Analysis of Power Input of an In-Line Rotor-Stator Mixer for Viscoplastic Fluids
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Abstract: In this work, the power draw and shear profile of a novel in-line rotor-stator mixer were studied experimentally and the laminar flow regime was simulated. The power draw of the rotor-stator mixer was investigated experimentally using viscoplastic shear-thinning fluid and the results of the obtained power consumptions were verified through simulations. The power draw constant and Otto-Metzner coefficient were determined from the result of experimental data and through simulations. A new method is suggested for the determination of the Otto-Metzner coefficient for the Herschel–Bulkley model and the term efficiency is introduced. It was shown that the proposed method can be applied successfully for the prediction of the Otto-Metzner coefficient for the mixing of viscoplastic shear-thinning fluids. The effect of geometry and rotor speed on power consumption and shear rate profile in the investigated mixer is discussed from the results of the simulations. It was found that numerical methods are a convenient tool and can predict the power draw of the in-line rotor-stator mixer successfully.
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1. Introduction

Rotor-stator mixers are widely employed for the mixing of various fluids in the polymer, food, and pharmaceutical industries for the preparation of dispersions and homogenization or emulsification processes and can be used in the laminar and turbulent flow regimes and for batch or continuous (in-line) systems [1–5]. Rotor-stator mixers ensure a more efficient mixing process than conventionally used stirred vessels since the high rotor tip speed and a small clearance between the rotor and stator provide a high shear rate and energy dissipation rate, which improves the physical processes [1,6–8].

Regardless of the type of the mixer, the mixing of non-Newtonian fluids is usually carried out under the laminar flow regime due to the high viscosity of the non-Newtonian fluids. Poor mixing efficiency is considered in the laminar regime since the fluid flow is predominately caused by viscous force [9–12]. Particularly, the mixing of viscoplastic fluids results in the formation of the well-mixed region (caverns) in the vicinity of the impeller and dead zones next to the wall of the mixer and that leads to poor mixing. For an ideal mixing process, the flow (shear rate) created by impellers should be ensured in the large part of the fluid, and dead zones should be eliminated. The mixing is not feasible by conventional mixers particularly for the viscoplastic fluids [10,13,14]. Kowalski [15] indicated that the power consumption of an in-line rotor-stator mixer is the sum of the power required to rotate the rotor \( P_R \), the power of the flow of liquid in the gap \( P_L \), and mechanical losses \( P_M \) [7,15,16]. Cooke et al. [7] stated that the contribution of liquid flow term on the power consumption is negligible in the laminar regime at low rotational speeds of the rotor and Cheng et al. [17] pointed out that the pumping capacity of the in-line rotor-stator mixers is weak at a low rotational speed of the rotor.
Vial et al., Zhang et al., and Wu et al. [10,16,18] studied various in-line rotor-stator mixers in the laminar regime for non-Newtonian power-law and viscous Newtonian fluids through simulations. It was stated that numerical methods can predict the power draw constant and Metzner-Otto coefficient of the in-line rotor-stator mixers in the laminar regime successfully and it was indicated that Metzner-Otto coefficient is independent of fluids rheology and varies with geometrical ratios.

In this work, a novel in-line rotor-stator mixer with blades was investigated. The mixer was designed with the intent of providing an efficient mixing process particularly for the viscoplastic fluids with high yield stresses. The geometry of the mixer is shown in Figure 1. The designed mixer [19] consists of two serially connected mixing heads (assembly of rotor and stator [1]) placed in a cylindrical barrel. The stator has a cylindrical shape with a radius of 200 mm and the lateral surface of the stator is the wall of the barrel. Two 45° pitched blade impellers with a radius of 194 mm were employed as the rotor (blade) and were mounted on the same shaft. The vertical walls of the stator are composed of three discs, which can move in the axial direction; hence, the clearance in the axial direction ($c_a$) between the rotor and wall of the stator is adjustable depending on process requirements. Hollow discs are used at the inlet and outlet sections of the mixer and shaft of the mixer is located at the center of them. The disc in the middle section has four slots and the passage of fluid between heads are provided by those slots. The clearance in the radial direction ($c_r$) between the rotor and stator is 3 mm constant.

