INTRODUCTION

Choroideremia (CHM, OMIM: 303100) is an X-linked recessive eye disease characterized by slow degeneration of the choroid, photoreceptors and retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE). Its prevalence is estimated at 1 in 50,000 people of European descent. Affected males develop night blindness in their teenage years, which is followed by gradual loss of peripheral vision and later of central visual acuity, resulting in a significant vision loss at middle age (Coussa, Kim, &...
Traboulsi, 2012; Di Iorio, Esposito, et al., 2019; Mitsios, Dubis, & Moosajee, 2018). Carrier females are generally asymptomatic, but funduscopic examination often shows areas of chorioretinal atrophy that represent clonal areas of the disease (Jauregui et al., 2019; Murro et al., 2017). Currently, there are no approved treatments available for patients affected by CHM. However, due to the relatively low progression, various therapeutic options including gene therapy, pharmacological compounds, non-viral gene delivery, and mutation-specific treatments are under study (Garanto, van der Velde-Visser, Cremers, & Collin, 2018; Trapani & Auricchio, 2019).

CHM is caused by pathogenic sequence variants in the CHM gene (MIM: 300390), on chromosome Xq21.2 (Dimopoulos, Radziwon, St Laurent, & MacDonald, 2017), which has 15 exons and encodes the ubiquitously expressed Rab Escort Protein 1 (REP1). REP1, like the closely related REP2 (MIM: 118825), is an essential component of the catalytic Rab geranyl-geranyl transferase II complex (Andres et al., 1993; Cremers, Armstrong, Seabra, Brown, & Goldstein, 1994) that catalyzes prenylation of the ras-related Rab GTPases, crucially involved in the regulation of intracellular membrane traffic (Ali & Seabra, 2005).

More than 330 unique CHM pathogenic variants, which realistically result in dysfunctional or absent REP1, are reported in public databases [Leiden Open Variant Database (LOVD), https://databases.lovd.nl/shared/genes/CHM; updated July 12, 2019; Human gene mutation database, HGMD®]. Mainly, CHM-causing variants are nonsense, splicing and frameshift base pair changes that often introduce a premature termination codon (PTC) in the mRNA; very rare are the missense variants, which presumably lead to low or no proteins expression. About 20% of CHM patients has chromosomal deletions of variable size that remove single/multiple exons or the whole CHM gene and various flanking genes (Esposito et al., 2011; Sanchez-Alcuña et al., 2016; Simunovic et al., 2016). Only in a few cases, large duplications, insertion of Alu or L1 repeated elements, or variants within the CHM promoter have been described, as well as an intronic variant that activates a cryptic exon (Andres et al., 1993; van den Hurk et al., 2003; Radziwon et al., 2017; Vaché et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2017).

The molecular methodologies currently used to diagnose genetic diseases are mainly based on Sanger or next-generation sequencing of genomic DNA. A plethora of widely validated and frequently upgraded bioinformatic tools are freely available to assess pathogenicity of sequence variants. These programs are often able to predict whether sequence changes, also occurring in coding region, may cause splicing alteration. However, mRNA analysis and/or functional studies are very rarely performed and the actual consequence of the bulk of putative exonic splicing mutations on gene expression remains unverified in the most diverse genes (Di Iorio, Orrico, et al., 2019; Esposito et al., 2017). Identification of natural sequence variants that affect splicing through unusual molecular mechanisms of pre-mRNA processing regulation increases our knowledge on this topic and provides useful information to improve accuracy of currently available methods that predict the pathogenicity of genomic variants. Furthermore, elucidation of gene-specific mechanisms that control splicing is crucial especially considering that aberrant splicing correction is a novel promising therapeutic option to treat genetic diseases, including CHM (Garanto et al., 2018).

Herein we report the results of the molecular analysis performed in a male patient affected by choroideremia and their relationship with disease severity. We also examined in silico the molecular mechanisms that realistically explain how a typical frameshift variant in the CHM coding region causes an unexpected exon skipping that restores the mRNA reading frame.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Ethical compliance

Informed consent was signed by the patients who approved to undergo the molecular analysis, which was performed for diagnostic purposes according to the guidelines for genetic tests approved by the Italian Ministry of Health. By signing the informed consent, patients also agreed to the use of their clinical and molecular data for research scope and scientific publications, in anonymous form. Analysis was carried out in accordance with the Institutional Guidelines and with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Patient description and clinical examination

Proband was a 50-year-old man who was diagnosed with CHM. His family history was notable for poor vision in a maternal uncle, deceased for stroke at the age of 57 years, thereby supporting the X-linked inheritance pattern. Patient’s medical history was marked by nyctalopia and poor peripheral vision since childhood; he was affected by type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease that was treated with angioplasty. Optical coherence tomography (OCT), infrared confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy and fundus autofluorescence were performed with the SPECTRALIS® Multimodal Imaging platform (Heidelberg Engineering, Franklin, MA 02038, USA). Fundus autofluorescence imaging was obtained with infrared and blue light autofluorescence. The
vessels of the choroid were observed by fluorescein (FA) and indocyanine green (ICGA) angiography.

