L-Selectin from Human, but Not from Mouse Neutrophils Binds Directly to E-Selectin
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Abstract. L-Selectin on neutrophils as well as inducible E- and P-selectin on endothelium are involved in the recruitment of neutrophils into inflamed tissue. Based on cell attachment assays, L-selectin was suggested to function as a carbohydrate presenting ligand for E- and P-selectin. However, previous affinity isolation experiments with an E-selectin–Ig fusion protein had failed to detect L-selectin among the isolated E-selectin ligands from mouse neutrophils. We show here that L-selectin from human neutrophils, in contrast to mouse neutrophils, can be affinity-isolated as a major ligand from total cell extracts using E-selectin–Ig as affinity probe. Binding of human L-selectin to E-selectin was direct, since purified L-selectin could be reprecipitated with E-selectin–Ig. Recognition of L-selectin was abolished by sialidase-treatment, required Ca$^{2+}$, and was resistant to treatment with endoglycosidase F. Binding of L-selectin to a P-selectin–Ig fusion protein was not observed. In agreement with the biochemical data, the anti–L-selectin mAb DREG56 inhibited rolling of human neutrophils on immobilized E-selectin–Ig but not on P-selectin–Ig. No such inhibitory effect was seen with the anti–mouse L-selectin mAb MEL14 on mouse neutrophils. Rolling of E-selectin transfectants on purified and immobilized human L-selectin was inhibited by mAb DREG56. We conclude that L-selectin on human neutrophils is a major glycoprotein ligand among very few glycoproteins that can be isolated by an E-selectin affinity matrix. The clear difference between human and mouse L-selectin suggests that E-selectin–binding carbohydrate moieties are attached to different protein scaffolds in different species.

The selectins are a family of three Ca$^{2+}$-dependent cell adhesion molecules that are involved in the initial attachment and rolling of leukocytes on the blood vessel wall (Lasky, 1995; McEver et al., 1995). This initiation of cell contact enables other adhesion molecules to stabilize the binding and allows the leukocytes to migrate across the barrier of the vessel wall (Springer, 1994). E- and P-selectin, which are both expressed on activated endothelium, mediate the entry of neutrophils and certain lymphocyte populations into inflamed tissue (Mayadas et al., 1993; LaBowe et al., 1994; Frenette et al., 1996). L-selectin on leukocytes is also involved in leukocyte adhesion to activated endothelium (Arbonés et al., 1994). In addition, L-selectin acts as a lymphocyte homing receptor that mediates the entry of lymphocytes into lymph node tissue (Gallatin et al., 1983).

Two glycoprotein ligands for P- and E-selectin were identified on myeloid cells by affinity isolation using soluble forms of P- and E-selectin as affinity probes. One of them, the P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1)$^1$, was originally cloned by expression cloning in COS cells (Sako et al., 1993) and was affinity isolated with human P-selectin (Moore et al., 1992) and mouse P-selectin–Ig (Lenter et al., 1994). Fucosylation of terminal saccharide structures on PSGL-1, which gives rise to epitopes reactive with anti- Le$^a$ antibodies, is necessary for the binding to P-selectin. In addition, sulfation on NH$_2$-terminal tyrosine residues seems to be essential for the binding to P-selectin (Pouyani and Seed, 1995; Sako et al., 1995). PSGL-1 also binds to E-selectin; however, only fucosylation, but no sulfation on tyrosines is needed for this binding (Lenter et al., 1994; Asa et al., 1995; Li et al., 1996). The second ligand that was identified by direct affinity isolation was the E-selectin ligand ESL-1, a glycoprotein that requires sialic acid and fucose for binding (Levinovits et al., 1993; Steegmaier et al., 1995). In contrast to PSGL-1, ESL-1 does not bind to P-selec-
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1. Abbreviations used in this paper: ESL, E-selectin ligand; PMN, polymorphonuclear granulocytes; PSGL, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand.
tin and is not a sialomucin. Instead, it requires some of its five potential N-linked carbohydrate side chains for ligand activity. PSGL-1 was shown to mediate rolling of human neutrophils on P- and E-selectin in vitro (Moore et al., 1995; Patel et al., 1995) and rolling of human neutrophils injected into rat mesenteric venules in vivo (Norman et al., 1995). Antibodies against mouse ESL-1 were found to inhibit adhesion of mouse neutrophils to endothelial E-selectin in a nonstatic (rotation) cell attachment assay (Steegmaier et al., 1995).

