The Glucocorticoid Receptor in Intestinal Epithelial Cells Alleviates Colitis and Associated Colorectal Cancer in Mice
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SUMMARY

The glucocorticoid receptor in intestinal epithelial cells plays a crucial role in colonic inflammation by regulating gene expression, leukocyte recruitment, and epithelial barrier permeability, and thereby indirectly impacts tumorigenesis in the colon.

BACKGROUND & AIMS: Inflammatory bowel disease is commonly treated by administration of glucocorticoids. While the importance of intestinal epithelial cells for the pathogenesis of this disorder is widely accepted, their role as target cells for glucocorticoids has not been explored. To address this issue, we induced colonic inflammation in GRvillin mice, which carry an inducible deletion of the glucocorticoid receptor in intestinal epithelial cells.

METHODS: Colitis and colitis-associated colorectal cancer were induced by administration of dextran sulfate sodium and azoxymethane in mice. Clinical parameters, epithelial permeability and tumor development were monitored during disease progression. Colon tissue, lamina propria cells and intestinal epithelial cells were examined by gene expression analyses, flow cytometry, histopathology, and immunohistochemistry.

RESULTS: The absence of the intestinal epithelial glucocorticoid receptor aggravated clinical symptoms and tissue damage, and compromised epithelial barrier integrity during colitis. Gene expression of chemokines, pattern recognition receptors and molecules controlling epithelial permeability was dysregulated in intestinal epithelial cells of GRvillin mice, leading to a reduced recruitment and a hyperactivation of leukocytes in the lamina propria of the colon. Importantly, the exaggerated inflammatory response in GRvillin mice also enhanced associated tumorigenesis, resulting in a higher number and larger size of tumors in the colon.

CONCLUSIONS: Our results reveal an important role of intestinal epithelial cells as targets of glucocorticoid action in inflammatory bowel disease and suggest that the efficacy with which colitis is kept at bay directly affects the progression of colorectal cancer. (Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;11:1505–1518; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2020.12.006)
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The luminal surface of the gut is the largest area of the body that is in constant contact with the outer environment. As a first line of protection, intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) prevent the invasion of potentially harmful microorganisms. Disruption of the epithelial barrier not only causes infections but can also lead to chronic intestinal inflammation, which is commonly referred to as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). There are 2 major forms of IBD, namely ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease, which share many clinical symptoms such as abdominal pain, weight loss, diarrhea, and rectal bleeding. UC is the more common form of IBD, also occurs in younger individuals, and represents a major risk factor for the development of colitis-associated colorectal cancer (CA-CRC), one of the most frequent tumor entities worldwide.\(^2\)-\(^4\)

Currently, there is no cure for IBD. Although treatment with monoclonal antibodies targeting tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and VLA-4 (very late antigen 4) provided promising results, glucocorticoids (GCs) remain the mainstay in IBD therapy. Patients suffering from severe symptoms or experiencing an acute relapse commonly receive high doses of GCs. Once remission is achieved, the dose is tapered, which is important because prolonged administration of GCs is accompanied by adverse effects such as diabetes, osteoporosis, and hypertension. These complications arise when the drug binds to the ubiquitously expressed GC receptor (GR) in cell types involved in a variety of physiological processes. Hence, a better understanding of the mode of GC action in the treatment of IBD might help to develop better therapeutic strategies.

Considerable efforts have been made to unveil the mechanisms of GCs in controlling clinical symptoms of UC, frequently using the colitis mouse model induced by dextran sulfate sodium (DSS). Our own work for instance revealed the importance of GC activities in macrophages and neutrophils in this disease. We could show that GR deletion in myeloid cells compromised the resolution of the inflammatory response in DSS-induced colitis, whereas the acute phase was unaffected. More specifically, macrophage infiltration into the inflamed colon as well as their polarization was altered. Several other publications also reported on attempts to treat DSS-induced colitis in rodent models with GCs, albeit such an approach provided inconsistent results. In contrast to the beneficial effects of GCs in IBD patients, dexamethasone treatment of acute colitis aggravated clinical symptoms rather than ameliorating them, a phenomenon which is not yet understood. Based on this observation, the analysis of GR knockout mice currently appears to be the preferred strategy to explore GC activities in experimental colitis.

Progress has also been made in understanding the mechanisms that underlie the disruption of intestinal homeostasis in IBD. Prominent participants in this process are IECs, the resident and infiltrating immune cells in the lamina propria, as well as the microbiota. IECs serve as a communication interface between these 3 components. On the one hand, they are able to detect and recognize potentially harmful microorganisms, and on the other hand, they transmit and receive signals to and from immune cells that reside in the lamina propria. Thus, IECs are ideally positioned to function as a rheostat of mucosal gut immunity.

