Management of the grape mealy bug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green), using entomopathogenic fungi and botanical oils: a laboratory study
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Laboratory experiments were conducted to evaluate the bio-efficacy and cumulative effect of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF), viz., *Lecanicillium lecanii* (Zimmermann) Zare and Gams, and *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin and different botanical oils against grape mealy bug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green). *M. hirsutus* infestation during berry formation has left no option other than chemical management. However, the pesticide application during this stage leads to residues. Thus, safer and sustainable biological need was tested for their effectiveness against *M. hirsutus*.

**Results:** Dipping bioassay technique was used to determine the cumulative mortality. When *L. lecanii* was used as sole (at 6 and 4 g/l), significant mortality was observed (51.63 and 50.18%). However, the maximum cumulative nymphal mortality was achieved when the combination of EPF formulations was used, i.e., 57.64% with *B. bassiana* + *L. lecanii* (6 g/l + 6 g/l). Their effectiveness was at par with the same combination but with minimal concentration, i.e., 4 g/l + 4 g/l (56.29%). Concerning the botanical oils evaluated, neem oil at 15 ml/l achieved a higher mortality of 81.36%. Consecutive effective treatment was a combination of neem oil and pongamia oil (at 10 + 10 ml/l) with 79.65% mortality. However, the standard dosage of neem oil (at 10 ml/l) and IIHR neem soap (at 10 g/l) also recorded the significant mortality of 78.09 and 77.67%, respectively. When compared, the neem oil was found significantly superior in sole and compatible combination than pongamia oil.

**Conclusions:** *Lecanicillium lecanii* and neem oil (sole and in combination with other compatible bioagents) proved significantly better for the management against *M. hirsutus* with an efficacy of 90.21 and 96.67 % mortality, respectively against the standard chemical control (more than 97%).
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**Background**

India is one of the top ten countries in the world in the production of grapes. The area under grape cultivation is 1.2% of the total area of fruit crops in the country. Production is 2.8% of total fruits produced in the country (Anonymous 2018). In wet and warm climates like India, grapevine cultivation is being challenged by several biotic factors like insect pests and diseases. As many as 100 insect and mite pests have been reported to infest and cause damage to grapevine in India. Among 26 insect pests recorded, grape mealy bug, *Maconellicoccus hirsutus* (Green) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae), was recorded as a major, dreaded, and regular pest of grapes. In recent years, the infestation of grape mealy bug, *M.*
\textit{hirsutus}, has become very severe, making the fruits unfit for consumption and raisin making.

Hot and dry climatic conditions are highly favorable for sucking insects like \textit{M. hirsutus}. It is present throughout the year but found to be active from June to August and again from November to March. Infestation starts from pruning to the harvest of fruit bunches and was difficult to manage thus also referred to as “hard to kill pest of fruit trees.” Grape mealy bug alone reported to cause around 50–100% yield loss in field conditions (Manjunath 1985) because of direct and indirect damage. They cause indirect damage by excreting honeydew leading to sooty mold encrustation, loss of photosynthetic ability, and ultimately impairing the quality and quantity of yield.

The management of grape mealy bug is very complicated because of several factors like lack of in-time mealy bug detection and availability of proper monitoring tools and cryptic behavior of the insect. The protective waxy covering over the body of the mealy bug prevents the insecticides from gaining entry into the body of the insect (Geiger et al. 2001). The present mealy bug management strategies in grapevine rely solely upon the use of insecticides. The over-dependence on pesticides, particularly during the fruiting stages of crop results in residues in the harvestable produce apart from numerous other drawbacks like pollution, toxicity to non-target animals and plants, and insecticide resistance (Aktar et al. 2009). There is an overwhelming need to develop non-chemical, ecofriendly, and sustainable management strategies against mealy bug.

Botanical oils are widely used in insect management because of their repellent property, antifeedant nature, growth-inhibiting activity, easy biodegradability, and safety to non-target insects (Oparaekw et al. 2005). Similarly, entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) are relatively broader in the mode of entry (both contact and systemic) and target group compared to other biological options. Integration of selected strains of EPF with selective botanical or insecticides can improve the control efficiency, besides decrease, the amount of insecticides required, minimize the risks of environmental contamination, and can delay the expression of insecticide resistance in insect pests. However, the botanical oils and EPF, when used alone were proven less effective under field conditions leading to low adaptability.