![Figure 1. Geometrical description of the in-line rotor-stator [19,20].](image)

The aim of the present study is the investigation of the power draw of the newly designed rotor-stator mixer. The investigation is performed by experiments under the laminar flow regime using viscoplastic fluid and for the various $c_a$ values. Then, experimentally obtained power draw values
are validated using commercial CFD codes ANSYS FLUENT 15. A new method is introduced for the determination of the Metzner-Otto coefficient. Finally, from the result of simulations, the flow field, and shear profile in the mixer is analyzed and the effect of geometry on the flow field and power draw is discussed.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Theory

For an in-line rotor-stator mixer, the total power draw is the sum of power created by the rotor \( P_R \), power of fluid flow \( P_L \) between the inlet and outlet of the mixing head of the mixer, and mechanical losses \( P_M \) as stated earlier. Except for the mechanical losses, the total power is \([7,11,16]\):

\[
P_T = P_R + P_L = P_R + \Delta p \dot{V}
\]  

(1)

In Equation (1), the term \( P_R \) corresponds to the power draw of a rotor in the stirred vessel \([7,11]\), and the power draw of a rotor in dimensionless form is defined by power number \( (Po) \), which is given as follows:

\[
Po = \frac{P_R}{\rho N^3 D^5}
\]  

(2)

Dividing each term in Equation (1) by \( \rho N^3 D^5 \), the total power number for an in-line rotor-stator mixer is \([8]\):

\[
Po = Po_R + kN_Q
\]  

(3)

where \( k \) is flow power constant and \( N_Q \) is the flow number:

\[
N_Q = \frac{\dot{V}}{ND^3}
\]  

(4)

In case of mixing in laminar regime, the effect of \( P_L \) is negligible \([6,16]\), hence, Equation (3) reduces to:

\[
Po = Po_R
\]  

(5)

In mixing calculations, \( Po \) is characterized by Reynolds number \( (Re) \). In the turbulent regime, \( Po \) is independent of the Reynolds number and in the laminar flow regime, the value of \( Po \) varies with \( Re \). The relationship between \( Po \) and \( Re \) in the laminar regime for Newtonian fluids is given by \([21]\):

\[
PoRe = \frac{P_R}{\mu N^2 D^3} = C
\]  

(6)

and the Reynolds number is:

\[
Re = \frac{\rho ND^2}{\mu}
\]  

(7)

In Equation (6), \( C \) is the power coefficient and is the only function of the type of the rotor. There is an inverse proportion between \( Po \) and \( Re \) in the laminar regime. For non-Newtonian fluids, the mixing Reynolds number is expressed using apparent viscosity \( (\eta_a) \) replacing the dynamic viscosity in Equations (6) and (7) \([21]\), which is the function of the shear rate. Apparent viscosities for power-law, Bingham, and Herschel–Bulkley models are given in Table 1. In the mixing calculations, apparent viscosity is expressed in terms of effective shear rate \( (\dot{\gamma}_{eff}) \) given by:

\[
\dot{\gamma}_{eff} = k_\alpha N
\]  

(8)
Table 1. Investigated rheological models and apparent viscosities.

| Rheological Model      | Apparent Viscosity                                      |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Power-law model        | $\eta_a = K(\dot{\gamma}_{eff})^{n-1}$                 |
| Bingham model          | $\eta_a = \frac{\tau_o}{\gamma_{eff}} + \mu_p$        |
| Herschel–Bulkley       | $\eta_a = \frac{\tau_o}{\gamma} + K(\dot{\gamma}_{eff})^{n-1}$ |

