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INTRODUCTION

Building efficient photoactive molecular complexes around transition-metal (TM) ions generally necessitates employing cage-like or heteroleptic ligands to promote the emergence of multifunctionality. Such compounds frequently present an asymmetric first coordination sphere (1-CS) with chemically inequivalent metal–ligand (M–L) bond lengths. The sensitive balance between electronic and geometric factors determines the ground-state properties and the reactivity patterns of the TM complexes. Geometric trends within series of closely related families manifest through similarities in M–L bond lengths and bond angles, which are revealed by systematic structural studies in solution and in the crystalline phase. Such trends allow correlating the chemical composition and the connectivity of the ligands with the oxidation state and the coordination geometry of the metal ion. Electronic trends associated to the chemical functionalities (e.g., presence of aliphatic or aromatic groups) and to the donor/acceptor abilities of various ligands can be identified through nephelauxetic effects or spectroelectrochemical series. Such trends enable a detailed description of the synergetic covalency imparted by nonequivalent M–L bonds, which can be further influenced by solvation. Once tested and validated, the comprehensive relationships between electronic and geometric structures can be employed as design principles to guide the synthetic strategies and to benchmark the descriptive–predictive power of the theoretical methods.

Gaining similar insights for photoexcited TM complexes is a crucial step toward devising novel covalent assemblies with excited-state manifolds that are tailored toward specific light-driven functionalities and operando robustness. However, due to inherently short lifetimes, the key metastable species are difficult to detect and their molecular geometries often stand insufficiently characterized. In particular, the response of the nonequivalent M–L bonds to photoexcitation can rarely be inferred from indirect measurements so that it tends to be postulated or calculated in most cases.

Owing to their intrinsic spatial and temporal resolutions, the ultrafast X-ray methods currently developed at synchrotron and X-ray free electron laser facilities can deliver atomistic diagnostics about energy-rich systems as they evolve rapidly after photoabsorption. Specifically, transient X-ray absorption spectroscopy (TXAS) has been successfully employed to quantify the alterations within the 1-CS of photoexcited spin...
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switching complexes in terms of average M–L bond length changes. So far, very few studies have reported detecting unequal M–L bond elongations with satisfactory accuracy from the analysis of the near-edge region, and the extended range of their TXAS spectra. The present work demonstrates how TXAS achieving a high signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio can resolve the ultrafast changes of chemically inequivalent M–L bonds in the photoexcited state of the prototypical spin-switching complex \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})]^{2+}\) where tpen denotes \(N,N',N'',N''\text{-tetrakis}(2\text{-pyridylmethyl})\text{-1,2-ethylenediamine}\) solvated in acetonitrile (CH₃CN).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hexadentate tpen ligand is shown in Figure 1a. The 1-CS of the molecular complex \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})]^{2+}\) (Figure 1b) comprises two inequivalent types of nitrogen (N) atoms, namely, two aliphatic \(N_{\text{t}}, N_{\text{c}}\) (cyan) in the ethylenediamine linkage of the fused five-membered chelate rings coordinated in a cis fashion and four aromatic \(N_{\text{a}}, N_{\text{b}}, N_{\text{g}}, N_{\text{d}}\) (blue) in the six-membered pyridine (cyclic imine) rings.

Depending on the crystallization conditions (e.g., solvents, counterions), \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})]^{2+}\) exhibits different polymorphs. Nevertheless, for all reported structures, the asymmetric coordination of the Fe²⁺ ion induces a significant distortion in the 1-CS away from the octahedral \((O_6)\) symmetry toward the quasi-\(D_{3h}\) symmetry through a trigonal twist of the two planes \(N_{\text{t}}, N_{\text{c}}/N_{\text{g}}, N_{\text{d}}\) and \(N_{\text{a}}, N_{\text{b}}/N_{\text{g}}, N_{\text{d}}\), whereas the pyridine planes remain nearly perpendicular to each other. The four Fe–N\text{atomic} and the two Fe–N\text{aliphatic} are quasi-identical so that two inequivalent Fe–N bond lengths have to be considered for describing accurately the ground-state structure of \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})]^{2+}\).

