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1. Introduction

Age-related brain changes are well-documented [1]; however, multiple factors, including physical and mental health conditions, lifestyle choices, and genetic influences contribute to individual variability in brain changes and cognitive functioning with age [2]. Among the most commonly cited genetic contributors to brain health is apolipoprotein E (apoE), which has three primary isoforms – E2, E3, and E4 – that vary in terms of structure, function, and disease susceptibility. For instance, the E2 allele is associated with preserved cognitive functioning and decreased risk of Alzheimer’s disease [3], whereas the E4 allele has been associated with brain atrophy [4], functional network disturbances [5,6], susceptibility to Alzheimer’s disease [7,8], and lower cognitive performance even among cognitively healthy individuals [9].

Increasing evidence also implicates human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genes in brain health. HLA genes are involved in immunity via elimination of external pathogens [10]. Recent research has linked several HLA gene variants to Alzheimer’s disease as well as other neurological diseases [8,11,12]. Like apoE, however, some HLA genes appear to be protective in terms of cognitive function and brain health [13–15]. For example, the HLA DRB1 gene has been linked to enhanced cognitive performance among healthy adults [15], and studies of this gene at
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the protein level have shown that the DRB1*13:02 allele protects against age-related brain atrophy in cognitively healthy adults [14] and in Gulf War illness [16]. Furthermore, the DRB1*13:02 allele has been shown to exert protective effects against several neuroimmunological conditions [13,17–19]. The findings with regard to DRB1*13:01 (which differs from DRB1*13:02 by only a single amino acid residue) have been somewhat mixed. Specifically, while some protective effects have been observed for DRB1*13:01 [20], it has also been shown to be a risk factor for other primarily autoimmune conditions [19,21,22], many of which involve neurocognitive disruption. Despite some evidence relating these alleles to brain health and structure, the effects of these alleles on brain function are not yet well understood.

Healthy brain functioning depends on efficient and coordinated communication across a massive, interconnected network. Magnetoencephalography (MEG) captures integrated synaptic activity with the highest fidelity, providing a highly accurate measure of brain activity. Using MEG, our research group has demonstrated that patterns of neural network communication are virtually identical across healthy individuals and highly synchronous [23] to the extent that various brain diseases can be differentiated based on variation in synchronous neural interactions (SNI) [24–27]. Furthermore, some of the variation in neural network properties can be attributed to genetic differences. For example, with regards to apoE, we have shown that the E2 allele is associated with the highest mean SNI and lowest variability of SNI whereas the E4 allele is associated with the lowest mean and highest variability in healthy adults [6]. Similarly increased variability induced by apoE4 has been demonstrated in in vitro brain cultures [28]. In terms of HLA, we have previously demonstrated protective effects on age-related brain atrophy in cognitively healthy individuals [14]; however, the effects on brain function in cognitively healthy individuals are unknown. Thus, here we extend the research on genetic effects on neural network properties and age-related brain changes by investigating the combined effects of apoE and HLA on changes in the strength of neural interactions and network variability with age in cognitively healthy adults.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 178 cognitively healthy women (60.3 ± 15.8 y, mean age ± SD; age range 28–99 y) participated in this study as paid volunteers after providing informed consent, in adherence to the Declaration of Helsinki. Their cognitive status was assessed using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA [29] N = 166) or Modified Mini-Mental State exam (3MS [30]; N = 12). All participant’s cognitive scores exceeded the suggested cut-offs indicative of healthy cognitive functioning. All study protocols were approved by the appropriate Institutional Review Boards.

2.2. Genetics

2.2.1. HLA genotyping

DNA isolation was carried out from 3 ml of whole blood drawn in EDTA tubes, using a commercially available kit (ArchivePure cat. 2300730) from 5Prime (distributed by Fisher Scientific or VWR) with an expected yield of 50–150 μg of DNA. The purified DNA samples were sent to Histogenetics (http://www.histogenetics.com/) for high-resolution HLA Sequence-based Typing (SBT; details are given in https://bioinformatics.bethematchclinical.org/HLA-Resources/HLA-Typing/High-Resolution-Typing-Procedures/ and https://bioinformatics.bethematchclinical.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=6482). Their sequencing DNA templates are produced by locus- and group-specific amplifications that include exon 2 and 3 for class I (A, B, C) and exon 2 for class II (DRB1, DRB3/4/5, DQB1, and DPB1) and reported as Antigen Recognition Site (ARS) alleles as per ASHI recommendation [31].

