Technique proposition and material comparison for surgery rope making
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ABSTRACT: The most tension resistant, nonmetallic, surgical suture is 5 polyester. Comparing its resistance to those of the dogs’ cranial cruciate ligament and the canine gastrocnemius tendon’s until they rupture, it’s possible to detect a considerably inferior resistance on the implant’s part. With the goal of achieving high mechanical resistances from sutures, these were grouped and twisted, resulting in a surgical rope that is adjustable to the patients needs. We analyzed manufacturing methodology, final conformation and tension resistance, based on three sutures models: “A” (1 polyglactin 910), “B” (1 polyester) and “C” (5 polyester). Considering averages of thickness and final load, the “B” implants obtained lower values than “A”; indicating that polyglactin 910 ropes are more resistant than those of polyester. Moreover, the “C” implants resulted in the highest values of load and thickness, indicating that final thickness is predictive towards tension resistance. Size 1 Polyester was the only one to generate linear regression for supported load, ensuring the 25.34 Newtons (N) increment to every suture added to the implant, which suggests its use for the creation of non absorbable ropes. The use of 1 polyglactin 910 promotes load averages superior to 1 polyester; therefore, it is indicated for making absorbable surgical ropes.
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INTRODUCTION

In veterinary medicine, surgical techniques using synthetic ligaments are attracting researchers’ and clinics’ attention. The prosthesis’ objective is to allow the earlier leaning on the limb in comparison with the autograft or allograft, being capable of resisting higher mechanical loads and guaranteeing joint stability (OMINI et al., 2018). In prospective analysis of the use of polymer based synthetic ligament for replacing cranial cruciate ligament in 42 dogs, one can observe that the needed stability wasn’t reached in 7 animals in the 4th week after the surgery and 18 animals in the 24th (BARNHART et al., 2006). However, in mechanical tests of synthetic implants for chronic rupture in the gastrocnemius...
tendon in dogs, favorable mechanical results were observed, such as those of the normal gastrocnemius tendons (MORTON et al., 2015).

Analyzing clinical situations like cranial cruciate ligament ruptures in dogs, we know that they endured 48,07N ±0,41 by kilogram weight until the rupture (MÜLLER et al., 2014) and the average load supported by ligament is 648,31N (BRENDOLAN et al., 2001).

However, the gastrocnemius tendon is capable of enduring 1031,3 N ±317,6 (JOPP & REESE, 2009). Parallel to this, the most resistant nonmetallic surgical suture is 5 polyester, supporting a load of 60,43 N (ABNT, 2003).

Based on the concept of friction, it’s possible to twist overlapping sutures and neutralize the shearing of a suture over the other, configuring, then, a rope. The final conformation of the rope must respect hierarchical tree, and can be made up of three legs. The twisting raises the contact between sutures, increasing transversal pressure, which maintains the structure and rope continuity of the rope. Transversal forces in the radial direction are responsible, also, for compacting it’s interior, reducing empty spaces between the bundles (PAN & BROOKSTEIN, 2002; YILMAZ et al., 2007).

The direction of twist applied in each component of the rope is alternated. With this, it’s possible to improve material accommodation and its final mechanical resistance. Based on this dynamic, various rope configurations are obtained, which can vary according to the goal for which it’s made (MCKENNA et al., 2004). Believing in the idea of grouping sutures in order to reach higher resistances, the objective of this study was to present the method for making surgical ropes from sutures, allowing the confection, according to the needs of the patient, of highly tensile resistant implants during the transoperatory period. Due to the lower memory of multifilament suture, only these were compared. The absorbable and non absorbable multifilament samples tested were Polyglatin 910 and Polyester respectively. The thickest available Polyester is 5 Polyester and Polyglatin 910 is 1 Polyglatin 910 (ABNT, 2003).Polyester shares at least one feature with each of these two.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ropes were made from surgical sutures, and for that, only the basic surgical instruments were used, allowing implant creation during the transoperatory period. The sutures used were 1 polyglatin 910 (A), 1 polyester (B) and 5 polyester (C).

The surgical ropes were divided into nine groups, each one identified by a letter and a number, matching the material and amount of sutures used, respectively. They were: Group A9 (nine 1 polyglatin 910 sutures), A12(twelve 1 polyglatin sutures), A15(fifteen 1 polygatin sutures), B9(nine 1 polyester sutures), B12(twelve 1 polyester sutures), B15(fifteen 1 polyester sutures), C9(nine 5 polyester sutures), C12(twelve 5 polyester sutures) and C15(fifteen 5 polyester sutures). Each group was composed of three samples, adding up to 27 tested implants.

For standardizing, every suture was sectioned at a 17 centimeters (cm) size. This way, a 70 cm suture was subdivided in four sutures, leaving a safety margin of two centimeters for the process of sectioning the tips.

