A covariant study of tensor mesons

A. Krassnigg† and M. Blank†
Institut für Physik, Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, A-8010 Graz, Austria
(Dated: December 1, 2010)

We investigate tensor mesons as quark-antiquark bound states in a fully covariant Bethe-Salpeter equation. As a first concrete step we report results for masses of $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ mesons from the chiral limit up to bottomonium and sketch a comparison to experimental data. All covariant structures of the fermion-antifermion system are taken into account and their roles and importance discussed in two different bases. We also present the general construction principle for covariant Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes of mesons with any spin and find eight covariant structures for any $J > 0$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In QCD, mesons are viewed as bound states of (anti)quarks and gluons. Starting with a $\bar{q}q$-picture they appear simpler than baryons and thus represent prime targets for theoretical investigations. Spin and the corresponding meson degrees of freedom are essential for an understanding of the meson spectrum and properties in general.

In a constituent-quark model (e.g. [1–6]), mesons with total spin $J$ are easily obtained via adding units of orbital angular momentum to a quark-antiquark state. In particular, given the quantum numbers $J^{PC}$ for a meson with equal-mass constituents, the parity $P$ is given by $(-1)^{l+1}$ and the $C$-parity $C$ by $(-1)^{l+s}$. Furthermore, the total spin $J$, the internal (quark-antiquark) spin $s$, and the orbital angular momentum $l$ and their projections have to satisfy the well-known addition rules for angular momenta.

In the context of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE), the Lorentz covariant structure of meson amplitudes (also for arbitrary spin) has in the past been investigated mainly in setups involving reductions of the BSE (e.g. [7–17]). Herein we present the first covariant study of tensor mesons that is consistent with respect to the axial-vector Ward-Takahashi identity in the context of a Dyson-Schwinger–Bethe-Salpeter approach to QCD.

The paper is organized as follows: Sec. II sketches the formalism used and the corresponding details of immediate necessity, Sec. III contains the explicit construction of the covariant amplitude for a $2^{++}$ meson, the construction principle for $J > 2$ amplitudes is given in Sec. IV, the $2^{++}$ results are presented and discussed in Sec. V, and we conclude in Sec. VI. All calculations have been performed in Euclidean momentum space.

II. MESONS FROM THE BSE

In this work, we employ QCD’s Dyson-Schwinger-equations (DSEs) (see, e.g. [18, 19] for recent reviews) together with the quark-antiquark Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE). The latter is the covariant bound-state equation for the study of mesons in this context [1]. An analogous covariant approach to baryons is possible in a quark-diquark picture (e.g. [20–22] and references therein) or a three-quark setup [23, 24].

While the goal of a self-consistent solution of all DSEs can be held up in investigations of certain aspects of the theory (see, e.g. [22, 26] and references therein), numerical hadron studies such as ours require employment of a truncation. For our first covariant look at tensor mesons we use the so-called rainbow-ladder (RL) truncation. It is both simple and offers the possibility for sophisticated model studies of QCD within the DSE-BSE context, since it satisfies the relevant Ward-Takahashi identities (WTIs), namely the axial-vector (see e.g. [27, 28]) and vector (see e.g. [29, 30]) WTIs. The literature regarding the employment of terms beyond RL truncation can be traced back from e.g. [31, 32]. The axial-vector WTI is essential to see chiral symmetry and its dynamical breaking correctly realized in the model calculation from the very beginning. As the most prominent result, one satisfies Goldstone’s theorem [27] and obtains a generalized Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation valid for all pseudoscalar mesons and all current-quark masses [33, 34]. We note that this relation can be checked numerically and is satisfied at the per-mill level in our calculations.

The general structure of the BSE for a meson with spin $J$, total $q\bar{q}$ momentum $P$ and relative $q\bar{q}$ momentum $k$ or $q$, respectively, is

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\ldots}(k; P) = \int_q K(k; q; P)S(q_+)\Gamma^{\mu\nu\ldots}(q; P)S(q_-)$$

where the semicolon separates four-vector arguments. $\Gamma^{\mu\nu\ldots}(k; P)$ is the Bethe-Salpeter amplitude (BSA) and has $J$ open Lorentz indices $\mu\nu\ldots$. The dressed-quark propagator $S(p)$ is obtained from the quark DSE, the QCD gap equation. Since our focus here is the BSA, we refer the reader to [33, 35, 36] for more details on...
the quark DSE and to \cite{37} for a description of our corresponding numerical solution method. In the BSE the quark and antiquark propagators depend on the (anti)quark momenta \(q_+ = q + \eta P\) and \(q_- = q - (1 - \eta)P\), where \(\eta \in [0, 1]\) is a momentum partitioning parameter usually set to 1/2 for systems of equal-mass constituents (which we do as well). \(\gamma^A_\eta = \int d^4\eta/(2\pi)^4\) represents a translationally invariant regularization of the integral, with the regularization scale \(\Lambda\). \cite{33}

