Enhancing the sustainability of high strength concrete in terms of embodied energy and carbon emission by incorporating sewage sludge and fly ash
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Abstract
This paper discusses the properties of dried sewage sludge (SS) and its influence on the microstructure development of HVFA concrete when used as a partial replacement of binder material. A detailed characterization of dried sludge samples collected from a sewage treatment plant is carried out using XRF, XRD, TGA, and FTIR techniques. HVFA concrete mix is designed for 50 MPa with 50% fly ash of the total binder content. Sludge is ground to a particle size of 150 µ and 75 µ and replaced at levels of 5%, 10%, and 15% of the total binder content. The strength activity index of the dried sludge sample is acceptable as per standards. Taking concrete mixes with HVFA as a reference, the fresh properties of binder paste and concrete with sewage sludge have been studied. Mechanical properties that define the applicability to various infrastructure projects are reported for all the studied mixes. EI, CI, COST per unit compressive strength for all mixes are also determined to comment on the environmental impact of the use of SS in concrete. The compressive strength of concrete specimens decreases with the increase in replacement level of SS. However, in comparison with OPC concrete, 75 µm SS at 5% replacement level concrete mechanical strength is within the acceptable limit for M50 concrete mix. The addition of SS as a binder to the concrete has a lower environmental impact, embodied energy, CO2 emission, and cost per unit strength. But more than 10% replacement level resulted in reducing CS, FS, and STS by 11.17%, 6.23%, and 6.99%.
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Abbreviations

CO₂  Carbon dioxide  SCM  Supplementary cementitious materials
CE   Carbon emission  SDG  Sustainable development goals
CI   Carbon emission index  TGA  Thermo gravimetric analysis
CS   Compressive strength  UPV  Ultrasonic pulse velocity
EE   Embodied energy  WA  Water absorption
EI   Embodied energy index  XRD  X-ray powder diffraction
FESEM Field emission scanning electron microscope  XRF  X-ray fluorescence
FST  Final setting time  IST  Initial setting time
FS   Flexural strength  COST  Material cost index
FA   Fly ash  MoE  Modulus of elasticity
FTIR  Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy  MSWA  Municipal solid waste ash
GHG  Greenhouse gas  MWWTP  Municipal waste water treatment plant
HVFA High-volume fly ash  OPC  Ordinary Portland cement
HVFAC High volume fly ash concrete  PC  Portland cement
IST  Initial setting time  SS  Sewage sludge
COST  Material cost index  SSA  Sewage sludge ash
MoE  Modulus of elasticity  STS  Split tensile strength
MSWA  Municipal solid waste ash  SAI  Strength activity index
MWWTP Municipal waste water treatment plant  SS  Sewage sludge
OPC  Ordinary Portland cement  SSA  Sewage sludge ash
PC  Portland cement  STS  Split tensile strength

Introduction

The sustainability of concrete depends on the amount of CO2 and other GHGs emitted in the production and procurement of raw materials, mixing, casting, and curing. Due to globalization and increased population, industrial waste disposal is one of the most significant challenges humankind is facing. In this context, attempts are being made to reduce carbon emissions in concreting through the effective utilization of industrial by-products. Sustainable development goals (SDG) and standards have suggested using waste materials/by-products that reduce carbon emission and embodied energy.

Cement production is an energy-intensive process. As of now, 4400 million tons of cement are manufactured yearly worldwide. It is also anticipated that the number will rise to over 5500 million tons by 2050. About 8% of the...
world’s CO₂ emission is attributed to cement production. India stands at the second position in cement production and cement-related CO₂ emissions. Cement production in India is estimated to rise to 3000 MT, emitting nearly 1.3 billion tons of CO₂ by 2050 [1]. The CO₂ emissions are found to reduce with SCMs in one of the binder phases. Approximately 13–22% of CO₂ emissions were reduced by SCMs depending on the level of replacement used [2]. O’Brien et al. (2009) reported that the primary source of GHG emissions is the concrete industry. Seven percent of the global GHG emissions are from PC production. In concrete production, approximately 13–22% of CO₂ emissions were reduced with SCMs in one of the binder phases. Further, it was also noticed that the performance of HVFA against chloride ion penetration was enhanced with an increase in the inter-grinding time of binder material [35].

SS is a by-product of the MWWTP. The estimated dry sludge production quantities annually are 8910, 6510, 3955, 2960, 650, 580, 550, and 370 thousand metric tons EU-27, USA, India, China, Iran, Turkey, Canada, and Brazil, respectively [36–39]. Presently, in India, out of the 62,000 MLD sewage generated, only 20,120 MLD goes into the treatment plant. The quantity of dry sludge generated in India is expected to increase many times due to the extensive installation of municipal sewage treatment plants under the Swachh Bharat Mission [31–33].

