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**Abstract**

**Background:** Ascochyta blight caused by *Ascochyta fabae* Speg. and broomrape (*Orobanche crenata*) are among the economically most significant pathogens of faba bean. Several QTLs conferring resistance against the two pathogens have been identified and validated in different genetic backgrounds. The aim of this study was to saturate the most stable QTLs for ascochyta and broomrape resistance in two Recombinant Inbred Line (RIL) populations, 29H x Vf136 and Vf6 x Vf136, to identify candidate genes conferring resistance against these two pathogens.

**Results:** We exploited the synteny between faba bean and the model species *Medicago truncatula* by selecting a set of 219 genes encoding putative WRKY transcription factors and defense related proteins falling within the target QTL intervals, for genotyping and marker saturation in the two RIL populations. Seventy and 50 of the candidate genes could be mapped in 29H x Vf136 and Vf6 x Vf136, respectively. Besides the strong reduction of the QTL intervals, the mapping process allowed replacing previous dominant and pedigree-specific RAPD flanking markers with robust and transferrable SNP markers, revealing promising candidates for resistance against the two pathogens.

**Conclusions:** Although further efforts in association mapping and expression studies will be required to corroborate the candidate genes for resistance, the fine-mapping approach proposed here increases the genetic resolution of relevant QTL regions and paves the way for an efficient deployment of useful alleles for faba bean ascochyta and broomrape resistance through marker-assisted breeding.
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**Background**

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is one of the most important legume crops known for its high-yield potential and seed protein content. Also referred to as horse bean or broad bean, faba bean is a common staple food in Mediterranean countries, India, Pakistan and China. Faba bean is today the fifth most widely grown cool season legume [1] and is well suited for sustainable farming practices in temperate or cool environments. One of the key environmental benefits of faba bean is the capacity to establish symbiosis with *Rhizobium* bacteria resulting in biological nitrogen fixation that improves soil fertility and reduces the input of fertilizers. Faba bean cultivation in intensive cereal-dominated crop rotations further provides a break of disease cycles and allows efficient control of weeds. Moreover, the crop supplies multiple ecological and environmental services by hosting associated organisms such as wild insects and soil microbes that can positively affect the sustainability of agroecosystems.

Despite the ability of this species to adapt to diverse climates, faba bean yield remains unstable due to susceptibility to pests and diseases that reduce crop production...
and affect the commercialization of the seeds. Ascochyta blight is a major foliar necrotrophic fungal disease, and together with the parasitic weed Orobanche spp. ranks among the main constraints for faba bean production.

Ascochyta blight is widespread and of economic concern in mild and wet weather conditions. The causal agent Ascochyta fabae Speg. (teleomorph Didymella fabae Jellis and Punithalingam) produces lesions on leaves, stems and pods lesions which may also spread to the seed. Foliar infections can lead to yield losses ranging between 30 and 90%, which can be further aggravated by seed staining and mold resulting in a failure to meet requirements in export markets [2–5]. Although ascochyta blight can be controlled by fungicides, the economically most viable and environmentally sustainable method of control is the development of disease resistant varieties. On the other hand, broomrape (Orobanche crenata) represents the major constraint for faba bean cultivation in the Mediterranean basin and Middle East. This root holoparasite attaches to the roots of the host plant to take water and nutrients, causing losses in crop yield that can reach up to 100% depending on infection severity and the sowing date [6]. The only effective way to control parasitic weeds is an integrated approach including cultural practices such as delayed sowing, hand weeding, no-tillage, nitrogen fertilization, intercropping or rotations [7]. However, the major control methods currently available to farmers are the use of resistant varieties and chemical control, although both have their limitations.

Disease resistances are difficult traits in terms of genetics and breeding due to the often polygenic inheritance and the complexity of the disease evaluation. Previous studies identified and validated stable quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for A. fabae and Orobanche resistance in different faba bean genetic backgrounds and populations [8–13]. However, the resolution of the genetic maps remains low, thus limiting the accuracy of QTL mapping and the application of marker assisted selection (MAS) approaches.

Fine mapping and discovery of candidate genes underlying ascochyta and broomrape resistance are important for modern faba bean breeding, but the enormous size (13 Gb) and repetitive nature of the faba bean genome hampers sequence assembly/annotation and the development of high-density maps, making it very difficult to pinpoint loci responsible for specific traits. Recently, innovative strategies such as synteny analysis, translational genomics or transcriptome sequencing have been used for fine mapping of key QTL regions. For example, transcriptome profiling during ascochyta infection of faba bean provided gene-based SNPs that were applied towards targeted genomic studies on disease resistance/tolerance [14, 15]. Using the SuperSAGE technique, jasmonic acid (JA) signaling and pectin esterase-encoding genes were identified [14]. A subsequent de novo transcriptome assembly identified transcripts, such as LRR proteins and Rho2 GTPase-activating protein 2 (RGA2), that were significantly linked to susceptible and resistant interactions between faba bean and A. fabae [15]. In a more recent study [16], 92 SNPs derived from faba bean transcripts differentially expressed during ascochyta infection were mapped together with a set of pathogenesis related proteins in the recombinant inbred line (RIL) population of a cross between the lines 29H and Vf136. The new SNP markers map within or nearby the most stable QTLs intervals in chromosomes (chs.) II, III and VI, allowing to replace prior pedigree-specific, dominantly-inherited RAPD flanking markers with more robust and transferrable gene-based markers. This study also uncovered genomic regions encoding known resistance mechanisms, providing targets for selection strategies against these two pathogens. Nevertheless, the confidence intervals of the QTLs are still large, ranging from 12 to nearly 50 cM. To increase the saturation of these target regions, a more accurate dissection of the disease QTL intervals is needed to reduce the number of positional candidate genes for further functional analysis.

