Ischemia–Reperfusion Injury and Immunosuppressants Promote Polyomavirus Replication Through Common Molecular Mechanisms
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Background: BK polyomavirus (BKPyV)-associated nephropathy (BKPyVAN) causes renal allograft dysfunction and graft loss. However, the mechanism of BKPyV replication after kidney transplantation is unclear. Clinical studies have demonstrated that immunosuppressants and renal ischemia–reperfusion injury (IRI) are risk factors for BKPyV infection. Studying the pathogenic mechanism of BKPyV is limited by the inability of BKPyV to infect the animal. Mouse polyomavirus (MPyV) is a close homolog of BKPyV. We used a model of MPyV infection to investigate the core genes and underlying mechanism of IRI and immunosuppressants to promote polyomavirus replication.

Materials and Methods: One-day-old male C57BL/6 mice were intraperitoneally injected with MPyV. At week 9 post-infection, all mice were randomly divided into IRI, immunosuppressant, and control groups and treated accordingly. IRI was established by clamping the left renal pedicle. Subsequently, kidney specimens were collected for detecting MPyV DNA, histopathological observation, and high-throughput RNA sequencing. Weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA), protein–protein interaction network analysis, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were used to screen for core genes and underlying mechanism of IRI and immunosuppressants to promote polyomavirus replication.

Results: After primary infection, MPyV established persistent infection in kidneys and subsequently was significantly increased by IRI or immunosuppressant treatment individually. In the IRI group, viral loads peaked on day 3 in the left kidney, which were significantly higher than those in the right kidney and the control group. In the immunosuppressant group, viral loads in the left kidney were significantly increased on day 3, which were significantly higher than those in the control group. Protein–protein interaction network analysis and WGCNA screened complement C3, epidermal growth
INTRODUCTION

BK polyomavirus (BKPyV) is a member of the polyomavirus family, with a small circular double-stranded DNA genome of 5,300 base pairs. The polyomavirus family includes the homologous JC polyomavirus in humans, simian virus 40 in non-human primates, and mouse polyomavirus (MPyV) in mice. BKPyV is mainly activated in immunocompromised individuals and causes BKPyV-associated nephropathy (BKPyVAN), especially in kidney transplant recipients. BKPyVAN is an important cause of renal allograft dysfunction and graft loss. However, our understanding of the pathogenesis of BKPyVAN and the development of effective therapies for BKPyVAN has been limited. The mechanism of BKPyV reactivation and replication after kidney transplantation is still unclear.

BKPyV infection in kidney transplant recipients may be caused by a combination of multiple factors simultaneously. Uncontrollable clinical factors make it difficult to directly study the pathogenesis of BKPyV in humans. To better explore the factors that promote BKPyV replication and the molecular mechanisms involved, studies can only be conducted with the help of animal models. However, the Polyomaviridae family has strong species specificity. BKPyV exhibits a very narrow host range. The inability of BKPyV to cause productive infections in animals other than humans results in the impossibility to directly investigate the pathogenesis of BKPyV reactivation and BKPyVAN in animal models.

MPyV is a close homolog of BKPyV. Both of them have a small circular double-stranded DNA genome of about 5,300 base pairs, and they are more than 70% genetically homologous (1). They both have structurally similar non-coding regions and early and late coding regions and are highly similar in viral gene transcription and viral protein synthesis. MPyV mimics human polyomavirus in many ways. They enter a long-life latent phase after infecting the host during infancy and reactivate under the immunosuppression status. Furthermore, the pattern of distribution of the infected organs and tissues is similar in the two species (2). They mainly infect the urinary epithelium. There is great commonality between BKPyV and MPyV in terms of the mechanism by which the viruses enter host cells. They both bind to the GP1b receptor on the cell surface and enter the cell in an endocytosis-dependent manner and then replicate in the nucleus and release progeny virus particles. These biological similarities suggest that the mouse model of MPyV infection can be used to study the pathogenesis of human BKPyV infection. Han lee et al. established a mouse model of MPyV infection to mimic the BKPyVAN that occurred in human kidney transplant recipients. Their results showed that acute MPyV infection in renal allograft augmented the alloreactive CD8+ T-cell response while maintaining the antiviral CD8+ T-cell response (3). Using a mouse model of MPyV infection, Albrecht et al. demonstrated that the polyomavirus-associated allograft injury was mainly caused by the interplay between viral infection and anti-donor immune response (2). Similarly, Steven et al. found that alloimmune T-cell response induced by MPyV-caused inflammation/injury was the major culprit of polyomavirus-associated allograft injury in mice (2). Therefore, in this study, we established a mouse model of MPyV infection by intraperitoneal injection to simulate BKPyV infection in humans.

