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ABSTRACT
This study aimed to examine the effects of the application of a biofertilizer containing Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) and intercropping with mungbean plants on growth and tuber yield of two sweet potato varieties. The pot experiment was conducted in a plastic house, which was arranged according to Completely Randomized Design, testing three treatment factors, namely sweet potato varieties (V1= Antin-3, V2= Sukuh), intercropping with mungbean (I0= without, I1= intercropping with mungbean), and application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer (M0= without, M1= with mycorrhiza). Data were analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) using CoStat for Windows ver. 6.303. The results indicated that the three treatment factors had a significant effect on tuber weight per pot, and among the treatment factors, mycorrhiza biofertilizer had the most significant effect. However, there were two-way interaction effects on some observation variables, and three-way interaction effects on the total length of branches and tuber weight per pot, and it was found that the highest tuber yield was on the sweet potato plants supplied mycorrhiza biofertilizer and intercropped with mungbean, with an average tuber weight of 301.8 g/pot (or 30.18 ton/ha) on Antin-3 variety or 287.0 g/pot (or 28.70 ton/ha) on Sukuh variety, and the lowest tuber yield was on the monocropped sweet potato plants without application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer, with an average tuber weight of 55.0 g/pot (or 5.50 ton/ha) on Antin-3 variety or 122.10 g/pot (or 12.21 ton/ha) on Sukuh variety.
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Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.) is one of the food crops that produce carbohydrates for food. It globally ranks seventh in the world crop statistics, right after cassava. The tubers are rich in carbohydrates and vitamin A and the leaves are rich in protein. Sweet potatoes can produce more edible energy per hectare and per day than wheat, rice or cassava. Sweet potatoes have various uses ranging from its function as food by consuming the fleshy tubers or leaves or for use as animal feed, or for processing it to produce flour, noodles, natural dyes, alcohol, and others. The underground storage organs of sweet potato plants are storage roots, like cassava plants [1]. From its nutritional value, in addition to its high carbohydrate content (around 32%), sweet potato fleshy tuber, especially from yellow sweet potato is high in vitamin A, calcium and phosphorus, as well as Niacin, vitamins B1, B2, and vitamin C [2].

In addition to its use as a food source because of its high carbohydrate content of the tubers, sweet potato plants also have high health values, both for humans and for livestock, which made sweet potato plants to be classified as medicinal crops [3]. Sweet potato plants are also known as functional foodstuffs because they can be used for the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases through their ability to function as an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, anticancer/antitumour, antimicrobial and antiulcer [4]. In Indonesia, the sweet potato plant varieties, which produce purple tuber flesh, are known to contain lots of anthocyanins and polyphenols which can function as antioxidants and food coloring, for example the Antin-1 variety. The leaves of this sweet potato variety also contain many types of anthocyanins [5], [6]. Ji et al. [7] also reported that the fleshy tuber of sweet potato is high in anthocyanin content, especially in the purple fleshed sweet potato, which
contains much higher concentration of anthocyanin and antioxidant activities than in the red and yellow fleshed sweet potato. Therefore, consuming sweet potatoes is not just for food but also for maintaining body health.

The demand for domestic sweet potatoes continues to increase, either for food, industry or animal feed, while the productivity achieved by farmers in Indonesia is still low, which is around 40% of the genetic potential [8]. Therefore, it is necessary to continue to strive for innovative researches for assembling production technologies and disseminating them to the farmers. Some research results show that the productivity of several sweet potato varieties can be increased by application of mycorrhizal biofertilizer (AMF) and liming to increase soil pH, especially in cultivation of sweet potato following paddy rice [9]. The results of a study in Cuba showed that AMF inoculation on two sweet potato clones (‘INIVIT B2-2005’ & ‘CEMSA 78-354’) significantly increased tuber yield and efficiency of phosphate fertilizer uses, especially at low P doses [10].

In addition, the application of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (BPF) was also reported to have a positive and significant effect in increasing tuber yield of sweet potato and the efficiency of P uptake [11]. From the significant effect of AMF and BPF application, it means that the P nutrient is very crucial for the growth and formation of tuber yields in sweet potato plants. However, because flooded system of rice cultivation depletes N nutrient in paddy fields, both through uptake by rice plants and volatilization and infiltration [12], [13], [14], the effect of N fertilization is often very significant in increasing the yield of post-rice sweet potato [8]. Several studies have also shown that intercropping of non-legume with legume crops can increase N uptake of non-legume plants because there is a transfer of N from legume to non-legume rhizosphere [15], [16], [17], and the rate of N transfer will increase if AMF infection of both crops is involved [18].

