Inferring the star formation histories of the most massive and passive early-type galaxies at z<0.3
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ABSTRACT

Context. In the $\Lambda$CDM cosmological framework, massive galaxies are the end-points of the hierarchical evolution and are therefore key probes to understand how the baryonic matter evolves within the dark matter halos.

Aims. The aim of this work is to use the "archaeological" approach in order to infer the stellar population properties and star formation histories of the most massive ($M > 10^{10.75} M_\odot$) and passive early-type galaxies (ETGs) at $0 < z < 0.3$ (corresponding to a cosmic time interval of $\sim 3.3$ Gyr) based on stacked, high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), spectra extracted from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). Our study is focused on the most passive ETGs in order to avoid the contamination of galaxies with residual star formation activity and extract the evolutionary information on the oldest envelope of the global galaxy population.

Methods. Differently from most previous studies in this field, we did not rely on individual absorption features such as the Lick indices, but we exploited the information present in the full spectrum by means of the STARLIGHT public code, adopting different stellar population synthesis models. Successful tests have been performed in order to assess the reliability of STARLIGHT to retrieve the evolutionary properties of the ETG stellar populations such as age, metallicity and star formation history. The results indicate that these properties can be derived with a percentage accuracy better than 10% at SNR $\gtrsim 10 - 20$, and also that the procedure of stacking galaxy spectra does not introduce significant biases on their retrieving.

Results. Based on our spectral analysis, we found that the ETGs of our sample are very old systems with ages almost as old as the age of the Universe in the case of the most massive ones. The stellar metallicities are slightly supersolar, with a mean of $Z \sim 0.027 \pm 0.002$ and $Z \sim 0.029 \pm 0.0015$ (depending on the spectral synthesis models used for the fit) and do not depend on redshift. Dust extinction is very low, with a mean of $A_V \sim 0.08 \pm 0.030$ mag and $A_V \sim 0.16 \pm 0.048$ mag. The ETGs show an anti-hierarchical evolution (downsizing) where more massive galaxies are older. The SFHs can be approximated with a parametric function of the form $SFR(t) \propto \tau^{-(c+1)} e^{e \tau} \exp(-t/\tau)$, with typical short $c$-folding times of $\tau \sim 0.6 - 0.8$ Gyr (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.1$ Gyr) and $c \sim 0.1$ (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.05$). Based on the reconstructed SFHs, most of the stellar mass ($\gtrsim 75\%$) was assembled by $z \sim 5$ and $\lesssim 4\%$ of it can be ascribed to stellar populations younger than $\sim 1$ Gyr. The inferred SFHs are also used to place constraints on the properties and evolution of the ETG progenitors. In particular, the ETGs of our samples should have formed most stars through a phase of vigorous star formation ($SFRs \gtrsim 350 - 400 M_\odot yr^{-1}$) at $z \gtrsim 4 - 5$ and are quiescent by $z \sim 1.5 - 2$. The expected number density of ETG progenitors, their SFRs and contribution to the star formation rate density of the Universe, the location on the star formation "Main Sequence" and the required existence of massive quiescent galaxies at $z \lesssim 2$, are compatible with the current observations, although the uncertainties are still large.

Conclusions. Our results represent an attempt to demonstrate quantitatively the evolutionary link between the most massive ETGs at $z < 0.3$ and the properties of suitable progenitors at high redshifts. Our results also show that the full-spectrum fitting is a powerful and complementary approach to reconstruct the star formation histories of massive quiescent galaxies.
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1. Introduction

Despite the success of the $\Lambda$CDM cosmology (Riess et al. 1998, Perlmutter et al. 1999, Parkinson et al. 2012, Planck Collaboration et al. 2015), the complete understanding of how baryonic matter evolves leading to the formation of present-day galaxies is still unclear. The presence of cold dark matter in the $\Lambda$CDM framework implies a bottom-up or hierarchical formation of structures in which the baryonic mass growth is driven by the merging of dark matter halos which progressively assemble more massive galaxies. However, recent studies showed that merging is not the only channel to build galaxies. Depending on the redshift and dark halo mass, the baryonic mass can gradually grow also thanks to filamentary streams of cold gas (T $\sim 10^4 K$) capable to penetrate the shock heated medium in dark matter halos and feed the forming galaxies (Dekel et al. 2009). The relative importance of these two channels of mass growth as a function of cosmic time is one of the key questions in order to explain how
protopagolaxies evolved into the different types of galaxies that we see today in the Hubble classification.

In this context, elliptical (E) and lenticular (S0) galaxies, collectively called early-type galaxies (ETGs), have always been considered ideal probes to investigate the cosmic history of mass assembly. Indeed, holding the major share of stellar mass in the local Universe (Renzini 2006 and references therein), massive ETGs are supposed to be the endpoints of the hierarchical evolution, thus enclosing fundamental information about the galaxy formation and mass assembly cosmic history. In this regard, several studies attempted to infer the evolutionary properties of massive ETGs at \( z \sim 0 \) (archaeological approach), or to observe directly their progenitors at higher redshifts (look-back approach) (see again Renzini 2006 for a review). The results of these studies suggest an empirical evolutionary trend, called downsizing (see Cowie et al. (1996) for its first definition), where massive galaxies formed earlier and faster than lower mass systems.

The downsizing scenario is evident in several cases of galaxy evolution (Fontanot et al. 2009). In the case of ETGs at \( z \sim 0 \), one of the first observational evidences can be referred to the studies of Dressler et al. (1987), Faber et al. (1992) and Worthey et al. (1992), who found more massive elliptical galaxies to be more enriched in α-elements than less massive ones. These works suggested selective mass-losses, different initial mass functions (IMF) and/or different star formation timescales as possible explanations of the high level of [α/Fe] with mass (e.g. Carollo et al. 1993, Davies et al. 1993, Bender et al. 1993, Thomas et al. 2005, Thomas et al. 2010, McDermid et al. 2015), and led to the dominant interpretation that in more massive ETGs, the duration of star formation was substantially shorter than in less massive ones, with timescales short enough (e.g. \(< 0.5 \) Gyr) to avoid the dilution of the α element abundance (produced by Type II supernovae) by the onset of Fe production by Type Ia supernovae. This is considered one of the main evidences of the downsizing of the star formation duration. Also the age of the ETG stellar populations at \( z \sim 0 \) show evidence of downsizing, with more massive objects being older than less massive ones. These results have been derived both in clusters (Thomas et al. 2005, 2010; Nelan et al. 2005) and in the field (Heavens et al. 2004, Jimenez et al. 2007, Panter et al. 2007; see also Renzini 2006). Most of these studies are based on fitting individual spectral features with the Lick/IDS index approach (Burstein et al. 1984, Worthey et al. 1994) which allows to mitigate the problem of the age-metallicity degeneracy (Graves & Schiavon 2008a; Thomas et al. 2005, 2010; Johansson et al. 2012a; Worthey et al. 2013). However, more recently, other approaches based on the full-spectrum fitting have been developed (e.g. STARLIGHT, Cid Fernandes et al. 2005; VESPAG, Tojeiro et al. 2009, 2013; FIREFLY, Wilkinson et al. 2015), and applied to samples of ETGs at \( z \sim 0 \) (Jimenez et al. 2007, Conroy et al. 2014, McDermid et al. 2015). The results based on Lick indices are in general rather consistent with those of full spectral fitting within 10–30 % (e.g. Conroy et al. 2014) and support the downsizing evolutionary pattern.

In addition to the archaeological constraints, also the look-back studies are providing complementary constraints on the evolution of the ETGs. First of all, massive and passive ETGs \((M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot)\) have been unexpectedly discovered in substantial number up to \( z \sim 3 \) (e.g. Cimatti et al. 2004, McCarthy et al. 2004, Kriek et al. 2006; Gobat et al. 2012, Whitaker et al. 2013, Onodera et al. 2015, Mendel et al. 2015). For a fixed mass, these ETGs are on average more compact and therefore denser than present-day analogs (Daddi et al. 2005, Cimatti et al. 2008), especially at \( z > 1.5 \) (e.g. Cimatti et al. 2012). The mere existence of these massive systems with absent star formation and old stellar ages \((\sim 1–3 \) Gyr) implies that their star formation ceased at \( z > 2–3 \) (consistently with the downsizing scenario) and that their formation mechanism was necessarily fast and leading to a rapid assembly of compact and dense systems. It is relevant to recall here that at the time of their first discovery, these massive, passive and old galaxies at \( z > 1.5 \) were not expected at all in theoretical models of galaxy formation (Cimatti et al. 2002, 2004).

More constraints come also from the evolutionary trends emerging from statistical samples of ETGs at \( z > 0.5 \) and support the downsizing picture. For instance, the evolution of the fundamental plane indicates a decreasing formation redshift \((z_F)\) for galaxies with decreasing mass both in clusters and in the field (Treu et al. 2005). Moreover, if compared to the local one, the faint-end of the luminosity function up to \( z \sim 1 \) is progressively depopulated going to higher redshift, contrary to its high-luminosity end, suggesting again that more massive galaxies assembled their mass earlier than less massive ones (Cimatti et al. 2006). Furthermore, it has been observed that the galaxy number density rapidly increases from \( z = 0 \) to \( z = 1 \) for \( M \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot \), while it has a slower increase for more massive galaxies (Pozzetti et al. 2010, Moresco et al. 2013), starting to show a significant variation only at \( z > 1 \) for the most massive systems \((M \gtrsim 10^{11} M_\odot)\) (Ilbert et al. 2010, see also van Dokkum 2005, 2010, Muzzin et al. 2013).

The physical interpretation of these results on ETG evolution coming from the archaeological and look-back approaches is not trivial in the hierarchical merging scenario of ΛCDM cosmology because the mass downsizing evolution seems anti-hierarchical. However, several progresses have been made in the last decades. For instance, the combination of N-body simulations of dark matter halos evolution (Springel et al. 2005, Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2009) with semi-analytic models for galaxy formation (White & Frenk 1991, Kauffmann et al. 1999, Springel et al. 2005, Lu et al. 2011, Benson 2012) have allowed a significant advance. For example, De Lucia et al. (2006) were able to reproduce the age-downsizing of elliptical galaxies by invoking AGN feedback to quench the star formation earlier in more massive systems with respect to less massive ones within the standard framework of the hierarchical assembly for stellar mass. The role of AGNs in influencing galaxy evolution and quenching star formation is supported by several observations (Fabian 2012 and references therein, Cimatti et al. 2013, Cicone et al. 2014, Förster Schreiber et al. 2014); however, other models are capable to form rapidly ETGs without invoking the AGN feedback (e.g. Naab et al. 2006, 2009; Khochfar & Silk 2006, Johansson et al. 2012b). More recently, Henriques et al. (2013), (2015) found that the early build-up of low mass galaxies predicted by many models could in fact be prevented by assuming less massive systems to reincorporate the gas ejected by supernova-driven winds later than more massive objects. This kind of as-
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assumption, although requiring further investigation, would also reproduce the observed mass assembly downsizing. However, despite the improvements on the theoretical side, many questions remain still open and the physics of massive galaxy formation is not fully understood.

