DNA ploidy of primary breast cancer and local recurrence after breast-conserving therapy
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Summary
The value of DNA-flow cytometry and clinicopathological prognostic factors for the prediction of local recurrences after breast-conserving therapy (BCT) were evaluated in a retrospective study. Thirty-one patients with a local recurrence were compared with 31 matched patients without a local recurrence. Morphology and DNA-indices of the local recurrences and their corresponding primary tumours were compared. Ductal carcinoma in situ was present significantly more often in the group with a primary recurring tumour, than in the matched group (P<0.001), and the same holds for lobular carcinoma (n=5). Half of the tumours that recurred had macroscopically positive surgical margins compared to about one-fourth of the matched group. Fifty-six per cent of the DNA-aneuploid stemlines in cases with local recurrence were present in the corresponding primary tumour as well (confidence limits 45%–75%), an indication that the majority of local recurrences are true recurrences and not independently developed tumours. The lack of similarity of DNA stemlines between some primary DNA-aneuploid tumours and their local recurrences indicates that these tumours had developed independently. The percentage of DNA-aneuploid cases in the group with local recurrence (89%) did not differ significantly from that in the matched group (70%). However, the findings suggest a selective recurrence of DNA-diploid stemlines. This might indicate increased resistance of DNA-diploid tumour cells to radiotherapy as compared with the resistance level in DNA-aneuploid cells.

Breast-conserving therapy (BCT) is being applied increasingly in the primary treatment of early breast cancer (Eberlein et al., 1990). One of the main concerns here is the continued risk of local recurrence in the retained portion of a breast. Unlike local recurrences after radical mastectomy, which usually coincide with a systemic disease, most local recurrences after BCT can be adequately treated (Barr et al., 1989; Cady, 1990). This means that follow up of patients treated by BCT and identification of women at high risk of local recurrence development are essential. The question as to whether a local recurrence itself constitutes a risk of developing distant metastases remains to be answered. The published reports indicate that a local recurrence does not significantly influence overall survival, but the number of follow-up years is still small (Calle et al., 1986; Fisher et al., 1989).

A major goal for the improvement of BCT is the identification of patients, who are at increased risk of developing a local recurrence. Important risk factors are the presence of a large component of DCIS in the primary tumour (Dongen van et al., 1989) and non-radical surgical margins (Kurtz et al., 1990a). Whether the DNA content can contribute to prediction of local recurrences has been the subject of only one investigation (Cooke et al., 1988). In that study use was made of DNA image cytometry and a positive association was found between abnormal DNA content distribution and the risk of local recurrence. In general, DNA-aneuploidy determined by flow cytometry has been found in many studies to be associated with a poorer prognosis for breast cancer (Clark et al., 1989; Beerman et al., 1990). In the present retrospective study we therefore assessed the value of DNA-flow cytometry for the identification of patients at high risk of local recurrence after BCT. Our results indicate that DNA-flow cytometry does not promote this identification of individual patients at high risk of local recurrence. Comparison of primary tumours and their local recurrences often showed similarity in DNA-aneuploid stemlines, indicating that a least 50% of local recurrences are true recurrences and not independently developed tumours. Furthermore, our results suggest a decreased sensitivity of DNA-diploid tumour cells to radiotherapy.

Materials and methods

Patients
During the period between January 1980 and December 1988, 251 patients with primary breast carcinoma <3 cm received similar treatment consisting of lumpectomy and irradiation in the Leiden University Hospital (AZL), Leiden (The Netherlands). Up to December 1989, 26 (10.4%) of these patients had developed a local recurrence. For five patients who had been treated at an affiliated general hospital in Leiden and who developed a local recurrence, surgical records, histological records, slides, and paraffin-embedded material were available and were put at the disposal of the Department of Pathology of the Leiden University Hospital. All of the patients had received post-operative radiotherapy of 50 Gy on the breast and a 15 Gy boost by electrons on the tumour bed in the Department of Radiotherapy of the Leiden University Hospital. The interval to local recurrence was calculated as starting at the time of the primary surgical treatment. Each patient with a local recurrence was matched with another patient without a local recurrence, who had a follow-up period similar to that of the disease-free period of recurrent primary tumours. For 27 recurrent primary tumours, 27 matched tumours, and 25 local recurrences, enough tumour material was available to allow flow cytometric analysis. The two cases of recurrence for which neither histological examination nor flow cytometry was performed, were diagnosed on the basis of the information supplied by fine-needle aspiration. Patients were not matched for age. A complete chronology of each patient’s disease history including data on initial treatment and follow-up was retrieved from the Surgical Documentation Service (UICC). Patients were staged according to the post-surgical TNM classification. The average follow-up period, the patient’s age (at the time of diagnosis), TNM stage, tumour size, and nodal status are listed in Table I.
Histological classification

