ON $BP(2)$-COOPERATIONS

D. CULVER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we develop techniques to compute the cooperations algebra for the second truncated Brown-Peterson spectrum $BP(2)$. We prove that the cooperations algebra $BP(2) \wedge BP(2)$ decomposes as a direct sum of a $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space concentrated in Adams filtration 0 and a $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0, v_1, v_2]$-module which is concentrated in even degrees and $v_2$-torsion free. A recursive procedure is also developed to provide an basis of the $v_2$-torsion free part.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to give a description of the algebra of cooperations for the second truncated Brown-Peterson spectrum, denoted by $BP(2)$, at the prime 2. At chromatic height 1, the cooperations algebra of $BP(1)$ was computed by Adams in [1]. At the prime
is 2, the spectrum $BP\langle 1 \rangle$ is the 2-localization of the connective complex $K$-theory, denoted by $bu$, and when the prime is odd, $BP\langle 1 \rangle$ is the Adams summand of connective complex $K$-theory. In his calculation, Adams observed that the $E_2$-page of the Adams spectral sequence for $BP\langle 1 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 1 \rangle$ has a non-canonical direct sum decomposition

$$\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, (A//E(1))_* ) \simeq V \oplus \mathcal{C}$$

where the subspace $V$ is concentrated in Adams filtration 0 and $\mathcal{C}$ is $v_1$-torsion free. Adams also gave a complete description of $\mathcal{C}$ in terms of Adams covers of $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

The interest in studying $BP\langle 2 \rangle$-cooperations originates in Mark Mahowald’s work on the Adams spectral sequence based on connective real $K$-theory, denoted as $bo$. Armed with his calculation of $bo_*bo$, Mahowald proved the $2$-primary $v_1$-telescope conjecture in [6]. With Wolfgang Lellmann, he was able to compute the $bo$-based Adams spectral sequence for the sphere, and showed that it collapses in a large range (cf. [7]). These calculations have been extended in [2]. At chromatic height 2 and $p = 2$, the role of $bo$ is played by $tmf$ and the role of $bu$ is played by the spectrum $tmf_1(3)$, in that it is a form of $BP\langle 2 \rangle$ (cf. [5]). Partial calculations of $tmf_1tmf$ have been achieved in [4].

The goal of this work is to compute the cooperations algebra for $tmf_1(3)$. This is motivated by the fact that one can descend from $tmf_1(3)$ to $tmf$ through the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence of the cosimplicial resolution

$$ tmf^\wedge 2 \longrightarrow tmf_1(3)^\wedge 2 \longrightarrow (tmf_1(3)^\wedge tmf^\wedge 2)^\wedge 2 \longrightarrow \cdots $$

Since the spectrum $tmf_1(3)$ is a form of $BP\langle 2 \rangle$, for the purposes of calculations, we can replace $tmf_1(3)$ by $BP\langle 2 \rangle$. Consequently, a natural choice for computing the cooperations algebra is the Adams spectral sequence

$$ \text{Ext}_{A_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, H_*(BP\langle 2 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 2 \rangle; \mathbb{F}_2)) \twoheadrightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle_*BP\langle 2 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^\wedge.$$ 

There are two main contributions of this paper. The first is a structural result regarding the algebra $BP\langle 2 \rangle_*BP\langle 2 \rangle$. In particular, we will show there is a direct sum decomposition into a vector space $V$ concentrated in Adams filtration 0, and a $v_2$-torsion free component. The second is an inductive calculation of $BP\langle 2 \rangle_*BP\langle 2 \rangle$. This inductive calculation is similar to the one produced in [4]. Moreover, this
decomposition of $\text{BP}(2)_*\text{BP}(2)$ implies that the methods developed in [2] to calculate the bo-ASS can be applied to the $\text{BP}(2)$-ASS. One of our goals for later work is to prove an analogous splitting for tmf, tmf and develop the tmf-resolution as a computational device.

**Conventions.** We will let $A$ denote the mod 2 Steenrod algebra and $A_*$ its dual. We will let $\xi_k$ denote the conjugate of the generator $\xi_k$ in the dual Steenrod algebra $A_*$. Given a Hopf algebra $B$ and a comodule $M$ over $B$, we will often abbreviate $\text{Ext}_B(F_2, M)$ to $\text{Ext}_B(M)$. Homology and cohomology are implicitly with mod 2 coefficients. All spectra are implicitly 2-complete.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Mark Behrens for suggesting this project and for innumerable helpful discussions along the way. Special thanks also go to Stephan Stolz for many enlightening exchanges and for carefully reading an earlier draft of this paper. I would also like to thank Prasit Bhattacharya and Nicolas Ricka for several helpful conversations. I would also like to thank Doug Ravenel for suggesting that I prove the topological version of Theorem 2.1. Finally, I thank Dylan Wilson and Paul VanKoughnett for pointing out an error in my original approach to proving Theorem 2.1.

2. The Adams spectral sequence for $\text{BP}(2) \wedge \text{BP}(2)$

In this section, we will prove $\text{BP}(2)_*\text{BP}(2)$ decomposes into a $v_2$-torsion and $v_2$-torsion free component. This will be accomplished through the Adams spectral sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{A_*}(F_2, H_*(\text{BP}(2) \wedge \text{BP}(2))) \Rightarrow \text{BP}(2)_*\text{BP}(2).$$

In particular, we will begin by determining the structure of the $E_2$-page. Recall that the mod 2 homology of $\text{BP}(2)$ is given by

$$H_*\text{BP}(2) \simeq (A \parallel E(2))_*$$

where $E(2)$ denotes the subHopf algebra of the Steenrod algebra $A$ generated by the first three Milnor primitives $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2$ (cf. [1]):

$$E(2) := E(Q_0, Q_1, Q_2).$$

As a subalgebra of the dual Steenrod algebra, the homology of $\text{BP}(2)$ is explicitly given as

$$(A \parallel E(2))_* = F_2[\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \xi_3^2, \xi_4, \xi_5, \ldots],$$
see \[10\] for this calculation. By the Künneth theorem, we have
\[ H_*(BP\langle 2 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 2 \rangle) \simeq H_*BP\langle 2 \rangle \otimes H_*BP\langle 2 \rangle \]
and hence, via a change of rings, we find that the \(E_2\)-term of this spectral sequence is
\[ \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2, (A/E(2))_*) \].
Here, the dual of \(E(2)\) is given by
\[ E(2)_* \simeq E(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3). \]
The \(E(2)_*\)-comodule structure of \((A/E(2))_*\) uniquely determines, and is uniquely determined by, a corresponding \(E(2)\)-module structure (given by the dual action of \(E(2)\)). Thus we may rewrite the \(E_2\)-page as
\[ \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2, (A/E(2))_*) = \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2, (A/E(2))_*) \]
where the right hand side corresponds to Ext of modules. In order to calculate the Adams spectral sequence, ASS, we need to calculate this Ext group of modules over \(E(2)\). Recall that the Adams spectral sequence for \(BP\langle 2 \rangle\) takes the form
\[ \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2, F_2) \rightarrow \pi_*BP\langle 2 \rangle \]
and that the \(E_2\)-term is isomorphic to \(F_2[v_0, v_1, v_2]\). The main theorem of this section concerns the structure of \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2, (A/E(2))_*)\) as a module over this three variable polynomial algebra.

**Theorem 2.1.** The \(E_2\)-page of the ASS for \(BP\langle 2 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 2 \rangle\) admits a decomposition as modules over \(F_2[v_0, v_1, v_2]\) as \(\mathcal{C} \oplus V\) where \(\mathcal{C}\) is \(v_2\)-torsion free and is concentrated in even \((t-s)\)-degree, and \(V\) is concentrated in Adams filtration 0.

**Corollary 2.2.** The ASS for \(BP\langle 2 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 2 \rangle\) collapses at \(E_2\).

**Proof.** We will show how to prove this from the theorem. Suppose that \(E_r\) is the first page in which we have nontrivial differentials, and let
\[ d_r x = y \]
be such a nontrivial differential. Since \(V\) is concentrated in \(\text{Ext}^0\), it follows that \(y\) cannot be an element of \(V\), as \(y\) necessarily has Adams filtration at least \(r\). Since \(\mathcal{C}\) is concentrated in even \((t-s)\)-degree, it also follows that \(x\) cannot be an element of \(\mathcal{C}\). Thus, we have \(x \in V\) and \(y \in \mathcal{C}\). Since \(BP\langle 2 \rangle \wedge BP\langle 2 \rangle\) is a \(BP\langle 2 \rangle\)-module, the
differentials in the ASS are linear over \( \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(F_2) \). So multiplying by \( v_2 \) on the differential gives

\[
0 = d_r v_2 x = v_2 y.
\]

As \( y \in \mathcal{C} \), that \( v_2 y = 0 \) implies \( y = 0 \). And so there are no differentials on the \( E_r \)-page, contradiction. So this spectral sequence has no differentials. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.3.** The summand \( V \) satisfies

\[
v_0 V = v_1 V = v_2 V = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( V \) is concentrated in Adams filtration 0, and since the Adams filtration of \( v_0, v_1, \) and \( v_2 \) is 1, the corollary follows. \( \square \)

2.1. **The (co)module structure of \((A//E(2))_*\).** We will now describe the structure of \((A//E(2))_*\) as a module over \( E(2) \), which is necessary in order to compute \( \text{Ext} \). To do this, we will first describe the \( E(2) \)-comodule structure. Recall that the coproduct on the dual Steenrod algebra \( A_* \) is given by

\[
\psi : A_* \to A_* \otimes A_*; \zeta_n \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_{n-i}^{2i}.
\]

This formula shows that, when restricted to \((A//E(2))_*\), the coproduct satisfies

\[
\psi : (A//E(2))_* \to A_* \otimes (A//E(2))_*,
\]

making \((A//E(2))_*\) into a \( A_* \)-comodule algebra. Moding out by \((A//E(2))_*\) on the left gives a map

\[
\alpha : (A//E(2))_* \to E(2)_* \otimes (A//E(2))_*.
\]

Applying the formula for the coproduct on \( A_* \), we find that

\[
\alpha : \zeta_n \mapsto 1 \otimes \zeta_n + \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_{n-1}^{2} + \zeta_2 \otimes \zeta_{n-2}^{4} + \zeta_3 \otimes \zeta_{n-3}^{8}, \quad n > 3
\]

and

\[
\alpha : \zeta_n^2 \mapsto 1 \otimes \zeta_n^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3.
\]

Given a locally finite \( E(2)_* \)-comodule \( M \), we may define a \( E(2) \)-module structure on \( M \) via the following formula: if \( \alpha(x) \) is given by \( \sum_i x_i' \otimes x_i'' \) then define

\[
Q_n x := \sum_{i=0}^{2} \langle Q_n, x_i' \rangle x_i''.
\]

Thus

\[
Q_i \zeta_n = \zeta_{n-i-1}^{2i+1}.
\]
Since the $Q_i$ are primitive elements in $A_*$, we see that $Q_i$ is a derivation on $(A/E(2))_*$ and so we have completely determined the structure of $(A/E(2))_*$ as a module over $E(2)$. Though the module structure on $(A/E(2))_*$ is rather simple, $(A/E(2))_*$ is very large, making calculating the Ext groups difficult. The following subsections will develop means of breaking up $(A/E(2))_*$ into simpler pieces. This will rely heavily on the Margolis homology of $(A/E(2))_*$. We will now briefly review Margolis homology.

Let $P$ be a module over an exterior algebra $E(x)$ on one generator. Then the following

$$P \xrightarrow{\cdot x} P \xrightarrow{\cdot x} P \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \cdots$$

is a chain complex since $x$ squares to zero. The homology of this chain complex is called the Margolis homology of $P$, and is denoted by $M_*(P;x)$. Modules $P$ over the algebra $E(2)$ will have three different Margolis homology groups, namely the ones arising from restricting $P$ to a module over the exterior algebras $E(Q_0), E(Q_1), E(Q_2)$. The following theorem demonstrates the importance of the Margolis homology groups.

**Theorem 2.4** (Margolis, cf [1], [8]). Let $P$ be a module over $E$ where $E$ is an exterior algebra on a (possibly countably infinite) set of generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ so that their degrees satisfy $0 < |x_1| < |x_2| < \cdots$. If $P$ is bounded below, then $P$ is free if and only if all of its Margolis homology groups vanish.

