Metaproteomics Reveals Abundant Transposase Expression in Mutualistic Endosymbionts
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ABSTRACT Transposases, enzymes that catalyze the movement of mobile genetic elements, are the most abundant genes in nature. While many bacteria encode an abundance of transposases in their genomes, the current paradigm is that the expression of transposase genes is tightly regulated and generally low due to its severe mutagenic effects. In the current study, we detected the highest number of transposase proteins ever reported in bacteria, in symbionts of the gutless marine worm Olavius algarvensis with metaproteomics. At least 26 different transposases from 12 different families were detected, and genomic and proteomic analyses suggest that many of these are active. This high expression of transposases indicates that the mechanisms for their tight regulation have been disabled or no longer exist.

IMPORTANCE The expansion of transposable elements (TEs) within the genomes of host-restricted symbionts and pathogens plays an important role in their emergence and evolution and might be a key mechanism for adaptation to the host environment. However, little is known so far about the underlying causes and evolutionary mechanisms of this TE expansion. The current model of genome evolution in host-restricted bacteria explains TE expansion within the confines of the paradigm that transposase expression is always low. However, recent work failed to verify this model. Based on our data, we hypothesize that increased transposase expression, which has not previously been described, may play a role in TE expansion, and could be one explanation for the sometimes very rapid emergence and evolution of new obligate symbionts and pathogens from facultative ones.

Transposases are enzymes that catalyze the movement of mobile genetic elements in and between genomes and are the most abundant and ubiquitous genes in nature (1). Most often, transposases are part of transposable elements (TEs), which encode only the transposase gene and some short flanking sequences necessary for transposition. These basic TEs are called insertion sequence (IS) elements. Classically, TEs are considered to be selfish genetic elements or parasitic DNA with no purpose other than reproducing themselves (2–4). However, in more recent years, it has become clear that TEs are not always parasites, but can also have beneficial effects that increase the fitness of their bacterial host (for reviews of the ongoing debate, see references 3–5). TEs (especially IS elements) are involved in gene deletions, gene duplications, genome rearrangements, gene regulation, and horizontal gene transfer (for a review, see references 6 and 7), all of which can have beneficial effects on the host population by generating genomic diversity and thus enabling adaptation to environmental changes (8–10). However, TEs can also be detrimental if they disrupt important functional genes. Therefore, transposase expression and, thus, transpositional activity are usually very low (11), because the mutagenic effects of transposases would drive their hosts into extinction, thereby also eradicating their own existence (12). Accordingly, a large variety of mechanisms for the tight regulation of transposase expression exists at both the transcriptional and translational levels (11).

TEs and, thus, transposase genes are particularly enriched in the genomes of some mutualistic symbionts (here called “symbionts”) and some pathogens that have recently transitioned or are transitioning to an obligate, host-associated lifestyle (5, 13). In contrast, TEs are absent in the reduced genomes of most obligate, host-restricted bacteria that have been associated with their host over long evolutionary time periods (TEs absent in 65% of the genomes from symbionts classified as obligately intracellular in Newton and Bordenstein [13]). There are, however, some ancient obligate intracellular bacteria, such as Wolbachia pipiens wMel and Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus, that have high numbers of TEs in their genomes (14, 15) (TEs present in 35% of the genomes from symbionts classified as obligately intracellular in Newton and Bordenstein [13]).

It has been shown that TE expansion in bacteria in transition to an obligate, host-associated lifestyle plays a crucial role in the emergence and early evolution of pathogens (8, 16–18) and sym-
bionts (19, 20). Currently, there is much uncertainty about the factors that lead to high TE loads in host-restricted bacteria, and several hypotheses have been put forth (reviewed in reference 3). The two main hypotheses, which represent partially opposing views, are (i) the selective advantage hypothesis and (ii) the relaxed natural selection hypothesis. In (i), TE expansion is selected for because it is beneficial for symbionts and pathogens transitioning to an obligate lifestyle, for example, by providing enhanced genomic plasticity for faster adaptation to the host environment (8, 9, 21). In (ii), temporary TE expansion in the genomes of host-restricted bacteria is due to a reduced effectiveness of natural selection against deleterious transpositions (5). The relaxed natural selection, according to this second hypothesis, is caused by genetic drift due to small population sizes during transmission of symbionts from one host generation to the next, and the fact that when symbionts reside within a host, many of their genes become superfluous and can thus act as neutral integration sites for TEs.

