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ABSTRACT

New diagnostics technologies for the efficient detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies are very crucial to manage the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in the context of emerging vaccination paradigms. Herein, we report on a novel point-of-care Electrochemical ELISA platform with disposable screen printed electrodes functionalized with SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein S1, to enable fast and accurate quantitative estimation of total antibody concentration (IgG and IgM) in clinical samples. The quantification is performed with a comparison of electrochemical redox current against the current produced by the spiked monoclonal antibodies with known concentration. The assay is validated through multicentric evaluation against 3 different FDA authorized Laboratory standard techniques, using both EDTA whole blood and serum samples. We demonstrate that the proposed assay has excellent sensitivity and specificity, making it a suitable candidate for epidemiological surveys and quantification of antibodies in COVID-19 vaccination programs.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, resulting from the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus has impacted the entire world in an unprecedented manner. Since its identification in December 2019, the pandemic has affected more than 130 million people worldwide, causing 2.9 million fatalities. With the second wave of the disease crippling the world currently, newer and efficient diagnostic technologies become essential in managing the disease. In this context, serological tests become extremely important, which detect SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies (IgG and IgM), produced typically after the first week of infection, as an immune response from the body (Krammer and Simon, 2020; Winter and Hegde, 2020; Long, 2020). The serological tests have also gained a lot of importance recently, especially to assess the efficacy of vaccination towards herd immunity, given the mass vaccination drives launched in several countries. For such applications, there is a need for accurate and reliable point-of-care ELISA platforms for the quantitative measurement of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.

Since the introduction of serology tests reported in early 2020, there has been a lot of progress in different technologies used for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG/IgM antibodies. Almost all the assays primarily target either Spike protein (S1/S2), Nucleoprotein (N) or Specific Receptor Binding Domains (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2, thus enabling specificity (Poljak et al., 2021). Although the N protein specific antibodies develop quite early, and are abundant, they do not correlate with immunity level against the virus. In contrast, the Spike protein specific antibodies directly correlate with virus neutralization assays, and provide information on physiological protection against virus. Hence we focus on S1 specific antibody assay. Many studies have been reported in the literature to compare the efficacies of various COVID-19 antibody kits (Wehrhahn, 2021; Coste, 2021; Chaudhuri, 2020). The commercial point-of-care serological tests are primarily based on lateral flow assays (Conklin, 2021). While these are convenient, they are qualitative at best, and require manual intervention for the interpretation of colorimetry.
results. Liu and Rusling (Liu and Rusling (2021)) have recently presented a comprehensive review of different technologies used in serology tests. Most of the commercial serological tests till date are predominantly based on optical detection, although there have been some recent attempts to develop electrochemical assays for SARS-CoV-2 serological tests (Mahshid, 2021; Yakoh, 2021). Mahshid, et al. (Mahshid, 2021) have highlighted the need for point-of-care SARS-CoV-2 serology tests, especially given the success of electrochemical glucose sensors over the last several decades. If the mature electrochemical sensing technology of Glucometers based on screen printed electrodes can be repurposed, then it could potentially offer an accurate and low-cost solution, for SARS-CoV-2 serology tests. Yakoh et al. (Yakoh, 2021) have attempted to show a proof of concept lab scale paper based electrochemical sensors for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and antigens on a very small set of 17 samples. Furthermore their technique required very elaborate surface functionalization steps, sample preparation steps and testing procedure, making it impractical for point-of-care applications.

In this work we repurpose an existing “Lab on Palm”, electrochemical sensing platform (Kumar, 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Kumar, 2017) and adapt it for the quantitative measurement of SARS-CoV-2 total antibody (IgG/IgM) measurement in clinical whole blood and serum samples. The technology makes use of standard screen printed disposable test strips, with simple surface functionalization process for SARS-CoV-2 specific immunoreceptor, making it amenable for mass manufacturing and deployment. This novel assay has been extensively validated through multicentric evaluation at 4 centres. The assay achieves 100 % sensitivity and specificity as compared to 3 different FDA authorized Laboratory standard techniques namely Siemens COV2T S1RBD assay (Anon, 2021a), DiaSorin Liaison SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG assay (Anon, 2021b) and Vitros CoV2G IgG assay (Anon, 2021c).

