Small Proline-Rich Protein 2B Facilitates Gastric Adenocarcinoma Proliferation via MDM2-p53/p21 Signaling Pathway

Background: The small proline-rich protein 2B (SPRR2B) was firstly reported as a member of the cross-linked envelope protein in keratinocytes. The effect of SPRR2B in gastric adenocarcinoma (GC) remains unclear. This study initially explored the clinical significance of SPRR2B in GC patients as well as its role in tumor progression.

Methods: Immunohistochemistry was performed to characterize the expression of SPRR2B in GC tissues and adjacent tissues. The relationship between SPRR2B expression and clinicopathological features of GC patients was analyzed by Chi-square test. Kaplan-Meier method and Cox regression analyses were utilized to identify the prognostic factors of GC. Overexpression and knockdown assays were conducted to investigate possible signaling pathways downstream of SPRR2B. Flow cytometry assays were performed to evaluate cell cycle and apoptosis. Xenograft experiments were performed to validate tumor-related role of SPRR2B in vivo.

Results: Both mRNA and protein levels of SPRR2B in cancerous tissue were significantly higher than those in non-cancerous tissues. Meanwhile, SPRR2B expression was significantly associated with tumor size and tumor stage. Survival analysis revealed SPRR2B as one of the independent prognosis factors for overall survival of GC patients. Cellular and xenografts data implicated that silencing SPRR2B blocked the cell cycle of GC cells perhaps through MDM2-p53/p21-CDK1 pathway, while overexpressing SPRR2B exhibited opposite effects.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that SPRR2B may serve as a novel prognostic marker in GC, which functions at least partially by MDM2-p53/p21-CDK1 signaling pathway.
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Introduction

Gastric adenocarcinoma (GC) accounts for about 90% of stomach cancers and is characterized with poor prognosis. Current treatment strategies for GC patients include surgical resection, adjuvant chemotherapy, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and targeted therapy. Despite great achievements in GC treatment have been obtained during the past decades, the five-year overall survival for patients with advanced gastric cancer are far from satisfied (ranging from 8% to 20%). Therefore, it is still an urgent demand to further investigate GC progression mechanisms, identify effective prognostic biomarkers, as well as develop more alternative therapies.

The small proline rich protein (SPRR) gene family encodes a conserved group of cornified envelope (CE) proteins that are part of the human epidermal differentiation
complex (EDC). The formation of the cornified envelope during the late stages of epidermal differentiation is essential for epidermal barrier function and protects the body against environmental attack and water loss. There are three subclasses of SPRR gene family, including the SPRR1 (contains SPRR1A and SPRR1B), SPRR2 (contains SPRR2A-2K), and SPRR3. Although SPRRs were initially identified to play roles in keratocyte differentiation, its function in other cells has also been reported. For example, SPRR1 participates in tobacco smoke-induced squamous metaplasia in rat nasal epithelia. SPRR1 is also recognized as a stress-inducible cardioprotective protein. Besides, SPRR2 was reported to be an allergen- and IL-13-induced protein that is involved in gastrointestinal and bronchial inflammations. Both SPRR1 and SPRR2A are increased in the mucosal from patients with chronic rhinosinusitis, which is perhaps downstream of TNF alpha modulation and lead to epithelial barrier dysfunction.

Of note, SPRR proteins also participate in carcinogenesis and cancer progression. For example, SPRR2 is differentially affected by carcinogenic transformation in various squamous cell carcinomas. However, SPRR proteins seemed to play distinct roles in different cancer types. On one hand, SPRR1B and SPRR2A were reported to impair distant metastasis of squamous cell carcinomas cells, thus acting as anti-tumor proteins. On the other hand, expression levels of SPRR1, SPRR2E and SPRR3 were increased in lung squamous carcinoma, indicating their positive associations with lymph node metastasis. Similarly, SPRR3 promotes tumor cell proliferation in less advanced stages of breast cancer, which is associated with HER2/neu status. However, our knowledge about the expression and role of SPRRs in gastric cancer is limited.

Here we initially reported that SPRR2B was highly expressed and was an independent predictor of poor prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma. We also revealed that SPRR2B can facilitate the cell cycle of GC cells and enhance xenograft growth, thus highlighting the potential significance of targeting SPRR2B in cancer treatment.

