Study of the electromagnetic background in the XENON100 experiment
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The XENON100 experiment, located at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS), aims to directly detect dark matter in the form of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) via their elastic scattering off xenon nuclei. We present a comprehensive study of the predicted electronic recoil background coming from radioactive decays inside the detector and shield materials, and intrinsic radioactivity in the liquid xenon. Based on GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulations using a detailed geometry together with the measured radioactivity of all detector components, we predict an electronic recoil background in the WIMP-search energy range and 30 kg fiducial mass of less than $10^{-2}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$, consistent with the experiment’s design goal. The predicted background spectrum is in very good agreement with the data taken during the commissioning of the detector in Fall 2009.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 29.40.-n, 34.80.Dp

I. INTRODUCTION

For all experiments dealing with very low signal rates, such as dark matter or double beta decay searches, the reduction and discrimination of the background is one of the most important and difficult tasks. As the sensitivity of these experiments keeps increasing, the fight against the background remains crucial.

The XENON100 detector, which is installed at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS), Italy, is the second generation detector within the XENON program, dedicated to the direct detection of particle dark matter in the form of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) [1]. It is the successor of XENON10 [2], which has set some of the best limits on WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-sections [3, 4]. XENON100 aims to improve this sensitivity due to an increase of the target mass and a significant reduction of the background in the target volume.

In the standard scenario, WIMPs are expected to elastically scatter off xenon nuclei resulting in low energy nuclear recoils. Neutrons passing through the detector also produce nuclear recoils of similar energy, whereas gamma rays and electrons produce electronic recoils. This opens the possibility to efficiently reject the electromagnetic background using various discrimination techniques. Experiments like XENON100 distinguish electronic interactions from nuclear recoils based on a different ratio in the yield of scintillation light (primary signal, S1) and ionization charge (secondary signal, S2). Using this discrimination technique, XENON10 and XENON100 reached an electronic recoil rejection efficiency better than 99% at 50% nuclear recoil acceptance [3, 5].

The main sources of electronic recoil background in XENON100 are radioactive contamination of the materials used to construct the detector and the shield, intrinsic radioactivity in the LXe target, and the decays of $^{222}$Rn and its progeny inside the detector shield. Even if the electronic recoil rejection efficiency, based on the ratio of the scintillation and ionization signals, is high, a potential statistical leakage of electronic recoil events into the nuclear recoil region can mimic a dark matter signal. One way to handle this background is a well-planned detector design avoiding the presence of radioactive materials close to the active volume.

During the design phase of XENON100, all detector
materials and components have been carefully selected based on measurements of their radioactive contamination in order to achieve a low background level. The background is further suppressed by improvements on the passive shield and by surrounding the target volume with an active LXe veto layer.

In this paper we summarize the effort to use extensive Monte Carlo simulations to predict the electronic recoil background of XENON100 from natural radioactivity in the detector and shield components, and to study the background reduction by applying fiducial volume and veto coincidence cuts. Section II describes the detector model which has been used in the simulations. The predicted electronic recoil background from the detector and shield materials is discussed in Section III, the background from the decays of $^{222}$Rn and its progenies in the shield cavity in Section IV, and the background from radon and krypton in LXe in Section V. The comparison of the background model with the measured background spectrum is presented in Section VI, and conclusions are drawn in Section VII.

II. XENON100 DETECTOR DESIGN AND MODEL SIMULATED WITH THE GEANT4 TOOLKIT

The XENON100 detector is a dual phase time-projection chamber (TPC). The total amount of 161 kg of LXe is enclosed in the vacuum insulated cryostat, made from the low activity stainless steel of type 1.4571/316Ti (316Ti SS). The target consists of 62 kg of LXe, defined by a structure made from polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, Teflon) and copper. The target volume is viewed by two arrays of photomultiplier tubes (PMT), one on the bottom immersed in LXe, and one in the gas phase above the target volume. The electric fields in the TPC are generated by applying potential differences across the electrodes, which are made of stainless steel meshes welded onto 316Ti SS rings. They include two electrodes on the bottom of the TPC above the bottom PMT array, and a stack of three electrodes at the liquid-gas interface.

In order to simulate the response of the detector to various types of particles and to predict the intrinsic and ambient electronic recoil background, a detailed model (Figure 1) has been created with the GEANT4 toolkit [6]. Table I shows the amount of materials used for the detector construction, computed from the model and in agreement with the actual detector.

