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ABSTRACT

We used mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences to examine patterns of differentiation and evolution in the Musophagidae, an avian family endemic to sub-Saharan Africa; attention was focused on the subfamily Musophaginae, the turacos, or louries. Phylogeographic analysis of 410 individual ND2 sequences from throughout the ranges of the currently recognized species revealed multiple instances of unexpectedly large genetic divergences and cryptic taxa. Within both montane and lowland species, including Tauraco hartlaubi and T. schalowi, Menelikornis leucotis, Musophaga macrorhyncha, and Gallirex johnstoni, fixed private haplotypes were found in disjunct portions of the ranges, suggesting negligible recent gene flow and evolutionary independence of populations. Two taxa originally described as subspecies (T. schalowi loitanus and T. s. marungensis), but not recognized for over 50 years, were found to be 100% diagnosable based on the mitochondrial sequences. The data also revealed the existence of two polyphyletic traditional species, Tauraco livingstonii and T. schuettii, as well as the polyphyly or paraphyly of all traditional superspecies complexes involving members of the genus Tauraco. Overall, our analyses of genetic and morphological variation revealed substantial and unexpected geographic diversity within the Musophagidae. We recognize 33 species-level taxa that represent the appropriate units for phylogenetic and biogeographic analyses (phylogenetic species).

We used complete mitochondrial ND2 sequences and nuclear DNA sequences of an Aconitase intron and of the RAG-1 exon to infer the phylogenetic relationships among those species. The results include all the phylogenetic species and, for the first time, nuclear data. We present
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a new classification of the Musophagidae based on our phylogeographic and phylogenetic results. We allocate the 33 species to seven previously recognized genera, an average of 4.7 species per genus.

INTRODUCTION

The turacos and go-away-birds (Musophagidae) are a charismatic family of colorful birds now endemic to sub-Saharan Africa. A fossil from Wyoming placed as a sister to the crown clade suggests ancestral taxa were once more widely distributed (Field and Hsiang, 2018). The extant species are distributed throughout forest, bush, and savannah habitats (Chapin, 1963; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002), and occur from sea level to over 3000 m in elevation. Usually treated as three subfamilies, both their higher-level relationships and species limits have long been controversial. Sibley and Ahlquist (1990) reviewed the history of opinion on affinities of the musophagids with other family-level taxa; they had often been associated with cuckoos, based on their foot arrangement, but at various times had also been thought to be allied with the hoatzin (Opisthocomus) or with gallinaceous birds. Their relationships remain unresolved. Nuclear DNA sequences have suggested a sister-group relationship between turacos and a large clade of water birds (Hackett et al., 2008), bustards (Jarvis et al., 2014), or cuckoos plus bustards (Prum et al., 2015); Prum et al. (2015) suggested they have evolved separately from other avian lineages for 50–60 million years.

The taxonomies of two of the three subfamilies have generally been stable. One of these, the Corythaeolinae, is monotypic and comprised of the great blue turaco, Corythaeola cristata. A second subfamily, Criniferinae, comprises the mostly gray or brown go-away-birds; five generally recognized species possess largely allopatric ranges, although in some cases their ranges overlap with segregation by habitat (Snow, 1978).

The largest subfamily, the Musophaginae, consists of green or violet birds possessing unique, copper-based pigments (turacin and turacoverdin) in their plumage. The nomenclature, number, and composition of species in this group have long been problematic (table 1). Peters (1940) recognized 15 species (plus 18 additional subspecies) in four genera, but seven years later von Boetticher (1947) listed 17 species in 13 genera and subgenera. The most comprehensive analysis in recent times was that of Moreau (1958a, 1958b, 1958c) who recognized only 12 species in two genera. Subsequently, Snow (1978) recognized 16 species, Brosset and Fry (1988) recognized 14 species and, most recently, Turner (1997) and Dickinson and Remsen (2013) both recognized 17 species. However, these numbers obscure additional complexity: von Boetticher’s (1947) 17 species are not identical to those of Dickinson and Remsen (2013).

