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ABSTRACT

In this section, we introduce neutrosophic feebly normal and strongly neutrosophic feebly normal spaces using neutrosophic feebly open set and neutrosophic feebly closed sets. Also, found their relations among themselves and with already existing spaces. Also, we discussed some basic properties and the characterizations of already mentioned spaces.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy sets were introduced by Zadeh [19] in 1965. The concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by K. Atanassov [2], several researches were conducted on the generalizations of the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Florentin Smarandache [6-9] developed Neutrosophic set & logic is a generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Logic & set respectively. Neutrosophic technique is a special technique is based on Neutrosophy. This theory developed by “Florentin Smarandache” in 1995. In Neutrosophy consider every notion or idea is together with A, Anti-A and Neut-A here Anti-A is the opposite or negation of A, Neut-A is the field of “neutralities”. Neutrosophic method is derived from Fuzzy logic or in intuitionistic Fuzzy logic. The first book was published on Neutrosophy as a title of book is Neutrosophy probability, set and logic in 1998. The word Neutrosophy introduced from Latin “neuter” – neutral, Greek “Sophia” – skill/wisdom. Neutrosophy means silt on neutrals. The main task of this study is to apply neutrosophic method to the general theory of relativity, aiming to discover new hidden effects. Here it’s why we decided to employ neutrosophic method in this field. Neutrosophic method means to find common features to uncommon entities. T, I, Fare called neutrosophic components will represent the truth value, indeterminacy value and false hood values respectively referring to neutrosophic methods. A. A. Salama & S. A. Alblowi [1] introduced and studied Neutrosophic Topological spaces and its continuous function in [17]. Iswarya et al. [10] defined the concept of neutrosophic semi open sets in neutrosophic topological spaces. JeyaPuvaneswari et al. [12-14] defined neutrosophic feebly open sets, neutrosophic feebly closed sets and neutrosophic feebly continuous functions in neutrosophic topological spaces.

In this paper, the concept of neutrosophic feebly normal in neutrosophic topological spaces is introduced. Further, the work is extended as strongly neutrosophic feebly normal in neutrosophic topological spaces and establishes some of their related attributes.
This paper is organized as follows. Section II gives the basic definitions of neutrosophic feebly open sets & closed sets, neutrosophic feebly continuous functions and neutrosophic feebly compactin neutrosophic topological spaces and their properties which are used in the later sections. The Section III deals with the concept of neutrosophic feebly normal space. Section IV explains strongly neutrosophic feebly normal in neutrosophic topological spaces and their properties.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Here in this paper the neutrosophic topological space is denoted by \((X, \tau)\). Also the neutrosophic interior, neutrosophic closure of a neutrosophic set \(A\) are denoted by \(\text{NInt}(A)\) and \(\text{NCl}(A)\). The complement of a neutrosophic set \(A\) is denoted by \(A^C\) and the empty and whole sets are denoted by 0\(_N\) and 1\(_N\) respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** [15] Let \(X\) be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set \(A\) is an object having the form \(A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\) where \(\mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x)\) and \(\gamma_A(x)\) which represents the degree of membership function, the degree indeterminacy and the degree of non-membership function respectively of each element \(x \in X\) to the set \(A\).

Neutrosophic sets 0\(_N\) and 1\(_N\) in \(X\) as follows:

\((C_1)\) 0\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
0_N = \{ \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

\((C_2)\) 0\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
0_N = \{ \langle x, 0, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

\((C_3)\) 0\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
0_N = \{ \langle x, 0, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

\((C_4)\) 1\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 0, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

\((C_5)\) 1\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

\((C_6)\) 1\(_N\) may be defined as:
\[
1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}
\]

**Definition 2.2.** [15] Let \(A = \langle \mu, \sigma, \gamma \rangle\) be a neutrosophic set in \(X\). Then the complement of the set \(A[A^C]\) for short] may be defined as three kinds of complements:

\((C_7)\) \(A^C = \{ \langle x, 1-\mu(x), 1-\sigma(x), 1-\gamma(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\)

\((C_8)\) \(A^C = \{ \langle x, \gamma(x), \sigma(x), \mu(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\)

\((C_9)\) \(A^C = \{ \langle x, 1-\sigma(x), 1-\mu(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\)

One can define several relations and operations between neutrosophic sets follow:

**Definition 2.3.** [15] Let \(x\) be a non-empty set, and neutrosophic sets \(A\) and \(B\) in the form \(A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\) and \(B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}\). Then we may consider two possible definitions for subsets \((A \subseteq B)\).

