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Abstract

High spatial and temporal resolution images of a sunspot, obtained simultaneously in multiple optical and UV wavelengths, are employed to study the propagation and damping characteristics of slow magnetoacoustic waves up to transition region heights. Power spectra are generated from intensity oscillations in sunspot umbra, across multiple atmospheric heights, for frequencies up to a few hundred mHz. It is observed that the power spectra display a power-law dependence over the entire frequency range, with a significant enhancement around 5.5 mHz for the chromospheric channels. The phase difference spectra reveal a cutoff frequency near 3 mHz, up to which the oscillations are evanescent, while those with higher frequencies propagate upward. The power-law index appears to increase with atmospheric height. Also, shorter damping lengths are observed for oscillations with higher frequencies suggesting frequency-dependent damping. Using the relative amplitudes of the 5.5 mHz (3 minute) oscillations, we estimate the energy flux at different heights, which seems to decay gradually from the photosphere, in agreement with recent numerical simulations. Furthermore, a comparison of power spectra across the umbral radius highlights an enhancement of high-frequency waves near the umbral center, which does not seem to be related to magnetic field inclination angle effects.
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1. Introduction

Slow magnetoacoustic waves (SMAWs) are, in general, compressive in nature, which makes them easily detectable through imaging observations. With the advent of high-resolution observations of the solar atmosphere including magnetic pores (Morton et al. 2011; Dorotovič et al. 2014; Moreels et al. 2015; Freij et al. 2016), chromospheric network (Vecchio et al. 2007; Kontogiannis et al. 2010, 2014), coronal loops (Wang et al. 2015; Jess et al. 2016), and polar plumes (Krishna Prasad et al. 2011; Su 2014). Recent multi-wavelength observations of sunspots reveal that umbral flashes (Beckers & Tallant 1969; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2003) and running penumbral waves (Giovanelli 1972; Bloomfield et al. 2007; Freij et al. 2014) observed in the chromosphere, and quasi-periodic propagating disturbances observed in the coronal loops (Berghmans & Clette 1999; De Moortel et al. 2000; De Moortel 2009), are just different manifestations of the SMAWs that propagate from the photosphere through to the corona (Jess et al. 2012a; Krishna Prasad et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016). It has been proposed that the interaction of photospheric p-modes with magnetic fields generates different magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves (Spruit 1991; Cally et al. 1994; Jess et al. 2015), of which SMAWs are guided upward along the magnetic field lines (Cally 2007; Khomenko & Cally 2012), allowing them to reach coronal heights 

(De Pontieu et al. 2003, 2005). Furthermore, it has been shown that oscillations with frequencies below the typical acoustic cutoff can also be channeled into the corona if the magnetic fields are inclined (De Pontieu et al. 2005; Erdélyi 2006), or if the radiative losses are included (Khomenko et al. 2008).

The available energy flux of SMAWs in the corona is on the order of a few hundred, to a few thousand erg cm−2 s−1 (McEwan & de Moortel 2006), which is not at all sufficient to maintain the corona at million-kelvin temperatures (∼107 erg cm−2 s−1; Withbroe & Noyes 1977). Nevertheless, the photospheric acoustic oscillations, particularly those at high frequencies, were thought to possess enough energy to replenish the chromospheric radiative losses. However, using the power spectra from quiet-Sun intensity fluctuations and one-dimensional numerical simulations, Fossum & Carlsson (2005) have shown that the acoustic energy flux found in the 5–50 mHz frequency range is substantially smaller than expected; about one-tenth of that required in the chromosphere. The authors employed data from the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer (TRACE; Handy et al. 1999) in the 1700 Å and 1600 Å passbands to arrive at this conclusion. In contrast, three-dimensional numerical simulations by Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. (2007) indicate the existence of sufficient energy flux from acoustic waves. Furthermore, Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. (2007) demonstrate that the limited spatial resolution of TRACE observations underestimate the acoustic power by at least an order of magnitude, helping to explain the discrepancy. Subsequent observations with higher resolution have revealed
In a sunspot umbra, using the root-mean-squared of NaI D1 and NaI D2 lines, Kneer et al. driver Vigeesh et al. expanding magnetic however, that the chromosphere, being magnetically rich with required to dominate chromospheric heating. One must note, oscillations (dimensional MHD simulations by Fedun et al. losses of small-scale network relatively less studied. Two-dimensional numerical simulations insufﬁcient contribution to localized heating.

In magnetized atmospheres, the energy contribution of acoustic oscillations (through SMAWs) to chromospheric heating is relatively less studied. Two-dimensional numerical simulations of small-scale network ﬁelds driven by transverse impulses reveal insufﬁcient acoustic ﬂux to balance chromospheric radiative losses (Vigeesh et al. 2009). Furthermore two- and three-dimensional MHD simulations by Fedun et al. (2011) and Vigeesh et al. (2012) indicate variable acoustic energy ﬂux in the upper atmospheric layers depending on the choice of the driver (see also Mumford et al. 2015; Mumford & Erdélyi 2015).

