Overcoming multidrug resistance by knockout of ABCB1 gene using CRISPR/Cas9 system in SW620/Ad300 colorectal cancer cells
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Abstract
Multidrug resistance (MDR) has been extensively reported in colorectal cancer patients, which remains a major cause of chemotherapy failure. One of the critical mechanisms of MDR in colorectal cancer is the reduced intracellular drug level led by the upregulated expression of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, particularly, ABCB1/P-gp. In this study, the CRISPR/Cas9 system was utilized to target ABCB1 in MDR colorectal cancer SW620/Ad300 cell line with ABCB1 overexpression. The results showed that stable knockout of ABCB1 gene by the CRISPR/Cas9 system was achieved in the MDR cancer cells. Reversal of MDR against ABCB1 chemotherapeutic drugs increased intracellular accumulation of [3H]-paclitaxel accumulation, and decreased drug efflux activity was observed in MDR SW620/Ad300 cells after ABCB1 gene knockout. Further tests using the 3D multicellular tumor spheroid model suggested that deficiency in ABCB1 restrained tumor spheroid growth and restore sensitivity to paclitaxel in MDR tumor spheroids. Overall, the CRISPR/Cas9 system targeting the ABCB1 gene can be an effective approach to overcome ABCB1-mediated MDR in colorectal cancer SW620/Ad300 cells.
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1 | INTRODUCTION
Chemotherapy, as one of the preferred treatment strategies in combating colorectal cancer, can be adopted at different stages of colorectal cancer, and used as adjuvant therapy before or after surgery. However, multidrug resistance (MDR) in cancer, which refers to the reduced responsiveness of cancer cells to multiple chemotherapeutic drugs that are not structurally or functionally related, has been reported extensively in patients with colorectal cancer, leading to impairment in the chemotherapy success. MDR phenotype in cancer cells can be intrinsic resistance against standard chemotherapy at diagnosis or acquired by prolonged exposure to chemotherapy.
Various mechanisms have been discovered to be involved in MDR of colorectal cancer including instability of chromosome,\textsuperscript{8} mutation of drug target,\textsuperscript{9} impaired apoptotic pathways,\textsuperscript{10} and decrease in intracellular drug level due to reduced drug uptake or lifted drug efflux mediated by transporters.\textsuperscript{11} In particular, the upregulated expression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters that function as pumps eliminating drugs from cancer cells is a predominant cause of colorectal cancer MDR.\textsuperscript{5,11} The ABC transporter superfamily consists of 49 members categorized into seven subfamilies, which are termed from ABCA to ABCG.\textsuperscript{12} Among the members, ABCB1, also known as P-glycoprotein (P-gp), is one of the most common contributors to MDR in colorectal cancer. ABCB1 is a transmembrane transporter that is extensively expressed in various types of tissues, particularly at a high level in the epithelial cells of the colon, small intestine, kidney proximal tubules, bile ducts, and pancreatic ducts.\textsuperscript{9} With a vast spectrum of substrates, ABCB1 plays an important physiological role in protecting tissues by extruding various xenobiotics and toxicants.\textsuperscript{13} On the other hand, tumors expressing ABCB1 gain resistance to many widely used chemotherapeutic drugs that are ABCB1 substrates, such as doxorubicin, paclitaxel, and vincristine,\textsuperscript{14,15} leading to failure of chemotherapy.

To overcome ABCB1-mediated MDR, three generations of small molecule ABCB1 inhibitors and some natural products considered as the fourth-generation ABCB1 inhibitors have been developed for coadministration with conventional anticancer drugs thereby increasing intracellular accumulation of anticancer drugs.\textsuperscript{16} Although promising potency in inhibiting ABCB1 function has been reported from cell-based research and animal studies, none of these compounds achieved approval as ABCB1 inhibitors in clinical application due to undesirable pharmacokinetic profiles or adverse effects.\textsuperscript{12} Another approach to surmount MDR induced by ABCB1 overexpression is to suppress the expression level. Experimental gene therapies using ribozymes, antisense oligonucleotides, as well as small interfering RNAs have been developed and demonstrated success in reversing MDR in cancer cells by downregulating ABCB1 expression.\textsuperscript{17–19} In the past decade, the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated 9 (Cas9) gene-editing system has been developed and utilized for precise gene expression regulation in cell lines and animal models for cancer research.\textsuperscript{18} In the present study, a CRISPR/Cas9 was applied to establish ABCB1 gene knockout cell models from an ABCB1 overexpressing MDR colorectal cancer cell line SW620/Ad300. The effects of ABCB1 knockout on drug resistance phenotype, intracellular drug accumulation, and tumor spheroid morphology were investigated.

