Diagram spaces, diagram spectra and spectra of units
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This article compares the infinite loop spaces associated to symmetric spectra, orthogonal spectra and EKMM $S$–modules. Each of these categories of structured spectra has a corresponding category of structured spaces that receives the infinite loop space functor $\Omega^\infty$. We prove that these models for spaces are Quillen equivalent and that the infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\infty$ agree. This comparison is then used to show that two different constructions of the spectrum of units $gl_1 R$ of a commutative ring spectrum $R$ agree.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, algebraic topology has witnessed the development of models for the stable homotopy category that are symmetric monoidal under the smash product. Indeed, there are many such categories of spectra. The models that we will consider are symmetric spectra (see Hovey, Shipley and Smith [11] and Mandell, May, Schwede and Shipley [14]), orthogonal spectra [14] and EKMM $S$–modules (see Elmendorf, Kriz, Mandell and May [7]). These categories of spectra, as well as their various categories of rings and modules, are known to be Quillen equivalent; see Mandell and May [13], Mandell, May, Schwede and Shipley [14] and Schwede [32]. However, a Quillen equivalence only gives so much information. On their own, these Quillen equivalences give no comparison of the infinite loop spaces associated to equivalent models of the same spectrum. The present paper makes this comparison of infinite loop spaces.

To describe the prototype of the objects under comparison, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of spectra $E$ whose zeroth spaces are infinite loop spaces: these are sequences of spaces $E_n$ with homeomorphisms $E_n \to \Omega E_{n+1}$. Then the infinite loop space associated to such a spectrum $E$ is its zeroth space: $\Omega^\infty E = E_0 \cong \Omega^n E_n$ for all $n$. This defines a functor $\Omega^\infty$ from $\mathcal{S}$ to the category $\mathcal{F}$ of based spaces. The suspension spectrum functor $\Sigma^\infty$ is the left adjoint of $\Omega^\infty$. Composing with the free/forgetful adjunction
between the category of unbased spaces $\mathcal{U}$ and the category of based spaces $\mathcal{F}$, we have the composite adjunction:

\[ (\mathcal{U} \leftarrow (\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathcal{F} \xleftarrow{\Omega})^{\infty} \mathcal{F}). \]

The main point of this paper is to define the analog of this adjunction for symmetric spectra, orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules, and then to show that these three adjunctions agree after passing to the homotopy category of spaces and the homotopy category of spectra. Each model for spectra has a corresponding model for spaces that is symmetric monoidal with commutative monoids modeling $E_\infty$–spaces, and we prove that all of these models are Quillen equivalent: this is the enhancement of the Quillen equivalence between categories of spectra needed to compare infinite loop space information.

The “structured spaces” associated to structured spectra are of considerable interest in their own right. Since their commutative monoids model $E_\infty$–spaces, applying $\Omega^\infty$ to a commutative ring spectrum yields a space with a “multiplicative” $E_\infty$–space structure. Multiplicative $E_\infty$–spaces, particularly as packaged in May’s notion of an $E_\infty$ ring space, were central to the early applications of the theory of structured ring spectra (see May [21] for the role of $E_\infty$ ring spaces in stable topological algebra and his [20] for a history of these applications). More recently, Rognes [26] has developed the logarithmic algebra of structured ring spectra, which explicitly uses both the symmetric spectrum and EKMM approach to structured ring spectra and their multiplicative infinite loop spaces. Our results not only prove that his constructions in the two contexts are equivalent, but show how to transport information between them.

Another example of the use of multiplicative infinite loop spaces is the spectrum of units $\text{gl}_1R$ of a commutative ring spectrum $R$. Spectra of units are essential to multiplicative orientation theory in geometric topology [20] and have been used more recently in Rezk’s [25] logarithmic power operations and the work of Ando, Hopkins and Rezk [2] on the String orientation of $tmf$. To construct $\text{gl}_1R$, the multiplication on $R$ is converted into the addition on the spectrum $\text{gl}_1R$. This transfer of structure occurs on the infinite loop space associated to $R$, and cannot be performed purely in terms of spectra. In fact, there are two constructions of spectra of units: the first is the original definition of May, Quinn and Ray [17] for $E_\infty$ ring spectra and the second is the definition for commutative symmetric ring spectra given by Schlichtkrull [28]. Using the comparison of infinite loop spaces, we also prove that these two constructions give equivalent spectra as output.

Let $\Sigma \mathcal{F}$ be the category of symmetric spectra, $\mathcal{OS}$ the category of orthogonal spectra and $\mathcal{MS}$ the category of $S$–modules. Let $\mathbb{I}$ be the category of finite sets and injective
functions and let $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ be the category of functors from $\mathcal{I}$ to the category $\mathcal{U}$ of unbased spaces. We call an object of $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ an $\mathcal{I}$–space. $\mathcal{U}$ is the appropriate model for spaces corresponding to symmetric spectra; it receives the infinite loop space functor $\Omega^*$ from symmetric spectra and participates in an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} \mathcal{F}.
\end{array}$$

Here, the subscript $+$ denotes adding a disjoint basepoint to each space in the diagram before taking the suspension spectrum. We will work throughout with unbased spaces for flexibility and to simplify our later construction of $gl_1$.

In direct analogy to $\mathcal{I}$, let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the category of finite-dimensional real inner product spaces and linear isometries (not necessarily isomorphisms). Let $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ denote the category of continuous functors from $\mathcal{I}$ to $\mathcal{U}$. Objects in this category, which we refer to as $\mathcal{I}$–spaces, are the model for spaces corresponding to orthogonal spectra and we have an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} \xleftarrow{\Omega^*} \mathcal{F}.
\end{array}$$

We will prove that $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces are models for topological spaces in the following sense.

**Theorem 1.1** There is a model category structure on the category of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces whose weak equivalences are detected by the homotopy colimit functor

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}.$$ 

Similarly, the category of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces has a model category structure with weak equivalences detected by

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}.$$ 

Furthermore, both of these model structures are Quillen equivalent to Quillen’s model structure on $\mathcal{U}$.

The construction of the model structure is carried out for a general diagram category satisfying a few axioms and may be of independent interest. The model structures will be used throughout the paper but their construction is delayed to Section 15. The Quillen equivalence with spaces follows from Theorems 6.2 and 9.9 (see Remark 7.1). In the case of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces, this model structure has also been constructed by Schlichtkrull and Sagave [27].
Moving on from diagram spaces, let $\mathcal{M}_*$ denote the category of $*$–modules, as developed by Blumberg, Cohen and Schlichtkrull [4] and Ando, Blumberg, Gepner, Hopkins and Rezk [1]. This is a symmetric monoidal model category Quillen equivalent to $\mathcal{U}$ whose commutative monoids are equivalent to algebras for the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ and thus model $E_{\infty}$–spaces. $\mathcal{M}_*$ is the model for spaces corresponding to $S$–modules and we have an adjunction:

$$\mathcal{M}_* \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} \Omega^\infty \mathcal{M}_S.$$ 

Readers of EKMM [7] should be warned that $\Omega^\infty_S$ is not the usual functor $\Omega^\infty$ on $S$–modules. Instead, $\Omega^\infty_S$ is a version of $\Omega^\infty$ that passes through the mirror image categories $\mathcal{M}^S$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$. This is necessary to yield $*$–modules as output and to make the resulting adjunction work correctly.

When we take the total derived functors of the three adjunctions (1)–(3), the homotopy categories on the left and right sides are equivalent to the homotopy category of spaces and the stable homotopy category, respectively. We prove that these adjunctions descend to homotopy categories compatibly, in the following sense.

**Theorem 1.2** The total derived versions of the adjunctions (1)–(3), after passing along the equivalences of homotopy categories, are all isomorphic to the adjunction

$$\text{ho} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} \text{ho} \mathcal{F}.$$ 

induced by the prototype adjunction (0).

To prove this, it suffices to prove that the four versions of the infinite loop space functor $\Omega_\infty$ agree on $\text{ho} \mathcal{F}$. Since we are using different but Quillen equivalent models for spectra, we must incorporate the comparison functors between these models. The same is true for our different models for spaces. To make the comparison, we will use two intermediaries between orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules: the category $\mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra and $\mathcal{M}^S$, the mirror image to the category of $S$–modules. There are analogs at the space level: $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$, the category of $\mathbb{L}$–spaces, and $\mathcal{M}^*$, the mirror image to the category of $*$–modules. We shall construct the following diagram relating these categories:
The top row consist of models for the stable homotopy category and the bottom row consists of models for the homotopy category of spaces. All parallel arrows are Quillen equivalences with left adjoints on top. All vertical arrows are Quillen right adjoints. By definition, the functors $\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty$ agree with the definition of $\Omega^\infty$ in the adjunction (0), and we prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that the associated diagram of derived functors on homotopy categories commutes up to natural isomorphism. The two squares on the right commute by construction, so this is accomplished by showing that (the derived versions of) the two left diagrams commute (Propositions 6.3 and 10.1, respectively).

Each category in the top row of the main diagram carries a symmetric monoidal product $\wedge$ whose monoids and commutative monoids give equivalent models for ring spectra and commutative ring spectra. Each category in the bottom row also carries a symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes$ and all of the adjunctions in the main diagram are symmetric monoidal. An $\mathbb{I}$–space monoid under $\boxtimes$ is called an $\mathbb{I}$–FCP (functor with Cartesian product) and an $\mathcal{I}$–space monoid under $\boxtimes$ in $\mathcal{I}$–spaces is called an $\mathcal{I}$–FCP. Strictly speaking, the categories $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}], \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}], \mathcal{M}^\delta$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$ are only weakly symmetric monoidal, meaning that in general the unit maps are only weak equivalences. However, we can still define monoids and commutative monoids, and we will use the usual language of monoidal categories (lax/strong symmetric monoidal functors, monoidal transformations, etc). The category of monoids in $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ is isomorphic to the category of $A_{\infty}$–spaces structured by the non-$\Sigma$ linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ and the category of commutative monoids in $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ is isomorphic to the category of $E_{\infty}$–spaces structured by the linear isometries operad with symmetric group actions. The following theorem shows that associative and commutative FCPs provide models for $A_{\infty}$– and $E_{\infty}$–spaces. Given a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, we write $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{C}$ for the category of monoids in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}$ for the category of commutative monoids in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Theorem 1.3** The bottom row of Quillen equivalences in the main diagram restricts to give a chain of Quillen equivalences between the category $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs, the category $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$–spaces and the category $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ of non-$\Sigma$ $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. These equivalences restrict further to give a chain of Quillen equivalences between the category $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ of commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs, the category $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ of commutative $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$–spaces and the category $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.

This is proved in Theorems 9.10, 17.6 and 18.2. The infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\bullet$ are lax symmetric monoidal, so the underlying infinite loop spaces of (commutative) diagram ring spectra are (commutative) FCPs. There is a version of the main diagram for ring spectra and for commutative ring spectra, given by passage to monoids and commutative monoids in all of the categories present.
In Section 11, we construct a group-like FCP $GL^\bullet R$ of units from a diagram ring spectrum $R$. This defines functors $GL^\bullet_1: M\Sigma \mathcal{F} \to M\mathcal{I}$ from symmetric ring spectra to $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs and $GL^\bullet_1: M\mathcal{I} \to M\mathcal{I}$ from orthogonal ring spectra to $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs. When $R$ is commutative, the FCP of units $GL^\bullet_1 R$ is also commutative. In Section 12, we build spectra out of group-like commutative FCPs, defining functors $gl^\bullet_1: C\Sigma \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ and $gl^\bullet_1: C\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{F}$ that give the spectrum of units of a commutative diagram ring spectrum. This agrees with the construction of Schlichtkrull [28] for commutative symmetric ring spectra.

The units of $A_\infty$ and $E_\infty$ ring spectra were first constructed by May–Quinn–Ray [17]. Starting with an $A_\infty$ ring spectrum $R$, there is a group-like $A_\infty$–space $GL^\bullet_1 R$ of units. When $R$ is $E_\infty$ the group-like $E_\infty$–space $GL^\bullet_1 R$ may be delooped to give a spectrum of units $gl^\bullet_1 R$. The categories $M\mathcal{M}_S$ and $C\mathcal{M}_S$ of $S$–algebras and commutative $S$–algebras arise as subcategories of the categories $M\mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}]$ and $C\mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}] = \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $A_\infty$– and $E_\infty$ ring spectra, so we may also apply the functors $GL^\bullet_1$ and $gl^\bullet_1$ to them as well.

**Theorem 1.4** After passage to homotopy categories, all four versions of the $A_\infty$–space $GL^\bullet_1 R$ of units of a ring spectrum $R$ agree. After passage to homotopy categories, all four versions of the spectrum of units $gl^\bullet_1 R$ of a commutative ring spectrum $R$ agree.

The first statement is proved as Propositions 13.8 and 14.1, and the second is proved as Theorems 13.9 and 14.4. The proof requires the comparison of delooping machines, which seems to be intrinsically nonmodel-theoretic.

**Outline** In Sections 2–3 we define $\mathcal{I}$–spaces, $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and the adjunctions (1) and (2) involving the infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\bullet$ for symmetric and orthogonal spectra. In Section 4, we show that the adjunctions are monoidal and that commutative monoids in $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces give rise to $E_\infty$–spaces and hence to infinite loop spaces. Section 5 contains the basic categorical technique used for all of the comparisons in the paper. In Section 6 we compare $\Omega^\bullet$ for symmetric and orthogonal spectra. In Section 7 we shift to the EKMM approach, describing $*$–modules and defining the infinite loop space functor $\Omega^\infty_S$ for $S$–modules. In Section 8 we construct the adjunction between $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $*$–modules and in Section 9 we prove that it is a Quillen equivalence. The comparison of infinite loop spaces is completed by Section 10, which gives the comparison of $\Omega^\bullet$ on orthogonal spectra with $\Omega^\infty_S$ on $S$–modules. In Section 11 we define an FCP $GL^\bullet_1 R$ of units associated to a diagram ring spectrum $R$ and in Section 12 we convert commutative FCPs to spectra, thus defining the spectrum of units $gl^\bullet_1 R$. We compare $gl^\bullet_1$ of symmetric and orthogonal ring spectra in Section 13 and then compare with $gl^\bullet_1$ of $E_\infty$ ring spectra in Section 14. The rest of the paper contains the model-theoretic results that underlie the comparison results. In Section 15 we construct...
the model structure on the category of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces in full generality and in Section 16 we prove that the model structures on $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{T}$–spaces are Quillen equivalent. In Sections 17–18 we construct the model structure on the categories of monoids and commutative monoids in $\mathcal{D}$–spaces (FCPs). The appendix gives background material on bar constructions and homotopy colimits over topological categories, as well as the action of the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ on the categories and functors used throughout the paper.

**Conventions**  In this paper, a topological category means a category internal to topological spaces, not just enriched in topological spaces (see the appendix for a review). The symbol $\mathcal{D}$ will always denote a topological category. The main examples in this paper are $\mathcal{I}$ (with the discrete topology) and $\mathcal{T}^\triangleright$, a small category equivalent to $\mathcal{T}$. The description of the topology on $\mathcal{T}^\triangleright$ is in the appendix. By a $\mathcal{D}$–space we mean a continuous functor $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{U}$. We will often write $X_d$ for the value $X(d)$ of $X$ at an object $d$ of $\mathcal{D}$. Notice that a $\mathcal{D}$–space only depends on the enrichment of $\mathcal{D}$: the topology on $\text{ob} \mathcal{D}$ is not part of the structure of a $\mathcal{D}$–space. We will use the language of compactly generated model categories as defined by May–Sigurdsson [22, 4.5.3]. A model category $\mathcal{C}$ is topological if it is enriched, tensored and cotensored in $\mathcal{U}$ and the topological analog of SM7 holds: given a cofibration $i: A \to X$ and fibration $p: E \to B$, the induced map of spaces

$$\mathcal{C}(i, p): \mathcal{C}(X, E) \to \mathcal{C}(A, E) \times_{\mathcal{C}(A, B)} \mathcal{C}(X, B)$$

is a Serre fibration which is a weak equivalence if either $i$ or $p$ is.

Although the following conditions are easy to verify in practice, we will make repeated use of them in gluing arguments and prefer to make a single definition for easy reference. A topologically cocomplete category $\mathcal{C}$ has cylinder objects defined by the tensor $I \times X$ of an object $X$ with the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. We have the notion of an $h$–cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$, given by the homotopy extension property with homotopies defined by these cylinders. Since $h$–cofibrations are defined by a lifting property, it is immediate that $h$–cofibrations are preserved under coproducts, pushouts and sequential colimits. We say that a class of weak equivalences in a topologically bicomplete category $\mathcal{C}$ is well-grounded (compare May and Sigurdsson [22, 5.4.1]) if the following properties hold:

(i) A coproduct of weak equivalences is a weak equivalence.

(ii) If $i: A \to X$ is an $h$–cofibration and a weak equivalence and $f: A \to Y$ is any map, then the cobase change $Y \to X \cup_A Y$ is a weak equivalence.
(iii) If  and  are  cofibrations and the vertical arrows are weak equivalences in the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{i} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X' & \xleftarrow{i'} & A'
\end{array}
\]

then the induced map of pushouts  is a weak equivalence.

(iv) If  and  are each colimits of sequences of  cofibrations , , and  is a compatible family of maps, each of which is a weak equivalence, then the induced map colim  is a weak equivalence. In particular, if each map  is a weak equivalence, then  is a weak equivalence.

(v) If  is a map in  and  is a retract of a relative CW complex, then the pushout product

\[
f \sqcup i: (X \times B) \cup_{X \times A} (Y \times A) \to Y \times B
\]

is a weak equivalence if either  or  is a weak equivalence.

Finally, a diagram of categories, such as the main diagram of this introduction, will be said to commute when we really mean “commute up to natural isomorphism”.
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2 Infinite loop space theory of symmetric spectra

In this section we will summarize the basic theory of  spaces (see also Rognes [26, Section 6] and Sagave–Schlichtkrull [27]). Let us first recall the foundations on symmetric spectra from Hovey–Shipley–Smith [11] and Mandell–May–Schwede–Shipley [14]. Let  be the category of finite sets  for  and bijections .  is a symmetric monoidal category under disjoint union. The category  of based –spaces is symmetric monoidal under the internal smash product . The sphere  space  is a commutative monoid under . A symmetric spectrum  is a module over  in the symmetric monoidal category . The category of symmetric spectra is symmetric monoidal under the smash product with unit object the sphere spectrum .
In order to capture the infinite loop space information contained in a symmetric spectrum, we describe the structure naturally occurring in the collection of loop spaces \( \{ \Omega^n E_n \} \).

To this end, let \( I \) be the category of finite sets \( n = \{ 1, \ldots, n \} \) for \( n \geq 0 \) with morphisms the injective set maps. Let \( J \) be the subcategory of \( I \) with the same objects but with morphisms only the inclusions \( \iota: m \rightarrow n \) such that \( \iota(k) = k \). Note that every morphism \( \phi \) of \( I \) can be factored (nonuniquely) as \( \phi = \phi \circ \iota \) for some permutation \( \phi \) in \( \Sigma \).

Let \( E \) be a symmetric spectrum. Define a based \( I \)–space \( E \) by \( E_n \).

Given a morphism \( \iota: m \rightarrow n \) of \( I \), define \( \iota_*: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n \) is \( \Omega^m \Sigma \), where \( \Sigma \) is the adjoint of the spectrum structure map \( \Sigma^{n-m} E_m \rightarrow E_n \). Define \( \bar{\phi}_*: \Omega^n E_n \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n \) by sending \( \gamma \in \Omega^n E_n \) to the composite:

\[
S^n \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} S^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} E_n \xrightarrow{E(\phi)} E_n.
\]

The induced map \( \phi_*: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n \) is defined to be \( \bar{\phi}_* \circ \iota_* \) and is independent of the choice of \( \phi \).

The functor \( \Omega^*: \Sigma I \rightarrow I \) has a left adjoint \( \Omega^* \). Given a based \( I \)–space \( X \), the symmetric spectrum \( \Sigma^X \) is given by \( \Sigma^X = X \wedge S^n \), with permutations acting diagonally. The adjunction

\[
I \xrightarrow{\Sigma^X} \Sigma I \xrightarrow{\Omega^*}
\]

should be thought of as the symmetric spectrum analog of the usual \( (\Sigma^\infty, \Omega^\infty) \) adjunction between based spaces and spectra. The \( I \)–space \( \Omega^* E \) is the appropriate notion of the infinite loop space associated to the symmetric spectrum \( E \).

From now on, we will work with unbased \( I \)–spaces. The usual adjunction between unbased and based spaces passes to diagram spaces, and we have the composite adjunction:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\cdot)_+ & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} & I \xrightarrow{\Sigma^X} \Sigma I \\
\xleftarrow{\Sigma^X} \xrightarrow{\Omega^*} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} & \Sigma I
\end{array}
\]

Denote the top composite by \( \Sigma^X \).

To understand the homotopy type that \( \Omega^* E \) determines, we combine the spaces \( \Omega^n E_n \) into a single space using the (unbased) homotopy colimit, which we denote by \( \Omega^\infty E = \text{hocolim}_I \Omega^* E \).

**Remark 2.1** In general, \( \pi_k \Omega^\infty E \) and \( \pi_k E \) do not agree. However, for \( k \geq 0 \), the \( \pi_k \Omega^\infty E \) are the “true” homotopy groups of the symmetric spectrum \( E \). This is
because $\Omega^\infty E$ is the zeroth space of Shipley’s detection functor $D$ [35] applied to $E$. When $E$ is semistable in the sense of Hovey–Shipley–Smith [11], then $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E$ is isomorphic to $\pi_k E$ for $k \geq 0$. For more on semistable symmetric spectra and the nuances of the homotopy groups of symmetric spectra, see Schwede [33].

Thinking of the homotopy colimit of an $I$–space as determining its underlying homotopy type leads us to make:

**Definition 2.2** A weak homotopy equivalence of $I$–spaces is a map $f: X \to Y$ such that the induced map of homotopy colimits

$$f_\ast: \text{hocolim}_I X \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_I Y$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces.

**Theorem 2.3** There is a compactly generated topological monoidal model structure on the category of $I$–spaces with weak equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences. The fibrations are level fibrations $f: X \to Y$ such that for every morphism $\phi: m \to n$ of $I$, the induced map

$$X(\phi) \times f(m): X(m) \longrightarrow X(n) \times_{Y(n)} Y(m)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces. In particular, the fibrant objects are the $I$–spaces $X$ such that $X(\phi): X(m) \to X(n)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every morphism $\phi: m \to n$ of $I$. Furthermore the weak equivalences of $I$–spaces are well-grounded.

The compactly generated topological model structure exists by Theorem 15.2, and the pushout-product axiom is proved in Section 17. The last claim follows since the homotopy colimit functor preserves tensors with spaces, pushouts and sequential colimits, and weak homotopy equivalences of spaces are well-grounded.

For the following result and throughout, we will use the stable model structure on symmetric spectra [14].

**Proposition 2.4** $(\Sigma^\ast_+, \Omega^\ast)$ is a Quillen adjunction between $I$–spaces and symmetric spectra.

Before proving this, we will prove:

**Lemma 2.5** $\Omega^\ast$ preserves fibrant objects.
**Proof** Suppose that $E$ is a fibrant symmetric spectrum. Then the maps $\bar{\sigma}: E_n \to \Omega E_{n+1}$ are weak homotopy equivalences. Let $\phi: m \to n$ be any morphism of $I$. By the description of fibrant objects, we need to show that $(\Omega \bar{\sigma}E)(\phi): \Omega^m E_m \to \Omega^n E_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Factor $\phi$ as $\phi = \bar{\phi} \circ \iota$, where $\iota$ is the natural inclusion $m \subset n$ and $\bar{\phi}$ is a permutation. As $\bar{\phi}$ induces a homeomorphism of spaces, we need only show that $(\Omega \bar{\iota}E)(\phi): \Omega^m E_m \to \Omega^n E_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. But $(\Omega \bar{\iota}E)(\phi) = \Omega^m \bar{\sigma}^{n-m}$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the adjoint to the structure maps of the spectrum $E$. Since $\bar{\sigma}$ is a weak equivalence, the lemma is proved.

