The BeppoSAX X-ray spectrum of the remnant of SN 1006
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Abstract. We present BeppoSAX X-ray spectra of the remnant of the supernova of AD 1006, which cover a broad spectral range of 0.1-10 keV. In our analysis we concentrate on the thermal emission from the central region of the remnant. For this purpose we fitted the spectra of the whole remnant using a new version of the spectral program SPEX, which takes into account the interdependence of spectra of different regions as the result of the point spread function of the instruments. This is in particular important for separating the synchrotron emission, coming from the bright rims of the remnant, from the thermal emission from the central regions.

The thermal emission appears best fitted with a combination of two spectral components with electron temperatures of $\sim 0.7$ keV and $\sim 3$ keV. The plasma in SN 1006 appears to be very far out of ionization equilibrium ($n_e t \sim 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{s}$), which means that the L-shell emission of magnesium, silicon and sulphur contribute significantly to the flux below 0.5 keV. We also note the presence of Fe K line emission at 6.3$\pm$0.2 keV, in agreement with an origin from inner shell ionizations and excitations. We confirm that the abundances in SN 1006 are clearly non-solar. In particular silicon is very abundant. We did not find substantial variations in plasma properties over the face of the remnant, although the hotter component seems more dominant in the Northern half of the remnant.

Key words: ISM: individual objects: SN 1006 – ISM: supernova remnants – X-rays: ISM

1. Introduction

The historical supernova SN 1006 was probably a Type Ia supernova (Clark & Stephenson 1977, Schaefer 1996). Its remnant has now a diameter of 30' and in recent years three interesting findings were reported:

- The ASCA X-ray spectrum indicates that the emission above $\sim 1$ keV is dominated by synchrotron radiation from electrons with energies up to $\sim 100$ TeV, which are accelerated at the shock front (Koyama et al. 1995, Reynolds 1998). The detection of TeV gamma ray emission confirms the presence of extremely relativistic electrons (Tanimori et al. 1998).

- Modeling far ultra-violet spectra of a Northwestern filament has revealed that the post shock plasma has not reached ion-electron temperature equilibration. The electron temperature was found to be only 10% of the ion temperature (Laming et al. 1998).

- Ultraviolet absorption features in the spectrum of the Schweizer-Middleditch (1980) star, a blue subdwarf lying behind the remnant, have revealed the presence of blue and red-shifted unshocked silicon and iron (Hamilton et al. 1998). Remarkably, no evidence was found for the presence of $\sim 0.5$ M$_\odot$ of iron, which is likely to have been synthesized during the carbon deflagration of the white dwarf progenitor (Nomoto et al. 1984). Carbon deflagration is the currently favored model for Type Ia supernovae.

The presence of a synchrotron component contaminates the thermal X-ray emission and the non-equilibration of ion and electron temperatures obscures the underlying shock hydrodynamics, which might otherwise have been inferred from the temperature structure. On the other hand, in X-rays other ion species can be studied than in the UV and this may help verifying the models for nucleosynthesis of Type Ia supernovae.

In this paper we present BeppoSAX spectra of the remnant of SN 1006. We will show that the thermal emission from the central region of the remnant is best described by a two component non-equilibrium ionization model with a very low ionization parameter.

2. The data and method

The Italian-Dutch satellite BeppoSAX (Boella et al. 1997a) observed SN 1006 in April 1997. The observation consists of three different pointings, which together cover the whole remnant by the imaging instruments LECS (Parmar et al. 1997) and MECS (Boella et al. 1997b). The total observation time is 91 ks for the MECS and 37 ks for LECS, which has a lower observation efficiency owing to UV leakage.