Histological sections of all of the tumours were reviewed independently by two of the present authors (HB and MV). The results of the macroscopical examination of surgical margins were retrieved from the reports of the Department of Pathology. For this retrospective investigation, inked macroscopical surgical margins were only available for a limited number of cases and were not further evaluated.

Infiltrating ductal carcinomas with <75% DCIS were graded according to a modified Bloom and Richardson classification (Elston, 1987). With this method tubule formation and nuclear pleomorphism are assessed and the histological grade is determined. For all cases the fractional area of tumour tissue of DCIS was independently estimated by two of the authors (HB, MV) by visual examination of all available histological slides. In case of a disagreement (>10%), the slides were re-examined until agreement was reached. On this basis the infiltrating ductal carcinomas were assigned to one of five categories according to the percentage of DCIS: 0%, 1–25%, 26–75%, 76–99%, and 100%. The DCIS were classified according to their growth pattern as a comedo and a non-comedo type and, according to their nuclear pleomorphism, as small or large type. This classification is simple and seems to have a biological basis (van de Vijver et al., 1988; Baird et al., 1990). Infiltrating ductal carcinomas with >25% DCIS in the tumour were called ductal carcinomas with an extensive intraductal component. Infiltrating lobular carcinomas were not subdivided.

For histodiagnostic purposes, multiple tissue blocks were routinely taken from different parts of the primary tumour specimen and stored after being paraffin-embedded or frozen at −70°C. For DNA flow cytometry, we retrieved all available tissue blocks from the archives of the Department of Pathology.

DNA flow cytometry

The cell preparation and staining procedures used for fresh and paraffin-embedded tissue have been described elsewhere (Cornellis et al., 1987). Briefly, suspensions of isolated nuclei were prepared from fresh or frozen tissue specimens according to Vindelov's detergent-trypsin procedure and were stained with propidium iodide (Vindelov et al., 1983). Samples of deparaffinised tissue were stained with propidium iodide. The DNA content was determined with an FACscan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) with the appropriate filter combinations for the excitation and measurements of PI. On average, about 10,000 cells per sample were measured. Histological sections of frozen-tissue and/or paraffin sections were cut from each tissue block to permit estimation of the percentage of tumour cells, before and after sectioning for flow cytometric analysis. The percentage of tumour cells was estimated visually by two independent observers (HB and CJ). If the estimated percentages differed by more than 10%, the slides were re-examined in a joint session until agreement was reached. All slides showing less than 10%, or more than 90% tumour cells were considered unsuitable for flow cytometric analysis in paraffin-embedded material. Rainbow trout red blood cells were added to the suspensions of isolated nuclei prepared from the frozen samples as an internal ploidy standard. For deparaffinised samples this was not possible, and here non-neoplastic cells in the tumour specimen (e.g. stroma cells and lymphocytes) served for internal ploidy control. The pepsin-digestion technique was used to release nuclei from 45 μm sections of paraffin-embedded tissue specimens (Hedley et al., 1983).

The average number of analysed samples of recurrent primary tumours, matched tumours, and local recurrences is 4.7 (s.d. = 2.0), 3.7 (s.d. = 1.1), and 3.7 (s.d. = 1.0), respectively. These numbers are fairly close to the minimal number of four samples found in a previous study to be required to adequately establish intra-tumour DNA-stemline heterogeneity (Beerman et al., 1991).

