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Abstract
Objective: The uptake of 3'-[18F]fluoro-3'-deoxythymidine (FLT), a proliferation marker, was measured before and during fractionated radiotherapy to evaluate the potential of FLT-positron emission tomography (PET) imaging as an indicator of tumor response compared to 2'-deoxy-2'-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose (FDG).

Materials and Methods: Nude mice bearing established human head and neck xenografts (HNX-OE; nu/nu mice) were locally irradiated (three fractions/week; 22 Gy) using a 150-kVp unit. Multiple FDG- and FLT-PET scans were acquired during treatment. Tumor volume was determined regularly, and tissue was analyzed for biomarkers involved in tracer uptake.

Results: Both groups revealed a significant decline in tumor volume (P<0.01) compared to untreated tumors. For FDG as well as for FLT, a significant decline in retention was observed at day 4. For FLT, most significant decline in retention was observed at day 12; whereas, for FDG, this was already noted at day 4. Maximum decline in tumor-to-nontumor ratios (T/NT) for FDG and FLT was 42±18% and 49±16% (mean±SD), respectively. FLT uptake was higher then that of FDG. For FLT, statistical significant correlations were found for both tumor volume at baseline and at day 29 with T/NT and ΔT/NT. All tumors demonstrated expression of glucose transporter-1, thymidine kinase-1, and hexokinase II. No differences were found for amount of tumor cells and necrosis at the end of treatment.

Conclusion: This new experimental in vivo model supports the promise of using FLT-PET, as with FDG-PET, to monitor response to external radiotherapy. This warrants further clinical studies to compare these two tracers especially in cancers treated with radiotherapy.

Key words: FDG, FLT, PET, Radiotherapy, Response monitoring, Head and neck xenograft model

Introduction

Head and neck cancer represents 5% of all malignancies diagnosed annually, and >90% of tumors are of the...
squamous cell type [1]. The overall 5-year survival rate for patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) of 50% is among the lowest of the major cancer types [2]. Traditional staging of HNSCC depends on site of disease, size and extent of the primary tumor, cervical lymph node involvement, and presence or absence of distant metastasis [3]. Currently, surgery and radiotherapy are treatments of choice for primary HNSCC, with chemotherapy reserved for more widespread disease [4]. Because of variations in biological behavior, tumors of the same stage often respond differently to the same treatment. Therefore, not only correct staging of HNSCC but also monitoring response to treatment is essential.

Unlike computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging, which are anatomical imaging techniques, positron emission tomography (PET) is a quantitative molecular imaging modality that allows for noninvasive in vivo imaging and quantification of biological processes, such as metabolism, receptor density, cell proliferation, and uptake of therapeutic agents. Consequently, PET is a valuable tool for detecting and especially staging of various tumor types. 2′-deoxy-2′-[18F]fluoro-D-glucose (FDG), a glucose analog, is the most commonly used PET tracer in oncology, with diagnostic, staging, and response monitoring applications [5, 6]. A disadvantage of FDG-PET is uptake in other tissues with physiologically high glucose consumption, such as brain, muscle, inflammatory tissue, macrophages, and lymphocytes [7]. In addition, FDG is less suitable for monitoring response after/during radiotherapy, as often increased uptake is seen after radiation caused by inflammatory lesions and fibrosis [8–11].

Therefore, other tracers have been developed, such as 3′-Y-[18F]fluoro-3′-deoxythymidine (FLT), which is considered to be more specific for proliferation, another characteristic of tumor cells [12–14]. FLT is retained in proliferating tissues after it is phosphorylated by cytosolic thymidine kinase-1 (TK-1), one of the key cytosolic enzymes in the synthesis of DNA [15–19]. In most species, no metabolites of FLT can be demonstrated [12]; only in monkeys and humans glucuronidation of FLT has been observed. Shields et al. [13] have demonstrated that it is possible to image tumor cell proliferation using FLT. In general, sensitivity of detecting tumors by FLT is comparable to that with FDG [12, 20]. Detection of bone metastases is more difficult with FLT because of high physiological uptake of FLT in proliferating bone marrow cells. However, unlike FDG, FLT is able to discriminate between tumor and inflammatory tissue [21]. Therefore, FLT might be a suitable marker for tumor response monitoring, especially after radiation therapy.

