Delayed Hopf bifurcation and control of a ferrofluid interface via a time-dependent magnetic field
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A ferrofluid droplet confined in a Hele-Shaw cell can be deformed into a stably spinning “gear,” using crossed magnetic fields. Previously, fully nonlinear simulation revealed that the spinning gear emerges as a stable traveling wave along the droplet’s interface bifurcates from the trivial (equilibrium) shape. In this work, a center manifold reduction is applied to show the geometrical equivalence between a two-harmonic-mode coupled system of ordinary differential equations arising from a weakly nonlinear analysis of the interface shape and a Hopf bifurcation. The rotating complex amplitude of the fundamental mode saturates to a limit circle as the periodic traveling wave solution is obtained. An amplitude equation is derived from a multiple-time-scale expansion as a reduced model of the dynamics. Then, inspired by the well-known delay behavior of time-dependent Hopf bifurcations, we design a slowly time-varying magnetic field such that the timing and emergence of the interfacial traveling wave can be controlled. The proposed theory allows us to determine the time-dependent saturated state resulting from the dynamic bifurcation and delayed onset of instability. The amplitude equation also reveals hysteresis-like behavior upon time reversal of the magnetic field. The state obtained upon time reversal differs from the state obtained during the initial (forward-time) period, yet it can still be predicted by the proposed reduced-order theory.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Ferrofluids are stable colloidal suspensions of nanometer-sized magnetic particles dispersed in a nonmagnetic carrier fluid \cite{1,2}. The rheological behavior of these “smart” fluids is typically Newtonian, yet ferrofluids can flow in response to external magnetic fields \cite{3,4}. The most visually striking example of such a “remote control” of the fluid is the motion of the interface between a ferrofluid and air \cite{5}. This behavior allows for convenient, non-invasive manipulation of ferrofluids interfaces and flows, which has motivated a number of potential applications ranging from drug delivery \cite{6} to mechanical characterization of tissues \cite{7} and soft robotics \cite{8,10}.

Ferrofluids’ interfacial dynamics are also widely studied from the fundamental point of view. One canonical system is a two-dimensional free surface flow confined to a Hele-Shaw cell (i.e., the small gap between two large, rigid plates \cite{11}), which provides a fertile ground for exploring nonlinear physics \cite{12}. In this context, driven ferrofluids exhibit pattern formation. One remarkable type of pattern is the so-called labyrinthine instability \cite{13,14}, caused by imposing a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to a horizontal Hele-Shaw cell. Another pattern-forming phenomenon studied analytically \cite{15,16} is a ferrofluid droplet in a Hele-Shaw cell subject to a radial magnetic field. The droplet interface experiences linear instability and evolves into a stationary starfish-like pattern. Statics and dynamics of a ferrofluid droplet in both rotating \cite{17} and motionless \cite{18} Hele-Shaw cells subjected to an azimuthal magnetic field have been studied using weakly nonlinear analysis. Next, to influence the interfacial mode selection, Jackson and Miranda \cite{19} introduced a model “crossed” magnetic field, which has both perpendicular and tangential components along a free ferrofluid interface. Recently, we investigated one such magnetic field setup, showing that the crossed field (with a combination of radial and azimuthal components) leads to the ferrofluid droplet achieving a stable profile shape that further rotates with a predictable angular velocity \cite{20}. This configuration was further studied in the context of the unstable evolution of the droplet \cite{21}, modified for a ferrofluid annulus \cite{22,23}, and also considered in the context of wave propagation under a thin-film long-wave equation \cite{24}. Despite previous work identifying the steady and periodic interfacial waves on a ferrofluid droplet under a combined radial and azimuthal magnetic field, this model problem has not been thoroughly investigated from a dynamical systems perspective.

A striking feature of pattern formation in confined ferrofluids, especially near the critical point of linear instability, is the apparent low dimensionality of the dynamics. This observation allows for a description of the complex dynamics of the fluid flow (in principle, infinite-dimensional) as a finite-dimensional system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The system of ODEs easily reveals the stable and unstable invariant objects in the phase space, such as steady states and periodic orbits. Canonical examples of such reductions can be traced back to the low-dimensional models of turbulence by Hopf \cite{25} and of atmospheric convection by Lorenz \cite{26}. In a Hele-Shaw cell, complex behaviors of bubble evolution including symmetry breaking, bistability, and non-trivial...
transients, were reported by Franco-Gómez et al. [27]. These dynamics were subsequently investigated theoretically by Keeler et al. [28], using a weakly nonlinear analysis in the physical domain, finding that unstable periodic orbits are edge states. Weakly nonlinear analysis can also be applied in the Fourier domain. Such a perturbative, second-order mode-coupling analysis was employed to study the pattern-forming dynamics in a Hele-Shaw cell with fluid injection [29], and then followed by extensive analytical studies of different control strategies (see, e.g., [10] [30] [31]). The Fourier-domain weakly nonlinear approach was used to identify the stationary shape [10] and traveling-wave profile [20] of a ferrofluid interface in a Hele-Shaw cell from a finite-dimensional system of ODEs, which is more computationally efficient than solving the Hele-Shaw equations along with the nonlinear interfacial conditions. However, a complete characterization of the dynamics (i.e., the stability of the orbits, and the type of the bifurcation) is lacking for these coherent structures.

External forcing strategies, for instance, the manipulation of the rigid geometry of the Hele-Shaw cell [32], using elastic-walled cells [33], and imposing an electric [34] or magnetic [35] field, are effective strategies for passive control. Recently, “nonstandard” time-dependent control strategies are also attracting attention [36]. Early theoretical and experimental work by Cardoso and Woods [37] showed that the interfacial instabilities are suppressed if the injection rate in a radial Hele-Shaw flow follows a power law in time. Their idea was refined by Li et al. [38], whose numerical and experimental study manipulated fingering patterns by controlling the injection rate of the less viscous fluid. More recently, Zheng et al. [39] proposed a time-dependent strategy for manipulating the fingering pattern (instability can either be suppressed or a fingering pattern, with a prescribed number of fingers, can be selected and maintained) using a time-varying gap thickness in a lifting Hele-Shaw cell (see also [40]). Meanwhile, Anjos et al. [41] designed control protocols to produce self-similar patterns in electro-osmotic flow by adjusting both the electric current and the flow rate. Similarly, time-varying external forcing is easy to achieve for ferrofluids, without altering the cell geometry. For example, Jackson et al. [42] proposed a simple model using a linearly increasing magnetic field strength to achieve pattern selection.

A universal feature of time-dependent nonlinear dynamical systems is the phenomenon of bifurcation delay. Examples include the Eckhaus instability of a stretching spatially periodic pattern [43] [44] and the time-dependent dissipative Swift–Hohenberg model for crown formation during the splashing of a drop onto a liquid film [45]. Finite-time evolution of a dynamic instability is characterized by two instability onset times: (i) the time at which the equilibrium loses its stability, and then (ii) the time at which the solution is repelled from the equilibrium. The nonzero difference between these two times is termed the bifurcation delay. Clearly, such a phenomenon is expected to occur for ferrofluid interfaces under time-dependent magnetic fields. However, it has not been discussed previously.