Therefore, the present experimentation objective was to evaluate the enhanced efficacy of the combination of EPF, viz., \textit{Beauveria bassiana} and \textit{Lecanicillium lecanii}, and botanical oils, viz., neem oil and pongamia oil against \textit{M. hirsutus} under laboratory conditions.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{Test insect (Maconellicoccus hirsutus) culture}

Ripened red pumpkins of medium size with ridges and grooves with a small stalk were used. Minor wounds on the pumpkins were plugged with paraffin wax. To prevent rotting, the pumpkins were treated with 0.1% carbendazim 50 WP at 1 g/l of water for 10 s and shade dried for 4 h. A simple roping technique has been adopted with 2–4 mm cotton thread tied over the pumpkin both vertically and horizontally (Babu and David 1999). The egg sacs of mealy bugs were collected from grape orchards and released on pumpkins. The inoculated pumpkins were kept in wooden cages (30 × 30 × 33 cm) with sliding glass in the front and mesh cage on all other sides for population buildup (Katake and Bali 2008). Fully matured mealy bugs developed within 30 to 40 days. The subsequent culture was maintained by periodic transfers of mealy bugs to fresh pumpkins under laboratory conditions.

\textbf{Preparation of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) solutions}

Local strains of EPF, viz., \textit{B. bassiana} (WP with 2 × 10^8 cfu/g) and \textit{L. lecanii} (WP with 2 × 10^6 cfu/g), and their combinations were used as treatments (Table 1). The cultures of EPF, viz., \textit{B. bassiana} and \textit{L. lecanii}, were obtained from biocontrol laboratory, UHS, Bagalkote, India. The formulations of 80% WP containing 2 × 10^8 cfu/g were utilized for the treatment. The formulated products were kept under normal room temperature and RH (30–35 °C and 90–95%, respectively). The silicon-based agricultural adjuvant (Sure shot®) was obtained from local market, used a wax dissolving agent.

\textbf{Preparation of botanical oil solution}

In total, 16 treatments were carried out, comprising neem oil, pongamia oil, fish oil rosin soap (FORS) and their combinations along with azadirachtin, IIHR neem soap, standard checks, and untreated control. Treatments were replicated thrice and laid out in CRD. Treatment solution of five liters was prepared in a plastic container distilled with water along with the wetting agent, Sure shot® (0.25 ml/l) for tuber dip treatment. The locally available neem and pongamia oils were obtained (extracted from plants) from the market and are used as such along with adjuvant (Sure shot). The adjuvant acts as a surfactant, and it dissolves the wax coating. It helps in uniform spread of oils and their easy dissolve in the water.

\textbf{Culturing on potato tubers}

Good quality, medium-sized potato tubers with robin eyes were placed in plastic trays (45 × 30 × 10 cm) filled 3/4th with sterilized river sand. Carbendazim (Bavistin®) was added at 5 g/kg of sterilized sand and
mixed well to avoid fungal infection to the tubers and to avoid rotting of potato sprouts. These trays were kept in a separate darkroom to facilitate quick sprouting. After 7 days tubers containing 4–5 sprouts were removed carefully to avoid damage. The potato sprouts were inoculated with mealy bug crawlers (30–35 crawlers/sprout), using a hair brush. The inoculated sprouts were kept in the rearing room for their establishment. After 5 days, only around 20 numbers of 2nd instar crawlers were retained on sprouts.

Tuber dip bioassay
The established potato sprouts with 20 numbers of 2nd instar crawlers were dipped in treatment solution (5 lit) for 30 s and shade dried. The treated sprouts were then rearranged in sand-filled trays at equidistance and maintained at 25 ± 5 °C and 75 ± 5 RH (Mohamed 2016). Three replications with 8 such mealy bug colonized sprouts per each replication were dipped in a chemical solution, thus ~480 mealy bugs per treatment were tested.

