Reengineering the Collision Coupling and Diffusion Mode of the A2A-adenosine Receptor

PALMITOYLATION IN HELIX 8 RELIEVES CONFINEMENT
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Background: The A2A receptor engages Gs by restricted collision coupling and lacks a palmitoylation site at the end of helix 8 in its intracellular C terminus. We explored the hypothesis that there was a causal link between the absence of a palmitoyl moiety and restricted collision coupling by introducing a palmitoylation site. The resulting mutant A2A-R309C receptor underwent palmitoylation as verified by both mass spectrometry and metabolic labeling. In contrast to the wild type A2A receptor, the concentration-response curve for agonist-induced cAMP accumulation was shifted to the left with increasing expression levels of A2A-R309C receptor, an observation that A2A receptor activation stimulates endothelial cell proliferation (7, 8) and suppresses proinflammatory signals in macrophages and T-cells (9), respectively. (ii) When compared with other G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) of the class A (rhodopsin-like) GPCRs, the A2A receptor has a long C terminus that provides a docking site for several proteins that directs the fate of the receptor from its site of synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum to its lysosomal degradation (10). In addition, the long C terminus also allows for recruitment of additional signaling molecules (11, 12). (iii) The structure of the A2A receptor is understood at atomic resolution in both the basal and the agonist-activated state. Taken together, the observations link restricted collision coupling to diffusion limits imposed by the absence of a palmitoyl moiety in the C terminus of the A2A receptor. The experiments allowed for visualizing local confinement of an agonist-activated G protein-coupled receptor in an area consistent with the dimensions of a lipid raft.

The A2A-adenosine receptor is of interest for several reasons. (i) It is among the most frequently blocked pharmacological targets because it is the site of action of caffeine (1). In fact, in prospective studies blockage of the A2A receptor by caffeine consumption reduces the risk of developing Parkinson disease (2). Accordingly, the A2A-selective antagonist istradefylline has entered phase III clinical trials (3, 4). The efficacy of istradefylline is remarkable because it is first non-dopaminergic compound shown to be active in Parkinson disease. Conversely, topical preparations of A2A-selective agonists are tested in clinical trials, e.g. for promotion of dermal wound healing and for suppression of asthma (5, 6), the underlying rationale being the observation that A2A Receptor activation stimulates endothelial cell proliferation (7, 8) and suppresses proinflammatory signals in macrophages and T-cells (9), respectively. (ii) When compared with other G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) of the class A (rhodopsin-like) GPCRs, the A2A receptor has a long C terminus that provides a docking site for several proteins that directs the fate of the receptor from its site of synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum to its lysosomal degradation (10). (iii) The structure of the A2A receptor is understood at atomic resolution in both the antagonist- (13) and the agonist-bound state (14, 15). Structure-based molecular dynamics simulations suggest that the A2A receptor may have a cholesterol binding site that promotes agonist binding; this may allow the receptor to sample the membrane environment (16). In fact, cholesterol depletion precludes coupling of the receptor to Gs but does not impede its ability to recruit ARNO and to activate ERK phosphorylation (17). (iv) The vast majority of GPCRs carry one or several cys-
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The A2A-adenosine receptor undergoes restricted collision coupling with its cognate G protein Gs and lacks a palmitoylation site at the end of helix 8 in its intracellular C terminus. We explored the hypothesis that there was a causal link between the absence of a palmitoyl moiety and restricted collision coupling by introducing a palmitoylation site. The resulting mutant A2A-R309C receptor underwent palmitoylation as verified by both mass spectrometry and metabolic labeling. In contrast to the wild type A2A receptor, the concentration-response curve for agonist-induced cAMP accumulation was shifted to the left with increasing expression levels of A2A-R309C receptor, an observation that A2A receptor activation stimulates endothelial cell proliferation (7, 8) and suppresses proinflammatory signals in macrophages and T-cells (9), respectively. (ii) When compared with other G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) of the class A (rhodopsin-like) GPCRs, the A2A receptor has a long C terminus that provides a docking site for several proteins that directs the fate of the receptor from its site of synthesis in the endoplasmic reticulum to its lysosomal degradation (10). In addition, the long C terminus also allows for recruitment of additional signaling molecules (11, 12). (iii) The structure of the A2A receptor is understood at atomic resolution in both the antagonist- (13) and the agonist-bound state (14, 15). Structure-based molecular dynamics simulations suggest that the A2A receptor may have a cholesterol binding site that promotes agonist binding; this may allow the receptor to sample the membrane environment (16). In fact, cholesterol depletion precludes coupling of the receptor to Gs but does not impede its ability to recruit ARNO and to activate ERK phosphorylation (17). (iv) The vast majority of GPCRs carry one or several cys-

3 The abbreviations used are: GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; ARNO, ADP-ribosylation factor nucleotide-binding site opener (a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for ARF6); CGS21680, 3-[(4-[2-[6-amino-9-[2(R,3R,4S,5S)-5-(ethylcarbamoyl)-3,4-dihydroxy-oxolan-2-yl]purin-2-yl]amino]ethyl]phenyl)propanoic acid; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinase-1 and -2; MAPK, p44/p42 mitogen-activated protein kinase; FRAP, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; MSD, mean square displacement; ZM241385, 4-[(7-amino-2-(2-furyl)-1,2,4)-triazolo[2,3-a]-[1,3,5]-triazin-5-ylamino]ethyl(phenol); GTPγS, guanosine 5’-O-(thiotriphosphate).
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teine residues in their C terminus some 20 amino acids removed from the end of transmembrane helix 7. The cysteine residue(s) is subject to palmitoylation. This modification is thought to anchor the amphipathic helix 8, which runs parallel to the membrane plane, and stabilize it (18). In the A2A receptor there is not any cysteine in this region. This is also true for the chemokine receptor CXCR4 (the receptor for stromal cell-derived factor/CXCL12). In contrast to CXCR4, in which helix 8 only comprises a single turn followed by an extended flexible segment (19), helix 8 in the A2A receptor has four helical turns, and this is seen in both the antagonist- and the agonist-bound state (13–15). It is, therefore, not clear why the A2A receptor should have evolved to lack the palmitoylation site. (iv) The A2A receptor has long been known to differ from other Gs-coupled receptors by its G protein-coupling mode (20); when examined in the same cell (i.e. the turkey erythrocyte membrane), the β-adrenergic receptor has access to all Gs moieties, whereas the A2A receptor can only promote activation of a limited number of available Gs molecules. This coupling mode was termed “restricted collision coupling” (20, 21). Restricted collision coupling is not a unique property of the turkey erythrocyte membrane. It can also be observed in mammalian cells, where the A2A receptor is expressed endogenously, e.g. the human platelet (22).

