Supplementing Chitosan Oligosaccharide Positively Affects Hybrid Grouper (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus ♀ × E. lanceolatus ♂) Fed Dietary Fish Meal Replacement With Cottonseed Protein Concentrate: Effects on Growth, Gut Microbiota, Antioxidant Function and Immune Response
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Oligosaccharides have recently received much attention from researchers owing to their multiple biological activities. This study was conducted to investigate the effects of a diet with reduced fish meal and chitosan oligosaccharide (COS) supplementation on a hybrid grouper (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus ♀ × E. lanceolatus ♂). Seven isonitrogenous and isolipidic types of diet were formulated to feed the groupers for 56 days. To prepare the feed, a reference diet (FM group) containing 55% fish meal was used. Concentrated cottonseed protein (CPC) was used to replace 45% of the fish meal protein, and different COS supplementation levels (0, 0.2%, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1%) were added. After an 8-week breeding trial, Vibrio harveyi bacteria were injected into the groupers for a 7-day challenge test. The results showed that the FM and COS0.4 groups showed the best growth performance among the groups (p < 0.05); however, there was no significant difference in the survival rate (p > 0.05). Unlike in the FM group, adhesion and breakage of the intestinal plica occurred in the COS0 group. The height and width of the gut fold reached maximum values in the COS0.4 group (p < 0.05). Microbiome sequencing suggested that there was a stable microbiota core in the gut of the groupers. With increasing COS levels, the abundance of both beneficial bacteria and conditional pathogens increased; the activities of serum glucose oxidase, catalase, and total superoxide dismutase also increased (p < 0.05). In the gut tissue, the activities of glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, and glutamine increased first but then decreased (p < 0.05); the contents of lysozyme, acid phosphatase,
INTRODUCTION

The grouper (Epinephelus fuscoguttatus ♀ × E. lanceolatus ♂) is a new hybrid fish species that has a fast growth rate, enhanced nutritional value, and powerful stress resistance (Zhou et al., 2019). This species is widely cultivated in Southeast Asia and China, and it has maintained bright commercial prospects (Li et al., 2018b; Ye et al., 2020).

In recent years, aquaculture has become a rapidly growing industry that is essential in terms of global food security, because it provides high-quality protein to the public (Zeng et al., 2017). However, fish meal production cannot meet the demands of the aquatic industry (Hardy and Tacon, 2002; Hardy, 2006). In this context, replacing fish meal with plant protein is a feasible approach for sustainable aquaculture (Carter and Hauler, 2000; Daniel, 2018). As a new type of plant protein, cottonseed protein concentrate (CPC) has recently received much attention from researchers (Wan et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2020). Accordingly, the experimental replacement of fish meal with CPC has been conducted for certain aquaculture species, such as hybrid grouper (Chen et al., 2020), juvenile golden pompano (Trachinotus ovatus) (Shen et al., 2020), and Pacific white shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) (Wan et al., 2018). However, researchers have found that replacing fish meal with high levels of CPC can decrease growth performance and cause intestinal inflammation issues in hybrid groupers (Yin et al., 2018).

In the past, antibiotics have been used as feed supplements to treat fish gut inflammation. More recently, prebiotics have become an environmentally friendly alternative, as they do not cause environmental pollution or public health hazards (Song et al., 2014; Carbone and Faggio, 2016). For example, chitosan oligosaccharide (COS), a novel prebiotic, is prepared by enzymatic degradation, chemical derivatization, and chromatographic separation to obtain chitin from marine shells (Wei et al., 2020). Studies have found that COS supplementation can have multiple physiological benefits, such as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects, as well as immune stimulation (Liaqat and Eltem, 2018; Naveed et al., 2019). Other benefits of COS supplementation have been observed for terrestrial animals, such as improvement of fetal survival and reproductive performance in sows (Sus scrofa domestica) (Wan et al., 2016), adjustment of gut microbiota and metabolites in weaned piglets (Kong et al., 2014), and enhancement of growth performance and serum immunity in chickens (Gus gallus) (Li et al., 2007). COS is a preferred feed additive for livestock, because it acts as an immunostimulant (Swiatkiewicz et al., 2015). In aquaculture, studies have found that dietary COS supplementation can strengthen growth performance, innate immunity, and disease resistance in turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) (Cui et al., 2013), koi (Cyprinus carpio koi) (Lin et al., 2012), and juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Luo et al., 2009).

In this context, COS could be provided as an oral immunostimulant to more fish species. However, the role of COS is affected by many factors, such as fish species, body size, and breeding environment (Wei et al., 2020). Therefore, it is worth exploring the effects of prebiotic supplementation after fish meal substitution (Bai et al., 2017; Torrecillas et al., 2018). Currently, COS application is still under development in aquaculture (Zhang, 2019).

To investigate the improvements induced by COS supplementation in hybrid groupers subjected to fish meal replacement with CPC, this study focused on growth, gut microbiota, antioxidant function, and immune response. Additionally, this study evaluated a suitable level of dietary COS supplementation, which will be useful for providing insight into grouper feed development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Diets

Chitosan oligosaccharide with a purity of >90% was obtained from Bozhi Huili Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Qingdao, China). Fish meal, CPC, starch, wheat gluten, casein, and gelatin were used as protein sources. Lipids were provided in the form of fish oil and phospholipids. Seven isonitrogenous and isolipidic feeds were prepared to feed the grouper. Based on a previous study (Yin et al., 2018), feed with 55% fish meal was designated as the reference diet (FM). For the test diets, 45% of the fish meal protein was replaced with CPC under uniform conditions. COS...
supplementation was conducted at different concentrations (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1%).

The raw materials were fully mixed and extruded into a hard pellet with a diameter of approximately 2.5 mm. The semi-finished feed was dried at room temperature (25°C) to a 10% moisture content. The finished product was stored at −20°C for later use. The ingredients and approximate nutrient compositions of the test diets are shown in Table 1. The essential amino acid profiles of the test diets are shown in Table 2.

