miR-212/132 expression and functions: within and beyond the neuronal compartment
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ABSTRACT

During the last two decades, microRNAs (miRNAs) emerged as critical regulators of gene expression. By modulating the expression of numerous target mRNAs mainly at the post-transcriptional level, these small non-coding RNAs have been involved in most, if not all, biological processes as well as in the pathogenesis of a number of diseases. miR-132 and miR-212 are tandem miRNAs whose expression is necessary for the proper development, maturation and function of neurons and whose deregulation is associated with several neurological disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease and tauopathies (neurodegenerative diseases resulting from the pathological aggregation of tau protein in the human brain). Although their involvement in neuronal functions is the most described, evidences point towards a role of these miRNAs in many other biological processes, including inflammation and immune functions. Incidentally, miR-132 was recently classified as a ‘neurimmIR’, a class of miRNAs operating within and between the neural and immune compartments. In this review, we propose an outline of the current knowledge about miR-132 and miR-212 functions in neurons and immune cells, by describing the signalling pathways and transcription factors regulating their expression as well as their putative or demonstrated roles and validated mRNA targets.

INTRODUCTION

First discovered in 1993 by Lee et al. (1), microRNAs (miRNAs) form a class of small regulating RNA of ~22 nt in length, able to post-transcriptionally regulate the expression of target mRNAs. In most cases, miRNAs interact with their target mRNAs via an imperfect matching occurring between the mRNA 3’-untranslated region (3’-UTR) and a region located between nucleotides 2 and 8 in the 5’ region of the miRNA, referred to as the miRNA ‘seed’ region. Depending on the complementarity degree of this interaction, miRNA can lead to the cleavage and degradation of their mRNA targets (when the matching is perfect or nearly perfect) or to their translational inhibition (when the interaction involves more mismatches) (2). Currently, over 1500 miRNA-encoding genes have been identified in the human genome (miRBase), and bioinformatical analyses based on the complementarity level between miRNA seed regions and mRNA 3’-UTR predict that each miRNA could regulate the expression of dozens to hundreds of mRNAs (2,3). In addition, a particular gene transcript could be the target of several miRNAs (4). However, the predicted impact of miRNAs on target mRNAs is probably underestimated since accumulating data indicate that miRNA regions located outside the seed region are involved in mRNA recognition and that miRNAs can bind other regions than mRNA 3’-UTR, including their 5’-UTR and coding sequence (2). Moreover, miRNAs could also positively regulate gene expression by enhancing mRNA translation and inducing gene expression via target gene promoter binding (5–8), thereby adding more complexity to their initially described mode of action. Altogether, miRNAs are believed to control the expression of one- to two-third of human genes, which explains their involvement in most, if not all, physiological processes as well as their association with numerous diseases when their expression is deregulated (2,3,9,10).

Almost unknown 5 years ago, miR-132 and miR-212, two miRNAs sharing close sequences highly conserved among vertebrates (Figure 1), have been described in an exponential number of publications during these last years, pointing out the pleiotropic feature of these two miRNAs. Most of what we currently know about their regulation and biological functions emerged from studies performed in the neuronal context. However, several
studies have also highlighted their involvement in inflammation and other biological (dys)functions. In this review, we outline the main functions of miR-132 and miR-212 in the neural and immune compartments, through the description of their identified targets in different biological processes as well as the molecular pathways involved in the control of their expression.

