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Abstract

Background: While it is now recognized that autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is typically a life-long condition, there exist only a handful of systematic studies on middle-aged and older adults with this condition.

Methods: We first performed a structured examination of parkinsonian motor signs in a hypothesis-generating, pilot study (study I) of 19 adults with ASD over 49 years of age. Observing high rates of parkinsonism in those off atypical neuroleptics (2/12, 17 %) in comparison to published population rates for Parkinson’s disease and parkinsonism, we examined a second sample of 37 adults with ASD, over 39 years of age, using a structured neurological assessment for parkinsonism.

Results: Twelve of the 37 subjects (32 %) met the diagnostic criteria for parkinsonism; however, of these, 29 subjects were on atypical neuroleptics, complicating interpretation of the findings. Two of eight (25 %) subjects not taking atypical neuroleptic medications met the criteria for parkinsonism. Combining subjects who were not currently taking atypical neuroleptic medications, across both studies, we conservatively classified 4/20 (20 %) with parkinsonism.

Conclusions: We find a high frequency of parkinsonism among ASD individuals older than 39 years. If high rates of parkinsonism and potentially Parkinson’s disease are confirmed in subsequent studies of ASD, this observation has important implications for understanding the neurobiology of autism and treatment of manifestations in older adults. Given the prevalence of autism in school-age children, the recognition of its life-long natural history, and the recognition of the aging of western societies, these findings also support the importance of further systematic study of other aspects of older adults with autism.
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Background

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is defined by the presence of characteristic behaviors present in early childhood [1]. While it is now recognized that ASD is typically a life-long condition, there exist only a handful of systematic studies of middle-aged and older adults [2–4]. According to the most recent estimate from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the prevalence of ASDs in US children is approximately 1.5 % [5]. Given the well-documented aging of the population in western societies [6], we and others have highlighted the need for increasing research efforts into all aspects of ASD in the aging population, to address what undoubtedly will be an important and increasing public health issue [7].

To begin to examine ASD in older (i.e., relative to previous studies of ASD) individuals with ASD, several years ago we began a pilot, descriptive study in North Carolina (NC) of adults with ASD 50 years and older. This study focused broadly across a range of domains—including current autistic behaviors, medical/psychiatric/neurological problems, cognitive level, adaptive behavior, quality of life, family/community support, and available services, to inform ourselves about specific areas for more concentrated focus. As the project progressed, we observed what appeared to be high rates of parkinsonian signs in our ASD subjects (study I). We, therefore, sought to ascertain a second sample of adults with ASD in which to conduct a systematic assessment of parkinsonian signs (study II)
and examine the hypothesis generated from study I that “older” individuals with ASD had elevated rates of parkinsonian signs. We herein report the results from the examination of samples in studies I and II.

While systematic studies of Parkinson’s disease and parkinsonism have not been conducted in adults with ASD, a number of indirect and direct links between autism and Parkinson’s disease have been suggested in the research literature. Hollander et al. [8] suggested links between the two conditions based on overlapping phenomenology in the area of repetitive behaviors, with a common underlying involvement of the basal ganglia. Links between autism and the basal ganglia have been reported by a number of studies [9–11]. Recently, motor system deficits have been suggested as having a core role in ASD, based on the observation of motor dysfunction in children, adolescents, and young adults [12–17]. Motor deficits have been reported in infants prior to the typical time for diagnosis of ASD [18–21], suggesting the possible primacy of the motor system in autism. Overlap has also been noted in genetics, where the Park2 gene, implicated in Parkinson’s disease, has also been found in association with ASD [22]; Park2 copy number variant mutations have been identified in case reports of children with Asperger syndrome [23]; and mutations have been identified in a gene-regulating dopamine metabolism and behavioral responses to dopaminergic drugs, also implicated in Parkinson’s disease [24]. Establishing a precedent that genes linked to neurodevelopmental disorders also play a role in late-life neurodegenerative conditions, the link between the fragile X pre-mutation and parkinsonism, as expressed in the fragile X associated tremor and ataxia syndrome (FXTAS), is now well established [25–27].

In the present report, we systematically examine the relationship between parkinsonian signs and ASD in middle-aged and older adults. In study I, we conduct an exploratory study in 19 adults with ASD, over 49 years of age. In study II, we follow up a hypothesis generated from study I, systematically assessing 37 adults with ASD, over 39 years of age, for evidence of parkinsonian signs.

Methods
The initial, pilot study was conducted at the University of North Carolina (Chapel Hill) (NC). A replication study was conducted at the University of Western Australia (Fremantle) (WA).

Study I (NC)
Sample and ascertainment
Inclusion criteria for the study were (1) ≥40 years of age, (2) a clinical diagnosis of ASD, and (3) an informant able to provide historical information about the participant. DSM-5 diagnosis of ASD was confirmed by clinical best estimate (JP) after review of the Autism Diagnostic Interview (ADI) [28], the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) [29], and all available information from medical records. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of North Carolina (Chapel Hill) approved this study. All subjects or their legal guardians provided informed, written consent.

