Correlation Between Serum Tumor Marker Levels and Connective Tissue Disease-Related Interstitial Lung Disease
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Objective: The main aims of this study were to explore the relationships between serum tumor markers and connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease (CTD-ILD) and to evaluate the clinical value of tumor markers for investigating interstitial lung disease (ILD) in patients with connective tissue disease (CTD).

Methods: The study included 235 patients with CTD (90 CTD without ILDs, 145 CTD-ILD). Clinical information and the levels of inflammatory and tumor markers, including carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19–9, CA125, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CA153, and cytokeratin 19 fragments (CYFRA21-1), were obtained in all the patients.

Results: A significant difference between CTD with or without ILD and higher levels of tumor markers was observed in the CTD-ILD group, including CA19-9 (<0.001), CEA (<0.001), CA153 (<0.001), and CYFRA21-1 (<0.001). There was no significant difference in serum tumor marker levels in the various types of CTD (rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, Sjogren’s syndrome, inflammatory myositis, systemic sclerosis, and mixed connective tissue disease). The levels of CA153 [odds ratio (OR)=1.159] and CYFRA21-1 (OR=2.269) were clearly related to the risk of CTD-ILD. The diagnostic value of CA153 [area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC)=0.736] and CYFRA21-1 (AUC=0.718) was confirmed for ILDs in CTD patients, at cut-off values of 9.45 U/mL and 2.13 ng/mL, respectively.

Conclusion: There is a positive correlation between serum tumor marker levels and CTD-ILD. Higher levels of CA153 and CYFRA21-1 suggest an increased risk of developing ILD and may therefore be useful as biomarkers for detecting CTD-ILD in the clinical setting.
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Introduction

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is a heterogeneous group of diseases that mainly affect the lung interstitium, causing pulmonary restriction and impaired gas exchange. ILD is often associated with connective tissue diseases (CTD) including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Sjogren’s syndrome (SS), inflammatory myositis (IM), systemic sclerosis (SSc), and mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD). Patients with CTDs-related interstitial lung diseases (CTD-ILD) usually have a decline in their quality of life, a reduced life expectancy, and increased mortality. It is therefore essential to accurately diagnose ILD, with high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) currently considered as a well-established standard method for detecting and evaluating early ILDs.
In recent years, circulating biomarkers have been actively investigated as a supplement to HRCT for evaluating ILDs. These biomarkers include Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6), surfactant protein-A (SP-A), surfactant protein-D (SP-D), CC-chemokine ligand 18 (CCL18), and matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7)6–12 and provide an efficient, rapid, and noninvasive method for investigating CTD-ILD. Measurement of these biomarkers can therefore be used for diagnosis, evaluating the severity of disease, prediction of progression, and monitoring treatment responses.13 In addition, these markers also avoid operator bias when reading lung images obtained by HRCT.

Tumor markers are therefore essential tools for screening and diagnosing various common cancers, including gastric, ovarian, breast, prostate and liver cancers, in addition to a number of other types of tumors.14,15 The value of tumor markers for investigating ILDs has also been supported by the findings of several recent studies, especially for diagnosing and evaluating the risk of developing this condition.16–20

The aims of this retrospective study were to investigate the association between serum tumor marker levels and CTD-ILD and assess the clinical value of these markers for this condition.

Methods

Patients

The participants in this retrospective study were recruited from patients with different types of CTD treated at Xi’an Fifth Hospital between January 2019 and December 2020. The study included 235 patients who had been diagnosed with RA (n = 151), SS (n = 30), SLE (n = 26), IM (n = 11), or another CTD (n = 17), including SSc (n = 7) and MCTD (n = 10). Clinical information including age, gender, and pulmonary symptoms was collected. Exclusion criteria in the study were overlapping syndromes or multiple autoimmune diseases, malignant disease, sarcoidosis, amyloidosis, severe infection, severe liver and kidney dysfunction, or a history of malignancy. This study was performed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Xi’an Fifth Hospital (IRB#:2021–04).

Clinical Evaluation of ILD

Chest HRCT scans were performed in all patients in the study. One trained radiologist examined the HRCTs and was blinded to the original diagnosis, clinical history, and follow-up information. The HRCT scans were reconstructed using a high spatial frequency algorithm with a collimation of 1.25 mm, interspace of 1.2 mm and digitalized at window settings appropriate for viewing the lung parenchyma (window center, –600 HU; window width, 1500 HU).

