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Abstract

Background: Antenatal care (ANC) is the service given by the caregiver for pregnant women to make safe the health of both pregnant women and babies during the pregnancy period. The objective of the current study was to persuade high-quality public health service and plan the mothers and their households expressively and mentally for being motherhood by ever-increasing antenatal care visits from health institutions.

Methods: Community-based cross-sectional study design was applied. Cross-sectional survey design mainly used for the collection of information on the Utilization of Antenatal Care Visit of Women During Pregnancy and its Related Factors in Bench-Sheko Zone, South Nation Nationalities Peoples of Region, Southwestern Ethiopia: Cross-sectional study. The study populations are all populations who are living in the study area, South Nation Nationalities peoples of Region, Southwestern Ethiopia.

Results: Evidence tells us, one hundred twenty-nine (16.9%) of pregnant women were not visited by the caregiver during pregnancy. Around eighty-three percent of pregnant women were visited in health institutions at least once prior in the five years in the survey. The Poisson regression model was preferred to fit the data. As the output indicated in analysis, the odds ratio of women whose husband education status is illiterate is equal to \( \exp(-0.272) = 0.76 \) (95% CI: -0.507, -0.038) (other variables are adjusted), it indicates that the women whose husband education status is 0.76 less likely to ANC visit than women whose husband education status is higher and above.

Conclusions: The remark conclusion that the source of information, religion, educational status, birth order, knowledge of danger signs for pregnancy, and service satisfaction were significant at the alpha level of significance on the ANC visit of Women during pregnancy.
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Antenatal care is among the maternal care services provided to pregnant women to reduce pregnancy complications. The authors of this study aimed to assess the antenatal care visit utilization of child-bearing mothers having a child five years preceding in the survey and associated factors in Bench-Sheko Zone.

The questionnaires were prepared and validated by researchers for collecting information from participants to assess the number of antenatal care visits of child-bearing mothers. The pregnancy complication will be reduced if the number of antenatal care visits in health service is increased. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), any healthy pregnant women attend at least four number of ANC visits. However, women with health complications are recommended for more ANC visits in health institutions. The aim of this study was to evaluate how many ANC visits in health institutions during the pregnancy period.

Out of 764 participants, 83.1% had attended ANC visit at least one in health institutions, and 16.9% of participants had not attended any health institutions.

In conclusion, the ANC visit of child-bearing mothers during pregnancy in the study found lower, and this information can be used as input for both policymakers and health professionals to design a better way in providing ANC visits and improve maternal health care.
Background

Antenatal care (ANC) is the service given by the caregiver for pregnant women to make safe the health of both pregnant women and babies during the pregnancy period [1]. A significant antenatal care visit of pregnant women is a significant incident to persuade high-quality health outcomes and plan women on their households meaningfully and mentally for being motherhood by ever-increasing antenatal care visits from either any clinics or hospitals [2]. Ninety-eight percent of pregnant mothers had received antenatal care visits at least one in developed countries, and sixty-eight percent of women had attended ANC visits in developing countries [3]. A deficiency in pertinent ANC visits is the main issue in sub-Saharan Africa [4].

In Sub-Saharan Africa including Ethiopia, most pregnant mothers did not start to attend ANC visits in the first trimester even if most pregnancy history screen out in this stage, however, they took antenatal care visit at the last two trimesters (two and second trimesters) [5]. Some factors may be hinder not taking ANC visits in the first trimesters like the education status of women and family [6], and the most important demographic factor and age are very critical for any issue regarding pregnancy complication [7].

In many work of literature, and according to the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation, pregnant women should attend ANC visit in a minimum of four times during pregnancy until giving for a healthy pregnancy [8]. The number of ANC visits may decrease or increase depending upon the health status of women as well as the prevalence of risks. Hence, healthy pregnant women will attend ANC visits three times, two times and one time. In this regard, the dependent variable (count) measured in count form, and the model (Poisson regression model) should be mandatory to make sound or a representative decision to avoid misleading conclusions and recommendations [9].

The previous study was done in Sheka, Bench-Maji, and Kaffa zones showed that the prevalence of childbearing mothers attend ANC visit service utilization is very high compare to women those never ANC visit utilized in their last pregnancy period [9]. An antenatal care visit is the most anticipation strategy that makes it possible to reduce women and baby mortality. Communicable and no communicable diseases expected to be managed and identified during
ANC visit utilization [10]. Thus, the study was aimed to determine the number of ANC visit utilization women during pregnancy period in Bench-Sheko zone, Southwestern Ethiopia.