Three glycoprotein ligands for L-selectin, glycosylation-dependent cell adhesion molecule-1 (GlyCAM-1) (Lasky et al., 1992), CD34 (Baumhueter et al., 1993), and mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MadCAM-1) (Briskin et al., 1993), were identified on the cuboidal endothelial cells of high endothelial venules. These L-selectin ligands are constitutively expressed and are thought to function as addressins or regulatory molecules in the trafficking of lymphocytes into lymphoid organs.

Which molecules on activated endothelium function as binding partners for L-selectin is less well understood. It has been shown in several in vitro adhesion studies that lymphocytes, neutrophils, and monocytes bind to cytokine-activated but not to nonactivated endothelial cells in an L-selectin-dependent manner (Spertini et al., 1991, 1992; Brady et al., 1992). Likewise, monoclonal antibodies against L-selectin can block rolling of neutrophils in cytokine-activated venules (Ley et al., 1991; von Andrian et al., 1993; Arbonés et al., 1994) and can partially block the entry of neutrophils into inflamed tissue such as inflamed peritoneum (Watson et al., 1991) or inflamed skin (Lewinsohn et al., 1987) or lung tissue (Mulligan et al., 1994). This interaction of leukocytes with activated endothelium could in part be due to the binding of L-selectin to not yet identified cytokine-inducible carbohydrate-presenting ligands (von Andrian et al., 1993).

Unexpectedly, indirect evidence suggested an alternative function of L-selectin as ligand for the endothelial selectins: Attachment of human neutrophils to E- and P-selectin–expressing cells in nonstatic (rotation) adhesion assays (Picker et al., 1991; Kishimoto et al., 1991) and in flow adhesion assays (Abbassi et al., 1993; Lawrence et al., 1994; Patel et al., 1995) was partially inhibited by antibodies against L-selectin. In addition, E-selectin transfectants bound to immobilized L-selectin. However, in sharp contrast to these results, L-selectin could not be affinity isolated from mouse neutrophils with mouse E-selectin–Ig and mouse P-selectin–Ig fusion proteins, although PSGL-1 and ESL-1 were readily detectable (Levinovitz et al., 1993; Lenter et al., 1994). Likewise, only PSGL-1, but not L-selectin, was detected by blotting assays with extracts of human neutrophil membranes, using purified, iodinated human P-selectin as affinity probe (Moore et al., 1992).

Here we present evidence that may explain these apparent discrepancies. We show that, in contrast to L-selectin on mouse neutrophils, L-selectin on human neutrophils can directly be isolated with an E-selectin–Ig affinity probe. Our results establish that human L-selectin not only supports rolling of E-selectin transfectants, but that indeed L-selectin is a preferred E-selectin ligand among all other cellular proteins. This is not the case for mouse L-selectin. Thus, as was predicted by Varki (1994), different repertoires of glycoprotein ligands for the selectins are generated by different species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells**

Mouse polymorphonuclear granulocytes (PMN) were isolated from bone marrow cells, flushed out of the femurs of 10-wk-old NMRI mice and filtered through nylon tissue, pelleted, resuspended in DME, layered onto 4 ml of Histopaque 1077 (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and centrifuged for 30 min at 700 g. More than 90% of the pelleted cells were neutrophils as judged by staining with Diff-Quik (Baxter, Unterschleissheim, Germany). Neutrophils and mononuclear cells from human blood were isolated on density gradients of Histopaque 1077 and 1119 (Sigma Chemical Co.) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Human bone marrow neutrophils (kindly provided by Dr. Roland Mertelsmann, Freiburg, Germany) were purified similarly. Mouse E-selectin–transfected CHO cells were obtained from Dr. Pierre Vassali (Geneva, Switzerland).