Numerous studies have identified WRKY transcription factors (TFs) as key players in plant resistance of particular interest, which are involved in diverse biotic/abiotic stress responses [17–19]. WRKYs also play important roles in modulating the balance and crosstalk between distinct plant defense pathways regulated by salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET), which trigger immune responses to different pathogens [20]. Several WRKY genes in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) and barrel medic (Medicago truncatula) were identified and observed differential regulation of many chickpea group-III WRKY genes during Ascochyta rabiei infection and SA treatment [18]. Similarly, several defense-related genes were suggested to be involved in sunflower defense against broomrape [21]. Because of their tight regulation involved in specific recognition and binding to downstream promoters, WRKY TFs are promising candidates for crop improvement [19] and could be utilized in improvement of faba bean disease resistance, as previously reported in soybean and chickpea [18, 22].

In this study we exploited the synteny between faba bean and model or related legume species to increase the genetic resolution of faba bean QTL intervals for ascochyta and orobanche resistance, particularly in chrs. II, III, V and VI. To this aim, a set of WRKY TFs and defense related proteins falling within the targeted QTL intervals were assayed with the aim of further refine the QTL regions and identify causal genes responsible for trait expression. To increase the rate of polymorphism...
in the target regions, a second RIL population segregating for resistance against both pathogens and sharing the male parental line (Vf6 x Vf136) was included in the analysis. Compilation of different favourable alleles of QTLs obtained from the different genetic backgrounds may help to develop effective strategies for the application of MAS. The fine-mapping approach proposed here increases the genetic resolution of relevant QTLs and paves the way for an efficient deployment of useful alleles for ascochyta and broomrape resistance through marker-assisted breeding.

Results
Genotyping of WRKY TFs and other defense related genes
Using candidate genes from syntenic regions of Medicago, we performed BLAST searches against the in-house faba bean transcriptome to retrieve orthologous faba bean candidates falling within or close to previously reported QTL regions controlling resistance to ascochyta and broomrape. The approach identified 219 positional candidate genes for plant responses to pathogens including WRKY transcription factors (30), NBS-LRR and receptor-like protein kinases (21), zinc finger proteins (6) as well as other candidate defense-related genes (Additional file 1). Genotyping of these candidate genes was performed either by KASPar assays or by the commercially available Sequenom MassArray iPLEX SNP platform. The genotyping results and the chromosome location of the polymorphic genes in the two RIL populations are depicted in the Additional files 1 and 2.

Linkage analysis
The genotypic data from the disease resistance candidate markers were combined with the molecular data set previously reported in the 29H x Vf136 and Vf6 x Vf136 RIL populations [16, 23]. Both maternal lines have partial resistance to ascochyta blight and susceptibility to broomrape while the common male parent, Vf136, is susceptible to ascochyta and partially resistant to broomrape.

From the 219 candidates identified, 90 markers (41%) were found to be monomorphic in the 29H x Vf136 RIL population and most of these (84 markers) were derived from the KASPar assays. Eleven markers with more than 25% of missing values (probably due to technical genotyping errors), and 23 markers showing distorted segregation were discarded for further analysis, thus reducing the markers left for linkage mapping to 95 (Additional file 1). These 95 new markers were added to the previous data set [16] and 70 of them saturated the stable QTL regions in chrs. II, III, V and VI. The 25 remaining markers were distributed on the remaining chromosomes. Detailed information on the marker distribution and location per chromosome is provided in Table 1. The new 29H x Vf136 map contains 366 markers that span 3,387 cM in total with a mean distance of 9.25 cM per marker locus. A significant reduction in marker density was achieved in chrs. III and VI after saturation, where the average distance between adjacent markers now ranges between 4.5 and 5.4 cM respectively, compared with an average intermarker distance of 8 cM (Table 1).

In the Vf6 x Vf136 RIL population, 56 of the markers assayed could be genotyped and mapped in the progeny (Additional file 2). Fifty of them saturated the previous map [23], falling within the regions bearing stable

