Cell cycle oscillators underlying orderly proteolysis of E2F8
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ABSTRACT E2F8 is a transcriptional repressor that antagonizes E2F1 at the crossroads of the cell cycle, apoptosis, and cancer. Previously, we discovered that E2F8 is a direct target of the APC/C ubiquitin ligase. Nevertheless, it remains unknown how E2F8 is dynamically controlled throughout the entirety of the cell cycle. Here, using newly developed human cell-free systems that recapitulate distinct inter-mitotic and G1 phases and a continuous transition from prometaphase to G1, we reveal an interlocking dephosphorylation switch coordinating E2F8 degradation with mitotic exit and the activation of APC/C Cdh1. Further, we uncover differential proteolysis rates for E2F8 at different points within G1 phase, accounting for its accumulation in late G1 while APC/C Cdh1 is still active. Finally, we demonstrate that the F-box protein Cyclin F regulates E2F8 in G2-phase. Altogether, our data define E2F8 regulation throughout the cell cycle, illuminating an extensive coordination between phosphorylation, ubiquitination and transcription in mammalian cell cycle.

INTRODUCTION

The E2F family of transcription factors plays a pivotal role in regulating pro- and anti-proliferative processes, with implications in tissue homeostasis and human disease, most notably cancer (Chen et al., 2009).

E2F1, the canonical member of the E2F family, is at the crossroads of the cell cycle and cell death, triggering the gene program dictating S-phase and mitotic entry on the one hand and, on the other hand, apoptosis (Polager and Ginsberg, 2008). E2F7 and E2F8 are structurally and functionally related (Lammens et al., 2012). Despite being part of the “repressive” branch of E2F proteins, E2F7 and E2F8 belong to the pro-proliferative gene network underlying cell proliferation (Cohen et al., 2013).

The activity of E2F1 is balanced by two of its direct targets E2F7 and E2F8, also known as atypical E2Fs (de Bruin et al., 2003; Di Stefano et al., 2003; Christensen et al., 2005; Maiti et al., 2005). E2F7 and E2F8 are structurally and functionally related (Lammens et al., 2009); they cooperate in repressing the transcription of E2F1 and its downstream target genes by binding consensus E2F motifs along their promoters. The result is a negative feedback circuit whose dynamics control cell fate, tissue homeostasis, and development by mechanisms that are still not entirely clear (Li et al., 2008; Ouseph et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013).
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transition from the G1-phase of the cell cycle into S-phase. Nevertheless, the exact interdynamics of E2F1-E2F7-E2F8 circuitry throughout G1 and the mechanism by which they are achieved are not entirely resolved. No less obscure is the interplay between E2F1 and atypical E2Fs during G2-phase and mitosis. Dissecting these complex signaling circuits is important for understanding the decision-making mechanisms at two critical points in the life of a proliferating cell—commitment to DNA replication and division.

Cell-free systems are known for their capacity to reproduce complex cellular processes in vitro while maintaining a physiologically relevant context, thereby bridging the gap between in vivo and in vitro. These systems are optimal for direct and quantitative analysis of time-specific molecular events, including phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and proteolysis, circumventing caveats associated with long-term in vivo manipulations (Murray and Kirschner, 1989; Murray et al., 1996; Funabiki and Murray, 2000; Rape and Kirschner, 2004; Ayad et al., 2005; Telley et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2014). Here, we developed and utilized a panel of human cell-free systems with which we disentangle the mechanism underlying temporal dynamics of E2F8 protein from prometaphase to late S-phase. In vivo studies addressing the regulation of E2F8 during G2-phase complete the missing piece of the puzzle.

RESULTS
Temporal dynamics of E2F8 versus E2F1 across the cell cycle
E2F8 and E2F1 protein-levels were analyzed during cell cycle progression at 30- to 60-min time intervals in synchronous HeLa S3 cell populations released from prometaphase or early S-phase block. This analysis highlighted three important points. First, E2F1 begins to accumulate during early-mid G1 phase, coinciding with the peak of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdh1}}\) activity (Figure 1A). The established APC/C\(^{\text{Cdh1}}\) target Kifc1 is shown as a control (Figure 1A and Supplemental Figure S1). Second, E2F8 accumulation commences approximately 2.5–3 h after E2F1 initially appears. Third, E2F8 accumulates prior to the G1-S transition, well before the rise of canonical APC/C targets and the start of S-phase. At 7 h after release from mitotic block, increasing E2F8 levels are evident, yet cells have not yet entered S-phase based on DNA content measurements and before Kifc1 accumulation (Figure 1A).

We previously showed that APC/C\(^{\text{Cdh1}}\) drives E2F8 degradation in early G1 (Cohen et al., 2013). However, it is unclear how E2F8 levels increase in late G1 while APC/C\(^{\text{Cdh1}}\) is still active. Finally, E2F8 levels decrease in G2-phase, prior to mitosis, through an entirely unknown mechanism (Figure 1, A and B).

Temporal proteolysis of E2F8 in “dynamic” mitotic extracts progressing from prometaphase to G1-phase
APC/C\(^{\text{Cdc20}}\) is activated and triggers degradation of Securin and Cyclin B after the spindle checkpoint is satisfied in mitotic metaphase. Activation of APC/C\(^{\text{Cdh1}}\) begins as cells enter the subsequent G1, initiating degradation of Cdc20, Tome-1, and other Cdh1-specific substrates (illustrated in Figure 2A). These are major milestones along mitotic progression and exit that can be monitored by the sequential onset of Securin and Tome-1 degradation at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition and G1 entry, respectively (Zou et al., 1999; Zur and Brandeis, 2001; Hagting et al., 2002; Ayad et al., 2003). Mitotic exit is also characterized by a dephosphorylation wave orchestrated by the inactivation of Cdk1 and activation of phosphatases during mitotic exit (Wurzenberger and Gerlich, 2011; Grallert et al., 2015; Powers and Hall, 2017).

Prometaphase extracts can be made from nocodazole-arrested HeLa S3 (S3) cells (Rape and Kirschner, 2004;
We found that this system could be dynamically controlled to recreate the Ub and phosphorylation signaling milestones that occur from prometaphase to G1-phase. The spindle checkpoint could be inactivated in prometaphase extracts through an increase in temperature, or more efficiently, by adding the APC/C E2 enzyme UbcH10. Conversely, dominant negative UbcH10 (UbcH10\textsuperscript{DN}) blocks extracts in a prometaphase-like state. These features are manifested by the temporal proteolysis and Cdk1-induced electrophoretic mobility shift of the APC/C\textsubscript{Cdc20} substrate, Securin (Holt et al., 2008) (Figure 2B). Specifically, shifting temperature from 25°C to 28°C shortened the half-life of \textsuperscript{35}S-labeled Securin in extracts from ~80 to ~60 min. The addition of UbcH10 further decreased Securin half-life under both conditions (Figure 2B).