There is a long-established relationship between a dysfunctional intestinal epithelium and IBD. Several studies supported an association between an increased intestinal permeability and the inflammatory response in the acute phase of the disease. Only very little information, however, is available as to the role of GC activities in IECs in humans and mice. One recent publication reported that proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines were transiently upregulated after selective deletion of the intestinal epithelial GR, but whether these moderate effects impact the severity of colitis remained unknown. Whereas IECs are undoubtedly important players in the pathogenesis of IBD, their role as targets of GC activity has thus not yet been explored in detail.

UC is a major risk factor for the development of CRC in young individuals. Inflammation in the colon initiates multiple events such as the secretion of cytokines and reactive oxygen species that are aimed at the elimination of pathogens and tissue repair. However, these mediators can also induce gene mutations, in particular in IECs, and thereby foster tumorigenesis. There are a number of signaling pathways involved in the development of CA-CRC. For example, the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) pathway is crucial in the context of inflammation and also promotes the proliferation and survival of tumor cells. Experimental evidence that this pathway is involved in CA-CRC was obtained by using IKKβ knockout mice. In a mouse model of CA-CRC induced by the combined administration of azoxymethane (AOM) and DSS it could be shown that the tumor incidence was significantly reduced when established in mice selectively lacking IKKβ in IECs. Furthermore, it was found that serum levels of interleukin (IL)-6, which is synthesized in an NF-κB–dependent manner, correlated with the stage and size of tumors in human CRC. Prostaglandin synthesis is also associated with tumorigenesis as it could be shown that tumor burden was reduced in COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2) knockout mice tested in a genetic model of CRC. In addition, studies in mice and humans indicate a protective role of the COX-2 inhibitor aspirin for the development of CRC. Overall, there is a plethora of evidence that inflammatory pathways impact CRC, suggesting that there could be a correlation between the anti-inflammatory activity of GCs in the colon and tumorigenesis as well.

In this work, we investigated the impact of GC activities in IECs by using 2 models of UC and CA-CRC established in mice carrying an inducible deletion of the intestinal epithelial GR. Our finding that the severity of DSS-induced colitis was enhanced in mutant mice and that this had a direct impact on tumor burden in the AOM/DSS model warrants efforts to further optimize GC therapy of IBD, for instance by targeted delivery of these drugs to IECs.

Results

**GR Deletion in IECs Aggravates DSS-Induced Colitis**

GR\(^{\text{villin}}\) mice carrying an inducible deletion of the GR in IECs were employed to investigate the role of GCs in the
colon using mouse models of UC and CA-CRC. Gene recombination was induced by oral administration of tamoxifen and selectively occurred in the jejunum, ileum, and colon but not in the stomach of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice (Figure 1A). No recombination of the GR locus was observed in GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice. The epithelial lining in the colon of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice was devoid of any GR immunoreactivity, whereas cells in the lamina propria and tunica muscularis still expressed the GR (Figure 1B). In GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, the GR was present in all cells of the colon.

DSS-treatment of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ and GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice resulted in a progressive reduction in body weight, an effect that was exaggerated in the absence of the GR in IECs (Figure 1C and D). The disease activity index (DAI) score, which combines 3 major clinical hallmarks of colitis, started to rise shortly after the onset of DSS treatment, was aggravated until day 10, and was higher in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ than GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice (Figure 1E). The body weight and DAI score of control mice remained unaltered regardless of the genotype (Figure 1D and E). The length of the colon at day 10 was reduced in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ compared with GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, whereas IL-6 serum levels were increased (Figure 1F and G). These data collectively suggest that GR deletion in IECs exacerbates experimentally induced colitis in mice.

**Increased Tissue Damage and Epithelial Permeability in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ Mice**

DSS-treatment causes extensive tissue destruction in the colon. Evaluation of histological sections collected at day 10 revealed enhanced tissue damage in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ compared with GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice as reflected by the increased histological score, whereas no abnormalities were seen in control mice (Figure 2A and B). Because DSS treatment compromises epithelial barrier integrity as well, we assessed its permeability with an assay in which the amount of Evan’s blue (EB) dye is quantified that diffuses from the lumen of the colon into the tissue. As expected, mice suffering from DSS-induced colitis contained more EB in the tissue at day 10 than healthy control mice (Figure 2C and D). Importantly, EB accumulation in diseased GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice was higher than in GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, which indicates that the epithelial permeability was enhanced (Figure 2C and D). We conclude that GR deletion in IECs exacerbates both tissue destruction and the loss of epithelial barrier integrity during DSS-induced colitis.

