Honeybee worker larvae perceive queen pheromones in their food
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Abstract – Honeybee workers develop from fertilised eggs, but those reared in a queenless colony develop into ‘rebel’ workers, which are more queen-like than typical workers. Rebels develop after an old queen leaves with a swarm and before a new queen hatches. We hypothesised that larval food lacking queen mandibular pheromones trigger the rebel phenotype. Larvae reared under queenright or queenless conditions were additionally fed with water or a drop of macerated queen mandibular glands. After following development of the bees and subjecting them to dissection, we found that those reared with a queen or fed the macerated glands under queenless conditions developed into typical workers. Only those workers reared without a queen and without macerated glands added to their food developed into rebels; these rebels had more ovarioles, smaller hypopharyngeal glands, and larger mandibular and Dufour’s glands than did typical workers. This is the first evidence that larval perception of the presence or absence of queen pheromones causes an alternative development strategy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Reproductive division of labour and the development of a non-reproductive worker caste are the most important features of eusocial insects and fundamental problems in evolutionary biology (Toth and Robinson 2007; Van Oystaeyen et al. 2014). A suite of traits likely evolves together with non-reproductive division of labour (Amdam et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2014), which is a key factor in the ecological success of eusocial insects (Wilson 1971). The best model for investigating these issues is the honeybee (Apis mellifera) colony, where queen mandibular pheromones (QMPs) play a crucial regulatory role in inhibiting ovarian development in adult workers and suppressing their reproduction (Van Oystaeyen et al. 2014). A large body of evidence indicates that queen pheromones also inform workers about the presence of the queen or her reproductive potential, which suggests that these pheromones have a signalling rather than control function (Woyciechowski and Lomnicki 1987; Tan et al. 2015). However, it is unknown whether QMPs communicate information only between queen and adult workers or are also instrumental in larval development. The latter possibility is of interest in view of the recent finding that worker larvae reared in a queenless colony develop into rebel workers, which are more queen-like than other workers (Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012). Compared with typical workers, the rebels have more ovarioles in the ovaries and less developed hypopharyngeal glands, which are used for brood food production, whereas their mandibular glands, which in queens produce QMPs, and Dufour’s gland are larger (Kuszewska and Woyciechowski 2015). Rebel workers do not occur in honeybee colonies throughout the entire season but typically appear after swarming. Swarming is the one means by which colonies multiply in
nature. After the mother queen leaves her colony with the swarm and before the new queen hatches, some larvae complete their feeding under queenless conditions and become rebels. Before swarming, the workers rear their own sisters and brothers, whereas after queen exchange, they are obligated to tend to their nieces and nephews. According to inclusive fitness theory (Hamilton 1964), the decrease in relatedness between the workers of the mother queen generation and the offspring of the new sister queen favours the rebel phenotype, as evidenced by workers that prioritise their own reproduction at the expense of rearing the offspring of the sister queen (Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012). There is another benefit from the enhanced reproductive potential of rebels. Quite often, the young queen that is replaced during swarming dies on her mating flight (Kraus et al. 2004; Schlüns et al. 2004). If this happens, rebels hatch in a hopelessly orphaned colony. The colony as a whole then only has one option: to produce a last batch of drones, for which rebel workers appear to be particularly effective. Rebel workers are easily produced in an artificially de-queened honeybee colony because the absence of the mother queen for even a short time period is unambiguous to the colony members and indicates an upcoming queen change and subsequent decrease in intracolony relatedness.

Honeybee queen signals are transferred via trophallaxis, i.e. the exchange of liquid food among colony members (Seeley 1979). These cues can change the feeding strategy of nurses towards larvae (Kuwabara 1948; Woyke 1999). However, we examined in this study whether cues indicating the presence or absence of a queen are detected from food by worker larvae directly and whether these larvae adjust their strategy accordingly, namely by developing into normal workers if QMPs are present in the food or into rebels if these pheromones are absent.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our experiments were performed in May and June of 2013 in the experimental apiary of the Institute of Environmental Sciences at Jagiellonian University in Poland. Before beginning our main experiments, we prepared a mixture containing queen pheromones. We dissected the mandibular glands from five alien, laying queens and macerated them in 3 mL of distilled water. The mixture was divided into Eppendorf tubes and frozen.

We used two colonies of honeybees (A. mellifera) that were treated in the same way. Initially, we restricted the queens to two frames. After 24 h, we divided the colonies into queenright and queenless subunits, each containing one experimental frame with eggs of known age. When the larvae hatched on the experimental frames, we marked groups of 36 cells with larvae for the different treatments. Each queenright and queenless subunit contained two control groups of larvae: one that was not treated and one in which a drop of water was added to the food (water-control). In a third group, which was marked only in the de-queened subunit, we added a drop of macerated queen mandibular glands to the food of the larvae. The drop of water or liquid macerated gland mixture was added to the food near the larva bodies. Depending on larva age, we added different amounts of water or mandibular gland mixture: 0.5 μL on the first 2 days, 1.0 μL on the next 2 days, and 2.0 μL on the last 2 days of larval feeding. We treated the larvae every 12 h.

When all of the larvae in the experimental frames were capped, we moved the frames into an incubator and covered each experimental group of workers with a small plastic basket to separate the groups of bees from one another. This procedure caused some hatched bees to die before they were collected; therefore, only the surviving, freshly emerged workers were frozen and then dissected. We counted the number of ovarioles in both ovaries and measured the average sizes of the mandibular glands, Dufour’s gland, and the acini in the hypopharyngeal glands of each worker with a stereomicroscope (Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012; Kuszewska and Woyciechowski 2015). The size of the mandibular gland was calculated as the average of the left and right glands (square root of longest × shortest diameters). The size of Dufour’s gland was calculated as the square root of length × width. The size of the hypopharyngeal gland was calculated from the average of 10 acini (square root of longest × shortest diameters of five acini from the right gland and five from the left gland).

