Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate human neuronal phenotypes in 1q21.1 deletion and duplication syndrome
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Abstract
Copy Number Variation (CNV) at the 1q21.1 locus is associated with a range of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders in humans, including abnormalities in head size and motor deficits. Yet, the functional consequences of these CNVs (both deletion and duplication) on neuronal development remain unknown. To determine the impact of CNV at the 1q21.1 locus on neuronal development, we generated induced pluripotent stem cells from individuals harbouring 1q21.1 deletion or duplication and differentiated them into functional cortical neurons. We show that neurons with 1q21.1 deletion or duplication display reciprocal phenotype with respect to proliferation, differentiation potential, neuronal maturation, synaptic density and functional activity. Deletion of the 1q21.1 locus was also associated with an increased expression of lower cortical layer markers. This difference was conserved in the mouse model of 1q21.1 deletion, which displayed altered corticogenesis. Importantly, we show that neurons with 1q21.1 deletion and duplication are associated with differential expression of calcium channels and demonstrate that physiological deficits in neurons with 1q21.1 deletion or duplication can be pharmacologically modulated by targeting Ca²⁺ channel activity. These findings provide biological insight into the neuropathological mechanism underlying 1q21.1 associated brain disorder and indicate a potential target for therapeutic interventions.

Introduction
Investigating the biology of rare but relatively penetrant copy number variants (CNVs), provides an opportunity to understand the genetic basis of an increased susceptibility to a range of neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, autism, mental retardation and epilepsy [1–7]. There are now several prominent examples of pathogenic CNVs such as 1q21.1 deletions and duplications, 3q29 microduplications, 15q13.3 deletions, 16p11.2 deletions and duplications and 22q11.2 deletions all of which are associated with increased risk for neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders [8–10]. These CNVs are variable in size and can be either de novo or familial [11, 12]. Furthermore, a recent study showed that the brain is the tissue which is most intolerant to CNV associated changes in gene dosage [13]. Therefore, studying the impact of these CNVs on brain development provides a window of opportunity to understand the cellular mechanisms underlying increased risk for psychiatric disorders.
The 1q21.1 chromosomal locus (chr1: 146.57–147.39; GRCh37/hg19) contains at least four low copy repeats which render this region susceptible to non-allelic homologous recombination leading to recurrent deletions and duplications [14–16]. Although its prevalence worldwide is not clear, data from UK Biobank has provided estimates of a population frequency of 0.027% for the 1q21.1 deletion and 0.044% for 1q21.1 duplication [17]. Two main classes of the 1q21.1 CNVs has been described. The more common Class I comprises the critical or distal region, whereas Class II compromises of the Thrombocytopenia Absent Radius (TAR) region in addition to the critical region [15, 18]. The critical/distal region is 1.36 Mb (from 145 to 146.35 Mb, according to NCBI build 36) and contain at least 12 protein coding genes, including PRKAB2, CHD1L, BCL9, ACP6, GJA5, GJA8 and NOTCH2NL [9]. Phenotypes associated with distal 1q21.1 deletion include developmental delay, cognitive impairment, microcephaly, facial anomalies, schizophrenia, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, emotional and behavioural problems. Whereas 1q21.1 distal duplication has been associated with macrocephaly, developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, cognitive impairment, hypertelorism and congenital cardiac anomalies [14, 15, 19–21]. Therefore, variation at this locus represents a clear risk factor for a range of neuropsychiatric disorders and need to be functionally characterised to understand the contribution of this loci to neurodevelopmental deficits leading to associated developmental psychiatric disorders. So far, the contribution of concomitantly deleted or duplicated genes in this locus towards the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric disorders is largely unknown.

