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For the Schnakenberg model, we consider a highly symmetric configuration of \( N \) spikes whose locations are located at the vertices of a regular \( N \)-gon inside either a unit disk or an annulus. We call such configuration a ring of spikes. The ring radius is characterized in terms of the modified Green’s function. For a disk, we find that a ring of 9 or more spikes is always unstable with respect to small eigenvalues. Conversely, a ring of 8 or less spikes is stable inside a disk provided that the feed-rate \( A \) is sufficiently large. More generally, for sufficiently high feed-rate, a ring of \( N \) spikes can be stabilized provided that the annulus is thin enough. As \( A \) is decreased, we show that the ring is destabilized due to small eigenvalues first, and then due to large eigenvalues, although both of these thresholds are separated by an asymptotically small amount. For a ring of 8 spikes inside a disk, the instability appears to be supercritical, and deforms the ring into a square-like configuration. For less than 8 spikes, this instability is subcritical and results in spike death.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to study a solution to reaction-diffusion model consisting of a ring of spikes. This configuration is highly symmetric, which allows for an in-depth analysis of its stability properties. For simplicity, we will concentrate on the Schnakenberg model [1] although similar techniques can be extended to other models. We study the following version of the Schnakenberg model [2]:

\[
  u_t = \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^2 v, \quad 0 = \Delta v + A - u^2 v \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \log \varepsilon^{-1} \tag{1}
\]

with the usual Neumann boundary conditions inside a radially symmetric domain \( \Omega_b \), which we take to be either a disk or an annulus of inner radius \( b \) and outer radius 1:

\[
  \Omega_b = \{ x : b < |x| < 1 \}. \tag{2}
\]

An example of a ring of 6 spikes inside a unit disk is shown in Figure 1 (left).

The general problem of \( N \) spikes in 2D and their stability was considered in numerous papers. See [3] for a good review and stability computations for the Schnakenberg model. See also [2, 4–9] for related results in two-dimensions. As is well known, there are two types of instabilities that are possible: due to large \((O(1))\) or small \((O(\varepsilon^2))\) eigenvalues. Instability triggered by large eigenvalues induces a “structural” or spike profile instability on an \( O(1) \) time scale. Numerically, this instability is observed to be subcritical (see also [10, 11] for analysis of criticality in 1D) and quickly leads to a reduction in the number of spikes. The small-eigenvalue instability induces a spike motion on a slow timescale. Its criticality depends on the number of spikes as well as domain shape.

In paper [3], the authors analysed general equilibrium configurations of \( N \) spikes in 2D. They derived a simple threshold on the feed rate \( A \) such that an instability with respect to large eigenvalues is triggered as \( A \) is decreased past that threshold. For a general spike equilibrium subject to a natural local-minimality condition related to a Green’s functional, and when \( A \) is well above the abovementioned threshold, they also showed that the small eigenvalues are stable.

However, we will show in this work that this is not the case when the feed rate \( A \) is close to the large-eigenvalue instability threshold (within \( O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \) in relative terms). In fact, as we show in this paper, there is a small-eigenvalue threshold just above the large eigenvalue threshold which triggers a small-eigenvalue instability. This instability deforms a ring. In some cases, the deformation is supercritical, and leads to a nearby non-ring state with the same number of spikes. In other cases, the deformation is subcritical and leads to a far-away state and can trigger secondary large-eigenvalue instability, leading to spike death.

Consider a ring of \( N = 6 \) spikes with \( \varepsilon = 0.05 \); see Figure 1. As shown in [3] (see Figure 2), the theory predicts that small eigenvalues are destabilized as \( A \) is decreased below \( A_L = 11.35 \), whereas large ones are destabilized when \( A \) is decreased below \( A_l = 10.18 \). Note that these two thresholds are relatively close. Numerically, we observe an instability transition at \( A \approx 13.5 \). The way it becomes unstable is shown in Figure 1. Note how every second spot around the ring shrinks and moves outwards whereas the other three spots move inwards, before half of the spots disappear. This bifurcation appears supercritical. Since \( A_L \) and \( A_f \) are very close to each-other, this deformation eventually triggers a dynamical instability that leads to eventual destruction of one of the spikes. We remark that in [3], the authors computed an instability threshold of \( A_{1/2} = 8.89 \) (see formula (30)) which is 34% lower than numerics indicate. Our prediction of \( A_s = 11.35 \) is more accurate (a difference of about 15% from \( A_{\text{numeric}} \approx 13.5 \)).
FIG. 1. Transition from a 6-spoke to 5-spoke ring. Here, \( \varepsilon = 0.05 \) and \( A = 13 \). The initial condition was taken to be the equilibrium of a 6-spoke state corresponding to \( A = 14 \). Such a ring is observed to be stable for \( A > 13.5 \) but is unstable for \( A = 13 \). Top panel is \( v(x, t) \) and the bottom panel is \( u(x, t) \). Half of the spikes move towards the center and half move towards the boundary, consistent with the mode \( m = 3 \) small-eigenvalue instability. This eventually leads to the death of one of the spikes leading to a 5-spoke symmetric ring configuration. Dashed circle shows the theoretical radius of the ring of 6 (or 5, on the last panel) spikes. We used FlexPDE software to simulate (1).
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FIG. 2. Stability thresholds for an \( N \)-ring inside a unit disk. The ring is stable when \( A > A_\ast \). Note that small-eigenvalue threshold \( A_\ast \) is triggered before the large threshold \( A_l \), as \( A \) is decreased.