**FIGURE 1** Schematic of the CRISPR-Cas9 system used for ABCB1 gene knockout. (A) The plasmid map of CRISPR/Cas9 vector. (B) The locations and sequences of the gRNA targeting the 16th exon of the ABCB1 gene. The 20 bp target sequence for gRNA is shown in blue font, and the sequence of the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) “TGG” designated for recognition by the Cas9 nuclease is highlighted in red with an underline

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | Stable knockout of ABCB1 in SW620/Ad300 cells

The ABCB1 gene knockout sublines of SW620/Ad300 cell line were established using a CRISPR/Cas9 system targeting exon 16 of ABCB1 gene (Figure 1). The knockout of ABCB1 gene in SW620/Ad300 cells was verified by the ABCB1 protein expression detected using Western blotting. As shown in Figure 2A, the acquired overexpression of ABCB1 protein was confirmed in SW620/Ad300 cells compared to the parental SW620 cells. The ABCB1 protein level was undetectable in SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells. Identical observations were shown in immunofluorescence results. The expression of ABCB1 on the cell membrane was much lower in the parental SW620 cells than in the drug-resistant SW620/Ad300 cells, whereas the cells with ABCB1 gene knockout were at an undetectable level by immunofluorescence staining (Figure 2B).

To further verify the change in gene expression by targeting ABCB1 using the CRISPR/Cas9 system, the cDNA was synthesized from the mRNA of cells and amplified using specific primers by PCR reaction, which was quantified
leat al.

FIGURE 2 Confirmation of ABCB1 knockout in SW620/Ad300 cells. (A) Western blotting results of ABCB1 protein expression level in cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. (B) Immunofluorescence microscopic images showing ABCB1 expression by green fluorescence in cells. DAPI-stained cell nuclei showed a blue color. The merged images were generated by combining the ABCB1 and DAPI fluorescence micrographs. Scale bar represented 20 μm. (C) mRNA expression levels of ABCB1 gene measured by RT-qPCR were normalized by the expression of GAPDH gene. Relative mRNA expression was presented as fold change versus SW620. * p < 0.05 compared to SW620. # p < 0.05 compared to SW620/Ad300. Columns and error bars represented mean values and standard deviation (SD) obtained from three independent measurements

using SYBR labeling. The mRNA expression level of ABCB1 was normalized to the reference gene GAPDH. As exhibited in Figure 2C, the ABCB1 expression of SW620/Ad300 cells was upregulated approximately 40-fold compared to the parental SW620 cells. ABCB1 gene knockout by CRISPR/Cas9 system resulted in significant repression of ABCB1 expression in SW620/Ad300 cells to a level of less than 1 of 1000 of the ABCB1 expression in the parental SW620 cells. These data suggest that the SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko subline with stable ABCB1 knockout were successfully established.

2.2 Drug resistance phenotype of ABCB1-knockout MDR cells

The drug resistance phenotypes of SW620, SW620/Ad300, and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells were compared in Table 1 and Figure 3A. The SW620/Ad300 cells with ABCB1 overexpression induced by chronic doxorubicin selection exhibited 214.02-fold resistance against doxorubicin compared to the parental SW620 cells. Significant cross-resistance against doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, vinblastine, colchicine, mitoxantrone, and topotecan was observed in SW620/Ad300 cells. The MDR phenotype in SW620/Ad300 cells was significantly reversed by knockout of the ABCB1 gene. By contrast, for cisplatin, which is not an ABCB1 substrate, the IC_{50} values were at a comparable level among SW620, SW620/A300, and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells. To compare the effect of ABCB1 inhibitor on chemotherapeutic sensitivity in cells with and without ABCB1 knockout, tariquidar, which is a third-generation ABCB1 inhibitor that can inhibit the efflux function of ABCB1 and restore the intracellular drug accumulation, was selected for the reversal test. Doxorubicin and paclitaxel as representative substrate drugs of ABCB1, and cisplatin as a nonsubstrate drug, were picked for further reversal study. The concentration of tariquidar was selected at a nontoxic concentration that reduces cell viability by less than 15% through 72 h. While the response to cisplatin was consistent among the cell lines regardless of knockout of ABCB1 or the presence of tariquidar (Figure 3D), tariquidar at 3 μM was capable to completely reverse the resistance to doxorubicin and paclitaxel in ABCB1 overexpressing SW620/Ad300 cells, but not in SW620 cells with trace level of ABCB1 expression (Figure 3B and C). The concentration-response curves for doxorubicin and paclitaxel from ABCB1 knockout cells remained unchanged with the addition of tariquidar and resembled closely to the ones from wildtype cells with the presence of 3 μM tariquidar. Identical findings were obtained from the reversal tests using another two ABCB1 inhibitors, tepotinib and verapamil, respectively. Both tepotinib and verapamil at 0.3 μM could reverse paclitaxel resistance in SW620/Ad300 cells but had no effects on ABCB1 knockout cells, and the IC_{50} values for cisplatin remained consistent among the cell lines regardless of the presence of ABCB1 inhibitors (Supporting information Figure S2B and C). These results suggested that the abrogation of MDR in ABCB1 gene knockout cells was majorly due to the loss of functional ABCB1 protein.
Table 1: Drug resistance spectrum of ABCB1 knockout cells

