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In this data article, we present and analyze the demographic data of undergraduates admitted into engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria. The population distribution of 2649 candidates admitted into Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Computer Engineering, Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Information and Communication Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, and Petroleum Engineering programs between 2002 and 2009 are analyzed by gender, age, and state of origin. The data provided in this data article were retrieved from the student biodata submitted to the Department of Admissions and Student Records (DASR) and Center for Systems and Information Services (CSIS) by the candidates during the application process into the various engineering undergraduate programs. These vital information is made publicly available, after proper data anonymization, to facilitate empirical research in the emerging field of demographics analytics in higher education. A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file is attached to this data article and the data is thoroughly described for easy reuse. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the demographic data are presented in tables, plots, graphs, and charts. Unrestricted access to these...
Demographic data will facilitate reliable and evidence-based research findings for sustainable education in developing countries.
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### Specifications Table

| Subject area | Engineering Education
|--------------|-------------------|
| More specific subject area | Demographic Analytics
| Type of data | Tables, charts, and spreadsheet file
| How data was acquired | The demographic data were retrieved from the information submitted to the Department of Admissions and Student Records (DASR) and Center for Systems and Information Services (CSIS) by the candidates during the application process into the various engineering undergraduate programs.
| Data format | Raw, analyzed
| Experimental factors | Applicants with incomplete academic records were excluded
| Experimental features | Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions of the demographic data are analyzed and presented in tables and charts.
| Data source location | Covenant University, Canaanland, Ota, Nigeria (Latitude 6.6718° N, Longitude 3.1581° E)
| Data accessibility | In order to encourage evidence-based research in admission analytics, detailed datasets are made publicly available in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet file attached to this article.

### Value of the data

- Demographic data provided in this article will encourage empirical research and the adoption of data analytics in understanding the trends in enrollment of undergraduates in higher education, especially in developing countries [1–5].
- Unrestricted access to these demographic data will give executives, management, and policy makers in higher education useful insights for better decision-making [6,7].
- Further exploration of these data by the global educational research community will facilitate gender equality in higher education and encourage women participation in the field of engineering. Also, underserved population can be identified and possible solutions may be recommended to relevant authorities [8–13].
- Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions that are presented in tables and charts will make data interpretation much easier for scientific conclusions [14–17].
- Data shared in this data article will open up doors for new research collaborations.

### 1. Data

The fourth goal (Goal 4) of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) set by the general assembly of the United Nations in September 2015 focus on “ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education, and promoting lifelong learning opportunities for all” [18–20]. It is expected that both women and men should have equal access to “affordable and quality technical, vocational, tertiary education” by 2030. This, in essence, will encourage gender equality in higher education, most especially for men-dominated programs of study.
Table 1 presents the gender distribution of undergraduates admitted into the seven engineering programs (Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Computer Engineering, Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Information and Communication Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, and Petroleum Engineering) over the period of eight years (2002–2009). In each year, the engineering programs are significantly male-dominated. Fig. 1 shows a good graphical visualization of the gender distribution of undergraduates admitted into the seven engineering programs in the eight-year period. The proportions of female to male undergraduates in the engineering programs are illustrated in Fig. 2(a)–(b).

In addition, age distribution of the undergraduates admitted into the engineering programs at Covenant University are presented and analyzed. The ages of the students are grouped into four categories: 14–17 years old; 18–21 years old; 22–25 years old; and 26 years old and above. The population distribution of the undergraduates by age is presented in Table 2. The bar chart in Fig. 3 shows the graphical visualization of the age distribution. The proportions of undergraduates each of the age groups are shown in Fig. 4(a)–(b).
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**Table 1**

| Year of admission | No. of female students | No. of male students | Total no. of students |
|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2002              | 53                     | 128                  | 181                   |
| 2003              | 68                     | 177                  | 245                   |
| 2004              | 90                     | 236                  | 326                   |
| 2005              | 103                    | 293                  | 396                   |
| 2006              | 102                    | 288                  | 390                   |
| 2007              | 105                    | 289                  | 394                   |
| 2008              | 91                     | 284                  | 375                   |
| 2009              | 100                    | 242                  | 342                   |
| **Total**         | **712**                | **1937**             | **2649**              |

**Fig. 1.** Bar chart showing the gender distribution of undergraduates admitted into engineering programs.

Table 1 presents the gender distribution of undergraduates admitted into the seven engineering programs (Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Computer Engineering, Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Information and Communication Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, and Petroleum Engineering) over the period of eight years (2002–2009). In each year, the engineering programs are significantly male-dominated. Fig. 1 shows a good graphical visualization of the gender distribution of undergraduates admitted into the seven engineering programs in the eight-year period. The proportions of female to male undergraduates in the engineering programs are illustrated in Fig. 2(a)–(b).

