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**Abstract**

The Chicxulub impact crater, on the Yucatán Peninsula of Mexico, is unique. It is the only known terrestrial impact structure that has been directly linked to a mass extinction event and the only terrestrial impact with a global ejecta layer. Of the three largest impact structures on Earth, Chicxulub is the best preserved. Chicxulub is also the only known terrestrial impact structure with an intact, unequivocal topographic peak ring. Chicxulub’s role in the Cretaceous/Paleogene (K-Pg) mass extinction and its exceptional state of preservation make it an important natural laboratory for the study of both large impact crater formation on Earth and other planets and the effects of large impacts on the Earth’s environment and ecology. Our understanding of the impact process is far from complete, and despite more than 30 years of intense debate, we are still striving to answer the question as to why this impact was so catastrophic.

During International Ocean Discovery Program (IODP) and International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP) Expedition 364, Paleogene sedimentary rocks and lithologies that make up the Chicxulub peak ring were cored to investigate (1) the nature and formational mechanism of peak rings, (2) how rocks are weakened during large impacts, (3) the nature and extent of post-impact hydrothermal circulation, (4) the deep biosphere and habitability of the peak ring, and (5) the recovery of life in a sterile zone. Other key targets included sampling the transition through a rare mid-latitude Paleogene sedimentary succession that might include Eocene and Paleocene hyperthermals and/or the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM); the composition and character of suevite, impact melt rock, and basement rocks in the peak ring; the sedimentology and stratigraphy of the Paleogene–Eocene Chicxulub impact basin infill; the geo- and thermochemistry of the rocks forming the peak ring; and any observations from the core that may help constrain the volume of dust and climatically active gases released into the stratosphere by this impact. Petrophysical properties measurements on the core and wireline logs acquired during Expedition 364 will be used to calibrate geophysical models, including seismic reflection and potential field data, and the integration of all the data will calibrate models for impact crater formation and environmental effects. The drilling directly contributes to IODP Science Plan goals:

**Climate and Ocean Change:** How does Earth’s climate system respond to elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂? How resilient is the ocean to chemical perturbations? The Chicxulub impact represents an external forcing event that caused a 75% species level mass extinction. The impact basin may also record key hyperthermals within the Paleogene.

**Biosphere Frontiers:** What are the origin, composition, and global significance of subsurface communities? What are the limits of life in the subsurface? How sensitive are ecosystems and biodiversity to environmental change? Impact craters can create habitats for subsurface life, and Chicxulub may provide information on potential habitats for life, including extremophiles, on the early Earth and other planetary bodies. Paleontological and geochemical studies at ground zero will document how large impacts affect ecosystems and biodiversity.
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Earth Connections/Earth in Motion: What mechanisms control the occurrence of destructive earthquakes, landslides, and tsunami? Drilling into the uplifted rocks that form the peak ring will be used to groundtruth numerical simulations and model impact-generated tsunami, and deposits on top of the peak ring and around the Gulf of México will inform us about earthquakes, landslides, and tsunami generated by Chicxulub. These data will collectively help us understand how impact processes are recorded in the geologic record and their potential hazards.

IODP Expedition 364 was a Mission Specific Platform expedition designed to obtain subseabed samples and downhole logging measurements from the post-impact sedimentary succession and the peak ring of the Chicxulub impact crater. A single borehole (Hole M0077A) was drilled into the Chicxulub impact crater on the Yucatán continental shelf, recovering core from 505.70 to 1334.69 meters below seafloor (mbsf) with ~99% core recovery. Downhole logs were acquired for the entire depth of the borehole.

Introduction

Peak rings are rings of hills that are emergent above crater floors within large impact basins on terrestrial planets (Figure F1), and there is no consensual agreement on either their formational mechanism or the nature of the rocks that comprise them (Grieve et al., 2008; Baker et al., 2016). Geophysical data indicate that the peak ring at Chicxulub is formed from rocks that have low velocity and density, and one explanation for this is that they are highly fractured and porous (Morgan et al., 2000, 2011; Gulick et al., 2013). Immediately after impact, the peak ring was submerged under water and located adjacent to a thick pool of hot impact melt. Hence, we would expect intense hydrothermal activity within the peak ring (Ames et al., 2004; Zürcher and Kring, 2004). This activity may have provided a niche for exotic life forms possibly similar to that of hydrothermal vent systems in the oceans. Drilling the peak ring will allow us to determine the origin, lithology, and physical state of the rocks that form it; distinguish between competing models of peakring formation; and document hydrothermal systems, microbiology, and post-impact processes and recovery.

Background

The peak ring

The term “peak ring” was first used to describe the often discontinuous, mountainous ring that rises above the floor of large craters on the moon. Peak rings are internal to the main topographic crater rim (Figure F1). Since they were first identified on the Moon, peak rings have been observed in large terrestrial craters on all large silicate planetary bodies. Notably, peak rings do not appear to occur on the icy satellites of Jupiter and Saturn, which indicates that crustal rheology plays a role in their formation. The peak ring is a topographic feature; it protrudes through the impact melt rock and breccia that lines the floor of the crater and stands above the surrounding terrain. As a result, the unequivocal identification of a peak ring in Earth’s largest craters is compromised by inevitable erosion and/or tectonism.

Two seismic experiments were conducted in 1996 and 2005 (Figure F2) across the Chicxulub impact structure (Morgan et al.,...
Reflection and refraction seismic data image lithologies and structures to the base of the crust at about 35 km depth (Christeson et al., 2009; Gulick et al., 2013). The impact basin is buried beneath a few hundred meters of Cenozoic sedimentary rock, and the present-day Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) surface deepens to ~1 s two-way traveltime (~1 km), revealing a ~145 km diameter post-impact basin (Morgan and Warner, 1999) with ring-shaped faults reaching diameters >200 km (Gulick et al., 2008). Within this post-impact basin, there is an ~80 km diameter topographic ring that appears analogous to peak rings observed on other planetary bodies (compare Figures F1, F3, F4). Reflective pre-impact stratigraphy (the Mesozoic sediments) can be tracked around the crater (Whalen et al., 2013), and large offsets in the stratigraphy define a 20–35 km wide terrace (or megablock) zone (Figure F3) (Gulick et al., 2008, 2013). Morgan and Warner (1999) argue that the head scarp of this terrace zone is analogous to the crater rim in peak-ring craters (Figure F1), and rings outside the head scarp (Figure F3) suggest that Chicxulub is a multi-ring basin (Morgan et al., 1997; Gulick et al., 2008). The acquired seismic data show that the water was deeper and the Mesozoic sediments thicker in the north-east quadrant of the crater than in the other quadrants (Bell et al., 2004; Gulick et al., 2008) and that lateral variation in the target at the impact site might explain the current crater asymmetry (Collins et al., 2008). Velocities and densities of the rocks that form the peak ring are low (Morgan et al., 2000; Vermeesch and Morgan, 2008; Barton et al., 2010), and a high-resolution velocity model obtained using full-waveform inversion (Figure F4) shows that the uppermost peak ring is formed from about 100–150 m of rocks with low P-wave velocity (3000–3200 m/s) (Morgan et al., 2011).