In a sunspot umbra, using the root-mean-squared (rms) velocities of NaI D1 and NaI D2 lines, Kneer et al. (1981) estimate an outward energy ﬂux of $5 \times 10^4$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which is well below the chromospheric requirement of $2.6 \times 10^5$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Avrett 1981). In a recent study, Felipe et al. (2011) computed the acoustic energy ﬂux as a function of atmospheric height using data-driven MHD simulations of a sunspot umbra. The authors found insufﬁcient energy ($\approx 10^6$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) even at the lowermost (photospheric) height investigated, which further decreases with height. Chae et al. (2017) calculate the average energy ﬂux in three-minute oscillations over a sunspot umbra, observed in the NiI 5436 Å line that forms 38 km above photosphere, as $1.8 \times 10^6$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This value is on the same order of that obtained by Felipe et al. (2011) near the photosphere. On the contrary, based on the observational data of a sunspot umbra, Kanoh et al. (2016) estimate an energy ﬂux of $2 \times 10^7$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the photospheric level, with $8.3 \times 10^8$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the lower transition region level in the 6–10 MHz frequency band, implying a dissipation of sufﬁcient energy to maintain the umbral chromosphere. The authors, however, add a caveat that the energies could be overestimated due to opacity effects.

In this article, we utilize high-resolution, high-cadence image sequences obtained simultaneously in multiple wavelengths, to study the damping of SMAWs in a sunspot umbra up to transition region heights. Representative power spectra of the sunspot umbra are generated across all channels to perform this in-depth study. We present the observational aspects of the data in Section 2, followed by our analysis and results in Section 3, and ﬁnally discuss our important interpretations in Section 4.

2. Observations

The Dunn Solar Telescope (DST), situated in the Sacramento Peak mountains of New Mexico, was employed to obtain high-resolution images of active region NOAA 12149 at a very high cadence in ﬁve different wavelength channels using the Rapid Oscillations in the Solar Atmosphere (ROSA; Jess et al. 2010) and the Hydrogen-Alpha Rapid Dynamics camera (HARDcam; Jess et al. 2012a). The observations were carried out on 2014 August 30 starting from 14:37 UT for approximately 3 hrs. Four identical ROSA cameras were used to capture images simulta-
neously in four wavelength channels corresponding to the blue continuum (4170 Å), G-band (4305.5 Å), MgI b$_2$ (5172.7 Å), and Ca II K line core (3933.7 Å), while the HARDcam instrument acquired images in the Hα line core (6562.8 Å). The bandpass widths of each of these channels are 52 Å, 9.2 Å, 0.13 Å, 1 Å, and 0.25 Å, respectively. All of the images were processed following standard procedures. In addition to the application of high-order adaptive optics (Rimmele 2004) during the observations, all of the data were subjected to speckle reconstruction algorithms (Wöger et al. 2008) to improve the image quality. The ﬁnal cadences of the data are 2.11 s for the blue continuum, G-band and Ca II K, 4.22 s for the MgI b$_2$, and 0.99 s for the Hα channels.

The data were subsequently normalized with a median intensity value obtained from a relatively quiet region (outlined by a white dashed box in Figure 1) in the ﬁeld of view to compensate for brightness changes over time that result from changes in the elevation of the Sun. The atmospheric seeing conditions remained excellent throughout the time series, barring a few short-term local ﬂuctuations that affected a

Figure 1. Sample images of a sunspot from AR 12149 in multiple wavelength channels, taken by ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS/SJI. The image in the ROSA continuum channel shows a larger ﬁeld of view, while those in the rest display the closer vicinity of the sunspot. The white cross in the umbra locates the position of the umbral-brightener, while the solid white curve outlines the umbra-penumbra boundary. The white dashed box in the ROSA continuum image highlights the region selected to compute median intensity for ROSA/HARDcam data normalization (see the text for details). The vertical black line in IRIS images shows the position of the IRIS slit outside the umbra.

larger, but still either insufﬁcient (Carlsson et al. 2007) or barely comparable (Bello González et al. 2009, 2010) acoustic power required to dominate chromospheric heating. One must note, however, that the chromosphere, being magnetically rich with expanding magnetic ﬁelds, supports different MHD wave modes that are both compressible and incompressible (e.g., Morton et al. 2012; Kuridze et al. 2013; Jess et al. 2017), which may make a signiﬁcant contribution to localized heating.

forced by white dashed box in Figure 1 in the ﬁeld of view to compensate for brightness changes over time that result from changes in the elevation of the Sun. The atmospheric seeing conditions remained excellent throughout the time series, barring a few short-term local ﬂuctuations that affected a
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| Channel Name            | Formation Height |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Blue continuum (4170 Å) | 25 km (1)        |
| G-band                  | 100 km (1)       |
| Mg I b_2                | 700 km (2)       |
| Ca ii K                 | 1300 km (3)      |
| Hα                      | 1500 km (4)      |

Table 1

Typical Formation Heights of Different ROSA/HARDcam Channels above the Photosphere

References. (1) Jess et al. (2012b), (2) Schmieder (1979), (3) Beebe & Johnson (1969), (4) Vernazza et al. (1981).

A relatively small number of images in each channel. These images were identified through the decreases in their contrast ratios with time, and were subsequently replaced through interpolation. Data from different channels were co-aligned with respect to the blue continuum using a set of calibration images that were obtained immediately prior to the science observations. The spatial sampling of the ROSA data is 0″.18 per pixel. HARDcam (Hα) images are observed at a better spatial resolution (0″.09 pixel⁻¹; as a result of the four-fold increase in pixel numbers), but for the analyses presented in this article, these data have been resampled to match the ROSA plate scale. The typical formation heights of individual ROSA and HARDcam channels are listed in Table 1.

The Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS; De Pontieu et al. 2014) also observed AR 12149 during the same timeframe. IRIS observations consist of slit-and-stare data lasting approximately 6 hr, commencing from 11:12 UT and lasting until 17:13 UT. The corresponding images taken by the slit-jaw imager (SJI) were obtained only in two channels, 2796 Å and 1330 Å. The spectrographic slit was pointed entirely outside the sunspot, therefore providing no associated spectra for the umbra under investigation. Thus, we consider only the SJI data, between 14:13 and 17:13 UT, which has the maximum temporal overlap with the ROSA/HARDcam image sequences. The 2796 Å and 1330 Å channels mainly capture the plasma present in the upper chromosphere and the transition region, respectively (De Pontieu et al. 2014). All of the data are processed to “level 2” standard, which incorporates dark current subtraction, flat-fielding, and other necessary corrections, including the images being brought on to a common plate scale. Additionally, we also ensured that each time sequence is properly co-aligned with the first image using intensity cross-correlations. The cadence of the data is approximately 18.8 s and the spatial sampling is ≈0″.166 per pixel. Robust co-alignment between the ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS channels has been achieved by cross-correlating the ROSA Ca ii K and IRIS 2796 Å images. Sample images for each of the channels are displayed in Figure 1. The ROSA blue continuum image displays the full ground-based field of view, while the rest display zoom-ins of the primary sunspot captured in each of the respective channels.

3. Analysis and Results

Active region NOAA 12149 has a single, large, nearly circular sunspot of negative polarity (Hale class β/δ), surrounded by a few pore-like magnetic concentrations (of the same polarity) and some diffuse positive flux. The detailed structure of the sunspot and its vicinity across different ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS channels can be seen in Figure 1. Using the time-averaged blue continuum image, a boundary between the umbra and penumbra of the sunspot has been defined through intensity thresholding, calculated in relation to the median granulation intensity from the immediate surroundings. The location of the umbral barycenter in intensity is then computed from the pixel locations within this outer perimeter following the methods detailed by Jess et al. (2013). The computed umbra-penumbra boundary and the umbral barycenter are marked by a white solid line and a white cross, respectively, in Figure 1. The excellent co-alignment between different optical and UV channels may also be noted.

3.1. Mean Power Spectra

A Fourier power spectrum of a time series reveals the oscillation frequencies manifesting within it as individual spikes in power. Time series from each pixel location within the umbra was subjected to Fourier analysis using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method, with the corresponding frequencies, along with their respective power peaks, identified and noted. In addition to performing FFT analyses on a pixel-by-pixel basis, we also calculated a global average Fourier power spectrum for all pixels contained within the umbral perimeter using the bootstrap method (Efron 1979).

The mean power spectra calculated following this method for all ROSA and HARDcam data are displayed in Figure 2, along with the respective 1σ uncertainties. As may be noted, the uncertainties are fairly small, smaller than the size of the symbol in most cases. The corresponding plots for the IRIS 2796 Å and 1330 Å channels are shown in Figure 3. Note that the highest frequency up to which the power spectra are plotted is different for each of the ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS channels due to differences in cadences of the respective data sets. The power in all channels decreases with frequency (implying a power-law dependence), albeit with an intermediate bump visible in some channels and a plateau of power at higher frequencies.

The flattening of power at higher frequencies is due to the white noise that dominates the signal at those frequencies. Assuming enough photon statistics⁹ in the umbra, the white noise is primarily composed of photon noise. The photon noise, by nature, follows a Poisson distribution, and has an amplitude proportional to the square root of the signal. To estimate the level of this noise present in the data, for each umbral pixel, we generate a random light curve following a Poisson distribution, with an amplitude equivalent to the square root of the mean intensity at that pixel. Using these artificially generated noise light curves, we compute the mean power spectrum in an identical way to that of the original data. Hence, the modeled noise power spectra, for each channel after appropriate scaling, are shown as red dots in Figures 2 and 3.

The computed noise levels are subtracted from the individual power spectra, with the resultant power spectra for all ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS channels displayed in Figures 4 and 5. In these figures, the vertical bars in gray represent the corresponding uncertainties, which are substantially larger at high frequencies due to the lower power values obtained after white noise subtraction. Also, the errors appear asymmetric due to the log-scale employed. It may be noted that the applied correction

---

⁹ For example, the signal is well above the detector background noise characteristics such as the dark noise etc.
Figure 2. Mean power spectra generated from intensity oscillations within the umbra, across multiple ROSA/HARDcam channels. The corresponding 1σ uncertainties on the values are shown as error bars, which are on the order of the size of the symbol. The red dots display the level of photon noise present in the data, computed from artificially generated light curves that follow a Poisson distribution, with amplitudes equivalent to the square root of the mean observed intensity. The vertical dashed line denotes a frequency of 5.5 mHz, where significant enhancements in power can be seen in chromospheric channels. Note that the frequency range of the spectra differs in each of the channels due to differences in their respective cadences.
In connected to the photospheric Umbral oscillations at these frequencies are believed to be IRIS to zero. Zhao et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016 usually displays oscillations at a frequency of observed in the umbral chromosphere. The photospheric umbra atmospheric height. Note that the extent of the spectra is lower than that in ROSA/HARDcam data (Figure 2) due to the lower temporal cadence of the IRIS data.
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Figure 3. Mean power spectra generated from intensity oscillations within the umbra using IRIS data. The corresponding 1σ uncertainties on the values are shown as error bars. The red dots indicate the respective levels of photon noise calculated in a similar way to that in Figure 2. The vertical dashed line marks the position of 5.5 mHz. Note that the extent of the spectra is lower than that in ROSA/HARDcam data (Figure 2) due to the lower temporal cadence of the IRIS data.

