Healthy lifestyle and normal waist circumference are associated with a lower 5-year risk of type 2 diabetes in middle-aged and elderly individuals

Results from the healthy aging longitudinal study in Taiwan (HALST)
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Abstract

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) is known to be closely associated with lifestyle and obesity and has a prevalence that increases with age. This study aimed to assess the short-term composite effect of diet, physical activity, psychosocial health, and waist circumference (WC) on the incidence of DM in the elderly and to provide a lifestyle-based predictive index.

We used baseline measurements (2009–2013) of 5349 community-dwelling participants (aged 55 years and older, 52% female) of the Healthy Aging Longitudinal Study in Taiwan (HALST) for fasting plasma glucose, HbA1C, serum cholesterol, triglycerides, blood pressures, WC, and outcomes of home-visit questionnaire. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to identify participants with a healthy lifestyle (HLF: higher diet, physical activity, and psychosocial scores) and a lower WC, with cutoffs determined by the receiver-operating characteristics. A Cox regression model was applied to 3424 participants without DM at baseline by linking to their National Health Insurance records (median follow-up of 3.1 years).

In total, 247 new DM cases (7.2%) were identified. The HLF and lower WC group had a relative risk (RR) of DM of 0.54 (95% CI 0.35–0.82) compared to the non-HLF and higher WC group. When stratified by the presence of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or metabolic syndrome (MS), only participants with IGT/MS showed significant risks (RR 0.55; 95% CI 0.33–0.92). However, except for WC, the individual lifestyle factors were nonsignificant in the overall model without PCA.

A composite protective effect of HLF and normal WC on DM within 5 years was observed, especially in those with IGT or MS. Psychosocial health constituted an important lifestyle factor in the elderly. The cutoffs identified could be used as a lifestyle-based risk index for DM. Maintaining an HLF to prevent DM is especially important for the elderly.

Abbreviations: CHOL = total cholesterol, DASH = Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension, DM = diabetes mellitus, HALST = Healthy Aging Longitudinal Study in Taiwan, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HLF = healthy lifestyle, IGT = impaired glucose tolerance, MS = metabolic syndrome, NHI = National Health Insurance, OR = odds ratio, PCA = principal component analysis, RR = relative risk, SBP = systolic blood pressure, WC = waist circumference.
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1. Introduction

A lack of exercise, an unhealthy diet, and obesity are closely associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), which is also considered a lifestyle disease. Aging is associated with an increase in insulin resistance and postprandial hyperglycemia, which are prominent characteristics of DM in the elderly. It has also been shown that elderly men who are physically inactive or obese or who consume a high-carbohydrate diet are associated with a higher risk of metabolic syndrome (MS). Globally, it is estimated that 3.8 million people will die of DM and its complications each year, and with the increase in the age of the population and the rates of overweight and obese people worldwide, the prevalence of DM is expected to increase by 39% between 2000 and 2030, up to 366 million. The proportion of the population aged 65 years and older was approximately 12% in 2014 and is projected to reach 41% in 2061, a rapid increase that is following a global trend. The effects of modifiable lifestyle factors on DM with aging have thus become an important issue for preventive medicine and related health policies.

Many epidemiological studies and randomized clinical trials have suggested that lifestyle interventions, such as smoking and exercise, have beneficial effects, especially in high-risk patients with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). A community-based study reported that a lifestyle intervention reduced weight and improved diet and physical activity at 12 months among 50- to 65-year-old participants at high risk of developing DM. However, home-based physical activity counseling may be insufficient to improve glycemic indicators in older adults with IGT. Although depression and poor structural social support have been shown to be associated with an increased risk of DM, psychosocial behaviors are seldom considered as a modifiable lifestyle factor.

