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Abstract

We prove that three automorphisms of the rooted binary tree defined by a certain 3-state automaton generate a free non-Abelian group of rank 3.

1 Introduction

A Mealy automaton $A$ over a finite alphabet $X$ is determined by the set of internal states $Q$, the state transition function $\phi : Q \times X \to Q$, and the output function $\psi : Q \times X \to X$. The automaton starts its work at some state $q \in Q$ and a sequence of letters $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$ is input into $A$. The automaton uses the function $\psi$ to produce an output sequence $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ ($y_i \in X$) while changing its states according to the function $\phi$. This gives rise to a transformation $A_q : X^* \to X^*$ of the set $X^*$ of finite words over alphabet $X$. A detailed account of the theory of Mealy automata is given in the survey paper [GNS].

An automaton is called finite if it has only finitely many states. An automaton is called initial if it has a fixed initial state. An initial automaton over an alphabet $X$ defines a transformation of the set $X^*$. A finite non-initial automaton defines a finite number of transformations, each being assigned to an internal state. Assuming all of them are invertible, the finite automaton defines a finitely generated transformation group. This group is an example of a self-similar group (see [Nek]).
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All invertible transformations defined by finite initial automata over a fixed alphabet $X$ form a countable group $\mathcal{G}(X)$ (see [GNS]). The set $X^*$ is endowed with the structure of a rooted regular tree so that elements of $\mathcal{G}(X)$ are automorphisms of the tree. The groups of finite automaton transformations contain many finitely generated subgroups with remarkable properties (e.g., infinite torsion groups, groups of intermediate growth) but their complete structure is yet to be understood.

The problem of embedding the free non-Abelian group into a group $\mathcal{G}(X)$ of finite automaton transformations turned out to be hard. The first attempt to solve it was made by Aleshin [A]. He introduced two finite initial automata over alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ and claimed that two transformations of the rooted binary tree $\{0, 1\}^*$ defined by these automata generate a free group. However the paper [A] does not contain a proof. There is only a sketch that raises reasonable doubts as to whether Aleshin’s argument was complete.

Aleshin’s automata are depicted in Figure 1 by means of Moore diagrams. The Moore diagram of an automaton is a directed graph with labeled edges. The vertices are the states of the automaton and edges are state transition routes. Each label consists of two letters from the alphabet. The left one is the input field, it is used to choose a transition route. The right one is the output generated by the automaton. Aleshin considered these automata as initial, with initial state $b$.

The first reliable result was obtained years later by Brunner and Sidki [BS]. They showed that the group $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ can be embedded into the group $\mathcal{G}(X)$. The Moore diagram of an automaton is a directed graph with labeled edges. The vertices are the states of the automaton and edges are state transition routes. Each label consists of two letters from the alphabet. The left one is the input field, it is used to choose a transition route. The right one is the output generated by the automaton. Aleshin considered these automata as initial, with initial state $b$.

Figure 1: Aleshin’s automata.
of finite automaton transformations over the alphabet of cardinality $2^n$. Since $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ contains a free group, this yields two finite initial automata over a 4-letter alphabet generating the free group. An example of a free group generated by finite automaton transformations over a 2-letter alphabet was given by Olijnyk and Sushchanskij [OS].

A harder problem is to present the free group as the group defined by a single finite non-initial automaton. Recently it was solved by Glasner and Mozes [GM]. They constructed infinitely many finite automata of algebraic origin that define transformation groups with various properties, in particular, free groups. The simplest example from [GM] is a pair of automata $A_1$ and $A_2$. The automaton $A_1$ is a 14-state automaton over a 6-letter alphabet while $A_2$ is a 6-state automaton over a 14-letter alphabet. The automata define free groups on 7 and 3 generators, respectively. Note that the number of free generators is half of the number of states. The 14 transformations defined by the automaton $A_1$ form a symmetric set of free generators. That is, they split into two sets of free generators such that the elements in each set are inverses of elements in the other set. The 6 transformations defined by $A_2$ also form a symmetric set of free generators. The automata $A_1$ and $A_2$ are dual in that either of them can be obtained from the other by interchanging the alphabet with the set of internal states and the state transition function with the output function.

In this paper, we consider the problem of finding a finite automaton that defines a free group such that the rank of the group (i.e., the number of free generators) is equal to the number of states of the automaton. A candidate for a solution has been available for some time. Namely, Brunner and Sidki conjectured (see [S]) that the first of two Aleshin’s automata shown in Figure 1 is the required one. Here we prove that this is indeed the case.

**Theorem 1.1** Three automorphisms of the rooted binary tree defined by the first Aleshin automaton generate a free transformation group on three generators.

The proof of the theorem is based on the dual automaton approach (already used in [GM]). The main idea of this approach is that properties of the group defined by a finite automaton are determined by orbits of the transformation group defined by the dual automaton.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 addresses some general constructions concerning automata and their properties. In Section 3 we consider the Aleshin automaton along with a number of related automata and
establish some properties of these automata. In Section 4 we use results of Sections 2 and 3 to prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Automata

An automaton $A$ is a quadruple $(Q, X, \phi, \psi)$ consisting of two nonempty sets $Q, X$ along with two maps $\phi : Q \times X \rightarrow Q, \psi : Q \times X \rightarrow X$. The set $X$ is to be finite, it is called the input/output alphabet of the automaton. We say that $A$ is an automaton over the alphabet $X$. $Q$ is called the set of internal states of $A$. The automaton $A$ is called finite if the set $Q$ is finite. $\phi$ and $\psi$ are called the state transition function and the output function, respectively.

One may regard these functions as a single map $(\phi, \psi) : Q \times X \rightarrow Q \times X$. The automaton $A$ functions as follows. The active automaton is always supposed to be in some state $q \in Q$. The automaton reads an input letter $x \in X$. Then it performs two independent tasks: passes to the state $\phi(q, x)$ and sends the letter $\psi(q, x)$ to the output. After that the automaton is ready to accept another input letter. Usually the automaton job consists of transducing the whole sequence of input letters. Suppose that $A$ started its work in a state $q \in Q$ (the initial state) and a word $w = x_1x_2\ldots x_n$ over the alphabet $X$ was input into $A$. As the result of automaton’s job, we obtain two sequences: a sequence of states $q_0 = q, q_1, \ldots, q_n$, which describes the internal work of the automaton, and the output word $v = y_1y_2\ldots y_n$. Here $q_i = \phi(q_{i-1}, x_i)$ and $y_i = \psi(q_{i-1}, x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $X^*$ denote the set of words over the alphabet $X$. A word $w \in X^*$ is merely a finite sequence whose elements belong to $X$. However the elements of $w$ are called letters and $w$ is usually written so that its elements are not separated by delimiters. The number of letters of a word $w$ is called its length and denoted by $|w|$. It is assumed that $X^*$ contains the empty word $\varnothing$. The set $X$ is naturally embedded in $X^*$. If $w_1 = x_1\ldots x_n$ and $w_2 = y_1\ldots y_m$ are words over the alphabet $X$ then $w_1w_2$ denotes their concatenation $x_1\ldots x_ny_1\ldots y_m$. $X^*$ is the free monoid generated by all elements of $X$ relative to the operation $(w_1, w_2) \mapsto w_1w_2$. Another structure on $X^*$ is that of a rooted $k$-regular tree, where $k$ is the cardinality of $X$. Namely, we consider a graph with the set of vertices $X^*$ where two vertices $w_1, w_2 \in X^*$ are joined by an edge if $w_1 = w_2x$ or $w_2 = w_1x$ for some $x \in X$. The root of the tree is the empty word.

In the above description of the automaton’s functions, it is shown how
the output function $\psi$ can be extended to a map $\psi^*: Q \times X^* \to X^*$. By definition, $\psi^*$ satisfies the recursive relations $\psi^*(q, \emptyset) = \emptyset$, $\psi^*(q, xw) = \psi(q, x)\psi^*(\phi(q, x), w)$ for all $x \in X$, $w \in X^*$, $q \in Q$. Moreover, $\psi^*$ is uniquely determined by these relations. Now for any $q \in Q$ we define a transformation $A_q: X^* \to X^*$ by $A_q(w) = \psi^*(q, w)$ for all $w \in X^*$. We say that the transformation $A_q$ is defined by the automaton $A$ with the initial state $q$. Clearly, $A_q$ preserves length of words. Besides, $A_q$ transforms words from the left to the right, that is, the first $n$ letters of a word $A_q(w)$ depend only on the first $n$ letters of $w$. This implies that $A_q$ is an endomorphism of $X^*$ as a rooted regular tree. If $A_q$ is invertible then it belongs to the group Aut($X^*$) of automorphisms of the tree.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose the automaton $A$ is invertible. Then the actions of the semigroup $S(A)$ and the group $G(A)$ on $X^*$ have the same orbits.

Proof. The action of the group $G(A)$ on $X^*$ preserves length of words. Hence an arbitrary word $w \in X^*$ belongs to some finite set $W \subset X^*$ that is invariant under the $G(A)$ action. Since the automaton $A$ is invertible, every transformation $g \in S(A)$ is invertible. The restriction of $g$ to $W$ is a bijection, which is of finite order since the set $W$ is finite. It follows that the semigroup $S(A)$ of transformations of $X^*$ becomes a group when restricted to $W$. In particular, the restrictions to $W$ of the semigroup $S(A)$ and of the group $G(A)$ coincide. Then the orbits $\{g(w) \mid g \in S(A)\}$ and $\{g(w) \mid g \in G(A)\}$ coincide as well.

One way to picture an automaton, which we use in this paper, is the Moore diagram. The Moore diagram of an automaton $A = (Q, X, \phi, \psi)$ is a directed graph with labeled edges defined as follows. The vertices of the graph are states of the automaton $A$. Every edge carries a label of the form $x|y$, where $x, y \in X$. The left field $x$ of the label is referred to as the input field while the right field $y$ is referred to as the output field. The set of edges of the graph is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set $Q \times X$. Namely, for any $q \in Q$ and $x \in X$ there is an edge that goes from the vertex $q$ to $\phi(q, x)$ and carries the label $x|\psi(q, x)$. The Moore diagram of an automaton
can have loops (edges joining a vertex to itself) and multiple edges. To simplify pictures, we do not draw multiple edges in this paper. Instead, we use multiple labels.

The transformations $A_q$, $q \in Q$ can be defined in terms of the Moore diagram of the automaton $A$. For any $q \in Q$ and $w \in X^*$ we find a path $\delta$ in the Moore diagram such that $\delta$ starts at the vertex $q$ and the word $w$ can be obtained by reading the input fields of labels along $\delta$. Such a path exists and is unique. Then the word $A_q(w)$ is obtained by reading the output fields of labels along the path $\delta$.

Let $\Gamma$ denote the Moore diagram of the automaton $A$. We associate to $\Gamma$ two directed graphs $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ with labeled edges. $\Gamma_1$ is obtained from $\Gamma$ by interchanging the input and output fields of all labels. That is, a label $x|y$ is replaced by $y|x$. $\Gamma_2$ is obtained from $\Gamma$ by reversing all edges. The inverse automaton of $A$ is the automaton whose Moore diagram is $\Gamma_1$. The reverse automaton of $A$ is the automaton whose Moore diagram is $\Gamma_2$. If one of the graphs $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ is the Moore diagram of an automaton then the automaton shares with $A$ the alphabet and internal states. Moreover, its state transition and output functions are uniquely determined by the graph. However neither $\Gamma_1$ nor $\Gamma_2$ must be the Moore diagram of an automaton.

The necessary and sufficient condition for such a labelling to be the Moore diagram of an automaton is that for any $q \in Q$ and $x \in X$ there is exactly one edge of the graph that goes out of the vertex $q$ and has $x$ as the input field of its label.

**Proposition 2.2** An automaton $A = (Q, X, \phi, \psi)$ is invertible if and only if for any $q \in Q$ the map $\psi(q, \cdot) : X \to X$ is bijective. The inverse automaton $I$ is well defined if and only if $A$ is invertible. If this is the case, then $I_q = A_q^{-1}$ for all $q \in Q$.

**Proof.** Let $\Gamma$ be the Moore diagram of $A$ and $\Gamma_1$ be the graph obtained from $\Gamma$ by interchanging the input and output fields of all labels. For any $x \in X$ and $q \in Q$ the number of edges of $\Gamma_1$ that go out of the vertex $q$ and have $x$ as the input field of their labels is equal to the number of $y \in X$ such that $\psi(y, q) = x$. The inverse automaton of $A$ is well defined if this number is always equal to 1, that is, if and only if the map $\psi(\cdot, q) : X \to X$ is bijective for any $q \in Q$.

Suppose there exists $q \in Q$ such that the map $\psi(\cdot, q)$ is not bijective. Since $X$ is a finite set, $\psi(x_1, q) = \psi(x_2, q)$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in X$, $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then $A_q(x_1) = A_q(x_2)$; in particular, $A_q$ is not invertible.
Now assume that the map \( \psi(\cdot,q) \) is bijective for any \( q \in Q \). By the above the inverse automaton \( I \) of \( A \) is well defined. The graphs \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_1 \), which are the Moore diagrams of automata \( A \) and \( I \), differ only in labels. In particular, they share all paths. Given such a path \( \delta \), a word \( w \in X^* \) can be obtained by reading the input fields of labels along \( \delta \) in one of the graphs if and only if the same word \( w \) is obtained by reading the output fields of labels along \( \delta \) in the other graph. It follows that for any \( q \in Q \) and \( w_1, w_2 \in X^* \) we have \( w_2 = A_q(w_1) \) if and only if \( w_1 = I_q(w_2) \). This means that \( A_q \) is invertible for any \( q \in Q \) and \( I_q = A_q^{-1} \).

For any word \( w = x_1x_2 \ldots x_n \) over an alphabet \( X \) we denote by \( \overleftarrow{w} \) the reversed word \( x_n \ldots x_2x_1 \).

**Proposition 2.3** Given an automaton \( A = (Q,X,\phi,\psi) \), the reverse automaton \( R \) is well defined if and only if for any \( x \in X \) the map \( \phi(\cdot,x) : Q \to Q \) is bijective. Assume this is the case and let \( w_1, w_2 \in X^* \). Then \( w_2 = g(w_1) \) for some \( g \in S(A) \) if and only if \( \overleftarrow{w_2} = h(\overleftarrow{w_1}) \) for some \( h \in S(R) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \Gamma \) be the Moore diagram of \( A \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) be the graph obtained from \( \Gamma \) by reversing all edges. For any \( q \in Q \) and \( x \in X \) the number of edges of \( \Gamma_2 \) that go out of the vertex \( q \) and have \( x \) as the input field of their labels is equal to the number of \( p \in Q \) such that \( \phi(p,x) = q \). The reverse automaton \( R \) of \( A \) is well defined if this number is always equal to 1, that is, if and only if \( \phi(\cdot,x) : Q \to Q \) is bijective for any \( x \in X \).

Assume the reverse automaton \( R \) is well defined. The graph \( \Gamma_2 \) is the Moore diagram of \( R \). Any path \( \delta \) in the graph \( \Gamma \) is assigned a “reversed” path \( \delta' \) in the graph \( \Gamma_2 \) such that a word \( w \in X^* \) can be obtained by reading the input (resp. output) fields of labels along \( \delta \) if and only if the reversed word \( \overleftarrow{w} \) is obtained by reading the input (resp. output) fields of labels along \( \delta' \). It follows that, given \( w_1, w_2 \in X^* \), we have \( w_2 = A_q(w_1) \) for some \( q \in Q \) if and only if \( \overleftarrow{w_2} = R_p(\overleftarrow{w_1}) \) for some \( p \in Q \).

Let \( w_1, w_2 \in X^* \). If \( w_2 = g(w_1) \) for some \( g \in S(A) \) then there is a sequence of words \( u_1 = w_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n = w_2 \) \( (n > 1) \) such that \( u_{i+1} = A_{q_i}(u_i) \) for some \( q_i \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \). By the above \( u_{i+1} = R_{p_i}(\overleftarrow{u_i}) \), where \( p_i \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \). Hence \( \overleftarrow{w_2} = h(\overleftarrow{w_1}) \) for some \( h \in S(R) \). Similarly, \( \overleftarrow{w_2} = h(\overleftarrow{w_1}) \) for some \( h \in S(R) \) implies that \( w_2 = g(w_1) \) for some \( g \in S(A) \).

Let \( A = (Q,X,\phi,\psi) \) be an automaton. For any nonempty word \( \xi = q_1q_2 \ldots q_n \in Q^* \) we let \( A_\xi = A_{q_n} \ldots A_{q_2}A_{q_1} \). Also, we let \( A_\emptyset = 1 \) (here 1 stands
for the unit element of the group \( \text{Aut}(X^*) \), i.e., the identity mapping on \( X^* \). Obviously, any element of the semigroup \( S(A) \) is represented as \( A_\xi \) for a nonempty word \( \xi \in Q^* \). The map \( X^* \times Q^* \to X^* \) given by \((w, \xi) \mapsto A_\xi(w)\) defines a right action of the monoid \( Q^* \) on the rooted regular tree \( X^* \). That is, \( A_{\xi_1 \xi_2}(w) = A_{\xi_2}(A_{\xi_1}(w)) \) for all \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^* \) and \( w \in X^* \).

To each finite automaton \( A = (Q, X, \phi, \psi) \) we associate a dual automaton \( D \), which is obtained from \( A \) by interchanging the alphabet with the set of internal states and the state transition function with the output function. To be precise, \( D = (X, Q, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}) \), where \( \tilde{\phi}(x, q) = \psi(q, x) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}(x, q) = \phi(q, x) \) for all \( x \in X \) and \( q \in Q \). Unlike the inverse and reverse automata, the dual automaton is always well defined. It is easy to see that \( A \) is the dual automaton of \( D \).

The dual automaton \( D \) defines a right action of the monoid \( X^* \) on \( Q^* \) given by \((\xi, w) \mapsto D_w(\xi)\). This action and the action of \( Q^* \) on \( X^* \) defined by the automaton \( A \) are related in the following way.

**Proposition 2.4** For any \( w, u \in X^* \) and \( \xi, \eta \in Q^* \),

\[
A_\xi(wu) = A_\xi(w)A_{D_w(\xi)}(u),
\]

\[
D_w(\xi \eta) = D_w(\xi)D_{A_\xi(w)}(\eta).
\]

**Proof.** First consider the case when one of the words \( w \) and \( \xi \) is empty. If \( w = \emptyset \) then \( A_\xi(w) = \emptyset \) and \( D_w = 1 \). If \( \xi = \emptyset \) then \( A_\xi = 1 \) and \( D_w(\xi) = \emptyset \).

Further, consider the case when both \( w \) and \( \xi \) are one-letter words. In this case, \( A_\xi(wu) = xA_\xi(u) \), where \( x = \psi(\xi, w) \), \( q = \phi(\xi, w) \), while \( D_w(\xi \eta) = pD_y(\eta) \), where \( p = \tilde{\psi}(w, \xi) \), \( y = \tilde{\phi}(w, \xi) \). It remains to observe that \( \tilde{\phi}(w, \xi) = \psi(\xi, w) = A_\xi(w) \) and \( \phi(\xi, w) = \tilde{\psi}(w, \xi) = D_w(\xi) \).

In the general case, we prove the proposition by induction on the sum of lengths of words \( w \) and \( \xi \). By the above the proposition holds when \( |w| + |\xi| \leq 2 \). Now let \( n > 2 \) and assume the proposition holds if \( |w| + |\xi| < n \). Take arbitrary words \( w, u \in X^* \) and \( \xi, \eta \in Q^* \) such that \( |w| + |\xi| = n \). Since \( n > 2 \), at least one of the words \( w, \xi \) has more than one letter. If \( |w| > 1 \) then \( w = w_1w_2 \) for some words \( w_1, w_2 \in X^* \) that are shorter than \( w \). Repeatedly using the inductive assumption, we obtain

\[
A_\xi(wu) = A_\xi(w_1w_2u) = A_\xi(w_1)A_{D_{w_2}(\xi)}(w_2u) = A_\xi(w_1)A_{D_{w_2}(\xi)}(w_2)A_{D_{w_2}(\xi)}(u) = A_\xi(w_1w_2)A_{D_{w_1w_2}(\xi)}(u).
\]
If $|\xi| > 1$ then $\xi = \xi_1 \xi_2$ for some words $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*$ that are shorter than $\xi$. By the inductive assumption,

$$A_\xi(wu) = A_{\xi_1 \xi_2}(wu) = A_{\xi_1}(A_{\xi_2}(wu)) = A_{\xi_1}(A_{\xi_2}(w)A_{D_w(\xi_1)}(u)) =$$

$$A_{\xi_1}(A_{\xi_2}(w))A_\psi(A_{D_w(\xi_1)}(u)) = A_{\xi_1 \xi_2}(w)A_{D_w(\xi_1 \xi_2)}(u),$$

where $\zeta = D_{A_{\xi_1}}(w)(\xi_2)$. Since $|w| + |\xi_1| < n$, we have $D_w(\xi_1)\zeta = D_w(\xi_1 \xi_2)$.

The equality $D_w(\xi \eta) = D_w(\xi)D_{A_{\xi}(w)}(\eta)$ is verified in the same way. ■

**Corollary 2.5** Suppose $A_\xi = 1$ for some $\xi \in Q^*$. Then $A_g(\xi) = 1$ for every $g \in S(D)$.

**Proof.** $g \in S(D)$ means that $g = D_w$ for some word $w \in X^*$. By Proposition 2.2 $A_\xi(w) = A_\xi(w)A_{g(\xi)}(u)$ for any $u \in X^*$. Since $A_\xi = 1$, we have $wu = wA_{g(\xi)}(u)$, which implies that $A_{g(\xi)}(u) = u$. ■

Let $A = (Q_1, X, \phi_1, \psi_1)$ and $B = (Q_2, X, \phi_2, \psi_2)$ be two automata over the same alphabet $X$. Assume $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$. The *disjoint union* of automata $A$ and $B$ is the automaton $U = (Q_1 \cup Q_2, X, \phi, \psi)$, where functions $\phi$, $\psi$ are such that $\phi = \phi_1$ and $\psi = \psi_1$ on $Q_1 \times X$ while $\phi = \phi_2$ and $\psi = \psi_2$ on $Q_2 \times X$. Obviously, $U_q = A_q$ for $q \in Q_1$ and $U_q = B_q$ for $q \in Q_2$. The Moore diagram of $U$ is the disjoint union of the Moore diagrams of $A$ and $B$. For example, Figure 2 may be regarded either as the Moore diagrams of two 3-state automata or as the Moore diagram of a single 6-state automaton, their disjoint union. If $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$, then the disjoint union of automata $A$ and $B$ is not defined (this is the case for two automata whose Moore diagrams are depicted in Figure 1). However we can rename some states of the automata so that they share no states anymore and then consider the disjoint union of the modified automata.

## 3 The Aleshin automaton

In this section we turn to the main object of study of this paper, which is the first of the two automata of Aleshin whose Moore diagrams are depicted in Figure 1. This is an automaton $A$ over the alphabet $X = \{0, 1\}$ with the set of internal states $Q = \{a, b, c\}$. The state transition function $\phi$ and the output function $\psi$ of $A$ are defined as follows: $\phi(a, 0) = \phi(b, 1) = c,$
Figure 2: The Aleshin automaton and its inverse.

\[ \phi(a, 1) = \phi(b, 0) = b, \ \phi(c, 0) = \phi(c, 1) = a; \ \psi(a, 0) = \psi(b, 0) = \psi(c, 1) = 1, \ \psi(a, 1) = \psi(b, 1) = \psi(c, 0) = 0. \]

Using Proposition 2.2, it is easy to verify that \( A \) is an invertible automaton. In particular, \( A_a, A_b, \) and \( A_c \) are automorphisms of the rooted binary tree \( X^* \). Let \( I \) denote the inverse automaton of \( A \). By \( I' \) denote the automaton obtained from \( I \) by renaming its states \( a, b, c \) to \( a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1} \), respectively. Here, \( a^{-1}, b^{-1}, \) and \( c^{-1} \) are regarded as elements of the free group on generators \( a, b, c \). Further, let \( B \) denote the disjoint union of automata \( A \) and \( I' \). \( B \) is an automaton over the alphabet \( X = \{0, 1\} \) with the set of internal states \( Q_{\pm} = \{a, b, c, a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}\} \). We have \( B_a = A_a, B_b = A_b, B_c = A_c, B_{a^{-1}} = A_a^{-1}, B_{b^{-1}} = A_b^{-1}, B_{c^{-1}} = A_c^{-1} \). In particular, \( S(B) = G(A) \). Figure 2 depicts the Moore diagram of the automaton \( B \).

We are going to consider two automata over the alphabet \( Q_{\pm} \). The first

Figure 3: The dual automaton \( D \).
Let \( \{ \phi_i \} \) denote the identity map on the set \( Q \). Using Proposition 2.2, one can verify that \( \pi \) automorphisms of the monoid \( Q \). The other one is an auxiliary automaton \( E \). The automaton \( E \) has 3 internal states \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \). Its transition function \( \phi_E \) is defined as follows. If \( q \in \{ a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} \} \) then \( \phi_E(\alpha, q) = \beta \) and \( \phi_E(\beta, q) = \alpha \). If \( q \in \{ c, c^{-1} \} \) then \( \phi_E(\alpha, q) = \alpha \) and \( \phi_E(\beta, q) = \beta \). Also, \( \phi_E(\gamma, q) = \gamma \) for all \( q \in Q \). The output function \( \psi_E \) of \( E \) is defined so that \( \psi_E(\alpha, q) = \sigma_\alpha(q) \), \( \psi_E(\beta, q) = \sigma_\beta(q) \), and \( \psi_E(\gamma, q) = \sigma_\gamma(q) \) for all \( q \in Q \). where \( \sigma_\alpha = (a^{-1}b^{-1}) \), \( \sigma_\beta = (ab) \), and \( \sigma_\gamma = (bc)(b^{-1}c^{-1}) \) are permutations on the set \( Q \). The Moore diagram of the automaton \( E \) is shown in Figure 4.

Using Proposition 2.2, one can verify that \( D \) and \( E \) are invertible automata.

To each permutation \( \tau \) on the set \( Q = \{ a, b, c \} \) we assign a mapping \( \pi_\tau : Q_\pm^* \to Q_\pm^* \) as follows. First we define a permutation \( \tilde{\tau} \) on the set \( Q \) by \( \tilde{\tau}(q) = \tau(q) \), \( \tilde{\tau}(q^{-1}) = (\tau(q))^{-1} \) for all \( q \in Q \). Then for any nonempty word \( \xi = q_1 q_2 \ldots q_n \) over the alphabet \( Q \) we let \( \pi_\tau(\xi) = \tilde{\tau}(q_1) \tilde{\tau}(q_2) \ldots \tilde{\tau}(q_n) \). Besides, we let \( \pi_\tau(\emptyset) = \emptyset \). Clearly, the mapping \( \pi_\tau \) is an automorphism of the monoid \( Q_\pm^* \).

Lemma 3.1 \( \pi_{\tau_1 \tau_2} = \pi_\tau_1 \pi_\tau_2 \) and \( \pi_{\tau^{-1}} = \pi_\tau^{-1} \) for any permutations \( \tau, \tau_1, \tau_2 \) on the set \( \{ a, b, c \} \).

Proof. Let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) be permutations on \( \{ a, b, c \} \). Since \( \pi_{\tau_1}, \pi_{\tau_2}, \) and \( \pi_{\tau_1 \tau_2} \) are automorphisms of the monoid \( Q_\pm^* \), it follows that \( \pi_{\tau_1 \tau_2} = \pi_{\tau_1} \pi_{\tau_2} \) whenever \( \pi_{\tau_1 \tau_2}(q) = \pi_{\tau_1} \pi_{\tau_2}(q) \) for all \( q \in Q_\pm \). Given \( q \in \{ a, b, c \} \), we have \( \pi_{\tau_1} \pi_{\tau_2}(q) = \pi_{\tau_1} \pi_{\tau_2}(q) = \pi_{\tau_1}(\pi_{\tau_2}(q)) = \pi_{\tau_1}(\pi_{\tau_2}(q)) = \pi_{\tau_1}(\pi_{\tau_2}(q)^{-1}) = \pi_{\tau_1}(\pi_{\tau_2}(1))^{-1} = \pi_{\tau_1}^{-1}(\pi_{\tau_2}(q)^{-1}) \).

Let \( \tau \) be a permutation on \( \{ a, b, c \} \). By the above \( \pi_{\tau \tau^{-1}} = \pi_{\tau^{-1}} \pi_{\tau} = \pi_{\text{id}} \), where \( \text{id} \) denotes the identity map on \( \{ a, b, c \} \). Obviously, \( \pi_{\text{id}} \) is the identity map on \( Q_\pm^* \). Hence \( \pi_{\tau^{-1}} = \pi_{\tau}^{-1} \).
Lemma 3.2  \( E_\alpha^2 = E_\beta^2 = E_\gamma^2 = 1 \), \( E_\alpha E_\beta = E_\beta E_\alpha = \pi_{(ab)} \), \( E_\alpha = \pi_{(bc)} \).

The group \( G(E) \) contains \( \pi_\tau \) for any permutation \( \tau \) on \( \{a,b,c\} \).

Proof. It is easy to see that the inverse automaton of \( E \) coincides with \( E \). Proposition \ref{prop:automata-conditions} implies that \( E_\alpha^2 = E_\beta^2 = E_\gamma^2 = 1 \). The equality \( E_\gamma = \pi_{(bc)} \) follows from the definition of the automaton \( E \).

Consider the following permutations on the set \( Q_\pm \): \( \sigma_\alpha = (a^{-1}b^{-1}) \), \( \sigma_\beta = (ab) \), and \( \sigma = (ab)(a^{-1}b^{-1}) \). Given an arbitrary word \( \xi = q_1q_2\ldots q_k \in Q_\pm^* \), the words \( E_\alpha(\xi) = a_1a_2\ldots a_k \), \( E_\beta(\xi) = b_1b_2\ldots b_k \), and \( \pi_{(ab)}(\xi) = c_1c_2\ldots c_k \) are computed as follows. For any \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) we count the number of times when letters \( a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} \) occur in the sequence \( q_1, \ldots, q_{i-1} \). If this number is even then \( a_i = \sigma_\alpha(q_i) \), \( b_i = \sigma_\beta(q_i) \); otherwise \( a_i = \sigma_\beta(q_i) \), \( b_i = \sigma_\alpha(q_i) \). In any case, \( c_i = \sigma(q_i) \). Since the set \( \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\} \) is invariant under permutations \( \sigma_\alpha \) and \( \sigma_\beta \), the equalities \( \sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \sigma_\beta \sigma_\alpha = \sigma \) imply that \( E_\alpha E_\beta = E_\beta E_\alpha = \pi_{(ab)} \). Since \( \pi_{(ab)}, \pi_{(bc)} \in G(E) \) and the group of permutations on \( \{a,b,c\} \) is generated by permutations \( (ab) \) and \( (bc) \), it follows from Lemma \ref{lem:automata-conditions} that \( G(E) \) contains all transformations of the form \( \pi_\tau \).

Lemma 3.3  \( D_0 = \pi_{(ac)} E_\alpha = \pi_{(abc)} E_\beta \), \( D_1 = \pi_{(abc)} E_\alpha = \pi_{(ac)} E_\beta \).

Proof. Let \( \xi = q_1q_2\ldots q_k \) be a word in the alphabet \( Q_\pm \). According to the definitions of automata \( D \) and \( E \), the words \( E_\alpha(\xi) = a_1a_2\ldots a_k \), \( E_\beta(\xi) = b_1b_2\ldots b_k \), \( D_0(\xi) = c_1c_2\ldots c_k \), and \( D_1(\xi) = d_1d_2\ldots d_k \) can be computed as follows. First we define 4 permutations on the set \( Q_\pm \): \( \sigma_\alpha = (a^{-1}b^{-1}) \), \( \sigma_\beta = (ab) \), \( \sigma_0 = (ac)(a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}) \), \( \sigma_1 = (abc)(a^{-1}c^{-1}) \). Now for any \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) we count the number of times when letters \( a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} \) occur in the sequence \( q_1, \ldots, q_{i-1} \). If this number is even then \( a_i = \sigma_\alpha(q_i) \), \( b_i = \sigma_\beta(q_i) \), \( c_i = \sigma_0(q_i) \), \( d_i = \sigma_1(q_i) \). Otherwise \( a_i = \sigma_\beta(q_i) \), \( b_i = \sigma_\alpha(q_i) \), \( c_i = \sigma_1(q_i) \), \( d_i = \sigma_0(q_i) \).

Let us consider 2 more permutations on the set \( Q_\pm \): \( \tau_0 = (ac)(a^{-1}c^{-1}) \) and \( \tau_1 = (abc)(a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}) \). It is easy to verify that \( \sigma_0 = \pi_{(ac)} \sigma_\alpha = \pi_{(bc)} \sigma_\beta \) and \( \sigma_1 = \pi_{(ac)} \sigma_\alpha = \pi_{(bc)} \sigma_\beta \). Therefore for any \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) we have \( c_i = \pi_{(ac)}(a_i) = \pi_{(bc)}(b_i) \) and \( d_i = \pi_{(ac)}(a_i) = \pi_{(bc)}(b_i) \). This means that \( D_0(\xi) = \pi_{(ac)} E_\alpha(\xi) = \pi_{(abc)} E_\beta(\xi) \) and \( D_1(\xi) = \pi_{(abc)} E_\alpha(\xi) = \pi_{(ac)} E_\beta(\xi) \).

Proposition 3.4  \( G(D) = G(E) \).

Proof. Lemmas \ref{lem:automata-conditions} and \ref{lem:automata-conditions} imply that \( D_0, D_1 \in G(E) \); therefore \( G(D) \subset G(E) \).
By Lemma 3.3, $D_0 D_1^{-1} = \pi_{(ac)} E_\alpha (\pi_{(abc)} E_\alpha)^{-1} = \pi_{(ac)} \pi_{(abc)}^{-1}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\pi_{(ac)} \pi_{(abc)}^{-1} = \pi_{(ac)} \pi_{(acb)} = \pi_{(bc)} = E_\gamma$. Similarly,

$$D_0^{-1} D_1 = (\pi_{(ac)} E_\alpha)^{-1} \pi_{(abc)} E_\alpha = \pi_{(ac)}^{-1} \pi_{(abc)} E_\alpha = E_\alpha^{-1} \pi_{(ab)} E_\alpha.$$  

Lemma 3.2 implies that $E_\alpha$ and $\pi_{(ab)}$ commute, hence $D_0^{-1} D_1 = \pi_{(ab)}$. The group of all permutations on the set $\{a, b, c\}$ is generated by permutations $(ab)$ and $(bc)$. Since $\pi_{(ab)}, \pi_{(bc)} \in G(D)$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $G(D)$ contains all transformations of the form $\pi_r$. Then $E_\alpha, E_\beta \in G(D)$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus $G(E) \subset G(D)$.

## 4 Patterns and orbits

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We use the notation of the previous section.

As shown in Section 2, the automaton $B$ defines a right action $X^* \times Q_\pm^* \to X^*$ of the monoid $Q_\pm^*$ on the rooted binary tree $X^*$ given by $(w, \xi) \mapsto B_\xi(w)$. Since $Q_\pm^*$ is the free monoid generated by $a, b, c, a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\chi : Q_\pm^* \to \{-1, 1\}$ such that $\chi(a) = \chi(b) = \chi(a^{-1}) = \chi(b^{-1}) = -1, \chi(c) = \chi(c^{-1}) = 1$.

**Lemma 4.1** Given $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$, the automorphism $B_\xi$ of the rooted binary tree $\{0, 1\}^*$ acts trivially on the first level of the tree (i.e., on one-letter words) if and only if $\chi(\xi) = 1$.

**Proof.** For any $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$ let $\tilde{\chi}(\xi) = 1$ if $B_\xi$ acts trivially on the first level of the binary tree $X^*$ and $\tilde{\chi}(\xi) = -1$ otherwise. If $\tilde{\chi}(\xi) = -1$ then $B_\xi(0) = 1$, $B_\xi(1) = 0$. Since $B_{\xi_1 \xi_2} = B_{\xi_2} B_{\xi_1}$ for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q_\pm^*$, it follows that the map $\tilde{\chi} : Q_\pm^* \to \{-1, 1\}$ is a homomorphism of the monoid $Q_\pm^*$. By definition, $\tilde{\chi}(a) = \tilde{\chi}(b) = -1, \tilde{\chi}(c) = 1$, and $\tilde{\chi}(q^{-1}) = \tilde{\chi}(q)$ for any $q \in \{a, b, c\}$. Since $\tilde{\chi}$ is a homomorphism, it follows that $\tilde{\chi} = \chi$.

Now we introduce an alphabet consisting of two symbols $*$ and $*^{-1}$. A word over the alphabet $\{*, *^{-1}\}$ is called a pattern. Every word $\xi$ over the alphabet $Q_\pm$ is assigned a pattern $v$ that is obtained from $\xi$ by substituting $*$ for each occurrence of letters $a, b, c$ and substituting $*^{-1}$ for each occurrence of letters $a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}$. We say that $v$ is the pattern of $\xi$ or that $\xi$ follows the pattern $v$. 
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A word $\xi = q_1 q_2 \ldots q_n \in Q^*_\pm$ is called freely irreducible if none of its two-letter subwords $q_1 q_2, q_2 q_3, \ldots, q_{n-1} q_n$ coincides with one of the following words: $a a^{-1}, b b^{-1}, c c^{-1}, a^{-1} a, b^{-1} b, c^{-1} c$. Otherwise $\xi$ is called freely reducible.

**Lemma 4.2** For any nonempty pattern $v$ there exist words $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$ such that $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are freely irreducible, follow the pattern $v$, and $\chi(\xi_2) = -\chi(\xi_1)$.

**Proof.** Given a nonempty pattern $v$, let us substitute $a$ for each occurrence of $*$ in $v$ and $b^{-1}$ for each occurrence of $*^{-1}$. We get a word $\xi_1 \in Q^*_\pm$ that follows the pattern $v$. Now let us modify $\xi_1$ by changing its first letter. If this letter is $a$, we change it to $c$. If the first letter of $\xi_1$ is $b^{-1}$, we change it to $c^{-1}$. This yields another word $\xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$ that follows the pattern $v$. By construction, $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are freely irreducible. Since $\chi(a) = \chi(b^{-1}) = -1$ and $\chi(c) = \chi(c^{-1}) = 1$, it follows that $\chi(\xi_2) = -\chi(\xi_1)$.

The following proposition is the main step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 4.3** Suppose $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ is a freely irreducible word. Then the orbit of $\xi$ under the action of the group $G(E)$ on $Q^*_\pm$ consists of all freely irreducible words following the same pattern as $\xi$.

To prove Proposition 4.3 we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4** Two words $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$ are in the same orbit of the $G(E)$ action if and only if the reversed words $\xi_1^R$ and $\xi_2^R$ are in the same orbit of this action.

**Proof.** Using Proposition 2.3, we verify that the reverse automaton $R$ of $E$ is well defined. The Moore diagram $\Gamma_R$ of $R$ is obtained from the Moore diagram $\Gamma_E$ of $E$ by reversing all edges. It is easy to observe that $\Gamma_R$ can also be obtained by renaming vertices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the graph $\Gamma_E$ to $\beta$ and $\alpha$, respectively (see Figure 4). Hence $R_\alpha = E_\beta$, $R_\beta = E_\alpha$, $R_\gamma = E_\gamma$. In particular, $S(R) = S(E)$. By Lemma 3.2, $E_\alpha$, $E_\beta$, and $E_\gamma$ are involutions; therefore $S(E) = G(E)$. Now it follows from Proposition 2.3 that, given $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$, we have $\xi_2 = g(\xi_1)$ for some $g \in G(E)$ if and only if $\xi_2 = h(\xi_1)$ for some $h \in G(E)$.

Let $V^0$ denote the set of patterns without double letters. That is, a pattern $v \in V^0$ does not contain subwords $**$ and $*^{-1} *^{-1}$. We consider 4 subsets of $V^0$. By $V^0_{++}$ denote the set of $v \in V^0$ such that $*$ is the first and
the last letter of \( v \). By \( V^0_\pm \) denote the set of \( v \in V^0 \) such that either \( v = \emptyset \) or the first letter of \( v \) is \( * \) while the last letter is \( *^{-1} \). By \( V^0_{\pm+} \) denote the set of \( v \in V^0 \) such that either \( v = \emptyset \) or the first letter of \( v \) is \( *^{-1} \) while the last letter is \( * \). By \( V^0_{+-} \) denote the set of \( v \in V^0 \) such that \( *^{-1} \) is the first and the last letter of \( v \). Clearly, \( V^0 = V^0_{++} \cup V^0_{+-} \cup V^0_{+} \cup V^0_{--} \).

Now we define \( W^0_{++} \) (resp. \( W^0_{+-}, W^0_{-+}, W^0_{--} \)) as the set of all words over the alphabet \( \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\} \) that follow patterns from the set \( V^0_{++} \) (resp. \( V^0_{+-}, V^0_{-+}, V^0_{--}) \). In particular, \( W^0_{++} \) contains the words \( a, ab^{-1}a, ab^{-1}ab^{-1}a, \ldots, \), \( W^0_{+-} \) contains \( \emptyset, ab^{-1}, ab^{-1}ab^{-1}, \ldots, \), \( W^0_{-+} \) contains \( \emptyset, b^{-1}a, b^{-1}ab^{-1}a, \ldots, \), and \( W^0_{--} \) contains \( b^{-1}, b^{-1}ab^{-1}, b^{-1}ab^{-1}ab^{-1}, \ldots. \)

Consider an endomorphism \( r \) of the free monoid \( Q^*_\pm \) defined by \( r(a) = a, r(a^{-1}) = a^{-1}, r(b) = b, r(b^{-1}) = b^{-1}, r(c) = r(c^{-1}) = \emptyset \). For any \( \xi \in Q^*_\pm \) the word \( r(\xi) \) is obtained by deleting all letters \( c \) and \( c^{-1} \) in \( \xi \). The restriction of \( r \) to the set \( W^0_{++} \cup W^0_{-+} \cup W^0_{+-} \cup W^0_{--} \) is the identity map. Let \( W_{++} = r^{-1}(W^0_{++}), W_{-+} = r^{-1}(W^0_{-+}), W_{+-} = r^{-1}(W^0_{+-}), \) and \( W_{--} = r^{-1}(W^0_{--}) \).

**Lemma 4.5** (i) \( E_\alpha(\xi) = \xi \) for all \( \xi \in W_{++} \cup W_{-+} \) while \( E_\beta(\xi) = \xi \) for all \( \xi \in W_{+-} \cup W_{--} \).

(ii) If \( \xi \in W_{++} \) then \( E_\alpha(\xi a) = \xi b \) and \( E_\alpha(\xi b) = \xi a \). If \( \xi \in W_{+-} \) then \( E_\alpha(\xi a^{-1}) = \xi b^{-1} \) and \( E_\alpha(\xi b^{-1}) = \xi a^{-1} \). If \( \xi \in W_{-+} \) then \( E_\beta(\xi a) = \xi b \) and \( E_\beta(\xi b) = \xi a \). If \( \xi \in W_{--} \) then \( E_\beta(\xi a^{-1}) = \xi b^{-1} \) and \( E_\beta(\xi b^{-1}) = \xi a^{-1} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \xi \in Q^*_\pm \). If \( x \in \{c, c^{-1}\} \) then \( E_\alpha(x\xi) = xe_\alpha(\xi) \) and \( E_\beta(x\xi) = xe_\beta(\xi) \). Besides, \( \xi \) belongs to one of the sets \( W_{++}, W_{+-}, W_{-+}, W_{--} \) if and only if \( x\xi \) belongs to the same set. Further, if \( x \in \{a, b\} \) then \( E_\alpha(x\xi) = xe_\beta(\xi) \). In this case, \( x\xi \in W_{++} \cup W_{-+} \) if and only if \( \xi \in W_{++} \cup W_{--} \). Finally, if \( x \in \{a^{-1}, b^{-1}\} \) then \( E_\beta(x\xi) = xe_\alpha(\xi) \). In this case, \( x\xi \in W_{+-} \cup W_{--} \) if and only if \( \xi \in W_{++} \cup W_{-+} \). The statement (i) of the lemma follows from the above by induction on the length of \( \xi \).

