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Abstract

Many NLP applications need fundamental tools to convert the input text into appropriate form or format and extract the primary linguistic knowledge of words and sentences. These tools perform segmentation of text into sentences, words and phrases, checking and correcting the spellings, doing lexical and morphological analysis, POS tagging and so on. Persian is among languages with complex preprocessing tasks. Having different writing prescriptions, spacings between or within words, character codings and spellings are some of the difficulties and challenges in converting various texts into a standard one. The lack of fundamental text processing tools such as morphological analyser (especially for derivational morphology) and POS tagger is another problem in Persian text processing. This paper introduces a set of fundamental tools for Persian text processing in STeP-1 package. STeP-1 (Standard Text Preparation for Persian language) performs a combination of tokenization, spell checking, morphological analysis and POS tagging. It also turns all Persian texts with different prescribed forms of writing to a series of tokens in the standard style introduced by Academy of Persian Language and Literature (APPLL). Experimental results show high performance.

1. Introduction

There are many NLP primary tools developed for languages such as English to perform tokenization, morphological analysis, POS tagging, spell checking, chunking and so on. Processing texts for less resourced languages such as Persian which suffers from the lack of such tools, is more complicated and time consuming. All researchers who work on different aspects and levels of Persian text processing have to develop their own primary tools for a limited domain, task or application. There is no general wide-spread covering, available tool for doing primary NLP tasks for Persian.

This paper introduces a Persian text preprocessing toolkit called STeP-1. STeP-1 is the first step in processing Persian language written texts. It performs tokenization, morphological analysis, POS tagging and optional spell checking. Users can select arbitrary combination of these services in different depths for their own task and application.

In this paper after reviewing the problems and challenges of Persian text preprocessing, we will introduce STeP-1 as a solution. We will discuss tokenizer, morphological analyser and POS tagger as the main parts of STeP-1 in more details and show the experimental results.

2. Problems and challenges

Persian is among the languages with complex and challenging preprocessing tasks. Some of these challenges are listed below.

Imported letters from Arabic: Persian has 32 letters in its alphabet which cover 28 Arabic letters. In addition, there are some imported sounds such as ‘Tanwin’ and ‘Hamza’ from Arabic which we use in some imported words in Persian. These words may be written in some different forms. For example ‘می‌روفت’، ‘می‌رفت’، ‘می‌رفت’، ‘می‌رفت’ are all forms of writing the word ‘problem’.

Ezafe Construction: Ezafe construction is a special
construction in Persian which attaches nouns to their modifiers. Ezafe is a vowel which is pronounced but not written (in most cases). Non-written ezafe usually makes problems in chunking and syntactic and semantic processing of sentences while different forms of writing Ezafe makes ambiguities in tokenization and stemming.

3. Related Work
STeP-1 is a package that contains tokenizer, morphological analyzer and POS tagger. There is no other integrated package, so we refer to individual works on each part as related work.

For tokenizer part, the only main work is (Megerdoomian & Zajac, 2000) which was used as part of Shiraz project. This tokenizer has two phases. Splitting the input text into basic tokens is done in low-level tokenizer. The output of this phase goes to post-tokenizer for reattaching some specific suffixes. Our algorithm is more general and covers different type of acronyms and abbreviations, date, time, numbers and handles different styles of spellings. Also, in case of ambiguity, it shows all alternatives.

There are also different morphological analyzers that are proposed for Persian language. (Megerdoomian, 2004) uses a two-level morphological analyzer based on Xerox finite-state technology. In this work, if words like “dr” (in) or “v” (and) appears without space from their following words, they are considered as prefix. We take care of these kinds of attachments in tokenizer. Also we cover accusative pronounal clitics and many derivational affixes.

As another work we can mention Perstem (Dehdari, Lonsdale, 2008) written in perl language which was developed for inflectional morphology. In compare with these morphological analyzers, our system has increased coverage and performance. For Part of speech (POS) tagger part, we put an option in the system that user can choose between different POS taggers depends on percentage of unknown words in the input text. There are two POS tagger that are used in the system: TnT and Persian POS tagger. TnT (Brants, 2000) is a trainable POS tagger that uses trigrams and different approaches for handling unknown words. Persian POS tagger exploits a hybrid approach which is a combination of statistical and rule-based methods to tag Persian sentences (Fadaie & Shamsfard, 2009).

4. Solution: STeP-1
STeP-1 (Standard Text Preparation for Persian language) is designed to solve some of the above problems in an integrated package. In general it proposes the following activities for normalization and conversion of texts into a standard one.

1. Defining a computational standard script:
   a) Adding short-spaces between different parts of a word (or a compound word).
   b) Adding Spaces between words and phrases
   c) Introducing the spacing rules between punctuation, numbers and special cases (ex. date)
   d) Creating a lexicon with different spellings of words.