Methods

The data collected by the data collectors from Bench-Sheko Zone’s kebeles. Bench- Maji Zone now it is called Bench–Sheko Zone. Bench–Sheko Zone is one of the zones in South, Nation, Nationality Peoples of Region. It has mount Guraferda and Omo National park. The main crops are Maize, Godere, Enset, Sorghum, spices; different fruits, coffee, and honey are common in the zone[11]. The sample size calculated using a single population proportion formula based on the following assumptions: Forty-four of the respondents started their ANC within the recommended time, ninety-five percent of the confidence interval, five percent of margin of error, and design effect considered, and seven hundred sixty-four participants have participated.

Study Variables

The dependent variable is antenatal care visits of child-bearing mothers having a child five years preceding in the survey. Independent variables are as follows: residents, mother’s level of education, husband’s level of education, Marital status, Religion, distance in a kilometer, service satisfactory, birth order, mother’s occupation, husband’s occupation, age of mothers, mother’s Knowledge of danger sign of pregnancy complication, the problem of transportation, information source, and mother’s age at first pregnancy. The dependent variable is antenatal care visits of child-bearing mothers having a child five years preceding in the survey.

Statistical Methods

Descriptive statistics were computed to the characteristics of the respondents using percentage, mean, and standard deviation.

Poisson Regression Model is the model that fits the count response that follows the Poisson distribution. The value of the dependent variable is counted as 0,1,2,...........and the assumption of a model is equality of mean and variance(variance greater than mean). The negative binomial regression model allows overdispersion that accounts for unobserved heterogeneity that comes from observation i[12]. Thus, Poisson and Negative binomial regression modes can be
employed to determine the significant contribution of factors on ANC visits of women during pregnancy in the Benchi-Sheko Zone, Ethiopia.

The poisson density function is given by:

\[ p(Y = y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}, y=0,1,2,3,... \] (1)

Where, \( \lambda \) is parameter, \( y \) is variable. 

\[ E(Y = y) = \text{var}(Y = y) \] (1.1)

Let \( x_i, \lambda_i, \beta_i \) are \( k \)- independent variables, rate or mean and parameter respectively. Exponentially expressed in poisson regression:

\[ \lambda_i = e^{(x_i \beta_i)} \] (1.2)

Given (1) and (1.2) and the assumption that the observations \( y_i/x_i \) are independent, the most natural estimator is maximum likelihood (ML). The log-likelihood function is

\[ \ln L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ y_i x_i - e^{(x_i \beta)} \right\} \] ....... ....... 1.3

The Poisson MLE, denoted \( \hat{\beta} \), is the solution to \( k \) nonlinear equations corresponding to the First-order condition for maximum likelihood,

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ y_i - e^{(x_i \beta)} \right\} x_i = 0 \] ....... ....... ....... ....... 1.4

By the standard maximum likelihood theory of correctly specified models, the estimator \( \hat{\beta} \) is consistent for \( \beta \) and asymptotically normal with the sample covariance matrix [9].

**Negative Binomial Regression Model**

The negative binomial regression model was of the form [13];

\[ Y_i = 0,1,2,... \text{ with the probability } \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+Y_i}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}\right)(\alpha+Y_i+1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \left(\frac{1+\alpha \lambda_i}{\alpha+\lambda_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} Y_i \] ..... ....... 1.5

\[ \lambda_i = e^{\beta_i x_i} \] ..... ....... 1.6

Where \( x_i \) is the \( i \)th covariate and \( \beta_i \) is the regression coefficient of logit model.

**Model Selection Criteria**

Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the Basic information criterion (BIC) used to judge the performance of the model. Smaller the AIC and BIC value, the best model. [14].

**Parametric Estimation**

The parameter estimators were estimated by maximum likelihood function and obtained by partial derivatives equal to zero [15].
Model Comparison Criterion
The different models can be compared as they were estimated by likelihood function. And its performance of different models can be compared by Akkalian and Bayesian information criteria. The smallest akkalian and bayesian information is the better one. It is given by $AIC = -2l + 2p$, where $l$ denotes the log likelihood evaluated for estimated parameters $\lambda$ and $p$. For this measure, the smaller the AIC, the better the model [16].