**Antibodies**

The following monoclonal antibodies were used: DREG200 and DREG56 (anti-human L-selectin; Kishimoto et al., 1990), kindly provided by Dr. Kishimoto (Ridgefield, CT), MEL 14 (anti–mouse L-selectin; Gallatin et al., 1983), HECA452 (anti–Le^a^-like carbohydrate, also called CLA; Duijvestijn et al., 1988), M7/10.15.11.5.HL (anti–mouse Mac-1; American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD), and mAb 44 (anti–human Mac-1; Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). LAMI-110 and LAMI-116 (anti–human and murine L-selectin, adhesion blocking) and LAMI-14 (anti–human L-selectin, nonblocking; Kansas et al., 1991) were a generous gift from Dr. T.F. Tedder. The rabbit antisera 65 and 89060 against mouse ESL-1 have been described (Steegmaier et al., 1995). The anti–human PSGL-1 rabbit serum 84870 was raised against a fusion protein containing the first 298 amino acids of human PSGL-1 fused to the Fc part of human IgG1.

**Selectin-Immunoglobulin Chimeric Proteins**

The construction of the mouse E-selectin–IgG and mouse P-selectin–IgG chimeric proteins has been described (Hahne et al., 1993). The pig, human, and rat E-selectin–IgG fusion proteins were kindly provided by Dr. Martyn K. Robinson (Celltech, Slough, UK) and contained the CH1, hinge, CH2, and CH3 domains of human IgG1, fused to pig lectin and EGF domains, the human lectin and EGF domains, or the rat lectin and human EGF domains, respectively.

**Affinity Isolation of Metabolically Labeled E-Selectin Ligands**

Metabolic labeling of neutrophils with [35S]methionine and [35S]cysteine and affinity isolation and reprecipitation experiments were essentially done as described (Lenter et al., 1994).

**Sialidase and Endoglycosidase F Treatment of L-Selectin**

L-Selectin was purified from metabolically labeled neutrophils using the CNBr-Sepharose–conjugated DREG200 affinity matrix, as described above, and was treated with neuraminidase of *Arthrobacter ureafaciens* or endoglycosidase F (both from Boehringer Mannheim, Manheim, Germany) as described (Lenter et al., 1994).

**Purification of Human and Mouse L-Selectin**

Human L-selectin was purified from 20 ml human serum with an E-selectin–Ig affinity matrix. Serum was precleared twice with 200 μl protein-A–Sepharose for 4 h and incubated overnight with 40 μg hIgG1, or E-selectin–IgG chimeric protein bound to 50 μl protein A–Sepharose. EDTA-eluted material was either directly electrophoresed or subjected to reprecipitations with CNBr-Sepharose–conjugated DREG56.

For flow adhesion assays, L-selectin from 500 ml of heparinized human plasma was incubated with 200 μl CNBr-Sepharose beads conjugated with DREG56. Specifically bound proteins were eluted and reprecipitated with E-selectin–IgG as described above. Mouse L-selectin was purified from
detergent extracts of freshly isolated neutrophils from 20 mice using a MEL14-conjugated CNBr-Sepharose affinity column.

Cell Attachment Assay under Flow

Glass coverslips were coated for 3 h at room temperature with 0.3 μg/ml human IgG, E-selectin–IgG, P-selectin–IgG, or human L-selectin or with 0.1 μg/ml mouse L-selectin in PBS and subsequently blocked with 5% BSA in PBS. Neutrophils (5 × 10^6/ml) were preincubated with 25 μg/ml human IgG in DME containing 10% FCS and 0.02% azide for 10 min at room temperature to block cell surface Fc-receptors. mAbs were subsequently added as 25 μg/ml purified antibody, as 1/50 diluted ascites, or as fivefold concentrated hybridoma supernatant and incubated with the cells for 30 min at room temperature. Cells were diluted to a final density of 1 × 10^5 cells/ml and perfused through a transparent rectangular perfusion chamber with a slit height of 0.3 mm and a width of 6 mm, as described by Kuijper et al. (1996). The flow rate was controlled with a syringe pump attached to the inlet. The whole experiment was videotaped and the number of rolling cells was quantified by counting cells on multiple images beginning 3 min after start of the flow during which tethering was allowed to occur. The wall shear stress in experiments performed with neutrophils was 2.1 and 1.6 dynes/cm² with E-selectin-transfected CHO cells. The area of the field of view was 2.46 × 10⁶ μm².