The passive shield, with $4\pi$ coverage of the detector, is installed on a 25 cm polyethylene slab (not shown). From outside to inside, it consists of tanks filled with water (thickness 20 cm, not shown) to shield against ambient neutrons, placed on 4 sides of the shield box. After the water shield, there are two layers of lead: a 15 cm outer layer and a 5 cm inner layer with low level of the radioactive isotope $^{210}$Pb (Table I). Inside the lead box, there are 20 cm of polyethylene against neutron background. The innermost shield layer consists of 5 cm thick (0.5 cm on the bottom) copper plates. It reduces the gamma background from the outer shield layers. Highly radioactive detector components are mounted outside of the shield, for example signal and high voltage feedthroughs, vacuum pumps, pressure sensors and associated electronics. An innovative detector design feature, which has contributed to the low background rate of XENON100, is the mounting of the cryogenics system, based on a pulse tube refrigerator, outside the passive shield, far from the LXe target.

The cylindrical TPC is formed by 24 interlocking PTFE panels. PTFE reflects scintillation light with high efficiency for vacuum ultraviolet [7], and optically separates the 62 kg target volume from the surrounding LXe, which is in average 4 cm thick and has a total mass of 99 kg. This allows to exploit the self-shielding capability of LXe due to its high density and high atomic number. In addition, this LXe volume around the target is instru-

![FIG. 1: The GEANT4 model of the XENON100 detector and its shield: A - outer lead layer, B - inner lead layer with low $^{210}$Pb contamination, C - polyethylene shield, D - copper shield; 1 - pipes to the PMT feedthroughs and pumping ports, 2 - stainless steel cryostat, 3 - top and side top PMT arrays in the veto, 4 - top PMT array in the TPC, in the gas phase inside the ‘diving bell’, 5 - lead brick for calibration with $^{241}$AmBe neutron source, 6 - TPC wall (PTFE panels), 7 - copper pipe for calibration sources, 8 - bottom PMT array in the TPC, 9 - bottom and side bottom PMT array in in the veto, 10 - support bars for the cryostat. The water shield and an additional polyethylene layer on the bottom are not shown.](image-url)
TABLE I: Materials used to construct the XENON100 detector and shield, and their radioactive contamination from measurements at underground facilities at LNGS [12], as used for Monte Carlo simulations. The cryostat vessels with the top flange and pipes, and the 'diving bell' system are made from the grade 316Ti SS and shown as one unit. The resistive voltage divider network for the TPC drift field is simplified in the model with a thin tube. The PMTs made from CaF₂ have been screened fully assembled, with the resistors and capacitors.

| Component                                      | Amount [g] | 238U / 226Ra | 232Th | 60Co | 40K | Other Nuclides |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------|------|-----|---------------|
| Cryostat and 'diving bell' (316Ti SS)          | 73.61 kg   | 0.10          | 1.10  | 0.40 | 1.0 | 3.78          |
| Support bars (316Ti SS)                        | 11.56 kg   | 0.10          | 1.10  | 0.40 | 1.0 | 3.78          |
| Detector PTFE                                  | 3.88 kg    | 0.10          | 1.10  | 0.40 | 1.0 | 3.78          |
| Detector copper                                | 242 pieces | 60.50 kg      | 111.32 | 1120.0 | 0.1 | 3.78          |
| PMTs                                           | 242 pieces | 38.72 kg      | 16.94 | 2.42 | 38.72 | 1089.8       |
| PMT bases                                      | 242 pieces | 38.72 kg      | 16.94 | 2.42 | 38.72 | 1089.8       |
| TPC resistor chain                             | 1.5 × 10⁻³ kg | 1.11 kg       | 0.57  | 0.12 | 7.79 |              |
| Bottom electrodes (316Ti SS)                   | 0.23 kg    | 0.43          | 0.45  | 2.14 | 2.36 |              |
| Top electrodes (316Ti SS)                      | 0.24 kg    | 0.85          | 0.43  | 1.73 | 1.16 |              |
| PMT cables                                     | 1.80 kg    | 1.08          | 0.97  | 0.37 | 18.65 | 1089mAg: 2.67 |
| Copper shield                                  | 2.1 × 10⁴ kg | 170.80 kg     | 24.69 | 6.59 | 80.26 |              |
| Polystyrene shield                             | 1.6 × 10³ kg | 368.0 kg      | 150.4 | 5.5  | 1120.0 |             |
| Lead shield (inner layer)                      | 6.6 × 10³ kg | 4.3 × 10⁵ kg  | 3.6 × 10⁴ | 7.2 × 10⁴ | 9.6 × 10⁵ | 210Pb: 1.7 × 10⁸ |
| Lead shield (outer layer)                      | 27.2 × 10³ kg | 1.1 × 10⁵ kg  | 1.4 × 10⁴ | 2.9 × 10⁴ | 3.8 × 10⁵ | 210Pb: 1.4 × 10¹⁰ |