| Anticancer drug | IC₅₀ ± SD (µM) (Resistant fold) | SW620 | SW620/Ad300 | SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|
| doxorubicin     | 0.275 ± 0.035 (1.00)            | 58.856 ± 4.977 (214.02)  | 0.230 ± 0.031 (0.84) |
| Paclitaxel      | 0.051 ± 0.013 (1.00)            | 13.460 ± 1.611 (263.92)  | 0.005 ± 0.001 (0.10) |
| Docetaxel       | 0.014 ± 0.002 (1.00)            | 2.763 ± 0.248 (197.36)   | 0.001 ± 0.0001 (0.07) |
| vincristine     | 0.011 ± 0.003 (1.00)            | 1.294 ± 0.038 (117.64)   | 0.008 ± 0.002 (0.73)  |
| vinblastine     | 0.006 ± 0.003 (1.00)            | 0.311 ± 0.035 (51.83)    | 0.001 ± 0.0004 (0.17) |
| Colchicine      | 0.035 ± 0.007 (1.00)            | 2.608 ± 0.506 (74.51)    | 0.016 ± 0.004 (0.46)  |
| mitoxantrone    | 0.632 ± 0.079 (1.00)            | 98.895 ± 1.53 (156.48)   | 0.372 ± 0.018 (0.58)  |
| Topotecan       | 5.161 ± 0.429 (1.00)            | 19.088 ± 0.411 (3.70)    | 0.391 ± 0.072 (0.08)  |
| SN-38           | 2.105 ± 0.173 (1.00)            | 3.350 ± 0.487 (1.59)     | 0.106 ± 0.037 (0.05)  |
| Cisplatin       | 1.733 ± 0.222 (1.00)            | 2.034 ± 0.161 (1.17)     | 2.134 ± 0.121 (1.23)  |

IC₅₀ refers to the concentration that reduces cell viability by 50% (mean ± SD). Resistance fold for SW620, SW620/Ad300, and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells represents the IC₅₀ value of an anticancer drug for SW620/Ad300 cells or SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells divided by that for SW620 cells. Values in table are determined from at least three independent experiments conducted in triplicate.

* indicates p < 0.05 compared to IC₅₀ value for SW620.

# indicates p < 0.05 compared to IC₅₀ value SW620/Ad300.

Slightly reduced IC₅₀ values for the tested anticancer drugs except cisplatin were observed in SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells when compared to SW620 cells, however, most of these differences did not have statistical significance (Table 1). The variation in IC₅₀ values between SW620 cells and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells may be contributed by the low endogenous expression of ABCB1 in SW620 cells. This was further confirmed by ABCB1 gene knockout in SW620 cells (verification of ABCB1 knockout shown in Supporting information Figure S1A and B) and the follow-up drug resistance spectrum analysis. As illustrated in Supporting information Figure S2A, the IC₅₀ values for doxorubicin, paclitaxel, colchicine, vincristine, vinblastine, and mitoxantrone from SW620-ABCB1ko cells were slightly lower than those from SW620 cells but closed to those from SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells, which supported the hypothesis that the endogenous ABCB1 expression accounts for the difference between SW620 cells and the ABCB1 knockout cells in response to these drugs. Among the observed drug responses, the resistance to topotecan and SN-38 from SW620/Ad300 cells was relatively low, with 3.7- and 1.59-fold resistance, respectively, compared to SW620 cells. However, the SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells showed significantly enhanced sensitivity to topotecan and SN-38 when comparing with SW620 as well as SW620/Ad300 cell lines, inferring that knockout of ABCB1 gene may lead to changes in other biological molecules involved in the mechanism of action of topotecan and SN-38. To verify whether this phenomenon was associated with alternation on ABCG2 or ABCC1 caused by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated ABCB1 knockout, the expression of ABCG2 and ABCC1 was measured in SW620, SW620/Ad300, and the ABCB1 knockout sublines. As shown in supporting information Figure SIC, the ABCG2 and ABCC1 expression remained at an undetectable level in SW620 and SW620/Ad300 cells after ABCB1 gene knockout, indicating an alternative mechanism for the change of sensitivity to topotecan and SN-38 upon loss of ABCB1.

