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Abstract

The magnetostatic surface waves (MSSW) propagation in a layered structure composed of ferrite film covered by air and on top of metamaterial (MTM) placed on metal is discussed. Dispersion equations which relate the parameters of different layers are derived and used to analyse propagation of MSSW. It is found that the MSSW excitation band depends on the thickness of the MTM layer and ferrite layer.
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Introduction

Metamaterial (MTM) is a manmade material with negative value for both permittivity and permeability at a certain frequency. For wave propagating in MTMs, the electric field, the magnetic field, and wave vector follow the left-hand rule, rather than the usual right-hand rule. MTMs unusual electromagnetic phenomena such as a sign variation of group velocity, negative refraction, and perfect lensing have been theoretically studied by Veselago (1968). Pendry et al. achieved experimentally negative permittivity material by using metallic wires (1996) and negative permeability material from a periodic arrangement of split ring resonators (SRRs) (1999) in the GHz band. MTMs photonics are associated with new concepts and potential applications (Pendry 2000, Smith et al. 2004).

During the last decade, slab MTM structures gained significant interest (Li and Ma 1999, Reuben 1999, Moses and Engheta 2001, Rybin and Raza 2009). A substantial amount of research has been conducted to study MTMs applications in communication such as isolators (El-Khozondar et al. 2008a) and sensors (El-Khozondar et al. 2008b).

Ferrites are magnetic materials characterized by anisotropic properties and various energetic interactions, such as dipole, exchange magnetoelastic and magnetooptical. In the microwave range, Ferrites permeability operates in magnetically saturated states that vary with the saturation magnetization, the microwave frequency, and the outside magnetic field (Snoek 1947). The propagation of magnetostatic waves in layered structures consisting of ferrite materials (Kee et al. 2000, Damon and Eshbach 1961, Bongianni 1972, Bestler 1959, Courtois et al. 1970) and ferrite-MTMs (El-Khozondar et al. 2010, Mansour et al. 2009, Al-Sahhar et al. 2013) have attracted much attention owing to various applications of ferrite in the microwave devices and are extremely important for designing integrated devices such as narrow-frequency optical or microwave filters and high-speed switches (Vasseur et al. 1996, Al-Wahsh et al. 1999, Gulyaev and Nikitov 2001).

In this paper, the transverse electric (TE) wave propagation in a structure consists of MTM film surrounded by a metal substrate and a magnetized ferrite cover bounded by air is investigated. In the following section, the theoretical steps are summarized. The numerical analysis and results are discussed in section Numerical analysis and results. The last section is dedicated for the conclusion.

Theoretical analysis

Figure 1 exhibits the configuration of an asymmetric planar waveguide that consists of dissipative MTMs film having width $w$ placed on a metal substrate and bounded from above by a Ferrite film with width $s$ covered by air.
The dissipative MTM film has both permittivity $\varepsilon_M$ and permeability $\mu_M$ depending on the frequency $\omega$ as follows:

$$\varepsilon_M = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + i\gamma\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\mu_M = 1 - \frac{F\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2 + i\gamma\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\omega_r$ is the resonance frequency, $\omega_p$ is the plasma frequency and $\gamma$ is the damping factor. The values of the parameters $\omega_r$, $\omega_p$ and $F$ are chosen to fit the experimental data (Shebly 2001): $\omega_p = 26.6\pi$ GHz and $F = 0.37$. In the ferrite slab, a static magnetic field is applied in the $z$ direction, resulting in a uniform intensity $H_0$ within the ferrite. The ferrite slab has positive permittivity $\varepsilon_f$ and permeability $\mu_f$ defined as (Bestler 1959, Courtois et al. 1970, El-Khozondar et al. 2010, Mansour et al. 2009, Al-Sahhar et al. 2013)

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_{11} + i\mu_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\mu_{12} & \mu_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_1 \end{array}\right|$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mu_{11} = 1 + \frac{\omega_M}{\sigma M_0}$, $\mu_{12} = \frac{\omega_M}{\sigma M_0}$, $\mu_1 = 1$, $\omega$ is surface wave frequency, $\sigma M = \sigma H_0$ is the Larmor frequency, $\omega_M = 4\pi \sigma M_0$ is the magnetic frequency, $\sigma$ is the electromagnetic oscillation frequency, $4\pi M_0$ is the ferrite saturation magnetization, and $H_0$ is the applied magnetic field (Bespyatkyh et al. 2001).

