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Abstract

Present-day semi-empirical models of solar irradiance (SI) variations reconstruct SI changes measured on timescales greater than a day by using spectra computed in one dimensional atmosphere models (1D models), which are representative of various solar surface features. Various recent studies have pointed out, however, that the spectra synthesized in 1D models do not reflect the radiative emission of the inhomogenous atmosphere revealed by high-resolution solar observations. We aimed to derive observation-based atmospheres from such observations and test their accuracy for SI estimates. We analyzed spectroscopic data of the Fe I 630 nm line in photospheric regions that are representative of the granular quiet-Sun pattern (QS) and of small- and large-scale magnetic features, both bright and dark with respect to the QS. The data were taken on 2011 August 6, with the CRisp Imaging Spectropolarimeter at the Swedish Solar Telescope, under excellent seeing conditions. We derived atmosphere models of the observed regions from data inversion with the SIR code. We studied the sensitivity of results to spatial resolution and temporal evolution, and discuss the obtained atmospheres with respect to several 1D models. The atmospheres derived from our study agree well with most of the 1D models we compare our results with, both qualitatively and quantitatively (within 10%), except for pores regions. Spectral synthesis computations of the atmosphere obtained from the QS observations return an SI between 400 and 2400 nm that agrees, on average, within 2.2% with standard reference measurements, and within –0.14% with the SI computed on the QS atmosphere employed by the most advanced semi-empirical model of SI variations.
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1. Introduction

The solar irradiance (SI) is the fundamental source of energy entering the Earth’s system. Accurate knowledge of its variations is thus crucial to understand the externally driven changes to the system, and, in particular, to the regional and global Earth climate (see, e.g., Haigh 2007; Solanki et al. 2013). Regular monitoring of the total SI (TSI1) and of the spectral SI (SSI) in the ultraviolet (UV), carried out since 1978 with satellite measurements, has shown that the SI varies on timescales from tens of seconds to decades and in all spectral bands. In particular, available measurements show SI variations of ≈0.1% in phase with the 11-year solar cycle, and of up to ≈0.3% on the timescales of solar rotation. It is worth noting that on the whole, 30%–60% of the TSI variations over the solar cycle are produced at UV wavelengths (Lean et al. 1997; Krivova et al. 2006), which over the same period change by up to 100% and even more (e.g., Rottman 2006; Fröhlich 2013; Kopp 2016, and references therein). UV SSI variations can have a significant impact on the Earth’s climate system. Indeed, the SSI below 400 nm takes an active part in governing the chemistry and dynamics of the Earth’s upper stratosphere and mesosphere by affecting production, dissociation, and heating processes of ozone, and other components; this also implies changes in winds and atmospheric circulation (e.g., Solanki et al. 2013, and references therein).

In order to accurately estimate effects of SI variations on the Earth’s system, climate models require long and precise series of SI data. Owing to the short duration and difficult calibration of the available measurements, satellite records still suffer uncertainties, however, e.g., on the TSI trends measured on timescales longer than the 11-year cycle and on the SSI changes occurring at some spectral bands (Ermolli et al. 2013; Solanki et al. 2013). In addition to improving our understanding of the physical processes responsible for the measured SI changes, precise models of SI can also support the analysis of the existing SI records for Earth’s climate studies by allowing us to interpret, complement, and extend available data series.

Models that ascribe variations in SI on timescales greater than a day to solar surface magnetism are particularly successful in reproducing existing SI observations (e.g., Domingo et al. 2009). There are two classes of such models, called proxy and semi-empirical (Ermolli et al. 2013; Yeo et al. 2014a, 2014b). The former class of SI models combines proxies of solar surface magnetic features using regressions to match observed TSI changes. The proxies most frequently used are the photometric sunspot index and the chromospheric Mg II index, to describe the sunspot darkening and facular brightening, respectively. The semi-empirical SI models reproduce SI variations by summing the contributions to SI of the different features observed on the solar disk in time. For each time and observed feature, they employ the surface area and position covered by the feature at the given time and its time-invariant brightness as a function of wavelength and position on the solar disk. The latter quantity is calculated from the spectral synthesis performed under some assumptions on semi-empirical, one-dimensional, plane-parallel, static atmosphere models (hereafter 1D models) representative of the observed feature (see, e.g., Ermolli et al. 2013; Yeo et al. 2014a, 2014b). Examples of the 1D models employed in SI reconstructions are
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1 The spectrally integrated solar radiative flux incident at the top of Earth’s atmosphere at the mean distance of one astronomical unit.
the ones presented by Vernazza et al. (1981), Fontenla et al. (1993, 1999, 2009, 2011, 2015), Kurucz (1993, 2005), and Unruh et al. (1999).

Present-day, most advanced semi-empirical SI models (e.g., SATIRE-S, Yeo et al. 2014b) replicate more than 95% of the TSI variability measured over cycle 23 and most of the SSI changes detected on rotational timescales, especially between 400 and 1200 nm. Despite the excellent match of modeled to measured SI, current semi-empirical SI models still need improvements to overcome some limitations due to e.g., application of free parameters and of simplifying assumptions. Besides, from computations of the radiative transfer (RT) in atmospheres resulting from magneto-hydrodynamic simulations, it was shown that "a one-dimensional atmospheric model that reproduces the mean spectrum of an inhomogeneous atmosphere necessarily does not reflect the average physical properties of that atmosphere and is therefore inherently unreliable" (Uitenbroek & Criscuoli 2011). This casts doubts on the accuracy of using the obtained models in SI reconstructions, particularly to account for the radiative properties of the small-scale features observed on the solar disk (Uitenbroek & Criscuoli 2011; Criscuoli 2013; Yeo et al. 2014a, 2014b).

In this paper, we derive atmosphere models of various solar photospheric features from inversion of spectropolarimetric observations, and discuss the results obtained with respect to the 1D models that are most widely employed in SI reconstructions and other 1D models derived from spectropolarimetric data. In the following sections we describe the observations and data analyzed in our study, and the methods applied (Section 2). Then we present the results derived from the data inversion (Section 3) and discuss them with respect to 1D models in the literature (Section 4). Finally, we investigate the accuracy of using the obtained models in SI reconstructions (Section 5), discuss the results obtained from our study, and draw our conclusions (Section 6).

