Clocking Enhanced Ionization of Hydrogen Molecules with Rotational Wave Packets
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Laser-induced rotational wave packets of H2 and D2 molecules were experimentally measured in real time by using two sequential 25-fs laser pulses and a reaction microscope. By measuring the time-dependent yields of the above-threshold dissociation and the enhanced ionization of the molecule, we observed a few-femtosecond time delay between the two dissociation channels for both H2 and D2. The delay was interpreted and reproduced by a classical model that considers enhanced ionization and thus additional interaction within the laser pulse. We demonstrate that by accurately measuring the phase of the rotational wave packet in hydrogen molecules we can resolve dissociation dynamics which is occurring within a fraction of a molecular rotation. Such a rotational clock is a general concept applicable to sequential fragmentation processes in other molecules.
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Intense light can couple nuclear and electron dynamics leading to hallmark phenomena of molecular strong-field physics such as correlated electron-nuclear energy sharing [1–3], bond softening [4], bond hardening [5], above-threshold dissociation [2,6,7], light-induced conical and nonconical intersections [8,9], and enhanced ionization [10,11], all of which have been extensively studied in the hydrogen molecule H2 (see, e.g., Refs. [12–15], and references therein). However, since the nuclear dynamics of H2 and its ion proceeds rapidly on a few-femtosecond timescale, it has been a challenge to disentangle the precise timings of the different pathways. Using electron and ion momentum imaging, the bound state wave packet of the H2+ molecular ion was recently resolved within the first few femtoseconds [16]. The time delay between above-threshold dissociation (ATD) and bond softening was determined using polarization-skewed laser pulses [17]. However, the timing of enhanced ionization [10,11] has been difficult to resolve [18–20].

In this Letter, we demonstrate that rotational wave packets of H2 and D2 can serve as an ultrafast clock for probing time delays of the molecular dissociation dynamics on the few-femtosecond timescale within a single multicycle pulse. Using a reaction microscope [21], time-resolved yields of all strong-field dissociation channels were measured, including their relative timing. The difference in their timing is understood and reproduced by a classical model that considers specific triggering times for the different dissociation channels.

As shown in Fig. 1, when H2 is exposed to an intense near-infrared (NIR) laser field, the following steps may occur sequentially. (1) The molecule is singly ionized by absorption of more than 10 NIR photons and a bound molecular ion is created (bond ionization, BI). (2) The bond between the two nuclei stretches, and the molecule absorbs three additional photons at the internuclear distance R1 and dissociates along the 2pσu state. (3) The molecule emits one photon at R2 and breaks up into a singly charged ion and a neutral atom, via ATD [net two-photon pathway, shown by blue arrows in Fig. 1(a)]. Alternatively, after step (1), the molecule may expand along the 1sσg curve and promote to the 2pσu state by absorbing one additional photon at R2 for direct dissociation. This pathway is known as bond softening, which is shown by red arrows in Fig. 1(a). (4) As the bond further stretches, the remaining electron is removed via charge-resonance enhanced ionization (EI) in the strong laser field at a range of critical internuclear distances [10], leading to a Coulomb explosion of the bare protons. Bond softening and ATD are distinguishable from EI by the number of produced protons, as well as in the energy spectrum, as they gain different kinetic energies along their respective dissociative pathways; see Fig. 1(b). For each reaction pathway the sequence of these steps with relative timings t0, t1, t2, and (in the case of EI) t3 is well defined [17,18,22,23]. However, when all processes occur within a single pulse, how can one extract the relative timing between these processes? To answer this question, we design a pump-probe experiment in which the pump
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pulse only creates rotational wave packets triggering a rotational clock in H₂, and the probe pulse ionizes and dissociates the neutral molecule.

