Trypanosoma cruzi Utilizes the Host Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor in Invasion
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Abstract

**Background:** Trypanosoma cruzi, an intracellular protozoan parasite that infects humans and other mammalian hosts, is the etiologic agent in Chagas disease. This parasite can invade a wide variety of mammalian cells. The mechanism(s) by which T. cruzi invades its host cell is not completely understood. The activation of many signaling receptors during invasion has been reported; however, the exact mechanism by which parasites cross the host cell membrane barrier and trigger fusion of the parasitophorous vacuole with lysosomes is not understood.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** In order to explore the role of the Low Density Lipoprotein receptor (LDLr) in T. cruzi invasion, we evaluated LDLr parasite interactions using immunoblot and immunofluorescence (IFA) techniques. These experiments demonstrated that T. cruzi infection increases LDLr levels in infected host cells, inhibition or disruption of LDLr reduces parasite load in infected cells, T. cruzi directly binds recombinant LDLr, and LDLr-dependent T. cruzi invasion requires PI(4,5)P2. qPCR analysis demonstrated a massive increase in LDLr mRNA (8000 fold) in the heart of T. cruzi infected mice, which is observed as early as 15 days after infection. IFA shows a co-localization of both LDL and LDLr with parasites in infected heart.

**Conclusions/Significance:** These data highlight, for the first time, that LDLr is involved in host cell invasion by this parasite and the subsequent fusion of the parasitophorous vacuole with the host cell lysosomal compartment. The model suggested by this study unifies previous models of host cell invasion for this pathogenic protozoon. Overall, these data indicate that T. cruzi targets LDLr and its family members during invasion. Binding to LDL likely facilitates parasite entry into host cells. The observations in this report suggest that therapeutic strategies based on the interaction of T. cruzi and the LDLr pathway should be pursued as possible targets to modify the pathogenesis of disease following infection.
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Introduction

The Low-Density Lipoprotein receptor (LDLr) (UniProtKB: P01130) is a cell surface glycoprotein that plays a critical role in cholesterol homeostasis [1]. LDLr is the patriarch of an entire class of receptors called LDL receptor related proteins (LRPs) that contain similar structural modules [2]. The mature LDLr is a modular type I transmembrane protein of 839 amino acids and is composed of a number of functionally distinct domains that can function independently of each other [3,4]. The N-terminus of the receptor contains three types of extracellular modules consisting of cysteine-rich repeats, three epidermal growth factor precursor (EGF) regions, and O-linked oligosaccharides followed by a membrane spanning domain. The C-terminus domain of the receptor contains a signal sequence (NPTY) that is needed for receptor binding to clathrin pits and internalization [5]. The most important physiologic ligand for the receptor is Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL). Members of the LDLr superfamily bind a variety of ligands including lipoproteins, proteinases and proteinase-inhibitor complexes, and transport them into endosomes in the cell [6]. The functional properties of LDLr family members include clustering of receptors into clathrin-coated pits mediated by adaptor proteins, a pH sensitive ligand uncoupling mechanism, and recycling of the receptors back to the cell surface after dissociation of ligands. The transcription of LDLr receptor is regulated by intracellular cholesterol and extracellular stimuli such as TNFα, IL-1β, TGF-β and insulin [7–9]. The signaling pathways leading to activation of Protein Kinase C (PKC), Protein Kinase A (PKA) and intracellular Ca2+ mobilization are also involved in LDLr expression [10]. LDL-containing immune complexes upregulate LDLr transcription. Interestingly, *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A and a minor group of rhinoviruses have been reported to utilize LDLr members to enter into host cells [11].
Trypanosoma cruzi, an intracellular protozoan parasite that causes Chagas disease in humans and results in the development of cardiomyopathy, is a major health problem in endemic areas. This parasite can invade a wide variety of mammalian cells. The mechanisms by which these parasites invade their host cells are not completely understood. Our study highlights, for the first time, that the Low Density Lipoprotein receptor (LDLr) is important in the invasion and the subsequent fusion of the parasitophorous vacuole with host lysosomes. We demonstrate that T. cruzi directly binds to LDLr, and inhibition or disruption of LDLr significantly decreases parasite entry. Additionally, we have determined that this cross-linking triggers the accumulation of LDLr and phosphotyidylinositol phosphates in coated pits, which initiates a signaling cascade that results in the recruitment of lysosomes, possibly via the sorting motif in the cytoplasmic tail of LDLr, to the site of adhesion/invasion. Studies of infected CD1 mice demonstrate that LDLr accumulates in infected heart and that LDLr co-localize with internalized parasites. Overall, this study demonstrates that LDLr and its family members, engaged mainly in lipoprotein transportation, are also involved in T. cruzi entry into host cells and this interaction likely contributes to the progression of chronic cardiomyopathy.

