Background: Sulforaphane (SFN) is an isothiocyanate compound present in cruciferous vegetables. Although the anti-inflammatory effects of SFN have been reported, the precise mechanism related to the inflammatory genes is poorly understood.

Objectives: This study examined the relationship between the anti-inflammatory effects of SFN and the differential gene expression pattern in SFN treated ob/ob mice.

Methods: Nitric oxide (NO) level was measured using a Griess assay. The inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression levels were analyzed by Western blot analysis. Pro-inflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor [TNF]-α, interleukin [IL]-1β, and IL-6) were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). RNA sequencing analysis was performed to evaluate the differential gene expression in the liver of ob/ob mice.

Results: The SFN treatment significantly attenuated the iNOS and COX-2 expression levels and inhibited NO, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 production in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. RNA sequencing analysis showed that the expression levels of 28 genes related to inflammation were up-regulated (> 2-fold), and six genes were down-regulated (< 0.6-fold) in the control ob/ob mice compared to normal mice. In contrast, the gene expression levels were restored to the normal level by SFN. The protein-protein interaction (PPI) network showed that chemokine ligand (Cxcl14, Ccl1, Ccl3, Ccl4, Ccl17) and chemokine receptor (Ccr3, Cxcr1, Ccr10) were located in close proximity and formed a “functional cluster” in the middle of the network.

Conclusions: The overall results suggest that SFN has a potent anti-inflammatory effect by normalizing the expression levels of the genes related to inflammation that were perturbed in ob/ob mice.
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INTRODUCTION

Inflammation is the most commonly identified condition in the clinical field, which involves protecting the body from infection and tissue damage [1]. Macrophages are one of the...
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major groups of the immune system, which perform a critical role in response to injury and infection [2]. Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) is a key regulatory element in macrophages [3]. The activation of NF-κB is essential for the expression of nitric oxide (NO), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-1β [4]. Cytokines released from the inflammatory tissue disturb the metabolic functions of many organs, including the liver [5]. In particular, chronic inflammation is closely related to the progression of many metabolic diseases, including obesity and insulin resistance [6].

Obesity is associated with low-grade inflammation that causes oxidative stress, which leads to insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [7]. In the present study, ob/ob mice were selected as the appropriate in vivo model because they exhibit severe disturbances of the immune functions [8,9]. In particular, ob/ob mice have high levels of circulating endotoxin, which contributes to the development of inflammation by activating Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling in the liver [8]. The inflammatory state of obesity is the augmented infiltration of T cells and macrophages into the metabolic tissues, including the liver [10]. In addition, ob/ob mice display hepatic lipid accumulation that induces inflammation, leading to NAFLD development [9]. Therefore, the liver of ob/ob mice was used to observe the effects of sulforaphane (SFN) on inflammatory gene expression.

SFN is an isothiocyanate present in cruciferous vegetables, such as cabbage, cauliflower, and broccoli [11]. Glucoraphanin (GRN), one of the main glucosinolates in cruciferous vegetables, is converted to SFN by the gut microbiota-derived myrosinase in both rodents and humans [12]. A previous study found that GRN ameliorates obesity-induced inflammation in high-fat diet-treated obese mice [13]. In addition, bioactivated GRN with myrosinase reduced pro-inflammatory signaling related to a spinal cord injury in an experimental mouse model [14]. The supplementation of GRN-rich broccoli sprout extract reduced inflammatory reactions in endothelial cells [15]. Furthermore, synthetic GRN exhibited anti-inflammatory activity by reducing TNF-α secretion in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated THP-1 cells [16]. Because GRN has an anti-inflammatory effect in vivo and in vitro, the present study used it as a control to compare the anti-inflammatory effect with SFN on both RAW 264.7 cells and ob/ob mice. SFN shows high chemical reactivity because of the electrophilicity of its isothiocyanate group [17]. Previous studies reported that SFN prevents oxidative stress-induced inflammation [18]. Furthermore, the SFN treatment prevented nod-like receptor protein 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome-induced NAFLD in obese mice [19]. Despite the anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects of SFN, its exact mechanism related to the inflammatory genes is not completely understood.

