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Abstract

For any given positive integer $m$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Type I $m$-adic constacyclic codes is given. Further, for any given integer $s$, a necessary and sufficient condition for $s$ to be a multiplier of a Type I polyadic constacyclic code is given. As an application, some optimal codes from Type I polyadic constacyclic codes, including generalized Reed-Solomon codes and alternant MDS codes, are constructed.
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1 Introduction

The class of duadic cyclic codes over finite fields, which includes the important family of quadratic residue codes, was introduced by Leon et al. \cite{14}, and then studied by several authors such as in \cite{19}, \cite{23}, \cite{11}, \cite{10} and \cite{12}.

Motivated by the good properties of duadic cyclic codes, Pless and Rushanan \cite{20} moved on to study triadic cyclic codes. The class of polyadic cyclic codes (or $m$-adic cyclic codes) was later introduced by Bruadl and Pless \cite{7}. Subsequently, Rushanan \textit{et al.} generalized duadic cyclic codes to duadic abelian codes (\cite{21}, \cite{25}). Zhu \textit{et al.} further studied duadic group algebra codes (\cite{28}, \cite{27}, \cite{2}). Results on the existence conditions of these codes have been obtained by these authors. Ling and Xing \cite{17} extended the definition of polyadic cyclic codes to include noncyclic abelian codes, and obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of nondegenerate polyadic codes; some interesting examples arising from this family of codes were also given. Sharma \textit{et al.} \cite{22} removed the “nondegenerate” condition considered by Ling and Xing in \cite{17}, and determined necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of polyadic cyclic codes of prime power length.

Another direction of generalization for the notion of duadic cyclic codes is the study of polyadic constacyclic codes over finite fields. In \cite{15}, polyadic cyclic codes were generalized to polyadic consta-abelian codes, and some sufficient conditions for the existence of this class of codes were established. Duadic negacyclic codes, which is a special class of polyadic constacyclic codes, were considered by Blackford \cite{5}. Recently, Blackford \cite{6} continued to study Type I duadic constacyclic codes (see Definition 2.1 for detail). Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Type I duadic constacyclic codes were given and, for a given integer $s$, equivalent conditions were also obtained to determine whether or not $s$ can be a multiplier for a Type I duadic code. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no known solutions to the following general questions: for $n$ a positive integer and $\lambda$ a nonzero element of the underlying field,

1. For any given positive integer $m$, do Type I $m$-adic $\lambda$-constacyclic codes of length $n$ exist?
2. For any given integer $s$, can $s$ be a multiplier of a Type I polyadic $\lambda$-constacyclic code of length $n$?

\textit{Email addresses}: bocong.chen@yahoo.com (B. Chen), hdinh@kent.edu (H. Q. Dinh), yfan@mail.ccnu.edu.cn (Y. Fan), lingsan@ntu.edu.sg (S. Ling).
In this paper, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Type I $m$-adic $\lambda$-constacyclic codes is given. Further, a necessary and sufficient condition for $s$ to be a multiplier of a Type I polyadic $\lambda$-constacyclic code is obtained. We also exhibit some optimal polyadic constacyclic codes, including generalized Reed-Solomon codes and alternant MDS codes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, basic notations and the main results of this paper are presented. In Section 3, we prove some lemmas which play important roles in the proofs of the main results. In Section 4, the proofs of the main results are given. In Section 5, several corollaries are derived from the main results, and some optimal codes from Type I polyadic constacyclic codes are constructed, including generalized Reed-Solomon codes and alternant MDS codes.

2 Notations and main results

We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with cardinality $|\mathbb{F}_q| = q$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, where $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ denotes the multiplicative group of units of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $n$ be a positive integer coprime to $q$. Any ideal $C$ of the quotient ring $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^n - \lambda)$ is said to be a $\lambda$-constacyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length $n$. Let $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\lambda) = r$, where $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\lambda)$ denotes the order of $\lambda$ in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_q^*$; then $r \mid (q - 1)$ since $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$. Thus a constacyclic code $C$ has three parameters $q, n, r$; in this case we say that $C$ is a $(q, n, r)$-constacyclic code. In this paper we always adopt the following notations:

- $q, n, r$ with $\gcd(q, n) = 1$ and $r|(q - 1)$ are the parameters of the constacyclic code $C$, where $\gcd(q, n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor;
- $\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ denotes the residue ring of the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $rn$;
- $\mathbb{Z}_{rn}^*$ denotes the multiplicative group consisting of units of $\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$;
- $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} = \{1 + rk \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{rn}$;
- $\mu_h$, where $\gcd(h, rn) = 1$, denotes the permutation of the set $\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ given by $\mu_h(x) = hx$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}$;
- $s$ is an integer such that $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})$, and $m$ is a positive integer.

Let $e$ be the multiplicative order of $q$ modulo $rn$, i.e., $rn \mid (q^e - 1)$ but $rn \nmid (q^{e - 1} - 1)$. Then, in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, there is a primitive $rn$th root $\omega$ of unity such that $\omega^n = \lambda$. It is easy to check the following facts:

- $\omega^i, i \in (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})$, are just all the roots of $X^n - \lambda$.
- For an integer $h$ coprime to $rn$, the set $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ is $\mu_h$-invariant if and only if $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})$.

Since $\gcd(q, n) = 1$ and $r|(q - 1)$, it follows that $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})$ and $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ is $\mu_q$-invariant. Let $(1+r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})/\mu_q$ denote the set of $\mu_q$-orbits within $1+r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$, i.e., the set of $q$-cyclotomic cosets within $1+r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$. For any $q$-cyclotomic coset $Q$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$, the polynomial $M_Q(X) = \prod_{Q \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}/\mu_q} (X - \omega^i)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Thus

$$X^n - \lambda = \prod_{Q \in (1+r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})/\mu_q} M_Q(X)$$

is the monic irreducible decomposition of $X^n - \lambda$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

**Definition 2.1.** If $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ has a partition $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} = \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_{m-1}$ such that, for some integer $s$, every $\mathcal{X}_j$ is $\mu_q$-invariant and $\mu_s(\mathcal{X}_j) = \mathcal{X}_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, m - 1$ (the subscripts are taken modulo $m$), then

(i) the partition $\{\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{m-1}\}$ is called a Type I $m$-adic splitting of $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$, and $\mu_s$ is said to be a multiplier of the Type I $m$-adic splitting;

(ii) the constacyclic codes $C_{\mathcal{X}_j}$, with check polynomial $\prod_{Q \in \mathcal{X}_j/\mu_q} M_Q(X)$ for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, m - 1$, are called Type I $m$-adic constacyclic codes given by the multiplier $\mu_s$. 
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Remark. In the case of Definition 2.1, the following map (denoted by $\hat{\mu}_s$):

$$\hat{\mu}_s : \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^n - \lambda) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^n - \lambda), \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^{is};$$

is an isometry (i.e., $\hat{\mu}_s$ keeps both the algebraic structure and the weight structure, see [9 §3]) of the quotient algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^n - \lambda)$ such that $\hat{\mu}_s(C_{X_j}) = C_{X_{j+1}}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/(X^n - \lambda) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} C_{X_j}$. The map $\hat{\mu}_s$ is also called a multiplier of the quotient algebra, e.g., see [13 Theorem 4.3.12].

