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A B S T R A C T

This work aims to study and design a nonlinear gain scheduling control technique for a solar photovoltaic (PV) water pumping system. The efficiency and performance of the system will be fully investigated via a simulation study; which will be designed with regards to real constraints in a remote area of the Hail region in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This work investigates a sunlight tracking system used to direct a photovoltaic module. The thoroughly examined system consists of a PV generator, a buck converter and a DC motor pump. For this purpose, we will develop a control strategy that enables us to find and track the "Maximum Power Point Tracking," so that maximum power is continuously generated.
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1. Introduction

The growing demand for energy and the pollution resulting from the use of fossil fuels has recently prompted the search for an alternative renewable energy. In this context, photovoltaic energy has become one of the major renewable energy sources and a possible remedy to energy production problems. Photovoltaic system (PV) technologies are growing rapidly and therefore play a vital role in electrical technologies. They are deemed to be a green energy for the on-going century. A great deal of current work focuses on cells and PV systems to improve the electrical performance of the PV panels and reduce energy losses within the PV systems (Salas et al., 2006; Mrabti et al., 2010). This will considerably reduce the cost of PV systems and encourage consumers to use this green energy. Photovoltaic cell provides a maximum power point (MPP) that varies according to the sun’s irradiance variation and temperature (Mrabti et al., 2010). This is achieved through analogue or digital Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) controls (Salameh et al., 1991; El Ouariachi et al., 2009). A significant number of MPPT control systems have been developed, starting with simple techniques, such as voltage or current variations (Bacha et al., 2008). The current MPPT control is proposed in (El Ouariachi et al., 2009; Esram and Chapman, 2007). In the case of the analogue MPPT control, there is a problem of congestion divergence of the electronic components used (Shraif, 2002; El Ouariachi et al., 2009). However, in the case of the digital MPPT control, many algorithms have been used in the literature (Hussein et al., 1995; Salas et al., 2006; Esram and Chapman, 2007), and include the incremental conductance method IC, (Cocconi and Rippel, 1990; Veerachary et al., 2003) the fuzzy logic method (Bahgat et al., 2005), the neural networks method (Srpup and Ansari, 2012) and the traditional perturbation and observation method, which poses precision problems on the regulation around the MPPT (El Ouariachi et al., 2009).

Regarding PV systems, the problems that arise include how to optimize the power supplied by the PV panels (Bacha et al., 2008; Charfeddine et al., 2012b), how to transfer to the load, how to control charge and discharge (Veerachary et al., 2003), and how to track the sun by PV (El Ouariachi et al., 2009; Mrabti et al., 2010).

For that reason, a nonlinear gain scheduling control is investigated to control the duty ratio in such a manner that enables finding and tracking the Maximum Power Point, so that the maximum power is continuously achieved.

In this work, an analytical nonlinear gain scheduling (NLGS) approach, which combines the input–output feedback linearization (FBL) and gain scheduling technique, is developed. The stability of the solution is established based on the Reverse Trajectory Method (RTM) to various scheduling points. In addition, the design methodology makes
use of the formalism of the RTM to maximize the initial guaranteed stability region around an operating point (Genesio et al., 1985). The advantage of the developed approach lies in the fact that we rely upon several exact equivalent models around all operating points. Consequently, the estimated asymptotic stability regions are larger than those obtained through linear approach models.

Thus, trajectory tracking is ensured, not only with better accuracy, but also with improved rapidity and a high degree of stability.

The main goal of this paper is to develop the performance analysis of NLGS control strategies (Charfeddine et al., 2012a; Charfeddine et al., 2012b; Charfeddine et al., 2012c) that ensures trajectory tracking, which involves getting the MPPT of the PV solar powered water pumping system. Two nonlinear polynomial approaches are investigated; continuous and discrete time.

The main contribution of this work is to build the framework of a comparative study to better show that one can preserve all of the performances of the continuous NLGS; despite significant changes in terms of modelling and the fundamental aspects of the continuous and discrete-time systems.

In this research work, a PV system model will be studied. Then, a nonlinear control approach will be presented. Finally, we will give several simulation results to test the robustness and the global performance of the proposed control law.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Quick description of the system’s main components

In this work, we aim to design an efficient water pumping system that is directly coupled to a solar PV system. The Fig. 1 describes the investigated process.