TABLE II: Mass model of the R8520-06-AL PMT [8]. The last two materials are not included in the GEANT4 model due to their low mass.

| PMT part                        | Material          | Weight [g] |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Metal package and stem pins     | Kovar metal       | 13.0       |
| Electrodes                      | Stainless steel   | 7.0        |
| Glass for window                | Synthetic silica  | 2.0        |
| Glass in stem                   | Borosilicate glass| 1.0        |
| Aluminum ring                   | Al                | 0.1        |
| Insulator                       | Ceramic           | 0.04       |
| Getter                           | ZrAl              | 0.02       |

The gas phase for charge amplification is maintained with PMTs, becoming an active veto for background reduction by rejecting events in which a particle deposits part of its energy in the veto volume. The cryostat is supported inside the shield by the 316Ti SS bars, which are mounted onto the movable shield door. The thickness of the inner and outer cryostat walls is 1.5 mm and the total weight of the vessel is 70.0 kg, which is only 30% of that of the XENON10 detector’s cryostat [2]. The inner vessel containing the LXe is lined on the walls and the bottom with a 1.5 mm thick PTFE layer in order to increase the light collection efficiency in the active veto volume.

Electrons created by ionization in the LXe target are drifted upwards by a strong electric field created by applying voltage on the cathode, installed on the bottom of the TPC. In order to shield the bottom PMTs from this electric field, an additional grounded electrode is installed below the cathode.

The gas phase for charge amplification is maintained using a ‘diving bell’ system, made from 316Ti SS with a total weight 3.6 kg. It allows to keep the liquid level constant at a precise height while having an additional layer of LXe above the TPC. A slight overpressure in the bell is provided by the gas returning from the continuous recirculation system, and the height of the gas outlet from the bell can be changed by a motion feedthrough in order to adjust the liquid level.

An extraction field is created across the liquid-gas interface by applying high voltage on the anode, which is placed inside the ‘diving bell’. Two additional electrodes are installed below and above the anode and are kept at ground potential in order to close the field cage and shield the top PMT array from the high electric field. Ionization electrons are extracted into the gas phase and accelerated, producing the proportional scintillation (S2) signal [9]. The gaps between the top electrodes are 5 mm, and the liquid level is adjusted between the lower two of them. In the background model, only the 316Ti SS support rings for the electrodes are considered, given that the meshes are ~100 μm thick and have a very low mass, leading to a negligible background from their radioactivity.

The prompt (S1) and the proportional scintillation light (S2) is detected by 242 1”-square R8520-06-AL Hamamatsu PMTs. They are among the lowest radioactivity PMTs, and are optimized to operate in LXe. The top PMT array consists of 98 PMTs, mounted in a concentric pattern in a PTFE support structure inside the ‘diving bell’. The bottom PMT array consists of 80 PMTs, mounted below the cathode in the LXe, and arranged in a rectangular pattern in order to maximize the photocathode coverage. Additionally, 64 PMTs view LXe of the veto volume: 16 PMTs above and below the TPC, and 32 observing the sides. The components of the PMT are shown in Table II. In the GEANT4 model, a PMT is simplified with a stainless steel case and a synthetic silica window inside a thin aluminum ring. A PMT...
is supplied with high voltage through the voltage divider circuit mounted on a base made from Cirlex. The base is approximated in the model as a homogenous unit.

The XENON100 data acquisition system (DAQ) digitizes the full waveform of the 242 PMTs at 100 MHz, where the time window for an event is 400 µs, more than twice the maximum electron drift time. If a particle has deposited energy at multiple places in the target, then two or more S2 pulses are recorded in the trace. Such an event is a multiple scatter event and is rejected in the analysis since the predicted behavior of the WIMP, due to its very low scattering cross-section, is a single scatter event.

