The Feasibility and Safety of the Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection of Superficial Gastric Neoplastic Lesions in Patients with Compensated Liver Cirrhosis: A Retrospective Study
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Background/Aims: When undergoing endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), patients with liver cirrhosis (LC) may suffer from a high risk of bleeding, bacteremia and tissue vulnerability. There have been few reports evaluating the efficacy and safety of ESD in patients with LC. Methods: From January 2004 to March 2010, 23 patients with LC (cirrhosis group) underwent ESD for superficial gastric neoplastic lesions. The number of patients with a liver function in the Child-Pugh classes A and B were 20 and 3, respectively. The clinical outcomes and complications were compared with 69 patients without LC (control group) that were matched for age and sex. Results: The en bloc resection, R0 resection and en bloc plus R0 resection rates of the cirrhosis group were 82.6%, 91.3%, and 82.6%, respectively, and did not show significant differences from the rates of the control group. No local recurrence was found in either group during the follow-up period. The procedure length of time (41.0 vs 39.0 minutes), rate of bleeding (4.3% vs 7.2%) and rate of perforation (0.0% vs 1.4%) in the cirrhosis group were also comparable to the results from the control group. Conclusions: ESD was safely performed in patients with LC, and satisfactory outcomes were achieved with high en bloc and R0 resection rates for superficial gastric neoplastic lesions.
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INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) has become a standard treatment for superficial gastric neoplastic lesion including gastric dysplasia and early gastric cancer (EGC) because of its minimal invasiveness and excellent long-term survival comparable to surgical resection. To achieve an accurate and reliable histopathological evaluation after EMR, en bloc resection is desirable. As ESD is highly advanced technique, it requires longer procedure time and can cause higher rate of complications such as bleeding and perforation compared to conventional EMR. Bleeding is the most common complication occurring in ESD and the rate is reported to be up to 15%. Patients with liver cirrhosis (LC) are exposed to high risk of bleeding in case of invasive treatments such as ESD because of low platelet count and coagulopathy accompanying LC. In addition, high rate of procedure-associated bacteremia is reported and vulnerability of tissue in portal hypertensive gastropathy also make them poor candidates for ESD. Therefore, patients with LC might be regarded as a distinct entity with high complication risk for gastric ESD and the clinical outcomes and
complication of ESD in these patients need to be evaluated. To date, however, there have been few reports evaluating the efficacy and safety of ESD in patients with LC.

In the present study, we compared the outcomes and complications of ESD in patients with and without LC and aimed to evaluate the feasibility and safety of ESD for superficial gastric neoplastic lesions in patients with LC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

From January 2004 to March 2010, a total of 2,568 gastric ESD procedures were performed at Samsung Medical Center to remove superficial gastric neoplastic lesions (1,415 ESDs for EGCs and 1,153 ESDs for gastric dysplasias) and all these cases were consecutively collected in our database. Among them, 23 patients had LC and were enrolled in this study: 4 low grade gastric dysplasias, 4 high grade gastric dysplasias, and 15 EGCs. The grade of gastric dysplasia and carcinoma was determined according to the Vienna classification of gastrointestinal epithelial neoplasia: LGD in category 3, HGD in category 4, and intramucosal and submucosal carcinoma in category 5. Patients were diagnosed to have LC based on the radiologic findings, clinical data with laboratory investigation and medical history implying portal hypertension such as esophageal or gastric varix or ascites (Fig. 1). Patients’ liver function was classified according to the Child-Pugh class: 20 patients in Child-Pugh class A and 3 patients in Child-Pugh class B. Table 1 summarizes the cirrhosis-associated features of these patients.