**High-Throughput Gene Expression Analysis of the Inflamed Colon**

To obtain a first impression of the gene expression profile in the colon of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice during DSS-induced colitis, a microfluidic dynamic array platform for high-throughput quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was employed. Analysis of 12 selected genes in colon biopsies collected from healthy and diseased mice at day 10 revealed 4 distinct expression patterns (Figure 3). Chemokines of the Cxcl family were upregulated in GR$^{\text{flox}}$ but not GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice during DSS-induced colitis, whereas chemokines of the Ccl family were even higher in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ compared with GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice. Members of the Tlr family were generally more abundant in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ than GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, while the gene encoding ornithine transcarboxylase (Otc), which is preferentially expressed by epithelial cells, was downregulated during DSS-induced colitis regardless of the genotype.

**Cell-Intrinsic Effects of GR Deletion in IECs**

Considering the cell-type specificity of the mutation in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice, we next investigated gene expression in IECs purified from the colon by quantitative RT-PCR. Expression of Cxcl1, Cxcl5, and Ccl5, 3 chemokines known to play an important role in the pathogenesis of colitis, was upregulated during DSS-induced colitis in GR$^{\text{flox}}$ but not in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice (Figure 4). This finding indicates that the GR is required for full induction of chemokine expression in IECs during inflammation. Some other genes, however, were overexpressed in IECs of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ compared with GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, indicating a loss of repression due to the deletion of the GR. This situation was found for Tlr4, which is involved in pathogen sensing, as well as Tnfr2 and Mlick, 2 genes that cooperate in the control of epithelial barrier integrity (Figure 4). Based on these data, we conclude that the GR uses different transcriptional mechanisms to regulate gene expression in IECs.

**Reduced Leukocyte Infiltration in GR$^{\text{villin}}$ Mice**

Chemokines secreted by IECs including Cxcl1, Cxcl5, and CCL5 play an important role in attracting leukocytes such as neutrophils and monocytes. Hence, we asked whether their reduced expression in IECs of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice had any impact on the infiltration of myeloid cells during DSS-induced colitis. To address this question, lamina propria cells (LPCs) were isolated from healthy and diseased mice at day 10, and the abundance of different leukocyte subtypes was compared between GR$^{\text{villin}}$ and GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice by flow cytometry. Neutrophils were defined as CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^+$ cells, while CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^-$ cells were considered as macrophages. Inflammatory macrophages were distinguished from resting ones based on their expression of Ly6C (Figure 5). In agreement with the regulation of chemokine expression in IECs, the abundance of neutrophils, total macrophages, and inflammatory macrophages in the lamina propria of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice was reduced during DSS-induced colitis compared with GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice, whereas no differences were found in control mice (Figure 6A). This finding indicates that the recruitment of myeloid cells into the inflamed colon is impaired in the absence of the GR in IECs.

**Hyperactivation of Infiltrating Leukocytes in the Colon of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ Mice**

Despite the lower number of neutrophils and macrophages in the colon of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice during DSS-induced colitis, clinical and histological hallmarks of colitis were exacerbated in mutant mice. Therefore, we examined the expression of proinflammatory genes in LPCs isolated from the colon. Surprisingly, Cxcl1, Cxcl5, and Ccl5 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels in LPCs of GR$^{\text{villin}}$ mice were higher than in GR$^{\text{flox}}$ mice during DSS-induced colitis (Figure 6B). In addition, mRNA levels of Il1b, Il6, and Ptgs2 (Cox2) were also...
Figure 1. Inducible deletion of the GR in IECs and its impact on DSS-induced colitis. (A) GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice received tamoxifen over a 5-day period. Three weeks later, genomic DNA was prepared from 4 different regions of the gastrointestinal tract and amplified with primers distinguishing between the unrecombined flox and the recombined null allele of the GR locus. One representative experiment out of 2 is shown. (B) Paraffin sections were prepared from the colon of mice treated as in panel A and stained with an anti-GR antibody. One representative microphotograph each is depicted at 20× magnification. Scale bar = 200 μm. (C) Experimental setup of the DSS-induced colitis model. (D, E) Mice were treated as outlined in panel C. Control (con) mice received tap water only. The body weight is depicted relative to the weight measured at day 0, and the DAI score was calculated based on stool consistence, intestinal bleeding, and weight loss. GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice: n = 6/31, GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice: n = 7/39 (con/DSS). (F) The colon length of mice sacrificed at day 8 or 10 of DSS treatment or control mice was measured from the ileocecal junction to the rectum and is depicted in relation to the body weight. GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice: n = 4/7/9, GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice: n = 3/9/13 (con/day 8/day 10). (G) IL-6 serum levels of mice sacrificed at day 8 or 10 of DSS treatment or control mice were analyzed by ELISA. GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice: n = 3/3/4, GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice: n = 2/7/6 (con/8/10). All values are depicted as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by 1-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison test. Statistical analysis in panels D and E was performed individually for each day and is depicted for the comparison of DSS-treated GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice. Statistical analysis in panels C and D was performed for the entire data set and is depicted for the comparison of GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice at each time point. Levels of significance: *P < .05; **P < .01; n.s., nonsignificant (P > .5).
overexpressed in LPCs of GR<sup>villin</sup> mice during DSS-induced colitis (Figure 6B). This observation unveils a hyperactivation of LPCs, presumably concerning the infiltrating neutrophils and macrophages. Because the GR is expressed at normal levels in these cells, it is fair to assume that the observed effect is a consequence of the aggravated tissue damage and probably also the increased microbial invasion in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice.