Considering that the availability of N fertilizers is often scarce outside the rice planting season, it is necessary to find production technologies to increase yield of sweet potatoes through the application of AMF and intercropping with legume crops. This study aimed to examine the effects of the application of a biofertilizer containing Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) and intercropping with mungbean plants on growth and tuber yield of two varieties of sweet potatoes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS OF RESEARCH**

**Design of the experiment.** Because it was difficult to get large number of cuttings of sweet potato seedlings with defined names of varieties, the experiment was carried out by growing sweet potatoes in pots in a plastic house, located in a rice field in Dasan Tebu village, West Lombok, Indonesia, from June to November 2020. The experiment was arranged according to the Completely Randomized Design, with three replications and three treatment factors arranged factorially, namely sweet potato varieties (V1= Antin-3, V2= Sukuh), intercropping with mungbean (I0= without mungbean (or monocrop), I1= intercropping with mungbean), and application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer (M0= without, M1= with mycorrhiza).

**Implementation of the experiment.** The plastic pots used in the experiment had a capacity of 12 liters with an upper opening diameter of 28 cm, which were filled with 9.8 kg/pot of entisol paddy soil which has been air-dried and sieved using 2 mm sieving mesh. The pot was punctured from two sides at the bottom of the pot to make 2 holes in the opposite direction for function as drainage holes. The sweet potato cuttings were supplied by the Balitkabi Research Institute in Malang, East Java. On the arrival, the cuttings were grown in polybags of 7.5x7.5 cm base for a week until they produced buds, and after one week, only the cuttings that produced new buds were transplanted into the experimental pots without breaking the soil in the polybags. For the pots with mycorrhiza application (M1), the biofertilizer “RhizoPlex” containing several species of AMF was applied at the bottom of the planting hole of the sweet potato, with the recommended dose of 5 gram per plant (per pot). For the pots of intercropping sweet potatoes with mungbean, the seeds of mungbean (“Vima-4” variety) were dibbled one week after transplanting of sweet potato cuttings with a distance
of 8 cm between the two plant bases, and the planting holes of both crops were positioned at 4 cm distance from the center of the soil surface in the pot.

NPK fertilization was done by dibbling Phonska fertilizer (NPK 15-15-15) at the age of one week after transplanting at a dose of 300 kg/ha (3.0 g/plant, assuming plant spacing of 40x25 cm) for sweet potatoes, and 200 kg/ha (1.0 g/plant) for mungbean plants one week after seeding, by dibbling the fertilizer at 7 cm depth and 7 cm from each plant base, and in the intercropping pots, fertilizer holes were made at the longest distance from the center of the soil surface. Watering was done every day by watering the soil in the pot according to the dryness of the soil in the pot with increasing amount of water as the plants older. Final harvest of mungbean was done at 11 weeks after seeding, while harvest of sweet potato vines and leaves and tubers was done at the age of 5 months.

Observation variables and data analysis. Growth variables observed were number and total length of branches, number of leaves on the branches per pot at 12 weeks after transplanting, and fresh weights of stover (vines and leaves) and tubers per pot at harvest. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s HSD at 5% level of significance, using CoStat for Windows ver. 6.303. Correlation analysis was also done between specific observation variables using Minitab for Windows Rel. 13.0

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ANOVA results, summarized in Table 1, show that among the treatment factors tested, application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer resulted in the most significant effects, followed by intercropping, but the varieties of sweet potatoes were different only in total length of branches per pot. However, there were three-way and two-way interaction effects on several observation variables, and the observation variables showing the most interaction was the fresh weight of tuber at harvest to which the interaction effects of V*I, I*M and V*I*M were significant. The three-way interaction was also significant on the total length of branches per pot. The two-way interaction of V*I was also significant on number of branches and total leaves on the branches, and I*M interaction was also significant on total length of branches and total leaves on the branches, while the V*I*M interaction was not significant on all observation variables.

Table 1 – Summary of the ANOVA results of the main and interaction effects for all observation variables of the sweet potato

| Observation variables | Main effects | Interaction effects |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
|                       | Varieties (V) | Intercropping (I) | Mycorrhiza (M) | V*I | V*M | I*M | V*I*M |
| Number of branches per pot | ns | ns | ns | * | ns | ns | ns |
| Total length of branches per pot | ** | ** | *** | ns | ns | *** | * |
| Total number of branch leaves per pot | ns | ns | * | * | ns | * | ns |
| Stover weight (vines & leaves) per pot | ns | *** | ** | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Tuber weight per pot | ns | *** | *** | ** | ns | *** | * |

Note: 1) ns = non-significant; *, ** and *** = significant at p-value <0.05, <0.01 and <0.001, respectively.