In this study, we investigated the cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between healthy lifestyle (HLF) and DM among middle-aged and elderly participants in the Healthy Aging Longitudinal Study in Taiwan (HALST). Psychosocial health, physical activity, diet, smoking, alcohol consumption, and waist circumference (WC) were considered to be lifestyle factors.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The HALST was designed as a multisite longitudinal cohort study, with assessments including home interviews and hospital-based clinical examinations every 5 years. In addition, a telephone inquiry about new cardiovascular and frailty-related events was conducted annually after recruitment. Seven townships, representing the spectrum of sociodemographic characteristics in Taiwan, were chosen, including 2 in the north (Taipei, Yangmei), 2 in the center (Miaoli, Changhua), 2 in the south (Chiayi, Kaohsiung), and 1 in the east (Hualien). All eligible residents were identified from the household registry archives and recruited through a systematic sampling method. Older adults were over-sampled to ensure a sufficient number of the elderly, whereas gender and educational level were sampled based on the demographic distribution of the site. Approximately 700 residents aged 55 years and over who were living within a 2-km radius of a local hospital in each township participated in the study, for a total number of participants close to the targeted sample size of 5000. Individuals with any of the following conditions were excluded: highly contagious infectious diseases including scabies and open tuberculosis; severe illnesses including currently treated cancer; physician-diagnosed dementia; state of being bedridden and/or too frail to stand and walk; severe mental disorder or cognitive impairment; mental retardation or severe hearing loss; hospitalization; or institutionalization.

Between January 2009 and March 2013, a total of 5349 participants (2551 men and 2798 women) from the HALST study were analyzed for DM status and cross-sectional association with an HLF. For longitudinal analyses, 3424 participants who did not have DM at baseline were linked to their personal National Health Insurance (NHI) records until the end of 2013 to monitor for DM-related events: 4890 agreed to be linked, 11 had missing NHI records, and 1454 had DM at baseline. The median follow-up period was 3.1 years (minimum 3 days, maximum 4.9 years). Participants were defined as a DM case if their fasting glucose level was ≥126 mg/dL, their HbA1c ≥6.5% was 47.3 mmol/mol, they were diagnosed with DM by a medical doctor, or they were receiving treatment for an elevated glucose level. Participants were defined as having IGT if their fasting glucose level was between 100 and 125 mg/dL, and they were considered a case of MS if they met the clinical guidelines of the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III, modified for Asian populations). New cases of DM were determined by one of the following criteria: hospitalization for diabetes-related illness (ICD-9-CM 250.xx) or prescription of antidiabetic drugs during follow-up; at least 1 prescription of oral antidiabetic agents and 1 ambulatory visit for diabetes-related illness within 1 year (ICD-9-CM 250.xx or A181, an abridged diabetes code used by physicians in Taiwan prior to 2000 for ambulatory visits); or at least 3 ambulatory visits for diabetes-related illness within 1 year. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the National Health Research Institutes of Taiwan.

2.2. Measurements

During the home visits, a questionnaire was administered to establish the participant’s age, gender, physical health status, medical history, occupation, income, and lifestyle factors, including smoking history, alcohol consumption, physical activity, diet, social network, cognition, and mental and psychological health. Participants who had smoked fewer than 5 packs of cigarettes in their lifetime were classified as nonsmokers. Women who consumed between 0 and 15 g of alcohol daily and men who consumed between 0 and 30 g of alcohol daily were defined as nonalcohol drinkers. A physical examination, including measurements of height, weight, WC/HC circumference, WC/HC, and seated blood pressure, was performed by centrally trained staff at the designated hospital following the home visit. The total cholesterol (CHOL), high/low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL/C/LDL-C), uric acid, glucose, and triglycerides levels were determined from fasting blood samples.