### Feeding Management and Challenge Test

This experiment was approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of Guangdong Ocean University. Experimental fish were obtained from East Island, Zhanjiang. A feeding experiment was conducted at the Marine Science and Technology Park of Zhanjiang (Guangdong, China). Seven treatment groups were used in this study, and each treatment group was established in triplicate. The volume of each breeding tank was 0.3 m³, where 30 hybrid groupers (initial weight = 13.19 ± 0.02 g) were cultured. The groupers were acclimated to the laboratory environment for 1 week prior to the experiment. The experimental breeding time was 8 weeks. Feeding was performed at 8:00 and 17:00 daily, and the fish were fully satiated. Each day, 90% of the water in each tank was changed. During breeding, the water temperature ranged from 28.4 to 31.6°C, and salinity was 30 ± 2‰. The average dissolved oxygen content exceeded 7 mg L⁻¹, the pH ranged from 7.8 to 8.1, and the ammonia nitrogen content was below 0.03 mg L⁻¹.

After the 8-week breeding experiment, 30 fish were randomly selected from each treatment group (10 fish from each tank) for a challenge test. *Vibrio harveyi* bacteria were obtained from the Key Laboratory of Aquatic Economic Animal Disease Control of Guangdong Provincial Colleges and Universities (Zhanjiang, China). According to the method of Liu et al. (2013), 300 µl of a 5 × 10⁸ live *V. harveyi* bacteria suspension was injected into the base of the pectoral fin of each grouper using a 1-ml syringe. The cumulative mortality of the groupers was obtained for 7 days after injection.

### Table 1 | Ingredients and proximate nutrient composition of test diets for hybrid grouper.

| Ingredients (%)                  | FM | COS0 | COS0.2 | COS0.4 | COS0.6 | COS0.8 | COS1 |
|----------------------------------|----|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Fish meal                        | 55.00 | 30.25 | 30.25  | 30.25  | 30.25  | 30.25  | 30.25|
| Concentrate cottonseed protein   | 0  | 24   | 24     | 24     | 24     | 24     | 24   |
| Starch                           | 18 | 18   | 18     | 18     | 18     | 18     | 18   |
| Wheat gluten                     | 5  | 5    | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5    |
| Casein                           | 7.6 | 7.6  | 7.6    | 7.6    | 7.6    | 7.6    | 7.6  |
| Gelatin                          | 1.9 | 1.9  | 1.9    | 1.9    | 1.9    | 1.9    | 1.9  |
| Fish oil                         | 3.8 | 5.62 | 5.62   | 5.62   | 5.62   | 5.62   | 5.62 |
| Phospholipid                     | 1.5 | 1.5  | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5    | 1.5  |
| Calcium monophosphate            | 1  | 1    | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1      | 1    |
| Vitamin mixture                  | 0.2 | 0.2  | 0.2    | 0.2    | 0.2    | 0.2    | 0.2  |
| Mineral mixture                  | 0.5 | 0.5  | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5  |
| Choline chloride                 | 0.5 | 0.5  | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5  |
| Cellulose microcrystalline       | 4.9 | 3.06 | 2.86   | 2.66   | 2.46   | 2.26   | 2.06 |
| Vitamin C                        | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05   | 0.05 |
| Methionine                       | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52 |
| Chitosan Oligosaccharide         | 0 | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0    |

### Table 2 | Amino acid profiles of the test diets.

| Amino Acid        | COS0 | COS0.2 | COS0.4 | COS0.6 | COS0.8 | COS1 |
|-------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Methionine        | 0.52 | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52   | 0.52 |
| Biotin            | 16.67| 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67|
| Riboflavin        | 17.00| 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00|
| Tocopherol        | 66.00| 66.00  | 66.00  | 66.00  | 66.00  | 66.00|
| Thiamine          | 17.00| 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00  | 17.00|
| Niacin            | 16.67| 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67  | 16.67|
| Ascorbic acid     | 33.33| 33.33  | 33.33  | 33.33  | 33.33  | 33.33|
| Nipotinose        | 102.04| 102.04| 102.04| 102.04| 102.04| 102.04|
| Cellulose         | 592.72| 592.72| 592.72| 592.72| 592.72| 592.72|

### Notes:

1. Cottonseed protein concentrate was prepared by Hunan Xinrui Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Hunan, China).
2. Vitamin mixture (g kg⁻¹ mixture): vitamin B1, 17.00 g; vitamin B2, 16.67 g; vitamin B6, 33.33 g; vitamin B12, 0.07 g; vitamin K, 3.33 g; vitamin E, 66.00 g; retinyl acetate, 6.67 g; VD₃, 33.33 g; nicotinic acid, 67.33 g; D-calcium pantothenate, 40.67 g; biotin, 16.67 g; folic acid, 4.17 g; inositol, 102.04 g; cellulose, 592.72 g. All ingredients were diluted with corn starch to 1 kg.
3. Mineral mixture (mg g⁻¹ mixture): CaCO₃·2H₂O, 200 g; NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 200 g; KH₂PO₄, 200 g; NaCl, 12 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 10 g; FeSO₄·7H₂O, 2 g; MnSO₄·7H₂O, 2 g; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 2 g; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 2 g; H₂SO₄, 2 g; KI, 1 g; zeolite powder, 219.9 g. All ingredients were diluted with corn starch to 1 kg. (Obtained from Yuehai Feed Co. Ltd., China).
4. Adding methionine and lysine was to avoid amino acid imbalance after fish meal replacement.
5. Moisture, Crude protein and crude lipid were measured values.
### TABLE 2 | Essential amino acid (EAA) profile (%) of the test diets used in the experiment.

| Amino acids | FM | COS0 | COS0.2 | COS0.4 | COS0.6 | COS0.8 | COS1 |
|-------------|----|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| Methionine  | 1.24 | 1.12 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| Lysine      | 3.47 | 3.25 | 3.21 | 3.24 | 3.35 | 3.17 | 3.16 |
| Threonine   | 2.06 | 1.83 | 1.84 | 1.84 | 1.82 | 1.76 | 1.77 |
| Isoleucine  | 1.99 | 1.78 | 1.74 | 1.81 | 1.78 | 1.80 | 1.83 |
| Histidine   | 1.55 | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.54 | 1.57 | 1.52 | 1.54 |
| Valine      | 2.35 | 2.24 | 2.18 | 2.28 | 2.27 | 2.21 | 2.21 |
| Leucine     | 3.72 | 3.42 | 3.33 | 3.42 | 3.43 | 3.39 | 3.38 |
| Arginine    | 3.65 | 3.61 | 3.63 | 3.64 | 3.68 | 3.58 | 3.60 |
| Phenylalanine | 2.12 | 2.28 | 2.25 | 2.37 | 2.36 | 2.33 | 2.33 |
| Tyrosine    | 1.63 | 1.59 | 1.55 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.60 | 1.62 |

