“I was thinking that I was already dead... now I can talk with you people, I’m so happy!” These words, spoken quietly but with great warmth by a young woman devastated by a vesicovaginal fistula and restored to dignity through compassionate operative treatment, cut cleanly through divides of geography, culture, and class. More eloquently than any statistic she speaks of the life-changing and life-saving importance of surgical services in all health care systems. The woman’s testimony features in Jaymie Ang Henry’s film, ‘The Right to Heal’ [1], a deeply moving piece of advocacy that makes a clear case for focusing far greater global attention on the huge need to achieve more equitable access to essential surgery. This is the case we take up here, with a particular focus on the needs of sub-Saharan Africa.

GLOBAL SURGICAL NEEDS

Every year an estimated 234 million major operations are performed worldwide, yet only 3.5% of procedures are performed in the poorest third of the world’s population [2]. The Disease Control Priorities for Developing Countries Project reported that 11%–15% of the global disease burden is amenable to surgical treatment [3]. This report also estimated the impact of surgical disease through disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) – a metric which combines ‘number of years lived with disability’ with ‘years of life lost’ through death prior to anticipated life expectancy. DALYs were considerably higher in low and middle income countries (LMICs), ranging from a high on the African continent of 38 DALYs per 1000 population to a worldwide low in the Americas of 21 per 1000. However, these figures do not account for a huge range of other surgical diseases.

There is a paucity of patient-level data on surgical outcomes in LMICs [4], and it is likely that LMICs are afflicted both by poor access to surgical services and the highest levels of adverse surgical outcomes. Access to essential surgical care, taken for granted in developed health care systems, remains unavailable to many of the world’s poorest [2,5].

SURGICAL CARE Provision IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

Little definitive knowledge about volume and availability of surgical care in sub-Saharan Africa exists as most evidence is anecdotal. Estimates suggest the burden of unmet need is vast [2]. Figure 1 shows a representation of world territory size proportional to the global medical workforce located in that area, vividly demonstrating the region’s profound shortage of doctors in general.

This shortage is particularly marked with regard to surgeons. Figure 2 illustrates the low number of surgeons per head of population in select African nations. Surgical specialists are in particularly short supply: Nigeria had 1 paediatric surgeon per 2.2 million children in 2003 [9]. Surgeons are also inequitably distributed throughout sub-Saharan African countries: 80%–90% of surgeons work in urban areas, although...
Surgery has a neglected profile in global health, taking a back seat to other priorities despite the fact that surgical diseases disproportionately affect the world’s poorest people. There is no specific mention of surgery in the Millennium Development Goals despite this disease burden. Surgery will need to assume a more prominent role in public health as the balance is tipped toward an increasing prevalence of surgical conditions.

The lack of human resources, infrastructure and facilities means the need for surgical services is immense. Most district hospital operating theatres in Malawi do not have dedicated staff and half lack adequate instruments, including sutures, for common surgical procedures [10]. In Chad, Madagascar, Niger, Burkina Faso and Ethiopia, Caesarean sections only account for 0.4–1.0% of all births, while the general consensus for an ideal global Caesarean section rate is 10–15% [5]. This suggests that this life-saving procedure is not available to most expectant women, and must be a key reason why women in sub-Saharan Africa have an adult lifetime maternal mortality risk of 1 in 38, compared with 1 in 3700 in developed countries [11].

Surgery and Global Health Priorities

The global health successes of the last thirty years have been largely against infectious diseases. Public health organisations are approaching eradication of polio and smallpox, and mortality from HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria are declining due to well-established interventions [12]. Largely through LMICs industrialisation, improved health care systems and the successes of the global drive to eradicate infectious disease, the usual trends of disease have been altered and non-communicable diseases now surpass infectious diseases as leading contributors to morbidity and mortality [13].

Surgery has a neglected profile in global health, taking a back seat to other priorities despite the fact that surgical diseases disproportionately affect the world’s poorest people [13]. Arguably, essential surgical care should be part of the basic right to health care. Surgery will need to assume a more prominent role in public health as the balance is tipped toward an increasing prevalence of surgical conditions.

