Clinical Pharmacokinetics of the Androgen Receptor Inhibitor Darolutamide in Healthy Subjects and Patients with Hepatic or Renal Impairment
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Abstract

Background Darolutamide is a second-generation androgen receptor inhibitor approved for the treatment of nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer at a dosage of 600 mg orally twice daily.

Objective We aimed to fully characterize the pharmacokinetic profile of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and its main active metabolite, keto-darolutamide.

Methods Single-dose and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of ¹⁴C-labeled and non-labeled darolutamide were evaluated in healthy subjects and patients with hepatic or renal impairment.

Results Following darolutamide oral tablet administration, peak plasma concentrations were reached 4–6 h after dosing. Darolutamide elimination was characterized by a half-life of 13 h. Steady state was reached after approximately 2 days of twice-daily dosing. Pharmacokinetics of the diastereomers and keto-darolutamide followed similar trends to the parent compound. Darolutamide absorption from the tablet was lower than from the oral solution; tablet absolute bioavailability was ~30% in the fasted state but improved to 60–75% when given with food. The unbound fraction of darolutamide in plasma was 7.8%. The administered 1:1 ratio of the diastereomers (S,R)-darolutamide and (S,S)-darolutamide changed to ~1:6 in plasma following multiple dosing. Similar exposure and diastereomer ratios after single and multiple dosing indicate time-independent (no autoinduction) linear pharmacokinetics. Darolutamide exposure increased in patients with moderate hepatic or severe renal impairment vs healthy subjects; dose adaptation at treatment initiation should be considered in these patients.

Conclusions Darolutamide 600 mg twice daily demonstrates predictable linear pharmacokinetics and sustainably high plasma concentrations, suggesting the potential for constant inhibition of the androgen receptor signaling pathway.

Clinical Trials Registration NCT02418650, NCT02894385, NCT02671097.

1 Introduction

Darolutamide (Nubeqa®, formerly ODM-201) is a novel, potent, and selective non-steroidal second-generation androgen receptor inhibitor developed for the treatment of prostate cancer [1]. Darolutamide is structurally distinct from other androgen receptor inhibitors approved for prostate cancer (enzalutamide and apalutamide). It exists as a 1:1 mixture of two diastereomers, (S,R)-darolutamide and (S,S)-darolutamide [2]. These diastereomers interconvert via the major metabolite keto-darolutamide (Fig. 1 in the Electronic Supplementary Material [ESM]), and all three compounds have similar pharmacologic activity in vitro [2–6].

Darolutamide 600 mg, administered as two 300-mg tablets orally twice daily (BID), demonstrated significantly prolonged overall survival (hazard ratio 0.69; 95% confidence interval 0.53–0.88; \( p = 0.003 \)) and metastasis-free survival (40.4 months vs 18.4 months, \( p < 0.001 \)) compared with placebo and a favorable safety profile in patients with non-metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) in the phase III ARAMIS trial (NCT02200614) [7, 8]. Three phase I/II clinical trials (ARADES [NCT01317641], ARAFOR...
Darolutamide is a mixture of two stereoisomers (diastereomers), which exhibit similar properties and contribute equally and interchangeably to the efficacy and safety of darolutamide.

Following oral administration of darolutamide as a tablet to healthy subjects, it is gradually absorbed, well distributed, and eliminated with a relatively short effective half-life. Sustainable steady-state concentrations are achieved within ~2 days, indicating that darolutamide will start working quickly and that drug exposure can be easily adjusted if necessary. Darolutamide and its metabolites are processed and eliminated via the liver and kidneys, resulting in recommended dose adjustments in patients with severe renal or moderate hepatic impairment.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Populations

Pharmacokinetic results from three phase I clinical trials are reported (Table 1): a trial in healthy male subjects (ARIADME, NCT02418650), a trial evaluating the effect of impaired hepatic or renal function in male patients without cancer (Study 17721, NCT02894385), and a drug–drug interaction trial that included single-dose and multiple-dose pharmacokinetic analyses (Study 17723, NCT02671097 [11]). All studies were conducted in accordance with the International Council for Harmonization Good Clinical Practice, the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all applicable national regulations. An independent ethics committee at each study site approved each study protocol, and all participants provided written informed consent.

2.2 Pharmacokinetic and Analytical Methods

Pharmacokinetic blood samples were collected according to schedules defined for each trial, using K2-EDTA as the anticoagulant. The bioanalytical methods used for pharmacokinetic sampling are summarized in the ESM.

