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ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Purpose – This study aims to determine the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance through political will perception, to determine the effect of political will perception on managerial performance and to determine the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance.

Methodology/approach – A This research is a quantitative research using WarpPls 07 to process the data. This research was conducted at the Government of Indragiri Hilir Regency, Riau Province, Indonesia. The sampling technique used is probability sampling with proportionate stratified random sampling. The sample was calculated using the Herry King Nomogram Table with an error rate of 5% where 135 respondents were selected.

Findings – concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on managerial performance. The effect of political will perception on managerial performance. Thus, it can be concluded that the political will perception has a positive effect on managerial performance. Testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on the political will perception. Thus, it can be concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on political will perception. Testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance through the political will perception. Thus, it can be concluded that the political will perception can mediate the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance.

Novelty/value – As executive job satisfaction increases managerial performance through the political will perception and its effect is significant.

Keywords: Managerial Performance, Political Will Perception, and Executive Job Satisfaction

INTRODUCTION

In the Performance Report of the Indragiri Hilir Regency Government Agencies in 2020 there are still reports in the category of less than 50.00 – 64.99% and very poor category of 0 – 49.99, the percentage of achievements in the category of less. When discussing the success or failure of a
Government we often hear that a lack of Political Will affects it Post et al., (2010), Treadway et al., (2005), Kapoutsis et al., (2017) The importance of the political will variable cannot also be ignored in achieving organizational and individual organizational performance goals, as evidenced by research Harris et al., (2007) political will affects efficiency JN Harris et al., (2016) Individuals who have high political skills show a positive linear relationship with work outcomes as political will increases. There are not many supporting studies to prove it empirically related to the issue of political will and managerial performance. The debate of new researchers is limited to the definition and construction as well as the measurement of political will, political behavior and political skills. In the implementation and associated with the situation and factual conditions within the Indragiri Hilir district government related to job satisfaction of echelon II and III officials and the leadership of the regional head successfully summarized from mgoriau.com, ideriau.com, www.bualbual.com, www.indragirione.com, that in the period 2015 to 2020 there were echelon II and III officials who responded to exits, it was recorded that 3 echelon II and III officials resigned and 1 Regional Secretary. The exit response directs behavior to leave the organization, including reaching a new position and resigning. The exit response is one of the effects of satisfied and dissatisfied workers Robbins, (2019). There are differences of opinion regarding the direct effect of job satisfaction on performance, job satisfaction has a significant effect on performance, employee job performance, lecturers, managerial performance, organizational performance Wijaya & Carolina, (2020) Wright & Bonett, (2007) Hendri, (2019) Zainal Arifin, Naziemb Nirwanto, (2019) Febriantoro & Juariyah, (2018) Ratnasari et al., (2020) Berliana et al., (2018) Syardiansah et al., (2020) Setiawati & Dwi Ariani, (2020) Bakan et al., (2014) Sutjiitra, (2015) Sangadji & Sopiah, (2013) Rifa’i & Hendriani, (2017) Widiawati & Yanaur RS, (2019) Ezienyim & Ufoaroh, (2019) Latifi et al., (2013). Job satisfaction has no significant effect on employee performance Husein & Hanifah, (2019) Hidayati, (2016) Job satisfaction depends more on performance than on causing it Edward E. Lawler, (1967). Based on the theory and facts above, a very interesting question arises about the objective condition of human resources. Furthermore, it is also interesting to ask questions about managerial performance, political will, and job satisfaction. Because, like the facts above, political will and job dissatisfaction have an influence on managerial performance.

There have not been many supporting studies to prove it empirically related to the issue of political will and managerial performance so far, researchers such as Kapoutsis et al., (2017), Brinkerhoff, (2000), Derick W. Brinkerhoff, (2010), Post et al., (2010), Mintzberg, (1984) There has only been a debate about the definition and construction of political will, but further efforts have been made JN Harris et al., (2016), KJ Harris et al., (2007) which finds political will affects efficiency, then a linear relationship is also found JN Harris et al., (2016) Political will affects work results. So far, researchers have not found how political will perception influences managerial performance.