| \( N \) | \( A_\ast \) | \( A_s \) | \( A_l \) | \( A_{l,0} \) | \( A_s \) | \( A_l \) | \( A_{l,0} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 8.884\( \nu \{ 1 - 0.565\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 2.455 | 2.271 | 3.293 | 2.818 | 2.965 |
| 3 | 13.327\( \nu \{ 1 - 0.165\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 3.481 | 3.213 | 4.306 | 4.577 | 4.127 | 4.448 |
| 4 | 17.760\( \nu \{ 1 - 0.726\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 5.033 | 4.608 | 4.542 | 6.814 | 6.201 | 5.931 |
| 5 | 22.213\( \nu \{ 1 - 0.814\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 6.379 | 5.962 | 5.677 | 6.887 | 7.910 | 7.414 |
| 6 | 26.654\( \nu \{ 1 - 1.157\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 8.119 | 7.530 | 6.814 | 11.35 | 10.18 | 8.897 |
| 7 | 31.096\( \nu \{ 1 - 1.397\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 9.912 | 8.946 | 7.949 | 14.20 | 12.18 | 10.38 |
| 8 | 39.981\( \nu \{ 1 - 3.796\nu \}^{-1/2} \) | 52.90 | 10.59 | 9.084 | N/A | 14.66 | 11.86 |

By contrast, Figure 3 shows a near-ring steady state of \( N = 8 \) spikes. As we will see in §4 in the theoretical limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and with \( A \) sufficiently big, an \( N \)-ring of spikes can be stable. However in practice, to stabilize such a ring, \( \varepsilon \) needs to be taken too small to have accurate numerical 2D simulations (smaller than e.g. 0.01). With \( \varepsilon = 0.02 \) our theory predicts \( A_l = 9.08 \) and \( A_\ast = 52.90 \) (c.f. Figure 2). But self-replication is observed above \( A \approx 34.78 \) (see equation (34) for a general formula), so we cannot take \( A > 52.9 \) and still retain 8 spikes, since self-replication will result in more than 8 spots. In Figure 3 we took \( A = 16.7 \). The result is a deformed ring of 8 spikes. In contrast to the 6-ring case, the deformation of an \( N \)-ring appears to be supercritical, and leads to an 8-spike “square-type” configuration as shown in the figure.

For sufficiently large \( A \), namely \( A \gg O(\frac{\nu}{\varepsilon}) \), it was shown in [3] that large eigenvalues are stable. In that case, the stability of small eigenvalues depends only on the number of spots \( N \) and the inner radius \( b \) of annulus (assuming outer radius is 1). The following table gives the threshold value of \( b_c(N) \) such that \( N \) spots are stable when \( b > b_c(N) \):

| \( N \) | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( b_c(N) \) | 0.174 | 0.293 | 0.356 | 0.412 | 0.450 | 0.488 | 0.516 | 0.545 | 0.567 | 0.589 | 0.607 | 0.625 |
FIG. 3. “Square”-type equilibrium with 8 spikes. Here, $A = 16.7$ and $\varepsilon = 0.02$. Dashed line indicates the radius of an 8-spike ring equilibrium. The 8-spike ring equilibrium is supercritically unstable, resulting in a nearby square-like stable configuration. Red dots show the equilibrium of the reduced system [12], computed by solving [12] forward in time until it converged to its equilibrium. The spike centers of the computed PDE equilibrium were used as initial conditions for the reduced system [12].

Red dots show the equilibrium of the reduced system [12], computed by solving (12) forward in time until it converged to its equilibrium. The spike centers of the computed PDE equilibrium were used as initial conditions for the reduced system [12].

FIG. 4. Effect of annulus thickness on ring stability. Here, $A = 30$ and $\varepsilon = 0.02$; the $v$ component is shown for several values of inner radius $b$. Each panel shows a stable equilibrium state computed numerically by solving (1) using FlexPDE. Red dots show the equilibrium of the reduced system [12] computed as in Figure 3.

Figure 4 shows a stable 10-spike ring configuration inside an annulus. Our analysis shows that a 10-spike configuration becomes unstable as $b$ is decreased below $b = 0.293$ (see the table above). Indeed, the ring is observed to be stable for $b = 0.35$ but unstable when $b = 0.28$. The instability is supercritical when $b$ is close to the threshold value and results in a zigzag-type configuration near the ring equilibrium radius.

We summarize this paper as follows. In section 2 we characterize the ring equilibrium radius, and more generally derive the reduced dynamics for $N$ spikes. This computation is relatively standard; see for example [3, 9, 12–14]; here, we follow [12]. The ring of spikes is an equilibrium configuration for the reduced dynamics.

We start by deriving equations for reduced spike dynamics; these will subsequently be used to compute the ring radius and its stability with respect to small eigenvalues.

**Inner region.** We will assume that the spike centers $x_k$ move on a slow timescale of $O(\varepsilon^2)$. This assumption will be seen to be self-consistent with asymptotic expansions below. As such, we start by expanding in the inner region near $k$-th spike

$$y = \frac{x - x_k(\varepsilon^2 t)}{\varepsilon}.$$
Up to $O(\varepsilon^2)$ terms, we expand:

$$u = U_0(y) + \varepsilon U_1(y) + O(\varepsilon^2);$$

$$v = V_0(y) + \varepsilon V_1(y) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

The equations for $U_0$, and $V_0$ become

$$0 = \Delta_y U_0 - U_0 + U_0^2 V_0$$

$$0 = \Delta_y V_0 - \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} U_0^2 V_0$$

Next we expand in $\frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}$. Since we only need the leading order term, to leading order we have $\Delta_y V_0 \sim 0$, so we approximate $V_0$ by a constant:

$$V_0 \sim v_k = v(x_k).$$

The solution for $U_0$ is then given by

$$U_0(y) \sim \frac{w(y)}{v_k}$$

where $w$ is the ground state satisfying

$$\Delta w - w + w^2 = 0; \quad w \text{ is radially symmetric; } w(y) \to 0 \text{ as } |y| \to \infty. \quad (5)$$