Recall that two modules $P$ and $Q$ are stably equivalent if there are free modules $F$ and $F'$ such that there is an isomorphism

$$P \oplus F \simeq Q \oplus F'.$$

**Corollary 2.5.** If $f : P \rightarrow Q$ is a map of bounded below $E$-modules, then $f$ is a stable equivalence if and only if $f$ induces an isomorphism in all Margolis homology groups.

For later subsections, we will now record the Margolis homology of $BP(2)$. 
Proposition 2.6. The Margolis homology of $BP(2)$ is given by

$$
M_*(BP(2); Q_0) = F_2[z_1^2, z_2^2]
$$
$$
M_*(BP(2); Q_1) = F_2[z_1^2] \otimes E(z_i^2 \mid i \geq 2)
$$
$$
M_*(BP(2); Q_2) = \frac{F_2[z_i^2 \mid i \geq 1]}{(z_i^8 \mid i \geq 1)}
$$

Proof. This is an easy generalization of the proof given in \[1\] for the case of $bu$. □

2.2. An $E(2)$-module splitting of $(A//E(2))_*$. 

Definition 2.7. Let $m \in A_*$ be a monomial, say it is

$$
m = \zeta_1^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \zeta_3^{i_3} \cdots.
$$

Define the length of $m$ to be the number of odd exponents in $m$:

$$
\ell(m) := \#\{k \mid i_k \equiv 1(2)\}.
$$

We will let $A_*^{(\ell)}$ denote the subspace of $A_*$ spanned by monomials of length $\ell$, and we will say elements of $A_*^{(\ell)}$ have length $\ell$.

The following lemma states that the action by a Milnor primitive on $(A//E(2))_*$ decreases length by exactly 1.

Lemma 2.8. Given $m \in (A//E(2))_*$ a monomial and $i = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$
\ell(Q_i m) = \ell(m) - 1.
$$

Proof. This follows from the formula for the action of $Q_i$ on $z_k$ and the fact that $Q_i$ acts via derivations. □

Remark 2.9. The previous lemma allows us to put an extra grading on the Margolis homologies of $(A//E(2))_*$. Namely, define $M^{(\ell)}$ to be the span of length $\ell$ monomials in $(A//E(2))_*$. From the lemma, we can consider the chain complex

$$
M_\bullet : \cdots \longrightarrow M^{(\ell+1)} \xrightarrow{Q_i} M^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{Q_i} M^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{Q_i} \cdots \longrightarrow M^{(0)}
$$

and the homology of this chain complex is precisely the Margolis homology $M_*(BP(2); Q_i)$. This puts a bigrading on the Margolis homology

$$
M_*(BP(2); Q_i) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} M_{*,\ell}(BP(2); Q_i).
$$

Consequently, Proposition 2.6 implies the following,
Corollary 2.10. If $\ell > 0$ and $i = 0, 1, 2$, then

$$M_{*,\ell}(BP(2); Q_i) = 0.$$ 

From Adams’ calculation of $bu$, we expect there to be infinitely many torsion classes concentrated in Adams filtration $0$. The purpose in introducing the notion of length is to locate a large amount of the torsion inside $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}((A \parallel E(2))_*)$. Recall that the group $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^0(\mathbb{F}_2, M)$ is the group of primitive elements in the comodule $M$. Translating this into the language of modules, this corresponds to elements $y \in (A \parallel E(2))_*$ for which $Q_0y$, $Q_1y$, and $Q_2y$ are all zero. One source, then, for torsion elements in $\text{Ext}^0$ are the bottom cells of free submodules of $(A \parallel E(2))_*$.

Suppose that $x \in (A \parallel E(2))_*$ generates a free $E(2)$-module. This is equivalent to the element $Q_0Q_1Q_2x$ being nonzero. From Lemma 2.8 this implies that $\ell(x)$ is at least 3. Motivated by this observation, we define $S$ to be the $E(2)$-submodule of $(A \parallel E(2))_*$ generated by monomials of length at least 3,

$$S := E(2)\{m \in (A \parallel E(2))_* \mid \ell(m) \geq 3\}.$$ 

Proposition 2.11. The Margolis homology of $S$ is trivial.

Proof. Let $x \in S$ with $Q_i x = 0$. If $\ell(x) = 0$ then we can write

$$x = Q_2Q_1Q_0y$$

for some $y$ of length 3, in which case $x$ represents 0 in $M_*(S; Q_i)$. If $\ell(x) \geq 2$, then by Corollary 2.10, it follows that there is a $y$ in $(A \parallel E(2))_*$ with $Q_1y = x$. So $\ell(y) \geq 3$, and so $y \in S$. Thus $x$ represents zero in $M_*(S; Q_i)$.

So the only interesting case is when $\ell(x) = 1$. For concreteness, suppose $Q_0x = 0$. As $\ell(x) = 1$, Corollary 2.10 implies there is a $y \in (A \parallel E(2))_*$ with $Q_0y = x$. If $Q_1y = 0$, then since $\ell(y) = 2$, there is a $z \in (A \parallel E(2))_*$ with $Q_1z = y$, again by Corollary 2.10. Note that $\ell(z) = 3$. Then $x = Q_0Q_1z$, which shows that $x$ represents zero in $H_*(S; Q_0)$. This is similarly true if $Q_2y = 0$. So we will assume that $Q_1y \neq 0$ and $Q_2y \neq 0$.

Observe that if $\ell(x) = 1$, then there are $x_1, x_2, x_3$ of length 3 with

$$x = Q_0Q_1x_1 + Q_0Q_2x_2 + Q_1Q_2x_3$$

and so $Q_0x = 0$ if and only if $Q_0Q_1Q_2x_3 = 0$. So we may assume that $x$ is of the form $x = Q_1Q_2x_3$ for some $x_3$ with $\ell(x_3) = 3$. This implies $Q_1x = Q_2x = 0$. 


So assume that \( Q_1y \neq 0 \) and \( Q_2y \neq 0 \). We will modify \( y \) to produce an element \( y' \) with \( Q_0y' = x \) and \( Q_1y' = 0 \). Define \( y_0 := y \) and \( x_0 := Q_1y \). Since \( Q_1x = 0 \), we see

\[
Q_0x_0 = Q_0Q_1y = Q_1x = 0
\]

Thus, there is a \( y_1 \) with \( Q_0y_1 = x_0 \) by Corollary 2.10. Note that \( \ell(y_1) = 2 \) and note that \( |y_1| = |y_0| - 3 \). We now ask if \( Q_1y_1 \) is zero. If it is, then we stop, otherwise we take \( x_1 := Q_1y_1 \) and note again that \( Q_0x_1 = 0 \). Thus we find \( y_2 \) with \( Q_0y_2 = x_1 \). We continue this procedure, producing elements \( y_0, y_1, \ldots \) of length two, and we stop once we reach \( n \) with \( Q_1y_n = 0 \). Such an \( n \) will occur eventually because \( |y_i| = |y_{i-1}| - 3 \) and \( (A//E(2))_* \) is a connective algebra.

Let the procedure stop at stage \( n \). Then we have produced \( y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n \) and \( x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1} \) with

- \( Q_0y_i = x_{i-1} \),
- \( Q_1y_i = x_i \).

In other words, we have produced an \( E(2)_* \)-module with generators \( y_0, \ldots, y_n \). Since \( Q_1y_n = 0 \) and \( \ell(y_n) = 2 \), there is a \( z_n \) with \( Q_1z_n = y_n \). So define \( y_{n-1}' := Q_0z_n + y_{n-1} \). Then \( Q_0y_{n-1}' = x_{n-2} \) and

\[
Q_1(y_{n-1}') = Q_1Q_0z_n + Q_1y_{n-1} = Q_0y_n + Q_1y_{n-1} = x_n + x_n = 0.
\]

Thus we can find \( z_{n-1} \) with \( Q_1z_{n-1} = y_{n-1}' \). Define \( y_{n-2}' := y_{n-1}' + Q_0z_{n-1} \). Then \( Q_0y_{n-2}' = x_{n-3} \) and \( Q_1y_{n-2}' = 0 \). Keep performing this procedure to produce an element \( y_1' \) with \( Q_0y_1' = x_0 \) and \( Q_1y_1' = 0 \). Then we can find \( z_1 \) with \( Q_1z_1 = y_1' \) and so we can define \( y_0' := Q_0z_1 + y_0 \). Then \( Q_0y_0' = x \) and

\[
Q_1y_0' = Q_0y_1' + Q_1y_0 = x_0 + x_0 = 0.
\]

Thus there is \( z_0 \) with \( Q_1z_0 = y_0' \). Since \( \ell(z_0) = 3 \), we have \( z_0 \in S \) and so \( x = Q_0Q_1z_0 \), which shows \( x \) represents zero in \( M_*(S; Q_0) \). Thus we have shown \( M_*(S; Q_0) = 0 \).

A similar proof for \( M_*(S; Q_1) \) works with \( Q_1 \) replacing \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_2 \) replacing \( Q_1 \). However, the proof that \( M_*(S; Q_2) = 0 \) requires some adjustment. The problem is that if we were to perform an analogous procedure, say with \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_2 \), then the \( y_i \)'s would not decrease degree, rather

\[
|y_i| = |y_{i-1}| + 5
\]
To rectify this, we may without loss of generality restrict to the case when \( x \) belongs to some particular weight as defined in [4] (we review this concept later in section 3.1). Since the action by \( Q_0, Q_1, Q_2 \) preserves the weight, all the \( y_i \) will have the same weight as \( x \). The subcomodule \( M_2(k) \subseteq (A \sslash E(2))_* \) of weight \( 2k \) is finite dimensional. So the procedure we have described will terminate at a finite stage if we restrict to \( x \in M_2(k) \) for some \( k \).

Corollary 2.12. The submodule \( S \) is a free \( E(2) \)-module.

Proof. As \( S \) is bounded below, Theorem 2.4 implies that \( S \) is free. \( \Box \)

Recall the following important theorem.

Theorem 2.13 ([8], pg. 245). Let \( B \) be a finite Hopf algebra over a field \( k \), and let \( M \) be a module over \( B \). Then the following are equivalent:

- \( M \) is free,
- \( M \) is projective,
- \( M \) is injective.

In particular, we conclude that \( S \) is an injective \( E(2) \)-module. Considering the short exact sequence of \( E(2) \)-modules

\[
0 \to S \to (A \sslash E(2))_* \to Q \to 0.
\]

Since \( S \) is injective, there is a splitting

\[
(A \sslash E(2))_* \simeq S \oplus Q.
\]

Thus we get a corresponding decomposition for Ext. Our goal is to show that that \( \Ext_{E(2)_*}(Q) \) is \( v_2 \)-torsion free.

2.3. The Bockstein spectral sequence for \( Q \). We begin with an overview of how to construct the Bockstein spectral sequence. Consider the short exact sequence of modules.

\[
0 \to Q \to (E(2) \sslash E(1))_* \otimes Q \to \Sigma^7 Q \to 0.
\]

Recall that \( (E(2) \sslash E(1))_* \) is an exterior Hopf algebra \( E(\bar{\zeta}_3) \) with \( \bar{\zeta}_3 \) primitive. The first map comes from including \( Q \) into \( 1 \otimes Q \). The second map is the projection onto \( \bar{\zeta}_3 \otimes Q \). Applying \( \Ext_{E(2)_*} \) gives
an exact couple

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^7 Q) & \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(Q) \\
& \xleftarrow{\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(Q)}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that

\[
\text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}^{s,t}(\Sigma^7 Q) = \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}^{s,t-7}(Q)
\]

which shows that the connecting homomorphism is of bidegree \((1,7)\). This is the correct degree for \(\partial\) to be multiplication by \(v_2\).

In order to set up the BSS, we will want to show that the connecting map is indeed multiplication by \(v_2\).

**Proposition 2.14.** For the short exact sequence of \(E(2)_*\)-comodules

\[
0 \to \mathbb{F}_2 \to (E(2)\!\!/\!E(1))_* \to \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\} \to 0,
\]

the connecting homomorphism in \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}\) induces multiplication by \(v_2\).

