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ABSTRACT

We present the design and test results of a compact optical fiber double-scrambler for high-resolution Doppler radial velocity instruments. This device consists of a single optic: a high-index \( n \sim 2 \) ball lens that exchanges the near and far fields between two fibers. When used in conjunction with octagonal fibers, this device yields very high scrambling gains (SGs) and greatly desensitizes the fiber output from any input illumination variations, thereby stabilizing the instrument profile of the spectrograph and improving the Doppler measurement precision. The system is also highly insensitive to input pupil variations, isolating the spectrograph from telescope illumination variations and seeing changes. By selecting the appropriate glass and lens diameter the highest efficiency is achieved when the fibers are practically in contact with the lens surface, greatly simplifying the alignment process when compared to classical double-scrambler systems. This prototype double-scrambler has demonstrated significant performance gains over previous systems, achieving SGs in excess of 10,000 with a throughput of \( \sim 87\% \) using uncoated Polymicro octagonal fibers. Adding a circular fiber to the fiber train further increases the SG to \( > 20,000 \), limited by laboratory measurement error. While this fiber system is designed for the Habitable-zone Planet Finder spectrograph, it is more generally applicable to other instruments in the visible and near-infrared. Given the simplicity and low cost, this fiber scrambler could also easily be multiplexed for large multi-object instruments.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The field of radial velocity (RV) extrasolar planet detection is poised on the discovery of the first true Earth analog, having so far been denied by the exquisite levels of Doppler precision needed to detect such a planet. The expected RV amplitude of an Earth-size planet orbiting in the habitable-zone of a Sun-like star is \( \sim 10 \text { cm s}^{-1} \), far below the precision achievable with the current generation of dedicated Doppler spectrographs. Attaining this precision goal will require, among other things, an unprecedented level of long-term instrument stability. One of the major technical challenges in improving the stability of these instruments is stabilizing the instrument illumination. Conventional slit-fed spectrographs have instrument point-spread functions (PSF) that vary significantly with seeing conditions and telescope guiding variability, thus limiting their ultimate RV precision. Consequently, these instruments require specialized methods of simultaneous calibration and data reduction to retrospectively discern stellar RV signals from instrument illumination variations (Butler et al. 1996).

Optical fibers offer a convenient and efficient method of transporting light from telescopes, allowing instruments to be physically decoupled from the telescope focus. While the addition of a conventional circular fiber provides a significant improvement in instrument PSF stability, the output of a typical astronomical fiber is still sensitive to the flux distribution incident on the fiber from the telescope. As this input illumination varies (e.g., due to guiding errors, seeing, or telescope pupil variations), the output intensity distribution also varies. This is particularly an issue for fiber-fed Doppler RV instruments since any illumination variations in the fiber output directly manifest as changes in the instrument profile. This effect cannot be calibrated with standard emission wavelength references that use a dedicated calibration fiber, since the output illumination is specific to the fiber and source and thus the calibration source does not accurately trace any variations in the stellar illumination propagating through the object fiber. In fact, the problem of spectrograph illumination is currently acknowledged to be one of the precision-limiting factors in Doppler spectroscopy, along with scientific source stability and wavelength calibration (Pepe & Lovis 2008; Avila & Singh 2008; Pepe et al. 2014).

Step-index multimode fibers have long been used as optical image scramblers to decouple the distribution of flux in the output beam from the illumination pattern at the telescope focal plane (Heacox 1986). In agreement with theory (Heacox 1987), repeated laboratory tests show that standard circular fibers yield a high degree of azimuthal scrambling, but minimal radial scrambling (e.g., Avila et al. 2006; Avila & Singh 2008). In more recent years, fibers with non-circular cores have been tested to some degree and demonstrated significant improvement over standard circular core fibers (e.g., Avila 2012; Spronck et al. 2012). However, a single non-circular core fiber cannot deliver the kind of homogeneity, in both near and farfield, required by spectrographs for high-precision RV measurements below the \( 1 \text { m s}^{-1} \) level. These instruments require high levels of scrambling in both the near and far fields.
of the beam, without significantly compromising overall throughput.