Both the LECS ($\sim 0.1 - 10$ keV) and the MECS ($\sim 2 - 10$ keV) are gas scintillation counters with a spectral reso-
The right images shows the sky regions for the model spectra. The image on the left shows a ROSAT HRI mosaic of SN 1006 on the same scale.

lution of $\sim 8\%$ at 6 keV, a spatial resolution at 6 keV of $\sim 2^\prime$ FWHM and a half power width of $\sim 2.5^\prime$. The spatial resolution is strongly energy dependent: with increasing energy the core of the point spread function decreases, whereas the wings of the point spread function (determined mostly by the mirror properties) become more dominant (Parmar et al. 1997, Boella et al. 1997, cf. Vink et al. 1999). The extended wings of the point spread function make that in the case of SN 1006 the spectra of the low brightness central region, which emits mostly thermal emission (Koyama et al. 1995, Willingale et al. 1996, the latter based on ROSAT PSPC data), is contaminated by the radiation from the rims of the remnant, which is predominantly synchrotron radiation.

We circumvented this problem by using a new version (v2.0) of the X-ray spectral fitting program SPEX (Kaastra et al. 1996, cf. Kaastra et al. 1999), with which one can fit several spectra from the same object simultaneously. In this version the concept of the spectral redistribution matrix is extended to include also a spatial redistribution part. The spectral model is calculated on a spatial/spectral input grid and a model of the instrument properties describes what fraction of the incoming photons with a certain energy, coming from a certain region of the sky will end up in a given energy bin (channel) and spatial bin (spectral extraction region). This means in practice that two sets of spatial regions have to be provided (in the program these are specified using images compliant with the FITS format). One set specifies the sectors for which the model is calculated, the other set specifies the spectral extraction regions. For stable calculations, the extraction regions should roughly correspond to the spatial model sectors, but a detailed correspondence is not necessary. For example, some regions of SN 1006 were only partially covered by some observations, but this is accounted for in the spectral/spatial redistribution matrix. The X-ray emission models used for this paper are the same as in the standard SPEX program.

We divided the remnant into six regions. Apart from a central region and two X-ray bright rims, we divided these regions further into a Northern and Southern half in order to see if the thermal emission from the brighter Southern half is different from the emission from the Northern half. With North and South we mean here, and throughout the rest of the text, North and South with respect to the principle axis of the remnant, which is tilted with respect to the North-South direction in equatorial coordinates. The spatial model grid for which the models were calculated are shown in Fig. 1. We chose spectral extraction regions roughly corresponding to the model regions, but the extraction regions corresponding to the bright rims were larger, in order to reduce the contamination of the central regions by emission coming from the bright rims. We used archival ROSAT HRI images (Fig. 1, see also Winkler & Long 1997) to define the spectral extraction regions and the model sectors. For the spectral extraction regions we took also into account the extend of the synchrotron rims in the BeppoSAX images, as they seem broader than on the HRI images due to the point spread function.

The extraction of the spectra and the generation of the spectral-spatial response matrix was done with a program specifically made to extract BeppoSAX spectra. It incorporates the current knowledge of the detectors, such as the point spread function, vignetting and absorption by the strong-backs. We
used the response matrices of September 1997 and the standard background data (November 1998). However, there are still some uncertainties in the detector calibration, especially for off axis positions. These uncertainties are larger for the LECS, which has a more complicated design than the MECS. For this reason we left the normalization of the LECS spectra and some uncertainties in the detector calibration, especially for the LECS background data (November 1998). However, there are still some uncertainties that the spectral model can become very complex. Fitting six spectral regions simultaneously has the obvious disadvantage that the result is at a closer look more than 2/3rd the spectral resolution and some further rebinning was done for chains with low count rates. In order to circumvent statistical problems with bins with very few counts we used a method proposed by Wheaton et al. [1995]. This means that after obtaining a good fit, we used the best fit model to calculate the expected error per bin, instead of the observed counts. Using this method with two or three extra iterations gives in general a stable and in principle more reliable $\chi^2$ value.

3. Spectral fitting

Fitting six spectral regions simultaneously has the obvious disadvantage that the spectral model can become very complex. So we took care to constrain the spectral model as far as possible without loosing too much of its heuristic qualities. For each sector we chose to have three to four spectral components. A typical configuration consisted of the following components for each sky region: a power law component, one or two non-equilibrium ionization (NEI) thermal components and an absorption component (Morrison & McCammon [1983]). We only looked for spectral differences in the thermal emission between the Northern and Southern halves of the remnant. We assumed uniform abundances for SN 1006.

