An investigation was carried out for Assessment of different priming methods on germination and seed quality parameters of groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) genotype. The treatments were T₀ - Control (un primed), T₁ - GA₃ (50 ppm), T₂ - Salicylic acid (50 ppm), T₃ - Mannitol (1%), T₄ - PEG (1%), T₅ - Trichoderma harzianum (5gm/kg), T₆ - Trichoderma harzianum (5gm/kg), T₇ - Calcium chloride (1%), T₈ - Coconut water (5%), T₉ - Garlic (Allium sativum) bulb extract (5%), T₁₀ - Turmeric (Curcuma longa) Rhizome extract (5%), T₁₁ - Neem leaf extract (5%), and T₁₂ - Vermiwash (5%). The analysis of variance under laboratory revealed that mean sum of squares due to seed priming treatments were significant for all the characters under study indicating presence of good amount of variability among the treatments for all the characters under study. Comparison of various treatments revealed that seed priming have significant role for all the characters studied under laboratory experiment. Application of CaCl₂ (1%) and GA₃ (50ppm) was found significantly superior over control for the characters studied. Thus, priming with CaCl₂ (1%) solution for 12 hours is advantageous to obtain healthy seedlings. The second best option for priming is priming with GA₃ (50ppm).

Introduction

Groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) is an important oil seed crop and grain legume worldwide (Mensah and Obadoni, 2007). It has originated in Brazil and belongs to family Leguminosae and sub family papilionaceae and chromosome no 2n = 40. The word groundnut is derived from two Greek words, ‘Arachis’ meaning a legume and ‘hypogea’, meaning below ground referring to the pods in the soil and occupied a significant position in the agricultural economy of the country.

Ground nut is also known as peanut, earthnut and manila nut. Among the oil seed crops, ground nut has first place in India. Ground nut oil is primarily used in the manufacture of
vegetable oil (vanaspati ghee). Its seed contain about 45% oil and 26% protein. Ground nut kernel has a whole is highly digestible. It is in the first place as concentrated food as one gram supplies 5.8 food calories. The biological value of groundnut protein is among the highest of the vegetable proteins, and equals that of casein. Ground nuts are a good source of all B vitamins except B12. They are a rich source of thiamine, riboflavin, nicotinic acid and vitamin E. however, they lack in vitamin A. With regard to minerals, phosphorus, calcium and iron are present in significant amount. The kernels are consumed either roasted or fried and salted (Singh, 1980).

Ground is commonly called as poor man’s nut. It is characterized by cliestogamy and hence, it is highly self pollinated in nature (Knauf and Wynne, 1995).Groundnut is an important food and oil crop in tropical and sub tropical region. It is the world’s fourth most important source of edible oil and third most important source of vegetable protein.

Groundnut contains on the average 12-15% carbohydrates, 25-30% protein and 45-50% oil. The nuts may be chewed uncooked, but are usually eaten boiled or roasted. The nuts can also be boiled, fried, ground into groundnut butter or crushed for oil. Groundnut butter is extensively used in the preparation of soup (Tsigbey et al., 2004).

The major groundnut producing countries in the world are India, China, Nigeria, Senegal, Sudan, Burma and the United States of America. Worldwide groundnut is grown in 26.4 million hectares with a total production of 37.1 million metric tonnes and average productivity of 1.4 metric t / ha (GOI, New Delhi, 2008).

India has been one of the among the top three producing countries of groundnut in the world. It ranks second next to china. Nearly 16 percent of groundnut production is contributed by India to the world production during 2016. Groundnut crop is cultivated about 4.56 million hectares, with the total production of 6.77 million tonnes and with the average yield of 1486 kg / hectare. The area under groundnut constitutes approximately 3.3% of the net sown area in India (Gayatri, 2018).

Good quality seeds having rapid and uniform field emergence is an essential prerequisite for increase yield, quality and ultimately profit to farmers. Uniformity and percentage seedling emergence of direct seeded crops has major impact on final yield and quality. Slow emergence results in weaker seedlings which are more prone to diseases. Various pre sowing seed treatments have been practiced to reduce the time between sowing and seedling emergence. Heydecker (1973) acknowledged the term “seed priming” coined by Malnassy (1971) and showed the beneficial effect of priming. The term seed priming is synonymous with seed invigouration.