The leading-order equations (in $\frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}}$) for $U_1, V_1$ then become

$$-x_k'(s) \nabla w = \Delta U_1 - U_1 + 2wU_1 + w^2 \frac{V_1}{v_k},$$

$$\Delta V_1 = 0. \quad (7)$$

Multiplying (6) by $\nabla w$ and integrating, we then obtain the equation for $x_k'(s)$:

$$-x_k'(s) \int |\nabla w|^2 = \frac{1}{3v_k} \int w^3 \nabla V_1$$

(8)

**Outer region.** To estimate the right hand side in (8), we compute the behaviour of $v$ in the outer region away from spike center. We estimate

$$v(x) \sim T - \sum_{j=1}^N S_j G(x, x_j)$$

where $G(x, x_j)$ is the Green’s function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 
\Delta G - \frac{1}{\pi} = -\delta(x - \xi), & x, \xi \in \Omega_b, \\
\partial_n G = 0, & x \in \partial \Omega_b, \\
\int_{\Omega_b} G(x, \xi) dx = 0
\end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and $T, S_j$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{v_j} \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \int w^2 dy = S_j;$$

$$\sum S_j = |\Omega| A.$$

Recall that the Green’s function has the singularity structure,

$$G(x, x_j) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - x_j| + H(x, x_j).$$
Expanding the outer solution $v$ in the inner variables we then obtain an expansion

$$v(x_k + \varepsilon y) \sim T + S_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |y| - \sum_j S_j G_{kj} - \varepsilon y \cdot \sum_j S_j \nabla G_{kj} + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

where

$$G_{kj} = \begin{cases} G(x_k, x_j), & \text{if } k \neq j \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \varepsilon^{-1} + H(x_j, x_j), & \text{if } k = j \end{cases} \quad \nabla G_{kj} = \begin{cases} \nabla_x G(x_k, x_j), & \text{if } k \neq j \\ \nabla_x H(x_j, \xi) |_{\xi = x_j}, & \text{if } k = j \end{cases}$$

Matching with the inner expansion,

$$\nabla V_{10} \sim - \sum_j S_j \nabla G_{kj}; \quad v_k = T - \sum_j S_j G_{kj}$$

Finally use the following identities, see for e.g. [14]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w^3 dy = 3, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla w|^2 dy = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w^2 dy \approx 31.04.$$  \hfill (11)

We summarize the spike dynamics as follows.

**Result 2.1** Let $x_k$ denote the locations of spike centers. Then $x_k$ evolve on a slow timescale according to the following differential-algebraic system:

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt} \sim -\varepsilon^2 \log \varepsilon^{-1} - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S_k \sum_{j=1}^N S_j \nabla G_{kj};$$  \hfill (12a)

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j = |\Omega| A; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{w^2}{S_k \log \varepsilon^{-1}} = T - \sum_{j=1}^N S_j G_{kj}.$$  \hfill (12b)

**Ring equilibrium.** In the case of a ring equilibrium with all spikes having identical heigh, we have that $S_k = S$ for all $k$, so that

$$S = S_k \sim \frac{|\Omega| A}{N}.$$  

The ring equilibrium has the solution of the form

$$x_k = r e^{2\pi i k/N}$$

We now define

$$J(r, R, l) = \begin{cases} G(r, R e^{2\pi l/N}), & \text{if } l \neq 0 \mod N \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \varepsilon^{-1} + H(r, R), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $R$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} J_r(R, R, k) = 0.$$  \hfill (14)

The function $J$ as well as the sum in [14] is computed using Fourier series decomposition in polar coordinates (see Appendix A). This yields the following equation for the ring radius $r$:

$$R^2 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} + \frac{1}{R^{-2N} - 1} = 0.$$  \hfill (15)
This equation was also derived in [9] (equation (2.41)); in addition, the same equation describes an optimal radius [15] (equation (4.14)), in the context of optimizing the fundamental Neumann eigenvalue with \( N \) small traps on a ring inside a unit disk.

It is easy to see that (15) has a unique root \( R \in (0,1) \). The following table shows \( R \) as a function of \( N \):

| \( N \) | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( R \) | 0.4536 | 0.5517 | 0.5985 | 0.6251 | 0.6417 | 0.6527 | 0.6604 | 0.6662 | 0.6706 |

More generally, for an annulus \(|x| \in (b,1)\), the calculations are relegated to Appendix B. As a result, we obtain the following expression for \( R \) in terms of a rapidly converging series:

\[
0 = \frac{R^2 - b^2}{(1-b^2)} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{b^{2Np}}{R^{2N} - b^{2Np}} - \frac{b^{2N(p+1)}}{R^{2N} - b^{2N(p+1)}} \right\}.
\]  

(17)

3. STABILITY OF A RING, LARGE EIGENVALUES

We now study the stability of a ring state with respect to large eigenvalues. We start by linearizing around the ring steady state as

\[
u(x,t) = u(x) + \phi e^{\lambda t}, \quad v(x,t) = v(x) + \phi e^{\lambda t},
\]

to obtain the eigenvalue problem,

\[
\lambda \phi = \epsilon^2 \Delta \phi - \phi + 2uv \phi + u^2 \psi,
\]

\[
\Delta \psi - \left( 2uv \phi + u^2 \psi \right) \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \log \epsilon^{-1}} = 0.
\]

(18)

Near each spike location \( x_k \) we let

\[
x = x_k + \epsilon y; \quad \Phi_k(y) = \phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_k = \psi(x_k).
\]