The intermediate bumps in the power spectra peak near 5.5 mHz (≈180 s; marked by a vertical dashed line in Figures 2 and 3), which is a characteristic frequency of SMAWs often observed in the umbral chromosphere. The photospheric umbra usually displays oscillations at a frequency of ≈3.3 mHz. Umbral oscillations at these frequencies are believed to be connected to the photospheric p-modes (e.g., Krishna Prasad et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016). Several theories also exist to explain the transition of the characteristic frequency from 3.3 mHz in the photosphere to 5.5 mHz in the chromosphere (Zhugzhda et al. 1983; Fleck & Schmiz 1991). However, oscillation amplitudes in the photosphere are usually very low, which are further minimized by the opacity effects present in intensity measurements (e.g., Khomenko & Collados 2015). This is perhaps the reason why no bumps are observed in the blue continuum and G-band power spectra. It may be noted that the level of enhancement in the bump is variable across the different imaging channels. This is due to the varying amplitude of oscillations as the waves propagate through different atmospheric layers. However, a direct comparison of the power in different channels is not particularly trivial since it depends on several physical and instrumental factors, including the spectral region, exposure time, filter bandwidth, etc. Therefore, the power spectra in each channel are normalized to their respective power-law fits, which provides a relative indication of the amplitude of oscillations present in that atmospheric layer, and thus may be safely compared across different channels to gain additional physical insight.

The intermediate bumps in the power spectra peak near 5.5 mHz (≈180 s; marked by a vertical dashed line in Figures 2 and 3), which is a characteristic frequency of SMAWs often observed in the umbral chromosphere. The photospheric umbra usually displays oscillations at a frequency of ≈3.3 mHz. Umbral oscillations at these frequencies are believed to be connected to the photospheric p-modes (e.g., Krishna Prasad et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016). Several theories also exist to explain the transition of the characteristic frequency from 3.3 mHz in the photosphere to 5.5 mHz in the chromosphere (Zhugzhda et al. 1983; Fleck & Schmiz 1991). However, oscillation amplitudes in the photosphere are usually very low, which are further minimized by the opacity effects present in intensity measurements (e.g., Khomenko & Collados 2015). This is perhaps the reason why no bumps are observed in the blue continuum and G-band power spectra. It may be noted that the level of enhancement in the bump is variable across the different imaging channels. This is due to the varying amplitude of oscillations as the waves propagate through different atmospheric layers. However, a direct comparison of the power in different channels is not particularly trivial since it depends on several physical and instrumental factors, including the spectral region, exposure time, filter bandwidth, etc. Therefore, the power spectra in each channel are normalized to their respective power-law fits, which provides a relative indication of the amplitude of oscillations present in that atmospheric layer, and thus may be safely compared across different channels to gain additional physical insight.

Figure 6 displays the normalized power spectra for various ROSA, HARDcam, and IRIS channels. Different colors and symbols are used to denote the data from different channels. The uncertainties on these data are similar to those displayed in Figures 4 and 5, but are not shown here to avoid cluttering from larger values at high frequencies. The vertical dotted lines mark the locations of 3.3 mHz (300 s) and 50 mHz (20 s). As can be seen, there is no obvious peak in the blue continuum and G-band channels. The power present in the rest of the channels peaks at ≈6.57 mHz (152 s),11 barring the Mg I b2 channel, which peaks at ≈5.65 mHz (177 s). Besides the main peak, two additional peaks are visible in the ROSA Ca II K and IRIS 2796 Å channels at ≈8.3 mHz (120 s) and ≈13.1 mHz (76 s) frequencies, of which the latter is more prominent.

The peak power appears to increase from Mg I b2 to Ca II K, before further decreasing in the neighboring Hα and IRIS channels. This implies an increase and decrease of the

---

10 The weights for all the low-frequency data (<3.3 mHz) were set to unity and for all the high-frequency data (>50 mHz for ROSA and >16.6 mHz for IRIS data) were set to 0.1, while those for the intermediate frequencies were set to zero.

11 In IRIS 1330 Å channel, the power peaks at 6.48 mHz (154 s).
Figure 4. Resultant power spectra in ROSA/HARDcam channels, following the subtraction of photon noise from each of the mean power spectra. The vertical bars in gray represent the corresponding uncertainties. The original mean power spectra are also shown in gray for comparison. Red solid lines represent the best linear fits to the data using a logarithmic scale, highlighting a clear power-law dependence. The respective power-law indices, as obtained from the slopes, are also listed in each plot. The data between the vertical dashed lines, positioned at 3.3 and 50 mHz, are ignored while performing the linear fits.
oscillation amplitudes across these channels. Considering the square root of peak power in each channel as a measure of oscillation amplitude, we plot the variation of amplitude with atmospheric height in the left panel of Figure 7. Colors and symbols used to represent data from different channels, are the same as that in Figure 6. The corresponding uncertainties are shown as vertical bars plotted adjacent to the data for clarity. The power at 5.55 mHz (≈180 s) is used to calculate the blue continuum and G-band amplitudes. As listed in Table 1, the formation heights chosen for the blue continuum, G-band, Mg I b2, Ca II K, and Hα are 25 km (Jess et al. 2012b), 100 km (Jess et al. 2012b), 700 km (Schmieder 1979), 1300 km (Beebe & Johnson 1969), and 1500 km (Vernazza et al. 1981) above the photosphere, respectively. The IRIS 2796 Å channel, with a bandpass of 4 Å, is sensitive to the plasma present in the upper chromosphere, while the 1330 Å channel, with a bandpass of 55 Å, is sensitive to transition region plasma (De Pontieu et al. 2014). This is also evident from the structures visible in the IRIS images (Figure 1). Taking the close resemblance between Ca II K and IRIS 2796 Å images into account, the formation height of the 2796 Å channel is chosen as 1400 km, while the 1330 Å channel is deemed to be representative of 2000 km above the photosphere (consistent with typical transition region heights). Alternative formation heights that may be possible for the Hα and IRIS 1330 Å channels, as inferred from the phase difference spectra (see Section 3.2), are used to mark the gray diamond and square, respectively, in Figure 7. The corresponding errors are shown on the left-hand side for these data. We must emphasize that the plotted amplitudes obtained from the normalized power do not in any way constitute absolute values, but instead provide a representative comparison between the strengths of the oscillations observed at different atmospheric heights.

