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The cell wall (CW) of fungi exhibits a complex structure and a characteristic chemical composition consisting almost entirely of interacting crystalline and amorphous polysaccharides. These are synthesized by a number of sugar polymerases and depolymerases encoded by a high proportion of the fungal genome (for instance, 20% in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*). These enzymes act in an exquisitely coordinated process to assemble the tridimensional and the functional structure of the wall. Apart from playing a critical role in morphogenesis, cell protection, viability and pathogenesis, the CW represents a potential target for antifungals as most of its constituents do not exist in humans. Chitin, β-glucans and cellulose are the most frequent crystalline polymers found in the fungal CW. The hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP) is critical for CW elaboration. Also known as the Leloir pathway, this pathway ends with the formation of UDP-N-GlcNAc after four enzymatic steps that start with fructose-6-phosphate and L-glutamine in a short deviation of glycolysis. This activated aminosugar is used for the synthesis of a large variety of biomacromolecules in a vast number of organisms including bacteria, fungi, insects, crustaceans and mammalian cells. The first reaction of the HBP is catalyzed by GlcN-6-P synthase (L-glutamine:D-fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase; EC 2.6.1.16), a critical enzyme that has been considered as a potential target for antifungals. The enzyme regulates the amount of cell UDP-N-GlcNAc and in eukaryotes is feedback inhibited by the activated aminosugar and other factors. The native and recombinant forms of GlcN-6-P synthase has been purified and characterized from both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms and demonstrated its critical role in CW remodeling and morphogenesis after exposure of some fungi to agents that stress the cell surface by interacting with wall polymers. This review deals with some of the...
Introduction

The cell wall

The CW is a highly dynamic and plastic structure whose heterogeneous composition is essential for cell viability, morphogenesis and pathogenesis. This outermost structure represents a barrier against environmental physical and chemical factors, modulates permeability and protects the cell from mechanical or osmotic stress. Its composition and structural organization are regulated by environmental factors through CW adhesins and a diversity of receptors that once activated trigger a number of signaling pathways aimed to maintain the cellular homeostasis through compensatory responses (Gow et al., 2017; Beauvais and Latgé, 2018; Ibe and Munro, 2021). The fungal CW has attracted the attention as a potential target of antifungals as their components do not exist in the humans; yet there has been a little success in this context since only echinocandin, a blocker of β-1,3-glucan synthase, has been used in human infections (Cortes et al., 2019; Ibe and Munro, 2021).

Composition, structure, and biogenesis of the fungal CW

Polysaccharides of the fungal wall constitute a sort of central, resistant core consisting of diverse proteins and gel carbohydrates whose interactions form a strong, flexible and chemically diverse structure (Arroyo et al., 2016; Gow et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2021) that results in a lack of homology among fungi, plant and bacteria (Coronado et al., 2007). Accordingly, the CW can be defined as a complex and specific highly dynamic layer whose biosynthesis, remodelation and functional maintenance involve a great number of diverse enzymes and signaling pathways.

Polysaccharides such as chitin and β-glucan, two common components of the fungal CW, are coordinately synthesized by transmembrane enzymatic complexes consisting of chitin and glucan synthases (Schuster et al., 2016) that are transported inactive in vesicles and activated once they get in contact with the membrane. This is different to the synthesis of glycoconjugates whose assembly occurs in the rough endoplasmic reticulum and in the Golgi membrane complex where they interact with proteins through a canonical secretory pathway (Kollár et al., 1997; Schuster et al., 2016). Since chitin and β-glucan are synthesized from the corresponding activated sugars UDP-N-GlcNAc and UDP-Glc, respectively, this implies that enzymes in the metabolic pathways leading to the formation of these activated precursors also play a determinant role in wall biogenesis and modulation (Free, 2013; Gow et al., 2017).

In most fungi, the CW exhibits two layers. The innermost one shows a more conserved structure than the outermost layer which is more complex and exhibits a great diversity among different organisms (Gow et al., 2017). The inner wall is made up mainly of branched β-1,3- and β-1,6-glucans as well as α-1,3- and α-1,6-glucan that represent 50-60% of the wall dry weight. On the other hand, chitin, the homopolymer made of linear chains of acetylglucosamine units and the most ancient CW polysaccharide, constitutes 1-2% in yeast but can account for 10-20% in filamentous fungi. Together, interchained glucan and chitin form a very dynamic, tridimensional wire mesh whose structural assembly resists the hydrostatic pressure imposed by the plasma membrane and the cytoplasm (Kollár et al., 1997; Klis et al., 2002; Latgé, 2010; Gow et al., 2017). The outermost layer, which is adaptable to the physiology of the organism, is more diverse and variable among organisms and it consists mainly of proteins that represent 30-50% and 20-30% of the wall dry weight in yeast and hyphae, respectively, and are commonly found O- and N-linked to oligosaccharides forming glycoproteins.

Other wall components are proteins attached to membranes through glycosylphosphatidylinositol forming what it is known as GPI anchors. To date, a number of proteins anchored to the membrane by GPI have been identified in various eukaryotes from protozoa and fungi to human beings where they exhibit diverse structures depending on the bound protein and the organism. They regulate the mobility of anchored proteins due to their interaction with the membrane bilayer (Fein et al., 1993) and carry out several functions such as the defense of the complement system, membrane receptors and cell protection (Lacero and Robbins, 2004; Nohe et al., 2006). The presence of proteins with internal repeats (Pir proteins) has been described in the CW of some organisms such as S. cerevisiae (Klis et al., 2002). These are used as anchor proteins in the cell surface that significantly increase the proteins to be displayed (Yang et al., 2014). Other functions attributed to Pir proteins are the masking...
and immune blocking absorption of molecules, cell adhesion and signal transduction (Carvalho et al., 2021; Ibe and Munro, 2021).