Then we obtain the eigenvalue problem

\[
\lambda \Phi_k = L_0 \Phi_k + w^2 \frac{\Psi_k}{v_k}; \quad \text{where} \quad L_0 \Phi := \Delta \Phi - \Phi + 2w \Phi.
\]

(19)

We estimate

\[
\Psi_k \sim C - \sum_j \left( \int \left( 2w \Phi_j + w^2 \frac{\Psi_j}{v_j} \right) dy \right) \frac{1}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} G_{kj}
\]

(20)

where \( G_{kj} \) is given in (10); the constant \( C \) is determined by integrating the equation for \( \psi \) in (18) which results in

\[
\sum_k \int \left( 2w \Phi_k + w^2 \frac{\Psi_k}{v_k} \right) dy = 0.
\]

(21)

Together, equations (19), (20) and (21) constitute an eigenvalue problem for \( \lambda \). Next, we specialize to the case of a ring spike state. The problem can be decoupled by introducing a circulant anzatz for the eigenfunction of the form

\[
\Phi_j = z^j \Phi(y); \quad \Psi_j = z^j \Psi; \quad z := \exp \left( 2\pi mi/N \right), \quad m = 0 \ldots N - 1, \quad C = 0.
\]

Then (19) becomes

\[
\lambda \Phi = L_0 \Phi + w^2 \frac{\Psi}{v^2}.
\]

Here, \( v_k = v_0 \) is the common height of all \( N \) spikes, and \( \Psi \) satisfies

\[
\Psi \sim - \left( 2 \int w \Phi + \frac{\Psi}{v_0} \right) \frac{1}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J.
\]

(22)
Here and below, we abbreviate \( J = J(R,R,l) \).

We now study two cases separately, depending on whether \( m = 0 \) or \( m \neq 0 \).

**Case 1.** \( m = 0 \). Then integrating the equation for \( \Psi \) in (18) we obtain \( \Psi = -\int w^2 \Phi \) and (19) becomes

\[
\lambda \Phi = L_0 \Phi - 2w^2 \int w^2 \Phi. \tag{23}
\]

This case is covered by Theorem 1.4 of [16]. For convenience, we state this theorem as follows.

**Theorem (Wei, Theorem 1.4 of [16])** Consider the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

\[
\lambda \Phi = L_0 \Phi - w^2 \int w^2 \Phi. \tag{24}
\]

Suppose that \( \chi > 1 \). Then this problem is stable, that is, \( \text{Re} (\lambda) < 0 \). Suppose that \( \chi < 1 \). Then (24) admits a positive (i.e. unstable) eigenvalue \( \lambda > 0 \). When \( \chi = 1 \), (24) has a zero eigenvalue \( \lambda = 0 \) corresponding to the eigenfunction \( \Phi = w \).

It immediately follows that (23) is stable.

**Case 2.** \( m \neq 0 \). Then (22) becomes (24) with \( \chi = 2 \frac{1 \log \varepsilon - 1}{N - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J \).

By Wei’s Theorem, the critical threshold is given when \( \chi = 1 \), which yields

\[
\frac{1 \log \varepsilon - 1}{N - 1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J = \frac{v_0^2}{\int w^2}. \tag{26}
\]

Note that \( J(R,R,0) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \varepsilon^{-1} \gg O(1) \). We therefore define

\[
\Upsilon(m) := \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J; \quad \tilde{\Upsilon} := \Upsilon - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \varepsilon^{-1};
\]

Replacing \( v_0 = \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1} N \int w^2} \) in (26) and solving for \( A \) we then obtain the critical threshold for large eigenvalues \( A_i \), given as

\[
A_{i,m} = \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{N \int w^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \tilde{\Upsilon}(m) \right)^{-1/2}. \tag{27}
\]

For values of \( N \leq 8 \) on a unit disk, the table below gives numerical values for \( \tilde{\Upsilon}(m) \):

| N \backslash m | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   |
|---------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2             | 0.0509 |    |     |     |     |     |
| 3             | 0.0771 | 0.0771 |     |     |     |     |
| 4             | 0.148  | -0.0406 | 0.148 |     |     |     |
| 5             | 0.233  | -0.0579 | -0.0579 | 0.233 |     |     |
| 6             | 0.325  | -0.0495 | -0.1129 | -0.0495 | 0.325 |     |
| 7             | 0.4214 | -0.0301 | -0.131 | -0.131 | -0.0301 | 0.4214 |
| 8             | 0.5207 | -0.00471 | -0.1345 | -0.164 | -0.1345 | -0.00471 | 0.5207 |

Note that in all cases, \( \tilde{\Upsilon}(m) \) attains a minimum at \( m = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor \). An explicit formula for \( \tilde{\Upsilon}(m) \) with \( N \) even and \( m = N/2 \) is available; it is given by:

\[
\tilde{\Upsilon}(N/2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{4R 1 + R^N}{N 1 - R^N} \right).
\]

We now summarize our findings.
Theorem 3.1 Let
\[ A_l := \max_{1 \leq m \leq N-1} A_{l,m}(m). \] (28)
Then a ring of \( N \) spikes is stable with respect to large eigenvalues provided that \( A < A_l \). When \( \Omega \) is a unit disk and \( N \) is even, we have an explicit formula
\[ A_l = \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{N}{\pi} \left( 2\pi \int w^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \ln \left( \frac{4R_1 + R_N}{N} \right) \right)^{-1/2}. \] (29)
Note that to leading order, \( A_l \sim A_{l,m} \sim A_{l,0} \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), where
\[ A_{l,0} := \frac{N|\Omega|}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left( 2\pi \int w^2 \right)^{1/2}. \] (30)
Indeed, this recovers the threshold computed in [3] for an arbitrary configuration of \( N \) spikes. However in practice, the \( \log \varepsilon \) correction makes a significant difference. Consider for example the case \( N = 8, \varepsilon = 0.05 \). Then formula (30) yields \( A_{l,0} = 11.86 \) whereas \( A_l = 14.66 \), so that \( O(1/\log \varepsilon) \) terms contribute about 25% increase to the instability threshold.

