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Abstract The study examined the profit and market efficiency of small-scale modern groundnut oil extraction of RMP-12 and Ex-dakar varieties in Gombe Metropolis Nigeria. A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select 90 small-scale groundnut oil processors. Data were collected using structured questionnaires and were analysed using farm budget, sherpherd-futrel and paired t-test models. The results revealed that costs of shelled groundnut constituted the major (92.3% and 91.6%) components of processing costs for RMP-12 and Ex-dakar groundnut varieties respectively. The gross ratio and operating ratio were all < 1, meaning that the business was profitable. Also, the returns per naira of RMP-12 and Ex-dakar were ₦ 0.17 ($ 0.0006) and ₦ 0.25 ($0.0009) respectively. The marketing coefficient revealed Jekadafari markets (69.97%) to be most efficient in the case of Ex-dakar products. The results further revealed 82.29% and 74.83% of the gross margins of respective RMP-12 and Ex-dakar varieties were spent on marketing costs, with the remaining 17.71% and 25.17% were retained as the net profit and difference was significant (P<0.01). Despite the fact that RMP-12 variety gave higher gross income, the study concluded that the Ex-dakar variety performed better. Major impediments to profit and market efficiency were inadequate capital, high costs of shelled groundnut and transportation costs. It is therefore recommended that, the traders should have access to formal loans so as to improve productivity and efficiency.
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1. Introduction

Edible oil extraction can be grouped into two: mechanical pressing and solvent extraction. Sometimes the latter compliments the former. For oilseeds with high oil content such as groundnut, first mechanical pressing will be applied and over 85% of the oil will be extracted. The remaining oil in the expeller cake will then be extracted with solvent. For some other oilseed with low oil content, solvent extraction is generally considered as the best alternative. However, the initial investment cost of solvent extraction is much higher than mechanical pressing. In addition, solvent extraction is more appropriate for large scale than small-scale enterprise [CSA] (2012). Therefore, for the purpose of this study the mechanical pressing technology has been focused.

Under normal circumstances groundnuts being the major raw materials of oil extraction and cake production flow from the farms and or rural markets to the processing plants in the urban centres where the demand is relatively high. The reason is that, most of the urban dwellers are civil servants and workers of service sectors other than farming, who do not have time and place to engage in production, processing and or marketing, hence depend largely on farm products [18]. The produce are assembled in big lots and move to bigger isolated urban markets. Standard units of measurement and pricing were established in groundnut oil and groundnut cake marketing; the oils are poured into gallons, jerry cans, bottles etc of different shapes and sizes, the cakes are bagged and weighted in tonnes. Despite groundnuts are seasoned crops, the oil millers partake in the business all year round [17]. There are many varieties of groundnut grown in Nigeria [8]. Some were considered ‘traditional’ but others have been introduced in the last few decades; for instance the Yardaker (Ex-Dakar) and Maiborgo (RMP-12) which are known for their high content of edible oil [23].

According to Gombe State Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy [GOSEEDS] (2010), groundnut processing vis-à-vis oil extraction is a common socioeconomic activity found in the State; as increase in production leads to a derived demand of the outputs, necessitated the establishment of small, medium and large-scale processing plants so as to add value to the crop. But, the oil processing enterprise in the State is mainly dominated by small-scale operators, based in urban areas. Small-scale groundnut mills are commercially viable, returning an annual average of 51% on typical investments of between ₦ 0.5 million ($ 1,764) - ₦ 2.5 million.
($8,820), with profits of 21% on sales. The socioeconomic benefits of groundnut oil mills include: (i) A typical small-scale groundnut oil mill employs at least 10 workers on a permanent basis and 3–5 on temporary basis with an average monthly income that is 2.5–3 times the rural average incomes. (ii) The mills offer a ready cash market for groundnut. A typical mill buys several thousands of Naira worth of groundnut per year from many different markets across the country. (iii) Other beneficiaries are that, school children who collect bottles for recycling, fuel wood suppliers, roasting and separation of seeds and (local) maintenance workshops that repair the machines. This amount to a further twenty five beneficiaries, earning hundreds of Naira per year from a mill. (iv) Benefits accrue to the community through cheaper oil of good quality; typically the groundnut oil is relatively cheaper than the refined blended oils produced by the larger companies.