Thus, motivated by the prior studies and the knowledge in understanding the nonlinear dynamics and bifurcations of confined ferrofluid interfaces under time-dependent forcings, in this work, we first use a two-harmonic-mode coupled ODE system to approximate the weakly nonlinear dynamics (Secs. IV and VII). Then, we adopt a center manifold reduction to show the geometrical equivalence between this two-mode ODE system and the Hopf bifurcation (Sec. IV). Inspired by the delayed Hopf bifurcation [43] [46], in which the dynamics is infinitesimally slow until a critical time, at which the system abruptly begins to oscillate with a large amplitude, we show that such time-accumulated instability can be used to manipulate pattern evolution in our ferrofluid Hele-Shaw model (Secs. V and VI). Finally, conclusions are stated in Sec. VII. Appendices A and B provide further technical details and examples for the reader’s convenience.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Inspired by an early, Cartesian model of interfacial waves driven by a “tilted” magnetic field [37], in our previous work [20], we proposed a static nonuniform magnetic field configuration $\mathbf{H}$, under which a ferrofluid droplet can deform, driven by interfacial waves, into a spinning gear. The droplet is confined in the Hele-Shaw cell, and $\mathbf{H}$ consists of the combination of an azimuthal field and a radial field. Thus, $\mathbf{H}$ forms an angle with the initially undisturbed (circular) interface of the confined droplet, as shown in Fig. 1(a). A time-dependent field can be generated by varying the magnitude and the direction of the currents in the central wire (for the azimuthal field) or in the anti-Helmholtz coils (for the radial field). A linear closure for the ferrofluid’s magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ is usually assumed under a static or quasi-static field since the time scale of the magnetic relaxation is several orders smaller than the flow scale [42] [45]. Thus, when we discuss the dynamics under a time-dependent field in Sec. VII, it is still under the linear magnetization assumption: $\mathbf{M}(t) \parallel \mathbf{H}(t)$. In the configuration shown in Fig. 1(a), the droplet experiences both a magnetic body force and a surface traction $z \propto (\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2$ [3], where $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the outward unit normal vector at the mobile interface. The projection of $\mathbf{M}$ onto $\mathbf{n}$ breaks the symmetry of the initial droplet interface, and causes the droplet to rotate [20].

When linearly unstable, small perturbations of the droplet’s shape grow exponentially and, then, saturate to a permanent traveling wave (causing the droplet to rotate) as shown in Fig. 1(b,c). In [20], the nonlinear evolution was studied mainly through fully nonlinear simulation. The low-dimensional ODEs, such as Eqs. (2) and (4) to be discussed below, arising from a weakly non-
linear analysis can also serve as a good approximation of the shape, but do not provide dynamical intuition beyond the initial, linear growth regime. In this study, we first derive a simpler model, using weakly nonlinear analysis, which allows us to gain dynamical insights. Then, we compare this new model with the nonlinear simulations performed using a vortex-sheet solver. The vortex-sheet method is a standard sharp-interface technique for simulating the dynamics of Hele-Shaw flows [40, 49, 50]. It is based on a boundary integral formulation in which the fluid–fluid interface is formally replaced by a generalized vortex sheet [51]. For the present problem, this type of solver was introduced and benchmarked in [20].

To start, we consider an initially circular interface with radius $R$ whose shape, defined as $r = h$ in the plane, is perturbed as $h(\theta, t) = R + \xi(\theta, t)$, with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. The perturbation $\xi$ can be expanded into Fourier modes as

$$
\xi(\theta, t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \xi_k(t) e^{ik\theta},
$$

where $\xi_k(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ are the complex Fourier amplitudes with azimuthal wavenumbers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Through a weakly nonlinear analysis [20], the dimensionless evolution equations of the mode amplitudes, up to second order in $\xi$, can be found [20] to be:

$$
\dot{\xi}_k = \Lambda(k)\xi_k + \sum_{k' \neq 0} F(k, k')\xi_{k'}\xi_{k-k'} + G(k, k')\xi_{k'}^2\xi_{k-k'},
$$

where we have defined the linear growth rate of mode $k$ as

$$
\Lambda(k) = \left|\frac{k}{R^3}(1-k^2) - \frac{2N_{Ba}}{R^4}|k| + 2(1+\chi)N_{Br}|k|\right|^2 - 2\chi\sqrt{N_{Ba}N_{Br}}|k|.
$$

Here, $N_{Ba}$ and $N_{Br}$ are magnetic Bond (dimensionless) numbers that represent the ratio of the strengths of the corresponding magnetic body forces arising from the azimuthal and radial magnetic field components, respectively, to the capillary force. The nonlinear interaction functions $F(k, k')$ and $G(k, k')$ in Eq. 2, which also depend on $N_{Ba}$ and $N_{Br}$, are given in [20]. Note that under the static magnetic field in this section, $N_{Ba}$ and $N_{Br}$ are constants. When the magnetic field is made time-dependent (to be discussed in Sec. IV), $N_{Ba} = N_{Ba}(t)$, $N_{Br} = N_{Br}(t)$, and $\Lambda = \Lambda(k, t)$ accordingly.

The simulations in [20] showed that the droplet shape exhibits a long-wave instability, and a finite number of harmonic modes can appropriately describe the dynamics. In this study, we are interested in the dynamics around the critical point, i.e., when the system achieves $\Re[\Lambda(k_f)] = 0$, where $k_f$ is the fundamental mode (we set $k_f = 7$ as in [20]). When the fundamental mode is marginally unstable, i.e., $\Re[\Lambda(k_f)] > 0$, a small number of harmonic modes is sufficient to approximate the fully nonlinear dynamics. Thus, we first truncate Eq. 2 with four harmonic modes, $k = k_f, 2k_f, 3k_f, 4k_f$, representing the interactions with the fundamental mode. The representation using only four harmonic modes is sufficient for the parameters used in this study. This fact will be demonstrated a posteriori by comparison to the fully nonlinear simulation in Figs. 4, 7, 8, and 9.

To obtain an explicit-in-time system of equations for $\xi_k$, we further eliminate $\xi_{k'}$ on the right-hand side of Eq. 2 by reusing the equation itself. We thus obtain a system of four nonlinear ODEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= a_1x + a_2x^2y + a_3y^2z + a_4z^2p, \\
\dot{y} &= b_1y + b_2x^2z + b_3y^2p + b_4x^2, \\
\dot{z} &= c_1z + c_2x^2p + c_3xy, \\
\dot{p} &= d_1p + d_2xz + d_3y^2,
\end{align*}
$$

where $x = \xi_{k_f}$, $y = \xi_{2k_f}$, $z = \xi_{3k_f}$, $p = \xi_{4k_f}$. The superscript * denotes complex conjugation. The system
Figure 2. The evolution of the fundamental mode $k_f$ from a fully nonlinear simulation with $N_{Ba} = 1$ and $N_{Br} = 13$.

[54x225] retains all second-order terms in the perturbation’s amplitude. The expressions for the complex coefficients $a_j$, $b_j$, $c_j$, and $d_j$ are given in Appendix A.

III. TRAVELING WAVE SOLUTION AND ITS STABILITY

The system (4) can be conveniently written in polar form by setting $j = r_j(t)e^{i\phi_j(t)}$, where $j \in \{x, y, z, p\}$. Under this transformation, the evolution equations for the amplitudes $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and phase angles $\phi_j \in \mathbb{R}$ of the first four harmonic modes become decoupled, yielding separate ODEs for the real and imaginary parts of the complex ODEs. The complex ODEs are written out in Appendix B.

For the original droplet problem, the traveling wave solution on the periodic domain $[0, 2\pi]$ can be written as $\xi(\theta, t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_k(t)e^{ik\theta}$, where the real amplitudes $r_k$ are independent of time and related to the complex amplitudes in Eq. (1) via $\xi_k = r_ke^{-ikv_p t} + \phi_{0,k}$. The phase depends on time as $\phi_k(t) = k(\theta - v_p t) + \phi_{0,k}$, such that $\dot{\phi}_k = -kv_p$ with $v_p$ being the (right) propagation speed of the traveling wave. Here, the $\phi_{0,k}$ describe the relative phase difference with respect to the fundamental mode. One example of a fully nonlinear simulation is shown in Fig. 2 where the magnitude $r_k = |\xi_k(t)|$ of the fundamental mode’s rotating complex amplitude $\xi_k(t)$ saturates to a constant as the traveling wave solution is achieved.

