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ABSTRACT

Background: Spatial compartmentalization of metabolic pathways within membrane-separated organelles is key to the ability of eukaryotic cells to precisely regulate their biochemical functions. Membrane-bound organelles such as mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and lysosomes enable the concentration of metabolic precursors within optimized chemical environments, greatly accelerating the efficiency of both anabolic and catabolic reactions, enabling division of labor and optimal utilization of resources. However, metabolic compartmentalization also poses a challenge to cells because it creates spatial discontinuities that must be bridged for reaction cascades to be connected and completed. To do so, cells employ different methods to coordinate metabolic fluxes occurring in different organelles, such as membrane-localized transporters to facilitate regulated metabolite exchange between mitochondria and lysosomes, non-vesicular transport pathways via physical contact sites connecting the ER with both mitochondria and lysosomes, as well as localized regulatory signaling processes that coordinately regulate the activity of all these organelles.

Scope of review: This review covers how cells use membrane transporters, membrane contact sites, and localized signaling pathways to mediate inter-organelle communication and coordinate metabolism. We also describe how disruption of inter-organelle communication is an emerging driver in a multitude of diseases, from cancer to neurodegeneration.

Major conclusions: Effective communication among organelles is essential to cellular health and function. Identifying the major molecular players involved in mediating metabolic coordination between organelles will further our understanding of cellular metabolism in health and lead us to design better therapeutics against dysregulated metabolism in disease.
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1. COMPARTMENTALIZATION OF METABOLIC PATHWAYS AND CELLULAR PROCESSES

Metabolic compartmentalization is found at multiple levels, from distinct organs and tissues with specialized functions to membrane-separated organelles within cells, to further micro-compartmentation through the physical association of multienzyme complexes, or metabolons [1–3]. Complex biological systems, such as multicellular organisms, are organized into structured compartments to achieve division of labor and optimal utilization of resources. A primary example of metabolic compartmentalization is the physical separation of metabolic pathways within membrane-separated organelles. This is beneficial for many reasons. First, subcellular compartmentalization allows for specific microenvironments, which favor optimal activity for specific enzymes. For example, acidic lysosomal pH is optimal for the degradative functions of lysosomal hydrolases [4,5], whereas peroxisomal enzymes have a basic pH optimum and a basic isoelectric point, making them better adapted for the alkaline peroxisomal pH [6]. Second, compartmentalization ensures that some futile metabolic cycles do not take place, allowing for optimized distribution of metabolites that are utilized by multiple pathways. For example, cytosolic levels of malonyl-CoA, the substrate of fatty acid synthase, regulates mitochondrial fatty acid import by inhibiting carnitine palmitoyltransferase. Because fatty acid synthesis and oxidation take place in two different cellular compartments, such regulation prevents cells to engage in futile catabolic/anabolic cycling of fatty acids, which may, instead, be stored as triglycerides in conditions of high nutrient availability [7,8]. Finally, some metabolic reactions produce toxic intermediates which, if contained and concentrated within enclosed compartments, are prevented from causing damage to the cell and can be efficiently removed. For example, ammonium, a toxic by-product of glutamine deamidation within mitochondria, is removed by mitochondrial carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 1 (CPS1) via the urea cycle, preventing its accumulation in the cytosol under normal conditions [9].

2. OVERVIEW OF ORGANELLE COMMUNICATION PATHWAYS

As illustrated through the examples above, compartmentalization of metabolism is important for many reasons. However, for a cell to...
function properly, metabolic pathways compartmentalized to different organelles must be able to crosstalk and utilize products from one pathway as intermediates for another. For example, products from mitochondrial anaplerosis, such as aspartate and citrate, are required to fuel cytosolic pathways, such as de novo purine/pyrimidine synthesis and de novo lipogenesis, respectively [10]. On the other hand, branched-chain amino acids, derived from macromolecular degradation within lysosomes, and acetyl-CoA, derived from peroxisomal fatty acid oxidation, may be used as inputs to the mitochondrial TCA cycle [10] (Figure 1). While inter-organelle exchange of small, polar metabolites occurs primarily via membrane transporters, inter-organelle transport of lipids and ions, such as Ca\(^{2+}\), may take place via targeted organelle-to-organelle interaction mediated by membrane contact sites (MCSs). Finally, signaling pathways that control metabolic function may be localized to specific organelle membranes, such as mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) to the lysosomal limiting membrane, which regulates anabolism versus catabolism in response to local metabolite concentrations.

Figure 1: Overview of inter-organelle communication pathways. Inter-organelle metabolic crosstalk is coordinated by multiple mechanisms, including i) cross-talk between solute transporters for the translocation of small, polar metabolites between organelles ii) physical membrane contact sites, notably for lipid transport and calcium signaling iii) through localized activation of signaling platforms, such as mTORC1 on the lysosomal limiting membrane, which regulates anabolism versus catabolism in response to local metabolite concentrations.
cellular metabolic states. In the next three sections of the review, we will describe how cells use these three modalities — membrane transporters, membrane contact sites, and localized signaling pathways — to mediate inter-organelle communication and coordinate metabolism (Figure 1).

