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Abstract
Propolis samples were collected from four different regions of Lebanon characterized by high biodiversity and high honey production. The samples were analyzed for their total phenolic contents (TPC), total flavonoid contents (TFC), chemical profiles, and antioxidant activity. The TPC were determined using Folin-Ciocalteu method while the TFC using the AlCl₃ method. The antioxidant activity of propolis was examined by two different methods, namely free radical scavenging assay and reducing ability. The chemical profiles of the samples were assessed by thin-layer chromatography. The results indicated that the propolis samples exhibited significant antioxidant activity with TPC and TFC levels ranging from 142.3 to 372.2 and 413.2 to 1812.7 mgGAE/g, respectively.

Özet
Propolis örnekleri yüksek miktarlarda bal üretimi ve biyolojik çeşitliliği ile karakterize edilen Lübnan’ın 4 farklı bölgesinde toplanmıştır. Örneklerin toplam fenolik içериği, toplam flavonoid içeriği, kimyasal profilleri ve antioksidan aktiviteleri analiz edilmiştir. Fenolik madde içeriği Folin-Ciocalteu ve flavonoid içeriği AlCl₃ metodu ile belirlenmiştir. Propolisin antioksidan aktivitesi serbest radikal süpürme aktivitesi ve indirgeme gücü olarak incelenmiştir. Örneklerin kimyasal profilleri ince tabaka kromatografi, UV-Vis ve gaz kromatografi/kütle
chromatography (TLC), UV-Vis, and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry GC-MS analysis. Total phenolic content ranged from $53.35 \pm 7.09$ to $148.27 \pm 15.08$ mg gallic acid equivalents per gram (mg GAE/g), total flavonoid content ranged from $45.73 \pm 2.8$ to $134.5 \pm 8.46$ mg rutin equivalents per gram (mg RUE/g). GC/MS analysis revealed the presence of 9-octadecene and tetradecene as major compounds that have been previously reported to demonstrate antioxidant activity. In addition, Berqayel propolis sample showed high content of phenolic compounds and high antioxidant activity, while samples from Wadi Faara recorded poor chromatograms with the absence of most of the compounds present in Berqayel samples. The majority of propolis samples showed relatively interesting antioxidant activity, which was also correlated with TPC and TFC. These findings highlighted the effect of the beehive locations on the quality of Lebanese propolis in terms of chemical constituents and biological activities.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Propolis is a natural resinous product assembled by honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.) from different sources of plant. It’s used to make the protective shield at the entrance of beehives and its human use dates back to ancient times, when the product was employed in embalming bodies in Egypt (Soltani et al., 2017). In addition to antimicrobial activity of propolis, other biological and pharmacological properties have been demonstrated including antitumor, antibacterial, antioxidant, antifungal and other activities (Anjum et al., 2019).

Propolis is generally composed of 50% resin and balm (including phenolic compounds), 30% wax and fatty acids, 10% essential oils, 5% pollen and 5% various organic and inorganic
compounds. The specific composition of propolis depends on the vegetation at the site of collection (Boisard, 2014).

Propolis showed the most potent antioxidant of all the bee products (Nakajima et al., 2009). Antioxidant activity of propolis was originated from their polyphenolic substances (Isla et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2016). Thus, propolis can be used for prevention and treatment of diseases related to the increase of oxidative stress such as cancer, aging, and cardiovascular diseases (Kocot et al., 2018).

In the present study, propolis extracts from four different Lebanese locations were prepared by maceration using ethanol/water, considered as green solvents. The effect of geographical origin on the phytochemical contents was assessed and results were compared for the antioxidant capacity in terms of free radical scavenging assay and reducing power assay. Moreover, the volatile compounds profile in the Berqayel sample was characterized using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Chemicals and Instrumentation

All chemicals used were of analytical grade. Methanol (MeOH), Ethanol (EtOH), chloroform, Folin-Ciocalteu, ascorbic acid, aluminum chloride hexahydrate (AlCl₃·6H₂O) and rutin were purchased from BDH (England). 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), gallic acid, sodium carbonate anhydrous (Na₂CO₃), potassium ferricyanide, trichloroacetic acid and iron (III) chloride (FeCl₃), were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA). Samples were weighed using a RADWAG XA 82/220/2X laboratory balance. The absorbance values were measured using a VWR UV-6300PC double beam spectrophotometer and extracts were concentrated using HEIDOLPH (Germany) rotavapor apparatus.