Results

L-Selectin from Human, but Not from Mouse Neutrophils Can Be Affinity Isolated with E-Selectin–IgG

We performed affinity isolation experiments with metabolically labeled mouse neutrophils and antibody-like fusion proteins of mouse E- and P-selectin. Confirming earlier results (Levinovitz et al., 1993; Lenter et al., 1994), we detected the 150-kD ESL-1 and a 250-kD ligand as E-selectin–specific ligands, while a pair of 230/130-kD glycoproteins could be isolated with both selectin affinity probes (Fig. 1, lanes 2 and 3). The 230/130-kD pair was recognized by rabbit antisera against mouse PSGL-1 (Borges, E., and D. Vestweber, unpublished observation). No protein of the size of L-selectin could be precipitated with any of the two selectin affinity probes, although L-selectin was strongly detected in immunoprecipitations with the anti-L-selectin mAb MEL14, migrating as a broad band at 100–120 kD (Fig. 1, lane 4). Thus, in contrast to the high-affinity ligands ESL-1 and PSGL-1, L-selectin from mouse neutrophils does not bind to any of the two endothelial selectins with sufficient affinity that would allow affinity isolation.

Human neutrophils isolated from peripheral blood were analyzed in the same way as mouse neutrophils. P-selectin–IgG precipitated exclusively a similar pair of proteins, as in the case of mouse neutrophils, migrating at a slightly lower apparent molecular mass of 110/220 kD (Fig. 2, lane 2). With E-selectin–IgG, protein bands of the same size were detected in addition to a broad band between 90 and 120 kD and another protein at 250 kD (Fig. 2, lane 3). Aliquots of the material that was eluted with EDTA from the E-selectin–IgG affinity matrix were also analyzed in immunoprecipitations with the mAb DREG200 against human L-selectin and polyclonal antibodies against human PSGL-1. These reprecipitation experiments identified the protein migrating at 90–120 kD apparent molecular mass as L-selectin and the pair of proteins at 110/220 kD as PSGL-1 (Fig. 2, lanes 4 and 5). The 250-kD protein may be the human homologue of the 250-kD mouse protein, which can be precipitated with E-selectin–IgG from mouse neutrophils.

L-Selectin from Human Bone Marrow Neutrophils Binds to E-Selectin

Since the analyzed human and mouse neutrophils had been isolated from human peripheral blood and from mouse bone marrow, respectively, we needed to test whether the strikingly different results were due to different tissue sources or to species differences. Therefore, we analyzed neutrophils from human bone marrow by affinity isolation with E-selectin–IgG. As shown in Fig. 3, a broad protein band ranging from 90 to 120 kD was isolated with E-selectin–IgG, which could be reprecipitated with DREG200 (lane 3).
Human L-Selectin Is Directly Recognized by E-Selectin

We wanted to test whether L-selectin was directly recognized by E-selectin or whether it was indirectly coprecipitated because of its possible association with other proteins. To this end, we used the anti-human L-selectin mAb DREG200 coupled to CNBr-Sepharose as an affinity matrix to first purify L-selectin from human blood neutrophils and then to test whether the purified L-selectin could be bound by E-selectin–IgG in a second reprecipitation. The neutrophils were labeled as before and detergent extracts were incubated with the DREG200 affinity matrix. The precipitated L-selectin was eluted by pH-shift, and after neutralization, equal aliquots of the eluted material were subjected to reprecipitation either with E-selectin IgG or P-selectin IgG coupled to protein A–Sepharose. The precipitated material was specifically eluted with EDTA. Purified L-selectin (Fig. 5 A, lane 1) could be reprecipitated with E-selectin–IgG (lane 2) but not with P-selectin–IgG (lane 3). These results indicate that L-selectin derived from human neutrophils binds directly to E-selectin and not to P-selectin.

Thus, independent from the tissue source of the neutrophils, L-selectin of human neutrophils can be recognized by E-selectin.

Human L-Selectin Binds to E-Selectin from Four Different Species

Since our analysis of E-selectin ligands on human and mouse neutrophils had been based on the use of a mouse E-selectin–IgG fusion protein, we also tested recombinant forms of E-selectin from other species, namely from human, rat, and pig. The same pattern of ligands representing L-selectin and the 110/220-kD forms of PSGL-1 was isolated with each of the four E-selectin fusion proteins (Fig. 6, lanes 2–5). Ligand recovery increased from human to pig, rat, and mouse E-selectin, respectively. This result reveals that human neutrophilic L-selectin is recognized by E-selectin, independently of the species origin of E-selectin.