To understand this traveling wave solution, we set $\dot{r}_x = \dot{r}_y = \dot{r}_z = \dot{r}_p = 0$ and

$$\phi_x = \Omega t, \quad \phi_y = 2\Omega t + \phi_{0,y}, \quad \phi_z = 3\Omega t + \phi_{0,z}, \quad \phi_p = 4\Omega t + \phi_{0,p},$$  

where $\Omega = -kfv_p$ is the rate of change of the phase of the fundamental mode $k_f$. Substituting the traveling wave solution (5) into the system (4) (or in the polar form system (B1)) gives rise to:

$$(i\Omega - a_1)r_x = a_2r_x r_y e^{iD} + a_3r_y r_z e^{iA} + a_4r_z r_p e^{iB},$$  

$$(i2\Omega - b_1)r_y = b_2r_x r_z e^{iA} + b_3r_y r_p e^{iC} + b_4r_z e^{-iD},$$  

$$(i3\Omega - c_1)r_z = c_2r_x r_y e^{iB} + c_3r_y r_p e^{iA},$$  

$$(i4\Omega - d_1)r_p = d_2r_x r_z e^{-iB} + d_3r_y e^{-iC},$$

where $A = \phi_{0,z} - \phi_{0,y}$, $B = \phi_{0,p} - \phi_{0,z}$, $C = \phi_{0,p} - 2\phi_{0,y}$ are the relative phase differences. The latter three unknowns, together with $r_x$, $r_y$, $r_z$, $r_p$, and $\Omega$, characterize the nonlinear traveling wave; note that $D$ is calculated from $A$, $B$, and $C$ as $D = A + B - C$.

Equations (6) are solved using a Newton–Krylov method available in the SciPy library [52]. The solutions are shown in Fig. 3. Near the critical point of the system, when $\Re(a_1) = 0$, the magnitudes of the higher-order modes (i.e., $r_z$ and $r_p$) become small (comparable to machine precision), and the Newton–Krylov method struggles to converge.

On the other hand, as the nonlinearity becomes weaker, the system can be approximated by an even lower-order system. Taking $z = p = 0$, the system (4) reduces to

$$\dot{x} = a_1x + a_2x^2y,$$  

$$\dot{y} = b_1y + b_2y^2,$$  

Figure 3. The fundamental mode’s amplitude bifurcates with $N_{Br}$. The circles mark the amplitude from the fully nonlinear simulations. The black and gray solid curves show the solution of the four-mode coupling system (6), while the gray curve (with negative amplitude) has no physical meaning. The black dashed line represents the unstable trivial solution $r_j = 0$, $j \in \{x, y, z, p\}$. The red curve shows the solution near the critical point obtained from the reduced model (5). The green dashed line shows the result from the center manifold reduction (13). The blue dotted line shows the multiple-time-scale analysis result from Eq. (21). An azimuthal field with $N_{Br} = 1$ is used, and to set the critical point of the system, i.e., $\Re(a_1) = 0$ for $k_f = 7$, we must take $N_{Br} = 12.5$. 

[54x225] from a fully nonlinear simulation with $N_{Ba} = 1$ and $N_{Br} = 13$.
and the stationary solution \( r_x \) is found from (7) to satisfy
\[
(b_1 - 2i\Omega)(a_1 - i\Omega) = a_2b_1r_x^2. 
\] (8)

This stationary solution is shown in Fig. 3. One immediate conclusion that can be drawn from Eq. (8) is that at the critical point, when \( \Re(a_1) = 0 \), one solution is \( \Omega = 3(a_1) \) and \( r_x = 0 \). This solution corresponds to the non-hyperbolic equilibrium point. Along this solution branch of Eq. (8), if \( \Re(a_1) \) were to further decrease (and become negative), then \( r_x^2 < 0 \), and thus there are no real solutions for \( r_x \). In other words, the traveling wave solution does not exist (initial perturbation to the equilibrium state decay).

Next, we address the question of the stability of the traveling wave solution. We perturb the complex stationary solution by taking
\[
x(t) = (\epsilon_x + r_x e^{i\phi_0}) e^{i\Omega t}, 
\]
(9a)
\[
y(t) = (\epsilon_y + r_y e^{i\phi_0}) e^{i2\Omega t}, 
\]
(9b)
\[
z(t) = (\epsilon_z + r_z e^{i\phi_0}) e^{i3\Omega t}, 
\]
(9c)
\[
p(t) = (\epsilon_p + r_p e^{i\phi_0}) e^{i4\Omega t}. 
\] (9d)

The evolution of the perturbation \( \epsilon = [\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \epsilon_z, \epsilon_p]^T \) is given by \( \dot{\epsilon} = M\epsilon \), where the matrix \( M \) is given in Appendix C.

We find that the real part of the four eigenvalues of \( M \) is always negative for the range of parameters considered in this study (see Fig. 10 in Appendix C), which indicates that the traveling wave is on the stable solution branch of the dynamical system. This result agrees with the stability diagram numerically investigated in [20], wherein the traveling wave profiles were found to be local attractors. Also, while [20] studied the stability of the droplet profile in the physical domain, the current study revises and verifies the result in the Fourier domain.

The bifurcation of the amplitude \( r_x \) with increasing \( N_{Br} \) is shown in Fig. 3. A stable limit circle emerging from the trivial solution beyond a critical value of the parameter is, of course, the familiar Hopf bifurcation. The limit circle is the traveling wave solution with complex amplitude rotating at a constant speed \( \Omega \), which is also seen in Fig. 2. Next, we wish to understand the details and implications of this Hopf bifurcation of the ferrofluid droplet’s interface dynamics.

IV. SUPERCritical HOPF BIFurcation

The system (4) of four complex-valued nonlinear ODEs is challenging to analyze. Instead, to determine the properties of the observed bifurcation, we consider the reduced, two-mode system (7). This reduction is supported by the fact that, around the critical point (i.e., for weak nonlinearity), the dynamics can be well approximated by a small number of harmonic modes. Indeed, the fully nonlinear simulation in Fig. 4(a,b) shows that, around the critical point (here, \( N_{Br} = 12.5 \) when \( \Re(a_1) = 0 \)), the dynamics involves effectively only two harmonic modes (the fundamental mode \( k = k_f = 7 \) and its harmonic \( k = 2k_f = 14 \)). For larger \( N_{Br} \), the “strength” of the instability also increases (since \( a_1 \) increases with \( N_{Br} \)), and nonlinearity leads to the interaction of multiple harmonics modes, as seen in Fig. 4(c). However, around the critical point, as in Fig. 4(a,b), the system (7) captures the leading-order behavior.

The linearization of the system (7) around the fixed point \((x, y) = (0, 0)\) is simply
\[
\dot{x} = a_1x, \quad \dot{y} = b_1y. 
\] (10)

Thus, the dynamics of \( x \) and \( y \) are decoupled. We are only interested in leading mode, for which we have:
\[
\dot{x}_r = \Re(a_1)x_r - 3(\Re(a_1))x_1, 
\] (11a)
\[
\dot{x}_i = \Re(a_1)x_i + 3(\Re(a_1))x_r, 
\] (11b)

where \( x_r = \Re(x) \) and \( x_1 = \Im(x) \). The linearized system (11) has a pair of eigenvalues \( \lambda_\pm = \Re(a_1) \pm i\Im(a_1) \). Thus, the non-hyperbolicity condition (i.e., that one conjugate pair of imaginary eigenvalues exist at the critical point when \( \Re(a_1) = 0 \) and \( \Im(a_1) \neq 0 \)), and the transversality condition (i.e., that \( \partial\Re(a_1)/\partial N_{Br} \neq 0 \)) of the Hopf bifurcation are easily verified. To satisfy the genesis condition, however, the first Lyapunov coefficient needs to also be shown to be negative [53], such that the limit cycle is orbitally stable. However, the calculation of this coefficient is not trivial for higher-dimensional systems [53, 54]. Instead, we turn to the center manifold method to further reduce the dimensionality of the system (7) near the critical point and obtain a planar dynamical system.

A. Center manifold reduction

From the dynamics studied above, we expect the current system to have a parameter-dependent center manifold on which the system exhibits the Hopf bifurcation. In contrast, the behavior off the manifold is “trivial” (meaning that the leading mode dominates the dynamics).

