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SUMMARY

Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is transmitted largely by respiratory droplets or airborne aerosols. Despite being frequently found in the immediate environment and feces of patients, evidence supporting the oral acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 is unavailable. Using the Syrian hamster model, we demonstrate that the severity of pneumonia induced by the intranasal inhalation of SARS-CoV-2 increases with virus inoculum. SARS-CoV-2 retains its infectivity in vitro in simulated human-fed-gastric and fasted-intestinal fluid after 2 h. Oral inoculation with the highest intranasal inoculum (10^5 PFUs) causes mild pneumonia in 67% (4/6) of the animals, with no weight loss. The lung histopathology score and viral load are significantly lower than those infected by the lowest intranasal inoculum (100 PFUs). However, 83% of the oral infections (10/12 hamsters) have a level of detectable viral shedding from oral swabs and feces similar to that of intranasally infected hamsters. Our findings indicate that the oral acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 can establish subclinical respiratory infection with less efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has affected >14 million patients, with >0.6 million deaths within a period of 6 months.1 Because of the high degree of genome homology between SARS-CoV-2 and bat SARS-related coronaviruses2–4 and the growth of the virus in intestinal organoids derived from Chinese horseshoe bats,5 SARS-CoV-2 is likely to originate from bats.5,7 Although how SARS-CoV-2 jumped the species barrier from animals to humans is still uncertain, the virus is highly transmissible among humans, leading to familial clusters and large outbreaks related to food and drink premises, religious gatherings, and cruise ships.6–12 Symptomatic COVID-19 typically causes upper and lower respiratory symptoms; SARS-CoV-2 is shed in large amounts from the respiratory tract through coughing and sneezing. Like other respiratory viruses, SARS-CoV-2 is believed to be predominantly transmitted by the inhalation of respiratory droplets or airborne aerosols. Despite the frequent detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the immediate surroundings of patients13 and their feces,12 the importance of transmission by direct and indirect contact with contaminated respiratory secretions or by the fecal-oral route remains to be ascertained. While fingers touching surfaces contaminated by respiratory secretions or fecal particles can inoculate the virus into the eyes or nose, the virus may also be inoculated via food or drink through the oral cavity to establish infection in the oropharynx or the gastrointestinal tract before spreading directly or through viremia into the respiratory tract. We and others have recently established the golden Syrian hamster SARS-CoV-2 infection model, which has demonstrated experimental transmission by close contact, respiratory droplets, or airborne aerosols; transmission by the latter two routes could be reduced by a surgical mask barrier between cages.10,13,14 In this study, we determined the severity of clinical disease and histopathological changes associated with different infectious doses given by intranasal (i.n.) inhalation. To investigate whether oral administration of SARS-CoV-2 could establish infection and what the differences in the disease course are compared to i.n. infection, we fed conscious hamsters the highest i.n. virus dose. By monitoring the serial clinical, histopathological, and virological changes in the gastrointestinal and respiratory tract tissues, we demonstrated that orally acquired SARS-CoV-2 established subclinical infection in this hamster model for COVID-19, with delayed onset and much milder pneumonia comparing to i.n. inoculation.
RESULTS

Disease Severity in i.n. SARS-CoV-2-Infected Hamsters Increases with the Dose of Virus Inoculum

Four groups of hamsters were i.n. infected with different infectious doses of SARS-CoV-2 (10^2, 10^3, 10^4, and 10^5 plaque-forming units [PFUs]). The clinical signs of lethargy, ruffled fur, hunched back posture, and rapid breathing were observed, which were accompanied by a maximum body weight loss of 4%–10% at 4 day post-infection (dpi) in the 4 groups (Figure 1A). The viral loads in the trachea and lung tissues at 2 dpi increased with the virus inoculum, while similar lung viral loads were detected among the different groups at 4 dpi (Figure 1B). These results indicated that the viral load peaked earlier with higher virus inoculum and were consistent with our previous report.10 Immunofluorescence staining for viral nucleocapsid (N) protein in the lung tissues at 2 dpi showed more focal distribution in bronchial epithelium with lower virus inoculum and more diffuse and intense N protein expression in the alveoli with higher inoculum (Figure 1C). By 4 dpi, however, similar intensity and distribution of N protein expression was observed in all of the groups (Figure 1C), which also correlated with their lung viral loads.