2.3 | Molecular analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes with the Nucleon™ BACC2 kit (GE Healthcare Italia, Milan, Italy). CHM exons (NG_009874.2; NM_000390.4) with their flanking regions were amplified by PCR, as reported elsewhere (Esposito et al., 2011). Total RNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes using the QIAamp RNA blood mini kit (Italy-QIAGEN S.p.A., Milan, Italy). Five hundred nanograms of total RNA were reverse transcribed and subsequently amplified in three partially overlapping fragments (CHM1, exons 1–6; CHM2 exons 5–12; CHM3 exons 11–15), using the conditions provided with the one-step RT-PCR system (Invitrogen Italy s.r.l.) and primers (10 μM each) previously described (Beaufreere et al., 1997; Esposito et al., 2011).

2.4 | In silico study

Pathogenicity of the c.1335dup variant was assessed by MutationTaster (Schwarz, Cooper, Schuelke, & Seelow, 2014). Relevant splicing sequences in normal and mutant DNA sequence were analyzed using the webservers Human splicing finder v.3.1 (http://www.umd.be/HSF/, accessed May 2019) (Desmet et al., 2009) and ESEfinder v.3.0 (http://krainer01.cshl.edu/cgi-bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home, accessed on May 2019) (Cartegni, Wang, Zhu, Zhang, & Krainer, 2003). Protein and nucleotide sequence alignment of the CHM gene and of the CHML gene (MIM: 118825; NM_001821.3) were performed by BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). In silico analysis to investigate influence of the c.1335dup variant on pre-mRNA secondary structure was performed using the default modeling parameters of the webservers mFold (http://mfold.rit.albany.edu/?q=mfold, accessed on May 2019) (Zuker, 2003) and RNAfold (http://rna.biu.ac/ cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/ RNAfold.cgi, accessed on May 2019) (Gruber, Bernhart, & Lorenz, 2015), which are both able to model secondary structures of single stranded RNA or DNA sequences.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Clinical findings and molecular study

Although proband experienced nystagmus and poor peripheral vision since childhood, he acknowledged the risk to be affected by CHM about 3 years earlier, when he knew that a maternal uncle had the disease. Indeed, despite the proband being 47 years old, his visual acuity was normal in both eyes (RE = 10/10; LE = 10/10) without correction. However, when he performed fundus examination, the revealed typical areas of atrophy in the middle and extreme periphery of the RPE were consistent with CHM (Coussa et al., 2012; Di Iorio, Esposito, et al., 2019). Indeed, infrared confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy and fundus autofluorescence showed preserved foveal profile and crescent-shaped area of preservation in the macular region, within a framework of CHM due to a significant atrophy of the choroid (Coussa et al., 2012; Di Iorio, Esposito, et al., 2019) (Figure 1a–d). FA (Figure 1e,f) and ICGA (Figure 1g,h) showed prominent choroidal vasculature with partial choriocapillaris sparing in macula region. Also OCT angiography revealed relatively preserved deep retinal vasculature and residual flow in the choriocapillaris, which roughly corresponded to the autofluorescence areas seen in Figure 1c,d.

According to an in house validated diagnostic algorithm (Esposito et al., 2011), we started the molecular diagnosis of CHM in the proband by analyzing the CHM mRNA. The CHM gene is expressed in all human tissues; therefore, if a fresh blood sample is available, preliminary mRNA analysis is warranted, especially in male patients. Indeed, in a shorter time than DNA analysis, mRNA analysis may identify exonic variants, exon deletions/duplications and splicing alterations (Furgoch, Mewes-Arès, Radziwon, & Macdonald, 2014). Hence, in our proband, we amplified the CHM cDNA in three overlapping fragments, by one-step RT-PCR (Esposito et al., 2011). The amplification product spanning exons from 6 to 12 had a lower molecular mass than the corresponding normal fragment (Figure 2a) and was, therefore, analyzed by Sanger sequencing. Despite sequence quality was quite poor, we were able to clearly reveal that the cDNA fragment, consistently to its abnormal size, lacked the whole sequence encoding exon 11; in addition, we detected the duplication of adenine at position c.1335, located 15 nucleotides before the end of exon 10 (Figure 2b).