Of course, the notion of an $m$-adic splitting makes sense in practice only for $m > 1$. We allow that $m = 1$ since it is convenient for the statements of our results. As mentioned in Section 1, there are two fundamental questions concerning Type I $m$-adic constacyclic codes:

- Under what conditions do Type I $m$-adic $(q, n, r)$-constacyclic codes exist?
- For a given integer $s$, is $\mu_s$ a multiplier of a Type I $m$-adic splitting for $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$?

We address these two questions in this paper.

Let $t$ be a non-zero integer. For any prime $p$, there is a unique non-negative integer $\nu_p(t)$ such that $p^\nu_p(t)||t$, i.e., $p^\nu_p(t)$ is the largest power of $p$ dividing $t$. The function $\nu_p(t)$ is well known as the $p$-adic valuation of $t$. Of course, $t = \pm \prod_p p^\nu_p(t)$, where $p$ runs over all primes, but $\nu_p(t) = 0$ for all except finitely many primes $p$. We adopt the convention that $\nu_p(0) = -\infty$ and $|\nu_p(0)| = \infty$.

The following two theorems are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. There is a unique integer $M = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(M)}$ such that Type I $m$-adic $(q, n, r)$-constacyclic codes exist if and only if $m$ is a divisor of $M$, where $\nu_p(M)$ is determined as follows: if $p \nmid r$ or $p \nmid n$, then $\nu_p(M) = 0$; otherwise:

(i) if $p$ is odd or $\nu_p(r) \geq 2$, then $\nu_p(M) = \min\{\nu_p(q - 1), \nu_p(r), \nu_p(n)\}$;

(ii) if $p = 2$ and $\nu_2(r) = 1$, there are two subcases:

(ii.1) if $\nu_2(q - 1) \geq 2$, then $\nu_2(M) = \max\{\min\{\nu_2(q - 1) - 2, \nu_2(n) - 1\}, 1\}$;

(ii.2) if $\nu_2(q - 1) = 1$, then $\nu_2(M) = \min\{\nu_2(q + 1) - 1, \nu_2(n) - 1\}$.

Note that $\nu_2(q - 1) = 1$ if and only if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, which is equivalent to $\nu_2(q + 1) \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.3. There is a unique integer $M_s = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(M_s)}$ such that $\mu_s$ is a Type I $m$-adic splitting for $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ if and only if $m$ is a divisor of $M_s$, where $\nu_p(M_s)$ is determined as follows: if $p \nmid r$ or $p \nmid n$, then $\nu_p(M_s) = 0$; otherwise:

(i) if $p$ is odd or $p = 2$ and both $\nu_p(q - 1) \geq 2$ and $\nu_p(s - 1) \geq 2$ hold, then

$$\nu_p(M_s) = \max\{\min\{\nu_p(q - 1), \nu_p(rn)\} - |\nu_p(s - 1)|, 0\};$$

(ii) if $p = 2, \nu_2(q - 1) = 1$ and $\nu_2(s - 1) \geq 2$, then

$$\nu_2(M_s) = \max\{\min\{\nu_2(q + 1) + 1, \nu_2(rn)\} - |\nu_2(s - 1)|, 0\};$$

(iii) if $p = 2, \nu_2(q - 1) \geq 2$ and $\nu_2(s - 1) = 1$, then

$$\nu_2(M_s) = \max\{\min\{\nu_2(q - 1), \nu_2(rn)\} - |\nu_2(s + 1)|, 1\};$$

(iv) if $p = 2, \nu_2(q - 1) = 1$ and $\nu_2(s - 1) = 1$, then

$$\nu_2(M_s) = \begin{cases} \max\{\min\{\nu_2(q + 1) + 1, \nu_2(rn)\} - \min\{|\nu_2(s + 1)|, \nu_2(q + 1)|, 0\}, & \text{if } \nu_2(s + 1) \neq \nu_2(q + 1); \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu_2(s + 1) = \nu_2(q + 1). \end{cases}$$
3 Preparations

Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a finite set and let \( \text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}) \) be the symmetric group of \( \mathcal{X} \) consisting of all permutations of \( \mathcal{X} \). If \( \mu \in \text{Sym}(\mathcal{X}) \), i.e., \( \mu \) is a permutation of \( \mathcal{X} \), then \( \langle \mu \rangle = \{ \mu^j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) acts on \( \mathcal{X} \), and thus \( \mathcal{X} \) is partitioned into a disjoint union of \( \langle \mu \rangle \)-orbits (abbreviation: \( \mu \)-orbits). The following result appeared previously in [18 Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \mu \) be a permutation of a finite set \( \mathcal{X} \) and let \( m \) be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) There is a partition \( \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_{m-1} \) such that \( \mu(\mathcal{X}_i) = \mathcal{X}_{i+1} \) for \( i = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1 \) (the subscripts are taken modulo \( m \)).

(ii) The length of every \( \mu \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{X} \) is divisible by \( m \).

Let a finite group \( G \) act on a finite set \( \mathcal{X} \). As is well known, for \( x \in \mathcal{X} \), the length of the \( G \)-orbit containing \( x \) is equal to the index \( |G : G_x| \), where \( G_x \) is the stabilizer of \( x \) in \( G \). The action of \( G \) on \( \mathcal{X} \) is said to be free if, for any \( x \in \mathcal{X} \), the stabilizer of \( x \) is \( G_x = \{1\} \). An element \( \mu \in G \) is said to be free on \( \mathcal{X} \) if the subgroup \( \langle \mu \rangle \) generated by \( \mu \) acts on \( \mathcal{X} \) freely; in that case the length of any \( \mu \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{X} \) is equal to the order of \( \mu \) (cf. [1 Ch.1]).

The proofs of the next two elementary facts are straightforward, so we omit them here.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( G \) and \( H \) be finite groups, and let \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) be a finite \( G \)-set and a finite \( H \)-set, respectively. Then \( \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \) is a finite \((G \times H)\)-set with the natural action of \( G \times H \), and the following statements hold:

(i) For \( g \in G \) and \( h \in H \), the order of \( (g, h) \in G \times H \) is equal to the least common multiple of the order of \( g \) in \( G \) and the order of \( h \) in \( H \), i.e., \( \text{lcm}(|\text{ord}_G(g)|, |\text{ord}_H(h)|) \).

(ii) For \( x \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( y \in \mathcal{Y} \), the length of the \( (g, h) \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \) containing \( (x, y) \) is equal to the least common multiple of the length of the \( g \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{X} \) containing \( x \) and the length of the \( h \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{Y} \) containing \( y \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( G \) act on a finite set \( \mathcal{X} \) freely, and let \( N \) be a normal subgroup of \( G \). Let \( \mathcal{X}/N \) be the set of \( N \)-orbits on \( \mathcal{X} \). Then the quotient \( G/N \) acts on \( \mathcal{X}/N \) freely; in particular, the length of any \( G/N \)-orbit on \( \mathcal{X}/N \) is equal to the index \( |G : N| \).

**Remark 3.4.** Let \( t \) be a positive integer and \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_t^* \).

(i) The action of \( \mu_u \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_t \) is not free, e.g., \( 0 \) is always fixed by \( \mu_u \). However, \( \mathbb{Z}_t^* \) is \( \mu_u \)-invariant and the action of \( \mu_u \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_t^* \) is always free.

(ii) If \( t = p^a \) is an odd prime power, then \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}^* \) is a cyclic group of order \( p^{a-1}(p-1) \); the subset \( 1 + p^b\mathbb{Z}_{p^a} \) with \( b \geq 1 \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}^* \) is a cyclic subgroup of order \( p^{\max\{a-b,0\}} \), and \( 1 + p^b d \), with \( d \) coprime to \( p \), is a generator of the cyclic subgroup \( 1 + p^b\mathbb{Z}_{p^a} \).