Many researchers have unanimously accepted that FA reduces GHG emissions when replaced with Portland cement [5]. The reduction in GHG emissions depends on the source and condition of raw materials, the type of supplementary cementitious material used, the percentage of replacement level, and the transportation distance. Industrial by-products, such as FA and slag, are widely used and accepted as partial replacements to OPC [6]. The effective use of improperly disposed of municipal and industrial by-products/wastes can reduce pollution and result in the sustainable use of natural resources [7]. Many experimental investigations are performed on using other wastes in concrete, such as palm oil fuel ash, rice husk ash, MSWA, incinerated bottom ash, agro-waste, and SSA, as a part of cementitious binder in concrete production [8–22].

Due to the ever-increasing energy demand, many thermal power plants were set up across the globe, resulting in the large-scale production of FA as a by-product. Therefore, the safe disposal of FA to prevent environmental pollution has become a global challenge. FA can be used as a valuable resource in concrete, greenhouse gas emissions, and embodied energy. HVFA is an approach to maximize the FA content in concrete and minimize OPC use for a similar level of mechanical properties. In contrast, Dunstan et al. (1992) referred to any concrete containing more than 40% of FA as HVFA concrete. Many experimental investigations have recommended 30–70% cement replacement by FA for concrete having 28 days strength of 40–50 MPa [3, 23–33].

Sivasundaram et al. (1990) observed the strength development of HVFA concrete over three years. Concrete gained strength of 70 MPa and modulus of elasticity of 47 GPa with prolonged curing for two years [34]. Jiang and Malhotra (2000) recommended the use of a large amount of FA as binders (55%) in conjunction with the use of superplasticizers to achieve higher slumps of 100 mm and above since HVFAC is associated with a low W/B [32]. Bouzoubaa et al. (2000) found improvement in resistance to chloride ion penetration characteristics with HVFA blended cement. Further, it was also noticed that the performance of
impact on the environment. This study performs CE and EE of the binding material and concrete mixes at a different replacement level.

**Experimental program**

**Materials**

**Binder material**

OPC Forty-three Grade confirming IS 8112-2013 [49] is used for the study. Low calcium FA (Class F) was procured from a Raichur, Karnataka, India. Dried SS was collected from the dry sludge bed at the MWWTP at End Point, MAHE, Manipal, Karnataka, India, and dried for seven more days in sunlight to remove excess moisture.

After oven-drying at 105 °C for 24 h, the sludge was ground for 1 h in a ball mill. The ground residue was sieved through 150 and 75-micron meter IS standard sieves and collected separately. The particle size analysis of all the ingredients going into the binder system is illustrated in Fig. 1. The properties of various binder materials used in the present study are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

**Chemical analysis with X-ray fluorescence** Semi-chemical quantitative analysis of the oxides is performed, and the outcomes are tabulated in Table 2. Sewage sludge comprises SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CaO, Na$_2$O, and P$_2$O$_5$, which is quite similar to FA. The proportions of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$, which are the main reactive components responsible for pozzolanic reactions in the binder system (ASTM C125, 2007), are lower than FA. Sludge is composed primarily of quartz and calcite. The same is noted by Valls et al. (2004) [45]. Clay is absent in dry sludge, which signifies the absence of a stable binder phase on hydration. However, it can be used as a partial replacement for cement. The range in which various compounds are present in the sludge presented in previous research articles is also listed in Table 2. The ternary representation of SiO$_2$-CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ concerning that of OPC, FA, and SS is presented in Fig. 2.

**X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) of SS** XRD spectra of SS are presented in Fig. 3. The crystalline phases of the SS mainly consist of quartz SiO$_2$ 4.1%, Akermanito 34.4%, Ca$_3$SiO$_5$ 53.3%, and Cristobalite 8.1%.

