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**ABSTRACT**

Microplastic has been known to contaminate our marine environment. This research aim was to determine the abundance of microplastic in mangrove periwinkle snail *Littoraria scabra* and mangrove crab *Metopograpsus quadridentata* in Pramuka Island, Jakarta Bay, Indonesia. Pramuka Island was chosen as the research site because this island is densely populated and received waste from Jakarta Bay. Samples of mangrove snails were taken by purposive random sampling method of 10 individuals with shell lengths ranging from 1.5 to 2.7 cm, and 9 individuals crab with circa 6 cm carapace wide were collected. Analysis of microplastic abundance was done by isolating microplastic in each sample. Isolation in the sample was done by dissolving tissue of animals in a 65% nitric acid (HNO$_3$) solution for 24 h and sodium chloride (NaCl) was added to increase density of solution. Sample was observed under microscope. The results obtained 3 types of microplastic found in the body of snail (fiber, film, and fragment) and 4 types in crab (fiber, film, fragment, and granula). Fiber has the highest percentage in snail (66.89%) and crab (68.72%). The average of microplastic abundance were 86.88 particles/individual in snail and 327.56 particles/individual in crab, respectively. This result indicated that the coastal area of Pramuka Island has been polluted by the microplastics. There was positive correlation between microplastic abundance and the body weight of snail (r=0.9778), and body weight of crab (r=0.9193).
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**INTRODUCTION**

Marine debris becomes a serious problem that is being faced by the entire world community, including other organisms. Marine debris is generally the result of human activities in the form of solid materials that are intentionally or unintentionally disposed in the marine environment (CSIRO 2014). The dominant type of waste in the ocean is plastic (Dias & Lovejoy 2012). In smaller sizes, microplastic is the most common measure of plastic waste...
found in the ocean (Tanaka & Takada 2016). Microplastic is a plastic synthetic polymer that have variations in chemical composition, size (ranging from 1 - 5 mm), density, and shape (Andrady 2011). Microplastic originates from the degradation (plastic objects into smaller fragments) (Andrady 2011; Cole et al. 2013). Fragmentation of plastics in the ocean occurred through photodegradation, the effects of physical degradation, as well as other processes, and produces large amounts of plastic particles. In addition to several factors mentioned, plastic waste is also easily fragmented if the density is low. Low density plastics caused floating plastics and are exposed directly to UV and air (Teuten 2009).

Microplastic as waste is divided into two based on the source, namely primary and secondary microplastic. Primary microplastic is in the form of grains of plastic particles that have been produced in small size. Meanwhile, secondary microplastic is the result of fragmentation of larger plastics (Tanaka & Takada 2016). Primary microplastic waste sources for example came from beauty products, and generally made of polymers such as polyethylene, polystyrene, and polypropylene (Gregory 1996). Secondary microplastic waste sources came from industrial raw materials, household waste, fishing nets, synthetic fibers, and other weathering products of plastic products. Most type of microplastic waste in the ocean are secondary microplastic (Tanaka & Takada 2016).

Pramuka Island is located in the cluster of Seribu Islands, Jakarta, and it is highly vulnerable to be contaminated by marine debris such as plastic. This was proven during the collection of garbage from the coastal areas around Pramuka Island, Panggang Island, and Karya Island which was as much as 142 kg, and 69% of the total waste was plastic waste (Kementerian Koordinat Maritim 2017). According to the source, the waste in the Seribu Islands comes from the inhabitants of the archipelago itself and from outside the island (Sahwan 2004). Based on research conducted by LIPI Oceanographic Research Center, Seribu Islands receive debris through 13 rivers that empties into the Jakarta Bay (LIPI 2009).

Plastic waste from Jakarta Bay is spread through the flow of water and food chain, therefore, it can get to the cluster of Seribu Islands. Microplastic can pollute the environment and disturb the health of marine biota if ingested. Lots of marine animals that could contaminated with microplastics includes protists, zooplankton, annelids, echinodermata, cnidarian, amphipod, decapod, isopod, bivalve, mollusc, cephalopod, barnacle, sea turtle, bird, and fish (Andrady 2011). Some of the animals that lived in mangroves ecosystems such as small fish, zooplankton, bivalve, snail and crab could be contaminated with microplastics too (Green 2016).