THE GENOMIC FEATURES OF miR-212/132 CLUSTER

miRNA-encoding genes display different types of genomic organizations: intergenic miRNAs are located outside known transcription units whereas intragenic miRNAs are embedded in exons or introns, or even overlap exon–intron junctions of coding or non-coding genes (9,11). miR-132 and miR-212 were first reported to be transcribed from the first and stable intron of the non-coding transcript DQ223059, localized on chromosome 10 in rats, and from the first intron of the non-coding transcript AK006051, localized on chromosome 11 in mice (12,13). However, another transcript variant, likely resulting from an alternative splicing form of the AK006051 transcript was then identified in mice, with miR-132 and miR-212 sequences embedded in its second exon (Figure 2). Interestingly, these variants display tissue-specific patterns of expression, with the first variant being expressed in brain and testes and the second one in brain, testes, heart and mammary glands (14). Similarly to their rodent orthologues, hsa-miR-132 and hsa-miR-212 share the same primary transcript (A. Wanet and A. Tacheny, unpublished data). In addition, in human, they are found in an intergenic region located on chromosome 17p13.3. miR-132 and miR-212 exhibit similar mature sequences and share the same seed region (Figure 1); they may therefore target the same mRNAs. Nevertheless, this ‘double-targeting’ by both miR-132 and miR-212 was only demonstrated for few mRNAs so far and each of these miRNAs may also repress specific targets (Tables 1 and 2). Although much less studied than their guide-strand counterparts, it seems important to mention that the miR-212/132 locus maturation also generates two additional miRNAs, miR-212* and miR-132*, whose sequences are indicated in grey in Figure 1c. As the functions of these miRNAs are still unknown, the following sections will focus on miR-132 and miR-212. Nevertheless, one should keep in mind the existence of the star sequences of these miRNAs, which are expected to regulate different mRNA target subsets than miR-132 and miR-212 as they exhibit different sequences.

Regarding its transcriptional regulation, the miR-212/132 locus was first identified in neuronal cells as a target of the cAMP-response element binding (CREB) protein transcription factor by a group using an approach called S tako (serial analysis of chromatin occupancy), enabling the identification of functional transcription factor binding sites at the genome scale (12,15). Soon after, another group using the same technique demonstrated that in non-neuronal cells the miR-212/132 locus is
under the control of the transcriptional repressor Repressor Element 1 silencing transcription factor/neuron-restrictive silencer factor (REST/NRSF) (16). As illustrated in Figure 2, one REST and several CREB-binding sites are conserved among mammals in the vicinity of miR-212/132 locus, suggesting an evolutionary conserved involvement of CREB and REST in the control of miR-212/132 expression.

As far as the maturation of miR-132 and miR-212 from their primary transcript is concerned, relatively few data are available so far. Wibrand and co-workers analysed the pri-miR-212/132, pre-miR-212, pre-miR-132, miR-212 and miR-132 expressions during the long-term potentiation (LTP) of the rat adult dentate gyrus, and found parallel increases in the expression of pri-miR-212/132, pre-miR-212 and pre-miR-132, which suggests that the pri-miR-212/132 is rapidly transcribed and rapidly and efficiently processed in this context (the dentate gyrus is a part of the hippocampus, which is considered to contribute to memories formation; the LTP is thought to be one of the major cellular mechanisms that underlies learning and memory). The elevations in mature miRNAs were however not detected before 2 h later, suggesting a slower processing of the precursors into mature miRNAs. Besides, the elevation in mature miRNAs levels was found to be much smaller (<2-folds) than that of the primary and precursors forms (induced >50-folds), which may suggest that the precursor processing to mature miRNAs is limited, or may also reflect higher basal levels of mature miRNAs (17). If such patterns of maturation are observed in other contexts remains to be determined. Moreover, in a number of studies, one of the two miRNAs was found to be more induced than the other one. Whether this phenomenon results from a different stability of miR-132 and miR-212 and/or from a preferential processing of one of the two hairpin precursors, and if this is linked to the pre-miR or miR sequences also remains to be elucidated, in the different contexts in which these miRNAs have been studied.