**Proof of Proposition 2.4** It suffices to show that $\Omega \bar{\iota}$ preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations. Suppose that $p: E \to B$ is a fibration of symmetric spectra. Then $p$ is a level fibration of symmetric spectra [14, Section 9], so each component $p_n: E_n \to B_n$ is a fibration of spaces. Thus $(\Omega \bar{\iota}p)(n): \Omega^n E_n \to \Omega^n B_n$ is a fibration, so $\Omega \bar{\iota}p$ is a level fibration of $I$–spaces. Next form the fiber $F$ of $p$ as the following pullback:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
F \\
\downarrow \\
* \\
\downarrow \\
B
\end{array}
\quad \xrightarrow{p} \quad
\begin{array}{c}
E \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
B
\end{array}
$$

Since $p$ has the right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations, $F \to *$ does as well, so $F$ is a fibrant symmetric spectrum. By Lemma 2.5, $\Omega \bar{\iota}F$ is a fibrant $I$–space. Let $\phi: m \to n$ be a morphism of $I$. Then the induced map $(\Omega \bar{\iota}F)(\phi): \Omega^m F_m \to \Omega^n F_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Now consider the following diagram, where each vertical column is a fiber sequence of spaces:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega^m F_m \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^m E_m \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^m B_m \\
\end{array}
\quad \xrightarrow{\pi} \quad
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega^n F_n \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^n E_n \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^n B_n \\
\end{array}
\quad \xrightarrow{\Omega \bar{\iota}p} \quad
\begin{array}{c}
\Omega^n F_n \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^n E_n \\
\downarrow \\
\Omega^n B_n \\
\end{array}
$$

The lower right square is a pullback, so we may identify the fiber of $\pi$ with $\Omega^n F_n$ as indicated. The top composite is $(\Omega \bar{\iota}F)(\phi): \Omega^m F_m \to \Omega^n F_n$. It follows that the map of total spaces $\Omega^m E_m \to \Omega^n E_n \times_{\Omega^n B_n} \Omega^m B_m$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. By the description of fibrations in the stable model structure, this means that $\Omega \bar{\iota}p$: $\Omega \bar{\iota}E \to \Omega \bar{\iota}B$ is a fibration of $I$–spaces, so $\Omega \bar{\iota}$ preserves fibrations.

Next we will show that $\Omega \bar{\iota}$ preserves acyclic fibrations. Suppose that $p: E \to B$ is an acyclic fibration of symmetric spectra. Then $\Omega \bar{\iota}p$ is a fibration and we need to
show that it is a weak homotopy equivalence. By [14, 9.8], $p$ is a level equivalence of symmetric spectra. Therefore each map $(\Omega^p \cdot p)(n): \Omega^n E_n \to \Omega^n B_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, so the map of homotopy colimits

$$\Omega^p: \text{hocolim}_{I} \Omega^p E \to \text{hocolim}_{I} \Omega^p B$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence, as desired. \qed

3 Infinite loop space theory of orthogonal spectra

We now make the analogous constructions for orthogonal spectra, referring to Mandell May Schwede and Shipley [14] for background. Let $I$ be the category of finite-dimensional inner product spaces $V$ and linear isometric isomorphisms $V \to W$. $I$ is symmetric monoidal under direct sum $\oplus$. The category $I/I$ of based $I$–spaces is symmetric monoidal under the internal smash product $\wedge$. The sphere $I$–space $S: V \mapsto S^V$ is a commutative monoid under $\wedge$, and an orthogonal spectrum is a module over $S$. The category $I/I$ of orthogonal spectra is symmetric monoidal under $\wedge_S$ with unit object the sphere spectrum $S$.

Let $J$ be the category of finite-dimensional real inner product spaces $V$ and linear isometries $V \to W$ (not necessarily isomorphisms). The category $J$ is the analog of the category $\mathbb{I}$ of finite sets $n$ and injections. A universe $U$ is a real inner product space that admits an isomorphism $U \cong \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Later on, we will use spectra indexed on $U$, as in EKMM [7] and Lewis, May, Steinberger and McClure [12]. We fix, once and for all, a universe $U$. Let $J$ be the category of finite-dimensional subspaces $V \subset U$ with morphisms the inclusions $V \subset W$ within $U$. Notice that there is at most one morphism between any two objects in $J$. The category $J$ is the analog of the category $\mathbb{I}$ of finite sets $n$ and ordered inclusions. We have inclusions of categories $J \to J$ and $\mathbb{I} \to I$ defined by $n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$, where we choose a countable orthonormal basis of $U$ and use it to identify $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a canonical $n$–dimensional subspace of $U$.

There is an adjunction

$$I/J \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} \Omega^*, J/I,$$

defined the same way as for symmetric spectra. Given a based $I$–space $X$, $\Sigma^* X$ is the orthogonal spectrum given by $(\Sigma^* X)_V = X(V) \wedge S^V$. Given an orthogonal spectrum $E$, the $I$–space $\Omega^* E$ is defined on objects by $(\Omega^* E)(V) = \Omega^V E_V$ and on morphisms so that an isometry $\phi: V \to W$ induces the map $\phi_*: \Omega^V E_V \to \Omega^W E_W$. 
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that sends $\gamma \in \Omega^V E_V$ to:

$$
SW \xrightarrow{id \wedge \phi^{-1}} SW - \phi(V) \wedge SV \xrightarrow{id \wedge \gamma} SW - \phi(V) \wedge E_V \xrightarrow{id \wedge E(\phi)} SW - \phi(V) \wedge E_{\phi(V)} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} EW.
$$

Notice that to define $E(\phi)$, we must consider $\phi$ as a linear isometric isomorphism onto its image $\phi(V)$ so that it is a morphism in $\mathcal{J}$.

From now on, we will work with unbased $\mathcal{I}$–spaces, and we have the composite adjunction:

$$
\mathcal{I} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\bullet} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{F}.
$$

We want to form the topological homotopy colimit $\text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} X$ of an $\mathcal{I}$–space $X$ over $\mathcal{I}$. This homotopy colimit should take into account the topology of the space of objects and the space of morphisms in $\mathcal{I}$. However, $\mathcal{I}$ is not a topological category because its class of objects is not a set. Instead, we restrict to the equivalent small category $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ consisting of finite-dimensional real inner product spaces $V$ that are a subspace of some finite product $U^n$ of the universe $U$. The categories $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are topological categories but $\mathcal{I}$ is not. See the appendix for the definitions of the topologies and the formation of topological homotopy colimits. By abuse of notation, we will write $\text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} X$ for the topological homotopy colimit $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}^\dagger} X$ of an $\mathcal{I}$–space $X$ restricted to the category $\mathcal{I}^\dagger$.

With these conventions in place, let $\Omega^\infty E = \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} \Omega^\bullet E$. The following proposition relies on results from later sections, but we include it here since it is the analog of the $\mathcal{I}$–spaces of Remark 2.1.

**Proposition 3.1** For $k \geq 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism of homotopy groups

$$
\pi_k \Omega^\infty E \cong \pi_k E.
$$

**Proof** We have isomorphisms:

$$
\pi_k E = \colim_{n \in \mathbb{J}} \pi_{k+n} E_n \cong \colim_{n \in \mathbb{J}} \pi_k \Omega^n E_n \cong \pi_k \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} \Omega^n E_n.
$$

The result follows by the following composite of weak homotopy equivalences induced by the inclusions of categories $\mathbb{J} \to \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}^\dagger$ (Lemma A.5 and Proposition 9.4):

$$
\text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} \Omega^\bullet E \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} \Omega^\bullet E \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}} \Omega^\bullet E.
$$
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Remark 3.2 Unlike the case of symmetric spectra, orthogonal spectra always have an isomorphism $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E \cong \pi_k E$ for $k \geq 0$. Another way to say this is that for both symmetric and orthogonal spectra, $\Omega^\infty E$ is the zeroth space of a fibrant replacement, but only for orthogonal spectra does a fibrant replacement always have the same homotopy groups as the original spectrum. One way to understand this difference is that $\text{hocolim}_J$ and $\text{hocolim}_I$ are only equivalent under certain hypotheses, as specified by Bökstedt’s Telescope Lemma 16.1, but $\text{hocolim}_J$ and $\text{hocolim}_I$ are always equivalent (Proposition 9.4).

Definition 3.3 A weak homotopy equivalence of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces is a map $f: X \to Y$ such that the induced map of homotopy colimits

$$f_*: \text{hocolim}_I X \to \text{hocolim}_I Y$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces.

Theorem 3.4 There is a compactly generated topological monoidal model structure on the category of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces with weak equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences. The fibrations are level fibrations $f: X \to Y$ such that for every morphism $\phi: V \to W$ of $\mathcal{I}$, the induced map

$$X(\phi) \times f(V): X(V) \to X(W) \times_{Y(W)} Y(V)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces. In particular, the fibrant objects are the $\mathcal{I}$–spaces $X$ such that $X(\phi): X(V) \to X(W)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for every morphism $\phi: V \to W$ of $\mathcal{I}$.

The compactly generated topological model structure is a special case of Theorem 15.2 and the pushout-product axiom is proved in Section 17. The weak equivalences are well-grounded because the homotopy colimit functor commutes with tensors with spaces, pushouts and sequential colimits.

The functor $\Omega^\bullet$ participates in a Quillen adjunction with the category of orthogonal spectra, which is endowed with the stable model structure [14]:

Proposition 3.5 $(\Sigma^\bullet_+, \Omega^\bullet)$ is a Quillen adjunction between $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and orthogonal spectra.

The proof is essentially identical to the proof of Proposition 2.4 and will not be repeated.
4 Functors with Cartesian product and diagram ring spectra

We now consider the multiplicative properties of the functor $\Omega^\bullet$. Starting in full generality, let $(\mathcal{D}, \oplus, 0)$ be a symmetric monoidal category enriched in spaces. The category of unbased $\mathcal{D}$–spaces $\mathcal{D}u$ is symmetric monoidal under the internal Cartesian product $\boxtimes$. Given $\mathcal{D}$–spaces $X$ and $Y$, $X \boxtimes Y$ is defined as the left Kan extension of the $(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})$–space $X \times Y$ along $\oplus: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ and its universal property is described by the adjunction:

$$\mathcal{D}u(X \boxtimes Y, Z) \cong (\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})u(X \times Y, Z \circ \oplus).$$

The unit of $\boxtimes$ is the represented $\mathcal{D}$–space $\mathcal{D}[0] = \mathcal{D}(0, -)$. When 0 is the initial object, this is the terminal $\mathcal{D}$–space $\ast$. We will call a monoid in $\mathcal{D}$–spaces under $\boxtimes$ a $\mathcal{D}$–functor with Cartesian product, abbreviated to $\mathcal{D}$–FCP. By the above adjunction, an FCP $X$ can be described internally in terms of an associative and unital map of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces $X \boxtimes X \to X$ or externally in terms of an associative and unital natural transformation $X(m) \times X(n) \to X(m \oplus n)$. A commutative monoid under $\boxtimes$ is called a commutative FCP.

Specializing to $\mathcal{D} = I$ and $\mathcal{I}$, we have a symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes$ on $I$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces.

**Lemma 4.1** For both symmetric and orthogonal spectra, the functor $\Omega^\bullet$ is lax symmetric monoidal.

**Proof** The functor $\Sigma^\bullet_+$ is strong symmetric monoidal by inspection. As with any right adjoint of a strong symmetric monoidal functor, $\Omega^\bullet$ is lax symmetric monoidal with structure maps

$$\Omega^\bullet E \boxtimes \Omega^\bullet E' \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet (E \wedge E') \quad \text{and} \quad \ast \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(S)$$

defined to be the adjuncts of:

$$\Sigma^\bullet_+(\Omega^\bullet E \boxtimes \Omega^\bullet E') \cong \Sigma^\bullet_+ \Omega^\bullet E \wedge \Sigma^\bullet_+ \Omega^\bullet E' \xrightarrow{\epsilon \wedge \epsilon} E \wedge E'$$

and

$$\Sigma^\bullet_+(\ast) \cong S.$$

Here $\epsilon$ is the counit of the $(\Sigma^\bullet_+, \Omega^\bullet)$ adjunction and the isomorphisms are the strong monoidal structure maps for $\Sigma^\bullet_+$. 

Lax symmetric monoidal functors preserve monoids and commutative monoids, so we have:
**Proposition 4.2** If $R$ is a symmetric ring spectrum, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is an $\mathbb{I}$–FCP. If $R$ is an orthogonal ring spectrum, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is an $\mathcal{I}$–FCP. If $R$ is commutative, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is commutative.

**Remark 4.3** If $X$ is a commutative $\mathcal{B}$–FCP, then $\operatorname{hocolim}_\mathcal{B} X$ is an $E_\infty$–space ($\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{I}$). In particular, for a commutative symmetric or orthogonal ring spectrum $R$, $\Omega^\infty R = \operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^\bullet R$ is an $E_\infty$–space. The $E_\infty$–space structure is encoded by an action of the (topological) Barratt–Eccles operad $E \Sigma$ with $E \Sigma(j) = E \Sigma_j$, the usual total space of the universal $\Sigma_j$–bundle. Indeed, $\operatorname{hocolim}_\mathcal{B} X$ arises as the classifying space of the translation category $\mathcal{B} [X]$, which in our situation can be made into a permutative topological category, and so $B \mathcal{B} [X]$ carries an action of $E \Sigma$ [16, 4.9].

## 5 Comparing left and right Quillen functors

Having set up the infinite loop space theory of diagram spectra, we are ready to start proving the comparison results. This brief section is an overview of the method of comparison that we will use. It is given in full generality, and is an easy observation about conjugation of adjoint functors. It can be thought of as a special case of Shulman’s method [36] for comparing composites of left and right derived functors.

Let $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $(h, k): \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be two Quillen adjunctions of model categories. Further suppose that there are functors $a: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $b: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ that preserve fibrant objects and weak equivalences of fibrant objects. For example, $a$ and $b$ might be right Quillen functors. This situation can be pictured in the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\
\downarrow{a} & & \downarrow{b} \\
\mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{g} & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
$$

(5.1)

In the applications to follow, it will be easy to find a natural equivalence of composites $ag \simeq kb$. We wish to understand how to transfer this equivalence to an equivalence in the other direction: $ha \simeq bf$. In general, this will not be possible. However, when $(f, g)$ and $(h, k)$ are Quillen equivalences, we can make a comparison of derived functors.

As left Quillen adjoints, $f$ and $h$ have left derived functors $L f: \text{ho } \mathcal{A} \to \text{ho } \mathcal{B}$ and $L h: \text{ho } \mathcal{C} \to \text{ho } \mathcal{D}$. Similarly, $g$ and $k$ have right derived functors $R g: \text{ho } \mathcal{B} \to \text{ho } \mathcal{A}$ and $R k: \text{ho } \mathcal{D} \to \text{ho } \mathcal{C}$. Since $a$ and $b$ preserve fibrant objects and weak equivalences
of fibrant objects, they also have right derived functors $Ra$ and $Rb$, represented by $aR$ and $bR$, respectively, where $R$ denotes fibrant approximation.

**Proposition 5.2** Suppose that $(f, g)$ and $(h, k)$ are Quillen equivalences in the situation pictured in diagram (5.1). Further suppose that there is a natural equivalence of functors $ag \simeq kb$. Then there is an isomorphism of composites of derived functors: $LhRa \cong RbLf$.

**Proof** Since right derived functors compose to give right derived functors, we have isomorphisms $RaRg \cong R(ag) \cong R(kb) \cong RkRb$. The units and counits of the derived adjunctions $(Lf, Rg)$ and $(Lh, Rk)$ are isomorphisms, yielding the desired isomorphism:

$$LhRa \xrightarrow{LhRa\eta} LhRaRgLf \cong LhRkRbLf \xrightarrow{\epsilon} RbLf.$$  

\[ \square \]

### 6 Comparison of infinite loop spaces of diagram spectra

To compare symmetric spectra and orthogonal spectra, one uses the embedding of diagram categories $\Sigma \to \mathcal{I}$ defined by $n \mapsto R^n$. This induces a forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{SF} \to \Sigma F$ with a left adjoint $P : \Sigma F \to \mathcal{SF}$ defined by left Kan extension. Similarly, the embedding $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$ induces a forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{IU} \to \mathcal{IU}$ with left adjoint $P : \mathcal{IU} \to \mathcal{IU}$ defined by left Kan extension. Such left adjoints $P$ are called prolongation functors. We recall the comparison results for diagram spectra, then state the analogous results for $\mathcal{I}$–spaces.

**Theorem 6.1** [14] The adjunction $(P, U) : \Sigma F \rightleftarrows \mathcal{SF}$ is a Quillen equivalence between the categories of symmetric spectra and orthogonal spectra, both considered with the stable model structure. The adjunction restricted to the subcategories of monoids, respectively commutative monoids, gives a Quillen equivalence between symmetric ring spectra and orthogonal ring spectra, respectively commutative symmetric ring spectra and commutative orthogonal ring spectra.

In the case of commutative ring spectra, we use the positive stable model structure. There is also a positive model structure on diagram spaces, which is used for commutative FCPs. The following result will be proved as Theorems 16.4 and 18.2:

**Theorem 6.2** The adjunction $(P, U) : \mathcal{IU} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{IU}$ is a Quillen equivalence between the categories of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces. The adjunction restricted to the subcategories of monoids, respectively commutative monoids, gives a Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs and $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs, respectively commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs and commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs.
The infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\bullet$ fit into the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \mathcal{J}
\
\|u\| & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{J}\mathcal{U}
\
\Omega^\bullet & \downarrow & \Omega^\bullet
\
\mathcal{T}\|u\| & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}
\end{array}
$$

Our goal is to show that this diagram commutes in both directions, up to weak equivalence. For the direction involving the prolongation functors, we must compose left and right Quillen functors. This requires descending to homotopy categories and derived functors, as discussed in Section 5.

**Proposition 6.3** The following diagrams commute:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma\mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{\|u\|} & \mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}
\
\Omega^\bullet & \downarrow & \Omega^\bullet
\
\mathcal{T}\|u\| & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho }\Sigma\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho }\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}
\
R\Omega^\bullet & \downarrow & R\Omega^\bullet
\
\text{ho }\mathcal{T}\|u\| & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho }\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}
\end{array}
$$

**Proof** The commutativity of the first diagram is immediate from the definitions. The adjunctions are Quillen equivalences, and the functors $\Omega^\bullet$ are right Quillen by Propositions 2.4 and 3.5. Hence we are in the situation described in Section 5, so the second diagram commutes by Proposition 5.2.

We also make the comparison of $\Omega^\bullet$ for ring and commutative ring spectra, parenthesizing the statement about commutative monoids. We first record the following observation:

**Proposition 6.4** Restricted to the categories of symmetric and orthogonal (commutative) ring spectra and (commutative) $\|I\|$ and $\mathcal{T}$–FCPs, $(\Sigma^\bullet_+, \Omega^\bullet_0)$ is a Quillen adjunction.

**Proof** This goes just as for the additive case in Proposition 2.4. For commutative ring spectra, we use the positive stable model structure on ring spectra and FCPs.

In particular, Proposition 5.2 applies and we have:

**Proposition 6.5** The following diagrams (and their analogs with $M$ replaced by $C$) commute:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M\Sigma\mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{\|u\|} & M\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}
\
\Omega^\bullet & \downarrow & \Omega^\bullet
\
M\mathcal{T}\|u\| & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{L}} & M\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho }M\Sigma\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho }M\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}
\
R\Omega^\bullet & \downarrow & R\Omega^\bullet
\
\text{ho }M\mathcal{T}\|u\| & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho }M\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}
\end{array}
$$
Proof The first diagram is immediate from the definitions and the second follows from Proposition 5.2.

7 Infinite loop space theory of $S$–modules

We recall what we need of the theory of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra and $S$–modules, referring to EKMM [7] as our primary source (see also Lewis, May, Steinberger and McClure [12] and May [17; 21]). By a spectrum we mean a (LMS) spectrum $E$ indexed on a universe $U'$ of real inner product spaces such that the structure maps $E_V \to \Omega^{W-V} E_W$ are homeomorphisms, as in [12]. We denote the category of spectra indexed on $U'$ by $\mathcal{S}^{U'}$. Recall that we have fixed a universe $U$, and we will work with the category $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{U}$ of spectra indexed on $U$. There is a monad $\mathbb{L}$ on spectra defined using the twisted half-smash product:

$$\mathbb{L}E = \mathcal{L}(1) \otimes E.$$ 

$\mathcal{L}$ denotes the linear isometries operad with $\mathcal{L}(j) = \mathcal{I}_c(U^j, U)$. Here $\mathcal{I}_c$ is the category of finite and countably infinite-dimensional real inner product spaces and their linear isometries. An $\mathbb{L}$–spectrum is an algebra for the monad $\mathbb{L}$ and we let $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ denote the category of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra. There is a smash product $\wedge_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra defined in terms of a certain coequalizer involving the actions of $\mathcal{L}(1)$. The functor $(-) \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} E$ is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$–spectrum functor $F_{\mathcal{S}}(E, -)$. $S$–modules in the sense of [7] are the $\mathbb{L}$–spectra $E$ for which the sphere spectrum acts as unit, meaning that the canonical weak equivalence $S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} E \to E$ is an isomorphism. Denote the category of $S$–modules by $\mathcal{M}_S$. The functor $S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} - : \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] \to \mathcal{M}_S$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor $l : \mathcal{M}_S \to \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ and is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$–spectrum functor $F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, -) : \mathcal{M}_S \to \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$.

An $E_\infty$ ring spectrum is an $\mathbb{L}$–spectrum $R$ with an action of the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$. Equivalently, $R$ is an algebra for the monad $C$ on $\mathbb{L}$–spectra defined by

$$CE = \bigvee_{j \geq 0} E^\wedge j / \Sigma_j,$$

where $E^\wedge j$ is the $j$–fold smash product $\wedge_{\mathcal{S}}$ of $E$. Similarly, a commutative $S$–algebra is an algebra for the monad $C$ restricted to $S$–modules. To avoid confusion, be warned that the monad $C$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}$ in [7] and $\mathbb{C}$ is used for a different monad there. Similarly, an $A_\infty$ ring spectrum is an algebra for the monad $M$ on $\mathbb{L}$–spectra defined by

$$ME = \bigvee_{j \geq 0} E^\wedge j.$$
We will use the following model structures on the categories of spectra, $\mathbb{L}$–spectra and $S$–modules from [7, Section VII.4] and [1, Section 3.1]:

- There is a cofibrantly generated topological model structure on the category of spectra, with weak equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences of spectra and fibrations the Serre fibrations, i.e. the maps that are level-wise Serre fibrations of based spaces. All spectra are fibrant in this model structure.

- There is a cofibrantly generated topological model structure on the category of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spectra.

- There is a cofibrantly generated topological monoidal model structure on $S$–modules with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spectra.

The underlying infinite loop space of a spectrum $E$ is the zeroth space $\Omega^\infty E = E(0)$. The functor $\Omega^\infty$ is right adjoint to the suspension spectrum functor $\Sigma^\infty: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$. Our goal is to study the analog of this adjunction for $S$–modules.

In order to capture the underlying infinite loop space of an $S$–module in a structured way, we will use a symmetric monoidal category Quillen equivalent to the category of topological spaces whose commutative monoids are $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. This category is constructed in direct analogy with $S$–modules, and was first used in Blumberg’s thesis [3]. We will outline the basic definitions, referring to the work of Ando–Blumberg–Gepner–Hopkins–Rezk [1] and Blumberg–Cohen–Schlichtkrull [4] for full details.

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be the monad on unbased spaces defined by $\mathbb{L}X = \mathcal{L}(1) \times X$. An algebra for $\mathbb{L}$ is called an $\mathbb{L}$–space and the category of $\mathbb{L}$–spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{L}]$. There is a weak symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes_\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{L}]$ defined as the coequalizer

$$\mathcal{L}(2) \times \mathcal{L}(1) \times \mathcal{L}(1) \times X \times Y \rightrightarrows \mathcal{L}(2) \times X \times Y \longrightarrow X \boxtimes_\mathcal{L} Y$$

of the action of $\mathcal{L}(1)^2$ on $\mathcal{L}(2)$ by precomposition and the action of $\mathcal{L}(1)^2$ on $X \times Y$. The functor $(-) \boxtimes_\mathcal{L} X$ is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$–space functor $F_\mathcal{L}(X, -)$. An $\mathbb{L}$–space $X$ is a $*$–module if the canonical weak equivalence $\lambda: * \boxtimes_\mathcal{L} X \to X$ is an isomorphism. The full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ consisting of $*$–modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_*$. The functor $* \boxtimes_\mathcal{L} -: \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \to \mathcal{M}_*$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor $l: \mathcal{M}_* \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ and is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$–space functor $F_\mathcal{L}(*, -): \mathcal{M}_* \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$.

A commutative monoid in the category of $\mathbb{L}$–spaces is an algebra for the monad

$$CX = \bigsqcup_{j \geq 0} X^{\boxtimes j} / \Sigma_j.$$ 
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Here we have written \( \otimes \) for \( \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \). Commutative monoids in \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces are the same thing as \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces, meaning algebras for the linear isometries operad in unbased spaces. A monoid in the category of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces is an algebra for the monad

\[
M X = \bigsqcup_{j \geq 0} X^{\otimes j}.
\]

Monoids in \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces are the same thing as non-\( \Sigma \) \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces.