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Observations

The data analyzed in our study were acquired on 2011 August 6, from 07:57 UT to 10:48 UT, with the CRisp Imaging Spectropolarimeter (Scharmer et al. 2008) at the Swedish Institute for Solar Telescopes (SST, Scharmer et al. 2003). They consist of full-Stokes spectropolarimetric measurements derived from a scan of 30 wavelengths of the photospheric Fe I doublet, from 630.12 to 630.28 nm, over a field of view (FOV) of return $\approx 57 \times 57$ arcsec$^2$, at three disk positions. The spectropolarimetric scans of 30 wavelengths were taken with a cadence of 28 s and a spectral sampling of $\approx 0.0044$ nm. The above data are complemented with simultaneous and co-spatial chromospheric broadband images taken at the core of the Ca II line at 396.9 nm; in this study, these data were employed to check our identification of the bright magnetic regions described in the following. The observations were assisted by the adaptive optics system of the SST (Scharmer et al. 2003) under excellent seeing conditions. The pixel scale of the analyzed observations is $\approx 0.059$ arcsec/pixel. The polarimetric sensitivity of the analyzed data, which was estimated as the standard deviation of the Stokes Q, U, and V profiles in the continuum, is $< 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ of the continuum intensity for all the Stokes parameters.

The observations targeted a quiet-Sun (QS) region at disk center, the active region (AR) NOAA 11267 (AR1) consisting of two sunspots of opposite polarity at disk position [S17, E24, cosine of the heliocentric angle $\mu = 0.84$], and a mature spot in AR NOAA 11263 (AR2) at disk position [N16, W43, $\mu = 0.76$]. The data of the three above regions were also analyzed by Stangalini et al. (2015), Cristaldi et al. (2014), and Falco et al. (2016), respectively. More details about the analyzed observations can be found in the above papers.

The observations were processed with the standard reduction pipeline (CRISPRED, de la Cruz Rodriguez et al. 2015) to compensate for the dark and flat-field response of the CCD devices, and for instrument- and telescope-induced polarizations. They were also restored for seeing-inducations by using the multi-object multi-frame blind deconvolution technique (MOMFBD, van Noort et al. 2005, and references therein).

We analyzed all the data available for the three observed regions, that means series of 79, 101, and 117 sequences of measurements taken over 47, 37, and 56 minutes for the QS, AR1, and AR2 regions, respectively. We extracted subarrays (hereafter referred to as subFOV) of $100 \times 100$ pixels representative of QS regions, small-scale bright magnetic regions such as bright points and network (BPs), large-scale, bright regions with strong magnetic field such as plages (PL), small-scale and large-scale dark magnetic regions such as pores (PO) and umbrae (UM), respectively. Each analyzed subFOV represents a $\approx 6 \times 6$ arcsec$^2$ region on the solar disk. This region is on the same order as the elementary area considered when identifying bright and dark solar features in full-disk observations employed in semi-empirical SI models. Indeed, the spatial resolution of the analyzed data ranges from $\approx 8$ to 1 arcsec for earlier ground-based and more recent space-borne observations.

Figure 1 shows examples of the QS, AR1, and AR2 observations analyzed in our study. For each region, we show the measured continuum intensity and signed circular polarization (CP) maps. The latter quantity has been computed following Requerey et al. (2014) as

$$CP = \frac{1}{10(\ell)} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \epsilon_i V_i$$

where $\langle \ell \rangle$ is the continuum intensity averaged over the subFOV, $V$ is the Stokes-V profile, and $i$ runs over the 10 spectral points closer to the core of the Fe I line at 630.25 nm. In the weak-field regime, the CP can be considered as a proxy for the longitudinal component of the magnetic field (Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004).

The red boxes in the various panels of Figure 1 show the subFOVs considered in the following to represent the physical properties of QS, BPs, PL, PO, and UM regions. The blue boxes in the middle panels of Figure 1 show two more PL regions that are also analyzed in our study and discussed in Section 5.

2.2. Semi-empirical 1D Atmosphere Models

For the purpose of discussing the results derived from the above observations, we analyzed several sets of 1D models presented in the literature. In particular, we considered the atmosphere model presented by Vernazza et al. (1981) to represent QS regions (VAL-C), and the sets of models by Fontenla et al. (1993, 1999, 2006, 2011, 2015) to describe
various solar features, from the faint granular cell interior (FAL-A) and average cell (FAL-C) in QS to network (FAL-E), enhanced network (FAL-F), plage (FAL-H), bright plage (FAL-P), penumbral (FAL-R), and umbral (FAL-S) regions. These latter models are employed, e.g., in the SRPM semi-empirical SI reconstructions (Fontenla et al. 2015, and references therein). Note that the Fontenla et al. (2015) models are neither discussed nor displayed in the following, since their difference with respect to previous models by Fontenla et al. (2011) is not appreciable at the scale of the plots and at the range of atmospheric heights considered in our study. We also analyzed other available 1D models obtained from inversion of spectropolarimetric observations. In particular, we considered the SOLANNT and SOLANPL flux-tube models by Solanki (1986) and Solanki & Brigljevic (1992) for network and plage regions, respectively, and the COOL and HOT models by
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**Figure 1.** Example of the observations and subFOVs analyzed in our study. Continuum image (left) and circular polarization map (right) of the studied QS (top), active region AR1 (middle), and mature spot AR2 (bottom). The red boxes in each panel show the inverted subFOVs, representative of unmagnetized (quiet, QS), bright points (BPs), plage (PL), pore (PO), and umbral (UM) regions, labeled 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. Blue boxes in AR1 mark two more plage regions (labeled 4a and 4b) that are also analyzed in our study and discussed in Section 5.
Collados et al. (1994) for large and small spots, in the order given; all these models are available in the SIR code described below. Finally, we tested the results derived from our study also with respect to the Harvard-Smithsonian Reference Atmosphere (HSRA, Gingerich et al. 1971) and the model by Maltby et al. (1986) for average QS regions, and the M-model by Maltby et al. (1986) for spots. Table 1 summarizes all the 1D models analyzed in our study.

