Specific and Sensitive Detection of *H. pylori* in Biological Specimens by Real-Time RT-PCR and In Situ Hybridization
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**Abstract**

PCR detection of *H. pylori* in biological specimens is rendered difficult by the extensive polymorphism of *H. pylori* genes and the suppressed expression of some genes in many strains. The goal of the present study was to (1) define a domain of the 16S rRNA sequence that is both highly conserved among *H. pylori* strains and also specific to the species, and (2) to develop and validate specific and sensitive molecular methods for the detection of *H. pylori*. We used a combination of *in silico* and molecular approaches to achieve sensitive and specific detection of *H. pylori* in biologic media. We sequenced two isolates from patients living in different continents and demonstrated that a 546-bp domain of the *H. pylori* 16S rRNA sequence was conserved in those strains and in published sequences. Within this conserved sequence, we defined a 229-bp domain that is 100% homologous in most *H. pylori* strains available in GenBank and also is specific for *H. pylori*. This sub-domain was then used to design (1) a set of high quality RT-PCR primers and probe that encompassed a 76-bp sequence and included at least two mismatches with other *Helicobacter* sp. 16S rRNA; and (2) *in situ* hybridization antisense probes. The sensitivity and specificity of the approaches were then demonstrated by using gastric biopsy specimens from patients and rhesus monkeys. This *H. pylori*-specific region of the 16S rRNA sequence is highly conserved among most *H. pylori* strains and allows specific detection, identification, and quantification of this bacterium in biological specimens.

**Introduction**

*Helicobacter pylori* is responsible for most duodenal and peptic ulcer and also plays an important role in gastric adenocarcinoma [1–3]. The mechanism of *H. pylori* pathogenic effect is unclear, but it is believed to be related to complex host bacterial interactions triggered by virulence genes [4], and it is possible that these effects are enhanced by the invasiveness of the bacterium [5–7]. Finally, *H. pylori* was recently observed within gastric mucosa capillaries, where it appears to establish close association with erythrocytes [7,8]. Therefore, it is important to develop specific and sensitive molecular methods allowing the detection and identification of this microorganism in biological specimens.

Culture of the bacterium is considered the gold standard, but the method is not sensitive and is specific only if additional testing is performed on the isolates. The method of choice involves polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of specific *H. pylori* genes. However, using this approach may be problematic due to the extensive polymorphism of many *H. pylori* genes and the absence of particular genes in some strains [e.g. cagA [9]]. Among the genes that have been tested, *ureA* and *ureC* (also named *glmM*) appear sensitive, but they lack specificity. Therefore, the concurrent detection of multiple, *H. pylori*-specific, genes and the use of different sets of primers has been considered to be necessary to achieve specific and sensitive diagnosis of the infection.

Another approach to the question has been to use *H. pylori* 16S rRNA. This ribosomal gene is particular in that it is present in all bacteria while, at the same time, it comprises nucleotide sequences that are specific to a given bacterial genus [10,11]. Sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene has led to our current understanding of prokaryotic phylogeny and *H. pylori* 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis unambiguously differentiated the *Helicobacter* genus from the closely related *Campylobacter* genus [12] thus allowing creation of the *Helicobacter* genus. Finally, *H. pylori* 16S rRNA gene sequence has been used as a tool to differentiate *H. pylori* from other *Helicobacter* sp. especially for isolates from animal sources [13–16].

Here, we sequenced the 16S rRNA genes of two *H. pylori* strains with markedly different DNA fingerprints that had been cultured from two patients living in different continents and with different endoscopic diagnosis. By matching these sequences with each other and with those available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide database, we first identified a unique nucleotide domain that is homologous in most *H. pylori* strains. We then defined, within this domain, a sequence that is homologous among *H. pylori* strains but not among other bacterial species and used this domain to design *H. pylori*-specific primers and probes to be used in a real-time quantitative RT-PCR (TaqMan) assay and an *in situ* hybridization (ISH) method. These methods can specifically detect less than 10 copies of *H. pylori* in gastric biopsies and also allow quantification of *H. pylori* density in...
biopsies from animals and patients with gastritis, gastric precancerous lesions and cancer.

