Systematic engineering of pentose phosphate pathway improves Escherichia coli succinate production
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Abstract

Background: Succinate biosynthesis of Escherichia coli is reducing equivalent-dependent and the EMP pathway serves as the primary reducing equivalent source under anaerobic condition. Compared with EMP, pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) is reducing equivalent-conserving but suffers from low efficacy. In this study, the ribosome binding site library and modified multivariate modular metabolic engineering (MMME) approaches are employed to overcome the low efficacy of PPP and thus increase succinate production.

Results: Altering expression levels of different PPP enzymes have distinct effects on succinate production. Specifically, increased expression of five enzymes, i.e., Zwf, Pgl, Gnd, Tkt, and Tal, contributes to increased succinate production, while the increased expression of two enzymes, i.e., Rpe and Rpi, significantly decreases succinate production. Modular engineering strategy is employed to decompose PPP into three modules according to position and function. Engineering of Zwf/Pgl/Gnd and Tkt/Tal modules effectively increases succinate yield and production, while engineering of Rpe/Rpi module decreases. Imbalance of enzymatic reactions in PPP is alleviated using MMME approach. Finally, combinational utilization of engineered PPP and SthA transhydrogenase enables succinate yield up to 1.61 mol/mol glucose, which is 94% of theoretical maximum yield (1.71 mol/mol) and also the highest succinate yield in minimal medium to our knowledge.

Conclusions: In summary, we systematically engineered the PPP for improving the supply of reducing equivalents and thus succinate production. Besides succinate, these PPP engineering strategies and conclusions can also be applicable to the production of other reducing equivalent-dependent biorenewables.
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Background

Succinate, which has been identified as one of the 12 most valuable bio-bulk chemicals, has been widely used in agricultural, food, pharmaceutical, and biodegradable plastics fields, and has a potential market of $15 billion/year [1]. Construction of microbial catalysts for succinate production is a promising alternative to current petroleum-based production technics. A variety of microbes have been engineered for succinate production [2–4], among which Escherichia coli is recognized as an excellent biocatalyst due to its rapid growth, easy genetic manipulation, and well-known metabolism [2]. Several well-performing engineered E. coli strains and various fermentation technics have been developed for succinate production [3, 5–8]. Among these technics, the use of minimal medium and one-step anaerobic fermentation technology has attracted increasing attentions due to lower costs of raw materials, energy, and downstream purification [9, 10]. For instance, Jantama et al. developed a high-succinate-producing strain E. coli K1073 through...
Multivariate modular metabolic engineering (MMME) approach has been proposed to balance the metabolic flux among pathway modules. Modules with varied expression levels (low, medium, or high) are combined to search the best combination for product biosynthesis, and this approach has achieved successes in the production of bio-products, e.g., taxadiene, fatty acids, and isoprene [15, 18, 19]. In order to obtain modules with low, medium, and high expression levels, combining promoters with varied strength and plasmids with different copy numbers becomes the commonly used strategy [15, 18, 19]. Although being useful, this strategy might suffer from metabolic burden from excessive formation of transcriptional mRNA and plasmid maintenance [3, 20, 21]. In contrast, altering the expression at transcriptional level opens a promising alternative route. Since ribosome binding site (RBS) is responsible for recruitment of ribosome for the initiation of protein translation, changing the sequence of RBS is reasonable to affect the efficiency of translational initiation and thus protein synthesis [22]. Therefore, the construction of RBS library (RBSL) via introduction of degenerate nucleotides at RBS region [23, 24] will provide a variety of enzyme or module candidates with varied expression levels available for MMME.

