Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the nuclear radiation shielding properties of erbium (Er)-reinforced and samarium (Sm)-reinforced borate glasses. In the 0.015–15 MeV photon energy range, attenuation coefficients, as well as half-value layer tenth-value layers, and the mean-free path have been calculated. Additionally, effective, and equivalent atomic numbers, effective atomic weight, electron density, and exposure and energy absorption build-up factors were also calculated. To evaluate the overall nuclear radiation attenuation competencies of Er-rich and Sm-rich glasses, effective removal cross-section values for fast neutrons and projected range/mass stopping power values for alpha and proton particles were also determined. The glass sample BZBEr2.0 had the highest linear and mass attenuation coefficients (µ and µm), effective conductivity (Ceff), the effective number of electrons (Nef), and effective atomic number (Zeff) values as well as the lowest half-value layer (T1/2), tenth value layers (T1/10), mean free path (λ), exposure build-up factor, and energy absorption build-up factor values. µm values were reported as 2.337, 2.556, 2.770, 2.976, 2.108, 2.266, 2.421, 2.569, and 2.714 for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 glass samples at 0.06 MeV, respectively. The results showed that Er has a greater effect than Sm regarding the gamma-ray shielding properties of borate glasses. The results of this investigation could be used in further investigations and added to older investigations with the same aim, to aid the scientific community in determining the most appropriate rare-earth additive, to provide adequate shielding properties based on the requirement.
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1 Introduction

Gamma and X-ray are the most common types of ionizing radiation emitted and used in nuclear medicine, radiation therapy, and nuclear reactors. As these rays have no mass, they can easily travel long distances through the air [1]. As a result, gamma and X-ray are thought to be the most penetrating and difficult to shield. When a high radiation dosage is absorbed, it may result in radiation sickness, organ failure, skin rashes, carcinogenesis, genetic damage, bone-marrow loss, and death. Consequently, radiation shielding, and safety is becoming a more widespread research subject. The radiation must be reduced to safe levels by the shielding materials to protect medical
professionals, patients, and the public against the harmful impacts of ionizing radiation. Due to its cheap cost, simplicity of availability, and flexibility to be molded into any form or size, concrete is the most often used shielding material in nuclear reactors and cyclotrons. However, the use of concrete has several limitations. These include cracks in concrete that occur after extended use, the presence of water in concrete decreases the density and structural strength of the material. As concrete absorbs nuclear radiation, it heats up, causing water to evaporate, causing instability in shielding parameters calculations and inhomogeneity in the material’s composition. Concrete is nonportable, making transporting it from one location to another impossible. Moreover, concrete is opaque by nature, which makes it difficult to see through [2]. Lead and lead-based compounds are also extensively used as shielding materials in hot labs and transport of radioactive materials; however, the toxic effects of lead and lead-based compounds on human health and the environment are numerous. Lead toxicity necessitates the resolution of several hygiene challenges, including dosage monitoring, supplementary protective equipment, worker training, and correct waste disposal [3]. Thus, it becomes essential to look for better shielding materials than concrete and lead. A material that incorporates transparency, nontoxicity, and radiation shielding potential is currently of great interest in the medical field. Steel, alloys, polymers, gemstones, and glasses have all been tested for their shielding properties. Glass, which has the virtue of being transparent in the visible spectrum, maybe the best possible substitute for concrete-based shielding [4–6]. Glasses are unique in their ability to accommodate a wide range of elements. As a result of this property, they can serve as a shield against harmful ionizing radiation. Zinc borate glasses and lead-free compounds with high chemical resistance, transparency, and radiation protection are being explored as possible replacements. Borate (B₂O₃) is a compound with the highest glass formation tendency because molten B₂O₃ does not crystallize by itself even when cooled at the slowest rate. Recent publications have discussed the X-ray and gamma-ray shielding capabilities of several glasses containing barium oxide (BaO), including BaO:B₂O₃:fly ash glass [7]. PbO, Bi₂O₃ [8–11], and BaO in borate glass [7,12], and silicate glasses [13–16], and PbO:BaO:P₂O₅ glass [17]. These investigations demonstrate that BaO may be used effectively in various glass matrices as a radiation shielding material. This is owing to BaO’s high effective atomic number and its great X-ray and gamma-ray absorption. In a study done in 2017, ZnO was added to the glass to improve its transparency [7]. When B₂O₃ is added to glass material, it confers to the glass many valuable properties such as improving the fusingibility, increasing the mechanical resistance, and high thermal resistance [18]. Osman et al. conducted research to examine the shielding parameters of glass systems from lead against neutrons and gamma rays. Attenuation parameters were evaluated and theoretically calculated using cylindrical soda-lime-silica glass samples, and lead oxide was added in various percentages to make the mixtures by weight as simple as possible. Their results indicated that the displayed spectra exhibit a similar shape and photon attenuation behavior across the investigated composites [19]. Tekin et al. conducted another significant investigation on phosphate glass materials due to their ethereality in a large spectrum range between infrared and ultraviolet, making them suitable for producing optical fibers, shielding material for radiation detection, and sensing applications. Numerous features of phosphate glass materials were discovered when the lead oxide was added to phosphate-glass samples. Lead oxide is an effective shielding material for high-energy nuclear radiations [20]. The literature review showed that different types of additives could be used for the improvement of nuclear radiation shielding properties of different glass structures. This has encouraged us to perform a comprehensive investigation on numerous glass samples in terms of their attenuation competencies against different types of radiations such as gamma, fast neutrons, and charges particles (alpha and proton). Accordingly, BZBEr [21] and BZBSm [22] glass systems were selected to study the gamma shielding properties using Phy-X/PSD [23] and Py-MLBUF [24] online platforms for energy levels between 0.015 and 15 MeV. Besides, rare earth-doped phosphate glass gives such excellent improvement in the development of many optical devices [25]. It gives higher emission efficiency with the enhancement in emission line from visible to the infrared spectral region under suitable excitation conditions as reported elsewhere [26]. Among the rare-earth ions, Er³⁺ ion has higher potential application in developing the optical and laser device. The presence of Er³⁺ ion in phosphate glass can generate 1.54 μm wavelengths, which can be utilized for optical amplification, and its visible up conversion emission can be used as a solid-state laser [27]. In this study, it was hypothesized that various types of reinforcements (e.g., Er and Sm) used to optimize the characteristics of glass will also change the basic properties of glass that protect against nuclear radiation. Therefore, it was sought to identify the potential effect of erbium (Er) and samarium (Sm) additives on the protective properties of these glasses against nuclear radiation. This study aims to search for a new, highly efficient, and environmentally friendly protective material that can replace lead or lead-based shielding materials in different types of radiation facilities. The effectiveness and quality of each sample as the additive increases will be checked and discussed. The results of this investigation
can be used in further investigations and added to previous investigations for the same purpose.

