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Abstract

Based on the analogies between mapping class groups and absolute Galois groups, we introduce an arithmetic pro-$\ell$ analogue of Orr invariants for a Galois element associated with Galois action on étale fundamental groups of punctured projective lines. At the same time, we also introduce pro-$\ell$ Orr space as an arithmetic analogue of Orr space whose third homotopy group is a target group of Orr invariant. We then determine its rank as $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module following Igusa-Orr’s computation. Moreover, we investigate its relation with Ellenberg’s obstruction to $\pi_1$-sections associated with lower central series filtration in the context of Grothendieck’s section conjecture.
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1 Introduction

In low dimensional topology, Milnor invariants are important invariants to distinguish string links up to link homotopy ([HaLi]). Milnor invariants are known as finite type (Vassiliev) invariants of string links. Habegger and Masbaum [HaMa] give an explicit formula relating the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants and Kontsevich invariants of string links.

Orr introduced an invariant $\theta_k$ for a based link in [O1]. The invariant takes values in the third homotopy group $\pi_3(K_k)$ of certain space $K_k$, we call it the Orr space, obtained from an Eilenberg-MacLane space of a $k$-th nilpotent quotient of the free group. He showed that his invariants are defined for based link whose Milnor invariants of length $\leq k$ vanish, and the invariant $\theta_k$ contains all information of Milnor invariants of lengths $k + 1$ to $2k - 1$.

In this paper, we introduce and investigate an arithmetic pro-$\ell$ analogue of Orr invariants $\theta_k^{(\ell)}$ and Orr space $K_k^{(\ell)}$ for a based Galois element of an absolute Galois group based on analogies between mapping class groups and absolute Galois groups as in Kodani-Morishita-Terashima [KMT]. In fact, we treat a Galois element as if it were a mapping class of some pro-space and construct “mapping torus” and perform “surgery” as usual arguments in topology. Our main tool for performing it is homotopy theory of pro-spaces and their profinite completion which are introduced by Artin-Mazur [AM] in their study of étale
homotopy theory and after them further developed by Friedlander [F] and Sullivan [Su]. In [KMT], Ihara’s work ([11], [12]) is reinterpreted from the viewpoint of arithmetic topology. More concretely, Jacobi sums and Soulé characters are shown to have expressions as linear combinations of arithmetic $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants of Galois elements. Hence, our arithmetic Orr invariants may be thought of as a refinement of Jacobi sums and Soulé characters.

Second, we compute the rank of the third homotopy and homology groups of the arithmetic Orr space using a pro-$\ell$ analogue of methods in Igusa-Orr [IO]. These computations are closely related to the dimension of vector space of $H$-colored tree Jacobi diagrams as shown by Massuyeau [Ma] in his study of a relationship between total Johnson map and LMO homomorphism.

Finally, we relate arithmetic Orr invariants to refinements of Ellenberg’s obstructions introduced in the context of Grothendieck’s section conjecture (E, see also [W1], [W2] and [W3]). In fact, we introduce a double indexed version of Ellenberg’s obstructions with a double indexed nilpotent tower not only via the nilpotent quotient by Ellenberg but also via the Johnson filtration, and show that the vanishing of the obstructions is equivalent to the vanishing of a 1-cocycle obtained from arithmetic Orr invariants (Theorem 9.2.5). In addition, we also give an explicit vanishing condition of arithmetic Orr invariants in terms of $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants for the case of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ minus three points in lower degree case (Theorem 9.3.2). For this, we apply a technique developed in Habegger-Masbaum [HaMa] which relates tree Jacobi diagrams and Milnor invariants. As a byproduct, we give a simple diagrammatic proof of Ihara’s computation of vanishing of $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants with repetitive indices (Proposition 9.3.1).

Outline

Here is an outline of this article. In Section 2, for the convenience of readers, we review background material, such as pro-objects, profinite completion of homotopy types, Galois actions on étale fundamental groups, pro-$\ell$ Magnus embeddings, and $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants. In Section 3, we define an arithmetic analogue of Orr space $K_k^{(\ell)}$ and Orr invariants $\theta_k^{(\ell)}$ for a based Galois element. In addition, we also consider the image $\tau_k^{(\ell)}$ of the invariants under Hurewicz homomorphism. In Section 4, we recall Igusa-Orr computation [IO] of low dimensional homology groups for free nilpotent Lie algebra and see how it works in our situation. In Section 5, we compute low dimensional homology groups for torsion-free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ groups by considering a pro-$\ell$ version of Igusa-Orr computation. In section 6, we compute the rank of the third homotopy group of a pro-$\ell$ (complete) Orr space $\widehat{K}_k^{(\ell)}$. In Section 7, we recall Massey products and their basic properties. In Section 8, we study basic (algebraic) properties of pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants $\theta_k^{(\ell)}$ and $\tau_k^{(\ell)}$. In Section 9, as an application of what we have done, we study pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants in the context of Grothendieck’s section conjecture. In particular, we investigate a relation between Ellenberg obstructions and our arithmetic Orr invariants. Moreover, we give an explicit condition of vanishing of pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants in terms of $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants via tree Jacobi diagrammatic technique developed in [HaMa] for lower degrees. Besides, we also give a simple diagrammatic proof of Ihara’s computation of $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants with repetitive indices.

Notation

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the ring of (rational) integers, the field of rational numbers, and the field of complex numbers respectively. Throughout this paper, the symbol $\ell$ stands for a fixed (rational) prime number in $\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$, $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ the ring of $\ell$-adic integers, the field of $\ell$-adic numbers, and the finite field of order $\ell$ respectively.
For subgroups $A, B$ of a (topological) group $G$, we denote by $[A, B]$ the closed subgroup of $G$ (topologically) generated by commutators $[a, b] := aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. For a (topological) group $G$, we denote by $\Gamma_k(G)$ the $k$-th lower central subgroup recursively defined as $\Gamma_1(G) := G$ and $\Gamma_{k+1}(G) := [\Gamma_k(G), G]$ ($k \geq 1$).

For a (topological) group $G$ and a positive integer $n$, the symbol $K(G,n)$ denotes an Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $(G,n)$. By abuse of notation, for a pro-group $G$, an Eilenberg-MacLane pro-space is denoted by the same symbol $K(G,1)$.

For a group $G$, we denote by $\hat{G}$ or $\hat{G}^\ell$ the profinite completion of $G$. Similarly, we denote by $\hat{\pi}_i(X)$ and its pro-$\ell$ completion by $\hat{\pi}_i^{(\ell)}(X)$.

For a number field $K$ and an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$, we denote by $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ or $\Gamma_K$ the absolute Galois group of $K$.

For topological spaces $X$ and $Y$, $X \sim Y$ means that $X$ and $Y$ are weak homotopy equivalent.

We denote by $\text{Sets}, \text{Grp}, \text{Ab}$ the category of sets, groups, and Abelian groups respectively. For a category $C$, we often write $X \in C$ to mean that $X$ is an object of $C$.

The symbol $\hat{\otimes}$ stands for the complete tensor product or graded tensor product depending on context when there is no possibility of confusion.

## 2 Background material

For the convenience of readers, this section reviews background material such as pro-objects, profinite completion of homotopy types, Galois action on étale fundamental groups, pro-$\ell$ Magnus embeddings, and $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants of based Galois elements.

### 2.1 Pro-objects

In Section 2.1 to 2.3, we recall the basics of the idea of profinite completion of homotopy type of CW complex founded by Artin and Mazur in [AM]. For details see [AM] and [Su]. In particular, [Su] contains several concrete helpful examples.

To begin with, we recall the notion of pro-object in some category $C$. A category $I$ is said to be cofiltering if it satisfies the following properties (i) and (ii):

(i) for any pair of objects $i, j \in \text{Ob}(I)$, there is an object $k \in \text{Ob}(I)$ and morphisms with

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & k & \\
 i & \downarrow & \\
 & j &
\end{array}
$$

(ii) for any pair of morphisms $f, g : i \to j$, there is a morphism $h : k \to i$ such that two composites are equal $f \circ h = g \circ h : k \to j$.

**Remark 2.1.1.** By reversing arrows in the definition above, we get a definition of filtering category.

Let $C$ be a category and $I$ be a cofiltering index category. A pro-object in the category $C$ is a covariant functor

$$X : I \to C.$$  

(1)
For each index \( i \in I \), we denote its value \( X(i) \) by \( X_i \). By identifying a pro-object \( X \) and its values, we often use the notation

\[
X = \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \tag{2}
\]

Since the index category \( I \) is cofiltering, one can regard a pro-object \( \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \) as an inverse system of objects of \( C \).

Now we recall the definition of the category \( \text{pro-} C \) of pro-objects valued in \( C \). The objects of \( \text{pro-} C \) are pro-objects \( X = \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \) and the set of morphisms \( \text{Hom}(X,Y) \) is given by

\[
\text{Hom}(X,Y) := \lim_{\longleftarrow} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(X_i,Y_j).
\]

for any pro-objects \( X = \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \) and \( Y = \{ Y_j \}_{j \in J} \).

**Remark 2.1.2.** We note that in \([AM]\) they define a pro-object as a contravariant functor on a filtering index category.

### 2.2 Pro-objects in the category of groups and homotopy category

This section recalls pro-objects in the category of groups and that of the homotopy category to fix notations.

Let \( \text{Grp} \) the category of groups whose objects are groups and morphisms are homomorphism. Then, \( \text{pro-} \text{Grp} \) is the category whose objects are pro-objects \( G = \{ G_i \}_{i \in I} \) of groups and morphisms are given as \( \text{Hom}(G,H) = \lim_{\longleftarrow} \text{colim}_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(G_i,H_j) \) for pro-objects \( G = \{ G_i \}_{i \in I} \) and \( H = \{ H_j \}_{j \in J} \). We call the category \( \text{pro-} \text{Grp} \) the category of pro-groups and its objects pro-groups. One may regard the category \( \text{pro-} \text{Grp} \) is obtained from the category \( \text{Grp} \) by formally adding inverse systems. Note that any group \( G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Grp}) \) can be thought of as an object of \( \text{pro-} \text{Grp} \) by considering constant inverse system \( \{ G \}_{i \in I} \). Hence, we have a functor

\[
\text{Grp} \to \text{pro-} \text{Grp} \tag{4}
\]

Next, we consider the homotopy category. Let \( H \) be the category whose objects are connected CW complexes and morphisms are given homotopy classes of continuous maps between them denoted by \([X,Y]\) for \( X, Y \in \text{Ob}(H) \). Similarly, we denote by \( H_* \) the category of pointed connected CW complexes. In this article, we refer to \( H \) and \( H_* \) as homotopy category and pointed homotopy category respectively. Similar as the category of groups, we define pro-category \( \text{pro-} H \) and \( \text{pro-} H_* \) by obvious manner and we have a functor

\[
H \to \text{pro-} H \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
H_* \to \text{pro-} H_* \tag{6}
\]

In this article, we also refer to (pointed) CW complexes as (pointed) spaces.

For pointed pro-spaces, we can consider their homotopy groups, homology groups and cohomology groups similar to the usual pointed spaces case as follows: For each non-negative integer \( k \geq 0 \), the homotopy group functor \( \pi_k \) is defined as

\[
\pi_k : \text{pro-} H_* \to \text{pro-} \text{Grp}, \quad X = \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \mapsto \pi_k(X) := \{ \pi_k(X_i) \}_{i \in I}, \tag{7}
\]

the homology group functor \( H_k \) is defined as

\[
H_k : \text{pro-} H_* \to \text{pro-} \text{Ab}, \quad X = \{ X_i \}_{i \in I} \mapsto H_k(X) := H_k(X; \mathbb{Z}) := \{ H_k(X_i; \mathbb{Z}) \}_{i \in I}, \tag{8}
\]
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and the cohomology group functor $H^k$ is defined as

$$H^k : \text{pro-}H_* \to \text{pro-Ab}, \quad X = \{X_i\}_{i \in I} \mapsto H^k(X; \mathbb{Z}) := \{H^k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})\}_{i \in I}. \quad (9)$$

Here, note that $\{H^k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})\}_{i \in I} = \text{colim}_i H^k(X_i; \mathbb{Z})$.

We define homology and cohomology groups with coefficients in pro-module twisted by fundamental pro-group as follows: For a pro-space $X = \{X_i\}_{i \in I} \in \text{pro-}H_*$, take an Abelian group $A$ with representation $\tau : \pi_1(X) \to \text{Aut}(A)$. Then, we define twisted homology group $H_k(X; A)$ and $H^k(X; A)$ as

$$H_k(X; A) = \{H_k(X_i; A_{\phi})\}_{(i, \phi)} \quad (10)$$

and

$$H^k(X; A) = \text{colim}_{(i, \phi)} H^k(X_i; A_{\phi}) \quad (11)$$

where the index category consists of pairs $(i, \phi)$ such that $\phi : \pi_1(X_i) \to \text{Aut}(A)$ is a homomorphism representing $\tau$.

**Remark 2.2.1.** The above definitions of homotopy groups and (co)homology groups are the same as in [AMI §2].

Next, we also define twisted homology group with coefficients in a pro-$[\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\pi_1(X)]$-module $B$ as follows: For a pro space $X = \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ and a pro-module $B = \{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ where each $B_i$ is a pro-$[\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\pi_1(X_i)]$-module. Then, we set

$$H_n(X; B) = \{H_n(X_i; B_i)\}_{i \in I} \quad (12)$$

Note that this definition corresponds to that of the profinite group homology group with coefficients in $B$ (cf. Lemma 2.2).

### 2.3 Completion in the homotopy category

With notations in Section 2.1 and 2.2, let us recall the notion of pro-$\mathcal{C}$ completion in the homotopy category.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of finite groups, i.e., $\mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory of Grp whose objects are finite groups (see [RZ, Section 2.1]). Denote by pro-$\mathcal{C}$ the category of pro-objects in the class $\mathcal{C}$, called pro-$\mathcal{C}$ groups. Then, in our situation, the pro-$\mathcal{C}$ completion of a group is restated as follows: Since each pro-object in pro-$\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as pro-objects in pro-Grp, so we have an inclusion functor

$$\text{pro-}\mathcal{C} \to \text{pro-Grp} \quad (13)$$

and it has a left adjoint, called the pro-$\mathcal{C}$ completion

$$\hat{-} : \text{pro-Grp} \to \text{pro-}\mathcal{C} \quad (14)$$

By composing it with Grp $\to$ pro-Grp, we have a functor

$$\text{Grp} \to \text{pro-Grp} \hat{-} \to \text{pro-}\mathcal{C} \quad (15)$$

and this functor is exactly the pro-$\mathcal{C}$ completion of a group in usual sense. The pro-$\mathcal{C}$ completion is equivalent to the following.

---

In this article we restrict ourselves to the class of finite groups, although the original article ([AMI §3]) treats more general class of groups.
• for $G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Grp})$, the canonical map $G \to \hat{G}$ is universal with respect to maps into pro-$C$ groups,

• for $G \in \text{Ob}(\text{Grp})$, the functor $\text{Hom}(G, \cdot) : C \to \text{Sets}$ restricted to the category $C$ is pro-representable (see [AM, Appendix §2]) in $C$. In particular, the pro-$C$ completion $\hat{G}$ represents the functor $\text{Hom}(G, \cdot)$.

Similar to the group case, Artin and Mazur conceived the notion of pro-$C$ completion of pointed CW complexes as follows. For a class of groups $C$, we denote by $C\hat{H}^*$ the full subcategory of $H^*$ whose objects are pointed connected CW complexes with homotopy groups all in $C$-groups. Then, we have the following analogue of the group completion for pointed spaces.

**Theorem 2.3.1** ([AM Theorem (3.4)]). The inclusion functor

$$\text{pro-}C\hat{H}^* \to \text{pro-}H^*$$

has a left adjoint

$$\hat{\cdot} : \text{pro-}H^* \to \text{pro-}C\hat{H}^*.$$  

Equivalently, we have the followings.

• For $X \in \text{pro-}H^*$, there exists an object $\hat{X} \in \text{pro-}C\hat{H}^*$, called pro-$C$ completion of $\hat{X}$ with a map $X \to \hat{X}$ which is universal with respect to maps into objects of $C\hat{H}^*$.

• For $X \in \text{pro-}H^*$, the functor $[X, \cdot] : \hat{C}H \to \text{Sets}$ restricted to the category $C\hat{H}$ is pro-representable in $C\hat{H}$. In particular, the pro-$C$ completion $\hat{X}$ represents the functor $[X, \cdot]$.

In terms of Theorem 2.3.1 we get a functor

$$H^* \to \text{pro-}H^* \to \text{pro-}C\hat{H}^*$$

which gives the pro-$C$ completion of (pointed) spaces.

**Notation 2.3.2.** For two pro-spaces $X$ and $Y$, we say that $X$ and $Y$ are weak (homotopy) equivalent and denoted by $X \sim Y$ if they are $\sharp$-isomorphic in [AM Definition (4.2)].

**Remark 2.3.3.** It is known that for two pro-spaces $X$ and $Y$, $X \sim Y$ if and only if $\pi_k(X) \simeq \pi_k(Y)$ for any $k$ ([AM Corollary (4.4)]).

**Example 2.3.4** ([Su p. 72], [AM Example 6.12], and [Ser2 I.2.6]). Let $C$ be the class of finite groups.

(i) The profinite completion of the circle $S^1$ is given as

$$\widehat{S^1} \sim \hat{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \sim K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, 1).$$

(ii) The profinite completion of the infinite dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \sim K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ is given as

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}P^\infty} \sim K(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, 2) \sim K(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, 1).$$

(iii) More generally, for finitely generated Abelian group $G$, we have

$$\hat{K}(G, n) \sim K(\hat{G}, n) \sim K(G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}, n).$$
(iv) The profinite completion of an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1)$ is given by

$$K(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1) \sim K(\hat{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{Z}), 1), \quad (22)$$

but for an integer $n \geq 3$, the profinite completion of an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}), 1)$ is not weak equivalent to $K(\hat{\text{SL}}_n(\mathbb{Z}), 1)$;

$$K(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}), 1) \not\sim K(\hat{\text{SL}}_n(\mathbb{Z}), 1), \quad (23)$$

**Remark 2.3.5.** As we see in Example 2.3.4 (iv), for a group $G$, the profinite completion of Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(G, 1)$ is not weak equivalent to $K(\hat{G}, 1)$ in general. The weak equivalence $\hat{K}(G, 1) \sim K(\hat{G}, 1)$ holds if and only if $G$ is “$C$-good” in the sense of Serre ([Ser2, I.2.6], see also [AM, Corollary (6.6)]). Here, for a pro-group $G$, $G$ is said to be “$C$-good” in the sense of Serre if for every twisted abelian $\hat{G}$-module $A \in \mathcal{C}$, there are isomorphisms

$$H^q(\hat{G}; A) \simeq H^q(G; A) \quad \text{for any } q \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

Finite groups, finitely generated Abelian groups, finitely generated free groups, and (pure) braid groups are examples of “$C$-good” groups ([loc.cit.]).

At the end of this section, we give a pro-space analogue of well-known isomorphism between the twisted (co)homology groups of $K(G, 1)$ and the twisted (co)homology groups of $G$. In the following sections, we heavily use these isomorphisms.

**Lemma 2.3.6.** Let $G$ be a profinite group and $K(G, 1)$ be an Eilenberg-MacLane pro-space of type $(G, 1)$. Then, the following statements hold.

1. Let $A$ be a discrete $G$-module. Then, for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$H^n(K(G, 1); A) \simeq H^n(G; A) \quad (25)$$

2. Let $B$ be a profinite right $[\hat{Z}, G]$-module. Then, for each $n \geq 0$ we have

$$H_n(K(G, 1); B) \simeq H_n(G; B) \quad (26)$$

**Proof.** (2) follows from (1) by Pontryagin duality, so it suffices to show (1). Since $K(G, 1)$ is unique up to weak equivalence ([AM, Corollary 4.14]), we may assume that $K(G, 1)$ is given by $\{K(G/U, 1)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is the set of all open normal subgroup of $G$, and hence $A = \{A^U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ where $A^U$ is a $G/U$-module. Then, one gets

$$H^n(K(G, 1); A) = \colim_U H^n(K(G/U, 1); A^U) \simeq \colim_U H^n(G/U; A^U) \simeq H^n(G; A).$$

Here, in the second isomorphism we use the fact $H^n(K(G/U, 1); A^U) \simeq H^n(G/U; A^U)$ and in the final isomorphism is [RZ, Proposition 6.5].
2.4 Étale fundamental group

In Section 2.4 to 2.7, to fix our notations, we recall basics of étale fundamental groups, rational tangential points, Galois action on the étale fundamental groups in our situation following [W3]. For more details, see [SGA1], [D], [Na] and [Wo].

Let $K$ be a number field, i.e., a finite field extension of the rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $\overline{K}$ be a fixed algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $n$ be a fixed integer with $n \geq 2$. Take $n$ distinct points $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ in $K$. Let us consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{\infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \to \text{Spec}(K)$ the projective line minus $\{\infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ defined over $K$. Then, by taking a geometric point $b$ of $X$ (that is, a morphism $b : \text{Spec}(\Omega) \to X$ where $\Omega$ is an algebraically closed field containing $K$), we obtain a functor called fiber functor

$$F_b : \text{Et}_X \to \text{Sets}$$

which takes a finite étale cover $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ to $\pi^{-1}(b)$. Here $\pi^{-1}(b)$ is the set of geometric points of $Y$ over $b$, that is, the set of morphisms $b_Y : \text{Spec}(\Omega) \to Y$ such that $\pi \circ b_Y = b$. Note that a fiber functor plays the role of the set of fiber over a chosen base point as in the usual covering space theory.

In the above situation, a path between two base points are described in terms of natural transformations between functors. More precisely, given two geometric points $b_1, b_2$ of $X$, we denote by $\text{Path}(b_1, b_2)$ the set of invertible natural transformation on the corresponding fiber functors $F_{b_1} \to F_{b_2}$. Note that $\text{Path}(b_1, b_2)$ can be thought of as a pro-object of finite sets $\{F_{b_1}(Y) \to F_{b_2}(Y)\}_{Y \in \text{Et}_X}$ in pro-$\text{Sets}$ and so $\text{Path}(b_1, b_2)$ is equipped with profinite topology. Note that the products of paths $\gamma_2 \in \text{Path}(b_2, b_3)$ are given by $\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_1$. When $b_1 = b_2 = b$, set

$$\pi^\text{ét}_1(X, b) := \text{Path}(b, b) = \text{Aut}(F_b)$$

and call it étale fundamental group of $X$ based at $b$. Note that $\pi^\text{ét}_1(X, b)$ is naturally equipped with profinite topology, i.e., $\pi^\text{ét}_1(X, b)$ is a profinite group.

2.5 Galois action on étale fundamental groups

Keep the notation as in Section 2.4. Let $X_K := X \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(\overline{K})$ the base change of $X$ to $\overline{K}$. Note that each element $g$ of $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts on $X_K$ via the functor $- \otimes_g \overline{K}$ given by the pullback of $g : \text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \to \text{Spec}(\overline{K})$.

A $K$-rational point $b : \text{Spec}(K) \to X$ induces a geometric point $\overline{b} : \text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \to X_K$ of the base change $X_K$. Therefore, the section $\overline{b} : \text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \to X_K$ of the structure morphism induced by the rational point $\overline{b}$ gives rise to the following commutative diagram involving $g \in G_K$ action:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spec}(\overline{K}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \\
\overline{b} \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{b} \\
X_K & \xrightarrow{g} & X_K
\end{array}$$

From the commutative diagram, we obtain the induced natural isomorphism $(- \otimes_g \overline{K})^*F_{\overline{b}} \to F_{\overline{b}}$ between fiber functors. Thus, we finally get a $G_K$-action on the set of profinite paths between two such geometric points.
2.6 Rational tangential points

Next, let us recall the notion of rational tangential points. For more details, see [D, §15] and [Na].