In this study, we systematically engineered the entire PPP via RBSL and MMME, using succinate as an example target product. *E. coli* Suc-T110 (∆pflB ∆dhA ∆ptsI Ppck* ∆galP pck*) [25] was selected as the starting strain for its relatively low succinate production (titer ~280 mM, yield ~1.12 mol/mol glucose) [8], and no reducing equivalent-conserving pathway has been activated within. RBSL approach was initially employed to obtain PPP enzymes with varied expression levels. Results revealed that, besides Zwf, Gnd, and Tkt, increased expression of other PPP enzymes, e.g., Pgl and Tal, also improved succinate production within a certain range, while the increased expression of Rpe or Rpi instead compromised succinate production. Then, PPP was decomposed into three modules (ZPG, RR, and TT), the MMME approach was used to search the best combination of both intra- and inter-modules. Finally, combinational utilization of engineered PPP and SthA transhydrogenase enables succinate yield of *E. coli* Suc-P02 up to 1.61 mol/mol glucose, which increases by 44% relative to starting Suc-T110 and also the highest yield (94% of theoretical maximum yield) in minimal medium to our knowledge.

**Results**

**Engineering effects of individual PPP enzymes on succinate production**

We first measured the activities of all PPP enzymes within Suc-T110 under anaerobic condition. All of these
Fig. 1 Production of succinate anaerobically in E. coli. Through EMP pathway, 14.3 mol glucose produces 28.6 mol PEP and 28.6 mol NADH (catalyzed by gapA). Through PPP, 85.7 mol glucose produces 142.8 mol PEP, 171.4 mol NADPH and 171.4 mol NADH (catalyzed by zwf and gnd), 142.8 mol CO2. Through combinational utilization of EMP and PPP, 100 mol glucose produces 171.4 mol PEP, 171.4 mol NADPH, and 171.4 mol NADH. The formed 171.4 mol NADPH can be converted into 171.4 mol NADH by increased expression of SthA. In Suc-T110, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (pck) is the predominant PEP carboxylase and most of PEP flux goes into the reductive TCA cycle (mdh-fumB-frd) for succinate biosynthesis [25]. Finally, 100 mol glucose coupled with 85.7 mol CO2 can produce 171.4 mol succinate, by which it achieves the theoretical maximum yield of 1.71 mol/mol glucose. EMP, Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas (glycolysis) pathway; PPP, pentose phosphate pathway; 6-P-Glc, glucose-6-phosphate; 6-P-Fru, fructose-6-phosphate; F6P, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; G3P, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; 1,3-BPG, 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; Pyr, pyruvate; OAA, oxaloacetate; EMP-PEP: 14.3 Glucose = 28.6 PEP + 28.6 NADH
PPP-PEP: 85.7 Glucose = 142.8 PEP + 142.8 NADH + 171.4 NADPH + 85.7 CO2
EMP+PPP-PEP: 100 Glucose = 171.4 PEP + 171.4 NADPH + 171.4 NADH + 85.7 CO2
SthA: 171.4 NADPH + 171.4 NAD+ = 171.4 NADH + 171.4 NADP+
Pep-Suc: 171.4 PEP + 171.4 ADP+ + 171.4 CO2 + 342.8 NADH = 171.4 Suc + 171.4 ATP
Total: 100 Glucose + 85.7 CO2 = 171.4 Succinate + 171.4 ATP
PPP enzymes were found to have relatively low expression levels, with activities ranging from 0.05 to 0.71 U/mg (Additional file 1: Table S1). Given that transcriptional regulation is the widely used strategy for E. coli to regulate gene expression [26], we proposed that the low activities of PPP enzymes anaerobically are probably due to transcriptional repression from oxygen-sensitive transcriptional regulators, e.g., FNR [27]. Consistent with our hypothesis, some FNR-binding sites are found to be present at the upstream transcriptional regulatory region of PPP genes, e.g., zwf and gnd [28].

