A comparative study on nutritional knowledge and dietary behavior between Korean and Chinese postpartum women
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INTRODUCTION

Proper nutrition intake during pregnancy and lactation is very important to both mothers and babies. Pregnant women should maintain proper nutritional status to restore decreased physical strength due to pregnancy and childbirth and produce breastmilk for the growth and development of the baby. Recently, the number of Chinese people living in Korea has increased as the exchange between Korea and China becomes active. It is important to provide proper nutrition education for pregnant women of both countries considering cultural differences.

BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: Proper nutrition intake during pregnancy and lactation is very important to both mothers and babies. Pregnant women should maintain proper nutritional status to restore decreased physical strength due to pregnancy and childbirth and produce breastmilk for the growth and development of the baby. Recently, the number of Chinese people living in Korea has increased as the exchange between Korea and China becomes active. It is important to provide proper nutrition education for pregnant women of both countries considering cultural differences.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: The subjects of this study were postpartum women in Gyeonggi, South Korea and Jinhua, China. The subjects were 20-45 years old less than six months after childbirth. A survey, using self-administered questionnaires, was conducted from October 2018 to January 2019. For data analysis, 221 Korean postpartum women (KPW) and 221 Chinese postpartum women (CPW) questionnaires were used.

RESULTS: KPW had significantly higher nutritional knowledge score and dietary attitude score than CPW (P < 0.001). However, overall score for dietary habits was significantly higher in CPW compared to KPW (P < 0.001). In KPW, nutritional knowledge (P < 0.001) and dietary attitude (P < 0.001) had significantly positive correlations with dietary habits. The proportions of KPW and CPW who answered that they had experience of nutrition education were 28.5% and 80.1% (P < 0.001). The score for dietary habits was lower as the hours for watching TV and using mobile phone became longer in postpartum women of both countries, with significant differences in KPW (P < 0.001) and CPW (P < 0.05). In KPW, the score for dietary habits in postpartum women with exercise experience was significantly higher than that in postpartum women without exercise experience (P < 0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: More pregnancy-related nutrition education should be provided and various and effective nutrition education programs, which not only transfer information but can be practiced in the actual life, should be developed.
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largest group among married immigrants [7,8]. Like this, the number of Chinese people living in Korea is increasing and considered an important maternal population of Korea, which is facing low birth rate problems [9,10]. However, immigrant women have difficulties in childbirth-related health management [11].

Therefore, it is important to provide proper nutrition education for pregnant women of both countries considering cultural differences. In China, the length of hospital stays and postpartum care after childbirth is longer compared to Korea, and the rooming-in system is mostly practiced [12-14]. Also, Korean women traditionally have seaweed soup after childbirth, while Chinese women believe that the body is recharged by eating traditional stew with pork or chicken [15].

For proper nutrition education considering such cultural differences, it is necessary to study dietary behavior of pregnant women in both countries. However, despite various recent studies on dietary behavior of Chinese people living in Korea, studies on postpartum women are not sufficient.

Thus, the study was performed to compare nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude, and dietary habits of Korean and Chinese postpartum women, to understand the factors related to dietary habits, and to provide the direction of proper nutrition education considering distinct characteristics of each country. Furthermore, it will provide information about nutrition education for Chinese immigrant women in Korea.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

The subjects of this study were postpartum women in Gyeonggi, South Korea and Jinhua, China. The subjects were 20-45 years old less than six months after childbirth. A survey, using self-administered questionnaires, was conducted from October 2018 to January 2019. Totally, 238 Korean postpartum women (K PW) and 246 Chinese postpartum women (CPW) were included. For data analysis, questionnaires of incomplete data were excluded and 221 K PW and 221 CPW questionnaires were used. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Myongji University, South Korea (No. MJU-2018-10-001-02).

**Study content**

The questionnaires were distributed in outpatient facilities or local hospitals and postpartum women wrote the answers directly. The questions were about general characteristics, anthropometric data, nutrition education and knowledge, dietary attitude, dietary habits, and health-related lifestyles.