\(A \subseteq B\) may be defined as:
(1) \( A \leq B \iff \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \leq \sigma_B(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x), \forall x \in X \)

(2) \( A \leq B \iff \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \geq \sigma_B(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \leq \gamma_B(x), \forall x \in X \)

**Definition 2.4.** [15] Let \( X \) be a non-empty set, and \( A = \langle x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle, B = \langle x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x) \rangle \) are neutrosophic subsets. Then

(1) \( A \wedge B \) may be defined as:

(I) \( A \wedge B = \langle x, \mu_A(x) \land \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \land \sigma_B(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \lor \gamma_B(x) \rangle \)

(2) \( A \vee B \) may be defined as:

(U) \( A \vee B = \langle x, \mu_A(x) \lor \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \lor \sigma_B(x) \text{ and } \gamma_A(x) \land \gamma_B(x) \rangle \)

**Definition 2.5.** [15] A neutrosophic topology \([NT \text{ for short}]\) is a non-empty set \( \tau \) of neutrosophic subsets in \( X \) satisfying the following axioms:

(NT1) \( 0, 1 \in \tau \),

(NT2) \( G_1 \wedge G_2 \in \tau \text{ for any } G_1, G_2 \in \tau \),

(NT3) \( \forall G_i \in \tau \text{ for every } \{ G_i : i \in J \} \leq \tau \)

In this case the pair \((X, \tau)\) is called a neutrosophic topological space. The elements of \( \tau \) are called neutrosophic open sets.

**Definition 2.6.** [15] The complement of \( A \) [\( A^c \text{ for short} \)] of neutrosophic open sets are called neutrosophic closed set in \( X \).

**Definition 2.7** [15] Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two nonempty neutrosophic sets and \( f : X \to Y \) be a function.

(i) If \( B = \{ (y, \mu_B(y), \sigma_B(y), \gamma_B(y)) : y \in Y \} \) is a Neutrosophic set in \( Y \), then the pre image of \( B \) under \( f \) is denoted and defined by \( f^{-1}(B) = \{ (x, f^{-1}(\mu_B(x)), f^{-1}(\sigma_B(x)), f^{-1}(\gamma_B(x)) : x \in X \} \).

(ii) If \( A = \{ <x, \alpha_A(x), \delta_A(x), \lambda_A(x)> : x \in X \} \) is a NS in \( X \), then the image of \( A \) under \( f \) is denoted and defined by \( f(A) = \{ (y, f(\alpha_A(y)), f(\delta_A(y)), f(\lambda_A(y)) : y \in Y \} \) where \( f(\lambda_A) = C (f(C(A))). \)

In (i), (ii), since \( \mu_B, \sigma_B, \gamma_B, \alpha_A, \delta_A, \lambda_A \) are neutrosophic sets, we explain that \( f^{-1}(\mu_B(x)) = \mu_f(f(x)), \)

\[ (\alpha_A(y)) = \begin{cases} \sup \{ \alpha_A(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y) \} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

**Lemma 2.8.** [16] Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a function. If \( A \) is a neutrosophic subset of \( X \) and \( \mu \) is a neutrosophic subset of \( Y \). Then

(i) \( f(f^{-1}(A)) \leq A \)
\[(ii) \quad f(f^{-1}(A)) = A \Rightarrow f \text{ is surjective.}\]
\[(iii) f^{-1}(f(A)) \supseteq A\]
\[(iv) f^{-1}(f(A)) = A \text{ whenever } f \text{ is injective.}\]

**Definition 2.9.** [12] A neutrosophic subset \(A\) of a neutrosophic topological space \((X, \tau)\) is neutrosophic feebly open if there is a neutrosophic open set \(U\) in \(X\) such that \(U \subseteq A \subseteq \text{Nel}(U)\).