## 2 Materials and methods

Table 1 contains the sample codes along with the elemental compositions, densities, and weight fractions of the glass samples. The linear attenuation coefficient expresses the percent of photons that are attenuated when they travel through a certain thickness of a material. To get the linear attenuation linear attenuation coefficients value, one must examine the reactions of the material with ionizing radiation photoelectric effect, Compton scattering, and pair production (PE, CS, and PP). As shown in the following equation (1), the Beer–Lambert law is used to compute the $\mu$ value:

$$ I = I_0 e^{-\mu \ell} = I_0 e^{-\mu \rho \ell}, $$

where ($I$) is the intensity of gamma-ray after it has been transmitted through an absorber, and ($I_0$) is the initial intensity of the gamma-ray [28]. The $\mu$ represents the linear attenuation coefficient value, which is given by cm$^{-1}$. The mass attenuation coefficient ($\mu_m$) is an important quality, which provides critical and basic information regarding the glass sample’s ability to attenuate the intensity of the gamma radiation. Next, $\mu_m$ were calculated using equation (2).

$$ \mu_m = \sum_i w_i \mu_i \rho_i, $$

where $w_i$ is the weight fraction of the $i$th constituent element, $\mu$ is the linear attenuation coefficient, and $\rho$ is the density [28,29]. The half-value layer $T_{1/2}$ is basically the required thickness of the glass sample that can make the radiation intensity get reduced to one-half of its initial value. The $T_{1/2}$ is an important quality that determines whether the glass sample works sufficiently as a shielding material [30]. Similarly, to the $T_{1/2}$, we have the tenth-value layer $T_{1/10}$; which is the required thickness of the glass sample to reduce the radiation intensity to one-tenth of its initial value [31]. The way we calculate the half value layer (HVL) and the $T_{1/10}$ is by using the following equations: equations (3) and (4).