The present study examined the anti-inflammatory activity of SFN on LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells and ob/ob mice. The effects of SFN on the expression levels of pro-inflammatory mediators, such as NO, COX-2, iNOS, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β, were analyzed in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. In addition, the effects of SFN on obesity-related inflammation was identified from the expression levels of genes related to inflammation in ob/ob mouse livers.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

DPPH radical scavenging activity
The antioxidant activity of the SFN was evaluated using stable free radical 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) according to a slight modification of a previously described method [20]. Various concentrations of SFN (Sigma, USA) and GRN (Cayman Chemicals, USA) were diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma) and incubated with ethanolic 0.1 mM DPPH (Sigma) at 37°C for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (Mecasys, Korea). The blanks were prepared by replacing the sample volumes with DMSO. The results were calculated as percentages of the control (100%), and the concentration of extracts required to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50% was expressed as the IC50 value. The radical scavenging ability (%) of the samples was calculated as % inhibition = [(Absink − Absample)/Absink] × 100, where Absink = absorbance of the DPPH without the sample and Absample = absorbance of the SFN or GRN. The antioxidant activity of the SFN is expressed as the ascorbic acid (AA) equivalent antioxidant capacity (AEAC) as AA/100 g dry weight (DW) using the equation, AEAC = IC50 (Ascorbic acid)/IC50 (Sample) × 105.

Measurement of NO production
The murine macrophage RAW 264.7 cell line (KCLB 40071) was purchased from the Korean cell line bank (KCLB, Korea). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and kept at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. After reaching 70–80% confluence, the cells were sub-cultured within two-day intervals. For the experiment, the cells were cultured in 96 well plates. After 24 h incubation, the cells were pretreated with SFN (2.5 and 5 µM) and GRN (5 µM) for 1 h, and then stimulated with LPS (Escherichia coli 0111:B4; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 24 h. The concentration of SFN was determined according to a previous study [21], and the same concentration (5 µM) of GRN was used to compare its activity with SFN. The level of NO production by LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells was determined by measuring the nitrite level in the culture media using Griess reagent (Promega, USA). Briefly, the 50 µL of culture media from each well was mixed with 50 µL of N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NED) and 50 µL of a sulfanilamide solution. After incubating the mixture for 10 min at room temperature, the absorbance was read at 550 nm in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) microplate reader (TECAN, Austria).

ELISA
RAW 264.7 macrophage cells were cultured in 96 well plates (1 × 10^5 cells/well) in DMEM, 10% FBS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. After 24 h of incubation, the cells were pretreated with SFN and GRN and then stimulated with LPS for 24 h. The concentrations of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-β in the culture media were measured using commercially available ELISA kits (Koma Biotech, Korea) according to the manufacture instructions.

Measurement of COX-2 and iNOS protein expression
RAW 264.7 macrophage cells were cultured in six-well plates (1 × 10^6 cells/well) in DMEM, 10% FBS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. After 24 h incubation, the cells were pretreated with SFN and GRN for 1 h and then stimulated with LPS for 24 h. The expression levels of the COX-2 and iNOS proteins were observed by Western blot analysis. The cells were lysed with RIPA buffer containing a protease inhibitor mixture. The supernatant was separated, and the protein concentrations were evaluated using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories,
USA). The equal amount of protein was mixed with 20% of loading buffer and separated by Tris-Glycine-Polyacrylamide, non-sodium dodecyl sulfate precast gel (10%, Koma Biotech) and subjected to Western blot with COX-2 (Sigma), iNOS (Sigma), and β-actin (Thermofisher, USA) antibodies. A Chemi-luminescence Bioimaging Instrument (NeoScience Co., Korea) was used to detect the proteins of interest.

Animals experiments
Male ob/ob mice (6 weeks old) were purchased from Japan SLC Inc. (Japan). The mouse strain was originated from Jackson Laboratory (USA) [22], and was developed to C57BL/6JHamSlc-ob in Japan SLC Inc. (Japan). Male C57BL/6 mice (6 weeks old) supplied by Orient Bio (Korea) were used as the non-obesity control group. The mice were housed at a controlled temperature (24°C ± 1°C) and 50-55% humidity with a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle. All experiments were carried out according to the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Jeju National University (ACUCC; approval No. 2018-0051).

Sample treatment
The ob/ob mice were allocated randomly into three groups (n = 5): control ob/ob group and 2 sample-treated groups were orally administrated SFN (0.5 mg/kg), and GRN (2.5 mg/kg) every day in their drinking water assuming that each mouse drinks 20 mL of water per day. The concentrations of SFN and GRN were selected based on previous studies [23]. The samples were diluted with distilled water, and the mice were given access to water and food ad libitum. The samples were replaced with freshly prepared solutions every day to compensate for the degradative loss of the active compounds. After 6 weeks of sample treatment, the mice were fasted overnight and sacrificed. The liver tissues were collected and stored at −80°C for further experiments.