Materials and Methods
Patients and Samples
This study was conducted ethically in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Third Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University Ethic Committee. Here we enrolled two independent retrospective cohorts. The first cohort was only used for RT-qPCR analyses and contained 32 paired fresh-frozen GC samples and adjacent noncancerous samples. The second cohort was used for IHC detection and survival analyses, comprising 128 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) GC samples from patients whose follow-up data were intact. All diagnoses were based on histopathological tests after tumor resection. All participants in the two cohorts signed the written informed consent documents.

RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR
We firstly extracted mRNA from fresh-frozen tissues following the standard manufacturer’s procedure. Then, isolated mRNA was used for reverse transcription using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). After reverse transcription, the cDNA products were used for RT-qPCR assay using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. We choose GAPDH as endogenous reference gene, and qPCR primers were as follows:

- SPRR2B-Forward: 5'-CCCACCCTGCAGCAGCAAAGTA-3'
- SPRR2B-Reverse: 5'-CATGCCCAAGTGAAGACAGACA-3'
- GAPDH-Forward: 5'-GTCTCCTGTCAACTACAAGGAGCCG-3'
- GAPDH-Reverse: 5'-ACCACCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAA-3'

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) Staining
IHC staining was performed to evaluate SPRR2B protein level in human tissues following the manufacturer instructions. Sample slides were sectioned at 8 μm. Slide sections were next dried and deparaffinized, then taken for antigen retrieval within citrate buffer. Then, slide sections were blocked and incubated with the primary SPRR2B antibody at 4°C overnight. Finally, the slide sections were washed and incubated with secondary antibody and DAB substrates were utilized to detect immunoreactivities. Negative control was conducted by using PBS instead of primary antibody.

Evaluation of IHC Staining
The IHC staining results were scored by two pathologists independently. IHC staining intensity was scored as: 1 (negative), 2 (weak staining, slight yellow), 3 (moderate
staining, dark yellow), and 4 (strong staining, dark brown). The proportion of positive cells was scored as: 1 (0–25%); 2 (25–50%); 3 (50–75%); 4 (75–100%). Finally, the total score of IHC staining was calculated by multiplying these two scores above (ranging 1–16).

**Cell Culture and Transfection**

Human gastric epithelium GES-1 cell line was purchased from the Shanghai Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai, China) and kept in DMEM medium. AGS cell line was obtained from ATCC and kept in F-12K medium. MKN28 and MKN45 cells were obtained from ATCC and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium. All medium was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics.

Human SPRR2B-shRNA (sc-88,442-SH) and control-shRNA (sc-108,060) plasmids were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. MKN45 cells were transfected with shRNA plasmids using shRNA Plasmid Transfection Reagent (sc-108,061, Santa Cruz). Transfected cells were selected by using puromycin. Specific siRNAs targeting MDM2 (sc-29,394) and SPRR2B (sc-88,442) were used for transient transfection, using non-specific scramble siRNA (sc-37,007) as negative control. For overexpression assays, pcDNA3.1-vector, pcDNA3.1-SPRR2B, and pcDNA3.1-SPRR2B-optimized plasmids were synthesized by Gene Pharma (Shanghai, China). The pcDNA3.1-SPRR2B-optimized (pcDNA-SPRR2B<sup>opt</sup>) construct was generated by mutating the SPRR2B-siRNA targeted region of wild type SPRR2B and was used for rescue experiments.

**Protein Extraction and Western Blot**

Transfected or non-transfected cells were cultured for 48–72 hours and then harvested with cold NP-40 lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors. Lysates were collected and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 20 min to obtain the supernatants. After quantification using a BCA kit (Pierce, Pittsburgh, PA), proteins were denatured and resolved by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and then transferred onto the NC membrane for immunoblotting. The NC membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk and then incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Finally, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were added to incubate for another 1 h at room temperature followed by signal detection using ECL substrate solution and X-ray films.