Given the lack of intact peak rings exposed at the Earth’s surface, there is no consensus as to either their geologic nature (of what material are they composed and from what stratigraphic location this material originates) or the mode of formation of a peak ring. Numerical simulations of large-crater formation suggest that they are
formed during the collapse of a deep bowl-shaped “transient cavity” formed during the initial stages of cratering (Figure F5) (Morgan et al., 2000; Collins et al., 2002; Ivanov, 2005; Senft and Stewart, 2009). During this collapse, structural uplift of the crater floor produces a central uplift, which is overheightened and unstable under gravity. The subsequent outward collapse of the central uplift leads to the formation of a ring of peaks between the crater center and the crater rim (Morgan et al., 2000, 2011). This model for peak-ring formation is consistent with seismic data that show inward-collapsed Mesozoic rocks lie directly beneath the peak ring at Chicxulub at all azimuths (Morgan et al., 2000; Gulick et al., 2013). However, the precise kinematics and details of the mechanics of cavity modification remain unclear. Moreover, that such an emphatic collapse of the transient crater occurs at all requires substantial weakening of target rocks relative to their static laboratory-measured strength (Melosh, 1979; O’Keefe and Ahrens, 1993). In numerical models, the precise kinematics of crater collapse and peak-ring formation is dependent on near-surface rheology, as well as the spatial extent, nature, and timing of the weakening of the target rocks (e.g., Wünnemann et al., 2005).

**Previous drilling**

Petróleos Mexicanos ( PEMEX) drilled several deep (~1.6 km) holes into or close to the Chicxulub crater (Figure F2), completing their drilling in the mid-1970s (Figure F6). Unfortunately, the amount of coring was limited, and their interest in the area waned after they intercepted Paleozoic basement and impactites without any sign of hydrocarbons. Very few samples of the impact lithologies found in these wells are now available for examination. The Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) conducted a shallow drilling program in the 1990s, during which impact lithologies were penetrated at three sites on the exterior of the crater rim: U5, U6, and U7 (Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 1996). International Continental Scientific Drilling Project (ICDP) Borehole Yaxcoco-1 (Yax-1) was drilled ~60 km south-southwest of the crater center (Stöffler et al., 2004; Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 2004b) within the impact basin and inside a ring of cenotes (Figure F2). The general stratigraphy of the Chicxulub crater was constructed using the available core from these programs and the original PEMEX logs (Figure F6) (Ward et al., 1995; Rebolledo-Vieyra and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, 2004).

The onshore wells indicate that post-impact sedimentary rock deepens from ~1100 m within the center of the post-impact basin to ~1.1 km within the center of the post-impact basin; this thickening of the Cenozoic sequence is in agreement with the offshore seismic data. Within the impact basin, Wells CI, S1, and Y6 penetrated a few hundred meters of suevite and 100–250 m of impact melt rock, whereas outside the basin, Wells T1, Y2, Y5A, Y1, and Y4 penetrated a few hundred meters of polymictic breccia, which may or may not contain suevite (Hildebrand et al., 1991; Sharpton et al., 1996; Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 2011). Several of the wells penetrated thick sequences of Cretaceous rocks. Close to the structure, these Cretaceous sequences are ~2 km thick and comprise dolomites and carbonates, with some thick beds of Lower Cretaceous anhydrite. Wells Y1 and Y2 penetrated Paleozoic basement at ~3.3 km depth. UNAM Well U5 shows Cenozoic rocks above suevite, and in Well U7, suevite overlies polymictic breccia composed mainly of sedimentary clasts rich in evaporitic material (Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 2008). The suevites have high magnetic susceptibilities and the lower breccia has low magnetic susceptibilities (Urrutia–Fucugauchi et al., 1996; Rebolledo–Vieyra and Urrutia–Fucugauchi, 2006). In Well U6, Cenozoic rocks directly overlie this
polymictic breccia, with an erosional contact between them. The polymictic breccia in the two UNAM wells could be equivalent to the breccia observed in Wells Y4, Y1, Y5A, Y2, and T1. No onshore wells have penetrated the peak ring, and no previous offshore wells were drilled into the Chicxulub impact structure.

ICDP Borehole Yax-1 is located ~60 km radial distance from the crater center and is positioned interior of the crater rim. Drilling recovered core from the ~800 m thick Cenozoic sequence, suevite, and underlying parautochthonous Cretaceous rocks to 1511 m below the surface (Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 2004b). The earliest Cenozoic sediments indicate gravity flows and resurge deposits formed part of the initial crater fill (Goto et al., 2004; Whalen et al., 2008, 2013) and contain geochemical evidence for long-lasting hydrothermal venting into the ocean (Rowe et al., 2004; Zürcher and Kring, 2004). Unfortunately, its location on a steep slope meant studies of the post-impact section were plagued by coarse-grained redeposited carbonates and lithification, and the very basal Paleocene appears to be missing (Arz et al., 2004; Smit et al., 2004; Rebolledo-Vieyra and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, 2004; Whalen et al., 2013). The 100 m thick melt-rich impactite sequence is complex and composed of six distinct units (Claeys et al., 2003; Kring et al., 2004; Stöffler et al., 2004; Wittmann et al., 2007), which were modified by post-impact hydrothermal circulation (Hecht et al., 2004). The Cretaceous rocks appear to be formed from a number of megablocks composed of dolomite, limestone, and about 27% anhydrite that have rotated relative to each other, probably during the crater modification stage (Kenkmann et al., 2004). The megablock lithologies are intruded by suevitic dikes, impact melt rock dikes, and clastic, polymict dikes (Wittmann et al., 2004).
Figure F6 (continued). B. Lithologic columns and stratigraphy from PEMEX and Yax-1 boreholes. Site M0077 is ~45 km from the crater center. (Continued.)

| Epoch         | Legend                      | Lithology            |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Tertiary      | Limestone and marl          | Dolomite             |
| K-Pg boundary | Impact breccia undiff.      |                      |
| Mesozoic      | Impact melt rock            | Dolomite             |
| Paleozoic     | Limestone and marl          | Evaporites           |
|               | Crystalline basement        |                      |

Depth below seafloor (km) | Radial distance from center of crater (km)

- C1 (1581 m)
- S1 (1645 m)
- Y6 (1511 m)
- Yax-1
- T1 (3175 m)
- Y2 (3488 m)
- Y5A (3488 m)
- Y5 (3226 m)
- Y4 (2425 m)
Scientific objectives

Expedition 364 was designed to address the following objectives through drilling at Site M0077:

- The nature and formation of a topographic peak ring;
- How rocks are weakened during large impacts to allow them to collapse and form relatively wide, flat craters;
- The nature and extent of post-impact hydrothermal circulation;
- The habitability of the peak ring and effect of this impact on the modern and ancient deep biosphere;
- The recovery of life in a sterile zone;
- The nature and composition of the suevite, impact melt rock, and basement rocks of the peak ring;
- The volume of dust and climatically active gases released into the stratosphere by this impact;
- The climatic effects of this impact;
- The sedimentology and stratigraphy of the Paleocene–Eocene Chicxulub impact basin infill;
- The geo- and thermochronology of the rocks forming the peak ring;
- Petrophysical properties measured on cores and downhole to calibrate geophysical models and integrate with seismic velocity data; and
- Integration of all data to calibrate impact crater models for crater formation and environmental effects.

The nature and formation of peak rings

Hole M0077A sampled material that forms a peak ring (Figure F4) and reveals the lithologic and physical state of these rocks, including porosity, fracturing, and extent of shock effects. The recovered core will be used to test the working hypotheses that peak rings are formed from (1) overturned and uplifted basement rocks, (2) megabreccias, or (3) some other material. If the peak ring is formed from uplifted rocks, as predicted by several independent numerical simulations of crater formation (Figure F5) (Collins et al., 2002; Ivanov, 2005; Senft and Stewart, 2009), then we can estimate their depth of origin (upper crust or deeper) using metamorphic grade, thermochronology, and possibly remanent magnetism. The orientation of impact-induced discontinuities, which may include breccia zones, brittle shear faults, and melt-filled fractures, will be used to infer the strain geometry (i.e., the orientation) and potentially also the magnitude of the three principal strain axes during peak-ring formation and thus constrain the kinematics of peak-ring formation.

