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The use of carbonate apatite bioglass cement as pulp capping material examined from reparative dentin

Indah Puti Rahmayani Sabirin* , Myrna Nurlatifah Zakaria**

*Department of Oral Biology, Program Study of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, West Java, Indonesia
**Department of Endodontology and Operative Dentistry, Program Study of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, West Java, Indonesia

*Jl Ters. Jenderal Sudirman No. 1 Cimahi, Indonesia; ☎ correspondence: indah.puti@lecture.unjani.ac.id

Submitted: 8th February 2019; Revised: 10th June 2019; Accepted: 10th April 2020

ABSTRACT

Root canal infection potentially develops to periapical infection as a result of opening of pulp chamber as a pathway for irritants to periapical area. Proper pulp capping procedure can be done to prevent root canal infection by preserving the vitality of the pulp and inducing reparative dentin. Generally, calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) is used as pulp capping material although it still lacks mechanical properties and has high dissolution in oral environment. Carbonate apatite (CO₃Ap) and CO₃Ap bioglass cement are bioceramic materials proven for their ability to form new bone structure upon a research on bone tissue. These materials have better mechanical strength than Ca(OH)₂ and have the ability to set in humid environment similar to the body's physiological condition. This study was a preclinical trial of CO₃Ap and CO₃Ap bioglass cement as pulp capping material. The materials were applied on a perforation site of 24 Wistar rat teeth, divided into 4 groups of different treatments, negative control (no treatment), positive control (Ca(OH)₂), CO₃Ap, and CO₃Ap bioglass, respectively. Following the application of the pulp capping materials, the perforation sites were covered with light-cured glass ionomer cement. Evaluation of reparative dentin was performed after 21 days, where the rats were euthanized, and histopathological specimens were stained using hematoxylin and eosin. The results of the study revealed that dentinal bridge was formed on all the treatment and positive control groups (p=0.000) but not on the negative control. We concluded that CO₃Ap as well as CO₃Ap bioglass cement have the ability to induce the formation of reparative dentin, therefore both materials can be considered as pulp capping material for open pulp or thin dentin condition on pulp chamber roof.
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INTRODUCTION

Healthy pulp is responsible for tooth viability, growth, defense, and its sensory function. Injury to the pulp by caries as well as trauma would affect its vitality. Pulp vitality is fundamental to the formation of reparative or tertiary dentin after injury or damage. Therefore, when a tooth injury has involved the dentin and the pulp, treatment should be performed not only to cover the pulp perforation with the formation of dentinal bridge, but also to maintain and stimulate the regeneration of pulp tissue, either it is infected or not.¹,²

Pulp capping is a well-known method to manage vital pulp exposure. The purpose of pulp capping is to maintain the pulp vitality and develop dentinal bridge or tertiary dentin above the exposed pulp by odontoblastic activity in the pulp. Until now, Ca(OH)₂ is a material generally used for pulp capping treatment. Calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) has a good antibacterial property and ability to establish dentinal bridge in exposed pulp. Many studies with long term observation showed satisfactory result after treatment, however this material has some drawbacks, such as persistent inflammatory reaction of the pulp especially in direct pulp capping, formation of porous and brittle dentinal bridge, and also moderately inadequate mechanical properties.²,³ Nowadays we found that many conventional pulp capping treatments with Ca(OH)₂ cannot achieve their objectives such as pulp regeneration, particularly on exposed pulp due to deep caries. This is caused by the lack of
pulp ability to regenerate innately after exposure. As a result, the pulp with irreversible inflammatory reaction often needs pulpectomy or even extraction.¹ ²

