CF) or chromosomal abnormality. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the application of PGD for FVII deficiency and the use of PGD and PGS for two monogenic disorders and aneuploidy screening simultaneously after preconception ECS.

Methods

Study subjects and clinical characterization

A couple consisting of a 34-year-old woman and a 40-year-old man with normal phenotypes who had a proband child with congenital FVII deficiency and terminated a second pregnancy because of the same disease (Fig. 1) was referred to our centre (Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya). Haematological testing of the proband showed an obviously prolonged prothrombin time (24.1 s, reference range: 9.9–12.8 s), and testing showed 2.03% FVII activity (reference range: 70%–120%). Genetic testing via Sanger sequencing confirmed that the husband is a carrier of a c.1238G > C (p.Arg353Pro) mutation in the F7 gene, while the wife is a carrier of a c.1126A > T (p.Lys316Term) mutation in the same gene. Furthermore, the husband was found to harbour another variation in the F7 gene, c.1238G > A (p.Arg353Gln), which could mildly reduce factor VII activity (Fig. 2) [12, 13]. The couple sought PGD and PGS to prevent the recurrence of the FVII deficiency, other common Mendelian disorders and chromosomal abnormalities.

Preconception expanded carrier screening of the couple

Both members of the couple gave their written informed consent, and genomic DNA was extracted from their blood samples for ECS using a commercial panel from BGI-Tianjin. The panel was designed to screen 547 genes associated with 623 monogenic disorders and includes recessive and X-linked diseases with severe and highly penetrant phenotypes as well as high-prevalence monogenic diseases with moderate phenotypes [3, 11]. The samples were sequenced (PE100) in one lane of the Hiseq2000 platform. Sequence data analysis was performed using a bioinformatics pipeline developed in a previous study [3]. Briefly, the Illumina analysis pipeline (CASAVA1.8) was employed for base calling. We then separated each barcoded dataset and removed the low-quality data using in-house scripts. The sequencing...
reads were subsequently aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner. Single-nucleotide variants and small insertions or deletions were identified using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (Broad Institute), while deletion or duplication of exons in genes was detected using an in-house pipeline. Variants were annotated with in-house scripts [3].

IVF and biopsy
The couple underwent IVF treatment and biopsy as previously reported [14]. Briefly, after oocyte retrieval, mature oocytes were subjected to ICSI. On day 5 after fertilization, blastocysts in which trophoderm cells had herniated out of the zona pellucida were chosen for biopsy.

Mutation detection, linkage analysis, and comprehensive aneuploidy screening
Whole-genome amplification (WGA) of trophoderm cells was performed using a commercial kit (REPLI-gMidi Kit, QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with some modifications. ECS revealed that both members of the couple carried a heterozygous disease-causing mutation in the CFTR gene. PGD was performed by directly evaluating the F7 and CFTR genes and via an embryo haplotyping strategy. Three pairs of primers were designed for direct mutation detection. For embryo genotyping, a set of short tandem repeat (STR) markers located on either side of the mutation was used to establish the paternal and maternal haplotypes. STR primers for one locus linked to the F7 gene (D13S261) and three loci linked to the CFTR gene (D7S633, D7S480, and CFTR-IVS17) were amplified in separate singleplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays using HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (QIAGEN, Germany), and the results were detected via capillary electrophoresis on an ABI 3130 XL genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The primer sequences are shown in Table 1. After PGD, embryos that were free of the monogenic disorders were also tested for chromosomal aneuploidy using the Ion PGM™ sequencing platform (Life Technologies, San Francisco, CA) according to a standard protocol. Briefly, the WGA products were purified with Agencourt® AMPure®XP beads (BeckMan), connected with an Ion Xpress™ Barcode (Life Tech), and subsequently sequenced on the Ion PGM™ platform (Life Tech), according to a standard protocol (https://ioncommunity.thermofisher.com/community/protocols-home). The raw sequencing data included approximately 0.5 M reads, which were mapped to the reference human genome (hg19) with a coverage rate of approximately 1%. Chromosomal copy number variation (CNV) analysis was performed for all samples using the Celloud cloud server (http://www.celloud.org/), offered by JBRH (Beijing, China). The applied analysis pipeline was similar to that in a previous study [15].