Ascertainment efforts were conducted through multiple sources throughout North Carolina. Attempts were made to contact 14,132 individuals with ASD through mailings to the Autism Society of North Carolina (N = 14,034), record review of the Treatment Education of Adults and Children with Autism and other Communication Handicaps (TEACCH) program seen over the last 40 years (N = 24 contacted), NC state residential facilities for adults with developmental disabilities (N = 24 contacted), the UNC Autism Registry of the Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities (N = 17 contacted), outpatient attendance at the UNC, NC state residential facilities for adults with developmental disabilities living in “intermediate care facilities for individuals with mental retardation (ICFMR)”, in North Carolina (N = 9 contacted). Overall, 30 individuals with ASD or their guardians consented to participate in the study. Three individuals declined participation after the screening process, due to time constraints, and eight were excluded after enrollment based on insufficient evidence supporting a DSM5 diagnosis of ASD. The final sample completing the study consisted of 19 individuals meeting ASD criteria.

Study II (WA)
Sample and ascertainment
Inclusion criteria for the study were (1) ≥40 years of age, (2) a clinical diagnosis of ASD, and (3) an informant able to provide historical information about the participant. DSM-5 diagnosis of ASD was confirmed by clinical best estimate (SES) after review of the ADI [28], the ADOS [29], and all available information from medical records. The South Metropolitan Health Service Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study. All subjects or their legal guardians provided informed written consent.

Subjects were recruited from two sources: (1) a list provided by the Disabilities Services Commission of Western Australia (DSC) which included 46 individuals 40 years or older with a diagnosis of ASD and (2) a list of 24 individuals with a diagnosis of ASD, 40 years or older, who had attended the Psychiatry Clinic at the Autism Association (AA) of Western Australia during the first 10 months of 2014. The DSC provides services to all registered individuals diagnosed with ASD in WA. The Psychiatry Clinic at the AA is the only clinic in WA specialized in assessing and managing challenging behaviors in adults with ASD. Individuals with ASD and/or their respective caregivers
were contacted for participation. Twenty-nine of the 46 individuals from the DSC list consented to participate. All 29 completed the assessment protocol. Of the 17 individuals who did not participate in the study, 13 refused to participate or did not return a signed consent form, and four could not be contacted. Eleven of the 24 individuals with ASD from the AA Psychiatry Clinic list consented to participate, and eight completed the assessment protocol (assessments were not finalized on three subjects due to loss of contact or job commitments). Of the 13 individuals who did not participate, five refused or did not return a signed consent form, and eight could not be contacted.

Assessment
All subjects and/or their informants were interviewed with a structured assessment for demographic information and medical history. Cognitive level (IQ group) was derived from scores available through medical records. When valid IQ estimates were not available, estimation of IQ group was based on administration of one of three measures of cognitive level or adaptive functioning: the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [30], the Shipley-2 Scale [31], or the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales [32], depending on level of subject cooperation/ability. For the analyses, we collapsed cognitive scores into two groups (IQ ≥50 and <50). For study I, motor signs were assessed with the Unified Parkinson’s disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) [33] by research assistants (LP, MP) trained at the UNC Neurology Clinic by a board certified neurologist and expert in degenerative neurological disorders (Daniel Kaufer, M.D.) and supervised by a psychiatrist (JP). The UPDRS is a reliable and valid instrument for assessing the severity of motor signs associated with Parkinson’s disease. A limitation of the UPDRS for use in this population is that individuals with moderate to severe comprehension deficits may not be able to perform some of the tasks assessing bradykinesia (e.g., items 3.4 to 3.8) or postural stability. Therefore, a modification was employed whereby final clinical judgment on the presence of bradykinesia was based on scores rating limb bradykinesia (items 3.4 to 3.8) and/or scores rating global spontaneity of movement (item 3.14) (i.e., total bradykinesia was rated based on the presence of body bradykinesia only whenever assessment of limb bradykinesia was not feasible).

For both studies, motor signs were considered to be present whenever the participant scored 2 or higher on the respective UPDRS or MDS-UPDRS item. Thus, if a score of 2 or more was given to one or more of the items rating tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, or postural problems, the sign was rated as present. Scores of “1” (i.e., slight or mild) were considered absent (and collapsed with scores of “0”). Diagnosis of parkinsonism was made using the Queen Square Brain Bank Criteria for idiopathic Parkinson’s disease, based on UPDRS findings [34]. We followed step 1 of the diagnostic criteria for “Parkinsonian syndrome” which requires the presence of bradykinesia and at least one of the following: (1) rigidity, (2) 4–6-Hz resting tremor, or (3) postural instability.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using means and standard deviations and t tests for between-group comparisons. Associations appearing in frequency distributions were tested using a Chi-square test (with Yates’ correction for cell sizes <5). All p values are two-tailed, with the alpha value equal to 0.05. Chlorpromazine equivalent estimates were calculated based on the Woods algorithm [35].