**Lemma 2.10.** [12] (i) Every neutrosophic open set is a neutrosophic feebly open set.

**Lemma 2.11.** [12] A neutrosophic subset \(A\) of a neutrosophic topological space \((X, \tau)\) is neutrosophic feebly closed if and only if \(\text{Nel}(\text{Nint(\text{Nel}(A)))) \subseteq A\).

**Definition 2.11.** [15] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be neutrosophic topological spaces. Then a map \(f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)\) is called neutrosophic continuous (in short N-continuous) function if the inverse image of every neutrosophic open set in \((Y, \sigma)\) is neutrosophic open set in \((X, \tau)\).

**Definition 2.12.** [14] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. A function \(f : X \to Y\) is called neutrosophic feebly irresolute if the inverse image of every neutrosophic feebly open set in \(Y\) is neutrosophic feebly open in \(X\).

**Lemma 2.13.** [14] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. A function \(f : X \to Y\) is neutrosophic feebly irresolute if and only if the inverse image of every neutrosophic feebly closed set in \(X\) is neutrosophic feebly closed in \(Y\).

**Lemma 2.14.** [14] Every neutrosophic feebly irresolute function is neutrosophic feebly continuous.

**Definition 2.15** [12] Let \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]\) and \(\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq 1\). A Neutrosophic point with support \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in X\) is a neutrosophic set of \(X\) is defined by \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = x\) if \(\alpha \leq \mu_A(x), \beta \leq \sigma_A(x)\) and \(\gamma \geq \gamma_A(x)\).

(ii) \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in A\) if \(\alpha \leq \mu_A(x), \beta \geq \sigma_A(x)\) and \(\gamma \geq \gamma_A(x)\).

In this case, \(x\) is called the support of \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) and \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) are called the value, intermediate value and the non-value of \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) respectively. A Neutrosophic point \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) is said to belong to a neutrosophic set \(A = \{x : (\mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}\) is denoted by two ways(i) \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in A\) if \(\alpha \leq \mu_A(x), \beta \leq \sigma_A(x)\) and \(\gamma \geq \gamma_A(x)\).

Clearly a neutrosophic point can be represented by an ordered triple of Neutrosophic set as follows: \(x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = x\alpha \times x\beta \times C(x\gamma)\). A class of all neutrosophic points in \(X\) is denoted as \(NP(X)\).

**Definition 2.16.** [13] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. Then a function \(f : X \to Y\) is said to be neutrosophic feebly continuous function if \(f^{-1}(G)\) is neutrosophic feebly open set in \(X\) for all neutrosophic open set \(G\) in \(Y\).

**Definition 2.17.** [14] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. A function \(f : X \to Y\) is said to be neutrosophic feebly closed if the image of each neutrosophic closed set in \(X\) is neutrosophic feebly closed in \(Y\).
Definition 2.18. [14] Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \sigma)\) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. A function \(f: X \to Y\) is said to be neutrosophic feebly open if the image of each neutrosophic open set in \(X\) is neutrosophic feebly open in \(Y\).

Theorem 2.19. [14] For any bijective map \(f: X \to Y\) the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \(f^{-1}: Y \to X\) is neutrosophic feebly continuous.

(ii) \(f\) is neutrosophic feebly open.

(iii) \(f\) is neutrosophic feebly closed.

Definition 2.20. [3] A collection \(\mathcal{B}\) of neutrosophic feebly open sets in \(X\) is called a neutrosophic feebly open cover of a subset \(A\) of \(X\) if \(\bigcup\{\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\}\). 

Definition 2.21. [3] A topological space \(X\) is said to be neutrosophic feebly compact if every neutrosophic feebly open cover of \(X\) has a finite subcover.

III. NEUTROSOPHIC FEEBLY NORMAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce neutrosophic feebly normal spaces and study their properties.

