The association between assisted reproductive technology and cardiac remodeling in fetuses and early infants: a prospective cohort study
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Abstract
Background: Limited data exist regarding the potential impact of assisted reproductive technology (ART) on cardiac remodeling. In particular, whether different ART techniques are related to different cardiac alterations remains unclear. We aimed to evaluate cardiac changes in fetuses and infants arising from ART and fetal cardiac alterations in fetuses conceived by specific ART procedures.

Methods: This prospective and observational cohort study recruited 111 fetuses conceived by ART and 106 spontaneously conceived controls between December 2017 and April 2019. Echocardiography was performed between 28+0 and 32+6 weeks-of-gestation and at 0–2 and 6 months after birth.

Results: A total of 88 ART fetuses and 85 controls were included in the final analysis. Compared to controls, ART fetuses demonstrated a globular enlarged left ventricle (LV) (LV sphericity index of mid-section, 2.29 ± 0.34 vs. 2.45 ± 0.39, P = 0.006; LV area, 262.33 ± 45.96 mm² vs. 244.25 ± 47.13 mm², P = 0.002), a larger right ventricle (RV) (RV area, 236.10 ± 38.63 mm² vs. 221.14 ± 42.60 mm², P = 0.003) and reduced LV systolic deformation (LV global longitudinal strain (GLS), −19.56% ± 1.90% vs. −20.65% ± 1.88%, P = 0.013; LV GLS rate S, −3.32 ± 0.36 s⁻¹ vs. −3.58 ± 0.39 s⁻¹, P = 0.023). There were no significant differences between the ART and control groups at postnatal follow-ups. Furthermore, we found fetal cardiac morphometry and function were comparable between different ART procedures. Compared to controls, the fetuses derived from various ART procedures all exhibited impairments in the LV GLS and the LV GLS rate S.

Conclusions: Our analysis demonstrated that subclinical cardiac remodeling and dysfunction were evident in ART fetuses, although these alterations did not persist in early infancy. In addition, various ART procedures may cause the same unfavorable changes in the fetal heart.

Trial registration: This trial was registered at the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (www.chictr.org.cn) (ChiCTR190021672) on March 4, 2019, retrospectively registered.
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born by ART, with a significant upward trend over recent years [1]. Given the significant global uptake of ART, there are universal concerns relating to the potential impact of ART on the health of future generations.

According to the theory of fetal programming of cardiovascular disease [2, 3], there is a possibility that ART may predispose apparently healthy offspring to permanent reprogramming of cardiovascular development [4, 5]. However, only a handful of studies have focused on the effects of ART on cardiac changes. A previous cohort study of ART offspring demonstrated cardiac remodeling and dysfunction in utero that persisted into postnatal life [6, 7]. In another study, cardiac dysfunction was observed in ART children but not changes in cardiac morphometry [8]. Another study demonstrated right ventricle (RV) enlargement and diastolic dysfunction in ART pre-adolescents under high-altitude conditions [9]. It is clear that only limited data exist regarding the impact of ART on cardiac remodeling and that the exact pattern of cardiac changes remains controversial.

The evident differences between published studies could be explained by potential confounders, at least in part. For instance, ART is associated with an increased prevalence of advanced maternal age, twin pregnancy, prematurity, and low birth weight [10]; these factors are all known to be associated with cardiac remodeling or dysfunction [11–14]. On the other hand, the heterogeneity between ARTs used in different research studies might play a role in the poor consistency in previous findings. For example, Valenzuela-Alcaraz et al. [6] and von Arx et al. [9] both recruited cases that were conceived by in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), while Zhou et al. [15] also included children that were conceived by oocyte donation and artificial insemination. Liu et al. [8] and Xu et al. [16] only studied children that were conceived by IVF. Furthermore, the proportions of subjects conceived by frozen embryo transfer (FET) have also proven to be variable in previous studies.

Accordingly, we designed a prospective cohort study that was controlled for these potential confounding factors and investigated cardiac alterations that were related to ART in fetuses and infants. We also investigated cardiac changes in fetuses conceived by specific ART processes. Because cardiac dysfunction may be subtle, we used speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) to measure deformation of the myocardium in ART offspring.