Geographic variation in plumage and morphology of all the described taxa in this family was thoroughly described by Moreau (1958b). In addition to discrete differences, he also found cases of disagreement among characters and apparent clinal variation. He chose not to recognize some forms and combined others into species and superspecies based on a heuristic application of the biological species concept. Almost all the variation in recent species-level classifications of the birds have been based on differing interpretations of his characters in nonphylogenetic frame-
| Taxon          | Peters (1940)               | von Boetticher (1947) | Moreau (1958a) | Brosset and Fry (1988) | Forshaw and Cooper (2002) | Dickinson and Remsen (2013) | Treatment here                  |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| porphyreolophus | Gallirex p. porphyreolophus | Gallirex p. porphyreolophus | Tauraco p. porphyreolophus | Musophaga p. porphyreolophus | Gallirex p. porphyreolophus | Gallirex p. porphyreolophus | Treatment here                  |
| chlorochlamys  | Gallirex porphyreolophus    | Gallirex porphyreolophus chlorochlamys | Tauraco porphyreolophus chlorochlamys | Musophaga porphyreolophus chlorochlamys | Gallirex porphyreolophus chlorochlamys | Gallirex porphyreolophus chlorochlamys | Treatment here                  |
| johnstoni      | Ruwenzorornis j. johnstoni | Ruwenzorornis j. johnstoni | Tauraco johnstoni | Musophaga johnstoni | Gallirex johnstoni | Gallirex johnstoni | Treatment here                  |
| kivuensis      | Ruwenzorornis johnstoni kivuensis | Ruwenzorornis johnstoni kivuensis | Tauraco johnstoni kivuensis | Musophaga johnstoni kivuensis | Gallirex johnstoni kivuensis | Gallirex kivuensis | Treatment here                  |
| leucotis       | Tauraco l. leucotis         | Tauraco l. leucotis | Tauraco l. leucotis | Tauraco l. leucotis | Tauraco l. leucotis | Tauraco l. leucotis | Treatment here                  |
| donaldsoni     | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni | Menelikornis leucotis |
| rusponti       | Tauraco rusponti            | Tauraco rusponti | Tauraco rusponti | Tauraco rusponti | Tauraco rusponti | Tauraco rusponti | Menelikornis rusponti |
| rossa          | Musophaga violacea rossa    | Musophaga violacea rossa | Musophaga rossa | Musophaga rossa | Tauraco rossa | Tauraco rossa | Musophaga rossa |
| violacea       | Musophaga violacea          | Musophaga violacea | Musophaga violacea | Tauraco violacea | Tauraco violacea | Musophaga violacea | Musophaga violacea |
| macrorhyncha   | Tauraco m. macrorhyncha     | Tauraco (Pseudopoëtus) m. macrorhyncha | Tauraco m. macrorhyncha | Tauraco m. macrorhyncha | Tauraco m. macrorhyncha | Tauraco m. macrorhyncha | Musophaga macrorhyncha |
| verreauxii     | Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii | Tauraco (Pseudopoëtus) macrorhyncha verreauxii | Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii | Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii | Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii | Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii | Musophaga verreauxii |
| bannermani     | Tauraco bannermani          | Proturacus bannermani | Tauraco bannermani | Tauraco bannermani | Tauraco bannermani | Tauraco bannermani | Proturacus bannermani |
| Taxon     | Peters (1940) | von Boetticher (1947) | Moreau (1958a) | Brosset and Fry (1988) | Forshaw and Cooper (2002) | Dickinson and Remsen (2013) | Treatment here |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| leucolophus | *Tauraco* leucolophus | *Heuglinornis* leucolophus | *Tauraco* leucolophus | *Tauraco* leucolophus | *Tauraco* leucolophus | *Proturacus* leucolophus | *Proturacus* leucolophus |
| erythrolophus | *Tauraco* erythrolophus | *(Proturacopsis)* erythrolophus | *Tauraco* erythrolophus | *Tauraco* erythrolophus | *Tauraco* erythrolophus | *Proturacus* erythrolophus | *Proturacus* erythrolophus |
| persa     | *Tauraco* p. persa | *Touraco* *(Tauraco)* p. persa | *Tauraco* corythaix persa | *Tauraco* p. persa | *Tauraco* p. persa | *Tauraco* persa | *Tauraco* persa |
| buffoni   | *Tauraco* persa buffoni | *Touraco* *(Tauraco)* persa buffoni | *Tauraco* corythaix buffoni | *Tauraco* persa buffoni | *Tauraco* persa buffoni | *Tauraco* persa buffoni | *Tauraco* persa buffoni |
| emini     | *Tauraco* schuettii emini | *Touraco* *(Spelectoides)* schuetti [sic]emini | *Tauraco* corythaix emini | *Tauraco* schuettii emini | *Tauraco* schuettii emini | *Tauraco* emini | *Tauraco* emini |
| hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi | *Touraco* *(Pseudogallirex)* hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi | *Tauraco* hartlaubi |
| fischeri  | *Tauraco* fischeri | *Touraco* *(Proturacopsis)* fischeri | *Tauraco* corythaix fischeri | *Tauraco* fischeri | *Tauraco* fischeri | *Tauraco* fischeri | *Tauraco* fischeri |
| reichenowi | = *Tauraco* livingstonii cabanisi | = *Touraco* *(Neumannornis)* reichenowi | = *Tauraco* corythaix livingstonii | = *Tauraco* persa livingstonii reichenowi | *Tauraco* livingstonii reichenowi | *Tauraco* livingstonii reichenowi | *Tauraco* reichenowi |
| corythaix | *Tauraco* corythaix | *Touraco* *(Opoëtus)* corythaix | *Tauraco* c. corythaix | *Tauraco* persa corythaix | *Tauraco* corythaix | *Tauraco* corythaix | *Tauraco* corythaix |
| livingstonii | *Tauraco* l. livingstonii | *Touraco* *(Neumannornis)* livingstonii | *Tauraco* corythaix livingstonii | *Tauraco* persa l. livingstonii | *Tauraco* l. livingstonii | *Tauraco* l. livingstonii | *Tauraco* l. livingstonii |
| schuettii | *Tauraco* s. schuettii | *Touraco* *(Spelectoides)* s. schuetti [sic] | *Tauraco* corythaix schuettii | *Tauraco* s. schuettii | *Tauraco* s. schuettii | *Tauraco* s. schuettii | *Tauraco* s. schuettii |
| chalcolophus | *Tauraco* livingstonii chalcolophus | *Touraco* *(Neumannornis)* schalowi chalcolophus | *Tauraco* corythaix chalcolophus | *Tauraco* persa chalcolophus | *Tauraco* schalowi chalcolophus | = *Tauraco* schalowi chalcolophus | *Tauraco* chalcolophus |
### TABLE 1 continued

| Taxon         | Peters (1940) | von Boetticher (1947) | Moreau (1958a) | Brosset and Fry (1988) | Forshaw and Cooper (2002) | Dickinson and Remsen (2013) | Treatment here |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| schalowi     | Tauraco livingstonii schalowi | Touraco (Neumannornis) s. schalowi | Tauraco corythaix schalowi | Tauraco persa schalowi | Tauraco s. schalowi | Tauraco schalowi | Tauraco schalowi |
| loitanus     | Tauraco livingstonii loitanus | Touraco (Neumannornis) schalowi loitanus | = Tauraco corythaix schalowi | = Tauraco persa schalowi | = Tauraco s. schalowi | = Tauraco schalowi | Tauraco loitanus |
| marungensis  | Tauraco livingstonii marungensis | Touraco (Neumannornis) schalowi marungensis | = Tauraco corythaix schalowi | = Tauraco persa schalowi | = Tauraco s. schalowi | = Tauraco schalowi | Tauraco marungensis |
works. The general problem has been that many of these birds are quite similar in appearance and it has been difficult to place species limits on the parapatric, geographically replacing forms that possess subtle differences or conflicting patterns of phenotypic traits. A second complication arising in these birds is the historic association, among avian taxonomists, of particular anatomical characters with rank (Mayr, 1982); for example, nostril shape was often considered a generic character, while bill color was usually treated as a species- or subspecies-level trait; crest length might be a subspecific or clinal trait (e.g., Moreau, 1959). Thus, for many taxonomists, the green turacos of the Congo Basin possessing black bills had to be related, even if aspects of their plumage suggested otherwise. These various issues have resulted in idiosyncratic and conflicting classifications that need to be resolved; species limits are a serious concern because studies of evolutionary diversification and historical biogeography require monophyletic species taxa as the basic units of analysis (Barrowclough et al., 2016).

We investigated geographic variation, species limits, and phylogeny of the Musophagidae using mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences to resolve turaco systematics. Phylogeography has frequently been useful for identifying cryptic evolutionary units and determining species limits in difficult groups of birds, including those in Africa. For example, African chats (Cercomela: Outlaw et al., 2010), bush-shrikes (Laniarius: Voelker et al., 2010a), and cisticoline warblers (Sceomycter: Bowie et al., 2009) all represent difficult taxa in which molecular studies of geographic variation have been highly informative. Although the phylogenetic relationships among turacos have recently been investigated using both morphology (Veron, 1999) and molecules (Veron and Winney, 2000; Njabo and Sorenson, 2009), these studies were all restricted to exemplars of the generally recognized taxa, accepting traditional classifications based on the biological species concept and either postulated hybrid zones or an inferred ability to hybridize. The monophyly of those biological species was assumed, but not assessed.

For the most part, turacos have large, often parapatric ranges. Consequently, they provide important data concerning large-scale patterns of African biogeography and associated historical processes (Dowsett-Lemaire and Dowsett, 2001). Indeed, these birds have figured in several attempts to assay general patterns of distribution and endemism (e.g., Crowe and Crowe, 1982) with subsequent linkage to Quaternary climate change (Livingstone, 1975). They also have figured in arguments concerning the efficacy of Pleistocene refuge models of speciation (Mayr and O’Hara, 1986), and the role of ecological isolation in species’ distributions (e.g., Lack, 1971). An improved knowledge of turaco diversification should benefit such investigations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nomenclature

The results we report here for the Musophaginae require a species-level classification incompatible with all prior treatments; some names we recognize have not been used in more than 75 years. Consequently, it is difficult to discuss parts of our results using the most recent classification of turacos (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). In some cases, we use specific epithets in the following text, tables, and figures that may not be widely recognized. In table 1 we pro-
provide a brief synopsis of recent species-level classifications of this subfamily, relevant synon-
ymies, and the species level taxonomy supported by our data.

