Effect of sub MIC for Imipenem, Amikacin and Cefixime on Growth and Swarming of Proteus mirabilis
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Abstract

The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the effect sub minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of imipenem, amikacin and cefixime on growth and swarming of Proteus mirabilis. 10 clinical isolates of Proteus mirabilis were isolated from patients suffering from urinary tract infection. 3 types of antibiotics include imipenum, amikacin and cefixime were used in present study to detect their ability to inhibition the swarming of P. mirabilis. Each isolate were inoculated on a series of nutrient agar containing different concentrations (60, 30, 15, 7.5, 3.75, 1.8 and 0.9 µg/ml) of imipenem, cefixime and amikacin. All these were incubated over night at 37°C, after that the cultivated bacterial growth examined to determine the effect of each antibiotic on growth and swarming of P. mirabilis. The results showed that the 0.93 µg/ml of imipenem have ability to inhibit the swarming of all isolates (100%) and it have not any effect on growth (bacterial growth 100%) whereas the same concentration of cefixime inhibit 90% of isolate and also have not any effect on growth (bacterial growth 100%). The results of amikacin showed that the 0.93 µg/ml of antibiotic inhibit the swarming 50% of isolate and the bacterial growth was 100%. Imipenem have good inhibiter effect on Proteus mirabilis swarming at concentration of 0.93 µg/ml and we suggest that we can use this antibiotic to prepare cultural media to separate mixed culture contain P. mirabilis.
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INTRODUCTION

The *P. mirabilis* gram negative motile aerobic bacilli, does not ferment lactose. It produces urease, resulting in rapid hydrolysis of urea, with liberation of ammonia. It tends to “swarm” spreading rapidly over the surface of solid media. *P. mirabilis* formalizes of cosmopolitan microorganisms; inhabits contaminated water, soil and intestinal tract of mammals (Armbruster and Mobley, 2012). It is the second most common causative agent of UTIs, causes cystitis and pyelonephritis in patients with indwelling catheters (Klevens, et al., 2007; Mathur, et al., 2005). Once bacteria have colonized the catheter can rapidly reach the bladder and potentially establish a UTI (Jacobsen, et al., 2008; Hooton, et al., 2010; Jacobsen, et al. 2008).

Swarming behavior is a remarkable feature of development of *P. mirabilis* catheter related infection. Swarming is described as the information of the concentric zones of bacterial growth can able to cover the whole surface of sold culture medium (Belas & Flaherty, 1994). This phenomena cause’s difficult of isolation of other organisms from mixed cultures involving *P. mirabilis*. The swarming of *P. mirabilis* in mixed culture is a continual source of trouble to bacteriologists. A method by which could control it without affecting the growth of more delicate organisms would be of great value.

A number of compounds have been proposed as additives to solid media to prevent the swarming of *P. mirabilis*. Whitby, et al., 1945; MacConkey, et al., 1999; Sokokski, and Stapert,1963 and Williams, 1973, have been used numerous media to prevent this swarming, among these are very dry plate, MacConkey medium containing bile salt, ferrous ions and P-nitrophenyl glycerin. And there is a study for Malik, et al. 2015 they can inhibit swarming of *P. mirabilis* by plant extraction of *P. graveolens* essential oil and they suggests its potential to be developed as a product for preventing *P. mirabilis* infections. Also Mamunur et al. 2014 use plant extraction of *Emblica officinalis* and *Tamarindus indica* to inhibit *P. mirabilis* swarming.

This study is aimed to evaluate the effect of imipenem, amikacin and cefixime on swarming of *P. mirabilis* to be using new medium that can prevent *P. mirabilis* swarming.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

10 clinical isolates of *P. mirabilis* collect from patients suffering from urinary tract infection. 3 types of antibiotics include imipenem, amikacin and cefixime were used in present study to detect their ability to inhibit the swarming of *P. mirabilis*. Each isolate were inoculated on a series of nutrient agar containing different concentrations (60, 30, 15, 7.5, 3.75, 1.8 and 0.9 µg/ml) of imipenem, cefixime and amikacin. Also control plate contain nutrient agar only was used. All these are incubate over night at 37°C in aerobic conditions, after that the culture examined to determine the effect of each antibiotic on growth and swarming of *Proteus mirabilis*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are different ways to inhibit swarming of *P. mirabilis* such as increase the concentration of agar (2.5-3.0% w/v) or coalescent growth inhibitors like boric acid (1 in 100), sodium azide (1 in 5000), chloral hydrate (1 in 500) and sulphonamide. Use of these chemicals may prevent the growth of other pathogenic bacteria. Swarming of *P. mirabilis* can also be inhibited on media like Deoxycholate citrate agar (DCA) and MacConkey agar which contains bile salts. In the present study imipenem, cefixime and amikacin used to prevent the swarming of *P. mirabilis* without affecting the growth bacteria.

The results showed that the 7.5 µg/ml is the MIC of imipenem minimum inhibitory concentration which can inhibit growth all isolates and 3.75 µg/ml inhibit growth of 90% of isolates and 1.87 µg/ml inhibit growth of 40% of isolates in addition to that the results showed that the 0.93 µg/ml of imipenem have ability to inhibit the swarming of *P. mirabilis*.

![Fig. 1. Effect of Imipenem on growth and swarming of *Proteus mirabilis*](image-url)
swarming of all isolates (100%) and it have not any effect on growth (bacterial growth 100%) figure 1.

Also the results showed that the 60 µg/ml is the MIC of cefixime that can inhibit growth of all isolates and the concentration 30, 15 µg/ml can inhibit growth 90% of isolates as shown in figure 2, whereas the 0.93 µg/ml of cefixime inhibit swarming of 90% of isolate and also have not any effect on growth (bacterial growth 100%).

The results of amikacin revealed that the 15 µg/ml is the MIC which can inhibit growth of all isolates and the 7.5 µg/ml inhibit growth of 90% of isolates and the results revealed that the 0.93 µg/ml of amikacin inhibit the swarming 50% of isolate and the bacterial growth was 100% at this concentration (Figure 3).

There are various studies that deal with inhibition of \( P. \) mirabilis swarming by using chemical compound (Rudolf, et al., 1966; Alwen & Smith, 1976; Vanasten & Gastra, 1999) but few studies deal with using of antibiotic to inhibition of \( P. \) mirabilis swarming.

Our results are agree with results of Tawfik et al., 1997 they reported that the exposure of \( P. \) mirabilis cells to sub minimal inhibitory concentrations of amikacin or gentamicin causes the inhibition of swarming and motility of \( P. \) mirabilis also they found the amikacin significantly reduced adhesion of \( P. \) mirabilis to human uroepithelial cells.

Also, Intisar, et al. 2006 recorded that tetracycline also shows good suppression effect on Proteus spp. swarming but in high concentration. Molinari et al., (1992) showed that the sub-MICs of azithromycin prevent motility of \( P. \) mirabilis and this inhibition is due to the absence of flagella and (Sato et al., 2000) recorded that the (erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin) have inhibitory effect on motility of \( P. \) mirabilis.
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