In addition, age distribution of the undergraduates admitted into the engineering programs at Covenant University are presented and analyzed. The ages of the students are grouped into four categories: 14–17 years old; 18–21 years old; 22–25 years old; and 26 years old and above. The population distribution of the undergraduates by age is presented in Table 2. The bar chart in Fig. 3 shows the graphical visualization of the age distribution. The proportions of undergraduates each of the age groups are shown in Fig. 4(a)–(b).

**2. Experimental design, materials and methods**

For the eight-year admission period covered in this study, the demographic data (gender, age, and state of origin) of undergraduate admitted into the seven engineering programs available at Covenant University, Nigeria were retrieved from the student bio-data submitted to the Department of Admissions and Student Records (DASR) and Center for Systems and Information Services (CSIS). The
The population distribution of 2649 candidates admitted into Chemical Engineering, Civil Engineering, Computer Engineering, Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Information and Communication Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, and Petroleum Engineering programs between 2002 and 2009 are analyzed by gender, age, and state of origin. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions that are presented in tables and graphs will make data interpretation much easier for scientific conclusions.

The population sample of the undergraduates admitted into the engineering programs are analyzed by state of origin and the results are presented in Table 3. All of the states of the Federation and

![Fig. 2. (a)–(b). Proportions of female and male undergraduates admitted (2002–2009).](image-url)
the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) are represented except Jigawa, Katsina, Kebbi, Sokoto, Yobe, and Zamfara states. Figs. 5–12 illustrate the frequency distributions of the undergraduates in engineering programs by state of origin from 2002 to 2009 respectively.

Economic, political, and educational resources are often shared across six geopolitical zones in Nigeria. The states of the federation are grouped into the six geopolitical zones as presented in Table 4. The analysis of the contributions of each zone to the total number of engineering undergraduates are also available in Table 4. Fig. 13 shows the percentage contribution of each zone to the total number of undergraduates admitted into engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria.

### Table 2

| Year of admission | Entry age in years |
|-------------------|--------------------|
|                   | 14–17 | 18–21 | 22–25 | 26 and above |
| 2002              | 25    | 128   | 20    | 8            |
| 2003              | 47    | 191   | 7     | 0            |
| 2004              | 74    | 232   | 19    | 1            |
| 2005              | 124   | 262   | 10    | 0            |
| 2006              | 133   | 257   | 0     | 0            |
| 2007              | 149   | 237   | 8     | 0            |
| 2008              | 170   | 200   | 5     | 0            |
| 2009              | 190   | 151   | 1     | 0            |
| Total             | 912   | 1658  | 70    | 9            |

![Fig. 3. Bar chart showing the age distribution of undergraduates admitted into engineering programs.](image)

3. Conclusion

This data article presented and analyzed the demographic trends in enrollment into undergraduate engineering programs at Covenant University, Nigeria. Demographic data provided in this article will encourage empirical research and the adoption of data analytics in understanding the trends in enrollment of undergraduates in higher education, especially in developing countries.
Descriptive statistical analyses were performed based on gender, age, and state of origin of the population sample. Evidence-based insights gained from these data will inform proper formulation of admission policies that govern entry into engineering programs in the sub-Saharan African region. The contribution of these data is considered to be significant in the sense that it revealed the need to advocate for the recruitment and retention of women in technical disciplines in developing countries. Free accessibility to these demographic data will give executives, management, and policy makers in higher education useful insights for better decision-making.

