Correlation Analysis Between Soccer Game World Ranking and Player League Distribution
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Abstract Nowadays professional soccer player trading between clubs/leagues is very common. Soccer players could be employed in foreign clubs/leagues, but when international competitive events come, the elite players are recruited to compose a national team. One can expect that the higher ranking of a national team, the more players are employed by the top soccer leagues. However, the relationship between the two issues has never been studied early. In the study, seven national soccer teams were selected from a list of the world top 35 soccer teams of year 2010 [created by the Federation of International Football Association (FIFA)]. The 7 teams are Spain, England, Argentina, Germany, USA, Ghana and Sweden, across the full range of the list, thus, representing different levels of the world. On basis of the position of the 7 teams in the world ranking, an order ranking for the 7 teams was created. To identify player distribution of the 7 teams in different quality/level of soccer leagues, a league ranking including the top 25 soccer leagues in the world created by the International Federation of Football History and Statistics (IFFHS) was used. For each of the 7 teams, its player distribution in different soccer leagues was classified. On basis of the league ranking and the number of player(s) of each team in different leagues, calculated team point was calculated for each team. According to the amount of the calculated point, a calculated team ranking was obtained. Correlation analysis was performed between the two rankings: the order ranking and the calculated team ranking. The results showed a high and positive correlation between the two variables. We concluded that the more players are employed in higher ranking leagues the higher world ranking of a national team.
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1. Introduction

Soccer game, the most popular sport in the world, has a well-developed system, clubs, leagues and international organizations such as FIFA [1-3]. With the system, professional soccer players could joint different clubs/leagues [4], and when international competitive events come, the top elite players could be recruited to join different national teams. The system guarantees the free trading of professional soccer players among different clubs/leagues, therefore the players have more chances to learn different techniques and tactics, and eventually benefit soccer game development [5].

Apparently, soccer player’s level is critical in his employment by different ranking leagues; in general, the higher level of a player the higher possibility that the player could be recruited by a higher ranking soccer league and vice versa. The major criteria in evaluating the level of a soccer player are the performance of the player in national and international competitions [2]. Similarly, an indicator of the quality or ranking of a soccer league is its teams’ performance in competitive matches against other leagues [6]. Following the criteria, the International Federation of Football History and Statistics (IFFHS) evaluates regularly all soccer leagues in the world through a soccer league ranking system [7]. In 2010, IFFHS announced a league ranking which included 25 top leagues in the world. Similarly [8], the Federation of International Football Association (FIFA) evaluates the quality of all national soccer teams and announce regularly a world ranking, which included 35 national soccer teams in the version of 2010 [9].

In the 2010 world ranking and league ranking, most teams and leagues were from Europe, whereas very few were from Africa and Asia. Thus, it seems that the world ranking follows the same trend as the league ranking. However, because international trade of soccer player is very common and more elite players from Africa and Asia are employed by European leagues, the real relationship between world ranking and player distribution among different ranking leagues is still unclear.

In the present study, we selected 7 national teams from the year 2010 world ranking list. The 7 teams are Spain, England, Argentina, Germany, USA, Ghana and Sweden. The 7 teams cover the full range of the world ranking list, thus representing different levels in soccer game. The soccer players of each individual team were classified into different leagues. By calculating the average point of each team on
basis of player distribution in different ranking leagues, and then analyzing the correlation between world ranking and the calculated team ranking of the 7 teams, the present study aimed to reveal the relationship between world ranking and player league distribution. We wish the results could provide some useful knowledge in soccer system development.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. World ranking and world ranking-based order ranking

Information of world ranking was obtained from FIFA (year 2010; Table 1). According to FIFA’s world ranking criteria, the total point of each individual team is calculated mainly based on performance of the team in international competitions in the past four years. The world ranking was created on basis of the total point of each team obtained, i.e. a team with the highest point will be placed on the top whereas a team with the lowest point was listed at the bottom of the ranking. On basis of the FIFA world ranking, an order ranking of the 7 teams included in the study will be created.