Results
Seven hundred sixty-four mothers had given birth within five years before the survey. Table 1 shows that the women residing in rural residents (50.8%) and urban area (49.2%); women with single (0.3%), women with married (84.6%), women with divorced(10.6%), women with widowed (4.2%), women with Orthodox(38.9%), women with Catholics (3.4%), women with Muslim(15.6%), women with protestant(38.2%) and women with tradition (3.9%) had attended at health institutions during the pregnancy period.
Concerning information source, women who gained information about the antenatal care visit from radio service, health workers, traditional delivers, relatives, partners, and others are seven(0.6%), six hundred eighty-seven (97.7%), thirteen (0.2%), eleven (0.4%), and one (0.1%) respectively had attended antenatal care visits service from nearest health institutions.
Table 1. Summary of Socio-demographic Factors on the Average Antenatal Care Visit Utilization of Women in Benchi–Sheko Zone

| Variables      | Categories   | N   | %    | Average | Std.deviation |
|----------------|--------------|-----|------|---------|---------------|
| Residence      | Urban        | 461 | 50.8 | 2.99    | 2.267         |
|                | Rural        | 303 | 49.2 | 4.11    | 1.071         |
|                | Single       | 3   | 0.3  | 2.67    | 3.055         |
| Marital status | Married      | 643 | 84.6 | 3.33    | 2.044         |
|                | Divorced     | 79  | 10.9 | 3.49    | 1.376         |
|                | Windowed     | 39  | 4.2  | 2.72    | 2.051         |
| Religions      | Orthodox     | 276 | 38.9 | 3.57    | 1.862         |
|                | Catholic     | 22  | 3.4  | 3.95    | 1.253         |
|                | Muslim       | 105 | 15.6 | 3.76    | 1.644         |
|                | Protestant   | 296 | 38.2 | 3.27    | 2.101         |
|                | Traditional  | 65  | 3.9  | 1.51    | 1.751         |
| Mother’s       | Illiterate   | 329 | 32.0 | 2.46    | 2.113         |
| Variables               | Categories       | N   | %   | Average | Std. deviation |
|------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|---------|----------------|
| Knowledge about danger sign | Yes             | 3454 | 77.8 | 4.33    | 1.300          |
| Birth order            | No               | 310  | 22.2 | 1.83    | 1.880          |
|                        | [1,2)            | 111  | 15.1 | 3.45    | 2.092          |
|                        | [2,3)            | 315  | 30.2 | 2.43    | 2.002          |
|                        | [3,5)            | 236  | 36.3 | 3.90    | 1.629          |
|                        | 5+               | 102  | 18.3 | 4.55    | 1.317          |
| Source of information  | Radio            | 7    | 0.6  | 2       | 2.160          |
|                        | Health worker    | 687  | 97.7 | 3.6     | 1.811          |
|                        | Traditional     | 13   | 0.2  | 0.38    | 1.121          |
|                        | deliver          | 11   | 0.4  | 1       | 2.683          |
|                        | Relatives        | 1    | 0.1  | 2       | -----          |
|                        | Partners         | 45   | 1    | 0.58    | 1.390          |

Table 1. (Continued …). Summary of Socio-demographic Factors on the Average Antenatal Care Visit Utilization of Women in Benchi –Sheko Zone.
Table 1 shows that the percentage and an average number of antenatal care visit of women whose current jobs such as farmers, trade, piece work, and office are four hundred sixty-two (50%), one hundred thirty-six (22.2%), four(0.8%), two hundred thirty-four (38.6%), and 2.74,4.13,4.75 and 4.17 in average utilized antenatal care visit utilization of childbearing mothers from nearby health sectors. Furthermore, there is less variation among women whose job status is office.

Women’s religion and marital status had a significant effect on ANC visits utilization. Women whose marital status are single, married, divorced, windows are three (0.3%), six hundred forty-three (84.6%), seventy-nine (10.9%), thirty-nine (4.2%), and 2.67,3.33,3.49,3.49 and 2.72 attended ANC visits in a health institution on average. About religion, women whose religious are orthodox, catholic, Muslim protestant and tradition are two hundred seventy-six (38.6%), twenty-two (3.4%), one hundred five ((15.6%), two hundred ninety-six (38.2%), and 3.57, 3.95,3.76 and 3.27 utilized in average. Besides, the standard deviation showed that there is no such difference among women for ANC visits.

Table 2. Summary of Socio-demographic Factors on the Average Antenatal Care Visit Utilization of Women in Benchi–Sheko Zone
| Number of visit | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 |
|----------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| Frequency      | 129| 48| 44| 55 | 339| 63 | 59 | 14 | 10 | 3 |
| Percentage     | 16.9| 6.3| 5.8| 7.2 | 44.4| 8.2| 7.7| 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Comul.percentage| 16.9| 23.2| 28.9|36.1 | 80.5| 88.7| 96.5| 98.3 | 96.6 | 100 |

Table 2 shows that women did not attend ANC visits during pregnancy. Evidence tells us, one hundred twenty-nine (16.9%) did not take ANC visits at the health institution. Thirty-six women attended bellow four ANC visits during pregnancy. It is below a minimum of world health organization recommendation.

