Phyllotactic description of hard sphere packing in cylindrical channels
Mughal, Adil; Chan, H. K.; Weaire, D.

Published in:
Physical Review Letters
DOI:
10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.115704
Publication date:
2011
Citation for published version (APA):
Mughal, A., Chan, H. K., & Weaire, D. (2011). Phyllotactic description of hard sphere packing in cylindrical channels. Physical Review Letters, 106(11), [115704]. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.115704

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400
e-mail: is@aber.ac.uk

Download date: 06. Mar. 2020
Phyllotactic Description of Hard Sphere Packing in Cylindrical Channels

A. Mughal,¹ H. K. Chan,²,³ and D. Weaire²

¹Institute of Mathematics and Physics, Aberystwyth University, Penglais, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, Wales, SY23 3BZ, United Kingdom
²Foams and Complex Systems, School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
³Department of Applied Physics, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

(Received 16 December 2010; published 16 March 2011; publisher error corrected 21 March 2011)

We develop a simple analytical theory that relates dense sphere packings in a cylinder to corresponding disk packings on its surface. It applies for ratios \( R = D/d \) (where \( d \) and \( D \) are the diameters of the hard spheres and the bounding cylinder, respectively) up to \( R = 1 + 1/\sin(\pi/5) \). Within this range the densest packings are such that all spheres are in contact with the cylindrical boundary. The detailed results elucidate extensive numerical simulations by ourselves and others by identifying the nature of various competing phases.
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Packing problems, such as that of finding the densest arrangement of hard spheres, are among the most ancient and challenging problems in mathematics and science. The most famous example is the Kepler conjecture (1611), concerned with identical spheres in an unbounded volume [1]. In contrast, the optimal packing of such spheres within a bounded space is much less well understood. A prominent example is finding the densest packing arrangement of monodisperse spheres within a cylindrical channel, which we consider here. There are extensive results from simulations, which we corroborate and augment in this Letter. We supply a detailed interpretation of them, by making a connection with the analogous (but simpler) problem of packing disks on the bounding surface, which is an interesting problem in its own right [2].

Our procedure is therefore to first explore an analytical treatment of the surface disk packing problem and then develop a transformation which gives approximate but illuminating results for sphere packing within a cylinder. Such surface patterns have their origin in the early study of intriguing spiral arrangements of leaves and stems [3]. Thus they are associated with the term phyllotaxis, which now stands for the standard classification of triangular patterns on a cylinder, in any context. It is defined and used, in what follows, together with the corresponding notation for rhombic patterns.

Both packing problems find numerous counterparts in nature and in the laboratory; examples include biological microstructure [4], the morphology of dry [5] and wet foams [6] in tubes, colloids in microchannels [7], and the packing of fullerenes in nanotubes [8].

Simulations intended to search for the densest cylindrical arrangement of spheres [9] have revealed a sequence of helical columnar phases, as the ratio \( R = D/d \) is varied (where \( d \) and \( D \) are the diameters of the hard spheres and the bounding cylinder, respectively). Some of these structures have been recognized in various experimental contexts, but there has until now been no clear understanding of what determines the sequence of structural transitions as the cylinder diameter is increased. In this Letter, we demonstrate that the key to this progression is the familiar phyllotactic description. Using a straightforward and elementary method, we provide a full taxonomy of competing phases and also elucidate the geometric relationships between them. Surprisingly, we can use this method to also provide a full semiquantitative description of the helical structures together with their packing densities. In general, the densest structures are of the kind called “staggered” by Pickett, Gross, and Okuyama [9]: We shall use the term “line slip.”

We begin by discussing the disk packing problem. It is known that the densest packing arrangement of disks on a plane is that of a symmetric triangular lattice with lattice spacing \( d' \) (where \( d' \) is the disk diameter) [10]. A piece of the lattice can be excised and wrapped onto a cylinder of diameter \( D' \) (without any mismatch at the wrap edges) if there exist two lattice points separated by a vector \( \mathbf{V} \) of magnitude

\[
|\mathbf{V}| = \pi D'.
\]  

Thus \( \mathbf{V} \) plays the role of the periodicity vector, as shown in Fig. 1(a) (where the lattice vertices correspond to the disk centers). The excised section is bounded by two parallel lines perpendicular to \( \mathbf{V} \); these are the wrap edges and correspond to lines with \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = 2\pi \), respectively, on the surface of a cylinder described by polar coordinates \( (\rho = \frac{D'}{2}, \theta, z) \).