To understand the impact of the Class I 1q21.1 CNV (from here referred to as 1q21.1 deletions or duplications) on neuronal development, we established a cellular model of by deriving human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from subjects carrying 1q21.1 deletion or duplication and differentiated them into cortical neurons. We demonstrate that neural progenitor cells (NPCs) carrying 1q21.1 deletion or duplication are associated with early neurodevelopmental phenotypes. Furthermore, these NPCs after differentiation into neurons show dysregulated neuronal development, associated with altered morphology and synaptic density in comparison to controls. Moreover, these neurons are associated with dysregulated cortical layer identity. We validated aspects of these cellular phenotypes in a 1q21.1 microdeletion mouse model and show that some of these differences are conserved across species. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the presence of 1q21.1 CNVs impact the physiological and electrical properties of neurons as measured by calcium activity and multi-electrode arrays (MEAs). Finally, using iPSC derived neurons with 1q21.1 CNVs as an in vitro pharmacological model, we show that the aberrant physiological activity of these cells can be modulated by targeting Ca$^{2+}$ channels.

**Methods**

**iPSC generation, characterisation and maintenance**

Fibroblasts with subject carrying 1q21.1 deletion ($n = 3$) or duplication ($n = 2$) were reprogrammed into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) using the CytoTune™-IPS 2.0 Sendai reprogramming kit (Thermo-Fisher). Two established iPSC lines were used as controls (IBJ4 see Plumbly et al. [22] and HPS11013-i-wuye_2 purchased from HipSci). Pluripotency was confirmed by immunofluorescence, qPCR and trilineage differentiation (Supplementary Fig. 1–5). iPSCs were grown on Geltrex™ coated plates in Essential 8™ Flex media. The cell lines were genotyped to identify the location of 1q21.1 locus and to identify any pathogenic CNVs. Further, the cell lines were regularly tested to check any mycoplasma contamination.

**Cortical neuronal differentiation**

iPSCs were differentiated into cortical neurons using a modified version of a previously described protocol [23]. Cells were maintained until 90–100% confluent at which point the media was changed to N2B27- (2/3 DMEM/F12, 1/3 Neurobasal, N2 supplement, B27 supplement without retinoic acid, penicillin, streptomycin, glucose and β-mercaptoethanol) supplemented with 250 nM LDN-193189 (LDN) and 10 µM SB431542 (SB). For the subsequent 10 days cells were maintained with both SB and LDN and then they were passaged onto fibronectin. Cells were maintained on fibronectin for 10 days in un-supplemented N2B27- media with ½ media changes every other day. Cells were then plated onto laminin and poly-D-lysine coated plates and after 2 days the media was replaced with N2B27+ (2/3 DMEM/F12, 1/3 Neurobasal, N2 supplement, B27 supplement, penicillin, streptomycin, glucose and β-mercaptoethanol) after a further 2 days media was replaced with N2B27+ supplemented with CultureOne™ supplement. After 2 days media was replaced with fresh N2B27+ supplemented with 5 µM DAPT and 1 µM PD032991 (PD). Cells were maintained with DAPT and PD for 4 days. Cells were then dissociated using Accutase® Solution and were re-plated on laminin and poly-D-lysine coated plates at a density of 200,000 cells/cm². Cells were maintained in un-supplemented N2B27+ for up to 20 days with ½ media changes performed every other day. A minimum of three independent neuronal differentiation of all iPSC lines were done for the all the experiments reported.
Calcium imaging
See Supplementary Methods for details

Multiple electrode arrays
See Supplementary Methods for details

Gene expression analysis, western blotting, immunofluorescence and cell counting, histological analysis of mice brains

Primers for all target genes and antibodies used are listed in Supplemental Table 2 and 3 respectively. These procedures are described in Supplementary Methods.
Results

Deletions and duplications of the 1q21.1 locus is associated with altered neuronal development