Results
Study I
Study I included 19 males, with an average age of 57 years. Eleven (58 %) had IQ’s below 50; nine (47 %) lived in residential facilities for adults with developmental disabilities, four (19 %) lived in group homes, four lived independently with support, and one (5 %) lived independently in the community (Table 1).

The frequency of occurrence of the cardinal signs for parkinsonism were 22 % (N = 4) with bradykinesia, 16 % (N = 3) with resting tremor, 32 % (N = 6) with rigidity, and 15 % (N = 2) with postural instability (Table 2). Assessments of postural instability and bradykinesia were difficult to conduct in six subjects and one subject, respectively, and these ratings were not further considered. There were no significant group differences between subjects in the higher and lower IQ groups (i.e., less than or ≥50) on resting tremor (χ² = 2.59, df = 1, p = 0.11),
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ridity \( (\chi^2 = 2.17, df = 1, p = 0.14) \), bradykinesia \( (\chi^2 = 0.06, df = 1, p = 0.80) \), or postural instability \( (\chi^2 = 0.13, df = 1, p = 0.72) \) (Table 3). No significant differences were noted between subjects currently on atypical neuroleptics (referred to as “neuroleptics”) \( (N = 7) \) compared to those not on neuroleptics \( (N = 12) \) on the presence of resting tremor \( (\chi^2 = 0.02, df = 1, p = 0.89) \), rigidity \( (\chi^2 = 0.65, df = 1, p = 0.42) \), bradykinesia \( (\chi^2 = 0.42, df = 1, p = 0.52) \), or postural instability \( (\chi^2 = 0.71, df = 1, p = 0.40) \) (Table 4; Table 5).

Three of the 19 subjects \( (16\%) \) met the criteria for parkinsonism. Twelve of the 19 subjects \( (63\%) \) were not on neuroleptic medication. Two subjects \( (17\%) \) also had an independent (no knowledge of aims or goals of this study) diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease made by a community-based neurologist. One was on levodopa treatment at the time of the assessment and the second was recommended to take levodopa (see description in Appendixes 1 and 2).

### Table 2 Frequency of Parkinsonian signs

| Motor signs       | Study I (N) | Study II (N) |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Resting tremor    | 16 (3)      | 19 (7)       |
| Rigidity          | 32 (6)      | 19 (7)       |
| Bradykinesia      | 22 (4)      | 46 (17)      |
| Postural instability | 15 (2)   | 19 (7)       |

*One subject in study I could not be assessed for bradykinesia (total available N = 18); six subjects in study I could not be assessed for postural instability (total available N = 13)*

### Study II

Study II included 32 males and 5 females, with an average age of 51 years. Thirty subjects \( (81\%) \) had an IQ below 50. Thirty-one \( (84\%) \) lived in a group home, four \( (11\%) \) lived independently in the community, and three \( (8\%) \) lived with their families (Table 1).

The frequency of occurrence of the cardinal signs for parkinsonism were 46 \( (N = 17) \) with bradykinesia, 19 \( (N = 7) \) with resting tremor, 19 \( (N = 7) \) with rigidity, and 19 \( (N = 7) \) with postural instability (Table 2). There were no significant group differences between subjects in the higher and lower IQ groups (i.e., less than or equal to 50) on resting tremor \( (\chi^2 = 0.52, df = 1, p = 0.46) \), rigidity \( (\chi^2 = 0.52, df = 1, p = 0.46) \), bradykinesia \( (\chi^2 = 0.01, df = 1, p = 0.98) \), or postural instability \( (\chi^2 = 0.12, df = 1, p = 0.72) \) (Table 3). There were no significant differences between subjects on neuroleptics \( (N = 29) \) as compared to those not on neuroleptics \( (N = 8) \) on presence of resting tremor \( (\chi^2 = 2.38, df = 1, p = 0.12) \), rigidity \( (\chi^2 = 2.38, df = 1, p = 0.12) \), bradykinesia \( (\chi^2 = 0.29, df = 1, p = 0.58) \), or postural instability \( (\chi^2 = 0.24, df = 1, p = 0.61) \) (Table 4).