Fig. 4. (a)–(b). Proportions of undergraduates admitted by age (2002–2009).
| State ID | State of origin | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | Total | %  |
|---------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|----|
| 1       | Abia            | 2    | 8    | 7    | 10   | 11   | 18   | 25   | 17   | 98    | 3.69|
| 2       | Adamawa         | 0    | 0    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 8    | 0.30  |
| 3       | Akwa-Ibom       | 1    | 4    | 13   | 13   | 16   | 16   | 18   | 18   | 92    | 3.47|
| 4       | Anambra         | 0    | 16   | 12   | 26   | 19   | 32   | 35   | 24   | 164   | 6.18|
| 5       | Bauchi          | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    | 0     | 0.04|
| 6       | Bayelsa         | 0    | 1    | 3    | 5    | 4    | 1    | 3    | 3    | 20    | 0.75|
| 7       | Benue            | 1    | 1    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 5    | 1    | 13   | 0.49  |
| 8       | Borno            | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 7    | 0.26  |
| 9       | Cross River     | 1    | 2    | 1    | 4    | 4    | 3    | 5    | 6    | 26    | 0.98|
| 10      | Delta           | 12   | 26   | 26   | 38   | 42   | 45   | 33   | 36   | 258   | 9.72|
| 11      | Ebonyi          | 0    | 0    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 4    | 0    | 12    | 0.45|
| 12      | Edo             | 13   | 14   | 28   | 26   | 30   | 29   | 27   | 26   | 193   | 7.27|
| 13      | Ekiti           | 15   | 17   | 23   | 25   | 28   | 21   | 19   | 21   | 169   | 6.37|
| 14      | Enugu           | 0    | 3    | 5    | 2    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 19    | 0.72|
| 15      | FCT             | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0.04|
| 16      | Gombe           | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0.04|
| 17      | Imo             | 3    | 7    | 13   | 12   | 18   | 16   | 19   | 14   | 102   | 3.84|
| 18      | Kaduna          | 0    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 3    | 4    | 11    | 0.41|
| 19      | Kano            | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 1     | 0.04|
| 20      | Kogi            | 14   | 6    | 9    | 13   | 13   | 13   | 14   | 10   | 92    | 3.47|
| 21      | Kwara           | 7    | 4    | 14   | 12   | 9    | 10   | 11   | 18   | 85    | 3.20|
| 22      | Lagos           | 16   | 20   | 17   | 31   | 17   | 18   | 13   | 15   | 147   | 5.54|
| 23      | Nasarawa        | 1    | 0    | 2    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 4     | 0.15|
| 24      | Niger           | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 3     | 0.11|
| 25      | Ogun            | 39   | 43   | 53   | 74   | 70   | 59   | 51   | 45   | 434   | 16.36|
| 26      | Ondo            | 19   | 25   | 28   | 30   | 28   | 32   | 23   | 29   | 214   | 8.07|
| 27      | Osun            | 20   | 23   | 21   | 45   | 36   | 48   | 32   | 24   | 249   | 9.39|
| 28      | Oyo             | 12   | 23   | 32   | 22   | 27   | 24   | 24   | 19   | 183   | 6.90|
| 29      | Plateau         | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 0    | 4     | 0.15|
| 30      | Rivers          | 3    | 1    | 9    | 1    | 7    | 7    | 5    | 5    | 38    | 1.43|
| 31      | Taraba          | 1    | 0    | 2    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 4     | 0.15|

**Fig. 5.** Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2002.
Fig. 6. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2003.

Fig. 7. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2004.
Fig. 8. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2005.

Fig. 9. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2006.
Fig. 10. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2007.

Fig. 11. Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2008.
**Table 4**
Distribution of undergraduates in engineering programs across the six geopolitical zones.

| Geopolitical zones | States of the federation | Total no. of undergraduates in engineering programs | Total no. of undergraduates in geopolitical zone |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| North Central      | Benue 13                  |                                                  | 202                                           |
|                    | Kogi 92                   |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Kwara 85                  |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Nasarawa 4                |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Niger 3                   |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Plateau 4                 |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | FCT 1                     |                                                  |                                               |
| North East         | Adamawa 8                 |                                                  | 21                                            |
|                    | Bauchi 1                  |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Borno 7                   |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Gombe 1                   |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Taraba 4                  |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Yobe 0                    |                                                  |                                               |
| North West         | Jigawa 0                  |                                                  | 12                                            |
|                    | Kaduna 11                 |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Kano 1                    |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Katsina 0                 |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Kebbi 0                   |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Sokoto 0                  |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Zamfara 0                 |                                                  |                                               |
| South East         | Abia 98                   |                                                  | 395                                           |
|                    | Anambra 164               |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Ebonyi 12                 |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Enugu 19                  |                                                  |                                               |
|                    | Imo 102                   |                                                  |                                               |

**Fig. 12.** Frequency distribution by state of origin for year 2009.
Table 4 (continued)

| Geopolitical zones | States of the federation | Total no. of undergraduates in engineering programs | Total no. of undergraduates in geopolitical zone |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| South South        | Akwa-Ibom                  | 92                                               | 627                                              |
|                    | Cross River                | 26                                               |                                                  |
|                    | Bayelsa                    | 20                                               |                                                  |
|                    | Rivers                     | 38                                               |                                                  |
|                    | Delta                      | 258                                              |                                                  |
|                    | Edo                        | 193                                              |                                                  |
| South West         | Ekiti                      | 169                                              | 1396                                             |
|                    | Lagos                      | 147                                              |                                                  |
|                    | Ogun                       | 434                                              |                                                  |
|                    | Ondo                       | 214                                              |                                                  |
|                    | Osun                       | 249                                              |                                                  |
|                    | Oyo                        | 183                                              |                                                  |

Fig. 13. Percentage of undergraduates in engineering programs by geopolitical zones.