2.1. Metabolic communication via transport across organelle membranes

Regulated metabolite transport across organelle membranes is one way through which organelles facilitate complex biochemical reactions and communicate their metabolic status to one another [11]. Mitochondria, specifically, house several important biochemical pathways required to maintain cellular redox balance, to limit generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), for calcium buffering and iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis, and to drive amino acid metabolism and fatty acid oxidation (Figure 2). Although these pathways primarily occur within the mitochondrial matrix, they require regulated exchange of substrates and products with other compartments including the cytosol, peroxisomes, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and lysosomes (Figure 2). Mitochondria are double membrane-bound organelles, with an inner membrane enclosing the matrix and an outer membrane separating the intermembrane space from the cytosol. The outer membrane is semi-permeable, allowing for free metabolite exchange between the intermembrane space and the cytosol. In contrast, the inner membrane is impermeable to metabolites. Therefore, a host of transporters are required to enable the import and export of the metabolites required to support the metabolic pathways enumerated above [12].

The mitochondrial carrier family (solute carrier family 25, SLC25), consisting of 53 members, is the largest solute transporter family in humans.

Figure 2: Metabolic communication via transport across organelle membranes. Mitochondria are biosynthetic hubs that house several biosynthetic and catabolic pathways. Input and output metabolites of mitochondrial pathways are transported across the mitochondrial inner membrane to the intermembrane space (IMS; permeable to the cytosol) primarily via a host of SLC25-family transporters. Metabolites in the cytosol may arise from import across the plasma membrane, via cytosolic metabolic pathways, or via degradative pathways in other organelles, such as the lysosome or the peroxisome. Amino acid transporters are shown in blue, inorganic ion transporters in green, nucleotide and glutathione transporters in red, carboxylate transporters in purple and grey.
SLC25 members transport solutes, such as amino acids, nucleotides, inorganic ions, fatty acids, and carboxylates, across the impermeable mitochondrial inner membrane [13]. Beside the SLC25 family, other uniporter complexes and the sideroflexin-family transporters also transfer various solutes across the mitochondrial inner membrane [14] (Figure 2). The molecular functions and substrate preferences of a large fraction of mitochondrial transporters have long remained mysterious. Conversely, metabolite uptake assays with purified mitochondria have suggested the existence of metabolite transporters, though their molecular identification has lagged. Recent advances in bioinformatics, proteomics and functional genomics have led to dramatic advances in our understanding of solute transport across the mitochondrial inner membrane.

2.1.1. Nucleotides
Mitochondrial adenosine diphosphate/adenosine triphosphate (ADP/ATP) carrier (AAC) imports ADP into the mitochondrial matrix, where it is converted to ATP by complex V of the electron transport chain (ETC), ATP synthase, and exports newly synthesized ATP to the cytosol to fuel energy-requiring processes [15,16]. There are four AAC isoforms in humans — SLC25A4-6 and SLC25A31 — which are expressed in a tissue-dependent manner [17]. Mitochondrial adenosine may also be transported out by the ATP-Mg/Pi carriers (SLC25A23-25), which carry out the antiport of ATP-Mg (and ATP, ADP, and AMP) and Pi [18,19]. These carriers contain a calcium-regulatory domain (4 EF-hands), an amphipathic helix, and a carrier domain which transports the substrates. The EF-hands mediate calcium sensitivity, such that in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, the amphipathic helix binds to the carrier domain, inhibiting transport; conversely, in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, the EF-hands bind to the regulatory domain, allowing transport to occur [20,21]. Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD$^+$) is an important cofactor in mitochondria, where it provides the reducing equivalents required to connect substrate oxidation by the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to ATP production by oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), mediated by the ETC. Additionally, conversion of mitochondrial NAD$^+$ to NADP$^+$ via NAD kinase 2 (NADK2) is essential for proline biosynthesis [22,23]. Mitochondrial NAD$^+$, in mammals, was long thought to be generated by the import and conversion of nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) to NAD$^+$ [24]. However, all cell types express the mitochondrial enzymes required to convert NMN to NAD$^+$ and stable isolate labelling experiments showed that cytosolic NAD(H) could be directly imported to mitochondria [25]. Analysis of genes coessential with ETC complex subunits and mitochondrial transporters in genome wide CRISPR/Cas9 screens uncovered SLC25A51 as a putative NAD$^+$ transporter [26,27]. SLC25A51-KO was associated with lower NAD$^+$ levels and overexpression of SLC25AS1 in yeast mitochondria lacking endogenous nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide transporter (NDT1/NDT2) was sufficient to re-enable mitochondrial NAD$^+$ transport [26,27], a result consistent with direct transport activity.

Transport of reducing equivalents between the cytosol and mitochondria may not only occur through the transport of NAD$^+$ specifically, but also through a network of metabolic reactions, known as mitochondrial shuttles. There are two major such shuttles — the glycerol-phosphate shuttle, which invokes parts of the electron transport chain to utilize cytosolic NADH to power the conversion of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) to FADH$_2$, and the malate-aspartate shuttle, which relies on the antiport of malate and α-ketoglutarate and that of aspartate and glutamate between the cytosol and mitochondria to carry reducing equivalents from the cytosol to mitochondria, or vice versa [12]. How NAD$^+$ transport via SLC25A51 interplays with other pathways through which mitochondrial NAD$^+$ may be replenished is still an open question.