2.2. Sample Collection

In this study, four Lebanese propolis samples were collected from four apiaries located in different Lebanese regions (Figure 1), more specifically from Berqayel (34°28'38.6” N, 36°2’1.54” E, 350 m MSL), Debaal (33°15’02” N, 35°20’56” E, 280 m MSL), Fakeha (34°14’44” N, 36°24’21” E, 900 m MSL) and Wadi-Faara (34°17’22.0” N 36°18’15.8” E, 2100 m MSL). The average annual temperature (AAT) in Berqayel and Debaal reaches 20 °C, and the average precipitation (AP) ranges between 700 and 1,000 mm. whereas, the AAT in Fakeha is lower than that and reaches 16 °C while the average precipitation drops to 400 mm in this
region. However, Wadi Faara experiences an AAT less than 10 °C and the AP between 1,500 and 2,000 mm. The main process to collect the raw propolis samples was started by the initial preparation to separate it from extraneous macro impurities if present. The obtained samples were frozen at -20 °C until analysis.

2.3. Obtaining the Ethanolic Extract of Propolis (EEP)

Each frozen brown to yellow propolis sample was chopped into small pieces and immediately homogenously pulverized. Then, one gram of each sample was macerated in 100 mL of ethanol 80 % for 48 hours at room temperature under magnetic stirring. The mixture was then filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to produce the ethanolic extract of propolis (EEP). Finally, the EEP was weighed and stored at +4 °C for further use.

2.4. Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening was carried out by means of qualitative phytochemical tests based on color or precipitation reactions with the extract.

2.4.1. Test for Alkaloids

Extracts were treated with Dragendroff’s reagent (solution of Potassium Bismuth Iodide). Formation of red precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids (Jaber et al., 2019).
2.4.2. Test for Phenols

A few drops of ferric chloride solution were added to 2 mL of the extract in a watch glass; the appearance of bluish green color indicated the presence of phenol (Yadav & Agarwala, 2011).

2.4.3. Test for Terpenoids (Salkowski test)

1 mL of each extract was mixed in 2 mL of chloroform, and concentrated H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (3 mL) was carefully added to form a layer. A reddish brown coloration of the interface was formed to show positive results for the presence of terpenoids (Iqbal et al., 2015).

2.4.4. Test for Hydrolysable Tannins

A few drops of 0.1 % ferric chloride were added and observed for brownish green or a blue-black coloration (Jaber et al., 2019).

2.4.5. Test for Quinons

1 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added to one mL of EEP. The presence of quinons is confirmed by the appearance of yellow color (Yadav & Agarwala, 2011).

2.4.6. Test for Flavonoids

1 mL of KOH is added to 1 mL of each extract. The color shifting to yellow indicates the presence of flavonoid (Morsy, 2014).

2.4.7. Test for Saponins

1 mL of extract was shaken with 2 mL of water. The persisting of foam for ten minutes indicates the absence of saponins (Jaber et al., 2019).

2.5. Determination of Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

The total polyphenol content in propolis extract was determined by using Folin-Ciocalteu method (Singleton et al., 1999) with some modifications. Briefly, 100 μL of the EEP extract was taken and mixed with 500 μL of aqueous Folin-Ciocalteu solution (10 %). After 5 min, 2 mL of sodium carbonate (7.5 %) were added. The obtained mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min in the dark. After which the absorbance was read at 760 nm in a spectrophotometer. The TPC in the extract was extrapolated from the calibration curve derived by repeating the same procedure for different concentrations of methanolic solutions of gallic acid (30-270 μg.mL\textsuperscript{-1}), and results were expressed in mg of gallic acid equivalents per g of propolis (mg GAE/g).
2.6. Determination of Total Flavonoid Content (TFC)

Total flavonoid content was determined by aluminium chloride spectrophotometric assay (Barreca et al., 2016). Briefly, 1 mL of each EEP was mixed with 1 mL of 2 % aluminium chloride (AlCl₃) methanolic solution. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, absorbance was measured at 410 nm. Rutin was used as the standard and a curve was constructed by preparing different dilutions (0 - 50 μg.mL⁻¹) using the same procedure for EEP. The blank sample consisted of 1 mL of extract solution in 1 mL methanol without AlCl₃. The amount of total flavonoids in the extracts was expressed as rutin equivalents (mg RUE/g).