**Cell Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Assays**

The apoptosis assay was conducted as we previously described. Briefly, transfected cells were trypsinized and collected by centrifugation, then resuspended in 500 μL of buffer and incubated with Annexin V-FITC/PI kit for 15 min. The apoptotic rate was detected using a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences). Each experiment was performed for three times.

The flow cytometry strategy was also used to test cell cycle as previously described. Briefly, cells were trypsinized and collected by centrifugation, and then cells were incubated with propidium iodide (PI) stain buffer for 10 min and then treated with 200 μL of PBS, 200 μL of RNase A and 200 μL of PI for another 10 min at 4°C in dark. Proportions of cells in each of the cell cycle phases were analyzed using the flow cytometer. Each experiment was performed three times.

**Xenografts Assay**

The xenograft animal experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of our hospital and performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th edition). 5×10<sup>5</sup> MKN45 stably transfected cells were subcutaneously injected into the flank of the male BALB/c nude mice at 4–5 weeks old (approximately 20–22g, randomly grouped). The tumor size in mice was measured by a vernier caliper every 5 days for 3 weeks, and the tumor volume was calculated by the following formula: (π x length x width<sup>2</sup>) / 6. At 21 days after injection, all mice were sacrificed, the tumor tissues were isolated and weighed.

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 22.0 Software. The associations between SPRR2B protein level and clinical characteristics were evaluated through Chi-square test. Kaplan–Meier analysis and Log rank test were used to plot and analyze the overall survival curves of enrolled GC patients. Independent prognostic factors were identified by using multivariate Cox regression model. Student’s t-test and One-way ANOVA test were conducted to compare the differences between groups for in vitro and in vivo experiments. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patients’ Characteristics**

Among the 32 cases in the first cohort, which was only used for RT-qPCR analysis, there were: 19 males and 13
females; 16 T1-T2 stages and 16 T3-T4 stages; 5 TNM stage I, 16 TNM stage II, and 11 TNM stage III.

Among the 128 cases in the second cohort, which was used for IHC test and survival analysis, there were 46 females and 82 males (Table 1). Tumors were located in the upper 1/3 gastric for 26 cases, middle 1/3 for 47 cases, and lower 1/3 for the other 55 cases. Most tumor size was smaller than or equal to 5.0 cm in diameter (79 cases), while the other 49 cases with tumor size larger than 5.0 cm. As for histological grade, poor differentiation tumors were characterized for 61 cases, moderate differentiation for 51 cases, and only 15 cases with well differentiation grade. Besides, 76 tumors were classified with T1-T2 infiltration stage, while 52 cases with T3-T4 stage according to the AJCC cancer staging system (8th edition). Consistently, 80 cases were pathologically staged with TNM stage I–II, and 48 cases with TNM stage III. The median follow-up time was 42 months (ranging 5–79 months) for these 128 cases, with a 5-year overall survival rate at 45.4%.

**SPRR2B is Upregulated in Gastric Adenocarcinoma on Both mRNA and Protein Levels**

To explore the expression pattern of SPRR2B in GC, we initially tested the mRNA levels of SPRR2B in 32 pairs of fresh GC samples together with their adjacent gastric tissues using RT-qPCR method. As a result, SPRR2B-mRNA level was substantially higher in GC compared with adjacent noncancerous gastric tissues (Figure 1A, 3.93 folds, P<0.001).

Moreover, we tested the SPRR2B protein level in another 128 GC samples by IHC immunostaining, which showed its predominantly cytosol and membrane localization (Figure 1B and C). Consistent with RT-qPCR results, IHC data demonstrated that the protein level of SPRR2B was significantly up-regulated in some GC samples compared with adjacent noncancerous samples. Therefore, we sub-grouped these 128 patients into high-SPRR2B group (IHC score ≥5) and low-SPRR2B group (IHC score <5) according to the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (Figure 1D, P<0.001).

**SPRR2B is Associated with Clinical Characteristics of Gastric Adenocarcinoma Patients**

Chi-square test was next conducted to assess the clinical significance of SPRR2B in GC (Table 1). Accordingly, patients with larger tumor size (Figure 1E, P=0.008), advanced T stage (Figure 1F, P<0.001), or advanced TNM stage (Figure 1G, P=0.025) exhibited higher SPRR2B protein levels. In contrast, neither tumor localization nor tumor differentiation showed significant association with SPRR2B level (both P>0.05).