We will use the following model structures on \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces and \(*\)-modules from [4, 4.15, 4.16]. They are defined using the cofibrantly generated model structure on the category of topological spaces with weak equivalences the weak homotopy equivalences and fibrations the Serre fibrations.

- There is a cofibrantly generated topological monoidal model structure on the category of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spaces. In particular, all objects are fibrant. Colimits and limits of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces are constructed in the underlying category of spaces.
- There is a cofibrantly generated topological monoidal model structure on \(*\)-modules with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spaces. Colimits are created in the category of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces, and limits are created by applying \(*\otimes (-)\) to the limit computed in the underlying category of spaces.

Notice that since colimits and weak equivalences are preserved by the forgetful functor to spaces, the weak equivalences are well-grounded for both \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces and \(*\)-modules.

**Remark 7.1** As observed by Blumberg, Cohen and Schlichtkrull [4], the category of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces and the category of \(*\)-modules are each Quillen equivalent to the category of topological spaces via the forgetful functor. This is immediate from the construction of the model structures. Although neither Quillen adjunction is monoidal, there is a different comparison functor from \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces to spaces that is monoidal; see [4, Section 4.5].

Let \( X \) be a based \( \mathbb{L} \)-space (with trivial action of \( \mathcal{L}(1) \) on the basepoint). The untwisting isomorphism [7, 2.1] allows us to define an \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectrum structure on \( \Sigma^\infty X \) using the \( \mathbb{L} \)-space structure on \( X \):

\[
\mathcal{L}(1) \times \Sigma^\infty X \cong \Sigma^\infty (\mathcal{L}(1)_{+} \wedge X) \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty X.
\]

Since \( \Sigma^\infty \) preserves the coequalizers defining \( \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \) and \( \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} \), the untwisting isomorphism also gives a natural isomorphism \( \Sigma^\infty (X \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} Y)_{+} \cong \Sigma^\infty X_{+} \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} \Sigma^\infty Y_{+} \) for unbased \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces \( X \) and \( Y \). In fact, we may lift the \((\Sigma^\infty, \Omega^\infty)\) adjunction to a symmetric monoidal adjunction between \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectra and \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces.
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Proposition 7.2 [1, 5.17] The composable pair of Quillen adjunctions

\[ \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty} \mathcal{G} \]

induces a pair of Quillen adjunctions between \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces and \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectra:

\[ \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \cong \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}] \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} \circ (-)_+ \circ (-)_+ = \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} \cong \Omega^\infty_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{G}[\mathbb{L}] \]

Furthermore, the composite of left adjoints \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} \circ (-)_+ = \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} \) is strong symmetric monoidal and the right adjoint \( \Omega^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} \) is lax symmetric monoidal.

Remark 7.3 The suspension spectrum \( \Sigma^\infty X \) of an arbitrary based space \( X \) carries a different \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectrum structure given by collapsing \( \mathbb{L}(1) \) to a point:

\[ \mathbb{L}(1) \times \Sigma^\infty X \cong \Sigma^\infty (\mathbb{L}(1)_+ \wedge X) \to \Sigma^\infty (S^0 \wedge X) \cong \Sigma^\infty X. \]

To avoid confusion with this trivial \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectrum structure, we use the notation \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}} X \) for the \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectrum associated to an \( \mathbb{L} \)-space.

Since \( S = \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+}(\ast) \), we have a natural isomorphism \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+}(\ast \boxtimes_{\mathbb{L}} X) \cong S \wedge_{\mathbb{L}} \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+} X \). Therefore, the functor \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+} \) restricts to a functor \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+} : \mathbb{M}_S \to \mathbb{M}_S \). Its right adjoint \( \Omega^\infty_S \) will be the appropriate notion of the underlying infinite loop space of an \( S \)-module. Notice that the composite \( \Omega^\infty_S \circ l \) of \( \Omega^\infty_S \) with the forgetful functor \( l : \mathbb{M}_S \to \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}] \) does not land in \( \ast \)-modules, and in particular is not the right adjoint of \( \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{L}+} \).

In order to define the infinite loop space \( \Omega^\infty_S \) of an \( S \)-module, we must be more careful. The problem is that \( \Omega^\infty_S \circ l \) is the composite of a left adjoint and a right adjoint. To remedy this, we will pass through the mirror image to the category of \( S \)-modules [7, Section II.2]. This is the category \( \mathbb{M}^S \) of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spectra for which \( S \) is a strict counit, meaning that the canonical weak equivalence \( E \to F_{\mathbb{L}}(S, E) \) is an isomorphism. The forgetful functor \( r : \mathbb{M}^S \to \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}] \) has as left adjoint the functor \( F_{\mathbb{L}}(S, -) \). Furthermore, the resulting adjunction \( (S \wedge_{\mathbb{L}} -, F_{\mathbb{L}}(S, -)) : \mathbb{M}^S \to \mathbb{M}_S \) is an equivalence of categories. We summarize this series of adjunctions in the following diagram, with left adjoints on top:

\[ \mathcal{F}[\mathbb{L}] \xrightarrow{F_{\mathbb{L}}(S, -)} \mathbb{M}^S \xrightarrow{S \wedge_{\mathbb{L}} -} \mathbb{M}_S. \]

Similarly, there is a mirror image category \( \mathbb{M}^\ast \) to the category of \( \ast \)-modules. Its objects are the \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces for which the natural map \( X \to F_{\mathbb{L}}(\ast, X) \) is an isomorphism.
We have adjunctions and an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$ just as for $S$–modules:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}] \xleftarrow{r} \mathcal{M}^* \xrightarrow{\ast \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -} \mathcal{M}^*.$$ 

A series of adjunctions shows the natural isomorphism $\Sigma_+^{\infty} (\ast \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} X) \simeq S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} \Sigma_+^{\infty} X$ induces a natural isomorphism $\Omega_+^{\infty} F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, E) \simeq F_{\mathcal{L}}(\ast, \Omega_+^{\infty} E)$. Therefore, the infinite loop space functor $\Omega_+^{\infty} : \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}] \to \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}]$ restricts to a functor of mirror image categories $\Omega_+^{\infty} : \mathcal{M}^S \to \mathcal{M}^*$. 

**Definition 7.4** Define the infinite loop $\ast$–module $\Omega_+^{\infty} M$ of an $S$–module $M$ by:

$$\Omega_+^{\infty} M = \ast \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \Omega_+^{\infty} F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, M).$$

A series of adjunctions proves:

**Lemma 7.5** The left adjoint of $\Omega_+^{\infty} : \mathcal{M}_S \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is $\Sigma_+^{\infty} = \Sigma_+^{\infty} \circ 1 : \mathcal{M}^S \to \mathcal{M}_S$. The left adjoint of $\Omega_+^{\infty} \circ r : \mathcal{M}^S \to \mathcal{M}^*$ is the functor

$$X \mapsto F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, \Sigma_+^{\infty} (\ast \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} X)).$$

**8 The adjunction between $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\ast$–modules**

Mandell and May construct a Quillen equivalence between orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules in [13]. Over the next two sections, we will construct an analogous Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\ast$–modules. Since $\ast$–modules are equivalent to $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{I}$–spaces are equivalent to $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, which is accomplished in Theorem 9.9. The equivalence restricts to a Quillen equivalence of commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs and $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, giving two equivalent approaches to modeling infinite loop spaces. Section 10 will use this result to show that the infinite loop space functors for orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules agree. We first summarize the Quillen equivalence of orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules.

**Theorem 8.1** [13, 1.1 and 1.5] There is a Quillen equivalence $(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^\#) : \mathcal{F} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}]$ between orthogonal spectra and $\mathbb{L}$–spectra. The functor $\mathbb{N}$ is strong symmetric monoidal and $\mathbb{N}^\#$ is lax symmetric monoidal. The restricted adjunction between (commutative) orthogonal ring spectra and $A_\infty (E_\infty)$ ring spectra is also a Quillen equivalence. Similarly, there is a Quillen equivalence $(\mathbb{N}_S, \mathbb{N}_S^\#) : \mathcal{F} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}_S$ between orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules that restricts to a Quillen equivalence between (commutative) orthogonal ring spectra and (commutative) $S$–algebras.
The functors we have denoted by $N_S$ and $N^#$ are called $N$ and $N^#$ in [13]. The cited source only contains the comparison of orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules, but the equivalence of orthogonal spectra and $\mathbb{L}$–spectra follows by the equivalence between the categories of $\mathbb{L}$–spectra and $S$–modules.

We now make the central definition underlying the comparison between $I$–spaces and $\mathbb{L}$–spaces.

**Definition 8.2** Let $W$ be a real inner product space of countable dimension. The space of linear isometries $I_c(W, U)$ is an $\mathbb{L}$–space with the action

$$\mathbb{L}I_c(W, U) = I_c(U, U) \times I_c(W, U) \to I_c(W, U)$$

given by composition. Define $Q^* : I^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ by

$$Q^*(V) = I_c(V \otimes U, U).$$

By Hopkins’ Lemma [7, I.5.4], the $\mathbb{L}$–space $I_c((V \oplus W) \otimes U, U)$ is the coequalizer of the diagram defining $I_c(V \otimes U, U) \boxtimes I_c(W \otimes U, U)$. This identification is natural and symmetric in $V$ and $W$, so we have proved the following:

**Lemma 8.3** The functor $Q^*$ is strong symmetric monoidal:

$$Q^*(V \oplus W) \cong Q^*(V) \boxtimes Q^*(W) \quad \text{and} \quad Q^*(0) \cong *.$$

The general theory of [13, Section 1.2] now applies to the functor $Q^*$. Define functors $Q : I\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ and $Q^# : \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \to I\mathcal{U}$ by

$$QX = Q^* \boxtimes_I X \quad \text{and} \quad (Q^#Y)(V) = \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](Q^*(V), Y).$$

Here $- \boxtimes_I -$ denotes the tensor product of functors (an enriched coend) over a topological category $\mathcal{D}$. See the appendix for the definition in this context. In particular, Proposition A.4 shows that $- \otimes \mathcal{D} -$ does not depend on the topology of $\text{ob} \mathcal{D}$, only on the enrichment of $\mathcal{D}$. The paper of Hollender and Vogt [9] is a readable account of the case when $\text{ob} \mathcal{D}$ is discrete and both functors land in topological spaces. For a more abstract setting and the relation to bar constructions, see Shulman [31]. We will freely use basic properties of this construction as contained in these sources. The results of [13, Section 1.2] give us:

**Proposition 8.4** The functor $Q$ is left adjoint to $Q^#$. Furthermore, $Q$ is strong symmetric monoidal and $Q^#$ is lax symmetric monoidal. Thus $(Q, Q^#)$ restricts to an adjunction between the categories of (commutative) $I$–FCPs and (commutative) monoids in $\mathbb{L}$–spaces.
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The following diagram shows how the adjunction \((Q, Q^#)\) fits into the infinite loop space theory of orthogonal spectra, \(\mathbb{L}\)–spectra and \(S\)–modules:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{F}] & \xrightarrow{N} & \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{L}] & \xrightarrow{F_\mathcal{F}(S,-)} M^S
\\
\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{N^#} & \mathcal{L}^* & \xrightarrow{F_\mathcal{L}(S,-)} M^S
\\
\end{array}
\]

Each pair of arrows is a Quillen adjunction with left adjoint on top. Each vertical arrow has a left adjoint and these vertical adjunctions are also Quillen adjunctions. The rightmost square commutes (in both directions) by the definition of \(\Omega^\infty_S\) and the fact that the top and bottom adjunctions are equivalences of categories. The middle square also commutes (in both directions), and we will prove in Proposition 10.1 that the left square commutes up to natural weak equivalence.

There is a direct construction of a functor \(Q_*: \mathcal{T}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_*\) using the same method as the construction of \(Q\): choosing \(Q_*(V) = * \boxtimes_\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U)\) instead of \(\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U)\) lands in \(*\)–modules instead of \(\mathbb{L}\)–spaces. The functor \(Q_* = Q^* \otimes_\mathcal{I} (-)\) has a right adjoint \(Q_*^#\). In fact, this adjunction of \(\mathcal{I}\)–spaces and \(*\)–modules coincides with the composite adjunction in diagram (8.5). The proof is a long series of adjunctions and will be omitted, but works equally well to compare \(\mathbb{N}_S\) to \(\mathbb{N}\).

**Lemma 8.6** In diagram (8.5), the top horizontal composite \(S \wedge_\mathcal{F} F_\mathcal{F}(S, N-)\) is naturally isomorphic to \(\mathbb{N}_S: \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{F}] \rightarrow M_S\). Hence its right adjoint \(\mathbb{N}_S^# F_\mathcal{F}(S, -)\) is naturally isomorphic to \(\mathbb{N}_S^#\). The bottom horizontal composite \(* \boxtimes_\mathcal{F} F_\mathcal{F}(*, Q-)*\) is naturally isomorphic to \(Q_*\) and its right adjoint \(Q^# F_\mathcal{F}(*, -)\) is naturally isomorphic to \(Q_*^#\).

### 9 The equivalence of \(\mathcal{I}\)–spaces and \(*\)–modules

We now turn to the homotopical analysis of the functors \(Q\) and \(Q^#\). The main result of this section is Theorem 9.9, which states that \((Q, Q^#)\) is a Quillen equivalence.

We will make serious use of the two sided bar construction \(B(Y, \mathcal{D}, X)\) built out of a topological category \(\mathcal{D}\) and functors \(X: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\) and \(Y: \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\). The homotopy colimit of \(X\) over \(\mathcal{D}\) is the special case of \(Y = *\). The bar construction acts as a derived version of the tensor product of functors \(Y \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} X\). See the appendix for the definition.
and basic properties of the two-sided bar construction over topological categories. Recall from Section 3 that we use the equivalent topological category \( \mathcal{I}^{\dagger} \) instead of \( \mathcal{I} \) when taking the homotopy colimit of an \( \mathcal{I} \)-space. We make the same abuse of notation for bar constructions as we do for homotopy colimits and write \( B(Y, \mathcal{I}, X) \) for the bar construction defined using \( \mathcal{I}^{\dagger} \).

We will need the following results on spaces of isometries.

**Lemma 9.1**

(i) Given a real inner product space \( V \), the space \( \mathcal{I}_c(V, U) \) of isometries is contractible. Furthermore, if \( V \) is finite-dimensional, then it is a CW complex.

(ii) If \( U' \) is a countably infinite-dimensional real inner product space, then the space \( \mathcal{I}_c(U', U) \) of linear isometries is a cofibrant \( \mathbb{L} \)-space.

(iii) A linear isometry \( U' \to U'' \) of infinite-dimensional real inner product spaces induces a weak equivalence of mapping spaces:

\[
\mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathcal{I}_c(U', U), Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathcal{I}_c(U'', U), Y).
\]

Similarly, a weak equivalence \( X \to Y \) of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces induces a weak equivalence of mapping spaces:

\[
\mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathcal{I}_c(U', U), X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathcal{I}_c(U', U), Y).
\]

(iv) Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a fibration of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces and let \( \phi : V \to W \) be a morphism in \( \mathcal{I} \). The map

\[
f_* : \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(V), X) \to \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(V), Y)
\]

is a Serre fibration and the induced map \( \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) ((\phi \otimes \text{id})^*, f) \)

\[
\mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(V), X) \to \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(W), X) \times_{\mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(W), Y)} \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\mathbb{Q}^*(V), Y)
\]

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

**Proof**  The first claim in (i) is [17, I.1.3]. When \( V \) is finite-dimensional, \( \mathcal{I}_c(V, U) \) is the union over the finite-dimensional subspaces \( W \subset U \) of the Stiefel manifolds \( \mathcal{I}(V, W) \), hence is triangulable as a CW complex. A choice of isomorphism \( U \to U' \) induces an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces \( \mathcal{I}_c(U', U) \cong \mathcal{I}_c(U, U) = \mathbb{L}(*) \), and the latter is a generating cell for the model structure on \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces. This proves (ii), and (iii) follows because the model structure on \( \mathbb{L} \)-spaces is topological. For (iv), the induced map \( f_* \) is a Serre fibration because \( \mathbb{Q}^*(V) = \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \) is a cofibrant \( \mathbb{L} \)-space. The induced maps \( \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\phi^*, X) \) and \( \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) (\phi^*, Y) \) are weak homotopy equivalences by (iii). Since weak homotopy equivalences are preserved by pullbacks along Serre fibrations, it follows that the map \( \mathcal{U}([\mathbb{L}]) ((\phi \otimes \text{id})^*, f) \) is also a weak homotopy equivalence. \( \square \)
The following lemma translates between the model-theoretic approach to homotopy theory and that based on the bar construction.

**Lemma 9.2** Suppose that $X$ is a cofibrant $\mathcal{I}$–space. Then the projection

$$\pi: \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} X$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

**Proof** We may assume that $X$ is an $FI$–cell complex, where $FI$ is the set of generating cofibrations for the level model structure (Section 15):

$$FI = \{ F_V i \mid V \in \text{ob } \mathcal{I}^+, i: S^{n-1} \longrightarrow D^n, n \geq 0 \}.$$

Here $F_V: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$ is the left adjoint to evaluation at the object $V$ of $\mathcal{I}$ and is calculated by $(F_V A)(W) = \mathcal{I}(V, W) \times A$. Note that an inclusion $W \subset W'$ of inner product spaces induces a closed inclusion $(F_V A)(W) \hookrightarrow (F_V A)(W')$. Since the pushouts and sequential colimits defining cell complexes are calculated level-wise, we also have a closed inclusion $X(W) \rightarrow X(W')$.

Now restrict $X$ along the inclusions of categories $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. The homotopy colimit over $\mathcal{J}$ is homotopy equivalent to the usual mapping telescope, and we have just argued that each map $m \rightarrow m + 1$ of $\mathcal{J}$ induces a closed inclusion $X(m) \rightarrow X(m + 1)$. It follows that the top horizontal map in the following commutative diagram is a weak homotopy equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}} X.
\end{array}$$

The vertical map of homotopy colimits is induced by the inclusion of categories $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$, and is a weak homotopy equivalence by Lemma A.5. Therefore the bottom horizontal arrow is a weak homotopy equivalence as desired. \qed

**Lemma 9.3** The $\mathcal{I}^\text{op}$–space $B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I})$ is level-wise contractible.

**Proof** Evaluated at $V$, the bar construction is:

$$B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}(V, -)) = \operatorname{hocolim}_{W \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{I}(V, W).$$
The represented $\mathcal{I}$–space $\mathcal{I}(V, -)$ is the evaluation $F_V(\ast)$ of $F_V$ on a point. Thus $\mathcal{I}(V, -)$ is an $FI$–cell complex, and in particular is cofibrant. By Lemma 9.2, the homotopy colimit over $\mathcal{J}$ is weak homotopy equivalent to the colimit:

$$\text{hocolim}_{W \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{I}(V, W) \cong \text{colim}_{W \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{I}(V, W) = \mathcal{I}_c(V, U).$$

The contractibility of the space of isometries $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$ finishes the proof. $\square$

**Proposition 9.4** Let $X$ be an $\mathcal{I}$–space. The inclusion of categories $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}$ induces a natural homotopy equivalence of spaces:

$$\text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \cong \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} X.$$

**Proof** The map in question is the bottom horizontal map in the following diagram of spaces:

$$B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, X)) \cong B(\ast, \mathcal{I}, B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, X)) \rightarrow B(B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}), \mathcal{I}, X)$$

$$B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, X) \rightarrow B(B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}), \mathcal{I}, X)$$

The horizontal isomorphisms are the canonical interchange maps for iterated bar constructions. The other unmarked arrows are induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}$. The bottom right triangle commutes up to homotopy as an instance of Lemma A.2 with $Y = \ast$. The other regions commute by naturality. The map of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces $\varepsilon: B(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}, X) \to X$ is a level-wise deformation retract, hence the left vertical map is a homotopy equivalence. The right vertical composite is induced by the map of $\mathcal{I}^{\text{op}}$–spaces

$$B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow B(\ast, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow *,$$

which is equal to the level-wise homotopy equivalence $B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}) \to \ast$ of Lemma 9.3. Thus the right vertical composite is also a homotopy equivalence and the lemma follows. $\square$

**Remark 9.5** The preceding proposition is the first step in connecting the homotopy theory of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces to the homotopy theory of $\mathbb{I}$–spaces. It is an interesting fact that the analogs of Lemma 9.3 and Proposition 9.4 do not hold for $\mathbb{I}$–spaces. That is, $B(\ast, \mathcal{J}, \mathbb{I})$ is not contractible. This difference between the symmetric and orthogonal contexts is related to the fact that $\pi_*$–isomorphisms and weak homotopy equivalences do not coincide for symmetric spectra, and do coincide for orthogonal spectra.
We will now define a variant of $Q$ that gives rise to the colimit functor $\text{colim}_\mathcal{J}$ and compare it to $Q$. The definitions are in direct analogy with the two different functors $M$ and $N$ from orthogonal spectra to $S$–modules [13, Section 1.7]. Define $O^* : \mathcal{I}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ by $O^*(V) = \mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$. Then the tensor product of functors $O X = O^* \otimes_\mathcal{I} X$ defines a functor $O : \mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$. The map

$$\mathcal{L}(2) \times_{\mathcal{I}(1)} \mathcal{I}_c(V, U) \times \mathcal{I}_c(W, U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_c(V \oplus W),$$

$$(\gamma, f, g) \mapsto \gamma \circ (f \oplus g),$$

defines a natural map $O^*(V) \otimes_\mathcal{I} O^*(W) \rightarrow O^*(V \oplus W)$ making $O^*$, and hence $O$, a lax symmetric monoidal functor. In particular, $O$ takes commutative FCPs to $L$–spaces. We will now show that the functor $O$ is the original construction of an $L$–space from a commutative $I$–FCP described in [17]:

**Lemma 9.6** Let $X$ be an $I$–space. Then there is a natural isomorphism $O X \cong \text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X$. If $X$ is a commutative $I$–FCP then this is an isomorphism of $L$–spaces.

**Proof** We may write $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U) = \text{colim}_{W \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}(V, W)$ as the coend $* \otimes_\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}(V, -)$. The isomorphism follows:

$$O X = O^* \otimes_\mathcal{I} X = * \otimes_\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \otimes_\mathcal{I} X \cong * \otimes_\mathcal{I} X = \text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X.$$

Comparing the definition of the monoidal structure maps of $O^*$ with the $L$–space structure maps on $\text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X$ in [17, I.1.6] verifies the second claim.

A choice of one-dimensional subspace of $U$ determines an inclusion $V \rightarrow V \otimes U$, which induces a natural transformation

$$\xi^* : Q^*(V) = \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_c(V, U) = O^*(V).$$

Write $\xi = \xi^* \otimes_\mathcal{I} (-) : Q \rightarrow O$ for the induced natural transformation.

**Lemma 9.7** The natural transformation $\xi : Q \rightarrow O$ is symmetric monoidal. If $X$ is a cofibrant $I$–space, then $\xi : Q X \rightarrow O X \cong \text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence of $L$–spaces.

**Proof** Checking the definitions of the monoidal structure maps for $Q^*$ and $O^*$ verifies that $\xi^*$ is a symmetric monoidal natural transformation. We may assume that $X$ is a $FI$–cell complex and induct up the cellular filtration. Hence it suffices to shows that $\xi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $I$–spaces of the form $F_V K = \mathcal{I}(V, -) \times K$ with $K$.
a CW complex. The functor $Q$ commutes with tensors with spaces and on represented $\mathcal{I}$–spaces takes the form $Q(\mathcal{I}(V, -)) = Q^*(V)$, so we have a natural isomorphism

$$QFVVK \cong \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \times K.$$ 

On the other hand, we have the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{O}FVVK \cong \mathcal{I}_c(V, U) \times K$ from Lemma 9.6. Since both space of isometries are contractible, it follows that

$$\xi: QFVVK \rightarrow \mathcal{O}FVVK$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

**Remark 9.8** Note that the identification of $\mathcal{O}X$ with $\text{colim}_\mathcal{I} X$ is canonical, but $\xi$ is not canonical: it requires a choice of one-dimensional subspace of $U$. The functor $\mathcal{O}$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{O}^\#$, but this adjunction does not appear to be monoidal, and $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^\#)$ does not appear to be a Quillen adjunction. The difficulty is in showing that the $\mathbb{L}$–space $\mathcal{O}^*(V) = \mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$ is cofibrant.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section:

**Theorem 9.9** The adjunction $(Q, Q^\#)$ is a Quillen equivalence between the categories of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathbb{L}$–spaces.