The profit and market efficiency of groundnut oil processing depends on reducing the capital and operating costs as much as possible, and at the same time maximising the income from the sales of oil and other by-products. A careful study of all costs is therefore necessary before setting up a processing plant. In particular the cost of the main pieces of equipment, salaries for the expected number of workers, and the prices for raw materials, fuel and power should be assessed. The price that can be charged for oil and other by-products depends on a number of factors including quality, packaging, and the number, type and quality of competing products etc. These should each be assessed in order to predict the likely income at the planned scale of production over the year. The production costs can then be compared with the expected income to calculate the likely profitability. In most cases it is necessary to make full use of the by-products to make the enterprise financially successful [12]. It is worth while to study groundnut value chain to identify its processing and marketing efficiencies, to provide information that looks into the possible ways and means of increasing the traders’ income through accumulating capital and enhancing productivity and marketing [17]. To this effect, the research is therefore made to provide answers to the following questions: (i) is groundnut oil processing profitable? (ii) is marketing efficiency of groundnut oil processing achieved? (iii) is there varietal difference in gross margin between RMP-12 and Ex-dakar? Thus; the specific objectives of the study were to: (i) ascertain the profitability of groundnut oil processing in the study area; (ii) determine the marketing efficiency of groundnut oil and groundnut cake in the study area; (iii) assess the gross margin differential between RMP-12 and Ex-dakar products.

2. Methodology

2.1. The Study Area

Gombe metropolis is the principal urban centre of Gombe State which serves as the State capital as well as the headquarters of Gombe Local Government Council. Situated on longitude 11° 10´ E and latitude 10° 17´ N, with an altitude of 435.13 meters above sea level, covering an area of 5,200 km² and had human population of 268,536, with males constituting 68.3% with a projection of 280,000 people in 2012 [10]. Gombe is a multi-ethnic town constituting mainly of Fulani, Hausa, Tera, Bolewa, Tangale, Kanuri etc; with the majority of the population engaged in agriculture and agro-allied investments. The weather is characterised by a warm climate, having a mean diurnal temperatures of 35°C – 40°C in the months of March – May and to a <30°C during harmattan, and had mean annual rainfall of 850 mm [Gombe State Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy; GOSEEDS] [9].

2.2. Sampling Procedure

A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select 90 small-scale groundnut oil processors. In stage I, Gombe metropolis was purposively selected. The choice was made by the fact that it is the major commercial centre of the state and constituted about 85% of the target population for this study. In stage II, six market districts; Tundunwada, Jekadafari, Pantami, Herwagana, Bolari and Nasserawo were purposively selected because they were notable and predominant areas for small-scale groundnut oil processing and marketing. In stage III, two markets were selected each from the market districts. In stage IV, a total of 90 groundnut oil processors were selected using simple random sampling, proportionate to the number of marketers in each market.

2.3. Sample Size

In determining the sample size appropriate for this study, the Barlett et al. [4] model as modified by Alamu and Olukosi [3] was used, where 20% of the entire population was selected. According to this model, the appropriate sample size for a population of 451 traders was ninety (90). A proportional allocation technique was then used to determine the number of sample from each market.

2.4. Data Collection

Data for this study were collected using structured questionnaires; this was supported with personal interview in situations where the respondents did not understand the questions.

2.5. Data Analysis

Data were analysed using farm budget, profitability index, shepherded-fitrel and paired t-test models. To determine the costs, returns and net profit, the gross margin analysis as a popular model was used. According to Salako et al. [21], the Gross Margin (GM) equation is specified as;

\[ GM = TR − TVC. \]  \hfill (1)

But, Profit = Total Revenue – Total Cost; expressed as;

\[ \pi = TR − TC \] \hfill (2)

also,

\[ TC = TFC + TVC \] \hfill (3)
where:
GM = Gross Margin (₦)
TVC = Total variable costs (₦)
TFC = Total Fixed Cost (₦)
TR = Total Revenue (₦)
π = Profit (₦).

The Shephered-futrel model was used to determine marketing efficiency of groundnut oil processing in the study area. Efficiency in agro-processing firms is the most frequently used measurement of market performance. Improved market performance is the common goal of agri-business firms. The model is specified as;

\[ M.E = \frac{\text{Costs of marketing of products}}{\text{Total value of marketing of the products}} \times 100\% \] (4)

where;
M.E = Marketing efficiency (coefficient).

The coefficient shows what percentage of the total revenue is taken up by the total costs. Therefore the lower the coefficient the better the marketing margin, hence the more efficient market is [22].