Table 1 Clinicopathological variables and histological data on 31 primary tumours and 31 matched control tumours

| Primary tumours | Matched tumours |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| n = 31          | n = 31          |
| Mean            | Mean            |
| s.d.            | s.d.            |

| Follow-up time (months) | 34.4 (21.8) | 35.7 (21.4) | N.S. |
| Age (years)            | 46.7 (11.6) | 47.2 (11.6) | N.S. |
| Tumour size (mm)       | 23.1 (11.9) | 21.0 (7.7)  | N.S. |
| Stage                    | N.S.       |
| 0                        | 6          | 0            |
| I                        | 1          | 24           |
| II                       | 8          | 14           |
| III                      | 9          | 12           |
| IV                       | 5          | 2            |
| Unknown                  | 3          | 3            |
| Tumour size              | N.S.       |
| Tx                       | 3          | 3            |
| Tis                      | 6          | 0            |
| T1                       | 12         | 14           |
| T2                       | 9          | 14           |
| T3                       | 1          | 0            |
| Nodal status             | N.S.       |
| N0                       | 23         | 27           |
| N1                       | 8          | 4            |
| Histology                |            |
| Lobular carcinoma        | 5          | 0            |
| Ductal carcinoma         | 26         | 31           |
| Grade I                  | 2          | 7            |
| Grade II                 | 9          | 18           |
| Grade III                | 4          | 3            |
| Percentage DCIS          |            |
| 0                        | 1          | 20           | <0.01 |
| <0                   | 5          | 6            | N.S.  |
| <25                  | 14         | 5            | <0.01 |
| ≥100                 | 6          | 0            | <0.05 |
| Comedo type DCIS         | 19         | 7            | <0.01 |

*McNemar test applied to paired data. *Only ductal carcinomas with <75% DCIS were graded (n = 15).

Classification of DNA-ploidy status

DNA profiles showing only one G0/G1 cell population, with a CV of <5.5%, were classified as diploid, and those with one or more additional G0/G1 peaks as DNA-aneuploid. For frozen samples, the position of the DNA-diploid peak was verified with the use of the TRB standard. In DNA profiles obtained in deparaffinised samples showing two or more distinct G0/G1 populations, the one at the extreme left was considered to represent the non-neoplastic DNA-diploid cell population. Tumours showing a single G0/G1 peak with a CV >5.5% were classified as 'wide CV DNA-diploid'. These were pooled with the other DNA-diploid tumours, whereas G0/G1 peaks with CV >10% were considered non-evaluateable. tumour ploidy was expressed as the DNA index (Hiddemann et al., 1984). The average CV for paraffin-embedded material amounted to 5.5 (s.d. = 1.8, range 2.5–9.6) and for frozen tumour samples to 3.0 (s.d. = 1.0, range 2.0–6.4).

Tumours showing two or more different DNA-aneuploid stemlines, in the same or different samples, were classified as multiploid. We considered two DNA-aneuploid stemlines to be different, if both gave distinct G0/G1 peaks in the same DNA profile, or the DNA indices of different tumour samples differed by more than 10%. The term intra-tumour heterogeneity covers not only multiploidy but also tumours having a single DNA-diploid stemline as well as a DNA-aneuploid stemline in two separate tumour samples. A DNA-diploid G0/G1 peak accompanied by a DNA-aneuploid G0/G1 peak in the same DNA profile was not considered to represent a tumour DNA-stemline. A DNA-diploid tumour cell population was identified by the presence of a single G0/G1 peak in DNA profiles of specimens with an estimated tumour cellularity greater than 20%.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the BMDP and SPSS statistical packages. The frequency distribution of DNA-
ploidy stemlines in recurrent primary tumours, matched tumours, and local recurrences was compared by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The differences between recurrent primary tumours, matched tumours, and/or local recurrences in relation to clinicopathological variables and flow cytometric results were analysed by the McNemar test on paired data. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Comparison of recurrent primary tumours and matched tumours

Clinico-pathological variables Several morphological differences were found between tumours with and without a local recurrence. In almost all (25/26) ductal-type recurrent primary tumours, a component of ductal carcinoma in situ was present. This feature is significantly higher than that found for the matched tumours (11/26) (P < 0.01) (Table I). There were also significantly more ductal carcinomas with more than 25% DCIS in recurrent primary tumours than in matched tumours (14/26 vs 5/26; P < 0.01). In a paired comparison, DCIS of the comedo type were found to occur significantly more frequently in tumours that recurred than in the matched tumours. Among tumours the five locally recurring primary tumours were diagnosed as infiltrating lobular carcinoma, whereas none of the matched tumours was lobular. Tumours with local recurrences showing positive macroscopical surgical margins more often than matched tumours (16/31 vs 7/31) did. Age, nodal status, TNM stage, tumour size, and histological grade did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table I).