Based on their deprojection of the ROSAT PSPC image of SN 1006, Willingale et al. [1996] reported that the synchrotron emission does not seem to originate from all around the remnant, but only from incomplete shells at the Northwest and Southeast of the remnant. Consequently, in our simplest model we assume that no synchrotron emission is originating from the central region of the remnant. This model produces a reasonable fit to the LECS spectra, but it does not fit the MECS spectra of the central regions above $\sim 4$ keV, where an excess in the observed spectra with respect to the model exists (Fig. 2). The temperature of the thermal components was $T_e \sim 1.5$ keV. So clearly an additional emission component is needed in order to fit the emission from the central regions. This can be either a thermal component with an higher temperature, but it could also mean that the non-thermal emission observed to come from the rims has in reality cylindrical symmetry, in which case the apparent structure of the remnant in X-rays may be due to an extreme case of limb brightening. The latter possibility would be in disagreement with the above mentioned ROSAT PSPC findings, but it is conceivable that the synchrotron emission is coming from such a thin layer that the deprojection scheme of Willingale et al. [1996] may not have worked adequately.

We investigated both possibilities. In the case of an additional power law component we fixed the power law index of the central regions to a value of 2.8, similar to the values found for the rims (see Table 2). We found, however, that an additional thermal component offers a better explanation. Only a hot thermal component fits adequately the Fe K emission seen in Fig. 2 (most clearly in the right bottom panel). This emission implies that there is some plasma present with a temperature in excess of $\sim 2$ keV. An additional power law component has, moreover, the disadvantage that the result is at a closer look
The best fit parameters for the non-thermal emission of SN 1006.

|       | norm (s^{-1} m^{-2} keV^{-1} @ 1 keV) | index |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Northeast | 181 ± 10         | 2.81 ± 0.05 |
| Southeast | 83 ± 10        | 2.76 ± 0.08 |
| Northwest | 86 ± 13         | 2.90 ± 0.16 |
| Southwest | 76 ± 9          | 2.83 ± 0.12 |

Inconsistent: it results in very high abundances for the thermal component (e.g. 148 times solar for silicon and 356 times solar for iron), whereas the emission measure indicated a total mass of \(~ 5 M_\odot\) for a distance of 1.8 kpc (Laming et al. 1996). This implies that most of the shock heated plasma is swept up material, if SN 1006 was a Type Ia with a mass of \(~ 1.4 M_\odot\), but then it is not clear why the abundances are so high. High abundances are also hard to reconcile with the evolved dynamical status of the remnant, which implies that the remnant consists mostly of swept up interstellar matter (Moffet et al. 1993, Long et al. 1988). However, X-ray synchrotron models for supernova remnants (Reynolds, 1993) show that the synchrotron component is likely to have a more curved spectrum, which predicts less flux at lower energies. It may well be that such more advanced models do not have the problems mentioned above, although an additional thermal component will still be needed for producing the Fe K emission. From a statistical point of view the two models fit the data equally well, with slightly better fits for the thermal model (the model with an additional power law has \(\chi^2/\nu = 1689/1287\)).