At room temperature, the complex in CH₃CN is in the ground state, where the Fe²⁺ center is in the \((t_{2g})^6\) low-spin (LS) configuration. Upon laser excitation at \(\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 400\) nm, a fraction \(f\) of the molecules is promoted to the singlet Franck–Condon state that decays on the sub-picosecond time scale with a 100% yield into the metastable excited state where the Fe²⁺ center is in the \((t_{2g})^4(\epsilon_g)^2\) high-spin (HS) configuration. Figure 2a contains the amplitude and the phase for the \(\mu\text{user}_{\text{on}}(t)\) (blue trace) and \(\mu\text{user}_{\text{off}}\) (black trace) normalized XAS spectra at the Fe K edge \((E_0 = 7109\) keV) for a pump–probe delay of \(t = 150\) ps. The corresponding difference signal \(\Delta \mu(t) = \mu\text{user}_{\text{on}}(t) - \mu\text{user}_{\text{off}}\) is displayed in Figure 2b.

Figure S1 displays the \(k^2\)-weighted EXAFS functions, \(\chi(k)\), extracted from \(\mu\text{user}_{\text{off}}\) (black) of \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})]^{2+}\) solvated in CH₃CN and from \(\mu\text{powder}\) of the \([\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{tpen})](\text{PF}_6)_2\) salt (gray). It should be noted that the traces are identical, ruling out any influence of solvation.

The lineshape of \(\Delta \mu(t)\) in the near-edge region (inset Figure 2b) reflects the well-known spectral alterations due to the coupled changes in electronic and geometric structures triggered by the double population of the antibonding \(\epsilon_g\) orbital in the HS state of \([\text{Fe}^{II}N_6]\) complexes. The inset Figure 2c zooms on the pre-edge region. The splitting of the associated transition 1s \(\rightarrow 3d\), which is dipole-forbidden in the \(O_6\) symmetry, confirms the significant distortion of the 1-CS suggested by the crystal structures.

Considering the pronounced anisotropy of the Fe²⁺ ion, it is clear that the analysis of the TXAS signal needs to go beyond quantifying the elongation of a single average Fe–N bond length. The established fitting methodology is applied to extract the Fe–N\text{a} and Fe–N\text{p} of aromatic and aliphatic character from a fitting procedure where Fe–N\text{a} and Fe–N\text{p} constrained as \(\rho^*\text{Fe–N}_\alpha\) are chosen as free structural parameters for 1-CS. Since differences in distances between the metal ion and the nearest neighbors can manifest as a structural disorder, a single Debye–Waller factor was considered to allow distinguishing the contributions from two Fe–N bond lengths in the TXAS signal. Figure 3 shows the labeling of the atoms used in the analysis. Additional technical details are given in the Experimental Section.

Figure 4a,b contains the amplitude and the phase for the Fourier transform of \(k^2\chi^2(k)\) in real \((R)\) space for the LS and HS states, respectively, directly fitted from the \(\mu\text{user}_{\text{off}}\) and \(\mu\text{user}_{\text{on}}(t)\) spectra. The parameters derived for the first- and second-coordination shells (1-CS and 2-CS) from the analysis are summarized in Table 1.

A value of \(34 \pm 7\)% is obtained for \(f\) (Figure 4c) from a least-square minimization procedure. Figure 4d shows the reconstructed \(k^2\chi^2(k)\) for the excited HS state spectrum. The Fe–N\text{aliphatic} and Fe–N\text{atomic} differ by about \(0.04 \pm 0.04\).
Å in the LS state. This slight mismatch has been attributed to absence of π back-bonding from the saturated N_{aliphatic} to the Fe^{II} center. The TXAS analysis that obtained from DFT optimization summarized for the LS and HS structures clearly captures two different bond elongations in the photoinduced HS state: Fe–N_{aromatic} increases by 0.17 ± 0.04 Å, whereas Fe–N_{aliphatic} increases by 0.24 ± 0.04 Å. In the HS state, the two bond lengths now differ by 0.11 ± 0.03 Å. The difference ΔR = (Fe–N_{aromatic}) − (Fe–N_{aliphatic}) and the ratio ρ = Fe–N_{aromatic}/Fe–N_{aliphatic} for the structural parameters of the 1-CS are in excellent agreement with the results obtained from DFT optimization summarized for the LS and HS in Table 2.

