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Abstract

In his work on \(P\)-partitions, Stembridge defined the algebra of peak functions \(\Pi\), which is both a subalgebra and a retraction of the algebra of quasi-symmetric functions. We show that \(\Pi\) is closed under coproduct, and therefore a Hopf algebra, and describe the kernel of the retraction. Billey and Haiman, in their work on Schubert polynomials, also defined a new class of quasi-symmetric functions—shifted quasi-symmetric functions—and we show that \(\Pi\) is strictly contained in the linear span \(\Xi\) of shifted quasi-symmetric functions. We show that \(\Xi\) is a coalgebra, and compute the rank of the \(n\)th graded component.

Résumé

Dans ses travaux sur les \(P\)-partitions, Stembridge définit l’algèbre \(\Pi\) des fonctions de pics. Cette algèbre peut être vue comme une sous-algèbre ou un quotient de l’algèbre des fonctions quasi-symétriques. Nous montrons ici que \(\Pi\) est fermée sous le coproduit, et est donc une algèbre de Hopf. Nous décrivons aussi le noyau du quotient ci-dessus. D’autre part, dans leurs travaux sur les polynômes de Schubert, Billey et Haiman ont défini une nouvelle classe de fonctions quasi-symétriques: les fonctions quasi-symétrique décalé. Nous montrons que \(\Pi\) est strictement contenue dans l’espace linéaire \(\Xi\) des fonctions quasi-symétrique gauchis. Puis nous montrons que \(\Xi\) est une coalgèbre et calculons les dimensions des composantes de gauchis \(n\). © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Schur $Q$ functions first arose in the study of projective representations of $S_n$ [7]. Since then they have appeared in variety of contexts including the representations of Lie superalgebras [8] and cohomology classes dual to Schubert cycles in isotropic Grassmanians [4,6]. While studying the duality between skew Schur $P$ and $Q$ functions and their connection to the Schubert calculus of isotropic flag manifolds, we were led to their quasi-symmetric analogues: the peak functions of Stembridge [10]. We show that the linear span of peak functions is a Hopf algebra (Theorem 2.2). We also show that these peak functions are contained in the strictly larger set of shifted quasi-symmetric functions (Theorem 3.6) introduced by Billey and Haiman [1]. We remark that the quasi-symmetric functions here are not any apparent specialization of the quasi-symmetric $q$-analogues of Hivert [3].

From extensive calculations, we believe that the set of all shifted quasi-symmetric functions form a Hopf algebra, but at present we can only show that:

The set of all shifted quasi-symmetric functions forms a graded coalgebra whose $n$th graded component has rank $\pi_n$, where $\pi_n$ is given by the recurrence

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + \pi_{n-4}$$

with initial conditions $\pi_1 = 1, \pi_2 = 1, \pi_3 = 2, \pi_4 = 4$.

We shall prove this result (Theorems 3.2 and 4.3) and in addition shall establish some other properties of these functions.

A composition $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k]$ of a positive integer $n$ is an ordered list of positive integers whose sum is $n$. We denote this by $\alpha \vdash n$. We call the integers $\alpha_i$ the components of $\alpha$, and denote the number of components in $\alpha$ by $k(\alpha)$. There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between compositions of $n$ and subsets of $[n-1]$. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}\} \subseteq [n-1]$, where $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{k-1}$, then $A$ corresponds to the composition, $\alpha = [a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_1, \ldots, a_k - a_{k-1}]$, where $a_0 = 0$ and $a_k = n$. For ease of notation, we shall denote the set corresponding to a given composition $\alpha$ by $I(\alpha)$. For compositions $\alpha$ and $\beta$, we say that $\alpha$ is a refinement of $\beta$ if $I(\beta) \subseteq I(\alpha)$, and denote this by $\alpha \triangleleft \beta$.