There is no specific mention of surgery in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) despite the burden of surgi-
cally–amenable disease. Additionally there has been little mention of how improving basic surgical care would help to achieve targets within the MDGs especially MDG4 (reduce child mortality), MDG5 (improve maternal health) and MDG6 (combat HIV/AIDS) [14]. Traditionally surgery has been viewed as an expensive tool of last resort after a failure of medical therapy and one that has limited value as a prophylactic intervention.

THE CASE FOR PRIORITISING SURGICAL CARE

The existence of profound gaps in surgical provision within sub–Saharan Africa may not be surprising, but the case for focusing on surgical care development may not be immediately obvious in the context of so much unmet need. We put forward two important arguments.

Cost–effectiveness

Recent cost–effectiveness studies [15] refute the popular attitude that surgery is an unaffordable financial expense in the developing world. They demonstrate that simple and safe surgery at district hospitals represents a cost–effective component of health care which not only transforms the life of an individual; rather it also has the capacity to empower communities to enter work and support the local economy by preventing disability.

Surgical treatment of cataract provides an excellent example of the role that surgery can play in restoration of livelihoods. After living for two years with congenital cataract, the young child pictured in the illustration was granted the gift of clear sight through the charity Orbis following an operation. This enabled him to play with friends and attend school for the first time allowing him to receive an education.

This simple and effective surgery provides a child the possibility of a future free from the disabling condition and also frees another individual who would likely have been involved in their care. The link between surgical intervention in cataract and improved quality of life as well as reduction in poverty in LMICs is demonstrable through large studies. One study [16] showed surgical treatment of cataract leads to sustained improvement in per capita expenditure of the household of the patient for up to 6 years. In the Philippines, per capita expenditure increased from US$22 to US$ 39 per person per month in those receiving curative surgery compared to an increase of US$ 29 to US$ 37 in healthy controls.

Data from Grimes et al [15] demonstrate that the cost–effectiveness of surgical interventions compares well with other public health measures (Figure 3) and makes a powerful case that their utility has been unfairly neglected.

Wider health benefits

An improvement in surgical care would have wider benefits on health problems beyond specifically surgical disease. Basic surgical provision provides a valuable adjunct to the medical and social therapies already adopted by proponents of MDGs 4, 5 and 6 [14] as mentioned above.

With regard to MDG6 (combating HIV/AIDS), improving surgical provision would give clear support to this objective. As little as 18% of surgical centres in LMICs provide appropriate eye pro-
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tection and 48% provide a sharps bin for surgical staff during surgical procedures [14]. Basic training and provision of protective equipment would likely reduce the rates of HIV transmission. Similarly, despite evidence to support Caesarean sections in HIV–positive mothers, 54 countries have Caesarean section rates under 10% [5]. The majority of these countries are in Africa where HIV rates are extremely high ranging from 1.3–12% per region [12]. Striving to increase the availability of Caesarean sections in these areas will be a useful tool in the control of HIV.

THE WAY AHEAD

The world can no longer afford to neglect the importance of surgical services. How then does the global community tackle the immense global inequalities in surgical care? We offer some evidence-based suggestions.

Personnel

In view of the profound manpower shortages outlined above, a key goal for all LMICs is to increase the size and skill of their surgical workforce, and to distribute that workforce more equitably within countries. Appropriate remuneration and quality specialist training are important to keep doctors engaged. There are many successful training schemes in LMICs which have been established to equip national surgeons to meet local needs [17]. Nevertheless, the acute shortage of medical staff has meant that a central plank in the health care delivery strategy of many LMICs has been the development of a cadre of paramedical professionals, who in some countries undertake the majority of surgical procedures, particularly in smaller district hospitals, with good results [18]. Their professional development should continue to be supported.