**Samples**

We obtained preserved tissue samples of turacos from the American Museum of Natural History, the Field Museum of Natural History, the Peabody Museum of Natural History at Yale University, the Burke Museum of the University of Washington, the Zoological Museum of the University of Copenhagen, and the British Museum (Natural History) at Tring. However, a relatively small number of geographically dispersed samples were available for most of the generally recognized species-level taxa and, in the case of *ruspolii*, none were available. Consequently, we relied on toe pads of traditional museum specimens for the vast majority of our geographic sampling for DNA sequencing. In addition, we examined traditional museum skins in the collections of the American Museum of Natural History and the Field Museum of Natural History to confirm plumage and soft-part differences among the recognized forms that had been previously described and illustrated by Moreau (1958b) and Forshaw and Cooper (2002).

**Laboratory Methods**

We extracted DNA from the tissue and toe pad samples using standard procedures previously described (e.g., Barrowclough et al., 2011). We sequenced approximately one half of the mitochondrial ND2 gene from the specimens available as toe pad samples. These samples were, in some cases, over 100 years old; consequently, a set of turaco specific PCR primers was designed to amplify 100 to 200 base pair (bp) fragments for those specimens for which longer fragments could not readily be amplified. ND2 extraction and sequencing from large numbers of traditional skin preparations previously has been shown to provide useful data for avian phylogeography (e.g., Reddy, 2008; Perktaş et al., 2011). In addition, we amplified the entire ND2 gene for single exemplars of each of the generally recognized species of musophagids, as well as of subspecific taxa that we found to be genetically divergent in our analyses. Each of these exemplars was also sequenced for the entire 15th intron of the nuclear aconitase-1 gene, using methods previously described (Barrowclough et al., 2011). Finally, we amplified and sequenced a large portion of the nuclear RAG-1 gene for those taxa of turacos for which preserved tissue samples were available, again using previously described procedures (Groth and Barrowclough, 1999). All the PCR products were Sanger sequenced and the chromatograms recorded on an ABI 3730xl DNA analyzer.

**Analyses**

The DNA sequences were assembled, aligned, and analyzed using Sequencher software (version 5.1). We used the program PAUP* version 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2001) to infer minimum-length networks for both the entire set of partial ND2 sequences and for the reduced
set of unique haplotypes found among those sequences. For each of the three exemplar data sets of differentiated taxa (complete ND2, ACO1-I15, and RAG-1), we checked for unusual nucleotides, excessive proportions of ambiguity codes, heterogeneity of base composition at all three coding positions, signatures of contamination (chimerism) and, for the two protein-coding genes, unexpected stop codons and indels not a multiple of three bp in length. We used PAUP* to infer most parsimonious trees for the data sets using 25 TBR heuristic searches (random stepwise addition of taxa, gaps treated as missing, and ambiguities treated as uninformative). We also performed a bootstrap analysis of the parsimony procedure with 100 replicates.

We used the program MODELTEST version 3.06 (Posada and Crandall, 1998) to find initial maximum likelihood models for the three exemplar data sets using the AIC criterion. We then followed the protocol suggested by Sullivan et al. (2005) and performed initial maximum likelihood heuristic TBR searches, using PAUP*, with fixed parameters from the model specified by MODELTEST, starting at the maximum parsimony tree. Upon completion of the likelihood search, we reestimated the likelihood model parameters on the resulting tree; if the parameters had changed, we fixed the new parameters and started a subsequent TBR search. We repeated this procedure until the resulting likelihood tree was consistent with its initial parameters. Finally, we performed likelihood bootstrap analyses using the fixed final parameter sets with 100 TBR replicates, starting from trees obtained using neighbor-joining.

We examined base composition variation among taxa for all three loci. Base composition heterogeneity in vertebrate nuclear genomes is frequently characterized by variation in C plus G content. For the nuclear, noncoding aconitase intron, we computed the overall C plus G fraction for each of the members of the Musophaginae, the Criniferinae, Corythaeola, and the four outgroups (see below). For the coding RAG-1 nuclear gene, we computed the overall C plus G fraction for third position synonymous sites and for first plus second position amino-acid replacement sites. In the vertebrate mitochondrial genome, transitions greatly outnumber transversions; consequently, the A plus G fraction is nearly independent of the C plus T fraction for closely related taxa. Therefore, we computed the A and C fractions at third codon positions for the mitochondrial ND2 locus (e.g., Groth et al., 2015).

We used the program GARLI version 2.01 (Zwickl, 2006) to obtain an overall estimate of turaco phylogeny across loci. Each of the three genes was treated as a separate partition in this likelihood analysis, with its own model parameters optimized during the search, using the autostopping criterion. A bootstrap analysis was performed with 100 replicates and five stepwise random addition searches within each replicate.

In all our phylogenetic analyses, we used a bustard, crane, cuckoo, and stork as outgroups based on recent opinions concerning the phylogenetic relatives of turacos. For our ND2 analyses, we used four sequences from GenBank for that purpose: *Otis tarda*: NC014046; *Antigone canadensis*: FJ769855; *Coccyzus americanus*: EU327609; and *Ciconia ciconia*: NC002197. For ACO1, we sequenced the same four species ourselves. For RAG-1, we used two outgroup sequences from GenBank (*A. canadensis*: AF143732 and *C. americanus*: DQ482640) and sequenced the other two.
Within each species or traditional species complex, we grouped individuals into populations composed of samples taken from collecting localities within the same small country or small region for larger political entities. For those populations with sample sizes of three or more, we estimated Nei’s (1987) nucleotide diversity (π) and computed Holsinger and Mason-Gamer’s (1996) $G^*_{st}$ statistic. The latter is an estimator of the ratio between the among-population and total coalescent times (Slatkin, 1991).

We used the program ARLEQUIN version 3.5.2.2 (Excoffier et al., 2005) to estimate hierarchical components of genetic variance between previously recognized subspecies for those cases in which we observed substantial divergence in the ND2 phylogeographic analysis. In these estimates, transitions and transversions were equally weighted; the levels of analysis were: among subspecies; among populations within subspecies; and within populations. The estimate of $F^*_{st}$ among subspecies was taken as the hierarchical component of genetic variance among those taxa.

**RESULTS**

**Phylogeography**

We obtained an aligned set of 410 partial sequences of the ND2 gene from representatives of all generally recognized species, and most subspecies, of musophagine turacos. The partial ND2 data set was 607 bp long and corresponded to base pairs 5405 through 6011 of the published complete mitochondrial genome of *Gallus* (Desjardins and Morais, 1990). No indels or ambiguous bases were required in the alignment. These sequences have been deposited in GenBank; GenBank accession number, museum voucher information, as well as available data on the geographic origin of each specimen are provided in appendix 1. Unfortunately, many of these specimens were very old and the correspondence between their label data and currently recognized place names was sometimes difficult to assess; some specimens could be allocated only to present-day countries, others were assignable to counties, provinces, regions, states, or more specific localities, based on label data, atlases, and gazetteers.

