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**A B S T R A C T**

Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis were estimated among 59 accessions of 08 quantitative characters in durum wheat. The highest value of GCV and PCV were observed for grain yield per plot, tillers per meter row length, spike length, grains per spike and test grain weight. It is also observed that the traits like grain yield per plot, tillers per meter row length, grains per spike and test grain weight exhibited high GCV also possesses high genetic gain indicating preponderance of additive gene effect for these traits. In corollary to high heritability, estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean was also observed for indicating predominance of additive gene effects for these traits. In the present investigation grain yield was positively and significantly correlated with plant height, grains per spike and spike length. The path coefficient analysis revealed that grains per spike had maximum positive direct effect on grain yield followed by spike length and plant height. Therefore, greater emphasis should be given on these characters while selecting for higher yield and related traits.
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**Introduction**

Durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.), a member of poaceae family, is second most cultivated species of wheat globally as well as nationally, after bread wheat. It is the only tetraploid (AABB, 2n=4x=28) species of wheat which has commercially a great importance and carries raw material of numerous foods such as macaroni and semolina in alimentation of world population and it is a promising and viable alternative crop for farmers (Shewry, 2009). The world’s durum wheat acreage and production are concentrated in West Asia, North Africa, Canada, Mexico, India and Mediterranean Europe. Durum is pre-dominantly spring wheat, although winter durum is also grown
to a limited extent. Worldwide, durum wheat is grown approximately over 13 million hectares with an approximate annual production of 30 million tones which contributes less than 5% of the world’s wheat production.

Development of high yielding cultivars requires knowledge of the existing genetic variation and also extent of association among yield contributing traits. Superior genotypes can be isolated by selection, if considerable genetic variability exists in the population. The genetic variability along with heritability gives a reliable picture of the genetic advance to be expected for selections while the heritability, coupled with genetic advance aids in predicting the valuable conclusion for effective selection based on phenotypic performance.

Grain yield of wheat, being a complex character, is a function of several component characters and their interaction with environment. Correlation and path coefficient analysis together give a clear cut picture of inter-relationship and relative contribution of independent characters on dependent variable which enables to a plant breeder to apply suitable selection procedures for crop improvement.

Keeping this in view, the present investigation was made to explore the genetic variability, by determining the magnitude of genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability estimates and expected genetic advance of different traits, their correlation and effects in 59 durum wheat accessions.

**Materials and Methods**

The experimental materials consisted of 55 genotypes along with 4 checks. The experiment was laid out in Augmented Randomized Block Design with 5 blocks where each block contains 11 test entries and 4 checks (randomly allocated) with the total of 15 genotypes in each block at Instructional Agronomy Farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur during rabi, 2018-19. Each genotype was sown in 2 rows of 2.5 m length keeping row to row distance of 23 cm.

All the recommended packages of practices were followed to raise a good crop. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype in each block for traits viz., plant height, number of tillers per meter, grain per spike, spike length, 1000-grain weight and grain yield per plot, while for days to heading and days to maturity, the data was recorded on whole plot basis.

For augmented block design, analysis of variance was done by using the method given by Federer (1956). The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation (Burton, 1952), heritability (Burton and Devane, 1953) and genetic advance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955) were computed. The correlation coefficients were calculated as per the methods given by Al Jibouri *et al.*, (1958) and path coefficient analysis according to Dewey and Lu (1959).

**Results and Discussion**

The analysis of variance indicated significant difference among genotypes for most of the traits studied indicating presence of significant variability in the experimental materials (Table-1). The range, mean, phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), heritability (h²) in broad sense, genetic advance (GA) and genetic gain as per cent of mean for various characters are presented in Table-2. The range of variability indicated the existence of variability for all the characters.
In general, PCV values were relatively higher than respective GCV values indicating influence of environment on the expression of the character. The estimated of PCV and GCV indicated the existence of fairly high degree of variability. The highest value of GCV and PCV were observed for grain yield per plot, tillers per meter row length, spike length, grains per spike and 1000-grain weight.

