Gastric phytobezoars as a very unusual cause of gastric outlet obstruction
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INTRODUCTION

Bezoars are collections of indigestible foreign material found in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and animals.[1] Bezoars are divided into four groups according to their combination, including phytobezoars (fibers, fruit remnants, and skins of vegetables), trichobezoars (ingested hair), pharmacobezoars (medications), and lactobezoars (milk protein in milk-fed babies and infants).[2] Phytobezoars are the most common among the types of bezoars. Some foods contain high amounts of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and tannins, which are the main components of phytobezoars.[3] Complications from phytobezoars include gastric outlet obstruction (GOO), ileus, ulcerations due to pressure necrosis, and subsequent gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) and perforation.[4,5]

Here, we report a very unusual case of GOO due to gastric phytobezoars, whose diagnosis and treatment were done by laparotomy. A comprehensive search of the electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar) was conducted. Searches were confined to literature using the following search terms: (bezoars or phytobezoars) and gastric obstruction outlet.

CASE REPORT

An 86-year-old woman with a chief complaint of postprandial vomiting for 20 days came to Khorshid Hospital Clinic in Isfahan City. She was carrying a multidetector computerized tomography (MDCT) with contrast from a week ago that reported an unknown soft tissue lesion adjacent to gastric antrum and duodenum; therefore, she was admitted to the gastroenterology ward, Khorshid Hospital. She described her vomiting as projectile and nonbloody, which had been occurred 5–10 min after swallowing solid foods but not liquids. Her last episode of defecating was the previous night of admission. She also noted colicky abdominal pain in the right upper quadrant, which was not associated...
with eating and defecation. No sign and symptoms of GIB were detected. There was a history of loss of appetite but no evidence of weight loss.

She had been suffered from hypertension and right-sided heart failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, but there was no history of other comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus. We found a history of cholecystectomy surgery from her medical record. On physical examination, the abdomen was soft with no tenderness and organomegaly. Three plus (3+) lower extremities edema was measured that was supposed as a result of right-sided heart failure. The rest of her examinations were unremarkable. Her electrocardiogram had normal sinus rhythm with the normal axis. Q-wave was detected in inferior leads (III, avf). It also had poor R progression, prolong PR, and low-voltage QRS. Her chest X-ray was in the supine position. Mild hyperinflation, mild bilateral hilar prominence, and mild right pleural effusion were detected. Cardiothoracic ratio was increased, but due to the supine position, it was not judicable.

Serum creatinine concentration was 1.1 mg/dL, which classified her in 3A stage of chronic kidney disease (CKD), but there was no proof of CKD in her medical record. Her echocardiogram showed elevated pulmonary artery pressure and normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Other laboratory findings are shown in Table 1.

MDCT reported a hypodense lesion, measuring 68 mm × 52 mm adjacent to the gastric antrum and duodenum [Figure 1], which can be a soft tissue lesion or chronic collection. To investigate the cause of her persistent vomiting and due to the MDCT report, we decided to perform an endoscopy. Subsequently, these findings were determined by endoscopy: (1) circumferential mucosal ulcers were seen in the distal part of the esophagus, which was classified as esophagitis LA Class D, (2) food remnant was seen in body, fundus of the stomach, and entrance of the pylorus, (3) prominent pylorus was seen due to external pressure. Due to external pressure, GOO was diagnosed which resulted in not passing the endoscope into the first part of the duodenum (D1). Endoscopic images are shown in Figure 2.

Due to endoscopy findings and MDCT report, surgical consultation was done and strongly recommended exploratory laparotomy to accurately diagnose the problem. Since she had a history of elevated pulmonary artery pressure and its related cardiomyopathy, the anesthetic team evaluated her anesthesia at high risk. However, she underwent general anesthesia because of surgery necessity. The patient provided informed consent for expedited laparotomy.

On the 4th day after hospitalization, an exploratory laparotomy was performed and a huge foreign body was detected in the distal part of the pylorus and bulb. The surgeon did gastrostomy in which they found a bulk of phytobezoars that was the cause of GOO. To remove these phytobezoars, the surgeon smashed them and anastomosed stomach to the proximal jejunum.

After surgery, the anesthetic team could not extubate her because of respiratory distress. Furthermore, her cardiopulmonary function was impaired and she was faced with episodes of cardiopulmonary arrest during recovery, as well as elevated creatinine serum concentration to 2.11 mg/dL. To provide intensive care, she transferred to the intensive care unit. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was successful at the first and second time of cardiopulmonary arrest on the 3rd day after surgery. Nevertheless, 2 days later, cardiopulmonary arrest occurred again and she expired nine days after admission to the hospital.