The APC/C\textsubscript{Cdh1} substrate Tome-1 also exhibited an electrophoretic mobility shift, consistent with its mitotic phosphorylation (Ayad et al., 2003; Pe'er et al., 2013). In contrast to Securin, Tome-1 was not immediately degraded under these conditions. Tome-1 remains stable and at a high electrophoretic mobility throughout the experiment (Figure 2C). Importantly, the addition of UbcH10 triggered orderly Tome-1 dephosphorylation followed by its degradation in a temperature-controlled manner. These dynamics were evident by the gradual shift of Tome-1 back to its basal electrophoretic mobility form and the subsequent reduction of the \textsuperscript{35}S signal, recapitulating the activation of APC/C\textsubscript{Cdh1} during mitotic exit and G1 entry. Thus, at optimal reaction conditions, human cell extracts can recapitulate the temporal cell cycle milestones of mitotic phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, APC/C\textsubscript{Cdc20} activation, and the subsequent shift in kinase activity.
to APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\), representing a compete transition from prometaphase to a G1-like state in vitro.

Leveraging this system, we investigated the behavior of E2F8. Degradation of E2F8 was evident only when mitotic exit was accelerated by Ubch10. Importantly, E2F8 destruction commenced considerably after Securin disappearance, and was nearly identical to that of Tome-1. These results suggest that E2F8 degradation is coordinated with mitotic exit and activation of APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\), but not APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\) (Figure 2, C–E). Since the electrophoretic mobility display of E2F8 and Tome-1 shared a remarkable resemblance, this also suggested an unappreciated role for orderly E2F8 (de)phosphorylation during mitotic progression and exit.

**E2F8 dynamics in APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\)-active extracts**

Cdc20 versus Cdh1 specificity of APC/C targets is a key element in the overall mechanism underlying orderly cell division in all eukaryotes (Kernan et al., 2018). The kinetics of E2F8 degradation observed above (Figure 2D) are consistent with regulation by APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\), despite prior in vivo findings suggesting destruction by APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\) (Boekhout et al., 2016). To address this discrepancy, we developed a mitotic cell-free system with stable APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\) activity. Cdk1 activity associated with early mitosis prevents Cdh1 from interacting with APC/C (Zachariae et al., 1998; Jaspersen et al., 1999; Kramer et al., 2000; Listovsky et al., 2000). We derived extracts from mitotic 293-T-REx cells where Cdk1 is constitutively active, due to tetracycline (tet)-induced expression of a nondegradable allele of Cyclin B1 (hereafter referred to as NDB cells/system) (Figure 3A). Nondegradable Cyclin B1 expression blocks cells in an anaphase-like state, that is, post-spindle assembly checkpoint inactivation (Wheatley et al., 1997; Zur and Brandeis, 2001, 2002; Pe’er et al., 2013). In the absence of tet, the selected NDB colony tolerates the basal expression of nondegradable Cyclin B1, as evidenced by the normal cell cycle profile and cell size range (Figure 3, B and C). Following treatment with tet, virtually all cells arrest in mitosis, displaying a typical round shape and separated sister chromatids (Figure 3, D–G).

Importantly, APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\), but not APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\), is active in tet-treated NDB cells. Consequently, Geminin, an APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\) substrate (McGarry and Kirschner, 1998), but not Cdc20, an APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) substrate (Pfleger and Kirschner, 2000), is reduced in tet-induced NDB cells relative to prometaphase arrested mitotic cells (Figure 3H). A reduction in Cdc20 could be observed only in G1 cells (Figure 3I). Consistent with the expression of nondegradable Cyclin B1, mitotic extracts derived from tet-induced NDB cells exhibit high Cdk1 activity, highlighted by electrophoretic mobility shifts of Securin and Tome-1 (Figure 3J). Moreover, APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\) is highly active, evidenced by the degradation of Securin but not Tome-1 (Figure 3J). As opposed to “dynamic” prometaphase extracts made from nocodazole-arrested cells (Figure 2), NDB mitotic extracts are “static,” trapped in an anaphase-like state due to high Cdk1 activity. E2F8 was shifted to a high electrophoretic mobility form following incubation in NDB mitotic extract, further supporting the idea that E2F8 is phosphorylated by mitotic kinases. Importantly, unlike Securin, E2F8 levels remained nearly unchanged in NDB extracts (Figure 3J). To rule out the possibility that the stability of E2F8 and Tome-1 in extracts derived from HEK293 is cell line specific, we inactivated Cdk1 using the small-molecule inhibitor RO-3306. This treatment successfully overrides the mitotic arrest induced by nondegradable Cyclin B1, resulting in mitotic exit, evidenced by cell morphology, DNA profiling, and the canonical dephosphorylated state of the APC/C subunit Cdc27 (Kramer et al., 2000) (Figure 3, K and L). Furthermore, Cdk1 inhibition in NDB mitotic extracts causes APC/C to dissociate from Cdc20, bind Cdh1, and degrade endogenous Cdc20 (an APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) substrate), recapitulating the canonical APC/C\(^{Ccd20}\)-to-APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) switch associated with mitotic exit (Figure 3, M and N). Neither Tome-1 nor E2F8 was mobility shifted in Cdk1-inhibited extracts (Figure 3O). Most importantly, both proteins were degraded in RO–3306-treated NDB cell extracts where APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) has been activated. This degradation was blocked by dominant negative Ubch10 and is thus APC/C dependent (Figure 3O). Altogether, results in Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate that E2F8 proteolysis is coordinated with mitotic exit and APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) activation.

**E2F8 ubiquitination in G1 is primarily Lys11 linked**

Cell extracts generated from synchronous S3 cells 3–5 h after release from a nocodazole block exhibit homogenous and optimal APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) activity. These cell extracts, defined here as “G1 extracts,” have been used for the discovery of E2F8, E2F7, and other cell cycle proteins as APC/C\(^{Cdh1}\) targets (Cohen et al., 2013; Pe’er et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2014). While Lys(K)48-linked ubiquitination is considered the main signal for proteasomal protein degradation (Kravtsova-Ivantsov et al., 2013), APC/C preference for K11-linked Ub chains has been demonstrated for an increasing number of substrates, including Securin and Kifc1 (Jin et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010; Noach-Hirsh et al., 2015). However, it remains unclear if APC/C uses K11-linked chains to mediate the degradation of all substrates. To test whether this feature applies to E2F8, we utilized a designated microfluidic platform with which ubiquitination of freshly expressed proteins can be tested in G1 extract (Noach-Hirsh et al., 2015). The assay is based on in situ detection of EGFP-tagged substrates (Supplemental Figure S2) and rhodamine-labeled Ub (Rd-Ub) (illustrated in Figure 4, A and B). Once ubiquitination of E2F8-EGFP was validated on-chip to be APC/C specific (Figure 4C), we tested the displacement of Rd-Ub from E2F8 by 10-fold excess of unlabeled K-to-Arg mutant Ub variants. As expected, excess of unlabeled WT Ub in the reaction mixture outcompeted Rd-Ub, evidenced by the sharp drop of net Rd signal. Similar results were obtained when K48R- or K63R-Ub mutants (UbK48R, UbK63R) were added. In contrast, the impact of K11R-Ub mutant (UbK11R) on Rd-Ub signal was minimal (Figure 4D), reflecting the low capacity of this particular mutant to form Ub chains on E2F8. Consistent with APC/C forming K11-linked Ub chains on E2F8, E2F8 proteolysis in G1 extracts is inefficient when UbK11R is supplemented to the reaction (Figure 4E). Supplementing extracts with UbK48R or UbK63R had no effect on E2F8 degradation. Thus, E2F8 ubiquitination and degradation at G1 are primarily mediated by K11-linked Ub chains.