2.2. League ranking and player league distribution-based team point calculation and calculated team ranking

In order to analyze the correlation between world ranking and player league distribution, we first of all have to evaluate the level of all soccer leagues in the world. Among all available authorized international soccer organizations, IFFHS was the only one providing league ranking information. In the ranking, top 25 soccer leagues over the world were included (year 2010; Table 2). The position of each league in the league ranking was decided by the total point gained by the top five clubs of the league in national and international competitions in the past year. The players in each of the 7 teams will be classified into different soccer leagues. For quantitative analysis, the 1st league in the league ranking will be given 25 points and the following leagues will be given one point less in descent order till the last league in the list with 1 point. The leagues outside the list will be given 0 point. For each individual team, the number of players in each league will be multiplied by the league ranking point. The player league distribution-based points were then summed up as team point. On basis of the amount of team point, a team ranking will be created.

| Country   | Ranking |
|-----------|---------|
| Spain     | 1       |
| Netherlands| 2       |
| Brazil    | 3       |
| Germany   | 4       |
| Argentina | 5       |
| Uruguay   | 6       |
| England   | 7       |
| Portugal  | 8       |
| Egypt     | 9       |
| Chile     | 10      |
| Italy     | 11      |
| Greece    | 12      |
| Serbia    | 13      |
| Croatia   | 14      |
| Paraguay  | 15      |
| Russia    | 16      |
| Swiss     | 17      |
| USA       | 18      |
| Slovenia  | 19      |
| Australia | 20      |
| France    | 21      |
| Norway    | 22      |
| Ghana     | 23      |
| Ukraine   | 24      |
| Mexico    | 25      |
| Ivory Coast| 26     |
| Slovakia  | 27      |
| Turkey    | 28      |
| Denmark   | 29      |
| Nigeria   | 30      |
| Czech     | 31      |
| Japan     | 32      |
| Algeria   | 33      |
| Gabon     | 34      |
| Sweden    | 35      |

In Table 1, FIFA world ranking of year 2010 includes country, ranking, etc. For quantitative analysis, the team point will be calculated based on the league ranking points. For example, Spain was ranked 1 in FIFA world ranking, so the 1st league in league ranking will be given 25 points, and the following leagues will be given one point less in descent order till the last league in the list with 1 point. The leagues outside the list will be given 0 point. For each individual team, the number of players in each league will be multiplied by the league ranking point. The player league distribution-based points were then summed up as team point. On basis of the amount of team point, a team ranking will be created.

| League | Ranking |
|--------|---------|
| Spain  | 1       |
| England | 2       |
| Italy  | 3       |
| Brazil | 4       |
| Germany| 5       |
| France | 6       |
| Argentina | 7     |
| Portugal| 8       |
| Netherlands | 9   |
| Ukraine | 10      |
| Belgium | 11      |
| Mexico  | 12      |
| Ecuador | 13      |
| Russia  | 14      |
| Greek   | 15      |
| Peru    | 16      |
| Denmark | 17      |
| Paraguay| 18      |
| Turkey  | 19      |
| Chile   | 20      |
| Colombia| 21      |
| Switzerland | 22 |
| Egypt   | 23      |
| Scotland| 24      |
| Uruguay | 25      |
| <25     |         |

Information of world ranking was obtained from FIFA (year 2010; Table 1). According to FIFA’s world ranking criteria, the total point of each individual team is calculated mainly based on performance of the team in international competitions in the past four years. The world ranking was created on basis of the total point of each team obtained, i.e. a team with the highest point will be placed on the top whereas a team with the lowest point was listed at the bottom of the ranking. On basis of the FIFA world ranking, an order ranking of the 7 teams included in the study will be created.
2.3. Correlation Analysis

To analyze the correlation between world ranking and player league distribution, statistical analysis of nonparametric analysis (Spearman's; JMP 5.0.1 SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, NC, USA) was performed for the order ranking and the team ranking of the 7 teams. Significant meaning was set at p-value ≤ 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. World ranking-based order ranking

In the world ranking (Table 1), each of the 7 teams has its ranking position. According to the position number, an order ranking of the 7 teams was made as shown in Table 3.

3.2. Player league distribution-based team point calculation

On basis of the IFFHS league ranking 2010, player league distribution-based team point was calculated for each of the 7 teams. The calculation and the results were shown in Table 4. Spain had the highest point of 572 whereas Sweden had the lowest of 300. According to the amount of the point of each team, a calculated team ranking was created as seen in Table 3.