The number of individuals sampled from each currently recognized species (Dickinson and Remsen, 2013) and the number of haplotypes found within each are shown in table 2. Overall, the 410 sequences corresponded to 116 unique haplotypes. Nucleotide diversities, averaged over populations represented by three or more individuals, generally ranged between $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-3}$, but we observed no variation among the three sampled specimens of *ruspolii* (table 2).

A minimum-spanning network for the 116 haplotypes is shown in figure 1. No haplotypes were shared between any pairs of currently recognized species. However, there were frequent instances of geographically allopatric or parapatric taxa, currently considered subspecies, that shared no haplotypes and, in many cases, were reciprocally monophyletic. In two cases, *livingstonii* and *schuettii*, the currently recognized species were not monophyletic.

The network shown (fig. 1) is one of many, and the various resolutions of all the networks resulted in 1936 alternate minimum length trees in the PAUP* analysis. The only major differ-
### TABLE 2. Genetic variation in turaco populations at the ND2 locus.

| Taxon                        | Individuals Sampled (no.) | Populations Sampled (no.) | Haplotypes Observed (no.) | Nucleotide Diversity (π) | Among-population variance ($G_{st}$) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Gallirex porphyreolophus     | 25                        | 11                        | 9                         | 0.0008                   | 0.00                                |
| G. p. porphyreolophus        | 7                         | 3                         | 6                         | 0.0016                   |                                     |
| G. p. chlorochlamys          | 18                        | 8                         | 4                         | 0.0003                   | 0.09                                |
| G. johnstoni                 | 14                        | 3                         | 6                         | 0.0011                   | 0.63                                |
| G. j. johnstoni              | 7                         | 1                         | 2                         | 0.0005                   |                                     |
| G. j. kivuensis              | 7                         | 2                         | 4                         | 0.0016                   |                                     |
| Menelikornis leucotis        | 20                        | 8                         | 6                         | 0.0008                   | 0.50                                |
| M. l. leucotis               | 12                        | 5                         | 5                         | 0.0010                   | 0.08                                |
| M. l. donaldsoni             | 8                         | 3                         | 1                         | 0.0000                   |                                     |
| M. ruspolii                  | 3                         | 1                         | 1                         | 0.0000                   |                                     |
| Musophaga rossae             | 33                        | 7                         | 4                         | 0.0006                   | -0.19                               |
| M. violacea                  | 12                        | 6                         | 6                         | 0.0038                   |                                     |
| M. macrorhyncha              | 39                        | 6                         | 14                        | 0.0014                   | 0.78                                |
| M. m. macrorhyncha           | 12                        | 2                         | 5                         | 0.0013                   |                                     |
| M. m. verreauxii             | 27                        | 4                         | 9                         | 0.0014                   | 0.15                                |
| Proturacus bannermani        | 4                         | 1                         | 2                         | 0.0008                   |                                     |
| P. leucolophus               | 19                        | 5                         | 4                         | 0.0011                   | 0.18                                |
| P. erythrolophus             | 8                         | 1                         | 3                         | 0.0011                   |                                     |
| Tauraco persa                | 44                        | 10                        | 8                         | 0.0007                   | 0.88                                |
| T. p. persa                  | 26                        | 6                         | 5                         | 0.0011                   | 0.28                                |
| T. p. buffoni                 | 18                        | 4                         | 3                         | 0.0002                   | 0.42                                |
| T. schuettii                 | 34                        | 8                         | 10                        | 0.0014                   | 0.90                                |
| T. s. schuettii              | 17                        | 3                         | 6                         | 0.0009                   | 0.14                                |
| T. s. emini                  | 17                        | 5                         | 4                         | 0.0019                   | 0.06                                |
| T. hartlaubi                 | 58                        | 11                        | 11                        | 0.0008                   | 0.81                                |
| T. fischeri                  | 15                        | 5                         | 4                         | 0.0006                   | -0.05                               |
| T. corythaix                 | 17                        | 4                         | 4                         | 0.0002                   | 0.83                                |
| T. livingstonii              | 32                        | 6                         | 8                         | 0.0009                   | 0.93                                |
| T. l. livingstonii           | 13                        | 2                         | 2                         | 0.0004                   | 0.41                                |
| T. l. reichenowi             | 19                        | 4                         | 6                         | 0.0015                   | 0.05                                |
| T. schalowi                  | 33                        | 8                         | 16                        | 0.0017                   | 0.75                                |
| T. s. chalcophus             | 3                         | 1                         | 1                         | 0.0000                   |                                     |
| T. s. schalowi               | 13                        | 1                         | 7                         | 0.0033                   |                                     |
| T. s. loitanus               | 2                         | 1                         | 1                         | 0.0000                   |                                     |
| T. s. marungensis            | 15                        | 5                         | 7                         | 0.0018                   | 0.43                                |

*π and $G_{st}$ estimated over populations with N≥3
ences among these were that, in one third of the trees, *M. verreauxii* was paraphyletic with respect to *M. macrorhyncha* in an alternate local rooting and, in approximately 30% of the trees, either *T. schalowi* (sensu latu) or *T. schuettii* was paraphyletic with respect to the other taxon. None of the alternate trees, however, resulted in polyphyly of any of the taxa identified in figure 1, and there was no haplotype sharing anywhere in the network with the exception of two individuals of *porphyreolophus* possessing the predominant haplotype found in *chlorochlamys*.

The geographic distributions of haplotypes are shown in figures 2–6 for each of the 17 species-level taxa of musophagines recognized by Dickinson and Remsen (2013). These show the geographic pattern and extent of our sampling within those taxa, the haplotype network for each species, and suggest regions where the genetic units might contact between the sampled populations. The magnitude of genetic divergence among populations is provided by our estimates of $G_{st}$ (table 2); in many cases, these estimates were large and reflect clades of haplotypes restricted to divergent, currently subspecific, taxa. Consequently, we also provide estimates of $G_{st}$ within such subspecies. For example, 88% of the total genetic variation was distributed among populations of the traditional *T. persa* across the west coast of Africa, but substantially less among populations within each of our restricted *persa* and *buffoni* (table 2). In other instances, large values of $G_{st}$ occurred within much smaller regions (e.g., *T. hartlaubi* and *M. leucotis* plus *M. donaldsoni*).

**Species Delimitation**

Based on the pattern of geographically parapatric and allopatric clades of haplotypes found in our phylogeographic analysis, in addition to abrupt geographic transitions in plumage and soft-part morphology, as documented by Moreau (1958b), we concluded that there are 27 phylogenetic species-level taxa within the subfamily Musophaginae; these are the taxa appropriate for studies of phylogenetic diversification and historical biogeographic analysis. Our assessment incorporates phylogeography, morphology, and geography, as indicated in table 3. The associated hierarchical estimates of genetic variance, based on the ARLEQUIN results, were very high (>47%, table 3), with the sole exception of that between *G. porphyreolophus* and *G. chlorochlamys* (20%), in which a shared haplotype was found in one population.

