Ultrasonic Extraction of Bioactive Compounds From Green Soybean Pods and Application in Green Soybean Milk Antioxidants Fortification
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Abstract

Green soybean (Glycine max L.) pods (GSP) are agro-industrial waste from the production of frozen green soybean and milk. These pods contain natural antioxidants and various bioactive compounds that are still underutilized. Polyphenols and flavonoids in GSP were extracted by ultrasound techniques and used in antioxidant fortification of green soybean milk. The ultrasound extraction that yielded the highest total polyphenol content was 50% amplitude for 10 min, whereas maximum flavonoids content was obtained at 50% amplitude for 15 min. Radical scavenging activity assayed by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical (DPPH) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) methods did not differ significantly (p>0.05) between the two conditions. Response surface methodology was applied to analyze an optimum ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE) condition of these variables. The highest desirability was found to be 50% amplitude with extraction time of 12.5 min. Fortification of the GSP extracts (1-3% v/v) in green soybean milk resulted in higher levels of bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity in a dose-dependent manner. Procyanidins were found to be the main polyphenols in dried GSP which were present at the concentration of 104.1 ± 2.1 mg/g dry sample, respectively. Addition of GSP extracts obtained by using an ultrasound technique to green soybean milk increased its bioactive compound content especially procyanidins as well as its antioxidant activity.

Introduction

Free radicals shorten shelf-life of food products as well as increase oxidative stress within cells, a recognized pathologic pathway of several chronic diseases \(^1\). During the past two decades, the utilization of either bioactive compounds or natural antioxidants in food products or by-products through both non-biological \(^2\) or biological means \(^3\)-\(^6\) has gained considerable interests due to the participating roles of these compounds in absorption and neutralization of free radicals thereby slowing down the autoxidation process. The favors in natural antioxidants was due not only to their biological values, but also to their economic impact, as most of them can be extracted from food by-products and under-exploited plant species \(^7\).

Legumes are an excellent source of bioactive compounds with antioxidant capacity such as flavonoids, anthocyanin and other phenolic compounds. Recent studies showed that high intake of legumes protected the human body against oxidative damage and reduced the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus \(^8\). Green soybean (Glycine max L.) is a legume that has been shown to exhibit strong antioxidant activity \(^9\). Whole green soybean seeds contained 9.44±0.02 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g of phenolic compounds and 8.65 ±0.61 mg catechin equivalents (CAE)/g of flavonoids \(^10\), which were higher than those of black soybean \(^11\). Green soybean pods (GSP), a by-product from green soybean processing, potentially contain natural antioxidants, but research on the antioxidant capacity of these GSP are still limited.

Ultrasonic assisted extraction (UAE) has been widely used to extract antioxidants from plants. High-frequency ultrasonic waves induce contraction and expansion cycles which subsequently cause
cavitation, breakage of plant cell walls and infiltration of solvents into cells. The extraction rate and yield of UAE are influenced by several factors, including solvent concentration, solvent/material ratio, ultrasonication time, temperature and power. The objectives of the present work were 1) to optimize the UAE process with water as eco-friendly solvent to obtain GSP extracts enriched in antioxidants in the classes of phenolic compounds and flavonoids; 2) to investigate antioxidant capacity of green soybean milk supplemented with GSP extracts; and 3) to identify some specific antioxidants in the GSP extracts.

Materials And Methods

Materials. Whole green soybeans (Glycine max L.) were obtained from Lanna Agro Industry Co., Ltd. (LACO), Chiang Mai, Thailand. GSPs were separated from whole bean and washed using tap water. The pods were further cut into small pieces using a stainless-steel knife and oven-dried at 60°C for 24 h in a hot air oven (Memmert UF 110, Schwabach, Germany) until moisture content decreased below 10%. Dried GSPs were ground to fine powder by using an electric chopper (Model DPA130, Tefal, France), packed in vacuum polyethylene bags and stored at 4°C before use. All chemicals used were analytical grade.

