Disease Impact, Diagnostic Delay, and Unmet Medical Needs of Patients With Cholinergic Urticaria in German-Speaking Countries
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Background: Cholinergic urticaria (CholU) is a common type of chronic inducible urticaria. Little is known about the burden of the disease and its unmet medical needs.

Aim: To characterize the unmet medical needs of patients with CholU.

Methods: Patients with CholU (n = 111) took part in a German online survey that assessed their symptoms, diagnostic delay, impact on daily life, quality of life (QoL), and their experience with physician care.

Results: Virtually all patients reported typical signs and symptoms of CholU, i.e., whealing (93.7%) and itching (91.9%), in response to typical trigger situations, such as physical activity, passive warming, or stress. Despite this, patients reported a marked diagnostic delay of 30.2 months (range from 0 to 279 months). Only 38% of the patients received a blood examination, and only 16% underwent provocation testing for diagnosing CholU, as recommended by the international guidelines. Physician contacts were common, but patient satisfaction with their disease management was low. In total, 90.1% of the patients stated to have an uncontrolled disease, resulting in a strong impact on their everyday activities, sleep, and QoL.

Conclusion: Patients with CholU exhibit many important unmet needs, and improvement in the diagnostic workup and patient care is needed, as are better treatment options.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholinergic urticaria (CholU) is a frequent skin disorder that manifests with pinpoint-sized itchy wheals and, in up to 50% of patients, with angioedema (1). CholU is a form of chronic inducible urticaria and is triggered by sweating, e.g., due to passive warming of the body or physical activity (2–4). Disease severity can range from mild symptoms in severe trigger situations, such as extensive sporting, that can be controlled by avoiding these triggers up to extensive outbreaks in everyday situations, such as climbing stairs.
Cholinergic urticaria, i.e., all forms of chronic urticaria, shows spontaneous remission, usually after several years. The aim of treatment was to achieve complete disease control either by pieces of advice in their daily life (e.g., avoiding trigger situations, using refractory phases after severe outbreaks etc.) or by providing patients with medication that completely controls the disease until this happens. Treatments for CholU, according to the international guideline for urticaria (5), include second-generation H1-Antihistamines at standard dose, with updosing to up to 4-fold in patients with an insufficient response. When antihistamines fail, treatment with omalizumab or ciclosporin is recommended (5), but these are not licensed for the use in CholU. We recently reported on the outcomes of real-life therapy for patients with CholU in German-speaking countries and demonstrated that current treatment options often fail and better therapies are needed (6, 7).

Cholinergic urticaria has a high impact on the quality of life (QoL) of the patients (4, 8) but many aspects of the disease remain poorly understood and the impact of having CholU is often underestimated. Some of the major unanswered questions on CholU are as follows: How heterogeneous are patients with CholU in their clinical manifestations and relevant triggers? How much does the disease affect patients in their everyday life and QoL? How long does it take for patients with CholU to get diagnosed? Which physicians treat patients with CholU and what diagnostic measures do they use? How many patients are in medical care and how satisfied are CholU patients with their doctor-patient relationship? Although symptoms of CholU can affect up to 20% of the population in the age group of 26–28 years (9), little is known about these questions. To address this gap of knowledge and to understand more about the unmet medical needs and the burden of CholU, we performed an online study in German-speaking countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

We analyzed 111 patients who took part in an online survey study on CholU in German-speaking countries, performed by the Charité Urticaria Center of Reference and Excellence [UCARE, (10)], the Urtikaria Netzwerk e.V., and the Urtikaria Netzwerk Berlin-Brandenburg from May 2016 to August 2017. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin (#EA1/241/15) and registered in the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS-ID: DRKS00012387).

Patients from Germany, Switzerland, and Austria participated anonymously in the survey. The patients had to be at least 18 and had to confirm their consent before starting the survey.

In total 197 patients participated in the online survey. Of these, 111 had CholU that was confirmed by a physician and stated suitable trigger factors, and only these patients were included in our study.