Experimentally, 25-fs linearly polarized laser pulses at the central wavelength of 800 nm are generated by an amplified Ti:sapphire laser. A Mach-Zehnder interferometer is employed to achieve the pump-probe measurement with two 800-nm laser pulses. The pump and probe pulses are focused inside the reaction microscope where they intersect a supersonic H₂ or D₂ gas jet. The 3D momenta of ions are reconstructed by measuring their time of flight and impact positions in coincidence, which allows distinguishing different ionization channels of the molecules [24]. The intensities of the pump and probe pulses are estimated to be $1 \times 10^{14}$ and $4 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm² for H₂ and $1 \times 10^{14}$ and $6 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm² for D₂. The high probe pulse intensities ensure that the net-two-photon pathway dominates the dissociation process. Thus, the contribution of the bond softening (one-photon) pathway to the enhanced ionization channel can be ignored. In both measurements, the pump pulse is polarized along the gas jet (y axis), while the probe pulse is polarized along the spectrometer axis (z axis), as shown in Fig. 2(a). This orthogonally polarized pump-probe scheme ensures that the dissociation events induced by the pump pulses can be separated from those induced by the probe.

Figure 2(b) shows the kinetic-energy release (KER) distribution as a function of the time delay between the pump and probe pulses. Here, we calculated the KER as twice the energy of the first detected proton. The data are plotted for fragments within a 45° cone around the polarization axis of the probe pulse. The peaks of the KER centered at 1.1 and 5.4 eV correspond to the ATD and EI processes, respectively. Distinct delay-dependent oscillation structures in both channels are observed. The time-resolved yields of ATD (blue circles) and EI (red squares) have been extracted and plotted in Fig. 2(c). In order to determine the oscillation frequencies for the two channels, a Fourier transform was performed on their time-dependent traces, shown for ATD (blue) and EI (red) in Fig. 2(d). Two main frequencies, 10.6 and 17.6 THz, were observed for both channels, which agree well with the rotational transitions of H₂, $J = 0 \rightarrow 2$ and $J = 1 \rightarrow 3$, respectively. This confirms that the rotational wave packets were created in the H₂ molecule by the pump pulse. As both ATD and EI are sensitive to the molecular alignment, their time-resolved yields reflect the rotational wave packets of H₂. It is worth mentioning that the 25-fs pulses are ideal to align H₂ compared to few-cycle pulses. This is because the pulse is still short enough that the neighboring rotational states of the molecule can be coherently superimposed, meanwhile the pulse is not so short as to create vibrational wave packets of H₂⁺ on top of the rotational wave packets of H₂ [25].

Although ATD and EI show identical oscillation structures, which were mapped by the rotational wave packets in the hydrogen molecules, a clear time delay in their traces was observed, as is visible in Fig. 2(c). In order to extract this time delay, we fit their yields $Y_{\text{ATD}}$ and $Y_{\text{EI}}$ by the superposition of $n$ cosine functions as follows:

$$Y_{\text{ATD}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i) + b_0, \quad (1)$$

$$Y_{\text{EI}}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cos(\omega_i (t - \delta t) + \phi_i) + d_0, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_i$ is the $i$th rotational transition angular frequency obtained in the Fourier transform and $n$ is the total number of these frequencies. In the measurement of H₂, $n = 2$. The coefficients $a_i$ and $c_i$ denote the amplitudes of the $i$th transition frequency of ATD and EI, and $b_0$ and $d_0$ represent the mean values of the yields of ATD and EI, respectively. $\phi_i$ is the phase of the $i$th frequency of ATD, and $\delta t$ is the extracted time delay of EI with respect to ATD. The fit results of ATD and EI for H₂ are plotted in Fig. 2(c). Figure 2(e) shows the same curves as in Fig. 2(c) for a shorter time window between 250 and 350 fs. The delay from the fit is $\delta t = 5.5 \pm 0.7$ fs.

