Structural Behavior of Novel ECC Short Columns Subjected to Eccentric Loading
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ABSTRACT

This study was undertaken in order to investigate the structural behaviour of novel Engineering Cementitious Composites (ECC) columns subjected to eccentric loading. These columns were experimentally formulated using a hybridization of steel and polypropylene fibres. Two ratios were adopted for the steel fibres of 0.5% and 1%, whilst the polypropylene fibre was kept to be constant at a ratio of 0.5% for all of the ECC columns. The eccentric loads were applied at two eccentricities: small (h/6) and large (5h/12). A comparison was also made with the behaviour of self-compacting concrete and traditional ECC columns containing either steel or polypropylene fibres. The vertical and lateral deformations as well as the maximum load at failure were noted. The results obtained showed that the hybrid ECC columns exhibited higher load carrying capacities when compared with those of both self-compacting concrete and traditional ECC columns containing either steel or polypropylene fibres. The vertical and lateral deformations as well as the maximum load at failure were noted. The results obtained showed that the hybrid ECC columns exhibited higher load carrying capacities when compared with those of both self-compacting concrete and traditional ECC columns containing either steel or polypropylene fibres. The percentage increase was 30%. The hybrid ECC column samples containing 1% steel fibre did not show a significant difference in the load-deformation behaviour when compared with those containing 0.5% steel fibre. The values of eccentricity governed the global behaviour of the tested columns. The predicted load carrying capacity of the ECC columns needs a magnification factor in case of concentric test, and to take into account the existence of fibres ratio when calculating the area of steel reinforcement for eccentric loading.

1. Introduction

Developing the behaviour of structural members is the main concern for the most of the published research works in the construction field nowadays. In general, such developing can be obtained either through improving the composition of these members or by updating the implementation techniques used. Reinforced columns are part of these structural members which generally referred to as compression members [1]. In some cases, columns may carry bending moments about one or both axes of the cross section. The general behaviour of columns is governed by the amount of steel. Reinforcement available for both longitudinal and transverse directions, the strength of the concrete used, conditions of the supports and the slenderness ratio [2]. Depending on the nature of the imposed load, the failure modes of the reinforced concrete columns are in the forms of crushing, shearing and bending for the loading cases of concentric, transverse and eccentric, respectively [3-5]. A combined failure modes may also be found for the same case.

Several previous studies were carried out for enhancing the integrity of the reinforced concrete columns under various conditions of loading. In the last decade, there was a tendency for replacing the conventional concrete with Engineering Cementitious Composites (ECC) [6-15]. However, it’s used in column elements is less than that for flexural structural members [11]. Except for the absence of coarse aggregate, this material has a similar constituents as for the fiber reinforced concrete (FRC). Nevertheless, ECC usually exhibits strain-hardening after the propagation of the first crack rather than of the usual tension-
softening [6]. Although the desired advantages of ECC mentioned above, improving its properties still needs for investigation. Since there is no published research work about using hybrid fibers in ECC, so it is necessary to undertake such trend into consideration. In this paper, using hybrid steel and polypropylene fibers at different ratios in the formulation of reinforced column members has been experimentally investigated. These columns were tested under the actions of eccentric loading. A comparison was also made with the traditional ECC containing either steel or polypropylene fibers as well as with the behaviour of reinforced self-compacting concrete columns. Some expressions were suggested to identify the general behaviour under the test conditions.