In the present study, first radiosensitivity of the human head and neck cancer xenograft HNX-OE [22, 23] was investigated. Next, FDG and FLT uptake in tumors during and after fractionated radiotherapy was investigated within the context of monitoring response to therapy. In vivo tracer uptake was correlated with efficacy of radiotherapy and with biological features in excised tumors subsequently.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animal Model

Both flanks of NMRI nu/nu mice (age, 8–10 weeks; weight, 22–28 g; Harlan, Zeist, the Netherlands) were implanted subcutaneously with small tissue pieces of the human HNSCC HNX-OE, as described previously [24]. Mice were kept in sterile surroundings with standardized light/dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum. Tumor size was determined by caliper measurements at least twice a week using the formula

\[
T_{vol} = \frac{t 
 w 
 h}{2} \quad (l; \text{length;} \ w; \text{; width; } h; \text{; height of the tumor}) \ [25, 26].
\]

Tumor volume started at tumor volumes between 50 and 150 mm³. After the experiment, mice were sacrificed and tumor tissue was rapidly excised. Tumors were excised at days 38 and 36 after start of treatment for the FDG and FLT group, respectively. Mice from the control group were killed at day 16. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the Dutch Law on Animal Experimentation and the institutional committee on animal experimentation.

#### Radiotherapy

Local external beam radiation was applied using a clinical X-ray therapy unit (PANTAK Therapax SXT, 150 kV photon, filter 8 [0.2 Al+1.0 Cu]) at a dose rate of 206.6 Gy/min. For homogeneous dose distributions, anteroposterior and posteroanterior external beam radiotherapy fields were used. Radiation was performed under intraperitoneal anesthesia using a mixture of Ketamine/xylazine (2/1; 1 ml/kg; i.p.).

#### Experimental Design

**Radiosensitivity** As radiosensitivity of the tumor line HNX-OE was unknown, a maximum tolerated dose (MTD) finding study was carried out first. MTD was defined as a weight loss of maximally 10% in the group of mice, with a maximum of 15% per individual mouse. The weight of the mice was measured three times a week. Tumor-bearing nude mice were randomized into five groups of six mice each. Xenografts were exposed locally to a single radiation dose of 10, 14, 18 or 22 Gy, while the fifth group was not irradiated (control group). Tumors were measured three times a week, and growth curves were established, relating mean volume over time to the volume on the first day of irradiation. Antitumor activity was expressed as tumor-to-control tumor volume ratio (T/C) in percentage to control. Increase in life span (ILS) was also calculated. ILS was defined as the median life span of the treated group divided by the median life span of the control group, using the day of transplantation as day 0. The day of death was defined as the day at which mice were taken off the experiment.

Differences in significance between the antitumor effects of a particular treatment were determined by means of Kaplan–Meier analysis.

#### Production of PET Tracers

FLT was produced according to the method described by Machulla et al. [27] with modifications. This procedure resulted in a good manufacturing practice (GMP) compliant, pyrogen-free, sterile production of FLT with a radiochemical purity >97% and an average (±SD) yield of 1.5±0.5 GBq and a mean specific activity

\[
\text{Specific Activity} = \frac{\text{Activity}}{\text{Mass}}.
\]

\[
\text{Specific Activity} = \frac{\text{Activity}}{\text{Mass}}.
\]
of 100.2 GBq/μmol. FDG was produced under GMP conditions for human use by BV Cyclotron VU (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) with a radiochemical purity of >97%.

