New ZZ Ceti Stars from the LAMOST Survey
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Abstract

The spectroscopic sky survey carried out by the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST) provides the largest stellar spectra library in the world to date. A large number of new DA white dwarfs had been identified based on the LAMOST spectra. The effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$) and surface gravity (log $g$) of most DA white dwarfs were determined and published in the catalogs. We selected ZZ Ceti candidates from the published catalogs by considering whether their $T_{\text{eff}}$ are situated in the ZZ Ceti instability strip. The follow-up time-series photometric observations for the candidates were performed in 2015 and 2016. Four stars: LAMOST J004628.31+343319.90, LAMOST J062159.49+252335.9, LAMOST J010302.46+433756.2, and LAMOST J013033.90+273757.9 are finally confirmed to be new ZZ Ceti stars. They show dominant peaks with amplitudes rising above the 99.9% confidence level in the amplitude spectra. As LAMOST J004628.31+343319.90 has an estimated mass of $\sim 0.40 M_\odot$, and LAMOST J010303.90+273757.9 has a mass of $\sim 0.45 M_\odot$ derived from their log $g$ values, these two stars are inferred to be potential helium-core white dwarfs.
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1. Introduction

White dwarfs are the final evolutionary stage of low- and medium-mass stars. They are stellar remnants composed mostly of electron degenerate matter. The vast majority ($\sim 98\%$) of stars in the universe are expected to evolve into white dwarfs. They thus present an important boundary condition for investigating the previous evolution of stars. White dwarfs are recognized to be reliable clocks for determining the cosmic chronology, due to the simplicity of their evolution. In particular, white dwarfs are ready-made laboratories for studying physical processes under extreme conditions (Winget & Kepler 2008; Althaus et al. 2010).

There are separate instability strips of white dwarfs that associate with their atmosphere composition. More than 80% of white dwarfs are classified under the spectral type of DA, which have hydrogen-rich atmospheres. The instability strip of DA white dwarfs is located in a narrow range of effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$) between $\sim 12,500$ and $\sim 10,500$ K for a typical mass of $\sim 0.6 M_\odot$ (Gianninas et al. 2011). As DA white dwarfs cool and pass through the corresponding instability strip, pulsations are thought to be excited by both the $\kappa-\gamma$ mechanism due to the partial ionization of hydrogen (Dolez & Vauclair 1981; Winget et al. 1982) and by the convective driving mechanism (Brickhill 1991). The pulsations in DA white dwarfs result in brightness variations with typical periods between $\sim 100$ and $\sim 1500$ s. The pulsating DA white dwarfs are known as DAV or ZZ Ceti stars.

Pulsations observed in pulsating white dwarfs are non-radial g-modes, with buoyancy as the restoring force. Different pulsating modes propagate through different regions inside a white dwarf. By detecting the pulsating modes and matching them to those of theoretical models, one can probe the invisible interior of a white dwarf to get information about its composition and internal structure, and then determine its stellar parameters such as masses (total mass and masses of H/He layers), effective temperature, luminosity, rotation period, magnetic field strength, etc. This technique is called asteroseismology, somewhat similar to the technique that seismologists use to study the interior of the Earth using seismic waves.

Research on ZZ Ceti stars has been carried out for decades since the discovery of the first ZZ Ceti star, HL Tau 76, by Landolt (1968). Detailed asteroseismological analyses require precise identification of pulsating modes, which requires a long uninterrupted high-precision observation of the targets. Conventional ground-based observations have their limitations on obtaining long continuous data. However, the barriers have been overcome by multi-site campaigns, especially through the Whole Earth Telescope (Nather et al. 1990) or by space missions such as Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010). On the other hand, comprehensive asteroseismological research requires a large sample of pulsating white dwarfs. In order to enlarge the sample, the search for new pulsating white dwarfs is necessary.

The Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST, also called Guoshoujing Telescope), as one of the National Major Scientific Projects undertaken by the Chinese Academy of Science, is located in the Xinglong Observatory of National Astronomical Observatories at the Chinese Academy of Sciences. It is a special quasi-meridian reflecting Schmidt telescope with 4000 fibers in a field of view (FOV) of $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$, which guarantees a high efficiency of acquiring spectra (Cui et al. 2012). The spectroscopic sky survey carried out by LAMOST has been running since 2011. The pilot survey was launched in 2011 October and ended in 2012 June (Zhao et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2012). A five-year regular survey, which was initiated in 2012 September, had been terminated in 2017 June. In the past five years of the survey, a total number of 7,664,073 spectra (the fourth data release, DR4, including 6,856,896 stars, 118,657 galaxies, 36,374 quasars, and 652,146 other unknown objects) have been...
obtained, which offer the largest stellar spectra library in the world to date.