| Chromosome | Length (cM) | New markers mapped | Total n°. of markers mapped | Average marker density (cM) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| II         | 337.44      | 12                | 40                         | 8.44                      |
| III        | 158.27      | 19                | 35                         | 4.52                      |
| V          | 563.33      | 18                | 40                         | 13.03                     |
| VI         | 266.79      | 21                | 49                         | 5.41                      |
| Total      | 1,325.82    | 70                | 164                        | 8.08                      |
| I          | 203.41      | 3                 | 18                         | 11.3                      |
| I          | 37.18       | 1                 | 6                          | 6.2                       |
| I          | 64.11       | 1                 | 9                          | 7.12                      |
| I          | 374.02      | 0                 | 32                         | 11.69                     |
| I          | 80.29       | 0                 | 11                         | 7.3                       |
| I          | 195.95      | 1                 | 14                         | 14                        |
| IB         | 35.19       | 0                 | 6                          | 5.86                      |
| II         | 8.26        | 0                 | 2                          | 4.13                      |
| IIA        | 152.56      | 3                 | 11                         | 13.87                     |
| III        | 61.35       | 1                 | 6                          | 10.23                     |
| III        | 114.85      | 0                 | 12                         | 9.57                      |
| III        | 143.61      | 0                 | 13                         | 11.05                     |
| III        | 63.78       | 0                 | 7                          | 9.11                      |
| IV         | 88.59       | 4                 | 11                         | 8.05                      |
| IV         | 50.67       | 1                 | 3                          | 16.89                     |
| VI         | 51.06       | 2                 | 4                          | 12.76                     |
| VI         | 33.99       | 2                 | 4                          | 8.5                       |
| VI         | 5.07        | 0                 | 2                          | 2.54                      |
| VI         | 42.94       | 1                 | 4                          | 10.73                     |
| VI         | 17.96       | 0                 | 3                          | 5.99                      |
| VI         | 48.72       | 1                 | 4                          | 12.18                     |
| -          | 30.97       | 2                 | 4                          | 7.74                      |
| -          | 110.62      | 2                 | 9                          | 12.29                     |
| -          | 46.32       | 0                 | 3                          | 15.44                     |
| -          | 43.35       | 0                 | 4                          | 10.84                     |
| Total      | 3,386.57    | 95                | 366                        | 9.25                      |

The QTL regions subjected to saturation in chromosomes II, III, V and VI, are highlighted in bold.
QTLs in the target chromosomes. The new map with 332 markers covers 3,623 cM with a mean marker interval of 11 cM. After saturation, the average marker density is slightly lower only in chrs. II and III (10.29 and 9.16 cM, respectively) (Table 2).

The two linkage maps rebuilt in this paper contain a mixture of marker types, including RAPDs, SSRs, RGAs, EST-derived markers and the new defense related genes. To unify the marker nomenclature, the IDs of 34 markers used in the former Vf6 x VF136 map [23] were renamed with the corresponding EPPO code (Medtr) for Medicago (Additional file 3). Both maps are in general collinear and share 40 common loci, which provide anchors to facilitate comparison of the QTLs mapped in the two populations. Figure 1 shows the linkage groups and QTLs detected in chrs. II, III, V and VI after saturation. The positions of the new candidate genes are highlighted in bold and the markers renamed in the Vf6 x VF136 population are in italics.

**QTL analysis in the 29H x VF136 RIL population**

As expected, the MQM analysis in cross 29H x VF136 revealed three QTL regions associated with ascochyta resistance in chrs. II (Af2), III (Afβ) and VI. All the resistance-enhancing alleles originated from the resistant parent 29H as shown by the negative values of the additive genetic effects (Table 3).

In chr. II, QTL Af2 scored as the ascochyta disease severity in leaves (DSL), was consistently detected in the three years of evaluation under both conditions tested (growth chamber and field trials). F_DSP1, DSL_C99 and DSL_Lo98 explained between 11.1% and 14.9% of the total phenotypic variation (Fig. 1; Table 3). Despite the saturation of the target region with 19 candidates and the concomitant reduction of the QTL interval from 6.5 to 1.34 Mb and number of candidate genes from 736 to 148 (Table 4), a RAPD marker (OPD20_322) remains the closest known locus to the Af3 LOD peak.

Finally, in chr. VI three QTLs (F_DSP1, F_DSP2 and DSL_Lo98) were detected, in total agreement with the previous study [16]. The percentage of variation explained by these QTLs ranged from 7.8% to 14%. This was the most highly saturated QTL region with 21 new candidates. Accordingly, the QTL interval and number of possible candidates were reduced from 10 Mb and 1165 genes to 2.43 Mb and 270 genes (Table 4). The closest loci to the maximum LOD peaks were Medtr3g09930 and Medtr4g087620 (Table 3), both of which represent promising candidates for further research. Medtr3g09930 encodes a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (LRR-RLK), which are part of the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on the plant cell surface that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to elicit the first-layer immune response [27, 28]. LRR-RLKs protein kinases regulate plant growth and development, hormone signal transduction and response to biotic or abiotic stresses [29].

On the other hand, Medtr4g087620 is annotated as a homolog of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) MMK1. MAPKs are conserved signal transduction components in fungi, plants, and mammals. During the interaction between phytopathogenic fungi and plants, fungal MAPKs help to promote mechanical and/or enzymatic penetration of host tissues, while plant MAPKs are required for activation of plant immunity. Plant MAPKs promote defense mechanisms that threaten the survival of fungal cells, leading to a stress response

**Table 2** Information of the new map developed in the Vf6 x VF136 population

| Chromosome | Length (cM) | New markers mapped | Total no. of markers mapped | Average marker density (cM) |
|------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| II         | 731.29      | 15                  | 71                          | 10.29                      |
| III        | 577.29      | 14                  | 63                          | 9.16                       |
| V          | 357.69      | 8                   | 31                          | 11.53                      |
| VI         | 703.38      | 13                  | 62                          | 11.34                      |
| Total      | 2,369.65    | 50                  | 227                         | 10.58                      |
| I          | 789.10      | 5                   | 67                          | 11.77                      |
| I          | 463.89      | 1                   | 38                          | 12.21                      |
| Total      | 3,622.65    | 56                  | 332                         | 11.05                      |

The QTLs regions subjected to saturation in chromosomes II, III, V and VI are highlighted in bold.
mediated in part by fungal MAPK cascades [30]. While early studies focused mainly on MAPKs in model plants, whole-genome sequencing studies revealed that MAPK cascades play crucial roles in biotic and abiotic stress responses of different crops [31].