### Sample Collection
Before sampling, the groupers were fasted for 24 h counted, and weighed, and their body lengths were measured. Ten fish from each tank were randomly selected and sacrificed. First, blood was drawn from the fish and then centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 min. The serum was stored at $-20^\circ$C for later use. The visceral mass was separated, and then the visceral mass, liver, and intestine were weighed separately. Intestinal tissue was washed with physiological saline (0.65%) on a sterile operating table, and then it was stored at $-80^\circ$C for later enzyme activity analysis, microbiome sequencing, and mRNA expression analysis.

Additionally, the intestines of three other fish per tank were separated, washed with sterile saline (0.65%), and preserved in a 4% formaldehyde solution. Intestinal AB–PAS (alcian blue-periodic acid sthiff) sectioning slices were prepared by Seville Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). Histological observations were conducted using a Leica DM6000 optical microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), and the LAS 3.8 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) software (in Windows) was used for taking photographs. Ten visions from each slice were randomly selected for observation.

### Growth Parameters and Biochemical Analysis
The parameters of growth performance were calculated as follows:

- **Weight gain (WG, %)**

$$\text{Weight gain (WG, %)} = \frac{\text{final body weight} - \text{initial body weight}}{\text{initial body weight}} \times 100;$$

- **Specific growth rate (SGR, % day$^{-1}$)**

$$\text{Specific growth rate (SGR, % day}^{-1}) = \frac{\ln(\text{final weight}) - \ln(\text{initial weight})}{\text{days of feeding experiment}} \times 100;$$

- **Feed conversion ratio (FCR)**

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR)} = \frac{\text{feed consumed}}{\text{weight gain}};$$

- **Survival rate (SR, %)**

$$\text{Survival rate (SR, %)} = \frac{\text{final number of fish}}{\text{initial number of fish}} \times 100;$$

- **Hepatosomatic index (HSI, %)**

$$\text{Hepatosomatic index (HSI, %)} = \frac{\text{hepatopancreas weight}}{\text{body weight}} \times 100;$$

- **Vicerosomatic index (VSI, %)**

$$\text{Vicerosomatic index (VSI, %)} = \frac{\text{viscera weight}}{\text{body weight}} \times 100;$$

- **Condition factor (CF, %)**

$$\text{Condition factor (CF, %)} = \frac{\text{fish weight}}{(\text{body length})^3} \times 100.$$

The standard procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists were adopted to detect the approximate composition of feed (Chemists, 2005).

### Determination of Enzyme Activity and Biochemical Indices
According to the methods of Ayiku et al. (2020), glucose oxidase (GLU), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), triglyceride (TG), catalase (CAT), total superoxide dismutase (T-SOD), and malondialdehyde (MDA) in serum were detected using a microplate photometer (Multiskan™ Go, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), using commercial kits obtained from Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China).

The intestinal tissue was washed with pre-chilled phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (0.01 M, pH = 7.4), and then a PBS solution (1:9) was added. The gut tissue was then ground on ice. After homogenization, the homogenate was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was extracted for further testing. The methods for measuring the intestinal immune indices (such as lysozyme, acid phosphatase, complement proteins 3 and 4, and immunoglobulin M) were based on Ye et al. (2020), using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detection kits from Meilian Biotechnology.
Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The activities of glutathione peroxidase (GSHPx), glutathione reductase (GR), and glutamine (Gln) were determined using the methods described by Chen et al. (2009). Nitric oxide (NO) content analysis was carried out using the method of Wink et al. (1993). Each sample was tested in triplicate.

**Intestinal Microbiome Analysis**

According to the methods of Chen et al. (2020), microbiome sequencing technology was used to detect the hybrid grouper intestinal microbiota, with the assistance of Biomarker Technologies Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The microbiome analyses of the samples of each treatment were performed in triplicate. Microbiota DNA was extracted using the Soil DNA Kit (Magen Co. Ltd., Guangzhou, China) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The 16S rRNA V3 + V4 amplified areas of the ribosomal RNA gene were amplified by PCR. PCR was performed as follows: 95°C for 2 min, followed by 27 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 62°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 30 s, and the final step at 68°C for 10 min. The primer sequences were 5’-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA-3’ (338F) and 5’-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3’ (806R). The amplicons were separated on a 2% agarose gel and then purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, United States). The amplicons were quantified using the ABI StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Life Technologies, Switzerland). Amplification was performed in a mixture of 10 μl total volume, which included 5 μl TB Green™ Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara, Kusatsu, Japan), 0.4 μl of each primer, 3.2 μl RNase-free water, and 1 μl cDNA mix. The steps for the real-time PCR program were as follows: one cycle of 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, and then 60°C for 30 s, followed by a cycle of 95°C for 5 s, 60°C for 60 s, and then 95°C for 5 s, and finally a cycle of 50°C for 30 s. The specific primers used in this study are listed in Table 3. Based on previous results, β-actin was used as the reference gene for the groupers (Yin et al., 2020).