With the goal of relieving the transcriptional repression and thus increasing expression levels of PPP enzymes, we next employed the RBSL approach to replace the native promoter of all PPP enzymes by the constitutive M1-93 artificial promoter [29, 30] with varied RBS sequence (Fig. 2; Additional file 2: Table S2). Seven degenerate nucleotides (RNNNNNNN) were introduced to the RBS region (before the ATG start codon) of PPP genes (Fig. 2). After promoter replacement, the expression levels of PPP enzymes increased significantly (Additional file 3: Table S3). For Zwf, its highest expression level reached 2.47 U/mg, which is 19-fold of native expression level (0.13 U/mg) in Suc-T110. For other Pgl, Gnd, Rpi, Rpe, Tkt, and Tal enzymes, the highest expression levels increased by 8-, 27-, 2-, 5-, 17-, and 5-fold at most relative to the respective native expression levels in Suc-T110 (Fig. 3; Additional file 3: Table S3). Moreover, given that altering sequences of RBS will affect the efficiency of translational initiation and protein synthesis [22], we also obtained a series of PPP enzymes with varied expression levels (Fig. 3; Additional file 3: Table S3).

Subsequently, we investigated the correlation between enzymatic expression levels and succinate production in these PPP engineered strains (Fig. 3). For Zwf, Pgl, Gnd, Tkt, and Tal enzymes, it was observed that there is a positive correlation between the expression levels and succinate production when the expression level is within a certain range (Fig. 3), while excessive expression leads to decreased succinate production but still higher than the starting strain Suc-T110 (Fig. 3). Taking Zwf enzyme for instance, the maximum succinate production was obtained when Zwf expression level increased to the medium level.

---

**Fig. 2** Schematic of the construction of ribosome binding site library (RBSL) of PPP enzymes. The seven PPP enzymes locate dispersedly among E. coli MG1655 chromosome. A constitutive promoter M1-93 was initially used to replace native promoter of PPP enzymes. Next, seven degenerate nucleotides (RNNNNNNN) were introduced into the RBS region of M1-93 promoter before ATG start codon to obtain the RBSL.
of 1.50 U/mg (M-Zwf), which enabled a 29% increase in succinate titer to 338 mM and also a 29% increase in succinate yield to 1.44 mol/mol glucose relative to Suc-T110 with a low level of Zwf (L-Zwf, 0.13 U/mg) \((P < 0.05)\). However, when Zwf expression level further elevated to as high as 2.47 U/mg (H-Zwf), succinate titer decreased by 5% to 320 mM and succinate yield also decreased by 5% to 1.36 mol/mol compared with M-Zwf \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 3; Additional file 3: Table S3).

In contrast, this trend is not applicable to Rpi or Rpe enzymes, which locate at the metabolic branch of PPP (Fig. 1). In contrast, a negative relationship was observed between the Rpe or Rpi expression level and succinate production (Fig. 3; Additional file 3: Table S3). For Rpi, when its expression level increased from 0.19 U/mg (L-Rpi) by 42% to 0.27 U/mg (M-Rpi), although there was no substantial change in succinate yield, succinate titer significantly decreased by 44% to 152 mM \((P < 0.05)\). This suppression effect becomes more obvious when Rpi expression level further elevated to 0.41 U/mg (H-Rpi), which decreased succinate titer by 73% to 73 mM \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 3). We observed that the compromised succinate production is associated with decreased
Modular engineering of PPP for increasing succinate production

Traditionally, PPP is divided into the oxidative part (including Zwf, Pgl, and Gnd) and the non-oxidative part (including Rpi, Rpe, Tkt, and Tal) [31]. However, given that the reactions in the non-oxidative part are distinct (isomerization vs. aldehyde/ketone transfer), it is more reasonable to further divide the non-oxidative part into the Rpe/Rpi and Tkt/Tal parts. Therefore, the entire PPP is partitioned into three modules: (I) Zwf/Pgl/Gnd (ZPG) module, which converts glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) into ribulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P) and CO2, and generates NADPH; (II) Rpe/Rpi (RR) module, which converts Ru5P into xylulose-5-phosphate (X5P) and ribose-5-phosphate (R5P); and (III) Tkt/Tal (TT) module, which converts X5P and R5P into fructose-6-phosphate (F6P) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P) that enter the glycolytic pathway for further metabolism (Fig. 1).

