Economics of Renewable Energy for Water Desalination in Developing Countries
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to investigate the economics of renewable energy-powered desalination, as applied to water supply for remote coastal and desert communities in developing countries. In this paper, the issue of integration of desalination technologies and renewable energy from specified sources is addressed. The features of Photovoltaic (PV) system combined with reverse osmosis desalination technology, which represents the most commonly applied integration between renewable energy and desalination technology, are analyzed. Further, a case study for conceptual seawater reverse osmosis (SW-RO) desalination plant with 1000 m³/d capacity is presented, based on PV and conventional generators powered with fossil fuel to be installed in a remote coastal area in Egypt, as a typical developing country. The estimated water cost for desalination with PV/ SW-RO system is about $1.25 m³, while ranging between $1.22-1.59 for SW-RO powered with conventional generator powered with fossil fuel. Analysis of the economical, technical and environmental factors depicts the merits of using large scale integrated PV/RO system as an economically feasible water supply relying upon a renewable energy source.

Renewable energy powered desalination–Photovoltaic (PV) -Desalination- Reverse Osmosis (RO) - Economics- Developing countries.

1. Introduction

There is a worldwide trend to intensify the use of desalination to reduce current or future water shortage. Over a billion people worldwide lack access to sufficient water of good quality (Francisco Diogo ,et.al, 2014). Most of these people live in Asia and Africa. The growing population and steady increase in the living standards lead to increasing the specific water consumption per capita. A considerable increase in the world population (over the next decade) will be concentrated mainly in most of the developing countries and particularly in Africa, causing severe water shortages (FAOSTAT Database, June 2000). As a result, 40% of the world population is facing serious water shortage, mostly in remote rural areas and expanding urban areas (UNEP, 2003). According to a market research, a predicted average 3% increase in annual demand for fresh water would require an annual investment of about $500 billion in water supply (UNEP, 2008).

Renewable energies (RES) are expected to have a promising future and an important role in the domain of brackish and seawater desalination in developing countries. Recently, there are intensive endeavors to develop and install large-scale desalination plants, mainly powered by renewable energy sources, for low-density population areas deprived of electrical power grid connections. The cheap fresh water may be produced from brackish or seawater by using solar panels and other renewable energy technologies.

The development of these technologies will be important for developing countries that are currently suffering from water shortage and do not have access to economical conventional energy resources to implement desalination systems. In addition, due to the fact that fossil fuel prices are characterized by high variability and a trend upwards, the use of renewable energies allows for saving fossil fuels and hence reducing risks related to energy price escalation along the whole desalination plants life cycle.

Generally, integration with renewable energy sources can be achieved by direct use the heat or mechanical energy, or by generating electricity. Numerous efforts have been carried out throughout the world to find suitable coupling between desalination and RES. The suitability of a given renewable energy source for powering a specific desalting system depends on its type and magnitude of obtained energy. Different combinations between renewable energy sources and desalination technologies can be applied (Rodriguez- Girones, et.al, June 10-12, (1996), (S. Edward, 2006), (Tzen E., 2005), (Voivontas D., 1999).

In this paper, the issue of technology integration is addressed. Special emphasis is focused upon PV system and SW-RO desalination. Further, a case study is presented for SW-RO desalination powered with PV system or conventional electricity-generator. Technical, economical and environmental merits are elucidated for applying desalination with relatively large scale PV/ SW-RO

2. Material and Methods
The present study was conducted in the National Research center, Egypt. The approach to conduct the study involves the following:

- Identification of applicable techniques for combined renewable energy/desalination system
- Technical, economic and environmental assessment of the viable option for the water supply of fresh water for remote coastal communities, a typical developing country
- Investigating a conceptual case study for application of renewable energy-desalination in Egypt, as a typical developing country.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Desalination – Renewable energy (RE) integration

Renewable energy/desalination options may be outlined as follows:

- Solar energy options, including solar photovoltaic or solar thermal options. PV could be integrated with RO desalination. Solar thermal systems could be coupled with RO and mechanical vapor compression desalination systems.
- Wind energy, through direct use of mechanical shaft power or electricity generation could be integrated with RO, mechanical vapor compression and electrodistillation.
- Geothermal energy, through direct use of thermal energy could be used with multistage flash, multiple effect distillation and thermo vapor compression. Electricity generation could be applied with RO, electrodistillation and mechanical vapor compression.
- Combined wind, with solar or geothermal energy could be also integrated with thermal and membrane desalination.

The current dominant renewable energy source for desalination is solar photovoltaic (PV), which represent about 43% of the existing capacity, followed by solar thermal and wind energy (European Union, 2008). The right combination of a renewable energy source with a desalination technology can be the key to match both power and water demand economically, efficiently and in an environmentally friendly way.