A quadratic approximation is used to derive the finite-dimensional center manifold [53, 54]. Specifically, we assume the dynamics on the center manifold can be related by a scalar quadratic function \( y = V(x, x^*) \). For the system (7) near its critical point \((x, y) = (0, 0)\), we find the center manifold (see Appendix D) to be:
\[
W_c = \left\{ (x, y) : y = V(x) = \frac{b_1}{2a_1 - b_1}x^2 \right\}. 
\] (12)

Correspondingly, we have a locally topologically equivalent dynamical system [53]:
\[
\dot{x} = a_1x + \frac{a_2b_1}{2a_1 - b_1}|x|^2x, 
\] (13a)
\[
\dot{y} = 2a_1y. 
\] (13b)
Now, the equations for $x$ and $y$ are decoupled and Eq. (13a) is the restriction of the system (7) to its center manifold $W_c$. The dynamics of the system are essentially determined by this restriction, i.e., Eq. (13a), since (13b) is linear and its dynamics is trivial. Indeed, as shown in Fig. [4], Eq. (13a) accurately captures the evolution of $x$ from system (7) along the center manifold. It is also evident that Eq. (13a) even captures the original fully nonlinear system's dynamics (i.e., equations (2)-(4) from [20]). Further, the single ODE (13a) from the center manifold reduction also accurately predicts the permanent rotating droplet profile, especially near the critical point ($N_{Br} = 12.5$ as in Fig. [4](a,b)).

Notably, it takes four steps of reduction to obtain the single ODE (13a) from the original Hele-Shaw problem. First, we performed the weakly nonlinear expansion in the Fourier domain. Second, the weakly nonlinear expansion was truncated at a finite number of harmonic modes (four in the current study), to yield the system (4). Third, we approximated the system (4) by the two-harmonic-mode system (7) near the critical point. Fourth, along the center manifold, the system (7) becomes decoupled, and the leading mode's nonlinear evolution is accurately described by Eq. (13a). The second and third steps can be combined since they only depend on how many modes we wish to retain. In the physical system under consideration here, for weaker nonlinearity, a smaller number of interacting modes is present. Note that the system (7) can also be obtained by restricting the system (4) to its critical eigenspace $\{z = 0, p = 0\}$. This tangent approximation does not always guarantee topological equivalence [53, 54]. In the present problem, the specific meaning of the harmonic amplitudes, i.e., $x$, $y$, $z$, $p$, and the long-wave instability feature of the Hele-Shaw problem ensure the tangent approximation is successful.

**B. Normal form of the Hopf bifurcation**

Let $a_1 = \mu + i\omega$ ($\omega < 0$) and $\tau = -\omega t$, then Eq. (13a) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = (-\mu - i)x + \frac{a_2b_4}{-(2a_1-b_1)\omega} |x|^2x,$$

which is the normal form of a Hopf bifurcation [53] in which the motion along the limit cycle is counterclockwise. The rotation direction of our ferrofluid droplet is determined by the direction of the magnetic field's azimuthal component, and thus the sign of the imaginary part of the linear growth rate, as discussed in [20]. This sign does not change the stability of the system. For a dynamical system in the form (14), the first Lyapunov coefficient can be directly computed as $\Re \left[ \frac{-a_2b_4}{-(2a_1-b_1)\omega} \right]$, and shown to be always negative for the parameters chosen in this study. Thus, together with the condition $-\mu/\omega > 0$, the existence of a supercritical Hopf bifurcation is proven.

The corresponding stable limit cycle has radius

$$r_x = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu}{\Re \left[ \frac{-a_2b_4}{-(2a_1-b_1)\omega} \right]}}.$$

As expected, Fig. [3] shows that this radius can predict the amplitude of the traveling wave solution near the critical point of the system, i.e., when the ferrofluid interface experiences weak nonlinearity.

Equation (14) reveals that the linearly unstable but nonlinearly stable interfacial dynamics of the confined ferrofluid interface emerge via a Hopf bifurcation. We expect that this analysis can also be applied to other Hele-Shaw problems involving interfacial dynamics characterized by long-wave instability, such as the configuration in [47]. For systems exhibiting a long-wave instability, a finite set of wavenumbers usually dominates the dynamics, and thus the truncation to a finite-dimensional space, in the Fourier domain, is fruitful, reducing the original infinite-dimensional partial differential equations to a low-dimensional system of ODEs. Furthermore, in the weakly nonlinear regime, the number of unstable modes can be controlled such that two-mode interaction [7] can...
be analyzed via a center manifold reduction, while still revealing important dynamical features of the original infinite-dimensional problem, which has nonlocal dynamics as already hinted by the vortex-sheet formulation of the problem [24] [59].

The success of the center manifold reduction may appear surprising. The simple local equation [14a] successfully captures the nonlocal dynamics. This feature can be understood by considering the stationary pattern emerging from the balance of capillary and centrifugal forces, discussed by Álvarez Lacalle et al. [55]. For the stationary pattern, imposing the zero vorticity condition, the vortex-sheet formulation is reduced to a single geometric ODE in space. The solution of this geometric ODE is the well-known family of elasticas. Álvarez Lacalle et al. [55] build the connection between the elastica solutions of the Saffman–Taylor problem and the bifurcation analysis of interfacial growth problems. The unstable branch of the subcritical bifurcation diagram obtained from their amplitude equation is similar to Eq. [13a] herein. It is interesting to note that while Ref. [55] shows the linearly stable modes in the Saffman–Taylor problem are generically nonlinearly unstable (characterized by a subcritical bifurcation), the current study finds patterns that are nonlinearly stable (characterized by a supercritical bifurcation), even if linearly unstable. However, even though the vortex-sheet formulation of the problem from [55] and the present study are similar, a geometric ODE providing exact solutions cannot be obtained in the current work due to the dynamic nature (i.e., the nonzero interface velocity and local vorticity).

Although the proposed model reduction process, starting with the leading-order weakly nonlinear approximation and followed by the center manifold calculation, looks straightforward, it does not mean that the Hopf bifurcation result follows trivially. First, a complex linear growth rate is necessary such that, near the critical point of the system, a simple pair of complex-conjugate eigenvalues cross the imaginary axis when varying the controllable bifurcation parameter. The latter ensures the satisfaction of the non-hyperbolicity and transversality conditions. For example, when the linear growth rate is purely real (e.g., when the interface is subjected to only a radial magnetic field as in [10] [16]), a supercritical pitchfork bifurcation can be expected, from which a static gear-like pattern emerges. In comparison, the propagating interfacial wave, driven by the tilted magnetic field introduced in [17], is expected to be governed by a Hopf bifurcation. In addition, it must be properly shown that the physical configuration and parameters yield a negative first Lyapunov coefficient, which ensures that a stable limit cycle emerges from the bifurcation.

Another possibility is a dynamical bifurcation, such as a delayed bifurcation [40] [56]. In a delayed Hopf bifurcation, the dynamics is infinitesimally slow with respect to the bifurcation parameter. The real part of the linear growth rate is initially negative until a critical time, thereupon becoming positive, which causes the solution to abruptly begin to rotate with a large amplitude. Next, we would like to understand if a delayed bifurcation can be observed in the confined ferrofluid droplet problem. Further, we would like to determine how well the critical (delay) time can be approximated. To answer these questions, we first conduct a multiple-time-scale analysis of Eq. [7]. Then, we analyze a time-dependent problem with a slow-varying bifurcation parameter.

