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ABSTRACT

The origins of the various elements in the human antibody repertoire have been and still are subject to considerable uncertainty. Uncertainty in respect of whether the various elements have always served a specific defense function or whether they were co-opted from other organismal roles to form a crude naïve repertoire that then became more complex as combinatorial mechanisms were added. Estimates of the current size of the human antibody naïve repertoire are also widely debated with numbers anywhere from 10 million members, based on experimentally derived numbers, to in excess of one thousand trillion members or more, based on the different sequences derived from theoretical combinatorial calculations. There are questions that are relevant at both ends of this number spectrum. At the lower bound it could be questioned whether this is an insufficient repertoire size to counter all the potential antigen-bearing pathogens. At the upper bound the question is rather simpler: How can any individual interrogate such an astronomical number of antibody-bearing B cells in a timeframe that is meaningful? This review evaluates the evolutionary aspects of the adaptive immune system, the calculations that lead to the large repertoire estimates, some of the experimental evidence pointing to a more restricted repertoire whose variation appears to derive from convergent ‘structure and specificity features’, and includes a theoretical model that seems to support it. Finally, a solution that may reconcile the size difference anomaly, which is still a hot subject of debate, is suggested.

Origin of the adaptive immune system

It is currently thought that the antibody repertoire in the adaptive human immune system evolved to enable it to combat the massive number of foreign antigens derived from pathological bacteria, viruses, toxins, and the like. A critical question is whether the number of potentially dangerous pathogens on earth today has been a gradual accretion over millions of years and, pari passu, provided the driving force for the development of an adaptive immune system with an extensive antibody repertoire, or were they unconnected? The gradual accretion argument, while carrying a certain biological logic, may suffer from the teleological conundrum of the future requirements dictating the strategy of the past, particularly if the rate of pathogen diversification greatly exceeds the ability of the immune control systems to adapt by mutation at the same rate. Unless the many pathogens present today have always existed, there should be evidence of increasing ‘fitness’ of antibody diversity and associated mechanisms over time. The phylogenetic analysis of antibody genes since the divergence of the jawed vertebrates, in cartilaginous fish, bony fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals, and the absence of active recombination activator genes RAG1 and RAG2 in jawless vertebrates suggest this to be the case.1

A broader question, however, is whether repertoire diversification has run ahead of the cellular mechanisms by which such a diverse membership can be fully exploited. Marchalonis et al.2 suggest that the cellular processes preexisted and were simply co-opted into the immune system, citing as an example the ancient origin of homologues of the complement system (e.g., the C3 molecule, a thioester-containing protein) in protostomes. But, analysis of actual immune responses suggests that the full antibody repertoire size is not (and probably never has been) accessed since it is far in excess of the ability of elements in the immune system in both time and space to exploit it. Current estimates of the human antibody repertoire size, although controversial, have been suggested to be ~1015 members3,4 for the naïve repertoire, and as high as 1018 members based on theoretical combinatorial calculations. The 1015 estimate for the naïve B cell repertoire, the first group of antibody-bearing cells seen by an antigen, exceeds the total number of cells of all types in the body by 100 times, the total number of B cells in the body (~1011)5 by 10,000 times and more importantly, the number of circulating peripheral naïve mature B-cells (~109 based on numbers of CD27−/IgD− naïve B cells5) at any one time by a million times. While new, immature B cells are produced at the rate of ~109 per day, only a small percentage of long-lived B cells is retained in the periphery as viable mature B cells. The majority are removed as a result of ‘self-reactive’ depletion in the bone marrow, while those that enter the periphery but fail to successfully enter lymphoid follicles, or experience anergy by recognition of soluble self-antigens, have a much shorter half-life.6 The dynamic diversity variation contributed by new cells that survive these pruning processes is unknown. The fundamental paradox then is that
if the essentially astronomical $10^{15}$ naïve repertoire consisted of independent B cell clones it could never exist in the human body in physical terms, and, even if it were possible, it could never be accessed in a time frame that is immunologically meaningful.

The number of pathogenic species thought to be infectious for humans has been estimated at ~1400. If we assign an estimate of 10 different accessible antigens per pathogen (probably an overestimate) and around 100 different epitopes on each antigen (this will vary considerably between different-sized antigens), this leads to the impossible conclusion that there are ~$10^9$ antibodies per epitope if the naïve repertoire is of the order of $10^{15}$ independent members. Furthermore, such a large excess of antibodies that could address each epitope in many different ways comes with a high potential cost of generating autoimmune antibodies. Marchalonis et al.\(^2\) (p225) draw attention to this numbers dilemma, perhaps tangentially, by stating that in order to survive infection with new pathogens the organism’s immune system must have an ‘adequate’ set of VH/VL recognition units that continually arise throughout the life of the organism. The question then arises: what size of repertoire is sufficient or adequate and what is simply repertoire inflation, the excess of which should have been lost during natural selection given the enormous resource cost to a species of maintaining an inflated system? If, as Marchalonis et al.\(^2\) (p230) also suggest, all vertebrate antibodies share two critical properties, namely epitope promiscuity, and polyreactivity, then these properties should render an enormous repertoire expansion unnecessary.

Stewart\(^9\) and Marchalonis et al.\(^10\) propose that the development of the antibody repertoire occurred independently of antigen-driven selection. Stewart’s hypothesis is that immunoglobulins (Ig) did not arise to fight infection at all and hangs on the notion that ‘variable region molecules’, what he calls the VRM system, existed as a critical structure in all vertebrates whose function was to control growth. There are a number of issues with Stewart’s arguments. First, it is not clear what ‘necessary’ function the VRM performed in its earliest form, necessary in the sense that a selective advantage for each of the ‘innovative elements’ of the VRM Stewart lists would have ensured their retention over time. The statement that the principal function of the VRM was to “contribute to the integration of the internal molecular environment” struggles to define anything biologically meaningful. In vertebrates, a VRM system may have been unnecessary where the processing of pathogens tends to occur ‘within’ cells rather than defending against them from the outside. Recognition elements, as part of an innate immune system that may have contained the ability to vary its response to diverse challenges, have been shown by Quenesberry, Vasta, and colleagues\(^11\) to have existed in tunicates where highly diverse lectin families may have allowed them to distinguish self from non-self. Such a function is best retained by maintenance of polymorphism – too few alleles and the distinction between self and non-self may disappear.

A provocative observation Quenesberry et al. make is that if the enormous diversity in the adaptive immune system present in the jawed vertebrates is so critical for defending against infection, how is it that invertebrates having only innate immunity mediated by molecules such as lectins, galec- tins, and others managed to survive throughout a much longer period of invertebrate history? A plausible answer is that the arrival of the first Ig variable region genes and the subsequent diversity mechanisms that rapidly followed would represent a ‘molecular Darwinism’ whereby the more diverse antibody system would gradually augment the lectin-based and other innate recognition systems and grow a more sophisticated acquired immunity function. The jawed verte- brates would not have needed to ‘invent’ the variable region genes, but merely duplicate them alongside natural variation over time since the V-domains of the subtype found in the receptors of T and B cells are known from both agnathans and cephalochordates, although as Dzik\(^12\) notes, in those species they do not rearrange. Present-day jawed vertebrates still maintain some lectins, but appear to have further evolved their pattern recognition capabilities in innate immunity by co-opting and optimizing other types of cell-surface located molecules, such as the Toll-like receptors (TLRs). These pattern recognition molecules are also found in invertebrates where they were first identified in the fruit fly, Drosophila (the toll gene). Toll was first classified by O’Neill et al.\(^13\) as playing a role in pattern formation during Drosophila embryogenesis, but was later shown by Lemaître\(^14\) \(^{15}\) to also play an anti-fungal defense role. In the sea urchin, more than 200 TLR genes have been identified, and they are also found in sponges. Intriguingly, plant resistance genes encoding pro- teins that plants use to recognize bacteria, fungi, and viruses also resemble TLRs. Travis\(^15\) suggests that such ‘parasite sensors’ may have emerged even before plants and animals diverged.

