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Abstract. Let $G$ be a linear Lie group acting properly on a $G$-spin$^c$ manifold $M$ with compact quotient. We give a short proof that Poincaré duality holds between $G$-equivariant $K$-theory of $M$, defined using finite-dimensional $G$-vector bundles, and $G$-equivariant $K$-homology of $M$, defined through the geometric model of Baum and Douglas.

1. Introduction

Poincaré duality in $K$-theory asserts that the $K$-theory group of a closed spin$^c$ manifold is naturally isomorphic to its $K$-homology group via cap product with the fundamental class in $K$-homology. This class can be represented geometrically by the spin$^c$-Dirac operator. More generally, if a compact Lie group acts on the manifold preserving the spin$^c$ structure, the analogous map implements Poincaré duality between the equivariant versions of $K$-theory and $K$-homology. In the case when the Lie group is non-compact but has finite component group, induction on $K$-theory and $K$-homology allow one to establish the analogous result [7] for proper actions. The observation underlying Poincaré duality in all of these cases is that there exist enough equivariant vector bundles with which to pair the fundamental class.

In contrast, Phillips [14] showed through a counter-example with a non-linear group that, for proper actions by a general Lie group $G$ on a manifold $X$ with compact quotient space, finite-dimensional vector bundles do not exhaust the $G$-equivariant $K$-theory of $X$, and that it is necessary to introduce infinite-dimensional vector bundles into the description of $K$-theory (see also [10]).

One case in which finite-dimensional bundles are sufficient is when the group $G$ is linear (see [13]), owing to the key fact that in this case every $G$-equivariant vector bundle over $X$ is a direct summand of a $G$-equivariantly trivial bundle, i.e. one that is isomorphic to $X \times V$ for some finite-dimensional representation of $G$ on $V$.
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Motivated by this, we give a short proof of Poincaré duality in this setting. That is, we show that the natural map from $G$-equivariant $K$-theory to $G$-equivariant $K$-homology (which for us means Baum-Douglas’ geometric $K$-homology [2]), given by pairing with the fundamental class, is an isomorphism for proper cocompact $G$-spin$^c$ manifolds where $G$ is a matrix group.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose a linear Lie group $G$ acts properly and cocompactly on a $G$-equivariantly spin$^c$ manifold $X$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\psi: K^*_G(X) \to K^G_*(X),$$

(1.1)

where the left and right-hand sides denote $G$-equivariant $K$-theory and geometric $K$-homology respectively.

For compact Lie group actions, Theorem 1.1 is implied by the work of [5] on the isomorphism between the equivariant geometric and analytic models of $K$-homology. Meanwhile, by the Peter-Weyl theorem, such groups form a subclass of linear Lie groups. Consequently, Theorem 1.1 provides another approach to some of the results in [5].

While Theorem 1.1 makes no reference to the analytic model of $K$-homology [1, 9], we note that (1.1) still holds when the right-hand side is replaced by the analytic $K$-homology group $KK^*_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, as a special case of Emerson-Meyer’s general second duality result in [6, Section 6], (in particular, see the first display after (1.5) in [6]), we have

$$KK^*_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}) \cong K^*_G(TX).$$

By the Thom isomorphism from [14, Theorem 8.11] (see also Theorem 2.6 below), we have

$$K^*_G(TX) \cong K^G_*(X).$$

Putting this together gives $K^*_G(X) \cong KK^*_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$. Combined with Theorem 1.1, this gives:

**Corollary 1.2.** Suppose a linear Lie group $G$ acts properly and cocompactly on a $G$-equivariantly spin$^c$ manifold $X$. Then we have an isomorphism between the $G$-equivariant geometric and analytic $K$-homology groups of $X$:

$$K^*_G(X) \cong KK^*_G(C_0(X), \mathbb{C}).$$

(1.2)

In particular, the geometric $K$-homology groups $K^*_G$ are part of a $G$-equivariant extraordinary homology theory.