**Multiple degron motifs coordinate E2F8 proteolysis in G1**

Direct assays in G1 extracts have been proven informative in mapping and characterizing destruction motifs of APC/C substrates (Jin et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010; Pe’er et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2014). E2F8 carries multiple motifs potentially associated with APC/C-mediated degradation—three KEN sequences and two D-box (R-X-X-L) sequences (Figure 5A). We generated point mutations in each of these motifs (Figure 5B) and quantified the kinetics of E2F8 proteolysis in G1 extracts. Mutation of the second D-box motif, RXXL-to-GXXV mutation at position 183 (DM2) inhibited E2F8 degradation (Figure 5C). Mutations in each of the previously reported KEN boxes at positions 5 and 375 (KM1 and KM2) (Boekhout et al., 2016) had a minimal impact on E2F8 proteolysis. Remarkably, the two KEN box mutations (KM1 and KM2) had a significant impact on E2F8 degradation when combined with DM1 or with each other (Figure 5D). Mutations in RXXL B7 (DM1) and KEN 637 (KM3) had no inhibitory
FIGURE 3: E2F8 dynamics in APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>-active extracts. (A) Human Cyclin B1 in which Arg-42 and Leu-45 are substituted with Gly and Val is nondegradable (ND). A colony of 293-T-REx cells stably expressing ND-Cyclin B1 (NDB) under tet-regulated CMV promoter was generated (i.e., NDB cells). (B) DNA distribution of asynchronous NDB cell population. (C) Median cell size (fL: femtoliter) of NDB cells vs. parental 293-T-REx cells. (D) DNA distribution of NDB cells following 22 h treatment with tet. Cell cycle phase distributions of NDB cells pre- and postinduction with tet are shown. (E) A panel of light phase images of NDB cells pre- and postincubation with nocodazole (Noc) or tet. (F) Noc- or tet-treated NDB cells were harvested for chromosome spreads. Representative fluorescent images of DAPI stained chromosomes are shown. (G) An image series of live NDB cells stably expressing histone-H2B following tet treatment. A higher resolution image of a live, tet-treated NDB cell with typical disorganized chromatids is shown on the right. (H) Noc- or tet-treated NDB cells were harvested for Western blotting. (I) NDB cells showing the lowest 10% forward scatter width (FSC-W) signal were sorted. These G1 cells (Vecsler et al., 2013), as well as tet-treated NDB cells, were harvested for Western blotting with the depicted antibodies. (J) Mitotic cell extracts were generated from tet-induced NDB cells. Degradation and electrophoretic mobility-shift of 35S-labeled Securin, Tome-1, and E2F8 (IVT products) were assayed in mitotic NDB extracts supplemented with mock, UbcH10<sup>DN</sup>, or APC/C inhibitor Emi1 (C-terminal fragment; recombinant). (K–P) Tet-induced NDB cells/extracts can exit mitosis into a G1-like state by blocking Cdk1 activity. DNA distributions, light phase images (M), and immunoblot (IB) (N) of tet-induced NDB cells pre- and postincubation with RO-3306 (135 min). The mitotic vs. G1 electrophoretic mobility-shift of Cdc27 is shown. (M) Mitotic extracts were generated from tet-induced NDB cells. Coimmunoprecipitation (IP) of Cdc27 was performed pre- and posttreatment with RO-3306 (30 min). (N) Time-dependent dynamics of endogenous Cdc20 in mitotic NDB extracts following incubation with DMSO, RO-3306 and/or UbcH10. Cdc20 levels were detected by Western blotting. Loading control (Tubulin) is also shown. (O) Time-dependent degradation of Tome-1 and E2F8 (35S-labeled IVT products) in NDB mitotic extracts preactivated with RO-3306 (15 min). Reactions were mock treated or supplemented with UbcH10, UbcH10<sup>DN</sup>, or MG132.
E2F8 proteolysis in G1 is mediated by N-terminal Cdk1 sites

The temporal electrophoretic mobility of E2F8 in mitotic extracts (Figures 2 and 3) can be explained by orderly phosphorylation and dephosphorylation during mitotic progression and exit. There are four T/SP sites in E2F8-N80 fragment, two of which are TPxK, that is, the canonical Cdk1 consensus sites (Figure 6A). Both full-length E2F8 and N80-E2F8 fragments were stable and mobility shifted in mitotic NDB extracts (Figure 6B). These mobility shifts were blocked by Cdk1 inhibitor. Individual Thr(T)-to-Ala(A) mutations in position 20 or 44 reduced the mobility shift of E2F8-N80 and to a greater extent when combined (Figure 6C). We concluded that Cdk1/Cyclin B1 phosphorylates E2F8 in mitosis at positions T20 and T44. Phosphorylation in proximity to destruction motifs can regulate APC/C-mediated ubiquitination (Holt et al., 2008, Singh et al., 2014). This mechanism helps to coordinate degradation with the cell cycle clock (Holt et al., 2008). We, therefore, tested the potential link between E2F8 phosphorylation and degradation. The E2F8-N80 fragment (Figure 6C) allowed us to focus on the potential relationship between T20/T44 phosphorylation and KEN box at position 5. Alanine mutations at T20 and/or T44 had no impact on the degradation of E2F8-N80 in G1 extracts (Figure 6D). In contrast, phosphomimetic mutation (T-to Asp(D)) at T44 partially impeded degradation of E2F8-N80 in G1 extracts (Figure 6D). In contrast, the T20D/T44D mutation strongly impaired the proteolysis of E2F8-N80 in G1 extracts (Figure 6D). In fact, the impact of T20D/T44D mutation on E2F8 half-life in G1 extracts was greater than any of the single KEN or RXXL mutations (Figure 5C). Together, our findings couple E2F8 ubiquitination by APC/C[cdc1] with an unphosphorylated state of T20 and T44. This molecular switch can restrict E2F8 degradation by APC/C to times of low Cdk1 activity.

Temporal proteolysis of E2F8 across the cell cycle

The contribution of temporal proteolysis to the overall dynamics of E2F8 across the cell cycle (Figure 1) has yet to be addressed systematically. To this end, seven cell-free systems were generated, recapitulating cell cycle milestones from prometaphase to late S-phase. Degradation of E2F8 was assayed alongside Securin and p27/Kip1. The latter was used as a positive control for SCF[Skp2]
activity (Carrano et al., 1999). The stability of E2F8 and delayed proteolysis of Securin in prometaphase extracts (Pro-M) were as expected (Figures 7A and B). The stability of E2F8 in G1-S extracts and in all the three S-phase extracts was in agreement with the temporal dynamics of the protein at these stages (Figures 7A and 1). The p27 proteolysis validated the specific activity of mid- and late S-phase extracts. Proteolysis of both E2F8 and Securin in early-mid G1 extracts was optimal, reflecting the high APC/C activity and lowest abundance of E2F8 associated with this stage (Figure 7A). The degradation of Securin was slightly more efficient than E2F8 (Figure 7, A and B), potentially reflecting the high ubiquitination efficiency of the former protein (Rape et al., 2006). More importantly, APC/C activity has been shown to weaken during late G1 (Huang, Park et al., 2001; Rape and Kirschner, 2004). Accordingly, the half-life of Securin was indeed slightly longer in late G1 extracts, prepared 6 h after the nocodazole release. However, while the overall degradation of Securin remained potent in late G1 extracts, E2F8 proteolysis nearly ceased (Figure 7, A and B). The differential stability of E2F8 versus Securin in late G1 extracts effectively demonstrates an intrinsic mechanism by which E2F8 can accumulate while APC/C is still active, providing a mechanism for E2F8 buildup during late G1 (Figure 1A).
E2F8 is regulated by the F-box protein Cyclin F