The Paired t-test analysis was used to assess the varietal difference in gross margin between RMP-12 and Ex-dakar products. The model is assumed appropriate to compare the means of two sample groups [26]. Generally the model is specified as;

\[ t = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} \]

where;
t = t-value
\( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) = arithmetic means
\( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) = variances of the two groups
\( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) = sample sizes of the two group.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Costs and Returns of Small-scale

Groundnut Oil Processing Per Cycle Per Trader in Gombe Metropolis

Cost comprises of the actual expenses incurred in the performance of the marketing activities as the commodity moves from the farm to the ultimate consumer. Costs in processing and marketing of groundnut products were grouped basically into two; the fixed costs and the variable costs, while returns were obtained from the sales of groundnut oil (GNO) and groundnut cake (GNC). The average total costs and returns from processing of average 3.12 tonnes of shelled groundnut per processing cycle of at least two days per week were determined and presented in Table 1. The results revealed that, the average total processing costs for RMP-12 was ₦ 417,959.13 ($ 1,474.28) while that for Ex-dakar was ₦ 386,596.21 ($ 1,363.66). Also, the results depicted that, fixed cost components were merely 0.31% and 0.34% of the average total costs of processing respective groundnut varieties. The costs of raw RMP-12 and Ex-dakar variety accounted for 92.25% and 91.25% of the average total costs respectively. In terms of returns, ₦ 23,649.61 ($ 83.42) and ₦ 31,078.43 ($ 109.62) were realised as gross margins from processing one tonne of RMP-12 and Ex-dakar respectively. Groundnut oil generated the major (67.54% and 64.67%) of the average total costs respectively. The results depicted that, cover up the variable costs and make sufficient profit, the groundnut oil and groundnut cake must be sold jointly.

| Elements | Quantity | Unit | Amount (₦) RMP-12 | Amount (₦) Ex-dakar | Relative % of TC | Relative % of TR |
|----------|----------|------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Variable Costs** | | | | | | |
| Cost of shelled groundnut | 3.12 | tonnes | 385,576.52 | 354,213.60 | 92.25 | 91.62 |
| Salt | 25.2 | grams | 98.83 | 98.83 | 0.023 | 0.026 |
| Onion | 0.95 | kg | 184.67 | 184.67 | 0.044 | 0.048 |
| Water | 300 | litres | 154.22 | 154.22 | 0.037 | 0.04 |
| Firewood fuel | 250 | kg | 269.69 | 269.69 | 0.064 | 0.07 |
| Advertisement | - | | 134.66 | 134.66 | 0.032 | 0.035 |
| Transportation | - | | 5,368.56 | 5,368.56 | 1.28 | 1.39 |
| Labour | 4 | Man day | 7,805.56 | 7,805.56 | 1.858 | 2.017 |
| Security | 1 | night | 330.3 | 330.3 | 0.079 | 0.085 |
| Plastic oil containers | 65 | - | 15,676.66 | 15,676.66 | 3.731 | 4.051 |
| Poly-sacks | 22 | - | 1,031.34 | 1,031.34 | 0.245 | 0.266 |
| Total Variable Costs | | | 416,631.01 | 385,268.09 | 99.69 | 99.66 |
| **Fixed Costs** | | | | | | |
| Depreciation on durable items | | | 521.17 | 521.17 | 0.12 | 0.13 |
| Other fixed costs | | | 806.95 | 806.95 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| Total Fixed Costs | | | 1,328.12 | 1,328.12 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| **Total Costs** | | | 417,959.13 | 386,596.21 | 100 | 100 |
| **Returns** | | | | | | |
| Oil | | | 331,215.56 | 311,875.00 | 67.54 | 64.67 |
| Cake | | | 159,202.22 | 170,357.78 | 32.46 | 35.33 |
| **Total Returns** | | | 490,417.78 | 482,232.78 | 100 | 100 |
| Gross Margin | | | 385,268.09 | 386,596.21 | 99.69 | 99.66 |
| Net Profit | | | 72,458.65 | 95,636.57 | | |

