CDK16 promotes the progression and metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer by phosphorylating PRC1
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Abstract

Background: Cyclin-dependent kinase 16 (CDK16) is an atypical PCTAIRE kinase, and its activity is dependent on the Cyclin Y (CCNY) family. Ccnys have been reported to regulate mammary stem cell activity and mammary gland development, and CCNY has been recognized as an oncoprotein in various cancers, including breast cancer. However, it remains unclear whether CDK16 has a role in breast cancer and whether it can be used as a therapeutic target for breast cancer.

Methods: Publicly available breast cancer datasets analyses and Kaplan-Meier survival analyses were performed to reveal the expression and clinical relevance of atypical CDKs in breast cancer. CDK16 protein expression was further examined by immunohistochemical and immunoblot analyses of clinical samples. Cell proliferation was measured by colony formation and MTT analyses. Cell cycle and apoptosis were examined by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. Wound-healing and trans-well invasion assays were conducted to test cell migration ability. The functions of CDK16 on tumorigenesis and metastasis were evaluated by cell line-derived xenograft, patient-derived organoid/xenograft, lung metastasis and systemic metastasis mouse models. Transcriptomic analysis was performed to reveal the potential molecular mechanisms involved in the function of CDK16. Pharmacological inhibition of CDK16 was achieved by the small molecular inhibitor rebastinib to further assess the anti-tumor utility of targeting CDK16.

Results: CDK16 is highly expressed in breast cancer, particularly in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). The elevated CDK16 expression is correlated with poor outcomes in breast cancer patients. CDK16 can improve the proliferation and migration ability of TNBC cells in vitro, and promote tumor growth and metastasis of TNBC in vivo. Both genetic knockdown and pharmacological inhibition of CDK16 significantly suppress the tumor progression of TNBC. Mechanistically, CDK16 exerts its function by phosphorylating protein regulator of cytokinesis 1 (PRC1) to regulate spindle formation during mitosis.

Conclusion: CDK16 plays a critical role in TNBC and is a novel promising therapeutic target for TNBC.
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Background

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy and the leading cause of cancer-associated death in women [1]. According to immunohistochemistry (IHC) assessment of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor-2 (HER2),
together with clinicopathological features, breast cancer can be divided into the following subtypes: luminal A (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2−, low Ki67), luminal B (ER+ and/or PR+, high Ki67 or HER2+), HER2-amplified (HER2+, ER− and PR+), and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) (ER−, PR−, and HER2−) [2]. TNBC accounts for 15–20% of diagnosed breast cancer, and is the most refractory subtype with the highest risk of recurrence and mortality [3]. ER+ and HER2+ breast cancer patients have achieved great therapeutic benefits through endocrine therapy (e.g., aromatase inhibitors, SERMs, and SERDs) [4] and anti-HER2 therapeutic antibodies (e.g., trastuzumab and pertuzumab) [5], respectively. However, due to high genetic heterogeneity [6] and lack of targetable molecules, targeted therapy of TNBC is still challenging, and chemotherapy remains the routine treatment [7]. Therefore, it is urgent to reveal the critical carcinogenic factors of TNBC, discover novel therapeutic targets, and develop effective targeted drugs for TNBC, to improve the clinical outcomes of TNBC patients.

 Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) are a large family of proline-directed serine/threonine protein kinases characterized by the need for a regulatory subunit, a cyclin, to perform the enzymatic activity [8]. The mammalian CDK family consists of 21 members (CDK1–20, CDK11 has two isoforms CDK11A/B) [9]. CDKs regulate cell cycle progression (CDK1, 2, 3, 4, 6) and transcription events (CDK7–13, 19, 20) in response to extracellular and intracellular signals leading to cell proliferation [10, 11]. Since uncontrolled cell proliferation is a hallmark of cancer [12], targeting dysregulated CDK in specific cancers to prevent tumor cell proliferation has become an effective anti-cancer therapeutic strategy. For example, CDK4/6 inhibitors have been developed and approved for the treatment of advanced or metastatic ER+/HER2− breast cancer due to the essential role of CDK4/6 in cell cycle regulation [13]. Moreover, CDK7 [14] and CDK12/13 [15] have been identified as potential therapeutic targets for TNBC because of their transcriptional regulation of genes crucial to the survival of tumor cells.

 Aside from the typical CDKs involved in cell cycle and transcriptional regulation, there is a distinct subfamily of CDKs with a close evolutionary relationship and unclear function description. These CDKs are sorted into atypical CDKs, including CDK5, the newly proposed PFTAIRE (PFTK1, 2, also known as CDK14, 15) and PCTAIRE (PCTK1–3, also known as CDK16–18) kinases [11]. PFTAIRE and PCTAIRE kinases have been less studied as they were once considered “orphan CDKs” until their cyclin partners-Cyclin Y (CCNY) and Cyclin Y-like 1 (CCNYL1) were identified [16]. The oncogenic role of CCNY has been reported in various cancers, including breast cancer [17–20], suggesting the potential function of CCNY-dependent CDKs in cancer progression.

 A notable study showed that inhibition of the 5hmC epigenetic modification in CCNY/CDK16 promoters impaired the activity of renal cancer stem cells, suggesting the potential effects of CDK16 on cancer stem cells (CSCs) [21]. Our previous studies have found that Ccny and Ccnyl1 play an essential role in regulating mammary stem cell activity and mammary development [22]. These findings prompted us to further explore whether CCNY-dependent CDKs could be ideal targets for breast cancer therapy. In this study, we sought to reveal a novel therapeutic target for breast cancer among CCNY-dependent CDKs by clinical correlation analysis and functional study. We found that CDK16 exhibits a distinct expression pattern in breast cancer and has strong clinical relevance, making it a potential therapeutic target for breast cancer, especially TNBC. In support of this notion, we described the oncogenic role of CDK16 in TNBC, assessed the therapeutic potential of CDK16 as a target in multiple TNBC models, and elucidated the molecular mechanisms of CDK16 involved in TNBC progression. Our study provides new insights into the roles of atypical CDKs in cancer, highlights the significance of CDK16 in breast cancer, especially TNBC, and offers a promising target for TNBC therapy.