The specificity and efficiency of the interaction between E- and L-selectin was further highlighted by the fact that shedded L-selectin could be affinity isolated from human serum with an E-selectin–Ig matrix. As shown in Fig. 5 B, shedded L-selectin was purified in a single step purification with E-selectin Ig (E-Sel.–IgG). The identity of the purified serum protein was confirmed by reprecipitating the EDTA-eluted material with the mAb DREG56 (re.DREG56).

Figure 2. L-selectin from human peripheral blood neutrophils can be affinity isolated with E-selectin–IgG, but not with P-selectin–IgG. Neutrophils from human peripheral blood were isolated by density gradient centrifugation and labeled as described in Fig. 1, and detergent extracts were incubated either with immobilized CD4-IgG (lane 1), P-selectin–IgG (lane 2), or E-selectin–IgG (lane 3). One aliquot of the EDTA-eluted proteins from the E-selectin–IgG matrix was directly electrophoresed (lane 3), while four aliquots were subjected to reprecipitations with immobilized mAb DREG200 (lane 4). IgG from rabbit antiserum 84870 against human PSGL-1 (lane 5), control rat mAb (lane 6), and nonimmune rabbit IgG (lane 7). Specifically bound proteins were eluted with EDTA (lanes 1–3) or SDS (lanes 4–7), electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions, and visualized by phosphorimaging. The material in lanes 1–3 corresponds to 10% of the total cell extract and in lanes 4–7 to 17.5%. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.

Figure 3. Affinity isolation of E-selectin ligands from human peripheral blood mononuclear leukocytes. After removal of neutrophils by density gradient centrifugation, residual human peripheral blood mononuclear leukocytes were metabolically labeled as described in Fig. 1, and detergent extracts were incubated either with CD4-IgG (lane 1) or E-selectin–IgG (lane 2). One aliquot of the EDTA-eluted proteins from the E-selectin–IgG matrix was directly electrophoresed (lane 2), while five aliquots were subjected to reprecipitations with immobilized mAb DREG200 (lane 3), IgG from rabbit antiserum 84870 against human PSGL-1 (lane 4), affinity-purified IgG from the two rabbit antisera 65 (lane 5), and 89060 against ESL-1 (lane 6) or nonimmune rabbit IgG (lane 7). Specifically bound proteins were eluted with SDS (lanes 3–7), electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions, and visualized by phosphorimaging. The material in each of lanes 1 and 2 corresponds to 10% of the total cell extract and in each of lanes 3–7 to 16% of the total extract. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.
Figure 4. L-selectin from human bone marrow neutrophils binds to E-selectin–IgG. Neutrophils were isolated from human bone marrow by density gradient centrifugation and labeled as described in Fig. 1, and detergent extracts were incubated with immobilized human IgG (lane 1) or E-selectin–IgG (lane 2). 40% of the EDTA-eluted material from the E-selectin–IgG matrix was directly electrophoresed (lane 2), and 60% were subjected to reprecipitation with mAb DREG200 (lane 3). Labeled proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by phosphorimaging. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.

Figure 5. Purified human L-selectin directly binds to E-selectin–IgG. (A) Neutrophils from human peripheral blood were metabolically labeled as described in Fig. 1 and subjected to immunoprecipitation with mAb DREG200. L-selectin was eluted from the affinity matrix by pH-shift and either directly electrophoresed (lane 1) or reprecipitated with E-selectin–IgG (lane 2) or P-selectin–IgG (lane 3). Isolated proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by phosphorimaging. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left. (B) Shedded human L-selectin is the major glycoprotein precipitated from human serum using E-selectin–IgG as a probe. 20 ml human serum were incubated with affinity matrices carrying E-selectin–IgG (E-Sel.-IgG) or, as control, human IgG. One third of the EDTA-eluted material was directly electrophoresed (E-Sel.-IgG and IgG) or reprecipitated with immobilized DREG56 (re. DREG56) or immobilized control antibody (re. control). Purified proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by Coomassie staining.

This result prompted us to test whether N-linked carbohydrates on L-selectin are relevant for the binding process. Treatment of L-selectin labeled and isolated as described above with endoglycosidase F caused a significant reduction of its apparent molecular mass (Fig. 7 B, lane 3). However, endo F–digested L-selectin still bound efficiently to the E-selectin–IgG fusion protein (Fig. 7 B, lane 5). This indicates, that endo F–sensitive N-linked carbohydrate side chains on L-selectin are not necessary for binding to E-selectin.