All real-time PCRs were conducted using an Applied Biosystems 7,500 real-time PCR system (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States). Melting curve analysis of the amplification products was conducted at the end of each PCR to verify that a single PCR product was generated. Standard curves were obtained using four different dilutions of the cDNA samples in triplicate, and amplification efficiency was calculated using the formula:

\[ E = 10^{(-1/\text{slope})} - 1. \]

The 2^−ΔΔCT method was used to calculate the gene expression results using the method described by Dvinge and Bertone (2009).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, United States). All the data were expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The Shapiro–Wilk and Levene’s tests were performed for data normality and homogeneity of variance, respectively. Two analysis methods were used in this experiment: (1) the FM group and each COS-containing group (namely, COS0, COS0.2, COS0.4, COS0.6, COS0.8, and COS1) were analyzed by t-test; (2) one-way ANOVA was performed to analyze the COS-containing groups, and the Tukey method was used for multiple comparisons between groups. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Ethics Statement**

The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee of Guangdong Ocean University.
**RESULTS**

**Growth Performance and Morphological Indices**

As shown in Table 4, there is no significant difference in IW (initial weight) and SR among all the treatments ($p > 0.05$). FW (final weight), WG, and SGR reached maximum values in the COS0.4 group, and the values are significantly greater than those of the COS0 and COS1 groups ($p < 0.05$). However, there were no significant differences in FW, WG, and SGR between the COS0.4 and FM groups ($p > 0.05$). Among the COS-containing groups, FCR was lowest in the COS0.4 group ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference in FCR between the COS0.4 and FM groups ($p > 0.05$). Regarding morphological indices, HSI was highest in the COS0.4 group out of all the treatments ($p < 0.05$). VSI in the COS0.4 group was higher compared with that in the other COS-containing groups ($p < 0.05$), but it was not significantly different from that in the FM group ($p > 0.05$). CF first increased and then decreased in all the different groups. It was highest in the COS0.6 group out of all the groups ($p < 0.05$). Based on the polynomial curve analysis of SGR for the COS-containing groups, Figure 1 shows that the optimal level of COS supplementation for groupers was 0.45%.

**Intestinal Morphology**

Figure 2 shows the intestinal morphology of the hybrid grouper. In the COS0, COS0.8, and COS1 groups, plica adhesion was observed with increase in the level of COS supplementation. In addition, plica breakage appeared in the COS0 and COS1 groups. More vacuolation of epithelial cells was observed in the COS0.6 group than in the other groups. The plica was most uniform in...
The FM and COS0.4 groups, whereas it had a poor uniformity and decreased density in the COS0 group.

As shown in Figure 3, there are significant differences in the measurements of intestinal morphology. The height and width of the plica first increased and then decreased with increasing COS supplementation, both reaching the maximum in the COS0.4 group and the minimum in the COS0 group ($p < 0.05$). The plica height and width of the FM group were significantly higher than those of the COS0 and COS1 groups ($p < 0.05$). In contrast, the trend of lamina propria thickness was opposite to that of plica height and width, as it was at a minimum in the COS0.4 group ($p < 0.05$). Lamina propria thickness was greatest in the COS0 group out of all the groups ($p < 0.05$).

Intestinal Microbiota Analysis

Richness, Diversity, and Composition of Microbiota

As shown in Figure 4, there are 1,161–1,380 OTUs in each group, and there are 1,445 core OTUs. The proportion of shared
OTUs within each group (COS0, COS0.2, COS0.4, COS0.6, COS0.8, and COS1) was 53.16, 55.45, 53.14, 52.72, 53.03, 52.89, and 51.15%, respectively. As these results suggested that the intestinal microbiota of each group was similar, it was concluded that there was a stable microbiota core in the intestines of all tested groupers.

Figure 5 shows the alpha diversity indices of the intestinal microbiota. The sample saturation curves of each treatment group tended to be stable, indicating that the amount of sequencing had reached saturation. Additionally, the coverage was >99% for each treatment group, which suggested that the microbiome sequencing results reflected the gut microbiota composition of the hybrid groupers.

Among the alpha diversity indices, the ACE and Chao1 indices of the different groups both rose and then fell with increasing COS levels (p < 0.05), suggesting that microbiota richness was highest in the FM, COS0.4, and COS0.6 groups. There were no significant differences between the Shannon index values of the different groups (p > 0.05). However, the Simpson index was highest in the COS0.4 group, where the lowest diversity of microbiota was observed (p < 0.05).

As shown in Figure 6, there are clear similarities of the overall bacterial composition between treatments. The aggregations of the different groups of each treatment were relatively concentrated, suggesting that the microbiome had good reproducibility. The processing distance of each COS-containing group was similar (blue frame), indicating the bacterial composition of COS-containing groups. In addition, the layouts of the FM group (green frame) and the COS0 group (red frame) were clearly separated from the COS-containing groups. This phenomenon reflected the structural difference in bacterial composition between the groups that included COS supplementation and those that did not (FM and COS0).

Community Analysis of Microbiota

Figure 7A displays the composition and relative abundance of the major bacterial phyla in the grouper intestinal microbiome. Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia were the dominant bacteria at the phylum level. The relative abundance of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and cyanobacteria in the COS-containing groups was higher than of the FM group, and it had an upward trend with increasing COS supplementation. The abundance of Chloroflexi and Rokubacteria showed a downward trend, with the high-COS groups (including COS0.6, COS0.8, and COS1) having significantly lower abundance than the low-COS and FM groups (p < 0.05). The abundance of Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Gemmatimonadetes showed a relatively decreasing trend with increasing COS supplementation (p > 0.05).

The relative abundance of the major bacterial families comprising the grouper intestinal microbiome is shown in Figure 7B. The dominant taxa at the family level were relatively similar across the treatment groups. There were no significant differences in the abundance of Ruminococcaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Akkermansiaaceae across the treatments (p > 0.05) but tended to increase first and then decrease. The abundance of Muribaculaceae in the COS0 and COS1 groups was significantly higher than that in the other groups, such as the FM group (p < 0.05). The abundance of Erysipelotrichaceae and Bifidobacteriaceae is highest in the COS0.6 group (p < 0.05), and it tended to increase first and then decrease in all the groups. The abundance of Chthoniobacteraceae showed a decreasing trend with increasing COS supplementation (p > 0.05). The abundance of Chroococcidiopsaceae and Rhodobacteraceae in the COS0.4 and COS0.8 groups is significantly higher than that in the other treatment groups (p < 0.05), and the abundance increased first and then decreased.

Serum and Intestinal Antioxidant Indices

Table 5 shows the serum and intestinal antioxidant indices of the hybrid groupers. In all the groups, the amount of GLU in the serum increased first and then decreased, and that in the COS0.6 group was significantly higher than that in the other groups (p < 0.05). The ALT level of the COS0.6 group was the lowest among all the groups, including such as the FM group (p < 0.05). AST was the lowest in the COS0.2 and COS0.8 groups (p < 0.05). There were no significant differences in TG among the groups (p > 0.05). CAT was significantly higher in the COS0.4 group than in the other groups, including such as the FM group (p < 0.05), whereas it was the lowest in the COS0 group (p < 0.05). The T-SOD level of the COS0.4 and COS0.6 groups was significantly higher than that of the other treatment groups (p < 0.05). MDA level was the highest in the COS0 group (p < 0.05), whereas the other groups showed no significant differences in MDA levels (p > 0.05).