Observations
The mortality of nymphs was recorded at 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 15 days after tuber dip bioassay treatment. The immobilized crawlers were recorded as dead and further confirmed by observing under the microscope for spores. Cadavers of dead mealy bugs were kept in a humid chamber for 2 days or inoculated on to Petri plates containing PDA to observe the hyphal growth, for further confirmation.

Statistical analysis
The number of mealy bugs found dead per mealy bugs released or recorded initially (pre-treatment count of 20) on the sprouts was used to calculate mortality. The percent mortality of mealy bugs was worked out by using Abbott’s formula for each treatment in all replications. Before statistical analysis, data on corrected percent mortality was subjected to arcsine transformation and performed one-way ANOVA by adopting the “Fisher’s analysis of variance technique.” The means were compared at 5% CD using Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

\[
\text{Corrected mortality (\%)} = \left[1 - \frac{\text{No. in T after treatment}}{\text{No. in Co after treatment}}\right] \times 100
\]

No. in T after treatment

where n is the number of insect or population, T is treated, and Co is control

Results
The experimental results by dipping bioassay indicated the superiority of all the treatments over water spray (UTC) throughout the experimental period. Nymphs infected with the EPF were turned relatively hard and mummified. After 24 h of the death of the nymphs, germination of the conidia and penetration of hyphae through the integuments of nymphs were observed. Later, the entire body surface was covered with mycelial growth.

Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi
The data regarding the average cumulative mortality of nymphs of mealy bug caused by different treatments

| Tr. no. | Treatments                  | Colony units/gram | Formulation | Concentration (g or ml/l of water) |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1       | Lecanicium lecanii          | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 2                                  |
| 2       | L. lecanii                  | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 4                                  |
| 3       | L. lecanii                  | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 6                                  |
| 4       | Beauveria bassiana          | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 2                                  |
| 5       | B. bassiana                 | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 4                                  |
| 6       | B. bassiana                 | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 6                                  |
| 7       | L. lecanii + B. bassiana    | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 2 + 2                              |
| 8       | L. lecanii + B. bassiana    | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 4 + 4                              |
| 9       | L. lecanii + B. bassiana    | $2 \times 10^8$ cfu/g | WP          | 6 + 6                              |
| 10      | Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (Confider®) | – | – | 0.25                               |
| 11      | Wetting agent (Sure shot®)  | – | – | 0.25                               |
| 12      | Distilled water (sole)      | – | – | –                                  |
| 13      | Untreated control (no spray) | – | – | –                                  |

Note: Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (Confider®) was used as a chemical check. The formulations of entomopathogenic fungi used were L. lecanii (Krishnaprabha verticillium® 80 WP) and B. bassiana (Krishnaprabha Beauveria® 80 WP)
after dipping bioassay experiments were found statistically significant at every interval of observations, i.e., 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 15 DAT (Table 2). The data indicated that combinations of fungal pathogens (B. bassiana and L. lecanii) at different doses recorded significantly higher per cent mortality of nymphs than the sole treatments. Combination of B. bassiana at 6 g/l + L. lecanii at 6 g/l recorded the highest mortality of 90.21% at 15 days after treatment and was at par with B. bassiana at 4 g/l + L. lecanii at 4 g/l (88.96%). The next promising treatments in recording moderate percent mortality of nymphs were L. lecanii at 6 and 4 g/l (82.30 and 83.96% at 15 DAT, respectively).

Considering the overall performance of the different treatments, the significantly highest mean mortality of mealy bug nymphs was registered in chemical check, imidacloprid 17.8 SL at 0.25 ml/l (87.61%). Among the fungal bioagents, combinations of B. bassiana (6 g) + L. lecanii (6 g) recorded numerically the highest mean nymphal mortality (57.64%), and it was statistically at par with its next concentration, B. bassiana (4 g) + L. lecanii (4 g) (56.29%) and were significantly superior over rest of treatments and found most effective in causing mortality of mealy bugs. Among the sole treatments L. lecanii at 6 g/l (51.63%), followed by L. lecanii at 4 g/l (50.18%) found best. On the contrary, B. bassiana treatments recorded relatively lower percent mortality of mealy bugs than L. lecanii. The treatment, B. bassiana at 6 g, recorded only 30.97% mortality almost equal to B. bassiana at 4 g (30.56%).