Table 1. Cirrhosis-Associated Features of the 23 Patients with Liver Cirrhosis Who Underwent Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Superficial Gastric Neoplastic Lesions

| Feature                              | No. (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis          |         |
| HBV infection                        | 16 (69.6)|
| HCV infection                        | 2 (8.7) |
| Non-B non-C                          | 5 (21.7)|
| Child-Pugh class                     |         |
| A                                    | 20 (87.0)|
| B                                    | 3 (13.0)|
| C                                    | 0 (0.0) |
| Ascites                              | 3 (13.0)|
| Encephalopathy                       | 0 (0.0) |
| Endoscopic findings implying portal hypertension | 7 (30.4)|
| Esophageal varix                     |         |
| Gastric varix                        | 3 (13.0)|
| Portal hypertensive gastropathy      | 2 (8.7) |

HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus

Therapeutic efficacy and complication of ESD were compared between patients with LC (cirrhosis group) and without LC (control group). Control groups were matched for age and sex and randomly selected in the ratio of 1:3 from the patients without LC who underwent ESDs for superficial gastric neoplastic lesions from January 2004 to March 2010.

Informed consent was obtained from each patient included in the study. The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki in 1995 as reflected in a priori ap
proval by the institution’s human research committee.

2. ESD

All ESD procedures were carried out under conscious sedation with the intravenous administration of midazolam combined with pethidine. Doses of drugs were adjusted according to the patients’ condition. The average amounts of midazolam used were 3.6±1.0 mg and 3.8±1.3 mg in LC and control groups, respectively (p=0.494). The average amounts of pethidine used were 43.5±13.5 mg and 46.0±10.2 mg in LC and control groups, respectively (p=0.427). Before procedure, three patients with LC received platelet transfusion and one patient received fresh frozen plasma transfusion. All three patients receiving platelet transfusion had liver function of Child-Pugh class A. Before transfusion, prothrombin time, international normalized ratio (INR) and it was corrected to 1.6 after fresh frozen plasma transfusion. Taking aspirin, warfarin, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug were prohibited at least 1 week before procedure. Prophylactic antibiotics were not prescribed before procedure.

ESD was performed as previously described in detail. In brief, marking dots were made circumferentially at approximately 5 mm lateral to the margin of the lesion using a needle knife (KD-1L-1, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan; Needle papillotome, MTW Endoscopy, Wesel, Germany). After marking, a submucosal injection of saline or glycerin solution mixed with epinephrine and indigocarmine was performed around the lesion to lift it off the muscle layer. Then, an initial incision of mucosa was made with the needle knife to allow insertion of the tip of the knife into the submucosa. After the initial incision, a circumferential mucosal incision was performed outside the marking dots to separate the lesion from the surrounding non-neoplastic mucosa. This step was done using the electrosurgical knife such as needle, Flex (KD-630L; Olympus) or insulated-tipped (IT) knife (KD-610L; Olympus) with a high-frequency generator (Erbotom ICC 200; ERBE Elektromedizin Ltd., Tübingen, Germany). After the circumferential incision, an additional submucosal injection was performed beneath the lesion. Finally, the submucosal connective tissue just beneath the lesion was directly dissected using an electrosurgical knife such as needle, Flex or IT knife.

Hemostatic forceps (FD-410LR; Olympus) or hemoclips were used to control bleedings during and after resection. During and until 1 day after procedure, ranitidine was given via parental route and then standard dose of proton pump inhibitor was prescribed for 4 weeks.

3. Assessment of the therapeutic efficacy and complication

En bloc resection was defined as resection in one piece without fragmentation. R0 resection was defined as resection with tumor-free lateral and vertical resection margins. The procedure time was defined as the required time for marking, precutting, submucosal dissection and hemostasis. Bleeding was defined as 1) intraoperative massive bleeding that required blood transfusion, 2) postoperative bleeding that required blood transfusion or endoscopic or surgical intervention because of hematemesis or melena, or 3) a decrease of the hemoglobin level more than 2 g/dL after the procedure. Perforation was diagnosed when mesenteric fat or intra-abdominal space was directly observed during the procedure (frank perforation) or free air was found on a plain chest X-ray after the procedure without a visible gastric wall defect during the procedure (microperforation).

4. Follow-up after ESD

The patients were followed up with an upper endoscopy with a biopsy 2 months after ESD to confirm healing of the artificial ulcer and to exclude the presence of any residual tumor. Then, upper endoscopy was performed every 6 months for 3 years to check for local or metachronous recurrence. From the fourth year, upper endoscopy was performed annually. For patient with EGC, an abdominal computed tomography was also performed every 6 months for 3 years and then performed annually to detect extragastric recurrence.