Let $\overline{X}$ be the smooth compactification of $X$. That is, $\overline{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Take a rational point $x : \text{Spec}(K) \to \overline{X}$ of $\overline{X}$. Then, the complete local ring of $\overline{X}$ at the image of $x$ is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series $K[[\varepsilon]]$ in $\varepsilon$ over $K$. Such an isomorphism induces the map from the function field of $X$ into the field of Laurent power series $K((\varepsilon))$ in $\varepsilon$ over $K$. This gives rise to a map, called a rational tangential point,

$$\bar{b} : \text{Spec}(K((\varepsilon))) \to X.$$  \hfill (29)

As in the case of rational points, such a rational tangential point $\text{Spec}(K((\varepsilon))) \to X$ produces a map $\text{Spec}(K(\varepsilon)) \to X$ which factors through the generic point of $X$.

Now, let us consider the field of Puiseux power series $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} K(\varepsilon^{1/n})$. By the same manner as Section 2.5, the geometric point $\bar{b}_1$ gives rise to $G_K$-action between fiber functors $F_{b_1}$ where $G_K$-action on $\text{Spec}(\Omega)$ is induced by $G_K$-action on coefficients of Puiseux series. In this way, we get $G_K$-action on profinite paths between two geometric points induced by rational tangential points. In the following, by a tangential base point, we mean a geometric point obtained by a rational tangential point.

2.7 Galois action on $\pi^\text{et}(\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{\infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n\})$

Finally, we recall a Galois action on the étale fundamental groups of $\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{\infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. Let $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be tangential points based at $a_0 := \infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ respectively.

To begin with, we recall the notion of geometric generators of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), v)$ for a base point or a tangential point $v$ following [Wo §2].

First, we consider the case of $v \in X(\mathbb{C})$. As in the Figure 1, we take a path $\gamma_i \in \text{Path}(v, b_i)$ from $v$ to $b_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ so that any two paths do not intersect and no path self-intersect. For each puncture $a_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$), we take a small loop $l_i \in \text{Path}(b_i, b_i)$ in the opposite clockwise direction. Then, we define the loop based at $v$ by

$$x_i := \gamma_i^{-1} l_i \gamma_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n)$$  \hfill (31)

Moreover, we may assume that the indices are chosen so that, if we take a small loop in the opposite clockwise direction starting from $\gamma_1$, then we meet successively $\gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n, \gamma_0$. Then, $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_0$ forms a generator of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}, v)$ subject to the relation $x_0x_n \cdots x_1 = 1$. In particular, $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), v)$ is isomorphic to free group $F_n$ generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

Similarly, we consider the case of tangential base point $v$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v$ is based at the point $a_0$. Let $v' \in X(\mathbb{C})$ be a point near $a_0$ in the direction $v$. Take a path $\gamma \in \text{Path}(v, v')$ from $v$ to $v'$. Then, we take paths $\gamma_i' \in \text{Path}(v', b_i)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ with the same condition as the former case. Similarly, we may assume that if we take a small loop around $v'$ starting $\gamma$ then we meet successively $\gamma_1', \ldots, \gamma_n'$. We set $\gamma_i \in \text{Path}(b_i, b_i)$ by $\gamma_i := \gamma_i' \cdot \gamma$ for $i \geq 1$ and $\gamma_0 \in \text{Path}(b_0, b_0)$ as the trivial path from $b_0$ to itself. Then, we define loops based at $v, x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$, by obvious manner. Finally, we get generator of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), v)$. We call a generating set chosen in such a way geometric generator of $X$.  
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Let $\tau$ be a geometric generator of $X$, then we have an isomorphism
\[ \tau : F_n \sim \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), v). \] (32)

Then, by [SGA1 II, Corollarie 5.2], we have $\pi_1^\ell(X_{\mathbb{K}}, v) \simeq \pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}), v)$. By taking maximal pro-$\ell$ quotient of them, $\tau$ induces the isomorphism
\[ \tau : \hat{F}_n^\ell \sim \hat{\pi}_1^\ell(X(\mathbb{C}), v) \simeq \pi_1^\ell(X_{\mathbb{K}}, v)^\ell. \] (33)

Since each choice of geometric generator determines such isomorphism $\tau$, we identify a geometric generator and associated isomorphism $\tau : \hat{F}_n^\ell \sim \pi_1^\ell(X_{\mathbb{K}}, v)^\ell$. Note that $\tau$ gives a parametrization of $\pi_1^\ell(X_{\mathbb{K}}, v)^\ell$ by (non-commutative) coordinate system $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of $\hat{F}_n^\ell$.

Then, let us compute the $G_{\mathbb{K}}$-action on generator $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ for a fixed geometric generator $\tau$ with respect to a tangential base point $b_\infty := b_0$ at $a_0 = \infty$. By definition of $x_i$ and $G_{\mathbb{K}}$-action on profinite
paths, for any \( \sigma \in G_K \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have
\[
\sigma(x_i) = (\sigma(\gamma_i))^{-1} \sigma(l_i) \sigma(\gamma_i) \\
= (\gamma_i^{-1}(\sigma(\gamma_i)))^{-1}(\gamma_i^{-1} \sigma(l_i) \gamma_i)(\gamma_i^{-1}(\sigma(\gamma_i))) \\
= y_i(\sigma)^{-1}(\gamma_i^{-1} \sigma(l_i) \gamma_i) y_i(\sigma)
\]
where we set \( y_i(\sigma) := \gamma_i^{-1}(\sigma(\gamma_i)) \). By SGA1 XIII, Corollaire 2.12, we know that \( l_i \) generates the inertia group at \( a_i \). Therefore, \( \sigma(l_i) = l_i^{\chi(\sigma)} \) where \( \chi : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_K^\times \) is a continuous homomorphism. Moreover, it turns out that this \( \chi \) is actually the \( \ell \)-cyclotomic character \( \chi : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \) (cf. [W3 Observation 3.8]). Thus, we conclude that the \( G_K \)-action on \( \hat{F}_n(\ell) \cong \pi_1(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}}, b_\infty)(\ell) \) is given by the form
\[
\sigma(x_i) = y_i(\sigma)^{-1} x_i^{\chi(\sigma)} y_i(\sigma), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)
\]
and
\[
\sigma(x_0) = x_0^{\chi(\sigma)}
\]
where \( y_i(\sigma) = \gamma_i^{-1}(\sigma(\gamma_i)) \in \hat{F}_n(\ell) \), and \( \sigma : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \) is the \( \ell \)-cyclotomic character defined as \( \sigma(\zeta_{\ell k}) = \zeta_{\ell k}^{\chi(\sigma)} \) for all \( k \geq 1 \). Here, \( (\zeta_{\ell k})_{k \geq 1} \) is a fixed system of primitive \( \ell \)-th roots of unity \( \zeta_{\ell k} \) in \( \overline{\mathbb{K}} \).

### 2.8 Magnus embedding

Here, we recall the notion of Magnus embedding of pro-\( \ell \) free groups. For more details, see [Mo2 Section 6.3], [MKS] and [RZ, Section 5.9].

Let \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle) \) be the ring of non-commutative power series, sometimes called a Magnus algebra, in indeterminates \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \). Its multiplicative group of units is denoted by \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle)^\times \). Let \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\hat{F}_n(\ell)] \) be the complete group algebra of \( \hat{F}_n(\ell) \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \). We denote by \( \epsilon : \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\hat{F}_n(\ell)] \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) the augmentation map with augmentation ideal \( (I \hat{F}_n(\ell)) = (I_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \hat{F}_n(\ell)) := \text{Ker}(\epsilon) \).

Then, the pro-\( \ell \) Magnus embedding
\[
\Theta : \hat{F}_n(\ell) \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle)^\times
\]
(36)
is defined by \( x_i \mapsto 1 + X_i \) and \( x_i^{-1} \mapsto 1 - X_i + X_i^2 - \cdots \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). It is known that \( \Theta \) actually gives an injective (continuous) homomorphism. In addition, \( \Theta \) extends to an isomorphism of (topological) \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-algebra
\[
\Theta : \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\hat{F}_n(\ell)] \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle).
\]
(37)

Next, we recall pro-\( \ell \) Magnus coefficients. For \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[\hat{F}_n(\ell)] \), the image \( \Theta(\alpha) \), called pro-\( \ell \) Magnus expansion of \( \alpha \), is given by
\[
\Theta(\alpha) = \epsilon(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I = (i_1 \cdots i_k), 1 \leq i_1 \cdots i_k \leq n} \mu(I ; \alpha) X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}
\]
(38)
where \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \) denotes multi-index of length \( k \) with \( 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n \). For a multi-index \( I \), the coefficient \( \mu(I ; \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) is called the pro-\( \ell \) Magnus coefficient of \( \alpha \) with respect to \( I \).

Note that the pro-\( \ell \) Magnus coefficient may be thought of as a map from \( \hat{F}_n(\ell) \) to \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) as follows: For a multi-index \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \), the map
\[
\mu(I ; -) : \hat{F}_n(\ell) \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell
\]
(39)
sends each element \( x \) in \( \hat{F}_n(\ell) \) to the coefficient \( \mu(I ; x) \) of \( X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k} \) in \( \Theta(x) \).
Remark 2.8.1. (1) For an integer \( k \geq 1 \), let \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle)_{\text{deg} \geq k} \) denote the subring of \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle) \) consisting of elements with degree \( \geq k \). Then, for \( k \geq 1 \), \( \Theta \) gives identification

\[ \langle I \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \rangle^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_\ell(\langle X_1, \ldots, X_n \rangle)_{\text{deg} \geq k}. \]  

(40)

(2) For \( k \geq 1 \), \( x \in \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) if and only if the pro-\( \ell \) Magnus coefficients \( \mu(I; x) \) vanish for all multi-indices \( I \) of length \( < k \).

2.9 \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariant

In [KMT], we introduced the notion of \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants for Galois element following the analogies between absolute Galois groups and pure braid groups. This section recalls these invariants in our situation.

As in section 2.7, we consider the representation

\[ G_K \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1^\ell(\mathbb{P}^1, b_\infty)^{(\ell)}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Aut}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \]  

(41)

which is completely determined by \( n \)-tuple of pro-\( \ell \) words \( y_1(\sigma), \ldots, y_n(\sigma) \). As in [KMT] Lemma 3.2.1, we can choose \( y_i(\sigma) \) such that the coefficient of \( [x_i] \) in \( [y_i(\sigma)] \in H_1(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) is zero \((1 \leq i \leq n)\). In the following, we assume that \( y_1(\sigma), \ldots, y_n(\sigma) \) satisfy such condition.

Then, for multi-index \((i_1 \cdots i_k)i\), the \( \ell \)-adic Milnor \( \mu \)-invariant \( \mu(\sigma; (i_1 \cdots i_k)i)) \) is defined as

\[ \mu(\sigma; (i_1 \cdots i_k)i) := \mu((i_1 \cdots i_k); y_i(\sigma)) \]  

(42)

the pro-\( \ell \) Magnus coefficient of \( y_i(\sigma) \) with respect to the multi-index \((i_1 \cdots i_k)i\).

Remark 2.9.1. (1) Our definition is different from that of [KMT] where \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants are defined as Magnus coefficients for \( y_i(\sigma)^{-1} \) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\) in our notation. (2) The \( \ell \)-adic Milnor \( \mu \)-invariants are considered as invariants for triple \( (\sigma, \tau, \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}) \) where \( \sigma \) is an element in \( G_K \), \( \tau \) is a geometric generator associated with a tangential base point at \( \infty \), \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \) are \( K \)-rational points in \( X \). (3) In [HiMo], Hirano-Morishita study mod \( \ell \) version of \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants. (4) Our \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants are also computable from \( \ell \)-adic iterated integral by Wojtkowiak ([Wo]).

3 Pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariants for absolute Galois groups

In [O1], K. Orr gives homotopy invariants, called Orr invariants, for a based link in \( S^3 \). The invariants take values in the third homotopy group of a space \( K_k \), we call Orr space following [C], constructed from a free nilpotent group of nilpotency class \( k \). The Orr invariant for a based link has all information of Milnor invariants of length \( l \) with \( k \leq l \leq 2k - 1 \). In this section, we define a pro-\( \ell \) analogue of Orr invariants for some automorphism groups of the pro-\( \ell \) free group of rank \( n \).

3.1 Profinite group \( G \)-action on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) and Johnson filtration

Here, we consider the profinite group \( G \)-action on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) which is a model of the Galois action on étale fundamental group of the punctured projective line as in 2.7. In addition, we recall the notion of Johnson filtration of \( G \).

Let \( G \) be a profinite group which acts on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) continuously by

\[ g(x_i) = y_i(g)^{-1}x_i^{x_i(g)}y_i(g) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \]  

(43)
with
\[ g(x_0) = x_0^{\chi(g)} \]
where \( \chi : G \to \mathbb{Z}_1^\times \) be a (continuous) homomorphism, \( y_i(g) \) is an element in \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( x_0 := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^{-1} \). Then, we can choose \( y_i(g) \) uniquely so that the coefficient of \( [x_i] \) is 0 in its abelianization \( [y_i(g)] \in \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) (cf. [KMT, Lemma 3.2.1]).

Note that by considering the action of the symmetric group of degree \( n + 1 \) on indices of generators \( x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_0 \), we can freely choose an index \( i \) such that \( G \) acts on \( x_i \) by \( x_i^{\chi(g)} \). For simplicity, throughout this section, we fix the above choice of generators and \( G \)-action on them.

Next, we recall the notion of Johnson filtration of \( G \) associated with lower central series of \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \). For a non-negative integer \( k \geq 0 \), we denote by \( G[k] \) the subgroup of \( G \) consisting of elements that act on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) trivially and call it \( k \)-th Johnson subgroup of \( G \). Then, we have the following descending filtration, called the Johnson filtration, of \( G \)
\[ G = G[0] \supset G[1] \supset G[2] \supset \cdots \supset G[k] \supset \cdots . \]

**Remark 3.1.1.** (1) \( G[1] = \ker(\chi) \subset G \). (2) Let \( k \geq 1 \) be an integer. For \( g \in G \), \( g \in G[k] \) if and only if \( y_i(g) \in \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) for any \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

### 3.2 The space \( E_g \) associated with \( G[1] \)-action on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \)

In this section, we define a space \( E_g \) associated with a profinite group action on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) as a pro-space realisation of “pro-\( \ell \) link group” of \( g \in G[1] \) defined in [KMT, Section 3.3].

Let \( D_n \) denote the \( n \)-punctured 2-disc. Take a base point \( b \in \partial D_n \) and fix once and for all. We denote by \( \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \) the pro-\( \ell \) completion of \( D_n \). Note that \( \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \) is an Eilenberg-MacLane space \( K(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, 1) \) of type \( (\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, 1) \) since \( D_n = K(F_n, 1) \) and \( F_n \) is “\( \ell \)-good” in the sense of Serre. We note that, up to weak equivalence, there is no difference between \( n \)-punctured disk and \( n + 1 \)-punctured 2-dimensional sphere.

To identify \( \pi_1(\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}, b) \) with \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \), we prepare the notion of basing as in [O1].

**Definition 3.2.1** (Basing). Let \( T \) denote a set of isomorphisms \( \tau : \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \to \pi_1(\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}, b) \) satisfy the following property: For any \( \tau, \tau' \in T \) there is the unique isomorphism \( \psi : \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \to \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) such that
\[ \psi(x_i) = w_i^{-1}x_iw_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad \psi(x_n \cdots x_1) = x_n \cdots x_1, \]
and
\[ \tau \circ \psi = \tau' \]
for some \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \). We call an element \( \tau \in T \) a basing.

**Example 3.2.2.** Geometric generators associated with a tangential base point at \( \infty \) in Section 2.7 are examples of basing.

By choosing a basing \( \tau \), we identify \( \pi_1(\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}, b) \) with \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \), and so \( \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \) with \( K(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, 1) \). Note that, for any group \( G \), the group of homotopy classes of homotopy equivalences of \( K(G, 1) \) is canonically identified with automorphism group of \( G \). Thus, corresponding to the \( G[1] \)-action on \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \), there is the homotopy equivalence \( \varphi_g : K(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, 1) \to K(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, 1) \) for any \( g \in G[1] \). In particular, we obtain the homotopy equivalence
\[ \varphi_g : \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \to \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}. \]
More concretely, \( \varphi_\tau \) is given as an inverse limit \( \{(\varphi_\tau)_N\}_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \) corresponding to \( \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} = \{(\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)})_N\}_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \). Here, \( (\varphi_\tau)_N : (\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)})_N \to (\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)})_N \) is a class of homotopy equivalence and \( \mathcal{N} \) denotes the set of open normal subgroups of \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \). Then, for each \( N \in \mathcal{N} \), we consider the mapping torus associated to \( (\varphi_\tau)_N \). The resulting pro-space of mapping tori is denoted by \( \hat{M}_n^{(\ell)} \). Note that \( \pi_1(M_g) \) is “good” in the sense of Serre by homotopy exact sequence of fiber space and \( [\text{Ser}^2\text{2}, (d) \text{ page } 16] \). Thus, its pro-\( \ell \) completion denoted by \( \hat{M}_n^{(\ell)} \) is an Eilenberg-MacLane space of type \((\pi, 1)\).

Similarly, we glue \( D^2 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \) with \( M_g \) as follows. There is an inclusion \( \iota : S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \hookrightarrow D^2 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \) induced by the canonical inclusion \( S^1 \to \partial D^2 \) and the identity map of \( \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \). Let \( \eta : \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \) be the continuous map induced from the inclusion \( S^1 \hookrightarrow \partial D_n \). By using \( \eta \), we consider a continuous map \( \phi : [0,1] \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \times [0,1] \) which sends \((x, y)\) to \((\eta(y), x)\). Composition of \( \phi \) and the canonical projection \( \hat{D}_n^{(\ell)} \times [0,1] \to M_g \) induces a continuous map \( \phi : S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to M_g \) denoted by the same \( \phi \) by abuse of notation. Then, we define \( E_g \) as the (homotopy) pushout of \( \phi : S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to M_g \) and \( \iota : S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \hookrightarrow D^2 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & D^2 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\
M_g & \longrightarrow & M_g \cup_{\phi} (D^2 \times \hat{S}^{1(\ell)}) =: E_g
\end{array}
\]

Here, note that the pro-spaces \( E_g \) that appear in the above commutative diagram is homotopy equivalent to the pro-space obtained as the result of the (homotopy) pushout.

By construction, we see that the fundamental group of \( E_g \) has the following presentation

\[
\pi_1(E_g) \cong \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid [x_1, y_1(g)] = \cdots [x_n, y_n(g)] = 1 \rangle
\]

(49)

In particular, for each integer \( k \geq 1 \), the \( k \)-th nilpotent quotient of \( \pi_1(E_g) \) has the following presentation

\[
\pi_1(E_g)/\Gamma_k(\pi_1(E_g)) \cong \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid [x_1, y_1(g)] = \cdots [x_n, y_n(g)] = 1, \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \rangle
\]

(50)

Denote by \( E \) the set of (homotopy equivalence classes of) \( E_g \) for any \( g \in G[1] \) constructed as above. To make its dependency on the choice of the basing \( \tau \) explicit, we also denote it by \( (E_g, \tau) \). Note that in this expression \( (E_g, \tau) \), \( g \) should be understood as an element in \( \text{Aut}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) acting on generators of \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) determined by basing \( \tau \). Then, we define a product of two (homotopy equivalence classes of) pro-spaces \( (E_{g_1}, \tau_1), (E_{g_2}, \tau_2) \in E \) for \( g_1, g_2 \in G[1] \subset \text{Aut}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \). First observe that we may define a product of \( (E_{g_1}, \tau_1), (E_{g_2}, \tau_2) \) as \( (E_{g_1g_2}, \tau_1) \) when \( \tau_2 = \tau_1 \) by gluing \( \tau_2 \) with \( \tau_1 \circ g_1 \) as in the case of braid groups. For general case, we set

\[
(E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2) := (E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ_{\tau_1 \circ g_1 \sim \tau_2} (E_{g_2}, \tau_2) := (E_{g_1 \circ \psi_{12}g_2}, \tau_1).
\]

(51)

Here, we glue two basing \( \tau_1 \circ g_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) in terms of the automorphism \( \psi_{12} \) of \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) such that \( \tau_2 = \tau_1 \circ \psi_{12} \) and we set \( \psi_{12}g_2 := \psi_{12} \circ g_2 \circ \psi_{12}^{-1} \). We first show the associativity of the product \( \circ \).

**Lemma 3.2.3.** The above product \( \circ \) is associative.

**Proof.** By direct computation, we get

\[
(((E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2)) \circ (E_{g_3}, \tau_3)) = (E_{g_1 \circ (\psi_{12}g_2) \circ (\psi_{13}g_3)}, \tau_1)
\]

(52)
and
\[(E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ ((E_{g_2}, \tau_2) \circ (E_{g_3}, \tau_3)) = (E_{g_1 \cdot \psi_{12}(g_2, \psi_{23} g_3)}, \tau_1).\] (53)
By definition of basing, one sees that \(\psi_{12} \cdot \psi_{23} = \psi_{13}\). In terms of this equation, we get \((52) = (53)\). Thus, the product \(\circ\) is associative.

If we vary basing, the above product \(\circ\) only satisfy associativity. However, if we fix a basing \(\tau\), the above product \(\circ\) endow the set \(E(\tau)\) consisting of \((E_g, \tau)\) for all \(g \in G\) the structure of group as follows.

**Lemma 3.2.4.** Let \(\tau\) be a fixed basing. The above \(\circ\) gives a group structure on \(E(\tau)\). In particular, the assignment
\[G[1] \to E(\tau), \quad g \mapsto (E_g, \tau)\] (54)
is a group homomorphism.

**Proof.** For the unit element \(e \in G[1]\) and for any \(g \in G[1]\), we have
\[(E_g, \tau) \circ (E_e, \tau) = (E_{ge}, \tau) = (E_g, \tau) = (E_{eg}, \tau) = (E_e, \tau) \circ (E_g, \tau).\] (55)
Hence, \((E_e, \tau)\) is the unit in \(E(\tau)\). For any \(g \in G[1]\), we have
\[(E_g, \tau) \circ (E_{g^{-1}}, \tau) = (E_e, \tau) = (E_{g^{-1}}, \tau) \circ (E_g, \tau)\] (56)
so \((E_{g^{-1}}, \tau)\) is the inverse element of \((E_g, \tau)\). We know that \(\circ\) is associative. Thus, \((E(\tau), \circ)\) forms a group.

**Remark 3.2.5.** The above definition of the product of \(E(\tau)\) corresponds to the product structure of the braid groups (with canonical basing) if we consider that \(G[1]\) is a profinite analogue of the pure braid group as in [KMT].

We complete this section by computing the homology group of \(E_g\) with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\).

**Proposition 3.2.6.** The third homology group \(H_3(E_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\) and the higher homology group \(H_i(E_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(i > 3\).

**Proof.** To begin with, we compute the homology groups of \(M_g\). By considering Mayer-Vietoris sequence for each index \(N\)
\[\cdots \to H_*(((\hat{D}_n^{(1)})_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_*((\hat{D}_n^{(0)})_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \oplus H_*(((\hat{D}_n^{(0)})_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_*(((M_g)_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \cdots\]
and taking inverse limit \(\varprojlim\), we see that \(H_k(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(k \geq 3\) since \(H_k(\hat{D}_n^{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = H_k(\hat{F}_n^{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(k \geq 2\). Here, note that \(\varprojlim\) is an exact functor on the category of compact \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)-modules. Since \(M_g\) is \(K(\pi_1(M_g), 1)\) space, we know that \(H_1(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus n+1}\) and \(H_2(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\oplus n\) by group presentation of \(\pi_1(M_g)\) and Hopf isomorphism.

Next, we show that \(H_3(M_g, S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell\). Note that \(H_i(\hat{F}_n^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(i \geq 2\). Thus, \(H_3(M_g, S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_3(M_g, S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_3(D^2 \times S^1, S^1 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell\). Here, we use the canonical inclusion \(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \hat{S}^{1(l)} \to \hat{D}_n^{(l)} \to M_g\) given by a chosen basing. Therefore, we see that \(H_3(M_g, S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_2(S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell\).

We turn to computation of \(H_i(E_g)\). For \(i \geq 3\), consider Mayer-Vietoris sequence for each index \(N\) of pro-spaces
\[\cdots \to H_*(((S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)})_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_*(((M_g)_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \oplus H_*(((D^2 \times S^1)_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_*(((E_g)_N; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \cdots\]
and take inverse limit \(\varprojlim\). As mentioned above, note that \(\varprojlim\) is an exact functor in this case. Since \(H_2(S^1 \times \hat{S}^{1(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H_2(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) has zero image from above computation, we have \(H_3(E_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell\).