In the intestinal tissue, GSHPx was lowest in the COS0 group when compared with that in all the other groups such as the FM group (p < 0.05); however, GSHPx was significantly higher in the COS0.4 and COS0.6 groups than in the other groups (p < 0.05). GR activity increased first and then decreased; the maximum value appeared in the COS0.6 group, and the lowest value appeared in the COS0 group (p < 0.05). Gln was significantly higher in the COS0.4 group than in all the other groups, such as the FM group (p < 0.05), whereas it was significantly lowest in
the COS0 group \( (p < 0.05) \). There were no significant differences in NO across the COS-containing groups \( (p > 0.05) \), but the COS0.2 group had a significantly lower NO level than the FM group \( (p < 0.05) \).

**Intestinal Non-specific Immunity Indices**

As shown in Table 6, there are significant differences in the intestinal non-specific immunity indices between the hybrid grouper groups. The LYS (lysozyme) of the COS0.6 group was significantly higher than that of all other groups \( (p < 0.05) \), whereas the COS0 group had the least significant value \( (p < 0.05) \). The ACP (acid phosphatase) was highest in the COS0.4 group compared with that in all the other groups \( (p < 0.05) \), and it was least in the COS0 group \( (p < 0.05) \). C3 (complement protein 3) was lower in the FM group than in the COS-containing groups \( (p < 0.05) \), whereas it was highest in the COS0.4 group \( (p < 0.05) \). C4 (complement protein 4) was lowest in the FM group compared with that in all the other treatments, and it was significantly highest in the COS0.4 group compared with that in the other groups \( (p < 0.05) \). IgM (immunoglobulin M) increased first and then decreased, with the COS0.6 group having a significantly higher value than the other groups and the COS0 group having the least IgM \( (p < 0.05) \).

**Intestinal Immunity Gene Expression**

Intestinal immune gene expression is shown in Figure 8. The IL-1β mRNA level reached the highest significant value in the COS0 group \( (p < 0.05) \), whereas there was no significant difference in the other groups, such as the FM group \( (p > 0.05) \). The IL-10 mRNA level was significantly lower in the FM group than in the COS-containing groups \( (p < 0.05) \); however, there was no significant difference between the COS-containing groups \( (p > 0.05) \). There was no significant difference in MyD88 mRNA levels among the treatments \( (p > 0.05) \). The TLR22 mRNA level was upregulated in the COS0 group, and it was significantly higher than that in the other groups \( (p < 0.05) \); however, there was no significant difference in the FM group \( (p > 0.05) \). TGF-β mRNA levels tended to increase first and then decrease, with levels being significantly higher in the COS0.2 and COS0.4 groups than in the FM, COS0, and COS0.8 groups \( (p < 0.05) \). The mRNA expression of TNF-α showed a downward trend among the COS-containing groups. TNF-α mRNA was upregulated in the COS0 group, and it
was significantly higher than that in the other treatment groups, such as the FM group \((p < 0.05)\). The \(Nrf2\) mRNA level was lowest in the COS0 group, whereas the \(Nrf2\) mRNA expression in the COS0.4 group was upregulated and reached the highest value \((p < 0.05)\).

**Challenge Test**

**FIGURE 9** shows the cumulative mortality of the groupers that were challenged with \(V.\) harveyi for 7 days. The grouper disease resistance was significantly different between the treatment groups post \(V.\) harveyi challenge. However, there were no significant differences in disease resistance between the FM and COS-containing groups \((p > 0.05)\). Among the COS-containing groups, the cumulative mortality decreased first and then increased, with the highest mortality occurring in the COS0 group and the lowest occurring in the COS0.4 group \((p < 0.05)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Generally, replacing fish meal with plant protein can adversely affect aquatic animals (Wu et al., 1999). For example, the substitution of fish meal with at least 34% CPC can hinder grouper growth and cause intestinal inflammation (Yin et al., 2018). The results of this study showed that FW, WG, and SGR had small values in the COS0 group, which was fed with an experimental diet, with CPC replacing fish meal. This suggests that plant protein replacement has an adverse effect on grouper growth, which may be caused by amino acid imbalance (Belghit et al., 2014; Skiba-Cassy et al., 2016). This is consistent with the result of this study, as the threonine and isoleucine contents of the COS-containing groups were lower than those of the FM group. Thus, the lack of dietary essential amino acids can adversely affect grouper growth. However, COS can have a growth-promoting effect on aquatic animals, such as hybrid tilapia \((Oreochromis\) niloticus \(♀ \times\) Oreochromis aureus \(♂)\) (Chubin et al., 2014), koi \((Cyprinus\) carpio\) \(koi)\) (Lin et al., 2012), and Penaeus monodon (Jin et al., 2013). In this study, groupers in the COS0.4 group had higher FW, WG, and SGR values than those in the FM group. This illustrates that COS supplementation positively affected hybrid grouper growth, with an optimum effect occurring with COS supplementation of 0.45%. COS may have a growth-promoting effect, because it is fermented in the fish gut (Cha et al., 2008; Ring et al., 2010). The acidic substances produced from COS fermentation can lower the pH value in the gut and enhance digestive enzyme activity. This effect has been observed with mannose oligosaccharides, whose fermentation improved protease and lipase activities in crayfish \((Cherax\) destructor\) Clark) (Sang et al., 2015). Therefore, oligosaccharides fermented in the intestine improve digestive ability and ultimately lead to better growth performance.

