Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Clinical Update
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Abstract

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most common chronic liver disease in developed countries because of the obesity epidemic. The disease increases liver-related morbidity and mortality, and often increases the risk for other comorbidities, such as type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Insulin resistance related to metabolic syndrome is the main pathogenic trigger that, in association with adverse genetic, humoral, hormonal and lifestyle factors, precipitates development of NAFLD. Biochemical markers and radiological imaging, along with liver biopsy in selected cases, help in diagnosis and prognostication. Intense lifestyle changes aiming at weight loss are the main therapeutic intervention to manage cases. Insulin sensitizers, antioxidants, lipid lowering agents, bariatric surgery and liver transplantation may be necessary for management in some cases along with lifestyle measures. This review summarizes the latest evidence on the epidemiology, natural history, pathogenesis, diagnosis and management of NAFLD.
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Epidemiology

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has emerged as the most prevalent chronic liver disease in developed nations in recent years. It is defined as the presence of ≥5% steatosis in the absence of secondary causes of fat accumulation in the liver (described below). Prevalence of NAFLD is growing, even in the developing world, because of the global obesity epidemic. Moreover, very close association between the disease and metabolic syndrome has been identified.

Epidemiological data shows the global prevalence of NAFLD in different populations as follows: United States – 30%, Middle East – 32%, South America – 30%, Asia – 27%, Europe – 24% and Africa – 13%.1 Wide variations in the prevalence have also been identified among different ethnic groups of these populations. Another interesting trend noted is the increasing prevalence of NAFLD among paediatric age groups. Autopsy-based data showed that NAFLD prevalence among children aged 2–19 years to be 9.6% after adjustment for age, sex, race and ethnicity, and up to 38% in obese children.2

The disease starts with fatty liver or hepatic steatosis and may progress to steatohepatitis with hepatic inflammation. Five to twenty percent of patients with fatty liver develop nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in their clinical course, of which 10–20% develop into higher-grade fibrosis and <5% progress to full-blown cirrhosis.3 The prevalence of NASH may be underestimated, as the diagnosis requires histological confirmation. It is considered that at least 5% of the population may have NASH.4 Prevalence of NAFLD among the at-risk group is even higher.

Eighteen to thirty-three percent of cases with NAFLD were found to have type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and up to 66–83% of NAFLD cases were identified with markers of insulin resistance (IR).5–7 Even without a significant degree of dyslipidaemia, increasing levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) levels (ranging from <2.0 mmol/L to 2.7 mmol/L) increased the prevalence of NAFLD from 19% to 42% in patients in a recent study.8 Prevalence of NAFLD also increases with age (up to 46%), with the older age groups having higher mortality rates.9,10

Natural history

The natural history of NAFLD is not well established, with significant knowledge gaps about the marked inter-individual variations in disease onset, progression, and complications. NAFLD represents a wide spectrum of clinical entities from asymptomatic hepatic steatosis to more advanced liver disease with hepatic failure or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).1,11,12 The rate of disease progression in most cases is slow, although rapid development of advanced liver disease may be occasionally found. About one-third of people eventually develop NASH;1,11,12 however, regression of fibrosis is also noticed in about 20% of these cases.12,13
Although increased cardiovascular mortality rate has been demonstrated in patients with NAFLD compared to general population, it is difficult to predict the risk for all-cause mortality in the absence of large population-based epidemiological study data. However, NASH was associated with a three-fold increase in liver-related mortality compared to the general population. Although NAFLD-associated cirrhosis was previously considered to have a higher risk for the development of HCC, recent evidence showed that up to 50% of patients with NAFLD-associated HCC did not have cirrhosis. Co-existent T2DM and obesity further increase risk of developing HCC in patients with NAFLD.

