Periodicity of chaotic trajectories in realizations of finite computer precisions and its implication in chaos communications
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Fundamental problems of periodicity and transient process to periodicity of chaotic trajectories in computer realization with finite computation precision is investigated by taking single and coupled Logistic maps as examples. Empirical power law relations of the period and transient iterations with the computation precisions and the sizes of coupled systems are obtained. For each computation we always find, by randomly choosing initial conditions, a single dominant periodic trajectory which is realized with major portion of probability. These understandings are useful for possible applications of chaos, e.g., chaotic cryptography in secure communication.
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1. introduction

Chaos study has attracted much attention in the last half of the 20th century [1-7]. However, there have been still some fundamental problems in the chaos field remaining not completely understood, including the computer realization of chaos. A computer has always finite precision, and trajectories of any autonomous or periodically driven deterministic systems must be periodic. Thus, we are faced with a difficult circle: chaos, which generally cannot be analytically shown, has to be manifested by numerical computations while any computer simulation can never produce a true chaotic orbit.

In early time of chaos study, the commonly accepted way out of this difficulty is that the average period of computer realization of chaotic trajectories(CRCTs) is about the order of the digital space determined by the computer precision. For high precision (like double precision $2^{-52}$) this period is very large (may be even unreachable in numerical simulations), and chaos can be clearly seen in the time
region much smaller than this period. Moreover, it is anticipated that from different initial conditions chaotic trajectories of a given dynamics system may eventually enter different periodic orbits, and the number of periods may be huge since there are infinitely many unstable periodic orbits embedded in any given chaotic attractors.

However, the above intuitive understandings are not correct. In [8-11], the authors showed that the average length of the period with computation precision $\varepsilon$ is scaled as $\varepsilon^{-\frac{D_2}{2}}$ with $D_2$ being the correlation dimension of the given chaotic attractor, which is much shorter than the possible length allowed by the computer precision. This short periodicity seriously influences some applications of chaos. For instance, chaos encryption has become an attracting topic in the recent ten decades[12-18]. The authors in [19] suggested to use chaotic trajectories of a single map to reach extremely high level of security, but it was soon found [20] that the security is very low because the generated chaotic trajectories by the computer realization are periodic with rather short average period.

Therefore, the problem of periodicity of CRCTs has both theoretical significance and practical importance, deserving further investigation. In this paper we will study this problem by taking single and coupled map lattices as our examples. We are particularly interested in the characteristic features of CRCT affecting the properties of chaotic cryptograph. In Sec.2 we focus on the periodicity and the related transient of a single map for different precisions, and find that both the average periods of CRCTs and the transients are indeed much shorter than the size of the digital space of computers in all the precisions tested, and these observations well agree with the theoretical predictions of [8,11]. A surprising observation is that for different initial conditions the number of periodic orbits of CRCTs is very small, and in all precisions tested one can find a single dominant period for randomly choosing initial conditions which is realized with a major portion of probability. In Sec.3 we directly compute the period length of single logistic and tent maps by double precision realization. In Sec.4 we investigate the same problem for coupled systems, and find that the average period and transient iterations increases exponentially as the system size increases. This observation is very useful in some practical situations (such as chaos communications) where long periods are required. And the existence of dominant period is observed as well for coupled systems with different sizes. The last section gives brief discussion and conclusion where the significance of the results obtained in this paper for the applications of chaotic cryptography is emphasized.

2. Periodicity and transient of a single chaotic map in finite precision computer realization

We first consider the single logistic map as our example

$$x_{n+1} = 4x_n(1 - x_n)$$

(1)

which is well known chaotic, and has aperiodic trajectory for an arbitrarily chosen typical initial $x_0$ in $(0, 1)$ region. However, with finite-precision all trajectories must
be periodic, and the period of the finally realized motion depends on the initial condition. Our task in this section is to study how the average period and the average length of transient iterations towards periodic motions are related to the computer precision; and how many such periods can be found. All numerical investigations use Intel Pentium III 700MHz and Pentium IV 1.7GHz computers.