4. SMALL EIGENVALUES

Small eigenvalues control the motion of the spikes. They can be computed by linearizing the reduced ODE (12) around its steady state. Numerical experiments indicate that the dominant small-eigenvalue instability of a ring results in a radial motion: half of the spikes move inside and half outside the ring. Thus, we make a simplifying assumption where the \( k \)-th spike is restricted to move along a ray \( \theta = 2\pi k/N \). The restricted problem, up time-rescaling, becomes:
\[ r_k' = -S_k \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} S_{k+l} J_r(r_k, r_{k+l}, l) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_l = 2\pi l/N \]
with
\[ \sum S_k = |\Omega| A, \]
\[ \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{S_k} \int w^2 = T - \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} S_{k+l} J(r_k, r_{k+l}, l). \]
We now linearize around the equilibrium radius \( r_k = R \) using circular Fourier series:
\[ r_k = R + \phi z^k e^{\lambda t}; \quad S_k = S + \psi z^k e^{\lambda t}; \quad z = \exp \left( 2\pi mi/N \right), \quad m = 0 \ldots N \]
We then obtain:
\[ \lambda \phi = -\phi S^2 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left( J_{rr} + J_{r} z^l \right) - \psi S \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J_r, \]
\[ \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{S^2} \int w^2 \psi = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \psi z^l J + S \left( J_r + J_{R} z^l \right) \phi \]
Here and below, \( J \) denotes \( J(R, R, l) \) as defined in [13], and we have used the fact that \( \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} J_r = 0 \) for the equilibrium radius \( R \).
Eliminating \( \psi \) we obtain a single expression for the eigenvalue \( \lambda \):
\[ \frac{\lambda}{S^2} = -\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left( J_{rr} + J_{r} z^l \right) - \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{S^2} \int w^2 \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J \left( \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} J_r z^l \right)^2 \]; (31)
above we used the fact that \( J(r, R, l) = J(R, r, l) \) so that \( J_R(R, R) = J_r(R, R) \). Letting \( \Upsilon(r, R, m) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l J(r, R, l) \),
we obtain

\[
\frac{\lambda}{S^2} = -\Upsilon_{rr}(R, R, 0) - \Upsilon_{rR}(R, R, m) - \frac{\Upsilon^2_r(R, R, m)}{2\pi} \Upsilon(R, R, m)
\]

Recall that

\[
S = \frac{[\Omega] A}{N}; \quad \Upsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \varepsilon^{-1} + \tilde{\Upsilon}
\]

where \( \tilde{\Upsilon} \) is independent of \( \varepsilon \). It follows that in the limit \( A \gg O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \), the leading-order stability of small eigenvalues is determined by the sign of \( \Upsilon_{rr}(R, R, 0) + \Upsilon_{rR}(R, R, m) \). This quantity is equivalent to local minimizer condition of the Green’s functional from \([3]\), specialized to a ring of spikes. In addition, recall that \( A_l = O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \) so that \( A \gg O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \) automatically implies stability with respect to large eigenvalues. We summarize this as follows.

**Result 4.1** Define

\[
\Lambda(m) := -\Upsilon_{rr}(R, R, 0) - \Upsilon_{rR}(R, R, m).
\]

Suppose that \( \Lambda(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor) < 0 \) and moreover,

\[
A \gg O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right).
\]

Then the ring of \( N \) spikes is stable with respect to both small and large eigenvalues in the limit \([32]\). Conversely, if \( \Lambda(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor) > 0 \) then the ring is unstable for any \( A \).

For a disk domain, \( \Lambda(m) \) and \( \Lambda(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor) \) are explicitly given by \([50]\) and \([51]\), respectively.

The following table lists the value of \( \Lambda(m) \) on a unit disk, using formula \([50]\):

| \( N \backslash m \) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2                   | -0.7545 |
| 3                   | -0.9955 -0.9955 |
| 4                   | -1.2006 -0.9851 -1.2006 |
| 5                   | -1.3886 -0.9722 -0.9722 -1.3886 |
| 6                   | -1.5753 -0.9682 -0.7319 -0.9682 -1.5753 |
| 7                   | -1.7699 -0.9795 -0.5129 -0.5129 -0.9795 -1.7699 |
| 8                   | -1.9750 -1.0074 -0.3213 -0.0825 -0.3213 -1.0074 -1.9750 |
| 9                   | -2.1901 -1.0501 -0.1548 0.3121 0.3121 -0.1548 -1.0501 -2.1901 |
| 10                  | -2.4130 -1.1043 -0.0082 0.6747 0.9036 0.6747 -0.0082 -1.1043 -2.4130 |

It shows that the dominant mode corresponds to \( m = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor \); moreover a ring of \( N \geq 9 \) spikes is unstable for any \( A \).

**Result 4.2** A spike ring with nine or more spikes is unstable inside a unit disk. A ring of 8 or less spikes is stable in the limit \( A \gg O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \).