2.3 Effect of ABCB1 knockout on [³H]-paclitaxel accumulation and efflux in MDR colorectal cancer cells

The intracellular accumulation of ABCB1 substrate [³H]-paclitaxel and the drug efflux activity were measured to examine the effect of ABCB1 knockout on MDR colorectal cancer cells. As depicted in Figure 4A, the reduced intracellular [³H]-paclitaxel level in SW620/Ad300 cells was restored by knockout of ABCB1 to a level higher than that in SW620 cells. Besides, the [³H]-paclitaxel efflux activity was mitigated in SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells compared to SW620/Ad300 cells, but there was no significant difference compared to SW620 cells (Figure 4B). These data suggested that ABCB1 gene knockout using the CRISPR/Cas9 system was effective in restoring the intracellular level and decrease the efflux of ABCB1 substrate [³H]-paclitaxel in ABCB1 overexpressing MDR colorectal cancer cells.

2.4 Effect of ABCB1 knockout on the growth and drug response of MDR colorectal cancer tumor spheroids

To further investigate the effect of ABCB1 knockout on the growth and drug sensitivity of MDR colorectal cancer cells
FIGURE 3 Drug resistance phenotype of MDR colorectal cancer cells with ABCB1 knockout. (A) Heatmap of log IC\textsubscript{50} values of anticancer drugs obtained from MTT assay against the utilized human colorectal cancer cell lines. The red color indicated higher logIC\textsubscript{50} level, the white color indicated logIC\textsubscript{50} = 0, and the blue color showed lower logIC\textsubscript{50} level. (B-D) Change of cell viability determined by MTT assay in response to various concentrations of doxorubicin (B), paclitaxel (C), and cisplatin (D), with or without the presence of 3 μM tariquidar. Data points with error bars displayed the average viability (%) ± SD obtained from at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate. The IC\textsubscript{50} values were subjected to statistical analysis. The * labels were shown in the corresponding color to the figure legends. * refers to p < 0.05 comparing the group with 3 μM tariquidar to the group of the corresponding cell line without tariquidar.

in a tumor structure, the multicellular tumor spheroid (MCTS) model was used to mimic the natural biology of tumors. All cell lines tested were able to form tumor spheroids, but the spheroid morphology varied. The SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko spheroids maintained a morphology of loose aggregates as SW620 and SW620/Ad300 cells on day 1 postseeding, however, they showed increased compactness but a slower expansion in diameter from day 2 of culture (Figure 5A). While the growth rate of SW620/Ad300 spheroids was significantly lower than the parental SW620 spheroids, knockout of ABCB1 led to a further decrease in MCTSs growth rate, but the decrease was not significant when comparing with SW620/Ad300 spheroids (Figure 5B). These results indicated that knockout of ABCB1 may induce growth retardation and less aggressive expansion in MDR colorectal cancer MCTSs.

The drug sensitivity of ABCB1 knockout spheroids was also tested. The ABCB1 substrate drug paclitaxel was selected for the toxicity assay against MCTSs. It has been reported that MCTSs could have higher resistance to chemotherapeutic agents than 2D monolayer cultured cells.\textsuperscript{21} Therefore, the concentration of paclitaxel at 10 nM, which was approximately two-fold of the IC\textsubscript{50} for paclitaxel obtained from SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells by MTT assay, was selected. As depicted in Figure 6, paclitaxel at 10 nM induced significant growth inhibition in SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko spheroids, whereas the growth of spheroids from SW620 and SW620/Ad300 cells was hardly affected by 10 nM paclitaxel. SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko spheroids treated with paclitaxel exhibited cell death and shedding from the outer zone (Figure 6A pointed by black arrows) and a decrease in the diameter of the cell aggregates (Figure 6B). A similar observation.
**FIGURE 4** Effect of ABCB1 gene knockout on intracellular accumulation and efflux of [3H]-paclitaxel. (A) The intracellular accumulation of [3H]-paclitaxel in SW620, SW620/Ad300, and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells. * indicates $p < 0.05$ compared to SW620 cells; # indicates $p < 0.05$ compared to SW620/Ad300 cells. (B) The [3H]-paclitaxel efflux activities of SW620, SW620/Ad300, and SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells. * indicates $p < 0.05$ compared to SW620 cells. Data represented the mean ± SD of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