2. Experimental Work

2.1. Materials used

An ordinary Portland cement by Lafarge Company was used in this study. It was compliant with EN BS 197-1 [16]. Locally available natural sand from Al-Najaf quarries was used as a fine aggregate to produce both of the ECC and self-compacting concrete specimens. It has a maximum particle size of 4.75 mm. On the other hand, crushed gravel with a maximum size of 10 mm was used as a coarse aggregate to produce the self-compacting concrete specimens only. It was provided from Al-Nibaei quarry. Both types of aggregate are consistent with the grading limits of EN BS 882.19992 [17] and they have sulphate contents of 0.216% and 0.082%, respectively. A limestone powder was used as a filler in preparing of the self-compacting concrete columns, while silica fume was used in producing of ECC columns. For all of the column samples, the Duracem SP6 superplasticizer admixture of the sulphonated naphthalene formaldehyde condensate SNF [18] was used, its maximum chloride and alkali content were < 0.1% and 0.5% by mass, respectively. Two types of fibres were used, namely steel and polypropylene fibres. They were incorporated in the ECC column samples in different ratios as a percentage from the total volume of the mixture. The aspect ratios of the steel and polypropylene fibres were 65 and 375, respectively. Two different sizes of deformed steel bars of Ø10 and Ø12 were used in all of the column samples as the transverse and main reinforcements, respectively. The values of the yield and ultimate strength of the former steel bars were 480 MPa and 700 MPa, respectively.

2.2. Selection of the concrete mix

For the purpose of comparison, a self-compacting concrete was selected as a reference mix. The reason for that is the ECC usually has high flowability and its need for compaction effort is usually minimal [15]. On this basis, the composition of the reference mix was selected to be in the strength level of 50 MPa at 28 days. This in turn gives a mix proportion of 1:1.5:1.6 with cement content and w/c ratio of 430 kg/m³ and 0.42, respectively. Both of limestone and superplasticizer were used in this mix with ratios of 0.2% and 1.5%, respectively. On the other hand, the suggested mix proportion of cement: 1, silica fume: 0.22, sand: 2, w/c: 38% by volume was selected for ECC. This employ 570 kg/m³ cement, 1140 kg/m³ sand and 124 kg/m³ silica fume. Two groups of the hybrid fibres were used in the ECC: the first incorporated 0.5% steel and 0.5% polypropylene fibres, and the second incorporated 1% steel and 0.5% polypropylene fibres. The former fibre percentages were recommended by previous studies [1, 5].

2.3. Description of the tested samples

The experimental programme comprised of testing eight column samples. All of the tested columns were square in shape. The height of columns was selected to be 1200 mm. The column samples with a square cross section of 200*200 mm. This in turn gives a slenderness ratio of 20. A minimum steel area (As ≥ 1%) was used for reinforcing the longitudinal direction, namely 4Ø12mm. Whereas, 12 stirrups were adopted using Ø10@100mm c/c as a reinforcement for the transverse direction. The calculations for both of the former reinforcements was based upon the requirement of ACI 318M-11 Code [19]. In order to avoid the concentration of stresses near the loading plate, the upper and lower parts of the columns were expanded to be in a tapered shape. Same technique was also used by [15]. Figure 1 shows the details of the tested columns.

![Figure 1. Details of the tested columns](image-url)

