Immunogenicity, Lot Consistency, and Extended Safety of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP Vaccine: A Phase 3 Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study in Healthy Adults
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Background. This double-blind study assessed immunogenicity, lot consistency, and safety of recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus-Zaire Ebola virus envelope glycoprotein vaccine (rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP).

Methods. Healthy adults (N = 1197) were randomized 2:2:2:1 to receive 1 of 3 consistency lots of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP (2 × 10^2 plaque-forming units [pfu]), high-dose 1 × 10^8 pfu, or placebo. Antibody responses pre-/postvaccination (28 days, 6 months; in a subset [n = 566], months 12, 18, and 24) were measured. Post hoc analysis of risk factors associated with arthritis following vaccination was performed.

Results. ZEBOV-GP enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) geometric mean titers (GMTs) increased postvaccination in all rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP groups by 28 days (>58-fold) and persisted through 24 months. The 3 manufacturing lots demonstrated equivalent immunogenicity at 28 days. Neutralizing antibody GMTs increased by 28 days in all rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP groups, peaking at 18 months with no decrease through 24 months. At 28 days, ≥94% of vaccine recipients seroresponded (ZEBOV-GP ELISA, ≥2-fold increase, titer ≥200 EU/mL), with responses persisting at 24 months in ≥91%. Female sex and a history of arthritis were identified as potential risk factors for the development of arthritis postvaccination.

Conclusions. Immune responses to rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP persisted to 24 months. Immunogenicity and safety results support continued rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP development.
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Ebola viruses (EBOVs) are members of the Filoviridae family that cause sporadic outbreaks of hemorrhagic fever with high mortality [1–4]. Until the 2014–2016 outbreak of Zaire EBOV (ZEBOV), most outbreaks were small and located in isolated rural areas. The 2014–2016 outbreak centered in Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Guinea, and was notable for its spread to urban areas and the resulting large number of cases and deaths; >28 600 cases and >11 300 deaths were reported [3].

Several ZEBOV vaccines were under development at the onset of the epidemic, but few had progressed to clinical trials. The size and scope of the epidemic led to an unprecedented international collaborative effort to accelerate the development of candidate ZEBOV vaccines. To date, no ZEBOV vaccine is licensed for use outside of China and Russia.

One vaccine that has progressed through phase 1–3 clinical trials, including an efficacy trial, is the recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus–ZEOBV envelope glycoprotein vaccine (rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP). This vaccine was originally developed by the Public Health Agency of Canada [5] and uses rSVS, Indiana Strain, as a vector to elicit an immune response against the ZEOBV by replacing the gene encoding VSV surface G protein with the gene encoding ZEOBV GP (Kikwit strain) [6–8]. rSVSAG-ZEBOV-GP was shown to be safe, immunogenic, and efficacious in rodents and nonhuman primates prior to testing in clinical trials [5, 8–14]. In the face of the epidemic, rSVSAG-ZEBOV-GP was demonstrated to be generally well tolerated and immunogenic in 8 coordinated phase 1 clinical trials conducted in North America, Europe, and countries...
in Africa not impacted by the 2014–2016 outbreak [15–18]. Arthritis was initially identified as an important reactogenicity event following vaccination with rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP at a World Health Organization (WHO) site in Geneva, where 35 participants were vaccinated with $1 \times 10^7$ plaque-forming units (pfu) and 16 were vaccinated with $5 \times 10^6$ pfu [17, 19]. However, such a high proportion of participants reporting arthritis postvaccination was not observed in other phase 1 studies or at other WHO sites [15, 16, 18, 19]. rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP was also evaluated and generally well tolerated in phase 2 and/or 3 studies in West African countries most impacted by the outbreak [20–22]. The vaccine was demonstrated to be efficacious in one phase 3 open-label, cluster-randomized clinical trial during the epidemic in Guinea [20].

Lot consistency is a regulatory requirement for licensure, and the current study was designed to test the lot-to-lot clinical consistency, immunogenicity, and safety of 3 standard-dose lots of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP and to explore the immunogenicity and safety of a high-dose formulation to inform manufacturing limitations for the vaccine. Safety outcomes from this study at 6 months postvaccination were previously reported [23] and showed that the vaccine (standard- and high-dose formulations) was generally well tolerated. rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP recipients reported higher rates of injection-site pain, erythema, and swelling, as well as higher rates of fever, headache, arthralgia, pain, chills, and fatigue than placebo recipients; the majority of the reported adverse events (AEs) were mild to moderate in severity. No serious AEs (SAEs) attributed to the vaccine were reported. Here, we report the immunogenicity of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP through 24 months postvaccination, and comment on continued SAE monitoring from 6 to 24 months and a post hoc analysis of risk factors associated with arthritis following vaccination with rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

Study V920-012 (NCT02503202) was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial undertaken at 40 sites in the United States and 1 site each in Canada and Spain between 17 August 2015 and 29 September 2017. Safety and reactogenicity data in the base study (6 months) were previously reported [23]; we report SAEs, risk factors for arthritis, and immunogenicity up to 24 months.