Figure F6 (continued). C. Lithologic columns and stratigraphy from UNAM and Yax-1 boreholes.
formation. Collectively, these data will be used to discriminate between models of peak-ring formation and to groundtruth dynamic models of crater formation (Figure F5), which now include dilatancy—in other words, a mechanism for the increase in porosity during cratering and the cause of the gravity low across impact craters (Collins, 2014). For example, in the numerical simulations shown in Figure F5, shock pressures experienced by peak-ring rocks are predicted to be between 10 and 50 GPa, whereas they are expected to be relatively lower on average in the peak-ring formation model by Baker et al. (2016), which is based on analyses of peak-ring craters on the moon and Mercury.

The weakening mechanism

Numerical modeling of large impacts indicates that rocks must behave in a fluid-like manner for a short period of time after impact to allow the dramatic collapse of a large bowl-shaped transient cavity to form a broad, flat final crater (Melosh and Ivanov, 1999). In these models, the material that forms the peak ring has traveled the greatest distance during crater formation (e.g., Figure F5) and thus should have undergone the most mechanical weakening. Providing a physical explanation for the apparent transitory low strength of the target is an enduring and challenging problem in impact cratering mechanics. Proposed weakening mechanisms include acoustic fluidization (Ivanov, 1994; Kenkmann et al., 2005; Riller and Lieger, 2008). Eroded complex craters often possess large zones of pseudotachylytic breccia, which may act to reduce friction on fault planes (Spray, 1992; Reimold and Gibson, 2005; Mohr-Westheide et al., 2009; Riller et al., 2010), although this appears to conflict with observational data that suggest melt was emplaced in a tensional stress regime (Lierg et al., 2009).

Quasistatic mechanical loading tests of intact and brecciated target material will be used to measure the strength difference between friction-controlled deformation of crushed rock and fracture-controlled deformation of intact rock. Such data, along with our analyses of samples of the peak-ring rocks, will be used to investigate what mechanism(s) allow the target rocks to behave temporarily as a fluid in response to hypervelocity impact.

Hydrothermal circulation

Both the post-impact sediments and peak-ring rocks will be examined for evidence of hydrothermal alteration and post-impact venting into the ocean to answer questions such as how long the circulation lasted and how high the maximum temperature reached. Models of hydrothermal systems in impact craters imply enhanced flow in peak rings (e.g., Figure F7) (Ames et al., 2004; Zürcher and Kring, 2004; Schwenzer and Kring, 2009), and thus Site M0077 is an excellent location to investigate the hydrothermal system at Chicxulub. This line of inquiry will include petrological assessment and thermochronology, as well as X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray fluorescence (XRF), fluid inclusion, and stable isotope analyses to examine the alteration assemblage and characterize the composition of the hydrothermal fluids (Ames et al., 2004; Lüders and Rickers, 2004; Zürcher and Kring, 2004; Osinski et al., 2005, 2013). Was hydrothermal circulation focused in specific zones, as it was in Hole Yax-1 (along faults and lithologic contacts), or was it more pervasive? Wireline logs and petrophysical measurements on the core will be used to measure fracture density and porosity, which will help assess ancient permeability—an important parameter in modeling hydrothermal systems (Abramov and Kring, 2007).

Deep biosphere and habitability

Analyses at different depths of the borehole focusing on samples of melt rock, crystal xenoliths embedded in the melt, and crystals from the peak ring itself will be used to determine the duration of the crater cooling and ultimately provide better quantification of how long a crater stays warm enough to be suitable for life evolution and deep subsurface microbial communities.

Both the post-impact sedimentary rock and peak-ring rocks will be examined for present-day microbiology and biosignatures of past life. Impacts can have an adverse effect on the deep biosphere due to hydrothermal sterilization, but they may also lead to an increase in microbial abundance due to impact-induced fracturing (Cockell et al., 2002, 2005). The diversity of microbial life will be quantified and compared with geological and geochemical data to answer questions such as was the microbiology shaped by the post-impact hydrothermal system, and did organic matter get trapped within hydrothermal minerals? The deep biosphere will be investigated using culturing, molecular biological analyses of DNA, searching for biosignatures such as hopanoids and other lipids/biomolecules, and paired analyses of paleo (the genome of an extinct species) and lipid biomarkers (Cockell et al., 2005; 2009; Coolen and Overmann, 2007; Coolen et al., 2013). Iron isotopes will also be used to detect biosignatures because they are particularly useful for studies of ancient, severely metamorphosed and/or altered rocks (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). The rehabilitation of the deep biosphere following a large impact, as seen at the Chesapeake impact structure (Figure F8), will shed light on whether peak rings and impactites are an ecological niche for exotic life and thus potentially important habitats for early life on Earth (Kring and Cohen, 2002; Bryce et al., 2015).

Recovery of life

Immediately after impact, the ocean filling in the crater was likely sterile. We will use cores from the post-impact sedimentary rocks to examine the recolonization of the ocean, including what biota came back first (benthic versus planktic, phytoplankton versus...
zoo plankton, specialists versus generalists), how long it took to return to normal conditions compared to other localities outside the crater, and whether cold-water species occur in the crater, indicating severe cooling due to post-impact environmental change (e.g., Vellekoop et al., 2014). Of interest will be benthic foraminiferal recovery at “ground zero” in comparison to the global response (e.g., Jiang et al., 2010). It is possible that a long-term, impact-initiated hydrothermal circulation system (Abramov and Kring, 2007) caused a delay in the recovery of the marine benthos, as in other global sections, or did the whole assemblage recover simultaneously once the environment stabilized? Reconstruction of the environment of the earliest Paleocene will be achieved using paleoecology, ichnofacies analysis, major and minor element geochemistry, stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of carbonates, and stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes of sedimentary organic matter. These analyses, which include proxies for biologic productivity and redox conditions, will be used to reconstruct the paleoceanographic conditions in the basin after impact and provide clues to when normal marine carbon and nitrogen cycling were restored. Study of biomarkers at the molecular level (high-pressure liquid chromatography [HPLC] and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry [LC-MS]) and pigments (chlorophylls, bacteriochlorophylls, and their degradation products) from photosynthetic organisms (algae and photosynthetic bacteria) may indicate changes in and evolution of photosynthetic organism populations after impact.

It is expected that both marine and terrestrial organic matter have accumulated in the post-impact sedimentary rock and that the paired stratigraphic analysis of the paleoecology and lipid biomarkers and their isotopic compositions using precisely dated core material will provide detailed insights into post-impact environmental conditions and the recovery and evolution of surface and deep subsurface life (Coolen et al., 2007, 2013). Of interest is the ocean chemistry and temperature immediately following the impact and any indicators of climatic recovery. Are there signs of local hydrothermal venting (Zürcher and Kring, 2004), short-term global cooling (Vellekoop et al., 2014), and/or indicators of ocean acidification? How long did it take to return to normal conditions? Oxygen isotope ratios, in particular, may help constrain surface and bottom water temperatures in the immediate post-impact interval. Intrinsic magnetic properties of sediments can be used as a proxy of the relative abundance of biogenic versus detrital fractions in the sediments, and changes in magnetic mineralogy can be attributed to changes in the depositional environment (redox conditions, detrital source, etc.).