Dzik\(^12\) further argues that the rearrangement mechanism of the lymphocyte V-domains suggests an origin from a common ancestral domain existing before the divergence of the extant gnathostome (sharks, rays) classes. For example, in the sponges, genes similar to those involved in the mammalian antibody response have been identified. A protein similar to mammalian lymphocyte-derived cytokine is up-regulated during non-self-recognition in Suberites. In Geodia, two classes of receptors with Ig-like domains have been identified, receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) and the non-enzymic sponge adhesion molecules that contain two poly- morphic Ig-like domains.\(^16\) The expression of these molecules is also upregulated during grafting. Amino acid substitutions within the Ig-like domains in Geodia are restricted to ‘hot spots’. Close homologs of the RAG genes have been found in the sea urchin\(^17\) and even in the early chordate, Amphioxus,\(^18\) although the supporters of the ‘RAG arrived via a transposon’ theory suggest the sea urchin and jawed vertebrates may have received the passing transposon DNA independently. Homologs of activation induced deaminase (AID), a critical component of the variable gene hypermutation and rearrangement machinery, have only been found, however, in the gnathostomes. Thus, while some elements of the antibody repertoire generating system have appeared relatively recently, other elements may have preexisted and been co-opted from innate immunity for their functional relevance. Dzik\(^12\) (p447) concludes that some of the molecules used in higher vertebrates...
for the innate and adaptive immune systems were already developed at the point of evolutionary splitting off of the sponges. The various mutation and selection cycles would then have evolved their ‘fitness’ for key roles in the antibody arm of acquired immunity.

In assessing the question of ‘adequacy’, if we accept the combinatorial calculations that generate the $10^{15–18}$ numbers, the theoretical repertoire is ‘more than adequate’ by a long stretch. This also raises the supplementary question of how natural selection can have missed pruning such an overly complex system with its potentially enormous drain on species resources, unless it serves a function we are not yet aware of, or is an unoptimized system still evolving or, as seems more plausible, represents the sum of individual, extensively overlapping, repertoires encoded within the total human population.

The human antibody repertoire ‘elements’

The theoretical diversity of the Ig repertoire in humans is a result of a number of different stages in the development of the B cell and of the VH and VL selection and assembly mechanisms therein. Briefly, there are 7 human VH gene families (containing 51 different VH sequences) and 16 human VL (Vκ+Vλ) gene families (containing 100 different VL sequences) that can be selected, at first sight at random. Each of the VH sequences encodes three framework regions (HFR1,2,3) and the first two complementarity-determining regions (CDRH1 and H2), while the germline VL sequences encode LFR1,2,3, the first two CDRs (CDRL1 and L2) and part of the third CDR (CDRL3). The final sequences in the VH region are assembled by the complex set of events shown in Figure 1(a). A similar but less complex assembly occurs to complete the VL region (Figure 1(b)). Calculating the potential diversity available to the population of IgM-bearing peripheral B cells via the combinatorial assembly of 51 VH genes, 6 JH segments, 25 D segments for the heavy chains, and 50 Vκ genes, with 5 Jκ segments plus 50 Vλ genes, and 7 Jλ segments for the light chains, all without consideration of junctional diversity, generates a ‘core’ combinatorial diversity of $4.6 \times 10^6$ VH-VL pairs.

However, on putting the DH and JH sequences together, several types of additional variation can be introduced during assembly. Nucleotides at the VH-DJ junction can be nibbled away by exonucleases and replacement nucleotides inserted (called N-nucleotide addition), thereby generating new sequences at the junction, and a similar process can occur during joining of the DH to the JH sequences. In addition, asymmetric nicking of hairpin structures at the VH-DH junction and refilling to generate blunt ends for subsequent

---

**Figure 1.** Cartoons of the combinatorial assembly process by which heavy-chain variable domains (Figure 1(a)) and light chain variable domains (Figure 1(b)) are assembled in the B-cell. The relationship between the framework regions and the CDRs is shown in Figure 1(c). Figure 1(a,c) is adapted from Figure 5 in reference 4.
ligation can cause sequence changes, known as P-diversity (P for palindrome), a process discovered by Tonegawa and his team in 1989 while studying the T-cell receptor. In some antibody sequences, exonuclease over-activity can completely obliterate the original D sequence nucleotides, or move them into a different reading frame, as shown by Darsley & Rees in 1985, generating entirely novel sequences in the region of the heavy-chain CDR3. While such reading frame biases in the DH segment are seen in mouse heavy chains, Benechou et al. have shown that such biases are rare in human IgM+ heavy chains (the naïve repertoire) where a single reading frame is most commonly observed, while inverted DH sequences are more or less never seen. After class switching to IgG (or IgA), B-cell biases in reading frame usage can be more pronounced accompanied by inter-individual differences. A further potential diversifying mechanism within CDRH3 involves the formation of DH-DH segments via fusion of DH regions, leading to a recombinant heavy-chain VH-[DH-DH]-JH. In a study in 2012 Briney et al. measured the frequency of such fusions in the human peripheral B cell population and obtained a figure of about 1 in 800 with a much lower frequency in memory B cells. While low frequency, the radical topographic sculpting provided by these longer lengths, not solely generated by the DH-DH mechanism, can generate useful finger-like protrusions, ideal for projecting into and binding viral surface canyons, first suggested by Webster et al. in 1994. It is possible that such mechanisms may be focused more in the mucosal B cell repertoire with its tendency to express antibodies with longer CDR H3 sequences and where insult by many viral pathogens first occurs.

Two further mechanisms of diversification have been described in mouse and human tissues where the selected VH gene in a particular completed VDJ recombination can be modified. The first, described by Darlow & Stott, was thought to occur by a mechanism similar to gene conversion, mediated by the AID enzyme. AID, undetectable in naïve resting or memory B cells, is active during hypermutation of antibodies in germinal centers, and in the gene conversion process is proposed to mediate the transfer of homologous sequences between the rearranged VDJ gene and other VH genes. Of course, unless such interchange is restricted to functional VH regions, this mechanism could also interchange non-viable sequences from the many more VH pseudogenes present in the human genome. Examples of this form of ‘gene conversion’ in humans have been reported to occur in B cells from tonsils, synovial tissues from rheumatoid arthritis patients, and lymphomas.

An additional mechanism, reviewed in a Hypothesis and Theory article by Meng et al. in 2014, involves the replacement of the entire VH region (VHR) by a new, upstream VH gene in what Meng et al. call a VH ‘invasion’. The atypical recombination mechanism involved in this process often generates long VH CDR3s that may result in multi-specificity or even autoreactivity. Similar replacement events have been seen with light chains. Possible targets for this type of rearrangement are nonfunctional VDJ or VJ assemblies or those that require ‘receptor editing’ because of self-recognition. The frequency of such unusual modifications, their precise timing during B cell development and their overall contribution to the antibody repertoire is not yet clear.