A mixed model two-way ANOVA was used to compare the parameters of workers (i.e. ovariole number, hypopharyngeal gland size, mandibular gland size, and Dufour’s gland size) reared as larvae under different conditions. The experimental group was a fixed effect, and the colony was a random effect. If a difference among groups was statistically significant, the ANOVA was followed by multiple comparison testing using a post
hoc Tukey HSD test with \( P = 0.05 \) as the level of significance. All analyses were conducted using two-tailed statistical tests and were performed with STATISTICA 9.0.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We compared the anatomical characters of freshly hatched workers that had developed from the different treatment groups of larvae. We found that in each of the colonies, the anatomical characters of the workers that had developed from the larvae with QMPs in their food, that is, those reared under the queenright condition and those reared in the queenless subunits that had macerated queen mandibular glands added to their food, did not differ from each other (Figure 1). Only one difference with regard to hypopharyngeal gland size was observed in the latter colony. By analysing the data from the two colonies together, we found that the characters of all the workers that had contact with QMPs differed from the workers reared in the queenless subunits in which the larvae had no contact with QMPs. These latter workers had traits typical of rebels, making them more queen-like. The rebels had more ovarioles in their ovaries (factorial two-way ANOVA, \( F_{4,4} = 176.3; P < 0.001 \)) and larger mandibular (factorial two-way ANOVA, \( F_{4,4} = 19.5; P = 0.007 \)) and Dufour’s glands (factorial two-way ANOVA, \( F_{4,4} = 45.7; P = 0.001 \)) relative to the workers reared in contact with QMPs. In contrast, the acini of the rebels’ hypopharyngeal glands were smaller than those of the workers exposed to QMPs (factorial two-way ANOVA, \( F_{4,4} = 60.65; P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 1).

It has been suggested that the presence or absence of a queen is perceived not only by adult workers (Hoover et al. 2003; Peters et al. 2010) but also by the larvae of honeybees (Kocher et al. 2010; Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012) and bumblebees (Cnaani et al. 2000). However, in the present study, we show that queen pheromones, which are transmitted via trophallaxis, pass information to larvae, which can then change their developmental strategy. Despite the fact that the presented scenario is the most probable, we realise that alternative explanations are possible and that some unanswered questions remain. One such question is whether QMPs play a signalling role that is independent of their quantity in larval food. Indeed, in a queenright honeybee colony, it is unlikely that each larva obtains the same quantity of QMPs. Therefore, the amount of macerated gland added to the larval food under the queenless condition was not crucial to the results and, in particular, was not harmful to the larvae. The fact that the workers reared on this diet were anatomically similar to the workers hatched in the queenright colonies is in accordance with our suggestion. Another question worth discussing is whether nursing workers manipulate larval development when they detect QMPs in their food. Conversely, it is possible that larvae manipulate the behaviour of nursing workers, which has been observed in ants but not yet reported in bees (Creemers et al. 2003; Kaptein et al. 2005), except for Apis mellifera capensis larvae in a host colony of Apis mellifera scutellata (Beekman et al. 2000). It is difficult to predict the special adaptations that may be adopted by adult workers or larvae to a situation that never occurs under natural conditions, e.g. the presence of QMPs in larval food in a queenless colony. In such, a situation possible is that workers and/or larvae exhibit unpredictable reactions, but these were not observed during our experiment. To date, understanding of the rebel worker strategy in honeybees has primarily relied on assessments of the anatomical characters of rebel bees (Woyciechowski and Kuszewska 2012; Kuszewska and Woyciechowski 2015). We expect that the larger ovaries and smaller hypopharyngeal glands of rebels are associated with reductions in engagement in tasks important for colony maintenance, which is concordant with previous observations (Mattila et al. 2012, but see also Naeger et al. 2013). Furthermore, it remains unknown whether the presence of rebel workers affects the production of males and their chances of mating. Worker reproduction is seasonally influenced, and sons of rebels usually follow peak swarming times to meet virgin queens ready for mating (Holmes et al. 2013). However, the fact that rebels are more queen-like because their mandibular and Dufour’s glands are larger than those in other workers suggests that their eggs escape policing (Miller and Ratnieks 2001), meaning that they are not eaten by other workers. If this is true, the personal fitness of rebels could explain their alternative developmental strategy at the larval stage.
In summary, we confirmed that the worker caste in honeybees has two discrete subcastes that are formed depending on whether worker larvae develop under queenless or queenright conditions. This phenomenon makes this species an even more attractive model than previously supposed for both epigenetic studies (Amdam et al. 2004; Elango et al. 2009; Drewell et al. 2012) and intragenomic
conflict studies investigating whether worker reproduction is more heavily promoted by patrigenes than matrigenes (Queller 2003; Galbraith et al. 2016). It is possible that the queen disseminates her pheromones to block the development of worker ovaries and the growth of mandibular and Dufour’s glands simultaneously, thereby encouraging the development of hypopharyngeal glands; however, we suggest that the presence or absence of QMPs acts as a signal to which larvae respond. If this is true, we believe that our study provides the first evidence in eusocial insects that larval perception of the presence or absence of queen pheromones induces an alternative development strategy.
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