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The ezh2(sa1199) mutant zebrafish display no distinct phenotype
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Abstract

Polycomb group (PcG) proteins are essential regulators of epigenetic gene silencing and development. The PcG protein enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (Ezh2) is a key component of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 and is responsible for placing the histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) repressive mark on the genome through its methyltransferase domain. Ezh2 is highly conserved in vertebrates. We studied the role of ezh2 during development of zebrafish with the use of a mutant allele (ezh2(sa1199), R18STOP), which has a stop mutation in the second exon of the ezh2 gene. Two versions of the same line were used during this study. The first and original version of zygotic ezh2(sa1199) mutants unexpectedly retained ezh2 expression in brain, gut, branchial arches, and eyes at 3 days post-fertilization (dpf), as revealed by in-situ hybridization. Moreover, the expression pattern in homozygous mutants was identical to that of wild types, indicating that mutant ezh2 mRNA is not subject to nonsense mediated decay (NMD) as predicted. Both wild type and ezh2 mutant embryos presented edemas at 2 and 3 dpf. The line was renewed by selective breeding to counter select the non-specific phenotypes and survival was assessed. In contrast to earlier studies on ezh2 mutant zebrafish, ezh2(sa1199) mutants survived until adulthood. Interestingly, the ezh2 mRNA and Ezh2 protein were present during adulthood (70 dpf) in both wild type and ezh2(sa1199) mutant zebrafish. We conclude that the ezh2 (sa1199) allele does not exhibit an ezh2 loss-of-function phenotype.
Introduction

In biology in general and certainly in the field of zebrafish biology, there is a major interest to understand how individual proteins contribute to development and tissue homeostasis. The primary approach to achieve this goal is generating loss-of-function mutations in protein coding genes. Specific and inducible genome editing through site-directed mutagenesis by zinc finger nucleases, TALENs, or CRISPR-Cas9 [1–3], are routine now, but these approaches are still expensive and time consuming. Knockdown by morpholino treatment, on the other hand, is a relatively quick assay to produce phenotypes; however, this approach requires rigorous controls [4]. As much as 18% of morpholinos has been predicted to have off-target effects [5], and in some cases morpholinos lead to p53-activation-related cell death [6]. Moreover, morpholino effects persist no longer than about 5 days post-fertilization (dpf), and this makes studies on larval stages beyond 5 dpf virtually impossible. For reverse genetic screens, random mutagenesis is favorable for efficiency and cost-effectiveness. Alkylating agents such as ENU (N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea) and EMS (Ethyl methanesulfonate) have been used extensively in the last decades due to their high in-vivo potency for random mutagenesis. The development of the TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes) method at the start of the 2000s [7] has revolutionized zebrafish functional genomics [8] by enabling larger and faster forward and reverse genetics screens. The development of this method resulted in the Zebrafish Mutation Project in the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute [9], with extensive collaborative effort. The project aimed to produce a complete set of mutant zebrafish lines for every protein-coding gene. F3-generation embryos, spawned by heterozygous F2 generation in-crosses, were monitored until 5 dpf for phenotypes. Mutants and carrier alleles from the Zebrafish Mutation Project are publicly available [9].

Mutant alleles serve as a great tool to study (the impairment of) gene regulation during embryonic development. Although every cell in an organism has the same DNA sequence, cells (and tissues) gain different functions during development through regulation of gene expression. Epigenetics is the field of biology which explains how covalent modifications on the genome affect gene expression, and as a result, cellular function. Research on the function of proteins involved in the epigenetic control of gene expression takes a crucial role in our understanding of how correct development and tissue homeostasis proceed. The Polycomb Group (PcG) proteins are essential transcriptional gene repressors which are highly conserved in all vertebrates. PcG proteins function mainly in two Polycomb Repressive Complexes (PRC): PRC1 and PRC2. PRC2 places the repressive histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) mark on the genome through the methyltransferase Ezh2. This mark recruits PRC1, which is thought to stabilize the H3K27me3 mark through the mono-ubiquitylation of histone H2A lysine 119 (H2AK119Ub1) by the RING family of E3 ubiquitin ligases. These two interrelated functions of PRC1 and PRC2, in turn, cause compaction of DNA around histone proteins, and are thought to impede transcription by limiting the RNA Polymerase II accessibility to specific genes [10–12]. PcG mutations have received particular attention due to their association with embryonic lethality and cancer in placentals [13]; therefore, correct characterization of PcG protein function is essential for treatment prospects in human diseases [14,15].

Within PRC2, the methyltransferase Ezh2 has been extensively studied for its catalytic activity. The Ezh2 protein has two domains, WD-binding (the WD40-repeat-containing domain) and SET (the Su(var)3-9, Enhancer-of-zeste and Trithorax), which are also highly conserved in vertebrates [16]. The WD-binding domain, located near the N-terminus (amino acids 39–68 in zebrafish [16]) predominantly regulates the interaction between PRC2 components Eed and Ezh2 [17,18]. The SET domain, located near the C-terminus (amino acids 626–747 in zebrafish [16]) regulates the methyltransferase function of the Ezh2-PRC2 complex [19]. In mice,
Ezh2 knockout causes early embryonic lethality [20], therefore, its function has been investigated through conditional tissue-specific knockouts [21–28]. According to the majority of these studies, the functions of Ezh2 in mice can be summarized in three categories: Ezh2 is crucial for normal cell proliferation in tissues, it regulates correct transcription of tissue specific genes, and it contributes to correct tissue maintenance. For instance, Ezh2-overexpressing hematopoietic stem cells that are transplanted into immune-compromised mice restore long-term (blood) repopulation potential; stem cell exhaustion is thereby prevented [29]. Recently, there is accumulating evidence for redundancy of Ezh1 in setting the H3K27me3 mark [30], albeit with lower activity [31], that may prevent the full PRC2-null phenotype as a result of tissue specific Ezh2 knockout. Tissue specific knockout of Eed, another essential subunit of the PRC2 complex, on the other hand, prevents formation of the PRC2 complex and results in complete loss of the H3K27me3 mark [32].