Ultrasonic-assisted extraction. Ultrasonic-Assisted Extraction (UAE) was carried out according to Sharayei et al. with some modifications. Specifically, 5 g of dried GSP powder was placed in a 250 mL beaker and extracted with 100 mL distilled water using an ultrasonic probe (VX500, USA) with a maximum power of 500 W at 20 kHz frequency. Extraction was carried out for three different durations (10, 15, and 20 min) and three different amplitudes (30, 40, and 50%). The ultrasonication process was carried out in an ice-water bath to prevent heating of samples. After extraction, the mixtures were centrifuged at 3000 × g for 15 min at 4°C (Nüve NF400R, Turkey), and the supernatant was filtered through filter paper (Whatman No. 1, England). The filtered extracts were collected in a centrifuge tube and kept at -18°C until further analysis.

Preparation of green soybean milk. Fresh green soybean seeds (500 g) were soaked in 2,000 mL of tap water for 1 min. The beans were then ground and blended in 1,000 mL of drinking water using a blending machine (HR2602, Philips, China) at medium speed until homogeneous (about 10 min). The mixture was filtered using a muslin cloth to obtain green soybean milk, to which the GSP extracts were added at the concentration levels of 0 (control), 1, 2, and 3% (v/v). The green soybean milk was then boiled at 95°C for 20 min before immediately placed in an ice bath. The cooled samples were then stored at -18°C until further analysis.

Determination of total phenolic compounds. Total phenolic compounds were analyzed using the Folin Ciocalteu method with some modifications. A 500 µL of properly diluted sample or standard solutions of varying concentrations were mixed with 2.5 mL of 1:10 Folin Ciocalteu:water solution and then thoroughly mixed. After incubation for 8 min at room temperature, 2 mL of 7.5% (w/v) Na₂CO₃ solution was added, and the mixture was immediately mixed and incubated for 2 h. Absorbance was read at 765
nm on a spectrophotometer (G10S UV-Vis, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Measurements were conducted in triplicates. One mg/mL gallic acid was used as the standard, and the total phenolic compounds of the samples were expressed in mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per g sample (mg GAE/g).

**Determination of total flavonoid content.** Samples (0.25 mL) were mixed with 1.25 mL of distilled water and 75 µl of 5% NaNO₂ solution and incubated for 6 min at room temperature. The mixture was then combined with 150 µL of 10% AlCl₃, 500 µL of 1M NaOH and brought to 275 mL with distilled water. The solution was mixed thoroughly and left for 5 min at room temperature. Its absorbance was recorded using a spectrophotometer at 510 nm. Catechin equivalents (CAE) per g of sample (mg CAE/g) were used to express total flavonoid contents.

**Determination of antioxidant activity.** DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical) solution was used to estimate antioxidant activity according to the method described by Brand-William et al. Briefly, 150 µL of samples were mixed with 3 mL of 0.6 mM DPPH. The mixture was incubated for 30 min in the dark to allow for complete reaction. Absorbance values of each sample and control (distilled water) were read using a spectrophotometer at 517 nm. Antioxidant activity of each sample was expressed as µmol of Trolox equivalent per g of sample (µmol Trolox/g). The Fe²⁺ chelating activity of samples were measured by the method of Sharma et al. with minor modifications. The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) reagent was prepared by mixing 25 mL of 0.3 M acetate buffer (pH 3.6/22.8 mM sodium acetate trihydrate) with 2.5 mL of 0.01 M 2,4,6-Tripyridyl-s-Triazine solution and 2.5 mL of 0.02 M FeCl₃.6H₂O. 150 µL of sample or the control (methanol) was then added to 2,850 µL of the FRAP reagent. The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min, and absorbance was assessed at 593 nm. Antioxidant activity of each sample was expressed as µmol Trolox/g.