The empirical downsizing scenario implies that the star-forming progenitors of massive and passive ETGs should be present at $z \gtrsim 2$. Thus, the identification of these proto-ETGs is a crucial test to validate the downsizing picture and to link the evolutionary properties of ETGs inferred at $z \sim 0$ with those derived directly at high redshifts with the look-back approach. The current knowledge on the ETG precursors is still fragmentary and a coherent picture is lacking. However, star forming systems with different levels of SF activity and sometimes with compact sizes have been identified at $z \gtrsim 2 - 3$ as potential star-forming progenitors of massive ETGs at $z \sim 0$ (e.g. Daddi et al. 2004, Finkelstein et al. 2013, Williams et al. 2015). An additional piece of information comes from the host galaxies of QSOs at $z \gtrsim 6$, which have stellar masses up to $M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ and high metallicity (e.g. Fan et al. 2003), and also from the surprising identification of a significant number of galaxies at $z \gtrsim 4 - 5$, which have stellar masses up to $M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ and look already quiescent at these high redshifts (e.g. Mobasher et al. 2005, Wiklind et al. 2008, Juarez et al. 2009, Brammer et al. 2011, Marsan et al. 2015), although their properties are based on photometric redshifts and SED fitting, since they are too faint for spectroscopic identification. Should these galaxies be really ETG analogs at high redshifts (i.e. to the most massive $(M \gtrsim 5.6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot)$ and passive objects. The aim is to infer their star formation histories and place quantitative constraints on their precursors at high redshifts. In particular, instead of relying on the individual spectral features of these galaxies, we exploit the information contained in their full-spectrum, by means of the public code STARLIGHT (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005). Throughout this work, we adopt a flat ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.27$; $H_0 = 72$ km s$^{-1}$Mpc$^{-1}$. Moreover, we always refer to galaxy stellar masses.

2. Testing STARLIGHT with simulated ETGs spectra

Our work is based on spectral analysis and makes use of the full-spectrum fitting code STARLIGHT$^1$ (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005), which provides a fit to both galaxy spectral continuum and spectral features. Basically, the best fit model derived by STARLIGHT is obtained from the combination of many SSP spectra defined in user-made libraries. The contribution of each library spectrum to the best fit model is enclosed in the so-called light- and mass-fraction population vectors, which contain, respectively, the light and the mass fractions with which each library model contributes to the best fit spectrum at a reference $\lambda$. These population vectors are derived together with the best fit values for both the stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma$) and stellar extinction ($A_V$).

Before applying STARLIGHT to real data, we test its reliability to reproduce the evolutionary properties, such as age, metallicity, star formation histories (SFHs), dust extinction and velocity dispersion of massive and passive galaxies as a function of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the input spectra. To do this, we simulate spectra with different SNR and known evolutionary and physical properties treating them as STARLIGHT inputs, and then we compare our assumed inputs with the code outputs.

We create an ensemble of simulated spectra from 3500 to 8500 Å with increasing SNR, starting from BC03 (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) theoretical models. These synthetic models are based on the STELIB stellar spectra library (Le Borgne et al. 2003) and assume a Charbrier IMF (Chabrier 2003). Moreover, they are defined in the wavelength range 3200 – 9500 Å and have a resolution of $\sim 3$ Å, which is similar to the resolution of the SDSS spectra analyzed in the second part of this work (see Sect. 3). We first define an error $e_3$ for each theoretical spectrum by dividing the median flux of the model in the 6500 – 7000 Å window by a pre-defined grid of SNR (going from 1 to 10 and from 20 to 500 with step of 10); then, we apply the $\lambda$-independent $e_3$ to the whole model spectrum, generating gaussian random numbers within it and thus producing the simulated flux, as illustrated in Fig. 1. We verified that the assumption of a wavelength-independent error is reasonable, since it reproduces the behaviour of the error of individual SDSS spectra, on which we base our subsequent analysis. In particular, within the rest-frame range 3500 – 7000 Å (used to fit our data, see Sect. 3), the error of SDSS spectra is constant as a function of wavelength, apart from a discontinuity in correspondence of the overlapping of the blue and the red arm of the spectrograph (at $\sim 6000$ Å). As a consequence, the SNR in our simulations is wavelength-dependent, as shown in Fig. 1.

We assume a composite stellar population (CSP) with an exponentially delayed SFH: $\psi(t) \propto \tau^{-2} t \exp(-t/\tau)$ and 13 different ages from the beginning of the star formation (going from 1 to 13 Gyr with step of 1 Gyr) as starting theoretical models. We assume solar metallicity ($Z = 0.02$) and a velocity dispersion $\sigma = 200$ km s$^{-1}$. We chose a short $\tau$-dependence time scale ($\tau = 0.3$ Gyr) in order to reproduce as much as possible old ETGs spectra (Renzini 2006). It is worth noting that our simulated spectra are also dust-free (i.e. $A_V = 0$ mag). To better quantify the code reliability, we also compute 10 simulations for each age, thus obtaining 130 spectra for each SNR (10 simulations × 13 models).

To fit the input simulated spectra, we create a spectral library containing 176 BC03 simple stellar population (SSP) spectra. We choose four different metallicities for the synthetic spectra ($Z = 0.004$, $Z = 0.008$, $Z = 0.02$, $Z = 0.05$) and ages going from 0.001 to 14 Gyr. In particular, to produce a well-sampled spectral library which would help in reconstruct the SFH of the input CSPs, we define a smaller time step (0.01 Gyr) for very young ages, to take into account the very different spectral shape of stellar populations younger than 1 Gyr; a larger (0.5 Gyr) time step is instead adopted for older SSPs. We fit the input spectra within the full range 3500 – 8500 Å and, as required

$^1$ More informations are available on the website: www.starlight.ufsc.br
by STARLIGHT, we fix the normalization wavelength for the spectral fitting at the line-free wavelength of 5520 Å, thus avoiding absorption features which could influence our results. We perform these fits in the two cases of masking or not the input spectral regions related to emission or depending on α-element abundances (all the masked regions are reported in Table 1), which are not modelled by the BC03 synthetic spectra. Throughout the paper, we mainly discuss the results obtained in the second case, since it is more general and easily comparable with the literature.

Table 1. Spectral regions masked in the fit.

| Emission lines | [O II]3727 | [O III]5007 | [O I]6302 | Hα, Hβ, Hγ, Hδ, Hα | HeI5877 |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|
| α-element dependent lines | CN1-CN2 | CaII4227 | G4300 | MgI, MgII, MgII | FeI4383 | FeI5335 |

2.1. Definition of the evolutionary properties

Since our tests on STARLIGHT are based on the comparison between input and output quantities, a consistent and accurate definition of them is necessary. In particular, we use mass-weighted properties, instead of light-weighted ones, since they allow to be almost independent of the choice of the normalization wavelength for the fit. Furthermore, mass-weighted quantities have the advantage to offer a more direct probe to the integrated SFH being not biased towards younger ages. Indeed, it is well-known that younger populations can dominate the luminosity of a stellar population, even when they contribute very little to the mass (Trager et al. 2000, Conroy 2013). Throughout this work, we also compare the retrieved mass-weighted and light-weighted properties (see Sect. 4).

- Age. Following the definition given by Gallazzi et al. (2005) and Barber et al. (2007), we define the input ages as mass- and light-weighted ages, according to the following equations:

\[
\langle t \rangle_{\text{mass}} = \frac{\int_t^\infty SFR(t - t') M(t') t' dt'}{\int_0^t SFR(t - t') M(t') dt'},
\]

\[
\langle t \rangle_{\text{light}} = \frac{\int_t^\infty SFR(t - t') f_\lambda(t') t' dt'}{\int_0^t SFR(t - t') f_\lambda(t') dt'},
\]

where \( M(t') \) is the stellar mass provided by an SSP of age \( t' \), \( f_\lambda \) is the flux at a given wavelength \( \lambda \) of an SSP of age \( t' \) and \( SFR(t - t') \) is the star formation rate at the time \( t - t' \), when the SSP was formed. These equations account for the fact that a composite stellar population can be treated as the sum of many SSPs of different age. Thus each SSP, with a certain age \( t' \), contributes to the global mass- (light-) weighted age of the CSP according to its mass (flux) and to the SFR at the time of its formation.

About the output quantities, we define the retrieved output mass- and light-weighted ages by means of the mass- and light-fraction population vectors, according to the equations:

\[
\langle t \rangle_{\text{mass}} = \sum_j \text{age}_j \cdot m_j ,
\]

and

\[
\langle t \rangle_{\text{light}} = \sum_j \text{age}_j \cdot x_j ,
\]

where \( m_j \) and \( x_j \) are its fractional contribution to the best fit model, respectively, provided by the STARLIGHT code.

- Age of formation. Starting from the output mass-weighted ages, we define the "age of formation" \( \text{age}_f \) (i.e. look-back time) of the analyzed galaxies as:

\[
\text{age}_f = \text{age}_{\text{model}} + \Delta t ,
\]

where \( \text{age}_{\text{model}} \) is the original age of each model in our spectral library and \( \Delta t = [\text{age}_{\nu}(z = 0) - \text{age}_{\nu}(z)] \) is 2 Our normalization wavelength is fixed at 5520 Å.
the difference between the age of the Universe today and the age of the Universe at the redshift of the galaxy. In other words, \( a_{\text{age}} \) allows to consistently scale all the derived ages to \( z = 0 \).

- **Metallicity.** As said above, we consider solar metallicity \((Z = 0.02)\) for the input simulated spectra; we define the output metallicities only as mass-weighted metallicities, employing the following equation:

\[
\langle Z \rangle_{\text{mass}} = \sum_j Z_j \cdot m_j ,
\]

where \( Z_j \) is the metallicity of the model component \( j \).

- **Star formation histories.** In general, considering that a CSP can be viewed as the sum of many SSPs (see eq. [1] in Bruzual & Charlot 2003), the SFH of a stellar population can be understood as the fraction of stellar mass produced as a function of time in the form of SSPs. For this reason, the mass fractions \( m_j \) provided by STARLIGHT plotted as function of the library SSPs ages can be considered as a direct proxy for the output SFH. Starting from this, we use the following equation to define the input SFH:

\[
SFH_{\text{in}} = \frac{SFR(t - t')M(t')\,dt'}{\int_0^t SFR(t - t')M(t')\,dt'},
\]

where \( dt' \) was introduced to make \( SFH_{\text{in}} \) dimensionless, just like the output \( m_j \).

- **Extinction and velocity dispersion.** Extinction and velocity dispersion are direct STARLIGHT output, so we compare them directly with the chosen input ones (i.e. \( A_V = 0 \) mag and \( \sigma = 200 \) km s\(^{-1}\)).

### 2.2. Results from the simulations

#### 2.2.1. Age and metallicity

The two top panels of Fig. 2 illustrate the results concerning ages and metallicities. In this figure we show the median differences, averaged on all the realized simulations at each SNR, between the output and the input quantities as a function of the mean SNR of the simulated spectra, together with their median absolute deviation (MAD)\(^3\), calculated at each SNR on the available simulations. We found that the differences and the relative dispersions effectively decrease with increasing SNR, as expected. In the case of the ages, only a small systematic shift of about +0.3 Gyr is present at SNR \( \gtrsim 10 \). To better quantify our results, we defined the percentage accuracy as the ratio between the dispersion of a given quantity on all the simulations at a given SNR and its true value, calculating the minimum SNR at which the input properties are retrieved with a percentage accuracy higher than \( \sim 10 \) %.