In the phyllotactic scheme the periodicity vector \( \mathbf{V} \) can be defined by a set of three, ordered, positive integers \( (l = m + n, m, n) \), known as parastichy numbers in biology. Any pair of these numbers are the absolute values of the coefficients expressing \( \mathbf{V} \) as a combination of two basis vectors, chosen from among three possibilities.
packing \([5, 3, 2]\) the above rules yield \((3, 2) \rightarrow (2, 1),\)
\((3, 2) \rightarrow (5, 3),\) and \((3, 2) \rightarrow (5, 2),\) where a rearrangement
of the indices in the first case was necessary. Thus the symmetric packing \([5, 3, 2]\)
is connected, by an affine shear, to the symmetric packings \([3, 2, 1], [8, 5, 3],\) and \([7, 5, 2].\)

The surface density (or area fraction of the disks on the plane) has a maximum value for a symmetric structure. Between any two symmetric structures, connected in the
way described above, the surface density has a lower value since each disk now has only four contacting neighbors. Such intermediate arrangements can be labeled by using
rhombic notation \([p, q]\) (see [4]) and have a minimum surface density when the disks are arranged into a square lattice. Figure 1(c) shows such a square packing intermediate
between two symmetric packings.

We shall call these asymmetric lattice packings. In general, they are superseded by another type, as follows (indeed, one can show by convexity arguments that the
asymmetric lattice structure is always unstable with respect to perturbations, under pressure).

The second type of packing is achieved by an inhomogeneous deformation of the symmetric lattice in which there is a localized strain or slip along a line (and its
periodic replicas) as shown in Fig. 1(d). Again we have three choices of the direction of slip. The rest of the structure remains symmetric and close-packed, i.e., with
six contacts for every disk. This line-slip distortion, as we shall call it, can again be expressed analytically. Other possibilities, involving less localized strain, exist, but these
yield a lower density. Hence we include only the (optimized) case of single line-slip solutions.

Again there is a simple rule for the close-packed structures that are the end points of line-slip solutions; in view of their importance, we will identify explicitly the three
types of solution [11]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad & (m, n) \rightarrow (m + 1, n) \quad \text{or} \quad (m - 1, n), \\
(2) \quad & (m, n) \rightarrow (m, n + 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (m, n - 1), \\
(3) \quad & (m, n) \rightarrow (m + 1, n - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (m - 1, n + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

where the leading numbers denote the direction \(\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2,\) or \(\hat{a}_3\) of the line slip. Again the above rules apply to the second and third phyllotactic indices of a given close-packed structure and keep either \(n, m,\) or \(l\) constant. For example, by using the above rules, the symmetric packing \([5, 3, 2]\)
is connected by a line slip along \(\hat{a}_1\) to \([6, 4, 2]\) or \([4, 2, 2]\), a line slip along \(\hat{a}_2\) yields \([4, 3, 1]\) or \([6, 3, 3]\), and a line slip along \(\hat{a}_3\) yields \([5, 4, 1]\) or \([5, 3, 2]\) (note in the
second case along \(\hat{a}_3\) that a rearrangement of the phyllotactic indices into descending order was necessary; the new structure has the same indices as the initial one).
Degeneracy is also possible; e.g., \([4, 2, 2]\) is connected to \([5, 3, 2]\) by a line slip along \(\hat{a}_1\) or \(\hat{a}_2\).

Such solutions (inspired by our simulations—see below) are the densest we have found by analytical searches, but

FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Symmetric packing \([5, 4, 1]\)—the black and red arrows indicate the periodicity \(\mathbf{V}\) and primitive lattice vectors, respectively; (b) square packing \([5, 4]\); (c) symmetric packing \([9, 5, 4]\) which is connected to the structure \([5, 4, 1]\) by an affine shear; (d) a line-slip structure between the symmetric packings \([6, 4, 2]\) and \([5, 4, 1]\).

shows an example for the structure \([l, m, n] = [5, 4, 1]\) along with the basis vectors of the triangular lattice.

