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Global warming due to the high concentration of anthropogenic CO2 in the atmosphere is considered one of the world’s leading challenges in the 21st century as it leads to severe consequences such as climate change, extreme weather events, ocean warming, sea-level rise, declining Arctic sea ice, and the acidification of oceans. This encouraged advancing technologies that sequester carbon dioxide from the atmosphere or capture those emitted before entering the carbon cycle. Recently, CO2 capture, utilizing porous materials was established as a very favorable route, which has drawn extreme interest from scientists and engineers due to their advantages over the absorption approach. In this review, we summarize developments in porous adsorbents for CO2 capture with emphasis on recent studies. Highly efficient porous adsorption materials including metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), zeolites, mesoporous silica, clay, porous carbons, porous organic polymers (POP), and metal oxides (MO) are discussed. Besides, advanced strategies employed to increase the performance of CO2 adsorption capacity to overcome their drawbacks have been discussed.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, global warming has become an international issue, and the global average temperature has increased by around 1°C which is credited to the rise in greenhouse gas emissions. This connection between greenhouse gas concentrations and global temperatures – particularly carbon dioxide emissions – has been practiced throughout the Earth’s history.1–3 Shifting climate results in extreme weather events (such as storms, droughts, floods, and heatwaves), and a series of potential ecological, physical, and health impacts, altered crop growth, sea-level rise, and disrupted water systems. The 5th Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report summarizes the potential impacts of climate change.4 For the first time in over 800 000 years, the atmospheric concentration of CO2 has not only risen above 300 ppm but also currently, it is well over 400 ppm (Fig. 1(a)). It is predicted to surpass 500 ppm in 2050 as the energy demand of the world mounts and high levels of coal, oil, and natural gas are consumed.

Fig. 1(b) shows fuel-wise global CO2 emissions over a period of 220 years. These emissions rose from 2 billion tons of CO2 in 1900 to over 36 billion tons in 115 years. The Global Carbon Project stated an annual rise of 2.7%, and 0.6% in 2018 and 2019, respectively. Recent trends showed that the global CO2 emissions were over 5% lower in 2020 than in 2019, mainly due to an 8% decline in emissions from coal, 2.3% from natural gas and 4.5% from oil triggered by the COVID-19-forced confinements.5–7 This reveals that CO2 emissions coupled with energy and industrial production occur from various fuel types (coal, oil, gas, flaring, and cement production).

The advancement of innovative infrastructures for a cleaner energy source (solar energy, hydrogen, wind, or nuclear power) as a long-period platform can reduce CO2 emissions. However, so far, renewable energy usage has not reached a level where it can make a considerable contribution to emissions reduction.7–9 From past few decades, both industry and scientific community focused on carbon capture and storage (CCS)10–12 and carbon capture and utilization (CCU)13–15 as part of the mitigation program. To reach their goals, the key part is CO2 capture, selectively from the gas mixture. There are various technologies to capture CO2, including chemical absorption, membrane separation, adsorption, and cryogenic CO2 capture. The traditional method of absorption by liquid amines,16 and some self-claimed green solvents including task-specific ionic liquids (ILs), amino acid-functionalized ILs, IL-mixed solvents, and deep eutectic solvents, prove to be highly conventional and are proposed as promising materials. Yet, despite their advantages, they suffer from serious corrosion problems,19 considerable energy loss, high sorbent cost, lower gas–liquid interfacial surface area, and ineffective regeneration. Due to these limitations, CO2 adsorption by solid porous material presents...
advantages such as high uptake efficiency, easy recovery, and high adsorption capacity under humid conditions, easy handling, and material stability.

Presently, a wide variety of solid adsorbents has been employed for CO₂ capture, which comprises metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), zeolites, mesoporous silica, clay, porous carbons, porous organic polymers (POPs), hydrotalcite, organic–inorganic hybrids, and metal oxides. These solid porous materials have different physicochemical interactions with CO₂ molecules. However, by seeing Fig. 2, one can understand the operating range of these sorbents based on adsorption–desorption temperatures. MOFs, zeolites, silica, clay, POPs, carbons, and hybrids were performed at the low temperature (<473 K) region. While the metal oxides and hydrotalcite react at intermediate (473–673 K) to a high-temperature range (>673 K), lithium zirconate performs in the high-temperature range. Knowledge of the physicochemical features leading to solid adsorption is a criterion for improving gravimetric and volumetric CO₂ uptake. In the development of new adsorbents, one should contemplate using bigger pores (tens of nanometers) to enable fast transport and gas/surface interactions, and even possibly tunable nanopores to assist both uptake and discharge of targeted gases. The functionalization of these adsorbents also improves the performance of CO₂ capture. This review focuses on the most relevant and advanced aspects in the field of porous solid adsorbents design,
preparation and surface modifications, and subsequent assessment in the CO₂ adsorption process, accompanied by their possible disadvantages and advantages associated with them.

2. Porous materials

2.1. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) likewise called coordination networks or Porous Coordination Polymers (PCPs) (an additional condition that porosity needs to be proven) are a class of crystalline porous adsorbents (or is a coordination network with organic ligands comprising potential voids) that are believed to be of huge potential in CO₂ capture applications. As per the organic ligands comprising potential voids) that are believed to crystalline porous adsorbents (or is a coordination network with organic ligands comprising potential voids) that are believed to be of huge potential in CO₂ capture applications. As per the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) estimation, more than 90 K structures are existing in its MOF subset. MOFs are composed of organic bridging ligands and inorganic nodes (metal-based) linked via a coordination bond to produce a 3D expanded network with a consistent pore diameter in the range of 0.3 to 2 nm. The nodes commonly comprise single or multi-inorganic nodes/metal ions (for example, Mg²⁺, Cr³⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, or Al³⁺, etc.) to organic ligands bridging coordinatively via a certain functional group (e.g., pyridyl, carboxylate, etc.). MOF worldwide market is projected to expand at a CAGR of nearly 34.3% over the next five years and is expected to reach 410 million USD in 2023, from 70 million USD in 2017. Owing to their advantages such as their extraordinary surface areas reaching a further 6000 m² g⁻¹, and ultrahigh porosity (up to 90% free volume), their performance is outstanding. One of the desired benefits of these frameworks over other adsorbents is the flexibility to tune the pore size and surface modification by a sensible variety of the organic bridging ligand, activation method, metal ion, and functional group. Yaghi et al. first forecasted the probability of MOFs in 1998 as CO₂ adsorbents and observed one of the MOFs (Zn(BDC)) with a CO₂ capture of 2 mmol g⁻¹ at 195 K and 1 bar pressure. Subsequently, many scientists have developed and observed many MOFs for CO₂ adsorption.

Compared to zeolites (discussed below), MOFs processed much CO₂ uptake at moderate pressures owing to their elevated surface area to weight ratio. While zeolites dominate better adsorption capabilities at low pressures (<10 bar) and high pressures (>10 bar), it is expected that their full capabilities are constrained to one-third of individual metal–organic frameworks. When comparing the active surface area per unit weight: MOFs are in the range of 1500–6000 m² g⁻¹, whereas the activated carbons are in 400–2000 m² g⁻¹ and zeolites up to 1500 m² g⁻¹. It has been observed that CO₂ adsorption is a relative function of pressure in the gas phase, whereas low pressure resembles post-combustion techniques. The gravimetric adsorption of CO₂ is an analytical to the capacity of frameworks to capture CO₂. Numerous approaches have been implemented for the progress achieved from the performance of MOFs towards enhancing the CO₂ adsorption and selectivity. These may include open metal sites, pre-synthetic modifications such as phosphonate, amine, and sulfonate functionalization, multi-functional ligands, mixed ligand-functionalization, open nitrogen sites in the framework and post-synthetic techniques like ethylenediamine functionalization. Recent usage of amalgam structures centered on MOFs with other solid adsorbents like activated carbon, graphene, graphene oxide (GO), and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) offer the additional aspects of greater surface area and effortlessly-functionalized sites for modification of definitive composite material properties. Yang et al. stated that Mg-MOF-74 crystals comprising of Mg²⁺ sites with an open framework with a surface area of 1525 m² g⁻¹ prepared by sonication showed a greater CO₂ capture of 350 mg g⁻¹ at atmospheric ambiance. As MOF-74 holds open metal sites (Lewis acidic sites), CO₂ is performed as Lewis base strongly bound with the open metal sites.

MOF-177 possesses a surface area of 4500 m² g⁻¹ that displays CO₂ capture up to 33.5 mmol g⁻¹ at 32 bars. The octahedral Zn₄O(CO₂)₆ building unit, containing single or double organic linkers will form different MOFs exhibiting exceptional porosity as shown in Fig. 3 and the organic link 4,4',4''-benzene-1,3,5-triyl-trienzobate (BTB) in MOF-177 by exchanging bigger 4,4',4''-(benzene-1,3,5-triyl-tris(benzene-4,1-iyl))trienzobate (BBC) link; MOF-200 attained more surface area than MOF-177. This demonstrated the capacity of CO₂ capture of ~71 wt% at 50 bar and 298 K. Furukawa et al. prepared ultrahigh porous MOFs with Zn₄O(CO₂)₆ unit. Amongst them, MOF-210 exhibited an elevated pore volume of 3.6 cm³ g⁻¹ and the highest reported BET surface area of 6240 m² g⁻¹ compared with 4530 m² g⁻¹ for MOF-200. This ultrahigh porosity is primarily attained by expanding organic linkers and is close to the theoretical limit for adsorbents. Both MOF-200 and -210 showed CO₂ adsorption of 2400 mg g⁻¹, which surpasses those of MOF-177 and MIL-101(Fe) porous adsorbents with 1470 mg g⁻¹ and 1760 mg g⁻¹, respectively.