Methods
Study
This was a prospective and observational cohort study; the detailed protocol was published previously [17]. Initially, we recruited 111 fetuses that had been conceived by ART and 106 controls at the gestational age of 28–32 weeks between December 2017 and April 2019 at the Department of Ultrasound, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China. All participants were singletons, and without any structural or chromosomal anomalies, evidence of infection, or any maternal medical diseases [17]. Twenty-three ART fetuses and 21 controls were excluded due to prematurity, smoking during pregnancy, or small/large-for-gestational age (Fig. 1). Data from 88 ART conceived fetuses and 85 controls were included in the final analysis.

The time points of the two follow-up visits were set at 0–2 and 6 months after birth. At each of the three visits, the participants underwent a standard protocol to receive cardiac assessment, including conventional echocardiography and STE. The Ethics Committee of Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all parents. The trial registration number for the present study is ChiCTR1900021672.

Fetal assessment
During prenatal evaluation, two-dimensional fetal ultrasound examinations were performed with an EPIQ 7C ultrasound system (Philips Ultrasound, Inc., Bothell, Washington) equipped with 3–5 MHz curved-array (C5-1) and 1–5 MHz sector-array (S5-1) probes. The same experienced operator (B-WJ) conducted all examinations, including the assessment of estimated fetal weight, placental Doppler, and fetal echocardiography [17].

Fetal echocardiography included a comprehensive examination to assess structural heart integrity, cardiac morphometry, and function, the latter was evaluated by both conventional echocardiography and STE analysis [17]. For STE, we acquired videos for offline analysis, including the apical or basal 4-chamber, long-axis, and 2-chamber views, as well as basal-, mid-, and apical-short-axis views. Images were analyzed by a single experienced operator (B-WJ) with QLAB software (10.8 version; Philips Healthcare, Andover, Massachusetts) [17]. The results of STE analysis included values for biventricular mid-myocardial global longitudinal strain (GLS), GLS rate, longitudinal time to peak (T2P) strain, and standard deviation of left ventricle (LV) longitudinal T2P strain, as well as LV mid-myocardial global circumferential strain (GCS), GCS rate, circumferential T2P strain, and standard deviation of circumferential T2P strain. We also generated bull’s-eye maps for LV longitudinal and circumferential strain. Global parameters were calculated by segmental averaging; for example, RV GLS was measured as the mean value of three lateral segments and the LV GLS and GCS of all 18 segments. To better
compare T2P strain and LV dyssynchrony in different heart rates, all cardiac cycles were adjusted to 400ms.

Postnatal assessment
Postnatal echocardiography, including conventional morphological and functional evaluations and STE analysis, was performed by the same skilled operator (B-WJ) using an EPIQ 7C ultrasound system (Philips Ultrasound, Inc., Bothell, Washington) equipped with a 5–12 MHz sector-array (12S) probe. Infants with congenital heart defects that were not diagnosed prenatally were excluded from the follow-up visits (Fig. 1).

Statistical analysis
The IBM SPSS software (version 24; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), median (interquartile range), or percentage (number) where appropriate. Categorical variables were compared using the Pearson’s χ² test, the continuity-adjusted χ² test, or Fisher’s exact test. Comparisons of continuous variables between the ART and control groups were performed by independent t tests and adjusted for association to confounding factors by linear regression. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare three groups with Bonferroni correction if P < 0.05. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used when we observed heterogeneity of variance between groups.

Body surface area was calculated according to the Meban formula [18]. All P values were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Inter-observer and intra-observer variability were assessed as previously described [17]. Speckle-tracking assessments were repeated in 15 prenatal and 15 postnatal randomly selected cases by a second observer (X-YJ) blinded to the group assignment and the prior measurement and by the first observer (B-WJ) after an interval of at least 3 months, respectively. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated for repeatability and reproducibility. ICCs > 0.80 were recognized as excellent and 0.60 to 0.80 as good.

Results
Cardiac changes in ART fetuses and infants
Baseline and perinatal characteristics were similar when compared between the ART and control groups, except for the higher prevalence of nulliparity (P < 0.001), lower maternal (P = 0.008) and paternal (P = 0.014) educational level, and higher rates of cesarean section (P = 0.001) in the ART group (Table 1). Maternal age, gestational age at delivery, and birth weight were all comparable between the two groups; these are all factors that are known to influence cardiac changes [11, 13, 14].