The natural map realizing this isomorphism (1.2) is the Baum-Douglas map [2, 7], which can be described as follows. Let $(M, E, f)$ be a $G$-equivariant geometric $K$-cycle for $K^*_G(X)$ (see Definition 2.8 below). Then $M$ is a $G$-equivariantly spin$^c$ manifold with Dirac operator $D_M$ acting on sections of a spinor bundle $S_M, E$ is a
$G$-equivariant vector bundle over $M$, and $f: M \to X$ is a $G$-equivariant continuous map. The Baum-Douglas map takes

$$[M,E,f] \mapsto f_* \left[ L^2(S_M \otimes E), \varphi, D_E(1 + D_E^2)^{-1/2} \right],$$

where $\varphi$ is the $*$-representation of $C_0(M)$ on $B(L^2(E))$ given by pointwise multiplication, and the right-hand side is the pushforward under $f$ of a class in $KK^G_*(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$. Corollary 1.2 then implies:

**Corollary 1.3.** Suppose a linear Lie group $G$ acts properly and cocompactly on a $G$-equivariantly spin$^c$ manifold $X$. Then for any $e \in KK^G_*(C_0(X), \mathbb{C})$, there exist a $G$-equivariantly cocompact spin$^c$ manifold $M$ and a $G$-equivariant continuous map $f: M \to X$ such that $e$ is the pushforward under $f$ of the class of a Dirac-type operator on $M$ in $KK^G_*(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$. More precisely, there exists a vector bundle $E \to M$ such that

$$e = f_* \left[ L^2(S_M \otimes E), \varphi, D_E(1 + D_E^2)^{-1/2} \right].$$
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2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling the definitions and facts we will need. Unless specified otherwise, $G$ will always denote a closed subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for some $n$. All vector bundles will be complex. For this section, let $X$ be a locally compact proper $G$-space.

2.1. Equivariant $K$-theory. In [13], Phillips showed that the $G$-equivariant $K$-theory of the space $X$ with $G$-cocompact supports can be defined in a such a way that is directly analogous to non-equivariant, compactly supported $K$-theory.

**Definition 2.1 ([13, Definition 1.1]).** A $G$-equivariant $K$-coycle for $X$ is a triple $(E,F,t)$ consisting of two finite-dimensional complex $G$-vector bundles $E$ and $F$ over $X$ and a $G$-equivariant bundle bundle map $t: E \to F$ whose restriction to the complement of some $G$-cocompact subset of $X$ is an isomorphism. Two $K$-cocycles
\((E, F, t)\) and \((E', F', t')\) are said to be equivalent if there exist finite-dimensional \(G\)-vector bundles \(H\) and \(H'\) and \(G\)-equivariant isomorphisms
\[
a : E \oplus H \to E' \oplus H, \quad b : F \oplus H \to F' \oplus H'
\]
such that \(b^{-1}(t' \oplus \text{id})a x = t_x \oplus \text{id}\) for all \(x\) in the complement of a \(G\)-cocompact subset of \(X\). The set of equivalence classes \([E, F, t]\) forms a semigroup under the direct sum operation, and we define the group \(K^0_G(X)\) is the Grothendieck completion of the semigroup of finite-dimensional complex \(G\)-vector bundles over \(X\).

**Remark 2.2.** When it is clear from context, or when \(X\) is \(G\)-cocompact, we will omit the map \(t\) from the cycle, and simply denote a class in \(K^0_G(X)\) by \([E, F]\).

**Remark 2.3.** For general locally compact groups, Definition 2.1 needs to be modified to include infinite-dimensional bundles [14, Chapter 3]. For \(G\) linear, this is not necessary [13, Theorem 2.3].

**Definition 2.4.** For each non-negative integer \(i\), let
\[
K^i_G(X) = K^0_G(X \times \mathbb{R}^i),
\]
where \(G\) acts trivially on \(\mathbb{R}^i\).