In conclusion, desalination based on the use of renewable energy sources can provide a sustainable technology to produce fresh water. It is expected to become economically attractive as the costs of renewable technologies continue to decrease. Applying locally available renewable energy resources for desalination is likely to be feasible, particularly in remote regions deprived of efficient electricity supply. Although, the present deployment of renewable-based desalination is less than 1% of desalination capacity based on conventional fossil fuels (L. Garcia-Rodriguez, 2003), it is anticipated that much higher contribution of the desalination/RES in the near future will be achieved.

3.2 Economics of desalination/renewable energy systems

The cost of desalination is largely dominated by the energy cost. Therefore, the economical feasibility of desalination depends strongly on local availability and cost of energy. The most commonly used technologies are reverse osmosis (RO), multistage flash (MSF) and multi-effect distillation (MED) and electrodistillation (ED). The percentages of the global capacity according to technology are 60%, 27%, 9%, and 4% for RO, MSF, MED, and ED, respectively (United Nations, 2009). Low capacity, solar still is reported to produce water at cost ranging from $2.4 to $20/m² (Washington DC, 2004), (A.A.Madani, G.M.Zaki, 1995), (Bouchekima B., et al, 1998). Recent improvements in solar distillation technology makes it an ideal technology for some remote isolated areas (Fath H.E.S, 1997).

The dominant competing technology is reverse osmosis (RO), (Komgold E., et al, 1996). The reported production cost range for large scale conventional desalination is $1 - $2/m³ (Komgold E., et al, 1996). RO has lower energy consumption when compared to MSF and MED (Madani A.A., et al, 1995).

Costs breakdown for SW-RO desalination showed that energy represents about 43% of the total water production cost, compared to 59% for large-scale thermal desalination plant (Washington DC, 2004).

Typical reported capacities applied and costs of desalted fresh water from most common desalination processes based on renewable energy are shown in Table (1) (Miller J. E., 2003). Most of such technologies demonstrated rapid decrease of renewable energy costs and technical advances.

| Option | Capacity (m³/d) | Energy Consumption (kWh/m³) | Water Cost ($/m³) |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Solar stills | <0.1 | 1.3 - 6.5 |
| Solar-Multiple Effect Humidification | 1 – 100 | Thermal: 100 Electrical: 1.5 | 2.6 - 6.5 |
| Solar/CSP* - Multiple Effect Distillation | > 5,000 | Thermal: 60-70 Electrical: 1.5-2 | 2.3 - 2.9 |
| Photovoltaic - Reverse Osmosis | < 100 | Electrical: 4-5 | 11.7 - 15.6 |
| Wind-Reverse Osmosis | 50 - 2,000 | Electrical 4-5 | 6.5 - 9.5 (capacity <100 m³/d) |
| Wind-Mechanical Vapor Compression | < 100 | Electrical 11-14 | 5.2 - 7.8 |

Note: cost calculated at the exchange rate of 1.3 from euro to $ *(CSP) refers to concentrating solar power

The future expected electricity costs beyond year 2020 for the most common solar systems have been reported to be $0.04-0.1/kwh and about 0.04/kwh for solar thermal and photovoltaic systems, respectively for an annual solar energy isolation of 2500 kw/m².

The optimistic forecast of electricity cost of photovoltaic system, especially in countries with high solar energy isolation, as in the case of Egypt and many other developing countries, will lead to better economics of PV-RO desalination.

3.3 Case study
Seawater reverse osmosis (SW-RO) desalination plant (1000 m³/d) powered with photovoltaic (PV) or conventional diesel electricity generation system (DG).

In this section, a conceptual case study for desalination plant based on RO technology, powered with renewable energy PV system is presented. The choice of RO and PV technology is based on dominant application and promising future of such technology. The plant is designed for Egypt, as a typical developing country, with excellent high average annual solar energy isolation of 2500 kwh/m² (Enas R. Shouman and Khattab N.M., 2015). The present state of the art in both RO and PV-systems and cost indicators are applied based on international up-dated published data and national experience (Enas R. Shouman and Khattab N.M., 2015), (Franz Trieb, 2002).

The objective of this case study is to elucidate the technical, economical and environmental merits of applying SW-RO with relatively high capacity powered with PV system, as compared to conventional powering with diesel generator (DG), conventionally used in remote coastal areas for supplying electrical power. Fig.1 represents a schematic for the SW-RO desalination plant with PV and diesel powering options.

![Schematic for SW-RO desalination plant with PV and diesel powering options](image)

Table (2) illustrates the main technical features of the system, including SW-RO and power generation systems (PV or DG), as well as basis of cost estimates.