**Pathogenesis**

NAFLD is considered as a metabolic disorder that results from complex interaction between genetic, hormonal and nutritional factors. Recent evidence suggests that several genetic risk factors predispose to the development and progression of NAFLD. For example, polymorphisms of PNPLA3, TM6SF2, FTO, LIPA, IFN-4 HFE, and HMOX-1 genes have been found to be associated with development/progression of the disease. Obesity and metabolic syndrome (MS) are the most important risk factors identified in the development of NAFLD, and diabetes mellitus and hypertension are also linked to greater progression of the disease. Because of the similarity in pathogenesis – IR leading to hyperinsulinemia and gross alterations in carbohydrate and fat metabolism – NAFLD and T2DM often co-exist in many individuals with metabolic syndrome. Moreover, both the disorders modify the risk for each other in a vicious circle. Full-blown T2DM also contributes to further worsening of hepatic steatosis and progression of established NASH, fibrosis and cirrhosis, with a higher risk of development of HCC.

Hyperinsulinemia and IR lead to increased adipocyte lipolysis and circulating free fatty acids (FFAs) that are taken up by hepatocytes, initiating various complex metabolic pathways that lead to NAFLD (Fig. 1). Because of the very strong association with MS, NAFLD is considered as the hepatic component of MS. Systemic IR reduces plasma adiponectin (an adipokine that increases insulin sensitivity and reduces inflammation) levels and increases the concentration of leptin (a cytokine secreted by adipocytes that plays a role in reducing body weight and fat mass). Reduced adiponectin levels and increased leptin levels (possibly from leptin resistance) are observed in patients with NAFLD.

Adipose tissue lipolysis continues, even with hyperinsulinemia, because of the IR that results in increased plasma FFA concentration. Liver takes up the FFA in circulation, that if not oxidised gets stored in the liver in various forms or exported as very low density lipoproteins (VLDLs), as shown in the figure. High hepatic VLDL output also results in high circulating triglycerides and LDL and low circulating high density lipoprotein (HDL) levels that increase atherosclerosis risk.

Increased glucagon levels with altered insulin/glucagon ratio is seen in patients with NAFLD. This promotes hepatic de novo lipogenesis (DNL), glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis with higher hepatic glucose production and IR. Several gastrointestinal hormones and adipokines that regulate glucose and lipid metabolism, along with hormones controlling appetite and satiety, are also thought to contribute to the pathogenesis of NAFLD. Glucagon-like insulinotropic peptide-1 (GLP-1), ghrelin, selenoprotein P, leptin, adiponectin and the myokine – irisin – are some of these chemicals.

As in the case of T2DM, the predominant risk factor for development of NAFLD is IR because of overweight/obesity that result from adverse lifestyle factors, such as over-nutrition and physical inactivity. Although the majority of cases with NAFLD are obese/overweight individuals, a small but significant proportion of patients with the disease are lean. This phenomenon is especially common in the non-Caucasian populations, accounting for about 20% of cases.

Predominant visceral obesity rather than generalized obesity, high dietary intake of fructose and cholesterol, and genetic risk factors may predispose to non-obese NAFLD. Higher rates of the mutant PNPLA3 gene variants and reduced serum adiponectin concentrations were reported in Caucasians with lean NAFLD compared to controls in a recent report. Potential roles of various lysophosphatidylcholines, phosphatidylcholines, lysine, tyrosine and valine were revealed in these cases using metabolomics studies.

Physical activity stimulates production of various soluble chemicals from muscle fibres, collectively termed as myokines, that show auto, para and endocrine functions. Glucagon-like insulinotropic peptide, irisin, cromabulin, PLIN1, ALK5 and KSR2 genes have been found to be associated with development/progression of the disease. These myokines function as messengers between skeletal muscle and other tissues, such as liver, adipose tissue, heart, brain and blood vessels, signalling cascades of neuro-hormonal changes that modulate energy balance, metabolism and homeostasis. Although several myokines are described that may alter human metabolism, irisin is the most studied one among them. Physical activity increases irisin levels, leading to thermogenesis with a possible protective effect on metabolic disorders. However, there are studies showing increased levels of irisin in patients with metabolic syndrome and NAFLD.

Acute response to exercise is shown to involve an increase in plasma irisin levels, whereas chronic exercise leads to reduction of the levels. Therefore, these conflicting reports on the plasma levels and metabolic effects of irisin may be related to development of resistance to the hormone or its effectors at tissue level that should be elucidated in future research. With the available evidence, we can conclude that by modulation of multiple metabolic parameters and the effects on body energy homeostasis, irisin may alter the risks for obesity, T2DM, NAFLD and cardiovascular disease.