By [13, Lemma 2.2], \(K^i_G\) satisfies Bott periodicity, so that we have a natural isomorphism \(K^i_G(X) \cong K^{i+2}_G(X)\) for each \(i\). We will use the notation
\[
K^0_G(X) = K^0_G(X) \oplus K^1_G(X).
\]
In addition, \(K^i_G\) are contravariant functors from the category of proper \(G\)-spaces and proper \(G\)-equivariant maps to the category of abelian groups, and form an equivariant extraordinary cohomology theory with a continuity property. In particular, Bott periodicity implies that for any \(G\)-invariant open subset \(U \subseteq X\), there is a six-term exact sequence of abelian groups
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
K^0_G(U) & \longrightarrow & K^0_G(X) & \longrightarrow & K^0_G(X \setminus U) \\
\partial & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K^1_G(X \setminus U) & \longleftarrow & K^1_G(X) & \longleftarrow & K^1_G(U).
\end{array}
\]
(2.1)

Here, the map \(K^i_G(U) \to K^i_G(X)\) is induced by the extension-by-zero homomorphism – see Remark 2.5 below, and the boundary maps \(\partial\) are defined as in equivariant \(K\)-theory for compact group actions [15].

**Remark 2.5** (Extension-by-zero). Any inclusion of \(G\)-invariant open subsets \(U_1 \subseteq U_2\) induces in the obvious way an extension-by-zero \(*\)-homomorphism \(C_0(U_1) \to C_0(U_2)\). This extends to a \(*\)-homomorphism \(C_0(U_1) \rtimes G \to C_0(U_2) \rtimes G\) between crossed products. The induced map on operator \(K\)-theory, together with the identification \(K^i_G(U_1) \cong K_i(C_0(U_1) \rtimes G)\), gives a map
\[
K^i_G(U_1) \to K^i_G(U_2).
\]
To prove Poincaré duality, we will make use of the following Thom isomorphism theorem for $G$-spin$^c$ bundles:

**Theorem 2.6** ([14, Theorem 8.11]). Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional $G$-equivariant spin$^c$ vector bundle over $X$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$T_G : K_i^G(X) \stackrel{\cong}{\to} K_i^{i + \dim E}(E)$$

for $i = 0, 1$, where $\dim E$ is the real dimension of $E$ and $i + \dim E$ is taken mod 2.

### 2.2. The Gysin homomorphism.

Theorem 2.6 can be used to give an explicit geometric description of the Gysin (pushforward) homomorphism in $G$-equivariant $K$-theory, which we will need later.

Let $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ be two $G$-cocompact $G$-spin$^c$ manifolds and $f : Y_1 \to Y_2$ a $G$-equivariant continuous map. By cocompactness, both $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ contain only finitely many orbit types. Together with the fact that $G$ is a linear group, this implies, by [11, Theorem 4.4.3], that there exists a $G$-equivariant embedding

$$j_{Y_1} : Y_1 \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

for some $n$, where $G$ is considered as a subgroup of $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Let

$$i_{Y_2} : Y_2 \to Y_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

denote the zero section, and define the $G$-equivariant embedding

$$i_{Y_1} : Y_1 \to Y_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

$$y \mapsto (f(y), j_{Y_1}(y)).$$

Let $\nu_1$ be the normal bundle of $i_{Y_1}$, which we identify with a $G$-invariant tubular neighbourhood $U_1$ of its image. Note that it follows from the two-out-of-three lemma for $G$-equivariant spin$^c$-structures (see [12, Section 3.1] and [8, Remark 2.6]), together with the assumption that $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ are $G$-spin$^c$, that $\nu_1$ has a $G$-spin$^c$ structure, and so Theorem 2.6 applies. Identifying the normal bundle with $U_1$, we have the Thom isomorphism

$$T_G : K_i^G(Y_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_i^{i + \dim \nu_1}(U_1),$$

for $i = 0, 1$. The Gysin homomorphism

$$f_! : K_i^G(Y_1) \to K_i^{i + \dim Y_2 - \dim Y_1}(Y_2)$$

(2.3)

associated to $f$ is then the composition

$$K_i^G(Y_1) \xrightarrow{T_G} K_i^{i + \dim Y_2 + 2n - \dim Y_1}(U_1) \xrightarrow{\lambda} K_i^{i + \dim Y_2 + 2n - \dim Y_1}(Y_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_i^{i + \dim Y_2 - \dim Y_1}(Y_2),$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the map given by the inclusion of $U_1$ into $Y_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.
where $\lambda$ is induced by the extension-by-zero map associated to the inclusion of $U_1$ into $Y_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (see Remark 2.5 below), and the right horizontal isomorphism is due to Bott periodicity.