### miR-132 AND miR-212 IN THE NEURONAL CONTEXT

**The miR-212/132 transcriptional regulation in neurons**

As both CREB and REST are involved in processes related to neuronal development and function, it is not
surprising that the majority of miR-132 and miR-212’s functions were described in a neuronal context. Indeed, CREB is known to be involved in neuronal survival, maturation, differentiation and function, but also to control developmental plasticity, memory formation, adaptive behaviour, drug addiction and to regulate circadian rhythms (18). REST, on the other hand, is a transcriptional repressor known to actively repress neuronal gene expression in non-neuronal cells by acting as a scaffold protein enabling the recruitment, via the co-repressors interacting with its repressor domain, of histone deacetylases and other epigenetic factors themselves silencing the expression of REST target genes (19). Upon neuronal differentiation however, REST is conjugated to ubiquitin by the E3 ubiquitin ligase SCF and targeted to proteolysis, enabling the expression of its target genes (20).

A functional binding site for REST has been located between the miR-212 and miR-132 sequences (Figure 2), and the involvement of REST in miR-132 expression has been demonstrated as the expression of a dominant negative form of REST in mouse embryonic fibroblasts results in an increased expression of miR-132 (16) (the report does not mention its effect on miR-212 expression, as that study focussed on brain-specific miRNAs and as miR-212 involvement in neuronal functions was still unknown at that time. Nevertheless, given that miR-212 and miR-132 are derived from the same primary transcript, one can deduce that the entire miR-212/132 locus is under the control of REST). Similarly, the involvement of CREB in the transcriptional control of miR-132 and miR-212 was first demonstrated in rat (12) and then in mouse (13) cortical neurons stimulated with neurotrophins. At least four CRE sites are involved in miR-212/132 transcription in mouse (Figure 2). Three of them were first identified in rat: one located between miR-212 and miR-132, near the REST binding site and the two others located immediately upstream of miR-212 sequence (12). In mouse, a fourth CRE binding site, highly conserved, was more recently identified in the promoter of the gene encoding AK006051 transcript, the three other CRE sites located in the first intron of this transcript corresponding to the sites previously identified in rat (13) (Figure 2). Although only two transcription factors, REST and CREB, have been formally demonstrated to control the transcription of miR-212/132, other yet unidentified transcriptional regulators must be involved. Indeed, the deletion of the AK006051 transcript intron 1 nearly abolishes the expression of a luciferase reporter gene while the mutation of the three CRE sites localized in this particular intron only slightly reduces the induction of the luciferase following brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) stimulation (13). In addition, although BDNF-induced CREB-dependent miR-212/132 transcription has been shown to depend on extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK1/2) activation and partly on mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase 1/2 (MSK1/2) activation, an unidentified ERK1/2-dependent, MSK1/2- and CREB-independent mechanism may also contribute to miR-212/132 expression in BDNF-stimulated neurons (13) (Figure 3).

**miR-212/132 are required for neurons morphogenesis**

miR-132 was shown to induce neurite outgrowth and to modulate the dendritic morphology of both immature cortical and hippocampal neurons by repressing p250GAP expression (12,21), a GTPase-activating protein involved in neuronal differentiation (22,23). Beside its interaction with p250GAP mRNA, miR-132 also modulates dendritic plasticity by controlling the expression of another target, methyl CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) (24) (Figure 3). While a decrease in MeCP2 expression during the post-natal period postpones neuronal maturation and synapses formation (25), its over-expression triggers dendrite and axon arborization (26), which suggests the need of maintaining MeCP2 levels between narrow ranges to ensure a proper neuronal development (24). Interestingly, as MeCP2 was suggested to control BDNF expression, which itself leads to the induction of miR-212/132 expression, miR-132 would take part in a feedback mechanism involved in the homeostatic control of MeCP2 expression (24). miR-132 up-regulation resulting from the BDNF stimulation of cortical neurons is also involved, most likely indirectly, in the BDNF-induced expression of the glutamate receptors NR2A, NR2B and GluR1 (27) (Figure 3), adding new mechanisms about the involvement of miR-132 in synaptic functions.