Given our previous findings of a protective role of DRB1*13:02 allele on gray matter volume reduction in healthy aging [14], we focused in this study on the DRB1*13 gene. In our sample of 178 healthy women, 47 carried the DRB1*13 gene. More specifically, 26 carried the DRB1*13:01 allele, 18 carried the DRB1*13:02 alleles, 2 carried the DRB1*13:03 allele, and 1 carried the DRB1*13:05 allele.

2.2.2. ApoE genotyping

DNA samples were genotyped using PCR amplification followed by restriction enzyme digestion [32]. Each amplification reaction contained PCR buffer with 15 mmol/L MgCl2, ng amounts of genomic DNA 20 pmol apoE forward (5’ N TAA CTT CCT GCC CAC CGC TGT CCA AGG A 3’), and reverse (5’ NATA AAT AAA TAT AAA TAA CAA ATG TCC CCG CTT CCT GCC ACA C 3’). Each reaction was performed in a thermocycler including the following process: an initial denaturing period of 2 min at 95 °C, followed by 32 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 60 °C, and 2 min at 72 °C; and a final extension of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 60 °C, and 2 min at 72 °C and a final extension of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 60 °C, and 3 min at 72 °C. PCR products were digested with Hhal and separated on a 4% agarose gel which was stained with Etidium Bromide. Known apoE isoform standards were included in the analysis.

2.2.3. Genetic groups

The frequencies of occurrence of DRB1*13 and the 6 apoE genotypes are given in Table 1. Based (a) on the presence or absence of DRB1*13, and (b) on the presence or absence of apoE alleles E2 and E4, seven genetic subgroups were distinguished (Table 2); the combination (DRB1*13 present, apoE E2/E4) did not occur in our sample.

2.3. MEG data acquisition

All participants underwent a MEG scan. As described previously [25,26], subjects lay supine within the electromagnetically shielded chamber and fixated their eyes on a spot ~ 65 cm in front of them, for 45–60 s. MEG data were acquired using a 248-channel axial gradiometer system (Magnes 3600WH, 4-D Neuroimaging, San Diego, CA), band-filtered between 0.1 and 400 Hz, and sampled at 1017.25 Hz. Data with artifacts (e.g. from non-removable metal or excessive subject motion) were eliminated from further analysis.

2.4. Data analysis

2.4.1. General

Standard statistical methods were used to analyze the data. The following packages were employed: IBM-SPSS statistical package, versions
23–25, and ad hoc FORTRAN computer programs employing the International Mathematics and Statistics Library (IMSL; Rogue Wave Software, Louisville, CO, USA) statistical and mathematical libraries. Prewhitening of the raw MEG series (see below) was performed using programs in Python [33].

2.4.2. Data preprocessing

Single trial MEG time series from all sensors (N = 60,000 time samples per series) underwent prewhitening [34] using a [1, 3, 50] ARIMA model [33] to obtain practically white noise innovations (i.e. residuals). Given 248 MEG sensors, there were 30,628 pairs possible. All pairwise crosscorrelations, r, were computed for valid data (see above); of those, 90% came from valid data and were retained for further analysis.

2.4.3. Statistical analyses

2.4.3.1. General. Since we were interested in the strength of neural interactions irrespective of its sign, we took the absolute value of r and z-transformed [35] it:

\[ z = \text{atanh}(r) \]  

(1)

For each participant, the standard deviation of z, SD(z), was computed as a measure of the variability of the neural network interactions. Finally, linear regression analysis was used to assess age-related effects on network variability. We assessed this effect on genetic subgroups of participants, based (a) on the presence or absence of HLA gene DRB1*13, and (b) on the presence or absence of apoE alleles E2 and E4.