The plasma concentrations of darolutamide (sum of concentrations of (S,R)-darolutamide and (S,S)-darolutamide) and keto-darolutamide were used to calculate pharmacokinetic parameters in non-compartmental analyses using WinNonlin version 5.3 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA) and applicable complementary software. The following pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated: maximum observed plasma concentration (Cmax); time to Cmax (tmax); area under the concentration–time curve (AUC) from time 0 to the time of the last measurable concentration (AUC0–last), to infinity (AUC0–inf), and to 12 or 48 h after darolutamide administration (AUC0–12 and AUC0–48, respectively); terminal half-life (t1/2) and effective t1/2; clearance following intravenous (IV) administration; and volume of distribution. Diastereomer ratios in plasma based on AUC0–inf and keto-darolutamide:darolutamide ratios based on AUC0–inf were calculated after oral and IV administration. Accumulation ratios based on AUC0–12 and Cmax for single vs multiple dosing were calculated for darolutamide, both diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide. The linearity factor (Rlin; the ratio of multiple-dose AUC0–12 to single-dose AUC0–inf) was also calculated. Effective t1/2 was based on the mean accumulation ratio of AUC0–12 at steady state divided by AUC0–12 after single administration.

Protein binding of darolutamide, (S,R)-darolutamide, (S,S)-darolutamide, and keto-darolutamide, and blood partitioning of darolutamide and keto-darolutamide were investigated in vitro using blood and plasma from healthy male subjects and patients without cancer with moderate hepatic impairment or severe renal impairment. Unbound fractions were determined by equilibrium dialysis (see ESM).
2.3 Study Designs

2.3.1 Pharmacokinetics and Bioavailability Study

The ARIADME study was a phase I, single-center, open-label, non-randomized, two-part trial to determine the absolute bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide, as well as evaluations of mass balance, metabolism, and excretion routes of darolutamide, which are reported elsewhere [6]. In Part 1, six healthy male subjects received a single oral dose of darolutamide 300 mg as a tablet, followed by a single IV microtracer dose administered as a 15-min infusion ending at the expected \( t_{\text{max}} \) for the oral tablet (3 h post-dose) to assess absolute bioavailability. The microtracer dose did not exceed 100 \( \mu \)g of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-darolutamide and contained \( \leq 37 \text{ kBq} \) (1000 nCi) \(^{14}\text{C}\). In Part 2, six healthy male subjects received a single oral dose of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-darolutamide 300 mg as an aqueous propylene glycol solution containing \( \leq 6.3 \text{ MBq} \) (171 \( \mu \text{Ci} \)) \(^{14}\text{C}\). All doses were administered after overnight fasting.

Pharmacokinetic samples were collected from all subjects receiving darolutamide oral tablets in Part 1 as follows: blood samples were obtained pre-dose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 48, and 72 h post-dose; urine samples were collected from 0 to 12 h and 12 to 24 h post-dose, and then at intervals of 24 h up to 72 h post-dose. Plasma samples for the IV microtracer dose of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-darolutamide in Part 1 were collected at the start of the infusion, 10 min after the start of the infusion, and at the end of the infusion, then at 10, 20, and 40 min post-infusion and at 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 15, 21, 45, and 69 h post-infusion. Plasma samples for subjects receiving darolutamide oral solution in Part 2 were collected pre-dose, at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 16, and 24 h post-dose, then at 24-h intervals up to 168 h post-dose. Urine samples were collected from 0 to 12 h and 12 to 24 h post-dose, then at intervals of 24 h up to 72 h (tablet) or 168 h (solution) post-dose. Whole blood samples for total radioactivity after oral solution dosing were collected pre-dose at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 3, and 8, and 24 h post-dose.