Histological examination of H&E-stained lung tissue sections at 2 dpi showed mainly bronchiolar epithelial cell death (Figure 1D, open arrows) and patchy alveolar septal congestion and infiltration without severe lung parenchymal damage in the hamsters infected with 10^2 or 10^3 PFUs SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1D, solid arrows). The lungs of the hamsters infected with 10^4 or 10^5 PFUs virus showed large patches of inflammatory consolidation in addition to bronchiolar epithelial cell death, with alveolar septal congestion, edema, alveolar space infiltration, protein-rich fluid exudation, and patchy alveolar hemorrhage (Figure 1D). These findings indicate that a higher i.n. virus inoculum is associated with the earlier onset of lung parenchymal damage at 2 dpi. By 4 dpi, the hamsters infected with 10^2 or 10^3 PFUs of SARS-CoV-2 also developed lung parenchymal damage that was milder than that observed in the hamsters infected with 10^4 or 10^5 PFUs virus (Figure 1D, lower panel). In addition, SARS-CoV-2-induced pulmonary vasculitis and endotheliitis, which were most severe at 4 dpi in all groups, showed intense mononuclear cell infiltration and disruption of smooth muscle fibers in the vessel wall. Severe endotheliitis was more frequently observed with higher virus inoculum; the extensive mononuclear cell infiltration beneath the endothelium caused the detachment of endothelial cells or vessel occlusions (Figure 1E), which affected blood vessels of different size, including both arteries and veins. No viral N protein antigen was detected in the blood vessels.

The inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the lung tissues inoculated with the lowest inoculum of 10^2 PFUs and the highest inoculum of 10^5 PFUs were determined at 2 and 4 dpi. The gene expression levels of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α), regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted (RANTES), interferon (IFN)-γ-induced protein-10 (IP-10), IFN-α, and IFN-γ, were significantly upregulated in hamsters infected with 10^5 PFUs at 2 and 4 dpi (Figure 1F). Although the cytokine and chemokine responses peaked at 2 dpi in both groups, the response induced by the lower virus inoculum (10^2 PFUs) mostly decreased at 4 dpi (Figure 1F).

SARS-CoV-2 Susceptibility to Simulated Human Gastrointestinal Fluids In Vitro

Since nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea are not uncommon clinical manifestations of COVID-19 and >40% of the feces of these patients were RT-PCR⁺ for SARS-CoV-2,15,16 the feasibility of fecal-oral transmission should be investigated. Due to extreme pH and abundant digestive enzymes, gastrointestinal fluids are known to protect hosts against orally acquired pathogens. Therefore, we tested the susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 in simulated human gastrointestinal fluids in vitro. The results showed that SARS-CoV-2 retained its viability and infectivity up to 120 min in fed-state simulated gastric fluid (FeSSGF, pH 5.0) and fasted-state simulated intestinal fluid (FaSSIF, pH 6.5) (Figure 2A), while in fasted-state simulated gastric fluid (FaSSGF, pH 1.6), SARS-CoV-2 lost its infectivity within 30 min and was rendered non-infectious immediately when added to fed-state simulated intestinal fluid (FeSSIF, pH 5.0), which contains bile. The degree of susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 in FeSSGF and FaSSIF was similar to those of SARS-CoV and human coronavirus 29E (HCoV-229E). Human enterovirus 71 (EV-A71) was also tested in parallel, which retained infectivity in all of the simulated gastrointestinal fluids up to 120 min (Figure 2A).

Oral SARS-CoV-2 Inoculation Caused Subclinical Infection but Early Upregulation of Cytokines and Chemokines in Intestinal Tissue

We then investigated the effect of SARS-CoV-2 on hamsters by oral inoculation. A total of 10^5 PFUs SARS-CoV-2 in 200 μL DMEM was delivered by plastic pipettes to their mouths, which were swallowed spontaneously by the alert animals without anesthesia.16,17 For comparison, a parallel group of animals was inoculated with 10^5 PFUs SARS-CoV-2 by i.n. inhalation under anesthesia. Hamsters i.n. infected developed ruffled fur, labored breathing, and lost ~8% body weight. All of the orally infected hamsters did not show signs of disease while gaining a similar amount of weight as the mock controls (Figure 2B). At 12 hpi, a low viral load was detectable in homogenized oral mucosa, but not detectable in the esophagus, stomach, small intestinal tissues, and blood samples of orally infected hamsters (n = 3; Figure 2C). The i.n. infected hamsters had a significantly higher viral load in the esophagus and stomach, but no detectable virus in small intestinal tissues (n = 3; Figure 2C). Interestingly, viral N protein was detected in 1 of the 3 lymph nodes taken from the oropharyngeal tissues at 12 hpi in the orally infected hamster (Figure 2D), which suggested that the virus had reached the lymphoid tissue at this early time point. However, mediastinal lymph nodes from 3 i.n. inoculated hamsters showed no detectable N protein at 12 hpi. The expression of cytokines and chemokines, including IFN-α, IFN-γ, IL-6, TNF-α, MIP-1α, RANTES, and IP-10, in small intestinal tissues of orally infected hamsters were upregulated and higher than those of i.n. infected hamsters, although these did not reach a statistically significant level (Figure 2E). The weaker cytokine responses in i.n. inoculated hamsters may be due to the higher viral load, which may be...
effective in the inhibition of host innate responses. Histological examination of alimentary tissues showed no apparent pathological changes at 12 hpi.