The rope making process was based on a pilot study. To start, two hemostatic Crile forceps fixed one of the ends of all the sutures together. For that, the forceps were positioned in the opposite direction from one another, easing their handling during the rope making. This end was named the “Anchor End” and its creation is the first step in the making of all ropes (Figure 1a).

Conversely, the total amount of sutures per implant was divided in three bundles, and each bundle tip was held together by a Crile forceps. This originated the three legs of the rope. Implants with nine, 12 and 15 sutures had their legs composed of three, four and five sutures, respectively. This end was called the “Leg End” (Figure 1a).

After defining the ends of the implants began the twisting step, which was timed. The three forceps on the leg end were twisted in isolation around their own axis with 15 complete rounds, one after another, in the same direction (standardized in this study as clockwise to the leg end forceps manipulator). The anchor end stayed still. During the twisting, tension was exerted continuously between the leg and anchor ends, thus, minimizing the chance of making irregularly twisted legs.

Afterward, the anchor end was twisted counterclockwise to its forceps manipulator, while the leg end was still. It was twisted 15 complete rounds around the implant axis, respecting the continuous tension between the ends during the process. To avoid losing implant length, ligature was done immediately before the forceps were opened and removed. With this, the rope making process is done (Figure 1b). Every implant was made by the same pair of researchers.

With the help of a caliper, each rope’s thickness was measured in millimeters (mm) in three
different spots: Beginning (anchor end), middle and end (leg end). The diameter of each rope was obtained from the average of these three spots. The final length (from ligature to ligature) was evaluated with the help of a ruler. The measuring were done by the same devices and researcher.

The tensile test of the 27 samples was done in the Construction Materials Lab at Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Tensile tests were done in the tensile and compression tests press, Anmsle brand, universal model. The machine has a hydraulic load system, two conical claws, 10 ton capacity and manually controlled speed (minimum 50 kilogram per second). The ropes were fixed to a solid iron bar, which was attached to the conical claws of the press. The sample was tensioned until breaking while the press scale recorded the maximum supported load in kilogram. Afterward, the load was transformed in Newton.

**Data analysis**

For data analysis, the statistical package SAS®, version 9.4 was used. After the normalcy test (Shapiro-Wilk) variance analysis was made. Through the MIXED procedure, the number of sutures, materials and their interactions were considered as fixed effects, and samples and residue as random effects. When differences were observed, the averages were compared using the means resource and the interactions were unfolded when significant at 5% probability.

The regression analysis between the number of sutures and the answer variables was done through the REG procedure. The correlation evaluation was done through the CORR procedure. Probability limits values of 5% were adopted for significance.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

There was no significant interaction between the number of sutures and type of material to load variable (p>0.05; Table 1). However, isolated, both factors influenced final resistance (p<0.05; Table 1).

Using nine sutures resulted in load 19.81% lower than using 12 and 15 sutures (Table 1). Material “C” supported loads 86% and 150% higher than materials “A” and “B”, respectively (p>0.05; Table 1).

There was interaction between number of sutures and type of material for the variables length and thickness (p>0.05; Table 1). When nine, 12 and 15 sutures were used, the thickness was superior in material “C” (3.30, 4.00 e 4.63 mm, respectively), intermediary in material “A” (2.34, 2.80 e 3.20 mm, respectively) and inferior in material “B” (2.23, 2.43 e 2.73 mm, respectively). The highest length was observed in material “A” (13,87, 13,40 e 13,07 cm, respectively), intermediary in material “B” (13,08, 12,63 e 12,53 cm, respectively), and inferior in material “C” (12,30, 11,17 e 10,23 cm, respectively).

Positive correlation was observed between load and thickness (p<0.01; r=0.92), indicating that the higher the thickness, higher the expected final...
Negative correlation was observed between number of sutures and length \( (p=0.03; r=-0.42) \) and between load and length \( (p<0.01; r=-0.77) \).

We observed significant linear regression between the number of sutures and the length \( (\hat{Y} =14,741 - 0.189; p=0.03; R=17\%) \) creating a 0.189 cm reduction in the final implant length for each suture added to the rope. There was also linearity between number of sutures and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =1,300 + 0.148x; p=0.01; R=23\%) \), every suture added raised by 0.148 mm the final thickness of the implant. There was also linearity between load and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =-290,983 + 254,795; p<0.01; R=84\%) \) indicating that for each mm of thickness added, a raise of 254.975 N in load is expected. Therefore, upon adding one suture to the rope, an increment of 37,736 N in final load is expected.