**DISCUSSION**

Bezoars are divided into four main types, including phytobezoars, trichobezoars, and lactobezoars, and medication bezoars. Trichobezoars, known as hairballs, are lump of swallowing hairs common among mentally disabled women and children. Lactobezoars are a pathological condition that occurred in low-birth-weight and premature

---

**Table 1: Patient laboratory findings at admission time**

| Laboratory finding     | Result | Reference value |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)      | 12.7   | 12.5-16         |
| Leukocytes (x10³/µL)   | 5.3    | 4-10            |
| Platelets (x10³/µL)    | 128    | 150-450         |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | 11    | 7-20            |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)     | 1.1    | 0.5-1.0         |
| ESR (mm/h)             | 53     | 0-48*           |
| C-reactive protein     | 2+     | Qualitative     |
| Sodium (mEq/L)         | 142    | 135-145         |
| Potassium (mEq/L)      | 4.2    | 3.5-5           |

*Upper limit of normal ESR in women older 50 years are calculated as follows: (age+10)/2. ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate
neonates, and it is the accumulation of highly concentrated preterm formula. Medication bezoars are the aggregation of undigested solid and semi-liquid drugs. Phytobezoar, the most common type of bezoars, is a concretion formed in the gastrointestinal tract and composed chiefly of undigested compacted plant fiber. Gastric bezoars are relatively rare. A study of Romanian reported 49 gastric bezoars cases in 20 years (0.068% from all endoscopies).

A variety of risk factors such as partial gastrectomy, vagotomy and pyloroplasty, peptic ulcer disease, chronic gastritis, Crohn’s disease, cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, gastroparesis, diabetes mellitus, aging, and dietary habits are associated with increased chance of phytobezoar formation. Our patient just had only one risk factor, i.e., aging. Phytobezoars could lead to ulceration, ileus, bleeding intussusception, obstruction, and perforation. In our case, when the patient was admitted, she was carrying a CT scan, which reported suspicion to soft lesion or chronic collection adjacent to the gastric outlet and duodenum. Endoscopic findings in our patient were wrongly considered as food remnants in the result of GOO. Therefore, definite diagnosis was not made and the patient underwent exploratory laparotomy.

Table 2: The studies on the diagnosis and treatment of patients with phytobezoars, which cause GOO

| No | Year | Sex | Age | Symptoms                          | Diagnostic                  | Location                              | Therapeutic technique | Outcome |
|----|------|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 1  | 2008 | F   | 62  | Epigastric pain, vomiting         | Laparotomy                  | Gastrojejunal anastomosis site         | Surgery               | Survive |
| 2  | 2012 | M   | 67  | Epigastric pain, vomiting         | Endoscopy                   | Efferent limb of gastrojejunostomy    | Endoscopy             | Survive |
| 3  | 2014 | M   | 76  | Vomiting                          | Endoscopy                   | Pylorus                               | Endoscopy             | Survive |
| 4  | 2014 | M   | 80  | Vomiting, epigastric pain, early satiety | Endoscopy             | Pylorus                               | Endoscopy             | Survive |
| 5  | 2017 | M   | 89  | Epigastric pain, vomiting         | Endoscopy                   | Pylorus                               | Endoscopy             | Survive |
| 6  | 2019 | M   | 57  | Abdominal pain, vomiting          | Endoscopy                   | Pylorus                               | Surgery               | Survive |
| 7  | 2020 | F   | 24  | Abdominal pain and vomiting       | Endoscopy                   | Gastric fundus to the pylorus         | Surgery               | Survive |

In the case of suspects to diagnose phytobezoars, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is a method of choice to establish the diagnosis of a gastric bezoar and determine its composition. In endoscopy, typically, single masses in various colors, related to composition, are directly seen. In patients evaluated by CT scan due to suspicion to obstruction or other indications, round or ovoid mass with air bubbles and a mottled appearance is a mark of bezoars. In our case, when the patient was admitted, she was carrying a CT scan, which reported suspicion to soft lesion or chronic collection adjacent to the gastric outlet and duodenum. Endoscopic findings in our patient were wrongly considered as food remnants in the result of GOO. However, those findings were the cause of GOO. Therefore, definite diagnosis was not made and the patient underwent exploratory laparotomy.

Treatment of phytobezoars is categorized into four types, including chemical dissolution, endoscopic removal, adjuvant prokinetics, and surgery. The first-line treatment is making use of chemical dissolvent, for example, cola, unless the phytobezoar is large. The amount of cola that affects phytobezoars is at least 3 liters consumed by lavaging or drinking. Although its amount can vary from patient to patient, the treatment may fail. The next choice to remove phytobezoars is endoscopic removal. In this method, initially, phytobezoars are fragmented into smaller parts using water jet, direct suction through a large channel endoscope, forceps, or snares. These small parts could then be removed through endoscopic, large-bore nasogastric tube or passed through the gastrointestinal tract. Finally, if the above treatments are inefficient, then laparoscopic
or laparotomy surgery is recommended. In our case, the diagnosis was not made till exploratory laparotomy surgery; thus, our removal method was immediately done after diagnosis through laparotomy surgery. Old age, high pulmonary artery pressure, and cardiac problems (ischemic changes) were the risk factors for surgery and were important factors in patient death.

CONCLUSION

Phytobezoar-induced GOO is rare, therefore, its diagnosis is still a challenge. Following suspicion to phytobezoars, endoscopic investigation and CT scan are the methods of choice to diagnose. Phytobezoars removal is done by chemical dissolution, adjuvant prokinetics, endoscopic devices, or surgery. Phytobezoars is a curable condition, but late diagnosis or misdiagnosis could lead to significant morbidity or mortality. Physicians are advised to put bezoars in their differential diagnosis list if CT scan report soft tissue lesion adjacent to stomach or bowel.
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