Lower values of GCV and PCV were recorded in days to maturity, days to heading and plant height indicating the important role of environment in the expression of the character. High magnitude of PCV and GCV was also observed for grain yield per plot by Joshi et al., (2018), Mohammadi et al., (2012), Tambe et al., (2013) and Dhakar et al., (2012).

These results indicating the presence of ample variability for grain yield and related traits in wheat. This observation draws supports from the very high value of heritability (>80 %) recorded for these traits viz., grain yield per plot, tillers per meter row length and test grain weight (Table-2). It is also observed that the traits like grain yield per plot, tillers per meter row length, grains per spike and test grain weight exhibited high GCV also possesses high genetic gain indicating preponderance of additive gene effect for these traits. In corollary to high heritability, estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean was also observed for indicating predominance of additive gene effects for these traits.

Yield of a crop is the result of interaction of a number of inter-related characters. Therefore, selection should be based on these components characters after assessing their correlation with yield. Correlation revealed the mutual relationship between two characters and it is important parameter for taking a decision regarding the nature of relation to be followed for improvement in the crop under study. The genotypic and phenotypic correlation among the yield and yield components in durum wheat are presented in Table-3. Significant correlation of characters suggested that there is much scope for direct and indirect selection for further improvement. In general, the estimates of genotypic correlation coefficient were higher than their corresponding phenotypic one, thereby suggesting strong inherent association among the characters studied. In the present investigation grain yield per plot was positively and significantly correlated with plant height, grains per spike and spike length. Therefore, these characters should be considered while making selection for yield improvement in durum wheat (Table-3). Similar trends of results were supported by Abdul et al., (2014) and Sakhare et al., (2011) reported positive correlation of most of the traits with grain yield per plot.

As the correlation coefficients are not sufficient to explain true relationship for an effective manipulation of the characters, path coefficients were worked out. The path coefficient analysis helps in separating the direct effect of a component characters on yield from indirect effects via other traits.

The result of path analysis presented in table 4 revealed that grains per spike and spike length had maximum positive direct effect on grain yield. These finding are in agreement with Deshmukh et al., (2009) and Yagdi (2009). Grains per spike and Spike length had significant positive correlation with grain yield through its direct effect. The same finding was reported by Abinasa et al., (2011). The significant positive correlation of plant height was through indirect effect via spike length. The value of residual effect (0.72) indicates that there may be some other secondary components that should not be ignored.
**Table 1** Analysis of variance for different characters in durum wheat using Augmented Randomized Block Design

| Character                  | Block [4] | Treatment [58] | Check (C) [3] | Genotype (G) [54] | C v/s G G [1] | Error [12] |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| Days to Heading            | 9.18      | 13.00*         | 19.07*        | 12.72*            | 9.82          | 4.44       |
| Days to Maturity           | 2.88      | 10.23*         | 8.98          | 10.44*            | 2.85          | 3.44       |
| Plant Height               | 26.63     | 25.05          | 63.20*        | 22.06             | 72.16*        | 12.16      |
| Tillers per meter row     | 438.93*   | 724.92**       | 1276.58**     | 657.16**          | 2729.09**     | 130.63     |
| Grains/ spike              | 174.08    | 170.93         | 257.12        | 168.37            | 50.69         | 77.91      |
| 1000+- grain weight        | 0.66      | 47.12**        | 185.01**      | 39.46**           | 47.32**       | 3.12       |
| Spike length               | 1.18      | 1.95           | 2.53          | 1.84              | 5.94*         | 0.91       |
| Grain yield per plot       | 5805.00   | 41413.14**     | 20973.33      | 38495.40**        | 260290.56**   | 6248.33    |

*Figure in parenthesis are degree of freedom
** represent significant at 5% and 1% level of significance respectively

