3D particle track reconstruction in a single layer cadmium-telluride hybrid active pixel detector
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Abstract In the past decades the search for neutrinoless double beta decay has driven many developments in all kind of detector technology. A new branch in this field are highly-pixelated semiconductor detectors—such as the CdTe-Timepix detectors. It comprises a cadmium-telluride sensor of 14 mm $\times$ 14 mm $\times$ 1 mm size with an ASIC which has 256 $\times$ 256 pixel of 55 $\mu$m pixel pitch and can be used to obtain either spectroscopic or timing information in every pixel. In regular operation it can provide a two dimensional projection of particle trajectories; however, three dimensional trajectories are desirable for neutrinoless double beta decay search and other applications. In this paper we present a method to obtain such trajectories. The method was developed and tested with simulations that assume some minor modifications to the Timepix ASIC. Also, we were able to test the method experimentally and in the best case achieved a position resolution of about 63 $\mu$m for electrons with an energy of 4.4 GeV.

1 Introduction

The main motivation behind the methods and experiments presented in this publication is to demonstrate the possibility of three dimensional (3D) particle trajectory reconstruction within the sensor of a hybrid active pixel detector for a neutrinoless double beta experiment. 3D tracking could help to achieve high sensitivities due to background rejection by particle identification. The neutrinoless double beta decay is a hypothetical, lepton-number violating decay where two neutrons in a nucleus are transformed into two protons and two electrons without anti-neutrino emission, e.g.\textsuperscript{1}

\begin{equation}
^{116}\text{Cd} \rightarrow ^{116}\text{Sn} + 2e^-.
\end{equation}

This lepton-number violating decay is forbidden in the standard model of particle physics. Its observation would prove that neutrinos are Majorana fermions via the Schechter–Valle theorem \cite{1}. This would require modifications in the standard model. The standard model distinguishes between neutrinos and anti-neutrinos and treats them as massless particles. The observation of neutrino oscillations already revealed that neutrinos have non-zero rest mass. If neutrinos were Majorana fermions, the small but non-zero neutrino mass (compared to the masses of the charged leptons) might be explainable with the help of sea-saw mechanisms. Therefore, besides the direct evidence for lepton-number violation, the observation of neutrinoless double beta decay would have an immense impact on our understanding of particle physics \cite{2}.

Although the search for the neutrinoless double beta decay is the main reason for our investigations, semiconductor voxel detectors could have other interesting applications as well. When using a thin sensor coupled to a pixelated ASIC, the read-out volume of single pixel is a box with pixel area as ground area and the sensor thickness as height, in our case 55 $\times$ 55 $\times$ 1000 $\mu$m$^3$. In order to resolve 3D particle tracks inside the sensor volume it is necessary to obtain position resolution along the height of the sensor. We name a detector that is able to perform this task a voxel detector.

As high resolution voxel detectors, semiconductor detectors might be used for efficient high energy single photon Compton-imaging. The direction of origin of an impinging X-ray photo could be determined by reconstructing the track of the Compton scattered electron. Further, it could allow the usage for low activity tracers in SPECT imaging as the collimator, which absorbs most of the flux, could be avoided. Additionally, Compton-imaging could be used for security application; for instance, if a method for fast and precise detection of nuclear contamination is needed \cite{3,4}.

\textsuperscript{1} The decay of $^{116}\text{Cd}$ could in principle be observed with a cadmium-telluride semiconductor detectors, wherefore this particular isotope is chosen as an example here.
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3D imaging of particle tracks could also allow to perform a variety of other important low-background experiments like the observation of the double electron capture. Summing up, the development of voxel semiconductor detectors would enhance the possibilities of detection in various fields.

In this publication we present a method for the reconstruction of such 3D trajectories through the sensor layer. It was developed and optimized with simulations. Also, the method could successfully be applied to experimental data obtained with a Timepix detector and used for the reconstruction of electron tracks through the sensor layer.

The paper is structured as follows: In the second section the Timepix detector is explained as our simulations and experiments are based on this technology. In the third section the reconstruction method is described and in the fourth section the experimental results are presented. Section 5 gives an outlook on the current detector development which could lead to a fully operable voxel detector with about 50 µm voxel size.

