Abstract
Advances in biotechnology have led to progress in crop genetic engineering to improve agricultural productivity. The use of genetically modified (GM) crops has increased, as have consumers’ and regulators’ concerns about the safety of GM crops to human health, and ecological biodiversity. As such, the identification of GM crops is a critical issue for developers and distributors, and their labeling is mandatory. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been developed and its use validated for the detection and identification of GM crops in quarantine. Herein, we established a simultaneous detection method to identify four GM maize events. Event-specific primers were designed between the junction region of transgene and genome of four GM maize lines, namely 5307, DAS-40278-9, MON87460, and MON87427. To verify the efficiency and accuracy of the multiplex PCR we used specificity analysis, limit of detection evaluation, and mixed certified reference materials identification. The multiplex PCR method was applied to analyze 29 living, modified maize volunteers collected in South Korea in 2018 and 2019. We performed multiplex PCR analysis to identify events and confirmed the result by simplex PCR using each event-specific primer. As a result, rather than detecting each event individually, the simultaneous detection PCR method enabled the rapid analysis of 29 GM maize volunteers. Thus, the novel multiplex PCR method is applicable for living modified organism volunteer identification.
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Introduction
Since the first commercialized genetically modified (GM) crop in the mid-1990s, these crops have been widely cultivated as sources of fodder and food across the world as a result of advances in modern biotechnology. Recently, twenty six countries cultivated 191.7 million hectares of GM crops in a planting area which added 1.9 million hectares to the record of plantings in 2017 (ISAAA 2018). To overcome the agricultural problems caused by pest emergence and climate change, the developers of GM crops stack traits to offer broad agronomic benefits to farmers (Que et al. 2010).

Maize (Zea mays L.), the crops widely used for an industrial raw material, is a source of food and fodder for animals (Shiferaw et al. 2011). GM maize has approved the most wide spread in the world, with 0.64 billion hectares of GM maize have been grown commercially in 21 years (ISAAA 2018). To detect and identify GM maize, PCR-based methods, especially real-time PCR (qPCR), have been used by enforcement laboratories, and the detection method using qPCR validated by the European Union Reference Laboratory for Genetically Modified Food and Feed (EURL-GMFF) is widely used. Even though qPCR has many benefits for qualification and quantification of GMO analysis, the method also has certain shortcomings, for example, PCR interruption due to the presence of inhibitors and the high costs of establishing the analytical platform (Grelewksa-Nowotko et al. 2018).

Broad spectrum of GMO detection may be achieved by targeting universal transgene elements such as p35S and...
tNOS. Despite the wide range of application of these detection methods, significant limitations exist in that these methods can only determine if the sample is GMO or not. To identify the authorized GMO efficiently, the regulators must apply event-specific methods that are target unique junctions between the plant genome and transgenic cassette (Fraiture et al. 2017). Many high-tech PCR methods such as real-time PCR (Cottenet et al. 2013), visual DNA microarray analysis (Li et al. 2016), fluorescence capillary gel electrophoresis (Heide et al. 2008a; Heide et al. 2008b; Holck et al. 2010), LAMP PCR (Bhoge et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2011), and digital PCR (Dobnik et al. 2018) have been developed and applied for GM maize identification. These detection methods for GMOs are equipment dependent and this presents challenges for the qualitative detection of GMOs using real-time PCR or digital PCR.

The multiplex PCR detection method was developed for the simultaneous detection of GM crops, but this is a time and cost consuming method because the PCR product needs additional step such as gel electrophoresis analysis to confirm the results (Shrestha et al. 2008). However, there are several under-equipped laboratories in need of reliable, simple, feasible and rapid analytical methods for detecting and identifying GMO in samples for regulatory purposes.

In this study, we have established an event specific multiplex PCR method for four GM maize that have been approved in South Korea recently and had not been developed for multiplex PCR. To confirm the specificity and efficiency of the developed multiplex PCR method, we evaluated the limit of detection (LOD), identified mixed certified reference materials (CRMs), and analyzed GMO monitoring samples. Based on the results, we propose that this newly developed multiplex PCR method is applicable for the GM maize identification.