(iii) If \( t = 2^a \) with \( a \geq 2 \), then \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^a}^* = \begin{cases} \{-1\}, & a = 2; \\ \{-1\} \times \langle 5 \rangle, & a > 2, \end{cases} \) where the order of \( \langle 5 \rangle \) is \( |\langle 5 \rangle| = 2^{a-2} \). For the subgroup \( 1 + 2^b\mathbb{Z}_{2^a} \) with \( b \geq 1 \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^a}^* \), there are two subcases:

(iii.1) if \( b \geq 2 \), then \( 1 + 2^b\mathbb{Z}_{2^a} \subseteq \langle 5 \rangle \) with order \( |1 + 2^b\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}| = 2^{\max\{a-b,0\}} \), and \( 1 + 2^b d \), with \( d \) coprime to \( 2 \), is a generator of the cyclic subgroup \( 1 + 2^b\mathbb{Z}_{2^a} \).

(iii.2) if \( b = 1 \), then \( 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^a} = \mathbb{Z}_{2^a}^* \).

The next two lemmas play important roles in the proofs of our main results.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( u \neq -1 \) be an odd integer. In the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^a}^* \) (\( a \geq 2 \)), we have:
Lemma 3.6. Let \( h, u \) be odd integers with \( u \neq -1 \). We denote by \( \bar{h} \) the image of \( h \) in the quotient group \( \mathbb{Z}_{2u}/\langle u \rangle \), where \( a \geq 2 \). Let \( \text{ord}(\bar{h}) = 2^v \). With the convention that \( \nu_2(h+1) = -\infty \) and \( |\nu_2(h+1)| = \infty \) when \( h = -1 \), we have:

(i) If both \( \nu_2(u-1) \geq 2 \) and \( \nu_2(h-1) \geq 2 \), then

\[
v = \max \{ \min\{\nu_2(u-1), a\} - \nu_2(h-1), 0 \}.\]

(ii) If \( \nu_2(u-1) = 1 \) and \( \nu_2(h-1) \geq 2 \), then

\[
v = \max \{ \min\{\nu_2(u+1), a\} - \nu_2(h-1), 0 \}.\]

(iii) If \( \nu_2(u-1) \geq 2 \) and \( \nu_2(h-1) = 1 \), then

\[
v = \max \{ \min\{\nu_2(u-1), a\} - |\nu_2(h+1)|, 1 \}.\]

(iv) If both \( \nu_2(u-1) = 1 \) and \( \nu_2(h-1) = 1 \), then

\[
v = \begin{cases} 
\max \{ \min\{\nu_2(u+1), a\} - \min\{|\nu_2(h+1)|, \nu_2(u+1)\}, 0 \}, & \text{if } \nu_2(h+1) \neq \nu_2(u+1); \\
0, & \text{if } \nu_2(h+1) = \nu_2(u+1).
\end{cases}\]

Proof. Let \( u = 1 + 2^d d \), where \( b = \nu_2(u-1) \) and \( d \) is odd.

(i). If \( b \geq a \), then \( u = 1 \in \langle 5 \rangle \). Otherwise, \( 2 < b < a \), so \( (1 + 2^b d)^{2^{a-b}} = 1 \) but \( (1 + 2^b d)^{2^{a-b} - 1} \neq 1 \), which gives \( \text{ord}(u) = 2^{a-b} \). In other words, \( \text{ord}(u) = 2^{\max\{a-b, 0\}} \) (this is just an argument for Remark 3.7 (iii.1)). Specifically, \( \langle 5 \rangle = 1 + 2^2 \mathbb{Z}_{2u} \). Therefore, \( \langle u \rangle \subseteq \langle 5 \rangle, \mathbb{Z}_{2u}/\langle u \rangle = \langle 5 \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \) and

\[
|\langle 5 \rangle| = 2^{a-2}/\text{ord}(u) = 2^{\min\{b-2, a-2\}}.
\]

(ii). In this case \( u + 1 = 2(d+1) \), so \( \nu_2(u+1) = 1 + \nu_2(d+1) \geq 2 \). Writing \( d = 2^{\nu_2(u+1)-1}(-u') - 1 \) with \( u' \) being odd, we get

\[
u_2(u+1) = \begin{cases} 
1 + 2d = (-1)(1 + 2^{\nu_2(u+1) + 1})' & \nu_2(u+1) \geq 2, \quad 2 \nmid u'.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( u \notin \langle 5 \rangle \), but \( u^2 = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(u+1)+1}(u' + 2^{\nu_2(u+1)-1}u^2) \in \langle 5 \rangle \) and

\[
\text{ord}(u^2) = \begin{cases} 
2^{\nu_2(u+1)-1}, & \nu_2(u+1) + 1 \leq a; \\
1, & \nu_2(u+1) + 1 > a.
\end{cases}
\]

In other words, \( \text{ord}(u^2) = 2^{\max\{a-\nu_2(u+1)-1, 0\}} \). Then \( \mathbb{Z}_{2u}^* = \langle 5 \rangle \cdot \langle u \rangle \) and \( \langle u \rangle \cap \langle 5 \rangle = \langle u^2 \rangle \), hence

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{2u}^*/\langle u \rangle \cong \langle 5 \rangle/\langle \langle u \rangle \cap \langle 5 \rangle \rangle = \langle 5 \rangle/\langle u^2 \rangle
\]

is cyclic group. Recalling that \( |\langle 5 \rangle| = 2^{a-2} \), we then have

\[
|\mathbb{Z}_{2u}^*/\langle u \rangle| = |\langle 5 \rangle/\langle u^2 \rangle| = 2^{\min\{\nu_2(u+1)-1, a-2\}}.
\]

We are done. \( \square \)
Proof. Let $\langle h, u \rangle$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ generated by $h$ and $u$. Then $2^v = |\langle h, u \rangle/\langle u \rangle|$. 

(i). By Lemma 3.5(i), both $u$ and $h$ are located in (5). Hence, $2^v = \text{ord}(h)/\text{ord}(u)$ if $\text{ord}(h) > \text{ord}(u)$, and $2^v = 1$ otherwise. However, $\text{ord}(h) = 2^{\max\{a - \nu_2(h-1), 0\}}$ and $\text{ord}(u) = 2^{\max\{a - \nu_2(u-1), 0\}}$ by Lemma 3.5(i) again. We get

$$\text{ord}(h) > \text{ord}(u) \iff \nu_2(h-1) < \min\{\nu_2(u-1), a\}.$$ 

Thus $2^v = 2^{\max\{\min\{\nu_2(u-1), a\} - \nu_2(h-1), 0\}}$.