Early zebrafish development is highly synchronized under laboratory conditions, rendering this model organism suitable for epigenetic research. Moreover, the possibility to obtain 200–600 embryos at the same stage of development (per clutch) facilitates the sampling process for experimental techniques in epigenetics, which require large numbers of cells/donors. Studies on the establishment of epigenetic marks during early zebrafish development have given important insights on how the repressive functions of PcG proteins instruct developmental programs before tissue specification [33–35]. However, how the PRC2 complex regulates zebrafish organogenesis and tissue homeostasis is not completely understood. Therefore, studying PcG function in (mutant) zebrafish after tissue specification can shed light on epigenetic regulation of tissue homeostasis.

We previously studied the loss of ezh2 during early zebrafish development with the mutant allele ezh2(hu5670), which presents a nonsense mutation upstream of the methyltransferase domain [16]. Accordingly, maternal-zygotic ezh2(hu5670) mutant embryos form a normal body plan despite major differences in gene expression during the early hours of development compared to wild type embryos and die at 2 dpf exhibiting a range of phenotypes, including loss of myocardial integrity and suspected terminal differentiation defects in liver and pancreas. Zygotic ezh2(hu5670) mutants show no apparent phenotype at 5 dpf, but die around 11 dpf with intestinal and hepatic maintenance defects [36]. Similarly, zygotic ezh2(ul2) nonsense mutants generated by Dupret and colleagues [37] with the use of TALENs technology [3] show intestinal and pancreatic maintenance defects and lethality at 12 dpf. Moreover, these ezh2(hu5670) and ezh2 (ul2) mutants present reduced H3K27me3 levels. Overall, these key studies support an essential role for Ezh2 in the epigenetic control of tissue maintenance and survival in zebrafish.

The study described here, aimed to investigate the effect of the loss of zygotic ezh2 expression during zebrafish development. In an attempt to further our insight on Ezh2 function, the mutant allele ezh2(sa1199) generated by the Zebrafish Mutation Project was studied. From our observations on the development and survival of ezh2(sa1199) embryos, the original line provided had background mutations, displaying lethality around 2 dpf and a non-specific edema phenotype in mutants and wild types alike. We subsequently obtained another ezh2(sa1199) line in which background mutations were eliminated by selective breeding. Unexpectedly, in these mutants, no embryonic or larval phenotypes were observed, and the fish survived until adulthood. We conclude that the ezh2(sa1199) nonsense mutant line does not present a loss-of-function phenotype.

Materials and methods

Zebrafish husbandry and strains

All adult fish were maintained under standard conditions in a 14:10 light/dark cycle. Embryos were reared in E3 medium at 28.5°C [38] and staged following Kimmel et al. [39]. Two
different versions of the *ezh2(sa1199)* nonsense mutant allele (R18STOP, CTGGAGGC
GCC>TGAGTGAAGTC) were obtained from the Zebrafish Mutation Project [9], through Zebra-
fish International Resource Center. Mutant embryos were generated by in-crossing heterozy-
gous carriers. Adult lines were renewed and maintained by out-crossing the carrier line with
wild type Tubingen Long Fin (TLF) adults. European animal welfare laws and protocols were
strictly followed and approved after ethical testing by Central Committee for Animal Experi-
mentation (CCD) of the Netherlands in all experimental procedures.

**Genotyping**

Embryos (2–3 dpf) were briefly anesthetized in 2-phenoxyethanol (0.1% v/v) and their caudal
fins were clipped with a clean microsurgical blade. Individual fin-clips were lysed in 25 mM
NaOH and 0.2 mM EDTA at 95°C and subsequently neutralized with an equal volume of 40 mM
Tris-HCl (pH predicted to be ~5). Three different genotyping methods were developed. For
amplification prior to Sanger sequencing, forward (5’-CATGGACATCTTTGGGTCCT-
3’) and reverse (5’-ACACACATGCAACTGGACTC-3’) primers were used. Allele
specific genotyping was done by combining a common forward primer (5’-AGATGTG
CACTCTAGTGTGTGATAC-3’) with reverse primers that differentially
detect mutant (5’-GCATGTACTCAGACTTCACTA
AC-3’) and wild type (5’-GCATG
TACTCAGACTCAGTAC-3’) alleles, respectively, allowing 1 mismatch (in bold). The muta-
tion site is underlined. The third method applied a PCR amplification
(5’-ATGGGATTGACCGGGAGGAAATC-3’, reverse 5’-CTCTCTGGTTCCACGCAAGGAG-3’) fol-
lowed by enzymatic digestion with HphI; due to the C>T mutation, the mutant allele gains a
HphI restriction site, while the PCR product of the wild type allele remains uncut upon HphI
incubation. All primers flanked the mutation site in exon 2.

**Whole mount in-situ hybridization**

Embryos (3 dpf) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (w/v) overnight at 4°C, and whole
mount in-situ hybridization was performed as previously described [16]. The stained embryos
were mounted in 3% methylcellulose in water (w/v). The mounted embryos were covered with
a thin layer of PBST during stereo-microscopic (Leica MZ FLIII) imaging.

**Survival assay**

Embryos (3 dpf) were genotyped by a combination of PCR and HphI digestion as described
above. Wild-type (N = 18) and mutant (N = 21) larvae were transferred to the juvenile hus-
bandry system in separate tanks at 5 dpf. Dead larvae were collected daily to assess survival.

**Quantitative real time PCR**

Wild type (N = 2) and ezh2(sa1199) mutant (N = 2) adult siblings at 70 dpf were mechanically
homogenized in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher) and total RNA was isolated as described elsewhere
[40]. Total RNA was reverse transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen, 18080093) and poly-
dT primers. Standard qPCR was performed with the use of SYBR Green (iQ SYBR Green
Supermix, BioRad, 1708880) to detect mRNA levels of *ezh1* and *ezh2*, relative to reference
genes β-*actin* and *ef1α* in technical triplicates. RT-qPCR primers are shown in S1 Table.