Concerning resistance to O. crenata, in line with the previous study [16] the MQM analysis only detected two QTLs, Oc7 and Oc8. The most relevant QTL (Oc7) in chr. VI was detected during the three years of evaluation explaining between 23.3% and 33% of the phenotypic variation (Table 3; Fig. 1). The LOD scores ranged from 5.72 to 7.76, largely exceeding the LOD significance threshold of 2.8 and were the highest detected in this study. As mentioned above, despite saturating the QTL interval with 12 candidates, neither the genetic distance nor the estimated number of genes encompassed by the QTL interval were significantly reduced (Table 4). Nevertheless, one of the former flanking RAPD markers was replaced by Vf_Medtr8g088390, encoding a Medicago YTH domain-containing protein. YTH domain proteins play important roles in multiple aspects of plant life such as development and responses to abiotic and biotic stress [32]. Overexpression of YTH proteins MhYTP1 or MhYTP2 in Malus hupehensis led to increased sensitivity to heat stress, high salinity and pathogen infections. However, no biological functions of YTH domain-containing proteins have been reported in other plant species [33]. The second QTL for O. crenata resistance, Oc8 in chr. V, was only detected in one season (2007-08) accounting for 14% of the variation (Table 3). As for Oc7, the saturation of the Oc8 target region with 18 new defense related genes did not significantly affect the position of the QTL and the closest locus to the maximum LOD peak, the microsatellite Vfg69 derived from the Orobanche resistant cultivar Giza 402 [34].
To increase the level of polymorphism and to compare the position of relevant QTLs for ascochyta and broomrape resistance in different genetic backgrounds, the candidate genes identified in the 29H x Vf136 population were subsequently tested for polymorphisms and QTL mapping in cross Vf6 x Vf136. Chr. II, III, V and VI were saturated with 50 new markers, most of which localized in close vicinity of the targeted QTL regions to replace previous, uninformative RAPD markers with consistent and reliable SNPs in candidate genes (Fig. 1; Table 2). The approach allowed for the first time to define the QTL intervals with gene derived markers.

| QTLs       | Environment | Chr | QTL interval                  | Interval length (cM) | Nearest locus to the max. LOD peak | LOD Threshold | Max. LOD score | % variation (R2) | Additive   |
|------------|-------------|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| AF2 (F_DSL)| Cordoba2005/06 II | OPZ01_700/OPZ01_736 | 17.1 | Medtr3g099380 | 3.5 | 3.31 | 13.5 | -4.48 |
| AF2 (DSL_C99)| Cordoba1999/00 II | OPZ01_700/Vf_Medtr3g099010 | 23.7 | Medtr3g099380 | 2.7 | 2.59 | 11.1 | -1.78 |
| AF2 (DSL_Lo98)| Logroño1998/99 II | Medtr3g099380/Vf_Medtr3g100050 | 14.88 | Vf_Medtr3g099010 | 2.8 | 3.82 | 14.9 | -1.92 |
| AF3 (DSL_Lo98)| Logroño1998/99 III | Vf_Medtr1g088875/Medtr1g086050 | 30.11 | OPD20_322 | 2.8 | 4.27 | 16 | -1.96 |
| AF3 (DSS_Lo98)| Logroño1998/99 III | Vf_Medtr1g088875 | 30.11 | OPD20_322 | 2.7 | 2.72 | 11.3 | -0.91 |
| Oc8_C8 | Cordoba2007/08 V | OPB11_437/OPAC06_1034 | 32.17 | Vfg69 | 2.7 | 3.68 | 14 | -0.05 |
| F_DSP1 | Cordoba2005/06 VI | Vf_Medtr8g096020/Medtr8g092510 | 15.56 | Medtr8g095230 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 11.7 | -0.37 |
| F_DSP2 | Cordoba2005/06 VI | OPL12_622/OPL18_430 | 16.47 | Medtr4g087620 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 14 | -0.39 |
| DSL_Lo98 | Logroño1998/99 VI | Vf_Medtr8g096020/Medtr8g092510 | 15.56 | Medtr8g095230 | 2.8 | 2.61 | 7.8 | -1.43 |
| Oc7_C07 | Cordoba2006/07 VI | OPU09_831/OP10_1178 | 33.82 | OPA11_554 | 2.8 | 7.76 | 33 | -0.09 |
| Oc7_C08 | Cordoba2007/08 VI | OPU09_831/Vf_Medtr8g088390 | 18.38 | OPA11_554 | 2.7 | 5.72 | 23.3 | -0.07 |
| Oc7_C09 | Cordoba2008/09 VI | OPU09_831/Vf_Medtr8g088390 | 18.38 | OPA11_554 | 2.8 | 6.49 | 27.1 | -0.08 |