It should be noted that a detailed comparison with crystallographic data cannot be provided due to the polymorphism exhibited by the complex. The increase in Fe–N_{aromatic} (+0.17 Å) is shorter than the ~+0.20 Å observed for [Fe^{II}(bpy)]_{2}^{2+} \text{12,13} and [Fe^{II}(phen)]_{2}^{2+} \text{10} which both display 1-CS with six N_{aromatic} nearest neighbors. The increase in Fe–N_{aliphatic} (+0.24 Å) is comparable to the one displayed by the HS synthetic analogues.\text{38} This asymmetric bond elongation can be ascribed to an intricate σ-donation and π-back-donation

Table 1. Fit Parameters for the 1-CS and 2-CS of the LS and HS States for [Fe^{II}(tpen)]_{2}^{2+} in CH_{3}CN\text{12}

|          | 1-CS                              | 2-CS                              |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|          | ΔE (eV)                           | R_{Fe–N_{aromatic}} (Å) (D = 4)   | R_{Fe–N_{aliphatic}} (Å) (D = 4) | σ_{Fe–N_{aromatic}} (Å) |
|          | S_{0}                             | 1,98                              | 2,02                             | 0,005 |
| LS       | −1.5 ± 0.02                       | ±0,02                             | ±0,02                            | ±0,003 |
|          | 0,4 ± 0,8                         | 2,15                              | 2,26                             | 0,005 |
| HS       | ±1,3 ± 0,02                       | ±0,02                             | ±0,02                            | ±0,011 |

\text{The k range extended from 2 to 10 Å}^{-1}, D indicates the degeneracy of the scattering paths in FEFF. The values kept constant during the optimization are indicated in italic. The corresponding excited state fraction (f) is 34 ± 7%.

Table 2. Comparison of the Aromatic and Aliphatic Fe–N Bond Distances in the LS and HS States of Solvated [Fe^{II}(tpen)]_{2}^{2+} Obtained from the Experiment and the DFT Calculations

| state     | Fe–N_{aromatic} (Å) (exp) | Fe–N_{aliphatic} (Å) (exp) | difference Δ (Å) | ratio ρ |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------|
| LS (exp)  | 1,98 ± 0,02               | 2,02 ± 0,02                | 0,04 ± 0,03      | 1,02   |
| HS (exp)  | 2,15 ± 0,02               | 2,26 ± 0,02                | 0,11 ± 0,03      | 1,05   |
| ΔR_{exp}  | 0,17 ± 0,04               | 0,24 ± 0,04                | 0,07 ± 0,04      |        |
| LS        | 1,969                     | 2,015                      | 0,046            | 1,023  |
| HS        | 2,163                     | 2,275                      | 0,112            | 1,052  |
| ΔR_{theo} | 0,194                     | 0,260                      | 0,066            |        |

Figure 3. Labeling of the different N and C atoms included in the analysis of the TXAS signal.

Figure 4. Amplitude and phase for the Fourier transform of the EXAFS function k^2χ(k) along with the best fit for (a) LS ground state and (b) HS excited state. (c) Fitted excited state fraction (f); the best-fit value being 34 ± 7%. (d) EXAFS function, k^2χ(k), of the HS excited state for [Fe^{II}(tpen)]_{2}^{2+}.
redistribution. Overall, the net structural effect of the photoinduced LS–HS transition is a large opening of the $N_3$–Fe–$N_6$ angle (where the two $N_{\text{amit}}$ atoms are located trans to $N_{\text{dipic}}$) from 109 to 132°, yielding an intraligand groove that should promote preferential interaction with solvent molecules. The detailed investigation of the solvation dynamics that accompanies the photoinduced spin-state transition in $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})]^2$ with combined picosecond and sub-picosecond transient X-ray spectroscopy and scattering will be presented in future reports.

### CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the present study on the spin-switching complex $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})]^2$ solvated in CH$_3$CN illustrates the distinct strength of TXAS to extract reliable structural parameters for photoexcited complexes with multidentate ligand scaffolds that display polymorphism or that cannot be easily crystallized. Moreover, it can be expected that this structural technique will be generally employed to deliver advanced diagnostic about the ultrafast evolution of bond lengths in heteroleptic molecular complexes with definite sensitivity toward chemical functionalities.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### Materials

$[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})][\text{PF}_6]^2$ was synthesized according to the reported protocol. All reagents were used as received from commercial sources without further purification.

#### DFT Optimizations

The DFT optimizations for the LS and HS states of $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})]^2$ solvated in CH$_3$CN were performed with the Gaussian09 program package [1] using the dispersion-corrected PBE-D3 functional [2] and the TZVP basis set of triple-zeta-polarized quality [3] and without symmetry constraints. Solvent effects were included with the polarizable continuum model using the integral equation formalism variant (IEFPCM) [4].

#### Transient X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (TXAS)

The transient X-ray absorption spectroscopy (TXAS) measurements were conducted at beamline 11-ID-D of the Advanced Photon Source (APS, Argonne National Laboratory). The configuration of the experimental optical pump X-ray probe setup was similar to the one used adequately in previous studies. The laser pulses employed as the optical pump were generated by doubling the output of a Ti:sapphire laser, yielding 400 nm laser pulses with an ∼15 ps FWHM at a 3 kHz repetition rate. The electron bunches stored in the APS ring delivered pulses with an 80 ps FWHM at 6.5 MHz repetition rate that were employed as an X-ray probe. The molecular complex $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})][\text{PF}_6]^2$ was dissolved in acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN) to a concentration of 1.8 mM, which is below the $N_m$ threshold.

Downstream, a metallic Fe foil was placed between two detectors, two avalanche photodiodes (APDs) were placed on both sides at 90° angle from the pump—probe interaction region to collect the secondary emitted X-ray fluorescence photons. A combination of Soller slits with a $(Z-1)$ Mn filter was inserted between the sample jet and the APD detectors, thereby reducing strongly the background due to elastic X-ray scattering. A third APD was employed to monitor the intensity of the incident X-ray beam. For signal processing, the outputs of the two APDs were fed to two fast analyzer cards (Agilent) that were triggered at 3 kHz by some scattered laser light purposely directed onto an additional photodiode. The X-ray fluorescence signals were then digitized by the card as a function of time at 1 ns/point following each trigger pulse. The fluorescence signals from the synchronized X-ray pulse at a delay $t$ of 150 ps after the laser pulse pump excitation were accumulated to construct the TXAS trace $\mu_{\text{laser-on}}(t)$. The fluorescence signals from the same X-ray pulse averaged over the 50 round trips in the storage ring that precede the pump event were recorded to construct the corresponding TXAS trace $\mu_{\text{laser-off}}$ for the complex in the ground state. The acquisition software performed the shot-to-shot normalization of the X-ray pulse intensities. Following such a data acquisition scheme, the $\mu_{\text{laser-on}}(t)$ and $\mu_{\text{laser-off}}$ Spectra were taken under the exact same experimental conditions for the sample, the laser, and the beamline status, which allowed canceling out any error associated to drifts. Building the difference signal $\Delta \mu = [\mu_{\text{laser-on}}(t) - \mu_{\text{laser-off}}]$ that stems from the fraction of molecules in the excited state delivers the transient XA spectrum of the photoinduced HS state for $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{tpen})]^2$. The integrity of the sample was checked over time by comparing from scan to scan the lineshapes of the TXAS trace $\mu_{\text{laser-off}}$ in the XANES region. No change induced by possible radiation damage could be identified through the duration of the measurements.