**Phylogeny**

We obtained complete sequences of the mitochondrial ND2 gene from 33 taxa of musophagids. The sequences have been deposited in GenBank (KU160188–KU160218); specimen voucher data is provided in appendix 1. All sequences were 1041 bp in length, including the outgroups; there were no ambiguous bases, and they could be aligned without indels.

We obtained complete sequences of intron 15 of the nuclear aconitase-1 gene from the same 33 taxa of turacos sequenced for the ND2 gene, as well as for the same four outgroups. These sequences have been deposited in GenBank (KT372802–KT372836, MF766008–MF766009); specimen voucher data is provided in appendix 2. The sequences varied in length...
FIGURE 1. Minimum-spanning network for 116 ND2 haplotypes found among 410 individuals of musophagine turacos. Area of each pie diagram is proportional to number of individuals sampled with that haplotype; black dots indicate positions of unobserved (inferred) ancestral haplotypes. Approximate position of branch from sister taxa of Musophagidae indicated by arrow. Alternate colors and names correspond to species-level taxa recognized here.
We obtained an overall alignment of 574 bp; this required ten indels within the ingroup, four of which represented synapomorphies. There were 16 indels inferred among the outgroups. The ACO1 gene resides on the Z chromosome in birds and consequently is diploid in males and haploid in females; we observed a range of heterozygosities within individuals of 0.0 to 0.009 among the turacos.

We obtained new nuclear RAG-1 sequences from 23 taxa of musophagids and two outgroups for which preserved tissues were available. The sequences have been deposited in GenBank (KT424072–KT424096); specimen voucher data are provided in appendix 3. The sequenced fragment is identical to that described by Groth and Barrowclough (1999); it corresponds to base pairs 84 through 2967 of the Gallus gene (GenBank: M58530; Carlson et al. 1991). We also used the RAG-1 sequence of one additional species of turaco (P. erythrolophus: DQ482643) previously deposited in GenBank. The four outgroups represent the same taxa used in a previous study.
for the other genes. A single, 3 bp indel in one outgroup (*Antigone canadensis*) was required to align the sequences. The overall alignment of the gene fragment was 2872 bp in length; heterozygosity ranged from 0.0 to 0.006 for this autosomal gene. No length heterozygotes were encountered in either of the nuclear loci.

We used PAUP* to infer maximum likelihood trees, along with bootstrap confidence indices, for each of the three genes using a GTR plus G model of sequence evolution, based on the MODELTEST AIC results. The ND2 and ACO1 trees both included 33 taxa of musophagids; their bootstrap consensus trees are shown in figure 7 (outgroups not shown). The RAG-1 analysis included the reduced set of 24 ingroup taxa for which fresh tissues were available (fig. 8), plus the four outgroups (not shown). Each of the three genes resulted in monophyletic clades corresponding to the three traditional subfamily-level taxa. In fact, the only major discordance among the three trees was the position of *Corythaecula* in the two nuclear trees versus the mitochondrial tree. For the ND2 and ACO1 results, three minor discrepancies also occurred within species groups (figure 7); in two of those cases, high bootstrap support from ND2 conflicted with weak bootstrap support from ACO1. For example, *P. leucolophus* was sister to *P.*
erythrolophus in the ND2 tree, but sister to P. bannermani in the ACO1 tree. The four synapomorphic indels at the ACO1 locus, not used in our phylogenetic inference, nevertheless were each consistent with nodes that also had appreciable bootstrap support. The RAG-1 results (fig. 8) were generally consistent with the other two trees; some minor discrepancies within the green turaco complex were present, but lacked bootstrap support.

We performed a combined analysis of the three genes using Garli. Each gene was treated as a separate partition, using GTR plus G models, with bootstrap replicates. The resulting consensus (fig. 9) placed Corythaeca with the go-away-birds (90% bootstrap) and showed more hierarchical structure within the Musophaginae than did any of the three individual gene trees.

Our ND2 sequences placed Corythaeca as sister to the turacos, whereas the two nuclear loci placed it as sister to the go-away-birds; a prior mitochondrial study had placed Corythaeca as sister to the rest of the family (Veron and Winney, 2000). Because base composition heterogeneity can interfere with phylogenetic reconstruction, we examined base composition in the three loci used here. For both RAG-1 (fig. 10) and ACO1 (not shown), there was little variation in base composition within the Musophagidae; however, for ND2 (fig. 11) variation was substantial within the ingroup,
and especially within the go-away-birds, as it was among the outgroups. In particular, *Corythaeola* possessed an A nucleotide fraction within the range of that of the turacos, but substantially greater than that of the go-away-birds. For C nucleotides, *Corythaeola* was closer to *Gallirex*, sister to the rest of the musophagines, than it was to mean of the widely dispersed criniferines. These three subfamilies are from 14% to 17% divergent for ND2 and it is possible that base composition heterogeneity may have attracted *Corythaeola* to the musophagine portion of the evolutionary network for this mitochondrial gene and interfered with recovering actual evolutionary relationships.

**DISCUSSION**

**Phylogeography and Species Limits in the Musophagidae**

Based on our analyses of the mtDNA sequences and our assessment of prior descriptions of the external morphology of the birds, we treat allopatric or parapatric forms that are diag-
nosable as phylogenetic species (Barrowclough et al., 2016). These are the proper units for studies of evolutionary divergence and historical biogeography; they document diversity hidden in current avian species lists (Collar, 2018). In addition, they play a critical role in setting priorities for conservation planning (Peterson and Navarro-Sigüenza, 1999; Goldstein et al., 2000). In the absence of detailed behavioral data, it is not evident whether each of these corresponds to a traditional biological species. Nevertheless, our results reinforce the suggestion of Barrowclough et al. (2016) that there is substantial unrecognized phylogenetic divergence in birds. Below we discuss each taxon given species rank in the most recent, widely used classification, that of Dickinson and Remsen (2013).

Gallirex johnstoni: Short et al. (1990) suggest that “Rwenzori” is the proper spelling for the vernacular of this turaco. The two currently recognized subspecies, G. j. johnstoni and G. j. kivuensis, have ranges that are allopatric (fig. 2). They possess discrete differences in plumage and soft-part coloration (Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002), and their mitochondrial haplotype networks resolve as two differentiated (table 3), reciprocally monophyletic clades.
TABLE 3. Diagnostic characteristics of newly recognized species-taxa of turacos.  