The intestine plays an important role in digestion and nutrient absorption in fish (Baker et al., 2014). Normal gut morphology, particularly the fold shape, is the basis for sufficient nutrient absorption and intestinal homeostasis. Optimizing the intestinal morphology can increase the gut absorption area, which is conducive to adequate nutrient absorption (Refstie et al., 2006; Geda et al., 2012). In this study, some undesirable changes occurred to the grouper gut morphology in the CPC-containing
groups, such as fold breakage and morphological disorders. This may be explained by an imbalance in dietary amino acids caused by the replacement of fish meal with plant protein (Wanga et al., 2018). In carnivorous marine fish, larvae are sensitive to amino acid composition during the growth stage (Aragão et al., 2004). Dietary amino acids are mainly used to meet growth requirements and regulate fish body tissue construction. Thus, malnutrition caused by amino acid imbalance may lead to dysplasia in fish intestinal morphology (Yamamoto et al., 2012; Adriana et al., 2020). In this experiment, the fold height and width, and the lamina propria thickness were improved by the COS-containing treatments, especially in the COS0.2 and COS0.4 groups. These results were similar to those of an experiment conducted on turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) supplemented with mannan oligosaccharides (Bai et al., 2017). COS protects the gut by optimizing intestinal morphology and

FIGURE 7 | (A) Relative abundance of major bacterial phylum in grouper intestinal microbiota. (B) Relative abundance of major bacterial family in grouper intestinal microbiota. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscript letters mean significantly different among COS-containing groups (P < 0.05). The superscript * indicates that this COS-containing group is significantly different from FM group (P < 0.05).
TABLE 5 | Serum and intestinal antioxidant indices of hybrid grouper fed with experimental diets for 8 weeks.

| Parameters             | FM     | COS0   | COS0.2  | COS0.4  | COS0.6  | COS0.8  | COS1     |
|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| GLU (mmol/L)           | 3.99 ± 0.37 | 2.43 ± 0.11ab | 4.07 ± 0.11ab | 4.55 ± 0.12ab | 6.67 ± 1.33ab | 4.92 ± 0.54ab | 4.47 ± 0.08ab |
| ALT (U/L)              | 89.64 ± 2.72 | 93.24 ± 5.54bc | 85.03 ± 12.39bc | 88.63 ± 8.44bc | 75.49 ± 3.06bc | 87.78 ± 2.22bc | 79.62 ± 6.65bc |
| AST (U/L)              | 58.86 ± 6.86 | 61.39 ± 7.82c | 46.1 ± 4.55c | 57.11 ± 12.24c | 57.61 ± 6.26c | 47.65 ± 1.13c | 58.14 ± 3.88c |
| TG (mg/dL)             | 0.38 ± 0.06 | 0.47 ± 0.05 | 0.43 ± 0.03 | 0.38 ± 0.01 | 0.45 ± 0.04 | 0.42 ± 0.04 | 0.43 ± 0.02 |
| CAT (U/ml)             | 33.36 ± 6.91 | 28.22 ± 3.97abc | 48.18 ± 5.15abc | 59.81 ± 2.29abc | 37.94 ± 6.09abc | 37.10 ± 2.82abc | 36.92 ± 8.04abc |
| T-SOD (U/ml)           | 83.87 ± 6.57 | 81.11 ± 7.51a | 82.66 ± 5.34a | 87.97 ± 2.98a | 89.63 ± 7.79a | 85.66 ± 4.86ab | 82.99 ± 7.19a |
| MDA (nmol/ml)          | 9.66 ± 1.26 | 13.37 ± 2.77bc | 8.75 ± 1.60bc | 9.23 ± 0.72ab | 11.01 ± 3.32ab | 11.25 ± 2.41ab | 10.87 ± 1.31ab |

Values are means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscript letters mean significantly different among COS-containing groups (P < 0.05). The superscript * indicates that this COS-containing group is significantly different from FM group (P < 0.05). GLU, glucose oxidase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TG, triglyceride; CAT, catalase; T-SOD, total superoxide dismutase; MDA, malondialdehyde; GSHPx, glutathione peroxidase; GR, glutathione reductase; Gln, glutamine; NO, nitric oxide.

TABLE 6 | Intestinal non-specific immunity indices of hybrid grouper fed with experimental diets for 8 weeks.

| Parameters             | FM     | COS0   | COS0.2  | COS0.4  | COS0.6  | COS0.8  | COS1     |
|------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| LYS (U/L)              | 5.21 ± 0.38 | 4.78 ± 0.55a | 6.49 ± 0.60b | 6.99 ± 1.25b | 7.72 ± 0.39bc | 6.67 ± 0.16b | 5.77 ± 0.29ab |
| ACP (U/L)              | 7.73 ± 0.23 | 6.32 ± 0.59c | 8.72 ± 0.75c | 10.46 ± 1.42c | 9.26 ± 0.16c | 7.54 ± 0.27c | 8.74 ± 0.36c |
| C3 (ug/ml)             | 61.62 ± 8.15 | 78.03 ± 6.02d | 83.33 ± 12.98d | 94.17 ± 6.31d | 90.41 ± 15.74d | 83.61 ± 14.59d | 81.86 ± 15.64d |
| C4 (ug/ml)             | 131.07 ± 12.94 | 138.82 ± 11.65d | 168.23 ± 13.79d | 179.28 ± 14.97d | 167.35 ± 17.81d | 160.28 ± 13.05d | 157.49 ± 5.26d |
| IgM (ug/ml)            | 16.29 ± 0.94 | 16.28 ± 1.64a | 20.47 ± 1.05a | 25.37 ± 4.94a | 31.68 ± 1.01a | 27.9 ± 3.86a | 26.11 ± 2.88a |

Values are means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscript letters mean significantly different among COS-containing groups (P < 0.05). The superscript * indicates that this COS-containing group is significantly different from FM group (P < 0.05). LYS, lysozyme; ACP, acid phosphatase; C3, complement protein 3; C4, complement protein 4; IgM, immunoglobulin M.

FIGURE 8 | Intestinal immunity gene expression of hybrid grouper fed with experimental diets for 8 weeks. Values are means ± SEM (n = 3). Values in the same row with different superscript letters mean significantly different among COS-containing groups (P < 0.05). The superscript * indicates that this COS-containing group is significantly different from FM group (P < 0.05).

acting as a prebiotic. Feeding prebiotic supplements to fish can have a positive effect on enhancing intestinal morphology, as has been shown in Sparus aurata (Dimitroglou et al., 2010), juvenile pacu (Piaractus mesopotamicus) (Ricardo et al., 2014), Oncorhynchus mykiss (Dimitroglou et al., 2009), and larval cobia (Rachycentron canadum) (Salze et al., 2008). High-affinity
ligands, prebiotics such as oligosaccharides, can provide binding sites that specifically match bacterial lectins, which could block the binding between pathogens and intestinal mucosal epithelial cells. In addition, prebiotics can promote the proliferation of beneficial bacteria, allowing them to attach more widely to the surface of the intestinal wall, thereby reducing the contact of conditional pathogens with the intestinal wall and protecting the intestines (Ofek et al., 1977; Dimitroglou et al., 2009; Rajendran et al., 2017).