These two hypotheses can also explain the evolutionary processes involved in TE expansion in ancient obligate intracellular bacteria that have a reduced genome, yet still have high TE numbers in their genomes. For these ancient symbionts, the “intracellular arena hypothesis” explains how TEs first reenter their genomes: host switching events bring these symbionts into contact with other bacteria during coinfection within the same host and thus enable the uptake of foreign TEs (13, 14). These newly acquired TEs then multiply in the genomes of the ancient symbionts either (i) because they confer a selective advantage or (ii) due to reduced effectiveness of natural selection in the intracellular environment (5, 22).

A recent study that tested hypothesis (ii) by subjecting Escherichia coli for 4,000 generations to simulated conditions of relaxed natural selection raised doubts as to whether relaxed natural selection alone can account for TE expansion (21), because no TE expansion occurred under the tested conditions. Although Plague et al. (21) noted that “there are several possible reasons why their experiment may not have adequately tested the [relaxed natural selection] hypothesis,” they hypothesized that other factors, including increased transposase activity, might have enabled the massive TE expansion observed in host-restricted bacteria. Common to both hypotheses (i) and (ii) is that they explain TE expansion in host-restricted bacteria within the confines of the paradigm that transposase expression and, thus, transpositional activity are always low.

High numbers of transposase genes were recently described in endosymbionts of the gutless marine oligochaete Oarius algarvensis (23). O. algarvensis inhabits shallow water sediments in the Mediterranean and lacks both a digestive and an excretory system, relying instead for nutrition and waste recycling on a symbiotic community of two gammaproteobacterial sulfur oxidizers (γ1 and γ3 symbionts), two deltaproteobacterial sulfate reducers (δ1 and δ4 symbionts), and a spirochete (23, 24). The symbiotic bacteria cooccur in an extracellular space just below the worm’s cuticle and above the host’s epidermal cells where they are in direct contact with each other and have access to solutes <70 kDa from the environment that can easily diffuse through the worm’s cuticle (25). Metagenomic analyses of O. algarvensis showed that the γ1 symbiont has a remarkably high percentage of transposases in its genome, at nearly 21% of all genes, followed by the γ3 symbiont with 7.5% and the δ1 symbiont with 2.3% (23, 26). Nothing is currently known about the factors that have led to such high TE numbers in some of the O. algarvensis symbionts. We assume that the association with the γ1 symbiont is ancient because these symbionts occur in many gutless oligochaete species from around the world, indicating that the common ancestor of all gutless oligochaetes may have already had the γ1 symbiont (25). However, the genome of the γ1 symbiont shows no signs of reduction (23). The associations with the γ3 and deltaproteobacterial symbionts may be more recent, as these symbionts are found in some but not all gutless oligochaetes (27); the genomes of these symbionts are also not reduced (23). The transmission mode of symbionts in gutless oligochaetes has been examined only in two host species from Bermuda and appears to be vertical (28). However, occasional horizontal uptake of bacteria from the environment during egg deposition in the surrounding sediment cannot be excluded. Thus, the O. algarvensis symbionts could acquire new TEs from both environmental sources and cooccurring symbionts.

The aim of this study was to examine how the high percentage of TEs in the O. algarvensis symbiont genomes affects their expression. Interestingly, the relationship between high TE loads and transposase expression and activity has, to our knowledge, so far not been explored. Our metaproteomic analyses revealed that the γ1 and δ1 symbionts express a surprisingly high number of their transposase proteins, many of which are intact and possibly active, and we hypothesize that abundant transposase expression plays a key role in TE expansion.

Methods. Worms were collected as described previously (24) and either frozen immediately or symbionts were enriched via isopycnic centrifugation by layering the worm homogenate on top of a Histodenz (Sigma) multistep density gradient. Symbionts were separated from each other and from host tissue by 1 h of centrifugation at 4°C as described by Kleiner et al. (24).

High proteome coverage was achieved, i.e., 2,265 symbiont proteins were detected, via automated 24-h two-dimensional liquid chromatography followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) with a hybrid linear ion trap-Orbitrap (Thermo Fischer Scientific) as described in detail by Kleiner et al. (24). Protein databases, peptide and protein identifications, and all MS/MS spectra are available from http://compbio.ornl.gov/olavius _algarvensis_symbiont_metaproteome/, and detailed data on expressed transposases are available from http://compbio.ornl.gov /olavius_algarvensis_symbiont_transposases/.