2.4.3.2. Permutation tests. Finally, we examined more closely a specific comparison of the age effect on network variability between the subgroup that carried apoE4 but no DRB1*13 allele (subgroup C, Table 2) and the subgroup that carried both of the above (subgroup D, Table 2). This comparison is crucial for assessing the potential overriding protection conferred by DRB1*13 in the presence of apoE4. Since the sample size of subgroup D (N = 10) was less than that of subgroup C (N = 33), we performed a permutation test to account for the smaller sample size of subgroup D as follows. The number M of all possible subsamples of size N* = 10 out of 33 is very large:

\[ M = \frac{33!}{10!(33-10)!} = 92,561,040 \]  

(2)

Instead, we generated 1 million random subsamples (without replacement) from subgroup C of sample size N* = 10 each. We then performed a linear regression analysis of SD(z) against age for each one of these subsamples and retained the correlation coefficient. Finally, we calculated the proportion of correlations that were smaller than the correlation actually observed in subgroup D, rD. This is an estimate of the probability that a correlation equal to, or smaller than, rD occurs in subgroup C in subsamples matched for size N = 10 of subgroup C.

Table 1 Frequencies of DRB1*13 and apoE genotypes.  

| ApoE genotype | DRB1*13 | Total |
|---------------|---------|-------|
|               | Absent  | Present |     |
| 2/2           | 2       | 0       | 2   |
| 2/3           | 13      | 6       | 19  |
| 2/4           | 5       | 0       | 5   |
| 3/3           | 78      | 31      | 109 |
| 3/4           | 30      | 8       | 38  |
| 4/4           | 3       | 2       | 5   |
| Total         | 131     | 47      | 178 |

3. Results

3.1. Genetic groups

There was no statistically significant association between the occurrence of DRB1*13 and the 6 apoE genotypes (Table 1; \( \chi^2[5] = 4.04, P = 0.543 \)).

3.2. Age

The frequency distribution of age in the whole sample of 178 women is shown in Fig. 1. The age distributions for the genetic subgroups (Table 2) are shown in Fig. 2; the mean ages did not differ significantly among the subgroups (P = 0.973, F-test, analysis of variance).

3.3. Effects of age on network variability

The results of the linear regression analysis of network variability against age for each genetic subgroup are shown in Table 3 and illustrated in Figs. 3–8. We found the following. (a) In the presence of apoE2, network variability was not affected by age irrespective of whether DRB1*13 was absent (Fig. 3) or present (Fig. 4), (b) In the presence of apoE4, network variability increased significantly with age in the absence of DRB1*13 (Fig. 5) but not in its presence (Fig. 6), (c) In absence of both apoE2 and apoE4 (i.e. apoE3/3 genotype), network variability increased with age in the absence of DRB1*13 (Fig. 7) but not in its presence (Fig. 8). The lack of a significant relation to age held separately for DRB1*13:01 (P = 0.418, N = 26) and DRB1*13:02 (P = 0.582, N = 16). In summary, the presence of either DRB1*13 or apoE2 prevents the increase in network variability with age, whereas the presence of apoE4 increases network variability with age in the absence of DRB1*13.
Finally, we performed a permutations test to examine more closely the comparison of the age effect on network variability between the subgroup that carried apoE4 but no DRB1*13 allele (subgroup C) and the subgroup that carried both of the above (subgroup D). Since the sample size of subgroup D (N = 10) was less than that of subgroup C (N = 33), we performed a linear regression of SD(zi) against age for each one of 1 million random subsamples of size N∗ = 10 (out of the 33 of subgroup C; see Methods). The positive correlation for subgroup C (rC = 0.510, N = 33) (Table 3) indicated a highly significant increase in network variability with age (P = 0.002); in contrast, that correlation in subgroup D was negative (rD = −0.143, N = 10) but not statistically significant (P = 0.693). In the permutation test above, we found that in 98.4% of the 1 million regressions in subgroup C with N = 10, the correlations were >rC; in addition, 96.2% of the 1 million correlations were positive. These results add further support to the original finding that the presence of DRB1*13 eliminates the age-related increase in network variability associated with the presence of apoE4.