We find minimum SNR values of \( \sim 18 \) for ages and \( \sim 9 \) for metallicities. Table 2 lists the median shifts and the median dispersions (together with their percentage values) which we find in the case of SNR = 100, which is close to the typical SNR of the median stacked spectra which we are going to analyze in Sect. 3 (results for SNR = 20 are also shown to facilitate the comparison with literature).

We find that, masking the spectral regions associated with emission or depending on \( \alpha \)-element abundances, the SNR needed to reach a percentage accuracy larger than the 10% increases to \( \sim 40 \) for mass-weighted ages, remaining unchanged for the other quantities. We ascribe this effect to the fact that we are excluding from the fit some spectral regions which are well-known age indicators (e.g. the \( H\beta \) line, see Burstein et al. 1984, Worthey et al. 1994).

#### 2.2.2. Dust extinction and velocity dispersion

Dust extinction and velocity dispersion are retrieved with a percentage accuracy higher than the 10 % for SNR \( \gtrsim 7 \) and \( \gtrsim 3 \), respectively, as illustrated in the two lowest panels of Fig. 2 and reported in Table 2. The results are in agreement with the ones obtained by Choi et al. (2014), who used another full-spectrum fitting code (developed by Conroy & van Dokkum 2012) and by Magris et al. (2015), who adopted the STARLIGHT code itself. Indeed, these authors found that age, metallicity, velocity dispersion and dust extinction are recovered without significant systematic offsets starting from SNR \( \gtrsim 10 \). In particular, for a SNR of 20, their median percentage shifts are \( \lesssim 10 \) % on age and metallicity (Choi et al. 2014) and \( \sim 8 \) % on \( \sigma \) (Magris et al. 2015), while their dispersions are \( \lesssim 10 \) % on age and metallicity (Choi et al. 2014) and \( \sim 15 \) % on \( \sigma \) (Magris et al. 2015). All these values are in agreement with ours at the same SNR (see Table 2).

It is worth noting that, if we mask the spectral regions listed in Table 1, similar SNR of \( \sim 3 \) and \( \sim 8 \) are needed to recover dust extinction and velocity dispersion with a percentage accuracy better than 10 %, respectively.

#### 2.2.3. Star formation histories including a single CSP

To quantify the accuracy with which the SFHs are retrieved, we calculate the percentage of mass gathered around the peak of the SFH, comparing the results with the theoretical expectations, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Here, the case of a SNR = 50 CSP input spectrum at four different ages from the onset of the SFH is shown. In particular, we find that the theoretical exponentially delayed SFH assumed for the input spectra (reimbed at the age step of our SSP library models) is narrow, encompassing \( \sim 100 \) % of mass within an age interval going from \(-1\) Gyr to \(+0.5\) Gyr around its maximum. In comparison, for SNR \( \gtrsim 10 \), the retrieved SFHs appear slightly broader with respect to the theoretical one. Indeed, \( \sim 80 \) % of mass is gathered within \([-1; +0.5]\) Gyr for CSPs younger than 5 Gyr, and an even larger age interval of \([-1.5; +1]\) Gyr is necessary to get this same mass percentage in the case of older populations. This dependence is illustrated in a more general way in Fig. 4, which shows the median mass fractions gathered around the SFH peak as a function of the mean SNR of the simulated spectra, for four CSPs. It is possible to note that CSPs older than 5 Gyr require larger age intervals around the SFH maximum to gather the same mass percentage as younger stellar populations.
However, since a significant percentage of mass (70 – 80 %) is always reached within ∼ 1 Gyr from the peak of the SFH at any age, we conclude that the full-spectrum fitting succeeds in reproducing the input SFH for SNR ≥ 10. Finally, we find that STARLIGHT does not have any bias toward young ages, since, at any SNR, no mass is gathered at ages younger than 0.5 Gyr, except in the case of the youngest CSP (these results are valid also when the mask is applied to the input spectra). To summarize, from our tests we can conclude that the full-spectrum fitting with STARLIGHT is reliable if SNR ≥ 10 – 20 are considered. These SNRs are lower or equal than the ones of typical SDSS individual spectra (i.e. ∼ 20) and well below the typical SNR of stacked spectra, which are mainly used for high redshift studies (see Sect. 3.1).

2.2.4. More complex star formation histories

Since the star-formation histories of galaxies (ETGs included, e.g. De Lucia et al. 2006, Maraston et al. 2009) can be stochastic and include multiple bursts, we also verify the full-spectrum fitting capabilities to retrieve more complex SFHs. In particular, we take an 11 Gyr old composite stellar population with an exponentially delayed SF (τ = 0.3 Gyr) as the main SF episode (this age is compatible with the age of the Universe at z ∼ 0.15, which is the median redshift of our sample, see Sect. 3). We then define more complex SFHs by combining this single CSP with a burst of SF at different ages (5, 6, 7 Gyr) and with different mass contributions (3, 5, 10 %). In all cases, we consider a solar metallicity for the main SF episode and, according to the results of Maraston et al. (2009), a subsolar metallicity (Z = 0.004) for the later one. We do not mask any spectral feature of the input spectra, we assume $A_V = 0.1$ mag for the two components and apply a velocity dispersion of 200 km s$^{-1}$. We show the results for a SNR of 80, which matches the typical SNR of the SDSS median stacked spectra analyzed in the following (see Sect. 3). Useful information can be derived from the comparison between the output SFH obtained from these input simulated spectra and the one provided when the single CSP alone is taken as input SFH. Fig. 5 shows that the single CSP alone is well recovered by the full spectrum fitting. In particular, ∼ 80 % of the stellar mass is retrieved within ∼ 1 Gyr from the SFH peak. When a burst is added to this major episode of SF, the full-spectrum fitting is able to recognize the presence of a more complex SFH, as indicated by the tail appearing at smaller ages, and the total mass percentage of the later burst is retrieved within 1 Gyr from the expected age. However, we note that the main episode of SF is spread on a time interval longer than expected, and 50 % of the stellar mass is retrieved around ∼ 1 Gyr from the SFR peak. We also find that, in this case, the mean properties of the global stellar population are well retrieved, with a percentage accuracy larger than 10 % starting from SNR ∼ 15 for age, ∼ 7 for
metallicity, \( \sim 20 \) for \( A_V \), \( \sim 8 \) for \( \sigma \) and that the metallicities of the two SF episodes are separately recovered. These SNRs are well below the typical ones of the stacked spectra analyzed in the following Sections. In Fig. 6, we analyze the recovery of more complex SFHs as a function of the age and the mass contribution of the later SF burst. In particular, we find that the SFH tail, indicative of the presence of more recent SF episodes, arises for bursts happening \( \gtrsim 6 \) Gyr after the main SF event and contributing in mass by \( \gtrsim 5 \% \). Therefore, under these conditions, the full-spectrum fitting is able to recognize the SFH complexity. Furthermore, if mergers have played a role in the galaxy star formation history, these tests suggest that our method would be sensible to variations in SFR produced by mergers among galaxies of different age, but it is intrinsically not able to discern whether or not mergers among galaxies of similar age (coeval mergers), which increase stellar masses and sizes leaving the shape of the SFH unchanged, occurred during the galaxy evolution to build galaxy mass.

2.3. Testing different stellar population synthesis models

Having adopted BC03 models to set up both the input simulated spectra and the spectral library, in this Section we verify if this choice can affect the results. This is a simple consistency check to verify the full-spectrum fitting capabilities to retrieve the galaxy evolutionary properties starting from the same input spectra. With this aim, using the procedure described in Sect. 2 we simulate, starting from BC03 spectra, 13 simple stellar population (SSP) spectra with solar metallicities and ages going from 1 to 13 Gyr with step of 1 Gyr, within the same SNR range defined in Sect. 2, and we fit them with a spectral library of Maraston & Strömbäck (2011) (MS11) SSP models, which are defined in the wavelength range \( 3200 - 9300 \) Å, are based on the STELIB stellar spectra library (Le Borgne et al. 2003), have a resolution of 3 Å, and assume a Kroupa IMF (Kroupa 2001). We show in Fig. 7 the recovery of age, metallicity, velocity dispersion and dust extinction, in analogy with Fig. 2. Also in this case, the percentage accuracy of 10 \% is reached at similar SNR, which are \( \sim 5 \) for age, \( \sim 7 \) for velocity dispersion and \( \sim 3 \) for dust extinction, while metallicity is retrieved with basically no bias at every SNR. However, as it is possible to note, a difference between the retrieved mass weighted ages and the true ones is present also at high SNR. This overestimation can be explained by the fact that, due to a different treatment of the TP-AGB phase and of the convective overshooting in the stellar interiors, MS11 models are on average bluer than BC03 ones (see Maraston et al. 2006 for further details). This implies that, for the same metallicity, older MS11 models are chosen to best-fit BC03 input spectra, to compensate the bluer colors.

To avoid biases against MS11 models, we also fit an ensemble of 13 MS11 simulated spectra with a spectral library made up of MS11 models themselves (this test is performed using MILES - see Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006 - stellar spectra both for the input and the library synthetic models). For computational feasibility, we create in this case a less extended grid of SNR for the input simulated spectra.
Ravindranath et al. (2004), while those with Andredakis et al. (1995) and Blanton et al. (2005), which reports the number of objects contained in each mass (Concas et al. 2016, in prep). This corre-

Fig. 6. Retrieving of more complex SFHs as a function of the age and the mass contribution of the later burst. Left panels show the output SFH (pink curves) obtained when the input SFH (grey curves and vertical lines) includes a single CSP plus a later burst happening 6 Gyr after the main SF episode and contributing in mass by 3, 5 and 10 % (from top to bottom). Right panels show the output SFH (pink curves) obtained when the input SFH (grey curves and vertical lines) includes a single CSP plus a later burst of SF contributing in mass by 5 %, and happening 4, 6, 8 Gyr after the main SF event (from top to bottom).

and, to be consistent with the results shown for BC03 models, we do not adopt the mask for the input spectra. In this case, we find that the evolutionary properties are retrieved with a percentage accuracy larger than the 10 % starting from a SNR of ∼ 25 for mass-weighted ages, ∼ 15 for metallicities, ∼ 30 for dust extinction and ∼ 6 for velocity dispersion. These values are in general higher than the ones found using BC03 models but, however, it is important to note that they are below the ones of the median stacked spectra analyzed in the following Sections (see Sect. 3).