Measurement of triglyceride (TG) content in liver tissues of ob/ob mice
Hepatic TG contents were quantified using a commercially available TG colorimetric assay kit (BioAssay Systems, USA). Briefly, the liver tissues were homogenized with 5% Triton X-100 (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and centrifuged at 13,000 ×g for 10 min to separate the fat layer. The TG and protein contents of the diluted supernatants were analyzed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The protein concentration of each sample was measured using a Bio-Rad DC protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The TG contents were normalized to the respective protein concentration, employing bovine serum albumin (Sigma) as the calibration standard.

Total RNA isolation, library preparation, sequencing, and data analysis
The total RNA was purified from liver tissues using an Easy-blue RNA extraction kit (iNTRON Biotechnology, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA quality and quantity were analyzed on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 Nano Chip (Agilent Technologies, Netherlands) and ND-2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermofisher), respectively. The control and test RNA libraries were constructed using Ouantseq 3′ mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit (Lexogen, Austria). Briefly, 500 ng of the total RNA was prepared, and an oligo-dT primer containing an Illumina-compatible sequence at its 5’ end was hybridized to the RNA. Reverse transcription was then performed. Following degradation of the RNA template, complementary strand synthesis was initiated by a random primer containing an Illumina-compatible linker sequence at its 5’ end. Magnetic beads were used to eliminate all
the reaction components. The library was amplified to add the complete adaptor sequences required for cluster generation. The constructed library was purified from the polymerase chain reaction mixture. High-throughput sequencing was performed as single-end 75 sequencings using Illumina NextSeq 500 (Illumina, USA). The QuantSeq 3′ mRNA-Seq reads were aligned using Bowtie2 version 2.1.0. The Bowtie2 indices were either generated from representative transcript sequences or the genome assembly sequence to align with the transcriptome and genome. The alignments were used to assemble transcripts, estimating their abundances, and detecting differential expression of genes. The differentially expressed genes were determined based on the counts from unique and multiple alignments using R version 3.2.2 and Bioconductor version 3.0. The Read count (RT) data were analyzed based on the Quantile normalization method using the Genowiz™ version 4.0.5.6 (Ocimum Biosolutions, India). The PPI network was analyzed using the STRING application tool. Cytoscape (version 2.7), a bioinformatics platform at the Institute of System Biology, USA, was used to construct the network diagrams. Gene classification was performed using the Medline database (National Center for Biotechnology Information, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as the means ± SE of three independent experiments. The data were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Ver.17.0; USA). The statistical differences between the groups were observed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Turkey’s test. The \( p < 0.05 \), \( p < 0.005 \), and \( p < 0.0005 \) indicate statistically significant differences from the control group.

**RESULTS**

**DPPH radical scavenging activity of SFN**

The antioxidant activity of the SFN was evaluated based on the DPPH assay. According to the results, the DPPH free radical scavenging activity was increased significantly \( (p < 0.0005) \) by SFN. In contrast, GRN did not exhibit any free radical scavenging activity compared to the control (Fig. 1). SFN showed up to 58% radical scavenging activity with 500 µg/mL SFN. The calculated IC\(_{50}\) values of SFN and GRN were 405.79 ± 14.6 and 4163.5 ± 167 µg/mL, respectively (Table 1). In addition, the AEAC of SFN and GRN was 2,557.97 ± 89.08 and

![Graph showing DPPH radical scavenging activity of SFN and GRN](https://vetsci.org)

**Fig. 1.** DPPH radical scavenging activity of SFN. The results are the means of ± SE for three independent experiments. DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; SFN, sulforaphane; GRN, glucoraphanin. ***** \( p < 0.0005 \) compared to the control.
249.309 ± 8.22 mg AA/100g, respectively (Table 1). These results indicate that SFN showed antioxidant potential through the free radical scavenging.