### Materials and Methods

**Plant materials and DNA extraction**

The CRMs, which are listed in Table 1, were obtained from the Institute for Reference Material and Measurement (IRM; Geel, Belgium) and American Oil Chemists’ Society (AOCS; Urbana, IL, USA). Twenty-nine samples of LM

| Event name          | Cat. No.  | CRM developer | Confidence level (%) | Certified value (g/Kg) | Uncertainty (g/Kg) |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 5307                | 0411-D2   | AOCS          | 95                   | > 977                  | -84 ~ +23          |
| DAS-40278-9         | ERM-BF-433d | IRMM         | 10                   | 100                    | 8                  |
| MON87460            | 0709-A2   | AOCS          | 95                   | > 996                  | -67 ~ +4           |
| MON87427            | 0512-A    | AOCS          | 95                   | > 994.8                | -13 ~ +5.2         |
| Non-Modified Maize  | 0411-C2   | AOCS          | N/A                  | < 1                    | 0.5                |
| DAS-40278-9 Blank   | ERM-BF-433a | IRMM        | 95                   | N/A                    | N/A                |
| MON87427 Blank      | 0406-A    | AOCS          | N/A                  | N/A                    | N/A                |
| Non-Modified Maize  | 0407-A    | AOCS          | N/A                  | < 1                    | N/A                |
| Bt-11               | ERM-BF-412f | IRMM        | 5                    | 48.9                   | 2.1                |
| NK603               | ERM-BF-415f | IRMM        | 5                    | 49.1                   | 1.3                |
| GA21                | 0407-B    | AOCS          | 95                   | > 991.5                | N/A                |
| MON863              | ERM-BF-416d | IRMM        | 10                   | 98.5                   | -2.2 ~ +2.5        |
| 59122               | ERM-BF-424d | IRMM        | 10                   | 98.7                   | 5.8                |
| MIR604              | 0607-A2   | AOCS          | N/A                  | N/A                    | N/A                |
| MON88017            | 0406-D    | AOCS          | 95                   | > 990.7                | -68 ~ +9.3         |
| 98140               | ERM-BF-427d | IRMM        | 10                   | 96.4                   | 9.9                |
| MON89034            | 0906-E    | AOCS          | 95                   | > 996                  | -53 ~ +4           |
| Bt-176              | ERM-BF-411f | IRMM        | 5                    | 50                     | 1.8                |
| MIR162              | 1208-A    | AOCS          | 95                   | > 993                  | -126 ~ +7          |
| 1507                | ERM-BF-418d | IRMM        | 10                   | 98.6                   | -1.7 ~ +2.0        |
| 3272                | ERM-BF-420c | IRMM        | 10                   | 98                     | 8                  |
| VCO01981            | ERM-BF-438b | IRMM        | 95                   | > 986                  | N/A                |

N/A: Not available
maize volunteers were collected from 2018 to 2019 in South Korea, and dried using silica gel after sampling. The genomic DNA was extracted from plant samples using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Extracted genomic DNA concentrations were quantified by a spectrophotometer ND-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The final DNA concentration was adjusted to 50 ng/μl for PCR analysis and were stored at -20°C until use.

Primer design

As a positive control for all PCR, we used a maize endogenous gene, alcohol dehydrogenase1 (Adh1, GenBank accession No. AF123535). To design the four LM maize event-specific primers, the genetic information of LM events were obtained from the Joint Research Centre-European Commission (JRC-EC) and Center for Environmental Risk Assessment (CERA). The primers which listed in Table 2 were synthesized by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea) and were diluted to 100 pmol/μl with sterilized water for use.

PCR condition and amplified products detection

The PCR conditions were: 1 cycle of 5 min at 94°C for pre-denaturation, 33 cycles at 94°C for denaturation, 30 s at 59°C for primer annealing, 1 min at 72°C for elongation, and 7 min at 72°C for final-extension. The 2× EF-Taq PCR Pre-Mix (Solgent, Seoul, Korea) and 50 ng/μl genomic DNA and 0.16 μM of each primer was used in 30 μl final PCR reaction volume. Gel electrophoresis was performed in 2.5% agarose gel run on 135 V for 25 min, and gel image was captured using ChemiDoc™ XRS+ (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Specificity, sensitivity, and LM volunteer analysis

To determine the specificity of the multiplex PCR method, we used genomic DNA from four non-LM maize CRMs and 18 GM maize CRMs including 5307, DAS-40278-9, MON87460, and MON87427. To examine the efficiency of the maize multiplex PCR, we analyzed the LOD and random mixed CRM DNA. For the LOD assay, we serially double-diluted four mixed maize CRM genomic DNA with distilled water to concentrations of 50, 25, 12.5, 6.6, 3.1, 1.6, 0.8, and 0 ng/μl. Random mixtures of CRMs were diluted with non-LM maize genomic DNA to adjust the total DNA which used in reaction. To apply the maize multiplex PCR method for GMO monitoring samples analysis, we performed multiplex PCR using 29 GM maize volunteers collected during 2018 to 2019 in South Korea.