After oral dosing with the solution or tablet, plasma concentrations of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide and urine concentrations of the diastereomers were determined in samples using high-performance liquid chromatography mass spectrometry methods that were validated in accordance with regulatory guidance (plasma) [12, 13] or were qualified against validated methods (urine). Plasma concentrations of IV \(^{14}\text{C}\)-labeled darolutamide, (S,R)-darolutamide, (S,S)-darolutamide, and keto-darolutamide were determined using a validated method consisting of liquid chromatography followed by fractionation and accelerator mass spectrometry. Plasma and whole blood concentrations of \(^{14}\text{C}\)-radioactivity were determined using...
2.3.2 Single-Dose and Multiple-Dose Pharmacokinetics

The phase I study that included investigation of single-dose and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of darolutamide was a prospective, open-label, non-randomized, fixed-sequence trial in healthy male subjects, with the primary objective of assessing drug–drug interactions between darolutamide and rosuvastatin [11] (Table 1). Darolutamide 600 mg was administered orally as two 300-mg tablets 30 min after the start of a standardized meal on day 1 (morning), then BID on days 4–7. Pharmacokinetic blood samples were collected pre-dose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 36, 38, 60, and 72 h post-dose (for single-dose pharmacokinetics), in the morning on days 4–6 (trough concentration), and predose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 12 h post-dose on day 7 (for multiple-dose pharmacokinetics). Plasma samples were extracted using a solid-phase extraction procedure, and concentrations of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide were determined using a validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry detection assay (see ESM).

2.3.3 Hepatic and Renal Impairment Study

An open-label, non-randomized, parallel-group, multicenter, phase I clinical trial was conducted to evaluate the potential effects of hepatic or renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide. The study population comprised male patients (aged 45–79 years) who had moderate hepatic impairment or severe renal impairment and an age-matched control group of healthy male subjects. Patients with moderate hepatic impairment were eligible if they had histopathologically confirmed liver cirrhosis and Child–Pugh classification B [14]. Patients with renal impairment were eligible if they had an estimated glomerular filtration rate of 15–29 mL/min/1.73 m² according to the four-variable abbreviated ‘Modification of Diet in Renal Disease’ Study equation, were not undergoing dialysis or expected to start dialysis in the next 3 months, and had stable renal disease (serum creatinine within ±25% of the last determination obtained ≤3 months before study entry).

Darolutamide 600 mg was administered orally as two 300-mg tablets 30 min after the start of a standardized breakfast. Pharmacokinetic blood samples were collected pre-dose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post-dose. Quantitative analysis of darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide was performed using a validated liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry detection assay (see ESM). Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated based on total and unbound concentration–time data for darolutamide, its diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide in plasma.

3 Results

3.1 Participants

Baseline characteristics of subjects in the three trials are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Absolute and Relative Bioavailability

Darolutamide was gradually absorbed after administration of a single 300-mg oral tablet to healthy subjects in the fasted state. Maximum observed plasma concentration was reached 3.5 h (range 2.0–4.1 h) post-dose (Table 2). Thereafter, plasma concentrations declined in a biphasic manner, with a terminal $t_{1/2}$ of 15.3 h.

When $^{14}$C-darolutamide 100 μg was administered as a single IV microtracer infusion over 15 min, $C_{\text{max}}$ was reached in plasma at the end of infusion and declined biphasically, with a terminal $t_{1/2}$ of 11.8 h (Table 2; Fig. 2 in the ESM). The elimination of darolutamide from plasma after administration as an oral solution was biphasic, with a terminal $t_{1/2}$ of 10.6 h (Table 2). After a single dose of $^{14}$C-darolutamide 300 mg as an oral solution, $t_{\text{max}}$ occurred earlier, and the mean $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC$_{0-\text{inf}}$ were 4.8-fold and 3.5-fold higher, respectively, than the tablet formulation (Table 2).

Determination of bioavailability for the 300-mg oral tablet used the IV microtracer dose as a reference; administration was timed to ensure that pharmacokinetic profiles were matched with regard to $t_{\text{max}}$. For darolutamide administered as a single 300-mg oral tablet to healthy subjects in the fasted state, the absolute bioavailability based on AUC$_{0-\text{inf}}$ was 29.9%. The absolute bioavailability of darolutamide from the oral solution was estimated to be 98.9%, indicating complete absorption of the dose, which was confirmed by total $^{14}$C-radioactivity results in plasma and urine (Table 2 in the ESM; the fraction absorbed was 109% based on plasma AUC of total $^{14}$C-radioactivity and 127% based on the amount of total $^{14}$C-radioactivity excreted in urine).