NB: ₦ 1 = $ 283.50.
The result also agrees with Olumakinde [20], who reported that ₦ 20,000.00 ($ 70.55) − ₦ 30,000.00 ($ 105.82) profits were made from processing one tonne raw groundnut in South-eastern Nigeria. This translates that the business was profitable, as further confirmed by the rate of return to investment (ROR) (17.34% and 24.74%) meaning that for every ₦ 1 ($ 0.004) invested, ₦ 0.17 ($ 0.0006) and ₦ 0.25 ($ 0.0009) were realised as net returns from RMP-12 and Ex-dakar respectively. This also in line with Danwanka et al. [7] and Haruna et al. [11] both reported that, groundnut oil processing and marketing was profitable business in Bauchi State. Although, groundnut oil processing from the foregoing analysis is profitable but the level of profit is low. The reason for low profitability could be as a result of low price paid for the products, coupled with high cost of groundnut seeds [13].

3.2. Profitability of Small-scale Groundnut Oil Extraction in Gombe Metropolis

Profitability analysis is a component of enterprise resource planning that allows traders to forecast the profitability of a proposal or to optimise the profitability of an existing business. It can indicate sales and profit potential, specific to aspects of the market, such as customers’ socioeconomic status or product types [16]. The profitability or financial ratios used to measure solvency position and financial success of groundnut oil extraction in this study include: gross ratio (GR), operating ratio (OR), rate of return to investment (ROR), ratio of gross margin to variable costs, and ratio of gross margin to fixed costs as presented in Table 2. Gross ratio shows the relationship of total revenue to the total costs. The GR evaluates the performance of the business, such that lower ratio of < 1 is considered desirable [6]. This entails higher returns per Naira invested. From the results, the gross ratio for RMP-12 variety (0.8523) and Ex-dakar variety (0.8017) were recorded. This implies 85.23% and 80.23% of the total revenues give to pay for the total costs of processing RMP-12 and Ex-dakar respectively. This suggests a reason that Ex-dakar gave higher average net return than the RMP-12 variety.

Operating ratio is a ratio of a firm’s variable costs to its total revenue. A positive and lower ratio of < 1 is desirable as this indicates that in the event of decline in sales or revenue, the firm will maintain its profitability status. A lower ratio is an indicator of operational efficiency of a business especially when compared to same ratio for competitors. The OR does not guaranty debt repayment or expansion of the firm’s venture. Table 2 shows operating ratio of 0.8495 and 0.7989 for RMP-12 and Ex-dakar, respectively. Meaning that 84.95% and 79.89% of the total revenue were used to pay for the variable (operating) costs of the respective groundnut varieties, that (Ex-dakar) with a lower ratio tends to have higher profit.

The rate of return to investment was 0.1734 for RMP-12 and that for Ex-dakar was 0.2474, which further confirmed the relative profitability of the two groundnut varieties; meaning that for every one naira invested, ₦ 0.17 ($ 0.0006) and ₦ 0.25 ($ 0.0009) were realised respectively. This is similar with the findings of Adinya [1], who reported ₦ 0.22 ($ 0.0008) returns per naira invested in groundnut products marketing in Bekwarra Local Government Area, Cross River State. Also, Iliyasu et al. [13] recorded the net return on groundnut processing in Maiduguri metropolis as 40% of the total investment, which means for every one naira invested, 40 kobo was realised. Since the prevailing interest rate on savings is 20%, therefore, it is better to invest in groundnut oil processing than to save money in a bank.

Table 2. Profitability analysis of processing RMP-12 and Ex-dakar groundnut varieties

| Profitability indicators | Ratio (RMP-12)  | Ratio (Ex-dakar)  |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Gross Ratio              | 0.8523         | 0.8017          |
| Operating Ratio          | 0.8495         | 0.7989          |
| Returns per Naira invested | 0.1734        | 0.2474          |

3.3. Marketing Efficiency of Groundnut Products

Marketing efficiency is viewed as the maximisation of the ratio of output to input in marketing, expressed as percentage [14]. To determine the marketing efficiency of groundnut oil and cake, the Shepherd – Futrel model was employed. This model was considered accurate for measuring marketing efficiency of most agro-processed products [25]. Table 3 shows that RMP-12 variety’s oil and cake in Herwagana markets had the least marketing margin of 8.59%, this implies that 100% retail price paid by the final consumer, result in farm-to-retail price spread of only 8.56%. In other words, an average RMP-12 processor in Herwagana markets earns marketing margin of ₦ 0.086 ($ 0.0003) for every ₦ 1($ 0.004) retail price paid by the final consumer in the marketing process. This represents payments for all assembling, processing, transporting, and retailing charges added to farm products. The low level of marketing margin of the processors is attributed to exploitative activities of the middlemen. The finding contradicts Jongur & Ahmed (2008), that farmer's margin was as high as 96.81%; that only 3.19% went to middlemen involved in grains marketing in Adamawa central zone.