Results and Discussion

Despite the worldwide cultivation of GM crops and several scientific reports on the risk assessment of GMO, the concerns about the safety of GMO to human health and the environment has led 38 countries worldwide, including South Korea, to prohibit GMO cultivation even though GM crops were approved for use as food and feed and for processing (ISAAA 2018). According to these concerns, the identification of GMO is a critical issue at the regulatory level and for the consumer as well. The GM crop detection strategy is divided into two methods: detection of the inserted gene (DNA-based) and detection of the expressed protein (protein-based). Since the raw materials and processed products of GMO contain introduced gene fragments, they can be identified by detecting the inserted

| Table 2 | Primers used for maize multiplex PCR |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| target  | Primer Name | Sequence (5’-3’) | GC (%) | Product Size (bp) |
| Adh1    | Adh1-F      | CGTGGTTTGCTTGCCCA | 58    | 179               |
|        | Adh1-R      | CCACCTCCGACACCTCAGTC | 65    |                   |
| 5307    | 5307L-JV1   | ATTATCGCGCGCGGTCAT | 55    | 183               |
|         | 5307L-P1    | TGCACCCCTAGCGCTGAGG | 61    |                   |
| DAS-40278-9 | DAS-40278-9L-P2 | CAGGAGACCTGCTGTAACC | 57    | 215               |
|         | DAS-40278-9L-JV1 | TGGTTTATTTATTCGGTTTGG | 39    |                   |
| MON87460 | MON87460R-F4 | GATGTACCTACGCCGATC  | 50    | 319               |
|         | MON87460R-JV1 | TCGCAGTCCCTCAAGAC   | 55    |                   |
| MON87427 | MON87427R-F6 | GTGTAATTAGTTACATCCGATG | 42    | 363               |
| MON87427 | MON87427R-JP1 | CCATGTAGATTTCCCCGTTTCTC | 46    |                   |
DNA. These DNA-based methods are usually sensitive, specific, versatile, and widely applicable, but these methods also require long analysis time and special equipment (Michelini et al. 2008). The protein-based methods are less sensitive than the DNA-based methods and might not be suitable for samples containing denatured proteins, such as processed products. Recently, numerous high-throughput DNA-based detection methods have been developed and applied. Among them, the multiplex PCR method, which amplifies multiple DNA targets in one reaction, is a simple strategy for monitoring and screening multiple GM plant samples (Jo et al. 2016; Shin et al. 2016; Eum et al. 2019). Here, we developed a conventional multiplex PCR method for detecting four traits of LM maize, to simply apply to analyze GM maize identification using low cost. Moreover, to identify the GM transgene, we designed a specific primer for each event to target the transformed cassette and plant flanking sequence (Fig. 2, Table 2). These event-specific multiplex PCR methods can detect and identify GMOs in one reaction.

The multiplex PCR detection method has been developed for simultaneous detection of GM maize, but these conventional PCR methods must be followed by an additional step, such as gel electrophoresis, to confirm the result. To overcome this limitation of conventional multiplex PCR, multiplex PCR combined with microarray hybridization or capillary gel electrophoresis was developed (Basak et al. 2014; Schmidt et al. 2008). However, biosensors and microarrays are difficult to commercialize due to instability and data processing issues (Michelini et al., 2008). To increase the amplification yield and application of multiplex PCR, a multiplex tandem PCR for 35S promoter or T-NOS terminator was developed and used for screening GM maize (Wei et al. 2018); however, this method was not applicable for the identification of the stacked GM event due to the repetition of gene cassettes. In this study, a multiplex PCR method to identify four events of GM maize using event-specific PCR primers was developed, and simultaneous detection was found to increase the efficiency of the PCR. These newly developed multiplex PCR method are suitable for qualitative analysis of GM maize (Fig. 2).