General characteristics and anthropometric data consisted of age, height, pre-pregnancy weight, education level, monthly household income of the family, and the number of babies. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from the height and pre-pregnancy weight. According to the 2000 Asia-Pacific Perspective, the BMI was classified into underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (BMI 18.5-22.9 kg/m²) and overweight (BMI ≥ 23 kg/m²) [16].

Needs for nutrition education was scored by the Likert 5-point scale: “strongly disagree” 1 point, “disagree” 2 points, “so-so” 3 points, “agree” 4 points, “strongly agree” 5 points. Experience of nutrition education and sources of nutrition information were also evaluated. Nutritional knowledge consisted of 15 questions, including general nutritional knowledge and pregnancy nutritional knowledge. Each question was answered with “yes” or “no”, and 1 point was given for correct answer and 0 point for incorrect answer. The perfect score of nutritional knowledge was 15 points.

The dietary attitude was assessed for 10 questions and scored by the Likert 5-point scale: “strongly disagree” 1 point, “disagree” 2 points, “so-so” 3 points, “agree” 4 points, “strongly agree” 5 points. After reverse coding of negatively worded questions, the average of total points was used for dietary attitude score; the higher the score, the better the dietary attitude.

The dietary habits consisted of 10 questions, including breakfast, adequate amount of food, combination of food groups, green and orange vegetables, fruits, vegetables, protein foods, dairy products, laver and kelp and oil-cooked food. Each question was scored by the Likert 5-point scale: “strongly disagree” 1 point, “disagree” 2 points, “so-so” 3 points, “agree” 4 points, “strongly agree” 5 points.

Watching TV and using mobile phone, and experience of exercise were also evaluated and used for health-related lifestyles factors.

**Statistical analysis**

Data on 221 K PW and 221 CPW were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) WIN 25.0 program. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude, dietary habits, and score of needs for nutrition education and the differences between K PW and CPW were evaluated for statistical significance using t-test.

Differences in frequency in general characteristics and experience of nutrition education and source of nutrition education and lifestyle were analyzed using χ² (Chi-square) test. Duncan’s multiple range test was used to compare dietary habits and education level, watching TV and using mobile phone. Correlations between nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude and dietary habits were determined using Pearson’s Correlation coefficient.

**RESULTS**

**General characteristics and anthropometric data of subjects by country**

The general characteristics and anthropometric data of K PW and CPW are shown in Table 1.

As for age, the percentage of CPW who were 26-30 years old (49.8%) was higher than the percentage of K PW with the same age range (36.7%), and the percentage of K PW who were 31-35 years old (36.2%) was higher than the percentage of CPW with the same age range (26.7%), respectively (χ² = 14.62, P < 0.01). As for education level, the percentage of K PW who graduated from college (80.1%) was higher than that of CPW (67.9%), and the percentages of CPW who graduated from high school (22.2%) and graduate school (10.0%) were higher than those of K PW who graduated from high school (12.2%) and graduate school (7.7%), respectively (χ² = 9.239, P < 0.05). As for
monthly household income, 27.6% of KPW families earned 3,000,000-4,000,000 won and 38.5% of CPW families earned more than 16,000 yuan ($\chi^2 = 106.06, P < 0.001$). As for the number of babies, 68.3% of KPW and 59.7% of CPW have only one baby, but there was no significant difference. The average height of CPW (162.13 cm) was higher than that of KPW (161.97 cm), but there was no significant difference. As for the average of pre-pregnancy weight, KPW (55.32 kg) was heavier than CPW (53.53 kg) with a significant difference ($t = 2.69, P < 0.01$). As for BMI, the percentage of CPW who were in the normal range (80.1%) was higher than KPW (68.8%), and there were more KPW (18.6%) than CPW (8.1%) in the overweight range ($\chi^2 = 10.94, P < 0.01$).