Definition 3.1. A neutrosophic topological space \((X, \tau)\) is said to be neutrosophic feebly normal if for any two disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets \(A\) and \(B\), there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets \(U\) and \(V\) such that \(\alpha A \leq \alpha U\) and \(\alpha B \leq \alpha V\).

Theorem 3.2. In a neutrosophic topological space \(X\), the following are equivalent:

- \(X\) is neutrosophic feebly normal.
- For every neutrosophic feebly closed set \(A\) in \(X\) and every neutrosophic feebly open set \(U\) containing \(A\), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set \(V\) such that \(N \backslash cl(V) \leq U\).
- For each pair of disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets \(A\) and \(B\) in \(X\), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set \(U\) containing \(A\) such that \(N \backslash cl(U) \cap B = 0_{N}\).
- For each pair of disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets \(A\) and \(B\) in \(X\), there exist neutrosophic feebly open sets \(U\) and \(V\) containing \(A\) and \(B\) respectively such that \(N \backslash cl(U) \cap N \backslash cl(V) = 0_{N}\).

Proof

(a) \(\rightarrow\) (b): Let \(U\) be a neutrosophic feebly open set containing the neutrosophic feebly closed set \(A\). Then \(B = U^{C}\) is a neutrosophic feebly closed set disjoint from \(A\). Since \(X\) is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets \(V\) and \(W\) containing \(A\) and \(B\) respectively. Then \(N \backslash cl(V)\) is disjoint from \(B\). Since if \(y_{(r, l, s)} \in B\), the set \(W\) is a neutrosophic feebly open set containing \(y_{(r, l, s)}\) disjoint from \(V\). Hence \(N \backslash cl(V) \leq U\).

(b) \(\rightarrow\) (c): Let \(A\) and \(B\) be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in \(X\). Then \(B^{C}\) is a neutrosophic feebly open set containing \(A\). By (b), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set \(U\) containing \(A\) such that \(N \backslash cl(U) \leq B^{C}\). Hence \(N \backslash cl(U) \cap B = 0_{N}\). This proves (c).

(c) \(\rightarrow\) (d): Let \(A\) and \(B\) be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in \(X\). Then, by (c), there exists a neutrosophic
feebly open set $U$ containing $A$ such that $NFcl(U) \setminus B = 0_N$. Since $NFcl(U)$ is neutrosophic feebly closed, $B$ and $NFcl(U)$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in $X$. Again by (c), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $V$ containing $B$ such that $NFcl(U) \setminus NFcl(V) = 0_N$. This proves (d).

(d)$\rightarrow$(a): Let $A$ and $B$ be the disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in $X$. By (d), there exist neutrosophic feebly open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $A$ and $B$ respectively such that $NFcl(U) \setminus NFcl(V) = 0_N$. Since $U \setminus V \leq NFcl(U) \setminus NFcl(V)$, $U$ and $V$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Thus $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.

**Theorem 3.3.** A neutrosophic topological space $(X, \tau)$ is neutrosophic feebly normal if and only if for every neutrosophic feebly closed set $F$ and neutrosophic feebly open set $G$ containing $F$, there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $V$ such that $F \leq V \leq NFcl(V) \leq G$.

**Proof**

Let $(X, \tau)$ be neutrosophic feebly normal. Let $F$ be a neutrosophic feebly closed set and let $G$ be a neutrosophic feebly open set containing $F$. Then $F$ and $G^c$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets. Since $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $V_1$ and $V_2$ such that $F \leq V_1$ and $G^c \leq V_2$. Thus $F \leq V_1 \leq V_2^c \leq G$. Since $V_2^c$ is neutrosophic feebly closed, so $NFcl(V_1) \leq NFcl(V_2^c) = V_2^c \leq G$. Take $V = V_1$. This implies that $F \leq V \leq NFcl(V) \leq G$.