Confirmatory prenatal diagnosis and follow-up
Amniocentesis was performed at 19 weeks of gestation, and genomic DNA was extracted from cultured amniocytes using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). Sanger sequencing was employed to confirm the absence or presence of the mutations in the F7 and CFTR genes. Additionally, the chromosome karyotype was confirmed by amniocyte G-banding using the Automatic Chromosome Karyotyping System (ZEISS, Germany) following a standard protocol.

Results
Preconception expanded carrier screening
In total, 1.5 Gb of sequence was generated to achieve a mean coverage of 200×. After upstream filtering, only one disorder, cystic fibrosis, was found to exhibit a high risk, as both members of the couple exhibited a mutation in the CFTR gene, c.3659C > T (p.Thr1220Ile), was identified.
in the husband. This mutation was also identified in a
cystic fibrosis patient and was classified as a pathogenic
mutation based on evaluation through in silico analyses
[16]. The wife was also found to harbour a recurrent
pathogenic mutation in the CFTR gene, c.3209G > A
(p.Arg1070Gln) [17]. These variations were confirmed
via Sanger sequencing (Fig. 2).

**Mutation detection and linkage analysis**

Following ovarian stimulation, 24 oocytes were retrieved,
20 of which were fertilized through ICSI. Of these 20, 11
developed to the blastocyst stage with apparently normal
phenotypes, and trophectoderm cells were collected for
WGA. Sanger sequencing and STR analysis were per-
formed on the whole-genome amplification products.
The results of simultaneous PGD for congenital FVII de-
ciency and CF are summarized in Table 2. Embryos 1,
2, 6, 9, and 10 were affected with congenital FVII defi-
ciency; embryos 4 and 5 were compound heterozygotes
for the two CFTR gene mutations; embryos 7 and 8 were
diagnosed as carriers of congenital FVII deficiency and
CF; and embryo 3 was a carrier of congenital FVII

| Embryo ID | D135261 | D75633 | D75480 | CFTR-IVS17 | F7-PGD | CFTR-17b | CFTR-19 |
|-----------|---------|--------|--------|------------|--------|----------|---------|
| STR (bp, Maternal /Paternal) | c.1126 A > T | c.1238 G > C/A | | | | | |
| Mutations | T/A | G/A | Affected | 171/167 | 134/129 | 156/150 | G/G | T/C | Carrier | ND |
| Interpretation | Affected | | | 165/167 | 136/134 | 156/148 | A/G | C/C | Carrier | ND |
| STR (bp, Maternal /Paternal) | c.3209 G > A | c.3659 C > T | | | | | |
| Mutations | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interpretation | | | | | | | | | | |
| PGS | ND | | | | | | | | |
| Comment | ND | | | | | | | | | |

AF: Amplification failed, ND: No detection, ADO: Allele drop-out
deficiency but did not harbour either of the CFTR gene mutations. Due to severe allele drop out (ADO) for the F7 gene and the linked STRs, the diagnosis of embryo 11 as either a carrier or patient was unclear.

**Comprehensive aneuploidy screening**

Embryos 3, 7, and 8 were chosen for screening chromosomal abnormalities since they were unaffected by congenital FVII deficiency and CF. Comprehensive aneuploidy screening revealed that embryo 7 (a carrier of congenital FVII deficiency and CF) was euploid, whereas trisomy 16 and trisomy 13 were identified in embryos 3 and 8, respectively (Fig. 3). Based on the results, embryo 7 was transferred.

**Confirmatory prenatal diagnosis and follow-up**

Two weeks after embryo transfer, the woman showed a positive HCG pregnancy test, and over time, a single heartbeat and ongoing pregnancy were observed. The results of mutational and cytogenetic analyses of amniocyte DNA were in agreement with the original embryo 7 genotype (euploid and a carrier of congenital FVII deficiency and CF), and a healthy 3200 g male infant was born in October 2015 (Fig. 2). Further follow-up has shown that the boy is healthy.