**FIGURE 5** Effect of ABCB1 gene knockout on morphology and growth of 3D multicellular tumor spheroids (MCTSs). (A) Representative images of the MCTSs grown from day 1 to 7 after seeding. Scale bar represented 200 μm. (B) Growth curve of MCTSs over 7 days. The volumes of MCTSs were normalized using the spheroid volume at day 1. Data points and error bars represented the mean and SD values obtained from three independent experiments performed with six replicates. * indicates $p < 0.05$ compared to SW620.
FIGURE 6 Effect of ABCB1 gene knockout in cells on sensitivity to paclitaxel (PTX). (A) Representative images of the MCTSs treated with either vehicle control (culture media) or 10 nM paclitaxel at time points 0, 24, 48, and 72 h scale bar represented 200 μm. The loosely associated dead cells at the outer layer of spheroids were pointed by the black arrows. (B) Change of MCTSs volumes after treatment. Percentage of MCTSs volume was calculated by (spheroid volume–spheroid volume at timepoint-0)/spheroid volume at timepoint-0 × 100%. Data points and error bars showed the mean and SD obtained from three independent experiments performed with 4 replicates. * indicates p < 0.05 compared to the control group of the corresponding cell line.

3 | DISCUSSION

The ABCB1 expression is closely correlated with MDR in colorectal cancer, therefore, various approaches to suppress ABCB1 have been developed extensively investigated. As the outcomes for applications of ABCB1 inhibitors in clinical settings have been disappointing, novel strategies to surmount ABCB1-mediated cancer MDR, such as gene therapy approaches, are gaining more attention.18 The
novel CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing tool, which has greater precision than existing gene modifying technologies, has become more frequently used in cancer biological, oncological, and pharmaceutical research. The first published study, where this new technology was utilized to knockout the ABCB1 gene, was carried out in Madin-Darby canine kidney II (MDCK II) cells. In this study, the canine Abcb1 gene was completely knockout in MDCK II cells by transfecting with the CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid vector, leading to the revocation of ABCB1 transporting activity. Later, several studies with successful knockout of the ABCB1 gene in human carcinoma cell lines have been reported. Liu et al. demonstrated that after silencing the ABCB1 gene by CRISPR/Cas9 in osteosarcoma MDR cell lines, the drug resistance against ABCB1 substrate doxorubicin was reversed while the response to non-ABCB1 substrate cisplatin was unchanged. Similar results were found by Yang et al. from two MDR cell lines KBV200 and HCT-8/V, which gained ABCB1 overexpression by chronic exposure to vincristine. KBV200 and HCT-8/V cells with knockout of ABCB1 by CRISPR/Cas9 became significantly more sensitive to vincristine and doxorubicin whereas not to cisplatin, and had significant enhancement in accumulation of rhodamine123 as well as doxorubicin. Another acquired ABCB1 overexpressing cell line derived from human epithelial ovarian cancer cells by prolonged doxorubicin selection, termed A2780/ADR, was also found to restore sensitivity to doxorubicin after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated ABCB1 knockout was applied. These studies have demonstrated a general effect of restored sensitivity of ABCB1 substrate drug in MDR cancer cells after ABCB1 knockout using CRISPR/Cas9 system, however, nothing was known regarding the variation in drug sensitivity of acquired MDR cell line with ABCB1 knockout comparing to that of the parental cell lines from which the MDR cell lines originated. Besides, a broader spectrum of drug sensitivity after knockout of the ABCB1 gene is yet to be evaluated in MDR colorectal cancer cells. Accordingly, the ABCB1 overexpressing MDR human colorectal cancer cell line SW620/Ad300 along with its parental SW620 cell line, were selected in the present study. A CRISPR/Cas9 system was used to knockout the ABCB1 gene in MDR cells. Subsequently, the efficiency of the designed gene-editing CRISPR/Cas9 system to knockout ABCB1 gene was verified by the suppressed ABCB1 protein expression and mRNA expression levels of ABCB1 gene in the knockout sublines.

After ABCB1 gene knockout using CRISPR/Cas9 system in MDR SW620/Ad300 cells, cells showed enhanced sensitivity to ABCB1 substrate drugs, such as doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, vinblastine, colchicine, and mitoxantrone, but not to non-ABCB1 substrate cisplatin. The increased intracellular accumulation of [3H]-paclitaxel accumulation resulted from the decreased activity of paclitaxel efflux was observed, which was consistent with the findings in other human MDR cancer cell lines. The results that ABCB1 inhibitor tariquidar reversed doxorubicin and paclitaxel in MDR cells but not ABCB1 knockout cells further verified that the abolishment of MDR in ABCB1 knockout cells was predominantly contributed by the loss of functional ABCB1 protein. This was further confirmed by applying a first-generation ABCB1 inhibitor verapamil and a newly discovered ABCB1 inhibitor tepotinib in supplementary reversal tests. From another dimension, the results from the reversal studies suggested that the ABCB1 gene knockout cell lines can be useful in investigating whether ABCB1-independent mechanisms are involved in the MDR reversal activities of ABCB1 inhibitors.