Large prospective clinical studies have highlighted that immunosuppressants (IS), especially tacrolimus and mycophenolic acid, and renal ischemia–reperfusion injury (IRI) are risk factors for BKPyV infection after kidney transplantation. In clinical scenarios, kidney transplant recipients often suffer from both IRI and IS simultaneously, making it impossible to separately evaluate the effects of IRI and IS on promoting polyomavirus replication in humans. In the present study, we separately administered IRI and IS treatment to a mouse model of MPyV infection to assess the role of each factor in promoting polyomavirus replication. High-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) were used to screen for core genes involved in the process of promoting MPyV replication by IRI and IS. Finally, common signaling pathways of IRI and IS promoting MPyV replication were obtained by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis.

Abbreviations: BKPyV, BK polyomavirus; BKPyVAN, BK polyomavirus-associated nephropathy; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FN1, fibronectin 1; IRI, ischemia–reperfusion injury; IS, immunosuppressants; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MPyV, mouse polyomavirus; WGCNA, weighted gene correlation network analysis; PPI, protein–protein interaction; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; TOM, topological overlap measure.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Mice
Newborn male C57BL/6 mice were provided by Guangdong Laboratory Animals Monitoring Institute and housed in specific pathogen-free animal facilities. All experiments involving animals were conducted following the National Guidelines for Ethics Review of Laboratory Animal Welfare. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangdong Laboratory Animals Monitoring Institute (No. I-IACUC2019006).

Virus Culture, Isolation, and Measurement

Virus Culture
The culture method refers to our previous published paper (4). MPyV LID-1 strain (ATCC VR-252) was propagated in BHK-ATCC cells. After 1-h adsorption, a serum-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) was added. The cultures were then incubated in a 37°C/5% CO2 humidified incubator. When 70% of the cells showed obvious cytopathic effects, the cultures were harvested, thawed, and refrozen three times. Subsequently, samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 4,000 rpm, and the supernatant was collected.

Virus Isolation and Purification
The supernatant was transferred to an ultracentrifuge tube and spun at 41,000 rpm for 2.5 h at 4°C. After that, the cell-free supernatant was discarded, and the bottom sediment was resuspended and washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Sucrose solutions with gradient concentrations of 10%, 25%, 45%, and 60% were prepared with PBS. Three milliliters of 10%, 3 ml of 25%, 2 ml of 45%, and 2 ml of 60% sucrose solution were added to the centrifuge tubes. Subsequently, the virus solution was then transferred on top of the sucrose solution. Samples were centrifuged at 27,000 rpm for 3 h at 4°C in an SW41 rotor. After centrifugation, three distinct white bands from the interfaces at 10%–25%, 25%–45%, and 45%–60% sucrose layers were aspirated and subsequently diluted with PBS. Samples were centrifuged at 27,000 rpm for 2 h in an SW41 rotor. After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. The precipitate was re-suspended with PBS and then stored in a refrigerator at −80°C.

Detection and Quantification of Viral Load
Viral load was detected by fluorescence quantitative PCR. Under the established quality control condition, samples were considered negative for MPyV DNA if there was no fluorescence amplification curve but defined as positive if fluorescence amplification curve was observed and Ct value ≤35.

Mouse Polyomavirus Infection

Mouse Model
In this study, there were two independent batches of mice. The first batch of mice was mainly used to verify whether MPyV could establish latent infection in mice. One-day-old male C57BL/6 mice were intraperitoneally injected with 30 μl of MPyV (10^5 copies/μl). On 0, 3, 10, 24, 35, 60, 80, and 100 days post-injection, three mice were randomly sacrificed at each time point, and blood and kidney specimens were collected for detecting MPyV DNA.