Collection of Laboratory Data

All patients underwent biochemical assessment and measurement of serum tumor marker levels, including carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19–9, CA125, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CA153, and cytokeratin 19 fragments (CYFRA21-1). The normal values of these tumor markers were set at; CA19-9 <25 U/mL, CA125 <23 U/mL, CEA <5.00 ng/mL, CA153 <15 U/mL, and CYFRA21-1 <3.3 ng/mL.

In addition to the tumor markers, C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) were evaluated as inflammatory markers.

Statistical Analysis

According to the distribution of the data, the results of continuous variables were expressed as either mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median and range. Categorical data were expressed as numbers with percentages (%). Differences in clinical characteristics between patients with or without ILD were evaluated using the chi-square, Mann–Whitney U, and t-test, while the Kruskal Wallis test was used to compare the tumor markers levels in the different types of CTD groups. The strength of the association between tumor markers levels and other variables was analyzed using the Spearman correlation coefficient, followed by construction of a logistic regression model using the probabilities of the tumor markers as the independent variable and ILDs as the dependent variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to analyze the discriminatory power of the different tumor markers. We then evaluated the performance of the model based on the 95% confidence intervals (CI) for specificity, sensitivity, cut-off value, and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC). R version 4.0.3 software was used for the statistical analyses. p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical Characteristics of the Patients

The mean age of the 145 patients with CTD-ILD was 58.52 (11.88) years and that of the 90 patients with CTD
without ILD was 56.17 (15.16) years ($p=0.213$). Both of these groups contained a greater but statistically insignificant proportion of females (67.6% vs 72.2%, respectively, $p=0.546$). The frequency of the different types of CTDs are shown in Table 1 ($p=0.082$). Notably, all 11 IM patients in the study had ILD. There was no difference in mean ESR ($p=0.776$) and CRP level ($p=0.591$) between the CTD-ILD and CTD without ILD groups (Table 1).

**Levels of Tumor Markers**

The results showed that the levels of tumor markers in the CTD-ILD group were significantly higher than that observed in the CTD without ILD group, including CA19-9 ($p<0.001$), CEA ($p<0.001$), CA153 ($p<0.001$), and CYFRA21-1 ($p<0.001$). However, there was no obvious difference in the level of CA125 ($p=0.146$) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

When the CTD-ILD group was stratified by the type of CTD, no significant difference was observed in the specific CTD subgroups of CA19-9 ($p=0.080$), CA125 ($p=0.346$), CEA ($p=0.142$), CA153 ($p=0.686$) and CYFRA21-1 ($p=0.938$) (Table 3).

These results suggest that the level of these markers was independent of the level of inflammatory markers (Table 4).

**Clinical Values of the Tumor Markers**

We observed a significant association of CTD-ILD with CA153 [OR=1.159, 95% CI= (1.064, 1.276)] and CYFRA21-1 [OR=2.269, 95% CI= (1.482, 3.619)]. As shown in Table 5, higher levels of CA153 and CYFRA21-1 correlated strongly with the risk of CTD-ILD.

ROC assessment was used to determine the diagnostic value of the tumor markers and indicated that the diagnostic potential of CA153 [AUC=0.736, 95% CI (0.672, 0.801)] and CYFRA21-1 [AUC=0.718, 95% CI (0.649, 0.786)] was superior to that of the other tumor markers.

To detect the presence of CTD-ILD, a CA153 level of 9.45

---

**Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants**

| Demographic and Clinical Characteristics          | CTD-ILD (n=145) | CTDs without ILDs (n=90) | p value |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Mean age, years (SD)                             | 58.52 (11.88)   | 56.17 (15.16)            | 0.213   |
| Sex, female (%)                                  | 98 (67.6%)      | 65 (72.2%)               | 0.546   |
| Type of CTD (n, %)                               |                 |                          | 0.082   |
| RA                                               | 91 (62.8%)      | 60 (66.7%)               |         |
| SS                                               | 19 (13.1%)      | 11 (12.2%)               |         |
| SLE                                              | 13 (8.9%)       | 13 (14.4%)               |         |
| IM                                               | 11 (7.6%)       | 0                        |         |
| Others (SSc or MCTD)                            | 11 (7.6%)       | 6 (6.7%)                 |         |
| Inflammatory markers (SD)                        |                 |                          |         |
| Mean ESR, mm/h                                  | 57.43 (35.67)   | 56.10 (34.16)            | 0.776   |
| Mean CRP, mg/dL                                 | 30.92 (36.52)   | 33.68 (39.23)            | 0.591   |