4. Discussion

In the present study we investigated the effects of HLA DRB1*13 and apoE on changes in neural network variability with age in cognitively healthy women. We found highly significant age-related changes in network variability that varied according to the presence of HLA DRB1*13 and apoE genotype. Specifically, apoE4 was associated with increased network variability with age, as was lack of HLA DRB1*13; in contrast, there were no changes in network variability with age in the presence of HLA DRB1*13 or apoE2. These findings add to the growing literature documenting protective effects of HLA DRB1*13 on the brain and extend the vast literature on apoE by shifting the focus from not only the deleterious effects of apoE4 but also to include the protective effects of apoE2, as proposed earlier [6].

Previous studies have demonstrated that healthy brain function can be distinguished from pathological conditions including Alzheimer’s disease based on neural network characteristics [24–26] and that those network characteristics are genetically mediated [6,28], among other factors. Specifically, we have previously documented that apoE4, an allele that is a known risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease, is associated with increased network variability both in vivo [6] and in vitro [28], whereas apoE2, an allele that is protective against Alzheimer’s disease, is associated with decreased network variability [6]. Here we extend those findings and show additional genetic influence on network variability with HLA DRB1*13 alleles also conferring protection against variability and their absence associated with increased variability akin to that associated with apoE4. Notably, even in the presence of apoE4, protection against age-related changes in neural network properties is still conferred by HLA DRB1*13.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the joint effects of apoE and HLA DRB1*13 on age-related brain changes in cognitively healthy individuals. Considerable research has focused on the deleterious effects of apoE4 on brain health including reductions in synaptic, vascular, and mitochondrial function, lipid glucose metabolism, neurogenesis, and amyloid-beta clearing, in addition to increases in brain atrophy, neuronal toxicity, amyloid-beta aggregation, and tangle formation [3,36]. In contrast, the mechanisms underlying the protection conferred by DRB1*13 and apoE2 are just beginning to be investigated; the current findings that both HLA DRB1*13 and apoE2 each prevent increased network variability with age suggest a common mechanism that promotes brain health.

ApoE plays a critical role in the transport and metabolism of cholesterol and other lipids in addition to numerous central nervous system functions [37]. The three apoE isoforms – E2, E3, and E4 – are distinguished by single amino acid substitutions that result in substantial structural and functional differences [38]. For instance, critical brain processes including neurogenesis, synaptic functioning, lipoprotein receptor binding, and binding and clearance of amyloid-beta peptides have been found to differ according to apoE genotype with apoE2 evidencing relative superiority such that E2 ≥ E3 > E4 [33,37,39]. HLA involvement in brain health ultimately boils down to maintenance of the immune system via eradication of foreign antigens. Successful elimination of such antigens depends on a match between a specific antigen and one’s HLA composition. A mismatch, on the other hand, prevents elimination of the antigen, and the “persistent antigen” [16] may lead to inflammation, cell damage, autoimmunity [40], and atrophy [14]. Evidence suggests that antigens related to several common illnesses including influenza A, hepatitis B and C, and herpes virus bind to HLA.

### Table 3

| Subgroup | DRB1*13 | ApoE2 | ApoE4 | r | P-Value | Figure |
|----------|---------|-------|-------|---|---------|--------|
| A        | Absent  | Present| Absent| 0.101 | 0.721 | 3      |
| B        | Present | Present| Absent| 0.378 | 0.530 | 4      |
| C        | Absent  | Absent | Present| 0.510 | 0.002 | 5      |
| D        | Present | Absent | Present| −0.143| 0.693 | 6      |
| E        | Absent  | Present| Present| 0.620 | 0.264 | No figure |
| F        | Absent  | Absent | Absent| 0.297 | 0.008 | 7      |
| G        | Present | Absent | Absent| 0.141 | 0.448 | 8      |

**Fig. 2.** Frequency distributions of ages in the genetic subgroups of Table 2. Vertical bar denotes a count of 10.
DRB1*13:02 and HLA DRB1*13:01 [41], thereby facilitating elimination of those antigens.