The best fit model with an additional thermal component (Table 2 and 3) is shown in Fig. 3. The total emission measure of \(n_e n_H V/d^2 = (7.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-12} m^{-3} kpc^{-2}\) implies a mass of \(M = 8.3 \pm 0.3 f_0.4^{3/2} d_{1.8}^3 M_\odot\), where \(f_0.4\) is the volume filling factor divided by 0.4 (cf. Willingale et al. 1996) and \(d_{1.8}\) is the distance in units of 1.8 kpc (Laming et al. 1996). The volume estimate assumes a spherical remnant with a diameter of 30'. For this model the implied silicon mass is 0.05 \(M_\odot\) and the iron mass is 0.06 \(M_\odot\), which is much lower than the 0.5 \(M_\odot\) of iron expected in remnants of Type Ia supernovae. The iron abundance reported here is, however, higher than reported by Koyama et al. (1995). We do not find significant variations in temperature or ionization between the Northern and Southern half of the remnant, but, as Fig. 3 shows, the hottest component seems more dominant in the Northern region. An interesting feature in the spectra of the central regions is the iron K-shell emission around 6.4 keV. This is especially apparent in the lower right panel of Fig. 3. The centroid of this emission (6.3 ± 0.2 keV) is consistent with emission from iron in low ionization stages (caused by inner shell ionizations and excitations). In the next section we will discuss the very low value of the ionization parameter \(n_e t\).

Our best fit absorption column is a factor two lower than the value found by Koyama et al. (1995), but is higher than the value reported by Willingale et al. (1996). The higher ASCA value is not very surprising, because ASCA was less reliably calibrated below \(~ 1\) keV and ASCA is not sensitive below 0.4 keV. The fact that the ROSAT PSPC and BeppoSAX LECS show that there is emission at 0.2 keV is, however, a clear indication that the absorption column is not as high as indicated by the ASCA spectrum. We think that our absorption estimate is consistent with the ROSAT PSPC spectra if one takes into account that we use non-equilibrium ionization models and in this case such a model produces more line radiation below 1 keV than an equilibrium model, which implies a higher absorption to explain the flux levels.

The power law index of the non-thermal spectra are somewhat lower than indicated by the ASCA spectra and higher than found for the ROSAT PSPC data (see Table 2). We checked for gradual steepening of the spectrum, which is expected on theoretical grounds (Reynolds, 1998). Indeed, we found some evidence that on average the power law index changes from 2.65 ± 0.21 below 2 keV to 2.81 ± 0.05 above 2 keV. However, the scatter in the four points is rather large and we can, furthermore, not exclude that the difference in slope is due to calibration uncertainties, as there are still problems with the intercalibration of the LECS and MECS instruments.

4. Interpretation

Our fits indicate that the spectra of the central region are best fitted with two NEI components with a very low value for the ionization parameter. The very low ionization is not surprising as previous studies indicate that the density of the ISM surrounding the remnant is very low: estimates of the pre-shock hydrogen number density vary from 0.04 cm\(^{-3}\) (Laming et al. 1996) to 1 cm\(^{-3}\) (Winkler & Long 1997). Our mass estimate implies a pre-shock density of 0.1 cm\(^{-3}\). For the Sedov model the average ionization parameter \(n_e t\) is approximately \(n_e t\), where \(n_e\) is the pre-shock hydrogen density and \(t\) the age of the remnant. So for the shocked interstellar gas we estimate \(n_e t\) \(~ 3 \times 10^{15}\) m\(^{-3}\) s. For the ionization parameter of the shocked ejecta we can find an upper limit by assuming that 1.4 \(M_\odot\) of ejecta is completely ionized and that it has a volume filling factor of 0.4. This gives an electron density of 0.04 cm\(^{-3}\) and implies \(n_e t\) \(~ 1.2 \times 10^{15}\) m\(^{-3}\) s. The \(n_e t\) value found by fitting the X-ray spectra agrees with our current understanding of SN 1006.

