DTUWEC: an open-source DTU Wind Energy Controller with advanced industrial features
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Abstract. An open-source wind turbine controller, DTU Wind Energy Controller (DTUWEC), developed by Technical University of Denmark (DTU), is presented. The DTUWEC includes many advanced features and it is publicly available to the wind energy community. It is envisioned that this research work converts the old version of Basic DTU Wind Energy Controller into a generic, powerful and robust open-source wind turbine controller with a large number of developers and users in both research institutions and industries. DTUWEC is implemented in Fortran and offers the DNV-GL Bladed/OpenFAST style interface. Because of its open-source and modular characters, researchers are able to couple DTUWEC with different aero-elastic simulation codes to investigate the effect of different controllers on the wind turbine structural loads and power output, and to collaborate for future potential improvements.

1. Introduction
The development and improvement of wind turbine aero-servo-elastic simulation software have been one of the main activities within wind turbine research institutes, manufacturers and consulting companies for the last thirty years. A wind turbine is a multi-disciplinary system. Modularizing the wind turbine simulation software becomes increasingly crucial for (i) motivating module sharing across the wind turbine community; (ii) simplifying the procedure for code verification; (iii) improving model performance and (iv) enhancing the flexibility and expandability to enable cross developments of functionalities among the wind energy community. Of the many modules within wind turbine simulation software, the wind turbine controller is one of the most essential modules in terms of code comparison and verification between different research projects and research organizations.

Within the last decade, Department of Wind Energy at the Technical University of Denmark (DTU Wind Energy) has been striving for developing the next generation of wind turbine controllers. The research achievements resulted in the first version of the Basic DTU Wind Energy Controller developed by Hansen and Henriksen [1], which was released as an open-source controller built for high fidelity in-house aero-elastic simulation software HAWC2 [2] in 2013. In addition to the basic functionalities, this version of the controller included a reliable and smooth switch between partial and full load region, drive train damping and tower top fore-aft vibration damping. Later, several advanced control features such as Individual Pitch Controller (IPC) [3], Individual Flap Controller (IFC) [4] and integrated flap controller [5, 6] were implemented as individual modules of the Basic DTU Wind Energy Controller for many externally funded research projects. Besides this, the features required by the industry such
as start-up, emergency stop, rotor speed exclusion, pitch actuator stuck and grid loss, were implemented in order to simulate the full IEC load cases [7].

Meanwhile, there are a number of open-source wind turbine controllers existed in the wind energy community. For example, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) developed an open-source controller for its NREL 5MW reference turbine model [8]. Similarly, the Delft Center for Systems and Control (DCSC) at Delft University of Technology (TU Delft) provided an open-source baseline wind turbine controller called Delft Research Controller (DRC) [9] to the wind turbine community in 2018, which allows scientists to collaborate and contribute in making continuous improvements.

Given that the Basic DTU Wind Energy Controller is tightly integrated with HAWC2, which is a commercial software, the controller was not widely aware by the rest of the wind energy community. Therefore, in recent years, DTU Wind Energy started to revisit the Basic DTU Wind Energy Controller. DTU Wind Energy Controller (DTUWEC) was then proposed and the objective is to develop an open-source and modular controller with advance features including the key industrial supervisory functions that are lacking in some open-source controllers. The aim of this paper is to provide a comprehensive overview and description of the DTUWEC and its latest development. A generic interface was built to allow other wind turbine aero-elastic simulation codes, such as OpenFAST [10] and DNV-GL Bladed. Furthermore, more advanced features, such as rotor-effective wind speed estimator [11] and de-rating control strategies [12], were developed and implemented in the DTUWEC for wind farm control applications in order to increase total Annual Energy Production (AEP) and reduce structural loads.

2. Controller overview

The DTU Wind Energy Controller presented in this work is a further development of the old version of the Basic DTU Wind Energy controller [4] with newly developed advanced control features and generic interface. A top-level architecture of DTUWEC is shown in Figure 1. The DTU Wind Energy controller is implemented as Dynamic Linked Libraries (DLLs) and coupled with the aero-elastic simulation tools via the Interface Gate function, which initializes the control parameters through an external input file depends on the simulation tool. During the run-time, the control reference signals are computed once per time step via the update_regulation function and the control servo actions are returned to the simulation tool through the control_action function once per step. At the end of the simulation, the aero-elastic simulation tool closes the control DLLs and ends the simulation.