Attachment of Human, but Not of Mouse Neutrophils to E-Selectin under Flow Is Partially Blocked by Anti–L-Selectin mAb

To study neutrophil adhesion to E- and P-selectin under flow conditions, mouse and human neutrophils were infused into parallel-plate laminar flow chambers with coverslips coated either with E-selectin–IgG, P-selectin–IgG, or human IgG1. Attached cells that rolled on the protein-coated glass surface were quantified at a defined wall shear stress of 2.1 dynes/cm², which is in the range found in postcapillary venules. No attachment or rolling on human IgG was observed.

The number of rolling mouse neutrophils on E- and P-selectin–IgG could neither be inhibited by the mAb MEL14 nor by the mAbs LAM1-110 and LAM1-116 (Fig. 8). All three of these antibodies can block the lectin function of L-selectin. Shedding of L-selectin from the surface of the analyzed mouse neutrophils, due to a possible activation of the cells during preparation, was excluded by flow cytometry with mAb MEL14 (data not shown). Our results indicate that L-selectin is not involved in tethering of mouse neutrophils to E- or P-selectin under flow conditions. In contrast to this, tethering of human neutrophils to E-selectin–IgG was clearly reduced by the anti–human L-selectin mAb DREG56 (Fig. 9, left). Neutrophil binding to P-selectin–IgG was not affected by the antibody (Fig. 9, right). Again, no cells bound to immobilized human IgG and control incubations with the nonblocking mAb LAM1-

Binding Requires the Presence of Sialic Acid on L-Selectin and Is Resistant to Treatment with Endoglycosidase F

We wanted to test whether the high-affinity binding of L-selectin to E-selectin is dependent on the presence of carbohydrates on L-selectin. L-selectin was isolated from [35S]methionine/[^35S]cysteine-labeled human neutrophils using the DREG200-affinity matrix and was subsequently treated with sialidase from Arthrobacter ureafaciens at 37°C overnight. This treatment reduced the apparent molecular mass of L-selectin only slightly (Fig. 7 A, lane 3). When aliquots of the sialidase and of the mock-treated samples were subjected to reprecipitations with E-selectin–IgG, no binding of the sialidase-treated L-selectin was observed (Fig. 7 A, lane 5) while the mock-treated material bound well to E-selectin–IgG (Fig. 7 A, lane 4). Thus, sialic acid on L-selectin is necessary for the binding to E-selectin.

Figure 3. A 200-kD band was evident only when E-selectin–IgG (lane 3) was used as a probe. This band was resistant to endoglycosidase F treatment (lane 4) and most probably represents a carbohydrate moiety necessary for binding to E-selectin. Neutrophils were isolated from human bone marrow and labeled as described in Fig. 1 and subjected to immunoprecipitation with mAb DREG200. L-selectin was eluted from the affinity matrix by pH-shift and either directly electrophoresed (lane 2) or reprecipitated with E-selectin–IgG (lane 3). Isolated proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by Coomassie staining. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left. (B) Neutrophils were incubated with affinity matrices carrying E-selectin–IgG (E-Sel.-IgG) or, as control, human IgG. One third of the EDTA-eluted material was directly electrophoresed (E-Sel.-IgG and IgG) or reprecipitated with immobilized DREG56 (re. DREG56) or immobilized control antibody (re. control). Purified proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by Coomassie staining.

This result prompted us to test whether N-linked carbohydrates on L-selectin are relevant for the binding process. Treatment of L-selectin labeled and isolated as described above with endoglycosidase F caused a significant reduction of its apparent molecular mass (Fig. 7 B, lane 3). However, endo F–digested L-selectin still bound efficiently to the E-selectin–IgG fusion protein (Fig. 7 B, lane 5). This indicates, that endo F–sensitive N-linked carbohydrate side chains on L-selectin are not necessary for binding to E-selectin.

