Sustained Medication Reduction Following Unilateral VIM Thalamic Stimulation for Essential Tremor
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Abstract

Background: Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is an increasingly utilized therapeutic modality for the management of medication refractory essential tremor (ET). The aim of this study was to determine whether DBS allowed for anti-tremor medication reduction within the year after the procedure was performed.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective chart review and telephone interviews on 34 consecutive patients who had been diagnosed with ET, and who had undergone unilateral DBS surgery.

Results: Of the 34 patients in our cohort, 31 patients (91%) completely stopped all anti-tremor medications either before surgery (21 patients, 62%) or in the year following DBS surgery (10 patients, 29%). Patients who discontinued tremor medications before DBS surgery did so because their tremors either became refractory to anti-tremor medication, or they developed adverse events to tremor medications. Patients who stopped tremor medications after DBS surgery did so due to sufficient tremor control. Only three patients (9%) who were taking tremor medications at the time of surgery continued the use of a beta-blocker post-operatively for the purpose of hypertension management in all cases.

Discussion: The data from this study indicate that medication cessation is common following unilateral DBS for ET.
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Introduction

Essential Tremor (ET) is a progressive, neurological disorder that is characterized by postural, intention, and kinetic tremor. It is estimated that more than 10 million people in the United States suffer from ET. Medication therapy is often used to control and mitigate symptoms, although medications may not prove efficacious in many cases. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is an increasingly and commonly utilized therapeutic modality that is thought to work by disrupting a cerebello-thalamic-cortical loop that has been implicated in the genesis of the abnormal oscillation. DBS has a higher magnitude of effect in treating ET than medications, although it may be associated with infrequent but more serious side effects. The primary aim of this study was to evaluate whether anti-tremor medications could be reduced or discontinued in the year following DBS surgery for the management of ET.

Methods

Consecutive subjects from the University of South Florida (USF) Parkinson’s Disease and Movement Disorders Center were identified using an Institutional Review Board-approved protocol. A query of the center’s electronic database was performed to identify patients with a diagnosis of ET. Charts were individually reviewed to assess eligibility criteria, which included 1) a unilateral thalamic DBS device implanted between October 2000 through October 2010 (Medtronic Activa Freely available online Tremor and Other Hyperkinetic Movements http://www.tremorjournal.org The Center for Digital Research and Scholarship Columbia University Libraries/Information Services
Resnick AS, Okun MS, Malapira T, et al. Medication Reduction for ET

years or more (LDD group, mean 21 years) prior to their date of DBS. Three patients (68%) had been diagnosed with ET for a period of 10 years. Within each category, patients were then further classified into those who 1) were refractory to anti-tremor medications (patients whose tremor became resistant or unresponsive to such medications even at maximally tolerated doses) and ceased their use prior to surgery, 2) experienced adverse events from taking anti-tremor medications and who also ceased their use prior to surgery, and 3) had derived benefit from anti-tremor medications and therefore continued to take them at the time of their surgery.

### Results

Forty-four patients were identified in the initial chart review and 10 of these patients (23%) were excluded from the study because they were either not followed at our center for DBS programming/medication management (seven patients, 16%), or they were deceased (three patients, 7%). Data from 34 patients was reviewed. The mean age of patients in the study was 76 ± 16.2 years (range 35–89 years) and the mean age at the time of DBS surgery was 70 ± 18.1 years (range 35–89 years) and the mean age at time of diagnosis was 49 ± 22.7 years (range 10–80 years). Twelve patients (35%) reported a family history of tremor. Thirty-one of the 34 patients (91%) received left-sided ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM) DBS implantation for tremor management; three left-handed patients were implanted on the right side (9%). All patients had tremor that was regarded by their evaluating physicians as “severe.” Twenty-three patients (68%) had been diagnosed with ET for a period of 10 years or more (LDD group, mean 21 years) prior to their date of DBS surgery (range 10–51 years). All patients had previously experienced efficacy from medications, however medication benefit waned.

Of the 34 patients in the cohort, 31 patients (91%) completely stopped all anti-tremor medications before or after surgery (Figure 1). Twenty-one (62%) of 31 patients stopped medication before surgery, either because their tremors became refractory to anti-tremor medication or because they developed adverse events to tremor medications. Ten (29%) of 31 patients stopped medications in the year after DBS due to sufficient tremor control. Three patients (9%) who were taking tremor medications at the time of surgery continued the use of a beta-blocker post-operatively for the purpose of hypertension management.