How can this time delay be explained? As shown in Fig. 1(a), for enhanced (double) ionization of H₂, four sequential steps need to occur in the probe pulse after the creation of rotational wave packets in H₂. Under the high intensity of the probe pulse, BI is almost isotropic in the molecular frame [26], and the ionization yield barely depends on the degree of alignment $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the molecular axis and the polarization of the probe pulse. As the dissociation process involves the $1s\sigma_g$ and the $2p\sigma_u$ states, which are coupled through a parallel transition, both ATD and EI are alignment-dependent processes [27,28]. Therefore, the yields of ATD and EI oscillate with increasing pump-probe delay. Figure 3(a) illustrates the intensity distribution of pump and probe pulses as a function of time. We define the time when
the first electron is ionized as $t_{0}$, and the three-photon absorption, the one-photon emission, and the enhanced ionization as $t_{1}$, $t_{2}$, and $t_{3}$, respectively. Here, $t_{0}$ can be any time in the duration of the probe pulse as long as the intensity at $t_{0}$ is sufficient for removing the first electron. However, $t_{2} - t_{0}$ and $t_{3} - t_{0}$ are quantities which indicate the actual time taken by the molecule to stretch to bond lengths $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ that are associated with ATD and EI, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3(a). These quantities remain approximately constant regardless of the pump-probe delay. For EI the intensity required for the second ionization is higher than compared to the net two-photon absorption of the ATD channel. Therefore, the $t_{0}$ of EI can be different than that of ATD. Since the period of the rotational transition $J = 1 \rightarrow 3$ of H$_{2}$ is comparable to the duration of the probe laser pulse, even a few-femtosecond change across the pulse leads to a non-negligeable variation of the degree of alignment. Because ATD and EI occur at different times after the first ionization step in the probe pulse, their alignment dependence translates into a temporal shift of their yields as a function of time delay.

Having understood the qualitative origin of the time delay, we now develop a model to calculate the time-dependent yields of ATD and EI within the probe pulse. Note that Fig. 3(a) only shows the case in which the final step of EI occurs at a specific time $\tau + t$, where $\tau$ is the pump-probe delay, and $t$ is the time interval from the peak of the probe pulse to the time when EI occurs. To calculate the yields of ATD and EI at the time delay $\tau$, in our model, we calculate the probabilities of ATD and EI for each bin of time and integrate them over the whole pulse envelope. As both ATD and EI stem from BI, the time-dependent ionization rate from the first step $r_{BI}(\tau)$ is calculated. In this calculation, we assume that the ionization is isotropic (not alignment dependent) and the atomic Ammosov-Delone-Krainov (ADK) model [29] can thus be applied for single ionization of H$_{2}$. ATD consists of the three-photon absorption (at $t_{1}$) and the one-photon emission (at $t_{2}$). Therefore, the rate of ATD is given by the product of the three-photon up-transition rate and the one-photon down-transition rate [17]. The $n$-photon transition rate is proportional to $I^{n}(\tau)\cos^{2n}\theta(\tau)$, where $I$ is the laser intensity and $\cos^{2}\theta$ is the degree of alignment. Modeling EI is challenging due to the complex charge-resonance enhanced ionization mechanism [19,20,30,31]. For simplicity, we treat EI as a multiphoton process. We can thus derive the rates of ATD and EI at times $t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$, $r_{\text{ATD}}(t_{2})$ and $r_{\text{EI}}(t_{3})$, as follows:

$$r_{\text{ATD}}(t_{2}) \propto r_{\text{BI}}(t_{0})I^{3}(t_{1})\cos^{6}\theta(t_{1})I(t_{2})\cos^{2}\theta(t_{2}),$$

$$r_{\text{EI}}(t_{3}) \propto r_{\text{ATD}}(t_{2})I^{n}(t_{3})\cos^{2n}\theta(t_{3}),$$

where $t_{0} = \tau + t - \Delta t_{23} - \Delta t_{12} - \Delta t_{01}$, $t_{1} = \tau + t - \Delta t_{23} - \Delta t_{12}$, $t_{2} = \tau + t - \Delta t_{23}$, and $t_{3} = \tau + t$.