Twelve of the 37 subjects \( (32\%) \) met the diagnostic criteria for parkinsonism. Twenty-nine of the 37 subjects \( (78\%) \) were currently on neuroleptic medication. Ten of the 29 subjects on neuroleptics \( (34\%) \) met the criteria for parkinsonism. Eight of the 37 subjects \( (22\%) \) were not taking neuroleptic medication. Two \( (25\%) \) of these eight subjects met the criteria for parkinsonism. During the course of the study, these two individuals were referred to the Movement Disorders Clinic at Fremantle Hospital due to motor problems impacting upon their ability to complete basic activities of daily living. An independent diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease was made in both subjects by a community neurologist (see description in the Appendixes 1 and 2). Given the substantial number of subjects receiving neuroleptic medications, we examined group differences in level of chlorpromazine equivalents (an algorithm based on the potency of a specific medication and dose) [35] in those currently taking and not taking these medications. There were no significant differences in mean level of chlorpromazine equivalents between subjects classified with and without Parkinsonism-1 (mean mg ± SD: no PS-1 = 474 ± 758; PS-1 = 246 ± 220; t = 1.01, df = 35, p = 0.31).

### Studies I and II

Given the small number of subjects not currently on neuroleptic medication in each study, samples were combined \( (N = 56) \) with the aim of providing the best estimate of the rate of parkinsonism in this adult ASD population. The mean age of the combined sample of the 20 subjects not on neuroleptics was 55.6 ± 8.6 (years ± SD), range 42–77 years. All subjects were male. Four subjects \( (20\%) \) received a diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease.
by independent neurologists ($N = 3$) or were started on levodopa ($N = 1$). Available information documented that none of these four subjects had been given a neuroleptic medication in the previous 5 years (and perhaps longer, but sufficient documentation was not available to make a statement about a longer period of time in all four subjects). There was no significant difference in rate of parkinsonism (4/20 subjects, or 20 %) in this group versus the 11/36 subjects (31 %) from the combined study group who were on neuroleptics ($\chi^2 = 0.52, df = 1, p = 0.46$). A significantly greater number of subjects were on neuroleptics in study II (78 %) than in study I (37 %) ($\chi^2 = 7.71, df = 1, p = 0.006$).

### Discussion

In this paper, high rates of parkinsonism are reported in a sample of 19 adults with ASD over 49 years of age, who were directly examined, characterized on a structured rating scale, and diagnosed according to the standardized diagnostic criteria. These findings were then replicated in an independent sample of 37 adults with ASD over age 39. After excluding subjects currently on neuroleptic medications, the frequency of occurrence of parkinsonism was 20 % in the combined sample and 17 and 25 %, in each sample, respectively. Four patients (7 % of the combined sample) were diagnosed with PD by community neurologists. In two patients, the diagnosis should be considered tentative—one was on levodopa and while they met the criteria for parkinsonism, they had no explicit diagnosis of PD in the medical record, whereas the second patient met the diagnostic criteria for parkinsonism and was diagnosed as having “lower limb parkinsonism.”

The findings from this study suggest high rates of parkinsonism among ASD individuals over 39 years of age. The rate of parkinsonism in the general population aged 65–70 has been estimated at 0.9 % [36], compared to 27 % in our combined total sample and 20 % when subjects on atypical neuroleptics were excluded. It is important to note that the de Rijk et al. [36] study included individuals with drug-induced parkinsonism in their parkinsonism group, making the comparison even more conservative (as those subjects were excluded from the current study). Moreover, in the current study, parkinsonism was only diagnosed when it occurred together with bradykinesia (a required criterion), whereas in the de Rijk et al. [36] study, parkinsonism was diagnosed if any two of the four cardinal symptoms for PD were present. Furthermore, the rates observed in the present study are likely to be underestimated, as not all subjects were subjected to a complete and thorough neurological examination.

#### Table 3: Frequency of Parkinsonian signs by IQ group

| Motor signs % (N) | Study I | Study II |
|-------------------|---------|---------|
|                   | ≥50 (N = 8) | <50 (N = 11) | ≥50 (N = 7) | <50 (N = 36) |
| Resting tremor    | 0 (0) | 27 (3) | 29 (2) | 17 (5) |
| Rigidity          | 50 (4) | 18 (2) | 29 (2) | 17 (5) |
| Bradykinesia      | 25 (2) | 20 (2)* | 43 (3) | 57 (17) |
| Postural instability* | 13 (1) | 20 (1)* | 14 (1) | 20 (6) |

*One subject, with an IQ score less than 50, in study I could not be assessed for bradykinesia (total available N = 10); six subjects with IQ scores less than 50 in study I could not be assessed for postural instability (total available N = 5).

#### Table 4: Frequency of Parkinsonian signs by current neuroleptic treatment

| Motor signs % (N) | Current medication treatment |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                   | Study I | Study II |
|                   | Yes (N = 7) | No (N = 12) | Yes (N = 29) | No (N = 8) |
| Resting tremor    | 14 (1) | 17 (2) | 24 (7) | 0 |
| Rigidity          | 43 (3) | 25 (3) | 24 (7) | 0 |
| Bradykinesia      | 14 (1) | 27 (3)* | 48 (14) | 38 (3) |
| Postural instability | 0* | 20 (1)* | 17 (5) | 25 (2) |

*One subject who does not currently take neuroleptic medication in study I could not be assessed for bradykinesia (total available N = 11); three subjects currently taking neuroleptic medication could not be assessed for postural instability (N = 4), and three subjects who are not currently taking medication in study I could not be assessed for postural instability (total available N = 9).