**Sporothrix** species as models to study CW structure and function

Species of the pathogenic clade of the **Sporothrix** genus have been used to investigate a number of functions related with the physiology and metabolism of the fungus. These include aspects such as differentiation/dimorphism, pathogenesis, the enzymology and molecular mechanisms of wall glycoprotein assembly, the search of surface antigens, particularly adhesins, CW biogenesis and regulation, and most recently the cell compensatory responses to damage of the cell surface. **Sporothrix** species include *S. schenckii* sensu stricto, *S. brasiliensis*, *S. globosa*, and *S. luriei* that cause a mycosis known as sporotrichosis, a disease of low morbidity and mortality of humans and some animals such as dogs and cats (Romeo and Crisoe, 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Lopes-Bezerra et al., 2018a). These species exhibit different levels of virulence, variable forms of transmission and geographic distribution (Lopes-Bezerra et al., 2018a) and are dimorphic organisms whose morphology depends on temperature, pH and other factors. Thus, at 25-28°C and an acidic pH, they develop as hyphae which is considered the saprophytic phase whereas at 32-37°C they grow as yeast or cigar-shaped cells, which is the morphotype frequently isolated from infected tissues (Rodriguez-del-Valle et al., 1983; López-Romero et al., 2011; Lopes-Bezerra et al., 2018a). Human sporotrichosis is caused by the traumatic acquisition of the fungus and it progresses as skin nodules and lymphocutaneous lesions (Travassos, 1985), usually following a mild course though it can disseminate in immunodeficient patients (Heller and Fuhrer, 1991; Durden and Elewski, 1997; Al-Tawfiq and Wools, 1998). In fact, recent years have witnessed an increasing number of infections caused by dimorphic fungi, an issue of great concern in public health. Among these, sporotrichosis caused by *S. schenckii* has nowadays the highest morbidity in immunocompromised patients, particularly in areas where the fungus is endemic and can lead to outbreaks of this mycosis (Lopez-Romero et al., 2011; Barros et al., 2011; Chakrabarti et al., 2015; Conceição-Silva and Morgado, 2018; Queiroz-Telles et al., 2019). The dimorphism-pathogenesis relationship of *S. schenckii* was recently demonstrated in a patient with pulmonary disseminated sporotrichosis by comparing gene expression in the mycelium and yeast phases by RNA deep sequencing. Results of the transcriptomic analysis indicated that morphological transition of the virulent isolate depends on temperature and is closely associated with adaptation to stress, growth and development, signal control, adhesion and dissemination (He et al., 2021).

**CW composition of Sporothrix**

The CW of *S. schenckii* is made up of glucans bearing β1-3, β1-6 and β1-4 bonds, chitin and a peptidorrhmannan (Lloyd and Biton, 1971; Previtali et al., 1979; Lopes-Bezerra, 2011; Lopes-Bezerra et al., 2018b). A comparison of the chemical composition and structure of the CW of *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis* revealed that both fungi exhibit a bilayered wall with the outermost layer containing a fibrillar peptidorrhmannan component, while chitin, β1-3-β1-6-glucans and glycogen α-particles are present in the innermost layer in the proximity of the plasma membrane. Moreover, *S. brasiliensis* contains more chitin and rhamnomannan polymers (Lopes-Bezerra et al., 2018b). The presence of the latter components and the absence of α-glucans, commonly found in other dimorphic fungi, led Lopes-Bezerra’s group to propose a new model for the wall of these species. More recently, the CW composition of three strains of *S. schenckii* and two strains of *S. brasiliensis* exhibiting different levels of virulence in a murine model of infection were compared, confirming previous results for the species (Villalobos-Duno et al., 2021). These authors observed, however, an increase in the rhamnose/β-glucan ratio in the strains that correlated with an increase in virulence as well as structural differences in the rhamnomannan, thereby emphasizing the role of this polysaccharide in pathogenicity.

**Hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in eukaryotes and prokaryotes and the physiological relevance of GlcN-6-phosphate synthase**

The HBP, also known as the Leloir pathway (LP), describes the general metabolism of sugar units in polysaccharides using nucleotide-activated sugar precursors. This anabolic route is in fact a deviation of the glycolysis and was described in 1950 by the Nobel Prize winner Luis F. Leloir (Michal and Schomburg, 2013). The enzymes and mechanistics of the HBP have been described in detail elsewhere (Milewski, 2002; Milewski et al., 2006; Durand et al., 2008). The first committed step of this route is irreversibly catalyzed by GlcN-6-P synthase, which transforms fructose-6-phosphate into glucosamine-6-phosphate, using L-glutamine as the amino donor for which the enzyme exhibits an absolute specificity. Contrary to other amidotransferases, the enzyme cannot use ammonia as the amino donor. Depending on its origin, GlcN-6-P synthase has been named as GlmS, Gfa or Gfat. The substrates orderly bind to the enzyme and binding of fructose-6-phosphate precedes that of L-glutamine (Chmara et al., 1986; Badet et al., 1988). To date, it is the only enzyme that gives rise to GlcN-6-P. The molecular mechanism of the first reaction of HBP is complex and implies amid bond cleavage followed by ammonia transfer and isomerization of GlcN-6-phosphate into GlcN-1-phosphate which is finally converted into UDP-N-GlcNAc by three more reactions in...
eukaryotes (Bearne, 1996; Milewski, 2002; Durand et al, 2008) (Figure 1A). Only three out of the four enzymes present in eukaryotes exist in prokaryotes, namely, GlcN-6-P-synthase, phosphoglucose mutase and the bifunctional enzyme N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase that transfers the acetyl and uridy1 residues (Figure 1B) (Smith et al., 1996; Smith, 2006; Barreteau et al., 2008; Assrir et al., 2014). The bacterial GlcN-6-P-synthase is a homodimer of 130-150 kDa whereas its eukaryotic counterpart is a homotetramer and exhibits a molecular mass of 320-340 kDa (Raczynska et al., 2007). GlcN-6-P-synthases from higher organisms share a sequence identity of 30-35% with their bacterial counterparts and all conserve the substrate domains suggesting a similar catalytic mechanism (Figure 1C) (Durand et al., 2008). Back in 1976, it was demonstrated that GlcN-6-P-synthase was specifically inhibited by anticapsin, a glutamine analog (Kenig et al., 1976), thereby opening the possibility of using the enzyme as a potential target for antimicrobial drugs (Milewski et al., 1988; Wojciechowski et al., 2005).