The issue of job satisfaction and managerial performance has been proven by many researchers empirically, but as far as the authors are concerned, there are still differences of opinion regarding the direct effect of job satisfaction on performance such as research Husein & Hanifah, (2019) Hidayati, (2016) the results of job satisfaction research have no significant effect on performance, Edward E. Lawler, (1967) stated that job satisfaction depends more on performance than on causing it.

Based on the limitations of previous studies, this study intends to determine the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance through perceptions of political will, to determine the effect of perceived political will on managerial performance and to determine the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance.
LITERATURE REVIEW

When discussing the success or failure of a Government we often hear that a lack of Political Will affects it Post et al., (2010), Treadway et al., (2005), Kapoutsis et al., (2017). JN Harris et al., (2016) Individuals who have high political skills show a positive linear relationship with work outcomes as political will increases. In contrast, individuals with low political skills show an inverted U-shaped curved distribution. Individuals with low political skills, after reaching context-specific inflection points, see increasingly negative outcomes as political will increases. This proposition provides the basis for continuing work on these two constructs, especially in relation to their empirical testing. However, there are not many supporting studies to prove it empirically, so researchers agree with Post et al., (2010) about the ambiguity of what this term means. 'Political will' is generally used as an all-encompassing concept, the meaning of which is so vague that it does little to enrich our understanding of the political process and policy. However, there are some researchers who are trying to make it a useful analytical tool such as Brinkerhoff, (2000) and Kpundeh & D, (2000) more looking at political will and linking it with anti-corruption. Post et al., (2010) make a sub-concept of political will Kapoutsis et al., (2017) make construct validity for political will from Mintzberg, Treadway et al., (2005) with the findings of political will, political behavior, and political skills and prove about the political will offered by Mintzberg, (1985) consists of intrinsic motivation and achievement needs affect political behavior. KJ Harris et al., (2007) in his paper The Effect of Political Skills on Efficiency, findings show that individuals who use high levels of tactics and who are politically skilled achieve more desirable supervisory ratings than those who use tactics but are not politically skilled. The opposite result found that politically unskilled individuals created a more desirable image in the eyes of their supervisors than their politically skilled counterparts when they did not use this tactic. This relationship is also normatively evident from the process of determining the APBD. According to the provisions of Article 104 of the Minister of Home Affairs No. 13 of 2006. Furthermore, according to Article 108 paragraph (2) of the Minister of Home Affairs Number 13 of 2006.

Study Wright & Bonett, (2007) Job satisfaction predicts performance. The results of the study indicate that job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on work performance Setiawati & Dwi Ariani, (2020), Study Hendri, (2019), M. & Durai, (2017) Job satisfaction has a significant effect on employee performance. The results showed a positive correlation between the dimensions of job satisfaction and employee performance both at the supervisory level and workers who work in the Automobile industry. Study Ahmad Rifai, Sri Indarti, Susi Hendriani, (2017) job satisfaction affects performance Zainal Ariffin, Nazieb Nirwanto, (2019) prove the effect of job satisfaction on job performance. Study Bakan et al., (2014) Job satisfaction has a positive effect on job performance. Sangadji & Sopiah, (2013) states that job satisfaction has a significant effect on performance. Study Febriantroro & Juariyah, (2018) with the results of research job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Research result Ratnasari et al., (2020) Job satisfaction has a significant effect on employee performance. Research result Widiawati & Yanuar RS, (2019) Job satisfaction has a high effect on managerial performance. Job satisfaction also has a significant effect on employee performance based on research results Sutjitra, (2015). Research result Ezeanyim & Ufoaroh, (2019) there is a linear relationship between job satisfaction with a proxy for employee performance, namely employee morale. Research result Latif et al., (2013) shows that there is a positive correlation between job satisfaction and organizational performance. Platis et al., (2015) there is a relationship between job satisfaction and job performance. Work units that develop and progress always need employees who have reliable performance. According to Syardiansah et al., (2020) Job satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Wood & Ogbonnaya, (2018) said that "one of the three alternatives of view that satisfactorily causes performance (S - P)". Wood et. al. More exemplifying the argument "if job satisfaction causes high levels of performance, the message to managers is quite simple: to increase people's work performance, make them happy". Furthermore, to strengthen the effect of job satisfaction on the performance of Wood et.al. citing the
opinion of Robbins who mentions evidence that: relationship is more likely for professional people of high-level employees on professionals or those at lower levels. McShane & von Glinow, (2013) asserts that "one of the oldest beliefs in the business is that a happy worker is a productive worker". This means that someone will be happy when he is productive in the business world.