Rigid MOFs usually have stable and robust porous frameworks with permanent porosity. Compared to such rigid frameworks, flexible frameworks restore their porosity upon adsorption and desorption due to breathing motion, and they are characteristically affecting a volume change of 50–85%. During the ejection of guest solvent molecules, a collapse of the flexible and dynamic frameworks takes place but retain their porous structures by high-pressure adsorption (external stimuli). The design and preparation of a flexible framework reckon the selection of the framework elements as organic linkers and metal nodes. This one has significance in stating that the selection of metal centers has been built partly on the framework structure procedure. Though, organic linkers holding functional groups are getting further interest to perform the main part of MOFs flexibility. The sequence of metal terephthalates MIL-53 or M(OH)(O₂C-C₆H₄-CO₂), where M = Cr³⁺, Al³⁺, or Fe³⁺, are one of the best remarkable examples due to their ability to tune shape and pore size to acknowledge linear hydrocarbons, adsorption of polar molecules (CO₂, H₂O) and did not change any structural amendments when adsorbing light hydrocarbons (methane) and further small nonpolar molecules. The existence of breathing upon adsorption relays on the pore volumes and the variation in free energy in the rectangular large pore (LP) and narrow pore (NP) with trapezoidal shape in the host structure as shown in

12660 | RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 12658-12681 © 2021 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry
Fig. 4, as well as the adsorption affinities of both structural forms (LP and NP). Some of the MOFs, such as MIL53(Cr), MIL-88 that fit into third-generation porous adsorbents, remarkably demonstrate intense modifications of shape when guest molecules are incorporated or separated and a breathing framework with flexible and dynamic properties. These properties significantly promote gaseous molecular adsorption and delivery performance. Besides this, selectivity is a critical aspect related to CO2 separation. This can be explained by using flexible porous chromium terephthalate represented as MIL-53(Cr) when the capture of CO2/CH4 combination is exposed. The effect of breathing is largely contributed by the CO2 partial pressure with methane taking a slight effect on the transition level among the open and closed structures. The existence of water steers a strong rise in CO2 capture compared to CH4 in the MIL-53(Cr). Overall, for preparing flexible frameworks, the introduction of particular linkers or functionalities, metal ions/nods are not sufficient, but also the perceptive functionalization and mild interaction must occur among them, in terms of distance, location, and concentration of distinct framework elements. Thus, it is anticipated to observe certain parallelism among the influences of organic linkers, metal nodes, and in what manner the whole framework can react to any differences in pressure, temperature, or guest molecules (external stimuli).

The inclusion of heteroatoms inside the structure or as an element of a covalently bound functionality, particularly individuals in which they acquire superior polarity and, in a few situations, a nucleophilic nature, has proven huge potential for presenting sharp interactions with CO2. Functional groups grafting along with a high CO2 affinity on the porous adsorbent’s surfaces via ligand alteration, or coordination to unsaturated metal centers are utilized as an approach to improve CO2 adsorption selectivity and capacity. This method has correlations through many functionalized porous materials like silica grafted with amines; nevertheless, the crystalline form of MOFs delivers molecular stage management in tuning the gas separation properties. Functionalities on the organic bridging
ligands, comprising F, Cl, Br, Cl, NO$_2$, CN, and NR$_2$ groups, can improve the electronic interaction with CO$_2$. When comparing with other stated frameworks having derived Lewis acid sites as coordinatively unsaturated metal centers, MOFs with impregnated Lewis base are occasionally seen due to the propensity of Lewis basic sites to coordinate metals during the preparation of MOF. A novel high micro pore and 3D nitrogen-rich units containing Lewis acid–base bifunctional Zn(II)-based MOF-Zn-1 [Zn$_2$L$_2$MA-2DMF] (MA = melamine, H$_2$L = 2,3-thiophenedicarboxylic acid), with a surface area of 1006.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ show an enhanced CO$_2$ uptake of 4.82 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 273 K, which is higher than that observed for numerous reported metal–organic frameworks. For instance, USOC-253, NTU-105, and [Cu$_4$(pfbda)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_4$]DEF$_3$, with BET surface areas of 1800 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 3543 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and 1926 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, displayed CO$_2$ capture of 3.67 mmol g$^{-1}$, 4.2 mmol g$^{-1}$, and 4.55 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 273 K, respectively. Ding et al. used imidazolium-type polymeric liquids referred to as polyILs that have been threading into the MIL-101 (Cr,$X$(H$_2$O)$_2$)(BDC)$_2$, nH$_2$O), where $X$ was H$_2$O. The obtained polyILs@MIL-101 composite with a pore volume of 1.26 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ and BET surface area of 2462 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ displayed good CO$_2$ capture capabilities of 2.76 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 298 K and 4.6 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 273 K at 1 bar pressure, mainly credited to the polyILs addition which causes the creation of extra tiny pores (<0.8 nm). The considerably improved capacity of polyILs@MIL101, related to both polyILs and MIL-101 framework, is credited to the synergistic effect between the Lewis acid sites in MOF, along with Lewis base sites (Br$^-$) in polyILs, and better CO$_2$ supplementation capacity.

The di-functional grafting of incorporation within MOFs have also been represented as a favorable way to enhance CO$_2$ adsorption. The improvement of these structures is due to the chemisorption way of CO$_2$ adsorption, these usually result in better CO$_2$ adsorption and selectivity at low pressures as of flue gas. Besides, alkylamine functionalities surmount the problem of viable CO$_2$ capture in the existence of water. Primarily the grafting of dialkylamines in frameworks was stated in 2008 utilizing MIL-101(Cr) (Cr$_3$(F, OH)$_2$O(BDC)$_2$, nH$_2$O; BDC = terephthalate), where amines are attached to Cr$^3$. Later reports displayed the adsorption of CO$_2$, in which ethylenediamine (en) was grafted onto Cu$^{2+}$ in the sorbent comprised Cu$_2$Cl SBU (secondary building units) connected by tritopictriazolate with one non-coordinating nitrogen atom. This displayed that the ethylenediamine-functionalized CuBTri which has 1.6 wt% CO$_2$ adsorption surpasses the original framework containing 0.92 wt% CO$_2$ capture at 298 K and ~0.06 bar.

Consequently, the amine affinity on CO$_2$ has led to the incorporation of amine-functionalized interest in numerous MOFs to improve the adsorption uptake and its selectivity. When comparing the improvement of CO$_2$ adsorption to methane for amino-MIL-53(Al), which is [Al(OH)(NH$_2$bdce)] contrasted with the original MIL-53(Al), a flexible MOF. Additionally, alkylamine-functionalized MOFs were demonstrated to improve the CO$_2$ adsorption selectivity, particularly at the lower pressures applied to the separation of flue gas. A stable amide-functionalized MOF, including the promise of greater selectivity of CO$_2$/N$_2$ and high physiochemical stability, in this AFMOF as [Sc$_2$(I$_3$-O)(L)$_2$H$_2$O]Cl$_2$, [NJU-Bai49; H$_2$L = 5-(3,5-dicarboxybenzamido)[isophthalic acid], which showed the uptake of 4.5 wt% CO$_2$ at 298 K and 0.15 bar, and greater CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity (166.7) with several AFMOFs owing their performance mainly to the amide functionality and open metal binding sites.

Lately, the addition of allyldiamines coordinative to unsaturated metal sites padding the pores of the chosen MOFs was established as a straightforward approach to boosting low-pressure CO$_2$ capture capacity and selectivity. Significantly the functionalization of Mg$_2$(dobpd)(dobdc) (4,4'-dioxidobenzene-1,4-dicarboxylate), an extended optional MOF of the well-considered MOF Mg$_2$(dobdc) (4,4'-dioxidobenzene-1,4-dicarboxylate), with N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (mmen) produced a material having excellent CO$_2$ capture in flue gas environments and produced rare and baffling step-shaped adsorption isotherms. McDonald et al. and his group interpreted a unique mechanism offering rise in step-shaped isotherms, alongside validating the substituting Mg$^{2+}$ with additional bivalent metal ions. This phenomenon allows the displacement of the carbon dioxide capture step to be engineered as per the agreement of the strength of the amine-metal bond. Like this has been demonstrated, the family of diamine-appended MOFs such as mmen-M$_2$(dobpd) compounds, where $M =$ Fe, Mg, Co, Ni, Mn, Zn, etc., are better materials than many liquids or solid sorbents for effective CO$_2$ adsorption.

Kim et al. developed a group of tetraamine-appended magnesium MOF that demonstrated supportive CO$_2$ capture and highly improved stability associated with earlier-noted diamine-appended frameworks due to multiple, ordered metal–amine interactions. In this case, a hexagonal channel of Mg$_2$(dobpd), diamine-functionalized material features coordination of one diamine to each Mg$^{2+}$ site, whereas tetraamines can coordinate to two Mg$^{2+}$ sites. The type of amine functionality on these frameworks enhances two-step CO$_2$ capture and significant adsorption enthalpies appropriate to simulated streams of natural flue gas for CO$_2$ adsorption. The high-performance sorbent, Mg$_2$(dobpd) (3–4–3), attained a greater carbon dioxide capture of 2.0 ± 0.2 mmol g$^{-1}$ in the ambiance of water, whilst reaching the objective of DOE to adsorb 90% carbon dioxide from natural gas flue emissions. Very importantly, the improved stability of these tetraamine-appended MOFs would adsorb CO$_2$ from wet air and can be stimulated with steam, this approach is highly cost-efficient than pressure or temperature swing routes.

