Occupational exposure to organophosphorus and carbamates in farmers in La Cienega, Jalisco, Mexico: oxidative stress and membrane fluidity markers
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Abstract

Background: The region of La Cienega in Jalisco Mexico, is an important agricultural reference for the production of corn, sorghum and wheat, among other grains, so the use of pesticides for pest control is high. However, in this rural area there are no toxicological studies that assess the occupational risk of pesticide use. Therefore, this study is the first to determine the oxidative stress levels markers (GSH, GSSG, carbonyl groups, nitric oxide metabolites and lipid peroxides) as well as alteration of the mitochondrial membrane fluidity caused by occupational exposure to organophosphorus and carbamates in farmers of this region. This occupational risk can increase cellular oxidation, which explains the high prevalence of neurodegenerative diseases and cancer in Cienega settlers to be analyzed in future studies.

Methods: Comparative cross-sectional study was performed using two groups: one not exposed group (n = 93) and another one with occupational exposure (n = 113). The latter group was sub-divided into 4 groups based on duration of use/exposure to pesticides. Oxidative stress levels and membrane fluidity were assessed using spectrophotometric methods. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software ver. 19.0 for windows.

Results: The most commonly used pesticides were organophosphorus, carbamates, herbicide-type glyphosate and paraquat, with an average occupational exposure time of 35.3 years. There were statistically significant differences in markers of oxidative stress between exposed farmers and not exposed group (p = 0.000). However, in most cases, no significant differences were found in markers of oxidative stress among the 4 exposure sub-groups (p > 0.05).

(Continued on next page)
Introduction
Oxidative stress is an imbalance between reactive oxidant species (ROS) generation and antioxidant species, which results in oxidation of biomolecules [1]. Oxidizing species lose electrons and generate molecules with unpaired electrons called free radicals, which react with other molecules through redox reactions [1]. When the levels of oxidants exceed the levels of antioxidant species, biomolecules become oxidized, resulting in various chronic diseases, neurodegenerative diseases and cancer [2]. Physiologically, the balance between oxidation and antioxidation is mediated by the enzyme glutathione reductase (GR) which converts oxidized glutathione (GSSG) to reduced glutathione (GSH). The GSH is a substrate for glutathione peroxidase (GPx), an enzyme that reduces oxidant species such as hydroperoxides [3]. The organophosphorus compounds (OPs) alter the antioxidant defense and biomembranes lipids, resulting in mitochondrial energy depletion, proteolysis and DNA fragmentation [4]. OPs are highly lipid-soluble, volatile and toxic to non-target organisms, including humans [5]. Some OPs like terbufos are chemically unstable thioether OPs with unpaired electrons [6]. It destabilizes protein and lipid molecules [7, 8]. The carbofuran is a carbamate pesticide and carbamic acid derivative which stimulates cholinergic hyperactivity and modifies redox potential while decreasing antioxidant status [9]. Consequently, factors that trigger systemic toxicity to pesticides are ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [9–11]. The ROS are formed by partial reduction of molecular oxygen, and the main products are superoxide anion \( \cdot O_2^- \) and \( H_2O_2 \) [12–14]. RNS are molecules derived from the chemical reaction between nitric oxide (NO) and \( O_2^- \) to forms peroxynitrite (ONOO\(^-\)) releasing nitrite (NO\(^-\)), nitrate (NO\(^3-\)) and OH\(^-\) groups [15].