Transposase numbers and abundance in O. algarvensis symbionts. We detected the highest number of transposase proteins ever reported in bacteria in the γ1 symbiont of O. algarvensis (22 proteins) (Table 1). Additionally, we detected two transposase proteins in the δ1 symbiont and two transposase proteins that could not be unambiguously assigned to a given symbiont (Table 1). We did not obtain good proteome coverage for the γ3 symbiont due to its low abundance in O. algarvensis and were therefore unable to determine if any of its numerous transposase genes were expressed. To compare our data to other studies, we searched for reports of transposase expression at the protein level and found that the highest numbers were found in free-living bacteria and not in host-associated bacteria. The highest numbers of expressed transposases were seven in a proteome of the cultured bacterium Deinococcus radiodurans (29) and eight in a metaproteome of the uncultured Leptospirillum group II bacterium from acid mine drainage (30). To our knowledge, transposase expression has so far not been examined at the protein level in symbionts or pathogens with high TE numbers in their genomes.
TABLE 1 Overview of all expressed transposases grouped according to shared peptide matches

| Accession no. | Symbiont   | Transposase group | No. of transposases in each group | No. of transposases required to explain all peptide matches | Transposase classification | Intact | Premature translation termination possible |
|--------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------|
| 2004221906   | γl         | 1                | 38                               | 4                                                         | IS630                     | No    | No                                     |
| 2004222763   | γl         | 1                | 39                               | 3                                                         | IS110                     | Yes   | No                                     |
| 2004222856   | γl         | 1                | 16                               | 1                                                         | IS110                     | Yes   | No                                     |
| 2004222872   | γl         | 1                | 17                               | 1                                                         | IS630                     | No    | No                                     |
| 2004223397   | γl         | 2                | 19                               | 3                                                         | IS110                     | Yes   | No                                     |
| 2004222707   | γl         | 2                | 21                               | 2                                                         | IS630                     | No    | No                                     |
| 2004222937   | γl         | 2                | 21                               | 3                                                         | IS110                     | Yes   | No                                     |
| Symbiont_37746 | Unknown | 3                | 7                                | 1                                                         | Unclassified              | Unknown | Unknown |
| 2004223511   | γl         | 4                | 1                                | 1                                                         | Unclassified              | Yes    | Unknown |
| Symbiont_28062 | Unknown | 5                | 1                                | 1                                                         | IS1634                    | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004221868   | γl         | 6                | 37                               | 7                                                         | IS481                     | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004221830   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 6                                                         | IS481                     | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004222310   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 3                                                         | IS481                     | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004222544   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 3                                                         | IS481                     | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004222874   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 3                                                         | IS481                     | No     | No                                     |
| 2004223428   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 3                                                         | IS5                       | No     | No                                     |
| 2004223468   | γl         | 6                | 17                               | 3                                                         | IS481                     | No     | No                                     |
| 2004207437   | δl         | 7                | 2                                | 1                                                         | IS4                       | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004223208   | γl         | 8                | 1                                | 1                                                         | IS1595                    | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004212411   | δl         | 9                | 3                                | 1                                                         | IS21                      | Yes    | Yes                                    |
| 2004222138   | γl         | 10               | 16                               | 2                                                         | IS1                       | Yes    | Yes                                    |
| 2004222867   | γl         | 10               | 16                               | 3                                                         | IS1                       | Yes    | Yes                                    |
| 2004222325   | γl         | 11               | 11                               | 1                                                         | IS5                       | Yes    | No                                     |
| 2004223125   | γl         | 11               | 11                               | 3                                                         | IS630                     | No     | No                                     |
| 2004223697   | γl         | 11               | 11                               | 3                                                         | IS630                     | No     | No                                     |
| 2004221963   | γl         | 12               | 3                                | 1                                                         | Unclassified              | Unknown | Unknown |
| Total        |            |                  | 135                              | 26                                                        |                           |        |                                        |

a Accession numbers refer to the JGI IMG/M database (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/cgi-bin/m/main.cgi). Sequences on unassigned metagenome fragments can be found at http://compbio.ornl.gov/olavius_algarvensis_symbiont_metaproteome.

b See Table S4 in the supplemental material for details on grouping.

c IS element family, according to IS Finder. Cutoff amino acid identity of >30%.
d Similar length and identical Pfam domain structures compared to homologous transposases. Some of the transposases that were identified as not intact may actually be intact and only appear to be fragmented due to the incomplete nature of the symbiont metagenome.
e Identified with an unassigned symbiont metagenome fragment.
f Only very short contigs available.
g This number is bigger than the actual number, 134, because one transposase fell into two groups.