#### Table 5: Frequency of Parkinsonian signs within individuals

| Signs present | Number of subjects |
|---------------|--------------------|
| Tremor + rigidity + bradykinesia + postural instability | Study 1 | Study 2 |
| Tremor + rigidity + bradykinesia | 0 | 1 |
| Postural instability + bradykinesia | 1 | 3 |
| Tremor + bradykinesia | 0 | 2 |
| Rigidity + bradykinesia | 0 | 1 |
| Postural instability + rigidity | 1 | 0 |
| Tremor + rigidity | 1 | 1 |
| Rigidity only | 2 | 1 |
| Bradykinesia only | 1 | 5 |
| Tremor only | 1 | 1 |
| Postural instability only | 1 | 0 |
study were considerably higher than the 0.1% published rate for Parkinson’s disease in the general population 40 to 60 years of age [37].

The estimates in the current study are conservative in that, in order to maximize accuracy in classifying affected individuals, we used a cutoff of ≥2 when rating the UPDRS and MDS-UPDRS and ratings of 1 were collapsed with ratings of “0” (i.e., rated as “absent”). We view this as a conservative approach that may have led to several false negative classifications (see Appendices 1 and 2 for clinical examples and further clarification). In addition, rates of parkinsonism were based on the entire sample of subjects in each study group, although a substantial portion of subjects in both groups were unable to be rated on signs that required active participation (e.g., finger tapping to rate bradykinesia and postural instability among non-verbal subjects).

The observation that the frequency of motor signs did not differ between those subjects currently taking neuroleptic medications versus those not on such medications, as well as the observation that parkinsonism was undiminished in several subjects on successively lowered doses of atypical neuroleptics, suggests the possibility that some cases of parkinsonism, observed in individuals on neuroleptics, may have been misclassified as being secondary to medications, when in fact they may have had a primary parkinsonism. In the Appendices 1 and 2, we describe motor signs in a subject whose motor abnormalities were not improved on decreasing and ultimately discontinued (for 4 months as of the time of this submission) doses of olanzapine. In conclusion, it is highly likely that we may have under-estimated the true frequency of both PD and parkinsonism in ASD, which may be higher than herewith reported.

The process of diagnosing Parkinson’s disease in ASD is complex for several reasons: (1) As we found in this study, many adults with ASD are or have been on neuroleptic medication. (2) ASD has its own motor idiosyncrasies, such as motor stereotypies, generic “clumsiness,” and dyspraxia, which complicate the neurological exam. (3) Non-verbal ASD subjects are difficult to assess with the UPDRS/MDS-UPDRS, given that some of them are unable to follow commands. (4) Given that many adults with ASD live in-group homes or other supported accommodations, with a high turnover of caregivers, information about whether parkinsonian signs are progressive, with persistent asymmetry or had a unilateral onset, cannot always be ascertained. This limitation makes it difficult to use step 3 criteria of the UK Parkinson’s disease Society Brain Bank Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for a definitive diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease. We provide clinical vignettes in the Appendices 1 and 2 that illustrate several of the complexities we encountered in our efforts to classify these individuals relative to the presence or absence of Parkinson’s disease.

An important limitation of this set of studies was the absence of local control groups for direct comparison of rates of parkinsonism and Parkinson’s disease. The lack of a systematic ascertainment scheme in study I precluded the identification of a suitable comparison group. While ascertainment in study II was done more systematically, lack of sufficient funding for that study precluded the addition of a comparably ascertained and assessed contrast group. Nevertheless, we believe that there is no evidence that either study was biased towards recruiting subjects with movement disorders, and the comparable rates observed in the two independent samples examined raises confidence in the validity of the findings. In addition, there was no evidence that potential confounding factors (e.g., low IQ or living in a long-term residential care facility) explained the rates of parkinsonism observed, as rates in the high and low IQ groups and between those living in residential care and others did not differ significantly.

The proportion of subjects with low IQ in both studies was considerably higher than current population estimates based on epidemiologically ascertained samples of school-aged children with autism [5]. A likely reason for this is that the rates of low IQ in the current sample are a reflection of ascertainment characteristics in childhood autism samples of the 1960s and 1970s, when many of the subjects in this study were diagnosed. It is possible that many higher functioning children with autism in the 1960s and 1970s were either undetected or misdiagnosed and continue to be under-recognized as adults, resulting in the difficulties we encountered in finding adults with ASD over 50 in the North Carolina sample and the higher proportion of subjects with low IQ ascertained in both study groups. This possibility is supported by findings from Mandell et al. [38], where 10% of adults with autism in a state psychiatric hospital were found to be misdiagnosed with schizophrenia and other psychiatric conditions. Finally, accurate calculation of IQ scores in adults with ASD was an additional problem encountered in this study. IQ was estimated in some cases, from the Vineland adaptive behavior composite. Given that most of these participants live in-group homes with restrictive policies regarding activities (e.g., cooking, traveling independently), individuals with higher capacity and skills may have been inadvertently penalized with relatively lower scores.