$$ T_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2)}{\mu}, $$

$$ T_{1/10} = \frac{\ln(10)}{\mu}. $$

A mean free path $\lambda$ is the mean range traveled by a photon before it interacts with the shielding material for photons traversing a substance, as stated by the American Nuclear Society-standard and shown in equation (5).

$$ \lambda = \frac{1}{\mu}. $$

In addition to abovementioned parameters, effective atomic weight for absorption ($A_{eff}$) [32], effective electron density ($N_{eff}$) [33], effective atomic number ($Z_{eff}$) [33–35], equivalent atomic number ($Z_{eq}$) [36–40], and effective conductivity at 300 K ($C_{eff}$) [41–43] were determined for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 samples, respectively. Figure 1 demonstrates the

### Table 1: Chemical compositions and densities for all glass samples

| Sample code | mol% | wt% | $\rho$ (g/cm$^3$) |
|-------------|------|-----|------------------|
|             | Er$_2$O$_3$ | Sm$_2$O$_3$ | BaO | ZnO | B$_2$O$_3$ | B | O | Zn | Ba | Er | Sm |       |
| BZBEr0.5    | 0.5 | — | 9.95 | 39.8 | 49.75 | 0.1278 | 0.3810 | 0.3091 | 0.1623 | 0.0199 | — | 3.42 |
| BZBEr1.0    | 1.0 | — | 9.90 | 39.6 | 49.50 | 0.1249 | 0.3753 | 0.3022 | 0.1586 | 0.0390 | — | 3.451 |
| BZBEr1.5    | 1.5 | — | 9.85 | 39.4 | 49.25 | 0.1221 | 0.3697 | 0.2955 | 0.1551 | 0.0575 | — | 3.492 |
| BZBEr2.0    | 2.0 | — | 9.80 | 39.2 | 49.00 | 0.1195 | 0.3644 | 0.2890 | 0.1517 | 0.0754 | — | 3.512 |
| BZBSm00     | —   | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 50.00 | 0.1307 | 0.3869 | 0.3163 | 0.1661 | — | — | 3.36 |
| BZBSm0.5    | —   | 0.5 | 9.95 | 39.8 | 49.75 | 0.1280 | 0.3818 | 0.3097 | 0.1626 | — | 0.0179 | 3.412 |
| BZBSm1.0    | —   | 1.0 | 9.90 | 39.6 | 49.50 | 0.1254 | 0.3767 | 0.3034 | 0.1593 | — | 0.0352 | 3.442 |
| BZBSm1.5    | —   | 1.5 | 9.85 | 39.4 | 49.25 | 0.1228 | 0.3719 | 0.2972 | 0.1560 | — | 0.0520 | 3.474 |
| BZBSm2.0    | —   | 2.0 | 9.80 | 39.2 | 49.00 | 0.1204 | 0.3672 | 0.2912 | 0.1529 | — | 0.0683 | 3.493 |
changes of $\mu$ with energy. It is evident from the graph that there is a sharp decrement from 0.015 to 0.030 MeV, which demonstrates the dominance of photoelectric absorption. Then, a sudden change occurred in the energy range from 0.03 to 0.04 MeV, which is a result of the K-absorption edge of the two elements (Er = 0.0574 MeV and Sm = 0.0468 MeV) [44]. Following that, a sudden decrement in the $\mu$ values was seen again in the energy range of (0.05 MeV to around 1 MeV). After 1.02 MeV, a smooth decrement is a result of PP, which usually happens in the high-energy range. The increment of Er and Sm in the glasses increases the values of the $\mu$. For example, $\mu$ values for BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, and BZBEr2.0 at 0.015 MeV was reported as 136.860, 142.930, and 148.030, respectively. In addition, as the energy increases, the $\mu$ values decrease. According to this study, it is observed that BZBEr2.0 has the highest $\mu$ value. The mass attenuation coefficient expresses the chance of incoming photons interacting with unit mass/unit area stuff. As observed in the
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Figure 1: Variation of linear attenuation coefficient ($\mu$) against photon energy for BZBEr and BZBSm glasses while the contribution of PE, CS, and PP with (a) BZB SM2.0 sample and (b) BZBEr2.0 sample.
that was also investigated in this study. A lower occurrence in the energy range predominance at low energies. Furthermore, a sudden change 0.015 MeV to around 0.03 MeV. This is due to the PE predo value of