The fiber near-field, defined as the positional intensity distribution across the fiber face, is re-imaged in the spectrograph focal plane while the far-field is the angular distribution of the propagating beam. Any variations in the near-field will manifest as PSF variations, degrading overall instrument stability and measurement precision. The far-field pattern is projected onto the grating, and time-varying inhomogeneities that change groove illumination can introduce variable wavelength shifts at the detector. Changes in the far field also affect the illumination of the camera and other spectrograph optics, and therefore can change the amount of aberrations contributing to the PSF shape, causing velocity shifts of spectral lines on the detector. Any spurious profile changes in the spectra diminish RV precision, and hence stabilizing both the near-field and far-field of the fiber is key for precision Doppler spectroscopy.

A popular optical method of increasing the scrambling gain (SG) of a system is to introduce an optical double scrambler into the instrument fiber delivery system that exchanges the near and far fields by means of a lens relay (Connes 1985; Brown & Jacoby 1990; Hunter & Ramsey 1992). Coupling octagonal fibers on either side of a double scrambler not only boosts the level of scrambling, but also stabilizes both the near and far field illumination patterns. The inclusion of even a single octagonal fiber with a double scrambler has been shown to significantly improve on-sky RV precision and instrument stability (Bouchy et al. 2013).

Here we present a compact, easy-to-align double scrambler system based on a high refractive index ball lens. This device, when combined with octagonal fibers, yields SGs in excess of 10,000. The measured efficiency of the scrambler assembly is 87% in the near-infrared (NIR), close to the theoretical maximum of the system in its current state (with uncoated fiber faces). The addition of a circular fiber increases the SG of the system to >20,000. The prototype device used in the majority of testing uses all off-the-shelf components and takes advantage of existing high-tolerance components used in commercial telecommunications industries. Our prototype scrambler is customized for the NIR (0.82–1.3 μm), but with careful selection of lens material, this design could easily be adapted to visible wavelengths (380–900 nm) given the recent availability of broadband, high-index glasses from a variety of manufacturers. The simple design and minimal alignment requirements, when compared to classical double scramblers, implies that this device could easily be replicated for multiplexed fiber instruments on larger scales.

2. SCRAMBLING ON THE HOBBY–EBERLY TELESCOPE

Our design of a high SG system is motivated by the requirements of the Habitable Zone Planet Finder (HPF, Mahadevan et al. 2014b), a stabilized fiber-fed precision RV spectrograph operating in the NIR between 0.82 to 1.3 μm, currently being built for the 10 m Hobby–Eberly Telescope (HET). While scrambling is important for any high precision RV instrument, it is critical for an instrument on the HET since the fixed-elevation telescope design yields a highly variable entrance pupil relative to other telescopes. Stellar tracking is accomplished by moving a spherical aberration corrector (SAC) and prime focus instrument package over the primary spherical mirror. The actual telescope pupil is therefore defined by the geometric intersection of the SAC, wide-field corrector pupil, and the HET primary, and both its orientation and shape change continuously during a track (Lee et al. 2010). Without adequate scrambling, the changing pupil introduces large errors in the RV measurements, and greatly undermines our primary science goals. During a set of observations, these pupil variations may average out over the track as a function of the target elevation and exposure time. Nevertheless, we aim for maximal SGs such that the spectrograph PSF is desensitized to even the most extreme cases of input illumination change.

3. SCRAMBLING DIFFERENTIATED FROM MODAL NOISE

It should be noted that, in the context of this paper, “scrambling” differs from fiber speckle noise, also called “modal-noise,” which is due to the time-dependent variation of the speckle pattern produced by the finite number traversing modes within a multi-mode fiber interfering at the fiber exit boundary (Baudrand & Walker 2001; McCoy et al. 2012). Scrambling here refers only to the decorrelation of fiber output illumination from input variations (or a re-arrangement of existing modes), and is a static correction that does not account for the time variation of modal power and phase distribution between exposures caused by the changing internal geometry of light rays. Proper modal noise mitigation requires a temporal redistribution of modes, commonly achieved through physical agitation of the fiber (Baudrand & Walker 2001). This forces the light to populate different modes (including unpopulated ones) with a large distribution of phases, and reduces modal noise by varying the number and phase distribution of modes per exposure.

