Where is the string limit in QCD?
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The energies of glue in the presence of a static quark-antiquark pair are calculated for separations $r$ ranging from 0.1 fm to 4 fm and for various quark-antiquark orientations on the lattice. Our simulations use an improved gauge-field action on anisotropic space-time lattices. Discretization errors and finite volume effects are studied. We find that the spectrum does not exhibit the expected onset of the universal $\pi/r$ Goldstone excitations of the effective QCD string, even for $r$ as large as 4 fm. Our results cast serious doubts on the validity of treating glue in terms of a fluctuating string for $r$ below 2 fm. Retardation effects in the $\Upsilon$ system are also studied by comparing level splittings from the Born-Oppenheimer approximation with those directly obtained in simulations.

1. Introduction

Accurate knowledge of the properties of the stationary states of glue in the presence of the simplest of color sources, that of a static quark and antiquark separated by some distance $r$, is an important stepping stone on the way to understanding confinement. It is generally believed that at large $r$, the linearly-growing ground-state energy of the glue is the manifestation of the confining flux whose fluctuations can be described in terms of an effective string theory. The lowest-lying excitations are then the Goldstone modes associated with spontaneously-broken transverse translational symmetry. Expectations are less clear for small $r$.

Even the simplest property, the energy spectrum, of the stationary states of glue interacting with a static quark-antiquark pair is not accurately known. The main goal of this work is to remedy this. Here, we present, for the first time, a comprehensive determination of the low-lying spectrum of gluonic excitations in the presence of a static quark-antiquark pair. In this initial study, the effects of light quark-antiquark pair creation are ignored. A few of the energy levels for $r$ less than 1 fm have been studied before. Our results for these quantities have significantly improved precision, and we have extended the range in $r$ to 4 fm. Most of the energy levels presented here have never been studied before. Some of our results were previously reported.

The determination of the energies of glue in the presence of a static quark-antiquark pair is also the first step in the Born-Oppenheimer treatment of conventional and hybrid heavy-quark mesons. The validity of the Born-Oppenheimer expansion depends, in part, on the smallness of retardation effects. In order to quantify such effects for the first time, mass splittings for various conventional and hybrid heavy-quark mesons obtained from the leading Born-Oppenheimer approximation are compared to those determined in simulations in which the heavy quark propagates according to a spin-independent nonrelativistic action.

2. Computation of the glue energies

We adopt the standard notation from the physics of diatomic molecules and use $\Lambda$ to denote the magnitude of the eigenvalue of the projection $\vec{J}_g \cdot \vec{r}$ of the total angular momentum $\vec{J}_g$ of the gluons onto the molecular axis $\vec{r}$. The capital Greek letters $\Sigma, \Pi, \Delta, \Phi, \ldots$ are used to indicate states with $\Lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, respectively. The combined operations of charge conjugation and spatial inversion about the midpoint between the quark and the antiquark is also a symmetry and its eigenvalue is denoted by $\eta_{CP}$. States with $\eta_{CP} = 1(-1)$ are denoted by the subscripts $g (u)$. There is an additional label for the $\Sigma$ states; $\Sigma$
states which are even (odd) under a reflection in a plane containing the molecular axis are denoted by a superscript + (−). Hence, the low-lying levels are labelled \( \Sigma^+_g, \Sigma^-_g, \Sigma^+_u, \Sigma^-_u, \Pi_g, \Xi_u, \Delta_g, \Delta_u \), and so on. For convenience, we use \( \Gamma \) to denote these labels in general.

The glue energies \( E_\Gamma(\gamma) \) were extracted from Monte Carlo estimates of generalized Wilson loops. Recall that the well-known static potential \( E_{\Sigma^+_g}(r) \) can be obtained from the large-\( t \) behaviour \( \exp[-tE_{\Sigma^+_g}(r)] \) of the Wilson loop for a rectangle of spatial length \( r \) and temporal extent \( t \). In order to determine the lowest energy in the \( \Gamma \) sector, each of the two spatial segments of the \( r \times t \) rectangular Wilson loop must be replaced by a sum of spatial paths, all sharing the same starting and terminating sites, which transforms as \( \Gamma \) under all symmetry operations. The easiest way to do this is to start with a single path \( \mathcal{P}_\alpha \), such as a staple, and apply the \( \Gamma \) projection operator which is a weighted sum over all symmetry operations; this yields a single gluon operator in the \( \Gamma \) channel. Different gluon operators correspond to different starting paths \( \mathcal{P}_\alpha \). Using several (typically 3 to 22) different such operators then produces a matrix of Wilson loop correlators \( W^\gamma_{ij}(r,t) \).