When evaluating the cohort with respect to duration of ET, 17 of 23 patients (74%) with LDD ET stopped anti-tremor medication(s) (primidone, propranolol, and topiramate) at least 1 year prior to DBS surgery. Of these, 13 patients stopped anti-tremor medications due to lack of efficacy, while four patients discontinued anti-tremor medications due to adverse events. Of the 11 patients who had a diagnosis of ET for a period of less than 10 years (SDD group), four of them (36%) stopped anti-tremor medications at least 1 year prior to DBS due to side effects of anti-tremor medications during upward titration of dosage(s).

Thirty patients (88%) reported subjective improvement in sleep patterns throughout the night and 29 patients (85%) reported an overall improvement in their general cognitive state when combining DBS with the discontinuation of anti-tremor medications. Further details regarding discontinuation of anti-tremor medications before and after DBS surgery are reported in Figure 1.

### Discussion

DBS is now widely utilized for the treatment of many medication-refractory movement disorders. The primary goal of the study was to evaluate how DBS for ET would impact use of anti-tremor medications following DBS. Our findings indicate that approximately three-quarters of ET patients who underwent DBS and who had not previously terminated anti-tremor medications eventually stopped taking anti-tremor medications within the year following DBS surgery. The main reason for termination of previous anti-tremor pharmacotherapy post-operatively was successful reduction or complete abolition of tremor from DBS surgery. The study also found that

| Table 1. Anti-tremor Medications Taken by All Patients within the Year Prior to Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) Surgery. |
| --- |
| Primidone Only | Propranolol Only | Topiramate Only | Primidone, Propranolol, and Topiramate | Primidone and Propranolol Only | Other Therapy |
| Short Disease Duration\(^1\) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Long Disease Duration\(^2\) | 7 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 |

\(^1\)Number of patients taking medication prior to DBS surgery (n=11).

\(^2\)Number of patients taking medication prior to DBS surgery (n=23).
Figure 1. Quantitative breakdown of tremor medication usage among patients. DBS, deep brain stimulation; LDD, long disease duration; SDD, short disease duration.

Table 2. Pub-Med Literature Review Documenting Various Studies Relating Essential Tremor (ET) and Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS).

| Author            | Number of Patients (n) | Year | Target                  | Reduction in Medication? If so, by what amount?                                                                 | Outcome of Study                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Barbe, et al.32   | 23                     | 2010 | VIM                     | Not detailed                                                                                                   | ADLs improved significantly and optimal stimulation parameters in ET patients led to initial short-term improvement that adjusted over time.       |
| Flora, et al.33   | 430                    | 2010 | VIM                     | Not detailed                                                                                                   | “…[medication] treatments have limited success and can become ineffective over time. For medication-refractory patients, alternative treatment is limited to thalamotomy or DBS.” |
| Blomstedt, et al.34| 21                     | 2010 | Posterior subthalamic area | Not detailed                                                                                                   | ADLs improved by 66% and stimulation at this target resulted in significant tremor reduction.                                                   |
| Lyons, et al.23   | Not indicated          | 2003 | VIM                     | Not detailed                                                                                                   | Reports that current tremor medications are effective in 50% of patients. Also reports that surgical options for tremor are effective in 90% of patients. |
| Fields, et al.35   | 40                     | 2003 | VIM                     | Not detailed                                                                                                   | DBS improved quality of life outcomes, cognition, and mood. Anxiety was also improved.                                                        |
| Perlmutter, et al.36| Not indicated          | 2002 | VIM                     | Not detailed                                                                                                   | Increased blood flow shows that DBS “stimulates and does not inactivate projection neurons in VIM thalamus.”                                   |
| Author                  | Number of Patients (n) | Year | Target | Reduction in Medication? If so, by what amount? | Outcome of Study                                                                 |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------|--------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Koller, et al.\(^{37}\) | 49                     | 2001 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Unilateral DBS of the thalamus is efficacious for a portion of ET patients, however this is compromised by device complications. |
| Pahwa et al.\(^{38}\)  | 35                     | 2001 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Thalamotomy has a higher rate of complications and DBS should be chosen over thalamotomy when considering surgical treatment. |
| Koller, et al.\(^{39}\) | Not indicated          | 2000 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | DBS is highly effective for the treatment of tremor and is preferred to thalamotomy. |
| Pahwa, et al.\(^{40}\) | Not indicated          | 2000 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Thalamotomy and DBS are stereotactic procedures that aid in the treatment of ET. While both are associated with morbidities, medication-refractory patients can be candidates for both Not Mentioned procedures. |
| Tröster et al.\(^{41}\) | 40                     | 1999 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Unilateral DBS of the thalamus for ET is considered safe and associated with anxiety and quality of life improvements. |
| Pahwa, et al.\(^{42}\) | 9                      | 1999 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Bilateral DBS is effective in improving tremor and disability in patients with ET, however, dysarthria can be an adverse effect of the stimulation. |
| Koller, et al.\(^{43}\) | 29 (Diagnosed with ET) | 1997 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | High-frequency stimulation for ET and parkinsonian tremor patients is well tolerated, highly effective, and had only mild adverse effects. |
| Hubble, et al.\(^{44}\) | 10                     | 1996 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | DBS is considered to be safe and effective in its reduction of tremor and disability in patients with ET. |
| Lyons, et al.\(^{45}\) | Not indicated          | 2008 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | DBS is effective in treating medication-refractory and disabling ET. Surgical complications are typically uncommon. |
| Pahwa, et al.\(^{46}\) | 26 (Diagnosed with ET) | 2006 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | DBS for long-term management of ET is safe and considered to be effective. Bilateral stimulation is associated with dysarthria incoordination. |
| Graff-Radford, et al.\(^{47}\) | 31                   | 2010 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Tremor rating scale subscale scores improved for all unilateral and bilateral VIM DBS patients. Subscale scores for visual analog mood scale varied for unilateral and bilateral DBS patients. |
| Ondo, et al.\(^{48}\)  | 73                     | 2001 | VIM    | Not detailed                                     | Tremor on contralateral side “improved significantly and robustly in PD and ET” after VIM DBS. Tremor was not worsened on ipsilateral side, but rather mildly improved in ET patients. |