**Remark 2.7.** It can be seen from the above that $f_!$ depends only on the $G$-homotopy class of $f$ and that the Gysin map is functorial under compositions.

2.3. **Equivariant geometric $K$-homology.** We briefly review the equivariant version of Baum and Douglas’ geometric definition of $K$-homology [2]; see [3], [4], [5], or [7] for more details. As before, $X$ is a locally compact proper $G$-space.

**Definition 2.8.** A $G$-equivariant geometric $K$-cycle for $X$ is a triple $(M, E, f)$, where

- $M$ is a proper $G$-cocompact manifold with a $G$-equivariant spin$^c$-structure;
- $E$ is a smooth $G$-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle over $M$;
- $f : M \to X$ is a $G$-equivariant continuous map.

For $i = 0$ or 1, the $G$-equivariant geometric $K$-homology group $K^G_i(X)$ is the abelian group generated by geometric $K$-cycles $(M, E, f)$ where $\dim M = i \mod 2$, subject to the equivalence relation generated by the following three elementary relations:

(i) (Direct sum – disjoint union) For two $G$-equivariant Hermitian vector bundles $E_1$ and $E_2$ over $M$ and a $G$-equivariant continuous map $f : M \to X$,

$$(M \sqcup M, E_1 \sqcup E_2, f \sqcup f) \sim (M, E_1 \oplus E_2, f);$$

(ii) (Bordism) Suppose two cycles $(M_1, E_1, f_1)$ and $(M_2, E_2, f_2)$ are bordant, so that there exists a $G$-cocompact proper $G$-spin$^c$ manifold $W$ with boundary, a smooth $G$-equivariant Hermitian vector bundle $E \to W$ and a continuous $G$-equivariant map $f : W \to X$ such that $(\partial W, E|_{\partial W}, f|_{\partial W})$ is isomorphic to $(M_1, E_1, f_1) \sqcup (-M_2, E_2, f_2)$, where $-M_2$ denotes $M_2$ with the opposite $G$-spin$^c$ structure. Then

$$(M_1, E_1, f_1) \sim (M_2, E_2, f_2);$$

(iii) (Vector bundle modification) Let $V$ be a $G$-spin$^c$ vector bundle of real rank $2k$ over $M$. Upon choosing a $G$-invariant metric on $V$, let $\widehat{M}$ be the unit sphere bundle of $(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus V$, where the bundle $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the trivial $G$-action. Let $F$ be the Bott bundle over $\widehat{M}$, which is fibrewise the non-trivial generator of $K^0(S^{2k})$. (See [4, Section 3] for a more detailed description.) Then

$$(M, E, f) \sim (\widehat{M}, F \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi),$$

where $\pi : \widehat{M} \to M$ is the canonical projection.
Addition in $K_{i}^{geo,G}(X)$ is given by

$$[M_1, E_1, f_1] + [M_2, E_2, f_2] = [M_1 \sqcup M_2, E_1 \sqcup E_2, f_1 \sqcup f_2],$$

the additive inverse of $[M, E, f]$ is its opposite $[-M, E, f]$, while the additive identity is given by the empty cycle where $M = \emptyset$.

**Remark 2.9.** The above definition of classes $[M, E, f]$ continues to make sense if we replace the bundle $E$ by a $K$-theory class. Indeed, if

$$e = [E_1] - [E_2] = [E_1'] - [E_2'] \in K^0_0(M),$$

then there exists a $G$-vector bundle $F$ over $X$ such that

$$E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus F \cong E_1' \oplus E_2' \oplus F.$$ 

By Definition 2.8 (i), this means

$$[M, E_1, f] + [M, E_2', f] + [M, F, f] = [M, E_1', f] + [M, E_2, f] + [M, F, f].$$

Adding the inverse of $[M, F, f]$ to both sides and rearranging shows that the class $[M, e, f] := [M, E_1, f] - [M, E_2, id] = [M, E_1', id] - [M, E_2, id]$ is well-defined.