3.3 Diastereomer Interconversion

The darolutamide diastereomers interconvert via the metabolite keto-darolutamide (Fig. 1 in the ESM). The 1:1 diastereomer ratio of (S,R)-darolutamide to (S,S)-darolutamide in administered darolutamide changed rapidly in plasma, preferring (S,S)-darolutamide with all formulations (as shown for IV dosing in Fig. 1). Exposure of (S,S)-darolutamide was greater than (S,R)-darolutamide, with a shorter mean
terminal $t_{1/2}$ (3.98 vs 14.2 h) and 2.9-fold higher clearance for $(S,R)$-darolutamide vs $(S,S)$-darolutamide after the IV dose, indicating that $(S,R)$-darolutamide was eliminated more rapidly (Table 3 in the ESM). A similar relationship between the diastereomers and the metabolite was observed with oral dosing. After a darolutamide 600-mg oral tablet, the plasma concentration–time profiles for $(S,S)$-darolutamide and keto-darolutamide ran in parallel with that of darolutamide, whereas $C_{\text{max}}$ was reached earlier and declined faster with $(S,R)$-darolutamide (Fig. 2). In later stages, the elimination rate of $(S,R)$-darolutamide approached that of $(S,S)$-darolutamide and keto-darolutamide due to back-formation from keto-darolutamide. This indicates that a reduction in keto-darolutamide back to the parent drug resulted in the formation of both diastereomers, although $(S,S)$-darolutamide formation was favored.

Plasma exposure to keto-darolutamide was greater than darolutamide following dosing with all formulations: the metabolite:parent total concentration ratios, based on AUC$_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$, were 1.50, 1.67, and 2.06 after the IV microtracer, AUC$_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$, and AUC$_{0\text{--}t_{\text{inf}}}$, respectively.

### Table 2

| Parameter             | Darolutamide 300 mg as oral tablet ($n = 6$) | Darolutamide 300 mg as oral $^{14}$C-solution ($n = 6$) | Darolutamide 100 µg as IV $^{14}$C-microtracer dose ($n = 6$) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| $C_{\text{max}}$, ng/mL | 800 (25.0)                                 | 3824 (19.0)                                          | 4127 (27.4)$^a$                                            |
| $t_{\text{max}}$, h    | 3.50 (2.00–4.10)                            | 1.58 (1.02–3.00)                                     | 0.25 (0.25–0.25)                                           |
| AUC$_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$, ng·h/mL | 12,417 (35.6)                              | 45,323 (21.2)                                        | 12,659 (38.2)$^a$                                         |
| AUC$_{0\text{--}t_{\text{inf}}}$, ng·h/mL | 12,898 (36.2)                              | 45,573 (21.3)                                        | 13,645 (39.5)$^a$                                         |
| $t_{1/2}$, h           | 15.3 (29.3)                                | 10.6 (22.6)                                          | 11.8 (31.1)                                                |
| CL/F, mL/min           | 388 (36.2)                                 | 110 (21.3)                                           | NC                                                        |
| CL$_{R}$, mL/min       | NC                                         | NC                                                   | NC                                                        |
| CL$_{\text{app}}$, mL/min | 6.52 (10.2)                                | 7.73 (20.5)                                          | 116 (39.7)                                                |
| $V_d$, mL              | 513,569 (38.1)                             | 100,863 (39.2)                                       | 118,930 (28.9)                                            |
| $F$                    | 0.299 (19.4)                               | 0.989 (21.3)                                         | NC                                                        |

Data are expressed as geometric mean (CV%), except $t_{\text{max}}$, which is expressed as median (range).

$^{14}$C radioactive isotope of carbon, $AUC$ area under the plasma concentration–time curve, $AUC_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$, $AUC_{0\text{--}t_{\text{inf}}}$, volume of plasma cleared of analyte per unit of time, $CL_R$ renal clearance, $C_{\text{max}}$ maximum (peak) plasma concentration, $CV\%$ coefficient of variation, $F$ absolute oral bioavailability, $IV$ intravenous, NC not calculated, $t_{1/2}$ elimination half-life, $t_{\text{max}}$ time to peak concentration, $V_d/F$ (apparent) volume of distribution of analyte.

$^a$For the IV microtracer dose, units were pg eq/mL for $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$ and h·pg eq/mL for $AUC_{0\text{--}t_{\text{last}}}$.

$^b$CL for the IV microtracer dose, CL/F for the oral tablet and solution.