Berek & Milstein estimated the diversity factor due to N- and P-junctional diversity in heavy chains to be somewhere >10 (the astronomical school put this much higher) giving a diversity of 4.6 × 10. To arrive at the 10 number the N- and P-diversity effects, allied with other CDRH3- and CDR3-varying mechanisms, would need to introduce a further variation factor of ~10. While such calculations are mathematically interesting, they do not take account of biological mechanisms that themselves may introduce a selection bias and reduce the effective diversity (e.g., clonal deletion in the bone marrow and peripheral lymph tissues). Khass et al. describe a further example of bias that suggests individual DH genes are selected by evolution to preferentially generate defined categories of antigen-binding sites, likely reflecting the history of pathogen exposure to the human immune system. Once assembled, the antibody-bearing B cells at this point express the VH and VL regions in a surface-located IgM (the antigen receptor).

After a particular IgM-bearing B cell has been selected by an antigen for its ‘structural fitness’, it undergoes several changes, one of which is a switch to an IgG format. This switch, occurring in the germinal centers, also activates an internal somatic hypermutation machinery process and the spinning-off of memory B cells. The somatic hypermutations are not randomly distributed in the VH and VL regions, but are thought to be targeted to a small number of sequence motifs and are not part of the naive repertoire since they only arise after antigen selection has occurred, as discussed by Schramm & Douek. Their role is to improve the fitness of the already selected antibodies to the antigen by climbing an affinity landscape through mutation. The historical discovery of these various diversification mechanisms for those interested is explored elsewhere.

In referring to the astronomical (~10) repertoire, Khass et al. call it the ‘infinite repertoire theorem’. An example of the problem of interrogating such a vast repertoire in a reasonable time frame for the immune system to react to an infection, thus avoiding serious clinical consequences, is exposure to influenza virus. To induce a viral infection via aerosols (the most common route) Nikitin et al. proposed that ingestion of the order of at least 3 × 10 viral particles is required. Not all ingested particles may engage in peripheral B cell contact in germinal centers since their first site of contact will likely be at mucosal barriers. But, assuming at least 10 viral particles are involved, the B cell interrogation time can be estimated based on a productive period of each antigen-B cell interaction of one second (the approximate dissociation time for an IgM antibody-antigen complex of micromolar K). So, 10 identical viral particles undergoing a binding event with all 10 peripheral B cells (assuming naïve to influenza infection) would take 10 seconds (~12 days) if the entire repertoire had to be screened to find a productive hit, and proportionately shorter if a hit is found more rapidly. It will be abundantly clear from even this simplistic example that an effective immune response could never be mounted if a repertoire of 10 was required to be interrogated by an antigen in order to find a productive hit.
Recent sequencing studies by Soto et al. of human circulating heavy chains suggested a size of about $1.1 \times 10^7$ B cell clonotypes in the naïve repertoire. In this analysis two of the three individuals whose heavy and light chains were sequenced shared between 1% and 6% of B cell heavy-chain clonotypes (0.3% shared by all three), while two of the three individuals shared 20% and 34% of λ or κ light chains, respectively, likely reflecting convergent or ‘canonical’ solutions. In another somewhat larger study, Hong et al. analyzed the size of the expressed IgM repertoire in both neonates and healthy human adults by Illumina sequencing. Sixteen million sequences from peripheral B cells of 33 different adults (male and female) were analyzed and showed about $7 \times 10^6$ unique IgM B-cell clones. Within these clones about 9000 unique VDJ rearrangements were seen in the VH sequences, within which $3.4 \times 10^6$ unique CDRH3 sequences were found. Of particular interest was the bias of germline VH usage present in the adult repertoire, with 94.5% of VH genes arising from the VH1, VH2, VH3, and VH4 families but only 0.1% for VH5, VH6, and VH7. In the human heavy-chain repertoire 23 VH genes are in VH3, 10 in VH4 and 10 in VH1, together representing 84% of the total VH repertoire. The remaining 16% come from the VH2 (4) and VH5-7 (4) families. If VH selection is purely stochastic, Hong et al. might have expected to see an extensive spread of genes in the ratio VH3> VH4 = VH1. They did not, in line with many other observations of VH gene usage. In fact, the top VH gene frequency in the adult repertoire was from the VH4 and VH1 families, with two VH4 genes and one VH1 genes topping the table (VH4-59 > VH1-69 > VH4-54; see Figure 2 in Hong et al.). This suggests that calculations in which all 51 VH genes are given equal status in the combinatorial equation do not reflect the way the immune repertoire seems to operate (it is already thought that particular VH gene selection is influenced by proximity of VH families to particular JH and D segments), at least at the level of the naïve repertoire. Hong et al. also note that the extensive N-modifications at the V-D-J junctions in the adult repertoire and the impact of such junctional modifications on VH CDR3 sequences may be the major determinants for heavy-chain V-region diversity, a notion consistent with the views of Davis in 2004 and Khass et al. in 2018.

While somatic mutation can act to improve the ‘good enough’ to the ‘very good’ (increasing affinity), this does not alter the fact that the initial process of selection to find even a good enough partner is still random; finding the needle is still subject to the laws of probability. In the infinite repertoire model, there are $\sim 10^7$ sets of $10^3$ IgM antibodies in the $10^{15}$ theoretical naïve repertoire. As Schroeder points out, the process of an antigen working its way through such a repertoire would also have an enormous resource (energy) cost for the organism when creating large numbers of antibodies and the cells that encode them that are unused. Other factors cautioned by Schroeder are the possibility that in a truly random process undesirable autoreactive antibodies may be generated and the lengthy time taken to find a suitable antibody would allow infections and diseases to gain hold before immune protection could occur. The infinite repertoire model seems unworkable, unless that is, the repertoire has massive redundancy such that the theoretical number of $10^{15}$ naïve antibodies encodes in reality a much smaller number of specificities, a feature that cannot be estimated solely by inspection of sequence diversity.

In a 2019 study, Briney et al. described the sequencing of three billion heavy-chain sequences from the pooled circulating B cells from 10 individuals. The individuals were gender balanced and divided between African-American and Caucasian ethnicities. On the basis of the sequence diversity observed and the assumption that combining heavy and light chain sequences is a random process, the authors proposed that the naïve repertoire may be as large as $3 \times 10^{15}$ different antibodies. They state, however, that the dataset revealed ‘largely unique repertoires’ for each individual studied. On a practical point, the large numbers generated by high-throughput sequencing and consequent evaluation of clonotype diversity are challenging and dependent on a number of factors, such as the level of instrument sequence errors and their interpretation, as discussed recently by Vazquez-Bernat et al. and Ohlin et al. and ultimately on how well the B cell repertoire members at a particular time are segregated. Hershberg and Prak note that, when a repertoire of mutant B cells is assessed, what is actually being measured is the history of those cells that have already survived selection. The analysis by Briney et al. appears to have taken account of those post-naïve antibodies that had already undergone selection, using their hypermutation status to exclude them from the naïve repertoire calculation. Nevertheless, the repertoire estimate is based solely on ‘sequence variation’ without any consideration (understandably) of what the ‘structural repertoire’ would be, currently impossible to deduce theoretically since decoding antibody structure from sequence is barely possible. A similar calculation taking Soto et al.’s number of $1.1 \times 10^7$ heavy-chain clonotypes and adding the full diversity due to kappa and lambda light chains ($(1.1 \times 10^7 \times 250) + (1.1 \times 10^7 \times 350)$, respectively), but without any correction for biased gene segment usage or clonal identities, leads to a maximum size of $6.6 \times 10^9$ heavy-
light chain pairs, an experimentally observed repertoire close to the number of circulating B cells, but which is still around a million times smaller than the postulated $10^{15}$ number.