Comparison of nutritional knowledge score of subjects by country

Nutritional knowledge of KPW and CPW are shown in Table 2. Overall, KPW (12.36) had significantly higher scores than CPW (10.61, $P < 0.001$). Almost all KPW and CPW correctly answered the questions “Soft drink and cola don’t contain calorie”, “Calcium is the component of bones and teeth for mothers and babies”, and “Breastfeeding increases uterine contraction”. As for “Egg is a high cholesterol food”, the rate of correct answers was significantly higher in CPW compared to KPW. But, the rate of correct answers to the questions “Nutrient requirements are all equal regardless of pregnant women and non-pregnant women” and “Formula milk contains more immune substances than breast milk” was not high in CPW.

Comparison of dietary attitude score of subjects by country

Dietary attitudes of KPW and CPW are shown in Table 3. The average total score of dietary attitude in KPW (3.38) was significantly higher compared to CPW (3.10, $P < 0.001$). For KPW, the highest dietary attitude score was for “Dietary habits can be changed by circumstances or knowledge” (3.93), whereas the lowest score was for “Eating can solve problems due to boredom or anger” (2.61). For CPW, the highest dietary attitude score was for “Dietary habits can be changed by circumstances or knowledge” (3.63) like KPW, whereas the lowest score was for “When preparing a meal, I use whatever available without planning” (2.60).

Comparison of dietary habits score of subjects by country

Dietary habits of KPW and CPW are shown in Table 4. The overall score for dietary habits was significantly higher in CPW (3.40) compared to KPW (2.96, $P < 0.001$). Among 10 questions on dietary habits, three questions such as “Do you drink milk or eat other dairy products such as yogurt every day?”, “Do you eat seaweed such as laver and kelp every day?”, and “Do you eat oil-cooked food every day?” were not significant difference between two groups. Other 7 questions showed significantly higher scores in CPW compared to KPW ($P < 0.001$).

Comparison of nutrition education sources for pregnancy, experience and needs of subjects by country

Nutrition education for pregnancy in KPW and CPW is shown
Table 2. Comparison of nutritional knowledge score of subjects by country

| Classification | Korea (n = 221) | China (n = 221) | Total (n = 442) | t | P |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|
| High nutritional value food is high calorie food | 0.87 ± 0.33 | 0.84 ± 0.37 | 0.86 ± 0.35 | 0.95 | 0.342 |
| Protein is the component of muscle and blood | 0.87 ± 0.33 | 0.74 ± 0.44 | 0.81 ± 0.40 | 3.65** | 0.000 |
| Soft drink and cola don’t contain calorie | 0.96 ± 0.20 | 0.86 ± 0.34 | 0.91 ± 0.28 | 3.56*** | 0.000 |
| Bean curd is a good protein food | 0.97 ± 0.18 | 0.73 ± 0.45 | 0.85 ± 0.36 | 3.47*** | 0.000 |
| Nutrient requirements are all equal regardless of pregnant women and non-pregnant women | 0.83 ± 0.38 | 0.46 ± 0.50 | 0.64 ± 0.48 | 8.81*** | 0.000 |
| Fat gives more energy than carbohydrate, protein | 0.54 ± 0.50 | 0.52 ± 0.50 | 0.53 ± 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.635 |
| Egg is a high cholesterol food | 0.64 ± 0.48 | 0.77 ± 0.42 | 0.71 ± 0.46 | -3.16** | 0.002 |
| Carbohydrates can offer calorie | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 0.63 ± 0.48 | 0.78 ± 0.41 | 8.44*** | 0.000 |
| High fiber food is good for protecting obesity | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 0.90 ± 0.30 | 0.92 ± 0.27 | 1.39 | 0.165 |
| Calcium is the component of bones and teeth for mothers and babies | 0.97 ± 0.18 | 0.91 ± 0.29 | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 2.60* | 0.010 |
| Fat gives more energy than carbohydrate, protein | 0.54 ± 0.50 | 0.52 ± 0.50 | 0.53 ± 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.635 |
| Egg is a high cholesterol food | 0.64 ± 0.48 | 0.77 ± 0.42 | 0.71 ± 0.46 | -3.16** | 0.002 |
| Carbohydrates can offer calorie | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 0.63 ± 0.48 | 0.78 ± 0.41 | 8.44*** | 0.000 |
| High fiber food is good for protecting obesity | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 0.90 ± 0.30 | 0.92 ± 0.27 | 1.39 | 0.165 |
| Calcium is the component of bones and teeth for mothers and babies | 0.97 ± 0.18 | 0.91 ± 0.29 | 0.94 ± 0.24 | 2.60* | 0.010 |