**Discussion**

In this study, a couple known to be carriers of FVII deficiency caused by mutations in the F7 gene were referred to our hospital. ECS was employed to identify any additional inheritable diseases, and we subsequently performed aneuploidy testing in addition to PGD to ensure that a healthy embryo was transferred. A healthy infant was ultimately born, free of two monogenic disorders and chromosomal aneuploidy.

Congenital FVII deficiency and cystic fibrosis are life-threatening diseases. Congenital FVII deficiency caused by mutations in the F7 gene is characterized by varying degrees of bleeding and is associated with easy bruising, recurrent epistaxis, menorrhagia, haemarthrosis, and intracranial haemorrhage [18, 19]. Cystic fibrosis is caused by mutations in the CFTR gene with manifestations of pancreatic insufficiency, pulmonary abnormalities such as recurrent and chronic bronchopulmonary...
infections, bronchiectasis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens (CBAVD), which causes male infertility [20, 21]. Our work helped the couple avoid the transmission of the cryptic recessive disease CF as well as the recurrent risk of congenital FVII deficiency.

The recessive carrier of cystic fibrosis was identified via ECS in this family; thus, the present study also highlights the importance of ECS in preventing genetic diseases in offspring. With the development of next-generation sequencing (NGS), an increasing number of studies have suggested the use of ECS to evaluate a large number of recessive disorders in healthy individuals, to reduce the risk of birth defects [22–24]. Preconception ECS allows couples to consider the complete range of reproductive options for preventing the birth of children affected by genetic diseases, including PGD, prenatal genetic testing, or the use of donor gametes. In this study, the couple was found to harbour causative mutations in the CFTR gene via ECS, which was unknown based on the results of previous examinations of the couple. The ECS results and reproductive outcome may contribute to genetic counselling and fertility guidance for other family members of the couple. Our findings illustrate that the combination of ECS with PGD presents broad potential future applications and can decrease the risk of not only known monogenically inherited diseases identified by family history but also other potential monogenic pathologies detected by ECS.

Furthermore, the present study confirmed the necessity of combining monogenic disorder detection (PGD) and aneuploidy screening (PGS) in women with advanced maternal age. A double-factor PGD protocol (PGD for monogenic diseases and PGS for chromosome abnormality) was previously proposed as a strategy for preventing a monogenic disease-free embryo with chromosomal aneuploidy from being transferred [25, 26]. In this study, the female patient was nearly 35 years old and exhibited a high risk of chromosomal aneuploidy. After the double-factor PGD, our data showed that 2 of the 3 embryos contained chromosomal aneuploidies. Thus, the importance of a PGD strategy accounting for both forms of genetic risk (monogenic disease and aneuploidy in embryos) was clearly demonstrated. Based on analysis and comparison of the results of PGD and PGS, we believe that performing PGS of unaffected embryos after monogenic disorder detection under a double-factor PGD protocol could decrease workload and cost. This strategy, of ECS followed by PGD and PGS, was employed in the couple evaluated in this study, resulting in a favourable reproductive outcome at a comparatively low cost. Most importantly, the two monogenic diseases were not transmitted to the offspring.

Conclusions
In summary, we have successfully implemented PGD for congenital FVII deficiency for the first time using a combination of chromosomal, CFTR and F7 gene analyses. If PGD were not performed, we would expect a 3/8 chance that the foetus would be unaffected by either condition (50% chance of being unaffected for F7 multiplied by a 75% chance of being unaffected by CF); thus, the use of PGD significantly improved the reproductive outcome for the couple in this case. This work-up provides a clear example of combining ECS with PGD to avoid offspring affected by not only identified monogenically inherited diseases but also other potential monogenic pathologies and aneuploidy. This strategy, of ECS followed by PGD and PGS, can successfully identify embryos suitable for transplantation, which may not only greatly reduce the risk of birth defects but also significantly improve pregnancy outcomes. Further studies are necessary to confirm our findings.