Note that condition \( \Lambda < 0 \) alone does not guarantee ring stability when \( A \) is of \( O \left( \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \right) \). The full stability characterisation is obtained by setting \( \lambda = 0 \) in \([31]\). Upon substituting \( \lambda = 0 \) and \( S = \frac{[\Omega] A}{N} \) in \([31]\) and solving for \( A \), we obtain the following small-eigenvalue threshold which exists even when \( \Lambda(m) < 0 \) for all \( m \in (1, N) \):

\[
A_{s, m} = \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1} [\Omega]} \left( 2\pi \int w^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left[ \tilde{\Upsilon}(R, R, m) + \frac{\Upsilon^2_r(R, R, m)}{\Lambda(m)} \right] \right\}^{-1/2}.
\]

(33)

Numerics show that the largest \( A_{s, m} \) is attained when \( m = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor \).
FIG. 5. Self-replication of an \(N\)-ring pattern. Here, \(\varepsilon = 0.05\). Top row: \(A = 15\). All three spots split at the same time and the direction of splitting is parallel to the boundary. Bottom row: \(A = 36\). All six spots undergo an initial deformation but eventually only one splits. The direction of splitting is perpendicular to the boundary.

Let us now contrast the small-eigenvalue threshold \(A_{s,m}\) in (33) with the large-eigenvalue threshold \(A_{l,m}\) in (27). Note that both \(A_{s,m}\) and \(A_{l,m}\) converge to \(A_{l,0} \approx \frac{1}{\log \varepsilon - \frac{1}{N}} (2\pi \int w^2)^{1/2}\) as \(\varepsilon \to 0\), which is independent of the mode \(m\). Moreover, suppose that \(\Lambda(m) < 0\), (i.e. the ring is stable for sufficiently large \(A\)). Then \(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{A_{l,0}^2} < 0\) and it immediately follows from (33) and (27) that \(A_s > A_l\). We conclude that that small eigenvalues are destabilized before the big eigenvalues (although both thresholds agree at leading order). This is indeed the case whenever a ring is stable for sufficiently large \(A\) (so that \(\Lambda < 0\)). We summarize this as follows.

Result 4.3 Suppose that an \(N\)-ring is stable for sufficiently large \(A\). Let \(A_s = A_{s,\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}\). Then the ring is stable when \(A > A_s\), but becomes unstable with respect to small values as \(A\) is decreased below \(A_s\).

For a unit disk, this result applies to \(N \leq 8\), since a ring of 9 or more spikes is unstable for any \(A\). More generally, (9) gives the radius \(b_c(N)\) such that \(N\) spikes are stable for large \(A\) when \(b > b_c(N)\). This table is generated by solving \(\Lambda(\lfloor N/2 \rfloor) = 0\) for \(b\). Any number of spikes can be stabilized for sufficiently thin annulus. Deriving the exact asymptotics of this stabilization is an open question.

5. DISCUSSION

We have performed the stability analysis of a ring solution inside a unit disk or an annulus \(\Omega_b = \{x : b \leq |x| \leq 1\}\). We found that there are two distinct mechanisms whereby a ring can undergo an instability. First, if \(A\) is sufficiently large, the ring can be stabilized by making the annulus sufficiently thin. For a unit disk \((b = 0)\), the magic number is \(N = 8\) : less than 9 spikes are stable inside a disk assuming \(A\) is sufficiently large (and \(\varepsilon\) sufficiently small). Conversely, a ring of 9 or more spikes is unstable inside a unit disk but can be stabilized by increasing \(b\), as shown in (9). In fact, the thinner the annulus, the more spikes can be stable along the ring. It is an open question to work out the asymptotics for stability of a ring in the limit of thin annulus.

On the other hand, an \(N\)-ring can become unstable regardless of the \(b\) if \(A\) is decreased sufficiently. It was previously known that such an instability is triggered due to large eigenvalues when \(A\) is decreased below \(A_{l,0}\) in (30). We have shown that there is also a small-eigenvalue instability \(A_s\) just above \(A_{l,0}\) which triggers an instability. In particular for an 8-rings on a disk, this small-eigenvalue instability explains the square-type pattern of 8 spikes observed (c.f. Figure 3). Numerics indicate that it is supercritical for an 8-ring on a unit disk but subcritical for a 6-spike ring. It is an open question to characterize the criticality analytically.

Another well-known instability for the Schnakenberg model is spike-replication, which occurs when \(A\) is sufficiently increased. Following the analysis in [8], it can be shown that self-replication of an \(N\)-ring occurs when \(A\) is increased
past $A_r$, where

$$A_r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\log \varepsilon^{-1}} \left| \frac{N}{\Omega_0} \right| 4.3 \cdot 2\pi}. \tag{34}$$

Note that unlike competition thresholds $A_l$ and $A_s$, the formula for $A_r$ is independent of ring radius. This is due to the high symmetry (all heights being the same) of the ring. Figure 5 illustrates this phenomenon. Generally, the stability region is $A_r < A < A_r$. For an 8-ring, we have $A_s \approx A_r \approx 33.8$ when $\varepsilon = 0.016$, and the ordering $A_s < A_r$ holds as long as $\varepsilon < 0.016$. In particular, no stable 8-ring can exist if $\varepsilon = 0.02$ regardless of the choice of $A$ (c.f. as in figure 3).

Let us conclude with some open questions regarding ring self-replication. Figure 5 suggests that the direction of replication depends on the particular configuration. In the case of a 3-ring, the direction of self-replication is parallel to the boundary, whereas in the case of 6-ring, it is orthogonal to the boundary. Furthermore, number of spots that simultaneously self-replicate also varies with $N$. For example, an “aborted” self-replication is observed in 2nd row of Figure 5 initially, all 6 spots exhibit self-replication instability; later on, only three of the six spots continue to replicate, but eventually only one spot succeeds in fully replicating. Further experiments (not shown) indicate that the number of self-replicating events is very sensitive to how much the feed rate $A$ is above the self-replication threshold $A_r$, as well as the total number of spots.