Subsequently, we established intrarenal MPyV latent infection in the second batch of newborn mice using the method described above. At week 9 post-infection, all mice were randomly divided into IRI (n = 20), IS (n = 20), and control (n = 20) groups and treated accordingly. In the IRI group, IRI was established by clamping the left renal pedicle for 30 min. In the IS group, tacrolimus (2.5 mg/kg) and mycophenolic acid (50 mg/kg) were administered by gastric gavage once daily. No intervention was done in the control group. The animal model and experimental design are shown in Figure 1. On 3, 7, 10, 14, and 21 days post-treatment, four mice were randomly sacrificed at each time point according to the predesigned labeling method, and kidney specimens were collected. Plasma and kidney specimens for MPyV-DNA testing were stored at −20°C. Kidney specimens for high-throughput RNA-seq were stored in liquid nitrogen. In this study, direct evidence of MPyV replication in kidney tissue was detected by quantitative PCR for MPyV DNA.

Histological Evaluation
Kidneys were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. The paraffin sections of 3-μm thickness were stained with H&E. The slides were observed by an experienced renal pathologist (S-CY) in a single-blind fashion to evaluate the morphology evidence of IRI and polyomavirus infection. IRI mainly presented as acute renal tubular injury, which was defined as tubular dilatation, epithelial swelling, flattening, sloughing, or coagulative necrosis in non-atrophic tubules. MPyV infection was histopathologically defined as the presence of viral inclusion bodies.

RNA Isolation, Library Preparation, and Sequencing
Deep sequencing of transcriptional profiling in kidney tissues was performed on day 3 and day 7 in the IRI and IS groups, respectively. For RNA-seq analysis, each RNA sample was run in triplicate. Total RNAs were extracted from samples using the RNeasyMini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA quality was assessed by using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). High-quality RNA samples (28S/18S ≥ 2.0–2.2, RIN > 9.0) were used to construct sequencing libraries following a standardized paired-end read procedure on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 Sequencing platform (KeyGEN, Beijing, China). The raw data in FASTQ format were processed using fastp 0.20.0 (5). Clean data (clean reads) were obtained after quality control, adapter trimming, quality filtering, and per-read quality cutting. All downstream analyses were performed on high-quality clean data. Gene expression was quantified using Salmon software 0.11.2 (6). The high-throughput RNA sequencing data reported in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE192576).
Weighted Gene Correlation Network Analysis

WGCNA was performed to select the gene modules significantly associated with IRI, IS treatment, and virus. A total of 8,550 genes in the top 35% of variance were screened from the data set to build gene networks using a scale-free topology model, using the R package WGCNA (7). In brief, we selected the power of beta = 6 for the weighting correlation matrix following an approximate scale-free topology criterion. Subsequently, gene expression modules with similar expression patterns were identified based on the gene cluster dendrogram and by using the dynamic tree cut method (minModuleSize = 50, mergeCutHeight = 0.3, deepSplit = 1). The unsigned network was used to build the relationships between modules and phenotypes. To identify modules that were significantly associated with the traits of samples, the module eigengenes were calculated and correlated with the groups. Modules with a p < 0.05 and Pearson’s correlation r > 0.4 were considered significantly correlated to the sample trait. Genes in the significantly correlated modules were considered to be putative genes related to the group.

Pathway Enrichment Analysis

KEGG is a database for systematic analysis of gene function, which links genomic information with higher-level function information (8). After genes correlated to the phenotypes by WGCNA were obtained, the R package clusterProfiler (9) was used to perform KEGG pathway over-representation analysis, at a cutoff of p < 0.05.

Protein–Protein Interaction Analysis

The mouse protein–protein interaction (PPI) network used in this analysis was retrieved from the STRING database (version 11.0), with medium confidence >0.7. The PPI network was constructed with genes in the Modules significantly correlated with clinical trials and was visualized using Cytoscape software (version 3.7.1). Hub genes of PPI networks are highly connected nodes with particular biological properties and are more conserved than non-hubs. Hub genes were calculated and identified by Closeness algorithms using cytoHubba analysis (10). Finally, the top 10 genes conditioned on MNC, EPC, and Closeness were screened separately.

Validation Using the Published BK Polyomavirus–Associated Nephropathy Datasets

To test whether the core genes screened in mice with MPyV infection are also expressed in human BKPyVAN, we downloaded two microarray datasets from the Gene Expression Omnibus database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and identified differentially expressed genes by using the limma
package. The microarray dataset GSE75693 included 30 patients with stable kidney transplantation and 15 with BKPyVAN (11). The dataset GSE47199 contained three BKPyVAN biopsies and 11 normal biopsies (12).