Since \(H_i(\hat{F}_n^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(i \geq 2\) and \(H_i(M_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(i \geq 3\), we have \(H_i(E_g; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0\) for \(i > 3\).
3.3 Pro-\(\ell\) Orr space

In this section, we define a pro-\(\ell\) analogue of Orr space \(K_k\) which is constructed from a finite rank free nilpotent group of nilpotency class \(k\).

Let \(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}\) be the pro-\(\ell\) free group of rank \(n\) freely (topologically) generated by \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) and \(\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})\) be the \(k\)-th lower central subgroup of \(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}\). We set

\[
K^{(\ell)}(k) := K(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}), 1)
\]

an Eilenberg-MacLane pro-space of type \((\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}), 1)\). Let us denote by \(\hat{S}^{1(\ell)}\) the pro-\(\ell\) completion of \(S^1\). Note that \(\hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \sim K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \sim K(\mathbb{Z}_\ell, 1)\) an Eilenberg-MacLane pro-space of type \((\mathbb{Z}_\ell, 1)\) (cf. Example \(2.3.1\) (i)).

Then, noting that the natural surjection \(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \to \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})\) induces the inclusion \(\bigvee \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to K^{(\ell)}(k)\), we give the following definition.

**Definition 3.3.1** (pro-\(\ell\) Orr space). Let \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) be the mapping cone associated to the inclusion \(\bigvee \hat{S}^{1(\ell)} \to K^{(\ell)}(k)\). Then, we call \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) the pro-\(\ell\) Orr space. Let \(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k\) denote the pro-\(\ell\) completion of \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) and we call it the pro-\(\ell\) complete Orr space.

Then, by definition, we immediately see the following.

**Lemma 3.3.2.** The pro-\(\ell\) Orr space \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) is simply connected pro-space. In particular, \(\pi_1(K^{(\ell)}_k) = 0\).

In terms of result of Section 6.1, we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.3.** Let \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) be a pro-\(\ell\) Orr space and \(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k\) be a pro-\(\ell\) complete Orr space. Then, following statements hold:

1. \(\pi_1(K^{(\ell)}_k) = \pi_1(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k) = 0\),
2. \(\pi_2(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \pi_2(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\oplus N_k\),
3. \(\hat{\pi}_3^{(\ell)}(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \pi_3(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k)\).

Here, \(N_k\) is an integer defined in \([80]\).

**Proof.** (1) is a consequence of Lemma 3.3.2
(2) By the proof of Lemma \(6.1.4\) we know that \(\pi_2(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\oplus N_k\), so \(\hat{\pi}_2^{(\ell)}(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\oplus N_k \simeq \pi_2(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k)\). Since \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) is simply connected by Lemma 3.3.2 we get \(\hat{\pi}_2^{(\ell)}(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \pi_2(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k)\) by \([AM]\) Corollary (6.2)]. Thus, there is a desired isomorphism.
(3) Since \(K^{(\ell)}_k\) is simply connected and \(\pi_2(K^{(\ell)}_k)\) is “good” in the sense of Serre, we get \(\hat{\pi}_3^{(\ell)}(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \pi_3(\hat{K}^{(\ell)}_k)\) by applying \([AM]\) Theorem (6.7)].
3.4 Construction of pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants

Now, we are in the position to define a pro-$\ell$ Orr invariant for $g \in G[k]$. From now on, we take an element of $k$-th Johnson subgroup $G[k]$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Then, $y_1(g), \ldots, y_n(g)$ lie on $\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote by $\hat{L}_i^{(\ell)}(g)$ a closed path in $\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}$ which represents the pro-$\ell$ word $y_i(g)$ in $\pi_1(\hat{D}_n^{(\ell)}, b) \cong \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$. Since $y_i(g) \in \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ for all $i$, there is a composition map

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \hat{L}_i^{(\ell)}(g) \to E_g \to K(\pi_1(E_g)/\Gamma_k, 1) \quad (58)$$

whose image is nullhomotopic. By basing $\tau$, we have an induced isomorphism

$$\tau : \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(E_g)/\Gamma_k(\pi_1(E_g)). \quad (59)$$

Thus, we get a map

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \hat{S}_i^{(\ell)} \to K(\pi_1(E_g)/\Gamma_k, 1) \simeq K^{(\ell)}(k). \quad (60)$$

By homotopy extension theorem, we have a following commutative diagram.

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \hat{L}_i^{(\ell)}(g) \times \hat{S}_i^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \hat{S}_i^{(\ell)} \to E_g \to K^{(\ell)}(k)$$

where the vertical map is an inclusion corresponding to relations $[x_i, y_i(g)]$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of $\pi_1(E_g)$ and the $\text{proj}$ sends each $\hat{S}_i^{(\ell)}$ of $\hat{L}_i(g) \times \hat{S}_i^{(\ell)}$ to the $i$-th summand of $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \hat{S}_i^{(\ell)}$.

Lemma 3.4.1. Consider the composition

$$E_g \to K^{(\ell)}(k) \to K_k^{(\ell)}. \quad (61)$$

Then, the above map induces a canonical map

$$\rho^{(\ell)} : S^3 \to K_k^{(\ell)}(\to \hat{K}_k^{(\ell)}). \quad (62)$$

Proof. By considering the completion map $S^1 \to \hat{S}^{(\ell)}$, we obtain the following commutative diagram of homotopy classes of continuous maps inside of the pro-$\ell$ Orr space $K^{(\ell)}_k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S^1 \times S^1 & \to & D^2 \times S^1 & \to & (D^2 \times S^1) \cup \phi (D^2 \times S^1) & \simeq S^3 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
S^1 \times \hat{S}^{(\ell)} & \to & D^2 \times \hat{S}^{(\ell)} & \to & M_g & \to & E_g \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & D^2 \times S^1 & & \\
\end{array}$$
Here, the bottom commutative diagram is the fiber coproduct diagram defining $E_g$ and the top commutative diagram is the corresponding fiber coproduct diagram which gives $S^3$. Note that $\phi$ and $\iota$ induces corresponding maps in the top diagram. In fact, $\phi$ and $\iota$ are defined by the combination of the identity map and induced map from $S^1 \to \partial D^2$, so it induces the map in the top diagram. Also note that the above diagram is valid if it is considered under the map $E_g \to K^{(\ell)}_k$. Thus, by homotopy, we get a desired canonical map $S^3 \to K^{(\ell)}_k (\to \tilde{K}^{(\ell)}_k)$.

Then, we set
\[
\theta^{(\ell)}_k (g, \tau) := [\rho^{(\ell)}] \in \pi_3^{(\ell)}(K^{(\ell)}_k) \simeq \pi_3(K^{(\ell)}_k) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \simeq \pi_3(\tilde{K}^{(\ell)}_k) \tag{63}
\]

Since, up to homotopy equivalence, there is no difference in choice of $E_g$, the homotopy class $\theta^{(\ell)}_k (g, \tau)$ is well-defined. This leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.4.2 (Pro-$\ell$ Orr invariant).** Let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. For a pair of $g \in G[k]$ and basing $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$, the element $\theta^{(\ell)}_k (g, \tau) \in \pi_3(\tilde{K}^{(\ell)}_k)$ is called the pro-$\ell$ Orr invariant for $(g, \tau)$. In particular, when $G = G_K$ and $\tau$ is a geometric generator associated with a tangential base point at $\infty$ as in Section 2.7, we call $\theta^{(\ell)}_k (\sigma, \tau)$ the pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants for a based Galois element $(\sigma, \tau)$.

**Remark 3.4.3.** (1) The pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants for a based Galois element also depends on the choices of the $K$-rational points $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of $X$.

(2) Note that similarly, we can define profinite and pro-$\Sigma$ analogues of Orr invariants for based Galois elements. Here, $\Sigma$ is a set of (rational) prime numbers.

**Theorem 3.4.4.** The map
\[
\theta^{(\ell)}_k : G[k] \times \mathcal{T} \to \pi_3(\tilde{K}^{(\ell)}_k) \tag{64}
\]
is additive under the product of $(E_g, \tau)$ as the following manner:

\[
\theta^{(\ell)}_k ((g_1, \tau_1) \circ (g_2, \tau_2)) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k (g_1, \tau_1) + \theta^{(\ell)}_k (g_2, \tau_2) \tag{65}
\]

for $(g_1, \tau_1)$ and $(g_2, \tau_2)$ in $G[k] \times \mathcal{T}$. Here, $\theta^{(\ell)}_k ((g_1, \tau_1) \circ (g_2, \tau_2))$ means the pro-$\ell$ Orr invariant obtained from $(E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2)$.

**Proof.** In [O1 Theorem 8], Orr gives geometric proof of additivity of his invariants under the connected sum of based links. Here, we give algebraic proof via amalgamated free products of fundamental groups and property of Johnson subgroup $G[k]$.

To begin with, recall that the $\theta^{(\ell)}_k$ invariant of $(E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2)$ is induced from the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigcup_{i=1}^n (\tilde{L}^{(\ell)}_i (g_1 \cdot (\psi_{12} g_2))) \times \tilde{S}^{1(\ell)} & \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} & \bigvee^n \tilde{S}^{1(\ell)} \\
\downarrow \quad & & \downarrow \\
(E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2) & \longrightarrow & K^{(\ell)}(k)
\end{array}
\]

where $\psi_{12} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{S}^{1(\ell)}_n)$ is an automorphism such that $\tau_2 = \tau_1 \circ \psi_{12}$. Note that, modulo $k$-th lower central subgroups, we have an isomorphism

\[
\pi_1 ((E_{g_1}, \tau_1)) \simeq \pi_1 ((E_{g_2}, \tau_2)) \simeq \pi_1 ((E_{g_1}, \tau_1) \circ (E_{g_2}, \tau_2)) \tag{66}
\]
Here, the amalgamated product is induced by homomorphisms, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$I_j : \pi_1(\vee^n \hat{S}^1(\ell)) \to \pi_1((E_g, \tau_j)) \tag{67}$$

so that $I_1 = \tau_1 \circ g_1$ and $I_2 = \tau_2$. Also note that

$$K^{(\ell)}(k) \simeq K(\pi_1((E_g, \tau_1) \circ (E_g, \tau_2))/(\Gamma_k(\pi_1((E_g, \tau_1) \circ (E_g, \tau_2))), 1). \tag{68}$$

Since $K^{(\ell)}(k)$ is roughly a quotient space $K^{(\ell)}(k)/\vee^n \hat{S}^1(\ell)$ and $\vee^n \hat{S}^1(\ell)$ shrink to one point in $K^{(\ell)}$, the above commutative diagram factor through

$$K(\pi_1(E_{g1}) \ast \pi_1(E_{g2}), 1) = K(\pi_1(E_{g1}), 1) \lor K(\pi_1(E_{g2}), 1) \to K^{(\ell)}(k) \lor K^{(\ell)}(k) \to K^{(\ell)}(k) \tag{69}$$

where the last map is folding map. Therefore, corresponding to this factorisation, the above commutative diagram also factorise into

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n(L_1^{(\ell)}(g_1) \times \hat{S}^1(\ell)) \lor \bigcup_{i=1}^n(L_1^{(\ell)}(v_{12}g_2) \times \hat{S}^1(\ell)) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} (\vee^n \hat{S}^1(\ell)) \lor (\vee^n \hat{S}^1(\ell))$$

$$\xrightarrow{(E_{g1}, \tau_1) \lor (E_{v_{12}g2}, \tau_1 \circ g_1)} K^{(\ell)}(k) \lor K^{(\ell)}(k) \to K^{(\ell)}(k).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\theta^{(\ell)}_k((g_1, \tau_1) \circ (g_2, \tau_2)) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_1, \tau_1) + \theta^{(\ell)}_k(v_{12}g_2, \tau_1 \circ g_1)). \tag{70}$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that

$$\theta^{(\ell)}_k(v_{12}g_2, \tau_1 \circ g_1) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_2, \tau_2). \tag{71}$$

Since $\pi_3(K^{(\ell)}(k))$ is an abelian group, as automorphism group of $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$, $G$ factor through its maximal abelian quotient. It suffices to consider the part of $\pi_1(E_g)/[\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1(E_g)), \Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1(E_g))]$ in our definition of $\theta^{(\ell)}_k$. Since $G[k]$ acts on $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ trivially. Then, $g_1$ action does not affect on the words of $y_1(v_{12}g_2), \ldots, y_n(v_{12}g_2)$ in $\pi_1(E_{v_{12}g2})/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1(E_{v_{12}g2}))$, $\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1(E_{v_{12}g2}))$. This means that $\theta^{(\ell)}_k(v_{12}g_2, \tau_1 \circ g_1) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k(v_{12}g_2, \tau_1)$. Finally, we note that

$$\theta^{(\ell)}_k(v_{12}g_2, \tau_1) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_2, \tau_2). \tag{72}$$

Therefore, we have shown the desired additivity. \hfill \Box

By applying the proof of the above theorem, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.5.** Let $\tau$ be a fixed basing. Then, the map

$$\theta^{(\ell)}_k(-, \tau) : G[k] \to \pi_3(\hat{F}_k^{(\ell)}) \tag{73}$$

is additive under the product of $(E_g, \tau)$ as the following manner:

$$\theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_1g_2, \tau) = \theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_1, \tau) + \theta^{(\ell)}_k(g_2, \tau) \tag{74}$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G[k]$.  
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3.5 Image of \( \theta_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau) \) under the Hurewicz homomorphism

This section considers the image of pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariant \( \theta_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau) \) under the Hurewicz homomorphism. Note that, as in usual classical algebraic topology, the pro-space analogue of the Hurewicz theorem is established by Artin-Mazur ([AM Corollary (4.5)]).

Recall that the Hurewicz homomorphism \( h_{*} : \pi_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \to H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \) sends \( \rho \in \pi_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \) to \( \rho_{*}([S^{3}]) \in H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \) for the fundamental class \([S^{3}] \in H_{3}(S^{3}; \mathbb{Z})\).

**Lemma 3.5.1.** Let \( h_{*} : \pi_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \to H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \) be the Hurewicz homomorphism. Then, the followings hold:

1. \( h_{*} \) is surjective homomorphism.
2. \( H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \cong H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \).

**Proof.** (1) Recall that for any path-connected 1-connected space \( X \), the Hurewicz homomorphism gives a surjection \( \pi_{3}(X) \to H_{3}(X) \). Since \( K_{k}^{(\ell)} \) is simply connected, the assertion follows by applying the proof of [AM Corollary (4.5)].

(2) Note that \( \text{Tor}_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) = 0 \) since \( \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \) is flat \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module. Hence, by applying universal coefficient theorem for each index of pro-spaces, we get \( H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \cong H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \). By homology exact sequence for pair \((K(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); 1), \sqrt{S}^{1}()\), we conclude that \( H_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \cong H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \) since \( H_{i}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) = 0 \) for any \( i \geq 2 \).

By Lemma 3.5.1 we denote the Hurewicz homomorphism as \( h_{*} := h_{*} \otimes \text{Id} : \pi_{3}(K_{k}^{(\ell)}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \pi_{3}(\hat{K}_{k}^{(\ell)}) \to H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \).

**Definition 3.5.2.** Let \( h_{*} : \pi_{3}(\hat{K}_{k}^{(\ell)}) \to H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \) be the Hurewicz homomorphism. Then, we set

\[
\tau_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau) := h_{*}(\theta_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau)) \in H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})
\]

**Proposition 3.5.3.** Let \([E_{g}]\) denote a topological generator of \( H_{3}(E_{g}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \). Then, the image of \([E_{g}]\) under the composition homomorphisms

\[
H_{3}(E_{g}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \to H_{3}(K_{1}(E_{g})/\Gamma_{k}(1)(E_{g}), 1); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \overset{\sim}{\to} H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})
\]

coincides with \( \tau_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau) \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.4.1 the composition maps factor through \( H_{3}(S^{3}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \):

\[
H_{3}(E_{g}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \to H_{3}(K_{1}(E_{g})/\Gamma_{k}(1)(E_{g}), 1); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \overset{\sim}{\to} H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \]

By definition of Hurewicz homomorphism, map \( H_{3}(S^{3}; \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \to H_{3}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_{k}(\hat{\mathbb{F}}_{n}^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \) is exactly given by \( \tau_{k}^{(\ell)}(g, \tau) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
4 Low dimensional homology groups for free-nilpotent Lie algebras over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$

In this section, we recall the rank of homology groups of free-nilpotent Lie algebras with dimension $\leq 3$. At the level of Lie algebra, there is no difference in computation of Igusa-Orr ([IO]) between over $\mathbb{Z}$ and over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

4.1 Associated graded free Lie algebra by lower central series filtration of pro-$\ell$ free groups

Let $n$ be a fixed positive integer and $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ be the free pro-$\ell$ group of rank $n$ (topologically) generated by letters $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. For each positive integer $k$, we denote by $\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ the $k$-th lower central subgroup of $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ which is recursively defined as

$$\Gamma_1(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) := \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, \quad \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) := [\Gamma_{k-1}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}), \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}] \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Here, we note that each commutator subgroup $\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ is closed in $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$, since $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ is finitely generated pro-$\ell$ group (cf. [DDMS, Proposition 1.1.9]).

The lower central series of $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ induces a filtration on $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ called lower central filtration

$$\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} = \Gamma_1(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \supset \cdots$$

and we have the associated graded Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$

$$\mathcal{L} := \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \text{gr}_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$$

where $\text{gr}_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) := \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ for $k \geq 1$ with Lie bracket induced by commutator of $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$. Then, $\text{gr}_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus N_k}$ since we have an isomorphism $\text{gr}_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \simeq \mathcal{L}_k$ given by the pro-$\ell$ Magnus homomorphism $\Theta$ which sends $x_i \mapsto 1 + X_i$ and $x_i^{-1} \mapsto 1 - X_i + X_i^2 - X_i^3 + \cdots, (1 \leq i \leq n)$ (cf. Section 2.8). Here, $\mathcal{L}_k$ is degree $k$ part of free Lie algebra generated by $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and Witt’s formula state that $N_k$ is explicitly given as

$$N_k := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d | k} \phi(d)(n^{k/d})$$

where $\phi(d)$ is the M"obius function evaluated at $d$ ([MKS, Theorem 5.11]). Note that $\mathcal{L}$ obviously depends on the rank $n$ of $\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}$ (and also on $\ell$) but we suppress it for simplicity of notations.

We set

$$\mathcal{L}_{\geq k} := \bigoplus_{m \geq k} \text{gr}_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$$

then $\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}$ becomes an ideal of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$. Then, its quotient Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{L}_{\leq k-1} := \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \text{gr}_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$$

is a free object in the category of nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotency class $\leq k - 1$ with exponents in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

For simplicity, under the isomorphism $\Theta : 1 + X_i \leftrightarrow x_i$ we write as

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \text{gr}_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})$$
4.2 Koszul complex of free nilpotent Lie algebras and its low dimensional homology groups

Let \((\Lambda_*(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}), \partial_*)\) be the Koszul complex of the free nilpotent Lie algebra \(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}\) (with trivial coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)), that is, for each \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\), \(\Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\) is given by

\[
\Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) = \begin{cases} 
\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\{g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_m \mid g_i \in \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}\} & (m \geq 1) \\
\mathbb{Z}_\ell & (m = 0) \\
0 & (\text{otherwise}),
\end{cases}
\]  

(84)

where \(\wedge\) denotes the wedge product, and the differential \(\partial_m : \Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) \to \Lambda_{m-1}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\) is given by

\[
\partial_m(g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_m) = \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1} [g_i; g_j] \wedge g_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{g}_i \cdots \hat{g}_j \cdots \wedge g_m.
\]  

(85)

Here, \(\hat{g}_i\) and \(\hat{g}_j\) mean that we remove the terms \(g_i\) and \(g_j\) from the monomials.

Next, we recall the notion of weight of elements of \(\mathcal{L}_k\). For \(g \in \mathcal{L}_k\), we define the weight of \(g\) as the integer \(k\). We denote it by \(wt(g) = k\). For homogeneous element of \(m\)-th exterior algebra \(\Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\)

\[
g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_m \in \Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}),
\]  

(86)

we define its weight by sum of weights of each component:

\[
wt(g_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_m) := \sum_{i=1}^{m} wt(g_i)
\]  

(87)

Let \(\Lambda^{(w)}_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\) be the submodule of \(\Lambda_m(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\) consisting of weight \(w\) elements. Then, we may see that the boundary operator \(\partial_m\) preserve weights, so \(\Lambda^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) \subset \Lambda_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})\) forms a subcomplex. Thus, we have a decomposition

\[
\Lambda_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) \simeq \bigoplus_w \Lambda^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})
\]  

(88)

as a chain complex and we have

\[
H_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = H_* (\Lambda_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})) \simeq H_* \left( \bigoplus_w \Lambda^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) \right) = \bigoplus_w H^{(w)}_* (\Lambda_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}))
\]  

(89)

where we set \(H^{(w)}_* (\Lambda_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k})) := H_* (\Lambda^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}))\).

Note that the reduction morphism of Lie algebra \(\phi : \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1} \to \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}\) preserves weights. Therefore, it induces weight preserving morphism of chain complex and homology groups

\[
\phi_* : H^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H^{(w)}_* (\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell).
\]  

(90)

Then, for the first homology group, the reduction homomorphism induces isomorphism for \(k \geq 2\),

\[
H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_1(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell),
\]  

(91)

and they are concentrated at weight one. Noting that \(H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus n}\), we get

\[
H_1(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus n}
\]  

(92)
Next, by considering the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{L}_{\geq k} \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k} \to 0, \quad (93) \]
we get a weight-preserving five term exact sequence (see, for example [RZ, Corollary A.27])
\[ H_2(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_2(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{d_2} H_1(\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}}{[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_{\geq k}]} \to H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_1(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to 0. \quad (94) \]
Since \( H_2(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0 \) and \([91]\), we get
\[ H_2(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus N_k} \quad (95) \]
and conclude that \( H_2(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}) \) is concentrated at weight \( k \) part.

Finally, we recall Igusa-Orr computation of third homology group \( H_3(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) in our situation. They consider the weighted version of Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for tree, connected\( H_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_1(F_{\mathbb{Q}}; \mathbb{Q}) \)-colored Jacobi diagrams graded by internal degree \( d \geq 1 \) subject to AS, IHX and multilinearity relations (for details, see [loc.cit.]). His computation is also applicable in our situation when we consider \( H_3(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \) and \( H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} = H_1(\hat{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \)-colored Jacobi diagrams. More precisely, we have an isomorphism
\[ \Phi : \bigoplus_{d=k-1}^{2k-3} \mathcal{T}_d(H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_3(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell). \quad (101) \]
However, as mentioned in [Ma, Remark 1.7], when considering $H_3(L/L_{\geq k}; Z_\ell)$ and $H_{Z_\ell} = H_1(\tilde{F}_n^{(\ell)}; Z_\ell)$-colored Jacobi diagrams, we still have a map

$$
\Phi : \bigoplus_{d=k-1}^{2k-3} T_d(H_{Z_\ell}) \to H_3(L/L_{\geq k}; Z_\ell),
$$

(102)

but, in general, $\Phi$ is not a bijection between two such spaces.

## 5 Low dimensional homology groups for torsion-free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ groups

In this section, we compute the low dimensional homology groups for torsion-free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ groups with coefficients in $Z_\ell$ by considering pro-$\ell$ group analogue of Igusa-Orr computation [IO, §6]. Namely, we compute the rank of homology groups of free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ groups through isomorphisms to that of its associated Lie algebras.

### 5.1 Presentation of a torsion-free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ group in terms of basis $B$

Let $G$ be a finitely generated nilpotent pro-$\ell$ group. We denote by $\Gamma_k(G)$ the $k$-th lower central subgroup of $G$ which is recursively defined as

$$
\Gamma_1(G) := G, \quad \Gamma_k(G) := [\Gamma_{k-1}(G), G] \quad (k \geq 2).
$$

(103)

Here, we note that each commutator subgroup $\Gamma_k(G)$ is closed in $G$, since $G$ is finitely generated pro-$\ell$ group (cf. [DDMS, Proposition 1.1.9]).