Inhibition of LPS-stimulated NO production by SFN

To examine the effects of SFN on LPS-stimulated NO production, the amount of NO released from the cells was determined using a Griess assay. In addition, the cell morphology was observed to ensure the experiments were performed under the right conditions. As shown in Fig. 2A, the morphologies of the RAW 264.7 cells were not changed after treating the SFN and GRN with LPS compared to the control cells. The results suggested that both SFN and GRN used in this study do not alter the RAW 264.7 cell morphology. Based on the Griess assay results, NO production was increased significantly after the LPS treatment in RAW 264.7 cells compared to the normal cells (Fig. 2B). The treatment of SFN inhibited LPS-stimulated NO production.
production in a concentration-dependent manner, whereas the GRN treatment showed no significant change in NO production. The inhibition level of LPS-stimulated NO production was decreased significantly to 42% and 78% at 2.5 µM and 5 µM of SFN compared to the LPS-treated control cells. The result confirmed that SFN inhibited NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

**Effects of SFN on COX-2 and iNOS expression in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells**
The inhibitory effect of SFN on the expression levels of the COX-2 and iNOS proteins in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells were investigated by Western blot analysis. The cells treated with SFN showed significant decreases in the levels of COX-2 and iNOS compared to the LPS treated control cells. In contrast, the GRN-treated cells did not significantly affect COX-2 or iNOS expression (Fig. 3) compared to the control cells. The results suggest that SFN regulates inflammation by inhibiting iNOS and COX-2 in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

**Inhibitory effects of SFN on TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β production**
The effects of SFN on the LPS-stimulated pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β production were investigated in RAW 264.7 cells. Treatment of SFN showed significant inhibition ($p < 0.05$) of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β production. In contrast, GRN did not significantly affect the production of those cytokines in LPS-stimulated RAW cells (Fig. 4). SFN inhibited the LPS-stimulated TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β production by 32%, 31%, 53%, respectively, at 5 µM compared to the control. These results confirmed that SFN inhibits the pro-inflammatory cytokines in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

**Effect of SFN on TG accumulation in ob/ob mice liver**
Because excessive TG accumulation is a key factor in liver inflammation, the present study showed the hepatic TG content in ob/ob mice. Based on the results, the ob/ob mouse livers showed higher levels of TG accumulation than the normal mouse liver (Fig. 5). In particular,
the ob/ob mice treated with both SFN and GRN showed 24% and 32% lower hepatic TG contents, respectively, compared to the control ob/ob mice. These results suggest that SFN reduces hepatic TG accumulation, which might normalize liver inflammation in ob/ob mice.

**Differential gene expression analysis of ob/ob mice liver**

RNA sequencing analysis was performed to observe the effects of SFN on the expression levels of the genes related to inflammation in ob/ob mice. The functional annotation of the genes was evaluated by Gene Ontology (GO) analysis. As shown in Fig. 6, a large portion of the genes related to inflammation was up- or down-regulated in the SFN and GRN-treated ob/ob mice compared to normal mice. The up-regulated (> 2-fold) 28 genes, including Ccl1, Ccl4, Cxcr1, Ccr3, and Ifng, and 6 down-regulated (lower than 0.6-fold) genes, including Fn1, Itgb2l, Pik3cd, and Adora2a, were normalized to the control level by the SFN treatment (Tables 2 and 3). The PPI network was constructed using the STRING analysis to understand the relationship between normalized genes by SFN. The PPI network was visualized as nodes (genes) and edges (interactions between the genes), as shown in Fig. 7. Among the proteins related to inflammation, the chemokine ligands (Ccl1, Ccl4, Ccl3, and Ccl17) and chemokine receptors (Cxcr1, Ccr3, and Ccr10) were closely associated and formed a large “functional
cluster” in the middle of the network (Fig. 7). The present results confirmed that SFN restored the expression levels of the genes related to inflammation to the normal level, which were up or down-regulated in ob/ob mice.

**Fig. 6.** Effect of SFN on differential gene expression in ob/ob mice liver. GO analysis of control ob/ob mice compared to the normal mice (A); SFN treated ob/ob mice compared to the normal mice (B), GRN-treated ob/ob mice compared to the normal mice (C) (The pie chart indicates functional categorization of the differentially expressed genes in ob/ob mice liver, and the bar graph represents the number of genes up and down-regulated).