Table 3. Comparison of dietary attitude score of subjects by country

| Classification | Korea (n = 221) | China (n = 221) | Total (n = 442) | t | P |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|
| I follow other’s opinions when choosing foods or dishes | 2.65 ± 1.06 | 2.81 ± 1.04 | 2.73 ± 1.05 | -1.63 | 0.104 |
| I don’t try to taste foods that I dislike or similar foods | 2.99 ± 1.20 | 3.24 ± 1.02 | 3.12 ± 1.12 | -2.39** | 0.017 |
| Dietary habits can be changed by circumstances or knowledge | 3.93 ± 0.92 | 3.63 ± 0.86 | 3.78 ± 0.90 | 3.54*** | 0.000 |
| Eating can solve problems due to boredom or anger | 2.61 ± 1.19 | 3.26 ± 1.08 | 2.93 ± 1.18 | -6.00*** | 0.000 |
| No reason to change the current diet as long as I am satisfied with it | 2.87 ± 1.02 | 3.19 ± 1.07 | 3.03 ± 1.06 | -3.19** | 0.002 |
| I can change food habits form eating sweet snacks such as cookies and candies to eating fruits and vegetables | 3.52 ± 1.10 | 3.26 ± 1.01 | 3.39 ± 1.06 | 2.61** | 0.009 |
| I am willing to cook if I know how to cook foods into nutritious dishes | 3.90 ± 1.03 | 3.21 ± 0.91 | 3.55 ± 1.03 | 7.52*** | 0.000 |

Table 4. Comparison of dietary habits score of subjects by country

| Classification | Korea (n = 221) | China (n = 221) | Total (n = 442) | t | P |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|---|
| Do you eat breakfast every day? | 2.84 ± 1.27 | 3.68 ± 1.00 | 3.26 ± 1.22 | -7.80*** | 0.000 |
| Do you eat adequate amount of food for each meal? | 3.26 ± 0.97 | 3.71 ± 1.08 | 3.49 ± 1.05 | -4.57*** | 0.000 |
| Do you consider combination of food groups at each meal? | 2.58 ± 1.02 | 3.57 ± 0.98 | 3.08 ± 1.12 | -10.35*** | 0.000 |
| Do you eat green and orange vegetables every day? | 2.44 ± 0.95 | 3.08 ± 0.97 | 2.76 ± 1.01 | -6.95*** | 0.000 |
| Do you eat fruits every day? | 3.26 ± 1.14 | 3.74 ± 0.90 | 3.50 ± 1.05 | -4.91*** | 0.000 |
| Do you eat vegetables every day? | 3.18 ± 1.08 | 4.06 ± 0.74 | 3.62 ± 1.03 | -9.98*** | 0.000 |
| Do you eat meat, fish, egg or beans at least in 2 meals a day? | 3.19 ± 1.01 | 3.68 ± 0.94 | 3.44 ± 1.01 | -5.26*** | 0.000 |
| Do you drink milk or eat other dairy products such as yogurt every day? | 3.00 ± 1.25 | 2.81 ± 1.04 | 2.90 ± 1.15 | 1.82 | 0.069 |
| Do you eat seaweed such as laver and kelp every day? | 2.71 ± 1.06 | 2.66 ± 0.93 | 2.69 ± 1.00 | 0.57 | 0.568 |
| Do you eat oil-cooked food every day? | 3.16 ± 0.85 | 3.00 ± 1.03 | 3.08 ± 0.95 | 1.86 | 0.064 |