2.7. In Vitro Antioxidant Capacity

In order to evaluate the in vitro antioxidant activity, two spectrophotometric methods were used: DPPH free radical scavenging assay and reducing power assay.

2.7.1. DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Activity

The free radical scavenging activity of the extracts was evaluated with the stable 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) using the method developed by Blois (1958) (Kedare & Singh, 2011). The DPPH methanolic solution (0.032 mg.mL⁻¹) was prepared freshly. To 1 mL of aliquot of extract solution, 1 mL of DPPH• methanolic solution was added. The mixture was vortexed and then left to stand at room temperature for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance was read at 520 nm using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Different concentrations of ascorbic acid (0.81 - 4.05 µg.mL⁻¹) have been produced for use as the positive control. A similar procedure was used for the blank, where the extract sample was replaced with methanol. The free radical scavenging capacity was expressed as the percentage inhibition of the radical oxidation and calculated using the following equation:

% scavenging activity = \( \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{Extract}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}}} \times 100 \)

The results were expressed in IC₅₀ (The half-maximal inhibitory concentration) as the amount of antioxidant required to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50 %.

2.7.2. Reducing Ability

The reducing ability of EEP was determined according to the method reported by Oyaizu (Oyaizu, 1986). This assay is normally based on the blue coloration that develops due to the reduction of ferric iron to the ferrous. A serial dilution of extract solutions and ascorbic acid (0.7 - 0.05 g.mL⁻¹), were prepared in water. 200 μL of each extract solution was mixed with
200 µL of 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 200 µL of potassium ferricyanide (1 %). Reaction mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. After cooling, 200 µL of trichloroacetic acid (10 %) were added and mixture was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 8 min. The upper layer (800 µL) was mixed with 800 µL of distilled water and 160 µL of ferric chloride (0.1 %). After a 10 min reaction time, the spectrometric absorbance was recorded at 700 nm and compared with ascorbic acid as positive control. The absorbance values were plotted against the concentration, and a linear regression analysis was carried out. Higher absorbance readings indicate higher reducing power.

2.8. Measurement of the Absorption Spectra of Extracts

The UV-vis spectra of the propolis extract solutions were recorded from a mixture of 25 µL of the extracts with 1750 µL of ethanol (80 %). All the samples have the same concentration in mg solid propolis/mL. The obtained solutions were scanned at wavelengths range between 190 and 600 nm by UV-vis spectrophotometer. Quartz cuvettes of 1 cm optical path were used and the absorbance being recorded against an ethanol 80 % blank.

2.9. TLC and GC-MS Analysis

TLC analyses of EEP were performed on thin layer chromatographic (TLC) plates, composed of Silica gel 60 GF 254. The plate was developed in chamber previously saturated by eluent. Two systems of mobile phase were used: Petroleum ether / ethyl acetate 7:3 and cyclohexane / ethyl acetate 8:2. After drying, spots were investigated; visually and under UV 254/366 light. One microliter of EEP samples (Bergayel and Wadi Faara) was diluted (1:100) with hexane and injected into the Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) system. GC SHIMADZU QP2010 system was used to analyze the volatile compounds in the propolis extracts (without derivatization). DB-5MS (5 % Diphenyl / 95 % Dimethylpolysiloxan) capillary column having (30 m length, 0.25 i.d., film thickness 0.28 µm) and helium as carrier gas was used for compound separation. The oven temperature was programmed from 65 °C (2 min initial time) increased to 300 °C at 10 °C/min (isothermal for the final time) and the MS was operated in the electron impact mode at 70 eV ion source energy. Injection volume was 1 µL and total run of one hour is performed. Data receipt and processing were performed using Shimadzu GC-MS solution software. The compounds were identified based on a comparison of their mass spectra with data in NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technologies, Mass Spectra Libraries).
2.10. Statistical Analysis