Increased in levels of ROS and RNS affect the side groups of proteins and form carbonyl groups. The carbonyl groups react particularly with lysine, cysteine and histidine [16]. This may impact on cysteine groups of GR, thereby interfering with the conversion of GSSG to GSH [3]. Another potential impact of oxidation as a result of occupational exposure to pesticides is reflected in peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), particularly arachidonic acid, to form products such as malondialdehyde (MDA) and 4-hydroxyalkene (4-HNE) [17]. Increases in products of lipid peroxidation alter the membrane lipids, thereby affecting biophysical parameters such as fluidity, permeability, domains formation, fission-fusion, cellular signals transduction and activities of membrane proteins [18, 19], which can alter the level of membrane fluidity important in cellular and mitochondrial integrity. It should be noted that in the Cienega region of Jalisco México, there are no studies that evaluate organophosphorus toxicological damage at membrane fluidity levels. By other side, it is known that ONOO\(^-\) oxidizes lipids faster than ROS, and it forms peroxynitrosocarbonates which increase the harmful effects mediated by pesticides [20, 21]. In particular, high occupational exposure to OPs inhibits the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE), leading to over-stimulation of the cholinergic activity and the glutamergic pathway. Increased glutamate activates N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors which, which in turn stimulate the synthesis of NO [21, 22]. Moreover, the OPs increase the concentration of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, further inducing NO synthesis [22]. The toxicity of carbamate is regulated by a process similar to that of OPs through irreversible inhibition of AChE, with increased oxidative stress. In the study by Liu [23], it was observed that exposure of liver cells to carbamate induced the synthesis of cytotoxic aldehydes (MDA and 4-HNE), acrolein and H\(_2\)O\(_2\). In addition, the toxicity of paraquat increases the concentration of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and OH\(^-\) radicals, and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) promotes the formation of disulphide bonds, thereby reducing antioxidant capacity [24].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the indices of oxidative stress (GSH, GSSG, carbonyl groups, NO metabolites and lipid peroxides) and membrane fluidity in farmers with occupational exposure to pesticides, relative to not exposed group without occupational exposure in La Cienega region, Jalisco, Mexico. This region is characterized by the lack of regulation on the sale and application of pesticides [25] and by the absence of toxicological studies that impact on health, so the analysis of oxidative stress levels is a first approach to address the health problems that affect the Cienega region in Jalisco, Mexico. The most widely used pesticides were terbufos (s-tetra-
butyliothiomethyl-o, o-diethyl-phosphorodithioate), carbofuran
(2,3-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-7-benzo furanyl methyl carbamate),
paraquat (1,1′-dimethyl-4,4′-bipyridyl dichloride), glyphosate
[n-(phosphonomethyl) glycine-isopropylamine 1: 1], and
fipronil (5-amino-1- (2,6-dichloro-α, α′-trifluoro-p-tolyl)
−4′-trifluoromethylsulfinylprazole-3-carbonitrile] [26, 27].

Material and methods

Study sample
A total of 113 residents of La Cienega region of Jalisco,
Mexico, occupationally exposed to various pesticides,
were studied. The average occupational exposure time
was 35.3 years, and the subjects were aged 22 to 72 years.
Sampling was carried out from 2017 to 2018 in the corn
growing months (high exposure period) in 7 agricultural
communities of La Cienega region, Jalisco, Mexico. The
not exposed group was made up of 93 subjects with an
age range between 17 to 28 years and a mean of 23 years,
with a 95% confidence interval, without occupational ex-
posure to pesticides, who are residents of the same geo-
graphical area. This project was approved by the Ethics
Committee of the University Center of La Cienega, Uni-
versity of Guadalajara (Folio 2017–037). Each participant
signed an informed consent letter guaranteeing the con-
fidentiality of data. The study was carried out in strict
compliance with the principles of the Declaration of
Helsinki.

Processing of the samples
Blood (10 ml) was taken through venous puncture in
two 5mL vials. One of the vials had 0.1% ethylene di-
amine tetra acetic acid (EDTA). Plasma, serum and
erythrocytes were obtained after centrifugation at 310 g
for 15 min at 4 °C, and kept at –80 °C until used.

Determination of oxidative stress markers and MF
Glutathione redox system
Erythrocyte samples were divided in two fraction for
total and oxidized glutathione quantification using an
enzymatic recycling procedure. For total glutathione de-
termination, GSH was oxidized to GSSG with 5,5′-
dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid. Subsequently, GSSG was
reduced in GSH in a reaction catalyzed by the enzyme
GR, with Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
(NADPH) as reductant. The reaction rate of 5,5′-dithio-
bis-2-nitrobenzene was determined at 412 nm. The other
fraction of the samples was treated as above, except that
2-vinylpyridine was added to remove all GSH. The
GSSG levels were subtracted from the total glutathione
to determine the GSH level [28, 29].

Protein carbonyl levels and metabolites of nitric oxide
Plasma (200 μL) was vortexed with 500 μL of 10 mM 2,4-
dinitrophenylhydrazine diluted in 2 M HCl. Subsequently,
it was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Thereafter,
333 μL of trichloroacetic acid was added, followed by cen-
trifugation at 14,000 rpm for 20 min. The precipitate was
washed three times with 1 mL of ethanol: ethyl acetate so-
lution (1:1, v : v). To the final precipitate was added 600 μL
of guanidine hydrochloride, followed by incubation for 15
min at room temperature. The absorbance of the solution
was read at 370 nm [30].