Conversely, suppose the condition holds. Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be two disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in $X$. Then $H_2^c$ is a neutrosophic feebly open set containing $H_1$. By assumption, there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $V$ such that $H_1 \leq V \leq NFcl(V) \leq H_2^c$. Since $V$ is neutrosophic feebly open and $NFcl(V)$ is neutrosophic feebly closed. Then $(NFcl(V))^c$ is neutrosophic feebly open. Now $NFcl(V) \leq H_2^c$ implies that $H_2 \leq (NFcl(V))^c$. Also $V \cap (NFcl(V))^c \leq NFcl(V) \setminus (NFcl(V))^c = 0_N$. That is $V$ and $(NFcl(V))^c$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets containing $H_1$ and $H_2$ respectively. This shows that $(X, \tau)$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.

**Theorem 3.4.** For a space $X$, the following are equivalent:

- $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.
- For any two neutrosophic feebly open sets $U$ and $V$ whose union is 1$_N$, there exist neutrosophic feebly closed subsets $A$ of $U$ and $B$ of $V$ whose union is also $X$.

**Proof**

(a)$\rightarrow$(b): Let $U$ and $V$ be two neutrosophic feebly open sets in a neutrosophic feebly normal space $X$ such that $1_N = UV$. Then $U^c$ and $V^c$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets. Since $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal, then there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $G_1$ and $G_2$ such that $U^c \leq G_1$ and $V^c \leq G_2$. Let $A = G_1^c$ and $B = G_2^c$. Then $A$ and $B$ are neutrosophic feebly closed subsets of $U$ and $V$ respectively such that $AB = 1_N$. This proves (b).

(b)$\rightarrow$(a): Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in $X$. Then $A^c$ and $B^c$ are neutrosophic feebly open sets whose union is 1$_N$. By (b), there exist neutrosophic feebly closed sets $F_1$ and $F_2$ such that $F_1 \leq A^c$, $F_2 \leq B^c$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = 1_N$. Then $F_1^c$ and $F_2^c$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Therefore $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.
Theorem 3.5. Let \( f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \vartheta) \) be a function.

- If \( f \) is injective, neutrosophic feebly irresolute, neutrosophic feebly open and \( X \) is neutrosophic feebly normal then \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.
- If \( f \) is neutrosophic feebly irresolute, neutrosophic feebly closed and \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly normal then \( X \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.

Proof

(a) Suppose \( X \) is neutrosophic feebly normal. Let \( A \) and \( B \) be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in \( Y \). Since \( f \) is neutrosophic feebly irresolute, \( f^{-1}(A) \) and \( f^{-1}(B) \) are neutrosophic feebly closed in \( X \). Since \( X \) is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets \( U \) and \( V \) in \( X \) such that \( f^{-1}(A) \leq U \) and \( f^{-1}(B) \leq V \). Now \( f^{-1}(A) \leq U \Rightarrow A \subseteq f(U) \) and \( f^{-1}(B) \leq V \Rightarrow B \subseteq f(V) \). Since \( f \) is a neutrosophic feebly open map, \( f(U) \) and \( f(V) \) are neutrosophic feebly open in \( Y \). Also \( U \cap V = 0 \Rightarrow f(U) \cap f(V) = 0 \) and \( f \) is injective, then \( f(U) \cap f(V) = 0 \). Thus \( f(U) \) and \( f(V) \) are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets in \( Y \) containing \( A \) and \( B \) respectively. Thus, \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.

(b) Suppose \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly normal. Let \( A \) and \( B \) be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in \( X \). Since \( f \) is neutrosophic feebly irresolute and neutrosophic feebly closed, \( f(A) \) and \( f(B) \) are neutrosophic feebly closed in \( Y \). Since \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets \( U \) and \( V \) in \( Y \) such that \( f(A) \leq U \) and \( f(B) \leq V \). That is \( A \leq f^{-1}(U) \) and \( B \leq f^{-1}(V) \). Since \( f \) is neutrosophic feebly irresolute, \( f^{-1}(U) \) and \( f^{-1}(V) \) are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open such that \( A \leq f^{-1}(U) \) and \( B \leq f^{-1}(V) \). Thus \( X \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.

Theorem 3.6. If given a pair of disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets \( A, B \) of \( X \), there is neutrosophic feebly continuous function \( f \) such that \( f(A) = 0_N \) and \( f(B) = 1_N \), then \( (X, \tau) \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.