#### TXAS Analysis and Fitting Procedure

The Horae suite was used for processing and analysis. The Athena program was first employed to preprocess the experimental TXAS signal and to extract the normalized EXAFS function, $\chi(k)$, as a function of the photoelectron wave number, $k$, defined by

$$k = \sqrt{2m(E - E_0)}/\hbar$$

where $m$ is the mass of photoelectron, $E_0$ is the absorption edge energy, and $E$ is the incident X-ray energy.

Since the ground-state (GS) spectrum, $\mu_{\text{laser-off}}$, and the excited (ES) spectrum, $\mu_{\text{laser-on}}$, were measured simultaneously, the same normalization and background removal procedures were followed when extracting the experimental EXAFS function $\chi_{\text{exp}}(k)$.

The Artemis program based on FEFF6 in Horae was then employed to fit $\chi_{\text{exp}}(k)$ to the theoretical expression of the oscillation amplitude in the EXAFS region $\chi_{\text{theo}}(k)$. This function was calculated according to

$$\chi_{\text{GS}}(k) = \sum_i \frac{N_{\text{S}}^2}{kR_{\text{S}}^2} e^{-2R_i/kR_{i}^2} e^{-2kR_i^2} \sin[2kR_i]$$
where \( i \) indicates the \( i \)th shell of identical backscatters, \( N_i \) is the coordination number of the \( i \)th shell, \( S_i^2 \) is the amplitude reduction factor accounting for relaxation of the absorbing atom, \( F(k) \) is the backscattering amplitude, \( R_i \) is the average distance between the center atom and backscatters, \( \sigma_i \) is the mean square variation in \( R_i \), \( \delta_i \) is the scattering phase shift due to the \( i \)th shell, and \( \chi(k) \) is the effective mean free path.

The geometry of the LS state obtained from the DFT optimization was taken as a starting guess for the GS structure of \([\text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{tpen})]^2+\) in \( \text{CH}_3\text{CN} \). The contributions from all the paths in the first three scattering shells \( \text{Fe} = \text{N}_a\beta, \text{Fe} = \text{C}_a\beta,\gamma,\delta \) and \( \text{Fe} = \text{N}_a\beta,\gamma,\delta \) were included in the fitting. The atoms involved in those paths are labeled in Figure 3 of the main text. The shells share a common \( S_i^2 \) and \( \sigma_i^2 \). The parameters \( R_i \), \( \sigma_i \), and \( \delta_i \) were refined through the standard least-square minimization of the \( k^2 \)-weighted EXAFS function, \( k^2\chi(k) \), with \( k \) ranging from 2.3 to 11.0 Å\(^{-1} \). The Fourier transform of \( k^2\chi(k) \), with \( k \) ranging from 2.0 to 10.0 Å\(^{-1} \), was fitted in \( R \) space in the range of 1–3.2 Å. The error bars were returned by Artemis.

For the ES, a set of excited EXAFS functions were constructed based on equation:

\[
\chi_{\text{ES}}(k) = \sum_i \frac{N_i S_i^2}{k R_i^2} f(k) e^{-2k/\lambda_i(k)} e^{-2k \sigma_i^2 \sin[2kR_i]}
\]

where \( E_{\text{th}} \), \( S_i \), \( N_i \), and \( \sigma_i^2 \) are fixed to the values obtained for the GS.

To reduce the number of free parameters, the variations in \( R_i \) were constrained by introducing the parameter \( \rho \), defined as:

\[
R_{\text{Fe-N}} = \rho R_{\text{Fe-0}}.
\]

The variable \( \rho \) was varied from 0.5 to 1. Since the experimental EXAFS function for the ES state is extracted as:

\[
\chi_{\text{ES}}^{\text{exp}}(k) = \chi_{\text{laser-off}}(k) + 1/f^* \left[ \chi_{\text{laser-on}}(k) - \chi_{\text{laser-off}}(k) \right]
\]

the excited state fraction \( f \) needs to be considered as an extra fitting parameter. The geometry of the HS state obtained from the DFT optimization was taken as a starting guess for the ES structure. The fitting parameters and their error bars were extracted from a least-square minimization procedure, and the error bars were obtained from error propagation.\(^{25} \)
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