**High SPRR2B Predicts Unfavorable Overall Survival of GC Patients**

To further investigate the prognostic role of SPRR2B in GC patients, we performed Kaplan–Meier analysis together with Log rank test (Figure 2, Table S1). Of note, GC patients with higher SPRR2B level showed poorer overall survival (mean 38.7 ± 2.7 months) compared to those with lower or negative SPRR2B expression (mean 58.6 ± 2.7 months, Figure 2A). Besides, tumor size

### Table 1 Correlations Between SPRR2B Expression with Patients’ Characteristics

| Characteristics        | Cases (n=128) | SPRR2B Level | P value |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                        | Low (n=73)   | High (n=55)  |         |
| **Sex**                |              |              |         |
| Female                 | 46           | 29           | 17      | 0.303 |
| Male                   | 82           | 44           | 38      |       |
| **Age**                |              |              |         |
| ≤55 yrs                | 44           | 26           | 18      | 0.733 |
| >55 yrs                | 84           | 47           | 37      |       |
| **Localization**       |              |              |         |
| Upper 1/3              | 26           | 14           | 12      | 0.636 |
| Middle 1/3             | 47           | 25           | 22      |       |
| Lower 1/3              | 55           | 34           | 21      |       |
| **Tumor size**         |              |              |         |
| ≤5.0 cm                | 79           | 53           | 26      | 0.004*|
| >5.0 cm                | 49           | 20           | 29      |       |
| **T stage**            |              |              |         |
| T1/T2                  | 76           | 52           | 24      | 0.002*|
| T3/T4                  | 52           | 21           | 31      |       |
| **Differentiation**    |              |              |         |
| Well                   | 15           | 10           | 5       | 0.675 |
| Moderate               | 52           | 28           | 24      |       |
| Poor                   | 61           | 35           | 26      |       |
| **TNM stage**          |              |              |         |
| Stage I–II             | 80           | 55           | 25      | 0.001*|
| Stage III              | 48           | 18           | 30      |       |

**Note:** *Indicates P<0.05 by Chi-square test.
Figure 1 SPRR2B is highly expressed in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and correlated with tumor progression. (A) The mRNA level of SPRR2B was tested by RT-qPCR method, which revealed a higher SPRR2B-mRNA level in gastric cancer tissues than that in adjacent tissues (P<0.001). (B) Representative negative expression of SPRR2B in clinical gastric cancer specimen. (C) Representative high SPRR2B expression in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues, showing its predominantly location in cytosol and membrane. (D) ROC curve identified IHC score =5 as the cut-off value to distinguish high-SPRR2B expression and low-SPRR2B expression. Patients with larger tumor size (E), advanced T stage (F), or advanced TNM stage (G) showed higher SPRR2B expression levels. Data was exhibited as mean ± SD. *Indicates P<0.05 by Student’s t-test.
Figure 2. Overall survival of gastric adenocarcinoma patients by Kaplan-Meier test. The overall survival curves were plotted according to different clinicopathological characteristics, including SPRR2B expression level (A), sex (B), age (C), tumor localization (D), tumor size (E), T stage (F), tumor differentiation (G), and TNM stage (H). *Indicates $P<0.05$ by Log rank test.
was identified as another unfavorable prognostic factor (P=0.033). As expected, either advanced T stage or TNM stage was also negatively correlated with patients’ overall survival time (P=0.026 and P<0.001, respectively).

We then subjected these significant risk factors above into a Cox regression model for multivariate analysis (Table 2). As a result, TNM stage was identified as an independent unfavorable prognostic factor in GC patients (HR=2.419, 95% CI=1.118–5.233, P=0.025). Moreover, our data revealed SPRR2B as a novel independent prognostic biomarker in GC for the first time (HR=2.246, 95% CI=1.192–4.230, P=0.012), further highlighting its clinical significance.