Abbreviations: ADLs, activities of daily living; PD, Parkinson’s disease; VIM, ventral intermediate nucleus.
anti-tremor medications are less effective in long duration ET (≥10 years) than in short duration ET (<10 years).

Pharmacological effects in patients with ET have been previously characterized by several studies. Lyons et al. found that anti-tremor medications were limited in their ability to control tremor, and only effectively treated tremor in half of ET patients. In contrast, DBS has been reported to result in a 60–90% reduction in tremor amplitude. Small side effects from DBS, however, may be more serious. Table 2 summarizes major studies of DBS and/or pharmacotherapy for ET.

Propranolol and primidone have been identified as the two main pharmacological therapies for treatment of ET and authors have generally reported that their use results in a reduction of tremor by half in 50% of patients. Propranolol, despite being used solely for tremor, is also an effective therapy for the treatment of hypertension and other cardiac issues, however, depression, low blood pressure, and other cognitive issues have been associated with its use. Three patients in our cohort who discontinued anti-tremor medications within 1 year following DBS surgery continued on a beta-blocker with the cited indication being hypertension (two patients from the LDD group and one patient from the SDD group). An important limitation of the current study was that propranolol may have provided a symptomatic effect in these patients and inflated their improvement scores following DBS. Additionally, small sample size, retrospective nature of the study, and data obtained only by a telephone interview and patient chart review (not in a clinical setting) were other limitations in our study.

There are economic and symptomatic benefits for medication discontinuation, particularly primidone, which has been reported to be associated with lethargy and cognitive issues in addition to difficulty with tolerance and upward titration. Thus, medication discontinuation post-DBS may result in improved cognition, reduction of side effects, and improved sleep patterns. However, despite these benefits, practitioners should be aware that medication reduction could have a symptomatic price, particularly on the contralateral extremity in the case of unilateral VIM DBS. Although our study did not specifically address bilateral VIM DBS, which is associated with more side effects (e.g., speech, gait), it is interesting that medication reduction occurred at such a high prevalence.

Our cohort found that discontinuation of medications for ET patients post-DBS was common. As neuromodulation therapy evolves for ET, it will be the responsibility of the practitioner to carefully choose the proper treatment for each patient, whether pharmacological or surgical. Future research studies should include long-term studies on the use of medication therapy following DBS surgery, including patients with bilateral stimulation. Recognizing that each patient is unique will be a critical step in optimizing outcomes.