**Statistical Analyses**
Continuous data were presented as mean ± SD if normally distributed, or as median and interquartile range if non-normally distributed. Categorical variables were presented as frequency (percentage). Two groups were compared using Student’s t-test and multiple groups with the one-way ANOVA and least significant difference (LSD) tests if normality was assumed. If non-normality was assumed, the Mann–Whitney U test (two independent groups) or the Kruskal–Wallis test (multiple groups) was used. For comparing pre- versus post-treatment, paired t-test was used. Repeated measurements were compared by repeated-measures ANOVA. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Persistent Mouse Polyomavirus Infection in Kidney of C57BL/6 Mice**
Figure 2 shows the viral dynamics in the left kidney and blood after primary infection. MPyV viral loads in the left kidney and blood peaked on day 12 and gradually decreased. MPyV viral loads in the kidney were maintained at approximately $10^4$ copies/mg at and beyond week 9, while MPyV viral loads in the blood dropped below the detection threshold after 80 days. Figure 2 demonstrates that MPyV latent infection was successfully established in mice.

**Ischemia–Reperfusion Injury Promoted Mouse Polyomavirus Replication in the Kidney**
For evaluating the effect of IRI on MPyV replication, 9-week-old mice were subjected to IRI by clamping left renal hilar for 30 min. MPyV viral loads in the left kidney significantly increased after IRI, peaked on day 3, and gradually decreased (Supplementary Table 1). In the control group, viral loads in the left kidney were maintained at approximately $10^4$ copies/mg, where no time trend was observed (repeated-measures ANOVA, $p = 0.196$) (Figure 3A). On day 3 after IRI, viral loads in the left kidney of the IRI group were significantly higher than those in the right kidney ($6.118 \pm 0.467$ vs. $5.180 \pm 0.395$ log$_{10}$ copies/mg, $p = 0.001$) and those in the left kidney of the control group ($6.118 \pm 0.467$ vs. $4.420 \pm 0.207$ log$_{10}$ copies/mg, $p = 0.016$), respectively. Viral loads of the right kidney in the IRI group were comparable to those in the left kidney of the control group ($5.180 \pm 0.395$ vs. $4.420 \pm 0.207$ log$_{10}$ copies/mg, $p = 0.139$) (Figure 3B). We dynamically evaluated renal pathological changes after IRI (Supplementary Figure 1) and found that the process of kidney damage/repair is consistent with the dynamics of intrarenal MPyV viral loads. On day 3 after IRI, typical tubular injuries were observed in the left kidney but not in the right kidney. No obvious pathological injury was identified in the IS group or control group on day 3 (Figure 4).

**Immunosuppressants Promoted Mouse Polyomavirus Replication in the Kidney**
For evaluating the effect of IS on MPyV replication, tacrolimus and mycophenolic acid were intragastrically administrated to the 9-week-old mice daily. After treatment, MPyV viral loads in the left kidney of the IS group were significantly increased and maintained approximately $10^3$–$10^6$ copies/mg (Supplementary Table 1). Viral loads in the left kidney of the IS group were significantly higher than those of the control group at days 3 ($p = 0.015$), 10 ($p < 0.001$), 14 ($p = 0.014$), and 21 ($p < 0.001$) after treatment, but no difference was observed at day 7 ($p = 0.360$) (Figure 3C). On day 3 after IS treatment, viral loads in the left kidney of the IS group were higher than those of the control group ($5.643 \pm 0.602$ vs. $4.420 \pm 0.414$ log$_{10}$ copies/mg, $p = 0.015$) (Figure 3D).

**Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Construction and Analysis**
In this study, the power of $\beta = 6$ was selected as the soft threshold according to the scale-free topology criterion. The topological overlap measure (TOM) was calculated using the adjacency matrix. Based on TOM, a hierarchical clustering tree (dendrogram) of genes was produced using the hierarchical clustering function. We clustered 8,550 genes into five distinct modules using hierarchical clustering followed by dynamic tree cutting (Figure 5A). The Mebrown module (479 genes) was significantly associated with IRI ($r = 0.54$, $p = 0.01$), while the Meturquoise module (2,784 genes) was associated with IS as well as viral replication ($r = 0.7$, $p = 0.0001$) (Figure 5B). Genes that cannot be included in any module were added to the gray module and rejected in subsequent analyses.
Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway Analysis
KEGG pathway analysis revealed that 180 pathways were enriched in the Meturquoise module and 12 pathways in the Mebrown module. The enriched pathways in both modules were mapped to each other to generate eight common pathways associated with IRI, IS, and viral replication (Figures 5C, D), including 1) gastric acid secretion, 2) NF-κB signaling pathway, 3) cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, 4) neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction, 5) extracellular matrix (ECM)–receptor interaction, 6) protein digestion and absorption, 7) hematopoietic cell lineage, and 8) calcium signaling pathway.