We first assessed the effect of 1q21.1 deletion or duplication on the expression of genes within the distal 1q21.1 region, focusing on the expression of five key genes within this locus. After 50 days of differentiation three of these critical genes (BCL9, CDH1L and PRKAB2) had altered expression in 1q21.1 deletion or duplication in comparison to controls (Supplementary Fig. 6B). To determine if deletion or duplication of the 1q21.1 locus altered neurodevelopmental trajectories we quantified the expression level of: a neural stem cell marker (NESTIN [24]); a marker of immature neurons Doublecortin (DCX [25]) and a mature neuronal marker (MAP2 [26]) throughout the course of neuronal differentiation and found that the expression level in controls was in accordance with previously published studies [27, 28]. NESTIN expression was significantly higher in 1q21.1 duplication, but unchanged in the 1q21.1 deletion group after 20 days of differentiation (Fig. 1B). Similarly, other NPC markers, PAX6 and PLZF, was also elevated in duplications, but no change was seen for ZO-1 (Supplementary Fig. 7B). However, at day 20 NESTIN+ cells were similar across group (Fig. 1M). Further, we observed a small, but significant decrease of Ki67+ cells in 1q21.1 duplication culture at day 20 and a substantial decrease in Ki67 mRNA was seen at day 30 (Fig. 1F–I, Supplementary Fig. 7D). 1q21.1 duplication culture exhibit elevated Ki67 mRNA expression at day 30 (Supplementary Fig. 7D).

DCX expression in the 1q21.1 duplication group showed an increased expression at day 40 and 50. In contrast, 1q21.1 deletion cell exhibited a more complex pattern with decreased expression at day 30, but increased expression at day 40. However, the levels were comparable to controls at day 50 (Fig. 1C). Similarly, TUJ1 expression was higher in duplication and of comparable level between deletion and controls at day 50 (Supplementary Fig. 7K–N). MAP2 expression both at protein and mRNA level were significantly reduced throughout neuronal differentiation in 1q21.1 duplication. This was further accompanied by a reduced number of MAP2+ cells at day 30 (Fig. 1D, E, Q). The 1q21.1 deletion group demonstrated an increased MAP2 expression and an increase in MAP2+ cells (Fig. 1D, Q). Although we note an increase in MAP2 protein levels at day 50, it did not reach significance (Fig. 1E).

Neuronal cell morphologies were examined at day 30 of neuronal differentiation when neuronal morphology first emerge [23] (Supplementary Fig. 8). Neurons with 1q21.1 deletion had smaller soma, whereas 1q21.1 duplication had an increased soma size. These results indicate that 1q21.1 CNV alter neuronal differentiation, and differences begin to emerge at the Neural Progenitor Cell (NPC) stage. These results show that a complex set of gene expression and protein changes occur during neurodevelopment of 1q21.1 CNV patient cells, which are unlikely to arise from a simple acceleration or retardation of the neuronal differentiation programme. However, they do suggest that the 1q21.1 duplication may delay the transition from NPC to neurons, whereas a 1q21.1 deletion suppresses proliferation and promotes neuronal production.
Neurons with 1q21.1CNVs exhibit alterations in the cortical neuronal identity

Alternation in corticogenesis has been linked to many developmental psychiatric disorders [29], risk for which has been associated with CNVs at 1q21.1 locus. We therefore looked at the formation of the early born deep layer neurons specifically examining the expression of CTIP2 and TBR1. The neurons carrying 1q21.1 deletion were associated with an increased expression of TBR1 and CTIP2 both at transcript and protein level following 50 days of differentiation. These
results were confirmed using immunocytochemistry and indicate an increase in the number of CTIP2+ cells in 1q21.1 deletion. Conversely, 1q21.1 duplication was associated with a transient increase of TBR1 expression at day 30 of differentiation and no significant change in CTIP2 expression. Furthermore, at the protein and cellular level, the expression of TBR1 and CTIP2 in 1q21.1 duplication cultures were comparable to controls (Fig. 2A–C, G–I).

To examine the potential effects that the changes seen in differentiating cells may have on brain organisation, we analysed 1-month old brains of a mouse model with a 1q21.1 microdeletion [30]. This analysis demonstrated that there was a significantly higher proportion of TBR1 cells in brains of the 1q21.1 mouse model in comparison to control littermates (Fig. 2M). These data suggest that 1q21.1 deletion result in altered cortical patterning due to an increase in the production of lower layer cortical neurons.