Details of the study design, randomization and blinding methods, and participant eligibility criteria have been reported [23]. Briefly, healthy adults (18–65 years of age; planned N = 1125) were randomized in a 2:2:2:2:1 ratio to receive 1 of 3 consistency lots of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP “standard dose” (nominal $2 \times 10^7$ pfu), a “high-dose” rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP (nominal $1 \times 10^8$ pfu), or placebo. Participants were either not of childbearing potential or were advised to avoid pregnancy by effective birth control for 2 months following vaccination (complete inclusion and exclusion criteria were previously reported [23]); see Supplementary Methods for additional study design details.

At the 6-month postvaccination visit (the last visit in the base study), all participants in all treatment groups enrolled at trial centers in the United States that agreed to participate (n = 23/40) were asked to participate in a trial extension to evaluate the durability of the antibody responses to rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP and SAEs through 24 months postvaccination. The study sites enrolling participants remained blinded to treatment-group assignments at the time the extension study was implemented. Upon unblinding, the enrollment was evenly distributed across the 5 treatment groups. A total of 600 participants were targeted for enrollment in the study extension, equaling approximately 50% of the original cohort size, to account for those who may decline participation. Of 1197 participants randomized in the base study, 566 continued in the extension, during which additional blood samples for immunogenicity were collected at 12, 18, and 24 months postvaccination. Any SAEs were also reported during this time period.

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and was approved by the appropriate institutional review boards and regulatory agencies; all participants provided written informed consent prior to initiation of the study as well as at entry into the 24-month extension.

Vaccine Administration and Study Procedures

Participants received a single vaccination with 1.0 mL of 1 of 3 consistency lots (standard dose; $2 \times 10^7$ pfu nominal dose), a high-dose lot ($1 \times 10^8$ pfu nominal dose) of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP (Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ), or placebo (0.9% normal saline) as an intramuscular injection [23].

Randomization was accomplished centrally with an interactive voice response system, stratified by age (18–45 and 46–65 years of age) [23]. Vaccine and placebo were prepared and dispensed by an unblinded pharmacist or qualified site personnel who had no other role in the study. The participants, investigators, and all other study personnel involved in vaccine administration or data collection were blinded.

Immunogenicity Assessments

Serum was collected by venipuncture prior to vaccination (at day 1), and at 28 days and 6 months postvaccination. A subset of participants (US sites only) had additional serum specimens collected at 12, 18, and 24 months.

Antibody response to rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP was measured by a ZEBOV-GP immunoglobulin G (IgG) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ZEBOV-GP ELISA) and an rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) as described previously [16]. Details are given in the Supplementary Methods.
Methods. Both assays were performed by Q2 Solutions Vaccines (formerly Focus Diagnostics; San Juan Capistrano, CA) using validated assays. See Supplementary Methods for details of the ZEBOV-GP ELISA and PRNT.

Safety Assessments
As reported previously [23], arthralgia and arthritis were prompted on the vaccine report card to be recorded from days 1 through 42 postvaccination by the participant. From end of day 42 through month 6, only recurrence of events of previously reported arthralgia and arthritis were collected. Participants who experienced arthralgia or arthritis at any point through 42 days postvaccination were instructed to immediately contact the investigative site. Based on the symptoms, participants were recalled for unscheduled examinations, which may have included rheumatology consultation or specimen collection for detection of vaccine virus using real-time polymerase chain reaction.

A uni- and multivariable post hoc analysis of risk factors associated with arthritis (arthralgia, monoarthritis, polyarthritis, osteoarthritis, joint swelling, or joint effusion) following vaccination with rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP evaluated the following factors: treatment dose, body mass index, age (18–45 vs 46–65 years), sex, medical history of arthritis (same as outcome variables), and race.

Statistical Analysis
The immunogenicity analysis was performed on the per-protocol immunogenicity population, which comprised all participants who satisfied the inclusion/exclusion criteria, were seronegative at baseline, and did not have an important protocol deviation that could have substantially affected the immunogenicity analysis.