**Thermogravimetric analysis of sewage sludge** The result of TGA is presented in Fig. 4. The SS sample tested was found to have undergone thermal degradation in two phases. The first phase of primary degradation occurred at the temperature range of 100–500 °C, wherein the sludge sample was found to experience a high rate of mass loss. In the second phase, continuous decomposition of SS occurs at a

![Particle size distribution of concrete ingredient](image-url)

**Table 1 Physical characteristics of raw materials**

| Physical characteristics | Cement | FA | SS (150 μm) | SS (75 μm) |
|--------------------------|--------|----|-------------|------------|
| Specific gravity         | 3.12   | 2.32 | 1.95        | 2.13       |
| Specific surface area (m$^2$/g) | 0.313 | 0.377 | 0.320 | 0.315 |
| Particle size D10        | D10    | 3.68 | 2.17        | 5.27       |
|                          | D50    | 14.85| 8.72        | 35.13      |
|                          | D90    | 32.32| 27.99       | 78.45      |

**Table 2 Chemical composition of binder materials**

| Composition | OPC (%) | FA (%) | SS (%) | SS observed value in literature |
|-------------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|
| SiO$_2$     | 20.27   | 53.25  | 12.013 | 2.06 [50]                      |
| Al$_2$O$_3$ | 8.98    | 25.62  | 4.424  | 2.06 [50]                      |
| Fe$_2$O$_3$ | 3.71    | 6.4    | 41.715 | 4.58 [50]                      |
| CaO         | 59.21   | 4.7    | 8.985  | 2.40 [52]                      |
| MgO         | 1.85    | 1.04   | 0.014  | 0.01 [15]                      |
| P$_2$O$_5$  | –       | –      | 23.302 | 5.00 [54]                      |
| Na$_2$O     | 0.15    | 2.22   | 6.247  | 0.31 [55]                      |
| K$_2$O      | 0.98    | 0.87   | 2.122  | 0.53 [55]                      |
| TiO$_2$     | 1.35    | –      | 1.069  | 0.52 [52]                      |
| SO$_3$      | 2.52    | 1.29   | –      | 0.0 [48, 51, 52, 56]            |
| Cl$^-$      | –       | –      | –      | 0 [50, 53, 57]                 |
| LOI         | 1.47    | 2.85   | 48.59  | 47.50 [50]                     |

Fig. 1 Particle size distribution of concrete ingredient
high temperature of 500–900 °C with a comparatively lower mass-loss rate.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy of sewage sludge FTIR analysis was carried out for SS using JASCO FTIR-6300 with a wavelength range of 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) results which are shown in Fig. 5. Inorganic bonded O–H groups with a wavenumber of 3250 cm\(^{-1}\) are observed. The broad peak at the 3600–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) region signifies the presence of O–H and N–H functional groups. Hence, alcohols, acids, amides, and amines are also noted. Multiple peaks indicate the presence of C–H groups in the 1042–2925 cm\(^{-1}\) region. The primary absorbance in FTIR spectra in the region 450–1050 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the Si–O bond of silicate impurities and traces of clay minerals.

**Microstructure** The FESEM images of OPC, FA, and SS are shown in Fig. 6. From the FESEM image of SS, it is observed that the particle sizes appear to be more prominent than FA. SS appears crystalline in nature. It consists of the random orientation of solids with irregular shapes and sizes. Therefore, to attain higher reactivity, it is essential to grind the SS to a finer level.

**Aggregates**

Natural river sand and gravel as fine and coarse aggregate in accordance with IS 383-1970 1970 (Reaffirmed 2011) are used for the present study. Table 3 and Fig. 1 show aggregates physical properties and sieve analysis.

**Superplasticizer**

High range water reducing agent Rofluid H1 (PCE base), with a specific gravity of 1.15 and pH of 4.5, was used in all mixes to enhance the workability of concrete. The chloride ion and alkaline percentages are \(\leq 0.1\) and \(0.4\), respectively.

![Fig. 2 Ternary 3D plot of binder materials](image)

![Fig. 3 XRD pattern of sewage sludge](image)
Preparation of the paste

The mix proportions of various combinations of binder blends used for the study are listed in Table 4. The blended binder paste was carried out as per the norms stipulated in EN 196-3 [58].

Mix proportioning

The mix proportions used for the current investigation are presented in Table 5. Sewage sludge was replaced at 5%, 10%, and 15% of the total binder content. Physical and mechanical properties of HVFA high strength concrete with three levels of sludge replacement were investigated through experimental procedures. Using the Department of Environment’s Design (DOE) method, M50 concrete is designed with 50% cement and 50% FA as a binder. The w/b ratio of 0.3 is used for all the mixes. After casting, the specimens are de-molded after 24 h and then immersed in water for curing as per IS 10086-2008 [59].
Specimen casting and curing

OPC, FA, and SS were mixed thoroughly to obtain uniform binder mix. The aggregates are mixed with binders for 2 min to obtain a uniform dry mix. Uniform concrete mix was obtained by continuing the mixing for 2–3 min after water dispersion, and chemical admixture was poured. Later, concrete was cast into specific molds. The molded samples were kept in laboratory conditions for 24 ± 0.5 h and de-molded, later stored in a curing tank in the conventional method for the required durations [60–62].