Finally, we can describe vector bundle modification using the Gysin homomorphism 2.3. To do this, let $\hat{M}$ be the manifold underlying the vector bundle modification of a cycle $(M, E, f)$ by a bundle $V$, as in Definition 2.8 (iii). Then $\hat{M}$ is the unit sphere bundle of $(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus V$. We will refer to the $G$-equivariant embedding

$$s: M \to \hat{M} \subseteq (M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus V,$$

$$m \mapsto (m, 1, 0)$$

as the north pole section.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let $(M, E, f)$ be a geometric cycle for $X$. Let $(\hat{M}, F \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi)$ be its modification by a $G$-spin$^c$ vector bundle $V$ of even real rank, and let $\pi: \hat{M} \to M$ be the projection. Let $s: M \to \hat{M}$ be the north pole section. Then

$$(\hat{M}, F \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi) \sim (\hat{M}, s![E], f \circ \pi).$$

**Proof.** The proof we give is similar to that of [5, Lemma 3.5] concerning the case of compact Lie group actions; compare also the discussion following [4, Definition 6.9].

To begin, observe that the total space of $V$ can be identified $G$-equivariantly with a $G$-invariant tubular neighbourhood $U$ of the embedding $s: M \to \hat{M}$. The Gysin map $s_!$ is then the composition

$$K^*_G(M) \xrightarrow{T_G} K^*_G(U) \xrightarrow{\lambda} K^*_G(\hat{M}),$$

where $T_G$ is the Thom isomorphism in the form (2.2), while $\lambda$ is the homomorphism induced by the extension-by-zero map $C_0(U) \to C_0(\hat{M})$. Note that $T_G$ is essentially
given via tensor product with a “Bott element”, and (2.4) admits the following geometric description. Let $F$ be the Bott bundle over $\hat{M}$, and let $F_0$ be the bundle defined by pulling back the restriction $F|_M$ along $\pi$. The composition (2.4) is then given by pulling back a vector bundle over $M$ along $\pi$ and tensoring with the class $[F] - [F_0]$. On the other hand, since $\hat{M}$ is the boundary of the unit sphere bundle of $(M \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus W$, and the bundle $F_0$ is pulled back from $M$, the cycle $(\hat{M}, F_0 \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi)$ is bordant to the empty cycle. Thus we have a bordism of cycles
$$(\hat{M}, F \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi) \sim (\hat{M}, ([F] - [F_0]) \otimes \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi),$$
whence the right-hand side is equal to $(\hat{M}, s![E], f \circ \pi)$ by the description of the composition (2.4) given above. □

3. Poincaré duality for geometric $K$-homology

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. For the rest of this section, let $X$ be a proper $G$-spin$^c$ manifold with $X/G$ compact.

We can define the following natural map between $K^*_G(X)$ and $K^G(X)$, which can be thought of as cap product with the fundamental $K$-homology class on $X$. For this, let $S^1$ be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$, and define the map
$$c: X \to X \times S^1$$
$$x \mapsto (x, 1).$$

(3.1)

**Definition 3.1.** Define $\phi: K^*_G(X) \to K^G(X)$ by
$$\phi: K^i_G(X) \to K^G_{i+\text{dim} X}(X),$$
$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} [X, e, \text{id}], & \text{if } i = 0, \\ [X \times S^1, c_1(e), \text{pr}_1], & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$
where $\text{pr}_1: X \times S^1$ is the projection onto the first factor, and we have used the notation from Remark 2.9.

We now show that $\phi$ is an isomorphism by defining explicitly a map $\psi$ that will turn out to be its inverse.