GlcN-6-P synthase is present in a great diversity of organisms (Milewski, 2002). The enzyme is extremely unstable; in fact, Prof. Milewski has defined it as a “nasty enzyme”. This property and in some cases the low amount present in the cell cytoplasm (for instance, in C. albicans, the
enzyme represents less than 0.025% of cytosolic protein) have seriously limited its purification and characterization. In this context, the enzyme from *Escherichia coli* was purified to homogeneity and its structural and catalytic properties were documented (Badet et al., 1987; Tepljakov et al., 2001; Tepljakov et al., 2002; Durand et al., 2008).

Overexpression of the corresponding genes in different hosts has allowed the purification and characterization of GlcN-6-P synthase from *S. cerevisiae* (Milewski et al., 1996) and *C. albicans* (Milewski et al., 1999; Sachadyn et al., 2000). The best studied enzyme in terms of the structural, functional and regulatory properties is by large that from *C. albicans* (Milewski et al., 1999). Moreover, the enzyme has been partially purified and characterized from other fungi such as *Neurospora crassa* (Ghosh et al., 1960), Blastocladiella emersonii (Norman et al., 1975) and Aspergillus nidulans (Borgia, 1992). Other sources of GlcN-6-P synthase include human liver (Kikuchi and Tsuiki, 1976), pig (Zwierz et al., 1978) and mouse (Hosoi et al., 1978) tissues and plants (Vessal and Hassid, 1972). However, little is known about the enzyme in true dimorphic fungi such as *Sporothrix* species.

**HBP and cellular morphogenesis**

It has been demonstrated that operation of fungal HBP is important during morphological transitions such as the formation of the germ tube in *C. albicans*, a process that is paralleled by a 3-5-fold increase in the chitin content of the CW (Chattaway et al., 1968), a 4-fold increase in the specific activity of GlcN-6-P synthase (Chiew et al., 1980) and also an increase in N-acetylglucosamine kinase (Shepherd et al., 1980). Likewise, encystment of *B. emersonii* zoospores which requires the formation of a chitin-containing CW also involves an increase in the enzyme activity (Frisa and Sonneborn, 1982; Maia, 1994).

Chemical analysis of the CW revealed the same levels of chitin in the two morphotypes of *S. schenckii* (Travassos and Lloyd, 1980). Nevertheless, since many macromolecules other than chitin depend on the functioning and regulation of the HBP, variations in the levels of GlcN-6-P synthase activity are expected to occur during growth and morphogenesis of the fungus. On this premise, a few years ago a project dealing with the purification and biochemical characterization of this enzyme from *S. schenckii* was started (González-Ibarra et al., 2010) and later the interest moved on to explore other aspects related with the role of GlcN-6-P synthase in *Sporothrix* physiology and metabolism (Sánchez-López et al., 2015; Sánchez-López et al., 2019; Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2021).

**Native and recombinant GlcN-6-P synthase from *S. schenckii***

*Puriﬁcation and biochemical characterization*

Based on protocols described to purify the enzyme from *C. albicans* (Milewski et al., 1999) and *E. coli* (Sachadyn et al., 2000), a procedure was developed to isolate the native enzyme to homogeneity from mycelia of *S. schenckii* (González-Ibarra et al., 2010). Briefly, the enzyme from the cytosol was consecutively treated with protamine sulfate, ammonium sulfate, polyethylene glycol and finally subjected to ion exchange and adsorption chromatographies. After the final step, enzyme recovery and purification were 46% and 106.2-fold, respectively. Analytical electrophoresis of the purified enzyme preparation revealed a single protein band of 79 kDa and an isoelectric point of 6.26 which is similar to that of 6.27 estimated for the enzyme from *A. niger* (Ram et al., 2004) and is well within the range of 5.56-6.42 calculated for the enzyme from *E. coli* (Dutka-Malen et al., 1988) and human (McKnight et al., 1992) cells. A native molecular weight (MW) of 350 ± 5 kDa was calculated by size exclusion chromatography thus corresponding to a homotetramer, in close agreement with the enzyme from other eukaryotes. This was the first report of a native GlcN-6-P synthase purified from a truly dimorphic fungus (González-Ibarra et al., 2010).

Biochemical characterization of the enzyme revealed a 2-fold higher affinity for fructose-6-P over L-glutamine considering the Km values of 1.12 and 2.2 mM, respectively (González-Ibarra et al., 2010). Other fructose-6-P/L-glutamine Km values (all mM) are 0.007/0.26, 13.3/18.8, 2.9/0.56, 1.45/1.56 and 0.9/0.3 for the enzymes from human cells (Broschat et al., 2002), *Proteus mirabilis* (Cifuentes and Vicente, 1982), *N. crassa* (Endo et al., 1970), *C. albicans* (Milewski et al., 1999) and *S. cerevisiae* (Watzele and Tanner, 1989), respectively. These variations of *Km* values may be due to structural differences around the catalytic sites involved in fructose-6-P and L-glutamine recognition among the different organisms.