The gut ameliorating effect of COS is closely related to its influence on the intestinal microbiota. The intestinal microbiota plays an indispensable role in maintaining fish intestinal homeostasis. There is lower microbiota diversity in the gut of fish than in that of terrestrial animals. Major bacterial species that are stable after colonization differ depending on fish feeding habits (Coscas et al., 2010; Tarnecki et al., 2017). Furthermore, the gut microbiota community structure is affected by the fish cultivation environment and available dietary nutrients (Li et al., 2018a). Related studies have reported that replacing fish meal with plant protein changes the fish intestinal microbiota community, and a high proportion of fish meal replacement may have adverse effects on the fish microbiota (Gy et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020). However, oligosaccharide supplementation can adjust the balance between probiotics and conditional pathogens, thereby balancing the intestinal microflora (Mussatto and Mancilha, 2007). In this study, the ACE index and Chao1 index increased first and then decreased with an increase in COS supplementation. Therefore, it can be inferred that COS can enhance the abundance of intestinal microbiota in this grouper species. The comparison of overall bacterial composition revealed that the microbiota in the COS-containing groups were analogous or closely related. In addition, there were clear differences in the microbiota community between the treatments without COS supplementation (FM and COS0) and the COS-containing groups. It is worth noting that oligosaccharide supplementation is only beneficial when a moderate amount is provided. Excess oligosaccharides are excessively fermented by intestinal microorganisms, causing over-proliferation and intestinal microenvironment imbalance. This phenomenon causes the digestive capacity of fish intestines to decrease. In contrast, functional oligosaccharides have suboptimal physical and chemical properties, such as high viscosity and strong hygroscopicity (Mussatto and Mancilha, 2007). Therefore, high oligosaccharide supplementation lowers the palatability of feed, which is not conducive to fish growth; however, within a reasonable range of supplementation, oligosaccharides play a positive role in intestinal functioning. This may explain why 0.4–0.6% COS supplementation was optimal in this experiment.

The results indicated that the relative abundance of beneficial bacteria species, such as Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, at the phylum level, and Lactobacteriaceae and Bifidobacteriaceae, at the family level, increased among the COS-containing groups. Related studies have shown that Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes play important roles in the healthy physiological and metabolic activities of the host. For example, they can produce short-chain fatty acids by fermenting indigestible carbohydrates to resist pathogenic bacteria and further promote intestinal health of the host (Lara et al., 2017; Waite et al., 2017). In addition, beneficial bacteria that colonize the gut, such as Lactobacteriaceae and Bifidobacteriaceae, can secrete enzymes that open β-1,4 glycosidic bonds and then use COS to produce succinic acid and lactic acid, further lowering the pH and maintaining gut stability (Liu et al., 2008). Interestingly, the abundance of conditional pathogens, such as Proteobacteria (phylum level) and Erysipelotrichaceae (family level), also increased with increasing COS supplementation. Proteobacteria is the largest phylum containing many conditional pathogens, such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Vibriocholerae, and Helicobacterpylori (Waite et al., 2017), and Erysipelotrichaceae is closely related to inflammation-related enteropathy and metabolic disorders (Kaakoush, 2015). The simultaneous increase in the abundance of probiotics and conditional pathogens in this study may have been related to the indigenous bacterial composition of the water environment (Cornejo-Granados et al., 2018). Despite this simultaneous increase, the physiology of the groupers, such as their growth and gut morphology, was not adversely affected. This suggests that COS supplementation enhances grouper intestinal homeostasis and promotes stability of the microbiota habitat, as even the increase in conditional pathogen abundance did not cause intestinal disorders. Mahious et al. (2006) found that 2% oligofructose supplementation promoted intestinal health in turbot (Psetta maxima), similar to prebiotics. Furthermore, Qingsen et al. (2016) explored dietary fucoidan supplementation as a prebiotic that can promote intestinal health. The findings suggested that dietary prebiotic supplementation reduced host antigen load and inflammatory response by maintaining a balanced composition of intestinal microbiota, which may be achieved by reducing serum lipopolysaccharide-binding protein levels.

Antioxidant function is an important means of maintaining homeostasis in fish (Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2014). Previous studies have found that dietary fish meal replacement with plant proteins hinders the antioxidant functions in marine fish, such as grouper
golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*) (Shen et al., 2020), and totoaba juveniles (*Totoaba macdonaldi*). The liver is the main organ involved in TG metabolism. When abnormal hepatic cells cause TG metabolism disorder, serum TG content increases and further affects energy metabolism (Wu et al., 2011). Lipid peroxidation causes cell damage and produces MDA as the final oxidation product. Thus, the MDA content in the serum can indirectly reflect the degree of cell damage (Box et al., 2020). When the FM group was compared with the COS0 group, it was found that the COS0 group contained higher serum TG and MDA levels. Therefore, the COS0 group had a certain degree of oxidation disorder. Glucose is the main source of energy in fish, and increased GLU activity facilitates energy utilization and physiological efficiency (Li et al., 2020). As one of the key enzymes in the defense system, CAT breaks down hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen, preventing cells from being damaged by hydrogen peroxide (Cai et al., 2017). T-SOD is an important antioxidant enzyme in fish that effectively scavenges free radicals and reduces the production of oxidative stress (Yu et al., 2011). ALT and AST are two important transaminases that play vital roles in the metabolism of amino acids and the interconversion of proteins, lipids, and glucose; serum ALT and AST levels can reflect liver function impairment (Wu et al., 2020). Glutathione is an important antioxidant, and Gln plays a crucial role in glutathione synthesis. GSHPx and GR are two important peroxidases that catalyze glutathione conversion and reduce toxic peroxides to non-toxic hydroxyl compounds, thus protecting the cell membrane from interference and damage by peroxides (Bilzer et al., 2002; Yan and Xiao, 2006; Yin et al., 2018). In this experiment, serum GLU, CAT, and T-SOD activities increased in the COS-containing groups with increasing COS supplementation levels. Conversely, ALT and AST activities decreased. In the gut, the activities of GSHPx, GR, and Gln tended to increase first and then decrease, reaching an optimum in the COS0.4 or the COS0.6 group. These results suggest that COS supplementation can alleviate the antioxidant burden induced by plant protein substitution, thereby refining the antioxidant capacity of this grouper species. Previous studies have suggested that, in addition to its antioxidant properties, COS has a variety of biological activities such as scavenging free radicals and inducing the expression of antioxidant enzymes and genes (Joodi et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2011; Vieira et al., 2020). The amino, primary, and secondary hydroxyl groups in the COS molecule are the structural bases for its antioxidant function (Tao et al., 2007). The molecular structure of COS contains reducing end-groups, whose reducing properties can scavenge or inhibit free radicals, thus maintaining the relative antioxidant stability of the internal environment (Xie et al., 2001). Furthermore, the antioxidant potency of prebiotics is related to their molecular weight and solubility, which may be influenced by differences in the species and habitat. Therefore, the antioxidant effects of prebiotics in aquaculture deserve further research attention (Palframan et al., 2002; Gomez et al., 2010; Mei et al., 2011).

