An \textit{ab initio} study of small gas molecule adsorption on the edge of N-doped sawtooth penta-graphene nanoribbons

Nguyen Thanh Tien$^1$, Le Vo Phuong Thuan$^1$, Tran Yen Mi$^1$

Adsorption of the toxic gas molecules carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and ammonia (NH$_3$) on the edge of N-doped sawtooth penta-graphene nanoribbons (N:SSPGNRs) was studied using first-principles methods. Basing our study on density functional theory (DFT), we investigated adsorption configurations, adsorption energy, charge transfer, and the electronic properties of CO-, CO$_2$- and NH$_3$- adsorbed onto N:SSPGNRs. We found that CO and CO$_2$ are chemisorbed on the edge of N:SSPGNR, while NH$_3$ is physisorbed. Current-voltage (I–V) characteristics were also investigated using the non-equilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) approach. Gas molecules can modify the current of a device based on N:SSPGNRs. The results indicate the potential of using N:SSPGNRs for detection of these toxic gas molecules.

I Introduction

Detecting gas molecules using semiconductor gas sensors is important for agriculture, chemical controls, environmental monitoring, and medical applications [1, 2]. Low-dimensional systems, especially material systems based on graphene, have for many years demonstrated outstanding developments in sensors and transistor applications [3–8]. However, since the band gap of graphene is almost equal to 0, it has not been fully exploited in the semiconductor industry [9]. The immense success of graphene [10–12] was followed by in-depth studies and encouraging efforts to find other two-dimensional (2D) nanostructures, such as silicene [13], phosphorene [14] single-layer graphitic zinc oxide [15], h-boron nitride [16], and transition-metal dichalcogenides [17]. Penta-graphene (PG), a novel wide band gap carbon allotrope, and PG-like materials were discovered in early 2015 [18–20]. It was found that PG is ultra-strong, and can sustain a temperature of 1000 K with grain boundaries. It displays a quasi-direct intrinsic band gap of 3.2 eV [18, 21]. Additionally, using the $G_0W_0$ approximation, the PG band gap was calculated as 4.2 eV [22]. In contrast to hydrogenated graphene, hydrogenation of PG leads to a notable increase (76% rise) in thermal conductivity instead of the 63% reduction expected due to heat dispersal in device operation [23]. Furthermore, PG is noteworthy due to its unique mechanical properties and anisotropic mechanical behavior [24]. Unlike graphene, PG has a buckle structure, which allows it to adsorb gas molecules in rich configurations. Thus, PG is considered an excellent base for the development of gas sensors which can detect harmful gases such as CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ [25–27].

Cutting 2D-PG sheets in various crystallography directions obtains more different penta-graphene nanoribbons (PGNRs). Z. Q. Fan et al. found that the sawtooth-sawtooth PGNR (SSPGNR) is a more stable structure than the other three PGNR struc-
PGNR receives major attention in semiconductor material science since its energy band gap can be controlled effectively in many ways, such as by applying an electric field and bending [29], doping [30,31], and edge termination [32,33]. In our previous studies [31] we discovered that the current intensity of N:SSPGNR increases to about $10^8$ times that of pure SSPGNR. This is convenient for determining current strength in electronic devices, including sensors. Furthermore, we studied the adsorption feature of the gas molecules (CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$) on the SSPGNR surface [34]. The results confirm that SSPGNR is sensitive to CO and NH$_3$ molecules, but less sensitive to the CO$_2$ molecule [26,27]. However, it is important to study the adsorption configurations at the edges of nanoribbons [8,35]. SSPGNR can provide prior adsorption sites at its edges, which can serve as ideal gas sensor materials. Nitrogen doping at the carbon edge of PGNRs allows prior adsorption at this edge without hydrogen passivation. The carbon at the edge without hydrogen passivation presents the dangling effect that favorably adsorbs CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$. In this study, using DFT and NEGF methods we investigate theoretically the electronic and transport properties of N:SSPGNR when gas molecules (CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$) are adsorbed on their edge.

The paper is organized as follows: the subject and research objectives are presented in the introduction section; in section II the computational methods are discussed; section III contains the results and discussion of the electronic and transport properties of N:SSPGNR with the adsorbed gas molecules on the edge; in section IV the conclusions are presented.

II Computational Methods

The electronic and transport properties of N:SSPGNRs which adsorb gas molecules were explored by first-principles calculations based on DFT and NEGF, using the Atomistix ToolKit (ATK) software package (version 2017.1) [36,37]. The width of the studied structure was six sawtooth chains. A 10 Å vacuum space was introduced along non periodic (i.e., x and y) directions to ensure the isolation of N:SSPGNRs from their periodic replicas.