**Enhanced Tumorigenesis in the Colon of GR<sup>villin</sup> Mice**

Colitis is an important risk factor for the development of CRC. Therefore, we asked whether the enhanced severity of DSS-induced colitis in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice had any impact on tumorigenesis initiated by the carcinogenic agent AOM. Mice in which the GR had been selectively deleted in IECs were treated with DSS to elicit colitis as well as with AOM to initiate tumor development (Figure 7A). Similar to our earlier finding, DSS-induced colitis in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice was significantly more severe than in GR<sup>flox</sup> mice as indicated by the higher DAI score (Figure 7B). Starting in week 7, tumorigenesis was monitored by colonoscopy until tumors of stage S3 had developed (Figure 8). Macroscopic inspection in week 9 revealed that most tumors were localized at the rectal part of the colon (Figure 7C). Histological analysis demonstrated a diffuse epithelial morphology of the tumors and confirmed that the GR was absent from these epithelial-like cells (Figure 7D). Most importantly, the average number of tumors in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice was significantly higher than in GR<sup>flox</sup> mice, and their size was increased as well (Figure 7E). Consequently, the overall tumor burden in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice was more than twice as large as in GR<sup>flox</sup> mice (Figure 7E). Interestingly, the abundance of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD68<sup>+</sup> myeloid cells in GR<sup>villin</sup> and GR<sup>flox</sup> mice was similar, both in the tumor itself and in the adjacent mucosal tissue (Figure 9A and B). The enhanced tumorigenesis in GR<sup>villin</sup> mice therefore seems to be unrelated to differences in leukocyte infiltration.
Tumor Progression Is Linked to the Severity of DSS-Induced Colitis

The increased tumor burden in GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice could be related to the aggravated colitis or directly caused by the absence of the GR in IECs. To distinguish between these 2 possibilities, we induced colitis by DSS treatment before the GR was deleted in IECs (Figure 10A). As expected, the severity of clinical symptoms based on the DAI score was similar in mice of both genotypes, which is consistent with the unaltered GR expression in IECs under these conditions (Figure 10B). Once the disease had started to resolve, the GR was deleted by tamoxifen administration and tumorigenesis was monitored by colonoscopy until week 9. Macroscopic inspection of the colon revealed a comparable number and similar area of tumors in GR\textsuperscript{villin} and GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice in this experimental setting (Figure 10C). Hence, there was also no difference in the overall tumor burden between genotypes (Figure 10C). This observation confirms that tumorigenesis is directly linked to the severity of colitis rather than being related to the presence of the GR in IECs.

Discussion

Synthetic GCs such as prednisolone are widely used to treat UC despite being complicated by the occurrence of steroid resistance and various side effects. In principle, GCs can act on any cell type in the colon including resident and infiltrating leukocytes as well as IECs. Previous work from

![Figure 3. Gene expression analysis of the colon in DSS-induced colitis using high-throughput quantitative RT-PCR. GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice were treated with tamoxifen to initiate GR deletion in IECs and subsequently received DSS to induce colitis. Control (con) mice received only tap water. The colon was dissected from mice sacrificed at day 10 of DSS treatment or untreated mice and total RNA was isolated from the entire organ. Gene expression analysis was done using a microfluidic dynamic array platform. Differences in gene expression are visualized using a color code, with the lowest expression depicted in blue and the highest expression in red. Gene expression of untreated (con) GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice was arbitrarily set to 1. Genes were grouped based on their different patterns of regulation.](image)