5. Statistical analysis

Continuous data were analyzed using the Student’s t-test. Categorical data analysis was done by χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. All p-values were 2-tailed and p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 2 summarizes the clinicopathologic characteristics of cirrhosis group and control group. No significant difference was found in age, gender, location, tumor size, specimen size, or tumor depth. However, cirrhosis group showed significant difference from control group in platelet count, prothrombin time, bilirubin, and albumin levels. Among patients enrolled, neither cirrhosis nor control group had ulcerative lesion.

Table 3 summarizes the clinical outcomes of ESD in cirrhosis and control group. En bloc resection rate, R0 resection rate and en bloc plus R0 resection rate of cirrhosis group were 82.6%, 91.3%, and 82.6%, respectively and did not show significant difference from those of control group. All four lesions not achieving en bloc plus R0 resection in cirrhosis group were EGCs and were resected in piecemeal fashion. The Child-Pugh classification was A in all four cases. Among these four cases,
two cases showed positive resection margins and underwent additional gastrectomy. Two cases with negative resection margin did not show residual cancer in follow-up upper endoscopy. Procedure time of cirrhosis group was also comparable to that of control group.

For ESD-associated complication, no significant difference was observed in bleeding rate or perforation rate between two groups. Only one case of bleeding was reported in cirrhosis group. This patient showed melena and hematemesis 5 days after ESD and his liver function was classified as Child-Pugh class B. Ogura et al. reported the similar trend in their case report about ESD in patients with LC. In their case report, bleeding rate after ESD was 20% and all the patients undergoing bleeding showed severe liver dysfunction of Child-Pugh class B. It is well known that open gastrectomy is associated with high complication and mortality rates in patients with severe liver dysfunction. Jang et al. reported the clinical outcomes and complication of ESD in patients with LC. In the present study, en bloc resection rate, R0 resection rate and en bloc plus R0 resection rate of cirrhosis group were 82.6%, 91.3%, and 82.6%, respectively and did not show significant difference from those of control group without cirrhosis.

In case of abdominal surgery, patients with LC often undergo severe complication such as hepatic failure, massive ascites, intra-abdominal bleeding, multi-organ failure, and sepsis. Some studies reported high mortality rate of up to 30% in these patients. Therefore, patients with LC are currently regarded as a distinct entity with high complication rate for abdominal open surgery. Although far less invasive compared to surgery, ESD also carries the potential risk of severe complication in patient with LC because of low platelet count, coagulopathy, high rate of bacteremia, and vulnerability of tissue due to portal hypertensive gastropathy. Therefore, it is required to elucidate the clinical outcomes and complication of ESD in patients with LC.

In the present study, en bloc resection rate, R0 resection rate and en bloc plus R0 resection rate of cirrhosis group were 82.6%, 91.3%, and 82.6%, respectively and did not show significant difference from those of control group without cirrhosis. No local recurrence was found in either group during follow-up period. In addition to these favorable outcomes, bleeding and perforation rates in cirrhosis group was also comparable to those of control group.

In this study, only one case of bleeding was reported in cirrhosis group. This patient showed melena and hematemesis 5 days after ESD and his liver function was classified as Child-Pugh class B. Ogura et al. reported the similar trend in their case report about ESD in patients with LC. In their case report, bleeding rate after ESD was 20% and all the patients undergoing bleeding showed severe liver dysfunction of Child-Pugh class B. It is well known that open gastrectomy is associated with high complication and mortality rates in patients with severe liver dysfunction. Jang et al. reported the clinical outcomes after curative surgery for gastric cancer in patients with LC.