Oral and i.n. Inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 Caused a Similar Degree of Intestinal Inflammation at 4 dpi

At 4 dpi, 4 of the 6 orally inoculated hamsters had detectable viral RNA in their oral mucosa, tongue, esophagus, and stomach, but not their small intestines (Figure 3A). Infectious virus was detected by Vero E6 cell culture from some of the above tissue homogenates (Figure 3A), but the virus was not detectable from small intestinal samples by viral culture, which could be due to the toxicity induced by the intestinal tissue homogenate. Hamsters i.n. inoculated had higher levels of viral RNA in their esophagus and stomach and also in one of the three small intestine samples; this suggested that the virus may have spread from the respiratory system back to the upper gastrointestinal tract through the swallowing of infected tissue debris from the nasal
cavity or trachea, besides swallowing some of the inoculum initially. In addition, viral N protein was detected from oropharyngeal tissue lymph nodes (Figure S1A), mediastinal lymph nodes, and the peripheral blood monocytes (Figure S1B) of orally and i.n. infected hamsters, which were consistent with the detectable viremia in both groups at this time point. Histologically, orally infected hamsters showed mild inflammatory infiltrations in the tongue submucosa, oral mucosa, forestomach lamina propria, or in the smooth muscle of the glandular stomach (Figure 3B), but no viral N protein was detected in any of these epithelial cells, except from luminal cell debris (Figures S2A–S2C). Moreover, the small intestine tissues from orally infected hamsters showed medium to severe degrees of inflammatory infiltration and edema at the lamina propria, moderate to severe intestinal villi epithelium desquamation, and complete destruction of the villi in some tissues (Figure 3C). The expression of cytokines and chemokines in the small intestine tissues of orally infected hamsters were elevated to levels similar to those of the i.n. infected hamsters (Figure 3D). Notably, the severity of these histopathological changes in small intestine tissues was similar to what we previously reported in i.n. infected hamsters. Moreover, after oral or i.n. inoculation, enterocytes expressing N protein were occasionally observed (Figure S2D), as expected from the high expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the receptor for SARS-CoV-2, in the mucosal cells of the alimentary tract (Figure S3). The findings suggested that SARS-CoV-2 infection of the intestinal tissue together with virus-induced systemic inflammatory responses both play important roles in the development of intestinal pathology.

Oral Inoculation Established Delayed Subclinical Respiratory Infection and Mild Lung Pathology

To study whether subclinical respiratory infection was established via oral inoculation, we used the same dose (10⁵ PFUs)
i.n. inoculation as the control group. At 12 hpi, viral gene and N protein antigen were readily detectable in the nasal turbinate, trachea, and lung tissues from all of the hamsters in the i.n. group (n = 3), although no histological changes other than mild pulmonary blood vessel congestion were found at this early time point (Figures 4A–4C). On the contrary, no viral load or N protein was detectable in respiratory tract tissues from the orally inoculated group (Figures 4A–4C). This indicated that orally inoculated SARS-CoV-2 was not accidentally aspirated or reached the respiratory tract at 12 hpi. At 4 dpi, 6 orally inoculated hamsters were examined. Only 4 developed respiratory tract infection, which was evident from the results of viral load and N protein immunostaining. Viral antigen was found to be sparsely distributed as small foci in the lung tissue, unlike the denser distribution of larger foci in i.n. infected controls (Figure 5A). As expected, the viral titers in the lung tissues were significantly lower than those of the i.n. infected control hamsters (Figure 5B). Histological examination showed that the orally infected hamster lung tissues had milder alveolar septal infiltration and capillary congestion than the diffuse alveolar infiltration, exudation, epithelium desquamation, and pulmonary vasculitis observed in i.n. infected hamsters (Figure 5C). To quantitatively compare the severity of lung damage after oral and i.n. inoculation, we performed a semiquantitative histopathological evaluation of the bronchioles, alveoli, and blood vessels using a method modified from our previous influenza infection mouse model and a reported hamster infection model (Table S1).18,19 The results showed that the same inoculum 10^5 PFUs SARS-CoV-2 through oral inoculation caused significantly lower lung histopathological scores (scores = 9, 8, 8, 6; average score = 7.8) than i.n. inoculation (scores = 27, 27, 27; average = 27) at 4 dpi (Table S2); the expressions of lung cytokines and chemokines were also significantly lower in orally infected hamsters (Figure 5D; Table 1). Notably, when comparing the lung pathological damage caused by oral infection through the i.n. route, oral inoculation with 10^5 PFUs caused significantly milder infection than the i.n.
Figure 4. Hamster Did Not Develop Respiratory Infection at 12 h after Oral Inoculation of 10⁵ PFUs SARS-CoV-2

