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Abstract

**Purpose:** This study aims to compare the health economics and quality of life between uncut Roux-en-Y and Roux-en-Y reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy.

**Methods:** Between January 2016 and January 2019, 164 patients underwent laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy (uncut Roux-en-Y reconstruction, $n = 71$; Roux-en-Y, $n = 93$). The patients’ data were collected and reviewed retrospectively.

**Results:** The perioperative index, operating time, digestive tract reconstruction time, and first postoperative exhaust time of the U-RY group were shorter than those of the RY group ($P < 0.05$). Differences in the quality of life (QoL) index 12 months postoperation were not significantly different between the groups ($P > 0.05$). No recanalization was found in the U-RY obliterated afferent jejunal limb. The cost of mechanical staples and average hospitalization in the U-RY group were significantly lower than that in the RY group ($P < 0.05$).

**Conclusion:** The postoperative health economics of U-RY reconstruction may be superior to those of RY reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy. The QoL of the U-RY group is similar to that of the RY group.

Introduction

As living standards improve and dietary structures change, the incidence of malignant tumors in the middle and upper portions of the stomach and esophagogastric junction has gradually increased year by year. At present, surgical resection remains the main treatment for gastric cancer. Developments in laparoscopic techniques, postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy, and targeted therapy since the 21st century have resulted in vast improvements in the overall quality of life (QoL) of patients with gastric cancer after surgery. In many cases, QoL is a major consideration in the treatment plan of surgeons.

Although U-RY and RY reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy is widely adopted, each technique presents unique advantages and disadvantages. RY anastomosis preserves good anti-bile and pancreatic reflux ability, but some patients reported Roux-Y stasis syndrome after gastrectomy. In theory, U-RY anastomosis results in strong anti-biliary, pancreatic juice regurgitation, and RSS capabilities; however, the possibility of dehiscence and recanalization of the jejunal occlusion cannot be excluded. Although some studies have compared the safety, feasibility, and nutritional status of U-RY and RY anastomoses, research on the health economics and QoL of laparoscope-assisted U-RY and RY reconstruction after total gastrectomy is limited. Today, experts at home and abroad continue to debate the advantages and disadvantages of U-RY anastomosis after surgical resection. In addition, no study that dynamically compares the QoL of U-RY with RY reconstruction by using standard questionnaires at multiple time points has yet been published.

Herein, we performed a retrospective study to compare the results of U-RY and RY reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy for gastric cancer in China. Specifically, we report the results of interim analysis over a period of 12 months.

Materials And Methods

Patients

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Weifang People's Hospital. All patients consented to the data being used for research when receiving treatment. The inclusion criteria were as follows: gastroscopic biopsy-confirmed adenocarcinoma of the stomach, imaging confirmation that the tumor is located in the middle and upper portions of the stomach or esophagogastric junction, and CTNM stage -. The exclusion criteria were as follows: received neoadjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy prior to operation, failure to achieve R0 resection, and gastric stump cancer.

The study protocol was approved by the research ethics committee of Weifang People's Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to operation.

Operative Procedure

All patients underwent laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy on the basis of Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines. After laparoscopic lymph node dissection, a small (i.e., approximately 10 cm) incision was made from the upper abdomen, the esophagus was cut off at approximately 2–5 cm from the upper edge of the lesion, and the specimen was removed. Digestive tract reconstruction was performed in vitro.

U-RY and RY reconstructions were established by using mechanical staples. In the U-RY group, an esophagojejunostomy was established between the lower esophagus and jejunum 45 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz after total gastrectomy. Then, a side-to-side jejunoojejunostomy was established between the afferent and efferent jejunal limbs approximately 40 cm distal to the esophagojejunostomy site and 20 cm distal to the ligament of Treitz. Finally, the jejunal lumen was occluded using the four-row (knifeless) stapler method at a site 3–5 cm proximal to the esophagojejunostomy. In the R-Y group, the jejunum was divided and closed using a 60 mm linear 20 cm distant from the Treitz ligament. Next, an esophagojejunostomy was established between the lower esophagus and distal jejunum with a 25 mm circular stapler in an end-to-side fashion. A side-to-side jejunoojejunostomy was established between the proximal and distal jejunums 40 cm below the esophagojejunostomy.