Comparing to the parental SW620 cell line, the IC50 values for ABCB1 substrate drugs obtained from SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells were even lower, albeit the differences were mostly insignificant. To confirm whether this is led by the low endogenous ABCB1 expression in SW620 cells, knockout of the ABCB1 gene using the CRISPR/Cas9 system was further applied to SW620 cells. From the drug resistance spectrum of the cells, the effectiveness of common ABCB1 substrates, including doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, vinblastine, colchicine, and mitoxantrone, were negatively associated with the ABCB1 expression level in colorectal cancer cells. This was reflected by the considerable resistance from SW620/Ad300 cells, slight resistance from SW620 cells, and enhanced sensitivity from ABCB1 knockout cells. As topotecan and SN-38 are typical BCRP/ABCG2 substrates but less potent substrates of ABCB1, ABCB1 may not have a significant contribution to extrude topotecan and SN-38 from MDR cells, which was reflected by the low resistant folds for topotecan and SN-38 from ABCB1 overexpressing SW620/Ad300 cells. Interestingly, although resistance to topotecan and SN-38 were low in SW620/Ad300 cells, the SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko cells showed significantly enhanced sensitivity to topotecan and SN-38 when comparing with SW620 as well as SW620/Ad300 cell lines, and similar results were observed from SW620-ABCB1ko cells. This phenomenon indicated that possible changes in molecules involved in the mechanism of action of topotecan and SN-38 might be driven by the CRISPR/Cas9 vector targeting the ABCB1 gene, which requires further study to elucidate.

Considering the limitation of 2D monolayer cell culture that the monolayer culture cells do not reflect the natural structures of tumors and the cell-cell or cell-extracellular environment interactions, and that the drug diffusion patterns are altered, the 3D MCTS model was used to mimic the tumor growth and sensitivity to anticancer drug in vivo. The morphology of loose aggregates
from SW620 spheroids was consistent with previous reports. A significantly slower growth rate was observed from SW620/Ad300 MCTSSs compared to the parental SW620 spheroids, which was similar to previous studies that demonstrated decreased growth rates in doxorubicin-resistant cancer cells or tumor xenograft model. It has been commonly reported that the MDR phenotype of cancer cells is associated with slower cell proliferation, however, the exact mechanism correlating MDR and slow tumor growth remains uncertain. Slower growth of ABCB1 overexpressing cancer cells has been reported while this phenomenon could be contributed by multiple factors such as hypoxic conditions of cells or oxygen gradients in spheroids, alteration in expression of fibroblast growth factor and vascular endothelial growth factor, and intercellular transfer of ABCB1 within a heterogeneous tumor. Some of the factors, like cell hypoxia, may induce retardative cell proliferation via an ABCB1-independent mechanism. The slower growth of SW620/Ad300 spheroids compared to the parental SW620 spheroids may be partially accounted by ABCB1 overexpression and additional mechanisms causing growth arrest may exist, which could explain the observation that SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko spheroids retained a low growth rate like SW620/Ad300 spheroids.

Although an inverse correlation between ABCB1 expression and cancer cell growth rate has been commonly known, deficiency in ABCB1 protein expression was shown to result in further restrained tumor growth in colitis-associated colorectal cancer mouse model, which may be related to change in pathways involved in inflammatory response. In this study, the growth curves obtained from MCTSSs also revealed a slightly slower growth rate and less invasive expansion in MDR colon tumor spheroids led by ABCB1 silencing. However, the difference in growth rate was not significant when comparing SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko with SW620/Ad300 spheroids, possibly due to less significant involvement of inflammatory mediators in biological activities of SW620/Ad300 cells as the SW620 cell line is not derived from colitis-associated colorectal cancer tissue. The morphology of tumor spheroid also reflected the restored drug sensitivity mediated by ABCB1-targeting CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. The elevated sensitivity to paclitaxel from MCTSSs generated from SW620/Ad300-ABCB1ko and SW620-ABCB1ko cells were shown by loss of integrity and loosely associated dead cells at the outer layer after 48 and 72 h of exposure, which was consistent with the appearance of paclitaxel-treated MCTSSs from SW620/Ad300 cells reported by Gao et al.