2 The Timepix detector

The Timepix detector (s. Fig. 1) is a pixelated hybrid semiconductor detector which was originally developed for X-ray imaging applications [5]. Hybrid in this context means that the sensitive sensor layer and the ASIC are fabricated separately and afterwards connected together via bump-bonds. This has the advantage that different semiconductor materials can be used for the sensor layer. For X-ray imaging it is desirable to have a sensor material with a high atomic number in order to achieve high absorption efficiency. Hence, besides silicon cadmium-telluride was established as a sensor material for Timepix devices. Despite the difficulty of obtaining large high quality cadmium-telluride crystals the advantage of room-temperature operation and an atomic number of 48 and 52, respectively, makes this material preferable (to germanium) for X-ray imaging applications.

A scheme of the Timepix structure and functionality is shown in Fig. 2. The Timepix used in this study consists of a 1 mm thick cadmium-telluride sensor with metal electrode pads (ohmic contacts) as pixel electrodes at the bottom and a common electrode for the high-voltage connection at the top. The sensitive sensor layer is connected pixel-by-pixel to the input pixel electrodes of the ASIC by indium-tin bump-bonds. The pitch of the input pixel electrodes is 55 µm. There are 256 × 256 pixels on the ASIC which corresponds to an active area of 1.4 × 1.4 cm². In our detector only every second pixel in each row and column is bump-bonded wherefore the effective pixel size is 110 µm. This has the advantage of better energy resolution at the cost of position resolution. The ASIC is mounted on a printed circuit board and connected to the computer by a USB-FitPix readout [6] for data transfer to the data acquisition computer which can provide up to 60 frames per second. The output data is provided in frames. Each frame is a 128 × 128 matrix of values obtained for every pixel during the frame-time. The frame-time can be preset manually to a fixed time or the frame-start/frame-stop can be controlled externally by a trigger.

The sensor layer can be biased with a voltage up to 800 V. Free electrons and holes produced by ionizing radiation are separated and afterwards drifted by an electrical field in opposite directions. While approaching the pixel electrode the charge cloud induces mirror charges in the pixel electrodes. In each pixel the induced charge is collected and converted into a triangularly shaped pulse by a Krummernacher type preamplifier with an approximate peaking time of about 100 ns. The length of the pulse is about several microseconds which is mainly determined by the falling edge of the pulse.
The gain and the slope of the falling edge of the preamplifier can be set externally by a digital-to-analog converter in the matrix periphery. The pulse in each pixel is discriminated against a global threshold.

The electric post-processing of the signal in every pixel is digital and can be carried out in three different ways. We used the detector only in two modes—the “time-over-threshold” (ToT) mode and the “time-of-arrival” (ToA) mode. Both modes are illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

In the ToT mode a digital register starts counting clock cycles when the pulse rises over the threshold. The register stops once the pulse falls below the threshold and the number of counts in-between is the time-over-threshold. The frequency of clock cycles can be chosen between 10 and 100 MHz. The time-over-threshold is related to the height of the pulse which is a measure for the energy deposited in a pixel. Thus, the time-over-threshold can provide calorimetric information about a detected event. The deposited energy and the ToT are related in a non-linear way. The energy resolution achievable in this mode is 8.0 % (FWHM) at 59.56 keV and 5.4 % (FHWM) at 122.06 keV. Details on the calibration and the energy resolution can be found in [7].

In the ToA mode the register starts counting on the rising edge of the pulse and stops only at the end of the frame. As every frame gets a global time stamp, the ToA provides a timing information for every event. It can be as good as 10 ns for every pixel if a 100 MHz clock is used. The maximum counter value is 11280 which corresponds to a frame-time of 112.8 µs at a 100 MHz clock frequency.

3 Reconstruction method

In order to reconstruct the three-dimensional structure of particle trajectories through the sensor material, it is necessary to determine the depth of interaction \( z_0 \) in the sensor for every triggered pixel \( n \) (Fig. 5). Without loss of generality, we assume that the sensor is pixelated in the xy-plane and the depth of interaction is to be calculated along the z-axis. The sensor anode is at \( z = 0 \) and the cathode is at \( z = d \); \( d \) being the sensor thickness.