Moreover, the Herwagana markets were regarded less efficient base on market coefficient (96.80%), implying that only 3.20% of the total revenues that were received by the processors. This may be due to monopolistic behaviour in the markets, imperfect competition, price variation of the raw materials and transportation costs. However, the results further revealed that, Ex-dakar variety’s oil and cake in Jekadafari markets had the most marketing margin of 35.50%, this implies that, 100% retail price paid by the final consumer result in farm-to-retail price spread of only 35.50%. In other words, an average Ex-dakar processor in these markets earns a market margin of ₦ 0.36 ($ 0.0013) for every ₦ 1($ 0.004) retail price paid by the final consumer in the marketing process. Jekadafari markets having 69.97% market coefficient for Ex-dakar’s oil and cake, were most efficient. Meaning that out of the total revenues, 30.03% went to the processors while the remaining proportion went to other middlemen.
The results also showed the marketing efficiencies of Nassarawa as (82.25% and 77.73%), Bolari as (82.71% and 78.20%), Pantami as (79.03% and 72.25%) and Tudunwada as (89.44% and 84.17%) for respective RMP-12 and Ex-dakar varieties. In comparison, Ex-dakar’s products revealed to have lower coefficients than that of RMP-12 in all the markets; thus, concluded that those markets were most efficient. This was attributed to the fact that the Ex-dakar variety had lower processing costs and higher marketing margin than the RMP-12 variety. To improve the market efficiency and marketing margin, the processors should either increase the firm’s gate price or to possibly by-pass the market middlemen to get higher return from the sales [19]. This agrees with Nzima et al. [17], who in their findings reported Santhe market was the most efficient (53.70%) as compared to other markets in Mzimba & Kasungu districts of Northern and Central Malawi respectively.

This was attributed to the fact that most of groundnut processors sold their products direct to the consumers. Also Tijjani et al. [24] revealed the marketing efficiency of 32.67% was obtained in dried processed products in Maiduguri metropolis Borno State, Nigeria. This reveals that dried processed products marketers received about 67.33% per 50kg cartoon as net profit. Aidoo et al. (2013) reported similar findings that groundnut marketing was found to perform better when compared to other oil producing crops in Northern Ghana. While the groundnuts’ products traders realised 93.36% as marketing margin, the processors sold their products direct to the consumers. Also Tijjani et al. [24] revealed the marketing efficiency of 32.67% was obtained in dried processed products in Maiduguri metropolis Borno State, Nigeria. This reveals that dried processed products marketers received about 67.33% per 50kg cartoon as net profit. Aidoo et al. (2013) reported similar findings that groundnut marketing was found to perform better when compared to other oil producing crops in Northern Ghana. While the groundnuts’ products traders realised 93.36% as marketing margin, the processors sold their products direct to the consumers.

3.4. Varietal Comparative Gross Margin of RMP-12 and Ex-dakar Products in Gombe Metropolis

A gross margin for an enterprise is its financial output minus its variable costs. Gross margins however, should only be compared with figures from firms with similar characteristics and production systems. With this reservation in mind, the comparisons can give useful indication of the production and economic efficiency of an enterprise. Gross margin indicates how much has been paid for the processing and marketing services applied to the products at a particular stage in the marketing process. It is thus the first step in providing the factual information necessary to dispel the misconceptions which frequently arise when assessing the performance of the food marketing system [15]. In agricultural marketing, gross margins are useful for planning and for making comparisons of enterprises in the same firm (Lampkin, 2001). Table 4 reveals that the gross margin of Ex-dakar products was generally higher (P<0.01). This was because despite the fact that RMP-12 variety gave higher gross incomes, but Ex-dakar variety incurred less processing and marketing costs. The relatively lower marketing margin, lower net profits and higher processing costs of RMP-12 variety, corroborates the findings of Achike and Anzaku [2], who reported that competitive pressure sets in, and reduced net incomes when the outputs (oil and cake) were sold. Gross margin has remained an important tool in analysing the performance of marketing systems. The marketing costs and the gross margins can both be indicators of efficiency or inefficiency of marketing systems. The results further revealed 82.29% and 74.83% of the gross margins of RMP-12 and Ex-dakar were spent on marketing costs with the remaining 17.71% and 25.17% were retained as the net profit. As a guide to select the best variety, their gross margins were compared, and Ex-dakar was arbitrarily found to perform better.