| Team  | World ranking position (Order ranking) | Calculated team point (team ranking) |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Spain | 1 (1)                                 | 572 (1)                              |
| Germany | 4 (2)                        | 483 (4)                              |
| Argentina | 5 (3)                      | 499 (3)                              |
| England | 7 (4)                         | 552 (2)                              |
| USA | 18 (5)                      | 329 (6)                              |
| Ghana | 23 (6)                         | 340 (5)                              |
| Sweden | 35 (7)                      | 300 (5)                              |

| League   | Ranking (Ranking point) | The 7 teams (Number of player in the league Ranking point) |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Spain    | 1 (25)                 | 20 (20 x 25) 3 (3 x 25) 4 (4x24) 7 (7x24) 4 (4x24) 4 (4x24) 1 (1x25) |
| England  | 2 (24)                 | 3 (3x24) 23 (23x24) 4 (4x24) 1 (1x23) 4 (4x23) 2 (2x23) |
| Italy    | 3 (23)                 | 6 (6x23) 1 (1x23) 4 (4x23) 2 (2x23) |
| Brazil   | 4 (22)                 | 6 (6x23) 1 (1x20) 2 (2x19) 2 (2x20) |
| Germany  | 5 (21)                 | 1 (1x21) 23 (23x21) 3 (3x21) 3 (3x21) 1 (1x21) |
| France   | 6 (20)                 | 1 (1x20) 23 (23x21) 3 (3x21) 3 (3x21) 1 (1x21) |
| Argentina | 7 (19)                 | 6 (6x19) 1 (1x20) 2 (2x19) 2 (2x20) |
| Portugal | 8 (18)                 | 1 (1x18) 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 4 (4x17) |
| Netherland | 9 (17)                | 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 4 (4x17) |
| Ukraine  | 10 (16)                | 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 4 (4x17) |
| Belgium  | 11 (15)                | 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 1 (1x17) 4 (4x17) |
| Mexico   | 12 (14)                | 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) |
| Ecuador  | 13 (13)                | 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) |
| Russia   | 14 (12)                | 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) |
| Greek    | 15 (11)                | 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) 2 (2x14) |
Peru 16 (10) 1 (1x9)
Denmark 17 (9) 1 (1x9)
Paraguay 18 (8) 1 (1x7)
Turkey 19 (7) 1 (1x7)
Chile 20 (6) 1 (1x7)
Colombia 21 (5) 1 (1x7)
Switzerland 22 (4) 1 (1x4)
Egypt 23 (3) 1 (1x3)
Scotland 24 (2) 2 (2x2)
Uruguay 25 (1) 2 (2x2)
Others (0) 6 (6x0) 6 (6x0) 7 (7x0)
Calculated point 572 552 499 483 329 340 300

3.3. Correlation Analysis

Result of correlation analysis was shown in Figure 1. Correlation coefficient \( r = 0.8217 \) was rather high and the p value was 0.0234.

![Figure 1. Correlation analysis between the order ranking and the calculated team ranking](image)

4. Discussion

4.1. World ranking and world ranking-based order ranking

Many factors affect the position of a country in soccer world ranking, including the total amount of soccer players, length of soccer history, population sport enthusiast, economy and importantly, the number of elite soccer players employed by high ranking soccer leagues, according to Gelade and Dobson[5].

Spain and England had the best and second soccer leagues in 2010, respectively, and most players in the two teams were employed by their home-leagues. However, England league ranking position did not match its world ranking position (7th). In the Union of European Football Associations (UEFA) Champion 2008 [10], England was not qualified, and in World Cup 2010, the team was out of quarter final [11]. It has been said that the underperformance of England was due to that most of its elite players were not in lineup in their home-league because of large number of higher level foreign players in the league. However, this on the other side, confirms that the higher ranking of a league, the more foreign players join in [2].

Germany won bronze medal in World Cup 2006 and 2010 [12,13] and silver in UEFA Champion 2008 [14], which contributed to its rather high world ranking (4th) and order ranking among the 7 teams (2nd). However, on basis of player league distribution, Germany had the 4th position in the calculated team ranking, lower than England of the 2nd position. The lower calculated team ranking of Germany seems mainly to be due to that most its players were in its 5th ranking league [15], much lower than the England league of the 2nd position.

Soccer game is part of the culture in Argentina [16] and is one of the countries in South America with high population of soccer players [17]. This may contribute largely to its 3rd position in both the world ranking and the calculated team ranking, as well as its 9th position in league ranking.

Swedish had very high interest in soccer game. According to a survey of Sweden Football Association in 2009[18], 47% Swedish were actively interested in soccer game. However, its team player distribution revealed that most of them were employed by low ranking leagues or leagues out of the top 25. The lower ranking league distribution of elite
players might be correlated with Sweden’s low position in both the world ranking and the order ranking among the 7 teams.

In USA, soccer game was not in the focus of interest for a large population [19]. In world ranking, USA was in position 18th and became the 5th in order ranking among the 7 teams. In our calculated team ranking, USA was 6th, right before Sweden. Analysis of player distribution of the two teams revealed that Sweden had more players in leagues out of the top 25 leagues, but less players in high ranking leagues than USA, a result confirmed again that player league distribution is important for world ranking.