All operations on patients with gastric cancer were performed by the same surgical team. The two methods of digestive tract reconstruction are depicted in Figs. 1,2,3.
Observation indicators and evaluation standards

The operation time, digestive tract reconstruction time, intraoperative blood loss, first exhaust time, postoperative hospital stay, and perioperative complications were monitored during the perioperative period.

Average hospitalization and mechanical staple costs were also recorded as health economics.

The QoL index of the two groups 1 year after operation was assessed using the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ-C30 and QLQ-STO22 questionnaires. Gastroscopy and upper gastrointestinal angiography were reviewed 1 year after surgery, and recanalization of the jejunal occlusion in the U-RY group, if any, was recorded.

The EORTC QLQ-C30 (V3.0) questionnaire is a 30-item scale for cancer patients. Except for items 29 and 30, the scores for which ranged from 1 to 7, all other items were scored from 1 to 4, corresponding to the response categories “never,” “a little,” “quite a bit,” and “very much.” The 30 items could be divided into 15 fields, including five functional fields (i.e., physical, role, cognitive, emotional, and social), three symptom fields (i.e., pain, fatigue, nausea and vomiting), one global health status field, and six single items (each as a field, i.e., Appetite loss, Insomnia, Constipation, Dyspnea, Diarrhea, Financial difficulties). The EORTC QLQ-STO22 questionnaire consists of 22 items that can be divided into 9 areas: five symptom areas (i.e., dysphagia, pain, eating restrictions, reflux symptoms, and anxiety) and four single items (i.e., dry mouth, taste, body image, and hair loss). The QLQ-C30 and QLQ-STO22 responses were linearly transformed into scores ranging from 0 to 100 according to the EORTC scoring manual. High scores for items related to global health status and functions and low scores for items related to symptoms and ten single items (i.e., Appetite loss, Insomnia, Constipation, Dyspnea, Diarrhea, Financial difficulties, dry mouth, taste, body image, and hair loss) denote a favorable QoL. The baseline QoL was obtained prior to the operations. Postoperative QoL scores were collected 1, 6, and 12 months after the operation by telephone calls, letters, or outpatient visits. The follow-up period was up to January 2020.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 25.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables were compared using independent-samples t test and are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The frequencies of categorical variables are expressed as rates, and rates were compared using the chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test. Here, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 176 patients were included in this work on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria described above. Seven patients were lost to follow-up and five died (i.e., two patients in the U-RY group and three patients in the RY group). Finally, 164 patients (male = 135, 82.3%; female = 29, 17.7%) were included in this study. These patients were divided into the U-RY (n = 71) and RY (n = 93) groups according to the technique used for digestive tract reconstruction. The baseline characteristics of the two groups were comparable (Table 1).

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients in the two groups
No deaths were recorded during the perioperative period. The operation, digestive tract reconstruction, and first postoperative exhaust times of the U-RY group were shorter than those of the RY group (P < 0.05). The rates of incidence of specific complications in the two groups were 12.7% and 16.1%, respectively, but the difference observed was not statistically significant. All perioperative complications were cured by conservative treatment, and no case of secondary operation was noted. The cost of mechanical staples and average hospitalization in the U-RY group were significantly lower than that in the RY group (P < 0.05; Table 2).

Table 2. Perioperative conditions and health economics

| Item                                | U-RY group\(n=71\) | RY group\(n=93\) | P value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Operative time\(\text{min}\)        | 252.7±21.1          | 261.5±20.5        | 0.008   |
| Time to digestive tract reconstruction\(\text{min}\) | 33.4±6.5            | 40.5±6.9          | 0.000   |
| Blood loss\(\text{ml}\)             | 62.7±9.6            | 65.4±9.3          | 0.066   |
| Digestive tract reconstruction time\(\text{hours}\) | 56.2±7.7            | 59.5±8.5          | 0.010   |
| Postoperative hospital stay\(\text{days}\) | 10.9±1.8            | 11.4±1.8          | 0.053   |
| Postoperative complications\(\%\)   | 9\(12.7\)           | 15\(16.1\)        | 0.535   |
| Bleeding                            | 1                   | 1                 |         |
| Infection                           | 7                   | 13                |         |
| Anastomosis leakage                 | 1                   | 0                 |         |
| Intestinal obstruction              | 0                   | 1                 |         |
| Hospitalization costs (wan yuan)    | 5.5±0.6             | 5.8±0.7           | 0.007   |
| The mechanical staplers cost\(\text{wan yuan}\) | 1.5±0.2             | 1.8±0.2           | 0.000   |

Perioperative condition and health economics

QoL assessment
Twelve months postoperation, the main symptoms of the patients included postprandial abdominal pain and discomfort, sulks, and reflux. No significant difference in QoL was noted between the two groups at baseline and various time points after surgery (P > 0.05).

