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Although alpha activity (10 Hz) is by far the strongest signal produced by the human brain, it has for decades been considered to reflect rest or idling. However, recent studies have clearly demonstrated that alpha activity plays a pivotal role for cognitive processing. Gamma oscillations (> 30 Hz) and their role for cognition have also been the subject of intensive research. While gamma activity is thought to reflect functional processing, alpha oscillations are now thought to reflect functional inhibition in order to suppress the processing of distracting information. In our recent magnetoencephalography study we found that both power and phase of posterior alpha oscillations are top-down modulated in order to prevent the incorporation of predictable distracters in working memory. We further discuss these results here. We additionally show that the processing of the distracters is clearly distinguishable from the processing of the items to be remembered. The former induced a weaker gamma power and evoked a higher alpha activity. The higher the evoked alpha activity, the better the efficiency of distracter suppression which also depends on the pre-distracter alpha power and phase adjustment. Altogether, these results emphasize the protecting role of alpha activity and its remarkable flexibility. This ability to inhibit distracter information is crucial in our complex environment, as illustrated by the difficulties encountered by patients suffering from attentional disorders.

Alpha activity has for decades been considered as a global signal reflecting cortical idling. This view has been challenged in recent years and has been supplanted by the idea that alpha activity plays a role in filtering incoming information. Covert attention tasks have provided the first evidence for this hypothesis. For instance, when participants covertly attend to the right hemifield, the left visual system is engaged and exhibits a relative decrease of alpha activity while an increase of alpha power is observed in the unengaged right hemisphere.1-4 Furthermore, several experiment have shown that high alpha power over task-irrelevant regions is important for the participants to perform optimally.5,6 Combined EEG and fMRI recordings have further showed that the Blood Oxygen Level Dependent (BOLD) signal is negatively correlated with alpha activity.7,8 Even more direct evidence arises from monkey recordings in the somatosensory system, showing a negative relation between alpha power and spiking rate.9 Finally, in line with its rhythmical nature, the level of excitability differs in the different phases of the alpha cycle. More specifically, the spiking and gamma (> 30 Hz) activities, as well as the visual perception and the probability of seeing a phosphene following a TMS stimulation over the posterior cortex, have been shown to be modulated by the phase of the alpha cycle.9-15 This phasic modulation, taken together with the inhibitory role of alpha activity, suggests a mechanism of “pulsed inhibition” in which the transmission of sensory input would be suppressed during parts of the alpha cycle.

In our recent magnetoencephalography (MEG) experiment, we further investigated the protective properties of alpha activity. We more specifically aimed at determining whether both alpha power and phase can adjust to suppress predictable (in time) distracting information in a modified Sternberg working memory task. In each trial, participants had to encode four letters and then determine whether the probe was similar to one of these letters. A distracter was presented during the retention interval, always at 1.1s after the last memory item. We found that posterior alpha power increases in anticipation of incoming distracters. Furthermore, the higher the alpha power before the distracter, the better the working memory performance. Strikingly, the alpha phase also adjusted prior to the distracter—and a failure to adjust impaired performance9 (see Fig. 1 for a summary of these results).

These results strongly emphasized the functional importance of alpha activity. In situations where distracting information can be anticipated, alpha power and phase are adjusted in order to ‘close the door’ of the visual systems just on time. In a previous study, the opposite mechanism has been found for posterior alpha power, i.e., a decrease, in anticipation of a relevant stimulus.20 We further found that alpha activity over the prefrontal cortex, more specifically the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, was coherent with the alpha activity over the posterior cortex. The implication is that the prefrontal cortex exerts top-down control of sensory
previously showed that fast reaction times trials exhibit a stronger alpha power and phase adjustment prior to the distracter, the difference in the distracter-induced gamma power between these two types of trials did not reach significance level ($p = 0.2$) probably due to a weak signal to noise ratio (only 30 trials in each condition).

The difference in alpha power induced by the distracter and the memory item was not significant. However, we observed a clear difference in the activity evoked by the memory item and the distracter. The frequency peak was in the theta band around 5 Hz for the memory item while the peak was around 9 Hz, i.e., in the alpha band, for the distracter (see Fig. 2B). Moreover, alpha activity evoked by the distracter was stronger for trials with good performance (i.e., with fast reaction times to the probe; see Figs. 2C and 4B of Bonnefond and Jensen). In future experiments, one could compare processing of predictable vs. non predictable distracters to determine whether the distracter-evoked activity observed here is generalizable to non-predictable distracters.

To conclude, we emphasized the functional inhibition role of alpha activity during anticipation and processing of a distracter. Without such a mechanism we would not be able to operate in a natural environment where distracting information needs to be ignored. Such difficulties are found in patients suffering from attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Investigating the dynamics of alpha activity in the kind of tasks we used in such patients might provide insight into the neuronal substrate of attentional disorders.

In addition, gamma activity seems to reflect the level of processing of the stimulus, being lower when the participant ignores the stimulus presented. We suggest that alpha activity reflects anticipative top-down modulation of excitability in posterior areas while gamma power reflects the interaction between this top-down modulation and the stimulus-driven activity. This interaction could be revealed by a cross-frequency coupling between the alpha phase and the gamma power. This question will be addressed in future experiments. The other burning question that needs to be addressed regards to what extent the mechanism of phase adjustment is general, i.e., whether it is expressed in other areas than visual areas and by other oscillation frequencies. Finally, it is essential to further investigate the mechanism behind the top-down control of (posterior) alpha activity in order to understand (1) its role in the routing of information by shutting down irrelevant areas and (2) how it operates (e.g., involvement of the thalamus).
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Figure 2. Functional signature of the distracter processing in the left occipitotemporal region of interest (see ref. 19). (A) Time-frequency representation of the gamma activity induced by the last memory item and the strong distracter. The gamma induced (60–90 Hz; 0.1–0.4 sec post-stimulus) by the distractor was weaker than the gamma induced by the last memory item (p < 0.05 as indicated by the *). For the purpose of visualization, the gamma observed here is baseline corrected (100 ms interval before the last memory item). The statistics have however been conducted on induced gamma activity not using a baseline. The arrows indicate the onset of the last memory item and of the distracter. (B) Time-frequency representation of the activity evoked by the last memory item and the strong distracter (baseline: 100 ms interval before the last memory item). The frequency peak of the evoked activity is significantly higher (9 Hz vs. 5 Hz) for the distracter than for the memory item (p < 0.05 as indicated by the *). (C) Time-frequency representation of the evoked activity when comparing fast and slow reaction times trials in the strong distracter condition. The white contour indicates the significant clusters obtained using a cluster-based non-parametric randomization. 
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