### 2.3. Stokes Inversions

We performed full-Stokes spectropolarimetric local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) inversions of the available data for the selected subFOVs with the SIR code (Stokes inversion based on response functions, Ruiz Cobo & del Toro Iniesta 1992; Bellot Rubio 2003). We applied the code simultaneously to measurements of the Fe I lines at 630.15 and 630.25 nm by excluding from the calculation the Stokes-I measurements in the red wing of the Fe I line at 630.25 nm, which are affected by telluric blends. The SIR code uses the atomic parameters taken from the VAL-D database (Piskunov et al. 1995). For each analyzed subFOV, we first normalized the measurements to the average continuum intensity measured on a nearby QS region, defined as the region with a CP signal lower than three times the standard deviation of the entire CP map.

We performed the data inversion by considering (a) the mean spectra obtained from the spatial-average of the Stokes measurements taken over each analyzed subFOV, and (b) the individual Stokes measurements in each pixel of the analyzed subFOV. In the latter case, we then spatially averaged the results from the data inversion of the subFOV. These two methods are hereafter referred to as SA and FR, respectively; in the figures, results from SA and FR are labeled (a)-dark and (b)-dark, respectively. When applying SA, the spatial information in the analyzed data is lost to the advantage of an increased signal-to-noise ratio of the Stokes data to be inverted. When applying FR, the analysis takes advantage of the full spatial resolution of the analyzed observations. The SA and FR computations were applied to investigate the effects that are due to the analysis method on the obtained results, and to spatial inhomogeneities that are due to the smaller-scale features of the observed atmosphere. SA and FR computations were applied to all analyzed subFOV. In addition, for the PO data, the SA and FR computations were also applied by considering only the pixels belonging to the dark region in the subFOV. In particular, we analyzed the pixels characterized by \( I_c < 0.4 \), where \( I_c \) is the normalized continuum intensity. In the figures, results from these latter calculations are labeled (a)-dark and (b)-dark, respectively.

We inverted the data by assuming that the modeled atmosphere consists of one component with physical quantities that do not vary with atmospheric height, but temperature. This assumption is justified by the lack of asymmetries in the analyzed line profiles, which manifest the presence of more than one atmosphere in the analyzed resolution element or gradients in some physical parameters. Moreover, our assumption is also based on our aim of comparing the obtained results with 1D models that are mostly constructed from spatially unresolved observations.

We performed the data inversion by applying two computational cycles. In the first cycle, the temperature was allowed to vary within two nodes, while the other quantities, specifically the line-of-sight (LOS) velocity, the magnetic field strength, the field inclination and azimuth, and the microturbulent velocity were assumed to be constant with height. In the second cycle, we slightly increased the degrees of freedom by allowing the temperature to vary within three nodes. According to Ruiz Cobo & del Toro Iniesta (1992) and Socas-Navarro (2011), the slight increase in nodes in the second cycle helps the code to improve convergence of calculation and to obtain a more stable solution. Since we performed one-component inversions, the
magnetic filling factor is unity. We set the height-independent macroturbulent velocity to 2 km s\(^{-1}\). Moreover, we modeled the stray-light contamination of the data by averaging Stokes-\(I\) computed on subFOV regions with low polarization degree, which was defined as

\[ II \equiv \frac{I_{\text{pol}}}{I} = \frac{\sqrt{Q^2 + U^2 + V^2}}{I}. \]

We performed the data inversion by using various initial guess models. In particular, we considered the HSRA, and models by Vernazza et al. (1981), Malitby et al. (1986), Fontenla et al. (1993, 1999), Solanki (1986), and Collados et al. (1994), as well as some of their modified versions. Based on the best-fitting and minimal residual between observed and inverted profiles, we assumed the following starting guess models: for QS data, we adopted the HSRA; for BPs and PL data, we employed the same model, but modified with a constant magnetic field strength value of 200 and 800 G, respectively; and for PO and UM data, we assumed the HOT and COOL models proposed by Collados et al. (1994). We modified these latter models by keeping the magnetic field strength constant with height and assigning 2000 and 2500 G to the HOT and COOL model, respectively.

It is worth noting that the SIR code performs the data inversion under LTE assumption. Although almost all the Fe\(\iota\) lines show a deviation from LTE conditions, it was shown (Shchukina & Trujillo Bueno 2001) that lines synthesized under LTE conditions do not sensitively differ from lines obtained under non-LTE (NLTE), especially if the iron abundance is lower than 7.50 ± 0.10 dex, as it was in our calculations (7.46 dex).

Figures 2 and 3 show examples of results obtained by using the different starting guess models when inverting QS and PL data. In particular, the top panel of each figure shows the observed Stokes-\(I\) spectra and the synthetic spectra derived from SA inversion with the various tested models. The bottom panel of each figure shows the relative difference between synthetic and observed profiles, expressed in percentage values. With the guess models employed in our study (with the worst-guess models tested in our study), for QS and BPs regions these residuals are within ±1% (6%); for PO and UM regions they are within ±4% (6%), and for the PL regions they are within ±3% (10%).

3. Results

3.1. Atmospheric Models

Figure 4 shows examples of the atmospheric models returned by the data inversion of the various observed regions. Each panel displays horizontal cuts at \(\log \tau_{500} = 0\), with \(\tau_{500}\) representing the continuum optical depth at 500 nm. For each region, we show maps of various physical parameters: temperature, magnetic field strength, gas density, and LOS velocity.

Temperature values in all maps range from 3500 to 6800 K, the lowest value found in the UM and the highest one in PL and QS regions. The magnetic field strength reaches 200 G in QS areas, with higher values located within intergranular lanes; in the BPs region, it ranges from 0 to 800 G; in PL and PO regions from 0 to 1200 G, and from 400 to 2000 G, respectively; inside the UM region it extends from 1800 to 3200 G.

The LOS velocity in the maps ranges from \(-2\) to \(1\) km s\(^{-1}\) in QS, from \(-1.5\) to \(1.5\) km s\(^{-1}\) in BPs, from \(-2\) to \(2\) km s\(^{-1}\) in PL, and from \(-0.8\) to \(0.8\) km s\(^{-1}\) in the PO and UM regions. For these latter regions, we show the velocity field with respect to the plasma velocity in QS regions. Regions characterized by highest magnetic field strengths, such as central PO and UM regions, and intergranular lanes visible in the QS, display the highest density values, as expected, because the higher magnetic field concentration reduces the opacity, allowing to observe deeper in the photosphere, where the plasma density is higher.