| New species-level taxon | Prior taxonomic treatment (Dickinson and Remsen 2013) | Hierarchical \(F_{st}\) between species taxa | Differentiation |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| *Gallirex chlorochlamys* | *G. porphyreolophus chlorochlamys*                  | 0.20                                       | ND2: 100% of *chlorochlamys* distinguishable from 71% of *porphyreolophus*, based on one shared haplotype (83% in chlorochlamys and 28% in porphyreolophus) and additional haplotypes restricted to one or other. Plumeage: no brownish-pink wash on breast and back of *chlorochlamys* (Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Soft parts: patch before eye bare; not feathered as in *porphyreolophus* (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: *chlorochlamys* and *porphyreolophus* probably parapatric across the Zambesi River (Moreau, 1958b); possible intergradation near edge of range in north central Zimbabwe (Smithers, 1951). |
| *Gallirex kivuensis*     | *G. johnstoni kivuensis*                             | 0.79                                       | ND2: *johnstoni* and *kivuensis* 100% diagnosable and reciprocally monophyletic. Soft parts: skin around eye feathered in *kivuensis*, not brightly colored as in *johnstoni* (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: allopatric (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni*| *Tauraco leucotis donaldsoni*                       | 0.67                                       | ND2: *donaldsoni* and *leucotis* 100% diagnosable. Plumeage: crest red in *donaldsoni*; blue in *leucotis* (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: allopatric (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Musophaga verreauxii*   | *Tauraco macrorhyncha verreauxii*                   | 0.88                                       | ND2: *verreauxii* and *macrorhyncha* 100% diagnosable and reciprocally monophyletic. Plumeage: green crest with terminal red border in *verreauxii*; green with white subterminal and blue terminal border in *macrorhyncha* (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: allopatric (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Tauraco buffoni*        | *T. persa buffoni*                                  | 0.90                                       | ND2: *persa* and *buffoni* 100% diagnosable. Plumeage: *buffoni* lacks white line below eye found in *persa* (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: parapatric; possible narrow hybrid zone in Ivory Coast (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Tauraco emini*          | *T. schuettii emini*                                | 0.95                                       | ND2: *emini* and *schuettii* 100% diagnosable; reciprocally monophyletic; they are not sister taxa. Plumeage: back, wings, thighs, and upper tail bright green in *emini*; violet-blue-black in *schuettii* (Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). Geography: parapatric; possible hybridization in northeastern Democratic Republic of Congo (Chapin, 1939; Moreau, 1958b). |
These represent two phylogenetic species. Their divergence is most likely the result of a history of isolation within high-elevation, montane forest fragments that are separated from each other by unsuitable, lower-elevation habitat. Moreau (1958b) thought a third taxon, *G. j. bredoi*, confined to Mt. Kabobo in the Democratic Republic of Congo, possessed “good” characters, but he later (Moreau, 1958c) changed his opinion, based on the examination of additional specimens. We were not able to examine any specimens during this research.

(*Gallirex porphyreolophus*): The purple-crested turaco occurs from southern Kenya to the northeastern portion of the Republic of South Africa. The two generally recognized subspecies, *G. p. porphyreolophus* and *G. p. chlorochlamys*, have discrete well-marked differences in both plumage pattern and soft parts, as described by Moreau (1958b) and illustrated by Forshaw and Cooper (2002). Moreau (1958b), based on his examination of the large series at the British Museum, suggested the taxa were isolated by the Zambesi River; all (22) specimens at the AMNH are consistent with that interpretation (appendix 4). The suggestion of intergradation between these two (Moreau, 1958b) appears to be based on a few specimens, with reduced brownish pink on their breast and mantle, taken from the upper Zambesi and its tributaries, toward the western

---

**TABLE 3 continued**

| New species-level taxon | Prior taxonomic treatment (Dickinson and Remsen 2013) | Hierarchical $F_s$ between species taxa | Differentiation |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| *Tauraco reichenowi*    | *T. livingstonii reichenowi*                           | 0.96                                   | ND2: *reichenowi* and *livingstonii* 100% diagnosable; reciprocally monophyletic; they are not sister taxa. Plumage: subtle, inconsistent (Chapin, 1939) differences of green versus blue on back, wings, and tail. Geography: parapatric?; possible hybrid zone (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Tauraco chalcolophus*  | *T. schalowi* (part)                                   | 0.74                                   | ND2: *chalcolophus* is 100% diagnosable from, and sister to, the rest of the *schalowi* complex. Plumage: white line under eye absent in *chalcolophus*; crest and nape feathers with blue gloss (Moreau, 1958b). Geography: allopatric (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Tauraco loitanus*      | *T. schalowi* (part)                                   | 0.60                                   | ND2: *loitanus* is 100% diagnosable from the rest of the *schalowi* complex. Plumage: cryptic (Moreau, 1958b). Geography: distantly allopatric (Moreau, 1958b). |
| *Tauraco marungensis*   | *T. schalowi* (part)                                   | 0.47                                   | ND2: *marungensis* is 100% diagnosable from the rest of the *schalowi* complex. Plumage: cryptic (Chapin, 1939; Moreau, 1958b). Geography: parapatric or possibly allopatric in eastern Angola or western Zambia (Moreau, 1958b); possible contact unknown. |

* Diagnoses of plumage and soft part characters based on Chapin (1939), Moreau (1958b), and Forshaw and Cooper (2002).
FIGURE 7. Bootstrap consensus maximum likelihood trees for complete ND2 and ACO1-I15 DNA sequences of species-level taxa of turacos; bootstrap values indicated for nodes with greater than 50% support. Phylogenetic positions of four synapomorphic indels among the ACO1 sequences are indicated by vertical bars. Four conflicts between the two genes are indicated.
We have not seen those specimens; they might be relevant under the biological species concept, but not under a phylogenetic concept in which historical isolation trumps limited hybridization.

We found several private haplotypes restricted to one or the other taxon, and a single haplotype present at high frequency in *chlorochlamys* (83%) and at low frequency (28%) in *porphyreolophus* (fig. 2). The occurrence of that common haplotype in *porphyreolophus* was not proximal to the edge of the range of *chlorochlamys*, near the Zambesi, where one might expect it on the basis of hybridization, but rather in the center of the range in the South African Mpumalanga Province (formerly Transvaal), consistent with a hypothesis of incomplete lineage sorting. The two Mpumalanga specimens that possessed the common, *chlorochlamys* ND2 haplotype (AMNH624120 and AMNH624123) both possess the diagnostic *porphyreolophus* character traits of brownish-pink breast and a reduced bare spot in front of the eye (appendix 4). This complex clearly merits future investigation, but, for the present, we recognize two phylogenetic species, based on the combination of mtDNA and morphology, the apparent result of geographic isolation in the relatively recent (e.g., incomplete lineage sorting) past.

**Menelikornis leucotis**/*M. ruspolii*: Our data indicate that the two currently recognized subspecies of white-cheeked turaco, along with Prince Ruspoli’s turaco, are a closely related
FIGURE 9. Phylogenetic relationships among species-level taxa of turacos based on partitioned maximum likelihood analysis of ND2, ACO1-I15, and RAG-1 DNA sequences; bootstrap values indicated for nodes with greater than 50% support. Polyphyletic relationships of taxa within two currently recognized biological species (e.g., Dickinson and Remsen, 2013) are highlighted with arrows and alternate colors.
geographical assemblage (fig. 1) in northeast Africa (fig. 5). The three are diagnosable based on either our ND2 sequences or the morphological characters described by Moreau (1958b) and depicted in Forshaw and Cooper (2002). *M. donaldsoni* was as genetically divergent from *leucotis* as was *ruspolii*. They represent three allopatric, species-level taxa. Nucleotide divergence within the *leucotis* complex, $G_{st}$, was 0.5, and the hierarchical $F_{st}$ was 0.67; these values were almost entirely due to the inclusion of the *donaldsoni* samples with those of *leucotis* (table 2, table 3). We did not find any genetic variation in either *donaldsoni* or *ruspolii*.