2.3. Diet, physical activity, and psychosocial health

The Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) score was calculated for each participant’s diet based on a food frequency questionnaire. DASH scores range from 8 to 40 and favor a high
average intake of fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, low-fat dairy products, and whole grains, with a low intake of sodium, sweetened beverages, and red and processed meats. Participants were asked about specific exercises and laborious work during the previous year. For each self-reported item, the frequency per month, week, or day; duration in minutes and hours; and months performing the physical activity were recorded, including the effect on breathing (not affected, accelerated a bit, wheezy, or hardly able to breathe) and sweating (none, a little, or a lot). The recorded activities were converted to metabolic equivalent task (MET)-minutes. For each participant, the mean total energy consumption in kcals per week was calculated as the sum of the MET score (1 MET is equivalent to an energy consumption of 1.2 kcal per minute for an adult weighing 70 kg) of the specific physical activity multiplied by the frequency and duration in the past 2 weeks and body weight on average. Participants were defined as having a disability if they did not complete the 6-minute walk test and did not respond to the questionnaires on exercise and laborious work.

A psychosocial health score (maximum 99 points) was created based on the sum of the score of the questionnaire items on social networks (maximum 39 points) and the score for psychological health status (maximum 60 points). Participants were evaluated on their interactions with neighbors, friendliness with neighbors, involvement in community activities, and time spent with family members, relatives, and close friends, with 1 to 5 points awarded for the 1st 3 items and 1 to 8 points for the next 3 items. Psychological health status was determined from the psychosocial health score, which was the total score of the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale questionnaire.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The differences between the DM and non-DM participants at baseline were examined using chi-square test (for categorical variables) or t test (for continuous variables). To assess the possible heterogeneity by age, stratified analyses were performed separately for participants aged 55 to 64, 65 to 74, and 75 years and older (75+). For cross-sectional associations between HLF and IGT and between HLF and DM at baseline, a generalized linear mixed model was applied with study sites as the random effects to assess for possible heterogeneous effects by site. Possible confounding variables, including gender, age group, education, and income, were adjusted for in the model. Additional cardiovascular risk factors, such as systolic blood pressure (SBP), CHOL, and medications for SBP and CHOL, were adjusted for in a separate analysis to control for these possible intermediate cardiovascular risk factors.

To assess the composite effect of the combined lifestyle factors of diet score, physical activity, psychosocial score, and WC, a principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the baseline measurements of 4879 participants and their linked NHI records for dimension reduction. The loadings of the 1st factor (F1) mainly consisted of diet, physical activity (log-transformed), and psychosocial score, whereas the loadings of the 2nd factor (F2) were exclusively WC for each of the age and gender subgroups (see Table S1, Supplementary Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545), accounting for approximately 34% and 25% of the total variations, respectively. Therefore, participants with higher factor loadings on F1 and lower loadings on F2 (group 0) were presumed to be at a lower risk of DM compared with participants at a higher risk with lower F1 and higher F2 loadings (group 1). The cutoffs for F1 and F2 were determined by the maximum sum of the sensitivity and specificity of DM at baseline using the receiver-operating characteristic (see Table S1, Supplementary Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545). Separate cutoffs were applied to the factors stratified by age and gender were determined in the same manner. A Cox regression model for time to new DM cases was applied to the 3425 participants who did not have DM at baseline. In addition, for the 1737 participants who were either in the low-risk group (group 0, n = 1444) or high-risk group (group 1, n = 293), a Cox regression model was applied to compare group differences. Participants with IGT or MS and those without IGT and MS at baseline were further analyzed separately. The analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the study population stratified by DM status and age groups are listed in Table 1. The prevalence of DM increased with age, such that 23% of the 55- to 64-year-old age group and 32% of the 75+ age group were considered DM cases, which is similar to the figures for the elderly U.S. population. Although individuals with DM had a higher body mass index (BMI) and WC than individuals without DM, they were, on average, not obese (the overall mean BMI for the DM participants was 25.6 kg/m²). There was a significant difference in DM prevalence by gender and site in the 55 to 64 age group, but not in the 65 to 75 or 75+ age groups. Women had a lower mean WC than men in the 55 to 64 age group, but not in the older age groups. DM participants tended to have slightly higher SBP measurements, whereas their association with diastolic blood pressure measurements was reversed. For all age groups, the CHOL, LDL-C, and HDL-C levels for individuals without DM were higher than those of individuals with DM. As expected, the CHOL to HDL-C ratio (CHOL/HDL-C) and triglyceride levels were significantly higher for participants with DM in all age groups. Non-DM participants had significantly higher physical activity, diet, and psychosocial scores compared to DM participants in the 75+ age groups, while the associations of diet and psychosocial scores were significant only in the 55 to 64 and 65 to 74 age groups, respectively. Noticeably, the DM participants had even higher mean physical activity scores than the non-DM participants in the 55 to 64 age group (Table 1).