Bioceramic material is a substance developed largely in medical field as a substitute for bone damage. The ceramic material is used purposely as a substitution in the body, for example alumina, zirconia, bioactive glass, composite resin, hydroxyapatite, and carbonate apatite. Bioceramic material has biocompatible properties, capable to stimulate the formation of hard tissue and can be absorbed by body tissue, and also stimulate natural regeneration of those tissues. Carbonate apatite (CO₃Ap) and bioglass are both bioceramic materials with the ability to bind to bone structure and stimulate the development of bone tissue. Carbonate apatite (CO₃Ap) material is effective to develop hydroxyapatite layer similar to bone mineral. Good adaptation between bone tissue and CO₃Ap has also been proven in a study of bone defect implanted with CO₃Ap.⁴ ⁷ The bioactive properties of CO₃Ap encourage specific response to establish connection with mineralized bone hence functioning as a barrier against micro-leakage.⁷ ⁸ Silicacalcium phosphate nanocomposite bioglass as one of bioactive bioglass has showed good bone regeneration when implanted to alveolar bone socket following tooth extraction after 6 months. The bioglass is able to withdraw Ca²⁺, stimulating the activity of osteoblasts to differentiate to mature bone cells.⁹ ¹⁰ Since the basic structure of bone and dentin is similar, which is derived from mesenchymal tissue, it brings up an analogy that CO₃Ap and bioglass also influence dentin and pulp tissue. Carbonate apatite cement has been applied to open pulp in an in vivo study and showed that the pulp remained vital and reparative dentin was formed in the perforation site.¹¹ This brings insight that in addition to its biocompatibility, this material is non-toxic and can stimulate tissue mineralization in the pulp.

Carbonate apatite bioglass cement is an improvement of previous CO₃Ap where the original cement was combined by bioglass to increase its bioactivity and physical properties. Our previous study had evaluated the best ratio of this combination by searching the best mechanical and chemical properties suitable for pulp capping application.¹² ¹⁴ The purpose of this study was to identify whether CO₃Ap cement and CO₃Ap bioglass can stimulate the formation of reparative dentin.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The samples for the positive control group consisted of commercial Ca(OH)₂ pulp capping material (Dycal, Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany) mixed according to the manufacture instructions in a glass slab. The tested pulp capping material groups comprised of CO₃Ap group and CO₃Ap bioglass groups. Cement was made from Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA) (60%) and vaterite (40%) powder, Na₃PO₄ 0.2 M liquid, with L/P ratio of 0.5. Na₃PO₄ liquid would form CO₃Ap in the shortest time of 24 hours. When vaterite and DCPA were mixed with Na₃PO₄, vaterite and DCPA would dissolve and release calcium, carbonate, and phosphate. Afterwards the solution would pass saturation point and CO₃Ap would precipitate.⁸ Carbonate apatite bioglass for the second test group was made by mixing CO₃Ap and bioglass with ratio as follows: DCPA 60%, vaterite 20%, and bioglass 20% mixed with Na₃PO₄ 0.2 M liquid in ratio 0.5. The 60:20:20 ratio of DCPA:vaterite:bioglass acquired the most optimal mechanical strength.¹⁰ Materials were prepared at the Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Padjadjaran and Faculty of Medicine of Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani.

Twenty four rats were divided into 4 groups, consisting of negative control, positive control with Ca(OH)₂, CO₃Ap treatment, and CO₃Ap bioglass treatment. The subjects were 32 mandibular incisors of Rattus norvegicus rats (at least 8 weeks old, healthy, active, male, weighed at least 300 g) to which pulp perforation was intentionally made using round bur.¹¹ Ethical clearance was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran Number 457/UN6/C.1.3.2/KEPK/PN/2016. Before pulp perforation procedure and pulp capping material application, the subjects in all the groups were given general anesthesia with ketamine 40-100 mg/
kg. Mandibular central incisor was prepared using a round no.1 diamond burr until pulpal chamber roof was perforated and subsequently the tooth was pressed with a cotton ball with a proper size until the bleeding stopped. Carbonate apatite and CO$_3$Ap bioglass cement were mixed subsequently on a glass slab before applied on each particular tooth that had been prepared, using a small cement stopper. Freshly prepared Ca(OH)$_2$ was also applied with the same procedure. The negative control group was only given a dab of normal saline using a cotton pellet after perforation. Afterwards, the teeth were covered and restored with light-cured glass ionomer cement (Fuji II LC, GC International Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The treatments to the rats were performed at the Animal Laboratory of Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, and the evaluation was performed after 21 days.\textsuperscript{11}