Aside from the much larger MW than its counterpart from prokaryotes, another striking difference is that GlcN-6-P synthase from all eukaryotes so far examined is down regulated by UDP-N-GlcNAc (Milewski, 2002; Milewski et al., 2006). The observed effect of the aminosugar on the enzyme was a little surprising as the cytosolic enzyme was over 8-fold more sensitive than the pure enzyme as deduced from the IC50 values of 0.12 mM and 1.0 mM, respectively (González-Ibarra et al., 2010). The IC50 value of 0.12 mM is 5.6-5.8 lower than those estimated for *C. albicans* (Milewski et al., 1999) and *S. cerevisiae* (Watzele and Tanner, 1989) but 1.4-, 6.0- and 20-fold higher than in *N. crassa* (Endo et al., 1970), rat (Kornfeld, 1967) and human (Kikuchi and Tsuiki, 1976) liver, respectively. Seemingly, the presence of glucose-6-P but no other sugar phosphates in crude preparations of the enzyme increases the sensitivity to UDP-N-GlcNAc (Milewski et al., 1999; Milewski et al., 2006). In agreement with these observations, 10 mM glucose-6-P almost restored the sensitivity of pure GlcN-6-P synthase to 1 mM UDP-GlcNAc to the levels of crude or partially purified preparations of the enzyme. According to a study of the rat liver enzyme, glucose-6-P may be produced from fructose-6-P by a phosphoglucose isomerase present in the extracts (Miyagi and Tsuiki, 1974).
One report indicates that the sequence of the eukaryotic GlcN-6-P synthase contains several sites for phosphorylation by different kinases which is not held for the prokaryotic counterpart, thus marking another important difference between these organisms (Milewski, 2002). Regarding this issue, the relationship between enzyme activity, down regulation by UDP-N-GlcNAc and phosphorylation varies among fungi. For instance, the enzyme in zoospores but not in vegetative cells of B. emersonii is inhibited by UDP-N-GlcNAc and this effect increases after enzyme phosphorylation (Selitrennikoff et al., 1980).

Interestingly, during zoospore germination, enzyme dephosphorylation results in a loss of sensitivity to the inhibitor to cope with a higher demand for germination, enzyme dephosphorylation results in a loss of (Selitrennikoff et al., 1980). Interestingly, during zoospore germination, enzyme dephosphorylation results in a loss of sensitivity to the inhibitor to cope with a higher demand for germination, enzyme dephosphorylation results in a loss of (Selitrennikoff et al., 1980).

In the same line, the phosphorylation of the enzyme in C. albicans by a cAMP-dependent protein kinase increased enzyme activity whereas inhibition by the aminosugar was poorly affected. Loss of sensitivity to the inhibitor occurred at the onset of yeast to mycelium transition by a mechanism involving a decrease in both glucose-6-P levels and enzyme phosphorylation (Milewski et al., 1999). In terms of this property, the enzyme from S. schenckii resembles that of C. albicans. Thus, enzyme activity increased 71% following incubation with a cAMP-protein kinase and ATP and addition of a kinase inhibitor significantly reduced activation. Enzyme phosphorylation did not significantly alter the enzyme sensitivity to inhibition by UDP-N-GlcNAc (González-Ibarra et al., 2010). Clearly, more studies will be required to elucidate the role of phosphorylation in the modulation of GlcN-6-P synthase and HBP.

**Specific inhibitors of Glcn-6-P synthase and growth of S. schenckii**

Much of the interest in GlcN-6-P synthase is based on its potential as a target for antimicrobial drugs. Since the use of anticapsin back in the 70’s (Neuss et al., 1970) and other products (Krynski et al., 1952; Rogers et al., 1965; Atsumi et al., 1975; Rapp et al., 1988), much work has been dedicated to the search for new inhibitors of GlcN-6-P synthase and fungal growth. Two of these compounds were tested in S. schenckii, namely FMDP [N^6-(4-methoxyfumaroyl)-L-2,3-diaminopropanoic acid] and ADMP (2-amino-2-deoxy-D-mannitol-6-phosphate) which are analogs of L-glutamine and the putative transition state intermediate, respectively. It was observed that FMDP was over 5-fold stronger inhibitor of GlcN-6-P synthase than ADMP as inferred from the IC50 values of 14.2 μM and 74 μM, respectively (González-Ibarra et al., 2010; Figure 6). These results contrast with previous findings indicating that ADMP as well as ADGP (2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucitol-6-phosphate) are the strongest inhibitors of the enzyme (Janiak et al., 2003), suggesting a difference between the S. schenckii enzyme and that from other organisms.

The antifungal action of two FMDP-derived endotheiopetides Nva-FMDP and Lys-Nva-FMDP previously tested in C. albicans (Nowak-Jary and Andruszskiewicz, 2009) as well as FMDP, ADMP and two derivatives of ADPG like N-butanoil-ADPG and N-hexanoil-ADPG were tested on growth in microtiter plates containing YNB and RPMI 1640 culture media. In both media, FMDP, Nva-FMDP and Nva-Lys-FMDP did not reduce growth beyond a range of 11-39%. On the contrary, ADMP which was a poor enzyme inhibitor and the ADGP derivatives inhibited growth to over 90% (González-Ibarra et al., 2010; Figure 7). Amphotericin B, voriconazole and fluconazole were used as positive controls. Corresponding MIC values were 0.00039, 0.025 and 0.5 mg/ml in RPMI 1640 and 0.00156, 0.0125 and 0.05 mg/ml in YNB, respectively. The low antifungal action of FMDP and its derivatives may be due to the inability of S. schenckii to internalize these inhibitors due to the lack of a peptide permease or alternatively a fail to release the inhibitor inside the cell. Whatever the case, these findings provide further evidence for the role of the enzyme as a target for antifungals (González-Ibarra et al., 2010).

In order to purify the GlcN-6-P synthase from S. schenckii, the recombinant protein was obtained by cloning the GFA1 gene in the expression plasmid pYEX-BX obtaining the pYEX-SsGFA1 construction which was used to transform S. cerevisiae 8A and the recombinant strain was labeled as 8A-pYEX-SsGFA1. The enzyme was purified and some of its properties were compared with those of the native enzyme (Sánchez-López et al., 2015). Bioinformatic analysis of GlcN-6-P synthase of S. schenckii revealed a MW of 78.4 kDa and an isoelectric point of 6.1, which are consistent with the experimental values determined earlier for the native enzyme (González-Ibarra et al., 2010). The protein lacks potential sites for O- and N-glycosylation, it does not carry a signal peptide and contains several potential sites for phosphorylation. These properties are similar to those previously reported for the enzyme from other eukaryotes.