Table 3. Model Comparision

| Model type               | Link function | Log Likelihood | Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Poison Regression model  | Log           | -1325.289      | 2740.579                             |
| Negative Binomial Model  | Log           | -1675.436      | 3440.871                             |

The smallest AIC and BIC value is preferable. Thus, the output shown in table 3 showed that the Poisson regression model is responsible for fitting the data. There fore, using the forward variable selection method of step by step, the model is given in table 4 was illustrated..

The odds ratio for women who had lived in urban resident equals to exp(0.226)= 1.25 (95% CI: 0.027,0.426) (other variables are adjusted). It indicated that those women who had lived in urban residents are 1.25 times more likely to attend health institutions than women who had lived in rural residents (Table 4). The odd ratio of women whose religion is Catholic is equal to exp(0.093)=1.46  (95% CI:0.067,0.691)(other variables are adjusted). It implies that the women whose religion is catholic are 1.46 times more likely to have more visits to the health center than women whose religion was traditional. To some extent, husband education status is a significant effect on the women to take ANC visit utilization. As the output indicated in table 4, the odds ratio of women whose husband education status is illiterate is equal to exp(-0.272)=0.76(95% CI:-0.507,-0.038) (other variables adjusted), it indicates that the women whose husband education status is 0.76 less likely to visit ANC visit than women whose husband education status is higher and above.
Table 4: Maximum likelihood Parameter Estimates of Poisson Regression Model

| Variables                  | Categories     | B    | Std. Error | 95% CI       | OR=exp(B) | df | P-value |
|----------------------------|----------------|------|------------|--------------|-----------|----|---------|
| (Intercept)                |                | .171 | .397       | -.609        | .951      | 1  | .668    |
| Residence                  | Urban          | .226 | .101       | .027         | .426      | 1  | .026    |
|                            | Rural(ref)     | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Religions                  | Orthodox       | .093 | .116       | -.134        | .320      | 1  | .421    |
|                            | Catholic       | .379 | .159       | .067         | .691      | 1  | .017    |
|                            | Muslim         | .124 | .127       | -.126        | .374      | 1  | .331    |
|                            | Protestant     | .151 | .114       | -.073        | .374      | 1  | .187    |
|                            | Traditional    | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Husband education          | Illiterate     | -.272| .119       | -.507        | -.038     | 1  | .023    |
|                            | Elementary     | -.115| .114       | -.339        | .110      | 1  | .318    |
|                            | Secondary      | -.147| .080       | -.305        | .012      | 1  | .070    |
|                            | Higher (ref)   | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Decision making            | My self        | .799a| .313       | -1.413       | -.185     | 1  | .011    |
|                            | Husband        | -.726| .387       | -1.484       | -.031     | 1  | .060    |
|                            | Jointly        | -.730| .294       | -1.307       | -.153     | 1  | .013    |
|                            | Others(ref)    | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Knowledge about danger sign| Yes            | .457 | .059       | .342         | .573      | 1  | .000    |
|                            | No (ref)       | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Birth order                | [1,2)          | .052 | .079       | -.103        | .208      | 1  | .509    |
|                            | [2,3)          | -.197| .066       | -.326        | -.067     | 1  | .003    |
|                            | [3,5)          | -.101| .059       | -.218        | .015      | 1  | .088    |
|                            | 5+(ref)        | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Source of information      | Radio          | 1.128| .338       | .464         | 1.791     | 1  | .001    |
|                            | health worker  | 1.201| .206       | .798         | 1.605     | 1  | .000    |
|                            | Traditional delivers | -.437 | .492 | -1.402 | .528  | 1.05 | 1 | 0.509 |
|                            | Relatives      | .435a| .379      | -.309        | 1.180     | 1  | .252    |
|                            | Others(ref)    | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Service satisfaction       | Yes            | .516a| .064       | .390         | .642      | 1  | .000    |
|                            | No (ref)       | 0a   | a          | a            | a         | a  | a       |
| Age of first pregnancy     | 15-21          | -.188| .145       | -.472        | .096      | 1  | .194    |
|                            | 22-28          | -.260| .117       | -.490        | -.029     | 1  | .77     |
Household decision making, knowledge of women on danger sign of pregnancy complication, gain information, service satisfaction, and age of women at early pregnancy are significantly influence the women on antenatal care visits while they are pregnant. From the output given in table 4, the women who decided her self has an odds ratio equal to \( \text{exp}(0.799) = 0.45 \) (95% CI: -1.413, -0.185), indicates that the women who had decided her self are 0.45 less likely to visit than women who had decided by other concerned bodies keeping other variables are constant and similarly women who decided jointly had an odds ratio equal to \( \text{exp}(-0.730) = 0.48 \) (95% CI: -1.307, -0.153). It indicated that the women who decided jointly were 0.48 times less likely to attend in the health institution than women decided with others. Source of information such as radio and health workers and its odds ratio respectively is equal to \( \text{exp}(1.12) = 3.09 \) (95% CI: 0.464, 1.791) and \( \text{exp}(1.20) = 3.32 \) (95% CI: 0.798, 1.605) implies that the women who got information from radio and health workers respectively are 3.09 and 3.32 times more likely to visit then women got from other information.

