Flexural Strength Analysis of Styrofoam Concrete Hollow Panel Walls Incorporated with High Volume Fly Ash
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Abstract Purpose: One of the innovations needed to create lightweight wall is possible by using Styrofoam as a partially fine aggregate substitution. This study was conducted to study the flexural strength of Styrofoam lightweight concrete panel walls with a high-volume fly ash content in a square column connection. Methodology: The size of the wall panel used is 120 x 30 x 10 cm with 10 x 10 cm square column holes as support on both sides. In the centre of the wall panel, four square holes with a dimension of 18 x 4 cm were created. The variations of fine aggregate replacement with Styrofoam were 50% and 60% by the volume of fine aggregate, while the use of Fly ash is 50% as partially cement substitution. SCC (Self Compacting Concrete) method was used to manufacture this kind of concrete. Results: The results of Slump Flow T50 test for 50% and 60% Styrofoam variations are 64 cm and 59 cm, while the concrete weight-volumes are 1387.7 kg/m³ and 1259.4 kg/m³. Compressive strength test for both variations are 42.62 Kg/cm² and 31.71 kg/cm². Stiffness values for both variations are 1434.7 N/mm and 1125 N/mm. This study also analysed the maximum length of the panel wall in which for 50% Styrofoam variation is 4 m and 60% Styrofoam variation is 3.2 m. Applications/ Originality/ Value: Research on Styrofoam concrete hollow panel walls incorporated with high volume fly ash is significant since it opens the possibility to reduce the Styrofoam waste.
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1. Introduction

The wall is one of the important elements in a high rise building or house. The wall is non-structural component in the building but the wall has an important role such as room separator and protection from weather. In general, the walls are constructed using red bricks or concrete bricks, but the process requires a long time and requires a lot of workers. So, there are many innovations and creative ideas to solve it. One of the innovations is to make a panel-wall which is casted prior instalment to the construction site. According to Supribadi (1986), concrete wall panels are concrete that is moulded into panel blocks of the preferred size. The advantage of wall panels is more efficient in installation time because the installation is easier in comparison to brick, so that it will shorter the construction duration and reduce the number of human resources in its installation. Previous research about panel wall using thin concrete board and Styrofoam reinforced with bamboo reinforcement has been proven to be an alternative building element for masonry block wall, especially in high rise buildings or earthquake-prone areas (Hatta, 2006). Wall panel is non-structural element; therefore, it is possible to uses lightweight concrete to produce the wall. According to Tjokrodimulja (1996), lightweight concrete has a specific gravity of between 1000-2000 kg/m³. Constructing lightweight concrete can be accomplished by creating air pores in the concrete and one the pores can be formed by using Styrofoam as substitution of fine aggregates. Lightweight concrete has been studied by Sambodo (2003) by using Styrofoam diameter of 4 mm cement 350 kg/m³ and sand 200 kg/m³ and it is possible to obtained the specific gravity of 0.718 tons/m³.

Styrofoam or Polystyrene generally is used as the protector/wrapping of electronic goods and belongs to the category of high molecular weight synthetic polymers. Polystyrene is a material that is quite good in terms of temperature and mechanical but is fragile and soft at temperatures below 100°C (Billmeyer, 1984). According to Crawford (1998) polystyrene has a specific gravity of
1050 kg/m³, tensile strength of up to 40 MN/m2, flexural modulus up to 3 GN/m², shear modulus up to 0.99 GN/m², Poisson number 0.33 and granular Styrofoam the density is in the ranges of 13-16 kg/m³. The advantage of using Styrofoam in concrete is that it has lower density than normal concrete. In casting lightweight concrete Styrofoam, one of the successful methods used in the mixing process is the SCC method because it can overcome the problem of Styrofoam which tends to float on the surface.

SCC (Self Compacting Concrete) is concrete that has a high Slump value and easily to fill up the formwork to do its self-compaction. This concrete utilizes normal concrete mix proportion with addition of Superplasticizer to obtain special workability which allows concrete to flow alone in the mould and fill all spaces or gaps between tight reinforcement by utilizing the concrete’s own weight following the principle of Gravity (Ludwig, II - M., Et al. 2001). According to Risdianto (2010), the advantages of SCC concrete can improve workability in concrete so that it can minimize the effort in casting stage.

Superplasticizer is water reducer type admixture which is added to concrete mixture that can reduce water usage by up to 30% without reducing workability on concrete. Based on the ACI (American Concrete Institute), admixture are other than water, aggregates and hydraulic cement mixed in concrete or concrete that is added before or during mixing. In the research of Kusnadi (2011), the addition of Superplasticizer can increase the value of Slump flow under the same conditions in fresh concrete, and this can increase the compressive strength of concrete. Besides superplasticizer, one of mineral admixture that can be used in concrete production is Fly Ash.