![Figure 4. Gene expression analysis of IECs prepared from the colon in DSS-induced colitis. GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice were treated with tamoxifen to initiate GR deletion in IECs and subsequently received DSS to induce colitis. Control (con) mice received only tap water. IECs were prepared from the colon of DSS-treated mice at day 10 or control mice, and gene expression was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. Relative mRNA levels of Cxcl1, Cxcl2, and Ccl5 as well as Tlr4, Tnfr2, and Mlc5 were determined by normalization to the housekeeping gene Hprt. Expression levels in untreated (con) GR\textsuperscript{flox} mice were arbitrarily set to 1. GR\textsuperscript{flox}, con: n = 5–6, GR\textsuperscript{flox}, DSS: n = 6–11, GR\textsuperscript{villin}, con: n = 5–8, GR\textsuperscript{villin}, DSS: n = 3–7. All values are depicted as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by 1-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison test and is depicted for the comparison of GR\textsuperscript{flox} and GR\textsuperscript{villin} mice at each time point. Levels of significance: *P < .05; **P < .01; n.s., nonsignificant (P > .5).](image)
our laboratory revealed that GC effects on myeloid cells in the colon play an important role in the resolution phase of DSS-induced colitis, as the disease failed to resolve when the GR was absent in neutrophils and macrophages. The role of the GR in IECs in the context of colitis, however, remained unclear. IECs fulfill a variety of crucial functions in the context of inflammatory diseases. They form a tight barrier against invading microorganisms from the lumen of the gut, are able to recognize bacteria by expressing pattern recognition receptors, and communicate this information to cells of the immune system by secreting chemoattractants. It is against this background that we hypothesized that GC activities in IECs play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of DSS-induced colitis.

In this work, we used the mouse model of DSS-induced colitis to further clarify the role of the GR in the colon. Importantly, our findings unveil a critical function of the intestinal epithelial GR in this disease and presumably UC as well. After selective GR ablation in IECs, DSS-induced colitis was aggravated. In contrast to the deletion of the GR in myeloid cells, the acute phase of the disease was affected rather than its resolution. GRvillin mice lost more weight than GRflox mice, the clinical symptoms were aggravated, and the reduction in colon length was more pronounced. Furthermore, IL-6 serum levels as well as tissue damage in the colon and the concomitant loss of epithelial barrier integrity were enhanced in GRvillin compared with GRflox mice. These findings indicate that the activity of endogenous GCs in IECs is instrumental in keeping DSS-induced colitis at bay.

Chemokines such as CXCL1 and CXCL5 are produced by IECs during colitis and serve to attract neutrophils and other immune cells. Consequently, DSS-induced colitis was found to be aggravated in CXCL1-deficient mice, which was accompanied by a reduced neutrophil infiltration into the colon. Intriguingly, gene expression of several chemokines including Cxcl1 was failed to be induced in IECs of GRvillin mice during DSS-induced colitis, which was associated with an exacerbated disease course and diminished neutrophil numbers in situ. This suggests that one mechanism by which GCs control colitis is the regulation of chemokine expression in IECs. While our data are in agreement with the supposed function of chemokines during colitis, they were unexpected from a mechanistic point of view, as GCs are mostly believed to suppress expression of inflammatory mediators. Nevertheless, our observation is not unprecedented. In multiple sclerosis patients, for instance, it was shown that GCs increased T cell and monocyte migration along chemokine gradients. It thus appears to be a common principle that GC promote chemoattraction during inflammation rather than diminishing it. From a physiological point of view, the reduced neutrophil infiltration into the colon of GRvillin mice should result in an impaired eradication of invading microorganisms, which could explain the aggravated DSS-induced colitis observed in mutant mice. We further suspect that the compromised clearance of pathogens in the colon accounts for the observed leukocyte hyperactivation, too, as revealed by the overexpression of several proinflammatory genes by LPCs.

GCs are also involved in the control of epithelial barrier integrity. Tumor necrosis factor α increases epithelial permeability during inflammation by binding to tumor necrosis factor receptor 2 (TNFR2) expressed on IECs and subsequently inducing MLCK (myosin light chain kinase), an effect that is counteracted by GCs. TNF-α–induced barrier dysfunction also involves an upregulation of TNFR2, which is in line with the observation that TNFR2-deficient mice are protected from experimentally induced colitis. The upregulation of Thf2r and Mlck in IECs of GRvillin mice would therefore well explain the increased epithelial permeability in the colon.

Another central function of IECs is the recognition of harmful microorganisms in the gut lumen, which is achieved by expressing multiple pattern recognition receptors. TLR4 (Toll-like receptor 4) for instance is upregulated in IEC cell lines by proinflammatory cytokines as well as in IECs of specimens collected from IBD patients. These observations point toward an association between the severity of colitis and TLR4 expression in IECs.
IECs similar to what we observed in GR villin mice. We therefore propose that endogenous GCs repress Tlr4 expression in IECs and thereby limit the activation of NF-κB signaling by invading bacteria. In the absence of the GR in IECs, Tlr4 is overexpressed and thereby exaggerates inflammation in the colon.