Figure 4. L-selectin from human bone marrow neutrophils binds to E-selectin–IgG. Neutrophils were isolated from human bone marrow by density gradient centrifugation and labeled as described in Fig. 1, and detergent extracts were incubated with immobilized human IgG (lane 1) or E-selectin–IgG (lane 2). 40% of the EDTA-eluted material from the E-selectin–IgG matrix was directly electrophoresed (lane 2), and 60% were subjected to reprecipitation with mAb DREG200 (lane 3). Labeled proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by phosphorimaging. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.
against human L-selectin and an mAb against Mac-1 did not affect neutrophil tethering to either E-selectin– or P-selectin–IgG. Interactions between rolling human neutrophils were only rarely observed in our flow adhesion assays. Only cells that rolled on the coated glass surface without prior interactions with other neutrophils were counted. Increasing the shear stress or cell density caused neutrophils to accumulate in areas, possibly because of neutrophil–neutrophil interactions (not shown). However, such accumulations were only rarely observed under the conditions used in the experiments depicted in Figs. 8 and 9. Thus, the inhibitory effect of DREG56 as depicted in Fig. 8 is most likely due to an inhibitory effect on interactions between neutrophils and E-selectin. Our results indicate that L-selectin on human neutrophils mediates tethering to E-selectin, but not to P-selectin, under flow conditions.

**Discussion**

Since the first reports about the binding of endothelial selectins to L-selectin (Picker et al., 1991; Kishimoto et al., 1991; Abbassi et al., 1993; Lawrence et al., 1994; Patel et al., 1995), it has been a matter of debate whether L-selectin indeed functions as a ligand for the endothelial selectins. The proposed ligand-function was mainly based on two lines of evidence: First, monoclonal antibodies against L-selectin blocked the interaction of human neutrophils with E-selectin. Second, purified L-selectin from human neutrophils was able to support binding of E-selectin transfectants in nonstatic (rotation) adhesion assays.

The two major points of criticism against this argument were: First, the inhibitory effect of the anti–L-selectin antibody DREG56 against human L-selectin and an mAb against Mac-1 did not affect neutrophil tethering to either E-selectin– or P-selectin–IgG. Interactions between rolling human neutrophils were only rarely observed in our flow adhesion assays. Only cells that rolled on the coated glass surface without prior interactions with other neutrophils were counted. Increasing the shear stress or cell density caused neutrophils to accumulate in areas, possibly because of neutrophil–neutrophil interactions (not shown). However, such accumulations were only rarely observed under the conditions used in the experiments depicted in Figs. 8 and 9. Thus, the inhibitory effect of DREG56 as depicted in Fig. 8 is most likely due to an inhibitory effect on interactions between neutrophils and E-selectin. Our results indicate that L-selectin on human neutrophils mediates tethering to E-selectin, but not to P-selectin, under flow conditions.

**Rolling of E-Selectin–transfected CHO Cells on Immobilized Human L-Selectin Is Blocked by DREG56**

To study the interaction between E-selectin and human L-selectin in the absence of other adhesive mechanisms, we analyzed the rolling of E-selectin–transfected CHO cells on purified human L-selectin. To this end, human L-selectin was purified in a two-step procedure: first with a DREG56 affinity column, and second with an E-selectin–IgG affinity column from human serum and coated onto coverslips. As shown in Fig. 10, E-selectin transfectants rolled on human L-selectin, and this interaction was largely inhibited by mAb DREG56, while mAb LAM1-14 did not inhibit. Rolling was dependent on L-selectin–associated carbohydrates since it could be blocked by the antiacarbohydrate antibody HECA452. These data demonstrate that DREG56 can interfere with the recognition of L-selectin–based carbohydrates by E-selectin.

Similar studies were tried with mouse L-selectin. However, the amount of L-selectin that could be prepared from mouse neutrophils using a Mel14 affinity column was very low. From the neutrophils of 20 mice, not more than 40 ng of L-selectin could be purified, as was roughly estimated by silver staining. A complete preparation coated in 400 μl on a coverslip (100 ng/ml) did not give rise to any rolling of E-selectin–transfected cells (not shown).

**Figure 6.** Recombinant forms of E-selectin from four different species can bind to human L-selectin. Neutrophils from human peripheral blood were metabolically labeled as described in Fig. 1 and detergent extracts were incubated with immobilized human IgG (lane 1), or various E-selectin–IgG fusion proteins generated from human (lane 2), pig (lane 3), rat (lane 4), or mouse (lane 5) E-selectin. EDTA-eluted proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by phosphorimaging. Lanes 1 and 2 were exposed five times longer than lanes 3–5. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.