**Definition 3.2.** Define the map $\psi: K^G(X) \to K^*_G(X)$ by
$$\psi: K^{G}_{i+\text{dim} X}(X) \to K^i_G(X),$$
$$[M, E, f] \mapsto f_![E],$$
for $i = 0, 1$, where $f_!$ is the Gysin homomorphism from (2.3).
Remark 3.3. Note that
\[ f! \left[ E \right] \in f! \left( K^*_G \left( M \right) \right) \subseteq K^* \left( X \right) = K^*G \left( X \right), \]
so the degrees make sense.

We first need to show that \( \psi \) is well-defined. For this, we will use the following:

Lemma 3.4. Let \( W \) be a \( G \)-cocompact, \( G \)-spin\(^c\) manifold-with-boundary, and let \( X \) be a \( G \)-cocompact \( G \)-spin\(^c\) manifold. Let \( h : W \rightarrow X \) be a \( G \)-equivariant map, and let \( i : \partial W \hookrightarrow W \) be the natural inclusion. Then the composition
\[ K^*_G \left( W \right) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^*_G \left( \partial W \right) \xrightarrow{\left( h|_{\partial W} \right)!} K^*_G \left( X \right) \]
is the zero map.

Proof. We give the proof when \( \dim \partial W = \dim X \mod 2 \) and show that
\[ K^*_G \left( W \right) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^*_G \left( \partial W \right) \xrightarrow{\left( h|_{\partial W} \right)!} K^*_G \left( X \right) \]
is the zero map; the proofs for the other cases are similar.

Let us consider the composition (3.2) upon applying the Thom isomorphism, Theorem 2.6, in the form of (2.2), and use the description of the Gysin map from (2.3).

Let \( j_W \) be a \( G \)-equivariant embedding of \( W \) into \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) for some \( n \), where \( G \) is realized as a subgroup of \( GL(2n, \mathbb{R}) \); note that this is possible because \( G \) is assumed to be linear. Let \( j_{\partial W} \) denote the restriction of \( j_W \) to \( \partial W \). Let \( i_X : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) be the zero section. Define the embedding
\[ i_W : W \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \]
\[ w \mapsto (h(w), j_W(w)) , \]
and let \( i_{\partial W} \) be the restriction of \( i_W \) to \( \partial W \). Let \( \nu_W \) and \( \nu_{\partial W} \) denote the respective normal bundles of the embeddings \( i_W \) and \( i_{\partial W} \). We may identify these normal bundles with \( G \)-invariant tubular neighbourhoods \( U_W \) and \( U_{\partial W} \) in \( X \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \), noting that in general \( U_W \) has boundary. Since the normal bundle of \( \partial W \) in \( W \) is trivial and one-dimensional, there is a natural \( G \)-equivariant identification
\[ U_{\partial W} \cong \partial U_W \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \]
for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \). It follows from the two-out-of-three lemma for \( G \)-equivariant spin\(^c\)-structures (see [12, Section 3.1] and [8, Remark 2.6]), together with the fact that \( W \) and \( X \) are \( G \)-spin\(^c\), that \( \nu_W \) and \( \nu_{\partial W} \) are \( G \)-spin\(^c\) vector bundles, and hence Theorem 2.6 applies. The resulting Thom isomorphisms for \( W, \partial W, \) and \( X \) (in the notation of (2.2)) are shown as vertical arrows in the following commutative
diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K^0_G(W) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & K^0_G(\partial W) & \xrightarrow{(h|_{\partial W})^*} & K^0_G(X) \\
\downarrow{T_G} & & \downarrow{T_G} & & \downarrow{T_G} \\
K^1_G(U_W) & \xrightarrow{T_Gi^*} & K^0_G(U_{\partial W}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K^0_G(X \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}),
\end{array}
\]

(3.4)

where the map \(T_Gi^*\) is determined uniquely by commutativity, and the homomorphism \(\lambda\) is induced by the extension-by-zero map \(C_0(U_{\partial W}) \to C_0(X \times \mathbb{R}^{2n})\) as in Remark 2.5. It thus suffices to show that the composition \(\lambda \circ T_Gi^*\) vanishes.