Figure 5 shows horizontal cuts of the plasma temperature in the various observed regions at four different heights, specifically at \(\log \tau_{500} = 0\), \(-1.5\), \(-2\), and \(-2.5\). The various panels display plasma temperatures that decrease with atmospheric height for all the analyzed regions. The top panels show the reversed granular pattern already at an atmospheric height of \(\log \tau_{500} = -1\). The same applies to the pattern of BPs, and to a lesser extent, also to pattern of PL regions.

3.2. Response Functions and Uncertainty

In order to assess the range of atmospheric heights in which the analyzed data are sensitive to temperature perturbations, thus to specific properties of the observed atmosphere, we computed the
so-called response functions (RFs, e.g., Caccin et al. 1977; Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landi Degl’Innocenti 1977) by applying the mathematical procedure described in Socas-Navarro (2011). Figure 6 shows the RFs based on the results of the SA inversion of QS data, i.e., inversion of data averaged over the studied subFOV, normalized to the maximum value. For a given Stokes parameter, optical depth, and wavelength, RFs values close to unity indicate that the corresponding Stokes-parameter measurements are quite responsive to perturbations of the line-forming atmosphere, while low or null RF values signify that the Stokes measurements are unaffected by atmospheric inhomogeneities of temperature and fields. This implies that the data inversion cannot provide reliable information about the physical quantities in the line-forming atmospheric regions that are characterized by low RFs values; these regions lie outside the sensitivity range of the analyzed data. Figure 6 shows that for the observations considered in our study, the sensitivity range spans from $\log \tau_{500} = 0$ to $\log \tau_{500} = -3$. The variation in emerging intensity that is due to temperature perturbations is always positive: the emerging intensity increases in both the continuum and the line core, with most of the contribution to the analyzed spectra coming from the continuum.

Following Socas-Navarro (2011), we also computed the uncertainty in the atmosphere models derived from the data inversion by weighting the average of the temperature stratification ($T(\tau)$, hereafter) obtained from the inversion at each observed spectral point by the above estimated RFs. Figure 7 shows the uncertainty estimated for the $T(\tau)$ derived...
from the five inverted subFOVs. This uncertainty is as low as \( \sim 15 \) K between \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \) and \( \log \tau_{500} = -3 \), i.e., the range of atmospheric heights in which the data inversion returns more reliable results. The uncertainty of the data inversion results increases at higher atmospheric heights and below \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \), up to 50 K and 200 K, respectively, as well as with decreasing spatial scale of the magnetic feature represented by the inverted subFOV.

### 3.3. Temperature Stratification

We compared the \( T(\tau) \) derived from the data inversion of the various studied regions to those described by the several 1D models listed in Table 1. We here consider results obtained from inversion of data taken at best seeing conditions for each analyzed region and for both the SA and FR computations.

Figure 8 (top panel) shows this comparison for QS data. Dashed and solid black lines display the \( T(\tau) \) obtained from SA and FR, labeled (a) and (b), respectively. Colored lines correspond to the 1D models employed for comparison as specified in the legend. The gray-shaded area represents the 1σ confidence interval of data inversion results. Figure 8 (bottom panel) shows the relative difference between the \( T(\tau) \) derived from the observations and from a 1D model used as reference, specifically, the FAL-C-99 model.

The panels in Figures 9 and 10 show the same content as Figure 8, but based on the results obtained from the inversion of the BPs, PL, PO, and UM observations. The bottom part in
each panel shows the relative difference between the $T(\tau)$ derived from the observations and from the 1D model used as reference, which is the FAL-(F, P, S)-99 to represent network, plage, and umbral regions, respectively; we also analyzed the FAL-R-06 model for penumbral regions.

Concerning the PO data, we considered results from SA and FR computations on the image pixels with $I_c < 0.4$ (labeled (a)-dark and (b)-dark, respectively), and over the whole subFOV (labeled (a) and (b), respectively). We then estimated the relative difference between the $T(\tau)$ computed over the whole subFOV and the FAL-R-06, as well as between the $T(\tau)$ computed over the image pixels with $I_c < 0.4$ and the FAL-S-99.

Figures 8–10 show that the various $T(\tau)$ derived from the data inversion agree quite well with those in most of the compared models, both qualitatively and quantitatively, except for PO observations. The agreement between compared models decreases outside the sensitivity range defined by the RFs; we recall, however, that outside the sensitivity range the physical quantities returned by the data inversion are uncertain. For all studied regions, the $T(\tau)$ obtained from SA and FR computations differ slightly. We discuss this difference in the following and mostly focus here on results from FR computations alone.

At $\tau_{500} = 0$, the average of the temperature values obtained from the inversion of the QS, BPs, PL, and UM data agree with those in the FAL-(C, F, P, S)-99 models within the deviation of results on the analyzed subFOV, where the average and standard deviation of values is $6383 \pm 132$ K, $6397 \pm 132$ K, $6427 \pm 132$ K, and $3998 \pm 150$ K with respect to the values 6520 K, 6520 K, 6502 K, and 4170 K in the FAL-(C, F, P, S)-99 models, respectively. At same atmospheric height, the value of the plasma temperature estimated by the inversion of PO data is $5147 \pm 109$ K, $\sim 1000$ K higher and $\sim 1100$ K lower than the values considered in the FAL-S-99 and FAL-R-06 models, respectively. The relative difference between our $T(\tau)$ derived from analysis of the whole subFOV and the FAL-R-06 model is less pronounced; the $T(\tau)$ of the FAL-R-06 model lies within the deviation of values derived from our analysis, in the atmospheric range between $\log \tau_{500} = -0.5$ and $\log \tau_{500} = -2$.