**Methods**

**Ethical approval** to carry studies in humans was obtained from Institutional Review Board of the participating institutions and written consent forms was obtained from each participant. In addition, studies performed in animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**H. pylori strains**

Gastric antral biopsies were harvested in (1) an Albanian patient with gastric adenocarcinoma and (2) a U.S. Caucasian patient with marked gastritis but no ulcers. Biopsies were cultured using *Campylobacter* chocolated blood agar plates supplemented with Trimethoprim, Vancomycin, Amphotericin B and Polymyxin B (Remel, Lenexa) at 37°C in an atmosphere of 90% N₂, 5% O₂, and 5% CO₂ (microaerobic conditions). Bacterial isolates consistent with *H. pylori* in situ 16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing were decoded and registered in the GenBank nucleotide database (Remel, Lenexa, KS), confirmed for enzymatic activity and Gram stain and collected in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM phosphate buffer) for subsequent genomic DNA extraction and analysis.

**DNA extraction**

DNA was extracted from each isolate collected in PBS by QIAamp DNA mini kit and processed the samples as described in the insert (Qiagen Inc., Sanford).

**Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)**

DNA fingerprinting using the RAPD technique was used to compare the isolates. A set of 5 different 10-mer primers (1247: 5’-AAGAGCCCGT-3’; 1254: 5’-CCGCAGCCAA-3’; 1290: 5’-GCGATCCCCA-3’; 1238 5’-AGAGCCCGT-3’; 1254: 5’-CCGCAGCCAA-3’; 1290: 5’-GTGGATGGCGA-5’) were used as published [17].

**16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing**

Total DNA was extracted from each patient’s isolate and PCR-amplified using published primers (see supplementary Methods S1) [10]. The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (nucleotide BLAST), National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), NIH, (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi) feature for alignment between two nucleotide sequences (hit2seq) [19] was used to align the overlapping sequenced segments of the 16S rRNA gene. The 16S rRNA sequences of strains USU101 and USU102 were decoded and registered in the GenBank nucleotide database as EU544199 and EU544200, respectively.

**Histology and in situ hybridization**

Gastric biopsies were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde within 30 seconds of harvesting, dehydrated in ethanol within two days, and embedded in paraffin. Unstained sections were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin or according to Genta [20] or processed for ISH as described in the supplementaries [5,21].

**Controls of method**

Control for nonspecific binding was performed by using: (1) sense instead of antisense probe; (2) hybridization buffer instead of antisense probe; (3) unlabeled antisense probe; (4) digoxigenin or biotin-labeled probe for scorpion Butus martensi Karch neurotoxin sequence [5’-GGC CAC GCG TCG ACT AGT AC-3’] [22]; (5) RNase A pretreatment (Roche); (6) DNase I pretreatment (Roche); and (7) RNase plus DNase I pretreatment.

**In silico search for a 16S rRNA sequence conserved in, and specific for, *H. pylori* strains**

The DNASTAR software (www.dnastar.com) was used to perform multi-alignment of the two decoded sequences described above along with the sequences of the three strains that have been completely sequenced to date [J99, 26695, and HPAG1] and with the published sequences of the 16S ribosomal RNA of *E. coli* (J01859), *S. bareilly* (U92196), *C. jejuni* (LO4315), *S. flexneri* (AE016991 AE014073), and *H. helmannii* (AF506793).

**Design of primers and probes specifically recognizing published *H. pylori* strains**

The PrimerExpress® v2.0 Software was used to design multiple sets of real-time RT-PCR primers flanking an oligonucleotide probe. The rules and requirements described in the PrimerExpress tutorial [23] were then applied to select the set that would provide maximum sensitivity and specificity of the assay. Locus-specific primers flanking an oligonucleotide probe labeled with a 5’ fluorescent Reporter dye (FAM or TET) and a 3’ Quencher dye (TAMRA) were ordered from Applied Biosystems (www.appliedbiosystems.com).

**Validation of the primers and probes**

Pure cultures of *H. pylori*, *E. coli* (Top10, Invitrogen, Carsbad, CA), *S. typhimurium* LT2, *V. cholerae* O139 (Classical Ogawa), *V. cholerae* O139 (El Tor), and *P. aeruginosa* were lysed and total DNA was extracted. The specificity of the primers and probes described above was then verified by real-time PCR using an ABI PRISM 7500 Sequence Detection System [Applied Biosystems] [24].

