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ABSTRACT

Computer programming is a subject involving a large number of logic programming activities. A programmer is compulsory to master skills of algorithms, logic, and programming language to conduct programming. An automatic programming assessment tool is an automated tool used to assist instructors in assessing programming tasks. The technology used in this application is open-source based with an evaluation module that will evaluate the sent program code, assessment, and classification. The evaluation results were then processed in the assessment module, where a comparison process with the test case was performed along with the point calculation. The classification module was used to divide students into five groups based on the point of each practicum. This study used k-means clustering classification method. The entities included were lecturers, assistants, students, and compilers. This application had 2 levels of users namely admin and students. Scoring results were then used in the process of determining the classification of student’s performance based on the k-means clustering method. In connection with the classification test results with three iterations, three practicum scores resulted that the classification process was successfully carried out with student’s performance divided into five groups covering very good, good, sufficient, less, and very less. The data used in the clustering process consisted of 41 students with 10 attributes which were then grouped into 3 groups (clusters).

1. INTRODUCTION

Programming is the main competency that must be mastered because it is a basic concept in computer science. However, many people find it difficult to learn computer programming languages. The concept of programming is important in learning programming even starting from the introductory level which is commonly started at the age of 14 years [1], [2]. Computer programming is a subject that involves a large number of logic programming activities. Programming refers to writing code from the initial process as well as modifying and debugging code. In particular, the last two activities also include understanding the code as the main activity. Although other essential life cycle activities such as analysis, design, testing, and deployment are important, programming is the dominant activity of software development [3]. Programming is more than just coding, because it exposes students to computational thinking which involves problem-solving activities using computer science concepts such as abstraction and decomposition [4]. Students and
instructors are served with several problems during the learning process, either from the concept of programming or language syntax, in which they can be obstacles for students to learn programming and become inhibiting factors of their motivation [5].

The purpose of programming is to load a program that can do a calculation or work in accordance with the programmer’s wishes. Programming requires skills of algorithms, logic, and programming languages, including but not limited to mathematics. These skills are mandatory to be mastered by those who want to be successful in programming. To improve one’s understanding of the programming concept, the use of visual programming language (VPL) is applied [6]. Most students learn programming by reading books or listening to teacher explanations so that the results are not optimal because programming is a set of skills that requires a lot of practice. Actually, programming can be obtained from formal and non-formal education [7], [8]. It is best learned by practice and if students want to learn effectively, some practices must be self-directed. Instructor's key role is to direct students to do certain processes or activities and to motivate them [9]. The programming method starts by turning the problem into an algorithm and translating the algorithm into the program code. As a result, students are required to have abilities in all processes: analyzing problems, designing algorithms, translating algorithms into program code, and writing program code with the correct syntax [10].

A study conducted by [11] indicated that the times spent by students on coding was the factor that potentially had significant impact on the exam results. A student's performance is associated with their programming experience and self-confidence in programming [12]. In the field of education, the existence of learning devices is very important to help students and teachers [13]. Likewise, through practical activities students understand the basic concepts of programming and problem solving and programming skills. Learning of basic practical programming is applied to enhance students' programming skills with lots of hands-on program writing practice. Actually, in-classroom practicum activities are not enough for students to practice more complex programming [14]. As a result, many practical assignments ended up becoming homework for them and the results had to be disclosed to the teacher. The teacher then provides some questions and feedback on the student's work. This process is an integral part of classroom learning so it takes a long time [12]. It took a long time when it came to assessing the results of student practicum.

A study conducted by [15] portrayed that teachers often had difficulty in validating students' programming tasks. So that, a framework is created to ease the task of lecturer called an automated assistance system for correcting programming exercises (SAC) using a web platform. This application allows teachers to determine and describe assignments/training programs while students just need to upload the results of their work. In a relatively short time, students can see feedbacks from the answers. Another advantage that can be obtained from the use of automatic assessment tools is that human factors no longer significantly involved [16]. When a program is assessed manually, it is usually possible that the influence of subjectivity will be influenced by giving excessive assessments or awards to certain students. However, when the use of automatic assessment is applied this will not happen anymore.

An automatic programming assessment tool is an automated tool used to assist instructors in assessing programming tasks [17]. It is important to note that Automatic Programming Assessment Tools are good examples for intelligent systems that would rather promote human-machine coexistence in the era of artificial intelligence driven automation [18]. Grouping students according to their level of competence will make teachers easier to monitor the each student’s ability. This grouping assignment will be more directed in accordance with the target [19], [20]. Data grouping is the process of extracting patterns that were previously unknown, valid, useful, and hidden from large data sets. The main goal is to divide students into homogeneous groups in which this technique is most widely used in mapping [21]-[23]. Educational data mining (EDM), based on tasks, is divided by many categories, namely: classification [24], [25], clustering [26], association analysis [27]. This application helps teachers and students to improve programming skills. This study uses cluster analysis to divide students into groups according to their characteristics [28].