The primary study objective was to determine whether vaccination with rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP from 3 consistency lots resulted in equivalent immunogenicity as measured by ZEBOV-GP ELISA at 28 days. The criterion for lot consistency required that the 2-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) on the pairwise lot-to-lot comparison of the ZEBOV-GP ELISA geometric mean titer (GMT) ratio be >0.5-fold but ≤2.0-fold. Demonstrating lot consistency using alternate criteria (2-sided 95% CI on pairwise lot-to-lot comparison of ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMT ratio >0.67-fold but ≤1.5-fold) was a secondary objective. Three pairwise comparisons of lots (each consisting of 2, 1-sided tests of equivalence at the α = 0.025 level) were performed. This procedure controls the overall type I error at the 2-sided, 5% level. Summary statistics for the 3 consistency lots and hypothesis testing of the pairwise comparisons were based on an analysis of variance model, including consistency lot and age group as covariates.

Secondary immunogenicity objectives (at 28 days) included estimating the GMTs of anti–ZEBOV-GP antibody measured by ZEBOV-GP ELISA in 3 consistency lots and the high-dose group, and GMTs of neutralizing antibodies (PRNT) in 3 consistency lots and the high-dose group. No formal hypotheses were tested for these objectives. Anti–ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMTs and neutralizing antibody GMTs through 24 months postvaccination in a subset of vaccine recipients were estimated as a prespecified additional objective.

For the uni- and multivariable post hoc analysis of risk factors associated with arthritis, 3 methods were used to determine the association between the covariates and arthritis: cross-tabulations of counts and percentages, multivariate logistic regression, and multivariate logistic regression with random effects. For multivariate logistic regression analyses, estimate ratios and associated 95% CIs were provided.

A total of 1125 participants were planned to enroll in the study, with 250 participants in each of the consistency-lot groups, providing approximately 99% power to demonstrate equivalent immunogenicity across the 3 consistency lots using the primary criteria (0.5-fold to 2.0-fold equivalence margins).

RESULTS
Participants
A total of 1261 participants were screened, 1197 were randomized, and 1194 were vaccinated. Of the vaccinated participants, 1138 (95.1%) completed the 6-month follow-up (Figure 1). The most common reason for nonrandomization was screen failure (63 [98.4%] nonrandomized participants). A total of 1039 (86.8%) vaccinated participants were included in the per-protocol analysis. Reasons for exclusion from the analyses included vaccine temperature excursion, lack of baseline clinical laboratory results, and participants being positive for ZEBOV by ZEBOV-GP ELISA at baseline. A total of 112 participants discontinued the study. Reasons for discontinuation included lost to follow-up (n = 64), withdrawal by participant (n = 38), physician decision (n = 6), death (n = 3), and protocol violation (n = 1). A cohort of 566 participants were enrolled at US sites for antibody measurement at 12, 18, and 24 months postvaccination.

The vaccination groups were similar at baseline for age, gender, and racial distribution, and most (94.7%) were enrolled at sites in the United States (Table 1). Participants in the extension were similar to those in the base study (Supplementary Table 1).

Immunogenicity
ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMTs increased after vaccination for each vaccine group by 28 days and persisted through 24 months postvaccination (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table 2). The immunogenicity of the 3 manufacturing lots was demonstrated to be equivalent at 28 days postvaccination (Table 2). A secondary analysis using more stringent definitions for equivalence (0.67 for the lower bound and 1.5 for the upper bound) also demonstrated equivalence for the 3 manufacturing lots (data not shown).
At 28 days postvaccination, ZEBOV-GP ELISA geometric mean fold rises (GMFRs) of ≥58-fold from baseline were observed across all standard-dose (combined, 64.2; 95% CI, 59.3–69.4 at 28 days) and high-dose (63.1; 95% CI, 54.8–72.6) rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP groups (Figure 3A and Supplementary Table 3). The GMFR responses were durable over 24 months, with GMFRs of at least 43-fold from baseline observed at all timepoints and in all vaccine groups. At 24 months postvaccination, GMFRs were 47.6 (95% CI, 42.2–53.7) and 59.3–69.4 at 28 days) and high-dose (63.1; 95% CI, 54.8–72.6) respectively, meeting seroresponse criteria at any time during the study. Seroresponse results, when defined as a ≥4-fold increase in antibody over baseline, were similar (Supplementary Table 4).