Hamsters were orally inoculated with 10⁵ PFUs SARS-CoV-2; the i.n. inoculation group was included as control. Nasal turbinate, trachea, and lung were examined at 12 hpi. (A) Real-time qRT-PCR determined viral load in homogenized nasal turbinate, trachea, and lung tissues at 12 hpi. n = 3 each group. The dashed line represents the detection limit. The error bars represent standard deviations of the mean. The p values were calculated by the Student’s t test. (B) Images of immunofluorescence-stained SARS-CoV-2 N protein in nasal turbinate, trachea, and lung tissues from orally or i.n. inoculated hamsters at 12 hpi. No viral antigen is shown in orally inoculated hamsters in any of these tissue sections; N protein expression in the epithelium of nasal turbinate (arrows), trachea (arrows), bronchiolar epithelium (open arrows), and alveoli (open arrows) of i.n. inoculated hamsters. (C) H&E images of trachea (upper) and lung (lower) taken from orally or i.n. inoculated hamsters at 12 hpi. Only i.n. inoculation induced alveolar wall thickening and blood vessel congestion at this time point. Images from mock control hamsters are at right.

route, even milder than the lowest dose (10³ PFUs) i.n. inoculation, in which the hamsters showed significantly higher lung viral load, lung histology scores, and lung cytokines and chemokines, among which RANTES and IP-10 were significantly higher than that of orally infected hamsters (Table 2). These results suggested that the oral inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 can establish respiratory infection but with much less efficiency, delayed course, and mild lung damage. Follow-up of the histopathology showed resolution of the inflammation in the lung at 7 dpi, which was similar to our previous report. Serum neutralizing antibody was detected at a similar level from both orally (titer = 10–40) and i.n. (titer = 20–40) inoculated hamsters at 7 dpi; the neutralizing antibody titer in i.n. inoculated hamsters increased to a higher level at 14 dpi (titer = 40–160) but was not significantly different when compared with orally inoculated hamsters (titer = 10–80) (Figure 5E).

Virus Shedding Was Similar between Orally and i.n. Infected Hamsters

After oral inoculation, the viral load was detected from oral swabs for 10 days with higher titer before 8 dpi, then decreased until 12 dpi (Figure 5F). Oral swabs from i.n. infected hamsters had a viral load similar to that of orally infected hamsters, except at 2 dpi, when a significantly higher viral load was detected in i.n. infected hamsters (Figure 5F). The fecal samples had a lower viral load compared to oral swabs, which became undetectable after 8 dpi (Figure 5G). Overall, despite the lack of disease signs and weight loss, orally infected hamsters had similar viral loads and similar durations of virus shedding in oral swabs (11.33 days) and fecal samples (6.67 days) compared to i.n. infected hamsters (9.2 and 6.8 days, respectively).

DISCUSSION

Clinical and histopathological severity of COVID-19 in hamsters was found to increase proportionally with the i.n. infectious dose of SARS-CoV-2. This is an important observation as it confirms that the initial infectious dose is a potentially modifiable risk factor for severe COVID-19. Epidemiological studies in humans have similarly suggested a link between exposure intensity and clinical severity for various viral infections, including measles, polio, and chickenpox. In areas with COVID-19 transmission, physical distancing, face mask usage, and hand hygiene are measures that can prevent exposure, and if this is not possible, they at least reduce the initial SARS-CoV-2 infectious dose. We believe that this would modify the disease course in vulnerable individuals such as the elderly.