2.1.2. Amino acids
The malate-aspartate shuttle relies on SLC25A12/SLC25A13 for the import of glutamate and a proton into mitochondria and the export of aspartate. In proliferating cells, a major function of the ETC is to provide electron acceptors to boost nutrient oxidation by the TCA cycle and increase aspartate synthesis [28,29]. Aspartate synthesized in mitochondria relies on SLC25A12/SLC25A13 to be exported to the cytosol to promote various biosynthetic pathways, including nucleotide biosynthesis, redox balance, and asparagine production, required for aberrant proliferation, such as in cancer [30–32].

The glutamate-aspartate antiporters are also required for the urea cycle. Defects in SLC25A13 causes adult-onset type II citrullinemia — a genetic disorder associated with increased plasma ammonia, citrulline and arginine levels — due to a dysfunctional urea cycle [33]. Nitrogen released from mitochondrial amino acid de-amination actions need to be cleared by the urea cycle. The urea cycle resides partially in mitochondria and partially in the cytosol and, to function properly, requires the one-to-one antiport of ornithine into mitochondria and, the conversion product, citrulline out of mitochondria. This transport activity is catalyzed by SLC25A15, mutations in which lead to hyperornithinemia-hyperammonemia-hypercitrullinuria (HHH) syndrome [34].

Glutamine, glutamate, and branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs), valine, isoleucine, and leucine are not only nitrogen donors but also directly contribute carbons to the TCA cycle. Although the identity of mitochondrial glutamine transporters has long been a mystery, it was recently found that a variant of the plasma membrane glutamine transporter, SLC1A5 (also known as ASCT2) localizes to the mitochondrial inner membrane upon induction of expression mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-2α [35]. One outcome of the hypoxia program is increased glutathione (GSH) synthesis to manage ROS; upregulation of glutaminolysis by increased mitochondrial glutamine import by SLC1A5 could help support the increased requirement for GSH in solid tumors, which often display low vascularity and regions of hypoxia.

Glutamate transport from the cytosol to mitochondria relies not only on SLC25A12/SLC25A13, but also two other unidirectional glutamate transporters, SLC25A18 and SLC25A22, which are expressed in humans in a tissue-dependent manner [36]. Finally, transcriptional analysis of cold-exposed brown adipose tissue (which have upregulated BCAA uptake and catabolism to increase thermogenesis) revealed that SLC25A44 is a mitochondrial BCAA transporter [37].

One-carbon (1C) metabolism is comprised of a series of interlinking metabolic pathways that include methionine and folate cycles and altogether provide 1C (methyl) units for DNA, polyamine, amino acid, creatine, and phospholipid synthesis. Like the malate-aspartate shuttle and the urea cycle, 1C-metabolism also relies on a network of pathways residing both in the cytosol and in mitochondria. However, unlike the urea cycle, the mitochondrial and cytosolic enzymes of the 1C-metabolism pathway are seemingly redundant. Stable-isotope tracing studies suggest that mitochondria-generated formate is the main contributor to 1C units for biosynthetic pathways in cancer and that there is a specific directionality to the pathway [38–40]. Cytosolic serine enters mitochondria and is converted to glycine, 10-formyltetrahydrofolate (THF), and formate. Glycine and THF are exchanged between mitochondria and cytosol via SLC25A38 and SLC25A32 activities, respectively. A genetic screen under serine starvation in cells without cytosolic serine hydroxymethyltransferase 1 (SHMT1) revealed that sideroflexin 1 (SFXN1) is a multi-pass inner mitochondrial
membrane protein that transports serine and is an integral component of 1C-metabolism [41].

Folate, a product of serine/glycine metabolism, is a vitamin cofactor required for many biochemical processes, including nucleotide, amino acid, and methyl biosynthesis; use of antifolates, such as methotrexate have thus been useful in treating cancers that rely on anabolic pathways to support proliferation [42]. Interestingly, recent studies in cancer cells grown under physiological folate concentrations revealed that there is diversity in mitochondrial vs cytosolic utilization of the 1C-pathway, largely depending on the expression and activity of SLC19A1, the plasma membrane reduced folate carrier [43].

The lysosome, as a major proteolytic compartment, in particular poses a likely source for the amino acids required for mitochondrial pathways. The limiting membrane of the lysosome contains specialized permeases that export the products of macromolecular breakdown from the lysosomal lumen to the cytosol. Examples include SLC36A1, a proton-coupled transporter for neutral amino acids [44], SLC38A7, a sodium-coupled transporter for glutamine and asparagine [45], SLC38A9, a sodium- and arginine-regulated transporter for essential amino acids such as leucine [46] and PLOC2, a unipporter for arginine and lysine [47]. This lysosome-to-mitochondria flux may be increased in KRas-driven cancers, where it provides mitochondria with several intermediates, particularly glutamine, to enable nucleotide synthesis and metabolic reprogramming [48–51].