For the calculation of the final background rate in the Monte Carlo simulations, multiple scatter events are rejected taking into account the finite position resolution of the detector. A multiple scatter event is considered as a single scatter event if the interactions happen less than 3 mm apart in Z. This position resolution is given by the width of the S2 signals and the peak separation efficiency of the S2 peak finder algorithm.

III. BACKGROUND DUE TO RADIOACTIVE CONTAMINATION IN THE DETECTOR AND SHIELD MATERIALS

Special care has been taken to select detector and shield materials according to their radioactive contamination. Before detector construction, the majority of materials planned to be used were screened with low background Ge detectors in order to determine their intrinsic radioactivity, mostly due to residual $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, $^{40}\text{K}$, and $^{60}\text{Co}$ contamination. XENON has access to a dedicated screening facility underground at LNGS, the Gator detector [10]. Moreover, the LNGS screening facility, with some of the most sensitive Ge detectors in the world [11], has also been used.

The radioactive contamination of the materials used for detector and shield construction is shown in Table I. The results of the screening [12] do not exclude the possibility of the radioactive disequilibrium in $^{238}\text{U}$ chain in some materials. However, isotopes before $^{226}\text{Ra}$ in the decay chain have a very low intensity of $\gamma$-rays, and their contribution to the electronic recoil background is not significant. Thus, secular equilibrium has been assumed for the present study.

Decays of the radioactive isotopes in the materials listed in Table I have been simulated with GEANT4, and the corresponding background rates have been calculated. The measured activities have been used as an input information for the Monte Carlo simulations and background predictions. For the analysis presented here, the upper limits are treated as detection values.

Figure 2 shows the predicted spectra in the entire energy range, and Figure 3 in the region of interest. The energy range for the background rate calculation is chosen to be sufficiently wide, up to 100 keV, to include the signal region for inelastic dark matter which is predicted to be in a higher energy range than the one from standard elastic WIMP scattering [13]. The effect of the discrimination between multiple and single scatter events on the background rate can be seen in Figure 2: the multiple scatter behavior of incident gamma rays is typical for higher energies, whereas single scatter events dominate in the low energy region, and the multiple scatter cut does not yield a significant reduction of the background rate. Further background reduction can be achieved with fiducial volume cuts.

In Figure 3 several characteristic X-rays can be seen. The xenon K-shell fluorescence peaks appear at 30 keV and 34 keV. The X-ray peaks at 15, 75, 85 and 90 keV are...
from Pb and Bi close to the target volume, for example in PTFE walls. In addition, there is a 46 keV gamma line from $^{210}$Pb decay, and 63 keV gamma line from the decay of $^{234}$Th. Due to their short mean free path, these low energy lines can be observed only at the edge of the LXe volume. After applying a cut on the position of the interactions, the peaks disappear and the spectrum becomes relatively flat in the low energy region. The background rate is thus presented as the average below 100 keV.

The spatial distribution of the single scatter electronic recoil events in the region of interest is presented in Figure 4. The radial cut rejects events at the edge of the target volume, originating mostly from radioactive decays in the PTFE of the TPC and the 316Ti SS of the cryostat vessels. The background from the PMTs, PMT bases, ‘diving bell’, and the electrodes can be efficiently reduced by rejecting events within the top and bottom layers of LXe.

The effect of the active LXe veto is presented in Figure 5, showing the total rate as a function of the energy threshold in the veto volume. The measured efficiency of the veto coincidence cut has been implemented in the Monte Carlo simulations. The average energy threshold in the veto measured with a collimated $^{137}$Cs source is about 100 keV.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**FIG. 4:** (Color online) Predicted electronic recoil background from detector and shield materials, excluding intrinsic radioactivity in the LXe. Shown are single scatter events with energy below 100 keV in the TPC, without veto cut. $Z = 0$ cm corresponds to the liquid-gas interface. The cathode mesh is located at $Z = -304.5$ mm. The dashed line shows the 40 kg fiducial volume, and the solid line illustrates the 30 kg fiducial volume optimized to minimize the background.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**FIG. 5:** (Color online) Predicted background rate from the detector and shield materials in the energy range below 100 keV, as a function of the energy threshold in the active veto. The average energy threshold in the veto measured with a collimated $^{137}$Cs source is about 100 keV.