Marine biota can feed on microplastic both directly and through the food chain by eating smaller biota that already contained microplastic (Dias & Lovejoy 2012). With its small size, microplastic can be accidentally ingested by marine organisms. For example, microplastic pellets can carry heavy metals such as Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, and Pb (Holmes 2013). If microplastic particles accumulated in large amounts in the body of a snail or crab, then the microplastic can clog the digestive tract thus blocking the absorption of nutrients (Browne et al 2013; Wright 2013).

Mangrove periwinkle snail *Littoraria scabra* and mangrove crab *Metopograpsus quadridentata* are the important gastropods and crustacean in the mangrove ecosystem. Mangrove snails have a grazer diet, therefore this species has an important role in the food chain in the mangrove ecosystem (Tupan 2009), whereas the crab belongs to omnivore benthic fauna. The purpose of this research was to investigate microplastic abundance in mangrove periwinkle snail, mangrove crab and the correlation between microplastic abundance and body weight of each biota in mangrove ecosystem at Pramuka Island. The two animals are indeed not eaten by humans, but they are as a link between lower-level consumers and those above, because the predators of these animals are cephalopods and fish.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**LOCATION AND TIME**

Data was collected at mangrove area, south side of Pramuka Island, Seribu Islands, Jakarta Bay, on April 14, 2018, with location coordinate 5° 44’ 54.5” S 106° 36’ 50.4” E (Figure 1). The samples were processed at Marine Biology Laboratory, Department of Biology, Universitas Indonesia, Depok.

**METHODS**

Samples of mangrove snails *L. scabra* and mangrove crab *M. quadridentata* were taken by a purposive random sampling method. Ten mangrove snails with relatively the same size (shell length 1.5 - 2.7 cm) and nine mangrove crab *M. quadridentata* were collected from the mangrove area. Furthermore, the samples were immersed in a glass bottle containing 70% alcohol.

The snail and crab samples were weighed. The body tissue of snail was insulated from the inside of the shell using tweezers. The snail and crab body tissue were destroyed using 3 mL of *HNO*$_3$ (65%) solution (ratio of snails and *HNO*$_3$ 1:5) in beaker glass, covered with aluminium foil, then placed for 24 h in the acid chamber. The result of the bath was diluted 10 times using...
aquadest and NaCl was added until the solution becomes saturated. 1 mL of resulted dilution were then pipetted into the counting chamber and then analyzed under a microscope to determine the presence and abundance of microplastic. Type of microplastic was grouped into fiber, film, fragment and granula (Ningrum & Patria 2019).

The data that have been obtained were then analyzed using the correlation and regression equation formula (MS Excel) to determine the correlation between microplastic abundance in biota and the body weight.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TYPE AND AMOUNT OF MICROPLASTIC

Fiber, film, and fragment of microplastic were found in the periwinkle snails, while fiber, film, fragment, and granula were recorded in the mangrove crab. The highest percentage of microplastic type in snail was fiber (66.89%) followed by film (32.45%) and fragment (0.66) type (Table 1 & Figure 2). The composition of microplastic type was no different in crabs (Table 2 & Figure 3), and the highest percentage recorded was the fiber (68.72%).