We assume that, for all $k \geq 1$, the associated abelian groups $\Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ are torsion free and finitely generated pro-$\ell$ abelian groups. Set

$$
gr(G) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)
$$

(104)

the associated graded Lie algebra whose Lie bracket is given by commutator of $G$. In this case, note that for each $k \geq 1$, we can choose a (topological) basis $B_k$ for the free abelian pro-$\ell$ group $\Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ in terms of basis of the free Lie algebra $L_k$ of degree $k$ as follows: It is known that there several choices of (ordered) basis sets such as, Hall basis in [MKS, Theorem 5.8] and Lyndon basis in [CFL]. We fix $B_k(L)$ as such a basis for $L_k$. Then, the pro-$\ell$ Magnus embedding $\Theta$ induces isomorphism

$$
\Theta : \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_k
$$

(105)

as in [S3], since $\Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ is torsion free $Z_\ell$-module. Therefore, we define the corresponding basis set $B_k$ for $\Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G)$ by

$$
B_k = \{\Theta^{-1}(e) \in \Gamma_k(G)/\Gamma_{k+1}(G) \mid e \in B_k(L)\}.
$$

(106)

Here, note that $B_k$ inherits the ordering of $B_k(L)$.

Let $B$ be the union $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k$ of such basis set for degree $k$ elements. We endow $B$ with total ordering such that for any $e_j \in B_j$ and $e_k \in B_k$ we have $e_j < e_k$ if $j < k$.

Then, we define the notion of weight for $B_k$ as in the case of $L_k$.  
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Definition 5.1.1 (weight). Let $k$ be a positive integer. For $a \in \mathcal{B}_k \sqcup \mathcal{B}_k^{-1}$, we define its weight $wt(a)$ as $k$, i.e., $wt(a) = k$.

Definition 5.1.2 (reduced word). For each $a < b \in \mathcal{B}$, define a reduced word $c(a, b)$ in the letters of $\mathcal{B}$ such that

1. $c(a, b) = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba$ as an element of $G$,
2. $c(a, b)$ has weight $wt(c(a, b)) \geq wt(a) + wt(b)$,
3. $c(a, b) = e$ if $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba$ is the identity element in $G$.

In addition, we set $c(b, a) := c(a, b)^{-1}$ as a word in the letters of $\mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 5.1.3. Notations being as above, the nilpotent pro-$\ell$ group $G$ has the following pro-$\ell$ presentation in terms of the chosen basis $\mathcal{B}$ with total ordering as above:

$$G \simeq \langle \mathcal{B} \mid ab(c(a, b))a^{-1}b^{-1} \text{ for all } a < b \in \mathcal{B} \rangle := \mathcal{H}. \quad (107)$$

In particular, each element of $G$ can be uniquely written as a finite product of basis elements with exponents in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ according to the total ordering of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let $F^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{B})$ be the free pro-$\ell$ group on the set $\mathcal{B}$ and put

$$R := \langle \langle ab(c(a, b))a^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a < b \in \mathcal{B} \rangle \rangle \quad (108)$$

the closure of the minimal normal subgroup of $F(\mathcal{B})$ containing $ab(c(a, b))a^{-1}b^{-1}$ ($a < b \in \mathcal{B}$). Here, $F(\mathcal{B})$ denotes the free group generated by $\mathcal{B}$.

By definition of presentation of pro-$\ell$ groups (see [RZ Appendix C]), we have to show that there is a short exact sequence of pro-$\ell$ groups

$$1 \to R \to F^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{B}) \to G \to 1. \quad (109)$$

First, notice that we have the obvious continuous homomorphism $\phi : F^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{B}) \to G$ which sends a letter of $\mathcal{B}$ to the word it represents. Since each lower central subgroup $\Gamma_k(G)$ is automatically closed, any element of $G$ can be written as combination of a letter of $\mathcal{B}$ as in the usual abstract group theory. Hence, $\phi$ is epimorphism and induces an continuous epimorphism

$$\bar{\phi} : \mathcal{H} := F^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{B})/R \longrightarrow G. \quad (110)$$

To complete the proof, we have to show that $\bar{\phi}$ is injective, that is, any word $w$ in $\mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1}$ which is trivial in $G$ is trivial in $\mathcal{H}$. For this, we show that for each $k \geq 1$ there is the unique isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}^{(k, \ell)} = F(\mathcal{B})/R_k F^{(k, \ell)}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq G/G^{(k, \ell)} \quad (111)$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(k, \ell)}, G^{(k, \ell)}$, and $F^{(k, \ell)}(\mathcal{B})$ are $k$-th $\ell$-lower central subgroup of $\mathcal{H}, G, F(\mathcal{B})$ respectively, and $R_k = R/F^{(k, \ell)}(\mathcal{B})$. Here, for a group $H$, $\ell$-lower central series $H^{(k, \ell)}$ is inductively defined as follows: $H^{(1, \ell)} := H$ and $H^{(k+1, \ell)} := (H^{(k, \ell)})^{[H^{(k, \ell)}, H]} (k \geq 1)$.

Then, we have an epimorphism $\tilde{\phi}_k : \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}^{(k, \ell)} \to G/G^{(k, \ell)}$. To show that it is injective, we use the same argument as in [LO Lemma 6.1], namely, by double induction on the ordered pair $(-m(w), n(m(w)))$. 

26
Here, \( m(w) \) is the minimal weight of any letter in a word \( w \) in \( \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1} \) and \( n(m(w)) \) is the number of letters in the word \( w \) of weight \( m(w) \).

Take a word \( w \) in \( \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1} \) which is trivial in \( G / G^{(k,\ell)} \). Note that the minimal weight \( m(w) \) is well-defined when considered as element in \( F(\mathcal{B}) / F^{(k,\ell)}(\mathcal{B}) \). Assume \( m(w) = k \). Since \( G \) is nilpotent and \( \Gamma_k(G) / \Gamma_{k+1}(G) \) is finitely generated, the basis set \( \mathcal{B} \) is finite set, and so the set \( \{ m(w) \mid w \in \mathcal{B} \} \) is bounded. Hence, to proceed induction step, it is enough to increase the weight of \( w \) by exchanging letters in \( w \) of weight \( m(w) \) with those of higher weight.

Since \( w \) is trivial in \( \Gamma_k(G) / \Gamma_{k+1}(G) G^{(k,\ell)} \), a word with cancelling pair of letters of weight \( m(w) \) represents \( w \) in \( \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{(k,\ell)} \) denoted by the same \( w \) by abuse of notation.

We have two cases to consider: When \( w \equiv g_1 g_2 g_3 \in \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{(k,\ell)} \) for some words \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{H} \) and a letter \( b \) of weight \( m(w) \), in terms of the relations \( b^{-1} ab = ac(a,b) \) and \( b^{-1} a^{-1} b = c(a,b)a^{-1} \), one can eliminate \( b \) and \( b^{-1} \). When \( w \equiv g_1 g_2 g_3 \in \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{(k,\ell)} \) for some words \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathcal{H} \) and a letter \( b \) of weight \( m(w) \), then \( w \equiv g_1 g_2 g_3 b g_3 b g_2 g_1 \) where \( g_1' = b^{-1} g_1 b \) and \( g_2' = b^{-1} g_2 b \). Note that by the first case, the letter \( b \) can be eliminated in \( g_1' \) and \( g_2' \). Hence by double induction on \((-m(w), l(m(w)))\) we conclude that \( g_1' g_2 g_3 \) is trivial, and so is \( w \).

Therefore, the homomorphism \( \hat{\phi}_k : \mathcal{H} / \mathcal{H}^{(k,\ell)} \rightarrow G / G^{(k,\ell)} \) is an isomorphism. Note that \( \ell \)-lower central series is cofinal in inverse system of pro-\( \ell \) groups. Passing to the inverse limit \( \varprojlim_k \), the assertion follows.

\[ \square \]

### 5.2 Free chain resolution of \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) generated by basis \( \mathcal{B} \)

To relate group homology of \( G \) with Lie algebra homology of its associated Lie algebra, we construct a chain complex of \( G \) which is isomorphic to the Koszul complex in terms of basis set \( \mathcal{B} \).

Let \( [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G] \) be the completed group algebra of \( G \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) (see \[RZ\], Section 5.3). For \( n \geq 1 \), we set \( C_n(G) \), the pro-\( \ell \) left \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) module generated by terms expressed as the form

\[ \langle b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle \]  

where \( b_i \in \mathcal{B} \) and subject to the relation:

\[ \langle b_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, b_{\sigma(n)} \rangle = (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle \quad \text{for} \; \sigma \in S_n. \]

Here, \( S_n \) denotes the \( n \)-th symmetric group. For \( n = 0 \), we set \( C_0(G) \) as free pro-\( \ell \) left \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) module generated by \( \langle \rangle \), thus \( C_0(G) \simeq [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G] \). For \( \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle \in C_n(G) \), we define its weight by \( \text{wt}(\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{wt}(b_i) \) and for \( \langle \rangle \in C_0(G) \) its weight is defined by \( \text{wt}(\langle \rangle) := 0 \).

Then, we see that \( C_n(G) \) is, in fact, the free pro-\( \ell \) left \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) module with basis given by the expressions

\[ \langle b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle \quad \text{with} \; \quad b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_n \]

canonical determined by the ordering of \( \mathcal{B} \). Following \[IO\] §6, we will use the convention that the first entry \( b_1 \) in \( \langle b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle \) is allowed to be a word in \( \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1} \) with the additional relations:

1. \( \langle b^{-1}, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle = -b^{-1} \langle b, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle, \; \quad b \in \mathcal{B} \)
2. \( \langle ab, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle = \langle a, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle + a \langle b, b_2, \ldots, b_n \rangle, \quad a \) and \( b \) are words in \( \mathcal{B} \sqcup \mathcal{B}^{-1} \)

When \( a = b^{-1} \), (2) implies (1) and hence these relations are consistent.

Consider the chain complex of free profinite left \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\)-modules

\[ C_2(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0 \]  

(113)
whose differential operators are defined for each basis as
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_1(\langle b \rangle) &= b - 1, \\
\partial_2(\langle a, b \rangle) &= (a - 1)\langle b \rangle - (b - 1)\langle a \rangle + ab\langle c(a, b) \rangle, \\
\epsilon(g) &= 1, \quad (g \in G).
\end{align*}
\]
One may check that the above sequence actually forms chain complex, that is, \(\partial_{k+1} \circ \partial_k = 0\) by direct computation.

Our next task is to extend the above chain complex (113) to a chain resolution
\[
\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \rightarrow C_1(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(G) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0
\]
whose differentials are similar to that of Koszul complex of free nilpotent Lie algebras in (85). For this, we first prove Pseudoweight Lemma (Lemma 5.2.6), and then, in terms of this pseudoweight lemma we obtain a chain resolution with desired property (Theorem 5.2.7).

To begin with, we prepare some notations which plays important role in Pseudoweight Lemma (Lemma 5.2.6). Let \(z \in \mathcal{B}\) be a maximal weight element. Set \(\overline{G} = G/\langle \langle z \rangle \rangle\) where \(\langle \langle z \rangle \rangle\) denotes the closed normal subgroup of \(G\) topologically generated by \(z\). We suppose \(wt(z) = k\). We define a (continuous) homomorphism \(q : C_n(G) \rightarrow C_n(\overline{G})\) by
\[
q(\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle) = \begin{cases} 
\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle & \text{if } b_i \neq z \text{ for all } i, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Since the kernel of the canonical surjection \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G] \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_\ell \overline{G}]\) is generated by \(z - 1\) as pro-\(\ell\) \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\)-module, the kernel \(\text{Ker}(g)\) is generated by the terms \(\langle b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, z \rangle\) and \((z - 1)\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle\) with \(b_i \neq z\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). Note that the (continuous) homomorphism \(q : C_n(G) \rightarrow C_n(\overline{G})\) is a chain homomorphism for \(n \leq 2\).

**Lemma 5.2.1.** Let \(z\) be a maximal weight element of \(\mathcal{B}\). Then, \(z - 1\) is not a zero divisor of \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\).

**Proof.** Let \(J := (IG) + \ell[\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) be an ideal of (abstract) group algebra \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\). Here, \((IG)\) is the augmentation ideal of \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\). Recall that the family of ideals \(\{J^m\}_{m \geq 1}\) is cofinal with the inverse system of \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)-algebra \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) (cf. [DDMS Lemma 7.1]), and so we have \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G] = \lim_{\leftarrow} ([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]/J^m)\). Thus, an element \(\lambda \in [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\) can be regraded as the coherent sequence \(\lambda = (\lambda_m)\) with \(\lambda_m \in [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]/J^m\). For each \(m\), \(\lambda_m\) is uniquely decomposed into a finite sum \(\sum g a_g \cdot g \in [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]/J^m\). Note that each element of \(G\) can be written uniquely as a product of the elements of \(\mathcal{B}\) with exponents in \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\). Therefore, for large \(m\), there exist \(n_m \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z}\) and \(a_m \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell^s\mathbb{Z}\) for some \(r, s\) uniquely such that \(\lambda_m = n_m \cdot z^{a_m} + \sum_{b \neq z} a_b \cdot b\). By considering for all \(m\), we see that there exist unique \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell\) and \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell\) such that \(\lambda = n \cdot z^a + \) (terms not containing \(z\)). Hence, if \((z - 1)\lambda = 0\) then \(\lambda = 0\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Definition 5.2.2.** For \(l \geq 1\), we set \(\langle I_l G \rangle := \ker([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G] \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G/\Gamma_l(G)])\) and for \(l = 0\) \((I_0 G) := [\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\).

An element \(x \in C_n(G)\) is said to be of pseudoweight \(\geq h\) if
\[
x \in \sum_{j=0}^{h} \langle I_j G \rangle \cdot C_n^{(h-j)}(G)
\]
where \(C_n^{(w)}\) denotes the submodule of \(C_n(G)\) generated by \(\beta = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle \in \mathcal{B}^n\) with weight \(wt(\beta) = w\). For \(x \in C_n(G)\) with pseudoweight \(\geq h\), we denote it by \(wt(x) \geq h\).
Definition 5.2.3. For $\beta = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle \in C_n(G)$, we define its molecule $M_\beta$ by

$$M_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} (b_i - 1) (b_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_i, \ldots, b_n) + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1} b_i b_j \langle c(b_i, b_j), b_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_i, \ldots, \hat{b}_j, \ldots, b_n \rangle$$

Definition 5.2.4 (pseudoweight condition). Let $(C_*(G), \partial_*)$ be a $[[\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]]$ partial free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ up to degree $\leq n+1$:

$$C_{n+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(G) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow 0.$$ (117)

The partial free resolution $(C_*(G), \partial_*)$ is said to satisfy the pseudoweight condition in dimension $n$ if following condition holds: Any $n$-cycle $c \in \ker(\partial_n) \subset C_n(G)$ has pseudoweight $\tilde{\text{wt}}(c) \geq h$ if and only if $c$ is boundary of some element with weight $\geq h$, i.e., there is an element $d \in C_{n+1}(G)$ such that $\text{wt}(d) \geq h$ and $\partial_{n+1}(d) = c$.

Lemma 5.2.5. Suppose that a $[[\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]]$ partial free resolution $(C_*(G), \partial_*)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ up to degree $\leq n$ are given, and, for each generator $\beta$ of $C_n(G)$, its differential satisfies the following condition:

$$\partial_n(\beta) = M_\beta + (\text{terms of weight } > \text{wt}(\beta)).$$ (118)

Then, for any generator $\alpha$ of $C_{n+1}(G)$ with weight $\text{wt}(\alpha) = h$, the differential $\partial_n(M_\alpha)$ of the molecule $M_\alpha$ of $\alpha$ has pseudoweight $> h$, i.e., $\text{wt}(\partial_n(M_\alpha)) > h$.

Proof. We set $\Delta(\beta) := M_\beta - \partial_n(\beta)$. Equivalently, we have $\partial_n(\beta) = M_\beta - \Delta(\beta)$. Then, by assumption (118), we know $\text{wt}(\Delta(\beta)) > \text{wt}(\beta)$. Noting that for any $a, b \in B$, $\langle c(a, b) \rangle$ can be written as linear combination

$$\langle c(a, b) \rangle = \sum_m \gamma_m(a, b) \langle e_m(a, b) \rangle \in C_1(G)$$ (119)

by iterate use of the relations of the module $C_1(G)$. Here, $|\gamma_m(a, b)| \in G$ and $e_m(a, b) \in B$. We define a symbol $\epsilon_{ijk}$ as follows:

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i+j+k} & (i < k < j), \\ (-1)^{i+j+k+1} & (k < i < j \text{ or } i < j < k). \end{cases}$$ (120)

Then, using (119) and (120), through long computation, the differential $\partial_n M_{\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle}$ of molecule of
\( \langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in C_{n+1} \) can be finally computed as follows:

\[
\partial_n M_{\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (a_i - 1) \partial_n (a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_n)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1} \sum_m a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j) \partial_n (e_m(a_i, a_j), \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n)
\]

\[
= -\sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i - 1) \Delta(\langle a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_n \rangle)
\]

\[
- \sum_{i<j} \sum_m (-1)^{i+j+1} a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j) \Delta(\langle e_m(a_i, a_j), a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n \rangle)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i<j} \sum_m \varepsilon^{ijk} a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)(1 - [a_k, a_ia_j] \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)] (e_m(a_i, a_j), \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_k, \ldots)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i<j} \sum_{m,l} (-1)^{i+j+l} \varepsilon^{ijs} a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s) - a_r a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s)a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)
\]

\[
\cdot (e_m(a_i, a_j), e_l(a_r, a_s), \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_r, \hat{a}_s, \ldots)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i<j} \sum_{m,k} \varepsilon^{ijkj} a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j) e_m(a_i, a_k) [e_m(a_i, a_j), a_k], \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j, \hat{a}_k, \ldots).
\]

By following (1), (2), (3) and (4), we conclude that \( \partial_n M_{\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle} \) has pseudoweight \( > h = wt(\langle a_0, \ldots, a_n \rangle) \):

1. Note that \( wt(e_m(a_i, a_j)) = wt(\epsilon(a_i, a_j)) \geq wt(a_i) + wt(a_j) \) by Definition \ref{def:weight} (2) and \ref{eq:weight}. Then, by assumption \ref{assumption1}, we have

\[
wt(\langle \Delta(e_m(a_i, a_j), a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n) \rangle) > h
\]

and

\[
wt(\Delta(\langle a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_n \rangle)) > wt(\langle a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \ldots, a_n \rangle) = h - wt(a_i).
\]

Hence, the sums \ref{eq:weight} and \ref{eq:weight2} have pseudoweight \( > h \) since \( a_i - 1 \in \langle I_{wt(a_i)}G \rangle \).

2. Since we have

\[
wt([a_k, a_ia_j] \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)])) = wt(a_k) + wt(a_i a_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)] > wt(a_k)
\]

and

\[
wt(\langle e_m(a_i, a_j), a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j, a_k \rangle) = wt(e_m(a_i, a_j)) + h - wt(a_i) - wt(a_j) - wt(a_k)
\]

\[
\geq h - wt(a_k),
\]

we have \( 1 - [a_k, a_ia_j] \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)] \in \langle I_{wt(a_k)}G + 1 \rangle \), and so the sum \ref{eq:weight} has pseudoweight \( > h \).

3. Note that \( a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s) - a_r a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s)a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j) \) is contained in \( \text{Ker}(\epsilon) \). Thus, \( a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j)a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s) - a_r a_s \gamma_l(a_r, a_s)a_ia_j \gamma_m(a_i, a_j) \in \langle I_{1}G \rangle \). On the other hand, the term \( \langle e_m(a_i, a_j), a_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j, a_k \rangle \) has weight \( \geq h \). Therefore, the sum \ref{eq:weight} has pseudoweight \( \geq h + 1 \).
The term \( \langle [e_m(a_i, a_j), a_k], \ldots, \hat{a_i}, \hat{a_j}, \hat{a_k}, \ldots \rangle \) has weight \( \geq h \) since \( wt(e_m(a_i, a_j)) \geq wt(a_i) + wt(a_j) \). Therefore, the only problem we need to consider is the remaining sign of \( sgn(\gamma_m(a_i, a_j)) \) after taking augmentation to their coefficients. By considering mod \( \Gamma_{w+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(l)}) \) with \( w = wt(a_i) + wt(a_j) + wt(a_k) \), we have

\[
\sum_m sgn(\gamma_m(a_i, a_j))[e_m, (a_i, a_j, a_k)] = [a_i, a_j, a_k]. \tag{133}
\]

In addition, by taking summation on indices \( i, j, k \) and noting the effect of \( e^{ikj} \), we get

\[
[a_i, a_j, a_k] − [a_i, a_k, a_j] + [a_j, a_k, a_i]. \tag{134}
\]

By Jacobi identity, we know that \( (134) \) lies in \( \Gamma_{w+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(l)}) \). Thus, finally we conclude that the sum \( (126) \) has weight \( \geq h + 1 \), and thus, has pseudoweight \( \geq h + 1 \).

Lemma 5.2.6 (Pseudoweight Lemma). Suppose following condition (1), (2) and (3):

1. Given a following commutative diagram with exact rows:
   \[
   C_{n+1}(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n(G) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}(G)
   \]
   \[
   \downarrow q \quad \downarrow q \quad \downarrow q
   \]
   \[
   C_{n+1}(\hat{G}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n(\hat{G}) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}(\hat{G})
   \]

2. The differentials \( \partial_{n+1} : C_{n+1}(G) \to C_n(G) \) is given as follows:
   \[
   \partial_n((b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1}(b_i - 1)(b_1, \ldots, \hat{b_i}, \ldots, b_{n+1})
   \]
   \[
   + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1}b_ib_j\langle c(b_i, b_j), b_1, \ldots, \hat{b_i}, \ldots, \hat{b_j}, \ldots, b_{n+1} \rangle + (\text{terms of higher weight}).
   \]

3. For \( j \in \{n−1, n\} \), the differentials \( \partial_{j+1} \) satisfies
   \[
   \partial_{j+1}(\beta, z) = (-1)^j(z - 1)\beta + \langle \partial_j \beta, z \rangle \tag{135}
   \]
   for each generator \( \beta = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_j \rangle \) of \( C_j(G) \).

Then, the following statements hold: If the bottom row satisfies the pseudoweight condition in dimension \( n \), then so does the top row. Moreover, the condition is satisfied compatible manner with the above commutative diagram, that is, for any \( n \)-cycle \( c \in C_n(G) \) with pseudoweight \( \hat{w}(c) \geq h \) and any \( x \in C_{n+1}(G) \) with weight \( wt(x) \geq h \) such that \( q(c) = \partial_{n+1}(x) \), there exists \( y \in C_{n+1}(G) \) of weight \( wt(y) \geq h \) such that \( q(y) = x \) and \( \partial_{n+1}(y) = c \).

Proof. We suppose that \( c \in C_n(G) \) satisfies the conditions \( \hat{w}(c) \geq h \) and \( \partial_n(c) = 0 \). By definition of \( q \), we have \( \hat{w}(q(c)) \geq h \) and \( \partial_n(q(c)) = 0 \). Then, by assumption, there exists \( x \in C_{n+1}(G) \) with \( \partial_{n+1}(q(x)) = q(c) \) and \( wt(q(x)) \geq h \). Indeed, since the bottom row in the commutative diagram (1) satisfies the pseudoweight condition in dimension \( n \) and \( q(c) \in \text{Ker}(\partial_n) \), there exists \( l \in C_{n+1}(G) \) such
that $wt(l) \geq h$ and $\partial_{n+1}(l) = q(c)$. By surjectivity of $q$, there exists $x \in C_{n+1}(G)$ such that $q(x) = l$. Thus, we have $\partial_{n+1}(q(x)) = q(c)$. Moreover, by definition of $q$, we may assume $wt(x) \geq h$.