3.2. Cross-sectional associations

We grouped participants with physical activity, diet, and psychosocial scores in the top 30%, 40%, and 40%, respectively, based on the receiver-operating characteristic curve, as having a corresponding HLF factor. Figure 1 shows the DM prevalence in each of the 3 age groups for participants with different HLF numbers (together with normal WC, no smoking, and no alcohol/betel nut consumption). The prevalence of DM decreased consistently with the number of HLFs for all age groups with a significant trend (P < 0.001) (Fig. 1).

To assess the associations between lifestyle factors and progressive DM status (normal, IGT, and DM), we estimated the odds ratio (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval of the non-DM (including normal participants with fasting glucose level < 100 mg/dL and IGT participants) versus DM, IGT versus DM, and non-DM versus IGT participants for each of the lifestyle factors. The results are summarized in Table S2 (see
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of the HALST participants stratified by age group and type 2 diabetes mellitus status.

| Variable          | 55–64 (n = 1628) | 65–74 (n = 2378) | 75+ (n = 1343) | All (n = 5349) |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                   | Non-DM (n = 1253) | DM (n = 375)     | Non-DM (n = 1565) | DM (n = 722) |
| Women, %          | 677 (54.0)       | 171 (45.6)*      | 918 (55.4)      | 387 (53.6)     |
| BMI, kg/m²        | 24.2 (3.3)       | 26.4 (3.8)***    | 24.3 (3.3)      | 25.6 (3.9)***  |
| WC, cm            |                  |                  |                |                |
| Men               | 86.0 (8.2)       | 91.8 (9.9)***    | 86.1 (9.1)      | 89.8 (8.7)***  |
| Women             | 81.5 (9.7)       | 87.8 (10.1)***   | 84.4 (11.0)     | 90.3 (10.5)*** |
| Site, %           |                  |                  |                |                |
| Taipei            | 59.4 (75.4)      | 52 (24.6)        | 221 (73.7)      | 79 (26.3)      |
| Men               | 146 (68.2)       | 68 (31.8)        | 233 (69.6)      | 102 (30.4)     |
| Women             | 179 (77.8)       | 51 (22.2)        | 228 (71.5)      | 91 (28.5)      |
| Waist circumference |                 |                  |                |                |
| Men               | 54.6 (12.6)      | 48.3 (12.3)      | 52.5 (13.3)     | 48.3 (12.3)   |
| Women             | 70.5 (10.5)      | 68.4 (11.0)      | 72.9 (10.8)     | 68.1 (10.4)   |
| SBP, mm Hg        |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 124.9 (16.6)     | 130.1 (17.5)***  | 134.5 (16.4)    | 135.0 (18.0)   |
| w/o med           | 118.8 (16.7)     | 124.1 (16.0)***  | 131.1 (19.4)    | 130.1 (19.9)   |
| DBP, mm Hg        |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 76.5 (10.3)      | 74.1 (10.5)*     | 73.5 (10.2)     | 70.7 (10.1)*** |
| w/o med           | 70.5 (11.0)      | 72.3 (10.9)      | 69.7 (10.5)     | 68.4 (11.0)    |
| CHDL, mg/dL       |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 195.4 (36.4)     | 188.1 (43.6)     | 192.9 (35.8)    | 185.5 (38.2)   |
| w/o med           | 201.2 (35.7)     | 196.3 (39.9)***  | 198.7 (35.2)    | 190.3 (39.8)*** |
| HDLC, mg/dL       |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 51.7 (13.7)      | 44.1 (9.1)***    | 54.6 (12.6)     | 48.3 (12.3)*** |
| w/o med           | 54.8 (14.0)      | 48.0 (12.2)***   | 54.4 (13.7)     | 48.4 (11.9)*** |
| LDL-C, mg/dL      |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 113.8 (35.3)     | 109.0 (39.6)     | 113.2 (32.9)    | 107.6 (33.2)   |
| w/o med           | 123.2 (33.3)     | 120.8 (33.9)     | 121.6 (31.4)    | 116.3 (34.5)** |
| CHDL/CHDL-C       |                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 4.0 (1.0)        | 4.3 (1.1)        | 3.7 (1.0)       | 4.0 (1.1)**    |
| w/o med           | 3.8 (1.0)        | 4.3 (1.1)**      | 3.8 (0.9)       | 4.1 (1.2)***   |
| Triglyceride, mg/dL|                  |                  |                |                |
| With med          | 121.1 (80.0)     | 166.3 (151.9)*** | 113.4 (63.8)    | 145.7 (121.4)**** |
| w/o med           |                  |                  |                |                |
| Physical activity |                  |                  |                |                |
| With disability   | 2103.4 (3245.1)  | 2374.7 (3635.2)  | 1796.3 (2479.7) | 1753.2 (2535.6) |
| w/o disability   | 2107.7 (3427.8)  | 2374.7 (3635.2)  | 1801.6 (2481.6) | 1769.4 (2544.7) |
| Diet (DASH score) | 22.6 (4.2)       | 22.1 (4.3)       | 23.2 (4.1)      | 23.0 (4.1)     |
| Psychosocial score| 80.8 (9.4)       | 80.6 (9.3)       | 81.3 (8.7)      | 80.4 (9.4)     |

* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001. BMI = body mass index, CHDL/CHDL-C = ratio of total cholesterol over high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, CHDL = total cholesterol, DASH = Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, HALST = Healthy Aging Longitudinal Study in Taiwan, HDLC = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, SBP = systolic blood pressure, WC = waist circumference.

Supplementary Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545). In general, each of the HLFs had a protective effect with an OR less than one for the non-DM versus DM and IGT versus DM participants, with the exception of psychosocial health in the 55 to 64 age group. Normal WC had an especially significant negative association with DM status. Notably, a healthy diet was highly protective for participants who were 75 years of age and older. However, there were no significant differences between normal versus IGT participants, with most ORs greater than one, except for a significant association for WC.

3.3. Healthy lifestyle and normal WC on the incidence of DM
After a median follow-up of 3.1 years, the linked NHI records showed that of the 3425 participants without DM at baseline, 247 had developed into DM cases. At baseline, 1973 participants had IGT or MS and 174 of them developed DM (in contrast with
73 new DM cases out of 1452 participants without IGT/MS at baseline). Figure 2 shows the proportions of new DM cases in each of the 4 quadrants formed by the weighted cutoffs of F1 and F2 for the 55 to 64, 65 to 74, and 75+ years age groups. The proportions of DM cases in the upper left quadrant (low risk group 0) were clearly smaller than those of the lower right quadrant (high risk group 1), especially for the 75+ age group. The proportions of new DM cases among participants with higher F1 and F2 (upper right quadrant) and lower F1 and F2 (lower left quadrant) were greater than those of group 0 but smaller than those of group 1. However, the differences varied by age group (Fig. 2).

Table 2 summarizes the results of the Cox regression model applied to the 3425 participants without baseline DM to determine the survival time to DM of different lifestyle factors. Only WC was highly significant \((P<0.001)\) when considered together with diet, physical activity, and psychosocial score in the model without PCA (1st 2 columns). However, when diet, physical activity, and psychosocial score were combined as F1, all 3 models with different cutoff points (models 1, 2, and 3 adopted a cutoff without stratification, a separate cutoff for each age and gender subgroup, and a cutoff weighted by the proportion of subjects in each age and gender subgroup, respectively) showed that the low-risk group 0 had a significantly lower relative risk (RR) of DM than the high-risk group 1 (RRs ranging from 0.50 to 0.63). The results of the models with and without adjusting for SBP, CHOL, and medications for SBP and CHOL were similar. For all the fitted models, there were no significant effects of gender, smoking, or alcohol consumption. The younger age groups, that is, 55 to 64 and 65 to 74, had a smaller RR than the 75+ age group, as expected (Table 2).