**Table 2** Variability parameters for different characters in durum wheat

| Character                  | Range          | Mean | Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Heritability (%) | Genetic Advance (% of mean) | Genetic Gain |
|----------------------------|----------------|------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Genotypes                  | Checks         | Genotypes | Checks                                |                                        |                  |                             |              |
| Days to Heading            | 77-90          | 82.20-86.80 | 83.98                    | 84.80                            | 4.25                | 3.43                        | 65.09        | 4.78     | 5.69  |
| Days to Maturity           | 119-130        | 122.80-125.80 | 124.31                  | 124.75                           | 2.60                | 2.13                        | 67.03        | 4.46     | 3.59  |
| Plant Height (cm)          | 80-100         | 81.20-89.60 | 87.22                    | 85.00                            | 5.39                | 3.61                        | 44.89        | 4.34     | 4.98  |
| Tillers per meter row      | 45-175         | 70.80-106.40 | 104.09                  | 90.45                            | 24.63               | 22.04                       | 80.12        | 42.31    | 40.65 |
| Grains per spike           | 35-88          | 54.80-69.40 | 60.51                    | 58.65                            | 21.44               | 15.72                       | 53.73        | 14.36    | 23.73 |
| 1000 Grain Weight (g)      | 35.10-67.90    | 49.70-62.85 | 54.94                    | 56.74                            | 11.43               | 10.97                       | 92.09        | 11.92    | 21.69 |
| Spike length (cm)          | 4.00-10.00     | 6.20-7.80   | 6.56                     | 7.20                             | 20.68               | 14.73                       | 50.72        | 1.42     | 21.61 |
| Grain yield per plot (g)   | 120-1025       | 376-530     | **585.22**              | **452.00**                       | **33.53**           | **30.69**                   | **83.77**    | **338.57** | **57.85** |
### Table 3: Genotypic (rg) and phenotypic (rp) correlation coefficients between different traits in durum wheat

| Character                  | Days to Heading | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Tillers per meter row | Grains per spike | 1000-grain weight | Spike length | Grain yield per plot |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Days to Heading            | rg 1.00         | 1.36             | -0.65**      | 0.34*                 | 0.31*            | -0.59**           | 0.30*        | 0.19                |
|                           | rp              | 1.00             | 0.84**       | 0.24                  | 0.25             | 0.23              | -0.41**      | 0.25                |
| Days to Maturity           | rg              | 1.00             | -0.14        | 0.35**                | 0.42**           | -0.25             | 0.02         | -0.02               |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| Plant Height               | rg              | 1.00             | -0.21        | 0.18                  | 0.20             | -0.28*            | 0.17         | -0.05               |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| Tillers per meter row     | rg              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| Grains per spike           | rg              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| 1000-grain weight         | rg              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| Spike length              | rg              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
| Grain yield per plot      | rg              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |
|                           | rp              |                  |              |                       |                  |                  |              |                     |

*, ** represent significant at 5% and 1% level of significance respectively

### Table 4: Path coefficient analysis indicating direct and indirect effects of various component traits on grain yield in durum wheat

| Character                  | Days to Heading | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Tillers per meter row | Grains per spike | 1000-grain weight | Spike length | Correlation coefficient with grain yield |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
| Days to Heading            | -0.35           | 0.32             | 0.07         | 0.01                  | 0.19             | -0.13             | 0.09         | 0.19                                   |
| Days to Maturity           | -0.47           | 0.23             | 0.02         | 0.01                  | 0.25             | -0.06             | 0.01         | -0.02                                  |
| Plant Height               | 0.23            | -0.03            | -0.11        | -0.00                 | -0.11            | 0.09              | 0.20         | 0.27*                                  |
| Tillers per meter row     | -0.12           | 0.08             | 0.01         | 0.02                  | 0.03             | -0.05             | 0.17         | 0.13                                   |
| Grains per spike           | -0.11           | 0.10             | 0.02         | 0.00                  | 0.60             | -0.08             | 0.11         | 0.64**                                 |
| 1000-grain weight         | 0.20            | -0.06            | -0.04        | -0.01                 | -0.21            | 0.23              | 0.10         | 0.21                                   |
| Spike length              | -0.10           | 0.00             | -0.07        | 0.01                  | 0.22             | 0.07              | 0.31         | 0.44**                                 |

Residual = 0.7291
In the light of above findings, it may be concluded that improvement in the characters like grains per spike and spike length should be considered for yield improvement in durum wheat breeding programme.
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