**Is an ‘infinite’ immune repertoire required?**

There seem to be two possible questions or assumptions the above issues raise. First, is the antibody repertoire in reality as large as calculations suggest and what is the experimental evidence, based on diversity of ‘structure-based specificity’ and not just ‘sequence diversity’? Second, if the repertoire is not that large, what is the lower limit of variation and how is the selection of effective (‘fit’) partner antibodies by antigens achieved in a timely and resource efficient manner? Perelson and Oster⁴⁰ and Perelson and Weisbuch⁴¹ approach this last question via mathematical modeling by looking at antibody–antigen interactions in terms of the ‘shape space’ of epitopes (or antigenic determinants) and the probability of a repertoire of antibodies covering the total epitope shape space. A further important aspect built into these calculations is the proportion of the theoretical repertoire that consists of antibodies reactive against self-antigens, eliminated during the processes of deletion. As De Boer & Perelson⁴² point out, not all self-antigens would be present during this deletion process, and the suggestion that the size of the mature repertoire is largely determined by the presence of anti-self antibodies is perplexing and raises the question of how the immune system avoids catastrophic auto-immune responses for those many self-antigens that are not accessed during deletion. In addition, loss of those anti-self antibodies in the repertoire would potentially create specificity holes that could be (and likely are) exploited by pathogens. This model of the B-cell repertoire using the notion of shape space requires that antibodies are multi-specific, that is they can bind a series of structurally related epitopes. Structural redundancy (widely reported and often referred to as poly- or oligo-reactivity) is likely to be more prevalent in antibodies triggered early in the response (e.g. IgMs) and would argue for a ‘diversity reduction factor’ that should be introduced into the combinatorial calculation.

However, it is the naïve repertoire that first meets antigen and, barring a previous encounter where memory B-cells may be present, it is this naïve repertoire’s fitness that will determine success or otherwise in eliminating the pathogen. The important basis of the Perelson model is that because each antibody can recognize all epitopes within its shape space, a finite number of antibodies can recognize an infinite number of antigens. But what is that finite number? The model estimates this by asking the question: What is the minimum number of different antibodies that will ensure an epitope will not be recognized? It turns out that if the repertoire size is $~10^7$ different antibodies ‘‘different’’ here means different in specificity with an implied structure difference, not simply sequence difference – Perelson’s⁴³ model predicts that essentially all epitopes will be recognized since the probability that an epitope escapes detection is infinitely small. This is predicated of course on the assumptions that each of the $10^7$ antibodies is different in terms of its antigen recognition surface, and that there are no significant holes in the repertoire allowing some antigens to fall through, issues that are almost impossible to resolve, theoretically or experimentally. Perelson⁴⁰ notes that the expressed repertoire in mice for each of B cells and T cells is about $10^7$, in accord with the Hong et al.⁴⁵ experimentally observed numbers for expressed antibodies ($1.1 \times 10^7$), although 100x lower than the total number of circulating human B cells ($10^8$), and of the Soto et al.⁴⁴ extrapolated number of $6.6 \times 10^9$ antibodies. Allowing for clonal identity and sequence-based structural redundancy in the circulating repertoire, this would put the model predictions in a good place and is not inconsistent with the $1400 \times 10 \times 100$ pathogen/antigen/epitope notion calculated earlier.

Further support for Perelson’s ‘limited repertoire is sufficient’ model comes from the behavior of phage libraries. Early phage libraries generated antibodies with affinities (micromolar and sub-micromolar range) similar to those seen for IgM and early response IgG antibodies that would have derived from a typical naïve repertoire. In 1991 Marks et al.⁴⁴ prepared a phage library from peripheral human B cells with a diversity of $>10^6$ members. On screening and analysis of the library, Marks suggested that such a library could recognize any antigen, acknowledging the limited affinities obtainable. Similar results were obtained by Griffiths et al.⁴⁵ and others. It seems likely that, as phage libraries with greater variation in the CDRs were prepared (e.g. HuCal⁴⁶ libraries), they would have mimicked in their sequence space a mix of naïve-type antibodies and hypermutated antibodies, as already suggested by Griffiths et al.⁴⁵ (p3254).

So, how do we explain the frequently claimed proposition that the potential repertoire size is actually eight or so orders of magnitude greater than appears to be required? In this article, I maintain the evidence suggests that the theoretical antibody repertoire must be heavily redundant, and further, is in fact a ‘population repertoire’, where N individuals have different but significantly overlapping fractions, $M_{1,n}$, of the available repertoire, $M_{1,n} \times N$. This suggests that the ‘infinite’ repertoire is not in fact infinitely large, but is in reality the sum of a large population of heavily redundant repertoires, selected and matured over millions of years and differing only where individuals have different historical pathogen experiences. Further, each individual M is able to cover Perelson’s antigen space because of this vast redundancy, a notion not inconsistent with the observations of many of the studies cited here. The implication of this, if correct, is that the ‘specificity’ repertoire is much smaller than the repertoire calculated solely on the basis of sequence variation. One way to validate this notion is to look at the experimentally observed antibody repertoire ‘use’.

**The observed diversity of antibody-antigen responses**

Some of the earliest studies of antibody responses to defined antigens were carried out by Klaus Rajewsky⁴⁷ when he was Director of the Institute for Genetics in Cologne and by Cesar Milstein at the Medical Research Council in Cambridge. Rajewsky’s analyses suggested that responses to specific antigens were restricted. As he observed:
There is evidence that certain specificities in the pre-immune repertoire are selectively expanded … This would be an efficient way to amplify the expression of selected sets of antigen binding sites whose expression is useful on the basis of … experience.57 (p192)

These are interesting comments. The words ‘useful’ and ‘experience’ conjure up a mechanistic notion that is the antithesis of a stochastic process and resonates closely with recent observations of convergence in antibody CDRH3 sequences and structural motifs for recognition of specific antigens and their epitopes, an area discussed below. It is also educational to consider some of the conclusions of Berek & Milstein28 in 1988 based on their own and others’ empirical data, summarized below:

1. The best available naïve repertoire (estimated at that time to be 10⁷) has developed via natural selection while the best memory repertoire arises from antigen-driven selection;
2. While the memory repertoire may potentially be large, it is in fact heavily restricted since it is selected by antigen experience;
3. Memory cells will play a critical role in the repertoire of antigen-specific cells, but will have a negligible role in defining the total diversity of the available repertoire;
4. The pool of available specificities in the naïve repertoire is only a fraction of the potential repertoire and the memory pool a fraction of the available repertoire;
5. Somatic mutation does not contribute to the diversity of the naïve repertoire.

While these ideas are now more than 30 years old, which by the way doesn’t make them redundant, they were remarkably prescient. Around the same time, Blier & Bothwell48 extended the observations of Milstein, Rajewsky, and others in a study of B cell lineages that characterized specific secondary responses in the mouse. In that study, a single VH gene was present in 24 of 28 antibodies, with two similar V-lambda genes used in 25 of 28 antibodies. Bye et al.49 showed in 1992 that, of 14 murine antibodies generated against the Rhesus (D) alloantigen in seven different donor mice, only two VH genes were used in the secondary response while 11 of the 14 selectively used the JH6 segment. The IgM response to this antigen was even more restricted. Ikematsu et al.50 in 1993 observed a similar restricted response in anti-rabies antibodies. Of nine human anti-rabies antibodies, generated from B cells of human donors that had received the rabies vaccine, seven used the VHIlll heavy-chain family. The results from these studies are consistent with Berek & Milstein’s notion that the antibody repertoire is constrained to the naïve repertoire available at the point of antigen arrival and that any expansion or diversification during the secondary response is severely restricted.