Within $\log \tau_{500} = -1$ and $\log \tau_{500} = -3$, i.e., from the middle to the high photosphere, the $T(\tau)$ returned from the observations of QS, BPs, PL, and UM regions agree within $\sim 10\%$ with all the $T(\tau)$ in the models by Fontenla et al. (1999) employed for comparison, but with slightly different results for the various compared sets; for QS, BPs, and UM regions, the agreement is within 5%, while for the PL regions it is within 10%. Overall, most of the $T(\tau)$ derived from the data inversion are slightly lower than those in the compared 1D models in the middle photosphere (about 100 K at $\log \tau_{500} = -1$) and slightly higher in upper layers (about 150 K at $\log \tau_{500} = -3$) and below $\log \tau_{500} = 0$ (about 200–400 K), but for the UM data, which
show lower plasma temperatures (down to \( \approx 700 \) K) below \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \) than those displayed by all compared models, and the PL data, which exhibit lower values (about 50–100 K) above \( \log \tau_{500} = -2.5 \) than all other models. In particular, the \( T(\tau) \) obtained from QS and BPs data are, on average, up to \( \sim 100 \) K lower than in the corresponding FAL-F-99 and FAL-P-99 models. In the range between \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \) and \( \log \tau_{500} = -2 \), i.e., in the lower and middle photosphere, the \( T(\tau) \) obtained from PL data is, on average, up to \( \sim 400 \) K lower than represented in the corresponding FAL-P-99 model. On the other hand, at these atmospheric heights, the \( T(\tau) \) from PO observations is up to \( \sim 1000 \) K higher than reported by the FAL-S-99 model; for the UM data, it is close (within \( \sim 50–100 \) K) to that described in the FAL-S-99 model, but it is \( \sim 150–200 \) K lower at \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \) and \( \log \tau_{500} = -3 \).

All the atmosphere models derived from the observations exhibit higher plasma temperatures at higher atmospheric heights than those represented by the earlier HSRA and VAL-C models, except for the model derived by the PL data. In addition, the \( T(\tau) \) obtained from BPs and PL data do not reproduce the temperature enhancement represented in the SOLANNT and SOLANPL models either, neither for the SA nor for the FR results. The \( T(\tau) \) from the SA analysis of PL
data most closely follows the FAL-P-93 and FAL-H-99 models.

Atmosphere models derived from observations seem to reproduce former models by Fontenla et al. (1993, 1999) better than more recent sets by Fontenla et al. (2006, 2011), at least in the lower photosphere up to $\log \tau_{500} = -2$, and mainly for QS and BPs regions. The opposite seems to occur in upper atmospheric layers, where the confidence level of our data inversion results is lower, however.

3.4. Effects of Spatial Averaging and Temporal Evolution

Figures 8–10 show slight differences between the $T(\tau)$ obtained from SA and FR computations, i.e., the spatially averaged and fully resolved observations. In Figure 11 we quantify this difference by showing relative percentage values between the $T(\tau)$ obtained under the two computations applied; for PO regions we also show results from an analysis of image pixels with $I_\tau < 0.4$. At $\log \tau_{500} = 0$, the difference between $T(\tau)$ obtained from SA and FR lies within 1% for all the analyzed regions. For PO and UM data, the difference is within 2% at all the investigated atmospheric heights when we restrict our analysis to image pixels with $I_\tau < 0.4$.

Within $\log \tau_{500} = 0$ and $\log \tau_{500} = -3$, i.e., from the lower to the higher photosphere, for QS, BPs, and PL regions, the $T(\tau)$ computed from SA on the whole subFoV has up to 6% higher values than obtained from FR, while for the PO the $T(\tau)$ has up to $\approx 6\%$ lower values; for UM, the $T(\tau)$ computed from SA on the whole subFoV has only up to 2% higher values than obtained from FR. Therefore, the results obtained from bright and dark magnetic regions are similarly affected by the method applied, except for the sign for PO observations; this holds when the analyzed data are characterized by a spatial resolution of $\approx 5–6$ arcsec as considered in our study.

The above results indicate that the method applied affects the modeled atmosphere in homogeneous magnetic regions less. This is in agreement with Uitenbroek & Criscuoli (2011), who showed that spatially averaging the properties of an inhomogeneous atmosphere returned from MHD simulations and evaluating physical quantities after the averaging operation does not give the same result as estimating the physical quantities in the inhomogeneous atmosphere and then averaging it.

We also investigated the possible effects that are due to the temporal evolution of the observed features on the obtained results and other possible processes occurring in the analyzed regions (waves, seeing, etc.). To this purpose, we analyzed inversion results derived from SA computations on the whole series of data available for each observed region. In Figure 12 we show the $T(\tau)$ derived from the inversion of all averaged Stokes spectra for each observed region. The $T(\tau)$ retrieved from an analysis of each observation available for the studied region is displayed with different colors. The $T(\tau)$ averaged over the whole time series is displayed as a solid black line with error bars representing the 1σ confidence interval; this interval is larger for QS and PO regions. Figure 12 shows that the dispersion of results that is due to the effects of the temporal evolution of the studied region and other possible processes lies within the confidence interval of the results estimated for all the analyzed regions. This finding proves that the results presented in Sections 3.1–3.3 can be assumed to be quite representative of the studied regions, at least for the data set considered in our study.

4. Comparison with Results in the Literature

The literature presents a number of atmosphere models derived from inversion of spectropolarimetric data acquired with both ground-based and space-borne instruments. We now discuss the $T(\tau)$ derived from our analysis with respect to those reported by former studies of QS, PL, PO, and UM data. The blue line in the panel of PO data shows results obtained by considering only image pixels with $I_\tau < 0.4$.

Figure 11. From top to bottom: Relative difference between the average $T(\tau)$ obtained from an analysis of fully resolved (FR) and spatially averaged (SA) results from inversion of the QS, BPs, PL, PO, and UM data. The blue line in the panel of PO data shows results obtained by considering only image pixels with $I_\tau < 0.4$. 
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that the granular component is statistically predominant in QS regions, we expect that our QS model, which was obtained without distinguishing between the two components considered by Borrero & Bellot Rubio (2002), is closer to their model for the granular region than the model for intergranular areas. Indeed, our $T(\tau)$ from FR computations lies close to that in their granular model at all the optical depths; values are within the deviation of results on our analyzed subFOV.