The questionnaire was divided into five parts with questions on demographics, the course of the disease, impact on work and daily life, patient-doctor relationship, and treatment.

In the present report, we focused on the unmet medical needs and the disease burden of patients with CholU. Results of the online survey regarding the real-life treatment situation of patients with CholU in German-speaking countries have previously been reported (6).

In our survey, we included the Urticaria Control Test (UCT) and the Cholinergic Urticaria Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (CholU-Qol). We used the UCT (Moxie, Berlin, Germany) to assess disease control in our patients (11). The UCT has four questions with five answer options each, with a score between 0 and 4 assigned to every answer option. To calculate the UCT total score, the scores for all four questions were summed up. Accordingly, the minimum and maximum UCT scores were 0 and 16, respectively, with 16 points indicating complete disease control and scores below 12 indicating poorly controlled disease.

The CholU-Qol [Moxie, Berlin, Germany; (12)] is a recently developed patient-reported outcome measure for assessing CholU-specific QoL impairment. The CholU-Qol has 28 questions and a five domain structure (“symptoms,” “functional life,” “social interaction,” “therapy,” and “emotions”). The CholU-Qol is meant to be evaluated by using its five individual domains (profile instrument) but it can also be used to determine a total score (index instrument). Points from 0 to 4 were given for the response options: not at all/no treatment, somewhat, moderately, much, or very much, respectively. The CholU-Qol domain scores and the CholU-Qol total scores are calculated by using the following formula: (Σ items/max Σ items) x 100. The linear transformation of raw scores results in minimal and the highest possible scale and total scores of 0 and 100, respectively.

The total score was not computed when >20% of the items (>5 items) were missing. The domain scores ”symptoms,” “functional life,” “social interaction,” and ”emotions” were not computed when more than 25% of the items were missing in the respective domain. The domain score “therapy” was not computed when >50% of the items were missing.

Missing hours from work in the last week was evaluated, and subjective work impairment in the last week on a scale of 0 (no impairment) to 10 was also evaluated (no work possible).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23. Graphs were made with GraphPad Prism Version 6.0 and Excel Version 2016. p < 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

RESULTS

Patients participating in the online survey, on average, were 38.7 years old (range: 18–78 years), and 76.6% were women.

Clinical Manifestation and Triggers

The majority of patients reported wheals (93.7%), pruritus (91.9%), or both as their main manifestation of CholU. One in three patients (35.1%) reported angioedema. Circulation...
problems and dizziness occurred in 38.7 and 18.9% of patients with CholU, respectively. Only 4 patients (3.6%) experienced unconsciousness. Most of the patients (53%) stated a typical symptom duration between 30 min and 2 h. Only a few reported shorter durations (4%) and several times more than 2 h (39%).

As for trigger factors, most patients (86.5%) named the physical activity. Other common triggers included taking a warm bath, emotional stress, and feeling agitated, which were reported by 54.1, 50.5, and 47.7% of patients, respectively. Less common triggers, in 38.7, 20.7, and 13.5% of patients, respectively, were showering, spicy food, and hot food or drinks.

### Diagnostic Delay and Physician-Patient Interaction

The median time between first signs and symptoms of CholU and receiving the diagnosis was 30.2 months, with a wide range of 0–279 months. In more than 60% of the patients, the diagnosis was given at least a year after the onset of the symptoms or later.

### Most patients (43.2%) first consulted a dermatologist followed by a general practitioner/family physician (37.8%). Very few patients initially turned to an emergency room (3.6%), outpatient consultation of a clinic (3.6%), or a specialized urticaria clinic (2.7%).

Upon their first encounter with a physician, more than one-third of patients with CholU (38%) received a blood examination, but only 16% underwent provocation testing, the guideline-recommended approach for confirming chronic inducible urticaria (CIndU) that includes CholU (see Table 1).