The extent of host responses of representative inflammatory cytokine and chemokine in the lung tissue was also virus dose dependent, with higher viral inoculum resulting in higher and more persistent inflammatory cytokine/chemokine responses, which was accompanied by extensive immune cell influx into the lung and severe tissue damage. We compared the serial clinical, histopathological, and virological changes in animals i.n. or orally inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. While all hamsters could be infected i.n., even with a low virus inoculum of 100 PFUs, virus shedding in oral swabs and feces indicated that only 83% (10/12) of hamsters were infected after oral inoculation with a high infectious dose of 100,000 PFUs. Orally infected animals had milder infection, as manifested by the absence of signs of clinical distress and no weight loss, delayed onset of pneumonia with lower chemokine and cytokine response, a lower severity of inflammatory damage, and lower N protein expression in their lungs. Most groups working on COVID-19 animal models use the i.n. virus challenge. For studying the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19, we inoculated the SARS-CoV-2 in a volume of 50 μL inoculum to ensure that both the upper and lower airways were immediately exposed to the virus. However, the extent to which such modes of inoculation models reflect real-life COVID-19 transmission in humans is uncertain, especially given that
serological studies indicate that >90% of COVID-19 infections are subclinical or mild.\(^{23}\) Also, such models do not reflect a significant route of exposure in the human population, namely hand contact with COVID-19 patients or virus-contaminated surfaces followed by touching the oral mucosa with contaminated fingers. SARS-CoV-2 is known to persist for several hours to days on metallic or plastic surfaces.\(^{24}\) Our data in this study prove that the oral route of inoculation can establish SARS-CoV-2 infections in hamsters with an infection pattern that is consistent with mild COVID-19. Sun and colleagues,\(^{25}\) using human ACE2 transgenic mice demonstrated that intragastric inoculation of SARS-CoV-2 established productive infection and interstitial pneumonia. Consistent with our hamster model, gastroenteric infection, even with high virus doses, caused milder lung damage with no clinical signs in the mice. Compared with their intragastric inoculation, our oral inoculation model may be more clinically relevant to orally acquired infection.

The “portal of entry” following oral inoculation was uncertain. The virus may begin replication in the oropharynx or may be swallowed into the gastrointestinal tract before starting infection there. The latter was considered likely, given the high proportion of COVID-19 patients with fecal shedding, the survival of SARS-CoV-2 in stool, and the ability of human enteroids to support SARS-CoV-2 replication.\(^{5,12}\) However, our hamster model suggests that the former possibility of local oropharyngeal replication is more likely. Oral inoculation allows the virus to multiply...
locally in the oropharynx before entering the lungs by inhalation, micro-aspiration, or viremia after replication in the oropharyngeal lymphoid tissue. SARS-CoV-2 tolerated simulated fasted gastric and fed intestinal juice poorly. This was unlike Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (MERS)-CoV, which we previously reported can and fed intestinal juice poorly. This was unlike Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (MERS)-CoV, which we previously reported can

**Table 1. Disease Course in SARS-CoV-2-Infected Hamster via Oral or i.n. Inoculation**

|                | 12 hpi Oral (n = 3) | 12 hpi i.n. (n = 3) | p  | 4 dpi Oral (n = 6) | 4 dpi i.n. (n = 3) | p  |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----|-------------------|-------------------|----|
| Clinical scores\(^a\) (mean ± SD) | 0.00 ± 0.00 | >0.99 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 3.33 ± 0.006 | 0.0058 |
| qRT-PCR rate (%) | 0.00 | 83 | 100 | 0.45 |
| Upper digestive tract tissues\(^b\) | 67 | 100 | >0.99 | 83 | 100 | 0.13 |
| Lower digestive tract tissues\(^b\) | 0 | 33 | 0.27 | 50 | 100 | 0.26 |
| Upper respiratory tract tissues\(^c\) | 0 | 100 | 0.014 | 67 | 100 | 0.13 |
| Lung | 0 | 100 | 0.014 | 67 | 100 | 0.26 |

**Histopathology**

|                | N/A | +++ | +++ | N/A |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Small intestine | -   | -   | -   | -   |
| Lung | + | + | + | + |

**Cytokine and chemokine expression**

|                | N/A | + | + | N/A |
|----------------|-----|---|---|-----|
| Small intestine | ↑ | - | - | - |
| Lung | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ |

\(^a\) A score of 1 was given to each of the following clinical signs: lethargy, ruffled fur, hunchback posture, and rapid breathing.

\(^b\) Oral mucosa, tongue, esophagus.

\(^c\) Stomach, small intestine.

\(^d\) Nasal turbinate, trachea.

**Table 2. Disease Comparison between Lowest Inhalation and Highest Oral Inoculation of SARS-CoV-2-Infected Hamsters**

|                | 4 dpi Oral Inoculation (10^2 PFUs) | p  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| Clinical scores (mean ± SD)\(^a\) | 2.33 ± 0.58 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.0023 |
| Body weight change (%) (mean ± SD)\(^b\) | –3.17 ± 1.70 | 11.58 ± 4.18 | 0.0007 |
| Lung viral load (RdRp gene copies/μl-actin, mean ± SD) | 94.50 ± 42.05 | 16.52 ± 23.87 | 0.025 |
| Lung histology score (mean ± SD) | 24.00 ± 5.20 | 7.80 ± 1.26 | 0.0079 |

**Lung chemokine expression by qRT-PCR (fold change compared to mock, mean ± SD)**

|                | Oral Inoculation (10^2 PFUs) | p  |
|----------------|------------------------------|----|
| RANTES | 34.45 ± 9.25 | 6.78 ± 7.56 | 0.0019 |
| IP-10 | 50.09 ± 9.41 | 12.06 ± 19.28 | 0.016 |
| IP-10, interferon-γ-induced protein-10; RANTES, regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted.\(^a\)

\(^b\) A score of 1 was given to each of the following clinical signs: lethargy, ruffled fur, hunchback posture, and rapid breathing.

