Association of genetic alterations on chromosome 17 and loss of hormone receptors in breast cancer
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Summary To investigate possible relationships between genetic alterations and hormonal deregulation during breast cancer development and/or progression, we examined 616 primary breast cancers for loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosomal regions 16q24, 17p3.3 and 17q21, and for amplifications of the ERBB2 and c-MYC loci. A comparison of oestrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PgR) status in tumour cells with data concerning these genetic alterations revealed that LOH at 17q21 was significantly correlated with absence of oestrogen receptors (ER) (P<0.0003) or progesterone receptors (PgR) (P<0.0001), and with the absence of both (P<0.0001). Similarly, a significant association was observed between amplification of ERBB2 and the absence of either ER or PgR. LOH at 17p3.3 was associated with the absence of PgR (P<0.01). These data suggest a possible relationship between specific genetic changes on chromosome 17 and hormonal deregulation in the progression of breast cancer.
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It is well known that breast carcinogenesis involves cumulative genetic alterations in oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes (Callahan and Campbell, 1989; Sato et al., 1990, 1991). Amplification of oncogenes such as ERBB2 and c-MYC, and losses of heterozygosity (LOH) at 16q24, 17p3.3 and 17q21, which reflect inactivation of tumour-suppressor genes in these chromosomal regions, have been found in this type of cancer (Callahan and Campbell, 1989; Sato et al., 1990, 1991; Cornelis et al., 1993). On the other hand, it is known that oestrogen and other steroid hormones play a significant role in the aetiology of breast cancer on the basis of results from epidemiological, clinical and in vitro studies (Wittlife, 1984; Henderson et al., 1988; Thompson et al., 1990; Beck and Edwards, 1991; Martin, 1991). Oestrogen is the primary hormonal stimulant for proliferation of breast epithelial cells; proliferating cells appear to sustain a higher risk of undergoing genetic alterations and malignant transformation.

Breast cancers in early stages generally maintain oestrogen-dependent growth. During their progression, however, some lose hormonal control. Their status in this respect, i.e. the hormone dependency or independency of the breast tumour, can be monitored by measurement of oestrogen/progesterone receptors (Wittlife, 1984; Beck and Edwards, 1991; Martin, 1991; Horwitz, 1993). Understanding the relationships between genetic alterations and deregulation of hormonal control is of central importance to considerations of aetiological factors in breast carcinogenesis. Therefore, we investigated 616 primary breast cancers for oestrogen/progesterone receptor status and attempted to correlate these data with five genetic alterations thought to be important in breast carcinogenesis, i.e. amplification of c-MYC and ERBB2 and LOH at chromosomal regions 16q24, 17p3.3 and 17q21.

September 1989 to December 1993, all 616 patients from whom tumours and their corresponding non-cancerous tissues were available were included in the present study; part of this study has also been described previously (Sato et al., 1990, 1991). No patient received preoperative hormone therapy. A list of patient details is available upon request from the authors.

Tumours and their corresponding non-cancerous tissues were obtained at surgery from 616 patients with primary breast cancer. All tissues were dissected in the operating room, frozen immediately and stored at −80°C until isolation of DNA. Tumours were diagnosed by the pathologists according to the histological TNM classification and the histological typing scheme of the Japanese Breast Cancer Society, (1989); the tumours included 14 non-invasive ductal carcinomas, 125 papillotubular carcinomas, 166 solid tubular carcinomas, 256 scirrhus carcinomas, 21 lobular carcinomas, six mucinous carcinomas and 28 special-type cancers.

DNA extraction and Southern blotting

Frozen tissue samples were ground to a very fine powder in liquid nitrogen, suspended in lysis buffer, treated with proteinase K and extracted by phenol–chloroform–isoamyl alcohol as described elsewhere (Sato et al., 1990). Five micrograms of DNA was digested overnight with a 10-fold excess of restriction enzymes (Boehringer Mannheim) and fractionated by electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel. The DNAs were then transferred to nylon membranes (Pall; Biodyne) in 0.1 M sodium hydroxide–0.1 M sodium chloride and fixed by UV cross-linking.