The reduction in E2F8 levels seen premitosis (Figure 1) is likely to be regulated at both transcriptional (E2F1) and posttranslational levels. The SCF-family of Ub ligases represent attractive candidates for regulatory mechanisms. SCF targeted toward Cyclin F, which is active when E2F8 levels peaks, and E2F8 is stable in S-phase extracts that recapitulate p27 degradation, a well-established SCF substrate (Figure 7A). Cyclin F overexpression strongly down-regulates E2F8 abundance (Figure 8D). Furthermore, down-regulation of E2F8 was less profound in HEK293 cells overexpressing Cyclin F variant lacking the F-box domain (Figure 8E) that is critical for Cyclin F assembly into productive SCF complexes (Choudhury et al., 2016). Together, these results suggest that Cyclin F promotes E2F8 degradation via Ub-mediated proteolysis. Accordingly, treatment with proteasome inhibitors MG132 and bortezomib prevented the degradation of endogenous E2F8 in MCF7cells expressing doxycycline-inducible Cyclin F (Figure 8F). We conclude that Cyclin F promotes degradation of E2F8 through the proteasome.

Next, we examined E2F8 abundance in control and Cyclin F KO cells traversing G2. Cells were released from synchronization at G1/S by double thymidine block and analyzed by immunoblotting. A reduction in E2F8 was evident in late S and G2-phase, prior to mitotic entry, in control cells (Figure 8G). However, E2F8 levels persisted into mitosis in Cyclin F KO cells, strongly suggesting that the degradation of E2F8 observed in G2 is Cyclin F dependent. Owing to the role that RxL motifs often play in SCF-mediated ubiquitination, we analyzed E2F8 variants in which the Arg of each RxL motif was substituted with Ala (RxL-to-A). Similar to the result above, Cyclin F overexpression reduced the levels of ectopically expressed E2F8, suggesting that Cyclin F promotes E2F8 degradation (Figure 8C). To analyze endogenous E2F8, we constructed cells with doxycycline-inducible Cyclin F expression in MCF7 and T47D cell lines. In both, Cyclin F expression caused a dose-dependent decrease in E2F8 abundance (Figure 8D). Furthermore, down-regulation of E2F8 was less profound in HEK293 cells overexpressing Cyclin F variant lacking the F-box domain (Figure 8E) that is critical for Cyclin F assembly into productive SCF complexes (Choudhury et al., 2016). Together, these results suggest that Cyclin F regulates E2F8 degradation via Ub-mediated proteolysis. Accordingly, treatment with proteasome inhibitors MG132 and bortezomib prevented the degradation of endogenous E2F8 in MCF7 cells expressing doxycycline-inducible Cyclin F (Figure 8F).

We conclude that Cyclin F promotes degradation of E2F8 through the proteasome.

E2F8 is regulated by the F-box protein Cyclin F

The reduction in E2F8 levels seen premitosis (Figure 1) is likely to be regulated at both transcriptional (E2F1) and posttranslational levels. The SCF-family of Ub ligases represent attractive candidates for mediating E2F8 destruction owing to their key roles in the cell cycle (Silverman et al., 2012). SCF ligases utilize substrate adaptor F-box proteins that bind specific substrates and mediate their ubiquitination and degradation. SCFβ-TrCP, SCFβ-SCF, and SCFβ-Cyclin F have all been implicated in cell cycle and are candidates for driving E2F8 down-regulation premitosis. Among those three, several pieces of evidence nominate Cyclin F as the most likely candidate. First, SCFβ-TrCP is active when E2F8 levels peaks, and E2F8 is stable in S-phase extracts that recapitulate p27 degradation, a well-established SCF substrate (Figure 7A). Second, SCFβ-SCF binds to a well-defined recognition sequence (DSGXX(XX)S) that is absent in E2F8 (Yaron et al., 1998; Orian et al., 2000). Third, Cyclin F peaks at G2 phase (D’Angiolella et al., 2013; Choudhury et al., 2016; Galper et al., 2017; Mavrommati et al., 2018), concomitantly with E2F8 down-regulation (Figure 1). And fourth, E2F8 carries putative RxL motifs (Cy box) (Figure 8A) that are known to mediate ubiquitination by SCFβ-Cyclin F (D’Angiolella et al., 2010). There is no in vitro extract assay for Cyclin F-mediated ubiquitination. Therefore, we examined the functional relationship between E2F8 and Cyclin F in vivo.

Endogenous E2F8 levels increased in cells treated with MLN4924, an indirect inhibitor of SCF. As expected, E2F8 levels also rose upon inhibition of ubiquitination (MLN7243) and proteasomal (Bortezomib) but not lysosomal (Chloroquine) degradation (Supplemental Figure S3A). These results, however, may simply reflect drug-induced enrichment of cells at phases where E2F8 levels are relatively high (Supplemental Figure S3B).
E2F8 carrying mutation in both DNA-binding domains was degraded by APC/C (Supplemental Figure S4).

We next expressed FLAG-Cyclin F and 6HIS-E2F8-HA in HEK293T cells and analyzed their interaction by co-IP. We detected an interaction between the two proteins, irrespective of which protein was precipitated (Figure 8, I and J), suggesting that E2F8 binds Cyclin F. Taken together, these data suggest that E2F8 regulation by Cyclin F is direct and that SCF<sup>Cyclin F</sup> activity down-regulates E2F8 prior to M-phase entry. These data (see also Wasserman et al., 2019) complement three parallel studies connecting SCF<sup>Cyclin F</sup>-mediated proteolysis to temporal dynamics of E2F members (Burdova et al., 2019; Clijsters et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we developed and utilized human cell-free systems that recapitulate the phosphorylation and Ub signaling events that underlie the core of the cell cycle oscillator. We discovered multiple mechanisms controlling temporal proteolysis of E2F8 in proliferating cells, with relevance to the overall coregulation among E2F8, E2F1, and the cell cycle clock.