3. Sample selection

We analyze a sample of 24488 very massive and passive early-type galaxies with 0.02 ≤ z ≤ 0.3. The restriction to the most passive ETGs is indeed very useful to investigate galaxy evolution, since it allows to address the galaxy mass assembly history in the very early epochs. The adopted sample was already used by Moresco et al. (2011) (M11) (see this work for more details), who matched SDSS-DR6 galaxies with the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) (with photometry in the J, H and K bands) to achieve a larger wavelength coverage, thus obtaining better estimates of stellar masses from SED-fitting and photometric data. According to the criteria explained in M11, the analyzed galaxies have no strong emission lines (rest-frame equivalent width EW(Hα)> −5 Å and EW ([OII]λ3727) > −5 Å ) and spectral energy distribution matching the reddest passive ETG templates, according to the Ilbert et al. (2006) criteria. Finally, the sample was cross-matched with the SDSS DR4 subsample obtained by Gallazzi et al. (2005), for which stellar metallicity estimates have been performed by means of a set of Lick absorption indices (see Sect. 4.5). Moreover, to deal only with the most massive galaxies, only objects with log(M/M⊙)> 10.75 were included in the sample (above this threshold, the galaxy number density is found to be almost constant up to z ∼ 1, see Pozzetti et al. 2010) which, in addition, was split into four narrow mass bins (Δlog(M/M⊙)= 0.25): 10.75 < log(M/M⊙)< 11, 11 < log(M/M⊙)< 11.25, 11.25 < log(M/M⊙)< 11.5 and log(M/M⊙)> 11.5 (Table 3 reports the number of objects contained in each mass bin), in turn divided into various redshift bins of width Δz ∼ 0.02. It is worth noting that, on average, the difference of the median mass along the redshift range is negligible within the four mass slices in which our sample is divided, showing no significant evolution as a function of redshift (Moresco et al. 2011, Concas et al. 2016, in prep). We also assess the morphology of the galaxies in our sample using the Sersic index p_n (Sersic 1968), which correlates with the morphology because objects with n < 2.5 are disky (Andredakis et al. 1995), while those with n > 2.5 are bulge-dominated or spheroids (Ravindranath et al. 2004). In particular, the values of p_n are taken from the NYU Value-Added Galaxy catalogue (Blanton et al. 2005), which contains photometric and spectroscopic information on a sample of ∼ 2.5 × 10^7 galaxies extracted from the SDSS DR7. From this check, we derive that ∼ 96 % of the analyzed galaxies are bulge-dominated systems.

Fig. 7. The same of Fig. 2, but using the MS11 spectral library to retrieve the evolutionary properties.
3.1. Median stacked spectra

In order to increase the SNR of the input spectra, we work on the median stacked spectra derived for each mass and redshift bin. A stacked spectrum is produced by shifting each individual rest-frame spectrum, normalized to a chosen wavelength, to the rest frame and then by constructing, via interpolation, a grid of common wavelengths at which the median (or mean) flux is computed. In our sample, the median stacked spectra were obtained for each mass and redshift bin (see Sect. 3). We define the error on the median stacked flux as \( \text{MAD}/\sqrt{N} \), where \( \text{MAD} \) is the median absolute deviation and \( N \) is the number of objects at each wavelength. Before stacking them together, we normalized each individual spectrum at rest-frame 5000 Å, where no strong absorption features are present which could influence the final result. It is worth noting that the error on the final stacked spectrum was corrected in order to obtain more reliable values for the \( \chi^2 \) distribution provided by the spectral fit. In particular, we readjusted the original error \( e_\lambda \) of the spectra making it comparable with the data dispersion of \( (\sigma_\lambda - M_\lambda) \) (where \( O_\lambda \) are the observed data, \( M_\lambda \) is the best fit model obtained from the first-round fit). After the error re-definition, the signal-to-noise ratios of the median stacked spectra are \( \sim 80 \) (the error \( e_\lambda \) is, on average, increased by a factor of \( \sim 7 \)).

The main characteristics of the analyzed median stacked spectra are illustrated in Fig. 8, where also the fractional differences among the various spectra are shown to better visualize their differences as a function of wavelength. As it is possible to note, median stacked spectra are completely emission lines-free. Moreover, two clear observational trends are present, with spectra getting redder both with cosmic time and increasing mass. In the following, our aim is to investigate these observed trends by means of the full-spectrum fitting technique. In Sect. 5, we also verify that the use of stacked spectra does not introduce biases in the results, concluding that they can be employed at high redshift to increase the SNR of the observed spectra.

4. Evolutionary properties from the full-spectrum fitting of median stacked spectra

To infer the evolutionary and physical properties of our sample, we fit the median stacked spectra for each mass and redshift bin, mainly using BC03 spectra, but also exploiting a spectral library of MS11 STELIB models. In all cases, we fix the wavelength range for the spectral fitting to 3500 – 7000 Å for all the mass and redshift bins, in order to avoid redshift-dependent results. Both the BC03 and the MS11 spectral libraries contain spectra with ages starting from 0.01 Gyr and not exceeding the age of the Universe at the redshift of the stacked spectrum itself. We use the metallicities \( Z = 0.004, 0.008, 0.02, 0.05 \) for the BC03 library and \( Z = 0.01, 0.02, 0.04 \) for the MS11 one and we assume a Calzetti attenuation curve to account for the presence of dust (Calzetti 2001). Moreover, contrary to what did in Sect. 2, in both cases we mask the spectral regions of the input spectra which are associated with emission lines (Cid Fernandes et al. 2005) and alpha-element dependent lines (Thomas et al. 2003), since they are not modelled by BC03 and MS11 synthetic spectra (see Table 1 and grey shaded regions in Fig. 9).

As it is possible to note from Fig. 9, the best fit model produced by the spectral fitting matches correctly the input spectrum, both in the case of BC03 and MS11 models. The dispersion on the mean ratio between the synthetic and observed flux on all the not-masked pixels is \( \lesssim 2\% \).

From the comparison between the observed and the synthetic spectra, signs of a residual emission in correspondence of the masked spectral regions can be noticed. We discuss this residual emission in a parallel paper, which is dedicated to the study of the \( H\beta \) absorption line (Concas et al. 2016, in prep.).

4.1. Error estimates

Throughout the data analysis, the uncertainties on the investigated evolutionary and physical properties are derived in three different ways, defined as follows:

- \( \text{rms}_{\text{sim}} \): this is the dispersion derived in Sect. 2.2 at the typical SNR of the analyzed median stacked spectra (i.e. SNR\(\sim 100 \));
- \( \text{err}_{100} \): this error is derived by comparing the results obtained from computing 100 realizations of each median stacked spectrum within its error (defined in Sect. 3.1);
- \( \text{rms}_{\text{set}} \): this dispersion is calculated by comparing the results obtained by changing some setting parameters of the spectral fit (e.g. the number of the masked spectral regions, the upper limit for dust extinction, the extension of the library in age or metallicity).

4.2. Ages

Using eq. (1), we derive the mass-weighted ages of our galaxies, illustrated in the upper panel of Fig. 10. Considering the observed properties of the median stacked spectra with mass and redshift illustrated in Fig. 8, we find an evolutionary trend, with mass-weighted ages increasing systematically with mass (for a fixed redshift), as well as with cosmic time (for a given mass bin). In particular, ages vary from \( \sim 10 \) to \( \sim 13 \) Gyr, increasing by \( \sim 0.4 \) Gyr from the lowest to the highest masses, in agreement with the observational trends shown in Fig. 8. The lower panel of Fig. 10 illustrates, instead, the retrieved light-weighted ages, which instead increase by \( \sim 1 \) Gyr from the lowest to the highest mass. Light-weighted ages are younger than mass-weighted ones, with a difference of \( \sim 0.6 \) Gyr in the lowest redshift bins and of \( \sim 1 \) Gyr in the highest ones, on average (Table 4 lists the three uncertainties estimates \( \text{rms}_{\text{sim}}, \text{err}_{100} \) and \( \text{rms}_{\text{set}} \) derived for \( <t>_\text{mass} \)). It is interesting to note that, even when the cosmological constraint is relaxed and the maximum allowed age for the spectral library models is pushed to 14 Gyr at all redshifts, the trend of the mass-weighted age with both mass and cosmic time still holds, and only in few cases the mass-weighted ages exceed the age of the Universe. Fig. 11 illustrates our mass-weighted ages as a function of the velocity dispersion (see Sect. 4.6). At \( z < 0.1 \), we find that they are compatible, within the dispersion (\( \sim 25\% \)), with the relation derived by McDermid et al. (2015) from the analysis of the ATLAS1D sample \((z \lesssim 0.1 \) and \( 9.5 < \log(M_{\text{dyn}}/M_\odot) < 12 \)) by means of the PPFX code (Cappellari & Emel'yanov 2004). At \( z \gtrsim 0.06 \), for
Fig. 8. SDSS median stacked spectra for the sample of massive and passive ETGs. Left and right upper panels show, respectively, median stacked spectra with a fixed redshift ($0.15 \lesssim z \lesssim 0.19$) and different masses (with mass increasing from blue to red) and with a fixed mass ($11.25 < \log (M/M_\odot) < 11.5$) and four different redshifts (0.04, 0.08, 0.16, 0.23) (with redshift increasing from blue to red). Lower panels illustrate the fractional differences (defined as $(f_i - f_{\text{REF}})/f_{\text{REF}} \times 100$, where $f_i$ is the flux of the $i$-th spectrum and $f_{\text{REF}}$ is the reference one) among the stacked spectra as a function of wavelength. In particular, to show the redshift and the mass dependence we used as reference, respectively, the median stacked spectrum obtained for $11.25 < \log (M/M_\odot) < 11.5$ and $0.07 < z < 0.09$, and the one corresponding to $10.75 < \log (M/M_\odot) < 11$ and $0.15 < z < 0.17$. Vertical grey lines mark some of the best-known absorption lines.

a given velocity dispersion, our mass-weighted ages are instead older than the ones deduced by Thomas et al. (2010). This can be due to the fact that, differently from our approach, they analyzed a sample of $\sim 3600$ morphologically selected galaxies (i.e. MOSES ETGs at $0.05 \lesssim z \lesssim 0.06$, see Schawinski et al. 2007), without restriction to the most passive objects and also to the fact that, using the Lick indices, they derive SSP-equivalent instead of mass-weighted ages.

It is also interesting to note that our average light-weighted ages for $z \lesssim 0.06$ are $\sim 11.6$ Gyr for all of the four mass bins and that these values are in agreement with the ones obtained at similar redshifts by Conroy et al. (2014), who applied the stellar population synthesis (SPS) model
Fig. 9. Typical output of the full-spectrum fitting procedure using BC03 (left) and MS11 (right) spectral synthesis models. In particular, we show the case of the median stacked spectrum derived for $11 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.25$ and $z \sim 0.05$. In the top panel the black curve is the observed spectrum, the red curve is the best fit model and grey shaded regions are the masked spectral regions (see Table 1). In the bottom panel the ratio of the best fit model spectrum to the observed flux is shown.

developed by Conroy & van Dokkum (2012) to a sample of nearby ($0.025 < z < 0.06$) SDSS DR7 ETGs, with masses comparable to ours (i.e. $10.70 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.07$).

The derived mass-weighted ages imply a very early epoch of formation. A further confirmation of this comes from Fig. 12, which illustrates the ETGs mass-weighted ages of formation (see eq. 3) as a function of mass and redshift (in this case, the term $age_U(z)$ in eq. (3) is the age of the Universe at the redshift of each of the available median stacked spectra), together with the 1σ dispersions calculated from the 16th and 84th percentiles of the mass fraction cumulative distribution. As it is possible to note, the mass-weighted ages of formation are very high, with an increase of $\sim 0.4$ Gyr from low to high masses. Taking into account the 1 σ dispersion on the SFHs, we also find that the slopes of the $age_f - z$ relations are compatible with zero, indicating that, at a fixed mass, the analyzed galaxies have similar $z_F$, especially in the highest mass bins. However, it is important to note that our main results
Fig. 10. Mass-weighted (top) and light-weighted (bottom) age-redshift relations (for BC03 models). Stellar mass increases from blue to red. The black line is the age of the Universe, while grey lines are the age of galaxies assuming different formation redshifts.