Proof

Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an neutrosophic topological space. Suppose for any pair of disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets \( A, B \) in \( X \), there exists a neutrosophic feebly continuous map \( f \) such that \( f(A) = 0_N \) and \( f(B) = 1_N \). Let \( E \) and \( F \) be disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in \( X \). Let \( G \) and \( H \) be neutrosophic feebly open sets. Since \( f \) is neutrosophic feebly continuous, \( f^{-1}(G) \) and \( f^{-1}(H) \) are neutrosophic feebly open in \( X \). By our assumption, \( f(E) = 0_N \) and \( f(F) = 1_N \). Now \( f(E) = 0_N \) implies \( f^{-1}(f(E)) \leq f^{-1}(0_N) \Rightarrow E \leq f^{-1}(0_N) \Rightarrow E \leq f^{-1}(0_N) \). Similarly, \( F \leq f^{-1}(1_N) \). This implies that \( E \leq f^{-1}(0_N) \leq f^{-1}(G) \). Then \( F \leq f^{-1}(1_N) \leq f^{-1}(H) \). Further, \( f^{-1}(G) \leq f^{-1}(H) = f^{-1}(G \cap H) = f^{-1}(0_N) = 0_N \). So, we have a pair of disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets, \( f^{-1}(G), f^{-1}(H) \leq 1_N \) such that \( E \leq f^{-1}(G) \) and \( F \leq f^{-1}(H) \). This proves that \( (X, \tau) \) is neutrosophic feebly normal.

Theorem 3.7. If \( f \) is a neutrosophic continuous, neutrosophic feebly open bijection of a neutrosophic normal space \( X \) into a space \( Y \) and if every neutrosophic feebly closed set in \( Y \) is neutrosophic closed, then \( Y \) is neutrosophic feebly regular.
Proof

Let $A$ and $B$ be neutrosophic feebly closed set in $Y$. Then by assumption, $B$ is neutrosophic closed in $Y$. Since $f$ is a neutrosophic continuous bijection, $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ is a neutrosophic closed set in $X$. Since $X$ is neutrosophic normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic open sets $U_1$ and $U_2$ in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq U_1$ and $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq U_2$. Since $f$ is neutrosophic feebly open, $f(U_1)$ and $f(U_2)$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets in $Y$ containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Hence $Y$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.

IV. STRONGLY NEUTROSOPHIC FEEBLY NORMAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce strongly neutrosophic feebly normal spaces and study their properties.

Definition 4.1. A neutrosophic topological space $X$ is said to be strongly neutrosophic feebly normal if for every pair of disjoint neutrosophic closed sets $A$ and $B$ in $X$, there are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $U$ and $V$ in $X$ containing $A$ and $B$ respectively.

Theorem 4.2. Every neutrosophic feebly normal space is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.

Proof

Suppose $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal. Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic closed sets in $X$. Then $A$ and $B$ are neutrosophic feebly closed in $X$. Since $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Then by Proposition 2.1.16, $U$ and $V$ are neutrosophic feebly open in $X$. This implies that $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.

Theorem 4.3. In a neutrosophic topological space $X$, the following are equivalent:

- $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.
- For every neutrosophic closed set $F$ in $X$ and every neutrosophic open set $U$ containing $F$, there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $V$ containing $F$ such that $NFcl(V) \leq U$.
- For each pair of disjoint neutrosophic closed sets $A$ and $B$, there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $U$ containing $A$ such that $NFcl(U) \land B = 0_N$.

Proof

(a)$\Rightarrow$(b): Let $U$ be a neutrosophic open set containing the neutrosophic closed set $F$. Then $H=U^C$ is a neutrosophic closed set disjoint from $F$. Since $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $V$ and $W$ containing $F$ and $H$ respectively. Then $NFcl(V)$ is disjoint from $H$, since if $y_{(r,s)} \in H$, the set $W$ is a neutrosophic feebly open set containing $y_{(r,s)}$ disjoint from $V$. Hence $NFcl(V) \leq U$.