Set $\delta := c - \partial_{n+1}(x)$. Then, we have $q(\delta) = 0$, so $q \in \text{Ker}(q)$. Therefore, as we mention in the below of (115), $\delta$ can be written as the form:

$$\delta = \sum_i \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i, z \rangle + \sum_j \omega_j (z - 1) \langle \beta_j \rangle$$

(136)

where $\alpha_i = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ and $\beta_j \langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle$ are some generators, and $\gamma_i, \omega_j \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Then, to complete the proof, it suffices to show

$$\partial_{n+1} \left( \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j \langle \beta_j, z \rangle \right) = \delta.$$  

(137)

In fact, if (137) is true, then $y := x + \sum_j \omega_j \langle \beta_j, z \rangle \in C_{n+1}(G)$ satisfies the desired property. Since $\sum_j \omega_j \langle \beta_j, z \rangle$ has weight $\geq h$ and lies in Ker($q$), $y$ has also weight $\geq h$ and $q(y) = q(x)$. By definition of $\delta$, we have $\partial_{n+1}(y) = c$. Therefore, the pseudoweight condition in dimension $n$ holds in the top row in compatible way.

We give a proof of (137). By (135), we get

$$\partial_{n+1} \left( \sum_j \omega_j \langle \beta_j, z \rangle \right) = \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j (z - 1) \langle \beta_j \rangle + \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial_n \beta_j, z \rangle$$

(138)

$$= \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j (z - 1) \langle \beta_j \rangle + \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial'_n \beta_j, z \rangle$$

(139)

where $\partial'_n \beta_j = \partial_n \beta_j - \zeta$ and $\zeta$ denotes terms in $\partial_n \beta_j$ which contain $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle$ with $c_i = z$ for some $i$. Note that $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle = 0$ if $c_i = c_j$ for some $i \neq j$. Note that $\partial_n(\delta) = \partial_n(c - \partial_{n+1}(x)) = 0$ since $c$ is a cycle. Thus, using (136) and (139),

$$0 = \partial_n(\delta)$$

(140)

$$= \partial_n \left( \sum_i \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i, z \rangle + \sum_j \omega_j (z - 1) \langle \beta_j \rangle \right)$$

(141)

$$= \partial_n \left( \sum_i \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i, z \rangle + (-1)^n \partial_{n+1} \left( \sum_j \omega_j \langle \beta_j, z \rangle \right) - (-1)^n \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial'_n \beta_j, z \rangle \right)$$

(142)

$$= \partial_n \left( \sum_i \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i, z \rangle - (-1)^n \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial'_n \beta_j, z \rangle \right)$$

(143)

$$= \sum_i \gamma_i \langle \partial_{n-1} \alpha_i, z \rangle + \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j \langle \partial_{n-1} \zeta, z \rangle$$

(144)

$$+ (z - 1) \sum_i (-1)^n \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i \rangle - \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial'_n \beta_j \rangle.$$  

(145)

Then, $\sum_i \gamma_i \langle \partial_{n-1} \alpha_i, z \rangle + \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j \langle \partial_{n-1} \zeta, z \rangle$ and $(z - 1) \sum_i (-1)^n \gamma_i \langle \alpha_i \rangle - \sum_j \omega_j \langle \partial'_n \beta_j \rangle$ are linearly independent because one sum contain $z$ in $\langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle$ but the other does not. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_i \gamma_i \langle \partial_{n-1} \alpha_i, z \rangle + \sum_j (-1)^n \omega_j \langle \partial_{n-1} \zeta, z \rangle = 0$$

(146)
acts on each generator \(\tilde{\alpha}\). 

constructed chain complex in (113).

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction. For the first step of induction, we can use the explicitly

5.2.5. For each generator \(\alpha\), define \(\Delta(\alpha)\) such that

\[
\sum_i (-1)^i \gamma_i(\alpha_i) - \sum_j \omega_j(\partial_n^i \beta_j) = 0.
\]

(147)

Then, by Lemma [120], \((z - 1)\) is not a zero divisor, so we get from (147),

\[
\sum_i (-1)^i \gamma_i(\alpha_i) - \sum_j \omega_j(\partial_n^i \beta_j) = 0.
\]

(148)

Thus, by putting (148) into (139), we get (137). Henceforth, the assertion has been proved. \(\Box\)

Theorem 5.2.7. Notations being as above, there are continuous \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\)-module homomorphism

\[
\partial_n : C_n(G) \to C_{n-1}(G)
\]

(149)

such that

(1) \((C_n(G), \partial_n)\) is a free chain resolution of \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\) with \(\epsilon : C_0(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell\) the augmentation map.

(2) The homomorphism \(\partial_n\) is given as follows:

\[
\partial_n((b_1, \ldots, b_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1}(b_i - 1)b_{i+1} \ldots b_n + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1}b_ib_j\langle c(b_i, b_j), b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_j, \ldots, b_n \rangle + \text{(terms of higher weight)}.
\]

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction. For the first step of induction, we can use the explicitly

constructed chain complex in (113).

We assume that the homomorphisms \(\partial_i : C_i(G) \to C_{i-1}(G)\) has been defined for all \(1 \leq i \leq n\) which acts on each generator \(\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle\) as (2). Note that the differential satisfies the assumption of Lemma

5.2.5. For each generator \(\alpha \in C_{n+1}(G)\), let \(M_\alpha \in C_n(G)\) be its molecule. Then, using Lemma 5.2.5, we see \(wt(\partial_n M_\alpha) > wt(\alpha)\). By applying the Pseudoweight Lemma to the cycle \(\partial_n(M_\alpha) \in C_{n-1}(G)\), there exists \(\Delta(\alpha) \in C_n(G)\) with \(\partial_n(\Delta(\alpha)) = \partial_n(M_\alpha)\) and \(wt(\Delta(\alpha)) > wt(\alpha)\). In terms of \(M_\alpha\) and \(\Delta(\alpha)\), we define \(\partial_{n+1} : C_{n+1}(G) \to C_n(G)\) by

\[
\partial_{n+1}(\alpha) := M_\alpha - \Delta(\alpha).
\]

(150)

Then, by construction we get \(\partial_{n+1} \circ \partial_n = 0\) and \(\partial_{n+1} \circ \epsilon = \epsilon \circ \partial_{n+1}\) by compatibility condition. Since a chain homomorphism \(q : C_n(G) \to C_n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}})\) is defined compatible way, \(C_\bullet(G)\) satisfies the Pseudoweight condition for any \(n\). This implies \(C_\bullet(G)\) is exact a fortiori and the assertion has been proved. \(\Box\)

5.3 Computation of dimension of \(H_3(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell} / \Gamma_k \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\)

In this section, we compute the rank of the third homology group \(H_3(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell} / \Gamma_k \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) of \(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell} / \Gamma_k \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell}\) with (trivial) coefficients in \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\) in term of the free chain resolution of \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\) constructed in the previous section. Since this free chain resolution is constructed so as to be related to the Koszul complex of free nilpotent Lie algebra, one may show isomorphism between \(H_3(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell} / \Gamma_k \tilde{\mathbb{F}}^{(3)}_{\ell}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) and \(H_3(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) using spectral sequence associated with weight filtrations.

By thinking \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\) as a \([\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]\)-module with trivial \(G\)-action, we set

\[
D_\bullet(G) := \mathbb{Z}_\ell \otimes_{[\mathbb{Z}_\ell G]} C_\bullet(G).
\]

(151)
Here $\hat{\otimes}[\mathbb{Z}_sG]$ means a complete tensor product over $[\mathbb{Z}_sG]$ (see [RZ Section 5.5.]). Note that by theorem 5.2.7(2), the induced homomorphism $\partial_n$ on $D_n(G)$ is given by

$$\partial_n((b_1, \ldots, b_n)) = \sum_{i<j} (-1)^i j+1 (c(b_i, b_j), b_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_i, \ldots, \hat{b}_j, \ldots, b_n) + \text{(terms of higher weight)}.$$  

(152)

Filter the chain complex $(D_*(G), \partial_n)$ by setting $\mathcal{F}^l D_n(G)$ be the submodule of $D_n(G)$ generated by terms $\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$ whose weight $w_t(\langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle) \geq l$. Setting the associated graded chain complex as

$$\text{gr}(D_n(G)) := \bigoplus_{l} \mathcal{F}^l D_n(G)/\mathcal{F}^{l+1}D_n(G),$$  

(153)

we see that $\text{gr}(D_*(G))$ is isomorphic to the Koszul complex $\Lambda_*(\text{gr}(G))$ of the associated graded Lie algebra $\text{gr}(G)$ of $G$, i.e., $\text{gr}(D_*(G)) \simeq \Lambda_*(\text{gr}(G))$. Note that the filtration of $D_n(G)$ is bounded. In fact, for a nilpotent group $G$ of nilpotency class $(k-1)$, $\mathcal{F}^l D_n(G) = D_n(G)$ if $l \leq n$ and $\mathcal{F}^l D_n(G) = 0$ if $l > n(k-1)$.

Thus, by considering spectral sequence associated to a filtered chain complex (see [RZ Theorem A.3.1]), we get a May spectral sequence $E = \{E_{p,q}^r\}$ such that

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H_{p+q}^*(\text{gr}(D_*(G)); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \quad E_{p,q}^1 \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(D_*(G); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$  

(154)

where $H_{*}^*(\text{gr}D_*(G))$ means the weight $p$ part of the homology group of the Lie algebra $\text{gr}(D_*(G))$ and $H_{*}(G; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) := H_{*}(\text{gr}(D_*(G), \partial_*)$ is filtered by

$$\mathcal{F}^l H_{*}(G; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) := \text{Im}(H_{*}(\mathcal{F}^l D_*(G) \to H_{*}(D_*(G))).$$  

(155)

In particular, we have

$$E_{l,n-l}^\infty \simeq \frac{\mathcal{F}^l H_n(G; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)}{\mathcal{F}^{l+1} H_n(G; \mathbb{Z}_\ell).}$$  

(156)

**Corollary 5.3.1.** For $i \leq 3$, the homology groups $H_i(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ of Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}$ and those $H_i(\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ of free nilpotent pro-$\ell$ group $\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell)$ are isomorphic, that is,

$$H_i(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_i(\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \quad (i \leq 3).$$  

(157)

Moreover, associated graded abelian groups are given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}^l H_3(\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_3^l(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus(nN_{i-1} - N_i)} & (k + 1 \leq l \leq 2k - 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$  

(158)

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{2k} H_3(\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq 0.$$  

(159)

In particular, we have

$$H_3(\hat{F}_n^\ell(\hat{F}_n^\ell)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^\ell); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=k}^{2k-2} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus(nN_{i-1} - N_{i+1})}$$  

(160)
Proof. After passing to Malcev completion of $\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, by applying Pickel’s isomorphism $P$ (Proposition 2), we get

$$P : H_i(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq H_i(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \quad \text{(for all } i).$$

(161)

Note that, since the above isomorphism is defined over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, each relevant derived step from $E^r_{p,q}$ to $E^{r+1}_{p,q}$ in the May spectral sequences in (154) cannot reduce the rank of $E^r_{p,q}$. Also note that by Theorem 4.2.1 and 95, we know that $H_i(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is torsion free for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and so $E^1_{p,q} = H^p_{p+q}(\text{gr}(D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is also torsion free for $p + q \leq 3$. Then, we conclude that $E^1_{p,q} \simeq E^\infty_{p,q}$ for $p + q \leq 3$. In fact, $E^2_{p,q}$ is a subquotient of torsion free module $E^1_{p,q}$ and must have the same rank with $E^1_{p,q}$, so $E^1_{p,q} \simeq E^2_{p,q}$. By iterating this procedure, we obtain $E^1_{p,q} \simeq E^\infty_{p,q}$.

Thus, by Theorem 4.2.1 we deduce that

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}^1 H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)}{\mathcal{F}^{l+1} H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)} \simeq E^\infty_{l,3-l} \simeq E^1_{l,3-l} \simeq H^l_3(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

(162)

is isomorphic to the free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\oplus(nN_{l-1} - N_l)$ if $k + 1 \leq l \leq 2k - 1$ and 0 otherwise. Since every relevant terms $E^1_{p,q} \simeq E^\infty_{p,q}$ converging to $H_{p+q}(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ are torsion free, the extension problem can be solved by just taking the direct sum. Combination of this extension with Pickel’s isomorphism leads to $F^{2k} H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$, and therefore, the desired equation (158) and (159).

Consider the reduction map, for $l \geq k$,

$$\phi_{l,k} : H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_l(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

(163)

In the following, we consider the chain complex $D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))$ by setting $G = \hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})$ in (151).

Lemma 5.3.2. For each $n \leq 3$ and integer $s$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^s H_n(D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_l(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_n(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_l(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

(164)

For $l \geq k$, the following reduction map is surjective;

$$H_3(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_l(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

(165)

Proof. Let us consider a spectral sequence associated with the weight filtration of $\mathcal{F}^l D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))$. Then, one gets a May-like spectral sequence $\{E^r_{p,q}\}$ satisfying

$$E^r_{p,q} = H^p_{p+q}(\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \quad E^1_{p,q} \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

(166)

Here, $\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})))$ denotes the associated graded chain complex with respect to the weight filtration of $\mathcal{F}^l D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))$. Then, by Corollary 5.3.1 we conclude

$$H^p_{p+q}(\text{gr}(\mathcal{F}^s D_*(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \bigoplus_{l \geq s} H^p_{p+q}(A^l(\text{gr}(\hat{F}_n^{(i)} / \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(i)}))); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \begin{cases} H^p_{p+q}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & (p \geq s) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases}$$

(167)
Since the differentials preserve the weights, the inclusion $\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})) \subset D_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}))$ preserves the filtration, and hence, it induces a homomorphism of their spectral sequences. By Corollary 5.3.1 and (167), the induced homomorphisms give isomorphism on $E^{p,q}_{\ast}$ terms for $p \geq s$. As we see in the proof of Corollary 5.3.1 in the spectral sequence for $D_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}))$ with range $p + q \leq 3$, all differentials involving terms $E^s_{p,q}$ must be trivial. Thus, the comparison of spectral sequences implies that the differentials must also be zero in the spectral sequence for $\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}))$ with $p + q \leq 3$. Therefore, in both spectral sequences, $E^1_{p,q} \simeq E^\infty_{p,q}$ in the range of $p + q \leq 3$. As in the proof of Corollary 5.3.1 when $p + q \leq 3$ all terms $E^\infty_{p,q}$ are torsion free, and therefore, $H_n(\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^sH_n(D_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is an isomorphism for $0 \leq n \leq 3$ and any $s$.

Next, by comparing the spectral sequence for $\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}))$ and $\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}))$ in terms of the reduction map $\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}) \rightarrow \tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})$, we obtain surjective homomorphism, for $s \geq k + 2$,

$$H_3(\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(\mathcal{F}^sD_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

(168)

In fact, the reduction map induces surjection on $E^1_{p,q}$ terms for $p + q \leq 3$ and $s \geq k + 2$, and differentials involving these terms are trivial in both spectral sequences. This completes the proof.

We introduce another filtration to $H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ induced by the reduction homomorphisms $\phi_{l,k}$ as follows:

$$G^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) := \text{Im} \phi_{l-1,k} := \text{Im} \left( H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{l-1}(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \right)$$

(169)

**Theorem 5.3.3.** Two filtrations $G^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{F}^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ coincide:

$$G^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \mathcal{F}^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

(170)

In particular,

$$0 = G^{2k}H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \subset \cdots \subset G^{k+1}H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

(171)

with associated graded

$$G^lH_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_{l-1} - N_l)}$$

(172)

and $x \in \text{ker}(\phi_{l,k})$ if and only if $x \in \text{Im}(\phi_{2k-l,k})$ for $k \leq l \leq 2k - 1$.

**Proof.** We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$H_3(\mathcal{F}^{l+1}D_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_1(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(\mathcal{F}^{l+1}D_*(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)})); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

$$\downarrow \alpha \quad \downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{l+1}H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_1(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{l+1}H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_k(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

We immediately see that the tow vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms by definition of filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on $H_3(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_1(\tilde{F}_n^{(t)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and the top horizontal homomorphism is onto by Lemma 5.3.2. Note that
In this section, we compute the rank of $π_3$. Since the reduction homomorphism $φ_{l,k}$ preserves the filtration $F$ on $H_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$, one sees that the image $Im(α)$ is exactly equal to $Im(φ_{l,k}) = G^{l+1}H_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$G^{l+1}H_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ) = Im(α) = Im(β) = F^{l+1}H_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ).$$ \hfill (173)

As a byproduct of the above arguments, we get the following pro-$ℓ$ version of Stallings’ theorem.

**Corollary 5.3.4.** The homomorphism

$$H_2(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_{k+1}(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ) → H_2(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$$ \hfill (174)

is zero map.

**Proof.** By [AM], we know that the homomorphism $H_2(ℓ/L_{≥k+1};Z_ℓ) → H_2(ℓ/L_{≥k};Z_ℓ)$ is zero map since they are concentrated at different weight to each other. By applying the isomorphism $H_2(ℓ/L_{≥k};Z_ℓ) ≃ H_2(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Γ_k(F_n^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$, the result follows. \square

## 6 Computation of dimension of $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)})$

In this section, we compute the rank of $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)})$. The computation is very similar to the computation of $π_3(K_k)$ in [IO]. That is, we show the existence of an isomorphism between $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)})$ and the third homology group $H_3(ξ_k^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$ of a pro-$ℓ$ torus bundle $ξ_k^{(ℓ)}$ over $K_k^{(ℓ)}$ and reduce the computation to that of $H_3(ξ_k^{(ℓ)})$. However, to apply their techniques to our situation, we will need more subtle arguments than the ones in [loc.cit.]

### 6.1 Isomorphism $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}) ≃ H_3(ξ_k^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$

To begin with, let us show that there is an isomorphism $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)}) ≃ H_3(ξ_k^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$ to reduce the computation of $π_3(F_n^{(ℓ)})$ to that of $H_3(ξ_k^{(ℓ)}/Z_ℓ)$.

For this, we describe the behaviour of universal circle bundle $S^∞ → CP^∞$ under pro-$ℓ$ completion.

**Lemma 6.1.1.** Let $S^{∞}(ℓ) → CP^{∞}(ℓ)$ be the pro-$ℓ$ completion of the universal circle bundle $S^∞ → CP^∞$. Then the following statements hold:

1. The fibre of $S^{∞}(ℓ) → CP^{∞}(ℓ)$ is weak equivalent to the pro-$ℓ$ completion $S^{1}(ℓ)$ of $S^1$.

2. The pro-$ℓ$ completion $CP^{∞}(ℓ)$ is weak equivalent to $K(Z_ℓ,2)$.

**Proof.** (1) By applying [AM, Lemma(5.3)], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^∞ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{F} & \longrightarrow & \bar{CP}^{∞}(ℓ)
\end{array}
$$
where $\tilde{F}$ is the fibres of $\hat{S}\infty(l) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l)$. Since $\mathbb{C}P\infty \sim K(Z, 2)$ is simply connected, so is $\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l)$. Hence, by [AM Theorem(5.9)] and [AM Corollary(4.4)], we see that $\pi_i(\hat{S}^1(l)) \simeq \pi_i(\tilde{F})$ for each $i$. Therefore, the statement follows from the uniqueness of Eilenberg-MacLane (pro-)spaces [AM Corollary 4.14].

(2) Applying homotopy exact sequence of the fibre space to $\hat{S}\infty(l) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l)$ and using statement (1), we obtain the result from the uniqueness of Eilenberg-MacLane (pro-)spaces. □

Remark 6.1.2. Here, we give an another proof of $\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l) \sim K(\mathbb{Z}_l, 2)$ in terms of the relation of completion and fibrations, but it also follows from the fact that $Z$ is “good” since $Z$ is finitely generated Abelian group (cf. Example 2.3.4).

In terms of above Lemma 6.1.1, we define a pro-$\ell$ torus bundle $\xi_k(l) \rightarrow K_k(l)$ in the following manner. The central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_k(F_n)/\Gamma_k+1(F_n) \simeq Z\oplus N_k \rightarrow F_n/\Gamma_k+1(F_n) \rightarrow F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n) \rightarrow 0,$$  \hfill (175)

gives rise to a fibre bundle $K(k + 1) \rightarrow K(k)$ whose fibre is $N_k$-dimensional torus denoted by $T_k$. Since $\hat{S}\infty \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty$ is the universal circle bundle, the bundle $K(k + 1) \rightarrow K(k)$ is classified by a map

$$K(k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\mathbb{C}P\infty)_i \sim K(H_2(F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n);Z), 2)$$  \hfill (176)

where $(\mathbb{C}P\infty)_i$ is just a copy of $\mathbb{C}P\infty$. Note that the above map $K(k) \rightarrow K(H_2(F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n);Z), 2)$ is isomorphic on second homology group with coefficients in $Z$.

By taking the pro-$\ell$ completion, we get the induced map

$$K(l)(k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l))_i \sim K(H_2(\hat{F}_n(l)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l));\mathbb{Z}_2), 2).$$  \hfill (177)

Here, we note that $F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n)$ is “$\ell$-good” in the sense of Serre by central extension (175) (cf. Ser2 2.6 Exrcises 2)(c)(d)). Thus, $K(l)(k) \sim K(F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n))(l), 1)$. By universal property of pro-$\ell$ completion, there is a map $\hat{F}_n(l)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l)) \rightarrow F_n/\Gamma_k(F_n)(l)$, and thus, the corresponding map $K(l)(k) \rightarrow K(l)(k)$.

Similar to the above, the fibre of $K(l)(k + 1) \rightarrow K(l)(k)$ is $\hat{T}_k(l) \sim K(Z_\ell, 1)$, since we have

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l))/\Gamma_k+1(\hat{F}_n(l)) \rightarrow \hat{F}_n(l)/\Gamma_k+1(\hat{F}_n(l)) \rightarrow \hat{F}_n(l)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l)) \rightarrow 0$$  \hfill (178)

and $\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l))/\Gamma_k+1(\hat{F}_n(l)) \simeq \Gamma_k(F_n)/\Gamma_k+1(F_n)(l) \simeq Z\oplus N_k$. In terms of Hurewicz Theorem in the pro-category [AM Corollary(4.5)], the map $K(l)(k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l))_i$ induces isomorphism on second homology group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$.

Since $K(H_2(\hat{F}_n(l)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n(l));\mathbb{Z}_2), 2)$ is simply connected, the map $K(l)(k) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l))_i$ factors through the pro-$\ell$ Orr space $K_k(l)$. We denote by $\xi_k(l) \rightarrow K_k(l)$ the pullback bundle obtained from $\hat{S}\infty(l) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l)$ via pulling back by $K_k(l) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l))_i$. Thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vee \hat{S}^1(l) & \times & \hat{T}_k(l) & \rightarrow & K(l)(k + 1) & \rightarrow & \xi_k(l) \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\vee \hat{S}^1(l) & \rightarrow & K(l)(k) & \rightarrow & K_k(l) & \rightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^{N_k}(\hat{\mathbb{C}P}\infty(l))_i \\
\end{array}$$
Since $K^\ell_k$ can be thought of as pushout (fiber coproduct) of morphisms $\hat{S}^1(\ell) \to K^\ell_k$ and $\hat{S}^1(\ell) \to \ast$ by definition of $K^\ell_k$, we obtain the following commutative diagram compatible with the above one:

Here, the bottom (and also the top) square is a pushout diagram, and the vertical maps are bundle maps with fiber the pro-$\ell$ completion of a torus $\hat{T}^\ell_k$.

**Lemma 6.1.3.** For each $k \geq 2$, there is a map $\gamma_{k+1,k} : \xi^\ell_k \to \xi^\ell_k$ such that it induces the following commutative diagram:

Moreover, the vertical homomorphisms $\pi^3(\xi^\ell_k) \to \pi^3(K^\ell_k)$ are isomorphisms for each $k \geq 2$.

**Proof.** Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

Here, the symbol $\ast$ denotes the constant map or the base point depending on context. By taking the pushout for each vertical two morphisms, the above commutative diagram induces the following sequence of four pushouts:

Note that this map is well-defined up to homotopy since the spaces appearing here are all $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces for some group $\pi$.