Figure 2: Variation of mass attenuation coefficient (\(\mu_m\)) against photon energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses. The maximum value of \(\mu_m\) is reported at 0.015 MeV. As the energy increased, a sharp decrement was reported in the energy range from 0.015 MeV to around 0.03 MeV. This is due to the PE predominance at low energies. Furthermore, a sudden change occurred in the energy range (0.030–0.0.040 MeV) because of the K-absorption edge effect. Moreover, it has been reported that as the percentage of the additives (Er and Sm) increases in the glass sample, the mass attenuation coefficient increases as well. According to this study, it has been reported that BZBEr2.0 has the greatest \(\mu_m\) value and BZBSm0.0 has the lowest \(\mu_m\) value. For example, at 0.06 MeV, the obtained values for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 are, respectively, as follows: 2.337, 2.556, 2.770, 2.976, 2.108, 2.266, 2.421, 2.569, and 2.714 cm\(^2\)/g. The half-value layer (\(T_{1/2}\)) is a very important shielding parameter that was also investigated in this study. A lower \(T_{1/2}\) value indicates a more efficient and useful shielding material. Figure 3 shows the variations in the \(T_{1/2}\) as the incident photon energy of the glass samples changes starting from 0.015 all the way up to 15 MeV. As demonstrated, the \(T_{1/2}\) of all the glass samples increases at a similar rate as the energy increases, meaning they have a positive correlation. At the photon energy equal to 0.015 MeV, the samples BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 all started with a \(T_{1/2}\) equal to 0.005 cm, whereas the remaining sample BZBSm0.0 started from a \(T_{1/2}\) equal to 0.006 cm. The samples have very similar \(T_{1/2}\) values and grow in a similar manner. At the maximum photon energy 15 MeV, the sample BZBEr2.0 had the lowest \(T_{1/2}\) equal 6.8164 cm. However, this value is not that big of a difference compared to the other samples, such as BZBEr1.5 and BZBSm2.0, which both had \(T_{1/2}\) approximately equal to 6.9 cm. As shown Figure 4, the half-value layer \(T_{1/2}\) values of the investigated BZBEr2.0 and BZBSm2.0 samples depend on photon energy at specific energies and compared with Glass1 [45], Glass2 [46], Glass3 [47], Glass4 [48], Glass5 [49], Glass6 [8], Glass7 [50], Glass8 [51], and standard shielding materials (ordinary concrete: OC [52], and hematite-serpentine concrete: HSC [28]), where BZBEr2.0 and BZBSm2.0 samples are lower than the \(T_{1/2}\) values of all samples even OC and HSC. The tenth-value layer \(T_{1/10}\) is quite close to the HVL definition in terms of significance as it is the thickness of the shielding glass material necessary to lower the initial intensity to a tenth (10%) of its value. A single \(T_{1/2}\) is equal to 0.3 \(T_{1/10}\). In Figure 5, the relation between the \(T_{1/10}\) of the glass samples and the incident photon energy is comprehensively demonstrated for all investigated glass samples. Glass samples had their lowest \(T_{1/10}\) values at the lowest photon energy (0.015 MeV); the TVL for the samples BZBEr1.5 and BZBEr2.0 was both equal to 0.016 cm. The samples BZBEr1.0, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 had a \(T_{1/10}\) equal to 0.017 cm, whereas the samples BZBEr0.5 and BZBSm0.5 had a \(T_{1/10}\) of 0.018 cm. Consequently, the remaining sample BZBSm0.0 had the highest \(T_{1/10}\) equal to 0.019 cm. The samples continue to overlap and increase all the way until the maximum photon energy (15 MeV), where a single sample seems to have the lowest \(T_{1/10}\) 22.644 cm, which is BZBEr2.0, and the