While poor scrambling changes the shape of the instrument profile and can shift its centroid, the effect of modal noise is to introduce systematic uncertainty in the instrument profile over time. Both are detrimental to high-precision RV measurements but are different phenomenon that do not have a single solution. We emphasize that unlike scrambling, modal noise mitigation requires a time variable correction that cannot be supplied by static octagonal fibers or the presence of a double scrambler alone. In addition to the scrambling system described here, HPF will have a modal noise agitator for its fibers (McCoy et al. 2012; Roy et al. 2014). We are also exploring new ways of suppressing modal noise for highly coherent calibration sources, where this effect is exacerbated (Halverson et al. 2014; Mahadevan et al. 2014a).

4. SCRAMBLING REQUIREMENTS FOR RV INSTRUMENTS

The classical metric used to quantify scrambling performance is SG. The formal definition of SG is the ratio of the relative displacement of the illumination distribution at the input of the fiber to the relative displacement of the illumination distribution at the output (Avila & Singh 2008):

$$SG = \frac{\Delta d_{\text{input}}/D_{\text{input}}}{\Delta d_{\text{output}}/D_{\text{output}}}.$$  

where Δdinput is the illumination shift on the fiber, Dinput is the fiber diameter, Δdoutput is the measured output centroid shift, and Doutput is the width of the fiber (for near-field measurements) or the width of the output pupil (for far-field
is the guiding precisions for the HET during a given exposure. The solid black line is the expected velocity error based on the HET guiding precision (0.25 ms). The colored dots are theoretical velocity errors associated with the near-field scrambling measurements for fiber configurations measured in the laboratory (see Section 7). Vertical bars show an estimated range of possible guiding precisions for the HET during a given exposure.

Several factors influence the minimum SG required to reach a given velocity precision goal, including telescope guiding precision, spectrograph dispersion, and focal plane sampling. In the case of near-field scrambling (i.e., guiding induced errors) the expected velocity error is estimated in Equation (2):

\[ \sigma_{v, \text{near-field}} = \frac{c \Delta \theta}{R_{\text{eff}} \theta SG}, \]  

where \( R_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective instrument resolution, \( \Delta \theta \) is the telescope guiding error, \( \theta \) is the size of the fiber on-sky, and SG is the SG of the fiber delivery system. Note that for instruments that rely on the use of a slit, \( R_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective resolution of the slit-less fiber in the detector focal plane: \( R_{\text{eff}} = R_{\text{slit}} \times (w_{\text{slit}}/w_{\text{fiber}}) \), where \( R_{\text{slit}} \) is the slit-limited instrument resolution, \( w_{\text{slit}} \) is the slit width and \( w_{\text{fiber}} \) is the fiber core diameter.

In the case of the HPF spectrograph on HET, with expected guiding precision of 0.25 (Lee et al. 2012), 1″ fibers on sky (300 \( \mu \)m core diameter), a 100 \( \mu \)m slit, and an operating resolution of 50,000, a SG of \( \sim 9,000 \) is required to achieve 30 cm s\(^{-1}\) stability. In practice, we aim for SGs above 18,000 to ensure comparable velocity precisions even with guiding accuracies as low as 0.5 (see Figure 1). This significantly reduces the risk of having guiding error be a dominant factor in the overall instrument velocity error budget. Since the primary science of HPF relies on velocity precision, it was incumbent upon us to meet these stringent, albeit previously underdemonstrated, levels of SG.

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE BALL LENS SCRAMBLER

Ball lenses have previously been considered for astronomical scrambling devices, but have had varying success due to the unavailability of optimally sized spheres, alignment constraints, and high throughput losses (Avila & Singh 2008; Barnes & MacQueen 2010; Spronck et al. 2013). Our design of the ball lens scrambler is motivated by the current availability of high-index glasses that provide shorter back focal lengths and simplify fiber coupling. A ball lens inherently either focuses or collimates light according to input source geometry, and in interchanging angle and position essentially acts as a single lens double scrambler.