For any composition $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k]$ we denote by $M_{\alpha}$, the monomial quasi-symmetric function [2]:

$$M_{\alpha} = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k} x_{\alpha_1}^{i_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_k}^{i_k}.$$  

We define $M_0 = 1$, where 0 denotes the unique empty composition of 0. We denote by $F_\alpha$, the fundamental quasi-symmetric function [2]:

$$F_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \triangleleft \beta} M_\beta.$$
Definition 1.1. For any subset $A \subset [n-1]$, let $A+1$ be the subset of $\{2,\ldots,n\}$ formed from $A$ by adding 1 to each element of $A$. Let $\alpha \ni n$. Then, we define

$$\theta_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \vdash n \atop I(\beta) \subset I(\alpha) \cup (I(\beta)+1)} 2^{k(\beta)} M_\beta.$$ 

This is the natural extension of the definition of peak functions given in [10].

Example 1.2. We shall often omit the brackets that surround the components of a composition.

If $\alpha = 21$, then $I(\alpha) = \{2\}$, and $I(\alpha) + 1 = \{3\}$. Hence

$$\theta_{21} = 4M_{21} + 4M_{12} + 8M_{111}.$$ 

Let $\Sigma^n$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module of quasi-symmetric functions spanned by $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha \ni n}$ and let $\Sigma = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Sigma^n$ be the graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of quasi-symmetric functions. This is a Hopf algebra [5] with coproduct given by

$$\Delta(M_\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \cdot \gamma} M_\beta \otimes M_\gamma,$$

where $\beta \cdot \gamma$ is the concatenation of compositions $\beta$ and $\gamma$.

Example 1.3. $\Delta(M_{32}) = 1 \otimes M_{32} + M_3 \otimes M_2 + M_{32} \otimes 1$.

We compute the coproduct of the functions $\theta_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 1.4. For any composition $\alpha \ni n$ we have that

$$\Delta(\theta_{\alpha}) = 1 \otimes \theta_{\alpha} + \sum \theta_{\alpha \cdot a} \otimes \theta_{\phi(b \cdot \zeta)},$$

(1)

where the sum is over all ways of writing $\alpha$ as $\varepsilon \cdot (a+b) \cdot \zeta$, that is, the concatenation of compositions $\varepsilon$ and $\zeta$, and a component of $\alpha$ written as the sum of numbers $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$. Also $\phi(b \cdot \zeta) = [1+\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\ldots]$ if $b = 1$ and $b \cdot \zeta$ otherwise.

We shall use this result to show that certain subsets of functions $\theta_{\alpha}$ span coalgebras (Theorems 2.2 and 3.2).

Proof. Definition 1.1 is equivalent to

$$\theta_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \vdash n \atop \beta^* \preceq \alpha} 2^{k(\beta)} M_\beta,$$
where $\beta^*$ is the refinement of $\beta$ obtained by replacing all components $\beta_i > 1$, for $i > 1$, by $[1, \beta_i - 1]$. Thus, the LHS of Eq. (1) is equal to

$$
\sum_{\beta^+ n \leq x} 2^{k(\beta)} M_{\gamma} \otimes M_{\delta} = \sum_{\gamma, \delta n \leq x} 2^{k(\gamma)} M_{\gamma} \otimes 2^{k(\delta)} M_{\delta}.
$$

(2)

Let $2^{k(\gamma)} M_{\gamma} \otimes 2^{k(\delta)} M_{\delta}$ be a term of this sum with $\gamma \uparrow m$. If $m = 0$, then the term is $1 \otimes 2^{k(\delta)} M_{\delta}$, where $\delta^* \triangleq \alpha$, and it appears in the summand $1 \otimes \theta_\alpha$ on the RHS of Eq. (1). If $m > 0$, then the term can only appear in one summand on the RHS of Eq. (1), namely $\theta_{e \cdot a} \otimes \theta_{\phi(b \cdot \zeta)}$ with $\varepsilon \cdot a \uparrow m$. To show that it does indeed appear, we need to prove that $\gamma^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a$ and $\delta^* \triangleq \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$. Let $\delta^{**}$ be the refinement of $\delta^*$ obtained by replacing the part $\delta_1$ by $[1, \delta_1 - 1]$, if $\delta_1 > 1$. We have that

$$
\gamma^* \cdot \delta^{**} = (\gamma \cdot \delta)^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot (a + b) \cdot \zeta,
$$

which implies that $\gamma^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a$, and $\delta^{**} \triangleq b \cdot \zeta \triangleq \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$.