References

1. Louis ED. Essential tremor. Arch Neurol 2000;57:1522–1524, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.57.10.1522.

2. Zesiewicz TA, Chari A, Jahan I, Miller AM, Sullivan KL. Overview of essential tremor. Neuropsychiat Dis Treat 2010;6:401–408, http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S4795.

3. Benito-Leon J. How common is essential tremor? Neuroepidemiology 2009;32:215–216, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000195692.

4. Louis ED, Ferreira JJ. How common is the most common adult movement disorder? Update on the worldwide prevalence of essential tremor. Mov Disord 2010;25:534–541, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.22838.

5. Jankovic J. Essential tremor: clinical characteristics. Neurology 2000;54:521–528.

6. Pahwa R, Lyons KE. Essential tremor: differential diagnosis and current therapy. Am J Med 2003;115:134–142.

7. Diaz NL, Louis ED. Survey of medication usage patterns among essential tremor patients: movement disorder specialists vs. general neurologists. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2010;16:604–607, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.07.011.

8. Louis ED, Rios E, Henchcliffe C. How are we doing with the treatment of essential tremor (ET)? Persistence of patients with ET on medication: data from 528 patients in three settings. Eur J Neurol 2010;17:882–884.

9. Morishita T, Foote KD, Wu SS, et al. Brain penetration effects of microelectrodes and deep brain stimulation leads in ventral intermediate nucleus stimulation for essential tremor. J Neurosurg 2010;112:491–496. http://dx.doi.org/10.3171/2009.7.JNS09150.

10. Resnick AS, Foote KD, Rodríguez RL, et al. The number and nature of emergency department encounters in patients with deep brain stimulators. J Neurol 2010;257:122–131.

11. Skidmore FM, Rodríguez RL, Fernandez HH, et al. Lessons learned in deep brain stimulation for movement and neuropsychiatric disorders. CNS Spectr 2006;11:521–536.

12. Louis KE, Pahwa R. Pharmacotherapy of essential tremor: an overview of existing and upcoming agents. CNS Drugs 2008;22:1037–1045, http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00232120-20082210-00006.

13. Schadt CR, Duffis EI, Charles PD. Pharmacological treatment of disabling tremor. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2005;6:419–428, http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/14656566.6.3.419.

14. Koller WC, Hristova A, Brin M. Pharmacologic treatment of essential tremor. Neurology 2000;54:S30–38.

15. Lorenz D, Deuschl G. Update on pathogenesis and treatment of essential tremor. Curr Opin Neurol 2007;20:447–452, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0b013e3281e66942.

16. Murray TJ. Treatment of essential tremor with propranolol. Can Med Assoc J 1972;107:984–986.

17. Oudo WG. Essential tremor: treatment options. Curr Treat Options Neurol 2006;8:256–267, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11940-006-0016-8.

18. Sasso E, Perucca E, Fava R, Calzetti S. Primidone in the long-term treatment of essential tremor: a prospective study with computerized quantitative analysis. Clin Neuropharmacol 1990;13:67–76, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00002826-199002000-00007.

19. Tolosa ES, Loewenson RB. Essential tremor: treatment with propranolol. Neurology 1975;25:1041–1044.

20. Zesiewicz TA. Low-dose topiramate (topamax) in the treatment of essential tremor. Clin Neuropharmacol 2007;30:247–248.
21. Zesiewicz TA, Ward CL, Hauser RA, et al. Pregabalin (Lyrica) in the treatment of essential tremor. Mov Disord 2007;22:139–141, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.21043.

22. Koller W, Herberger G, Cone S. Clonidine in the treatment of essential tremor. Mov Disord 1986;1:235–237, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.87001043.

23. Lyons KE, Pahwa R, Comella CL, et al. Benefits and risks of pharmacological treatments for essential tremor. Drug Saf 2003;26:461–481, http://dx.doi.org/10.2165/00002018-200326070-00003.

24. Lyons KE, Pahwa R. Deep brain stimulation and essential tremor. J Clin Neurophysiol 2004;21:3–3, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004691-200401000-00002.

25. Zesiewicz TA, Elble R, Louis ED, et al. Practice parameter: therapies for essential tremor: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2005;64:2008–2020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000163769.28552.CD.