V. MULTIPLE-TIME-SCALE ANALYSIS

Multiple-time-scale analysis allows for the calculation of the leading effect of nonlinearity on the propagation of a harmonic wave [57]. Following the approach used in [24], to begin the multiple-time-scale analysis we perturb the bifurcation parameter with $a_1 = \epsilon^2 \sigma + i \omega$ around its critical value $\Re(a_1) = 0$, where again $\epsilon \ll 1$ is a small perturbation parameter, and $\sigma > 0$ is independent of $\epsilon$. The assumption that the linear growth rate is much smaller than the oscillation rate, i.e., $\epsilon \ll 1$ is supported by Fig. 2 in which the envelope and oscillations are clearly evolving on disparate time scales. This perturbation makes the leading mode marginally unstable and the only unstable mode of the system. We first rescale Eq. [7] to a small amplitude problem via $x \mapsto \epsilon x$ and $y \mapsto \epsilon y$:

\[
\begin{align}
\dot{x} &= (\epsilon^2 \sigma + i \omega)x + \epsilon a_2 x^2 y, \quad (16a) \\
\dot{y} &= b_1 y + \epsilon b_2 x^2. \quad (16b)
\end{align}
\]

Then, we assume that $x$ and $y$ have multiple-time-scale perturbation expansions in the form:

\[
\begin{align}
x(t, T_1) &= x_0(t, T_1) + \epsilon x_1(t, T_1) + \epsilon^2 x_2(t, T_1) + O(\epsilon^3), \quad (17a) \\
y(t, T_1) &= y_0(t, T_1) + \epsilon y_1(t, T_1) + \epsilon^2 y_2(t, T_1) + O(\epsilon^3). \quad (17b)
\end{align}
\]

The slow time scale is $T_1 = \epsilon^2 t$, and the time derivative transforms as $(\cdot)^{\prime} = d(\cdot)/dt = \partial(\cdot)/\partial t + \epsilon^2 \partial(\cdot)\partial T_1$. Substituting the time derivative and the expansion [17] into the small amplitude equation [16] gives rise to a series of problems at each order in $\epsilon$.

The leading-order problem, at $O(1)$, is

\[
\begin{align}
\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial t} - i \omega x_0 &= 0, \quad (18a) \\
\frac{\partial y_0}{\partial t} - b_1 y_0 &= 0, \quad (18b)
\end{align}
\]

which has a solution of the form

\[
\begin{align}
x_0(t, T_1) &= A_x(T_1) e^{i \omega t}, \quad (19a) \\
y_0(t, T_1) &= A_y(T_1) e^{b_1 t}, \quad (19b)
\end{align}
\]

subjected to the initial conditions $x_0(0, 0) = A_x(0) = X$, $y_0(0, 0) = A_y(0) = Y$, where $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}$. By eliminating
The slowly-varying time-dependent real growth rate taken into consideration (21) for time-varying magnetic fields. Above, we dynamically control the time evolution of the interface. The key insight is provided by a central question concerning pattern formation in time-dependent problem.

VI. TIME-DEPENDENT PROBLEM

A central question concerning pattern formation in time-dependent systems is how unsteady external forces affect the phase space structures and their evolution. This question is somewhat analogous to the question of how the quasistatic variation of a bifurcation parameter affects local attractors. The key insight is provided by the supercritical Hopf bifurcation, for which the instability onset (when the solution is repelled from the equilibrium) occurs later than the instant when the equilibrium loses its stability.

Above, we have shown that the amplitude equation (21) can predict the permanent rotating shape (traveling wave profile) seen in the nonlinear simulations of the confined ferrofluid droplet. Now, we move on to the question of dynamics: using the bifurcation delay feature to dynamically control the time evolution of the interface.

To start, we first reconsider the amplitude equation (21) for time-varying magnetic fields. Above, we took \( a_1 = c^2 \kappa + \omega \), where \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \). Now, instead, consider the slowly-varying time-dependent real growth rate \( \kappa = \kappa(T_1) = \kappa_0 + IT_1 \), and thus

\[
a_1 = a_1(T_1) = c^2(\kappa_0 + IT_1) + i\omega,
\]

where \( c^2 \kappa_0 \) is the small initial growth rate, which can be either positive or negative. Here, \( c^2 I \) is the slow evolution rate of \( R(a_1) \) on the long time scale \( T_1 \), i.e., \( dR(a_1)/dT_1 = c^2 I \). Physically, the linear variation of \( a_1 \) with \( T_1 \) can be achieved by controlling the combination of azimuthal and radial magnetic field strengths, or \( N_{Bz}(t) \) and \( N_{Br}(t) \), respectively. For example, we can take \( N_{Bz} = 1 \) and set \( N_{Br}(t) \) to be a suitable linear function of time.

Next, the amplitude equation (21) can be shown, via the same analysis as before, to take the form:

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{dT_1} = (\kappa_0 + IT_1)\alpha + Q\alpha^3,
\]

and its solution is given by

\[
\alpha(T_1) = \exp\left(\kappa_0 T_1 + \frac{1}{2} IT_1^2\right)
\times \left[\left(-Q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{\kappa_0^2}{4}} \text{erfi}\left(\frac{\kappa_0 + IT_1}{\sqrt{IT_1}}\right) + c\right)^{-1/2}\right],
\]

where \( c = 1/X^2 + Q\sqrt{\pi/2} e^{-\kappa_0^2/4} \text{erfi}(\kappa_0/\sqrt{IT_1}) \) is a constant related to the initial value \( X = \alpha(T_1 = 0) \). The imaginary error function \( \text{erfi} \) is defined via \( \text{erfi}(iz) \).

The solution (24) for \( \kappa_0 < 0 \) is shown in Fig. 3(a). For \( T_1 < T_c \), the linear growth rate is such that \( R(a_1) = 0 \), and the initial small perturbation decays, as shown in the inset. At \( T_1 = T_c \), \( a_1(T_c) = 0 \) and the equilibrium loses its linear stability. Now, the amplitude starts to grow, yet it remains infinitesimally small with respect to the initial perturbation. Next, at \( T_1 = T_{exit} (> T_c) \), the initial perturbation amplitude is recovered, and now the solution starts to repel from the initial state. Subsequently, the amplitude increases abruptly due to the positive linear growth rate. This exponential increase is also observed in the time-independent problem, as shown in Fig. 4 which is followed by the saturation of the energy (i.e., emergence of the permanent traveling wave profile).

Under the proposed time-dependent field, the exponential increase is followed by a slow increase, which is identified as the quasistatic region, in which the solution slowly varies with the bifurcation parameter. As seen from Fig. 5(a), the time-dependent solution (24) saturates to the quasistatic solution

\[
\alpha_s = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_0 + IT_1}{-Q}},
\]

which is obtained by setting \( d\alpha/dT_1 = 0 \) in Eq. (23). This saturation can be intuitively understood as the balance of the exponential factor \( e^{IT_1^2/2} \) and the decay factor \( \text{erfi}((\kappa_0 + IT_1)/\sqrt{IT_1})^{-1/2} \) as \( T_1 \to \infty \) in the time-dependent solution (24). This balance also provides the possibility of predicting the delay time \( T_c \) analytically.
A. Approximation of the bifurcation delay time

To approximate the delay time $T_e$, we consider the equation

$$\frac{\alpha(T_e)}{\alpha_s(T_e)} = \rho,$$

(26)
such that when $T_1 > T_e$, $\alpha/\alpha_s > \rho$. In this study, we take $\rho = 0.99$ without loss of generality. Now, we would like to determine $T_e$ from Eq. (26) and establish the quality of this approximation. To this end, we use the quasistatic solution (25) and time-dependent solution (24) to calculate the ratio

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha_e^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{I}{\kappa^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{Q X^2} e^{K_0/4} \right) e^{-\kappa^2/4} R + O(\kappa^{-4}),$$

(27)

where the expansion is valid for $\kappa = \kappa_0 + IT_1 \rightarrow \infty$.

The following expansion of the imaginary error function at infinity (as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$) [59] has been used:

$$\text{erfi}(z) = e^{z^2/2} \sqrt{\pi/2} \left( z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} z^{-3} + \frac{3}{4} z^{-5} + \cdots \right) - i. $$

(28)

Further, the coefficient $\sqrt{\pi/2} \left[ \text{erfi}(\kappa_0/\sqrt{I}) + i \right]$ of the exponentially decaying term $e^{-\kappa^2/4}$ is neglected when compared to terms of $O(1/X^2)$ for $X \ll 1$.