*Musophaga rossae*: Lady Ross’s turaco, a monotypic species, has an extensive distribution through much of the southern and eastern Congo River Basin and its fringes (fig. 3). Nevertheless, it showed less genetic divergence over that range than the statistical error associated with our population samples (table 2). A sample from an isolated population from northern Cameroon shared haplotypes with a population sample from eastern Haut-Zaire, more than 1500 km away; this suggests that recently a more extensive distribution must have existed across the northern edge of the Congo Basin.

*Musophaga violacea*: The monotypic violet turaco showed substantial nucleotide diversity in our sample from Ghana (table 2). Although the species has a wide geographic distribution from Senegal to Cameroon (fig. 3), five of our six samples were smaller than
three individuals per population; consequently, we did not calculate $G_{st}$ across the range. We note, however, that the Senegal and Guinea-Bissau samples were fixed for a haplotype, two substitutions divergent from any others, that was not found east of those locations; this suggests there may be some additional structure within western Africa. We were not able to examine any specimens of this species from an isolated population in northeastern Central African Republic/southern Chad; a note by Moreau (1958b) suggests that population may be morphologically divergent.

*Musophaga macrorhyncha*: Although it has a range extending from Sierra Leone in West Africa to northern Angola on the west coast of central Africa, there is a discontinuity in the range of the yellow-billed turaco across the Dahomey Gap (Moreau, 1958b; Brosset and Fry, 1988). This gap also separates the ranges of the two described taxa, *M. macrorhyncha* to the west and *M. verreauxii* to the east. Our data suggest the gap also marks the division between two distinct, reciprocally monophyletic clades of haplotypes (fig. 1, fig 3) that were responsible for most (88%) of the overall genetic variance (table 3). These two taxa are well marked morphologically, with, among other traits, red versus blue crests (Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002). They represent two phylogenetic species with the Dahomey Gap as a biogeographic barrier.
Proturacus bannermani: Bannerman's turaco is known from high montane forest (above 1700 m) from a single range in western Cameroon (fig. 2); it is monotypic. We found two haplotypes among four sequenced specimens (table 2).

Proturacus erythrolophus: The red-crested turaco has a restricted geographical range along the coast of Angola; there are no described subspecies. Our eight samples all derive from the central portion of that range (fig. 2) and showed moderate variation (table 2).

Proturacus leucolophus: The white-crested turaco has a range across north-central Africa from Nigeria to Kenya. We observed moderate nucleotide diversity among five population samples. Genetic variance among these samples was significant (0.18). This was the result of three private haplotypes, one restricted to Cameroon and two to the Democratic Republic of the Congo; however, both those locality samples possessed the widespread, common haplotype.

Tauraco corythaix: The Knysna turaco has a distribution along the South African coast from Cape Province to KwaZulu-Natal and Swaziland, with an isolated population (T. c. phoebus) in the highlands of Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces (Moreau, 1958b). We identified four haplotypes in the four populations we sampled (fig. 4). Nucleotide diversity was low because the variation was largely distributed among, rather than within, populations (\(G_{st} = 0.83\), table 2). In fact, our single sample of phoebus possessed a haplotype not found elsewhere and our sample of four individuals from the Cape Province also was fixed for a private haplotype. This species shows little variation in plumage; phoebus is not a well-marked subspecies (Moreau, 1958b), but the distribution of fixed private haplotypes suggests there may be genetic differentiation within this species. This bird requires further investigation with denser geographic sampling.

Tauraco fischeri: Fischer's turaco has a restricted range on the coast of East Africa, with a separate subspecies on Zanzibar (fig. 4). We found one common haplotype, plus three singletons, in samples from five localities. Nucleotide diversity was low and divergence among populations did not exceed sampling error (table 2). We sequenced one of the two extant specimens from the Zanzibar population (T. f. zanzibaricus); it had a unique haplotype one substitution away from the most common haplotype found on the mainland. We agree with Pakenham (1938) and Moreau (1958b) that these birds possess a markedly bluer back than do the Kenya and Tanzania birds. Therefore, it is plausible that the Zanzibar birds represent a separate taxon; however, with a single sequence only one step away from the common, mainland haplotype, we are unable to determine whether the island population is fixed for a novel haplotype or simply possesses a local polymorphism. The situation requires further molecular and morphological investigation.

Tauraco hartlaubi: Hartlaub's turaco is found in the highlands and on isolated mountain ranges and volcanoes of East Africa in Kenya, northern Tanzania, and eastern Uganda. We found several common haplotypes distributed widely among our central Kenyan population samples, but the geographic isolates elsewhere in the range were largely fixed for private haplotypes, rendering several populations, for example, those of Mt. Elgon, Kilimanjaro, and the Usambara Range, 100% diagnosable (fig. 6). This geographic pattern was associated with 81%
of the genetic variance distributed among populations (table 2). It is probable that there are several species-level taxa within this complex. However, the toe pad samples were not adequate for substantive nuclear DNA sequencing and morphological variation among the isolated populations was minimal. Consequently, we have chosen not to describe new taxa solely based on a fragment of one mitochondrial gene. The hartlaubi complex deserves substantial additional field and lab work.

_Tauraco livingstonii_: We identified two clades of Livingstone's turaco, not each other's closest relatives (figs. 1, 9), which corresponded to described subspecies from northern and southern portions of the species range (fig. 4). The clades were 14 substitutions apart, and 96% of the genetic variance was distributed between the subspecies (table 3). Unfortunately, our population samples were not uniformly distributed over space, and we had no samples from the far southern portion of the range. Nevertheless, our results would seem to place the transition between the haplotype clades in southern Tanzania or northern Mozambique. This division does not closely correspond to published descriptions of the ranges of the morphologically based (blue-green vs. green plumage on back) subspecies, _livingstonii_ and _reichenowi_ (e.g., Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002; Dickinson and Remsen, 2013).

Dickinson and Remsen (2013) restrict their _T. l. livingstonii_ to the highlands of southern Malawi, west through adjacent Mozambique, to eastern Zimbabwe. Forshaw and Cooper (2002) give it a larger range, extending north to southwestern Tanzania. Both treatments correspond to interior versus more coastal distributions, as does Map V of Clancey (1971) and the discussion of Moreau (1958b). Turner (1997) similarly restricted _livingstonii_, but also limited his coastal _reichenowi_ subspecies by recognizing a third taxon, _T. l. cabanisi_, for the southern coastal populations. Further sampling is clearly warranted here, but our results strongly support the existence of two unrelated species with northern and southern, rather than eastern and western ranges. The type locality of _livingstonii_ is southern Malawi (Nyasaland) and that of _reichenowi_ is in central east Tanzania (Tanganyika Territory); thus, we assign our southern species the name _livingstonii_, and our northern taxon the name _reichenowi_. The extent and geographic distribution of morphological variation in this bird is complex (Moreau, 1958b), and now clearly requires further evaluation.