Table 2 – Mean values of branch number, total branch length, branch leaf number, fresh weight of vines and leaves, and tuber yield of sweet potato per pot for each treatment

| Treatments: | Branch number per pot | Total branch length (cm/pot) | Branch leaf number per pot | Fresh stover weight (g/pot) | Fresh tuber weight (g/pot) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Varieties:  |                       |                             |                            |                            |                           |
| V1: Antin-3 | 7.75                  | a                           | 491.17                     | a                          | 368.42                    | a                         |
| V2: Sukuh   | 6.25                  | a                           | 368.86                     | b                          | 62.50                     | a                          |
| HSD 5%      | 2.38                  | a                           | 64.61                      | 20.60                      | 51.16                     | 22.23                     |
| Intercropping with mungbean: | | | | | | |
| I0: Monocrop | 6.92                  | a                           | 369.48                     | b                          | 63.17                     | a                          |
| I1: Intercropping | 7.08              | a                           | 490.55                     | a                          | 75.83                     | a                          |
| HSD 5%      | 2.38                  | a                           | 64.61                      | 20.60                      | 51.16                     | 22.23                     |
| Mycorrhiza biofertilizer (MB): | | | | | | |
| M0: without MB | 6.58                  | a                           | 350.32                     | b                          | 57.67                     | b                          |
| M1: with MB   | 7.42                  | a                           | 509.71                     | a                          | 81.33                     | a                          |
| HSD 5%      | 2.38                  | a                           | 64.61                      | 20.60                      | 51.16                     | 22.23                     |

Note: 1) Mean values in each column of a variable followed by the same letters are not significantly different between levels of a treatment factor (main effects).
Based on the means test summarized in Table 2, application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer actually increased mean values of all observation variables although it was not significant on number of branches per pot. In relation to its effect yield, application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer almost doubled the fresh weight of tubers per pot (85.4% increase). Many have also reported that AMF application on sweet potato significantly increased tuber yield, such as those reported by Laxminarayana et al. [9] and Cuellar et al. [10]. In addition, application of phosphate solubilizing bacteria, which are capable of increasing the availability of phosphates in the rhizosphere was also reported to increase tuber yield of sweet potatoes as well as increasing efficiency of P-uptake by sweet potato plants [11]. These indicate that sufficient availability of phosphates is very important for tuber yield of sweet potatoes.

There was also an average of 41.02% increase in total number of branch leaves per pot due to application of the mycorrhiza biofertilizer, and this increase was significant (Table 2). This could also mean that the photosynthetic capacity of sweet potato is increased by application of the mycorrhiza biofertilizer at transplanting, which resulted in significant increase in tuber yield per pot. There was also a significant positive correlation between total number of branch leaves and tuber weight per pot, with a correlation ($r$) coefficient of +0.624, with a $p$-value<0.01 (Table 3).

| Observation variables | Branch number | Branch length | Tuber weight | Stover weight |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Branch length          | 0.570         | 0.004         |              |               |
| Tuber weight           | 0.424         | 0.039         | 0.751        | 0.000         |
| Stover weight          | 0.331         | 0.114         | 0.529        | 0.008         |
| Branch leaf number     | 0.720         | 0.000         | 0.706        | 0.000         |

Intercropping sweet potato with mungbean was also capable of increasing mean values of all observation variables, although the increase was significant only on total length of branches, total vines and leaves at harvest and tuber weight per pot (Table 2). Although the increase in total number of branch leaves was not significant due to intercropping sweet potato with mungbean many have reported significant transfer of fixed N from legume to non-legume plants in an intercropping system [16], [17], and the amount of N transfer was found higher as the planting distances between both crops was closer in the case of intercropping sorghum with soybean [15]. Nitrogen and chlorophyll contents in rice leaves were increased by intercropping with peanut [16]. Wangiyana et al. [19] also reported that leaves of waxy maize were greener under intercropping with mungbean compared with those of monocropped waxy maize. These could mean that intercropping with mungbean could increase photosynthetic capacity of the leaves that might have contributed more photosynthate to result in higher weight of fresh tubers in the sweet potato intercropped with mungbean compared with those grown in monocrop (Table 2).