It is possible that close apposition between mitochondria and lysosomes (reviewed further below) may enable efficient exchange, or funneling, of metabolites between the two organelles. Such possibility has been proposed for the vacuole—mitochondria junction in yeast, the vCLAMP [52,53].

2.1.3. Inorganic ions

Mitochondria are important sites of ATP production, a process that relies on maintaining a specific membrane potential between the matrix and the intermembrane space. Both protons and inorganic ions help do this. Outside of maintaining mitochondrial membrane potential, inorganic ions are important signaling metabolites and co-factors for many mitochondrial enzymes. Since the inner membrane is impermeable to ions, specific transporters are required to import and export inorganic ions.

Phosphate, required for ATP production, is imported in by SLC25A3 in symport with a proton for ATP synthesis [54]. More recently, SLC25A3 has been implicated in transport of copper into mitochondria and cells lacking SLC25A3 show a copper-dependent defect in cyclooxygenase [55,56]. Copper (Cu) has also been shown to be lacking SLC25A3 show a copper-dependent defect in cyclooxygenase [55,56]. Copper (Cu) has also been shown to be a limiting inorganic ions. Specifi

Mitochondria are one of the most redox-active organelles and contain 10–15% of total cellular GSH pools [64,83]. The enzymes required to synthesize GSH are cysteolic, so GSH needs to be imported into mitochondria. Mitochondrial proteomics combined with
pharmaceutical manipulation revealed that SLC25A39 (and its paralog SLC25A40) is required for mitochondrial GSH import. Interestingly, the expression of SLC25A39 only depends on GSH levels, and not oxidative stress or nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) activation [86]. In another study, gene-by-gene analysis from a dual CRISPR stress or nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) activation expression of SLC25A39 only depends on GSH levels, and not oxidative pharmacological manipulation revealed that SLC25A39 (and its paralog SLC25A37 [87]. Together, the two studies suggest that GSH import into mitochondria is required to maintain proper activity and stability of iron-sulfur cluster containing proteins, although the precise mechanism through which mitochondrial GSH does so is yet to be uncovered [86,87].

In summary, these recent discoveries shed light on a complex and sophisticated network of transporters, which enables communication between metabolic pathways in the mitochondrial matrix and those taking place in the cytosol, lysosome as well as other organelles (Figure 2). How the activity of this network is synchronized with that of carriers found on other organelles should be the subject of future investigation.

2.2. Metabolic communication via membrane contact sites

Whereas small, polar metabolites can readily diffuse and be exchanged between organelles, lipid exchange poses unique challenges due to their hydrophobic nature. Transfer of sterols, phospholipids and sphingolipids between organelles typically requires the establishment of physical junctions known as membrane contact sites (MCSs), and the presence at MCSs of specialized lipid-binding proteins that shield their lipid substrate molecules from the aqueous environment of the cytosol [88]. Interestingly, MCSs do not solely facilitate lipid transfer, as they also participate in the exchange of ions such as Ca$^{2+}$. Ca$^{2+}$ ions are polar and readily soluble, thus their transfer via MCSs may reflect the need for efficient channeling from the donor to the acceptor organelle to maintain proper concentration gradients and avoid damaging side-effects. Transfer of lipids and Ca$^{2+}$ ions offer clear examples of inter-organelle metabolic communication.

2.2.1. Membrane contact sites mediated by lipid transport proteins

MCSs are regions where membranes of two organelles are held together within 10–30 nm of each other. One of the first evidence of MCSs were electron microscopy images of closely apposed ER and mitochondria [89], which were later followed by biochemical evidence of phospholipid transfer between the two compartments [90]. While MCSs can occur between any organelles, the most prevalent contact sites involve the ER. This is likely due to two major reasons: first, the ER is an extensive network that reaches virtually all parts of the cytoplasm, making it easily available to establish contacts with a variety of organelles; second, the ER is a major site of lipid synthesis, and contact sites are efficient routes of transport for lipids and their biosynthetic intermediates. The second reason is further supported by the observation that most proteins involved in mediating MCSs also contain lipid transport domains [91].

A major class of ER-organelle contacts are mediated by the vesicle-associated membrane protein (VAMP)-associated proteins (VAPs), which include VAPA/B and MOSPD1/2/3. The VAPs are inserted in the ER membrane via their C-terminal region, and they harbor an N-terminal Major Sperm Protein (MSP) globular domain, which binds to an evolutionarily conserved peptide sequence motif known as dipherilalanine [FF] in an acidic tract (FFAT; the consensus amino acid sequence being EFFDAE) [92,93]. A multitude of lipid transport proteins (LTPs) bind to ER-localized VAPs via their respective FFAT motifs [94]. Many VAP-interacting LTPs contain pleckstrin homology (PH) domains, through which they bind to specific phosphoinositides, such as phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P), on the opposite organellar membrane. Thus, simultaneous binding to VAPs and PI4P enables the LTPs to span the distance between the two adjacent membranes. LTPs also contain lipid transfer domains, which, depending on the structure, may belong to one of several distinct domains known to transport specific lipid species [95-98]. With the help of these lipid transfer domains, LTPs can transport three major lipid types — phospholipids, sphingolipids, or sterols — in adirectional manner.