Monte Carlo estimates of the \( W^\gamma_{ij}(r,t) \) matrices were obtained in eight simulations performed on a DEC AlphaStation 500/333 using an improved gauge-field action\(^4\). The couplings \( \beta \), input aspect ratios \( \xi \), and lattice sizes for each simulation are listed in Table 1. Our use of anisotropic lattices in which the temporal lattice spacing \( a_t \) was much smaller than the spatial spacing \( a_s \) was crucial for resolving the glue spectrum, particularly for large \( r \). The couplings in the action depend not only on the QCD coupling \( \beta \), but also on two others parameters: the mean temporal link \( u_t \) and the mean spatial link \( u_s \). Following Ref.\(^4\), we set \( u_t = 1 \) and obtain \( u_s \) from the spatial plaquette. We use \( a_s/a_t = \xi \), the input or bare anisotropy, in all of our calculations, accepting the small radiative corrections to the anisotropy as finite lattice spacing errors which vanish in the continuum limit.

To hasten the onset of asymptotic behaviour, iteratively-smeared spatial links\(^4\) were used in the generalized Wilson loops. A single-link procedure was used in which each spatial link variable \( U_j(x) \) on the lattice is mapped into itself plus a sum of its four neighbouring (spatial) staples multiplied by a weighting factor \( \zeta \). The resulting matrix is then projected back into \( SU(3) \). This mapping is then applied recursively \( n_\zeta \) times, forming new smeared links out of the previously-obtained smeared links. The \( (\zeta, n_\zeta) \) smearing schemes used in the simulations are given in Table 1. Separate measurements were taken for each smearing; cross correlations were not determined. The temporal segments in the Wilson loops were constructed from thermally-averaged links, whenever possible, to reduce statistical noise.

Results for several values of the lattice spacing

---

Table 1
Simulation parameters, including the coupling \( \beta \), input aspect ratio \( \xi \), lattice size, and the spatial link smearing parameters \( \zeta \) and \( n_\zeta \). The approximate lattice spacings \( a_s \), calculated assuming \( r_0^{-1} = 410 \text{ MeV} \), are also given.

| Run | \( a_s \) (fm) | \( \beta \) | \( \xi \) | Lattice | \( (\zeta, n_\zeta) \) |
|-----|----------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| A   | 0.29           | 2.1     | 8       | (10\(^2\)×20)×80 | (0.07, 12) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.10, 12)       |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.15, 12)       |
| B   | 0.29           | 2.1     | 8       | (10\(^2\)×20)×80 | (0.10, 12) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.15, 12)       |
| C   | 0.29           | 2.1     | 8       | 14\(^4\)×56   | (0.15, 6) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.10, 12)       |
| D   | 0.27           | 2.2     | 5       | 12\(^4\)×48   | (0.10, 4) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.20, 4)        |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.30, 4)        |
| E   | 0.22           | 2.4     | 5       | 14\(^4\)×56   | (0.10, 8) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.15, 8)        |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.25, 8)        |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.30, 8)        |
| F   | 0.19           | 2.5     | 5       | (10\(^2\)×25)×60 | (0.10, 12) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.18, 12)       |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.26, 12)       |
| G   | 0.19           | 2.6     | 3       | 10\(^4\)×30   | (0.15, 8) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.30, 8)        |
| H   | 0.12           | 3.0     | 3       | 15\(^4\)×45   | (0.15, 24) |
|     |                 |         |         |         | (0.22, 24)       |
were obtained. Our coarsest lattice \((a_s \sim 0.29\ \text{fm})\) was used in runs A, B, and C in order to probe very large quark-antiquark separations. A large aspect ratio \((\xi = 8)\) was needed in order to adequately resolve the correlation functions. In run A, only on-axis measurements were made. Run B was done to reduce uncertainties for the large \(r/a_s \geq 9\) measurements. To verify the restoration of rotational symmetry and to rule out problems associated with the roughening transition, off-axis \((r, r, r)/\sqrt{3}\) measurements were made in run C. Agreement of energies obtained using different quark-antiquark orientations on the lattice also helped identify the continuum \(\Lambda\) value corresponding to each level (there are only discrete symmetries on the lattice). Runs D, E, F, and G provided results for different lattice spacings \(a_s \sim 0.27, 0.22, 0.19,\) and 0.19 fm, respectively. Only on-axis measurements were made in these runs. Runs F and G correspond to the same spatial lattice spacing \(a_s\), but have very different temporal spacings \(a_t\). This provided us with a measure of the \(a_t^2\) errors in our results (our action has \(O(a_t^2, a_s^4)\) errors). Such information is important for carrying out the \(a_s \to 0\) extrapolations. Run H provided fine-grained \((a_s \sim 0.12\ \text{fm})\) measurements to assist the continuum-limit extrapolations of the \(\Sigma_g^+\) and \(\Pi_u\) potentials and was useful for verifying the negligible size of quantum corrections to the lattice anisotropy. To check the anisotropy, the potentials were measured for the quark-antiquark axis taken along the very fine-grained direction and one of the coarser axes was used as the direction of evolution for the system. This run was also important for resolving a slight discrepancy between our \(\Pi_u\) results and those obtained using the simple Wilson action at \(\beta = 6.0\).