If $\delta \uparrow 0$ or $\delta_1 = 1$, then $\delta^* = \delta^{**} \triangleq \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$). However, if $\delta_1 > 1$, then there are two possible cases: either $\delta_1 \leq b$, or $b = 1$ and $\delta_1 - 1 \leq \zeta_1$. In the former case $\delta^* \triangleq b \cdot \zeta = \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$, while in the latter $\delta_1 \leq 1 + \zeta_1$, whence $\delta^* \triangleq [1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots] = \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$. Conversely, it is easy to see that all terms belonging to the tensor $1 \otimes \theta_\alpha$ on the RHS of Eq. (1) also appear in Eq. (2). Now let $2^{k(\gamma)} M_{\gamma} \otimes 2^{k(\delta)} M_{\delta}$ be a term belonging to a tensor $\theta_{e \cdot a} \otimes \theta_{\phi(b \cdot \zeta)}$ on the RHS of Eq. (1). To show that it appears in Eq. (2) we must prove that $(\gamma \cdot \delta)^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot (a + b) \cdot \zeta$. We have that $\gamma^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a$ and $\delta^* \triangleq \phi(b \cdot \zeta)$, which imply that

$$
(\gamma \cdot \delta)^* = \gamma^* \cdot \delta^{**} \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a \cdot \phi(b \cdot \zeta).
$$

If $b = 0$ or $b > 1$, then

$$
(\gamma \cdot \delta)^* \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a \cdot \phi(b \cdot \zeta) = \varepsilon \cdot a \cdot b \cdot \zeta \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot (a + b) \cdot \zeta.
$$

If $b = 1$, then $\delta^* \triangleq \phi(b \cdot \zeta) = [1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots]$ implies that

$$
\delta^{**} = [1, \ldots] \triangleq [1, \zeta_1, \ldots] = b \cdot \zeta.
$$

Therefore,

$$
(\gamma \cdot \delta)^* = \gamma^* \cdot \delta^{**} \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot a \cdot b \cdot \zeta \triangleq \varepsilon \cdot (a + b) \cdot \zeta
$$

as desired.

Finally, we note that no term $2^{k(\gamma)} M_{\gamma} \otimes 2^{k(\delta)} M_{\delta}$ appears more than once in Eq. (2), or more than once in the expansion of the RHS of Eq. (1). The former is clear, while the latter follows from the fact that if $\theta_{e \cdot a} \otimes \theta_{\phi(b \cdot \zeta)}$ and $\theta_{e' \cdot a'} \otimes \theta_{\phi(b' \cdot \zeta')}$ are distinct summands on the RHS of Eq. (1), then $\varepsilon \cdot a \uparrow k$ and $\varepsilon' \cdot a' \uparrow l$ where $k \neq l$. □
2. The peak Hopf algebra

**Definition 2.1.** For any composition \( \pi = [\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k] \) we say that \( \theta_\pi \) is a peak function if \( \pi_i = 1 \Rightarrow i = k \).

Observe that if \( \theta_\pi \) is a peak function and \( \pi \vdash n \), then \( I(\pi) \subset \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \) such that no two \( i \) in \( I(\pi) \) are consecutive.

Let \( \mathcal{E}_n \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module spanned by all peak functions \( \theta_\pi \), \( \pi \vdash n \), and let \( \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{E}_n \). This was studied by Stembridge [10] who showed that the peak functions are F-positive, are closed under product, and form a basis for \( \mathcal{E} \), and so the rank of \( \mathcal{E}_n \) is the \( n \)th Fibonacci number. In addition, we also know the following about the algebra of peaks, \( \mathcal{E} \).

**Theorem 2.2.** \( \mathcal{E} \) is closed under coproduct.

**Proof.** If all components of a composition \( \pi \), except perhaps the last, are greater than 1, then the same is true for all compositions \( \varepsilon \cdot a \) and \( \phi(b \cdot \zeta) \) appearing in the RHS of Eq. (1). \( \square \)

Let \( \Theta \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear map from \( \Sigma \) to \( \mathcal{E} \) defined by \( \Theta(F_\pi) = \theta_{A(\pi)} \), where \( A(\pi) \) is the composition formed from \( \pi = [\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_k] \) by adding together adjacent components \( \pi_i, \pi_{i+1}, \ldots, \pi_{i+j} \), where \( \pi_{i+l} = 1 \) for \( l = 0, \ldots, j - 1 \), and either \( \pi_{i+j} \neq 1 \), or \( i + j = k \).