Among VAP-binding phospholipid transfer proteins are phosphatidyl-choline (PC)-transfer protein (PCTP) and Sec14 (in yeast/phosphatidylinositol (PI)-transfer protein (PITP; in mammals). In some cases, it is possible for PITPs to bind either PI or PC. For example, Npr2, an LTP that links the Golgi apparatus with the ER, was shown to sense PC levels on the Golgi membrane and regulate the consumption of diacylglycerol via the CDP-choline pathway for PC biosynthesis [99]. Sec14, in yeast, was also shown to have a differential binding for PC and PI, suggesting distinct sensing versus transporting functions for these phospholipid transfer proteins [86].

Cholesterol transport is typically mediated by the oxysterol binding protein (OSBP)-related protein (ORP) family. ORP family proteins contain an OSBP-related ligand-binding domain (ORD), which can bind to cholesterol, oxysterols, and phospholipids. The founding member of the ORP family, OSBP1, localizes to ER-Golgi MCSs, where it transfers ER-derived cholesterol to the Golgi, while transporting PI4P in the opposite, Golgi-to-ER direction [97]. The counter-transport of cholesterol and PI4P is thought to be energetically coupled: PI4P, which is synthesized on Golgi membranes by the type-III PI4-kinase, is transported by OSBP to the ER, where it is hydrolyzed to phosphatidylinositol by the Sac1 phosphatase. The PI4P concentration gradient, in turn, energizes the delivery of cholesterol from the ER to the Golgi via alternating, coupled transport cycles [97,98].

Not all ORPs transport cholesterol. Lipidemic characterization of several yeast ORPs (known as oxysterol-binding homology, Ost, proteins) complexed with their native lipid substrates revealed that two members of this family had specificity for phosphatidylycerine (PS). Phylogenetic analysis and liposome-based lipid transfer assays suggested that human ORPs, including ORP5 and ORP10, transfer PS from the ER to the plasma membrane [99].

Ceramide-transfer protein (CERT) and glycolipid-transfer protein, phosphatidylinositol-four-phosphate adaptor protein-2 (FAPP2) are two examples of sphingolipid transfer proteins [100,101]. Along with an FFAT domain and a PH domain, CERT mediates ceramide transfer at ER-Golgi MCSs via a steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR)-related transfer (START) domain in its C-terminal region [100]. CERT mediates efficient transfer of C14—C20 ceramides, but not those of longer acyl chains [102]. FAPP2, on the other hand, transports glucosyl-ceramide, and both CERT and FAPP2 are essential for glycosphingolipid synthesis. As with CERT, FAPP2 is targeted to the Golgi through a PH-domain mediated interaction with PI-4P, highlighting the role of PI metabolism in sphingolipid synthesis [103].

A recurrent mutation in the MSP domain of human VAPB, P56S, is associated with an autosomal dominant form of a degenerative motor neuron disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [104]. However, it remains unclear to what degree motor neuron death is attributable to a toxic gain of function of the mutant protein, versus loss of VAPB-mediated membrane contacts and general ER homeostasis. Mutations in LTPs may also result in a host of diseases. VPS13 are a group of glycerolipid transfer proteins that localize to various organs, including mitochondria, late-endosomes/lysosomes, and Golgi, and have been shown to bind to ER-associated VAPs via FFAT domains. Loss-of-function mutations in VPS13 homologs are associated with
many neurological disorders, including chorea acanthocytosis, Cohen syndrome, Parkinson’s disease, and ataxia [104,105]. How the different VPS13 proteins lead to the varied neurodegenerative disorders enumerated above is still an open question. For example, mutations in VPS13C, which was shown to localize to lysosomal membranes, results in mitochondrial dysfunction associated with Parkinson’s disease [105]. Whether and how this mitochondrial phenotype may result from dysfunction of Vps13C-defective endolysosomes remains to be determined.

In other situations, mutations in LTPs may lead to disruptions in overall lipid metabolism and membrane compositions. For example, mutations in CERT are associated with global developmental delay and intellectual disability [106]. Although ceramide levels were not measured in the patient studies, disruptions in sphingolipid metabolism are known to alter plasma membrane lipid composition and membrane organization [107]; such alterations may not only lead to changes in cell signaling, but also neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s [108].

2.2.2. Mitochondria-lysosome contacts
Mitochondria and lysosomes make contacts in healthy cells distinct from both mitophagy-related and MDV-related contacts [109]. Formation of these contacts was shown to be promoted by active, GTP-bound, lysosomal Rab7. On the other hand, recruitment of TBC1D15, a Rab7 GTPase-activating protein (GAP), to mitochondria promoted GTP hydrolysis and released mitochondria-lysosome contacts. TBC1D15 recruitment depended on the mitochondrial fission-related protein, FIS1 [109]. In part due to the varied role that Rab7 plays in vesicle trafficking, it is possible that changes in endolysosomal biology may impact mitochondria-lysosome contacts via Rab7. Consistently, several lysosomal storage disorders lead to dysregulation of mitochondria-lysosome contacts, primarily due to changes in active, GTP-bound Rab7 levels [110–112]. Although transport of specific metabolites has yet to be uncovered at mitochondria-lysosome contacts in mammalian cells, it is tempting to speculate that physical coupling of lysosomes and mitochondria may favor the hand-off of lysosomal digestion products from lysosomal permeases to the many transporters located at the mitochondrial inner membrane.