On the question of the fitness of the VH repertoire, Suarez et al.51 in 2006 observed a remarkably constrained use of only a single VH gene in a transgenic mouse that contained five different human VH germ line genes. The mouse antigen response generated 30 different antibodies to different soluble proteins and whole cells by co-opting only the VH1-2 gene, along with varied D and JH segments, suggesting a possible selection process driven by the preferred complicity of CDRH3 sequences.

In 2008 Volpe & Kepler52 mapped the frequency of human VH gene usage in 6500 productive heavy-chain rearrangements and more than 300 nonproductive rearrangements. Several important results of this analysis emerged. First, the frequency of the seven VH gene families found in productive rearrangement was heavily biased toward VH3 (~46%) and VH4 (~24%), with VH1 coming in third at about 18%. JH gene segment usage and D segment usage were also heavily biased, with J4 and J6, and D2 and D4 dominating the productively rearranged genes. Further, Volpe and Kepler found a statistically significant preference for certain D-J pairings, suggesting a gene proximity origin. These analyses, which were much larger than similar earlier analyses by Brezinschek et al.53 but with similar conclusions, lend considerable weight to the idea that the actual size of the antibody repertoire is, in practical terms, much lower than its theoretical size, ignoring, as that calculation typically does, biases in frequencies that arise from recombination mechanisms influenced by gene segment adjacency and perhaps other recombination factors. Mark Davis54 (pp239, 241) noted in 2004 that wide variations in V region vertebrate repertoires are likely to be of lesser importance than the preservation of one or more diverse CDR3 regions. He further noted that it is the diversity of heavy-chain CDR3s that drive specificity, whereas CDRH1 and CDRH2 residues are broadly cross-reactive and subject to improvement by somatic hypermutation. In a more recent review, while acknowledging the results of the 2019 study by Briney et al., Davis & Boyd55 (p111) comment:

It is currently unclear how diverse the Ig repertoire needs to be to provide competent humoral immunity over a human lifespan.

In 2009 Glanville et al.55 isolated antibodies from the B cells of 654 human donors. The isolated cells included naïve, memory, plasma, and pre-immune cells containing somatically altered paratopes. Their conclusion was that the total diversity of the multi–individual repertoires was 3.5 × 10¹⁵. While the objective of this study was to understand how to construct an ‘adequate’ diversity into synthetic libraries, there are a number of cautionary comments that should be made. First, the VH diversity of the library was rather limited (~10⁶) and the repertoire size was calculated by assuming random heavy chain–light chain combinations since the sequences determined were not from paired antibody chains. Second, 78% of the antibody chain sequences had between 1 and 6 amino acid mutations within the V-region encoded CDRs, reflecting somatic hypermutation (SHM) seen only after antigen stimulation, isotype switching, and movement to germinal centers where affinity maturation and spinning off of memory cells occurs (SHM in IgM B cells can occur but is rare). It is therefore likely that the diversity seen by these authors was from a combination of naïve IgM cells and B cells that were already selected, the latter the memory repertoire of Berek & Milstein. Given the immune history of the 654 individuals, the memory repertoire must have been substantial both in size and diversity. Separation of the naïve
repertoire from the memory repertoire is clearly an essential requirement for establishing the real diversity of the naïve repertoire, but is a non-trivial exercise, as indicated by Lees & Shepherd. \(^{56}\)

**Structural convergence**

A consequence of the ‘convergence’ model of antibody fitness is that paratope structures that recognize the same or substantially overlapping epitopes can arise either by using the same or closely similar CDRH3 sequences (sequence convergence), or from using different VH, D, and JH sequences that are capable of producing the structural paratope (structural convergence) necessary to recognize the same epitope(s). In 2015 Dunand & Wilson \(^{57}\) argued that for some antigens there exist epitopes that are ‘so unique’ (sic) there are only so many paratope structures, and hence sequences that are capable of recognizing them, calling such behavior ‘stereotyped convergence’ where individuals from diverse genetic backgrounds use highly convergent B cell receptors. Others have observed sequence (CDRH3) similarity, or convergence, but dissimilar sequences can also generate paratope complementarity. In 1994 Malby et al. \(^{58}\) in an x-ray crystallography study described two different antibodies (NC10 and NC41) with significant CDR sequence differences that recognized ~80% of the same epitope on the surface of the influenza neuraminidase antigen. The NC10 CDRH3 was 15 residues while NC41 was 13 residues. Only four residues (all at the C-terminus of the CDR) were common between the two CDR sequences. The molecular surface of the neuraminidase monomer is shown in Figure 2 in which the area common to the two different antibodies is shown in purple. The two sets of CDRs produce a common complementary structure to the epitope but using different sequences. In a somewhat premonitory statement that anticipated many of the current convergence notions, Malby et al.’s parting sentence in the conclusion stated:

> A fundamental principle of protein structure is that unrelated amino acid sequences may give rise to similar polypeptide folds. It is now emerging that two chemically unrelated binding sites may bind a common structure on a third protein. This is facilitated in part by the capacity of proteins to modulate their shape to achieve the topographic complementarity necessary for protein–protein interactions. \(^{58}\) (p744)

In a later 2005 study, De Genst et al. \(^{59}\) described an example of sequence-based convergence. They isolated two VHH antibodies from dromedaries that had been immunized with hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL) in different locations (Morocco and Dubai) and at widely separated times (1993 and 1997, respectively). While the VH and JH regions differed between the two antibodies, the D regions were identical in sequence and structure, with both antibodies recognizing identical epitopes. Further, the two paratopes were highly complementary to their epitopes with surface correlation values (a measure of the structural complementarity between two surfaces) of 0.79 and 0.71 for the two antibodies, values close to those of many highly evolved oligomeric protein interfaces. \(^{60}\) In addition, while CDRH1 differed between the two antibodies, both antibodies had accumulated many mutations that converged on identity in several CDRH2 positions. This cavity-protrusion feature is shown in Figure 3. De Genst et al. explain the origin of the sequence convergence as a result of hypermutation:

![Figure 3](image-url)
Y59A mutation occurring in both VHHs in particular plays a pivotal role for HEWL interaction because it creates a cavity between the H2 and H3 loops. The Thr-47 of HEWL (Thr-47 L) fits into this cavity and is surrounded by residues occluding solvent from this site …

This example provides further support for the notion that structural, or topographic complementarity among antibodies that bind to identical or substantially similar epitopes is the driver of antibody specificity. While the sequence is important, these two studies demonstrate that structural convergence can be obtained both by closely similar and by significantly different CDR sequences. In relating these observations to the repertoire question, and essentially questioning the notion that repertoire diversity can be deduced solely be reference to sequence differences, De Genst et al. also suggest that on a structural level, acquired immunity may rely more on a well-developed somatic hypermutation machinery than on the acquisition of large primary repertoires. The generation of ‘hit’ antibodies may then begin by selecting candidate primary hits from a conservative naïve repertoire, followed by a secondary drive toward high specificity convergent solutions.