Experimental procedure

Test on binder material

The setting time of the binder was determined as per the procedure prescribed in ASTM C191 [63]. Standard consistency of binder pastes is performed as per ASTM C187-16 [64]. SAI test was performed according to ASTM C618-05 [65] to study the pozzolanic activity of the binder mix. The fluidity of binder paste was measured (mini-slump flow) as per ASTM C1437 [66].

Tests on concrete

"Compressive strength test has been performed at 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 days of the curing period using 150 mm cubic size, as per the Indian Standard Specifications IS:516-1959 [60]. The loading rate of 14 N/mm²/min was maintained using CTM of capacity 3000 kN. The split tensile strength was determined as per IS 5816-1999 [67] using specimen sizes of 150 mm diameter and 300 mm height at 7, 28,56, and 90 days. The rate of load application was within the range of 1.2–2.4 N/mm²/min. The flexural strength test was performed using the prism of size 100 × 100 × 500 mm as per IS 516-1959 [60]. Modulus of elasticity (MoE) has been conducted as per IS 516-1959 on the cylinder specimen of size 150 mm diameter and 300 mm height after 28 days of curing. Deformation of the sample under compressive load was found using compressometer and linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) equipment. The ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) test was performed as per IS 13311-1-1992 [68] using a TICO Ultrasonic instrument supplied by PROCEQ SA, Switzerland. A water absorption test has been conducted on 150 mm cube specimens following the specifications prescribed in BS 1881-122-1983 [69]. Details of the tests and the corresponding codes referred are mentioned in Table 6 [62].

| Table 4 | Mix designation for blended mixes |
| --- | --- |
| Blends | Combination | General designation | Binder content | OPC (%) | FA (%) | SS (%) |
| Control | OPC | C | 100 | – | – |
| Binary blends | OPC + FA | CF | 50 | 50 | – |
| Ternary blends | OPC + FA + SS (150 µm) | CFS150-5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 5 |
| | | CFS150-10 | 45 | 45 | 10 |
| | | CFS150-15 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 15 |
| | OPC + FA + SS (75 µm) | CFS75-5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 5 |
| | | CFS75-10 | 45 | 45 | 10 |
| | | CFS75-15 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 15 |

| Table 5 | Mix proportion of concrete used in present study |
| --- | --- |
| Mix designation | OPC (kg/m³) | FA (kg/m³) | SS-150 µm (kg/m³) | SS-75 µm (kg/m³) | Sand (kg/m³) | Coarse aggregate | Water (kg/m³) | W/B ratio | SP (%) |
| M1 | 577 | – | – | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 1.0 |
| M2 | 288 | 288.5 | – | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M3 | 274.075 | 274.075 | 28.85 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M4 | 259.65 | 259.65 | 57.7 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M5 | 245.225 | 245.225 | 86.55 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M6 | 274.075 | 274.075 | 28.85 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M7 | 259.65 | 259.65 | 57.7 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
| M8 | 245.225 | 245.225 | 86.55 | – | 899.94 | 798.06 | 191 | 0.33 | 2.1 |
Results and discussion

Initial and final setting time

The IST and FST of pastes tested are presented in Table 7. IST and FST vary from 155 to 255 and 265 to 375, respectively. It is noticed that with an increase of SS, the setting time also increases for the pastes containing both 150 µm and 75 µm downsized dried sludge. However, the paste samples containing 75 µm downsized SS particle compared to 150 µm downsized at the same replacement level.

Table 7 Setting time, consistency, and slump flow of binder paste

| Binder mix | Setting time | Consistency | Slump flow |
|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
|            | IST (min)    | FST (min)   | (%)        | (mm)       |
| C          | 150          | 265         | 31         | 180        |
| CF         | 185          | 305         | 34         | 195        |
| CFS150-5   | 220          | 335         | 38         | 162        |
| CFS150-10  | 235          | 350         | 40         | 157        |
| CFS150-15  | 255          | 375         | 41         | 151        |
| CFS75-5    | 200          | 315         | 41         | 172        |
| CFS75-10   | 185          | 330         | 46         | 166        |
| CFS75-15   | 175          | 360         | 53         | 161        |

Standard consistency of binder

The standard consistencies of binder pastes studied at different replacement levels are shown in Table 7. The consistency value of FA blended cement paste at 50% cement replacement level is 34%, while the control sample consistency was 31%. A similar trend was observed by Marthong and Agrawal (2012) [74]. Replacement of SS resulted in an increase in the consistency values of the blended pastes due to the higher powder volume and porous and crystalline nature of SS. It is also noted from Table 7 that the consistency value is higher for binder paste with 75 µm downsized SS particle compared to 150 µm downsized at the same replacement level.