2.2.3. Calcium transfer at membrane contact sites
The ER lumen is also the site for intracellular Ca2+ stores. Depletion of these stores drives the store-operated Ca2+ entry (SOCE) process via selective Ca2+ channels, such as the Ca2+-release-activated Ca2+ (CRAC) channel. This process occurs at small areas of the cell surface that are adjacent to junctional ER. Specifically, store depletion causes Orai1, which comprise the CRAC channel, to accumulate at these ER-plasma membrane sites; Orai1 channels are then activated by the ER membrane protein, stromal interacting molecule 1 (STIM1), which acts as a Ca2+-sensing Ca2+ [113,114]. Intracellular Ca2+ stores are tightly regulated, and mitochondria act as buffers for maintaining Ca2+ concentrations in the cytosol [115]. Mitochondria-associated ER membranes (MAMs) are the sites for the control of Ca2+ flux between the ER and mitochondria; at these microdomains, mitochondria are closely apposed to the ER domains enriched for the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3)-sensitive Ca2+ channel on the ER [116].

Lipid species, including glycosphingolipids ( GSLs), can regulate the architecture of Ca2+-regulating membrane systems. Deficiency in the lysosomal hydrolase, β-galactosidase (β-gal), leads to cellular buildup of the sialic acid-containing GSL, GM1-ganglioside. In turn, excess GM1-ganglioside accumulates in microdomains within MAMs, leading to increased Ca2+ flux into mitochondria, followed by Ca2+-associated opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (PTP), and, finally, increased apoptosis [117]. Treatment with a cytosolic Ca2+-chelator or a drug that prevented PTP opening protected β-gal-/- MEFs or β-gal-/-/MEFs loaded with GM1 from cell death.

2.3. Localized signaling pathways coordinate metabolism
2.3.1. Mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)
In addition to the localized nature of metabolic reactions and substrate exchange, it is increasingly appreciated that signaling pathways that control these metabolic reactions are themselves highly localized to specific organelle compartments. This compartmentalization is key to the ability of signaling factors to couple different inputs to specific metabolic outputs.

A prominent example of a compartmentalized metabolic signaling pathway is the master growth regulator, mTORC1 protein kinase [118,119]. In response to a wide variety of signals such as nutrients, growth factors, ATP, and oxygen, mTORC1 promotes anabolic processes that lead to cell mass accumulation, an obligate prerequisite for cell division, while actively suppressing catabolic processes that consume cell mass. In both yeast and metazoans, mTORC1 activation occurs at a specific cellular location — the limiting membrane of the lysosome [120,121]. Local nutrients, including amino acids, glucose, and cholesterol, trigger the physical recruitment of mTORC1 from the cytosol to the lysosomal membrane, whereas long-range signals brought by growth factors turn on the kinase activity of mTORC1. This ‘coincidence detection’ mechanism ensures that mTORC1 only triggers its downstream programs when conditions are favorable for growth [118,119].

The nutrient-dependent recruitment of mTORC1 to the lysosomal membrane is mediated by a sophisticated scaffolding complex centered on the heterodimeric Rag guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases), composed of RagA or RagB in complex with RagC or RagD [122,123]. Dedicated nutrient sensors for amino acids such as leucine, arginine, methionine, as well as cholesterol and glucose, control the nucleotide loading state of the Rag GTPase dimer [118,119]. In high nutrients, GTP-loaded RagA and GDP-loaded RagC physically bind to mTORC1 and stabilize it at the lysosomal membrane. A second GTPase, Rheb, interacts with mTORC1 at the lysosomal surface and allosterically promotes its kinase activation [124]. In turn, the mTORC1-activating, GTP-loaded form of Rheb requires the presence of growth factors and oxygen [118,119]. Thus, the coincidence detection mechanism for mTORC1 activation ultimately converges on the nucleotide loading state of the Rag and Rheb GTPases.

Triggering of mTORC1 kinase activity leads to pro-growth phosphorylation events of substrates such as S6 kinase 1 (S6K1), leading to upregulation of anabolic processes such as glycolysis, nucleotide and lipid synthesis, and 4E-binding proteins (4EBPs), triggering upregulation of protein synthesis. Moreover, catabolic processes such as autophagy initiation and lysosome biogenesis are inhibited via mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation of Unc-51 related kinases (ULK) and transcription factors EB and E3 (TFEB and TFEB3) [118,119]. Phosphorylation of these as well as other substrates enable mTORC1 to affect metabolic processes occurring both at the lysosome and in other compartments, including ribosome biogenesis in the nucleus/ nucleolus; the pentose phosphate pathway for nucleotide synthesis in the cytosol [125]; de novo lipid and sterol synthesis at the ER [125];
changes in mitochondrial biogenesis, dynamics and function [126–128]; and secretory activity at the Golgi [129]. Not only does lysosomal mTORC1 signaling regulate metabolic processes in other cellular compartments, the status of various cellular organelles also feeds back on mTORC1 activity. Low ADP/ATP ratio resulting from either glucose deprivation or mitochondrial dysfunction suppresses mTORC1 activity via the energy sensing kinase, AMPK [130,131]. In low energy states, AMPK phosphorylates the mTORC1 subunit, Raptor, as well as Tuberous Sclerosis Complex 2 (TSC2), a GTPase activating protein (GAP) for Rheb, leading to inhibition of mTORC1 kinase activity. Along with AMPK, the Heme-regulated eIF2α (HRI) kinase was recently shown to mediate mTORC1 inhibition downstream of mitochondrial stressors. HRI activates the ATP4 transcription factor, which in turn upregulates the expression of negative regulators of mTORC1 signaling, including Sestrin2 and REDD1 [132,133].