In addition, smears of the pure cultures were streaked onto glass slides, immediately covered with a drop of 4% paraformaldehyde, and let to dry overnight. The next day, they were processed for ISH as described above.

**Cloning of the standard cRNA**

The MEGAscript protocol for Standard cRNA cloning (MEGAscript high yield transcription kit, Ambion) was used to incorporate the SP6 promoter into *H. pylori strain J99 16S rRNA* at a location situated upstream of the sequence of interest, thus ensuring that the promoter sequence was incorporated into the PCR product. Conditions for primer extension were 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 15 sec, 72°C for 1 min, for 35 cycles to produce a 246 bp PCR product. The ABI Prism BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit was used to verify that the sequence of the PCR product was identical to the corresponding 16S rRNA sequence. In vitro transcription of cRNA was then performed using 2 µL (0.2 µg) of the PCR product as a template with the MEGAscript High Yield Transcription Kit (Ambion). This reverse transcription product was purified by RNeasy Mini Kit and treated with DNaseI during this purification (Qiagen). The concentration of this cRNA was calculated from the mean of three OD measurements and then converted to the copy numbers using Avogadro’s number. The stock solution was aliquoted from freshly prepared 10-fold serial dilutions from 10⁷ to 10⁵ copies and stored at −80°C.

**Absolute quantitative real-time RT-PCR (QRT-PCR)**

A single-tube reaction with a TaqMan One-Step RT-PCR Master Mix Reagents kit (Applied Biosystems) designed for reverse transcription (RT) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in a
single buffer system was used in an ABI PRISM 7500 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The primers and probes concentrations were first optimized using controls from a pool of total RNA extracted from *H. pylori* cultures and monkey gastric biopsies (BioChain Institute, Inc. Hayward, CA). The assay was then performed by adding 2 μl of 50 ng/μl monkey total RNA aliquots to the real time RT-PCR reaction mix to a final volume of 50 μl. The RT step was performed at 48°C for 30 min, followed by 10 min at 95°C for AmpliTaq Gold Activation. The PCR step consisted of 40 cycles of denature 15 sec at 95°C and anneal/extend 1 min at 60°C. All samples and cRNA standards were assayed without reverse transcriptase to confirm the absence of DNA contamination.

Conversion of Ct values to *H. pylori* 16S rRNA copy numbers was performed using linear regression analysis of a standard curve derived from serial 10^1 to 10^6 copies, 10-fold dilutions of the cloned cRNA.

**Gastric biopsies**

Three biopsies were obtained from each of the 23 rhesus monkeys studied in an inoculation experiment [25]. As described above, the first biopsy was cultured for *H. pylori*, the second biopsy was fixed in formalin and either stained according to Genta [20] or unstained sections were processed for ISH, and the third biopsy was processed to extract total RNA.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were entered into our Microsoft Access database. Log-transformed copy numbers were normally distributed. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and associated probabilities (P) were calculated and a two-sided P-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**DNA fingerprinting**

In order to study the genomic diversity between various *H. pylori* strains, we performed RAPD fingerprinting analysis of strains USU101, USU102, J99, and 26695. As shown in Figure 1, the pattern of these four strains was markedly different from each other in regard to all 4 primers used for RAPD.

**In silico search for a 16S rRNA sequence conserved in *H. pylori* strains**

To examine whether a particular domain of *H. pylori* 16S rRNA sequence was conserved among strains with markedly different fingerprints, the DNASTAR software was used to perform multi-alignment of the 16S rRNA sequences of the four strains described above. We discovered that a 546-bp nucleotide domain was 100% conserved among these five sequences (Figure 2A). To determine whether this domain was also conserved among various *H. pylori* strains, we performed a nucleotide BLAST of this sequence and observed that the sequence was 100% homologous to 49 *H. pylori* sequences published in GenBank to date.