Materials and Methods

Parasitology and pathology

The Brazil strain of T. cruzi was maintained in C3H/He mice (Jackson Laboratories, ME). Six to 8 week old male CD-1 mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and infected IP with 5×10⁴ trypomastigotes. The serum and heart tissues were collected at 15, 20 and 30 days p.i. The mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and about 75 μl of blood is collected from the orbital venous sinus. The mice were then observed for recovery from anesthesia and returned to their cages. The parasitemia was determined using a Neubauer hemocytometer. Hearts were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and paraffin sections were stained with IFA. The animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Albert Einstein College of Medicine (No.20100204). Parasites were also maintained in LcE9 myoblasts as previously described [26].

Mammalian cell culture

Human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) (ATCC CRL 1475), rat cardiomyocyte H9c2 and 3T3-L1 (ATCC CL 173) cell lines are maintained in our laboratory using standard methods as previously published [27,28].

Materials

All the cell culture reagents used in these experiments were obtained from Cellgro (Mediatech Inc.), primary antibodies were obtained from Abcam (MA) and secondary fluorescence antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen (CA) unless other suppliers are specifically mentioned in the text. For each experiment a minimum of 4 mice were used per group and each experiment has been repeated thrice.

Immunoblot analysis

Cell lysates were prepared as previously described [29]. An aliquot of each sample (40 μg protein) was subjected to 7.5% SDS-PAGE and the proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose filters for immunoblot analysis. LDLr specific rabbit monoclonal antibodies (1:2000 dilution, ab52818 Abcam, MA) and horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (1:5000 dilution, Amersham Biosciences) were used to detect specific protein bands (explained in Figure Legends) using a chemiluminescence system [29]. GAPDH (1:5000 dilution, mouse monoclonal Ab5245, MA and secondary antibody horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit 1:2000 dilution, Amersham Biosciences) was used to normalize protein loading.
Immunofluorescence analysis (IFA)

Fibroblasts were cultured on cover slips to 80% confluence and then infected with trypomastigotes (3.1x10^6/cm^2 surface area of culture plates) for 10, 20 and 30 minutes. The fibroblast cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (30min) and stained for LDLr/clathrin/PiP2/LAMP2/LDL using specific primary antibodies rabbit monoclonal to LDLr (Ab52618), mouse monoclonal to Clathrin (1:200, Ab2731), mouse monoclonal to PiP2 (1:300, Ab11039), rat monoclonal LAMP1 (1:150, Hybridoma bank, 1D4B), rat monoclonal to LAMP2 (1:150, Hybridoma bank, ABL93) and goat anti human LDL (1:10, Sigma L 8016) respectively used with the concentrations as recommended by the manufacturers and Alexa fluor 594 (goat anti rabbit or anti mouse IgG 1:500 dilution; Invitrogen, CA), or Alexa 488 (goat anti rabbit or anti mouse or anti rat IgG 1:500 dilution; Invitrogen CA). The cells were stained with DAPI (blue) to detect nuclei following manufacturer’s protocols (www.abcam.com/technical). Images were obtained and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy using an inverted Olympus IX71 with a HQ2 CCD camera and a Nikon Microphot-FXA with Spot camera software. IFA of paraffin embedded tissues were performed as previously published [30].

Inhibition of T. cruzi invasion by PCSK9

HFF cells were pretreated with recombinant proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (0.3ug of PCSK9/cm^2 surface area) for 1h at 37°C. PCSK9 treated and untreated cells were incubated with trypomastigotes (3.1x10^6/cm^2 surface area) for 1h at 37°C. The cells were washed (5 times in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 7.2), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (30 min incubation) and stained with DAPI. The number of parasites/2000 host cells was considered as 100%.

