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ABSTRACT – A collision avoidance system, also known as a pre-crash system, forward collision warning system, or collision mitigation system, is a sophisticated driver-assistance system that aims to avoid or mitigate the severity of a collision. For this research, collision avoidance system will be fabricating to show that this system can detect avoidance range before apply the braking action to prevent collision. The ultrasonic sensor will be used in this system to detect the avoidance range. In this collision avoidance system, there will be uses of Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) and Complex Programmable Logic Device (CPLD). This research will observe how to implement FPGA and CPLD in the collision avoidance system using VHSIC Hardware Description Language (VHDL). The VHDL will be done in Quartus II 15.0 Software. In this research, Terasic DE-10 Standard board has been used. It contains FPGA microcontroller model Cyclone V SoC 5CSXFCD6F31C6N. Max II board is used because it contains CPLD microcontroller model EPM240T100C9.

INTRODUCTION

There are two well-known digital logic chip type in this world, that is FPGAs and CPLD. In terms of internal architecture, these two chips are clearly distinct. FPGA stands for Field Programmable Gate Array, is a type of programmable chip. This chip is programmed to perform any digital operation [1]. The architecture of the FPGA enables the chip to have a large logic capability [2]. Because of its architecture, it is used in designs that involve a high gate count and have unpredictable delays. The FPGA is referred to as ‘fine-grain’ because it comprises a large number of small logic blocks, which may number in the thousands. Flip-flops, combination logic, and memory are all included [3]. It's made for more advanced applications.

On the other hand, CPLD stand for Complex Programmable Logic Device. This chip is designed by using EEPROM (electrically erasable programmable read-only memory) [4]. It works best in designs with a low gate count. The delays are much more stable and it is non-volatile because it is a less complex architecture. For simple logic applications, CPLDs are often used [5]. It is made up of only a few logic blocks and can achieve a maximum of 100 [6]. CPLDs, on the other hand, are referred to as ‘coarse-grain’ instruments. Because of its simpler, “coarse grain” design, CPLDs are inexpensive and have a much faster input to output time.

In this project, collision avoidance systems will be fabricating using ultrasonic sensor to detect the avoidance range before applied the braking action. In this systems, there will be two kind of chips that will be used. That is FPGA and CPLD. This research will study the implementation of these two logic chips. Since there are two types of Hardware Description Language (HDL), that is VHSIC Hardware Description Language (VHDL) and Verilog Hardware Description Language (Verilog HDL), VHDL will be used for this project.

AIMS & OBJECTIVES

The aims are to design the collision avoidance system that can detect avoidance range before apply the braking action using FPGA and CPLD. The objective of this research are:

I. To develop a collision avoidance system using FPGA and CPLD.
II. To compare FPGA and CPLD.
RELATED WORK

Collision avoidance systems are implemented in a wide range of applications and in a variety of conditions. Radar, lidar, and vision sensors are common sensors used to identify obstructions. Based on research by J. Jansson, he stated that sensors in the collision avoidance system monitor the surroundings directly in front of the host vehicle. Based on data from forward-looking sensors, the decision to deploy countermeasures to avoid impending frontal collisions can be taken. Warning signs (audible, visual, or haptic/tactile), braking, and steering are common interventions [7]. An example of braking system is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Example of a Collision Avoidance System](image1)

This research is similar to Design of Fabrication of Automatic Braking System by Ashish Deshmukh, Suraj Singh, Shreesh Shukla and Vivek Bachhav. Their research is about technology that allows vehicle to detect an impending forward collision with another vehicle or an obstacle and automatically apply the brakes. They used ultrasonic sensor and an 8051 microcontroller and the system flow is illustrated in Figure 2. The only different between this research and their research is, this research will use FPGA and CPLD [8].