The functionality of GlcN-6-P synthase in the pYEX-SsGFA1 construction was assessed in the strain 8A of S. cerevisiae (A.J.P. Brown, Aberdeen, UK). This strain was auxotrophic for adenine, leucine, histidine and D-glucosamine and failed to grow on amino acid-free YNB lacking Leu or Leu plus GlcN, but it was able to do so upon transformation with the pYEX-SsGFA1 construction (8A-pYEX-SsGFA1), thereby indicating the presence in the mutant of a functional GlcN-6-P synthase. Pure recombinant GlcN-6-P was biochemically evaluated in order to determine its stability, substrate effect and susceptibility to inhibitors. Comparison of results obtained with the recombinant enzyme with those of the native protein, indicated that the recombinant enzyme has a greater stability, less susceptibility to UDP-GlcNAc, and the same susceptibility to inhibitors (Sánchez-López et al., 2015). These results are consistent with those reported in other eukaryotes.
**CW changes induced by environmental stress**

Dyes like Congo Red (CR), Calcofluor White (CFW) and other compounds that interact with CW constituents, particularly crystalline polymers, have been reported to alter the CW causing severe changes in its appearance and cell morphology (Bartnicki-Garcia et al., 1994; Bermejo et al., 2010). In this context, it has been observed that these perturbing agents inhibit β-glucan and chitin synthases in several fungi (Selitrennikoff, 1984; Roncero and Duran, 1985; Vermeulen and Wessels, 1986). In vivo, CR and CFW induce an increase in the synthesis of chitin and glucan in *Saprolegnia monoica* (Fèvre et al., 1990; Nodet et al., 1990a; Nodet et al., 1990b) and *Geotrichum lactis* (Roncero and Duran, 1985). In this context, it was observed that CFW was able to revert protoplasts from *G. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae* by increasing the synthesis of both alkali-insoluble β(1,3)-glucan and chitin (Table 1). However, it was intriguing to observe that neither CFW, CR, nor WGA were able to activate chitin synthesis in vitro. In contrast, CFW caused the degradation of *in vitro*-synthesized polysaccharides (Figure 2) (Roncero and Duran, 1985).

**Compensatory responses of *S. schenckii* to CW damage**

As mentioned before, the dynamic nature of CW adapts to changes in growth conditions and external factors and can be remodeled/repaired in a way to participate in morphogenetic processes such as mating, sporulation, and morphological transition (Orlean, 1997; Smits et al., 1999; Molina et al., 2000). Adaptation has been demonstrated by cell compensatory mechanisms that allow the CW to modify its chemical composition in response to environmental stress (Kapteyn et al., 1997; Popolo et al., 2001; Klis et al., 2002). Most of what we presently know about the molecular basis of compensatory responses to wall damage comes from studies in *S. cerevisiae* where responses to maintain CW integrity were analyzed in five yeast mutants affected in wall elaboration. This analysis revealed that perturbation induced by these mutations triggered three complex regulatory systems and that 33 out of the 290 differentially expressed genes were involved in the remodeling/repair of the wall including some related to chitin synthesis such as GFA1, AGM1 and CHS3 (Lagorce et al., 2003). Moreover, treatment of *S. cerevisiae* with CR and Zymolyase elicited the expression of a number of genes involved in wall synthesis and degradation (Garcia et al., 2004), some of which were regulated by a phosphorylation cascade involving protein kinase C (Arellano et al., 1999; Heinisch et al., 1999; Katayama et al., 1999; Calonge et al., 2000; Valdivia and Schekman, 2003; Ichinomiya et al., 2007).

### Influence of CR on GlcN-6-P synthase, growth and morphology of *S. schenckii*

To explore the compensatory responses of *S. schenckii* to CW damage, the effects of CR were investigated in terms of cell viability, morphology and GlcN-6-P synthase in both yeast and mycelium morphotypes propagated in YPG medium (Bartnicki-Garcia and Nickerson, 1962) either from conidia (CN) or the corresponding vegetative cells (Sánchez-López et al., 2019). A first striking observation was the failure of CN to germinate when incubated under conditions to produce yeast cells in the presence of 15 μM CR. However, in control cultures yeast cells grew slowly up to 8 days of incubation; thereafter, a rapid increase was observed showing a maximum growth after 12 days (Figure 3A). In contrast, in culture conditions to produce mycelium, CN germinated giving rise to filamentous cells even in the presence of a 10-fold higher concentration of CR. Under these conditions, a slight inhibition of the mycelial morphotype was observed during the first 12-14 h but then mycelial cells grew normally outgrowing control cells (Figure 3B) (Sánchez-López et al., 2019).

Results described in Figure 3 led authors to test CR on cells pre-grown in the absence of CR and then post-incubate the produced vegetative cells in the presence of the dye. To this purpose, CN were incubated in the absence of CR for either 6-8 days and 16 h in conditions adjusted to obtain the yeast and mycelium morphotypes, respectively. These cultures were correspondingly named as 6-8d-Y and 16h-M cells. After these times, CR-free cultures were divided into two equal parts: one

### Table 1 Effect of Calcofluor on the synthesis of cell wall polysaccharides in reverting protoplasts from *G. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae*.

| Organism | Polysaccharide          | Control cultures | Calcofluor treated cultures |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
|          |                         | A (cpm)          | B (cpm)                     | A (cpm) | B (cpm) |
| *G. lactis* | Alkali-insoluble β(1,3)-glucan    | 0.7              | 0.7                         | 5       | 13      |
|          | Chitin                  | 1.1              | 1.3                         | 11.7    | 42      |
| *S. cerevisiae* | Alkali-insoluble β(1,3)-glucan    | 2                | 9                           | 3.7     | 8.5     |
|          | Chitin                  | 1.3              | 4.3                         | 4.6     | 10.3    |
half of 6-8d-Y and 16h-M cells received 15 μM and 150 μM CR, respectively, while the other portions were left as controls. Cultures were then post-incubated for 2, 4 and 6 h (6-8d-Y cells) and 5 and 10 h (16h-M cells) and observed by optical (OM) and transmission electron (TEM) microscopies. Post-incubation of 6-8d-Y cells in the presence of CR induced a rapid differentiation of yeasts into the mycelium morphotype (compare Figures 4A, B) and the effect was more evident after 4 and 6 h where branched and septate mycelia and CN were clearly visible (Figures 4D, F). In the absence of CR, the culture exhibited a regular, yeast growth profile (Figures 4C, E). This was an unexpected result since it occurred in conditions set to obtain the yeast morphotype suggesting that *S. schenckii* avoids the harmful effect of the dye by switching to an insensitive morphology even under conditions not propitious for mycelial growth. This morphological response is not simple to explain in simple terms though it is conceivable that growth yeast development generates a CW structure more exposed to the agent than the mycelial morphotype (Sánchez-López et al., 2019).