Eocene and Paleocene hyperthermals and the PETM transition

Transient warm events (also called hyperthermals) during the early Paleogene “greenhouse” phase of Earth’s climate history provide key insights into the feedbacks in the climate system. The Paleocene to Eocene intervals cored during Expedition 364 are particularly interesting due to the potential to recover the PETM, as well as late Paleocene and early Eocene events (Figure F9). Sedimentary records of many PETM transitions and hyperthermals suffer from poor preservation due to dissolution. The section we recovered at Chicxulub is atypical because it is in a subtropical location and within a semi-isolated basin above the calcium compensation depth (CCD); therefore, carbonate sediment accumulation should have been maintained throughout the Paleocene and Eocene.

Key to understanding any recovered hyperthermal intervals including the PETM will be the documentation of changing paleoceanographic conditions (depth, detrital nutrient input, temperature, and redox state), sedimentary environments, and biological productivity. Biological productivity will be evaluated through analysis of total organic carbon (TOC), stable isotopes from organic matter (C, N) and carbonates (C, O), and XRF geochemical analyses to determine concentrations of micronutrients such as Cu, Ni, and Zn. XRF analyses of redox-sensitive trace metals (Cr, Ni, Mo, V, and U) will provide insight into bottom water oxygenation during the PETM and Eocene hyperthermals. High-resolution biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy will be used to obtain a robust age model in the post-impact sediment and identify key events in the cored interval, including the hyperthermals and PETM.

Changes in continental weathering during the Paleocene–Eocene transition will be evaluated through studying clay mineralogy and major elements (Al, Si, Ti, and Zr). There was an intensified hydrological cycle and warming across the PETM (Zachos et al., 2001), and inputs of kaolinite, illite, palygorskite, and/or sepiolite, as well as major elements related to silicate weathering (Schulte et al., 2011) into sedimentary basins during this period, have been linked to an increase in runoff (John et al., 2012).
Suevite, impact melt rock, and basement rocks forming the peak ring

Chicxulub impactites appear to be quite variable, in particular with respect to the amount of anhydrite and the lithology and age of basement clasts (Kettrup et al., 2000; Kring, 2005; Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 2008). The mineralogical and geochemical characterization of the peak-ring rocks will provide key information on target rock composition (Koeberl et al., 2012). We will also search for an extraterrestrial signature using platinum group element (PGE) analyses and Os and Cr isotopes (Gelinas et al., 2004; Tagle and Hecht, 2006; Trinquier et al., 2006; Goderis et al., 2012; Sato et al., 2013, 2016) to determine whether a measurable fraction of the projectile remains at the impact site or whether most projectile material ends up within the global K-Pg layer (Artemieva and Morgan, 2009). High-resolution 40Ar/39Ar analyses and electron microscopy on shocked and melted impactites, as well as U/Pb dating of zircon and other geo- and thermochronometers, will be used to study their pressure-temperature-time and deformational history and for high-precision dating of the Chicxulub impact. Shock metamorphism of the feldspathic components will be used to investigate how impact processes affect argon retention (Pickersgill et al., 2015). Shock metamorphism and pyrometamorphic indicators for rock-forming minerals will help constrain peak shock pressure and temperature regimes (Grieve et al., 1996; Tomioka et al., 2007; Wittmann et al., 2009; Huber et al., 2011; Rae et al., 2015). Compositional and structural investigations of any intruded dikes will allow assessment of their origin, energy of emplacement, timing, and, for example, discover whether impact melt rock within dikes is more mafic than the impact melt rock in the central crater, as it is in Borehole Yax-1 (Wittmann et al., 2004).

Magnetic susceptibility and paleomagnetic measurements will be used to investigate whether hydrothermal circulation led to the formation of ferromagnetic minerals and chemical remanent magnetization (e.g., Quesnel et al., 2013). These measurements will also allow us to evaluate whether hydrothermal circulation is the source of the strong magnetic anomaly recorded at the surface and whether a component of the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) in peak-ring lithologies is shock induced (Tikoo et al., 2015). We will also investigate detrital remanent magnetizations held by post-impact sedimentary rocks, following previous investigations of Borehole Yax-1 (Rebolledo-Vieyra and Urrutia-Fucugauchi, 2004).

Dust, climatically active gases, and wildfires

The study of the shock and thermal effects recorded in the carbonate and evaporite impactites will help to more precisely constrain the degassing process of carbonates and evaporites from the Yucatán target rock. Placing constraints on the impact energy with numerical simulations and on the lithology, shock state, and porosity of the target rocks is important because these are all critical input parameters for modeling the environmental effects of this impact (Pope et al., 1997; Pierazzo et al., 2003). Solid, melted, and vaporized material from the asteroid and target rocks are ejected away from the impact site within an expanding plume (Figure F10), and the mass, velocity, and composition of these ejecta are dependent on impact angle (Artemieva and Morgan, 2009; Morgan et al., 2013). The duration and strength of the thermal pulse delivered at the Earth’s surface by reentering ejecta varies with direction and distance from Chicxulub (Morgan et al., 2013), and this variation affects the likelihood of ignition of flora (Belcher et al., 2015). Geophysical and drill core data, as well as 3-D numerical simulations of the impact, will be used to constrain impact angle and direction and to better constrain the intensity of the thermal pulse around the globe.

Post-impact sedimentary rocks, including resurge and/or tsunami deposits

Was the peak ring (being a topographic high) protected from resurge deposits? Or is it covered by wash-back deposits such as multilitered, coarse-grained, reworked suevites or cross-bedded sedimentary rocks overlain by a K-Pg Ir-rich layer? What is the history of peak-ring burial within the impact basin into the Eocene?

We will investigate the uppermost peak-ring deposits to determine the events immediately post-impact. There may be evidence of repeated tsunami and seiche surges, as observed at Brazos River, Texas (USA), in the form of several distinct graded layers (Schulte et al., 2006; Vellekoop et al., 2014).

We will also use drilling, a vertical seismic profile (VSP) experiment, and other logging data to identify the borehole depths and core-based lithologic contrasts that generate reflections in our seis-
mic data (Figures F2, F3, F4). With this core-log-seismic mapping, we can identify the stratigraphic age of reflectors and map horizons across the impact basin using the extensive suite of seismic reflection data acquired in 1996 and 2005. Sedimentological and stratigraphic data are key to understanding the paleoceanographic and sea level history across this impact basin (Whalen et al., 2013). Detailed biostratigraphic and sedimentologic studies including analysis of grain size, mineralogy, sedimentary structures, and ichnofabric using the cores and X-ray computed tomography (CT) scans will be crucial in documenting the post-impact sedimentary history. Radioisotopic dating of ash layers in the post-impact section will be used along with magnetostratigraphic and biostratigraphic analyses to investigate the volcanic history of this portion of the Gulf of México and to additionally constrain the post-impact age model. Drill core data will be used to groundtruth seismic reflectors, which can be tracked into adjacent deep-water sections to help understand the nature of lower Cenozoic sequences in the Gulf of México, which are difficult to date due to the lack of publicly available scientific cores. This mapping into the broader Gulf of México will enable testing of models for large-scale basin margin collapse caused by impact generated earthquakes and tsunami (Sanford et al., 2016).