Therefore, we amplified and sequenced genomic regions corresponding to CHM exons 10, 11 and 12 and confirmed the presence of the c.1335dup variant in exon 10 (Figure 2c), whereas no sequence variants were identified in exons 11 and 12. Moreover, we sequenced intron 10 without detecting any variant. Notably, the c.1335dup segregated with the disease in the family because the proband’s female cousin was heterozygous of this variant (Figure 2d).

The NM_000390:c.1335dup was reported in the literature as a pathogenic frameshift variant, without any data concerning its consequences on the mRNA structure (Simunovic et al., 2016). According to our usual procedure, we interrogated the bioinformatic tool MutationTaster that predicted this variant was pathogenic as consequence of a reading frame shift (p.Arg446 Thrfs*), but it also raised the possibility of a splicing
defect. Indeed, in our patient, this single nucleotide insertion was associated with the skipping of exon 11, which is 64 nucleotide long. As subtraction of 64 nucleotides and insertion of 1 nucleotide deprive the aberrant mRNA of a total of 63 nucleotides, we argued that exon 11 skipping restored the reading frame loss due to the c.1335dup. We, therefore, evaluated the putative effect of this complex mRNA aberration on REP1 structure using an on-line tool that was able to translate in silico a nucleotide sequence into a protein (https://web.expasy.org/translate/). The predicted mutated REP1 was shorter than the normal because it lacked the 21 amino acids encoded by exon 11, as revealed by protein BLAST alignment; moreover, the transient reading frame shift occurred immediately downstream the duplication site changed the four amino acids encoded by the last 15 (+1 dup) nucleotides of exon 10 (see Figure S1A). Despite the predicted huge modification in the REP1 structure, at 50 years of

**FIGURE 1** Retinal imaging of the proband. Heidelberg fundus autofluorescence (AF) imaging shows, in both the eyes, significant atrophy of the choroid sparing a wide residual central area of AF preserving macula, with either infrared (a and b) and blue light (c and d) AF. Fluorescein angiography (e and f) and indocyanine green angiography (g and h) reveal prominent choroidal vasculature and residual choriocapillaris corresponding to the areas of the “AF islands” seen in C and D. SD-OCT imaging of the right (i) and left (l) eye shows residual choriocapillaris, ellipsoid zone band and RPE. OD, right eye; OS, left eye
The patient still had normal visual acuity without correction, in agreement with the conserved foveal profile and with the wide area of preservation in macular region (Figure 1).

### 3.2 | In silico analysis

In introns of eukaryotic genes, consensus sequences at 5′-(donor) and 3′-splice site (acceptor) are crucial in exon definition, representing the binding sites of U1 snRNP and of the heterodimeric U2 snRNP auxiliary factor U2AF65/35, respectively, which are required for initiating spliceosome assembly (Daguenet, Dujardin, & Valcárcel, 2015; Matera & Wang, 2014). However, to recruit a functional spliceosome, these sites generally require auxiliary cis-elements known as exonic and intronic splicing enhancers (ESEs and ISEs, respectively) and exonic and intronic splicing silencers (ESSs and ISSs, respectively), which bind splicing regulatory proteins that act as activators in constitutive splicing and/or modulate alternative splicing, respectively.

**Figure 2** The c.1335dup variant in *CHM* exon 10 is associated with exon 11 skipping. (a–d) Molecular analysis of the *CHM* gene in the proband. (a) Agarose gel electrophoresis of cDNA fragments CHM-1 (left) and CHM-2 (right), obtained by RT-PCR in the proband (P) and in normal males (N). The CHM-2 fragment is smaller in the proband than in the controls. (b) Sequence electropherogram of the CHM-2 cDNA fragment reveals the c.1335dup and the skipping of exon 11, in the proband. Electropherograms of the genomic sequence of exon 10, (c) in the proband and (d) in his carrier female cousin. Both the electropherograms represent sequences of the reverse strand. (e) Predicted consequences of c.1335dup on the binding of auxiliary splicing factors to RNA. Screenshots of the ESEfinder 3.0 analysis (http://krainer01.cshl.edu/cgi-bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=home) performed for the normal (left) and mutant (right) sequences of *CHM* exon 10. The binding sites of SRSF1 and SRSF6 (red/purple and blue bars, respectively) are lost in the mutant sequence (black arrow in the right panel).
Point mutations involving the consensus sequences and auxiliary cis-elements that regulate splicing can cause improper recognition of exon/intron borders and result in the formation of aberrant transcripts (Anna & Monika, 2018); nevertheless, also RNA secondary structure has a relevant influence on the pre-mRNA splicing process (Warf & Berglund, 2010).