3.1 Principle of reconstruction

The reconstruction is based on the charge carrier propagation mechanism in the sensor layer. Charge carriers are drifted in z-direction due to an electric field that can be approximated in a CdTe sensor layer by

\[
|E_z(z, U)| = U (f_2 + f_1z + f_3 \exp(-f_4Uz))
\]

which is an empirical fit to experimental data [8]. The parameters \( f_1 \) to \( f_4 \) are \( f_1 = (5.80 \pm 0.09) \cdot 10^5 \) m\(^{-2}\), \( f_2 = (228 \pm 7.5) \) m\(^{-1}\), \( f_3 = (540 \pm 144) \) m\(^{-1}\) and \( f_4 = (479 \pm 216) \) (Vm\(^{-1}\)). \( U \) is the voltage difference applied to the sensor volume. The drift velocity for electrons can be calculated as \( v_z(z) = \mu_zE(z) \) where \( \mu_z \) is the mobility of electrons in the z-direction (\( \mu_z \approx 1100 \) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) in CdTe). Further, the motion of a charge carrier in the sensor is described by the following equation:
We divided the total path between the anode and cathode into 20000 segments on which Eq. 2 can be approximated by a linear dependence for every segment: \( |E(U, z)| = U (a_i + b_i \cdot z) \) (for every segment \( i \), the parameters \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) are calculated). With this approximation Eq. 3 can be solved and we have a sufficient solution for \( z(t) \) on every segment.

As stated in the previous section the signal that is actually measured is the amount of charge that is induced in the pixel electrode by the charge cloud of secondary electrons during their drift through the sensor. We consider only the charge induced by the electrons and neglect the charge that is induced by the holes. The mobility of holes is \( \mu_h \approx 100 \, \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs} \), hence more than 10 times lower than the mobility of the electrons. Also the weighting potential at the cathode is less steep than at the anode. Therefore, the main contribution of the holes to the signal happens after the integration time of the preamplifier and can be neglected.

Suppose the charge \( Q^\text{dep}_n \) was released at the time \( t_0 \) above the pixel electrode \( n \) and is drifted from its initial position \( z_0^n \) over a time period \( t_d \). After this time period, the amount of charge that is induced in the pixel electrode \( Q^\text{ind}_n \) can be calculated as [9]

\[
Q^\text{ind}_n (t_d) = \left[ W^\text{pot}(z_n(t_d)) - W^\text{pot}(z_0^n) \right] Q^\text{dep}_n.
\]

The function \( W^\text{pot} \) is called the weighting potential and takes into account the geometric properties of the sensor with its pixel electrodes. It can be determined by solving the Laplace-equation for a given geometry [10]. For our geometry of electronic pads the weighting potential along the z-axis is shown in Fig. 6. It was calculated with the method of Castoldi et al. [10].

For every pixel the threshold equivalent charge (or energy) is known after it has been determined in a calibration process. We will call this quantity \( Q^\text{TTHL}_n \). Suppose this amount of charge was induced in the pixel after the time \( t_0^{\text{TTHL}} \), then Eq. 4 yields

\[
Q_n^\text{TTHL} = Q_n^\text{ind} \left( t_0^{\text{TTHL}} \right)
= \left[ W^\text{pot}(z(t_0^{\text{TTHL}})) - W^\text{pot}(z_0^n) \right] Q_n^\text{dep}.
\]

If a detector could measure \( t_0^{\text{TTHL}} \), the position \( z_0^n \) could be determined by solving Eq. 5. Since \( z_n(t) \) depends also on \( z_0^n \) (Eq. 3) in a non-trivial way, the equation cannot be solved analytically. Instead it can be evaluated numerically by iteration: We set \( z_0^n \) to \( \frac{z_n}{2} \) in the first step (\( j = 1 \)) and for every pixel we calculate the expression

\[
\Delta Q_n (z_0^n) = Q_n^\text{TTHL} - \left[ W^\text{pot}(z_n(t_0^{\text{TTHL}})) - W^\text{pot}(z_0^n) \right] Q_n^\text{dep}.
\]