Abbreviations
ADO: Allele drop out; CBAVD: Congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens; CF: Cystic fibrosis; ECS: Expanded carrier screening; IVF: in vitro fertilization; NGS: Next-generation sequencing; PCR: Polymerase chain reactions; PGD: Preimplantation genetic diagnosis; PGS: Preimplantational genetic screening; STR: Short tandem repeat; WGA: Whole genome amplification

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank the couple, the IVF team at the Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya and the clinicians who referred the couple. Also, thanks Ya Gao, from China National Genebank-Shenzhen, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China, gives us the advice on writing.

Funding
This work was supported by a grant from the national natural science fund of China (No. 81471432), and Teacher Research Foundation of Central South University (No.2013JSJJ044).

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors’ contributions
JD designed the study. WH, BH and WL performed the molecular diagnosis, PGD and prenatal diagnosis by Sanger sequencing. YQ and BX carried on the ECS and PGS. JL, FG, GL and GX worked on the clinical study and IVF. JD, YQ and WH wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Approval of this study was obtained from Reproductive and Genetic Hospital of CITIC-Xiangya. Written informed consent for analyses was obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication
Not relevant.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
References

1. Verma IC, Puri RD. Global burden of genetic disease and the role of genetic screening. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015;20:354–63.
2. Kumar P, Radhakrishnan J, Chowdhary MA, Giampietro PF. Prevalence and patterns of presentation of genetic disorders in a pediatric emergency department. Mayo Clin Proc. 2001;76:777–83.
3. Martin J, Asan Yi Y, Alberola T, Rodríguez-Iglesias B, Jiménez-Almazán J, Li Q, et al. Comprehensive carrier genetic test using next-generation deoxyribonucleic acid sequencing in infertile couples wishing to conceive through assisted reproductive technology. Fertil Steril. 2015;104:1286–93.
4. Handside AH, Kontogianni EH, Hardy K, Winston RM. Pregnancies from biopsied human preimplantation embryos sexed by Y-specific DNA amplification. Nature. 1990;344:768–70.
5. De Rycke M, Belva F, Goossens V, Moutou C, SenGupta SB, Traeger-Synodinos J, et al. ESHRE PGD consortium data collection XIII: cycles from January to December 2010 with pregnancy follow-up to October 2011. Hum Reprod. 2015;30:1763–89.
6. Eldar-Geva T, Srebnik N, Altarescu G, Varshaver I, Brooks B, Levy-Lahad E, et al. Neonatal outcome after preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Fertil Steril. 2014;102:1016–21.
7. Desmyttere S, De Rycke M, Staessen C, Liebaers I, De Schrijver F, Verpoest I, et al. Comprehensive carrier genetic test using next-generation deoxyribonucleic acid sequencing in infertile couples wishing to conceive through assisted reproductive technology. Fertil Steril. 2015;104:1286–93.
8. ESHRE PGD. Consortium steering committee. ESHRE Preimplantation genetic diagnosis consortium: data collection III (may 2001). Hum Reprod. 2002;17:233–46.
9. Lazarin GA, Haque IS, Nazareth S, Iori K, Patterson AS, Jacobson JL, et al. An empirical estimate of carrier frequencies for 400+ causal Mendelian variants: results from an ethnically diverse clinical sample of 22,453 individuals. Genet Med. 2013;15:178–86.
10. Fischer M. Mandatory legislation for the screening of newborns for PKU in the United States. Ment Retard. 1971;9:25.
11. Grody WW, Thompson BH, Gregg AR, Bean LH, Monaghan KG, Schneider A, et al. ACMG position statement on prenatal/preconception expanded carrier screening. Genet Med. 2013;15:482–3.
12. Kilby MD, Bialik JW, Faber D, Edmonds AM, Harlow BL, Ahmed I, et al. Chromosomal copy number alterations and we will help you at every step:

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

• We accept pre-submission inquiries
• Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
• We provide round the clock customer support
• Convenient online submission
• Thorough peer review
• Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
• Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit