Ten Years of Clinical Evaluation of the Woven EndoBridge: A Safe and Effective Treatment for Wide-Neck Bifurcation Aneurysms
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Intrasaccular flow disruption is an innovative approach for the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms. As of now, only one device is currently available worldwide: the Woven EndoBridge (WEB) device (MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA). After 10 years of clinical use and careful clinical evaluation of the WEB device by multiple prospective, multicenter studies, this article is summarizing the current knowledge regarding this endovascular technique; indications, modalities, safety and efficacy of the WEB procedure are described.
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For several years, endovascular therapy (EVT) has been the first line treatment for most intracranial aneurysms.1,2 Despite continued iterations of new devices and techniques, wide-necked bifurcation aneurysms (WNBAs) have remained a challenging cohort for existing endovascular treatment strategies.3,4 Intrasaccular flow disruption (IS-FD) is an innovative approach that involves placing a self-expanding, cage-like device within the aneurysm.5-10 Only one IS-FD device—the Woven EndoBridge (WEB; MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA)—is currently commercially available worldwide. It has been extensively evaluated in a series of high quality, prospective trials conducted in Europe (WEB Clinical Assessment of Intrasaccular Aneurysm Therapy [WEBCAST] and WEBCAST-2), in the USA (WEB Intrasaccular Therapy [WEB-IT]), and in France (French Observatory).11-17 Additional WEB trials are currently recruiting or under analysis: CLinical Assessment of WEB® Device in Ruptured aneurYSms (CLARYS), CLinical EValuation of WEB 0.017 Device in Intracranial AneuRysms (CLEVER), and WEB®-IT China (WEB-IT China).

Now that IS-FD with WEB has been used in clinical practice in Europe for 10 years, this review analyzes the technical evolution, place, indications, safety and efficacy of IS-FD with WEB, as well as the practical use of the WEB device including complications and their management.
WEB TECHNOLOGY: DESCRIPTION AND EVOLUTION

The WEB is a self-expanding, retrievable, electrothermally detachable, nitinol-braided device, which is placed within the aneurysm sac. The device disrupts blood flow at the aneurysm neck and induces intra-aneurysmal thrombosis. On the contrary to the irregular surface created by the coils at the level of the neck, WEB is offering a flat proximal surface that is potentially a better support for neoendothelium. Preliminary evaluation in an *in vivo* animal aneurysm model showed promising rates of immediate and long-term aneurysm occlusion.\(^\text{18}\)

Since its initial introduction in European clinical practice in 2010, the device has evolved through several iterations: the WEB dual layer (WEB DL) was initially introduced, followed by single layer in two shapes (barrel: WEB SL, and spherical: WEB SLS), which evolved into a version that enhanced visualization (WEB SL EV and WEB SLS EV) by incorporating composite wire strands made from nitinol and platinum.

In tandem with device developments and the platform’s optimization, the microcatheters used to deliver these devices also evolved. Initially, the WEB DL was delivered using Headway 27 (MicroVention) or DAC 038 (Stryker Neurovascular, Fremont, CA, USA) according to the device size. Later, specific microcatheters were developed for WEB treatment including the VIA 21, VIA 27, and VIA 33 (MicroVention). Recently, the 17 microcatheters (MicroVention) was introduced for WEB sizes between 3–7 mm in straight and pre-shaped versions.

THE ROLE OF THE WEB FOR TREATING INTRACRANIAL ANEURYSMS

**Indications**

The WEB was initially designed to treat WNBAs arising at the proximal bifurcations, including the internal carotid artery terminus (ICAt), basilar artery (BA), anterior communicating artery (Acom), and middle cerebral artery (MCA) (Fig. 1). The WEB device was approved by US Food and Drug Administration (December 31, 2018) for use in adults to embolized saccular intracranial wide neck bifurcation aneurysms located in the anterior (MCA bifurcation, ICA terminus, Acom complex) and posterior (BA apex) circulations, ranging in size from 3 mm to 10 mm in dome diameter, where the neck is 4 mm or greater or the dome-to-neck ration is greater than 1 and less than 2.

The proportions of aneurysms in each of these treatment locations differ notably between Europe and the USA, which is related to differing roles of open surgery in managing intracranial aneurysms.\(^\text{15,16}\) In the three European studies, aneurysm locations were MCA in 50.9%, Acom in 21.3%, BA in 17.8%, and ICAt in 10.1%.\(^\text{16}\) In the US Good Clinical Practice (GCP) study (WEB-IT), aneurysm locations were BA in 39.3%, MCA in 30.0%, Acom in 26.7%, and ICAt in 6.4%.\(^\text{17}\) The progressive improvement of the technology (dual-layer to single-layer devices, enhanced visualization, and reduced microcatheter size) has led to an expansion of potential clinical indications to more distal aneurysms (e.g., pericallosal) and sidewall aneurysms (e.g., carotid siphon) in Europe.\(^\text{19,20}\)

In contrast to intracranial microstents, the WEB is purely an intrasaccular device and does not require dual antiplatelet treatment (DAPT). As such, the WEB can be used to treat un-
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**Fig. 1.** WEB devices (MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA). (A) WEB SL. (B) WEB SLS. WEB, Woven EndoBridge; SL, single layer; SLS, single layer spherical.
ruptured and ruptured aneurysms.\textsuperscript{16,17,21-27} To date, the four European GCP studies and the USA have included a relatively small number of ruptured aneurysms: 6.0% in WEB-IT and 8.3% in the three European GCP studies.\textsuperscript{16,17}

Several series and meta-analyses have recently focused on ruptured aneurysm management with WEB, showing its good efficacy in preventing rebleeding (see below).\textsuperscript{21-27} In a recent meta-analysis, Essibayi et al.\textsuperscript{27} reported a rate of late rebleeding of 1.1%. Yet, there have been very few studies analyzing the role of WEB treatment versus other EVT techniques when managing ruptured aneurysms. In a single-center series of 242 patients with ruptured aneurysms, EVT treated 78% of ruptured aneurysms, with WEB as the first-line EVT (52.9% of the aneurysms undergoing EVT).\textsuperscript{22}

There have also been very few studies analyzing the role of the WEB device when managing unruptured aneurysms. In a single-center series that reported WEB as the first-line treatment for unruptured aneurysms, 75% of aneurysms were treated with WEB and 25% with coils.\textsuperscript{28}

\section*{SELECTION OF ANEURYSMS TO TREAT WITH WEB}

\subsection*{Aneurysm Sizes}
Given currently available device sizes (from 3 to 11 mm in width), and the fact that oversizing devices in width is recommended, aneurysms ranging from 2 to 10 mm in size can potentially be treated with the WEB device.

\subsection*{Aneurysm Shapes}
WEB devices are spherical (WEB SLS) or cylindrical (WEB SL); thus, unilobular aneurysms with spherical, cylindrical, or ovoid shape morphology are ideal candidates for WEB treatment.\textsuperscript{29} However, WNBAs with irregular multilobular morphology can also be treated with WEB by sizing the device to the primary lobe (the device will not occupy the secondary lobules). The treatment can be difficult when a daughter sac arises near the neck because covering it can result in parent artery WEB protrusion.

\subsection*{Aneurysm Height Axis/Parent Artery Angle}
The initial recommendation was to use the WEB device in an angled aneurysm when the parent artery was less than 45°. Since device evolution has partially removed this limitation, aneurysms with a parent artery angle up to 90° are now treatable, singularly with WEB-17. The recent addition of VIA 17 45° and 90°, and the possibility to steam-shape the VIA microcatheter, help to catheterize aneurysms with strong angulation and also deploy the WEB.

\section*{Incorporated Vessels}
WNBAs often have arterial branches arising from the neck. In this situation, it often remains possible to treat these aneurysms with WEB by placing it distal to incorporated branches; however, this approach does risk a neck remnant. It can be useful to place a microcatheter or a remodeling balloon in the branch to protect it and also to place a stent in case of WEB protrusion.

\section*{PERFORMING THE WEB PROCEDURE}
\subsection*{Antiplatelet Treatment}
Ruptured aneurysms do not require pretreatment with antiplatelet medications. However, post-procedure, antiplatelet medications can be initiated, especially in case of WEB protrusion.

For unruptured aneurysms, pretreatment with DAPT is currently used when planning treatments that may involve adjunctive stents. Postoperatively, if no stent was placed and no WEB protrusion shows, the patient is typically treated with single antiplatelet medication (aspirin) for five to six weeks.

\subsection*{Access System}
WEB aneurysm treatment can be performed through femoral or radial access.

Triaxial access (long introducer sheath, intermediate catheter, microcatheter) is recommended. There are a variety of intermediate catheters available that can be used including DAC 038 or 044 (Stryker Neurovascular) or SOFIA Plus (MicroVention). A VIA microcatheter should be used for WEB deployment and should be chosen in conjunction with selected WEB size (VIA 17 for WEB devices with a width between 3 and 7 mm, VIA 27 for WEB devices with a width of 8 or 9 mm, or VIA 33 for WEB devices with a width of 10 or 11 mm). Manufacturers recommend steam-shaping the microcatheter to facilitate VIA navigation, optimize the distal tip position in the aneurysm sac, and facilitate the WEB's safe deployment. It is important to keep in mind that the VAs very distal tip is not radiopaque for approximately 1 mm.
Fig. 2. Selection of the WEB device (MicroVention, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA): ruptured anterior communicating artery aneurysm (informed consent for publication was not obtained so we anonymized the patient). (A) Non-contrast head CT showing bilateral subarachnoid hemorrhage. (B) 2D-DSA, front view, showing an anterior communicating artery aneurysm. (C) 2D-DSA, working view, showing the aneurysm. (D) 3D-DSA: measurements are performed to select the WEB device. Neck: 5.7 mm; width: 7.6 mm; height: 7.3 mm. (E) 3D-DSA, upper view of the dome. This view is very useful to measure the aneurysm width in 2 orthogonal directions: width 1: 9.0 mm; width 2: 7.0 mm. Mean width is 8 mm. (F) According to aneurysm measurements (D, E), a WEB SL 9x4 mm is selected. The device is oversized in width 1 mm and undersized in height 3 mm. (G) DSA, working view, after WEB detachment, showing complete aneurysm occlusion. (H) DSA, front view, after WEB detachment, showing complete aneurysm occlusion. (I) Flat-panel CT showing the WEB position in the aneurysm sac. (J) 1-year DSA showing complete aneurysm occlusion. WEB, Woven EndoBridge; CT, Computed Tomography; DSA, Digital Subtraction Angiography.
Device Selection
Currently, two device shapes are available (see above): WEB SLS is designed for treatment of spherical or ovoid shape aneurysms, while WEB SL is designed to treat more cylindrical bifurcation aneurysms (Fig. 1).

Appropriate device sizing is crucial for successful treatment (Fig. 2). Using 2D and 3D digital subtraction angiography (DSA) images, aneurysm measurement of the sac and neck width in two orthogonal projections must be performed. Device width has to be oversized by 1 to 2 mm compared to aneurysm mean width (1 mm for small aneurysms and 2 mm for larger aneurysms). Oversizing the device allows to have it laterally compressed in order to have it fully applied against the aneurysm wall. It can result in slight lengthening which demands that the device height be reduced by at least 1 mm in order to avoid device protrusion. Importantly, it is not necessary to have the WEB device completely fill the aneurysm dome because a rapid thrombosis occurs at this level. If the device is properly oversized, it will compress laterally and lengthen on its main axis. Device position can be evaluated clearly by performing a flat panel cone beam computed tomography (CT).

The WEB device is fully retrievable once deployed, which means it can be exchanged for another shape or size if the original does not optimally fit the aneurysm sac. If the device is not sufficiently oversized, it will not be compressed laterally, which risks aneurysm recanalization. If the device is oversized too much, the risk of rupture is very low, but the main risk is protrusion in the bifurcation or its branches. For the vast majority of aneurysm morphologies, the manufacturer’s sizing table outlining the oversizing rule of thumb is reliable and simple to use.

WEB Deployment
Deployment should be performed slowly when unsheathing and/or pushing the device. The device should not be pushed against the aneurysm wall to avoid potential perforation. Given that the device is relatively stiff at this stage, deployment of the device’s first few millimeters is critical. Once the device begins to deploy, it blossoms and the risk of perforation decreases. At this stage, it is possible to change the device’s shape and position in the aneurysm sac by pushing and tracking it. When the device is fully deployed, its position has to be monitored by performing 2D DSA or flat panel cone beam CT. If the aneurysm neck is completely sealed by the proximal surface of the device, the device is compressed laterally, and the proximal marker is in the neck or the bifurcation, the device position is satisfactory.

WEB Detachment
WEB devices detach using an electrothermal mechanism, usually on a blank roadmap that permits visualization of a slight movement of the proximal marker band which confirms proper detachment. After the device detaches, control DSA must be performed to check that the WEB position remains unchanged and appropriate.

Additional Devices
The WEB device is a standalone treatment for intracranial aneurysms. However, additional devices can sometimes be fruitfully used in combination with the WEB device.

- Coils: Coils are rarely used in combination with the WEB device. The main indication is when an aneurysm remnant is depicted after WEB detachment, meaning that the WEB was not properly oversized. In this case, additional coils can be placed in the remnant.
- Remodeling balloon: When it is difficult to properly place the WEB in the aneurysm and singularly when it is partially bulging in one of the bifurcation branches, it can be useful to place, before WEB deployment, a balloon in the branch. Its inflation will permit to modify the position of the WEB. Using a remodeling after full WEB deployment is less efficacious.
- Stent: Additional stenting is performed when a branch is arising from the neck and the WEB can potentially protrude in it. In that case microcatheter is placed in the branch before WEB deployment, the WEB is deployed, and the stent is deployed at the end of the procedure if needed. A stent can also be used in case of WEB protrusion to preserve branch permeability.
- Flow diverter: As WEB and flow diverters have relatively similar mechanism of action, there is no clear rationale to associate them.

WEB TREATMENT SAFETY

Complications
The three European studies (WEBCAST, WEBCAST-2, and French Observatory) analyzed the cumulative rate perioperative complications. The rate of hemorrhagic complications was 1.8% (3/167 patients) with two intraoperative aneurysm
ruptures and one delayed (28 hours) intracranial hematoma related to DAPT. In all cases, no clinical worsening was observed. Intraoperative rupture is usually related to microguidewire or microcatheter manipulations in the aneurysm sac or to the initial WEB deployment in the sac: it is rarely a result of device oversizing (see above). Management of aneurysm rupture during WEB procedures includes the usual measures (discontinuation and reversal of heparin and balloon inflation in front of the neck) and quick deployment of the WEB in the aneurysm sac, which rapidly (a few seconds or minutes) stops the bleeding.

The rate of thromboembolic events as reported in the European series is 14.4% (24/167). While most events were not associated with clinical worsening (6.6%, 11/167), some were associated with transient deficit (4.8%, 8/167). Only 3.0% (5/167 patients) experienced permanent deficit. Some thromboembolic events are related to WEB protrusion in the bifurcation or one branch of the bifurcation. When a WEB protrusion occurs, it can be useful to completely retrieve the device and initiate a new deployment (potentially under the cover of a remodeling balloon) in order to obtain a better WEB position. Alternatively, it is possible to place a stent in front of the WEB protrusion to keep the bifurcation and the branches open. When thromboembolic events are not related to WEB protrusion, the usual treatments are implemented: intra-arterial administration of antiplatelet medication and thrombectomy by aspiration or stentretriever.

Morbidity and Mortality
To date, WEB treatment has been associated with an extremely high level of procedural safety, which has been consistently observed in all existing prospective trials. In the cumulative population of the three European GCP studies (168 patients/169 aneurysms), the mortality at 1 month was 0.0% with morbidity 3.0% (5/168 patients). Morbidity was related to initial subarachnoid hemorrhage in 1.2% (2/168), to thromboembolic events in 1.2% (2/168), and to brainstem compression in 0.6% (1/168). Very similar results were reported in the US WEB-IT trial with a 1-month mortality of 0.0% and morbidity of 0.7%.21

Data on mid- and long-term follow-up (1, 2, and 3 years) have been provided by the European series.22,23,24 At 1 year, mortality was 3.3% (5/153 patients) with 1.3% (2/153 patients) related to WEB procedure (brainstem compression and retroperitoneal hematoma), while 2.0% (3/153 patients) were unrelated to WEB procedure (cancer, cirrhosis). There was no morbidity between 1 year and 2 years leading to a 2-year morbidity rate of 1.4% (2/138 patients). Mortality at 2 years was 5.1% (7/138 patients) with two additional deaths unrelated to WEB procedure (pneumonia, cancer). At 3 years, follow-up of participants in WEBCAST and WEBCAST-2 studies showed no delayed complications, a morbidity of 1.3% (1/79 patients) unrelated to WEB procedure, and a mortality of 6.3% (5/79 patients) including 1.3% related to the initial procedure.

These data confirm the exceptional safety of WEB treatment (which compares very favorably with other EVT results) (Table 1) with event rates that are very similar to standard coiling or superior to both stent-assisted coiling and intra-vascular flow diversion.

WEB TREATMENT EFFICACY
Protection Against Rebleeding in Ruptured Aneurysms
With a rate of 0.0% in most series, results have shown the WEB device’s high efficacy in preventing early rebleeding.21-24

| Reference | Aneurysm status | Morbidity* | Mortality* |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------|
| Coiling   |                 |            |            |
| ATENA (2008)1  | UnR          | 1.7%       | 1.4%       |
| HELPS (2008)26 | UnR/R        | -          | 0.8%       |
| CLARITY (2011)2  | R           | 3.7%       | 1.7%       |
| GREAT (2017)27 | UnR/R        | -          | 2.1%†      |
| Stent-assisted coiling |     |            |            |
| Piotin (2010)48 | UnR/R       | 7.4%       | 4.6%       |
| MAPS (2014)49 | UnR/R       | 1.6%       | 2.3%       |
| Phan (2016)50 | UnR/R       | -          | 1.4%       |
| Intra-vascular flow diversion |     |            |            |
| PUFs (2013)51 | UnR         | 4.7%       | 0.9%       |
| Brinjikji (2013)52 | UnR/R    | 5.0%       | 4.0%       |
| Kallmes (2016)53 | UnR/R    | 5.7%       | 3.3%       |
| SAFE (2018)54 | UnR        | 2.0%       | 1.0%       |
| Intra-saccular flow disruption |     |            |            |
| Pierot (2017)16 | UnR/R     | 3.0%       | 0.0%       |
| Fiorella (2017)17 | UnR/R    | 0.7%       | 0.0%       |

UnR, unruptured; R, ruptured. *Procedure-related. †Global.
In the Crinnion et al.25 literature review, the re-rupture rate after WEB treatment of ruptured aneurysms in 247 patients was 1.2% (the follow-up period was not specified). In the Harker et al.24 meta-analysis (309 WEB treatments), the rate of rebleeding was 2.5%. In the CLARYS analysis at 1-month follow-up, the rate of rebleeding was 0.0%.26 In a recent meta-analysis, Essibayi et al.27 reported a 1.1% rate of late rebleeding. In ISAT, the rebleeding rate after the procedure to 1 year was 3.2% after coiling (2.5% excluding patients who did not receive coils) and 1.3% after surgery.34 Therefore, the protection afforded by the WEB device against rebleeding is at least as good as coiling and also close to that of surgical procedures.

**Imaging Follow-up Modalities and Timing**

Follow-up timing is similar to what is performed for patients treated with flow diverters. A first imaging follow-up is performed at 3 to 6 months followed by a second one at 12 to 18 months. Timing of further follow-up is based on the initial 3/6 months and 12/18 months findings.

The gold standard imaging for the follow-up of patients with aneurysms treated with WEB is DSA. Magnetic resonance angiography is also a useful tool knowing that residual flow inside the WEB device can be underestimated.35 CT angiography can also be used.36

**Aneurysm Occlusion at Mid- and Long-Term**

In the cumulative population of the three European studies, anatomical results at 1 year were complete occlusion in 52.9% (81/153 aneurysms), neck remnant in 26.1% (40/153 aneurysms), and aneurysm remnant in 20.9% (32/153 aneurysms).16 The rate of adequate occlusion (complete occlusion or neck remnant) was 79.1%. Similar results were reported in WEB-IT with complete aneurysm occlusion at 1 year in 53.8% and adequate occlusion in 84.6%.37

At 2-year follow-up, anatomical results were complete aneurysm occlusion in 51.2% (62/121 aneurysms), neck remnant in 29.8% (36/121 aneurysms), and aneurysm remnant in 19.0% (23/121 aneurysms) with adequate occlusion in 81.0%.32

At 3-year follow-up, anatomical results were complete aneurysm occlusion in 50.8% (31/61 aneurysms), neck remnant in 32.8% (20/61 aneurysms), and aneurysm remnant in 16.4% (10/61 aneurysms) with adequate occlusion in 83.6%.33

A direct comparison of effectiveness when compared to other endovascular aneurysm therapies (Table 2) is challenging given that the different technologies frequently address different target populations. The current impression is that IS-FD is less effective than intra-vascular flow diversion (IV-FD). However, in contrast to unruptured sidewall aneurysms treatment, the effectiveness of IV-FD for the treatment of bifurcation aneurysms remains a matter of significant debate and skepticism. Compared to traditional coil embolization, IS-FD appears to have a higher efficacy in terms of achieving complete or adequate occlusion of WNBAs, recognizing that most series reporting on coil embolization treat a variety of sidewall and bifurcation aneurysms as well as narrow and wide-necked aneurysms (Table 2).

**Retreatment**

The cumulative rate of aneurysm retreatment after initial WEB treatment has been evaluated in the European series and was 6.9% at 1 year, 9.3% at 2 years, and 11.4% at 3 years.16,32,33 The rate of retreatment at 1 year in WEB-IT was similar (5.6%). Several endovascular retreatment modalities after initial WEB treatment have been reported in the literature, including stent-assisted coiling, stenting alone, flow diversion, and WEB with or without stent.16,37,38 Retreatment with clipping was

**Table 2. Comparison of efficacy (anatomical results at 6–18 months) of different endovascular techniques for intracranial aneurysm treatment**

| Reference       | Aneurysm status | Complete occlusion | Adequate occlusion |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Coiling         |                 |                    |                    |
| HELPS (2008)46  | UnR/R           | 47.6%              | 85.5%              |
| CLARITY (2011)2 | R               | 34.4%              | 80.4%              |
| MAPS (2014)50   | UnR/R           | 27.1%              | 57.6%              |
| GREAT (2017)57  | UnR/R           | 52%                | 75%                |
| Stent-assisted coiling |             |                    |                    |
| MAPS (2014)50   | UnR/R           | 45.7%              | 62.8%              |
| Intra-vascular flow diversion |             |                    |                    |
| PUFFS (2013)511 | UnR             | 86.8%              | 94.3%              |
| Brinjikji (2013)52 | UnR/R          | 76.0%              | -                  |
| Kallmes (2016)53 | UnR/R           | 85.5%              | -                  |
| SAFE (2019)55   | UnR             | 73.3%              | 81.1%              |
| Intra-saccular flow disruption |             |                    |                    |
| Pierot (2017)16 | UnR/R           | 52.9%              | 79.1%              |

For most series, anatomical results included the global aneurysm population. PUFFS only included large and giant internal carotid artery aneurysms. MAPS results are for the wide neck aneurysm subgroup.

UnR, unruptured; R, ruptured. 
* Procedure-related. † Global.
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WEB Shape Modification
In 2015, Cognard and Januel were the first to describe the phenomenon of WEB shape modification that appeared to be associated with aneurysm recanalization. In this small series of 14 patients, the phenomenon was observed in nine patients (64.3%) and the authors suggested that this was related to WEB compression. In fact, this phenomenon is more likely to be multifactorial mixing compression and retraction. A series effectively showing that WEB shape modification was an early and progressive phenomenon suggested that it was more likely related to the aneurysm healing process than to aneurysm compression. In a larger series (39 patients), Herbreteau et al. reported the phenomenon in 31.6% of patients and showed that anatomical results were not inferior in the event of WEB shape modification. Another larger study (63 aneurysms) showed that the frequency of WEB shape modification was higher at long-term follow-up (mean: 15.5 months) than at short-term follow-up (mean: 6.1 months); 47.8% and 39.6%, respectively. This study also showed that the extent of WEB shape modification did not directly correlate with anatomical results and that an appropriate WEB oversizing strategy mitigated WEB shape modification and could lead to improved angiographic results. Another recent series (32 aneurysms) that defined WEB shape modification as any reduction in WEB device height greater than 5% reported the phenomenon in 90.6% of aneurysms. A stronger decrease in WEB height was associated with a longer time interval post intervention. At 1 year, the median height reduction was 19.2%. Finally, the quality of aneurysm occlusion was not associated with WEB shape modification.

THE FUTURE OF INTRASACULAR FLOW DISRUPTION
It is likely that the role of intra-saccular flow disrupters in intracranial aneurysm treatment will likely expand significantly in the future. Investigators have started to report the use of WEB beyond the traditional proximal bifurcation anatomy studied in the prospective trials, with successful applications reported in side-wall as well as more distal bifurcation aneurysms. Some clinicians currently use WEB as a first line EVT for all cerebral aneurysms (ruptured and unruptured), which are technically amenable to IS-FD. Additional studies (including comparative ones) are required to define WEB safety and effectiveness for these expanded indications and, importantly, to define the place of WEB versus coiling when managing ruptured aneurysms. Provided that these ongoing studies continue to confirm the safety and effectiveness of IS-FD to be equivalent or superior to existing endovascular treatment strategies, it seems logical to predict that this technically straightforward approach will replace standard coiling in an increasing number of cases.

CONCLUSION
Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with the WEB device is the most recent innovation in the field. This treatment has been carefully evaluated in several prospective, multicenter studies that have consistently shown excellent safety and good efficacy when compared to current endovascular therapies for similar aneurysms. Given the procedure’s technically straightforward and efficient nature, moving forward there will very likely be a progressive expansion of indications for IS-FD therapies to the extent that they will ultimately replace standard coiling in an increasing number of cases.
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