Current rectification in molecular junctions produced by local potential fields
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The transport properties of an octane-dithiol (ODT) molecule coupled to Au(001) leads are analyzed using density functional theory and non-equilibrium Green functions. It is shown that a symmetric molecule can turn into a diode under influence of a local electric field created by an external charged probe. The origin of the asymmetry is traced back to the appearance of a probe induced quasi-local state in the pseudogap of the ODT molecule. The induced state affects electron transport, provided it is close to the Fermi level of the leads. An asymmetric placement of the charged probe along the alkane chain makes the induced quasi-local state in the energy gap very sensitive to the bias voltage and results in rectification of the current. The results based on DFT are supported by independent calculations using a simple one–particle model Hamiltonian.

PACS numbers: 73.63.-b, 85.65.+h, 73.40.-c

I. INTRODUCTION

The quest for molecular diodes, which was started by Aviram and Ratner in 1975, has stimulated a number of experimental and theoretical investigations of molecular rectifiers. The Aviram-Ratner mechanism is based on donor-insulator-acceptor molecules, where electrons are transferred inelastically from the acceptor to the donor for a certain bias value and polarity. It is also possible to have rectifying behaviours in case of coherent transport when asymmetries are present in the molecular structure. Such asymmetries can be produced for instance by asymmetric molecules or different contacts to the electrodes. The current starts to increase for a certain bias and polarity whenever molecular orbitals which are located asymmetrically with respect to the leads coincide with the Fermi level of one of the electrodes. The current starts to increase for a certain bias value and polarity whenever molecular orbitals which are located asymmetrically with respect to the leads coincide and polarity whenever molecular orbitals which are located asymmetrically with respect to the leads coincide.

Most of these studies report values of $RR$ which do not exceed a dozen or so. So far however the question whether there is an upper limit for the rectification value for a molecular diode, and what this value might be, did not receive an unambiguous answer.

The experimentally obtained values of $RR$ for molecular diodes are usually much less than 100, and are orders of magnitude smaller than the corresponding values in typical inorganic devices. Although much bigger values ($RR \sim 10^5$) of $RR$ may be obtained after exposure of the self-assembled monolayers to air or by protonation, it is not clear the possible impact of the ionic conductivity on the current–voltage characteristics of the samples.

In order to design the best molecular diode it is necessary to optimize the main parameters governing the rectifying behaviour of the device: HOMO-LUMO energy gap (HOMO: for the highest occupied molecular orbital, LUMO for the lowest unoccupied one), length of the intervening molecular bridge, the position of the HOMO-LUMO system with respect to the Fermi level of the leads, and the coupling strength of the molecular levels to the metallic levels of the leads. In both experimental and theoretical works this goal is commonly realized by a more or less arbitrary choice of molecular components, i.e. the donor and acceptor subunits and the connecting bridge.
In this paper, as an alternative approach to other molecular-scale rectification mechanisms, we carry out a systematic simulation study of rectification induced by the presence of a local external charge in the vicinity of a molecular bridge. In part, our study is motivated by related experiments involving carbon nanotubes with charged AFM tips acting as the source of a local potential. At a molecular scale such a symmetry-breaking charge may be located in the surrounding solvent or in a self-assembled monolayer matrix of shorter molecules with a substantial dipole moment. For our calculations we use an octane–dithiol molecule coupled to gold leads, a system well studied in recent works.

II. SYSTEM SETUP AND PARAMETERS OF THE DFT COMPUTATIONS

We model the molecular junction within the SMEAGOL methodology, where the system is divided into an extended molecule (formed by the molecule and some surface layers of gold atoms) and the periodic, semi-infinite leads. In our case the extended molecule is the ODT molecule and 4×4×4 and 4×4×5 atomic sections of Au FCC lattice on the left and right side of the junction, respectively (see figure 1). Periodic boundary conditions (we did not allow at this stage for the relaxation of Au atoms) with the same parameters. In the course of the relaxation the bonding S atoms on both sides moved significantly to the bridge position of the Au(001) surface plane, with dominating 2-Au coordination.