Efficacy of botanical oils against M. hirsutus

The experimental results by dipping bioassay indicated the superiority of all the treatments over water spray (UTC) throughout the experimental period (Table 3). Among the botanicals, neem oil at 15 ml/l recorded significantly highest % mortality of mealy bugs (60.00, 71.46, and 78.13% at 3, 5, and 7 DAT, respectively) and was statistically at par with neem oil at 10 ml/l (76.88%) at 7 DAT and were significantly superior over, pongamia oil at 15 ml/l (32.71%) and fish oil rosin soap at 15 ml/l (63.55%). However, the chemical check imidacloprid 17.8 SL at 0.25 ml/l was obviously superior to other treatments by recording mortality of 91.88%.

Among the botanicals, maximum mean nymphal mortality was noticed in neem oil at 15 ml (81.36%). The next best order of effectiveness was neem oil (10 ml) + pongamia oil (10 ml) (79.65%), neem oil at 10 ml (78.09%), and IIHR neem soap at 10 g (77.67%). However, FORS at 10 ml recorded moderate mortality of 63.38% and was statistically on par with its high concentration at 15 ml (60.74%). The treatments, pongamia oil at 15 ml and 10 ml, were found very less effective and recorded moderate mealy bug mortality with 36.63 and 35.11%, respectively. The mean mealy bug mortality registered in chemical check, imidacloprid 17.8 SL at 0.25 ml was significantly the highest (89.69%).

Discussion

The fungal pathogens act primarily by cuticular degradation, followed by the development of fungal hyphae and spores on the insect body. The cuticular degradation may be due to the secretion of hydrolytic enzymes such as proteases, lipases, and cellulases, which are produced by the fungal spores that are present on the insect body and can penetrate the cuticle, leading to its degradation. The fungal pathogens may also be able to secrete enzymes that break down the cuticle, leading to the death of the insect. The data indicated that combinations of fungal pathogens (B. bassiana and L. lecanii) at different doses recorded significantly higher per cent mortality of nymphs than the sole treatments. Combination of B. bassiana at 6 g/l + L. lecanii at 6 g/l recorded the highest mortality of 90.21% at 15 days after treatment and was at par with B. bassiana at 4 g/l + L. lecanii at 4 g/l (88.96%). The next promising treatments in recording moderate percent mortality of nymphs were L. lecanii at 6 and 4 g/l (82.30 and 83.96% at 15 DAT, respectively).

Table 2: Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi against Maconellicoccus hirsutus under laboratory condition