Note that Eq. (25) is valid only if $\kappa_0 + IT_1 > 0 \forall T_1$, i.e., $\kappa_0 > 0$. Thus, when $\kappa_0 > 0$, the time $T_e$ can be evaluated via Eqs. (27) and (26). Specifically, $T_e$ solves

$$1 + \frac{I}{2} (\kappa_0 + IT_e)^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 + IT_e}{-Q} X^2 e^{-(2\kappa_0 T_e + IT_e^2)} \approx \frac{1}{\rho^2},$$

(29)

For $\kappa_0 < 0$, $T_e$ can instead be written as $T_e = T_e + T_{e,2}$, where $T_{e,2}$ is the critical time defined by requiring a vanishing linear growth rate ($\kappa(T_e) = 0$). When $T_1 > T_e$, $\kappa < 0$, and perturbations decay. Thus, we use the approximation $\alpha/\alpha_s = (\kappa_0 + IT_1)/\alpha$. At $T_1 = T_e$, the initial perturbation $X$ decreases to its minimum value of $\alpha_c$, where

$$\alpha_c = X \exp \left( \int_0^{T_e} \frac{\kappa_0 + IT_1}{\alpha} \, dT_1 \right) = X e^{-\kappa_0/(2I)}.$$

(30)

For $T_1 > T_e$, $\kappa > 0$, Eq. (29) can be used to evaluate $T_{e,2}$, by substituting $X_2 = \alpha_c$ as the initial value and $\alpha_{0,2} = 0$.

When $X^2 \gg \frac{2}{Q I} (\kappa + IT_e)^3 e^{-(2\kappa_0 T_e + IT_e^2)}$, the effect of the initial perturbation amplitude is no longer important, and the delay time can be explicitly predicted by

$$T_e \approx \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2I (1 - \rho^2)}} - \frac{\kappa_0}{I},$$

(31)

or $\kappa = \kappa_0 + IT_e = \sqrt{I \rho^2 / 2 (1 - \rho^2)}$. As shown in Fig. 6 for fixed $\kappa_0$ and $I$, the delay time $T_e$ first decreases as the initial perturbation increases, and then starts to saturate (around $10^{-3}$) to the value determined by $\kappa_0$ and $I$ only. Note that $\kappa_0$ and $I$ are controllable parameters corresponding to the external forces, and thus in the physical system, as long as the droplet is perturbed by a
Perceivable amplitude (say, $> 0.1\%$ of its initial radius), the delay time can be explicitly computed/controlled via Eq. (31). Figure 7 shows that the delay time $T_e$ evaluated from Eq. (29), based on the physical parameters and initial perturbation can predict the bifurcation delay. Further, it is evident that this prediction compares favorably with the delayed time observed in the multiple-time-scale analysis and the fully nonlinear simulations. For example, $T_e$ can be taken as the minimum time needed for the time-dependent evolution to saturate to a predictable stationary state. When $T_1 < T_e$, the dynamics is governed by exponential growth or decay. Subsequently, the amplitude experiences limited growth constrained by nonlinearity. Finally, when $T_1 > T_e$, the dynamics saturate to a state governed by the balance of nonlinearity and dispersion, and the interface evolution is determined by the quasistatic variation of the bifurcation parameter, i.e., the system responds to the (slow) external forcing instantaneously.

Figure 8 shows fully nonlinear simulation examples using the value of $T_e$ to control the droplet’s evolution. The azimuthal field’s strength is fixed via $N_{Ba} = 1$, and the radial field’s strength, set by $N_{Br}$, is determined through $a_1 = z_0 + It$ for $t \leq T_{off}$. For $T_1 > T_{off}$, $N_{Br}(T_1) = N_{Br}(T_{off})$, i.e., both fields are static. Figure 8 shows three cases, for different values of $T_{off}$ but with the same initial perturbation strength $X = 0.001$, and the same physical parameters (corresponding to $I = 400$, $z_0 = -40$). In Fig. 8(a) $T_{off} < T_e$. In this case, the radial field’s strength stops increasing when the droplet amplitude is still in the linear regime, so it grows exponentially. In Fig. 8(b,c), $T_{off} \geq T_e$, and the radial field’s strength stops increasing when the droplet begins to settle into the permanent rotating state. Note that, while $T_e$ is calculated through the multiple-time-scale analysis (which is a reduced model involving only two harmonic modes), it can still effectively capture the saturated state from the fully nonlinear simulations. The delay time $T_e$ can be controlled via an external magnetic field, which allows targeting the shape of the droplet, by evaluating the quasistatic solution $\alpha_s = \sqrt{-\kappa/Q}$ with the linear growth rate $\kappa$ at the targeted time $T_{off}$.

### B. Irreversible dynamics under a time-reversed magnetic field

In the classic film *Low Reynolds Number Flows* [60], G. I. Taylor explained the physical meaning of reversibility — “low Reynolds number flows are reversible when the direction of motion of the boundaries, which gave rise to the flow, is reversed.” The reversibility of Stokes flow is due to its steadiness and the fact that inertial forces are negligible. In this time-independent flow, the time-reversed problem solves the same equations as the original Stokes flow. These equations are linear in Taylor’s example of Couette flow. The reversed fluid flow is the result of reversing the direction of the external forcing (rotation of the cylinder in the Couette flow example shown by Taylor). The reversibility is at first surprising, as it can be used to show that the initial state of the fluid is recovered under flow reversal, which in some ways may contradict intuition based on observations of everyday fluid flows.

In this study, the original problem is a Hele-Shaw flow, which in general is also expected to be reversible like a Stokes flow. Yet, the reversibility of the dynamics of the confined ferrofluid droplet is not an obvious consequence because nonlinearity arises from the surface
forces (capillary tension and magnetic traction) acting on the fluid-fluid interface. The interface is also subjected to unsteady forcing by the time-dependent external magnetic field. And, thus, time-reversing the magnetic field strengths does not return the fluid interface back to its initial shape. This irreversibility is demonstrated in Fig. [8] in which the fully nonlinear simulations show the perturbation amplitude upon time-reversing the magnetic field can be (a) smaller, (b) similar, or (c) larger than the initial perturbation.

The reversed process is initialized with the final state \((T_f, \alpha_f)\) from the forward process, then \(N_{Ba} = 1\) is fixed, and \(N_{Bf}\) is manipulated such that the linear growth rate decreases linearly. Specifically, \(\Upsilon = \Re(\alpha_f) = \Upsilon_0 - IT_1\), where \(\Upsilon_0 = \kappa_0 + IT_1\). This protocol achieves the reversal process of the external field, and \(\Upsilon(t) = \kappa(T_f - t), \forall t \in [0, T_f]\). Note that, while the magnetic field is reversed, the external forces are not. The magnetic surface force depends on the interface’s shape, and the irreversible evolution of the interface implies the irreversibility of the external forces in this problem. Thus, it is of interest to determine how to evaluate \(\Upsilon(T_f)\), if the initial state corresponding to \(\kappa(0)\) cannot be fully recovered in this irreversible system.

To answer this question, we first utilize Eq. (21) from the multiple-time-scale analysis to formulate the reverse problem as:

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{dT_1} = (\Upsilon_0 - IT_1)\alpha + Q\alpha^3.
\]

The solution for \(\alpha\) can be calculated explicitly from

\[
S(T_{1,a}) = 2Q\tilde{S}(T_{1,a}) = S(T_{1,b}) = 2Q\tilde{S}(T_{1,b}),
\]

where the subscripts \(a, b\) denote two arbitrary states and

\[
S(t) = e^{2\Upsilon_0 t - t^2}, \quad \tilde{S}(t) = \int_0^t S(t') dt' = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{I_e}} e^{t^2/4t} \text{erf} \left(\frac{It - \Upsilon_0}{\sqrt{t}}\right).
\]

Taking \(T_{1,a} = 0, \alpha_a = \alpha_f\), then the reversed initial amplitude \(\alpha_0\) can be predicted at \(T_{1,a} = T_f\). One quick approximation can be made on finding the final state \((T_f, \alpha_f)\) when the forward evolution (23) enters the quasistatic region, i.e., \(T_f > T_s\) and the amplitude \(\alpha_f\) can be approximated by Eq. (25).