Human neurons with 1q21.1 deletion or duplication are associated with defects in synaptogenesis

Considering the altered differentiation potential associated with 1q21.1 deletion/duplication, we investigated the impact of the 1q21.1 CNV on synaptogenesis in our patient iPSC-derived neurons. The postsynaptic marker, PSD-95 showed a reciprocal pattern for both gene expression and protein analysis with an increased expression in 1q21.1 deletion and a decrease in 1q21.1 duplication neuronal cell (Fig. 3A, B; Supplementary Fig. 9). The presynaptic marker (synaptophysin; SYN) showed an increased gene expression and number of SYN+ puncta in the 1q21.1 deletion. On the other hand, duplication of the 1q21.1 locus was associated with a decrease of SYN+ puncta and a decrease of SYN protein level (Fig. 3C) when normalised to MAP2 (eliminating differences in morphology) (Fig. 3C–E). These results demonstrate that both 1q21.1 deletion and duplication are associated with defects in synapse development. It has previously shown that the presence of astrocytes influences the synapse formation in iPSC derived neurons [31]. Hence, we quantified the level of GFAP and S100β expression at day 40 and day 50, we found that level of GFAP and S100β was significantly minimal to the MAP2 expression (Supplementary Fig. 7I, J) and across groups.

Spontaneous calcium activity reveals physiological deficits in neurons associated with 1q21.1 deletion or duplications

To begin to understand the effects of 1q21.1 CNV on the physiology of neurons we assessed the cytosolic dynamics of calcium using a calcium-sensitive dye. A similar proportion of cells showed spontaneous calcium activity in the control and 1q21.1 deletion cultures (Fig. 4A). However, there were significantly fewer active neuronal cells in 1q21.1 duplication cultures compared to the controls (Fig. 4A). Quantifying the rate of spontaneous calcium activity showed a significant increase in the rate of calcium events in neurons with 1q21.1 deletion (Fig. 4B). On the other hand, after excluding the inactive cells the rate of calcium events in 1q21.1 duplication cultures was similar to the controls (Fig. 4B). Finally, the amplitude of calcium signals was comparable across the groups with no significant differences between control, deletion and duplication neurons (Fig. 4C).

We then investigated the effect of the NMDA receptor antagonist AP5 (D-2-amino-5-phosphono-pentanoate) and the AMPA receptor antagonist CNQX (6-Cyano-7-nitroquinoline-2,3-dione) in modulating the calcium signal in the neurons (Supplementary Fig. 10A). The addition of AP5 or CNQX resulted in a decrease in the percentage of active
neurons in both the control and 1q21.1 deletion neuronal cultures. Whereas only inhibition of AMPA receptors showed a minor but significant decrease in the percentage of active neurons in 1q21.1 duplication cultures, indicating that 1q21.1 deletion neurons form connections similar to controls but the calcium activity of 1q21.1 duplication neurons could be associated with complex intricate pathways.

**Neurons with 1q21.1 deletion or duplication display aberrant neural network activity**

The results above indicate that 1q21.1 deletion develops rapidly, expressing neurodevelopmental genes earlier than control cells, exhibiting increased synaptogenesis and increased numbers of calcium events. In contrast, 1q21.1 duplication cells showed slow or aberrant neurodevelopment, formed fewer synapses and only ~50% of neurons had active calcium signalling. We therefore examined the effect of the CNVs on neuronal network activity by use of Multi-Electrode Array (MEA) recordings [32]. Such networks are dependent on formation of functional synapses and are good indicators of neuronal deficits arising from aberrant neurodevelopment.