**Discussion**

The current study aimed to judge factors associated with the ANC visits of women in the Benchi-Sheko zone. Using the primary source of data which has been collected from different kebeles, seven hundred sixty-four childbearing mothers who had to give birth within five years priorly, 83.1 percent of women attended ANC visits in health institutions at least once [17]. The current study supported by the study done [18], how ever, the current study is some how small in percentage of number of visit. Around seventeen percent of women did not utilize ANC visit, forty-four percent of women attended 4 times and bellow a minimum of WHO recommendation. Around thirty-six women have not utilized according to WHO guidelines. The study showed that the age of women who had attended ANC visits varied from youngest to oldest. Women at the age of 15, the number of ANC visit is low. Whereas women at the age of 29 to 35 somewhat increase and women at the oldest age are extremely decreased. This might be the fertility rate may differ within age differences. The current study was consistent with the study done [4], [19].
The result showed that child-bearing mothers who had known danger signs of pregnancy complications greater than as compared to women who did not know it. This might be due to the traditional mechanism and maybe health service access to the Bench-Sheko zone. This study was consistent with other studies done [3]. The education of women in the study showed that the number of ANC visit varies. Few women recorded that the women were not educated. It is noted that the number of ANC visits increases as per upgraded their education status. This is suggested that awareness comes from education and it is important. This study much related to the study done somewhere[20], [10].

From Women, those who had lived in rural residents were less likely to have ANC visits. According to the current study the women who had lived in urban had an odds ratio of 1.25(95%CI:0.27,0.426). It implies that women who had lived in rural residents attended less number of ANC visits. This may be a lack of clinics or health institutions. This study is supported by the study have done [21]–[23].

The study showed that the age of mother at early pregnancy was significantly influencing the utilization of antenatal care visits even if the attendance of antenatal care visits with age varies. Because the ANC visits of child-bearing mothers in the oldest age is likely to have ANC visit than the youngest one. This study is supported by the study on the number of antenatal care done [9], [24], [6].

Based on the final model, birth order, decision making, residence, and education status are significant variables that influence the ANC visits of women. Thus, women whose husband education status is literate are more likely to utilize ANC visit as compared to mothers’ husband education status is illiterate, women's birth order or birth interval between 2 and 3 are more likely to attend ANC visit than whose birth interval above 5, women who decided herself or jointly are more likely to have ANC visit as compared to those who are decided by others and the women who lived in rural residents were less likely to have ANC visit as compared to those who had lived in urban resident [25].

**Strength and Limitation**
This study is very important that used to assess the socio-demographic factors on ANC visit of childbearing mothers in the Benchi-Sheko Zone, SNNPR, Ethiopia using a representative model.
In many studies, the study was done using the binary logistic regression model to account for the data by deauthorizing the number of visits, however, it is confusing to say women utilize ANC visits during pregnancy. Therefore, the study tells us how many times the women attend ANC during pregnancy by counting principles. The only limitation of the study that there is no intervention and recalling bias during the survey of the data.

**Conclusions**

The current study revealed that residence of child-bearing mothers, source of information obtained, birth order, husband education status, knowledge of mothers about danger sign of pregnancy, age at first pregnancy, service satisfaction, religion and decision making are significantly influencing on the number of ANC visit on women during pregnancy. By educating the households, creating awareness about the danger sign of pregnancy, accessing the source of information, and increasing service satisfaction, it is possible to reduce the deaths of women and babies from pregnancy complications.
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