The role of V-regions and CDR3 in antigen receptor diversity

Given the memory B cell antibodies are antigen selected and optimized by somatic hypermutation that is focused mainly on the CDRH3 region, a reasonable question would be: Is the selection of specific VH germline genes and the CDRs encoded therein a critical requirement for the development of particular antigen specificities? In 2000 Xu & Davis addressed a related question, which essentially asked: Is the CDR3 region of VH domains sufficient for most antibody specificities? Their model was a transgenic mouse with a single VH gene (VH5-51, rarely used in adult human responses) but containing 10 functional D segments, six JH segments, Cμ, and Cy1 constant regions. The results were surprising, at least at the time. After immunizing the mice with four different protein antigens and three different hapten conjugated to protein carriers, specific IgM antibodies were obtained where, apart from one antibody, the only sequence differences seen were in the CDRH3 sequences. On further hyperimmunization, IgG antibodies were obtained containing somatic hypermutations and, for one of the antigens, exhibiting an extremely high IgG affinity (K_D ~25pM). The extension of the observations in this study to other normal immune responses by these authors was that restricted V gene usage is a result of antigen-driven clonal expansion of kinetically favorable antigen receptor clones. Their conclusions also support the notion that it is the highly diverse CDRH3 regions that are dominant in encoding antigen specificity. In 2004 Davis further developed his CDRH3 hypothesis on antibody diversity concluding that:

… the VH and VL repertoires do not make any unique contribution to specificity, but that virtually any combination can be molded by somatic mutation into a high affinity binding site provided that the ‘right’ VH CDR3 is providing the core specificity.

But, the problem with parsimonious models is that their extrapolation may not predict the full reality of an operational, in vivo repertoire at work. The ideal dataset would come from a detailed retrospective analysis of a large population of human individuals who had been subjected to a multi-antigen onslaught, such as with a multivalent vaccine. Fortunately, a number of such analyses has been made, the results from which begin to explain how the antibody repertoire works.

The central position of CDRH3 in the antibody combining site, allied with its enormous variation in length and sequences, argues for a critical role in antigen recognition. While the suggestion that CDRH3 is the focus for antigen selection, the shape of the combining site is determined by the juxtaposition of several CDRs from both the heavy and the light chain, giving rise to varied topographies, analyzed by Webster et al. The logical construction of a naïve repertoire that provides variation for small, medium, and large antigens is that natural selection should have ‘fixed’ members of the different topographic classes in relation to the frequency of experience by the immune system from the different molecular types of antigen assault. If this variation is represented in the naïve IgM repertoire, then fast selection of low affinity but ‘specific enough’ B cells that then enter an optimization pathway can follow. For a given class of antigen (e.g., the topographically flat surface of a protein) it is likely required that a particular combination of CDR lengths that generate such a flat paratope will be present. This may then be the first point of CDR convergence. Such a correlation was first analyzed in some detail by Vargas-Madrazo et al. in 1995 on the basis of preferred CDR canonical classes where the class combinations for recognition of protein antigens were markedly different to those for small antigens. An intermediate ‘multi-specific’ shape class appeared to be related to CDRH3 conformation. A year later, MacCallum et al. extended this notion and identified similar variations in antigen-dependent paratope topographies, classifying them as concave, ridged (or grooved) and planar. In a later study Zemlin et al. compared the human and mouse repertoires with respect to CDRH3 length and amino acid composition. Their conclusions resonate with the above notion that within the naïve repertoire there are distinct paratope shape classes:

Our results suggest, however, that specific antibodies may be selected from very distinct overall repertoires … we propose that the … repertoires … differ in the array of antigen specificities and affinities, but during the response to a given antigen they obey similar rules of selection … and must therefore reflect convergent selection.

While each B cell that selects a particular VH germline gene, where CDRH1 and CDRH2 sequences are fixed for that B cell in the naive repertoire, other B cells using the same VH gene can have widely differing CDRH3s. If antigen recognition is largely driven by CDRH3 sequence and structure, as postulated by Khass et al. and others, then analysis of human responses to specific antigens, such as in vaccines or after parasite infection, should provide evidence of convergence of these sequences and structures. Such memory convergence, if observed, would best be analyzed by assessing the time-dependent repertoire usage since secondary responses
will contain antibodies somatically hypermutated. Such analyses have been done.

In a study by Parameswaran et al. in 2013, convergent human antibody responses to dengue virus infection were seen, while in 2014 Jackson et al. made the observation that convergent antibody sequence and structure may be a common feature for most if not all pathogens, a view supported by their studies on responses to influenza vaccines. The existence of a ‘public antibody repertoire’ in different individuals characterized by convergent responses to multi-antigen vaccines was also observed by Trück et al. in 2015. In 2016 Joyce et al. and Kallewaard et al. analyzed the human responses to influenza vaccines and the antibody repertoire usage in different individuals. In their ‘Leading Edge Previews’ commentary on these results, Jackson and Boyd make some important observations. They note that by identifying convergent ‘classes’ of influenza-neutralizing antibodies, as in the Joyce study, diverse antibody-mediated responses of different humans can converge on similar solutions to complex targeting. They go further, stating that shared antibody structural motifs for binding viral epitopes are formed in different individuals, despite the vast diversity of antibody repertoires.

The above examples suggest a somewhat different picture of the human antibody repertoire to that commonly expounded. In an evolving immune system that includes exposure to antigens of many different types, it seems logical that the ‘classes’ of antibody paratopes in the naïve repertoire would have been retained by natural selection on the basis of their ‘fitness’ for particular antigen topographies and size, and that the repertoire numbers in each class would reflect the frequency of assay on the immune system and the variation in shape, size, and chemistry. The mechanisms that have evolved to shoe-horn CDRH3 sequences and lengths into preferred sets rather than allowing a totally random selection, a process that would be deleterious to a naïve repertoire that can present only a few million antibodies to invading antigens, is currently unknown, but it must exist. This preference is consistent with analyses such as that of Vargas-Madrazo et al., where, despite some 300 possible combinations of VH and VL CDR canonical structures, 87% of 381 antibody sequences analyzed used only 10 combinations.

In a more recent study, Mason et al. constructed a library of rationally designed mutations in CDRH3 of trastuzumab, which targets human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), and then screened for retention of binding to the HER2 receptor. Using the experimental library binding results as a training set in a neural network, a large in silico library (10^6 variants) was constructed from which around 10^4 members, with CDRH3 sequences that were intelligently varied at different positions in the CDR while maintaining only small variations in length, were predicted to be binding antibodies. From this set, 30 randomly selected variants were tested and found to bind the HER2 antigen with highly respectable affinities. While it became difficult to derive either structural or sequence-based ‘rules’ that explained the common binding specificities, this study demonstrated that many different CDRH3 sequences were capable of binding the same antigen, while clearly offering similar three-dimensional structures.

necessary for retaining specificity for HER2. What is apparent from such a study is that merely reciting the fact that antibodies have different sequences and that they must therefore have a different specificity contribution to the repertoire requires an understanding of how to translate sequence information into structure and specificity. Despite the application of highly sophisticated neural network methods using reliable experimental data to train the networks, Mason et al. concluded that specificity differences cannot reliably be explained (yet) at the structural level based simply on inspection of amino acid sequences.