Table 2. Technical and economic features of SW-RO desalination plant 1000m3/d.

| Item                  | SW-RO system                                      | DG system                          | PV-Power system                      |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| System components     | o Raw seawater intake/ rejected brine outfall     | o 2 diesel generator, each 300 kVA+ capacity | o PV-panels, for average power 24 hrs of 250 kW |
|                       | o Seawater pretreatment, including chlorination for algae removal, dechlorination to remove excess active chlorine, pH-adjustment, dual media filters | o Diesel fuel storage and handling system | o Storage system including batteries, charge controller |
|                       | o RO train                                        | o Power supply.                    |                                      |
|                       | o Rejected high pressure pump                      |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Feedwater                                       |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Product water                                   |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Brine water                                     |                                    |                                      |
| Process design        | o Seawater salinity: 35000 mg/l                   | o Fuel, Diesel                      | o Fuel, Diesel                       |
|                       | o Production water salinity: 300-400mg/l          | Fuel consumption: 0.3 l/kWh        | Fuel consumption: 0.3 l/kWh         |
|                       | o Modules: spiral wound SW/RO membrane            | o Power output: AC, 3 phase, 380V, 50H2 | o Power output: AC, 3 phase, 380V, 50H2 |
|                       | o Operating pressure: 65                          |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Recovery: 40 %                                  |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Average daily production hours 20 hr.            |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Average yearly working days 3000/hr.             |                                    |                                      |
|                       | o Average power consumption: 5 kWh/m²              |                                    |                                      |

Cost estimate basis

1-Capital cost

- average market price of $1500 m³/d capacity
- Plant life time of 15 years

2- Depreciation

- System life time of 10 years

3- Operating cost (O&M)

- Fuel cost variable according to oil price (range $0.2-0.5/l)
- Maintenance 5% of capital cost
- Labor $ 15000/yr Diesel fuel price $ 0.2-0.5/l
- Maintenance 3% of capital cost
- Labor $ 15000/yr

Table (3) represents the estimated capital, annual operation and maintenance (O&M), depreciation, annual operation cost of the sub-system and the integrated system as well as unit cost of water produced and electricity generated. As indicated from table (4), the capital cost of the integrated RO- PV system is 1.75 times than of RO-DG. The cost of water for RO- PV system is about $1.25/m³, while for RO-DG, it ranges between $1.22-1.59/m³ depending on fuel price.
Table 3. Summary of cost estimates for RO-PV & diesel powered desalination plant (1000 m³/d)

| Cost items for RO-PV & Diesel Desalination plants | RO + PV - Power system | (DG) Diesel Power | RO + PV | RO + DG |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|
| Capital Investment ($1000)                      | 1500                   | 2000             | 500     | 3500    | 2000 |
| Annual O&M ($1000)                              |                        |                  |         |         |      |
| Membrane replacement                            | 30                     | -                | 30      | 30      |      |
| Maintenance                                     | 15                     | 15               | 15      | 30      |      |
| Labor                                          | 9                      | -                | 9       |         |      |
| Chemicals                                      | -                      | -                | 75-     | 75-     |      |
| Fuel price $0.2 - $0.5/l                        |                        |                  | 187.5   | 187.5   |      |
| Total annual (O&M)                              | 99                     | 75               | 115-    | 174     | 214- |
| Annual Depreciation                             | 100                    | 100              | 50      | 200     | 150  |
| Total Annual cost                               | 199                    | 175              | 165-    | 374     | 364- |
| Unit Cost                                       | 0.67                   | 0.117            | 0.11-   | 1.25    | 1.22 -| 1.59 |
| ($/m³)                                         | $/kWh                  | $/kWh            | $/m³    | $/kWh   |      |

Table 4. Qualitative comparison of economic, technical & environmental factors of the two applied options

| Item                                   | RO + PV - Reason | RO + DG - Reason |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Economic factors                       |                  |                  |
| Capital Investment                     | High             | Moderate         |
| O&M                                    | Low              | Moderate         |
| Improvement Opportunity                | High             | Moderate         |

4. Conclusion

Desalination represents a potential alternative technology for the efficient production of water from saline water sources at remote coastal and desert areas. The continuous development in improving energy consumption reduction and the improvement in PV-power system efficiency and cost will lead eventually to wide-spread application of the combined RO-PV system as a reliable and sustainable source of fresh water supply in remote areas, deprived from potable water and electricity. The merits of application of the RO-PV system in arid areas in developing countries is much favored by the high solar energy isolation which is double that in many developed countries. According to the presented case study, the cost of producing water for is $1.213 m³, compared with variable cost of $1.118-1.555/m³ (according to the fuel price variation). The prospective for cost reduction in RO-PV system is promising in view of progressive development of PV and RO systems, and it is expected with scaling up to high capacities, the economics of water production shall improve. Besides, the technical and economical feature, the RO-PV system is characterized by being friend to the environment (with no gaseous emission).
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