**Table 2.** The Comparison of the Clinicopathologic Characteristics between Patients with and without Cirrhosis Who Underwent Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Superficial Gastric Neoplastic Lesions

| Characteristic | Cirrhosis group (n=23) | Control group (n=69) | p-value |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age, yr       | 61.3±5.2               | 61.2±3.1             | 0.911   |
| Gender        |                        |                      |         |
| Male          | 17 (73.9)              | 51 (73.9)            | 1.000   |
| Female        | 6 (26.1)               | 18 (26.1)            |         |
| Laboratory data |                       |                      |         |
| Platelet count, ×10^3/μL | 106.7±37.8          | 222.5±42.4           | <0.001  |
| Prothrombin time, INR | 1.2±0.2              | 1.0±0.1              | <0.001  |
| Bilirubin, mg/dL | 1.1±1.0              | 0.5±0.3             | <0.001  |
| Albumin, g/dL | 3.7±0.5                | 4.1±0.3              | 0.014   |
| Location      |                        |                      |         |
| Antrum or angle | 17 (73.9)             | 52 (75.4)            | 0.839   |
| Body          | 6 (26.1)               | 16 (23.2)            |         |
| Fundus or cardia | 0 (0)                | 1 (1.4)              |         |
| Tumor size, cm | 1.4±0.9               | 1.6±1.0              | 0.578   |
| Specimen size, cm | 4.0±1.4               | 4.4±1.5              | 0.246   |
| Tumor depth  |                        |                      |         |
| Mucosa        | 18 (78.3)              | 56 (81.2)            | 0.442   |
| Submucosal invasion <500 μm | 1 (4.3)        | 7 (10.1)             |         |
| Submucosal invasion ≥500 μm | 4 (17.4)         | 6 (8.7)              |         |

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).

**Table 3.** The Comparison of the Clinical Outcomes between Patients with and without Cirrhosis Who Underwent Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Superficial Gastric Neoplastic Lesions

| Characteristic | Cirrhosis group (n=23) | Control group (n=69) | p-value |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| En bloc resection | 19 (82.6)             | 65 (94.2)            | 0.104   |
| R0 resection  | 21 (91.3)              | 68 (98.6)            | 0.153   |
| En bloc+R0 resection | 19 (82.6)         | 64 (92.8)            | 0.220   |
| Procedure time, min | 41.0±26.1             | 39.0±18.1            | 0.384   |
| Bleeding      | 1 (4.3)                | 5 (7.2)              | 1.000   |
| Perforation   | 0 (0.0)                | 1 (1.4)              | 1.000   |

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).
LC. In their study, postoperative ascites (63.6% vs 13%) and hepatic encephalopathy (36.4% vs 4.3%) occurred significantly more frequently in patients with Child-Pugh class B or C than in those with class A. In addition, postoperative mortality in patients with Child-Pugh class B or C was significantly higher as compared with Child-Pugh class A (27.2% vs 4.3%).\(^\text{22}\) Although the present study showed favorable result of ESD in patients with LC, more caution and further studies are warranted for ESD in patients with severe liver dysfunction (Child-Pugh class B or C).

In our study, two patients in cirrhosis group showed fever after ESD. As risk for bacteremia associated with gastrointestinal bleeding is well established in patients with LC,\(^\text{25}\) prophylactic administration of antibiotics is currently recommended for all LC patient with acute bleeding regardless of endoscopic procedure.\(^\text{26}\) However, there has been no study on the frequency of bacteremia in patients with LC undergoing ESD. In addition, several studies reported the low rate of bacteremia after EMR or ESD.\(^\text{21,22}\) Blood culture results were negative in both patients with fever in the present study. Therefore, necessity for antibiotics prophylaxis is still unclear in patients with LC undergoing ESD and further study is required.

The present study had several limitations. It was a retrospective study performed in a single center and the number of patients with Child-Pugh Class B or C was small. Follow-up duration after ESD was relatively short to confirm long-term outcomes.

In conclusion, the result of the present study indicated that even in patients with LC, ESD could be safely performed and could achieve satisfactory outcomes with high en bloc and R0 resection rate for superficial gastric neoplastic lesions. Given the small number of patients with Child-Pugh class B or C included in this study, further large study is required to confirm the efficacy and safety of ESD in patients with severe liver dysfunction.
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