Table III presents the energy averaged rates of single scatter electronic recoil from detector materials in the region of interest, below 100 keV. The background rate correlation of the energy deposition in the active veto and target volume: events that deposit a small amount of energy in the target volume are likely to have deposited a larger amount of energy in the veto volume.

![Figure 6](image3.png)

**FIG. 6:** (Color online) The predicted background from the detector and shield materials (thick black line) in the 30 kg fiducial mass without veto cut, together with the individual contributions from the PMTs (solid line), the cryostat with pipes and ‘diving bell’ (dash dotted line), PMT bases (long dashed line). The short dashed line shows the summed background from all other components: detector PTFE and copper, cryostat support bars, TPC resistor chain, top and bottom electrodes, PMT cables, and copper and polyethylene shield.
TABLE III: Predicted rate of single scatter electronic recoil events in the energy region below 100 keV, in the entire target volume and in 40 kg and 30 kg fiducial volumes, with and without the veto cut with an average energy threshold of 100 keV. The statistical errors of the simulation are <1%. The background from the lead shield is negligible.

| Volume                  | Single electronic recoils [×10⁻⁴ events·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹·keV⁻¹] |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                         | 62 kg target     | 40 kg fiducial | 30 kg fiducial |
| Veto cut                | none | active | none | active | none | active |
| Cryostat and ‘diving bell’ (316Ti SS) | 21.00 | 6.77 | 2.63 | 0.65 | 1.78 | 0.47 |
| Support bars (316Ti SS) | 1.05 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| Detector PTFE           | 3.47 | 2.89 | 0.05 | 1.5×10⁻² | 3.4×10⁻² | 0.01 |
| Detector copper         | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 4.7×10⁻³ | 1.2×10⁻² | 2.6×10⁻³ |
| PMTs                    | 89.13 | 51.97 | 7.86 | 2.16 | 3.98 | 1.13 |
| PMT bases               | 15.95 | 10.26 | 0.86 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 0.12 |
| TPC resistor chain      | 1.7×10⁻⁴ | 1.2×10⁻⁴ | 2.7×10⁻⁶ | 7.1×10⁻⁷ | 2.1×10⁻⁶ | 5.7×10⁻⁷ |
| Bottom electrodes (316Ti SS) | 0.93 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 6.4×10⁻³ | 0.02 | 4.1×10⁻³ |
| Top electrodes (316Ti SS) | 1.02 | 0.55 | 0.03 | 7.0×10⁻³ | 0.01 | 4.6×10⁻³ |
| PMT cables              | 0.56 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| Copper shield           | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| Polyethylene shield     | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Total                   | 134.39 | 73.66 | 11.93 | 3.18 | 6.54 | 1.83 |

has been predicted for the entire 62 kg LXe target, and for two fiducial volumes: a simple 40 kg cylindrical fiducial volume used in the analysis of the first XENON100 data [5], and a 30 kg fiducial volume cut optimized to minimize the background.

The low energy Monte Carlo spectrum of the background from the detector and shield materials is shown together with the individual contributions in Figure 6, for the 30 kg fiducial mass without veto cut. The background rate is dominated by the PMTs (≈65% of the total background from all detector and shield materials), and the 316Ti SS cryostat, pipes and ‘diving bell’ (other ≈25%). The dominant contribution to the background from the PMTs is originating from the 60Co and 40K contamination (50% and 34%, respectively). The main contaminant in the 316Ti SS is 60Co, which is responsible for almost 70% of the total background from this material. Components as detector PTFE and copper, cryostat support bars, TPC resistor chain, top and bottom electrodes, PMT cables, copper and polyethylene shield contribute <10% to the total background rate from the detector and shield materials.

IV. BACKGROUND FROM RADON IN THE SHIELD CAVITY

A potentially dangerous background for XENON100 is the gamma background from the decay of 222Rn daughters inside the shield cavity. The average measured radon activity in the LNGS tunnel at the location of the experiment is ∼350 Bq/m³ (Figure 7, top). Therefore, the shield cavity with a total volume 0.58 m³ is constantly flushed with nitrogen gas when the shield door is closed. Nevertheless, a certain amount of radon can still be present. During the science runs, a low and constant 222Rn concentration is kept inside the shield. It is continuously monitored as shown in Figure 7, bottom. The measured values are at the limit of the sensitivity of the radon monitor. No correlation can be seen between the radon concentration inside and outside the shield.