A large number of white dwarfs including those of hydrogen-rich atmospheres, helium-rich atmospheres, and white dwarf-main sequence binaries had been spectroscopically identified based on the LAMOST spectra (see the catalogs published by Ren et al. 2013, 2014; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2013; Gentile Fusillo et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2015; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2015). Most of them are new sources, which further expand the number of known white dwarfs. This provides opportunities to search for new pulsating white dwarfs. The spectral types of the sources can be determined based on the spectra and the atmospheric parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}$ and $g$ log) of most DA white dwarfs are derived and provided along with the catalogs.

We selected DA white dwarfs whose effective temperatures meet the condition of $10,000 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \pm \sigma \leq 14,000$ K as the ZZ Ceti candidates. Here $\sigma$ is the error of $T_{\text{eff}}$ given in the catalogs. The typical value (median) of $\sigma$ is 458 K. Note that we had set a less rigorous criterion for selecting candidates concerning the errors of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and to avoid missing some of the potential targets. The temperature range of the criterion is wider than that of the empirical ZZ Ceti instability strip, regardless of log $g$, although the instability strip position seems to be log $g$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ dependent. We then perform a time-series photometry on an individual candidate to ascertain whether pulsations are detected.

In this paper, we report the progress of our search for new pulsating white dwarfs. Four new ZZ Ceti stars have been photometrically confirmed in 2015 and 2016, which are LAMOST J004628.31 + 343319.90 (hereafter J004628), LAMOST J062159.49 + 252335.90 (hereafter J062159), LAMOST J010302.46 + 433757.90 (hereafter J010302), and LAMOST J013033.90 + 273757.90 (hereafter J013033). The LAMOST spectra and stellar parameters of the four new ZZ Ceti stars are described in Section 2.

In Section 3, we summarize the observations, data reduction, and pulsation analysis. In Section 4, the significance criteria are discussed. Discussion and conclusions are in Section 5.

### 2. LAMOST Spectra and Stellar Parameters

Figure 1 shows the flux- and wavelength-calibrated, sky-subtracted spectra of the four new ZZ Ceti stars, which are obtained from the LAMOST database. The information about

| Object  | R.A. (degree) | Decl. (degree) | Obs. Date | Plan ID          | Sp. ID  | Fiber ID | S/N |
|---------|--------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|-----|
| J004628 | 11.61796     | +34.55553     | 2011 Dec 11 | M31_007N34_B1   | 3      | 9        | 10.89|
| J062159 | 95.49792     | +25.39331     | 2013 Jan 11 | GAC094N27M1     | 7      | 21       | 6.76 |
| J010302 | 15.76029     | +43.63228     | 2013 Dec 29 | M31016N43M1     | 3      | 154      | 8.36 |
| J013033 | 22.64129     | +27.63275     | 2014 Jan 01 | M31023N28M1     | 3      | 245      | 12.63|
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these spectra is summarized in Table 1. Columns 1–4 list the name, coordinates (J2000), and date of the observations of each star. Columns 5–7 list the identifiers of the plan, spectrograph, and fiber of the spectra. Column 8 lists the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in the g-band of each individual spectrum.

The apparent magnitudes and stellar parameters of the four new ZZ Ceti stars are listed in column 9. The last column indicates the source of the parameters. The atmospheric parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$ are listed in columns 7 and 8. The mass estimated according to the atmospheric parameters is listed in column 9. The last column indicates the source of the parameters.

We note that there are two sets of parameters of J004628, which are derived from catalogs of Zhao et al. (2013) and Guo et al. (2015), respectively. The values of $\log g$ from the two catalogs are compatible to each other, but the values of $T_{\text{eff}}$ are quite different. However, both $T_{\text{eff}}$ meet our selecting criterion (see Section 1). We note that there is a large uncertainty in the determination of the value of $T_{\text{eff}}$ of this star.