In the case of mycelium, the effect of CR was limited to structural changes similar to those observed in other fungi (Vannini et al., 1983; Fèvre et al., 1990; Nodet et al., 1990a). Accordingly, following incubation of 16h-M cells for 5 h in the absence of CR, OM showed a regular, apical growing hyphae with a normal polarity and inspection of a cross section by TEM showed the presence of vesicles, a regular CW and nuclei. In contrast, post-incubation for 5 h in the presence of CR gave rise to hyphae with swollen ends and a clear loss of polarity, with a width 2- or 3-fold larger than the normal hyphae and a red-colored cell surface. TEM, on the other hand, revealed irregularly shaped, distorted cells surrounded by an electron-dense CW and accumulated intracellular pigmented bodies of variable size. Whether this pigment was CR was not investigated further. When incubation of 16h-M cells was extended to 10 h without the dye, cells showing a typical hyphal tip with a regular septum,
apical vesicles, nuclei and a normal CW were observed. In contrast, post-incubation with CR produced red-stained surface filamentous cells and internal vesicles with branched globose structures. Observation of ultra-thin sections by TEM showed an electron-dense CW and an aberrant, atypical fried egg-like cell appearance (Sánchez-López et al., 2019; Figure 2).

Fungi respond to CW perturbations by a global transcriptional mechanism that involves the differential expression of several genes related to wall elaboration. One of these is GFA1 encoding GlcN-6-P synthase for activation of chitin synthesis (Jung and Levin, 1999; Lagorce et al., 2003; García et al., 2004). In this regard, it was considered relevant to explore the effect of CR on the activity of the enzyme that regulates the levels of UDP-N-GlcNAc. In a first experiment, it was observed that 16h-M cells exhibited a low, basal enzyme activity along the time of incubation in the absence of CR. In its presence, however, enzyme activity started to increase reaching an optimum after 3 h that corresponded to a 7-fold increase over the mock cells, followed by a decrease to basal levels after 6 h of incubation (Sánchez-López et al., 2019; Figure 3). This result supports previous reports that demonstrate that activation of chitin synthesis in yeast wall mutants is concomitant with a proportional increase in GlcN-6-P synthase (Lagorce et al., 2002) and agrees with the observation that activation of GlcN-6-P synthase in A. niger results in an increased deposition of chitin in the wall (Ram et al., 2004).

Influence of CR and CFW on viability and growth of mycelium and yeast morphotypes of S. globosa

In fungi and other organisms, damage of the cell surface by external agents triggers compensatory responses aimed to maintain the integrity and functionality of the CW. Evidence for these observations were contributed to a report on S. schenckii whose CW was altered by the binding of CR and the potential involvement of GlcN-6-P synthase in these responses (Sánchez-López et al., 2019). This is a critical enzyme for CW biogenesis that has been purified and characterized in its native and recombinant forms from S. schenckii and their properties compared with their counterparts in other organisms (González-Ibarra et al., 2010; Sánchez-López et al., 2015). To get a further insight into the fungal compensatory responses, with emphasis on the role of GlcN-6-P synthase, these studies were extended to S. globosa, another pathogenic member of the Sporothrix genus that exhibits several differences with other species of the same genus and included CFW in addition to CR (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2021).

Influence of dyes on cell viability, either individually or combined, was assayed at concentrations of 0-300 μM and 0-2% for CR and CFW, respectively, and the same concentrations were maintained in the mixture. Based on the results, 150 μM CR and 1% CFW, either individually or mixed, were chosen for further studies with the mycelium. Values for the yeast morphotype were 15 μM CR and 1% CFW (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2021). It should be pointed out that in experiments carried out to determine the effect of the dyes on both morphotypes, mycelial cells were propagated using CN as inoculum, whereas for the yeast morphotype, an inoculum of vegetative cells was first prepared by growing CN for 4-6 days under conditions to obtain this morphology since it has been demonstrated that CR inhibits CN germination (Sánchez-López et al., 2019). Prior to propagation, the inoculum was filtered to get rid of some mycelia that might have been formed during pre-incubation.

As depicted in Figure 5A, CFW did not significantly affect mycelial growth whereas CR, either alone or mixed with CFW, reduced growth to about 50% when incubation was extended to 4 and 8 h. Thereafter, fungal growth was partially recovered without
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Influence of CR on growth of both morphotypes of S. schenckii. CN were inoculated and allowed to propagate in YPG medium in conditions set to produce yeast (A) or mycelial (B) cells in the absence (open circles) and presence (closed circles) of 15 μM (A) and 150 μM (B) CR and growth was evaluated after the indicated times. Reproduced from Sánchez-López et al., 2019, with permission from Springer Nature, License Number 501734282 (May 24, 2022).
reaching that of mock cells. Different results were obtained with the yeast morphotype whose growth was reduced to a significant level after 1 day of exposure to CFW and this effect was maintained up to the end of the experimental period. CR, either alone or combined with CFW, reduced growth to 50% and 92%, respectively, after one day of incubation and the inhibitory effect was maintained along the experimental time (Figure 5C). It has been proposed that growth inhibition by these stressants is due to the rigidity imposed by the binding to the fungal surface and the resulting inability of the cell to appropriately re-structure the CW (Ram and Klis, 2006). Recently, the notion was advanced that the compensatory responses of S. cerevisiae to cell surface stress by CR, Zymolyase and SDS are mediated by a CW integrity (CWI) pathway through Mid2, a membrane-resident mechanosensor, that transduces the signal to a protein phosphorylation pathway and the transcription factor Rlm1, giving rise to a strong inhibition of growth (Jiménez-Gutiérrez et al., 2020b; Jiménez-Gutierrez et al., 2020a). As a matter of fact, the role of a PKC has been reported in S. schenckii (Aquino-Piñero and Rodríguez-del-Valle, 2002; Ichinomiya et al., 2007) thus supporting the hypothesis that a comparable mechanism may function in S. globosa.