---

**Fig. 1** Mean (standard deviation) plasma keto-darolutamide/darolutamide, $(S,R)$-darolutamide/darolutamide, and $(S,S)$-darolutamide/darolutamide concentration ratio vs time profiles following a single intravenous (IV) dose of $^{14}$C-darolutamide 100 µg ($n = 6$ healthy male subjects). h hours

---
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oral tablet, and oral solution doses, respectively. Plasma concentrations of keto-darolutamide declined biphasically, with terminal t½ values similar to darolutamide after the respective IV and oral dosing (Fig. 2, Table 2, Table 3 in the ESM). After oral tablet administration of darolutamide 600 mg, plasma concentrations of keto-darolutamide were measurable at the same early timepoints as both diastereomers or the parent compound (Fig. 2), indicating that formation of the metabolite was rapid.

### 3.4 Distribution

In vitro studies in human plasma showed darolutamide binding to plasma proteins with a mean free (unbound) fraction of ~ 8% and a lower free fraction for keto-darolutamide (0.2%); serum albumin appeared to be the main binding protein for both darolutamide and keto-darolutamide (data on file). These data are consistent with the protein binding analysis of ex vivo samples collected after a single oral administration of darolutamide 600 mg, in which the mean free (unbound) fraction in plasma was 7.8% for darolutamide and 0.12% for keto-darolutamide. The mean whole blood:plasma ratio of total 14C-radioactivity following a single oral solution dose of darolutamide was 0.7, indicating minor partitioning into blood cells.

### 3.5 Single-Dose and Multiple-Dose Pharmacokinetics

Following administration of a single oral dose of darolutamide 600 mg with food to healthy male subjects, plasma darolutamide concentrations increased gradually (Fig. 3). The mean peak plasma concentration of 1.77 µg/mL was reached ~6 h post-dose (Table 3). Plasma concentrations then declined, with a mean terminal t½ of 11.6 h; mean overall exposure as calculated by AUC 0–inf was 26.2 µg·h/mL. Following multiple doses with darolutamide 600 mg BID for 4 days, median tmax was reduced to approximately 4 h, and exposure doubled, with accumulation ratios based on AUC 0–12 and Cmax of 2.1 and 1.9, respectively (Fig. 3, Table 3). The mean multiple-dose AUC 0–12 was 28.8 µg·h/mL, similar to single-dose AUC 0–inf, indicating that the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide are linear with time (R of 1.1). The estimated effective t½, based on the AUC 0–12 accumulation ratio and calculated using the method of Sahin and Benet 2008 [15], was 13.0 h.

After single and repeated administration of darolutamide 600 mg as oral tablets, the ratios of (S,R)-darolutamide to (S,S)-darolutamide were approximately 1:5 and 1:6 in plasma, respectively, with maximum concentrations of keto-darolutamide and both diastereomers observed at similar post-dose timepoints to darolutamide. Mean terminal t½ values for the four analytes ranged from 11.6 to 14.5 h (Table 3). Despite a faster elimination of (S,R)-darolutamide following Cmax, a longer terminal t½ was observed as a result of back-formation from keto-darolutamide over time (Fig. 2). Consequently, the linear phase for (S,R)-darolutamide on the semi-logarithmic scale at later timepoints resulted in
Table 3 Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters of darolutamide, (S,R)-darolutamide, (S,S)-darolutamide, and keto-darolutamide taken with food in healthy subjects receiving single (day 1) and multiple (day 7) oral doses of 600 mg BID