3. Observations and Data Analysis

3.1. Observations

As the ZZ Ceti stars are relatively faint, the observations were performed with the two 2 m class telescopes in China: the 2.4 m telescope (LJ 240, Fan et al. 2015) at the Lijiang Observatory of Yunnan Observatories at the Chinese Academy of Sciences (YAO) and the 2.16 m telescope (XL 216, Fan et al. 2016) at the Xinglong Observatory of National Astronomical Observatories at the Chinese Academy of Sciences (NAOC). The journal of the observations is summarized in Table 3.

J004628 and J062159 were observed with LJ 240 on 2015 January 27 and 2016 January 17, respectively. Images of J004628 were taken with a Princeton Instruments VersArray: 1300B back-illuminated CCD Camera, which has the CCD size of $1300 \times 1340$ with the FOV of $4.40 \times 4.48$. The exposure time was set as 40 s for each image. Images of J062159 were obtained with another instrument, the Yunnan Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (YFOSC), which has the CCD size of $2048 \times 2048$ and the FOV of $9.6 \times 9.6$ under the direct imaging mode. The exposure time of each image was 50 s. No filter was used during the above observations in order to obtain as many photons as possible.

J010302 and J013033 were observed with XL 216 on 2016 November 26 and 27, respectively. The YFOSC’s sister instrument, the Beijing Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (BFOSC), was used, which has the CCD size of $2048 \times 2048$ and the FOV of $9.36 \times 9.36$ under the direct imaging mode. The exposure time of each image was 60 s for J010302 and 70 s for J013033, respectively. No filter was used during the observations.

3.2. Data Reduction

All of the observed data were reduced using the IRAF packages. The instrumental magnitudes of stars on each image were calculated using the method of aperture photometry according to the standard procedure. The differential magnitude of the candidate star relative to the comparison star was calculated and plotted versus the time of the observation to produce the light curve. In order to get rid of the low-frequency variations of the atmospheric transparency during the night, the light curves were divided by a fourth order polynomial. The normalized light curves of the four stars are shown in the upper panels of Figures 2–5. Periodical variations are clearly visible in the light curves.

3.3. Fourier Analysis

In order to further verify the variability of these stars, we performed a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the light curves to search for periodic variations. The amplitude spectra of the light curves are shown in the bottom panels of Figures 2–5. The spectral window corresponding to each light curve is shown in the inset. The spectral window (or window function) is the DFT of a single period sinusoidal function.

References. (1) Zhao et al. (2013), (2) Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2015), (3) Gentile Fusillo et al. (2015), (4) Guo et al. (2015).

Table 2

| Object  | Apparent Magnitude | $T_{\text{eff}}$ (K) | log $g$ (cgs) | Mass ($M_\odot$) | References |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|
| J004628 | 16.83 16.33 16.40 16.53 16.75 | 14644 (808) | 7.60 (0.18) | 0.41 (0.08) | 1 |
| J062159 | --- 17.56 17.62 17.70 --- | 11681 (199) | 7.53 (0.15) | 0.38 (0.05) | 4 |
| J010302 | 18.84 18.33 18.43 18.57 18.72 | 11750 (492) | 7.89 (0.33) | 0.54 (0.21) | 3 |
| J013033 | 18.99 18.57 18.70 18.85 19.03 | 14127 (334) | 7.69 (0.07) | 0.45 (0.03) | 4 |

Table 3

| Object  | Obs. Date | Telescope | Start Time (HJD) | End Time (HJD) | Length (h) | Exp. Time (s) |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| J004628 | 2015 Jan 27 | LJ 240 | 2457050.0347 | 2457050.1209 | 2.07 | 40 |
| J062159 | 2016 Jan 17 | --- | 2457404.9884 | 2457405.1627 | 4.18 | 50 |
| J010302 | 2016 Nov 26 | XL 216 | 2457718.9322 | 2457719.0453 | 2.71 | 60 |
| J013033 | 2016 Nov 27 | --- | 2457719.9357 | 2457720.0457 | 2.64 | 70 |
Figure 2. The upper panel shows the normalized light curve of J004628. The x-axis is the time in seconds and the y-axis is the differential magnitude of J004628 relative to the comparison star. The zero point is the average of the light curve. The bottom panel shows the amplitude spectrum of the light curve. The relative amplitude is plotted versus the frequency in the range from 0 to 6000 \( \mu \text{Hz} \). The inset shows the spectral window.

Figure 3. Same as Figure 2 but for J062159.
Figure 4. Same as Figure 2 but for J010302.