The kernel \(K\) in the homogeneous, ladder-truncated \(q\bar{q}\) BSE is essentially characterized by an effective interaction \(G(s)\), \(s := (k - q)^2\). Following \cite{30}, an ansatz used extensively for many years \cite{35} is employed here, which reads

\[
\frac{G(s)}{s} = \frac{4\pi^2 D}{4} \frac{s^{3/2}}{\omega^3} + \frac{4\pi \gamma_m \pi F(s)}{1/2 \ln(\tau + (1 + s/\Lambda^4_{\text{QCD}})^2)}. \tag{2}
\]

This form provides the correct amount of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking as well as quark confinement via the absence of a Lehmann representation for the dressed quark propagator. Furthermore, it produces the correct perturbative limit, i.e., it preserves the one-dressed quark propagator. Furthermore, it produces chiral symmetry breaking as well as quark confinement. This form provides the correct amount of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking as well as quark confinement.

The four-momenta \(P\) and \(q\), respectively. They can be parameterized in terms of the Lorentz-invariant scalar products \(P^2\), \(q^2\), and \(q \cdot P\). The fermion-antifermion spin properties are encoded in the \(4 \times 4\) matrix structure of \(\Gamma^{\mu\nu...}\), \cite{3}, where the open Lorentz indices appear in connection with the total spin of the state. A corresponding basis of linearly independent structures \(\{T_i^{\mu\nu...}\} (i = 1, \ldots, N)\) involving Dirac matrices allows one to expand the BSA into a sum of Dirac covariants and the corresponding scalar coefficients \(F_i\), which we will subsequently refer to as \textit{components} \cite{11}. The latter only depend on the aforementioned scalar products \(P^2\), \(q^2\), and \(q \cdot P\), and one gets

\[
\Gamma^{\mu\nu...}(k; P; \gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i^{\mu\nu...}(k; P; \gamma) F_i(q^2, q \cdot P, P^2), \tag{3}
\]

where the dependence on \(\gamma^a\) has been made explicit and a generalized scalar product for the covariants \(T_i^{\mu\nu...}\) is defined via the Dirac trace

\[
\sum_{\mu\nu...} \text{Tr}(T_i^{\mu\nu...} T_j^{\mu\nu...}) = t_{ij} f(i, j). \tag{4}
\]

One may also choose the basis elements orthogonal such that \(t_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\), with the \(f(i, j)\) functions of \(q^2\), \(P^2\), and \(q \cdot P\), or orthonormal such that in addition \(f(i, j) = 1\) for all \(i, j\). The sum is carried out over the \(J\) indices \(\mu, \nu, \ldots\).

Note that for an on-shell BSA \(P^2 = -M^2\) is fixed, while one artificially varies \(P^2\) in the solution process of the homogeneous BSE. In the corresponding inhomogeneous BSE one has \(P\) and therefore also \(P^2\) as a completely independent variable (see, e.g., \cite{11, 44}).

Thus, the on-shell scalar components \(F_i(q^2, q \cdot P, P^2)\) effectively depend on the two variables \(q^2\) and \(q \cdot P\), the latter of which can be parameterized by the variable \(z\) in the \([-1, 1]\) related to the cosine defining the angle between the four vectors \(P\) and \(q\). In principle, the components \(F_i\) can be expanded further in Chebyshev polynomials, but we do not use such an expansion here (for details and an illustration of Chebyshev moments, see \cite{32, 41}).

With the independent four momenta and \(\gamma^a\) one can construct four independent Lorentz-scalar structures,

\[
1, \quad \gamma \cdot P, \quad \gamma \cdot q, \quad i\sigma^{\mu\nu}P, \tag{5}
\]

where \(\sigma^{\mu\nu} := i/2 [\gamma \cdot q, \gamma \cdot P]\). These four covariants, which provide a basis corresponding to scalar mesons \(J^P = 0^+\), serve as the basic building blocks for any meson BSA. Together with pseudoscalar covariants \(J^P = 0^-\) as well as the bases for \(J = 1\) for all corresponding quantum numbers, these were explicitly constructed in \cite{30}. Here we concentrate on \(J = 2\) and higher.