| Treatment | Treatment details | Cumulative mortality of nymphs (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
|           | 3DAT              | 5DAT              | 7DAT              | 9DAT              | 11DAT             | 15DAT             |
| T1        | Lecanicillium lecanii at 2g/l | 10.00 (18.42) | 16.40 (23.90) | 32.09 (34.48) | 46.88 (43.19) | 57.92 (49.54) | 67.08 (54.97) |
| T2        | L. lecanii at 4g/l | 12.92 (21.05) | 27.09 (31.33) | 45.00 (42.11) | 58.13 (49.66) | 73.96 (59.30) | 83.96 (66.38) |
| T3        | L. lecanii at 6g/l | 17.50 (24.71) | 28.75 (32.41) | 47.08 (43.31) | 63.34 (52.72) | 70.84 (57.31) | 82.30 (65.11) |
| T4        | Beauveria bassiana at 2g/l | 4.79 (12.57) | 13.13 (21.17) | 17.50 (24.69) | 32.09 (34.48) | 35.63 (36.62) | 42.71 (40.79) |
| T5        | B. bassiana at 4g/l | 5.84 (13.96) | 12.92 (21.01) | 25.42 (30.25) | 37.09 (37.50) | 46.67 (43.07) | 55.42 (48.09) |
| T6        | B. bassiana at 6g/l | 7.92 (16.33) | 13.54 (21.54) | 27.29 (31.47) | 35.84 (36.75) | 44.59 (41.87) | 56.67 (48.81) |
| T7        | B. bassiana + L. lecanii at 2g/l each | 11.88 (20.13) | 20.21 (26.66) | 40.00 (39.21) | 54.79 (47.73) | 60.00 (50.75) | 75.65 (60.42) |
| T8        | B. bassiana + L. lecanii at 4g/l each | 18.96 (25.76) | 33.75 (35.49) | 48.13 (43.91) | 68.75 (55.99) | 79.17 (62.86) | 88.96 (70.64) |
| T9        | B. bassiana + L. lecanii at 6g/l each | 20.42 (26.84) | 35.00 (36.25) | 52.17 (46.53) | 66.25 (54.46) | 81.25 (63.33) | 92.21 (71.77) |
| T10       | Imidacloprid 17.8 SL at 0.25ml/l | 70.42 (57.04) | 81.67 (64.64) | 85.17 (70.79) | 92.08 (73.70) | 95.21 (77.37) | 97.08 (80.49) |
| T11       | Wetting agent + water at 0.25ml/l | 1.04 (5.79) | 1.46 (6.90) | 1.67 (7.39) | 2.09 (8.28) | 2.92 (9.82) | 3.54 (10.83) |
| T12       | Water alone | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| T13       | Untreated control | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |

Note: Twenty mealybug nymphs per sprout were released and considered as pre-treatment count (20 × 8 × 3 = 480 mealybugs per treatment). Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values; the mean values with the same alphabetical superscripts are statistically non-significant as per DMRT DAT days after treatment.
as proteases, lipases, and chitinases which play an important role in the infection process (Banu et al. 2010).

Among the sole and combinational treatments of EPF, the combination of L. lecanii with B. bassiana was found superior over the sole treatments (Fig. 1). When these biopesticides were used in sole, L. lecanii was found significantly superior to B. bassiana at the tested doses (4 and 6 g/l). These bioagents were found effective in reducing the mealy bug population by causing mortality at the range of 42.71% to more than 90% at 15 DAT. The maximum mortality in the combination of both B. bassiana and L. lecanii may be attributed to potentiation but with limited synergetic action of both the entomopathogens. When used in sole, the L. lecanii recorded 83.96% mortality at the tested doses (4 and 6 g/l). These bioagents were found effective in reducing the mealy bug population by causing mortality at the range of 42.71% to more than 90% at 15 DAT. The maximum mortality in the combination of both B. bassiana and L. lecanii may be attributed to potentiation but with limited synergetic action of both the entomopathogens. When used in sole, the L. lecanii recorded 83.96% mortality at the highest concentration and was almost 2 times significant than B. bassiana that recorded only 56.67% mortality at the same concentration. Of the two EPF, L. lecanii showed a high mortality on all stages of mealy bugs, which is in agreement with the results of Russell and Paterson (2006). The highest efficacy on mealy bugs could be because of production of mycotoxins, viz., helvolic acid, bassianolide, cytochalasin C and D, destruxins, and faster mycelial growth to penetrate into the body of the host which caused septicemia to the mealy bug, leading to early death as compared to other entomopathogens like Metarhizium anisopliae (Metschinkoff) Sorokin and B. bassiana (Banu et al. 2010).

The treatments with B. bassiana recorded lower nymphal mortality (around 20–30%) on grape mealy bug than to L. lecanii. Similarly, Amala et al. (2014) reported that B. bassiana was less effective against grape mealy bug than L. lecanii with LC50 and LT50 values of 1.5 × 10^6 and 3.04 days, respectively. Jeyarani et al. (2011) also reported that B. bassiana could cause 50% mortality of cotton mealy bug, Planococcus solenopsis Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) at 3.6 × 10^7 spore/ml concentration and is in conformity with present findings, wherein