2. Materials and methods

All the SARS-CoV-2 related reagents were procured from The Native Antigen Company, UK. This includes the immunoreceptor used in the assay which is SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein (S1) terminally tagged with a predominantly monomeric Sheep Fc-Tag (produced in HEK293 cells) and subsequently conjugated with electrochemically active Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP), antibodies for spiking experiments, namely the Human recombinant monoclonal IgM Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S1) Antibody and Human recombinant monoclonal IgG1 Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S1) Antibody. In addition Goat anti Human IgG from the same vendor was also used for non-binding assay control experiments. The standard bare carbon screen printed electrodes were contract manufactured by GSI Technologies, USA as per the designs provided by PathShodh Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. All other chemicals were procured from Sigma Aldrich (Merck), mainly Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), Normal Saline (NaCl) and Stabilcoat Immunoassay stabilizer.

About 6 microlitres solution of immunoreceptor with 120 nM concentration of S1 spike Glycoprotein was prepared in PBS and Stabilcoat and dispensed on working electrode of the carbon printed test strip, using an automated dispensing equipment (BioDot), thereby ensuring manufacturability. The electrodes were dried for an hour at room temperature and a cellulose membrane was taped on the electrodes to serve as protection layer as well as test sample spreading layer during testing. The test strips were packaged in set of 25 tests in dry vials with humidity absorber.

The electrochemical measurement was performed with PathShodh’s multi-analyte diagnostic platform anuPath™. This platform provides a very versatile programmability for a variety of electrochemical techniques. In particular, for the SARS-CoV-2 ELISA, it is repurposed to carry out highly sensitive square wave voltammetry (SWV), which eliminates capacitive noise currents, thus enabling accurate analyte detection. This platform is also capable of storing more than 50,000 patient records and transfer data to any Bluetooth enabled device.

The clinical samples, EDTA whole blood and serum, used in this study were collected from 4 different centres, namely Samatvat Endocrinology Diabetes Centre, Bangalore, Medical Services Trust, Bangalore, Neuberg Anand Diagnostic Laboratory, Bangalore and Manipal Hospital, Bangalore from subjects presenting themselves for COVID-19 antibody testing. All necessary institutional ethical clearances and consents were obtained. In addition, the clinical validation and test licence (MD-13) was obtained from the Indian regulatory body, Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation (CDSCO). The tests sampled in one of the laboratory analyzers, were also tested using electrochemical ELISA on the same day, but no later than one week’s time. Serum samples were stored in deep freezer, when they had to be tested beyond the day of the collection, while EDTA whole blood samples were used within 24 h of collection. Serum samples were allowed to thaw and stabilize to room temperature just before performing the electrochemical ELISA test.

The electrochemical ELISA test is performed by mixing 10 μL of...
sample (EDTA whole blood or serum) with 40 μL of 500 mM NaCl buffer. The resultant 50 μL sample is dispensed on the active area (working and counter electrodes). After 4 min of waiting time, the square wave voltammetry is performed and the peak current compared against the reference values to first assess if the sample is positive or negative for SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies and if it is positive, then estimate the concentration.

3. Results and discussions

We use the SARS-CoV-2 S1 Spike Glycoprotein conjugated with Horse Radish Peroxidase as the electrochemically active immunoreceptor (Antigen) in this ELISA assay. A very small volume (6 micro-litres) of 120 nM concentration of immunoreceptor, dissolved in phosphate buffer saline/stabilcoat solution is dispensed on the printed carbon working electrodes of the disposable test strips (Fig. 1a). The hand held electrochemical workstation, anuPath™ (Fig. 1b) is programmed to perform highly sensitive square wave voltammetry measurement to obtain the faradaic redox current from the immunoreceptor. Fig. 1c illustrates the typical reduction current peak obtained from the Antigen in NaCl buffer. When the buffer is spiked with 300 nM of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody (IgG and IgM), the reduction current peak decreases as shown as in Fig. 1c. The peak redox current produced in the assay is due to the labelled HRP conjugated antigen present at the electrode surface. That means, when there is no antibody in the system, the free HRP conjugated antigen gives maximum redox current. However, when the specific antibody interacts with the HRP conjugated antigen and makes an immunocomplex, the concentration of free HRP conjugated antigen decreases on the electrode surface. This leads to the decrease in redox current. Thus the change in reduction current is directly proportional to the amount of antibody present in the test solution.