To optimize each module for succinate production, the multivariate engineering approach was proposed and employed [15, 18, 19]. Enzymes with varied expression levels, i.e., low (L), medium (M), and high (H), were chosen to perform the intra-module combinations. During the optimization of ZPG module, M-Pgl and M-Gnd were found to have an additive effect in increasing succinate production. Specifically, M-Pgl and M-Gnd individually led to a 17% increase in succinate yield. However, M-Pgl/M-Gnd combination enabled engineered E. coli strain to produce 345 mM succinate with the yield of 1.41 mol/mol glucose, which exceeded those when using H-Tal alone (1.30 mol/mol) by more than 9% (P < 0.05) (Fig. 4c; Additional file 4: Table S4). However, excessive expression level of Tkt and Tal enzymes was found to compromise succinate production. Specifically, H-Tkt/H-Tal strain only produced 296 mM succinate with the yield of 1.32 mol/mol glucose, which decreased by 6% compared with M-Tkt/T-Tal (336 mM; 1.41 mol/mol, P < 0.05) (Fig. 4c; Additional file 4: Table S4). Since engineering of each PPP module increased succinate production, it is reasonable to expect that combination utilization of PPP modules will further improve succinate production. Given that increased expression of RR module does not contribute to succinate production, we then attempted to combine the ZPG and TT modules. Given that the H-Zwf/M-Pgl/M-Gnd and M-Tkt/H-Tal manipulations are identified to be the most effective during individual engineering of ZPG module and TT module (Fig. 4a, c, labeled by 4-point star). Combinational utilization of the two manipulations together resulted in the engineered E. coli strain Suc-P01 (H-Zwf/M-Pgl/M-Gnd/M-Tkt/H-Tal). Results showed that Suc-P01 produced 373 mM succinate with the yield of 1.54 mol/mol glucose (Fig. 4d), which is higher than that obtained when using M-Tkt/H-Tal alone (1.41 mol/mol) (P < 0.05). It is to be noted that the difference between individual utilization of H-Zwf/M-Pgl/M-Gnd and Suc-P01 is marginal (Fig. 4d).

Alleviating metabolic bottlenecks through MMME approach

In this study, by systematic engineering of PPP at the levels of enzyme and module, we have successfully obtained E. coli strains with highly active PPP and well-performing succinate production. However, some questions remain to be solved, e.g., excessive expression of individual enzyme or module compromised pathway performance and succinate production. Specifically, succinate titers of H-ZPG, H-RR, and H-TT are only 34, 106, and 296 mM, respectively (Fig. 4a–c, labeled by 5-point star). We proposed
that these poor performances are presumably due to metabolic bottlenecks caused by the mismatch of modules. To this end, the MMME approach was employed again to alleviate this potential metabolic imbalance.

We found that the poor performance of H-ZPG can be advanced by the activation of downstream RR and TT modules. Specifically, strain H-ZPG/M-RR/M-TT produced 237 mM succinate, which increased sevenfold than H-ZPG (34 mM) (Fig. 5; Additional file 5: Table S5). Given that Ru5P is the catalytic product of ZPG, H-ZPG might cause the accumulation of Ru5P intermediate, tuning of the expression of downstream RR and TT modules from low to medium level (M-RR/M-TT) contributed to alleviating this metabolic bottleneck and thus recovered succinate production. It is to be noted that succinate-producing capability of the resulting H-ZPG/M-RR/M-TT strain is still not outstanding: succinate titer (237 mM) was only about 85% of the parental strain Suc-T110 (~280 mM), indicating that metabolic imbalance caused by H-ZPG was only partially alleviated.

H-RR might cause metabolic bottleneck of Ru5P shortage; here, we revealed that it can be alleviated by increasing the expression of upstream ZPG and TT modules to medium level (M-ZPG/M(H)-TT), and the former impacts predominantly due to providing more Ru5P intermediate for H-RR. For example, M-ZPG/H-RR produced 263 mM succinate, which exceeded that of H-RR (106 mM) by 148% ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 5; Additional file 5: Table S5). Interestingly, under this condition, activation of downstream TT module can further increase
succinate production. Specifically, M-ZPG/H-RR/H-TT produced 321 mM succinate, which even exceeded that of M-ZPG/H-RR by 22% (P < 0.05).