Fig. 11. Mass-weighted ages as a function of the velocity dispersion $\sigma$ for BC03 models (symbols are color-coded as in Fig. 10). Filled circles are the mass-weighted ages corresponding to $z < 0.1$, matching the redshifts analyzed by McDermid et al. (2015), with black curves linking the mass-weighted ages related to different mass bins but similar redshifts. The grey curve is the age – $\sigma$ relation inferred by McDermid et al. (2015) with its dispersion (grey shaded region), while the light-blue curve is the Thomas et al. (2010) relation (its dispersion, not shown in the figure, is of the order of $\sim 60\%$).

4.3. Star formation histories

In this Section we investigate the trend with mass of the SFHs by looking at the mass fractions provided by the full-spectrum fitting ($m_j$) as a function of the mass-weighted age of formation, $\text{age}_f$. In particular, we consider all the redshifts of a given mass bin together, in order to visualize the shape of the SFH as a function of mass. The behaviour of the $m_j$ distribution is illustrated in Fig. 13, where asymmetric gaussians - constructed starting from the 50th (P50), 16th (P16) and 84th (P84) percentiles of the mass fraction distribution cumulative functions - are overplotted to the $m_j$ distribution, with the 50th percentile used to better visualize the distribution (P16 and P84 allow to compute the dispersion of the distribution within $1\sigma$). Given a mass bin, P50 is the median on the P50 of all the available redshifts, while the asymmetric dispersions are defined as the median differences [P84 – P50] and [P50 – P16], averaged in the same way. Table 3 lists the calculated values for the four mass bins. To best retrieve the shape of the SFHs and minimize the dependence on possible small differences in $z_F$, we decide to put the $m_j$ distributions in phase, by synchronizing the P50 of each redshift bin to the median P50 of each mass bin, in order not to rely on the assumption that galaxies in a given mass bin have the same formation epochs.

From the figure it is possible to note that the median of the asymmetric gaussians slightly increases with mass and that the width of the gaussians decreases from low to high mass. In particular, we find that the width [P84 – P16] decreases from $\sim 1$ Gyr to $\sim 0.7$ Gyr from low to high masses, while P50 increases by $\sim 0.1$ Gyr with mass, and dispersions [P84 – P16] of $\sim 0.35$ Gyr, regardless of mass.

Considering these results and our largest uncertainty on age $rms_{set}$ (see Table 4), the derived trends show that massive galaxies have already formed $\sim 50\%$ of the stellar mass by $z \gtrsim 5$ ($z \gtrsim 3$ if the $\sim 0.5$ Gyr systematic is taken into account, see Sect. 5). In addition, this percentage of mass is formed slightly earlier in more massive galaxies than in less massive ones, with the former also having shorter star formation histories than the latter (see Fig. 13 and Table 3).

We quantify the typical SFR of the analyzed galaxies defining, for each mass bin, the quantity

$$\langle SFR\rangle_{68\%} = 0.68 \cdot \frac{M}{\Delta t} \cdot (68\%) \cdot M_{\odot} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

concerning SFHs do not rely on the fact that galaxies in the same mass bin have similar formation epochs, since the spectral fits are realized separately on each mass and redshift bin.

Using MS11 models, we find the same trends of the mass-weighted age of formation with mass and redshift. In particular, in this case $\text{age}_f$ are only $\sim 0.2$ Gyr older than the ones provided by BC03 spectra, on average. In all two cases, the independence of $\text{age}_f$ of redshift is also an indication that we are not biased towards young galaxy progenitors going to higher redshift, and thus that our sample is not affected by the so-called progenitor bias (van Dokkum & Franx 1996).
basing on the assumption that the 68% of stellar mass is formed within the 68% time interval $\Delta t$ around the peak of the SFH. We find that the typical SFRs increase for increasing mass from $\sim 50$ to $\sim 370 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (SFR $\sim 140 - 750 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for MS11 models).

The evolutionary picture emerging from the analysis of the star formation histories can be also deduced from Fig. 14, which illustrates the mass fraction relative to the stellar populations older or younger than 5 Gyr. Regardless of redshift, we derive that the analyzed galaxies have not experienced significant bursts of star formation in recent times (as discussed in Sect. 2.2). MS11 spectral synthesis models confirm these trends, providing a similar low percentage of stellar mass below 5 Gyr (i.e. < 7%) and an even smaller contribution (< 0.1%) of the stellar populations younger than 1 Gyr to the total mass (which also implies slightly older mass-weighted ages).

4.4. The shape of the star formation history

The SFH of individual galaxies has been often approximated by simple declining exponential functions $SFR(t) \propto exp(-t/\tau)$, where $t$ is the galaxy age and $\tau$ is the star formation timescale (Expdel hereafter). Despite the success of these models to estimate the stellar masses of nearby spiral galaxies (Bell & de Jong 2001), recently many results have begun to highlight their limitations, especially when applied to higher redshift samples (Papovich et al. 2001, Shapley et al. 2005, Stark et al. 2009). Since then, other functional forms have been considered: for example, delayed-$\tau$ (exponentially delayed) models (with $\tau$ being the SF timescale), in which $SFR(t) \propto \tau^{-2} t \exp(-t/\tau)$ (Bruzual A. & Kron 1980, Bruzual & Charlot 2003, Moustakas et al. 2013, Pacifici et al. 2013) or ‘inverted-\tau’ models of the form $SFR(t) \propto \exp(+t/\tau)$ (Maraston et al. 2010, Pforr et al. 2012) have been suggested to be a better way to represent the SFH of massive galaxies at intermediate or high redshift.

In this Section, we test if these two analytical forms are able to describe the SFH of massive and passive, low redshift ETGs. We also introduce the parametric function (Expdel hereafter):

$$SFR(t) = \tau^{-(c+1)} t^c \exp(-t/\tau) \ ,$$

Fig. 12. age-$z$-redshift relations for the four mass bins. Solid and dotted curves (color coded as in Fig. 10) are the ages of formation as a function of redshift referring, respectively, to BC03 and MS11 spectral synthesis models. Dark-grey and light-grey shaded regions are the $16\%$ and $84\%$ percentiles of the mass fraction cumulative function for BC03 and MS11 models, respectively.

Fig. 13. Mass fractions $m_j$ as a function of look-back time for the four mass bins, with mass increasing from top to bottom (in the case of BC03 models). Colored vertical lines (color-coded as in Fig. 10) are the $m_j$ obtained from the full-spectrum fitting for each mass bin, put in phase according to their P50. In each mass bin, black vertical lines mark the P50 of the distribution, obtained from data as an average on the P50 of all the redshift bins, while black horizontal lines are the median dispersions [P50 – P16] and [P84 – P50]. The corresponding asymmetric gaussians (grey) are overplotted to the $m_j$ distributions. On the top of the figure, the $z_f$ corresponding to each age-$z$ are indicated.
with $c$ being a real number ranging from 0 to 1 with steps of 0.01, which parametrizes how fast the SFR rises at early ages.

The results are shown in Fig. 16, in which we illustrate the SFR derived from the mass fractions $m_j$ as a function of the look-back time (age of formation), together with the delayed-$\tau$ and the inverted-$\tau$ models.

For each mass and redshift bin, the observed SFRs are derived using the following equation:

$$ SFR_j = \frac{m_j \times M}{\sum_j m_j \times 0.5 \times 10^9 \times (1 - R)} \left(M_\odot \ yr^{-1}\right), $$

where $m_j$ are the mass fractions obtained from the full-spectrum fitting, $\sum_j m_j$ is the sum of the mass fractions of each mass and redshift bin, $M$ is the total stellar mass, the term $0.5 \times 10^9$ yr is the time step of our spectral library and R is the 'return fraction', which represents the fraction of mass which is returned to the ISM by supernovae-driven winds and mass losses. In particular, considering both the mass weighted ages of our galaxies (i.e. $\sim 10 - 13$ Gyr) and the Chabrier IMF assumed in this work, we fix the value of R to 0.5 (see Bruzual & Charlot 2003).

To perform the data-to-model comparison in the case of exponentially delayed SFHs, we define a grid of models $\text{Expdel}(\alpha)$ with different SF timescales $\tau$ (going from 0.2 Gyr to 0.7 Gyr with step of 0.01 Gyr). Then, by minimizing the quadratic differences

$$ \sum_j \left[\text{Expdel}(\alpha, \text{age}_j) - SFR_j\right]^2, $$

and

$$ \sum_j \left[\text{Expdel}(\alpha, c, \text{age}_j) - SFR_j\right]^2, $$

we find the $\tau$ and $c$ which best reproduce the observed SFR in each mass and redshift bin, by fixing the P50 of data and models. Then, as already done in Sect. 4.3 for the $m_j$ distribution, we put the results obtained for all the redshifts in a given mass bin together, also putting their P50 in phase according to the median P50. The median values of $\tau$ and $c$ on all the redshifts are thus taken as the best fit parameters for that given mass bin (see Fig. 16).

We find that the standard delayed-$\tau$ models with shorter $\tau$'s are needed to reproduce the observations for increasing mass. In particular, a value $\tau = 0.44$ (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.02$ Gyr) is compatible with the SFH of less massive galaxies ($10.75 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.25$), while slightly shorter values (i.e. $\tau = 0.37$, with a dispersion of $\pm 0.02$ Gyr) should be adopted to match the SFH at higher masses ($\log(M/M_\odot) > 11.5$). The $\text{Expdel}$ parametric form provides a much better fit to the data, with quadratic differences which are smaller even by 2 order of magnitude than in the case of the $\text{Expdel}$ form. Furthermore, slightly higher values of $\tau$ are found as best fit, decreasing for increasing mass from 0.8 Gyr (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.1$ Gyr) to 0.6 Gyr (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.1$ Gyr), while the best fit $c$ is $\sim 0.1$ (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.05$) regardless of mass, and reproduces the very fast rise of the SFR (see Table 3). The derived values are compatible with the SF timescale $\Delta t \sim 0.4$ Gyr derived by Thomas et al. (2010) from the measure of the $\alpha$-element abundance. However, it needs to be mentioned that, also using the $[\alpha/Fe]$ ratios, Conroy et al. (2014) obtained longer SF timescales ($\Delta t \sim 0.8$ Gyr) for similar masses. In addition, it is worth noting that, as shown in Sect. 2, the full-spectrum fitting tends to broaden the SFH for old stellar populations in the case of BC03 models (see Fig. 1) and thus the derived SF timescales could be effectively overestimated.

However, in agreement with the BC03 analysis, also the best fits with MS11 models confirm the short $\alpha$-folding times, which are $\tau \sim 0.25$ Gyr (with a dispersion of $\pm 0.0016$ Gyr), regardless of mass ($\tau \sim 0.39$ Gyr – with a dispersion of $\pm 0.03$ – and $c \sim 0.1$ – with a dispersion of $\pm 0.02$ – in the case of $\text{Expdel}$, regardless of mass).