Ghana was at 23rd in world ranking and 6th among the 7 teams. The team was in the 8th final in World Cup 2006 and quarter final in UEFA Champion 2010 [12,13]. In Africa Cup of Nations, the team took bronze medal in 2008 and silver medal in 2010 [20,21]. The fact that Ghana exported more players to higher ranking leagues in 2008 [22,23] might contribute to its big advance in World Cup and Africa Cup of Nations 2010. Ghana Football Association was establiished rather late (year 1957) [22] compared with most European countries like Germany (year 1900) [24] and England (year 1863) [25]. According to Gelade and Dobson[5], the length of soccer history in a country significantly affects its current soccer level, because both soccer organization system and rules need time to be developed. We could expect that Ghana would catch up European in soccer game in the near future if more elite players are going to be employed by European leagues.

4.2. League ranking and league ranking-based team ranking

In the study, the IFFHS league ranking was used, despite the critics that the ranking is a one-man show in soccer league ranking [26]. As shown in the ranking (Table 2), Europe has the most leagues in the ranking and all the first 3 leagues were from Europe. This is consistent with previous study that the best soccer players are in Europe [27-29] and that the European leagues such as England, Spain, Italy and Germany are the best in Champions league [2].

Interestingly, the 4 leagues are very similar in soccer techniques and tactics. However, this is not strange considering that many players were from the same clubs or leagues, and meet often in competition. This will of course give the players many chances to learn from each other.

It is easy to notice in Table 4 that all the players from Spain, Argentina, England and Germany were employed by the top 9 leagues. For England and Germany, all their players were in home leagues, which were the 2nd and 4th in the league ranking, respectively. Similarly, Spain had 20 players in home league. For the 3 teams which had many players in home leagues, they were common in having a well-developed training system for young players. The Premier League is well-known for its young soccer players through Premier Academic League (Barclays Premier League). For example, the England West Ham has trained many talented players and they were sent later to high level soccer clubs of Premier League [30]. In Germany, it is even become compulsory for the best leagues to have young player training stations. Similarly, Barcelona FC also has its own young and world-leading soccer player clubs [31].

Even though the calculated team point of Argentina and Germany are very close to each other (Table 3, 483 vs. 499), player distribution of the two teams are completely different. While Germany had all its players in home league of 5th position in league ranking, Argentina had its players spreading among the top 9 leagues (Table 3).

The leagues of both USA and Sweden were out of the top 25 league ranking list. USA had 4 players in home-league and 15 players in the top 10 leagues. However, none of its players was in the 1st ranking league [32]. Similarly, Sweden had 5 players in home-league and 13 players in the top 10 leagues, and none was in the 1st league [22]. The league of Ghana was also out of the top 25 leagues. However, Ghana had the most players among the 7 teams employed by foreign higher ranking leagues. In 2008, there were 20 team members played outside Ghana in much higher ranking leagues, which might directly correlated with the result that Ghana was the best team in Africa during the time [33,34].

4.3. Correlation between world ranking and distributions of players among soccer leagues

Correlation analysis showed a positive and high correlation ($r = 0.8217$) between world ranking and player league distribution (Figure 1). In studying soccer player trading, Solberg [2] noticed similar relationship between world ranking and player distribution among different ranking leagues. In the study the authors observed that the achievements of both France and Norway were closely correlated with the number of abroad players. In the latest two World Cups, Norway had 68 % and 86 % national team players playing in other soccer leagues. The Greek team also showed high correlation between its achievement and player distribution.

An exception of high correlation between world ranking and player league distribution is England. England had rather high league ranking (2nd), but its world ranking was only at position 7th. Therefore, other factors need to be considered to affect its team world ranking. A common knowledge is that even in the same league, different clubs have different level. Sometimes, club level variation is much larger than league level variation. That might well explain that most Spanish national team players concentrated in the best two clubs [34,35]. Obviously, more studies are needed to further explore the impact of club level on league ranking and even world ranking.

As a preliminary study, we recognized the low number of countries included in the study. On basis of the current results, further study to include more countries, leagues and even some clubs is needed to explore the relationship between player distribution and world ranking.
5. Conclusion

The world ranking is highly correlated with player league distribution. The more players playing in higher ranking leagues, the higher world ranking position of a team could achieve. However, other factors like club level, soccer history, population of sport enthusiast and economy could not be excluded.
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