**Proof.** This short exact sequence of comodules induces a short exact sequence of cobar complexes

\[
0 \to C^\bullet_{E(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to C^\bullet_{E(2)_*}((E(2)\!\!/\!E(1))_*) \to C^\bullet_{E(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\}) \to 0.
\]

To calculate the boundary map, let

\[
z = \sum_i [a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si}] \zeta_3
\]

be a cycle in the cobar complex for \(\mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\}\). This means that

\[
dz = \sum_i d ([a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si}] 1 \otimes \zeta_3)
\]

\[
= \sum_i \sum_{j=0}^s [a_{1i} | \cdots | \psi(a_{ji}) | \cdots | a_{si}] 1 \otimes \zeta_3
\]

\[
= 0
\]

A lift of \(z\) to \(C^\bullet_{E(2)_*}((E(2)\!\!/\!E(1))_*)\) is

\[
\tilde{z} = \sum_i [a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si}] \zeta_3
\]
In the cobar complex for \((E(2) \parallel E(1))_\ast\),
\[
\begin{align*}
  d\bar{z} &= \sum_i d ([a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si}] \zeta_3) \\
  &= \sum_i \sum_{j=0}^s [a_{1i} | \cdots | \psi(a_{ji}) | \cdots | a_{si}] \zeta_3 + \sum_i [a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si} | \zeta_3].
\end{align*}
\]

Since \(z\) was a cycle, the first term is zero. So
\[
  d\bar{z} = \sum_i [a_{1i} | \cdots | a_{si} | \zeta_3].
\]

Since \(\zeta_3\) represents \(v_2\) in the cobar complex, and multiplication is induced by concatenation, it follows that the boundary map is indeed multiplication by \(v_2\).

The upshot of this proposition is that we have the following distinguished triangle in the derived category \(\mathcal{D}_{E(2)}\) of \((E(2))_\ast\)-comodules,
\[
\Sigma^7 \mathbb{F}_2[-1] \xrightarrow{v_2} \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow (E(2) \parallel E(1))_\ast \longrightarrow \Sigma^7 \mathbb{F}_2.
\]

Tensoring with \(Q\) gives a distinguished triangle
\[
\Sigma^7 Q[-1] \xrightarrow{v_2} Q \longrightarrow (E(2) \parallel E(1))_\ast \otimes Q \longrightarrow \Sigma^7 Q.
\]

This allows us to consider the unrolled exact couple
\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\operatorname{Ext}^{s,t}_{E(2)}(Q) & \xleftarrow{v_2} & \operatorname{Ext}^{s-1,t-7}_{E(2)}(Q) & \xleftarrow{v_2} & \operatorname{Ext}^{s-2,t-14}_{E(2)}(Q) & \xleftarrow{v_2} & \cdots \\
\operatorname{Ext}^{s,t}_{E(1)}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \operatorname{Ext}^{s-1,t-7}_{E(1)}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \operatorname{Ext}^{s-2,t-14}_{E(1)}(Q) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \cdots \\
E^{s,t,0}_1 & \downarrow & E^{s-1,t-7,1}_1 & \downarrow & E^{s-2,t-14,2}_1
\end{array}
\]

This results in the Bockstein spectral sequence, which is of the form
\[
E^{***} = \operatorname{Ext}^{**}_{E(1)}(Q) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[v_2] \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{**}_{E(2)}(Q).
\]

This spectral sequence is trigraded, where
\[
E^{s,t,r}_1 = \operatorname{Ext}^{s,t}_{E(1)}(Q)\{v_2^r\},
\]
and in this spectral sequence, $E_{1}^{s,t,r}$ converges to $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^{s+r,t+7r}(Q)$. The $d_{k}$-differential has trigrading

$$d_{k}: E_{k}^{s,t,r} \rightarrow E_{k}^{s-k+1,t-7k,r+k}$$

and $E_{\infty}^{s,t,r}$ converges to $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^{s+r,t+7r}(Q)$. So in Adams indexing, all the differentials look like $d_{1}$-differentials.

The utility of this spectral sequence is to prove that $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^{*}(Q)$ is $v_{2}$-torsion free. Towards this end, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S' \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \overline{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

where $S'$ is the $E(1)$-submodule of $Q$ generated by length 2 monomials (or rather images of monomials).

**Proposition 2.15.** The submodule $S'$ has trivial $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$-Margolis homology. Thus $S'$ is a free $E(1)$-submodule.

**Proof.** Suppose that $x \in S'$ is such that $Q_{i}x = 0$. So $x$ represents an element in $M_{*}(S', Q_{i})$. We are tasked with showing that $x$ represents the zero element. If $\ell(x) = 0$, then as $x \in S'$ there must be a $y \in Q$ with $\ell(y) = 2$ such that $Q_{0}Q_{1}y = x$. So $x$ is zero in $M_{*}(S'; Q_{i})$.

So suppose that $\ell(x) = 1$. Since the Margolis homology of $Q$ is isomorphic to that of $(A//E(2))_{*}$, Corollary 2.10 implies that there is a $y \in Q$ with $Q_{1}y = x$. Since $\ell(x) = 1$, then $\ell(y) = 2$, and so $y \in S'$. Thus showing that $x$ is zero in the Margolis homology group $M_{*}(S'; Q_{i})$. Finally, if $\ell(x) = 2$ and $Q_{1}x = 0$, then there is a $z \in Q$ with $Q_{2}z = x$. But as $Q$ was the quotient of $(A//E(2))_{*}$ by $S$, any element of $Q$ of length 3 is necessarily zero. Thus, $x = 0$ in $Q$.

This shows that the Margolis homology groups of $S'$ are both zero, and so by Theorem 2.4, the module $S'$ must be free over $E(1)$. □

**Corollary 2.16.** There is a splitting of $Q$ as an $E(1)$-module

$$Q \simeq S' \oplus \overline{Q}$$

and thus we get a splitting

$$\text{Ext}_{E(1),*}(Q) \simeq \text{Ext}_{E(1),*}(S') \oplus \text{Ext}_{E(1),*}(\overline{Q}).$$

To prove that $\text{Ext}_{E(2),*}(Q)$ is $v_{2}$-torsion free, we will show that the $v_{2}$-BSS collapses at $E_{1}$. Since all the differentials look like $d_{1}$-differentials in Adams indexing, this will follow if we can prove $\text{Ext}_{E(1),*}(Q)$ is concentrated in even $(t-s)$-degree.
Observation 2.17. Note that if $m$ is a monomial of length 0, then by the definitions, the degree of $m$ is even. Indeed, $m$ being length zero means

$$m = \zeta_1^{2i_1}\zeta_2^{2i_2}\zeta_3^{2i_3}\zeta_4^{2i_4}\cdots$$

where the exponents are all of the form $2i_k$. So

$$|m| = \sum_k 2|\zeta_k| \equiv 0 \mod 2.$$ 

Corollary 2.18. The groups $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(S')$ are concentrated in even $(t-s)$-degree.

Proof. Observe that if $x \in (A//E(2))_*$ is a length 2 monomial, then $x = m\zeta_i\zeta_j$ for some monomial $m$ of length 0 and $i \neq j$. Observe that every length 0 monomial is in even degree. The degree of $\zeta_k$ is $2^k - 1$. So the degree of $\zeta_i\zeta_j$ is

$$|\zeta_i\zeta_j| = 2^i - 1 + 2^j - 1$$

which is even. Thus length 2 elements of $(A//E(2))_*$ are concentrated in even degree, and this remains true when projecting to $Q$. If $x \in Q$ generates a free $E(1)$-module $M$, then the unique nonzero element in $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(M)$ lives in degree $|x| - 4$. Thus if $x$ is in even degree, it determines an element in $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}^0(S')$ in even degree. Combining all these observations shows that $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(S')$ is concentrated in even degree.

Proposition 2.19. The Ext-groups of $\overline{Q}$ are concentrated in even $(t-s)$-degree.

Proof. Since $S'$ is free, the $Q_0$ and $Q_1$-Margolis homology groups of $Q$ and $\overline{Q}$ are isomorphic. Consequently, the Margolis homology groups of $\overline{Q}$ are concentrated in length 0, and so in even degree.

Observe that for $x \in Q$ to generate a free $E(1)$-module, that the length of $x$ must be 2. So $\overline{Q}$ has no free summands. By the classification theorem of modules over $E(1)$ (cf. [1], pg. 345), it follows that $\overline{Q}$ is a direct sum of finite lightning flash modules, a consequence of which is that $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\overline{Q})$ is a direct sum of Adams covers of $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

The Adams cover associated to a lightning flash in $\overline{Q}$ is uniquely determined by the two unique nonzero elements of its Margolis homology. In the case of $\overline{Q}$, the Margolis homology is in even $(t-$
Corollary 2.20. The BSS for $Q$ collapses at $E_1$. Thus $\text{Ext}^*_{E(2)}(Q)$ is $v_2$-torsion free.

We can now prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We have shown that there is a splitting of $E(2)$-modules

$$(A/E(2))_* \simeq S \oplus Q$$

and so applying $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}$ gives a decomposition

$$\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* ((A/E(2))_*) \simeq \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (S) \oplus \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (Q).$$

We have shown that $S$ is free, and so $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (S)$ is concentrated in $\text{Ext}^0$. We have also just shown that $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (Q)$ is $v_2$-torsion free. So we define

$$V := \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (S),$$
$$\mathcal{C} := \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (Q).$$

The previous two propositions show that $\mathcal{C}$ is concentrated in even $(t - s)$-degree. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 2.21. Note that the $v_2$-BSS for $Q$ has many hidden extensions.

Remark 2.22. A consequence of the discussion thus far is that the $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^* (Q)$ is generated as a module over $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0, v_1, v_2]$ by elements in $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}^{0,*}$. 

Remark 2.23. One could attempt to generalize the above arguments to the spectra $BP(n) \wedge BP(m)$ when $m \geq n$. In this case, the homology of $BP(n)$ is $(A/E(n))_*$. One would like to show that $\text{Ext}_{E(n)}^* ((A/E(m))_*)$ splits into a $v_n$-torsion summand concentrated in Adams filtration 0, and a $v_n$-torsion free summand. Towards this end, one could define

$$S(m,n) \subseteq (A/E(m))_*$$

to be the $E(n)$-submodule generated by monomials of length at least $n + 1$, in analogy with $S$ above. If it could be shown that $S(m,n)$ is a free module over $E(n)$, then we would have a splitting of $E(n)$-modules

$$(A/E(m))_* = S(m,n) \oplus Q(m,n).$$
An inductive argument with the $v_n$-BSS would then allow one to show that $\text{Ext}_{E(n)_*}(Q(m,n))$ is $v_n$-torsion free. In the case $n = m = 2$, $S(2,2)$ coincides with the submodule $S$ defined above. The problem is that the arguments presented hitherto to show that $M_* (S; Q_i)$ is trivial do not seem to generalize to other values of $m$ and $n$.

2.4. **Topological splitting.** In the previous subsection, we established a decomposition

$$\pi_* (BP(2) \wedge BP(2)) = V \oplus C$$

where $V$ is the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space of $v_2$-torsion elements and $C$ is $v_2$-torsion free. In this section, we will establish that this splitting of homotopy groups in fact lifts to the stable homotopy category. That is, we will show that there is an equivalence of spectra

$$BP(2) \wedge BP(2) \simeq HV \vee C$$

with $HV$ the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum with $\pi_* (HV) = V$ and $\pi_* C = \mathbb{C}$.

Let $X$ denote $BP(2) \wedge BP(2)$. We will establish this spectrum level splitting by showing there is a map

$$X \to HV$$

and defining $C$ to be the fibre. This will produce a fibre sequence

$$C \to X \to HV.$$ We will show that there is a section to the map $X \to HV$.

In the previous section, we established a splitting

$$(A/\!\!/E(2))_* = S \oplus Q$$

with $S$ a free $E(2)$-module. Dualizing gives a decomposition

(2.24) \hspace{1cm} A/\!\!/E(2) = S^* \oplus Q^*

and $S^*$ is free as an $E(2)$-module.

In applying the change of rings theorem for an $A$-module $M$, one has to use the sheering isomorphism

$$A/\!\!/E(2) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} M \simeq A \otimes_{E(2)} M.$$ 

where the left hand side is endowed with the diagonal action. In the case of $H^* X$, we have the isomorphism

$$A/\!\!/E(2) \otimes A/\!\!/E(2) \simeq A \otimes_{E(2)} (A/\!\!/E(2)).$$
Coupled with the decomposition \(2.24\), we see that as a module over \(A\), the cohomology \(H^*X\) decomposes as

\[ H^*X \simeq (A \otimes_{E(2)} S^*) \oplus (A \otimes_{E(2)} Q^*). \]

As \(S^*\) is free as an \(E(2)\)-module, the first factor is free as an \(A\)-module. Let us denote this free factor by \(F\). Note that \(H^*(HV)\) is precisely \(F\). The idea is to show that the maps

\[ F \to H^*X \to F \]

in the splitting of \(H^*X\) lift to maps of spectra via the Adams spectral sequence.