1) Mean ± SD, scored by a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = so-so, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)
2) * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001
3) Mean ± SD, scored by a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = so-so, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)
4) ** P<0.01
Table 5. Comparison of nutrition education sources, experience and needs of subjects by country

| ClassificationNutritional knowledge | Korea (n = 221) | China (n = 221) | Total (n = 442) | t or χ² | P |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---|
| Score of needs for nutrition education | 4.10 ± 0.79<sup>1</sup> | 3.75 ± 0.74 | 3.93 ± 0.78 | 4.85<sup>***</sup> | 0.000 |
| Experience of nutrition education | Yes | 63 (28.5)<sup>2</sup> | 177 (80.1) | 240 (54.3) | 118.49<sup>***</sup> | 0.000 |
| No | 158 (71.5) | 44 (19.9) | 202 (45.7) | | |
| Sources of nutrition information (Multiple responses) | | | | | |
| Doctor or dietitian | 74 (16.7) | 156 (35.3) | 230 (25.9) | | |
| TV or radio | 21 (4.8) | 8 (1.8) | 29 (3.3) | | |
| Internet or social network service | 184 (41.6) | 200 (45.2) | 384 (43.3) | | |
| Acquaintance | 59 (13.3) | 97 (21.9) | 156 (17.6) | | |
| Magazine | 36 (8.1) | 43 (9.7) | 79 (8.9) | | |
| Others | 6 (1.4) | 3 (0.7) | 9 (1.0) | | |
| Total | 380 (42.8) | 507 (57.2) | 887 (100.0) | | |

1) Mean ± SD, scored by a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = so-so, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree)
2) *** P < 0.001
3) N (%)

Table 6. Dietary habits of KPW and CPW according to education, screen-viewing, exercise and nutrition education

| Classification | Korea (n = 221) | China (n = 221) | P |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Education level | | | |
| ≤ High school | 27 | 2.90 ± 0.48<sup>1</sup> | 3.69<sup>***</sup> | 0.026 |
| College | 177 | 2.94 ± 0.64<sup>2</sup> | 3.41 ± 0.42 | |
| ≥ Graduate school | 17 | 3.36 ± 0.65<sup>3</sup> | 3.55 ± 0.50 | |
| Watching TV and using mobile phone (hrs/day) | | | |
| < 2 | 44 | 3.21 ± 0.63<sup>4</sup> | 8.268<sup>***</sup> | 0.000 |
| 2-4 | 98 | 3.02 ± 0.63<sup>5</sup> | 3.39 ± 0.47<sup>6</sup> | |
| ≥ 4 | 79 | 2.76 ± 0.59<sup>7</sup> | 3.33 ± 0.42<sup>8</sup> | |
| Exercise experience after childbirth | | | |
| Yes | 76 | 3.19 ± 0.64 | -4.018<sup>***</sup> | 0.000 |
| No | 145 | 2.84 ± 0.60 | 3.36 ± 0.59 | |
| Experience of nutrition education | | | |
| Yes | 63 | 2.98 ± 0.72 | -0.217 | 0.829 |
| No | 158 | 2.96 ± 0.58 | 3.26 ± 0.48 | |

1) a, b: Values with different superscripts are significantly different among groups by Duncan’s multiple range test
2) * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.001

in Table 5.

The average score of needs for nutrition education in KPW (4.10) was significantly higher compared to CPW (3.75, P < 0.001). The proportions of KPW and CPW who answered that they had experience of nutrition education were 28.5% and 80.1%, respectively (P < 0.001). Analyzing multiple responses regarding sources of nutrition information revealed 41.6% of KPW and 45.2% of CPW chose internet or social network service and 16.7% of KPW and 35.3% of CPW chose doctor or dietitian.

Dietary habits of KPW and CPW according to education, screen-viewing, exercise and nutrition education

Dietary habits of KPW and CPW according to education, screen-viewing, exercise and nutrition education are shown in Table 6.

The score for dietary habits was higher as the education level became higher in postpartum women in KPW (P < 0.05), but there was no significant difference in CPW. Also, the score for dietary habits was lower as the hours for watching TV and using mobile phone became longer in postpartum women of both countries, with significant differences in KPW (P < 0.001) and CPW (P < 0.05). When comparing postpartum women with or without exercise experience, the score for dietary habits in postpartum women with exercise experience was higher in KPW (P < 0.001), but there was no significant difference in CPW. The score for dietary habits was higher in postpartum women with nutrition education experience compared to those without experiences in CPW (P < 0.05), but no significant difference was found in KPW.