**Western blotting**

Adult (70 dpf) wild type and mutant siblings of the ezh2(sa1199) allele were sacrificed in
2-phenoxyethanol (0.2% v/v). Individual fish were decapitated and the body was lysed in
protein lysis buffer (50mM Tris pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, Roche protease inhibitor cocktail). After homogenization, 30 μg protein sample was loaded on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel. Fixed larval (7 dpf) wild type and mutant siblings of the ezh2(sa1199) allele were lysed in 50 μl RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate) in pools of 5, and SDS was added to reach a final concentration of 2% (v/v). Protein samples were incubated in a thermomixer at 600 rpm for 20 minutes at 100˚C, followed by 2 hours at 80˚C. Samples were subsequently sonicated 2 times for 15 seconds (Pico Bioruptor), cleared by centrifugation at 4˚C at 16,000 g for 12 minutes, and loaded on a 4–15% gradient protein gel (Biorad, Mini-Protean TGX). Adult (70 dpf) and larval (7 dpf) SDS-PAGE bands were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes for anti-Ezh2 (Cell Signaling Technologies, Ezh2 (Cell Signaling Technology, D2C9 XP), anti-β-actin (Sigma), and anti-H3K27me3 (Millipore) antibody staining. After incubation with the secondary antibody (Life Technologies, Alexa Fluor 800), the signal was visualized via Odyssey CLx Western Blot Detection System, and the anti-H3K27me3 bands were quantified by the gel analysis tool on Image J software, relative to anti-β-actin loading control.

Assessment of water quality

System water samples (12–15 ml) from erroneous and correct piping were collected and acidified with 1–5% (v/v) HNO₃ to keep metals in solution. Aluminum (Al), Calcium (Ca), Cadmium (Cd), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Potassium (K), Magnesium (Mg), Manganese (Mn), Sodium (Na), Phosphorus (P), Sulfur (S), Silicium (Si), and Zinc (Zn) absorptions were measured by inductively coupled plasma—optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Concentrations were calculated in parts per billion (ppb) and a ratio of erroneous and correct piping was taken for comparison of element concentrations.

Results

To study the loss of ezh2 during zebrafish development, we scanned the Zebrafish Mutation Project [9] for available loss-of-function mutations for this gene. We identified the ezh2 (sa1199) allele, which has a nonsense mutation that causes a truncation at arginine 18 (R18STOP) in exon 2. Nonsense mutations in the same gene are predicted to show allelic heterogeneity, i.e. displaying similar phenotypes. This third mutant allele could complement the published mutant alleles ezh2(ul2) [36] and ezh2(hu5670) [16], which have nonsense mutations at amino acids 60 and 592 (Fig 1A), respectively.

Genotyping ezh2(sa1199) mutants

Next to Sanger sequencing, we developed two genotyping methods to distinguish wild type, heterozygous, and homozygous mutant siblings. The ezh2 mutant embryos were generated by in-crossing ezh2(sa1199) heterozygous adults. The two methods, allele-specific PCR amplification of the region flanking the mutation site and PCR amplification combined with restriction digestion by the enzyme HphI, both confirmed the predicted genotypes (Fig 1B). Allele specific PCR genotyping was verified by Sanger sequencing (Fig 1C). By all methods, ezh2(sa1199) sibling genotypes were confirmed to be in line with the predicted Mendelian ratio after an in-cross (Fig 1D).

The ezh2(sa1199) zebrafish line expresses ezh2 and shows a non-specific phenotype

In mutant alleles such as ezh2(sa1199), which translate into a stop codon, nonsense mediated decay (NMD) mechanisms are predicted to operate at the mRNA level [41–43]. Therefore, we
predicted little to no ezh2 expression in ezh2(sa1199) mutant embryos by 3 dpf. To test this, we performed whole mount in-situ hybridization (WISH) for expression of ezh2 mRNA in
Surprisingly, *ezh2* mRNA expression patterns were highly comparable between wild types, heterozygotes, and homozygous mutant embryos at 3 dpf (Fig 2A, left panel). In all embryos tested, *ezh2* expression was visible in brain, eyes, branchial arches, and gut. This observation contrasts strongly with earlier studies [16,36,37], where *ezh2* expression in mutant embryos is severely suppressed at 3 dpf. Indeed, WISH on zygotic *ezh2* (*hu5670*) in-crossed siblings showed that *ezh2* expression is decreased in heterozygotes and about undetectable in mutants by 3 dpf (Fig 2A, right panel).

During the development of *ezh2(sa1199)* siblings, severe abnormalities were seen, both in homozygous mutant and in wild type embryos. Brain and heart edemas were present, with defects in blood circulation and spinal curvature throughout clutches of embryos from different parents (Fig 2B). This phenotype affected survival of the embryos. Although increased lethality was observed amongst mutants as of 2 dpf, embryos from all genotypes showed decreased survival. Swim bladder development was also affected, which might have contributed to lethality in larvae after 5 dpf. Zebrafish larvae which cannot inflate their swim bladders cannot rise to the water surface to reach good quality feed, and eventually die during early larval stages.

One may predict that lines derived from ENU-mutagenized libraries will retain background mutations. The effect of these possible mutations on embryonic phenotypes can be circumvented by selectively breeding the adult carrier pairs which produce healthy embryos, and subsequently out-crossing them with wild types. Such an *ezh2(sa1199)* line was obtained from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute.

### Out-crossed *ezh2(sa1199)* mutants show no lethality

During the development of out-crossed *ezh2(sa1199)* embryos, no non-specific phenotypes or edemas were observed. Next, survival of the out-crossed line was assessed by comparing the viability of wild type and mutant larvae. Surprisingly, no lethality in homozygous mutants occurred, as the fish successfully reached adulthood (Fig 3A). This observation contrasts strongly with recent data on zygotic *ezh2(sa1199)*, *ezh2(hu5670)*, and *ezh2(ul2)* mutants, which survive on average for 7, 11, and 12 days, respectively [44,36,37].

By the time the *ezh2(sa1199)* line reached adulthood, all observed mutants developed an abnormal jaw structure; the mutant fish could not close their mouth, while jaws of wild type siblings were normal (Fig 3B). Concurrently, during a routine water quality check for various elements, a higher (33.46 ppb) than normal (1 ppb) copper concentration was measured in the system where wild type and mutant zebrafish in the *ezh2(sa1199)* background were housed (S1A Fig). High copper concentrations are known to cause developmental defects and mortality, particularly due to cellular stress of ion transporting cells in the gill epithelium in zebrafish [45] and tilapia [46]. Gills develop from the pharyngeal arches which house craniofacial cell precursors [47]. Initially, because the open mouth phenotype was detected only in the mutants, we suspected a delayed Polycomb group protein-related phenotype. Mice with conditional Ezh2 knockout [48] and zebrafish mutant for rnf2, the catalytic subunit of PRC1 [49], do have craniofacial abnormalities. However, whether the craniofacial phenotype seen in homozygous mutants is related to *ezh2* loss is inconclusive.