**In silico search for a conserved 16S rRNA sequence that is also specific to *H. pylori* strains**

In order to search for a region that is specific for *H. pylori*, the conserved 346-bp nucleotide domain was entered into the DNASTar software along with the published 16S rRNA sequences of *E. coli*, *S. barnesii*, *C. jejuni*, *S. flexneri*, and *H. helminthini*. We observed that a 229-bp domain of the conserved region did not match the other five bacteria (Figure 2C). Basic nucleotide BLAST alignment (Blastn) of this sequence demonstrated complete homology with 74 *H. pylori* strains, two *H. nemestrinae* and four Helicobacter sp. “liver” (that were subsequently found to be indistinguishable from *H. pylori* [26,27]), and 17 uncultured *Helicobacter* species. Sequences of these uncultured *Helicobacter* species had been determined from biopsies from human esophageal carcinoma or inflamed colon [20], from the stomach of cheetahs (a carnivore that is frequently colonized by the closest *H. pylori* relative, *H. acynonychis* [29]), or from the stomach of thoroughbred horses [30].

The following TaqMan RT-PCR primers and probe were then designed within the 229-bp sequence as described in Materials and Methods: forward primer 5’-TCG GAA TCA CTG GGC GTA A-3’; reverse primer 5’-TTC TAT GGT TAA GCC ATA GGA TTT CAC-3’; probe 5’-TGA CTG ACT ATC CCG CCT ACG CGC T-3’ (Figure 2D).

In addition, two probes for in situ hybridization (ISH) were designed within the same 229-bp sequence (Figure 2E).

**In silico validation of the RT-PCR set of primers and probe and of the ISH probes**

In order to validate the specificity of the set of two primers and a probe used in our real-time RT-PCR assay, we performed a BLAST alignment of the corresponding 76-bp sequence (Figure 2D) with the GenBank database. We observed 100% homology with 136 *H. pylori* strains, three *H. nemestrinae* and four *Helicobacter* sp. “liver” (that are, in fact, *H. pylori* [26,27], one *H. acynonychis* [29] and 37 uncultured *Helicobacter* species (isolated from human esophageal carcinoma, inflamed colon, or liver [28,31], from seven cheetahs, and from a tiger). In addition, two *H. pylori* 16S RNA sequences (AY057935 and AY057936) showing a low homology (91% and 97%, respectively) with the 76-bp nucleotide sequence were isolates referred to the genomic sequences of the *H. pylori* strains 26695 and J99 in the ATCC catalog. It is noteworthy, however, that in contrast to these two ATCC isolates, both 26695 and J99 strains are among those showing 100% homology with our 76-bp sequence. To clarify this apparent discrepancy, we performed BLAST alignment of AY057935 and AY057936 with their respective parental strains,
and found 82 and 91% homology, respectively. Thus, it is likely that AY057935 and AY057936 strains are, in fact, mutated clones of the respective parental strains, or that they were contaminated during laboratory procedures.

Alignment of the 37- and 33-bp sequences corresponding to the ISH probes revealed that they were 100% homologous with over 150 H. pylori strains but that there were at least two mismatches with different Helicobacter sp. such as H. cetorum and H. bilis. Interestingly, the ISH probes were also 100% homologous with several Helicobacter sp. isolates from horses, dogs, zoo seals, and other animals that live in close contact with humans.

In silico verification of the specificity of the primers and probes

In order to determine whether the proposed method was specific for H. pylori, we performed a series of BLAST (blast2seq) of the sequence corresponding the RT-PCR primers and probe (71-bp of the 76 bp entire sequence) with the sequences of non-H. pylori bacteria. We observed the presence of 27 mismatches for E. coli, S. bareilly, and S. flexneri, 13 mismatches for C. jejuni and 6 mismatches for H. heilmannii.

In vitro validation of the RT-PCR primers and probes

By real-time RT-PCR, pure cultures of H. pylori were positive whereas pure cultures of E. coli (Top10), S. typhimurium LT2, V. cholerae O139 (Classical Ogawa), V. cholerae O139 (El Tor), and P. aeruginosa were negative.

In vitro validation of the in situ hybridization probe

Pure cultures of H. pylori were positive whereas pure cultures of E. coli, S. typhimurium, V. cholerae, and P. aeruginosa were negative (Figure 3). This method is being used in the laboratory to specifically verify that H. pylori single colony isolates are not contaminated by other bacteria.
Determination of *H. pylori* density in gastric biopsies from Rhesus monkeys by RT-PCR

Primary cultures of the first biopsy were negative in 105 monkeys that had less than 500 copies/100 ng of RNA extracted from the second biopsy. The number of positive cultures increased progressively with increasing *H. pylori* density (500–5,000: 2/29; 5,000–50,000: 8/30; and >50,000: 15/30).