Denotes $\gamma_{k+1,k} : \xi^\ell_{k+1} \to K^\ell_{k+1} \to \xi^\ell_k$ and $\psi_{k+1,k} : K^\ell_{k+1} \to \xi^\ell_k \to K^\ell_k$ the composition maps in the above sequence. Then, by inserting $\gamma_{k+1,k}$ and $\psi_{k+1,k}$ in the sequence above, one obtains the following commutative diagram:
Henceforth, by taking homotopy functor \( \pi_3 \) to the above diagram, we get the desired commutative diagram. The second statement follows from homotopy exact sequence of the fibration \( \xi_k \to K_k^{(t)} \) because its fibre \( \hat{T}_k^{(t)} \) is \( K(\pi, 1) \) and, in particular, \( \pi_2(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \) and \( \pi_3(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \) vanish. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 6.1.4.** For each \( k \geq 2 \), the pullback bundle \( \xi_k^{(t)} \to K_k^{(t)} \) is 2-connected. In particular, the Hurewicz homomorphism \( \pi_3(\xi_k^{(t)}) \to H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}) \) is isomorphism.

**Proof.** Consider the homotopy exact sequence for the fibration \( \prod \mathbb{S}^\infty(t) \to \prod \mathbb{C}P^\infty(t) \), we get

\[
\pi_2(\mathbb{S}^\infty(t)) \to \pi_2(\prod \mathbb{C}P^\infty(t)) \to \pi_1(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_1(\prod \mathbb{S}^\infty(t))
\]

(180)

since \( \mathbb{S}^\infty(t) \) is contractible. By comparing this with \( \xi_k^{(t)} \to K_k^{(t)} \), one sees that \( \pi_2(K_k^{(t)}) \simeq \pi_1(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \).

Noting that \( \hat{T}_k^{(t)} \) is \( K(\pi, 1) \) space and \( \pi_1(K_k^{(t)}) = 0 \), the assertion follows from the following homotopy exact sequence for the fibration \( \xi_k^{(t)} \to K_k^{(t)} \):

\[
\pi_2(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_2(\xi_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_2(K_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_1(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_1(\xi_k^{(t)}) \to \pi_1(K_k^{(t)}).
\]

(181)

\[ \square \]

In short, we get the following.

**Lemma 6.1.5.** We have an isomorphism

\[
\pi_3(K_k^{(t)}) \simeq H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}).
\]

(182)

In particular, we have

\[
\pi_3(\hat{K}_k^{(t)}) \simeq \pi_3(K_k^{(t)}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E} \simeq H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E} \simeq H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E})
\]

(183)

**Proof.** The former statement is a consequence of the above discussion. The latter follows from Proposition 3.3.3 (3).

\[ \square \]

Hence, the computation of \( \pi_3(\hat{K}_k^{(t)}) \) reduces to that of \( H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) \).

### 6.2 Computation of dimension of \( H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) \)

In previous section, we have shown that \( \pi_3(\hat{K}_k^{(t)}) \simeq H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) \) and the computation of \( \pi_3(\hat{K}_k^{(t)}) \) reduces to that of \( H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) \). To know the rank of \( \pi_3(\hat{K}_k^{(t)}) \), this section computes \( H_3(\xi_k^{(t)}; \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) \).

By taking \( G \) as \( \mathbb{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(t)}) \) in Theorem 5.2.7, we obtain the chain complex

\[
D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}) = C_*(\mathbb{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(t)})) \otimes [\mathbb{E} \mathbb{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(t)})] \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{E}
\]

(184)
where we consider $Z_{\ell}$ as trivial $[\mathbb{Z}_\ell \hat{F}_n^{(t)} / \Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(t)})]$-module. Note that this identification between chain complex of $K(\pi, 1)$ pro-space and one of $\pi$ is deduced from Lemma 2.3.6. We consider the subcomplex of $D_*\{K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell}\}$ which is generated by the terms $(\alpha, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$ with $\alpha \in B_1$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} \in B_k$. Then, this chain complex can be identified with the chain complex $D_*\{\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}\}$ with induced weight filtration. Note that the subcomplex is chain complex with zero differentials. Then, we have the following weight preserving pushout diagram of chain complexes over $Z_{\ell}$:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \\
\downarrow \\
D_*(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \\
\downarrow \\
D_*(\xi_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell})
\end{array}
$$

Note that from the above pushout diagram, $D_*(\xi_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell})$ is endowed with weight filtration.

**Lemma 6.2.1.** The inclusion of chain complex $D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \to D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$ induces the homomorphism

$$H_3(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \to H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$$

whose image is equal to the $2k+1$-th term of filtration

$$F^{2k+1}H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell}) \simeq F^{2k+1}H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(t)}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(t)}); Z_{\ell}).$$

Moreover, the composition

$$H_3(\hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \to H_3(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \to H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$$

is the zero homomorphism.

**Proof.** Noting that $D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell})$ and $D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$ have weight filtration, consider the May like spectral sequence $^{t}E = \{E_{r,q}^{s}\}$ and $^{u}E = \{E_{r,q}^{s}\}$ associated with filtered chain complexes respectively. Consider homomorphisms between them induced by the inclusion $D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \to D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$, then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}^{2k+1}H_3(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{F}^{2k+1}H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell}) \\
\downarrow \\
H_3^{(2k+1)}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{k}; Z_{\ell})
\end{array}
$$

Here, the top right homomorphism is isomorphism by definition of the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on the third homology group and the right most vertical homomorphism is induced by quotient morphism $\mathcal{F}^{2k+1}D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1)) \to \Lambda^{(2k+1)}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{k}; Z_{\ell})$ given by taking associated graded Lie algebra.

Since the May like spectral sequences $^{t}E$ and $^{u}E$ converge to $H_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell})$ and $H_*(K^{(t)}(k+1); Z_{\ell})$ respectively, the left most and the middle vertical homomorphism is surjective. By Corollary 5.3.1 and [156], we know that the bottom right homomorphism is isomorphism. Thus, the right most vertical homomorphism must be surjective.

Noting that $D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell})$ is concentrated on weight $2k+1$ part, we have

$$H_3(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}; Z_{\ell}) \simeq H_3(\mathcal{F}^{2k+1}D_*(\hat{S}^{1(t)} \times \hat{T}_k^{(t)}); Z_{\ell}).$$

(188)
Therefore, from the above arguments, it is enough to show that the inclusion $F^{2k+1}D_*(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \Lambda_{2k+1}^+L/L_{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ induces a surjective homomorphism on third homology groups because their third homology groups are free module with same rank.

For this, we compare two spectral sequences associated to $D_*(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and central extension $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1} \to \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k}$ of Lie algebra as in [95] and [96]. Regarding $D_*(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ as double complex concentrated on degree $(1,2k)$ with zero differentials, we obtain a first quadrant spectral sequence $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_2 = \{\overset{\text{w}}{E}_{p,q}\}$ with

$$\overset{\text{w}}{E}_2^{p,q} = H_p^{(w-qk)}(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} A_q(F_n^l/\Gamma_{k+1}(F_n^l)), \quad \overset{\text{w}}{E}_2^{p,q} \Rightarrow H_p^{(w)}(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell). \quad (189)$$

Then, by construction, we see that this spectral sequence collapses, that is $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{p,q} = \overset{\text{w}}{E}_2^{p,q}$, and so

$$\overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{p,q} = H_p^{(w)}(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq H_p^{(w-qk)}(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} A_q(F_n^l/\Gamma_{k+1}(F_n^l); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{p,q}. \quad (190)$$

On the other hand, we have the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_2 = \{\overset{\text{w}}{E}_{p,q}\}$ converging to $H_{p+q}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ given in [95] and [96]. Let us compare the spectral sequences for weight $2k+1$ part. We see that the only $E_2^{2,1}$ terms are non-zero in both spectral sequences with weight $2k+1$. Moreover, by comparing explicit form of $E_2^{p,q}$ terms, one sees that they are isomorphic. Since the former spectral sequence $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_2$ collapses, the homomorphism $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{1,2} \to \overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{2,1}$ is surjective. As we see in [95] and [96], we have $\overset{\text{w}}{E}_\infty^{1,2} = H_3^{(2k+1)}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, so we conclude that $H_3(F^{2k+1}D_*(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3^{(2k+1)}(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\geq k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is surjective and we get the desired isomorphism (187).

Since the split subgroup $H_3(\hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ in $H_3(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ concentrates on weight $3k$ part, by Corollary 5.3.1 $F^{2k+2}H_3(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$, and inclusions induce weight preserving homomorphisms on homology groups, we conclude that the image of the composition

$$H_3(\hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \quad (191)$$

must be zero.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 6.2.2.** The cokernel of the induced homomorphism $H_3(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\xi_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $nN_k - N_{k+1}$;

$$\text{coker}(H_3(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\xi_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_k - N_{k+1})}. \quad (192)$$

In addition, the third homotopy group $\pi_3(\hat{T}_k^l)$ of pro-$\ell$ (complete) Orr space is free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module whose rank is computed as

$$\pi_3(\hat{T}_k^l) \simeq H_3(\xi_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=k}^{2k-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i - N_{i+1})} \quad (193)$$

**Proof.** By considering $\xi_k^l$ as the pushout of $\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l \to K(t)^{k+1}$ and $\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l \to T_k^l$, its associated Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence is given as follows.

$$\xymatrix{ H_3(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \ar[r] & H_3(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \oplus H_3(\hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \ar[r] & H_3(\xi_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \ar@{-->}[l] \ar[r] & H_2(\sqrt{\hat{S}}^1(t) \times \hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \ar[r] & H_2(K(t)^{k+1}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \oplus H_2(\hat{T}_k^l; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \ar@{-->}[l] \ar[r] & 0 }$$

\[ 42 \]
Here, note that $H_2(\hat{c}_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$ since $c_k^{(l)}$ is 2-connected. Also, note that morphisms in the above exact sequence preserve filtration of homology groups since morphisms of chain complexes preserve filtration.

Noting that the map $\vee \hat{S}^{1(l)} \times \hat{T}^{(l)}_k \rightarrow \hat{T}^{(l)}_k$ split and using Lemma 6.2.1, the above Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence induces the following commutative diagram whose bottom row is exact:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^{2k+1}H_3(\vee \hat{S}^{1(l)} \times \hat{T}^{(l)}_k; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & F^{2k+1}H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_3(\vee \hat{S}^{1(l)} \times \hat{T}^{(l)}_k; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

Since the top horizontal homomorphism is onto by Lemma 6.2.1, noting again that the map $\vee \hat{S}^{1(l)} \times \hat{T}^{(l)}_k \rightarrow \hat{T}^{(l)}_k$ split, we obtain the following exact sequence:

\[
0 \longrightarrow H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_2(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_1(\hat{T}^{(l)}_k; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^m \longrightarrow 0.
\]

Here, we use the fact that $H_1(\hat{T}^{(l)}_n; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^m$ and $H^i(\hat{T}^{(l)}_n; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. The former is [RZ] Lemma 6.8.6 (b) and the latter follows from the fact that the cohomological $\ell$-dimension of $\hat{T}^{(l)}_n$ is 1 and the existence of duality between homology groups and cohomology groups ([RZ] Proposition 6.3.6]). Using the result of Corollary 5.3.1, the sequence leads to the following exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{2k-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i-N_{i+1})} \rightarrow H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus nN_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus N_{k+1}} \rightarrow 0.
\]  

(194)

Since all the relevant groups in the above sequence are free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-modules, by dimension counting, the assertion follows.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 6.2.3.** The homomorphism $\gamma_{k+1,k} : H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ factors through a homomorphism $H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Moreover, the resulting homomorphism $H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is surjective. That is to say, we have the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \gamma_{k+1,k} & H_3(\xi_k^{(l)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_3(K^{(l)}(k+1); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** For a chain complex $C_*$, we denote by $\sigma_{\geq 2}C_*$ the “stupid” truncation $\sigma_{\geq 2}$ of $C_*$, that is, we set

\[
\sigma_{\geq 2}C_n = \begin{cases} C_n & (n \geq 2) \\ 0 & (n \leq 1). \end{cases}
\]  

(195)
Then, by definition, we have $H_i(C_+) \simeq H_i(\sigma_{\geq 2}C_+)$ for $i \geq 3$. Since the quotient by $K(\widehat{F}_n^{(t)},1)$ does not affect to $D_i(K^{(t)}(k);\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ with degree $i \geq 2$, we have $\sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(K^{(t)}(k);\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(K^{(t)}_k;\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. Henceforth, the morphisms of pro-spaces $K^{(t)}(k+1) \to \xi^{(t)}_{k+1}$, $\xi^{(t)}_k \to K^{(t)}_k$ and $K^{(t)}(k+1) \to K^{(t)}_{k+1}$ induces the morphisms of chain complexes as follows.

$$\sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(K^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(K^{(t)}(k+1);\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \sigma_{\geq 2}D_*(\xi^{(t)}_k;\mathbb{Z}_\ell). \quad (196)$$

Since the middle homomorphism is isomorphism noted as above, by taking homology group functor, we get the desired commutative diagram. The surjectivity of $H_3(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1);\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ follows from that of $\xi^{(t)}_{k+1} \to K^{(t)}_{k+1}$. Thus, the assertion has been proved.

**Lemma 6.2.4.** For homomorphisms $\gamma_{k+1,k} : \pi_3(\xi^{(t)}_k) \to \pi_3(\xi^{(t)}_k)$ as in Lemma 6.2.3, we have

$$\ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \subset \text{Im}(\gamma_{k+2,k+1}) \quad (197)$$

**Proof.** Consider the following commutative diagram whose horizontal sequences are exact:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H_3(K^{(t)}(k+2);\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H_3(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{k+1,k} & & \downarrow \rho \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_3(K^{(t)}(k+1);\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & H_3(\xi^{(t)}_k;\mathbb{Z}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\beta) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

Here, $\rho$ is the induced homomorphism from the left most square of the diagram and $\gamma$ is the induced map from the factorization of $\gamma_{k+1,k}$ in Lemma 6.2.3. Applying the results of Corollary 5.3.1 and Proposition 6.2.2 to the top and bottom short exact sequences, we can compute the rank of $\text{coker}(\alpha)$ and $\text{coker}(\beta)$ as

$$\text{coker}(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus(nN_{k+1}-N_{k+2})},$$

$$\text{coker}(\beta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus(nN_{k}-N_{k+1})}.$$  

Now, the homomorphism $\rho$ is zero homomorphism, since $\gamma$ is onto by Lemma 6.2.3. In fact, for any element $x \in \text{coker}(\alpha)$ there is an element $c \in H_3(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. In fact, since $\rho \circ i = j \circ \gamma_{k+1,k}$ and $\text{Im}(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \simeq H_3(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)/\ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \simeq H_3(\xi^{(t)}_{k+1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)/\ker(\gamma) \simeq \text{Im}(\gamma)$, so $\text{Im}(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \simeq \text{Im}(\beta \circ \gamma)$ and therefore $\rho \circ i = j \circ \beta \circ \gamma = 0$. This implies $\rho = 0$.

Then, by snake lemma, we have the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\epsilon) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\alpha) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ker(\epsilon) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\gamma_{k+1,k}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\beta) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

where we use $\ker(\rho) = \text{coker}(\alpha)$ mentioned as above. Since we have $\text{coker}(\alpha) = \ker(\epsilon)$ and $\ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) = \text{coker}(\beta)$ by counting dimension, we conclude that $\ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \subset \text{Im}(\alpha)$. Hence, this completes the proof. \qed
We introduce a (descending) filtration $G^\bullet$ to $H_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ by setting
\[ G^l H_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = \text{Im}(\gamma_{l-1, k} : H_3(\xi_{l-1}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to H_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \] (198)
where the homomorphism $\gamma_{l-1, k}$ is defined as composition $\gamma_{l-1, k} = \gamma_{l-1, l-2} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{k+1, k}$. Note that the isomorphism $\pi_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}) \to \pi_3(K_k^{(\ell)})$ in Lemma maps the $l$-th term of the filtration $G^l H_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ to the $l$-th term of the filtration $G^l \pi_3(K_k^{(\ell)})$. So, we have
\[ \text{coker}(\gamma_{k+1, k}) \simeq \text{coker}(\psi_{k+1, k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_k - N_{k+1})}. \] (199)

**Theorem 6.2.5.** Let $\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}$ be the complete pro-$\ell$ Orr space. Then, the following statements hold:

1. For the filtration $G^l \tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}$ is actually given as follows:
   \[ 0 = G^{2k+1} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}) \subset \cdots \subset G^{k+1} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}) = \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}). \] (200)

2. For each integer $k \leq l \leq 2k - 1$, the associated graded quotient is isomorphic to rank $nN_l - N_{l+1}$ free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module, i.e., there is an isomorphism
   \[ \Phi_l : \frac{\mathcal{F}_l^{l+1} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)})}{\mathcal{F}_l^{l+2} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)})} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_l - N_{l+1})}. \] (201)

3. The homomorphisms
   \[ \psi_{k+1, k} : \pi_3(\tilde{K}_{k+1}^{(\ell)}) \to \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}) \] (202)
   preserve the filtration $G^l \pi_3(K_{k+1}^{(\ell)})$, and induced homomorphism on the associated graded quotients
   \[ \frac{G^l \pi_3(\tilde{K}_{k+1}^{(\ell)})}{G^{l+1} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_{k+1}^{(\ell)})} \to \frac{G^l \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)})}{G^{l+1} \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)})} \] (203)
   are isomorphisms for $k + 2 \leq l \leq 2k$ and zero homomorphisms otherwise.

4. In particular, for each $k \geq 2$, there is an isomorphism
   \[ \pi_3(\tilde{K}_k^{(\ell)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=k}^{2k-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i - N_{i+1})} \] (204)
   and
   \[ x \in \ker(\psi_{l, k}) \text{ if and only if } x \in \text{Im}(\psi_{2k, 1}). \] (205)

**Proof.** Consider the following exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \ker(\gamma_{k+1, k}) \to H_3(\xi_{k+1}^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{k+1, k}} H_3(\xi_k^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{coker}(\gamma_{k+1, k}) \to 0. \] (206)
By inserting the result of Proposition 6.2.2 and $\text{coker}(\gamma_{k+1, k}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_k - N_{k+1})}$, the above sequence becomes
\[ 0 \to \ker(\gamma_{k+1, k}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=k+1}^{2k} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_{i+1})}} \bigoplus_{i=k}^{2k-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i - N_{i+1})} \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_k - N_{k+1})} \to 0. \] (207)
Therefore, dimension counting implies that
\[
\ker(\gamma_{k+1,k}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=2k}^{2k+1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i-N_{i+1})}.
\] (208)

Since all homology groups \(H_3(\xi_m;\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) are free \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)-modules, in terms of Lemma 6.2.4 we have
\[
\ker(\gamma_{l,k}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=2k}^{2l-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i-N_{i+1})}.
\] (209)

Hence, by group isomorphism theorem, we get
\[
\text{Im}(\gamma_{l,k}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=l}^{2k-1} \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_i-N_{i+1})}.
\] (210)

By definition of the filtration \(G^\bullet\), its associated graded quotient is given as the form
\[
\frac{G^lH_3(\xi_{l-1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}{G^{l+1}H_3(\xi_{l-1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_{l-1}-N_{i})} \oplus \text{torsion}.
\] (211)

Now, we know that
\[
\frac{G^lH_3(\xi_{l-1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}{G^{l+1}H_3(\xi_{l-1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_{l-1}-N_{i})}
\] (212)

and \(G^lH_3(\xi_{l-1};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to G^lH_3(\xi_{l};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) is surjective by definition, so the torsion part of equation (211) must be zero. So we conclude that
\[
\frac{G^lH_3(\xi_{l};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)}{G^{l+1}H_3(\xi_{l};\mathbb{Z}_\ell)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus (nN_{l-1}-N_{i})}.
\] (213)

By proposition 6.2.2 and counting dimension, we have \(G^{2k+1}H_3(\xi_{l};\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq 0\).

\section{Massey products and \(H^2(\widehat{F}_n(\ell)/\Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n(\ell));\mathbb{F})\)}

In this section, we recall the notion of Massey products for pro-\(\ell\) group cohomology. Then, we describe a basis of the dual module \(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\widehat{F}_n(\ell)/\Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n(\ell));\mathbb{Z}_\ell),\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) and the second cohomology group \(H^2(\widehat{F}_n(\ell)/\Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n(\ell));\mathbb{F})\) via Massey products. Here, \(\mathbb{F}\) denotes the field of \(\ell\)-adic numbers \(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\) or finite field \(\mathbb{F}_\ell\).

\subsection{Higher Massey products for pro-\(\ell\) group cohomology}

Here, we recall the basics of higher Massey product for pro-\(\ell\) group cohomology following [Dwy] and [Mo1].

Let \(G\) be a profinite group and let \(A\) be a discrete \(G\)-module. Let us consider the inhomogeneous cochain complex of \(G\) with coefficients in \(A\) as in [NSW, Section 2] (see also [RZ, Section 6.4]), that is,
for \(k \geq 0\), the module \(C^k(G; A)\) of \(k\)-cochains is given by the module of all continuous maps \(\text{Map}(G^k, A)\) and coboundary operator \(d : C^k(G; A) \to C^{k+1}(G; A)\) is given by

\[
(df)(g_1, \ldots, g_{k+1}) = g_1 f(g_2, \ldots, g_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i f(g_1, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1} f(g_1, \ldots, g_k). \tag{214}
\]

The cup product \(\smile : C^p(G; A) \times C^q(G; A) \to C^{p+q}(G; A)\) is defined as, for \(u \in C^p(G; A)\) and \(v \in C^q(G; A)\),

\[
(u \smile v)(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+q}) := (-1)^{pq} u(g_1, \ldots, g_p) \cdot v(g_{p+1}, \ldots, g_{p+q}) \in A. \tag{215}
\]

Let \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in H^1(G; A)\) be first cohomology classes. A Massey product \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\) is said to be defined if there is an array \(\mathcal{A}\)

\[
\mathcal{A} = \{a_{ij} \in C^1(G; A) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m + 1, \ (i, j) \neq (1, m + 1)\} \tag{216}
\]

such that

\[
\begin{cases}
[a_{i,i+1}] = \alpha_i & (1 \leq i \leq m) \\
d a_{i,j} = \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} a_{ik} \smile a_{kj} & (j \neq i + 1).
\end{cases} \tag{217}
\]

We call such an array \(\mathcal{A}\) a defining system for \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\). Then, for a defining system \(\mathcal{A}\), the cohomology class \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}\) in \(H^2(G; A)\) is defined as the cohomology class represented by the 2-cocycle

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{m} a_{1k} \smile a_{k,m+1}. \tag{218}
\]

Then, we define a Massey product of \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\) as the subset of \(H^2(G; A)\) given by

\[
\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle := \{\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \in H^2(G; A) \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is defining system for } \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\}. \tag{219}
\]

**Remark 7.1.1.** (1) The Massey product \(\langle \alpha_1 \rangle\) is just \(\alpha_1\) and its defining system \(\mathcal{A}\) consists of any 1-cocycle representing \(\alpha_1\). The Massey product \(\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle\) is the cup product \(\alpha_1 \smile \alpha_2\).

(2) (Uniqueness) For any integer \(m \geq 3\), the Massey product \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\) is defined and uniquely defined if \(\langle \alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_r} \rangle = 0\) for any proper subset \(\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}\) of \(\{1, \ldots, m\}\) with \(r \geq 1\).

(3) (Naturality) Let \(G\) and \(G'\) be profinite groups and \(f : G \to G'\) be a continuous homomorphism. Assume that \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\) is defined for \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in H^1(G'; A)\) with defining system \(\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})\). Then, the Massey product \(\langle f^*(\alpha_1), \ldots, f^*(\alpha_m) \rangle\) is defined for \(f^*(\alpha_1), \ldots, f^*(\alpha_m) \in H^1(G; A)\) with the defining system \(\mathcal{A}^* = (f^*(a_{ij}))\) and we have \(f^*(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}) = \langle f^*(\alpha_1), \ldots, f^*(\alpha_m) \rangle_{\mathcal{A}^*}\).

Next, let us recall interpretation of a defining system for the Massey product as uni-triangular matrix (cf. [Dwy] and [Sl]). Let \(U_{m+1}(A)\) denote the multiplicative group of upper triangular matrices coefficients in \(A\) whose diagonal entries are identity. Similarly, we denote by \(Z_{m+1}(A)\) the subgroup of \(U_{m+1}(A)\) whose non-zero entries are only diagonal entries and \((1, m + 1)\)-entry. Then, noting that \(Z_{m+1}(A)\) is identified with \(A\), we have the following exact sequence

\[
1 \to A \to U_{m+1}(A) \to \overline{U}_{m+1}(A) \to 1 \tag{220}
\]

where \(\overline{U}_{m+1}(A)\) is the quotient group \(U_{m+1}(A)/Z_{m+1}(A)\). Now, for the defining system \(\mathcal{A} = (a_{ij})\) for \(\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle\) can be identified with a homomorphism

\[
\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : G \to \overline{U}_{m+1}(A) \tag{221}
\]
which sends \( g \in G \) to \( \phi_A(g) := (\phi_{ij}(g)) := (-a_{ij}(g)) \) where we set \(-a_{ii}(g) = 1\) for each \(1 \leq i \leq m\).