APPENDIX A: GREEN’S FUNCTION ON A DISK

In this appendix we summarize the computations involving the Green’s function \( (9) \) on a unit disk $\Omega_0 = \{ x : |x| < 1 \}$.

Let $r = |x|$, $R = |\xi|$, and let $\theta$ be the angle between $x, \xi$. We decompose into Fourier series as follows:

$$G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos(n \theta) g_n(r, R); \text{ and } \delta(x - \xi) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \cos(n \theta) \right) \frac{\delta(r - R)}{r} \tag{35}$$

so that

$$(g_n)_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} (g_n)_r - n^2 g_n = -\frac{1}{\pi R} \delta(r - R), \ n \geq 1,$$

$$(g_0)_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} (g_0)_r = -\frac{1}{2\pi R} \delta(r - R), \ n = 0.$$ 

It is straightforward to verify that

$$g_n(r, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
r^n \frac{R^n}{R^n + r^n}, & r < R \\
R^n \frac{r^n}{r^n + R^n}, & R < r < 1 \\
0, & r > 1 \end{array} \right. \tag{36}$$

$$g_0(r, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{r^2}{2} + C(R), & r < R \\
\ln R - \ln r + \frac{r^2}{2} + C(R), & R < r < 1 \\
0, & r > 1 \end{array} \right. \tag{37}$$

Here, $C(R)$ is determined via the integral constraint $\int G = 0$, which yields

$$C(R) = \frac{R^2}{2} - \log R - \frac{3}{4}. \tag{38}$$

Next, we need to compute the regular part $H = G + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - \xi|$. In what follows, we will assume without loss of generality that $r < R$. We have the following expansion of the $\log |x - \xi| :$

$$\log |x - \xi| = \log R - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n \theta) \frac{r^n R^{-n}}{n}, \ r < R$$

so that

$$2\pi H(r, R, \theta) = \frac{r^2}{2} + C(R) + \log R + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n \theta) \frac{1}{n} r^n R^n.$$
We remark that these formulas agree with an explicit expression for Green’s function given in [14], namely
\[
G(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|x - \xi|) + H(x, \xi);
\]
\[
H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[-\log(|x|^2 |\xi|^2 + 1 - 2x \cdot \xi) + |x|^2 + |\xi|^2 - \frac{3}{2}\right].
\] (39)
(40)

2. Computing \(\Upsilon(r, R, m)\). Next, we compute
\[
\Upsilon(r, R, m) = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} J(r, R, l) z^l, \quad z = \exp(2\pi i m/N)
\] (41)
where \(J(r, R, l)\) is defined in (13). We obtain,
\[
J(r, R, l) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ -\log \varepsilon^{-1} + \frac{r^2}{2} + C(R) + \log R + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} r^n R^n, \quad l = 0 \right.
\] (42)
\[
\left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(2\pi n/N) \frac{1}{n} (r^n R^n + r^n R^{-n}), \quad 0 < l < N \right\}.
\]
Recalling that \(\sum_{0}^{N} z^l = 0\), this yields:
\[
2\pi \Upsilon(r, R, m) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\log R - \log(1 - Rr) + \rho(rR; m) + \rho(r/R; m), & m \in (1, N) \\
\log R - \log(1 - Rr) + \rho(rR; m) + \rho(r/R; m) + \left(\frac{r^2}{2} + C(R)\right) N, & m = 0
\end{array} \right.
\] (43)
where we defined
\[
\rho(a; m) := \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos \left(\frac{2\pi nl}{N}\right) e^{2\pi mli/N} a^n
\] (44)

Next we show the following.

Lemma 5.1 We have the following explicit formulas:
\[
a \rho'(a; m) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{N}{1-a^N} \left(\frac{a^m + a^{N-m}}{2}\right) - \frac{a}{1-a}, & m \in (0, N) \\
\frac{N}{1-a^N} - N - \frac{a}{1-a}, & m = 0.
\end{array} \right.
\] (45)

In addition we have the following identities:
\[
\lim_{a \to 1} a \rho'(a; m) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1/2, & m \in (0, N) \\
1/2 - N/2, & m = 0
\end{array} \right.
\] (46)
\[
\lim_{a \to 1} (a \rho'(a; m))' = \frac{1-N^2}{12} + \frac{m}{2} (N-m)
\] (47)

We also have:
\[
\rho(a; 0) = \ln(1-a) - \ln(1-a^N).
\]

When \(N\) is even and \(m = N/2\), we have
\[
\rho(a, N/2) = \ln \left(\frac{1 + a^{N/2}}{1 - a^{N/2}}\right) + \ln(1-a)
\] (48a)
\[
\rho(1, N/2) = \ln 2 + \ln (2/N) = \ln(4/N).
\] (48b)

Proof of Lemma 5.1
Let \(f(a) = a \rho'(a; m) = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{2\pi mli}{N}\right) + \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi mli}{N}\right) \right\} e^{2\pi mli/N} a^n\). We have:
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(\frac{2\pi (n-m) l}{N}\right) a^n = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n + N \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a^n
\]
\[
= -\frac{a}{1-a} + N \frac{a^m}{1-a^N}
\]
and similarly,
\[ \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left( \frac{2\pi (n + m) l}{N} \right) a^n = \begin{cases} -\frac{a}{1-a} + N^{-\alpha-m} & m \in (0, N) \\ -\frac{a}{1-a} + N^{-\alpha-m} & m = 0 \end{cases} \]
This yields (45). Integrating \( \rho'(a; N/2) \) yields (48a). Taking limits as \( a \to 1^- \) yields (46, 47, 48b). \( \blacksquare \)

The radius of the ring satisfies \( \mathcal{Y}_{rr}(R, R, 0) = 0 \). From (43) and Lemma 5.1 we compute:
\[ 2\pi \mathcal{Y}_{rr}(R, R, 0) = \frac{1}{R} \frac{R^{2N} N}{1 - R^{2N}} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{1 - N}{2} + RN. \]
(49)

Setting (49) to zero yields (15).