**Sensory evaluation of green soybean milk.** One hundred untrained panelists (20-40 years of age) were recruited from the Division of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agro-industry, Chiang Mai University, Thailand. The samples were coded with a 3-digits random number and presented to the panelists. Water was provided for rinsing the mouth between samples. The panelists were asked to provide acceptance scores for color, texture, aroma, sweet taste, salt taste, and overall acceptability based on a standard nine-points hedonic scale (9 = like extremely, 8 = like very much, 7 = like moderately, 6 = like slightly, 5 = neither like nor dislike, 4 = dislike slightly, 3 = dislike moderately, 2 = dislike very much and 1 = dislike extremely).

**Quantification of phenolic compounds by HPLC.** The phenolic compounds (procyanidins, quercetin, glycitin, daidzein, genistin, and linalool) in GSP extracts and green soybean milk were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as previously reported with some modifications. Briefly, an Agilent HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California), consisting of a binary pump and a photodiode-array detector equipped with an Agilent Zorbax C18 (4.6×250 mm, 3.5 µm) column was employed. Mobile phase was consisted of solution A (0.1% v/v trifluoroacetic acid) and solution B (pure methanol), which were used to create gradients according to the following program: 0 min, 15% B; 5 min, 25% B; 9 min, 55% B; 12 min, 75% B; 15 min, 75% B; 18 min, 15% B. The flow rate was 0.8 mL/min, and
the injection volume was 5.0 µl for procyanidins, quercetin, glycitin, daidzein, and genistin. The program for linalool was 0-20 min, 85% B. The detection wavelength was set at 260 nm for procyanidins, quercetin, glycitin, daidzein and genistin, and at 210 for linalool. The result was expressed as mg of procyanidins, quercetin, glycitin, daidzein, genistin, and linalool equivalent per 100 g of sample.

**Statistical analysis.** Amplitude and exposure time variables were analyzed using two-ways analysis of variance for each of the four measures of ultrasonic performance. Comparison among different proportions of GSP supplements in green soybean milk on the antioxidant and sensory characteristic were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance. Significant difference (P ≤ 0.05) among samples were followed by Duncan's new multiple range post-hoc analysis. All above analyses were conducted using SPSS for Window version 16. Data were reported as mean values ± standard deviation. Response surface methodology was applied to analyze an optimum UAE condition using Design Expert version 6.0.11 (Stat-Ease, MN).

**Results And Discussion**

**Bioactive components.** Total phenolic contents varied from 85.9 to 107 mg GAE/g across different ultrasonic processing conditions (Table 1). Amplitude had a significant effect (P ≤ 0.05) on total phenolic content during 10 to 15 min extraction time. The highest total phenolic content of 107 ± 1.0 mg GAE/g was obtained using the highest amplitude (50%) for 10 min. In contrast, the 30% and 40% amplitude (vs. 50%) for 10 min yielded significantly lower phenolic content (85.9 ± 1.7 and 91.5 ± 2.2 mg GAE/g respectively). A higher amplitude creates higher thermal energy to break the plant cellular structure. Increased permeability of cell walls and membranes and the breakdown of secondary metabolites from matrix interactions (polyphenols with lipoproteins) caused enhancement of polyphenols solubility and mass transfer. Thus, a higher ultrasonic amplitude increased extraction efficiency and yielded greater amounts of bioactive compounds. 19,20 In this study, increased extraction time from 10 to 20 min at 50% amplitude resulted in lower phenolic content. Longer exposure time could increase solvent temperature beyond optimal levels, resulting in the degradation of thermo-sensitive compounds presented in the GSP samples. Evidently, the extraction condition of 10 min at 50% amplitude was deemed optimal for phenolic content.

