INTRODUCTION

Reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) separates analytes based on differences in polarity [1] and is the most commonly used type of liquid chromatographic analytical technique, preferred by the pharmaceutical industry [2,3]. When developing analytical HPLC methods, various parameters have to be considered to achieve favorable resolution, specificity, peak shape, retention time, and total run time [4,5]. Different detectors such as ultraviolet (UV), fluorescence, and mass spectrometry (MS) can be coupled to HPLC. MS detectors have very good sensitivity and selectivity but require skilled expertise to operate and are relatively expensive. UV detectors are often preferred as they are easier to operate, cheaper, and more readily available [6-8].

Optimization of separation of analytes can be achieved by selecting appropriate stationary phase and mobile phase characteristics and appropriate UV detection wavelength. Shorter retention times may be achieved with an increase in temperature of the stationary phase although stationary phase stability can be compromised if temperatures are too high [9,10]. The type and amount of organic modifier, pH of buffer, and flow rate of the mobile phase have an effect on peak shape, retention time, and resolution [11-14]. Chromatographic run times should ideally not be too long for more efficient analyses but not too short so as to compromise resolution and selectivity [15].

The majority of reversed-phase chromatographic analyses operate at pH values in the range of 2-8 [16]. Control of pH of the buffer used in the mobile phase is important when separating analytes can be ionized. pH control can affect symmetry and peak shape which is enhanced when the analytes are present in either an ionized or unionized form. Ionization also affects relative distribution of analytes between mobile and stationary phase, influencing retention time in the process. Phosphate buffers are widely used in HPLC analyses as they are inexpensive, produce good chromatograms, and can be used for a range of pH values since phosphoric acid has three different buffering ranges: pH 1.1-3.1, pH 6.2-8.2, and pH 11.3-13.3 [15]. Methanol and acetonitrile are the most commonly used buffers in isocratic reversed-phase HPLC. Acetonitrile produces less of an increase in pressure and shorter run times when compared to methanol [17,18].

The versatility of HPLC allows for the identification and quantification of a variety of compounds, both of natural and synthetic origin. HPLC can be effectively used to analyze compounds which present in the cannabis plant. Cannabis sativa is a dioecious plant belonging to the Cannabaceae family and has been used for millennia for recreational purposes, as a folk medicine and as a source of textile fiber [19-21]. Following a renewed interest in the properties of the cannabis plant after the description of cannabinoid receptors and the endogenous endocannabinoid system [22], efforts are now being put in trials and research on cannabis for medicinal purposes such as management of epilepsy, pain, and chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting [23-25]. The three most commonly studied cannabinoids known to exert physiological effects are Δ9 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and cannabinol (CBN) [26-28] (Fig. 1).

THC, CBD, and CBN are weakly acidic compounds having pKa values of 10.5, 9.5, and 9.32, respectively [29,30]. At pH values lower than 9.32, THC, CBD, and CBN exist in their protonated form.

A number of chromatographic techniques describing the separation and determination of cannabinoids have been described with reversed-phase HPLC being commonly used for analysis [27,31-33]. Analysis of cannabinoids using HPLC allows for the determination of both neutral and acidic forms of cannabinoids without the need for derivatization [34]. HPLC methods using gradient and elution modes
for the separation of cannabinoids have been described with isocratic elution being favored due to lower cost, ease of use, and no need of column re-equilibration between runs [35-38].

The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of varying pH, acetonitrile composition and flow rate of the mobile phase, and temperature of the stationary phase and wavelength in the development of a comparatively simple and rapid method to separate THC, CBD, and CBN.