While the findings from these two studies suggest a relationship between ASD and Parkinson’s disease, we can only speculate on the underlying explanation for this observed relationship. Several possibilities exist. First, given the relatively small size and the lack of a population-based ascertainment of both study groups, an unknown ascertainment bias must be considered as a possible explanation. Second, while current medication use did not
appear to explain the rates of parkinsonism in either study, it is possible that long-term neuroleptic use and an underlying increased sensitivity to those medications may have had the effect of increasing the risk for parkinsonism later in life (even in those now off such medications). Thus, future studies should assess lifetime exposure to neuroleptic medication and examine the possibility that individuals with ASD, exposed to neuroleptics during their lifetime, may be at increased risk of developing Parkinson's disease in mid- and later-life. A third possibility is that neuroleptic use may have “unmasked” a pre-clinical stage of Parkinson’s disease, perhaps lowering the threshold for dopamine loss in the development of Parkinson’s disease [39]. This hypothesis warrants examination in longitudinal studies of younger populations, as well as in older populations where better historical information is available.

Of greatest interest is a fourth possibility, that ASD and Parkinson’s disease share a common underlying pathogenetic mechanism or mechanisms that have both early and later manifestations. This idea is reminiscent of the early effects of the expanded triplet repeat in the FMR1 gene in causing both fragile X syndrome in children and the later effects of the FMR1 pre-mutation in causing fragile X-associated tremor and ataxia in carrier grandfathers in their fifth and sixth decades of life [25]. Parkinson’s disease may itself be a syndrome with multiple etiologies, some of which may be shared with ASD.

The findings from these studies, linking ASD and PD, have potential implications for understanding the neurobiology of both conditions. Links between the two have been made previously on overlapping phenomenology and neurobiology [8]. Motor stereotypies are a well-known feature of ASD in a subset of individuals with autism [40], and an emerging literature suggests that a variety of other types of motor deficits (e.g., postural control, precision grip, and motor learning) are present at high rates in individuals with autism [12–17, 41–43]). Making observations of motor impairment in siblings and twins concordant and discordant for autism, Hilton et al. [44] have suggested that motor impairment constitutes a core characteristic of autism and that it is influenced by genetic factors. Also of interest is the relatively recent observation in high risk infant studies that gross motor deficits in the first year of life appear to precede the appearance of social deficits in the latter part of the first and second years, in high risk infants who go on to develop ASD [19–21]. Motor deficits in infancy have also been observed in retrospective, videotape analyses of individuals with autism [18]. Together these findings suggest a more primary and fundamental role of motor dysfunction in the pathogenesis of autism.

Common biological underpinnings between autism and Parkinson's disease have also been suggested. Consistent with the behavioral observations of deficits in motor function in autism, brain abnormalities have been reported in individuals with autism and mouse models of autism, in regions and structures linked to the motor system. Structural imaging studies have noted abnormalities in the striatum [9–11, 45] and resting state functional abnormalities in primary motor cortex [46]. The cerebellum has also been implicated as playing a role in Parkinson’s disease pathophysiology [47], is known to be interconnected to the basal ganglia [48], and has been noted to be abnormal in individuals with autism on postmortem examination [49, 50]; brain imaging [51, 52], rapid precision grip [53], and in mouse models of the disorder [54, 55]. Genetic links between PD and autism have also been suggested by molecular studies identifying a mutation in Parkinson disease-associated, G-protein-coupled receptor in a number of unrelated autistic individuals [24]. Finally, the finding of high rates of parkinsonism in pre-mutation carriers of the fragile X mutation who manifest signs of FXTAS [25–27] suggests a possible common underlying biology in the subset of individuals with autism who go on to have Parkinson’s disease in later life. The molecular signaling pathways involved in fragile X (e.g., phosphoinositide 3-kinase or PI3-kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin or mTOR) lay at the confluence of molecular signaling pathways underlying several other genetically defined autistic syndromes (e.g., Rett Syndrome, PTEN, and tuberous sclerosis) and have been implicated in Parkinson’s disease [56–58]. While specific biological mechanisms underlying the possible relationship between Parkinson’s disease and autism remain to be explored, identifying those individuals with parkinsonism in adulthood is a reasonable strategy to explore in identifying a more etiologically homogenous subgroup with autism than those with idiopathic autism.