Flavonoids have been shown to improve blood lipid profiles, enhance immunity, and have antioxidant, antibacterial and antitumor properties. 21 Table 1 shows a significant (P ≤ 0.05) time × amplitude interaction. Increasing extraction time from 10 to 20 min increased flavonoid content only at 30% amplitude, while at 40% and 50% amplitude, total flavonoid increased up to 15 min before declining at 20 min. When considering the effect of amplitude, a higher amplitude resulted in a higher flavonoid content only in the 10-min extraction groups. In contrast, the 50% amplitude mitigated flavonoid content significantly (P ≤ 0.05) in the 20-min extraction groups. Loss of flavonoids at higher amplitude or longer extraction time was due to overheating by the ultrasound treatment, which especially affected the heat-sensitive flavonoids. 22 Moreover, flavonoids (e.g., rutin) were more sensitive to thermal degradation than phenolic acids. The concentration of rutin from olive leaves using ultrasound-assisted extraction was
2.11 ± 0.1 mg/g during longer extraction time of 21 min which was lower than the extraction time of 7 min (2.22 ± 0.1 mg/g)\textsuperscript{23}. From the results, the total flavonoid contents of the extract increased with increasing time from 10 to 15 min, after which the values reduced slightly. According to Bi et al.\textsuperscript{24}, the gradual increase in the bioactivity of the extract with time may be attributed to the fact that polyphenols, and other bioactive compounds were still bound within the cell matrices during the early stage of extraction. The sufficient time was thus required to allow for their release. The subsequent decrease in bioactivity might be due to the longer time of exposure to ultrasonic conditions, inducing the degradation or oxidation of these bioactive compounds. Based on these findings, the extraction condition of 15 min at 50% amplitude was deemed optimal for flavonoid content. Therefore, the suitable range of extraction time for the subsequent optimization process was chosen to be from 10 to 15 min.

**Table 1.** Total phenolic (mg GAE/g) and flavonoid (mg CAE/g) content and antioxidant activity based on DPPH and FRAP (%) of ultrasound-assisted green soybean pod extracts as a function of time and the ultrasonic amplitude level.

| Time (min) | Amplitude (%) | Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g) | Total flavonoid content (mg CAE/g) | Antioxidant activities (µmol Trolox/g) |
|-----------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|           |               |                                  |                                  | DPPH                                   |
|           |               |                                  |                                  | FRAP                                   |
| 10        | 30            | 85.9 ± 1.7\textsuperscript{d}    | 6.19 ± 0.2\textsuperscript{e}    | 24.4 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{a}          |
|           | 40            | 91.5 ± 2.2\textsuperscript{c}    | 6.56 ± 0.7\textsuperscript{d}    | 24.3 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{ab}         |
|           | 50            | 107 ± 1.0\textsuperscript{a}     | 7.75 ± 0.9\textsuperscript{c}    | 24.1 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{abc}        |
| 15        | 30            | 90.9 ± 2.2\textsuperscript{c}    | 8.50 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{abc}  | 23.6 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{bcd}        |
|           | 40            | 93.2 ± 2.0\textsuperscript{c}    | 8.69 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{ab}   | 23.6 ± 0.7\textsuperscript{abcd}       |
|           | 50            | 102.7 ± 1.0\textsuperscript{b}   | 8.94 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{a}    | 24.1 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{abc}        |
| 20        | 30            | 90.6 ± 2.0\textsuperscript{c}    | 8.81 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{ab}   | 23.3 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{d}          |
|           | 40            | 91.3 ± 2.3\textsuperscript{c}    | 7.94 ± 0.9\textsuperscript{bc}   | 23.4 ± 0.4\textsuperscript{cd}         |
|           | 50            | 90.2 ± 2.3\textsuperscript{c}    | 5.50 ± 0.5\textsuperscript{e}    | 24.0 ± 0.9\textsuperscript{abcd}       |
Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Different letters (a-e) in the same column represent statistically significant difference (p ≤ 0.05). DPPH = 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical; FRAP = ferric reducing antioxidant power.