Consideration of the function of apoE and HLA genes in the context of the present findings lead us to speculate about a common mechanism that promotes network stability in both apoE2 and HLA DRB1*13 and, conversely, leads to network variability in apoE4. Specifically, we assert that the beneficial stable network effects observed for both apoE2 and HLA DRB1*13 are enabled by relative absence of neurotoxins compared to apoE4. That is, amyloid-beta plaques and/or other neurotoxic effects commonly associated with apoE4 are well-known to be reduced in E2 carriers and may be targeted for clearance in DRB1*13 carriers in the same manner as hepatitis B and other foreign antigens. At least 3 lines of research support the latter. First, mounting evidence supports the existence of antigen-presenting cells in the brain [42]. Second, it has been shown that autoantibodies against amyloid beta naturally occur in healthy individuals and facilitate degradation of amyloid beta [43].

and are reduced in patients with Alzheimer’s disease [44]. Consequently, clinical trials of intravenous immunoglobulin as immunotherapy for Alzheimer’s disease and other neurodegenerative disorders are underway and have shown promising effects on reducing amyloid beta and improving cognition [45,46], particularly among apoE4 carriers [46]. And third, clearance of amyloid beta via activation of T cells has been shown to differ across different HLA alleles and DRB1*1301 is one of the alleles shown to stimulate amyloid beta T cells [47].

In summary, neuroprotection by DRB1*13 could be exerted by (a) eliminating persistent neurotoxic antigens [16], (b) limiting the presence of cathepsin S, a known endogenous harmful substance in brain aging [48], to which DRB1*13:02 binds with high, and DRB1*13:01 with lower, affinity [49], and (c) limiting the presence of amyloid A beta by facilitating the production of autoantibodies against it [47]. Concerning apoE, the detrimental effects of E4 and various

Fig. 3. Network variability is plotted against age for subgroup A (Table 3), as indicated. (See text for details.)

Fig. 4. Network variability is plotted against age for subgroup B (Table 3), as indicated. (See text for details.)

Fig. 5. Network variability is plotted against age for subgroup C (Table 3), as indicated. (See text for details.)

Fig. 6. Network variability is plotted against age for subgroup D (Table 3), as indicated. (See text for details.)
mechanisms of action have been well established and investigated, as reviewed above. In contrast, the cell biological mechanism(s) by which apoE2 confers protection are not well understood but promising findings have been published recently [50].

All individuals in the current study were cognitively healthy; however, one might expect that those lacking the protective DRB1*13 or apoE2 alleles may ultimately be at greater risk for cognitive decline given evidence of age-related gray matter atrophy previously observed in women without DRB1*13:02 [14], evidence of relative protective effects of apoE2, and increased age-related variability in those lacking DRB1*13 and/or apoE2 documented in the present study. Longitudinal studies are currently underway in our lab to investigate genetic and other factors underlying healthy brain aging versus disease in addition to network characteristics associated with each.

5. Limitation of the study

The highly significant and novel protective effects of DRB1*13 and apoE2 on neural network variability observed in the present study must be considered within the context of the study limitations which include a relatively small sample size and limited allele diversity. Of the DRB1*13 alleles, DRB1*13:01 and DRB1*13:02 are the most common among US ethnic populations and thus our sample is representative of the US population at large [51]. Numerous other DRB1*13 alleles exist in the general population, yet representation of other DRB1*13 alleles was limited here precluding investigation into potential protective effects of those alleles. HLA, however, is highly polymorphic with some variants more strongly associated with disease and others with protection. For instance, HLA DRB1*15:01 has been associated with ”pan-neuronal disease vulnerability” [11] given its association with Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, and Parkinson’s disease. Thus, future studies may consider investigating the influence of other HLA alleles on age-related brain changes independently and in conjunction with other factors that may contribute to brain health and disease. Similarly, relatively limited sample size precluded us from investigating all possible HLA DRB1*13/apoE combinations or in some cases resulted in relatively small subsamples. Finally, although the results of this cross-sectional sample are compelling, longitudinal studies tracking changes in network properties with age and with regard to presence or absence of DRB1*13 alleles and apoE2 (vs apoE4) will permit more robust conclusions in terms of protective effects of DRB1*13 alleles and apoE2 as well as mitigating factors; this work is currently underway.
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