Existing literature and earlier observations in our laboratory strongly favor the notion that *ezh2* mutant zebrafish have a Polycomb group protein-related phenotype and do not survive until adulthood. Yet, the observation that *ezh2* mRNA may be exempt from nonsense mediated decay in *ezh2(sa1199)* mutants (Fig 2A, left panel) prompted the assessment of Ezh2 mRNA and protein levels in 70 dpf wild type and *ezh2* mutant adult zebrafish. We euthanized and decapitated *ezh2(sa1199)* mutant and wild type individuals and lysed the body for real-
Fig 2. *ezh2(sa1199)* zebrafish line has non-specific phenotypes. A. Whole mount in-situ hybridization for ezh2 on 3 dpf ezh2 (sa1199) (left panel) and ezh2(hu5670) (right panel) embryos. Left panel. Wild type siblings (top) show ezh2 expression in the brain, eyes, branchial arches, and the gut (white arrowheads). Heterozygotes (middle) and ezh2(sa1199) mutants (bottom) show the same...
expression pattern; they are phenotypically comparable to wild types and have the same spatiotemporal ezh2 expression pattern. Right panel. Wild type siblings (top) show similar ezh2 expression to ezh2(sa1199) in-cross embryos (white arrowheads). Heterozygotes (middle) show decreased expression, and ezh2 is barely detectable in ezh2(hu5670) mutants (bottom). Scale bar: 500 μm. Numbers indicate the number of embryos displaying the shown expression pattern per total number of embryos tested. B. Left panel shows normal wild type and mutant embryos at 3 and 2 dpf, respectively. The right panel shows 2 and 3 dpf wild type and mutant embryos, respectively, with yolk sac, heart, and brain edemas (white arrowheads) and spinal curvatures (black arrowheads).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210217.g002

time quantitative PCR analysis of ezh1 and ezh2 mRNA (Fig 3C) and Western blot analysis of Ezh2 protein (Fig 3D, upper panel, S1B Fig). No significant differences were detected in ezh1 and ezh2 mRNA levels between ezh2(sa1199) mutants and wild types. Ezh2 protein was detectable in the body in all samples, regardless of genotype (Fig 3D, upper panel), whereas it was absent in the head of wild types and mutants (S1B Fig). Interestingly, at 7 dpf, levels of the repressive H3K27me3 mark were decreased 41.8% in ezh2(sa1199) mutant larvae compared to wild types (Fig 3D, lower panel, S1C Fig). The anti-Ezh2 antibody utilized for this experiment is known to be specific for zebrafish and is absent in maternal-zygotic ezh2 mutants (S1D Fig).[16,50]. Thus, in ezh2(sa1199) mutants, ezh2 mRNA is not subjected to NMD and despite the presence of an R18STOP mutation, Ezh2 protein is still translated.

Fig 3. Selectively out-crossed ezh2(sa1199) survives until adulthood. A. Survival graph of ezh2(sa1199). Survival assay was performed with ezh2(sa1199) in-crossed wild type (N = 18, blue line) and mutant (N = 21, orange line) siblings until 46 dpf. Some larval lethality during raising was seen both in wild types and mutants. The graph indicates that the survival of homozygous ezh2(sa1199) mutants is not significantly different from wild type siblings. B. Pictures of wild type and mutant ezh2(sa1199) adult siblings. While wild types have normal jaws (left), adult ezh2(sa1199) mutants (right) display an open mouth phenotype (black arrowhead). C. RT-qPCR measurement of ezh1 and ezh2 mRNA in ezh2(sa1199) adults. The (decapitated) body of 70 dpf wild type (blue) and mutant (orange) individuals were lysed and the presence of ezh1 and ezh2 mRNA was quantified and normalized to the reference genes β-actin and ef1a. The ezh1 (p = 0.9509) and ezh2 (p = 0.1493) mRNA levels were not significantly altered in mutants. D. Ezh2 protein in single 70 dpf adults and H3K27me3 in 7 dpf pooled larvae. The presence of Ezh2 was tested in wild type (N = 3, left) and mutant (N = 4, right) bodies at 70 dpf, where Ezh2 expression persists (upper panel). At 7 dpf, mutant larvae (right) show 41.8% decreased levels of the H3K27me3 mark compared to wild type (left) larvae (lower panel) and relative to β-actin loading control. For each Western blot, representative bands were cropped from the same blot and shown in boxes for clarity of presentation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210217.g003
Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the effects of zygotic loss of ezh2 during zebrafish development. Our hypothesis was that zygotic ezh2 mutant zebrafish would develop defects in tissue maintenance and consequently die at early larval stages. This hypothesis was supported by our earlier published research on zygotic ezh2(hu5670) mutants [36] and by Dupret and colleagues on the ezh2(ul2) allele [37]. In zygotic ezh2(hu5670) and ezh2(ul2) mutants, larval intestines are underdeveloped and cannot be maintained due to the loss of ezh2, followed by lethality around 10–12 dpf. We speculate that the ezh2(sa1199) stop mutation upstream of the WD-binding multimerization domain might cause a (more severe or) earlier phenotype, due to the function of the domain in PRC2 complex formation [17,18]. Monitoring the development of ezh2(sa1199) mutants, we concluded that there were secondary problems in the embryos, such as unexplained and non-specific edemas in wild types and mutants alike. The renewal of the line by selective breeding, out-crosses with wild types, and screening against the non-specific phenotype eliminated these edemas in wild type and ezh2 mutant embryos alike. However, following several experiments, we did not observe any ezh2 phenotype as seen and reported in published work on the (maternal) zygotic ezh2(hu5670) and zygotic ezh2(ul2) mutant alleles [16,36,37].

The ezh2(sa1199) line we first worked with showed multi-level problems regardless of genotype, and were most likely caused by background mutations after random ENU mutagenesis. The rate and frequency of mutations upon ENU treatment can be highly variable and dependent on the experimental conditions [51]. Edemas in zebrafish have been associated with environmental [52] or morpholino-induced toxicity [53], loss of gene function [54,55], lymphatic system and kidney failures [56,57], and they often coincide with tail curvature [58]. We speculate that the lethality and edemas observed at 2 dpf relate to background mutations.