(ii). In this case, $\langle h \rangle \subseteq \langle 5 \rangle$ but $\langle u \rangle \cap \langle 5 \rangle = \langle u^2 \rangle$ (see Lemma 3.5(ii)). Then $\langle h \rangle \cap \langle u \rangle = \langle h \rangle \cap \langle 5 \rangle \cap \langle u \rangle = \langle h \rangle \cap \langle u^2 \rangle$, and hence

$$2^v = \frac{|\langle h, u \rangle/\langle u \rangle|}{|\langle h \rangle/\langle h \rangle \cap \langle u \rangle^2|},$$

which leads to computations in the cyclic group (5) similar to the ones in (i). By Lemma 3.5(ii), $\text{ord}(u^2) = 2^{\max\{a - \nu_2(u-1), 0\}}$, so

$$2^v = 2^{\max\{\min\{\nu_2(u-1+1), a\} - \nu_2(h-1), 0\}}.$$ 

(iii). We can write $h = (-1)(1 + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}h')$ with $|\nu_2(h+1)| \geq 2$ (the case $\nu_2(h+1) = -\infty$, i.e., $h = -1$, is allowed) and $h'$ being odd (see Eqn. 3.31); set $h'' = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}h'$. By Lemma 3.5(i), it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_2^n/\langle u \rangle = (\overline{-1}) \times \langle 5 \rangle$, and $h = \overline{-1} \cdot h''$ with $h'' \in \langle 5 \rangle$. Then

$$2^v = \text{ord}(\overline{h}) = \text{max}\{\text{ord}(\overline{-1}), \text{ord}(\overline{h''})\}.$$ 

We know that $\text{ord}(h'') = 2^{\max\{a - |\nu_2(h+1)|, 0\}}$ (see Lemma 3.5(i), but $h = -1$ is allowed here). With the same argument as in (i), we have

$$\text{ord}(\overline{h''}) = 2^{\max\{\min\{\nu_2(u-1+1), a\} - \nu_2(h+1), 0\}}.$$ 

Recalling that $\text{ord}(\overline{-1}) = 2$, we get that $2^v = 2^{\max\{\min\{\nu_2(u-1+1), a\} - \nu_2(h+1), 1\}}$.

(iv). Similar to the above, we can write

$$h = (-1)h''', \quad h'' = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}h', \quad \nu_2(h+1) \geq 2, \quad 2 \nmid h'',$$

$$u = (-1)u'', \quad u'' = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(u+1)}u', \quad \nu_2(u+1) \geq 2, \quad 2 \nmid u'.$$

It is clear that $\langle h, u \rangle = \langle hu, u \rangle$, so

$$2^v = |\langle h, u \rangle/\langle u \rangle| = |\langle hu, u \rangle/\langle u \rangle|.$$ 

Since $u \notin \langle 5 \rangle$, but $u^2 = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(u+1)}(u' + 2^{\nu_2(u+1)-1}u'^2) \in \langle 5 \rangle$ and

$$hu = h'' u'' = 1 + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}h' + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}u' + 2^{\nu_2(h+1)}u''h'u' \in \langle 5 \rangle;$$

this present case can be reduced to the case (ii) above (by replacing $h$ in (ii) with $hu$). If $\nu_2(h+1) = \nu_2(u+1)$, then $\nu_2(hu - 1) \geq \nu_2(u+1) + 1$ (see the above formulation of $hu$), hence $2^v = 1$ by the conclusion in (ii). Otherwise

$$\nu_2(hu - 1) = \begin{cases} \min\{\nu_2(h+1), \nu_2(u+1)\}, & h \neq -1; \\ \nu_2(u+1), & h = -1, \end{cases}$$

i.e., $\nu_2(hu - 1) = \min\{|\nu_2(h+1)|, \nu_2(u+1)\}$. By the conclusion in (ii) again,

$$2^v = 2^{\max\{\min\{\nu_2(u+1)+1, a\} - \min\{|\nu_2(h+1)|, \nu_2(u+1)\}, 0\}}.$$ 

If $\nu_2(h+1) > \nu_2(u+1)$ or $h = -1$, then $\min\{|\nu_2(h+1)|, \nu_2(u+1)\} = \nu_2(u+1)$, and hence $v$ can be simplified to:

$$v = \max\{\min\{\nu_2(u+1) + 1, a\} - \nu_2(u+1), 0\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \nu_2(u+1) < a; \\ 0, & \nu_2(u+1) \geq a. \end{cases}$$

The proof is completed. \qed
4 Proofs of the main results

We keep the notations of Section 2. Consider the surjective homomorphism
\[ \mathbb{Z}_{rn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r, \quad x \mod rn \mapsto x \mod r. \] (4.1)

Then \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) is just the inverse image of \( 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_r \).

Assume that \( p_1, \ldots, p_k, p_1', \ldots, p_{k'}', p_1'', \ldots, p_{k''}', \) are distinct primes such that
\[ n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} p_1'^{\alpha_1'} \cdots p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}'} \cdots p_1''^{\alpha_1''} \cdots p_{k''}'^{\alpha_{k''}'}, \] with \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \alpha_1', \ldots, \alpha_{k'}', \) all positive;
\[ r = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k} p_1'^{\beta_1'} \cdots p_{k'}'^{\beta_{k'}'} \cdots p_1''^{\beta_1''} \cdots p_{k''}'^{\beta_{k''}'}, \] with \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k, \beta_1', \ldots, \beta_{k'}', \) all positive,
i.e., \( \alpha_i = \nu_{p_i}(n) \), \( \beta_i = \nu_{p_i}(r) \), etc. Then
\[ rn = p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k + \beta_k} p_1'^{\alpha_1'} \cdots p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}'} \cdots p_1''^{\alpha_1''} \cdots p_{k''}'^{\alpha_{k''}'}. \]

Set \( n' = p_1^{\alpha_1'} \cdots p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}'} \) and \( r'' = p_1^{\beta_1''} \cdots p_{k''}'^{\beta_{k''}'} \). Applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we rewrite \( \mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) as follows:
\[ \mathbb{Z}_{rn} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{\alpha_k + \beta_k}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1'^{\alpha_1'}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}}'} \times \mathbb{Z}_{r''}. \]

The surjective homomorphism (4.1) may be rewritten as
\[ \rho : \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{\alpha_k + \beta_k}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1'^{\alpha_1'}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\beta_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\beta_{k'}}'} \times \mathbb{Z}_{r''}, \] (4.2)

with kernel
\[ \text{Ker}(\rho) = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{\alpha_k + \beta_k}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1'^{\alpha_1'}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}}'} \times \{0\}, \]
where \( \{0\} \) is the zero ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}_{r''} \). Thus, \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} = 1 + \text{Ker}(\rho) \) can be rewritten as
\[ 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \cong (1 + p_1^{\beta_1} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}}) \times \cdots \times (1 + p_{k'}'^{\beta_{k'}}' \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}}'}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{r''} \times \{1\}. \] (4.3)

Therefore
\[ \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \cong (1 + p_1^{\beta_1} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}}) \times \cdots \times (1 + p_{k'}'^{\beta_{k'}}' \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k'}'^{\alpha_{k'}}'}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{r''} \times \{1\}. \] (4.4)

Thus, any \( x \in (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) can be represented as
\[ x \cong (1 + p_1^{\beta_1} x_1, \ldots, 1 + p_{k'}'^{\beta_{k'}}' x_{k'}, x', 1) \] (4.5)

with \( \xi_i = \nu_{p_i}(x - 1) \geq \beta_i, p_i \nmid x_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), and \( x' \in \mathbb{Z}_{n'} \), and hence any \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) can be represented as
\[ s \cong (1 + p_1^{\sigma_1} s_1, \ldots, 1 + p_{k'}'^{\sigma_{k'}}' s_{k'}, s', 1) \] (4.6)

with \( \sigma_i = \nu_{p_i}(s - 1) \geq \beta_i \) and \( p_i \nmid s_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), and \( s' \in \mathbb{Z}_{n'}^* \). In particular, since \( q \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \), we have
\[ q \cong (1 + p_1^{\tau_1} q_1, \ldots, 1 + p_{k'}'^{\tau_{k'}}' q_{k'}, q', 1) \] (4.7)

where \( \tau_i = \nu_{p_i}(q - 1) \geq \beta_i \) and \( p_i \nmid q_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), and \( q' \in \mathbb{Z}_{n'}^* \).