**Methods**

**Patient samples**
Clinical samples used in this study were obtained from Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University.

**Experimental animals**
BALB/c, Nude, and SCID/Beige mouse strains used in our study were purchased from Charles River Laboratories or Gem Pharmatech and maintained under specific-pathogen-free (SPF) conditions.

**Cell culture and lentivirus transfection**
Cell lines used in this study were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were maintained in appropriate medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (PAN-Biotech) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PS) (HyClone) at 37°C incubators with 5% CO2.

For lentivirus preparation, constructed plasmids were co-transfected with psPAX2 (Addgene_12260) and pMD2.G (Addgene_12259) at a 4:3:2 mass ratio into HEK293T cells using polyetherimide (PEI). Two days after transfection, the virus particles were collected and filtered through 0.45μm filters. Cells were infected and sorted by FACS (FACSARia III, Becton, Dickinson and Company) to generate stable lines.
**Plasmid construction**

Human CDK16 (NM_006201.5), mouse Cdk16 (NM_011049.5) and human PRC1 (NM_006201.5) were cloned by PCR and constructed in the pWP1-EGFP (Addgene_12254) vectors for overexpression. CDK16<sup>D230A</sup>, PRC1<sup>T481D</sup>, and PRC1<sup>T481A</sup> mutants were generated by a PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis method. shRNA sequences were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai) then cloned into pLKO.1-GFP backbone, and the sequences are listed as follows:

Scramble control: 5′-CAACAAGATGAAGAGCCACCAA-3′.
CDK16-sh1: 5′-GACCTACATAGCTGGACAA-3′.
CDK16-sh2: 5′-CGAGGAGTTCAAGACATCAA-3′.
CDK16-sh3: 5′-GCTCTCATACCTTCTACTT-3′.
Cdk16-sh: 5′-GCACTAAAGAGGTACAGCTA-3′.

**RNA extraction, reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR)**

Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Plus (TaKaRa) and cDNA was obtained by reverse transcription using PrimeScript RT Master Mix (TaKaRa). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed with FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master Mix (Monad) on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Primers used for qPCR analyses are listed in Supplementary Table S1, and GAPDH was used as a reference in all analyses.

**Western blotting and cellular fractionation**

Total cell lysates were obtained using RIPA buffer (CWBio) containing protease inhibitor PMSF (Selleck) and dithiothreitol (DTT) (Sigma). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes (Millipore). The bands were visualized using the ECL chemiluminescence kit (Monad). Nuclear-cytoplasmic fractions were obtained using the Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Protein Extraction Kit (catalog no. P0027; Beyotime), then subjected to western blotting. Antibodies used are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

**Immunofluorescence (IF) analysis**

Cells were seeded on coverslips in 24-well plates and grew for 24 h. Then, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 30 min, and blocked with 10% goat serum in PBS for 2 h, followed by incubation with conjugated secondary antibodies (2 h, RT). Before imaging, coverslips were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (catalog no. P36931; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Immunostaining of tumor organoid smears and tumor tissue sections was also performed as described above. Images were acquired using a laser scanning microscope (LSM880, ZEISS) and analyzed with the ZEN (blue edition) 2.3 software (ZEISS). Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis**

Breast cancer tissue microarray (F551101) was purchased from Zhongke Guanghua (Xi’an) Intelligent Biotechnology Co., Ltd. The slide was first deparaffinized and rehydrated. After antigen retrieval with boiling sodium citrate buffer (10 mM, pH 6.0), the slide was incubated with 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and blocked with 10% goat serum albumin, followed by incubation with CDK16 antibody (catalog no. HPA001366; Sigma-Aldrich) (4 °C, overnight) and goat anti-rabbit HRP secondary antibody (30 min, RT). Subsequently, the slide was stained with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and counterstained with hematoxylin. CDK16 expression was semi-quantitatively assessed by H-score (HS, range 0 ~ 300), which was calculated as the intensity score (0, negative; 1, faint/weak; 2, moderate; 3, strong) multiplied by the distribution score (between 1 [percentage] to 100 [percentage]). H-score reading was conducted by three examiners who were blinded to clinical data and the results of each other. Subsequently, stratification scoring (IHC score) was performed according to HS: HS < 80, scored as 0; 80 < HS < 120, scored as 1; 120 < HS < 200, scored as 2; HS > 200, scored as 3.

**Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining**

Paraffin slides were deparaffinized and rehydrated, then stained with hematoxylin solution for 5 min, differentiated in 1% acid alcohol solution, followed by eosin staining for 2 min. After dehydration and hyalinization, the slides were mounted and imaged.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (10,000 ~ 20,000 cells/well). After growth for 12 h, increasing concentrations of rebastinib was supplemented and incubated for 72 h. MTT was added and incubated for additional 4 h, followed by the addition of DMSO to dissolve the Formazan. Cell viability was measured by OD570nm using a microplate reader (SpectraMax i3x, Molecular Devices).