**Managerial Performance**

From various managerial performance concepts, researchers define managerial performance as an outcome resulting from a managerial function and role. In this study, researchers used 2 dimensions of managerial performance based on the roles and functions of managers:

1. Manager function of Mintzbergh, (1989) planning (planning), organizing (organizing), directing (actuating) and monitoring (controlling). The manager's function has the following indicators: Planning, (setting goals, achieving goals, anticipating future conditions, alternative actions carrying out plans and evaluating results) Organizing, (organizational structure, division of work, HR placement, reporting relationships, communication linkages) Actuating (Creation of business inspiration, Achievement of work enthusiasm, Communication of vision) Controling (Confidence in achieving results, Performance measurement, Corrective action)

2. Manager Role Mintzbergh, (2010) John R. Schemerhorn, (2012) Interpersonal roles, Informational roles, Decisional roles. The Manager's role has the following indicators: Interpersonal role (There are people who are assigned, The creation of work motivation, The creation of working relationships). Informational roles (Monitoring, Mentioning information, Implementing communication). Decisional roles (Have an entrepreneurial spirit, Ability to overcome difficulties, Negotiation skills)

**Political Will Perception**

Based on the results of research Post et al., (2010) which defines political will as "the extent to which support commitments among key decision makers for a particular policy solution to a particular problem", with the sub-conceptual commitment to support in accordance with the function of the DPRD, the author defines the perception of political will as "perception of the commitment to support DPRD members in accordance with the legislative function, budget function and supervisory function. The dimensions and indicators of the perception of political will with the definition of commitment to support DPRD members according to their functions are: (1). Legislative support commitment (Accommodating various interests, Determining how development in the region is carried out), (2). Budget support commitment (Active involvement, Proactive involvement, Inactive involvement) reactive) (3). Commitment to Supervision support (Ensuring goals can be achieved effectively, Ensuring goals can be achieved efficiently, Reviewing and suggesting corrective actions)

**Executive Job Satisfaction**

Based on the concept of job satisfaction described, in this study the definition of executive job satisfaction is the general attitude and feeling of an executive on his work. then the basis for executive job satisfaction theory in this study refers to the opinion of Robert Kreitner. Angelo Kinicki, (2014). With the six dimensions of job satisfaction as a reference in the operationalization of variables: (1) activity (activity), 2) compensation (compensation) (3) independence (independence), 4) recognition, 5) social service., and 6) social status (social status).

**METHOD**

This researching quantitative methods. Data processing and hypothesis testing using WarPLS version 7.0. This research was conducted at the Government of Indragiri Hilir Regency, Riau Province, Indonesia. The sampling technique used is probability sampling with proportionate
stratified random sampling. The sample was calculated using the Herry King Nomogram Table with an error rate of 5% where 135 respondents were selected.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Respondents

Sample in this study were 135 people who became respondents in this study. The sample in this study were echelon II and III officials at the Indragiri Hilir Regency Government, Riau Province, Indonesia. In this study, respondents were divided into several characteristics as follows:

| Data                  | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Gender                |           |                |
| Male                  | 102       | 75.5%          |
| Woman                 | 33        | 24.4%          |
| Total                 | 135       | 100%           |
| Age                   |           |                |
| 34–38                 | 35        | 25.9%          |
| 39–43                 | 40        | 29.7%          |
| 44–48                 | 20        | 14.8%          |
| 49–53                 | 25        | 18.5%          |
| 54–58                 | 15        | 11.1%          |
| >59                   | 0         | 0              |
| Total                 | 135       | 100%           |
| Length of work        |           |                |
| 12–18                 | 45        | 33.3%          |
| 19–25                 | 35        | 25.9%          |
| 26–32                 | 30        | 22.3%          |
| 33–39                 | 25        | 18.5%          |
| >40                   | 0         | 0              |
| Total                 | 135       | 100%           |