Socas-Navarro (2011) inverted full-Stokes spectropolarimetric observations of a QS region observed at the FeI line pair at 630 nm with the slit spectropolarimeter onboard the Hinode satellite using the NICOLE code (Socas-Navarro et al. 2015), which allows inversions under NLTE conditions. The observed FoV was close to disk center. The data consist of three consecutive scans of 315 slit positions on the FoV, each position with 112 wavelength samples of the FeI line pair taken with $\simeq 21$ mA sampling. The inversion was performed on a subarray of about $30 \times 30$ arcsec$^2$, $200 \times 200$ pixels wide. The retrieved average temperature stratification was compared to the HSRA and the model by Asplund et al. (2004), which was found to be warmer in the middle layers than the model presented in Socas-Navarro (2011) and cooler upward; it is very close to that obtained from our analysis of FR QS data, except for the elbow at $\log T_{500} = -1$ that is not found in our results or in the HSRA model. The uncertainties derived from RFs by Socas-Navarro (2011) exhibit a similar trend as those derived from our study, at least for image pixels with a continuum brightness close to the average of the whole observed region. For these pixels, the uncertainties estimated by Socas-Navarro (2011) are lower than 50 K in the middle atmospheric layers, up to $\log T_{500} = -3.4$, and reach values of up to more than 500 K in the upper layers. These values are sensitively higher than those derived from our study.

Bellot Rubio et al. (2000) analyzed averaged Stokes-$I$ and Stokes-$V$ spectra of the FeI line pair at 630 nm that emerged from a facular region observed at $\mu = 0.96$ with the slit Advanced Stokes Polarimeter (ASP) at the Sacramento Peak Observatory. The observations, which covered a FoV of about $110 \times 90$ arcsec$^2$, were taken in almost 20 minutes. The spatial resolution of the acquired data was $\simeq 1\sim 3$ arcsec and the spectral sampling was $\simeq 13$ mA. The analyzed data consist of averaged Stokes profiles constructed by accounting for the contribution of all pixels within facular regions whose degree of polarization was lower than 0.4%. The model they presented for the central part of the studied region is hotter than the model we obtained from the PL data, $\simeq 500$ K hotter at $\log T_{500} = 0$. Our $T(\tau)$ better agrees with the one reported by Bellot Rubio et al. (2000) for the atmosphere surrounding the magnetic facular region. However, the $T(\tau)$ obtained from our study agrees with their results within the standard deviation of values in our studied subFOV.

Buehler et al. (2015) analyzed the full-Stokes spectra of a plage region observed at the FeI line pair at 630 nm with the slit spectropolarimeter on board the Hinode mission using the revised version of the SPINOR inversion code (Frutiger et al. 2000), which allows accounting for the instrumental point-spread functions (van Noort 2012). The observations covered a FoV of about $50 \times 150$ arcsec$^2$, acquired with a spatial resolution of $\sim 0.32$ arcsec and spectral sampling of $\sim 21$ mA over about 5 minutes. The $T(\tau)$ they reported for core regions, defined as the image pixels with magnetic field strength decreasing with height and absolute value $>1000$ G,
shows comparatively higher values (up to ~600 K) than obtained from our study; their values are closer to the empirical plage flux-tube model derived by Solanki & Brigljevic (1992), at least up to \( \log \tau_{500} = -1 \), compared to ours. Nevertheless, the results they obtained for the average temperature of pixels representative of QS and magnetic field concentrations at \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \), \(-0.9\), \(-2.3\) are in good agreement with those we obtained from the inversion of QS and PL data, within the deviation of results in the analyzed subFOV.

Westendorp Plaza et al. (2001) studied full-Stokes spectra taken at the Fe I line pair at 630 nm on a sunspot region with the slit ASP using the SIR code. They computed the RFs of Stokes-V to the perturbation of the magnetic field strength, and deduced a sensitivity range spanning between \( \log \tau_{500} = 0 \) and \( \log \tau_{500} = -2.8 \), in good agreement with our estimation of the sensitivity range of the studied data. They also derived the confidence limits for the retrieved stratification of physical parameters from the computation of RFs, and verified that the errors obtained were in good agreement with Monte Carlo simulations. Their estimated formal errors are comparable to but slightly larger than our computed uncertainties for results from the PO and UM data.

Analysis of key aspects of the studies described above shows that our work benefits from a higher spectral and spatial resolution of the analyzed observations, and a wider data set taken under excellent seeing conditions than considered in all previous studies. We also discuss our results with respect to a significantly larger set of 1D models than earlier presented in the literature. In addition, within the computational uncertainty of results, all findings derived from our study are consistent with results of the above earlier works. Thus, the results derived from our study can reasonably be assumed to represent the analyzed regions.

5. Application to SI Studies

The set of 1D models employed in semi-empirical SI reconstructions is of pivotal importance to reproduce measured SI variations accurately (see e.g., Ermolli et al. 2013). It is thus interesting to test the accuracy of the observation-based atmosphere models derived from our study for possible application in SI models.

In order to provide a preliminary assessment of such accuracy, we computed the radiative flux emerging from our observation-based atmospheres and compared it with the flux resulting from other 1D models employed in semi-empirical SI models, and with other available data that are described below. We performed the spectral synthesis for the wavelength range from 200 to 2400 nm on the various analyzed atmospheres with the one-dimensional version of the RH code (Uitenbroek 2001), which solves the RT and statistical equilibrium equations under general NLTE conditions. We computed the emerging spectrum with a 0.01 nm spectral resolution at nine lines of sight, spaced according to the zeroes of the Gauss-Legendre polynomials as a function of \( \mu \). We then convolved the spectra derived from the synthesis with a Gaussian kernel 1 nm wide, to roughly account for the spectral resolution of the SI data considered for comparison.