For H-TT, activation of RR module compromised succinate production (Fig. 5). Instead, activation of ZPG module increased succinate production. For instance, M-ZPG/M-RR/H-TT produced 328 mM succinate, which increased by 11% compared with H-TT (296 mM) (P < 0.05) (Fig. 5; Additional file 5: Table S5). Activation of ZPG module might increase the supply of key intermediates (e.g., X5P, R5P, E4P, and S7P) for H-TT metabolism, which relieves the metabolic bottleneck of H-TT.

All of these results demonstrated the effectiveness of MMME approach for solving metabolic imbalance from mismatch of PPP modules. However, it should be pointed out that succinate productions of all these MMME strains are still lower than that of Suc-P01 (titer = 373 mM, yield = 1.54 mol/mol glucose), which underlines the importance of fine-tuning expression level of each module for activation of PPP.

**Combinational utilization of engineered PPP and SthA transhydrogenase for succinate production**

SthA is a soluble transhydrogenase responsible for converting NADPH into NADH in *E. coli* [8, 32]. Our prior research showed that the increased expression of SthA in *E. coli* increased succinate production due to improving supply of NADH for succinate biosynthesis [8]. Since the key enzymes of both malate dehydrogenase (MDH) and fumarate dehydrogenase (FRD) in the succinate biosynthesis pathway are NADH dependent, efficient conversion of NADPH into NADH after engineering of PPP might contribute to further improvement of succinate production. To this end, the expression level of SthA transhydrogenase was increased by replacing the native promoter of *sthA* with a strong constitutive promoter RBS3 in Suc-P01 strain, the best PPP engineered strain obtained in this study, resulting in Suc-P02 strain (H-Zwf/M-Pgl/M-Gnd/M-Tkt/M-Tal/RBS3-SthA). Compared with Suc-P01, expression of SthA in Suc-P02 increased onefold from 0.03 to 0.06 U/mg. This increased SthA expression enabled Suc-P02 to produce 382 mM...
succinate with the yield of 1.61 mol/mol glucose (Fig. 4d; Additional file 4: Table S4), which exceeded those of Suc-P01 (1.54 mol/mol) and the starting strain Suc-T110 (1.12 mol/mol) by 5 and 44% (P < 0.05) respectively, and also 94% of the theoretical maximum yield (1.71 mol/mol). To our knowledge, this is the highest succinate yield of E. coli in minimal medium so far.

Discussion
In this study, the entire PPP was systematically investigated for its capability to supply reducing equivalents for succinate production. Under anaerobic condition, the low expression levels of PPP enzymes have been successfully advanced via RBSL approach, which indicates that the low efficacy of PPP anaerobically is at least partially due to expression repression. Moreover, by introduction of degenerate nucleotides in the RBS region, a variety of engineered strains with different expression levels of PPP enzymes have been obtained. Further analysis revealed that the increased expression of Zwf, Pgl, Gnd, Tkt, and Tal enzymes contributes to succinate production, which provides more candidates for engineering of PPP besides the widely used Zwf and Gnd [11, 13]. Although being useful at low levels, excessive expression of the five enzymes compromised succinate production, presumably due to the accumulation of inhibitory metabolic intermediates [33]. In contrast, the increased expression of Rpe or Rpi enzyme, which locate at the metabolic branch of PPP, decreased succinate production. We proposed that it might be attributed to the imbalance of R5P and X5P in the engineered strains. For downstream TT module, it requires 2 molecules of X5P (X5P + R5P → S7P + G3P; X5P + E4P → F6P + G3P), while it requires only 1 molecule of R5P (X5P + R5P → S7P + G3P) for entire aldehyde/ketone transfer reactions. Therefore, the theoretical optimal mol ratio between X5P and R5P should be 2:1. Increased expression levels of individual Rpi or Rpe will break the balance of X5P and R5P, which leads to the actual mol ratio deviate the optimal 2:1. In contrast to Rpe, increased expression of Rpi is severer for that TT module needs more X5P (2 molecules) relative to R5P (1 molecule) to accomplish the entire transfer reactions.