Protein–Protein Interaction Network Construction and Core Gene Screening
The PPI network upon the genes from the Meturquoise and Mebrown modules was presented in Figure 6. The top 10 genes were further screened by combining the three local-based methods (MNC, EPC, and Closeness) in the Cytoscape plug-in cytoHubba. Three core candidate genes were obtained by taking the intersection of hub genes in the PPI network, including complement C3, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and fibronectin 1 (FN1). The relationship between the hub genes and the pathways is shown in Supplementary Table 2.

Validation of Core Genes and Signaling Pathways
We verified the expression of the above core genes in BKPyVAN from published databases. The results showed that C3, FN1, NFκB1, and NFκB2 were significantly more highly expressed in BKPyVAN compared with normal transplanted kidneys (Figure 7). EGFR was also higher in BKPyVAN than in controls, although a statistically significant difference was not reached (5.101 ± 0.371 vs. 4.898 ± 0.370, p = 0.112).

DISCUSSION
Numerous clinical studies have described multiple clinical factors associated with BKPyV infection after kidney transplantation (13, 14). Of these, IRI and IS were proved to be critical risk factors. However, it is difficult to individually verify the effect of IRI and IS
because they occur in every kidney transplant recipient. To eliminate the potential confounding biases, we successfully established a mouse model of MPyV infection and separately demonstrated that either renal IRI or IS was sufficient to promote MPyV replication in kidneys. Furthermore, we found that IRI and IS may stimulate MPyV replication through common molecular mechanisms, mainly including EGFR, C3, FN1, and NF-κB signaling pathways. More importantly, microarray datasets of BKPyVAN from two studies (11, 12) also supported our findings, which fully illustrated the extrapolation of our results.

Seroepidemiologic studies show that BKPyV establishes lifelong latent infection in more than 80% of hosts after
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primary infection (15). In this respect, our model of preliminarily established persistent MPyV infection followed by reactivation because IRI or IS was close to the actual clinical scenario of BKPyV infection in vivo. Our results showed that MPyV DNA loads in the kidney peaked at approximately 12 days after primary infection, followed by persistent infection for more than 9 weeks. These results were consistent with the previous report (16).

BKPyVAN mainly occurred in kidney transplant recipients but rarely in other solid organ transplant recipients, although they all received potent IS (17). Thus, it seems possible that there are some renal allograft-specific factors involved in polyomavirus reactivation. One explanation is that the transplanted kidney underwent IRI and cellular regeneration processes. Accordingly, our results demonstrated that IRI alone can promote MPyV replication, which was in line with the clinical observations that prolonged ischemia time contributed to BKPyV infection (18). This also partially explained the increased trend of BKPyV infection in the era of deceased donor transplantation.

So how is IRI linked to polyomavirus replication? Our study showed that the most significant renal tubular epithelial cell damage appeared on day 3 after IRI, followed by cellular repair. This suggested that IRI and polyomavirus replication are closely linked, although the involved mechanisms are not yet clear. Actually, the limited genome size and coding capacity of polyomavirus determine that it has to utilize multiple host cell replication elements to complete the viral replication cycle (19, 20). BKPyV may “hijack” the mechanisms of kidney repair and regeneration to promote its own replication (21). We speculated that renal IRI initiated cell regeneration, thereby creating a more favorable microenvironment for polyomavirus replication (22). Besides, viral loads in the left kidney (clamped) were much higher than those in the right kidney (unclamped) in this study, suggesting that factors promoting MPyV replication were mainly localized in the kidney rather than in circulation.

In addition to IRI, IS has also been proved to be a risk factor for BKPyV infection. Berebbi et al. observed that the kidney of adult nude mice remained non-permissive for polyomavirus replication, indirectly indicating that suppressing host antiviral immunity may not be the only way by which IS promoted polyomavirus replication (23). Tacrolimus was reported to induce obvious renal tubular epithelial cell injury and cellular division (24).
observed that tacrolimus could directly facilitate BKPyV replication, accompanied by an increase in host cellular DNA synthesis (25). These results, together with our findings, suggest that tacrolimus may provide a favorable microenvironment for polyomavirus replication by inducing cellular damage and subsequent DNA synthesis.