**Proof** Using the characterization of fibrations of $\mathcal{I}$–spaces given in Theorem 3.4, it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 9.1(iii) and (iv) that the functor $Q^\#$ preserves fibrations and takes weak equivalences to level-wise weak homotopy equivalences. Hence $(Q, Q^\#)$ is a Quillen adjunction.

Now we turn to the Quillen equivalence. Suppose that $X$ is a cofibrant $\mathcal{I}$–space. Extend $X$ to a functor defined on $\mathcal{I}_c$ by taking the left Kan extension of $X$ along the inclusion of categories $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_c$. This means that the value of $X$ on an infinite-dimensional inner product space $W$ is computed as $\text{colim}_{V \subseteq W} X(V)$, where $V$ runs over the finite-dimensional subspaces of $W$. In particular, $X(U) = \text{colim}_\mathcal{I} X$.

Choose a one-dimensional subspace of $U$. This gives a linear isometry $i_V: V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ for each object $V$ of $\mathcal{I}$, and a natural transformation $\xi^*: \mathcal{Q}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$. By Lemma 9.7, the induced map

$$\xi: QX \rightarrow \mathcal{O}X \cong X(U)$$

is a weak equivalence of $\mathbb{L}$–spaces.
Now consider an object $V$ of $\mathcal{J}$. The inclusions $V \subset U$ and $V \otimes U \subset U \otimes U$ and the maps $i_V$ and $i_U = \colim_V i_V$ give rise to the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), QX) & \xrightarrow{Q^*} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), X(U)) \\
\eta_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
X(V) & \xrightarrow{i_V} & X(V \otimes U) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X(U) & \xrightarrow{i_U} & X(U \otimes U) \\
\simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(U, U), X(U)) & \xrightarrow{i_U} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(U \otimes U, U), X(U))
\end{array}
$$

Here, $\eta$ is the unit for the adjunction $(Q, Q^\#)$. The diagonal arrows and the isomorphism $X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(U, U), X(U))$ are all the adjuncts of evaluation maps for the functor $X$. The lower and right trapezoids commute by the naturality of those adjunctions. A diagram chase involving the definition of the unit $\eta$ verifies that the upper trapezoid commutes. The maps of mapping spaces are weak equivalences as indicated by Lemma 9.1(ii). Now take the homotopy colimit of this diagram over $V \in \mathcal{J}$. The induced map $\hocolim_{\mathcal{J}} X \rightarrow \hocolim_{\mathcal{J}} X(U)$ is a weak equivalence by the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\hocolim_{\mathcal{J}} X & \longrightarrow & \hocolim_{\mathcal{J}} X(U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} X(U) \times B\mathcal{J} \\
\simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
\colim_{\mathcal{J}} X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \colim_{\mathcal{J}} X(U) \xrightarrow{\simeq} X(U)
\end{array}
$$

Here the vertical maps are the canonical projections to the colimit and are weak equivalences by Lemma 9.2 and the homotopy equivalence $B\mathcal{J} \simeq \ast$, respectively. Returning to the main diagram, it follows that the homotopy colimit of the unit $\eta$ is a weak equivalence as well. Since homotopy colimits over $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are homotopy equivalent (Proposition 9.4), we have shown that the unit $\eta: X \rightarrow Q^\#QX$ is a weak equivalence for cofibrant $\mathcal{I}$–spaces $X$. Since all $\mathbb{L}$–spaces are fibrant, this implies that $(Q, Q^\#)$ is a Quillen equivalence [10, 1.3.16].

The equivalence between $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathbb{L}$–spaces induces an equivalence at the level of monoids and commutative monoids.
Theorem 9.10  Restricting to monoids, respectively commutative monoids, \((Q, Q^\#)\) induces a Quillen equivalence between the categories of \(I\text{–FCPs}\) and non-\(\Sigma\ \mathbb{L}\text{–spaces}\), respectively commutative \(I\text{–FCPs}\) and \(\mathbb{L}\text{–spaces}\).

Proof  In the case of monoids, the theorem follows from the fact that cofibrant \(I\text{–FCPs}\) are cofibrant as \(I\text{–spaces}\). While this is not true of cofibrant commutative \(I\text{–FCPs}\), we will prove in Proposition 18.15(iii) that \(\xi: QX \to \Omega X\) is a weak homotopy equivalence for \(X\) a cofibrant commutative \(I\text{–FCP}\). The proof of Theorem 9.9 then goes on to show that the unit of the adjunction \((Q, Q^\#)\) is a weak homotopy equivalence on cofibrant commutative FCPs. This proves the result in the case of commutative monoids.

10  Comparison of infinite loop spaces of orthogonal spectra and \(S\text{–modules}\)

We will now use the Quillen equivalence of \(I\text{–spaces}\) and \(\mathbb{L}\text{–spaces}\) to prove that the infinite loop space functors of orthogonal spectra, \(\mathbb{L}\text{–spectra}\) and \(S\text{–modules}\) agree.

Let \(X\) be a based space. Given a universe \(U'\) and a subspace \(V \subset U'\), the shift desuspension functor \(\Sigma_V U'(-)\) is left adjoint to the functor \((-)_V: \mathcal{F} U' \to \mathcal{F}\) from spectra indexed on \(U'\) to based spaces given by evaluation at \(V\). When \(V = 0\), \(\Sigma_0 U' = \Sigma U'\) is the suspension spectrum functor for spectra indexed on \(U'\). For our privileged universe \(U\), \(\Sigma U\) is the suspension spectrum functor \(\Sigma^\infty\). The twisted half-smash product \(\mathcal{I}_c(U', U) \times \Sigma U' X\) is naturally an \(\mathbb{L}\text{–space}\) via the action of \(\mathcal{L}(1) = \mathcal{I}_c(U, U)\) on \(\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)\) by composition. This action of \(\mathcal{L}(1)\) on \(\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)\) also gives \(\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)_+ \wedge X\) the structure of an \(\mathbb{L}\text{–space}\). These \(\mathbb{L}\) actions are related by the untwisting isomorphism [7, 2.1]:

\[
(10.0) \quad \mathcal{I}_c(U', U) \times \Sigma U' X \cong \Sigma^U_\mathbb{L} (\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)_+ \wedge X).
\]

We may now make the comparison:

Proposition 10.1  The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{I}_c(U', U) \times \Sigma U' X & \cong & \Sigma^U_\mathbb{L} (\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)_+ \wedge X) \\
\end{array}
\]
Proof In [13], the definition of the functor $N$ depends on a choice of a one-dimensional subspace $R \subset U$. Different choices give rise to (noncanonically) isomorphic functors, so we are free to fix a choice $R \subset U$ for the remainder of the proof. We may identify a finite-dimensional inner product space $V$ with the subspace $V \otimes R$ of the universe $V \otimes U$.

We will make the comparison of right adjoints first. Unraveling definitions, we have:

\[
\Omega^* N#E(V) = \Omega^V \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \times \Sigma^V \otimes U S^0, E)
\]

\[
\cong \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \times (S^V \times \Sigma^V \otimes U S^0), E)
\]

\[
\cong \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{L}](\Sigma^V_0 \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, E), E)
\]

\[
\cong \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), \Omega^V E) = Q^# \Omega^\infty \mathcal{L} E(V).
\]

The first isomorphism follows since tensors with spaces are preserved by left adjoints, such as the twisted half-smash product. For the second isomorphism, notice that $S^V \otimes \Sigma^V \otimes U S^0 \cong \Sigma^V \otimes U S^0$, and then apply the untwisting isomorphism (10.0). This gives the diagrams on the left. The diagrams on the right follow by the uniqueness of adjoints.

The two rightmost squares of diagram (8.5) commute strictly, so we may immediately deduce the comparison of infinite loop spaces between orthogonal spectra and $S$–modules from Proposition 10.1.

**Proposition 10.2** The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G} & \xleftarrow{N^*} & M_S \\
\Omega^* & \downarrow & \Omega^\infty_S \\
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{Q} & M_*
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}N & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} & \mathcal{M}_S \\
\Omega^* & \downarrow & \Omega^\infty_S \\
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{Q} & M_*
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G} & \xleftarrow{N^*} & M_S \\
\Omega^* & \downarrow & \Omega^\infty_S \\
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{Q} & M_*
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{L}N & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} & \mathcal{M}_S \\
\Omega^* & \downarrow & \Omega^\infty_S \\
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{Q} & M_*
\end{array}
\]

This concludes both the comparison of infinite loop space functors and the proof of Theorem 1.2.

11 The space of units of a diagram ring spectrum

Let $R$ be a commutative diagram ring spectrum. In the next two sections, we will define the spectrum of units $g_{l_1}R$. The idea is that by taking the stably invertible components of the commutative FCP $\Omega^* R$, we have a group-like commutative FCP
GL^1 R. This is accomplished in the current section. We then convert commutative FCPs into spectra in Section 12. In the case of symmetric spectra, the construction is due to Schlichtkrull [28] (see also his [29; 30]).

The forgetful functor from groups to monoids has a right adjoint $M \mapsto M^\times$, where $M^\times$ is the submonoid of invertible elements of $M$. We will now make the analogous construction for $\mathcal{D}$–FCPs, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{I}$. Let $X$ be a $\mathcal{D}$–FCP. The space $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$ inherits a topological monoid structure from the FCP multiplication $\mu$ on $X$ and the permutative structure $\circ$ of $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X^2 \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} (X \times X) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} (X \circ \oplus) \xrightarrow{\oplus} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X.$$  

In fact, this monoid structure underlies the $E_\infty$–space structure on $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$ (Remark 4.3). Taking components, there is an induced monoid structure on $\pi_0 X = \pi_0 \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$. We say that $X$ is group-like if $\pi_0 X$ is a group. Consider $\pi_0 X$ and $(\pi_0 X)^\times$ as constant $\mathcal{D}$–spaces. We define a discretization map of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces $X \to \pi_0 X$ by

$$X(d) \to \pi_0 X(d) \to \text{colim} \pi_0 X(d) \to \pi_0 \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X = \pi_0 X.$$  

The first map is the discretization map of spaces that takes a point to its connected component in $\pi_0$, and we give $\pi_0 X(d)$ the quotient topology. Give $\pi_0 X$ the topology inherited from the colimit topology on $\text{colim} \pi_0 X_n$, so that the composite is continuous.

**Definition 11.1** Given a $\mathcal{D}$–FCP $X$, define the $\mathcal{D}$–FCP $X^\times$ to be the following pullback of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X^\times & \to & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\pi_0 X)^\times & \to & \pi_0 X
\end{array}$$

The space $(X^\times)(d)$ is the disjoint union of the components of $X(d)$ whose elements are stably invertible, in the sense that they map to units in $\pi_0 X$. It is immediate that $\pi_0 (X^\times) = (\pi_0 X)^\times$ and so $X^\times$ is group-like. Furthermore, $X \mapsto X^\times$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor from group-like $\mathcal{D}$–FCPs to $\mathcal{D}$–FCPs.

Let $\pi_0' X$ be the $\mathcal{D}$–FCP $(\pi_0' X)(d) = \pi_0(X(d))$. Define a sub-$\mathcal{D}$–FCP $\pi_0' X^\times$ by letting $(\pi_0' X^\times)(d)$ be the set of components $[x] \in \pi_0 X(d)$ for which there exists $[y] \in \pi_0 X(d')$ such that $[\mu(x, y)]$ and $[\mu(y, x)]$ are the components of the image of the FCP unit map $\eta: * \to X$ in $\pi_0 X(d \oplus d')$ and $\pi_0 X(d' \oplus d)$. It follows that
colim_1 \pi'_0 X^\times \cong (\pi_0 X)^\times$, so $X^\times$ can also be described as the pullback

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X^\times & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi'_0 X^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi'_0 X.
\end{array}
$$

(11.2)

Specializing to the case of the FCP $\Omega^\bullet R$ for diagram ring spectra $R$, we define FCPs of units:

**Definition 11.3** If $R$ is a symmetric ring spectrum, we define the $I$–FCP of units of $R$ to be $GL^1_1 R = (\Omega^\bullet R)^\times$. If $R$ is an orthogonal ring spectrum, we define the $I$–FCP of units of $R$ to be $GL^1_1 R = (\Omega^\bullet R)^\times$.

**Remark 11.4** For semistable symmetric spectra, $\pi_0 \Omega^\infty R \cong \pi_0 R$ (see Remark 2.1). In this case, $(\pi_0 R)^\times$ is the usual subgroup of multiplicatively invertible elements of the ring $\pi_0 R$ and $GL^1_1 R$ is defined by the following pullback:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
GL^1_1 R & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\pi_0 R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 R
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, $\pi_0 \Omega^\infty R \cong \pi_0 R$ for all orthogonal ring spectra $R$. Hence $GL^1_1 R$ is always described by the above pullback.

**12 The spectrum of units of a commutative diagram ring spectrum**

From a commutative diagram ring spectrum $R$ we have constructed a commutative FCP of units $GL^1_1 R$. We will now convert commutative FCPs into $\Gamma$–spaces and then apply an infinite loop space machine to get spectra of units.

Let $\Gamma^{op}$ be the skeletal category of finite base sets $n^+ = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ with basepoint 0 and based maps. A $\Gamma$–space is a functor $Y: \Gamma^{op} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $Y(0^+)$ is contractible and the projections $\delta_i: n^+ \to 1^+$ sending $i$ to 1 and all other elements to the basepoint induce a weak equivalence:

$$
\delta: Y(n^+) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n Y(1^+).
$$
We refer to this map as the Segal map. Note that our $\Gamma$–spaces are often called special $\Gamma$–spaces elsewhere. Write $Y_n$ for $Y(n^+)$. The underlying space of a $\Gamma$–space is $Y_1$. In general, $\pi_0Y_1$ an abelian monoid. We call a $\Gamma$–space group-like if $\pi_0Y_1$ is a group.

Starting with a commutative $\mathbb{I}$–FCP $X$, we will construct a $\Gamma$–space $H_1X$. Starting with a commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCP, we will construct two different $\Gamma$–spaces: $H_\mathcal{I}X$ and $H_{\mathcal{I}(U)}X$. $H_\mathcal{I}X$ will be used to define the spectrum of units of an orthogonal ring spectrum. $H_{\mathcal{I}(U)}X$ will be used to compare with the spectrum of units of an $E_\infty$ ring spectrum, and uses the full subcategory $\mathcal{I}(U)$ of $\mathcal{I}$ whose objects are finite-dimensional inner product subspaces $V \subset U$. Unlike $\mathcal{I}$, the category $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–category (see Remark A.6), which will allow the comparison with the $\mathcal{L}$–space colim$_\mathcal{J} X$. However, $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is not symmetric monoidal, so we will never consider $\mathcal{I}(U)$–spaces or $\mathcal{I}(U)$–FCPs. In what follows, the homotopy colimits that define $H_\mathcal{I}X$ are built by replacing $\mathcal{I}$ with the equivalent small topological subcategory $\mathcal{I}^+$, as usual (see Section 3). We break from our usual convention, and explicitly write $\mathcal{I}^+$ where it appears in this construction.

**Construction 12.1** Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote $\mathbb{I}$, $\mathcal{I}^+$ or $\mathcal{I}(U)$. We construct a functor $X \mapsto H_\mathcal{D}X$ from commutative $\mathbb{I}$–FCPs (for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$) or $\mathcal{I}$–FCPs (for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}(U)$) to $\Gamma$–spaces as follows. Let $\mathcal{P}(n^+)$ be the poset of subsets $A \subset n^+ = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ that do not contain $0$. Let $\mathcal{D}(n^+)$ be the category of functors $\theta: \mathcal{P}(n^+) \to \mathcal{D}$ such that for every pair of subsets $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(n^+)$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, the diagram induced by the inclusions $A \to A \cup B$ and $B \to A \cup B$

\[
\theta(A) \to \theta(A \cup B) \leftarrow \theta(B)
\]

is a coproduct diagram in the category of finite sets (for $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$) or in the category of vector spaces (for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}(U)$). Morphisms in $\mathcal{D}(n^+)$ are natural transformations of functors. Notice that $\theta(\emptyset) = 0$, the initial object (and unit for $\oplus$). Thus an object $\theta$ of $\mathcal{D}(n^+)$ consists of:

- Objects $\theta_i = \theta(\{i\})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- Morphisms $\theta_i \to \theta(A)$ for each $A \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $i \in A$ that assemble into a canonical isomorphism:

\[
\bigoplus_{i \in A} \theta_i \cong \theta(A).
\]

Here $\oplus$ denotes the monoidal product of $\mathcal{D}$. For $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}(U)$, the abstract direct sum is not a subspace of $U$, so the isomorphism is a morphism of $\mathcal{I}$ but not $\mathcal{I}(U)$.

We want to take topological homotopy colimits over $\mathcal{D}(n^+)$ and so we must give $\mathcal{D}(n^+)$ a topology. Let $\mathcal{P}(n^+)$ have the discrete topology and topologize the category.
Fun(\(\mathcal{P}(n^+)\), \(\mathcal{D}\)) of functors and natural transformations as described in Definition A.8. Since \(\mathcal{D}(n^+)\) is a full subcategory of \(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}(n^+), \mathcal{D})\), we topologize \(\text{ob} \mathcal{D}(n^+)\) and \(\text{mor} \mathcal{D}(n^+)\) as subspaces of \(\text{ob} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}(n^+), \mathcal{D})\) and \(\text{mor} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}(n^+), \mathcal{D})\).

We have a continuous forgetful functor \(u: \mathcal{D}(n^+) \to \mathcal{D}^n\) that sends \(\theta\) to \((\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)\). Let \(X(n^+)\) denote the composite functor

\[
X(n^+): \mathcal{D}(n^+) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{D}^n \xrightarrow{X^n} \mathcal{U},
\]

\[
\theta \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} X(\theta_i).
\]

Define a functor \(H_\mathcal{D} X\) from \(\Gamma^{\text{op}}\) to spaces using the homotopy colimit:

\[
H_\mathcal{D} X(n^+) = \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}(n^+)} X(n^+).
\]

By definition, \(H_\mathcal{D} X(0^+) = \ast\). Note that the underlying space of \(H_\mathcal{D} X\) is \((H_\mathcal{D} X)_1 = \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X\). To see the functoriality in \(\Gamma^{\text{op}}\), suppose that \(\alpha: m^+ \to n^+\) is a map of based sets. The inverse image functor \(\alpha^{-1}: \mathcal{P}(n^+) \to \mathcal{P}(m^+)\) induces a functor \(\alpha_*: \mathcal{D}(m^+) \to \mathcal{D}(n^+)\) by precomposition. We then have a natural transformation \(X(\alpha): X(m^+) \to X(n^+) \circ \alpha_*\) defined using the FCP multiplication \(\mu:\)

\[
X(\alpha)_\theta: \prod_{i=1}^{m} X(\theta_i) \xrightarrow{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{m} X(\bigoplus_{i \in \alpha^{-1}(j)} \theta_i) \cong \prod_{j=1}^{m} X((\theta(\alpha^{-1}(j)))).
\]

The first map is the projection away from the factors indexed by elements \(i \in m^+\) that map to the basepoint under \(\alpha\). The last isomorphism is induced by the canonical isomorphism (12.2). Since \(X\) is a commutative FCP, \(X(\alpha)\) does not depend on the choice of ordering used to define the product indexed by \(\alpha^{-1}(j)\). When \(\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}(U)\) the isomorphism (12.2) is not a morphism in \(\mathcal{I}(U)\). However, in that case we start with an \(\mathcal{I}\)–FCP, so we are free to use functoriality in \(\mathcal{I}\) when defining \(H_{\mathcal{I}(U)} X\). The natural transformation \(X(\alpha)\) induces the first map of homotopy colimits below:

\[
\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}(m^+)} X(m^+) \xrightarrow{X(\alpha)} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}(n^+)} X(n^+) \circ \alpha_* \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}(n^+)} X(n^+).
\]

The second map is induced by the functor \(\alpha_*: \mathcal{D}(m^+) \to \mathcal{D}(n^+)\) and the composite gives functoriality of \(H_\mathcal{D} X\) in the morphism \(\alpha\).

**Proposition 12.3** The functor \(H_\mathcal{D} X\) is a \(\Gamma\)–space.
Proof  It remains to show that the Segal maps are weak equivalences. Under the canonical isomorphism \((\hocolim_{\mathcal{D}} X)^n \cong \hocolim_{\mathcal{D}^n} X^n\), the Segal map

\[ \delta: H_{\mathcal{D}}(n^+) \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} H_{\mathcal{D}}(1^+) \]

is identified with the map of homotopy colimits induced by the forgetful functor \(u: \mathcal{D}(n^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^n\):

\[ \hocolim_{\mathcal{D}(n^+)} X(n^+) \longrightarrow \hocolim_{\mathcal{D}^n} X^n. \]

To show that this is a weak homotopy equivalence, we will apply Lemma A.5 to the forgetful functor \(u: \mathcal{D}(n^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^n\). For any object \(d_1, \ldots, d_n\) of \(\mathcal{D}^n\), the comma category \((d \downarrow u)\) has initial object \(\text{id} \circ d \circ u\), where \(\text{id}\) is the functor \(\mathcal{D}(n^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\) given by:

\[ \theta(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in A} d_i. \]

This works as written for \(\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}\) and \(\mathcal{I}^\dagger\). For \(\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}(U)\), the direct sum is not an object of \(\mathcal{I}(U)\). Instead, we choose isometric isomorphisms \(\phi_i: d_i \rightarrow d'_i\) such that the \(d'_i\) are pairwise orthogonal for \(1 \leq i \leq n\). Then define the functor \(\theta\) using the internal direct sum:

\[ \theta(A) = \sum_{i \in A} d'_i \subset U. \]

The object \(\prod_i \phi_i: d \rightarrow u(\theta)\) is an initial object of \((d \downarrow u)\). In all cases, the classifying space of a topological category with initial object is contractible, so Lemma A.5 applies, yielding the desired weak equivalence. \(\square\)

From now on, denote the \(\Gamma\)–space \(H_{\mathcal{I}^\dagger} X\) by \(H_{\mathcal{I}} X\). Notice that if \(X\) is a commutative \(\mathcal{I}\)–FCP, the inclusions of categories \(\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\dagger\) and \(\mathcal{I}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\dagger\) induce maps of \(\Gamma\)–spaces \(H_{\mathbb{I}} U X \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{I}} X\) and \(H_{\mathcal{I}(U)} X \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{I}} X\).

Remark 12.4  The preceding construction is equivalent to the construction of a \(\Gamma\)–space from the permutative category \(\mathcal{D}[X]\) defined by May [19] (see Remark 4.3). In the case of \(\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}(U)\), which is not permutative, one must use the structure of a partial permutative category given by the internal direct sum, as discussed in May’s [18]. Our construction avoids the use of partial structures because we only need the internal direct sum in the verification of the \(\Gamma\)–space condition.

The last step in constructing the spectrum of units is converting a \(\Gamma\)–space into a spectrum. Our model for the output will be weak \(\Omega\)–spectra. A weak \(\Omega\)–spectrum \(X\)
is a sequence of spaces $X_n$ with spectrum structure maps $X_n \to \Omega X_{n+1}$ that are weak homotopy equivalences. In the language of Mandell, May, Schwede and Shipley [14], these are the fibrant objects in the category of coordinatized prespectra. Denote the category of weak $\Omega$–spectra by $\mathcal{F}\Omega$. We will use the term spectrum for this notion from now on, hoping not to cause confusion with the underlying (LMS) spectrum of an $\mathbb{L}$–spectrum. Since the spectrum of units of a ring spectrum will never carry multiplicative structure, we need not model it in a symmetric monoidal category of spectra: ultimately, we only care about the object it determines in the stable homotopy category.

There are many different, but equivalent, constructions of a spectrum from a $\Gamma$–space. Instead of choosing one, we follow the axiomatic approach of May–Thomason [23] ($\Gamma$–spaces are called $\mathcal{F}$–spaces there). The most general input is the notion of an $\mathcal{O}$–space for a category of operators $\mathcal{O}$. $\Gamma$–spaces and $\mathcal{C}$–spaces for an $E_\infty$ operad $\mathcal{C}$ are both examples of $\mathcal{O}$–spaces. An $\mathcal{O}$–space $Y$ has an underlying space $Y_1$. An infinite loop space machine is a functor $E$ from $\mathcal{O}$–spaces (for some category of operators $\mathcal{O}$) to weak $\Omega$–spectra along with a natural group completion $\iota: Y_1 \to E_0Y = (EY)_0$. The main theorem of [23] shows that any two infinite loop space machines agree up to natural weak equivalence, so the following definition does not depend on the choice of $E$.