In the present work we explored the hypothesis that there is a link between restricted collision coupling and the absence of a palmitoyl moiety in the C terminus of the A2A receptor because its absence limited the membrane area that was visited by the agonist-activated A2A receptor. In fact, engineering a palmitoylation site into the A2A receptor eliminated restricted collision coupling, accelerated diffusion of the quantum dot-labeled receptor and eliminated its confinement that was seen in the agonist-bound state.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—All cell culture reagents and Lipofectamine Plus™ were from Invitrogen except fetal calf serum (FCS; PAA Laboratories; Pasching, Austria). [2,8-3H]Adenine (28.1 Ci/mmol) and [9,10-3H(N)]palmitic acid (47.7 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer Life Sciences, [3H]ZM241385 (specific activity, 50 Ci/mmol) was from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. (St. Louis, MO), unlabeled ZM241385 was from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK), quantum dots Q11022MP Qdot® 655 goat F(ab')2 anti-mouse IgG conjugate (H+L) was from Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, and mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG® M2 antibody and casein from bovine milk were from Sigma. Polyclonal antibodies recognizing ERK1/2 (p42/p44 MAP kinase) and its dual-phosphorylated (active) form were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), the mouse monoclonal antibody against the A2A-adenosine receptor (clone 7F6-G6-A2) was from Millipore (Billerica, MA), the rabbit polyclonal antibody against flotillin-1 was from Sigma, the rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab290) against GFP was from Abcam Plc (Cambridge, UK), the rabbit antisera directed against Gβ was raised against amino acids 8–23 of Gβ1/2 (23), and protein A-Sepharose, anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG1 (HRP) horseradish were from GE Healthcare. Complete protease inhibitor mixture was from Roche Applied Science. The source of the other reagents and chemicals has been listed previously (17).

Cell Transfection and Cell Culture—Arginine 309 was replaced by cysteine in the human A2A receptor cDNA using the QuikChange Lightning site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, Carlsbad, CA). The forward primer sequence of the forward primer sequence was as follows (5’ to 3’ direction with the mutated nucleotides indicated in bold and underlined to introduce the R309C mutation and a silent mutation for insertion of an Eco72I/PmaCI site, respectively): C ATT CGC AGC GTG CTT GTC GAG CAA GAA CCT TTC. Several versions of the receptor cDNA were prepared, namely one encoding wild type and mutant receptors tagged on their C terminus with YFP. The sequence was confirmed by automated DNA sequencing. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing high glucose (4.5 g/liter = 25 mM), 10% FCS, and 2 mM glutamine at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere. For transient expression, HEK293 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding wild type or mutant A2A receptor using the calcium phosphate precipitation method. These cells were used 24–48 h later for experiments. For the generation of stable cell lines, transfected cells were subjected to selection in the presence of Geneticin (G418; 0.5 to 0.75 g/liter). After 7–14 days single colonies emerged that were expanded and maintained in the presence of 0.25 g/liter G418. In some instances HEK293 cells expressing an YFP-tagged A1 receptor (24) were used as a control. Receptor expression was visualized by confocal microscopy on a Zeiss Axiovert 200-LSM 510 microscope equipped with argon and helium/neon lasers (30 and 1 milli- watt, respectively) and a 63X oil immersion objective (Zeiss Plan-Neofluar) as in Ref. 17. Stable HEK293 cell lines expressing FLAG-tagged wild type A2A receptor and A2A-R309C receptor were produced by retroviral infection using pBABE-puro. The retroviruses were produced in Phoenix ecotropic cells. Infected cells were subjected to selection with puromycin (2 μg/ml).

Cyclic AMP Accumulation, Radioligand Binding, and ERK Phosphorylation—The conditions for determining agonist-stimulated cAMP accumulation are outlined in Ref. 25. In brief, cells (3×10^6/well) were seeded into poly-d-lysine-coated 6-well culture plates. On the following day the cellular ATP pool was metabolically labeled by incubating cells for 16 h with [3H]adenine (1 μCi/well). Cells were starved by removing FCS from the culture medium, and the cells were subsequently incubated for 1 h in the presence of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor Ro-20–1724 (100 μM) and adenosine deaminase (5 μg/ml). The formation of cAMP was stimulated by the addition of different concentrations of CGS21680 for 20 min at 37 °C. Agonist-stimulated ERK phosphorylation was assessed as in Ref. 26. Samples of cellular lysate (20–30 μg of protein) were electrophoretically resolved and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Activation of MAPK was detected using an anti-phospho-ERK1/2 antibody; anti-holo-ERK1/2 antibody served as the loading control. Immunoreactive bands were visualized using a FluorChemHD2 imaging system (Alpha Innotech Corp., San Leandro, CA) and quantified using ImageJ (Version 1.45r). Binding assays were carried out with the high affinity A2A-se-
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lective antagonist [³H]ZM241385 in a final volume of 0.2 ml containing 50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, 5 µg/ml adenosine deaminase, and additional reagents as outlined in the figure legends. Reaction conditions were as described in Ref. 17 except that membranes were used instead of intact cells. These membranes were prepared by resuspending HEK293 cells stably expressing wild type and mutated receptors in buffer containing 25 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA and subjecting the suspension to two freeze-thaw cycles followed by sonication (4 times for 10 s in an ice-cold water bath). Membranes were harvested by centrifugation at 34,000 × g for 30 min. The pellet was resuspended in the buffer described above. The protein concentration was estimated by Coomassie Blue binding and adjusted in the binding reaction (5–100 µg/assay) to avoid radioligand depletion.