Fluidity (mini-slump flow) of binder

The fluidity of various paste compositions studied with and without sludge replacement is presented in Table 7. The slump flow values of the paste mixes were found to range from 151 to 195 mm compared to the slump value of 180 mm for OPC. It is observed that the fluidity of the OPC paste was found to have increased on replacing OPC with 50% FA. However, with the incorporation of SS into the binder, the fluidity is considerably reduced. The SS particles are porous and irregular in shape, hence, more susceptible to water absorption on particle surfaces [75]. Also, the size of SS was found to influence the fluidity.

Strength activity index

The SAI test was conducted to evaluate the pozzolanic activity of SS and presented in Fig. 7. According to ASTM C618-05 [65], the substitutive material is designated a pozzolan if it achieves a 75% of the strength gained by OPC mortar at 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 days, respectively, with 20% cement replacement. According to the results of the SAI, SS (75 µm) exhibits moderate pozzolanic activity. It can also be seen from the figure that the SAI of SS increased as the curing day advances. The presence and quantities of amorphous phases in the pozzolan contribute to pozzolanic when used as partial replacement to cement.
In comparison, SS has proven to possess lower SAI than FA. Finer grinding may be used for improving pozzolanic activity. In the present study, SS with a particle size of 75 µm possesses moderate pozzolanic activity, suitable to be used as SCM’s.

**Influence of sewage sludge on slump flow**

The slump flow values of freshly mixed concrete mix are illustrated in Fig. 8. A higher slump value is observed in mix M2 because of a higher proportion of FA compared to the OPC (M1) mix. Sahmaran and Yaman (2007) also reported that OPC replacement with 50% FA increased the slump flow by 23.2% [76]. The increase in the percentage replacement of SS resulted in a decrease in slump value for the concrete in both 75 and 150 µm size particles. Similar results are observed by Jamshidi et al. (2011) [48], Ghada Mourtada et al. (2016) [74], and Ehab et al. (2019) [77].

**Concrete density**

The 28-day concrete density was determined according to BS 1881: Part 114:1983 [71] (Method of determination of density of hardened concrete) [71] and presented in Fig. 9. The density of specimens increased with curing age. Continuous hydration and pozzolanic action from binder materials resulted in dense microstructure at a later age. Compared to the control sample, the concrete density began to drop in addition to 5% of SS. Based on the results obtained, it can be noted that the concrete density decreased with an increase in SS particle size. The same trend was observed by Amminudin et al. (2020) [56]. It is important to note that the addition of FA to the mix lowers the fresh concrete density. The lower specific gravity of FA and SS compared to OPC accounts for a decrease in density. The same trend is observed in studies reported earlier [3, 23, 78]. The deadweight of the structural element is reduced due to a reduction in the density of concrete. So, the use of SS in the binder system can be considered one of the advantages.

**Compressive strength**

The CS test was performed on concrete samples at 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 curing days. The measurement of CS of the concrete sample with variable SS content is shown in Table 8. The replacement of 150 µm downsized SS at 5%, 10%, and 15% resulted in a decrease in 28 days strength by 24.12%, 25.54%, and 36.54%, respectively. Whereas 75 µm
downsize contributed 1.4%, 11.17%, and 17.99% reduction for 5%, 10%, and 15% replacement levels, respectively. Jamshidi et al. (2011, 2012) [48, 79] observed that 5%, 10%, and 20% addition of dry sludge resulted in a decrease in strength by approximately 9%, 14.5%, and 28% in 28 days and 3.5%, 8%, and 20% in 90 days cured samples. It is also noted that for both the sizes, 75 µm and 150 µm sized SS, compressive strength at 90 days for 5% and 10% replacement levels is within the acceptable limits for M50 concrete. The relationship between CS and percentage replacement level is plotted, individual equations are presented in Figs. 10 and 11, and a strong relationship between percentage replacement and CS with $R^2$ lying between 83.81 and 93.42%.