**Acknowledgement**

This work is carried out under the IoT-Enabled Smart and Connected Communities (SmartCU) and Covenant University Data Analytics Center (CUDAC) Research Clusters. This research is fully sponsored by Covenant University Centre for Research, Innovation and Development (CUCRID), Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria.

**Transparency document. Supplementary material**

Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.02.073.
Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.02.073.

References

[1] A. Marks, M. Al-Ali, Analytics within UAE higher education context, in: Proceedings of the 2016 3rd MEC International Conference on Big Data and Smart City, ICBDSC 2016, 2016, pp. 368–373.
[2] Y. Vanessa Niet, V.G. Diaz, C.E. Montenegro, Academic decision making model for higher education institutions using learning analytics, in: Proceedings of the 2016 4th International Symposium on Computational and Business Intelligence, ISBI 2016, 2016, pp. 27–32.
[3] D. Gibson, Big data in higher education: research methods and analytics supporting the learning journey, Technol. Knowl. Learn. 22 (2017) 237–241.
[4] D. Ifenthaler, Are higher education institutions prepared for learning analytics? TechTrends 61 (2017) 366–371.
[5] H. Mahroeian, B. Daniel, R. Butson, The perceptions of the meaning and value of analytics in New Zealand higher education institutions, Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ. 14 (2017).
[6] I. Kashnitsky, N. Mkrtychyan, O. Leshukov, Interregional youth migration in Russia: a comprehensive analysis of demographic statistical data, Vopr. Obraz. 2016 (2016) 169–203.
[7] C. Gillette, M. Rudolph, N. Rockich-Winston, E.R. Blough, J.A. Sizemore, J. Hao, et al., Predictors of student performance on the Pharmacy Curriculum Outcomes Assessment at a new school of pharmacy using admissions and demographic data, Curr. Pharm. Teach. Learn. 9 (2017) 84–89.
[8] L.M. Bruce, Promoting the success of women in engineering through affinity groups, IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Mag. 5 (2017) 103–105.
[9] K. Buse, C. Hill, K. Benson, Establishing the research agenda for increasing the representation of women in engineering and computing, Front. Psychol. 8 (2017).
[10] R. Driggers, Improving representation of women in engineering and physics: editorial, Appl. Opt. 56 (2017) ED1–ED2.
[11] C.O. Igabem, M.G. Kanakana, H.M. Campbell, Interventions and pathways for South African women in engineering and the built environment professions, Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innov. Dev. 9 (2017) 669–678.
[12] M.S. Ross, B.M. Capobianco, A. Godwin, Repositioning race, gender, and role identity formation for black women in engineering, J. Women Minor. Sci. Eng. 23 (2017) 37–53.
[13] A. Sengupta, Women in Engineering Luncheon at ISSCC [Conference Reports], in: IEEE Solid-State Circuits Magazine, vol. 9, pp. 91–92, 2017.
[14] S.I. Popoola, A.A. Atayero, N. Faruk, Received signal strength and local terrain profile data for radio network planning and optimization at GSM frequency bands, Data Brief 16 (2018) 972–981.
[15] S.I. Popoola, A.A. Atayero, T.T. Okanlawon, B.I. Omopaniola, O.A. Takpor, Smart campus: data on energy consumption in an ICT-driven university, Data Brief 16 (2018) 780–793.
[16] S.I. Popoola, A.A. Atayero, N. Faruk, J.A. Badejo, Data on the key performance indicators for quality of service of GSM networks in Nigeria, Data Brief 16 (2018) 914–928.
[17] S.I. Popoola, A.A. Atayero, J.A. Badejo, T.M. John, J.A. Odukoya, D.O. Omole, Learning analytics for smart campus: data on academic performances of engineering undergraduates in a Nigerian private university, Data Brief (2018).
[18] Y. Lu, N. Nakicenovic, M. Visbeck, A.-S. Stevance, Five priorities for the UN sustainable development goals, Nature 520 (2015) 432–433.
[19] V.O. Matthews, Q. Osuoyah, S.I. Popoola, E. Adetiba, A.A. Atayero, C-BRIG: a network architecture for real-time information exchange in smart and connected campuses, in: Lecture Notes in Engineering and Computer Science: Proceedings of The World Congress on Engineering 2017, London, U.K., 5–7 July 2017, pp. 398–401.
[20] S.I. Popoola, O.A. Popoola, A.I. Oluwaranti, J.A. Badejo, A.A. Atayero, A framework for electronic toll collection in smart and connected communities, in: Proceedings of The World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science, 2017, pp. 723–726.