Figure 8 shows a comparison of the forward and reversed processes approximated by Eqs. (23) and (32). In the quasistatic region, the evolution is close to reversible, after which the reverse evolution does not experience a sudden decrease in amplitude, which would parallel the rapid increase during the forward process. The cycling process under the fully nonlinear simulation shows similar dynamics. It is interesting to note that \(\alpha_f\) from the simulation and Eq. (23) are different at \(t = T_f\), yet the predictions of both during the reverse process eventually coincide in the small-\(t\) region, meaning that Eq. (32) provides a good approximation to the reversed “initial” amplitude.

This result is very similar to the one reported in the experimental work [11], wherein the peak of a magnetic fluid interface attains different amplitudes at the same field strength upon cycling the external magnetic field. This effect was attributed to the strong permeability of the ferrofluid. While in our work, the hysteresis-like behavior is mainly due to the time-dependent field’s interaction with the interfacial nonlinearity, which is captured by the reduced models in Eq. (23) and Eq. (32). The difference between these evolution equations highlights the hysteresis-like behavior.

On the one hand, Eq. (32) provides a tool for predicting the time-reversed process. On the other hand, this equation also provides a new point of view on the observed irreversibility. Solutions to Eq. (23) in the \((T_f, \alpha)\) plane, and solutions to Eq. (32) in the \((T_f - T_s, \alpha)\) plane are two families of curves that intersect at \((T_f, \alpha_f)\). The initial...
Figure 9. Dynamics under a reversed-time magnetic field: comparison of the prediction from the multiple-time-scale analysis and the fully nonlinear simulations. The solid (resp. dashed) curves show the forward (resp. reverse) process for which $\lambda(k_f) > 0$ ($\lambda(k_f) < 0$). The black (resp. purple) curve shows the leading mode amplitude evolution from the multiple-time-scale analysis (resp. fully nonlinear simulations). The circle represents the $(T_f, \alpha_f)$ state. In (a), $T_f = 0.95$, $X = 0.001$, and $I = 200$. In (b), $T_f = 0.7$, $X = 0.001$, and $I = 400$. In (c), $T_f = 0.4$, $X = 0.002$, and $I = 800$. In all three simulations, $\kappa_0 = -40$, and $\epsilon = 1$ is taken such that $T_1$ and $t$ can be plotted at the same abscissa.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Previously, we demonstrated that the combination of static radial and azimuthal magnetic fields deforms a ferrofluid droplet confined in a Hele-Shaw cell into a stably spinning “gear,” whose rotation is driven by interfacial waves [20]. In this study, we show that a periodic traveling wave on the droplet’s interface is stable, and its dynamics is governed by a Hopf bifurcation at the critical growth rate. A center manifold reduction shows the geometrical equivalence between a two-harmonic-mode coupled ODE system describing the interface evolution and a supercritical Hopf bifurcation. This reduction is supported by the amplitude (Landau) equation derived from a multiple-time-scale analysis, which also reveals how the marginally unstable linear solution is equilibrated by weak nonlinearity. Both methods adequately predict the fully nonlinear evolution, as demonstrated by comparisons between the theory and fully nonlinear, interface-resolved simulations of the original PDE system.

The intrinsic reason why a simple, local ODE can approximate the fully nonlocal dynamics is discussed, also in the context of the static problem considered in [55]. However, unlike the case in [55], we are unable to obtain a single curvature ODE for the dynamic problem, due to the difficulty of eliminating the nonlocal term from the vortex-sheet formulation of the full Hele-Shaw problem. This task remains an open question, specifically whether such a single curvature ODE even exists to exactly describe the family of traveling wave solutions discussed herein. To further understand that challenge, suppose that vortex elements on the interface are subjected to rigid rotation. In this case, a moving frame transformation would eliminate the relative velocity (and, thus, the nonlocal term). However, to perform a moving frame transformation, the exact traveling wave velocity needs to be found, which is still nontrivial. On the other hand, if the interface is not rotating as a rigid body, then the elements on the interface have some local rotation rate, which collectively leads to the interfacial wave. In this case, when the local velocity is nonuniformly distributed along the interface, a moving frame transformation may not exist. The success of the approximations in the present work might imply the existence of such a curvature equation, but how to obtain it is left as an open question. Answering this question would surely provide further examples of the relevance of elastica solutions.

Next, with the reduced model revealing the key dynamical features, we designed a slowly-varying radial magnetic field such that the timing of the emergence of the spinning “gear” can be controlled. This work is inspired by the well-known delay behavior of dynamic Hopf bifurcations. In this study, the delay time is predicted based on the fact that the time-varying amplitude equation finally saturates to the quasistatic amplitude. This time can be manipulated purely via an external magnetic field by controlling the linear growth rate and its rate of change. We also studied the evolution under a time-reversed magnetic field. While we found that the evolution of the droplet is irreversible due to the nonlinearity in the interface condition, the reverse evolution, and the final stated achieved under it, can still be well approximated by the reversed amplitude equation.

In this work, the bifurcation parameter is controlled by a simple linear variation, which allows for the explicit analytical solution of the amplitude equation, and the approximation of the delay time. The linear variation with time is expected to be the simplest strategy that can be realized in experiments, as it only requires increas-
ing the magnetic field strength at a constant rate. Thus, by explicitly predicting the delay time, our work enables the effective design of the target control. Further, the selection of a linear variation scheme requires minimal algebraic calculations to obtain a straightforward prediction. Other control protocols, such as periodic forcing, can also be considered, providing a different view on the accumulation of the time-dependent evolution. For example, a log-varying, an exponentially increasing, and an oscillating time-dependent protocol are highlighted in Appendix F which may form the basis of further explorations. The proposed reduction method can be generally applied to other interfacial problems governed by a finite number of harmonic modes. Our mode-reduction approach also allows for the effective and computationally inexpensive prediction of the dynamics, as well as for “reverse engineering” of time-dependent forcing schemes (i.e., choosing a forcing that generates dynamics of interest), such as those aiming to achieve pattern stabilization [39] or self-similar evolution [38, 41] of fluids confined in Hele-Shaw cells.
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Appendix A: Coefficients for the reduced model

The coefficients in the system of ODEs (4) are

\[ a_1 = \Lambda(k), \]
\[ a_2 = F(k, -k) + F(k, 2k) \]
\[ + G(k, -k)\Lambda(-k) + G(k, 2k)\Lambda(2k), \]
\[ a_3 = F(k, -2k) + F(k, 3k) \]
\[ + G(k, -2k)\Lambda(-2k) + G(k, 3k)\Lambda(3k), \]
\[ a_4 = F(k, -3k) + F(k, 4k) \]
\[ + G(k, -3k)\Lambda(-3k) + G(k, 4k)\Lambda(4k), \]
\[ b_1 = \Lambda(2k), \]
\[ b_2 = F(2k, -k) + F(2k, 3k) \]
\[ + G(2k, -k)\Lambda(-k) + G(2k, 3k)\Lambda(3k), \]
\[ b_3 = F(2k, -2k) + F(2k, 4k) \]
\[ + G(2k, -2k)\Lambda(-2k) + G(2k, 4k)\Lambda(4k), \]
\[ b_4 = F(2k, k) + G(2k, k)\Lambda(k), \]
\[ c_1 = \Lambda(3k), \]
\[ c_2 = F(3k, -k) + F(3k, 4k) \]
\[ + G(3k, -k)\Lambda(-k) + G(3k, 4k)\Lambda(4k), \]
\[ c_3 = F(3k, k) + F(3k, 2k) \]
\[ + G(3k, k)\Lambda(k) + G(3k, 2k)\Lambda(2k), \]
\[ d_1 = \Lambda(4k), \]
\[ d_2 = F(4k, k) + F(4k, 3k) \]
\[ + G(4k, k)\Lambda(k) + G(4k, 3k)\Lambda(3k), \]
\[ d_3 = F(4k, 2k) + G(4k, 2k)\Lambda(2k), \]

where the functions \( F \) and \( G \) are given in [20].