\(^d\) 4 dpi minus 0 dpi body weight.

**Limitations of Study**

Our study is limited by the difficulties in elucidating how orally acquired SARS-CoV-2 reached and established infection in the lung. Further studies should be performed to understand the exact anatomical route of virus dissemination. Moreover, we were unable to detect infectious titer in the fecal samples for the documentation of fecal-oral transmission. However, false negative viral culture from fecal samples due to cell line toxicity and bacterial overgrowth can confound our results. In summary, oral SARS-CoV-2 inoculation by feeding the virus to conscious hamsters can establish subclinical COVID-19 with mild lung
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|                | 12 hpi Oral (n = 3) | 12 hpi i.n. (n = 3) | p  | 4 dpi Oral (n = 6) | 4 dpi i.n. (n = 3) | p  |
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| Clinical scores\(^a\) (mean ± SD) | 0.00 ± 0.00 | >0.99 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 3.33 ± 0.006 | 0.0058 |
| qRT-PCR rate (%) | 0.00 | 83 | 100 | 0.45 |
| Upper digestive tract tissues\(^b\) | 67 | 100 | >0.99 | 83 | 100 | 0.13 |
| Lower digestive tract tissues\(^b\) | 0 | 33 | 0.27 | 50 | 100 | 0.26 |
| Upper respiratory tract tissues\(^c\) | 0 | 100 | 0.014 | 67 | 100 | 0.13 |
| Lung | 0 | 100 | 0.014 | 67 | 100 | 0.26 |

**Histopathology**

|                | N/A | +++ | +++ | N/A |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Small intestine | -   | -   | -   | -   |
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**Cytokine and chemokine expression**

|                | N/A | + | + | N/A |
|----------------|-----|---|---|-----|
| Small intestine | ↑ | - | - | - |
| Lung | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ | ↑ |

\(^a\) A score of 1 was given to each of the following clinical signs: lethargy, ruffled fur, hunchback posture, and rapid breathing.

\(^b\) Oral mucosa, tongue, esophagus.

\(^c\) Stomach, small intestine.

**Table 2. Disease Comparison between Lowest Inhalation and Highest Oral Inoculation of SARS-CoV-2-Infected Hamsters**

|                | 4 dpi Oral Inoculation (10^2 PFUs) | p  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| Clinical scores (mean ± SD)\(^a\) | 2.33 ± 0.58 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.0023 |
| Body weight change (%) (mean ± SD)\(^b\) | –3.17 ± 1.70 | 11.58 ± 4.18 | 0.0007 |
| Lung viral load (RdRp gene copies/μl-actin, mean ± SD) | 94.50 ± 42.05 | 16.52 ± 23.87 | 0.025 |
| Lung histology score (mean ± SD) | 24.00 ± 5.20 | 7.80 ± 1.26 | 0.0079 |

**Lung chemokine expression by qRT-PCR (fold change compared to mock, mean ± SD)**

|                | Oral Inoculation (10^2 PFUs) | p  |
|----------------|------------------------------|----|
| RANTES | 34.45 ± 9.25 | 6.78 ± 7.56 | 0.0019 |
| IP-10 | 50.09 ± 9.41 | 12.06 ± 19.28 | 0.016 |
| IP-10, interferon-γ-induced protein-10; RANTES, regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and presumably secreted.\(^a\)

\(^b\) A score of 1 was given to each of the following clinical signs: lethargy, ruffled fur, hunchback posture, and rapid breathing.

\(^d\) 4 dpi minus 0 dpi body weight.