Alterations in the functions and composition of gut microbiome, otherwise known as intestinal dysbiosis, have been found to be associated with obesity and its consequent metabolic disorders, including NAFLD, in animal models. Several subsequent studies in animal models and humans revealed clear association between gut dysbiosis and NAFLD. Even the degree of intestinal dysbiosis has been found to be correlated to the severity of NAFLD and the fibrosis. Several local and systemic factors, such as disruption of gastrointestinal mechanical barrier function, inflammation, various metabolites released by intestinal microbial metabolism/actions and ethanol production by the microbiota were proposed as the potential pathogenic mechanisms.

Fig. 2 summarizes the pathogenesis of NAFLD and the potential therapeutic targets.

**Diagnosis**

NAFLD remains asymptomatic in a significant proportion of patients, and the diagnosis is often suspected when liver functions are found abnormal on biochemical testing or hepatic imaging (ultrasonography, computed tomography [CT] or magnetic resonance imaging [MRI] of liver) suggest...
fatty liver, when performed for some other reasons. The diagnosis of NAFLD is established when $5\%$ of the hepatocytes show steatosis in the absence of causes for secondary steatosis, such as excessive alcohol consumption ($> 20$ grams/day in females and $30$ grams/day in males) or chronic liver conditions associated with steatosis (viral, autoimmune, metabolic and toxic disorders).\textsuperscript{1,48,49}

Biochemical markers

Liver enzymes can often be normal in a number of patients with NAFLD. For example, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) can be normal in up to 60\% of patients with NASH, and 53\% of patients with high ALT had no evidence of NASH and advanced fibrosis.\textsuperscript{50,51} Although several biochemical markers, such as TNF-$\alpha$, IL-6, CRP, Pantraxin, Ferritin, serum prolidase enzyme activity, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end product and cytokerin-18, have been proposed as useful in predicting the severity of NAFLD/NASH in the past, none of these markers have shown sufficient sensitivity or specificity for routine clinical application for diagnosis.\textsuperscript{52}

NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) using clinical and biochemical parameters to predict the severity of liver involvement is the most validated non-invasive tool to assess the disease. NFS is based on age, body mass index, aspartate transaminase (AST), ALT, platelets, albumin, and presence or absence of impaired fasting glucose.\textsuperscript{1} A low cut-off score $< 1.455$ excludes advanced fibrosis with a negative predictive value of 93\%, while a high cut-off value exceeding 0.676 suggests advanced fibrosis with a positive predictive value of 90\%.\textsuperscript{1,53} Although the specificity of NFS is good, the sensitivity was recently reported as being low.\textsuperscript{54}
Radiological diagnosis

Ultrasonography, CT and MRI of the liver are the standard imaging modalities used in clinical practice for diagnosis of NAFLD. In general, about 30% of liver steatosis should be present for these techniques to detect NAFLD.1,20,48 Ultrasonography is cheap, available easily and easy to perform, even from the bedside. The reported sensitivity of the test is > 90% in experienced hands when hepatic steatosis is >30%, although the sensitivity is much lower at lower degrees of steatosis.15,56 However, ultrasonography is highly operator-dependent and, therefore, results can vary widely depending on the performer.

Transient elastography (TE) is an ultrasound-based imaging technique to detect the degree of fibrosis in patients with NAFLD and NASH. Sensitivity and specificity of TE to diagnose various stages of fibrosis have been reported to be 79–92% and 75–92% respectively.57 Recent evidence also suggests that ultrasound-based controlled attenuation parameter value used in the TE technique can predict the degree of steatosis in patients with NAFLD.58

CT scan is reported to be highly sensitive in quantifying the hepatic and visceral fat to measure the degree of adiposity in patients with NAFLD and NASH. Sensitivity and specificity of CT to diagnose various stages of fibrosis have been reported to be 79–92% and 75–92% respectively.57 However, the test is expensive and associated with risk of radiation, and, therefore, not usually recommended in clinical settings. MRI is highly sensitive and specific for both quantitative and qualitative assessment of NAFLD. Newer MRI techniques, such as MR elastography, proton density fat fraction and the FerriScan method, can stage the degree of fibrosis non-invasively to diagnose and assess the prognosis of patients with NAFLD.59 However, these techniques are expensive and available only in specialized centres.