Histopathology specimen of teeth was made by routine hematoxylin-eosin technique, which was previously decalcified using 10% EDTA solution for 15 days at the Pathology Anatomy Laboratory of Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani and Universitas Padjadjaran. The histopathology samples were subsequently examined with light microscope in 10x and 40x objective magnification to ensure the presence of reparative dentin or dentinal bridge formation.

Kruskal-Wallis test was used for semiquantitative data on the four groups and considered significant if $p<0.05$. Assessment was based on the presence of reparative dentin found in histopathological examination. Post Hoc Mann-Whitney test was performed subsequently to analyze the difference between the two groups.\textsuperscript{15}

**RESULTS**

Twenty-one days after the treatments in the treatment groups, the clinical state of the rats and their teeth were good, and there were not any inflammatory signs on the gingiva. No tooth color change was examined in all the control and treatment groups.

Examination of 24 teeth sample obtained from the experimental animal showed that all the samples from the positive control with Ca(OH)$_2$ and treatment groups with CO$_3$Ap and CO$_3$Ap bioglass had formed reparative dentin in 21 days after cement application. In contrast, all the samples from the negative control group did not show any formation of reparative dentin. Figure 1 showed dentin reparative condition that had been examined microscopically on each group that underwent development of reparative dentin. Compared to primary and secondary dentin, reparative dentin was more atubular, did not represent dentinal tubule yet, and less organized.

Kruskal-Wallis test to all the four groups showed a significance between the groups ($p=0.000$). However, based on the Mann-Whitney post hoc test results, it was found that significant differences were found only if the positive control and treatment groups were compared to the negative control group ($p=0.002$), since dentinal bridge or reparative dentin was seen on all the treatment and positive control groups (Table 1).

| Group | Negative control | Ca(OH)$_2$ | Carbonate apatite | Carbonate apatite bioglass | p-value |
|-------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Formed | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0.000* |
| Not formed | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

*Kruskal-Wallis test ($p<0.05$) is considered significant*
Figure 1. Histological section of rat teeth stained with Hematoxylin-Eosin. Reparative dentin is shown by black arrow sign (40x objective magnification): (A) Positive control group with Ca(OH)$_2$; (B) Treatment group with CO$_3$Ap; (C) Treatment group with CO$_3$Ap bioglass; (D) Negative control group shows no formation of reparative dentin; (P) Pulp; (De) dentin.

DISCUSSION

Carbonate apatite and CO$_3$Ap bioglass cement are examples of bioceramic material that nowadays has been studied as a substance for bone tissue and dentinal repair. Previous study on CO$_3$Ap material implant in bone defect showed that this substance has the ability to adapt with bone tissue, evident by the fact that there is no gap between CO$_3$Ap layer and examined bone tissue. It was also presented that CO$_3$Ap would eventually be replaced with exact bone tissue. Promising results are also shown by the application of bioglass granules in bone, showing that these bioactive materials help the regeneration of new vital bone in extracted tooth sockets and enhance bone integration to maxillofacial implants coated with bioglass with minimal inflammatory responses. The precipitation of CO$_3$Ap crystal from the drip of CaCO$_3$ and DCPA mixed with Na$_2$HPO$_4$ solution as PO$_4^{3-}$ donor that also dissociates Ca$^{2+}$, CO$_3^{2-}$ ions from vaterite might therefore relate to the formation of mineralized tissue to form a dentinal bridge. Subsequently, DCPA and vaterite will also interact with PO$_4^{3-}$, existed blood, pulp tissue, and the remaining intact dentin following the transformation of cement to CO$_3$Ap, that will enhance the mineralization.