**Limitations of Study**

Our study is limited by the difficulties in elucidating how orally acquired SARS-CoV-2 reached and established infection in the lung. Further studies should be performed to understand the exact anatomical route of virus dissemination. Moreover, we were unable to detect infectious titer in the fecal samples for the documentation of fecal-oral transmission. However, false negative viral culture from fecal samples due to cell line toxicity and bacterial overgrowth can confound our results. In summary, oral SARS-CoV-2 inoculation by feeding the virus to conscious hamsters can establish subclinical COVID-19 with mild lung
pathology and less cytokine and chemokine response when compared with i.n. infected hamsters.
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STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Rabbit monoclonal anti-ACE2 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#MA5-32307, RRID:AB_2809589 |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV N protein | In-house | N/A |
| Donkey polyclonal anti-Rabbit IgG, FITC | Jackson ImmunoResearch | Cat#711-095-152, RRID:AB_2315776 |
| Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG Antibody (H+L), Biotinylated | Vector Laboratories | Cat#BA-1000 RRID:AB_2313606 |
| **Bacterial and Virus Strains** |        |            |
| SARS-CoV-2/human/HKG/HKU-001a/2020 | Clinical isolate | GenBank:MT230904 |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** |        |            |
| FaSSIF/FeSSIF/FaSSGF | Biorelevant | Cat#FFF01 |
| FaSSGF Buffer Concentrate | Biorelevant | Cat#FASGBUF01 |
| FaSSIF Buffer Concentrate | Biorelevant | Cat#FASBUF01 |
| FeSSIF Buffer Concentrate | Biorelevant | Cat#FESBUF01 |
| Bovine Serum Albumin | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#A3059 |
| Formaldehyde solution | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#252549 |
| Phosphate-Buffered Saline | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#10010023 |
| Fetal Bovine Serum | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#16140071 |
| Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#11966025 |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat#15070063 |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** |        |            |
| QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit | QIAGEN | Cat#52906 |
| QuantiTena Probe PCR Kit | QIAGEN | Cat#208256 |
| MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit | Takara | Cat#9767 |
| PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit | Takara | Cat#RR037A |
| **Experimental Models: Cell Lines** |        |            |
| Vero E6 cell line | ATCC | Cat# CRL-1586, RRID:CVCL_0574 |
| RD cell line | ATCC | Cat# CCL-136, RRID:CVCL_1649 |
| Huh7 cell line | JCRB | Cat# JCRB0403, RRID:CVCL_0336 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** |        |            |
| Hamster/Golden Syrian | Centre for Comparative Medicine Research, the University of Hong Kong | N/A |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| Primer sequences are provided in the Table S4 | This paper | N/A |
| **Software and Algorithms** |        |            |
| cellSens | Olympus | RRID:SCR_014551 |
| GraphPad Prism 8 | GraphPad Software | RRID:SCR_002798 |

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents can be directed to the Lead Contact KY Yuen (kyuen@hku.hk)
Materials Availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and Code Availability
This study did not generate any unique datasets or code.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Virus and biosafety
SARS-CoV-2 HKU-001a strain (GenBank accession number: MT230904) was isolated from a laboratory-confirmed case of COVID-19 in Hong Kong in African green monkey kidney cells (Vero E6) as we previously reported. The virus stock was prepared and titrated after three serial passages and stored at –80°C until use. All experiments involving live SARS-CoV-2 were performed in Biosafety Level-3 (BSL-3) facility of the University of Hong Kong (HKU) following approved standard operating procedures.

Animals
Syrian hamsters, male and female, aged 6-8 weeks old, were obtained from the Chinese University of Hong Kong Laboratory Animal Service Centre through the HKU Centre for Comparative Medicine Research (CCMR). The animals were kept in biosafety level 2 facility with free access to standard pellet feed and water. Animal experimental procedures were approved by the Committee on the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research of The University of Hong Kong (CULATR #5370-20).

Cell lines
Vero E6 (clone of Vero-76, ATCC, CRL-1586) and human Rhabdomyosarcoma cell line (RD cell, ATCC, CCL-136) cells and human Hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (Huh7, JCRB0403, JCRB cell bank of Okayama University) were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin.

METHOD DETAILS

Virus infection experiments
Male and female adult Syrian hamsters 6-8 weeks of age were randomly divided into experimental groups in this study. The numbers of animals included in each experiment are listed in Table S3. For SARS-CoV-2 intranasal inoculation, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4 or 10^5 PFUs of SARS-CoV-2 in 100 μL DMEM was intranasally inhaled by each animal under intraperitoneal ketamine (100mg/kg) and xylazine (10mg/kg) anesthesia. For oral inoculation, each conscious hamster was given 10^5 PFUs of the virus in 200 μL DMEM drop-by-drop through spontaneous self-swallowing without anesthesia. After virus inoculation, the hamsters were monitored twice daily for disease signs and body weight. At 12 hours, 4, and 7 days post infection (hpi, dpi) 3-6 animals were sacrificed for the collection of respiratory and gastrointestinal tract tissues for virological and histological analyses. Oral swabs and feces were collected from each hamster every other day throughout the 14-day study period and stored in viral transport media at –80°C until use for virological studies.