Modular engineering strategy was employed to decompose PPP into three modules. Among PPP modules, optimization of the ZPG module exhibited the most effective increase in succinate production, improving succinate yield from 1.12 by 36% to 1.52 mol/mol glucose. We deemed that the effectiveness of ZPG optimization might be due to the two NADPH-generating enzymes, i.e., Zwf and Gnd, both of which locate at this module. Besides ZPG, optimization of TT module also contributed to succinate production, increasing succinate yield from 1.12 by 26% to 1.41 mol/mol glucose. TT module locates at the downstream of PPP and connects with the EMP pathway. Increased expression of TT module will accelerate the metabolic rate of PPP metabolic products, such as G3P and F6P, to enter the EMP downstream pathway for further metabolism. For RR module, compared with individual increased expression of Rpe or Rpi enzyme, simultaneous increased expression of Rpe/Rpi has not yet been proven the perfect solution for solving R5P and X5P imbalance for that it might cause new metabolic bottleneck of Ru5P intermediate shortage, leading to decreased biomass and succinate production (Additional file 5: Table S5). This explanation is confirmed by the experimental result that the activation of upstream ZPG module based on H-Rpi/H-Rpe significantly increased biomass from 0.63 by 76% to 1.11 g/l and increased succinate titer from 106 mM by 148% to 263 mM (Additional file 5: Table S5). Maintaining the native expression levels of Rpe and Rpi enzymes seems to be the best solution for the optimization of RR module. Consequently, combination utilization of the most effective ZPG and TT modules further increased succinate yield by 38% to 1.54 mol/mol. It should be noted that after systematic optimization of PPP, the native expression level of SthA is not as high to convert all of the produced NADPH into NADH for succinate biosynthesis and increased expression of SthA based on ZPG + TT further was found to further increase succinate yield by 44% to 1.61 mol/mol glucose. In the future, fine-tuning expression of SthA based on the activation of PPP might lead to further succinate yield improvement.

Excessive expression of single PPP enzyme or module will cause potential metabolic imbalance (e.g., intermediate shortage or accumulation) and thus decrease succinate production. We deem that the changes in intracellular concentration of PPP intermediates will cause two detrimental effects. First, it compromises PPP activity and efficacy. Second, cellular growth will be retarded as some PPP intermediates have been reported to be associated with cell growth. For instance, R5P, E4P, and S7P are required for biosynthesis in E. coli cells, and 6-phosphoglucono-δ-lactone (6-P-Glc-I) is deemed the cytotoxic chemical which can react with endogenous nucleophiles [34–36]. In this study, MMME approach has proven its effectiveness in alleviating these potential metabolic imbalances, which recovers PPP efficiency, biomass, and succinate production.

Sekar et al. reported that the overexpression of NADPH-generating Zwf and Gnd can activate PPP regardless of down-regulation of the EMP pathway, and
the EMP pathway must be down-regulated to enhance the glycolytic flux through PPP [37, 38]. Here we found that besides Zwf and Gnd, activation of other indirect NADPH-generating enzymes of PPP, i.e., Pgl, Tkt, and Tal, also contributes to the improvement of PPP efficacy. Furthermore, although down-regulation of EMP flux (e.g., deletion of pgi or pfkB) is the commonly used strategy for channeling flux to PPP, our results indicated that fine-tuning expression of PPP enzymes is indispensable for obtaining highly active PPP. Combinational utilization of the down-regulation of EMP and fine-tuning expression of PPP enzymes in future will have an additive role in further increasing the production of reducing equivalents and related products.