UC is a risk factor for the development of CRC, which is mainly explained by the increased production of proinflammatory mediators. NF-κB signaling, IL-6 serum levels and COX-2 expression, for instance, were previously shown to contribute to tumorigenesis in the colon. Increased gene expression of Il1b, Il6, and Ptg2 (Cox2) in GR villin mice thus can be expected to enhance tumor growth, although it is possible that the enhanced tissue damage contributes to an accumulation of gene mutations in IECs, too. Overall, our findings support the notion that there is a correlation between the severity of DSS-induced colitis, the underlying pathogenic events and the tumor burden in the AOM/DSS model. This conclusion is strengthened by our observation that the tumor burden was unaltered when deleting the GR after the acute phase of colitis, indicating that the severity of inflammation rather than the cell-intrinsic expression of the GR in IECs determines the strength of tumorigenesis.

Collectively, we provide evidence that endogenous GCs modulate the severity of colitis via their activity in IECs, which directly affects tumorigenesis in the colon. Hence, a better management of colitis by optimizing strategies of GC therapy could possibly reduce the risk of CA-CRC in human patients.
Materials and Methods

Animal Experimentation

GR<sup>fl</sup>ox mice (Nr3c1<sup>tm2GSc</sup>) and Villin-Cre<sup>ERT2</sup> mice (Tg(Vil1-cre/ERT2)<sup>23Syr</sup>) on a C57BL/6 background have been described previously and were crossbred to generate GR<sup>villin</sup> mice (Nr3c1<sup>tm2GSc</sup>;Tg(Vil1-cre/ERT2)<sup>23Syr</sup>) as well as GR<sup>fl</sup>ox littermate control mice. All mice were kept in individually ventilated cages under specific pathogen-free conditions at the University Medical Center Göttingen, and only female mice were used at the age of 10–12 weeks. Animal experimentation was conducted according to international guidelines and approved by the responsible national authorities (Nds. Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit; Az: 33.9-42502-04-16/2075).

Recombination of the GR gene locus was induced by application of 9-mg tamoxifen in sunflower oil by oral gavage over a 5-day period. Successful recombination of the 2 loxP sites was confirmed as previously described.

Figure 8. Monitoring tumorigenesis by colonoscopy. Representative photographs of stage S0 to S3 tumors in mice subjected to the AOM/DSS model of CA-CRC taken with the camera mounted to the colonoscope.

Figure 7. Impact of GR deletion in IECs on tumorigenesis in the AOM/DSS model. (A) Experimental setup of the AOM/DSS model. (B) GR<sup>fl</sup>ox and GR<sup>villin</sup> mice were treated as outlined in panel A. Control (con) mice received tap water instead of DSS. The DAI score was calculated based on stool consistency, intestinal bleeding and weight loss. GR<sup>fl</sup>ox mice: n = 12/15, GR<sup>villin</sup> mice: n = 12/17 (con/DSS). (C) DSS-treated mice of both genotypes were sacrificed in week 9 and macroscopically inspected for the presence of tumors. A representative photograph of a colon with tumors marked by asterisks, along with a ruler for size estimate, is depicted. (D) Swiss rolls were prepared from the colon of DSS-treated mice sacrificed in week 9. Paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin or analyzed by immunohistochemistry after incubation with an anti-GR antibody. Representative photomicrographs acquired at 20× magnification are depicted for both genotypes. Scale bar = 500 μm (hematoxylin and eosin) or 75 μm (GR). (E) Tumors in the colon were enumerated and their size was measured using a caliper rule. The tumor area was calculated according to the formula [(length + width) × 0.5]<sup>2</sup>, and the total tumor area was obtained by multiplying tumor number and area for each mouse. GR<sup>fl</sup>ox mice: n = 12, GR<sup>villin</sup> mice: n = 12. Values are depicted as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis of panel B was performed by 1-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison test and is depicted for the comparison of GR<sup>fl</sup>ox and GR<sup>villin</sup> mice treated with DSS; data in panel E were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test. Levels of significance: *P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001; n.s., nonsignificant (P > .5).
Mouse Model of DSS-Induced Colitis

Disease induction was essentially performed as previously described using DSS at a concentration of 1.2%. Control mice received tap water only. The severity of DSS-induced colitis was assessed based on body weight, DAI, and colon length, as described previously.