Determination of viral load by real time RT-PCR and TCID₅₀ assay
Lung, Trachea, nasal turbinate, oral mucosa, tongue, esophagus, stomach, small intestinal tissues were homogenized in 1mL of DMEM with 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 350μl of the homogenate was for total RNA extraction using MiniBEST universal RNA extraction kit (Takara). Oral swab and feces sample in 1mL of viral transporting medium were also extracted for total RNA. One step quantitative real time RT PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed for detection of viral RdRp gene copies using QuantiNova Probe RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN) on LightCycler 480 system (Roche). Primers and probes were listed in Table S4. Infectious viral titer in homogenized tissues was determined by median tissue culture infection dose (TCID₅₀) assay in Vero E6 cells as we previously described.

Histopathology, immunofluorescence and immunochemistry staining
Tissues fixed in 10% formalin immediately after sampling were processed into paraffin-embedded block. 4μm of sectioned were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histopathological study. SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) protein expression in hamster tissues were stained using in-house produced rabbit anti-SARS-CoV N protein antibody and FITC-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody. De-paraffinized and rehydrated tissue sections were first treated with Antigen Unmasking Solution in pressure cooker (Vector Laboratories) then blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Primary and secondary antibody was incubated at 4°C overnight and at room temperature for 30min respectively. The sections were mounted with VECTASHIELD mounting medium with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories). To identify the expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), immunohistochemistry staining was performed after antigen retrieval as above, using rabbit monoclonal anti-ACE2 (MA5-32307, ThermoFisher) at 4°C for overnight and biotin-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Vector Laboratories) at room temperature for 30 minutes. Streptavidin/peroxidase complex reagent (Vector Laboratories) was then incubated for 30 min followed by color development using 3,3'—diaminobenzidine (DAB) (Vector Laboratories) and counterstaining with hematoxylin.
Semiquantitative evaluation of the hamster lung histopathological changes

H&E stained lung tissue sections were blinded for the identities of experimental settings and examined by trained histo-pathologists. Histological scoring system based on the criteria listed in Table S1 was applied to assess the severity of lung damage. Briefly, each sample was first examined under lower power lens (4x) to determine the scope of tissue damage and assign a score from 0 to 3 as normal morphology to most diffuse tissue lesions. Then, inflammatory lesions in bronchiolar, alveolar and vascular structures was assessed, 0-3 scores were given to each category of pathological changes. A total of 27 scores would be accumulated for the most severely damaged lung tissue.

In vitro stability of SARS-CoV-2 in simulated human gastrointestinal fluid

Simulated human gastrointestinal fluid were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instruction with some modification as we previously described. The solutions tested included: Fasted-state simulated gastric fluid (FaSSGF, pH 1.6), Fasted-state simulated intestinal fluid (FaSSIF, pH 6.5), Fed-state simulated gastric fluid (FeSSGF, pH 5.0), Fed-state simulated intestinal fluid (FeSSIF, pH 5.0). 10^5 PFUs of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, human coronavirus 229E (hCoV-229E) or human enterovirus 71 (EV-A71) were mixed with above solution individually at 1:10 ration. After 0, 30, 60 or 120 minutes of incubation at 37°C, the mixture was neutralized with sodium hydroxide and then titrated viral viability by TCID\textsubscript{50} assay. Viruses treated with culture medium were included as controls. SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV were performed in Vero E6 cells, EV-A71 in RD cells, and hCoV-229E in Huh7 cells.

Determination of cytokine and chemokine gene expression

Total RNA extracted from lung and small intestinal tissues was reverse transcribed into cDNA with PrimeScript\textsuperscript{TM} RT reagent kit (TaKaRa). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed with gene specific primers (Table S4) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq\textsuperscript{II} kit (Takara). The expression of house-keeping gene β-actin was analyzed in parallel to normalize the amount of RNA. The ΔΔCt method was applied for the comparison of the differential gene expressions between samples.

Microneutralization assay

Serum taken from orally or intranasally inoculated hamsters at 7dpi and 14dpi was tested for viral neutralizing antibody titer by microneutralization assay in Vero E6 cells as we described previously. Briefly, the 2-fold serial diluted (1:10 to 1:1280) serum samples were mixed with 100 TCID\textsubscript{50} of SARS-CoV-2 virus and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The mixture was then added to Vero E6 cells and further incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. The neutralizing antibody titer was defined as the highest dilution that inhibits 50% of cytopathic effect.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were analyzed with Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc). One-way ANOVA, Two-way ANOVA and multiple Student’s t test were used to determine significant differences between groups. Categorical variables were analyzed by Chi-square test. P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.