Analysis of neuronal activity over a period of 50 days post plating onto the MEA showed that neurons with 1q21.1 deletion exhibited significantly higher spike rates and frequency of bursting compared to control neurons, particularly after D70 (Fig. 4F, G). In contrast, 1q21.1 duplication cells show no significant increases in either spike rate or burst rate during development. These data are consistent with the altered neuronal activity observed by calcium imaging. Later development time points on our MEA correspond to the emergence of large, synaptically connected neuronal networks, which burst fire in synchrony. 1q21.1 deletion patient cells exhibited synchronised bursting earlier in neuronal development (D70) than control cells (D100). Interestingly, the ultimate outcome for the neuronal network is not an increase in frequency of SBs between 1q21.1 deletion cultures and control cultures (Fig. 4M), but an increase SB duration (Fig. 4N). This aberrant network activity was inhibited by the NMDA inhibitor AP5 and the AMPA inhibitor NBQX (2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzof[quinoxaline]) (Supplementary Fig. 10B–D), indicative of a glutamate transmitter dependent neuronal network. This is consistent with the higher synapse number seen in 1q21.1 deletion patient cells. In contrast, 1q21.1 duplication neurons show no neuronal network activity (Fig. 4J).
Aberrant physiological activity of neurons with 1q21.1 deletion or duplications can be rescued by modulation of Ca$^{2+}$ activity

To determine a putative drug target to modulate the physiological deficits associated with 1q21.1 deletion and duplication, we first assessed the effect of 1q21.1 mutations on the expression of neuronal ion channels. Duplication of the 1q21.1 locus was associated with a decrease in the expression of most ion channels (Fig. 5A). On the other hand, subunits of the AMPA and NMDA receptors (GLUA1 and GRIN1), and voltage-gated calcium channels CACNA1B and CACNA1E showed increased expression in 1q21.1 deletion neurons relative to control (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. 11). To investigate whether a suppression of calcium signalling could reverse the increased Ca$^{2+}$ spiking seen in 1q21.1 deletion neurons, we added a voltage-gated calcium channel blocker, verapamil [33, 34].
Fig. 4 Neurons with 1q21.1 deletion and duplication display altered calcium transient activity. A Quantification of neuronal soma which show at least one characteristically neuronal calcium event (n ≥ 3). B Number of characteristically neuronal calcium events recorded per minute per active neurons across different groups (n ≥ 3). C Amplitude of calcium signals recorded from active neuronal cells across different groups. D Representative traces of calcium events as measured by changes in fluorescence from a control, deletion and duplication cell line (n ≥ 3). E Representative raster plot of neuronal activity exhibited by control, deletion and duplication-derived neurons at early (D70) and late (D100) neurodevelopmental stages. Red boxes indicate periods of synchronised neuronal bursts. F The average number of spikes recorded per electrode across the 50 days cells were maintained on MEAs. Only genotype (F2,76 = 18.06; P < 0.0001; n ≥ 3/group) and time (F4,76 = 3.536; P < 0.05; n ≥ 3/group) had significant effects on the average number of spikes per electrode. G The average number of bursts (defined as when more than three electrodes were active in the same 200 ms time frame) per culture across the 50 days cells were maintained on MEAs. Only genotype (F2,61 = 8.637; P < 0.001; n ≥ 3/group) had a statically significant effects on the number of bursts per culture per recording. H, I, J Examples of array-wide spike detection rate (ASDR) plots, which form the basis of synchronised burst (SB) analyses. K, L Representative raster plots showing the length and interval between SBs (indicated by the red boxes) of control networks as compared to those of 1q21.1 deletion. M Quantification of network bursting interval in control and 1q21.1 deletion neurons (n ≥ 3). N Quantification of network burst duration in control and 1q21.1 deletion neurons (n ≥ 3). Data sets were analysed by Students T-Test or two-way ANOVA with post hoc comparisons using Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test comparing to control samples. Stars above points represent Dunnett-corrected post hoc tests. All data are presented as means ± SEM *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 ****P < 0.0001 vs. control.

to neuronal cultures. Verapamil caused a significant reduction in the rate of Ca$^{2+}$ events in 1q21.1 deletion neurons (Fig. 5C). However, there was an increased rate of Ca$^{2+}$ events in control neurons. These results suggest that the blockage of calcium channels can dampen the increased rate of calcium events in 1q21.1 deletion neurons.