Liver biopsy and histology

Liver biopsy remains the gold standard for diagnostic evaluation of NAFLD. Biopsy not only confirms the diagnosis but provides information on extent of fibrosis and steatosis, necro-inflammation, and architectural distortion. In the past, the NASH Clinical Research Network pathological scoring system was the widely used histological scoring system, representing a validated scoring system that generates a NAFLD activity score (NAS). A NAS score of 5 or > 5 is considered NASH and < 3 is not NASH.60

However, recent evidence suggests that NAS score cannot be used as a surrogate for discrimination between NASH and NAFLD, although it is useful for the histological diagnosis.61,62 Therefore, the European Association for the Study of Liver recommends NAS for evaluation of the disease activity, and not for the diagnosis. The steatosis, inflammatory activity and fibrosis (SAF) score introduced in 2012, provides a reliable and reproducible measure for the diagnosis, grading and staging of NAFLD without much inter-observer variability.63 SAF score assesses both and separately the grade of steatosis (S), the grade of activity (A), and the stage of fibrosis (F), the latter according to the NASH Clinical Research Network.

Cost, procedure-related complications and intra- and inter-observer variations in reporting the histology are the major draw backs of liver biopsy, and, therefore, it is usually not recommended in clinical practice, except in circumstances where other differential diagnoses are to be excluded.

Treatment of NAFLD

There is no single intervention that is proven to be fully effective in the treatment and cure of NAFLD. The main goals of treatment are to improve steatosis and to prevent progression of the disease. Intense lifestyle modification and treatment of the risk factors are the cornerstones of disease management. Medical and surgical interventions serve as second-line treatments, or as adjuvants.

Lifestyle interventions

Sustained and effective weight loss through calorie restriction and increased physical activity have been shown to improve liver function and histology in multiple studies.64,65 Both exercise and dietary interventions in isolation or in combination have been shown to improve biochemical and histological parameters of NAFLD. Low-carbohydrate high-fat diet has been shown to be effective in improving all the abnormal clinical and biochemical parameters of metabolic syndrome and NAFLD in multiple studies.66 These dietary interventions are also associated with weight loss in patients. Even without significant weight loss, however, lifestyle interventions were found to improve NAFLD, especially if patients are adherent to the changes.67 Yet, patient compliance issues always represent a challenge to these interventions.

Insulin sensitizing agents

Being a disease associated with IR and metabolic syndrome, insulin sensitizing agents are expected to alter the pathophysiological mechanisms of NAFLD. Metformin and the thiazolidinedione group of antidiabetic agents are the most studied medications in this group.

Metformin

Although metformin use was associated with significant improvements in IR and liver transaminases (AST and ALT), the drug failed to show improvement in the histological parameters, such as steatosis, inflammation, hepatocellular ballooning and fibrosis.68 However, because of the
antidiabetic efficacy, metformin should be considered for patients with T2DM or even prediabetic states and NAFLD. Metformin is found to be safe, even in patients with cirrhosis, and may protect against development of HCC in cases with T2DM and chronic liver diseases.69

**Thiazolidinediones**

These drugs modulate tissue insulin sensitivity through the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR)–γ signalling, and improve blood glucose control. Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone are the agents widely studied in this class of drugs for management of T2DM. Following the controversy about increased cardiovascular events, rosiglitazone use has been much lower in recent years, with pioglitazone being the agent widely used currently. Pioglitazone has been shown to improve the hepatic insulin sensitivity and fatty acid oxidation, and to inhibit hepatic lipogenesis.70 There is moderate quality evidence to suggest the benefits of pioglitazone in improvement of biochemical and histological parameters of NAFLD, although the drug use may be associated with weight gain.71,72 In combination with intense lifestyle modification, this drug should be considered in patients with NASH.