The resulting structure, when wrapped onto a cylinder, is a dense, homogenous packing—in the sense that all disk sites are equivalent—which we call a symmetric lattice packing. Between the discrete values of \(D'\), and fixed \(d'\), for which such symmetric packings exist, we first consider the most obvious adjustment to fit the cylinder. In this the
required periodicity is accommodated by an affine transformation, or strain, of the lattice (more complex arrangements are possible, but we do not find it necessary to
consider them). The affine transformation distorts the equilateral triangle into an isosceles triangle by keeping the length of two adjacent sides fixed and varying the third.
The imposed strain has three free parameters; these can be chosen to satisfy Eq. (1) and give each disk four contacting neighbors (in any one of three ways).

As \(D'\) is varied, the strained structure proceeds from one symmetric packing to another, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The rules for this process, when applied to the second and third phyllotactic indices, are as follows [11]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(m, n) & \rightarrow (m - n, n), \\
(m, n) & \rightarrow (m + n, m), \\
(m, n) & \rightarrow (m + n, n),
\end{align*}
\]

where the new indices may have to be rearranged into descending order. For example, in the case of the symmetric
we can offer no proof that excludes other denser structures of greater complexity. Figure 2 presents the analytic results (to be published in detail elsewhere [11]) for the surface density of all of the packings described above, where we have set $d_0 = 1$. Asymmetric packings are shown by (red) dashed curves and line-slip solutions by (black) continuous curves; the symmetric packings are the labeled peaks with maximum density, while the lines connecting them are labeled by using the rhombic notation.

These results already show a strong resemblance to the corresponding simulation data for sphere packings in cylinders (but before we make a final comparison we will make a further transformation). The heavy continuous (black) line in Fig. 3 indicates the computed optimal packing density (or volume fraction) of monodisperse hard spheres in an infinitely long cylindrical tube for $R(=D/d)$. The present analysis was stimulated by that the numerical work of Pickett, Gross, and Okuyama [9], who used the method of simulated annealing to investigate the cylindrical packing of hard spheres. We have employed two alternative approaches to verify and extend their findings. One is based on simulated annealing and employs the use of spiral boundary conditions to make the search for optimal structures more efficient, the other on sequential deposition. Both will be described elsewhere. The data of Fig. 3 are obtained by the method with spiral boundary conditions, but the results of all three approaches are consistent.

A representative sample of the simulated 3D structures is shown in Fig. 4. Below each structure is the “rolled out” phyllotactic pattern. The sphere centers lie on an inner cylinder $D' = D - d$ and can be mapped to the plane by using Cartesian coordinates $[(D' \theta)/2, z]$, where they are shown as black dots. Contacting spheres are indicated by dots connected by black lines. The periodicity vector, between two identical points on the cylinder, is denoted by a red arrow. The relationship to planar line-slip structures is evident. We relate the disk packings to those of spheres in contact with a cylinder, such as those shown in Fig. 4, as follows. Recall that the sphere centers lie on an inner cylinder of diameter $D'$. The sphere packing density is trivially related to the point density of centers on that cylinder and, hence, to that of the planar pattern of points obtained by rolling out the cylinder on a plane. The qualitative resemblance to...
the disk problem becomes obvious, but its exact quantita-
tive expression is not straightforward. Two sphere centers,
separated by a vector \( \mathbf{r} \) in 3D, correspond to points in 2D
whose separation \( S \) depends on the orientation of \( \mathbf{r} \). For
\( D' > d \), the two extreme cases are \( S = |\mathbf{r}| \), for \( \mathbf{r} \) parallel
to the cylinder axis, and \( S = D' \sin^{-1}(|\mathbf{r}|/D') \), for \( \mathbf{r} \) perpen-
dicular to the cylinder axis. We adopt, as an ansatz, an
interpolation between these two limits which has the cor-
rect analytic form