The markers mapped in this study are highlighted in bold

Table 4. Ascochyta and broomrape resistance QTL intervals in cross 29H x Vf136 achieved in this study

| Trait | Vicia faba chromosome | QTL interval (Ocaña-Moral et al. 2017) | Distance (Mb) in Medicago Truncatula | No. genes in Medicago truncatula | QTL interval in present study | Distance (Mb) in Medicago Truncatula | No. genes in Medicago truncatula |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| AF2 (DSL) | II | Medtr3g091420-Medtr3g104550 | 6.58 Mb | 881 | Medtr3g094850-Medtr3g098530 | 1.68 Mb | 226 |
| AF3 (DSL /DSS) | III | Medtr1g086050-Medtr1g100240 | 6.51 Mb | 840 | Medtr1g086050-Medtr1g088875 | 1.35 Mb | 182 |
| Oc8 | V | Medtr7g098250-Medtr7g118320 | 9.78 Mb | 1.287 | Medtr7g073380-Medtr7g093500 | 9.92 Mb | 1.233 |
| DSL/DSP1 | VI | Medtr8g092510-Medtr8g103245 | 4.78 Mb | 653 | Medtr8g092510-Medtr8g103245 | 1.35 Mb | 182 |
| Oc7 | V | Medtr8g088390-Medtr8g092510 | 2.14 Mb | 312 | Medtr8g088650-Medtr8g092510 | 1.94 Mb | 283 |
| DSP2 | VI | Medtr4g068860-Medtr4g091610 | 10.25 Mb | 1.396 | Medtr4g087420-Medtr4g092750 | 2.43 Mb | 345 |

Genomic distance and estimated number of genes involved as compares with previously reported QTL interval data (Ocaña et al. 2017)
In agreement with previous study [12], two QTLs in chr. II (\(A_2\)) and III (\(A_1\)) were detected for ascochyta resistance both in leaves (DSL) and stems (DSS) (Table 6). \(A_2\) QTLs explained around 15% of the phenotypic variation and the closest locus to the LOD peak in \(A_2\) DSL is now \(Vf\_Medtr3g101740/Mer04\_790\), a predicted inactive receptor kinase which appears to be a promising candidate. Receptor kinases play crucial roles in plant immunity, growth and development and are involved in responses to different stress conditions such as mechanical wounding and pathogen infection as part of the first layer of inducible defense [35]. In addition to \(A_2\), two other QTLs for \(O. crenata\) resistance (\(Oc_3\_C3\) and \(Oc_3\_C4\)) were detected in chr. II but their respective LOD scores (2.76 and 1.7) did not reach statistical significance (Table 6). However, the LOD value of \(Oc_3\_C3\) was above the threshold of 2 fixed for significance in the previous study [23].

In chr. III, only QTLs for ascochyta resistance in leaves and stems were identified. \(A_1\) DSL was significant accounting for 12.1% of the phenotypic variation, while \(A_1\) DSS, the second QTL previously reported in this region, did not reach statistical significance in this study. Both QTLs share a common flanking marker (Medtr1g106005) which is annotated as an α-tubulin that together with β-tubulin forms protofilaments, the main component of the microtubule cytoskeleton. A growing

### Table 5
Ascochyta and broomrape resistance QTL intervals in cross Vf6 x Vf136 reported for the first time in this study

| Trait               | Vicia faba chromosome | QTL interval in present study | Distance (Mb) in Medicago truncatula | No. genes in Medicago truncatula |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Af2 (DSL/DSL)       | II                    | Medtr3g105240-Medtr3g110065   | 2.37 Mb                              | 334                              |
| Oc3                 | II                    | Vf\_Medtr3g102180-Medtr3g116500 | 7.36 Mb                              | 989                              |
| Af1 (DSL/DSL)       | III                   | Medtr1g102550-Medtr1g106005   | 1.63 Mb                              | 237                              |
| Oc16                | V                     | Vf\_Medtr7g104430-Vf\_Medtr7g109340 | 2.36 Mb                              | 330                              |
| Oc2                 | VI                    | Vf\_Medtr8g087000-Medtr8g095030 | 3.70 Mb                              | 525                              |
| Oc5                 | VI                    | Vf\_Medtr4g085900-Vf\_Medtr4g091610 | 2.69 Mb                              | 370                              |

### Table 6
Results of MQM analysis for ascochyta and broomrape resistance in the RIL Vf6 x Vf136

| QTLs          | Environment | Chr | QTL interval | Interval length (cM) | Nearest locus to the max. LOD peak | LOD Threshold | Max. LOD score | % variation (R2) | Additive |
|---------------|-------------|-----|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Af2_DSL       | Cordoba2002/03 II Vf\_Medtr3g101740/Mer04\_790 | 9.3 | Vf\_Medtr3g102180 | 3 | 4.86 | 14.9 | -1.28 |
| Af2_DSS       | III OPAG05\_737/OPE17\_1326 | 15.92 | OPE17\_1326 | 3.1 | 5.41 | 15.8 | -4.02 |
| Oc3_C3        | Cordoba2002/03 II Medtr4\_790/Mer04\_1391 | 50.18 | Medtr1g071610 | 3.1 | 2.76 | 13.4 | -0.07 |
| Oc3_C4        | Cordoba2003/04 II OPD12\_493/Medtr3g116500 | 17.18 | OPC19\_1059 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 4.4 | -0.04 |
| Af1_DSL       | Cordoba2002/03 III OPDZ82/OPAC06\_1023 | 12.31 | Medtr1g106005 | 3 | 4.12 | 12.1 | -1.17 |
| Af1_DSS       | III OPD15\_1219/Medtr1g106005 | 10.63 | OPZ82 | 3.1 | 2.67 | 7.8 | -2.82 |
| Oc16_C4       | Cordoba2003/04 V OPADO2\_1282/Vf\_Medtr7g109340 | 35.99 | OPK18\_1049 | 3.1 | 1.71 | 5.3 | -0.05 |
| Oc2_C3        | Cordoba2002/03 VI OPAG11\_Vf\_Medtr8g089000 | 9.18 | Vf\_Medtr8g089805 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 16.6 | -0.08 |
| Oc2_C4        | Cordoba2003/04 VI OPAG11\_Vf\_Medtr8g089000 | 7.54 | Vf\_Medtr8g089805 | 3.1 | 3.98 | 13 | -0.07 |
| Oc2_M4        | Men-gibar2003/04 VI OPAG11\_Vf\_Medtr8g087000 | 13.58 | Vf\_Medtr8g089650 | 3 | 2.1 | 6.4 | -0.05 |