Mouse Model of AOM/DSS-Induced Tumorigenesis

Disease induction was performed by injecting the mice with 10-mg/kg AOM intraperitoneally immediately followed by the treatment with DSS for 8 days. Clinical symptoms of DSS-induced colitis were evaluated until day 12, as outlined previously, and tumor development was monitored by colonoscopy from week 7 to 9.

Assessment of the Intestinal Epithelial Barrier Integrity

An EB assay was performed to determine the permeability of the intestinal epithelium. To this end, the colon was removed and flushed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered...
saline (PBS). The rectum was closed, instilled with 0.3 mL of 0.02% EB solution in PBS before closing the oral end of the colon. The so prepared bowel sac was then incubated on ice for 1 hour and subsequently washed with 0.1 M acetylcysteine. Finally, it was dried at 37°C overnight and weighed.

To extract the dye from the colonic tissue, it was cut into small pieces and incubated in 1-mL formamide at 56°C for 24 hours. After centrifugation, the absorption of the supernatant was measured at a wavelength of 620 nm, and the concentration of the extracted EB was calculated with the help of a standard curve.

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay**

Serum was prepared from blood samples collected by cardiac puncture and the level of IL-6 was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a commercially available kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BioLegend, Uithoorn, the Netherlands).

**Histology**

Swiss rolls from the colon were prepared as described previously. Histopathological evaluation of the entire section corresponding to the full length of the colon was performed in a blinded manner as described previously.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissue sections were incubated in EnVision Flex Target Retrieval Solution (Dako, Santa Clara, CA) followed by incubation with an antibody recognizing the GR (1:200; M20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany), CD3 (1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or CD68 (1:200; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) for 30 minutes. A polymeric secondary antibody coupled to horseradish peroxidase (ImmPRESS HRP Polymer Detection Kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and DAB (Dako) were used to visualize immunoreactivity (dark brown staining). Hematoxylin was used for counterstaining and photomicrographs were acquired with an Olympus VS120 Virtual Slide Microscope (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). Enumeration of CD3+ T cells and quantification of the area covered by CD68+ macrophages was performed by using ImageJ software (version 1.52n; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

**Isolation of IECs and LPCs**

The different cell populations from colonic tissue were isolated as described previously. In short, the colon was opened, washed, and transferred into a tube filled with PBS, and the IECs were isolated by vigorous shaking. LPCs were isolated by adding 100-U/mL collagenase 1A, 100-U/mL collagenase II, and 50-U/mL DNase II (all from Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) in RPMI/10% fetal calf serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes while repeatedly vortexing. The LPC suspension was passed through a 40-μm cell strainer and finally washed with PBS.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA from IECs and LPCs was isolated using the Quick-RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) followed by purification of mRNA with the Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA from colon

| Gene      | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Actb      | AGCCATGTACGTAGCCATCC | CTCTCAGCTGTGTTGGTGAA |
| Ccl2      | GACCTTAGGGGAGATCGAGT | AGCTGTTAGTTTTTTCACCAAGC |
| Ccl3      | TCAGGAAGATCTACCTTGCTG | ATATGGAGCTACCCCTC |
| Ccl5      | CGACTGCAAGATTGGACCA | CTATCGTTCGTTCTGCC |
| Ccl7      | CTCGCCAACATCTGAGTGAAG | CCCTGGGAGCTTATCCTTCA |
| Cx3cr1    | TGGCACAATACACAGGCC | TGTCACCCTTCTGCC |
| Cxcl1     | CCTGCATCTGGGAGACC | ACCGAAATCTAGCGCAAC |
| Cxcl2     | CAGTGATCGCTCGCTGCTTCC | TGAACAAAGGGCAAGCTAAG |
| Cxcl5     | AGCTTTCTTTTGTACTGGGCC | TGCCCTACGGTGAGTAC |
| Hprt      | GGGACGCAACGATCGATAT | GTTCGTGACCTGCTC |
| Il1b      | AAGCAGCCTCGTCTTCCC | CTCATCGTGGATCCTCTCA |
| Il6       | CAGAAATTGCAGTTGCAACA | AGTGCACTGTCTTGAGCTGA |
| Mclk      | CTCTTGGTTCTCTGTCCGGGC | TTCCAGATTCGAAAGTGGC |
| Oct       | TGCTGCCTATTCGTTGATCC | TGCTCGAAATTCGGGATTC |
| Pts2      | GATACACCTCTCCACATGGCT | CAGACACATCAAACGCCTCTT |
| Rna18s    | CCATCCACGGTGTAGTGC | GTAAACGCTTTGACCCCCATT |
| Tril2     | CATCTCTGAGTTGAGCTTTC | CTGGCACATCCGGAATTGCA |
| Tril4     | TAGGAACATCCCTATGCAGGG | TGCGTGACAAATGGGAGC |
| Trntr2    | TGGGTTCCTAAGGGGCAAGTA | ACCGACTGACGGAGATA |