The selectively bred ezh2(sa1199) line, interestingly, survived into adulthood with no apparent embryonic or larval phenotype. This observation contrasts with published research on the ezh2(sa1199) allele: ezh2(sa1199) mutants showed decreased Ezh2 protein and H3K27me1/2/3 levels at 2 dpf, and lethality at 7 dpf [44]. Moreover, the loss of ezh2 affected the expression of circadian clock genes [44]. Our results do not corroborate the abovementioned study, and rather matches current observations made by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, which indicate no phenotype until 5 dpf for this allele during the preparation of this manuscript ([9], https://www.sanger.ac.uk/sanger/Zebrafish_Zmpgene/ENSDARG00000010571#sa1199).

Although the ezh2(sa1199) mutants reached adulthood, they manifested an “open mouth” phenotype. Craniofacial abnormalities can be caused by PcG mutations, amongst others [47,48,49]. As these mutants were otherwise seemingly healthy adults, we concluded that the ‘open-mouth’ phenotype does not affect food intake.

When we detected abnormal water copper levels (resulting from a placement of copper pipes) in the zebrafish system, we evaluated copper as a possible cause for craniofacial abnormalities in the ezh2(sa1199) mutant adults. Effects of copper exposure on zebrafish embryos and adults have been studied by many research groups. In adult zebrafish, decreased oxidative capacity in liver and gill are observed upon water copper exposure [59]. Importantly, disruption of copper metabolism in early development as opposed to overexposure leads to pharyngeal arch deformities [60,61]. These findings illustrate the possibility that copper intoxication may have resulted in a jaw phenotype in this study. Further, the likelihood that ezh2(sa1199) mutants are more sensitive to environmental toxicity than wild types, which could be caused by lower H3K27me3 levels at 7 dpf, might explain the mutant-specific phenotype seen in this study.
Whole mount in-situ hybridization (WISH) for *ezh2* in 3 dpf embryos, and RT-qPCR on 70 dpf adults show that there is no reduction in *ezh2* mRNA levels in *ezh2(sa1199)* mutants. Western blot analysis on 70 dpf mutant adults further indicates that Ezh2 protein is translated. These two experiments were done in different *ezh2(sa1199)* lines from different age groups; the WISH experiment at 3 dpf in the line with non-specific edemas, the latter 70 dpf experiments in the line which survived until adulthood. We speculate similarities in the transcription and translation patterns between these two different generations of the *ezh2(sa1199)* line. Taken together, the results of the two experiments strongly suggest that the R18STOP mutation in the *ezh2(sa1199)* allele does not result in a loss-of-function mutation, as *ezh2* mRNA and Ezh2 protein were both present in these *ezh2* mutants.

There could be many reasons for a nonsense mutation to retain mRNA and protein expression. After transcription, NMD might be bypassed and a full-length protein might be translated, which could function just sub-optimally or normally [62]. In fact, many nonsense mutations are capable of bypassing NMD, and are tolerant to loss of function by presently unknown mechanisms [63–65]. Accumulating evidence suggests that nonsense mutations close to the N-terminus might be more likely to bypass NMD; in some cases, translation can be re-initiated at a downstream start codon [66,67]. In human cancer cells, NMD efficiency drops to 35% when the premature termination codon lies in the first 200 nucleotides, as opposed to 93% NMD efficiency for more downstream mutations [68]. The R18STOP mutation of the *ezh2(sa1199)* allele is located at Ezh2 N-terminus, which is highly conserved amongst vertebrates [16]. This conservation indicates high functionality and decreases the likelihood of exon skipping or alternative splicing. Indeed, there are no documented zebrafish *ezh2* transcript variants which skip the transcription of the first two exons (Ensembl Release 92, April 2018).

According to our findings, the nonsense mutation in the *ezh2(sa1199)* allele is not in concert with the presence of *ezh2* mRNA and Ezh2 protein in these mutant zebrafish. This study further emphasizes the importance of taking possible background and/or linked mutations into account in mutagenesis screens, and their correlation with (non-specific) phenotypes. Taken together, the presence of the Ezh2 mRNA and protein and the lack of a larval phenotype in mutants indicates that the *ezh2(sa1199)* allele is not a suitable model to study loss of *ezh2* function in zebrafish.

Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Water quality measurements and Western blot analysis.** A. Fold changes in element concentrations (ppb) in the water system which housed *ezh2(sa1199)* zebrafish. During regular water quality checks, Aluminum (Al), Calcium (Ca), Cadmium (Cd), Copper (Cu), Iron (Fe), Potassium (K), Magnesium (Mg), Manganese (Mn), Sodium (Na), Phosphorus (P), Sulfur (S), Silicium (Si), and Zinc (Zn) absorptions were measured and element concentrations were calculated. The graph shows the ratio of element concentrations between erroneous and correct piping. Erroneous piping in the water supply led to 33.46-fold higher than normal Copper concentrations. During this time, an open mouth phenotype was observed specifically in *ezh2* (sa1199) mutant adult zebrafish. B. Expression of Ezh2 protein in homozygous *ezh2(sa1199)* mutants. The presence of Ezh2 (top panel) and β-actin (bottom panel) was tested by Western blot analysis in wild type (*ezh2*+/+, N = 3, left) and mutant (*ezh2*sa1199/sa1199, N = 4, right) body, intestine, and head of single fish at 70 dpf. Ezh2 expression persisted only in the head of wild types and mutants. β-actin protein was used as a loading control. The figure shows the complete Western blot which was shown in part in Fig 3D upper panel, and includes additional intestinal samples which however were subject to autolysis, and head samples, which do not
show Ezh2 expression. C. Western blot analysis in 7 dpf wild type (ezh2+/+) and mutant (ezh2sa1199/sa1199) larvae depicting the presence of the H3K27me3 mark (upper panel). In mutants, there is a 41.8% decrease in H3K27me3 levels, normalized against loading control β-actin (lower panel). The figure shows the complete version of the Western blot analysis depicted in Fig 3D lower panel (10 μl, right), with an additional dilution (5 μl, left). D. Western blot analysis of Ezh2 and β-actin in 24 hours-post fertilization (hpf) wild type (ezh2+/+, N = 2) and maternal-zygotic ezh2 mutant (MZezh2−/−, N = 2) embryos [16,50], demonstrating that the antibody used in Fig 3D and S1B Fig is able to recognize the loss of the Ezh2 protein in established Ezh2 mutations, which are subject to NMD.