(b)$\Rightarrow$(c): Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic closed sets in $X$. Then $B^C$ is a neutrosophic open set containing $A$. By (b), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $U$ containing $A$ such that $NFcl(U) \leq B^C$. Hence $NFcl(U) \land B = 0_N$. This proves (c).

(c)$\Rightarrow$(a): Let $A$ and $B$ be the disjoint neutrosophic feebly closed sets in $X$. By (c), there exists a neutrosophic feebly open set $U$ containing $A$ such that $NFcl(U) \land B = 0_N$. Take $V=NFcl(U)^C$. Then $U$ and $V$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Thus $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.
Theorem 4.4. For a neutrosophtic topological space $X$, then the following are equivalent:

- $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.
- For any two neutrosophic open sets $U$ and $V$ whose union is $1_N$, there exist neutrosophic feebly closed subsets $A$ of $U$ and $B$ of $V$ whose union is also $1_N$.

**Proof**

(a)$\Rightarrow$(b): Let $U$ and $V$ be two neutrosophic open sets in a strongly neutrosophic feebly normal space $X$ such that $1_N = U \cup V$. Then $U^C$, $V^C$ are disjoint neutrosophic closed sets. Since $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal, then there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $G_1$ and $G_2$ such that $U^C \leq G_1$ and $V^C \leq G_2$. Let $A = G_1^C$ and $B = G_2^C$. Then $A$ and $B$ are neutrosophic feebly closed subsets of $U$ and $V$ respectively such that $A \cup B = 1_N$. This proves (b).

(b)$\Rightarrow$(a): Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic closed sets in $X$. Then $A^C$ and $B^C$ are neutrosophic open sets whose union is $X$. By (b), there exists neutrosophic feebly closed sets $F_1$ and $F_2$ such that $F_1 \leq A^C$, $F_2 \leq B^C$ and $F_1 \cup F_2 = 1_N$. Then $F_1^C$ and $F_2^C$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Therefore $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.

Theorem 4.5. Let $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ be a function.

- If $f$ is injective, neutrosophic continuous, neutrosophic feebly open and $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal then $Y$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.
- If $f$ is neutrosophic feebly irresolute, neutrosophic feebly closed and $Y$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal then $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.

**Proof**

(a) Suppose $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal. Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic closed sets in $Y$. Since $f$ is neutrosophic continuous, $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are closed in $X$. Since $X$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $U$ and $V$ in $X$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \leq U$ and $f^{-1}(B) \leq V$. Now $f^{-1}(A) \leq U$ and $f^{-1}(B) \leq V \Rightarrow B \subseteq f(V)$. Since $f$ is a neutrosophic feebly open map, $U(f(U))$ and $f(V)$ are neutrosophic feebly open in $Y$. Also $U \cup V = 0_N \Rightarrow f(U \cup V) = 0_N$ and $f$ is injective, then $f(U) \cap f(V) = 0_N$. Thus $U$ and $V$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets in $Y$ containing $A$ and $B$ respectively. Thus, $Y$ is strongly neutrosophic feebly normal.

(b) Suppose $Y$ is neutrosophic feebly normal. Let $A$ and $B$ be disjoint neutrosophic closed sets in $X$. Since $f$ is neutrosophic feebly irresolute and neutrosophic feebly closed, $f(A)$ and $f(B)$ are neutrosophic feebly closed in $Y$. Since $Y$ is neutrosophic feebly normal, there exist disjoint neutrosophic feebly open sets $U$ and $V$ in $Y$ such that $f(A) \leq U$ and $f(B) \leq V$. That is $A \leq f^{-1}(U)$ and $B \leq f^{-1}(V)$. Since $f$ is neutrosophic feebly irresolute, $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are disjoint neutrosophic feebly open such that $A \leq f^{-1}(U)$ and $B \leq f^{-1}(V)$. Thus $X$ is neutrosophic feebly normal.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied neutrosophic feebly normal and strongly neutrosophic feebly normal spaces using neutrosophic feebly open and neutrosophic feebly closed. Also, found their relations among themselves and with already
existing spaces. Also, we discussed some basic properties and the characterizations of already mentioned spaces.
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