Fetal assessment results are shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference in gestational age at
Table 1  Baseline and perinatal characteristics of the study population

| Characteristics                                      | SC (n = 85) | ART (n = 88) | P   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|
| **Maternal characteristics**                        |             |              |     |
| Age (years)                                         | 33.0 (31.5, 36.0) | 34.0 (31.0, 36.0) | 0.793 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                         | 26.11±3.44  | 25.74 (24.06, 28.29) | 0.500 |
| Han, % (n)                                          | 85.88 (73)  | 86.36 (76)   | 0.927 |
| Nulliparity, % (n)                                  | 56.47 (48)  | 89.77 (79)   | <0.001 |
| Family cardiovascular history, % (n)a               | 57.65 (49)  | 48.86 (43)   | 0.247 |
| Low socioeconomic level, % (n)                      | 18.82 (16)  | 28.41 (25)   | 0.138 |
| University education, % (n)                         | 87.06 (74)  | 70.45 (62)   | 0.008 |
| **Paternal characteristics**                        |             |              |     |
| Age (years)                                         | 34.8±4.3    | 35.7±4.1     | 0.165 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                         | 25.77±3.34  | 25.32±3.48   | 0.386 |
| Han, % (n)                                          | 88.24 (75)  | 88.64 (78)   | 0.934 |
| Cigarette smoker, % (n)                             | 34.12 (29)  | 43.18 (38)   | 0.221 |
| Family cardiovascular history, % (n)a               | 57.65 (49)  | 53.41 (47)   | 0.575 |
| Low socioeconomic level, % (n)                      | 5.88 (5)    | 13.64 (12)   | 0.087 |
| University education, % (n)                         | 85.88 (73)  | 70.45 (62)   | 0.014 |
| **Fertility and ART characteristics**               |             |              |     |
| Infertility cause, % (n)                            |             |              |     |
| Unexplained                                         | -            | 12.50 (11)   | -   |
| Female                                              | -            | 45.45 (40)   | -   |
| Male                                                | -            | 18.18 (16)   | -   |
| Female + male                                       | -            | 23.86 (21)   | -   |
| ART technique, % (n)                                |             |              |     |
| IVF                                                 | -            | 54.55 (48)   | -   |
| ICSI                                                | -            | 43.18 (38)   | -   |
| IVF+ICSI                                            | -            | 2.27 (2)     | -   |
| Transferred embryos, % (n)                          |             |              |     |
| 1                                                   | -            | 25.00 (22)   | -   |
| 2                                                   | -            | 73.86 (65)   | -   |
| 3                                                   | -            | 1.14 (1)     | -   |
| FET, % (n)                                          | -            | 76.14 (67)   | -   |
| **Pregnancy complications, % (n)**                  |             |              |     |
| Preeclampsia                                        | 4.71 (4)    | 9.09 (8)     | 0.256 |
| Gestational diabetes                                | 23.53 (20)  | 18.18 (16)   | 0.386 |
| Placenta previa                                     | 2.35 (2)    | 4.55 (4)     | 0.710 |
| Obstetric cholestasis                               | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)        | 1.000 |
| Prenatal corticoid exposure                         | 1.18 (1)    | 2.27 (2)     | 1.000 |
| **Delivery data**                                   |             |              |     |
| Gestational age at delivery (week)                  | 39.6±1.0    | 39.5±0.9     | 0.694 |
| Cesarean section, % (n)                             | 48.24 (41)  | 72.73 (64)   | 0.001 |
| Male, % (n)                                         | 47.06 (40)  | 52.27 (46)   | 0.493 |
| Birth length (cm)                                   | 51.0 (49.5, 52.0) | 51.0 (50.0, 52.0) | 0.559 |
| Birth weight (g)                                    | 3380±3.280  | 3448±316     | 0.138 |
| **Neonatal outcome, % (n)**                         |             |              |     |
| Admission to NICU                                   | 1.18 (1)    | 0 (0)        | 0.491 |
| Major neonatal morbidityb                          | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)        | 1.000 |
| Perinatal mortalityb                                | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)        | 1.000 |