![Figure 2: Flow algorithm of the Auto-Braking System](image2)

Based on their research, there are few advantages of their system, that is their system is more accurate and quick to respond. The use of mechatronics system makes safe and reliable. Their system can be used to detect obstacles as well as calculate distances, which is useful when parking and driving [8].
METHODOLOGY

Flow Chart of FPGA and CPLD Implementation

Figures 3 and 4 illustrates the Flow Chart of FPGA and CPLD Implementation and Block Diagram for Collision Avoidance System used for this research.

![Flow Chart](image1)

**Figure 3:** Flow Chart of FPGA and CPLD Implementation

**Block Diagram for Collision Avoidance System**

![Block Diagram](image2)

**Figure 4:** Block Diagram for Collision Avoidance System

**Quartus II 15.0 Software**

Quartus II 15.0 Edition software has been used to write VHDL programming. All the simulation has been done in this software. All the program will be uploaded into FPGA and CPLD using this software. Quartus II 15.0 Edition software need to be used in this research because this software can run the FPGA model Cyclone V SoC 5CSXFC6D6F31C6N and CPLD model EPM240T100C5 which will be use in this research.
**VHDL Programming**

```vhdl
entity DistanceSafety is
  Port ( pulse_pin : in STD_LOGIC;
          Trigger_pin : out STD_logic;
          clk : in STD_LOGIC;
          tossegA : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegB : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegD : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegE : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegF : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegG : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegA1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegB1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegC1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegD1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegE1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegF1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          tossegG1 : out STD_LOGIC;
          pwm1, pwm2, pwm3, pwm4 : out STD_LOGIC);
end DistanceSafety;
```

**Figure 5:** Entity ‘DistanceSafety’

Figure 5 above shows the entity of the program. It showed the input and the output of the system. The clock signal which is clk, is a signal that controls the speed of a flip flop (or a set of flip flops) in a digital circuit. The clock signal will activate all the flip flops and RAM blocks according to the clock frequency. For the pulse_pin and Trigger_pin, they were act as a port for ultrasonic sensor. Trigger_pin act as transmitter while pulse_pin act as receiver.

As for output port tossegA, tossegB, tossegC, tossegD, tossegE, tossegF, tossegG, tossegA1, tossegB1, tossegC1, tossegD1, tossegE1, tossegF1, and tossegG1 they were act as port for 7-segment display. In this research, two 7-segment displays are used to display the range of the collision avoidance system from the obstacle. The range that was display on 7-segment displays will be in cm.

pwm1, pwm2, pwm3 and pwm4 are acted as Pulse-Width Modulation (PWM). PWM are used to control the speed of DC motor. This system used two DC motor. Port pwm1 and pwm2 are connected to DC motor 1 while pwm3 and pwm4 are connected to DC motor 2. The speed of the motors is set according to certain distance.

**The System Implementation for FPGA**

Figures 6 and 7 shows the pin planner and program upload of the FPGA.

**Figure 6:** Pin Planner for FPGA
The System Implementation for CPLD

Figures 8 and 9 shows the pin planner and program upload of the CPLD.

Figure 7: Programmer Upload for FPGA

Figure 8: Pin Planner for CPLD
Figure 9: Programmer Upload for CPLD

SignalTap II Logic Analyzer Editor

Figure 10 illustrate the SignalTap II Logic Analyzer Editor for FPGA.

Figure 10: SignalTap II Logic Analyzer Editor for FPGA

The hardware used are the Terasic DE-10 Standard board, Max II board, L298N, HC-SR04, Common Anode 7-Segment Display and DC Motor. The integration of hardware is shown in Figures 11 and 12 below.

Hardware Implementation

Figure 11: Hardware Implementation for FPGA
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flow Elapsed Time

Based on both result in Figure 13 and 14 shows that Flow Elapsed Time result for FPGA takes longer than CPLD. For FPGA, the longest time taken is 1.03 minutes which is used for Fitter process while the total time taken for Flow Elapsed Time is 2.07 minutes. Fitter process is used for aligns the project’s logic and temporal requirements with the target device’s available resources. It chooses the ideal logic cell placement for routing and timing for each logic function, as well as appropriate interconnection pathways and pin assignments.