Probes and hybridisation

The DNA markers used in this study, D16S7 (Bufton et al., 1986), 144D6 (D17S34) (Kondoleon et al., 1987), YNZ22 (D17S55) (Nakamura et al., 1987), CI17-701 (D17S870) (Inazawa et al., 1993), CI17-730 (Inazawa et al., 1993) and CI8-134 (D8S177) (Emi et al., 1992), as well as ERBB2 (Yamamoto et al., 1986) have been described previously. TBA5.7 (D2S47) on chromosome 2p and EF6D4.2 (D3S46) on chromosome 3q were selected as control probes from the chromosomal regions where no genetic change is observed in breast cancer (Bragg et al., 1987; Nakamura et al., 1988).

Probes were labelled with ^32P-DCTP by random primer exten-
sion (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1984). Prehybridisation, hybridisation and autoradiography were carried out as described elsewhere (Sato et al., 1990). The membranes were stripped in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide and repeatedly hybridised.

**Definition of LOH and amplification**

LOH and amplification were assessed by quantification of the signal intensities or allelic dosage of the polymorphic alleles by means of a Hoefer GS-300 scanning densitometer as previously described (Fujiwara et al., 1993). As the difference in the amount of DNA between paired normal and tumour DNA may result in an increase or decrease in signal intensities of both alleles in tumour DNA, we measured the amount of DNA on each lane by ethidium bromide staining of the gel and compared that amount with the signals observed by control probes on other chromosomes. Information regarding the amount of DNA was taken into consideration when signal intensities for normal and tumour DNAs were compared. After correction for differences in DNA loading, the signal intensity of each allele of tumour DNA was compared with that of DNA from corresponding normal tissue. Reductions in signal intensity >50% were judged as loss of heterozygosity and increases >200% were judged as amplification.

**Oestrogen (ER) and progesterone (PgR) determination**

ER and PgR were measured by radioreceptor assay in a standard dextran-coated charcoal (DCC) method, using [1,2,6,7-3H]-oestradiol as labelled ligand on homogenates of fresh-frozen tissue (Otsuka Pharmaceutical). All samples containing >5 fmol of ER or PgR per mg protein were considered receptor positive.

| Table I | Relationship between oestrogen and progesterone receptor status in 616 breast cancers |
|---|---|
| | PgR(-) | PgR(+) | Total |
| ER (-) | 147 | 126 | 273 |
| ER (+) | 46 | 297 | 343 |
| Total | 193 | 423 | 616 |

ER(-) or PgR(-), oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor level below 5 fmol mg⁻¹ protein. ER(+) or PgR(+), oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor level above 5 fmol mg⁻¹ protein.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using the χ²-test. One-tailed P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Among the 616 breast tumours examined, 343 (56%) were positive for ER and 423 (69%) were positive for PgR. 297 were positive for both ER and PgR and 147 were negative for both ER and PgR (Table I). DNA from all 616 primary breast cancers and their corresponding normal tissues were amplified for the presence or absence of each of five genetic alterations: LOH at chromosomal regions 16q24, 17p13.3 and 17q21, and amplification of the c-MYC locus at 8q24 and of the ERBB2 locus at 17q11.2. Representative autoradiograms demonstrating LOH or amplification in breast tumours at the marker loci are shown in Figure 1. Table II summarises the frequencies of genetic alterations observed at each of the five genetic regions studied in this series of tumours; 46% for LOH at 16q24, 48% for LOH at 17p13.3, 39% for LOH at 17q21, 30% for amplification of c-MYC and 20% for amplification of ERBB2.

We looked for correlations between each of these genetic alterations and oestrogen/progesterone receptor status. Results are shown in Tables III and IV. ER-negative status was more frequent in tumours that had lost one allele at 17q21 (96/173, 56%) than in tumours that retained both alleles (102/268, 38%) (P<0.0003). Similarly, PgR-negative status was more frequent in tumours that had lost one allele at 17q21 (84/173, 49%) than in tumours that retained both alleles (58/268, 22%) (P<0.0001). Negative status for both ER and PgR was more frequent in tumours with LOH at 17q21 (67/127, 53%) than in tumours that retained both alleles (42/192, 22%) (P<0.0001). ER-negative status was more frequent in tumours with amplification of ERBB2 (67/103, 65%) than in those without amplification (156/391, 40%) (P<0.0001). PgR-negative status was more frequent in tumours with amplification of ERBB2 (49/103, 48%) than in those without amplification at this locus (107/391, 27%) (P<0.0001). Negative status for both ER and PgR was more frequent in tumours in which ERBB2 was amplified (29/58, 50%) than in amplification-negative tumours (78/284, 27%) (P<0.0001).