Indeed, by the condition \((217)\), one obtains

\[
da_{ij}(g_1g_2) = a_{ij}(g_1) + a_{ij}(g_2) - a_{ij}(g_1g_2) = \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} a_{ik}(g_1)a_{kj}(g_2)
\]

and so

\[
\phi_{ij}(g_1g_2) = \phi_{ij}(g_1) + \phi_{ij}(g_2) + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \phi_{ik}(g_1)\phi_{kj}(g_2).
\]

Besides, from this interpretation, one easily sees that the Massey product \( \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle \) plays a role of obstruction class for existence of a lift of \( \phi_A : G \to \overline{U}_{m+1}(A) \to U_{m+1}(A) \). More precisely, the cohomology class \( \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle_A = 0 \) if and only if \( \phi_A : G \to \overline{U}_{m+1}(A) \) extends to a homomorphism \( G \to U_{m+1}(A) \).

Finally, we recall the relation between Massey products and Magnus coefficients.

Let \( G \) denote a pro-\( \ell \) group with minimal presentation given by

\[
1 \to R \to \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1.
\]

We set \( \pi(x_i) := g_i \) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\). Then, we recall the transgression map

\[
tg : H^1(R; A)^G \to H^2(G; A)
\]

associated with Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence defined as follows. For a 1-cocycle \( a \) of \( H^1(R; A)^G \), there exists a 1-cochain \( b \in Z^1(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}; A) \) with \( b|_R = a \) and \( b(\sigma\tau) = b(\tau\sigma) = b(\sigma) + b(\tau) \) for \( \sigma, \tau \in \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \). Then, the value \( db(z_1z_2) \) depends only cosets \( z_1 \) mod \( R \) and \( z_2 \) mod \( R \), so there is a 2-cocycle \( c \in Z^2(G; A) \) such that the pullback is \( \pi^*(c) = db \). Then, the transgression map is defined as \( \text{tg}(a) = [c] \).

Since \( H^1(G; A) \simeq H^1(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}; A) \) and \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}; A) = 0 \), the transgression map is an isomorphism. Dually we have the isomorphism \( \text{tg}^\vee \), called Hopf isomorphism,

\[
\text{tg}^\vee : H_2(G; A) \to H_1(R; A)_G \simeq R \cap [\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}]/[R, \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}].
\]

Then, an explicit formula that relates Massey products and Magnus coefficients is given as follows (cf. [SU Lemma 1.5]).

**Proposition 7.1.2.** Notations being as above, let \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in H_1(G; A) \) and let \( A = (a_{ij}) \) be a defining system for the Massey product \( \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle \). For \( f \in R \cap [\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}, \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}] \), we set \( \eta := (\text{tg}^\vee)^{-1}(f \mod [R, \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}]) \). Then, we have

\[
\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \rangle_A(\eta) = \sum_{r=1}^{m} (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{c_1 + \cdots + c_r = m} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_r \leq n} a_{1,1+c_1}(g_{i_1})a_{1+c_1,1+c_2}(g_{i_2}) \cdots a_{m+1-c_j,m+1}(g_{i_r}) \mu(I; f)
\]

where \( c_i (1 \leq i \leq j) \) runs over positive integers with \( c_1 + \cdots + c_j = m \) and \( g_i := \pi(x_i) (1 \leq i \leq n) \) and \( \mu(i_1 \cdots i_j; f) \) is the Magnus coefficient of \( f \) with respect to \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_j) \).

Recalling the fact that \( \mu(I; f) = 0 \) for \( f \in \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) with respect to \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_r) \) with \( r \leq k - 1 \), we immediately get the following corollary.
Corollary 7.1.3. With the same notation as in Proposition 7.1.2 for \( f \in R \cap \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \), we have
\[
\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle_A(\eta) = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{I=(i_1 \cdots i_k)} \alpha_1(g_{i_1}) \cdots \alpha_k(g_{i_k}) \mu(I; f)
\]  

(227)

Remark 7.1.4. Here, we follow the sign convention in [Dwy], [Mo1] and [W1]. This is different from [May] and [Kr1].

7.2 A basis of \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); F) \) in terms of Massey products

We describe a basis of \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) and \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); F) \) via Massey products. Here \( F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) or \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \).

To begin with, let us recall the notion of Lyndon basis or standard basis of \( L_k \simeq \Gamma_k(F_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}(F_n) \) following [CFL].

Let \( I \) be the set of all multi-indices \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_m) \) with \( 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n \). Suppose that \( I \) is endowed with lexicographic order induced from that of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). An end of a multi-index \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \in I \) is proper subindex \( I_m := (i_m i_{m+1} \cdots i_k) \) for each \( m \geq 2 \). A multi-index \( I \in I \) is said to be standard if \( I < I_m \) for any \( m \geq 2 \) with respect to lexicographic order of \( I \). Then, any standard multi-index \( I \) factorise into two standard indices \( I = I_1 I_2 \) so that \( I_2 \) is the longest standard end. We denote it by \( I = (I_1, I_2) \).

By iterating this factorisation, we obtain the indices with bracketing such that each index in bracket is standard. A standard multi-index \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \) is also called a Lyndon word of length \( k \) over \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

Let \( LW_k \) be the set of Lyndon words of length \( k \) over \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

Example 7.2.1. (1) The multi-indices \( (12) \) and \( (122) \) are Lyndon words, but \( (121) \) and \( (1312) \) are not. (2) The Lyndon words \( (122) \) and \( (1112) \) factorise into \( (122) = ((12)2) \) and \( (1112) = (1(1(12))) \) respectively.

Inductively, we define a map
\[
e : LW_k \to L_k
\]
which assign elements of \( L_k \) corresponding to Lyndon words as follows: For \( k = 1 \) set \( e(i) = X_i \), and for \( k > 1 \), set \( e(I) = [e(I_1), e(I_2)] \) corresponding to standard factorisation of a Lyndon word \( I = (I_1, I_2) \).

It turns out that the image of \( e \) forms a basis of \( L_k \). We denote it by \( LB_k \) and call it Lyndon basis or standard commutator of \( L_k \).

Example 7.2.2. For Lyndon words \( (122) \) and \( (1112) \) as above, the corresponding elements of Lyndon basis are given by \( e((122)) = [[X_1, X_2]X_2] \) and \( e((1112)) = [X_1[X_1[X_1, X_2]]] \).

Next, we consider a defining system of Massey product obtained from Magnus coefficients as follows:

Let \( x_i^* : F_n \to \mathbb{Z} \) be a homomorphism given by \( x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{ij} \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). Here \( \delta_{ij} \) denotes the Kronecker delta, that is, \( \delta_{ij} = 1 \) if \( i = j \) and \( \delta_{ij} = 0 \) otherwise. By abuse of notation, we denote its extension to \( \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) by the same \( x_i^* \).

As in equation (39), we consider the Magnus coefficient with respect to a multi-index \( I \) as a homomorphism
\[
\mu(I; -) : \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell
\]

(229)

\footnote{Lyndon words can be computable in terms of module LyndonWords in Sage Mathematics Software S+09}
and also as a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, for a multi-index $I = (i_1 \cdots i_m)$ of length $m$ and for $1 \leq i < j \leq m+1$, we set

$$
\mu_{ij} := \mu((i_{i+1} \cdots i_{j-1}); -) : \hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n) \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell
$$

(230)

the induced 1-cocycle on $k$-th nilpotent quotient $\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n)$. Then, we denote by $A$ the array consisting of $-\mu_{ij}$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq m+1$. Then, we see that $A = (-\mu_{ij})$ forms a defining system for $\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^* \rangle$. In fact $\mu_{i,i+1} = \mu(i_i; -) = x_i^*$ and we can check

$$
d\mu_{ij} = \sum_{m=i+1}^{j-1} \mu_{ik} \sim \mu_{kj}
$$

(231)

for $j \neq i + 1$ since we have

$$
\mu(I; g_1 g_2) = \sum_{(I_1 I_2) = I} \mu(I_1; g_1) \mu(I_2; g_2).
$$

(232)

Therefore, the resulting Massey product

$$
\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^* \rangle, A \in H^2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)
$$

is represented by the following 2-cocycle:

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mu((i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l); -) \sim \mu((i_{i+1} \cdots i_m); -).
$$

(233)

(234)

Then, we have the following pro-$\ell$ version of result in [O3].

**Theorem 7.2.3.** Let $k \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Then, following statements hold:

1. Any Massey product $\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle$ with $m < k$ vanishes. In particular, any Massey product $\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle \in H^2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is uniquely defined for each standard index $I = (i_1 \cdots i_k)$.

2. The Massey products $\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle$ with standard indices $I = (i_1 \cdots i_k)$ form a $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-basis for the dual module of the second homology group $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ under the surjective homomorphism

$$
H^2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Z}_\ell).
$$

(235)

**Proof.** (1) By Corollary 5.3.1 we have $H_2(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n / \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cong \Gamma_k(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n) / \Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{\Gamma}^{(\ell)}_n)$. We know that for such an element $g$, $\mu(I; g) = 0$ with any multi-index $I$ of length $< k$ by Remark 2.3.1 (2). Therefore, any Massey products with any multi-index $I$ of length $m < k$ vanish by Proposition 7.1.2. The uniqueness follows from Remark 7.1.1 (2).

(2) In terms of the Lyndon basis, there is a homomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{I \in LW_k} \mu(I; -) : \Gamma_k(F_n) / \Gamma_{k+1}(F_n) \to \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus N_k}
$$
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given by

$$
\Gamma_k(F_n) / \Gamma_{k+1}(F_n) \ni g = \sum_{I \in LW_k} \mu(I; g) \cdot e(I) \mapsto (\mu(I; g))_{I \in LW_k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus N_k}.
$$

(237)
It is known that \( \bigoplus_{I \in LW_k} \) is surjective and so isomorphism (cf. [CFL] Theorem 3.5 and 3.9). By abuse of notation, we denote by the same \( \bigoplus_{I \in LW_k} \mu(I; -) \) the extension

\[
\bigoplus_{I \in LW_k} \mu(I; -) : \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^t) \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\oplus N_k},
\]

(238)

and we see that this also gives an isomorphism. In fact, this follows from \( \Gamma_k(F_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}(F_n)^{\sim(t)} \simeq \Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_{k+1}(\hat{F}_n^t) \).

Let \( e^*(I) \) denote the Kronecker dual to \( e(I) \) for each standard indices \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \). Then, by applying Corollary 7.1.3 to \( \langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle_A \), for \( g = \sum_{J \in LW_k} \mu(J; g) \cdot e(J) \), we have

\[
\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle_A(g) = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{J=\langle j_1 \cdots j_k \rangle} (x_{i_1}^*(x_{j_1}) \cdots x_{i_k}^*(x_{j_k}) \mu(J; g))
\]

\[
= (-1)^{k+1} \mu(i_1 \cdots i_k; g)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{k+1} \langle e^*(I), g \rangle.
\]

Here, \( \langle -,- \rangle \) denotes the Kronecker paring. Therefore, as an element of \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \), the 2-cocycle \( \langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle_A \) is identified with the Kronecker dual \( (-1)^{k+1} e^*(I) \). Thus, the 2-cocycles \( \{\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle | I \in LW_k \} \) forms a basis of \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H_2(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \). This completes the proof.

We complete this section by giving Massey products description of a basis for the second cohomology groups \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{F}) \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) or \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \), as a corollary of above discussions.

**Corollary 7.2.4.** Let \( \mathbb{F} \) denote \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) or \( \mathbb{F}_\ell \). Then, the following statement holds: The Massey products \( \langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_k}^* \rangle \) with standard indices \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_k) \) form a \( \mathbb{F} \)-basis for the second cohomology group \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{F}) \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{F} \).

Proof. It is enough to show that \( \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{F})) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)) \). When \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_\ell \), this follows from Pontryagin duality \( H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{F}_\ell) \simeq H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{F}_\ell) \). For the case of \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}_\ell \), by the universal coefficient theorem, we have

\[
H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H^\bullet(\hat{F}_n^t)/\Gamma_k(\hat{F}_n^t); \mathbb{Z}_\ell), \mathbb{Q}_\ell)
\]

(239)

since \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \) is injective \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-module and so \( \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^1(-, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0 \).
Theorem 8.1.1 (properties of pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariants). Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be a set of basing and let \( G_K[k] \) be the \( k \)-th Johnson subgroup of absolute Galois group \( G_K \) of a number field \( K \). Suppose that, for each \( \tau \in \mathcal{T} \), we have a representation \( G_K \to \text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) given as in section 2.7. Then, the pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariant \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \pi_3(K^{(\ell)}) \) for based Galois element \( (\sigma, \tau) \in G_K[k] \times \mathcal{T} \) satisfies the following properties:

1. The invariant \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined if and only if the length \( \leq k \) \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of \( \sigma \) vanish.

2. The map \( \theta_k^{(\ell)} : G_K[k] \times \mathcal{T} \to \pi_3(K^{(\ell)}) \) is additive with respect to the product of \( (E_\sigma, \tau) \), i.e., we have

\[
\theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma_2, \tau_2)) = \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_1, \tau_1) + \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_2, \tau_2).
\]

for \( (\sigma_1, \tau_1), (\sigma_2, \tau_2) \in G_K[k] \times \mathcal{T} \). Here, \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_1, \tau_1) \circ (\sigma_2, \tau_2) \) denotes the pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariant obtained from \( (E_\sigma, \tau_1) \circ (E_{\sigma_2}, \tau_2) \). In particular, for fixed basing \( \tau \), we have

\[
\theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2, \tau) = \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_1, \tau) + \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma_2, \tau).
\]

3. \( \psi_{l,k}(\theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)) = \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \)

4. \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Im}(\psi_{k+1,k}) \) if and only if all length \( k + 1 \) \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of \( \sigma \) vanish.

5. Let \( \tau, \tau' \) be two basing. Then, \( \theta_k(\sigma, \tau) \equiv \theta_k(\sigma, \tau') \mod \text{Im}(\psi_{k+1,k}) \).

Proof. (1) Assume that the invariant \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined. Then, by assumption, we know that each \( y_i(\sigma) \) lies in \( \Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \), so its Magnus coefficients \( \mu(I; y_i(\sigma)) \) are all zero with multi-indices of length \( \leq k \). Conversely, assume that all the Magnus coefficients \( \mu(I; y_i(\sigma)) = 0 \) for any multi-index \( I \) of length \( \leq k \), then we see \( y_i(\sigma) \in \Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \). Thus, the invariant \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined.

(2) This is Theorem 3.4.4 and Corollary 3.4.5.

(3) Assume that \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined. Then, degree \( k \) to \( l - 1 \) part of \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) must be zero. This means \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) = \psi_{l,k}(\theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)) \).

(4) Suppose that all Magnus coefficients \( \mu(I; y_i(\sigma)) \) for multi-indices of length \( k + 1 \) vanish. Then, by (1) the invariant \( \theta_{k+1}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined. Therefore, applying (3), we have \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) = \psi_{k+1,k}(\theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)) \).

Conversely, if \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Im}(\psi_{k+1,k}) \), then this means that \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \) is defined. By (1), we conclude that all Magnus coefficients \( \mu(I; y_i(\sigma)) \) for multi-indices of length \( k + 1 \) vanish.

(5) By (2), \( \theta_k(\sigma, \tau) = \theta_k(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma, \tau) \). Here, \( h \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) such that \( \tau = \tau' \circ h \). Since the \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of length \( \leq k \) vanish for \( \sigma \) and the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants are additive invariants, length \( \leq k + 1 \) Milnor invariants of \( (h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma, \tau) \) vanish. In fact, we obtain

\[
\mu(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma; (i_1 \cdots i_{k+1})) = \mu(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h; (i_1 \cdots i_{k+1})) + \mu(\sigma; (i_1 \cdots i_{k+1}))
\]

\[
\mu(\sigma^{-1}; (i_1 \cdots i_{k+1})) + \mu(\sigma; (i_1 \cdots i_{k+1})) = 0.
\]

The second follows from the fact that \( h \) which acts on generators of \( \widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) by conjugation and the first non-vanishing Milnor invariants are invariant under conjugation of each generator.

Therefore, \( \theta_k^{(\ell)}(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma, \tau) \) is defined. So, by (3), \( \psi_{k+1,k}(\theta_k^{(\ell)}(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma, \tau)) = \theta_k^{(\ell)}(h^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ h \circ \sigma, \tau) = \theta_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) - \theta_k(\sigma, \tau') \).

\[\square\]
Remark 8.1.2. Note that in [O1] he proved some geometric properties of his invariant such as realizability ([O1 §2]) in addition to the above properties.

8.2 Properties of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$

Next, we study some property of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$.

**Theorem 8.2.1** (Vanishing condition of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$). With the same notation as in Theorem 8.1.1, let $\sigma$ be an element in $G_K[k]$. Then, $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma \in G_K[2k - 1]$.

**Proof.** Since $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$ is the image of $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau)$ under the Hurewicz homomorphism, if $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$ is defined, then $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau)$ is also defined. Note that by Lemma 3.5.1, Corollary 5.3.2 and Theorem 6.2.5, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\oplus n \cdot N_{2k-1} - N_{2k}} \to \pi_3(K^{(l)}) \to H_3(F_n^{(l)}/\Gamma_k(F_n^{(l)})) \to 0. \quad (242)$$

Thus, the vanishing of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$ is equivalent to $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Im}(\psi_{2k-1,k})$. Assume that $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Im}(\psi_{2k-1,k})$. Then, by Theorem 8.1.1 (4), we see that all length $l$ $(l \leq 2k - 1)$ $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants of $\sigma$ vanish. This means $\sigma \in G_K[2k - 1]$.

Conversely, assume that $\sigma \in G_K[2k - 1]$. Then, by Theorem 8.1.1 (3), $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau)$ is defined. Now, by Theorem 8.1.1 (3), $\theta_k^{(l)}(\sigma, \tau) \in \text{Im}(\psi_{2k-1,k})$. Thus, $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau) = 0$. \qed

As corollary of Theorem 8.2.1 and Theorem 7.2.3, vanishing of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$ can be described by Massey products as follows.

**Corollary 8.2.2.** With notations as in Theorem 7.1.2 and Theorem 8.2.1, let $\sigma$ be an element of $G_K[k]$. Let $y_1(\sigma), \ldots, y_n(\sigma)$ be pro-$\ell$ words given as in Section 2.9. Then, $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau) = 0$ if and only if, for any $m \leq 2k - 1$, the evaluations of Massey products $\langle -x_{i_1}^{*}, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^{*} \rangle \in H^2(\pi_1(E_g);\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ at $\eta_i(\sigma) := (t_g^\ell)^{-1}([x_i, y_i(\sigma)] \mod [R, F_n^{(l)}])$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$,

$$\langle -x_{i_1}^{*}, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^{*} \rangle(\eta_i(\sigma)) = 0 \quad (243)$$

for any index $I = (i_1 \cdots i_m)$ of length $m$.

9 Applications

In this section, we consider pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants in the context of Grothendieck’s section conjecture [Gr]. In particular, we study its relation with Ellenberg obstruction introduced by Ellenberg in [E] and further studied by Wickelgren in [W1], [W2] and [W3]. In addition, we give examples of $\ell$-adic Milnor invariants which are equivalent to the vanishing of $\tau_k^{(t)}(\sigma, \tau)$ for $X = \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$.

9.1 Review of Ellenberg’s obstruction to $\pi_1$ sections

To begin with, let us recall the notion of Ellenberg’s obstruction introduced by Jordan Ellenberg in [E].

Let $X \to \text{Spec}(K)$ be a geometrically connected curve over a number field $K$. Here, a curve over $K$ means a finite type, separated, reduced scheme of dimension 1 over $K$. Fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let
\( \overline{K} \) be a fixed algebraic closure of \( K \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). We set \( X_{\overline{K}} = X \times_K \text{Spec}(\overline{K}) \) and take a rational point or rational tangential base point \( b \). Let \( X(K) \) denote the set of \( K \)-rational points of \( X \). Then, we consider non-abelian Kummer map

\[
\kappa = \kappa_{(X,b)} : X(K) \to H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, b))
\]
given by, for \( z \in X(K) \), \( \sigma \in G_K \) and \( \gamma_z \in \text{Path}(b,z) \),

\[
\kappa(z)(\sigma) := [\gamma_z^{-1} \cdot (\sigma(z)) ] \in \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, b).
\]

We can easily show that \( \kappa(z) \) is 1-cocycle and does not depend on the choice of the path \( \gamma_z \in \text{Path}(b,z) \), so is well-defined. It is known that \( \kappa \) is an injection. The section conjecture states that for a smooth proper curve \( X \) of genus at least 2 over a number field \( K \), the non-abelian Kummer map is not only injection but also surjection, that is, a bijection.

One of the difficult points to study this conjecture comes from fact that the \( \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, b) \) is huge and complicated group. To remedy this, Ellenberg considered its approximation using the tower of nilpotent quotients of \( \pi_1^{\text{et}} := \pi_1^{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, b) \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\vdots & \downarrow & \\
H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_4(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) & \downarrow & H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_3(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \\
H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) & \downarrow & X(K) \rightarrow H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_1(\pi_1^{\text{et}}))
\end{array}
\]

Note that with the choice of the base point \( b \), \( X \) embedded in to its generalized Jacobian \( \text{Jac}(X) \) (for generalized Jacobian, see [Ser1, Chapter V])

\[
X \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)
\]

by Abel-Jacobi map and its induced homomorphism on étale fundamental groups

\[
\pi_1^{\text{et}}(X_{\overline{K}}, b) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\text{Jac}(X)_{\overline{K}}, b) = \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{\text{et}}).
\]

Since \( X \) is embedded into \( \text{Jac}(X) \), we have \( X(K) \subset \text{Jac}(X)(K) \). Thus, one sees that \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \) contains a homotopy section comes not from a \( K \)-rational point in \( X(K) \) but from that in \( \text{Jac}(X)(K) \).

For each \( k \geq 2 \), the central extension of profinite groups

\[
1 \rightarrow \Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}})/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) \rightarrow 1
\]

give rise to a continuous boundary homomorphism \( \delta_k \) on cohomology groups

\[
\delta_k : H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \to H^2(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})).
\]

This boundary homomorphism \( \delta_k \) is an obstruction to a homotopy section \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \) to be the image of a homotopy section of \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \). That is, for \( f \in H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \), if
δ_k(f) = 0 then there is a lift \( \tilde{f} \) of \( f \) in \( H^1(G_k; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{et})) \). Thus, starting from a homotopy section \( f \in H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{et})) \), computing \( \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_k, \ldots \) recursively, one can know whether \( f \) comes from \( K \)-rational point of \( X(K) \) or not. If there is a positive integer \( k \), the value of \( \delta_k \) of a lift of \( f \) does not vanish, then \( f \) must not come from a homotopy section of \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}) \). As we see in Section 7.1, the Ellenberg obstruction class \( \delta_k \) is computable from Massey products. We note that, Wickelgren studied Ellenberg obstruction from this viewpoint in her series of articles \([W1],[W2]\) and \([W3]\).

### 9.2 Relation between pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariants and Ellenberg’s obstruction

Here, we describe the relation between Ellenberg’s obstruction and pro-\( \ell \) Orr invariants.

Here, we consider the spacial case that \( X = \mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{ \infty, a_1, \ldots, a_n \} \to \text{Spec}(K) \) with \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K \) as in Section 2.7. Note that we use the same notation as in Section 2.7.

