Research Article

The Methanol Extract of Angelica sinensis Induces Cell Apoptosis and Suppresses Tumor Growth in Human Malignant Brain Tumors
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Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a highly vascularized and invasive neoplasm. The methanol extract of Angelica sinensis (AS-M) is commonly used in traditional Chinese medicine to treat several diseases, such as gastric mucosal damage, hepatic injury, menopausal symptoms, and chronic glomerulonephritis. AS-M also displays potency in suppressing the growth of malignant brain tumor cells. The growth suppression of malignant brain tumor cells by AS-M results from cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. AS-M upregulates expression of cyclin kinase inhibitors, including p16, to decrease the phosphorylation of Rb proteins, resulting in arrest at the G0-G1 phase. The expression of the p53 protein is increased by AS-M and correlates with activation of apoptosis-associated proteins. Therefore, the apoptosis of cancer cells induced by AS-M may be triggered through the p53 pathway. In in vivo studies, AS-M not only suppresses the growth of human malignant brain tumors but also significantly prolongs patient survival. In addition, AS-M has potent anticancer effects involving cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and antiangiogenesis. The in vitro and in vivo anticancer effects of AS-M indicate that this extract warrants further investigation and potential development as a new antibrain tumor agent, providing new hope for the chemotherapy of malignant brain cancer.

1. Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), the most common malignant tumor of the central nervous system, is a highly vascularized and invasive neoplasm. The annual incidence of GBM was approximately 5–7 per 100,000 people per year in the USA between 1995 and 2008 [1]. Because of its malignant properties, rapid growth, diffuse invasion, and resistance to current therapies, the median survival of GBM patients is approximately 50 weeks [2]. Current treatments combine surgery, radiation, and chemoradiotherapy, providing an increase in the median overall survival from 12 to 15 months [3, 4]. Ongoing preclinical and clinical studies evaluating the efficacy of antitumor drugs provide hope for increasing survival time [3].

In the clinic, various chemotherapeutic drugs have been used for GBM therapy, such as carmustine (BCNU) [5, 6], temozolomide (TMZ) [5–7], and bevacizumab (Avastin) [8, 9]. However, the use of a single agent for newly diagnosed or recurrent GBM does not effectively improve treatment...
efficacy [3, 10]. In previous clinical studies, monotherapy using temsirolimus (CCI-779), a small-molecule inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), was found to promote 6-month progression-free survival (PFS6) rates of 2.3–7.8% in phase II trials [11, 12]. The standard therapy of adding the adjuvant sorafenib to the treatment of newly diagnosed GBM patients also does not improve treatment efficacy [13]. Combining chemotherapeutic drugs that inhibit parallel pathways has been considered to improve the effectiveness of targeted molecular therapy. However, no patients receiving a combination therapy of temsirolimus and sorafenib were shown to remain progression free at 6 months [14]. Thus, a drug with multi-targeted inhibition in different pathways is one strategy to improve the effectiveness of chemotherapy.

*Angelicae sinensis radix* (AS) is commonly used in traditional Chinese medicine to treat several diseases, such as menopausal symptoms [15, 16], gastric mucosal damage [17–20] and hepatic injury [21–23]. Previous literatures have shown that AS improves the level of therapeutic angiogenesis for the treatment of ischemic disease [24, 25]. Ferulic acid is a critical component of AS that induces angiogenesis by promoting endothelial cell proliferation through upregulating cyclin D1 and VEGF [26]. Additionally, various components, such as Z-ligustilide, neodiligustilide, alkylphthalide and n-butylidenephthalide (BP), have cytotoxic activities in tumor cells [27, 28]. BP suppresses tumor cell proliferation, upregulates the expression of cyclin kinase inhibitors, including p21 and p27, to decrease the phosphorylation of Rb proteins, and downregulates cell cycle regulators, resulting in cell arrest at G0-G1 phase [29, 28]. BP also exerts antitumor activity by inhibition of cell cycle progression and induction of apoptosis in endothelial cells [31]. However, unknown components with multiple antitumor functions may exist in different AS extracts.