Fig. 6 The weighting potential in a 1 mm thick CdTe-sensor with 110 \( \mu \text{m} \) pixel size in z-direction calculated with the method of Castoldi et al. [10].
In the case $\Delta Q > 0$ we increase $z_n^0$ by $\Delta z_{shift} = \frac{d}{\Delta 1}$ where $j$ is the step number. In the opposite case ($\Delta Q < 0$) we decrease $z_n^0$ by $\Delta z_{shift}$. The deviation of the calculated position from the actual position is smaller than $\frac{d}{\Delta 1}$ after the $j$-th step. Therefore, we used 30 steps in our analysis which produces a sufficient numerical accuracy for $z_n^0$.

However, an absolute measurement of $t^{THL}_{z_{shift}}$ would require to measure the time of interaction of the incident particle with the sensor. With a semiconductor this is impossible since the charge signal is always delayed by the time that it takes to induce a signal at the pixel electrode; and this time - in turn - depends on the depth of interaction.

What a detector can measure is a time-of-arrival for the charge signal in every pixel in the track relative to a fixed time-stamp set by the electronics $t_{THL}$. We will call this quantity $t^{THL}$. The time between $t_0$ and $t^{THL}_n$ is called $t^{THL}_n$; the time between $t_0$ and $t_1$ is referred to as $\Delta t$. This is illustrated in Fig. 7. According to Fig. 7 $\Delta t^{THL}$ can be calculated as

$$\Delta t^{THL}_n = \Delta t_n - \Delta t^{ToA}_n.$$  

(7)

In the last formula $\Delta t_n$ is unknown but this quantity is the same for every pixel. By varying $\Delta t_n$ the center of gravity in z-direction (the z-value averaged over all pixels) is shifted since the $\Delta t^{ToA}$ values are fixed. It can be calculated as

$$\langle z \rangle = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{n=0}^{N} z_n^0 Q_n^{dep}$$  

(8)

where $Q = \sum_{n=0}^{N} Q_n^{dep}$ is the total released charge in the interaction. The ratio of the anode and cathode signal depends on the average depth of interaction [11]. It can be determined after measuring the total induced charge at the anode $Q_a$ ($Q_a = Q$) and at the cathode $Q_c$ and comparing the $Q_c/Q_a$ ratio to a simulation-generated lookup-table. We will call this quantity $z^{meas}_n$.

If $\Delta t_n$ is assumed too high, then we get $\langle z \rangle^{meas} < \langle z \rangle$ because we overestimated the drift distance. In the opposite case we get $\langle z \rangle^{meas} > \langle z \rangle$ because we underestimated the drift distance. Therefore, $\Delta t_n$ can be determined in the same iterative procedure as $z_n^0$ under the condition

$$\Delta z = \langle z \rangle^{meas} - \langle z \rangle = \langle z \rangle^{meas} - \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{n=0}^{N} z_n(\Delta t_n) Q_n^{dep}.$$  

(9)

3.2 Reconstruction procedure

Based on the physics and the method described in the previous subsection a detector has to be able to measure the following quantities for a 3D track reconstruction:

- The total charge induced at the cathode $Q_c$.
- The charge released over every pixel $Q_n^{dep}$.
- The time-of-arrival of the charge signal in every pixel $\Delta t_n^{ToA}$.

Based on this information, the calibration of the detector ($Q_n^{THL}$) and the properties for the sensor layer ($\mu, E(z, U, z)$, $W_{pot}(z)$) the reconstruction algorithm operates as follows:

1. Compute numerically $z(t)$ for a given electric field configuration $E(U, z)$ in the sensor layer.
2. Determine the real average depth of interaction for the track from the $Q_c/Q_a$ ratio and the lookup-table.
3. Fix an arbitrary value for $\Delta t_n$ and vary $z_n^0$ for every pixel under the condition in Eq. 6. In practice it is reasonable to choose a value between 0 and $t_s - t_{max}$ for $\Delta t_n$ (for instance $\frac{1}{2}t_{max}$), where $t_{max}$ is the drift time from the top of the sensor layer to the bottom.
4. Vary $\Delta t_n$ under the condition given by Eq. 9 and repeat the previous step to reconstruct the correct positions $z_n^0$ for every pixel.