Overall, the present study suggests that the CRISPR/Cas9 system targeting the ABCB1 gene can be an effective approach to overcome ABCB1-mediated MDR in colorectal cancer cells. Compared to small molecule inhibitors, the novel CRISPR/Cas9-gene editing approach has a great potential for future personalized therapy in treating ABCB1-associated MDR colorectal cancer with an advantage to avoid drug-drug interaction and toxicity from combinational chemotherapy. However, currently, the utilization of the CRISPR/Cas9 gene-modifying technique in cancer treatment is limited in cell-based or tumor xenograft animal-based research. Efficient delivery of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in vivo and the associated ethical issues remain the major challenges to be solved before promoting to clinical application. The established ABCB1 knockout colorectal cancer cell lines can be useful as models for studying ABCB1-independent MDR mechanisms and discovering novel therapeutic approaches in future research to provide clues for overcoming multidrug resistance in colorectal cancer.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 4.1 Chemicals and reagents

Doxorubicin, paclitaxel, vincristine, vinblastine, colchicine, topotecan, tariquidar, verapamil, formaldehyde, Triton X-100, 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), agarose, and the mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) for ABCB1 (clone F4) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Geneticin (G418), mitoxantrone, SN-38, and cisplatin were obtained from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, NY). Tepotinib was provided by Chemie Tek (Indianapolis, IN). The radiolabeled drug [3H]-paclitaxel (31 Ci/mmol) was ordered from Moravek Biochemicals, Inc. (Brea, CA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), and 0.25% trypsin-EDTA were ordered from Corning Inc. (New York, NY). The horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (anti-mouse) was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). The mouse mAb for glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), phosphate buffer saline (PBS), dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), the Alexa Fluor 488-labeled secondary antibody (anti-mouse), 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and other reagents were ordered from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Rockford, IL).

#### 4.2 Cell lines and cell culture

The human colon adenocarcinoma SW620 and SW620/Ad300 cell lines were kind gifts from Dr. Susan E. Bates (Columbia University, New York, NY) and Dr. Robert W. Robey (NCI, NIH, Bethesda, MD). The drug-induced
ABCBl1 overexpressing SW620/Ad300 cell line was derived from the parental SW620 cell line after prolonged exposure to gradually increase the concentration of doxorubicin up to 300 ng/mL. All cell lines were cultured in a 37°C humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. The culture medium was 10% FBS-supplemented DMEM supplemented with the addition of 100 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin.

4.3 Knockout of ABCBl1 gene in SW620/Ad300 cells

A CRISPR/Cas9 system was used to construct the ABCBl1 gene knockout subline of SW620/Ad300 cell line. The custom-designed mammalian CRISPR vector was purchased from VectorBuilder Inc. (Chicago, IL). The gRNA of the vector targeting human ABCBl1 gene contains a specific 20 bp guide sequence of 5′-CGTAATGCTGACGTCATCGC-3′ selected from exon 16 of the human ABCBl1. Transfection of the ABCBl1 targeting vector into SW620/Ad300 cells was performed using Fugene6 transfection reagent (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, SW620/Ad300 cells were seeded in 100 mm dishes with 1 × 10⁶ cells per dish and cultured overnight in DMEM with 10% FBS without antibiotics. Then, 8 μg of plasmid DNA was prepared in 376 μL of Opti-MEM medium and mixed with 24 μL of Fugene6 reagent. After a 30-min incubation at room temperature, the complex was mixed into the cell culture medium and incubated with the cells in a culture incubator for 48 h. At the end of incubation, the transfected cells were rinsed with PBS then incubated with the selection medium containing 1.5 mg/mL G418 for 14 days with the medium changed every 3 days. Nontransfected cells were used as negative controls for the selection process. Single colonies of survived cells were obtained using the limited dilution method and expanded for further study. The knockout of ABCBl1 was further verified by measuring protein expression and mRNA expression levels using Western blotting and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR and immunofluorescence analysis, respectively.

4.4 Western blotting

Cells, after two times of rinse with ice-cold PBS, were lysed by 20-min incubation with a modified NP-40 lysis buffer on ice. The cell lysates were collected from the supernatant generated after 20-min centrifugation with a speed of 12,000 xg at 4°C. The protein concentration was quantified using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). An equal amount of total cell protein was loaded for SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and electrotransferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. A 2 h blocking process for the PVDF membrane using 5% nonfat dried milk was followed before incubation in primary antibodies (1:1000 dilution) of ABCBl1 and GAPDH overnight at 4°C. At the end of incubation with the primary antibodies, the PVDF membrane was washed thoroughly using Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) and incubated in HRP-linked secondary antibody (1:1000 dilution) for 2 h at room temperature. Visualization of the protein bands was conducted using enhanced chemiluminescence.