Transposases comprised up to 1.95% of the total γ1 symbiont protein (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and up to 0.84% of the total δ1 symbiont protein (Table S2). The abundance of transposases (as estimated from label-free proteomic quantitation) in the γ1 symbiont was greater than that of some of its most abundant housekeeping proteins, such as the ATPase B subunit (1.15%), malate dehydrogenase (0.38%), and 6-phosphofructokinase (0.35%) (24). Within the context of natural microbial communities, highly abundant transposase protein expression has, so far, only been reported from a microbial biofilm in acid mine drainage; however, relative abundances were estimated at less than half of the amounts observed here (30).

Since many transposase genes are present in multiple, nearly identical copies in the symbiont metagenomes (23, 26), the 26 groups of transposase genes (Table 1) could be encoded by as many as 134 transposase gene sequences in the metagenome (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). Given that proteins encoded by almost-identical sequences with identical tryptic peptides cannot be distinguished from each other by mass spectrometry-based proteomic analyses, we were not able to identify which of the 134 transposase genes were expressed and if multiple copies of identical genes were expressed. Therefore, we assigned the transposases that were identified with similar sets of peptides to 12 different groups (Table 1; see also Table S4 in the supplemental material) and used this grouping to identify the minimal set of transposases that must be expressed to explain all transposase-related peptides. This nonredundant set consisted of the above-mentioned 26 transposases.

We classified the 26 nonredundant transposases into IS element families using BLASTp against the curated IS Finder database (31) (http://www-is.biotoul.fr/) and found that they belong to at least 10 different IS element families in the γ1 symbiont and 2 families in the δ1 symbiont (Table 1). This clearly shows that the expressed transposase genes originated from multiple unrelated IS elements.

**Could abundant transposase expression be caused by stress?**

Previous studies have reported increased transposase expression in response to stressful conditions (29, 32). However, relative abundances were much lower than those detected in our study. To exclude the possibility that transposase expression in the *O. algarvensis* symbionts was caused by stressful conditions during the 1 hour long symbiont enrichment procedure, we did a qualitative comparison of their proteomes with those of symbionts that were frozen in whole worms immediately following removal from the...
sediment. We also measured high levels of transposase expression in these immediately frozen symbionts (see Tables S1 and S3 in the supplemental material). Thus, we conclude that the observed transposase expression is not due to stressful sampling and enrichment conditions but rather reflects expression under natural environmental conditions.

**Are the expressed transposases active?** We inferred that some of the expressed transposases are active by excluding the two main reasons for potential inactivity: (i) transposase genes could be in the process of gene degradation so that their expression would lead to incomplete and potentially inactive transposases and (ii) the expression of some transposase genes is regulated through programmed translational frameshifting, which would lead to the translation of a truncated, nonfunctional version of the transposase protein (6, 11, 33).

First, we checked for indications that the expressed transposase genes were intact rather than in a state of gene degradation. We compared the gene sizes and protein sequences to those of closely related transposases in the IS Finder database and compared their domain structures with the domain structure of similar transposases using the “domain organization” feature available on Pfam (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/search). We found that around half of the expressed transposase genes were intact, whereas for the other half, we were not able to exclude the possibility that they were in some stage of degeneration (Table 1). Second, we checked the literature and found that only the IS families of four out of the 26 detected transposases are known to be regulated by programmed translational frameshifting (11) (Table 1). Therefore, we assume that the majority of the expressed transposases are translated to full-length proteins.

Additional evidence for the expression of full-length transposase proteins comes from our proteomic data. For some of the identified transposases, we detected peptides not only in the beginning part of the protein but also in the middle or at the end of the protein, a finding which indicates that the protein was translated from beginning to end (http://compbio.ornl.gov/olavius_algarvensis_symbiont_transposases/).

**Conclusion.** Our results show that the paradigm that transposase expression in bacteria must be tightly regulated and generally low to prevent the host population from going extinct does not always hold true. We present evidence at the protein level that the abundant transposases is. Does it lead to transpositions in every second symbiont or in every millionth? Do the symbionts currently sequencing the genomes of single symbiont cells to examine how common transposition events are within the same individual host, within the host population, and between host populations.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**
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