The present study showed that the formation of reparative dentin took place on the perforation pulp site of the animal model and that the tooth capped with the tested material remained vital. This study also showed that the rat’s dental tissue can represent the ability of pulp capping materials to the pulp tissue and evaluate its reaction to pulp capping material as also reported by other studies. Histologically, signs of severe inflammatory conditions were also absent. The structure of primary and secondary dentin were more organized than the reparative dentin which had a more dense and atubular form of dentin with a darker colour seen in the specimen because it tended to absorb more stains. Reparative dentin was also formed in the control group capped with Ca(OH)$_2$. This is in line with histological studies on pulp response capped by Ca(OH)$_2$. However one of the limitations of this study was produced a thinner histological specimen due to difficulties in cutting the hard tissue of the tooth although decalcification had been performed.

In general, light pulp irritation from pulp exposure would form reparative dentin of which the dentinal tubule and mineralization pattern are similar to those of primary dentin. On the other hand, severe pulp irritation will create atubular, irregular, and less mineralized reparative dentin. Dentinal structure formed is influenced by many factors such as rate of inflammation, cellular damage, and the condition of pulp-dentin complex by the time of odontoblast differentiation. The formation of reparative dentin takes place if odontoblasts stimulated by irritant activate them to differentiate and subsequently form mineralized dental tissue. Unlike reactionary dentin which is formed from previously existing odontoblasts, dentinogenesis process on reparative dentin caused by exposed pulp involves dentin stem cells or odontoblast-like cells. Because of that, the structure developed also has fewer dentinal tubules than primary dentin, and the quality of hard tissue is somewhat lesser.
Optimal direct pulp capping material is expected to be a foundation of recruitment and differentiation of stem cells and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells to form reparative dentin. The most important properties of direct pulp capping material are its high pH but still on physiological degree, antibacterial characteristic, and the ability to release calcium ions required for mineralization. Just as in bone tissue, calcium ions also induce odontogenic differentiation from the mesenchyme. Carbonate apatite and bioglass are able to adapt on bone structure, support bone regeneration, and can be absorbed by bone tissue with alkaline pH, and sustain calcium ion release. It also has more favorable setting time, better mechanical strength and higher resistance to dissolution with more compact microstructure compared to conventional Ca(OH)$_2$. However its antimicrobial properties and more in vivo studies may need to be confirmed.$^{13-14}$

CONCLUSION

Carbonate apatite and CO$_3$Ap bioglass cement can be considered as a new material for pulp capping treatment for its property to form dentinal bridge in a similar way to calcium hydroxide as a standard material for pulp capping treatment. Further study is important to measure pulp and dentin condition specifically related to cells and other components in the pulp.