The markers mapped in this study are highlighted in bold.

Distance of each interval and the number of genes included are shown in Table 5.

In agreement with previous study [12], two QTLs in chr. II (\(A_2\)) and III (\(A_1\)) were detected for ascochyta resistance both in leaves (DSL) and stems (DSS) (Table 6). \(A_2\) QTLs explained around 15% of the phenotypic variation and the closest locus to the LOD peak in \(A_2\) DSL is now \(Vf\_Medtr3g101740\), a predicted inactive receptor kinase which appears to be a promising candidate. Receptor kinases play crucial roles in plant immunity, growth and development and are involved in responses to different stress conditions such as mechanical wounding and pathogen infection as part of the first layer of inducible defense [35]. In addition to \(A_2\), two other QTLs for \(O. crenata\) resistance (\(Oc_3\_C3\) and \(Oc_3\_C4\)) were detected in chr. II but their respective LOD scores (2.76 and 1.7) did not reach statistical significance (Table 6). However, the LOD value of \(Oc_3\_C3\) was above the threshold of 2 fixed for significance in the previous study [23].
number of studies suggest that in addition to playing vital roles in mechanical architecture and cell division, microtubules can act as sensors for stress response signaling in plants. Thus, in *Arabidopsis* microtubule depolymerization and reorganization was shown to be essential for enhancing plant tolerance to salt stress [36]. However, the mechanisms that integrate microtubule regulation, cellular metabolism and stress response signaling remain unclear [37].

As was previously reported [38], a single QTL for *O. crenata* resistance (*Oc16_C4*) in the distal part of chr. V explained 5.3% of the phenotypic variation although it did not reach the defined threshold (Table 6). Nevertheless, the location of *Oc16_C4* in close vicinity with syntenic markers in the *Oc8* interval in cross 29H x Vf136 (Fig. 1), reinforces the homology of both QTLs and their possible role in plant defence. After QTL saturation, a previous RAPD flanking *Oc16_C4* was replaced by Vf_Medtr7g109340, encoding a LRR RLK. Nevertheless, since the closest QTL peak locus remains a RAPD (OPK18_1049) we cannot rule out the possibility that other candidate genes underlie this QTL.

Finally, QTL *Oc2* in chr. VI, which was previously reported to confer broomrape resistance [23, 38], was significant in two (*Oc2_C3* and *Oc2_C4*) of the three field trials assayed accounting for 16.6% and 13% of the phenotypic variation, respectively (Table 6). After saturating the region, the closest RAPD marker to the LOD peak was replaced by Vf_Medtr8g089805 while in case of the third QTL (*Oc2-M4*), it was replaced by Vf_Medtr8g089650. *Oc2_M4* did not reach the significance level, but the precise colocalization of the three QTLs highlight the interest of this genomic region for further fine mapping, candidate gene identification and marker-assisted breeding. Vf_Medtr8g089805 is annotated as a GDSL esterase/lipase. Accumulating evidence suggests lipids as important regulators of plant defense [39, 40] and several reviews report the antimicrobial activity of GDSL lipase/esterases in local and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [41–43]. Among multiple examples, two rice GDSL lipases that modulate immunity through lipid homeostasis were identified [44]. GDSL lipases were shown to possess anti-microbial activity and to modulate lipid homeostasis were identified [44]. GDSL lipases were identified as potential candidates for improving salinity and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis [45] and identified as potential candidates for improving salt tolerance in soybean [46]. The remaining QTL peak marker (Vf_Medtr8g089650) encodes a predicted member of the WD40 domain proteins, which participate in a wide range of cellular processes such as signal transduction, transcriptional regulation, plant organ development and defense responses [47]. Thus, both QTL peak markers point towards promising candidates mediating resistance against Orobanche.

**Discussion**

Several studies have identified key players in faba bean resistance to ascochyta and broomrape and validated significant QTLs in two RIL populations 29H x Vf136 and Vf6 x Vf136 [8–13]. Nevertheless, the giant faba bean size (13 Gb) and the traditional less informative markers (RAPDs, SSRs) used in earlier map versions make it difficult to pinpoint causative loci responsible for specific traits. Subsequent efforts using innovative strategies such as synteny, translational genomics or transcriptome sequencing resulted in enhanced genetic resolution of the maps [14–16, 38], although the QTL intervals were still too large to reduce the number of positional candidate genes for further functional analysis.