Computing \( \Lambda = \mathcal{Y}_{rR}(R, R, 0) + \mathcal{Y}_{rr}(R, R, 0) \). Using (43) and Lemma 5.1 we compute
\[ 2\pi \mathcal{Y}_{rr}(R, R, 0) = NR^{2N-2} \left( \frac{N - 1 + R^{2N}}{(1 - R^{2N})^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \left\{ \frac{1 - N^2}{12} - \frac{N}{2} \right\} + N \right) \]
\[ 2\pi \mathcal{Y}_{rR}(R, R, m) = \frac{N}{2} R^{2N-2} \left( \frac{(N - m) (R^{2m} + R^{-2m}) + m (R^{2(N-m)} + R^{2(m-N)})}{(1 - R^{2N})^2} \right) \]
so that
\[-2\pi \Lambda = NR^{2N-2} \left( \frac{N - 1 + R^{2N}}{(1 - R^{2N})^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \left\{ \frac{1 - N^2}{12} + \frac{m}{2} (N - m) \right\} \right) + \frac{1}{2R^2} \left\{ -1 + N - m (N - m) \right\} + N. \]
(50)

In particular, the “middle” mode \( m = N/2 \) (with \( N \) even) yields:
\[ 2\pi \Lambda (N/2) = -NR^{2N-2} \left( \frac{N - 1 + R^{2N}}{(1 - R^{2N})^2} + \frac{1}{8R^2} (N - 2)^2 - N. \right) \]
(51)

APPENDIX B: GREEN’S FUNCTION AND RING RADIUS IN AN ANNULUS

For the annular domain \( \Omega_b = \{ x : b < |x| < 1 \} \) we decompose in Fourier series as in (35). We then obtain
\[ g_n(r, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi n (1 - b^{2n})} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (r^n + r^{-n}) (R^n + R^{-n} b^{2n}), & R < r < 1 \\ (r^n + r^{-n}) (r^n + r^{-n} b^{2n}), & b < r < R, \end{array} \right. \quad n \geq 1 \]
\[ g_0(r, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi (1 - b^2)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -b^2 \ln(r) + \frac{r^2}{2} + C(R), & R_1 < r < R \\ -\ln(r) + (1 - b^2) \ln R + \frac{r^2}{2} + C(R), & R < r < 1, \end{array} \right. \quad n = 0 \]
The constant \( C \) is obtained by setting \( \int_1^b g_0 r dr = 0 \) yielding
\[ C = \frac{R^2}{2} - \log R - \frac{3}{4} (1 + b^2) + \frac{b^2}{b^2 - 1} \log b. \]

Next we compute the regular part. As before, we need only consider the case \( r < R \). Write
\[ H = G + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x - \xi| = h_0(r, R) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\theta) h_n(r, R) \]
Expanding, for \( r < R \), we have
\[ g_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n (1 - b^{2n})} \left( R^n r^n + R^{-n} r^n + b^{2n} R^n r^{-n} + b^{2n} R^{-n} r^{-n} \right) \]
so that, for \( r < R \),
\[ h_n = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{R^n r^n + R^{-n} r^n + b^{2n} R^n r^{-n} + b^{2n} R^{-n} r^{-n}}{(1 - b^{2n})}, & r^n R^{-n} \\ \frac{R^n r^n + b^{2n} R^n r^{-n} + b^{2n} R^{-n} r^{-n} + b^{2n} R^{-n} r^{-n}}{(1 - b^{2n})}, & r^n R^{-n} \end{array} \right. \]
\[ h_0 = g_0. \]
Computing the radius. The radius satisfies $\Upsilon_r(R, R) = 0$. We have,

$$\Upsilon_r = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial_r r_n \cos (n2\pi l/N) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial_r h_n$$

with

$$\partial_r r_n = \frac{R^n r^n + R^{-n} r^{-n} - b^2 n R^n r^{-n} - b^2 n R^{-n} r^{-n}}{2\pi r (1 - b^2 n)} , \quad n \geq 1, \quad r < R$$

$$\partial_r h_n = \frac{R^n r^n + b^2 n R^n r^{-n} - b^2 n R^{-n} r^{-n}}{2\pi (1 - b^2 n)} , \quad n \geq 1, \quad r < R.$$

Define

$$Q(\rho, a) = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{1 - a^n} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi l n}{N} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad P(\rho, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{1 - a^n}.$$  \hfill (52)

We obtain:

$$2\pi R \Upsilon_r(R, R) = Q(R^2, b^2) + Q(1, b^2) - Q(b^2, b^2) - Q \left( \frac{b^2}{R^2}, b^2 \right)$$

$$+ P(R^2, b^2) - P \left( \frac{b^2}{R^2}, b^2 \right) + \frac{R^2 - b^2}{1 - b^2} N.$$  \hfill (53)

Next we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2** We have

$$P(\rho, a) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho a^p}{1 - \rho a^p}; \quad (55)$$

$$Q(\rho, a) + P(\rho, a) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} N \frac{\rho^N a^{Np}}{1 - \rho^N a^{Np}}.$$  \hfill (56)

**Proof.** To show (55) we employ a resummation trick as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^n}{1 - a^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \rho^n a^{np} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\rho a^p)^n = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho a^p}{1 - \rho a^p}.$$

The proof of identity (56) is similar after writing cosine using complex exponentials, and is left to the reader. \hfill ■

Upon substituting (55,56), into (53) and simplifying, we obtain (17).
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