We tested our reconstruction method on data produced by the in-house developed Monte-Carlo simulation named ROSI [12,13]. It is based on EGS4 and has a low energy extension with the interaction code LSCAT. For each event the simulation propagates the corresponding particle through the sensor and calculates charge deposition in the sensor layer. For a background electron of 2.8 MeV$^3$ it produces about 5000–10000 interaction points along the track. Afterwards the drift of the secondary electrons and the signal generation in the pixel electrodes is simulated. The simulation takes into account the diffusion and recombination properties of CdTe.

---

2 If both sides were pixelated, the weighting potential would be flat within most of the sensor volume. Consequently $W_{pot,A}$, $W_{pot,C}$ and thus $W_{pot}$ would be almost constant within most of the sensor volume and the determination of $z^{meas}$ would not work properly. However, since the cathode is not pixelated, its weighting potential is rather linear like in a plate capacitor, which is quite different from the pixelated anode. Therefore it allows to determine $z^{meas}$ from the ratio of the anode and cathode signals.

3 2.8 MeV is the $Q$-value of the double beta decay for $^{116}$Cd. This would be the isotope under investigation in a neutrinoless double beta experiment with Timepix-based cadmium-telluride detectors.
as well as the repulsion of the charges under their electric field.

In Fig. 8a the complete track (with all interaction points) of a 2.8 MeV electron is shown and in Fig. 8b the reconstructed track. The reconstruction uses the quantities that would be obtained with a detector of 110 µm pixel size, a 1 mm thick sensor layer with 44.8 V applied bias voltage, an energy threshold of 5 keV and a time resolution of 10 ns in every pixel.

The position resolution in z-direction depends on the charge resolution (which is equivalent to the energy resolution) of the cathode and anode signals as well as on the time resolution. Figure 9a shows the dependence of the z-resolution \(FWHM_z\) on the time resolution if the energy resolution \(\sigma(E) = 2\%\) is fixed. Figure 9b illustrates how the \(FWHM_z\) depends on the energy resolution under for a fixed time resolution (10 ns).

### 4 Experimental results

For an experimental test of our method we performed two different experiments. For both experiments we used a Timepix detector with a 1 mm thick cadmium-telluride sensor. The detector was bump-bonded and assembled by X-ray Imaging Europe GmbH. The energy threshold of our particular detector was about 7 keV. We used the detector at a bias voltage of 44.8 V. The reason for this is to increase the drift time of the electrons to preferably high values. In contrast to the usual application of imaging where the drift time should be as low as possible for fast counting, we need it to be rather long. The maximum clock frequency that could be used was 100 MHz which corresponds to pulses of 10 ns length. At the usual voltage (500 V) the drift time is about 20 ns which is faster than the peaking time after the preamplifier (about 100 ns). We need the electron drift time to be significantly larger than that in order to measure drift time differences between individual pixels. According to our simulation the drift time at 44.8 V is about 200 ns. We chose a bias of about 45 V but not lower since the charge collection efficiency saturates at about 40–50 V. Hence, the chosen voltage is a reasonable tradeoff between a sufficient charge collection efficiency for a good signal in each pixel and a large drift time for a “time-of-flight” position reconstruction.
Before the measurements we performed a global ToT calibration for the detector. The method of calibration is described in [7]. We used two calibration energies: 59.56 keV from $^{241}$Am and 80.99 keV from $^{133}$Ba.

### 4.1 Reconstruction of $\alpha$-particle energy deposition distributions

Originally, in our method the z-position (depth of interaction) for every pixel triggered in an event is reconstructed from the simultaneous measurement of the total deposited energy, the energy deposited in every pixel and a timing information in every pixel. However, according to Eq. 5 the method can also be adapted to reconstruct the energy deposition in every pixel from the timing information, the total deposited energy and the knowledge of the z-positions. In this case the quantity $Q_n^{ind}$ (which is equivalent to the deposited energy) for every pixel is calculated numerically in 30 iterations with Eq. 6.