Fig. 1: A schematic of a PV solar powered water pumping system

A photovoltaic system is made up of four main blocks (as shown in Fig. 1). The first block represents the power source (solar panel), the second is a DC-DC static converter, the third is the load and the fourth is the control system. The main role of the static converter is to make an impedance adaptation, so that the panel produces maximum energy (Mimouni et al., 2004; Andoulsi et al., 1999). The basic component of the photovoltaic panel is the photovoltaic cell. It is regarded as an ideal current source, as it provides a current $I_{ph}$ that is proportional to the incident light power in parallel with a diode, which is represented by the P-N junction. Therefore, the PV cell can be modeled using the following equations (Mimouni et al., 2004; Andoulsi et al., 1999) (Eq. 1):

$$I_{PV} = I_{ph} - I_d$$

(1)

the current $I_{ph}$ is provided by this equation (Eq. 2):

$$I_{ph} = (I_{cc} + K_i \Delta T) \frac{V}{V_p}$$

(2)

the expression of the current at the junction level is (Eq. 3):

$$I_d = I_s \left( \exp \left( \frac{V_p}{V_T} \right) - 1 \right)$$

(3)

where $I_{ph}$ and $V_p$ are the solar generator output current and voltage, respectively. The different parameters of the PV cell are summarized in the Table 1.

The DC-DC converter is an interface that allows adapting the PV module and the load, so as to extract the panel’s maximum power.

In order to overcome the problems associated with the efficiency of the solar panels and get maximum energy, an MPPT controller will be used to extract the maximum energy whilst taking into account climatic variations (i.e., brightness and temperature). Since 1968 (when the first MPPT control law mode was published (Bohinger, 1968), researchers have developed several optimization techniques. Analogue methods are often simple and cheap. Of these, we may cite the control technique of the generator output voltage, the ‘blind’ research of the maximum power incrementing the chopper duty cycle ratio, known as the power gradient and modulation through synchronous detection.

MPPT control is a key control for the optimal operation of a PV system. The principle of this control is based on the automatic variation of the duty cycle $\alpha$ by bringing it back to the optimal value to maximize the power delivered by the PV panel.

2.2. Trajectory tracking importance

When a photovoltaic panel is fixed to the ground and directed to the south, its energetic efficiency is not constant during the day, whether at the beginning or end of the day. The panel’s bad illumination angle decreases power generation performance.

The power production diagram (Mrabti et al., 2010) shows that on a sunny summer’s day, the
2.3. Methodology of synthesis of a trajectory tracking control for the PV solar powered water pumping system

This section is devoted to the formulation and synthesis of a fuzzy polynomial gain scheduling approach of a PV solar powered water pumping system (Shamma and Athans, 1991; Shamma and Athans, 1992). The proposed technique is based on the methodology of a state feedback control based on a linearizing transformation of the closed loop system.

The proposed solution is based on the use of the concept of asymptotic stability in an initial region of asymptotic stability (Shamma and Athans, 1991; Benhadj et al., 2008). The centre of this region is a stable equilibrium point, which is a point of attraction that can bring any point belonging to its region towards the asymptotic equilibrium. By specifying the desired output trajectory, it is possible to ensure appropriate trajectory tracking by determining the stabilizing control laws of the deviation models calculated in the neighbourhood of the stationary points. Based on the topological considerations, these points are obtained on the desired trajectory (Bacha et al., 2007; Bacha et al., 2008). This will experimentally be applied to a PV solar powered water pumping system.

Establishing the state space equations of the PV system (Andoulsi et al., 2013; Veerachary et al., 2003) (Eq. 4):

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_a &= -\frac{V_{ph}}{R_a} + \frac{V_m}{R_a} + \frac{1}{C_m} \int i_p dt \\
    \dot{\Omega} &= -\frac{1}{J} i_a - \frac{1}{J} \dot{\theta} \\
    \dot{v}_{p} &= -\frac{V_m}{L_a} \frac{1}{2} (\exp(\frac{V_m}{T_K}) - 1) + \frac{1}{L_a} \dot{I}_p
\end{align*}
\]

writing the system in the standard form (Eq. 5):

\[
\begin{align*}
    \dot{X} &= F(X) + G(X)U \\
    \dot{y} &= H(X)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix}
    i_a \\
    \Omega \\
    v_{p}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is the state vector including the motor current, the motor speed and the PV generator voltage.