QLQ-C30 scale: The scores of physical functioning, role functioning (Whether limited in doing work, other daily activities, pursuing hobbies and other leisure time activities), social functioning, and global health status increased whereas the scores of pain and fatigue decreased in both groups. The pain scores of the two groups were relatively high from 1 month to 12 months postoperation.

QLQ-ST022 scale: From 1 month to 12 months after surgery, symptoms such as dysphagia, reflux, pain and restricted eating significantly improved. At 6 months postoperation, the hair loss and taste scores of the two groups remained relatively high. The scores of reflux symptoms 12 months after RY anastomosis were lower than those observed after U-RY, but the difference between groups was not significant (P > 0.05). No significant improved in other symptoms, such as dry mouth, changes in taste, anxiety, body image, and hair loss, were found (Fig. 4, Table 3).

Table 3 The preoperative and postoperative QoL items scores of patients between the two groups
## Discussion

Some controversy exists regarding the application of U-RY anastomosis to digestive tract reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy. In particular, two main aspects are debated: (1) In terms of surgical safety and feasibility, the length of the mesentery may be too short, which could result in excessive tension of the esophagojejunostomy and increased incidence of anastomotic leakage during U-RY reconstruction. Moreover, when the tumor is located at the gastroesophageal junction, the anastomotic site of the esophagojejunostomy tends to be at a higher position (“at a high position” relative to esophagogastic junction). Thus, the afferent and efferent jejunal limbs may be embedded in the esophageal hiatus, and mechanical intestinal obstruction may develop. (2) The possibility of dehiscence and recanalization of the jejunal occlusion cannot be excluded, and severe reflux esophagitis may occur after recanalization.\textsuperscript{15,17}

Prevention of closure point recanalization after uncut Roux-en-Y anastomosis for radical resection of gastric cancer
Uncut Roux-en-Y anastomosis is widely used in gastrointestinal reconstruction procedure after radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer. However, the proximal jejunal closure point recanalization of the input loop is an important complication of postoperative patients with prolonged time, resulting in pancreatic juice or bile reflux, which can lead to inflammatory lesions of the remnant stomach or esophagus. Poor selection of the location of the closure point during anastomosis causes a large amount of food deposited in the blind loop to be pushed and impacted, resulting in loosened threads or failed U-shaped staples, which may cause recanalization complications. Most scholars believe that the shortening of the jejunal tube closure point to the optimal position of 2 to 3 cm from the residual gastrojejunostomy can significantly reduce food retention, decrease the pressure of the closure point and the incidence of recanalization.\textsuperscript{18} At present, the application of new anastomotic techniques and materials such as four-row and six-row U-shaped staples and # wire ligation under laparoscopy can prevent the occurrence of recanalization of the closure point. Uncut Roux-en-Y anastomosis is safe and has few complications, and is expected to become one of the best ways of digestive tract reconstruction.\textsuperscript{18}

In terms of perioperative conditions

This study found that the incidence of short-term complications in the U-RY group is similar to that in the traditional RY group (P > 0.05). During digestive tract reconstruction in the U-RY group, a small number of patients with obesity and too high severed esophagus (approximately 5 cm) exhibited mesenteric tension (“too high severed esophagus” relative to esophagogastric junction). We performed mesentery incision and release and cut open part of the diaphragm to enlarge the space of the diaphragm hiatus and solve problems related to the safety and feasibility of the operation. The operation, digestive tract reconstruction, and first postoperative exhaust times of the U-RY group were shorter than those of the RY group (P < 0.05). A retrospective study found that the digestive tract reconstruction time of the U-RY group is 5 min shorter than that of the RY group, but the overall morbidity was not significantly different between groups (P < 0.05).\textsuperscript{6} Because U-RY reduces the operation times for intestinal tract dissection and mesangial cutting, it may shorten the overall operation and digestive tract reconstruction times. U-RY also maintains the continuity and integrity of the intestinal tube such that the intestinal function of patients is quickly recovered after operation.\textsuperscript{19-20} These results are similar to those of Yun et al.\textsuperscript{21}