**Abbreviations:** CTDs, connective tissue diseases; ILDs, interstitial lung diseases; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SS, Sjogren’s syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; IM, inflammatory myositis; SSc, systemic sclerosis; MCTD, mixed connective tissue disease; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein.

**Table 2 Comparison of Tumor Markers Levels Among Two Groups**

| Tumor Markers [Median (Range)] | CTD-ILD | CTDs without ILDs | p value |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| CA19-9, U/mL                   | 7.3 (0.0, 54) | 4.8 (0.0, 25.6) | <0.001  |
| CA125, U/mL                    | 14.8 (3.2, 95.7) | 14.4 (5.4, 42.5) | 0.146   |
| CEA, ng/mL                     | 1.73 (0.34, 8.36) | 1.07 (0.24, 6.34) | <0.001  |
| CA153, U/mL                    | 10.6 (2.8, 36.6) | 6.6 (3.2, 26.5) | <0.001  |
| CYFRA21-1, ng/mL               | 2.32 (0.56, 7.54) | 1.62 (0.33, 4.67) | <0.001  |

**Abbreviations:** CTDs, connective tissue diseases; ILDs, interstitial lung diseases; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease; CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment.
U/mL had a sensitivity of 58.6% and specificity of 86.7%, while a CYFRA21-1 level of 2.13 ng/mL had a sensitivity of 60.7% and specificity of 85.6% (Table 6 and Figure 2).

**Discussion**

This retrospective study investigated the possible association between the levels of tumor markers and interstitial lung disease in patients with CTD and showed that, as expected, these levels were higher in patients with CTD-ILD than those without ILD. These findings are consistent with those reported by previous studies. For example, Dai et al observed elevated levels of CEA and CA125 in ILD patients without cancer, while Dobashi et al found the levels of CYFRA21-1 in both serum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) were increased in these patients. Zheng et al reported that the serum levels of CA19-9, CA125 and CEA were also elevated in RA-ILD and showed a strong association with the severity of the disorder. Similarly, Shi et al showed that SS-ILD patients had elevated serum levels of tumor markers, including NSE, CEA, CA125, and CA153, while Wong et al reported that IM-ILD patients without cancer had elevated levels of CA153. Sargin et al considered that RA patients with an increase in tumor markers especially CA153 and CA125 should be suspected of having an ILD. CYFRA21-1, as shown by Gui et al, has potential as a useful serum indicator for the presence of IM-ILD, while Wang et al suggested CA19-9 and CA125 had value for diagnosing RA-ILD. However, to date no study has investigated differences in the level of these markers in a variety of CTDs.

Tumor markers can be used as both risk factors and diagnostic indicators for CTD-ILD. Based on the results of the current study we suggest that increased levels of CA153 and CYFRA21-1 are associated with a higher risk of ILD and that both markers also have potential diagnostic value for CTD-ILD. Moreover, our study is the first to point out that levels of CA153 >9.45 U/mL

| Tumor Markers | RA-ILD (n=91) | SS-ILD (n=19) | SLE-ILD (n=13) | IM-ILD (n=11) | Other CTD-ILD (n=11) | p value |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| CA19-9, U/mL  | 7.4 (0.0, 50.5) | 9.6 (0.6, 54) | 3.3 (0.4, 52.1) | 6.8 (0.0, 26.9) | 4.1 (0.0, 18.2) | 0.080   |
| CA125, U/mL   | 19.1 (3.4, 85.3) | 12.7 (3.2, 36.7) | 13.1 (5.4, 95.7) | 10.9 (4.3, 69.1) | 23 (8.1, 29.8) | 0.346   |
| CEA, ng/mL    | 1.73 (0.42, 8.36) | 1.97 (0.58, 2.84) | 0.92 (0.34, 6.55) | 1.15 (0.64, 4.78) | 2.36 (0.65, 3.51) | 0.142   |
| CA153, U/mL   | 10.6 (4.24, 9) | 11.8 (2.8, 28.3) | 8.5 (4.7, 19.4) | 11.1 (7, 36.6) | 8.2 (4.7, 20.5) | 0.686   |
| CYFRA21-1, ng/mL | 2.36 (0.56, 7.54) | 2.32 (1.14, 4.20) | 2.42 (0.84, 3.68) | 2.31 (1.54, 4.57) | 2.25 (0.96, 3.76) | 0.938   |