In the SIESTA computations we used the local density approximation (LDA) with the Perdew and Zunger model for the exchange and correlation potential. The core electrons were represented by pseudopotentials obtained using the Troullier and Martins method. For all atoms in the system we employed a single-zeta (SZ) basis set. This restriction was necessary due to the memory limitations of our computation facilities, especially in the subsequent SMEAGOL transport computations. However, tests performed with smaller gold leads and a double-zeta polarized basis set (DZP) or the same gold leads and double-zeta (DZ) or single-zeta polarized (SZP) basis sets showed the same qualitative trends in the transport properties and the electronic structure (density of states (DOS)). The radii of the pseudoatomic orbitals were fixed by an energy shift parameter of 0.02 Ry. The mesh cutoff parameter was set to 200 Ry, and we used an additional grid cell sampling.

To simulate the effect of the charge probe we used an alkali atom (charge +|e|) or an alkaline earth atom (charge +2|e|) with the basis restricted to just the s orbital and a very small cutoff radius. This trick raises the atomic level of the probe atom far above Fermi level of the leads, completely depleting the s orbital. In effect, the auxiliary atom can be considered to be a simple point charge, whose wave function has no overlap with the wave functions of ODT and gold. The unit cell remained exactly neutral in the SIESTA computation, and was approximately neutral in the SMEAGOL computation. The atomic forces, upon introducing the probe atom, turned out to be quite large due to Coulomb attraction between the displaced electronic charges and the ionic charge of the probe center. However, we did not re-optimize the spatial structure of the unit cell at this stage, since the charge probe is meant to be an abstract representation of a local potential which could have various origins.

III. RESULTS

Figure shows the computed electron transmission coefficients, obtained for both 1k-point and 4k-point in the transverse direction of the Brillouin zone. Since the large transverse size of the unit cell produces a large separation between different copies of the molecular junction, the results are a representative of transport through an individual molecule. Furthermore, a sufficiently-large transverse unit cell needs only a small number of transverse k-points to approach the limit of the infinite surface. In our case, however we can see that the difference between 1k and 4k-point calculation is still noticeable, although the main features of the results remain qualitatively the same. As shown in figure a wide (ca. 2 eV)
transmission peak is located at about 1 eV below the Fermi level of the system. The peak is broad because it comes from molecular orbitals which are well coupled to the electronic states of the leads, i.e. the sulfur 3s and especially 3p orbitals. Note, that the maximum height of the peak is much less than unity, because it arises from two almost energetically equivalent S orbitals at opposite sites of the junction with an effective coupling, which decreases exponentially with the length of the molecular backbone. Under a bias voltage the peak splits into two peaks, with one moving upward and the other downward in energy. This behaviour is due to the influence of the potential ramp of the applied voltage, which induces an energetic inequivalence of the S orbitals at opposite ends of the molecule.

FIG. 2: (color online) Evolution of the transmission versus energy near the Fermi level ($E_F \equiv 0$) as a function of the bias voltage for the different values and the positions of the probe charge, obtained from SMEAGOL for the junction with ODT. All the plotted curves but one correspond to $4k$ computations. Left column, upper row: the junction without the probe, $4k$ results for $0 < V < 2V$ are compared with $1k$ for $V = 0$. Left column, lower row: transmission function for various values of the charge probe in the extended energy scale. Middle column: the probe is positioned near the leftmost C atom (position C1, as in figure 1) of the ODT chain at a distance of about 7, near the point where the sharp peak crosses the edge of the transmission window. The asymmetry of the $I-V$ characteristic and the rectification ratio both decrease when the probe is moved to the center of the junction. At the same time, the maximum current in the computed voltage range increases, consistent with the increased height of the peak for position C3.