**Definition 12.5** Let $R$ be a symmetric or orthogonal commutative ring spectrum. The spectrum of units of $R$ is the output of any infinite loop space machine $E$ applied to the $\Gamma$–space given by Construction 12.1 for the commutative FCP $GL_1^\bullet R$: $$gl_1^\bullet R = EH_{\mathcal{O}}GL_1^\bullet R,$$

$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{I} \text{ for symmetric ring spectra}, \\ \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{I} \text{ for orthogonal ring spectra}. \end{cases}$

**Remark 12.6** We could have defined the spectra of units of diagram ring spectra operadically, by applying an infinite loop space machine to the $E_\infty$–space $\text{hocolim} GL_1^\bullet R$ (Remark 4.3). In fact, this gives the same homotopy type as Definition 12.5. One can prove this by applying the uniqueness result of [19] to the permutative category whose geometric realization is $\text{hocolim} GL_1^\bullet R$.

We will not use the following result until the comparison with the spectra of units of $E_\infty$ ring spectra, but we record it here since it is immediate from the definitions. It shows how Construction 12.1 encodes infinite loop space structure in two different ways. Given an operad $\mathcal{C}$, a $\Gamma \mathcal{C}$–space is a $\Gamma$–space $X$ such that each $X(A)$ is a $\mathcal{C}$–space and the maps induced by morphisms in $\Gamma^{op}$ are maps of $\mathcal{C}$–spaces.
Proposition 12.7  Let $X$ be a commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCP. Then $H_{\mathcal{I}(U)} X$ is a $\Gamma \mathcal{L}$–space, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the linear isometries operad.

Proof  By Proposition A.12, $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–category. Let
$$u: \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) \longrightarrow (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n$$
be the object map of the forgetful functor $\theta \mapsto (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$. Define an $\mathcal{L}$–space structure on $\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$ by letting $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(j)$ act on $(\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^j) \in \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)^j$ by
$$\gamma \cdot (\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^j): A \mapsto \gamma(\theta^1(A) \oplus \cdots \oplus \theta^j(A)), \quad \text{where } A \in \mathcal{P}(n^+).$$

Since this agrees with the product $\mathcal{L}$–space structure on $(\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n$, the map
$$u: \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) \longrightarrow (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n$$
is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.

Let $i^n: \mathcal{X} \to (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n$ be the $n$–fold Cartesian product of the left $\mathcal{I}(U)$–module associated to the $\mathcal{I}$–space $X$. By Proposition A.12(iv), $i^n$ is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. The left $\mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$–module associated to the $\mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$–space $X(n^+)$ is the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X}(n^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}^n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) & \overset{u}{\longrightarrow} & (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n
\end{array}$$

Since this is the pullback of a diagram of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, $\mathcal{X}(n^+)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space and the structure map $\mathcal{X}(n^+) \to \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$ is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.

The space of morphisms $\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$ is the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) & \longrightarrow & (\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U))^n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) \times \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) & \overset{u \times u}{\longrightarrow} & (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n \times (\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U))^n
\end{array}$$

Again this is a pullback diagram of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, so $\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)(A)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space and the source and target maps $\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) \to \text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$ are maps of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.

The left module structure map $\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+) \times_{\text{ob } \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)} \mathcal{X}(n^+) \to \mathcal{X}(n^+)$ is defined using these pullback diagrams and so is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces as well. It follows that $\mathcal{X}(n^+)$ is an $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)$–algebra, in the sense of the appendix. By Lemma A.11, $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)} X(n^+)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space. The preceding argument is functorial in the variable $n^+$ and so the homotopy colimits $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}(U)(n^+)} X(n^+)$ assemble into a $\Gamma \mathcal{L}$–space. \qed
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13 Comparison of units of diagram ring spectra

We will compare the spectra of units of symmetric and orthogonal commutative ring spectra. First we need some lemmas on homotopy colimits, which will be useful in later sections as well. For the following, see Remark A.6 on initial objects in topological categories.

**Lemma 13.1** Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a topological category with an initial object $0$. Let $X$ be a $\mathcal{D}$–space such that for every morphism $\phi$ of $\mathcal{D}$, the induced map $X(\phi)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces. Then for any object $d$ of $\mathcal{D}$, the inclusion

$$X(d) \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The proof given by Mandell and Shipley [15, 6.2] for ordinary categories also applies to topological categories.

When $X$ is a fibrant $\mathcal{D}$–space in the positive model structure, $X(\phi)$: $X(d) \to X(d')$ is only an equivalence for $d \neq 0$, so the previous lemma does not apply. A serious technical result provides the same conclusion:

**Lemma 13.2** (Bökstedt) Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{I}$. Let $X$ be a $\mathcal{D}$–space and let $\mathcal{D}_{>n}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of objects $d > n$ for $\mathbb{I}$ and $V$ with $\dim(V) > n$ for $\mathcal{I}$. Suppose that every morphism $d \to d'$ in $\mathcal{D}_{>n}$ induces a $\lambda$–connected map $X(d) \to X(d')$. Then for $d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D}_{>n}$, the inclusion $X(d) \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$ is at least $(\lambda - 1)$–connected.

This goes back to Bökstedt’s THH preprints [5]. For a published proof, see Brun [6, 2.5.1].

**Lemma 13.3** Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{I}^\uparrow$ and suppose that $X(\phi)$ is a weak equivalence for every morphism $\phi$ in $\mathcal{D}_{>0}$. Then the inclusion

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}_{>0}} X \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

For a proof, see [15, 6.4]. The proof there for $\mathbb{I}$ works for $\mathcal{I}$ as well.

**Lemma 13.4** [23, 2.3] Let $\psi: X \to Y$ be a map of $\Gamma$–spaces such that the map of underlying spaces $\psi_1: X_1 \to Y_1$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Then $E\psi$ is a weak equivalence of spectra.
**Lemma 13.5** Let \( X \to Y \) be a weak homotopy equivalence of commutative \( \mathbb{I} \)–FCPs. Then the induced map \( EH_\mathbb{I}X \to EH_\mathbb{I}Y \) is a weak equivalence of spectra. Similarly, a weak homotopy equivalence of commutative \( \mathcal{I} \)–FCPs induces a weak equivalence of spectra \( EH_\mathcal{I}X \to EH_\mathcal{I}Y \).

**Proof** Here is the proof for \( \mathbb{I} \)–FCPs. The orthogonal case is essentially identical. By Lemma 13.4, it suffices to show the map of spaces \( H_\mathbb{I}X_1 \to H_\mathbb{I}Y_1 \) is an equivalence. By definition, \( H_\mathbb{I}X_1 = \operatorname{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} X \). Since \( X \to Y \) is a weak homotopy equivalence, the result follows. \( \square \)

The following proposition compares the output of Construction 12.1 when fed \( \mathcal{I} \)–FCPs and their underlying \( \mathbb{I} \)–FCPs.

**Proposition 13.6** Let \( X \) be a fibrant commutative \( \mathcal{I} \)–FCP. Then there is a natural weak equivalence of spectra \( EH_\mathcal{I}X \simeq EH_\mathbb{I}(UX) \).

**Proof** The inclusion of categories \( \mathbb{I} \to \mathcal{I} \) induces a map of \( \Gamma \)–spaces \( H_\mathbb{I}UX \to H_\mathcal{I}X \). We will show that this induces a weak equivalence of spectra. Fix \( d > 0 \). Because \( X \) and \( UX \) are positive fibrant, Lemma 13.2 implies that the horizontal maps in the following commutative diagram are weak homotopy equivalences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
UX(d) & \overset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} UX \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X(R^d) & \overset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} & \operatorname{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} X
\end{array}
\]

Thus the vertical arrow of homotopy colimits is a weak homotopy equivalence. By Lemma 13.4, the associated map of spectra is a weak equivalence. \( \square \)

We are ready to prove the comparison theorem for the units of symmetric and orthogonal ring spectra. Before stating the result, we need to know that \( \operatorname{GL}_1 \) descends to a functor on homotopy categories.

**Lemma 13.7** For symmetric and orthogonal ring spectra and commutative ring spectra, the functor \( \operatorname{GL}_1 \) preserves fibrant objects and weak equivalences between fibrant objects.

**Proof** Consider the case of a fibrant (commutative) symmetric ring spectrum \( R \) (the orthogonal case is essentially identical). Since \( \Omega^\bullet \) is right Quillen, the (commutative) \( \mathbb{I} \)–FCP \( \Omega^\bullet R \) is fibrant in the (positive) model structure. Restricting the...
equivalences \( \Omega^m R_m \to \Omega^n R_n \) to the stably unital components, we get equivalences
\[
(\Omega^m R_m)^\times \to (\Omega^n R_n)^\times \quad (\text{for } m \geq 1 \text{ in the commutative case}).
\]
Hence \( \text{GL}_1 R \) is fibrant in the (positive) stable model structure.

Next, suppose that \( f : R \to R' \) is a stable equivalence of fibrant (commutative) symmetric ring spectra. By \([14, 8.11]\), \( f \) is a (positive) level equivalence of symmetric spectra. Since \( \pi_0(R) \to \pi_0(R') \) is an isomorphism of monoids, the induced map of pullbacks \( (\Omega^n R_n)^\times \to (\Omega^n R'_n)^\times \) is a weak homotopy equivalence (for \( n \geq 1 \) in the commutative case). Thus \( \text{GL}_1^* f \) is a (positive) level equivalence. By Lemma 13.3, a positive level equivalence is a weak homotopy equivalence, so we have proved that \( \text{GL}_1^* \) preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects.

It follows that in both the associative and the commutative settings, \( \text{GL}_1^* \) has a right derived functor \( R\text{GL}_1^* \). By Lemma 13.5, the functor \( gl_1 \) preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects. Hence \( gl_1 \) also has a right derived functor \( Rgl_1 \) from the homotopy category of commutative ring spectra to the homotopy category of spectra.

Next, we make the comparison of the FCPs \( \text{GL}_1^* \):

**Proposition 13.8** The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M \Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & M \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow \text{GL}_1^* & & \downarrow \text{GL}_1^* \\
M \mathcal{I} \mathbb{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & M \mathcal{I} \mathbb{U}
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } M \Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho } M \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow \text{RGL}_1^* & & \downarrow \text{RGL}_1^* \\
\text{ho } M \mathcal{I} \mathbb{U} & \xrightarrow{L\mathbb{P}} & \text{ho } M \mathcal{I} \mathcal{U}
\end{array}
\]

The diagrams of commutative monoids (with \( C \) replacing \( M \)) also commute.

**Proof** Let \( R \) be an orthogonal ring spectrum. Then \( \mathbb{U} R \) is a semistable symmetric ring spectrum, so \( \text{GL}_1^* \mathbb{U} R \) may be identified with the following pullback of \( \mathcal{I} \)–FCPs:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GL}_1^* \mathbb{U} R & \longrightarrow & \Omega^* \mathbb{U} R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\pi_0 \mathbb{U} R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathbb{U} R
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \Omega^* \mathbb{U} R \cong \mathcal{U} \Omega^* R \) and \( \pi_0 \mathbb{U} R \cong \pi_0 R \), \( \mathbb{U} \) takes the pullback diagram of \( \mathcal{I} \)–FCPs defining \( \text{GL}_1^* R \) to the displayed pullback. \( \mathbb{U} \) preserves pullbacks, so we have a natural isomorphism \( \mathcal{U} \text{GL}_1^* R \cong \text{GL}_1^* \mathbb{U} R \). This gives the diagram on the left. The second diagram commutes by applying Proposition 5.2.

The same proof works in the commutative setting, but for the second diagram we use the Quillen equivalence of categories of commutative monoids instead of monoids when we apply Proposition 5.2. \( \square \)
**Theorem 13.9**  The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{R \mathcal{U}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{F} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{R_{gl_1}} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{L \mathcal{P}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{F} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{R_{gl_1}} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

**Proof**  The diagram on the left can be expanded into the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xleftarrow{R \mathcal{U}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{F} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{R_{GL_1}^*} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{R \mathcal{U}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{U} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{EH_\mathcal{I}} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

The upper square commutes by Proposition 13.8. Since the right derived functors are calculated by fibrant approximation, Proposition 13.6 applies, proving that the lower triangle commutes.

The second diagram is:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\Sigma \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{L \mathcal{P}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{F} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{R_{GL_1}^*} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{L \mathcal{P}} & \text{ho } C\mathcal{U} \\
\text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega & \xrightarrow{EH_\mathcal{I}} & \text{ho } \mathcal{F} \Omega
\end{array}
\]

The top square commutes by Proposition 13.8. For the lower triangle, notice that the following natural transformation is an isomorphism:

\[
EH_\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{EH_\mathcal{I} \eta} \xrightarrow{E H_\mathcal{I} R \mathcal{U} L \mathcal{P} \cong E H_\mathcal{I} L \mathcal{P}}.
\]

Here the displayed isomorphism is the commutativity of the triangle in the previous diagram and the unit \( \eta \) of the adjunction \((L \mathcal{P}, R \mathcal{U})\) is an isomorphism by the Quillen equivalence of Theorem 6.2.

\[\square\]
14 Comparison of units of orthogonal and $E_\infty$ ring spectra

We now make the comparison between the spectra of units of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and $E_\infty$ ring spectra. They must first be compared at the space level:

**Proposition 14.1** The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{align*}
M \dashv N^* & \quad M \dashv [L] \\
\text{GL}_1 & \quad \text{GL}_1 \\
M \dashv [L] & \quad M \dashv [L]
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ho } M \dashv [L] & \quad \text{ho } M \dashv [L] \\
\text{RGL} & \quad \text{RGL}_1 \\
\text{ho } M \dashv [L] & \quad \text{ho } M \dashv [L]
\end{align*}
\]

The diagrams of commutative monoids (with $C$ replacing $M$) also commute.

**Proof** We consider the diagram on the left first. The second diagram will follow by Proposition 5.2 as usual. $\text{GL}_1$ is the composite of $\Omega^*$ and the functor of $I$–FCPs: $X \mapsto X^\times$. Similarly, the functor $\text{GL}_1$ from $A_\infty$ ring spectra to $L$–space monoids is the composite of $\Omega^*$ and the functor taking an $L$–space monoid $Y$ to the pullback

\[
\begin{align*}
Y^\times & \rightarrow Y \\
\pi_0 Y^\times & \rightarrow \pi_0 Y.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the diagram in Proposition 10.1 that compares $\Omega^*$ with $\mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbb{Q}^*$, it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Q}^*(Y) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^*Y)^\times$ for an $L$–space monoid $Y$.

The components of the space $\mathbb{Q}^*Y(V)$ are given by

\[
\pi_0 \mathbb{Q}^*Y(V) = \pi_0 u[L](\mathbb{Q}^*(V), Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0 Y,
\]

where the map sends a homotopy class $[f]$ to the component of its image in $Y$. This is well-defined because $\mathbb{Q}^*(V) = I_c(V \otimes U, U)$ is contractible. The FCP structure on $\mathbb{Q}^*Y$ is defined in terms of the multiplication $Y \boxtimes \mathcal{I} \rightarrow Y$ and the strong symmetric monoidal structure map $\mathbb{Q}^*(V) \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}^*(W) \cong \mathbb{Q}^*(V \oplus W)$. It follows that the FCP multiplication $\pi_0 \mathbb{Q}^*Y(V) \times \pi_0 \mathbb{Q}^*Y(W) \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathbb{Q}^*Y(V \oplus W)$ agrees with the multiplication on $\pi_0 Y$ coming from the $L$–space monoid structure.

Using the notation established right before diagram (11.2), we have an isomorphism of FCPs $\pi_0 \mathbb{Q}^*Y \cong \pi_0 Y$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q}^*$ takes the pullback diagram defining $Y^\times$ to the pullback diagram (11.2) defining $(\mathbb{Q}^*Y)^\times$. Since $\mathbb{Q}^*$ preserves pullbacks, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}^*(Y^\times) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^*Y)^\times$. 

\[\square\]
To compare the associated spectra of units, we need to compare the output of different infinite loop space machines. This is the technical thrust of May and Thomason’s uniqueness theorem [23] for infinite loop space machines, whose methods and notation we follow for the next proposition. The Segal machine $S$ is an infinite loop space machine defined on $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. Let $E$ be any infinite loop space machine defined on $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. Let $Y$ be a $\Gamma\mathcal{L}$–space with $n^{th}$ $\mathcal{L}$–space $Y_n = Y(n^+)$ and let $Y$ denote the underlying $\Gamma$–space obtained by forgetting the $\mathcal{L}$–space structures.

**Proposition 14.2** There is a natural weak equivalence of spectra $SY \simeq EY_1$.

**Proof** Applying the infinite loop space machine $E$ to each $\mathcal{L}$–space $Y_n$, we have a sequence of spectra $EY_n$ such that for $m$ fixed, $n^+ \mapsto E_m Y_n$ defines a $\Gamma$–space $E_m Y$. Applying the Segal machine $S$ to each of these $\Gamma$–spaces, we have spectra $SE_m Y$ for $m \geq 0$. From here the proof is the same as the proof of [23, 2.5]. By properties of the Segal machine, there are weak equivalences

$$SE_m Y \xrightarrow{S\sigma} S \Omega E_{m+1} Y \longrightarrow \Omega SE_{m+1} Y,$$

and thus the spectra $SE_m Y$ comprise a bispectrum. The “up-and-across” theorem [23, 3.9] then yields an equivalence of spectra $SE_0 Y \simeq S_0 E Y$. Taking group completions into account leads to a zig-zag of weak equivalences:

$$SY \xleftarrow{\simeq} SE_0 Y \simeq S_0 E Y \xleftarrow{\simeq} EY_1. \quad \square$$

If $X$ is a commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCP, we may construct a spectrum by applying the Segal machine $S$ to the $\mathcal{I}$–space $H \mathcal{I} X$ or by applying $E$ to the $\mathcal{L}$–space $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X$. The two outputs are equivalent:

**Proposition 14.3** Let $X$ be a commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCP. There is a natural chain of weak equivalences of spectra $SH \mathcal{I} X \simeq E \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X$.

**Proof** The chain of weak equivalences is:

$$SH \mathcal{I} X \xleftarrow{\simeq} SH \mathcal{I}(U) X \simeq E (H \mathcal{I}(U) X)_1 \xleftarrow{\simeq} E \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}} X.$$

The inclusion of categories $\mathcal{I}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}(U)} X \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}} X$ by Lemma A.5. The first equivalence in the chain follows by Lemma 13.4. For the middle equivalence, apply Proposition 14.2 to the $\Gamma \mathcal{L}$–space $H \mathcal{I}(U) X$ (Proposition 12.7). For the last equivalence, consider the inclusions of categories $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. The composite induces a weak equivalence of homotopy colimits by Proposition 9.4. The second inclusion also induces a weak
equivalence as just mentioned. Thus the first inclusion induces a weak equivalence \( \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}(U)} X \). Since this is a map of \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces (Proposition A.12(v)), it induces the last weak equivalence of spectra after applying \( E \). \( \square \)

We may now compare the spectra of units of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and \( E_\infty \) ring spectra:

**Theorem 14.4** The following diagrams commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \Omega & \xleftarrow{R} \text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \mathbb{L} & \\
\text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \Omega & \xrightarrow{L} \text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \mathbb{L} & \\
\end{array}
\]

**Proof** We will do the diagram on the right first. We choose to use the Segal machine in the definition of \( gl_1 \) for commutative orthogonal ring spectra (Definition 12.5). The diagram is:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \Omega & \xrightarrow{L} \text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \mathbb{L} & \\
\text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \mathcal{T} \mathbb{L} & \xrightarrow{E} \text{ho } C \mathcal{T} \mathbb{L} & \\
\text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \Omega & \xrightarrow{L} \text{ho } C \mathcal{J} \mathbb{L} & \\
\end{array}
\]

The square commutes by Proposition 14.1. For the triangle, let \( X \) be a cofibrant commutative \( \mathcal{I} \)-FCP. We will prove in Proposition 18.15 that the map of \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces \( \xi: \mathbb{Q}X \to \mathcal{I}X \) from Lemma 9.7 and the canonical projection

\[ \pi: \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \to \text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X \]

are both weak homotopy equivalences. Observe that the \( \mathcal{L} \)-space action on \( \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \) specified in Proposition A.12 is defined so that \( \pi \) is a map of \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces. Combined with the isomorphism of \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces \( \text{colim}_\mathcal{J} X \cong \mathcal{I}X \) from Lemma 9.6, we have exhibited a natural chain of weak homotopy equivalences of \( \mathcal{L} \)-spaces \( \mathbb{Q}X \cong \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \). Along with Proposition 14.3, this gives a chain of weak equivalences

\[ \text{SH}_\mathcal{I}X \cong E \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{J} X \cong E \mathbb{Q}X. \]

Thus the triangle commutes.

The diagram on the left commutes by Proposition 14.1, the Quillen equivalence \((\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)\) and the triangle in the other diagram, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 13.9. \( \square \)
15 Construction of the model structure on diagram spaces

In this section we construct the model structures on $\mathcal{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces used throughout the paper. The analogous model structures on diagram spectra are constructed in [14], which is the source for many of the arguments in this section.

The model structure on commutative monoids in $\mathcal{D}$–spaces will require an underlying positive model structure on $\mathcal{D}$–spaces, just as for diagram spectra. We will work at a level of generality that subsumes all variants by fixing the following data:

Input data 15.1  Fix a pair $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+)$ consisting of a symmetric monoidal topological category $(\mathcal{D}, \oplus, 0)$ whose unit object $0$ is an initial object (see Remark A.6) and a full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_+ \subset \mathcal{D}$. The categories $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_+$ must satisfy the following conditions:

(i) Given a $\mathcal{D}$–space $X$, there exists an associated left $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{X} \to \text{ob} \mathcal{D}$ (see the appendix for this terminology) and this association is functorial. Furthermore, if $X \to Y$ is a level-wise fibration of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces, then $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a fibration of spaces.

(ii) The inclusion of categories $\mathcal{D}_+ \to \mathcal{D}$ induces a natural weak equivalence of homotopy colimits:

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}_+} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{D} X.$$ 

(iii) Suppose that for every morphism $\phi: d \to d'$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$, the induced map

$$X(\phi): X(d) \to X(d')$$

is a weak equivalence. Then for every $d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D}_+$, the inclusion of $X(d)$ into the homotopy colimit is a weak equivalence:

$$X(d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{D} X.$$

$\mathcal{D}_+$ is the subcategory determining the relative level model structure that we start with. For example, the positive level model structure corresponds to the case where $\mathcal{D}_+$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ without $0$. Condition (i) is required in order for homotopy colimits over $\mathcal{D}$ to be defined and is automatically satisfied if $\text{ob} \mathcal{D}$ is discrete.

We now define the model structure on $\mathcal{D}$–spaces relative to $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+)$. First consider the $\mathcal{D}_+$–relative level model structure on $\mathcal{D}$-$\mathcal{U}$, (also known as the relative projective model structure). This has weak equivalences the $\mathcal{D}_+$ level equivalences ($X(d) \to Y(d)$ a weak equivalence of spaces for each $d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D}_+$), fibrations the level fibrations and cofibrations defined by the left lifting property (LLP) with respect to acyclic fibrations. The generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations are given as follows. Let $I$ be
the set of inclusions of spaces \( i: S^n \to D^{n+1} \) for \( n \geq -1 \) (where \( S^{-1} = \emptyset \)), and let \( J \) be the set of inclusions \( i_0: D^n \to D^n \times [0,1] \) for \( n \geq 0 \). The sets \( I \) and \( J \) are the generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations for the underlying model structure on \( \mathcal{U} \). Given an object \( d \) of \( \mathcal{D} \), the functor \( F_d: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \) is left adjoint to evaluation on the object \( d \). Define

\[
F_+ I = \{ F_d i \mid d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D}_+, i \in I \} \quad \text{and} \quad F_+ J = \{ F_d j \mid d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D}_+, j \in J \}.
\]

Then \( F_+ I \) and \( F_+ J \) are the generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations for the \( \mathcal{D}_+ \)–relative level model structure on \( \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \).

We will refer to the fibrations and weak equivalences of the \( \mathcal{D}_+ \)–relative level model structure as level fibrations and level equivalences (leaving reference to \( \mathcal{D}_+ \) implicit). The cofibrations of the level model structure will simply be called cofibrations as they coincide with the cofibrations in the new model structure.

A map \( f: X \to Y \) of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces is a weak homotopy equivalence if the induced map of homotopy colimits over \( \mathcal{D} \) is a weak homotopy equivalence of spaces:

\[
f_*: \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{D} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{D} Y.
\]

A map \( p: E \to B \) of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces is a fibration if it has the right lifting property (RLP) with respect to the acyclic cofibrations. Notice that since the homotopy colimit functor preserves tensors with spaces, pushouts and sequential colimits, the weak equivalences are well–grounded.

The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 15.2** Suppose that \( (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+) \) satisfies the hypotheses of Input data 15.1. Then the category of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces is a compactly generated topological model category with respect to the cofibrations, fibrations and weak homotopy equivalences. The model structure is constructed as a left Bousfield localization of the \( \mathcal{D}_+ \)–relative level model structure on \( \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \).