**PET Protocol**

PET studies were performed with a double-LSO-layer High Resolution Research Tomograph (HRRT, CTI, Knoxville, TN), a dedicated animal and human brain scanner with no interplane septa (3D) and with depth-of-interaction information. This scanner combines high uniform spatial resolution with high absolute sensitivity [28]. For attenuation correction, a transmission scan using a single-photon 137-Cs transmission point source was acquired.

PET scans were acquired under inhalation anesthesia (mixture of 5% isoﬂurane: 95% oxygen). Acquisitions started 30 min after tracer injection. Data were acquired in list mode during 60 min and rebinned retrospectively into a series of four sinograms of 15 min duration. All data were normalized, corrected for attenuation, and reconstructed (using OSEM3D) into a series of image volumes with a cubical voxel size of 1.2 mm. Reconstructed resolution was almost isotropic with 2.2 mm full width at half maximum in the center of the field of view and 2.5 mm at 10 cm off-axis [28].

**Response Monitoring**

Three groups, each of six tumor-bearing mice, were followed over time. One group served as control and the other two groups (FDG and FLT groups) were exposed to 22 Gy in six fractions over a 2-week treatment schedule. PET scans were performed in these latter two groups before and during radiotherapeutic treatment, according to the schedule depicted in Table 1. Tracer dose was 5.0 MBq for FDG and FLT, respectively.

**PET Analysis**

Three-dimensional (3-D) regions of interest (ROIs) were placed semiautomatically using dedicated software [29]. The tumor hot spot was interactively set in the plane where the tumor was best visible (frames 30–60 min), and ROIs were then set automatically using a 3-D region-growing algorithm around the tumor area and in a corresponding normal tissue next to the tumor area in all planes where the tumor was found. Tumor ROIs were copied to a corresponding normal tissue next to the tumor area in all planes where the tumor was found. Tumor ROIs were copied to normal tissue to obtain normal tissue ROIs of comparable size and shape. Activity was calculated in pixels with an intensity of 70% compared to the most intense pixel. Reference ROIs were used for T/NT ratio calculation.

\[ \text{T/NT} = \frac{\text{Radioactivity Concentration in Tumor (MBq/cc)}}{\text{Radioactivity Concentration in Normal Tissue (MBq/cc)}} \]

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry was performed on 4-μm thick formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded or 5 μm of cryostat xenograft tissue sections as described earlier [30, 31]. Tumors were all excised at days 38 and 36 after start of treatment for the FDG and FLT group, respectively. Mice from the control group were all killed at day 16. Representative sections of tumors were quantitatively analyzed for the percentage of tumor cells, p53 nuclear staining and Ki67 expression, as described previously [30]. An experienced pathologist (G. M.), blinded to all other data, assessed the immunohistochemically stained slides on a light microscope. All tumor cells were examined for vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hexokinase (HK) isoforms I, II, and III was graded as negative (−), weak (+), positive (2+), and strongly positive (3+), ignoring nuclear staining, which was frequently noted for all HKs. The numbers of cells with Glut-1 membrane and/or cytoplasmic staining, the amount of microvessels and CD68-positive macrophages, were semiquantitatively scored as none (−), occasionally (+), moderately frequent (2+), or frequent (3+).

**Statistical Analysis**

In the radiosensitivity study, treatment effects in each group were examined using Kaplan–Meier analysis (SPSS for Windows 11.0.1, SPSS, Chicago, IL) followed by a log-rank test.

In the response monitoring study, differences between control and FDG and FLT groups were examined by repeated measures analysis of variance followed by a least significant difference test. Univariate logistic regression was performed to assess the relation between tumor volume after radiotherapy and final PET values (T/NT). For testing associations between FDG and FLT accumulation (defined as low vs high) and biological markers (defined as negative/weak vs positive expression), a Fisher’s exact test was performed. Median values were used as cut-off levels. The strength of association between ordinal variables was represented by Spearman’s rank correlation.