Recently, interest in constructing a novel group of water and base stable MOFs has grown due to their advantages of geometric rigidity, strong affinity towards CO$_2$ binding, and electrostatics. Azolate linkers, such as 1,2,4-triazolate (TZ), 1,2,3-triazolate (Tz), and imidazolate (IM) have been used to prepare robust MOFs. Shi et al. used robust isoreticular metal triazolate frameworks with excellent CO$_2$ adsorption under humid conditions. ZnF(Tz) and its isostructures are shown in Fig. 5 constructed by connecting TZ struts to (Zn–F–Zn–F)$_n$ SBUs rods, resulting in a honeycomb-like channelized framework [ZnF(Tz), ZnF(aTz), Zn(dTz), and ZnF(dmtTz)] with high thermal and chemical stabilities, which also can be modified by...
the functionality on the TZ struts. Additionally, the thermodynamic (CO$_2$/N$_2$) and kinetic (CO$_2$/H$_2$O) adsorption selectivities can be modified by the number of functional groups on the struts. Among them, ZnF(daTZ) showed the maximum CO$_2$ adsorption of 0.96 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 0.15 bar with high CO$_2$/N$_2$ (85 : 15) selectivity (120) and H$_2$O/CO$_2$ selectivity (3000) in the humid region.

Not long ago, researchers demonstrated that Si–O–Si favored angle in zeolites (145$^\circ$) as an equivalent to that of the M–Im–M fragment bridging angle (where M is Zn or Co and Im is imidazolate), and consequently, it is probable to prepare new zeolithic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs). ZIFs are a subclass of MOFs, which are topologically isomorphic with zeolites that comprise of a tetrahedral cation coordinated by an organic imidazolate (IM) bidentate ligand or substituted derivative thereof. ZIFs produce stable, highly crystalline, and 3D crystalline microporous solids with strong adsorption sites. Owing to their large interior pores (3–12 Å) and porous nature, ZIFs have exceptionally minimal density and high surface areas in the range of 1000–2000 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. ZIFs acting as selective CO$_2$ adsorbents eventually rely on their specific interactions with CO$_2$ molecules and show greater selectivity than MOFs for CO$_2$ from other relevant flue gases (such as CO). Theoretical results showing CO$_2$ adsorption by distinct sets of ZIF adsorbents (ZIF-1 to -4, -6 to -10, and -zni) are illustrated in Fig. 6 and the calculated outcomes of the dipole moment variation, the interaction energy, and the charge density variation for the various CO$_2$@ZIF forms are also presented. It demonstrated a solid correlation between the ZIFs cavities volume and CO$_2$ adsorption energy: the CO$_2$ uptake relies on the size and shape of its pore where gas molecules have been entrapped. The physisorption mechanism that controls the carbon dioxide capture expects combined hydrogen-like bonding and $\pi$-stacking interactions. They also, concluded that the adsorption does not alter the geometry of CO$_2$. However, it stimulates a major structural difference in some ZIFs. Phan et al. used a series of ZIFs (ZIF-68, -69, -70, -78, -79, -81, -82, -95, and -100) to study their surface area, CO$_2$ uptake at 273 K in the low-pressure regions as shown in Fig. 7(ii), though their affinity to it is not always strong. In that, 68, 69, and 70 indicated high CO$_2$ affinity.

While polar functional groups benefit from stronger CO$_2$ interactions with enhanced selectivity and adsorption capacity, establishing hydrophobicity is a substitute for CO$_2$ adsorption by an easy elimination of water from the pores. Usually, the selective adsorption of CO$_2$ for MOFs that merely depend on hydrophobicity over hydrophilicity endure weak adsorption due to an absence of solid binding sites for CO$_2$) in contrast to MOFs with other structural aspects. These hydrophobic MOFs are a substantial move in a suitable route for employing them in workable circumstances, there persists an evident lack of linking all encouraging fundamental aspects as a single framework. The coordination of heteroatoms or unsaturated metal sites in MOFs makes them water shielded as per hydrophobicity. It is worth noting that by observing many experimental and simulation studies, the adsorption enthalpy plays a major role together with the free volume and surface area of a material. At higher pressures, the surface area and free volume in adsorbent perform major responsibility in CO$_2$ capture, while at low pressures, enthalpy of adsorption correlates more. Undeniably, still, there is a persistent demand for a deeper experimental knowledge of MOF and carbon dioxide connections to define the structural characteristics accountable for efficient CO$_2$ adsorption performance.

### 2.2. Zeolites

Zeolites are crystalline solid structures rendered of a TO$_4$ tetrahedra periodic array, in which T signifies Al or Si. Every oxygen is linked to four T-atoms towards building perfectly characterized channels and pores with sizes in the range of 5 to 12 Å. These are also considered molecular sieves because of their microporosity. A variety of zeolite structures have been reported previously, guiding broad flexibility in their pore structures.
dimensions, channel system dimensionality, or composition, which makes zeolites one of the attractive materials of CO₂ adsorption. Though natural zeolites (clinoptilolite) are available, these materials have also been synthetically produced (Type-A (LTA), Type-X, Type-Y, USY, and ZSM-5, etc.), due to huge flexibility to control their porosity and crystallinity. The existence of more aluminum content in the silicate-framework enhances zeolite basicity. The result is mainly caused by a lower electronegativity of aluminium related to silicon. Higher the zeolite basicity, the better is the CO₂ capture. The overall zeolite market is estimated to grow US $11.2 billion in 2020.

Zeolite’s CO₂ adsorption capacity is significantly better at room temperature than at elevated temperatures. The CO₂ capture considerably increases with a slight reduction in adsorption temperature under a fixed pressure. This was further discussed by observing 13X, 5A, 4A, WE-G 592, and APG-II demonstrating the CO₂ capture at 393 K. Nevertheless, CO₂ uptake is less at 393 K compared to room temperature. Some factors need to be considered while evaluating the effectiveness of the zeolite towards CO₂ adsorption. The primary one is basicity, which is produced by executing an alkali metal cation exchange. The following is the ratio of Si/Al that affects the cation exchange capacity. To obtain a better uptake, there needs to be a lower Si/Al ratio to improve the cation exchange capacity.

The micro/mesoporous zeolites are counted as capable CO₂ capture materials since the modification of porous structures to surmount the limitations of molecule diffusion. Liu et al. used highly porous ZSM-5 (MFI-type) prepared via a growth-inhibition strategy by organosilanes. The CO₂ capture of HP-ZSM-5 reached 2.6 mmol g⁻¹ at 273 K under atmospheric pressure, which is considerably more than that of ZSM-5. This enhanced capacity of CO₂ capture is primarily by the intricate surface morphology and micro/mesoporous composite structure that results in a porous network with a low-resistant route for CO₂. Besides, ZSM-5 has a high affinity towards CO₂ molecules due to interactions arising from the electric field of ZSM-5 and a quadrupole moment of CO₂. Kongnoo et al. used zeolite 13X, which is prepared by acid activation (HCl) of the palm fly ash to improve its CO₂ capture by increasing the total pore volume and its mesopores. As such, the activated zeolite 13X showed 22% advanced (6.42 mol CO₂ per kg) adsorption capacity than those of the unactivated ones and is 11% greater than that of the commercially available zeolite 13X at 305 K and 403 kPa. Some of the framework types of zeolite structures used in this review are shown in Fig. 8.

For instance, X- and Y-type zeolites have a broad scope of commercial applications owing to their huge total pore volumes and stable crystal structures. These adsorbents show a similar cage framework; however, X-type has an additional aluminium
content (more cations) than Y-type zeolites. The transferable cation is an acid site, and the framework oxygen closest to the cation delivers a basic site. This increased basicity is mainly due to the high aluminum content in the framework.\textsuperscript{92} By simply altering the aluminum content in the framework or exchanging the cations, one can tune the strength of these zeolite acid–base pairs.\textsuperscript{93}

For the first time, Krista et al. studied CO\textsubscript{2} capacities and adsorption equilibrium isotherms in Y and X-type zeolites in the sodium form (NAX, and NAY) tuned by an exchange of alkali metal cation (Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs) at 1 bar and 298 K. For X-type, CO\textsubscript{2} uptake improved in the order of decreasing ionic radii as Cs < Rb < K < Na < Li, and for Y-type as Cs < Rb < K < Li < Na. In these two types, the bigger cation types (Cs, Rb, K) demonstrated strongly nonlinear concave descending isotherms, representing strong interactions between zeolite and CO\textsubscript{2}. This behavior is steady with improved framework basicity related to the smaller cation forms as CO\textsubscript{2} is a weakly acidic gas.\textsuperscript{94}

Similarly, Pham et al. described a complete structural and adsorption study of cation sites Li\textsuperscript{+}, Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}, and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} exchanged ZK-5 zeolites and revealed CO\textsubscript{2} capture sites within the ZK-5 framework (KFI-type). Li-ZK-5 exhibited high CO\textsubscript{2} capture at 1 bar pressure than other forms.\textsuperscript{95} Furthermore, Sun et al.\textsuperscript{96} studied the significance of transition metal cation-exchanged SSZ-13 zeolites (CHA-type) for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption. This process was assessed by unary static isothermal adsorption and binary dynamic column breakthrough tests including expected performance in PSA/VSA process. When comparing the studied transition metals (Ni, Zn, Cu, Co, Fe, Ce, La, and Ag) replacement in SSZ-13, Co\textsuperscript{2+}/SSZ-13, and Ni\textsuperscript{2+}/SSZ-13 revealed maximum carbon dioxide capture of 4.49 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} and 4.45 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1}, accordingly, and excellent selectivity of CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} as 52.55 and 42.61, respectively at 1 atm pressure and 273 K, compared to the remains. This is due to the IT-back donation entirely molded among transition metal cation and CO\textsubscript{2} molecule.