For the probe position C3 the rectification ratio reaches a maximum of about 7, near the point where the sharp peak crosses the edge of the transmission window. The asymmetry of the $I-V$ characteristic and the rectification ratio both decrease when the probe is moved to the center of the junction. At the same time, the maximum current in the computed voltage range increases, consistent with the increased height of the peak for position C3.

In order to understand the nature of the peak that appears in the transmission for the stronger charge probe we computed the partial density of states for the bonding S atoms when the charge probe is located near the first C atom from the left of the ODT chain. The results, presented in figure 3, were obtained using SIESTA and mirror the transmission data of figure 2 obtained from

FIG. 3: $I-V$ dependence for the ODT molecular junction. Left figure: without the charge probe. Middle figure: the $+2|e|$ charge probe is near the leftmost C atom (C1). Right figure: the $+2|e|$ charge probe is near the third C atom from the left (C3).
As one can see there is indeed a wide (ca. 2 eV) spectral feature in the PDOS on both S atoms. Without the charge probe the PDOSs on both S atoms are exactly the same, as expected for a perfectly symmetric junction. The introduction of the charge probe into the unit cell does not perturb significantly the local electronic structure of the more distant S atom. However, the PDOS of the S atom in the vicinity of the charge probe undergoes a substantial change. First, the PDOS below $E_F$ and especially the wide band located near -1 eV are considerably reduced and shifted downwards. This effect is in agreement with the observed increase of the electronic charge on the S atom closer to the charge probe, calculated from the Mulliken populations. Second, a rather narrow peak emerges near 1 eV above $E_F$, suggesting a close relation with the pronounced peak at the same energy in the transmission function. Since this peak is absent in the PDOS for the S atom in the absence of the probe and for the more distant S atom, we conclude that the most important effect of the charge probe is to introduce a narrow peak in the transmission function and in the PDOS of the closer S atom.

A small width of this peak suggests that it is related to the appearance of a quasilocalized level induced in the pseudogap of the ODT molecule coupled to the Au leads, and generated by the strong local potential of the molecule to the leads will be described using energy parameters, $\gamma_a$, $\gamma_b$, $\Gamma_a$, $\Gamma_b$, $\Gamma_{ab}$

The probe LDOS is concentrated mainly near the S atoms and the neighboring C atoms with very little LDOS inside the alkane backbone. This is consistent with the approximate picture of a whole junction as a simple two–S–atom system with a strong bonding to the leads and a weak bridge between them. Including the probe creates the considerable increase of LDOS near to the probe. Note, that although the biggest increase of LDOS takes place at the nearby C and H atoms, also the S atom closer to the probe gains noticeably more LDOS. At the same time, the more distant S atom is hardly influenced by the probe, consistent with what we found in PDOS. On this basis we conclude that the probe induced changes are localized near the position of the probe. Computations of LDOS for an energy window that does not include peak in PDOS (near $E_F + 0.25$ eV, not shown here), exhibits much more symmetrical LDOS, more like to a system without the probe. The latter fact again confirms that the probe induced changes are limited to a rather narrow energy window and stresses the similarity of the peak to a quasi–localized state in extended systems.

### IV. MODEL INTERPRETATION OF THE DFT RESULTS

To rationalize the above DFT results, we now examine a simple parametric tight binding model of the molecule, described by the following Hamiltonian:

$$
H_M = t_a \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (a_i^\dagger a_{i+1} + \text{h.c.}) + E_a \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i^\dagger a_i + t_b \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (b_i^\dagger b_{i+1} + \text{h.c.}) + E_b \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i^\dagger b_i + t_{ab} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (b_i^\dagger a_{i+1} + a_i^\dagger b_{i+1} + \text{h.c.})
$$