According to Solikin and Prihantoro (2015), the use of fly ash can reach 50% HFVA (high volume fly ash concrete) and the compressive strength of HFVA concrete will be comparable to normal concrete at the age of 56 days. Fly ash is a by-product of coal combustion in the furnace of steam power plants that are smooth, round and are pozolanic (SNI 03-6414-2002) however it is belongs to B3 waste (PP No. 85 of 1999). Fly ash as additional material in concrete is considered to be able to improve the quality of concrete in terms of strength, water tightness, and resistance to sulfate and ease of processing. Moreover, Rusyandi (2012) research reveals that fly ash can also be a filler in the manufacture of SCC concrete. From the various ingredients possessed, fly ash has grade levels namely F, C, and N.

From the explanation above, this research will explore further research on Styrofoam concrete wall panel with utilization of high-volume fly ash in a square column connection. To reduce its self-weight, a rectangular hole in the wall of the Styrofoam concrete panel wall are created with dimension of 18 x 4 cm with a distance between holes 5 cm. Concrete mix proportion follows previous research (Solikin and Ikhsan, 2018) SCC method was used ac concrete casting method. The variations in the use of Styrofoam as a partial replacement of fine aggregate are 50% and 60% of the volume of fine aggregate with a 50% mixture of Fly ash as a partial replacement of the cement. The panel wall was tested at 56 days. The purpose of this study was to determine the properties of fresh concrete, concrete compressive strength, flexural strength, and the maximum length of Styrofoam concrete panel walls.

2. Research Method

The research was conducted at Civil Engineering Laboratory, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta. The research stages started from studying the literature and preparing materials consisting of fine aggregate originating from Mt. Merapi Yogyakarta. Cement (PC) and Fly ash were obtained from the PT. Holcim Yogyakarta Batching Plant. In addition spherical shaped Styrofoam diameter of 3-5 mm was used; wire mesh diameter 3.5 mm with spacing 5 cm x 5 cm and Superplasticizer of Visconcrete 10 type which is produced by PT.SIKA were used.

The next step was the fine aggregate testing in consist of specific gravity, mud content, SSD values, and gradation. After getting the material test data, mix proportion of concrete usage was prepared with volume ratio of cement: fine aggregate equal to 1: 3, cement water factor (fas) value of 0, 28 and Superplasticizer additional material 1.5% of the cement. The composition of concrete mix materials can be viewed in Table 1.

| Material                  | Mix of Fly Ash 50% | Mix of Fly Ash 60% |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Sand (kg)                 | 772,27             | 617,4              |
| Styrofoam (kg)            | 3,67               | 4,41               |
| Portland Cement (kg)      | 285                | 285                |
| Fly Ash (kg)              | 285                | 285                |
| Water (lt)                | 159,74             | 159,74             |
| Superplasticizer (lt)     | 5,705              | 5,705              |
| Total (kg)                | 1511,89            | 1357,75            |

After obtaining the required composition, the next step was casting Styrofoam concrete specimens consist of cylindrical concrete specimen diameter of 15 cm and a height of 30 cm and Styrofoam lightweight concrete panel wall size of 120 x 30 x 10 cm. The panel is reinforced by diameter of 3.5 mm wire mesh double reinforcement and on both end of the panel a square column of 10 x 10 cm was created as support when testing. After removing the specimens from its moulding, the next stage is water curing of specimen until the day of testing.

3. Discussion

Fine Aggregate Testing

The testing of fine aggregate for this research referred to
From the results of the fine aggregate test which is presented in Table 2, it can be concluded the sand meet the qualification of SNI as a concrete composition material.

**Slump flow T50 testing**

Slump Flow T50 test has purpose to determine the SCC fresh concrete filling ability and the test was conducted based on Internal-Special specifications SKh-1.10.14 Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) Ministry of PUPR (SKh-1.7.23, 2017). The Slump Flow T50 test conducted by measuring the diameter of fresh concrete distribution after spreading uses Slump Cone test.

| No. | Styrofoam Usage % | Slump Flow T50 Value (cm) | Requirement of SKh-1.10.14 (cm) |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1   | 50%               | 64                        | 55 – 85                         |
| 2   | 60%               | 59                        | 55 – 85                         |

From the data in Table 3, slump Flow T50 for both 50% Styrofoam content and 60% Styrofoam content meet the requirements of the Slump flow test standard for SCC. However, higher content Styrofoam lead to lower slump flow result at the same usage of 1.5% superplasticizer. This result is possibly caused by higher gravitational force for 50% Styrofoam content in which more fine aggregate was used rather than 60% Styrofoam content. The above result is in line with the research of Agus and Slamet (2010) that adding the amount of Polypropylene fibres to the concrete mix will reduce the value of workability.

**Styrofoam Concrete Weight-Volume Testing**

The cylinder weight-volume test was completed by weighing the concrete cylinder and then divided by the cylinder mould volume.