Chronology of peak-ring rocks and impact lithologies

Of key interest is the age of the Chicxulub impact and the resulting K-Pg boundary deposits that it caused (Schulte et al., 2010; Renne et al., 2013). Therefore, a goal of the expedition was to determine the ages of impact lithologies and impact melt rock and any reset ages on uplifted target rocks at the impact site. This work will be accomplished through Ar-Ar and U-Pb ages on impact glasses and Ar-Ar dating of feldspars paired with U-Pb dating of zircons. Additionally, a range of geo- and thermochronometers will be explored within the drilled samples to investigate pressure-temperature-time paths within the peak ring.

Petrophysical groundtruth and geophysical integration

Wireline logging and petrophysical measurements on the core will be used to groundtruth geophysical models (gravity, magnetic, refraction, and magnetotelluric data) and integrate them with 2-D and 3-D seismic velocity data. Core- and borehole-based measurements will be critical to improving our understanding of crater structure away from the drill hole, in particular onshore where deep borehole and seismic data are sparse to nonexistent. Drilling will allow us to address questions such as what is the cause of the strong magnetic anomaly recorded at the surface, and what is the cause of the low seismic velocities and densities within the peak ring (are these fractured deep-crustal basement rocks, megabreccia, or some other material), and is the thin (100–150 m thick) layer of low-velocity rocks forming the uppermost lithology of the peak ring composed of polymictic breccia and/or impact melt rock? We will also use borehole imaging to constrain dips within the peak-ring stratigraphy to test for overturning during emplacement and verify whether the dips are consistent with the observed inward-dipping reflectors and region of lowered velocities visible on seismic data. Magnetic properties of the peak-ring rocks can be used to investigate the sources of magnetic anomalies throughout the crater and as a tool to correlate between onshore boreholes and offshore Site M0077.

Operational strategy

Expedition 364 was drilled using a Mission Specific Platform due to the relatively deep target depth (1500 m drilling depth below seafloor [DSF]) and shallow-water location (19.8 m). In order to meet the scientific aims, an Atlas Copco T3WDH mining rig was cantilevered from the bow of the jack-up platform, the L/B Myrtle. The vessel was contracted from Montco Offshore with drilling services provided by Drilling Observation and Sampling of the Earths Continental Crust (DOSECC).

The downhole logging program was managed by the European Petrophysics Consortium (EPC). The University of Montpellier was subcontracted for wireline ultrasilimline logging, and the University of Alberta (Canada) and the University of Texas at Austin (USA) were contracted to carry out VSP measurements.

The first phase of mobilization began on 12 March 2016 in Fourchon, Louisiana (USA). The vessel transited to the drill site (with refueling and weather delays), arriving on station on 5 April. The final mobilization was completed on station, and the offshore operational phase began with open-hole drilling on 8 April. Operations continued for 48 days, culminating on 26 May. Following a final phase of downhole logging, the vessel returned to Progreso, México, before continuing transit back to Fourchon for full demobilization.

A single hole was drilled. A series of drill strings of reducing diameters were utilized in order to maximize the likelihood of reaching the target depth. The borehole configuration comprises the following components (Figure F11):

- Open-hole drilling:
  - 12¾ inch open-hole drilling from the seabed to 15.5 m DSF.
  - 9 inch casing and cementation to 15.5 m DSF.
  - 7¾ inch open-hole drilling from 15.5 m to 503.6 m DSF.
  - 6 inch casing and cementing to 503.6 m.
  - The base of the hole was advanced to 505.7 m DSF while clearing cement and debris from the bottom of the hole.
• Coring:
  • Coring with oversize PQ3 (outer diameter [OD] 5.875 inches [149.225 mm]) from 505.7 to 701.64 m DSF, producing an ~83 mm diameter core.
  • 5½ inch casing was set to 701 m DSF before changing the core barrel and bit size.
  • Coring with PQ3 (OD 4.827 inches [122.6058 mm]) from 701.64 m DSF to the base of the hole at 1334.69 m DSF, maintaining ~83 mm diameter cores.

Table T1 summarizes hole details and recovery.

The downhole logging strategy involved a similar stepwise approach to ensure logs were collected from the maximum interval possible. Details of the downhole logging program are summarized in Downhole logging.

During the operational phase of Expedition 364 from 5 April to 30 May 2016, 2.67% of the operations time was spent mobilizing, 0.22% in transit, and 11.48% on downtime due to equipment. The remaining 85.67% of the offshore phase was for drilling, coring, and downhole logging operations.

**Principal results**

Open-hole drilling occurred from the seabed to ~500 m DSF, and core was recovered between 505.70 and 1334.69 mbsf. Attempts to recover drilling cuttings from the open-hole section were largely unsuccessful due to loss of the drilling fluid into the formation. In the upper cores, the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval was recovered between 505.70 and 617.33 mbsf. The Upper Peak Ring rocks recovered were composed of suevite above clast-poor impact melt rock from 617.33 to 747.02 mbsf. This interval overlies Lower Peak Ring shocked granitoids intruded by pre-impact dikes as well as suevite and impact melt rock between 747.02 and 1334.69 mbsf.

Results are divided into four intervals that reflect the key lithological (Figure F12) and structural sequences encountered in Hole M0077A:

- Open Hole: 0–505.70 m DSF
- Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks: Core 1R to Section 40R-1, 109.4 cm (505.70–617.28 mbsf)
- Upper Peak Ring (suevite and impact melt rock): Sections 40R-1, 109.4 cm, to 95R-3, 117 cm (617.33–747.02 mbsf)
- Lower Peak Ring (granitoids, pre-impact dikes, suevite, and impact melt rock): Section 95R-3, 117 cm, through Core 303R (747.02–1334.69 mbsf)

The divisions chosen here are based on observations of core and logging data only. These intervals do not represent the precise locations of lithologic boundaries within the core.

**Lithostratigraphy and computed tomography facies**

**Lithostratigraphy**

**Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks**

The Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval contains a diverse suite of lithologies, including claystone, black shale, dark marlstone/claystone, marlstone, siltstone, and limestone divided into wackestone, packstone, and grainstone. Most lithologies are dominantly fine grained (clay to silt sized), except for local grainstones that contain clasts that are dominantly sand sized. Most lithologies have examples of planar to wavy laminated textures with ichnofabric indexes of 1 to 2 or are bedded at the centimeter scale. Thicker bedded units are dominantly bioturbated with ichnofabric indices of 3 to 5. Cyclic or rhythmic arrangement of lithologies is common, with cycles typically grading upward from dark marlstone/claystone

**Table T1**. Hole M0077A summary. Note that percent recovery exceeds 100% due to opening of fractures resulting in the amount of core recovered exceeding that drilled. Download table in CSV format.
through marlstone and wackestone and/or packstone. Except locally (i.e., Section 37R-1), grainstones are thin, laminated, or cross-laminated packages intercalated with finer grained facies.

The relative distribution and proportions of different lithologies, as well as specific surfaces indicating erosional truncation of underlying facies or abrupt change in lithology, were used to divide the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval into different lithostratigraphic Subunits (1A–1G; Table T2). Most subunits are between 20 and 27 m thick, except for Subunits 1B, 1F, and 1G, which are all less than 10 m thick. Subunit 1A is dominated by dark marlstone/claystone. Subunit 1B is mainly limestone with intercalated marlstone and dark marlstone/claystone. Subunits 1C and 1D are largely composed of dark marlstone/claystone but also contain marlstone and limestone. Subunits 1E and 1F are primarily wackestone and packstone. Subunits 1D and 1E are the only units that contain black shale. All units have sporadic rare to common beds of bluish claystone that were likely deposited as volcanic ash. Subunit 1G is very thin (<1 m) and lithologically unusual as a fining-upward calcareous siltstone dominated by thin rhythmic alternations of darker and lighter colored laminae or beds that form the base of the post-impact sedimentary rock succession. The inclusion of greenish clasts of glass in the lower part of the unit signals the transition to the underlying suevite of Subunit 2A (Table T2).