All determinations were conducted in triplicates (n = 3); the correlation coefficients (R²) and the statistical mean ± SD were calculated using Excel 2013 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

3.1. Phytochemical Screening

Table 1 shows the results of the phytochemical screening for different propolis samples. Different chemical profiles are observed between propolis samples from distinct geographic locations. The samples from Berqayel and Debaal were the richest in terms of potentially active constituents (phenols, alkaloids, hydrolysable tannins, flavonoids and terpenoids) while those from Wadi-Faara were the poorest.

| Phytochemical Compounds | Debaal | Wadi-Faara | Fakeha | Berqayel |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|--------|----------|
| Flavonoid               | +      | +          | +      | +        |
| Alkaloids               | +      | +          | +      | +        |
| Hydrolysable Tannins    | +      | -          | -      | +        |
| Terpenoids              | +      | -          | +      | +        |
| Quinons                 | +      | -          | -      | +        |
| Phenols                 | +      | +          | +      | +        |
| Saponins                | -      | -          | -      | -        |

Note. +: detected, -: No detected

On the other hand, saponins were absent in all samples. Although, the later observation contradicts the study of Chamandi et al. (Chamandi et al., 2015) done on Lebanese propolis samples, it is in agreement with another study reported by Labyad et al. (Labyad et al., 2016). It is worth to point out here that the phytochemical composition (and subsequent biological activity) of propolis is highly dependent on the plant cover diversity as well as biotic and abiotic factors (Bueno-Silva et al., 2017; do Nascimento et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2014; Salatino et al., 2011; Savickas et al., 2005).

The phytochemicals detected have previously been shown to exhibit biological activity, such as antibacterial, antitumor and antihelmintic activity (Cowan, 1999; Rosli et al., 2016; Zeitoun et al., 2019).
3.2. Total Phenolic Contents (TPC) and Total Flavonoid Contents (TFC)

Phenolic compounds are known as powerful chain breaking antioxidants, which may contribute directly to antioxidative activity. These compounds are very important constituents of plants and their radical scavenging ability due to their hydroxyl groups (Labiad et al., 2017). The propolis from Berqayel registered the greatest TPC (148.27 mg GAE/g) and TFC values (134.5 mg RUE/g) (Figure 2). These TPC and TFC values were about 3-fold of the lowest TPC and TFC values detected in Debaal region (53.35 mg GAE/g and 50.99 mg RUE/g, respectively), indicating the significant variations of TPC and TFC in propolis samples between the four Lebanese regions.

![Figure 2. TPC and TFC of the four EEP. Values are the mean ± SD of three replicates.](image)

The TPC range (53.35–148.27 mg GAE/g) was comparable to that of Uruguayan propolis (Silva et al., 2011) and South African (Kumazawa et al., 2004); and, lower than others such as Brazilian propolis (277.81–398.11 mg GAE/g) (Reis et al., 2019). The TFC contents of 50.9–134.5 mg RUE/g recorded in this study are in the same order with those reported in other propolis origins, using quercetin as standard in the colorimetric method instead of rutin. For instance, the TFC ranges of some Chinese propolis were 52.11-173.90 mg QE/g (Shi et al., 2012) and 08.3-188 mg QE/g (Ahn et al., 2007). Moreover, the TFC ranges of Brazilian and Canadian propolis were 42.00-108.02 mg QE/g (Reis et al., 2019) and 01.58-137.06 mg QE/g (Cottica et al.,2015), respectively. However, the values in this study are lower than those reported in Indonesia (Pratami et al., 2017).
3.3. Antioxidant Activity of Propolis Extracts

The presence of different secondary metabolites in EEP is an indication that the extract studied might have antioxidant capacity.