The case \( \mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{D} \) is the most important one, and we will refer to that case as the absolute model structure when necessary for clarity. The examples we will need are:

1. \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{I} \): the (absolute) model structure on \( \mathcal{I} \)–spaces.
2. \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{I}_{\geq 0} \): the positive model structure on \( \mathcal{I} \)–spaces.
3. \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{T}^\dagger \): the (absolute) model structure on \( \mathcal{T}^\dagger \)–spaces.
4. \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T}^\dagger, \mathcal{D}_+ = \mathcal{T}^\dagger_{\geq 0} \): the positive model structure on \( \mathcal{T}^\dagger \)–spaces.
Remark 15.3 We define the absolute and positive model structures on $I$–spaces by transferring structure across the equivalence of categories $I\mathcal{U} \to I^\uparrow \mathcal{U}$ that is induced by the equivalence $I^\uparrow \to I$. It is straightforward to see that the resulting model structures on $I$–spaces are well-defined and compactly generated by the images of the generating sets under the prolongation $I^\uparrow \mathcal{U} \to I\mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 15.4 Examples (1)–(4) all satisfy the hypotheses of Input data 15.1.

Proof In all cases, condition (i) is given by Construction A.3 and Lemma A.7. For (1) and (3), condition (ii) is automatically satisfied and (iii) follows from Lemma 13.1. For (2) and (4), condition (ii) is Lemma 13.3 and condition (iii) is Lemma 13.2. □

The proof of Theorem 15.2 takes up the rest of this section. A morphism $\phi: c \to d$ in $\mathcal{D}_+$ induces a natural transformation $\phi^*: F_d \to F_c$ of functors $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$. Let $\lambda_\phi = \phi^*(\phi): F_d(\phi) \to F_c(\phi)$ be the component of this natural transformation at $\phi$. By the Yoneda Lemma, $F_d(\phi)$ is the represented $\mathcal{D}$–space $\mathcal{D}[d] = \mathcal{D}(d,-)$. Factor $\lambda_\phi: \mathcal{D}[d] \to \mathcal{D}[c]$ into a cofibration $k_\phi$ followed by a level acyclic fibration $r_\phi$ using the mapping cylinder $M\lambda_\phi$ of $\lambda_\phi$ (defined level-wise):

$$\lambda_\phi: \mathcal{D}[d] \xrightarrow{k_\phi} M\lambda_\phi \xrightarrow{r_\phi} \mathcal{D}[c].$$

Starting with $k_\phi$ and any $i: S^n \to D^{n+1}$ in the set $I$ of generating cofibrations, passage to pushouts yields the pushout product:

$$k_\phi \Box i: (\mathcal{D}[d] \times D^{n+1}) \cup_{\mathcal{D}[d] \times S^n} (M\lambda_\phi \times S^n) \longrightarrow M\lambda_\phi \times D^{n+1}.$$

Let $k_\phi \Box I = \{k_\phi \Box i \mid i \in I\}$. Notice that $\lambda_\phi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, since hocolim$_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}[d]$ and hocolim$_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}[c]$ are both contractible. Hence each $k_\phi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Define $K$ to be the union of $F_+ J$ and the sets $k_\phi \Box I$ over all morphisms $\phi$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$. $K$ will be the set of generating acyclic cofibrations and $F_+ I$ will be the set of generating cofibrations for the model structure on $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 15.5 A map $p: E \to B$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $K$ if and only if $p$ is a level fibration and the induced map $E(c) \to E(d) \times B(d)$ $B(c)$ is a weak equivalence for all $\phi: c \to d$ in $\mathcal{D}_+$.

Proof Since $F_+ J \subset K$ and level fibrations are precisely the maps satisfying the RLP with respect to $F_+ J$, we assume that $p$ is a level fibration and then show that for each morphism $\phi$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$, $p$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $k_\phi \Box I$ if and only if $E(c) \to E(d) \times B(d)$ $B(c)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.
By [14, 5.16], \( p \) has the RLP with respect to \( k_{\phi} \triangle I \) if and only if the following map of morphism spaces has the RLP with respect to \( I \):

\[
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(k_{\phi}^*, p_*) : \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(M \lambda_\phi, E) \to \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[d], E) \times_{\mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[d], B)} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(M \lambda_\phi, B).
\]

The latter condition means that \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(k_{\phi}^*, p_*) \) is an acyclic Serre fibration. Since \( k_{\phi} \) is a cofibration and \( p \) is a level fibration, we know that \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(k_{\phi}^*, p_*) \) is a Serre fibration because the level model structure is topological. Hence \( p \) satisfies the RLP with respect to \( k_{\phi} \triangle I \) if and only if \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(k_{\phi}^*, p_*) \) is a weak equivalence of spaces.

Since the canonical fibration \( r_{\phi} : M \lambda_\phi \to \mathcal{D}[c] \) is a weak equivalence, \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(k_{\phi}^*, p_*) \) is a weak equivalence if and only if

\[
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\lambda_\phi^*, p_*) : \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[c], E) \to \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[d], E) \times_{\mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[d], B)} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D}[c], B)
\]

is a weak equivalence. This map is isomorphic to the induced map to the pullback

\[
E(c) \to E(d) \times_{B(d)} B(c),
\]

so the proof is complete.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 15.6**  The trivial map \( F \to * \) satisfies the RLP with respect to \( K \) if and only if \( F(\phi) : F(c) \to F(d) \) is a weak equivalence for every \( \phi : c \to d \) in \( \mathcal{D}_+ \).

We will need to understand how the fiber of a level-wise fibration \( E \to B \) relates to the fiber of \( \hocolim_\mathcal{D} E \to \hocolim_\mathcal{D} B \). For the following lemma, we fix a map \( b : * \to B \), giving compatible basepoints in each space \( B(d) \).

**Lemma 15.7**  Suppose that \( p : E \to B \) is a level fibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces with fiber \( F = E_b \) over \( b : * \to B \). Choose a point \( * \in B \mathcal{D} \) and also write \( * \) for its image under the induced map of homotopy colimits

\[
B \mathcal{D} = \hocolim_\mathcal{D}(*) \overset{b}{\longrightarrow} \hocolim_\mathcal{D} B.
\]

Then in the following morphism of homotopy fiber sequences

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_*(q) & \longrightarrow & F_*(p) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
hocolim_\mathcal{D} F & \longrightarrow & \hocolim_\mathcal{D} E \\
q \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\
B \mathcal{D} & \overset{i_b}{\longrightarrow} & \hocolim_\mathcal{D} B
\end{array}
\]

(i) the map \( F_*(q) \to F_*(p) \) of homotopy fibers is a weak equivalence, and

(ii) \( \hocolim_\mathcal{D} F \) is weak homotopy equivalent to the homotopy fiber \( F_*(p) \).
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Proof Each space $F(d)$ is the pullback of the fibration $p(d) : E(d) \to B(d)$ over the point $b : * \to B(d)$. These pullbacks assemble into a pullback square of left $\mathcal{D}$–modules:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{F} & \to & \mathcal{E} \\
q \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\
\text{ob } \mathcal{D} & \to & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}
$$

(15.8)

This pullback induces a pullback of topological homotopy colimits:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F & \to & \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} E \\
q \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\
B\mathcal{D} & \to & \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} B
\end{array}
$$

By condition (i) on $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+)$, both of the vertical arrows in (15.8) are fibrations, so we may apply Lemma A.7. It follows that $q_*$ and $p_*$ are quasifibrations. Since the square is a pullback, the fibers of $q$ and $p$ over $*$ are isomorphic. Thus the map of homotopy fibers $F_*(q) \to F_*(p)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, proving (i). To prove (ii), recall that the category $\mathcal{D}$ has an initial object, and so the classifying space $B\mathcal{D}$ is contractible. Thus the inclusion $F_*(q) \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ of the homotopy fiber of $q$ is a weak equivalence. Along with the weak equivalence of homotopy fibers, this gives a chain of weak equivalences between $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ and $F_*(p)$.

We can now establish the crucial step in setting up the model structure:

**Proposition 15.9** Suppose that $p : E \to B$ is a weak homotopy equivalence satisfying the RLP with respect to $K$. Then $p$ is a level acyclic fibration.

Proof As $p$ has the RLP with respect to $F_+ J$, it is a level fibration. We must show that it is a level equivalence. Choose a map $b : * \to B$ and let $F = E_b$ be the pullback of $p : E \to B$ over $b$. Lemma 15.7 implies that $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ is equivalent to the homotopy fiber of a weak equivalence:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} E \\
\pi_* \downarrow & & \pi_* \downarrow \\
\pi_* \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} B
\end{array}
$$

Hence $\pi_* \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F = 0$. By the pullback square, $F \to *$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $K$. Corollary 15.6 implies that the maps $F(\phi)$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all morphisms $\phi$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$. By assumption (iii) on $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+)$, for every object $d$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$, the inclusion $F(d) \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus $\pi_* F(d) = 0$. This means that the maps $p(d) : E(d) \to B(d)$ are weak homotopy equivalences for all objects $d$ of $\mathcal{D}_+$, proving that $p$ is a level equivalence. \qed
Lemma 15.10  A retract of a relative $K$–cell complex is an acyclic cofibration.

Proof  The maps in $K$ are all weak equivalences and $h$–cofibrations. Since the weak equivalences are well grounded, this implies that every retract of a relative $K$–cell complex is a weak equivalence. The maps in $K$ are also cofibrations, so by the closure properties of cofibrations in the level model structure, every retract of a relative $K$–cell complex is a cofibration.

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 15.2, we invoke the following criterion for compactly generated model categories:

Theorem 15.11  [22, 4.5.6] Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a bicomplete category with a subcategory of weak equivalences satisfying the two out of three property. Let $I$ and $J$ be compact sets of maps in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) Every relative $J$–cell complex is a weak equivalence.
(b) A map has the RLP with respect to $I$ if and only if it is a weak equivalence and has the RLP with respect to $J$.

Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a compactly generated model category with generating cofibrations $I$ and generating acyclic cofibrations $J$.

Here a set of maps $I$ is said to be compact if for every domain object $X$ of a map in $I$ and every relative $I$–cell complex $Z_0 \to Z$, the induced map $\text{colim} \mathcal{C}(X, Z_n) \to \mathcal{C}(X, Z)$ is an isomorphism.

In our situation, the generating cofibrations are $F_+ I$ and the generating acyclic cofibrations are $K$. Using the adjunction between $F_n$ and evaluation at level $n$, compactness of $F_+ I$ and $K$ follows from compactness of spheres and disks. Condition (a) follows from Lemma 15.10. Proposition 15.9 shows that weak homotopy equivalences satisfying the RLP with respect to $K$ satisfy the RLP with respect to $F_+ I$. This is one direction of condition (b). For the other, suppose that $f$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $F_+ I$, ie $f$ is a level acyclic fibration. Since $f$ is a level equivalence, it is a weak homotopy equivalence, so we only need to show that $f$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $K$. Using the level model structure, $f$ satisfies the RLP with respect to cofibrations. It follows from Lemma 15.10 that $f$ satisfies the RLP with respect to relative $K$–cell complexes. In particular, $f$ satisfies the RLP with respect to $K$. Thus conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied, so Theorem 15.11 gives the compactly generated model structure on $\mathcal{D}$–spaces. This concludes the proof of Theorem 15.2.
16 The equivalence of $\mathbb{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces

We will now prove that the prolongation and forgetful functors comprise a Quillen equivalence between $\mathbb{I}$–spaces and $\mathcal{I}$–spaces. We first record a standard consequence of Böksted’s Lemma 13.2, known as the “telescope lemma”.

**Lemma 16.1** Suppose that $X$ is an $\mathbb{I}$–space such that $X(n)$ is $\lambda_n$–connected, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an unbounded nondecreasing sequence of integers. Then the inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{I}$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence of homotopy colimits:

$$\text{hocolim}_\mathbb{J} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} X.$$ 

**Lemma 16.2** Let $\mathcal{I}[n] = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, -)$ be the $\mathcal{I}$–space represented by $\mathbb{R}^n$. Restricting $\mathcal{I}[n]$ to an $\mathbb{I}$–space, the inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{I}$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence $\text{hocolim}_\mathbb{J} \mathcal{I}[n] \to \text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}[n]$. Furthermore, $\text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}[n]$ is contractible.

**Proof** We need to show that the following map of homotopy colimits is a weak homotopy equivalence:

$$\text{hocolim}_{k \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \text{hocolim}_{k \in \mathbb{I}} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k).$$

The space $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k)$ is the Stiefel manifold of $n$–frames in $\mathbb{R}^k$, which is $(k - n - 1)$–connected. Thus, $\mathcal{I}[n]$ satisfies the conditions for Lemma 16.1, which gives the weak homotopy equivalence of homotopy colimits.

For the second claim, notice that the maps $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^k) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ are closed inclusions of manifolds. Therefore, there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^\infty) = \text{colim}_\mathbb{J} \mathcal{I}[n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}[n].$$

Since the space of isometries $\mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is contractible, the result follows. □

**Proposition 16.3** Let $X$ be a cofibrant $\mathbb{I}$–space. Both of the following maps are weak homotopy equivalences:

(i) The unit $\eta$: $X \to \amalg \mathcal{P} X$ of the adjunction $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U})$.

(ii) The map $\text{hocolim}_\mathbb{J} \mathcal{P} X \to \text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} \mathcal{P} X$ induced by the inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \to \mathbb{I}$.

**Proof** The $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{U}$ and the homotopy colimit functors all commute with colimits, including tensors with spaces. Since weak equivalences are well-grounded, we may assume that $X$ is an $FI$–cell complex and induct up the cell structure. Hence it suffices to prove
ether claim for a represented $\mathbb{I}$–space $X = F^\mathbb{I}_n(*) = \mathbb{I}[n]$. Since their right adjoints
are isomorphic by inspection, there is a natural isomorphism $P F^\mathbb{I}_n \cong F^\mathbb{R}_R$. Hence (ii)
follows from Lemma 16.2. For (i), we need to show that $\eta: \mathbb{I}[n] \to \mathbb{I}[n]$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. We have the canonical level equivalence $\epsilon: B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}) \to *$, so hocolim$_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}[n]$ is contractible. By Lemma 16.2, the target hocolim$_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}[n]$ is also contractible. Therefore $\eta$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Theorem 16.4 The prolongation functor $P: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$ and the forgetful functor $U: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$ induce a Quillen equivalence of the stable model structures.

Proof By the characterization of fibrations given in Proposition 15.5, $U$ preserves fibrations. Acyclic fibrations and level acyclic fibrations coincide and $U$ preserves level equivalences, so $U$ preserves acyclic fibrations. Thus $(P, U)$ is a Quillen adjunction.

By [10, 1.3.16], $(P, U)$ is a Quillen equivalence if $U$ detects weak equivalences between fibrant objects and for cofibrant $\mathbb{I}$–spaces $X$, the composite

\[(16.5) \quad X \xrightarrow{\eta} U P X \xrightarrow{U r} U R P X\]

of the unit of the adjunction with the map induced by fibrant approximation $r: P X \to R P X$ is a weak equivalence. Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is a map of fibrant $\mathcal{I}$–spaces such that $U f$ is a weak equivalence. By Lemma 13.1, the vertical arrows in the following commutative diagram are weak homotopy equivalences:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{hocolim}_\mathcal{I} Y \\
\approx & \approx & \approx \\
X(0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y(0) \\
\approx & \approx & \\
\text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} U X & \xrightarrow{U f} & \text{hocolim}_\mathbb{I} U Y
\end{array}
$$

Hence the top map is a weak homotopy equivalence, so $U$ detects weak equivalences between fibrant objects.
By Proposition 16.3(ii), \( \eta \) is a weak homotopy equivalence, so we just need to show that \( \mathbb{U}r \) is a weak homotopy equivalence. Consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{hocolim}_I P X & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim}_R P X \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow \sim \\
hocolim_J P X & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim}_J R P X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\
hocolim_r P X & \longrightarrow & \text{hocolim}_r R P X
\end{array}
\]

The four maps from \((R P X)(0)\) are weak homotopy equivalences by Lemma 13.1. The fibrant approximation map \(r\) induces a weak homotopy equivalence by definition. The three vertical maps on the left are weak homotopy equivalences by Proposition 16.3(i), Lemma A.5 and Proposition 9.4. It follows that \( \mathbb{U}r \) is also a weak homotopy equivalence.

**17 The model structure on FCPs**

In this section we will construct the model structure on the category of \( \mathcal{D} \)–FCPs, where \( \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I} \) or \( \mathbb{I} \). The main technical point is the following lemma.

**Lemma 17.1** If \( X \) is a cofibrant \( \mathcal{D} \)–space, then the functor \( X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} (\_ ) \) preserves weak homotopy equivalences.

**Proof** We may assume that \( X \) is an \( FI \)–cell complex. Since applying \( (\_ ) \otimes Y \) to an \( h \)–cofibration is again an \( h \)–cofibration, and weak equivalences of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces are well-grounded, we may induct over the cell structure of \( X \). It now suffices to prove the result when \( X = F_d(\_ ) = \mathcal{D}[d] \).

Let \( Y \) be a \( \mathcal{D} \)–space. By a comparison of right adjoints, we have a natural isomorphism \((\mathcal{D}[d] \otimes Y)(n) \cong \mathcal{D}(d \oplus - , n) \otimes \mathcal{D} Y \). Write \( \text{Aut}(c) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(c) \) for the group of automorphisms of an object \( c \) of \( \mathcal{D} \), and notice that \( \text{Aut}(c) \) is a compact Lie group in both of our examples. By the coequalizer description of the coend, there is an isomorphism

\[
(17.2) \quad \mathcal{D}(d \oplus - , n) \otimes \mathcal{D} Y \cong \text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} Y(c).
\]
where $c$ is an object of $\mathcal{D}$ with a chosen isomorphism $d \oplus c \cong n$. The group $\text{Aut}(c)$ acts on $\text{Aut}(n)$ via the group homomorphism $d \oplus - : \text{Aut}(c) \to \text{Aut}(n)$, and the isomorphism is natural in the variable $n$. Evaluating the level-wise homotopy equivalence $\varepsilon : B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, Y) \to Y$ at $c$ induces a map

$$\text{id} \times \varepsilon(c) : \text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} B(\mathcal{D}(-, c), \mathcal{D}, Y) \to \text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} Y(c)$$

of fiber bundles over $\text{Aut}(n)/\text{Aut}(c)$. Since it is a homotopy equivalence on each fiber, $\text{id} \times \varepsilon(c)$ is a homotopy equivalence. The definition of $\varepsilon$ and naturality give a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} B(\mathcal{D}(-, c), \mathcal{D}, Y) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \varepsilon(c)} & \text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} Y(c) \\
\cong & & \cong \\
B(\mathcal{D}(d \oplus -), \mathcal{D}, Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{D}(d \oplus -, n) \otimes \mathcal{D} Y,
\end{array}
$$

where the right vertical arrow is the identification (17.2) and the left vertical arrow passes $\text{Aut}(n) \times_{\text{Aut}(c)} (\mathcal{D}(-))$ through the bar construction and then uses (17.2) (with $Y = \mathcal{D}(d', -)$) level-wise. It follows that $\pi$ is a homotopy equivalence as well.

We will now consider the homotopy colimit of the map $\pi$ over $n$. The canonical interchange isomorphism and the level-wise homotopy equivalence $B(\ast, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \ast$ give a homotopy equivalence:

$$B(\ast, \mathcal{D}, B(\mathcal{D}(d \oplus -, -), \mathcal{D}, Y)) \cong B(B(\ast, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}(d \oplus -, -)), \mathcal{D}, Y) \to B(\ast, \mathcal{D}, Y).$$

All together, we have constructed a natural chain of homotopy equivalences

$$\text{hocollim}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}[d] \boxtimes Y \simeq \text{hocollim}_{\mathcal{D}} Y.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D}[d] \boxtimes (-)$ preserves weak equivalences and the proof is complete. \hfill $\square$

We can now deduce the monoid axiom and the pushout-product axiom.

**Proposition 17.3** (Monoid axiom) For any acyclic cofibration $i : A \to X$ and any $\mathcal{D}$–space $Y$, the induced map $i \boxtimes \text{id}_Y : A \boxtimes Y \to X \boxtimes Y$ is a weak homotopy equivalence and an $h$–cofibration. Furthermore, cobase changes and sequential colimits of such maps are also weak homotopy equivalences and $h$–cofibrations.

**Proof** We may assume that $i$ is a relative $K$–cell complex. Since every cofibration is in particular an $h$–cofibration, by inducting over the cell structure of $i$, we are reduced to the case when $i$ is a generating acyclic cofibration. In particular, $i$ is an $h$–cofibration so $i \boxtimes \text{id}_Y$ is as well. Let $q : Y' \to Y$ be a cofibrant approximation of
Since the domain and codomain of the generating cell \( i: A \to X \) are cofibrant, Lemma 17.1 proves that \( \text{id}_A \otimes q \), \( \text{id}_X \otimes q \) and \( i \otimes \text{id}_Y \) are all weak equivalences. It follows that \( i \otimes \text{id}_Y \) is a weak equivalence as well. The second claim follows since weak homotopy equivalences of \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces are well-grounded.

The pushout-product axiom follows from the monoid axiom, as in [14, 12.6]. This completes the proof that \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces is a monoidal model category.

**Proposition 17.4** (Pushout-product axiom) Let \( i: A \to X \) and \( j: Y \to Z \) be cofibrations of \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces, and assume that \( i \) is a weak homotopy equivalence. Then the pushout-product
\[
i \Box j: (X \otimes Y) \cup_{A \otimes Y} (A \otimes Z) \to X \otimes Z
\]
is a weak homotopy equivalence.

As in the proof of [14, 12.1], we can now deduce the following result using a version of [34, 4.1] for compactly generated topological model categories.

**Theorem 17.5** For \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I} \) and \( \mathcal{I} \), the category of \( \mathcal{D} \)-FCPs is a compactly generated topological model category with fibrations and weak equivalences created by the forgetful functor to \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces. A cofibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)-FCPs whose source is a cofibrant \( \mathcal{D} \)-space is a cofibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces. Since the unit \( \mathcal{D} \)-space \( * \) is cofibrant, it follows that every cofibrant \( \mathcal{D} \)-FCP is cofibrant as a \( \mathcal{D} \)-space.

The following result is an immediate consequence of the Quillen equivalence between \( \mathcal{I} \)-spaces and \( \mathcal{I} \)-spaces.

**Theorem 17.6** The adjunction \( (P, U) \) restricts to give a Quillen equivalence between the categories of \( \mathcal{I} \)-FCPs and \( \mathcal{I} \)-FCPs.

**18 The model structure on commutative FCPs**

We will now construct the positive model structure on commutative \( \mathcal{I} \)-FCPs and commutative \( \mathcal{I} \)-FCPs, then show that they are Quillen equivalent. The arguments are formally similar to those of [14], and we will not go into full detail when unnecessary. In order to state the main results in this section, write \( F_+ I \) and \( K_+ \) for the generating cofibrations and generating acyclic cofibrations for the positive model structure on \( \mathcal{D} \)-spaces. The sets \( CF_+ I \) and \( CK_+ \) result from applying the free commutative monoid functor \( C \) to the elements of \( F_+ I \) and \( K_+ \). In this section, we will use \( \mathcal{D} \) to denote either \( \mathcal{I} \) or \( \mathcal{I} \).
Theorem 18.1  The category $C\mathcal{U}$ of commutative $\mathcal{D}–$FCPs is a compactly generated topological model category with fibrations and weak equivalences created in the positive stable model structure on $\mathcal{D}–$spaces. The set of generating cofibrations if $CF_+I$ and the set of generating acyclic cofibrations is $CK_+$. 

Theorem 18.2  The prolongation functor $P$ and forgetful functor $U$ induce a Quillen equivalence between the categories of commutative $I–$FCPs and commutative $I–$FCPs.

We will employ an alternative description of the product $\boxtimes$ in the categories of $I–$spaces and $I–$spaces. Recall the diagram category $\Sigma$ with objects $n$ and morphisms the permutations. Consider the category $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ of unbased $\Sigma–$spaces. Using the Cartesian monoidal structure of $\mathcal{U}$, we have the symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes\Sigma$ on $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ defined by left Kan extension of the external Cartesian product along $\oplus: \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \Sigma$. Let $*$ be the commutative monoid in $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ defined by $*(n) = *$ for all $n$. The product of $*$–modules $X \boxtimes* Y$ is defined as the coequalizer of $\Sigma–$spaces:

$$X \boxtimes\Sigma * \boxtimes\Sigma Y \rightrightarrows X \boxtimes\Sigma Y \longrightarrow X \boxtimes* Y.$$ 

To avoid confusion, we will temporarily write the internal product of $I–$spaces as $\boxtimes_I$.