sample with the highest $T_{1/10}$ 25.170 cm was BZBSm0.0. However, the term of a mean free path (mfp – $\lambda$) is an essential parameter that demonstrates the average distance traveled by a photon within the glass sample before any interaction between the photon and the glass shielding material occurs. As the mfp equals $1/\mu$, it will have an inverse relation to $\mu$, and accordingly, the mfp and $\mu$ will have opposite relations with incident photon energy. Figure 6 demonstrates the changes of the $\lambda$ values compared to the incident photon energy of the glass shielding samples ranging from 0.015 to 15 MeV. Like the $T_{1/2}$ and the $T_{1/10}$, the $\lambda$ increases for all samples as the photon energy increases. The $\lambda$ of all the glass samples is very close in value and increases in a similar rate and manner. At the photon energy 0.015 MeV, the samples average a $\lambda$ equal to 0.007 cm. Moreover, at the maximum energy of 15 MeV, they average a mfp equal to 10.318 cm, with the sample BZBEr2.0 having the lowest mfp value, which is equal to 9.834 cm. Noting that the lowest the mfp value, the better the shielding material is. The effective conductivity is a parameter that is affected by the changes in photon energies. In other words, the $C_{\text{eff}}$ values change when the energy is changed. The maximum value of $C_{\text{eff}}$ in Figure 7 has been reported at 0.06 MeV, which is 26.108 S/m for BZBEr2.0. Starting from 0.015 MeV, a slight decrement in the $C_{\text{eff}}$ values for all the studied elements was reported. After that, a sudden
An increment is observed at 0.040 MeV because of K-absorption edge of Er and Sm. Moreover, a fluctuation has been reported from 0.040 to 0.060 MeV. According to Figure 7 BZBSm0.0 started decreasing before other elements. Additionally, it has been reported that the minimum value of $C_{\text{eff}}$ is 6.863 S/m for BZBSm0.0 at 1.333 MeV. At the energy of 0.060 MeV, all the $C_{\text{eff}}$ values of the elements started to decrease rapidly until the energy reached 0.4 MeV, where $C_{\text{eff}}$ started decreasing at a very low rate. This decrement kept going on as the energy increased until it reached 1.5 MeV, where the $C_{\text{eff}}$ values started to increase for all the elements. This increment is due to the PP, which occurs with photons of high energy. Figure 8 shows the variation of an effective number of electrons per gram $N_{\text{eff}}$ with energy values. As seen in the graphs, $N_{\text{eff}}$ values decreased at the beginning in the energy range, 0.015 to 0.05 MeV, where photoelectric interaction is predominant, and then a sudden increase is observed due to the K-absorption edge of the additives. Subsequently, a rapid decrease in the $N_{\text{eff}}$ values was seen between 0.05 to 0.5 MeV, and this is where CS is prevalent. A slight increase starts from 3 MeV, where PP is dominant. The result showed that sample BZBSm1.5 has the smallest $N_{\text{eff}}$ value, and BZBEr2.0 has the highest value. As an example, $N_{\text{eff}}$ values were reported 3.322, 3.380, 3.434, 3.486, 3.261, 3.299, 3.334, 3.368, and 3.4 S/m, for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, BZBSm2.0.