The back focal length of the lens (BFL, see Figure 2) is calculated from characteristic parameters of a ball lens using Equation (3), where \( D \) is the diameter of the lens, \( n \) is the refractive index of the glass used, and EFL is the effective focal length.

\[ BFL = EFL - \frac{D}{2}, \quad EFL = \frac{nD}{4(n-1)}. \]  

\[ D = \frac{2d(n-1)}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{NA}^2}} - 1 \right) \approx 2d(n-1) \frac{1}{n \times \text{NA}}. \]  

The remarkable providence in our ball scrambler design arises from the fact that when material of \( n \sim 2 \) is used, the BFL goes to zero, irrespective of ball diameter. This implies optimum coupling is achieved when the entrance and exit fibers are in direct contact with the lens surface, simplifying the overall scrambler design. This greatly mitigates the need to position and control the ball lens at a specific distance, centered with high tolerance on the fibers.

We considered two high-index glasses, S-LAH79 (Ohara, \( n_d = 2.00330 \)) and LASF35 (Schott, \( n_d = 2.02204 \)) but chose to test S-LAH79 for the prototype ball scrambler due to its availability from vendors in small quantities. The optimal choice between materials depends on the specific wavelength range of interest but both glasses are well suited for the HPF bandpass. However, there are a variety of recently available high-index glasses from several manufacturers that cover large wavelength ranges in the visible and NIR with relatively low dispersion (see Figure 3). When selecting the appropriate high-index glass for a particular application, both the refractive index and internal glass transmission must be considered to maximize efficiency. The two primary glasses discussed here have high throughput in the NIR (>99% for a 2 mm lens), but have significantly diminished efficiency at wavelengths lower than 400 nm. However, select glasses shown in Figure 3 (left) do have relatively high transmission (>97%) in the 380–400 nm regime for lens diameters under 1 mm, and would be more appropriate for optical instruments.

The ideal size of the ball \( D \) is calculated using Equation (4), as a function of the refractive index of the glass \( n \), the diameter of the input beam or fiber \( d \), and the input numerical aperture (NA). Our system is designed for a 300 \( \mu \)m fiber fed at f/3.65 at

---

7. http://www.edmundoptics.com/
8. This proved prescient since LASF35 has since gone out of production.
the telescope focal plane, implying an ideal ball lens diameter of 2.15–2.17 mm for our wavelengths. For concept verification purposes, we used more readily available 2 mm S-LAH79 ball lenses. For optimum coupling and efficiency, the expected focal ratio exiting the fiber incident on the lens, rather than the native telescope focal ratio, should be used to select the size of the ball. This ensures that focal ratio degradation (FRD) and losses due to the lens are minimal.

Alignment of the ball between contiguous fiber faces is critical to producing a high efficiency scrambler. Classical double scramblers suffer throughput losses of 20–30%, and an important aspect of our design is to substantially decrease this light loss. While concentricity between the lens and fiber faces is key, it is also necessary to control the distance between ball and fiber tip. With BFL ranging between 10–20 μm for our ball diameter and material, we essentially want the fiber butt-coupled to the ball. We developed two mounting methods to minimize coupling losses between the fibers and simplify the alignment process. Both devices yield 85–87% efficiency, as measured using NIR fiber lasers, with minimal alignment effort using anti-reflective (AR) coated ball lenses (see Section 7.1).

### 5.1. FC Connector Prototype

In anticipation of a custom-built alignment piece, we implemented a simple and inexpensive design whereby the ball lens is held between two small rubber O-rings (Figure 4). The outer diameter of the O-rings (2.5 mm) matches the ferrule size of the commercially standardized FC/PC fiber connectors used on our test fibers, and the entire junction can be placed within an off-the-shelf high-tolerance ceramic split sleeve of inner diameter 2.5 mm. The lens is naturally kept along the central axis of the fibers by the pressure applied by the mating sleeve. In spite of the simplicity of this design, we are able to demonstrate efficiencies close to the theoretical maximum for a perfectly aligned system (Section 7.1) with this coupling scheme. This device was used for the the scrambling measurements presented in Section 7.