**Metabolic Labeling with [³H]Palmitate and Immunoprecipitation**—Labeling was done as described by (27) with the following modifications; cells (3 × 10<sup>5</sup>/well) were seeded into 6-well plates and allowed to adhere. After 20 h, the cells were lipofected with plasmids encoding FLAG-tagged versions of wild type A<sub>2A</sub> receptor, A<sub>1</sub> receptor, or A<sub>2A-R309C</sub> receptor. After 4 h, the medium was replaced, and cells were grown for another 24 h. Cells were preincubated in serum-free medium supplemented with 10 mg/ml fatty acid-free bovine serum albumin, then labeled with [³H]palmitate (0.25 mCi/well) for 4 h, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and resuspended in 0.15 ml buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% SDS). After 5 min, Triton X-100-containing buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) was added to dilute the SDS concentration to 0.1%. The sample was centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 10 min, and the resulting supernatant was incubated overnight with anti-GFP antibody (2 µl corresponding to 10 µg of total IgG) under gentle rotation at 4 °C. Subsequently, pre-equilibrated protein A-Sepharose (6 mg per sample) was added and incubated with gentle rotation at 4 °C for 3 h. Samples were washed three times with Triton X-100-containing lysis buffer and eluted by heating the samples (4 °C) for 5 min, Triton X-100-containing buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl, pH 8.6, 1% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.001% bromphenol blue) at 95 °C for 2 min. An aliquot of the sample (40 µl) was analyzed by SDS-PAGE, the gel was fixed for 30 min in fixing solution (isopropyl alcohol: water:acetic acid = 25:65:10) incubated in NAMP100 (GE Healthcare) for 30 min, dried, and then exposed to x-ray film (Kodak BioMax MS) at −80 °C for 2–8 weeks.

**Nano-LC-MS/MS and Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM)**

**Mass Spectrometry**—Membranes were prepared from cells expressing FLAG-tagged versions of wild type A<sub>2A</sub> receptor and A<sub>2A-R309C</sub> receptor as outlined above. Membranes (1 mg/ml) were solubilized in buffer containing 25 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, and 0.2 mM dodecyl-β-maltoside. The unsolubilized material was removed by ultracentrifugation (250,000 × g for 2 h at 4 °C), and the resulting supernatant was incubated with pre-equilibrated anti-FLAG M2-agarose beads for 1 h at 4 °C. Beads were transferred to a gravity flow column and washed 3 times with 0.5 ml of solubilization buffer containing 1% Triton X-100. The column was incubated twice with 0.1 ml buffer containing Triton HCl (20 mM, pH 8) and 6 mM urea buffer for 10 min at 25 °C under stopped-flow conditions. The eluate was collected by spinning the column in a tabletop centrifuge (30 × g for 1 min). The eluate was transferred to a Microcon Ultrace YM-3 column (Millipore GmbH) and concentrated by centrifugation. The desalted and concentrated sample was digested with trypsin for 12–16 h at 37 °C. Concentrated acetic acid was added to stop the digestion reaction. Digested samples were analyzed by nano-LC-MS/MS using information-dependent acquisition for mapping of tryptic A<sub>2A</sub> receptor peptides and MRM mass spectrometry to identify the palmitoylated peptide. A Dionex Ultimate 3000 nano-HPLC system (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) in pre-concentration mode was used for reversed phase separation of the samples before MS using a Dionex Acclaim PepMap 100 C<sub>18</sub> 100 Å column (150 µm × 75 µm) with a particle size of 3 µm. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A (0.1% aqueous formic acid) and solvent B (80/20 acetonitrile/0.08% aqueous formic acid). Tryptic peptides were eluted using a gradient of 4–60% solvent B in 40 min at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. The eluate was directly introduced into a hybrid triple quadrupole/linear ion trap 4000 QTRAP MS/MS system (AB Sciex) equipped with a NanoSprayII ion source and was operated with Analyst 1.5.2 software. The experiments were performed in positive ionization mode. Nebulizer gas, ion spray voltage, and declustering potential were optimized for each experiment. Information-dependent acquisition experiments for tryptic peptide identification of the receptor included enhanced MS to examine the molecular weight of peptide ions and enhanced resolution scans to confirm charge states of the ions. Detection of ions above threshold with a charge state of +2 to +5 or with an unknown charge state triggered collision-induced dissociation of three enhanced product ion scans to collate fragment ions and present the product ion spectrum for subsequent database searches. Database searching was carried out using the ProteinPilot™ software (Version 4.0) and the Paragon algorithm (AB Sciex). MRM acquisition was performed with a dwell time of 50 ms and Q1/Q3 set in unit resolution. At least three Q1/Q3 transitions were monitored for each tryptic peptide (see supplemental Table S1). The MRM transitions were identified experimentally from the nano-LC-MS/MS information-dependent acquisition experiments; the transition of the S-palmitoylated peptide was calculated by adding the net mass of 238 Da.

**Preparation of Detergent-resistant Membrane Fractions**—HEK293 cells stably expressing wild type A<sub>2A</sub> receptor or A<sub>2A-R309C</sub> receptor were grown in 150-mm culture dishes. Two dishes were used for each experiment. Membranes were subjected to density gradient centrifugation as described (28) with the following modifications. Confluent cells were incubated in DMEM without FCS for 30 min followed by the addition of 3 µM CGS 21680 for a further 30 min. Membranes were prepared as described above, resuspended in 0.5 ml of buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl), and homogenized by 10 aspiration cycles each through a 20- and a 27-gauge needle. Buffer (0.5 ml) containing 0.5% Triton X-100 was added. Extraction was done on ice for 30 min. The lysate (0.7 ml) was adjusted to 42% (wt/wt) sucrose by adding 1.4 ml of 59% sucrose in buffer, overlaid with 6 ml of 35% and 4 ml of 5% sucrose, and centrifuged at 217,000 × g for 18 h. Samples were fractionated in 1-ml aliquots from the top (fraction 1) to the bottom.
**Single Particle Tracking**—Cells (2 × 10⁴/well) were seeded onto PDL-poly-0-lysine-coated glass coverslips (diameter 25 mm) in 6-well culture dishes and maintained in medium (DMEM containing 25 mM glucose and 10% FCS) overnight at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. A master mix was prepared containing 3% casein (for blockage of nonspecific binding sites), a 1:1 ratio of quantum dots (0.5 μm final) reagent, and anti-FLAG antibody (0.5 μg/μl final) in Krebs-HEPES buffer (10 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 120 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 22 mM glucose) and incubated for 15 min at 22 °C. This was diluted to concentrations that allowed for binding of only a few quantum dots per cell (usually in the range of 1:10⁵). Coverslips were removed from the 6-well plate, washed repeatedly in 37 °C PBS, placed in a humid chamber on a heating plate, and incubated with the diluted suspension of FLAG antibody-coated quantum dots for 20 min. After several washing steps, the coverslips were placed in a microscopy chamber and immersed in 1 ml of Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 5 μg/ml adenosine deaminase in the absence (basal state recordings) and presence of 10 μM CGS21680 (agonist activation).