Two further analyses have brought additional ideas that add to the body of knowledge on the determinants of antibody specificity. In 2018 D’Angelo et al. observed that many different combinatorial rearrangements can give rise to the same heavy-chain CDR3 sequence, and that when that sequence is in the context of a specific VH-DH-JH recombination, target specificity is achieved. However, if that same CDRH3 sequence is found in the context of VH-DH recombination involving different VH genes or D segments, the target specificity is absent. The authors conclude that CDRH3 is necessary but insufficient for recognition of a specific antigenic determinant or epitope. Indeed, if CDRH3 were the sole arbiter of antibody specificity, it would be a highly convergent view of immune recognition, even allowing for the fact that the Camelidae have managed to discard the need for a light chain in their repertoire of VHH antibodies.

An explanation of how paratope specificity is encoded may be beginning to emerge from analyses such as the recent work of Akbar et al., whose mammoth study on 825 antibody-protein antigen complexes from 84 different antigen classes attempted to define shared paratope ‘motifs’ based on interaction surfaces involving different CDR and framework residues. The motifs derived from the data set did not cluster by antigen class but were shared across antigen classes. Further, the antigen and antibody sequences (and antibody germline V-genes) differed substantially across the different complexes. This analysis resulted in fewer than 10^4 interaction motifs, which the authors propose represents a substantial (50%) portion of the global paratope interaction space. This ‘structural’ space would then be more than 10 orders of magnitude smaller than the postulated global antibody sequence space. While the estimate of 10^4 motifs is surprisingly low, the concept aligns with Perelson’s notion that a limited number of paratope structural motifs that is also many orders of magnitude lower than the theoretical number of sequences, can provide an interaction surface repertoire for all antigens. It also reinforces the view of D’Angelo et al. that the contribution of a number of different CDRs (and perhaps indirectly, framework sequences) to antigen specificity is essential, notwithstanding the likelihood that CDRH3 is a critical contributor.

As a further parallel of what appears to be a ‘motif convergence’ process for antibody repertoires that may have been heavily influenced by historical assaults on the adaptive immune system, it is interesting to note that a similar selection pressure may have been operating to focus the CD4+ T-cell receptor ‘ligand’ repertoire, as suggested by Bradley and Thomas:

... a pattern has clearly emerged: Within an epitope-specific repertoire, a portion of the responding receptors cluster closely.
A physical model of convergent recognition supports a ‘small repertoire per epitope’

The notion of Perelson’s epitope ‘shape space’, while a stand-alone mathematically convincing model, can be examined by a practical approach that may mimic the way in which antibody-bearing B cells and antigens carry out their interaction pas de deux before converging on a subset of antibodies for further maturation. In an approach to calculate an epitope-focused antibody repertoire, a well-characterized surface region from a protein whose x-ray structure is known (human PCSK975), and to which many different antibodies have been raised,76-78 is taken as an example. Twelve non-contiguous amino acids (reflecting a non-linear epitope) from the surface region are examined where the amino acids are essentially in fixed positions relative to one another on the protein surface, as in most other protein epitopes. For the purposes of this purely topographic analysis, any conformational effects that may exist where residues and their neighbors may undergo small movements over time are ignored. In addition, no assumptions are made about the nature of the amino acids, other than their approximate exposed surface areas. Likewise, the capability of any of the amino acid ‘groups’ examined in mediating a thermodynamically viable binding event is not taken into account. However, and for information, in the analysis of 107 non-similar antibody-protein complexes by Kringelum et al.,79 (pp9,10,13) (1) none of the epitopes examined were linear epitopes; (2) epitopes were typically described as a flat oblong shape with an area of about 400Å2; and (3) the most common property of epitopes was a hydrophobic core surrounded by hydrophilic or charged perimeter (as in the dry core, wet rim model).

If the antibody paratope repertoire for such a region interacts with epitopes consisting of all possible combinations of residues 1–12, from single residue epitopes to epitopes involving all 12 residues; then, the theoretical repertoire, N, for such an epitope ensemble would be the sum of all combinations:

\[ N \leq \binom{12}{1} + \binom{12}{2} + \binom{12}{3} + \ldots + \binom{12}{12} \]

However, in order to arrive at the theoretical N requires all possible combinations of the 12 amino acids to be bound independently by an antibody. It is clear that not all combinations within N are available since amino acids on the surface of a protein are not free-floating ‘spheres’ that can be accessed in all discreet combinations without interference from neighboring amino acids.

Figure 4 shows a region of 12 amino acids on the surface of the protein, each amino acid labeled A, B, C… L. The circular and oval overlays approximate the areas of the exposed amino acid atoms.

The combinatorial sum of the combinations 1, 2, 3 … 12 at a time provides an upper bound for the total number of possible combinations, but, as indicated above, the physical layout severely limits N. With no physical constraints the value of N is given by:

\[ N \leq \binom{12}{1}C + \binom{12}{2}C + \binom{12}{3}C + \ldots + \binom{12}{12}C \]

\[ = 12 + 66 + 220 + 495 + 792 + 924 + 792 + 495 + 220 + 66 + 12 + 1 \]

\[ = 4095 \]

As a general principle for a physically valid combinatorial calculation, it is clear that for each of the defined epitope sets the amino acids within a set have either to be contiguous in order to form an interaction without interacting with others (e.g., for the 2 at a time set, I and J but not I and D), or they have no other amino acids between them, such as D and E. In addition, at least one of them will have to be on the boundary of the region (so not B alone).

In the estimates performed, since the options are constrained by the physical and topographical structure of the region, a systematic counting procedure is employed. For example, for the regions taken 3 at a time we obviously cannot have non-contiguous combinations such as AGL.

The counting procedure first involves all combinations with A in, then all without A but with B in, etc. Hence, at each stage, for example, once those with A are completed then A will not occur in any further combinations. The details of the counting procedure are shown in Appendix A. The sum of all combinations using this counting procedure is then:

\[ \text{Expected} + \text{Possible} = 6 + 12 + 19 + 21 + 17 + 20 + 19 + 21 + 17 + 13 + 5 + 1 \]

\[ = 171 \]
This number could be considered a lower theoretical estimate for the number of antibodies with different sets of epitope residues bound, lower since it would be incorrect to gain say all possible ways in which an antibody could address the same epitope with small variations to the sets used. It is also possible that multiple antibodies with different germline origins and different sequences could bind to the exact same sets of residues. However, based on the fact that not all sub-regions of the 12-residue set will contain an epitope profile that provides a sufficient-free energy change for productive binding (an epitope not containing a sufficiently hydrophobic core, for example), and the restricted repertoire usage observed experimentally discussed earlier, it is reasonable to expect that the upper limit ‘in practice’ will not be substantially different from this estimate and may even be lower. Taking the earlier calculation of 1400 pathogens, 10 antigens, and 100 epitopes per antigen and allowing for the existence of epitopes both larger and smaller than in our what might be called the ‘average example’, we arrive at a required repertoire size of $2.4 \times 10^8 \ (171 \times 1.4 \times 10^6)$ different antibodies theoretically capable of addressing similarly sized epitopes across all antigens. This number sits within the range encompassed by the number of circulating IgM-bearing human B cells, $\sim 3 \times 10^6$, but without any correction for specificity redundancy and clonal identities, and the postulated repertoire size from Perelson’s calculations and other experimental observations ($\sim 10^5$). While this topographic calculation is simply a mathematical model, it does suggest that the ‘adequate’ naive repertoire size is likely to be many, many orders of magnitude lower than the postulated number of $10^{15}$.