From table 1. above, it can be seen that the respondents were dominated by men, namely 102 people with a percentage of 75.5%, while women were 33 people with a percentage of 24.4%. The age of respondents is 34-38 years, namely 35 people with a percentage of 25.9%, then respondents aged 39-43 years as many as 40 people with a percentage of 29.7%, then respondents aged 44-48 years as many as 20 people with a percentage of 14.8% , , then respondents aged 49 - 53 years as many as 25 people with a percentage of 18.5%, then respondents aged 54 - 58 years as many as 15 people with a percentage of 11.1%, there are no respondents over 59 years. Then the category of years of work 12-18 years as many as 45 people with a percentage of 33.3%, years of work 19-25 years totaling 35 people with a percentage of 25.9%.

Outer Model Evaluation (Measurement Model)

Outer model or measurement model that defines how each indicator block relates to its latent variable. The design of the measurement model by drawing latent variables and filling them with indicators of each latent variable (reflective or formative) based on the operational definition of the variable. The assessment of the outer model uses three methods, namely convergent validity, discriminant validity, and composite reliability. The results of the assessment of the outer model are the results of validity and reliability tests for each variable.
Convergent Validity

The first step is to test the indicators in the model to meet convergent validity. According to Hair in the book Shohlin & Ratmono (2021), the condition for meeting convergent validity is that the loading value of each construct is > 0.70 and a significant p < 0.05. However, in some cases, loading requirements > 0.70 are not met, especially for newly developed questionnaires. Therefore, if the loading value is above 0.40-0.60, it is necessary to consider whether to maintain it or not. In most references a factor weight of 0.50 or more is considered to have strong enough validation to explain latent constructs Hair et al, (2010), Ghozali, (2008). Although some other references (Ferdinand, 2000) explain that the weakest loading that can be accepted is 0.40.

Table 2. convergent validity which can be seen in the combined loadings and cross loadings output after several statements have been issued/deleted

| Items   | Y    | M    | X    | Type (as defined) | SE  | P Value | Information |
|---------|------|------|------|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------|
| KM1     | 0.648 | 0.072 | -0.314 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM2     | 0.509 | -0.009 | -0.118 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM3     | 0.600 | 0.151 | 0.120 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM4     | 0.617 | -0.170 | 0.123 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM5     | 0.750 | 0.071 | 0.054 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM6     | 0.615 | 0.246 | 0.145 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM9     | 0.535 | -0.097 | 0.287 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM10    | 0.629 | 0.012 | -0.220 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM11    | 0.706 | -0.047 | -0.179 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM12    | 0.636 | -0.122 | 0.112 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM13    | 0.752 | -0.049 | -0.012 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM14    | 0.729 | 0.007 | -0.005 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM15    | 0.485 | 0.018 | 0.057 | Reflect           | 0.077 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM16    | 0.745 | -0.011 | -0.015 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM17    | 0.737 | 0.096 | -0.296 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM18    | 0.681 | 0.000 | -0.152 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM19    | 0.438 | -0.172 | -0.079 | Reflect           | 0.078 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM20    | 0.532 | -0.044 | 0.145 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM21    | 0.754 | -0.004 | -0.210 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM23    | 0.572 | 0.026 | 0.321 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW1     | -0.303 | 0.760 | 0.177 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW2     | -0.047 | 0.668 | 0.076 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW3     | 0.118 | 0.862 | -0.243 | Reflect           | 0.070 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW4     | 0.155 | 0.774 | -0.356 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW5     | -0.042 | 0.857 | -0.196 | Reflect           | 0.070 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW6     | -0.197 | 0.543 | 0.163 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW7     | 0.057 | 0.727 | 0.258 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW8     | 0.209 | 0.770 | 0.084 | Reflect           | 0.072 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| PW9     | -0.042 | 0.447 | 0.285 | Reflect           | 0.078 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM2M    | 0.678 | -0.050 | 0.489 | Reflect           | 0.077 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM3M    | -0.076 | -0.158 | 0.614 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM4M    | -0.007 | 0.251 | 0.682 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM5M    | -0.084 | 0.070 | 0.690 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM6M    | -0.092 | -0.042 | 0.463 | Reflect           | 0.077 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM7M    | 0.255 | -0.241 | 0.688 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM8M    | 0.048 | -0.264 | 0.696 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM9M    | 0.420 | -0.104 | 0.519 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM10M   | -0.009 | 0.198 | 0.673 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM11M   | -0.328 | 0.120 | 0.609 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM12M   | 0.048 | -0.195 | 0.606 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM13M   | 0.216 | -0.034 | 0.580 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM14M   | -0.303 | 0.173 | 0.647 | Reflect           | 0.074 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM15M   | -0.320 | 0.074 | 0.598 | Reflect           | 0.075 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM16M   | -0.477 | 0.221 | 0.541 | Reflect           | 0.076 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM17M   | 0.150 | -0.086 | 0.708 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM18M   | 0.070 | -0.027 | 0.732 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
| KM19M   | 0.199 | 0.086 | 0.688 | Reflect           | 0.073 | <0.001  | Valid       |
Based on Table 2, it can be seen that there are no statements with loadings less than 0.40 after the KM.7 statement is deleted. KM.8, KM22, KM.24, and KKM1, so that based on the table the listed indicators can be used. Furthermore, to further prove and convince again, it can be seen in the Output values of AVE and Composite Reliability.