Proposition 18.3  The category $I\mathcal{U}$ of unbased $I–$spaces is isomorphic to the category of $*–$modules in $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$. Furthermore, this isomorphism is symmetric monoidal: for $I–$spaces $X$ and $Y$, their product $X \boxtimes_I Y$ as $I–$spaces is naturally isomorphic to their product $X \boxtimes* Y$ as $*–$modules.

Proof  A $*–$module $X$ consists of an underlying functor $\Sigma \to \mathcal{U}$ along with associative and unital natural transformations

$$* \times X(m) \longrightarrow X(n).$$

These give the maps $X(\iota): X(m) \to X(n)$ that define $X$ on the canonical inclusions $\iota: m \to n$ of $I$. Since every morphism in $I$ can be factored as a canonical inclusion followed by a permutation, this gives the extension of $X$ to an $I–$space. Conversely, for an $I–$space $X$ the maps $X(\iota): X(m) \to X(n)$ define a $*–$module structure on the underlying $\Sigma–$space. This correspondence of structures is functorial.

It remains to give a natural isomorphism $X \boxtimes_I Y \simeq X \boxtimes* Y$ for $I–$spaces $X$ and $Y$. Both sides of these bifunctors have right adjoints defined by internal function objects and thus preserve colimits. On the other hand, every $I–$space is a colimit of represented $I–$spaces $I[m] = I(m, -)$. Consequently, it suffices to prove the result for represented $I–$spaces:

$$I[m] \boxtimes* I[n] \simeq I[m] \boxtimes_I I[n].$$
A long series of adjunctions shows that $\mathbb{I}[m] \boxtimes \mathbb{I}[n] \cong \mathbb{I}[m \oplus n]$, and the analogous result is true for represented $\Sigma$–spaces $\Sigma[m] = \Sigma(m, -)$ (this is essentially [14, 1.8]). As a $\ast$–module, $\mathbb{I}[m]$ is the free $\ast$–module $\boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[m]$. The desired isomorphism follows:

$$
\mathbb{I}[m] \boxtimes \mathbb{I}[n] \cong (\ast \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[m]) \boxtimes (\ast \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[n]) \cong \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[m \oplus n] \cong \mathbb{I}[m \oplus n]
$$

The point of considering $\mathbb{I}$–spaces as $\ast$–modules is that it makes the computation of the internal Cartesian product of $\mathbb{I}$–spaces much easier. The product of $\Sigma$–spaces is given by the formula

$$(X \boxtimes_{\Sigma} Y)(m) = \bigsqcup_{a+b=m} \Sigma_m \times \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b X(a) \times Y(b).$$

We use the coequalizer definition of $\boxtimes_{\ast}$ to deduce the following:

**Lemma 18.4** Let $X$ and $Y$ be $\mathbb{I}$–spaces. Then $(X \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} Y)(m)$ is the coequalizer of the following diagram:

$$
\bigsqcup_{a+b=m} \Sigma_m \times \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b \times \Sigma_c X(a) \times Y(c) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigsqcup_{a+b=m} \Sigma_m \times \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b X(a) \times Y(b).
$$

Here the top map is defined using the inclusion $\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b \to \Sigma_{a+b}$ and the map

$$
X(\text{id}_a \oplus t): X(a) = X(a \oplus 0) \to X(a \oplus b),
$$

while the bottom map is defined using $\Sigma_b \times \Sigma_c \to \Sigma_{b+c}$ and

$$
Y(t \oplus \text{id}_c): Y(c) = Y(0 \oplus c) \to Y(b \oplus c).
$$

We have the corresponding results for $\mathcal{I}$–spaces, proved in the same way. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the category of finite-dimensional inner product spaces and linear isometric isomorphisms.

**Proposition 18.5** The category $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{I}$–spaces is isomorphic to the category of $\ast$–modules in $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{I}$. Furthermore, this isomorphism is monoidal: for $\mathbb{I}$–spaces $X$ and $Y$, their product $X \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} Y$ as $\mathbb{I}$–spaces is naturally isomorphic to their product $X \boxtimes_{\ast} Y$ as $\ast$–modules.

The full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of the inner product spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a skeletal subcategory, so the analog of the coequalizer in Lemma 18.4 may be computed in the following way. Make the abbreviations $O(n) = O(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $X(n) = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for an $\mathcal{I}$–space $X$.
Lemma 18.6  Let $X$ and $Y$ be $\mathcal{I}$–spaces. Then $(X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{I}} Y)(m)$ is naturally isomorphic to the coequalizer of the following diagram:

$$
\bigsqcup_{a+b+c=m} O(m) \times O(a) \times O(b) \times O(c) \xrightarrow{X(a) \times Y(c)} X(a) \times Y(c)
$$

The following lemma is an analog of [14, 15.5]

Lemma 18.7  Let $\boxtimes = \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}}$ or $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{I}}$. Let $n \geq 1$, and suppose that $K$ is a $\Sigma_n$–equivariant CW complex. Let $d \neq 0$ be an object of $\boxtimes$ and let $X$ be a $\boxtimes$–space. Then the quotient map

$$q_n: E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n F_{d \oplus n} K \boxtimes X \longrightarrow F_{d \oplus n} K / \Sigma_n \boxtimes X$$

is a level-wise homotopy equivalence. More generally, if $(n_1, \ldots, n_j)$ is a $j$–tuple of positive integers, $(d_1, \ldots, d_j)$ is a $j$–tuple of objects of $\boxtimes$ with each $d_i \neq 0$, and $K$ is a $(\Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j})$–equivariant CW complex, then the quotient map $q_{n_1, \ldots, n_j}$ defined on the $\boxtimes$–space

$$(E \Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times E \Sigma_{n_j}) \times \Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j} F_{d_1 \oplus n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes F_{d_j \oplus n_j} K} K \boxtimes X$$

by collapsing $E \Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times E \Sigma_{n_j}$ to a point is a level-wise homotopy equivalence.

Proof  We will give the proof for $\mathcal{I}$–spaces; the argument for $\mathbb{I}$–spaces is similar. For ease of notation, we assume without loss of generality that the object $d$ is of the form $\mathbb{R}^d$. We have isomorphisms $F_{d \oplus n} K(a) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^{nd}, \mathbb{R}^a) \times K = O(a) \times O(a-nd) K$, where the group $\Sigma_n$ permutes the entries of the $n$–fold product $d \oplus n$ and acts on $K$. By the description of $\boxtimes$ in Lemma 18.6, $(F_{d \oplus n} K(a) \boxtimes X)(m)$ is the coequalizer of:

$$
\bigsqcup_{a+b+c=m} O(m) \times O(a-nd) \times O(b) \times O(c) \xrightarrow{K \times X(c)} K \times X(c)
$$

In the coequalizer, all summands are identified with the $(a, b) = (nd, m-nd)$ summand, which is left unchanged, so we have

$$(F_{d \oplus n} K \boxtimes X)(m) \cong O(m) \times O(m-nd) K \times X(m-nd).$$
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The group $\Sigma_n$ acts on $K$ and acts on $O(nd)$ by permuting the summands in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and thus acts on $O(m)$ via the inclusion $O(nd) \to O(m)$. Passing to orbits, we have
\[(F_d \boxtimes K / \Sigma_n \boxtimes X)(m) \cong O(m) \times_{\Sigma_n \times O(m)} K \times X(m - nd)\]
and similarly
\[(E \Sigma_n \times O(m) \to O(m)) \times_{\Sigma_n \times O(m)} K \times X(m - nd).\]

The quotient map $E \Sigma_n \times O(m) \to O(m)$ is a $(\Sigma_n \times O(m - nd))$–equivariant homotopy equivalence. This proves the first claim. The second claim is proved in the same way, but with more expansive notation.

In order to make inductive arguments over cell attachments, we will use a certain filtration on the pushout $CB \cup_{CA} X$ of a commutative FCP along a free map of commutative FCPs $Cf : CA \to CB$. We first describe a filtration on the $n$–fold $\boxtimes$–product of a pushout of $\boxtimes$–spaces. This material is described in more generality in [27, Sections A.6 and A.15] and is also related to the filtration in [8, Section 12].

Given two maps $f : A \to B$ and $g : A' \to B'$, the pushout product $f \boxtimes g$ is the induced map
\[f \boxtimes g : B \boxtimes A' \cup_{A \boxtimes A'} A \boxtimes B' \to B \boxtimes B'.\]
We write $f^{\square n} : Q^n f \to B^{\boxtimes n}$ for the $n$–fold iterated pushout product of $f$. Now let
\[X \xleftarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{f} B\]
be a diagram of $\boxtimes$–spaces and write $P(g, f)$ for its pushout.

**Lemma 18.8** There is a sequence of $\boxtimes$–spaces $P^n(g, f)$ and maps
\[X^{\boxtimes n} = P^n_0(g, f) \to P^n_1(g, f) \to \cdots \to P^n_n(g, f) \cong P(g, f)^{\boxtimes n}\]
whose composite is the canonical map $X^{\boxtimes n} \to P(g, f)^{\boxtimes n}$. The spaces $P^n(g, f)$ can be inductively described by $\Sigma_n$–equivariant pushout squares of the form:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^{\boxtimes (n-i)} \boxtimes Q^i f & \xrightarrow{id \boxtimes f^{\square i}} & \Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^{\boxtimes (n-i)} \boxtimes B^{\boxtimes i} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
P^n_{i-1}(g, f) & \to & P^n_i(g, f)
\end{array}
\]

Furthermore, if $f$ is a generating positive cofibration in $F + I$, then the maps $id \boxtimes f^{\square i}$ and $P^n_{i-1}(g, f) \to P^n_i(g, f)$ are $h$–cofibrations of $\boxtimes$–spaces.
See [27, A.8] for a construction of the filtration. The claim about $h$–cofibrations follows from Lemma 18.12 below.

Let $f: A \to B$ be a map of $\boxdot$–spaces and let $X$ and $Y$ be commutative $\boxdot$–FCPs. Consider the following pushout diagram of commutative $\boxdot$–FCPs,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
CA & \to & X \\
Cf & \downarrow & f \\
CB & \to & Y,
\end{array}
$$

in which the map $CA \to X$ is induced by a map of $\boxdot$–spaces $g: A \to X$.

**Lemma 18.9** There is a sequence of $\boxdot$–spaces

$$
X = P_0 Y \to P_1 Y \to \cdots \to P_n Y \to \cdots
$$

whose transfinite composition is the canonical map $\overline{f}: X \to Y$. The $\boxdot$–spaces $P_n Y$ can be inductively described by pushout squares of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \boxtimes Q^n f / \Sigma_n & \xrightarrow{id \boxtimes f \boxdot n / \Sigma_n} & X \boxtimes B^{\boxdot n} / \Sigma_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
P_{n-1} Y & \to & P_n Y,
\end{array}
$$

where $f \boxdot n: Q^n f \to B^{\boxdot n}$ is the $n$–fold iterated pushout-product map. Furthermore, if $f$ is a coproduct of generating positive cofibrations in $F_+ I$, then the maps $id \boxtimes f \boxdot n / \Sigma_n$ and $P_{n-1} Y \to P_n Y$ are $h$–cofibrations of $\boxdot$–spaces.

The lemma follows from [27, A.16]. We will apply the filtrations $\{P^n_l(f, g)\}$ and $\{P_n Y\}$ in situations where $f$ is a coproduct of maps in $F_+ I$:

$$
f = \coprod_{\alpha} F_d a i_{\alpha} : \coprod_{\alpha} F_{d_{\alpha}} S^{q_{\alpha}} \to \coprod_{\alpha} F_{d_{\alpha}} D^{q_{\alpha} + 1}.
$$

The iterated pushout product $f \boxdot n: Q^n f \to B^{\boxdot n}$ then takes the form

$$
f \boxdot n = \coprod_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)} F_{d_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus d_{\alpha_n}} (i_{\alpha_1} \square \cdots \square i_{\alpha_n}) = \coprod_{\alpha} F_{d_{\alpha}} (i_{\alpha}),
$$

where

$$
i_{\alpha_1} \square \cdots \square i_{\alpha_n}: S^1 \wedge S^{q_{\alpha_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{q_{\alpha_n}} \to D^{q_{\alpha_1} + 1} \times \cdots \times D^{q_{\alpha_n} + 1}
$$
is the iterated pushout product of the maps $i_\alpha : S^q \to D^{q+1}$ in the category of spaces. The coproduct runs over sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and the symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ acts on $f \Box^n$ by permuting the sequences. The orbit of the summand of $f \Box^n$ indexed on the sequence

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j, \ldots, \alpha_j)$$

is isomorphic to:

$$(18.11) \quad \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j} F_{d_\alpha}(i_\alpha) = \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j} F_{d_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus d_{\alpha_j}}(i_{\alpha_1} \Box n_1 \Box \cdots \Box i_{\alpha_j} \Box n_j).$$

**Lemma 18.12** If $f$ is a coproduct of generating positive cofibrations in $F_+ I$, then the iterated pushout product $f \Box^n$ is a $\Sigma_n$–equivariant $h$–cofibration of $\mathcal{D}$–spaces.

**Proof** (Compare [27, 7.1]) The iterated pushout products of the map $i_\alpha$ are $h$–cofibrations of topological spaces, and the functor $F_d$ preserves $h$–cofibrations since it is a left adjoint. Furthermore, we may choose homotopy extensions along $i_{\alpha} \Box^k$ to be $\Sigma_k$–equivariant, i.e. the map $i_{\alpha} \Box^k$ is a $\Sigma_k$–equivariant $h$–cofibration. It follows that the map $F_{d_\alpha}(i_\alpha)$ is a $(\Sigma_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{n_j})$–equivariant $h$–cofibration, and after passage to orbits we see that $f \Box^n$ is a $\Sigma_n$–equivariant $h$–cofibration.

**Proposition 18.13** Let $X$ be a positive cofibrant $\mathcal{D}$–space and let $n \geq 1$. Then $E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n X \mathcal{E}^n$ is also positive cofibrant and the quotient map

$$q_n : E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n X \mathcal{E}^n \to X \mathcal{E}^n / \Sigma_n$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

**Proof** The space $E \Sigma_n$ is a $\Sigma_n$–equivariant CW complex constructed with free $\Sigma_n$–cells. The induced filtration on the inclusion $X \mathcal{E}^n \to E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n X \mathcal{E}^n$ is by positive cofibrations.

For the second claim, we may assume that $X$ is an $F_+ I$–cell complex and induct up the cellular filtration. Suppose that for all $n \geq 0$ the natural transformation

$$q_n : E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n (-) \to (-)/ \Sigma_n$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence on $X \mathcal{E}^n$ and let $Y$ be the pushout of the diagram

$$X \leftarrow A \rightarrow B.$$
Diagram spaces, diagram spectra and spectra of units

where \( f = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \) is a coproduct of maps in \( F_+ I \). Apply the functor \((-)^{\otimes n}\) to the diagram, then consider the filtration \( P^n_i(f, g) \) on \( Y^{\otimes n} \) from Lemma 18.8. By analysis of the pushout diagram describing \( P^n_i(f, g) \), it suffices to prove that \( q_n \) is a weak homotopy equivalence on

\[
\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ X^{\otimes (n-i)} \otimes Q^i f \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ X^{\otimes (n-i)} \otimes B^{\otimes i}.
\]

Using the description of \( Q^i f \) in (18.10), we see that \( Q^i f \) is positive cofibrant. Hence \( E \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_i \ Q^i f \) is also positive cofibrant. There is a \((\Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i)\)–equivariant homotopy equivalence \( E \Sigma_n \simeq E \Sigma_{n-i} \times E \Sigma_i \), so by Lemma 17.1, \( q_{n-i} \) induces a weak homotopy equivalence

\[
E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ X^{\otimes (n-i)} \otimes Q^i f \longrightarrow X^{\otimes (n-i)} / (\Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i) \ Q^i f).
\]

By analyzing the \( \Sigma_i \) orbits of \( Q^i f \) as in (18.11) and making use of the second claim in Lemma 18.7, we see that \( q_i \) induces a level-wise homotopy equivalence on \( X^{\otimes (n-i)} / \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ Q^i f \). It follows that \( q_n \) induces a weak homotopy equivalence on \( \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ X^{\otimes (n-i)} \otimes Q^i f \). A similar argument shows that \( q_n \) induces a weak homotopy equivalence on \( \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_{n-i} \times \Sigma_i \ X^{\otimes (n-i)} \otimes B^{\otimes i} \) as well.

It is an immediate consequence of the proposition that the functor \( C \) preserves weak homotopy equivalences between positive cofibrant \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces. In particular, every map in \( CK_+ \) is a weak homotopy equivalence. It is straightforward to prove that the functor \( C \) preserves \( h \)–cofibrations of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces, as in [7, XII.2.3]. We can now use the same proof as in [14, 15.9, 15.11] to prove the next lemma, which says that \( CF_+ I \) and \( CK_+ \) both satisfy the cofibration hypothesis [14, 5.3].

Lemma 18.14  Let \( L \) denote either \( CF_+ I \) or \( CK_+ \).

(i) If \( i: A \rightarrow B \) is a coproduct of maps in \( L \), then in any pushout diagram of commutative FCPs

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & X \\
\down arrow & & \downarrow j \\
B & \longrightarrow & Y
\end{array}
\]

the cobase change \( j \) is an \( h \)–cofibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)–spaces.

(ii) The colimit of a sequence of maps of commutative FCPs that are \( h \)–cofibrations in \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U} \) is their colimit as a sequence of maps in \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{U} \).

Following the proof of [14, 15.4], we see that every relative \( CK_+ \)–cell complex is a weak homotopy equivalence. Combined with the cofibration hypothesis for \( CF_+ I \)
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and $CK_+$, this verifies the hypotheses for the model structure lifting result [14, 5.13]. This completes the construction of the model structure on commutative FCPs and the proof of Theorem 18.1.

We now turn to proving that the adjunction $(P, U)$ between commutative $I$–FCPs and commutative $I$–FCPs is a Quillen equivalence. We will use an inductive argument that is general enough to be useful in a few different circumstances.

**Proposition 18.15** For $X$ a cofibrant commutative $I$–FCP, the following maps are weak homotopy equivalences:

(i) The unit $\eta: X \to UPX$ of the adjunction $(P, U)$.

(ii) The map $\text{hocolim}_J PX \to \text{hocolim}_I PX$ induced by the inclusion of categories $J \to I$.

For $X$ a cofibrant commutative $I$–FCP, the following maps are weak homotopy equivalences:

(iii) The map $\xi: QX \to QX$ induced by a choice of one-dimensional subspace of the universe $U$ (see Section 9, in particular Lemma 9.7).

(iv) The canonical projection $\pi: \text{hocolim}_J X \to \text{colim}_J X$ from the homotopy colimit to the colimit.

**Proof** Write $\psi: F \to G$ for any of the four maps. We will repeatedly use the fact that all of the functors in (i)–(iv) preserve colimits and tensors with spaces. First consider the effect of $\psi$ on a $CF_+I$–cell complex constructed in a single stage of cell attachment. Consider the pushout diagram of commutative FCPs

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
CA & \to & * \\
\downarrow \text{C}f & & \downarrow f \\
CB & \to & X,
\end{array}
$$

where $f = \coprod f_\alpha$ is a coproduct of generating cofibrations $f_\alpha: F_{m_\alpha}S^{q_\alpha} \to F_{m_\alpha}D^{q_\alpha+1}$ in $F_+I$. Consider the filtration $\{P_nX\}$ of $f$ given by Lemma 18.9. The diagram space $P_nX$ is the pushout of the diagram

$$
P_{n-1}X \leftarrow Q^n f/\Sigma_n \xrightarrow{f\square_n/\Sigma_n} B\Sigma^n/\Sigma_n.
$$

Assume inductively that $\psi$ is a weak equivalence on $P_{n-1}X$. Since $f\square_n/\Sigma_n$ is an $h$–cofibration, it suffices to prove that $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on the middle and right entries.
Consider the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n f) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G(\Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n f) \\
Fq_n & & Gq_n \\
F(Q^n f/\Sigma_n) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & G(Q^n f/\Sigma_n)
\end{array}
\]

By the description of \(Q^n f\) in (18.10), we see that \(Q^n f\) is a positive cofibrant diagram space. Hence \(E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n f\) is also positive cofibrant by Proposition 18.13. Each of the four natural transformations under consideration is a weak homotopy equivalence on positive cofibrant diagram spaces (Proposition 16.3, Lemma 9.7 and Lemma 9.2). Thus the top map in the diagram is a weak homotopy equivalence. We will now prove that the vertical maps in the diagram are weak homotopy equivalences in each of the three cases.

(i) Recall the description of the domain \(Q^n f\) of the iterated pushout product in (18.10). Using the \(\Sigma_n\)-equivariant decomposition into summands of the form (18.11), we may apply the second claim of Lemma 18.7. This proves that \(q_n: E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n f \to Q^n f/\Sigma_n\) is a level-wise homotopy equivalence. Since \(P\) commutes with colimits and is strong symmetric monoidal, there is a natural isomorphism between \(U P q_n\) and the map

\[
\bigcup q_n: \bigcup (E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n (P f)) \to \bigcup Q^n (P f)/\Sigma_n.
\]

The map \(P f\) is a coproduct of generating cofibrations in \(F^I\), and so using the same argument as for \(Q^n f\), we see that \(q_n\) is a level-wise homotopy equivalence on \(Q^n (P f)\). Since \(U\) preserves level-wise homotopy equivalences, the claim follows.

(ii) Since \(q_n\) is a level-wise homotopy equivalence, both hocolim \(J q_n\) and hocolim \(I q_n\) are weak homotopy equivalences.

(iii) Recall the description of \(Q^n f\) in (18.10) and set \(K = S^1 \wedge S^q_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^q_{\alpha_n}\). From the definition of \(Q\) we see that

\[
Q(Q^n f/\Sigma_n) \cong \ast \times \Sigma_n \coprod_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)} \mathcal{I}_c((V_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\alpha_n}) \otimes U, U) \times K
\]

and

\[
Q(E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n Q^n f) \cong E \Sigma_n \times \Sigma_n \coprod_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)} \mathcal{I}_c((V_{\alpha_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\alpha_n}) \otimes U, U) \times K.
\]

The map \(Q q_n\) induced by projecting \(E \Sigma_n\) to a point is a homotopy equivalence because the symmetric group acts freely on the coproduct of spaces of isometries.
A similar argument using the definition of $\bigcirc$ shows that the analogous map $\bigcirc q_n$ is also a homotopy equivalence.

(iv) There is a natural isomorphism $\text{colim}_J X \cong \bigcirc X$ (Lemma 9.6), so we have already proved the claim for the colimit functor. The case of the homotopy colimit functor is proved in the same way.

Returning to the general case, it now follows that the map $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $Q^n f/\Sigma_n$. A similar argument proves that $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $B \boxtimes n/\Sigma_n$. Thus $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $P_n Y$. Passing to colimits, we have proved that $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $CF_+ I$–cell complexes constructed in a single stage of cell attachment.

Now we inductively assume that $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on $CF_+ I$–cell complexes that can be constructed in $n$ stages, and consider the case of an $CF_+ I$–cell complex $X$ that is constructed in $n+1$ stages. Write $X = X_n \boxtimes_{CA} CB$, where $X_n$ is a $CF_+ I$–cell complex constructed in $n$ stages and $Cf: CA \to CB$ is induced by a coproduct $f = \bigsqcup f_\alpha$ of generating cofibrations in $F_+ I$. Following the proof of [14, 15.9], we write $X$ as a two-sided bar construction $X \cong B(X_n, CA, CT)$, where $T = \bigsqcup T_\alpha$ is a coproduct of free diagram spaces on a point. This bar construction is proper and all of the functors occurring in (i)–(iii) preserve geometric realization of simplicial diagram spaces and $h$–cofibrations, so it suffices to prove that $\psi$ is a weak homotopy equivalence on the diagram space of $q$–simplices:

$$X_n \boxtimes (CA)^{\boxtimes q} \boxtimes CT \cong X_n \boxtimes C(A \amalg \cdots \amalg A \amalg T).$$

This $CF_+ I$–cell complex can be constructed in $n$ stages, so the result follows by the induction hypothesis.

As a consequence of the weak equivalences (i) and (ii), the proof of Theorem 16.4 can be extended to prove Theorem 18.2. Notice that the comparison between $X(0)$ and $\text{hocolim} X$ in the cited proof can be replaced by $X(1)$ and $\text{hocolim} X$ in the case of positive fibrant $X$ by use of Lemma 13.2.