For \(J = 2\) one has 8 independent covariant structures in the BSA. Let

\[
q_{\mu}^T := q_{\mu} - P_{\mu} \frac{q \cdot P}{P^2}, \tag{6}
\]

\[
\gamma_{\mu}^T := \gamma_{\mu} - P_{\mu} \frac{\gamma \cdot P}{P^2}, \tag{7}
\]

\[
\gamma_{\mu}^{TT} := \gamma_{\mu} - P_{\mu} \frac{\gamma \cdot P}{P^2} - q_{\mu}^T \frac{\gamma \cdot q^T}{(q^T)^2}, \tag{8}
\]

III. TENSOR-MESON BETHE-SALPETER AMPLITUDE

The BSA \(\Gamma^{\mu\nu...}(k; P)\) of a meson as a bound state of a quark-antiquark pair depends on two four-vector variables: the total as well as the relative quark-antiquark
be transverse projections of \( \gamma \) and \( q \) with respect to the total meson momentum \( P \) and each other (in particular the vectors \( \{ P_\mu, q_\mu^T, \gamma_\mu^{TT} \} \) are orthogonal to each other).

Defining furthermore the transverse projection of the metric
\[
g^T_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{P^2}
\] (9)
and the two transverse, symmetric, and traceless structures
\[
M_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_\mu^T q_\nu + q_\mu^T \gamma_\nu - \frac{2}{3} g^T_{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot q^T
\] (10)
\[
N_{\mu\nu} = 1(q_\mu^T q_\nu - \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} q \cdot q^T)
\] (11)
one obtains the following set of tensor \( (J^P = 2^+) \) covariants \[7\]
\[
T_1^{\mu\nu} = i M^{\mu\nu}, \quad T_2^{\mu\nu} = M^{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot q \cdot P - 2 N^{\mu\nu} q \cdot P,
\]
\[
T_3^{\mu\nu} = M^{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot P, \quad T_4^{\mu\nu} = 2 M^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho\sigma^\rho - 4 i N^{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot P,
\]
\[
T_5^{\mu\nu} = N^{\mu\nu}, \quad T_6^{\mu\nu} = i N^{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot q
\]
\[
T_7^{\mu\nu} = i N^{\mu\nu} \gamma \cdot P \cdot q \cdot P, \quad T_8^{\mu\nu} = -2 i N^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho\sigma^\rho P
\] (12)
Note that \( T_5 \ldots T_8 \) were only given implicitly in \[2,10\]. All \( T_i \) as given here are even under charge conjugation (for details, see e.g., \[23,24\]). Thus, to obtain a \( J^{PC} = 2^{++} \) state, all components \( F_i \) must be even functions of \( q \cdot P \), for which the present setup is indeed the property of the ground state in the system. Note also that these covariants are in general neither orthogonal nor normalized; orthonormal covariants can be generated via a Gram-Schmidt procedure applied to the set of terms in \[13\], leading to
\[
\begin{align*}
1, & \quad \gamma \cdot P, \quad \gamma \cdot q^T, \quad i \sigma^\rho \sigma^\rho P.
\end{align*}
\] (13)
To orthogonalize the above \( 2^+ \) covariants one introduces the symmetric and transverse expressions
\[
\tilde{M}_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{TT}^{\mu\nu} q_\nu + q_\mu^{TT} \gamma_{\nu T} \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\tilde{N}_{\mu\nu} = q_\mu^T q_\nu^T,
\] (15)
which automatically satisfy Eq. \[13\]. The next step is to implement the tracelessness, which is equivalent to orthogonality with respect to \( g^T_{\mu\nu} \). This yields
\[
M_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{M}_{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\tilde{M}_{\rho\sigma} g_{\rho\sigma}}{(g^T)^2}
\] (16)
\[
N_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{N}_{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\tilde{N}_{\rho\sigma} g_{\rho\sigma}}{(g^T)^2},
\] (17)
which corresponds to Eqs. \[10\] and \[11\], and by multiplication with the four scalar covariants in \[13\] gives the eight desired orthogonal tensor covariants. Note, however, that Eqs. \[10\] and \[16\] are slightly different. Subsequently, normalization is achieved via \( T_i = T_i/\sqrt{\text{Tr}[T_i T_i]} \).