In terms of quality of life

The QLQ-C30 and QLQ-STO22 questionnaires are important scales for measuring the QoL of cancer patients; indeed, both are often used to evaluate the QoL of postoperative patients with gastric cancer.\textsuperscript{14,22-24} This study found that the physical function, role functioning, and global health status of the patients were improved and their pain symptoms were alleviated within 1 – 12 months after operation. This result suggests that resection of gastric tumor can improve the QoL of patients. Preoperative insomnia, anxiety, and emotional scores were generally high, likely because of the psychological factors of patients. Earlier studies indicated that U-RY reconstruction preserves strong anti-biliary, pancreatic juice regurgitation capabilities and improves the QoL of patients after surgery.\textsuperscript{4,23} In this study, although no significant difference in the incidence of reflux esophagitis was noted between the two groups (P > 0.05), the reflux symptom score of the U-RY group was significantly higher than that of the RY group. The differences found may be caused by differences in the operation steps, abdominal incision, and operative visual field and the establishment of a jejunal closure point of input loop in the U-RY group (Figs 2). The length of the jejunal blind loop in the U-RY group was longer than that in the RY group, and a long blind loop could allow intestinal fluid accumulation in the blind loop in the former, thereby increasing the incidence of alkaline reflux esophagitis. Whether the length of the jejunal blind loop is closely related to the incidence of reflux esophagitis requires further study.

Pain is a subjective feeling that is generally believed to be affected by postoperative abdominal adhesion, reflux symptoms, and other factors.\textsuperscript{12} Both groups reported high pain scores 12 months after surgery, and the difference between groups was not statistically significant (P >0.05). The main manifestations of pain include postprandial abdominal pain and discomfort, most of which could be relieved after 30 min. We believe that this pain may be attributed to patients eating large amounts of food within a short period of time, which results in forced expansion of the efferent jejunal limbs and tractive pain; as food is digested, the small intestine is weakened by traction stimulation. Abdominal pain and discomfort symptoms were gradually relieved. Six months postoperation, the hair loss and taste scores of the two groups remained relatively high, mainly because the patient was likely to be on postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. The jejunal lumen is occluded using the four-row (knifeless) stapler method at a site located 3 – 5 cm proximal to the esophagojejunostomy. We did not observe recanalization of the jejunal occlusion in the U-RY reconstruction 12 months postoperation.

In terms of health economics

The cost of mechanical staples and average hospitalization in the U-RY group were significantly lower than that in the RY group (P < 0.05). One of the main considerations in U-RY reconstruction is the reduction in the number of mechanical staples and sutures used during the operation, which can help reduce the operation cost to a certain extent and reduce the economic burden of patients.

This study includes certain limitations that must be considered when interpreting the results. First, the present work is a single-center retrospective study including a small number of cases and short follow-up time. Thus, medical evidence supporting our conclusions is lacking. The results of the present study must be further verified by a large multi-center randomized controlled trial. Secondly, the data of the QLQ-C30 and QLQ-STO22 scales were obtained through questionnaire surveys, which means the results may be susceptible to a certain degree of bias due to the patients’ emotions, feelings, cultural background, and educational level.

Conclusion

The postoperative health economics of U-RY reconstruction may be superior to those of RY reconstruction after laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy. The QoL of the U-RY group was comparable with that of the RY group.
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Figures

Figure 1

Uncut Roux-en-Y reconstruction    Roux-en-Y reconstruction
Adopt four-row staplers (knifeless) methods of jejunal occlusion

Figure 3
Reinforced by interrupted full-thickness sutures at the occlusion site

Figure 4
Comparison of QoL items scores from preoperative baseline to postoperative 12 months between U-RY groups and RY groups.
Figure 5
No recanalization was found at the occlusion site by endoscopy

Figure 6
No recanalization was found at the occlusion site by upper gastroenterography