### 5.2. V-groove Prototype

Our second prototype device consists of a custom manufactured, monolithic v-groove block to mount both fibers and the ball lens. The fibers are placed in a high precision v-groove, while the ball is placed in a drilled mount at the center of the stainless-steel block. The block is ground to size such that three faces can be used as reference indexing surfaces to within ~12 μm. Much attention was payed to maintaining the central axis of each element (input fiber, lens, output fiber) to high accuracy (<3 μm). This level of accuracy was achieved by taking repeated surface measurements of the the machined block at several stages of the machining process using a high precision SmartScope measurement microscope. A tapered mounting pocket was machined on the block surface to secure the lens on the center of the block face. The SmartScope was then used to measure the central axis of the mounted lens relative to the polished block surface. The microscope measurements dictate the location and depth of the fiber v-groove relative to the block face, ensuring concentricity between the mounted lens and the fibers. The v-groove was etched using a high precision electric discharge machine. Post machining, the central axis of the lens and fibers were measured to be concentric at the measurement precision of the SmartScope (~3 μm). An image of the completed v-groove block is shown in Figure 5. Polished octagonal fibers are placed
in the groove on either side of the lens and attached to the block with Kapton tape.

6. SCRAMBLING MEASUREMENTS

For laboratory experiments we measure centroid values as a proxy for scrambling performance. While this is a reasonable metric for near-field SGs, since near-field illumination centroid drifts will directly manifest as velocity drifts in the focal plane, the direct effect of fiber far-field variation on velocity precision is not as easily quantifiable. We quantify the degree of far-field output variations for both near and far field input illumination changes, but do not formally calculate the effect of far-field variations on instrument velocity precision since this calculation is unique to a given instrument. This can be done with accurate ray-tracing of the fiber far-field through the spectrograph optics for a given instrument to set SG requirements (Stürmer et al. 2014).

To be sensitive to SGs larger than ~10,000, a compact laboratory test-stand was designed to maximize measurement stability. An overview of the experimental setup used to measure SG for a variety of fiber configurations is shown in Figure 6. Light from a 50 μm illumination fiber is focused onto a 300 μm test-fiber at a speed comparable to the HET telescope delivery optics (f/3.65). A broadband Quartz lamp is used for illumination to minimize modal noise effects. We found speckle-noise to be the dominant source of measurement error when using narrow-band laser sources. A NIR filter is used to restrict the wavelength coverage to the HPF bandpass (0.8–1.3 μm). All optical elements and stages are connected through a series of lens tubes that are all bolted onto a common 2" × 2" optical breadboard. This ensures differential mechanical drift between optical components is reduced. The output of the test fiber is imaged onto a 300 μm fiber relative to the fixed illumination fiber. A third detector can be inserted to measure the input pupil incident on the fiber. Right: image of scrambling gain measurement apparatus. The majority of components are connected through a series of lens tubes to reduce differential mechanical drift.

Simultaneous measurement of both the near and far fields of the fiber output, though both have comparable measurement precisions. Over typical measurement time-scales (tens of minutes), our measurement apparatus is sufficiently stable to measure SGs of <20,000 (see Figure 7).

Optical fibers used for SG measurements are commercial 300 μm Polymicro products. Octagonal fibers (FBP300/345/380) were connectorized and polished by C Technologies and circular fibers (FIP300/330/370) were terminated and polished by Polymicro. All patch cables were connectorized with standard 2.5 mm FC/PC connectors. Each cable used is roughly 2 m in length. Due to the high degree of FRD in our test fibers, the majority of the incident f/3.65 beam exited the test fiber at a faster f/3.3 beam. This faster beam happens to be well matched to the 2.0 mm ball lens used in our prototype device, minimizing any additional FRD due to the ball lens.
Figure 8. Cartoon illustration of scrambling measurement method. The test fiber is translated across a fixed illumination spot and the fiber output is recorded at each position. The measured centroid shifts in the fiber near field (NF) and far field (FF) are compared to the distance translated at the input to calculate scrambling gain.