| Day 1 (single dose) | Darolutamide \( (n = 15) \) | \((S,R)\)-darolutamide \( (n = 15) \) | \((S,S)\)-darolutamide \( (n = 15) \) | Keto-darolutamide \( (n = 15) \) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Parameter           | \( C_{\text{max}} \), ng/mL | \( t_{\text{max}} \), h\(^a\) | \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL |
| \( C_{\text{max}} \), ng/mL | 1.77/26.4 (1.14–3.05) | 5.97 (2.50–7.95) | 3.15/29.1 (1.85–5.42) | 10.4/25.4 (6.60–15.6) | 29.3/29.9 (19.0–58.2) |
| \( t_{\text{max}} \), h\(^a\) | 553/33.5 (340–1010) | 3.97 (1.02–6.02) | 4.26/25.9 (2.53–6.93) | 21.2/22.5 (13.6–29.4) | 53.9/28.5 (32.6–91.3) |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | 13.6/25.7 (8.90–21.1) | 26.2/22.4 (16.7–36.0) | 25.8/22.1 (16.5–35.0) | 11.6/32.7 (6.63–18.9) | 14.5/70.0 (4.03–31.3) | 12.4/37.0 (6.63–20.8) | 12.9/54.4 (5.69–34.6) |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | 3.33/20.8 (2.28–4.31) | 684/31.5 (417–1050) | 2.71/18.8 (1.93–3.58) | 1.77/26.4 (1.14–3.05) | 553/33.5 (340–1010) | 3.97 (1.02–6.02) | 3.98 (0.967–4.00) | 3.00 (1.48–5.97) |
| \( \text{AUC}_{0-12} \), µg·h/mL | 25.8/22.1 (16.5–35.0) | 4.11/26.2 (2.46–6.63) | 21.6/22.0 (13.4–28.9) | 11.6/32.7 (6.63–18.9) | 14.5/70.0 (4.03–31.3) | 12.4/37.0 (6.63–20.8) | 12.9/54.4 (5.69–34.6) |
| Terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \), h | 11.6/32.7 (6.63–18.9) | 14.5/70.0 (4.03–31.3) | 12.4/37.0 (6.63–20.8) | 24.8/21.7 (17.6–31.8) | 65.9/33.6 (29.1–110) |
| \( C_{\text{max}} \), ng/mL | 28.8/22.1 (20.2–37.1) | 3.91/30.1 (2.07–5.85) | 25.8/22.1 (16.5–35.0) | 13.0 | 5.08 | 15.3 | 14.1 |
| Effective \( t_{\text{1/2}} \)\(^b\) | 3.98 (2.00–5.98) | 3.00 (0.967–4.00) | 3.98 (0.967–4.00) | 3.98 (0.967–4.00) | 3.00 (1.48–5.97) |
| \( R_{\text{AUC}} \) | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| \( R_{\text{C}\text{max}} \) | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| \( R_{\text{LIN}} \) | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 |

\( AUC \) area under the concentration–time curve, \( AUC_{0-12} \) AUC from time 0 to 12 h after administration, \( AUC_{0-\text{last}} \) AUC from time 0 to the time of the last concentration above the lower limit of quantification, \( BID \) twice daily, \( C_{\text{max}} \) maximum (peak) plasma concentration, \( CV\% \) coefficient of variation, \( R_{AUC} \) accumulation ratio based on \( AUC_{0-12} \), \( R_{C_{\text{max}}} \) accumulation ratio based on \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( R_{\text{LIN}} \) linearity factor (ratio of multiple-dose \( AUC_{0-12} \) to single-dose \( AUC \)), \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) elimination half-life, \( t_{\text{max}} \) time to peak concentration

\(^a\)Median (range)

\(^b\)Estimated effective half-life based on \( AUC_{0-12} \) accumulation ratio and calculated according to the method of Sahin and Benet 2008 [15]

an apparently longer terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \). The effective \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) ranged from 13.0 to 15.3 h for darolutamide, (S,S)-darolutamide, and keto-darolutamide, which is similar to the terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) of these compounds. However, the effective \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) of (S,R)-darolutamide of 5.08 h was much shorter than the terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) of 14.5 h. The effective \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) may therefore better reflect the faster elimination of (S,R)-darolutamide observed early after dosing rather than the longer terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \), which reflects only a small proportion of the elimination of this diastereomer.

After single and multiple dosing of darolutamide, plasma exposure of keto-darolutamide was approximately twofold higher than that of darolutamide. Plasma exposure for keto-darolutamide, (S,R)-darolutamide, and (S,S)-darolutamide was similar after single administration (\( AUC_{0-\text{int}} \)) and multiple administration (\( AUC_{0-12} \) on day 7), indicating that the metabolite pharmacokinetics was time independent and predictable (Table 3). Trough concentrations of darolutamide, both diastereomers, and keto-darolutamide reached steady-state levels after only 1 day of BID treatment.