\[
S^2 = \left[ |\mathbf{r}| \sin(\phi) \right]^2 + [D' \sin^{-1}(|\mathbf{r}|/D') \cos(\phi)]^2, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \phi \) is the angle that the points on the inner cylinder
make with the vector \( \mathbf{V} \). This rule is applicable for \( R \geq 2 \),
and a 2D packing that is consistent with it is simply a
packing of identical ellipses, whose major axes are all
parallel to the vector \( \mathbf{V} \) defined above, and may be obtained
from any one of the disk packings by a simple stretch in the
direction of \( \mathbf{V} \) (which also changes \( \mathbf{V} \) itself). In this way,
we arrive at a transformation of the circular disk packings
into an approximate representation of corresponding
sphere packings, with densities as shown in Fig. 3.

This is a good approximation for our purposes; we note
that the ratio between the predicted volume fraction, by
using our method, and numerical results is already 0.9426
at \( R = 2.15 \) (i.e., the symmetric \([3, 3, 0] \) structure) and
continues to rapidly improve as \(|\mathbf{V}| \) becomes larger. A
more cumbersome method that uses the exact dependence
of \( d \) on \( \phi \) is perfectly possible, but a small sacrifice of
accuracy preserves the extreme simplicity and transpar-
ency of our approach. By reference to the corresponding
disk packing the approximate description developed above
identifies the nature of all of the simulated sphere packing
structures involved and is mostly correct in picking the
optimal structure. Transition between different sphere
packings structures, indicated by the vertical dotted lines
at the top of Fig. 3, can be understood by reference to the
analogous disk packing problem.

There are two situations leading to qualitative discrep-
ancies between the theory and numerical results. The first
corresponds to the region \( R \leq 2.0 \) for which the approxima-
tion Eq. (2) fails. The result is that the twisted zigzag
structure \([9] \) arises unexpectedly as a stable asymmetric
lattice structure. The transition to the line-slip structure at
\( R = 1.995 \) is therefore of a different character from the
others. Above this point, all of the intermediate structures
are derived from the planar line-slip configurations.

They are of the staggered spiral character (see the second
and third figures in Fig. 4), noted by Pickett, Gross,
and Okuyama in their simulations \([9] \), and exist up to
\( R = 1 + 1/\sin(\pi/5) \). Beyond this point, not all the
spheres are in contact with the boundary, and the corre-
spondence with the phyllotactic scheme is lost, although it
may prove useful in the analysis of the surface layers.

The second discrepancy is due to neglecting higher order
corrections to \( S \) in Eq. (2) and leads to the observation
of line-slip solutions of type (2), in the numerical data, where
type (3) would have been expected. This effect, whereby
type (2) solutions have a greater than expected volume
fraction, diminishes for larger \(|\mathbf{V}| \) as the higher order
corrections to \( S \) fade away.

A notable detail that emerges in the planar lattice analy-
sis, and is retained in transformation to the sphere packing
problem, is the singular behavior just before the points
labeled 220, 330, etc. For reasons of symmetry, the de-
crease of density takes a square-root form, and this is
observed in the simulation data (most clearly in plots of the
derivative of the density with respect to \( D \)).

In summary, for \( 2 \leq R \leq 1 + 1/\sin(\pi/5) \), each
phyllotactic state \((l, m, n)\) corresponds to a local density
maximum. Because of periodic constraints, and the non-
overlapping nature of hard spheres, these maxima are
attained only at discrete values of \( D \) where six contacts are
possible. Where only four contacts are possible, the
line-slip structure with the highest density is observed.
The density of the line-slip structure varies continuously with
\( D \), but transitions between them involve a structural dis-
continuity. Though we are not aware of any experimental
observations of line-slip structures, transitions between
phyllotactic states have been observed in other systems
[12]. In further work we will provide full details of the
analytical results used here \([11]\) and include questions of
stability and chirality. We shall extend our numerical re-

sults to larger cylinder diameters—the packing problem
then changes to one in which not all spheres touch the
cylinder.
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