We also computed the energy flux (F) following WKB approximation, using $F = \rho \langle \delta v^2 \rangle c_s$, where $\rho$, $\delta v$, and $c_s$ are the mass density, velocity amplitude, and sound speed respectively. The sound speed $c_s$ is related to the temperature $T$, as $c_s = \sqrt{\gamma RT/\mu}$, where $\gamma$ is the polytropic index, $R$ is the gas constant, and $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight. We consider $\gamma = 5/3$, $R = 8.314 \times 10^7$ erg K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$, and $\mu = 0.61$ (Mariska 1993), in these calculations. The required temperature and density values corresponding to the observational formation heights were acquired through spline interpolation of the values extracted from the umbral core “M” model of Malby et al. (1986). The velocity amplitudes are obtained by scaling the ROSA blue continuum Fourier amplitude to 40 m s$^{-1}$. This corresponds to the rms velocity amplitude (integrated in the 5–8 mHz band) averaged over two sunspot umbras observed by Lites & Thomas (1985) in the Ti I 6304 Å line that forms ≈40 km above the photosphere (Abdelatif et al. 1984), close to the formation height of the ROSA blue continuum channel. Furthermore, from a compilation of similar results from several authors it is shown that the rms oscillatory amplitudes in the 5–8 mHz (2–3 minutes) band in the umbral photosphere falls within the range of 25–50 m s$^{-1}$ (Table 1; Thomas & Weiss 1992). Also, more recently, Chae et al. (2017) report similar amplitudes (33 m s$^{-1}$) for three-minute oscillations over a sunspot umbra using observations in the Ni I 5436 Å line that forms ≈38 km above the photosphere. The axis on the right-hand side of the left panel in Figure 7 shows the scaled amplitudes in m s$^{-1}$. The computed energy flux values are shown in the right panel of Figure 7, with an additional axis on the right to show the energy flux in S.I. units (W m$^{-2}$). The gray diamond and square indicate the energy flux in the Hα and IRIS 1330 Å channels, respectively, assuming alternative formation heights for the data based on phase difference analysis (see Section 3.2). The error bars adjacent to the data represent the corresponding uncertainties. As can be seen, the energy flux gradually decreases, even when the oscillation amplitude is increasing, suggesting the damping of SMAWs across these atmospheric layers. It may be noted that modifying the velocity amplitudes in the photosphere will change the energy flux by an order of magnitude or more. However, the decreasing trend in energy flux, where our main emphasis lies, remains unchanged.

SMAWs have been reported to undergo frequency-dependent damping in the solar corona (Ofman & Wang 2002; Krishna Prasad et al. 2014; Gupta 2014). In order to explore if such behavior is also exhibited by these waves in the

Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but here for IRIS data. The data between 3.3 and 16.6 mHz are ignored during fitting, as denoted by the vertical dashed lines.
sub-coronal layers, we computed oscillation amplitudes at three frequencies coincident with the identified peaks at 6.57, 8.33, and 13.1 mHz. The original power spectra (after correction for white noise) were used to calculate the amplitudes to avoid potential influences of the varying power-law slopes on the frequency dependence. The individual power spectra were, however, normalized with their respective power at 3 mHz to enable comparison across different channels. The power integrated in a 1 mHz band around each of the frequency peaks (0.5 mHz on either side of the peak) is used to evaluate the amplitudes. The data in Ca II K, IRIS 2796 Å, Hα, and IRIS 1330 Å channels synonymous with the declining phase of amplitudes, are only considered. Figure 8 displays the computed amplitudes as a function of formation height of the channels along with the respective uncertainties. Solid lines represent the best fits to the data following a function $A = A_0 e^{-h/L_d} + C$, where $A$ is the oscillation amplitude, $h$ is the formation height, $L_d$ is the damping length, and $A_0$ and $C$ are appropriate constants. The exponential decay function appears to be consistent with the data. Damping lengths obtained from the fits, at each frequency, $f$, are listed in the plot, which indicate frequency-dependent damping of the waves with stronger damping found at higher frequencies.

3.2. Phase Difference Spectra

The phase difference between oscillations at different heights can be used to determine whether the waves are upward/downward propagating or standing (e.g., Centeno et al. 2006). We computed phase differences at all frequencies between the channel pairs (Mg I b2, Ca II K), (Mg I b2, Hα), (Ca II K, Hα), and (IRIS 2796 Å, 1330 Å), using their respective cross-power spectra. Data from individual pixel locations were directly matched in these calculations, assuming negligible magnetic field expansion between the formation heights of channels in each pair. This assumption is perhaps reasonable within a sunspot umbra where the magnetic field is mostly vertical. Also, the Ca II K and Hα data were resampled to match the lower temporal cadence of the Mg I b2 channel, which made the comparison between these channels more straightforward.