2. Converting texts to the standard script
   a) Looking up in a dictionary
   b) Checking the spelling
   c) Correcting the spacing
      i. replace white spaces with short spaces
      ii. Add white spaces (unknown words)

It then performs tokenization of the standard text and applies morphological analysis on the tokens to find the stems and tag the text by part of speech tags. Besides running a complete task for style correction and text preprocessing, user can choose each of the primary tasks in this toolkit to be ran separately.

In the rest of this section we will describe the tokenizer, morphological analyser and POS tagger as the three main modules of STeP-1.

4.1 Tokenization and Style Correction
Word Segmentation also known as tokenization focuses on recognizing word boundaries exploiting orthographic word boundary delimiters, punctuation marks, written forms of alphabet and affixes (Kiani & Shamsfard, 2008). Our proposed approach combines dictionary based and rule based methods and converts various prescribed forms of writing to a unique standard one. The developed tokenizer determines the words boundaries, recognizes multi part verbs, numbers, dates, abbreviations and some proper nouns. It employs a data base that contains 57284 entries including nouns, adjective, verbs, Prefixes and suffixes organized in different tables.

To describe the tokenization steps, let’s follow an example. Consider the following sentence:

"OoAzShanbe(13march)Sa’at2:30Daghighe,beh mosaferat rafteh ast.”

‘he has gone to a trip from Saturday (march 13th) at 2:30.’

As it can be seen in this sentence some alphabetical strings (words) are concatenated to some other words or numerical strings. People can read this easily according to their knowledge about the language but computer should separate and tokenize the whole string to be able to process it. We thus expect the output to be as follows:

He | From | Saturday | ([| march | 13|]) | hour | 2:30 | minute, | to | trip | HeIsGone |

To create such results, the tokenizer goes through the following steps (in each case we show the string resulted by the step):

- Unify character coding: some Persian letters may be coded in Persian or Arabic unicodes. In such cases at the first step we convert all Arabic unicodes to their

---

1 Throughout the paper we show the Persian examples with their pronunciations between double quotes ("), the word by word translations to English between parentheses and the translation of the whole sentence in italic between quotes (‘).
equivalent Persian ones.

- Split input text due to the position of white spaces and punctuation marks.
- Separates numbers (integer or float), dates and English letters from their surrounding text by space. Numbers and English words should be written with a space with their surrounding word although this space can be deleted without making any ambiguity. For example in the above sample we will have: march13 → march | 13
  hour2 → hour | 2
  30minute → 30 | minute
- Adjust the spaces around punctuation marks. For this purpose, we should consider three different types of tags as follows:
  a) startDelim: It is used for punctuation marks such as “(”, “[“ that should be written in the following pattern: 
     \langle exp+space+startDelim+exp\rangle
  b) endDelim: It is used for punctuation marks such as “)”, “]”, “!” that should be written in this pattern: 
     \langle exp+endDelim+exp\rangle
  c) betwDelim: It is used for punctuation marks such as “,” “.” that should be written like: 
     \langle exp+betwDelim+exp\rangle

For “.” and “;” that can be written in different forms, first we set their tag to “endDelim”. Later on depending on their surrounding words tags, we change their tag to “endDelim” or “betwDelim” if needed. Other patterns used to adjust the spaces around the delimiters are following:

\langle number+":"+number\rangle
\langle exp+":"+endDelim+exp\rangle
\langle abbreviation+":"+abbreviation\rangle
\langle exp+":"+betwDelim+exp\rangle

Applying this step on the above example will result in: 
...Saturday(march | 13)hour | 2:30| minute, to trip…
  →...Saturday | (march | 13) | hour | 2;30| minute,| to…

- Recognize Verbs, nouns and adjectives. In this step we look the token in the lexicon. If it is not present there, then we should apply some stemming methods to remove affixes and check the stem in the lexicon. The person and number suffixes from verbs, plural signs from nouns and comparative markers from adjectives are some of the popular affixes to be removed.
- Recognize Abbreviation. Single letters in Persian (ی, ﯽ) or in English (A, B,...) and name of English characters that are written in Persian (پی, تی, تی...) are recognized as abbreviations.
- Recognize Numbers and English words. At this stage we just recognize and tag words written in English and other languages with similar alphabet (Latin based) but the module should be enhanced to recognize other foreign languages such as Arabic, Chinese, etc. we have introduced the tag ‘englishWord’ to tag these words and the tag ‘number’ for numerical strings. Recognize affixes and prepositions. For other words the program finds the correct tag for each word by looking at the data base. System uses 4 other tags which are shown in table 1.