Consider the Adams spectral sequence

\[ \text{Ext}_A(F, H^*X) \Longrightarrow [X, HV]*. \]

Since \(F\) is free as an \(A\)-module, the \(E_2\)-page is concentrated in Adams filtration 0, and so it collapses at \(E_2\). Note that

\[ \text{Ext}_A^0(F, H^*X) = \text{hom}_A(F, H^*X), \]

so the inclusion of \(F\) into \(H^*X\) determines a map of spectra

\[ X \to HV. \]

For the map in the other direction, we shall use the Adams spectral sequence again,

\[ \text{Ext}_A(H^*X, F) \Longrightarrow [HV, X]*. \]

For this spectral sequence, we can apply the change-of-rings isomorphism on the \(E_2\)-term,

\[ \text{Ext}_A(H^*X, F) \simeq \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(A \otimes E(2), F). \]

By Theorem 4.4 in [9], \(A\) is free over \(E(2)\). Since \(S^*\) is also locally finite, it follows that \(F = A \otimes_{E(2)} S^*\) is locally finite. Thus \(F\) is a locally finite free \(E(2)\)-module. If \(\{b_\alpha\}\) is an \(E(2)\)-basis, then

\[ F = \bigoplus_\alpha E(2)\{b_\alpha\} = \prod_\alpha E(2)\{b_\alpha\} \]

since \(F\) is locally finite. Thus

\[ \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^s((A \otimes E(2))^*, F) \simeq \prod_\alpha \text{Ext}_{E(2)}^{s\alpha}((A \otimes E(2))^*, E(2)\{b_\alpha\}). \]

Since \(E(2)\) is self-injective, it follows that each component group on the right-hand side is zero when \(s > 0\). Thus the \(\text{Ext}^s\) groups are trivial for \(s > 0\). So the \(E_2\)-page of the ASS is concentrated in Adams
filtration 0, and hence collapses. Therefore we have the desired map of spectra. Thus we get the section of the cofibre sequence

\[ C \to X \to HV \]

and hence the desired splitting

\[ X \simeq C \vee HV. \]

**Remark 2.25.** If we could prove the analogous splitting for

\[ \operatorname{Ext}_{E(n)_{\ast}}((A \langle E(m) \rangle_{\ast}) \]

when \( m \geq n \), then the above argument could be used to show that \( BP \langle n \rangle \wedge BP \langle m \rangle \) splits as a spectrum into an analogous wedge \( C(n, m) \vee HV(n, m) \).

3. Calculations

In this section we develop techniques to provide an inductive calculation of

\[ \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)_{\ast}}((A \langle E(2) \rangle_{\ast}). \]

The first step in accomplishing this is to introduce a notion of weight analogous to the one found in [4]. This allows us to define Brown-Gitler sub-comodules of \( (A \langle E(2) \rangle_{\ast}. \) We will show that there is a decomposition

\[ (A \langle E(2) \rangle_{\ast} \cong_{E(2)} \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \Sigma^{2j} \text{bu}_{[j/2]} \]

We will inductively compute the groups \( \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)_{\ast}}(\Sigma^{2j} \text{bu}_{2}) \) modulo torsion by first developing a short exact sequence (3.14) and a 4-term exact sequence (3.32), which leads to spectral sequences. The \( v_0 \)-inverted spectral sequences collapse immediately, and we develop inductive methods for identifying the generators in the \( v_0 \)-inverted Ext groups. After this we determine some multiplicative extensions.

3.1. Brown-Gitler (co)modules. The majority of this and the following three sections are adapt the techniques of [3] to our setting.

Let \( E(n) \) denote the sub-Hopf algebra of the Steenrod algebra which is generated by the first \( n + 1 \) Milnor primitives, \( Q_0, \ldots, Q_n \). Let \( E(n)_{\ast} \) denote the dual of this algebra. This will be a quotient of the dual Steenrod algebra and it is given by

\[ E(n)_{\ast} = E(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n+1}) \]
where the $\zeta_i$’s are the images of $\zeta_i$ in $A_*$. In $E(n)_*$, these elements are primitive, and so $E(n)$ is a self-dual Hopf algebra.

Following [4] (cf. pg 7), we define a weight filtration on $A_*$ which induces a filtration on the $A_*$-subcomodule

$$(A//E(n))_* = A_* \Box_{E(n)_*} \mathbb{F}_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1^2, ..., \zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+2}, ...].$$

We define the weight of the generators $\zeta_k$ by

$\text{wt}(\zeta_k) := 2^{k-1}$

and extend multiplicatively by

$\text{wt}(xy) := \text{wt}(x) + \text{wt}(y).$

The Brown-Gitler comodule $N_i(j)$ is the subspace of $(A//E(i))_*$ spanned by elements of weight less than or equal to $2j$. From the coproduct formula for the dual Steenrod algebra:

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(\zeta_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_j^2,$$

we see that $N_i(j)$ is an $A_*$-subcomodule of $(A//E(i))_*$. The algebra $(A//E(i))_*$ can also be regarded as a comodule over $E(i)_*$, in fact it is a comodule algebra. For consistency with the notation for Brown-Gitler spectra and their associated subcomodules (cf. [4]), we shall write

$\underline{BP(i)}_j := N_i(j).$

For $i = 1$, we shall write

$\underline{bu}_j := N_1(j),$

and for $i = 0$ we write

$\underline{HZ}_j := N_0(j)$

As in [3], we can define a map of ungraded rings

$\varphi_i : (A//E(i))_* \to (A//E(i-1))_*$

which is defined on generators by

$\varphi_i : \zeta_k^2 \mapsto \begin{cases} 
\zeta_{k-1}^2 & k > 1 \\
1 & k = 1 
\end{cases}$

and extended multiplicatively. So, for example,

$\varphi_2(\zeta_4) = \zeta_3^2.$

**Lemma 3.2.** The map $\varphi_i$ is a map of ungraded $E(i)_*$-comodules.
Proof. Since \((A \otimes E(i))_\ast\) is generated by \(\{\zeta_i^2, \ldots, \zeta_i^2, \zeta_i+1, \ldots\}\), it is enough to check that \(\varphi_i\) commutes with coaction on these generators. This follows immediately from the coproduct formula (3.1) and the fact that \(E(i)_\ast\) is exterior. \(\square\)

Let \(M_i(j)\) denote the subspace of \((A \otimes E(i))_\ast\) spanned by the monomials of weight exactly \(2j\). Observe that the coaction on \((A \otimes E(i))_\ast\) (as a \(E(i)_\ast\)-comodule) preserves the weight. Thus the subspaces \(M_i(j)\) are \(E(i)_\ast\)-subcomodules. In particular we have shown

**Proposition 3.3.** There is a splitting of \(E(i)_\ast\)-comodules

\[
(A \otimes E(i))_\ast \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} M_i(j)
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** For \(i > 0\), the map \(\varphi_i\) maps the subspace \(M_i(j)\) isomorphically onto \(N_{i-1}(\lfloor j/2 \rfloor)\).

Proof. Given a monomial in \(M_i(j)\), it can be written as \(\zeta_1^{2\ell}x\) where \(x\) is a monomial which is a product of \(\zeta_k^i\) for \(k \geq 2\). In this case, the weight of \(x\) is \(2j - 2\ell\). Observe that

\[
\varphi_i(\zeta_1^{2\ell}x) = \varphi_i(x)
\]

and the weight of \(\varphi_i(x)\) is \(j - \ell\). Write \(x\) as

\[
x = \zeta_2^i \zeta_3^i \zeta_4^i \cdots.
\]

Then the weight of \(x\) is

\[
\text{wt}(x) = 2i_2 + 4i_3 + 8i_4 + \cdots + 2^{n-1}i_n + \cdots.
\]

Since \(i > 0\), it follows that \(i_2\) is even, and hence \(\text{wt}(x)\) is divisible by 4. It follows that \(2j - 2\ell\) is divisible by 4, whence \(j - \ell\) is divisible by 2. So \(\varphi_i(x)\) belongs to \(M_{i-1}(\ell - \frac{\ell}{2})\). This shows that \(\varphi_i\) maps the subspace spanned by monomials of the form \(\zeta_1^{2\ell}x\) isomorphically onto the subspace \(M_{i-1}(\ell - \frac{\ell}{2})\). Letting \(\ell\) vary, we see that the image of \(\varphi_i\) restricted to \(M_i(j)\) maps isomorphically onto \(N_{i-1}(\lfloor j/2 \rfloor)\). \(\square\)

**Remark 3.5.** The inverse to the isomorphism in the previous lemma is given by

\[
\varphi_{i-1}^{-1} : N_{i-1}(\lfloor j/2 \rfloor) \to M_i(j); \zeta_1^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \cdots \mapsto \zeta_1^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \zeta_3^{i_3} \cdots
\]

where \(a = 2j - \text{wt}(\zeta_2^{i_2} \zeta_3^{i_3} \cdots)\).
Corollary 3.6. There is an isomorphism of graded $E(i)_*$-comodules

$$M_i(j) \cong \Sigma^{2j} N_{i-1}(\lceil j/2 \rceil).$$

Corollary 3.7. There is an isomorphism of $E(i)_*$-comodules

$$M_i(2j) \cong \Sigma^2 M_i(2j + 1)$$

which is given by multiplication by $\zeta_1^2$.

In light of Corollary 3.6, we will always make the identification

$$M_2(2j) \cong \Sigma^{4j} N_1(j)$$
in the rest of this paper.

3.2. **Exact sequences.** Inspired by [3], we construct exact sequences relating the Brown-Gitler comodules $N_1(j)$ and $M_2(j)$. Recall that

$$(E(2) \parallel E(1))_* \cong E(\zeta_3).$$

Consider the $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear map

$$\tau : (A \parallel E(1))_* \to (A \parallel E(2))_* \otimes (E(2) \parallel E(1))_*$$
defined on the monomial basis by

$$\zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \cdots \mapsto \zeta_1 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \cdots \otimes \zeta_3.$$

Note this is not a map of $E(2)_*$-comodules when the target is endowed with the diagonal coaction. For example, in $(A \parallel E(1))_*$, there is the coaction

$$\alpha(\zeta_3) = 1 \otimes \zeta_3 + \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_3^2 + \zeta_2 \otimes \zeta_1^4 + \zeta_3 \otimes 1$$

whereas in $(A \parallel E(2))_* \otimes (E(2) \parallel E(1))_*$, we have

$$\alpha(1 \otimes \zeta_3) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \zeta_3 + \zeta_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

However, we do have that $\tau$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces.

Following [3], we will put a decreasing filtration on $(A \parallel E(1))_*$. Define

$$F^j(A \parallel E(1))_* := \tau^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq j} M_2(k) \otimes (E(2) \parallel E(1))_* \right)$$

which gives the decreasing filtration

$$(A \parallel E(1))_* = F^0(A \parallel E(1))_* \supset F^1(A \parallel E(1))_* \supset F^2(A \parallel E(1))_* \supset \cdots.$$

The following observation will be useful in later arguments,
Observation 3.8. Let \( x \) be a monomial in \((A//E(1))_*\). If \( x \in F^j((A//E(1))_*) \), then \( \text{wt}(x) \) is bounded below by \( 2j \). If the power of \( \zeta_3 \) in \( x \) is odd, then its weight is bounded below by \( 2j + 4 \).

The coproduct formula \((3.1)\) shows that this is a filtration by \( E(2)_*\)-subcomodules. Passing to filtration quotients gives a map on the associated graded comodule algebra

\[
E^0\tau : E^0((A//E(1))_*) \to E^0((A//E(2))_* \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*)
\]

which we will show is a map of \( E(2)_*\)-comodules. Here, the filtration on the target of \( \tau \) is given by

\[
D^j := \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq j} M_2(k) \right) \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*
\]

so that \( F^j = \tau^{-1} D^j \). However, from Proposition \(3.3\), it follows that

\[
E^0((A//E(2))_* \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*) \cong_{E(2)_*} (A//E(2))_* \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*,
\]

and so \( E^0\tau \) is a map

\[
E^0\tau : E^0((A//E(1))_*) \to (A//E(2))_* \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*
\]

Here as an example illustrating why \( E^0\tau \) is a map of comodules over \( E(2)_* \). In the coaction, \( a(\zeta_3) \), of \( \zeta_3 \) above, the terms which prevented the map \( \tau \) from being a comodule map were \( \zeta_1 \otimes \zeta_2^2 \) and \( \zeta_2 \otimes \zeta_4^2 \). Note that \( \zeta_3 \in F^0 \), whereas \( \zeta_2^2 \) and \( \zeta_4^2 \) are both in \( F^2 \). In general, the coproduct formula shows that the coaction of an element \( x \) of \((A//E(1))_*\) is the same as the coaction on \( \tau(x) \) modulo elements of higher filtration. From now on we will write \( \tau \) interchangeably for \( \tau \) and \( E^0\tau \).