The possibility that individuals with autism are at increased risk for Parkinson's disease as adults has important implications for detection and assessment, clinical practice, systems of care, training, and public policy. While research on ASD is now underway in young adult populations, the striking absence of research on autism in “older” adults has been noted in the literature [7]. This dearth of knowledge about the problems faced by this population is likely to have a serious impact on future quality of care, with the increasing demands for care associated with the dramatic aging of western societies. The finding of high rates of Parkinson’s disease in older adults with autism is likely to be only one of many potential new findings to be discovered, as the research community turns its attention to this relatively neglected population. Such findings will have important implications for building capacity to care for such individuals, as it is likely that there will be unique aspects of their
care that will need to be appreciated. If the findings of the present study are confirmed, there will be a need to understand the underlying pathogenesis of parkinsonism and Parkinson’s disease in ASD, to examine co-occurring behavioral and other features of the condition and to explore potential differences in treatment from the traditional approaches to Parkinson’s disease in individuals without ASD. Our finding of a high frequency of parkinsonism among middle-age and older autistic individuals on atypical neuroleptics emphasizes the urgent need to clarify whether there is a subset of individuals with ASD at a high risk for developing movement disorders, as well as exploring the possibility that neuroleptic medications may unmask or precipitate true Parkinson’s disease in older individuals with ASD. As a more general observation, the vast majority of the subjects we detected who had parkinsonism and were on neuroleptic medications, had motor deficits not appreciated by their care providers or noted in the medical records. Failure to recognize drug-induced parkinsonism in the elderly is well described [59], and it is possible that recognition may be even lower in elderly with ASD. Co-morbid dementia may complicate a diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease, but none of our subjects had a history of functional decline or stepwise deterioration.

The lack of controls in both studies and ascertainment scheme in study I have been noted above as limitations of this work. There were also differences between studies in level of education, IQ, and living arrangements that may have had an unknown impact on the findings. Another limitation was that only 22 % of patients in study 2 were neuroleptic free, as compared to 63 % in study 1. These findings suggest that a relatively high percentage of adults with ASD will be on neuroleptic medication and/or will have a history of neuroleptic intake. This will be an important confounder for future studies aiming at ascertaining representative samples of adults with ASD off neuroleptics. The safety of withdrawal of neuroleptics among ASD individuals with well-controlled challenging behaviors remains unknown. A better option could be using DaTscan studies, a functional technique of imaging the dopamine transporter that helps to determine presynaptic dopamine neuronal degeneration [60]. DaTscan studies may help distinguishing neurodegenerative from drug-induced parkinsonism, although false-positive results in drug-induced parkinsonism has been reported [61]. Other limitations included the lack of establishment of inter-rater reliability within and across studies, although good reliability of the UPDRS and MDS-UPDRS has been demonstrated [33, 62], limited information on life-long medication history and, for a number of subjects, limited information about early childhood behavior (to provide more certainty of diagnosis). Our relatively small sample size may account for the lack of significant differences in

Conclusions

We find a high frequency of parkinsonism among ASD individuals older than 39 years. If high rates of parkinsonism and potentially Parkinson’s disease are confirmed in subsequent studies of ASD, this observation has important implications for understanding the neurobiology of autism and treatment of manifestations in older adults. Given the prevalence of autism in school-age children, the recognition of its life-long natural history, and the recognition of the aging of western societies, these findings also support the importance of further systematic study of other aspects of older adults with autism.

Appendix

To maximize confidentiality, demographic information was not included in the vignettes below. For the following vignettes, we employ the following scoring convention: (1) UPDRS: 0 = absent; 1 = mild; 2 = moderate; 3 = severe, 4 = unable to perform task; (2) MDS-UPDRS: 0 = absent, 1 = slight, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = severe.

Description of ASD individuals meeting diagnostic criteria for parkinsonian syndrome

Study I

Subject 1

On the UPDRS, this subject had moderate resting and action tremor in both upper limbs; moderate rigidity in the right upper limb, marked rigidity in the left upper limb, and severe rigidity in both lower limbs; marked bilateral bradykinesia on hand movements; moderate deficit on arising from chair; moderately stooped posture; shuffling gait; mild postural instability; and moderate body bradykinesia (note: finger tapping, rapid alternating movements, and leg agility could not be assessed due to poor comprehension/cooperation). Total UPDRS motor score was 38 (note: total score refers to the cumulative score across individual items appearing in section 3 of the UPDRS/MDS-UPDRS). Subject 1 was on carbidopa/levodopa 250 mg t.i.d started by a neurologist independent of the study.
However, we believe in some
is tested on finger tapping, hand move-
and concerns about
The subject was not receiving this treatment at
assessment is divided into (1) postural tremor of
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involving multiple limbs) or had evidence of car-
reliability of ratings of
MDS-UPDRS (i.e., slight or mild) as 0 (i.e.,
possible false negative classification
We conservatively considered scores of 1 on the UPDRS/
MDS-UPDRS (i.e., slight or mild) as 0 (i.e., “absent”). The
rationale for this was to increase certainty in ratings of
motor signs deemed to be “present” and concerns about
reliability of ratings of “1.” However, we believe in some
cases this may have led to false negative classification.
Specifically, several subjects not on neuroleptics were rated a
1 on items involving multiple measurements (such as
“rigidity” involving multiple limbs) or had evidence of
cardinal signs that were measured in multiple ways (e.g., mul-
tiple items for bradykinesia), but all ratings were 1.
Regardless of the consistency across items or measure-
ments within a single domain, a rating of 1 was always
rated as equivalent to “absent”. An example is provided
below:
Subject A
On the UPDRS, this subject showed severe neck rigidity,
marked rigidity in the right upper and lower limbs, and
slight rigidity on the left lower limb. He was also rated
as having mild bilateral bradykinesia in the upper limbs
on rapid alternating movements, mild slowness in
arising from a chair, slightly stooped posture, moderate
difficulty in walking due to a shuffling gait, and mild
postural instability. Total UPDRS motor score was 21.