mTORC1 may also sense the status of other organelles via MUCs. The OSBP sterol carrier, which primarily resides at the ER-Golgi interface, was also shown to localize at contacts between the ER and endolysosomes, mediating PI4P kinase on these compartments [134,135]. The OSBP-VAP complex was shown to transport, in the ER-to-lysosome direction, a pool of cholesterol that is essential for mTORC1 recruitment to the lysosome and activation of its downstream programs [134]. Because the ER is a site for the energetically expensive de novo synthesis of cholesterol, sensing of ER-derived cholesterol may provide another route to communicate cellular energy status to mTORC1. Other metabolism-regulating kinases also display organelle-specific localization. AMPK exists in distinct cellular pools that localize to different organelles due to the unique regulatory subunit they contain [136]. A lysosome-localized AMPK pool interacts with multiple protein complexes involved in mTORC1 signaling, such as the FLCN-FNIP complex and the Regulator-V-ATPase [137–139]. Lysosomal AMPK may play an especially important role in coupling cellular energy levels to lysosomal mTORC1 signaling, and it could also enable feedback regulation of AMPK signaling by lysosome-bound factors. As reviewed above, mitochondria and lysosomes are essential for cellular metabolism and, simultaneously, contribute to signaling pathways that regulate cellular processes such as autophagy and biosynthesis. Pathological states that perturb lysosomes are often associated with mitochondrial damage and vice versa [140]. For example, lysosomal cholesterol accumulation in the neurodegenerative disease, Niemann-Pick type C (NPC), leads to aberrant cholesterol-mTORC1 signaling, impaired lysosomal proteolysis and mitophagy, and, ultimately, defective mitochondrial morphology and function [141,142]. Conversely, in a mouse model for mitochondrial myopathy (MM), caused by mutation in the mitochondrial replicative helicase, Twinkle, the resulting ETC deficiency increased mTORC1 activation and led to a multifaceted stress response, including upregulation of metabolic cytokines, remodeling of 1C metabolism and the mitochondrial unfolded protein response [143]. In both cases, pharmacological inhibition of mTORC1 corrected the disease phenotypes (mitochondrial function and lysosomal proteolysis in NPC, chronic stress response in MM, respectively), suggesting that, in these cases, aberrant mTORC1 signaling drove the disruption of organelle homeostasis [141,143].

2.3.2. Cyclic AMP (cAMP)-dependent protein kinase (PKA)
PKA, a eukaryotic Ser/Thr kinase that modulates diverse metabolic processes including lipogenesis and lipolysis, glucose homeostasis and mitochondrial respiration, is another prominent example of localized metabolic signaling [144]. PKA is composed of two regulatory subunits (RI or RII), which dimerize and bind to one catalytic subunit (C) each, blocking their kinase function. Physiological stimuli, including various hormones, trigger a raise in the intracellular concentration of cAMP, which is generated from ATP by adenylyl cyclase (AC) enzymes. In turn, cAMP binds to the PKA regulatory subunit with nanomolar affinity, triggering a conformational change that releases the C subunits from the inactive R2C2 complex, allowing them to phosphorylate nearby substrates [144,145]. Several distinct pools of PKA are bound to distinct membrane compartments via interaction of the R subunit dimer with AKAPs. 12 different AKAP proteins anchor the R2C2 complex to different membranes including the plasma membrane, mitochondria, ER, endolysosomes and lipid droplets [146]. This anchoring has important consequences on the dynamics and function of the respective organelles. For example, targeting of PKA to lipid droplets enables PKA-dependent phosphorylation of perilipin proteins, a triggering event in lipolysis [147,148]. Interestingly, several AKAPs harbor conserved FFAT-like motifs and thus bind to VAP proteins, suggesting that PKA may be activated at membrane contact sites, and that specific signals found at these structures could give rise to unique PKA-dependent signaling outputs [149].

