The influence of operating parameters on the drug release and antibacterial performances of alginate fibrous dressings prepared by wet spinning
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Wet spinning was used to manufacture fibrous alginate hydrogel wound dressings. Samples manufactured using varied operating parameters (decreased air pressure and calcium concentration or increased nozzle diameter and alginate concentration) were compared with the control samples. The changes in the fiber size, Young’s modulus, swelling ratio, fetal bovine serum (BSA) release efficacy, water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) and bacterial inhibition potential due to alterations of the operating parameters were measured. The samples manufactured using altered operating parameters had larger fiber sizes ($p < 0.05$) and lower Young’s moduli ($p < 0.05$). The changes in swelling ratios, BSA release efficacies, WVTR and bacterial inhibition potential showed a significant dependence on the degree of calcium crosslinking of the hydrogel and on how tightly the fibers were bound with one another. By manipulating the operating parameters in the wet-spinning system, wound dressings with different properties were successfully made.

Introduction

Alginate is a natural polysaccharide obtained from brown algae. It forms a gel when in contact with divalent cations such as calcium and magnesium. Alginate hydrogel is biocompatible and has been widely investigated as a wound dressing. An important feature of alginate hydrogel is that when applied to wound beds, it does not adhere to the wounds and is easy to remove. As a result, this hydrogel minimizes the pain and trauma a patient experiences during a dressing change procedure. Hydrogel dressings can provide moisture to dehydrated tissue. This process helps the removal of devitalized tissue during the destructive phase of healing. Alginate dressings are also very useful for moderately to heavily exuding wounds. When a hydrogel absorbs water and swells, debris and bacteria are trapped in the polymer interstices, helping to promote a reduced wound bioburden. Because of its soft nature, alginate gel dressings fit the contours of wound beds and provide a moist environment that accelerates wound healing. Furthermore, the hydrogel can absorb a large amount of liquid, which helps reduce the frequency of dressing changes. Burn wounds have responded well to the application of hydrogels; the cooling effect of hydrogels is both soothing and healing because hydrogels appear to limit the extent of thermal injury. Alginate can resist the adherence of common microorganisms and decrease the opportunity for wound infection.

Clinical results have shown that fibrous hydrogel dressings have advantages over film dressings in terms of dressing performance, patient comfort, safety and cost-effectiveness. Hydrogel fibers have been made into wound dressings and have demonstrated good physical strength and water absorbency. They also demonstrated good biocompatibility for tissue regeneration purposes. The liquid is absorbed into the fibrous structure, promoting superior exudate collection and preventing the dressing from detaching from the wound. Furthermore, the voids between the fibers provide better initial oxygen exchange to facilitate wound repair. Additionally, hydrogels are often used as a drug carrier to prevent the growth of undesired microorganisms and hence improve the function of the wound dressings.

In this study, different fibrous alginate structures were made by wet spinning, a technique that has been used to make fibrous wound dressings and tissue scaffolds. The aim of this study was to explore how the different manufacturing parameters of the wet-spinning technology influenced the structure of the final product and to investigate how the properties of the product, such as morphology, fiber size, porosity, degradation and swelling ratio, changed its performance as a wound dressing. The properties
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tested included tensile strength, swelling ratio, drug release efficacy, water vapor transmission rate and bacterial inhibition.

**Results and Discussion**

**Morphology and fiber size.** Pictures and SEM micrographs of the wet spun alginate samples manufactured using different parameters are shown in Figure 1. The average fiber size of each sample is shown in Figure 2. At 6 bar, alginate was quickly injected into the calcium solution and flowed to other parts of the solution. When the pressure was reduced to 3 bar, the slow flowing alginate accumulated where the alginate entered the solution, forming a larger fiber in sample P (p < 0.05). The slow injection rate, which meant a longer manufacturing time, also allowed more time for calcium to crosslink the fiber. A loosely bound fiber structure compared with the control was formed.

While keeping the rest of the parameters constant, the needle diameter was increased from 150 μm to 200 μm to make sample D, and it formed a larger fiber than the control (p < 0.05). An even larger needle diameter allowed the alginate solution to seep out due to gravity.