Stacks were recorded in Visiview1.7.0 (VisiRon Systems) on a Carl Zeiss Axiovert 135M inverted epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss Objective Alpha Plan-Apochromat 100×/1.46, Chroma 33012 QDOT655 filter set) with a cascade II:512 (maximum full frame acquisition time of 36 ms, 16 Chroma 33012 QDOT655 filter set) with a cascade II:512 (maximum full frame acquisition time of 36 ms, 16 photomultiplier tubes). Image stacks were transferred to and analyzed in MATLAB® Version R2009a (The MathWorksTM) with an adapted version of the tracklight software kindly provided by Daniel Choquet (University of Bordeaux, France). Analysis was done as described (29). In brief, the region-based segmentation algorithm for detection was supplemented by a maximum likelihood estimation of a two-dimensional Gaussian mask, which accounts for the Poisson distribution of the photon count per pixel (30). In addition, to allow for tracking of multiple particles, track.m-file was used for connection of trajectories (Daniel Blair and Eric Dufresne, The Matlab Particle Tracking Code Repository). At every step, a visual output was generated to verify the location of the imaged quantum dot and the connected trajectories. Mean squared displacement was calculated for each trajectory using Equation 1 (31).

\[
\text{MSD}(nΔt) = 1/(N-1) \sum [x(jΔt + nΔt) - x(jΔt)]^2 \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

Diffusion coefficients \(D_{1-4}\) were estimated from a linear regression of the initial values of the mean square displacement (MSD) curve to the affine function (Equation 2) (32),

\[
\text{MSD}_{\text{initial}}(Δt) - 4 × D_{\text{initial}} × Δt + 2σ^2 \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

The diffusion mode of the individual trajectories. i.e. random walk, transported, or confined, was examined and analyzed as follows. Confined diffusion was separated from random walk by a predefined threshold; the pooled and averaged macroscopic diffusion coefficient \(D_{\text{mac}}\) obtained from fitting the first quarter of the MSD curve to the above equation) was calculated for each condition (basal, agonist-treated) and multiplied by (the one-tailed α-value of) 0.05 to define candidate outliers. Trajectories falling below this threshold were then fitted with MATLAB nonlinear least square regression employing the Trust-Region method to the equation for circular shaped domains (Equation 3) (33),

\[
\text{MSD}_i = \text{AREA} × [1 - F_1 × e^{(-4 × F_2 × D_{1-4} × Δt) / \text{AREA}}] \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Briefly, this represents the expansion of the first two terms from the exact series solution for circular corral (Equation B14 in the appendix to Ref. 34), where the factors \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) are calculated (from the roots of Bessel function derivatives), whereas the AREA is estimated from the fit. The resulting apparent confinement radius \(ρ_{\text{app}} = \sqrt{\text{AREA}/π}\) was included for correlations with \(R^2\) greater than 0.75 and a \(D_{\text{mac}}/D_{1-4}\) ratio <0.5, whereas all other potential confinements were discarded (because the stochastic diffusion process may mimic confinement).

**Statistics**—Concentration-response curves, saturation curves, and radioligand displacement curves were subjected to non-linear least squares curve-fitting to equations describing a rectangular hyperbola, the sum of two hyperbolas, or the Hill equation using a Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm. The improved fit associated with the choice of the more complex model was evaluated by an F-test based on the extra sum-of-squares principle. Diffusion coefficients were rounded to 10⁻³ μm²/s and logarithmically transformed for further analysis. The cumulative frequency distributions of diffusivity (depicted as empirical cumulative distribution functions) were analyzed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for two empirical distributions. The Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons were used to compare diffusivity of all four groups.

**RESULTS**

**Introducing a Putative Palmitoylation Site Does Not Affect Cell Surface Expression and Ligand Binding of the A2A Receptor**—The vast majority of group I (rhodopsin-like) G protein-coupled receptors carries one (or two) palmitoylated cysteine(s) within the proximal portion of their C terminus (typically some 20 residues away from the end of the seventh transmembrane segment (TM7)). Strikingly, the A2A receptor does not have any cysteine residue in the proximal segment. Moreover, there is only a single cysteine in position 394 in the human receptor that is absent in other species, e.g. mouse or rat. Finally, it was shown that the human A1 receptor carries a palmitate thioester at a cysteine corresponding to position 309 of the A2A receptor (35). This cysteine is conserved in the human A2B and A3 receptors (Fig. 1A). We, therefore, substituted the arginine 309 with cysteine and tested if this renders the mutated receptor sus-
ceptible to palmitoylation by using two independent approaches, namely metabolic labeling (Fig. 1B) and mass spectrometry (Fig. 1C). HEK293 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding either wild type, mutated A2A receptor, or the A1 receptor (as a positive control) with a FLAG epitope at the N terminus and a YFP fused to the C terminus to visualize receptor expression (see below Fig. 2). Cells were metabolically labeled with [3H]palmitate, and the receptors were immunopurified from detergent lysates. As shown in Fig. 1B, immunoprecipitation of both the A1 receptor (lane IP A1R) and the A2A-R309C receptor mutant (lane IP MT) recovered radioactively labeled bands migrating with an apparent molecular mass of ~70 kDa (marked with an arrow in Fig. 1B). The apparent molecular mass is consistent with that expected from the sum of the receptor and the fluorescent protein. The double bands arise from the differently glycosylated forms (36). For both receptor types, aggregates were seen at the top of the gel (marked with an asterisk in Fig. 1B). In contrast and as expected, we did not recover any labeled band in immunoprecipitates of the wild type A2A receptor consistent with the fact that this receptor is not palmitoylated (lane IP WT in Fig. 1B).