A further stratified analysis was separately performed for participants with and without IGT/MS at baseline. During the follow-up, the proportions of DM cases in each quadrant were similar to those shown in Fig. 2 for the overall participants (see Fig. S1, Supplementary Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545). However, none of the HLF factors had a significant RR < 1 for the overall model without PCA. For participants with IGT/DM at baseline, significantly lower RRs of DM were found in all 3 models with different F1 and F2 cutoff selections for those who adopted an HLF and those with a lower WC (group 0). In contrast, the RRs of all 3 models showed no significant effects between groups 0 and 1 for non-IGT/MS participants (see Table S3, Supplementary Content, which lists the RRs for participants with and without IGT/MS at baseline, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545).

4. Discussion

The primary findings of the current study were that middle-aged and elderly participants with a combined lifestyle of moderate-to-rigorous physical activity, a healthy diet, and healthy psychosocial functioning, together with normal WC had a lower RR of DM (0.50–0.63) within a relatively short 3- to 5-year follow-up period compared with participants without these factors (Table 2). This association was particularly evident among those who had IGT or MS at baseline. However, physical activity, diet, and psychosocial score did not have a significant RR when analyzed separately without PCA. PCA of the multivariate lifestyle factors and WC showed that physical activity, diet, and psychosocial score formed the primary factor and that WC was the single secondary principal factor. The cutoffs for factors F1 and F2 could serve as short-term risk predictors of DM for the elderly based on lifestyles and WC. The cross-sectional results suggested that physical activity, healthy diet, and psychosocial scores had an overall positive association with nondevelopment...
Table 2
Relative risk of healthy lifestyle factors and WC on DM incidence.

| Overall factors without PCA (n = 3424) | Model 1: cutoff without stratification (n = 1737) | Model 2: cutoff by age and gender separately (n = 1737) | Model 3: cutoff weighted by age and gender (n = 1737) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Adjusted | Nonadjusted | Adjusted | Nonadjusted | Adjusted | Nonadjusted | Adjusted | Nonadjusted | Adjusted | Nonadjusted |
| Age 55–64 | 0.70 (0.49, 1.01) | 0.63 (0.44, 0.90) | 0.62 (0.37, 1.02) | 0.56 (0.34, 0.90) * | 0.51 (0.30, 0.87) | 0.47 (0.26, 0.89) ** | 0.56 (0.33, 0.94) * | 0.51 (0.31, 0.85) ** |
| Age 65–74 | 1.00 (0.73, 1.35) | 0.96 (0.71, 1.30) | 0.83 (0.55, 1.27) | 0.80 (0.52, 1.21) | 0.62 (0.32, 1.28) | 0.79 (0.52, 1.21) | 0.81 (0.52, 1.25) | 0.78 (0.51, 1.20) |
| Gender (male) | 1.08 (0.78, 1.46) | 1.04 (0.76, 1.43) | 1.33 (0.88, 2.04) | 1.28 (0.84, 1.96) | 1.46 (0.94, 2.29) | 1.47 (0.95, 2.27) | 1.41 (0.91, 2.18) | 1.41 (0.91, 2.18) |
| Nonabdominal (< 5 pack) | 0.93 (0.59, 1.47) | 0.92 (0.58, 1.45) | 0.71 (0.36, 1.38) | 0.70 (0.36, 1.35) | 0.93 (0.48, 1.80) | 0.90 (0.47, 1.74) | 0.61 (0.33, 1.15) | 0.61 (0.33, 1.15) |
| No alcohol/betel nut | 1.02 (1.01, 1.03) * | 1.02 (1.01, 1.04) ** | 1.45 (0.86, 2.49) | 1.46 (0.85, 2.50) | 0.63 (0.40, 0.99) * | 0.59 (0.38, 0.89) * | 0.54 (0.35, 0.82) * | 0.50 (0.33, 0.76) ** |
| Diet | 0.98 (0.95, 1.01) | 0.98 (0.59, 1.02) | 1.33 (0.84, 2.04) | 1.28 (0.84, 1.96) | 0.63 (0.40, 0.99) * | 0.59 (0.38, 0.89) * | 0.54 (0.35, 0.82) * | 0.50 (0.33, 0.76) ** |
| Physical activity | 1.02 (0.98, 1.07) | 1.02 (0.98, 1.07) | 0.59 (0.39, 0.89) * | 0.56 (0.37, 0.84) ** | 0.63 (0.40, 0.99) * | 0.59 (0.38, 0.89) * | 0.54 (0.35, 0.82) * | 0.50 (0.33, 0.76) ** |
| Psychosocial | 0.99 (0.97, 1.00) | 0.99 (0.97, 1.00) | 1.02 (1.01, 1.04) ** | 1.02 (1.01, 1.04) ** | 0.63 (0.40, 0.99) * | 0.59 (0.38, 0.89) * | 0.54 (0.35, 0.82) * | 0.50 (0.33, 0.76) ** |