ART Pregnancy conceived by assisted reproductive technologies, BMI Body mass index, FET Frozen embryo transfer, ICSI Intracytoplasmic sperm injection, IVF In vitro fertilization, NICU Neonatal intensive care unit, SC Spontaneous conception

*a Family cardiovascular history is defined as the existence of congenital heart disease, coronary disease, hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, or stroke in men<55 years and women<65 years

*b Major neonatal morbidity is defined as the existence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necrotising enterocolitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, periventricular leukomalacia, retinopathy, persistent ductus arteriosus, or sepsis in the first 28 days of life
Table 2  Fetal assessment of the study population

| Characteristics | SC (n = 85) | ART (n = 88) | P   | Adjusted P* |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|-----|-------------|
| **Gestational age at scan (week)** | 29.7 (28.7, 31.3) | 29.7 (29.0, 30.8) | 0.904 | -           |
| **Estimated fetal weight (g)**   | 1460 (1265, 1733) | 1462 (1293, 1689) | 0.683 | -           |
| **Placental data**               |             |               |     |             |
| Middle cerebral artery PI         | 1.98±0.31   | 1.90±0.32     | 0.080 | 0.013       |
| Umbilical artery PI              | 1.04±0.17   | 1.03±0.16     | 0.565 | 0.736       |
| Cerebroplacental ratio           | 1.89 (1.71, 2.12) | 1.84 (1.62, 2.05) | 0.332 | 0.080       |
| Aortic isthmus PI                | 2.68±0.35   | 2.68±0.35     | 0.940 | 0.925       |
| Ductus venosus PI                | 0.54 (0.45, 0.69) | 0.55 (0.47, 0.73) | 0.591 | 0.629       |
| Mean uterine artery PI           | 0.85±0.21   | 0.74±0.20     | 0.001 | 0.010       |
| **Cardiac morphometry**          |             |               |     |             |
| Left atrial area (mm²)           | 167.99±35.70 | 166.49±30.26  | 0.767 | 0.819       |
| Right atrial area (mm²)          | 173.73±31.48 | 175.14±32.53  | 0.772 | 0.608       |
| LV area (mm²)                   | 244.25±47.13 | 262.33±45.96  | 0.011 | 0.002       |
| RV area (mm²)                   | 221.14±42.60 | 236.33±45.96  | 0.016 | 0.003       |
| LVSI mid                        | 2.45±0.39   | 2.29±0.34     | 0.003 | 0.006       |
| LVSI base                       | 2.50±0.40   | 2.41±0.34     | 0.081 | 0.276       |
| RVSİ mid                        | 2.12 (1.97, 2.41) | 2.15±0.32     | 0.229 | 0.326       |
| RVSİ base                       | 2.11±0.28   | 2.16±0.26     | 0.229 | 0.187       |
| Relative LV wall thickness      | 0.36±0.05   | 0.35±0.04     | 0.035 | 0.074       |
| Relative interventricular septal thickness | 0.37±0.06 | 0.36±0.05 | 0.134 | 0.347 |
| Relative RV wall thickness      | 0.34±0.05   | 0.34±0.05     | 0.715 | 0.833       |
| Cardiothoracic ratio            | 0.29 (0.27, 0.32) | 0.29±0.03   | 0.044 | 0.051       |
| **Systolic function**           |             |               |     |             |
| LVEF (%)                        | 63.43±5.22  | 63.46±4.43    | 0.963 | 0.435       |
| RVEF (%)                        | 58.61±6.22  | 60.00 (55.95, 62.58) | 0.995 | 0.915       |
| Left stroke volume (ml)         | 2.46±0.71   | 2.25 (1.78, 3.06) | 0.923 | 0.991       |
| Right stroke volume (ml)        | 3.58 (3.01, 3.94) | 3.69±0.95   | 0.702 | 0.564       |
| Left cardiac output (ml/min)    | 352.25±97.65 | 328.69 (253.64, 419.31) | 0.639 | 0.727       |