Of note, most patients (82 of the 111; 73.9%) visited a second physician, and this was a dermatologist in half of the cases (53.7), a specialized urticaria clinic (13.4%), and a general practitioner/family physician (8%). Most patients (45%) did so on their own, whereas 44% were referred by their treating physician.

Even after the second visit, more often in a more specialized setting, the stated diagnostic procedures and treatment choices did not change dramatically. Again 38% of (31 of 82) patients

### TABLE 1 | Patient-reported diagnostic workup, topics of discussion, and treatments upon the first presentation (multiple answers in each category were possible).

| 1st physician | 2nd physician | Current physician |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Dermatologist | 48/111, 43.2% | 44/82, 53.7%       | 31/111, 27.9%       |
| Family Doctor/ general practitioner | 42/111, 37.8% | 7/82, 8.5%         | 20/111, 18.0%       |
| Special consultation hours for urticaria patients | 3/111, 2.7% | 11/82, 13.4%       | 6/111, 5.4%         |
| Ambulant consultation hour of a clinic | 4/111, 3.6% | 6/82, 7.3%         | 7/111, 6.3%         |
| Medical on-call service | 0/111, 0% | 1/82, 1.2%         | -                   |
| Emergency room | 4/111, 3.6% | 3/82, 3.7%         | -                   |
| Other | 8/111, 7.2% | 8/82, 9.8%         | 5/111, 4.5%         |
| None | - | - | 38/111, 34.2% |
| No data | 2/111, 1.8% | 2/82, 2.4%         | 4/111, 3.6%         |

### Diagnostics

| Blood-examination | 42/111, 37.8% | 31/82, 37.8% | 28/69, 40.6% |
| Allergy testing | 30/111, 27.0% | 28/82, 34.1% | 17/69, 24.6% |
| Full Body examination | 27/111, 24.3% | 29/82, 35.4% | 22/69, 31.9% |
| Provocation-testing | 18/111, 16.2% | 14/82, 17.1% | 13/69, 18.8% |
| Other examinations | 16/111, 14.4% | 10/82, 12.2% | 11/69, 15.9% |

### Topics of discussion

| Discussion about possible causes of the CholU | 42/111, 37.8% | 40/82, 48.8% | 30/69, 43.5% |
| Discussion about treatment- possibilities | 37/111, 33.3% | 35/82, 42.7% | 31/69, 44.9% |
| Discussion about possible progress of the CholU | 32/111, 28.8% | 33/82, 40.2% | 33/69, 47.8% |

### Treatment

| Recommended treatment with a drug | 46/111, 41.4% | 38/82, 46.3% | 37/69, 53.6% |
| Immediate application of a drug | 34/111, 30.6% | 17/82, 20.7% | 15/69, 21.7% |
| Other* | 18/111, 16.2% | 11/82, 13.4% | 11/69, 16.0% |
| No data on consultation | 2/111, 1.8% | 3/82, 3.7% | 5/69, 7.2% |

### Treatment satisfaction

| Not at all satisfied | 36/111, 32.4% | 18/82, 22% | 8/69, 11.6% |
| Not very satisfied | 38/111, 34.2% | 28/82, 34.1% | 25/69, 36.2% |
| Satisfied | 28/111, 25.2% | 23/82, 28% | 19/69, 27.5% |
| Very satisfied | 5/111, 4.5% | 9/82, 11% | 8/69, 11.6% |
| No data | 4/111, 3.6% | 4/82, 4.9% | 9/69, 13% |

*Including urine tests, stool sampling and analysis, test for scabies, dental X-ray, CT of the head, ultrasound of internal organs, colonoscopy, and lung function test, referral to the specialized center.
with CholU received a blood examination and 14 out of 82 patients underwent provocation testing (17%).

Of the patients who provided information on their level of satisfaction with their treatment of the first physician, 67% reported that they were not or only somewhat satisfied, whereas 30% of patients were satisfied or very satisfied.