**Antioxidants**

Oxidative stress plays a major role in the pathogenesis of NAFLD and several investigators studied the effects of antioxidants extensively.71–74 Vitamin E is the most studied antioxidant in this group. Supplementation of this was associated with significant improvement in all histological parameters, such as steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning, lobular inflammation and fibrosis, as compared to placebo.75 Vitamin E is used in the dose of 800 International Units daily for patients with NASH, especially in non-diabetic cases.1,74 Although multiple agents such as N-acetylcysteine, betaine, probucol, viosud, and silibinin (milk thistle) have been used in different trials, the use of these agents are not recommended in current clinical practice because of conflicting/insufficient evidence on the benefits.74

**Incretin-based therapy**

There are two main groups of incretin-related drugs extensively studied for use in NAFLD, viz., GLP-1 analogues (e.g., exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide, dulaglutide and semaglutide) and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors (e.g., sitagliptin, saxagliptin, vildagliptin, alogliptin and linagliptin). Both classes of drugs augment the meal-related insulin secretion from the pancreas, along with extra-pancreatic effects on multiple organs that make them very useful for the management of T2DM.75 Use of GLP-1 analogues are associated with weight loss, and DPP-4 inhibitors are weight neutral. Incretin-based therapy is very commonly used in overweight/obese T2DM patients, many of whom suffer from NAFLD as well. Remarkable benefits of both the conditions make this class of agents unique in managing the cases.20

Recent evidence suggests that patients with NASH, particularly those with T2DM, get significant benefits from GLP-1 analogue therapy, with improvement in liver histology and reduction in liver transaminase levels from baseline.76–78 In patients with NAFLD/NASH with or without T2DM, the benefits of GLP-1 analogue therapy may outweigh the risk of use, and, therefore, it should be considered. Although less effective, DPP-4 inhibitors are also reported as effective in patients with NAFLD and T2DM.20,79

**Lipid lowering agents**

Lipid lowering agents are useful for treatment, especially in patients with concurrent dyslipidaemia and NAFLD. A Cochrane review in 2013 reported possible improvements in serum aminotransferase levels and ultrasonological abnormalities in cases treated with statins, although the studies included in the review were small with high risk of bias.80 The review concluded that statins can improve the adverse outcomes related to NASH in patients with concurrent diseases, such as hyperlipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome. A more recent small randomized control trial (RCT) found that rosuvastatin monotherapy could ameliorate biopsy-proven NASH with resolution of metabolic syndrome within 12 months of treatment.81 Unfortunately, the potential for complications associated with liver biopsy makes it difficult to perform large RCTs in patients with NASH.

In experimental models of NAFLD, fenofibrate use was also found to reduce liver steatosis associated with high-fat diet, T2DM and metabolic syndrome.82 Some small clinical studies also showed beneficial effects. However, small sample sizes and lack of histological data limit the validity of these results.83 Multiple RCTs and meta-analyses showed beneficial effects of omega-3 fatty acids both in adults and children with NAFLD83–85.

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) is a molecule secreted by hepatocytes that inhibits uptake of LDL by targeting the receptor for degradation, and which augments lipogenesis.86 Circulating PCSK9 levels have been found to be elevated in patients with NAFLD. PCSK9 inhibitors have been recently shown to be highly effective in reducing hypercholesterolemia in patients with remarkable improvement of the associated cardiovascular risk.87

Because the treatment is expensive, these drugs are often reserved for patients with statin intolerance and familial forms of lipid disorders inadequately managed by full doses of other lipid lowering agents.

**Drugs for weight loss**

Medications that help weight loss may potentially alter the pathogenic mechanisms of NAFLD and may be useful in selected patients. Most of these medications are associated with only modest weight loss benefit and several of them have been withdrawn from the market owing to undesirable side effects.

**Orlistat**

This medication inhibits pancreatic lipase, resulting in fat malabsorption and weight loss as a consequence. Although two previous RCTs showed some beneficial effects of orlistat in patients with NASH, it is not clear if the benefit was related to weight loss conferred by the drug or direct effect.88–90 Therefore, the drug use should be selected for individual patients as per the clinician’s discretion and situation.