Figure 8 shows the predicted background rate due to 222Rn as a function of its concentration inside the shield. Without veto cut, the background rate from 1 Bq/m³ of 222Rn in the shield is 6×10⁻³ events·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹·keV⁻¹ for the entire target mass of 62 kg, 9×10⁻⁴ events·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹·keV⁻¹ in the 40 kg fiducial volume, and 2×10⁻⁴ events·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹·keV⁻¹ in the 30 kg fiducial volume.
30 kg fiducial volume. For the 30 kg fiducial mass, this is less than 2% of the background from the detector and shield materials. Moreover, the measured radon concentration is well below 1 Bq/m$^3$.

V. BACKGROUND DUE TO INTRINSIC KRYPTON AND RADON RADIOACTIVITY

There is no long-lived radioactive xenon isotope, with the exception of the potential double beta emitter $^{136}$Xe, with the half-life limits of $>7\times10^{23}$ years and $>1.1\times10^{22}$ years for the neutrinoless and 2$\nu$ double beta decay, respectively [14].

Commercially available xenon gas, where purification is performed by distillation and adsorption-based chromatography, has a concentration of natural krypton at the ppm level. Natural krypton contains about $10^{-11}$ g/g of radioactive $^{85}$Kr. The background from the beta decay of $^{85}$Kr, with $T_{1/2} = 10.76$ years and endpoint energy 687 keV, is a potential limitation in the sensitivity of rare-event searches using xenon targets.

The gas used in the XENON100 experiment has been processed at a commercial distillation plant to reduce the concentration of krypton to $<10$ ppb. The high-temperature getter used in the experiment to purify xenon from water and electronegative contaminants does not remove the noble gas krypton. Therefore, an additional gas purification has been performed by cryogenic distillation. The reduction of krypton concentration down to a few ppt has been reported in Ref. [15], with a distillation column very similar to the one procured by XENON100.

Levels of radioactive trace contaminations in xenon might vary at different stages of the experiment, as they strongly depend on purification processes. The background rate from intrinsic radioactivity in LXe has thus been predicted for different concentrations of $^{222}$Rn in LXe, and is shown in Figure 9.

Another intrinsic source of background is the decay of $^{222}$Rn daughters in the LXe. Radon is present in the LXe due to emanation from detector materials and the getter, and diffusion of the gas through the seals.

In the Monte Carlo simulation, $^{222}$Rn decays are generated uniformly in the LXe. Only the part of the chain before $^{210}$Pb is considered, since the relatively long half-life of 22.3 years for $^{210}$Pb results in radioactive

![Figure 8](image1.png)  
**FIG. 8:** (Color online) Predicted rate of single electronic recoils with energy below 100 keV as a function of $^{222}$Rn concentration in the shield cavity for different fiducial masses. As a reference value, the horizontal dashed line corresponds to a background rate of $10^{-3}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$.

![Figure 9](image2.png)  
**FIG. 9:** Rate of single electronic recoils from $^{85}$Kr decay in the energy region below 100 keV as a function of the concentration of natural Kr in the LXe. Fiducial and veto cuts are inefficient for this intrinsic background source. As a reference value, the horizontal dashed line corresponds to a background rate of $10^{-3}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$.

![Figure 10](image3.png)  
**FIG. 10:** (Color online) Predicted background rate below 100 keV as a function of $^{222}$Rn concentration in the LXe. As a reference value, the horizontal dashed line corresponds to a background rate of $10^{-3}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$.
disequilibrium in the decay chain. The predicted background rate in the energy region below 100 keV is shown in Figure 10 as a function of the $^{222}\text{Rn}$ concentration in the LXe.

A background contribution from each intrinsic radioactive source of less than $10^{-3}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$, which is used as a reference value, translates into a concentration of nat$^{85}\text{Kr}$ below 50 ppt, and an activity of $^{222}\text{Rn}$ in LXe of <20 µBq/kg in the entire target mass of 62 kg. The background from $^{222}\text{Rn}$ daughters in the LXe can be reduced by a fiducial volume cut, removing decays at the edge of the target volume which are likely to produce high energy gamma rays with a longer mean free path which escape the target volume. For the 40 kg and 30 kg fiducial volumes, a background level of $10^{-3}$ events kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ corresponds to 35 µBq/kg.