In contrast, with several zeolites probably vulnerable to CO\textsubscript{2} capture, RHO-type zeolites are of huge significance owing to their specific 3D structures containing cages and small pore openings. Confalonieri et al.\textsuperscript{97} stated that the uptake of CO\textsubscript{2} is mostly connected with the sodium content in the nanosized RHO crystals. The adsorption tests demonstrated that 1 bar CO\textsubscript{2} is enough to saturate RHO samples and observed that there was no change in 5 bar pressure at room temperature.

The CO\textsubscript{2} capture by zeolites has been enhanced by the incorporation of amine moieties into its crystal structure. A few low-cost zeolites, such as zeolite SAPO-34 (CHA-type), Y (FAU-type), and ZSM-5 (MFI-type), have been prepared from kaolin clay for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption from the air. Further functionalization (amine impregnation) of these molecular sieves by TEPA enhances the capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption. The attained kaolin-based zeolites showed parallel features compared to zeolites

Fig. 7 (i) The literature on different MOF-based adsorbents for CO\textsubscript{2} uptake at different temperatures (a) 195 K, (b) 273 K, (c) 298 K for 1 bar pressure (for some adsorbents CO\textsubscript{2} uptake units are converted from the originally reported ones). (ii) Demonstrates a series of ZIFs along with their surface area towards CO\textsubscript{2} uptake at 273 K.
prepared with other sources. Besides, these zeolites demonstrated a bi-modal pore network containing both mesopores and micropores. The influence of amine loading on the CO₂ adsorption revealed that zeolite Y with 10 wt% TEPA showed an enhanced CO₂ uptake of 1.09 mmol g⁻¹ than others, anticipated to its larger mesopore volume. Fengsheng et al.⁹⁹ used TEPA modification of (amine-functionalized) Y-type zeolite with a Si/Al molar ratio of 60 [Y₆₀(TEPA)zeolite] to achieve a significant increase in the capacity of CO₂ uptake. It was stated that the uptake capacity improved with a temperature between 303–333 K, but diminished within 333–343 K. The phenomenon of Y₆₀ CO₂ capture is completely a physical interaction, however, after TEPA modification, chemical interaction becomes prominent. Further, the existence of water vapor (7%) enhanced it to 4.27 mmol g⁻¹. As described above, Y-type zeolites hold a well-defined pore structure and their pore sizes are of the same magnitude as CO₂ molecules, thus projected to give a great affinity for CO₂ adsorption. Murge et al.¹⁰⁰ used zeolite-Y (designated as Z-Y-3, silica to alumina ratio of 2.25) with TEPA modification displayed higher CO₂ uptake, and the acquired results were about 114 mg g⁻¹ and 190 mg g⁻¹ for 1 bar and 5 bar pressure, accordingly at 303 K.

Wang et al.¹⁰¹ used PEI impregnated mesoporous ZSM-5 zeolite prepared from rice husk ash. The measurement of the CO₂ capture at 393 K revealed that ZSM-5-PEI-30 had a capacity of 1.96 mmol g⁻¹, which was nearly 5 times more than the pristine. Pham et al.¹⁰² used ethylenediamine (EDA) functionalized nano zeolite (NZ) to enhance CO₂ adsorption properties. The CO₂ uptake of NZ-EDA rose between 293 K to 343 K but then declined further between 343 K to 373 K. The CO₂ uptake of NZ-EDA was 7.48 mmol g⁻¹ at 343 K, which is 2.6 times higher than the NZ sample. As said, after the amine modification, physical interaction turns into a chemical interaction among NZ-EDA and CO₂ molecules become an important adsorption phenomenon. Chen et al.¹⁰³ reported mesoporous zeolite 13X (meso-13X) modified with PEI impregnation and formed a meso-13X-PEI hybrid, which demonstrated a substantial possibility for CO₂ adsorption capacity. Due to its high pore volume, which permitted for the adjustment of higher amine species than in the case of zeolite 13X. Meso-13X-PEI offered enhanced CO₂ adsorption of 80 mg g⁻¹ than PEI-modified zeolite13X with 48 mg g⁻¹ at 373 K. Additionally, Bansiwal et al.¹⁰⁴ analyzed CO₂ capture utilizing different amine functionalization agents such as DEA, AMP, IPA, MEA, and EDAN on zeolite 13X at various temperatures as 303, 328, and 348 K. The obtained findings supported the above discussion that after amine supplement, zeolite adsorption capacity enhanced-dramatically.

It is said that the hydrophilic character of many zeolite structures is contemplated as a main obstacle of zeolites, particularly for post-combustion CO₂ techniques. The presence of water during CO₂ uptake on the accessible sorption sites may impact the zeolite framework and its structure. As described above,
the existence of uncovered cation sites enhances the capacity of CO₂ adsorption. The correlation between the water content in zeolite and the cation population density was explored. A direct correlation was observed to explain the reduction of the cation population by raising the quantity of water. This examination underlines the negative impact of the existence of water vapors in zeolites on the capacity of CO₂ capture. Fig. 9 shows various zeolite-based adsorbents for CO₂ uptake.

2.3. Mesoporous silica

Mesoporous silica has attracted great attention after its discovery in the late 1970s. This is mainly owing to their exceptional features, such as ordered pore structures, high BET and preparation in a broad selection of morphologies (powders, discs, rods, and spheres, etc.). When compared to conventional porous silica, mesoporous silica shows extremely well-ordered pores. It may be due to the nano templating method employed during the preparation of these adsorbents. Since the last few decades, an overabundance of mesoporous silica adsorbents such as SBA-16, SBA-15 (SBA-Santa Barbara Amorphous), MCM-41, and MCM-48 (MCM-Mobil Composition of Matter), with a variety of pore geometries like cubic, and hexagonal, and morphologies like rods, spheres, and discs have been developed. They are useful in many applications requiring high BET and large pore sizes. The surfaces containing silanol groups are the key factors. Their functionalization by different organic molecules allows improving their performance in CO₂ adsorption. The interactions between CO₂ and the surface of the materials vary, corresponding to the nature of the functionalized molecules or immobilized metals.

Based on the amine-loading methods, and the type of bonding between amines and supports will change. In the wet impregnation method, the amine spreads into the support pore networks, extends away on the inside pore surface, saturates the pores progressively, and ultimately spread over the external surface with rising amine content. The formation of the bond between amine and support involves hydrogen bonding. In the grafting method, via a chemical bond utilizing silane-coupling agents, amine groups are attached to the silica surface. The linkages formed between various silane-amino species and the support are displayed in Fig. 10. These bonds strengthen the stability of the sorbent whilst constraining the utmost amine content on the support. In sequence, it directs to a shorter capacity of carbon dioxide uptake of amine-grafted one, related
to the impregnated adsorbent. Though, insufficient but comparatively constant amine grafting offers a sterically advanced structure for more amine impregnation to deliver high effective sorbents.\textsuperscript{118}

For example, Son \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{119} used a sequence of mesoporous silica adsorbents, SBA-16, SBA-15, MCM-41, MCM-48, and KIT-6, and functionalized them with 50 wt\% PEI loading in methanol to assess the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption performance. PEI loaded KIT-6 (6 nm size pores) showed 135 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption in a stream at 358 K, against a similar amount of PEI loaded MCM-41 (2.8 nm pore size) with 111 mg g\textsuperscript{-1}, and their adsorption–desorption kinetics are shown in Fig. 11(i)(a and b) respectively. This can be explained from the fact that silica with a very high total pore volume is more advantageous for amine loading. Similarly, Heydari \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{120} used PEI-loaded MCM-41 in which the surface is coated with a long-alkyl chain layer initiated to be an additional effective CO\textsubscript{2} capture material, as shown in Fig. 11(ii). Likewise, when PME had a low BET surface area and total pore volume as 570 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and 1.59 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, compared to PMC with 1254 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and 2.44 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively; PME-PEI(55) displayed greater CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity than that of PMC-PEI(55) at the noted temperatures. PME-based adsorbent showed CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of 2.3 folds more than its PMC equivalent at 358 K. The distinct performances of these materials were correlated with their pore wall surface. As well as the presence of hydroxyl groups on the PMC surface, instead of long hydrophobic hydrocarbon chains on the surface of PME. Niu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{121} used pre-treated pristine halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) to create mesoporous silica nanotubes (MSiNTs) which could be additionally functionalized with PEI to prepare MSiNTs/PEI (MP) nanocomposite with a surface area of at least six times higher than that of HNT, and its CO\textsubscript{2} capture raised to 2.75 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 365 K as shown in Fig. 11(iii).

Recent literature has demonstrated that some of the amine-functionalized SBA-15 outperforms standard adsorbents such as some of the widely used MOFs and zeolites for CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration. Kumar \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{122} reported an amine-modified mesoporous silicate as TEPA-SBA-15, which is a chemisorbent (due to grafting) that relates to a typical group of materials identified as amine-functionalized silica. The TEPA-SBA-15 chemisorbent presents a very high direct air capture (DAC) performance while related to each physisorbents, capturing a great amount of CO\textsubscript{2}.

---

**Fig. 11** (i) (a) CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of different mesoporous silica adsorbents after the addition of 50 wt\% PEI content and (b) The difference of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption performance between MCM-41-PEI 50, KIT-6-PEI 50, and PEI, inset: assessment of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption kinetics of KIT-6-PEI 50 (i) and pure PEI (ii). (b) Reproduced by permission from ref. 119 Copyright 2007, Elsevier, (ii) CO\textsubscript{2} uptake versus temperature for PME-PEI (55) after 30 min and 180 min, PMC-PEI (55) after 30 min, and 180 min of exposure to pure CO\textsubscript{2} (reproduced by permission from ref. 120 Copyright 2011, ACS publications), (iii) MSiNTs/PEI (MP) nanocomposite preparation and its CO\textsubscript{2} uptake performance (reproduced by permission from ref. 121 Copyright 2016, ACS publications).
after 12 h of exposure compared to other sorbents such as HKUST-1, Mg-MOF-74, SIFSIX-3-Ni, and zeolite 13X. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{123} used an N-rich SBA-15(p)-AP-70T that showed improved CO\textsubscript{2} capture of 15.81 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} and 5.687 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1}. Furthermore, uptake stays at 5.2 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} even after 15 adsorption/desorption cycles, with an associated decline of 6.1%. This is caused by grafted APTES and polyethylene oxide–polypropylene oxide. Polyethylene oxide in the provisional pores might deliver hydrogen-bonding functional groups and spatial partition structure for the distribution of the same quantity of TEPA in the support pore, whereas it decreases the loss of TEPA during the cycle process.