Let us follow the computer computation process with round-off truncations in finite precision. Suppose we take $\varepsilon = 10^{-h}$ ($h = 1, 2, \ldots$) computation precision, then for any given continuous $x_n$ value we measure only discrete value $\hat{x}_n$, with $\hat{x}_n$ being an integer multiplier of $\varepsilon$ as

$$\hat{x}_n = P(x_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$|x_n - P(x_n)| \leq \varepsilon / 2$$

With (2) the actual map becomes

$$x_{n+1} = 4 \hat{x}_n (1 - \hat{x}_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\hat{x}_n = P(x_n)$$

In Eqs.(3) with the discrete operation the state $x_n = 0$ may be realized with certain finite probability [in fact this probability is zero for continuous variables, i.e., $x_n = 0$ cannot be realized unless $x_0$ takes certain nontypical values of zero measures]. Throughout the paper, we will exclude the $x_n = 0$ state solution from our counting. Map (3) is always periodic though map (1) is chaotic and aperiodic. As an example we consider $h = 4$, and start from $x_0 = 0.951636985290801$. The iterations of (3) give

$$x_0 = 0.951636985290801 \rightarrow x_1 = 0.18422976 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{14} = 0.453879 \rightarrow x_{15} = 0.99149916 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{117} = 0.54599356 \rightarrow x_{118} = 0.991536$$

For this initial condition the period of map (3) is $T = 118 - 15 = 103$, and the transient time for the trajectory to enter the periodic circle is $\tau = 15$. Of course, for different $x_0$’s we may find different periods and different lengths of transient iterations.

With the above approach we can systematically investigate the dependence of $\tau$, $T$ on the precision $\varepsilon = 10^{-h}$. In Fig.1 we plot $\tau$ (circle) and $T$ (square) vs $h$, where $\tau$ and $T$ are obtained as the averages with arbitrarily chosen different initial $x_0$ values,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \tau_i, \quad T = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} T_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
where \( \tau_i \) and \( T_i \) are the transient and period iterations computed by \( i \)th initial condition, respectively, and \( i \) runs over all possible initial states for \( h \leq 6 \) (\( M = 10^h \)) in the discrete variable space, and \( i \) is chosen randomly in \((1, 10^h)\) for \( h > 6 \). In Fig.1 vertical bars indicate error estimates \( \sigma_\tau = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\tau_i - \tau)^2} \), \( \sigma_T = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (T_i - T)^2} \). From the data, empirical exponential forms
\[
\tau, T \approx 10^{\alpha + \beta h}, \quad \alpha \approx -0.40, \quad \beta \approx 0.47
\]
can be approximately formulated [the solid curve in Fig.1]. It is emphasized that the period \( T \)'s (also the transient time \( \tau \)'s) are much shorter than those allowed by the spaces of the computer data for the given precisions. For instance, we have \( T \approx 2 \times 10^3 << 10^8 \) for \( 10^{-8} \) precision and \( T \approx 10^6 << 10^{13} \) for \( 10^{-13} \) precision.

There are several interesting observations in Fig.1 and Eq.(6) worthwhile remarking. First, the average period length has a power law scaling against the discrete size \( \varepsilon = 10^{-h} \), as \( T \propto \varepsilon^{-\beta} \), \( \beta \approx -\frac{D_2}{2} \) (within the statistical error \( \pm 0.02 \)) with \( D_2 \) being the correlation dimension of the given chaotic attractor (in our case \( D_2 = 1 \)), this agrees with the theoretical prediction of [8,11]. Moreover, the average transient time \( \tau \) has the similar scaling as \( T \). From the point of view of cryptography, transient time \( \tau \) has the same significance as period \( T \) itself. For a given discrete size \( 10^{-h} \), there are a number of periodic orbits of which the corresponding periods vary largely. There exist some orbits with very small periods even \( h \) is relatively large. Large \( \tau \) is useful for keeping the communication security even in the case of small \( T \), since it is not the quantity \( T \) but the quantity \( T + \tau \) that is related to the security problem of the chaotic cryptography.
Another interesting feature of CRCT is that the number of periodic orbits which the system eventually enters for a given computation precision is very small. An intuitive picture is: Since there are infinitely many unstable periodic orbits densely embedded in any chaotic attractor it is easy for a trajectory to be trapped in one of these orbits after a course grain caused by finite precision computation, and thus the number of realized periodic orbits may be huge as the computation precision is high ($h \gg 1$). To our great surprise, for any precisions (up to the precision $10^{-14}$) the numbers of observed distinctive orbits are very small, and for most of truncation precisions we observe that one orbit of all periods takes major part of probability, and dominates the statistical behavior of CRCT. These results are listed in Table 1, which are strangely against the above intuition. Note, the existence of such a dominant period dose not support the theoretical results on the probability of periods in [8,10]. The reason for this disagreement may be the following. In [8] the prediction is proven based on approximating the map to be deterministic in time and purely random in variable space map (though deterministic [8,10]). The existence of dominant period breaks the validity of this intuitive picture.