The matrices \(W_{ij}(r, t)\) were reduced in the data fitting phase to single correlators and \(2 \times 2\) correlation matrices using the variational method. The lowest-lying glue energies were then extracted from these reduced correlators by fitting a single exponential and a sum of two exponentials, the expected asymptotic forms, in various ranges \(t_{\text{min}}\) to \(t_{\text{max}}\) of the source-sink separation. The two-exponential fits were used to check for consistency with the single-exponential fits, and in cases of favourable statistics, to extract the first-excited state energy in a given channel.

Three additional runs on small lattices were done to verify that finite-volume errors in our results were negligible. We confirmed the smallness of the \(a_s/a_t\) renormalization for two values of the QCD coupling by extracting the ground state potential from Wilson loops in different orientations. The hadronic scale parameter \(r_0 \approx 0.5\ \text{fm}\) was used to determine the lattice spacing. The additive ultraviolet-divergent self-energies of the static sources were removed by expressing all of our results with respect to \(\Sigma_g^+(r_0)\). Finite-lattice spacing errors were removed by extrapolating our simulation results for \(r_0[E_1(r) - E_{\Sigma_g^+}(r_0)]\) to the continuum limit. These extrapolations were carried out by fitting all of our simulation results to an ansatz \(F_{\text{cont}}(r) + a_t^4 F_{\text{latt}}(r): a\) ratio of a polynomial of degree \(p + 1\) over a polynomial of degree \(p\), where \(p = 1\) or 2, was found to work well for the continuum limit form \(F_{\text{cont}}(r)\), and \(F_{\text{latt}}(r)\) was chosen empirically to be a sum of three terms \(1/\sqrt{r}, 1/r,\) and \(1/r^2\). All fits yielded \(\chi^2/\text{dof}\) near unity. Continuum \(\Lambda\) values were easily identified in all cases but one: we were unable to distinguish between a \(\Pi_u^+\) and \(\Phi_u\) interpretation for the on-axis \(E_u^\prime\) level.

3. Results

Our continuum-limit extrapolations are shown in Fig. 4. The ground state \(\Sigma_g^+\) is the familiar static-quark potential. A linearly-rising behaviour dominates the \(\Sigma_g^+\) potential once \(r\) exceeds about 0.5 fm and we find no deviations from the linear form up to 4 fm. The lowest-lying excitation is the \(\Pi_u^+\). There is definite evidence of a band structure at large \(r\): the \(\Sigma_g^{++}, \Pi_g^+,\) and \(\Delta_g\) form the first band above the \(\Pi_u;\) the \(\Sigma_u^-, \Sigma_u^+, \Pi_u^+/\Phi_u,\) and \(\Delta_u\) form another band. The \(\Sigma_g^-\) is the highest level at large \(r\). This band structure breaks down as \(r\) decreases below 2 fm. In particular, two levels, the \(\Sigma_g^-\) and \(\Sigma_u^-,\) drop far below their large-\(r\) partners as \(r\) becomes small. Note that for \(r\) above 0.5 fm, all of the excitations shown are stable with respect to glueball decay. As \(r\) decreases below 0.5 fm, the excited levels eventually become unstable as their gaps above
the ground state $\Sigma_g^+$ exceed the mass of the lightest glueball.

A feature of any low-energy description of a fluctuating flux tube is the presence of Goldstone excitations associated with the spontaneously-broken transverse translational symmetry. These transverse modes have energy separations above the ground state given by multiples of $\pi/r$ (for fixed ends). The level orderings and approximate degeneracies of the gluon energies at large $r$ match, without exception, those expected of the Goldstone modes. However, the precise $m\pi/r$ gap behaviour is not observed, as shown in Fig. 1. The energy differences $r_0[E_\Gamma(r) - E_{\Sigma_g^+}(r_0)]$ for $\Gamma = \Pi_u$, $\Pi_g$, $\Sigma_u^-$, and $\Sigma_g^-$ are shown in this figure, along with their expected Goldstone mode behaviours, indicated by the dashed curves. For separations less than 2 fm, one sees from Fig. 1 that the gluon energies lie well below the Goldstone energies and the Goldstone degeneracies are no longer observed. The two $\Sigma^-$ states are in violent disagreement with expectations from a fluctuating string. Note also that our results clearly disagree with the energies of a Nambu-Goto string naively (ignoring quantization difficulties) determined in four continuous space-time dimensions.