For material “A”, significant linear regression was observed between the number of sutures and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =1,122 + 0.139x; p<0.001; R=97\%) \), representing a 0.139 mm raise in final thickness for every suture added to the implant. For implant “B”, there was linear regression between number of sutures and load \( (\hat{Y} =1,667 + 25,339x; p<0.001; R=81\%) \) and between number of sutures and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =1,467 + 0.083x; p<0.001; R=94\%) \). Thus, every suture added to the rope will result in a 25,339 N raise in load and a 0.083 mm raise in final thickness of the implant. There was also linear regression between load and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =-371,675 + 274,625; p<0.01; R=70\%) \) indicating that each mm of thickness creates a 274,625 N raise in final load (Figure 2).

For material “C”, there was linear regression between the number of sutures and length \( (\hat{Y} =15,367 - 0.344x; p<0.001; R=94\%) \) indicating that for every suture added to the implant, a 0.344 cm reduction is expected in the final length. There was also linearity between number of sutures and thickness \( (\hat{Y} =1,311 + 0.222x; p<0.001; R=93\%) \) indicating that every suture added to the implant results in a 0.222 mm raise in final thickness.

The average time of the twisting stage was 73 sec ±39 sec. Complications such as shearing sutures between forceps were the only ones observed in this stage, not representing problems for the conclusion of the process beyond the need to restart the making of the rope.

The tested sutures were chosen because of the correspondence of diameter between “A” and “B” and raw material between “B” and “C”. The thickness and final load averages were lower in “B” than “A”. Moreover, the “C” implants resulted in the highest values (Table 1). Such results indicated that the polyglactin 910 ropes are more resistant than those of polyester, however final thickness is predictive for higher resistance.

Material “B” was the only one to obtain significant linear regression for supported load. The implants are subject to thickness variability according to the pressure applied during their making. Low tensile resistances are believed to be related to variability in suture diameter, because the places with the lowest diameter are stress concentration zones, predisposing their rupture (Alves et al., 2017). In this sense, the variability could explain the absence of linear regression in “A” and “C”, as well as the non-interaction between number of sutures and type of material to the supported load (Table 1). We believed this is the study’s greatest limitation.

The tissues’ repair depends on multiple variables, which included the tissue characteristics, suture material properties and surgical technique used (Gomide et al., 2018). When using surgical sutures to recover lost articular stability, the implants must

Table 1 - Averages according to the number of sutures and material used.

| Variables | -----Number of sutures (S)----- | -----Type of material (M)----- | SEM* | -----Probability------ |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------------------------|
| Load** (N) | 423.47b 500.3a 555.89a 409.83b | 305.73c 764.09a | 20.80 | <0.01 <0.01 0.34 |
| Length (cm) | 13.08 12.4 11.94 13.44 | 12.74 11.23 | 0.12 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.02 |
| Thickness (mm) | 2.63 3.08 3.52 2.79 | 2.47 3.98 | 0.04 | <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 |

*SEM = Standard error of the means.
**For the load variable, distinct letters indicate statistical variation between averages.
be able to resist the same tensile forces to which the original ligaments were submitted (FUKUDA et al., 1986). Thereby, previous knowledge of the structure to be replaced by the rope is imperative, allowing the estimate of number of sutures and material necessary for the making of the implant.

When bone tunnels are used to replace deficient cranial cruciate ligaments with synthetic grafts, the implants must be lengthy enough to go through the orifices (JOHNSTON & TOBIAS, 2017). Thus, the negative correlation reported in this study between number of sutures and length must be considered during the surgical planning and rope making. The linear regression of material “C” (reduction of 0.344 cm in final implant length for every suture added) allowed the shortening of the ropes to be calculated. The thickness was linear for all groups, allowing the diameter of the drill for the bone tunnel to be estimated after the implant choice.

The TightRope Fiber Tape system is composed by synthetic multifilament and possesses high biomechanical resistance, being used for correction of cranial cruciate ligament deficiency in dogs (BISKUP et al., 2014; COOK et al., 2010). The biomechanical analysis of tensile resistance of the Fiber Tape implant resulted in an average load of 725 N (ROSE et al., 2012). Comparing to our data, material “C” obtained superior load averages (764.09 N) with average thickness of 3.98 mm. The linearity of supported load in material “B” allows the estimate that an implant with 29 sutures will support a similar average load (734.831 N), resulting in a device with approximately 2.407 mm thickness.

CONCLUSION

We asserted that the methodology of implant making is available and the production time is short, not compromising surgical time. Confirming our hypothesis, there is interaction and positive correlation between final implant thickness and its tensile resistance. Polyester 5 reached the highest mechanical resistance loads; however, not linearly. We suggested the use of 1 polyester for the making of non absorbable implants, because it’s possible to estimate the increased strength for every added suture to the implant (25.339 N). The use of 1 polyglactin 910 promotes load averages superior to those of 1 polyester; therefore, supporting in vivo studies with absorbable surgical rope.
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