Infrastructure and equipment

Equipment and infrastructure gaps in LMICs are well documented [14] and efforts to improve global surgical provision must include efforts to address this problem. In a recent convenience sample of 70 hospitals across 7 LMICs [19], only 59% of hospitals had a pulse oximeter in every theatre, with 33% having a pulse oximeter in recovery facilities.

Well-meaning but inappropriate donations can be unhelpful, and so gifts of equipment must be carefully planned and evaluated. Thoughtfully planned interventions such as the Lifebox Foundation’s supply of purpose-built pulse oximeters to LMIC settings, with associated training, provide an example of the effectiveness of best practice in this area [20].

Academic activity

One of the activities which has undergirded success in other areas of health care development has been focused academic work. Although there are many health research institutions in LMICs, the volume and influence of their activities needs significant further improvement [4]. International partnerships and collaborative work such as the GlobalSurg study of emergency abdominal surgery outcomes [21] can be powerful drivers of a strong local audit culture that needs developed.

In the age of the internet, partners have the opportunity to bring high-quality academic training directly to surgeons working within LMICs which will contribute to the effort to avoid ‘brain drain’ [22].
Advocacy

Finally, achieving greater focus on surgery as a developmental priority will also require political engagement [23], something that surgeons find difficult given their overwhelming clinical workload. This will mean advancing international collaborations such as the World Health Organization’s Global Initiative for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care [24], and reframing some surgical needs in terms of current political priorities, such as the 2015 Millennium Development Goals [14].

With a comprehensive plan of engagement, surgery can be redeemed from its “neglected stepchild” [25] status in global health, with huge benefits to the global population.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank the Edinburgh University Global Health Society for choosing this entry as the winner of their 2014 viewpoint article award.

Funding: None.

Authorship declaration: The authors all contributed equally towards the conception, planning and writing of various drafts and the approval of the final manuscript.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1 Henry JA. The Right to Heal [film]. Golden Hour Films; 2013. Available: http://www.therighttoheal.org/. Accessed: 11 November 2014.
2 Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, Haynes AB, Lipsitz SR, Berry WR, et al. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modelling strategy based on available data. Lancet. 2008;372:139-44. Medline:18582931 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60878-8
3 Debas HT, Gosselin R, McCord C, Thind A. Surgery. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, Alleyne G, Claeson M, Evans DB, Jha P, Mills A, Musgrove P, eds. Disease control priorities in developing countries, 2nd ed. Washington DC: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank Group, 2006, pp 1245-59.
4 Sereide K, Alderson D, Bergenfelz A, Beynon J, Connor S, Deckelbaum DL, et al. Strategies to improve clinical research in surgery through international collaboration. Lancet. 2013;382:1140-51. Medline:24075054 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61455-5
5 Gibbons L, Belizan JM, Lauer JA, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Althabe F. Inequities in the Use of Cesarean Section Deliveries in the World. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012;206:331.e1-19. Medline:22464076 doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2012.02.026
6 Worldmapper. Map No. 219. Physicians working. Copyright Sasi Group (University of Sheffield) and Mark Newman (University of Michigan). Creative Commons Licence. Available at: http://www.worldmapper.org/display.php?selected=219#. Accessed: 11 November 2014.
7 COSECSA. College of Surgeons of East, Central and Southern Africa. Available: http://www.cosecsa.org/. Accessed: 11 November 2014.
8 Royal College of Surgeons England. Surgical workforce 2011. A report from The Royal College of Surgeons of England in collaboration with the surgical specialty associations. London: RCS Publications, 2012.
9 Ameh EA, Adejuyigbe O, Nmadu PT. Pediatric surgery in Nigeria. J Pediatr Surg. 2006;41:542-6. Medline:16516632 doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2005.11.053
10 Tindall A, Lavy C, Steinlechner C, Mkandawire N, Chimangeni S. Surgical facilities available at district hospitals in Malawi. Malawi Med J. 2007;19:28-9. Medline:23878629 doi:10.4314/mmj.v19i1.10930
11 WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank, United Nations Population Division. Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2013. Estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank and the United Nations Population Division. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2014.
12 Murray CJ, Ortblad KE, Guinnovart C, Lim SS, Wolock TM, Roberts DA, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence and mortality for HIV, tuberculosis, and malaria during 1990-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2014;384:1087-117. Medline:25059949
13 Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380:2074-234. Medline:23245608 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4
14 Kushner AL, Cherian MN, Noel L, Spiegel DA, Groth S, Etienne C. Addressing the millennium development goals from a surgical perspective: Essential surgery and anesthesia in 8 low- and middle-income countries. Arch Surg. 2010;145:154-9. Medline:20157083 doi:10.1001/archsurg.2009.263