We applied standard NLTE RH computations, which consider contributions of Thomson scattering by free electrons, Rayleigh scattering by neutral hydrogen and helium atoms, and \( \text{H}_2 \) molecules. Other background opacity sources included were bound-free and free-free transitions of \( \text{H}^- \) and neutral hydrogen, free-free transitions of \( \text{H}_2 \), and bound-free transitions of different metals. The synthesis was performed by computing populations of several atoms\(^2\) and of more than 10 molecules.\(^3\) We assumed the atomic line data from Kurucz.\(^4\)

Figure 13 (top panel) shows the SI spectra derived from the synthesis performed on the QS and FAL-C-99 models representative of QS regions, compared to the ATLAS-3 reference spectrum by Thuillier et al. (2004). Figure 13 (middle and bottom panels) displays the relative difference of the synthesized spectra with respect to the above reference data. The ATLAS-3 is a composite solar spectrum derived from analysis of various available measurements; it is considered a standard reference for SSI covering the UV (200–300 nm), near-UV (NUV, 300–400 nm), visible (Vis, 400–700 nm), and near-IR (NIR, 700–2400 nm) spectral regions. In the Vis and NIR bands, the median (standard deviation) relative difference between the SI spectrum derived from our QS atmosphere and reference data is \( \approx 0.8\% \) (4%) and \( -3\% \) (1.9%), respectively; the median (standard deviation) relative difference between the compared spectra, however, increases up to 13\% (14%) and 85\% (>100\%) in the NUV and UV regions, respectively. In the Vis and NIR bands, the median (standard deviation) relative difference between the SI spectrum derived from our synthesis on the FAL-C-99 model and reference data is \( \approx 6\% \) (4%) and \( -0.3\% \) (2%), respectively; the median (standard deviation) relative difference between these compared spectra increases up to 22\% (17%) and 140\% (>100\%) in the NUV and UV regions, respectively.

---

\(^2\) Including H, C, O, Si, Al, Ca, Fe, He, Mg, Na, N, and S.

\(^3\) Including \( \text{H}_2 \), \( \text{H}_2^+ \), C, N, O, CH, CO, CN, NH, NO, OH, SiO, LiH, and MgH.

\(^4\) kurucz.harvard.edu
Other available spectra from SI models show a relative difference with respect to the ATLAS-3 data such as those reported above. Of the various models developed to reproduce the SI variability, we considered those that are most representative of the two classes introduced in Section 1, the proxy NRLSSI model (Lean 2000; Coddington et al. 2016), and the semi-empirical SATIRE-S model (e.g., Yeo et al. 2014a, 2014b, and references therein).

Figure 14 (top panel) shows the SI spectrum derived from our synthesis performed on the QS atmosphere, compared to the SI spectrum computed with the Kurucz QS model and to the WHI reference data by Woods et al. (2009), which give the QS spectrum in the SATIRE-S and NRLSSI SI models, respectively. Figure 14 (middle and bottom panels) displays the relative difference between the SI spectrum from the QS atmosphere and the WHI data with respect to the SI spectrum calculated with the Kurucz QS model, which is employed in the SATIRE-S model. This is the currently most advanced semi-empirical SI model. The SI spectrum derived from the QS atmosphere (described by WHI) shows a median relative difference to the spectrum computed in the Kurucz atmosphere model of $\pm 34\%$, 6% 0.7%, and $-0.6\%$ ($-32\%$, $-11\%$, $-0.2\%$, and 3%) in the UV, NUV, Vis, and NIR bands, respectively.

In order to highlight the main features of the SI data compared above, we also show in Figure 15 the relative difference between the various available spectra with respect to ATLAS-3, after spectral convolution of the data with a Gaussian kernel 10 nm wide, in order to display average trends over the various spectral regions. In the Vis, the agreement of the compared spectra is very good, ranging from $-0.14\%$ (Kurucz model in SATIRE-S) to 6% (FAL-C-99) for all the data analyzed; the median relative difference is 0.8%, 6%, −0.1%, and −0.3% for QS, FAL-C-99, Kurucz, and WHI, respectively. In the NUV range, this agreement decreases to $\pm 13\%$ and 22% for our QS and FAL-C-99 computations, while it decreases only to $\approx -6\%$ and 6% for the data considered in the NRLSSI and SATIRE-S models. It is worth nothing that these latter models estimate the time- and wavelength-dependent contribution to SI from bright and dark magnetic features in quite different ways, but both apply intensity offsets and some scaling for the reconstructed SI spectra to match the absolute levels of some observed reference spectra. In addition, the spectral synthesis performed in the SATIRE-S assumes LTE conditions that fail to reproduce the SI below $\approx 300$ nm accurately. Outcomes from the SATIRE-S synthesis are rescaled to reference data by Woods et al. (2009). In contrast, the results of the spectral synthesis performed on our observational-based QS atmosphere and the FAL-C-99 model shown in Figure 15 are taken as they are from our RH calculations, without applying any scaling to improve the match to the reference data by Thuillier et al. (2004).

We also analyzed the spectra derived from the synthesis on the observation-based BPs, PL, PO, and UM models, with respect to those obtained from the synthesis on the FAL-(E, F, H, S)-99 and FAL-R-06 models. This comparison shows higher relative differences in the UV than in the other spectral bands. Specifically, in the UV and NUV, the various spectra differ on average by $\approx 15\%$ and 80% for models of bright and dark features, respectively; in the Vis (NIR) they differ on average from 0.3% to 2% (0.04%–1.6%) for models of bright features, and from 11 to $> 250\%$ (7%–60%) for models of dark features. These differences affect calculations of the SSI that are based on the various compared models as summarized in Table 2. We report in Table 2 the SSI computed by integrating the various synthesized spectra from 200 to 2400 nm; we also show the relative difference between SI computed for models corresponding to the same surface feature. The relative difference between the computed SSI ranges from $-0.5\%$ (PL with respect to FAL-F-99 computations) to 93% (PO with respect to FAL-R-06 computations). Except for these extremes,

**Figure 14.** Top panel: SI from 200 to 2400 nm derived from our spectral synthesis performed on the QS atmosphere, calculated with the Kurucz QS model employed in the SATIRE-S SI model, and given by the WHI reference data considered in the NRLSSI SI model. Middle and bottom panels: relative difference between the SI derived from the synthesis on the QS atmosphere (middle) and considered in the NRLSSI model (bottom) with respect to the SI calculated with the Kurucz QS model employed in the SATIRE-S. See caption of Figure 13 for more details.

**Figure 15.** Relative difference between the SI spectra derived from our synthesis on the QS and FAL-C-99 atmospheres, and computed with the Kurucz QS model employed in the SATIRE-S with respect to the reference data from Thuillier et al. (2004) and WHI by Woods et al. (2009), the latter considered in the NRLSSI model. See caption of Figure 13 and Section 5 for more details.
the best (worse) agreement between the compared quantities is found for the SSI that is computed on the BPs and the FAL-E-99 atmospheres (PO and FAL-S-99 atmospheres).