**Colony formation assay**

For 2D-plate colony formation assay, cells were seeded in triplicate in 6-well plates (500 ~ 1000 cells/well) and cultured for about 2 weeks. Colonies were counted following crystal violet staining. For 3D-soft agar colony formation
 assay, 6-well plates were precoated with growth medium containing 1% low melting agarose (catalog no. A600015; Sangon Biotech). Cells were suspended in growth medium with 0.4% agarose and placed onto the bottom layer in triplicate (20,000~50,000 cells/well). Cells were cultured for about 4 weeks with several drops of fresh medium added every 4 days. Colonies were counted following staining with 100 mg/ml iodonitrotetrazolium chloride (catalog no. A610280; Sangon Biotech) solution overnight.

**Migration and invasion assay**
For wound-healing assay, cells were seeded in 6-well plates (1 × 10^5 cells/well) to monolayer confluent and starved overnight, then scratches were made by a 200 μl pipette tip. Cells were washed with PBS to remove detached cells, and supplemented with appropriate growth medium with 1% FBS. The area within the scratch was measured using ImageJ software at once (0h) and after 12 h (12h), and the migrated area was defined as the difference of area within the scratch between 0 h and 12 h. For trans-well invasion assay, transwells with 8 μm pore size polycarbonate membranes were pre-coated with Matrigel (catalog no. 354230; Corning). Two hundred microlitre of serum-free cell suspension (3 × 10^4 cells per insert) was placed in the upper chamber, and the medium with 20% FBS was supplemented to the lower chamber. After incubation at 37 °C for 24 h, cells were fixed with 4% PFA and stained with 0.1% crystal violet, then cells migrated to the lower side of the filter were imaged and counted.

**Cell cycle and cell apoptosis analyses**
Cell cycle and cell apoptosis analyses were conducted using the DNA Content Quantitation Assay Kit (catalog no. CA1510; Solarbio) and AnnexinV-PI apoptosis assay kit (catalog no. FXP023; 4A BIOTECH) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Data were acquired on a flow cytometer (LSRFortessaX-20, Becton, Dickinson and Company) and analyzed by Modfit LT5.0 or FlowJo V10 software.

**Patient-derived organoid (PDO) model**
Tumor cells dissociated from clinical specimens were infected with scramble or CDK16-shRNA lentivirus, then suspended in chilled growth-factor-reduced Matrigel (catalog no. 354230; Corning), and pipetted 50 μl drops into each well of 24-well plates (1 × 10^5 cells/well), subsequently submerged the 3D cultures with modified M87 culture medium (formulated as described in [23]). Cells were cultured for about 7 days with culture medium renewed every 2 days. For inhibitor treatment, indicated concentrations of rebastinib (catalog no. HY-13024; MCE) or abemaciclib (catalog no. HY-16297; MCE) was supplemented at the third day and treated for 48 h. When organoids were ready to harvest, Matrigel was digested by incubation with dispase (catalog no. 354235; Corning) (37 °C, 30 min) to release organoids, then the number and size of the organoids were analyzed.

**Mouse xenograft model**
For orthotopic transplantation, cells were suspended in the mixture (1:1, v/v) of 50% FBS/PBS and Matrigel, and implanted into the 4th mammary fat pads (1 × 10^5 cells/fat pad for MDA-MB-231 and 3 × 10^5 cells/fat pad for PDX line) of 6-week female nude mice. For subcutaneous transplantation, MDA-MB-468 cells were suspended in PBS and injected subcutaneously into the axillae (2 × 10^6 cells/flank) of 6-week female nude mice. Tumor volume was calculated by the formula: V = \(L \times W^2 \times 0.52\).

**Mouse metastasis model**
For lung metastasis model, MDA-MB-231-Luc cells were suspended in PBS and injected into nude recipients via lateral tail vein (5 × 10^2 cells/mouse for CDK16-OE analysis and 1 × 10^6 cells/mouse for rebastinib administration). The lung metastasis was monitored by bioluminescence imaging (BLI) at regular intervals. Mice were given the substrate D-luciferin (150 mg/kg) by intraperitoneal injection, then subjected to BLI using IVIS Spectrum instrument. Metastatic burdens were measured using AMIView software. At the experimental endpoint, the lungs were dissected, fixed and dehydrated, then the metastatic nodules on the lung surface were counted. To clearly present minor metastases, the lungs were stained with India ink, excised and decolorized before counting lung nodules. For systemic metastasis model, 4T1-Luc or EMT6-Luc cells were inoculated into the left cardiac ventricles of BALB/c recipients (1 × 10^5 cells/mouse for both 4T1 and EMT6) and the systemic dissemination of tumor cells was also detected by BLI.
Administration of rebastinib
To test the effect of rebastinib on tumor growth of TNBC, mice bearing MDA-MB-231 tumors or PDX tumors were randomly grouped when the average tumor size reached 50 mm³. Rebastinib (80 mg/kg) formulated in 0.5% hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) or vehicle were orally administrated once a day for over 10 days.

To test the effect of rebastinib on tumor metastasis of TNBC, mice were inoculated intravenously of MDA-MB-231-Luc cells and randomly grouped. Daily oral dosing of rebastinib at 50 mg/kg or vehicle started the next day and lasted for 3 weeks. In vivo lung metastasis was continuously monitored for another week after rebastinib withdrawal.