Evidence emerging from in vitro studies demonstrated that miR-132 effects on neuron morphogenesis are not limited to in vitro cultured cells. Indeed, the deletion of miR-132/miR-212 locus is associated with a decrease in spine density and in dendrite length and arborization of newborn neurons in the mice adult hippocampus. As miR-132 is the main product of the miR-212/132 locus maturation in this context, miR-132 was proposed to be required for the dendritic growth and arborization of newborn neurons of the adult hippocampus (28). One may have noticed that the reported positive effect of miR-132 expression on dendritic growth and arborization of neurons appears in contradiction with the observation that MeCP2 over-expression also results in dendritic and axonal arborization (26). MeCP2 being a miR-132 target. The reason of this apparent contradiction may be related to the fact that miR-132 controls the expression of several targets involved both in stimulating (like MeCP2) or inhibiting neurite outgrowth and arborization (as p250GAP). In addition, one cannot exclude the participation of still unidentified miR-132 targets in the positive or negative control of neuron arborization.

Moreover, miR-212/132 primary transcript is up-regulated upon mice neuronal stimulation and it was suggested that miR-132 expression, that is specifically localized in active synapses, may play a role in long-term synapse activation and contribute to memorization processes (29). In addition, miR-132 participates to the integration of newborn neurons into the adult dentate gyrus, as it increases during neuron differentiation and maturation, while its knockdown results in decreased...
synapse formation and impairs the functional integration of newborn neurons (30).

Recent data also indicate that the miR-212/132 locus, that is induced in the visual cortex of light-exposed mice, would regulate the ocular dominance plasticity possibly through miR-132 action on dendritic spine morphology (ocular dominance is the tendency to prefer the visual input from one eye to the other; the ocular dominance plasticity refers to the possibility to modulate the ocular dominance through the ability of the brain to reorganize neuron connections in response to the visual experience, a phenomenon that could occur post-natally during a well-defined sensitive period) (31,32). Interestingly, the induction of pri-miR-212/132 expression in this model would depend on post-translational modifications of histone proteins located at CRE sequences close to miR-132 and miR-212. More precisely, it was shown that the light exposure increases the presence of histone marks known to be regulated by visual experience. Indeed, CRE loci located close to miR-132 sequence and upstream of miR-212 sequence exhibit enhanced lysine 9 and 14 acetylation on histone 3, and the CRE site located close to miR-132 moreover displays additional histone modifications associated with light exposure (enhanced phosphorylation of serine 10 and acetylation of lysine 14 on histone 3, and lysine 4 dimethylation on histone 3) (31).

miR-132 regulates circadian rhythms

Beside its induction by light exposure, a process dependent on histone modifications (31), miR-132 is regulated in a MEK1/2, CREB-dependent manner by photic cues in the suprachiasmatic nucleus and displays an expression profile associated with circadian rhythms, reaching a maximal expression during the subjective day (the subjective day is the part of the circadian cycle corresponding to the illuminated period during an entrainment by light–dark cycles) (33). From a functional point of view, miR-132 would be involved in a negative response to photic entrainment by attenuating the clock resetting triggerer by light exposure, an effect that could be mediated by the repression of the RFX4 (regulatory factor X4) protein expression, a transcription factor highly expressed
in suprachiasmatic nucleus and induced after light exposure during the night. miR-132 would also modulate the expression of period genes mPer1 and mPer2, which are involved in the normal resetting of the circadian clock (34), by repressing the expression of five targets, three of which being involved in chromatin remodelling, MeCP2, p300 and JARID1A (Jumonji, AT-rich interactive domain 1A) (also called ‘retinoblastoma binding protein 2’), and two being implicated in protein translation repression, B-cell translocation gene 2 (BTG2) and polyadenylate-binding protein-interacting protein 2 (PAIP2A) (35). By regulating the expression of these genes (Figure 3), miR-132 would act as a master factor for chromatin remodelling and protein translation thereby enabling the fine-tuned expression of genes involved in the circadian clock entrainment (35).