The samples were optimized using DFT calculations within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (PBE) [40], with the following similar conditions: 1 x 1 x 9 k-point sampling, a cut-off energy of 790 eV and electron temperature of 300 K. Considering the electric polarization effect, a double-zeta-polarized basis set was used to expand the electron wave function. The self-consistent field tolerance was set as $10^{-6}$ Ha. Furthermore, the ground state configuration was obtained at the convergence precision of energy for the maximum energy change, the maximum force, the maximum stress and the maximum displacement of $2.10^{-5}$ eV/atom, 0.05 Ha/Å, 0.05 eV Å$^{-3}$ and 0.005 Å, respectively.
III Results and Discussion

i Structure Stability

To gauge the capacity of N:SSPGNRs to detect gas molecules (CO, CO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3}), the adsorption of gas molecules was investigated on their edges, as the edge is the most reactive site on the ribbon due to the presence of dangling bonds.

Figure 1 depicts three possible adsorbent sites on the edge of an N:SSPGNR, including: a) removing a passive H atom at the top of the C atom (C-H-N:SSPGNR), b) removing a passive H atom at the top of the N atom (H-N-N:SSPGNR), and c) removing both passive H atoms on the edge of the ribbon (C-N-N:SSPGNR). To explore the preferred configuration, we had to identify the model which the guest molecules would approach uninhibitedly. We in turn determined the most appropriate configuration for each gas molecule: CO, CO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3}.

We first considered possible adsorption configurations of the CO molecule on the edge of an N:SSPGNR; CO can be adsorbed vertically on the edge with the O atom either upward or downward. Therefore, there are 8 possible adsorption configurations for the CO molecule. Similarly, there are 12 possible adsorption configurations for the CO\textsubscript{2} molecule and 8 for the NH\textsubscript{3} molecule. These configurations are listed in Table 1.

To determine the preferred configuration, we calculated the adsorption energy (E\textsubscript{ad}) of all the configurations considered, as follows [38,39]:

\[
E_{ad} = E_{total} - E_{ribbon} - E_{gas},
\]

where E\textsubscript{total}, E\textsubscript{ribbon} and E\textsubscript{gas} are the total energies of a considered configuration after gas molecule adsorption, removing a passive H atom nanoribbon and isolated gas molecules, respectively. As per the definition adopted here, negative adsorp-
tion energy shows that the process is exothermic in nature while the magnitude signifies thermodynamic stability. Computed results indicated that COCH2, CO2CH2 and NH3CH2 (Fig. 2) were the preferred configurations of CO, CO2 and NH3 on N:SSPGNRs, respectively. The adsorption energy of these samples decreased gradually from -0.26 to -2.96 eV in the following order: $E_{ad}(\text{NH}_3) > E_{ad}(\text{CO}_2) > E_{ad}(\text{CO})$. It is obvious that CO adsorption is the most stable.

In all three adsorption cases, the N:SSPGNR edge atom closest to gas molecules is a C atom rather than an N atom. This suggests that the most effective N:SSPGNR configuration for adsorption of gas molecules is the C-H-N:SSPGNR configuration. The adsorption distances of CO, CO2, and NH3 are 1.291 Å, 1.487 Å, and 3.317 Å, respectively, as shown in Table 2. Therefore, CO- and CO2- adsorbed N:SSPGNRs are more capable of chemical adsorption than physical adsorption, while an NH3-adsorbed N:SSPGNR is more likely to adsorb physically [41].

### ii Parameters of structures

Table 3 presents the parameters of three adsorption structures after relaxation. It can be clearly seen that the bond lengths of the gas molecules vary slightly. Namely, after the adsorption, the bond lengths of two adsorbed gas molecules (CO, CO2) are longer than those of isolated gas molecules. The opposite is true of NH3 gas molecules. In addition, the bond angle of the CO2 molecule is reduced from 180° to 122°. The bond angles of the NH3 gas molecules change negligibly. In particular, the bond length of the CO adsorption sample changes the most. This is also one reason the adsorption energy in this sample is the largest. On the other hand, the bond lengths ($d_1$, $d_2$, $d_3$) and the bond angles ($\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_3$) close to the edge of C-H-N:SSPGNR substrate were also changed after the gas molecule adsorption. The changes in the structural parameters due to this interaction is the basis of the change in electronic properties, which will be analyzed in the next section.