| Right cardiac output (ml/min)   | 528.57 (446.15, 574.26) | 537.22±131.70 | 0.738 | 0.548       |
| Mitral ring displacement (mm)   | 6.75±1.81   | 5.69 (4.49, 7.79) | 0.025 | 0.111       |
| Tricuspid ring displacement (mm) | 8.30±1.81  | 7.17 (6.25, 9.16) | 0.012 | 0.105       |
| Mitral S′ (cm/s)                | 5.74±0.82   | 5.80 (5.13, 6.29) | 0.645 | 0.760       |
| Tricuspid S′ (cm/s)             | 6.29 (5.71, 6.96) | 6.09 (5.71, 6.77) | 0.515 | 0.947       |
| **Diastolic function**          |             |               |     |             |
| Mitral E/A                      | 0.72±0.08   | 0.75±0.10     | 0.050 | 0.164       |
| Tricuspid E/A                   | 0.73 (0.68, 0.79) | 0.73 (0.67, 0.80) | 0.891 | 0.668       |
| Mitral E deceleration time (ms) | 40.00 (32.00, 48.00) | 44.00 (32.00, 54.00) | 0.548 | 0.362       |
| Tricuspid E deceleration time (ms) | 28.00 (21.00, 36.00) | 29.00 (22.00, 39.50) | 0.784 | 0.806       |
| Mitral E′ (cm/s)                | 5.59±0.79   | 5.84±0.86     | 0.047 | 0.046       |
| Mitral A′ (cm/s)                | 8.35±1.69   | 8.19±1.64     | 0.521 | 0.334       |
| Tricuspid E′ (cm/s)             | 6.77 (5.61, 8.51) | 6.68 (5.90, 8.49) | 0.824 | 0.913       |
| Tricuspid A′ (cm/s)             | 11.04±1.81  | 10.88±2.42    | 0.627 | 0.652       |
| Left isovolumic relaxation time (ms) | 48.16±9.44 | 45.89±8.57 | 0.098 | 0.138       |
| **Global cardiac function**     |             |               |     |             |
| Left myocardial performance index | 0.45±0.14 | 0.39 (0.31, 0.53) | 0.725 | 0.478       |
| Right myocardial performance index | 0.38±0.12 | 0.38±0.16     | 0.988 | 0.707       |
| **Deformation analysis**        |             |               |     |             |
| Frame rate (fps)                | 97.0 (92.0, 103.5) | 95.0 (89.3, 103.0) | 0.113 | -           |
| Heart rate (bpm)                | 145.1±7.1   | 144.2±6.5     | 0.379 | 0.181       |
ultrasound examination or estimated fetal weight when compared between groups. Placental Doppler parameters were similar between groups except for a lower middle cerebral artery pulsatility index (PI) \( (P = 0.013) \) and mean uterine artery PI \( (P = 0.010) \) in the ART group. Fetuses conceived by ART were associated with larger LV \( (P = 0.002) \) and RV \( (P = 0.003) \) areas and a lower LV sphericity index of mid-section \( (P = 0.006) \) (Fig. 2). Assessments of conventional echocardiographic function were similar when compared between the two groups, except for increased mitral E’ \( (P = 0.046) \) in ART fetuses. Deformation analysis for LV revealed that fetuses conceived by ART showed significant reductions in GLS \( (P = 0.013) \) (Fig. 2) and GLS rate S \( (P = 0.023) \) after adjusting for gestational age at scan, estimated fetal weight, parity, sex of offspring, gestational diabetes, mean uterine artery PI, frame rate, and LV area. With regard to RV STE analysis, there was no significant difference between the groups with respect to systolic and diastolic deformation.

The characteristics of the infants at first follow-up are shown in Additional file 1: Table S3. The results derived from anthropometry and cardiac assessment are presented in Additional file 1: Table S4. The age at evaluation was similar between the two groups, whereas body surface area was higher \( (P < 0.001) \) in infants born via ART. There was no significant difference between the two groups with respect to conventional echocardiographic parameters and deformation analysis (Fig. 2).