Marker saturation of chromosomal regions affecting a specific trait is one of the first steps for improving the precision for marker-assisted selection and QTL pyramiding. Here we extended the QTL mapping and saturation of target genomic regions controlling ascochyta and broomrape resistance in two genetic backgrounds by selecting 219 WRKYs, TFs and defense related proteins from *Medicago* that fell within or close to previously reported QTL intervals with the aim of identifying and mapping faba bean orthologous in comparative genomic regions. The preliminary 29H x Vf136 map [16] included 257 loci spanning 2,796.91 cM, with the QTLs for *Oc* and *Af* mostly flanked by anonymous RAPD markers. In this study, the inclusion of 95 new gene markers allowed the construction of a more saturated genetic map (3,386.57 cM) and improved the coverage particularly in chr. II, III and VI. As a result, the QTL intervals in these chromosomes were narrowed by 5x (ranging from 1.35 Mb in chr. III to 2.43 Mb in chr. VI) and the number of possible candidate genes was reduced accordingly (Table 4). Our approach identified several QTL peak markers that are significantly associated with ascochyta and broomrape resistance. Thus, in chr. II and VI, the closest loci to the maximum LOD peaks in *Af* QTLs were Medtr3g099380 (annotated as a 14-3-3 like protein), Vf_Medtr3g099010 (HVA22-like protein), Medtr8g095030 (LRR RLK) and Medtr4g087620 (MAPK), all of which represent promising candidates for further research.

A similar outcome was obtained in the enriched Vf6 x Vf136 map. While the previous map developed [23] included 277 mostly uninformative RAPD markers that covered 2,856.7 cM, saturation with 56 candidates resulted in a total length of 3,622.64 cM. Apart from saturating QTL target regions, the approach allowed for the first time to define QTL intervals based on orthologous and collinear *Medicago* defence-related genes (Table 5).
Except for Oc3 in chr. II, the remaining QTL intervals range now from 1.63 Mb (Af1_DSS/DSL in chr. III) to 3.7 Mb (Oc2 in chr. VI) (Table 5). The closest locus to the LOD peak for Af2 DSL in chr. II is Vf_Medtr3g102180, a predicted inactive receptor kinase that was shown to play crucial roles in plant immunity, growth and development. In chr. III the Af1 QTLs share a common marker (Medtr1g106005) annotated as an α-tubulin with possible role in microtubule regulation, cellular metabolism and plant stress response signaling. Finally, in chr. VI the significant Oc2 QTLs expose a relevant genomic region homologous to Oc7 in cross 29H x Vf136 for further fine mapping. One of the LOD peak markers (Vf_Medtr8g089805) is a GDSL esterase/lipase with a reported antimicrobial activity in local and systemic acquired resistance (SAR), while Vf_Medtr8g089650 is a transducin/WD40 repeat protein regarded as crucial regulator of plant developmental processes (Table 6).

Identification of common QTL across different studies (eg. Oc2 = Oc7; Oc8 = Oc16; Af1 = Af3 and Af2) is of high interest since these QTL are most likely to be robust across different environments and pedigrees and should thus be highly amenable to improvement through plant breeding and marker-assisted selection [48]. Although they may not be the causal genes, the candidates mapped here, including those that collocate with the QTL peaks, provide additional informative markers and will facilitate identification of new candidates in relevant target regions.

Conclusions
The current study extends our previous work [9, 10, 12, 16, 23] by including defence-related candidate gene loci to QTL regions relevant for ascochytia and broomrape resistance. The synteny approach with Medicago successfully identified promising positional candidates associated with resistance to both pathogens. The addition of new markers allowed us to: (i) replace previous dominant and pedigree-specific RAPD markers by more robust and transferrable SNP markers, (ii) delimit the QTL regions to smaller intervals compared with previously published data and (iii) define closer flanking markers for further fine mapping. Markers linked to the QTLs revealed significant allelic and genotypic differences suggesting that they are potentially useful in MAS, at least in the genetic backgrounds described. Further research will include fine mapping, association mapping and expression studies, which together with the upcoming faba bean reference genome will greatly help to identify the genes controlling Ascochytia fabae and broomrape resistance in this crop.

Methods
Mapping populations
The most recent maps of two contrasted RIL populations, 29H × Vf136 [16] and Vf6 × Vf136 [23] segregating for resistance to both broomrape and A. fabae were used in the study. The populations consisted of 119 and 165 F$_{7/8}$ individuals, respectively, developed by single seed descendents in insect proof cages. The genotype 29H is a minor type from INRA (France) originally provided by Drs. Berthelem and Le Guen (Station d’Amélioration des Plantes, INRA-Rennes, France). This genotype was described as resistant to A. fabae [49–52] and susceptible to broomrape [9]. The male parent, Vf136 is an equina type obtained at IFAPA (Córdoba) from the cross Vf1071 × Alameda [53]. This genotype is susceptible to A. fabae and resistant to broomrape [9, 12, 23, 26, 54]. The other female parent Vf6 is an equina asynaptic line belonging to IFAPA, resistant to ascochytia and susceptible to the parasite. Seeds from these materials are conserved at the IFAPA germplasm bank.