3.3.1. DPPH Assay

Free radicals produced in living systems and encountered exogenously, lead to various disorders, like mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, cardiovascular disturbances and ageing (Singh & Singh, 2008). The antioxidant activity was determined to be potent via two different assays, the DPPH assay and the reducing power assay. Antioxidant potency is usually associated with the content of phenolic compounds due to their extensive conjugated π-electron systems that facilitate the donation of electrons from the hydroxyl moieties to oxidizing radical species (Bittencourt et al., 2015). DPPH radical-scavenging activity has been widely used in propolis studies (Kumazawa et al., 2004).

Results in Figure 3 showed that propolis from Berqayel and Fakeha presented the highest free radical scavenging activity compared with vitamin C. The obtained results for DPPH are in agreement with the phenolics and flavonoids contents determined for each sample. Moreover, the found IC₅₀ values are in good agreement with many previous works (Pratami et al., 2017; da Silva et al., 2019; Touzani et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the antioxidant activities of different Lebanese propolis are lower than those of Egyptian propolis (Ezzat et al., 2019).

![Figure 3. Comparison of 50% radical inhibition by the different propolis extracts (Values are the mean ± SD of three experiments)](image-url)
3.3.2. Reducing Ability

The transformation ability of compounds from Fe$^{3+}$/ferricyanide complex to Fe$^{2+}$/ferrous form acts as a potential indicator for the antioxidant activity of the extract (Do et al., 2014). In the FRAP assay, the yellow color test solution changes to green and blue depending on the reduction capacity of extracts. The complexing of metal ions by phenols typically induces a bathochromic displacement of their absorption bands in the UV-Visible range (Ghedadba et al., 2015). In Figure 4, and similarly to the radical scavenging activity, all the EEP showed concentration-dependent reducing power. The greatest reducing antioxidant power was recorded for propolis collected in Berqayel, while the lowest was found in the Wadi Faara extract. This is interpreted by the richness of Berqayel extract in phenolic compounds proved by the TPC and TFC results. Thus, it can be deduced from this test that polyphenols, especially flavonoids, play a very important role in the chelation of transition metals.

![Reducing power assay of all extracts of expressed as absorbance at 700 nm (n = 3).](image)

3.3.3. Correlation Between Antioxidant Activities, Phenolics and Flavonoids Contents of EEP

Several studies (Karou et al., 2005; Verzelloni et al., 2007) have shown the presence of good correlation between the total phenolic content and the antioxidant activity of the extracts, suggesting that phenolic compounds, particularly flavonoids are responsible for the antioxidant activity of the extracts. The results (Table 2) show a strong positive correlation between phenolics, flavonoids and antioxidant activity, this suggests that polyphenols, especially flavonoids may be responsible of the antioxidant activity.
Table 2. Correlation coefficient (R2) among TPC, TFC and antioxidant activity.

|                | DPPH method | FRAP method |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| TPC            | 0.9843      | 0.7744      |
| TFC            | 0.8521      | 0.9675      |

Moreover, these correlations are consolidated by many previous works (Galeotti et al., 2018; Kumazawa et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2016) which showed that the high polyphenol and flavonoid contents are responsible for the highest antioxidant activity of propolis.

### 3.4. UV Spectrograms

The UV-vis spectra of EEP obtained from the four regions are illustrated in Figure 5. Two absorption bands were observed, the first between 190–250 nm, while the second is a broad band centered around 280–300 nm with a shoulder around 330 nm. They are similar to typical polyphenol spectra (Paganotti et al., 2014), indicating that the used extraction solution (EtOH: water, 80: 20, v/v) was able to recover the phenolic compounds.

![Figure 5. UV absorption spectra of Lebanese propolis extracts.](image)

Furthermore, the spectral profiles were identical, suggesting a homogeneous chemical composition among the samples, despite their collecting regions. The Argentine Food Code (CAA) establishes in its physical and chemical requirements that the UV–Vis spectrogram of propolis should have a maximum absorption between 270 nm and 315 nm, regardless of the profile obtained (Isla et al., 2005; Maldonado et al., 2020). In this study, the CAA requirement was met in all propolis samples from different locations. By comparison with reported studies, UV-Vis spectra profile was similar to the Argentinian (Maldonado et al., 2020), Romanian (Moț
et al., 2011), and Brazilian propolis with a slightly bathochromic shifting (Tomazzoli et al., 2015).