Proposition 3.10. The map \((3.9)\) is an isomorphism of \( E(2)_*\)-comodules.

Proof. To check that this is a map of \( E(2)_*\)-comodules, it is enough to check that it is a map of \( E(2)_\text{mod} \) modules. So let \( x \) be a monomial in \((A//E(1))_*\), say

\[
x = \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} + e \zeta_4^{i_4} \ldots.
\]

Then as \( Q_i \) acts via a derivation, we have that

\[
Q_i x = \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} Q_i(\zeta_3^{2i_3} + e) \zeta_4^{i_4} \ldots + \sum_{j > 3} \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \ldots \zeta_{j-1}^{i_{j-1}} (Q_j \zeta_j^{i_j}) \zeta_j^{i_{j+1}} \ldots.
\]
When \( \epsilon = 0 \), the action by \( Q_i \) commutes with the action of \( E(2) \) on \( (A//E(2))_* \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_* \), since the action by \( Q_i \) preserves the weight.

Suppose then that \( \epsilon = 1 \). Then

\[
Q_i \tau(x) = Q_i(\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \zeta_4^{i_4} \cdots \otimes \zeta_3).
\]

Since \( Q_i \) is a derivation, and since the target of \( \tau \) is endowed with the diagonal \( E(2) \)-action, we can rewrite this as

\[
Q_i(\tau(x)) = \sum_{j > 3} \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \cdots \zeta_j^{i_j} (Q_i \zeta_j) \zeta_{j+1}^{i_{j+1}} \cdots \otimes \zeta_3.
\]

Note that \( \tau \) carries the sum in (3.11) to the sum in (3.12). When \( i = 0, 1 \), then \( Q_i \zeta_3 = 0 \) in \( (E(2)//E(1))_* \), and so the first term in (3.12) vanishes. In \( (A//E(1))_* \), \( Q_i \zeta_3 \neq 0 \), but the first term in (3.11) does land in strictly higher filtration than \( x \), and so the first term in (3.11) vanishes in the associated graded \( E(0) (A//E(1))_* \). When \( i = 2 \), then in both \( E(0) (A//E(1))_* \) and \( (E(2)//E(1))_* \), \( Q_2 \zeta_3 = 1 \). In this case, \( \tau \) carries the first term in (3.11) to the first term in (3.12). This shows that \( \tau \) is a comodule map in the associated graded comodule. To see that this map is an isomorphism, just note that \( \tau \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-isomorphism and induces a linear isomorphism on the associated graded. So \( \tau \) is an isomorphism of comodules.

Define quotients

\[
Q^i(A//E(1))_* := (A//E(1))_* / F^{i+1}(A//E(1))_*
\]

then this is an \( E(2)_* \)-comodule and it inherits a filtration from \( (A//E(1))_* \). The map \( \tau \) induces an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{F}_2 \)-vector spaces

\[
\tau : Q^i(A//E(1))_* \rightarrow BP(2)_{j^i} \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*
\]

which induces an isomorphism of associated graded \( E(2)_* \)-comodules,

\[
\tau : E^0Q^i(A//E(1))_* \rightarrow BP(2)_{j^i} \otimes (E(2)//E(1))_*.
\]

**Lemma 3.13.** There is a short exact sequence of \( E(2)_* \)-comodules

\[
0 \rightarrow \Sigma^i \text{bu}_j \otimes \text{bu}_1 \rightarrow \text{bu}_{2j+1} \rightarrow Q^{2j-1}(A//E(1))_* \rightarrow 0
\]
Proof. Observe there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
BP \langle 2 \rangle_{2j-1} \otimes E(\zeta_3) \xrightarrow{\tau} (A//E(2))_* \otimes E(\zeta_3) \xrightarrow{r_1} (A//E(1))_* \\
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which gives the following exact sequence on the level of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces

$$0 \to M_2(2j) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{bu}_{2j} \xrightarrow{\psi} Q^{2j-1}(A//\mathbb{E}(1))_* \xrightarrow{\omega}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\omega} M_2(2j - 1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\} \to 0.$$ 

The map $\psi$ is defined by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{bu}_{2j} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Q^{2j-1}(A//\mathbb{E}(1))_* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow\tau \\
\text{bu}_{2j} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & BP(2)_{2j-1} \otimes E(\zeta_3)
\end{array}$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ is defined on monomials by

$$\bar{\psi}: \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \zeta_4^{i_4} \cdots \mapsto \begin{cases} \\
\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \zeta_4^{i_4} \cdots \otimes \zeta_3^c \\
0 \end{cases} \text{ wt}(\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \zeta_4^{i_4} \cdots) \leq 4j - 2 \text{ otherwise}.$$ 

We need to check that the maps are maps of $E(2)_*$-comodules. Let us start with $\omega$. Note the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau^{-1} \ker \pi & \longrightarrow & \ker \pi \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Q^{2j-1}(A//\mathbb{E}(1))_* & \xrightarrow{\tau} & BP(2)_{2j-1} \otimes E(\zeta_3) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
M_2(2j - 1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\} & \xrightarrow{=} & M_2(2j - 1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{\zeta_3\} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

where $\pi$ is the projection. So in order to check that $\omega$ is a comodule map, we just need to check that $\tau^{-1} \ker \pi$ is a subcomodule of $Q^{2j-1}(A//\mathbb{E}(1))_*$. That $\omega$ is a comodule map follows then follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.17.** $\tau^{-1} \ker \pi$ is a subcomodule of $Q^{2j-1}(A//\mathbb{E}(1))_*$. 

Proof. First note that
\[ \ker \pi = (BP(2)_{2j-1} \otimes E(\zeta_3)) \oplus (M_2(2j-1) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{1\}). \]

So let \( x \in \tau^{-1} \ker \pi \). Since \( BP(2)_{2j-1} \) and \( M_2(2j-1) \) have monomial bases, and since \( \tau \) is defined on the monomial basis, it follows that \( \tau^{-1} \ker \pi \) also has a monomial basis. So we may suppose that \( x \) is of the form
\[ x = \zeta_2^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \zeta_3^{i_3} \zeta_4 \cdots \]
in \( \tau^{-1} \ker \pi \). Thus,
\[ \tau(x) = \zeta_2^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{i_3} \zeta_4^{i_4} \cdots \otimes \zeta_3^e \]
define \( y \) to be this.

In particular, the weight of \( y \) is bounded above by \( 4j - 2 \), and when the weight of \( y \) is \( 4j - 2 \), we must have \( e = 0 \). Moreover \( x = y \zeta_3^e \).

Applying any of the Milnor primitives \( Q_i \) to \( x \) gives
\[ Q_i x = Q_i(y) \zeta_3^e + yQ_i \zeta_3^e. \]

Applying \( \tau \) to this expression gives
\[ (3.18) \quad \tau(Q_i x) = Q_i y \otimes \zeta_3^e + (yQ_i \zeta_3^e) \otimes 1. \]

It needs to be checked that both terms are in the kernel of \( \pi \).

First suppose that \( e = 0 \). Then \( x = y \) is an element of \( BP(2)_{2j-1} \) and in this case the action of the Milnor primitives preserves the weight, and so \( \tau(Q_i y) = (Q_i y) \otimes 1 \) is an element of \( \ker \pi \). Suppose then that \( e = 1 \), in which case \( \text{wt}(y) \leq 4j - 4 \). First consider the case when \( \text{wt}(y) < 4j - 4 \). Then
\[ \text{wt}(yQ_i \zeta_3) < 4j \]
for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). This implies that \( (yQ_i \zeta_3) \otimes 1 \in BP(2)_{2j-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{1\} \).

Since the action by the \( Q_i \)'s preserves the weight of \( y \), we find then that both terms on the right hand side of (3.18) lie in \( \ker \pi \).

We are thus left with checking the case when \( \text{wt}(y) = 4j - 4 \). When \( i = 0 \) or \( i = 1 \), then \( yQ_i \zeta_3 \) has weight \( 4j \), and so is zero in \( Q^{2j-1}(A \otimes E(1)) \). Thus, for \( i = 0, 1 \),
\[ Q_i x = (Q_i y) \zeta_3 \xrightarrow{\tau} Q_i y \otimes \zeta_3, \]
and since the weight of \( Q_i y \) is still \( 4j - 4 \), this is an element of \( \ker \pi \).

Finally, we have
\[ Q_2(x) = Q_2(y \zeta_3) = Q_2(y) \zeta_3 + y \]
which is mapped under $\tau$ to

$$Q_2y \otimes \zeta_3 + y \otimes 1.$$  

The both terms lie in $BP(2)_{2j-2} \otimes E(\zeta_3)$, and so belong to $\ker \pi$. This completes the proof that $\tau^{-1} \ker \pi$ is a $E(2)_*$-subcomodule. $\square$

It is clear that the map $\varphi$ is a $E(2)_*$-comodule map. Indeed, we can regard $M_2(j)$ as a subspace of $bu_{2j}$. If $x \in M_2(j)$ is a monomial, then an odd power of $\zeta_3$ cannot occur in $x$. So the action of $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2$ on $x$ preserves the weight, and consequently lies in $M_2(j)$. Thus $M_2(j)$ is a submodule of $bu_{2j}$.

Finally, we check that $\psi$ is a map of comodules. Let $K$ denote the cokernel of $\varphi$. Then we have an induced morphism of short exact sequences of $E(2)_*$-comodules

$$0 \longrightarrow M_2(2j) \otimes F_2 \{1\} \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow \psi_1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $\psi$ is the composite $\psi_2 \psi_1$, we may conclude that $\psi$ is a comodule map. $\square$

**Remark 3.19.** The quotients $Q^j(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$ have finite filtrations projected from the filtration on $(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$. Applying $\text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}$ to this filtration produces a spectral sequence

$$E_1 = \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(E^0Q^j(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*,) \implies \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(Q^j(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*).$$

Since $E^0Q^j(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$ is isomorphic to $BP(2)_{2j-2} \otimes (E(2) \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$ as an $E(2)_*$-comodule, we have that the $E_1$-page is $\text{Ext}_{E(1)}(BP(2)_{2j})$ which we know consists of $v_1$-torsion elements on the 0-line and a $v_1$-torsion free component concentrated in even $(t - s)$-degree. The spectral sequence is a linear over $\text{Ext} \langle E(2)_*, (F_2) \rangle$, which implies that this spectral sequence collapses. Consequently, for the purposes of the inductive calculations in the following section, we will regard $Q^j(A \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$ as $BP(2)_{2j} \otimes (E(2) \langle E(1) \rangle)_*$.

**3.3. Inductive calculations.** In the last section, we produced the exact sequences of comodules (3.14) and (3.16). As in [4], we regard
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these as providing spectral sequences converging to $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\text{bu}_{2j+1})$ and $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\text{bu}_{2j})$ respectively. Following [4], we write

$$\bigoplus M_i[k_i] \Longrightarrow M$$

to denote the existence of a spectral sequence

$$\bigoplus \text{Ext}^{s-k,t+k_i}_{E(2)}(M_i) \Longrightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,t}_{E(2)}(M).$$

We shall abbreviate $M_i[0]$ by $M_i$. Below, we shall always be identifying $\text{bu}_j$ with $M_2(2j)$ via the isomorphism

$$\varphi_2 : M_2(2j) \overset{\cong}{\rightarrow} \Sigma^{4j}\text{bu}_j.$$

The exact sequence (3.14) gives a spectral sequence

$$(3.20) \quad \Sigma^{8j+4}Q^{2j-1}(A/E(1))_* \oplus \left( \Sigma^{12j+4}\text{bu}_j \otimes \text{bu}_1 \right) \Longrightarrow \Sigma^{8j+4}\text{bu}_{2j+1}$$

and (3.16) gives a spectral sequence

$$(3.21) \quad \Sigma^{12j}\text{bu}_j \oplus Q^{2j-1}(A/E(1))_* \oplus \Sigma^{12j+5}\text{bu}_{j-1}[1] \Longrightarrow \Sigma^{8j}\text{bu}_j$$

In the exact sequences, there were the comodules $Q^{2j-1}(A/E(1))_*$, and in Remark [3.19] it was pointed out that

$$\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(Q^{2j-1}(A/E(1))_*) \cong \text{Ext}_{E(2)}((E(2)/E(1))_* \otimes \underline{BP}(2)_{2j-1})$$

$$\cong \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\underline{BP}(2)_{2j-1}).$$

In order to carry out the computation, we must then calculate $\text{Ext}_{E(1)}$ of $\underline{BP}(2)_{2j-1}$.