Based on the table, it can be seen that there is no correlation with indicators whose value is smaller than the correlation of variables with other indicators. So it can be interpreted that discriminant validity has been met.

**Discriminant Validity**

The discriminant validity test can be seen from the Average Variant Extracted (AVE) value > 0.5, then the Average Variant Extracted (AVE) value can be seen in table 4. The output of the latent variable coefficient is as follows:

| Y         | M         | X         |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| R-squared coefficients | 0.351     | 0.401     |
| Adjusted R-squared coefficients | 0.341     | 0.397     |
| Composite reliability coefficients | 0.932     | 0.906     | 0.928 |
| Cronbach's alpha coefficient | 0.922     | 0.880     | 0.917 |
| Average variances extracted | 0.410     | 0.524     | 0.408 |
| Full collinearity VIFs | 1.328     | 1.743     | 1.765 |
| Q-squared coefficients | 0.352     | 0.400     |

Based on Table 3, it can be seen that not all AVE values are above 0.5. The minimum recommended AVE value is 0.5 (Fornell and Lacker, 1981 in Sholihin and Ratmono, 2013:73). The variables whose values are below 0.50 are managerial performance variables, and managerial job satisfaction, while political will is already above 0.50, but in the case of AVE it is less than 0.5 but the composite reliability (CR) is higher than 0.6, namely of 0.900, then the convergent validity of the construct is still adequate (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

**Composite Reliability**

Composite Reliability Values for Variables The variables in this study can be calculated based on the results of the calculation of the Latent Output Variable coefficient, Composite Reliability Testing can be seen from the Composite Reliability (AVC) value and Cronbach's alpha coefficient > 0.7.

| Y         | M         | X         |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Composite reliability coefficients | 0.932     | 0.906     | 0.928 |
| Cronbach's alpha coefficient | 0.922     | 0.880     | 0.917 |

Based on Table 4, it can be seen that composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha for each variable are already greater than 0.70. This shows that all variables are reliable or can be relied upon as variables. It can be concluded that all construct variables meet the reliability requirements, and can be analyzed further.

**Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model) Coefficient Determination**
Based on Table 5, it is known that the fit and quality indices model for all criteria meets the requirements so that the research model can be used as an analysis.