TCGA, GEO, CPTAC data analysis and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis
The mRNA expression levels of atypical CDKs in various subtypes of breast cancer were analyzed using clinical data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/), METABRIC, Nature 2012 & Nat Commun 2016 combined databases (http://www.cbioportal.org/datasets) and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) profiles (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). Z-score or log2 scale normalized data of individual atypical CDK mRNA expression were extracted, and the expression differences between normal tissues and tumors were analyzed. The correlation between CDK16 expression and clinical outcomes were further analyzed by Kaplan-Meier analysis (the expression data were stratified into high or low by best cutoff using surv_cutpoint function in survminer package). The expression of CDK16 protein in breast cancer samples from the Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) dataset was analyzed using UALCAN portal (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html). In addition, the clinical relevance of individual atypical CDKs (CDK14/15/17/18) was explored using public clinical data from the Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) dataset was analyzed using UALCAN portal (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html). In addition, the clinical relevance of individual atypical CDKs (CDK14/15/17/18) was explored using public clinical data from the Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) dataset was analyzed using UALCAN portal (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/index.html).

Transcriptomic analysis
Total RNA samples were submitted to Wuhan Seqhealth Co., Ltd. and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed on HiSeq X 10 sequencer (Illumina). RNA reads were mapped and RPKMs were calculated. Differentially expressed genes (DEG) were defined with FDR corrected p-value ≤0.05 and Fold-change ≥2 using the edgeR package. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses of DEG were implemented by the clusterProfiler R package. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed using GSEA software.

Statistical analysis
Data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software. Data were presented as mean ± SD or mean ± SEM unless specified otherwise. p values were obtained by unpaired Student's t-test or two-way ANOVA, and p ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The in vitro experiments were repeated independently three times with consistent results.

Results
CDK16 is highly expressed in TNBC and is correlated with poor clinical outcomes
First, we analyzed the expression (Fig. S1A, C, E, G) and clinical correlations (Fig. S1B, D, F, H) of CCNY-dependent atypical CDKs (CDK14, CDK15, CDK16, CDK17, and CDK18). Our analysis showed that CDK16 was expressed in all breast cancer subtypes and the highest expression was found in basal-like breast cancer samples (Fig. 1A). In addition, high expression of CDK16 was significantly correlated with poor prognosis, as shown by lowered overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS) and disease-free survival (DFS) (Fig. 1B-D). Consistent with the results from TCGA dataset, Breast Cancer (METABRIC, Nature 2012 & Nature Commun 2016) combined datasets [24–26] (Fig. 1E) and GSE76250 dataset (Fig. 1G) also revealed that CDK16 expression was elevated in TNBC compared with normal breast tissues, and the high CDK16 expression was positively correlated with poor clinical outcomes (Fig. 1F).

We further investigated the CDK16 protein expression in breast cancer. CPTAC database analysis revealed that CDK16 protein expression was significantly elevated in breast tumors compared to normal breast tissues (Fig. 1H). Moreover, among all breast cancer subtypes, CDK16 was exclusively elevated in TNBC (Fig. 1I). To verify the expression of CDK16 protein, we performed immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis using a tissue microarray containing 50 TNBC tumor tissues and four adjacent tissues. Consistent with the results from the CPTAC database, IHC analysis showed that the expression of CDK16 protein in TNBC tumors was significantly higher than that in adjacent non-cancerous tissues, and CDK16 was mainly distributed in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1J).
immunoblot analysis. The results further confirmed the increased CDK16 protein expression in TNBC (Fig. 1K). Taken together, these data demonstrated that highly expressed CDK16 may play a role in the progression of TNBC.

Knockdown of CDK16 inhibits tumor growth of TNBC in vitro and in vivo

We next investigated the functional role of CDK16 in TNBC progression in vitro and in vivo. CDK16 was knocked down (CDK16-KD) in representative TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468 and HCC1937) using two separate shRNA sequences targeting CDK16. CDK16 was efficiently knocked down, as confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. S2A).

In vitro, 2D- and 3D-colony formation analyses showed that CDK16 knockdown significantly reduced cell proliferation and clonogenic capacity of TNBC cells (Fig. 2A, B). In parallel, we observed that CDK16 knockdown induced apparent growth defects in HR+ breast cancer cells but had only a slight effect on the proliferation of HER2+ cells (Fig. S2B, C). Flow cytometry analysis
Knockdown of CDK16 inhibits tumor growth of TNBC in vitro and in vivo. A 2D-colony formation assay of indicated TNBC cells transfected with scramble-shRNA or CDK16-shRNAs. Shown are representative images (left panel) and quantification of colonies (right panel). B 3D-colony formation assay of indicated CDK16-KD TNBC cells. Shown are representative images (left panel, scale bar: 100 μm) and quantification of colonies (right panel). C-E 2D-colony formation assay of MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressing control vector (vector), wild-type CDK16 (CDK16) or kinase-inactive CDK16D304A mutant (D304A) followed with transfection of scramble-shRNA or shRNA targeting the 3′-UTR of CDK16. Shown are immunoblot analysis for CDK16 expression (C), representative colony images (D), and quantification of colonies (E). F-I Tumorigenesis assay of MDA-MB-231 cells with CDK16-KD (n = 4 mice per group). Shown are tumor-free survival curve (F), tumor growth curve (G), statistics of tumor weight (H), and image of tumors (I). J-M Tumorigenesis assay of MDA-MB-468 cells with CDK16-KD (n = 3 mice per group). Shown are tumor-free survival curve (J), tumor growth curve (K), statistics of tumor weight (L), and image of tumors (M). Data are presented as mean ± SD (A, B, and E), mean ± SEM (G and K) or boxplot (H and L). p values were obtained by repeated measures two-way ANOVA (G and K), or two-tailed Student’s t-test (others). All *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, ns, not significant.
further showed that CDK16 knockdown resulted in TNBC cell apoptosis (Fig. S2D) and G2/M cell cycle arrest (Fig. S2E).