| Precision $\varepsilon = 10^{-h}$ | Number of tests | Period length $T_i$ (ratio) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| $10^{-1}$                         | $10^3$          | 1(1.00)                    |
| $10^{-2}$                         | $10^2$          | 3(0.60) 1(0.40)            |
| $10^{-3}$                         | $10^3$          | 1(0.92) 13(0.08)           |
| $10^{-4}$                         | $10^4$          | 103(0.4916) 97(0.3298) 1(0.1769) 6(0.0014) 2(0.0012) |
| $10^{-5}$                         | $10^5$          | 227(0.92279) 1(0.06093) 29(0.00937) |
| $10^{-6}$                         | $10^6$          | 230(0.00661) 5(0.0016) 4(0.00008) 2(0.00006) |
| $10^{-7}$                         | $10^7$          | 155(0.680588) 1(0.210002) 16(0.065585) 88(0.033479) 79(0.008826) 8(0.001122) 30(0.000512) 11(0.000336) 6(0.000116) |
| $10^{-8}$                         | $10^8$          | 1078(0.505) 136(0.427) 1(0.068) |
| $10^{-9}$                         | $10^9$          | 2412(0.756) 419(0.122) 1(0.10) 428(0.018) 118(0.004) |
| $10^{-10}$                        | $10^{10}$       | 5957(0.97) 1(0.028) 860(0.002) |
| $10^{-11}$                        | $10^{11}$       | 26358(0.814) 1319(0.132) 1680(0.026) 4317(0.012) 1(0.012) 1233(0.002) 643(0.002) |
| $10^{-12}$                        | $10^{12}$       | 101487(0.96) 22916(0.035) 2982(0.005) |
| $10^{-13}$                        | $10^{13}$       | 200 1(0.815) 95914(0.185) |
| $10^{-14}$                        | $10^{14}$       | 66 1(0.818) 985982(0.182) |

In the above discussion we used the decimal representation and the fixed-precision truncations. The actual computer round-off discretization use the binary representation and relative-precision truncations. In Fig.2 we do the same as Fig.1 by applying binary representation and relative-precision truncations. The results are similar to those of Fig.1, showing that though the discretization of variable space has some significant changes in the dynamics and statistics of chaotic systems, the detailed methods of discretization is not crucial.
Fig. 2. The same as Fig.1 with binary representation and relative-precision truncations applied. The statistical results are unchanged from Fig.1.

3. Periodicity of single chaotic maps in double precision computer realization

We directly and numerically compute Eq.(1) in Table 2 by the double precision $2^{-52}$ computations, and by using the arithmetic of [20] to detect the period length. This method cannot exactly give transient time, but can record period exactly and effectively. A significant advantage of this approach is that it can compute extremely long period with small storage space and fast computation. We can see only 12 different periods for $10^5$ different initial conditions from Table 2. There exists a major probability period and there are near to zero probabilities for some periods. The average period length is about $5.7 \times 10^6$ iterations, which fits form (6) approximately. The results of the direct double precision computing well confirm our discretization method. We use C code to validate it. It is interesting to point out that for the true double precision computation of the model Eq.(1), the period of length 5638349 (which is one order smaller than the period $T \approx 2^{26} \approx 6 \times 10^7$ predicted by [8]) can appear with probability 67.75% ($10^5$ different initial conditions are computed). This knowledge may be useful for scientists interested in computer simulations of chaotic systems. We also consider the single tent map $x_{n+1} = 1 - |1.95x_n - 1|$ as our example and compute the period length in Table 2 by the double precision. There are the similar results as the single logistic map.

4. Periodicity of chaotic coupled map lattices in Computer realization

In Figs.1 and 2 it is clearly observed that with a single chaotic map the period of orbits of computer realization is rather short. For double precision ($2^{-52}$) the average period is about of order $10^6$-$7$, which can be very easily reached. This short period problem may cause serious disadvantages in chaos applications. For instance, a single autonomous chaotic map can never allow high security in computer realization.
of chaotic cryptography since long period is a necessary condition for any kinds of cryptosystems [19-21]. In Ref.22, the authors suggested to use spatiotemporal chaotic systems for cryptography and declared that they can reach very high level of security, it is then interesting to investigate the periodicity of CRCTs of such spatiotemporal systems.

