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Abstract

The Reamer-Irrigator-Aspirator (RIA) is an innovative technology created to address physiologic consequences of intramedullary reaming in femur fractures. While multiple physiologic benefits have been demonstrated in animal studies, currently, no high quality data to demonstrate improved clinical outcomes in trauma patients or support its routine use in the treatment of acute femur fractures. Auto graft obtained from the use of RIA demonstrates osteogenic potential at least equal to iliac crest auto graft with potentially less donor site morbidity. The RIA also demonstrates theoretical benefits in the treatment of conditions which require intramedullary debridement; however, at this time no high level comparative trials exist to support its routine use. Although new complications unique to the RIA device have been reported, most can be avoided with careful preoperative planning and meticulous attention to technique. The RIA has many promising characteristics, but further research is necessary to continue to clarify its clinical role in modern orthopaedics.
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Introduction

Gerhard Kuntscher [1] first recognized that placing larger intramedullary devices enhanced the healing of long bone fractures. By the mid-1950’s he had adopted motorized reaming as a standard part of his technique. However, he also recognized that intramedullary fat could be a source of potentially fatal embolus if introduced systemically. To avoid this complication, he recommended over sizing the entry portal to allow the intramedullary contents to escape during insertion, as well as delaying intramedullary instrumentation in hemodynamically unstable patients [1].

The general understanding of the physiologic effects of intramedullary reaming improved over the next 50 years. Thermal and pulmonary injuries were studied and investigators developed crude irrigation-suction systems to reduce heat generation, reduce intramedullary pressure, and minimize embolization of intramedullary contents [2,3]. By the late 1990’s the RIA system had been designed and patented and after several refinements, it was made available for clinical use by 2003. The Reamer-Irrigator-Aspirator (RIA) system was originally designed to provide all the benefits of intramedullary reaming while reducing potentially harmful physiologic effects. However, as often happens with new technology, its indications expanded as it became more widely used. As the osteogenetic potential of the collected reamings was quantified, the device and technique began to be used as a source of auto graft harvest for treatment of bone defects, nonunions and in arthrodesis procedures. In addition the unique features of the device have been applied to debridement of the intramedullary canal in cases of long bone osteomyelitis, retained intramedullary cement and treatment of metastatic bony lesions. Also, accompanying any new medical technology is a new set of unique complications associated with the device which have been described. The RIA is now readily available in the United States and commonly used by many surgeons who routinely treat orthopedic trauma.

Acute Care of Femur Fractures

Femoral intramedullary nailing is the standard of care for femoral shaft fractures in the skeletally mature, but is not without associated risks. Intramedullary reaming temporarily reduces cortical perfusion by over 80%, generates significant heat, and is known to embolize marrow contents with subsequent systemic complications, particularly on the pulmonary system [2,4,5]. Pulmonary dysfunction has multiple causes, including mechanical occlusion of the pulmonary vasculature, changes in the pulmonary artery pressure, and activation of the coagulation and immune systems by intravascular fat. The overall physiologic condition of the patient determines whether these effects will be clinically significant.

Early research on reaming showed that intramedullary pressure could be reduced with narrow, flexible reamer shafts, a long head taper, and enlarged sharp cutting flutes. Researchers later became interested in suction and venting of the femoral canal to potentially reduce the thermal and embolic effects of reaming. When 2 groups of intact sheep femurs were reamed, one with a Rinsing Suction Reamer (RSR) and the other with a conventional reamer, the RSR group showed no increase in intramedullary pressure over normal physiologic values, decreased fat intravasation into the pulmonary circulation, and improved cardiac and pulmonary hemodynamics [6]. In pigs reamed with the RIA there is evidence of improved cardiopulmonary function and fewer pulmonary emboli [7]. A subsequent study by the same group found decreased pulmonary activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis in the RIA group [8]. In a sheep pulmonary contusion model, animals reamed with the RIA had decreased pulmonary permeability and edema, decreased pulmonary leukocyte diapedesis, and less activation of the coagulation cascade, leading researchers to conclude that the systemic effects of reaming can be minimized by reamer design [9]. Finally, in a porcine hemorrhagic shock model, investigators demonstrated significant improvements in mean arterial pressure, pulmonary arterial pressure, arterial partial pressure of oxygen, and cardiac output with the RIA treated group [10]. Animal data confirms that the RIA decreases intramedullary
pressure, temperature, and fat embolization, and attenuates many of the pathologic processes seen in the setting of pre-existing lung contusion or hypovolemia. However there are currently no studies in humans to show improved clinical parameters when the RIA is used. A pair of human trials showed decreased IL-8 and IL 10 levels, and reduced amounts of embolized fat presented to the right atrium in patient with femur fractures treated with RIA reaming [3,11]. However, the authors did not report on clinical outcomes.