Calculating the system relative degree \( r \) by deriving \( y \) until the appearance of the control variable \( u \) (Eq. 6):

\[
y = \dot{v}_{p} = L_f h(X) + U_{d} h(X)
\]

In the case of continuous system modelling, where \( r < n \), the non-linear system dynamics are divided into an input-output external part and an unobservable internal part.

Consequently, the regulation in the neighbourhood of an operating point is now the only objective achieved by the input-output linearizing control.

Let us consider the following variable change (\( x = X - X_n, u = U - U_n \)); where the operating point state vector is \( X_n \) and \( U_n \) is the corresponding control input. Using the development into generalized Taylor series expansions and the Kronecker tensorial product, the model (4), can be readily transformed into a variation polynomial model, expressed by the following equation (Eq. 7):

\[
\dot{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} f_i x^{[i]} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} g_j x^{[j]}
\]

where the dimensions of the matrices \( f_i \) and \( g_j \) are respectively \( (n \times n') \), \( (n \times n') \) and \( q \) is a truncation order, \( x \) is the variation vector of the state variables and \( u \) is the variation control input. The expressions \( f_i \) and \( g_j \) are detailed in (Charfeddine et al., 2012a).

Such a model will be used to characterize the feedback linearizing control law, which ensures the regulation around an operating point shall vary along the desired trajectory.

Consequently, it is easy to express the feedback in the following polynomial form (Eq. 8):

\[
u = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \lambda_i x^{[i]}
\]

In order to obtain the discrete time model of the system (7), let us consider a suitable selected sampling period \( T \) and adopt the following approximations in an interval (Eq. 9):

\[
\begin{align*}
    X(t) &= \frac{X_{t+1} - X_{t}}{T} \\
    \dot{X}(t) &= \frac{X_{t+1} - X_{t}}{T}
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the discrete model of the PV solar powered water pumping system can be described by the following polynomial recurrent equation (Eq. 10):

\[
X_{k+1} = S_1 X_k + S_2 X_k^{[2]} + S_3 X_k^{[3]} + L_0 U_k
\]

where the matrices \( S_1, S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) are deduced by identification (Charfeddine et al., 2012b).
The polynomial development, truncated to the third order of the PV system around the operating point \((X_n, U_n)\), leads to the following polynomial variation model (Eq. 11):

\[
x_{k+1} = S_1 x_k + S_2 x_k^2 + S_3 x_k^3 + L_0 u_k
\]  

(11)

the expression of the diffeomorphism developed in the polynomial form is written as (Eq. 12):

\[
T(x_k) = T_1 x_k + T_2 x_k^2 + T_3 x_k^3
\]  

(12)

the control input \(u\) is expressed by (Eq. 13):

\[
u_k = \delta_1 x_1 + \delta_2 x_2 + \delta_3 x_3
\]  

(13)

the controlled system (11) should be equivalent to the linear system (Eq. 14):

\[
x_{k+1} = M x_k
\]  

(14)

where \(x_k\) is given by the polynomial transformation (Eq. 15):

\[
x_k = T_1 x_k + T_2 x_k^2 + T_3 x_k^3
\]  

(15)

If we consider an operating point in the desired trajectory given by \((X_n, U_n)\), then, according to the previous algebraic development, we can determine the numerical values of the necessary matrices to characterize the local control input and the corresponding nonlinear transformation.

The matrix \(\Lambda_1\) is selected, such that it will be possible to place the poles of the closed system loop with respect to the desired performance.

The matrices \(T_1, T_2, T_3, \Lambda_2\), and \(\Lambda_3\) are detailed in the (Charfeddine et al. 2012c).

The autonomous model is finally described by the following equation (Eqs. 16 and 17):

\[
x_{k+1} = A_1 x_k + A_2 x_k^2 + A_3 x_k^3
\]  

(16)

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= f_1 + g_0 \delta_1 \\
A_2 &= f_2 + (g_0 \delta_2) \\
A_3 &= f_3 + (g_0 \delta_3)
\end{align*}
\]  

(17)

3. Results and discussion

The simulation of the controlled PV system was carried out in a Matlab environment. The numerical parameter values used are shown Table 2.