**Antioxidant activity.** DPPH assay had been used widely and was a popular technique to assess the free radical scavenging activity of different plant extracts. DPPH free radical reduction was determined by the decrease in its absorption at 517 nm when the color of the DPPH assay solution changed from purple to light yellow. The scavenging potential of plant extract antioxidants corresponds to the degree of the discoloration. The effect of different conditions on DPPH radical scavenging activity of GSP extracts (Table 1) revealed high antioxidant activity of all sample extracts in the range of 23.3 - 24.4 µmol Trolox/g. Moreover, the 10 min, 30% amplitude has the highest DPPH scavenging activity (24.4 ± 0.1 µmol Trolox/g). Amplitude did not significantly influence DPPH activity at any extraction time, while longer extraction time seemed to decrease DPPH activity at the 30% and the 40% amplitude. It was observed that the longer of the extraction time than 15 min had significant influence on the antioxidant extraction (P ≤ 0.05). This might be due to the small particle diameter of the green soybean pod powder, as the result indicated that 10-15 min was sufficient to reach the maximum efficiency of the extraction. These results were consistent with an earlier report by Wang et al., who found no increase in total content of phenolic and flavonoid with extraction time beyond 15 min when extracting blueberry leaves using ultrasonic extraction. Moreover, Ćujić et al. reported no difference between the total phenolic content from dried chokeberries obtained after 30 and 60 min ultrasonic extraction in 50% ethanol. It was noteworthy that longer extraction time in water could lead to the decrease in antioxidant activity. It was evident that with some plant materials, excessive extraction duration in water may cause degradation of some target compounds resulting in reduced contents. In contrast to the DPPH results, FRAP antioxidant activity did not differ (p > 0.05) between treatment conditions. The highest FRAP activity (45.6 ± 0.1 µmol Trolox/g) was exhibited by samples extracted with 50% amplitude with extraction time of 10 min. Moreover, the variation trend of FRAP values was consistent with the total phenolic contents. These results were in accordance with Hassan et al. who observed that phenolics contents of brown seaweed extract using UAE with a working frequency fixed at 42 kHz and a power of 100 W had a close correlation with FRAP antioxidant. In addition, there was no significant difference (p < 0.05) of total phenolic contents and FRAP antioxidant power of brown seaweeds extract for extraction time of 20 min (4.23 ± 0.1 mg GAE/g and 4.83 ± 0.1%) and 30 min (4.34 ± 0.2 mg GAE/g and 5.09 ± 0.1%), respectively.

The correlation between UAE conditions and response variables could be fit with quadratic and linear models, as follows:

Total phenolics = 28.63 + 7.31T + 0.13A - 0.11T^2 + 0.03A^2 - 0.11TA (p < 0.0001, R^2 = 0.90)

Total flavonoids = -20.17 + 2.93T + 0.34A - 0.06T^2 -0.02TA (p < 0.0001, R^2 = 0.77)

DPPH = 27.21 - 0.26T - 0.06A + 4.86TA (p = 0.0005, R^2 = 0.42)
FRAP = 45.14 + 9.22T + 3.56A (p = 0.0102, R² = 0.24)

where T was extraction temperature and A was ultrasonic amplitude. However, the R² for DPPH and FRAP models were less than 0.5. Therefore, optimization criteria was set for maximum total phenolics and total flavonoids. The response surfaces of these variables are shown in Figure 1. The highest values occurred with 50% amplitude and extraction time of 12.5 min which yielded the total phenolics value of 104 mg GAE/g and total flavonoids of 8.61 mg CAE/g.