To address whether the function of CDK16 depends on its enzymatic activity, we depleted endogenous CDK16 by shRNA targeting 3′-UTR of CDK16 in MDA-MB-231 cells, then restored shRNA-resistant wild-type CDK16 (CDK16) or kinase-inactive CDK16D304A mutant (D304A) [27]. Despite comparable overexpression efficiency (Fig. 2C), the wild-type CDK16 but not the CDK16D304A mutant could rescue cell proliferation defects shown by 2D-colony formation analysis (Fig. 2D, E), suggesting that the kinase activity is required for CDK16 function in TNBC.

In vivo, two cell line-derived xenograft (CDX) models were used to study the role of CDK16 in TNBC progression. MDA-MB-231 cells with CDK16-KD were orthotopically implanted into the mammary fat pads of nude recipients, and MDA-MB-468 cells with CDK16-KD were subcutaneously inoculated into the same strain recipients for tumorigenesis assay. CDK16 knockdown dramatically delayed tumor formation (Fig. 2F) and suppressed tumor growth in the MDA-MB-231 tumor xenograft model, as indicated by reduced tumor volume, mass, and size in the CDK16-KD group compared to that in the control group (Fig. 2G-I). Consistent results were obtained from the MDA-MB-468 xenograft model (Fig. 2J-M). Together, these results demonstrated that CDK16 is critical for TNBC progression.

Knockdown of CDK16 suppresses tumor progression of TNBC in patient-derived organoid and xenograft models

In view of clinical implication, we generated PDO and PDX models to further assess the therapeutic benefits of targeting CDK16 in TNBC. The tumor cells of three TNBC patients were dissociated and infected with scramble or shCDK16 virus carrying GFP tags, then GFP+ cells were isolated by FACS and cultured in a 3D system to generate tumor organoids. The tumor fragments from one patient were inoculated into SCID-Beige recipients, and a stable PDX line was successfully established. Tumor cells were isolated, infected, sorted from PDX tumors, and xenografted into nude recipients for tumorigenesis analysis (Fig. 3A). The knockdown efficiency of CDK16 in the PDO and PDX models was confirmed by qPCR analysis (Fig. S3A).

Consistent with observations in CDX models, in all three PDO models, the organoid formation efficiency and organoid growth in the CDK16-KD group were significantly decreased compared with the control group, as shown by the reduction of size and number of organoids (Fig. 3B-D), indicating that CDK16 knockdown suppresses TNBC progression. Additionally, Ki67 staining analysis of organoids showed that the proportion of Ki67+ cells in each organoid in the CDK16-KD group was markedly lower than that in the control group, corresponding to the proliferation-inhibited phenotype in CDK16-KD organoids (Fig. 3E). The results of the PDX model further verified the anti-tumor effect of targeting CDK16 in TNBC, which significantly delayed tumor occurrence (Fig. 3F), suppressed tumor growth (Fig. 3G), and finally inhibited tumor progression (Fig. 3H, I).

We expanded the trial to include the luminal breast cancer subtype in the PDO model. The inhibitory effect of CDK16 knockdown on the growth of organoids was also observed (Fig. S3B), although it was not as significant as that in TNBC. Taken together, these data suggested that targeting CDK16 has great potential in the clinical treatment of TNBC.

CDK16 promotes TNBC cell migration and tumor metastasis

The expression of CDK16 is positively correlated with the risk of metastasis and relapse in breast cancer patients, suggesting that CDK16 may contribute to TNBC metastasis. To test this possibility, we constructed an MDA-MB-231 stable line overexpressing CDK16 (CDK16-OE), and labeled it with a luciferase reporter gene to quantitatively monitor tumor metastasis in vivo by bioluminescence imaging (BLI). The overexpression of CDK16 was confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. 4A).

In vitro, trans-well and wound-healing assays showed that overexpression of CDK16 significantly promoted cell invasion and migration (Fig. 4B, C). In vivo, we observed that the metastasis-free survival duration of mice bearing CDK16-OE cells was markedly shortened compared to that of mice bearing control cells (Fig. 4D, E), and overexpression of CDK16 seriously aggravated lung-metastatic burden, as shown by BLI (Fig. 4D, F) and lung metastatic nodules (Fig. 4G, H). In addition, H/E analysis showed that overexpression of CDK16 resulted in severe structural damage to lung tissues (Fig. 4G).

To further determine the role of CDK16 in TNBC metastasis, we knocked down Cdk16 in high metastatic 4T1 cells and over-expressed Cdk16 in relatively low metastatic EMT6 cells. The expression of Cdk16 was confirmed by western blot analysis (Fig. S4A, B). In vivo metastasis monitoring showed that knockdown of Cdk16 strikingly reduced the distant organ metastasis of 4T1 cells (Fig. 4I), while over-expression of Cdk16 significantly promoted distant metastasis of EMT6 cells to multiple organs demonstrated by in vivo and ex vivo BLI data (Fig. 4J and Fig. S4B). Together, these results demonstrated that CDK16 is essential for TNBC metastasis.
Knockdown of CDK16 suppresses tumor progression of TNBC in patient-derived organoid and xenograft models.

A. Illustration of the establishment of PDO and PDX with CDK16-KD.

B-D. Organoid formation assay for three independent TNBC PDO models when CDK16 was knocked down. Shown are statistics of tumor organoid size (left) and relative number of formed organoids (right).

E. Immunostaining for Ki67 (red) and DAPI (blue) of control and CDK16-KD organoids in PDO-3. Shown are representative images (left, scale bar: 50 μm) and a bar graph of the proportion of Ki67+ cells per organoid (right).