We first need the following observation.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( p \) be a prime. If \( p \notin \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \), then there is a \( \mu_s \)-orbit in \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) whose length is not divisible by \( p \).

**Proof.** Take \( x_0 \in (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) such that (cf. Eqn (4.5)):
\[ x_0 \cong (1 + p_1^{\xi_1} x_1, \ldots, 1 + p_{k'}'^{\xi_{k'}}' x_{k'}, 0, 1). \]

Then the length of the \( \mu_s \)-orbit in \( \mathbb{Z}_{n'} \), containing 0 is 1, and the length of the \( \mu_s \)-orbit in \( 1 + p_1^{\beta_1} \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}} \), containing \( 1 + p_1^{\xi_1} x_1 \) is a power of \( p_1 \). By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we see that the length of the \( \mu_s \)-orbit in \( (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn})/\mu_q \) containing the \( q \)-cyclo
tomic coset \( x_0(q) \) is not divisible by \( p \). \( \Box \)
Recall that, for any \( p_i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), both \( q \) and \( s \) \((\text{mod } p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i})\) are contained in the multiplicative group \( 1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \); we denote by \( \langle q, s \rangle_i \) the subgroup of \( 1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \) generated by \( q \) and \( s \).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( M_s = \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\langle q, s \rangle_i : \langle q \rangle_i| \) be the order of \( s \) in the quotient group \( \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \langle q \rangle_i \). Then \( \mu_s \) is a Type I \( m \)-adic splitting for \( 1 + r \mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) if and only if \( m|M_s \).

**Proof.** For any \( x \in (1 + r \mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) as in Eqn (4.5), by Lemma 3.8 the length of the \( \mu_s \)-orbit in the quotient set \( (1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \mu_q \) containing \((1 + p_i^{\beta_i} x_i) / \langle q \rangle_i \) is equal to \( |\langle q, s \rangle_i : \langle q \rangle_i| \). By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1 the theorem follows at once. \( \Box \)

Now we are ready to prove our main results.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Take an \( \hat{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r \mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) as follows (cf. Eqn (4.6)): \( \hat{s} \) \( \text{CRT} \) \( (1 + p_1^{\hat{s}_1}, \ldots, 1 + p_k^{\hat{s}_k}, 1, 1) \)

such that each component \( 1 + p_i^{\hat{s}_i} \in 1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \) of \( \hat{s} \) becomes an element of maximal order in the quotient group \( (1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \langle q \rangle_i \); for \( i = 1, \ldots, k \). Set \( M = M \hat{s} \) as in Theorem 4.2. Then, for any \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{rn}^* \cap (1 + r \mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \), by Theorem 4.2, we have \( M_s \mid M \). Thus, for an integer \( m \), an \( m \)-adic \((q, n, r)\)-constacyclic code of Type I exists if and only if \( m \) is a divisor of \( M \). It remains to determine \( M \) by its \( p \)-adic valuations \( \nu_p(M) \), for all primes \( p \). If \( p \notin \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\} \), then we have seen from Theorem 4.2 that \( \nu_p(M) = 0 \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), by Theorem 4.2 and the choice of \( \hat{s} \), we see that \( p_i^{\nu_p(M)} \) is the maximal order of elements of the quotient group \((1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \langle q \rangle_i \); we determine it in the following cases.

**Case 1:** \( p_i \) is odd or \( \beta_i = \nu_p(r) \geq 2 \). Then the group \( 1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \) is a cyclic group of order \( p_i^{\alpha_i} \), and the order of \( q \) is \( p_i \max\{\alpha_i + \beta_i, -\nu_p(q-1), 0\} \) (recall that \( \nu_p(q-1) \geq \beta_i \) and the order of \( q \) is 1 when \( \alpha_i + \beta_i \leq \nu_p(q-1) \)). Thus, the maximal order of elements of the quotient group \((1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \langle q \rangle_i \) is \( p_i \min\{\nu_p(q-1) - \beta_i, 1\} \); hence \( \nu_p(M) = \min\{\nu_p(q-1) - \nu_p(r), \nu_p(n)\} \).

**Case 2:** \( p_i = 2 \) and \( \nu_2(r) = 1 \). Then the group \( 1 + 2 \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}} = \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}}^* \equiv \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle 5 \rangle \) and \( |\langle 5 \rangle| = 2^{n+1} - 1 \). There are two subcases:

**Subcase 2.1:** \( \nu_2(q-1) \geq 2 \). By Lemma 3.3(i), the quotient group \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}}^* / \langle q \rangle \) is a direct product of a cyclic group of order \( 2^{\min\{\nu_2(q-1)-2, \alpha_i-1\}} \) and a group of order 2. Thus

\[
\nu_2(M) = \max\{\nu_2(q-1) - 2, \nu_2(n) - 1, 1\}.
\]

**Subcase 2.2:** \( \nu_2(q-1) = 1 \). By Lemma 3.3(ii), the quotient group \( \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n+1}}^* / \langle q \rangle \) is a cyclic group of order \( 2^{\min\{\nu_2(q+1)-1, \alpha_i-1\}} \). Thus

\[
\nu_2(M) = \min\{\nu_2(q+1) - 1, \nu_2(n) - 1\}.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** For \( i = 1, \ldots, k \), from Theorem 4.2 in the quotient group \((1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}}) / \langle q \rangle_i \), we have seen that

\[
\nu_{p_i}(M) = |\langle q, s \rangle_i : \langle q \rangle_i|.
\]

If \( p_i \) is odd, then \( 1 + p_i^{\beta_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i+\beta_i}} \) is a cyclic group of order \( p_i^{\nu_p(rn) - \nu_p(r)} \). By Remark 3.4(ii), we get at once that \( |\langle q, s \rangle_i : \langle q \rangle_i| = p_i^{\max\{\nu_p(q-1), \nu_p(rn) - |\nu_p(s-1)|, 0\}} \). Otherwise, \( p_i = 2 \) and all of the conclusions follow from Lemma 3.8 immediately. \( \Box \)

### 5 Corollaries and examples

Most results on the existence of Type I polyadic constacyclic codes can follow as consequences from the main theorems immediately. Moreover, with the help of the main results and the arguments, some interesting examples can be constructed from Type I polyadic constacyclic codes. Here we describe the case when \( m = p \) is a prime, which is an interesting case.
5.1 $p$-adic constacyclic codes

Corollary 5.1. Let $m = p$ be a prime. Then $p$-adic $(q, n, r)$-constacyclic codes of Type I exist if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:

(i) $\nu_p(n) \geq 1$ and $\nu_p(q - 1) > \nu_p(r) \geq 1$ (the case $p = 2$ is allowed);

(ii) $p = 2$, $\nu_2(r) = 1$ and $\min\{\nu_2(q + 1), \nu_2(n)\} \geq 2$.

Proof. Taking $m = p$ in Theorem 2.2 we obtain the desired result.

Remark 5.2. The case of $p = 2$ in Corollary 5.1 i.e., the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of duadic constacyclic codes, was treated in [6, Corollary 17] and stated in different notations.