Nitric oxide metabolites were determined by adding 6
mg of zinc sulfate to 400 μL of serum, and then centrifu-
ging at 10,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. To the resultant
supernatant was added 100 μL of vanadium chloride at a
concentration of 8 mg/mL. To reduce the NO3− to
NO2−, Griess reagent (comprising 50 μL of 2% sulfanila-
mide, and 50 μL of 0.1% N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine
dihydrochloride) was added. Following incubation for
30 min at 37 °C, the absorbance was read at 540 nm [31].

Lipid peroxide levels and membrane fluidity
The quantification of MDA and 4-HNE products in
plasma was performed using FR12 kits (Oxford Biomed-
ical Research, MI, USA) in line with the manufacturer’s
protocol. In this assay, the reagent N-methyl-2-phenyl-
dione reacts with MDA and 4-HNE at 45 °C to form a
chromophore which is determined via absorbance mea-
surement at 586 nm (Oxford kit).

The fluidity of the plasma membranes was determined
in platelets via the incorporation of 1,3 dipyrrylpropane
(DiPP). In this method, 1 mL of sample was added to
0.1 nmol of DiPP in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.8. The
mixture was incubated in the dark at 4 °C for 5 h to en-
sure incorporation of DiPP. Then, fluorescence was
measured at 24 °C at an excitation wavelength of 329 nm
for the monomer and the excimer; and the emission
peaks were read at 379 and 480 nm, respectively. Finally,
the excimer/monomer fluorescence ratio of the samples
was measured [32].

Statistical analysis
To calculate the frequency of use of pesticides and
values of biomarkers of oxidative stress, descriptive sta-
tistics were performed. The results are expressed as fre-
quencies and mean ± standard deviation, respectively.
Student’s t-test was used to determine significant differ-
ences in the values of biomarkers of oxidative stress
among the different groups (exposed and unexposed),
while ANOVA and Tukey multi-comparative tests were
used to compare exposure groups based on the duration
of use of pesticides. All statistical analyses were carried
out using SPSS Statistical Program v. 19.0 [33]. For each
marker, statistical significant of difference was assumed
at p ≤ 0.05.
Results

The most frequently used pesticides in La Cienega region

The pesticides most used by farmers were terbufos (18.7%), carbofuran (21.4%), fipronil (8.2%), and alphacypermethrin (3.6%). The other pesticides had lower percentages of exposure, relative to total OPs which had 23.39% frequency (Table 1). It is noteworthy that some herbicides also had high frequency of use. These were paraquat (19.02%) and glyphosate (8.5%).

Usage of the major pesticides and occupational exposure time

After determining biomarkers of oxidative stress, the occupationally exposed group was divided into four subgroups based on the duration of exposure to pesticides: sub-group A comprised farmers who used pesticides up to 10 years (mean age 32 years); sub-group B farmers used pesticides up to 20 years (mean age 46 years); sub-group C farmers used pesticides up to 30 years (mean age 53 years), while farmers in sub-group D were those who used pesticides for more than 30 years (mean age 62 years) (see Fig. 1). These subgroups show a higher percentage of men exposed in contrast to women (Table 2). In addition, this grouping confirmed that in the four sub-groups, there was high usage of pesticides, as was evident in long durations of exposure to terbufos (15 to 29 years), carbofuran (18 to 28 years), glyphosate (6 to 18 years), and paraquat (10 to 18 years). Although the farmers used other pesticides including phenylpyrazoles, the most commonly used ones were OPs and carbamates (Fig. 1).

Oxidative stress markers in farmers and not-exposed subject

There were statistically significant differences in values of oxidative stress markers between occupationally exposed and unexposed subjects, as shown in Table 3. In order to investigate whether the duration of use of pesticides affected oxidative stress biomarkers, average values of each oxidative stress marker in the not-exposed group was compared among the 4 exposure sub-groups (A, B, C and D). In all cases except glutathione redox

Table 1 Frequency of use pesticides in La Cienega de Jalisco México region, and classification

| Pesticide  | n (%)  | Type       | US EPA | WHO |
|------------|--------|------------|--------|-----|
| Malathion  | 1 (0.30) | Organophosphorus | D      | II  |
| Parathion  | 1 (0.30) | Organophosphorus | C      | 1* IA |
| Diazinon   | 2 (0.61) | Organophosphorus | E      | II  |
| Chlorpyrifos-ethyl | 11 (3.37) | Organophosphorus | C      | II  |
| Terbufos   | 61 (18.7) | Organophosphorus | E      | IA  |