Figure 9. Near-field scrambling measurements for different fiber configurations. Top panels show the location of the input face of the test fiber relative to illumination spot. The near-field output images are shown in the middle panels. Scrambling gains (SG) are calculated by dividing input illumination shift by output centroid drift. Bottom panels show the 1D intensity distribution along the center of the fiber for the two different illumination positions and the difference (Δ, in percentage) between the two 1D profiles. Note that the vertical axis scales differ between individual difference plots. The full double-scrambler system, which includes octagonal fibers (coupled by a ball lens) and a circular fiber, produces a stable and uniform output illumination that is significantly desensitized to input illumination variations.

Figure 10. Effect of near-field variations on the fiber far-field output for different fiber configurations. The addition of the double-scrambler significantly improves the far-field uniformity and stability.
the centroid offset in the fiber output in both near and far fields. The test fiber is shifted by \( \sim 250 \mu m \) across the fixed 50 \( \mu m \) near-field illumination. Rather than taking measurements at intermediate points between two edges of the test fiber input, data are taken only at maximum displacement on the fiber face (see Figure 8). Multiple 3 s exposure images were recorded at each edge of the test fiber face and averaged. Dark frame and flat-field corrections were then applied to the averaged images. An image mask was applied to isolate flux coming from only the fiber face.

The centroid of the output fiber illumination is then calculated in both spatial directions and compared to the location of the illumination spot to calculate a formal SG. \( \Delta d_{\text{input}} \) is the distance translated by the focused input illumination and \( \Delta d_{\text{output}} \) is the measured centroid shift in the fiber output (near or far field) along the axis that yields the largest measurable shift. Output centroid measurements at both illumination positions are used to calculate individual SG estimates for both the near-field and far-field outputs. The quoted SGs are averages of 10 point-to-point SG measurements.

This method has the benefit of producing the largest measurable output centroid shift over the shortest time-scale, thereby minimizing sensitivity to short-term optomechanical instabilities in the measurement apparatus. Measurements were repeated along several translation axes on the fiber face to verify results. Much attention was paid to make sure that the cladding of the test fiber was not illuminated, though no visible cladding modes were present even when the illumination was off the fiber core entirely.

Note that, as mentioned previously, this measurement is only gauging the effect of near-field illumination variation on the near and far-field of the fiber output (i.e., the input pupil incident on the test fiber is not varying). In order to measure the effect of a varying input pupil on the fiber output, a variable pupil mask was inserted at the fiber input pupil and rotated. This experiment is meant to probe the extreme case of possible input pupil variation for the HET, though we do not calculate a formal SG for this measurement.

7. RESULTS

Laboratory measurements of SGs for a variety of fiber configurations are shown in Figures 9 and 10. Measured SGs are listed in Table 1 for both near and far-field outputs. Circular fibers, as expected, yield relatively low SGs. A single octagonal fiber yields modest SGs of \( \sim 100–400 \), consistent with previous measurements. We find the highest SG (\( \geq 20,000 \)) is achieved when using a combination of octagonal fibers, a double-scrambler, and circular fibers. In this configuration, the circular fiber is coupled to the end of the second octagonal fiber with a standard FC connector mating sleeve, The complete fiber train now includes, in order of light travel: first octagonal fiber, ball lens scrambler, second octagonal fiber, circular fiber. In future iterations of this configuration, individual fibers will be coupled with high tolerance FC connectors, or spliced together, to maximize throughput of the complete fiber train. This dictates the fiber feed configuration for HPF, since the HET has a particularly variable illumination pattern incident on the instrument fiber. As this measurement is limited by our laboratory apparatus, the true SG is likely higher. Nevertheless, this near-field SG translates to a \( \sim 10 \) cm s\(^{-1} \) velocity shift for HPF, significantly better than our performance goal of 30 cm s\(^{-1} \) guiding-induced velocity error.