S1 Table. List of RT-qPCR primers. Forward and reverse RT-qPCR primers for ezh1, ezh2, ef1a, b-actin, used for the analysis of relative ezh1 and ezh2 expression in 70 dpf wild type and ezh2(sa1199) mutant siblings.

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to thank Tom Spanings and Antoon van der Horst of Radboud University for excellent zebrafish husbandry, General Instrumentation Department of Radboud University for providing multi-element analyses of zebrafish system water, Wim Atsma of Radboud University for assistance in stereo-microscopy, the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for identifying the ezh2(sa1199) mutation, Dr. Steven A. Harvey of Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for providing the out-crossed ezh2(sa1199) line, Dr. Klaas Mulder of Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences and his research group for technical assistance, and Dei M. Elurbe of Radboud Institute for Molecular Life Sciences for stimulating discussions.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Data curation: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Marco Aben, Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Formal analysis: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Funding acquisition: Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Investigation: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Marco Aben, Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Methodology: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Marco Aben, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Project administration: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Marco Aben, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Resources: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Marco Aben, Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Supervision: Bilge San, Gert Flik, Leonie M. Kamminga.
Validation: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Vegchel, Marco Aben, Karolina M. Andralojc.
Visualization: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Veghel, Karolina M. Andralojc, Leonie M. Kamminga.

Writing – original draft: Bilge San, Gert Flik, Leonie M. Kamminga.

Writing – review & editing: Bilge San, Julien Rougeot, Kai Voeltzke, Gertie van Veghel, Marco Aben, Karolina M. Andralojc, Gert Flik, Leonie M. Kamminga.

References

1. Sander JD, Yeh J-R, Peterson RT, Joung JK. Chapter 3—Engineering Zinc Finger Nucleases for Targeted Mutagenesis of Zebrafish. Methods in Cell Biology, 2011; 104:51–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374814-0.00003-3 PMID: 21924156

2. Li M, Zhao L, Page-McCaw P, Chen W. Zebrafish genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Trends in Genetics, 2016; 32(12):815–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2016.10.005 PMID: 27836208

3. Bedell VM, Wang Y, Campbell JM, Poshusta TL, Starker CG, Krug RG, et al. In vivo genome editing using a high-efficiency TALEN system. Nature. 2012; 491:114–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11537 PMID: 23000899

4. Stainier DYR, Raz E, Lawson ND, Ekker SC, Burdine RD, Eisen JS, et al. Guidelines for morpholino use in zebrafish. PLoS Genetics, 2017; 13(10):e1007000. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007000 PMID: 29049395

5. Core DR, Abrams JM. Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides: tools for investigating vertebrate development. Genome Biology, 2001; 2(5):reviews1015.1–reviews1015.3.

6. Robu ME, Larson JD, Nasevicius A, Beiraghi S, Brenner C, Farber SA, et al. p53 Activation by Knockdown Technologies. PLoS Genetics, 2007; 3(5):e78. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030078 PMID: 17530925

7. McCallum CM, Comai L, Greene EA, Henikoff S. Targeting Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes (TILLING) for Plant Functional Genomics. Plant Physiology, 2000; 123(2):439–442. PMID: 10859174

8. Draper BW, McCallum CM, Stout JL, Slade AJ, Moens CB. A high-throughput method for identifying N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU)-induced point mutations in zebrafish. Methods in Cell Biology, 2004; 77:91–112. PMID: 15602907

9. Kettleborough RNW, Busch-Nentwich EM, Harvey SA, Dooley CM, de Bruijn E, van Eeden F, et al. A systematic genome-wide analysis of zebrafish protein-coding gene function. Nature, 2013; 496(7466):494–497. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12199 PMID: 23594742

10. Blackledge NP, Rose NR, Klose RJ. Targeting polycomb systems to regulate gene expression: modifications to a complex story. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology, 2015; 16(11):643–649.

11. Le Faou P, Vollke P, Angrand PO. The zebrafish genes encoding the Polycomb repressive complex 1. Gene, 2011; 475(1):10–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2010.12.012 PMID: 21195142

12. Margueron R, Reinberg D. The Polycomb Complex PRC2 and its Mark in Life. Nature, 2011; 469(7330):343–349. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09784 PMID: 21248841

13. Sparmann A, van Lohuizen M. Polycomb silencers control cell fate, development and cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer, 2006; 6:486–856. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1991 PMID: 17060944

14. Sauvageau M, Sauvageau G. Polycomb Group Proteins: Multi-Faceted Regulators of Somatic Stem Cells and Cancer. Cell Stem Cell, 2010; 7(3):259–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2010.08.002 PMID: 20804967

15. Wang W, Qin J-J, Voruganti S, Nag S, Zhou J, Zhang R, Polycomb Group (PcG) Proteins and Human Cancers: Multi-Faceted Functions and Therapeutic Implications. Medicinal Research Reviews, 2015; 35(6):1220–1267. https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21358 PMID: 26227500

16. San B, Chrispijn ND, Wittkopp N, van Heeringen SJ, Lagendijk AK, Aben M, et al. Normal formation of a vertebrate body plan and loss of tissue maintenance in the absence of ezh2. Scientific Reports, 2016; 6:24658. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24658 PMID: 27145952

17. Han Z, Xing X, Hu M, Zhang Y, Liu P, Chai J. Structural Basis of EZH2 Recognition by EED. Structure. 2007; 15(10):1306–1315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2007.08.007 PMID: 17937919

18. Denisenko O, Shnyreva M, Suzuki H, Bomsztyk K. Point Mutations in the WD40 Domain of Eed Block Its Interaction with Ezh2. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1998; 18(10):5634–5642. PMID: 9742080
19. Joshi P, Carrington EA, Wang L, Ketel CS, Miller EL, Jones RS, et al. Dominant alleles identify SET domain residues required for histone methyltransferase of Polycomb repressive complex 2. J. Biol. Chem. 2008; 283(41):27757–66. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804442200 PMID: 18693240