We assumed transverse electric fields (TE) propagating in the $y$ direction such that $E = (0, 0, E_z) e^{j\omega t}$ and $H = (H_x, H_y, 0) e^{j\omega t}$. The field equations are obtained by applying the TE fields into Maxwell’s equations as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 E_z}{\partial y^2} + q_i^2 E_z = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where the variation with $z$-direction is assumed to be zero, $i$ indicates $f$ for Ferrite layer, $M$ for MTMs layer, and $l$ for the linear cladding layer (air), $q_l = k_0$, $q_f = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_{f} \mu_{f}}$, $q_M = \varepsilon_{M} \mu_{M}$, $k_0 = \omega/c$ and $\mu_v = \frac{\varepsilon_{f} \mu_{f}}{\mu_{M}}$, which is called the Voigt permeability. The dispersion equation (5) is derived by applying boundary conditions to the solutions of equation (4).

$$\left(k_{sf} + \frac{v}{\mu} k_y + k_z k_{sl}\right) e^{-(2k_z\omega t)} + \left(k_{sf} + \frac{v}{\mu} k_y + k_z k_{sl}\right) e^{-(2k_z\omega t)} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $k_x^2 - k_{sl}^2 = q_i^2$ and $k_{sl}$ and $k_y$ are the components of the wave vector directed along the coordinate. The dispersion relation equation (5) relates the transverse wave numbers for each media. It is an implicit equation that gives the surface wave dispersion relation.

In the calculations, two limits are considered: $w \rightarrow \infty$ which simplifies the structure to air-ferrite-MTM and $w = 0$ which reduces the structure to air-ferrite-metal.
At the limit \( w \to \infty \), equation (5) becomes,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( k_{sf} + \frac{v}{\mu} k_y - \mu_s k_{sf} \right) & \left( \frac{v}{\mu} k_y - k_{sf} \right) + \mu_s k_{sf} e^{-(2k_{sf}s)} \\
\left( k_{sf} + \frac{v}{\mu} k_y + \mu_s k_{sf} \right) & \left( \frac{v}{\mu} k_y + k_{sf} \right) + \mu_s k_{sf} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

While at the limit \( w = 0 \), equation (5) reduces to the following form,

\[
k_{sf} \coth(k_{sf}s) - \frac{v}{\mu} k_y + \mu_s k_{sf} = 0.
\]

Equation (5) to equation (7) can only be solved numerically. The solutions of these equations give the MSSW at the different limits. The limiting frequencies for MSSW free ferrite film are expressed as follows (Damon and Eshbach 1961):

\[
\omega_s = \sqrt{\omega_{ff}^2 + \omega_{M}\omega_M}
\]

\[
\omega_{fin} = \omega_M + \omega_M/2
\]

where \( \omega_s \) is the starting frequency and \( \omega_{fin} \) is the final frequency.

**Numerical analysis and results**

The dispersion equations (5, 6 and 7) are solved numerically to get information about MSSW at different limits. We chose \( \omega_{ff} = 1.76 \times 10^3 \text{Hz}, \omega_{M} = 1.76 \times 1870 \text{Hz} \) \( \text{rad/s}, H_0 = 367 \text{Oe}, \varepsilon_2 = 15, \) and \( \omega_p = 83.56 \times 10^8 \text{rad/s}, \omega_j/\omega_p = 0.19 \) and \( \omega_{fin}/\omega_p = 0.27. \) The value of frequency \( \omega \) is chosen such that \( \varepsilon_M, \mu_M, \) and \( \mu_s \) are negative. The normalized MSSW frequency with respect to plasma frequency \( (\omega/\omega_p) \) is plotted as a function of the \( y \) component of the propagation constant \( (k_y) \) as shown in Figure 2.

The parameter values are: \( w = 790 \mu\text{m}, \gamma = 0.1, \) and the ferrite thickness \( s \) varies as follows: \( s_1 = 6.2 \mu\text{m}, s_2 = 7.2 \mu\text{m}, s_3 = 8.2 \mu\text{m} \) and \( s_4 = 9.2 \mu\text{m}. \) It is noticed from Figure 2(a) that the real part of the normalized frequency curve has similar behaviour to the unbounded ferrite film in which MSSW appears at almost the same range of frequencies, 0.1965 to 0.2333. However, in Figure 2(a), there is no curve in the \( +k_y \) that exists in the case of unbounded ferrite film and which would have been symmetric to the existing curve regarding to the frequency axis. That is, in the current structure, the MSSW is always directed oppositely to \( +k_y \)-axis, i.e. this MSSW is unidirectional and backward. Moreover, in Figure 2(a), MSSW exhibits a bierfringent behaviour where at certain values of \( k_y \), two values of frequencies are allowed to pass. The imaginary parts of the normalized frequency which comes due to the complex behaviour of the MTMs parameters are displayed in Figure 2(b). The wave also propagates in one direction which is opposite to \( +k_y \)-axis without bierfringent behaviour. The bierfringent behaviour does not appear in the unbounded ferrite film characteristic curve or in the similar structure free of loss (Al-Sahhar et al. 2013). The MSSW behaviour depends on \( s \).