**Lemma 3.22.** For any $j$, there are isomorphisms

$$\underline{BP}(2)_j \cong_{E(2)}(A/E(1))_* \oplus M_2(k) \cong_{E(2)}(A/E(1))_* \oplus \Sigma^{2k}\text{bu}_j[k/2]$$

$$\cong_{E(1)}(A/E(1))_* \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{j} \Sigma^{2k+2\ell}\text{HZ}_{\ell}[k/2].$$

**Proof.** The first isomorphism just follows from Proposition [3.3]. From an application of Corollary [3.6] we obtain

$$M_2(k) \cong_{E(2)}(A/E(1))_* \oplus \Sigma^{2k}\text{bu}_j[k/2]$$

which gives the second isomorphism. Applying Proposition [3.3] and Corollary [3.6] again, but with $i = 1$ gives the third isomorphism.
Example 3.23. We have

\[ M_2(4) \cong_{E(2)_*} \Sigma^8 bu_2 \cong_{E(1)_*} \Sigma^8 (HZ_0 \oplus \Sigma^2 HZ_0 \oplus \Sigma^4 HZ_1). \]

It follows from ([1], part III, §16-17) that there is an isomorphism

\[ \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(HZ_k)/v_0\text{-torsion} \cong \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(F_2)^{(2k-a(k))} \]

where Ext(M)\(^{(n)}\) denotes the nth Adams cover of Ext(M) and a(k) denotes the number of 1’s in the dyadic expansion of k. In [1], this is a consequence of the fact that the Margolis homology groups \(M_*(HZ_k; Q_0)\) and \(M_*(HZ_k; Q_1)\) are both one dimensional. Observe that when \(v_0\) is inverted then

\[ v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(HZ_k) \cong v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(F_2)^{(2k-a(k))} \]

\[ = \mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} M_*(HZ_k; Q_0) \]

Example 3.25. Below, we illustrate this isomorphism for HZ\(_2\).

In the chart, elements below the 0-line are obtained by inverting \(v_0\) and downward pointing arrows indicate \(v_0^{-1}\)-towers. Note that as a module over \(\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]\) that this Ext-group is generated by the element in \((0, -3)\). Since \(v_0\) is inverted, this module is also generated by the element in \((0, 0)\), which is precisely the nonzero element of \(M_*(HZ_2; Q_0)\).
As a first step to determining $\Ext_{E(1)}\langle BP(2) \rangle_{2j-1}$, we will compute $v_0$-inverted Ext groups first. This will allow us to locate the starting points of the Adams covers within the integral Ext groups.

**Proposition 3.26 ([4]).** We have the isomorphism

$$v_0^{-1} \Ext_{E(1)}\langle BP(2) \rangle_j \cong F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]\{\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} | i_1 + 2i_2 \leq j\}$$

**Proof.** Given an $A$-comodule $M$, there is an isomorphism

$$v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle) \cong v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle \otimes BP(2) \rangle)$$

$$\cong v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle \otimes BP(2) \rangle).$$

When $v_0$ is inverted, the functor $v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(-)$ has a Künneth formula. Hence the last Ext group is isomorphic to

$$v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle \otimes F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}] \otimes M) = F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}] \otimes M.$$ 

Calculating $v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle)$ is extremely simple, it is the free $F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}]$-module generated by the $Q_0$-Margolis homology of $M$,

$$v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle) = F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}] \otimes M.$$ 

From Part 3 of [1], the $Q_0$-Margolis homology of $\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle$ is

$$M_*(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle; Q_0) = F_2[\zeta_1^2].$$

Since the action by $Q_0$ preserves the weight on $\langle A\bar{E}(2) \rangle$, there is an associated weight filtration on

$$M_*(\langle A\bar{E}(2) \rangle; Q_0) = F_2[\zeta_1^2, \zeta_2^2]$$

which implies that

$$M_*(\langle A\bar{E}(2) \rangle; Q_0) = F_2[\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} | i_1 + 2i_2 \leq j].$$

In the $v_0$-inverted Adams spectral sequence

$$v_0^{-1} \Ext_A(\langle A\bar{E}(1) \rangle) \Longrightarrow H_{Q_2*}bu = Q_2[v_1]$$

$\zeta_1^2$ is detecting $v_1$. Thus we get the desired isomorphism. $\square$
Remark 3.27. Each of the monomials in $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(BP(2))$ determines an Adams cover of $\text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}([F_2])$. Here is an algorithm for determining the Adams covers associated to a monomial $\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2}$:

1. If $2i_2 \geq 4$, then the next element in Adams cover is $\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2-4} \zeta_3^2$, and there is the relation
   
   \[ v_1 \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} = v_0 \zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2-4} \zeta_3^2. \]

   If $2i_2 - 4 < 4$, then the process terminates.
2. If $2i_2 - 4 \geq 4$, then repeat the previous step. Continue this until the exponent of $\zeta_2$ is 0 or 2.
3. Perform the previous steps on $\zeta_3$ until the exponent on $\zeta_3$ is 0 or 2. Then continue onto $\zeta_4, \zeta_5, \ldots$ and so on until the process terminates.

Observe that in the spectral sequences (3.21) and (3.20) that upon inverting $v_0$, all the terms in the $E_1$-page are in even degree. So these spectral sequences collapse after $v_0$-localization. We also know from our general structural results that there can be no differential originating from a torsion class and hitting a $v_2$-torsion free class. Thus we have

**Proposition 3.28.** In the spectral sequences (3.21) and (3.20), the only nontrivial differentials must be between torsion classes. Consequently, the spectral sequences (3.20) collapse immediately.

*Proof.* Since the $v_2$-torsion free component is concentrated in even $(t-s)$-degree, there are no differentials between $v_2$-torsion free classes. Recall that we had the decomposition

\[ (A/E(2))_* = S \oplus Q \]

and that the BSS

\[ \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(Q) \otimes [F_2[v_2]] \implies \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(Q) \]

collapses. The latter implies that $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(Q)$ is generated by the elements in $\text{Ext}^{0,*}_{E(1)_*}$ as a module over $[F_2[v_0,v_1,v_2]]$. Thus $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\text{bu}_j)$ is generated as a module over $[F_2[v_0,v_1,v_2]]$ by elements in $\text{Ext}^{0,*}_{E(2)_*}$.

Now consider one of the spectral sequences (3.20) or (3.21). Note that the differentials are linear over $[F_2[v_0,v_1,v_2]]$. So if there were a differential $d(x) = y$ where $x$ is a torsion class and $y$ is $v_2$-torsion free, then $v_2y$ would be a permanent cycle in the spectral
sequence. But this would contradict that $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(b_2)$ is generated over $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0, v_1, v_2]$ by elements in $\text{Ext}^{0,*}$. A similar argument shows that there cannot be a differential $d(y) = x$. □

A consequence of this is that in the inductive calculations, we can essentially ignore the torsion classes on the $E_1$-page.

We will now carry out the inductive calculations. We begin with some remarks on the $v_0$-inverted calculations, starting with developing analogues of Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20 of [4].

Since the $v_0$-inverted versions of (3.16) and (3.14) collapse at $E_2$, we get summands

\begin{align}
(3.29) & \quad v^{-1}_0 \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{8k}BP(2)\langle 2 \rangle_{2k-1}) \subseteq v^{-1}_0 \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8k}b_{2k}) \\
(3.30) & \quad v^{-1}_0 \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{8k+4}BP(2)\langle 2 \rangle_{2k-1}) \subseteq v^{-1}_0 \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8k+4}b_{2k+1})
\end{align}

We will identify the generators of these summands. This is accomplished by contemplating the following portion of the $8k$-fold suspension of the exact sequence (3.16):

\[
\Sigma^{8k}b_{2k} \rightarrow \Sigma^{8k}(E(2)/E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2k-1} \rightarrow M_2(4k)
\]

Let $\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \in v^{-1}_0 \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(BP(2)_{2k-1})$, then in the diagram above we have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \\
\downarrow \\
\zeta_1^{2i_1} \zeta_2^{2i_2}
\end{array}
\]

where

\[
a := 8k - 4i_1 - 8i_2.
\]

Similarly, we could contemplate the diagrams below coming from (3.14):

\[
\Sigma^{8k+4}b_{2k+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{8k+4}(E(2)/E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2j-1} \rightarrow 0
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma^{8k+4}b_{2k+1} \\
\downarrow \\
M_2(4k + 2)
\end{array}
\]
This results in

**Lemma 3.31.** The summands (3.29) and (3.30) are generated as modules over $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]$ by elements

$$\zeta^a \zeta^{2i_2} \zeta^{2i_3}$$

with $i_2 + 2i_3 \leq 2j - 1$ and $a = 8j - 4i_2 - 8i_3$ (resp. $a = 8j + 4 - 4i_2 - 8i_3$).

Next we need to determine the generators arising from the terms $\Sigma^j bu_j$ in the case of (3.16) and $\Sigma^j bu_j \otimes bu_1$ in the case of (3.14). Because of Proposition 3.28, we obtain summands

(3.32) $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{12} bu_j) / v_0 - \text{tors} \subseteq \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j} bu_{2j})$

(3.33) $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{12j+4} bu_j \otimes bu_1) / v_0 - \text{tors} \subseteq \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j} bu_{2j+1})$

**Proposition 3.34.** Assume inductively that the $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4j} bu_j)$ has generators of the form $\{\zeta^{i_1} \zeta^{i_2} \ldots\}$. Then the summand of (3.32) has generators of the form $\{\zeta^{i_1} \zeta^{i_2} \ldots\}$ and the summand (3.33) has generators

$$\{\zeta^{i_1} \zeta^{i_2} \ldots\} \cdot \{\zeta^4, \zeta^2\}.$$

The proof of this proposition follows by considering the diagrams
For example, in the first diagram, given a monomial $\zeta_1^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \cdots$ in $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k} \text{bu}_k)$, we would obtain

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\zeta_1^{i_1} \zeta_2^{i_2} \cdots \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\zeta_2^{i_2} \cdots
\end{array}
\]

the right hand vertical arrow follows from the fact that $a = 4k - \text{wt}(\zeta_2^{i_2} \cdots) = i_1$.

There remains two questions regarding these inductive calculations: What is the role of the summand $\text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{12k+5} \text{bu}_{j-1})$, and how does one determine the $v_2$-extensions in the spectral sequences on summands (3.29) and (3.30)? The following lemma will be useful.

**Lemma 3.35.** The composite

\[
M_2(2j - 1) \otimes E(\zeta_3) \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} (A \parallel E(2))_* \longrightarrow Q^{2j-1}(A \parallel E(1))_*
\]

is a map of $E(2)_*$-comodules.