### Split tensile strength

The STS results of eight mixes are illustrated in Table 8. The 28 days lowest strength of 2.96 MPa is observed in mix 5 (M5). The replacement of 150 µm downsized SS at 5%, 10%, and 15% replacement levels resulted in a considerable decrease in strength. Whereas 75 µm downsized, SS concrete samples contributed reasonably good strength than 150 µm. The relationship between STS and percentage replacement level is plotted, and individual equations are presented in Figs. 12 and 13. A direct relationship equation is plotted considering 7, 28, 56, and 90 days CS and STS and presented in Fig. 14. $R^2$, a value of 0.809, indicates a correlation between them.

### Flexural strength

The FS experiment results at 7, 14, 28, 56, and 90 days are illustrated in Table 8. The 28 days lowest strength of 3.94 MPa is observed for the M5 mix. The replacement of 150 µm downsized SS at 5%, 10%, and 15% replacement

### Table 8  CS, STS, and FS of mixes at different curing ages

| Concrete mix | Compressive strength (MPa) | Split tensile Strength (MPa) | Flexural strength (MPa) |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|              | 7 day 14 day 28 day 56 day 90 day | 7 day 28 day 56 day 90 day | 7 day 28 day 56 day 90 day |
| M1           | 46.04 53.93 61.11 63.56 65.18 | 3.63 3.99 4.09 4.16 4.53 | 5.14 5.25 5.32 5.32 |
| M2           | 43.04 45.93 58.96 62.15 64.45 | 3.22 3.91 4.04 4.13 4.38 | 5.04 5.18 5.29 5.29 |
| M3           | 27.81 36.72 44.70 48.72 54.28 | 2.48 3.30 3.48 3.71 3.52 | 4.33 4.54 4.81 4.81 |
| M4           | 26.37 37.42 43.90 46.18 52.45 | 2.40 3.26 3.37 3.64 3.44 | 4.29 4.41 4.73 4.73 |
| M5           | 42.43 44.62 58.12 61.25 63.25 | 2.12 2.96 3.14 3.37 3.09 | 3.94 4.14 4.42 4.42 |
| M6           | 38.23 43.25 52.37 55.18 56.95 | 3.20 3.87 4.00 4.08 4.35 | 5.00 5.14 5.23 5.23 |
| M7           | 35.30 38.95 48.35 50.11 51.31 | 3.00 3.63 3.75 3.82 4.11 | 4.72 4.86 4.94 4.94 |
| M8           | 42.43 44.62 58.12 61.25 63.25 | 2.86 3.46 3.54 3.59 3.93 | 4.52 4.61 4.67 4.67 |

---

**Fig. 10**  Relationship between CS and percentage replacement level of 150 µm downsized SS

**Fig. 11**  Relationship between CS and percentage replacement level of 75 µm downsized SS
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levels resulted in a drastic decrease in strength. Whereas 75 μm downsized SS exhibited higher strength than 150 μm. The relationship between tensile strength and percentage replacement level is plotted, and individual equations are presented in Figs. 15 and 16. A direct relationship equation is plotted considering 7, 28, 56, and 90 days CS and STS and presented in Fig. 17. The $R^2$ value of 0.873 is observed, indicating a good correlation between them.

Modulus of elasticity (MoE)

The MoE affects reinforced concrete's safety, durability, density, and life span. The 28 days MoE of concrete specimens is calculated by applying a series of compressive stress cycles up to about 40% of the measured compressive strength and is presented in Fig. 18. The replacement of 150 μm downsized SS decreased the modulus of elasticity, whereas it is similar to the control mix in the samples containing 75 μm downsized SS. The incorporation of SS led to a decrease in MoE due to the de-densification of pore structure. A linear degradation in the value of modulus of elasticity with an increase in SS content is observed. A linear relationship between CS and MoE at 28 days is plotted in Fig. 19. A good correlation is observed with the $R^2$ value of 0.917.
Influence of sewage sludge on quality aspect of concrete

Ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV)

The UPV test results for the mix at 28 and 90 days and correlation between UPV and CS are presented in Fig. 20. The mixes result was between 3400 and 3700 m/s, which falls under the decent to the excellent category as per IS 13111 (Part 1). The linear regression analysis has been plotted (Fig. 21) between UPV and CS. A direct relationship was obtained as $y (UPV) = 2917.24 + 12.49 X (CS)$, with an $R^2$ value of 0.8954 showing a good correlation.
Water absorption (WA)

WA of eight mixes investigated at 28, 56, and 90 days of curing is presented in Table 9. WA decreases with an increase in curing ages in all mix specimens. An increase in SS percentage increased water absorption. However, 75 µm downsized replacement of SS as binder material gives better results than 150 µm downsized SS particle.