Correlation coefficients between nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude and dietary habits by country

Correlation coefficients between nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude and dietary habits of KPW and CPW are shown in Table 7. KPW showed a significantly positive correlation between nutritional knowledge and dietary habits (P < 0.01), dietary

Table 7. Correlation coefficients between nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude and dietary habits by country

| Classification | Nutritional knowledge | Dietary attitude | Dietary habits |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Nutritional knowledge | 1 | 0.112 (0.096) | 0.110 (0.103) |
| Dietary attitude | 0.116 (0.086) | 1 | 0.054 (0.422) |
| Dietary habits | 0.205 (0.002)<sup>9</sup> | 0.320 (0.000)<sup>***</sup> | 1 |

Correlations for Korean postpartum women below; Chinese postpartum women above

9) ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001
attitude and dietary habits (P < 0.001). But there was not significant difference in CPW.

**DISCUSSION**

Pregnant and lactating women should maintain proper dietary habits and health-related lifestyles for the health of themselves and babies. As active exchanges between Korea and China are increasing recently, it is necessary to provide proper nutrition education considering cultural differences in pregnant women of both countries. Thus, the study was conducted to investigate nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude, dietary habits, nutrition education, and health-related lifestyles of Korean and Chinese postpartum women and to compare all results between two countries.

For physical evaluation, the pre-pregnancy weight of KPW was 55.32 kg, which was slightly lower than the reported weight of 56.5 kg in 1,047 Korean pregnant women [17]. The pre-pregnancy weight of CPW was 53.53 kg, which was slightly lower compared to 54.8 kg reported in the study of pregnant women in Beijing, China [18]. Also, the BMI in KPW was higher compared to CPW, which was similar to the result of a study on Korean and Chinese women in their 20s and 30s showing that the BMI of Korean women was higher than that of Chinese women [19].

For nutritional knowledge, KPW showed higher scores compared to CPW. In the study of college students in Daegu, Korea and Tianjin, China, the score for nutritional knowledge was higher in Korean students (3.9 points) than in Chinese students (3.7 points) [20]. Also, another study reported that the score for nutritional knowledge was higher in Korean college students (17.0 points) compared to Mongolian college students (8.4 points) [21]. Other studies reported that the level of nutritional knowledge was higher in Australian pregnant women as household income and education level were higher and in adults in England as education level became higher [22,23]. This was similar to the higher nutritional knowledge in KPW with higher education. However, this was different to the lower nutritional knowledge in CPW with higher household income. Thus it is considered that studies on factors affecting nutritional knowledge are needed to increase the level of nutritional knowledge in pregnant women.

For dietary attitude, KPW showed higher scores than CPW, which was similar to the result of the study on female high school students in Yongin, Korea and Weihai, China showing that the score for dietary attitude was higher in Korean students (2.98 points) compared to Chinese students (2.93 points) [24].

However, for dietary habits, CPW showed more desirable dietary habits compared to KPW. In the study comparing Korean and Chinese female high school students, the score for dietary habits was higher in Chinese students (3.74 points) compared to Korean students (3.12 points) [25]. In the study on Korean and Chinese students, the most important meal of the day was lunch in Korean students while breakfast in Chinese students, and the combination of food groups at each meal was considered more importantly in Chinese students compared to Korean students [26,27]. This was similar to the results of the study in which CPW showed higher scores for questions such as ‘Do you eat breakfast every day?’ and ‘Do you consider combination of food groups at each meal?’ compared to KPW. From the above results, KPW showed higher level of nutritional knowledge but lower scores for dietary habits compared to CPW. Other studies on Korean and Chinese female high school students and college students reported that Korean students showed higher level of nutritional knowledge but lower scores for dietary habits compared to Chinese students [20,24,26]. This suggests that Korean people have difficulties in practicing acquired knowledge into activities. Thus it is considered helpful to improve dietary habits by providing effective ways to practice nutritional knowledge rather than just transferring the knowledge in preparing nutrition education to Korean pregnant women.