20. O’Carroll D, Erhardt S, Pagani M, Barton SC, Surani MA, Jenuwein T. The Polycomb-Group Gene Ezh2 Is Required for Early Mouse Development. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 2001; 21(13):4330–4336. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.13.4330-4336.2001 PMID: 11390661

21. Delgado-Oquín P, Dang LT, He D, Thomas S, Chi L, Sukkonik T, et al. Ezh2-mediated repression of a transcriptional pathway upstream of Mmp9 maintains integrity of the developing vasculature. Development, 2014; 141(23):4610–4617. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.112607 PMID: 25359725

22. Lui JC, Garrison P, Nguyen Q, Ad M, Keembiyehetty C, Chen W, et al. EZH1 and EZH2 promote skeletal growth by repressing inhibitors of chondrocyte proliferation and hypertrophy. Nature Communications, 2016; 7:13685. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13685 PMID: 27897169

23. Yang X-P, Jiang K, Hirahara K, Vahedi G, Atzali B, Sciume G, et al. EZH2 is crucial for both differentiation of regulatory T cells and T effector cell expansion. Scientific Reports, 2015; 5:10643. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10643 PMID: 26090605

24. Su IH, Basavaraj A, Krutchinsky AN, Ollrich A, Chait BT, et al. Ezh2 controls B cell development through histone H3 methylation and IgH rearrangement. Nature Immunology, 2003; 4(2):124–31. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni876 PMID: 12496962

25. Wang L, Jin Q, Lee J-E, Su I, Ge K. Histone H3K27 methyltransferase Ezh2 represses Wnt genes to facilitate adipogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2010; 107(16):7317–7322. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000311107 PMID: 20368440

26. Yin J, Leavenworth JW, Li Y, Luo Q, Xie H, Liu X, et al. EZH2 regulates differentiation and function of natural killer cells through histone methyltransferase activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2015; 112(52):15988–15993. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521740112 PMID: 26668377

27. Shimizu T, Kubovcakova L, Nienhold R, Zmajkovic J, Meyer SC, Hao-Shen H, et al. Loss of Ezh2 synergizes with JAK2-V617F in initiating myeloproliferative neoplasms and promoting myelofibrosis. The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 2016; 213(8):1479–1496. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151136 PMID: 27401344

28. He A, Ma Q, Cao J, von Gise A, Zhou P, Xie H, et al. Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 Regulates Normal Development of the Mouse Heart. Circulation Research, 2012; 110(3):406–415. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.22158708

29. Kamminga LM, Bystrykh LV, de Boer A, Houwer S, Douma J, Weersing E, et al. The Polycomb group gene Ezh2 prevents hematopoietic stem cell exhaustion. Blood. 2006; 107(5):2170–2079. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-09-3585 PMID: 16293602

30. Shen X, Liu Y, Hsu Y-J, Fujiwara Y, Kim J, Mao X, et al. EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. Molecular Cell, 2008; 32(4):491–502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.016 PMID: 19026780

31. Margueron R, Li G, Sarma K, Blais A, Zavadil J, Woodcock CL, et al. EZH1 and Ezh2 maintain repressive chromatin through different mechanisms. Molecular Cell, 2008; 32(4):503–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.11.004 PMID: 19026781

32. Koppens MA, Bonnova G, Gargiulo G, Tanger E, Janssen H, Cornelissen-Steijger P, et al. Deletion of Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 From Mouse Intestine Causes Loss of Stem Cells. Gastroenterology. 2016; 151(4):684–697. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.06.020 PMID: 27342214

33. Lindeman LC, Andersen IS, Reiner AH, Li N, Aanes H, Østrup O, et al. Prepatterning of developmental gene expression by modified histones before zygotic genome activation. Developmental Cell, 2011; 21(6):993–1004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.08.008 PMID: 21377662

34. Vastenhouw NL, Zhang Y, Woods IG, Imam F, Regev A, Liu XS, et al. Chromatin signature of embryonic pluripotency is established during genome activation. Nature, 2010; 464(7290):922–926. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08868 PMID: 20393609

35. Andersen IS, Lindeman LC, Reiner AH, Østrup O, Aanes H, Axelström P, et al. Epigenetic marking of the zebrafish developmental program. Current Topics in Developmental Biology, 2013; 104:85–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416027-9.00009-0 PMID: 23587239

36. San B, Aben M, Elurbe DM, Voeltzke K, den Broeder MJ, Rougeot R, et al. Genetic and epigenetic regulation of zebrafish intestinal development. Epigenomes. 2018; 2(4):19.

37. Dupret B, Völkel P, Vennin C, Toillon RA, Le Bourhis X, Angrand PO. The histone lysine methyltransferase Ezh2 is required for maintenance of the intestine integrity and for caudal fin regeneration in zebrafish. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta. 2017; 1860(10):1079–1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2017.08.011 PMID: 28987219
38. Westerfield M: The zebrafish book, A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio rerio) 5th ed. University of Oregon Press, Eugene, Oregon, the United States of America, 2007.

39. Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. Developmental Dynamics. 1995; 203(3):253–310. https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1002030302 PMID: 8589427

40. Chomczynski P. A reagent for the single-step simultaneous isolation of RNA, DNA and proteins from cell and tissue samples. Biotechniques, 1993; 15(3):532–537. PMID: 7692896

41. Wittkopp N, Huntzinger E, Weiler C, Sauli J, Schmidt S, Sonawane M, et al. Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay Effectors Are Essential for Zebrafish Embryonic Development and Survival. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 2009; 29(13):3517–3528. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00177-09 PMID: 19144594

42. Isken O, Maquat LE. Quality control of eukaryotic mRNA: safeguarding cells from abnormal mRNA function. Genes & Development. 2007; 21(15):1833–56.