When the calcium concentration was decreased from 5% to 0.5%, the alginate molecules had more time to diffuse before they were cross-linked and formed a hydrogel in the calcium solution. The diffusion of alginate in the calcium solution resulted in the formation of a larger fiber in sample Ca (p < 0.05) compared with the control. The slow crosslinking due to the reduced calcium ions allowed more contact/adhesion between the fibers before gelation; hence, a more tightly bound fiber structure compared with the control was formed.

The 3 w/v% alginate solution was more viscous and less easily extruded compared with the control. The 3 w/v% alginate solution passed through the needle more slowly when making sample A. Similar to what happened during the making of sample P, sample A had a larger fiber size (though not significant compared with the control) and a looser structure compared with the control.

In conclusion, the control and sample Ca especially had more compact fibrous structures than the others. The control also had the smallest fiber size of all (p < 0.05, except when compared with sample A). The amount of alginate solution extruded through the needle must be coordinated with the crosslinking time. The optimum settings result in the alginate leaving the needle, forming a hydrogel and binding to the fibers with which it came in contact.

**Tensile elastic modulus.** It is important that a dressing be flexible and soft so that it conforms to the contour of the wound and keeps the patient comfortable. However, it is also important that the wound dressing be able to withstand manipulation in the application process without tearing. None of the samples made in this test were easily broken or torn during handling or while being examined for various properties.

All of the fibrous samples exhibited a delayed split fracture mode because of the isotropic fiber alignment. During the tensile test, some fibers were fractured, while others were separated from one another. Hence, the tensile force (N) measured should be a combination of the strength of the fiber material and the adhesive strength between fibers. Compared with the control, the rest of the samples either had a lower degree of crosslinking (sample Ca) or a more loosely bound fiber structure (samples P, D and A). The lack of calcium crosslinking reduced Young’s modulus of the alginate hydrogel, and the reduced binding between the fibers reduced the tensile stress the samples. The control thus had the highest elastic modulus of all samples (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3).

Chiu et al. reported that the commercial dressing Kaltostat® had a Young’s modulus of 1.2 MPa. Our wet spun fibrous samples were not as durable but were more flexible (Young’s moduli between 0.026–0.148 MPa) compared with Kaltostat®. Hence, they were expected to conform better to the contours of the wound bed.

**Swelling ratio.** The swelling ratios measured the capacity of water (exudate) absorbance of the wound dressing. Sample Ca had a significantly lower swelling ratio than the control (p < 0.05) (Fig. 4). This behavior was most likely due to sample Ca’s highly compact structure, which lowered the amount of surface area that was exposed to the aqueous solution. Samples P and D had loose structures, hence higher swelling ratios, compared with the control (p < 0.05). Sample A had a higher initial swelling ratio than the control (p < 0.05) due to its loose structure, but the swelling ratio was not significantly different from the control at the end of the test (> 60 min) (p > 0.05).

The fibrous structure of the samples quickly absorbed wound exudate, first through capillary forces and then into the fiber structure. This advantage prevents the dressings from detaching from the wound. As a result, these fibrous dressings work better than conventional film dressings for burn patients with heavily exudating wounds because the fibrous structure removes the exudates and debris faster.

**Water vapor transmission rate (WVTR).** The WVTR is a measure of how well the water in the exudate evaporates when covered with a dressing. Based on Figure 5, all of the samples had significantly lower WVTRs than an open cup (p < 0.05) and were higher than average commercial wound dressings (between 2,000 to 2,500 g/m²/d). Sample Ca had a more compact structure than the control, and it had a lower WVTR (p < 0.05). The samples with more loosely bound structures—samples P, D
Figure 1. (A) Representative of a fresh alginate hydrogel sample made by wet spinning. (B–F) are pictures (left) and SEM micrographs (right) of dry samples: (B) control (air pressure = 6 bar, needle diameter = 150 μm, calcium concentration = 0.5 w/v%, alginate concentration = 1.5 w/v%), (C) sample P (air pressure = 6 bar), (D) sample D (needle diameter = 200 μm), (E) sample Ca (calcium concentration = 5 w/v%), (F) sample A (alginate concentration = 3 w/v%).
and A—had higher WVTRs than the control (p < 0.05 for P and D).