**Abbreviations:** CTDs, connective tissue diseases; ILDs, interstitial lung diseases; CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SS, Sjogren’s syndrome; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; IM, inflammatory myositis; CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment.
Table 4 Spearman Correlation Coefficient Values of Tumor Markers and Disease Characteristics of CTDs

| Tumor Markers | Age | ESR | CRP |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
| CA19-9        | 0.1549*** | 0.0936 | 0.0209 |
| CA125         | 0.0030 | 0.0822 | 0.1984 |
| CEA           | 0.2405*** | -0.0314 | -0.0740 |
| CA153         | 0.0824 | 0.0705 | -0.0121 |
| CYFRA21-1     | 0.1475* | -0.0774 | -0.1023 |

Notes: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.
Abbreviations: CTDs, connective tissue diseases; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment.

Table 5 Logistic Regression Analysis of the Association of Tumor Markers and CTD-ILD

| Tumor Markers | p value | OR | 95% CI |
|---------------|---------|----|--------|
| CA19-9        | 0.173   | 1.034 | (0.989, 1.089) |
| CA125         | 0.547   | 1.008 | (0.982, 1.037) |
| CEA           | 0.056   | 1.384 | (1.006, 1.970) |
| CA153         | 0.001   | 1.159 | (1.064, 1.276) |
| CYFRA21-1     | <0.001  | 2.269 | (1.482, 3.619) |

Abbreviations: CTD-ILD, connective tissue disease-related interstitial lung disease; CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 6 AUC, Cut-Off Value, and Sensitivity and Specificity of Tumor Markers Level for Diagnosis of ILDs Using a ROC Curve

| Tumor Markers | AUC (95% CI) | Cut-Off Value | Sensitivity, % | Specificity, % |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| CA19-9        | 0.626 (0.554, 0.697) | 6.75 U/mL | 56.6 | 70.0 |
| CA125         | 0.556 (0.483, 0.630) | 26.6 U/mL | 33.1 | 93.3 |
| CEA           | 0.680 (0.611, 0.748) | 1.79 ng/mL | 49.0 | 86.7 |
| CA153         | 0.736 (0.672, 0.801) | 9.45 U/mL | 58.6 | 86.7 |
| CYFRA21-1     | 0.718 (0.649, 0.786) | 2.13 ng/mL | 60.7 | 85.6 |
| Combination of CA153 and CYFRA21-1 | 0.776 (0.715, 0.836) | - | 75.2 | 72.2 |

Abbreviations: ILDs, interstitial lung diseases; CA, carbohydrate antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment; AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval.
because of the retrospective observational design of the study. We were therefore unable to follow-up the occurrence of cancer in the preceding years and accordingly longitudinal and prospective cohort studies are required. Second, although we found that the levels of tumor markers were not significantly different in the various types of CTDs, this may have been a consequence of the relatively small number of other CTDs compared to that of RA. Third, there was a paucity of pulmonary function test data for all patients and HRCT scores for patients with ILD. These data would be valuable for evaluating the severity of ILDs. Finally, comparison with other candidate biomarkers for CTD-ILD, such as KL-6 is lacking. A prospective study involving measurement of the level of tumor markers and other circulating biomarkers, pulmonary function tests, and chest HRCT scoring would supplement future investigations in this area.

Conclusion
There is a positive correlation between the levels of serum tumor markers and CTD-ILD. Higher levels of CA153 and CYFRA21-1 suggest an increased risk of developing ILD and may be useful biomarkers for detection of CTD-ILD in the clinical setting.
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