Figure 1: A block diagram of the top-level DTUWEC architecture

The block diagram that describes the functionalities implemented in the DTUWEC is shown in Figure 2. The main controller is responsible for communicating with a simulation tool through
the controller interface. It contains basic control features such as collective pitch, generator torque proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controller and supervisory control features (cut-in, cut-out, emergency stop and system status monitoring). Some of the advanced control functions such as rotor speed exclusion zone, active drive train damper, tower top vibration damper, rotor-effective wind speed estimator and curtailment feature [12] are also implemented in the main controller. The individual pitch controller, flap controller, generator servo, blade pitch servos, flap servos and mechanical brake are implemented in different DLLs. Implementation in DLLs can enhance the flexibility and expandability. This modularized implementation approach enables future cooperated developments and verification works within the wind turbine community. The source code will be publicly available at the repository on GitLab website: https://gitlab.windenergy.dtu.dk/OpenLAC/BasicDTUController

Figure 2: A schematic of the functionalities of the DTU Wind Energy controller

3. Advance control algorithms

Besides the basic turbine control functions such as generator torque and pitch controllers, this section describes some advance control algorithms in DTUWEC.

3.1. Switching between operational regions

In some studies (e.g. [8]), switching is handled by a linear relation between the generator torque and rotor speed. In DTUWEC, a dedicated switching logic is implemented. The switching logic between partial and full load operation is defined as

$$Q_{\text{ref},k} = \max(Q_{\text{min},k}, \min(Q_{\text{max},k}, Q_{\text{PID},k}))$$  \hspace{1cm} (1a)

$$Q_{\text{min},k} = (1 - \sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k))Q_{\text{partial min},k} + \sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k)Q_{\text{full ref},k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1b)

$$Q_{\text{max},k} = (1 - \sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k))Q_{\text{partial max},k} + \sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k)Q_{\text{full ref},k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1c)

where, $Q_{\text{PID},k}$ is the generator torque calculated by the PID torque controller at time step $k$; $Q_{\text{partial min},k}$ and $Q_{\text{partial max},k}$ are generator torque limits in partial load region; $Q_{\text{full ref},k}$ is the generator torque reference in the full load region at time step $k$. The equations that describe $Q_{\text{partial min},k}$, $Q_{\text{partial max},k}$ and $Q_{\text{full ref},k}$ can be found in [1]. $\sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k)$ is the interpolation factor at time step $k$ computed.
by the mean pitch angle, $\bar{\theta}_k$, using a linear function defined as follows

$$
\sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \bar{\theta}_k \leq \theta_{\text{min},k} \\
\frac{\bar{\theta}_k - \theta_{\text{min},k}}{\theta_{\text{max},k} - \theta_{\text{min},k}} & \theta_{\text{min},k} < \bar{\theta}_k < \theta_{\text{max},k} \\
1 & \theta_{\text{max},k} \leq \bar{\theta}_k 
\end{cases}
$$

(2)

where, $\theta_{\text{max},k} = \theta_{\text{min},k} + \theta_f$, and $\theta_f$ is an user-defined angle. When the mean pitch angle is larger than $\theta_{\text{max},k}$, the interpolation factor, $\sigma_h(\bar{\theta}_k)$, remains one until the mean pitch angle becomes lower than the minimum pitch angle, $\theta_{\text{min},k}$. This feature achieves a smooth switching between partial and full load operation and avoids unnecessary switch when the turbine is operating around the rated wind speed region.