The resulting phase difference spectra, incorporating the data from the entire umbra, are plotted in Figure 9 for all of the channel pairs. At each frequency, the phase difference values across the umbral pixels are grouped into histograms that constitute the color scale employed in each plot. Therefore, the color only indicates the predominance of one value over the other, with red/yellow representing more frequent and blue/green representing less frequent values. These diagrams are similar to those obtained by Felipe et al. (2010, 2011). The phase difference appears to repeat cyclically between $-\pi$ and $+\pi$ due to the $2\pi$ indetermination in the computed phases previously noted by Centeno et al. (2006). The values are plotted only up to 50 mHz as the photon noise dominates over the signal beyond this range (see Figure 2). Note that the IRIS data are plotted only up to $\approx 27$ mHz due to their lower cadence. Following Centeno et al. (2006), we generated a theoretical phase difference curve (yellow solid lines in Figure 9) for each channel pair. Wave propagation in a stratified isothermal atmosphere with radiative cooling (Centeno et al. 2006) is considered. However, to accommodate for the varying temperatures along the wave propagation path, phase differences are calculated in steps of 100 km (within which the isothermal approximation is employed) between the estimated formation heights of the respective channels, which are then integrated over the full path to get the final phase difference curves for a channel pair. The required temperature values at each step are obtained from the umbral core “M” model of Maltby et al. (1986) through spline interpolation. The radiative cooling time is kept constant at 45 s, 20 s, 15 s, and 10 s for the channel pairs (Mg I b2, Ca II K), (Mg I b2, Hα), 
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For each frequency, is used to compute the amplitudes. Solid lines represent the data from the Hα and IRIS 1330 Å channels, respectively, assuming alternative formation heights, as obtained from the phase difference spectra (see Section 3.2 for details). The axis on the right shows the amplitude values after scaling the blue continuum Fourier amplitudes to 40 m s⁻¹. Right: corresponding energy flux obtained by using temperatures and densities from the Maltby et al. (1986) umbral “M” sunspot model. The axis on the right shows the same energy flux values in S.I. units. Respective uncertainties on the amplitude and the energy flux values are shown as vertical bars, plotted adjacent to the data for clarity.

Figure 8. Oscillation amplitudes, along with the corresponding uncertainties, at three different frequencies obtained from the original power spectra for Ca II K, IRIS 2796 Å, Hα, and IRIS 1330 Å channels. Each of the spectra were normalized with their respective power at 3 mHz to enable comparison across multiple channels. The power integrated over a 1 mHz band chosen around each frequency, is used to compute the amplitudes. Solid lines represent exponential fits to the data. The damping lengths (Ld) obtained from the fitted values along with the respective central frequencies (f) are listed in the plot.

(Ca II K, Hα), and (IRIS 2796 Å, 1330 Å), respectively. These values are approximately on the same order of those used in previous calculations (Centeno et al. 2006; Bloomfield et al. 2007; Felipe et al. 2010).

As can be seen from the phase difference spectra for the (Mg I b2, Ca II K) and (Mg I b2, Hα) pairs, the phase difference initially stays near zero up to about 3 mHz (marked by a white dashed line in Figure 9), before increasing linearly beyond. This implies that oscillations with frequencies above 3 mHz are propagating upward, while those below are evanescent between the two layers. Previous studies on sunspot umbrae have shown a similar cutoff frequency at about 4 mHz (Centeno et al. 2006; Felipe et al. 2010), but as demonstrated by Centeno et al. (2009), the exact value depends on the physical conditions of the structure. Theoretical phase difference curves are computed assuming the formation heights of 700 km for Mg I b2, 1300 km for Ca II K, and 1500 km for Hα channels (see Table 1). The theoretical curves show a reasonably good fit until about 13 mHz, beyond which the observed phase differences appear noisy. It must be noted that the phase difference spectra represents values from individual pixel locations, unlike the power spectra shown in Figures 2–5 that display averages across the entire sunspot umbra. This perhaps explains why the phase differences at higher frequencies appear noisier, even though the oscillation power is well above the background noise level. This could also be partly related to the lower cadence of the Mg I b2 observations, resulting in more significant photon noise at relatively lower frequencies compared to Ca II K and Hα channels (see Figure 4).