In Equation (8), $N$ is the rotational speed of the rotor and $k_s$ is Metzner-Otto coefficient. Usually, $k_s$ is regarded as the only function of the rotor and geometrical ratios of the mixing system [22,23]. Introducing effective shear rate, the Reynolds number can be written for the power-law model by Equation (9) [22]:

$$Re_{MO} = \frac{\rho ND^2}{\eta_a} = \frac{\rho N^{2-n}D^2}{K(k_s)^{n-1}}$$

where $Re_{MO}$ is the Reynolds number according to Metzner and Otto [22] and $Po – Re_{MO}$ relationship is:

$$Po = \frac{\rho N^{2-n}D^2}{K(k_s)^{n-1}} = C$$

Rieger and Novak [23] revisited $Po – Re_{MO}$ Equation (10) and they proposed a simpler method by suggesting a new Reynolds number, $Re_{RN}$:

$$Re_{RN} = \frac{\rho N^{2-n}D^2}{K}$$

Equation (11) can be written for $Re_{RN}$ as follows:

$$Po = \frac{\rho N^{2-n}D^2}{K} = Ck_s^{n-1} = C(n)$$

Equation (12) provides to calculate Reynolds number independently of $k_s$ and a very convenient method for the evaluation of Reynolds number, if $k_s$ value of the investigated mixer is unknown. According to the Rieger and Novak [23], log $C(n)$ versus $1–n$ curve is a linear and from the slope of this curve, $k_s$ can be determined easily.

Similarly, for Bingham fluids, $Po – Re_{MO}$ is given by:

$$Po = \frac{\rho ND^2}{\frac{\tau_o}{\gamma_N} + \mu_p} = C$$

According to Equation (13), $Re_{MO}$ for Bingham fluids is:

$$Re_{MO} = \frac{\rho ND^2}{\frac{\tau_o}{\gamma_N} + \mu_p}$$

Bertrand et al. [24] defined Reynolds number independently of $k_s$, similar to the approach of Rieger and Novak for Bingham plastic as follows:

$$Re_B = \frac{\rho ND^2}{\mu_p}$$

and introducing Bingham ($Bi$) number:

$$Bi = \frac{\tau_o}{N\mu_p}$$
Hence, $Po\text{-}Re_B$ relationship according to Equation (15) can be written as [24]:

$$PoRe_B = \frac{CBi}{k_s} + C \tag{17}$$

From Equation (17), the $Po$ is alternatively stated as follows:

$$Po = \frac{CBi}{Re_B k_s} + \frac{C}{Re_B} = Po_Y + Po_S \tag{18}$$

The first term on the right side of Equation (18) represents the power necessary to exceed the yield stress and initiating flow (yield power number, $Po_Y$), the second term indicates the power for the shear generated by the rotor (shear power number, $Po_S$) [24]. The Herschel–Bulkley model is a frequently used rheological model for viscoplastic shear-thinning fluids and again it introduces the apparent viscosity in terms of the effective shear rate. $Re_{MO}$ is written for the Herschel–Bulkley model as [14]:

$$Re_{MO} = \frac{\rho N^2 D^2 k_s}{\tau_0 + K(k_s N)^n} \tag{19}$$

and $Po\text{-}Re_{MO}$ relationship for the Herschel–Bulkley model:

$$Po = \frac{\rho N^2 D^2 k_s}{\tau_0 + K(k_s N)^n} = C \tag{20}$$

Equation (20) can be written more simply by introducing $Re_{RN}$ and can be expressed similarly to the method proposed by Bertrand et al. [24] such that:

$$PoRe_{RN} = \frac{C}{k_s} B^* + C(k_s)^{n-1} \tag{21}$$

where $B^*$ is the Bingham number for the Herschel–Bulkley model [14]:

$$B^* = \frac{\tau_0}{K(N)^n} \tag{22}$$

From Equation (21) it can be seen clearly that the plot of $PoRe_{RN}$ versus $B^*$ for a given fluid at various rotational speeds should represent a linear curve and the slope of that curve is equal to $C/k_s$. Hence, the $k_s$ value of the mixer can be calculated easily. Moreover, according to Equation (21), yield power number and shear power number is given by:

$$Po_Y = \frac{CBi^*}{Re_{RN} k_s} \tag{23}$$

$$Po_S = \frac{C(k_s)^{n-1}}{Re_{RN}} \tag{24}$$

For an efficient mixing process of viscoplastic fluids in the laminar regime, $Po_S$ should be high enough since mixing efficiency is proportional to the created shear in the mixer and indicated by $Po_S$. On the other hand, $Po_Y$ implies wasted power to overcome yield stress. Hence, the mixing process efficiency for the mixing of viscoplastic fluids in laminar regime can be defined as:

$$X = \frac{Po_S}{Po_Y + Po_S} = \frac{Po_S}{Po} \tag{25}$$

Moreover, it is obvious that the term efficiency increases with increasing values of the rotational speed of the rotor and $k_s$ values.
2.2. Experiment

The experiment was carried out primarily to measure the power consumption of the novel in-line rotor-stator mixer using viscoplastic fluids and experimental set-up is shown in Figure 2. The water solution of the bovine collagen with a mass fraction of 7.7% and density of 1100 kg/m³ was utilized as a test material. The rheological properties of the fluid were acquired by a capillary rheometer and rheological properties were found for the Herschel–Bulkley model as $\tau_0 = 4600$ Pa, $K = 420$ Pa·sⁿ, $n = 0.34$ [25]. Since the material possesses very high yield stress, a positive displacement pump (P-111) was used to discharge material from the reservoir (B-110) and feeding the mixer (M-112) with a mass flow rate of 0.1 kg/s (stream 1). The mass flow rate of the fluid was obtained from the hydraulic characteristics of the positive displacement pump. Processed material was collected in a reservoir (B-133) (stream 2). Rotation of the rotor was ensured by an electric motor with a maximum power of 5.5 kW and a maximum speed of 1000 RPM. The power draw of the rotor-stator mixer was obtained from the measured torque on the shaft ($T$) for $c_a$ values of 1 mm, 2 mm, and 3 mm and for the rotor speeds of 150, 300, and 500 RPM and the power was determined by Equation (26):

$$P = 2\pi NT$$

(26)

![Figure 2. Experimental set-up. Measured quantities: N—rotational speed, p—pressure, T—temperature, P—power.](image)

The impact of the rotor speed and axial clearance on the temperature variation of stirred fluid was examined since the tested fluid is a biomaterial and temperature increase by viscous heat dissipation that should be investigated. Therefore, the temperature of the fluid was measured at the inlet and outlet sections of the barrel. For keeping the temperature of the fluid in a certain range during the mixing process, mixing heads were surrounded by the cooling jacket, and iced water was used as a coolant (streams 3, 4). The fluid pressure was measured by a diaphragm manometer with a maximum capacity of 40 bar, which was placed at the inlet of the barrel and the outlet; the pressure of the fluid was considered to be a zero-gauge pressure.

2.3. Simulations

Three-dimensional numerical simulations were carried out to verify experimental data, for the determination of the power draw coefficient $C$ and shear profile within the mixing heads. For the simulations, unstructured grids were created. Especially, to capture velocity and shear profile successfully, fine tetrahedral mesh elements were generated at the vicinity of the rotor and in the clearance between rotor and stator. Grid independence analysis was performed for $c_a = 1$ mm
according to the method suggested by Celik et al. [26] and 2.8 million mesh elements were created (see Figure 3) for all investigated geometries.

The simulations of the in-line rotor-stator mixer were implemented for the steady-state flow case, for the laminar flow regime, and isothermal case. The rotation of the rotor has been modeled according to the multiple reference frame (MRF) method. The simulations have been performed for the Herschel–Bulkley, power-law models, and the Newtonian flow case. Simulations were conducted for the Herschel–Bulkley model with the rotor speed of 150, 300, and 500 RPM for \( c_d = 1 \) and \( c_d = 3 \) mm and 500 RPM for \( c_d = 2 \) mm. For the Newtonian case simulations, the viscosity of the fluid was chosen as 10 Pa·s and simulations were performed for the rotor speed ranged from 30 RPM to 150 RPM. For the power-law fluids, simulation is conducted for the rotor speed of 60 RPM using power-law fluids with flow indexes between 0.2 and 0.6.