By [13, Lemma 2.2] or [14, Chapter 5], we have a six-term exact sequence

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K^0_G(U_W \setminus \partial U_W) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K^0_G(U_W) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & K^0_G(\partial U_W) \\
\downarrow{\lambda} & & \downarrow{j^*} & & \downarrow{\lambda} \\
K^1_G(\partial U_W) & \leftarrow & K^1_G(\partial U_W) & \leftarrow & K^1_G(U_W \setminus \partial U_W),
\end{array}
\]

(3.5)

where \(j^*\) is induced by the inclusion \(j: \partial U_W \hookrightarrow U_W\) and the maps \(\lambda\) are again induced by the extension-by-zero map \(C_0(U_W \setminus \partial U_W) \to C_0(U_W)\). The identification (3.3) gives a natural isomorphism \(K^1_G(\partial U_W) \cong K^0_G(U_{\partial W})\). Using this, the bottom row of (3.4) fits into the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
K^1_G(U_W) & \xrightarrow{T_Gi^*} & K^0_G(U_{\partial W}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K^0_G(U_X) \\
\downarrow{j^*} & & \downarrow{j^*} & & \downarrow{\lambda} \\
K^1_G(\partial U_W) & \leftarrow & K^0_G(U_W \setminus \partial U_W).
\end{array}
\]

(3.6)

It follows from exactness of (3.5) that \(\lambda \circ T_Gi^* = 0\), and hence \((h|_{\partial W})^* \circ i^* = 0\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 3.5.** The map \(\psi\) is well-defined.

**Proof.** That \(\psi\) respects disjoint union/direct sum is clear, since for any element of the form \([M, E_1 \oplus E_2, f]\) \(\in K^*_G(M)\), we have

\[
\psi[M, E_1 \oplus E_2, f] = f_1[E_1] \oplus f_2[E_2] = f_1[E_1] + f_2[E_2] \in K^*_G(M).
\]

Next, let \([W, E, h]\) be an equivariant bordism between two elements \([M_1, E_1, h_1]\) and \([\neg M_2, E_2, h_2]\). Then Lemma 3.4 applied to \(\partial W = M_1 \sqcup \neg M_2\) implies that

\[
(h_1)[E_1] = (h_2)[E_2],
\]

hence \(\psi\) is well-defined with respect to the bordism relation. To see that \(\psi\) is well-defined with respect to vector bundle modification, let \((\widehat{M}, F \oplus \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi)\) be the modification of a cycle \((M, E, f)\) for \(X\) by a bundle \(V\), as in Definition 2.8 (iii). By Lemma 2.10, we have

\[
[\widehat{M}, F \oplus \pi^*(E), f \circ \pi] = [\widehat{M}, s_1[E], f \circ \pi].
\]
Functoriality of the Gysin map with respect to composition, together with the fact that \( \pi \circ s = \text{id} \), now implies

\[
\psi[\widehat{M}, s][E] = (f \circ \pi)_! s[E] = f_! \circ (\pi \circ s)_! E = f_![E] = \psi[M, E, f].
\]

**Proposition 3.6.** The map \( \phi \) is injective.

**Proof.** For any \( e \in K^i_G(X) \), we have

\[
\psi \circ \phi(e) = \begin{cases} 
\psi[X, e, \text{id}] = \text{id}(e), & \text{if } i = 0, \\
\psi[X \times S^1, c_1(e), \text{pr}_1] = (\text{pr}_1)_!(\text{id}_!(e)) = (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{id})_!(e), & \text{if } i = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

which are both equal to \( e \), where we have used functoriality of the Gysin map. Hence \( \psi \circ \phi = \text{id} \), so \( \phi \) is injective. \( \square \)

For surjectivity, we will use the Gysin homomorphism from subsection 2.2, together with the following result, which is a special case of [5, Theorem 4.1] but applied to linear instead of compact \( G \).

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( M, N, X \) be three \( G \)-cocompact \( G \)-spin\( c \) manifolds, \( g: N \to X \) a \( G \)-equivariant continuous map, and \( f: M \to N \) a \( G \)-equivariant embedding with even codimension. Then for any \( G \)-vector bundle \( E \to M \), we have

\[
[M, E, g \circ f] = [N, f^![E], g] \in K^*_G(X).
\]

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem [5, Theorem 4.1], which was stated for compact Lie groups, goes through with no changes to our setting. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.8.** The map \( \phi \) is surjective.