In this study, a series of E. coli strains with increased succinate production have been obtained. For instance, engineered strain Suc-P02 has the succinate yield of 1.61 mol/mol glucose, which is 94% of the maximal theoretical yield (1.71 mol/mol) and also the highest in minimal medium. It is to be noted that even though we deleted ldhA and pfkB competitive pathways, a small quantity of acetate remains in fermentation broth of Suc-P02. Deletion of other possible acetate formation pathways, such as ackA, pta, or poxB, might contribute to further succinate yield improvement. Overall, this work highlights the importance of systematic engineering of PPP for the supply of reducing equivalents for succinate production, strategies of which can be applied to the production of other reducing equivalent-dependent microbial biorenewables.

Conclusions
The pentose phosphate pathway of E. coli was systematically engineered for improving reducing equivalent supply and succinate production. Increased expression of each of Zwf, Pgl, Gnd, Tkt, and Tal enzymes contributed to increased succinate production, while the increased expression of either Rpe or Rpi significantly decreased succinate production. Through combined engineering of Zwf/Pgl/Gnd, Tkt/Tal, and SthA modules, succinate yield reached 1.61 mol/mol glucose, which was 94% of theoretical maximum yield (1.71 mol/mol) and also the highest succinate yield in minimal medium to our knowledge.

Methods
Strains, medium, and growth conditions
Escherichia coli strains used in this study can be found in the additional materials (Additional file 6: Table S6). During construction process, the strains were cultured at 30 or 37 °C in Luria broth (10 g/l Difco tryptone, 5 g/l Difco yeast extract and 10 g/L NaCl). If necessary, ampicillin, kanamycin, or chloramphenicol was added to the medium at a final concentration of 100, 40, or 17 μg/ml, respectively [25].

Genetic methods
Primers used in this study are listed in Additional file 7: Table S7. For the construction of ribosome binding site libraries (RBSL) [23, 25], a two-step recombination approach was performed [39]. Taking the construction of zwf-RBSL for instance, the zwf-cat-sacB genetic cassette was amplified from pXZ-CS plasmid [25] with primer set zwf-cat-sacB-up/down and inserted before the ATG start codon of zwf gene. Then, primer set zwf-P-up/zwf-RBSL-down and E. coli M1-93 genomic DNA [40] were used to PCR amplify the zwf-RBSL DNA fragment for the second recombination step. Seven degenerate nucleotides (RNNNNNN) will be introduced into the RBS region before the ATG start codon of zwf gene (see Fig. 2). After PCR verification using zwf-EX-up/down, ten right colonies were randomly picked from each RBSL for further measuring the Zwf activities. Four representative strains having varied Zwf activities were selected for fermentation and the regulatory elements before the ATG start codon of zwf gene in these four engineered strains were sequenced and are listed in Additional file 2: Table S2.

Fermentation
Fresh colonies were picked from New Brunswick Scientific (NBS) mineral salt plates containing 20 g/l glucose, inoculated into 250-ml flasks containing 100 ml mineral salt medium with 50 g/l glucose, and grown at 37 °C and 100 rpm for 12 h. The seed cultures were then inoculated into a 500-ml fermentation vessel containing 250 ml mineral salt medium. Potassium bicarbonate was added to the fermentation medium with a final concentration of 100 mM. The pH was maintained at 7.0 by automatic addition of a base containing 2.4 M sodium carbonate and 1.2 M sodium hydroxide [25].

Enzyme assay
All of the kinetic parameters of E. coli PPP enzymes can be found in Additional file 8: Table S8. Crude extracts were prepared from cells harvested during the mid-log phase (60 h) of fermentation. Collected E. coli cells were firstly washed with 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.0) twice and then suspended in the same buffer with 1× protease inhibitor (Roche, Switzerland) to an OD_{550} of 10 for sonication treatment. After centrifugation at 12,000×g and 4 °C for 20 min, the supernatant (crude extract) was transferred to a new tube. Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad, USA) was used to measure protein concentration of the crude extract. One unit (U) of enzyme activity
represents the amount of enzyme catalyzing the conversion of 1 µmol of substrate per min into specific products. The extinction coefficient of NADPH and NADH at 340 nm was 6.22/cm mM.