Seed priming is widely used now a day for betterment of seed performance in terms of higher rate of germination and uniformity of establishment. Also it causes the reduction in emergence time, accomplishment of uniform emergence and betterment of crop stand in many crops. More recently priming with a range of agents like hormones, botanicals, bio agents and growth regulators is under practice to get the desired benefits during seed germination and later on good performance of the crop (Janmohammadi et al., 2008).

Seed priming is technique which involves uptake of water by the seed followed by drying to initiate the early events of germination up to the point of radical emergence. Its benefits include rapid, uniform and increased germination, improved seedling
vigour and growth under a broad range of environment resulting in better stand establishment and alleviation of phytochrome–induced dormancy. Seed priming is a pre-sowing strategy for influencing seedling development by modulating pre-germination metabolic activity prior to emergence of radical and generally enhance germination rate and plant performance (Bradford, 1986; Tailor and Harman, 1990).

During priming, seeds are partially hydrated so that pre-germination metabolic activities proceed, while, radical protrusion is prevented, then are dried back to original moisture level (McDonald, 2000).

Materials and Methods

The Research study was conducted at Seed Testing Laboratory, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during rabi-2019 to find out Assessment of different priming methods on germination and seed quality parameters of groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.). The data was collected on ten randomly selected healthy seedlings plants from each replication and different observations were recorded. In laboratory experiment data were recorded for 9 characters, viz., germination (%), speed of germination, root length (cm), shoot length (cm), seedling length (cm), seedling fresh weight (gm), seedling dry weight (gm), seedling vigour index –I and Seedling vigour index –II. The treatments were represented as T0 (Control), T1- GA3 (50 ppm), T2 - Salicylic acid (50 ppm), T3- Mannitol (0.01), T4- PEG (0.01), T5- Trichoderma viridae (5gm/kg), T6- Trichoderma harzianum (5gm/kg), T7 - Calcium chloride (0.01), T8- Coconut water (0.05), T9- Garlic (Allium sativum) bulb extract (0.05), T10 –Turmaric (Curcuma longa) Rhizome extract (0.05), T11- Neem leaf extract (0.05) and T12- Vermiwash (0.05).

Preparation of solutions

For preparation of 50 ppm GA3 solution, 50mg of GA3 was dissolved in 100ml of distilled water. The solution of salicylic acid was prepared by dissolving 50mg of salicylic acid in 100 ml of distilled water. For preparation of mannitol, CaCl2 and PEG (1%) 1gm of mannitol, 1gm of CaCl2 and 1 gm of PEG was dissolved in 100ml of distilled water. The solution of Trichodema viridae 0.25gm and Trichodema harzianum 0.25gm was dissolved in 100ml of distilled water. The flasks containing chemicals were covered with muslin cloth to avoid any contamination.

For the preparation of botanicals like coconut water 5% coconut water solution 5ml of coconut water is dissolved in 100 ml distilled water. Fresh garlic bulbs were washed in tap water, peeled and crushed into fine paste by using mortar and pistle. 5 ml of the sample was ground by a blender with 100 ml of distilled water.

For the preparation of turmeric extract and vermiwash (5%) 5 gm of turmeric powder was dissolved in 100ml of distilled water, 5 ml of vermiwash was dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water. For the preparation of neem leaf extract 5 gm of leaf powder was dissolved in 100 ml distilled water to make 5% solution. To remove unwanted material and debris the leaf extracts were filtered using a muslin cloth.

After preparation of solutions, seeds were soaked in for a duration of 12hr and shade dried.

These seeds were used for further laboratory studies to record observations.
Results and Discussion

It is evident from the present investigation that priming treatments has significant effect on quality parameters in groundnut. In general, most of the treatments have increased germination and vigour parameters as compared to control (untreated seeds). maximum seed germination % (88.5%), speed of germination (69.85), root length (13.19cm) and shoot length (6.61cm), seedling fresh weight (39.98gm), seedling dry weight (2.72g), seedling vigour index I (17623g), seedling vigour index II (227.72) was recorded in T7-Calcium chloride (1 per cent) followed by T8-Coconut water (5 percent). maximum seedling length(cm)was recorded in T1-GA3 (50 ppm) (19.48cm). However, T0-Control being the lowest.