Then, in terms of \( G_K \)-action \( \varphi \) on the maximal pro-\( \ell \) quotient \( \pi_1^{et} = \pi_1^{et}(X_K, b_\infty)^{\ell} \) we define the Johnson filtration of \( G_K \) with respect to \( \varphi \), as

\[
G_K = G_K[0] \supset G_K[1] \supset G_K[2] \supset \cdots \supset G_K[m] \supset \cdots
\]

Note that, for \( m \leq l \), the inclusion homomorphism \( \iota : G_K[l] \hookrightarrow G_K[m] \) induces the homomorphism

\[
H^1(G_K[m]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})) \to H^1(G_K[l]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et}))
\]

via pullback along \( \iota \). By considering approximation not only via nilpotent quotient by Ellenberg but also via Johnson filtration, we obtain the following double indexed nilpotent tower:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_4(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & H^1(G_K[2]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_4(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & H^1(G_K[3]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_4(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_3(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & H^1(G_K[2]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_3(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & H^1(G_K[3]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_3(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
X(k) & \to & H^1(G_K[2]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & H^1(G_K[3]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_2(\pi_1^{et})) & \to & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

Corresponding to the double indexed nilpotent tower, there is the double indexed boundary map, for \( k \geq 1 \) and \( m \geq 1 \),

\[
\delta_{k,m} : H^1(G_K[m]; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})) \to H^2(G_K[m]; \Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{et}))
\]

obtained by restricting the boundary map \( \delta_k : H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})) \to H^2(G_K; \Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{et})) \) to the \( m \)-th Johnson subgroup \( G_K[m] \).

Then, by definition of Johnson filtration and Ellenberg obstruction, we see the following properties:

**Lemma 9.2.1.** Notations being as above, let \( y_i : G_K \to \pi_1^{et} \) be 1-cocycles corresponding to \( G_K \)-action on \( \pi_1^{et} \) such that \( \sigma(x_i) = y_i(\sigma)^{-1}x_i^{\sigma(x_i)}y_i(\sigma) \). Let \( [y_i]_k \in H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})) \) be the image of \( [y_i] \in H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}) \) under the reduction map \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}) \to H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{et}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{et})) \). Then, the following statements hold:
(1) For any \( k \geq 2 \) we have
\[
\delta_k([y_i]_k) = 0, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),
\]
(2) Similarly, for any \( m \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 2 \), we have
\[
\delta_{k,m}([y_i|G_K[m]]) = 0, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),
\]
(3) For \( m \geq 2 \) and \( m \geq k \), we have
\[
[y_i|G_K[m]] = 0 \in H^1(G_K[m]; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}}))
\]

Proof. (1) By definition of \([y_i]_k\), this is the image of \([y_i]_{k+1}\) under the reduction \( H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{k+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \rightarrow H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \). Thus, by definition of \( \delta_k \), its evaluation \( \delta_k([y_i]_k) \) must vanish.

(2) This follows from (1) since \( G_K[m] \) is subgroup of \( G_K \).

(3) By definition of Johnson filtration, the restriction \( y_i|G_K[m] \) is 1-cocycle sending \( \sigma \in G_K[m] \) to \( y_i|G_K[m](\sigma) \in \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) \). Since \( \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{et}})/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) = 0 \) for \( m \geq k \), \( y_i|G_K[m](\sigma) = 0 \in \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_k(\pi_1^{\text{et}}) \) for \( m \geq k \). Thus, the assertion follows. \( \square \)

To relate \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants and the Massey products, we give 1-cocycle presentation of them. For this, we prepare the following notation. Let \( \mu(I; -) : \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) be the \( \ell \)-adic Magnus coefficient function with respect to the multi-index \( I \). Then, for a 1-cocycle \( f : G_K \rightarrow \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \), we set \( \mu(I; f) : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) which sends any \( \sigma \in G_K \) to the \( \ell \)-adic Magnus coefficient \( \mu(I; f(\sigma)) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) of \( f(\sigma) \in \hat{F}_n^{(\ell)} \) with respect to \( I \). Then, we have the following proposition stating the 1-cocycle version of the relation between the first non-vanishing \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants and the Massey products.

**Proposition 9.2.2.** With notations as in the above, the following statement holds: For \( m \geq 1 \) and \( k = m+1 \), the restriction of 1-cocycle \( y_i \) to \( G_K[m] \)
\[
[y_i|G_K[m]] \in H^1(G_K[m]; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{m+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}}))
\]
actually lies on \( H^1(G_K[m]; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \) and is explicitly written as
\[
[y_i|G_K[m]] = \bigoplus_{I \in LW_m} \mu(I; y_i|G_K[m]) \cdot e(I) \in H^1(G_K[m]; \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{et}})/\Gamma_{m+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})).
\]

In particular, the Kronecker pairing of the Massey product \( \langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^* \rangle \) in \( H^2(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) with \([y_i|G_K[m]]\) gives the 1-cocycle
\[
\langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^* \rangle(y_i|G_K[m]) = \mu((i_1 \cdots i_m); y_i|G_K[m]) \in H^1(G_K; \mathbb{Z}_\ell)
\]

Proof. By definition of Johnson subgroup \( G_K[m] \), we know that \( y_i(\sigma) \in \Gamma_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \) for \( \sigma \in G_K[m] \). Thus, \([y_i|G_K[m]] \in H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{m+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \subset H^1(G_K; \pi_1^{\text{et}}/\Gamma_{m+1}(\pi_1^{\text{et}})) \). As in equation (237), any element \( g \) in \( \Gamma_m(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)})/\Gamma_{m+1}(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}) \), can be written as linear combination \( g = \sum_{I \in LW_m} \mu(I; g) \cdot e(I) \) in terms of Magnus coefficients and standard basis \( e(I) \). Therefore, from our definition of \( \mu(I; y_i) \), we conclude that \([y_i|G_K[m]]\) is represented by
\[
[y_i|G_K[m]] = \sum_{I \in LW_m} \mu(I; y_i|G_K[m]) \cdot e(I).
\]

The last statement follows from Theorem (7.2.3) since \( \langle -x_{i_1}^*, \ldots, -x_{i_m}^* \rangle \) is the Kronecker dual of \( e((i_1 \cdots i_m)) \) for standard multi-index \( I = (i_1 \cdots i_m) \). \( \square \)
Further, we describe the pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants as 1-cocycle as follows.

**Proposition 9.2.3.** Let $\tau$ be a baising and fix it. Let $\varphi : G_K \to \Aut(\pi_1^{\mathtt{et}})$ be a representation as above with $\varphi(\sigma)(x_i) = y_i(\sigma)^{-1}x_i^{\chi(\sigma)}y_i(\sigma)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. We define the map 

$$\theta_m^{(\ell)} : G_K \to K_m^{(\ell)}$$

by $\sigma \mapsto \theta_m^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)$ for $\sigma \in G_K$. Then, the following statements about pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants hold:

1. The map $\theta_m^{(\ell)}$ is defined for $G_K[\ell]$ with $l \geq m$.
2. For $l \geq m$, the map $\theta_m^{(\ell)}$ is 1-cocycle and gives a cohomology class

$$[\theta_m^{(\ell)}] \in H^1(G_K[\ell]; \pi_3(K_m^{(\ell)}))$$

3. For $l \geq 2m$, the map $\theta_m^{(\ell)}$ is zero map.

In particular, for $l \geq m$, the cohomology class $[\theta_m^{(\ell)}]$ lies in

$$[\theta_m^{(\ell)}] \in \bigoplus_{k=l}^{2m-1} H^1(G_K[\ell]; \mathbb{Z}_k^{\otimes nN_k-N_{k-1}})$$

**Proof.** These are consequence of basic (algebraic) properties of pro-$\ell$ Orr invariants in Theorem 8.1.1 and Theorem 6.2.5. In particular, note that $G_K[l]$ acts on $\pi_3(K_m^{(\ell)})$ trivially when $l \geq m$. \qed

Similarly, for $\nu_1^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau) \in H_3({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_m({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_k)$, there is 1-cocycle presentation as follows.

**Proposition 9.2.4.** With the same notation as in Proposition 9.2.3, we define a map 

$$\tau_m^{(\ell)} : G_K \to H_3({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_m({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_k)$$

by $\sigma \mapsto \tau_m^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)$. Then, the following statements about $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ hold:

1. The map $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ is defined for $G_K[\ell]$ with $l \geq m$.
2. For $l \geq m$, the map $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ is 1-cocycle and gives a cohomology class

$$[\tau_m^{(\ell)}] \in H^1(G_K[\ell]; H_3({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_m({\hat{F}}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_k)))$$

3. For $l \geq 2m-1$, the map $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ is zero map.
4. For $m \leq l \leq 2m-2$, the map $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ vanish if and only if the 1-cocyles

$$\langle -x_i^*, \ldots, -x_i^* \rangle(y_{i\ell}|G_{K[\ell]}) = \mu((i_1 \cdots i_r); y_{i\ell}|G_{K[\ell]}) = 0$$

for any standard index $I = (i_1 \cdots i_r)$ with $m \leq r \leq 2m-2$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

**Proof.** (1), (2) and (3) follows from Proposition 9.2.3 since $\tau_m^{(\ell)}$ is given by composition of Hurewicz homomorphism and $\theta_m^{(\ell)}$.

(4) This is a consequence of proof of Theorem 8.2.1 and Corollary 7.1.3. \qed
Now, we relate Ellenberg obstruction and pro-\(\ell\) Orr invariants as follows:

**Theorem 9.2.5.** For a representation \(\varphi : G_K \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}})\) with fixed basing \(\tau\). Let \(y_1, \ldots, y_n : G_K \to \pi_1^{\text{ét}}\) be the corresponding 1-cocycles. Let \(G_K[m]\) be the Johnson subgroup defined by \(\varphi\). Let 1-cocycle \(\theta_m^{(\ell)}\) obtained from pro-\(\ell\) Orr invariants associated with \(\varphi\) representing a class in \(H^1(G_K[l]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\) for \(l \geq m\). Then, followings are equivalent:

1. The 1-cocycle \(\theta_m^{(\ell)}\) vanishes.
2. The successive computation of double indexed Ellenberg obstructions

\[
\delta_{m,l}, \delta_{m+1,l}, \ldots, \delta_{l,l}, \delta_{l+1,l+1}, \ldots, \delta_{2m-1,2m-1}
\]

of \([y_1]_m, \ldots, [y_n]_m\) vanish.

**Proof.** We assume (1). Then, by Theorem 8.11 we see that \([y_i]_m \in H^1(G_K[l]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\) has a lift to \(H^1(G_K[2m]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_m(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\), and therefore to \(H^1(G_K[2m]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_{2m}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\). This leads to (2). Conversely, assume (2). Then, the reverse direction of the above arguments gives (1). Note that \(\delta_{m,l}, \ldots, \delta_{l,l}\) automatically vanish by Lemma 9.2.1 (3) and, in the next step, the cocycles lift to an elements in \(H^1(G_K[l]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_{l+1}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\) which are not automatically 0 but whose pullbacks to \(H^1(G_K[l+1]; \pi_1^{\text{ét}} / \Gamma_{l+1}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}))\) become 0. This completes the proof.

**Remark 9.2.6.** One of the possible advantages of Theorem 9.2.5 is as follows. Suppose that 1-cocycles which would correspond to a representation \(\varphi' : G_K \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}})\) with the condition to define \(\theta_m^{(\ell)}\) for some \(m\) are given. Then, one may know whether two Johnson subgroups associated with \(\varphi\) and \(\varphi'\) coincide in some range by computing (1) or (2).

### 9.3 Explicit vanishing condition of \(\tilde{\tau}_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)\) for \(X = \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}\) in lower degrees

In general, it is difficult to compute pro-\(\ell\) Orr invariants \(\theta_m^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)\) and its image under Hurewicz homomorphism \(\tilde{\tau}_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)\) from our definition. Hence, this section gives vanishing condition of \(\tilde{\tau}_k^{(\ell)}(\sigma, \tau)\) in terms of \(\ell\)-adic Milnor invariants for the case of \(X = \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}\) using Ihara-Kaneko-Yukinari’s computation as in [13] and [IKY]. Moreover, we give some diagrammatic computation applying methods given in [HaMa, Section 6]. Throughout this section, we use the same notation as in Section 2.7.

Let \(k\) be an integer with \(\geq 1\). Let \(G_\mathbb{Q}[k]\) be the \(k\)-th Johnson subgroup of \(G_\mathbb{Q}\). We set \(H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} := H_1(F_n^{(\ell)}; \mathbb{Q}_\ell)\) and, by abuse of notation, \(L_k := L_k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell\). Then, we have the following homomorphism

\[
\mu_k : G_\mathbb{Q}[k] \to H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} L_k
\]

which sends each \(\sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q}[k]\) to \([x_1] \otimes [y_1(\sigma)] + [x_2] \otimes [y_2(\sigma)]\). Then, we know that \(\text{Im}(\mu_k) \in \text{Ker}([-,-] : H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} L_k \to L_{k+1})\) =: \(D_k(H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})\) by (35). According to [HaMa] and [O1], dimension of \(D_k(H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})\) is equal to \(nN_k - N_{k+1}\). Moreover, the space \(D_k(H_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})\) is isomorphic to the \(\mathbb{Q}_\ell\)-vector space \(C_k^2\) of tree Jacobi diagrams of degree \(k\) labelled by \(\{1, 2\}\) subject to AS and IHX relations:
Here, by a Jacobi diagram $D$, we mean a uni-trivalent graph with a cyclic order at each trivalent vertex. The degree of $D$ is the half of the number of its vertices. Customary, Jacobi diagrams are depicted by dashed lines in picture. In plane diagram, we always assume that, for any Jacobi diagram, the cyclic order at each trivalent vertex is given by opposite clockwise direction. Let us consider a linear map $\phi : \mathcal{C}_k^t \rightarrow D_k(H_{Q\ell})$ given by, for each degree $k$ tree Jacobi diagram $D$,

$$\phi(D) = \sum_v X_{l(v)} \otimes L_v(D) \in H_{Q\ell} \otimes \mathcal{L}_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (268)$$

where $v$ runs over all univalent vertices of $D$, $l(v) \in \{1, 2\}$ is the label at $v$, and $L_v(D) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ is defined by the following manner: For any univalent vertex $v' \neq v$, label the edge incident to $v'$ of $D$ by $X_{v'}$. Next, we assign the label $[a,b]$ to any edge meeting $a$-labelled edge and $b$-labelled edge at a trivalent vertex following the cyclic orientation. Finally, one obtains the label associated the the edge incident to $v$. This is the desired element $L_v(D)$. For example, the element $L_v(D)$ for the following degree 3 tree Jacobi diagram is given by $[[X_1,X_2],X_2]$.

Then, it turns out that the map $\phi$ actually gives an isomorphism of vector spaces $\phi : \mathcal{C}_k^t \xrightarrow{\sim} D_k(H_{Q\ell})$. For more details, see [HaMa, Section 6].

Next, we recall Ihara’s result in our situation. For this, we fix some notation. Let us fix a system $(\zeta_{\ell^k})$ of primitive $\ell^k$-th roots of unity $\zeta_{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\zeta_{\ell^k+1} = \zeta_{\ell^k}$ ($k \geq 1$). For each positive integer $m$, we set

$$\epsilon_k^m := \prod_{1 \leq a \leq \ell^k \atop (a,\ell) = 1} (\zeta_{\ell^k}^a - 1)^{(a^{m-1})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (269)$$

where $(a^{m-1})$ is the representative of $a^{m-1}$ mod $\ell^k$ lying on the interval $[0,\ell^k)$. Then, we define the homomorphism

$$\chi_m : G_{\mathbb{Q}[1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$$  \hspace{1cm} (270)$$

such that

$$((\epsilon_k^m)^{\ell^k})^\sigma \cdot (\epsilon_k^m)^{\ell^k} = \zeta_{\ell^{k+1}}^m(\sigma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (271)$$

Under this notation, we have the following.

**Proposition 9.3.1 ([13 (4.5)])**. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $(12^m1)$ denote the multi-index $(122\cdots21)$ with $m$-times iteration of 2. Then, following statements hold:

1. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. For any $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}[2k+2]}$, we have

$$\mu(\sigma; (12^{2k+1})) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (272)$$

2. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}[2k+1]}$, we have

$$\mu(\sigma; (12^{2k})) = \frac{(1 - \ell^{2k})^{-1}}{(2k)!} \chi_{2k+1}(\sigma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (273)$$
Proof. Here, we give a simple diagrammatic proof of (1). Noting the isomorphism \( \phi \) in (268), we see that the tree Jacobi diagram corresponding \( \mu(\sigma; (12^{2k+1}1)) \) is given by the following diagram.

Here, there are \( k \)-times iterated vertices labelled by 2 on each side of the diagram, i.e., there are \( 2k + 1 \) vertices labelled by 2 on it. For convenience, let \( D \) denote the above diagram. As we see in the above figure, the diagram \( D \) is equal to \( -D \) by AS relation. Therefore, over the field of characteristic 0, we have \( D = 0 \). The assertion has been proved.

Next, we give vanishing conditions of \( \tau^{(\ell)}_k(\sigma, \tau) \) via \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants.

**Theorem 9.3.2.** With notations in above, the following statements hold:

1. For \( \sigma \in G\mathbb{Q}[2] \), the invariant \( \tau^{(\ell)}_2(\sigma, \tau) \) always 0.
2. For \( \sigma \in G\mathbb{Q}[3] \), the invariant \( \tau^{(\ell)}_3(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \) if and only if
   \[
   \mu(\sigma; (1221)) = \frac{\chi_3(\sigma)}{2(1 - \ell^2)} = 0. \tag{274}
   \]
3. For \( \sigma \in G\mathbb{Q}[4] \), the invariant \( \tau^{(\ell)}_4(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \) if and only if
   \[
   \mu(\sigma; (111221)) = 0, \quad \mu(\sigma; (121221)) = 0, \quad \mu(\sigma; (122221)) = \frac{\chi_5(\sigma)}{24(1 - \ell^4)} = 0. \tag{275}
   \]

Proof. (1) This follows from the Table 3 in A.3. Indeed, we have \( H_3(\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{(\ell)}_n / T_2(\hat{\mathbb{F}}^{(\ell)}_n); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0 \).

(2) By Theorem 8.2.1, \( \tau^{(\ell)}_3(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \) if and only if \( \mu(\sigma; I) = 0 \) for any multi-index \( I \) with lengths \( \leq 4 \). By Table 2 in A.2, we know that \( \dim(C^I_3) = 1 \) and \( \dim(C^I_4) = 0 \). By isomorphism \( \phi \) in (269), the condition \( \tau^{(\ell)}_3(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \) is equivalent to the vanishing of \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of length 4 corresponding to the tree labeled Jacobi diagram below:

Here, we can see that the \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of length 4 are spanned by \( \mu(\sigma; (1221)) \). Therefore, we conclude that \( \mu(\sigma; (1221)) = 0 \) if and only if \( \tau_3(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \). The equality (274) follows from (273).

(3) Similarly, by Table 2 in A.2, we know that \( \dim(C^I_4) = 0, \dim(C^I_5) = 3, \) and \( \dim(C^I_6) = 0 \). By isomorphism \( \phi \) in (269), the condition \( \tau^{(\ell)}_4(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \) is equivalent to the vanishing of \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of length 6 correspond to the following diagrams:

In particular, we can see that the \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants of length 4 are spanned by \( \mu(\sigma; (1221)) \). Therefore, we conclude that \( \mu(\sigma; (1221)) = 0 \) if and only if \( \tau_3(\sigma, \tau) = 0 \). The equality (274) follows from (273).
More concretely, we can see that \( \mu(\sigma; (111221)) \), \( \mu(\sigma; (121221)) \), and \( \mu(\sigma; (122221)) \) are linear span of \( \ell \)-adic Milnor invariants. By (273), we get the right most equality (275).
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A Table of \( N_k, D_k \) and the rank of \( H_3(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \)

This appendix gives explicit computational table of ranks \( N_k, D_k \) and \( H_3(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/\Gamma_k(\widehat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) for lower \( n \) and \( k \). In addition, we present the generating functions associated to them.

A.1 Computation of \( N_k \)

Let \( N_k(n) \) be the rank of \( \Gamma_k(F_n)/\Gamma_{k+1}(F_n) \) as \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module. The following is a table of ranks \( N_k(n) \) for \( 2 \leq n, k \leq 9 \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 n & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 9 & 18 & 30 & 56 \\
3 & 3 & 8 & 18 & 48 & 116 & 312 & 810 & 2184 \\
4 & 6 & 20 & 60 & 204 & 670 & 2340 & 8160 & 23400 \\
5 & 10 & 40 & 150 & 624 & 2580 & 11160 & 48750 & 217000 \\
6 & 15 & 70 & 315 & 1554 & 7735 & 39990 & 209790 & 1197920 \\
7 & 21 & 112 & 588 & 3360 & 19544 & 117648 & 720300 & 4483696 \\
8 & 28 & 168 & 1008 & 6552 & 43596 & 299592 & 2096640 & 1494320 \\
9 & 36 & 240 & 1620 & 11808 & 88440 & 683280 & 5380020 & 43046640 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 1: Table of \( N_k(n) \)

It is known that the generating function associated to \( N_k(n) \) is given by the cyclotomic identity

\[
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1-z^k} \right)^{N_k(n)} = \frac{1}{1-nz} 
\]  

(276)
A.2 Computation of $D_k(n)$

We set $D_k(n) = nN_k(n) - N_{k+1}(n)$. Then, the table of $D_k(n)$ for $2 \leq n \leq 9$ and $2 \leq k \leq 9$ is given as follows:

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2   | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 13 |
| 3   | 1 | 6 | 6 | 28 | 36 | 126 | 246 | 672 |
| 4   | 4 | 20 | 36 | 146 | 340 | 1200 | 3520 | 11726 |
| 5   | 10 | 50 | 126 | 540 | 1740 | 7050 | 26750 | 108752 |
| 6   | 20 | 105 | 336 | 1589 | 6420 | 30150 | 139020 | 672483 |
| 7   | 35 | 196 | 756 | 3976 | 19160 | 103236 | 558404 | 3140032 |
| 8   | 56 | 336 | 1512 | 8820 | 49176 | 300096 | 1860096 | 11933292 |
| 9   | 84 | 540 | 2772 | 17832 | 112680 | 769500 | 5373540 | 38747232 |

Table 2: Table of $D_k(n)$

In this case, similar to the case of $N_k(n)$, we have the following formula on generating function associated to $D_k(n)$.

**Lemma A.2.1.** Notations being as above, we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - z^k} \right)^{D_k(n)} = \frac{(1 - z)^n}{(1 - nz)^{n-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (277)

**Proof.** It follows from straightforward calculation by using (276) and $N_1(n) = n$. \hfill $\square$

A.3 Computation of the rank of the third homology group of a free nilpotent group

The table of the ranks of $H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/H_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for $2 \leq n \leq 9$ and $2 \leq k \leq 5$ is given as follows:

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 2   | 0 | 1 ⊕ 0 | 0 ⊕ 3 ⊕ 0 | 3 ⊕ 0 ⊕ 6 ⊕ 4 |
| 3   | 1 | 6 ⊕ 6 | 6 ⊕ 28 ⊕ 36 | 28 ⊕ 36 ⊕ 126 ⊕ 246 |
| 4   | 4 | 20 ⊕ 36 | 36 ⊕ 146 ⊕ 340 | 146 ⊕ 340 ⊕ 1200 ⊕ 3520 |
| 5   | 10 | 50 ⊕ 126 | 126 ⊕ 540 ⊕ 1740 | 540 ⊕ 1740 ⊕ 7050 ⊕ 26750 |
| 6   | 20 | 105 ⊕ 336 | 336 ⊕ 1589 ⊕ 6240 | 1589 ⊕ 6240 ⊕ 30150 ⊕ 139020 |
| 7   | 35 | 196 ⊕ 756 | 756 ⊕ 3976 ⊕ 19160 | 3976 ⊕ 19160 ⊕ 103236 ⊕ 558404 |
| 8   | 56 | 336 ⊕ 1512 | 1512 ⊕ 8820 ⊕ 49176 | 8820 ⊕ 49176 ⊕ 300096 ⊕ 1860096 |
| 9   | 84 | 540 ⊕ 2772 | 2772 ⊕ 17832 ⊕ 112680 | 17832 ⊕ 112680 ⊕ 769500 ⊕ 5373540 |

Table 3: Table of the rank of $H_3(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}/H_k(\hat{F}_n^{(\ell)}); \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$
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