Therefore, we performed in silico analyses to localize, within the CHM sequence, splicing regulatory motifs that could be involved in the mRNA rearrangement detected in our patient, using several freely available bioinformatic tools.

In particular, we analyzed a 1080 nucleotides long sequence stretch spanning intron 9, exon 10 (from nt 214 to 318, in this sequence), intron 10, exon 11 (from nt 693 to 756) and 323 nucleotides of intron 11, in the normal CHM (Figure S1B). In the mutated sequence, the single nucleotide duplication c.1335dup (localized at nt 304 of 1080) extends exon 10 to nucleotide 319 and shifts the position of exon 11 (from nt 694 to 757).

Human splicing finder analysis predicted that the c.1335dup variant activated a new exonic cryptic donor splice site and a new ESE; moreover, it disrupted 2 putative ESEs, representing binding sites for the serine/arginine-rich RNA splicing factors SF2/ASF, aka SRSF1 (consensus sequence CTCACGT), and SRSF6, aka SRp55 (consensus sequence CACGTG), also according to the ESE finder tool results (Figure 2e). All the predicted alterations should be expected to affect splicing of exon 10, because the c.1335dup is located within this exon. However, in the patient’s mRNA, splicing of exon 10 occurred correctly.

Thus, we considered the possibility that the loss of exon 11 identity could also depend on improper use of its canonical splicing sites, as consequence of pre-mRNA structural alteration. In order to investigate in silico the impact of the c.1335dup on pre-mRNA secondary structure, we submitted to the RNAfold and mFold web servers the 1080 nucleotide-long sequence that covers the splice sites flanking exon 10 and exon 11 of the pre-mRNA. Table 1 reports precise positions of the canonical splice sites within the normal and mutant sequence stretch. RNAfold and mFold servers use algorithms estimating the minimum free energy (MFE) structure of a RNA molecule. MFE represents a thermodynamic energy measurement based on intramolecular aromatic stacking and hydrogen bond interactions (Gruber et al., 2015). A low MFE value is representative of a very stable structure (Wu & Maniatis, 1993). For a given fragment, the nucleotide sequence alone determines the MFE computation.

Secondary structures predicted by both the servers showed that the mutation causes huge modification in the overall pre-mRNA structure of the analyzed sequence (Figure S2). Thus, we focused our attention on the structural features of the consensus splice sites flanking exon 10 and exon 11, in the normal and mutant sequence.

In particular, both RNAfold- and mFold-predicted secondary structures of the acceptor (Figure S3) and donor (Figure S4) splice sites immediately adjacent to exon 10 were similar in the normal and mutant sequence. In contrast, the splice sites flanking exon 11 showed slight differences in the donor site only comparing normal and mutant structures generated by RNAfold (Figure 3a–d), whereas both the programs predicted significant structural differences for the acceptor AG site before exon 11 (Figure 3e–h). Moreover, focusing on the polypurimidine stretch within the acceptor consensus sequence, the polyU tract that likely represents the binding site of U2AF65 is closed in a stable double-strand in the mutated sequence, whereas it has a more opened and potentially accessible hairpin structure in the normal sequence (Figure 3e–h). Notably, binding of U2AF65/35 to the acceptor consensus sequences within a pre-mRNA is also assisted by the auxiliary protein SRSF1 (Long & Caceres, 2009; Wu & Maniatis, 1993).

| Motif Type       | Motif Name              | Normal nt position | Mutant nt position | Length | Sequence |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|
| Human splicing   | acceptor (Intron 9)     | 212 ~ 213          | 212 ~ 213          | 2      | ag       |
|                  | donor (Intron 10)       | 319 ~ 320          | 320 ~ 321          | 2      | gt       |
|                  | acceptor (Intron 10)    | 691 ~ 692          | 692 ~ 693          | 2      | ag       |
|                  | donor (Intron 11)       | 757 ~ 758          | 758 ~ 759          | 2      | gt       |

The c.1335dup occurs at nt 303 of the sequence and shifts the mutated sequence of one nucleotide. The GenBank reference sequences of CHM are NG_009874.2; NM_000390.4.