When dealing with porous silica adsorbents, the major obstacle is the degradation of their structure in the ambiance of steam, leading to the emancipation of the grafted or impregnated amines, thus reducing its CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity along with triggering corrosion complications. Silica frameworks with TEPA and PEI impregnated composites demonstrated enhanced CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capabilities at 348 K, owing to the enhanced amine species mobility and CO\textsubscript{2} diffusion enabled in the pore channels at comparatively high temperatures.\textsuperscript{124} Besides, CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption by amines is exothermic; accordingly, in the desorption cycle, high temperature is advantageous. Hence, the optimal temperature for the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption by TEPA and PEI impregnated compounds were decided by comptonization among the effect of kinetic factors and thermodynamic parameters. The post-combustion temperature of CO\textsubscript{2} gas is generally between 50–75 °C (ref. 125) and it is near the optimum temperature range of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption by PEI or TEPA-impregnated silica composites. Sayari et al.\textsuperscript{126} stated the grafting of 3-[2-(aminoethylamino) ethyl amine] propyl tri methoxy silane on the pore-expanded MCM-41 surface with an elevated amine content of 6.11 mmol N g\textsuperscript{-1} revealed exceptionally great uptake and adsorption rates as it was subjected to a 5% CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} gas mixture. The respective uptakes of 2.65 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} and 2.94 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2} were attained in a dry atmosphere and humid (298 K, 0.05 atm) ambiance conditions.

2.4. Clay-based adsorbents

Clay is a usual phrase that specifies a particular clay mineral or a mixture of single or more clay minerals, including small quantities of organic matter and metal oxides. Clays are hydrated aluminum phyllosilicates naturally developed via hydrothermal alteration of rocks. Commonly, the structure is composed of tetrahedral and octahedral sheets arranged into layers,\textsuperscript{127} as shown in Fig. 12. The structure additionally contains a different quantity of the large types of cations.\textsuperscript{128} A continuous tetrahedral sheet (T) shaped by [M\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{4-} types in which M represents Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Al\textsuperscript{3+} or Si\textsuperscript{4+}, is located at the tetrahedron center and at the edges four oxygen atoms are situated by connecting to its neighboring tetrahedral by sharing three corners, procuring a 2D form with hexagonal structure along with the a, b plane. In the octahedral sheet (O), the octahedra are linked by sharing edges, obtaining sheets with hexagonal symmetry with principal cations Fe\textsuperscript{3+}, Al\textsuperscript{3+}, Fe\textsuperscript{2+}, and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}. Owing to cost-effective, plentiful, and moderated porosity, clay-based adsorbents were intended to support commercial CO\textsubscript{2} uptake. Many studies have explored the clay-based materials as one of the effective adsorbents for CO\textsubscript{2} capture, including kaolinite, halloysite, bentonite, smectite, montmorillonite, or sepiolite as starting materials.\textsuperscript{129–132} Clay minerals are not conducive to chemical modifications, textural properties can be enhanced, and microporosity can be improved. Additionally, the adsorption capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} can be increased in many situations. Consequently, the acid treatment of clay boosts microporosity in a limited dissolution of its sheets, supporting these structures to perform as a molecular sieve by adsorbing molecules of CO\textsubscript{2}. The amine impregnation in natural clays improves the capacity of carbon dioxide uptake since there is a rise in the intensity of chemical interactions between the developed compound and CO\textsubscript{2}. This method primarily holds the position on the surface because the amalgamation of the amine in clay blocks the cavities of clay minerals.\textsuperscript{133} Cecilia et al.\textsuperscript{134} reported the evaluation of pure CO\textsubscript{2} capture in a volumetric setup by using two clay minerals such as sepiolite (meerschaum) and polygorskite (attapulgite), which are members of a polygorskite-sepiolite group of fibrous clay minerals. The idealized sepiolite structural formula is Si\textsubscript{7}O\textsubscript{12}O\textsubscript{5}OH\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{8} is a hydrous magnesium silicate that has eight possible octahedral positions per half unit cell, in which the tri-octahedral positions are engaged by Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and minor amounts of Fe\textsuperscript{3+} and Al\textsuperscript{3+}. Polygorskite is a dioctahedral mineral with structural formula Si\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{20}(Al\textsubscript{2}Mg\textsubscript{6})(OH)\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}O, in which the octahedral locations are engaged by Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Al\textsuperscript{3+}, creating voids in the octahedral sheets. In these two, raw sepiolite attained a CO\textsubscript{2} capture of 1.48 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} owing to the existence of nanocavities functioning as a molecular sieve. Further, microwave-assisted acid treatment was used to modify both sepiolite and polygorskite, which advances the rise in pore volume and specific surface area anticipated to Mg\textsuperscript{2+} leaching, especially for sepiolite. Though, the limited breakdown of these fibrous structures has not been improving the adsorption capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} due to the gradual increase of the nanocavity size. Following, the amine modification of both fibrous clay minerals was performed by applying distinct techniques such as
Impregnation with PEI, grafting with APTES, and double functionalization by grafting with APTES and then impregnation with PEI. In each scenario, it has been noticed that the amine species functionalization supports the chemical interaction between CO₂ molecules and the amine species. While it also creates the difficulty of the nanochannels, adsorption holds position mostly on the external surface of the fibers. Lastly, the combination of amine species by double functionalization showed an improvement in CO₂ uptake as 2.07 mmol g⁻¹ at 1 atm pressure and 338 K allocated to a higher quantity of accessible amine sites aside from higher uptake temperature, that preferred the diffusion of CO₂ molecules into the adsorbent. Similarly, Jing et al.¹³⁵ used a string of acid-treated sepiolite-supported PEI solid adsorbents with different loading. Well-dispersed Sep/PEI fibers were enclosed by PEI with a thickness of about 10 nm, and these fibers lay close to each other to produce a multi-layer cage-like structure with plentiful space for CO₂. At 50 wt% loading of PEI, the uptake of up to 2.48 mmol g⁻¹ at 348 K was achieved in mixed gases comprising 60 vol% CO₂. Irani et al.¹³⁶ synthesized an inorganic-organic CO₂ sorbent by functionalizing TEPA onto acid-altered nanosepiolite with intended CO₂ adsorption phenomena as shown in Fig. 13, with achieved uptake of 3.8 mmol g⁻¹ for 1 vol% CO₂ in N₂ alongside ~1 vol% H₂O at 333 K. TEPA comprises two varieties of amine groups: primary (R₁–NH₂), and secondary (R₁–NH–R₂) amines. The stoichiometry of the reaction reveals the high capacity of these two varieties of amines as about 0.5 mol and 1.0 mol of CO₂ per mole of amine in dry and humid conditions, correspondingly.

Wang et al.¹³⁷ used kaolinite and montmorillonite natural clay minerals as supporting adsorbents, pre-modified by acid- or alkaline-treatment to enhance their textural properties, i.e., surface area and pore volume, for adapting the CO₂-philic PEI. Among them, the montmorillonite modified by 6 M HCl (Mon-HCl-6M) presented improved porosity with a surface area of 253 m² g⁻¹ from 72 m² g⁻¹, and pore volume of 0.71 cm³ g⁻¹ from 0.16 cm³ g⁻¹. Next, the modification with PEI (50 wt%) on Mon-HCl-6M, the CO₂ uptake reached 112 mg g⁻¹ at 348 K under dry conditions. This has been further improved by moisture addition (ca. 3 vol%) to get 142 mg g⁻¹, owing to the variation in the interaction mechanism between the amine and CO₂ in the existence of moisture. Pozuelo et al.¹³⁸ utilized a large-variety of low-cost clay minerals to evaluate their performance as support of amine-containing adsorbents for CO₂ uptake. Bentonite, montmorillonite, sepiolite, palygorskite, and saponite were hydrated and modified in three ways: (a) grafting with AP and DT organosilanes, (b) impregnation with PEI, and (c) double functionalization by impregnating previously grafted samples. Under dry circumstances, at 1 bar pressure and 318 K, grafted and impregnated samples of sepiolite-DT and palygorskite-PEI generated as high as 61.3 and 67.1 mg g⁻¹ of CO₂ adsorption capacities, respectively. However, double-functionalized samples experienced pore-blocking expected the high organic loading and displayed lower CO₂ uptake than those attained by specific impregnation or grafting. The presence of 5% H₂O in the feed gas resulted in an increment of CO₂ uptake from 17 to 27%.

Porous clay heterostructures (PCHs) are inorganic structures with high versatility for a wide range of applications. The substitution of the cation given to counterpoise the negative charge of the smectite layer by a bulkier one permits the preparation of these structures with modular porosity with high physical stability. Generally, the high microporosity of PCHs permits its help in the separation and adsorption of CO₂ or small hydrocarbons.¹²⁷ Recently, Vilarrasa et al.¹³⁸ prepared porous clay heterostructures from bentonite, then modified with amine species, via grafting by APTES and impregnation by PEI or TEPA. The findings revealed a CO₂ adsorption capacity of 1.023 mmol g⁻¹ with APTES grafting, and 1.644 and 1.465 mmol g⁻¹ with TEPA and PEI, respectively, as can be seen in Fig. 14.