**Percentage of Organophosphorus = 23.39%**

- Cypermethrin: 3 (0.92) Pyrethroid C II
- Tefluthrin: 6 (1.84) Pyrethroid NL 1B
- Deltamethrin: 2 (0.61) Pyrethroid NL II
- Cyhalothrin-lambda: 4 (1.22) Pyrethroid D II
- Cypermethrin-alpha: 12 (3.6) Pyrethroid C II

**Percentage of pyrethroids = 8.19%**

- Carbofuran: 70 (21.4) Carbamate NL 1B
- Paraquat: 62 (19.02) Bipyridil E II
- Glyphosate: 28 (8.5) Aminophosphonate E III
- Fipronil: 27 (8.2) Phenylpyrazole NL II
- Glufosinate-ammonium: 18 (5.52) Phosphinates C 1B
- Propaquizafop: 6 (1.84) Aryloxyphenoxypropionate NL U
- Lindane: 2 (0.61) Organochlorine NL II
- Imidacloprid: 5 (1.53) Neonicotinoid E II
- Atrazine: 2 (0.61) Triazine NL III
- Chlorantraniliprole: 1 (0.30) Anthraminic diamides NL U
- Acetochlor: 1 (0.30) Chloroacetanilides B2 III
- Fenbutatin oxide: 1 (0.30) Organonit E III

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)- Carcinogenicity Categorization: B2, Probable human carcinogen; C, Possible human carcinogen; D, Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity; E, Evidence of not carcinogenicity for humans. NL, Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans. WHO (World Health Organization)- classification of pesticides by hazard: IA, Extremely hazardous; IIB, Highly hazardous; IIB, Moderately hazardous; III, Slightly hazardous; U, Unlikely to present acute hazardous effect.

---
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system, the unexposed group showed lower oxidative stress values than any of the 4 sub-groups (Table 4). The same effect was observed on comparing markers between the exposed and unexposed groups (Table 3). Subsequent statistical analysis with ANOVA revealed that the 5 groups (one unexposed and 4 exposed) differed significantly except GSH/GSSG (Table 4).

**Effect of pesticide exposure on glutathione redox system**

There were significant differences in GSH and GSSG levels between the not exposed group and the 4 exposed subgroups ($p = 0.000$). This may be attributed to the not-exposure of the second group, since when sub-group A was compared with sub-group B, C or D, there were no significant differences ($p = 0.963$, $p = 0.980$ and $p = 1.000$ respectively); nor were there any significant differences when sub-group B was compared against sub-group C ($p = 1.000$), B against D ($p = 0.950$), or sub-group C against D ($p = 0.970$). The $p$ values for GSSG levels have the similar statistical effect: there were differences only with the not exposed group, as shown in Table 4. GSH/GSSG ratio was not significant differences between the not exposed and the 4 exposed sub-groups ($p = 0.710$) as shown in Table 4.

Furthermore, a scatter plot of data for the not exposed group and four sub-groups of exposures showed that the not exposed group had consistently higher values for GSH and GSSG (average of 1.58 μMol / mL and 0.5221 μMol / mL, respectively), while the different subgroups showed lower values with less variability which implied significant differences in GSH and GSSG ($p = 0.000$; Fig. 2). GSH and GSSG results for subgroups A, B, C, D shown nearly values like a same point in contrast with scale versus not exposed group (Fig. 2). In other hand, GSH/ GSSG ratio show higher variability for the not exposed group and four sub-groups of exposures without significant differences ($p = 0.710$; Fig. 2).

**Effect of pesticide exposure on carbonyl levels and NO metabolites**

There were statistically significant differences in carbonyl levels and NO metabolites between the not-exposed group and the 4 occupational exposure subgroups ($p = 0.000$). Moreover, there were significant differences in the concentration of NO metabolites between the not-exposed group and the 4 exposed subgroups.