Let us extend the single map (1) to one-way coupled map lattice

\[
x_{n+1}(i) = (1 - \varepsilon)f[x_n(i)] + \varepsilon f[x_n(i - 1)], \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N
\]

\[
f(x) = 4x(1-x), \quad x(0) = x(N)
\]

where we use periodic boundary condition of system size \(N\), and take \(\varepsilon = 0.95\). This system is used for chaotic encryption in [22].

We are now interested in how both the system size \(N\) and the computer precision \(10^{-h}\) affect the period \(T\) and the transient time \(\tau\) of CRCTs. In Figs.3(a)-(c) we do the same as Fig.1 with \(N = 2\), \(N = 3\) and \(N = 4\) in Eq.(7), respectively. The average lengths of period and the transient iterations increase exponentially with \(h\) much faster than Fig.1. Moreover, for the same precision, the average lengths of the period and the transient are longer if the system size is taken larger. In Fig.3(d) we fix \(h = 3\) and plot \(T\) and \(\tau\) vs \(N\), an exponential increasing of \(T\) and \(\tau\) with \(N\).
are confirmed. In all figures of Fig.1 and Fig.3, the solid curves are drawn from the united empirical form

\[ T_N^{(h)}, \tau_N^{(h)} \propto 10^{(\alpha + \beta h)N} = 10^{\alpha N} \varepsilon^{-\beta N}, \quad \alpha \approx -0.40, \quad \beta \approx 0.47 \]  

which coincides satisfactorily with the numerical data for wide range of \( N \) and \( h \). By increasing the system size \( N \), the coupled systems can manifest its chaoticity in much longer time though periodicity must appear for sufficiently large time scale. If the system size is sufficiently large the periodic behavior of CRCTs is practically not observable. According to the empirical formula (8), for \( N = 4 \), and double precision \( \varepsilon = 2^{-52} \), the periodicity occurs after \( 10^{28} \) iterations, and a common PC (with 1.7G CPU) needs \( 10^{12} \) years, to make so many iterations. Thus, the short period problem of CRCTs can be practically solved by applying coupled (spatiotemporal) chaotic systems with a sufficiently large \( N \). This is one of several most important reasons why the system in [22] may reach very high security.

Fig. 3. (a)-(c) The same as Fig.1 with Eq.(7) considered. \( \varepsilon = 0.95 \), \( N = 2 \), \( N = 3 \), and \( N = 4 \), respectively. (d) \( T \) and \( \tau \) plotted vs \( N \) for Eq.(7) with \( h = 3 \). Solid lines are the results of the empirical formulas (8), which fit well the numerical data. It is shown that the average transient \( \tau \) following the power law Eq.(8) satisfactorily with smaller fluctuation than that of \( T \).

The phenomenon of small number of periodic orbits of CRCT is also observed for coupled maps. In Fig.3 for \( N > 1 \) and relatively large \( h \), we can try only small
number of tests for each given precision $h$. Therefore, the fluctuation of $T$ is rather larger. Sometimes we obtain very small $T$’s, which deviate from the exponential law (the solid line of Fig.3) considerably. However, almost in all our tests the transient time $\tau$’s follow Eq.(8) satisfactorily with fluctuation much smaller than that of $T$’s (see data of Fig.3). Since the cryptography of chaotic systems is related to $T + \tau$ rather than $T$, such nice power law behavior of $\tau$ is useful for controlling the security of cryptosystems.

5. discussion and conclusion

In conclusion we have investigated the problems of periodicity and transient to periodicity of chaotic trajectories in computer realization with finite computation precision. It is found that both average period and the transient time to periodic orbits have power law relation with the computer round-off precision. For low-dimensional systems the periods of chaotic trajectories and the corresponding transients can be rather short even the double-precision computation is applied. And for each precision the number of periodic orbits of computer realization is small even if the precision is rather high and the number of tests is very large. The problems of short period and small number of periodic orbits may seriously affect the applications of chaos, e.g., it results in weak cryptography in secure communication. Nevertheless, this problem can be satisfactorily solved by applying spatiotemporal chaos (or say, coupled chaotic systems). With few coupled chaotic subunits the period of CRCTs may be as large as practically unreachable. A surprising observation worthwhile remarking is that by applying different initial conditions there always exists a dominant period (for all tested computation precisions and sizes of coupled systems) which appears with major portion of probability.
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