DNA-ploidy

DNA flow cytometry applied to 27 tumours that recurred locally and the tumours in the 27 matched patients showed a high degree of intra-tumour DNA stemline heterogeneity for both recurrent primary and matched tumours. The average number of distinct tumour DNA-stemlines, including both DNA-aneuploid and DNA-diploid tumour stemlines, was similar for recurrent primary tumours (n = 2.1, s.d. = 1.0, range 1–5) and matched tumours (n = 1.8, s.d. = 0.7, range 1–3). Of the recurrent primary tumours, 89% (24/27) showed DNA-aneuploidy vs 70% (19/27) of the matched tumours, but the paired comparison showed that these differences were not statistically significant. There were no significant differences in the percentage of either low DNA-aneuploid (DNA-index <1.40) or high DNA-aneuploid (DNA-index ≥1.40) stemlines (Beerman et al., 1990) (Table II).

Comparison of recurrent primary tumours and local recurrences

Clinico-pathological variables Recurrence-free intervals of infiltrating lobular carcinoma and infiltrating ductal carcinoma did not differ significantly (43 months, s.d. = 16.4, range 11–59 vs 34 months, s.d. = 22.4, range 4–88). The two small cell type DCIS recurred much later than the four large cell type DCIS did (average 68.5 months vs 38.5 months). Of the six recurrent primary tumours composed solely of DCIS, only two were DCIS after recurrence, the other four showed less than 25% DCIS in the presence of infiltrating ductal carcinoma. In five cases of recurrent primary tumours with >75% DCIS, four of the local recurrences showed only infiltrating carcinoma, the others more than 75% DCIS.

DNA-ploidy The average number of distinct tumour DNA-stemlines in local recurrences (1.5, s.d. = 0.6, range 1–3) was significantly lower than that in the primary tumours of the same patients (P = 0.01) (Table III). In 56% (9/16) of the local recurrences with a DNA-aneuploid stemline the same DNA-stemline could be traced in the primary tumour (confidence limits 45%–75%). In a high percentage (18/25) of the local recurrences a DNA-diploid tumour cell population was identified and half of this group was purely DNA-diploid (in the other nine cases also a distinct DNA-aneuploid stemline was also found in a separate sample of the same tumour). In the corresponding nine primary tumours only one was purely DNA-diploid; in the other eight primary tumours (six had both DNA-diploid and DNA-aneuploid stemlines and two only DNA-aneuploid stemlines) (Table III).

Comparisons between the percentages of low aneuploid (DNA-index <1.40) and high aneuploid tumours (DNA-index ≥1.40) in primary tumours and their local recurrences showed no statistically significantly differences.

The average recurrence-free interval for patients in whom the primary tumour and local recurrence showed one or more identical aneuploid DNA-stemlines was not significantly shorter, than for those without such DNA-stemline similarity (33.9 months, s.d. = 20.7, range 4–76 vs 49.4 months, s.d. = 25.1, range 17–88).

Discussion

Our results confirm an association between the presence of an intraductal component, particularly of the comedo type, and an increased risk of local recurrence. These findings are in agreement with most of the reports in the literature (Lind-

Table II DNA ploidy in primary tumours (PT), matched control tumours (MT), and local recurrences (LR)

|        | PT | MC | LR |
|--------|----|----|----|
| n      | 27 | 27 | 25 |
| DNA-diploid | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| DNA-aneuploid | 24 | 19 | 16 |
| DNA-diploid + DNA-aneuploid* | 15 | 14 | 18 |
| DNA-index > 1.40 | 18 | 16 | 11 |
| Multiploidy | 10 | 11 | 4 |

*Paired comparison (McNemar) shows no statistically significant differences between PT/MT and PT/LR. *DNA-diploid and DNA-aneuploid tumour stemlines in separate samples of the same tumour.

Table III Comparative data on DNA stemlines in 25 primary tumours and 25 local recurrences. For all cases, identical DNA stemlines are only presented once

|        | Local recurrence | Primary tumour |
|--------|------------------|----------------|
| DNA-diploid (n = 9) | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 |
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 |

Presence of similar DNA-aneuploid stemlines (n = 9)

|        | 1.0 | 1.1 |
|--------|-----|-----|
| 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 1.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.5 |