Cardiac alterations of fetuses conceived by specific ART techniques

The baseline characteristics of fetuses conceived by specific ART procedures are summarized in Additional file 1: Tables S5 and S6. There was no significant difference in cardiac morphometry and function when compared between IVF and ICSI groups or between fresh

| Characteristics                  | SC (n = 85) | ART (n = 88) | \( P \)  | Adjusted \( P^* \) |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|
| **Systolic deformation**         |            |             |        |                   |
| LV GLS (%)                       | -20.65±1.88| -19.56±1.90 | <0.001 | 0.013             |
| LV GLS rate S (s⁻¹)              | -3.58±0.39 | -3.32±0.36  | <0.001 | 0.023             |
| LV global longitudinal T2P strain (ms) | 209.60±13.92 | 214.50±14.40 | 0.024 | 0.119             |
| LV GCS (%)                       | -19.83±2.49| -18.56 (--20.35, -17.20) | 0.031 | 0.260             |
| LV GCS rate S (s⁻¹)              | -3.89±0.56 | -3.70±0.54  | 0.026  | 0.195             |
| LV global circumferential T2P strain (ms) | 208.72±12.95 | 206.91±12.90 | 0.359 | 0.794             |
| RV GLS (%)                       | -21.58±3.64| -20.58±3.83 | 0.083  | 0.812             |
| RV GLS rate S (s⁻¹)              | -3.41±0.76 | -3.25±0.82  | 0.210  | 0.906             |
| RV global longitudinal T2P strain (ms) | 196.00 (185.00, 217.50) | 202.33±25.47 | 0.983 | 0.410             |
| **Diastolic deformation**        |            |             |        |                   |
| LV GLS rate E (s⁻¹)              | 3.22±0.47  | 3.16±0.44   | 0.383  | 0.623             |
| LV GLS rate A (s⁻¹)              | 3.85±0.57  | 3.63±0.52   | 0.010  | 0.100             |
| LV GCS rate E (s⁻¹)              | 3.53±0.51  | 3.37 (2.93, 3.72) | 0.209 | 0.993             |
| LV GCS rate A (s⁻¹)              | 3.46±0.67  | 3.17±0.59   | 0.004  | 0.165             |
| RV GLS rate E (s⁻¹)              | 3.19±1.19  | 2.78 (2.08, 3.45) | 0.203 | 0.235             |
| RV GLS rate A (s⁻¹)              | 3.60 (2.93, 4.30) | 3.78±1.32   | 0.899  | 0.936             |
| **Dyssynchrony**                 |            |             |        |                   |
| Longitudinal strain SDt18S (ms)   | 26.41±6.59 | 27.28±6.45  | 0.380  | 0.321             |
| Circumferential strain SDt18S (ms)| 22.75 (17.62, 27.74) | 24.13±6.97  | 0.595  | 0.493             |

\( ART \) Pregnancy conceived by assisted reproductive technologies, \( GCS \) Global circumferential strain, \( GLS \) Global longitudinal strain, \( LV \) Left ventricle, \( LVEF \) Left ventricular ejection fraction, \( LVSI \) Left ventricular sphericity index, \( PI \) Pulsatility index, \( RV \) Right ventricle, \( RVEF \) Right ventricular ejection fraction, \( RVSI \) Right ventricular sphericity index, \( SDt18S \) Standard deviation of the time to peak systolic strain of 18 segments, \( T2P \) Time to peak