Light microscopy of yeast cultures exposed to CR, or the mixture revealed the presence of mycelial cells and abundant CN. These prompted the authors to measure growth by counting the number of CN. Results revealed that the growth curves
obtained under the different culture conditions were almost the inverse of those observed in Figure 5C. Accordingly, control cultures and those containing CFW did not produce CN after 3 days of incubation; thereafter, the CN count started to increase slowly up to the end of the incubation period. In a notorious contrast, cultures containing CR and the mixture produced abundant CN derived from conidiogenic filamentous cells formed from yeast cells (Figure 5E). These CN were morphological indistinguishable from those generated from mycelia grown under normal conditions. CR-induced morphological transition of yeast to mycelial cells confirmed previous results in *S. schenckii* (Sánchez-López et al., 2019) and most likely corresponds to a mechanism that permits the fungus to evade the noxious effect of the dye. Statistics analysis of data shown in Figures 5A, C, E are presented in Figures 5B, D, F, respectively.

**Effect of CW-interacting dyes on morphology of S. globosa**

Morphology of mycelium and yeast morphotypes incubated under different conditions was observed by brightfield (BFM) and epifluorescence (EFM) microscopies. For mycelium, fresh CN were inoculated in YPG medium with or without 150 μM CR or 1% CFW, either alone or in combination. Cultures were incubated for a period of 24 h and cell morphology was inspected every 4 h. Essentially the same protocol was set for the yeast morphotype except that yeast vegetative cells were used as inoculum and 15 μM CR and 1% CFW were used. It should be pointed out that in this case the incubation period was extended from to 2, 4 and 6 h used earlier (Sánchez-López et al., 2019) to 1, 4 and 6 days with the aim to investigate whether there was a time of return of the filamentous cells formed from yeast cells incubated with the dyes (Figure 6). Though fresh CN were incubated for 24 h and inspected every 4 h, only images obtained after 4, 8 and 12 h of incubation are shown since these were the times where the most notorious effects were observed in several experiments. After 4 h, control cultures contained hyphae of regular morphology while in those containing CR, T-shaped cells with a clear loss of polarity, globose structures and red-pigmented deposits, presumably of CR, were noticed. CFW by itself or combined with CR accumulated all over the cell surface (Figure 6A). After 8 h, CR deposits started to disappear and those remaining were observed mainly on the branching points. Some septa were occasionally seen. On its part, CWF alone or combined with CR stained the cell surface with a preference for the globose apex (Figure 6B). Essentially the same observations were made after 12 h where some CN clusters started to appear
and CFW deposited mainly at the branching points (Figure 6C) (Ortiz-Ramírez et al., 2021).

On the other hand, images captured after 1, 4 and 6 days of incubation of yeast cells with the dyes confirmed the yeast-to-mycelium transition described before (Figure 7). Accordingly, after 24 h of incubation, CR triggered a remarkable morphological shift of yeasts to conidiogenic mycelia and CN whereas only tear-shaped yeast cells were observed in mock cultures (Figure 7A). Alone, CFW stained all over the yeast cell surface and failed to induce the morphological change caused by CR. After 4 days, CR-containing cultures exhibited a complex, heterogeneous population of yeast and mycelium cells in what appeared to be an intermediate phase of the regression process of mycelia to yeasts, and an ensuing decrease of CN. With CFW, some fluorescent cells were observed (Figure 7B). After 6 days, filamentous cells induced by CR returned back to yeast cells with a significant decrease of mycelia as compared with results obtained after one day of incubation (Figure 7C). Most probably, regressive transition occurred after degradation of CR to an innocuous product. An intriguing observation was that mycelia formed in the presence of combined CR and CFW failed to return to yeast cells (Figure 7C). A hypothesis for this behavior is proposed below.

Two alternatives to explain the morphological transition induced by CR were advanced by Ortiz-Ramírez et al. (2021). On one hand, it is conceivable that response to the dye to the aggression of either the CW or the plasma membrane involves a membrane-associated sensor functionally equivalent to one of those found in S. cerevisiae, which activates a signal pathway that ultimately induces the morphological change, as has been proposed for other responses to extracellular factors (Elhasi and Blomberg, 2019). This signal transduction route is known as PKC1-SLT1 cascade (Gualtieri et al., 2004). An evidence in support of this proposal is that one of these sensors has been reported in S. schenckii and S. brasiliensis (Teixeira et al., 2015) though not yet in S. globosa. In a second alternative, mechanosensitive ion channels responsible for responses to external stimuli in many cell types (Kumamoto, 2008) may also occur in S. globosa and, after opening, allow the entrance of CR into the cell where it outputs the signal pathway for the morphological transition. It should be noticed that the dye has not been observed in mycelia produced from yeasts most likely because the low amount of CR (15 μM) used for the yeast morphotype as compared with that of the mycelium morphotype (150 μM). Whatever the case, heretofore this is
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first report of the morphological transition induced by CW damage of a truly dimorphic fungus. A reflection derived from these observations is that selective growth conditions to obtain the yeast morphotype cease to be restrictive for mycelial growth when the organism confronts the need to survive in an adverse milieu.

**GlcN-6-P synthase as a compensatory response to wall damage**

A major goal of studies dealing with compensatory responses to cell surface stress was to evaluate the involvement of GlcN-6-P synthase. Supporting reports of this role have been provided by the activation of chitin synthesis in wall mutants of *S. cerevisiae* which correlates with an increase in GlcN-6-P synthase activity (Lagorce et al., 2002; Ram et al., 2004) and, vice versa, that activation of GlcN-6-P synthase in *Aspergillus niger* results in an augmented deposition of chitin in the CW (Ram et al., 2004). In the same line, other authors reported that incubation of mycelial cells of *S. schenckii* with CR induced a significant increase in enzyme activity (Sánchez-López et al., 2019).