In order to mimic the semiconducting properties of the ODT molecule it includes two electron levels per site, one corresponding to the bonding state and the other one to the antibonding state. These levels are separated by an energy gap, $E_a - E_b > 0$. The operators $a$, $b$ are electron operators for the antibonding and the bonding levels. Note that we suppressed here the spin index, since the only effect of the spin in the absence of the magnetic field or electron repulsion reduces to the appearance of a factor of 2 in the expression for the current or the conductance. We allow for hopping between nearest neighbor sites only. In eq. (1), the summation goes over $N$ effective sites which can in general represent mers of an arbitrary semiconducting like molecular chain.
of the local probe will be taken into account by adding a site energy shift $E_L$ at a site $L$. Finally, to account for the energetic inequivalence of the bonding atoms we use a site energy shift $E_S$ at the first and the last site of the chain. Using transfer matrices, the transmission coefficient for the above Hamiltonian can be easily computed for any finite system. The energy separation between the bands should be large enough to describe a molecular chain with semiconducting properties. When contacted to the leads the molecule will form a junction with the conductance decreasing exponentially with the increase of the chain length, as discussed in many papers (see, e.g. Ref.35). The presence of the local shift of the potential can bring about the appearance of a localized state in the gap of the system. If the molecule is strongly coupled to the leads and the in-gap state has large enough spatial extension it can couple directly to electronic states of the leads and participate in the resonant transport through the molecule, as described in the previous section.

The orbitals of the peripheral atoms are weakly coupled by the effective hopping $t_{\text{eff}}$, which could be computed by eliminating all the other orbitals in the chain. Approximately, the whole molecule can be described in a limited energy range as a two-site system with a weak coupling $t_{\text{eff}}$ between the sites. Note, that these states are located mostly on the peripheral sites and are almost symmetric to each other. The most interesting feature here is the probe-induced level, which is located close to the probe. This state can be interpreted as a localized state split off from the antibonding band by the strong attractive local potential created by the probe.

The procedure of reproducing the $ab$ initio results with the model system is facilitated by fact that the Hamiltonian (1) is exactly solvable for any number of sites and parameter values and its eigenvalues are give by:

$$
\varepsilon_{\nu}^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ E_a + E_b + 2(t_a + t_b) \cos \left( \frac{\nu \pi}{N+1} \right) \right] \\
\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(E_a - E_b + 2(t_a - t_b) C)^2 + 16 t_{\text{ab}}^2 C^2}
$$

where $C = \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{N+1} \right)$.

We start from setting the energy scale of our parameter unit $t_b$ to a value of 4 eV which (as to the order of magnitude) is suggested by a hopping integrals often used to describe the hydrocarbon systems. For simplicity we also put $t_b = -t_a$ and treat in what follows $t_{\text{ab}}$ as a small number. The energy gap of the spectrum of Hamiltonian (1) is given, for large $N$, by:

$$
E_g = \sqrt{(|E_a - E_b| - 2|t_a - t_b|)^2 + 16 t_{\text{ab}}^2}.
$$

On the basis of our SIESTA and SMEAGOL computations the energy gap between the main parts of energy spectrum of the ODT molecule coupled to the leads is given by $\sim 8$ eV. The last value can be well reproduced (for small $t_{\text{ab}}$) by taking $E_a = -E_b = 3t_b$. In order to fit the position of the sulfur derived HOMO band, as well as the shape of the 2 eV wide transmission peak just below $E_F$ (cf. figure 2) we are left with 3 more parameters: $E_S$, $\Gamma_a = \Gamma_b$ and $t_{\text{ab}}$. Adjusting their values with a trial and error method to the $ab$ initio results we get: $\Gamma_a = \Gamma_b = 0.2t_b$, $E_S = 2.5t_b$ and $t_{\text{ab}} = 0.11t_b$. Obtained in this way transmission function near $E_F$ is presented in figure 3 (left panel). We conclude that it compares fairly well to $ab$ initio results shown in figure 2 (left upper panel) taking into account the very approximate nature of the two-orbital model as well as all the approximations implied by the one-particle approach.