Regarding boundary conditions, the inlet of the barrel is assigned as a mass flow inlet, and the outlet of the barrel is a pressure outlet. Shaft and rotor are selected as moving no-slip walls. The SIMPLE scheme was applied for the pressure-velocity coupling, and second-order pressure and second-order upwind velocity schemes were used. Convergence criteria for the continuity is below the \( 10^{-9} \) for the Herschel–Bulkley model and \( 10^{-7} \) for the power-law model and the Newtonian case.

3. Results and Discussions

The result of the experimentally measured power draw values is given in Figure 4. As seen from the Figure, the impact of \( c_d \) on the power draw of the rotor-stator mixer is almost negligible. The power draw of the mixer increases with the rotor speed and shows approximately a linear trend owing to the very high yield stress of the fluid.

![Figure 3. Created grids for the simulations (A)—overall view, (B)—Cross-sectional view.](image)

![Figure 4. Result of experimentally obtained power values.](image)
The effect of the rotor speed on temperature variation is given in Figure 5 and results indicate that there is an approximate linear proportion between the rotor speed and temperature increment, which is parallel with the power draw curve. The obtained maximum temperature difference was found at 8.2 °C for 500 RPM, which is at an acceptable level for investigated material. From the measurement of the pressure, the value of power consumption arising from flow of fluid between inlet and outlet of the barrel (\(P_L\)) was found as 46 W maximum which is much lower than \(P_R\), and this result confirms the suggestion by Cooke at al. [7].

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** The values of temperature gradients due to viscous energy dissipation.

The results of obtained power draw values through simulations of the investigated mixer and a comparison with experimental data is given in Figure 6. The deviation between numerically and experimentally obtained power draw values is less than 6% which is in an acceptable range.

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** Comparison of experimentally and numerically obtained power draw values.

The power draw constant \(C\) has been obtained for each \(c_a\) value by conducting simulations for the Newtonian case, in the laminar regime for the Reynolds numbers, ranged from 2 to 50. From the result of simulations, the acquired power number versus the Reynolds number curve is shown in Figure 7. A linear relationship exists between the power number and Reynolds number with a slope of −1. Determined \(C\) values are given in Figure 7.
Using the C values obtained from the simulations, the Metzner-Otto coefficient $k_s$ has been determined for the experimentally and numerically obtained power draw values, which are given in Figure 8. Determined $k_s$ values from the experimental data slightly deviate with rotor speed, whereas obtained $k_s$ values from the simulations are approximately constant and from Figure 8 one can conclude that $k_s$ can be considered as a function of geometry. Moreover, $k_s$ values alternatively have been determined from the slope of $\text{PoRe}_{RN}$ versus $\text{Bi}^*$ curves according to Equation (21), using obtained C values by simulating $k_s$ values that were calculated for $c_a$ values of 1 mm and 3 mm, since this method requires minimum power draw values for three speeds of the rotor-stator mixer. As seen in Figure 9, $\text{PoRe}_{RN}$ versus $\text{Bi}^*$ curves exhibit a linear characteristic with a coefficient of determination ($R^2$) greater than 0.99.
From the result of the simulation, $k_s$ values were found to be 84.4 and 61.8 for a $c_a$ of 1 mm and 3 mm, respectively, which are identical to the obtained results from the direct method given in Figure 9. On the other hand, from the experimental results, $k_s$ values were determined to be 73 and 53.4 for the axial clearances of 1 mm and 3 mm, respectively. There is a 9% deviation for $c_a = 3$ mm and 13% deviation for $c_a = 1$ mm, which shows reasonable agreement with the obtained value from the direct method.