| Samples | Body weight | Fiber | Film | Fragment | Total | particles/ind | particles/g |
|---------|-------------|-------|------|----------|-------|---------------|-------------|
| 1       | 1.2         | 80    | 35   | 1        | 116   | 96.67         |
| 2       | 1           | 41    | 50   | 1        | 92    | 92.00         |
| 3       | 0.6         | 40    | 11   | 0        | 51    | 85.00         |
| 4       | 0.7         | 40    | 27   | 0        | 67    | 95.71         |
| 5       | 1           | 55    | 30   | 1        | 86    | 86.00         |
| 6       | 1           | 68    | 30   | 0        | 98    | 98.00         |
| 7       | 0.6         | 28    | 12   | 1        | 41    | 68.33         |
| 8       | 0.8         | 52    | 19   | 0        | 71    | 88.75         |
| 9       | 0.6         | 29    | 9    | 0        | 38    | 63.33         |
| 10      | 1           | 72    | 22   | 1        | 95    | 95.00         |
| Total   | 8.5         | 505   | 245  | 5        | 755   | 868.80        |
| Average | 0.85        | 50.5  | 24.5 | 0.5      | 75.5  | 86.88         |
| %       | 66.89       | 32.45 | 0.66 | 100      | 100   |               |
The average of microplastic abundance in the snail and crab were 75.5 and 327.56 particles/individual, respectively. If the data were presented in particle per gram body weight, we found for the snail 86.88 particles/g and for the crab 33 particles/g (Tables 1 & 2).

The microplastic abundance increases along with increasing body weight of biota. We used the regression correlation analysis, the result showed (Figures 4 & 5), there were significant positive correlation between microplastic abundance with the body weight of snail \( (r=0.9770) \) and body weight of crab \( (r=0.9139) \).

![Composition of microplastic type (%) in the periwinkle snail L. scabra](image)

**TABLE 2. Abundance of microplastic in mangrove crab M. quadridentata**

| Samples | Body weight | Abundant of microplastic in Crab | particles/ind | particles/g |
|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|
|         |             | Fiber  | Film  | Fragment | Granula | Total |               |            |
| 1       | 8,36        | 170    | 198   | 3        | 4       | 375   | 44,86          |
| 2       | 8,63        | 262    | 57    | 5        | 0       | 324   | 37,54          |
| 3       | 7,59        | 153    | 82    | 3        | 5       | 243   | 32,02          |
| 4       | 18,94       | 386    | 179   | 1        | 0       | 566   | 29,88          |
| 5       | 11,3        | 272    | 57    | 5        | 0       | 334   | 29,56          |
| 6       | 14,03       | 455    | 75    | 0        | 9       | 539   | 38,42          |
| 7       | 6,95        | 78     | 69    | 1        | 3       | 151   | 21,73          |
| 8       | 7,42        | 154    | 87    | 3        | 11      | 255   | 34,37          |
| 9       | 5,63        | 96     | 61    | 0        | 4       | 161   | 28,60          |
| Total   |             | 2026   | 865   | 21       | 36      | 2948  | 296,96         |
| Average |             | 225,11 | 96,11 | 2,33     | 4,00    | 327,56| 33,00          |
| %       |             | 68,72  | 29,34 | 0,71     | 1,22    | 100,00| 100,00         |
FIGURE 3. Composition of microplastic type (%) in the mangrove crab *M. quadridentata*

FIGURE 4. Linear regression of microplastic abundance with body weight of periwinkle snail *L. scabra*

\[ Y = -24.8 + 118X \]
R square = 0.95
Multiple R = 0.9770

FIGURE 5. Linear regression of microplastic abundance with body weight of mangrove crab *M. quadridentata* (g)

\[ Y = 11.91 + 31.97X \]
R square = 0.83
Multiple R = 0.9139
DISCUSSION

We found four types of microplastics in the crab samples, namely fibers, fragments, films, and granules, although in snail samples, we did not find granules type. Fiber was the most dominant microplastic type found in the snail and crab. Fiber is a degradable plastic waste in the form of yarn or fiber. Generally, fiber comes from fishing lines and ship mines as well as synthetic fibers (Pirc et al. 2016). Pramuka Island is a densely populated island and active as an ecotourism area makes a lot of activities that can be done such as snorkeling or cruising the island by boat and fishing. The fibers type of microplastic known could be released from textiles and garments such as clothes (Pirc et al. 2016). The fiber are known to remain for a longer period of time on the surface of the water because of their relatively low densities while fragments and granules with higher densities tend to sink (Lie et al. 2018). Moreover, the films type of microplastics found in sample could be from degradation and fragmentation plastic debris such as plastic bottles, plastic bags, and plastic bowl (Sahwan 2004).