4 | DISCUSSION

Splicing defects have a predominant role in the molecular pathogenesis of genetic diseases. However, while the basic principle of splicing is well understood, it is still very
difficult to predict splicing outcome. Therefore, identification of disease-associated DNA variants that affect splicing contributes to give new insights into molecular mechanisms of splicing regulation and to study novel strategies for splicing correction in human diseases, including CHM.

Numerous data indicate that, in human diseases, pathogenic sequence variants also distant from the canonical splice sites can affect, at RNA level, auxiliary splicing regulatory elements and interfere with exon recognition, thereby leading to exon skipping, or activate cryptic splice sites, resulting in partial exon deletion or in intron inclusion (Anna & Monika, 2018; Chabot & Shkreta, 2016). Moreover, correct splicing is finely regulated by other determinants, including pre-RNA structure and availability of specific splicing factors (Liu et al., 2010; Yadegari et al., 2016).

The CHM aberrant transcript expressed in the leukocytes of our patient had a single-nucleotide duplication localized 15 nucleotides upstream the end of exon 10 and was unexpectedly associated with the skipping of exon 11. In agreement with the Human Genome Variation Society recommendations for mutation nomenclature (den Dunnen et al., 2016), this complex mRNA sequence is described as CHM:c.[1335dup;1350_1413del]. No other mutation in the gene was pathogenic as consequence of possible frameshift, non-sense mediated mRNA decay (NMD), amino acid sequence change, protein feature alteration, splice site change. Frameshift and splicing sequence variants are common cause of REP1 impaired expression in choroideremia patients (Dimopoulos et al., 2011; Furgoch et al., 2014). Splicing mutations that affect canonical acceptor or donor dinucleotides often result in the skipping of the proximal exon in the mRNA, which ultimately causes frameshift with PTC or produces shorter misfunctional/unstable peptides. Interestingly, a synonymous CHM sequence variant (c.1359C>T; p.Ser453=) in exon 11 has been recently associated with exon 11 skipping (Sengillo et al., 2018), an mRNA alteration leading to a PTC (Esposito et al., 2011).

In the landscape of the CHM pathogenic variants, our finding that the frameshift c.1335dup is also a splicing variant associated with exon 11 skipping is very interesting. Indeed, coexistence of these two frameshift alterations restores the reading frame in the CHM aberrant transcript, thereby leading to the synthesis of a short protein with preserved carboxy-terminal end. Previous studies on REP1 structure indicated that C-terminus is essential for the maintenance of REP1 solubility and for membrane attachment (Rak, Pylypenko, Niculău, Goody, & Alexandrov, 2003). The evidence that the bulk of CHM pathogenic mutations causes loss of REP1 C-terminus further indicates that this protein domain is essential for the normal functioning of REP1 in vivo (Alory & Balch, 2001). On this basis, we speculate that the putative aberrant REP1 expressed in our patient, by retaining a residual functionality, may have contributed to preserve the patient’s central vision at least up to the age of 50 years. Therefore, we can reasonably consider that the abovementioned reading frame repair ultimately transforms a potential null allele into a hypomorphic disease-allele, which mitigates the phenotype severity in our patient.

It is usually considered that disease-associated coding variants affecting splicing regulatory elements interfere with exon boundary identification and lead to exon skipping (Anna & Monika, 2018). Notably, the CHM exon 10 sequence, including the c.1335dup site, is preserved in the patient’s mRNA. This implies that the c.1335dup variant does not impact accuracy of exon 10 splicing, despite bioinformatic analysis predicted it causes loss of ESEs in this exon. In contrast, the 64 nucleotides that form exon 11 are lost. In this regard, the genomic arrangement of the CHM gene supports an intriguing perspective. In fact, length of the 14 CHM introns ranges from 45681 to 374 nucleotides. Introns 9, 10, and 11, which flank the exons involved in the mRNA rearrangement, are 10072, 374 and 6361 nucleotide long, respectively. Intron 10, which is interposed between exons 10 and 11, is the shortest CHM intron; it is, therefore, conceivable that the putative ESEs disrupted in exon 10 by the c.1335dup are auxiliary for the correct splicing of the closely adjacent exon 11, rather
than of exon 10 itself (Figure 4). Indeed, these ESEs are putative RNA-binding domain of the serine/arginine-rich RNA splicing factor 1, SRSF1, which usually promotes exon inclusion, and of SRSF6, which is involved in alternative splicing regulation (Long & Caceres, 2009). It should be also noted that the canonical donor splice sequences (ACAGguaa) at the end of exons 10 and 11, respectively, are identical; it is, therefore, plausible they are both able to function as donors for the intron 11 acceptor site.