The dynamic mitotic extracts developed and described here revealed orderly phosphorylation, dephosphorylation, and proteolysis of E2F8 while traversing spindle checkpoint inactivation, APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup> activation, the APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>-to-APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> switch, and G1 entry (Figure 2). To the best of our knowledge, a complete transition of cell extracts from prometaphase to G1 has not been demonstrated in somatic cell systems. A complementary system generated from anaphase-like cells (NDB) allowed us to investigate E2F8 under conditions of high Cdk1 and APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup> activity (Figure 3). Using these two cell-free systems, we identified T20 and T44 in E2F8 as Cdk1 phosphorylation sites (Figure 6) and coupled temporal proteolysis of E2F8 with mitotic exit dephosphorylation and APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> activation (Figure 2). In contrast to our initial expectation, early mitotic E2F8, although low leveled (Figure 1), did not exhibit a short half-life (Figure 3). This pattern of temporal proteolysis is difficult to detect in vivo for a protein that is degraded during both G2 and G1 (Figures 1, 7, and 8). While Boekhout et al. (2016) provided in vivo evidence in support of our discovery that E2F8 is an APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> target (Cohen et al., 2013), they concluded that E2F8 proteolysis is mediated by APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>. Their conclusion relied on in vivo perturbation of Cdc20, which severely perturbs cell cycle progression by forcing mitotic arrest, complicating their interpretation, particularly in light of these new data.

Using G1 extracts, we found E2F8 ubiquitination to be primarily K11 linked (Figure 4), mapped three destruction motifs in E2F8, and
ranked their individual and cooperative contribution to APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup>-mediated proteolysis (Figure 5). Importantly, we discovered that phosphomimetic E2F8 is stable in G1 (Figure 6). This finding, together with the dephosphorylation dynamics revealed prior to E2F8 proteolysis during mitotic exit (Figure 2), suggests that dephosphorylation of E2F8 facilitates recognition and degradation by APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup>. That S/T20 and T44 Cdk1 phosphorylation sites are conserved in atypical E2Fs across vertebrates further suggests that this molecular switch likely applies to E2F7. Experiments in G1 extracts also illuminated an unexpected role for dimerization domains in E2F8 proteolysis (Supplemental Figure S4). Whether these results genuinely couple E2F8 dimerization with degradation or, alternatively, mirror a global structural change with implications on folding, function, and regulation of E2F8, awaits further investigation (see the Supplemental Information for more details).

The half-life of E2F8 in G1 was analyzed in two distinct points (Figure 7). In early-mid G1 extracts, E2F8 proteolysis was optimal. In late G1, however, E2F8 proteolysis nearly ceased while the overall degradation of Securin stayed potent (Figure 7B). These dynamics demonstrate that E2F8, unlike Securin and probably other APC/C substrates, is differentially stabilized during late G1, concomitantly with the declining activity of APC/C (Rape and Kirschner, 2004; Meyer and Rape, 2011). Because E2F1 is already active at this stage, this feature, by itself, allows E2F8 to accumulate and potentially coregulate S-phase entry while APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> is still active (Figure 1). Enhanced stability under suboptimal APC/C activity is a feature of “distributive” APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> targets, that is, substrates that must associate with the APC/C multiple times to obtain a proteolytic Ub chain, for example, Cyclin A (Rape et al., 2006). Consequently, distributive substrates are the first to become stable under limited APC/C activity because of 1) the higher chance of deubiquitinating isopeptidases to strip the emerging Ub chains and 2) the competition with “processive” substrates like Securin, which undergoes multibiubiquitination in a single binding event (Meyer and Rape, 2011). Interestingly, both E2F8 and Cyclin A are E2F1 targets involved in the G1-S transition. The early rise of E2F1 in G1 (Figure 1) is hard to reconcile with previous in vivo studies, which suggested that E2F1 is an APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup>
target (Budhavarapu et al., 2012). We further note that E2F1, unlike E2F8 and E2F7, is stable in G1 extracts (Supplemental Figure S1).

E2F1 and E2F8 levels diminish before prometaphase (Figure 1). This temporal dynamic is rare among APC/C targets (Meyer and Rape, 2011). While SCF<sub>Cyclin F</sub>-mediated proteolysis accounts for E2F1 reduction during late S and G2 phases (Clijsters et al., 2011), how atypical E2Fs are regulated in G2 was unknown. Here, we show that Cyclin F also down-regulates E2F8 in a proteasome-dependent manner (Figure 8), implying a direct link between E2F8 and SCF<sub>Cyclin F</sub>-mediated ubiquitination. The elevated levels of E2F8 observed in Cyclin F KO cells during G2, where Cyclin F protein peaks, emphasize the role of SCF<sub>Cyclin F</sub> in down-regulating E2F8 premitosis. Whereas in vivo ubiquitination assays of Cyclin F substrates are possible (Choudhury et al., 2016) and proven informative for E2F1 (Clijsters et al., 2019), equivalent assays with E2F8 were ambiguous and uninformative (unpublished data; Yuan et al., 2019). This could reflect an unappreciated difficulty to assay proteins with dual modes of degradation (Choudhury et al., 2016). This conception highlights another layer of complexity; E2F7 and E2F8 are both direct targets of E2F1. E2F1, as well as E2F2 and E2F3, is an SCF<sub>Cyclin F</sub> substrate. This strongly argues against straightforward interpretations of E2F7/8-related phenotypes in Cyclin F KO/knockdown cells (Yuan et al., 2019).

Altogether, our findings support a model, depicted in Figure 9, wherein SCF<sub>Cyclin F</sub> down-regulates E2F8 in G2, following E2F1 reduction. Since Cyclin F is degraded in mitosis, low-leveled E2F8 remains stable during early mitosis when APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub> is active. Conceptually, this mechanism can allow E2F8 to block residual, unwanted E2F1 activity during mitosis, but before cell division is successfully completed, and is consistent with overactivation of E2F1 having negative consequences on chromosome segregation fidelity (Manning et al., 2010; Pfister et al., 2018). Cdk1 phosphorylates E2F8 on Thr-20 and Thr-44 during mitotic entry. This module has a stabilizing effect on E2F8. Cdk1 activity dropped during mitotic exit. Subsequent dephosphorylation of both E2F8 and Cdh1 triggers E2F8 degradation by APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub>. E2F1 accumulates in early-mid-G1 under high APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub> activity, inducing its own expression alongside expression of E2F8, E2F7 and other target genes that promote S-phase entry (e.g., Cdc6, Cyclin E). As long as E2F7 and E2F8 are destabilized by APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub>, E2F1 activity is unrestrained. Maximizing transcription capacity at this stage might be critical for low-leveled E2F1 to ignite the positive feedback required for its autocatalytic increase that drives cells into S-phase (Johnson et al., 1994). E2F8 is differentially stabilized under limited APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub> activity associated with late G1. This feature allows E2F8 to accumulate during late G1, while APC/C<sub>Cdh1</sub> is still active and mediates proteolysis of Securin and probably other substrates. Because E2F1 levels are already high, the E2F1-E2F8 negative feedback can be formed already in G1 to balance the transcriptional activity of E2F1. Overall, these interdynamics ensure a safe transition into S-phase (Figure 9). In this study, we focused on E2F8. However, owing to the overall similarity of atypical E2Fs, it is easy to speculate that the model herein applied to E2F7 as well.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmids**