According to Alfaro (2007), the periwinkle snail L. scabra can digest many variations of food sources such as foliose macrophyte, filament algae, mangrove tree tissue, microalgae, bacterial, and zooplankton. This shows the microplastic that goes into the body of the mangrove snail through the food intermediaries it obtains. For example, snails eat zooplankton and microalgae that contaminated by microplastic. The microplastic can easily enter into the body of the snail because of its small size, making it difficult to distinguish the food particles (Browne et al. 2011). Some informations about the microplastic abundance in the snail in Indonesia was published. The mangrove snail Cerithidea obtusa in Pangkal Babu, Jambi, Sumatera, contained 167 particles microplastic/individual (Fitri & Patria 2019). Al-Hamra and Patria (2019) reported that microplastic abundance in the gonggong snail Laevistrombus turturella in Bintan Island, Riau Islands was between 360 - 628 particles/individual. Our results showed the mangrove snail L. scabra contained lower microplastic abundance, because the size of the snail was smaller than the other references. The larger the animal, the more microplastic it contains, which can be seen in Figure 4.

The presence of microplastics in mangrove crab M. quadridentata very influenced by the surrounding condition in its habitat and on what it was consumed. Known that M. quadridentata could eat plankton, fish, shrimp, mollusc, and mangrove leaves. This behavior was due to M. quadridentata that identified as an omnivorous-scavenger animal (Rosmaniar 2008).

The omnivorous-scavenger animal was a consumption classification for animals that have the capability to obtain chemical energy and nutrients from materials originating from plant and animal, even the dead ones. If microplastics could be ingested into the crab, probably the prey of this mangrove crab has contaminated with microplastics. Besides sourced from it’s prey, microplastic could get into mangrove crab by the sea water that inhaled through the respiratory system. Sea water that already contaminated with microplastics could easily get into the body through the gills and trapped in crab body (Brennecke et al. 2015).

Piarulli et al. (2019) reported that crab Carcinus aestuarii in the Adriatic Sea contained 1 - 117 particle microplastic in the digestive tract. We found a number of microplastic three times higher, maybe our crab live in the more polluted area, because the Pramuka Island is inhabited by dense fishermen population.

Known from several studies before, more than 250 taxa of marine animals, including 32 species of marine invertebrates, polluted by microplastics in the ocean (Goldstein & Goodwin 2013). Microplastics was known to be able to get into the body of some crustaceans such as Nephrops norvegicus (Murray & Cowie 2011), Talitrus saltator (Ugolini et al. 2013), Crangon crangon (Devriese et al. 2015), and Eriocheir sinensis (Wójcik-Fudalewska et al. 2016). In the study that was done by Welden and Cowie (2016) about the long-term effects of microplastics exposure to prawn Nephrops norvegicus, known that microplastics exposed to the prawn’s feed for approximately 8 months could causing a decrease in the body’s nutrients of prawn. Moreover, exposure of microplastics in the long-term could reduce the stability of the population and affects the quality of life of Nephrops norvegicus. Decrease of nutrients that occurs could be caused due to the damage of the digestive tract by exposure microplastics which affect the efficiency of digestion. Watts et al. (2015) investigated effect of microfibers in the crab Carcinus maenas. They found that the crab showed reduced food consumption and a significant reduction in energy available for growth. Effect of the microfiber in the Pacific mole crabs (Emerita analoga) had increased adult crab mortality, and decreased retention of egg clutches, and varied embryonic development rates (Horn et al. 2020). According to Figures 4 and 5, it was known that microplastic abundance in snails and crab has a positive correlation with body weight. This is because the heavier the animal’s bodies, more food to eat.

CONCLUSION

Periwinkle snail L. scabra and crab M. quadridentata in mangrove ecosystem of Pramuka Island, proven to be contaminated by microplastic. The microplastic type was found in fiber, film, fragment, and granule. The fiber type has the highest abundance. There was a positive correlation between microplastic abundance with the body weight of snail and crab.
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