Appendix B: Four-mode equation of motion in polar coordinate

The four-mode coupled system (4) written in polar is

\[ \dot{r}_x + i\dot{\phi}_x r_x = a_1 r_x + a_2 r_x r_y e^{i\phi_y - 2\phi_x} \]  
\[ + a_3 r_y^2 e^{i(\phi_y - \phi_x)} + a_4 r_x^2 r_y e^{i(\phi_y - \phi_x)}, \]  
\[ \dot{r}_y + i\dot{\phi}_y r_y = b_1 r_y + b_2 r_x r_y e^{i\phi_x - 2\phi_y} \]  
\[ + b_3 r_x^2 r_y e^{i(\phi_x - 2\phi_y)} + b_4 r_y^2 e^{i(2\phi_x - \phi_y)}, \]  
\[ \dot{r}_z + i\dot{\phi}_z r_z = c_1 r_z + c_2 r_x r_z e^{i(\phi_y - \phi_x)} \]  
\[ + c_3 r_y^2 r_z e^{i(\phi_x + \phi_y - \phi_z)}, \]  
\[ \dot{r}_p + i\dot{\phi}_p r_p = d_1 r_p + d_2 r_x r_p e^{i(\phi_x + \phi_y - \phi_p)} \]  
\[ + d_3 r_y^2 r_p e^{i(2\phi_x - \phi_p)}. \]  

Appendix C: Eigenvalues of perturbation growth matrix

The matrix \( M \) governing the evolution of perturbations, \( \dot{\epsilon} = M\epsilon \), in Eq. (9) is

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 - i\Omega + a_2 R_y & a_2 R_x + a_3 R_z & a_3 R_y + a_4 R_p & a_4 R_z \\ b_2 R_x + 2b_4 R_y & b_1 - 2i\Omega + b_5 R_p & b_5 R_x & b_3 R_y \\ c_2 R_y + c_3 R_z & c_3 R_y & (c_1 - 3i\Omega) & c_2 R_z \\ d_2 R_x & d_3 R_z & d_4 R_y & d_1 - 4i\Omega \end{bmatrix}. \]  

The real part of the four eigenvalues, \( \{v_i = \Re[\text{eig}(M)]\}_{i=1,2,3,4} \), are plotted in Fig. 10 as functions of \( N_{Br} \in [12.5, 60] \).

Figure 10. The real part of the four eigenvalues of traveling wave solution stability matrix \( M \) given in Eq. (C1).

Appendix D: Center manifold derivation

Assume the dynamics on the center manifold can be related by a scalar function \( y = V(x, x^*) \). To quadratic order, its Taylor series is

\[ V(x, x^*) = \frac{1}{2} g_{20} x^2 + g_{11} x x^* + \frac{1}{2} g_{02} x^* x + O(|x|^3). \]  

The unknown coefficient \( g_{20}, g_{11}, \) and \( g_{02} \) can be found by substituting (D1) into the reduced system (7):

\[ \dot{y} = V_x \dot{x} + V_{x^*} \dot{x}^* \]  
\[ = a_1 g_{20} x^2 + 2Re(a_1) g_{11} x x^* + a_1^* g_{02} x^* x + O(|x|^3), \]  
\[ \dot{y} = b_1 y + b_4 x^2 \]  
\[ = \left( \frac{b_1}{2} g_{20} + b_4 \right) x^2 + b_1 g_{11} x x^* + \frac{b_1}{2} g_{02} x^* x + O(|x|^3). \]

The equivalence of the two equations in system (D2) at \( O(|x|^2) \) gives \( g_{20} = 2b_4/(2a_1 - b_1) \) and \( g_{11} = g_{02} = 0 \).
Appendix E: Amplitude equation via multiple-time-scale analysys

Substituting the expansion \((\mathcal{O}(1))\) into the small amplitude equations \((\mathcal{O}(\epsilon))\), we obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} \right) (x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2) &= (x\epsilon^2 + \omega)(x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2) \\
&+ \epsilon \alpha_2 (x_0^2 + \epsilon x_1^2 + \epsilon^2 x_2^2)(y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \epsilon^2 y_2),
\end{align*}
\]

(E1a)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} \right) (y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \epsilon^2 y_2) &= b_1 (y_0 + \epsilon y_1 + \epsilon^2 y_2) + \epsilon b_4 (x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_2^2)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(E1b)

By collecting terms at \(\mathcal{O}(1)\), we obtain the leading-order equation \((\mathcal{O}(\epsilon))\) and its solution \((\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2))\).

Then, at \(\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\), the equation is

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} - i\omega x_1 &= a_2 x_0^* y_0 = a_2 A_x^* A_y e^{(b_1 - i\omega)t}, \\
\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial t} - b_1 y_1 &= b_4 x_0^2 = b_4 A_x^2 e^{2i\omega t},
\end{align*}
\]

(E2a)

(E2b)

which can be solved as

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= \frac{a_2}{b_1 - 2i\omega} \left( A_x^* A_y e^{(b_1 - i\omega)t} - X^* Y e^{i\omega t} \right), \\
y_1 &= \frac{-b_4}{b_1 - 2i\omega} \left( A_x^2 e^{2i\omega t} - X^2 e^{i\omega t} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

(E3a)

(E3b)

with initial condition \(x_1(0,0) = 0, y_1(0,0) = 0\).

Finally, at \(\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} - i\omega x_2 &= \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial T_1} + \alpha x_0 + a_2 (x_0^* y_1 + x_1^* y_0), \\
\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial t} - b_1 y_2 &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial T_1} y_0 + 2b_4 x_0 x_1,
\end{align*}
\]

(E4a)

(E4b)

with initial condition \(x_2(0,0) = 0, y_2(0,0) = 0\). The nonlinear term in Eq. (E4a) can be calculated as:

\[
x_0^* y_1 + x_1^* y_0 = A_x^* e^{-i\omega t} \left[ -\frac{b_4}{b_1 - 2i\omega} (A_x^2 e^{2i\omega t} - X^2 e^{i\omega t}) \right] + A_y (T_1) e^{b_1 t} \left[ -\frac{a_2}{b_1^* + b_2} (A_x^* A_y e^{(b_1 + i\omega)t} - X Y e^{-i\omega t}) \right].
\]

To eliminate the secular term, we require that

\[
\frac{\partial x_0}{\partial T_1} + \alpha x_0 + \frac{a_2 b_4}{2i\omega - b_1} A_x^* A_y^2 e^{i\omega t} = 0,
\]

(E5)

(E6)

which yields the amplitude equation

\[
\frac{dA_x}{dT_1} = \alpha A_x + \frac{a_2 b_4}{2i\omega - b_1} |A_x|^2 A_x.
\]

(E7)

Letting \(A_x = \alpha e^{i\beta}\), we have

\[
\frac{dA_x}{dT_1} = \left[ \frac{d\alpha}{dT_1} + i \frac{d\beta}{dT_1} \right] e^{i\beta},
\]

(E8)

and Eq. (E7) becomes

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{dT_1} = \alpha + \Re \left( \frac{a_2 b_4}{2i\omega - b_1} \right) \alpha^3, \quad \frac{d\beta}{dT_1} = \Im \left( \frac{a_2 b_4}{2i\omega - b_1} \right) \alpha^2.
\]

(E9a)

(E9b)

Appendix F: Other possible time-varying protocols

The analysis can be carried out for arbitrary time-varying protocols. In this appendix, we show three examples: a log-varying, an exponentially increasing, and an oscillating growth rate. We can observe that for a log-varying or an exponentially increasing growth rate, as in Fig. 11(a) and (b), respectively, \(\alpha\) will saturate to the quasi-static solution \(\alpha_s\). This is not the case, however, for the oscillating growth rate shown in Fig. 11(c).

This observation opens a series of follow-up questions: (i) How do we prove the saturation mathematically, and how do we obtain the explicit delay prediction like in Eq. (31)? (ii) How do we quantify the reliable prediction time range (since the exponential variation will quickly break down the slow-time-variation assumption)? (iii) How do we quantify the observed phase lag between the time-dependent solution and the quasi-static solution for an oscillating growth rate? These questions are left to future work.