In this study, we examined the cytotoxic effect of AS-M on GBM cells. AS-M induced cell cycle arrest at the G0-G1 phase by upregulating the expression of p16. AS-M induced apoptosis through the p53 pathway, which was associated with increased expression of the p53 protein. In *in vivo* studies, AS-M not only suppresses the growth of human malignant brain tumors but also significantly prolongs patient survival. In addition, AS-M has potent anticancer effects involving cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and antiangiogenesis.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Preparation of Methanol Extracts

The roots of *radix Angelicae sinensis* were supplied by Chung-Yuan Co. (Taipei, Taiwan) and were identified by Professor Han-Ching Lin. A voucher herbarium specimen was deposited at the School of Pharmacy at the National Defense Medical Center (Taipei, Taiwan). Dried and powdered rhizomes of *radix Angelicae sinensis* (2.0 kg) were extracted with acetone (5 L, 3 times) and methanol (5 L, 3 times), respectively. The flow chart of the extraction protocol is shown in Figure 1. The extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure to yield an acetone extract (AS-A) and a methanol extract (AS-M). These extracts were dissolved in DMSO and incubated with shaking at 25°C for 1 hour. The extracts were stored at 4°C before each *in vitro* experiment.

### 2.2. Cell Lines and Cell Culture

The DBTRG-05MG human GBM cell line and BALB/3T3 mouse fibroblast cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). GST/VGH human GBM cells and N18 mouse neuroblastoma cells were obtained from the Bioreources Collection and Research Center (Hsinchu, Taiwan). The DBTRG-05MG cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. The GST/VGH, N18, and BALB/3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

### 2.3. Analysis of Cell Cytotoxicity

Cell viability was evaluated using a modified 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Briefly, cells were incubated in 96-well plates (5 × 103 cells/well) containing 100 μL growth medium. The cells were grown for 24 h before treatment with 100 μL herbal extracts dissolved in medium (0–500 μg/mL). The final concentration of DMSO in each preparation was approximately 0.01%. After 72 h of incubation, the drug-containing medium was replaced with 50 μL fresh medium containing MTT (400 μg/mL; Sigma) for 6 to 8 h. The MTT medium was then removed, and 100 μL DMSO was added to each well. The absorbance of the dissolved solutions was detected at 550 nm using an MRX Microtiter Plate Luminometer (DYNEX, Sunnyvale, CA). The absorbance value of untreated control cells was considered to be 100%. The IC50 was defined as the concentration resulting in a 50% absorbance decrease in the drug-treated cells compared with the untreated cells.

### 2.4. Cell Cycle Analysis

DBTRG-05MG cells were treated with the AS-M extract (70 μg/mL) for 24, 48, or 72 h. Cell cycle analysis was evaluated by DNA staining with propidium iodide (PI) using flow cytometry. Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ adherent cells were detached by trypsinization and then resuspended in 0.8 mL PBS. A total of 200 μL PI solution (50 μg/mL PI + 0.05 mg/mL RNase A; Sigma) was added, and the cells were incubated at 4°C overnight. A total of 2 × 10⁶ cells were analyzed for FL2 intensity using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA) and CellQuest analysis software (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems). G0-G1 phase was gated in M1 (%G0-G1 phase = M1× 2), G2-M phase was gated in M2 (%G2-M phase = M2× 3), total cells were gated in M3 (%S phase = M3−(M1× 2) + (M4× 2)), and sub-G1 phase was gated in M4.