Table 2 Multivariate Analysis

| Clinicopathologic Variables | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI       | P value |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Tumor size (>5.0 cm vs ≤5.0 cm) | 0.685        | 0.136–3.463 | 0.647   |
| T stage (T3/T4 vs T1/T2)    | 1.353        | 0.306–5.971 | 0.690   |
| TNM stage (Stage III vs Stage I–II) | 2.419        | 1.118–5.233 | 0.025*   |
| SPRR2B (high vs low)        | 2.246        | 1.192–4.230 | 0.012*   |

Note: *Indicates P<0.05 by Cox-regression test.

SPRR2B Promotes Cell Cycle by MDM2 Downstream Signaling Pathways

By comparing the expression level of SPRR2B in nontumorous GES-1 cells and several gastric adenocarcinoma cell lines (AGS, MKN28, MKN45), we found that SPRR2B protein was upregulated in gastric cancer cells (Figure 3A), which was consistent with immunohistochemistry results of clinical specimens. We were also interested to further explore possible signaling pathways downstream of SPRR2B in modulating malignancy progression. Literature research reminded us that SPRR2B had crosstalk with MDM2/p53 pathway in fibroblast proliferation during heart failure.24 Considering the involvement of MDM2/p53 in tumor development, we next focused on this signaling pathway. Silencing SPRR2B can significantly downregulate MDM2 and CDK1 levels while upregulate p53 and p21 protein levels in MKN45 cells (Figure 3B). However, these alterations can be rescued by re-expressing the modified SPRR2B plasmids (SPRR2B<sup>opt</sup>). Transfected cells were then subjected to apoptosis test (Figure 3C). Accordingly, neither the early apoptosis nor late apoptosis process was significantly affected by SPRR2B-knockdown. Nevertheless, cell cycle tests showed a blockage of cell cycle in SPRR2B-siRNA transfected cells, which can be rescued by over-expressing SPRR2B<sup>opt</sup> (Figure 3D). The changes in cell cycle were consistent with CDK1 level alterations in Figure 2B.

In addition, we overexpressed wild type SPRR2B and showed its positive effect on upregulating CDK1 level (Figure 3E). Simultaneously silencing MDM2 can abolish the alterations of p53, p21, and CDK1 proteins, indicating SPRR2B may function in a MDM2-dependent manner. On one hand, overexpressing SPRR2B showed no significant effect on apoptosis process (Figure 3F). On the other hand, overexpressing SPRR2B facilitated MKN45 cells enter the G2/M phase of cell cycle, while silencing MDM2 abolished this effect (Figure 3G). Therefore, we hypothesized that SPRR2B may promote tumor proliferation at least partially through MDM2-p53/p21-CDK1 signaling pathway (Figure 3G).

SPRR2B Facilitates Gastric Adenocarcinoma Growth in vivo

To further explore the effect of SPRR2B on tumor growth in vivo, xenograft nude mice model was established using stably transfected MKN45 cells. Mice were randomly divided into four groups and injected with transfected cells, and then the weight of each mouse was monitored every five days (Figure 4A and B). The xenograft growth curves showed that knockdown of SPRR2B led to a significant decrease in tumor proliferation compared to the scramble-shRNA group (Figure 4C). In contrast, over-expressing SPRR2B significantly enhanced the growth of xenografts (Figure 4D). Consistent with growth curves, the weight of xenografts was also smaller in SPRR2B-shRNA group than that of control group, while SPRR2B-overexpression exhibited an opposite effect (Figure 4E–H). Taken together, our results indicated that SPRR2B can positively regulate tumor growth in vivo.