3. EMERGING PARADIGMS FOR INTER-ORGANELLE COMMUNICATION

3.1. Mitochondria-derived compartments in regulating metabolite transporters and protein quality control
Although mitochondria have long thought to be excluded from vesicular transport pathways that connect many other organelles, recent evidence suggests that mitochondria can release vesicles with unique protein compositions that enable adaptive processes. For example, in yeast, mitochondria-derived compartments or vesicles (MDVs/MDCs) sequestered SLC25A metabolite carriers away from the rest of the mitochondrial network in response to increased intracellular amino acid levels (Figure 3A). Loss of the proteins that facilitated MDV formation and vacuolar dysfunction was associated with impaired survival upon amino acid overload [150]. MDVs were also shown to be transport carriers for selective degradation of oxidized mitochondrial proteins via delivery to lysosomes, facilitating mitochondrial quality control (Figure 3A) [151,152]. Proteomic characterization of isolated MDVs shed light on some of the molecular players involved in this selective mitochondrial quality control process; however, more work is required to delineate the mechanisms through which specific mitochondrial proteins may get sequestered and removed under varying metabolic conditions [153].

3.2. Endosomal and mitochondrial fission at ER-organelle contact sites
ER tubules mark fission sites on early endosomes [154]. ER tubules crossed over endosomal domains marked by the Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome protein and scar homologue (WASH) complex, which is a multiprotein complex that activates actin nucleation on endosomal sorting domains, both for cargo sorting and for catalyzing fission [154]. ER-mitochondria contacts also often mark the sites for mitochondrial division. Cytosolic dynamin-related guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase)-1 (DRP1), is recruited and self-assembles at the ER-mitochondria contacts, where mitochondrial fission is observed [155]. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) synthesis was spatially linked to the subset of ER-mitochondria contact sites that were coupled to mitochondrial fission [156]. Similarly, the yeast-specific ER-mitochondria encounter structure (ERMEMS) complex was also spatially linked to
Protein Regulation + Quality Control

Organelle Fission at ER Contact Sites

Lipid Transfer for Organelle Biogenesis

Figure 3: Emerging paradigms for inter-organelle communication. Left: Mitochondria-derived vesicles (MDVs) may carry oxidized proteins or mitochondrial solute transporters to remove damaged proteins and regulate metabolite import to mitochondria, respectively. MDVs may fuse to lysosomes, leading to degradation of their contents. Middle: ER-mediated organelle fission involves transfer of large amounts of lipid species. In the case of phagophore formation, phagophore-localized Faa1 may channel acyl-CoA to the ER for phospholipid (PL) synthesis. Newly synthesized PLs may mediate phagophore nucleation via Atg2 proteins, including Atg2.

3.3. Lipid mobilization for organelle biogenesis

Making new membranes for organelle biogenesis requires transfer of large amounts of lipid, such as cholesterol and phospholipids. Key proteins mediate the transfer of these lipids from lipid sources, such as the ER, to the nucleating organelle membrane. An especially interesting paradigm is provided by autophagosome formation, which plays key roles in cellular metabolism and quality control [159]. Autophagosome formation requires the expansion of a newly synthesized autophagosome (IM) from endomembrane-derived lipids. One of the 18 Atg proteins required for this process, Atg2, tethers the edge of the expanding IM to the ER. X-ray crystallography studies showed that Atg2 has a hydrophobic cavity that is structurally like that of Vps13, which can accommodate phospholipid acyl chains. In vitro, Atg2 mediated lipid transfer between small-sized liposomes, suggesting an important role for this protein for transferring phospholipids from the ER to the expanding IM [160–162]. Spatial localization of lipid synthesis enzymes is also important for membrane formation. Acyl-CoA synthetases (Faa1 and Faa4) accumulate on nucleating phagophores and channel activated fatty acids into de novo phospholipid synthesis within proximal ER. Newly synthesized phospholipids were critical for driving the assembly and elongation of phagophore membranes into autophagosomes [163].

Lipid mobilization for membrane biogenesis plays an equally important role in both health and disease. Enteroviral pathogenesis requires RNA genome replication in membrane-separated compartments, known as replication compartments (RCs). Generation of RCs requires host lipids, primarily originating from lipid droplets. Specific enteroviral proteins form membrane contact sites to facilitate mobilization of fatty acids from lipid droplets to viral RCs. This process depended on host cell cell lysis, blocking which, using inhibitors such as Atglistatin and CAY10499, led to decreased enteroviral replication [164].

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Metabolic coordination between organelles is pivotal for cellular function. Although many advances have been made in determining the factors that mediate metabolic and physical communication between organelle pairs, much remains to be discovered. Recent advances in organelle isolation coupled with mass spectrometry is shedding light on the lipid and polar metabolite contents of organelles, and how it changes under different physiological and pathological conditions [141,165–167]. Proximity labeling with intact and split promiscuous biotin ligase (BirA) promise to uncover new tethering factors and metabolite transporters that selectively operate at MCSs [168,169]. Technologies such as in situ mass spectrometry imaging, isotopic labelling and fluorescent or genetically encoded RNA-based metabolite sensors will help reveal the flux of polar metabolites between different organelles [170–172]. Combined with functional genomics, in vitro reconstitution, and organelle-specific proteomics in different cells and tissues [173,174], technologies such as in situ labeling techniques may lead to the discovery of new pathways, or branches of known pathways, that ensure coordination of organelle function across a range of physiological states. Identifying the molecular players involved in mediating organelar metabolic coordination and the signaling repercussions of local metabolic disruptions will not only further our understanding of cellular metabolism in health, but also lead us to design better therapeutics against dysregulated metabolism in diseases, such as cancer and neurodegeneration.
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