We have not yet performed an extensive analysis to determine the velocity error associated with the observed output pupil variations of various fiber configurations, although we plan to do this in the future for HPF. We have already initiated experiments that mimic an extreme case of pupil variation. For these tests, the near-field illumination was kept

| Fiber Configuration | Near-field | Far-field |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| Circular Fiber      | 8          | 21        |
| Octagonal Fiber     | 169        | 370       |
| Circular + Octagonal| 2750       | 771       |
| Octagonal + DS + Octagonal | 13,134 | 10,176 |
| Octagonal + DS + Octagonal + Circular | >20,000 | >20,000 |

| Reference          | Efficiency | SG (if reported) |
|--------------------|------------|------------------|
| Hunter & Ramsey (1992) | 20%        | …                |
| Casse & Vieira (1997)    | 20%        | …                |
| Avila et al. (1998)      | 68%        | …                |
| Raskin & van Winckel (2008) | 70%  | 1,100            |
| Barnes & MacQueen (2010) | 75%        | …                |
| Avila (2012)            | 70%        | 6000             |
| Chazelas et al. (2012)  | \( \sim 80\% \) | \( \sim 10,000 \) |
| Sprock et al. (2013)    | 65%        | …                |
| This work\(^{a,b} \) (oct + DS + oct) | 85\%\(^{b} \), 87\%\(^{b} \) | 13,000 |

\(^{a}\) Measured using 830 and 1310 nm fiber lasers.
\(^{b}\) FC connectors with ceramic mating sleeve.
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Figure 12. Left: ray trace of the ball lens scrambler showing characteristic conversions from field-to-angle, where the pupil of the first fiber is projected onto the near field of the second fiber, and vice versa. Right: spot diagrams for a variety of input field points are shown. Spots were generated at a wavelength of 1 μm. The black circle is the expected diameter of the Airy disk (8 μm).

Figure 13. Theoretical throughput budget of double scrambler system with a BBAR coating on the ball lens and two (uncoated) 300 μm octagonal fibers. Our measured throughput values at 830 and 1310 nm (black crosses) are close to the theoretical maximum for this configuration. The dashed line is the theoretical throughput curve shifted to the measurement values.
in their common use. The high efficiency of this design now enables the achievement of very high SGs with a minimal overall throughput penalty.

8. SUMMARY

The ideal scrambler for fiber fed instruments is effective at scrambling both the fiber near and far fields, while still being easy to align and efficient. The high-index ball-lens scrambler, when used with a combination of octagonal fibers, satisfies all of these quantities in a single, compact system. This device is being developed for the NIR HPF spectrograph on the 10 m Hobby Eberly Telescope, but its versatility implies that it could easily be adapted for other current and future fiber-fed precision RV spectrographs such as the CARMENES (Quirrenbach et al. 2014), MINERVA (Swift et al. 2014), NRES (Eastman et al. 2014), and PARAS (Chakraborty et al. 2008) spectrographs. Since the HET has a uniquely variable illumination pupil, the HPF fiber train will require a configuration that maximizes scrambling, i.e., a combination of octagonal fibers, circular fibers, and a double-scrambler to minimize the scrambling-induced velocity errors.

We have demonstrated the high scrambling performance of this prototype double-scrambler system by examining the extreme cases of input illumination variation. Pairing the ball lens scrambler with octagonal fibers yields SGs in excess of 10,000 with high throughput (87%). Adding a circular fiber further improves the SG to >20,000, limited by our measurement apparatus. This translates to roughly 10 cm s\(^{-1}\) velocity error for the HPF instrument due to telescope guiding error, exceeding our goal of 30 cm s\(^{-1}\) guiding-induced velocity measurement error.

In replicating this system for other fiber-fed spectrographs it might not be necessary to include every scrambling element, but the inclusion of a compact efficient ball-lens scrambler would stabilize instrument illumination and could substantially benefit many high precision spectrographs. Decoupling the instrument PSF from the telescope is a major technical challenge that will need to be overcome for the next generation of extreme precision planet-hunting spectrographs that aim to detect Earth-mass extra-solar planets with precisions of \(\sim\)10 cm s\(^{-1}\) (Pepe et al. 2014).

Due to the monolithic nature and simplistic design, this ball lens scrambler could be easily replicated on larger scales for multi-object instruments as well, aiding in precision spectroscopy for upcoming galactic and extra-galactic surveys.
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