### 2.5. Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase-Mediated Nick End Labeling Assay (TUNEL Assay)

Apoptotic cell death was assayed for the drug-treated cells using an In Situ Cell
Death Detection Kit, POD (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were cultured on culture dishes and analyzed at the indicated time points (0, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h) after AS-M (70 μg/mL) treatment. For the AS-M-treated cell group, the suspended cells were collected. For the control group, the adherent and floating cells were collected. The cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde at room temperature for 15 min on silane-coated glass slides (Muto Pure Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan), washed once with PBS, and incubated in cold permeabilization solution (0.1% Triton X-100 + 0.1% sodium citrate) after reducing the activity of endogenous peroxidase with 3% H₂O₂. The cells were washed with PBS again and incubated with the TUNEL reaction mixture for 60 min at 37°C. After another wash with PBS, counterstaining with PI was performed for the cell count determination. To quantify the level of apoptosis, the resulting slides were viewed under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Kawasaki, Japan). For the histologic TUNEL staining of GBM tumor tissues (s.c. or i.c. GBM tumors with or without AS-M treatment), the tumors were harvested and fixed with 10% neutral formalin. Paraffin-embedded sections (7 μm per section) of the tumors were stained using an In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, POD. After dewaxing, rehydration, and proteinase digestion, the slides were incubated with 100 μL TUNEL reaction mixture and covered with a lid for 1 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere in the dark. Finally, the slides were washed three times with PBS, mounted, and visualized under a fluorescence microscope.

2.6. Immunocytochemistry Staining. Cells were placed on coverslips, cultured in a six-well plate and then subjected to the appropriate treatment. After treatment, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and their membranes were ruptured with Triton X-100. They were blocked with 5% skim milk for 1 h at 37°C and then incubated with the respective antibodies, including anti-p16, anti-p53, anti-Bax, anti-Bcl2, anticytchrome c, anti-AIF, anticaspase-9, and anticaspase-3 (1/100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, and the immunocomplexes were visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG secondary antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, and the immune complexes were visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG secondary antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Alternatively, the sections were incubated with a goat polyclonal anti-Ki-67 antibody (1/100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, and the immune complexes were visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG secondary antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). For the AS-M-treated cell group, the slides were washed three times with TBS-T (1 × TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20) for 5 min each time, and nonspecific antibody binding was blocked by 10% fetal bovine serum in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were incubated with a goat polyclonal anti-Ki-67 antibody (1/100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, and the immune complexes were visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG secondary antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight. The LSAB2 system (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA) was used to visualize the immune complexes by incubating the sections with 0.5 mg/mL diaminobenzidine and 0.03% (v/v) H₂O₂ in PBS for 10 min. Finally, the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, mounted, observed under a light microscope at a magnification of ×400, and photographed.

2.7. Animal Studies. The DBTRG-05MG human GBM cells were used in animal experiments to monitor the antitumor activity of AS-M. Male Foxn1 nu/nu mice (10–12 weeks old) were obtained from the National Laboratory Animal Center (Taipei, Taiwan). All procedures were in compliance with the standard operating procedures of the Laboratory Animal Center of Tzu Chi University (Hualien, Taiwan). Nude mice (six mice per group) were implanted s.c. with 5 × 10⁶ DBTRG-05MG cells. The tumor-bearing animals were treated with AS-M (s.c. injection of 500 mg AS-M/kg/d; AS-M group) or vehicle (s.c. injection; control group) 3, 6, and 9 days after tumor cell implantation. Tumor size was measured with a caliper, and tumor volume was calculated as V = L × H × W × 0.5236. The animals were sacrificed when the tumor volume exceeded 1,000 mm³. Tumor and normal tissue sections were observed and photographed under a light microscope at a magnification of ×400.

2.8. H&E Staining. For the histological H&E staining of GBM tumor and normal tissues with or without AS-M treatment, the tissues were harvested and fixed with 10% neutral formalin. After dehydrating the tissues and embedding them in paraffin wax, tissue sections (4 μm/section) were placed on clean glass slides and dehydrated in an oven for 30 min at 60°C. Prior to staining, the tissue slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and then stained with Mayer's hematoxylin and eosin Y solution for 3 minutes. After air-drying, the tissue slides were mounted with mounting media and visualized under a Nikon light microscope camera system.