In agreement with this observation, the palmitoylation of Cys-309 of mutant A2A receptor was verified by mass spectrometry. FLAG-tagged receptors (mutant and wild type) were immunopurified and digested with trypsin, and the resulting peptides were resolved by nano-LC and analyzed by tandem MS. Using this approach we identified several receptor-specific

---

**FIGURE 1.** Comparison of the C-terminal sequences of the four human adenosine receptors (A) and palmitoylation of the A2A-R309C receptor detected by autoradiography (B) and by mass spectrometry (C). A, the sequences of the C termini of the four human adenosine receptors are shown starting with the first residue after the seventh transmembrane helix. The cysteine residue that is the (putative) palmitoylation site is underlined; it is present in the A1, A3, and A2B receptors but not in the A2A receptor, which has an arginine residue (Arg-309) in this position. It is also evident that the C terminus of the A2A receptor is substantially longer than that of any of the other receptors. B, HEK293 cells (3 × 10⁵ cells) were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding the YFP-tagged wild type A2A receptor (lanes labeled WT), A1 receptor (lanes labeled A1R), or A2A-R309C receptor mutant (lanes labeled MT) and incubated in the presence of [3H]palmitate for 1 h. Thereafter, the cells were lysed, and solubilized material was immunoprecipitated with an antibody against GFP, lysates (LYS; 20 μg), supernatants (SNT, 20 μg), and immunoprecipitates (IP, corresponding to 2.5 × 10⁵ cells) were electrophoretically resolved, and radioactively labeled bands were visualized by fluorography. The arrow indicates the position of the palmitoylated A2A-R309C receptor band, and the asterisk indicates aggregated material. C, shown is mass spectrometry. An extracted ion chromatogram from the MRM experiments identify the presence of palmitoylation at the C terminus of the A2A-R309C receptor. Three specific MRM Q1/Q3 transitions of the mutant tryptic peptide (SHVLQEQFPK, the asterisk indicates the palmitoylated cysteine) and the A2A receptor internal control peptide (QMESQPLPGER) were monitored as outlined under “Experimental Procedures” and are indicated by dashed lines. The chromatogram was prepared with the Analyst 1.5.2 software using Gaussian smoothing and background subtraction.
peptides with a significant ProtScore (>2) and a total receptor sequence coverage of 41%. The following two peptides were used for subsequent MRM experiments to identify the thioester-linked palmitate: SHVLC*QQEPFK (the asterisk indicates the palmitoylated cysteine) and QMESQPLPGER. Fig. 1C shows the extracted ion chromatogram from the MRM experiments and indicates the presence of the palmitate moiety in the mutant A2A-R309C receptor.

We also verified that the mutation of arginine at position 309 to cysteine did not affect folding and surface localization by visualizing the receptor (Fig. 2, A and B) and by monitoring ligand binding (Fig. 2, C and D). Both the wild type (Fig. 2A) and the mutated A2A receptor (Fig. 2B) were predominantly localized at the plasma membrane of stably transfected HEK293 cells. Similarly, binding of the A2A receptor antagonist [3H]ZM241385 resulted in comparable saturation curves (Fig. 2, C and D) with $K_d$ values of 3.3 ± 0.5 and 3.6 ± 1.0 nM for wild type A2A receptor and the R309C mutant, respectively. In the cell clones selected, $B_{max}$ values were similar with 1.4 ± 0.07 and 1.6 ± 0.16 pmol/mg for wild type A2A receptor and A2A-R309C receptor, respectively.

**Accumulation of cAMP in HEK293 Cells Expressing Wild Type and Mutated A2A Receptor—**GPCRs, such as β-adrenergic receptors or rhodopsin, engage their cognate G protein by collision coupling. In contrast, it has long been known for the A2A receptor that the rates of adenylyl cyclase activation via this receptor are incompatible with this model (21, 22). Rather, early studies revealed that the A2A receptor was tightly coupled to the effector adenylyl cyclase in a manner that was accounted for by a restricted collision coupling model (20–22). Restricted collision coupling arises from the fact that the receptor can only activate a fraction of the cellular complement of its cognate G protein(s). In the case of the A2A receptor, only a fraction of all available $G_i$ is activated. This translates into a concentration-response curve in which increased receptor expression only enhances $E_{max}$ (the maximum level of cAMP accumulation) but does not shift the EC$_{50}$ to the left. Mechanistically, restricted collision coupling can be accounted for by a model where the cell surface membrane is compartmentalized and the receptor can only visit some of these compartments. We surmised that restricted collision coupling and the lack of palmitoylation are causally related. To test this hypothesis, several stable cell clones were obtained by selection with Geneticin; three and four clones were selected for wild type and R309C mutant receptor, respectively based on the following criteria; (i) the level of expression was reasonably similar for wild type receptor and the R309C-mutated form, (ii) the concentration of receptor in the membrane covered a physiologically relevant range, and (iii) clones with high (i.e. >2 pmol/mg) expression levels were not included in the analysis. We determined cAMP accumulation after stimulation the cells with increasing concentrations of the A2A-selective agonist CGS21680. As anticipated, increasing expression levels of the wild type receptor only resulted in an increase in maximum accumulation of cAMP, but the EC$_{50}$ of the agonist was in the range of 70 – 80 nM irrespective of the receptor levels (Fig. 3, A and C). In contrast, increasing the expression levels of the A2A-R309C receptor mutant resulted in a shift in the concentration response curve to the left (Fig. 3B).
Accordingly, the EC\textsubscript{50} was inversely related to the expression level of the mutant receptor (Fig. 3D). As a control, we replaced arginine in position 309 by alanine; the resulting mutant A\textsubscript{2A} receptor-R309A also accumulated at the cell surface of HEK293 cells and effectively activated cAMP accumulation. In fact, the apparent agonist affinity was higher than in the wild type receptor (data not shown); however, EC\textsubscript{50} values did not differ if cells expressing low and high levels of receptors were compared (2.0 and 0.3 nM, respectively). In addition, we examined the association of the agonist-activated wild type A\textsubscript{2A} receptor or A\textsubscript{2A}-R309C receptor with detergent-resistant membranes. Fig. 3E shows that in these sucrose gradients a large portion of the agonist-activated wild type receptors was retrieved in the flotillin-1-containing fractions. In contrast, the bulk of the agonist-activated A\textsubscript{2A}-R309C receptor was recovered in the bottom fractions that were depleted of the raft marker flotillin-1.