Any word \( \xi \in W_{++} \) contains an odd number of letters \( a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} \). Therefore \( E_\alpha(\eta \xi) = E_\alpha(\xi) E_\beta(\eta) = \xi E_\beta(\eta) \) for all \( \eta \in Q^*_\pm \). In particular, \( E_\alpha(\xi a) = \xi b \) and \( E_\alpha(\xi b) = \xi a \). The rest of the statement (ii) is obtained in a similar way. We omit the details.

Given a freely irreducible word \( \xi \in Q^*_\pm \), let \( Z(\xi) \) denote the set of freely irreducible words in \( Q^*_\pm \) that follow the same pattern as \( \xi \) and match \( \xi \) completely or except for the last letter. Obviously, \( \xi \in Z(\xi) \), and \( \eta \in Z(\xi) \) if and only if \( \xi \in Z(\eta) \). If \( \xi \neq \emptyset \) then \( Z(\xi) \) consists of 2 or 3 words. Namely, there are exactly 3 words in \( Q^*_\pm \) that follow the same pattern as \( \xi \) and match
\( \xi \) completely or except for the last letter. However if the last two letters in the pattern of \( \xi \) are distinct then one of these 3 words is freely reducible.

**Lemma 4.6** Suppose \( v \) is a pattern of length at least 2 such that the last two letters of \( v \) are distinct. Then there exist two freely irreducible words \( \xi_a, \xi_b \in Q^*_\pm \) such that \( \xi_a \) and \( \xi_b \) follow the pattern \( v \), \( Z(\xi_a) = \{\xi_a, \xi_b\} \), and \( \xi_b = g(\xi_a) \), where \( g \in \{E_\alpha, E_\beta\} \).

**Proof.** Let \( v_0 \) denote the pattern obtained by deleting the last letter of \( v \). We replace each letter \( * \) in the word \( v_0 \) by \( a \) if the next letter is \( *^{-1} \) and by \( c \) otherwise. Each letter \( *^{-1} \) is replaced by \( b^{-1} \) if the next letter is \( * \) and by \( c^{-1} \) otherwise. We get a word \( \eta \in Q^*_\pm \) that follows the pattern \( v_0 \). For example, if \( v_0 = \cdots *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} \) then \( \eta = ccac^{-1}c^{-1}b^{-1}ccac^{-1}c^{-1}c^{-1} \). Now let \( \xi_a = \eta a, \xi_b = \eta b \) if \( v = v_0 * \) and let \( \xi_a = \eta a^{-1}, \xi_b = \eta b^{-1} \) if \( v = v_0 *^{-1} \). The words \( \xi_a \) and \( \xi_b \) follow the pattern \( v \). By construction, \( \eta \) is freely irreducible and its last letter is \( c \) or \( c^{-1} \). Since the last letters of patterns \( v \) and \( v_0 \) are different, it follows that \( \xi_a, \xi_b \) are freely irreducible and \( Z(\xi_a) = \{\xi_a, \xi_b\} \).

It is easy to observe that \( \eta \) belongs to one of the sets \( W_{++}, W_{+-}, W_{-+}, W_{--} \). Lemma 4.3 implies that \( g(\xi_a) = \xi_b \) and \( g(\xi_b) = \xi_a \), where \( g \in \{E_\alpha, E_\beta\} \). Namely, \( g = E_\alpha \) if the first letter of \( v \) is \( * \) and \( g = E_\beta \) otherwise.

**Lemma 4.7** Suppose \( v \) is a pattern of length at least 2 such that the first two letters of \( v \) coincide as well as the last two letters of \( v \). Then there exist 9 freely irreducible words \( \xi_{q_1q_2} \in Q^*_\pm, q_1, q_2 \in \{a, b, c\} \) such that each \( \xi_{q_1q_2} \) follow the pattern \( v \) and for any \( q \in \{a, b, c\} \) we have \( Z(\xi_q) = \{\xi_{qa}, \xi_{qb}, \xi_{qc}\} \), \( Z(\xi_{eq}) = \{\xi_{ea}, \xi_{eb}, \xi_{ec}\} \), and \( \xi_{qb} = g_q(\xi_{qa}) \), where \( g_q \in \{E_\alpha, E_\beta\} \).

**Proof.** Let \( v_0 \) denote the pattern obtained by deleting the first and the last letters of \( v \). We replace each letter \( * \) in the word \( v_0 \) by \( a \) if the previous letter is \( *^{-1} \) and by \( c \) otherwise. Each letter \( *^{-1} \) is replaced by \( b^{-1} \) if the previous letter is \( * \) and by \( c^{-1} \) otherwise. We get a word \( \eta \in Q^*_\pm \) that follows the pattern \( v_0 \). For example, if \( v_0 = \cdots *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} *^{-1} \) then \( \eta = cccb^{-1}c^{-1}c^{-1}acbc^{-1}c^{-1}c^{-1} \). Now for any \( q \in \{a, b, c\} \) let \( \xi_q = q\eta \) if the first letter of \( v \) is \( * \) and let \( \xi_q = q^{-1}\eta \) otherwise. Further, for any \( q_1, q_2 \in \{a, b, c\} \) let \( \xi_{q_1q_2} = \xi_{q_1}q_2 \) if the last letter of \( v \) is \( * \) and let \( \xi_{q_1q_2} = \xi_{q_1}q_2^{-1} \) otherwise. Each \( \xi_{q_1q_2} \) follows the pattern \( v \). By construction, \( \eta \) is freely irreducible. Since the first two letters of \( v \) coincide and so do the last two letters, it
follows that each $\xi_{q_1q_2}$ is freely irreducible. Then $Z(\xi_{qc}) = \{\xi_{qa}, \xi_{qb}, \xi_{qc}\}$ and $Z(\xi_{eq}) = \{\xi_{aq}, \xi_{bq}, \xi_{eq}\}$ for any $q \in \{a, b, c\}$.

It is easy to observe that the words $\xi_a$ and $\xi_b$ belong to one of the sets $W_{++} \cup W_{+-}$ and $W_{-+} \cup W_{--}$ while $\eta$ and $\xi_c$ belong to the other. Lemma 4.5 implies that $g(\xi_{aa}) = \xi_{ab}$, $g(\xi_{ab}) = \xi_{aa}$, $g(\xi_{ba}) = \xi_{bb}$, and $g(\xi_{bb}) = \xi_{ba}$, where $g = E_\alpha$ if the first letter of $v$ is $\ast$ and $g = E_\beta$ otherwise. Moreover, $h(\xi_{ca}) = \xi_{cb}$ and $h(\xi_{cb}) = \xi_{ca}$, where $h$ is the element of $\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}$ different from $g$.

**Proof of Proposition 4.3.** First we shall show that the $G(E)$ action on $Q^*_\pm$ preserves patterns and free irreducibility of words. Suppose $q_1, q_2 \in \{a, b, c\}$ or $q_1, q_2 \in \{a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c^{-1}\}$ (see Figure 4). It follows that transformations $E_\alpha, E_\beta,$ and $E_\gamma$ preserve patterns of words. So does any $g \in G(E)$. Further, it is easy to verify that sets $P_1 = \{aa^{-1}, bb^{-1}, cc^{-1}\}$ and $P_2 = \{a^{-1}a, b^{-1}b, c^{-1}c\}$ are invariant under transformations $E_\alpha, E_\beta,$ and $E_\gamma$. Therefore $P_1$ and $P_2$ are invariant under the $G(E)$ action on $Q^*_\pm$. Any freely reducible word $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ is represented as $\xi_0 \xi_\eta \xi_\tau$, where $\xi_0 \in P_1 \cup P_2$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$. Proposition 2.3 implies that for any $g \in S(E) = G(E)$ we have $g(\xi) = g(\xi_0)g_0(\xi_\eta)g_1(\xi_\tau)$, where $g_0, g_1 \in G(E)$.