Figure 5. Same as Figure 2 but for J013033.
sampled as the data, whose value equals to unity at each data point and zero elsewhere. It reflects the effect of spectral leakage due to the finite time duration and gaps in the light curve. In the ideal case (an infinite duration signal), it will be a δ function. In reality, it will be far from the δ function and will help us identify the actual modes from the aliases due to the imperfect sampling.

Figure 2 shows the amplitude spectrum of J004628. The highest peak with an amplitude of 1.8% is detected at the frequency of 2114 ± 12 μHz (the period of 473 ± 3 s). Figure 3 shows the case of J062159. The highest peak is located at the frequency of 1205 ± 6 μHz (the period of 830 ± 4 s) with an amplitude of 1.9%. The amplitude spectrum of J010302 is shown in Figure 4 with a dominant peak at the frequency of 852 ± 10 μHz (the period of 1174 ± 14 s), which has an amplitude of 1.7%. In the amplitude spectrum of J013033 shown in Figure 5, a dominant peak with an amplitude of 1.5% is found at the frequency of 3228 ± 14 μHz (the period of 310 ± 1 s).

The uncertainties of the frequencies are estimated using the Monte Carlo simulations as described in Fu et al. (2013) and applied in our previous work (Su et al. 2014a, 2014b).

4. Significance Criteria

In order to give quantitative criteria to determine whether the dominant peaks are significant or not, we simulated light curves to estimate the significance thresholds for each star. The simulations are based on the principle described in Greiss et al. (2014, 2016). In summary, we keep the time of the data points of the original light curve in place, but randomly permute brightness to create a simulated light curve. We then perform DFT on each simulated light curve and record the highest amplitude in the amplitude spectrum. This operation is repeated 10,000 times. All of the recorded highest amplitudes thus constitute a random sample. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the sample is calculated. The value of the CDF at any particular value of x, denoted by F(x), is the fraction of the elements in the sample that are less than or equal to x. The values at the 95th, 99th, and 99.9th percentiles, which mean that 95%, 99%, and 99.9% of the simulated light
Figure 7. Amplitude spectra with confidence levels of the new ZZ Ceti stars: (a) J004628, (b) J062159, (c) J010302, (d) J013033, and (e) the case for J004628 after prewhitening with the highest peak. The 95%, 99%, and 99.9% confidence levels and the 4(A) levels are marked with the dashed, dotted, dashed-dotted, and solid lines, respectively.
curves that have the highest amplitudes fall below those values, are
determined from the CDF and taken as significance criteria
(confidence levels).

We generate 10,000 simulated light curves for each star. Our
previous experience showed that the results converged well
using 10,000 simulated light curves. The distribution of the
recorded highest amplitudes in the simulated sample of each
star is shown as a histogram in the upper panel of each
subfigure of Figure 6. To construct the histogram, the entire
range of each sample is divided into a series of intervals (bins),
where the bin width is 0.01%. The y-axis indicates the number
of values that fall into each bin. The bottom panel of each
subfigure shows the CDF calculated based on the distribution.
The locations of the 95%, 99%, and 99.9% confidence levels
are marked with the dashed, dotted, and dashed–dotted lines,
respectively.

Figure 7 shows amplitude spectra with the confidence levels
of the four stars. The 95%, 99%, and 99.9% confidence levels
are marked with the dashed, dotted, and dashed–dotted lines,
respectively in each amplitude spectrum. The 4\((A)\) level, which
is a widely used significance criterion (Breger et al. 1993;
Kuschnig et al. 1997), is also marked with the solid line for
comparison. Here, we take the average amplitude ([A]) of the
amplitude spectrum as an estimate of the noise level.

The 99.9% confidence level corresponds to a more strict
significance threshold than that of the 3\(\sigma\) level. All the highest
peaks in the four amplitude spectra rise above the 99.9%
confidence levels. They are thus considered significant.
In addition, another significant peak at a frequency of \(\approx 1913 \mu\)Hz
(period \(\approx 523\) s) is found in the amplitude spectrum of J004628.
In order to check the significance of the second peak, we
prewhiten the original light curve of J004628 with the highest
peak and perform simulations based on the residual light curve
to estimate the confidence levels. The residual amplitude
spectrum and confidence levels are shown in Figure 7(e). The
original amplitude spectrum is plotted with the dotted lines for
comparison. The second peak is still significant.