F-I. PDX lines stably transfected with scramble-shRNA or CDK16-shRNA were orthotopically transplanted into the mammary fat pads of nude mice (n = 4 mice per group) for tumorigenesis assay. Shown are tumor-free survival curve (F), tumor growth curve (G), statistics of tumor weight (H), and image of tumors (I). Data are presented as mean ± SD (B, C, D, and E), mean ± SEM (G), or boxplot (H). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, ns, not significant.
CDK16 regulates cell proliferation by phosphorylating PRC1 and modulating spindle formation

To study the molecular mechanisms of CDK16 involved in TNBC progression, we profiled the transcriptome of CDK16-KD MDA-MB-231 cells with control cells by RNA sequencing. GO enrichment analysis showed...
that genes associated with neurogenesis [28], muscle [29], and exocytosis [30, 31] were down-regulated in CDK16-KD cells, consistent with the previously reported biological functions of CDK16. Interestingly, we observed that the microtubule cytoskeleton organization and spindle formation were also among the most affected processes (Fig. S5A). Therefore, we examined spindle formation during mitosis and observed apparent spindle formation defects in CDK16-KD MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 5A).

Microtubule-associated protein regulator of cytokinesis 1 (PRC1) is essential for spindle midzone formation and cytokinesis [32] and has been demonstrated as the substrate of several CDKs, such as CDK1, CDK2, and CDK16 [33, 34]. Intriguingly, TCGA analysis revealed that PRC1 was highly expressed in breast cancer,

---

**Fig. 5** CDK16 regulates cell proliferation by phosphorylating PRC1 and modulating spindle formation. A Spindle formation analysis of control and CDK16-KD MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were immunostained with antibodies for pericentrin (red), alpha-tubulin (green), followed by DAPI (blue) staining. Shown are representative immunofluorescence images of control cells in distinct cell cycle phases and CDK16-depleted cells stuck in prophase (left, scale bar: 10 μm), and statistics of cells with spindle formation defects (right). B MDA-MB-231 cells were synchronized in M phase by nocodazole followed by release back into the cell cycle, and the cell cycle phases were monitored by FACS (left). CDK16 and p-PRC1 (T481) expression was detected by immunoblot analysis at indicated time points (right). C Immunoblot analysis for total PRC1 and p-PRC1 (T481) expression of MDA-MB-231 cells when CDK16 was knocked down. D qPCR analysis of PRC1-targeted cell cycle and apoptosis related genes in MDA-MB-231 cells when CDK16 was knocked down. E Subcellular localization analysis of PRC1 in control and CDK16-KD MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were immunostained with antibodies for PRC1 (purple), alpha-tubulin (green), followed by DAPI (blue) staining (scale bar: 10 μm). F Immunoblot analysis for PRC1 distribution in distinct subcellular fractions (Cyt: cytoplasm, Nuc: nucleus) of MDA-MB-231 cells when CDK16 was knocked down. G qPCR analysis to verify the knockdown of CDK16 and the overexpression of PRC1T481D or PRC1T481A mutant in MDA-MB-231 cells. H 2D-colony formation assay of MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressing control vector, PRC1T481D or PRC1T481A mutant followed with transfection of scramble-shRNA or CDK16-shRNA. Shown are representative images (left) and quantification of colonies (right). Data are presented as mean ± SD and p values were obtained by two-tailed Student’s t-test. All *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001, ns, not significant.
especially in TNBC (Fig. S5B, C), and its high expression was significantly correlated with poor prognosis (Fig. S5D). Based on these findings, it is tempting to speculate that spindle formation defects elicited by CDK16 knockdown may be mediated by PRC1. Indeed, immunoblot analysis showed that PRC1 phosphorylation at the T481 site (CDK-dependent major phosphorylation site) fluctuated with the abundance of CDK16 protein in the cell cycle process (Fig. 5B), and the phosphorylation level of PRC1 was clearly decreased upon CDK16 knockdown in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, the expression of PRC1-targeted genes was significantly altered upon CDK16 knockdown exemplified by the cell cycle-related genes (such as CCNB1, CDC2, and CDC25C) was down-regulated, and the apoptosis-related genes (such as p21, p27, and Bax) [35] was up-regulated (Fig. 5D). The subcellular localization of PRC1 determines its function in cell proliferation [36]. We further observed noticeable nuclear retention of PRC1 in CDK16-KD cells through immunostaining (Fig. 5E) and immunoblot analyses (Fig. 5F). The regulatory effect of CDK16 on PRC1 phosphorylation was further confirmed in PDO (Fig. S5E-G) and PDX (Fig. S5H) models.

Next, we investigated whether PRC1 could rescue cell proliferation defects induced by CDK16 knockdown. 2D-proliferation analysis of MDA-MB-231 cells showed that the phosphor-mimic PRC1T481D mutant significantly rescued the inhibited cell proliferation, whereas the phosphor-deficient PRC1T481A mutant did not show the rescue effect (Fig. 5G, H), suggesting that the regulatory effect of CDK16 on cell proliferation is achieved through phosphorylation of PRC1 in TNBC. Collectively, these results indicated that CDK16 exerts its functions in TNBC by phosphorylating PRC1.