Table 2: Numerical parameters of the PV solar pumping system

| System                  | Parameter         | Value         |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| PV generator            | \(I_{ph}\)        | 4.44 A        |
|                         | \(I_a\)           | 527.5 \mu A   |
|                         | \(V_{oc}\)        | 6.73 V        |
| Capacitor               | \(C\)             | 4000 F        |
| DC motor parameters     | \(R_a\)           | 1.072 \Omega  |
|                         | \(L_a\)           | 0.05 H        |
|                         | \(J\)             | 476.10-6      |
|                         | \(F\)             | 80.10         |
|                         | \(P_n\)           | 0.3PU         |
|                         | \(R_d\)           | 14.10         |
|                         | \(K_d\)           | 5200          |

The permanent magnet DC motor-pump is characterized by a nominal operating point: \(U_n = 24\) V and \(I_n = 124\). \(\omega_n = 2000\) rpm and a power \(P_n = 0.3\) PU.

The first step was already carried out to examine the system in the variation model. This study aims to define the best choice of sampling time. According to the Shannon theorem, the value of \(T = 0.2\) s offers satisfactory results. Indeed, when comparing the state variables of the systems (7) and (11), it is obvious that they evolve in perfect agreement. This result is shown in Fig. 2. Our objective is now to check the efficiency of the synthesized control inputs described by equations (8) and (13). The results of this study are shown in Figs. 3, 4, and 5. The global performance is satisfactory; indeed, the controlled state variable dynamics are asymptotically stable with rapid transitory response and an accurate steady state regime.

Fig. 2: Dynamics of the variable \(x_1\): Discrete case, (- -) Continuous case

Fig. 3: Dynamics of the variable \(x_2\): Discrete case, (- -) Continuous case

Fig. 4: Dynamics of the variable \(x_3\): Discrete case, (- -) Continuous case

These figures emphasize the satisfactory dynamic behaviour versus the controlled variable's regulation. Indeed, the simulated continuous and discrete-time variation variables are quickly attracted by the origin and the behaviours observed in these variables do not reveal any inadmissible excess.

However, in terms of speed, the rapidity shown by the continuous variables can be seen. This is due to the assumptions when choosing the truncation order and sampling time. In addition, the feedback
linearizing technique is more efficient than the polynomial discrete-time technique that we implemented for the discretized model.

The control, as shown in Fig. 5, manages to completely stabilize the system in a few seconds; with regards to the desired set of points. Particularly in the discrete case, the system is stabilized within 2 seconds; however, in the continuous case, it is stabilized in 4 seconds.

Once the linearizing control law is given, it is possible to apply one of the variable linear control laws. The control's objective is to always maintain the PV generator voltage \( y(t) = V_p \) at an optimal value \( y_d(t) = V_{mp} \) that corresponds to the point of maximum power. By neglecting the reference variation, this objective will be achieved by choosing the controller \( v \), thus ensuring a linear pole placement.

We consider a desired trajectory to be defined by an interval. This trajectory evolves in the form of crenels marking an acceptable physical behaviour in relation to the characteristics and dynamics of the studied photovoltaic system (case increasing sunlight irradiation due to a sandstorm (Salas et al., 2006)). Fig. 6 represents the evolution of the desired trajectory with respect to time.

Fig. 5: Control input dynamics law u ((…): Continuous case, (---) Discrete case)

Fig. 6: Desired tracking trajectory

Fig. 7: Control inputs Dynamics ((…): Discrete Time, (---): Continuous Time)

The simulation's results indicate a satisfactory controller performance. Overall system stability was investigated and showed an interesting steady regime behaviour; regardless of the quick dynamics of the output trajectory. This proves that the implemented strategy is satisfactory and that the PV generator voltage is controlled.

The nonlinear control algorithm of the PV system is now developed and its efficiency has been proved.

4. Conclusion and recommendations

The synthesis of a discrete-time gain scheduling approach that ensures better trajectory tracking for PV solar pumping system is the main objective of this research work. The PV generator is coupled to a DC motor-pump via a buck converter. A specific output trajectory is derived according to environment characteristics. Indeed, deserted remote regions are particularly characterized by sandstorms, which involve crenels sunlight irradiation behaviour.

The simulation's results are concerned with the evaluation of the transient regime performance of the output variables \( (V_p, I_a, \Omega) \) during the start-up process. The curves presented in the previous paragraph highlight the MPPT performance of the studied system.
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