Peak ring

From Section 40R-1, 109.4 cm, to the end of the drill cores at Section 303R-3, 56 cm, a succession of Chicxulub crater impactites occur, comprising suevite, impact melt rock, and shocked basement rocks.

The Upper Peak Ring interval consists of an impactite sequence from Section 40R-1, 109.4 cm, to Section 87R-2, 90 cm, and is divided into Units 2 and 3 (Table T2). Unit 2 extends to Section 87R-2, 90 cm, and contains 104 m of suevite with impact melt clasts, as well as lithic clasts from the carbonate platform and basement ranging in size from submillimeter to over 25 cm. Clasts are subangular to subrounded. The suevite matrix is fine-grained, micritic carbonate. Subunit 2A is characterized by repeated fining-upward or coarsening features in the suevite with clast sizes <2 cm. The transition to Subunit 2B in Section 55R-3, 11 cm, is the lowest erosional contact observed in Unit 2. Subunit 2B consists of a single 48 m fining-upward succession. Subunit 2C begins at Section 83R-1, 75 cm, with a gradational change to a ~9 m thick, dark brown suevite matrix with very poorly sorted angular to subangular clasts.

Unit 3 begins at Section 87R-2, 90 cm, and is composed of impact melt rock with rare (<25%) clasts. It is divided into two subunits. Subunit 3A is characterized by a 16 m thick mixture of green and black melt rock. The green material sometimes forms a matrix with angular fragments of black melt rock and can be intimately intermixed with black melt rock, forming schlieren of green material. Within the black melt rock, centimeter- to decimeter-sized clasts of target material are found composed of metamorphic and igneous target lithologies, including decimeter-sized clasts of granodiorite and gneiss. Subunit 3B is a ~9.5 m thick coherent black melt rock interval that begins at Section 92R-3, 17 cm. Clasts are composed of metamorphic and igneous target lithologies, but the subunit lacks visible clasts of sedimentary lithologies. Clasts of granitoids dominate and increase in abundance toward the base of the subunit and are up to 42 cm in diameter.

Beneath Section 95R-3, 117 cm, the Lower Peak Ring interval recovered at Site M0077 includes a long succession of felsic basement rocks of granitoid composition (Unit 4). Petrographically, these rocks are characterized chiefly by coarse-grained granite to
syenite hosting aplite and pegmatite dikes. Moreover, granitoid rocks are intruded by three types of subvolcanic dikes or dike swarms, including felsite, dacite, and diabase/dolerite. Petrographic analysis shows that this suite of basement rocks is pervasively shocked, with quartz and feldspar grains displaying planar deformation features throughout the entire unit. Granitoids also show localized zones of pervasive shearing and deformation, with notable millimeter- to centimeter-thick cataclastic bands and microbrittle foliation.

Granitoid basement rocks host several smaller bodies of suevite and impact melt rock (15 cm to 4 m thick). An approximately 70 m thick interval of suevite and impact melt rock interspersed with granitoids occurs at 1245–1316 mbsf (Cores 265R–298R). The lower part of this unit is dominated by suevite, occasional clast-rich granitoids occurs at 1245–1316 mbsf (Cores 265R–298R). The flow banding occurs in both the impact melt rock (15 cm to 4 m thick). An approximately 70 m thick interval of suevite and impact melt rock interspersed with granitoids.

### Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks

The CT scans of representative lithologies and each subunit from the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rock interval were described. Marlstone and dark claystone/marlstone lithologies are associated with light gray and dark gray intervals that display mainly low \( \rho_b \) and high \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) values. Overall, the reoccurring, relatively thin claystone layers are represented by black CT intervals that correspond to relatively low \( \rho_b \) and \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) values. The wackestones and packstones of the limestone lithologies are mainly associated with light to dark gray and black intervals that reflect a broad spectrum of \( \rho_b \) and \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) values. The grainstone lithology presents itself uniformly as a light gray interval associated with high \( \rho_b \) and \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) values.

### CT facies

CT facies were described throughout the hole. Distinctions between different CT facies were made on the basis of CT number, uncalibrated bulk density (\( \rho_b \)), uncalibrated effective atomic number (\( Z_{\text{eff}} \)), and common textures. In general, CT facies show a good match with the lithologic boundaries and lithostratigraphic units identified during visual core description. CT facies are described in the context of a relative, qualitative comparison of higher and lower CT number. Similar lithologies usually display similar CT number values, which are represented by a grayscale color scheme (white = high; black = low). In addition, CT number was compared to images of \( \rho_b \) and \( Z_{\text{eff}} \).

### Upper Peak Ring

The subunits of Units 2 and 3, divided by visual core description alone, can be clearly seen in the CT data. Additionally, certain subunits (e.g., Subunit 2C) have abrupt and unusual changes in CT character within the subunit. Unit 2 has an overall trend of increasing CT number. Clast size, density, and compositional variation can be clearly discerned using the CT data. The increase in clast size with depth in the suevite is reflected by an increase in variability of the average CT number with depth.

Unit 3 marks a slight drop in average CT number compared to Subunit 2C, and CT numbers remain constant, with some variability, through Subunits 3A and 3B. Texturally, Subunits 3A and 3B are very distinct in the CT data. Subunit 3A has a fluidal texture of intermixed light gray and dark gray material, whereas Subunit 3B is considerably more homogeneous and contains occasional clasts.
Lower Peak Ring

CT facies for Unit 4 were described during visual core description. There are several CT facies within Unit 4, all linked to the described lithology. In general, all of the lithologies within the lower peak ring interval are easily distinguished on the basis of CT number, $p_b$, $Z_{def}$, and texture.

Granitoids are easily identified in the CT data by their distinctive texture and the individual textures of the constituent minerals. Deformation of the granitoids generally corresponds to low $p_b$ regions of the rocks. Pre-impact dikes are generally fine grained (although occasionally porphyritic); nonetheless, each of these units can be distinguished based on their CT number, $p_b$, and $Z_{def}$. The suevite and impact melt rock within the Lower Peak Ring interval are distinctly different in the CT data from those found in the Upper Peak Ring interval, and this difference is expressed primarily by their CT textures. In both locations, the suevite and impact melt rock are easily distinguished from each other by the higher $p_b$ and $Z_{def}$ of the impact melt rock.

Biostratigraphy

Seventeen age-diagnostic biostratigraphic datums were identified in the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval at Site M0077, ranging from the middle Eocene to the base of the Paleocene. The Eocene section ranges from planktic foraminifer Zone E7b to E5 and calcareous nannofossil Zone CP12 to CP10. Eocene sedimentary rocks at Site M0077 are characterized by diverse but variable assemblages of both foraminifers and nannofossils and contain rare to dominant radiolarians, which are often associated with more organic-rich, laminated, and sometimes indurated lithologies that can only be examined in thin section. Benthic foraminifers are relatively rare and comprise an assemblage indicative of middle bathyal depths (500–700 m below sea level).