![FIG. 5: (color online) Evolution of the transmission in the vicinity of the Fermi level ($E_F = 0$) with the bias voltage for the molecular chain of 8 mer, described by the Hamiltonian $H_M$ coupled to the leads with $\Gamma_{a,b} = 0.2$. The used model parameters are: $E_a = 3$, $E_b = -3$, $t_b = -t_a = 1$, $t_{\text{ab}} = 0.11$, $L = 2$, $E_S = 2.5$. Left: transmission for the system without the probe; right: transmission for the system with the probe corresponding to $E_L = -2.1$ located near the $L = 2$ atom.](image-url)
of the ab initio results.

The evolution of the transmission with the bias voltage is also similar to the one observed in the DFT computation: the height of the wide peak decreases and it begins to separate into two peaks corresponding to the two orbitals, with one located mostly on the source lead and the other one mostly on the drain lead. The position of the probe–induced transmission peak corresponds rather accurately to the energy of the quasi–localized state which can also be found in PDOS (not shown here). The state couples much better to the left electrode and because of this reason its position follows rather closely the electrochemical potential of the left lead. This asymmetry of the coupling also explains the reduced value of the transmission for the peak, which is considerably smaller than unity.

The $I - V$ dependence (see figure 6) corresponding to the transmission data from figure 5 also compare well with the ab initio results from figure 3. The current for $E_L = 0$ shows the same smooth voltage dependence as the corresponding DFT results in the absence of the probe, with an almost linear dependence in the region of the small voltage and a saturation slightly above $0.25|t_b| \sim 1$ V. The current for $E_L = -2.1t_b$ and $L = 2$ is also qualitatively similar to the DFT results in the presence of the probe, with a sharp rise of the absolute current for voltages near -1 V. In the present case, however, the rectification ratio is significantly larger than in the DFT results, amounting to about 50. Another difference with the DFT results is found for the central location of the probe, where the model calculations show a gap–like shape in the current in the region $-0.25|t_b| < eV < 0.25|t_b|$. This is due to departure of the transmission peak from $E_F$ at $V = 0$ in the model calculation for $L = 4$. We expect that some of the discrepancies can be removed by a selfconsistent treatment of the model, extended to include explicitly electron interactions which can modify the positions of the molecular levels with respect to the Fermi levels of the leads.

\[ \text{FIG. 6: } I - V \text{ dependence of the model system described by the Hamiltonian } H_M \text{ for the same parameters as in figure 5.} \]

\[ \text{V. CONCLUSION} \]

In conclusion, we have shown that the presence of a local charge in the vicinity of a molecular backbone can lead to significant rectification in the otherwise symmetric molecule. The external local potential is shown to split a quasi–localized state from the unoccupied band. The state is centered near the probe position and significantly contributes to the electron transport when the voltage increases beyond a threshold value. The rectification is due to the strong energy dependence of the quasilocalized state on the polarization of the bias. The resulting rectification ratio depends non–linearly on the value of the potential, and the substantial I-V asymmetry is observed only for strong enough potential values. We showed that the application of the external potential does not necessarily imply a current reduction, in fact we observed an increase of the current value as compared to the symmetric case when the stronger charge probe was included. The latter finding qualitatively agrees with the results of a recent experimental work.\[ 30 \]

The proposed parametric model of the molecular junction in the field produced by the charge probe can be considered as a generic minimal model of a semiconducting–like linear molecule with an asymmetry induced by a local potential. The successful explanation of the gross features of the DFT results, with a simple choice of parameter values, suggests that the described mechanism of the rectifying behaviour can be a rather common feature of such junctions. The main requirement for the device to be useful for applications is that the charge probe has to be strong enough to generate a quasilocalized level within the HOMO-LUMO energy gap, close enough to the HOMO level. In this way one can generate a quasilocalized state with a substantial spatial overlap with the electronic states of the both leads, so that it is active in transport for experimentally accessible voltage values.
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