**Results**

**Radiosensitivity**

To determine the radiosensitivity of human HNX-OE xenografts, tumors were locally irradiated with escalating doses of external beam radiation. Tumor growth in all treatment groups was inhibited compared with that in the control mice, in a dose-dependent way (Fig. 1). Tumor regrowth started 9 and 23 days after start of treatment in the...
groups treated with 10 and 14 Gy and 18 and 22 Gy, respectively. A summary of treatment effects is listed in Table 2. All treated groups showed an ILS, and MTD was found to be 22 Gy. Statistical analysis demonstrated a significant difference ($P<0.001$) between treated and control tumors. In addition, a significant difference was found between the 10 and 14 Gy group and the 18 and 22 Gy groups ($P<0.007$).

No significant difference was found between tumors treated with doses of 18 and 22 Gy ($P=0.379$).

**Response Monitoring**

Tumor-bearing mice received a baseline PET scan (FDG or FLT), and tumors were fractioned exposed to 22 Gy in total (six fractions, three times a week). Mice followed the schedule over 2 weeks, and results were compared with tumor-bearing mice in the control group. The growth curve of HNX-OE tumor xenografts in untreated and irradiated mice is presented in Fig. 2. Statistical analysis demonstrated a significant difference ($P<0.001$) between treated and control tumors from day 9 in both FDG and FLT group. No statistical significant difference was found between the FDG and FLT groups. A significant decline in tumor volume for FDG ($n=12$ tumors) and FLT ($n=11$ tumors) groups was found (both $P<0.01$). For both groups, tumor regrowth started after day 29.

During radiotherapy, the maximum decline in T/NT measured for FDG and FLT was 42±18% (scan 3) and 49±16% (scan 4; mean±SD), respectively. At all time points, FLT uptake was higher than that for FDG, but FDG uptake showed less variation. For FLT, the decrease seen in the first week was followed by a much larger decrease in the second week (Fig. 3a, b). For FDG as well

![Fig. 1. Tumor growth of HNX-OE xenografts relative to tumor volume at start of local radiotherapy.](image-url)

**Table 2. Treatment effects after radiotherapy of HNX-OE xenografts**

| Group | 10 Gy | 14 Gy | 18 Gy | 22 Gy |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Irradiation dose (Gy) | 9.9±0.4 | 14.0±0.1 | 18.0±0.7 | 22.0±0.2 |
| ILS$^b$ | 188±99 | 336±127 | 420±112 | 413±192 |
| T/C$^c$ | | | | |
| Day 2 | 22±22 | 17±30 | 28±26 | 21±14 |
| Day 6 | 50±13 | 48±23 | 58±12 | 48±13 |
| Day 8 | 47±17 | 50±21 | 61±16 | 50±17 |
| Day 10 | 50±11 | 57±30 | 68±20 | 56±19 |
| Day 13 | 70±32 | 71±15 | 75±14 | 70±9 |
| Day 15 | 77±10 | 82±17 | 88±7 | 82±8 |
| Day 17 | 77±8 | 77±28 | 89±4 | 84±8 |
| Day 20 | 81±22 | 81±19 | 94±4 | 91±4 |

$^a$Dose in gray (Gy, mean±SD)

$^b$Increased life span in percentage to control (mean±SD)

$^c$Tumor-to-control tumor volume ratio (T/C) in percentage to control (mean±SD)
as for FLT, a significant decline in retention (relative T/NT ratio) was observed at scan 2 (day 4). Next to this observation, for FLT, the most significant decline in retention (relative T/NT ratio) was observed at scan 4 (day 12), whereas for FDG, this was already noted from scan 2 (day 4). Table 3 summarizes the results from the statistical analyses correlating T/NT and changes in T/NT (ΔT/NT) to tumor volumes at baseline ($V_{\text{baseline}}$) to exclude differences in the initial situation and at day 29 ($V_{\text{day29}}$). For FDG, no statistical significant correlation was found between $V_{\text{baseline}}$
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Fig. 2. Tumor growth of HNX-OE xenografts relative to tumor volume at start of local radiotherapy in controls and FDG and FLT groups.