**Figure 7.** Binding of human L-selectin to E-selectin is sensitive to sialidase treatment and resistant to endo F treatment of L-selectin. L-selectin was immunoprecipitated from metabolically labeled human neutrophils with mAb DREG200. (A) L-selectin was either directly electrophoresed (lane 1) or treated with (lanes 3 and 5) or without (lanes 2 and 4) sialidase from *Arthrobacter ureaficiens*. 40% of the treated samples were directly electrophoresed (lanes 2 and 3) and 60% reprecipitated with E-selectin–IgG (lanes 4 and 5). (B) Similar to A, except that endo F instead of sialidase was used. Proteins were electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions and visualized by phosphorimaging. Molecular mass markers (in kD) are indicated on the left.
antibody could be due to indirect effects, which are possibly due to steric hindrance of other, closely associated adhesion molecules. Indeed, L-selectin and PSGL-1 were both shown to be enriched on the microvillous surface of neutrophils (Picker et al., 1991; Moore et al., 1995; von Andrian et al., 1995). Second, L-selectin on human neutrophils carries sLe^a-like carbohydrate epitopes. Since even neoglycoproteins, such as BSA, conjugated to sLe^a can support interactions with E-selectin transfectants, binding assays with coated L-selectin only demonstrate that L-selectin carries E-selectin–binding carbohydrate epitopes. The purpose of our work presented here was to examine whether human L-selectin not only supports rolling of E-selectin transfectants, but whether L-selectin would indeed be a preferred ligand among all other cellular proteins. This could only be tested by affinity isolation experiments and was all the more important since previous affinity isolation experiments with mouse neutrophils had failed to detect L-selectin among the isolated E-selectin ligands (Levintovitz et al., 1993; Lenter et al., 1994). Our results reported here...
CHO-E-Selectin per mm²

| CO | human L-Selectin |
|----|------------------|
| no Ab | LAM1 14 | DREG 56 | HECA 452 |
| no Ab | 100 | 50 | 100 |

Figure 10. Rolling of E-selectin–transfected CHO cells on immobilized human L-selectin can be blocked by DREG56 and the anticarbohydrate antibody HECA452. Coverslips coated either with hIgG (Co) or human L-selectin as indicated were treated with DME/25% FCS containing no antibody (no Ab), 25 μg/ml of the functionally silent anti–L-selectin mAb LAM1-14, 25 μg/ml of the blocking anti–L-selectin mAb DREG56, or with fivefold concentrated hybridoma supernatant of the anticarbohydrate mAb HECA452 (as indicated). Prepared coverslips were exposed to a flowing cell suspension of E-selectin–transfected CHO cells. The assay was evaluated as described for Fig. 8.

clarify the apparent discrepancy between the published indirect evidence for a ligand function of human L-selectin and our previous work: human L-selectin indeed binds to E-selectin, while mouse L-selectin does not. Furthermore, the selective affinity isolation of human L-selectin with E-selectin–Ig demonstrates that human L-selectin is a preferred ligand for E-selectin, which binds better than almost all other glycoproteins of human neutrophils, besides PSGL-1 and ESL-1. Shedded L-selectin could even be isolated from human serum in a single-step purification procedure with an E-selectin affinity column. No other serum protein was isolated with similar efficiency in such experiments. We believe that the selective binding of L-selectin, PSGL-1, and ESL-1 to E-selectin is based on the selective posttranslational modification of these ligands with E-selectin–binding carbohydrate epitopes. Indeed, ESL-1 was recently shown to be the only carrier of the HECA452 carbohydrate epitope and to be the exclusive E-selectin ligand of CHO cells upon transfection of the fucosyltransferases VII or IV (Zöllner and Vestweber, 1996).

While indirect evidence for the binding of E-selectin to L-selectin was reported by numerous groups, P-selectin binding to L-selectin was only reported once (Picker et al., 1991). In contrast to these data, we could not block attach-
on human neutrophils is of physiological relevance for neutrophil extravasation.

It has been suggested that because of the posttranslational nature of the recognition motifs of selectins, there might be significant differences in functionally active glycoprotein ligands among different animal species (Varki, 1994). L-selectin appears to be the first example for such a species variation. The analysis of the carbohydrate structures on human L-selectin should prove useful to determine the structure of high-affinity carbohydrate ligands for E-selectin in humans. It would be interesting to compare these structures with those that were recently reported for human PSGL-1 (Wilkins et al., 1996).
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