### Table 3 Efficacy of botanical oils against Maconellicoccus hirsutus under laboratory condition

| Treatment | Treatment details | Mortality of nymphs (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|           |                   | 3DAT  | 5DAT  | 7DAT  | 9DAT  | 11DAT | 15DAT |
| T1        | Azadirachtin 10,000ppm at 1ml/l | 44.79^a (41.99) | 54.38^b (47.49) | 73.96^cd (59.32) | 76.40^d (60.68) | 79.17^e (62.86) | 82.92^f (65.61) |
| T2        | Neem oil at 10 ml/l | 56.46^bc (48.69) | 67.92^de (55.49) | 76.88^ef (61.31) | 85.00^fg (74.59) | 92.92^hi (76.12) | 94.17^ij (76.12) |
| T3        | Neem oil at 15 ml/l | 60.00^h (50.76) | 71.46^ij (57.72) | 78.13^jk (62.15) | 87.92^kl (69.74) | 93.96^lm (75.82) | 96.62^mn (79.97) |
| T4        | IIHR neem soap at 10g/l | 55.00^cd (47.85) | 62.09^ef (51.98) | 80.42^g (63.79) | 86.05^hi (68.12) | 90.21^ij (71.81) | 92.30^jk (73.93) |
| T5        | Neem oil at 10 ml/l | 15.84^a (23.42) | 23.55^b (29.00) | 31.88^c (34.33) | 40.42^d (39.46) | 46.88^e (43.19) | 52.09^f (46.18) |
| T6        | Neem oil at 15 ml/l | 20.63^a (26.96) | 24.79^b (29.82) | 32.71^c (34.86) | 39.79^d (39.09) | 48.54^e (44.15) | 53.34^f (46.89) |
| T7        | Neem oil at 5 ml/l + Pongamia oil at 5 ml/l | 54.17^a (47.37) | 66.88^bc (54.86) | 70.00^c (56.86) | 73.34^d (58.98) | 81.67^e (64.63) | 90.84^f (72.39) |
| T8        | Neem oil at 10 ml/l + Pongamia oil at 10 ml/l | 59.17^a (50.27) | 69.38^bc (56.44) | 75.83^bc (60.55) | 86.25^d (68.28) | 91.88^e (73.46) | 95.42^f (77.92) |
| T9        | FORS at 5 ml/l | 30.00^a (33.18) | 32.50^b (34.74) | 39.80^c (39.09) | 49.17^d (44.51) | 55.00^e (47.85) | 59.79^f (50.63) |
| T10       | FORS at 10 ml/l | 51.67^cd (48.09) | 55.42^e (48.09) | 61.25^f (51.49) | 64.38^g (53.38) | 72.09^h (58.11) | 75.42^i (60.26) |
| T11       | FORS at 15 ml/l | 42.29^a (40.55) | 42.71^b (40.79) | 63.55^c (52.84) | 67.08^d (54.98) | 71.46^e (57.70) | 77.30^f (61.52) |
| T12       | Neem oil + pongamia oil + FORS at 5 ml/l | 53.13^a (46.77) | 65.42^b (53.96) | 72.92^bc (58.67) | 77.71^cd (61.83) | 78.96^d (62.70) | 84.79^e (67.03) |
| T13       | Imidacloprid 17.8SL at 0.25ml/l | 71.67^a (57.84) | 82.29^a (65.13) | 91.88^a (73.46) | 95.84^a (78.25) | 97.29^a (80.71) | 99.17^a (84.80) |
| T14       | Water + wetting agent at 0.25ml/l | 1.25^a (6.42) | 1.67^b (7.39) | 1.88^c (7.87) | 2.29^d (8.68) | 2.92^e (9.82) | 3.75^f (11.16) |
| T15       | Water alone | 0.00^a (0.00) | 1.04^b (5.79) | 1.25^c (6.42) | 1.25^d (6.42) | 1.46^e (6.90) | 1.88^f (7.87) |
| T16       | Untreated control | 0.00^a (0.00) | 0.00^b (0.00) | 0.00^c (0.00) | 0.00^d (0.00) | 0.00^e (0.00) | 0.00^f (0.00) |