The following plasmids were used in previous studies: pCS2-FA-E2F8 and pCS2-FA-E2F7 (Cohen et al., 2013); pCS2-FA-Securin (Pe’er et al., 2013); pCS2-Tome-1 (Xenopus laevis) (Ayad et al., 2003); FLAG-tagged WT- and ΔF-Box-mutant Cyclin F (Choudhury et al., 2016). Histone H2B-YFP plasmid was a gift from Yaron Shavit (Bar-Ilan University). The following plasmids were generated for this study: 1) pCS2-FA-E2F8-EGFP; E2F8 open reading frame (ORF) was amplified by PCR using pCS2-FA-E2F8 as a template and primers flanked with FseI (forward) and AgeI (reverse) restriction enzyme (RE) sites and cloned into pCS2-FA-EGFP vector; 2) pCS2-FA-P27; ORF of p27 was amplified from cDNA template (Open Biosystems).
and cloned into pCS2-FA vector using FseI (5') and Ascl (3') RE; 3) pCS2-FA-His-E2F8-HA; E2F8 ORF was amplified with a forward primer flanked with FseI RE site and a reverse primer flanked with HA tag followed by Ascl RE site. The PCR product was cloned downstream to His tag; 4) pCS2-His-E2F8-N80-EGFP, the ORF encoding for amino acids 1–80 of E2F8 was cloned in frame with an upstream His tag and downstream EGFP tag; 5) pCS2-E2F8-C; the ORF encoding for amino acids 607–867 of E2F8 was amplified using pCS2-FA-E2F8 template and a primer set flanked with AscI (forward) and Agel (reverse) RE sites. The PCR product was cloned into pCS2-FA-EGFP vector downstream to His tag and upstream to EGFP; 5) pCS2-E2F8-C; the ORF encoding for amino acids 607–867 of E2F8 was amplified using pCS2-FA-E2F8 template and a primer set flanked with FseI (forward) and Agel (reverse) RE sites. The PCR product was cloned in frame with an upstream His tag and downstream EGFP tag in a pCS2-FA vector; 6) pcDNA-E2F1; the ORF of human E2F1 was amplified using E2F1 cDNA (a gift from Donor Ginsberg, Bar Ilan University) and a primer set flanked with EcoRI (reverse) RE sites. The PCR product was cloned into pcDNA3.1(+).

The following E2F8 mutant variants were generated using pCS2-FA-E2F8 as a template: 1) KEN mutant (KM) E2F8-KM1 (K617 to AAN); 2) KM E2F8-KM2 (K757 to K757 to AAN); 3) KM E2F8-KM3 (E559 to AAN); 4) RXXL mutant 1 (Q-box mutant) E2F8-DM1 (R759 to GXXV); 5) RXXL mutant 2 (Q-box mutant) E2F8-DM2 (R1359 to GXXV); 6) E2F8-DM1-DM2; 7) E2F8-KM1-KM2; 8) E2F8-KM1-KM2; 9) E2F8-KM3-DM2; 10) E2F8-KM3-DM2; 11) DNA-binding domain (DBD) double mutant E2F8-DBD (I559F and R313L); 12) dimerization domain double mutant E2F8-DD (deletion of I626NV and I626NV); 13) E2F8-DBD-DD (quadraple mutant); 14) E2F8-T20A (Thr-20 to Ala); 15) E2F8-T20D (Thr-20 to Asp); 16) E2F8-T44A (Thr-44 to Ala); 17) E2F8-T44D (Thr-44 to Asp); 18) E2F8-T20A-T44A (double mutant); 19) E2F8-T20D-T44D (double mutant); 20) Cy box mutant 1 (E2F8-CB1 (RGL); 21) Cy box mutant 2 (E2F8-CB2 (RRGL); 22) Cy box mutant 3 (E2F8-CB3 (RRGL); 23) Cy box mutant 4 (E2F8-CB4 (RRGL)). The following E2F8 variants were generated using pCS2-FA-His-E2F8-HA as a template: 1) E2F8-CB1; 2) E2F8-CB2; 3) E2F8-CB3; 4) E2F8-CB4. The following E2F8-N80 variants were generated using pCS2-His-E2F8-N80-EGFP as a template: 1) E2F8-N80-KM1 (K617 to AAN); 2) E2F8-N80-KM2 (K757 to K757 to AAN); 3) E2F8-N80-KM3 (E559 to AAN); 4) RXXL mutant 1 (Q-box mutant) E2F8-N80-DM1 (R759 to GXXV); 5) RXXL mutant 2 (Q-box mutant) E2F8-N80-DM2 (R1359 to GXXV); 6) E2F8-N80-DM1-DM2; 7) E2F8-KM1-KM2; 8) E2F8-KM1-KM2; 9) E2F8-KM3-DM2; 10) E2F8-KM3-DM2; 11) DNA-binding domain (DBD) double mutant E2F8-N80-DBD (I559F and R313L); 12) dimerization domain double mutant E2F8-N80-DD (deletion of I626NV and I626NV); 13) E2F8-N80-DBD-DD (quadraple mutant); 14) E2F8-T20A (Thr-20 to Ala); 15) E2F8-T20D (Thr-20 to Asp); 16) E2F8-T44A (Thr-44 to Ala); 17) E2F8-T44D (Thr-44 to Asp); 18) E2F8-T20A-T44A (double mutant); 19) E2F8-T20D-T44D (double mutant); 20) Cy box mutant 1 (E2F8-CB1 (RGL); 21) Cy box mutant 2 (E2F8-CB2 (RRGL); 22) Cy box mutant 3 (E2F8-CB3 (RRGL); 23) Cy box mutant 4 (E2F8-CB4 (RRGL)).

**Cell culture**
HeLa S3 (S3) and HEK293T cells were originally from the American Type Culture Collection. The 293-T-REx cells were a gift from Vamsi Mootha (Harvard Medical School). All cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Biological Industries; #03-020-1B, #03-031-1B). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2-containing atmosphere. S3 cells were either cultured on plates or in 1-l glass spinner flasks in suspension (80 rpm). The 293-T-REx cells were cultured in the presence of 5 µg/ml blasticidin (Life Technologies; #A1139-03) to maintain the pcDNA6/TR plasmid carrying the ORF for tet repressor. The derivation of the Cyclin F KO cell lines using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology was previously described (Choudhury et al., 2016).

**Generation of NDB cell system**
The 293-T-REx cells were stably transfected with pcDNA4/TO plasmid carrying an ORF of a destruction-box mutant (DM) Cyclin B1 (Arg-42 and Leu-45 were substituted with Gly and Val, respectively) and a zeocin resistance gene. The ORF of cyclin B1-DM was amplified by PCR using the pCS2-FA-Cyclin B1-DM plasmid (Pe’er et al., 2013) as a template and a primer set flanked with RE sites for BamH1 (forward) and Xhol (reverse). Zeocin concentration for selection: 200 µg/ml (BioBasic; # Z706211). NDB cells were stably transfected with a plasmid carrying the ORF of histone H2B-YFP and a neomycin resistance gene. Stably transfected cells were selected by 500 µg/ml G418 (Formedium; #108321-42-2). NDB and NDB-H2B-YFP cell lines were originated from a single cell.

**Generation of inducible Cyclin F cell line**
Cyclin F was cloned by gateway recombination cloning into pINDUCER20 (Meerbrey et al., 2011). Lentiviral particles were produced in HEK293T cells by transfecting cells with pINDUCER20- Cyclin F, as well as separate plasmids containing TAR, REV, VSVG, and gag-pol. Viral particles were used to transduce MCF7 and T47D cells, which were subsequently selected with geneticin (Life Technologies #10131-035). Cyclin F expression was induced by the addition of doxycycline (5, 25, or 100 ng/ml) to the media for 48 h and cells were analyzed by immunoblot. For in vivo experiments, MG132 (UBP Bio; #F1101) and bortezomib (Sigma-Aldrich; #5043140001) were used at a final concentration of 50 µM and 100 nM, respectively.