Further analysis of the enzyme behavior in response to the dyes revealed that incubation of the mycelium morphotype of *S. globosa* in the absence of CR gave rise to two peaks of similar activity after 4 and 16 h (Figure 8A). In the presence of CR, however, two peaks appeared 2–4 h earlier and exhibited a 24- and 7-fold higher activity as compared with the corresponding peaks in control cells (Figure 8B). It was proposed that the second peak in CR-treated mycelia may correspond to that observed earlier under similar conditions (Sánchez-López et al., 2019). With CFW, enzyme peaks did not differ much in activity and the time of appearance with respect to those observed in mock cells (Figure 8C). With the mixture, only one peak was observed after 8 h showing a 4.5-fold higher activity with respect to mock culture (Figure 8D). Results obtained with the mixture matched with the inability of mycelium cells to transit back to yeasts cells in the presence of both dyes, as noticed in Figure 7C, and strengthen the idea that CFW interferes with the effect of CR by a mechanism yet to be elucidated. Statistics analysis of all culture conditions are presented in Figures 8E and F. When values obtained with CR and the mixture were compared with control cells, the analysis of AUC revealed a highly significant difference as compared with CFW (Figure 8E). Moreover, results indicated that in the presence of CR, the enzyme reached an overpeak after 2 h whereas it required 8 h to do so in control cells (Figure 8F).

Responses of yeast cells to dyes in terms of GlcN-6-P synthase activity differed from those of mycelial cells and were more complex. Thus, two peaks of similar activity were seen in
control cultures after 1 and 4 days of incubation but significantly lower than those of mycelial cells (Figure 9A). In the presence of CR, the first peak augmented about 2.6-fold while the second one, which appear 1 day earlier, did not significantly increase over the corresponding control peak (Figure 9B). In response to CFW, yeasts expressed one peak after 2-3 days with a comparable activity to control cells (Figure 9C) while in the presence of the mixture, a peak of maximum activity was obtained after 2 days which slowly decreased. Again, activity was lower than that obtained with CR alone (Figure 9D). Statistics analysis of data obtained with yeast cells incubated under all conditions are presented in Figures 9E, F. A highly significant difference was observed when values obtained with CFW, CR and the mixture were compared with mock cells (Figure 9E). Overpeak values were 3.5, 1.0, 2.8 and 2.3 days in control cells and those exposed to CR, CFW and the mixture, respectively (Figure 9F).

It is well documented that CR and CFW bind to yeast CW chitin interfering with proper wall synthesis (Sanz et al., 2018). As mentioned above, CR is sensed mainly through Mid2 triggering the activation of cell responses to remodelate and stabilize the yeast CW. An ortholog of Mid2 has not yet been identified in S. globosa probably because not all genes are still annotated in this species. Other sensors in the yeast membrane include Wsc1, Wsc2, Wsc3 and Mtl1 which function to detect other environmental aggressors of the cell surface. It is conceivable that a membrane protein functionally similar to one of these mechanosensors plays the role of Mid2 in Sporothrix thereby sensing CR and causing the cell responses described here. An exhaustive search of the literature failed to find the sensor of CFW. However, as both dyes interact with chitin, it seems reasonable to infer that CR and CFW might share the same mechanosensor in Sporothrix. If this holds true, we hypothesize that CFW competes with CR resulting in a decrease or abolishment of CR effects. For instance, CFW by itself did not activate GlcN-6-phosphate synthase (Figure 8) nor promoted the conversion of mycelium back to yeast cells as CR did (Figure 7), just to mention a few effects.

The lower enzyme activity detected in yeast with respect to mycelial cells as well as the presence of two peaks in both control cultures and some of the other culture conditions, are not easy to explain in simple terms. A plausible explanation is that hyphal cells may require more chitin and consequently more UDP-N-GlcNAc to form the diverse structures associated with mycelial growth such as long, septate and branched conidiogenic hyphae and CN, which are not seen in yeast cells. On the other hand, a possible explanation for the expression of two peaks of activity is that early during incubation, fungal growth and hence wall synthesis depend on a pool of aminosugar precursors that once consumed by the biosynthesis of wall polymers and other products, it has to be replenished by the enzyme activity associated to the first peak. Later, after incubation continues, limitation of aminosugar and other nutrients may act as
metabolic signals that ultimately activate genes connected with wall synthesis giving rise to the second peak.

**Conclusions and future perspectives**

Detailed reports discussed in this review on the compensatory responses of two species of the pathogenic clade of *Sporothrix* genus and other fungi to CW damage by the binding of CR and CFW, strengthen the generally accepted notion that the structure and composition of the fungal outermost layer is critical to maintain the cell functionality and survival. These responses include a number of complex processes whose coordinate integration contribute to repair and remodel the CW in a way that it continues supporting all the known functions associated to its presence in the cell. Among many other responses, stand out the rapid and transient conversion to a less sensitive or resistant morphological phase even under unfavorable conditions, as it is the case to the response to CR and, to a lower extent to CFW, reported for the first time in this review. Also, the observed variation in the activity of GlcN-6-P synthase, a crucial enzyme for CW biosynthesis due to its rate-limiting role in hexosamine biosynthesis. Major perspectives should include further research to explain some observations made in these studies, such as: i) Why do CN fail to germinate in the presence of CR under conditions to obtain the yeast morphotype but they do germinate under conditions to propagate the mycelium? ii) What mechanisms use yeast cells to convert and transiently grow as mycelial cells in the presence of CR under culture conditions presumptively not formulated for yeast growth? iii) When used together, how does CFW impact the effect of CR, for instance, the switch of mycelial cells back to yeast cells? iv) Is there a pool of the glucosamine-6-P synthase transcript which is translated in response to metabolic needs or, alternatively, there is a pool of inactive enzyme that is activated by posttranslational modification when needed? v) How CR and to a lower extent CFW trigger a signaling pathway in *S. globosa* that ultimately activates the GFA1 and certainly other genes involved in wall biogenesis?
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