### iii Electronic Properties

The electronic properties of C-H-N:SSPGNR were studied to understand its capacity to detect CO, CO2, and NH3. We first investigated the density of states (DOS) of CO, CO2, and NH3-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR, as shown in Fig. 3. We also see that after the adsorption of gas molecules, the DOS of systems changed, and the band gaps of all three samples expanded. Specifically, the band gap of CO-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR showed the largest increase; its band gap increased to 2.41 eV while the band gap of C-H-N:SSPGNR was only 1.44 eV. On the other hand, the sample with the smallest band gap change was the NH3-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR sample (Table 2). The trend seen in the calculated charge transfer (Q) in Table 2 can be understood as capacity for relative electron donation or electron withdrawal of the adsorbed molecules. The positive Q values mean that charge was transferred from the CO2 to the C-H-N:SSPGNR being the largest ($Q = 0.47e$).
Table 3: Bond lengths of gas molecules before and after adsorption ($l_1$ to $l_6$), bond angles of gas molecules before and after adsorption ($\alpha_1$ to $\alpha_4$), bond lengths at edge of C-H-N:SSPGNR before and after gas molecule adsorption ($d_1$ to $d_4$), and bond angles of C-H-N:SSPGNR before and after adsorption for gas molecules ($\beta_1$ to $\beta_4$).

| Samples | C-H-N:SSPGNR | CO | CO$_2$ | NH$_3$ | COCH$_2$ | CO2CH$_2$ | NH3CH$_2$ |
|---------|--------------|----|--------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| $l_1$ (Å) | - | 1.128 | - | 1.184 | - | - |
| $l_2$ (Å) | - | - | 1.128 | - | 1.213 | - |
| $l_3$ (Å) | - | - | 1.128 | - | 1.213 | - |
| $l_4$ (Å) | - | - | - | 1.163 | - | 1.022 |
| $l_5$ (Å) | - | - | - | 1.163 | - | 1.026 |
| $l_6$ (Å) | - | - | - | 1.163 | - | 1.029 |
| $\alpha_1$ (°) | - | - | 180 | - | - | 122 |
| $\alpha_2$ (°) | - | - | - | 107.8 | - | 106.0 |
| $\alpha_3$ (°) | - | - | - | 107.8 | - | 106.1 |
| $\alpha_4$ (°) | - | - | - | 107.8 | - | 106.5 |
| $d_1$ (Å) | 1.338 | - | - | 1.339 | 1.366 | 1.338 |
| $d_2$ (Å) | 1.540 | - | - | 1.397 | 1.425 | 1.536 |
| $d_3$ (Å) | 1.508 | - | - | 1.414 | 1.409 | 1.507 |
| $\beta_1$ (°) | 122.5 | - | - | 125.4 | 132.6 | 123.1 |
| $\beta_2$ (°) | 108.5 | - | - | 102.9 | 108.6 | 108.8 |
| $\beta_3$ (°) | 102.9 | - | - | 108.8 | 106.3 | 102.8 |
| $\beta_4$ (°) | 120.4 | - | - | 131.2 | 111.4 | 120.2 |

To better understand the cause of the changes in band gaps after adsorption, we analyzed the contribution of the gas molecules by drawing the total density of states (TDOS) and the local density of states (LDOS), shown in Fig. 4. We also see that the main contribution to the changes in DOS is not due to the gas molecules but to changes in the substrate. In particular, the CO molecule has the most influence on DOS and the NH$_3$ molecule has the least. In all cases, the major contribution to the changes in band gap width is the $p$ orbitals of the atoms.

On the other hand, as can be see from Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, in all three cases there is an overlap between the DOS lines, which confirms the connection between the gas molecules and the substrate. However, in the case of CO and CO$_2$ adsorption, there is an overlap and interlacing between TDOS and LDOS, so we can confirm that there is chemical bond formation. The adsorption in these two cases is chemical. In contrast, in the case of NH$_3$ the adsorption is only the overlapping between TDOS and LDOS; NH$_3$ can only physically adsorb on the edge of N:SSPGNR.