Neutralizing antibody titers by PRNT increased by 28 days postvaccination in all rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP groups. Titers continued to increase after 28 days, with a peak at 18 months and no decrease at 24 months (Figure 2C and Supplementary Table 5). In recipients of standard-dose vaccine lots (combined), the GMFR from baseline was approximately 11 by 28 days, peaked at approximately 16-fold at 18 months postvaccination, and remained elevated by >15-fold from baseline at 24 months postvaccination (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 6). Results were generally consistent across the individual standard-dose and high-dose lots, respectively.

ZEBOV-GP ELISA seroresponse, defined as a ≥2-fold increase in antibody over baseline and antibody titer ≥200 EU/mL, was observed in >94% of participants in each vaccine group by 28 days postvaccination, and most (>91%) remained seropositive through 24 months (Figure 2B and Supplementary Table 4). At 28 days, 95.4% (95% CI, 93.6–96.8) of the combined standard-dose vaccine recipients and 98.2% (95% CI, 95.4–99.5) of high-dose recipients had a seroresponse. At 24 months, 92.1% (95% CI, 88.4–94.9) of combined standard-dose and 93.3% (95% CI, 86.7–97.3) of high-dose recipients continued to meet the seroresponse criteria. In contrast, only 4.0% (95% CI, 1.3–9.2; n = 5) of placebo recipients met the ZEBOV-GP ELISA seroresponse criteria at any time during the study. Seroresponse results, when defined as a ≥4-fold increase in antibody over baseline, were similar (Supplementary Table 4).

Neutralizing antibody titers by PRNT increased by 28 days postvaccination in all rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP groups. Titers continued to increase after 28 days, with a peak at 18 months and no decrease at 24 months (Figure 2C and Supplementary Table 5). In recipients of standard-dose vaccine lots (combined), the GMFR from baseline was approximately 11 by 28 days, peaked at approximately 16-fold at 18 months postvaccination, and remained elevated by >15-fold from baseline at 24 months postvaccination (Figure 3B and Supplementary Table 6). Results were generally consistent across the individual standard-dose and high-dose groups (Figure 2C, Figure 3B, and Supplementary Table 5 and Table 6).

Based on PRNT, 84.9% (95% CI, 82.0–87.5) of standard-dose recipients and 90.4% (95% CI, 85.7–94.0) of high-dose recipients met seroresponse criteria at 28 days (Figure 2D and Supplementary Table 7). At 24 months, seroresponse rates remained high, with 90.7% (95% CI, 86.9–93.8) and 97.1% (95% CI, 91.9–99.4) of standard- and high-dose recipients, respectively, meeting seroresponse criteria. Only 2.4% (95% CI,
0.5–7.0) of placebo recipients met the PRNT seroresponse criteria at any time during the study.

Safety outcomes in the 6 months postvaccination have been reported previously [23]. During the full 24-month study, 35...
(4.4%) participants in the combined standard-dose groups, 8 (3.1%) participants in the high-dose group, and 4 (3.0%) participants in the placebo group reported SAEs, none of which were considered related to vaccine. Three participants died, all in the standard-dose vaccine groups. Two of the deaths occurred during the base study (1 due to a craniocerebral injury after a fall 152 days postvaccination and 1 due to hepatic failure 76 days postvaccination), as reported previously [23]. In addition, a 51-year-old man died due to a motor vehicle accident during the study extension, 688 days after vaccination. None of the deaths were considered vaccine-related. There were no discontinuations due to AEs.

As previously reported [23], the proportion of participants with specific AEs of arthralgia or arthritis from days 1 to 42 are summarized in Supplementary Table 8. Overall, these AEs were mild to moderate in intensity, with severe arthralgia reported by 0.8% (combined-lots group) and 3.1% (high-dose group) of participants, and severe arthritis reported by 0.4% of participants (both combined-lots and high-dose groups) of participants. The median duration of arthralgia was 3.0 days each for the combined-lots, high-dose, and placebo groups, and the median duration of arthritis was 7.0 and 5.0 days for the combined-lots and high-dose groups, respectively. All incidences of severe AEs resolved.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for arthritis (from day 1 through 42 postvaccination) identified an association with female sex and a medical history of arthritis as potential risk factors for the development of arthritis postvaccination (odds ratio [OR], 2.2 [95% CI, 1.1–4.1] to 2.8 [95% CI, 1.3–6.2], respectively). Treatment dose (OR, 1.5; 95% CI, 1.0–2.3), body mass index (OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 1.0–1.1), age (OR, 1.6; 95% CI, .9–3.0), and race (OR, 0.5; 95% CI, .2–1.0) did not emerge as significant risk factors.
DISCUSSION

The results of this study demonstrate the lot-to-lot manufacturing consistency of the rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine and provide first-time immunogenicity data using validated assays over 24 months postvaccination. The 3 manufacturing lots met the preset equivalence criteria, as well as a secondary analysis using more stringent criteria. A higher-dose formulation had generally similar immunogenicity at the timepoints measured. Immune responses to rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP were durable through 24 months, with most (≥90%) participants meeting ZEBOV-GP ELISA and PRNT seroresponse criteria at 24 months. Consistent with the safety profile observed during the 6 months postvaccination [23], there were no vaccine-related SAEs or deaths during the 24-month study.