Double staining IFA of infected cells to differentiate bound and invaded parasites

Fibroblast cells were incubated with parasites (MOI 5:1) for 1h, washed to remove unbound parasites, fed with fresh medium and incubated at 37°C. At 4, 15 and 24h post infection, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, blocked in 3%BSA, incubated with anti-parasite mouse serum (serum of infected CD1 mice 1:20 dilution) and secondary antibody fluorescent Alexa 480 (green) to stain parasites bound to the cell surface. Then the cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100, blocked in 3%BSA, incubated with anti-parasite mouse serum and secondary antibody fluorescent Alexa 594 (red) to stain intracellular parasites.

Binding of parasite with recombinant human LDLr

Trypomastigotes (1.8x10^6) were washed twice in PBS and incubated with 5 µg of recombinant hu-LDLr (2148-LD/CF, R&D Systems, Inc.) for 1h at room temperature (final concentration 10mg/ul). The incubation mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5min, washed twice in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium) and surfaced on lysine treated cover slips for 20 min before fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde (30min). IFA was performed for bound parasites using LDLr specific monoclonal antibody (1:100 dilution, for 1h at 37°C) and IgG goat Alexa fluor 594 (1:500 dilution for 1h at 37°C).

As an alternative method we used recombinant hu-LDLr dye conjugate (Alexa fluor 488, prepared as per Invitrogen protocol) to incubate with trypomastigotes for 1hr at room temperature. The parasites were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min, washed with DMEM (2 times) and surfaced on lysis treated cover slips for 20 min before fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde. GAPDH dye conjugate was prepared as above and used as control.

RNA extraction and qPCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the heart tissue of CD1 infected mice using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). Further cleaning up of RNA was performed using RNasea minikit ([QIAGEN Sciences, Maryland]) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription of total RNA and the quantitative PCR was carried out as described earlier using iQ5 BioRad system [16]. The LDLr mRNA levels were detected using PCR arrays designed by SABiosciences (PAMM-030) following manufacturer’s instructions.

DNA extraction and qPCR analysis of parasite load

Wild type and LDLr KO cells (mouse embryonic fibroblast) [31] were incubated with trypomastigotes (3.1x10^6/cm^2 surface area) for 1h at 37°C. The cells were washed four times in PBS to remove unbound parasites and incubated in DMEM containing 10% FBS for 68 hrs at 37°C. Parasite load in these cells was quantitated by real-time PCR as previously described [29].

Quantification of serum LDL, HDL and triglycerides in CD1 mice

Serum collected at day 15, 20 and 30 p.i. from CD1 infected and uninfected mice were used to quantitate serum LDL, HDL and triglyceride levels using E2HL-100 (EnzyChrom AF HDL and LDL/VLDL Assay Kit) following manufacturer’s protocol.

Results

T. cruzi infection increases LDLr levels in infected host cells

Endocytosis of LDL receptor (LDLr) in association with calcium mobilization, its subsequent trafficking to lysosomes, and the release of ligands at low pH are processes reminiscent of those involved in T. cruzi invasion. Interestingly, some rhinoviruses use LDLr members to enter host cells [11]. We hypothesized that T. cruzi may utilize host LDLr to enter the host cells. The association of LDLr with T. cruzi invasion and infection was therefore investigated using in vitro infection of human fibroblast cells (HFF) and murine cardiomyocytes (H9c2). Immunoblot analysis of LDLr protein levels in cell lysates from infected HFF and H9c2 cells using LDLr specific monoclonal antibodies demonstrated that HFF and H9c2 cells incubated with T. cruzi had a two-fold increase in LDLr protein levels within 1h post-infection (p.i.) (Figure 1A and B). The monoclonal LDLr antibodies used in these studies were raised against the synthetic peptide corresponding to residues from the C-terminus of the human LDLr. To determine the distribution of LDLr proteins in uninfected and infected cells immunofluorescence analysis (IFA) was performed using LDLr specific monoclonal antibodies (Figure 1C). LDLr specific monoclonal antibodies demonstrated an even distribution of LDLr around the cell membrane. In contrast, there was a clustering of LDLr in infected cells (10 min p.i.) at the cell membrane suggesting a role for LDLr in infection. These antibodies did not cross react with T. cruzi alone (Figure S1).