| Primer Sequences |
|------------------|
| **Gene**         | **Forward Primer** | **Reverse Primer** |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Actb             | AGCCATGTACGTAGCCATCC | CTCTCAGCTGTGTTGGTGAA |
| Ccl2             | GACCTTAGGGGAGATCGAGT | AGCTGTTAGTTTTTTCACCAAGC |
| Ccl3             | TCAGGAAGATCTACCTTGCTG | ATATGGAGCTACCCCTC |
| Ccl5             | CGACTGCAAGATTGGACCA | CTATCGTTCGTTCTGCC |
| Ccl7             | CTCGCCAACATCTGAGTGAAG | CCCTGGGAGCTTATCCTTCA |
| Cx3cr1           | TGGCACAATACACAGGCC | TGTCACCCTTCTGCC |
| Cxcl1            | CCTGCATCTGGGAGACC | ACCGAAATCTAGCGCAAC |
| Cxcl2            | CAGTGATCGCTCGCTGCTTCC | TGAACAAAGGGCAAGCTAAG |
| Cxcl5            | AGCTTTCTTTTGTACTGGGCC | TGCCCTACGGTGAGTAC |
| Hprt             | GGGACGCAACGATCGATAT | GTTCGTGACCTGCTC |
| Il1b             | AAGCAGCCTCGTCTTCCC | CTCATCGTGGATCCTCTCA |
| Il6              | CAGAAATTGCAGTTGCAACA | AGTGCACTGTCTTGAGCTGA |
| Mclk             | CTCTTGGTTCTCTGTCCGGGC | TTCCAGATTCGAAAGTGGC |
| Oct              | TGCTGCCTATTCGTTGATCC | TGCTCGAAATTCGGGATTC |
| Pts2             | GATACACCTCTCCACATGGCT | CAGACACATCAAACGCCTCTT |
| Rna18s           | CCATCCACGGTGTAGTGC | GTAAACGCTTTGACCCCCATT |
| Tril2            | CATCTCTGAGTTGAGCTTTC | CTGGCACATCCGGAATTGCA |
| Tril4            | TAGGAACATCCCTATGCAGGG | TGCGTGACAAATGGGAGC |
| Trntr2           | TGGGTTCCTAAGGGGCAAGTA | ACCGACTGACGGAGATA |
tissue was isolated with the help of the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Reverse transcription into complementary DNA was achieved with the iScript kit (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany), and quantitative RT-PCR was performed on an ABI 7500 instrument (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) using the SYBR green Master Mix from the same company. The following protocol was employed for DNA amplification: step 1 (2 minutes, 50°C), step 2 (10 minutes, 95°C), step 3 (15 seconds, 95°C; 1 minute, 60°C; 40 cycles), step 4 (dissociation, melting curve). The housekeeping gene Hprt was used for normalization, and relative levels of gene expression were calculated with the ΔΔCt method after testing the assay for geometric efficacy. Notably, mRNA levels of Hprt were proportional to mRNA levels of the housekeeping genes Rna18s and Actb as determined by linear regression analysis. All oligonucleotides were synthesized by Metabion (Munich, Germany), primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

**Fluidigm Gene Chip Analysis**

Gene expression analysis by high-throughput quantitative PCR was performed using 48.48 Dynamic Arrays (Fluidigm, San Francisco, CA) and the BioMark HD system as described. BioMark Data Collection software (Fluidigm) was employed for quantitative RT-PCR and melting curve analysis. Gene expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene Hprt, and relative expression levels were calculated by using the ΔΔCt method.

**Flow Cytometry**

In order to analyze LPCs, an FcR blockade was initially performed by incubation with TruStain fcX (anti-mouse CD16/32; clone: M1/70), anti-Ly6G (clone: 1A8), and anti-Ly6C (clone: M1/70), by staining the cells with an anti-CD11b (clone: 93) followed by staining the cells with a cocktail of monoclonal antibodies containing anti-CD16/32; clone: 93) followed by staining the cells with an anti-CD11b monoclonal antibody or rat IgG2a as an isotype control. Data were acquired on a FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorting) Canto II device (BD Bioscience) and analyzed using FlowJo software (version v10; Tree Star, Ashland, OR). All antibodies were purchased from BioLegend.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software (version 8; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) and are depicted as mean values ± SEM. One-way analysis of variance followed by Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison test or Mann Whitney test were used for statistical analysis. Levels of significance: *P < .05; **P < .01; ***P < .001; nonsignificant, P > .05.

**Data access**

All authors had access to the study data and reviewed and approved the final manuscript.
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