**Evaluation of antioxidant and sensory properties of green soybean milk fortified with GSP Extracts.** Bioactive compounds comprised an excellent pool of molecules for the production of nutraceuticals, functional foods, and food additives. The pod of green soybean waste was collected from shelling process before the seed was grounded for milk production. The GSP extracts produced from the optimized UAE were used as natural antioxidants in term of food additive for improving the oxidative stability in green soybean milk. Phenolic and flavonoid contents as well as antioxidant activity of GSP-fortified green soybean milk are shown in Table 2. Among all samples, the 3% fortified milk sample had the highest phenolic (136 ± 0.5 mg GAE/g) and flavonoid (109 ± 0.5 mg GAE/g) content and the highest DPPH (176 ± 1.9 µmol Trolox/g) and FRAP (248 ± 0.3 µmol Trolox/g) antioxidant activity. In a similar study, Dabija et al. revealed that the fortification of yogurt with hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*) extracted in the increasing concentration levels (0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1% (w/w)) could promote the higher total phenolic content (3.46, 3.88, 4.22, 4.34 mg GAE/ mL, respectively) and DPPH activity (19.23, 21.60, 32.02, and 33.38%, respectively). Lee et al. also found that the increase in concentration level of *Inula britannica* flower extract for cheese fortification from 0.25 to 1.0% (w/v) caused an increase of total phenolic content and DPPH of from 54.8 to 70.8 mg of GAE/g and from 53.3 to 79.1%, respectively. It was thus evident that the bioactive compounds and antioxidant activities of green soybean milk could be enhanced by the pod extracted fortification compared to milk alone.

**Table 2.** The content of phenolic and flavonoid, antioxidant activities of green soybean milk fortified with pod extracted.
| Pod extracted in green soybean milk (%) | Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g) | Total flavonoid content (mg CAE/g) | Antioxidant activities (µmol Trolox/g) | DPPH | FRAP |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|
| 0 (Control)                            | 81.3 ± 0.8<sup>c</sup>          | 42.0 ± 0.9<sup>c</sup>            | 53.2 ± 1.2<sup>d</sup>               | 239 ± 0.4<sup>b</sup> |
| 1                                      | 115 ± 2.5<sup>b</sup>           | 85.3 ± 1.2<sup>b</sup>            | 125 ± 1.1<sup>c</sup>               | 240 ± 0.2<sup>b</sup> |
| 2                                      | 114 ± 2.8<sup>b</sup>           | 85.7 ± 1.1<sup>b</sup>            | 132 ± 1.9<sup>b</sup>               | 240 ± 0.1<sup>b</sup> |
| 3                                      | 136 ± 0.5<sup>a</sup>           | 109 ± 0.5<sup>a</sup>             | 176 ± 1.9<sup>a</sup>               | 248 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> |

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). Different letters (a-c) in the same column represent statistically significant difference (p ≤ 0.05). NS = non-significant.

Sensory evaluation of fortified green soybean milk was conducted by 100 untrained panelists on a 9-point structured scale, with 9 being the best and 1 the worst quality. All sensory attributes were in the range of 5 - 8 indicating that all formulae were at least moderately acceptable. Addition of 3% (v/v) GSP extracts resulted in higher aroma (6.24 ± 1.6), sweetness (5.88 ± 1.6) and saltiness (5.94 ± 1.7) ratings, and a lower color (7.28 ± 1.2) rating, compared to the control formula (Table 3). The lower appearance rating may be attributed to the intense green color of the product due to addition of the GSP extract, which increased the green color, but reduced the luminosity of the milk. More intense green color was not generally well accepted by consumer. Tamer et al. <sup>32</sup> reported that lemonade with 5% (v/v) green tea was rated lower in terms of color compared to control samples (0%). In addition, Farhan et al. <sup>33</sup> found that yogurt fortified with mint leave extracts had a lower color score than the control. Although color was directly related to consumer acceptability of the product <sup>34</sup>, overall acceptability scores did not differ between the 3% formula and the control. In fact, the color of the 3% (v/v) GSP-fortified milk, which was supposedly the greenest, was accepted equally to the color of the control. The panelists preferred the 3% formula the most, even more than the 2% formula. Based on the favorable sensory and antioxidant results, the 3% (v/v) GSP-fortified milk was selected for quantification of phytochemicals by HPLC.

**Table 3.** Sensory analysis of green soybean milk fortified with GSP extracts. Product preference was evaluated using a 9-point hedonic scale.
Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n = 100). Different letters (a-c) in the same column represent statistically significant difference (p ≤ 0.05). NS = Non-significant.