---

**Table 2** Percentage of men and women in occupationally exposed subjects and not-exposed subjects

| Group          | Male (%) | Female (%) |
|----------------|----------|------------|
| **Unexposed**  | 53.25    | 46.75      |
| **Group A**    | 53.57    | 46.43      |
| **Group B**    | 76       | 24         |
| **Group C**    | 53.85    | 46.15      |
| **Group D**    | 91.18    | 8.82       |

---

**Fig. 1** Time of exposure (in years) of pesticides in The Cienega, Jalisco, Mexico area. For the 4 different sub-groups of subjects, the most frequently used pesticides were OPs and carbamates.
differences in carbonyl levels when sub-group A was compared with sub-groups B and D (\(p=0.000\) and 0.054, respectively), but not when sub-group A was compared with sub-group C (\(p=0.999\)). Similarly, differences were found between sub-groups B and C (\(p=0.000\)), and between sub-groups C and D (\(p=0.041\)), but not between sub-groups B and D (\(p=0.778\)). These results suggest that sub-groups B and D have higher values of carbonyl groups than sub-groups A and C (Fig. 3). Similarly, there were significant differences in NO metabolites of the four subgroups, when compared to not exposed group (\(p=0.000\)), but not among the different sub-groups of exposed farmers. A plot of the distribution of carbonyl data for the not-exposed group and the 4 sub-groups of exposures revealed that the not-exposed group had a low mean level of NO metabolites (5.0627 \(\mu\)moles / mL), while the 4 subgroups had higher values (mean = 45.178 to 63.015 \(\mu\)moles / mL; Fig. 3).

Effect of pesticide exposure on lipid peroxidation (LPO) and membrane fluidity (MF)

There were statistically significant differences in LPO and MF between the not-exposed group and the 4-occupational exposure sub-groups (\(p=0.000\)). These significant differences may be attributed to the not-exposure group, since when sub-group A was compared against sub-group B, C or D, there were no significant differences (\(p=0.346\), \(p=1.000\) and \(p=0.288\), respectively), nor were there significant differences when sub-group B was compared with sub-group C (\(p=0.534\)), or sub-group C against sub-group D (\(p=0.242\)), but when sub-group B was compared with sub-group D, there was significant difference (\(p=0.003\)). In the plot of LPO data distribution for the not-exposed group and the 4 subgroups, the

Table 3 Oxidative stress markers in occupationally exposed subjects and not-exposed subjects

| Markers | Subjects occupationally exposed to pesticides (n = 113) | Subjects occupationally not exposed to pesticides (n = 93) | \(P\) value* |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| GSH (\(\mu\)M) | 0.0024 ± 0.00155 | 1.58 ± 0.608 | 0.0000 |
| GSSG (\(\mu\)M) | 0.0011 ± 0.00339 | 0.5221 ± 0.5900 | 0.0000 |
| GSH/GSSG ratio | 2.18 ± 0.4572 | 3.0262 ± 1.0305 | 0.581 |
| Carbonyl groups in proteins (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 62.7 ± 35.70 | 13.57 ± 9.04 | 0.0000 |
| Nitrates-Nitrites (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 55.62 ± 55.26 | 5.06 ± 1.81 | 0.0000 |
| Lipoperoxides (MDA-4HNE; \(\mu\)mol/mL) | 4.82 ± 2.00 | 1.59 ± 0.88 | 0.0000 |
| Membrane fluidity | 0.49 ± 0.03 | 0.14 ± 0.05 | 0.0000 |

Data are presented as mean values ± standard deviation
*Value obtained with the t-Student test for independent samples

Table 4 Oxidative stress markers in not-exposed subjects and occupationally exposed group

| Markers | Unexposed Group (n = 93) | Group A (n = 25) | Group B (n = 26) | Group C (n = 19) | Group D (n = 22) | \(P\)-Value* |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| GSH (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 1.58 ± 0.608 | 0.0027 ± 0.0019 | 0.0023 ± 0.0086 | 0.0026 ± 0.0017 | 0.0026 ± 0.0018 | \(F=126.20, p=0.000\) |
| GSSG (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 0.5221 ± 0.5900 | 0.0007 ± 0.0004 | 0.0009 ± 0.0034 | 0.0006 ± 0.0003 | 0.0009 ± 0.0005 | \(F=13.84, p=0.000\) |
| GSH/GSSG | 3.026 ± 1.0305 | 3.857 ± 3.75 | 2.555 ± 2.52 | 4.333 ± 4.66 | 2.888 ± 2.6 | \(F=0.582, p=0.710\) |
| Carbonyl groups in proteins (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 13.57 ± 9.3 | 49.44 ± 25.62 | 80.05 ± 47.77 | 47.51 ± 15.95 | 71.06 ± 41.44 | \(F=48.63, p=0.000\) |
| Nitrates-Nitrites (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 5.06 ± 1.8 | 59.06 ± 55.33 | 54.49 ± 42.83 | 63.01 ± 75.09 | 45.17 ± 28.0 | \(F=22.78, p=0.000\) |
| Lipoperoxides MDA-4HNE (\(\mu\)mol/mL) | 1.59 ± 0.89 | 4.94 ± 1.90 | 4.22 ± 1.23 | 4.85 ± 1.96 | 5.80 ± 2.16 | \(F=65.57, p=0.000\) |
| Membrane fluidity | 0.14 ± 0.04 | 0.49 ± 0.03 | 0.49 ± 0.04 | 0.47 ± 0.02 | 0.49 ± 0.05 | \(F=694.84, p=0.000\) |