Integrating over $\tau$, the yields of ATD and EI as a function of the pump-probe delay are

$$\tilde{Y}_{\text{ATD}}(\tau) = \int_{-a}^{a} r_{\text{ATD}}(\tau + t - \Delta t_{23})dt,$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{\text{EI}}(\tau) = \int_{-a}^{a} r_{\text{EI}}(\tau + t)dt.$$ 

In the above equations, $n$ is the number of photons absorbed in the enhanced ionization. Inferred from the potential-energy curve of H$_{2}$ and the photon energy of the laser, $n = 11$. $a$ is a parameter which determines the width of the integration. In this calculation, $a$ was set to 50 fs, which ensures that the whole 25-fs pulse was covered. $\cos^{2}\theta$ is calculated by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for H$_{2}$, which is plotted in Fig. 3(b). $\Delta t_{23}$ denotes the duration of movement of the nuclei from $R_{2}$, where one-photon emission of ATD is triggered to $R_{3}$, at which enhanced ionization takes place. $\Delta t_{12}$ and $\Delta t_{01}$ are the times of bond stretching from $R_{1}$ to $R_{2}$ and from $R_{0}$ to $R_{1}$, respectively. From the potential-energy curve of H$_{2}$, $R_{0}$, $R_{1}$, and $R_{2}$ are determined to be 1.4, 3.3, and 4.7 a.u. approximately. The internuclear distance $R_{3}$, however, depends on the intensity during the enhanced ionization process [32]. $R_{3}$ can be obtained from the KER in the measurement using the following equation:
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\[
\frac{1}{R_3} = E_{EI} - E_{ATD},
\]
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simulation are 7.6, 4.1, and 3.2 fs, respectively. The calculated yields of ATD (blue curve) and EI (red curve)
are shown as a function of the pump-probe delay in
Fig. 4(a), together with the experimental data (shaded area
given by the error bars). The oscillation structures in the
yields of ATD and EI are well reproduced in our calcu-
lation. Their yields as a function of the delay from 250 to
350 fs are plotted in Fig. 4(b). A clear delay is observed
between the traces of ATD and EI. Using the above-
mencined fitting method, the extracted delay is
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To test the rotational clock method, we performed a
measurement on D2 using the same experimental setup and
similar pulse intensities. Since the nuclei of D2 are heavier
than H2, we expect a longer delay between ATD and EI
with the same experimental conditions. The measured time-
resolved yields of ATD (blue shaded area) and EI (red
shaded area) are shown in Fig. 4(c), and the extracted delay
from the experiment is δt = 6.5 ± 0.5 fs. The same method
of calculation as for H2+ was applied to D2+. These results
are plotted on top of the experimental data in Fig. 4(c),
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Figure 4(d) shows the calculation from 250 to 350 fs. The
delay between ATD and EI from the calculation is 6.1 fs,
is used to 5.2 fs when a 40-fs pulse is used. This can be explained by the fact that for a shorter (or less intense) pulse $t_0$, $t_1$, $t_2$, and $t_3$ are squeezed into a shorter total time range due to the nonlinear dependences of the initial ionization step and the late enhanced ionization step, giving rise to a shorter delay between ATD and EI.

In conclusion, we observed a few-femtosecond delay between the time-dependent yields of ATD and EI of $\text{H}_2^+$ which were modulated by a rotational wave packet in $\text{H}_2$. A model has been developed which reproduces the observed delays well. This method provides the general concept of a sequential dissociation processes. In combination with a two-color scheme [9], the separation of simultaneously occurring dissociation pathways should become possible. The technique should be applicable to all molecules that can be aligned in a controlled way and preferably dissociated along one of the molecular axes. While for more complex molecules, it may not be possible to identify individual pathways, similar rotational-clock delays of individual fragmentation pathways can still help to discover and separate dissociation mechanisms. In addition, this mechanistic understanding of sequential interactions with a dynamic system also helps in developing general approaches for controlling the ultrafast molecular dynamics.
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