In order to arrive at the quantitative estimation of antibody concentration, the change in reduction current needs to be calibrated against the known antibody concentration. This was achieved by taking known negative EDTA whole blood and Serum samples from different centres and spiked with known concentration of SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal IgG and IgM antibodies. Fig. 2 shows the calibration curve obtained from the functional relation between the change in current versus spiked antibody concentration in whole blood. Each data point was obtained from triplicate measurement of sample on 3 different test strips, to assess comprehend any statistical variation. It is interesting to note that there are two distinct regions, namely 0–50 nM antibody concentration where the current decreases rapidly, 50–300 nM antibody concentration where the current decrease is rather less. This is because the change in current depends on two factors, namely the concentration of antibodies and the availability of free antigen, from the total copies of antibody. The availability of free antigen is very low, the availability of antigen for binding is not a rate limiting step and hence the current decreases linearly with increasing concentration of antibodies at a rapid rate. The 50 nM antibody concentration in 10 microlitres sample volume corresponds to 3 × 10^{11} copies of antigen, which becomes comparable to total antigen concentration. This impacts the availability of free antigen which becomes rate limiting step, resulting in much slower decrease in current. Further both IgG (Fig. 2a) and IgM (Fig. 2b) show similar trends as the assay current depends on free antigen concentration available after the antibody binding process.

Similarly, Fig. 3 shows the calibration curve obtained from the functional relation between the change in current versus spiked antibody concentration in known negative serum samples. The current response in serum is similar to the EDTA whole blood, indicating the suitability of proposed technique for both whole blood and sera sample evaluation.

With this initial evaluation and the development of quantification algorithm, large scale validation experiments were conducted at 4 centres, over a period of 4 months. Appropriate ethical clearance and consent were obtained for this study. There was no specific recruitment for the purpose of this study, instead the samples were collected from the subjects, presenting themselves to the participating centre for their routine evaluations and/or getting COVID-19 serology tests done. The EDTA whole blood and/or serum samples were collected at the centres.

Fig. 2. Change in peak reduction current in EDTA whole blood samples as a function of Spiked (a) IgG, & (b) IgM concentration. For each data point, at least three trials are conducted and their standard deviations are plotted. The reduction current is observed to be decreasing exponentially with increase in antibody concentration.

Fig. 3. Change in peak reduction current in serum samples as a function of Spiked (a) IgG & (b) IgM concentration. For each data point, at least three trials are conducted and their standard deviations are plotted.
and the SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies were tested using one of the lab analyzers, described in Materials and methods section. The same samples were also tested on 5 different anuPath™ analyzers. In order to account for any statistical variation across different analyzers, the samples were randomly assigned to 5 different analyzers for testing. The typical testing protocol included, pipetting 10 μL of sample in a clean cuvette, wherein 40 μL of NaCl buffer solution was added to dilute the sample. The 50 μL sample was dispensed on the test strip that was inserted into the testing port of the analyzer. The sample was allowed to react with the sensing chemistry for a duration of 4 min. Then the square wave voltammetry was performed on the analyzer to measure the peak reduction current and detect whether the sample is positive/negative for SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies. In order to ensure that the assay achieves 100 % specificity, the threshold current was set to a value corresponding to 20 nM antibody concentration. Table 1 summarizes the results from this study. A total of 450 samples were evaluated, of which 252 were EDTA whole blood samples and 198 were sera samples. The anuPath™ electrochemical ELISA assay achieved excellent correlation with FDA authorized lab analyzers, with sensitivity and specificity of 100 %.