In clinical practice, tacrolimus is often not used alone but in combination with other immunosuppressive agents. The combination of tacrolimus and mycophenolate is currently the most commonly used immunosuppressive regimen in kidney transplant recipients. This regimen is most closely associated with polyomavirus infection after renal transplantation (26, 27). Therefore, the initial aim of this study was to use a combination of tacrolimus + mycophenolic acid to mimic the effect of a clinically realistic immunosuppressive regimen on promoting polyomavirus replication.

In addition to tacrolimus and mycophenolate, mTOR inhibitors were previously considered as a protective immunosuppressant in BKPyVAN. *In vitro* study shows that
mTOR1 inhibitors can reduce the expression of the non-coding control region of wild-type and mutant polyomavirus (28). Molecular mechanism investigation revealed that mTOR1 inhibitor (sirolimus) impaired BKPyV replication by binding FK506-binding protein (FKBP12) and inhibiting mTOR-SP6-kinase activation (25). However, Alvarez et al. found that mTOR inhibitors increase polyomavirus large T-antigen expression and directly activate polyomavirus by inhibiting S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 E3 ligase (29). Therefore, the effect of mTOR inhibitors on polyomavirus is not well defined, and more studies are needed to investigate it in the future.

NF-κB signaling pathway has been documented to be involved in oxidative stress, cell differentiation, and tissue repair. In addition, NF-κB, as a transcription factor, also participates in regulating polyomavirus replication (30, 31). A highly conserved sequence binding to NF-κB is present within the early leader region immediately upstream from the early gene initiation codon between nucleotides 9–22 and 25–34 of the BKPyV genome (31). Overexpression of the NF-κB p65 subunit enhanced the transcription level of the BKPyV early promoter (31). Both renal IRI and tacrolimus can induce NF-κB signaling activation (32, 33).

Taken together, our data suggest that NF-κB signaling may be the common signaling pathway mediating IRI and IS to promote polyomavirus replication. Intragraft gene expression profiling of BKPyVAN (34) revealed significantly increased expression of complement factor D, which was a serine protease that cleaved C3b-bound factor B, resulting in the formation of the alternative pathway C3 convertase (35). Complement C3 can also activate NF-κB signaling (36), which was in agreement with our results that C3 was screened as a core gene.

Transcriptome analysis identified EGF, a multifunctional growth factor, as a potential core gene in the pathogenesis of BKPyVAN (37). The possible mechanism is that EGFR can activate the NF-κB signaling pathway (38).

Some studies have uncovered that FN1, a high-molecular-weight extracellular matrix protein, assisted in viral replication, metastasis, and entry, such as HBV (39). Besides, FN1 was reported to activate the NF-κB pathway and increase the nuclear localization of P65 (40, 41). Therefore, exploring the role of FN1 in regulating polyomavirus replication may bring unexpected findings.

Notably, although MPyV is similar to human BKPyV in terms of genetics, structure, prevalence, infectivity, and tropism, there
are genomic differences between MPyV and BKPyV. For example, middle T antigen is encoded by MPyV but not BKPyV, while agnoprotein is expressed by BKPyV but not MPyV. These heterogeneities may lead to differences in pathogenicity and immunogenicity between the two viruses. It is for this reason that we compared the microarray datasets of human BKPyVAN and stable transplanted kidneys (Figure 7). The results showed that those core genes that may be involved in promoting MPyV replication were similarly increased in BKPyVAN.

The present study has some limitations. In this study, the core genes that promote MPyV replication were screened out by animal experiments and bioinformatics analysis. However, the extent to which these genes contribute to polyomavirus replication needs to be further investigated. Besides, viral genomes and structural proteins of MPyV and BKPyV are not 100% identical. These heterogeneities may lead to differences in pathogenicity and immunogenicity between the two viruses. The conclusions drawn from MPyV in mice cannot be directly extrapolated to BKPyV in humans.

In conclusion, this study investigating MPyV replication using a mouse model of MPyV infection can provide informative clues for further understanding the mechanisms of polyomavirus activation. Screening for specific inhibitors or blockers targeting these core genes will provide promising avenues for combating polyomavirus infection.
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