Appendix: Topological categories, the bar construction and $L$–space structures

We gather here the basic theory of bar constructions and homotopy colimits defined over topological categories. Much of this material has appeared elsewhere (see [9] and the references therein), but it will be useful to lay out exactly what we need. In this paper a topological category does not mean a category enriched in topological spaces,
but rather a category internal to topological spaces. Thus a topological category \( \mathcal{D} \) consists of a space of objects \( \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \), a space of morphisms \( \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \) and structure maps

\[
\begin{align*}
    s, t &: \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \to \text{ob} \mathcal{D}, \\
    i &: \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \to \text{mor} \mathcal{D}, \\
    \circ &: \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \times_{\text{ob} \mathcal{D}} \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \to \text{mor} \mathcal{D},
\end{align*}
\]

that are appropriately associative and unital. Notice that \( \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \) is a space over \((\text{ob} \mathcal{D})^2\) via \( s \) and \( t \) and that \( \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \) is a space over \((\text{ob} \mathcal{D})^2\) via the diagonal map. We will further require that \( i \) is an \( h \)–cofibration of spaces over \((\text{ob} \mathcal{D})^2\), as holds in all of the examples that we use. We write \( A \times_{\mathcal{D}} B \) for the pullback \( A \times_{\text{ob} \mathcal{D}} B \) of spaces over \( \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \). A left \( \mathcal{D} \)–module \( \mathcal{X} \) consists of a space \( \mathcal{X} \) along with a map \( t: \mathcal{X} \to \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \) and an action map

\[
\lambda: \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}
\]

that is associative and unital. A right \( \mathcal{D} \)–module \( \mathcal{Y} \) is the same structure except that we label the structure map by \( s: \mathcal{Y} \to \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) acts on the right:

\[
\rho: \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{Y}.
\]

Forgetting the topology on \( \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \), a left \( \mathcal{D} \)–module \( \mathcal{X} \) determines a continuous functor \( X: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{U} \) of categories enriched in spaces. A right \( \mathcal{D} \)–module \( \mathcal{Y} \) determines a continuous functor \( Y: \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{U} \).

**Definition A.1** Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be a topological category, \( \mathcal{X} \) a left \( \mathcal{D} \)–module and \( \mathcal{Y} \) a right \( \mathcal{D} \)–module. The bar construction \( B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \) is the geometric realization of the simplicial space with \( q \)–simplices defined by

\[
B_q(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{D}} \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X},
\]

where \( \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \) appears \( q \) times. Insertion of identity arrows via \( i: \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \to \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \) provides the degeneracy maps and the composition in \( \mathcal{D} \) along with \( \lambda \) and \( \rho \) provide the face maps. Our assumption that \( i \) is an \( h \)–cofibration insures that \( B_*(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \) is proper.

We write \( * \) for the \( \mathcal{D} \)–module given by the identity map \( \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \to \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \). Its underlying functor is constant at the one-point space \( * \). When \( \mathcal{Y} = * \), the bar construction defines the (topological) homotopy colimit of \( \mathcal{X} \) over \( \mathcal{D} \):

\[
hocolim_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X} = B(*, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}).
\]
First let us record a basic commutation relation. The bivariance of $\mathcal{D}(-,-)$ makes $\text{mor} \mathcal{D}$ the total space of a $\mathcal{D}$–bimodule that we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a left $\mathcal{D}$–module. Considering $\mathcal{X}$ as a constant simplicial space, we have a simplicial map $\epsilon_*: B_*(\overline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{X}$ defined on $q$–simplices as the $(q + 1)$–fold iteration $\lambda^{q+1}$ of the left-module structure map. Its geometric realization $\epsilon$ is a map of left $\mathcal{D}$–modules. Given a right $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{Y}$, we define a map of right $\mathcal{D}$–modules $\epsilon: B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y}$ in a similar way. The bimodule structure of $\mathcal{D}$ allows iterated bar constructions $B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}))$ and $B(B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ that are canonically isomorphic.

Lemma A.2 The following diagram of spaces commutes up to homotopy:

$$
\begin{align*}
B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})) & \cong B(B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \\
\downarrow_{B(\text{id}, \text{id}, \epsilon)} & \downarrow_{B(\epsilon, \text{id}, \text{id})} \\
B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) & \rightarrow B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})
\end{align*}
$$

Proof The iterated bar constructions are the geometric realizations of the bisimplicial space $B_{*,*}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ with $(p, q)$–simplices:

$$
\mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{D}} (\text{mor} \mathcal{D})^p \times_{\mathcal{D}} \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{D}} (\text{mor} \mathcal{D})^q \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X}.
$$

Of course the products $(\text{mor} \mathcal{D})^p$ are really pullbacks over $\text{ob} \mathcal{D}$ so that the morphisms are composable. The order in which the simplicial directions are realized determines the order of iteration of the bar construction. Both $B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}))$ and $B(B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ are canonically isomorphic to the geometric realization of the diagonal simplicial space $d_* B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ with $q$–simplices:

$$
d_q B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) = B_{q,q}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}).
$$

Under these identifications, the two routes in the diagram are the geometric realizations of the maps of simplicial spaces $f, g: d_* B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \to B_{*}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ given by $f = \lambda_{q+1}^q$ and $g = \rho_{q+1}^q$. We will define a simplicial homotopy from $f$ to $g$.

Let $d_i'$, respectively $d_i''$, denote the $i$th face map of the bisimplicial space in the first $(p)$, respectively second $(q)$, direction. We also write $d_i'$ and $d_i''$ for the effect of these maps on the diagonal simplicial space. Define $h_i: d_q B(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \to B_{q+1}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ by

$$
h_i = (d_0' d_i'' \cdots d_q''). \quad 0 \leq i \leq q.
$$

Notice that $h_i$ applies the last face map in the second direction $q - i$ times, then applies the first face map in the first direction $i$ times. In symbols (omitting the objects from...
We can think of the topological bar construction $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})$ as a derived or homotopy coherent version of the tensor product of functors $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$. The latter is a version of an enriched coend that takes the topology on ob $\mathcal{D}$ into account. We define $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$ as the coequalizer of the last two face maps in the simplicial space giving rise to the bar construction:

$$\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}.$$ 

Notice that there is a canonical quotient map $\pi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$. From this perspective, it is not clear that $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$ agrees with the enriched coend $\int^{d \in \mathcal{D}} Y(d) \times X(d)$ of the bifunctor $Y \times X$. The latter is calculated as the coequalizer of the diagram

$$\bigsqcup_{c,d \in \text{ob skD}} Y(d) \times \mathcal{D}(c,d) \times X(c) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigsqcup_{d \in \text{ob skD}} Y(d) \times X(d)$$

and does not depend on the topology of ob $\mathcal{D}$. We will now give a general procedure for constructing $\mathcal{D}$–modules for which the tensor product $- \otimes \mathcal{D}$ agrees with the coend.

**Construction A.3** Let $X$ be a $\mathcal{D}$–space and let $(\text{mor } \mathcal{D})_d$ denote the space of morphisms with source $d$, i.e. the pullback of $s: \text{mor } \mathcal{D} \to \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ along the inclusion $d: * \to \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$. Define $\mathcal{X}$ to be the enriched coend $\int^{d \in \mathcal{D}} (\text{mor } \mathcal{D})_d \times X(d)$. The target map $t: (\text{mor } \mathcal{D})_d \to \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ induces a map $t: \mathcal{X} \to \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ and the left action of $\text{mor } \mathcal{D}$ on $(\text{mor } \mathcal{D})_d$ defined by the composition map $\circ$ in $\mathcal{D}$ defines a left $\mathcal{D}$–module structure on $\mathcal{X}$. Similarly, given a functor $Y: \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{U}$, we have the right $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{Y} = \int^{d \in \mathcal{D}} Y(d) \times_d (\text{mor } \mathcal{D})$, where $d(\text{mor } \mathcal{D})$ is the space of morphisms with target $d$.

**Proposition A.4** Suppose that $X$ is a $\mathcal{D}$–space and $Y$ is a $\mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$–space, and let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be the left and right $\mathcal{D}$–modules defined as above. Then $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{X}$ is canonically isomorphic to the enriched coend $\int^{d \in \mathcal{D}} Y(d) \times X(d)$.

**Proof** Notice that $d(\text{mor } \mathcal{D}) \otimes (\text{mor } \mathcal{D})_c \cong \mathcal{D}(c,d)$. By taking the product with $Y(d)$ on the left and $X(c)$ on the right, then passing to coends over $c$ and $d$, we see
that the coequalizer defining \( \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{D} \mathcal{X} \) is isomorphic to
\[
\int_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \int_{c \in \mathcal{D}} Y(d) \times \mathcal{D}(c, d) \times X(c) \cong \int_{d \in \mathcal{D}} Y(d) \times X(d).
\]
\[\square\]

We write \( \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{D} \mathcal{X} \) for the tensor product of functors \( \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{D} \mathcal{X} \), where \( \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{X} \) are defined as in Construction A.3.

Here is a version of Bousfield–Kan’s cofinality criterion for topological homotopy colimits:

**Lemma A.5** Let \( F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) be a functor of topological categories and let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a left \( \mathcal{D} \)-module. Suppose that for every object \( d \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \), the classifying space of the comma category \( (d \downarrow F) \) (considered with the topology inherited from \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \)) is contractible. Then the map of topological homotopy colimits
\[
hocolim_{\mathcal{C}} X \circ F \longrightarrow hocolim_{\mathcal{D}} X
\]
is a homotopy equivalence.

This is proved by Meyer’s thoroughly general approach to bar constructions [24, Section 4.3], where in the cited source his \( X \) is our \( X \circ F \), his \( X' \) is our \( X \), and the choice of admissible pair is given by \( \mathcal{H} \) the homotopy equivalences and \( M \) all commutative squares. To verify the condition on \( \mathcal{H} \) in the source, note that \( hocolim_{(d \downarrow F)} \mathcal{X} = B(d \downarrow F) \times X(d) \) since in this case the functor \( \mathcal{X} \) is the constant functor at \( X(d) \). Thus the map required to be in \( \mathcal{H} \) is the projection \( B(d \downarrow F) \times X(d) \to X(d) \), which is a homotopy equivalence by the assumption on \( (d \downarrow F) \).

In the applications of Lemma A.5, we will check that \( B(d \downarrow F) \) is contractible by showing that \( (d \downarrow F) \) has an initial object.

**Remark A.6** An object \( 0 \in \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \) of a topological category is initial if and only if it is initial in the underlying category internal to sets and the map \( !: \text{ob} \mathcal{D} \to \text{mor} \mathcal{D} \) sending \( d \) to the unique morphism \( 0 \to d \) is continuous. The usual proof that a category with initial object has a contractible classifying space then goes through. For example, in the case of the continuous functor \( F: \mathcal{D}^\delta \to \mathcal{D} \) from \( \mathcal{D} \) with the discrete topology to \( \mathcal{D} \) with its given topology, the comma category \( (d \downarrow F) \) has the initial object \( (id: d \to F(d)) \) as an ordinary category. However, in general the map \( ! \) will not be continuous, so this is not an initial object of \( (d \downarrow F) \) considered as a topological category.

The following lemma is [24, 4.4.1]. Note that the squares labeled (v) in the cited source are pullbacks and so belong to the class \( \mathcal{M} \).
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Lemma A.7 Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a morphism of left $\mathcal{D}$–modules such that the map of underlying spaces is a fibration. Then the induced map of topological homotopy colimits $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} \to \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}$ is a quasifibration.

We now record the definition of the topology on functor categories.

Definition A.8 Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be topological categories. Define a topology on the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D})$ of functors and natural transformations as follows. The space of objects is given the subspace topology induced by the inclusion

$$\text{ob Fun}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\text{mor } \mathcal{P}, \text{mor } \mathcal{D})$$

that send a functor $\theta$ to its effect on morphisms:

$$(\phi: A \to B) \mapsto (\theta(\phi): \theta(A) \to \theta(B)).$$

The space of morphisms is given the subspace topology induced by the inclusion

$$\text{mor Fun}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\text{ob } \mathcal{P}, \text{mor } \mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{U}(\text{mor } \mathcal{P}, \text{mor } \mathcal{D})$$

that sends a natural transformation $\alpha: \theta \to \theta'$ to the triple $((\alpha_A)_A, \theta, \theta')$ consisting of its components $(\alpha_A): \theta(A) \to \theta'(A)_A$ and its source and target $(\theta(\phi), \theta'(\phi))_\phi$.

We will now describe the topologies on the categories of isometries used in this paper. The space $\mathcal{I}(V, W)$ of linear isometries from $V$ to $W$ is topologized as a subspace of $\mathcal{U}(V, W)$. If $V \subset W$, there is a canonical identification $\mathcal{I}(V, W) \cong O(W)/O(W - V)$. Infinite-dimensional inner product spaces are topologized as the colimit of their finite-dimensional subspaces and spaces of isometries $\mathcal{I}_c(U', U)$ of possibly infinite-dimensional inner product spaces are topologized using the compact open topology. This means that

$$\mathcal{I}_c(U', U) = \lim_{V' \subset U'} \text{colim}_{V \subset U} \mathcal{I}(V', V),$$

where $V'$ and $V$ run through finite-dimensional subspaces. From this definition it is straightforward to verify that composition in $\mathcal{I}_c$ is continuous.

Throughout, we use a fixed universe $U$: this is an inner product space isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. Let $W$ be a real inner product space, finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional, and consider the category $\mathcal{J}(W)$ of finite-dimensional inner product subspaces $V \subset W$ with morphisms the inclusions $V \subset V'$. The space of objects is the following disjoint union of Grassmanians:

$$\text{ob } \mathcal{J}(W) = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, W)/O(n).$$
The space of morphisms is the space of flags of subspaces of $W$ of length two:

$$\text{mor } \mathcal{J}(W) = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq m \leq n} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, W) / O(m) \times O(n - m).$$

More generally, the space $N_q \mathcal{J}(W)$ of $q$-simplices in the nerve of $\mathcal{J}(W)$ is the space of flags of subspaces of $V$ of length $q + 1$:

(A.9) \[ \bigsqcup_{0 \leq n_0 \leq \ldots \leq n_q} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^{n_q}, W) / O(n_0) \times O(n_1 - n_0) \times \cdots \times O(n_q - n_{q-1}). \]

In the case of $W = U$, we have described the topology on $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(U)$. Now consider the category $\mathcal{I}^+$ of finite-dimensional inner product spaces $V \subset U^a$ for $a \geq 0$ and linear isometries (not necessarily respecting the inclusion into $U^a$). The space of objects of $\mathcal{I}^+$ is defined by

$$\text{ob } \mathcal{I}^+ = \{0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{a > 0} \bigsqcup_{n > 0} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, U^a) / O(n).$$

Notice that the only zero-dimensional object is $0 \subset U^0$. The inner product spaces $0 \subset U^a$ for $a > 0$ do not appear in this category. The space of morphisms is

$$\text{mor } \mathcal{I}^+ = \text{ob } \mathcal{I}^+ \sqcup \bigsqcup_{a, b > 0} \bigsqcup_{0 < m \leq n} \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^n, U^b) \times O(n) \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \times O(m) \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^m, U^a).$$

Here the copy of $\text{ob } \mathcal{I}^+$ represents the space of maps $0 \to V$, and a point $[f, \phi, g]$ of the other summand corresponds to the morphism $f \circ \phi \circ g^{-1} : \text{Im}(g) \to \text{Im}(f)$. The source and target maps are defined by projecting to the third and first factors, respectively. Composition is defined using the composition in the middle factor. The direct sum taking $V \subset U^a$ and $W \subset U^b$ to $V \oplus W \subset U^{a+b}$ is well-defined and continuous on both $\text{ob } \mathcal{I}^+$ and $\text{mor } \mathcal{I}^+$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}^+$ is a permutative topological category under direct sum, ie a symmetric monoidal topological category whose unit and associativity isomorphisms are identity maps. There is a canonical inclusion of topological categories $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}^+$ which is the identity on objects. On morphisms, it sends an inclusion $V \subset W$ in $U$ to the point $[f_W, \iota, f_V]$ of the $a = b = 1$ summand, where $\iota$ is the canonical inclusion $\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f_W : \mathbb{R}^n \to U$ and $f_V : \mathbb{R}^m \to U$ are representatives for $W$ and $V$ chosen such that $f_W \circ \iota = f_V$.

Notice that the functor $\mathcal{I}^+ \to \mathcal{I}$ sending $V \subset U^a$ to $V$ is an equivalence of categories, even though it is not injective on objects. Throughout the paper, whenever we form a bar construction involving $\mathcal{I}$, we implicitly use the category $\mathcal{I}^+$ in place of $\mathcal{I}$ by precomposing functors with domain $\mathcal{I}$ along the equivalence $\mathcal{I}^+ \to \mathcal{I}$.  
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We have used one more category of isometries. Let $\mathcal{I}(W)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{I}^+$ with objects the finite-dimensional inner product subspaces $V \subset W$. Thus $\text{ob} \, \mathcal{I}(W) = \text{ob} \, \mathcal{J}(W)$ and $\text{mor} \, \mathcal{I}(W)$ is topologized as a subspace of $\text{mor} \, \mathcal{I}^+$. Notice that $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is not the same category as $\mathcal{I}$, and that the inclusion $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{I}(U) \to \mathcal{I}^+$.

In order to take topological homotopy colimits of an $\mathcal{I}$–space $X$ over each of the categories $\mathcal{J}(W)$, $\mathcal{I}(W)$ and $\mathcal{I}^+$, we use Construction A.3 to define three left modules associated to $X$, one over each of these categories. We write $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D})$ for the left $\mathcal{D}$–module associated to $X$, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}(W)$, $\mathcal{I}(W)$ or $\mathcal{I}^+$. By writing the coend that defines $\mathcal{H}$ as a coequalizer, we find that

\[
\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}(W)) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}(W)) = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, W) \times_{O(n)} X(\mathbb{R}^n),
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}^+) = X(0) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{a > 0} \bigsqcup_{n > 0} \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, U^a) \times_{O(n)} X(\mathbb{R}^n).
\]

In each of the three cases the structure map $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}) \to \text{ob} \, \mathcal{D}$ collapses $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a point. These are all $O(n)$–bundles and in each case we identify the fiber over an $n$–plane $V$ with the space $X(V)$.

We record here the following generalization of (A.9) that describes the $q$–simplices of the homotopy colimit of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{J}(W))$:

\[
(A.10) \quad B_q(\ast, \mathcal{J}(W), \mathcal{H}) = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq n_0 \leq \ldots \leq n_q} \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^{n_q}, W) \times_{O(n_0) \times O(n_1 - n_0) \times \cdots \times O(n_q - n_{q-1})} X(\mathbb{R}^{n_0}).
\]

We will now construct actions by the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ [17]. The $j$th–space of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathcal{L}(j) = \mathcal{I}_c(U^j, U)$, and an $\mathcal{L}$–space is an algebra over $\mathcal{L}$ in spaces. An $\mathcal{L}$–category is a topological category $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\text{ob} \, \mathcal{D}$ and $\text{mor} \, \mathcal{D}$ are $\mathcal{L}$–spaces and such that the category structure maps are maps of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. A left $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebra $\mathfrak{X}$ is a left $\mathcal{D}$–module $\mathcal{H}$ over an $\mathcal{L}$–category such that $\mathfrak{X}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space and the structure maps $t: \mathfrak{X} \to \text{ob} \, \mathcal{D}$ and $\lambda: \text{mor} \, \mathcal{D} \times_{\text{ob} \, \mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ are maps of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces. Right $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebras are defined similarly. We also have variants of all these notions for the operad $\mathbb{L}$ with $\mathbb{L}(0) = \ast$, $\mathbb{L}(1) = \mathcal{L}(1)$ and $\mathbb{L}(j) = \emptyset$ for $j > 1$. An algebra over $\mathbb{L}$ in spaces is the same thing as an $\mathbb{L}$–space as defined in Section 7. We are interested in these structures because the bar construction of $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebras is an $\mathcal{L}$–space.

**Lemma A.11** If $\mathcal{D}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–category and $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ are left and right $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebras, then the bar construction $B(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{X})$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space. The analogous statement holds with $\mathcal{L}$ replaced by $\mathbb{L}$.
Proof Since all spaces and maps involved are $\mathcal{L}$–spaces and maps of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, the simplicial bar construction $B_{\ast}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{X})$ is a simplicial $\mathcal{L}$–space. Thus its geometric realization is an $\mathcal{L}$–space as well. \hfill \Box

Proposition A.12 Let $X$ be an $\mathcal{I}$–space. Then:

(i) $\mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{I}(U)$ are $\mathcal{L}$–categories.

(ii) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{J})$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{I}(U))$ are left $\mathbb{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebras.

(iii) $\text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{J}} X$ and $\text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{I}(U)} X$ are $\mathbb{L}$–spaces and the map from the former to the latter induced by $\mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{I}(U)$ is a map of $\mathbb{L}$–spaces.

Suppose further that $X$ is a commutative $\mathcal{I}$–FCP. Then:

(iv) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{J})$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{I}(U))$ are left $\mathbb{L} \mathcal{D}$–algebras.

(v) $\text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{J}} X$ and $\text{hocolim}_{\mathfrak{I}(U)} X$ are $\mathcal{L}$–spaces and the map from the former to the latter induced by $\mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{I}(U)$ is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.

Proof (iii) and (v) follow from (ii) and (iv), respectively, using the preceding lemma. We will start with (iv) and prove (i) along the way.

We define the action of $\mathcal{L}$ on the space $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{J}) = \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{I}(U))$ as the composite

\[
\mathcal{I}_{c}(U^{j}, U) \times \prod_{i=1}^{j} \mathcal{I}_{c}(R^{n_{i}}, U) \times \mathfrak{O}(n_{i}) \times X_{n_{i}} \to \mathcal{I}_{c}(U^{j}, U) \times \mathcal{I}_{c}(R^{n}, U^{j}) \times \mathfrak{O}(n) \times X_{n} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathcal{I}_{c}(R^{n}, U) \times \mathfrak{O}(n) \times X_{n}
\]

of the map

\[
(y; [f_{1}, x_{1}], \ldots, [f_{j}, x_{j}]) \mapsto [y, (f_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{j}), \mu(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j})]
\]

followed by composition in $\mathcal{I}_{c}$. Here $n = n_{1} + \cdots + n_{j}$ and we omit from the notation the canonical isomorphism $R^{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{n_{j}} \cong R^{n}$. The map $\mu: X_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times X_{n_{j}} \to X_{n}$ is the FCP multiplication of $X$. Notice that the $\Sigma_{j}$–equivariance follows from the commutativity of the FCP $X$. The $\mathcal{L}$–space structure on $\text{ob} \mathfrak{J} = \text{ob} \mathfrak{I}(U)$ is the case of $X(V) = \ast$, and so the projection

\[
t: \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{J}) = \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{I}(U)) \to \text{ob} \mathfrak{J} = \text{ob} \mathfrak{I}(U)
\]

is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces.
We now define the action of $\mathcal{L}$ on
\[
\text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U) = \prod_{0 \leq m \leq n} \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, U) \times O(n) \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \times O(m) \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^m, U).
\]

On each summand of the coproduct this is given by
\[
\mathcal{I}_c(U^j, U) \times \prod_{i=1}^j \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, U) \times O(n_i) \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^{m_i}, \mathbb{R}^{n_i}) \times O(m_i) \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^{m_i}, U) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^n, U) \times O(n) \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \times O(m) \mathcal{I}_c(\mathbb{R}^m, U),
\]

\[
(\gamma; [f_1, \phi_1, g_1], \ldots, [f_j, \phi_j, g_j]) \mapsto \left[ \gamma \circ \bigoplus_i f_i, \bigoplus_i \phi_i, \gamma \circ \bigoplus_i g_i \right].
\]

If $f_i$ and $g_i$ represent subspaces $V_i \subset U$ and $W_i \subset U$, then the action of $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(j)$ takes the $j$–tuple of isometries $(\phi_1: V_1 \rightarrow W_1, \ldots, \phi_j: V_j \rightarrow W_j)$ to the isometry
\[
\gamma \circ \left( \bigoplus_i \phi_i \right) \circ \gamma^{-1}: \gamma \left( \bigoplus_i V_i \right) \rightarrow \gamma \left( \bigoplus_i W_i \right).
\]

It is clear that this action stabilizes the subspace $\text{mor } \mathcal{J} \subset \text{mor } \mathcal{I}(U)$ of inclusions, so $\text{mor } \mathcal{J}$ is an $\mathcal{L}$–space as well. For both $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}(U)$, it is immediate that the source, target and composition maps preserve the $\mathcal{L}$–action. This finishes the proof of (i). It is also straightforward to check that the left module structure map $\lambda$ for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{J})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{I}(U))$ is a map of $\mathcal{L}$–spaces, so we have proved (iv) as well.

To get the action of $\mathcal{L}$, specialize all of the $\mathcal{L}$–actions to the case $j = 1$. This does not depend on the FCP structure of $X$, so (ii) follows.
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