![Graph](image2.png)

Fig. 3. FDG and FLT accumulation in HNX-OE xenografts. a absolute T/NT, tumor-to-nontumor ratio; b relative T/NT, tumor-to-nontumor ratio.
and any of the T/NT values. In contrast, for FLT, statistical significant correlations were found for both $V_{\text{baseline}}$ and $V_{\text{day29}}$ with T/NT and $\Delta T/NT$ of scans 2 and 3. Statistical significance disappeared with respect to scan 4 possibly because of the 4-day period between the last radiotherapy and scan 4. For FDG, only a significant correlation was found between $V_{\text{day29}}$ and T/NT baseline. A predictive effect of baseline tumor volume was not found.

Immunohistochemistry

Table 4 summarizes the immunohistochemical results for irradiated tumors together with tumor cell density and amount of necrosis. Notable findings for irradiated tumors as compared to control tumors were high levels of Glut-1 expression (13 of 15 tumors), HK II (9 of 16 tumors), and Ki-67 expression (12 of 13 tumors). Expression of VEGF, HK I, HK III, and TK-I expression was similar for control tumors and irradiated tumors. The same was true for number of tumor cells and necrosis. However, no statistical significant correlation could be demonstrated between the biomarkers at the end of treatment and FDG or FLT uptake. Histological findings showed severe radiation damage in tumors.

Discussion

In the present study, the PET tracers FDG and FLT were studied as potential tools for monitoring response during fractionated radiotherapy. In an animal model of head and neck cancer, the predictive value of FDG and FLT uptake for tumor response to radiotherapy was assessed. In addition, tumor biology and radiosensitivity of these xenografts were studied.

To the best of our knowledge, there has been no report on the radiosensitivity of the human HNSCC HNX-OE xenografts. Knowledge of radiosensitivity is required to be able to use this animal model for radiation studies. Milas et al. [32] reported treatment of nu/nu mice bearing A431 tumor xenografts, derived from human epidermoid carcinoma, with a single dose of 18 Gy. Whether this dose equaled MTD was not reported. In a study of Jackel et al. [33] with hypopharynx carcinoma xenografts, radiation doses varied between 15 and 30 Gy, leading to a temporarily decrease in tumor volume. In the present model, using locally applied increasing radiation doses, MTD for HNX-OE xenografts was found to be 22 Gy with a concomitant large decrease in tumor volume, indicating a valid head and neck cancer animal model for radiation studies. Therefore, for further experiments with the HNX-OE tumor line, radiotherapy with a dose of 22 Gy was favored.

To study response monitoring in the HNX-OE xenograft model, a protocol was designed mimicking conventional clinical radiotherapy protocols, with the view of predicting effects of radiotherapy as soon as possible. From FDG studies, it is known that, in general, changes in FDG signal appear before changes can be detected in tumor volume [34]. For FLT, however, little is known. Based on the radiosensitivity experiments, it was assumed that fractionated radiotherapy with a total dose of 22 Gy would result in an adequate radiation dose for determination of the time course of FDG and FLT signals after radiotherapy under conditions that are relevant clinically. Indeed, a significant reduction in tumor volume was observed after this treatment. Tumor growth curves in both FDG and FLT groups

| Group | VEGF | Glut-1 | HK I | HK II | HK III | Ki-67 (%) | TK-I | Tumor (%) | Necrosis (%) |
|-------|------|--------|------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| FLT   | **   | ↑      | **   | ↑     | ↑      | ↑         | **  | **        | **          |
| FDG   | **   | ↑      | **   | ↑     | ↑      | ↑         | **  | **        | **          |

VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor, Glut-1 glucose transporter protein-1, HK hexokinase, Ki-67 proliferation marker, TK-I thymidine kinase 1, Tumor (%) percentage of tumor cells, Necrosis (%) percentage of necrosis, -- same range as control; ↑ higher than control

aMice from the control, FDG, and FLT group were killed, and all tumors were rapidly excised on days 16, 38, and 36, respectively
followed a similar pattern over time. FLT uptake was, however, higher than that of FDG, and both FDG and FLT uptake showed rapid response to radiotherapy. The decrease in FLT uptake as seen in the first week was followed by a much larger decrease in the second week, which was not the case for FDG uptake. For FDG as well as for FLT, a significant decline in retention (relative T/NT ratio) was observed at scan 2 (day 4). Next to this observation, for FLT, the most significant decline in retention (relative T/NT ratio) was observed at scan 4 (day 12); whereas for FDG, this was already noted from scan 2 (day 4). Statistical significance disappeared with respect to scan 4 possibly because of the 4-day period between the last radiotherapy and scan 4. In a study of Sugiyama et al. [35], C3H/He mice, bearing SCCVII tumors (murine SCC), who received a single dose of 20 Gy, showed a rapid response to radiotherapy both with respect to FDG and FLT uptake in line with the present results.

Several patient studies have demonstrated a significant higher uptake of FDG than FLT in various cancer types [20, 36, 37]. In the present animal model, FDG accumulation in HNX-OE xenografts was relatively low compared to similar animal studies of Zhuang et al. [11] and Dearling et al. [38]. On the other hand, Humm et al. [39] reported that FDG uptake in xenografts grown in rodents was usually low, as most activity was observed in other tissues such as bladder, liver, brain, and heart. Considerable variability in FDG and FLT uptake was observed both before and after radiotherapy. Differences in tumor architecture, like capillary and tumor density and hypoxic and necrotic cell fractions, might have contributed to this variability. In addition, differences in response to radiotherapy might have led to a variance in tracer uptake. Differences in inflammatory reactions, which are conceivable, may alter FDG uptake [5, 8–11]. However, no differences with respect to biomarkers, possibly involved in tracer uptake, were seen between FDG and FLT groups. Compared to the control group, high levels—though not statistically significant—of glucose transporter-1, HK II, and Ki67 expression were found at the end of treatment in both FDG and FLT groups. This might possibly implicate survival of cells with a more aggressive phenotype after treatment. One has to bear in mind, however, that control tumors in this study were excised 16 days after start of the experiments—as a result of tumor load—compared to 36/38 days for the FDG and FLT groups.

With respect to FLT variability, irradiation effects on TK-1 activity have been thought to alter FLT uptake [18, 40]. Temporary inhibition of this key enzyme after low dose irradiation has been reported [41]. In a study of Wei et al. [40], an increase in TK-1 activity was found 24 h after irradiation. Therefore, the time between irradiation and PET scan should be as long as possible to allow for recovery of TK-1 from short-term irradiation effects. A period of 48–72 h between radiotherapy and PET scans used in the present study is, however, considered to be long enough to exclude effects on TK-1.

Humm et al. [39] examined FDG uptake after radiotherapy in rats and found no predictive effect. However, very large variations were observed in starting size of the tumors as well as in tumor growth patterns. Nonetheless, their data did demonstrate a trend between decreasing total FDG accumulation and decreasing tumor burden with an increase in recurrences. In our study, to exclude differences in the initial situation for both tracer groups and to analyze a possible predictive tool, the relationship between tracer uptake and baseline tumor volume was analyzed. A predictive effect by PET tracer uptake on tumor volume after radiotherapy has been found in the presented study: tracer uptake—expressed as baseline T/NT value—predicted tumor volume later on (high baseline uptake predicted small tumors at day 29 [day of tumor regrowth]). A predictive effect of baseline tumor volume itself was not found.

In conclusion, results from this new experimental in vivo model support the promise of using FLT-PET, as with FDG-PET, to monitor response to external radiotherapy. This warrants further clinical studies to compare these two tracers especially in cancers treated with radiotherapy.
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