A CREB-miR-212-MeCP2 regulatory loop is involved in drug addiction

The best-known example of cross-regulation between transcription factors and miR-212/132 has recently been demonstrated in the field of drug addiction. It has been reported that miR-212, and in a smaller extent, miR-132, could themselves regulate the activity of both CREB and transducer of regulated CREB (TORC), one of its co-activators. Indeed, by repressing the expression of sprouty-related, EVH1 domain containing 1 (SPRED1), a protein known to inhibit Raf phosphorylation and activation (36), miR-212 is responsible for Raf1 activation (37). As Raf1 GTPases increase adenyl cyclase activity (38), miR-212 over-expression leads to an increased cyclic AMP production, triggering PKA activation and CREB phosphorylation and activation. The CREB-dependent gene expression is further amplified by a positive effect of cAMP-dependent signalling on TORC, as cAMP can protect TORC against degradation by inducing its acetylation by p300 (37,39) and as PKA activity promotes TORC nuclear relocalization (40) (Figure 3). In this context, it was shown that by amplifying CREB activity, which is known to negatively modulate the reward response to cocaine, miR-212 expression can protect from cocaine addiction by decreasing the responsiveness to the motivational properties of the drug (37). Additional findings have shown that an interaction between miR-212 and MeCP2 [a target of both miR-132 (24) and miR-212 (41)], occurring in the dorsal striatum, is also involved in cocaine addiction. Indeed, striatal MeCP2, whose expression is increased in rats with extended access to cocaine, inhibits miR-212 expression that, in turn, represses MeCP2 expression. As MeCP2 levels are themselves correlated to BDNF expression, that controls cocaine intake, miR-212 would fine-tune the responses to drug abuse both by increasing CREB signalling and decreasing BDNF expression, resulting in a limited cocaine intake (42) [interested readers can refer to (43) for a figure illustrating this BDNF–CREB–MeCP2–miR-212 interplay]. The negative effect of MeCP2 on miR-212/132 expression identified in this study seems, a priori, in contradiction with the suggestion that the loss of MeCP2 would result in the down-regulation of miR-132 expression through decreased BDNF levels in rat cortical neurons (24). However, although the effect of MeCP2 on miR-212/132 transcription may depend on cell types, we cannot exclude that MeCP2 may exert an inhibitory effect on miR-212/132 transcription in cortical neurons as well, which would be hidden by the positive effect of BDNF on miR-212/132 expression.

miR-212/132 deregulation is associated with several brain-related diseases

Given their involvement in neuronal development and functions, it is not surprising that deregulated expression patterns for miR-132 and miR-212 have been associated with developmental defects as well as brain-related disorders. For example, a down-regulation of miR-212 has been identified in foetuses with anencephaly (44). miR-132 expression is down-regulated in two mouse models of Huntington’s disease (45), as well as in the brain of human patients suffering from this pathology (46). Moreover, miR-132 and miR-212 are both deregulated in the prefrontal cortex of individuals affected by schizophrenia and bipolar disorders (47,48). Both miR-132 and miR-212 are down-regulated in the brain of α-synuclein (A30P)-transgenic mice, a model of Parkinson’s disease (49). miR-212 was also found to be down-regulated in Alzheimer’s disease patients, and its expression modulation correlates with the density of neurofibrillary tangles, a characteristic lesion of Alzheimer’s disease (50). However, miR-132 down-regulation in Alzheimer’s disease patients remains controversial, probably due to the differences in the populations studied, the tissues analysed and the protocols used by different groups (51,52). Recently, Smith and colleagues found that miR-132 is also down-regulated in patients suffering from progressive supranuclear palsy, a form of tauopathy linked to abnormal ratios of four repeats- and three repeats-tau isoforms (4R:3R tau ratios). The involvement of miR-132 in this disease would be explained by its ability to target the neuronal splicing factor PTBP2, whose over-expression would lead to abnormal 4R:3R tau ratios and the development of tauopathy (53). Interestingly, a recent report also mentioned that the in vivo microinjection of antagonirs targeting miR-132, which is induced in the mice hippocampus following epileptic seizures, diminished the seizure-elicited neuronal death (54).