**Conclusions**

The question of how to make use of an immense sequence-based antibody diversity that is beyond the physical capacity of any individual’s immune system to access is both controversial, currently unresolved and perhaps unresolvable. As discussed, some combinatorial calculations put the naïve repertoire size at $>10^{15}$ members - the ‘infinite repertoire’. The functional antibody repertoire is not a single ‘entity’, but comprises a ‘naïve’, IgM element and ‘experience-rich’ elements within the IgG bearing memory B cell population. Other theoretical estimates, based on sound mathematical ‘shape space’ considerations, propose that a naïve repertoire of about $10^7$ different antibody ‘specificities’ (not simply sequences) may be sufficient to recognize all possible antigens. Experimental repertoire analyses largely support the shape space estimates within an order of magnitude or so. Experimental studies that have measured the clonal diversity of antibody responses to specific antigens also allow the conclusion that a naïve repertoire of around $10^7$ B-cells may be adequate for the generation of a response to all new antigens, while the memory B cell repertoire takes care of responses to previously encountered antigens. Important contributions to the argument have also been made by transgenic animal studies in which even smaller repertoires, generated using a limited number of VH-genes coupled with the normal DH and JH segments, have been shown to be ‘adequate’. Rather than engaging in fruitless calculations on what possible number of antibodies the adaptive immune system can generate, it may be equally appropriate to reflect on the teleological conundrum that an infinite repertoire would have to have evolved for a purpose not yet required, and more importantly, that is impossible to make use of.

The observed convergence of heavy-chain CDRH3 sequences, and with it paratope structural motifs observed in many studies, supports the ‘limited but adequate’ repertoire theorem while the physical limits of how many antibodies can address a particular epitope with the likelihood of forming a viable antibody-antigen pairing in the time scale of a typical immune response, exemplified by the analysis of a 12-residue epitope with all its assumptions, suggests that the plasticity of a limited repertoire augmented by somatic hypermutation provides an adaptive immune repertoire that is fit for purpose.

A clue to the repertoire anomaly may have arisen from the study by Briney et al. where the B cell repertoires of the 10 persons appeared to be different at the sequence level while at the same time showing the convergence of specificity. This behavior suggests that perhaps we should be describing the antibody repertoire as a ‘specificity’ repertoire whose variation is based on structural rather than sequence differences. This leads to the idea that what we could call the ‘master repertoire’ is in reality a collection in the human population of highly converged individual repertoires. If so, in the absence of any understanding of the sequence and structural redundancy among these individual repertoires whose convergence has been shaped over time by common and different antigen challenges, the frequently espoused ‘infinite’ combinatorial calculation based on sequence differences is almost certainly incorrect.

If a structure-based repertoire is the reality, acquired immunity must show some necessary elements. Many analyses of responses to particular pathogen epitopes in different individuals do show evidence of convergent antibody signatures, particularly in the sequences of the heavy-chain CDR3 that should reflect paratope ‘structural convergence’. If many different V-gene, J and D sequence combinations can produce paratopes that have the necessary ‘structural fitness’, then in reality the master sequence repertoire must be heavily ‘sequence redundant’. In that case, many combinations of CDR sequences derived from different gene combinations must be able to generate a structural paratope capable of binding a particular pathogen epitope sufficiently well to trigger the full armored response of the adaptive immune system. If this is true, the ‘meaningful repertoire’ is not inaccessibly large, which it is good news for the human population, individual repertoires within which, while exhibiting sequence differences, will contain extensively overlapping structural similarities as specificity determinants. The sequence redundancy means no individual has to explore an impossibly large sequence repertoire to be sure of finding an antibody solution to an existing or new pathogen, but can find a solution within a much more accessible structure repertoire, save for those instances where individual specificity ‘holes’ exist for genetic or developmental reasons. The key for improved therapeutic vaccine strategies may then be to identify those converged motifs that may be particularly effective.
for certain pathogen responses, while also cataloging the holes that some individuals may have in their historically biased anti-pathogen repertoires. Such valuable profiling could be carried out by a combination of massive comparative sequencing efforts of the sort Briney et al.,3 Hong et al.,35 Soto et al.,34 and others have described, but on much larger numbers of individuals, followed by the much more difficult process of determining the ‘specificity motif’ redundancy within such a massive sequence space, an important start to which has been made by Krawczuk et al.80
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Appendix A

Regions 1 at a time
Expected: A, C, D, E, G, H, I
Maximum total of 6 out of 12

Regions 2 at a time
Expected: AB, AC, AI (example of exception to general contiguous rule), AJ, CD, DE (example of exception to general contiguous rule), DL, EF, FG, GH, HI, IJ
Maximum total of 12 out of 66

Regions 3 at a time
Expected: ABC, ABJ, ACD, AIJ, BCD, CDE, DEF, DEL, EFG, EFK, FGH, GHI, HIJ, IJK
Possible: BCL, FGK, HIK, AIH, DKL
Maximum total of 19 out of 220

Regions 4 at a time
Expected: ABCD, ABCL, ABKJ, ACDL, ACIJ, ABCJ, ABHIJ, ABHIKL, BCDJL, CDEKL, DEFKL, EFGKL, EFGHK, FGHK, GHJK, HIJK
Possible: ABJK, AGHI, BKJL, ACDL
Maximum total of 21 out of 495

Regions 5 at a time
Expected: ABCDL, ABCIJ, ABKJ, ACDL, BCDL, CDEL, CDKL, DEFK, DEKL, EFGH, EFGK, FGHK, GHJK, HIJK, IJK
Possible: ABKJ, ABKL, ABGIJK
Maximum total of 17 out of 792

Regions 6 at a time
Expected: ABCDE, ABCDJ, ABCDKL, ABDKL, ABCIJ, ABHIJK, ABHIKL, BCDEKL, BCDFKL, BDEFK, BDEHL, CDEFKL, DEFHKL, DEFHKL, EFGHKL, EFGHL, FGHKL
Possible: BCDKL, EFGKL, FGHIKL
Maximum total of 20 out of 924

Regions 7 at a time
Expected: ABCDE, ABCDEJ, ABCDEJKL, ABCDEKL, ABCDEJL, ABCDEJI, ABCDEJIJ, ABDEHIJK, ABDEHIJKL, BCDEFIK, BCDEFIKL, BCDEIJKL, BCFGHIKL, BCFGHIJK
Possible: ABCDEJL, ABCDEJIK, BCDJKL
Maximum total of 19 out of 792

Regions 8 at a time
Expected: ABCDEFIK, ABCDEFIKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJL, ABCDEFIJK, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL
Possible: ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL, ABCDEFIJKL
Maximum total of 21 out of 495

Regions 9 at a time
Expected: ABCDEFGH, ABCDEFGJ, ABCDEFGJKL, ABCDEFGJL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIK, ABCDEFGJK, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL
Possible: ABCDEFGJKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL, ABCDEFGJIKL
Maximum total of 17 out of 220

Regions 10 at a time
Expected: ABCDEFGHIJ, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJL, ABCDEFGHIJK, ABCDEFGHIJK, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL
Possible: ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL
Maximum total of 13 out of 66

Regions 11 at a time
Expected: ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL, ABCDEFGHIJKL
Maximum total of 5 out of 12

Regions 12 at a time
Maximum total of 1 out of 1

Total of ‘Expected plus Possible’ = 6 + 12 + 19 + 21 + 17 + 20 + 19 + 21 + 17 + 13 + 5 + 1 = 171