The low ionization has, however, some intriguing aspects. For example, our models indicate that most of the silicon is in the form of Si V to Si XII. So with the silicon lines around 1.8 keV we are only observing the top of the iceberg. However, the silicon L-shell emission contributes significantly to the flux between 0.1 keV and 0.4 keV. Also L-shell emission from magnesium, sulphur and argon, and K-shell emission from carbon contribute to the flux below 0.5 keV. Unfortunately, L-shell emission is notoriously complicated; only the iron L-shell emission has been investigated in substantial detail. The LECS spectral resolution below 0.5 keV is not good enough to see possible discrepancies in the atomic data, but the resulting un-
The apparent two temperature structure is not surprising. SN 1006 is a large remnant and it would be more surprising if the whole remnant could be characterized by a single temperature. Furthermore, there are several possible explanations for the two temperature structure. Hydrodynamical shock models, such as the Sedov model (Sedov 1959) predict temperature gradients. Models including a reverse shock (e.g. Chevalier 1982) even predict a two temperature regime. The lowest temperature is associated with the ejecta heated by the reverse shock, whereas the highest temperatures are attained by the shocked interstellar medium. However, hydrodynamical models predict the ion temperature, whereas the shape of the thermal X-ray continuum is determined by the electron temperature, which, in absence of electron-ion equilibration, can in principle be as much as a factor 1835 (the electron/proton mass ratio) lower than the ion temperature. It can be even more if the plasma consist of highly enriched supernova ejecta. In these extreme cases, however, we would not observe thermal X-ray emission at all. The equilibration process is still poorly understood. In the case of SN 1006 there is strong evidence for poor equilibration of the electron and ion temperature (Laming et al. 1996). The evidence comes from UV spectroscopy of the Northern shock front, but the equilibration may very well vary over the remnant. Another possible cause for temperature variations over the remnant are density differences. There is some evidence that SN 1006 has a very strong density gradient from the front to the back of the remnant (Hamilton et al. 1997). Yet another interesting possibility is that the shock heating process does not produce a Maxwellian electron distribution, since the low density in SN 1006 may prevent a rapid thermalization of the electron distribution (Laming 1998, see also Itoh 1984, Hamilton & Sarazin 1984). The hot component could then be associated with bremsstrahlung from the tail of the non-thermal electron distribution.

5. Conclusion

Our analysis indicates that a one temperature model does not fit the thermal spectrum of SN 1006 adequately. An additional thermal component with a temperature in excess of 3 keV is needed. The alternative, an additional power law component, cannot be excluded, but in this case the abundances of the low temperature thermal component become exceptionally high, which is inconsistent with the idea that SN 1006 must have swept up a considerable amount of interstellar material.

The values for the ionization parameters for the thermal components appear to be very low ($n_e t \lesssim 3 \times 10^{15}$ m$^{-3}$s), but are consistent with the electron densities that we derive from the emission measure. Note, however, that in reality a range of ionization values will be present. For instance, the Sedov model (see Kastra & Jansen 1993) predicts a superposition of plasma ionization values ranging from roughly 0.2 in the center of the remnant up to 2 times the average value at a radius of 0.9 times the shock radius. Inhomogeneities in the pre-shock interstellar medium may extend this range upward and downward. For such a low ionization parameter the L-shell emission of Mg, Si and S contributes substantially to the flux below 0.5 keV. This explains that our best fit hydrogen absorption column ($N_H = (8.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) turns out to be higher than found by Willingale et al. (1996).
Noteworthy is an iron K shell emission feature in the spectrum. The centroid of the line emission is \(6.3 \pm 0.2\) keV, which indicates that the emission is the result of inner shell ionizations and excitations. The fitted abundances clearly indicate non-solar abundances with, in particular, a very high silicon abundance. This is in agreement with the analysis of ASCA data (Koyama et al., 1995). We did not find significant differences in the temperatures and ionization parameters for the spectra of the Northwestern and Southeastern halves of the remnant, but the hottest component seems more dominant in the Northern region.

Observations in the near future with Chandra (AXAF), XMM and Astro E will be able to reveal new information on the remnant which is needed in order to obtain a consistent model for this remnant. In particular, a spatial or a spectral separation of emission originating from shocked ejecta and shocked interstellar gas will be very useful for understanding more about the structure and abundances of this interesting but rather enigmatic supernova remnant.
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Fig. 3. The spectra of various regions. The spectra from different pointings are here summed together for displaying reasons. The six upper panels show the spectra as observed by the LECS instrument and the six bottom panels are the spectra as observed by the MECS. The solid line shows the best fit model convolved with the instrument response (see Table 1). The dotted line in the panels with the spectra from the central regions shows only the hottest of the two NEI components.
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