**Lorcaserin**

This is an appetite suppressant associated with about 4% weight loss in 12 months when combined with lifestyle...
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changes. Pooled data from three lorcaserin RCTs showed that there was modest reduction in ALT levels and improvement of cardiovascular outcomes in treated patients with NAFLD compared to placebo.

**Naltrexone/bupropion combination**

This drug combination is associated with a weight loss of approximately 5%. Modest reductions in hepatic aminotransferase levels were observed in patients who lost >10% weight in 12 months with higher dose of the combination.

**Phentermine/topiramate**

This combination is also associated with significant weight loss benefit and may be associated with improvement of NAFLD.

**Liraglutide**

High-dose liraglutide treatment (3 mg daily) has been approved by the United States’ Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicine Agency recently for primary management of obesity in patients without diabetes. About 8.5% weight loss has been observed in the treated patients compared to placebo in a major clinical trial, although the data on NAFLD was not available in this study. However, another recent phase 2 clinical trial reported significant improvement of liver histology when 1.8 mg liraglutide was administered to patients. Therefore, high-dose liraglutide treatment also may be associated with the same benefit.

**Other novel agents**

Pentoxyphylline is a competitive nonselective phosphodiesterase inhibitor which raises cyclic adenosine monophosphate and inhibits tumour necrosis factor-α. Both animal studies and clinical trials in humans showed beneficial effects of this novel agent. Although prebiotics and probiotics have been claimed to be useful in the treatment and prevention of patients with obesity and NAFLD, inadequate supporting data from high-quality clinical studies is against recommendation of the use of these medications in normal clinical practice.

Obeticholic acid (OCA) is a synthetic bile acid and agonist of farnesoid X receptor (FXR) that has been recently developed for treatment of primary biliary cirrhosis and has shown promise in the management of NAFLD. FXR is an important nuclear receptor involved in the regulation of bile acid, glucose and cholesterol homeostasis in the human body. Both animal and human studies showed beneficial effects of OCA in the management of NAFLD. Another novel agent elafibranor, a PPAR-α/δ agonist, was shown to improve NASH without fibrosis worsening in patients with moderate or severe NASH compared to placebo in a recent clinical trial. The drug is well tolerated and yields improved cardiometabolic risk profile in patients.

**Bariatric surgery**

Obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery showed significant improvements in both histological and biochemical parameters of NAFLD in a recent meta-analysis. Histological features of the disease, such as steatosis, fibrosis, hepatocyte ballooning and lobular inflammation, as well as reduction in the liver enzyme levels including ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase and γ-glutamyl transferase were observed in patients who underwent surgery. In 2015, based on level B evidence, the Japanese Society of Gastroenterology in cooperation with the Japan Society of Hepatology recommended weight loss surgery as an effective treatment option for patients with NAFLD/NASH complicated by severe obesity for improving fatty changes in the liver and inflammation associated with NASH.

Although there is no clear global consensus from different professional bodies on the indications for recommending metabolic surgery in patients with NAFLD, rapidly emerging evidence may lead us towards such a consensus in near future. The most recently published data from the STAMPDE clinical trial that revealed remarkable improvements in the parameters of metabolic syndrome following bariatric surgery is a good example of such high-quality evidence.

**Liver transplantation**

Recent data suggests that NASH-related end-stage liver disease is the third leading cause for hepatic transplants in the United States and is expected to become the most common cause for liver transplant in 1–2 decades because of the obesity epidemic. The upward global trend in the prevalence of obesity is expected to cause the same health burden in most other regions of the world in the near future. Therefore, liver transplants would become a standard treatment option in a significant proportion of patients with advanced stages of NAFLD.

Based on level B and strength 2 evidence, the Japanese Society of Gastroenterology in association with the Japan Society of Hepatology recommend liver transplant for patients with advanced NASH hepatic failure. The overall survival rates after hepatic transplantation in these patients are almost identical to those receiving transplants for liver failure from other hepatic disorders. However, almost one-third of patients who receive liver transplant for NASH will have recurrence of the disease in the transplanted liver in the absence of intense post-transplant lifestyle modifications.