3.5. TLC and GC-MS Analysis

The current study displays the presence of different compounds as TLC experiment separates numbers of spots. TLC analysis of the EEP samples from the four regions showed common chromatographic plates with slight differences. Some compounds such as pinocembrin, pinostrobin and phenylethyl cafeate can be identified by comparing their retention factors with those of previous works (Boisard, 2014). The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by UV-Vis analysis, i.e. the main compounds are present in all samples and differences are mainly quantitative not qualitative.

The EEP were analyzed by GC–MS (Figure 6) to detect volatile, small and non-thermolabile metabolites. The analysis was done for the two samples the one who give the high antioxidant effect (Berqayel) and the low effect (Wadi Faara). The EEP from Berqayel was found to have small metabolites, mostly hydrocarbons. Compounds that were identified to be present in large amounts include; 1-tetradecene (23.84 %), 9-octadecene (32.57 %) and isodecene (14.9 %) (Table 3). GC-MS analysis of Wadi Faara extract revealed a poor chromatogram in term of peaks and the absence of most of the compounds founded in Berqayel sample.

![Figure 6. GC-MS profile of propolis ethanolic extract from Berqayel.](image)

| Compound                   | R.Time | M.W.       | Molecular formula         | Area(%) |
|----------------------------|--------|------------|---------------------------|---------|
| anhydride-propanoic acid   | 10.067 | 130        | (CH₃CH₂CO)₂O             | 1.31    |
| 2-Ethylhexanol             | 15.508 | 130        | C₈H₁₈O                   | 5.87    |
| Dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane | 20.383 | 444        | C₁₂H₃₆O₆Si₆              | 6.58    |
| 5-hexen-3-one              | 20.808 | 98         | C₅H₁₀O                   | 0.47    |
| tert-pentane               | 23.417 | 72         | C₅H₁₂                    | 0.38    |
| 1-tetradecene              | 24.217 | 196        | C₁₄H₂₈                   | 23.84   |
| Unknown                    | 27.433 | --         | --                        | 5.24    |
| Isocyanomethane            | 28.817 | 40         | C₂H₃N                    | 0.56    |
According to literature, the compound, octadecene has been reported to have anticancer, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities (Gautam et al., 2018). Moreover, tetradecene is another compound reported to possess antioxidant abilities (Manoj et al., 2012; Tiloke et al., 2018). Thus, the presence of these compounds can explain the antioxidant capacity of EEP from Berqayel propolis observed in this study.

**4. CONCLUSION**

In this work, we focused on the contents and the levels of TPC, TFC and antioxidant for EEP obtained by maceration of propolis from different geographical origins in Lebanon. Phytochemical screening shows the existence of different secondary metabolites: flavonoids, polyphenols, alkaloids, tannins, quinons and terpenes, while saponins are absent. The quantitative determination of polyphenol and flavonoids clearly shows the richness of propolis by these compounds. Moreover, it has shown that the propolis from Berqayel had the greatest TPC of 148.27 mg GAE/g and TFC value of 134.5 mg RU/g propolis. On the other hand, the study of the antioxidant activity by evaluating their anti-free radical and reducing power revealed the good potential of Berqayel and Fakeha extracts to scavenge the DPPH radical and to reduce iron from the Fe$^{3+}$ to the Fe$^{2+}$ form. In addition, the antioxidant activity was also correlated with the total polyphenol and flavonoid content. Results of UV-VIS and TLC showed, similar profiles in comparison to previous works with no small differences among them. Finally, GC-MS was employed to identify the volatile compound in Wadi Faara and Berqayel samples. The chromatogram from Berqayel contain mostly hydrocarbons e.g. 1-tetradecene (23.84 %), 9-octadecene (32.57 %) and isodecene (14.9 %). While, the chromatogram obtained from Wadi Faara extract revealed the absence of most of these compounds.

Therefore, our results demonstrated that propolis samples from different Lebanese locations have different biological activity. However, further studies are needed to support our results, to evaluate another biological potential of these propolis extracts and to identify the extract biomolecules.
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