2.5 Porous carbon-based materials

Porous carbon-based adsorbents are progressively gaining interest in CO₂ capture and are desirable owing to their huge accessibility, physiochemical stability, affordability, and flexibility to tune their porosity.¹³⁹,¹⁴⁰ Most of the carbons are present

Fig. 13 CO₂ capture mechanisms of (a) humid, and (b) dry conditions (reproduced by permission from ref. 136 Copyright 2014, Elsevier).
in the allotropic form of graphite, although fullerenes and their derivatives, diamond-like carbons organizing a shorter collection of carbon forms. Depending on the degree of crystallographic order in the third direction, allotropic types of graphite can be categorized into graphitic carbons and non-graphitic carbons. Further non-graphitic carbons have been divided into graphitizable and non-graphitizable carbons. Stepping up from nanoscale to micro-scale, carbons display extremely distinct structures. The granular and powder-activated carbons are conventional carbon adsorbents. New types of carbon are also employed as potential sorbent like fabrics, activated carbon fibers, and felts can be synthesized using different types of precursors covering coal, rayon, petroleum pitch, or viscose. These materials have a porous carbon structure, which

![Diagram of carbon structures and allotropes](image)

**Fig. 15** Different structures and allotropes of carbon (reproduced by permission from ref. 141 Copyright 2006, Elsevier).

**Fig. 14** The CO₂ adsorption capacity of amine-functionalized PCH, via grafting APTES and via impregnation with PEI or TEPA [data from ref. 138].
comprises small quantities of various heteroatoms, such as hydrogen and oxygen. The existence or absence of surface groups shaped by heteroatoms that bond themselves to the carbon atoms at the edges of the basal planes provide an escalation to carbons with diverse chemical properties. The physicochemical properties are important for the behavior of carbon adsorbents.\textsuperscript{141-144} Fig. 15 illustrates some of these carbon structures and their forms.

Activated carbons for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption are made through carbonization and physical/chemical activation of a wide range of natural sources (biomass,\textsuperscript{145} glucose,\textsuperscript{146} plant-based,\textsuperscript{147} etc.) and synthetic precursors (triazine-based POPs,\textsuperscript{148,149} petroleum pitch,\textsuperscript{150} etc.). Based on their surface characteristics and preparation technique, activated carbons are classified into powdered activated carbon, granulated activated carbon, spherical activated carbon, impregnated carbon, and polymer-coated carbon. Sevilla \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{151} used viable porous carbons synthesized by hydrothermally carbonized biomass (sawdust) and polysaccharides (cellulose and starch) with chemical activation (KOH/precursor = 2) at 873 K, named as AS-2-600. It delivered a higher capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} uptake as 4.8 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 298 K. This remarkable capacity to adsorb CO\textsubscript{2} is principally anticipated due to the existence of 0.8 nm narrow micropores, while the BET surface area of 1260 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} performs a less important role.

Attempts to manipulate carbon structures are mainly applicable to the molecular sieving carbons (MSC) or carbon molecular sieves. MSC is the porous carbon skeletal framework that persists following the pyrolysis of a polymeric precursor. MSCs porosity involves a high BET surface area of up to 4000 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and nanometer size uniform pores. Generally, MSCs deliver better relative adsorptive strength associated with spherical graphitized polymer carbon and graphitized carbon black sorbents. Wahby \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{152} used C-1012 with 2000 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} of surface area and its CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacities at 1 bar were 232, 132, and 79.3 mg g\textsuperscript{-1} at temperatures of 273, 298, and 323 K, respectively. They suggested that the existence of a fine-tuned microporosity, aside from a great volume of narrow micropores significantly improves the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} capture. Furthermore, these tiny micropores appear to be the main reason, heading to get full CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity, even while achieving adsorption at a temperature similar to the anthropogenic releases of CO\textsubscript{2}.

When comparing carbons with zeolites or MOFs, these are less polar and consequently give weak CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption affinity. Their typical downside can be surmounted by initiating heteroatoms (very frequently nitrogen, occasionally other elements) incorporated into the frameworks of carbon or applying surface functional groups. The goal was to improve the capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} uptake and affinity towards its selectivity by instituting simple nitrogen-functionalities into frameworks of porous carbons. The higher N content in AC will increase its adsorption capacity. This process has been utilized to imitate the amine scrubbing procedure and enhance the hydrogen-bonding interactions among CO\textsubscript{2} molecules and C-H groups, leading to a higher CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity. Besides, CO\textsubscript{2} gas is acidic in nature and is likely to be adsorbed on the basic groups such as N-species. Additionally, doping N atoms into activated carbons by incorporating on its surface, which is quite stable in contrast with the oxygenated functional groups such as COOH, OH, and CO, etc. Though more content of N does not promise the improvement in the capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} capture, moreover this is associated with the nitrogen species type used and their structure on the surface of activated carbon.\textsuperscript{153} When comparing with other N-containing species like pyrrole-like N species, pyridine and pyridine N-oxide, pyridine-like N species significantly enhances the capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} uptake, due to their stronger basic nature.\textsuperscript{154} Usually, the N atom can be incorporated on the carbon surface in two ways. (i) Physical mixing as N atom impregnation on the carbon surface. For instance, the porous structure of carbon can impregnate with melamine, aniline, acetonitrile, and PAN.\textsuperscript{155} (ii) Grafting or doping by amine functional species from chemical compounds or reagents like ammonia, urea, urea-formaldehyde (UF), and melamine–formaldehyde (MF)\textsuperscript{156} to activate the carbon surface; consequently, a new bond is developed because of chemical reactions. The first one was studied largely; however, it undergoes several challenges due to blockage of a pore in minor pore volumes, which limits the loading of N content and boosts the volatilization of compounds holding N with rising temperature. Hence, grafted molecules show greater stability.\textsuperscript{157} Still, the impregnation technique is generally favored owing to its ease of preparation, cost-effectiveness, and it offers better chemical-loading capacity.

Likewise, higher CO\textsubscript{2} uptake and improved selectivity have been achieved by doping a small amount of S atoms, largely as oxidized-S. The heteroatom doping is found to stimulate microstructure tuning with a very much organized framework containing a fine pore network, high surface area, and high sp\textsuperscript{2}/C ratio. The established phenomenon of the variable pore framework involves hydrogen-bond connections. N,S co-doped honeycomb carbon displays a comparable CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of 4.7 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 273 K, to N-doped pillaring-layered carbon (NC) with 7.3% of N content.\textsuperscript{158} Alkali cation functionalization on carbon pores can advance the high basicity and polarizing ability of materials and consequently improve CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption. Chen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{159} used N-doped porous carbon attained from coconut shell by modification with urea, and KOH activation (NC-650-3) and demonstrate CO\textsubscript{2} capture of 5 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 298 K and over 7 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 273 K, for 1 bar pressure. This greater adsorption has been credited to its high content of nitrogen and microporosity.

Nandi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{160} used highly N-doped activated porous carbon monoliths (ACMs) with a surface area of 2501 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} containing 1.8 wt% N, which were prepared by carbonization and physical activation of mesoporous polyacrylonitrile (PAN) monoliths for CO\textsubscript{2} capture. These ACMs displayed enhanced CO\textsubscript{2} capture of 5.14 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1}, 11.51 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 298 K and 273 K, respectively, under ambient pressure. Compared to many porous carbon-based adsorbents, Ma \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{161} used SA-2N-P with BET 1740 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and its nitrogen content 3.38% for CO\textsubscript{2} capture showed better capacity as 4.57 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} under ambient and 8.99 mmol g\textsuperscript{-1} at 273 K. This can be explained as pyridinic nitrogen that usually has significantly more influence on CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption than pyridinic nitrogen. Similarly, Dassanayake
et al.\textsuperscript{162} used ACS-4-6-2 with a surface area of 1079 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ alongside 3.7 wt% nitrogen content resulting in CO$_2$ uptake of 5.42 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 298 K, 7.73 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 273 K at 1 bar pressure. This enhanced CO$_2$ capture was attained by regulated activation of polypyrrole carbon spheres with immediate maintenance of spherical morphology and a great increment in ultra-microporosity. A schematic preparation process is shown in Fig. 16.

Waralee et al.\textsuperscript{163} used nitrogen- and oxygen-enriched activated carbons, prepared via urea incorporation, air oxidation, and KOH activation. At 298 K, the high N-content samples demonstrated an improved uptake in the pores at moderate pressures (i.e., 1 bar) and affinity at low pressures. While at 273 K, it still showed greater affinity; however, its adsorption capacity was slightly lowered. Subsequently, a Grand Canonical Monte Carlo simulation was presented macroscopically and microscopically to examine the performance of carbon dioxide uptake. The experiments were performed by using the graphitic slit pore (pore width: 0.7–1.5 nm) for pristine, and by applying pyridine (N-6), and hydroxyl (OH) functional groups on it. (i) Adsorption affinity: the active site of the surface functional group is prominent in which carbon dioxide has a strong affinity towards N-containing species for all examined temperatures. The observed findings of simulated results were reliable with investigated data. (ii) Adsorption capacity: the effect of pore width has shown a high significance. Nevertheless, efficient pore widths fluctuated with temperature. More adsorption uptake in the pores with appropriate width followed, as did the correlation between the energy of motion and the packing of adsorbed molecules in a sort of optimizing the energy. The energy of motion is highly influenced at higher temperatures, while the corresponding packing is vital at the lower values.