METHODS

Mobile phases
Mobile phases were prepared using HPLC-grade acetonitrile (Fisher Chemical, Leicestershire, UK) and phosphate buffer. The buffer was prepared by dissolving anhydrous extra pure disodium hydrogen phosphate (Scharlau, Sentmenat, Spain) in HPLC-grade water (Fisher Chemical, Leicestershire, UK) to make up a solution of 0.02M, and pH was then adjusted by the dropwise addition of HPLC-grade orthophosphoric acid (Fisher Chemical, Leicestershire, UK). pH readings were taken using a Mettler Toledo FiveGo pH meter which was calibrated before every reading using standard Hanna® calibrator buffer solutions at pH values of 4.01 and 7.01. Twelve different mobile phases were used (Table 1).

Sample preparation
Standards of (-)-delta 9-THC 0.1 mg/mL in methanol, (-)-CBD 1.0 mg/mL, and CBN 1.0 mg/mL were purchased from LGC Standards GmbH (Wesel, Germany). Stock solutions of 5 µg/mL of THC, CBD, and CBN were prepared in HPLC-grade methanol (Fisher Chemical, Leicestershire, UK). Equal volumes of the 5 µg/mL stock solutions of THC, CBD, and CBN were mixed in amber-colored flasks.

Instrumentation
An Agilent 1260 Infinity Series® liquid chromatographic system having a quaternary pump and multiwavelength detector was used. The stationary phase used was an ACE® 5 RP C18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size). The temperature of the stationary phase was first set at 25°C. The UV/visible detector was set at 220 nm and 228 nm. Sample volumes of 20 µL containing THC, CBD, and CBN were injected. Before analysis, solutions containing only THC, CBD, and CBN, respectively, were injected to assist with peak identification. Three replicate runs using each type of mobile phase prepared were carried out to ensure precision. Column equilibration was carried out before changing the mobile phase. The flow rate of the mobile phase was set at 1 mL/min. The best mobile phase characteristics according to amount of acetonitrile and pH were identified and then tested at two other different flow rates - of 1.5 and 2 mL/min. Following the choice of the flow rate which gave the best results in terms of resolution and speed of analysis, the temperature of the stationary phase was tested at two other different temperatures, namely 20°C and 30°C, and the temperature giving the best results in terms of resolution and speed of analysis was chosen.

The run times were adjusted for each chromatogram according to the mobile phase used. The average values for the areas under the peak, area percentages, and retention times were calculated for each run.

## Table 1: Properties of the mobile phases used for high-performance liquid chromatography separation of cannabinoids

| Mobile phase number | pH of buffer | Percentage of acetonitrile in mobile phase |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1                   | 2.5          | 70                                       |
| 2                   | 2.5          | 80                                       |
| 3                   | 2.5          | 90                                       |
| 4                   | 3.0          | 70                                       |
| 5                   | 3.0          | 80                                       |
| 6                   | 3.0          | 90                                       |
| 7                   | 4.0          | 70                                       |
| 8                   | 4.0          | 80                                       |
| 9                   | 4.0          | 90                                       |
| 10                  | 6.0          | 70                                       |
| 11                  | 6.0          | 80                                       |
| 12                  | 6.0          | 90                                       |

RESULTS

Wavelength of analysis
Larger areas under the peak were obtained for CBD, CBN, and THC at 220 nm when compared to 228 nm. The areas under the peak for CBD and CBN were larger than the peak for THC for equal concentrations (5 µg/mL) of the three cannabinoids.

Buffer pH
There was no difference in peak shape or area under the peak when pH 2.5 buffer and pH 3 buffer were used. As the buffer pH was increased to 4 and 6, there were some irregularities in the baseline although this did not affect the shape and area under the peak of the three cannabinoids (Figs. 2-9).

Percentage of acetonitrile in the mobile phase
As the amount of acetonitrile in the mobile phase was increased from 70% to 80%, the retention time of the three cannabinoids decreased (Figs. 5 and 6). The retention time continued to decrease as the amount of acetonitrile was increased to 90%, but the peak shape of CBD was compromised and unsymmetrical. The decrease in retention time with a loss of symmetry of peak occurred at all pH values - 2.5, 3, 4, and 6 (Figs. 10-13).