| Tag             | Example          |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Postfix         | est, er          |
| Prefix          | dis, un          |
| verbPrefix      | ing(coming before verb in Persian) |
| preposition     | at, of           |

Table 1: 4 different tags that are used in the system

- Process undefined words
  There may be still words not recognized by the previous modules. In these cases there are two alternative solutions; stemming and space insertion.
  a) Stemming
  The tokenizer just eliminates some specifics limited affixes. For more complex words the system uses the stemmer. The stemmer not only removes the affixes but also considers the orthographic changes during the inflection or derivation. For example in word “نیمه‌نیمه” (invertebrata) the stemmer finds “نیمه” (vertebra) as the stem by removing the negation affix (“نیم”/ “نیم”) and replace the letter “گ”(g) by “v”(h) after removing the plural sign “-ی”(An).
  b) Space insertion
  Some unknown strings may be converted to a sequence of known words by inserting spaces in appropriate places right in the boundary of words. For example strings like “تروزوتش” and “توصوتش” (from you) or “روزوتش” and “روزوتش” (day and night) in which no space is present, should be splitted from the word boundaries. In these cases we insert spaces after characters that just have a single form (alef(a),dal(d),sdl(z),re(r),ze(z),zhe(j),vav(v))(Shamsfard, et al., 2009).
  This is done by the segmenter. This function returns all possible forms of segmenting a phrase. Then each form goes to tokenizer again. Those forms that tokenizer can find tags for all their parts will be accepted. In some cases that we find more than one correct form, we show all possibilities in a square bracket. For example the string:
  از کاربر می‌گشتیم
  Can be splitted to 
  از کاربر می‌گشتیم [از کاربر می‌گشتیم، از کاربر می‌گشتیم]
  Which mean either ‘we were returning from work’ or ‘from user we were searching’ or ‘from work on we were searching’
  - Rewrite the words with different spellings or styles into a unique, standard writing. It changes ‘ی’ (Ezafe Construction) to “-ی(y) + half space”.
Table 2 shows some examples of the words with “hamza” that the program rewrites them into a standard writing.

| Input          | Output          |
|----------------|-----------------|
| ﻣﺴﺆوﻻن       | ﺶﻮﻻﻧم          |
| ﺎﻣﺮكمی       | ﺎﻣﺮكمی          |
| مؤثر          | ﺷر          |

Table 2: Examples of words with “hamza”

- Converts the spaces between parts of a word into a short space (or nothing) to concatenate separated parts of a single word.
- For Compound words like “روز نامه” (newspaper), the program writes its part with no space or with half space based on data base.
- Multi-Part Verb recognizer
  For Verbs like “اﺳﺖ رﻓﺘﻪ” (he/she is gone), that although we don’t need to write its parts with half space, we need to take them as one token. So the program returns both part as one token.
- Handling ambiguity
  “مﯽ” (mi) can be verb prefix or noun (means wine). If the next word has tag verb and the verb doesn’t have any other prefixes, “mi” is considered as verb prefix otherwise we sets its tag to noun. Table 3 shows some examples.

Table 3: Handling ambiguity in “مﯽ”

- “اي” (I or A) can have four different meaning:
  I) Name of English character ‘A’ that is written in Persian.
  If the previous word has noun tag and next word is dot, “اي” is considered as abbreviation. Table 4 shows an example.

Table 4: “اي” as abbreviation in the text

II) Suffix denoting second person
  If the previous word has verb tag and it is ended with ‘s’(h) and the next word is not dot, “اي” is written with one space from previous word. An example is represented in table 5.

Table 5: “اي” as verb prefix or interjection

III) Interjection
  If the previous word has verb tag and it is not ended with ‘s’(h) and the next word is not dot, “اي” is written with half space from previous word. Example is shown in table 6.

Table 6: “اي” as interjection

IV) Indefinite article
  If the previous word is noun that ended with ‘s’(h), and next word is not dot, “اي” is written with half space from previous word.

Table 7: “اي” as indefinite article

“و” (v: and) can be abbreviation or preposition. If the next or pervious word is dot it is considered as an abbreviation otherwise it is preposition.

In case of ambiguity in segmenter function, it shows all alternative tokenization solutions. Table 8 shows an example.

Table 8: different alternative in “دريابراید”

4.2 Morphological Analyzer

The morphological analysis subsystem of STeP-1 extracts stems and affixes using inflectional and some derivational morphological rules in Persian. This analysis uses POS tags of the stem and the whole word to find the best stemming path. The algorithm uses a Finite State Automation (FSA), a data base consisting of words and

Table 8: different alternative in “دريابراید”
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their Part Of Speech (POS) tags, a structure for keeping stem and its expected tags, two lists for keeping prefixes and postfixes which were eliminated from word and some rules for morphological analysis.