**Cell synchronization**

**Synchronization of S3 cells for mitotic extracts.** A 400–500 ml culture of S3 cells was grown in suspension (1-l spinner flask, 85 rpm) until population reached a density of about 2.5 × 106 cells/ml. Cells were then treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich; #T9250) for 22 h, washed, and released into prewarmed fresh media. After 3 h, cells were incubated with 50 ng/ml nocodazole (Sigma-Aldrich; #M1404) for 11–12 h and harvested for mitotic extract preparation.

**Synchronization of S3 cells for G1 extracts.** Nocodazole-arrested cells (see preceding paragraph) were washed, recultured in 400–500 ml prewarmed fresh media, and harvested after 3.5 h for G1 extract preparation. Cells extracts were also generated from cells 6 and 8.5 h after release from nocodazole block.

**Synchronization of S3 cells for S-phase extracts.** S3 cells were cultured in suspension until the population reached a density of approximately 5 × 106 cells/ml. Cells were then incubated with 2 mM thymidine for 22 h, washed, and released into prewarmed fresh media for 9 h and blocked again with 2 mM thymidine for 19 h. Cells were either harvested for extract preparation or released from the second thymidine block for 3 or 6 h and then harvested.

**Synchronization of NDB cells in late mitosis.** NDB cells were cultured in 15-cm diameter plates. After reaching a confluency of about 75%, the cells were treated with 1 µg/ml tet (Sigma-Aldrich; #R7128) for 22 h and harvested for extract preparation or any other purpose.

**Synchronization of NDB cells in prometaphase.** NDB cells at 75% confluency were treated with 100 ng/ml nocodazole for 18 h.

**Synchronization of NDB cells in G1.** Asynchronous NDB cells showing the lowest 10% forward scatter width (FSC-W) signal were isolated by FACSAria III (BD). This sorting protocol yields a nearly
pure G1 population without presynchronization (Vescler et al., 2013).

**Synchronization of S3 cells for Western blotting.** S3 cells arrested by thymidine-nocodazole block or double thymidine block (see above) were washed and either harvested (t = 0) or recultured for 30 min to 11 h and then harvested.

**Live cell imaging**

For light phase images, we used a Nikon eclipse TS100 inverted microscope equipped with 20× (NA: 0.4) or 40× (NA: 0.55) LWD lenses, a Nikon Digital-Slit DS-Fi1 camera, and a Nikon C-HGFI Intensilight. Images were processed by ImageJ software.

Spread chromosomes were visualized using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope equipped with 100× oil lens (NA: 1.4) or 40× Oil lens (NA: 1.3), Lumenor illuminator LED light source, and a Zyla sCMOS camera (Andor Technology). Filter sets: excitation 390/18 nm; emission 460/50 nm. Images were processed by Nikon NIS-element and ImageJ software. Time-lapse microscopy of NDB cells was performed with a Leica SP8 inverted scanning confocal microscope equipped with an 63× oil lens (NA: 1.4), and HyD detector. Excitation: 488 nm laser. Emission: 511–552 nm. Images were acquired and processed using LASX and ImageJ software.

**Flow cytometry**

Overall, cell synchronization was measured by DNA quantification following a standard propidium iodide staining protocol (Sigma-Aldrich; #81845). Gallios (Beckman Coulter) and FACSaria III (Becton Dickinson) flow cytometers were used for analyzing the stained cells. Cell cycle phase distribution was determined by ModFit LT’s Sync Wizard model (Verity Software House). FACSaria III was also used to sort G1 NDB cells for Western blot analysis (see above) and for sorting single NDB and NDB-H2B-YFP cells.

**Chromosome spread**

Tet-induced and nocodazole-arrested NDB cells (see above) were harvested by gentle pipetting, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), lysed in hypotonic solution (0.8% KCl, 10 min at room temperature [RT]), and fixed in a methanol/glacial acetic acid solution (3:1 volume ratio). Cell droplets were released from 1-m height onto tilted glass slides. The slides were air-dried and mounted with a mounting solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific; 4112APG) and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) stain (5 µg/ml [Sigma-Aldrich; #P9542]).

**Western blotting**

**Protein lysis.** Cells were washed twice in cold PBS and lysed in a cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche; #4693159001), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich; #P5726, #P0044), 10 mM NaF; 20 mM β-glycerophosphate; 1 mM Na2VO4, 20 mM P-nitrophenylphosphate. Protein extracts were incubated on ice for 30 min and the nonsoluble components were pelletted by centrifugation for 45 min at 14,000 × g. Protein concentration was determined by a standard Bradford assay (Bio-Rad #500-0006), a linear bovine serum albumin (BSA) calibration curve, and an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer.

**Immunoblotting.** Protein samples were mixed with Laemmli buffer, denatured (5–10 min, 95°C), and resolved on freshly made 8–10% acrylamide gels using a Tris-glycine running buffer. Proteins were then electro-transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad; #162-0115) using a wet transfer or Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad). Transfer quality was verified by Ponceau S Solution (Sigma-Aldrich; #81462). Membranes were washed, blocked (5% skimmed milk in TBST), and incubated overnight (4°C) with antibody solution (2.5% BSA and 0.05% sodium azide in PBS). The following primary antibodies were used: anti-Tubulin (DHSB; #12G10), anti-Actin (DSHB; #JLA20), anti-HSP70 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-24), anti-GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-47724), anti-E2F8 (Abnova; #H00079733-M01 and Abcam; #AB109565), anti-E2F1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-193), anti-Securin (Abcam; #AB3305), anti-Geminin (Abcam; #AB12147), anti-Kif1c (Bethyl Laboratories; #A300-951A), anti-Cyclin B1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-70898), anti-Cdc20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-8358), anti-Cdh1 (Calibochem; #CC43-100UG), anti-Cdc27 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-5618 and SC-9972), anti-Cyclin F (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; #SC-952), and anti-HA (Biolegend; #901502); anti-FLAG (Sigma; #A8592). Horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch (#115-035-174; #115-035-144; #115-035-003). ECL signal was detected by a SuperSignal West Femtochemiluminescence substrate (Pierce; #34095) or an EZ-ECL (Biological Industries; #20-500-171).

**Immunoprecipitation**

APC/C was immunoprecipitated from NDB mitotic extracts pre- and post–30 min incubation with RO-3306 (see above). To this end, 200 µg cellular extracts were mixed with 300 µl wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 0.1% Triton, EDTA 1 mM) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich; #p2714-1BTL). Next, 15 µl agarose–conjugated anti-Cdc27 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; SC-9972AC) were incubated with the diluted extracts for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were washed twice with 150 mM NaCl wash buffer and once with 75 mM wash buffer, resuspended with 4× Laemmli sample buffer, and denatured 10 min at 95°C. Protein samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE.