**Example 2.3.** If \( \pi = 31125111 \) then \( A(\pi) = 3453 \).

Stembridge [10] showed that \( \Theta : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \) is a graded surjective ring homomorphism, and was an analogue of the retraction from the algebra of symmetric functions to Schur \( Q \) functions. It is clear from our proof above that this morphism is in fact a Hopf homomorphism. We can describe the kernel of \( \Theta \) as follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** The non-zero differences \( F_\pi - F_{A(\pi)} \) form a basis of the kernel of \( \Theta \).

**Proof.** Each difference \( F_\pi - F_{A(\pi)} \) is in the kernel of \( \Theta \) as \( \Theta(F_\pi - F_{A(\pi)}) = 0 \) since \( A(A(\pi)) = A(\pi) \). In addition, the non-zero differences are linearly independent as they have different leading terms. Letting \( f_n \) denote the \( n \)th Fibonacci number, there are \( 2^{n-1} - f_n \) such differences, and since

\[
\dim \ker \Theta = \dim \Sigma^n - \dim \mathcal{E}_n
= 2^{n-1} - f_n,
\]

our result follows. \( \square \)
3. The coalgebra of shifted quasi-symmetric functions

Definition 3.1. For any composition \( \alpha = [n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k] \vdash n \) we say that \( \theta_\alpha \) is a shifted quasi-symmetric function (sqs-function) if \( n \leq 1 \) or \( n_1 > 1 \).

Observe that if \( \theta_\alpha \) is an sqs-function and \( \alpha \vdash n \), then \( I(\alpha) \subset \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \).

For integers \( n \geq 0 \), let \( \Xi^n \) be the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module spanned by all sqs-functions \( \theta_\alpha, \alpha \vdash n \), and let \( \Xi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Xi^n \).

Theorem 3.2. \( \Xi \) is closed under coproduct.

Proof. If \( \alpha \) is a composition of 0 or 1, or has first component greater than 1, then the same is true for all compositions \( \varepsilon \cdot a \) and \( \phi(b \cdot \xi) \) appearing in the RHS of Eq. (1). □

Unlike peak functions [10], sqs-functions are not \( F \)-positive since
\[ \theta_{211} = F_{22} + F_{112} + 2F_{121} + F_{211} - F_{111}. \]

Definition 3.3. For any composition \( \alpha \vdash n \), we define the complement \( \alpha^c \) of \( \alpha \) to be the composition for which \( I(\alpha^c) = (I(\alpha))^c \), the set complement of \( I(\alpha) \) in \( [n-1] \). We define the graph \( G(\alpha) \) of \( \alpha \) to be the graph obtained from

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \cdots & 2 & \cdots & n
\end{array}
\]

by removing the edge \((i, i+1)\) if and only if \( i \in I(\alpha) \).

Observe that \( G(\alpha^c) \) contains the edge \((i, i+1)\) if and only if this edge is not contained in \( G(\alpha) \). These graphs will be used later to simplify the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Let a word of length \( n \) be any \( n \)-tuple, \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n \), and let a binary word of length \( n \) be a word \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n \) such that \( w_i \in \{0, 1\} \) for all \( i \). For \( 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \), let us denote by \( 3^{(i)} \), the composition \([1^{i-2}, 3, 1^{n-i-1}]\) of \( n \). For some subset \( S \subset \{2, \ldots, n-1\} \), let us denote by \( \bigwedge_{i \in S} 3^{(i)} \), the composition of \( n \) for which \( G(\bigwedge_{i \in S} 3^{(i)}) \) has an edge between vertices \( i \) and \( i+1 \) if and only if an edge exists between vertices \( i \) and \( i+1 \) in \( G(3^{(i)}) \) for some \( i \in S \).