On the other hand, if the prime $p$ is odd, then (ii) of Corollary 5.1 is not applicable, hence the statement can be shortened: for example, for $p = 3$, the statement can read as

"Triadic $(q, n, r)$-constacyclic codes of Type I exist if and only if $\nu_3(n) \geq 1$ and $\nu_3(q - 1) > \nu_3(r) \geq 1$.”

This result has been obtained in [18].

Inspired by the conditions of Corollary 5.1 we construct a class of $p$-adic constacyclic generalized Reed-Solomon codes. For nonzero $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and distinct $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the following $[n, k, n - k + 1]$ code

$$\left\{ \left( v_0 f(\alpha_0), v_1 f(\alpha_1), \ldots, v_{n-1} f(\alpha_{n-1}) \right) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \ \deg f(x) < k \right\}$$

is called a generalized Reed-Solomon code, abbreviated by GRS code, with locator $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$; we denote this GRS code by $\text{GRS}_k(\alpha; v)$, where $v = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ (cf. [16, Ch.9]).

Proposition 5.3. Assume that $m = p$ is a prime, $q$ is a prime power with $\nu_p(q - 1) \geq 2$, and $rn \mid (q - 1)$ such that $\nu_p(r) \geq 1$ and $\nu_p(n) \geq 1$ (then Corollary 5.1(i) is satisfied). Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be a primitive nth root of unity and $\lambda = \omega^n$. Set

$$\mathcal{X}_j = \left\{ 1 + ir \mid \frac{2m}{p} \leq i < \frac{(j+1)n}{p} \right\}, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1.$$  

Then

(i) $C_{\mathcal{X}_j}$, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$, are Type I $p$-adic $\lambda$-constacyclic codes given by $\mu_1 + \frac{2m}{p}$;

(ii) for any $0 < k < p$, the constacyclic code $C = C_{\mathcal{X}_0} \oplus C_{\mathcal{X}_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}$ is the $[n, \frac{kn}{p}, \frac{(p-k)n}{p} + 1]$ GRS code $\text{GRS}_{kn/p}(\omega; v)$, where $\omega = (1, \omega^{-r}, \cdots, \omega^{-(n-1)r})$ and $v = (1, \omega^{-1}, \cdots, \omega^{-(n-1)})$.

Proof. (i). Let $s = 1 + \frac{2m}{p}$. Noting that $p \mid r$, we have

$$\mu_s(1 + ir) = \left( 1 + \frac{rn}{p} \right)(1 + ir) \equiv 1 + r\left( \frac{n}{p} + i \right) \pmod{rn}.$$  

Hence $\mu_s(\mathcal{X}_j) = \mathcal{X}_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, p - 2$, and $\mu_s(\mathcal{X}_{p-1}) = \mathcal{X}_0$. Thus $\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{p-1}$ form a Type I $p$-adic splitting of $1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}$ given by $\mu_s$.

(ii). Since $\omega^{-r}$ is a primitive nth root of unity, $\omega$ is a locator, and

$$\text{GRS}_{kn/p}(\omega; v) = \left\{ \left( f(1), \omega^{-r} f(\omega^{-r}), \cdots, \omega^{-(n-1)r} f(\omega^{-(n-1)r}) \right) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \ \deg f(x) < \frac{kn}{p} \right\}$$

is a GRS $[n, \frac{kn}{p}, \frac{(p-k)n}{p} + 1]$ code. We need to show that $C = \text{GRS}_{kn/p}(\omega; v)$. Since $\dim C = \frac{kn}{p}$, it suffices to show that $\text{GRS}_{kn/p}(\omega; v) \subseteq C$.

Set $K = \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_{k-1}$ and $K' = \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{X}_{p-1}$. Then $\prod_{Q \in K'/\mu_s} M_Q(\lambda)$ is a check polynomial of $C$, hence $\{\omega^t \mid t \in K'\} = \{\omega^{1+ir} \mid \frac{kn}{p} \leq i < n\}$ is the set of zeros of the code $C$. 
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For \( f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{kn/p - 1} f_j X^j \) with \( f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \), the codeword \( c'_f = (f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-r}), \ldots, \omega^{-(n-1)} f(\omega^{-r(n-1)}) ) \) in \( \text{GRS}_{kn/p}(\mathbb{C}; \nu) \) corresponds to the polynomial \( c'_f(X) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-t} f(\omega^{-rt}) X^t \). Therefore, \( c'_f \in C \), it is enough to show that \( c'_f(\omega^{1+ir}) = 0 \) for \( \frac{kn}{p} \leq i < n \).

We compute \( c'_f(\omega^{1+ir}) \) as follows:

\[
c'_f(\omega^{1+ir}) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-t} f(\omega^{-rt}) (\omega^{1+ir})^t = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-t} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{kn-1} f_j \omega^{-rj} \right) \omega^{(1+ir)t} = \sum_{j=0}^{kn-1} f_j \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{r(i-j)t}.
\]

Since \( \frac{kn}{p} \leq i < n \) and \( 0 \leq j < \frac{kn}{p} \), we see that \( 0 < i - j < n \), hence \( \omega^{r(i-j)} \neq 1 \) as \( \omega \) is a primitive \( n \)th root of unity. Then

\[
\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \omega^{r(i-j)t} = \frac{\omega^{r(i-j)n} - 1}{\omega^{r(i-j)} - 1} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{kn}{p} \leq i < n, \quad 0 \leq j < \frac{kn}{p}.
\]

Therefore, \( c'_f(\omega^{1+ir}) = 0 \) for all \( 1+ir \in \mathcal{K}' \); we are done.

Some \( \text{GRS} \) codes from Proposition 5.3 are exhibited in Table 5.1

| No | \( m \) | \( q \) | \( n \) | \( r \) | \( \text{GRS code} \) | Parameters |
|----|---|---|---|---|----------------|-------------|
| (i) | 3 | 19 | 6 | 3 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-3}), \ldots, \omega^{-5} f(\omega^{-15}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{10}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 4 \} | [6,4,3] |
| (ii) | 3 | 26 | 21 | 3 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-3}), \ldots, \omega^{-20} f(\omega^{-60}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{26}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 7 \} | [21,7,15] |
| (iii) | 2 | 17 | 8 | 2 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-2}), \ldots, \omega^{-7} f(\omega^{-14}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{17}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 4 \} | [8,4,5] |
| (iv) | 2 | 30 | 40 | 2 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-2}), \ldots, \omega^{-39} f(\omega^{-78}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{30}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 20 \} | [40,20,21] |
| (v) | 2 | 52 | 12 | 2 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-2}), \ldots, \omega^{-11} f(\omega^{-22}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{52}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 6 \} | [12,6,7] |
| (vi) | 2 | 72 | 24 | 2 | \{ \{f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-2}), \ldots, \omega^{-23} f(\omega^{-46}) \} \} f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{72}[X], \ \deg f(X) < 12 \} | [24,12,13] |

Table 5.1: \( \text{GRS} \) codes from Type I polyadic constacyclic codes

**Example 5.4.** An interesting particular case of Proposition 5.3 is as follows: \( m = r = 2 \), \( n \) is an even divisor of \( \frac{2n-1}{3} \), and the splitting of \( 1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \) is

\[
\mathcal{X}_0 = \{ 1, 3, \ldots, n-1 \}, \quad \mathcal{X}_1 = \{ n+1, n+3, \ldots, 2n-1 \}, \quad (5.1)
\]

(e.g., the codes (iii)-(vi) in Table 5.1 where \( n = \frac{2n-1}{3} \)). It is a Type I duadic splitting of \( 1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \) given by \( \mu_{n+1} = \mu_{n+1} \). However, it is easy to check that \( \mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1 \) also form a splitting of \( 1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \) given by \( \mu_{-1} \). In other words, both \( C_{\mathcal{X}_0} \) and \( C_{\mathcal{X}_1} \) are self-dual duadic negacyclic \( \text{GRS} \) codes with parameters \( [n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1] \).