Table 3. Marketing efficiency of groundnut oil and cake in Gombe metropolis

| Markets       | Varieties | SC (₦)     | MC (₦)     | TC (₦)     | SP (₦)     | VA (₦)     | ME(%)     |
|---------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Tudunwada     | RMP-12    | 379,439.99 | 22,003.38  | 401,443.37 | 448,838.46 | 69,398.47  | 89.44     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 348,720.00 | 22,003.38  | 370,723.38 | 440,435.85 | 92,733.85  | 84.17     |
| Jekadafari    | RMP-12    | 384,072.00 | 27,395.41  | 411,467.41 | 549,300.00 | 165,228.00 | 79.91     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 350,064.00 | 27,395.41  | 377,459.41 | 539,450.00 | 189,386.00 | 69.97     |
| Pantami       | RMP-12    | 389,479.99 | 25,360.30  | 414,840.29 | 524,904.17 | 135,424.18 | 79.03     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 343,408.01 | 25,360.30  | 368,768.31 | 510,400.00 | 166,991.99 | 72.25     |
| Herwagana     | RMP-12    | 409,864.56 | 24,152.08  | 434,016.64 | 448,381.82 | 38,517.26  | 96.80     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 381,490.92 | 24,152.08  | 405,643.00 | 444,563.64 | 63,072.72  | 91.25     |
| Bolari        | RMP-12    | 381,296.85 | 23,343.98  | 404,640.83 | 489,210.53 | 107,913.68 | 82.71     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 356,829.47 | 23,343.98  | 380,173.45 | 486,176.32 | 111,286.45 | 78.20     |
| Nassarawo     | RMP-12    | 380,065.92 | 24,072.03  | 404,137.95 | 491,346.00 | 129,346.85 | 82.25     |
|               | Ex-dakar  | 349,926.72 | 24,072.03  | 373,998.75 | 481,128.00 | 131,201.80 | 77.73     |
| Mean          |           | 371,221.54 | 24,387.86  | 395,609.40 | 487,846.76 | 116,707.91 | 81.09     |

NB: SC = Supply costs; MC = Marketing costs; TC = Total costs; SP = Selling price; VA = Value added; ₦1 = $ 283.50.

Table 4. Gross margin differential between RMP-12 and Ex-dakar products

| Variables       | Average Gross Margin | Paired sample test |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|                 | Mean | S.E  | df  | t-values | P-value |
| RMP-12          | ₦73,786.77 ($ 260.27) | 7.013 | 2,647.022 | 89 | 2.650*** | 0.01 |
| Ex-dakar        | ₦96,964.69 ($ 347.03) | | | | |
| Difference in GM| ₦23,177.92 ($ 81.75) | | | | |

*** Significant P<0.01; GM = Gross Margin; ₦1 = $ 283.50.
4. Conclusion

Based on the results obtained from this study, it may be concluded that the enterprise is profitable, the Ex-dakar variety gave higher gross income which was significant. The traders were small-scale with inadequate capital, this was because they do not have enough credit facilities. The shelled groundnut was the most important resource input for oil and cake production, that increase in groundnut seed would give additional income. The results revealed that costs of shelled groundnut constituted the major components of processing costs of the respective groundnut varieties. The financial ratios employed and as well as the returns per naira invested revealed that the business was profitable in the study area. The coefficient of marketing revealed Jekadafari markets were most efficient in the case of Ex-dakar varieties.

5. Recommendations

In order to achieve sufficient profit and efficient market of groundnut products, the following recommendations were made:

1. The traders should be encouraged to join cooperative associations to have access to formal loans for expansion, and to create other market linkages with a view of maximising advantages of distant markets.
2. The needed infrastructures such as; electricity, good roads, storage facilities etc should be adequately provided by the government in order to reduce marketing costs.
3. Government and other lending agencies should do more to assist the traders with soft loans; this will help solve the problem of inadequate capital.
4. The processors should be encouraged to embark on Ex-dakar variety as their main resource input based on its high gross margin. Marketing operations should be improved for enhanced efficiency in all the markets in the study area.
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