**Proof.** Denote the composite by $\chi$. Consider an element $y \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon$ in $M_2(2j - 1) \otimes E(\zeta_3)$. Then we need to check that

\[
Q_i y \zeta_3^\epsilon = \chi(Q_i (y \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon)).
\]

Note that

\[
Q_i (y \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon) = (Q_i y) \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon + y \otimes Q_i \zeta_3^\epsilon
\]

and under $\chi$ this is

\[
\chi(Q_i (y \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon)) = (Q_i y)\zeta_3^\epsilon + y Q_i \zeta_3^\epsilon.
\]

If $i = 0, 1$, then $y Q_i \zeta_3^\epsilon$ lies in $F^{2j}(A \parallel E(1))_*$, and so is zero in $Q^{2j-1}(A \parallel E(1))_*$. Moreover, in $M_2(2j - 1) \otimes E(\zeta_3)$,

\[
Q_i (y \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon) = (Q_i y) \otimes \zeta_3^\epsilon.
\]

And so $\chi$ commutes with $Q_0$ and $Q_1$. For the case of $Q_2$, if $\epsilon = 0$, there is nothing to check. So let $\epsilon = 1$. Then

\[
Q_2 (y \otimes \zeta_3) = (Q_2 y) \otimes \zeta_3 + y \otimes 1
\]

which is sent under $\chi$ to

\[
\chi(Q_2 (y \otimes \zeta_3)) = (Q_2 y)\zeta_3 + y.
\]
In $Q^{j-1}(A//E(1))_*$, one has
\[ Q_2(y\zeta_3) = (Q_2y)\zeta_3 + y \]
as $Q_2$ acts as a derivation and $Q_2\zeta_3 = 1$. Thus $Q_2$ also commutes with $\chi$. \qed

**Corollary 3.36.** Let $j \geq 1$ and let $M = M_2(2j - 1)$. Then we have the following diagram, where the rows are exact, in the category of $E(2)_*$-comodules,

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \Sigma^jbu_j & \rightarrow & \Sigma^jbu_{2j} & \rightarrow & Q^{j-1}(A//E(1))_* & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & M \otimes E(\zeta_3) & \rightarrow & M \otimes F_2[\zeta_3] \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

**Corollary 3.37.** Consider the summand
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{12j-2}bu_{j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{8j}BP(2)_{2j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8j}bu_{2j}),
\]
generated over $F_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]$ by the generators
\[
\zeta_1^{4i_1}2^{i_2}i_3^{2i_3} \in (A//E(2))_*
\]
where $i_1 + 2i_2 = 2j - 1$. In the summand
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{12j+5}bu_{j-1}[1]) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8j}bu_{2j})
\]
let $x_i$ for $(1 \leq i \leq j - 1)$ denote the generator of (3.38) corresponding to $\zeta_1^{2i}$. Then in the $E_\infty$-page of the spectral sequence (3.21), we have
\[
v_2^{4i_2}x_{i_1}^{2i_3} = x_{i_2}
\]

We will now discuss the $v_2$-extensions concerning the other generators in the summand in (3.21),
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{8j}BP(2)_{2j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8j}bu_{2j}),
\]
and the generators of the summand
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*}(\Sigma^{8j+4}BP(2)_{2j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{8j+4}bu_{2j+1})
\]
in (3.20). That is the monomials of the form
\[
\zeta_1^{4i_2}2^{i_3}i_3^{2i_3} \in (A//E(2))_*
\]
where $i_2 + 2i_3 \leq 2j - 1$ and $a = 8j - 4i_2 - 8i_3$ and $a > 4$ (resp. $a = 8j + 4 - 4i_2 - 8i_3$).
The notion of length discussed in section 2 induces an increasing filtration of \((A \sslash E(2))_*\) by \(E(2)_*\)-comodules,

\[
G^\ell (A \sslash E(2))_* := E(2)\{m \in (A \sslash E(2))_* \mid \ell(m) \leq \ell\}.
\]

Since the action by \(Q_i\) lowers length by exactly one, it follows that the filtration quotients are trivial \(E(2)_*\)-comodules

\[
G^\ell / G^{\ell-1} = \mathbb{F}_2\{m \mid \ell(m) = \ell\}.
\]

Applying \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)}\) gives a spectral sequence converging to \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)}((A \sslash E(2))_*)\). This spectral sequence is of the form

\[
E_1^{s,t,\ell} = E_0(A \sslash E(2))_* \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[v_0, v_1, v_2] \implies \text{Ext}_{E(2)}((A \sslash E(2))_*)
\]

and we call it the length spectral sequence. By examining the induced short exact sequences in cobar complexes, one easily derives that the \(d_1\)-differential is

\[
d_1(x) = v_0(Q_0x) + v_1(Q_1x) + v_2(Q_2x)
\]

which implies that \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)}((A \sslash E(2))_*)\) has the following class of relations,

\[
v_2(Q_2x) = v_0(Q_0x) + v_1(Q_1x).
\]

In particular, if \(m\) is a length 0 monomial, then we have the following relations in Ext:

(3.39) \[
v_2(\zeta_8^8 m) = v_1(\zeta_2^4 m) + v_0(\zeta_3^2 m).
\]

This suggests that given a monomial \(m\) of length 0 in \(\text{Ext}_{E(2)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j})\),

\[
\text{we should have the relations}
\]

(3.40) \[
v_2(m) = v_0(\zeta_1^{-8} \zeta_3^2 m) + v_1(\zeta_1^{-8} \zeta_2^4 m)
\]

Of course, under the hypothesis of Corollary 3.37, this does not make sense, but the following simple observation shows that this is a possibility for all other monomials in the summands

\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j})
\]

\textbf{Lemma 3.41.} For the generators

\[
\zeta_1^{a} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \in v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j})
\]

the exponent \(a\) is always divisible by 4 and \(a \geq 4\). For the generators

\[
\zeta_1^{a} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \in v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}((\Sigma^{8j+4k} \langle 2 \rangle)^{2j})
\]
the exponent $a$ is always divisible by 4 and $a \geq 8$.

In the next several propositions, we prove that when $m = \zeta_1^{a} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3}$ is a generator of $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j+4\epsilon} \text{BP}(2), \text{bu}_{2j-1})$, then the other monomials occurring in (3.40) are also generators of $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j+4\epsilon} \text{bu}_{2j+\epsilon})$. The proofs are fairly direct; one simply breaks into several cases and makes sure certain inequalities hold.

**Proposition 3.42.** Consider a monomial generator $\zeta_1^{a} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3}$ in $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j} \text{BP}(2), \text{bu}_{2j-1})$ and suppose that $a > 8$. Then the monomials

$$\zeta_1^{a-8} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3+2} \zeta_1^{a-8} \zeta_2^{2i_2+4} \zeta_3^{2i_3} \in v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j} \text{bu}_{2j})$$

are generators.

**Proof.** Under the hypothesis of the proposition, we have

$$i_2 + 2i_3 \leq 2j - 1$$

and

$$a = 8j - 4i_2 - 8i_3$$

Since the weights of the proposed monomials are still $8j$, all that needs to be checked is that

$$i_2 + 2i_3 + 2 \leq 2j - 1$$

Since

$$a = 8j - 4i_2 - 8i_3 > 8$$

we have

$$2j - i_2 - 2i_3 > 2$$

and hence

$$i_2 + 2i_3 < 2j - 2.$$ 

Therefore,

$$i_2 + 2i_3 + 2 < 2j$$

which proves the proposition. \qed

**Proposition 3.43.** Consider a monomial generator $\zeta_1^{a} \zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3}$ in the summand $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j} \text{BP}(2), \text{bu}_{2j-1})$. Then, the monomials $\zeta_2^{2i_2} \zeta_3^{2i_3+2}, \zeta_2^{2i_2+4} \zeta_3^{2i_3}$ are generators in the summand $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{12} \text{bu}_{j})$. 
Proof. It needs to be checked that the monomials $\zeta_{i_2}^{2i_2 + 4} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3}$ and $\zeta_{i_2}^{2i_2} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3 + 2}$ are generators of $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*} (\Sigma^{4j} bu_j)$. The proof will be broken up into several different cases. We will begin with the case in which $i_2 \neq 0$.

So assume that $i_2 \neq 0$, we will consider two further sub-cases. Let $k = \lfloor j/2 \rfloor$. Suppose first that $2k = j$. In this case, there is the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Sigma^{12k} bu_k \to \Sigma^{8k} bu_j \to \Sigma^{8k} (E(2) \parallel E(1))_* \otimes BP^{(2)}_{2k-1} \to 0.$$  

Then we need to show the following

1. $2i_2 + 4i_3 + 4 = 8k = 4j$
2. $i_3 + 1 \leq 2k - 1 = j - 1$.

Since $\zeta_{i_2}^{8} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_2} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3}$ is a generator of the summand 

$v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*} (\Sigma^{8j} BP^{(2)}_{2j-1})$,

we know that

$8 + 4i_2 + 8i_3 = 8j$

which shows the first condition. Observe that this implies $i_2$ is even. From this equality, we can write

$8i_3 + 8 = 8j - 4i_2$

which dividing by 8 and using the fact that $i_2$ is even shows

$i_3 + 1 = j - \frac{i_2}{2} \leq j - 1$,

showing the second condition.

So consider the case when $2k = j - 1$. Then we have a sequence

$$0 \to \Sigma^{12k} bu_k \otimes bu_j \to \Sigma^{4j} bu_j \to (E(2) \parallel E(1))_* \otimes BP^{(2)}_{2k-1} \to 0.$$  

We will consider the monomials $\zeta_{i_2}^{2i_2 + 4} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3}$ and $\zeta_{i_2}^{2i_2} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3 + 2}$ separately. Consider first $\zeta_{i_2}^{2i_2 + 4} \zeta_{i_3}^{2i_3}$. For this to be a generator of $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*} (\Sigma^{4j} bu_j)$, it would have to be a generator originating in the summand

$v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)_*} (\Sigma^{4j} BP^{(2)}_{2k-1})$.

So we need to show

1. $2i_2 + 4 + 4i_3 = 8k + 4 = 4j$
2. $i_3 \leq 2k - 1 = j - 2$
The first condition follows as before. We can again write

\[ 8i_3 = 8j - 4i_2 - 8 \]

which dividing by 8 gives

\[ i_3 = j - 1 - \frac{i_2}{2} \leq j - 2 \]

since \( i_2 \neq 0 \) and \( i_2 \) is even.

So consider now the monomial \( \zeta_{i_1}^{2i_3} \zeta_2^{2i_3+2} \). There are two further sub-cases to consider for this monomial. Suppose first that \( i_2 > 2 \). Then if this monomial is to be a generator of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2),e}(\Sigma^j bu_j) \), it would have to originate from the summand

\[ v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1),e}(\Sigma^j BP(2));_{2k-1} \).

We thus need to check that

1. \( 2i_2 + 4i_3 + 4 = 8k + 4 = 4j \)
2. \( i_3 + 1 \leq 2k - 1 = j - 2 \).

The first condition follows as before. For the second condition, note

\[ 8i_3 = 8j - 4i_2 - 8 \]

which gives

\[ i_3 = j - 1 - \frac{i_2}{2} \]

Since we are assuming \( i_2 > 2 \), then \( i_2/2 > 1 \), which implies \( i_3 \leq j - 3 \) as desired. So consider then the case when \( i_2 = 2 \). In this case, for the monomial to be a generator of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2),e}(\Sigma^j bu_j) \), it would have to originate from the summand \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1),e}(\Sigma^{12j} bu_k \otimes bu_1) \). Thus we need to check that \( \zeta_1^{2i_3+2} \) is a generator of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2),e}(\Sigma^{4k} bu_k) \). For this to be true, it needs to be the case that

\[ i_3 + 1 = 2k = j - 1 \]

Writing

\[ 8i_3 = 8j - 4i_2 - 8 = 8j - 16 \]

and dividing by 8 shows that indeed \( i_3 = j - 2 \). This shows that \( \zeta_1^{2i_3+2} \) is indeed a generator of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2),e}(\Sigma^{4k} bu_k) \). This completes the case when \( i_2 \neq 0 \).

So consider the final case when \( i_2 = 0 \). Then we need to show that the monomials \( \zeta_1^{14j-2} \) and \( \zeta_2^{2j} \) are generators of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2),e}(\Sigma^j bu_j) \).
Let $k := \lfloor j/2 \rfloor$. We again need to separate into the subcases when $2k = j$ and $2k = j - 1$.

Suppose first that $2k = j$. Then we have the sequence

$$
0 \to \Sigma^{12k}bu \to \Sigma^{8k}bu \to \Sigma^{8k}(E(2) \!//\! E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2k-1} \\
\quad \to \Sigma^{4k+5}bu_{k-1} \to 0.
$$

In this case, the monomial $\zeta^4 \zeta^{2j-2}$ would have to be a generator originating from the summand $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{4j} BP(2)_{2k-1})$. In order to check that it is indeed a generator, it just needs to be observed that

$$4 + 4j - 4 = 4j$$

and that

$$j - 1 = 2k - 1.$$

For the monomial $\zeta_2^{2j}$ to be a generator, it would have to be a generator originating from $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k} bu_k)$, i.e. we need to check that $\zeta_2^{2j}$ is a generator for $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k} bu_k)$. This is immediate since $2j = 4k$.