Besides their numerous roles in neuronal development, functions and related diseases, increasing evidences point towards an important involvement of miR-132 and miR-212 in mediating inflammatory processes. Subsequently, miR-132 was recently designated as a ‘NeurimmirR’, a class of miRNAs regulating both neuronal and immune functions and was suggested to function as a cross-talk between both systems (55). This idea is strongly supported by the observation that, in vivo, miR-132 knockdown in newborn neurons impairs their integration in the adult dentate gyrus, while its knockdown in PC12 cells results in the up-regulation of pro-inflammatory gene expression (30). Indeed, Luikart
et al. (30) suggested that the miR-132-regulation of pro-inflammatory gene expression may be part of the program necessary for the functional integration of newborn neurons in the adult central nervous system. In addition, the pre-incubation of cortical neurons with glucocorticoids prevents the BDNF-dependent miR-132 induction and the downstream up-regulation of the expression of glutamate receptors NR2A, NR2B and GluR1 above-mentioned (27), suggesting another possible link between neuronal and inflammatory processes. As described in the next section, miR-132 and miR-212 not only contribute to immune processes, but are also regulated by inflammatory signals.

miR-132 AND miR-212 REGULATE AND ARE REGULATED BY IMMUNE PROCESSES

These last 5 years, several groups performing miRNA expression profiling in diverse immune-related contexts came to highlight the induction of miR-132 (and miR-212) in several cell types including monocytes, macrophages, mast cells and lymphatic endothelial cells. miR-132 expression was first described in the inflammatory context by the Baltimore’s group, who profiled the expression of 200 miRNAs in the LPS-stimulated human monocyteic THP-1 cell line and showed that this miRNA, among others, is up-regulated by this pro-inflammatory signal (56). Intriguingly, the induction of pri-miR-212/132 is not detected in LPS-stimulated primary murine bone-marrow-derived macrophages, while it is in THP-1 cells (13). Whether these observations are due to the total absence of a miR-212 and miR-132 induction in those primary murine macrophages, or rather to a very transient induction of the primary precursor, or to modifications in the maturation of the primary and precursor forms leading to increased miR-212/132 levels despite an absence of transcriptional activation, remains to be determined.

miR-132 is also induced in LPS-stimulated primary human macrophages, as well as in the bone marrow and splenocytes of LPS-treated mice, where it represses the acetylcholinesterase (AChE) expression (57). By repressing the expression of AChE, an enzyme hydrolysing the acetylcholine, considered as an important inhibitor of peripheral inflammation, miR-132 would play a role in the brain-to-body resolution of inflammation. Although the regulation of AChE by miR-132 has been demonstrated in splenocytes and macrophages, it probably also occurs in the brain as suggested by the authors, and in this manner plays a role in circadian rhythms (57). Indeed, whereas AChE expression is maximal during sleeping hours and minimal during activity periods (58), miR-132 expression demonstrates an opposite expression profile (33). Incidentally, mice overexpressing AChE exhibit circadian irregularities when subjected to a reversal of the light/dark cycles (59). Besides, the miR-132-AChE interaction may also regulate neuron morphogenesis, as AChE is involved in neurite outgrowth and extension (60,61). A regulation of AChE by miR-132 in neuronal cells would also confer an indirect role for this miRNA in the regulation of cholinergic anti-inflammatory effects attributed to AChE (62).