4.5 Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total mRNA of cells was extracted using Trizol reagent in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. Total RNA concentrations and purity were determined by the optical density (OD) at 260 nm and the ratio of OD260nm/OD280nm. Reverse transcription was performed for cDNA synthesis. Quantitative gene analysis was performed using the fluorescent dye SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The primer sequences for ABCBl1 gene were 5′-ACGTCATCGCTGGTTTCGAT-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTGCATTGTGACAAGTTTGAAGT-3′ (reverse). The primer sequences for GAPDH gene were 5′-CCTCAAGATCATCAGCAATGCC-3′ (forward) and 5′-TCTAGACGGCAGGTCAGGTC-3′ (reverse). The PCR reactions were conducted in Aria Mx Real-Time PCR System (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The mRNA expression of ABCBl1 gene was quantified using the delta-delta Ct method and normalized by the expression of GAPDH gene.

4.6 Immunofluorescence analysis

Immunofluorescence analysis was carried out to further confirm the ABCBl1 expression change in the knockout cells. Cells were prepared for antibody binding by undergoing fixation, permeabilization, and serum blocking processes as previously described. Cells were then incubated with the monoclonal antibody against ABCBl1 (1:1000 dilution) overnight at 4°C followed by incubation with Alexa Flour 488-linked secondary antibody (1:1000 dilution) for 2 h at room temperature. The nuclei of cells were visualized by counterstaining using DAPI. The EVOS FL Auto Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) was used for capturing images with immunofluorescence staining.
4.7 Cytotoxicity and reversal test

The MTT cytotoxicity assay was performed to investigate the drug resistance phenotype of the \(\text{ABCB1}\) knockout cells. A number of \(\text{ABCB1}\) substrate drugs were tested, including doxorubicin, paclitaxel, docetaxel, vincristine, colchicine, mitoxantrone, topotecan, and SN-38.\(^{40,41}\) Cisplatin was used as a non-\(\text{ABCB1}\) substrate control.\(^{42}\) A total of 7000 cells were seeded per well into 96-well plates and cultured overnight. Then, cells were treated with different concentrations of anticancer drugs for 72 h. At the end of treatment, the cell viability was determined by MTT colorimetric assay following the protocol described previously\(^{43}\) and the concentration-response curve was graphed for calculation of the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)). In reversal tests, cells were treated with varying concentrations of anticancer drugs with or without the presence of 3 \(\mu\)M tariquidar, which was added 2 h before the treatment started. MTT assay was conducted at the end of the treatment to measure the reversal effect of tariquidar. A first-generation \(\text{ABCB1}\) inhibitor verapamil and a newly discovered \(\text{ABCB1}\) inhibitor tepotinib,\(^{44}\) were used for supplementary reversal tests in this study.

4.8 \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel accumulation and efflux assay

The accumulation levels of \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel in cells and the drug efflux activity were compared among cells with or without \(\text{ABCB1}\) knockout. Cells were seeded 1 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) cells per well into 24-well plates and were allowed to settle by overnight culture. Then, cells were incubated in a medium containing 10 nM \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel at 37\(\degree\)C for 2 h. When the incubation was completed, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS then incubated in \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel-free medium. Cells were harvested at four time points (0, 30, 60, 120 min) and transferred into scintillation fluid. The measurement of radioactivity was carried out using the Tri-Carb liquid scintillation counter (Packard Instrument Inc., Chicago, IL). The intracellular \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel level at time point 0 was used to determine the accumulation of \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel, and the change in intracellular \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel levels among time points was used for investigating the drug efflux activity.

4.9 Growth and drug sensitivity of 3D MCTSs

Cells were seeded into 1% agarose-coated 96-well plates in a density of 500 cells per well. The images of the MCTSs were taken with an inverted phase-contrast microscope and the diameters of the MCTSs were measured daily for up to 7 days’ culture with medium changed every third day. The sensitivity of MCTSs to paclitaxel was also investigated. The MCTSs were treated with 10 nM paclitaxel at 48 h post-seeding of the cells when the MCTS aggregates formed to approximately 300 to 400 \(\mu\)m in diameter. The images of the MCTSs were taken and the diameters were measured at time points 0, 24, 48, and 72 h.

4.10 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out in the GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Comparisons between mean values of multiple groups were carried out using one-way ANOVA and the subsequent Tukey’s post-hoc test. Repeated measures two-way ANOVA and the subsequent Tukey’s post-hoc test was performed to compare the differences among the groups with measurements at different time points in \([\text{H}]\)-paclitaxel efflux analysis and MCTSs-based assays. A criterion of \(p\) value less than 0.05 is set for statistical significance.
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