According to Ruiz-Cardona et al., the WVTR of an open cup is 4,026 g/m²/d, that of healthy skin is 200 to 500 g/m²/d, and that of commercial wound dressings is 2,000 to 2,500 g/m²/d. An in vivo test has shown that a wound dressing with a WVTR of approximately 2,500 g/m²/d can maintain a moist environment over the wound bed in a moderately to heavily exuding wound, which enhances epithelial cell migration during the healing process. The WVTRs of the wet spun samples fell between 2,981 and 3,797 g/m²/d. These values may work for very heavily exuding wounds, but improvements are certainly needed if they are to be used for moderately exuding wounds. Based on the results in this test, a more compact structure is needed to further reduce the WVTR, which means that a further decrease of the alginate concentration, calcium concentration, or needle size and an increase of the air pressure will be needed. These changes can create smaller fibers to increase points of contact between fibers and can enhance adhesion between contacting fibers to create a compact structure.

Tetracycline HCl (TCH) release and bacterial inhibition potential. Wound dressings need to be able to absorb and release antimicrobial drugs so they can prevent infection during skin repair. Sample A had the highest percentage of alginate polymer and hence the highest amount of hydrogel to absorb the TCH molecules. As a result, it could absorb and release more TCH than other samples (p < 0.05) (Fig. 6). Samples D, P and Ca had larger fibers than the control (Fig. 1). Samples with large fibers absorbed TCH less efficiently than those with small fibers because they had less specific surface area to absorb TCH. As a consequence, samples D, P and Ca absorbed and released less TCH than the control (p < 0.05). Samples D, P and Ca absorbed and released similar amounts of TCH during the course of the test (p > 0.05). It seemed that the TCH release percentages of the samples corresponded with their swelling ratios. Samples P and Ca had the highest and lowest swelling ratios of all and they also had the highest and lowest percentages of TCH release, respectively, at 6 h. Samples with more loose fibrous structures swelled up faster (see the section "Swelling ratio") and as a consequence released the absorbed TCH more quickly.

Alginate itself and what it might release did not inhibit the growth of E. coli (Fig. 7A). Only the TCH released from the samples did inhibit the growth of E. coli and form an inhibition zone. Sample A had the largest inhibition zone of all of the samples (p < 0.05) (Fig. 7B), most likely due to the highest amount of TCH it released. Sample P had a larger inhibition zone than the control and Ca had a smaller one (both p < 0.05). The inhibition zone of sample D was similar to that of the control (p > 0.05). There did not seem to be a strong correlation between the amount of TCH loaded, their release pattern, and the size of inhibition zone. However, the most important issue in wound care is not the delivery mechanism but rather finding medications that can be topically absorbed, which provides desirable therapeutic effects within wounds.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation. Alginic acid monosodium salt was extracted from brown seaweed with a ratio of mannuronic acid to guluronic acid (M/G ratio) of 1.56 and a molecular weight of 100,000 Da (Sigma). The viscosity of the 2% alginate aqueous solution at 25°C was 150 cP (ARES Rheometer, Rheometric Scientific). Alginic acid monosodium salt was dissolved in deionized water, and the solution was loaded into a plastic syringe, which was immobilized onto a robotic arm and kept at room temperature. Air pressure was applied to the syringe through a pneumatic regulator (8000D, Ganbow) to expel the alginate solution through a needle into a container filled with calcium chloride solution in which the gelation process of the alginate fibers occurred. For the tensile and water vapor transmission rate tests,
35 mL of alginate solution was extruded into a plastic container (5.5 cm x 3.5 cm x 5 cm) filled with calcium chloride solution. For the rest of the tests, 1 mL of alginate solution was extruded into each well of a 24-well cell culture plate that had 2 mL of calcium chloride solution in each well.