Assessment of environment impact and cost implication

Using a higher dosage of supplementary cementitious material in concrete minimizes environmental impact and increases compressive strength. Cradle-to-gate EE, CE, and COST are quantified for different binder combinations. The energy consumption and CE can vary depending upon the manufacturing process, raw material, and distance from the source. Therefore, representative data from the literature were used in this study.

Carbon dioxide emission

Global warming is exacerbated by urbanization and industrialization, which leads to the depletion of natural resources, prompting scholars worldwide to consider sustainable development. As a large user of natural resources and energy, the concrete industry has significantly increased GHG emissions. According to estimates, the global population is expected to reach ten billion by 2050, resulting in increased construction and development activities and a negative impact on the environment [78, 80].

The CO₂ emission parameters were calculated in this study by calculating carbon emissions during the preparation of SCMs. According to previous studies, the carbon footprint of FA is low because it is a waste by-product of coal-burning power plants. Researchers in earlier studies state that carbon mission from FA is negligible because it is a waste by-product arising from the coal-burning power station. But in the current study, the value of 0.008 kg eq. CO₂/kg is considered for FA, as per Hammond and Jones (2011) [80, 81].

| Material | Energy requirement for 1000 kg SS | Transport of 1000 kg SS | Total emission (kg CO₂/kg SS) |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | Consumption (kWh) | Emission factors (kg CO₂/kWh) | Distance (km) | Emission factor² |                      |
| Oven drying | 25 | 130.3 | 0.231 | 10 | 0.245 | 0.0383 |
| Grinding and sieving | 25 | 186.5 | 0.231 | 10 | 0.245 | 0.0513 |

¹Energy utilized by oven during 24 h of drying with a utilization rate of 1041.67 W/h.  
²Energy utilized by sieving and grinding machines. 
³Emission aspect due to electricity production (DECC 2021).  
⁴Distance from Municipal Treatment Plant to MIT, Manipal MAHE Campus. 
⁵Emission aspect of the truck used to transport the materials (DECC 2021).
Similarly, SS raw material contributes zero CO2 emission, as it is also a by-product in municipal wastewater treatment plants [5, 82]. However, the energy utilized to improve reactivity by drying, grinding, sieving, and transport is considered for calculating carbon emission for SS and FA. According to the UK Government, conversion factors for GHG report 2021 are considered while calculating carbon emissions. Table 10 represents the calculated CO2 emission factors for SS (150 µm) and (75 µm). The final carbon emission factors of ingredients used in concrete mixes are presented in Table 11.

The CO2 emission of individual and total cementitious material per mix is illustrated in Figs. 22 and 23. Replacing OPC by increasing the amount of SCMs per unit volume of concrete resulted in reducing CO2 emission of cementitious material in mixes up to 57%. The amounts of CO2 released by each concrete mix depend upon the proportions of materials, concrete production, and raw material transport, as presented in Fig. 24. The CO2 emission factor was considered 0.008 kg CO2/kg for concrete production as Kin et al. (2016) [86]. The purpose of the CO2 emission analysis is not to achieve a mix with the lowest CO2. Achieving a mix with less CO2 emissions is also important, which shows acceptable mechanical properties. The results show that Mix M1 with 100% OPC has the highest emission rate of 601.24 kg CO2/m3, while the lowest value of 293.95 kg CO2/m3 and 295.17 kg CO2/m3 is observed in mix M5 and M8. When SCMs were incorporated, a reduction in CO2 emissions was observed. According to the current study result, the binder was the major contributor to CO2 emissions at rates ranging from 80 to 90% of the total emission of 1 m3, depending upon the replacement ratio of SCMs.

| Materials          | Emission factors (kg CO2/kg) | References |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Cement             | 0.951                        | [83]       |
| Fly ash (FA)       | 0.008                        | [83, 84]   |
| SS (150)           | 0.0383                       | Table 8    |
| SS (75)            | 0.0513                       | Table 8    |
| Coarse aggregate   | 0.0043                       | [85]       |
| Sand               | 0.0026                       | [85]       |
| Water              | 0.000196                     | [86]       |
| Super plasticiser  | 0.944                        | [83, 86]   |
Eco-efficiency

Eco-efficiency is the ratio between 28-day mechanical strength and CO₂ equivalent emissions of the concrete mixes. Figure 25 represents the concrete eco-efficiency of eight mixes and illustrates that the mix with alternative binder materials shows better efficiency than the OPC mix. The efficiency value observed (CS) at 28 days was 0.101 MPa/kg. CO₂ m³ was in line with findings of Alnahhal et al. [86] and Stark et al. [87].