VI. COMPARISON OF THE PREDICTIONS WITH THE MEASURED DATA

During the commissioning run in Fall 2009 [5], the level of krypton in the LXe has been measured with a delayed coincidence analysis using a decay channel where $^{85}\text{Kr}$ undergoes a beta-decay with $E_{\text{max}} = 173.4$ keV to $^{85m}\text{Rb}$ ($\tau = 1.46$ µs), which in turn decays to the ground state emitting a gamma-ray with an energy of 514 keV. The concentration of nat$^{85}\text{Kr}$ in the LXe determined with this technique is $143\pm90$ ppt [mol/mol], assuming a $^{85}\text{Kr}$ abundance of 10$^{-11}$.

The $^{222}\text{Rn}$ level in the LXe has been determined using a beta-alpha time coincidence analysis, where events corresponding to the decays of $^{214}\text{Bi}$ ($T_{1/2} = 19.7$ min, $E_{\text{max}} = 3.27$ MeV) and $^{214}\text{Po}$ ($T_{1/2} = 164$ µs, $E_{\alpha} = 7.69$ MeV) are tagged. Based on this analysis, the upper limit on the $^{222}\text{Rn}$ activity in LXe is <21 µBq/kg.

The volumetric activity of $^{222}\text{Rn}$ inside the shield cavity has been continuously monitored during this commissioning run and was always below 1 Bq/m³.

A comparison of the measured background spectrum and the Monte Carlo simulation for the 30 kg fiducial volume without veto cut is shown in Figure 11. The energy region below 100 keV is shown separately in Figure 12. For optimal energy resolution and improved linearity, the energy scale of the measured spectrum exploits the anti-correlation between the light and the charge [16]: S1 and S2 are combined according to $E = \frac{S1}{4.4} + S2/132.6$ [keV]. The simulated spectrum is smeared with a Gaussian function using the energy resolution measured with calibration sources: $\sigma(E) = 0.009 + 0.485/\sqrt{E}$ [keV]. The contribution from the detector and shield materials is scaled based on the screening values shown in Table I. The upper limits from materials screening are used as fixed values for the scaling.

For the level of $^{222}\text{Rn}$ in the shield cavity we used the value measured with a dedicated radon monitor, and for the $^{222}\text{Rn}$ level in the LXe - the value determined with the delayed coincidence analysis. The level of krypton has been inferred from the best fit of the simulated to the measured spectrum, and is in agreement with the value obtained from the delayed coincidence method.

Very good agreement of the background model with the data is achieved for the low energy region, below 700 keV, and for the main peaks: $^{214}\text{Pb}$ (352 keV), $^{208}\text{TI}$ (583 keV), $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (662 keV), $^{60}\text{Co}$ (1173 and 1332 keV), and $^{40}\text{K}$ (1460 keV). In particular, simulated and measured background spectra agree well in the energy region of interest, below 100 keV (Figure 12). The predicted rates of single scatter electronic recoil events in the energy region of interest are presented in Table IV.
TABLE IV: Summary of the predicted electronic recoil background: rate of single scatter events in the energy region below 100 keV, before S2/S1 discrimination. The veto cut with an average energy threshold of 100 keV has been applied.

| Volume          | Predicted rate | 62 kg target | 40 kg fiducial | 30 kg fiducial |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Veto cut        |                | none         | active         | none           |
| Detector and shield materials | 134.39 | 73.66 | 11.93 | 3.18 | 6.54 | 1.83 |
| $^{222}$Rn in the shield (1 Bq/m³) | 5.95 | 1.72 | 0.92 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.02 |
| $^{85}$Kr in LXe (120 ppt of $^{nat}$Kr) | 2.35 | 2.35 | 2.35 | 2.35 | 2.35 | 2.35 |
| $^{222}$Rn in LXe (21 µBq/kg) | 1.04 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.53 | 0.37 |
| All sources     | 143.73         | 78.24        | 15.76          | 6.07           | 9.58           | 4.57           |

In the 30 kg fiducial volume, $^{85}$Kr contributes $\sim$30% to the total background without veto cut, and 55% when a veto coincidence cut with an average energy threshold of 100 keV is applied. The contribution from $^{222}$Rn in the LXe is $<7\%$, from $^{222}$Rn in the shield cavity $<2\%$ of the total background rate in the energy region of interest.

The disagreement between simulated and measured spectra above $\sim$1.5 MeV is caused by non-linear effects in the PMT response, which results in a worse performance of the position reconstruction algorithms, changing the rate in the fiducial volumes and leading to a worsening of the position dependent signal corrections.