From a clinical point of view, miR-132 is also, among other miRNAs, up-regulated in peripheral blood...
mononuclear cells (PBMC) of patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis (70). Both the monocyte and macrophage population of PBMC cells display a higher expression of these miRNAs when compared with the lymphocyte fraction, suggesting that monocytes and macrophages most likely predominately contribute to the general up-regulation of these miRNAs—and particularly of miR-132—in PBMC (70). This is consistent with data obtained in our group, indicating that miR-132 and miR-212 are also induced in THP-1 monocytes and macrophages stimulated by various inflammatory cytokines (A. Tacheny, unpublished data). This observation, in consideration with the reported induction of miR-132 in primary human macrophages treated with CpG oligonucleotides, a TLR9 ligand (57), suggests that miR-132 expression in human monocytes and macrophages would be induced by bacterial and viral ligands and more generally by pro-inflammatory molecules. In addition, as several validated targets of miR-132 and miR-212 participate in the inflammatory response (see Tables 1 and 2), like STAT4, AChE, HB-EGF, p300, McCP2 and SirT1, one might consider these miRNAs as part of mechanisms allowing the resolution of inflammation.

miR-212 AND miR-132: BESIDES THEIR NEURIMMIR FUNCTIONS

Besides their involvement in neuronal processes and inflammation, miR-132 and miR-212 have also been described in a number of other fields, in studies enabling the identification of numerous other targets (Tables 1 and 2). Although not developed in this review, it is worth mentioning that a number of reports suggested that miR-212/132 expression could be regulated by hormones, nutrition and metabolism, unveiling emerging additional contexts in which miR-212/132 would exert their regulatory roles.

The most documented emerging field in which miR-212/132 have been involved is probably cell transformation and tumourigenesis—although this may likely result from the increasing interest devoted to miRNA deregulation in cancer. As shown in Table 3, both miR-132 and miR-212 were shown to be up-regulated or down-regulated in different cancer types. Moreover, both tumour-promoting and tumour-suppressing functions (depending on cancer types) were attributed to miR-212/132, unveiling the complexity of their involvement in tumourigenesis. For example, miR-212 down-regulation has been associated with the resistance/bad response to several anti-cancer treatments (71–73), while a reduced miR-132 expression was observed in good responders to ifosfamide, an alkylating agent used in the treatment of cancer (74). Other reports suggest that miR-212 would negatively affect gastric cancer cells proliferation (41) while miR-132 would contribute, in human tumours and haemangiomas, to the angiogenic switch by stimulating endothelial cell proliferation as well as their tube-forming capacity through the targeting of p120RasGAP (75). Regarding the involvement of miR-212/132 in pancreatic cancer, some authors reported the down-regulation of miR-132 in carcinoma tissues compared with their non-cancerous counterparts (76) whereas others found an elevated miR-212/132 expression in pancreatic cancer patients (77), with opposite effects on pancreatic cancer cell proliferation. Although one could speculate that the divergent data obtained in these studies may partly result from different genetic backgrounds in the populations studied, further studies are needed to clarify the effect of miR-212/132 expression on the proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells. Nevertheless, these reports suggest the possibility that a same miRNA may both positively and negatively regulate certain cell functions.

| Cancer type                  | miRNA     | Induced (↑) or repressed (↓) in cancer versus normal tissues/ cells | References |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Chronic lymphoblastic leukaemia | miR-132   | ↑                                                                  | (87)       |
| Haemangiona and endothelium of human tumours | miR-132 | ↑                                                                  | (75)       |
| Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue | miR-132 | ↑                                                                  | (88)       |
| Pancreatic cancer           | miR-132   | ↓                                                                  | (76)       |
|                             | miR-132,  | ↑                                                                  | (77)       |
|                             | miR-212   |                                                                  |            |
| Gastric cancer              | miR-212   | ↓                                                                  | (41)       |
| Non-small cell lung cancer  | miR-212   | ↓                                                                  | (71)       |
| Oral squamous cell carcinoma| miR-212   | ↑                                                                  | (89)       |