The results provide confirmatory evidence from previous phase 1 and 2 studies that utilized nonvalidated assays. In those studies, the rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine had elicited ZEBOV-GP ELISA responses in nearly all participants measured 1 month postvaccination [15–17, 19] with persistence of antibody levels through the latest timepoint studied (6 months [15], 12 months [16], or 24 months [24] postvaccination). The results of the ZEBOV ZEBOV-GP ELISA were further supported by the viral PRNT results, which demonstrated slightly different kinetics from the ZEBOV-GP ELISA. Whereas the ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMTs peaked at 28 days, PRNT titers continued to increase through 18 months. This result may be due to avidity maturation and selection of higher-avidity, better-functioning neutralizing antibodies. These results are consistent with the persistent PRNT response reported by Heppner et al [16]. Other neutralizing assays such as the pseudovirion neutralization assay (PsVNA) have been reported to decrease by 6 months. The difference between the PRNT and the PsVNA may be due to the use of different challenge viruses in the assays or to interassay variability of the unqualified PsVNA [16].

Post hoc multivariate analyses that control for covariates were performed during the extension phase of the trial and demonstrated that female sex and a positive medical history of arthritis were independent baseline variables associated with a 2.2- to 2.8-fold higher risk of developing postvaccination arthritis, with 95% CI lower bounds of 1.1 and 1.3, respectively. Postvaccination arthritis has been described with live-attenuated vaccines such as rubella [25, 26]. An association was found between the stage of the menstrual cycle and joint manifestations, suggesting that hormonal factors may play a role in the pathophysiology involving joint signs and symptoms after HPV-77 rubella vaccine administration.

While this is one of the largest studies of immunogenicity and tolerability of the rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine, there are several limitations. Participants were recruited from North American sites (as well as a single site in Spain), but EBOV affects primarily African populations. Although most of the phase 1 and 2 studies of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP have been performed in Europe and North America, a number of phase 2/3 studies have been undertaken in West Africa and provide important data that expand on the initial results obtained in the earlier-phase studies. Although the “Ebola ça Suffit!” trial conducted in Guinea did not assess immunogenicity [20, 27], other studies in the affected regions assessed immunogenicity during the end of the 2014–2016 ZEBOV outbreak [21, 22, 28]. The PREVAIL I ZEBOV-GP ELISA results demonstrated slightly lower postvaccination ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMTs compared with those reported in the current clinical study, which utilized a validated ZEBOV-GP ELISA. The PREVAIL I study also resulted in markedly lower ZEBOV-GP ELISA GMFRs [21]. The lower GMFRs are likely explained by higher baseline ELISA titers in the Liberian population. Immunogenicity assessments of the Front Line Worker, PREVAIL, and STRIVE trials using validated ELISA and PRNT are ongoing.

The importance of ZEBOV-GP ELISA and PRNT as immune correlates of protection has not yet been firmly established. Preclinical data in nonhuman primates suggest that neutralizing as well as nonneutralizing antibodies may correlate with protection [29, 30]; however, this has yet to be confirmed in humans. Future studies may assess immune correlates of protection following vaccination with rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP in nonhuman primates at the individual level, as well as in humans at the population level.

CONCLUSION

Three manufacturing lots of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine were demonstrated to be equivalent by ZEBOV-GP ELISA at 28 days postvaccination. Immune responses as measured by ZEBOV-GP ELISA were robust and persisted through 24 months. There were no vaccine-related SAEs or deaths over the 24-month study, consistent with the previously reported tolerability profile up to 6 months postvaccination [23]. The data from this trial, taken with the demonstrated efficacy of rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine in a ring vaccination, cluster-randomized “Ebola ça Suffit!” trial that utilized the same nominal dose of $2 \times 10^7$ pfu [20, 27], provide further evidence of the potential for rVSVΔG-ZEBOV-GP vaccine to be used to prevent Ebola outbreaks.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at The Journal of Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.
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