The biggest choice of \( n \) is \( n = \frac{2n-1}{3} \) and, in this case, the self-dual duadic negacyclic \( \text{GRS} \) code \( C_{\mathcal{X}_0} \) has parameters \( [\frac{2n-1}{3}, \frac{2n-1}{3}, \frac{2n+3}{3}] \).

Before further analyzing this example, we discuss the particular case of Theorem 2.20 where \( m = p \) is a prime.

### 5.2 \( p \)-adic constacyclic codes given by \( \mu_s \)

When \( m = p \) is an odd prime in Theorem 2.20, the case is easy, as shown in the following.

**Corollary 5.5.** Assume that \( m = p \) is an odd prime, \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cap (1+r\mathbb{Z}_n) \) and \( s \neq 1 \). Then Type I \( p \)-adic splittings of \( 1+r\mathbb{Z}_n \) given by \( \mu_s \) exist if and only if \( p \mid \text{gcd}(n,r) \) and \( \nu_p(s-1) < \min\{\nu_p(q-1), \nu_p(rn)\} \).

For the remaining case of \( m = p = 2 \) in Theorem 2.20, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 5.6. Assume that \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_r^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \). Then Type I duadic splittings for \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) given by \( \mu_s \) exist if and only if both \( n \) and \( r \) are even and one of the following four conditions holds:

(i) \( \nu_2(q - 1) > |\nu_2(s - 1)| \) and \( \nu_2(rn) > |\nu_2(s - 1)| \);

(ii) \( \nu_2(q - 1) = 1, \nu_2(s - 1) > 1, \nu_2(q + 1) + 1 > |\nu_2(s - 1)| \) and \( \nu_2(rn) > |\nu_2(s - 1)| \);

(iii) \( \nu_2(q - 1) = \nu_2(s - 1) = 1, |\nu_2(s + 1)| > \nu_2(q + 1) \) and \( \nu_2(rn) > \nu_2(q + 1) \);

(iv) \( \nu_2(q - 1) = \nu_2(s - 1) = 1, |\nu_2(s + 1)| < \nu_2(q + 1) \) and \( |\nu_2(s + 1)| < \nu_2(rn) \).

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 we need to look for a condition such that \( \nu_2(M_s) \geq 1 \). If \( \nu_2(q - 1) \geq 2 \), by (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2.3, we arrive at (i) of the corollary. Furthermore, (ii) of the corollary follows from (ii) of Theorem 2.3 while (iii) and (iv) of the corollary follow from (iv) of Theorem 2.3. \( \square \)

Remark 5.7. Note that, if \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_r^* \cap (1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn}) \) and \( r \) is even, then \( s \) is odd, i.e., \( |\nu_2(s - 1)| \geq 1 \); hence Condition (i) of Corollary 5.6 implies that \( \nu_2(q - 1) \geq 2 \), or equivalently, \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Hence, Corollary 5.6(i) yields again, but in different notations, the result [6, Theorem 20] for the case when \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \). Moreover, a special case of Corollary 5.6(ii) and (iv) was also described in [6, Theorem 20], which, however, contains some inaccuracies. A correction to [6, Theorem 20] has been shown in [8, Theorem 1.3] as follows:

Assume \( q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), with \( q = -1 + 2^c d \) for some \( c \geq 2 \) and some odd \( d \). Let \( r = 2r' \), \( n = 2^c n' \) and \( s = 1 + 2r'n' \), with \( r', n' \) odd and \( b \geq 2 \).

(A) \( \mu_s \) is a Type I duadic splitting for \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) if and only if one of the following conditions holds: (1) \( c > b > \nu_2(1 + r'n') \); (2) \( b \geq c > \nu_2(1 + r'n') \).

(B) For \( 2 \leq i < 1 + b, \mu_{1 + 2^i r'n'} \) is a Type I duadic splitting for \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) if and only if \( i \leq c \).

One can see that statement (B) follows from (ii) of Corollary 5.6, while statement (A) follows from (iii) and (iv) of the corollary. Moreover, (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 5.6 are more extensive than the result of [8] stated above, e.g., the case “\( s = -1 \)” does not appear in [8, Theorem 1.3] but is included in Corollary 5.6 since \( \nu_2((-1) - 1) = 1 \) and \( |\nu_2((-1) + 1)| = \infty \), the following corollary follows at once.

Corollary 5.8. Type I duadic splittings for \( 1 + r\mathbb{Z}_{rn} \) given by \( \mu_{-1} \) exist if and only if \( n \) is even, \( r = 2 \) and one of the following two conditions holds:

(i) \( \nu_2(q - 1) \geq 2 \) (i.e., \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \));

(ii) \( \nu_2(q - 1) = 1 \) (i.e., \( q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \)) and \( \nu_2(q + 1) < \nu_2(rn) \).

As mentioned in [5], Euclidean self-dual negacyclic codes are just Type I duadic negacyclic codes given by \( \mu_{-1} \). In this sense, Corollary 5.8 is just [5, Theorem 3].

5.3 Alternant constacyclic MDS codes

By an alternant code, we mean a subfield subcode of a GRS code GRS\(_k(\alpha; v)\) over a large field \( \mathbb{F}_q^r \), i.e., the code over the ground field \( \mathbb{F}_q^r \), denoted by GRS\(_k(\alpha; v)|_{\mathbb{F}_q^r}\), obtained by restricting the GRS code GRS\(_k(\alpha; v)\) over \( \mathbb{F}_q^r \) to \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (cf. [16, Ch. 9]).

For the case (i) of Corollary 5.8 we have shown in Example 5.4 a family of self-dual negacyclic GRS codes with parameters \([\frac{q-2}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+3}{2}]\). On the other hand, it is easy to see that there are no self-dual negacyclic GRS codes for the case (ii) of Corollary 5.8 since \( 2n \nmid (q - 1) \), there are no primitive \( 2n \)th roots of unity in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). However, Proposition 5.3 and Example 5.4 provide a way to construct self-dual negacyclic alternant MDS codes for both the cases of Corollary 5.8.
Proposition 5.9. Assume that $q$ is a power of an odd prime. Let $n = \frac{q+1}{\ell}$ with $\ell$ being an odd divisor of $q+1$, let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ be a primitive $2n$th root of unity, and let

$$ X_0 = \{1, 3, \ldots, n-1\}, \quad X_1 = \{n+1, n+3, \ldots, 2n-1\}, $$

as in Eqn (5.1). Then

(i) $X_0$ and $X_1$ form a Type I duadic splitting of $1+2\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ given by $\mu_2$;

(ii) the duadic negacyclic codes $C_{X_0}, C_{X_1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are self-dual duadic negacyclic MDS $[n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1]$ codes;

(iii) $C_{X_0} = \text{GRS}_{n/2}(\omega; \mathbf{v})|_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is an alternate code, where $\text{GRS}_{n/2}(\omega; \mathbf{v})$ is the GRS code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $\omega = (1, \omega^{-2}, \ldots, \omega^{-(n-1)})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, \omega^{-1}, \ldots, \omega^{-(n-1)})$.