Fig. 4 demonstrates the correlation between the quantitative value of total antibody concentration expressed in nano molar units, (estimated from the calibration plots in Figs. 2 and 3) and the optical density value in AU/mL measured by the lab analyzers. For both EDTA whole blood (Fig. 4a) and sera (Fig. 4b) samples, we observe an R² value of greater than 0.85, indicating good performance from the point-of-care electrochemical ELISA analyzer.

Further we performed Bland Altman analysis to assess the agreement between the two assays as shown in Fig. 5. It is noted that there is very good concordance between the two assays with 95 % confidence interval.

As per the international standard (IS), Binding Antibody Units (BAU/mL), prescribed by WHO for SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody assays (Anon, 2021d), we have also mapped the quantitative values estimated by anuPath™ electrochemical analyzer (nM) to BAU/mL. The correlation between LIAISON® SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG assay (AU/mL) and LIAISON® SARS-CoV-2 TrimericS IgG assay(BAU/mL) was utilized to arrive at this mapping (Perkmann, 2021; Anon, 2021e). The mapping from (nM) to IS (BAU/mL) for EDTA blood sample is given by

$$\text{BAU/mL} = 6.18 \times \text{nM} - 68.62$$

and for serum sample

$$\text{BAU/mL} = 8.96 \times \text{nM} - 119.06.$$  

This electrochemical assay was also validated independently by Translational Health Science and Technology Institute (THSTI), Faridabad, and was found to meet the regulatory specifications prescribed by the Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR), with 100 % specificity and 94 % sensitivity.

4. Conclusions

We demonstrate the efficacy of a novel point-of-care electrochemical ELISA assay through multi-centric evaluation for the detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies. The assay uses carbon screen printed electrodes on a disposable test strip, functionalized with

| Table 1 Performance evaluation of anuPath™ Electrochemical ELISA Analyzer. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | EDTA whole Blood Samples    | Sera Samples                |
|                             | Lab Analyzer anuPath™       | Lab Analyzer anuPath™       | Lab Analyzer anuPath™       | Lab Analyzer anuPath™       |
| Negative Samples            | 120                         | 120                         | 110                         | 110                         |
| Positive Samples            | 132                         | 132                         | 88                          | 88                          |
| Sensitivity anuPath™        | 100 %                       | 100 %                       | 100 %                       | 100 %                       |

Fig. 4. Correlation between anuPath™ electrochemical analyzer and lab analyzer in quantification of SARS-CoV-2 antibody concentration in (a) EDTA blood & (b) serum samples. The gold standard antibody concentration (AU/mL) is found to be in excellent agreement with the anuPath™ analyser.

Fig. 5. Bland Altman analysis for agreement in two assays (a) EDTA blood & (b) serum.
SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein (S1) C terminally tagged with a Sheep Fc-Tag and conjugated with electrochemically active Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP). The handheld ELISA analyzer implements highly sensitive square wave voltammetry to measure the reduction current peak from the antigen, which is inversely proportional to the concentration of SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG and IgM antibody concentration. The assay has been validated very comprehensively using 450 samples of EDTA whole blood and sera. The proposed assay achieves excellent specificity and sensitivity as compared with FDA authorized lab analyzers. Given the capabilities such as quantification of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies, ease of use, portability, we expect this technology to be very useful in serological surveys and evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine efficacy. One of the disadvantage of the present assay is the exponential dependence of the redox current with respect to antibody concentration. This is not an issue at the low concentration of antibodies, and hence the classification of positive and negative results is not affected. However, to quantify the exact value of antibody concentration in highly immunogenic response, there will be errors due to saturating behaviour of redox current at high antibody concentration. In future, we plan to address this by functionalizing the two working electrodes with different concentration (low and high) of immunoreceptor, one of them to be sensitive to lower concentrations range and the other one to higher concentration range.
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