A set of stacked unconformities spanning Sections 36R–4 through 37R-1 (~606.5–607.5 mbsf) separate the Paleocene and Eocene sections and include several partial intervals of the upper Paleocene (including sedimentary rocks spanning the PETM; nannofossil Zone CP8). The lower Paleocene appears to be complete and ranges from planktic foraminifer Zone P3b to Pa. Nannofossil zonation is difficult in this interval, and the assemblage is dominated by bloom taxa (e.g., *Braarudosphaera*, *Thoracosphaera*), a calcareous dinoflagellate common in early Danian marine records, is very abundant at the base of the post-impact sedimentary rocks. Below Zone Pa is a 40 cm brown siltstone that contains a number of Maastrichtian foraminifers and nannoplankton indicative of impact-related reworking and/or survivors.

Analysis of micro- and macrofossil material in the suevite reveals a diverse assemblage of Cretaceous organisms spanning the Early Cretaceous to the Maastrichtian that are indicative of a number of environments, from shallow-water carbonate platforms to open-shelf pelagic limestones.

Paleomagnetism

The primary goal of shore-based paleomagnetic studies was to produce a preliminary characterization of the NRM of post-impact sedimentary rocks as well as suevite, impact melt rock, and basement rock within the peak ring of the crater. This objective was achieved by conducting measurements of the NRM and limited alternating field (AF) demagnetization (up to either 15 or 20 mT) of discrete paleomagnetic samples collected at a minimum frequency of ~1 sample per 3 m spanning the entire length of the Expedition 364 core.

The Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval typically had NRM intensities between $1 \times 10^{-10}$ and $8 \times 10^{-9}$ Am$^2$ (for sample volumes of ~12.25 cm$^3$). The majority of sedimentary rocks sampled carried a normal polarity magnetic overprint that represents either viscous contamination from the geomagnetic field, drilling-induced magnetization, or some combination thereof. Because this magnetic overprint was not fully removed by AF demagnetization to 15–20 mT, the underlying detrital remanent magnetization could not be properly characterized during the Onshore Science Party (OSP). Therefore, attempts to produce a polarity magnetostratigraphy and age model from the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval will be reserved for postexpedition analyses, when AF demagnetization to field levels higher than 20 mT are permitted.

Upper Peak Ring suevite and impact melt rock within the cores dominantly have NRMs of reversed polarity with a mean inclination value of approximately −44°. Some suevite samples exhibit a normal polarity magnetization of inclination of approximately 38° that was likely acquired after the impact. Both of these observations are consistent with prior paleomagnetic investigations of these lithologies in other locations within the Chicxulub crater (Urrutia-Fucugauchi et al., 1994, 2004a; Velasco-Villareal et al., 2011). NRM intensities of the suevite are 3–4 orders of magnitude higher than those of the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval. The impact melt rock has the highest NRM values in the entire hole, ranging between $2 \times 10^{-8}$ and $2 \times 10^{-4}$ Am$^2$.

Lower Peak Ring lithologies within the core, which are primarily granitoids intruded by pre-impact dikes, suevite, and impact melt rocks, often have two magnetization components. For the vast majority of basement samples, NRM exhibits negative inclinations that are broadly consistent with the expected inclination at the time of the impact, which took place during reversed polarity Chron 29r. However, after AF demagnetization to 20 mT, the residual moment often exhibits a positive inclination. The NRMs of the Lower Peak Ring interval range between $3 \times 10^{-4}$ and $4 \times 10^{-5}$ Am$^2$.

Age model

Seventeen foraminifer and nannoplankton biostratigraphic datums were identified at Site M0077. Paleomagnetic reversal datums are excluded from the age model because of pervasive overprinting. The age-depth plot reveals that sedimentation rates vary widely throughout the section. The Eocene is characterized by high sedimentation rates, averaging 2.3 cm/ky, whereas the Paleocene is characterized by very low sedimentation rates, averaging 0.22 cm/ky.

The age model was applied to moisture and density (MAD) dry bulk density data to generate mass accumulation rates for Site M0077, including rates for organic carbon and bulk carbonate deposition. In general, organic carbon accumulation is highest in the younger Eocene section after rising from nearly zero at the base of the Eocene section; carbonate mass accumulation rate is generally high throughout but also increases from the base of the Eocene section to a peak at around 50 Ma.

Geochemistry

Composition and abundance of major, minor, and trace elements, including carbon species and sulfur, and modal mineralogical compositions were measured on 246 samples from Expedition 364 cores. We analyzed 34 samples from the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval from Cores 3R through 39R, 50 samples from the Upper Peak Ring interval from Cores 40R through 95R, and 163
samples from the Lower Peak Ring interval from Cores 96R through 303R.

The Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval is dominated by CaCO$_3$, with low SiO$_2$ content expressed as limestones, marls, and black shales. The upper post-impact sedimentary rock succession is strongly enriched in organic carbon, which drops to very low concentrations in the lowermost 20 m of this interval. Details of XRF linescan and uXRF mapping of Section 40R-1 show localized enrichments in Ni and Cr near the boundary between limestones and suevite. This boundary also shows a significant change in composition from calcium carbonate–dominated to silicate-dominated lithologies.

The Upper Peak Ring interval exhibits three broad trends based on the geochemical data. The upper trend broadly correlates with Subunit 2A and is characterized by relatively constant values. Within Subunit 2B and continuing to Subunit 2C, the geochemical data exhibit higher and more variable elemental concentrations. At the base of this interval, Unit 3, consisting of impact melt rock, has lower carbonate content compared to Unit 2 and shows higher contents of silicate mineral component–derived element concentrations such as Si, Al, K, Na, V, Rb, and Zr. Organic carbon contents are very low in the Upper Peak Ring interval as a whole, and total carbon contents are dominated by carbonate carbon.

The Lower Peak Ring interval shows relatively consistent concentrations of major, minor, and trace elements that are dominated by SiO$_2$ but yielded surprisingly low total concentrations. The monotonous granitic chemical character is punctuated throughout the section by intercalations of suevite, impact melt rocks, and pre-impact dike rocks that display variable compositional characteristics. Generally, TOC concentrations are low, as is the case in the Upper Peak Ring interval; however, carbonate-related carbon contents are markedly lower.

**Physical properties**

Physical properties measurements were acquired from all cores recovered during Expedition 364. Offshore measurements were taken on whole-round cores (gamma density, electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and natural gamma ray), and onshore measurements were taken on half-round cores (line-scan images, color reflectance, and thermal conductivity) and on discrete samples (P-wave velocity, acoustic and optical borehole images, electrical resistivity, induction conductivity, magnetic susceptibility, caliper, borehole fluid parameters, and seismic traveltime versus depth from the VSP). Logs were recorded either with standalone logging tools or stackable tools combined into tool strings, which were lowered into the hole after completion of coring operations over a given interval. For the first time in IODP, Expedition 364 deployed stackable thin-slice probes.

Finally, in the Lower Peak Ring rocks, P-wave velocities increase with depth, with local excursions associated with pre-impact dikes, suevite, and impact melt rock. Overall, densities do not vary much, except for local abrupt changes in the pre-impact dikes or in the lowermost 100 m of the hole, dominated by suevite and impact melt rock, where values decrease. Porosity values remain constant through the granitoids in the Lower Peak Ring interval and start increasing in the lowermost 100 m of the hole. Natural gamma ray and magnetic susceptibility are constant overall in the granitoids, with clear positive or negative excursions in the pre-impact dikes, suevites, and impact melt rock.

**Downhole logging**

The set of downhole geophysical tools used during Expedition 364 was determined by the scientific objectives, drilling/coring technique, hole conditions, and temperature at the drill site during operations.