The data base contains 54347 Entries (Eslami, et al., 2004). Figure 1 shows part of this data base.

| Phonological Form | Written Form | Stem Code | Freq | Stem Pattern |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|------|--------------|
| 1                 | استادی    | A0        | 15   | WWV/S        |
| 2                 | استادیان   | A0        | 1    | WWV/S        |
| 3                 | استادیانی  | N1        | 2    | WWV/S        |
| 4                 | استادی    | A0        | 1    | WWV/S        |
| 5                 | استادیان | N1        | 15   | WWV/S        |
| 6                 | استادیانی | N1        | 1    | WWV/S        |
| 7                 | استادیانی | N1        | 510  | WWV/S        |

Table 9: some of the tags that are used in stemmer.

There are two FSAs, one for recognizing prefixes in the word and the other for recognizing suffixes. Figure 2 shows part of postfix FSA. “ha” is plural sign, “shv” is verb and “y” is indefinite article.

Figure 1: part of data base

The data base contains 33 different tags (Eslami, et al., 2004) that are used in stemmer. Table 9 shows some of the tags.

There are two FSAs, one for recognizing prefixes in the word and the other for recognizing suffixes.

Figure 2 shows part of postfix FSA. “ha” is plural sign, “shv” is verb and “y” is indefinite article.

After eliminating each affix from the word if we find the remaining in the lexicon with the expected POS tag, we accept it as a stem. Like, in word “تیمیزتر” (cleaner). When “تر” (er) is eliminated, the remaining part “تیمیز” (clean) has an adjective tag; therefore it is accepted as a valid stem. But in the word “کتاب تر” (booker), after eliminating “تر” (er), as “کتاب” (book) has noun tag, we don’t accept it as a stem.

Sometime we need to eliminate more than one affix to find the stem. In these cases, the system keeps the expecting tags for resulting words in a list. At the end, if the stem had one of the tags in the list, we accept this stem as a valid stem. This technique is used to prevent producing invalid stems for words.

There are special cases that even when the input is correct, the stemmer can not find any stem for the word. Like in the compound word “داستان آموزان” (students) that after eliminating “ان” (an: plural sign), program can not find the remaining, “داستان آموز” (student) in the data base. This is because there is space between two parts of the component word and we have this word with half space in the data base. In these cases we replace the space with half space and send the word to stemmer again.

Table 10: some rules in morphological analyzer

4.3 POS Tagger

The implemented POS tagger exploits a hybrid approach which is a combination of statistical and rule-based methods to tag Persian sentences. The proposed tagger uses a novel probabilistic morphological analysis to tag unknown words. In other words it is a bigram tagger improved by probabilistic morphological analysis (Fadaie & Shamsfard, 2009). It tags the unknown words according to their internal structure in addition to their context and external environment. Besides, it learns new words and adds them to the lexicon for further use. In the proposed system a tagged corpus is needed for calculating the probabilities of morphological rules which are used in the process of tagging. The output of the tagger can be used to learn new words and enrich a lexicon. Table 12 shows some examples of the Persian POS tagger.
To test the different parts of STeP-1 we use a lexicon (Zaya) (Eslami, et al., 2004) containing about 57,000 Entries with their POS tags. In the first series of testing the tokenizer an input text with 400 words involving 115 errors was given to tokenizer. The performance was about 87%. The main source of errors was in segmenting unknown words to find their parts. In another test the tokenizer was applied on a text which contained 100 sentences. This text has 80 derivative affixes, 61 verb prefixes, 15 verb postfixes, 10 acronyms and abbreviations, 34 dates and numbers and 16 concatenated words. The performance of the system was 86.6%. The main sources of error has been long distance dependencies between parts of compound verbs, the lack of lexicon and considered heuristics.

The morphological analyser, with 600 input words, we got about 98% recall and 93% precision. Over-stemming is the main source of errors in this part. In this program, we cover all kinds of verbs in Persian and all the inflectional forms of words. Also we cover 45 derivational affixes. The prefix FSA has 68 nodes that 43 are finals. The postfix FSA has 184 nodes that 126 nodes are finals. Morphology contains 38 rules.

The POS tagger was tested by a 10-fold cross validation method on 2,700,000 tokens of Bijan Khan corpus containing 12% unknown words. The overall precision of this tagger is 90.9% which is 11% better than the baseline (simple bigram tagger).

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented STeP-1, the first Persian standard text preparation system. STeP-1 receives an input Persian text and converts it into series of corrected standard tokens and their morphological stems and POS tags. STeP-1 can be used both as a style corrector and as the preprocessor in many NLP applications. The output of STeP-1 can be used in complex NLP systems for Persian language with good performance.
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