Data are presented as mean values ± standard deviation
*Value obtained with the one-way ANOVA. The comparison was made between the unexposed group and subgroups A, B, C and D, for each oxidative stress marker
former showed lower values (mean = 1.5999 μmol / mL), when compared to the exposure sub-groups (4.2244 to 5.8014 μmol / mL), indicating statistical difference ($p = 0.000$; Fig. 3). For MF (Ie / Im), the not-exposed group had lower values (mean = 0.1453), but sub-groups A, B, C and D had much higher values (mean ranging from 0.4782 to 0.4975) ($p = 0.000$; Fig. 3). There were no significant changes in the 4 sub-groups.

**Discussion**

The most commonly used pesticides in La Cienega region

The significant differences in levels of oxidative stress markers between the occupationally exposed and not exposed subjects, and between the not-exposed group and the 4 exposure sub-groups may be due to the imbalance in the oxidant/antioxidant system. Participants in this study had chronic exposure mainly to OPs, carbamates, glyphosate and paraquat, with whom they handled with minimal protection with a high dermal and inhalation exposure mainly. These findings are alarming because the region lacks toxicological studies that reveal the impact on farmer’s health. In addition, carbamate [23, 34, 35] and OPs [24, 27, 36] exposure increases levels of oxidative stress markers in both murine and human models. In different agricultural areas of Mexico the use of highly hazardous pesticides had negative effects on farm workers and their families, especially children [37, 38]. The General Bureau of Epidemiology, Ministry of Health, Jalisco, Mexico, has stated that between 2014 and 2018, there were approximately 76 cases of pesticide poisoning per annum in La Cienega, Jalisco area. This is equivalent to pesticide poisoning every 5 days. In Jalisco, Mexico; the municipalities most adversely affected were La Barca (162 cases), Jocotepec (77 cases), Ocotlán (50 cases), Atotonilco el Alto (19 cases), and Tototlán (18 cases).

**Effect of pesticides on glutathione redox system**

Significant differences were found in GSH and GSSG levels between the not exposed group and 4 occupational exposure sub-groups, indicating oxidative stress. A decrease in GSH/GSSG was observed as a result of exposure to OPs, carbamates and paraquat [7, 9, 23, 24, 27, 36].
However, unlike our results, studies that have analyzed GSH and GSSG specifically in erythrocytes after exposure to OPs (as was done in the present study) reported high levels \[42, 43\]. Particularly, differences in the GSH and GSSG responses depend not only on the type of sample analyzed, but also the type of OPs involved, and the duration of exposure \[42\].

The higher values to GSH and GSSG in the not exposed group, suggests that exposure to pesticides (regardless of the duration in years of use) affects human health, since the only group that maintained its functional antioxidant activity was not exposed group. On the other hand, the low levels of GSH and GSSG markers in exposed subgroups may be due to the low antioxidant defense induced by chronic exposure to pesticides, as reported by Spodniewska et al. \[42\] and Georgiadis et al. \[43\]. Conversely, other studies show that pesticide exposure may increase the GR activity, which converts GSSG to GSH, as an adaptation of the organism to prevent permanent oxidative damage \[26, 34, 41\]. However, our study showed that the levels of GSH and GSSG decrease significantly despite the previous evidence shown. Therefore, the observed decrease in both GSH and GSSG could be more important than the GSH/GSSG ratio for very low values.