\( *P \) values calculated by linear regression adjusted for gestational age at scan, estimated fetal weight, parity, sex of offspring, gestational diabetes, and mean uterine artery PI. For LV/RV deformation parameters, \( P \) values were additionally adjusted for frame rate and LV or RV area. For placental data, \( P \) values were adjusted for gestational age at scan, estimated fetal weight, parity, sex of offspring, and gestational diabetes.
Fig. 2  Study design and main findings. *Compared with the SC group by Bonferroni correction. ART, assisted reproductive technology; ET, embryo transfer; FET, frozen embryo transfer; GLS, global longitudinal strain; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilization; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; SC, spontaneous conception.
embryo transfer (ET) and FET groups (Fig. 2 and Additional file 1: Tables S7 and S8). Compared with controls, IVF fetuses showed a lower mean uterine artery PI ($P = 0.031$), larger RV ($P = 0.038$), lower LV sphericity index of mid-section ($P = 0.016$) and of base-section ($P = 0.035$) and a decreased LV GLS ($P = 0.007$), LV GLS rate S ($P < 0.001$), and LV GCS ($P = 0.039$). ICSI cases demonstrated a lower mean uterine artery PI ($P = 0.019$), decreased mitral ($P = 0.021$) and tricuspid ring displacement ($P = 0.027$), higher mitral E’ ($P = 0.036$), along with decreased LV GLS ($P = 0.002$), LV GLS rate S ($P = 0.001$), and LV GCS rate A ($P = 0.007$) when compared to controls. Moreover, the fresh ET group was associated with a lower LV GLS ($P = 0.048$), LV GLS rate S ($P = 0.003$), and LV GCS rate S ($P = 0.044$), when compared to fetuses conceived spontaneously. In the FET group, fetuses showed a lower mean uterine artery PI ($P = 0.001$) and LV sphericity index of mid-section ($P = 0.005$), larger LV ($P = 0.012$) and RV ($P = 0.035$), decreased relative LV wall thickness ($P = 0.031$) and mitral ($P = 0.017$) and tricuspid ring displacement ($P = 0.011$), impaired LV GLS ($P = 0.002$), LV GLS rate S ($P < 0.001$), LV GLS rate A ($P = 0.046$), and LV GCS rate A ($P = 0.022$), as compared to controls. Collectively, these data show that the common features of cardiac change in ART subgroups were a reduction in LV GLS and LV GLS rate S (Fig. 2).

Intra- and inter-observer variability
With regard to the intra- and inter-observer variability of prenatal measurements, we found that the ICCs were excellent or good for LV deformation parameters. With regard to the intra- and inter-observer variability of postnatal measurements, we found that the ICCs were excellent for almost all LV deformation parameters (Additional file 1: Table S9).

Discussion
In this prospective and observational cohort study, we found that subclinical changes associated with cardiac morphology and function were detectable in ART fetuses. However, these alterations did not persist in the early infantile period (Fig. 2).

Consistent with previous studies showing cardiac morphological changes in ART fetuses [6, 19], in the present study, we demonstrated a globular enlarged LV and a larger RV in ART fetuses. From a pathophysiological perspective, a spherical dilated shape will weaken the contractile properties of the ventricle [20, 21]. Indeed, the results of STE analysis showed that there was reduced left systolic function in ART fetuses. This systolic dysfunction was presented as significant reductions in LV GLS and GLS rate S. These findings partially agreed with those of Valenzuela-Alcaraz et al. [6] who reported impaired LV and RV systolic function in fetuses conceived by ART. However, consistent with our present findings, von Arx et al. [9] revealed similar RV systolic function in ART children and controls. With regard to diastolic performance, we observed increased mitral E’ in ART fetuses. However, previous studies described unchanged or reduced mitral E’ [6, 19]. Thus, the changes in mitral E’ that are reported here need to be further validated. Taken together, these data demonstrated that ART was associated with a globular enlarged LV, a larger RV, and LV dysfunction during the prenatal period.

A previous study failed to detect cardiac remodeling in ART children at low attitude [9]. Moreover, at high attitude, Scherrer et al. [4] reported a comparable cardiac index and E/E’ ratio when compared between ART and control children. Our current data support these previous research studies. In our evaluations carried out in the first 0–2 months of life, we only noted a thinner relative RV wall thickness and a higher tricuspid E/A ratio. In view of the large number of evaluations carried out and the deformation parameters were primary outcomes, these limited findings may not be clinically important. Moreover, the cardiac data were comparable between ART infants and controls when evaluated at 6 months of life. However, our findings are inconsistent with a previous study [6] in that reported the most compelling data by far by demonstrating signs of cardiac remodeling in utero and that these changes persisted postnatally in ART offspring. When considering possible explanations for this discrepancy, it is important to acknowledge that the enrollment criteria of the present study were more stringent. According to the Barker hypothesis [2, 3], when fetuses endure adverse events, the cardiac changes may be more likely to appear later in life under the mediation of certain conditions (e.g., obesity and metabolic syndrome). It seems possible that the ART-associated cardiac remodeling disappeared after birth in our “low cardiovascular risk” infants born via ART.