Example 3.4. Let \( S = \{2, 3\} \subset [3] \). Then \( G(3^{(2)}) \) is

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4
\end{array}
\]
and $G(3^{(3)})$ is

![Graph 1]

hence $G(\bigwedge_{i \in S} 3^{(i)})$ is

![Graph 2]

so $\bigwedge_{i \in S} 3^{(i)}$ is the composition 4.

**Definition 3.5. (Billey and Haiman [1])** Let $\alpha$ be a composition of $n$. Let $\mathcal{A}(I(\alpha))$ denote the set of all sequences $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \ldots \leq j_n$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that we do not have $j_{i-1} = j_i = j_{i+1}$, for any $i \in I(\alpha)$. The shifted quasi-symmetric function $\theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$ is given by

$$\theta_{\alpha}^{BH} = \sum_{J = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \mathcal{A}(I(\alpha))} 2^{|J|} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n},$$

where $|J|$ denotes the number of distinct values $j_i$ in $J$.

**Theorem 3.6.** For any sqs-function $\theta_\alpha$ we have that $\theta_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$.

**Proof.** For each $i \in I(\alpha) \subset [n-1]$, $j_{i-1} = j_i = j_{i+1}$ is forbidden in any monomial $x_{j_1}x_{j_2} \ldots x_{j_{i-1}}x_{j_i} \ldots x_{j_n}$ appearing as a summand of the function $\theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$. This is equivalent to saying that $M_\beta$ is a summand of $\theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$ if and only if $G(3^{(i)}) \not\subseteq G(\beta)$ for all $i \in I(\alpha)$. Therefore, at least one of $i - 1$ or $i$ must be the largest label of a vertex in a connected component in $G(\beta)$.

Now, when going from compositions of $n$ to subsets of $[n-1]$ we can do so using our graphs, $G$. All we have to do is list the label of the vertex that is the largest in each connected component, not listing $n$. We call these vertices the end-points. We are now in a position to prove the equivalence of Definitions 1.1 and 3.5 for sqs-functions. The powers of 2 agree so we need only show that the indices of summation do too. To see this, take any sqs-function $\theta_\alpha$ and let $i \in I(\alpha)$. Then $M_\beta$ is a summand in $\theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$ if at least one of $i - 1$ or $i$ is an end-point in $G(\beta)$. Therefore, $i$ or $i - 1$ belongs to $I(\beta)$, and $M_\beta$ is a summand of $\theta_\alpha$. Conversely, if $M_\beta$ is a summand of $\theta_\alpha$, then this implies that for each $i \in I(\alpha)$, we have that $i - 1$ or $i$ belongs to $I(\beta)$, so one of $i - 1$ or $i$ is an end-point in $G(\beta)$, so $M_\beta$ is a summand of $\theta_{\alpha}^{BH}$. $\square$
4. A basis for $\Xi$

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\theta_2$ be an sqs-function and $x \neq n$. We define an internal peak $i \in I(x)$ such that $i - 1, i + 1 \notin I(x)$, and $i \in \{3, \ldots, n - 2\}$.

**Remark.** Observe that the occurrence of an internal peak in the $i$th position in $I(x) = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$, where $w_1 < w_2 < \ldots$, is equivalent to having two components of $x$, say $x_i, x_{i+1}$ such that $x_{i+1} \geq 2$, and $x_i \geq 2$ if $i \neq 1$, or $x_i \geq 3$ if $i = 1$.

We can now describe the basis of $\Xi$ as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** The coalgebra $\Xi$ has a basis consisting of all sqs-functions $\theta_2$ where $I(x)$ contains no internal peak.

We sketch the proof of Theorem 4.2 later.

**Theorem 4.3.** The rank of $\Xi^n$ is given by the recurrence

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + \pi_{n-4}$$

with initial conditions $\pi_1 = 1$, $\pi_2 = 1$, $\pi_3 = 2$, $\pi_4 = 4$.

This recurrence was suggested by a superseeker query [9].