3.2. Rotor speed exclusion logic
The rotor speed exclusion logic, as described in the left plot of Figure 3 has 4 states. The state 0 and 3 represent variable speed regions. The generator torque reference, $Q_g$, is calculated by $K\Omega^2$ when the current rotor speed, $\Omega$ is either below the lower exclusion zone limit $\Omega_L$ or above the upper exclusion zone limit $\Omega_H$. The state 1 and 2 are constant rotor speed regimes (see Fig. 3 left), in which the rotor speed is regulated at either $\Omega_L$ or $\Omega_H$ by the torque PID controller. The maximum and minimum generator torque limits in the exclusion zone are set to be $Q_{g,\text{max}} = 1.05Q_g\Omega_L$ and $Q_{g,\text{min}} = 0.95Q_g\Omega_H$ as they are shown in the right plot of Figure 3 and also can be seen in Figure 8. The values of $Q_g\Omega_L$ and $Q_g\Omega_H$ are provided by the user. During the transients from the state 1 to state 2 and the other way around, the rotor speed set-point is set to be either $\Omega_H$ or $\Omega_L$, and the torque PID controller regulates the rotor speed to pass the 3P excitation speed quickly.

Figure 3: Rotor speed exclusion logic diagram (left); Generator torque limits when the rotor speed exclusion logic is active (right) [1]

3.3. Individual pitch controller
The standard individual pitch control (IPC) inspired by the earlier work of Bossanyi [13] is implemented on top of the collective pitch controller as it was described in [3]. The IPC utilizes three flapwise blade root bending moments and blade azimuth positions to control the pitch angles individually based on Coleman transformations and two PI control loops including the lead compensation to correct the phase lag between these two PI loops. A schematic diagram with the controller details is shown in Figure 4.
3.4. Integrated flap controller

Although a traditional individual flap control is also available in the DTU Wind Energy Controller that is similar to the individual pitch controller, the integrated flap controller needs to be highlighted. It is a result of various projects at DTU in cooperation with the industry [5, 6]. In these projects, certain active flap functionalities have been implemented, providing realistic flap actions which could be utilized in a real wind turbine application. The controller includes three different features shown in Figure 5 and listed as follows:

- **AEP**: Operating-point flap setting (for optimizing AEP and loads ratio);
- **Fatigue**: PID controller (for alleviating fatigue loads);
- **Ultimate**: On-off controller (for alleviating extreme loads).

The three features can be utilized independently or together, providing a detailed capability of handling power output, extreme deflection, fatigue and extreme structural loads of the blades.

3.5. Rotor effective wind speed estimation

Rotor effective wind speed is defined as the spatially-averaged wind speeds over the rotor [14]. The rotor effective wind speed estimator in the DTUWEC was developed based on some of the earlier works [15, 16]. The instantaneous rotor effective wind speed is estimated using standard measurements of the turbine rotor speed, pitch angle and generator torque. First, an unknown input observer with a proportional-integral controller is employed to infer the aerodynamic
torque based on a simple first-order drive-train model and generator torque, defined as follows

\[
\dot{\Omega}(t) = \frac{1}{J}(\dot{Q}_a - Q_g) + L(\Omega - \dot{\Omega}),
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_a = f_{PI}(\Omega - \dot{\Omega}),
\]

where \(\Omega, J\) denote the rotor speed and inertia, while \(Q_a, Q_g\) are the aerodynamic and generator torque. The hat notation \(\hat{.}\) denotes the estimate of the variable, \(L\) and \(f_{PI}\) are the observer gain and PI controller, respectively. Subsequently, using the static relationship between the aerodynamic torque, tip-speed ratio \(\lambda\) and pitch angle \(\beta\), the estimated wind speed \(\hat{v}\) can be calculated via a gradient descent method, defined as follows

\[
\hat{Q}_a = \frac{1}{2}\rho\pi R^2 \hat{v}^3 C_p(\lambda, \beta)\Omega - 1,
\]

where \(\rho\) denotes the air density and \(C_p\) is the power coefficient. The rotor effective wind speed is useful for a number of applications, for example, feed-forward turbine control [16], gain-scheduling controller [17], health monitoring [18] and computation of the power reserve in curtailments [19].