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. CHOL = total cholesterol, DM = diabetes mellitus, RR = relative risk, SBP = systolic blood pressure, WC = waist circumference.

The participants considered here were those with diabetes at baseline. The participants considered here were those who belonged to the risk group 0 or group 1. The last value of the column was the RR of group 0 versus group 1. Model 1 adopted a cutoff without stratification for age and gender subgroup; model 2 adopted a cutoff for each age and gender subgroup separately; and model 3 adopted a cutoff weighted by the proportion of subjects in each age and gender subgroup.

The study results showed that DM participants had consistently lower DASH scores than their counterparts without DM, especially in the 7+ age group (Table 1 and Table 2). The study participants had consistently lower DASH scores than their counterparts without DM, which makes the DASH score a potential tool for the elderly (Table 2). The study results showed that DM participants had consistently lower DASH scores than their counterparts without DM, especially in the 7+ age group (Table 1 and Table 2).
However, exercises, such as resistance training, require intensive training and supervision, which is not feasible via home-based telephone counseling in older, overweight patients with IGT.[17] The study findings showed that while the association between higher physical activity and lower DM prevalence remained true in the 65 to 74 age group, especially for participants over 75 years old, the association was reversed in the 55 to 64 age group. As 5 of the 7 study sites were rural with relatively higher percentages of laborers, it is likely that the DM participants in the 55 to 64 age group were still involved in more laborious work, of lower socioeconomic status and met fewer criteria for other HLFs. This assumption is supported by the fact that for the 55 to 64 age group, 55% of the DM patients reported involvement in labor work, in contrast with 44% of those who did not have DM (the relative percentages in the 65–74 and 75+ age groups were 47% vs 54% and 48% vs 44%, respectively). Furthermore, we compared the non-DM and physically inactive (<top 40%) participants with the DM and physical active participants (>=top 40%) regarding whether they met other HLF criteria (met >=3 other HLF). The relative percentages were 69% versus 57%, respectively (chi-square test P-value = 0.01). However, the relative percentages in the 65 to 74 and 75+ age groups were not significantly different (72% vs 72% and 68% vs 72%, respectively).

In addition to the common HLFs for preventing cardiometabolic disease,[2,5,17,44,45] we included psychosocial health and other modifiable lifestyle factors of DM. Previous studies showed that stress contributed to the incidence of DM.[18,46] In a 21-year prospective study in Australian women, persistent depressive symptoms were associated with an increased risk of DM.[19] A study in the U.S. also reported that the psychological aspects of social determinants were associated with glycemic control in adults with DM.[47] Our study showed that with the exception of the 55 to 64 age group, participants with DM had a significantly lower psychosocial scores than participants without DM, which was consistent with previous findings. It is worth noting that the level of significance increased with age (Table 1), which indicated that psychosocial health plays an important role among HLFs in an aging population. This fact was further supported by the PCA results, which showed that psychosocial health, together with physical activity and diet, constituted a primary factor in predicting DM in the longitudinal study (Table 2).