15 Grimes CE, Henry JA, Maraka J, Mkandawire NC, Cotton M. Cost-effectiveness of surgery in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. World J Surg. 2014;38:252-63. Medline:24101020 doi:10.1007/s00268-013-2243-y

16 Danquah L, Kuper H, Eusebio C, Rashid MA, Bowen L, Foster A, et al. The long term impact of cataract surgery on quality of life, activities and poverty: Results from a six year longitudinal study in Bangladesh and the Philippines. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e94140. Medline:24747192 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094140

17 Mutabdzic D, Bedada AG, Bakanisi B, Motsumi J, Azzie G. Designing a Contextually appropriate surgical training program in low-resource settings: The Botswana experience. World J Surg. 2013;37:1486-91. Medline:22851149 doi:10.1007/s00268-012-1731-9

18 Chilopora G, Pereira C, Kamwendo F, Chimbiri A, Malungu E, Bergstrom S. Postoperative outcome of caesarean sections and other major emergency obstetric surgery by clinical officers and medical officers in Malawi. Hum Resour Health. 2007;5:17. Medline:17570847 doi:10.1186/1478-4491-5-17

19 LeBrun DG, Chackungal S, Chao TE, Knowlton LM, Linden AF, Notrica MR, et al. Prioritizing essential surgery and safe anesthesia for the Post-2015 Development Agenda: operative capacities of 78 district hospitals in 7 low- and middle-income countries. Surgery. 2014;155:365-73. Medline:24439745 doi:10.1016/j.surg.2013.10.008

20 Finch LC, Kim Ry, Ttendo S, Kiwanuka Jk, Walker IA, Wilson IH, et al. Evaluation of a large-scale donation of Lifebox pulse oximeters to non-physician anaesthetists in Uganda. Anaesthesia. 2014;69:445-51. Medline:24738801 doi:10.1111/anae.12632

21 Bhangu A, Fitzgerald JE, Fergusson S, Khatri C, Holmer H, Stride K, et al. Determining universal processes related to best outcome in emergency abdominal surgery: a multicentre, international, prospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2014;4:e006239. Medline:25354824 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006239

22 Mains EAA, Blackmur JP, Dewhurst D, Ward RM, Garden OJ, Wigmore SJ. Study on the feasibility of provision of distance learning programmes in surgery to Malawi. Surgeon. 2011;9:322-5. Medline:22041645 doi:10.1016/j.surge.2010.11.032

23 Hedges JP, Mock Cn, Cherian MN. The Political Economy of Emergency and Essential Surgery in Global Health. World J Surg. 2010;34:2003-6. Medline:2054792 doi:10.1007/s00268-010-0610-5

24 Spiegel DA, Abdullah F, Price RR, Gosselin RA, Bickler SW. World Health Organization Global Initiative for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care: 2011 and Beyond. World J Surg. 2013;37:1462-9. Medline:23150072 doi:10.1007/s00268-012-1831-6

25 Farmer PE, Kim Y. Surgery and Global Health: A View from Beyond the OR. World J Surg. 2008;32:533-6. Medline:18311574 doi:10.1007/s00268-008-9525-9

Correspondence to: Ewan D. Kennedy Medical student The College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine The University of Edinburgh Edinburgh, Scotland, UK E.D.Kennedy@doctors.org.uk