KPW showed more needs for pregnancy-related nutrition education compared to CPW but lacked experiences for actual education. Therefore, it is necessary for KPW to be provided much more chances for nutrition education. For sources of nutrition information, both KPW and CPW replied to obtain most information from Internet or social network services, and then doctor or dietitian. This was similar to the results of the study on the actual state of using Internet and applications in Korean pregnant women, showing that the sources of nutrition information were Internet (35.3%) and hospital or health center (19.0%) [28]. Also, a study reported that 88.7% of Chinese pregnant women obtained pregnancy-related information using Internet from the early stage of pregnancy, mostly information on fetal development and nutrition in pregnancy [29]. Like this, Internet is a major source of nutrition information because pregnant women in the present time are an experienced generation using Internet [30]. Thus, it is considered effective using programs with SNS, Internet broadcasting, and applications for pregnancy-related nutrition education.

For factors affecting dietary habits, the score for dietary habits was higher as the education level was higher in KPW. The education level is a factor affecting not only dietary habits of postpartum women but breastfeeding rate [31]. It is expected that chances for accessing information on dietary habits or breastfeeding are higher as chances for education increase. Dietary habits were not good in both KPW and CPW as the hours of watching TV or using mobile phone were higher. A study on low-income households in the USA reported that too much TV watching during the meal time increased the consumption of unhealthy foods and thus TV watching hours should be reduced [32]. Therefore, postpartum women should be careful not to watch TV or use mobile phone during the meal time. When comparing postpartum women with or without exercise experience, the score for dietary habits was higher in KPW with exercise experience compared to those without experience. Proper exercise after childbirth brings positive effects not only on dietary habits but also on weight control and postpartum depression. In a comparative study of postpartum depression in the exercise group and non-exercise group of Taiwanese postpartum women, the score for postpartum depression was lower in the exercise group compared to the non-exercise group [33]. Regular exercise is recommended to maintain positive physical and psychological conditions after childbirth. When comparing postpartum women with or without the experience of nutrition education for pregnant
women, the dietary habits were better in CPW with education experience. This was similar to the results in which postpartum women who received professional nutrition education and management during pregnancy showed much more improvements of poor dietary habits [34].

In KPW, nutritional knowledge and dietary attitude had positive correlations with dietary habits. It means that the higher the level of nutritional knowledge, the better the dietary habits, and the better the dietary attitude, the better the dietary habits. In a study of Korean pregnant women, sufficient meal time, regular breakfast eating and various side dishes showed positive correlations, but eating hot, salty, spicy, sweet and instant foods showed negative correlations [35]. Also, a study of Chinese middle and high school students reported that students who had healthier dietary lifestyle as the level of nutritional knowledge became higher [36]. As nutritional knowledge and dietary attitude have positive correlations, it is necessary to induce dietary attitude improvement through nutritional knowledge of pregnant women and to provide proper nutrition education to accomplish it.

The limitation of this study is the difficulty of objectification as the overall condition of Korea and China, because it was conducted only in Gyeonggi region of Korea and Jinhua region of China. Despite that, this study is meaningful in which nutritional knowledge, dietary attitude, dietary habits, nutrition education, and health-related lifestyles of Korean and Chinese postpartum women were compared, through which the diversities between Korea and China could be identified.

In summary, KPW showed higher level of nutritional knowledge but lower scores for dietary habits compared to CPW. KPW felt the necessity of pregnancy-related nutrition education much more than CPW but the chances for such education were fewer. KPW had less exercise experience after childbirth compared to CPW; the less the exercise experience, the lower the score for dietary habits. Also, nutritional knowledge and dietary attitude of KPW showed positive correlations with dietary habits. Thus, more pregnancy-related nutrition education should be provided and various and effective nutrition education programs, which not only transfer information but can be used and practiced in the actual life, should be developed. In addition, postpartum women should make some efforts for proper dietary habits, adequate exercise, and health-related lifestyle improvement.
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