26. Farias AQ, Kassab F, da Rocha EC, et al. Propranolol reduces varical pressure and wall tension in schistosomiasis presinusoidal portal hypertension. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;24:1852–1856, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1746.2009.05912.x.

27. Punda A, Polic S, Rumboldt Z, et al. Effects of atenolol and propranolol on platelet aggregation in moderate essential hypertension: randomized crossover trial. Croat Med J 2005;46:219–224.

28. Louis ED, Benito-Leon J, Bermejo-Pareja F. Antihypertensive agents and risk of Parkinson’s disease, essential tremor and dementia: a population-based prospective study (NEDICES). Neuroepidemiology 2009;33:286–292, http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000255641.

29. O’Suilleabhain P, Dewey RB, Jr. Randomized trial comparing primidone initiation schedules for treating essential tremor. Mov Disord 2002;17:382–386, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.10063.

30. Deuschl G, Raethjen J, Hellriegel H, Elble R. Treatment of patients with essential tremor. Lancet Neurol 2011;10:148–161, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70322-7.

31. Gironell A, Kulisevsky J. Diagnosis and management of essential tremor and dystonic tremor. Ther Adv Neurol Disord 2009;2:215–222, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1756285609104791.

32. Barbe MT, Liebhart I, Runge M, et al. Deep brain stimulation in the nucleus ventralis intermedius in patients with essential tremor: habituation of tremor suppression. J Neurol 2010;258(3):434–439.

33. Flora ED, Perera CL, Cameron AL, Maddern GJ. Deep brain stimulation for essential tremor: a systematic review. Mov Disord 2010;25:1550–1559, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.23195.

34. Blomstedt P, Sandvik U, Tisch S. Deep brain stimulation in the posterior subthalamic area in the treatment of essential tremor. Mov Disord 2010;25:1350–1356, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.22738.

35. Fields JA, Troster AI, Woods SP, et al. Neuropsychological and quality of life outcomes 12 months after unilateral thalamic stimulation for essential tremor. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2003;74:305–311, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.74.3.305.

36. Perlmuter JS, Mink JW, Bastian AJ, et al. Blood flow responses to deep brain stimulation of thalamus. Neurology 2002;58:1388–1394.

37. Koller WC, Lyons KE, Wilkinson SB, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of unilateral deep brain stimulation of the thalamus in essential tremor. Mov Disord 2001;16:464–468, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.1089.

38. Pahwa R, Lyons KE, Wilkinson SB, et al. Comparison of thalamotomy to deep brain stimulation of the thalamus in essential tremor. Mov Disord 2001;16:140-143, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.10022.

39. Koller WC, Pahwa PR, Lyons KE, Wilkinson SB. Deep brain stimulation of the Vim nucleus of the thalamus for the treatment of tremor. Neurology 2000;55:829–33.

40. Pahwa R, Lyons K, Koller WC. Surgical treatment of essential tremor. Neurology 2000;54:839–44.

41. Troster AI, Fields JA, Pahwa R, et al. Neuropsychological and quality of life outcome after thalamic stimulation for essential tremor. Neurology 1999;53:1774–1780.

42. Pahwa R, Lyons KL, Wilkinson SB, et al. Bilateral thalamic stimulation for the treatment of essential tremor. Neurology 1999;53:1447–1450.

43. Koller WC. Essential tremor: the beginning of a new era. Mov Disord 1997;12:S14–S41, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.870120602.

44. Hubble JP, Busenbark KL, Wilkinson S, et al. Deep brain stimulation for essential tremor. Neurology 1996;46:1150–1153.

45. Lyons KE, Pahwa R. Deep brain stimulation and tremor. Neurotherapeutics 2008;5:331–338, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nurt.2008.01.004.

46. Pahwa R, Lyons KE, Wilkinson SB, et al. Long-term evaluation of deep brain stimulation of the thalamus. J Neurosurg 2006;104:506–512, http://dx.doi.org/10.3171/jns.2006.104.4.506.

47. Graff-Radford J, Foote KD, Mikos AE, et al. Mood and motor effects of thalamic deep brain stimulation surgery for essential tremor. Eur J Neurol 2010;17:1040–1046.

48. Ondo W, Dat Vuong K, Almaguer M, et al. Thalamic deep brain stimulation: effects on the nontarget limbs. Mov Disord 2001;16:1137–1142, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.1249.