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As outlined in this review, numerous studies have highlighted the important role of the miR-212/132 locus in neuronal morphogenesis and function. However, the activities of miR-212/132 are not limited to the neuronal compartment and an increasing number of publications point towards a participation of these miRNAs in inflammation and immune processes and importantly, in the cross-talk between neural and immune functions. The identification of a number of targets enabled to propose mechanisms by which miR-132 and/or miR-212 are involved in these processes. However, as miRNAs are predicted to target numerous mRNAs, one should keep in mind that the resulting effects of a deregulated expression of these miRNAs might potentially results from modifications in the expression of other targets as well, as herein exemplified with the miR-132/AChE interaction. From a transcriptional point of view, although REST and histone modifications were both demonstrated to regulate miR-212/132 transcription, the transcription factor that transcends all the context-specific inductions of miR-212/132 is undoubtedly CREB, which appears to control their transcription in a wide variety of situations and biological processes.
Although miR-212 and miR-132 are simultaneously transcribed, several studies have highlighted that one or the other of these miRNAs was more strongly induced and/or more expressed than the other one in different contexts, raising the currently obscure question of the regulation of miRNAs maturation and turnover. Several proteins have been involved in the enhanced processing or stability of particular subsets of miRNAs or even of specific miRNAs [interested readers can refer to the following reviews (78,79)], but if such actors are involved in the preferential maturation and/or processing of miR-132 or miR-212 remains to be determined. Remenyi et al. (13) suggested that the loop sequences of these miRNAs, which are highly conserved among vertebrates, may play a role in their processing, but the putative proteins binding these loops are still unknown. On the other hand, Lagos et al. (65) suggested that miR-212 would be less stable rather than differentially processed compared to miR-132 in lymphatic endothelial cells, but the maturation and stability of miR-212 and miR-132 may also depend on cell types and physiological contexts. Further studies are therefore clearly required to get deeper insights into the post-transcriptional regulation of miR-212/132.

Another incompletely addressed question so far relates to the relative redundancy of miR-132 and miR-212 functions. The similarity of miR-212 and miR-132 mature sequences and more importantly their identical seed sequences suggest that these miRNAs may result from a gene duplication event in the evolution course. It is therefore not surprising that these miRNAs share several target mRNAs. However, as most studies do not systematically investigate miR-212 and miR-132, it is currently not well defined if these miRNAs really have specific targets, or if they mostly exert numerous and overlapping functions. The currently prevalent model of miRNA target recognition defines the miRNA seed region as the critical determinant of the specific targets recognition. According to this model, miR-212 and miR-132 would be functionally equivalent. However, increasing evidence also point towards a role of miRNA non-seed nucleotides, including in their 3′ region, in the target recognition (2). Indeed, base-pairing between the mRNA target and the miRNA 3′ region can either supplement the traditional seed pairing, or compensate for single-nucleotide bulge or mismatch in the seed region (80,81). The diverging nucleotides between miR-132 and miR-212 sequences (see Figure 1a) may therefore be involved in specific target recognition. Moreover, another likely important parameter of the interaction between a miRNA and a target mRNA is the expression level of the two partners. This is illustrated in the work of Lagos and co-workers, who demonstrated that while miR-132 inhibition in KSHV-infected lymphatic endothelial cells (that overexpress miR-132 compared with non-infected cells) reverses its effect on p300 expression, its inhibition in non-infected cells does not affect p300 levels. These results suggest that a critical level of miR-132 is necessary for its ability to repress p300 expression (65). Therefore, although both miR-132 and miR-212 may target a same mRNA when expressed at sufficiently high levels, their physiological expression levels in different cell types and conditions likely influence their overall ability to repress the expression of a specific mRNA target. A differential processing of miR-132 and miR-212 may therefore account for their putative different abilities to repress a target expression. A particular care should therefore be taken when analysing the potential inhibitory role of a miRNA on a target mRNA expression, as experiments involving the over-expression of miRNAs may potentially identify interactions between miRNAs and mRNA targets that cannot occur at physiological concentrations. Further studies are therefore necessary in order to determine the extent to which miR-132 and miR-212 functions are overlapping. A possible scenario would be that miR-212 and miR-132 exert both redundant and specific functions, by being kept under the same transcriptional control and regulated differently at the post-transcriptional level, and/or by targeting both common and specific mRNA targets.
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