However, there were also two-way and three-way interaction effects on some observation variables (Table 1). Based on the interaction effects between varieties and intercropping, it can be seen from Fig. 1 to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 that intercropping with mungbean significantly increased branch number and branch leaf number per pot in Antin-3 variety but not in Sukuh variety, in which branch number and leaves tend to be decreased by intercropping. However, tuber weight per pot was significantly increased by intercropping in both varieties (Fig. 3). In relation to application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer, both intercropping with mungbean and mycorrhiza significantly increased tuber weight per pot, and the increase was more significant in sweet potato plants both intercropped with mungbean and supplied with mycorrhiza biofertilizer (Fig. 6). Branch length per pot was also increased by application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer but only on sweet potato plants intercropped with mungbean, while without intercropping, mycorrhiza did not increase branch length per pot (Fig. 5). This trend was also similar on total number of branch leaves (Fig. 4), in which the average branch leaf number was significantly increased by application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer but only on sweet potato plants that were intercropped with mungbean. These become clearer by looking
at the three-way interaction effects on branch length per pot in Fig. 7, in which total branch length was increased by application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer but only on sweet potato plants that were intercropped with mungbean, and between the varieties, it seems that Antin-3 variety was much more responsive than Sukuh variety.

The patterns of the three-way interaction effects on the tuber weight per pot in Fig. 8 could reveal the different responses of both varieties to the application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer and intercropping with mungbean. “Antin-3”, a purple fleshed sweet potato variety, significantly responded to both mycorrhiza and intercropping, in which application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer significantly increased tuber weight, either under monocropped or intercropped with mungbean, although the increase was more significant under intercropping. “Sukuh”, a white fleshed sweet potato variety, on the other hand, only
increased its tuber weight per pot due to mycorrhiza when intercropped with mungbean or due to intercropping when supplied with mycorrhiza biofertilizer.

This means that Sukuh variety will significantly increase its tuber weight when both intercropped with mungbean and supplied with mycorrhiza biofertilizer but Antin-3 variety will be able to increase its tuber weight either intercropped with mungbean or supplied with mycorrhiza biofertilizer. However, both varieties of sweet potatoes showed synergistic effects of mycorrhiza and intercropping with mungbean on tuber weight per pot (Fig. 8). Legume crops such as soybean and mungbean are capable of establishing tripartite symbiosis with Rhizobium bacteria and AMF [20], [21], [22]. In soybean, symbiosis with Bradyrhizobium japonicum and the AMF species Glomus clarum significantly increased nodule number and weight, N concentration and N content per plant, and the amount of nitrogen fixed by soybean plants when compared to symbiosis only with B. japonicum alone [20]. Wangiyana and Farida [23] also reported that application of Rhizobium inoculant together with AMF biofertilizer resulted in significantly higher soybean grain yield and grain number per clump compared with application of Rhizobium only or NPK fertilizer. In mungbean, inoculation with both Rhizobium and AMF resulted in significantly higher grain yield compared to inoculation with Rhizobium only or AMF only [21]. Inoculation with mixed bacterial inoculants (B. japonicum MN-S, TAL-102 and Agrobacterium sp.) and AMF not only increased grain yield of mungbean compared to inoculation with mixed bacterial inoculants or AMF only, but also significantly increased nodule number and % N content in the grains [22].

In an intercropping system of legume and non-legume crops, significant transfer of fixed N from legume to non-legume crops has been reported, for example by Chu et al. [16] in intercropping rice with peanut and by Fujita et al. [15] in intercropping sorghum with soybean, and the amount of N transferred was increased by the involvement of AMF infecting root systems of both crops [18], especially under closer planting distance between both crops. Inal et al. [17] also reported significantly higher chlorophyll, P and K contents in...
maize plants intercropped with peanut than in monocropped maize. In this study, the planting distance between sweet potato and mungbean was only 8 cm, which was much closer than the closest planting distance (12.5 cm) resulting in the highest N transfer from soybean to sorghum reported by Fujita et al. [15]. Application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer in the planting holes of red rice plants intercropped with several varieties of mungbean was also reported to significantly increase panicle number, filled grain number, percentage of filled grain number and grain yield of red rice plants compared with those intercropped with mungbean but without application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer [24]. These indicate the beneficial effects of AMF, especially for non-legume crops in intercropping with legume crops. Therefore, it was highly possible that application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer and intercropping with mungbean significantly increased total number of leaves on the branches and tuber yield of sweet potato per pot in this study.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer and intercropping with mungbean significantly increased growth and tuber weight of sweet potato per pot, and based on the three-way interaction effects on tuber weight per pot, it was found that the highest tuber yield was on the sweet potato plants supplied mycorrhiza biofertilizer and intercropped with mungbean, with an average tuber weight of 301.8 g/pot (or 30.18 ton/ha) on Antin-3 variety or 287.0 g/pot (or 28.70 ton/ha) on Sukuh variety, and the lowest tuber yield was on the monocropped sweet potato plants without application of mycorrhiza biofertilizer, with an average tuber weight of 55.0 g/pot (or 5.50 ton/ha) on Antin-3 variety or 122.10 g/pot (or 12.21 ton/ha) on Sukuh variety.
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