For CPLD, the total time taken for Flow Elapsed Time is 0.23 minutes. The longest process is Analysis and Synthesis, which is 0.15 minutes. Analysis and Synthesis is a procedure that checks for logical completeness and consistency in a project, as well as boundary connectivity and syntax problems. It also synthesize and maps the logic in the design entity or project’s files to technology. Flip flops, locks, and state machines are all inferred from VHDL. It generates state assignments for state machines and makes resource-saving decisions.

The Assembler process is a process to generates programming files. It converts Fitter’s device, logic cell and pin assignments into a programming image such as Programmer Object Files (.pof) for CPLD or SRAM Object Files (.sof) for FPGA. For FPGA, it takes 0.11 minutes for the Assembler process while for CPLD, it only takes 0.01 minutes.
Figure 15: Resource Usage Summary for FPGA

Figure 15 displays the Resource Usage Summary for FPGA. LUT is a Lookup Table while ALUT is an Adaptive Lookup Table. Cyclone V contains two combinational logic LUTs and two registers, which are linked together to form a Combinational ALUT/register. In this FPGA, the number of Combinational ALUT use are 480. Combinational ALUT usually have up to 7 input function. The total memory block that has been used in this FPGA is 2,048 from 5,662,720, which is less than 1%. The total I/O pins for this FPGA is 499, while the number of I/O pin that has been used is 22. Only 4% from the total I/O pin that has been used.

Figure 16: Resource Usage Summary for CPLD

Figure 16 illustrates the Resource Usage Summary for CPLD. For CPLD, the number of LUT input used is 207. The maximum number of input for input function that has been used in this CPLD is 4 input function. The I/O pins that used for CPLD is same as FPGA that is 22 pins. But for CPLD, the total number of I/O pins is 80 and that make the percentage of I/O pin that used is 28%.
The SignalTap II Logic Analyzer showed in Figure 15 shows the value based on reading detect by the ultrasonic sensor. There are two common anode 7-segment displays used in this project. First 7-segment display showed the number 0 because the signal on topsegG1 is “1” while the other signal is “0”. For the second 7-segment display, it showed the number 9 because the signal on topsegE is “1” while the other is “0”. This showed that the result on the SignalTap II Logic Analyzer is same as the result on the hardware. The pwm1 and pwm2 signal is “0” because the motor is not moving since it is in stop range.

For the CPLD, there are no result for SignalTap II Logic Analyzer since the SignalTap II Logic Analyzer does not support the Max II board.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, there are several different between FPGA and CPLD in implementation of Collision Avoidance System. Even though, both of the system using same VHDL programming and same components. Both of the system use L298N motor driver, Common Anode 7-segment display, HC-SR04 ultrasonic sensor and DC motor.

The hardware result showed that the Collision Avoidance System in both FPGA and CPLD function according to the program. As the distance between the system and obstacle become closer, the speed of the motor become lower and the motor will stop before the system make contact with the obstacle. This showed that the PWM method is working.

Based on the research, it showed that FPGA contains logic blocks up to 100,00 while CPLD only contain around hundred logic blocks. For the hardware, FPGA showed a huge advantage over CPLD. One of it is the number of I/O pin. For FPGA, it contains almost 499 I/O pins while CPLD only contain 80 I/O pins. FPGA also have many built-in components such as 7-segment display, LCD and many more. Because of the high number of logic block and high number of I/O pins, FPGA can perform more complex computation and application than CPLD.

Some of the result showed that CPLD also has an advantage over FPGA. During compilation, CPLD only take less time than FPGA. The huge advantage of CPLD is, it has non-volatile storage while FPGA does not have it. It means that CPLD will not lose its configuration when the power is removed. FPGA need to load configuration data every time the FPGA is power ON. CPLD usually comes with small size compare to FPGA. Because of the small size and non-volatile storage, CPLD become more portable than FPGA.
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