**Quantitative analysis of phytochemicals composition.** Quantification of phytochemical contents (procyanidins, quercetin, glycitein, daidzein, genistin, and linalool) in GSP extracts and green soybean milk with and without addition of GSP were determined using HPLC (Figure 2). The most abundant phytochemicals in GSP were procyanidins (0.72 ± 0.01 mg/100 g) followed by linalool (0.69 ± 0.11 mg/100 g) and quercetin (0.47 ± 0.02 mg/100 g). The procyanidin content in the GSP extracts in the present study was higher than lentils (0.5 mg/100 g)\(^35\). Compared to GSP, greater amounts of phytochemicals were observed in green soybean seed, especially procyanidins (3.89 ± 0.04 mg/100 g), linalool (2.79 ± 0.01 mg/100 g), glycitein (1.36 ± 0.01 mg/100 g) and quercetin (1.14 ± 0.01 mg/100 g). These results were not surprising because bean seeds are nutrient- and antioxidant-rich\(^36\). Hence, the green soybean milk had more content of these phytochemical group than the pod extracted sample. Nonetheless, the GSP extracts contained greater amounts of daidzein and genistein than the green soybean milk, according to Avanza et al.\(^8\) who compared the content of polyphenols from cowpea seeds and pods in the extracts of water by pressurized liquid extraction. Although the result showed the higher polyphenol content in pods than in seeds, there were remarkable differences between the analyzed of flavonoids group. Cowpea seed extracts exhibited higher content on quercetin, procyanidin, and other tetrahydroxylated flavonoids than pod extracts. Regarding pod extract, the presence of gallic, ferulic acids, and o-hydroxybenzoic acid were in greater abundant. These results might be due to the different groups of polyphenol compounds (flavonoid and phenolic acid) existed naturally in legume pods and seeds. Procyanidins were a subclass of flavonoids found in commonly consumed foods such as fruits, vegetables, legumes, grains, and nuts, which had attracted increasing attention due to their potential health benefits\(^37\). In addition to antioxidant properties, procyanidins had been reported to exhibit anticancer\(^38\), anti-infectious, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, antimicrobial, antiviral, antimutagenic, wounding healing, antihyperglycemic as well as anti-allergic activities\(^39\). Moreover, polyphenol compounds like quercetin were reported to have neuroprotective properties attributed to their inhibiting
activity against enzyme acetylcholinesterase\textsuperscript{40}. Other polyphenols, such as genistein, daidzein, and
glycitein were main phytoestrogens in the form of isoflavones. Phytoestrogens can also suppress the
clinical symptoms of menopause caused by a decrease in the production of endogenous estrogen.
Several studies had proven the protective effects of phytoestrogens on cardiovascular disease which
could decrease total cholesterol and improve heart function\textsuperscript{41}. Linalool was present at rather high
concentration levels in pod extract. This kind of phytochemical was acyclic monoterpene which was an
important odorous constituent in a series of plant aromas. Linalool and linalool-rich essential oils were
also known to exhibit various biological activities such as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anticancer,
anti-oxidant properties. In fact, several in vivo studies have confirmed various effects of linalool on the
central nervous system\textsuperscript{42}. Fortification of green soybean milk with GSP extracts enhanced both the
antioxidant activity and the phytochemical content and variety of the products, thus its increasing
nutritional values.

**Conclusions**

The optimal conditions for UAE of GSP extract are 50\% amplitude for 12.5 min. Green soybean milk
samples containing 3\% GSP extracts have the highest phenolic and flavonoid content, antioxidant
activity, and overall acceptability compared to the control formula. Procyanidins was found the highest
concentration level from green soybean pod extract among five phytochemicals analysis. Study results
suggest that GSP extracts are a potential source of natural antioxidants, pharmaceutical, and functional
ingredients in food industries.
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Response surfaces of (A) total phenolic compounds and (B) total flavonoids as a function of UAE time and amplitude.
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Phytochemical composition of GSP extracts and green soybean milk