43. Chang YF, Imam JS, Wilkinson MF. The Nonsense-Mediated Decay RNA Surveillance Pathway. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 2007; 76:51–74. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.76.050106. 093909 PMID: 17352659

44. Zhong Y, Ye Q, Chen C, Wang M, Wang H. Ezh2 promotes clock function and hematopoiesis independent of histone methyltransferase activity in zebrafish. Nucleic Acids Research, 2018; 46(7):3382–3399. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1031 PMID: 29447387

45. Seok SH, Park JH, Baek MW, Lee HY, Kim DJ, Uhm HM, et al. Specific activation of the human HSP70 promoter by copper sulfate in mosaic transgenic zebrafish. Journal of Biotechnology, 2006; 126(3):406–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2006.04.029 PMID: 16730829

46. Li J, Quabius ES, Wendelaar Bonga SE, Flik G, Lock RAC. Effects of water-borne copper on branchial chloride cells and Na+/K+-ATPase activities in Mozambique tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus). Aquatic Toxicology. 1998; 43(1):1–11.

47. Mork L, Crump G. Zebrafish Craniofacial Development: A Window into Early Patterning. Current Topics in Developmental Biology, 2015; 115:235–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/bstop.cdl.2015.07.001 PMID: 26589928

48. Schwarz D, Varum S, Zemke M, Schölner A, Baggiojini A, Draganova K, et al. Ezh2 is required for neural crest-derived cartilage and bone formation. Development. 2014; 141(4):867–877. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.094342 PMID: 24496623

49. van der Velden YU, Wang L, Querol Cano L, Haramis A-PG. The Polycomb Group Protein Ring1b/Rnf2 Is Specifically Required for Craniofacial Development. PLoS ONE, 2013; 8(9):e73997. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073997 PMID: 24040141

50. Rougeot J, Chrispijn ND, Aben M, Elurbe DM, Andralojc KM, Murphy PJ, et al. Maintenance of spatial gene expression by Polycomb-mediated repression after formation of a vertebrate body plan. bioRxiv, 2018;468769 (preprint).

51. Kettleborough RN, de Bruijn E, van Eeden F, Cuppen E, Stemple DL. High-throughput target-selected gene inactivation in zebrafish. Methods Cell Biol., 2011; 104:121–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374814-0.00006-9 PMID: 21924159

52. Hill AJ, Bello SM, Prasch AL, Peterson RE, Heideman W. Water permeability and TCDD-induced edema in zebrafish early-life stages. Toxicological Sciences. 2004; 78(1):78–87. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh056 PMID: 14718644

53. Kok FO, Shin M, Ni C-W, Gupta A, Grosse AS, van Impel A, et al. Reverse genetic screening reveals poor correlation between Morpholino-induced and mutant phenotypes in zebrafish. Developmental Cell, 2009; 32(1):97–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.04.018 PMID: 25533206

54. Kelsey L, Flenniken AM, Qu D, Funnell APW, Pearson R, Zhou Y-Q, et al. ENU-induced Mutation in the DNA-binding Domain of KLF3 Reveals Important Roles for KLF3 in Cardiovascular Development and Function in Mice. PLoS Genetics, 2013; 9(7):e1003612. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003612 PMID: 23874215

55. Tsuruwaka Y, Konishi M, Shimada E. Loss of wwox expression in zebrafish embryos causes edema and alters Ca2+ dynamics. PeerJ, 2015; 3:e727. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.727 PMID: 25649963

56. Karpanen T, Padberg Y, van de Pavert SA, Dierkes C, Morooka N, Peterson-Maduro J, et al. An Evolutionarily Conserved Role for Polydom/Svep1 During Lymphatic Vessel Formation. Circulation Research, 2017; 120(8):1263–1275. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308813 PMID: 28179432

57. Hanke N, Staggs L, Schroder P, Litteral J, Fleig S, Kaufeld J, et al. “Zebrafishing” for Novel Genes Relevant to the Glomerular Filtration Barrier. BioMed Research International, 2013;658270. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/658270 PMID: 24106712
58. Jeanray N, Marée R, Pruvot B, Stern O, Geurts P, Wehenkel L, et al. Phenotype Classification of Zebrafish Embryos by Supervised Learning. PLoS ONE, 2015; 10(1):e0116989. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116989 PMID: 25574849

59. Craig PM, Wood CM, McClelland GB. Oxidative stress response and gene expression with acute copper exposure in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2017; 293(5):R1882–92.

60. Reynaud C, Baas D, Gleyzal C, Le Guellec D, Sommer P. Morpholino knockdown of lysyl oxidase impairs zebrafish development, and reflects some aspects of copper metabolism disorders. Matrix Biology. 2008; 27(6):547–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2008.03.002 PMID: 18467084

61. Madsen EC, Gitlin JD. Zebrafish Mutants calamity and catastrophe Define Critical Pathways of Gene–Nutrient Interactions in Developmental Copper Metabolism. PLoS Genetics, 2008; 4(11):e1000261. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000261 PMID: 19008952

62. Guo Y, Wei X, Das J, Grimson A, Lipkin SM, Clark AG, et al. Dissecting Disease Inheritance Modes in a Three-Dimensional Protein Network Challenges the “Guilt-by-Association” Principle. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2013; 93(1):78–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.05.022 PMID: 23791107

63. Takei K, Khajavi M, Ohyama T, Hirabayashi S, Wilson J, Reggin JD, et al. Molecular mechanism for distinct neurological phenotypes conveyed by allelic truncating mutations. Nature Genetics. 2004; 36(4):361–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1322 PMID: 15004559

64. MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian S, Frankish A, Huang N, Morris J, Walter K, et al. A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. Science, 2012; 335(6070):823–828. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215040 PMID: 22344438

65. Panelli D, Petruzzella V, Vitale R, De Rasmo D, Munnich A, Rötig A, et al. The regulation of PTC containing transcripts of the human NDUFS4 gene of complex I of respiratory chain and the impact of pathological mutations. Biochimie. 2008; 90(10):1452–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioch.2008.04.017 PMID: 18555024

66. Neu-Yilik G, Amthor B, Gehring NH, Bairi S, Paidassi H, Hentze MW, et al. Mechanism of escape from nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of human β-globin transcripts with nonsense mutations in the first exon. RNA, 2011; 17(5):843–854. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.2401811 PMID: 21389146

67. Inácio A, Silva AL, Pinto J, Ji X, Morgado A, Almeida F, et al. Nonsense mutations in close proximity to the initiation codon fail to trigger full nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004; 279(31):32170–80. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M405024200 PMID: 15161914

68. Lindeboom RGH, Supek F, Lehner B. The rules and impact of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in human cancers. Nature Genetics, 2016; 48(10):1112–1118. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3664 PMID: 27618451