### 3.6. Minimum thrust coefficient curtailment strategies

One of the curtailment strategies implemented in DTUWEC is the minimum thrust coefficient \(C_t\) control strategy developed in a research project, PowerKey [12]. The strategy minimizes the thrust coefficient of a turbine for a given power set-point. This control strategy, although reducing the power output of the de-rated turbines, can improve the aggregate wind farm power output due to the attenuated wake effects. An additional benefit of minimum \(C_t\) control is a reduction of fatigue loads farm-wide due to a reduction of the wake deficit and added wake turbulence mentioned in [20, 21]. The concept of minimum \(C_t\) control strategy is described as the optimisation problem (5). For a given down regulation percentage \(\Delta P\) the following minimization problem is then solved to find the solution of tip-speed-ratio \(\lambda\) and pitch angle \(\beta\).

The power coefficient \(C_p\) and thrust coefficient \(C_t\) of the DTU 10MW reference turbine [22] are illustrated in contour plots as functions of \(\lambda\) and \(\beta\) in Figure 6.

![Figure 6: \(C_p\), \(C_t\) contours and minimum \(C_t\) operational points [12]](image)

The calculated values of \(\lambda_d\) and \(\beta_d\) that satisfy minimum \(C_t\) requirement are plotted in Figure 6 and marked as black circles. The power coefficient, \(C_{p,d}\), associated with down regulation percentage is tabulated as a function of \(\lambda_d\) and \(\beta_d\) and will be used when calculating the generator torque constant \(K\) using Equation 6.

\[
\lambda_d, \beta_d = \arg \min_{\lambda, \beta} C_t(\lambda, \beta) \quad \text{Subject to:} \quad \begin{cases} 
C_{p,d}(\lambda_d, \beta_d) = \Delta PC_{p,max} \\
\lambda_{min} \leq \lambda_d \leq \lambda_{max} \\
\beta_{min} \leq \beta_d \leq \beta_{max}
\end{cases}
\]
The implementation of this control strategy in the variable-speed region is re-calculating the generator constant, $K$, tracking the minimum $C_t$ instead of the traditional way that tracks the optimal power coefficient $C_{p,max}$ using the equation defined as

$$ K = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^5 \left( \frac{1}{N} \right)^3 C_{p,d}(\lambda_d, \beta_d) $$

where, $N$ is the gearbox ratio, $R$ is the rotor radius, $\rho$ is air density and $C_{p,d}$ is the power coefficient value associated with down regulation percentage. In the constant-speed variable-pitch region, the generator speed and torque set-points are determined by the de-rated rotor speed $\Omega_{r,d}$ and the de-rated generator power. The implementation of the switch between partial load and full load region follows the same method as described in the section 3.1.

### Results

This section presents the simulation results, showing the implemented features of the DTUWEC coupled with the aero-elastic simulation code HAWC2. The DTU 10MW reference wind turbine [22] is used in different simulation scenarios.

#### 4.1. Rotor speed exclusion logic

For demonstrating the rotor speed exclusion control algorithm, simulation with ramp up and ramp down wind speed is performed. The wind speed ramp starts from 4 m/s at 200 s, and increases to 13 m/s at 500 s. Afterwards, the wind speed ramps down to 4 m/s at 800 s. The rotor speed driven by this range of wind speed covers both the first tower fore-aft excitation region and the switching zone between partial and full load. Figure 7 shows the functionality of rotor speed exclusion control, where the time series of rotor speed (left) and the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of tower top fore-aft acceleration (right) are displayed for activating and deactivating the exclusion zone. The left plot in Figure 7 shows when the rotor speed is approaching to the resonance speed (5.1 rpm, black dash line), the generator torque PID controller regulates the rotor speed at $\Omega_L$ by increasing the generator torque limit to the value of $Q_{g,max}$. When the wind speed is further increased, the generator torque limit is dropped to the value of $Q_{g,min}$, which accelerates the rotor to $\Omega_H$ for passing the resonance zone quickly. The right plot in Figure 7 shows that the amplitude of the tower top fore-aft acceleration is suppressed at the resonance frequency (0.255 Hz). Figure 8 shows the generator torque limits and the generator torque reference when the rotor speed exclusion functionality is activated.