Proof. Note that, for any odd integer $t$, we have $tn \equiv n \pmod{2n}$. Since $\ell n - 1$ with $\ell$ being odd, we have $q = n-1 \pmod{2n}$. For any $i \in X_0$, since $i$ is odd, we have

$$ qi \equiv (n-1)i = ni - i \equiv n - i \pmod{2n}. $$

Thus $\mu_q(X_0) = X_0$, i.e., both $X_0$ and $X_1$ are $\mu_q$-invariant, which proves the conclusion (i).

By Proposition 5.8 and Example 5.9, the duadic negacyclic code $\tilde{C}_{X_0}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ is a self-dual negacyclic GRS code as follows:

$$ \tilde{C}_{X_0} = \text{GRS}_{n/2}(\omega; \mathbf{v})|_{\mathbb{F}_q} = \left\{ \left( f(1), \omega^{-1} f(\omega^{-2}), \ldots, \omega^{-(n-1)} f(\omega^{-2(n-1)}) \right) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X], \deg f(X) < \frac{n}{2} \right\}. $$

Note that $C_{X_0} \subseteq \tilde{C}_{X_0}$ and $\omega C_{X_0} \subseteq \tilde{C}_{X_0}$, and that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \tilde{C}_{X_0} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} C_{X_0} = n$. We have the direct sum $\tilde{C}_{X_0} = C_{X_0} \oplus \omega C_{X_0}$. Therefore, $C_{X_0} = \tilde{C}_{X_0}|_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is the desired subfield subcode of the code $\tilde{C}_{X_0}$. Both the conclusions (ii) and (iii) now follow immediately.

Remark 5.10. The biggest choice of $n$ in Proposition 5.9 is $n = q+1$. For this choice, the self-dual duadic negacyclic alternate MDS code $C_{X_0}$ has parameters $[q+1, \frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$. Blackburn [5 Corollary 5] has constructed this self-dual negacyclic $[q+1, \frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q+1}{2}]$ code, but did not show it to be an alternate code.

Proposition 5.11. Let $q$ be an odd prime power such that $\nu_2(q-1) \geq 3$. Let $r = \frac{q-1}{2}$, $s = 1 + \frac{s+1}{2}$, $n = q+1$, let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ be a primitive $r$th root of unity and let

$$ X_0 = \left\{ 1 + \frac{q-1}{2} j \mid -q-1 < j < \frac{q-1}{4} + 1 \right\}, \quad X_1 = (1+r\mathbb{Z}_{2n}) \setminus X_0. $$

Then

(i) $X_0$ and $X_1$ form a Type I duadic splitting of $1+r\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ given by $\mu_s$;

(ii) the duadic constacyclic codes $C_{X_0}$ and $C_{X_1}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ are MDS $[n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1]$ codes;

(iii) $C_{X_1} = \text{GRS}_{n/2}(\omega; \mathbf{v})|_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is an alternate code, where $\text{GRS}_{n/2}(\omega; \mathbf{v})$ is the GRS code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $\omega = (1, \omega^r, \ldots, \omega^{(n-1)r})$ and $\mathbf{v} = (1, \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}r-1}, \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}r-2}, \ldots, \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}(n-1)r-(n-1)})$.

Proof. It is clear that $s \in Z_{2n}^r \cap (1+r\mathbb{Z}_{2n})$. To prove (i), it is enough to show that $X_0$ is a union of some $q$-cyclotomic cosets modulo $rn$ with $|X_0| = \frac{2n}{r}$ and $s X_0 \cap X_0 = \emptyset$. Clearly, $|X_0| = \frac{2n}{2}$. To show that $X_0$ is a union of some $q$-cyclotomic cosets, it suffices to prove that $q(1+\frac{q-1}{2} j) \in X_0$ for any $-\frac{q-1}{2} < j < \frac{q-1}{4} + 1$. This is straightforward: $q(1+\frac{q-1}{2} j) \equiv 1 + \frac{q-1}{2}(2 - j) \pmod{rn}$ and $-\frac{q-1}{2} + 1 \leq 2 - j < 2 + \frac{q-1}{2} + 2$. We are left to show that $s X_0 \cap X_0 = \emptyset$. Assuming otherwise, then two integers $j, j'$ with $-\frac{q-1}{2} < j, j' \leq \frac{q-1}{4} + 1$ can be found such that $1 + \frac{q-1}{2} j \equiv 1 + \frac{q-1}{2} (j' + \frac{q-1}{2}) \pmod{\frac{q-1}{2}}$. We then have $j - j' = \frac{q-1}{2} (\mod q+1)$, which is impossible. Thus $\{X_0, X_1\}$ is a splitting of $1+r\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ given by $\mu_s$, proving (i).
Observe that $\text{ord}_{rn}(q) = 2$. Let $\tilde{C}_{X_i}$ be the constacyclic code of length $q + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, with check polynomial $\prod_{Q \in X_i/\mu_\omega} M_Q(X)$. Hence, $\{\omega^j \mid j \in X_0\}$ is the set of zeros of the code $\tilde{C}_{X_i}$. Using reasoning similar to that in the proof of Proposition 5.3, one gets

$$\tilde{C}_{X_i} = \{(f(1), \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}r_1}f(\omega^r), \ldots, \omega^{\frac{q-1}{2}(n-1)r-(n-1)}f(\omega^{(n-1)r})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ and } \deg f(X) < \frac{n}{2}\}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $\tilde{C}_{X_i} \cap \mathbb{F}_q^n = C_{X_i}$. We are done. \hfill \square

We conclude this discussion with some examples in Table 5.2. The alternant codes (i)-(iii) correspond to the codes (iv)-(vi) of Table 5.1, respectively, using Proposition 5.9 while the alternant codes (iv)-(v) are derived from Proposition 5.11.

| No | $m$ | $q$ | $n$ | $r$ | alternant code | parameters |
|----|----|----|----|----|----------------|-----------|
| (i) | 2 | $3^2$ | 10 | 2 | $\{(f(1), \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}f(\omega^2), \ldots, \omega^{-9}f(\omega^{18})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{34}[X], \deg f(X) < 5\}$ | $[10,5,6]$ |
| (ii) | 2 | 5 | 6 | 2 | $\{(f(1), \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}f(\omega^2), \ldots, \omega^{-5}f(\omega^{10})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{52}[X], \deg f(X) < 3\}$ | $[6,3,4]$ |
| (iii) | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | $\{(f(1), \omega^{\frac{1}{2}}f(\omega^2), \ldots, \omega^{-7}f(\omega^{14})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{72}[X], \deg f(X) < 4\}$ | $[8,4,5]$ |
| (iv) | 2 | $3^2$ | 10 | 4 | $\{(f(1), \omega^7f(\omega^4), \ldots, \omega^{63}f(\omega^{36})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{52}[X], \deg f(X) < 5\}$ | $[10,5,6]$ |
| (v) | 2 | 17 | 18 | 8 | $\{(f(1), \omega^{13}f(\omega^8), \ldots, \omega^{527}f(\omega^{136})) \mid f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{172}[X], \deg f(X) < 9\}$ | $[18,9,10]$ |

Table 5.2: Alternant codes from Type I duadic constacyclic codes
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