The phase difference spectra for the (Ca II K, Hα) and (IRIS 2796 Å, 1330 Å) pairs are shown in the top two panels of Figure 9. Here, the theoretical phase difference curves assume formation heights of 1300 km, 1400 km, 1500 km, and 2000 km for the Ca II K, IRIS 2796 Å, Hα and IRIS 1330 Å channels, respectively, which are shown as white dotted lines. Note that the oscillation amplitudes in these channels are fairly high when compared to those found in the Mg I b2 observations (see Figures 6 and 7), which makes these phase difference spectra reliable up to frequencies as high as 13 mHz, and possibly beyond. Hence, the significant departure of the observed phase differences from the theoretical values might indicate the assumed formation heights are wrong. Indeed, the yellow solid lines, which seem to agree well with the observations, correspond to a formation height difference of <100 km between each channel. Nevertheless, the positive phase difference values, combined with the already inferred upward propagation between Mg I b2 and Hα heights, implies a higher formation height for Hα and IRIS 1330 Å when compared to Ca II K and IRIS 2796 Å, respectively. While we acknowledge the fact that it is not trivial to assign a single formation height to any of these channels, the remarkable
differences in the visible structures of the sunspot, between the Ca II K and Hα channels and between the IRIS 2796 Å and 1330 Å channels, suggest that the difference in formation heights could be larger than 100 km. However, the relatively broad filter bandpasses of the CaII K and IRIS SJI channels may also contribute to these uncertainties, since different regions of the solar atmosphere may dominate their respective contribution functions in drastically different ways. Indeed, it is possible that the observed formation height differences may be much smaller within the umbra, where the plasma is inherently cooler with significantly reduced opacities, when compared to other plage and quiet-Sun locations. On the other hand, SMAWs propagating above the CaII K height may be predominantly nonlinear, and since the phase speed of nonlinear waves is larger than their linear counterparts, the difference in formation heights obtained from the linear wave theory may be substantially underestimated (Felipe et al. 2010). Also, one must note that the phase difference spectra generated from the intensity oscillations alone is often difficult to interpret, unlike those from the velocity oscillations, since the phase relation between intensity and velocity is a complex function of frequency and radiative losses (Mihalas & Mihalas 1984; Deubner & Fleck 1989), which perhaps could partially explain the discrepancy.

3.3. Radial Variation

In Section 3.1, we addressed the mean power spectra computed from all pixel locations within the umbra. However, it is important to know whether the power spectra remain the same throughout the entire umbra. In order to study this, we implement the methods presented by Jess et al. (2016) and generate mean power spectra from a series of expanding annuli centered on the umbral barycenter. The width of each annulus is kept at 1 pixel and the radius is varied from 0 to the farthest point on the umbra-penumbra boundary. A mean power spectrum is calculated from all pixel locations falling within an annulus following the same procedures described in Section 3.1. For the outer annuli, any pixel locations falling outside the umbral penumbral boundary are ignored in the subsequent calculations.

The obtained power spectra for the ROSA/HARDcam and IRIS data are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The
The color scale in each of these plots documents the radius of the annulus (in pixels) from which the power spectra is generated. Note that the maximum radius in the IRIS data is slightly larger due to its finer spatial sampling. As described in Section 3.1, the corresponding photon noise power has been calculated and subtracted from the individual spectra before plotting. Some flattening is still evident at high frequencies, which may be a result of limited number statistics pertaining to the 1-pixel wide annuli employed. Nevertheless, the overall frequency dependence appears to be roughly the same as in Figures 4 and 5.
corresponding uncertainties are also similar but not shown in these plots, to avoid cluttering at high frequencies. As shown, the power falls with increasing frequency following a power-law relationship in all channels, including a visible enhancement near 5.5 mHz (dashed line in Figures 10 and 11) in all but the photospheric blue continuum and G-band channels. In general, there is larger power at distances farther from the umbral barycenter across all frequencies. An exception is the power around 5.5 mHz in the Ca II K, Hα, and IRIS 2796 Å channels, where the power/distance behavior appears to be reversed, i.e., the power near the umbral center is higher than that at the outer radii. This is perhaps similar to the findings of Reznikova et al. (2012), where the authors show that oscillations with relatively higher frequencies are more pronounced near the umbral center than at the peripheral regions. Raja Bayanna et al. (2014) reported similar observations suggesting larger power at high frequencies in a sunspot umbra compared to that at the umbra-penumbra boundary at chromospheric heights. Reznikova et al. (2012) interpreted this behavior as being due to the differences in inclinations of the magnetic fields across the umbra. However, as one may notice, the Mg I b2 channel does not show any such enhancement in the power closer to the umbral center, and even more surprisingly, the IRIS 1330 Å transition region channel does not show this either. If it has to do with magnetic field inclinations, one would expect this effect to be at least present, if not more pronounced, in the IRIS 1330 Å channel that captures plasma at more elevated atmospheric heights. This abnormal enhancement near the umbral center at purely chromospheric heights is perhaps caused by a different physical mechanism.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

Power spectra of a sunspot umbra were generated and studied at multiple atmospheric heights using simultaneous intensity images captured by the ROSA and HARDcam instruments at the DST and by IRIS/SJI. The spectra could be generated up to frequencies as high as a few hundred mHz thanks to the ultra-high-cadence observations provided by ROSA/HARDcam. A power-law dependence of the oscillation power is found across the entire frequency range and at all heights, with significant power enhancements found near 5.5 mHz in chromospheric channels. Gabriel et al. (1997) observed a similar dependence, with an enhancement at 3 mHz found in the power spectrum generated from the line-of-sight velocity oscillations of integrated solar disk light. While the peak at 3 mHz is due to photospheric p-modes, the authors describe the power-law dependence at lower frequencies as being due to the random velocity fields introduced by solar convection. However, in the present case, convection is expected to be suppressed in the sunspot umbra, meaning the existence of a similar dependency at chromospheric heights implies that the fluctuations could be of a different origin. Besides, the same slope at both low and high frequencies suggests that the entire spectrum could actually represent the universal signatures of SMAWs, which cause intensity fluctuations throughout the sunspot umbra. Furthermore, the good resemblance between these power spectra, and those generated from integrated disk light (Gabriel et al. 1997), confirms the source of sunspot oscillations in the quiet photosphere. The power enhancements near 5.5 mHz highlights the predominance of three-minute oscillations in the umbral atmosphere.