With the Timepix it is impossible to obtain all of the three quantities simultaneously. Nonetheless, in order to show the functionality of the method, it was possible to overcome this disadvantage in the following way: We placed a $^{241}$Am source with an activity of about 310 kBq close to the sensor surface. The source emits $\alpha$-particles that deposit a particular energy within the first 15 $\mu$m of the sensor layer. Therefore, within good accuracy $z_n^0 = 0$ can be used for all pixels in the reconstruction which removes one parameter.

First, we measured the energy deposition distribution per pixel in the ToT mode. A typical event cluster in ToT mode is shown in Fig. 10a. The colour denotes the deposited energy in keV. A cluster is a set of pixels which are direct neighbours. Usually, there are several such clusters in a single frame. An algorithm recognizes the clusters and they are treated as individual events in the further analysis. By summing up all the energy values in the cluster we obtained the total deposited energy. The total deposited energy is linearly correlated with the cluster size (the number of triggered pixel in an event) as shown in Fig. 11. As the cluster size is not affected by the mode of operation, we can use this correlation to determine the total deposited energy for $\alpha$-events measured in the ToA mode.

As next, we recorded a similar number of events in the ToA and the ToT mode. A typical event in ToT, ToA and energy reconstructed from ToA are shown in Fig. 10a–c, respectively. Apparently, the dynamic range in the ToA data is lower since the total drift-time across the sensor layer is 200 ns and the clock that we used for this experiment was 48 MHz. Therefore, the maximum number of time slices is limited to 5.

A comparison between the energy distribution obtained in the ToT mode and reconstructed from the data in ToA mode is shown in Fig. 12. The fraction of energy deposited in a pixel is plotted versus the distance from the center of the event. The discrepancy has multiple reasons: In the central region of the cluster the amount of released charge is so high that the induced charge goes over the threshold very quickly and the drift time differences cannot be resolved with a resolution of 21 ns. Additionally, the charge is distributed among the pixels by charge sharing but we treat them as if the charge was initially released in the pixels. The result of this experiment suggests that the method has to be improved in the case of charge sharing but also highlights that the method works in principle.

### 4.2 Reconstruction of electron tracks

#### 4.2.1 Experimental design

The second experiment was intended to demonstrate that 3D tracks can be reconstructed. Again, as the Timepix in its current version cannot measure all necessary quantities at the
same time, we designed our experiment in such a way that the parameters that cannot be measured by the detector directly, can be accessed and determined otherwise.

We used the Timepix in a so-called mixed matrix mode and took advantage of the fact that minimal ionizing particles deposit roughly the same energy in every pixel along its track. The Timepix allows to assign every pixel individually a mode of operation either ToA or ToT. For our experiment we chose the modes in a checkerboard arrangement—every pixel has a diagonal neighbour that is in the same mode and an off-diagonal neighbour that is in the different mode. It is a reasonable approximation to assume that minimal ionizing particles deposit nearly the same energy in every pixel along its track. The Timepix allows to assign every pixel individually a mode of operation either ToA or ToT. For our experiment we chose the modes in a checkerboard arrangement—every pixel has a diagonal neighbour that is in the same mode and an off-diagonal neighbour that is in the different mode. It is a reasonable approximation to assume that minimal ionizing particles deposit nearly the same energy in every pixel along its track.

Hence, one can determine the energy deposited in a pixel that runs in the ToA mode by calculating the average value of deposited energy in the surrounding triggered ToT pixels. The second advantage of minimal ionizing particles is that they propagate through the complete sensor on an almost straight line. Thus, we know that the average z-position of particles depositing energy in the sensor layer is a reasonable approximation to assume that minimal ionizing particles deposit nearly the same energy in every pixel along its track. The Timepix allows to assign every pixel individually a mode of operation either ToA or ToT. For our experiment we chose the modes in a checkerboard arrangement—every pixel has a diagonal neighbour that is in the same mode and an off-diagonal neighbour that is in the different mode. It is a reasonable approximation to assume that minimal ionizing particles deposit nearly the same energy in every pixel along its track.