The sole study comparing clinical outcomes in trauma patients is a retrospective review of 156 patients treated for diaphyseal femur fractures with reamed intramedullary nails using either RIA or conventional reaming. The authors found no benefit of RIA compared to conventional reaming with respect to mortality, pulmonary complications, ICU stay, mechanical ventilation time, length of stay, or blood loss. The authors did however note a nonsignificant increase in fracture healing complications with RIA compared to conventional reaming [12].

At this time there is insufficient evidence to support the routine use of RIA in the treatment of acute femur fractures. However the physiologic benefits of this technology seem promising and warrant further study.

**Autogenous Bone Graft Harvest**

Kuntscher [1] recognized the osteogenic potential of intramedullary reaming products, and investigators realized the RIA system had potential as a bone graft harvester early in its development. Since 1986 we have known that traditional reaming products contain viable bone, osteocytes, and mesenchymal stem cells. Investigators began to specifically study RIA reaming products as bone graft, demonstrating both osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties, and the ability to form mechanically viable callous [13]. Multiple studies revealed that RIA bone graft contains growth factors and stem cell concentrations that either match or exceed those found in iliac crest bone [14,15]. Surgeons used bone collected from the RIA device for grafting and presented small retrospective studies along with descriptive technique articles that demonstrated excellent clinical efficacy in nonunions, segmental defects, and arthrodeses [16,17].

While iliac crest bone grafting is the current gold standard for autograft harvest, it has the disadvantages of limited volume and historic donor site morbidity. The RIA system offers the advantage of large volume harvest (30-90 cm3) from accessible locations using a technique familiar to many orthopaedic surgeons with minimal patient risk.

RIA is a reliable technique for bone grafting procedures requiring greater than 20cc of autograft. RIA auto graft harvest is commonly performed on the femur. Using the RIA to harvest tibial auto graft is possible but results in a smaller volume compared to the femur. As with intramedullary nailing femur fractures, donor site sequelae can be expected around the hip for anterior technique and about the knee for retrograde technique. For larger volume defects, an antegrade technique is preferred as the guide wire can be bent to direct the RIA into the femoral condyles for additional graft. A retrograde technique can be considered for midsize defects as positioning and starting point access may be easier. After retrograde harvest, the medullary canal is an open conduit for continued blood loss into knee and can result in large hemarthrosis. A useful technique recently reported uses an allograft bone dowel to seal the femoral canal to prevent ongoing bleeding [18] (Figure 1).

Both iliac crest graft and RIA graft are cancellous grafts that lack structural properties. Compared to iliac crest graft, the autologous graft obtained using RIA has is more of slurry. Both types of cancellous graft can be mixed with allograft cancellous chips to expand the volume if needed (Figure 2).

**Treatment of Osteomyelitis, Tumors and Intramedullary Debris**

Thorough debridement of the intramedullary canal is an essential component of the treatment of long bone osteomyelitis. The RIA device has been used in small numbers to augment the treatment of intramedullary osteomyelitis [19-21]. The design RIA has several theoretical advantages that may make it a more preferred technique than conventional reaming. First, continuous irrigation and suction assists with the complete removal of infected bone debris, and the collected debris can then be sent for microbiological analysis (Figures 3a and 3b). Second, continuous irrigation and sharp cutting flutes limit heat generation and, therefore, endosteal thermal necrosis. Third, continuous irrigation and suction decreases intramedullary pressure which may limit the bioburden and dissemination of the bacteria [22]. Septic emboli created during conventional reaming can disseminate throughout the body and theoretically cause infection elsewhere [21], although it is unclear whether this is clinically relevant. Finally, the potential benefits using RIA to perform intramedullary debridement...
for treating osteomyelitis in a physiologically compromised patient may result in less pulmonary embolic load during the procedure and diminish the systemic burden of the procedure.