Pharmacological inhibition of CDK16 suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of TNBC

Rebastinib (Reb) is a newly proposed inhibitor of CDK16, which can induce conformational changes in monomeric CDK16 and interfere its binding with cyclin Y, thereby inhibiting the catalytic activity of CDK16 [27]. To test whether TNBC can be treated with small molecular inhibitors targeting CDK16, we first examined the sensitivity of TNBC cell lines to Reb in vitro. MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, and HCC1937 cells were treated with serial concentrations of Reb. MTT analysis showed that the viability of these TNBC cells was dramatically impaired by Reb treatment at micromolar concentrations (MDA-MB-231: IC50 = 1.726 μM; MDA-MB-468: IC50 = 2.191 μM; HCC1937: IC50 = 2.000 μM). Compared to TNBC cell lines, the luminal breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and T47D were less sensitive (Fig. 6A). As expected, immunoblot analysis showed that Reb treatment reduced PRC1 phosphorylation at the T481 site and led to nuclear retention of PRC1 (Fig. 6B, C). In vivo, we assessed the anti-tumor efficiency of Reb using the xenograft model of MDA-MB-231 cells. Reb treatment markedly suppressed tumor growth (TGI = 68.10%), as shown by reduced individual tumor volume, average tumor volume, tumor weight, and tumor size (Fig. 6D-G).

To better assess the drug response of human primary tumors to Reb, the anti-tumor utility of Reb was further evaluated in TNBC PDO and PDX models. In the PDO model, both the size and number of tumor organoids were significantly decreased in the presence of Reb, but not in the presence of abemaciclib (Abe, CDK4/6 inhibitor, used as a control) (Fig. 6H, I). Immunostaining assessment of Ki67 and cleaved caspase-3 showed that Reb, but not Abe induced cell apoptosis and inhibited cell proliferation (Fig. 6J). In the PDX model, encouraging anti-tumor efficiency (TGI = 61.50%) of Reb was also observed (Fig. 6K-N).

We also tested the effect of Reb on TNBC metastasis using MDA-MB-231 lung metastasis mouse model. The results suggested that Reb administration effectively inhibited lung metastasis of MDA-MB-231 tumor cells, indicated by in vivo BLI data (Fig. 6O) and count of lung nodules (Fig. 6P).

(See figure on next page.)
Fig. 6 (See legend on previous page.)
Together, these results demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of CDK16 effectively suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of TNBC, supporting CDK16 as a promising target for the treatment of TNBC.

**CDK16 inhibition is involved in multiple cancer-related signaling pathways**

To understand the global biological effects caused by CDK16 inhibition, we conducted KEGG analysis of down-regulated genes in MDA-MB-231 cells with CDK16-KD and found that the signaling pathways related to cell proliferation and apoptosis, such as MAPK signaling and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways (Fig. S6A), changed significantly after CDK16 knockdown. In addition, the results showed that CDK16 was involved in regulating the pluripotency of stem cells (Fig. S6A), suggesting the potential regulatory role of CDK16 in cancer stem cells (CSCs). Moreover, there are extensive interactions between theses signaling pathways (Fig. S6B). These evidences implicated that CDK16 may regulate the progression of TNBC through multiple mechanisms.

Additionally, we performed transcriptomic analyses following Reb treatment in MDA-MB-231 cells. As expected, GSEA analysis revealed that gene signatures of mitotic spindle organization (Fig. 7A) and the G2/M checkpoint (Fig. 7B) were down-regulated in Reb-treated cells. Of note, the Rb-E2F gene signature was also impacted upon Reb treatment (Fig. 7C) or CDK16 knockdown (Fig. 7D). The similar role of CDK4/6 in Rb-E2F pathway prompted us to deepen our understanding of the functions of CDK16. Heat map analysis showed that the target genes of the Rb-E2F pathway were down-regulated upon Reb treatment (Fig. 7E) or CDK16 knockdown (Fig. 7F). Immunoblot analysis validated that Rb phosphorylation was significantly decreased upon Reb treatment (Fig. 7G) or CDK16 knockdown (Fig. 7H). In addition, the expression of genes directly regulated by Rb-E2F signal (CDK2, RRM2, TOP2A, MKI67, and MCM7) was significantly down-regulated upon Reb treatment (Fig. 7I) or CDK16 knockdown (Fig. 7J), as measured by qPCR analysis. Together, these results suggested that CDK16 regulates the Rb-E2F signaling by phosphorylating Rb.

Collectively, these data support that CDK16 inhibition is involved in multiple cancer-related signaling pathways, which may contribute to the function of CDK16 in regulating TNBC progression together with PRC1.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that CDK16 is a critical oncogenic driver in breast cancer. CDK16 is up-regulated in breast cancer with aberrantly high levels in TNBC, and elevated CDK16 expression is correlated with poor prognosis of breast cancer patients. Knockdown of CDK16 significantly inhibits the proliferation of TNBC and HR+ breast cancer cells, but only has a slight effect on HER2+ breast cancer cells. Either genetic depletion or pharmacological inhibition of CDK16 effectively suppresses tumor growth and metastasis of TNBC in various tumor models. Mechanistically, CDK16 exerts its function by phosphorylating PRC1 at the T481 site and regulating spindle formation during mitosis. Inhibition of CDK16 induces spindle formation defects, subsequently leads to G2/M arrest and cell apoptosis. Furthermore, our results show that CDK16 has a similar role to CDK4/6 in phosphorylating Rb and regulating the Rb-E2F signaling pathway, which is essential for G1/S transition. Therefore, CDK16 inhibition interrupts the cell cycle at different phases, offering the possibility of CDK16 as a novel target for the treatment of TNBC.