**Proof.** Examining Definitions 3.1 and 3.2, one sees that \( \phi \circ \psi \) is given, at the level of geometric cycles, by

\[
[M, E, f] \mapsto f_![E] \mapsto \begin{cases} 
[X, f_![E], \text{id}], & \text{if } \dim M = \dim X \mod 2, \\
[X \times S^1, c_1(f_![E]), \text{pr}_1], & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where the map \( c_1 \) was defined in (3.1). Let \( i: M \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) be a \( G \)-equivariant embedding for some \( n \), and let \( j: X \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times X \) be the zero section. Upon compactifying \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \), \( f \) factors as

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^{2n} \times X \\
\downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\
M \xrightarrow{i \times f} \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times X \\
\downarrow f \\
\mathbb{R}^{2n} \\
\end{array}
\]

(3.7)
where \( \text{pr}_2 \) is the projection onto the second factor, and \( j \) becomes an embedding \( X \to S^{2n} \times X \).

Suppose first that \( \dim M = \dim X \mod 2 \). Then it suffices to prove that any geometric cycle of the form \((M, E, f)\) is equivalent to \((X, f_1[E], \text{id})\). By Lemma 3.7 applied to the embedding \( i \times f \), we have

\[
[M, E, f] = [S^{2n} \times X, (i \times f)_!][E], \text{pr}_2] \in K^G_*(X). \tag{3.8}
\]

Meanwhile, Lemma 3.7 applied to \( j \), together with functoriality of the Gysin map, yields

\[
[X, f_!][E], \text{id}] = [S^{2n} \times X, (j \circ f)_!][E], \text{pr}_2] \tag{3.9}
\]

Finally, the maps \( i \times f \) and \( j \circ f \) are \( G \)-homotopic through

\[
F: M \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times X \hookrightarrow S^{2n} \times X,
(m, t) \mapsto ((1 - t)i(m), f(m)).
\]

Invariance of the Gysin map under \( G \)-homotopy now implies that (3.8) and (3.9) are equal, and we conclude.

The case of \( \dim M \neq \dim X \mod 2 \) proceeds analogously as follows. Here, we need to show that any geometric cycle of the form \((M, E, f)\) is equivalent to \((X \times S^1, c_!(f_1[E]), \text{pr}_1)\), where \( c \) was defined in (3.1). Similar to (3.8), we have

\[
[M, E, f] = [S^{2n} \times X \times S^1, (i \times (c \circ f))_!][E], \text{pr}_2] \in K^G_*(X). \tag{3.10}
\]

Define

\[
\tilde{j}: X \times S^1 \to S^{2n} \times X \times S^1,
(x, s) \mapsto (j(x), s).
\]

Then

\[
[X \times S^1, c_!(f_1[E]), \text{pr}_1] = [X \times S^1, c_!(f_1[E]), \text{pr}_2 \circ \tilde{j}]
= [S^{2n} \times X \times S^1, \tilde{j}_!(c_!(f_1[E])), \text{pr}_2]
= [S^{2n} \times X, (\tilde{j} \circ c \circ f)_!][E], \text{pr}_2]. \tag{3.11}
\]

The maps \( i \times (c \circ f) \) and \( \tilde{j} \circ c \circ f \) are \( G \)-homotopic through

\[
F: M \times I \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times X \times S^1 \hookrightarrow S^{2n} \times X \times S^1,
(m, t) \mapsto ((1 - t)i(m), f(m), 1),
\]

and the claim follows from \( G \)-homotopy invariance of the Gysin map. \(\square\)
Propositions 3.8 and equation (3.6) together imply that \( \phi: K^i_G(X) \to K^{i+\dim X}_G(\mathbb{X}) \) is an isomorphism for \( i = 0, 1 \), which establishes Theorem 1.1.
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