There are no comparisons of conventional reaming versus RIA for the treatment of intramedullary osteomyelitis in the literature. Comparison of the irrigant volume with the RIA versus conventional reaming has not been reported in the current literature. The RIA system is a significant added operative expense and whether the RIA device provides superior outcomes in the treatment of intramedullary osteomyelitis has not been demonstrated in the current literature.

Other potential uses of the RIA device include the removal of retained intramedullary cement and removal of metastatic tissue in oncology cases. The RIA has also been reported to be effective in the removal of retained intramedullary cement [23]. Using RIA to ream long bones with metastatic lesions in an attempt to prevent dissemination of tumor cells has also been reported [21]. While evidence is limited, the unique features of the RIA make it useful for debriding the intramedullary canal in a wide variety of cases.

**Complications**

The most commonly reported and most feared complication of RIA use is fracture of the long bone, especially following large volume autogenous bone graft harvest. Low energy fractures of the femur have been reported on multiple occasions after large volume harvest of bone graft using the RIA device [24,25]. Silva et al. [26] conducted a cadaveric biomechanical study that compared intact femurs to post-RIA femurs. There was no significant difference in torsional strength and load capacity between intact femurs and post-RIA femurs when reamed <2 mm greater than the isthmic diameter. However, the authors found that eccentric reaming negatively affected the strength characteristics and predisposed to fracture. Overreaming the isthmic diameter by >2 mm is not recommended as this may change the torsional and load characteristics of the long bone.

Caution should be exercised when selecting RIA in osteoporotic patients. All patients should be counseled on the risk of fracture and consideration should be given to prophylactic nail placement after RIA in osteoporotic or unreliable patients. Patients should also be counseled that prophylactic stabilization may be necessary in the event of over reaming, anterior cortical perforation or eccentric reaming as these conditions predispose to early iatrogenic fracture.
The sharp end-cutting flutes and the more rigid shaft of the RIA device may lead to eccentric reaming with subsequent anterior femur cortical perforation, especially in patients with excessive femoral bow [25]. Frequent fluoroscopic imaging has been suggested as a way to prevent this complication. Careful preoperative imaging of the femur that is going to be harvested to assess the canal diameter and femoral bow is recommended. We recommend measuring the isthmus to confirm this measurement intraoperatively with a fluoroscopy and a radiolucent ruler held over the isthmus (Figure 4). A reamer head 1.5 to 2.0 mm larger than the isthmic diameter is then selected, although some authors advocate oversizing by as much as 3.0 mm larger [14]. The smallest reamer head available for the RIA is 12.0 mm, so if preoperative imaging reveals as very narrow intramedullary canal one should seek an alternative source of bone graft.

There is some theoretical concern that use of the RIA in acute fracture may lead to increased rates of nonunion. Conventional reaming may increase healing of long bone fractures by providing an autogenous bone grafting at the fracture site or by inducing a healing response via increased periosteal blood flow secondary to the mechanical debridement of the medullary canal. The RIA device irrigates and suctioned out medullary contents while reaming. The loss of the normal autogenous bone grafting effect of conventional reaming with irrigation and suction may contribute to the increased nonunion rate seen anecdotally with RIA use [12].

Excessive blood loss with resultant hypotension and tachycardia requiring transfusion has been reported with use of the RIA device. Irrigation and suction should be stopped when not actively reaming to limit blood loss and the surgeon should be vigilant about making the harvest expeditious. Anesthesia providers should be aware of possibility for excessive blood loss with the RIA procedure.

Similar to iliac crest harvest, other less common and theoretical complications associated with the RIA device include hypertrophic scars, hematomas, chronic pain, RIA head disassembly within the femur, and deep infection [16,20]. A systematic review of 12 studies comparing RIA to iliac crest bone graft demonstrated a complication rate in iliac crest and deep infection [16,20]. Patients should be counseled that complications associated with the RIA device include hypertrophic scars, hematoma, chronic pain, RIA head disassembly within the femur, and deep infection. Irrigation and suction should be stopped when not actively reaming to limit blood loss and the surgeon should be vigilant about making the harvest expeditious. Anesthesia providers should be aware of possibility for excessive blood loss with the RIA procedure.
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