### Table 1. NASH Clinical Research Network histological scoring system

| NAFLD activity score | Stage 0 | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 | Stage 4 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Steatosis            | < 5%: 0 | Zone 3 | Perisinusoidal and portal/ | Bridging fibrosis |
|                      | 5–33%: 1 | perportal fibrosis |                |
|                      | 34–66%: 2 |                  |                |
| Lobular inflammation| > 66%: 3 | Mild – 1a |                |
|                      | Moderate – 1b |          |                |
|                      | Portal/perportal – 1c |     |                |
| Ballooning of hepatocytes | None: 0 |                |                |        |
|                      | Few ballooned: 1 |                |                |        |
|                      | Many ballooned: 2 |                |                |        |
| NAS score (0–8)      | < 3: not NASH |                |                |        |
|                      | ≥ 5: NASH |                |                |        |

Liver transplants would become a standard treatment option in a significant proportion of patients with advanced stages of NAFLD.
Table 2. Drug classes, main mode of actions and side effects, and level of evidence for use in clinical practice

| Category of drug | Representative drug | Main mode of action | Main/serious side effects | Evidence for benefit in NAFLD/NASH |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Biguanide        | Metformin           | Improved insulin sensitivity | Gastrointestinal upset | Recommended in patients with T2DM and NAFLD (1/4) |
| Thiazolidinediones| Pioglitazone        | Modulate tissue insulin sensitivity through PPAR | Worsening heart failure | Recommended in patients with NASH and T2DM (1/4) |
| GLP 1 analogues  | Exenatide/iraglutide| Suppress appetite, helps weight loss and enhances endogenous insulin production | Gastrointestinal upset | Recommended in obese/overweight T2DM and NAFLD (1/4) |
| DPP 4 inhibitors | Sitagliptin/linagliptin | Enhances endogenous insulin production | Gastrointestinal upset | Suggested in obese/overweight T2DM with NAFLD (2/4) |
| Antioxidants     | Vitamin E           | Reduces oxidative stress | Haemorrhagic stroke | Recommended in patients with NASH and without diabetes (1/4) |
| Phosphodiesterase inhibitor | Pentoxyphylline | Raises c-AMP and reduces TNF-α | Upper gastrointestinal upset | Suggested in NASH (2/4) |
| Statin           | Atorvastatin        | Lowers plasma lipids | Muscle pains and myopathy | Suggested in patients with dyslipidaemia & NAFLD (2/4) |
| Lipase inhibitor | Orlistat            | Decreases fat absorption from intestine and reduces body weight | Diarrhoea | Suggested in obese patients (2/4) |
| Farnesoid XR agonist | Obeticholic acid | Alters hepatic lipogenesis and reduces steatosis and inflammation | Pruritus | Suggested in patients with NASH (2/4) |
| PPAR-α/δ agonist | Elafibranor         | Reduces steatosis, inflammation and fibrosis | Transient increase in serum creatinine | Suggested in patients with NASH (2/4) |

The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system is used to describe the strength of recommendations and the quality of evidence. Strong recommendations are denoted by "Recommend" and the number 1, and weak recommendations by the phrase "Suggested" and the number 2. Cross-filled circles indicate the quality of the evidence, such that ○○○○ denotes very low quality evidence, ○○○○ denotes low quality, ○○○○ denotes moderate quality, and ○○○○ denotes high quality.

Table 2 summarizes some of the therapeutic agents available for management of patients with NAFLD/NASH and the level of evidence for the use of these medications.

Conclusions

There has been an exponential increase in the global incidence and prevalence of NAFLD because of the obesity pandemic. In the absence of therapeutic interventions, significant proportion of cases progress to NASH, with increased morbidity and mortality. Diagnosis of NAFLD often depends on biochemical and radiological investigations, as early stages of the disease are often clinically silent. Management of the disease primarily depends on intense lifestyle changes to lose weight. Insulin sensitizers, antioxidants, incretin-based drugs, lipid lowering agents, weight loss medications, bariatric surgery and liver transplantation are therapeutic options that can be added to lifestyle interventions when necessary for management of cases. Continued research for optimizing management strategies of this common disorder is important for reducing the global burden of NAFLD.
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