2.9. Immunohistochemical Staining. Paraffin-embedded sections were obtained from the tumors (s.c. GBM tumors with or without AS-M treatment) and were processed for immunohistochemical staining. Briefly, the slides were treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide in 1 × PBS for 10 min to block endogenous peroxidase activity after being dewaxed and rehydrated. Next, the sections were washed three times with TBS-T (1 × TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20) for 5 min each time, and nonspecific antibody binding was blocked by 10% fetal bovine serum in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were incubated with a goat polyclonal anti-Ki-67 antibody (1/100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, and the immune complexes were visualized using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG secondary antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Alternatively, the sections were incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anticleaved caspase-3 (Asp175) antibody (1/1,000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. The LSAB2 system (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA) was used to visualize the immune complexes by incubating the sections with 0.5 mg/mL diaminobenzidine and 0.03% (v/v) H₂O₂ in PBS for 10 min. Finally, the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, mounted, observed under a light microscope at a magnification of ×400, and photographed.

2.10. Statistics. Data are expressed as means ± SD. Statistical significance was analyzed using Student's t test. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxic Effects of AS-M on Tumor Cells and Normal Cells. The antiproliferative effects of AS-M on GBM cells, neuroblastoma cells, and normal fibroblasts were determined. The IC₅₀ values of AS-M after a 48 h incubation with the brain tumor cells (IC₅₀ = 21–40 μg/mL) were significantly lower than the values for the normal cells (IC₅₀ > 400 μg/mL, P < 0.001; Table 1). Therefore, these results show that AS-M induces high cytotoxicity in brain tumor cells but no cytotoxicity in normal cells. After AS-M treatment, the GBM tumor cells were analyzed by TUNEL staining, and the results
Table 1: The IC50 of AS-M in brain tumors and normal cells.

| Cell line | Tumor type          | IC50 of AS-M (µg/mL) |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Brain tumors |                     |                      |
| DBTTRG-05MG | Human GBM cell     | 25.5 ± 1.10*        |
| G5T/VGH    | Human GBM cell     | 21.1 ± 6.8*         |
| N18        | Mouse neuroblastoma| 40.3 ± 1.3*         |
| Normal cells |                   |                      |
| Balb/c3T3  | Mouse fibroblast cell | >400                 |

Note: values are mean ± SD IC50 (µg/mL) after treatment for 48 h. *Significant difference from the brain tumors versus normal cells of AS-M treatment (P < 0.001).

showed that the AS-M-treated, detached GBM cells were undergoing apoptosis at 72 h (Figure 1(b)).

3.2. Effects of AS-M on the Cell Cycle in GBM Cells. Cell cycle analysis of DBTTRG-05MG cells showed that treatment with 70 µg/mL AS-M resulted in cell cycle arrest at G0-G1 phase (>90%; Figure 1(c)) and sub-G1 phase (Figure 1(d)). At 72 h, AS-M induced a significant proportion of cells to arrest at G0-G1 phase, which was accompanied by a concurrent decrease in the proportion of cells in S and G2-M phase (P < 0.05). In addition, AS-M also decreased the proportion of DBTTRG-05MG cells that entered G2-M phase at 24 h after treatment.

3.3. Apoptotic Pathways Induced by AS-M in GBM Cells. To investigate the apoptotic pathways induced by AS-M treatment, the expression of the p53 and p16 proteins was first evaluated by immunocytochemistry. AS-M increased the expression of p53 and p16 (Figure 2(a)), indicating that AS-M can trigger the cell cycle checkpoint machinery. The protein expression of Bax, cytochrome c, AIF, and Bcl-2 was also measured in AS-M-treated DBTTRG-05MG cells. The expression of Bax, cytochrome c, and AIF increased and the expression of Bcl-2 decreased in these cells (Figure 2(b)). In addition, the activities of caspase-9 and caspase 3 were determined (Figure 2(c)). Both caspase 9 and caspase 3 were activated in the DBTTRG-05MG cells after AS-M treatment.