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The technology used in this application is based on open source, namely Ubuntu, Linux, MySQL, Laravel and Nginx. Compile and evaluate programs with GNU compiler collection (GCC) and G ++ installed on the operating system. The main modules in the application were evaluation, assessment, and classification. The evaluation module conducted an in-depth evaluation of the program code sent and became a determining factor for further processing. The results of the process in the evaluation module were then processed in the assessment module, where a comparison process with the test case was performed as well as the point calculation. The classification module was used to divide students into five groups based on the point of each practicum. The classification was conducted by using k-means clustering method.
The application was developed with model, view, controller (MVC) so that there was a separation of functions and program structures that referred to the laravel framework structure. The model was responsible for organizing, preparing, manipulating and organizing data (from the database) according to the instructions given by the controller. View was to present information (which was easy to understand) to the user according to the instructions given by the controller. The controller was responsible for managing what the model had to do, and which views should be displayed based on requests from the user.

Testing the evaluation and assessment process was performed by sending the program code in C++ language with several different cases and scenarios. From each test, analysis based on the output produced by the application was carried out. The trial results showed that, regarding the process of evaluating program code, applications could properly conduct in-depth evaluations of program code. This was evidenced by the appearance of messages according to the evaluation results including size limit exceeded, compilation error, memory limit exceeded, wrong answer, internal error, and runtime error. If there was no error during the compilation process, an accepted (AC) message would be displayed. In regard to the algorithm, Figure 1 shows the evaluation process of the sent program code.

![Flowchart automatic assessment](image)

**Figure 1. Flowchart automatic assessment**

### 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

#### 3.1. Business processes

Implementation of business processes was described in data flow diagrams (DFD). Entities involved covered lecturers, assistants, students, and compilers. Figure 2 conveys DFD level 1. The main processes in DFD level 1 included input data, problem setting, and uploading program code.
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3.2. Implementation

This application had 2 levels of users namely admin and students. Students must first register then logged in. Afterwards, they continued working on the questions. The task list could be selected in Figure 3 and they could work directly on the application in Figure 4. When working on assignments, their choice can be appropriate based on the problem group in Figure 5 the difficulty level in Figure 6.

3.3. K-means clustering

Scoring results were then used in the process of determining the classification of student’s performance based on the k-means clustering method. In connection with the classification test results with three iterations, three practicum scores resulted that the classification process was successfully carried out with dividing student’s performance into five groups namely very good, good, sufficient, less, and very less. Based on the results of trials that had been conducted, it was concluded that the application function had been functioning properly and could be used in the programming practicum process. All existing features had been tested and run well according to existing scenarios and data. The main features namely evaluation, assessment, and classification worked well. Bugs was still found in the application that displayed the execution time. The results of classification testing using k-means could be seen in Figure 7.

Figure 7 displays the results of the classification process using the k-means clustering algorithm with 3 iterations based on the data of practicum scores. The result showed that students were classified as very good, good, sufficient, less, and very less. Based on the results of the classification, it could be seen that the group of students was based on the practicum score that had been carried out.
Data grouping aimed to determine student’s performance in using Automatic Programming Assessment Tool application, data grouping (clustering) using the k-means algorithm. In this study, the numbers of clusters were 3. The next step was to determine the chosen centroid randomly with the range of data between the lowest to the highest scores. The next process was determining the distance of each data with a centroid using Euclidean Distance algorithm. The final step was to group data based on the level of similarity. This process was repeated until no data transferred between groups.

Figure 3. List of assignment

Figure 4. Problems description

Figure 5. Group of problem

Figure 6. Problem stage
The data used in the clustering process consisted of 41 students with 10 attributes which were then grouped into 3 groups or clusters. Based on the existing data, the process of determining centroid was chosen randomly with the range of data between the lowest to the highest scores based on the number of clusters specified, in this case 3 clusters. Then the distance of the data to the centroid. Euclidian distance algorithm was used to calculate the distance of data by centroid. After the data distance to the centroid was determined, the next step was to compare the distance to the centroid from each cluster. The new centroid point was then determined by calculating the average score of data located in the same centroid. The new cluster center was used to do the next iteration once the results obtained were not yet convergent. The iteration process would stop if it met the maximum iteration entered by the user or the results achieved were convergent (the new cluster center was the same as the previous cluster center).

4. CONCLUSION

The data used in the clustering process consisted of 41 students with 10 attributes which were then grouped into 3 groups or clusters. Based on the existing data, the process of determining centroid was chosen randomly with the range of data between the lowest to the highest scores based on the number of clusters specified, in this case 3 clusters. Then the distance of the data to the centroid. Euclidian distance algorithm was used to calculate the distance of data by centroid. After the data distance to the centroid was determined, the next step was to compare the distance to the centroid from each cluster. The new centroid point was then determined by calculating the average score of data located in the same centroid. The new cluster center was used to do the next iteration once the results obtained were not yet convergent. The iteration process would stop if it met the maximum iteration entered by the user or the results achieved were convergent (the new cluster center was the same as the previous cluster center).
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