Fig. 16 also illustrates 'inverted-$\tau$' models with $\tau = 0.3$ Gyr, normalized at the SFR maximum in each mass bin. The increased difficulty in matching these models with the observations may be linked to our poor sampling of the SFH at very early ages.

Fig. 16 confirms that the SFHs derived from our analysis are smooth and concentrated at high redshift. However, we are not able to distinguish whether these smooth SFHs are the result of a rapid collapse at high redshift or derive from coeval mergers. An important consideration is that the smoothness of the derived SFHs could be due to the fact that they derive from median stacked spectra, which represent the average behaviour of the sample. Therefore, the stochastic SF episodes related to the individual galaxies involved in the stack can be washed out and diluted within the stack. Our results have to be taken with a statistical meaning because are based on the analysis of stacked spectra. High SNR spectra of individual ETGs are required to better assess their evolution and star formation histories.

---

**Fig. 14.** Mass fractions $m_j$ recovered from the full-spectrum fitting as a function of redshift in the case of BC03 models. Red and blue curves refer, respectively, to stellar populations older and younger than 5 Gyr, with darker colors standing for higher stellar masses.

**Fig. 16.** Mass fractions $m_j$ recovered from the full-spectrum fitting as a function of redshift in the case of BC03 models. Red and blue curves refer, respectively, to stellar populations older and younger than 5 Gyr, with darker colors standing for higher stellar masses.
4.5. Metallicities

From the fit to the median stacked spectra, we also analyze the trends with mass and redshift of the retrieved mass-weighted metallicities \( \langle Z \rangle_{\text{mass}} \), derived from eq. (4). On average, we find supersolar metallicities, with \( \langle Z \rangle_{\text{mass}} \sim 0.029 \pm 0.0015 \), as illustrated in Fig. 17 (a median value \( \langle Z \rangle_{\text{light}} \sim 0.025 \) is obtained in case of light-weighted metallicities). Our \( \langle Z \rangle_{\text{mass}} \) have no clear trend with redshift in a given mass bin, and also do not show a significant dependence on mass (metallicities increase on average by only 0.0025 from the lowest to the highest masses). The independence of metallicities of cosmic time is a suggestion that the analyzed galaxies are very old and have no further enrichment of their interstellar medium with new metals (however, we remind that the analyzed interval of cosmic time is not much extended, amounting to \( \sim 3.3 \) Gyr). The dependence on mass suggests that more massive galaxies are able to retain more metals thanks to their deeper potential wells (Tremonti et al. 2004).

We find that MS11 models also provide slightly supersolar metallicities ((\( Z \)\rangle_{\text{mass}} \sim 0.027 \pm 0.0020, on average), with a more remarkable dependence on mass (metallicity increases by \( \sim 0.005 \) from the lowest to the highest mass). The three uncertainty estimates \( \text{rms}_{\text{sim}}, \text{CRT100} \) and \( \text{rms}_{\text{act}} \) calculated on the mass-weighted metallicities are reported in Table 4.

In Fig. 18, instead, we show a comparison between our metallicity estimates and the ones reported in the literature. In particular, we illustrate the scaling relation provided by Thomas et al. (2010) obtained from their sample of nearby ETGs\(^5\), with its observed average scatter. We also show the median metallicities measured on our sample by Gallazzi et al. (2005), together with their MADs. These metallicities are, in all two cases, measured by fitting individual spectral features (Lick indices, Burstein et al. 1984). In particular, Thomas et al. (2010) derived their values from the measure of 24 Lick indices, while Gallazzi et al. (2005) used a set of 5 specific Lick indices, including \( \text{H} \beta, \text{H} \delta_A, \text{H} \gamma_A, \text{[MgFe]} \) and \( [\text{MgFe}]^\prime \). It is possible to note that the metallicity estimates are broadly consistent, with a difference \( \Delta(Z)_{\text{[This work–Gallazzi]}} \sim +0.0036 \) and \( \Delta(Z)_{\text{[This work–Thomas]}} \sim -0.0032 \). The figure also illustrates the McDermid et al. (2015) mass-weighted metallicity – velocity dispersion relation, which they derive by means of another full-spectrum fitting code (i.e. PPF), using the Vazdekis synthetic models (Vazdekis et al. 2012)). We find that their metallicities are closer to the solar value (i.e. \( Z \sim 0.02 \)), with \( \Delta(Z)_{\text{[This work–McDermid]}} \sim -0.01 \). We ascribe the discrepancies among these various results mainly to the use of different sets of Lick indices or of nearby ETGs with their observed average scatter. With its observed average scatter.

\(^5\) We convert the \([Z/H]\) values given by Thomas et al. (2010) following the conversion of Caputo et al. (2001): \( \log Z = [Z/H] - 1.7 \).

\(^6\) Where \([\text{MgFe}]' = \sqrt{M_{\phi}} (0.72 \text{Fe}5270 + 0.28 \text{Fe}5335) \) and \([\text{MgFe}] = 0.6 M_{\phi} + 0.4 \log(\text{Fe}4531 + \text{Fe}5015) \).
Fig. 17. Mass weighted metallicities as a function of mass and redshift. Solid and dotted curves refer to BC03 and MS11 models, respectively. Stellar mass increases from blue to red, as indicated in the top right of the figure.

synthetic models (in the case of full-spectrum fitting). Therefore, even if there is agreement among the majority of the reported results in predicting supersolar metallicities (i.e. $Z > 0.025$), which also increase with $\sigma$, it is evident that the absolute values of metallicities depend on the method and the assumptions used for their estimate.

4.6. Velocity dispersions and dust extinction

In Fig. 19 we illustrate the trend of the velocity dispersion with stellar mass. In particular, we show the values obtained for all the redshift bins available for a given mass. We find velocity dispersions $\sigma \sim 200 - 300$ km s$^{-1}$, increasing for increasing stellar mass. Our results are compared with the median values derived on the same sample by the Princeton group$^7$, who have provided a re-reduction of a subsample of SDSS data. It is possible to note that there is a good agreement between the two estimates. Indeed, the differences $\Delta \sigma_{(This\ Work-Princeton)}$ are $\lesssim 12\%$. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the largest discrepancies occur only in correspondence of the extreme low or high redshift bins, which have fewer statistics (i.e. which contain fewer objects), and that MS11 models provide velocity dispersions which are in agreement with the BC03 ones, being only $\sim 3.5$ km s$^{-1}$ lower than them, on average.

As it is possible to note in Fig. 20, the visual extinction $A_V$ obtained from the spectral fit with BC03 models is always $< 0.2$ mag and on average $\sim 0.08$ (averaging on the four mass bins), in agreement with the typical view of early-type galaxies being old and dust-free objects (Wise & Silva 1996, Saglia et al. 2000, Tojeiro et al. 2013). MS11 models also produce very low $A_V (< 0.25$ mag) which, however, are higher by $\sim 0.08$ mag than the BC03 ones, on average. Moreover, in the BC03 case, more massive galaxies have lower $A_V$ with respect to less massive ones, while this trend is much less remarkable when MS11 models are used. Although further investigations are needed to confirm the reliability of this trend, it may suggest that more massive galaxies are able to clear their interstellar medium more efficiently, probably due to more intense feedback processes linked with star formation or AGN activity. As usual, the value of $rms_{atm}$, $err_{100}$ and $rms_{set}$ for both the velocity dispersions and dust extinction are reported in Table 4.

5. Testing the fitting of individual spectra

Since median stacked spectra are very useful to increase the SNR of the observed spectra, in this Section we verify if the results obtained using median stacked spectra are consistent with the ones derived by fitting individual spectra (we performed this check in the case of BC03 models). In particular, we compare the $\langle \sigma \rangle_{mass}$, $\langle Z \rangle_{mass}$, $A_V$ and $\sigma$ derived so far through the median stacked spectra, with the median of their distributions obtained studying individual objects. We restrict our analysis only to the two most massive bins (i.e. $11.25 < \log (M/M_\odot) < 11.5$ and $11.75 < \log (M/M_\odot) < 12$).

---

$^7$ More information are available on the website http://spectro.princeton.edu/
Fig. 19. Velocity dispersions $\sigma$ as a function of mass (for BC03 models). Colored triangles represent our observed values at different redshift for each mass bin (the values of $\sigma$ are illustrated together with the errors $\text{MAD} / \sqrt{N}$), with the size of the triangles increasing for increasing redshift; black open circles are the velocity dispersions derived from the re-reduction of SDSS spectra performed by the Princeton Group, used for comparison.

Fig. 20. Dust extinction $A_V$ as a function of redshift and mass. Solid and dotted curves refer to BC03 and MS11 models, respectively. Colors are coded as in Fig. 10.

$log(M/M_\odot) > 11.5$, both because they are the most interesting ones to investigate galaxy formation and evolution

and for computational feasibility (since they contain fewer objects, see Table 3).

Fig. 21 illustrates what derived for $\langle t \rangle_{\text{mass}}$, $\langle Z \rangle_{\text{mass}}$, $\sigma$ and $A_V$ at $< 11.25 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.5$ and $\log(M/M_\odot) > 11.5$.

As it is possible to note, in most of the cases the results of median stacked spectra are overlapped to the ones of individual spectra within the dispersion of these latter. We found that ages, metallicities and velocity dispersions are higher in the case of median stacked spectra of $\leq 10\%$ (the average age differences between individual and stacked spectra are smaller than the uncertainty produced on age by the full-spectrum fitting method, i.e. $\pm 0.6$ Gyr), $\leq 15\%$, $\leq 3\%$ and $\leq 15\%$ respectively.

Moreover, taking into account the systematic errors derived for the various quantities under analysis (see Table 4), we find that the bias between the quantities inferred from median stacked and individual spectra is not significant, with a significance of $\sim 0.5 \sigma$ for age, $\sim 1.1 \sigma$ for metallicity, $\sim 0.05 \sigma$ for dust extinction. In the case of velocity dispersion, it is $\sim 2.2 \sigma$ for $11.25 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.5$ and $\sim 0.5 \sigma$ for $\log(M/M_\odot) > 11.5$. The discrepancy between individual and median stacked spectra is thus small, confirming that our sample is selected to be homogeneous. For this reason, we expect the properties of the median stacked spectra to be similar to ones of each individual spectrum entering the stack. This test demonstrates that the procedure of stacking spectra do not introduce significant bias on the retrieved evolutionary and physical properties, since the produced shifts mostly lie within the dispersion produced by the fit to individual spectra. Therefore, analyzing stacked spectra is essentially equivalent to analyze individually each spectrum that contributed to the stack.

Finally, an important consideration is that, if we consider the small $0.4 – 0.5$ Gyr systematic introduced by stacked spectra, the formation redshifts derived from this analysis decrease to $z \gtrsim 3$.

6. The question of progenitors

Linking galaxies at $z \sim 0$ with their progenitors back in cosmic time is essential to derive a coherent evolutionary pattern and understand the formation process of different galaxy types. In this work, we attempt to place constraints on the progenitors of nearby ($z \lesssim 0.3$), very massive ($\log(M/M_\odot) > 10.75$) and passive ETGs by exploiting their SFH, reconstructed from the full spectrum fitting analysis described in previous Sections. The aim is to verify if the inferred properties of the progenitors fit into an evolutionary path consistent with other observational constraints. In this regard, we first infer the properties of the star forming progenitors from which today’s massive ETGs originated, and then follow their evolution until they terminate the star formation activity and become quiescent descendants at later cosmic times.