Figure 5 shows the electron density difference (EDD) for all three adsorbed samples. The EDD was calculated using the following formula:

$$\Delta \rho = \rho(\text{Total}) - \rho(\text{ribbon}) - \rho(\text{gas}).$$

Here, $\rho(\text{Total})$ and $\rho(\text{ribbon})$ represent the total electron densities of the N:SSPGNR with and without adsorbed gas molecules, respectively, and $\rho(\text{gas})$ is the electron density of the isolated gas molecules.
It can be seen from the EDD plots that the charges were accumulated over the adsorbed gas molecules. The formation of chemical bonds is evident in the case of CO and CO$_2$ adsorption. The electron density at the interface region between CO, CO$_2$ and N:SSPGNR indicates that the adsorbed gas molecule does form covalent bonds with the N:SSPGNR after the adsorption process. In contrast, in the case of NH$_3$ adsorption, no formation of covalent bonds was found, because there is little electron density difference at the interface between the NH$_3$ gas molecule and N:SSPGNR.

iv Transport Properties

In the previous section, we showed that the adsorption of gas molecules causes changes in the electronic band gaps of N:SSPGNR. For further verification of CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ detection by N:SSPGNR, we studied the transport properties of C-H-N:SSPGNR before and after the adsorption of gas molecules. The current voltage (I-V) characteristics were obtained using a two-probe model. Figure 6 shows the correlation between the current and the bias voltage of the adsorbed samples and the pure sample. We can see that all of these lines have the same shape. On the graph there is a conduction pause although the bias voltage increases. When the bias voltage reaches a certain limit value (1.6 V), the current begins to increase, then decreases until saturation at 2.0 V. Specifically, except for the CO$_2$ adsorption sample, the current of the remaining samples starts to increase at the bias voltage of 1.6 V (threshold bias). For semiconductors, when the polarizing voltage is not large enough the device stops conducting, but when it is large enough for an electron to cross the barrier, the device begins to conduct electricity. Thus, we can conclude that the structures under consideration are semiconductors. The maximum current obtained for pure C-H-N:SSPGNR, CO-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR, CO$_2$-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR, and NH$_3$-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR is 0.3 nA, 0.7 nA, 13.7 nA, and 0.4 nA, respectively. In all four cases, the maximum currents occur at the bias voltage of 1.8 V. The maximum current of the CO$_2$ adsorption sample is the highest, 45 times greater than that of the pure sample. The maximum current of the NH$_3$ adsorption sample is the lowest, only 1.3 times greater than that of the pure sample. All these findings suggest that the N:SSPGNR current can be distinguished before and after molecule adsorption [42].

To explain the change in the trend of the I-V curves in Fig. 6, we considered the bias dependent transmission spectra, T(E,Vb), of the four studied structures via Fig. 7 (left-hand panels). We see that the T(E,Vb) of the pure C-H-N:SSPGNR, the CO-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR, and the NH$_3$-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR differ very little. However, the T(E,Vb) spectrum of the CO$_2$-adsorbed C-H-N:SSPGNR is very different from the three other samples. This is also related to the charge transfer phenomenon mentioned in Table 2. Furthermore, the various maximum currents occur at

![Figure 5: The electron density difference for CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$-adsorbed N:SSPGNR. The isosurface value is taken as 0.009 eV Å$^{-3}$. The electron density difference is defined as the valence electron density minus the neutral atom electron density.](image)

![Figure 6: Calculated I-V curves for four structures: C-H-N:SSPGNR, C-H-N:SSPGNR(CO), C-H-N:SSPGNR(CO$_2$) and C-H-N:SSPGNR(NH$_3$).](image)
Figure 7: Left-hand panels: The contour of the bias-dependent transmission $T(E,V_b)$; Right-hand panels: Transmission coefficient at 1.8 V bias of four structures: a) C-H-N:SSPGNR, b) C-H-N:SSPGNR(CO), c) C-H-N:SSPGNR(CO$_2$) and d) C-H-N:SSPGNR(NH$_3$). The bias voltage of 1.8 V; the transmission coefficients at 1.8 V bias of the four structures were calculated and shown in the right-hand panels of Fig. 7. The values and filled zones bounded by the horizontal axis and $T(E, V_b = 1.8 \text{ V})$ curves help us to explain the changing tendency of the maximum currents of the four structures.

IV Conclusions

In summary, using first-principle calculations we studied the adsorption geometry, adsorption energy, charge transfer, density of states, partial density of states and I-V curves of N:SSPGNR with gas molecule (CO, CO$_2$ and NH$_3$) adsorption. Our calculated results show that edge adsorption of CO and CO$_2$ molecules is more energetically favorable than edge adsorption of NH$_3$. Moreover, the adsorption of CO and CO$_2$ on the edge of N:SSPGNR is chemical, while the adsorption of NH$_3$ is physical. The current voltage (I–V) characteristics were also investigated using the non-equilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) approach. The results indicate that conductance of the CO, CO$_2$, and NH$_3$ adsorption N:SSPGNR can be distinguished at the bias voltage of 1.8 V. These changes in electronic and transport properties make N:SSPGNR a promising candidate for gas detector development.
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