Visualization of *H. pylori* in Rhesus monkey gastric biopsies by Genta and ISH

Biopsies from a Rhesus monkey colonized by both *H. pylori* and *H. heilmannii* demonstrated that only *H. pylori*-shaped bacteria were detected by ISH (Figure 4).

Discussion

In the present study, we used an in silico approach to demonstrate that a 546-bp domain of *H. pylori* 16S rRNA is highly conserved in most *H. pylori* 16S rRNA sequences registered in the NCBI GenBank and that a 229-bp sub-domain of this conserved region is specific to *H. pylori*. Within this sub-domain, it was possible to design an ISH probe and a set of real-time RT-PCR primers and a TaqMan probe that are 100% homologous with over 100 *H. pylori* strains isolated from humans residing in four continents, from monkeys [14,32], and from cats [33]. In addition, 100% homology was found with many *Helicobacter* sp. that were later identified as *H. pylori*. Two are listed as *H. nesterinae* (AF363064 and AF348617), although the strains are now recognized to be *H. pylori* [27]. The revised GenBank description of the strain, under “source” and “organism” reflects the correction, although the name *H. nesterinae* still remains associated with the accession number. Four other strains are published in GenBank as *Helicobacter* sp. “liver” (AF142583 and AF142585) although a subsequent phylogenetic study suggested that they are, in fact, *H. pylori* [26]. Five other sequences correspond to those of *H. pylori*-like DNA extracted from the liver of patients with hepatitis C [31]. Another strain is currently listed as a *H. heilmannii* (AF306794) in NCBI, but this strain is not mentioned in the publication [34] because it clustered with *H. pylori* by both 16S rRNA and urease sequencing (O’Rourke, Figure 3).
personal communication). Finally, 13 of the 100% homologous Helicobacter sp. strains are extremely close to H. pylori and were isolated from carnivores including cheetahs and a tiger, and from horses. Interestingly, these animals live in close association with humans and they may be infected with H. pylori [29]. Importantly, the 76-bp region corresponding to the primers and probe and the 37- and 33-bp sequences of the ISH probes have multiple mismatches with non-H. pylori sequences.

16S rRNA was chosen for detection and quantification of H. pylori because ribosomal RNAs exhibit a high degree of functional and evolutionary homology within all bacteria and those sequences have been used for phylogenetic comparisons and classifications of microbial organisms [35,36]. Analysis of 16S rRNA in bacteria led to the detection of conserved, semi-conserved and non-conserved domains in this gene and to the development of molecular techniques that can specifically identify a variety of bacteria species [37]. Helicobacter genus-specific primers for 16S rRNA have been used in PCR amplification as a screening tool to detect Helicobacter organisms in biological specimens [16,30]. Although the sequences corresponding to these primers are common to most species within the genus Helicobacter, sequencing and restriction enzyme analysis showed that the nucleotide sequence delimited by the primers varies with the species [16,30]. In order to specifically identify H. pylori, Ho et al. proposed an assay based on PCR amplification of a 109-nucleotide segment within the 16S rRNA sequence [13], but these primers were subsequently shown to be non-specific for H. pylori [39].

In recent years, real-time RT-PCR and ISH have become standard methods in well-equipped laboratories and many well-trained laboratory technicians have the required expertise to perform the tests. Therefore, we believe that the information provided in the present paper will lead to their use in clinical practice, especially since the calculated cost for real-time RT-PCR reagents and supplies is less that $2.00/sample.

In summary, a 76-bp region of H. pylori 16S rRNA that is common to a large number of H. pylori sequences and is specific to this bacterium was used to design primers and probes to be used in real-time RT-PCR and ISH assays. Both approaches are very sensitive and specific for H. pylori and the real-time RT-PCR assay can be used readily in most modern laboratories if frozen samples have been saved. If only archived specimens are available, then the more specialized in situ hybridization assay can be used. We propose that both assays combine sensitivity and specificity, making them strong clinical tools for precise and rapid identification of H. pylori in biological specimens harvested from humans, animals, or environmental source.
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