From Figure 2, it can be observed that the concrete weight-volume for 50% Styrofoam is 1387.7 kg/m³ and decrease to 1259.4 kg/m³ for 60% Styrofoam content. The decrease happen due to the increase content of Styrofoam in the concrete mixture that formed a larger number of air cavities in the concrete and lead to the decrease of concrete weight. Therefore, the result makes the Styrofoam concrete is classified as lightweight-Concrete as the weight-volume < 1840 kg/m³ (SNI 03-2847-2013, 2013). The reduce of weight-volume also found in previous researches, in which additional of Styrofoam will reduce the volume of concrete weight (Priyono, 2014 and Purdianti, 2005).
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Figure 1. Specimens and slump flow test

Figure 2. Results of weight-volume testing for lightweight Styrofoam concrete
Concrete Compressive Strength Testing

Compressive strength testing in this research was conducted by using Universal Tension Machine (UTM) to apply constant load to cylinder concrete specimen until maximum load. The compressive strength value of concrete cylinders can be determined by the SNI formula 1974-2011.

\[ f'c = \frac{P}{A} \]  

where:
- \( f'c \) = Maximum compressive strength (kg/cm²)
- \( P \) = Maximum load (kg)
- \( A \) = Cylinder surface area (cm²)

Figure 4 above demonstrates a decrease in the compressive strength of the cylinder subsequently for 50% and 60% Styrofoam content is 42.62 kg/cm² (4.3 MPa) and 31.71 kg/cm² (3.2 MPa). It was estimated that the reduction in volume of sand followed by the increase of Styrofoam content decrease the compressive strength as air cavity in the concrete becomes greater and lighter. The compressive strength result showed that Styrofoam concrete is non-structural concrete because of \( f'c < 20\) MPa (SNI 03-6468-2000).

As a comparison of these results, according to Azhari (2008), the use of 40% Styrofoam will obtain compressive strength of 7, 45 N / mm², use of 50% compressive strength of 4, 52 N/mm² and the use of 60% compressive strength of 3, 12 N / mm². According to Ginting in his study (2007), also stated the addition of Styrofoam to the concrete mix caused a decrease in the compressive strength of concrete from 12, 50 MPa to 9, 59 MPa, or decreased by around 23, 28%.

Flexural strength Test of Styrofoam Concrete Panel Walls

The flexural strength test of the Styrofoam concrete panel wall was proceeded after 56 days of curing by using Loading Frame test, by giving one cantered loading placed in the center of the test specimen.

Figure 4. Testing the flexural strength

Figure 5. Set up of flexural strength test
The results of testing the flexural strength of the Styrofoam concrete panel walls with a variation of 50% and 60% with the Fly ash mixture obtained the relationship between load and deflection values which can be viewed in Figure 6.

From Figure 6, it can be concluded that the wall panel with double reinforcement can act as a flexural element and less Styrofoam content leads to higher load capacity of the panel. In addition, the wall panel can perform as a ductile structural element as the deflection increases after the maximum load.

The maximum load and first crack for 50% Styrofoam content is 4.6 kN and 3.3 kN in which the deflection reaches 5.76 and 2.3 mm. In addition, 60% Styrofoam content has a maximum load and first crack of 3.6 kN and 2.7 kN where the deflection are 4.37 mm and 2.4 mm subsequently.

**Analyse the maximum length of the walls of Styrofoam concrete panels**

The analysis of the maximum length was intended to acquire the maximum length that can be produced in which on the panel 1 kN load was applied. The formula used in this study was from the research of Sulistyorini (2015), with the formula:

\[ M = \left( \frac{1}{4} \times P \times L \right) \]

Where:
- \( M \) = moment (kg/m²)
- \( P \) = initial crack (kN)
- \( L \) = Long (m)

From the calculation results, the maximum length of the Styrofoam panel walls for 50% Styrofoam variations was 4 m and for Styrofoam variations, 60% is 3.2 m. Therefore, this type of Styrofoam panel walls can be produced to compete in the market as the length of the precast panel walls on the market between 2.5 m - 3 m.

**4. Conclusions and Suggestions**

Based on the results of research and discussion that has been conducted, it is obtained several conclusions as follows:

1. The Slump Flow T50 value indicated in the use of Styrofoam 50% and 60% is still possible to make SCC concrete.
2. The result of weight volume testing using Styrofoam 50% and 60% can produce lightweight concrete while the results of the compressive strength test of Styrofoam concrete are very low and can only be used on non-structural concrete.
3. The results of the analysis of the maximum length calculation on the 50% Styrofoam variation can produce a length of 4 m and for the 60%, Styrofoam variation was 3.2 m.

Research on Styrofoam concrete hollow panel walls incorporated with high volume fly ash is significant since it opens the possibility to reduce the Styrofoam waste. In order to contribute more, a further study on the utilization of coarse aggregate in mix proportion and the fire resistance of the Styrofoam concrete needs to be conducted.
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