Similar results were observed by Hasan et al., (2005), MisrakKebede et al., (2015), Dileepkumar Masuthi et al., (2015), Cupcupin et al., Vinothni and Bhavyasree (2019).

From the present investigation It is concluded from the present investigation of seed treatments with different kind of priming exhibited significant effect on seed germination %, speed of germination, root, shoot and seedling length, seedling fresh and dry weight and seed vigour index. Seed priming with CaCl₂ (1%) affected all the lab parameters significantly in comparison to control and other priming treatments. Thus, priming with CaCl₂ (1%) solution for 12 hours is advantageous to obtain healthy seedlings (Table 1).

| S. no. | Treatments | Germination percentage | Speed of germination | Root length | Shoot length | Seedling length | Seedling fresh weight | Seedling Dry weight | Seedling Vigour Index I | Seedling vigour Index II |
|--------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1      | T₀         | 79.50                  | 32.88                | 10.04       | 2.73         | 12.53            | 23.15                | 1.96                 | 10686.38                | 154.84                 |
| 2      | T₁         | 84.25                  | 61.83                | 9.51        | 6.36         | 19.48            | 34.20                | 2.41                 | 14481.13                | 207.24                 |
| 3      | T₂         | 83.00                  | 44.10                | 9.46        | 6.55         | 16.19            | 34.33                | 2.62                 | 14853.00                | 216.32                 |
| 4      | T₃         | 86.50                  | 45.33                | 10.98       | 5.21         | 16.40            | 32.20                | 2.43                 | 15327.25                | 209.03                 |
| 5      | T₄         | 83.50                  | 45.18                | 8.88        | 5.16         | 14.23            | 30.20                | 2.51                 | 13414.25                | 208.68                 |
| 6      | T₅         | 85.75                  | 45.50                | 11.01       | 6.28         | 16.00            | 35.33                | 2.31                 | 16840.13                | 196.17                 |
| 7      | T₆         | 85.25                  | 43.00                | 9.85        | 6.58         | 16.56            | 30.13                | 2.21                 | 14954.63                | 188.14                 |
| 8      | T₇         | 88.50                  | 69.85                | 13.19       | 6.61         | 17.81            | 39.98                | 2.72                 | 17623.00                | 227.72                 |
| 9      | T₈         | 87.00                  | 48.55                | 10.03       | 6.45         | 16.64            | 36.78                | 2.47                 | 10904.13                | 212.19                 |
| 10     | T₉         | 86.50                  | 46.03                | 9.44        | 6.58         | 16.36            | 36.68                | 2.42                 | 15669.88                | 212.51                 |
| 11     | T₁₀        | 86.75                  | 49.08                | 10.56       | 5.59         | 15.90            | 25.28                | 2.28                 | 14439.75                | 195.74                 |
| 12     | T₁₁        | 86.00                  | 42.58                | 10.29       | 5.71         | 15.69            | 30.33                | 2.12                 | 14677.20                | 181.23                 |
| 13     | T₁₂        | 86.00                  | 47.25                | 10.38       | 5.06         | 15.76            | 27.63                | 2.20                 | 14738.38                | 187.61                 |
| Grand mean |         | 85.27                  | 47.78                | 10.28       | 5.76         | 16.12            | 32.01                | 2.36                 | 14508.39                | 199.8                  |
| C.D.   |            | 4.45                   | 6.01                 | 2.04        | 1.25         | 2.73             | 6.23                 | 0.38                 | 3357.32                 | 32.1                   |
| SE(m)  |            | 1.56                   | 2.10                 | 0.72        | 0.44         | 0.96             | 2.18                 | 0.13                 | 1173.67                 | 11.22                  |
| SE(d)  |            | 2.2                    | 2.97                 | 1.01        | 0.62         | 1.35             | 3.08                 | 0.19                 | 1659.83                 | 15.87                  |
| C.V.   |            | 3.65                   | 8.78                 | 13.93       | 15.33        | 11.87            | 13.61                | 11.21                | 16.18                   | 11.23                  |
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