2.4. Mixing, casting, curing operations and the tested parameters

As shown in Figure 2, for all of the column samples, the mixing, casting and curing operations were carried out based upon the procedure suggested by BS EN 12390-2 (BSI, 2009c) [20]. Before running the test, all of the column samples were capped using rapid cement mortar, then they were painted in a white colour. During the lifting process, the location of columns was centrally adjusted with the centre line of the testing machine. In order to achieve the objective of this study, the nature of the applied load was in two categories; namely small (1/6 h) and large (5/12 h). The tested columns were designated based on the type of column, nature of loading and type and ratio of the fibre used, as illustrated in Table 1. The applied load was manually controlled and a loading rate of 0.5 MPa/Sec was adopted. During running of the test, both vertical and lateral deformations were measured using LVDT at the top and mid-height of the column. For each column, the test was performed until failure and the load-crack history was recorded. In addition, the failure mode and critical zones were monitored for each case.
The results obtained for the load–deformation relationships for various column samples are shown in Figures 3 to 8. A superior behaviour was noted for the hybrid ECC columns in terms of deformation and load-carrying capacity aspects compared with those containing self-compacting concrete and those of the traditional ECC. For the concrete and hybrid ECC columns subjected to eccentric load of h/6, the results obtained are presented in Figures 3 and 4. Both of hybrid ECC columns reached the level of 1400 kN load carrying capacity with a notable increase for the sample containing 1% steel fibre. On the other hand, the concrete column (CBe1) exhibited a lower failure load at 1070 kN. This in turn gives percentage increase of 30% for the hybrid ECC columns. Identical shape for the load–deformation curve in both directions was observed. The end values of vertical deformations for the columns samples of concrete, hybrid ECC with 0.5% steel fibre and that of 1% steel fibre were 5mm, 9mm and 13mm, respectively. The corresponding values for the lateral deformations were 5mm, 12mm and 17mm, respectively. Figures 5 to 8 show a detail comparison for all of the tested columns subjected to eccentric load of 5h/12 in terms of load-vertical and lateral deformations, respectively. The role of steel fibre was clear regarding improving the load carrying capacity feature. The traditional ECC column with 1% steel fibre exhibited the highest strength capacity at failure load at 925 kN. If the polypropylene fibre is used the aforementioned strength capacity will be reduced. This could be explained by two issues: the first is related to the lower strength capacity of the polypropylene fibre itself in both compression and tension aspects when compared with those for steel fibre; and the second, is related to the present of such kind of fibre leads to the formation of entrapped voids within the ECC composition which represents a weakness point that results in reducing the final strength of the column sample. This behaviour was clearly shown for the column containing polypropylene fibre alone, in which the load-bearing capacity reached 750 kN, meaning 20% percentage reduction compared with that of steel fibre. If combination of both fibres is used as in the EBe2-1 S-0.5 P and EBe2-0.5 S-0.5 P columns, the load-carrying capacity will increase with a percentage equivalent to the added ratio of steel fibre. In general, all of the column samples incorporating fibres showed vertical deformation of 11mm with a parentage increase of 50% compared with that of concrete column. Similar attitude was also noted for the lateral deformation recorded at the mid height of the column. However, the column formulated with polypropylene fibre alone revealed the highest lateral deformation due to its capability to reduce the width of cracks. Consequently, higher lateral buckling can be achieved prior to the column failure. The hybrid columns have more lateral ductile behaviour than that containing steel fibre alone. The percentages increase in the value of lateral deformation for the EBe2-0 S-0.5 P, EBe2-0.5 S-0.5 P, EBe2-1 S-0.5 P and EBe2-1 S-0 P compared with that of concrete sample were 127%, 171%, 180% and 116%, respectively.
Figure 5: Load-vertical deformation of columns subjected to eccentric load of 5h/12

Figure 6: Load-lateral deformation of columns subjected to eccentric load of 5h/12

Figure 7: Load-vertical deformation for various types of columns subjected to eccentric load of 5h/12

Figure 8: Load-lateral deformation for various types of columns subjected to eccentric load of 5h/12

Figure 9: Failure modes for the columns under eccentric loading 5h/12; (a) front view, (b) back view
For the eccentric loading, composite failure modes of compression and bending were noted for both of hybrid ECC columns, as shown in Figure 9a and b. Multi cracks were located underneath the tapered part and at the mid height of the column which explain the role of the fibres in controlling the global failure. More cracks along the column height were noted for all of the ECC samples compared with that of concrete sample (CBe2). This is indicative for less spacing of cracks which can be achieved when the steel and/or polypropylene fibres are used. Spalling behaviour was also observed for the concrete column sample.

Based upon the preliminary mechanical strength testes performed on the concrete, traditional ECC and hybrid ECC cube samples, the results of the compressive strength values are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. The values of compressive strengths for different composition of columns at 28 days age

| No. | Symbol        | Cube compressive strength (MPa) | Equivalent cylinder compressive strength (MPa) |
|-----|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1   | CC            | 59.6                            | 70.1                                          |
| 2   | ECC-0.5 S-0.5 P | 53.9                           | 63.4                                          |
| 3   | ECC-1 S-0.5 P | 56.3                            | 62.6                                          |
| 4   | ECC-1 S-0.5 P | 62.6                            | 73.8                                          |
| 5   | ECC-0 S-0.5 P | 50.4                            | 59.3                                          |