**Ternary Complex Formation by the A\textsubscript{2A}-R309C Receptor Mutant**—The substitution of arginine 309 by a cysteine residue and the resulting palmitoylation did not affect antagonist affinity (Fig. 1, C and D). However, it is evident from Fig. 3, C and D, that the EC\textsubscript{50} of the agonist was increased at low expression levels of A\textsubscript{2A}-R309C receptor when compared with the EC\textsubscript{50} for the wild type receptor at corresponding expression levels. At high levels of expression, the difference in EC\textsubscript{50} was eliminated, and EC\textsubscript{50} values were virtually identical. A trivial explanation may be a drop in agonist affinity induced by the mutation. We, therefore, determined the affinity of the agonist CGS21680 in competition experiments with the radiolabeled antagonist (Fig. 4). In membrane preparations, the A\textsubscript{2A} receptor is known to...
form high affinity agonist binding sites (reflecting the ternary complex HRG of agonist H, receptor, and G protein) that are resistant to dissociation by guanine nucleotides. The ternary complex can, however, be disrupted by the addition of NaCl (17, 37). This phenomenon is exemplified in Fig. 4, where the addition of NaCl to membranes of HEK293 cells expressing wild type A2A receptor (A) and A2A-R309C receptor (B). Membranes prepared from HEK293 cells (clones wt #6 and R309C #14, cf. Fig. 3) were incubated with 3 nM [3H]ZM241385 and the indicated concentrations of CGS21680 in the absence (open circle) or presence of 100 μM GTPγS (filled circle), 150 mM NaCl (open square) or 150 mM NaCl (filled square). Data represent the mean ± S.E. of ≥3 independent experiments carried out in duplicate.

FIGURE 4. Competition of the agonist CGS21680 for binding of the antagonist [3H]ZM241385 to membranes of HEK293 cells stably expressing wild type A2A receptor (A) and A2A-R309C receptor (B). Membranes prepared from HEK293 cells (clones wt #6 and R309C #14, cf. Fig. 3) were incubated with 3 nM [3H]ZM241385 and the indicated concentrations of CGS21680 (open circle) or presence of 100 μM GTPγS (filled circle), 150 mM NaCl (open square) or 150 mM NaCl (filled square). Data represent the mean ± S.E. of ≥3 independent experiments carried out in duplicate.

Effect of Mutation on Signaling via MAPK Pathway—In endothelial cells, the A2A receptor stimulates ERK/MAP kinase in a manner independent of Gs (8). This can be recapitulated in HEK293 cells (36) where stimulation of ERK does not require signaling via any G protein (26) but is contingent on recruitment of ARNO (the exchange factor for ARF6) (39). Stimulation of ERK and activation of cAMP accumulation appears to occur in physically separated membrane compartments (17). Hence, we explored whether the presence of the palmitoylation site also affected the receptor-mediated stimulation of ERK by immunoblotting for dually phosphorylated (active) ERK1 and ERK2. As previously described (see e.g. Ref. 17), the time course of ERK phosphorylation was biphasic and was comparable in cells expressing wild type and mutated receptor (data not shown). In cells expressing the wild type A2A receptor, the concentration-response curves for the agonist CGS21680 were independent of receptor levels (cf. panels A, C, and E in Fig. 5) with EC50 values of 6.3 ± 3.4 and 9.2 ± 3.7 nM for low and high receptor levels, respectively. In contrast, in cells expressing the A2A-R309C receptor, the agonist concentration-response curve was shifted to the left as receptor levels increased (cf. panels B, D, and F in Fig. 5) with EC50 values of 25.6 ± 6.7 and 6.3 ± 3.4 nM, respectively.

Determination of Receptor Mobility by Single Particle Tracking—Regardless of whether cAMP accumulation or ERK activation was examined, the agonist-activated A2A-R309C receptor differed from the wild type receptor because the apparent EC50 depended on receptor levels. In addition, the agonist-ligated wild type A2A receptor was associated to a larger extent with detergent-resistant membranes than the mutated A2A-R309C receptor. We surmised that these altered properties ought to be linked to a change in receptor diffusion. We initially attempted to verify changes in receptor mobility by resorting to fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). This approach suggested that wild type and mutant receptor differed, but in paired experiments we observed either variations in the time constant of fluorescence recovery or in the mobile fraction (data not shown, see also below). Because fluorescence recovery after photobleaching measures the properties of the receptor ensemble, these two variables are not independent. Accordingly, we labeled the receptors with quantum dots to measure the mobility of individual molecules. Two types of trajectories were observed. (i) In some instances a receptor molecule was locally confined and did not escape from this local confinement over the entire observation period, i.e.
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**FIGURE 5.** Concentration-response curve for agonist-stimulated ERK phosphorylation in HEK293 cells stably expressing wild type A2A receptor (A, C, and E) and A2A-R309C receptor (B, D, and F). Confluent serum-starved cells (3 x 10^5/well) expressing wild type A2A receptor at low (A, clone wt #7, cf. Fig. 3) or high levels (C, clone wt #6) or A2A-R309C receptor mutant at low (B, clone R309C #8) or high levels (D, clone R309C #14) were stimulated with the indicated nm concentrations of CGS21680 for 5 min. Stimulation by 1 μM phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) for 20 min served in panels A–D as a positive control and to allow for comparison between different blots. Aliquots of cellular lysates (20 μg) were applied to SDS-polyacrylamide gels. After electrophoretic resolution and transfer to nitrocellulose, the level of active ERK1/2 was assessed by immunoblotting with an antiserum recognizing the dually phosphorylated active enzyme (p-ERK) or an antiserum against holo-ERK1/2 (holo) (loading control). Concentration-response curves were plotted by averaging densitometric estimates from three independent experiments with low and high expressing wild type (E) and mutant receptor (F); error bars indicate S.E.