So finally consider the subcase when $2k = j - 1$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \to \Sigma^{12k}bu \otimes bu \to \Sigma^{4j}bu \to \Sigma^{4j}(E(2) \!//\! E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2k-1} \to 0.
$$

In this case, we would have to show that $\zeta^4 \zeta^{2j-2}$ and $\zeta_2^{2j}$ are generators originating from the summand $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8k} bu_k \otimes bu_1)$. This will follow once we show that $\zeta_1^{2j-2}$ is a generator for

$$v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k} bu_k).$$

This is immediate since

$$2j - 2 = 4k,$$

which completes the proof in the case $i_2 = 0$. □

We will now discuss the hidden $v_2$-extension in the spectral sequences (3.20). Many of the arguments are similar to those in the proof of the previous proposition.

**Proposition 3.44.** Suppose $\zeta_1^{2i_3} \zeta_2^{2j_3}$ is a generator for

$$v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j+4} BP(2)_{2j-1})$$
with \( a > 12 \). Then the monomials
\[
\zeta^2 \gamma^{2i_2} \gamma^{2i_3} + 2, \quad \zeta^2 \gamma^{2i_2} + 4 \gamma^{2i_3}
\]
are also generators for
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j+4}BP(2))_j \rightarrow 0.
\]

**Proof.** It needs to be shown that
\[
i_2 + 2i_3 + 2 < 2j - 1
\]
Since \( a > 12 \) we have
\[
a = 8j + 4 - 4i_2 - 8i_3 > 12
\]
which implies
\[
i_2 + 2i_3 < 2j - 2
\]
and hence
\[
i_2 + 2i_3 + 2 < 2j
\]
which proves the proposition.

**Proposition 3.45.** Suppose \( \zeta^{12} \gamma^{2i_2} \gamma^{2i_3} \) is a generator for
\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8j+4}BP(2))_j \rightarrow 0.
\]
Then the monomials
\[
\zeta^2 \gamma^{2i_2} \gamma^{2i_3} + 2, \quad \zeta^2 \gamma^{2i_2} + 4 \gamma^{2i_3}
\]
are generators for the summand \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{12j+4}bu_j \otimes bu_1) \).

**Proof.** To prove the proposition, it needs to be checked that the monomials \( \zeta^{2i_2} \gamma^{2i_3} \) and \( \zeta^{2i_2} + 4 \gamma^{2i_3} \) are generators of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4j}bu_j) \). The proof breaks down as in the proof of Proposition 3.43, mutatis mutandis.

The following proposition deals with the last cases of \( v_2 \)-extensions in the rational inductive calculations.

**Proposition 3.46.** Let \( \zeta^8 \gamma^{2i_2} \gamma^{2i_3} \) be a generator of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j+4}bu_{2j+1}) \), then \( \zeta^{2i_2} + 4 \gamma^{2i_3} \) and \( \zeta^{2i_2} + 2 \gamma^{2i_3} \) are generators of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j+4}bu_{2j+1}) \).

**Proof.** We have the short exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{12j+4}bu_j \otimes bu_1 \rightarrow \Sigma^{8j+4}bu_{2j+1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{8j+4}(E(2) \otimes E(1) \otimes BP(2))_j - 1 \rightarrow 0.
\]
Note that we have

\[ i_2 + 2i_3 = 2j - 1 \]

and so \( i_2 \) must be an odd natural number. To prove the proposition, we need to show that \( \zeta_1^{2i_2 + 2i_3} \) and \( \zeta_1^{2i_2 - 2i_3 + 2} \) are generators of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^jbu_j) \). Let \( k = \lfloor j/2 \rfloor \), so that \( j = 2k + \epsilon \). Consider first the case when \( \epsilon = 0 \). Then we have the sequence

\[
0 \to \Sigma^{12k}bu_k \to \Sigma^{8k}bu_j \\
\to \Sigma^{8k}(E(2) \wedge E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2k-1} \to \Sigma^{4k+5}bu_{k-1} \to 0.
\]

To show that \( \zeta_1^{2i_2 + 2i_3} \) and \( \zeta_1^{2i_2 - 2i_3 + 2} \) are generators of \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^jbu_j) \), we will consider several subcases. Consider the case when \( i_2 \geq 3 \).

In this case, for these monomials to be generators, they would have to be generators of the summand

\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{8k}bu_{2k-1}) \subseteq v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^jbu_j).
\]

To show they are indeed generators in this summand, it just needs to be checked that

\[ i_3 \leq 2k - 1 \]

for the first monomial and

\[ i_3 + 1 \leq 2k - 1 \]

for the second. Since \( i_2 \geq 3 \), it follows that

\[ 2i_3 \leq 2j - 4 \]

and hence

\[ i_3 \leq j - 2 = 2k - 2, \]

which shows that both monomials are generators in this case. So consider the sub-case when \( i_2 = 1 \), then

\[ 2i_3 = 2j - 2 \]

and so

\[ i_3 = j - 1 = 2k - 1 \]

which shows that \( \zeta_1^{4i_2}b^{k-1} \) is a generator of the summand

\[
v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^jbu_{2k-1}).
\]

For \( \zeta_2^{2i_2} \) to be a generator, it would have to be a generator for the summand \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^j+b^kbu_k) \). This follows since \( \zeta_1^{2i_2} \) is a generator for \( v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^jbu_k) \).
Now suppose that $\epsilon = 1$, so that we have an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Sigma^{4k+4j}bu_k \otimes bu_1 \to \Sigma^{4j}bu_j \to \Sigma^{4j}(E(2) \wedge E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_{2k-1} \to 0 \]
We have to consider three cases: $i_2 > 3$, $i_2 = 3$, $i_2 = 1$. First suppose $i_2 > 3$. Then we need to show the two monomials are generators of $v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(1)}(\Sigma^{4j}BP(2)_{2k-1})$. Thus we need to check that $i_3 + 1 \leq 2k - 1$. Since $i_2 \geq 3$, we get
\[ 2i_3 < 2j - 1 - 3 = 2j - 4 \]
which shows that
\[ i_3 < j - 2 = j - 2 = (2k + 1) - 2 = 2k - 1 \]
and this proves the case $i_2 > 3$.

So suppose now that $i_2 = 3$. Then the proof for the first monomial is the same as above. The second monomial becomes $\zeta_2^{2i_3}$. So we need to show that $\zeta_2^{2i_3}$ is a generator for $v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k}bu_k)$. This follows from the fact that, in this case,
\[ 2i_3 + 2 = 2j - 1 - 3 = 2j - 4 = 2(2k + 1) - 4 + 2 = 4k \]
and this finishes the case when $i_2 = 3$.

Finally, suppose that $i_2 = 1$. For both monomials, we need to show that $\zeta_1^{2i_3}$ is a generator of $v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{4k}bu_k)$. This follows from the fact that in this case
\[ 2i_3 = 2j - 1 - 1 = 2j - 2 = 4k \]
This proves the case when $i_2 = 1$ and finishes the proposition. \qed

We briefly mention how to infer the integral calculations from the rational calculations done above.

**Remark 3.47.** By Theorem 2.1, we know that there is an injection
\[ \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}_*((A \wedge E(2))_*)/v_2\text{-tors} \hookrightarrow v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}_*((A \wedge E(2))_*) \]
and
\[ \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}_*((\Sigma^{8j+4\epsilon}bu_j)_*/v_2\text{-tors}) \hookrightarrow v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(2)}_*((\Sigma^{8j+4\epsilon})_*) \]
Recall that, integrally, $\operatorname{Ext}_{E(1)}_* (BP(2)_{2j-1})$ decomposed into a sum of suspensions of Adams covers of $\operatorname{Ext}_{E(1)}_* (\mathbb{F}_2)$, and that inverting $v_0$ on each cover reduces it to a copy of $v_0^{-1} \operatorname{Ext}_{E(1)}_* (\mathbb{F}_2)$. To recover the Adams covers one simply uses the algorithm described in Remark 3.27. By Proposition 3.28, we can conclude that the rational
generators produced above along with their associated Adams covers gives a basis of Ext_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{8j+4e}bu_{2j+e})/v_0\text{-tors as a module over } \mathbb{F}_2[v_0].

3.4. **Low degree computations.** In this section, we will provide examples of low degree computations using the inductive methods developed in the previous section. We tabulate the generators of the spectral sequences for low dimensional cases of bu. In the tables below, the summands of the form \((E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes -\) are understood as being generators over \(\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]\), while all other summands are generators over \(\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1, v_2]\). In the table below, generators having a hidden \(v_2\)-extension are indicated in red.

| bu_0 : | \(\mathbb{F}_2 : 1\) |
| --- | --- |
| \(\Sigma^4bu_1 : \) | \(\Sigma^4bu_1 : \zeta_1^4, \zeta_2^2\) |
| \(\Sigma^8bu_2 : \) | \(\Sigma^8(E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_1 : \zeta_1^8, \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^2\) |
| \(\Sigma^{12}bu_3 : \) | \(\Sigma^{12}(E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_1 : \zeta_1^{12}, \zeta_1^8 \zeta_2^2\) |
| \(\Sigma^{16}bu_4 : \) | \(\Sigma^{16}(E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_3 : \zeta_1^{16}, \zeta_1^{12} \zeta_2^2, \zeta_1^8 \zeta_2^4, \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^2 \zeta_3^2, \zeta_1^{16} \zeta_2^4, \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^2 \zeta_3^2\) |
| \(\Sigma^{24}bu_5 : \) | \(\Sigma^{20}(E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_3 : \zeta_1^{20}, \zeta_1^{16} \zeta_2^2, \zeta_1^{12} \zeta_2^4, \zeta_1^8 \zeta_2^6, \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^2 \zeta_3^2, \zeta_1^{16} \zeta_2^4, \zeta_1^4 \zeta_2^2 \zeta_3^2\) |
| \(\Sigma^{32}bu_6 : \) | \(\Sigma^{28}(E(2)//E(1))_* \otimes BP(2)_1 \otimes bu_1 : \{\zeta_2^8, \zeta_2^4 \zeta_3^2\} \cdot \{\zeta_1^4, \zeta_2^2\}\) |
| \(\Sigma^{24}bu_7 : \) | \(\Sigma^{32}bu_1 \otimes bu_1 : \{\zeta_2^4, \zeta_3^2\} \cdot \{\zeta_1^4, \z_2^2\}\) |
| \(\Sigma^{27}bu_1[1] : \) | \(\Sigma^{28}bu_0[1] \otimes bu_1[1] : \{\zeta_2^4, \z_2^2 \zeta_3^2\} \cdot \{\zeta_1^4, \z_2^2\}\) |
| \(\Sigma^{28}bu_6 : \) | \(\Sigma^{32}bu_5 \otimes bu_5 : \zeta_1^{24}, \zeta_1^{20} \zeta_2^2, \zeta_1^{16} \zeta_2^4, \zeta_1^{12} \zeta_2^6, \zeta_1^8 \zeta_2^{10}, \zeta_1^4 \z_2^2 \zeta_3^2, \zeta_1^{16} \z_2^4, \z_1^{12} \z_2^6, \z_1^8 \z_2^{10}, \z_1^4 \z_2^2 \zeta_3^2, \z_1^{16} \z_2^4, \z_1^{12} \z_2^6, \z_1^8 \z_2^{10}, \z_1^4 \z_2^2 \zeta_3^2, \z_1^{16} \z_2^4,\) |

\(\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1, v_2]\) and \(\zeta_3 \in \mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1, v_2]\) are understood.
Below are charts for the spectral sequences (3.21) and (3.20). In the charts below, we will use the following key.

| Symbol | Ring |
|--------|------|
| ◦      | $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1]$ |
| △      | $\mathbb{F}_2[v_0^{\pm 1}, v_1, v_2]$ |

In the charts below, the following pattern

\[ v_2x = v_1y + v_0z. \]

will denote a relation of the form

In particular, lines of slope $1/6$ denote multiplication by $v_2$, lines of slope $1/2$ denotes multiplication by $v_1$, and vertical lines denote multiplication by $v_0$. 
Figure 3.1. $v_0^{-1} \Ext_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^8bu_2)$

Figure 3.2. $v_0^{-1} \Ext_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{12}bu_3)$

Figure 3.3. $v_0^{-1} \Ext_{E(2)_*}(\Sigma^{16}bu_4)$
Figure 3.4

(A) $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{16}bu_4)$

(B) $v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{20}bu_5)$
\begin{enumerate}
\item \(v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{24}bu_6)\)
\item \(v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{28}bu_7)\)
\item \(v_0^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(2)}(\Sigma^{32}bu_8)\)
\end{enumerate}

\textbf{Figure 3.5}
ON $\text{BP}(2)$-COOPERATIONS
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