Using only the sources described in the previous sections, the background model shows a deficit in the 700-1100 keV range. Most of this deficit can be explained by cosmogenic activation of the stainless steel parts during materials storage and the detector construction at the ground level, in particular $^{54}$Mn isotope with the half-life time of 312 days. The activity assumed in the present study is 1.25 mBq/kg, and the decays have been generated uniformly in all parts made of 316Ti SS. This value is well below the conservative limit (2.14 mBq/kg), assuming the production rate of $^{54}$Mn in 316Ti SS at LNGS altitude of 6.5±0.7 mBq/kg [17], and taking into account that in Fall 2009 the detector had been underground almost 500 days. Radioactive isotopes $^{58}$Co, $^{56}$Co, and $^{46}$Sc can be also produced by cosmic rays in the stainless steel, and emit high energy $\gamma$-rays. Their decays have been included in the background model assuming saturation activities at LNGS altitude [17]. However, due to short half-life times of $\sim$80 days their contribution is negligible. The predicted background from the cosmogenic activation in the stainless steel is at the level of $10^{-4}$ events-kg$^{-1}$-day$^{-1}$-keV$^{-1}$, thus about 5% of that from natural radioactivity in the same components.

The cosmogenic activation of natural xenon during storage at Earth’s surface might be responsible for the remaining discrepancy. This has been studied assuming 1 year of activation and 2 years of cooldown time with the ACTIVIA [18] and COSMO [19] simulation packages. Both use semi-empirical formulae [20] to estimate the cross-sections of nuclear processes. The production rates predicted by the packages differ by one order of magnitude and more, and the remaining discrepancy around 1100 keV seen in Figure 11 cannot be explained without destroying the remarkable agreement in other energy ranges. A similar calculation has been performed for a natural xenon target in Ref. [21] using the TALYS code [22], and the published results do not agree with either ACTIVIA or COSMO in terms of isotopes produced by cosmogenic activation and their production yields.

The theoretical spectrum of the $2\nu$ double beta decay of $^{136}$Xe is also shown in Figure 11, assuming the half-life limit of $1.1\times10^{22}$ years [14]. Its contribution does not change the total background spectrum significantly, thus it can be concluded that the small remaining discrepancy between measured and simulated spectra cannot be explained by this potential background source. The predicted energy averaged background rate from the $2\nu$ $\beta\beta$ decay of $^{136}$Xe is at the level of $10^{-6}$ events-kg$^{-1}$-day$^{-1}$-keV$^{-1}$ below 100 keV, three orders of magnitude lower than the background from other components.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

An extensive study to predict the electronic recoil background of the XENON100 experiment has been performed. The study is based on Monte Carlo simulations with GEANT4 using a detailed mass model of the detector and its shield, and the measured radioactivity values of all relevant detector components.

The design goal of XENON100, to gain a factor of 100 reduction in background rate compared to XENON10 (0.6 events kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ keV⁻¹ [3]), has been achieved. This has been possible thanks to a selection of all detector materials, an innovative design of the cryogenic system, the use of an active LXe veto, and an improved passive shield.

The predicted rate of single scatter electronic recoil events in the energy region below 100 keV, without veto coincidence cut is 15.8×10⁻³ (9.6×10⁻³) events kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ keV⁻¹ for 40 kg (30 kg) fiducial mass (Table IV). By applying a veto cut with an average energy threshold of 100 keV, these rates are reduced to 6.1×10⁻³ (4.6×10⁻³) events kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ keV⁻¹ for 40 kg (30 kg) fiducial mass. The discrimination between electron and nuclear recoils based on the ratio of proportional to primary scintillation light (S₂/S₁) is not considered in this paper, and provides a further background reduction of >99%.

From the good agreement between Monte Carlo simulation and measured data, as shown in Figures 11 and 12, and the predicted background rates from Table IV, it can be concluded that the electronic recoil background in the XENON100 experiment during the commissioning run in Fall 2009 [5] is dominated by the natural radioactivity in the detector materials. With an optimized fiducial volume cut and an active veto cut, the background rate in the energy region of interest can be reduced down to a level where radioactive ⁸⁵Kr in LXe starts to dominate.

The results of the present work are not only important for understanding the electromagnetic background in the XENON100 experiment and the validation of the background model, but can be also useful for the design of next-generation detectors for dark matter searches, such as XENON1t or DARWIN [23].
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