![Figure 7: Rotor speed (left) and the FFT of tower top fore-aft acceleration (right)](image-url)
4.2. Normal power production with advanced control features

In this section, the simulation results of the normal power production with turbulent wind cases using the Normal Turbulence Model (NTM) at close to rated and above rated wind speed are presented. The generator torque control strategy is set to constant power control, that indicates in above-rated wind region, the generator torque is inversely proportional to the square of the generator speed. Figure 9 shows the rotor speed, pitch angle, power output and the factor for switching between partial and full loads region at 12 m/s. The turbine starts to operate in the partial load region between 180 seconds and 240 seconds, and the pitch angle goes to zero together with the switch factor. At around 240 seconds, the blades start to pitch, and the switch factor increases to the value of 1 at around 245 seconds, the turbine starts to produce full power.

Figure 9: Rotor speed and pitch angle (left), electrical power and switch factor (right) at wind speed of 12 m/s

Figure 10 shows the comparison of the tower bottom fore-aft bending moment and its Fourier transformation at wind speed 12 m/s. When the tower top damper is switched on, the amplitude of the tower bottom bending moment is reduced. This can be seen in the right plot of Fig. 10 where the peak at around 0.25 Hz is reduced when the tower top damper is activated. This demonstrates the correct functionality of the implemented tower top fore-aft damping algorithm.

Simulations are performed at wind speed of 16 m/s with turbulence intensity of 15.4% for testing the individual pitch control feature and flap control feature respectively. The controllers are tuned based on the response of a high-fidelity linear aero-servo-elastic model of the turbine using HAWCStab2 [23]. Figure 11 shows the comparison of the blade pitch rate (left) and the
Figure 10: The time series (left) and the FFT (right) of the tower bottom fore-aft bending moment at wind speed of 12 m/s

FFT of blade root flapwise bending moment (right) between collective pitch control, individual pitch control and flap control. As it is shown in the right plot of Fig. 11, the IPC controller results in a larger reduction of the blade flapwise root bending moment than the flap controller at 1P excitation frequency. On the other hand, the blade pitch rate is significantly increased using the individual pitch controller while the flap controller almost does not affect the blade pitch rate of the collective pitch controller.

Figure 11: Time series of blade pitch rate (left) and the FFT of the blade flapwise root bending moment (right) at wind speed of 16 m/s

4.3. Curtailment strategy
Figure 12 presents a demonstration of the curtailment control at 90% of the rated power based on the minimum $C_t$ de-rating strategy. The result shows, in the partial load region, the rotor speed follows the minimum $C_t$ operational points determined by the 90% of the rated power and keeps the de-rated rotor speed and the de-rated power output in the full loads region. It also proves that the de-rating strategy performs well when the wind speed steps down.

4.4. Rotor-effective Wind speed estimation
Figure 13 shows the rotor-effective wind speed estimations under turbulent wind cases at both below rated and above rated wind speed region. The blue line is the spatially-averaged wind speed collected from 9 points across the rotor-plane. The results demonstrate the functionality and the performance of wind speed estimation at various operation points. Notice that the current implementation is based on a $C_p$ table that is derived from a rigid turbine, which might cause inaccuracy in the results.
Figure 12: Step response: rotor speed (blue) and electrical power (red) under normal operation (solid line) and curtailment operation (dash line)

Figure 13: Comparison of the free mean wind speed and its estimate at 8 m/s (left) and 14 m/s (right)

5. Conclusions and future works
In this work, the DTUWEC has been described and demonstrated. The simulation results showed that both the standard functions and the advanced features were working correctly. The DTUWEC is applicable to most commonly used wind turbine aero-elastic codes (e.g. HAWC2, OpenFAST and DNV-GL BLADED), and it is publicly available. The modular setup enables the convenient exchange of the control algorithms for the purpose of testing new algorithms. It provides a wind turbine controller development framework to the scientists and engineers for convenient code-to-code comparison, model evaluation and development of new control algorithms. The future work is to investigate and implement new features, such as closed-loop wind farm control using dynamic induction and wake steering.
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