Inhibition or disruption of LDLr reduces parasite load in infected cells

To investigate the role of LDLr in parasite trafficking, we pre-incubated HFF cells with exogenous recombinant proprotein
Figure 1. LDLr expression in T. cruzi infected host cells. A. Immunoblot analysis of LDLr in infected cells. Increased LDLr levels were observed in both infected HFF cells (upper panel) and H9c2 cells (lower panel) after 1h incubation with parasites. B. Quantitative analysis of immunoblots. Arbitrary units of the expressed LDLr proteins were quantitated using Alpha Ease FC software. C- control, I-infected, C(HFF)- control HFF cells, I(HFF)- infected HFF cells, C(H9c2)- control H9c2 cells and I(H9c2)- infected H9c2 cells. C. Distribution of LDLr in uninfected and infected fibroblast cells. IFA demonstrated an even distribution of LDLr at cell surface in these LDLr KO cells and could not be dislodged even after 15 minutes of incubation with parasites (arrows). (Host nucleus=HN, bar represents 50 μm).

LDLr and T. cruzi Invasion

convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9), an enzyme which binds to the extracellular domain of LDLr and induces LDLr degradation [32]. The PCSK9 pretreated cells demonstrated a 42% reduction in T. cruzi invasion compared to untreated cells (Figure 2A). Immunoblot analysis of PCSK9 pretreated cells demonstrated no significant difference in LDLr levels between uninfected and infected HFF cells (Figure S2).

To determine whether parasites can invade the cells in the absence of LDLr, we infected embryonic fibroblast derived from LDLr KO mice [31]. Immunoblot analysis confirmed the absence of full length LDLr (120 kDa) protein in KO cells compared to wild type cells (Figure 2B). It should be noted, however, that these LDLr-KO cells express a truncated LDLr as compared to wild type cells (Figure 2B). It should be noted, however, that these LDLr-KO cells express a truncated LDLr as compared to wild type cells (Figure 2B). It should be noted, however, that these LDLr-KO cells express a truncated LDLr as compared to wild type cells (Figure 2B). It should be noted, however, that these LDLr-KO cells express a truncated LDLr as compared to wild type cells (Figure 2B).

T. cruzi exploitation LDLr to invade mammalian cell

The activation of various cell surface receptors and signaling pathways by T. cruzi has been reported by other investigators [22–25]. To examine if trypomastigotes utilize LDLr to activate certain signaling pathways to enter the host cells or if LDLr-assisted pathways are involved in internalization, we performed IFA to observe the localization of LDLr in infected cells. IFA of HFF cells incubated with trypomastigotes demonstrated an association of LDLr with internalized parasites within 10 min of incubation (Figure 3A).

It was previously reported that T. cruzi has an affinity for binding to LDL [33]. We performed IFA of thoroughly washed parasites using LDL specific antibodies and observed no signals for the presence of LDLr (data not shown). To ascertain whether trypomastigotes can directly bind to LDLr or use LDL as a bridge to bind to LDLr, we performed binding studies using recombinant human LDLr (huLDLR Ala22-Arg788). We incubated washed parasites with recombinant LDLr and carried out IFA as described in experimental procedures. IFA using monoclonal LDLr antibodies demonstrated the association of recombinant LDLr with the parasites (Figure 3B). An alternative experiment with fluorescent labeled LDLr also confirmed direct binding between parasites and the LDLr (Figure 3C). Fluorescent labeled GAPDH (control protein) did not bind to parasites and the monoclonal LDLr antibody did not bind to the parasite in the absence of preincubation of parasites with LDLr.

LDLr-Dependent T. cruzi invasion requires PI(2)3

The endocytosis of LDLr and its associated adaptor proteins, including clathrin and PIPs, has been extensively studied. Accumulation of PI(2) and PI(3) at the penetration site of trypomastigotes has been reported [34]. To further confirm the association of PIPs to LDLr during parasite invasion we performed double staining IFA with antibodies specific for LDLr and PI(2)3. IFA demonstrated the co-localization of LDLr and PI(2)3 in invaded parasites in infected HFF cells (Figure 4A).

It had been previously reported that inhibition of dynamin (a protein involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis), drastically diminished T. cruzi entry in both phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells [35]. To investigate the involvement of clathrin in T. cruzi invasion, we performed IFA of 30 min infected HFF cells using clathrin specific monoclonal antibodies. The results as demonstrated in Figure 4B corroborate the involvement of clathrin in the invasion of extracellular parasites into host cells. These antibodies did not interact with T. cruzi alone (Figure S1).