As already said, our method is not able to distinguish if the analyzed massive and passive galaxies formed with a monolithic-like collapse at high redshift or if coeval mergers occurred to build their stellar mass. In this regard, it is important to clarify that the results described in the following sections implicitly assume that no coeval merger events have happened during the formation history of the analyzed galaxies. Furthermore, we illustrate the results derived as-
suming the Expdelc as the parametric form describing the observed SFHs.

6.1. The star-forming progenitor phase

Starting from the shapes of the SFHs illustrated in Sect. 4.4 (Fig. 16), we display the inferred evolutionary path of our sample ETGs in the SFR – stellar mass plane. Fig. 22 shows the evolutionary tracks separately for the four mass bins of our sample as a function of cosmic time, together with the main sequences (MS) of star-forming galaxies available in the literature as a function of redshift. All the MS relations have been normalized to the Chabrier (2003) IMF adopted in this work.

Several important results emerge from Fig. 22 (which illustrates the case of BC03 models). The inferred SFRs were high in the past, with typical levels \( \langle SFR \rangle_{\text{tar}} \gtrsim \) up to 350 – 400 \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) for the most massive bin, but broadly consistent with those of MS galaxies at the same redshifts and masses. At early epochs \( (z \sim 3 – 6) \), the SFRs increase with mass and, for a given redshift, the slope of the SFR – mass correlation is similar to that of the MS. An example is represented by the comparison with the results of Salmon et al. (2015), who used CANDELS data to derive the MS relation at \( 3.5 \lesssim z \lesssim 6 \). Our results suggest that the progenitors of our ETGs were already forming stars at very high rates at \( z > 3 – 4 \). It is worth noting that such early formation epochs \( (3 \lesssim z \lesssim 10) \) are also derived by McDermid et al. (2015) for ATLAS3D ETGs with masses comparable to those of our sample. Fig. 22 also shows that after \( \sim 400 \) Myr, the SFRs of our ETGs decrease rapidly to a few \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) at \( z \sim 2 \), and that this tends to occur slightly earlier for the galaxies in the highest mass bin (where a deviation from the slope of the SFR – mass relation is present). The complete quiescence is achieved at later cosmic times. In particular, if the SFR at which a galaxy of a given mass is considered quiescent is defined as \( \text{SFR}(M) \sim 10^{-11} \) yr\(^{-1} \cdot M/\odot \), our sample ETGs become inactive at \( z \sim 1.5 – 2 \).

These evolutionary trends are apparent in the complementary Fig. 23, which illustrates the specific star formation rate (sSFR, i.e. SFR per unit mass) for the four mass bins as a function of look-back time, together with the literature values (derived from the SFRs of Fig. 22). This shows that the peak of star formation activity of the very massive and passive ETGs occurred at \( 4 \lesssim z \lesssim 7 \). It is worth noting that MS11 models provide a similar evolution for the sSFR at \( z > 6 \) (with SFR up to \( \sim 700 – 750 \) \( M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\)), even if a steepening with respect to the BC03 predictions occurs at lower redshifts, suggesting slightly higher redshifts for the beginning of the quiescent phase \( (z \sim 1.5 – 2) \).

Either case, we find that the studied galaxies have already formed \( \gtrsim 75 \% \) \( (i.e. M \gtrsim 10^{10.62} M_\odot) \) of the total stellar mass by \( z \sim 5^{\pm 0.8} \) thus we expect their progenitors at this redshift to be also very massive – in the hypothesis of no coeval mergers. If these systems are dusty and follow the same star formation scaling relations present at lower redshifts, the inferred SFRs imply infrared luminosities in the range of the so called luminous to ultra-luminous infrared galaxies \( (11 \lesssim \log(L_{IR}/L_\odot) \lesssim 13) \).

Clearly, the question is whether galaxies with very high SFRs and substantial stellar masses have been observed at such high redshifts (if no mergers occur). The answer is probably yes, although the information is still limited and fragmentary. Examples are represented by high redshift millimeter/submillimeter galaxies at \( z \sim 4 – 5 \) (Schinnerer et al. 2008; Daddi et al. 2009; Hodge et al. 2015) and massive starbursts at \( z \sim 5 – 6.3 \) (Walter et al. 2012; Riechers et al. 2013; 2015). Also the existence of galaxies at \( z > 3 \) with simultaneous substantial stellar masses and high SFRs is suggestive of systems that could evolve rapidly towards a quiescence phase (Béthermin et al. 2015; see also Pozzi et al. 2015). In this regards, also the massive and mostly quenched galaxies at \( z \sim 3 \) discovered by Taniguchi et al. (2015) could fit well into a scenario of earlier star formation as inferred from the SFHs of our sample of ETGs. Last but not least, the mere existence of QSOs at \( z \sim 6 \) with massive and evolved host galaxies (e.g. Fan et al. 2003; Dietrich et al. 2003, Freudling et al. 2003, Goto et al. 2009, Bañados et al. 2014, Wu et al. 2015) may be consistent with this scenario.

6.2. The quiescent descendent phase

According to the inferred SFHs (Fig. 16), the progenitors of our sample ETGs are expected to be already quiescent by \( z \sim 1.5 – 2 \) (\( z \sim 2.5 – 3 \) in the case of MS11 models), with this phase lasting without other major episodes of star formation at later cosmic epochs. This implies that a population of massive and passive galaxies \((M \gtrsim 10^{10.75} M_\odot)\) should already be in place at \( z \sim 2 – 4 \).

Such kind of galaxies have been indeed spectroscopically identified up to \( z \sim 3 \) (Dunlop et al. 1996, Cimatti et al. 2004, McCarthy et al. 2004, Krick et al.
Moreover, 25 Mancini et al. (2009). Their properties are broadly consistent with those expected in the evolutionary scenario inferred in this work. As a matter of fact, these galaxies are massive ($M \sim 10^{10.5-11} M_\odot$), dominated by old stars ($\gtrsim 1$ Gyr), passive or weakly star-forming, with low sSFR, and characterized by spheroidal morphologies and surface brightness profiles typical of early-type galaxies. However, at fixed mass, their sizes are, on average, smaller than in present-day ETGs, implying a substantially higher stellar mass density ($\gtrsim 10^{12} M_\odot$), old (often with ages close to the age of the Universe at their redshifts) and have low sSFRs. We note that the existence of massive galaxies at high redshifts is also a crucial test for the structure formation in the ΛCDM cosmological context (Steinhardt et al. 2015). Although these galaxies are too faint for the current spectroscopic sensitivity, their photometric SEDs suggest that they are massive (up to $M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$), old (often with ages close to the age of the Universe at their redshifts) and have low sSFRs. We note that the existence of massive galaxies at high redshifts is also a crucial test for the structure formation in the ΛCDM cosmological context (Steinhardt et al. 2015).

### 6.3. The size of the progenitors

More information comes from the the sizes of our passive ETGs. Fig. 24 shows a comparison between the effective radii $R_e$ of the analyzed galaxies and the ones of the parent population, which we define as the ensemble of SDSS DR4 ETGs with $log(M/M_\odot) > 10.75$ (Kauffmann et al. 2003). In particular, $R_e$ are taken from the NYU Value-Added Galaxy catalogue (Blanton et al. 2005). Moreover, since the majority of our passive, massive ETGs are bulge-dominated systems (see Sect. 3), we restrict this analysis only to galaxies with this kind of morphology, both in the passive and the parent sample. 8

We rescaled the stellar masses of the parent population, estimated by Kauffmann et al. (2003), to M11 stellar masses, by subtracting 0.2 dex to the former.

8 We rescaled the stellar masses of the parent population, estimated by Kauffmann et al. (2003), to M11 stellar masses, by subtracting 0.2 dex to the former.
ing mass (from ~ 5 Kpc to ~ 20 Kpc) and, given a stellar mass, our passive ETGs have median sizes smaller than the ones of the parent sample even by ~ 15 % (at the highest masses). Furthermore, also the entire $R_e$ distribution is extended to smaller radii in the case of passive ETGs, especially for $\log (M/M_\odot) \gtrsim 11.5$. Reminding that small differences in galaxy size imply large differences in stellar mass density, the derived trends suggest that the ETGs analyzed in this work should have formed from higher density progenitors which, in the hypothesis of no coeval mergers, do not increase their mass during the evolution. On the other hand, we cannot exclude that galaxies in the parent sample have experienced more dry mergers than our massive and passive galaxies, which have increased their size across cosmic time (Naab et al. 2009, Johansson et al. 2012a). The observed trend is also in agreement with some literature studies at low redshift which also suggest that more compact galaxies contain older stellar populations than larger ones (e.g. Saracco et al. 2009, Shankar & Bernardi 2009, Williams et al. 2010, Poggianti et al. 2013, McDermid et al. 2015). In general, this is qualitatively consistent with the high $z$ inferred by our analysis ($z > 5$) since, according to the cosmological evolution of the baryonic matter density, gas was denser at these cosmic epochs. These findings also agree with recent observations of compact systems (with various level of SF) at $z \sim 2-3$, which could be identified as local massive ETGs progenitors (e.g. Daddi et al. 2004, Cattaneo et al. 2013, Finkelstein et al. 2013, Marchesini et al. 2014, Nelson et al. 2014, Williams et al. 2015). Moreover, recent models of elliptical galaxy formation also predict the formation of compact and dense progenitors at high redshifts (e.g. Johansson et al. 2012a, Naab et al. 2014 and references therein).

6.4. The number density of progenitors

The number density ($n_0$) of our ETGs can be used as another consistency check in the comparison between the low redshift galaxy population and their progenitors at earlier epochs. To do that, we first derived the mass function (MF) of the analyzed galaxies at $z \sim 0$ by rescaling the local Baldry et al. (2008) MF\(^9\) (of all galaxy types) to the percentage of galaxies of each mass bin towards the parent population (which increases from ~ 25% to ~ 70% from the lowest to the highest masses), which is defined as in the previous section, but now including galaxies of any morphology. Then, we evolve this local MF back in cosmic time following the decrease of the stellar mass predicted by the derived SFHs, inferring, at each cosmic time, the number density of galaxies above $\log (M/M_\odot) = 10.75$. We verified that the mass completeness of our subsample of massive and passive galaxies is consistent with the one of the global sample of galaxies above $\log (M/M_\odot) = 10.75$ and at $z \lesssim 0.3$, on which the $V_{\max}$ correction was already applied (see Baldry et al. 2008), and that our mass subsamples show no significant mass evolution as a function of redshift.