Figure 6: Variation of mean free path ($\lambda$) against photon energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses.

Figure 7: Variation of effective conductivity ($C_{\text{eff}}$) with energy (0.015–15 MeV).

Figure 8: Variation of effective number of electrons per gram ($N_{\text{eff}}$) with energy (0.015–15 MeV).
BZBsm1.5, and BZBsm2.0 samples at 0.3 MeV. Figure 9 shows the variation in effective atomic weight absorption $A_{\text{eff}}$ for all glasses as a function of the photon energy. The additive properties have a clear relationship with the variations in the total atomic weight of the mixture, resulting in densities ranging from 3.42 to 3.493 g/cm$^3$. As a result, BZBEr2.0 was found to have the highest $A_{\text{eff}}$ value of all the glasses examined, with a value of 25.123, and BZBSm0.0 had the lowest value, 23.630.

Figure 10 presents values of $Z_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of photon energy. The term $Z_{\text{eff}}$ is used to describe how various elemental structures react to ionizing radiation. When it comes to gamma-ray attenuation, elements with higher atomic numbers are generally thought to be superior. However, in this study, both the additives have very similar atomic numbers (Er-68 and Sm-62). Additionally, $Z_{\text{eff}}$ is proportional to photon energy, and the discrepancy between $Z_{\text{eff}}$ and energy may be accounted for by the photoelectric absorption, CS, and PP processes. An obvious pattern can be seen from the graph that as energy increases, $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values decrease. The mechanisms by which photons interact with matter can provide an explanation for this fluctuation. Radiation physics notions imply that the PE dominates at low energies. As the energy level rises, the likelihood of this mechanism occurring decreases, and CS takes over as the dominant process. As PP surpasses the previous two energy >1.02 MeV processes, we can see an increase in $Z_{\text{eff}}$ as the photon energy approaches 15 MeV. For instance, for BZBEr0.5 glass sample at 1 MeV, the $Z_{\text{eff}}$ value was reported as 11.396, whereas at 2 MeV, $Z_{\text{eff}}$ value was 11.443. Although, an exception for this pattern is seen at 0.04 MeV, which occurs because of the K-absorption edge values of Er and Sm. Nevertheless, there was no remarkable difference in $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values between the glass samples at all photon energies. This may be due to minor weight and density differences between the Er and Sm substitutes. The maximum difference in $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values is seen at 0.080 MeV as 32.983, 34.806, 36.464, 37.967, 30.964, 32.298, 33.545, 34.693, and 35.757 for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0 for the glass samples in the region where the PE is dominant. From outcomes, it has been observed that $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values of the BZBEr-encoded glass samples increased as the percentage of Er increased, and in the second glass sample (i.e., BZBSm), $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values also increased by increasing the Sm content. The highest $Z_{\text{eff}}$ value was reported for BZBEr2.0 glass sample with a maximum value of 43.224 at 0.6 MeV. The terms of energy...
absorption build-up factor (EABF) and the exposure build-up factor (EBF) are critical photon shielding characteristics that have been used to characterize scattering in irradiated materials. Figures 11 and 12 illustrate the variance in EBF and EABF values for all glass samples calculated using the geometric progression fitting approach for the energy range of 0.015–15 MeV and penetration-depths ranging from 0.5 to 40 mfp. As the precision of the shielding parameters increases, the accuracy of the radiation measurements increases as well, resulting in a reduction in the findings’ abnormalities. As per the graphs in Figure 11, the EBF of the glass samples is low at low energies, increases to a maximum at medium energies, and then lowers again at high energies, where pair formation interactions occur. CS occurs when entering photons scatter with varying energies despite the photons being totally absorbed by pair formation and photoelectric absorption processes. Because this scattering facilitates the accumulation of low-energy photons in the generated glasses, the EBF values of the glasses are highest at medium energies. The sharp peaks observed in the figures are due to the K-absorption edges of the elements present in the glass samples. Additionally, the EBF curves for BZBEr and BZBSm glasses are almost identical. Almost all glass samples had
Figure 12: Variation of EABF against photon energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses.
a maximum EBF value of 0.6 MeV. EBF values are approximately the same at the lowest energies, up to 5 mfp. For example, at 2 mfp and 0.015 MeV, the EBF values of BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, and BZBEr2.0 glass samples are 1.0044, 1.0046, 1.0059, and 1.0071, respectively. As the content of Er and Sm increases, the calculated EBF values decreased. As an example, the highest EBF value decreased from 6.7321 to 6.2982 for the BZBEr glass sample, at 0.8 MeV and 6 mfp. With increasing energy, the EBF gradually increases until it reaches its maximum at a high penetration depth. For instance, for BZBSm0.0-coded glass sample, at 0.5 MeV, the EBF value at 0.5 mfp was 1.404, and at 10 mfp the value was 12.004. This makes it apparent that as the mfp increases, EBF values increase as well. According to the study, BZBSm0.0 has the highest EBF value and BZBEr2.0 has the lowest value. This is an excellent demonstration of how effectively materials can guard against gamma radiation. As a result, BZBEr2.0 with the lowest EBF values may be considered the appropriate sample among the glass samples studied. As for EABF, it is a quantity influenced by the amount of energy in the substance as well as the detector function in the interacting material. A trend like that seen in EBF graphs is observed. Hence, it can be noted from Figure 12 that BZBSm0.0 has the highest EABF value, and BZBEr2.0 has the lowest value. The current investigation additionally examined the produced glass specimens’ fast neutron shielding capability. When a fast neutron engages with an absorbing medium,
Figure 15: AMSP as a function of kinetic energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses.

Figure 16: PPR as a function of kinetic energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses.