ASD subject with parkinsonian syndrome, on neuroleptics
at the time of assessment
The subject below met criteria for Parkinsonian syn-
drome; but was on neuroleptic medication (olanzapine
7.5 mg/day).

Subject B
On the MDS-UPDRS, this subject showed mild postural,
kinetic, and resting tremor of the right upper limb and
moderate postural, kinetic, and resting tremor of the left
upper limb; mild rigidity in the neck, both upper limbs,
and the right lower limb; mild bilateral bradykinesia on
finger tapping, hand movements, pronation-supination
of hands, toe tapping, and leg agility; slight slowness on
arising from a chair; slight shuffling gait; absence of pos-
tural response; moderate stooped posture; and moderate
body bradykinesia. Total UPDRS motor score was 56.
Olanzapine was slowly reduced and ceased, but at 4-month
follow-up, parkinsonian signs remained unchanged.

Scoring system
Tremor assessment is divided into (1) postural tremor of
the hands and (2) kinetic tremor of the hands (which is
tested by the finger-to-nose maneuver) and rest tremor
amplitude (this includes tremor that may appear at any
time during the exam, including when quietly sitting, dur-
ing walking, and during other bodily movements). Score
range from normal (score = 0) to severe (score = 4).

Rigidity is judged on slow passive movement of major
joints with the individual in a relaxed position and the
examiner manipulating the limbs and neck. Neck and
limbs are tested and rated separately, and scores range
from normal (score = 0) to severe (score = 4).

Bradykinesia is tested on finger tapping, hand move-
ments, pronation-supination movements of hands, toe
tapping, leg agility, and global spontaneity of movement
(body bradykinesia). Each limb is tested and rated separa-
tely evaluating speed, amplitude, hesitations, halts, and
decrementing amplitude. Body bradykinesia provides a
Subject 2
On the UPDRS, this subject showed mild resting tremor
in the right upper limb; mild rigidity of the neck and the
right lower limb; mild stooped posture; marked shuffling
gait; marked absence of postural reflexes; and moderate
body bradykinesia (note: hand movements and rapid al-
ternating movements could not be tested due to poor
comprehension/cooperation). Total UPDRS motor score
was 12. An independent neurology report indicated that
symptoms were consistent with “gait predominant Parkin-
sonism” and that a trial treatment with Sinemet “might be
beneficial.” The subject was not receiving this treatment at
the time of the study.

Study II
Subject 3
On the MDS-UPDRS, this subject showed slight bilateral
kinetic tremor, slight bilateral bradykinesia on finger tap-
ing, mild bradykinesia on hand movements in the right
upper limb, and moderate bradykinesia of the left upper
limb. He had slight bradykinesia on pronation-supination
of the right hand and moderate bradykinesia of the left
hand, slight bilateral bradykinesia on both toe tapping and
leg agility; mild shuffling gait; absence of postural re-
sponse; moderate stooped posture; and mild body brady-
kinesia. Total MDS-UPDRS motor score was 28. This
individual was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease by a
neurologist independent of the study. He was recently
started on levodopa (100 mg tds) with marked improve-
ment on upper limb tremor.

Subject 4
On the MDS-UPDRS, this subject showed mild bilat-
eral bradykinesia on finger tapping, hand movements,
pronation-supination movements of hands, toe tapping,
and leg agility; slight slowness arising from a chair; slight
shuffling gait; absence of postural response; and mild body
bradykinesia. Total MDS-UPDRS motor score was 28. This
individual was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease by a
neurologist independent of the study but was not on
levodopa at the time of the assessment.
score combining all observations on slowness, hesitancy, and small amplitude and poverty of movement in general. Scores range from normal (score = 0) to severe (score = 4).

Postural stability is the examination of the response to sudden body displacement produced by a quick, forceful pull on the shoulders while the individual is standing erect with eyes open and feet comfortably apart and parallel to each other. Scores range from normal (score = 0) to severe (score = 4).
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