In addition to the Herschel–Bulkley model, further simulations have been carried out for the power-law model with the flow indexes ranged from 0.2 to 0.6 to examine the effect of the rheological model on $k_s$ for the investigated rotor-stator mixer with $c_a$ value of the investigated mixture (12), acquired $C(n)$ versus $(1-n)$ curves are depicted in Figure 10. The results of the simulations indicate that evaluated $k_s$ values from the power-law model are approximately equal to the $k_s$ values obtained from the Herschel–Bulkley model and independent of the flow indexes, which confirms the conclusions of Vial et al., Zhang et al., and Wu et al. [10,16,18].

The suggested term efficiency $X$, defined in Equation (25), is analyzed from the acquired power draw values from the simulation and experiment for the Herschel–Bulkley model. A comparison is given in Table 2. As seen, the efficiency $X$ increases with the increasing speed of the rotor and decreases with descending values of the axial clearance. However, it is clear from the table that the clearance has a weak effect on the defined efficiency and significantly varies with rotor speed.
Table 2. \( P_{\alpha y}, P_{\alpha S}, \) and \( X \) values.

| \( c_a \) (mm) | \( N \) (Exp.) | \( P_o \) (Exp.) | \( P_{\alpha y} \) (Exp.) | \( P_{\alpha S} \) (Exp.) | \( X \) (Exp.) | \( P_o \) (CFD) | \( P_{\alpha y} \) (CFD) | \( P_{\alpha S} \) (CFD) | \( X \) (CFD) |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3               | 150             | 266              | 178.3            | 88.2             | 0.33            | 250.8           | 166.5           | 84.3            | 0.34            |
| 3               | 300             | 70.4             | 43.3             | 27.4             | 0.39            | 67.5            | 41.1            | 26.4            | 0.39            |
| 3               | 500             | 26.7             | 15.2             | 11.5             | 0.43            | 26.5            | 15.1            | 11.5            | 0.43            |
| 2               | 500             | 26.9             | 14.9             | 12.0             | 0.45            | 27.6            | 14.9            | 12.0            | 0.43            |
| 1               | 150             | 266.78           | 171.1            | 95.6             | 0.36            | 262.6           | 168.1           | 94.5            | 0.36            |
| 1               | 300             | 71.5             | 41.7             | 30.5             | 0.41            | 71.6            | 41.8            | 29.8            | 0.42            |
| 1               | 500             | 27.3             | 14.7             | 12.5             | 0.46            | 28.0            | 15.2            | 12.8            | 0.46            |

Generated shear by the rotor is one of the most important features of the rotor-stator mixers and required for the efficient mixing process. Hence, shear rate distribution in the midplanes between the rotor and stator is analyzed from the result of the simulation. The effect of \( c_a \) on the shear rate distribution was investigated. The created planes (A1, A2, A3, A4) in the vertical direction is depicted in Figure 11. From the result of the simulation, it was found that the obtained shear rate profiles in the mixing heads are approximately the same due to the symmetry; hence, only the results of mixing head 1 are given as a non-dimensional form by:

\[
\gamma^* = \frac{\gamma}{N}
\]

Figure 11. Location of investigated vertical planes.

The non-dimensional shear rate profiles are shown in Figure 12. From the curves, one can conclude that obtained dimensionless shear rate profiles are independent of rotor speed and affected only by geometry. It is also clear that fully sheared flow is ensured in the investigated planes and for the three rotational speeds of the rotors, there are no dead zones (the region \( 0 < r/R < 0.2 \) corresponds to the shaft of the mixer). The obtained non-dimensional shear rate (\( \gamma^* \)) values in the A2 plane are almost two times higher than in the A2 plane for \( 0.2 < r/R < 0.4 \) due to the effect of the inlet section, and the shear rate suddenly increases at the wall of the inlet section (\( r/R = 0.4 \)) in the A1 plane. The non-dimensional shear rate profiles are coincident for \( 0.4 < r/R < 0.8 \) in both planes. For \( r/R > 0.8 \), non-dimensional shear rate values are higher in plane A1 owing to the effect of the section in head 1, and the difference increases with the \( c_a \) value.
Variation of dimensionless shear rate concerning axial clearances of 1 mm, 2 mm, and 3 mm for \( N = 500 \) RPM is given in Figure 13. The curves indicate that decreasing \( c_a \) results in a higher shear profile and that provides a better mixing. From Figure 13, it can be deduced that the shear profile can be improved by changing the geometry between rotor and stator in the axial direction or the geometry of the inlet and outlet section. Especially the inlet and outlet sections give rise to decrease shear rates significantly, and therefore, a better shear profile can be acquired by decreasing the cross-sectional area of the inlet and outlet region.