Note: Twenty mealybug nymphs per sprout were released and considered as pre-treatment count (20 × 8 × 3 = 480 mealybugs per treatment). Figures in the parentheses are arcsine transformed values; the mean values with the same alphabetical superscripts are statistically non-significant as per DMRT.DAT days after treatment, FORS Fish Oil Rosin Soap.
the present trial the spore concentration used was \(2 \times 10^8\) cfu/ml. Kanitkar et al. (2020) revealed that application of Brigade-BL (\(B. bassiana\)) at 5.0 ml/l with 2 sprayings resulted in reduction of mealy bug colonies up to 67.82 and 75.68% in foundation and fruiting pruning, respectively. Similarly, it has not resulted in any abnormality and phytotoxic effects like leaf chlorosis, tip burning, necrosis, epinasty, and rusting on grapevines and were found compatible with Buprofezin 25 SC chemical insecticide.

Treatment with insecticide, imidacloprid at 0.25 ml/l significantly affected the mealy bug population by recording mortality of more than 90%. The systemic nature of imidacloprid in tuber dip bioassay, resulted in effective suppression of mealy bugs whereas, the topical application of contact insecticides many times fail to cause mortality in mealy bugs because of the heavy waxy coatings on the body surface. Reports of Meyerdirk et al. (2004) also suggested some biological characteristics of the pest like secretion of wax, waxy ovisac, and the ability to oviposit on foreign substrates which led to difficulty in control of mealy bugs. Perhaps, this difficulty could be quite evident especially in contact insecticides compared to systemic insecticides.

The use of a wetting agent is very important prerequisite to dissolve waxy secretions in mealy bugs for easy deposition and penetration of fungal bodies. Though wetting agent itself caused negligible mortality of mealy bugs, its addition along with EPF is an essential pre-requisite, as the mode of entry of these EPFs is through the cuticle. In addition to the wetting agents, several other additives have also proved promising in recording increased mortality of mealy bug. A similar kind of effort made by Chavan and Kadam (2010) recorded a maximum of 82.50% mortality of \(M. hirsutus\) nymphs in formulations containing \(V. lecanii +\) glycerol 8% + Tween 80 at 1% and Arachid oil 0.5%.

The early stages of mealy bugs are more susceptible to fungal biopesticides in general and \(L. lecanii\) in specific. In the present investigations, the first and second instar nymphs of \(M. hirsutus\) were selected and probably are more susceptible to EPF like \(L. lecanii\) than the later instars. In addition, EPFs had latent infection periods ranging from 5 to 10 days, which results in the escape of infection in late-stage nymphs. Ten days after EPF treatment, the highest mortality of 65.10 and 58.99% of the first and second nymphal instars of \(M. hirsutus\) was recorded, respectively whereas 45.96 and 30.66% mortality in third instar nymphs and adult mealy bugs, respectively (Makadia et al. 2009).

In addition to these factors, the time required for infection, moribund, and mortality is important and depends on the stage of the pest. So, the present study was found to be in conformity with the finding of Halder et al. (2013), where the % mortality of nymphs increased with the increase in time where, 6 days after the spraying, \(V. lecanii\) (at \(2 \times 10^9\) cfu/g) caused the mortality of 67.11%, followed by \(B. bassiana\) (\(1 \times 10^8\) cfu/g) and \(M. anisopliae\) (\(1 \times 10^8\) cfu/g) with 62.85 and 56.52% mortality, respectively. Among the 3 tested fungal pathogens, \(L. lecanii\) at \(1 \times 10^8\) spores /ml was proved to be an efficient entomopathogen with increased efficiency as the infection time prolonged. The mean fecundity of adult females treated with \(L. lecanii\) was lower (54.20 eggs/female) with a significant reduction in the hatchability of the eggs (25.20). This is reflected clearly in the present
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studies, indicating greater post pathogenicity and speed of kill in *L. lecanii* (63.34% in 9 days) than *B. bassiana* (35.84% in 9 days). Further, the virulence of *L. lecanii* was maintained even in combination with *B. bassiana*. *L. lecanii* recorded 26.00 to 82.28% mortality from first to fourth nymphal instars of mealy bug, *M. hirsutus* infesting custard apple (Makadia et al. 2009).