**HA/FLAG immunoprecipitation.** HEK293T cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids using PolyJet DNA in vitro transfection reagent (SignaGen #SL1000688). At 24 h posttransfection, cells were harvested, lysed in NETN buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, 2 µg/ml pepstatin, 2 µg/ml Dnase1, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 1.0 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl) benzene-sulfonyl fluoride, 1.0 mM Na3VO4) and immunoprecipitated with EZView Red anti-HA or EZView Red anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma #E6779; #F2426). IPs were performed for 2 h at 4°C, washed 5x in cold NETN buffer by rotating for 5 min each time, and eluted in Laemmli buffer prior to analysis by immunoblot.

**Preparation of cell extracts**

**HeLa S3 extracts.** Synchronous S3 cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed in a swelling buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche; #1183617001]) supplemented with energy regenerating mixture (1 mM ATP, 0.1 mM ethylene glycol-bis[β-aminoethyl ether]-N,N,N′,N′-tetraacetic acid [EGTA], 1 mM MgCl2, 7.5 mM creatine phosphate, 50 µg/ml creatine phosphokinase). Cells were swelled on ice for 30 min and homogenized by freeze–thawing in liquid nitrogen and passed through a 21-G needle 10 times. Extracts were cleared by subsequent centrifugations (14,000 RPM; 10 and 40 min), and stored at –80°C.
**ND8 mitotic extracts.** Tet-induced NDB cells were harvested from 20 150-mm plates. Cells were washed gently with cold PBS and lysed for extract preparation (see preceding paragraph).

**ND8 G1-like extracts.** NDB mitotic extracts (see above) were pre-activated with RO-3306 for 15 to 30 min. This treatment overrides the blocking effect of nondegradable Cyclin B1 and induces mitotic exit into an ACP/C-Cdc25-active state in tube. Only then were in vitro translated (IVT) substrates added to the reaction mixture for degradation assays.

**Degradation and mobility shift assays**

Target proteins were in vitro transcribed and translated in rabbit reticulocyte lysate (TNT-coupled reticulocyte system; Promega; #L4600, #L4610) supplemented with 35S-methionine (IsoLabel L-35S Steady Blue, izotop; #TSM-01). Degradation/mobility-shift assays were performed in 20-µl cell extracts supplemented with 1 µl of ×20 energy regenerating mixture (see above), 1 µl of 10 mg/ml Ub solution (Boston Biochem; #U-100H), and 1 µl radio-labeled IVT product of interest. As indicated, reaction mixture was supplemented with one or more of the following reagents: 1) recombinant His-tagged UbcH10 or UbcH10DN (5 µg), 2) recombinant GST-tagged Emi1 C-terminus (1 µg), 3) Cdk1 inhibitor RO-3306 (15-30 µM; Enzo Life Sciences; #ALX-270-463-M001), 4) MG132 (20 µM; Boston Biochem; #I-130), and 5) dimethyl sulf oxide (DMSO). Reaction mixtures were incubated at 28°C, unless otherwise is indicated. Aliquots of 3–5 µl were taken every 10 to 20 min, mixed with Laemmli buffer, denatured (10 min, 95°C), and quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein samples were resolved by SDS–PAGE. Gels were soaked in a methanol/acetic acid (10%/7.5%) solution for 20 min, dried in vacuum and heat, and exposed to phosphor screen. IVT proteins were visualized by autoradiography using Typhoon FLA 9500 phosphorimager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Signal intensity was measured by ImageJ software after background subtraction and normalized to 35S signal at time 0 min (t0). All plots were created in Microsoft Excel software, version 16.20. Mean and SE were calculated from three or four independent degradation assays.

**On-chip ubiquitination and Ub-chain preference assays.** E2F8-EGFP IVT product was flowed into the chip and immobilized on the surface at the protein chamber via its EGFP tag, followed by a wash with a PBS buffer. S3 G1 extracts were mixed with 1) 0.04 mg/ml Rd-Ub (Boston Biochem; #U-600); 2) mock or 0.4 mg/ml one of the following unlabeled Ubiquitin variants: WT (Boston Biochem; #U100-H), Lys11 to Arg mutant (Boston Biochem; #UM-K11R), Lys-48 to Arg mutant (Boston Biochem; #UM-K48R), Lys-63 to Arg mutant (Boston Biochem; #UM-K63R); and 3) mock or 0.3 mg/ml His-tagged UbcH10DN (recombinant) or 0.48 mg/ml GST-tagged Emi1 C-terminus (recombinant). Next, reaction mixture was flowed to protein chambers for 10 min (RT). Unbound material was washed by HEPES buffer (50 mM). Rd-Ub levels were determined by 535 nm excitation (emission filters: 575/50). Rd-Ub signal was normalized to the immobilized protein level, as measured by 488 nm-excited GFP (emission filter: 535/25). This normalization provides net ubiquitination signal per microcompartment. Ub-chain preference of E2F8 was validated in tube using degradation assays in S3 G1 extracts to which 8 µg UbcH10 (recombinant) and 0.4 µg WT- or mutant K-to-R Ub (see above) were added.

**Image and data analysis.** LS reload microarray scanner (LS Reloaded; Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) and GenePix7.0 (Molecular Devices) image analysis software were used for analysis and presentation of the images for the figures.

For more details, see Noach-Hirsh et al. (2015).

**DEVICE FABRICATION.** The microfluidic devices were fabricated on silicone molds casting silicone elastomer polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, SYLGARD 184, Dow Corning). Each device consists of two aligned PDMS layers: the flow layer and the control layer. A mixture of a silicone-based elastomer and curing agent was prepared in two different ratios 5:1 and 20:1 for the control and flow molds, respectively. The control layer was degassed and baked for 30 min at 80°C/60 min at 90°C. The flow layer was initially spun coated (Laurell Technologies) at 1500–2000 rpm for 60 s and then baked at 80°C for 30 min. The control layer was separated from its mold and then control channel access holes were punched. The flow and control layers were aligned manually and baked for 2 h at 80°C. The two-layer device (chip) was peeled from the flow mold and flow channels access holes were punched.

**Surface chemistry.** To bind the expressed protein, we first cover the epoxy slides with biotinylated BSA (1 µg/µl; Thermo Scientific). Streptavidin (0.5 µg/µl Neutravidin; Pierce) was then introduced to interact with the biotinylated BSA layer. Next, a designated set of pneumatics valves, also known as “button valves” (Noach-Hirsh et al., 2015), were closed and a layer of biotinylated PEG (1 µg/µl; Nanocs) was used to block the protein chamber periphery, leaving the center itself exposed to avidin to which antibodies and eventually target proteins can be attached. Then, the button valves were opened and anti-GFP biotinylated antibodies (0.2 µg/µl; Abcam; #ab6658) were flowed through the device to interact with the exposed avidin at the protein chamber center. This creates an array of protein chamber with anti GFP antibodies to which EGFP-tagged E2F8 IVT product can bind. PBS buffer or PBS buffer with 1% BSA was used to wash the between steps.

**Image and data analysis.** LS Reloaded microarray scanner (LS Reloaded; Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) and GenePix7.0 (Molecular Devices) image analysis software were used for analysis and presentation of the images for the figures.

For more details, see Noach-Hirsh et al. (2015).
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