The air pressure, the diameter of the needle, the concentration of the alginate solution and the concentration of the calcium chloride solution that were used are listed in Table 1. The choice of the parameters used to make the control sample was based on the report by Khalil and Sun. They reported the correlations between different operating parameters in a three-dimensional plotting system with 0.1–4 w/v% high viscosity sodium alginate aqueous solution (M.W. = 150,000 Da). They utilized nozzles with sizes ranging from 100 µm to 410 µm and concluded that their model worked best with 3% alginate and 5% calcium chloride. Based on their work and by trial and error, the parameters that were chosen to make the control sample were: air pressure = 6 bar, needle diameter = 150 µm, calcium chloride concentration = 5 w/v% and alginate concentration = 1.5 w/v%.

To study the influence of air pressure on the scaffold properties, sample P was manufactured by decreasing the air pressure to 3 bar and was compared with the control. Likewise, the needle diameter was increased to 200 µm to make sample D, the calcium chloride concentration was decreased to 0.5 w/v% to make sample Ca, and the alginate concentration was increased to 3 w/v% to make sample A. The samples were dehydrated using sequential 30%, 50%, 70% and 99.5% ethanol solutions and air-dried. The dry samples were then stored in a desiccator for later testing.

Sample morphology. The dry samples were sputter-coated with gold (E1010, Ion Sputter) before being examined with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (S-3000H). The fiber size was estimated from the scale bars on the SEM micrographs. The diameters of 15 fibers were averaged for each sample (n = 15).

Tensile modulus. The dry samples were rehydrated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.4) for 30 min before testing. The samples (~3 cm x 3 cm x 0.2 cm) were fixed between the two clamps (with gauge length = 2 cm) of a tensile tester (H5KS, Tinus Olsen). A 25 N load cell was used with the crosshead moving at 10 mm/min. The elastic modulus [kPa/(mm/mm)] of the samples (n = 6) was obtained according to ASTM standard D 638-10.

Swelling. The dried samples (initial weights of \( W_0 \)) were placed in a beaker filled with 100 mL of PBS with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) (pH = 7.4) (n = 6). At 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 90 min, the samples were taken out of the beaker. The excess water in the samples was removed by gentle blotting with tissue paper (Kimwipes, Kimberly-Clark). The final weights of the samples (\( W_t \)) were measured, and the swelling ratios were calculated as \[ \frac{(W_t - W_0)}{W_0} \times 100\% \].

Drug release efficacy. The model drug used in this test was tetracycline HCl (TCH) (Alfa Aesar). The wet samples were punched into small discs (diameter = 10 mm, thickness ~ 2 mm) and dehydrated using the procedures described in Materials and Methods. The dry samples were submerged in a TCH solution...
(10 mg/mL) for 24 h (n = 6). The excess liquid in the pores and on the surfaces of the samples was removed using gentle centrifugation before being placed in beakers filled with 100 mL of PBS with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (pH = 7.4). The six beakers were placed on a shaker moving at 50 rpm. At different time points (for 6 h), 100 μL of the PBS solution was removed from the beakers, and the same amount of fresh PBS was replenished. The absorbance of TCH in the sample solution was read at 361 nm using a spectrophotometer (Gene Quant 1200, GE Healthcare Life Science). The total TCH absorbance at each time point was converted to its concentration C\textsubscript{t} (ng/mL) using a standard curve, and the amount of TCH released at that time (W\textsubscript{t}) in the beakers was obtained \[ W_t = \frac{C_t}{100} \times 100\% \]. The samples were left in the beakers for 48 h, allowing the release of all of the absorbed TCH. The total amount of TCH released after 48 h (W\textsubscript{f}) was calculated as \[ W_f = \frac{C_f}{100} \times 100\% \], where C\textsubscript{f} was the final concentration of TCH in the beakers at 48 h. The percentage of TCH that was released at 6 h was calculated as \[ \frac{W_t}{W_f} \times 100\% \].