Wireline logging and VSP data were acquired in three logging sessions: upper session at $\sim$0–503 m DSF, middle session at $\sim$506–699 m DSF, and lower session at $\sim$700–1334 m DSF. In the lower session, wireline logs were acquired in two steps to avoid an interval of potential hole instability (mud circulation loss zones), identified as the Lower A (939 m DSF to total depth) and Lower B (935–701 m DSF) sessions (Figure F14). The following measurements were acquired in three stages using slimline tools: spectral and total gamma radiation, sonic velocity, acoustic and optical borehole images, electrical resistivity, induction conductivity, magnetic susceptibility, caliper, borehole fluid parameters, and seismic traveltime versus depth from the VSP (Figures F14, F15). Logs were recorded either with standalone logging tools or stackable tools combined into tool strings, which were lowered into the hole after completion of coring operations over a given interval. For the first time in IODP, Expedition 364 deployed stackable thin-slice probes.

The majority of measurements were performed in open borehole conditions (no casing). The recovery and overall quality of the downhole logging data are good due to the excellent borehole conditions (Figure F14), although some of the logs have a poorer quality in the upper part of the hole ($\sim$0–400 m wireline log depth below seafloor [WSF]) due to a wider diameter and imperfect borehole conditions. At the scale of the borehole, resistivity and sonic logs are well correlated, as expected. The wireline logs are also in good agreement with the physical properties data acquired both on whole-round cores and discrete samples (Figure F13).

In the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval, porosities decrease with depth, whereas densities and P-wave velocities increase. Magnetic susceptibility and natural gamma ray were measured both on cores and downhole, and the two data sets correlate well (Figure F13).

In the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval, porosities decrease with depth, whereas densities and P-wave velocities increase. Magnetic susceptibility and natural gamma ray remain relatively constant with low values.

In the Upper Peak Ring interval, P-wave velocities and densities are low compared to the overlying Paleocene sedimentary rocks, but both properties increase with depth. An opposite trend is observed for porosities. The transition from post-impact sedimentary rock to peak ring is marked by an abrupt increase in the magnetic susceptibility and natural gamma ray signals.

In the Lower Peak Ring rocks, P-wave velocities increase with depth, with local excursions associated with pre-impact dikes, suevite, and impact melt rock. Overall, densities do not vary much, except for local abrupt changes in the pre-impact dikes or in the lowermost 100 m of the hole, dominated by suevite and impact melt rock.
746.2 m WSF, the wireline logs show much higher variability compared to the suevite above. The average resistivity is 3.6 Ωm and the average sonic P-wave velocity is ~3900 m/s, ~1,000 m/s higher than the suevite above. Magnetic susceptibility is high with an average of 1000 × 10−5 SI. The gamma ray log shows values ranging between 32 and 260 API. The contact between the impact melt rock of the Upper Peak Ring interval and the granitoids of the Lower Peak Ring interval is found at 746.2 m WSF and marked on the wireline logs by a sharp decrease in magnetic susceptibility and an increase in gamma ray.

In the Lower Peak Ring interval (746.2 to ~1334 m WSF), wireline logs are characterized by moderate to low variability. Mean resistivity is ~5 Ωm, and average sonic P-wave velocities are ~4100 m/s. Magnetic susceptibility is generally low (<0.002 SI), with increasing values in the impact melt rock and suevite intervals.
Figure F14. Wireline downhole log data, Hole M0077A. Shallow and deep reading resistivity (RLLS and RLLD), resistivity from induction (Res from IL), conductivity (IL), P-wave sonic ($V_p$), magnetic susceptibility (MSUS), total gamma ray (GR), borehole fluid temperature ($T^\circ FTC; T^\circ Oc$), conductivity (Cond FTC; Cond Oc), pH and redox, gravity and local magnetic field, borehole tilt and azimuth, amplitude and traveltime acoustic images (ABI Amplitude and ABI TT), borehole diameter (CAL and ACCAL), traveltime cross section of the borehole (ABI TT cross section) and optical borehole image (OBI).
Gamma ray in the granitoids provides consistent values of ~250 API, whereas higher values (>400 API) were observed in the suevite.

At the borehole scale, the borehole-fluid temperature increases progressively downhole from ~26°C to 66.5°C at the base of the hole, and the borehole fluid conductivity ranges from 55,000 to 240,000 μS/cm. The measurements were acquired in several phases shortly after drilling; fluid parameter logs should therefore only be used qualitatively. The borehole-fluid temperature likely reflects a minimum value for the in situ temperature of the formation, whereas borehole conductivity is also affected by recent drilling operations and the presence of drill mud and seawater in the hole. Acoustic borehole images are mostly of good quality and should allow reorientation of the majority of cores with respect to magnetic north. Figure F16 illustrates the deviation of Hole M0077A.

The three-component VSP is characterized by four major velocity zones (Figure F15). In the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval, the boundary between Zones 1 and 2 is marked by a 300 m/s increase in velocity, and an increase in velocity (400 m/s) is seen at 595 m WSF.

**Microbiology**

Rock samples from every 3 to 9 m within the Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval and every 9 m within the Upper and Lower Peak Ring intervals were obtained aseptically directly upon coring. These samples were prepared for anaerobic cultivation and cell enumeration as well as for cultivation-independent metagenomic taxonomic and functional diversity profiling.
Preliminary scientific assessment

Expedition 364 is expected to achieve the majority of its pre-drilling scientific goals.

We recovered core through the peak ring and found it to comprise shocked, fractured granitoid basement intruded by pre-impact dikes, suevite, and impact melt rock over lain by impact melt rock and suevite. The fact that the peak ring is formed from uplifted, shocked, fractured granitic rocks that overlie Mesozoic sedimentary rocks (as observed in seismic reflection data) demonstrates that the dynamic collapse model for peak-ring formation is substantially correct (Morgan et al., 2016). Deformation of the peak-ring rocks includes brittle fractures, cataclasites, and pervasive shearing with striations that often crosscut each other. These observations will be used to address the kinematics of peak-ring formation and the weakening mechanism that governs impact crater formation in large impacts. The physical properties of these rocks demonstrate that the impact process reduces the density and velocity of target rocks and greatly enhances porosity.

The Post-Impact Sedimentary Rocks interval comprises a mix of lithologies, including marlstones, claystones, limestones, siltstones, and black shales, constrained biostratigraphically to be deposited between ~49 and 66 Ma. The lower Paleocene is relatively condensed but complete, with fossils indicative of planktic foraminifer Biozones Pα to P4. These initial results suggest that we will be able to address questions about the recovery of life in the ocean basin and directly compare the recovery with the evolving ocean chemistry. Nanofossil biostratigraphy indicates that the PETM is present at Site M0077 and is bracketed by unconformities, and the Eocene section above is relatively thick.

In terms of the deep biosphere within the impact crater, we ask what effect a large impact has on the deep subsurface biosphere and whether impacts can generate habitats for chemosynthetic life. How long did an impact-induced hydrothermal system exist, and what is the relationship between hydrothermal processes and habitability of the impact crater? Cell counts and DNA in the peak-ring rocks indicate the presence of modern microbial life, suggesting suvetes provide an ecological niche. This possibility is now being confirmed with additional tests. Hydrothermal minerals are commonly observed in the peak-ring rocks, demonstrating that hydrothermal processes were active after this impact.

In summary, the nearly 100% core recovery, high quality of the recovered core, completeness of the early Paleocene, and successful wireline logging campaign at Site M0077 represent a great success. We are confident that the data acquired during Expedition 364 will accomplish the goals of the expedition and go a significant way toward answering the many questions posed about the impact crater, the end-Cretaceous mass extinction, and the effects of impacts on the deep biosphere.
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