20 s (Fig. 6A). (ii) More frequently, receptors moved randomly over the cell surface resulting in trajectories consistent with a random walk over the entire observation period (Fig. 6B). We calculated the diffusion coefficient for each individual particle from a plot of MSD against time intervals (Δt) by limiting our analysis to the first 25% of the acquisition time (i.e. a minimum of 100 total frames). The random walk mode (blue in Fig. 6, C and D) was associated with an essentially linear dependence of area with time. In contrast, fitting the trajectory of a locally confined receptor (red curve in Fig. 6, C and E) allowed for extracting the radius of the apparent confinement (Fig. 6E). On average, the radii of the confined areas were 254 ± 112 and 187 ± 50 nm for wild type and mutant A2A receptor, respectively, in the absence of CGS21680. In the presence of agonist, the radii diminished, but they were also comparable, i.e. 116 ± 38 and 114 ± 55 nm for wild type and mutant A2A receptor, respectively. If all data were pooled and the average diffusivity was determined (i.e. the area covered over time), it transpired that the addition of agonist reduced the diffusion coefficient for both wild type and mutant A2A receptor (cf. dashed and solid lines in Fig. 6F). However, the effect of the agonist was modest in the case of A2A-R309C receptor (blue lines in Fig. 6F) and substantially more pronounced for the wild type receptor (red lines in Fig. 6F). The variation in diffusivity of individual receptors is more readily accessible if plotted as cumulative frequency distributions (Fig. 7, A and B). This analysis highlighted that under basal conditions, the diffusivity of wild type receptor differed to a small, albeit statistically significant extent (median D1–4 = 0.14 and 0.12 μm²/s for wild type receptor and for A2A-R309C receptor, respectively; p < 0.01 by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) (Fig. 7A). Agonist activation resulted in a pronounced shift in the cumulative distribution curve for the wild type receptor (red curve in Fig. 7B; median D1–4 = 0.05 μm²/s) and only had a modest effect on A2A-R309C receptor (blue curve in Fig. 7B; median D1–4 = 0.10 μm²/s), which was nevertheless significantly different from the curve obtained in the absence of CGS21680 (p < 0.01 by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Thus wild type and mutant receptor differed substantially in their agonist-induced mobility change. This is best appreciated from the histograms shown in Figs. 7, C–E. Under basal conditions, a Gaussian fit allows the resolution of two populations of receptors in both the wild type (Fig. 7C) and the mutant receptor (Fig. 7E). In the presence of agonist, the proportion of slowly diffusing molecules (orange curve in Fig. 7D) increased substantially in the wild type receptor at the expense of the rapidly moving species (cf. green lines in Fig. 7, C and D). In contrast, agonist activation virtually eliminated the slowly moving species of A2A-R309C receptor (cf. Fig. 7, E and F) and resulted in a
homogenous population of rapid diffusivity (solid line in Fig. 7F). The dashed lines in Fig. 7F represent the fit to a distribution assuming the presence of two receptor populations. It is evident that the fit is not significantly improved. The changes in diffusivity were also evaluated by subjecting the data set to a statistical comparison using a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple post hoc comparisons; this confirmed that all observed diffusivities differed in statistically significant way (supplemental Fig. S1A). Agonist treatment also slowed diffusion of the A2A receptor when assessed in hippocampal neurons transiently expressing a FLAG-tagged A2A receptor; the median diffusion rates were 0.56 and 0.22 μm²/s in the absence and presence of agonist (n > 250 trajectories in each condition, p < 0.01).

We also extracted the diffusion mode from the trajectories to determine the proportion of receptors subject to confinement defined by Saxton and Jacobson (33) (see “Experimental Procedures”). In the inactive state, wild type and mutant A2A receptor did not differ, with 0.82 and 1.46%, respectively, of the molecules displaying highly restricted macroscopic mobility (Fig. 8A). Agonist activation did not affect confinement of the A2A-R309C receptor. In contrast, upon agonist activation, 19% of the wild type A2A receptors became immobile (Fig. 8B). This difference was statistically significant (p < 0.0001, Fisher’s exact test). We verified that the differences in mobility were related to the change in palmitoylation by pretreating cells expressing the wild type A2A recep-
tor and the A2A-R309C receptor with bromopalmitate, an inhibitor of palmitoylation. This pretreatment was predicted to eliminate the differences in mobility, i.e., the average diffusivity of agonist-activated A2A-R309C receptor ought to approach that of the wild type A2A receptor, if the difference was due to the presence and absence of the palmitoyl moiety. This was the case (Fig. 9A). The effect of bromopalmitate is most readily evident if the cumulative frequency distribution is examined (Fig. 9B); the cumulative distribution curve of the agonist-activated mutant receptor approached that observed for the stimulated wild type receptor (cf. Fig. 9B and Fig. 7B). In fact, despite an adequate power (with >440 recordings under each condition), we failed to detect any statistically significant differences in the distributions.

**DISCUSSION**

In an isotropic membrane a receptor is predicted to move freely and to engage its cognate G proteins by random collision. Thus, restricted collision coupling requires anisotropy; there must be areas of the membranes that cannot readily be visited by the activated receptor, resulting in segregation of the receptor from the total available pool of its cognate G protein. We show here that for the A2A receptor this is indeed the case. The wild type A2A receptor controls at least two signaling cascades (11), i.e., the Gs-dependent activation of adenylyl cyclase and the less well-defined (Gs-independent) stimulation of ERK1/2, which relies on recruitment of ARNO to the C terminus of the receptor (39) and on activation of RAS (8, 38). For both, agonist-induced cAMP accumulation and ERK phosphorylation,
the EC50 was independent of the expression level of the A2A receptor. This hallmark of restricted collision coupling was eliminated by introducing the palmitoylation site into the C terminus of the A2A receptor irrespective of which pathway was examined. In addition, palmitoylation had a pronounced effect on receptor mobility, in particular in the agonist-bound state. The following arguments support the conclusion that the switch from restricted collision coupling to unrestricted collision coupling is the result of the observed changes in diffusion mode and in diffusibility. (i) Restricted collision coupling is contingent on confinement of the receptor. We observed a large increase in confinement upon agonist binding to the wild type receptor. This, however, was not seen if a palmitoylation site was engineered into the C terminus. We stress that our definition of confinement was conservative by focusing only those trajectories that were adequately described by Equation 3. Temporary confinements were not considered. (ii) In addition, in the presence of agonist we observed a pronounced redistribution of wild type A2A Receptors from the fast mobile pool to a slowly diffusing population. This redistribution was absent in the palmitoylated pool. By definition, in a slowly diffusing receptor species, the number of productive encounters with its cognate G protein (or any other signaling moiety) must be lower than in a rapidly moving receptor.