T. cruzi targets LDLr in trafficking to host cell lysosomes

The functional properties of LDLr family members include clustering of receptors into clathrin-coated pits mediated by adaptor proteins, a pH sensitive ligand uncoupling mechanism, and recycling of the receptors back to the cell surface after dissociation of ligand in endosomes [1]. We wanted to ascertain
whether parasites dissociate from LDLr as soon as they enter host cells or co-exist while fused with endosomes/lysosomes in order to gain entrance to the acidic environment important for transformation from trypomastigotes to amastigotes. Therefore, we employed double staining IFA using lysosome associated membrane proteins (LAMP-1 and 2) specific antibodies (Figure 4C and 4D). Fibroblast cells infected with trypomastigotes for 30 min showed the presence of lysosomes surrounding the invaded parasites in association with LDLr. These antibodies did not cross react with T. cruzi alone (Figure S1).

Role of LDLr in in vivo T. cruzi infection

To investigate the role of LDLr in a T. cruzi infected mouse model, we infected CD1 mice with trypomastigotes and analyzed for LDLr mRNA levels in heart tissue 15 day p.i. qPCR demonstrated a significant increase in the mRNA levels of LDLr up to 8000 fold in infected heart tissue compared to control mice (Figure 5A). A serum lipid analysis was performed to examine any changes in LDL, HDL and triglyceride levels in infected mice compared to uninfected mice (Figure 5B). LDL levels in infected mice significantly decreased with time (22% by 15 day p.i. and 50.5% by 30 day p.i.) compared to...
control mice. IFA of paraffin embedded heart tissue of infected mice (15 day p.i.) using LDLr specific monoclonal antibodies (Figure 5C) demonstrated the co-localization of LDLr to the specific area surrounding invaded parasites in heart tissue. We also performed IFA of LDL using LDL specific polyclonal antibodies in these tissues and the results confirmed an accumulation of LDL along with LDLr around intracellular parasites in the hearts of infected mice (Figure 5D). These results demonstrate that in addition to the increase in LDLr mRNA levels in infected tissue there was also a localization of LDLr as well as LDL to areas of pseudocysts containing thousands of parasites.

**Discussion**

LDLr family members share similar structural homology and are involved in lipoprotein and other ligand endocytosis events. Internalization of ligands by LDLr is a complex process which requires a vast assembly of structural coat components and a host of accessory proteins to drive the endocytic machinery. Many signaling pathways and secondary messengers are involved in this process. The mechanisms involved in LDLr endocytosis are similar to that of T. cruzi internalization such as, calcium mobilization, fusion with endosomes/lysosomes, and the requirement of an acidic pH environment. We therefore explored the possible involvement of LDLr in T. cruzi invasion.

The *in vitro* and *in vivo* observations in the current manuscript confirm that T. cruzi utilizes the LDLr in their host cell invasion process. The interaction between T. cruzi and host cells has been extensively reviewed [36–38]. Earlier reports demonstrate that a variety of host receptors become activated during T. cruzi binding and invasion. For example, activation of TLR2 mediated Rab-5 in T. cruzi invasion has been explored. TNF-α, interleukins and cytokines are regulated by TLR-2 activation. Two other receptors namely, “transforming growth factor β receptor and bradykinin receptor” have also been reported to be involved in T. cruzi infection [23,25]. Melo-jorge and Pereira Perrin demonstrated the involvement of receptor tyrosine kinases during T. cruzi invasion [24]. Based on these reports, we propose that T. cruzi binds to host cell membrane receptors and activates many signaling pathways mainly involved in cell proliferation, PI3kinase activation, MAPK signaling and transcription factors, since all these components are known to positively regulate LDLr transcription [7–10].

Our results demonstrate that the parasites directly bind to LDLr and inhibition or disruption of LDLr resulted in a reduced rate of invasion. This mechanism of invasion is associated with PIPs and clathrin. It had been previously reported that inhibition of dynamin (a protein associated in clathrin-mediated endocytosis), drastically diminished T. cruzi entry in both phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells [35]. Earlier reports have demonstrated the accumulation of PIP2/3 around the parasite penetration site and
parasitophorous vacuoles and that inhibition of PI3 kinase resulted in decreased parasite entry [21,34,39]. Here, we report that phosphotidylinositol bis phosphate (PIP2) co-localized to the LDLr/parasite complex (Figure 4A). These data are consistent with the earlier observation of a lysosome independent pathway for parasite invasion [17–20]. It is probable that T. cruzi uses the sorting motif in the cytoplasmic tail of LDLr to recruit the host lysosomes to the site of invasion, which provides the acidic environment to the parasite for its transformation to amastigotes. IFA demonstrated the co-localization of lysosomes around the parasite associated LDLr complex (Figure 4C). While these results are consistent with a role for LDLr in T. cruzi internalization and trafficking to host lysosomes, the exact mechanism through which LDLr recruits the lysosomes to the site of invasion will require further studies. Overall, these observations indicate that both of the current models that exist for T. cruzi invasion (i.e lysosome-dependent and PIPs dependent) are part of the same model in which the LDLr complex machinery connects and completes the process of invasion by this pathogenic microbe.