Thus far, few researchers have studied cardiac changes in association with specific ART techniques. A previous study reported larger atria and globular ventricles in fetuses conceived by fresh ET compared to those by FET [22]. Most recently, Boutet et al. [19] demonstrated larger atria, thicker myocardial walls, and more impaired systolic function in a fresh ET group when compared to an FET population. In the present study, we found that cardiac morphology and function were comparable between IVF and ICSI groups as well as between fresh ET and FET groups. Compared with controls, however, all these ART subgroups were associated with a reduced LV GLS and LV GLS rate S which represents LV systolic dysfunction. Strain parameters, especially LV GLS, are considered to be independent predictors of adverse cardiovascular
outcome in subjects at risk [23–25]. Thus, it is important and necessary to evaluate LV GLS and its potential association with ART.

The underlying mechanisms by which ART results in fetal cardiac remodeling are poorly understood. Some investigators have suggested that, as with growth restricted fetuses, the cardiac remodeling in ART fetuses may be a response to increased RV afterload with placental abnormalities [26]. Many placental abnormalities, particularly the vascular lesions, are associated with impaired utero-placental perfusion which can be noted by abnormal uterine artery Doppler waveforms [27–29]. In previous studies, however, no difference in utero-placental perfusion was detected between ART pregnancies and spontaneous pregnancies [30, 31]. Several recent studies demonstrated better utero-placental perfusion in pregnancies conceived by FET than those conceived spontaneously or by fresh ET [32–34]. In our present study, ART pregnancies also demonstrated a significantly lower mean uterine artery PI than those conceived spontaneously. After subgroup analysis, the lower mean uterine artery PI was found to be related to FET but not fresh ET. Our findings suggest that ART fetuses, especially those conceived by FET, are associated with better utero-placental perfusion. Thus, the role of placental hemodynamic function during cardiac remodeling in ART fetuses needs to be validated further.

Recently, epigenetic mechanisms have been proposed to play an important role in the cardiovascular dysfunction of ART offspring [4, 5]. In a previous study, Rexhaj et al. [35] demonstrated that vascular dysfunction in ART mice was related to altered methylation at the promoter of the gene encoding eNOS in the aorta. It has also been proposed that oxidative stress during ART procedures may also cause long-lasting cardiovascular dysfunction in the offspring [36]. A previous study detected increased levels of intracellular reactive oxygen species in the mesenteric resistance arteries of ART mice [37]. In humans, some oxidative stress-associated proteins were found to be differentially expressed in umbilical arteries collected from ART offspring [16]. However, the exact role of epigenetic modifications and oxidative stress in ART-induced cardiac alterations have yet to be elucidated. Future studies need to investigate the mechanisms that underlie these potential effects.

Several limitations in this study should be taken into consideration. First, the range of gestational ages, and the ages at scanning on each visit may be too broad. To minimize the influences of individual differences on cardiac structure and function, linear regression analysis was adjusted for confounding factors, such as gestational age, age at scan, estimated fetal weight, and body surface area. Second, we acknowledge that the present study might be underpowered as there was a 50% loss during the follow-up period. Third, we excluded a range of confounding factors during recruitment, including twin pregnancies, pregnancies with structural/chromosomal anomalies, evidence of infection or any maternal medical disease (e.g., asthma, chronic hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart disease, HIV or hepatitis infection, lupus and thyroid disease), prematurity, small/large-for-gestational age, and maternal smoking during pregnancy. The baseline variables (e.g., maternal age, gestational age at delivery, birth weight, preeclampsia, and gestational diabetes) were comparable in ART and control fetuses. However, it remains uncertain whether the observed cardiac changes were influenced by some unknown confounding factors (e.g., vanishing twin syndrome). Finally, as a single-center cohort study with limited universality, the results should be extrapolated to the overall ART population with an appropriate degree of caution.

Conclusions
In summary, we found that fetuses conceived by ART experienced cardiac remodeling and LV dysfunction, although these cardiac alterations did not persist in early infancy. Furthermore, the fetal cardiac changes were comparable between ART subgroups. Decreased LV GLS and GLS rate S were both evident in fetuses conceived by various ART procedures. Future studies should include long-term follow-up of these cardiac changes in ART offspring, especially with regard to the lower LV GLS.
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