A novel kind of extremely hierarchical porous carbon (HPC) along with a huge increment of BET surface area up to 2734 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and improved total pore volumes up to 5.53 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ were prepared through customized carbonization of several metal-organic frameworks. HPCs are extremely sp$^2$-bonded graphitic in nature with a great percentage of defective carbon structures. In most studies, the adsorption capacity of CO$_2$ capture in HPCs is greater than that in their MOF counterparts. At highpressures, 30 bars and 298 K, the adsorption capacity of HPCs for CO$_2$ uptake was more than 27 mmol g$^{-1}$. It appears to be a straightforward correlation between the CO$_2$ uptake and the surface area. For every 1000 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ increase in surface area, there is an increase in CO$_2$ adsorption capacity by 10 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 30 bar and 300 K. Owing to their physicochemical stability and improved performance of CO$_2$ uptake, these adsorbents are favored over their counterpart MOFs in PSA/USA applications.\textsuperscript{164}

In recent years, various other nanocarbon forms (CNTs, graphene, and GO) of distinctive surface morphology have drawn interest as CO$_2$ adsorbents. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{165} used hierarchical N-doped carbon nanotubes (NCTs) with well-regulated aspect ratios for CO$_2$ capture. These are prepared by coating distinct loadings of 3-aminophenol/formaldehyde resin (APF) on the exterior layer of silica nanotubes, and carbonizing in N$_2$ at 700 °C, then eliminating the silica and Ni template by hydrofluoric acid etching followed by K$_2$CO$_3$ activation. The achieved ANCTs showed pore volume and micro-surface area of 0.45 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ and 1195 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, and the subsequent uptake increased by 50% as 4.50 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 273 K and 1 bar. Mishra et al.\textsuperscript{166} prepared large-scale, low-cost, graphene nanosheets by using hydrogen exfoliation, with enhanced CO$_2$ capture of 21.6 mmol g$^{-1}$ detected at 298 K and 11 bar pressure, as related to other nanocarbon forms, indicating their potential as CO$_2$ adsorbent material for industrial applications. Fig. 17 illustrates the literature of various carbon-based adsorbents along with their surface area used for CO$_2$ uptake.\textsuperscript{167–176}

2.6. Polymer-based adsorbents

Recently, sequestration of CO$_2$ in solid adsorbents through the physisorption and their effective conversion into values added sufficient chemicals are significant prior areas of research. However, the innovation of effective solid CO$_2$ adsorbents together with their regeneration efficiency, chemical and mechanical stability are the most challenging objectives. In this context, porous organic polymers (POPs) owing to their
lightweight, chemical stability, and high specific surface area, structural diversity including topologies and chemical functionalities, and porosity at the nanoscale level are highly advantageous. Moreover, POPs have vast potential in various applications such as sensing, gas storage and separation, optoelectronics, energy storage, and catalysis due to their lightweight and composition [elements like H, C, N, and O are strongly connected by covalent bonds].\(^\text{177}\) Besides, the most important feature of POPs is taking the CO\(_2\) gas in a particular ambient condition in the reversible adsorption process. Until now, various forms of POPs have been studied to capture CO\(_2\) as hyper cross-linked polymers (HCPs), polymer with porous aromatic frameworks (PFA), porous melamine–formaldehyde (MF), covalent organic polymers (COP), polymers with intrinsic microporosity (PIMs), conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) and polymer with covalent triazine based framework (CTF),\(^\text{178}\) as displayed in Fig. 18.

Over the years, a wide range of POP materials is obtained with many polycondensation reactions like Schiff-base condensation, Friedel–Craft reactions, free-radical polymerization, Diazo-coupling, thermal/solvothermal condensations, cross-coupling reactions, metal-catalyzed C–C homo reactions, and thermal/chemical switch reactions for suitable applications due to their high surface area and porous nature.\(^\text{179}\) More importantly, CO\(_2\) uptake capability is mainly dependent on the surface area, as the CO\(_2\) adsorbent uptake rises with the increase in the uptake pressure. This represents that tuning the surface property could be advantageous for CO\(_2\) uptake capability.

An MF-porous melamine–formaldehyde amino resin with the content of hydroxymethyl groups was obtained by the polycondensation of additional formaldehyde with melamine. Moreover, the Mannich reaction occurs during the grafting of polyethyleneimine (PEI) onto the porous amino resin and continues to form (MF–PEI). The stability of MF–PEI adsorbent is enhanced with the help of excessive formaldehyde, utilized in the polycondensation, which will provide more active sites to be associated with PEI. In the novel method of direct grafting, the solid amine adsorbent into the PEI without the presence of an intermediate provides enhanced adsorption kinetics and generation performance with better features (pore volume of 0.76 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) and surface area (BET) of 460 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)). At 283 K, the MF–PEI showed a CO\(_2\) uptake of 1.32 mmol g\(^{-1}\) with 48.9% amine consumption efficiency, along with 11.35% of PEI loading. PMFRs-porous melamine–formaldehyde resins are one of the most recently studied materials for CO\(_2\) adsorption/separation applications, because of their high surface area, high binding affinity with CO\(_2\), good stability, and large pore volume. Most PMFRs are prepared from melamine due to their weak basicity, low cost, and has abundant nitrogen (N). However, due to instability issues, PMFRs prefer physisorption rather than chemisorption of CO\(_2\).\(^\text{180}\)

Recently, microporous polymers with nanopore size, also known as hyper cross-linked polymers (HCPs), are showing significant interest due to their diversiform aromatic monomers, simple Friedel–Crafts reaction, and high yields. In HCPs, the well-swollen chains with plentiful rigid cross-linking bridges, are produced by the Friedel–Crafts reaction.\(^\text{181}\) Davankov-type resins are the most studied, which are made from polystyrene and have an upper surface area of about 2000 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). Wang et al.\(^\text{182}\) reported the CO\(_2\) uptake of 99.0 mg g\(^{-1}\) at 273 K, and 55.2 mg g\(^{-1}\) at 298 K for 1.0 bar pressure, respectively, with the help of (HCP) microspheres with a hollow core–shell structure prepared by a hard template method, and the results show that HCPs-5% represents an important role of ultra-micropores in the adsorption process. Fang et al.\(^\text{183}\) described the synthesis of Friedel–Crafts reaction-based HCPs from 9-phenylcarbazole. The as-synthesized HCPs showed the CO\(_2\) uptake capacity (at 273 K and 1 bar pressure) of 10.4 wt% with a specific surface area up to 769 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). Hou et al.\(^\text{184}\) reported an excellent CO\(_2\) uptake of 5.63 mmol g\(^{-1}\) at 273 K.
temperature, and 1 bar using high surface area HCPs with different polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Penchah et al. proposed thermodynamic modeling for CO₂ adsorption using benzene-based HCPs. For the industrial application of benzene-based HCP, synthesis was carried out at the optimal time, temperature and pressure of 13.6 h, 294 K, and 7.8 bar, respectively.

Another type of POPs is CMPs- (conjugated microporous polymers), generally obtained from aromatic building blocks. CMPs originate from different routes such as permanent micropores, conjugated organic frameworks, and π-electron conjugated systems. To enhance the performance of CMPs towards CO₂ adsorption, researchers introduced electron-rich groups such as N, O, Si, and other heteroatoms. Xu et al. prepared CMPs based on 1,4,5,8-naphthalene tetracarboxylic dianhydride (NTDA), tetrakis (4-aminophenyl) ethene (TPE-NH₂) core with pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA), and 3,3',4,4'-biphenyl tetracarboxylic dianhydride (BTDA). Here, the tetraphenylenethene core and anhydride linkers improve p-conjugation, rigidity, and planarity contributing towards CO₂ uptake. Moreover, these polymers are excellent adsorbents for CO₂ gas storage and separation. The CO₂ adsorption uptake of CMP@1, 2, and 3 at temperature and pressure of 273 K and 1.05 bar reached 2.27, 1.56, and 1.6 mmol g⁻¹, respectively. Similarly, Zhou et al. stated that the uptake capacity of CO₂ adsorption of CMPFs depends upon the rise in pressure continuously and reached 12.70, 11.55, and 10.23 wt% at 273 K, and 1.0 bar. However, the CO₂ adsorption uptake depended on the micropore-specific area, which was in the order of CMP1 > CMP2 > CMP3. This explains that high adsorption uptake can be cationic cyclization polymerization generated with high microporosity of polymers.

Polymers with intrinsic microporosity (PIMs) are considered to have low surface areas and high free volumes with a continuous interconnected network of intramolecular voids. PIMs generate porosity from the rigid and contorted macromolecular chains that are not packed inefficiently. PIM polymers show fused-ring sequences, which make PIMs restrict free rotation and contortion ladder-type assemblies turn to avoid the packing efficiency of polymers. Sekizkardes et al. reported very high CO₂/N₂ selectivity in the presence of dry and humid conditions for post-combustion CO₂ capture. PIMs are obtained through an acid–base interaction (chemisorption) with allylamine incorporation. The amine-appended PIMs presented a nearly four-fold improvement in CO₂ loading uptake, which is 1.6 mmol g⁻¹ at temperature and pressure of 298 K and 0.15 bar compared to the pristine material.

Porous aromatic frameworks (PAFs) are among the most studied and important POPs due to their high surface area and tremendous physicochemical stability. Normally, PAFs are synthesized by using irreversible cross-coupling reactions and composed of phenyl-ring derived fragments with different functional groups by synthetic chemistry routes. PAFs consist of 2D/3D periodic aromatic frameworks, which are obtained by the effective assembly of organic building blocks through covalent coupling reactions. PAFs can be used for TSA (temperature swing adsorption), VSA (vacuum swing adsorption), and PSA (pressure swing adsorption) to increase the CO₂ adsorption capacity. Moreover, to improve the CO₂ adsorption uptake, surface area, tailoring the pore size, post-modification, and heteroatom doping can be tuned. Demirocak et al. reported the Yamamoto coupling route to synthesize p-phenylenediamine based, porous aromatic framework (NPAF). The as-obtained NPAF showed a surface area of 1790 m² g⁻¹ with a CO₂ uptake capacity of 3.64 mmol g⁻¹ at a temperature of 273 K.

Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) are porous crystalline organic polymers that gained enormous research interest in the past 10 years. These are synthesized using organic molecules that are covalent-linkage bonded in a repeating manner to create a porous crystal that is best suitable for gas capture and storage. COPs-covalent organic polymers are another type of highly porous crystalline organic polymer. Liu et al. obtained a novel TPFM (bithiophene–melamine porous organic framework) prepared by a one-step Shiff-base reaction using cost-effective raw materials as S-rich thiophene formaldehyde and N-rich melamine. The raw materials show a greater surface with mesopore-controlled pore structure such as sphere-like morphology with outstanding CO₂ uptake capacity of 3.46 mmol g⁻¹ (about 16 wt%) at 273 K temperature. Xiang et al. reported a series of covalent organic polymers for the adsorption of various gases such as H₂, O₂, CH₄, CO₂, and N₂. The CO₂ uptake capacity showed at a temperature of 298 K and pressure of 18 bars was 13.5 mmol g⁻¹. Furthermore, these covalent organic polymers performed better capabilities on the removal of CO₂ from natural gas.

CTFs - covalent triazine frameworks (CTFs) are another type of widely studied POP for CO₂ capture. In CTFs, frameworks contain triazine pore units that simply originated from building blocks connected by covalent bonds that have advanced stability compared to many coordinative-linked materials. Liebl et al. reported the synthesis of chemically and thermally stable seven triazine-based porous polyimide (TPI-1 to TPI-7) polymers for CO₂ capture. The as-obtained TPI-1 (809 m² g⁻¹) and TPI-2 (796 m² g⁻¹) showed high values of CO₂ capability as 2.45 mmol g⁻¹ at 273 K and 1 bar. Furthermore, it stated that CO₂ uptake capabilities depend upon surface areas. Mohamed et al. reported the synthesis of pyrene-functionalized covalent triazine frameworks Pyrene-CTF-10 through the ionothermal treatment of 1,3,6,8-cyanopyrene (TCNPy) with [ZnCl₄] molten zinc chloride at 500 °C and displayed good CO₂ adsorption capacity of 2.82 and 5.10 mmol g⁻¹ at 298 K and 273 K, respectively. Fig. 19 shows the different porous POPs and their surface areas for CO₂ capture at different temperatures (273 K, 298 K) and at 1 bar pressure.

2.7. Porous metal oxides

From the last decade onwards porous metal oxides (MOs) are favorable candidates for acting as CO₂ capture materials under pre, post, and oxy-combustion conditions. These porous oxides blend with CO₂ in the flue gas and produce carbonates. There is still a huge significance in the forthcoming, owing to their promising thermodynamic properties and ease of availability.
Though, the choice of these MOs results in their CO2 adsorption capacity, rate of adsorption, regeneration heat, availability, thermal stability, structural and textural properties. Various porous MOs, like alkali and alkaline-earth metal oxides (MgO and CaO), together with CO2 molecules produce thermodynamically stable carbonates. Lately, Li, Na, and K-based silicates, or zirconates, and perovskites have also attracted huge attention as expected due to their enhanced CO2 capture. \[ \text{CO}_2 \text{ capture cyclic process for some porous metal oxides (MO) and metal carbonates (MCO}_3 \text{)} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MgO(s)} + \text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow \text{MgCO}_3(g) \\
\text{CaO(s)} + \text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3(s) \\
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4(s) + \text{Fe(s)} + 4\text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow 4\text{FeCO}_3(s) \\
\text{Li}_2\text{ZrO}_3(s) + \text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow \text{ZrO}_2(s) + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3(s) \\
\text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4(s) + \text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3(s) + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3(s) \\
\text{Li}_5\text{AlO}_4 + 2\text{CO}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{LiAlO}_2 + 2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \\
\text{Na}_2\text{ZrO}_3(s) + \text{CO}_2(g) & \leftrightarrow \text{ZrO}_2(s) + \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3(s) \\
\text{Li}_2\text{SrTa}_2\text{O}_7 + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{CO}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{LiH}_2\text{SrTa}_2\text{O}_7 + \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 \\
\text{Ba}_4\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_9 + 3\text{CO}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{BaSb}_2\text{O}_6 + 3\text{BaCO}_3 \\
\text{Li}_8\text{SiO}_6 + \text{CO}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_4\text{SiO}_4 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{Li}_2\text{SiO}_3 + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3
\end{align*}
\]

CO2 capture cyclic process for some porous metal oxides (MO) and metal carbonates (MCO3)

Fig. 20 shows that the theoretical adsorption capacities of CO2 chemisorption for very well studied alkaline and alkaline-earth ceramics. Among most of these materials Li2O, MgO and CaO showed greater performance. However, Li2O and MgO are not considered as potential candidates for CO2 capture due to their reactivity and kinetics factors. In contrast, CaO is considered a promising alkaline earth-based material, along with viable commercial applications. In addition to metal oxides, lithium, or sodium phase ceramics also showed high thermal stabilities and volume changes than CaO. In Li2SiO4 (+) and Li3SiO6 (*), the highest adsorption has been relayed on the CO2 moles captured in each different phase as represented in eqn (10). Besides, sodium phase ceramics offered the benefit of CO2 adsorption in the presence of steam. Further down these materials generate NaHCO3 as the carbonated phase, showing twofold capacity in contrast to that of Na2CO3 under dry conditions. \[ ^{216} \]

3. Conclusion

As there is a continuous day-to-day increase of anthropogenic CO2 in the atmosphere, due to power plants, chemical processing, overuse of fossil fuels, and deforestation, it is vital to engage in an on-going effort to reduce the consequence of global greenhouse emissions causing climate change by establishing an effective approach for capturing CO2. Adopting porous materials for adsorption is a promising strategy, lowering the energy necessary for regeneration related to the commercially implemented liquid amines absorption approach for post-combustion carbon uptake. In this regard, a wide range of porous adsorbents was discussed along with their modifications. MOFs are very well-arranged microcrystalline materials with a high surface area, easy to control pore sizes, and their improvement in CO2 selectivity is much desirable for CO2 capture. While the capacity of CO2 capture and CO2/N2 selectivity of acknowledged MOFs are not capable at low pressure. Additionally, notable problems were encountered on MOFs leading to questions on their long-term structural stability, high cost of even the most basic organic linkers, challenges in robust formulations of MOF, etc. Under ambient conditions, M-MOF-
74 worked well in terms of CO₂ uptake, which is mainly attributed to its Lewis acidic sites. While zeolites show promising results not far behind MOFs at low pressure shown by 13X variants and zeolites in sodium form. Silica and clays have fast adsorption kinetics, and a high capacity of CO₂ capture under mild conditions but their present phase of advancement has limited applications. These adsorbents endure easy moisture adsorption, intense energy utilization while CO₂ desorption (poor economic viability), and expensive pre-treatment rises the regeneration cost. Besides ZIFs show greater selectivity than MOFs for CO₂ from other relevant flue gases. Carbon-based materials offer advantages of high stability, cheap raw materials, rapid carbonation kinetics, low desorption temperature, but possess relatively low adsorption capacities. The superior adsorption capacity was observed in activated porous carbon monoliths (ACMs) at ambient pressure. Likewise, CTF, COFs gained enormous research interest due to their high adsorption capacity. POPs give improvements in selectivity and enhanced CO₂ uptake. Though they are limited by their high reliance on their preparation requirements, making them complex and expensive in relation to the above-mentioned adsorbents. Also, MOs exhibited especially higher uptake capabilities but necessitate high-temperature regeneration procedures. Some of the satisfactory minimum requirements for designing a solid adsorbent for CO₂ capture are working capacity of at least 2 mmol g⁻¹, >100 CO₂ selectivity, water stability, and cost less than USD 10 per kg of an adsorbent are desirable.

There are two types of fundamental adsorption phenomena involved during CO₂ capture. For pristine porous materials, by physisorption due to van der Waals interaction between the adsorbent and carbon dioxide molecules, including through polar–ion and polar–polar interactions among the quadruple of CO₂ and the ionic and polar sites of the sorbent surface. At the same time, amine-modified adsorbents involve chemical reactions. Thus, it is vital to understand how the nature of amine affects the CO₂ uptake and the rate of adsorption. The zwitterion mechanism is frequently used to describe the effect of CO₂ and primary/secondary amines. Though, a deeper understanding of the fundamentals of CO₂ adsorption on porous material surface may lead to the creation of far more effective CO₂ adsorbents.

So far, the materials produced for selective capture of CO₂ are usable, they do need substantial changes before they can be considered practical. Some fundamental issues such as physiochemical stability, performance in the presence of moisture, stability towards impurities, gas diffusion rates, reversibility and regeneration, affordability to improve economics and practical usage for porous adsorbents need to be addressed for effectively capturing CO₂ when competing in a state-of-the-art scrubbing process. Cost and scalability will be the most significant factors from the industrial viewpoint. Any expensive material or precursors in sorbent or the processing technique is undesirable. Cost is often the explanation for promising new materials remaining in the developmental stage. Materials like MOFs and POPs could hardly be expected to compete with commercial amines in terms of cost, while they are very much regarded for their high CO₂ adsorption capabilities. Zeolites, silica, clay, and porous carbons have potential cost-efficiency, but further developments in research and design must be made to demonstrate their full potential in sustainable CO₂ capture in the near future. Furthermore, to meet some of these challenges, the CO₂ adsorption can be enhanced by implementing modification approaches such as optimization of textural properties, amine functionalization, and CO₂philic heteroatom doping (like N, S).
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