In general, the created shear rate by the rotor is proportional to the power draw of the mixer. The dimensionless shear rate profiles indicate that \( c_a \) has a strong effect on shear rate, whereas the effect of \( c_a \) on the power draw, which is given in Figure 6, is almost negligible. Those results reveal that a big portion of the power is consumed to exceeding yield stresses and initiating flow. The result of the efficiency calculation proves that case.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the power draw and shear rate profile of a novel in-line rotor-stator mixer have been studied experimentally and through simulations. Experiments were conducted for the three rotational speed of the rotor and three axial clearances of the mixer using a viscoplastic shear-thinning fluid. From the obtained power draw values of the experiment, the effect of axial clearance and rotor speed on power consumption is discussed. From the measured pressure of the fluid, the contribution of the fluid flow on total power was calculated. It was found that the power arising from the flow of fluid has a negligible effect on the total power draw of the rotor-stator mixer.
Several simulations were carried out to verify the power consumption of the rotor-stator mixer for the Herschel–Bulkley model, and good agreements were obtained. Then, the power draw constant and $k_s$ were determined from the power draw values acquired from the experiment and simulations and a good agreement was found. Results of the simulations from the Herschel–Bulkley and power-law model indicate that $k_s$ is independent of the rheological model. Moreover, a new method has been proposed to determine $k_s$ for the Herschel–Bulkley model. From the result of the simulations, determined $k_s$ values from the direct and suggested methods are the same, but a maximum 13% deviation was found from the result of experimental power. The effect of axial clearances on $k_s$ and the dimensionless shear rate profile was studied. It was found that $k_s$ values and generated shear rate are inversely proportional to $c_a$ and the shear rate profile can be improved by decreasing clearances and geometric ratios. It was shown that fully sheared flow provided within the mixing heads for the examined rotor speed and power draw of the mixer significantly varied with the rotor speed owing to the effect of high yield stress.
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**Nomenclature**

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $C$    | Power coefficient (-) |
| $c_a$  | Clearance in the axial direction (m) |
| $c_r$  | Clearance in radial direction |
| $D$    | Diameter of the rotor (m) |
| $K$    | Consistency (Pa·s$^n$) |
| $k$    | Flow power constant |
| $k_s$  | Metzner-Otto coefficient (-) |
| $n$    | Flow index (-) |
| $N$    | Rotational speed (1/rev) |
| $P$    | Power (W) |
| $r$    | Radius (m) |
| $R$    | Radius of the rotor (m) |
| $\rho$ | Density (kg/m$^3$) |
| $\gamma$ | Shear rate (1/s) |
| $\gamma^*$ | Dimensionless shear rate |
| $\gamma_{eff}$ | Effective shear rate, given in Equation (2) |
| $\mu$ | Viscosity (Pa·s) |
| $\mu_a$ | Apparent viscosity (Pa·s) |
| $\tau_0$ | Yield stress (Pa) |
| $Bi$   | Bingham number for Bingham model (-) |
| $Bi^*$ | Bingham number for Herschel–Bulkley model (-) |
| $N_Q$ | Flow number (-) |
| $P_0$ | Power number (-) |
| $P_{0s}$ | Shear power number (-) |
| $P_{0y}$ | Yield power number (-) |
| $Re$  | Reynolds number (-) |
| $Re_{MO}$ | Reynolds number defined by Metzner and Otto (-) |
| $Re_{RN}$ | Reynolds number defined by Rieger and Novak (-) |
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