### 3.6 Effects of Hepatic and Renal Impairment on the Pharmacokinetics of Darolutamide

A single dose of darolutamide 600 mg administered with food was gradually absorbed, with a median \( t_{\text{max}} \) of 4 h in healthy subjects and those with moderate hepatic impairment or severe renal impairment (Table 4). In patients with moderate hepatic impairment (Child–Pugh class B), darolutamide \( C_{\text{max}} \) increased 1.5-fold and exposure (\( AUC_{0-48} \)) increased 1.9-fold compared with values seen in healthy subjects (Fig. 3 in the ESM). Patients with severe renal impairment had a 1.6-fold higher \( C_{\text{max}} \) and a 2.5-fold greater exposure (\( AUC_{0-48} \)), and a prolonged terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) for darolutamide vs healthy subjects (Table 4, Fig. 3 in the ESM). The terminal \( t_{\text{1/2}} \) in patients with moderate hepatic impairment did not change compared with healthy subjects (Table 4). Plasma exposure (\( AUC_{0-48} \)) of keto-darolutamide was increased in patients with renal or hepatic impairment, but the increase was less pronounced than for darolutamide (Fig. 3 in the ESM). Protein binding analysis showed no distinct differences between the three treatment groups (Table 4).

### 4 Discussion

Darolutamide has been developed as a tablet for oral administration for the treatment of nonmetastatic CRPC. The absolute bioavailability of darolutamide after a single 300-mg dose as an oral solution under fasted conditions was ~100%, indicating complete absorption and high permeability of darolutamide, and that first-pass metabolism does not play a significant role. In contrast, absolute bioavailability of darolutamide administered as a single 300-mg tablet in the fasted state was ~30%. Absorption and bioavailability of the

\( \Delta \) Adis
Darolutamide tablet were markedly improved to ~ 60–75% when given with food [9]. The observed increased bioavailability is consistent with in vitro data that show improved solubility in fed vs fasted state simulated intestinal fluid (data on file). Therefore, it is recommended that darolutamide is administered with food [16].

Darolutamide is gradually absorbed after oral tablet dosing with or without food, with a median time to peak concentration of 4–6 h after single dosing of 600 mg, supporting previous clinical findings [1, 9, 10]. It is rapidly interconverted between the two diastereomers as measured in plasma, with a 1:1 ratio in the administered dose changing to favor (S,S)-darolutamide over (S,R)-darolutamide regardless of the dosing route or formulation (diastereomer ratios for (S,R)-darolutamide and (S,S)-darolutamide, respectively, ranged from 1:3 to 1:5). In vitro studies in human hepatocytes [6] and clearance and \( t_{1/2} \) data confirm there is more rapid elimination of (S,R)-darolutamide than (S,S)-darolutamide from plasma, resulting in the observed preponderance of (S,S)-darolutamide following dosing. As (S,R)-darolutamide and (S,S)-darolutamide exhibit the same physicochemical, pharmacologic, and toxicologic properties, both diastereomers are considered to contribute equally and interchangeably to the efficacy and safety of darolutamide [4, 17].

Plasma concentrations of the major metabolite keto-darolutamide were 1.7-fold higher than for darolutamide after oral tablet administration in healthy subjects; however, the free fraction of keto-darolutamide was < 1%, markedly lower than for darolutamide (8%). Hence, based on the similar pharmacologic activity of the parent drug and metabolite observed in vitro [2], pharmacologic activity is considered to be driven by the parent diastereomers, as their free concentrations considerably exceed that of the keto-metabolite. Serum albumin was identified as the main binding protein for parent and metabolite; thus, disease state (as known for alpha-1 acid glycoprotein [18]), steroid hormone levels (as known for steroid hormone-binding globulin [19]), and protein binding saturation are considered unlikely to affect the free fraction of darolutamide.

In healthy subjects, darolutamide exhibited time-independent (no autoinduction) and predictable pharmacokinetics after repeated oral administration at the therapeutic dose of 600 mg BID taken with food. Darolutamide exposure (AUC0–12) after multiple dosing was similar to the exposure after a single dose (AUC0–inf). Dose linearity of the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide in the range of 100–700 mg BID was shown in the phase I/II dose-escalation study in patients with metastatic CRPC [1, 17]. The pharmacokinetic behavior of the diastereomers and keto-darolutamide generally followed the same trends as darolutamide. Accordingly, peak concentration of the diastereomers and keto-darolutamide was reached around the same time, with a median \( t_{1/2} \) between 4 and 6 h. The terminal \( t_{1/2} \) was similar for (S,S)-darolutamide and keto-darolutamide.

Darolutamide reaches steady state quickly in a clinical setting and has a much shorter half-life than other second-generation androgen receptor inhibitors [20, 21]. This pharmacokinetic profile is favorable should dose adaptation be required to manage drug-related safety events.