These results are rather surprising and cast serious doubts on the validity of treating glue in terms of a fluctuating string for quark-antiquark separations less than 2 fm. Note that such a conclusion does not contradict the fact that the $\Sigma_g^+(r)$ energy rises linearly for $r$ as small as 0.5 fm. A linearly-rising term is not necessarily indicative of a string; for example, the adiabatic bag model predicts a linearly-rising ground state much before the onset of string-like behaviour, even in the spherical approximation. For $r$ greater than 2 fm, there are some tantalizing signatures of Goldstone mode formation, yet significant disagreements still remain. To what degree these discrepancies can be explained in terms of a distortion of the Goldstone mode spectrum aris-
4. Hybrid quarkonium

Another reason for studying the energies of glue in the presence of a quark-antiquark pair is the likelihood that these energies will provide insight into the nature of hybrid mesons. The study of hybrid mesons comprised of heavy quarks is the natural starting point in the quest for such an understanding. A great advantage in studying heavy hybrid quarkonium is that such systems can be studied not only by direct numerical simulation, but also using the Born-Oppenheimer expansion. In this approach, the hybrid meson is treated analogous to a diatomic molecule: the slow heavy quarks correspond to the nuclei and the fast gluon field corresponds to the electrons. The first step in the Born-Oppenheimer treatment is to determine the energy levels of the glue (and light quark-antiquark pairs) as a function of the heavy quark-antiquark separation, treating the heavy quark and antiquark simply as spatially-fixed color sources. Each such energy level defines an adiabatic potential. The quark motion is then restored by solving the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation using these potentials. Conventional quarkonia arise from the lowest-lying potential; hybrid quarkonium states emerge from the excited potentials.

Once the gluon energies are determined, the Born-Oppenheimer approach yields the entire leading-order spectrum very easily, in contrast to direct simulations. However, the validity of the Born-Oppenheimer approach relies on the smallness of retardation effects. One way of quantifying retardation effects is to compare mass splittings as determined from the leading Born-Oppenheimer approximation with those determined from simulations. A Monte Carlo study with $\beta = 3.0$, $\xi = 3$ using a leading-order (but lattice-spacing corrected) nonrelativistic action for the heavy quark was performed. The effective masses for the two lowest-lying mesons in the exotic $1^{-+}$ channel are shown in Fig. 3. The comparison of mass splittings (for several quark-spin–restored states relative to the $\Upsilon$) are shown in Table 2. All results are expressed in terms of
the inverse hadronic scale $r_0^{-1}$. In the NRQCD simulations, the bare quark mass was taken to be $a_s M_b = 2.56$. The so-called kinetic mass of the $\Upsilon$ was then determined from its low-momentum dispersion relation. Half of this mass was used for the quark mass in the leading Born-Oppenheimer calculation. This ensured that the $\Upsilon$ kinetic masses were identical in both calculations. Assuming that the simulation results do not suffer significantly from lattice artifacts, one sees that retardation affects the spin-averaged mass splittings by less than 10%, validating the Born-Oppenheimer expansion.

5. Conclusion

The spectrum of gluon excitations in the presence of a static quark-antiquark pair was comprehensively surveyed for separations $r$ ranging from 0.1 to 4 fm. Our results raised serious doubts on the validity of treating glue in terms of a fluctuating string for $r$ less than 2 fm. For $r$ between 2 and 4 fm, some tantalizing signatures of Goldstone mode formation were observed, but discrepancies still remain. We are currently studying the role of the spatial fixation or clamping of the quark and antiquark sources in distorting the Goldstone mode spectrum. Future studies of the excitation spectrum of the periodically-closed flux are planned. Lastly, retardation effects in quarkonium were found to be sufficiently small to validate the Born-Oppenheimer expansion. This work was supported by the U.S. DOE, Grant No. DE-FG03-97ER40546.
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Table 2
Comparison of meson mass splittings as determined from the leading Born-Oppenheimer approximation (LBO) and nonrelativistic simulations (NRQCD). The splittings are all taken relative to the mass of the $\Upsilon$ and are in terms of $r_0^{-1}$. The orbital angular momentum $L$ and glue energy $\Gamma$ assignments in the LBO for each level are indicated, along with the radial quantum number $n$. The size of the differences are listed as percentages of the simulation results.
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|-----------|-------|-----|------------|
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| $\Upsilon$ | $2S_{\Sigma^+}$ | 1.30(1) | 1.224(3) | 6(1)% |
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| $1'^{-+}$ | $2P_{\Pi^+}$ | 4.20(7) | 3.772(2) | 10(2)% |
| $0^{+-}$ | $1P_{\Pi^+}$ | 3.51(8) | 3.166(3) | 10(2)% |
| $0^{++}$ | $1S_{\Sigma^-}$ | 3.56(8) | 3.807(12) | 7(2)% |
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