Our studies employing wild type and LDLr KO cells suggest that the presence of full-length LDLr facilitates the binding and internalization of parasites. Disruption of LDLr binding domains retarded both parasite binding and invasion. IFA revealed that parasites could still associate with the truncated LDLr expressed in LDLr-KO cells. The KO lacks the LDLr binding domain but contains other functional regions of the LDLr including the C-terminus. The monoclonal LDLr antibodies employed were raised against the synthetic peptide corresponding to residues from the C-terminus of the human LDLr. The NPXY motif at the C-terminal sequence of LDLr is involved in the internalization signaling [5] and persists in the KO construct. Further investigations will be necessary to determine if other members of the LDLr family are also involved in parasite invasion in the absence of full length LDLr or if the truncated LDLr itself was involved in the reduced rate (30% of wild type) of parasite internalization seen in the LDLr KO cells.

Acutely infected mice displayed a significant decrease in plasma LDL levels. In addition, LDL was increased at areas where parasites were present in the heart. The infection-associated increase in phospholipids, triglycerides, and fatty acids could contribute to the pathogenesis of chagasic heart disease [40]. Our data strongly suggest that LDLr and its family members play an important role in T. cruzi invasion and the subsequent lysosomal recruitment that facilitates transformation of trypomastigotes into amastigotes. LDL may facilitate parasite entry and also contribute to LDL-parasite immune complexes regulating LDLr levels [41]. Further research on the mechanism by which this parasite interacts with the host LDLr/clathrin complex is justified. In addition, the observations in this report suggest that therapeutic strategies based on the interaction of T. cruzi and the LDLr pathway should be pursued as possible targets to modulate the consequences of infection.

Figure 4. Role of LDLr and its associates in trafficking T. cruzi into host lysosomes. A. PIP2/3 is associated with LDLr during invasion. Co-localization of PIP2/PIP3 (green) and LDLr (red) with parasite (DAPI) is demonstrated by triple staining IFA. B. Parasite utilizes LDLr/clathrin complex to enter host cells. Triple staining IFA of infected 3T3-L1 cells demonstrated the co-localization of clathrin (green) with LDLr (red) and parasites (DAPI). C. Presence of LDLr during lysosomal fusion with parasites during infection. Parasite trafficking to lysosomes (LAMP1- green) by LDLr (red) is demonstrated by the co-localization lysosome, LDLr and parasite as shown by IFA. (green arrow represents the presence of LAMP1 around parasitophorous vacuoles. D. Co-localization of total LAMP-2 with parasites during infection. Triple staining IFA demonstrated the co-localization of LAMP-2 (green) with LDLr (red) and parasites (DAPI) (bar represents 50μm).

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000953.g004
Supporting Information

**Figure S1**  LDLr, Clathrin, LAMP antibodies do not cross react with parasite alone. Double staining IFA demonstrated no cross reactivity with parasites alone. Equivalent amounts of LAMP-1 and LAMP-2 antibodies were used and demonstrate that none of these antibodies cross reacts with *T. cruzi* (bar represents 50 μm).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000953.s001 (1.03 MB TIF)

**Figure S2**  Immunoblot analysis of LDLr in PCSK 9 treated cells. Cell lysates from *T. cruzi* infected (1h p.i.) PCSK9 treated cells were analyzed for LDLr expression by immunoblot. No change in LDLr level was observed between uninfected and infected cells in contrast to PCSK9 untreated cells (Figure 1A).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000953.s002 (0.08 MB TIF)

**Figure S3**  Binding versus internalization in LDLr KO cells. Double staining IFA of wild type (A) and LDLr KO (B) cells demonstrated the reduced number of internalized parasites (bright red) in KO cells compared to wild type and the presence of bound parasites in both wild type and KO cells (yellow) (bar represents 50 μm).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000953.s003 (0.83 MB TIF)
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