3.4. Antitumor Effects of AS-M on Xenograft Tumor Growth. To determine whether BP can suppress human GBM tumor growth, nude mice were inoculated with human DBTTRG-05MG cells and treated with 500 mg/kg AS-M on days 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. Significant suppression of tumor growth with respect to the untreated group was observed in the AS-M-treated mice (Figure 3(a)). The mean tumor size at day 89 was >1000 mm³ in the control group and 503 mm³ in the AS-M-treated group (Figure 3(a); P < 0.05). Survival was significantly prolonged in the AS-M-treated nude mice compared to the control group (Figure 3(b); P < 0.001). At day 200, the survival rates were 0% (0/6) and 16.7% (1/6) for the untreated and AS-M-treated groups, respectively.

3.5. Antitumor Activity of AS-M on Human GBM In Vivo. To confirm whether AS-M can induce human GBM tumor cell death in vivo, the cytotoxic activity of AS-M on GBM tumors in a nude mouse model was examined. Histologic analysis showed that the human GBM tissues treated with AS-M in vivo displayed decreased Ki-67 expression, increased caspase-3 activity, and tumor cell apoptosis (Figure 4(a)). AS-M also decreased the vessel number and expression of VEGF but enhanced the expression of VEGFRI and VEGFIR2 (Figure 4(b)).

3.6. Effects of AS-M on Tissue Damage. We next examined the effects of AS-M on normal organs to investigate the safety of AS-M treatment. As the results show, mice treated with AS-M did not show changes in body weight (Figure 5(a)) or organ morphology, including that of the liver, heart, spleen, lung, and kidney, compared to untreated mice (Figure 5(b)). Furthermore, AS-M treatment did not result in changes in the levels of WBCs, platelets, lymphocytes, BUN, creatinine, GOT, and GPT in the sera, revealing that AS-M treatment does not affect hepatic, renal, and immune system functions (Figure S1; see Supplementary material available on line at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/394636).

4. Discussion

A. sinensis has been used for a long time in traditional Chinese medicine for its biological activities, such as immune system regulation [32, 33], menopausal symptom relief [15, 16], and cardioprotection [34, 35]. The different extracts of A. sinensis, such as water, chloroform, and acetone extracts, have demonstrated antitumor biofunctions [36, 37]. In this study, AS-M has demonstrated to be a potential antitumor extract isolated from A. sinensis that efficiently inhibits GBM tumor growth. In an in vitro cytotoxic assay, brain tumor cells were sensitive to AS-M and normal fibroblast cells were unsusceptible to AS-M (Table 1). AS-M dramatically inhibited 90% of the subcutaneous tumor growth (Figure 3(a)) and prolonged survival in vivo. AS-M efficiently suppressed tumor growth by inducing cell cycle arrest at the G0-G1 phase and promoting apoptosis (Figure 1). The AS-M mechanism was found to involve the cyclin/CDK/CKI cell cycle regulatory system and the upregulation of p16 and p53 expression. The tumor suppressor protein p53 is essential for the G1 DNA damage checkpoint [38]. An increase in the p53 protein level causes a downregulation of cell division [38]. The p16 protein exerts a tumor suppressor function by binding to the cyclin D1/CDK4/CDK6 complex, preventing the phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein and resulting in G1 arrest [39, 40]. AS-M triggered the expression of CDK inhibitors, thus decreasing the activity of the cyclin/CDK complex and preventing Rb phosphorylation.