Decreasing the value of the damping factor to the value, \( \gamma = 0.05, \) and keeping all the other parameters constant, we get the normalized frequency as a function of \( k_y \) as displayed in Figure 3. In both the real part (Figure 3(a)) and the imaginary part (Figure 3(b)), we observe that the MSSW propagates opposite to the \( +k_y \)-direction indicating that the MSSW is unidirectional and the bierfringent behaviour disappears. Moreover, the values of the normalized frequency of MSSW vary as \( s \) changes.

Taking the smaller value of \( \gamma = 0.01 \) and keeping all the other parameters unchanged, we get the normalized frequency as a function of \( k_y \) as illustrated in Figure 4. In both the real part (Figure 4(a)) and the imaginary part (Figure 4(b)), we see that the MSSW propagates in both directions \( \pm y \) in agreement with the characteristic curve for unbounded ferrite film. We also notice that the wave either propagates in one direction or in the other at certain ranges of frequencies. The MSSW behaviour changes as \( s \) changes.

From Figures 2, 3, 4, we see that as \( y \) decreases, the behaviour of the MSSW approaches the behaviour of the structure with omission of loss (Al-Sahhar et al. 2013). We also see that as the ferrite layer thickness, \( s \), changes, the MSSW undergoes different behaviour and the corresponding frequency to a certain propagation constant \( k_y \) changes.

To understand the effect of the thickness of the MTM layer on the behaviour of the MSSW, we plot in Figure 5 the normalized frequency versus \( k_y \) at \( w = 0.790 \mu\text{m}, \gamma = 0.1 \) and all the other parameters are kept the same. Figure 5 shows that as the thickness of MTM changes, MSSW normalized frequency loses the bierfringent behaviour. However, it stays unidirectional. That is the waves propagates in \( -k_y \). It also changes as \( s \) varies.

Figure 6 exhibits the relation between \( \omega/\omega_p \) and \( k_y \) at the limit \( w \to \infty \) at \( \gamma = 0.1, \) and \( s \) varies as follows: \( s_1 = 6.2 \mu\text{m}, s_2 = 7.2 \mu\text{m}, s_3 = 8.2 \mu\text{m}, s_4 = 9.2 \mu\text{m}. \) It is shown that MSSW propagates in both directions \( \pm y \). However, it propagates in one or the other direction at certain ranges of frequencies. It also changes as \( s \) varies.

The normalized magnetostatic waves frequency, \( \omega/\omega_p \) is plotted as a function of the propagation constant at the limit \( w = 0 \) at \( \gamma = 0.1, \) and \( s \) varies as follows: \( s_1 = 6.2 \mu\text{m}, s_2 = 7.2 \mu\text{m}, s_3 = 8.2 \mu\text{m}, s_4 = 9.2 \mu\text{m}. \) In this case, only backward MSSW propagates and its normalized frequency changes as \( s \) varies.
Conclusion

MSSW propagation is studied in an asymmetric slab waveguide consisting of ferrite film sandwiched between air and MTM film placed on a metal substrate. The dispersion relation for the MSSW is studied at three different cases corresponding to the different MTM layer thicknesses: finite thickness, infinite thickness, and zero. The three resulted dispersion equations are numerically solved. Results are presented by plotting the MSSW frequency as a function of the y-component of the

Figure 2 The normalized frequency versus $k_y$ at $w = 790$ μm and $\gamma = 0.1$ for different values of $s$ as indicated in the figure. a) real part and b) imaginary part.
propagation constant $k_y$. Results demonstrate that MSSW frequency depends on both MTM layer and ferrite layer thicknesses. It is found that MSSW might travel in unidirectional $-k_y$ or in both direction $\pm y$ depending on the damping factor, MTMs thickness, and/or ferrite thickness. This result is promising in improving the waveguide performance. It also has industrial applications; i.e. isolators and sensors.

Figure 3 The normalized frequency versus $k_y$ at $w = 790 \mu m$ and $\gamma = 0.05$ for different values of $s$ as indicated in the figure. a) real part and b) imaginary part.
Figure 4 The normalized frequency versus $k_y$ at $w = 790 \mu m$ and $\gamma = 0.01$ for different values of $s$ as indicated in the figure. a) real part and b) imaginary part.
Figure 5 The normalized frequency versus $k_y$ at $w = 0.790 \, \mu m$ and $\gamma = 0.1$ for different values of $s$ as indicated in the figure. a) real part and b) imaginary part.
Figure 6 The normalized frequency as a function of $k_y$ in the limit $w \to \infty$ at $y = 0.1$ for different values of $s$ as indicated in the figure. 

a) real part and b) imaginary part.
Figure 7 The normalized frequency as a function of $k_y$ at the limit $w = 0$ at $y = 0.1$ for different values of $s$. 
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