By the above $g(\xi)$ is freely reducible. Thus the $G(E)$ action preserves free reducibility of words. Since $G(E)$ is a group, its action on $Q^*_\pm$ preserves free irreducibility as well.

Now we are going to prove that for any freely irreducible words $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Q^*_\pm$ following the same pattern $v$ there exists $g \in G(E)$ such that $\xi_2 = g(\xi_1)$. The claim is proved by induction on the length of the pattern $v$. The empty pattern is followed only by the empty word. As for one-letter patterns, it is enough to notice that $\pi_{(abc)}(a) = b$, $\pi_{(abc)}(b) = c$, $\pi_{(abc)}(c) = a$, $\pi_{(abc)}(a^{-1}) = b^{-1}$, $\pi_{(abc)}(b^{-1}) = c^{-1}$, $\pi_{(abc)}(c^{-1}) = a^{-1}$, and $\pi_{(abc)} \in G(E)$ by Lemma 3.2. Now let $n \geq 2$ and assume that the claim holds for all patterns of length less than $n$. Take any pattern $v$ of length $n$. First consider the case when the last two letters of $v$ are distinct. By Lemma 4.6 there exists a freely irreducible word $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ such that $\xi$ follows the pattern $v$ and the set $Z(\xi)$ is contained in an orbit of the $G(E)$ action. Secondly, consider the case when the first two letters of $v$ are distinct. Here, the last two letters of the reversed pattern $\overleftarrow{v}$ are distinct. Then there is a freely irreducible word $\xi_-$ such that $\xi_-$ follows the pattern $\overleftarrow{v}$ and $Z(\xi_-)$ is contained in an orbit of the $G(E)$ action. Clearly, $\xi_- = \overleftarrow{\xi_+}$, where $\xi_+$ is a freely irreducible word following the pattern $v$.
Now consider the case when the last two letters of \( v \) coincide as well as the first two letters. Let \( \xi_{q_1q_2}, q_1, q_2 \in \{a, b, c\} \) be the words provided by Lemma 4.7. By \( \xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c \) denote the words obtained by deleting the last letter of \( \xi_{aa}, \xi_{ba}, \xi_{ca} \), respectively. Obviously, \( \xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_c \) are freely irreducible and follow the same pattern of length \( n - 1 \). By the inductive assumption there exist \( h_a, h_b \in G(E) \) such that \( h_a(\xi_c) = \xi_a, h_b(\xi_c) = \xi_b \). Since the \( G(E) \) action preserves patterns, it follows that \( h_a(\xi_{ac}) \in Z(\xi_{ac}), h_b(\xi_{cc}) \in Z(\xi_{bc}) \). Recall that there are involutions \( g_a, g_b, g_c \in G(E) \) such that \( g_q(\xi_{qa}) = \xi_{qb}, q \in \{a, b, c\} \). If \( h_a(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{aa} \) or \( h_a(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{ab} \), then the word \( h_a^{-1} g_a h_a(\xi_{cc}) \) matches \( \xi_{ac} \) except for the last letter. Hence \( h_a^{-1} g_a h_a(\xi_{cc}) \in \{\xi_{ca}, \xi_{cb}\} \). Since \( g_c(\xi_{ca}) = \xi_{cb} \), it follows that \( Z(\xi_{cc}) = \{\xi_{ca}, \xi_{cb}, \xi_{cc}\} \) is contained in an orbit of the \( G(E) \) action. If \( h_b(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{ba} \) or \( h_b(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{bb} \), we reach the same conclusion. On the other hand, if \( h_a(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{ac} \) and \( h_b(\xi_{cc}) = \xi_{bc} \) then the set \( \{\xi_{ac}, \xi_{bc}, \xi_{cc}\} \) is contained in an orbit. By Lemma 4.4, \( Z(\xi_{cc}) = \{\xi_{ac}, \xi_{bc}, \xi_{cc}\} \) is also contained in an orbit of the \( G(E) \) action.

In any of the considered cases, there exists a freely irreducible word \( \xi \) such that \( \xi \) follows the pattern \( v \) and one of the sets \( Z(\xi) \), \( Z(\xi) \) is contained in an orbit of the \( G(E) \) action. Take any freely irreducible words \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \) following the pattern \( v \). First suppose that \( Z(\xi) \) is contained in an orbit. Let \( \eta, \eta_1, \eta_2 \) be words obtained by deleting the last letter of \( \xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \), respectively. Then \( \eta, \eta_1, \eta_2 \) are freely irreducible and follow the same pattern obtained by deleting the last letter of \( v \). By the inductive assumption there are \( g_1, g_2 \in G(E) \) such that \( \eta = g_1(\eta_1) = g_2(\eta_2) \). Since the \( G(E) \) action preserves patterns and free irreducibility, it follows that \( g_1(\xi_1), g_2(\xi_2) \in Z(\xi) \). As \( Z(\xi) \) is contained in an orbit, there exists \( g \in G(E) \) such that \( g(g_1(\xi_1)) = g_2(\xi_2) \). Then \( \xi_2 = g_2^{-1} g g_1(\xi_1) \). Now suppose that the set \( Z(\xi) \) is contained in an orbit. Let \( \zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \) be words obtained by deleting the last letter of \( \xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \), respectively. Clearly, \( \zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \) are freely irreducible and follow the same pattern obtained by deleting the last letter of \( \hat{v} \). By the inductive assumption there exist \( h_1, h_2 \in G(E) \) such that \( \zeta = h_1(\zeta_1) = h_2(\zeta_2) \). Since the \( G(E) \) action preserves patterns and free irreducibility, we conclude that \( h_1(\zeta_1), h_2(\zeta_2) \in Z(\xi) \).

It follows that \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta_2 \) are in the same orbit of the \( G(E) \) action. By Lemma 4.4, \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) are also in the same orbit. The induction step is complete.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The group \( G(A) \) is the free non-Abelian group on generators \( A_a, A_b, A_c \) if and only if \( A_{q_1}^{m_1} A_{q_2}^{m_2} \cdots A_{q_n}^{m_n} \neq 1 \) for any pair.
of sequences \( q_1, \ldots, q_n \) and \( m_1, \ldots, m_n \) such that \( n > 0 \), \( q_i \in \{a, b, c\} \) and \( m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and \( q_i \neq q_{i+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \). Since \( B_a = A_n \), \( B_b = A_b \), \( B_c = A_c \), \( B_{a-1} = A_n^{-1} \), \( B_{b-1} = A_b^{-1} \), \( B_{c-1} = A_c^{-1} \), an equivalent condition is that \( B_\xi \neq 1 \) for any nonempty freely irreducible word \( \xi \in Q^*_\pm \).

Suppose \( B_\xi = 1 \) for some freely irreducible word \( \xi \in Q^*_\pm \). By Corollary 2.5, \( B_{g(\xi)} = 1 \) for all \( g \in S(D) \). Then Proposition 2.1 imply that \( B_{g(\xi)} = 1 \) for all \( g \in G(D) \). By Proposition 3.4, \( G(D) = G(E) \). Now it follows from Proposition 4.3 that \( B_\eta = 1 \) for any freely irreducible word \( \eta \in Q^*_\pm \) following the same pattern as \( \xi \). In particular, \( B_\eta \) acts trivially on the first level of the binary tree \( \{0, 1\}^* \). Finally, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 imply that \( \xi \) follows the empty pattern. Then \( \xi \) itself is the empty word.
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