The p53-dependent apoptosis pathway may be involved in the mechanism of AS-M-induced apoptosis in GBM tumor cells. The p53 protein directly activates the proapoptotic Bcl-2 family member Bax, which results in cytochrome c release, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, and caspase-9 and caspase-3 processing. The phosphorylation of p53 by AS-M may abolish the Mdm2 inhibition, leading to an increase in Bax transcription (Figure 2(b)) and inhibition of Bcl-2 transcription. When the Bax/Bcl-2 level is imbalanced, Bax can form a homodimer to trigger mitochondrial permeabilization, cytochrome c release (Figure 2(b)), and
A. sinensis has been demonstrated to exert biological functions on the vascular system. The water extract of A. sinensis has angiogenesis-promoting effects, as it increases VEGF expression and stimulates JNK 1/2 and p38 phosphorylation to enhance endothelial cell proliferation. In contrast to previous literature, AS-M inhibited tumor growth in vivo via its antiproliferative and antiangiogenic activities on tumor cells in this study. AS-M suppressed angiogenic vessel growth by inhibiting the expression of VEGF, which plays a critical role in inducing the formation of tumor vasculature (Figure 4(b)). Yeh et al. indicated that n-butylidenephthalide exhibited antiangiogenic activity by activating the p38 and ERK 1/2 signaling pathways [31]. These findings suggest that there are antiangiogenic compounds similar to BP in AS-M. AS-M treatment upregulated the expression of VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 (Figure 4(b)). Tumors treated with chemotherapy have been showed that these antitumor drugs can reduce the levels of VEGF expressed by tumor cells [31]. In such condition, VEGFs contributed as mitogenic factors to tumor cells in addition to their angiogenic functions [46, 47]. Therefore, tumor may overexpress VEGFR to more efficiently get the VEGF as the reduction of VEGF levels.
Figure 2: AS-M induced apoptosis through the activation of multiple pathways. DBTRG-05MG cells were treated with AS-M (50 µg/mL) for 48 hours. Apoptosis markers were detected and analyzed by ICC using specific antibodies against (a) p16 and p53 for detection of the cell cycle pathway and (b)-(c) Bax, Bcl-2, cytochrome c, AIF, caspase-9, and caspase-3 for detection of the mitochondria-related apoptosis pathway.

Figure 3: AS-M suppressed tumor growth and prolonged the animal survival rate in mice subcutaneously implanted with human GBM cells. DBTRG-05MG cells were implanted s.c. into the hind flank region of Foxn1 nu/nu mice. The mice were treated with vehicle as a control or AS-M (500 mg/kg/day) at days 3, 6, and 9. (a) AS-M inhibited the tumor growth and (b) prolonged the survival rate in these mice. The mice were sacrificed when the tumor size exceeded 1000 mm³. The tumor size values are means ± SE.
Figure 4: AS-M suppressed the growth of the human GBM tumors by inducing cell apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and antiangiogenesis in vivo. (a) Immunohistochemical staining and the TUNEL assay were performed on the GBM tumor tissues. Representative photographs of sections from the control group and AS-M-treated group are shown. In the immunohistochemistry experiment, GBM tumors were stained for the cell proliferation marker Ki-67 and the cell apoptosis marker cleaved caspase-3. In the TUNEL assay, the tumors were stained to show the DNA fragmentation of apoptotic cells. The Ki-67- and caspase-3-positive cells are shown in brown, and the TUNEL-positive cells are shown in green (×400). Quantification of protein expression was performed using Quick score, which multiplies the staining intensity by the percentage of positive cells. (b) The mean values for the Ki-67, caspase-3, VEGF, VEGFR1, and VEGFR2 levels and the vessel number were calculated for the tumors treated with AS-M. All the data in AS-M group is significant difference from the control group (P < 0.05).

Figure 5: AS-M showed no or low cytotoxicity in normal tissue. (a) The body weights of the mice in the control and AS-M groups were not significantly different. The body weights are represented as means SEM. (b) Long-term treatment of AS-M was safe in mice bearing tumor. In histological analyses, the heart, liver, spleen, intestine, lung, kidney, and testis were evaluated by H&E staining (×400).
5. Conclusion

In this study, AS-M has promising anticancer properties due to its ability to induce cell cycle arrest and to promote apoptosis in GBM cells. AS-M likely contributes to the anticancer and antiangiogenesis properties described here and may provide new hope for the effective chemotherapy of malignant brain tumors.
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