| No | Model Fit and Quality Indices | Fit Criteria |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1  | Average path coefficient (APC)=0.432, P<0.001 | P<0.05 |
| 2  | Average R-squared (ARS)=0.376, P<0.001 | P<0.05 |
| 3  | Average adjusted R-squared (AARS)=0.369, P<0.001 | P=0.14 |
| 4  | Average block VIF (AVIF)=1.539, acceptable if <= 5, ideally <= 3.3 | Acceptable if <= 5, ideally <= 3.3 |
| 5  | Average full collinearity VIF (AFVIF)=1.612, acceptable if <= 5, ideally <= 3.3 | Acceptable if <= 5, ideally <= 3.3 |
| 6  | Tenenhaus GoF (GoF)=0.410, small >= 0.1, medium >= 0.25, large >= 0.36 | small >= 0.1, medium >= 0.25, large >= 0.36 |
| 7  | Sympson's paradox ratio (SPR)=1.000, acceptable if >= 0.7, ideally = 1 | Acceptable if >= 0.7, ideally = 1 |
| 8  | R-squared contribution ratio (RSCR)=1.000, acceptable if >= 0.9, ideally = 1 | Acceptable if >= 0.9, ideally = 1 |
| 9  | Statistical suppression ratio (SSR)=1.000, acceptable if >= 0.7 | Acceptable if >= 0.7 |
| 10 | Nonlinear bivariate causality direction ratio (NLBCDR)=1.000, acceptable if >= 0.7 | Acceptable if >= 0.7 |

**Hypothesis Testing**

To find out whether there is a significant (significant) relationship or influence between exogenous variables directly on endogenous variables, it can be seen in Table 6 path coefficient & P Value.

| Path Coefficient | Y  | M  | X  |
|------------------|----|----|----|
| Y                | 0.389 | 0.272 |   |
| M                | 0.633 |    |   |
| P Values         |    |    |    |
| Y                |    | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| M                |    |    | <0.001 |

**Effect of Executive Job Satisfaction on Managerial Performance**

Based on the test results in Table 6, it is known that the estimated parameter for testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance has a beta coefficient of 0.272 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.272 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus it can be concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on managerial performance (Hypothesis 1 is accepted).

**The Influence of Political Will perception on Managerial Performance**

Based on the test results in Table 6, it is known that the estimated parameter for testing the effect of perceived political will perception on managerial performance has a beta coefficient of 0.389 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.389 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus it can be concluded that the political will perception has a positive effect on managerial performance (Hypothesis 2 is accepted).
Effect of Executive Job Satisfaction on Political Will Perception

Based on the test results in Table 6, it is known that the estimated parameter for testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on the political will perception has a beta coefficient of 0.633 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.633 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus, it can be concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on political will perception (Hypothesis 3 is accepted).

To find out whether there is a significant (significant) relationship or influence between exogenous variables indirectly on endogenous variables, it can be seen in table 8 indirect and total effect.

| Table. 7 Indirect and total Effect |
|-----------------------------------|
| Indirect effects for paths with 2 segments |
| Y       | M       | X       |
| Y       | 0.246   |
| Number of paths with 2 segments |
| Y       | M       | X       |
| Y       | 1       |
| P values of indirect effects for paths with 2 segments |
| Y       | M       | X       |
| Y       | <0.001  |

The Effect of Executive Satisfaction on Managerial Performance Through Political Will Perception

Based on Table 7, it can be seen that the indirect effect of X executive job satisfaction on managerial performance Y through the political will perception M has a beta coefficient of 0.246 with p value <0.001 smaller than the sig level of 0.05. A positive beta coefficient with a significance level of less than 0.05 indicates that executive job satisfaction increases managerial performance through the political will perception and the effect is significant. Thus, it can be concluded that the political will perception can mediate the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance.

CONCLUSION

The effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance has a beta coefficient of 0.272 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.272 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus it can be concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on managerial performance.

The effect of political will perception on managerial performance has a beta coefficient of 0.389 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.389 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus, it can be concluded that political will perception has a positive effect on managerial performance.

Testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on the political will perception has a beta coefficient of 0.633 and a p value of <0.001. The beta coefficient value of 0.633 indicates a positive direction with a P-value of <0.001 which is smaller than the sig level of 0.05 (significant). Thus, it can be concluded that executive job satisfaction has a positive effect on political will perception.

Testing the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance through the political will perception has a beta coefficient of 0.246 with a p value <0.001 which is smaller than the sig
level of 0.05. A positive beta coefficient with a significance level of less than 0.05 (Significant) indicates that executive job satisfaction increases managerial performance through the political will perception and the effect is significant. Thus, it can be concluded that the political will perception can mediate the effect of executive job satisfaction on managerial performance.
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