For the case of biaxial bending, Bresler [2] suggested reciprocal method to predict the load carrying capacity for the eccentric loading, as in Eq. 1

\[
\frac{1}{P_n} = \frac{1}{P_{n\gamma 0}} + \frac{1}{P_{\gamma 0}} - \frac{1}{P_0}
\]

(1)

Where \( P_n \) is the approximate value of ultimate load in biaxial bending with eccentricities \( e_x \) and \( e_y \); \( P_{n\gamma 0} \) is the ultimate load when only eccentricity \( e_y \) is present; \( P_{\gamma 0} \) is the ultimate load when only eccentricity \( e_x \) is present; and \( P_0 \) is the ultimate load for concentrically loaded column. In this study, uniaxial loads were adopted, so \( P_{\gamma 0} \) was only available for estimation. Based upon the column strength interaction diagram for the rectangular section with bars on end faces and \( \gamma = 0.45 \) and 0.6, the predicted values of load carrying capacities for the eccentric loading columns against their experimental measurements are presented in Table 3. It can be seen that the predicted values of load carrying capacities for both of concrete columns (i.e. CBe1 and CBe2) were close to those measured values. On the other hand, none of the predicted values of ECC columns was in agreement with their corresponding experimental result. In order to improve the accurate of calculations, a modified technique was suggested to calculate the load carrying capacity from the reciprocal method. This was throughout incorporating the fibre ratio in the term of \( A_{st\text{bh}} \). The latter is one of the main components of the interaction diagram. This in turn means increasing the area of steel reinforcement in an equivalent percentage to that of the fibre used. Such modification was only applied in calculation of the load carrying capacity of the ECC columns and the results obtained are shown in Table 3. Close agreement has been achieved with respect to the experimental measurements.

4. Conclusions

This study is an attempt to produce a new formulation of ECC columns throughout hybridization process using both steel and polypropylene fibers followed by a structural evaluation for their behaviour under eccentric loading. The main significant findings of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. Higher load carrying capacities were observed for the hybrid ECC columns compared with those of self-compact concrete under eccentric loading scenarios.

2. Both of the hybrid ECC columns behaved in a similar manner in terms of load-deformation criteria with slight increase in the value of lateral deformation noted when 1% of steel fibre is used.

3. The role of steel fibre was demonstrated in preventing the propagation of cracks, whilst polypropylene fibre works on minimizing the crack width.

4. The maximum load at failure was clearly reduced with an increase in the value of eccentricity. The percentage of decrease reached about 45%.

5. In case of eccentric loading, the predicted values of load carrying capacities for ECC columns the fiber ratio should be taken in consideration in order to compute the maximum load at failure.

6. Generally, composite compression and flexural failure modes were observed for the hybrid ECC columns with eccentric loading incorporated multi cracks localized approximately at mid-height of the column.

Table 3. The calculated and measured results of load carrying capacity for the eccentric loaded columns

| No. | Sample          | Experimentally measured load carrying capacity, (MPa) | Direct calculation from reciprocal method | Percentage difference, % | Suggested modification for the calculation from reciprocal method |
|-----|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | EBe1-0.5 S-0.5 P | 1371                                              | 1089                                     | -21                      | 1500                                                          |
| 2   | EBe1-1 S-0.5 P  | 1450                                              | 1089                                     | -25 0.45                | 1500                                                          |
| 3   | CBe1            | 1094                                              | 1089                                     | 0.07                     | 1089                                                          |
| 4   | EBe2-0.5 S-0.5 P | 813                                               | 552                                      | 32 0.45                 | 827                                                            |
| 5   | EBe2-1 S-0.5 P  | 850                                               | 552                                      | 35 0.45                 | 827                                                            |
| 6   | EBe2-0 S-0.5 P  | 795                                               | 552                                      | 31 0.45                 | 827                                                            |
| 7   | EBe2-1 S-0.5 P  | 941                                               | 552                                      | 41 0.45                 | 827                                                            |
| 8   | CBe2            | 624                                               | 552                                      | 12 0.45                 | 552                                                            |
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