To induce Ca$^{2+}$ activity in the population of inactive cells in 1q21.1 duplication cultures, they were treated with roscovitine, which has shown to prolong the deactivation time of neuronal calcium channels [35, 36]. Addition of roscovitine significantly increased the number of calcium events in both control and 1q21.1 duplication neuronal culture (Fig. 5F). However, addition of roscovitine did not increase the proportion of spontaneously active cells in 1q21.1 duplication cultures (Fig. 5E). These results suggest that the higher and lower Ca$^{2+}$ activity in 1q21.1 deletions and duplications can be modulated by targeting L type calcium channel antagonist and agonist.

Discussion

One of the key findings of the study is a mirrored phenotype with respect to neuronal differentiation. Deletion of the 1q21.1 locus was associated with accelerated neuronal differentiation whereas duplication of the 1q21.1 locus had negative effects on differentiation potential. These opposing phenotypes represent a possible explanation for the micro and macrocephaly associated with CNVs at the 1q21.1 locus. In 1q21.1 deletion subjects the accelerated differentiation may result in premature loss of proliferative precursors or in premature death of new-born neurons. In 1q21.1 duplication subjects the retention of proliferative progenitors and resistance to produce mature neurons is likely result in an increase in overall cell number. However, additional work is needed in additional cellular models together with mice model to validate these explanations.

The distal 1q21.1 region consist of at least 12 protein coding genes with the recent additions of NOTCH2NL, NOTCH2NLB and NOTCH2NLC being of particular interest [37]. A recent study investigated the effect of NOTCH2NLB on brain development demonstrated that deletion of this gene leads to premature neuronal matura

Importantly, at a functional level deletion of the 1q21.1 distal locus was associated with increase neuronal activity and deficits in neuronal network functionality (specifically in the duration of synchronised bursts). On the other hand, duplication of the 1q21.1 locus was associated with decreased neuronal activity and an inability to form neuronal networks. These phenotypes are in part likely a result of the altered synapse production associated with CNVs at the 1q21.1 locus. However, the cause of this synaptic disparity is not clear. Our result demonstrate that altered synaptogenesis is mediated by altered expression of synapsin and PSD95. Future studies looking into expression analysis of these neurons will help in identifying other associated factors and in elucidating underlying common pathways (altered transcription/altered mRNA degradation and translation), associated with synaptogenesis. Several cellular studies looking at cellular phenotypes of other CNVs such as 2p16.3/NRXN1, 15q13.3, 16p11.2, 22q11.21 have also shown synaptic dysfunction [39–41]. Therefore, cellular dysfunction associated with CNVs (linked to psychiatric disorders) is likely to converge on deficiencies in synaptic machinery.
Our results demonstrate that addition of verapamil (a calcium channel antagonist) could reduce the rate of calcium transients in 1q21.1 deletion neurons. While some studies have questioned the specificity of verapamil [42, 43], our results suggest that the changes in calcium dynamics seen in 1q21.1 deletion neurons can be modulated by altering the activity of voltage-gated calcium channels. The contribution and involvement of other calcium channels however cannot be ruled out. While verapamil has been used as a treatment for bipolar disorder, albeit with limited success [44], it has been shown to improve scopolamine-induced memory impairments in mice [45, 46].
Roscovitine was used in an attempt to induce calcium activity in inactive 1q21.1 duplication cells by inhibiting cell cycle progression and modulating calcium channel activity [35, 47]. The addition of roscovitine was able to increase calcium activity in 1q21.1 duplication neurons consistent with previous studies [48]. However, roscovitine failed to increase the proportion of active neurons in either the control of 1q21.1 duplication group.

The present study focussed largely on identifying broad classes of neuronal dysfunction and therefore further work is necessary to elucidate the precise molecular mechanisms which underly the cellular phenotypes identified in this study. Critically future work using global transcriptomic analysis may help in identifying the precise genetic mechanisms underlying the dysfunctions identified in this study.
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