Figure 17: APR as a function of kinetic energy for (a) BZBEr and (b) BZBSm glasses.
it can take one of three distinct pathways, depending on the attenuator’s composition and energy of the incident neutrons. This probability may be quantified or subjectively represented in terms of the macroscopic (or effective) cross section, or alternatively as the effective removal cross sections for fast neutrons $\Sigma_R$. The $\Sigma_R$ values for the studied glass specimens are shown in Figure 13. The $\Sigma_R$ values were reported as 0.1051, 0.1049, 0.1049, 0.1044, 0.1045, 0.1051, 0.1049, 0.1049, and 0.1045 cm$^{-2}$ for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0, respectively. As it can be observed from the reported numerical values, there are differences between the $\Sigma_R$ values of the studied glass samples. However, among the investigated glass specimens, the BZBSm0.5 glass exhibits the highest fast neutron shielding capability. Finally, we will briefly address the ability of the investigated glass specimens to protect against charged particles (e.g., proton and alpha particles). According to Coulomb’s law, a charged particle interacts with a substance. As a result, these particles may collide several times before losing all their kinetic energy. Stopping power (SP) and particle ranges may be used to quantify the charged particle’s gradual loss of energy through matter (PR). Figures 14–17 display the effect of increasing kinetic energy on the proton mass stopping power (PMSP), alpha mass stopping power (AMSP), proton projected range (PR), and alpha projected range (APR) values of the examined glasses obtained from SRIM code [63]. The given comprehensive graphs depict that the attenuation properties of the studied glasses against charged alpha and proton particles are similar. Similar elemental compositions or slight increments can explain this in Er and Sm reinforcements through glass structures. Our findings, however, indicate that the BZBEr2.0 sample with the highest Er additive has higher attenuation characteristics against alpha and proton particles. These findings may help explain the attenuation capabilities of examined glasses against charged alpha and proton particles, which are more likely to be seen than other analyzed radiation types such as gamma and fast neutrons.

4 Conclusion

Recent investigations have illustrated that lead and lead-based materials have a number of important drawbacks, including short life span, toxicity, high cost, and lack of transparency. Considering these properties, several studies have been done to examine the gamma shielding properties of rare-earth-doped glass materials because of their numerous advantages. In this study, nine different zinc borate glasses doped with Er and Sm were examined for several different nuclear shielding properties, including linear and mass attenuation coefficients, half and tenth value layers, mean free path, build up factors, and so forth. The results of this study can be summarized as follows:

i) $\mu_m$ values of 2.337, 2.556, 2.770, 2.976, 2.108, 2.266, 2.421, 2.569, and 2.714 were recorded for BZBEr0.5, BZBEr1.0, BZBEr1.5, BZBEr2.0, BZBSm0.0, BZBSm0.5, BZBSm1.0, BZBSm1.5, and BZBSm2.0, respectively. As it can be observed from the reported numerical values, there are differences between the $\Sigma_R$ values of the studied glass samples. However, among the investigated glass specimens, the BZBSm0.5 glass exhibits the highest fast neutron shielding capability. Finally, we will briefly address the ability of the investigated glass specimens to protect against charged particles (e.g., proton and alpha particles). According to Coulomb’s law, a charged particle interacts with a substance. As a result, these particles may collide several times before losing all their kinetic energy. Stopping power (SP) and particle ranges may be used to quantify the charged particle’s gradual loss of energy through matter (PR). Figures 14–17 display the effect of increasing kinetic energy on the proton mass stopping power (PMSP), alpha mass stopping power (AMSP), proton projected range (PR), and alpha projected range (APR) values of the examined glasses obtained from SRIM code [63]. The given comprehensive graphs depict that the attenuation properties of the studied glasses against charged alpha and proton particles are similar. Similar elemental compositions or slight increments can explain this in Er and Sm reinforcements through glass structures. Our findings, however, indicate that the BZBEr2.0 sample with the highest Er additive has higher attenuation characteristics against alpha and proton particles. These findings may help explain the attenuation capabilities of examined glasses against charged alpha and proton particles, which are more likely to be seen than other analyzed radiation types such as gamma and fast neutrons.

As the BZBEr2.0 with the greatest Er additive demonstrated better nuclear radiation attenuation capabilities, it was obvious that Er reinforcement had a significant favorable effect on nuclear radiation attenuation qualities. Our results indicate that Er reinforced glasses zinc glasses may be an appropriate candidate material for nuclear shielding applications. Furthermore, the findings indicate that Er is more effective at shielding nuclear radiation than Sm. According to the literature study, researchers are exploring a variety of different types of studies into additives for nuclear radiation shielding improvements. Consequently, our results may contribute to the existing body of knowledge and will aid in identifying special additives and associated glass compositions that offer the most appropriate shielding characteristics for the needs and applications.
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