SFN, sulforaphane; GO, Gene Ontology; GRN, glucoraphanin; NF, nuclear factor.
DISCUSSION

This study examined the anti-inflammatory effects of SFN on LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. The results showed that SFN increased the DPPH free radical scavenging activity. In addition, SFN suppressed the expression of iNOS, COX-2, and pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β) in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. In particular, SFN showed anti-inflammatory effects by normalizing the expression of the genes related to inflammation, including chemokine ligands (Cxcl14, Ccl1, Ccl3, Ccl4, and Ccl17) and chemokine receptors (Ccr1, Ccr3, and Ccr10), which were perturbed in ob/ob mice liver.

In the present study, SFN showed antioxidant potential through a DPPH assay in a concentration-dependent manner. Previous studies reported that isothiocyanates extracted from broccoli were strongly associated with the DPPH radical scavenging activity [24].

| Gene | ob/ob/normal | SFN/normal | GRN/normal | Gene name |
|------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Gpx2 | 16.245       | 0.951      | 0.954      | Glutathione peroxidase 2 |
| Alox5 | 10.686       | 0.944      | 0.947      | Arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase |
| Cst7 | 7.309        | 1.750      | 1.889      | Cystatin F (Leukocystatin) |
| Fosf | 7.358        | 0.968      | 0.969      | Fucosyltransferase 7 |
| Zfr2 | 7.220        | 0.955      | 0.958      | Zinc finger RNA binding protein 2 |
| Nqo1 | 6.633        | 2.785      | 3.459      | NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, quinone 1 |
| Dcst1 | 6.488        | 0.973      | 1.956      | DC-STAMP domain containing 1 |
| Eomes | 4.736        | 0.987      | 0.988      | Eomesodermin |
| Ccl7 | 4.091        | 0.896      | 0.902      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 17 |
| Ifng | 3.785        | 0.987      | 0.988      | Interferon gamma |
| Ccl1 | 3.728        | 2.094      | 1.991      | PDGF, B polypeptide |
| Pdgfb | 3.729        | 1.022      | 0.980      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 1 |
| Ccr1 | 3.728        | 0.987      | 0.988      | Interferon gamma |
| Ccl3 | 3.572        | 1.592      | 0.956      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 1 |
| Ccr3 | 3.231        | 1.448      | 1.255      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 14 |
| Lgals3 | 3.231        | 1.061      | 0.811      | Lectin, galactose binding, soluble 3 |
| Tnfrsf14 | 2.852      | 0.990      | 0.991      | Tnf receptor superfamily, member 14 |
| Relb | 2.769        | 1.023      | 0.638      | RELB, v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog B |
| Ccl4 | 2.710        | 1.114      | 1.672      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 4 |
| Tlr13 | 2.690        | 0.926      | 0.727      | Toll-like receptor 13 |
| Ccr10 | 2.589        | 0.933      | 1.881      | Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 10 |
| Ccr3 | 2.403        | 0.216      | 0.217      | Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 3 |
| Myc | 2.303        | 1.236      | 0.776      | Myelocytomatosis oncogene |
| Ppp1 | 2.293        | 1.287      | 0.303      | Proteolipid protein (myelin) 1 |
| Ppmyr14 | 2.237       | 1.280      | 2.261      | Purinergic receptor P2Y, 14 |
| Sqqsm1 | 2.168        | 1.280      | 1.039      | Sequestosome 1 |
| Ccl3 | 2.046        | 1.141      | 0.313      | Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 3 |
| Ikke | 1.996        | 0.961      | 0.733      | Inhibitor of kappab kinase epsilon |

SFN, sulforaphane; GRN, glucoraphanin; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; RELB, v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog B.

| Gene | ob/ob/normal | SFN/normal | GRN/normal | Gene name |
|------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Tspan2 | 0.416        | 1.101      | 0.376      | Tetraspanin 2 |
| F1n1 | 0.508        | 0.918      | 0.629      | Fibronectin 1 |
| Tncam1 | 0.533        | 1.181      | 0.698      | Toll-like receptor adaptor molecule 1 |
| Plik3cd | 0.544        | 0.907      | 0.352      | PI3K catalytic delta polypeptide |
| Itgb2a | 0.568        | 0.962      | 1.488      | Integrin beta 2-like |
| Adora2a | 0.595        | 0.988      | 0.761      | Adenosine A2a receptor |

SFN, sulforaphane; GRN, glucoraphanin; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3-kinase.
Similarly, in the present study, SFN had potent free radical scavenging activity compared to the GRN. Because oxidative stress induces inflammation [25], the antioxidant activity of SFN might improve the healing procedure of inflammation.