Consistent with the cross-sectional results of marginal and nonsignificant associations, the longitudinal effects of physical activity, diet, and psychosocial scores were nonsignificant when analyzed separately in the Cox regression model. This result could misrepresent the importance of the composite effect of HLF in the elderly. Our results showed that when physical activity, diet, and psychosocial scores were aggregated using PCA, together with normal WC, the low-risk group had an RR of 0.50 to 0.63 compared with the high-risk group, which indicates the clinically important role of HLF in preventing DM, especially for IGT or MS patients. In addition to the cardiovascular risk factors of SBP and cholesterol, the cutoffs of F1 and F2 can be used as predictors of the risk of DM based on lifestyle. The risk score identified is similar to those of other studies,[48,49] but is more specific to the elderly and is exclusively based on lifestyle and WC (see Supplementary Content for numerical examples, http://links.lww.com/MD/B545). In contrast with the long follow-up period used in other studies,[49,50] the current study showed that maintaining an HLF and normal WC had a significantly protective effect for DM within 5 years in the elderly.

Because there are currently few large aging studies conducted in Asia, the close link between the HALST study and the Chicago Healthy Aging Study[51] may provide a good opportunity to compare the results of a Chinese population with the results of a Caucasian population. The participants were from 7 sites, including both rural and urban areas across northern, central, southern, and eastern Taiwan, covering the general elderly population across the island. The linked NHI dataset covered over 95% of the entire study population, which enabled us to trace the participants’ incidence of DM to the nearest time of onset and to exclude the misclassified cases at baseline, as this could potentially bias the study outcomes.

There were some limitations to this study. First, the study participants were recruited according to their residential areas rather than by random sampling. The exclusion criteria might also have generated some bias in the representativeness of the participants regarding the general elderly population in Taiwan. Second, physical activity was not validated with an objective measure such as heart rate monitoring. The participants’ estimated physical activity scores also ranged widely and were highly skewed. Habitual physical activity measured by questionnaires is known to have the limited reliability and validity.[52] The physical activity questionnaire used in the HALST follows that of the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), a nationwide survey conducted every 4 years in Taiwan.[53] The METs calculation of various physical activities at different levels adopted by the NHIS, including duration, strength, and frequency, have been validated by expert judgment and actual measurements of the corresponding energy consumptions, as reported by Wen et al.[27,54] Therefore, the validity of the questionnaire in the current study should be well justified. Furthermore, the interviews were conducted face-to-face with the participants during home visits and were collected by well-trained staff, who have maintained long-term relationships with the participants for follow-up studies. The double-check procedure used while entering the questionnaire outcomes for quality control also ensured the reliability of the data. The log-transformed physical activity scores should, however, be able to partially remedy the wide and highly skewed problem. We also reconducted the statistical analyses replacing the physical activity scores with their equivalent deciles. The results were essentially the same (data not shown). Third, there might have been some new patients with DM who were unidentified and accordingly unaware of their disease status and thus did not have corresponding NHI records.

In conclusion, while higher physical activity, a healthy diet, and psychosocial health had nonsignificant or marginal associations with DM individually, the composite of these HLF factors had a clear protective effect among the middle-aged and elderly participants. Maintaining an HLF and a normal WC to postpone the incidence of DM is especially important for the elderly, and the effects can be observed within a short follow-up period, possibly due to the aging process. Psychosocial health constitutes an HLF factor that is important to preventing DM. Together with a lower WC, the cutoffs identified in this study may be used as lifestyle-based risk indices to adjust for these modifiable lifestyle behaviors.
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