About 30–40% of the patients were very satisfied or satisfied with their first or second physician encounter, whereas 24–30% of the patients were not at all satisfied and 32–35% of patients were only slightly satisfied.

One of three patients (34.2%) were currently not in physician care for their CholU (see Table 1).

**Disease Activity and Control**

One-third of the patients with CholU (33.3%) reported daily symptoms within the last 7 days. More than half (55%) had symptoms on more than 3 days of the week, and only 10% of patients had no symptoms during the last 7 days (Figure 1A).

One of three patients (35.1%) had trouble sleeping due to their CholU, and one of five patients (18%) had sleep problems due to CholU on all nights of the week (Figure 1B).

Every third patient (29.7%) avoided situations that could trigger symptoms on all of the 7 days of the last week, whereas 36.9% of the patients did not do this at all. More than half of the patients (55%) avoided trigger situations on 2 or more days of the week (Figure 1C).

**Impact on Daily Activities and QoL**

Nine of 10 patients (90.1%) had poorly controlled disease as reflected by a UCT score of 11 or less, and only 1% reported complete control (UCT = 16, Figure 2).

In our study, 45, 31, and 23% of patients, respectively, reported that their overall life quality suffered much or very much, moderately, and somewhat or not at all, during the last 4 weeks because of their CholU. As assessed by the use of the disease-specific QoL questionnaire CholU-QoL, patients, on average, showed markedly impaired QoL as reflected by a mean (±SD) CholU-QoL score of 47.5 ± 13.5. The highest impact of CholU was seen in the social interaction domain (63.1 ± 25.2), followed by the domains therapy (63.8 ± 19.2) and functioning (61.6 ± 21.5).
Of the 111 patients, 88 (79.3%) reported to have a job. More than 50% of these patients stated that their productivity was impaired to some extent (see Figure 3). Moreover, 27% of the professional working patients reported that they missed work in the last week due to CholU symptoms (mean 14.7 h ± 14.0; range 1–48 h).

**DISCUSSION**

This study, the first on the unmet needs of patients with CholU in Germany, Austria, and Switzerland, demonstrates that patients with CholU face long delays in diagnosis, insufficient diagnostic workup, and medical treatment that many find unsatisfactory and high levels of disease activity, uncontrolled disease, and impairment of QoL.

As expected, most patients with CholU experience wheals and pruritus in response to relevant triggers, about one-third have angioedema. Severe systemic reactions that include loss of consciousness are extremely rare. All of this is in line with what has previously been reported in other patient cohorts (1, 13–15). Mild systemic signs and symptoms, such as dizziness and circulatory problems, appear to be more common than commonly held. More than half of the patients (57%) reported symptom duration of up to 2 h. A large proportion (39%) also reported symptoms of longer durations, that fit to severely affected patients that experience angioedema, since they tend to last longer. However, we cannot rule out that patients who either have a heat aggravating chronic spontaneous urticaria or patients who have both CholU and chronic spontaneous urticaria might have been included in the survey.

Physical exercise is the most common trigger of signs and symptoms comes as no surprise (2) and neither does the finding that passive warming is a frequent trigger (16). What is interesting is that emotional stress or feeling agitated, in about half of patients each, is sufficient to elicit CholU symptom development. Emotional stress and agitation had previously been reported as triggers in CholU, albeit mostly anecdotally (17, 18).

Three-quarters of the analyzed study participants were women. This unexpectedly high number of women could point to a higher disease burden in women that typically motivates patients to participate in such surveys and/or to a common fact that women incline toward earlier seek for
medical advice/treatment (19) resulting in a physician made the
diagnosis, which was an inclusion criterion.