**Proof.** By direct calculation we obtain that $\pi_1 = 1$, $\pi_2 = 1$, $\pi_3 = 2$, and $\pi_4 = 4$.

To obtain our recurrence, we observe that for each sqs-function, $\theta_2$ where $x \neq n$, we can encode $I(x)$ as a binary word of length $n - 2$, by placing a 1 in position $i - 1$ if $i$ is contained in $I(x)$, and 0 otherwise. By this one-to-one correspondence, we see that $I(x)$ contains no internal peak if its corresponding binary word does not contain 010 as a subword.

We therefore, count binary words of length $n$ that avoid the subword 010. Appending either 1 or 0 to such a binary word of length $n - 1$ gives one of length $n$, provided that we have not created the subword 010 in the last three positions. Let $a_n, b_n, c_n,$ and $d_n$ enumerate those binary words of length $n - 2$ that avoid the subword 010 and end in, respectively, 00, 01, 10, and 11. We then obtain the following four simultaneous recursions.

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + c_{n-1}, \quad b_n = a_{n-1} + c_{n-1}, \quad c_n = d_{n-1}, \quad d_n = b_{n-1} + d_{n-1}.$$ 

Clearly, the number of $I(x)$s in $[n - 1]$ with no internal peaks is given by

$$\pi_n = a_n + b_n + c_n + d_n.$$
However, by substituting in our recurrences we obtain
\[ \pi_n = a_n + b_n + c_n + d_n \]
\[ = 2a_{n-1} + b_{n-1} + 2c_{n-1} + 2d_{n-1} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + a_{n-1} + c_{n-1} + d_{n-1} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + b_{n-2} + c_{n-2} + 2d_{n-2} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + d_{n-2} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + b_{n-3} + d_{n-3} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + a_{n-4} + b_{n-4} + c_{n-4} + d_{n-4} \]
\[ = \pi_{n-1} + \pi_{n-2} + \pi_{n-4}. \]

We say that \( M/\gamma \) is a maximal term of \( \theta_\gamma \) if for any \( \gamma \) higher in the partial order of compositions \( M/\gamma \) is not a summand of \( \theta_\gamma \). The following lemma is stated without proof.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( \theta_x \) be an sqs-function. Consider the collection \( S \) of all possible sets derived from \( I(\tilde{x}) \) by adding either \( i-1 \) or \( i+1 \) to \( I(\tilde{x}) \) for all internal peaks \( i \in I(\tilde{x}) \). If \( M/\gamma \) is a maximal term of \( \theta_x \), then \( \gamma \) is derived from
\[ \bigwedge \ 3^{(i)} \]
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{if} & \text{for} \ i \in (I(\tilde{z})) \setminus I(\tilde{x}) \ \\
\text{if} & \text{for} \ I(\tilde{x}) \in S \end{array} \right. \]
by adding adjacent components equal to 1 together to give a component equal to 2 as often as possible.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( \theta_x \) be an sqs-function, and let \( I(\tilde{x}) \) have an internal peak in the \( j \)th position, then we have the following linear relation:
\[ \theta_x = \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_j-1,1,x_{j+1}, \ldots, z_k]} + \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_{j+1},1,x_{j+2}, \ldots, z_k]} - \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_j-1,1,x_{j+1}, \ldots, z_k]} \]
\[ - \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_j-1,1,x_{j+1} - 1, \ldots, z_k]} \].

**Proof.** By Definition 3.5 we have that the leading terms of \( \theta_x \) determine the other summands that belong to \( \theta_x \). Hence, by Lemma 4.4 it follows that the summands of \( \theta_x \) will be the union of the summands of \( \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_j-1,1,x_{j+1}, \ldots, z_k]} \) and \( \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_{j+1},1,x_{j+2}, \ldots, z_k]} \). However, those summands that appear in both will be duplicated. By definition these will be the summands of \( \theta_{[x_1, \ldots, x_j-1,1,x_{j+1}, \ldots, z_k]} \) and the result follows. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** From our relation in Lemma 4.5, it follows that any \( \theta_x \) can be rewritten as a linear combination of functions \( \theta_\beta \), where \( I(\tilde{z}) \) contains no internal peaks. In addition, by Lemma 4.4 and Definition 3.5 we have that the set of all sqs-functions
$\theta$ where $I(x)$ contains no internal peaks is linearly independent and thus forms a basis for $E$.
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