Marker selection and genotyping
BLAST searches were performed to mine the WRKY genes, resistance gene analogs (RGAs) and resistance protein sequences annotated in the faba bean in-house transcriptome [15]. In addition, we exploited the synteny with M. truncatula (www.medicagohmap.org/fgb2/gbrowse/mt40/) for further saturation of the target regions with other gene categories and transcription factors reported to be involved in plant resistance to pathogens (eg. phytohormones, pathogenesis related (PR) genes, classical defense-response genes involved in cell-wall fortification and the phenylpropanoid pathway, defensins, etc.). Based on the physical positions of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers and gene annotation in M. truncatula, 219 candidate gene sequences falling within or close to the putative QTL syntenic regions in the previous 29H x Vf136 map [16] were identified. The interval ranges considered, the genomic distance and the estimated number of Medicago genes included in these intervals are presented in Table 4. Gene sequences were aligned to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between the parental lines and further genotyped in the RIL population. Detailed information of the selected genes is shown in Additional file 1.

Finally, to increase the rate of polymorphism and to compare relevant QTLs regions between different genetic backgrounds, the positional pathogenesis related candidates in the RIL 29H x Vf136 were further genotyped and mapped in a second faba bean RIL population (Vf6 x Vf136) segregating as well for both disease resistance traits (Additional file 2).
SNP genotyping was performed using Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR (KASP) assays [55] provided by LGC genomics (www.lgcgenomics.com/genotyping/kasp-genotyping-chemistry) and the MassArray iPLEX (Sequenom) SNP typing platform at the Spanish National Genotyping Center facility of the University of Santiago de Compostela (www.usc.es/cegen/). KASP is a fluorescence-based genotyping technology based on allele-specific oligo-extension and fluorescence resonance energy transfer for signal generation [56]. MassArray iPLEX consists of an initial locus-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR), followed by single-base extension using mass-modified deoxyxenucleotide terminators of an oligonucleotide primer that anneals immediately upstream of the polymorphic site of interest [57].

**Linkage map construction and QTL analysis**

Polymorphic markers genotyped in both populations were incorporated into previous marker datasets [16, 23] to develop new linkage maps. Segregating data were analyzed for goodness of fit to the expected 1:1 ratio using the chi-square test and the JoinMap version 4.0 software was used for linkage map construction [58, 59]. Markers were grouped using the maximum likelihood option at a minimum LOD score of 4.0 and maximum recombination fraction of 0.25. Recombination fractions were converted to centimorgans (cM) using the mapping function of [58, 59].

QTL analysis was conducted using the MAPQTL 5 software [60]. Considering previous evaluation performed in both faba bean populations, QTLs for disease resistance were identified using interval mapping (IM). An initial set of cofactors was selected from the interval mapping results, and a backward elimination procedure was used to select significant markers. Only markers significant at $P = 0.01$ were used as cofactors in the multiple QTL analysis (MQM) [61]. The threshold for the detection of a QTL was determined using 1000 permutations and a significance ($P$-value) level of 0.05. The presence of a QTL was accepted based on its $P$-value and co-location with QTLs for different resistance traits. An estimation of the additive effect and the total variance explained (R2) by the QTL at the position with the highest LOD score was given by MapQTL 5.0. Uncertainty of the QTL position was indicated by a 1-LOD and 2-LOD support intervals [63–65]. MapChart software [65] was used to produce the QTLs figures for ascochyta and broomrape resistance.

**Defining the QTL intervals**

According to the results of the new QTL analysis, we redefined the QTLs interval based on the nearest *Medicago* genes flanking the QTL positions. To know the function and the physical position of each gene we use the Gene database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/). The physical location of these markers was used to determine the genomic distance spanned (Mb) for each QTL and the number of potential candidate genes encompassed by each interval using Genome Data Viewer (GDV) (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/gdv/).

**Field trials and resistance scoring**

In the RIL population 29Hx Vf136, we used the ascochyta and *Orobanche crenata* resistance scores previously reported [9, 10]. Ascochyta blight resistance was determined in three different experiments described in previous works [10, 26]. The first two tests were carried out at seedling stage in growth chambers and against two monocodial isolates, C99 and Lo98, collected in Córdoba and Logroño (Spain), respectively. Fifteen days after inoculation, disease scoring was performed separately on leaves (DSL, disease severity on leaves) and stems (DSS, disease severity on stems) based on the percentage of symptomatic leaf area. The third disease test was performed in adult plants grown in field trials (F) at Córdoba, Spain, in 2005-06 using a local isolate. The disease infection was determined on leaves (DSL), stems (DSS) and pods (DSP). Furthermore, broomrape resistance was evaluated in naturally infested fields in Córdoba (Spain) in three consecutive seasons (2006–2009). Field trial design and resistance scoring were fully described in previous study [9]. In brief, resistance to broomrape was scored as the final number of emerged broomrapes per faba bean plant grown in a randomized design with two replications, all including a susceptible check.

In cross Vf6 x Vf136, phenotype data for ascochyta and broomrape QTL analysis was selected from published works of the group [12, 13]. The RIL population was checked for ascochyta blight resistance in growth-chamber conditions described in previous work [12], using the monoconidial isolate CO95–01 from Córdoba (Spain). Besides, the *O. crenata* evaluations were performed in naturally infested fields in two locations in Southern Spain (Córdoba and Mengíbar) and two consecutive seasons 2002–2003 and 2003–2004. Evaluation methods and abbreviations were assigned according to the previous references.
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