We also computed phase difference spectra for different channel pairs, which suggest the oscillations with frequencies up to approximately 3 mHz remain evanescent, while those with higher frequencies propagate universally upward. The theory of linear wave propagation in a stratified atmosphere, including aspects of radiative cooling (Centeno et al. 2006), agrees well with the phase spectra for the (MgI b2, Ca II K) and (MgI b2, Hα) channel pairs. However, the phase spectra for the (Ca II K, Hα) and (IRIS 2796 Å, 1330 Å) pairs indicate that either the individual channels in each pair form very closely (<100 km) to one another within the umbra, or that simple linear wave theory breaks down due to the predominantly nonlinear behavior of waves in these atmospheric regions.

The 3 minute (≈5.5 mHz) oscillation amplitudes, as inferred from the normalized power, increase gradually up to the atmospheric height corresponding to the Ca II K observations, before subsequently decreasing beyond. The corresponding energy flux, however, appears to decrease steadily immediately upon leaving the photosphere. Recent observations of upwardly
increasing atmospheric heights. Further studies including mechanical wave energy is observed above the Ca\textsc{II} \textsc{K} atmosphere. This is qualitatively similar to the decay in acoustic energy flux calculated from three-dimensional MHD numerical simulations (Felipe et al. 2011). The authors describe the decay as being due to a combination of radiative losses and shock dissipation. The lower radiative cooling time in the chromosphere, together with the strong shock features found at Ca\textsc{II} \textsc{K} heights, leads to a steeper decrease in the energy flux at increasing atmospheric heights. Further studies including theoretical and numerical modeling might be useful to ascertain the role of any other damping mechanism(s).

Felipe et al. (2011) found an energy flux of the order of $10^6$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at photospheric heights, and concluded that the energy flux available from acoustic oscillations is insufficient to balance the radiative losses in chromospheric umbrae. Recently, using simultaneous observations of a sunspot umbra with Hinode (Kosugi et al. 2007) and IRIS, Kanoh et al. (2016) estimated the energy fluxes for 6–10 mHz SMAWs at the photospheric and lower transition region levels as $2 \times 10^4$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $8.3 \times 10^4$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. These results, in contrast to Felipe et al. (2011), demonstrate the potential for SMAWs to contribute significantly to the heating of the umbral chromosphere. The energy flux, in our results, decreases from about $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^4$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the photosphere to about $40 \pm 3$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at the height corresponding to the IRIS 1330 Å channel (∼2000 km). The latter value would be 765 ± 57 erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ if the alternative height (1500 km), inferred from the phase difference spectra, is assumed for the IRIS 1330 Å channel. In either case, these values indicate significant damping in agreement with Kanoh et al. (2016). Of course, it is not trivial to state whether or not the entire missing wave energy directly resulted in the thermalization of the local plasma. For instance, a good fraction of the wave energy could be transferred to other fast/Alfvén modes through the processes of mode conversion (e.g., Cally & Goossens 2008; Cally & Moradi 2013), resulting in dissipationless damping, which, in fact, can happen over several scale heights depending on the oscillation frequency. It is not possible to isolate this effect using the current observations.

The slope of the power spectra (power-law index) is found to increase with height. By comparing the velocity power spectra of propagating kink waves observed in the chromosphere and corona, Morton et al. (2014) demonstrated that there is enhanced damping at high frequencies as the waves propagate toward corona. It is possible that the SMAWs are displaying similar behavior, with stronger damping at higher frequencies, which results in steeper slopes at increased atmospheric heights. Indeed, the oscillation amplitudes above Ca\textsc{II} \textsc{K} height show frequency-dependent damping with shorter damping lengths for higher frequencies. The greater radiative and/or conductive losses for high-frequency waves (Carlsson & Stein 2002; Krishna Prasad et al. 2012) could perhaps be responsible for their stronger damping in these layers. Additionally, viscosity, ion-neutral collisions, ambipolar diffusion, and resonant absorption (via mode conversion) can also produce frequency-dependent damping with more efficiency at high frequencies.

Interestingly, the peak frequency of the 3 minute oscillations is found to shift from 5.65 mHz (≈177 s) at Mg\textsc{i}b$_2$ heights to 6.57 mHz (≈152 s) at Ca\textsc{II} \textsc{K} heights, before remaining at that value for the other chromospheric and transition region channels. This is perhaps a consequence of the variation of acoustic cutoff frequency with height (Murawski et al. 2016; Wiśniewska et al. 2016). Wiśniewska et al. (2016) performed observations of the quiet solar atmosphere using multiple spectral lines and demonstrated that the acoustic cutoff frequency initially increases with height, before levelling off at greater atmospheric heights. In addition, the presence of harmonic peaks at 6.57 and 13.1 mHz (152 and 76 s; see Figure 6) in the Ca\textsc{II} \textsc{K} and IRIS 2796 Å channels may support the existence of a resonant cavity, possibly below the photosphere (Thomas & Scheuer 1982), rather than in the chromosphere (Zhugzhda et al. 1983), since the oscillations are found to be predominantly upwardly propagating at chromospheric heights. This is in contrast to the results of Moreels et al. (2015), where the authors found standing slow modes in a magnetic pore supporting the chromospheric cavity.

Finally, a comparison of power spectra across the umbral radius reveals an abnormal enhancement in high-frequency (>5.5 mHz) power close to the umbral barycenter in chromospheric channels. Although the change in magnetic field inclination angles across the umbra has been shown to produce similar effects (Reznikova et al. 2012; Madsen et al. 2015), the restriction of this behavior in our current observations to chromospheric channels (i.e., excluding the transition region observations) is puzzling and demands further exploration.
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