Secondly, the electron beam was in x-direction while the sensor layer was positioned under two different angles to the beam direction. In one case the angle was about 42° and we obtained short tracks of 8–9 pixels on average and in the other case (10°) the tracks were 55–56 pixels long in average. The frame-time was 120 μs wherefore two tracks never overlap on one frame. We took data in the mixed, the ToT and the ToA mode.

4.2.2 Data analysis and results

For the evaluation of the resolution that we achieved in z-direction we performed a selection of tracks and sorted out tracks which are not meaningful due to the following criteria: first, we rejected tracks where at least one pixel counted a ToA value of at least 9465 which is the maximal ToA value that can be expected with the used frame time and clock frequency. With this cut, tracks were ignored which occurred shortly before or right at the beginning of the frame. For these tracks the exact moments of detection cannot be determined due to the ToA measurement principle of the Timepix. Secondly, the electron beam was in x-direction while the detector was placed in the yz-plane and then tilted along the y-axis. Thus, as the tracks from minimal ionizing events are straight lines, meaningful events should trigger pixels mostly in one x-column. For events which do not produce straight lines due to scattering in the sensor our reconstruction will give false results since the assumption \( \langle z \rangle^{\text{meas}} = \frac{d}{2} \) is not fulfilled. Hence, we used the following additional criterion for event selection: Only tracks which had twice as much triggered pixels in one x-column than in other columns combined are taken into account. Since hardly any long tracks fulfilled this criteria, we used only the short tracks for the further analysis. At last, we used only tracks with at least 10 triggered pixels to avoid background from low energy events. Figure 13a–d show a sample of tracks before and after event
Fig. 14 A reconstructed track of a typical short and long track after reconstruction in comparison to the real track. The tracks go parallel to the x-axis wherefore the y-values are the same for all voxels selection in the mixed-mode and in the ToA mode, respectively.

The experiment with α-particles showed that our algorithm can give unsatisfying results for charge sharing pixels. Therefore, we removed pixels which are not in the main x-column as these are probably due to charge sharing. Also, we removed pixels with the highest y-coordinate values as these are most probably due to charge sharing from the pixels where the electron entered and left the sensor layer.

A typical track in comparison to the expected track for each case (long and short) is shown in Fig. 14a, b, respectively. At this point it is important to mention that the algorithm is not biased in any way to reconstruct a straight line. The fact that the result of reconstruction is a straight line indeed is a strong indicator that our method works properly. The reconstructed points are scattered close around the actual particle trajectory which indicates a meaningful result.

We calculated the z-resolution as the root mean square (RMS), where the reference z-value in every point was determined by a line fitted through the pixels with the highest and the lowest y-values (green line in Fig. 14). This line is what comes closest to the real particle trajectory. The results are summarized in Table 1. Figure 15a, b shows the deviations of the reconstructed z-position from the actual track for events measured in mixed mode (a) and ToA mode (b).

Table 1 Results on the z-resolution obtained in different modes of operation

|                      | Mixed mode (µm) | ToA mode |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Neighbours averaging | 119             | –        |
| All pixel at 228 keV | 91              | 66 µm    |
| All pixel at 176 keV | 89              | 63 µm    |

Fig. 15 The deviations of the reconstructed z-position from the actual track for events measured in mixed mode (a) and ToA mode (b).
The experiments were limited to straight tracks from minimal ionizing particles since the available detectors cannot provide all the information required for reconstruction. Nonetheless the next generation of Timepix detectors (Timepix3) [14] will provide a simultaneous read-out of timing and energy deposition information per pixel. Also the readout of a backside signal could be included as for a coplanar-grid-type of detector. With a proposed time resolution of 1.6 ns, a voxel detector with about 50 µm voxel size can be expected. A Timepix3 detector with a CdTe sensor could therefore provide an interesting opportunity for new imaging techniques with voxel detectors.

5 Conclusions and outlook

In this publication we presented and evaluated a method to reconstruct 3D particle trajectories through a sensor layer of a pixelated semiconductor detector. Our simulations suggest that a z-resolution of about 40–50 µm can be achieved under realistic detector performance assumptions.

As a major result, we successfully demonstrated that the method works experimentally and a particle track can be reconstructed from actual data. The achieved z-resolution was 63 µm in the best case.
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