The number of high affinity ternary complexes observed with the palmitoylated version of the A2A receptor was modestly reduced. This can be rationalized by assuming that, because of its enhanced mobility, the palmitoylated version of the A2A receptor is more likely to be separated from Gs in broken cell preparations. Accordingly, a physical separation of receptors from G proteins is more probable, and the likelihood increases that the receptor will be trapped in a vesicle that does not contain any Gs. Alternative explanations, i.e. a reduced affinity of the receptor for the agonist or the G protein, cannot account for the decrease in ternary complexes formed by the palmitoylated version of A2A receptor; in the uncoupled state, we observed a modestly increased affinity of the palmitoylated receptor for the agonist. This can be explained by assuming that the agonist-liganded structure is stabilized by membrane anchoring of helix 8 via the palmitoyl moiety. Alternatively, there may be an effect that results from the loss of the positive charge provided by the side chain of arginine 309. Similar to the wild type receptor, the palmitoylated receptor formed a tight GTPγS-resistant complex. In this ternary complex, agonist affinity was comparable in the wild type and palmitoylated receptor. We, therefore, conclude that the presence or absence of palmitoylation does not affect G protein coupling. Several earlier observations support this conclusion. Mutation of the
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Palmitoylated cysteine to alanine does not affect G protein-coupling of the A$_1$-adenosine receptor (35). This is consistent with the observation that the human A$_1$-adenosine expressed in *Escherichia coli*, which does not support palmitoylation, interacts with G protein with the same affinity as the receptor in brain membranes (40). We note that in several instances palmitoylation has been reported to be essential for G protein coupling; however, because these assays are based on indirect read-outs of G protein-coupling in cells, they are confounded by additional events, e.g., enhanced desensitization of the depalmitoylated receptor (for review, see Ref. 41). In fact, all GPCRs that have been expressed in *E. coli* and reconstituted in their depalmitoylated state with G proteins bind their cognate G proteins with high affinity and with the appropriate selectivity (40, 42, 43). Earlier work also suggested a rapid agonist-induced turnover of the palmitoyl-moiety in the C terminus of the β$_2$-adrenergic receptor, the paradigmatic G protein-coupled receptor (44). It is worth noting that these and related experiments were done in S9 cells and that they pointed to a link between depalmitoylation and phosphorylation (45). However, when examined in mammalian cell lines and quantified by mass spectrometry, palmitoylation of the β$_2$-adrenergic receptor was remarkably stable; there were no appreciable changes in palmitoylation up to 30 min after agonist treatment (46). After pretreatment with bromopalmitate, an inhibitor of palmitoylation, we observed a pronounced change in the diffusion of the agonist-activated A$_{2A}$-R309C receptor but not of the wild type receptor. These observations provide circumstantial evidence to support the assumption that agonist treatment does not result in a marked change in the palmitoylation state of the mutated receptor.

Our experiments were designed to assess the diffusion mode and the change in diffusivity upon agonist activation of a G protein-coupled receptor by using single molecule tracking. We are not aware of any other investigation that has directly visualized the local confinement of an agonist-activated G protein-coupled receptor. Accordingly, there is only a limited data set available for comparison. Using FRAP, we previously failed to detect any change in A$_{2A}$ receptor diffusion upon agonist binding (17). FRAP also failed to detect consistent changes when we compared the wild type A$_{2A}$ receptor and the palmitoylated variant; in some instances, paired experiments revealed a difference in the recovery time constant in the mobile fraction or both. This variability can be attributed to the ensemble nature of the FRAP recordings, which average the large heterogeneity of receptors detected by single particle tracking. Accordingly, transient confinement events may affect recovery time constant and/or the mobile fraction depending on the relative distribution of receptors subjected to synchronized bleaching in a given membrane strip. In fact, if we arithmetically average diffusion coefficients without considering the underlying distribution, we observe a considerable overlap in the mean diffusion coefficients (supplemental Fig. S1B). This suggests that FRAP recordings may only be sensitive enough to detect agonist-induced changes in mobility if the agonist-induced conformational change translates into a mobility shift in the dominant population of the diffusing particles. In contrast, methods that track the mobility of individual particles provide information on the heterogeneity of the molecules.

The presence of an agonist reduced the mobility of both the wild type A$_{2A}$ receptor and to a less pronounced extent also that of the palmitoylated version. The underlying cause is not clear. Stimulation by the agonist ought to promote the formation of a receptor-G protein complex and possibly larger complexes (termed "signalosomes") that result from the association with one or several effectors. Intuitively, this situation is expected to slow receptor movement. However, agonist binding does not uniformly slow receptor diffusion; accelerated diffusion was observed for the (G$_i$/G$_s$-coupled) 5-HT$_1A$ receptor (47), but the mobility of the (G$_i$/G$_s$-coupled) D$_2$ receptor was slowed by agonist occupancy (17). Similarly, analysis of single particles by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy showed that the diffusivity of the (G$_s$-coupled) CRF1 receptor was enhanced upon agonist binding, whereas that of the related (G$_s$-coupled) CRF2 receptor was not affected (48). This suggests that the agonist-induced change in diffusivity is an intrinsic property of the receptor protein. CRFR1 and CRFR2 differ mainly in their hydrophobic core, i.e. in that region of the receptor that is subject to the viscous drag of the lipid bilayer. Similarly, the palmitoylated A$_{2A}$-R309C receptor diffused more rapidly in both the basal unliganded and the agonist-activated state than the wild type receptor. In fact, in the presence of agonist, the palmitoyl modification rendered the distribution more homogeneous by eliminating both the slow portion of the receptor pool and the highly mobile tail of the fast population. In the x-ray crystal structure, the shape of the agonist-ligated receptor does not differ dramatically from that of the antagonist-bound receptor (13–15). However, it is worth pointing out that these structures lack a crucial component, i.e. the G protein; the C terminus of the G protein α-subunit has to be accommodated in a cavity created on the intracellular side (49). The resulting change in the shape of the receptor is likely to create a hydrophobic mismatch that may augment the viscous drag. In fact, based on molecular dynamics simulations, it has been argued that in the unliganded state the ligand binding pocket of the A$_{2A}$ receptor may be invaded by phospholipid headgroups that pry apart transmembrane helix 1 and helix 2; cholesterol eliminates the hydrophobic mismatch and stabilizes the structure (16). It is conceivable that the presence of the palmitoyl moiety also exerts a stabilizing effect on the helices and thus obviates the requirement for a cholesterol-rich environment. This speculative interpretation is also consistent with the observation that the agonist-activated wild type A$_{2A}$ receptor was more likely to visit confined areas than the version of the receptor engineered to be palmitoylated. The calculated size of the confinement area is consistent with the size of a hypothetical lipid raft. The absence of the palmitoylated A$_{2A}$-R309C receptor in confined areas is countereuitive, for palmitoylation is generally thought to promote confinement in lipid rafts (41). However, a systematic proteomic survey of raft and non-raft membranes shows that S-palmitoylation does not represent an obligatory signal for raft association (50). In fact, there is at least one example where palmitoylation prevents a protein (i.e. the isoform-1 of the endothelial membrane protein TEM8) from entering lipid rafts, whereas mutation of the acceptor cysteines removes this...
constraint and allows for entry into rafts (51). Thus, the most parsimonious explanation of restricted collision coupling is to attribute it to a local confinement of the A2A receptor in a structure corresponding to a (putative) lipid raft.
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