It is worth noting that the values in Table 2 result from the synthesis performed on the atmosphere models presented in Section 3. In our study, we assumed that these data satisfactorily represent atmosphere regions employed in SI reconstructions. However, the subFOVs analyzed in our observations represent only a minute fraction of the solar disk at a given time, while the solar regions that the data are assumed to represent can cover significant fractions of the solar disk and show different brightness in time. For some surface features, the results derived from our study may not accurately reflect the properties of the modeled atmosphere. This is especially the case when rather inhomogenous regions are considered. For example, we show in Figure 16 results from the synthesis performed in the three PL regions that are marked with red and blue boxes in Figure 1. The $T(\tau)$ derived from the blue marked regions show slightly different average values than obtained from the analysis of the red marked region. In particular, the $T(\tau)$ of the PL region discussed in Section 3 lies between those obtained from the other two analyzed PL areas.

The SI spectra derived from the synthesis on the three PL atmospheres differ on average by $\approx 10\%$, 5%, and $< 5\%$ in the UV, NUV, and Vis ranges, respectively. When entered in SI models, these differences translate into SSI estimated values that differ from 1.1% to 2.6%.

The above results encourage us to further investigate the accuracy of entering atmosphere models derived from spectropolarimetric observations in SI estimates. Indeed, the Vis and NIR SSI synthesized on the atmosphere model derived from our QS observations differs on average from the ATLAS-3 and WHI reference data by less than 2.5%, and $-0.14\%$ from the spectrum computed on the Kurucz QS atmosphere employed in the SATIRE-S model. In addition, the lower agreement reported above for synthesis results of the NUV and UV bands, below 400 nm, is fully consistent with the limited range of atmospheric heights sampled by the data analyzed in the present study, which spans from the low to the high photosphere only, thus limiting the reliability of our spectral synthesis results for the SI originating from higher atmospheric heights. On the other hand, in the 1000–2400 nm spectral region, the SI derived from our synthesis on the QS atmosphere underestimates ($-3.5\% \pm 1.5\%$) the reference data as a consequence of the clear SSI drop seen at about 1000 nm. This drop challenges our synthesis calculations of the $H^+$ opacity for the NIR range. Indeed, in the same spectral region, results derived from our synthesis on the FAL-C-99 atmosphere by assuming the $H$ population data available for that model differ on average by only $-0.7\%$ with respect to the reference. However, it is also worth noting that several recent NIR SI measurements show a systematic negative difference (of about 8%) with respect to the ATLAS-3 reference composite, see e.g., Weber (2015), in agreement with our findings.

### 6. Discussion and Conclusion

We found that the average temperature stratification derived from the data inversion of the various analyzed regions agrees well with that represented by the corresponding 1D model, both qualitatively and quantitatively, except for pore data, which exhibit a different trend at all atmospheric heights compared to the 1D models that are representative of umbral regions. This result is not surprising, since previous studies already strengthened the linear dependence of umbral core brightness on their size (e.g., Collados et al. 1994; Mathew et al. 2007). These latter results, however, suggest that the 1D models employed in current SI reconstructions may inaccurately represent the temperature stratification of dark magnetic regions that are neither spatially extended nor characterized by strong magnetic fields as typical umbral regions. Moreover, such features are not accounted for in the atmosphere models of dark structures employed in SI reconstructions. Our results also suggest that pixel-by-pixel inversion of high-resolution observations allows retrieving atmosphere models that possibly better account for the contribution of the smaller-scale features in the studied FoV than obtained from an analysis of less well resolved observations. This is particularly interesting, since SI cyclic variations are closely linked to the evolution of small-scale strong-field magnetic features.

Our preliminary investigation of the accuracy of potentially entering the various atmosphere models derived from our study in SI estimates gave encouraging results. Indeed, the SI spectrum from 400 to 2400 nm synthesized on the atmosphere model derived from our QS observations differs on average by $\approx 2.2\%$ from the ATLAS-3 reference data by Thuillier et al. (2004) and by $\approx -0.14\%$ from the spectrum computed in the Kurucz QS atmosphere employed in the SATIRE-S SI model. In the same spectral range, the median difference between the

### Table 2

Spectrally Integrated Flux from 200 to 2400 nm Computed from the Observation-based Atmospheres and the FAL-(C, E, F, H, S)-99 and FAL-R-06 Models

| Obs Region | SSI Obs [W/m²] | Model Label | SSI FAL [W/m²] | Rel. Diff. [%] |
|------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| QS         | 1354.60        | C           | 1416.85        | 4.6           |
| BP         | 1404.10        | E           | 1426.06        | 1.6           |
| PL         | 1453.55        | F           | 1446.77        | -0.5          |
| PL         | 1453.55        | H           | 1510.46        | 3.9           |
| PO         | 624.24         | R           | 1205.53        | 93            |
| PO         | 624.24         | S           | 303.36         | -51           |
| UM         | 273.57         | S           | 303.36         | 11            |
QS spectra considered in the SATIRE-S and NRLSSI SI models is 2.7%. It is worth recalling that the NRLSSI is a regression-proxy model, while the SATIRE-S is a more physics-based model that includes spectral synthesis computations. At all wavelengths analyzed in our study, the spectrum derived from the Kurucz atmosphere employed in the SATIRE-S is closer to the spectrum derived from our QS observations than the spectrum considered in the NRLSSI SI model.

The results presented above encourage us to refine our RH calculations on observation-based atmospheres for potential use in SI models. In particular, the significantly lower agreement we found between our synthesis results and reference data in the NUV and UV bands than in the Vis and NIR shows that further work is needed to improve, e.g., some atomic data employed in our calculations. In addition, to properly enter synthesis results of observation-based models in SI reconstructions, a more detailed study is also required to account for the center-to-limb dependence of the intensity emerging from features that are observed at different positions on the solar disk, and for the different brightness of each magnetic feature depending on the magnetic filling factor. However, preliminary tests of the accuracy of the outcomes derived from the present study, by using data representative of other solar regions that also cover wider ranges of atmospheric heights than discussed above, have given promising results that motivate us to further work for the exploitation of atmosphere models that are derived from inversion of spectropolarimetric observations in SI reconstructions.
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