**Water vapor transmission rate.** The moisture permeability of the hydrogel was determined by measuring the water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) across the material, as stipulated by the ASTM standard E 96/E 96 M – 05.\textsuperscript{29} A glass tube (ID = 2 cm, h = 1.6 cm) was filled with 4 g of deionized water (approximately 3/4 of the tube volume). Each wet sample (~5 cm × 3 cm × 0.2 cm) was dehydrated following the procedure described in the section “Morphology and fiber size.” The dry samples were affixed to the top of the tubes with a sealant to prevent vapor

![Figure 5](image_url) **Figure 5.** The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR) for the open cup and dry samples. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 6). *Indicates data being significantly different from the control sample (p < 0.05).

![Figure 6](image_url) **Figure 6.** The cumulative TCH release of different samples over 6 h in PBS. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 6). The total amount of TCH released within 48 h was the amount of TCH loaded on the sample. The percentages of TCH released after 6 h is shown on the figure. The cumulative amounts of TCH released from sample A at 6 h and 48 h were higher than those of the control, while those of samples P, D and Ca were lower (p < 0.05).
leakage from the periphery of the tube opening. The tubes (n = 6) were incubated at 37°C and 50% relative humidity in an oven. After 48 h, the weight of the water remaining in the tube was measured \( W_{2\text{day}} \) (g). WVTR was calculated as \( (4-W_{2\text{day}})/\text{area of dressing coverage}/2 \) (g/m²/d).

Antibacterial potential. The Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used to assess the antibacterial activity of the tetracycline HCl (TCH, Sigma)-loaded samples. The antibacterial performance of the samples was tested against gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, DH 5-α, Bethesda Research Lab) (n = 8). The samples without TCH were used as controls. The wet samples were punched into discs (D = 10 mm, thickness ~2 mm) and dehydrated using the procedure described earlier. The dry samples were placed in a TCH solution (15 mg/mL) for 12 h to absorb TCH. One-hundred microliters of *E. coli* \( (10^8 \text{ cells/mL}) \) was uniformly seeded onto Lysogeny broth agar (Difco) in a Petri dish, and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 18 h. The diameter of the zone of inhibition was measured.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** (A) Representative pictures of the antibacterial effect of samples releasing tetracycline HCl (TCH). (B) The bacterial inhibition zone of the samples loaded with (TCH). Data are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 8). *Indicates data significantly different from the control sample (p < 0.05).

Table 1. The different operating parameters of the wet-spinning system and a qualitative assessment of the appearances of the final products

| Sample denotation* | Parameters of the wet-spinning system | Qualitative observation of appearances compared with the control* |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | Air pressure (bar) | Needle size (µm) | Calcium conc. (w/v%) | Alginate conc. (w/v%) | Fiber size | Smaller | Compact | Loose |
| Control            | 6                     | 150               | 5.0                 | 1.5                |            | ++       |         |       |
| P                  | 3                     | 150               | 5.0                 | 1.5                | ++         | ++       |         |       |
| D                  | 6                     | 200               | 5.0                 | 1.5                | +          | ++       |         |       |
| Ca                 | 6                     | 150               | 0.5                 | 1.5                | +          | +        |         |       |
| A                  | 6                     | 150               | 5.0                 | 3                  | similar    | +        |         |       |

+ observed; ++, observed in a more significant way than others; *Alginate samples are coded according to the variable in the manufacturing process. For example, P is a sample manufactured with an air pressure that was different from the control. The observations were based on the pictures in Figure 1.
containing TCH were then placed on the agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The diameters of the clear circles were measured (Diz), and the inhibition zones were calculated as [(Diz – 10)/2] (mm).

**Statistical methods.** The data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat 3.5 (Systat). The differences between the wet spun samples made by different parameters were compared using one-way analysis of variance. Significance was declared at p < 0.05.

**Conclusions**

By manipulating the operating parameters in the wet-spinning system, wound dressings with different properties were successfully made. The results show that the operating parameters of the wet-spinning system had a significant impact on the size of the fibers and the fibrous structure. The changes in fiber size and structure significantly influenced the performance of the dressings, i.e., the tensile modulus, swelling, WVTR, drug release, and bacterial inhibition potential. This study is the first to study the effects of the manufacturing parameters of wet spinning on the structure of an alginate fiber matrix and relate the changes in the structure to the matrix’s performances as a wound dressing.
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