In this study, SFN inhibited the levels of COX-2 and iNOS expression in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells, with concomitant decreases in NO production. The production of NO by iNOS leads to the activation of macrophages against microorganisms [26]. On the other hand, overexpression of NO, a key biomarker of oxidative stress, causes oxidative damage during the inflammatory process [27]. COX-2 is involved in the production of prostaglandins, which causes an increase in chemotaxis, blood flow, and subsequent dysfunction of tissues during inflammation [28]. The previous study reported that SFN enriched broccoli florets extract suppressed NO production and iNOS expression by inhibiting the NF-κB activity in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [29]. In addition, SFN decreased the levels of COX-2 and prostaglandin E2 in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells [30]. Consistent with previous findings, the results confirmed that the biological activity of SFN is firmly attributed to the inhibitory effects on COX-2, iNOS, and NO production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. In addition, GRN, without enzymatic conversion to SFN, did not show significant anti-inflammatory effects on LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells compared to SFN.

In the present study, SFN inhibited the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. A recent study reported that SFN inhibited the production of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β through activation of the Nrf2/HO-1 pathway and inhibition of the JNK/AP-1/NF-κB pathway in LPS-activated microglia [31]. Therefore the anti-inflammatory effects of SFN might result from the inhibitory effects on the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells.

In the present study, RNA sequencing analysis was conducted to reveal the molecular mechanism underlying the anti-inflammatory effect of SFN on the obesity-induced inflammation in ob/ob mice. The gene expression results showed that SFN normalized the...
expression levels of up-regulated genes related to the inflammation, including Cxcl14, Ccl1, Ccl3, Ccl4, Ccl17, Cxcr1, Ccr3, Ccr10, and Ifng genes in the ob/ob mice liver. Cxcl14 mediated leukocyte migration and differentiation [32]. In addition, Cxcl14 involves the obesity-associated infiltration of macrophages into tissues and hepatic steatosis in obese mice [33]. Ccl1 acts as a chemoattractant for monocytes, immature B cells, and dendritic cells [34]. The inhibition of Ccl1 reduces liver inflammation and fibrosis progression [35]. Ccl3 promotes the recruitment of CD4+ T cells to the liver, and increased Ccl3 expression was observed in the patient with liver injury [36]. Ccl17 shows chemotactic activity for CD4+ T cells and plays a role in trafficking and activation of mature T cells [37]. Furthermore, chemokine receptors (Ccr1, Ccr10, and Ccr3) interact with their specific chemokine ligands, which cause various cell responses, such as chemotaxis [38]. In the liver, IFN-γ activates resident macrophages and stimulates hepatocyte apoptosis by increasing ROS production [39].

Remarkably, in the present study, chemokine ligands (Cxcl14, Ccl1, Ccl3, Ccl4, and Ccl17) and chemokine receptors (Cxcr1, Ccr3, and Ccr10) were identified as hub genes because they formed a “functional cluster” within the PPI network. These results suggest that chemokine proteins might play key roles in the obesity-induced inflammation in ob/ob mouse liver. Therefore, the treatment of SFN can normalize liver inflammation by normalizing the up-regulated genes related to chemokines in ob/ob mice liver.

In addition, the current results showed that SFN normalized the down-regulated genes related to inflammation, including Fn1, Itgb21, and Pik3cd in ob/ob mice liver. Fn1 produces soluble plasma fibronectin-1, which is involved mainly in blood clotting, wound healing, and protects against excessive liver fibrosis [40]. Itgb2 encodes CD18, which inhibits the capability of the immune system to fight off infection [41]. Pik3cd regulates the immune cell metabolism through the PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway [42]. These findings suggest that downregulation of Fn1, Itgb21, and Pik3cd genes might involve the progress of inflammation by dysregulating immune responses in the ob/ob mouse liver.

In conclusion, SFN has a potent anti-inflammatory activity, as demonstrated by its ability to inhibit the NO, COX-2, iNOS, and pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β) in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. In particular, gene expression analysis showed that SFN restores the obesity-induced inflammation by normalizing the genes related to chemokine signaling, including chemokine ligands (Cxcl14, Ccl1, Ccl3, Ccl4, and Ccl17) and chemokine receptors (Cxcr1, Ccr3, and Ccr10) in ob/ob mouse livers. Overall, SFN has a potent anti-inflammatory effect by normalizing the expression levels of the genes related to inflammation that had been perturbed in ob/ob mice.
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