Interestingly, the CHML gene transcript (MIM: 118825), which encodes the REP2 isoform, shares 79.04% of sequence identity with CHM; in agreement, REP2 amino acid sequence has 72.38% of identity with that of REP1. Indeed, in CHM tissues other than eye, REP2 compensates for the REP1 deficiency. Notably, 445SerArgVal447 of REP1 aligns to 447SerAspVal449 of REP2; these amino acid stretches are encoded by the nucleotide sequences 5′–TCACGTGTG–3′ and 5′–TCAAATGTG–3′ of CHM and CHML, respectively, but only the first includes the putative binding sites (CACGTG) for the SRSF1/6 auxiliary factors. Because CHML is an intron-less gene, we speculate that such a strong element of splicing regulation is spared because it would not only be useless but could even be detrimental for the expression of this essential gene.

As sequence of the genomic regions corresponding to intron 10, exon 11 and exon 12 was normal in our patient, we also explored the possibility that the c.1335dup sequence variant influenced pre-mRNA splicing by other mechanisms. In particular, we considered the possible role of pre-mRNA structure in this aberrant mechanism of splicing.

Indeed, secondary structure of pre-mRNA molecules can have enhancing or inhibitory effects on splicing (Hamasaki-Katagiri et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2010). Negative examples of splicing include local structures around splice sites that decrease splicing efficiency and potentially cause mis-splicing. Consistently, transcripts of disease genes are significantly more structured around the splice sites and point mutations that affect the local structure often disrupt splicing (Soemedi et al., 2017).

According to the data obtained in silico by RNAfold and mFold analysis, the c.1335dup significantly alters the overall structure of the submitted pre-mRNA sequence. Very interestingly, the mutation does not alter significantly secondary structure of the canonical splice sites flanking exon 10 and consequently it does not affect their accessibility to specific or auxiliary splicing factors, in agreement to the correct splicing of this exon in the patient’s mRNA. In contrast, the analysis evidences changes in the secondary
structure of the splicing site upstream exon 11 that can be compatible with the skipping of this exon. Indeed, in the mutant sequence predicted by mRnafold, the AG dinucleotide and the upstream polyU stretch are embedded in structured and stable double-strands, differently than the normal one; in addition, mFold creates a mutated structure that, while showing a more opened structure at the canonical AG in the mutant compared to the normal pre-mRNA, features a high stable double-stranded structure for the polyU tract upstream the 3’-end of intron 10. According to the model that increase of structure is a mechanism of splicing disruption, this stable structure sequesters the intronic polyU residues putatively involved in the interactions with U2SF65/35, which has a crucial role in exon identity definition.

In summary, the unusual splicing defect identified in the CHM mRNA of our patient with the c.1335dup may have occurred as result of the disruption of the ESEs that recruit SFSR1/SRSF6 and, despite they are located in exon 10, enhance splicing of the near exon 11, which shares the 3′ splicing sequences with exon 10 (Figure 4). Notably, these ESEs are absent in the homologous CHML gene, which shares about 80% of sequence identity with CHM, likely because they are detrimental for an intron-less gene. Exon 11 skipping can be fostered by the putative mutation-induced pre-mRNA structure alteration that masks relevant splicing sequences, thereby contributing to the exon 11 identity loss.

Our molecular findings emphasize the importance of mRNA analysis in determining the actual impact of CHM sequence variants on pre-mRNA processing. Therefore, also based on our previous indication that a more severe phenotype would appear in patients with absent CHM transcription (Di Iorio, Esposito, et al., 2019), systematic application of mRNA analysis to all CHM patients will be warranted, to explore further possible genotype–phenotype correlations (Fry et al., 2019).

In conclusion, our analysis focuses on a disease-mutation mechanism that provides intriguing insights into splicing regulatory mechanisms and in the relationship between genotype and phenotype, in CHM. Indeed, evidences that relevant RNA sequences and structures could be similarly targeted to modify the outcome of splicing events increase the possible applications to the use of small molecules that rationally target RNA and open new avenues for drug discovery in splicing-mediated disorders (Garcia-Lopez et al., 2018; Singh & Singh, 2018).
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