In addition, PgR-negative status was more frequent in tumours that had lost alleles at 17p13.3 (84/224, 38%) than in tumours that retained both alleles at this locus (65/241, ...
Table II  Genetic changes in primary breast cancers

| Chromosomal region | Markers | Genetic change | No. of informative cases | No. of cases with abnormality |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 16q24             | D16S7   | LOH           | 354                      | 164 (46%)                   |
| 17p13.3           | D17S34.S5 | LOH       | 465                      | 224 (48%)                   |
| 17q21             | D17S870 | LOH           | 441                      | 173 (39%)                   |
| 8q24*             | D8S177 (C-MYC) | Amplification | 195                      | 59 (30%)                    |
| 17q11.2           | ERBB2   | Amplification | 494                      | 103 (20%)                   |

*Of 616 cases studied, only cases informative for polymorphic marker were diagnosed. Examined only in the most recent cases.

Table III  Correlation between genetic alterations and oestrogen/progesterone receptor status

| Genetic alteration | ER (-) (+) | PgR (-) (+) |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| 16q24              | 68/96      | 51/113      |
| Retain             | 93/97      | 67/123      |
| 17p13.3            | 106/118    | 84/140      |
| Retain             | 101/140    | 65/176      |
| 17q21              | 96/77      | 84/89       |
| Retain             | 102/166    | 58/210      |
| c-MYC              | 23/36      | 20/39       |
| Amp (+)            | 58/78      | 39/97       |
| Amp (-)            | 156/235    | 107/284     |
| ERBB2              | 67/36      | 49/54       |
| Amp (+)            | 156/235    | 107/284     |
| Amp (-)            | 67/36      | 49/54       |

NS, not significant; Amp, amplification. ER (-) or PgR (-), oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor level below 5 fmol mg⁻¹ protein. ER (+) or PgR (+), oestrogen receptor or progesterone receptor level above 5 fmol mg⁻¹ protein.

27% (P<0.001). No other genetic alteration was correlated with oestrogen/progesterone receptor status.

Discussion

The high frequencies of LOH (39-48%) in three chromosomal regions, and amplifications (30% and 20%) of two oncogenes, that we detected in a large series of breast cancers imply that these genetic changes are not random events but are associated with development/progression of breast cancer.

We found that LOH at 17q21 and ERBB2 amplification were significantly associated with ER- and PgR-negative state. While we did not detect an association between LOH at 17p13.3 and ER-negative status, Thompson et al. (1990) detected a significant association between them. This discrepancy could be due to differences in sample size, patient population or other unknown factors between the two studies. Slamon et al. (1987) and Yamashita et al. (1993) found no association between ERBB2 amplification and ER- and PgR-negative state, whereas Borg et al. (1991) and Berns et al. (1992) have observed a significant association between them. Our results support the findings of the last studies. It is worth noting that the number of patients analysed in the last two studies and in our present study were relatively larger than those in the other studies. Other genetic alterations, i.e. LOH at 16q24 and amplification of c-MYC, showed no association with ER or PgR status. Of tumours having no alterations of 17p13.3, 17q21 or ERBB2, only a small fraction (18%) were both ER and PgR negative, whereas the majority (73%) of tumours having all three of the genetic alterations involving chromosome 17 were ER and PgR negative.

Normal breast epithelial cells and early-stage breast cancer cells are under the control of oestrogen and other steroid hormones, but only a third of advanced breast cancers show oestrogen dependency. Mechanism of this loss of hormone dependency in breast carcinogenesis is largely unknown. Strong association of loss of hormone receptors with specific genetic alterations on chromosome 17, but not with LOH at 16q24 and c-MYC amplification, imply that alteration of some gene(s) on chromosome 17 might have some relationship to events that render cancer cells independent of hormonal control. However, further functional experiments are necessary to substantiate this notion.

The presence of oestrogen and progesterone receptors in tumour tissue is a known indicator for good prognosis as well as for responsiveness to hormonal therapy in breast cancer; absence of these receptors usually predicts a poor prognosis and non-responsiveness (Horwitz, 1993). Since LOH at 17q21, LOH at 17p13.3 and amplification of ERBB2 was strongly associated with the loss of hormone receptors and possibly in subsequent hormonal deregulation, these three genetic alterations might be reflecting a specific aspect of the molecular biology of malignancy in breast cancer. As such, they may prove useful in predicting prognosis and responsiveness to hormonal therapy when used in conjunction with tests for hormone receptor status.
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