**Effect of pesticides on carbonyl levels and NO metabolites**

Sub-groups B and D had higher levels of carbonyls, when compared to sub-groups A and C. This may be due to oxidative deamination in response to the increased oxidative stress \[16, 44, 45\]. It was observed that the main pesticides to which sub-groups B and D were exposed were terbufos and carbofurans (OPs and carbamate, respectively; Fig. 1). Studies have demonstrated that exposure of porcine oocytes to 750–1000 μM of malathion (OPs) for 44 h increased protein oxidation and levels of carbonyls concentration \[44\]. In another study, exposure of rats to dichlorvos (OPs) at a dose of 47 mg/kg led to increases in carbonyls up to 95% higher than those of not exposed group \[45\]. Carbamate (carbofuran) exposure produces changes in carbonyls similar to those of OPs exposure, as revealed when young rats were exposed to these substances \[46\]. In this regard,
Cattelan et al. have reported a significant increase in carbonyls in farmers exposed to carbamate-type pesticides [26]. The previous evidence is consistent with the results presented in Table 3, where a 4.5-fold increase in the formation of carbonyl groups of subjects exposed to pesticides versus subjects without occupational exposure is revealed (p = 0.000). Similarly, for NO metabolites, significant differences were observed among the 4 subgroups, relative to the not exposed group, but not between the different exposure sub-groups. It is known that an increase in LPO levels goes together with an increase in NO metabolites [47]. The results of this study showed significant increases in NO$_3^-$ / NO$_2^-$ levels. These results agree with those obtained in previous studies on OPs (diazinon and chloropyrifos) in which increases in nitrate/nitrite levels were reported in rats [48, 49]. Regarding occupational exposure to carbamates, studies have demonstrated that carbamate induces nitric oxide synthase, which results in increases in the levels of NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$, along with enhancement of lipid peroxidation, and increases in levels of protein carbonyl groups [9, 50, 51] as seen in our results.

**Effect of pesticides on LPO and MF**
The increase in LPO in the 4-occupational exposure sub-groups, especially in sub-group D relative to subgroup B may be related to increases in MDA and 4-HNE values with a longer exposure time to pesticides. This may be due to the fact that increased LPO levels were particularly associated with frequency of occupational exposure of terbufos (18.52 and 29.26%, B and D groups respectively) and carbamates (24.7 and 29.27%, B and D groups respectively) as shown in Fig. 1. The increase in LPO may be a response to the cholinergic hyperactivity which increased ROS and RNS production by both pesticides [21, 22, 50]. Similarly, exposure to pesticides generates H$_2$O$_2$ and OH$^-$ radicals which produce peroxylipid radical (LOO$^-$), and lipid-alkoxyl (LO$^-$) radical which, on cyclization and reduction, give rise to MDA, 4-HNE and acrolein [16]. The increase in LPO with respect to the not exposed group is consistent with the reports of various researchers [27, 34–36].

Moreover, terbufos and carbamates are lipophilic molecules with high affinity for the plasma membrane [52], thereby inducing increased peroxidation [21, 22, 44, 51] and decreased membrane fluidity [21]. Studies by Rai et al. [51], Dhouib et al. [9], and Liu et al. [23], reported that exposure to carbamate increased levels of ROS and RNS, and decreased membrane fluidity. However, in this study, results showed a three-fold increase in membrane fluidity over the not exposed group, while among the 4 sub-groups, there were no significant variations in membrane fluidity. These data of membrane fluidity were unexpected. Interestingly, the effect of some insecticides on membrane fluidity depends on the cholesterol content [53]. Thus, a quantitative analysis of membrane lipids should be necessary to define this effect.

**Conclusion**
La Cienega, Jalisco, Mexico is an important agricultural zone because of the economic impulse for the country. So, the use of pesticides is a common practice. In our results, the main pesticides used in the region are OPs, carbamates, glyphosate and paraquat with a high dermal and inhalation exposure, mainly. Particularly, exposure to OPs and carbamates shown an increase in levels of carbonyl groups, NO$_2^-$ / NO$_3^-$, liperoxidation and high mitochondrial membrane fluidity, as well as a decrease in the concentration of GSH and GSSG in exposed farmers versus the not exposed group. When evaluating groups A, B, C and D (intragroups) by years of exposure, a slight increase in oxidative markers and membrane fluidity were observed in those with more than 21 years of pesticide use (groups C and D), although it should be noted that it was not statistically significant analysis between groups. The increase in the oxidation levels that are found in farmers with occupational exposure constitute a theoretical basis on which to explain the high prevalence in the Cienega, Jalisco for neurodegenerative disease and cancer projected in future studies.
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