Development of multiplex PCR is more complicated than that of simplex PCR, and designing a multiplex assay requires adjustment of many PCR conditions including the concentration of each primer and reaction component (e.g., MgCl₂) and annealing temperature to amplify all DNA targets simultaneously in a single reaction tube. We used a master mix for the PCR reaction, using one PCR primer concentration (0.16 µM) and performed the experiment under general PCR conditions in three steps to simplify the multiplex PCR condition. The data analysis method is also simple that PCR products were separated on 2.5% single agarose gel (Fig. 2). These results indicate that newly developed multiplex method are feasibly applicable to detect GMO in samples in under-equipped laboratories for regulatory purposes.

To determine the specificity of the developed multiplex PCR, four non-LM CRMs and 18 GM CRMs including four maize CRMs (5307, DAS-40278-9, MON87460, and MON87427) were tested. To assess the reliability, a negative no-template control (NTC) and four maize GM CRMs as positive controls were tested. All the four positive control CRMs were successfully amplified, while no amplification was observed with NTC and the other 18 maize GM CRMs
including four non-LM CRMs. These results confirm the specificity of the four sets of multiplex PCR primers, indicating that the multiplex PCR method can be applied for volunteer maize sample analysis.

Many powder CRMs from IRMM and AOCS are produced by mixing GM traits and non-GM traits at different GM mass fractions from non-GM to 100% GM. The blending ratio of CRM is critical during the enforcement of GMO labeling to validate the analytical methods for GMO (Wu et al. 2019). We used 10-100% pure (95% confidence level) GM maize CRMs (Table 1), and the efficiency of PCR amplification differed for each CRM template. Compared to DAS-40278-9 genomic DNA template (10% purity), 5307, MON87460, and MON87427 showed strong amplification (Fig. 2) due to the purity (100% purity) of CRMs. These different DNA concentrations might be affected in unequal amplification of multiplex PCR. Most volunteer GM maize samples are homozygotes or heterozygotes at least, indicating that the DNA copy number of each volunteer sample is high. Our multiplex PCR also resulted in some weak and dim bands (Fig. 3); however, due to differences of amplification and amplicon size, these were non-specific bands. These results indicate that amplification efficiency and non-specific amplification did not affect our PCR results.

The validation of GMO detection methods is very complex and depends on the availability, type, and quality of the template DNA. The quantity and quality of genomic DNA from GMO monitoring samples were not sufficient for analysis; we therefore used LOD and random CRM mixture analysis to verify the efficiency of the multiplex PCR method (Eum et al. 2019). The analysis of serially diluted CRM template DNA revealed that the PCR bands were detected at a DNA concentration of 25 ng/µl (Fig. 4). Moreover, random CRM mixture analysis indicated that the multiplex PCR method can detect stack events without any non-specific band amplification (Fig. 5). These data
indicated that maize multiplex PCR was effective for the analysis and identification of four GM maize.

To apply the developed maize multiplex PCR method, we analyzed GMO monitoring samples from 2018 to 2019 in South Korea (Fig. 6A-6C). Most GM maize volunteers were collected from roadsides along major transportation routes for food and feed factories (Fig. 6D-6G). To identify GM maize, we analyzed 29 samples using multiplex PCR and used simplex PCR with the same samples for confirmation. As a result, there is no positive detection with multiplex and simplex PCR in 29 GM maize volunteers (Fig. 6H). These data indicate that 29 GM maize volunteers do not contain four GM maize in their stack traits. However, despite these results, the LOD and random CRM mixture analysis suggest that the multiplex PCR method for 5307, DAS-40278-9, MON87427, and MON87460 might be used for GM maize identification.

**Conclusion**

GM maize have been approved and imported for feed and food. To detect and identify newly authorized GM maize event, we need to develop suitable detection method. The results in this study showed that newly developed maize multiplex PCR method have identified successfully for four GM maize (5307, DAS-40278-9, MON87427, and MON87460). To validate the multiplex PCR method, we performed specificity assay, LOD assessment, and random CRM mixture analysis. To apply the multiplex PCR method for GM maize volunteer from 2018 to 2019 in South Korea, we analyzed 29 GM maize sample using multiplex PCR. All these results indicated that newly developed multiplex PCR method are expected to apply for GM maize analysis.
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