The newly proposed atypical CDK members PFTAIRE1–2 (CDK14–15) and PCTAIRE1–3 (CDK16–18) kinases share CCNY as an activator and are co-expressed in various tissues with the highest expression in brain and testis [16]. The functions and regulatory networks of these atypical CDKs are largely unknown. Knocking down individual atypical CDKs failed to cause any phenotype, suggesting possible functional redundancy of these kinases [37]. However, a functional study of CDK16 using CDK16 conditional knockout mouse model has validated its essential role in spermatogenesis [38]. Here, our study demonstrated that CDK16 phosphorylates PRC1 and Rb, thereby regulating spindle formation and the Rb-E2F pathway, providing new insights into the function of the atypical CDK subfamily.

PRC1 is recognized as an oncoprotein in various cancer types, including breast cancer [39–42]. PRC1 deficiency leads to cell cycle G2/M arrest and apoptosis, consistent with a CDK16-inhibited phenotype. Our results demonstrate that CDK16 affects the subcellular localization of PRC1 and regulates mitotic spindle formation by phosphorylating the T481 site of PRC1. In early mitosis, phosphorylated PRC1 is localized to the mitotic spindle, and its bundling activity is strongly inhibited. Then PRC1 is dephosphorylated and translocated to the spindle midzone/midbody during anaphase and cytokinesis [36]. Here, we revealed that the PRC1 phospho-mimic PRC1T481D mutant could partially rescue the cell proliferation defect induced by CDK16 deletion in TNBC cells. Our findings support that CDK16-mediated phosphorylation of PRC1 at the T481 site is required for cell cycle progression. It is important to point out although PRC1 is a potential therapeutic target for multiple cancer types, there are no known specific inhibitors directly targeting PRC1. Therefore, our study expands the understanding of PRC1 function and provides an alternative tumor
therapy strategy: inhibiting PRC1 by targeting its regulatory kinase, CDK16.

Rebastinib is a preclinically tested compound that was first developed to overcome the clinically relevant CML drug-resistant BCR-ABL1T315I mutant [43]. Recently, rebastinib has been identified as a potent inhibitor of CDK16. It is worth noting that rebastinib is a multi-target agent against other kinases like Tie2 [44]. It has been reported that in the MMTV-PyMT mouse model of breast cancer, twice-daily oral administration of 10 mg/kg rebastinib significantly reduced tumor growth and lung metastasis by blocking the recruitment and function of Tie2Hi macrophages [45]. We also observed the additive effect of rebastinib on Tie2-expressing macrophages in our study (data not shown). Targeting CDK16 and Tie2 may jointly promote the anti-tumor activity of rebastinib in TNBC. Nevertheless, the compatible anti-tumor activity produced by the inhibition of Tie2 and CDK16 further establishes the value of rebastinib as an effective anti-tumor compound. Our study supports CDK16 as a potential therapeutic target for TNBC and provides
evidence and guidance for developing CDK16 inhibitors as anti-tumor agents.

The CDK-Rb-E2F pathway is involved in various biological processes such as cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, angiogenesis, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [46]. Dysregulation of the CDK-Rb-E2F pathway has been found in almost all human malignancies, making it an attractive target for cancer therapy. The significance of the CyclinD-CDK4/6-Rb-E2F pathway in controlling G1/S transition during cell cycle progression has led to the development of CDK4/6 inhibitors for clinical cancer therapy [13]. To date, CDK4/6 inhibitors have been approved for the treatment of advanced HR+/HER2− breast cancer, whereas TNBC tumors are relatively resistant to CDK4/6 inhibitors [47]. Here, we found that CDK16 also phosphorylates Rb, and both TNBC and HR+ breast cancer cells are sensitive to CDK16 inhibition. Importantly, CDK16 inhibition has a comparable inhibitory effect on the proliferation of Rb-deficient MDA-MB-468 and Rb-proficient MDA-MB-231 cell lines, suggesting that CDK16 exerts its function through multiple mechanisms, not only regulating the Rb-E2F pathway.

CCNY is reported to regulate the proliferation, migration, and invasion of cancer cells through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [17]. Of note, independent studies have revealed that PRC1 is involved in tumorigenesis via the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway [35, 40]. Accordingly, we reasoned that CDK16, CCNY, and PRC1 jointly regulate TNBC progression through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. However, we did not detect a prominent regulatory effect of CDK16 on the Wnt signaling pathway in our study (data not shown). This may be because PRC1 phosphorylation mediated by CDK16 is critical for spindle formation but not for Wnt signaling transduction. In addition to PRC1, other pathways of cell proliferation and apoptosis, such as MAPK signal and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways, may also contribute to the function of CDK16 in regulating TNBC progression. Considering that CCNY is a shared cyclin partner of atypical CDKs, CCNY may regulate Wnt signaling by phosphorylating LRP5/6 together with CDK14 (PFTK1) [48], which further reflects the complexity of cyclin-CDK function.

Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the clinical relevance of CDK16 in the prognosis of breast cancer patients, and revealed that CDK16 is highly expressed in breast cancers, especially TNBC, and its elevated expression is correlated with poor prognosis. We further studied the function and underlying molecular mechanisms of CDK16 in TNBC. In vitro, CDK16 deficiency markedly inhibited the proliferation and migration of TNBC cells. In vivo, in various tumor models, CDK16 deficiency significantly suppressed tumor growth and metastasis of TNBC, while CDK16 overexpression remarkably promoted metastasis of TNBC. The pharmacological inhibition of rebastinib on CDK16 also achieved encouraging anti-tumor efficiency in TNBC. In terms of the mechanisms, CDK16 plays its oncogenic role by phosphorylating PRC1 and regulating spindle formation during mitosis. Interestingly, CDK16 inhibition also inhibits Rb phosphorylation and Rb-E2F signal. In conclusion, our study provides new insights into the roles of atypical CDKs in cancer and emphasizes that CDK16 is a promising therapeutic target for TNBC.
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