IV. BSA FOR ANY MESON SPIN

To consider mesons of any particular spin \( J \), one has to construct Lorentz-tensors of rank \( J \) which are totally symmetric, transverse in all open indices and Lorentz-traceless (see, e.g., \[15\]): such an object has the \( 2J + 1 \) spin degrees of freedom as demanded in quantum mechanics of a massive particle. These restrictions, together with the properties of the Dirac matrices, lead to eight covariant structures for \( J \geq 1 \). More precisely, the two tensors \( M_{\mu\nu} \) and \( N_{\mu\nu} \) defined above can be generalized such that \( N_{\mu\nu...\tau} \) is the traceless part of
\[
q_\mu^T q_\nu^T \ldots q_\tau^T
\] (18)
and \( M_{\mu\nu...\tau} \) is the traceless part of the totally symmetric sum constructed from
\[
\gamma_{TT}^{\mu\nu} q_\nu^T \ldots q_\tau^T.
\] (19)
Each of these multiplied by the four terms in \[13\] defines four rank-\( J \) tensor covariants, in total eight, orthogonal in the sense of Eq. \[13\].

Obviously, Eqs. \[16\] and \[17\] follow from this construction. As a further quick check we consider the simplest such example, namely a vector meson: from \( J = 1 \) one immediately obtains \( N_\mu = q_\mu^T \) to give the first four, and \( M_\mu = \gamma_\mu^{TT} \) to give the second four covariants.

V. RESULTS

Here we present results for \( J^{PC} = 2^{++} \) states that extend the study of Ref. \[26\]. Consequently, we present correspondingly augmented figures here. Fig. 1 shows the meson masses for pseudoscalar, scalar, vector, axialvector, and tensor \( q\bar{q} \) states as functions of the pion mass,
A further technical note concerns the $2^{++}$ results for $\omega = 0.5$ GeV: Due to the analytic structure of the quark propagators for this parameter choice, the masses of the $2^{++}$ mesons are only accessible to us via extrapolation techniques (see Ref. 47 for a discussion). However, the extrapolations used are reliable and stable, and the resulting error bars are smaller than the size of the symbols in Fig. 2 except for the $u/d$ case, where we get an uncertainty of $\pm 75$ MeV.

An interesting question related to the issue of “simplicity” of the $2^{++}$ states in this approach is, how important the various covariants/components are in the BSA or, in other words, how many covariants are needed to arrive close to the full result. One possibility to investigate this is to leave out each covariant and recompute the mass of the state with the remaining seven. Small differences to the full result then indicate covariants of minor importance. Naturally there is a caveat for such an investigation, namely that the choice of the covariants is somewhat arbitrary.

In our case we used two sets of covariants: the one given explicitly above in Eq. (12) and the other, orthonormal, constructed according to the principles detailed in Sec. IV. We have performed this test for both sets of covariants and present the results in Tab. I. We enumerate the orthonormal covariants in the following way: the four terms in (13) multiplied with (17) are numbered 1 to 4, and (15) multiplied with (16) yield covariants 5 to 8. For either set, one needs five of the eight covariants to arrive at a number which is within one percent of the full result. Furthermore, omitting the contribution from $N^{\mu\nu}$ as indicated in $2$ for this particular case yields a number which is 7% too low compared to the full result.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have presented the complete set of Dirac covariants for mesons of spin 2 and given an explicit construction principle for the corresponding set of covariants for an arbitrary spin $J$ for the first time. We have furthermore explored $2^{++}$ states in a well-established RL truncated model setup of QCD’s DSEs and solved the corresponding quark-antiquark BSE numerically. The results are both reasonable and surprising in that they follow expected patterns, but are closer to experimental data than axial-vector mesons, even in the present simple setup.

The numerical calculation of further states with $J^{PC} = 2^{-+}, 3^{---}$, etc. is work in progress and will be presented in future publications. Naturally, this includes radial excitations of these states and opens up the concrete possibility to investigate Regge trajectories in the covariant DSE-BSE approach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge valuable discussions with R. Alkofer, S. Benić, D. Horvatić, and V. Mader. This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF under project no. P20496-N16, and was performed in association with and supported in part by the FWF doctoral program no. W1203-N08.
TABLE I. Meson mass of the $s\bar{s}$ $2^{++}$ state with $\omega = 0.4$ GeV with all covariants included as well as with single covariants left out. The change in bound-state mass is given compared to the full result. The results are presented for both the covariants of Eq. (12) and the orthonormal set of covariants constructed thereafter. All numbers are given in GeV.

| Covariant missing | none | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|-------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Eq. (12) Mass     | 1.448| 1.575| 1.455| 1.502| 1.509| 1.502| 1.287| 1.452| 1.450|
| Change            | +0.000| +0.127| +0.007| +0.054| +0.061| +0.054| -0.161| +0.004| +0.002|
| Orthonormal Mass  | 1.448| 1.502| 1.445| 1.540| 1.420| 1.669| 1.457| 1.446| 1.508|
| Change            | +0.000| +0.054| -0.003| +0.092| -0.028| +0.221| +0.009| -0.002| +0.060|