Discussion

Here we firstly showed that SPRR2B is highly expressed in gastric adenocarcinoma from both mRNA and protein levels. According to our data, higher SPRR2B is positively correlated with larger tumor size and advanced TNM stage, suggesting its role in tumor progression. The participation of SPRR2B in gastric cancer is consistent with previous studies on that other SPRRs may also modulate
SPRR2B modulates MDM2-p53/p21 pathway and promotes gastric cancer cell cycle. (A) Protein level of SPRR2B was tested by immunoblotting in distinct cell lines, including nontumorous GES-1 cell line and gastric adenocarcinoma cell lines (AGS, MKN28, MKN45). (B) Knockdown assay was conducted by transfecting MKN45 with SPRR2B-siRNA, using scramble-siRNA as control. Rescue experiment was achieved by transfecting the cells with modified SPRR2B plasmids (pcDNA-SPRR2B°). Immunoblotting results showed decreased expression of MDM2 and CDK1 in SPRR2B-knockdown cells while increased expression of p53 and p21. Re-expressing SPRR2B° can abolish the effects of SPRR2B-siRNA. (C) Apoptosis assay by flow cytometry showed no statistically significant difference among three groups. (D) Flow cytometry tests confirmed the blockage effect of SPRR2B-siRNA on gastric cancer cell cycle, while transfecting SPRR2B° (rescue group) help regain the cell cycle. (E) The downstream protein levels were tested after overexpressing SPRR2B in MKN45 cells. (F) Apoptosis assay indicated that SPRR2B has no significant effect on apoptosis. (G) Overexpressing SPRR2B facilitated cells enter G2/M cell cycle phase, while silencing MDM2 abolished this effect. (H) We hypothesized that SPRR2B promotes tumor cell proliferation perhaps through MDM2-p53/p21-CDK1 signaling pathway. Data was exhibited as mean ± SD. *Indicates P<0.05 by Student’s t-test.
Figure 4 SPRR2B facilitates tumor growth in vivo. MKN45 cells were stably transfected with SPRR2B-shRNA, scramble-shRNA, pcDNA3.1-vector, or pcDNA3.1-SPRR2B. Then cells were collected and subcutaneously injected into nude mice. (A and B) The body weight of each mouse was recorded after tumor cell injection, which showed no statistically significant difference. (C and D) Tumor volumes were measured every five days and calculated as described in the Method section, which revealed that SPRR2B-shRNA significantly attenuated tumor growth while overexpressing SPRR2B exerted opposite effects. Three weeks later, xenografts were isolated for photographing (E and F) and weighting (G and H). Data was exhibited as mean ± SD. *Indicates P<0.05 by Student’s t-test.
malignancy progression, such as SPRR1A, SPRR1B, SPRR2A, and SPRR3. However, SPRRs can serve as either tumor suppressors or oncoproteins in different malignancies. Therefore, we suggest that SPRRs may play completely different functions in distinct cell types. Here we focused on gastric adenocarcinoma cells and demonstrated that SPRR2B can enhance cell proliferation capacity. According to the immunoblotting data, silencing SPRR2B can downregulate the MDM2 protein, a E3 ligase upstream of p53 tumor suppression, subsequently impair p53 and p21 degradation. Considering the critical role of p53/p21 in cell proliferation, we proposed that SPRR2B may promote gastric cancer proliferation at least partially through MDM2-p53/p21 signaling pathway, which was later validated by rescue experiments. Our data is consistent with its role in driving stress-dependent p53 degradation and fibroblast proliferation in heart failure. Similarly, overexpressing SPRR3 led to increased MDM2 activity and decreased the p53 protein level in breast cancer cells, which is downstream of AKT and MAPK pathways. Intriguingly, SPRR2A was reported to enhance p53 deacetylation and downregulates p21 promoter activity in epithelial cells, which is completely opposite to the role of SPRR2B in gastric adenocarcinoma or heart fibroblast. Therefore, the multifaceted roles of SPRRs in biological processes need further systematic elucidation.

There are several limitations in our study that can be further improved in following studies. Firstly, all clinical specimens were collected from a single medical center and thus may lead to regional bias. A multi-center cooperation would hopefully help validate our major conclusions. Secondly, the more detailed mechanism of how SPRR2B promote gastric cancer proliferation is not fully demonstrated. We suggest that cell cycle is involved according to flow cytometry results and the consistent alteration of checkpoint protein CDK1. This study is mainly focused on revealing clinical significance of SPRR2B in gastric cancer, further studies would be necessary to systematically investigate the underlying molecular signaling pathways to provide more evidence for novel therapy development.

**Conclusion**

Our results demonstrated that the expression level of SPRR2B was elevated in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and significantly correlated with poor overall survival of gastric cancer patients. SPRR2B promotes gastric cancer cell proliferation both in vitro and in vivo, which may at least partially through MDM2-p53/p21 signaling pathway.
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