Concrete mixes with alternative binder material have shown better eco-efficiency than the control mix. The maximum eco-efficiency of 0.185 (CS), 00.158 (FS), and 0.0123 (STS) is noticed with 75 µm downsized SS at 5% replacement.

Embodied energy and cost of blended binder

The EE of each binder material is presented in Table 12. In the current study, while comparing, the only binder material is considered since fine, and coarse aggregate content is constant for all the mix. Figure 26 shows the embodied energy of binder material of different mixes. The embodied energy of SS at 150 µm and 75 µm is calculated using available data from the literature [10, 80, 88]. It can be observed that a decrease in cement content and an increase in supplementary cementitious material can significantly reduce the EE and CE.

Environmental impact and binder cost per unit CS of concrete

The environmental impact quantification and binder cost per unit CS for different binder materials are calculated. The EI, CI, and binder cost index (COST) are calculated based on Eqs. 1, 2, and 3 derived with the help of an earlier study carried out by Jing Yu et al. (2021) [81].

\[
\text{EI} = \frac{\text{Embodied Energy of binder material required for } 1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ of concrete}}{i \text{ – day compressive strength of standard concrete specimen}}
\]

\[
\text{CI} = \frac{\text{Carbon Emission of binder material required for } 1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ of concrete}}{i \text{ – day compressive strength of standard concrete specimen}}
\]

\[
\text{COST} = \frac{\text{Embodied Energy of binder material required for } 1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ of concrete}}{i \text{ – day compressive strength of standard concrete specimen}}
\]

where \(i\) denotes the curing time in days.

The calculation results on EI, CI, and COST, for binder material per meter cube are shown in Figs. 27, 28, and 29 at 28, 56, and 90 days. EI value of 51.93, 49.2, and 48.69 (MJ/kg)/MPa is observed for OPC mix at 28, 56, and 90 days. There is a drastic reduction in the embodied energy for the
The least embodied energy index value of 26.42, 25.07, and 24.27 (MJ/kg)/MPa is observed at mix 06. The mix 7 value is on par with mix 2, which has a 50% cement replacement with FA. A similar trend is observed for 56 and 90 days.

The Carbon Emission Index value of mixes 2–8 is lesser than the control mix (M1) observed for 28, 56, and 90 days. The addition of SS resulted in the reduction of carbon emissions. At 90 days age, the trend of COST 90 is similar to COST 28 and COST 56. The COST 90 values of cement with different replacement levels of SCM are very close to each other due to significant strength development at a later stage. The mix with SS 150 µm at 5, 10, 15, and 75 µm at 10 and 15 replacement levels exhibited slightly lower CS than the control mix. But it has superior environmental and economic benefits by considering the environmental impact and material cost per unit strength.

**Conclusion**

The present study investigated the characteristics of SS, mechanical properties of concrete with different replacement levels along with carbon emissions, and embodied energy to develop sustainable and environmentally efficient concrete. A total of eight mixes with different levels of SS replacement as a binder material were cast and tested. The following main conclusions were drawn based on laboratory observations and findings.

- The main mineral components of SS are silicon dioxide, calcium, iron, and aluminum compounds. Based on the oxide content in SS, it is suitable to replace the Portland cement content in standard concrete.
- Mechanical characterizations such as CS, FS, and STP with 150 µm were observed with a reduction in strength, whereas the strength obtained at a 5% replacement level of 75 µm is on par with the control mix. There is no significant reduction in mechanical strength for 75 µm SS at 5% and 10% level at 90 days.
- All of the mixes tested for UPV reported between 3400 and 3700 m/s, which falls into the decent to excellent range. A direct relationship between compressive strength and UPV was obtained as $y = 2917.24 + 12.49X$ (CS), with an $R^2$ value of 0.8954 showing a good correlation.
- Partial replacement of SS as a binder material generally affects eco-efficiency, with values similar to or higher than the control mix. The advantages of utilizing SS as a partial substitute binder material lie in reducing CO$_2$ emissions in making concrete and significantly reducing environmental problems caused by SS disposal.
- Incorporating SS as a binder to the concrete has a lower environmental impact, embodied energy, CO$_2$ emission, and cost per unit strength. But more than 10%
replacement level resulted in reducing CS, FS, and STS by 11.17%, 6.23%, and 6.99%.

In the context of sustainable development, using SS as a binder material in concrete and these findings can help the efforts to reduce the carbon footprint and embodied energy in the construction industry. It can also reduce the burden and environmental effects of disposal of SS.
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