As vertebrates, fish have a relatively well-developed immune system. The non-specific immune defense mechanism is the first barrier and the main protector of fish against pathogens (Alonso and Leong, 2002). The main mechanisms of non-specific immune response include phagocytic activity, respiratory bursts, complement system functioning, and production of lysozyme and cytokines (Little et al., 2005). ACP, a major indicator of fish growth and immune function, plays an important role in cellular regulation and nutrient transport. In addition, ACP is closely related to lysozyme, a cationic protein synthesized in the liver. It is responsible for bacteriolytic, regulatory, and immune responses, as well as antimicrobial activity (Saurabh and Sahoo, 2010; Gudmundsdottir et al., 2014). C3 and C4 are important components of the immune complement system. They can kill pathogens by making small holes in the pathogen cell membrane, thus supplementing the role of antibodies (Cuesta et al., 2016). IgM is an important immune protein that has powerful effects of bactericidal activity, complement activation, immune regulation, and agglutination (Krogh et al., 2015). In this experiment, the activities of LYS and ACP showed an upward trend with increased COS supplementation levels. In addition, the C3, C4, and IgM contents in the gut tissue increased first and then decreased. This result is similar to that obtained for *Sparus aurata* and *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Carbone and Faggio, 2016), suggesting that COS supplementation improves grouper health by enhancing lysozyme activity and the complement system.

Previous studies have shown that replacing fish meal with plant protein can induce intestinal inflammatory factor expression, activate inflammatory pathways, and ultimately cause intestinal inflammation in marine fish. This may be related to the unreasonable composition of amino acids and the presence of anti-nutritional factors in plant proteins (Yin et al., 2018, 2020). *IL-1β* is an important mediator of inflammation in infection response (Holland et al., 2002). *TNF-α* is also one of the main mediators of inflammation (Hideaki et al., 2005). The results of this study showed that the expression of *IL-1β* and *TNF-α* was upregulated in the COS0 group, which suggests that dietary fish meal replacement with CPC triggered an inflammatory response in the grouper gut. As an important anti-inflammatory cytokine, *IL-10* participates in inflammatory response and relieves inflammation. It has immunomodulatory effects on teleost fish (Wei et al., 2013). Uregulated *IL-10* expression helps to alleviate inflammation and inhibits T cells from producing excessive *IL-6*, *IL-1β*, and other inflammatory cytokines, thereby preventing excessive immune responses from harming the body (Xiao et al., 2008). *TLRs* play vital roles in the immune system and are widely present in marine fish. *TLR22* is an important inflammatory regulator because of its recognition of dsRNA, recruitment of TRIF signaling molecules, and activation of the 1-interferon response (Sahoo et al., 2015). *TGF-β* is an important regulatory hub involved in signal transduction (at the stage of transcription phosphorylation) in cellular inflammatory pathways (Liu et al., 2017). *Nrf2* is an important transcription factor that regulates the oxidative stress response of cells; it is also a central regulator that maintains cell redox homeostasis (Hui and Yang, 2018). In this experiment, the expression of *TLR22* and *TGF-β* tended to be upregulated first and then be downregulated, and they had a high expression level in the COS0.2 and COS0.4 groups. This indicates that the intestinal inflammatory response of the groupers was regulated and activated by COS supplementation. Moreover, *IL-10* expression level was higher in
the COS-containing groups than in the FM group, suggesting that COS supplementation alleviates intestinal inflammation in the groupers. This aligns with the observed improvement in intestinal morphology. In addition, Nrf2 expression was upregulated as COS supplementation was increased. This shows that COS supplementation reduced oxidative damage to the grouper intestinal cells, maintaining a steady state of the gut environment. The conclusions of this study are consistent with those of a study carried out on carp (Cyprinus carpio L.), which found that COS supplementation can increase their antioxidant and immune responses (Dautremepuits et al., 2004). The results of this study showed that the resistance of the groupers against V. harveyi was enhanced with increased dietary COS supplementation. This may be because oligosaccharides are positively charged polymer substances. The structure of their positively charged and polymerized molecules is the basis for their antibacterial and bactericidal abilities (Anderson and Siwicki, 1994; Talpur et al., 2014). In summary, oligosaccharide prebiotics are immunogenic and stimulate immune responses of the body (Ghosh and Mehla, 2012; Si et al., 2017), thereby improving non-specific immunity (Maqsood et al., 2010; Huo et al., 2015), anti-inflammatory activity (Azuma et al., 2015), and disease resistance (Zhang et al., 2012) in fish species.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study demonstrated that chitosan oligosaccharide supplementation can improve the growth, intestinal morphology, microbiota composition, antioxidant capacity, and immune response of hybrid groupers under the adverse effects of fishmeal replacement with CPC. Hence, COS can be used as a potential enhancer to fortify grouper fed a low-fishmeal diet.
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