The reason for concern is our finding that the average time
for patients with CholU to receive the correct diagnosis is 2.5
years. This is concerning because not knowing what disease
is responsible for their signs and symptoms can be a burden
for patients and it often delays effective treatment. The long
delay in diagnosis is also somewhat of a surprise since CholU,
with a good history and provocation testing, is relatively easy
to diagnose and many patients were first seen by a specialist
(dermatologist). One reason for this long delay in diagnosis
may be the fact that only one of six patients was assessed by
provocation testing, the guideline-recommended test of choice in
CholU (2, 5). Provocation testing for CholU is straightforward
and easy to perform (20). Clearly, there is a need to increase
awareness and knowledge of CholU in the physician community,
especially on the diagnostic workup.

Overall, patients consulted four physicians on average because
of their CholU and most patients were not satisfied with
their medical care. This explains why one-third of the patients
did not currently work with a physician to manage their
CholU. Only about one-third of the patients were currently in
specialist/dermatologist care. This explains, at least in part, our
previously reported finding that half of the patients with CholU
do not currently receive treatment for their condition (6).

Nine of ten patients with CholU reported poor control of
their urticaria, and two reasons for this are likely. First, many
patients do not receive treatment or receive treatment that does
not help them control their CholU. Patients with CholU who
do not respond to a standard-dosed antihistamine can benefit
from updosing (21, 22), but this is not done in the conditions
of many patients (6). Second, treatment options for CholU are
limited. Omalizumab has been shown to benefit many patients
with CholU who do respond to antihistamines at standard or
high doses (23–26). However, omalizumab is off label for CIIndU
that includes CholU and only licensed for the use in patients with
spontaneous forms of chronic urticaria. Moreover, at the time of
the survey, omalizumab was a more novel treatment for patients
with chronic urticaria. Of note, treatment recommendations
aside from drugs (e.g., trying repetitive exercise to induce a
refractory state, etc.) were only discussed with up to 16% of
the patients. In our experience, such treatments can work in
some patients but do not work in others and are hard to
continue on a regular base. Clearly, more effective treatment
options are needed for CholU, and several new therapeutics
are currently in clinical development, such as lirentelimab [a
mast cell-silencing anti-SIGLEC8 (27)], ligelizumab [an anti-
immunoglobulin E [IgE] (28)], CDX-0159 [a mast cell-depleting
anti-KIT (29)], and LEO 152020 [a histamine receptor 4
antagonist (30)].
The need for effective treatment, in patients with more severe symptoms of CholU, is high. Half of the patients experience the signs and symptoms of their CholU 5 or more days per week. One-third of patients with CholU proactively avoid trigger situations, which restrains their social activities and results in a reduced QoL. Most patients report their QoL to be moderately, much, or very much affected by their CholU. In addition, the professional productivity was impaired in more than half of the patients, resulting in an economic burden of the disease.

Limitations of this report include that data were obtained by an online survey, i.e., without verification by treating physicians. To minimize the possibility that some of the patients who participated did not have CholU, we only evaluated the responses of patients who indicated suitable trigger situations and who stated that their CholU was physician diagnosed. However, we cannot rule out that patients with other forms of urticaria (e.g., heat aggravated chronic spontaneous urticaria or with combinations of CholU and chronic spontaneous urticaria) were included. It is possible that there is a higher probability of patients with a higher disease burden and non-satisfactory treatment to participate in such an online survey, leading to an overestimation of disease burden. Moreover, we lack information about the compliance of patients with their treatment and physician advice, which could also be a reason for unsatisfactory disease control.

In summary, this report highlights the high need for better awareness and knowledge among physicians who treat patients with CholU that include specialists, such as dermatologists. The need for treatment is clearly being underestimated, and this may be because of a lack of understanding of the impact CholU has on patients. Urticaria specialists need to educate physician communities on the diagnostic workup, monitoring, and management of CholU, and the Undergraduate Creative Activities and Research Experience (UCARE) LevelUp program can help with this. Several new treatments for CholU are underway, and patients should be encouraged to participate in the ongoing clinical trials. In addition, prospective studies and further research on CholU are needed to identify and characterize pathogenic drivers and to help with the development of further treatment options.
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