The phase I study in patients without cancer with renal or hepatic impairment revealed a distinct effect of severe renal impairment and moderate hepatic impairment on the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide (2.5-fold and 1.9-fold exposure increase, respectively). A population pharmacokinetic modeling analysis, conducted in a subset of patients with nonmetastatic CRPC in ARAMIS, indicated that darolutamide exposure was at most only slightly higher in patients with mild or moderate renal impairment and not higher in patients with mild hepatic impairment vs patients with severe renal impairment.

**Table 4** Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters of single-dose, oral darolutamide 600 mg taken with food in healthy subjects and in patients with moderate hepatic impairment or severe renal impairment

| Parameter      | Healthy subjects (n = 10) | Moderate hepatic impairment (n = 9) | Severe renal impairment (n = 10) |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Geometric mean/geom CV% (range) |                          |                                   |                                  |
| \( C_{max} \), µg/mL         | 1.56/37.3 (0.68–2.37)   | 2.27/38.7 (1.30–4.72)             | 2.47/30.7 (1.44–3.33)            |
| \( t_{max} \), h            | 4.00 (3.02–12.0)        | 4.00 (4.00–8.00)                  | 4.00 (3.00–12.0)                |
| AUC0–48, µg⋅h/mL       | 21.3/41.9 (8.25–37.8)   | 39.4/36.5 (23.2–67.0)             | 52.5/38.9 (28.3–82.3)           |
| AUC0–inf, µg⋅h/mL       | 23.3/43.4 (8.93–42.6)   | 45.9/44.6 (24.0–72.9)             | 67.6/41.9 (31.2–107)            |
| \( t_{1/2} \), h          | 13.6/18.2 (10.8–20.7)   | 13.7/35.4 (9.63–24.6)             | 17.7/16.1 (13.8–22.8)           |
| Fraction unbound, %     | 7.79/8.78 (6.49–8.81)   | 8.79/11.1 (7.29–10.6)             | 8.06/11.8 (6.36–8.95)           |

**AUC** area under the plasma concentration–time curve, AUC0–48 AUC from time 0 to 48 h after administration, \( C_{max} \) maximum (peak) plasma concentration, CV% coefficient of variation, \( t_{1/2} \) elimination half-life, \( t_{max} \) time to peak concentration

\*Data are presented as median (range)

\*\( n = 7 \)
normal organ function [22]. As there were only single cases of patients with severe renal and moderate hepatic impairment included in ARAMIS, pharmacokinetic and safety data in this population are very limited. A safety subgroup analysis showed that adverse events were consistent across renal and hepatic function groups and comparable between darolutamide and placebo arms [17, 22]. Although available data on the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide and safety in patients with cancer with severe renal and moderate hepatic impairment are limited, consideration of the risk–benefit balance indicates that a starting dose of 300 mg BID can provide exposure within the range to achieve effective disease control, with the option to escalate to the standard 600-mg BID dose if well tolerated [17].

The data presented herein are limited to healthy male subjects and patients without cancer with moderate hepatic or severe renal impairment to allow a detailed description of the pharmacokinetic characteristics of darolutamide. Our information adds to the evidence base on the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide, which includes previously reported analyses of Caucasian and Japanese patients with metastatic CRPC and patients with nonmetastatic CRPC [9–11, 22, 23]. Overall, patients with cancer (metastatic or nonmetastatic) appear to have greater exposure and a longer effective t½ than healthy subjects (Fig. 4), but there is a large overlap in exposure and the difference in the pharmacokinetic profile of darolutamide between patients with cancer and healthy subjects is small. Reduced organ function due to age or disease, combined with a more frequent use of comediations in patients with cancer than in healthy subjects, may contribute to observed differences.

5 Conclusions

Darolutamide exhibits predictable linear pharmacokinetics and rapidly reaches steady state when administered orally at the recommended dose of 600 mg BID. As the (S,S) and (S,R) diastereomers exhibit similar pharmacologic and toxicologic properties, they are likely to contribute equally and interchangeably to the efficacy and safety of darolutamide. There is no impact of mild hepatic or mild or moderate renal impairment on the pharmacokinetics of darolutamide. Moderate hepatic impairment and severe renal impairment increase the exposure of darolutamide, which can be managed with the recommended reduction of the starting dose to 300 mg BID.

An audio summary of the article can be found here. (MP4 92844 kb)
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