**Zwf (EC 1.1.1.49)** activity
Zwf activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [41, 42]. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM NADP⁺, 2 mM glucose-6-phosphate, and crude extract in 100 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADPH formed/min mg/protein.

**Pgl (EC 3.1.1.31)** activity
Two additional enzymes, Zwf and Gnd, were added to the reaction mixture for Pgl activity assay. The 6-phosphoglucono-δ-lactone formed by Zwf was catalyzed by Pgl to form 6-phosphogluconate, which was converted subsequently by Gnd to form Ru5P, accompanied with NADPH formation. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM 6-phosphate-glucose, 1 mM NADP⁺, and 10 U Zwf in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.1). After incubation at dark for 8 min, 1.5 U Gnd and crude extract were added to the mixture [43]. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADPH formed/min mg/protein.

**Gnd (EC 1.1.1.44)** activity
Gnd activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [44]. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.5 mM NADP⁺, 2 mM 6-phosphate-gluconate, and crude extract in 100 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADPH formed/min mg/protein.

**Rpe (EC 5.1.3.1)** activity
Rpe activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [45]. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 0.24 mM MgCl₂, 0.01 mM TPP, 0.25 mM NADH, 3 U 3-phosphate-glycerol dehydrogenase, 10 U triosephosphate isomerase, 0.5 mM d-ribose-5-phosphate, 0.5 mM d-ribose-5-phosphate, 1 U transketolase, and crude extract in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADH decreased/min mg/protein.

**Rpi (EC 5.3.1.6)** activity
Rpi activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [45]. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 0.24 mM MgCl₂, 0.01 mM TPP, 0.25 mM NADH, 3 U 3-phosphate-glycerol dehydrogenase, 10 U triosephosphate isomerase, 0.5 mM d-xylulose-5-phosphate, 0.5 mM d-ribulose-5-phosphate, 1 U transketolase, and crude extract in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADH decreased/min mg/protein.

**Tkt (EC 2.2.1.1)** activity
Tkt activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [45]. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 0.24 mM MgCl₂, 0.01 mM TPP, 0.25 mM NADH, 3 U 3-phosphate-glycerol dehydrogenase, 10 U triosephosphate isomerase, 0.5 mM d-ribose-5-phosphate, 0.5 mM d-xylulose-5-phosphate, and crude extract in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADH decreased/min mg/protein.

**Tal (EC 2.2.1.2)** activity
Tal activity was determined as previously described with minor modifications [31]. HEPES buffer instead of Tris buffer was used to wash and suspend cells. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 0.24 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM NADP⁺, 0.5 mM d-sedoheptulose-7-phosphate, 0.5 mM glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, 10 U 6-phosphate-glucose isomerase, 3 U 6-phosphate-glucose dehydrogenase, and crude extract in 100 mM HEPES buffer (pH 8.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol NADPH formed/min mg/protein.

**SthA (EC 1.6.1.1)** activity
SthA activity was determined as previously described [46]. The crude extract after sonication was firstly centrifuged at 12,000 × g and 4 °C for 5 min and then further centrifuged at 50,000 × g and 4 °C for 60 min. The obtained supernatant was transferred for use. Each 1 ml reaction mixture contains 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM NADPH, 3 U 3-acetyl pyridine adenine dinucleotide (APAD⁺), and crude extract in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as increased 1 µmol APADH/min mg/protein with an extinction coefficient of 2.9/cm mM at 400 nm.

**Analysis**
The dry weight of cells was calculated by measuring the optical density value at 550 nm (OD₅₅₀). Organic acids and residual glucose in the fermentation broth were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography [47]. The product titers were normalized by arithmetically factoring out the volume of base solution that was added to the fermenters for pH control as follows: product titer = (real product titer) × (starting volume + added base solution volume)/(starting volume) [8]. Two-tailed t test was employed to analyze the statistical significance of all the data, and a P value <0.05 is deemed as statistically significant.
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