Absence of similar DNA-aneuploid stemlines (n = 7)

|        | 1.0 | 1.5 |
|--------|-----|-----|
| 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
The tendency of DCIS to be multifocal or multicentric in about 25–50% of the cases has been thought to be responsible for the higher local recurrence rate (Schwartz et al., 1980; Holland et al., 1981). Furthermore, the shorter local recurrence-free period in patients with a high-grade DCIS vs those with a well-differentiated DCIS seen in the present study has already been reported (Lagios et al., 1989). A distinctly high incidence of infiltrating lobular carcinoma in the group with a local recurrence may indicate a higher risk of local recurrence for this group. However, consensus has not been reached in the literature on this subject (Mate et al., 1986; du Toit et al., 1987; Schnitt et al., 1989; van Limbergen et al., 1990). All five of these cases of recurrent lobular carcinoma in our study showed a remarkable similarity of the histological picture. These tumours were composed of multiple lobular carcinoma foci separated from each other by non-neoplastic breast parenchyme, indicating a possible multifocality or multicentricity. A higher local recurrence risk of this tumour type might be accounted for by its often diffuse growth pattern and lack of dominant or well-defined mass.

In the present study the local recurrence rate was not significantly associated with age, tumour size, TNM stage, histological grade, or nodal status. The small size of the tumours in our series may have obscured such relationships; even in larger-scale studies these correlations are still controversial (Harris et al., 1985; Fisher et al., 1986; Lindley et al., 1989; Locker et al., 1989; Kurtz et al., 1990b). In cases that did recur, the tumour specimens had macroscopically positive margins more often than those in the matched control group did. Similar observations have been made in other studies (Kurtz et al., 1990a).

The similarity between DNA-aneuploid stemlines in 56% of local recurrences and primary tumours supports the opinion that these local recurrences are true recurrences and not independently developing tumours. The likelihood that two independent tumours will have the same aneuploid DNA-indices has been shown by a recent statistical analysis to be very small (Smith et al., 1990). So far, the evidence indicating true local recurrence has been based solely on the similarity in histology and location in or adjacent to the initial tumour bed (Clarke et al., 1985; Fisher et al., 1986). However, in six cases no concordance was found for DNA-aneuploid stemlines between local recurrences and primary tumours, even after extensive sampling of both the recurrence and the primary tumour. In these cases an independent, multicentric origin of the primary tumour and local recurrence is feasible.

Although not statistically significant, our data suggest that there may be some kind of association between DNA-aneuploidy and an increased recurrence rate. This is in line with the results presented to the EORTC In situ Breast Cancer Workshop in 1988 (Cooke et al., 1988). However, this relationship is complicated by our finding that among 22 patients with DNA-aneuploid recurrent primary tumours there was a relatively high percentage (36) of the recurrences showing a purely DNA-diploid stemline. In six cases where primary tumours showed both DNA-aneuploid and DNA-diploid tumour stemlines, only the DNA-diploid stemlines recurred. This might indicate that DNA-diploid tumour cell populations are more resistant to radiotherapy than DNA-aneuploid populations are.

The high frequency of DNA-aneuploid stemlines in the present series is similar to the 88% found in a DNA image cytometry study of 26 cases of DCIS + micro-invasive carcinoma (Carpenter et al., 1987). Generally, the reported percentage of DNA-aneuploidy in the literature is lower (Kallioniemi et al., 1987; Clark et al., 1989). This divergence might be due to undersampling, since in the present study (with extensive sampling) the calculated probability that a single sample will be DNA-aneuploid was only 64.5% which is well within the range reported in the literature. Similar results for DNA-aneuploidy were obtained in a recent flow-cytometric study on tumour heterogeneity (Beer et al., 1991). Furthermore, the frequent coexistence of DNA-diploid and DNA-aneuploid tumour cell populations in our series of tumours might be attributable to the presence of a DCIS component in most of the recent primary tumours. DCIS are more frequently DNA-diploid, especially the non-comedo type, than infiltrating carcinomas are (Carpenter et al., 1987; Locker et al., 1990).

In sum, the present results confirm the conclusion that there is higher risk of local recurrence for tumours with an extensive intra-ductal component, and also indicate a higher risk for infiltrating lobular carcinomas. The findings also support the importance of macroscopically free surgical margins, and do not definite proof that DNA-aneuploidy constitutes a major risk factor for local recurrence after BCT. The higher incidence of DNA-diploid stemlines among cases of local recurrence raises important questions about the sensitivity of DNA-diploid tumour cell populations to radiotherapy. Moreover, the concordance in DNA-aneuploidy between primary tumours and local recurrences in more than 50% of the cases supports the notion that a substantial proportion of local recurrences can be attributed to the non-radical excision of tumour tissue.
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