At $z \sim 0$, we obtain $\log (n_0) \sim -3.98 \pm 0.1$ Mpc$^{-3}$. Fig. 25 shows a comparison between the $n_0$ obtained from this procedure and the literature\(^{10}\), as a function of both the look-back time and the spectral synthesis models used for the fit (BC03 and MS11 models). In the case of BC03 models we find that, when the analyzed ETGs are completely quiescent and have already formed the totality of their stellar mass ($0 \lesssim z \lesssim 2$), the $n_0$ inferred by us is independent of look-back time and is compatible with the literature, not exceeding the total number of passive galaxies per unit volume observed above the same mass threshold. Moreover, the redshift at which we have no more quiescent galaxies (since they are turning into star forming systems, $z \sim 2$) is consistent with the one at which the $n_0$ of quiescent galaxies observed in literature starts to rapidly decline. At earlier epochs, when the ETGs are still forming stars, the $n_0$ of the SF progenitors starts to turn down, as the stellar mass formed by the ETGs decreases, and its value at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 3$ (i.e. $\log (n_0) \sim -4.1$ Mpc$^{-3}$) is in agreement with the literature observations at the same redshifts. Going towards the beginning of the SF ($z > 3$), $n_0$ rapidly decreases and, within the uncertainty on the look-back time (± 0.6 Gyr, see Table 4), this decline is in agreement with the one observed in the literature for the global population of SF and quiescent galaxies.

In the case of MS11 models, the drop of $n_0$ occurs at slightly earlier times due to the shorter derived SFH. However the results are also in this case, in agreement with the low redshift observations, and a marginal consistency

\(^9\) We rescaled Baldry et al. (2008) stellar masses (which are derived from an average on four stellar mass estimates, which include the Kauffmann et al. (2003) ones) to M11 ones.

\(^{10}\) The literature MF have been all rescaled to a Chabrier (2003) IMF and to BC03 stellar masses (M11 stellar masses rely on these spectral synthesis models).
Finally, for each mass bin, we also calculate the star formation rate density (SFRD) of the SF progenitors at $z \sim 5$ by multiplying the typical star formation rates $\langle SFR \rangle_{68\%}$ defined in Sect. 6.1 by the $\rho_n$ of the considered mass bin. From this, we infer a global SFRD $\log(SFR) \sim -1.71 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$, which is totally consistent, within the uncertainties, to the Madau & Dickinson (2014) SFRD at the same redshift, which amounts to $\log(SFR) \sim -1.72 \pm 0.27 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ (the uncertainties on the Madau & Dickinson (2014) SFRD are taken from Behroozi et al. 2013). It is however worth noting that, in the case of MS11 models, a higher SFRD, i.e. $\log(SFR) \sim -1.22 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$, is derived at the same redshift.

7. Summary and conclusions

In this work we analysed the median stacked spectra of a sample of 24488 SDSS DR4 ETGs at $z < 0.3$ in order to derive the properties of their stellar populations and to reconstruct their star formation histories. This study focused on the extreme cases of passive ETGs with the highest stellar masses ($log(M/M_{\odot}) > 10.75$) which, in turn, represent the passive envelope of the galaxy population at $0 < z < 0.3$. The sample was divided into four bins with increasing stellar mass. The stacked optical spectra were analysed by means of the full-spectrum fitting technique using the public code STARLIGHT in order to derive constraints in a way complementary to the traditional method of Lick indices based on a few absorption lines. Using this archaeological approach, we also inferred the properties of the progenitors of massive and passive ETGs. Our main results can be summarized as follows.

- First of all, STARLIGHT was tested against stellar population synthesis models in order to assess its reliability in the case of spectra similar to those of ETGs. It was found that the software retrieves the stellar population main properties (age, metallicity, SFH, dust extinction) and the velocity dispersion with a percentage accuracy higher than $10^\%$ for SNR $\gtrsim 10$ -- 20, even if more complex SFHs are considered. In order to minimize the uncertainties, the STARLIGHT analysis was applied to stacked spectra with typical SNR $\sim 80$.

- Mass-weighted stellar ages are very old, increasing with cosmic time from $\sim 10$ to $\sim 13$ Gyr, and show a clear tendency to increase with mass despite the rather limited mass leverage of our sample, which is selected to include only the most massive systems ($log(M/M_{\odot}) > 10.75$). This result provides an additional support to the downsizing evolutionary scenario where more massive galaxies are older than less massive ones. The derived ages are broadly compatible with those found with different full-spectrum fitting codes (e.g. McDermid et al. 2015 and Conroy et al. 2014) and with the Lick indices approach (e.g. Thomas et al. 2010; Graves & Schiavon 2008b). The bottom line is that the most massive and passive ETGs represent the oldest galaxies in the present-day Universe, with ages close to the age of the Universe itself in the most extreme cases.
Mass-weighted metallicities are slightly supersolar, with a median \( Z \sim 0.029 \pm 0.0015 \) (\( Z \sim 0.027 \pm 0.0020 \) for MS11 models), increase with stellar mass, and do not show any significant trends with redshift. This supports the interpretation that the analyzed galaxies are very old objects which formed the bulk of their stars much earlier and did not enrich significantly their interstellar medium with new metals at later epochs (however, we remind that we have a limited leverage in cosmic time, i.e. \( \sim 3.3 \) Gyr). This contrasts with the metallicity evolution of star-forming galaxies (e.g. Maiolino et al. 2008, Mannucci et al. 2009, Foster et al. 2012, Zahid et al. 2013, De Rossi et al. 2015). Although our metallicities are broadly consistent with other results at a fixed stellar mass, a large scatter is present amongst the estimates of the metallicity (\( Z \)) obtained with different methods.

The SFHs inferred with the full-spectrum fitting suggest that the star formation occurred during an extended period of time. The SFHs are globally compatible with a parametric function of the form \( SFR(t) \propto \tau^{-\alpha-1} \exp(-t/\tau) \), where the typical value of \( \tau \) and \( \alpha \) are always short, with \( \tau \) decreasing from 0.8 to 0.6 Gyr (with a dispersion of \( \pm 0.1 \)) from lower to higher masses and \( \alpha \sim 0.1 \) (with a dispersion of \( \pm 0.05 \)) regardless of mass, reproducing the fast rise of the SFR at the beginning of the SFH. Other works highlighted a stronger dependence of the star formation timescale on the mass (e.g. Thomas et al. 2010, McDermid et al. 2015).

Based on the inferred SFHs, we derive that the ETGs of our sample formed about 50\% of their stellar mass at early epochs, i.e., on average, at \( z \gtrsim 5 \) (which decrease to \( z \gtrsim 3 \) if the small 0.5 Gyr systematic introduced by median stacked spectra is taken into account). Moreover, the most massive galaxies formed it \( \sim 0.2 \) Gyr (\( \sim 0.1 \) Gyr for MS11 models) before than less massive systems.

Low dust extinction (\( A_V \lesssim 0.2 - 0.25 \) mag) are required to fit the spectra. In addition, in the case of BC03 models, a trend is present showing that \( A_V \) tends to decrease for increasing stellar mass. The reliability, the significance and the interpretation of this trend requires further analysis beyond the scope of this paper, but a qualitative interpretation may be that the most massive galaxies were able to "clear" their interstellar medium more efficiently probably due to more intense feedback processes due to star formation and/or AGN activity.

The stellar velocity dispersions \( 200 \lesssim \sigma \lesssim 300 \) km s\(^{-1}\) estimated with STARLIGHT are consistent with estimates by other groups within the uncertainties, and increase with stellar mass.

Based on the SFHs, we reconstructed the mass assembly history and the properties of the progenitors of the most massive ETGs of the present-day Universe, assuming that no coeval mergers have occurred during the evolution of the analyzed galaxies. The SFHs imply that these galaxies were vigorously forming stars and assembled large stellar masses (\( \gtrsim 75 \% \) of the total stellar mass) by \( z \sim 5^{+3}_{-1.4} \), with SFR up to \( 50 - 370 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) (SFR \( \sim 140 - 750 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) for MS11 models). Possible star-forming progenitors with these characteristics have indeed been found in samples of galaxies at \( 4 < z < 6.5 \) selected in the submm/mm. The inferred SFHs also predict the existence of quiescent galaxies at \( 2 < z < 4 \) characterized by large stellar masses and low specific star formation rate. Galaxies with these properties have been spectroscopically identified at \( z \sim 2 - 3 \), and photometric candidates at higher redshifts also exist.

The \( R_e \) of our sample ETGs are significantly smaller than those of the parent sample of SDSS DR4 ETGs with \( \log(M/M_\odot) > 10.75 \). This implies higher stellar mass densities and suggest that they should have formed from high density progenitors.

Based on the number density of the analyzed galaxies in the present-day Universe (\( \log(n) \sim -3.98 \pm 0.1 \) Mpc\(^{-3}\)), the inferred number densities of the progenitors are consistent with the literature, within the uncertainties. Moreover, the mean star formation rate density implied by the star-forming progenitors does not violate the Madau & Dickinson (2014) relation at high redshift (\( z \sim 5 \)), in the case of BC03 models.

We find a good agreement among our results concerning ages, metallicities and SFHs and the ones obtained using the fit to individual spectral features (Lick indices) and \( \alpha \)-elements abundances. We thus suggest the full-spectrum fitting to be a complementary and valid approach to derive the stellar population properties and the star formation histories of early-type galaxies.
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Table 2. Median shift and dispersion from the simulation of ETGs spectra, for SNR = 20 and 100 and BC03 spectral synthesis models.

| SNR | \((t)_{\text{mass}}\) (Gyr) | Z | \(A_V\) (mag) | \(\sigma\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|
|     | shift | disp | shift | disp | shift | disp | shift | disp |
| 20  | 0.5 (10%) | 0.6 (10%) | \(< 10^{-3}\) (10%) | \(< 10^{-3}\) (3%) | \(< 10^{-3}\) | \(< 10^{-3}\) | 3.3 (4%) | 6.6 (7%) |
| 100 | 0.2 (3%) | 0.3 (3%) | \(< 10^{-3}\) (10%) | \(< 10^{-3}\) (1%) | 0.0001 | \(< 10^{-3}\) | 0.02 (2%) | 1.3 (1%) |

Table 3. Number of galaxies, statistical, evolutionary and physical properties of the four mass bins of our sample (in the case of BC03 models).

| log\((M/M_\odot)\) | # Galaxies | P50 (Gyr) | [P84-P16] (Gyr) | \(\tau\) (Gyr) | \(\tau\) (Gyr) | \(\langle Z\rangle_{\text{mass}}\) | \(A_V\) (mag) | \(\sigma\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| 10.75 - 11          | 12462       | 12.73      | 1.06             | 0.44           | 0.80           | 0.028           | 0.13           | 208              |
| 11 - 11.25          | 8064        | 12.77      | 1.04             | 0.44           | 0.70           | 0.029           | 0.1            | 235              |
| 11.25 - 11.5        | 3004        | 12.76      | 0.83             | 0.40           | 0.65           | 0.030           | 0.05           | 261              |
| > 11.5              | 990         | 12.91      | 0.7              | 0.37           | 0.60           | 0.030           | 0.03           | 288              |

Table 4. Uncertainties on ages, metallicities, velocity dispersions and dust extinction provided by the three different estimates described in the text (in the case of BC03 models).

| Uncertainty | \((t)_{\text{mass}}\) (Gyr) | Z | \(\sigma\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(A_V\) (mag) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------|
| rms\(_{sim}\) | ± 0.3                       | ± 0.0001 | ± 1.3             | \(< 10^{-4}\) |
| err\(_{100}\)  | ± 0.05                      | \(< 0.0002\) | ± 0.3             | ± 0.002       |
| rms\(_{set}\)  | ± 0.6                       | ± 0.0015 | ± 1.75            | ± 0.030       |