Among the botanical oils/formulations, significantly high cumulative nymphal mortality was noticed in neem oil at 15 ml/l (81.36%) and was statistically on par with neem oil + pongamia oil at 10 + 10 ml/l (79.65%), neem oil at 10 ml/l (78.09%), and IIHR neem soap at 10 g/l (77.67%). In general, the formulations made of or extracted from neem proved effective in managing the mealy bug population. Neem oil acts in many ways like insect growth regulator, feeding deterrent, and larvicidal activity. So, multiple modes of action of neem-based botanical oils qualify it as a unique insecticidal product and proved superior compared to pongamia oil. At 15 ml/l dose, neem oil was found significantly superior to all the other botanicals tested.

Verghese (1997) reported 5 and 2.5% neem seed kernel extracts as highly effective in causing mortality of early instar *M. hirsutus* nymphs after 24 and 48 h, after treatment under laboratory condition, while commercial product, Econeem® (Azadirachtin) 1500 ppm was effective on late instar mealy bugs after 24 and 48 h of treatment. On the contrary, Banu et al. (2010) reported a moderate mortality in nymphs and adults (42.22 and 55.56%, respectively) of cotton mealy bug, *P. solenopsis* with NSKE at 5%, while neem oil + Nirma® powder caused 46.67 and 57.78% mortality of nymphs and adults, respectively, after 48 h of treatment. This might be due to thick waxy coating and high body mass of late instar nymphs and adults. However, early instar nymphs were more susceptible to neem oil at high concentrations (15 ml/l) or in combination with pongamia oil at 10 + 10 ml/l. The results are in close agreement with Kumar et al. (1989) on the coffee green scale, *Coccus viridis* (Green) (Hemiptera: Coccidae), and Manjunath et al. (1992) on mealy bug, *M. hirsutus*.

More than 70% mortality was exhibited by commercial neem products are supported by Shekhar and Sathyaprasad (1998) who reported appreciable mortality of nymphs (95 to 98%) with Rakshak* and Amruthaguard* (0.5%), while their efficacy reduced on adults (around 51%) of *M. hirsutus* under laboratory conditions.

The time taken for causing more than 50% mortality of second or third instars was shortest (3 days) in case of neem oil at 15 ml/l (60.00% mortality), indicating quicker penetration and action. The results are corroborated with findings of Halder et al. (2013) who reported LT$_{50}$ for neem oil 5% as 93.71 h, while it is blending with a fungal pathogen like *L. lecanii, B. bassiana*, and *M. anisopliae* at 1:1 ratio exhibited LT$_{50}$ of 87.67, 88.34, and 90.82 h, respectively. So, in the present findings, the superiority of neem oil as a sole treatment is quite evident compared to its blends.

The treatments with pongamia oil at 15 ml/l and 10 ml/l were recorded moderate nymphal mortality of 36.63 and 35.11%, respectively. On the contrary, Verghese and Tandon (1987) reported that pongamia oil (2%) was very effective in killing crawlers of *M. hirsutus*, which could be because of high concentrations compared to present studies. Fish oil rosin soap (FORS) at 10 ml/l recorded moderate nymphal mortality (63.38%) and was on par with FORS at 15 ml/l (60.74%), followed by FORS at 5 ml/l (44.37%). All the combinations of treatments with FORS gave moderate to high mortality and it could be due to enhancement of botanical penetration into insect body by dissolving the waxy filament layer. Overall, FORS concentrations performed inferior than neem oil at similar concentrations.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, the virulence of *L. lecanii* alone and their combinations was higher than that of *B. bassiana* and among all the different botanicals, neem oil had a different mode of action resulted in causing significantly high mortality of nymphs. The laboratory results of the present study against mealy bug with different EPF and botanicals are useful for further experimental studies under field conditions. Due to the waxy coating on the body, the insecticidal management of mealy bug in the field is quite difficult and in addition, it can create several environmental issues, so encouraging the biocide and botanicals oils can be recommended.
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