Meanwhile, we note that the 4\((A)\) levels in all amplitude
spectra are above the 95% confidence levels. If we roughly take
the 4\((A)\) level as the significance threshold, any peak whose
amplitude exceeds that threshold can be considered significant
in a sufficient confidence level higher than 95%.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

Four new ZZ Ceti stars have been identified. All of them are
selected from the LAMOST spectroscopic survey data set and are
confirmed by the follow-up photometric observations. Figure 8
shows the \(T_{\text{eff}}-\log g\) diagram of 172 known ZZ Ceti stars with
determined atmospheric parameters. These known objects were
collected from the literature (14 from Kepler et al. (2005), 41
from Mukadam et al. (2006), 5 from Voss et al. (2006, 2007), 34
from Castanheira et al. (2006, 2007, 2010, 2013), 56 from
Gianninas et al. (2011), 6 from Green et al. (2015), 11 in the
Kepler field (Hermes et al. 2011; Greiss et al. 2014, 2016), and
5 massive or ultramassive ZZ Ceti stars discovered by Hermes
et al. (2013) and Curd et al. (2017)). The positions of our new ZZ
Ceti stars are marked in the diagram with the squares with the
error bars. The empirical boundaries of the ZZ Ceti instability
strip determined by Tremblay et al. (2015) are marked with the
dashed lines. J004628 had been given two different sets of
atmospheric parameters, which correspond to different positions
marked with the open squares in the \(T_{\text{eff}}-\log g\) diagram.
Obviously, the \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and \(\log g\) provided by Zhao et al. (2013)
place the object well outside the instability strip, while the
parameters given by Guo et al. (2015) place it within the
instability strip. We therefore believe that the atmospheric
parameters given by the latter are more reliable. The positions
of the three new ZZ Ceti stars in the \(T_{\text{eff}}-\log g\) diagram are
marked with the filled squares. J013033 has been determined with
a relatively high \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and low \(\log g\), which makes its position
seriously deviate from the instability strip. However, J013033 has
the smallest error of \(\log g\) among the four ZZ Ceti stars. It seems
that the determination of \(T_{\text{eff}}\) about J013033 is probably not
correct, but the \(\log g\) value would be reliable. For J062159 and

Figure 8. \(T_{\text{eff}}-\log g\) diagram of the ZZ Ceti stars. The black points correspond to the 172 known ZZ Ceti stars with determined atmospheric parameters. The open squares with error bars mark the two positions of J004628 with different atmospheric parameters and the filled squares with error bars correspond to the three new ZZ Ceti stars. The empirical boundaries of the ZZ Ceti instability strip are marked with the dashed lines.
J010302, their positions in the $T_{\text{eff}}$−log $g$ diagram are consistent with that of the ZZ Ceti stars.

Both of the parameter estimates for J004628 imply relatively low log $g$ and hence an estimated mass of $\sim 0.40$ M$_\odot$. The theory of stellar evolution shows that a white dwarfs whose mass is $\lesssim 0.5$ M$_\odot$ cannot have a carbon-oxygen core, since the core mass is lower than that of the critical value for igniting helium. J004628 is hence inferred to be a white dwarf with a helium core. If we believe the log $g$ estimate of J013033, the mass of J013033 is $\sim 0.45$ M$_\odot$. Owing to the low mass of J013033, it is also inferred to be another potential helium-core white dwarf. The formation of low-mass helium-core white dwarfs requires a mechanism different from the normal single star evolution, since a single star with such low mass would take longer than the age of the universe to evolve into a white dwarf. It is widely accepted that low-mass white dwarfs should originate from the evolution of close binary systems. The interaction between binary stars removes most of the outer envelope of one component before helium ignition. The remnant of the component with a helium core would not go through the asymptotic giant branch phase and would directly contract toward a white dwarf. On the other hand, the existence of single low-mass white dwarfs and the potential formation channels for them are discussed by Brown et al. (2011).

The existing observations, both light curves and spectra, temporarily cannot reveal whether either J004628 or J013033 has a companion. It is still debatable whether or not the two white dwarfs are singles. Perhaps some objects might benefit from higher S/N spectra.

Further time-series photometric observations are needed to detect additional pulsating modes for the asteroseismological analysis, which would allow the essential parameters of the new ZZ Ceti stars to be refined. Our work for the search of new pulsating white dwarfs will continue.
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