Flow rate of mobile phase
The mobile phase having a buffer pH of 2.5 and 80% acetonitrile was chosen as it gave favorable results in terms of peak shape, size, and retention time. As the flow rate of the mobile phase was increased from 1 to 1.5 to 2 mL/min, the total run time for the analysis of the three cannabinoids decreased from 14.3 to 9.4 to 7.0 min, respectively (Figs. 6, 14 and 15).

Column temperature
There was no difference in the areas under the peak or retention time of CBD, CBN, and THC when the column temperature was changed from 25°C to 20°C and 30°C.

DISCUSSION

Published UV spectra of cannabinoids have shown maximum UV absorption for THC, CBD, and CBN to lie in the region of around...
Fig. 2: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 2.5) and acetonitrile (30:70 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 3: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 3) and acetonitrile (30:70 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 4: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 4) and acetonitrile (30:70 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 5: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 6) and acetonitrile (30:70 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min
Fig. 6: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 2.5) and acetonitrile (20:80 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 7: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 3) and acetonitrile (20:80 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 8: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 4) and acetonitrile (20:80 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 9: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 6) and acetonitrile (20:80 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min
Fig. 10: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 2.5) and acetonitrile (10:90 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 11: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 3) and acetonitrile (10:90 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 12: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 4) and acetonitrile (10:90 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min

Fig. 13: Chromatogram produced using phosphate buffer (pH 6) and acetonitrile (10:90 v/v); detection wavelength 220 nm; flow rate 1 mL/min
220 nm [39]. CBD and CBN have higher absorptivity than THC at the selected wavelength for detection which could be due to a greater degree of conjugation within the molecule.

Although affecting the baseline, increasing the pH of the buffer did not affect peak size, shape, or order of elution of the cannabinoids, and this finding is in agreement with the study conducted by Hazekamp et al. [39]. At a pH lower than their pKa, the three cannabinoids were present in their protonated form and would have been present in their unprotonated form at pHs higher than their pKa. Conversion of analytes from a protonated to an unprotonated form will probably cause shifts in chromatograms due to changes in the amounts of the two forms. The use of a buffer with a higher pH is not usually recommended in RP-HPLC analyses as this can result in solubilization of the silica support present in the column [15].

When larger volumes of acetonitrile were used, the retention time decreased but peak shape was compromised when mobile phases containing 90% acetonitrile were used. As larger volumes of organic modifier were used, there is less interaction of the three cannabinoids with the stationary phase resulting in quick elution, probably due to a shift in the partition coefficient which favors the mobile phase having larger amounts of acetonitrile. Buffering capacity could have been lost at higher percentages of acetonitrile in the mobile phase and having a buffer with a stronger concentration might counteract this [15].

Increasing the flow rate resulted in a decrease in total retention time for the three cannabinoids without a compromise in resolution. Having chromatographic methods which are quick are useful when conducting high throughput analyses [6]. Although changing the temperature did not result in any change in peak size, shape, and retention time, higher temperatures were not used so as to maintain the analytical procedure relatively energy efficient.

CONCLUSION

A rapid and easy to follow HPLC technique using readily-available instrumentation to separate and determine concentrations of THC, CBD, and CBN in a mixture of cannabinoids in methanol are described. Different amounts of acetonitrile result in differences in retention time, peak size, and shape for THC, CBD, and CBN. The best chromatograms in terms of peak shape, peak size, baseline characteristics, and retention time are given when using 80% acetonitrile with a buffer pH of 2.5 and pH 3 at a flow rate of 2 mL/min, detected at a wavelength of 220 nm.

The Expert Committee on Drug Dependence proposed that pure CBD preparations should not be scheduled within international drug control conventions [40]. This method would be useful to meet the recommendations of the United Nations Commission on narcotic drugs for rescheduling of cannabis. A simple and rapid technique using instrumentation which is available in most laboratories, such as the one proposed, can be validated and applied to separate and determine cannabinoids such as THC in CBD preparations.
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