REFERENCES

1. Hilton TJ. Key to clinical success with pulp capping: a review of the literature. Oper Dent. 2009; 34(5): 615–625. doi: 10.2341/09-132-0
2. Fouad AF, Levin L. Pulpa reactions to caries and dental procedures. In: Hargreaves KM, Cohen S, Berman LH, editor. Cohen’s pathways of the pulp. 10th ed. St Louis: Elsevier Mosby; 2011. 463-480, 506-509.
3. Huang GTJ. Pulp and dentin tissue engineering and regeneration: current progress. Regen Med. 2009; 4(5): 697-707. doi: 10.2217/rme.09.45
4. Best SM, Porter AE, Thian ES, Huang J. Bioceramics: past, present and for the future. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2008; 28(7): 1319-1327. doi: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2007.12.001
5. Nasseh AA. The rise of bioceramics. Endodontic Practice. 2009; 2: 17-22.
6. Ishikawa K. Calcium phosphate cements. In Bioceramics and Their Clinical Application. Elsevier Inc; 2008. 438-463.
7. Ishikawa K. Bone substitute fabrication based on dissolution-precipitation reactions. Materials (Basel). 2010; 3(2): 1138–1155. doi: 10.3390/ma3021138
8. Cahyanto A, Maruta M, Tsuru K, Matsuya S, Ishikawa K. Fabrication of bone cement that fully transform to carbonate apatite. Dent Mater J. 2015; 34(3): 394-401. doi: 10.4012/dmj.2014-328
9. Al-Sabbagh M, Burt J, Barakat A, Kutkut A, El-Ghannam A. Alveolar ridge preservation using resorbable bioactive ceramic composite: a histological study. J Int Acad Periodontol. 2013; 15(3): 91-98.
10. Aniket YA, Marriott I, El-Ghannam A. Promotion of pro-osteogenic responses by a bioactive ceramic coating. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2012; 100(12): 3314–3325. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.34280
11. Zakaria MN, Pauziah NFN, Sabirin IP, Cahyanto A. Evaluation of carbonate apatite in inducing formation of reparative dentin in exposed dental pulp. Key Eng Mater. 2017; 758: 250–254. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.758.250
12. Zakaria MN, Cahyanto A, El-Ghannam. Calcium release and physical properties of modified carbonate apatite cement as pulp capping agent in dental application. Biomater Res. 2018; 22: 35.
13. Zakaria MN, Cahyanto A, El Ghannam A. Basic properties of novel bioactive cement based in silica-calcium phosphate composite and carbonate apatit. Key Eng Mater. 2016; 720: 147-152. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.720.147
14. Cahyanto A, Restunaesha M, Zakaria MN, Rezano A, El-Ghannam A. Compressive strength evaluation and phase analysis of
pulp capping materials based on carbonate apatite-SCPC using different concentration of SCPC and calcium hydroxide. Key Eng Mater. 2018; 782: 15–20. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.782.15

15. Rosner B. Fundamentals of Biostatistics. 6th ed. Belmont: Duxbury; 2005.

16. Dammaschke T, Stratmann U, Fischer RJ, Sagheri D, Schäfer E. Proliferation of rat molar pulp cells after direct pulp capping with dentine adhesive and calcium hydroxide. Clin Oral Investig. 2011; 15(4): 577–587. doi: 10.1007/s00784-010-0409-7

17. Suzuki M, Taira Y, Kato C, Shinkai K, Katoh Y. Histological evaluation of direct pulp capping of rat pulp with experimentally developed low-viscosity adhesives containing reparative dentin-promoting agents. J Dent. 2016; 44: 27–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2015.11.005

18. Louwakul P, Lertchirakarn V. Response of Inflamed Pulps of Rat Molars after Capping with Pulp-capping Material Containing Fluocinolone Acetonide. J Endod. 2015; 41(4): 508-512. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2014.12.004

19. Parolia A, Kundabala M, Rao NN, Acharya SR, Agrawal P, Mohan M, Thomas M. A comparative histological analysis of human pulp following direct pulp capping with propolis, mineral trioxide aggregate and dycal. Aust Dent J. 2010; 55(1): 59-64. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2009.01179.x

20. Teti G, Salvatore V, Ruggeri A, Manzoli L, Gesi M, Orsini G, Falconi M. In vitro reparative dentin: a biochemical and morphological study. Eur J Histochem. 2013; 57(3): e23. doi: 10.4081/ejh.2013.e23

21. Song M, Yu B, Kim S, Hayashi M, Smith C, Sohn S. Clinical and molecular perspectives of reparative dentin formation: Lessons learned from pulp-capping materials and the emerging roles of calcium. Dent Clin North Am. 2017; 61(1): 93–110. doi: 10.1016/j.cden.2016.08.008

22. Zakaria MN. Saving the pulp and essential issues in pulp capping treatment. J Dentomaxillofac Sci. 2016; 1(2): 73-76.