_Tauraco persa_: The green turaco has an extensive range across West Africa from Senegal to Cameroon and south to Angola. We found two clades of haplotypes that correspond to regions west (_T. buffoni_) and east (_T. persa_) of Ivory Coast (fig. 4). Most of the genetic variation in the complex was distributed between those two regions (table 3). These represent two well-differentiated, morphologically (Moreau, 1958b; Forshaw and Cooper, 2002) as well as genetically, phylogenetic species. The division between them appears to be west of the well-known Dahomey Gap; this is consistent with the subspecific distributions reported by Moreau (1958b). _T. p. zenkeri_ is an occasionally recognized taxon with a distribution to the east of our available samples; it requires further investigation.

_Tauraco schalowi_: Schalow's turaco, as traditionally recognized, has a range from Angola, east across Zambia, through the southeastern portion of the Democratic Republic of Congo, to the Rift Valley lakes. There are two additional isolated populations that have been named,
but not generally recognized: one in the Crater and Mbulu highlands of central Tanzania (\textit{T. s. chalcolophus}) and the other in the Loita Hills of southwestern Kenya (\textit{T. s. loitanus}). Although the birds appear quite similar in plumage across this range (Moreau, 1958b), we found a complex pattern of differentiated haplotypes (fig. 4) and a large fraction (75\%) of genetic variation distributed among regions (table 2). First, our sample of \textit{chalcolophus} from Tanzania was fixed for a haplotype, found nowhere else, that placed that population as sister to the rest of the complex (fig. 1). Our Angola sample, from the western portion of the range (\textit{schalowi}), possessed a network of haplotypes that was restricted to that country (fig. 3). Derived from within that network was a clade of eight haplotypes present only in the eastern portion of the bird’s range. One of those was fixed in a small sample from the allopatric population in the Loita Hills (\textit{loitanus}); the remaining seven (\textit{marungensis}) were found in the eastern contiguous portion of the range. Consequently, there were two probably parapatric and two completely allopatric taxa in the complex, each of which was 100\% diagnosable on the basis of mtDNA sequences. Forshaw and Cooper (2002) thought \textit{chalcolophus} was separable based on plumage; the other three represent nearly cryptic taxa (Chapin, 1939; Moreau, 1958b), two of which are currently paraphyletic. The precise geographic boundary between \textit{T. schalowi} and \textit{T. marungensis}, probably somewhere in eastern Angola or western Zambia, is not clear (Peters, 1940; Moreau, 1958b), but if the distribution given by Snow (1978) is correct, the two might actually be geographically disjunct. The distribution of genetic variance among these taxa (table 3) indicates there are four phylogenetic taxa.

\textit{Tauraco schuetii}: The black-billed turaco occupies much of the central and eastern Congo Basin, and extends into Uganda, South Sudan, and western Kenya. We found two reciprocally monophyletic clades of haplotypes that were not sister taxa (figs. 1, 9) and explained approximately 95\% of the overall genetic variation (table 3). They correspond to the traditional subspecies \textit{T. s. schuetii} in the west and \textit{T. s. emini} in the east (fig. 4). The forms are well marked, with violet (\textit{schuetii}) versus green (\textit{emini}) plumage on the back, wings, and tail (e.g., Forshaw and Cooper, 2002), and represent nonsister taxa. The suggestion in the literature of intermediates (Moreau, 1958b) or possible hybridization (Brosset and Fry, 1988) “in a relatively narrow band” (Chapin, 1939) in the northeastern Congo Basin (e.g., Schouteden, 1950) would represent secondary contact of nonsister species. It seems clear that these two taxa have been recognized as conspecifics in the past based on their shared black bill; their striking plumage differences warranted them only subspecific rank.

**Phylogeny**

Evolutionary relationships among turacos, in the recent, quantitative phylogenetics era, have been investigated using morphological traits (Veron, 1999), karyotypes (Van Tuinen and Valentine, 1986), protein electrophoresis (Brush and Witt, 1983), and DNA sequences (Veron and Winney, 2000; Njabo and Sorenson, 2009). None of those prior studies included all the species-level taxa; additionally, the two previous DNA studies were based solely on mitochondrial sequences.
Our phylogenetic results, based on the ND2 mtDNA sequences, provide greater resolution, but are in general agreement with the results from our two nuclear loci (figs. 7, 8). In terms of generally recognized higher taxa, we obtained, for each of the three genes, monophyletic clades of go-away-birds (Criniferinae) and of green turacos (Musophaginae), plus a monotypic great blue turaco (Corythaeleolinae). Veron and Winney (2000) reported similar results based on partial sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome \( b \) locus for 22 taxa, as did Veron (1999) based on a cladistic analysis of 34 morphological characters for 23 species. Criniferinae and Musophaginae are also separated by two chromosomal inversions (Van Tuinen and Valentine, 1986).

The Aconitase data strongly (98% bootstrap) support \textit{Corythaeola} as sister to the Criniferinae, while the ND2 data place it as sister to the Musophaginae with modest support (70%); the partitioned, three gene results are congruent (90%) with those of ACO1. Veron’s (1999) cladistic analyses and Veron and Winney’s (2000) neighbor-joining analyses placed \textit{Corythaeola} as sister to the rest of the family; however, Njabo and Sorenson’s (2009) Bayesian likelihood reanalysis of those cyt-\( b \) data placed \textit{Corythaeola} as sister to the Musophaginae, albeit with very weak (0.56) support. The divergences among these three subfamily level taxa are old (perhaps 23 MYA according to Prum et al., 2015, or as much as 30–40 MYA in the dating by Njabo and Sorenson, 2009), and difficult for rapidly evolving mtDNA sequences to resolve, especially given the substantial base-composition heterogeneity we identified in that gene. The intermediate evolutionary rate of the aconitase intron, with its reduced base-composition heterogeneity, provided clearer signal (e.g., consistency index, bootstrap value).

Within the go-away-birds, our results, as well as all prior phylogenetic work, have indicated sister relationships between \textit{Crinifer piscator} and \textit{C. zonurus} and between \textit{Corythaixoides personatus} and \textit{C. concolor}. The relationships of \textit{Criniferoides leucogaster} are not resolved: our combined analysis placed it as sister to \textit{Crinifer} with moderate (78%) bootstrap support; Njabo and Sorenson (2009) placed it as sister to \textit{Crinifer} and \textit{Corythaixoides} with a weak Bayesian posterior of 0.83. Thus, the precise branching pattern within the Criniferinae remains uncertain.

Within the green turaco (Musophaginae) clade, the major differences between our phylogenetic results and much of the more recent work reflect our addition of Prince Ruspoli’s turaco to the study, our addition of taxa previously treated as subspecies, and our much-improved sampling of individuals and populations. For the ND2 sequences, we found five major clades of these birds with bootstrap support of 98% to 100%; each of the five clades were many substitutions apart in the ND2 haplotype network of turacos (fig. 1).

A branch arising from the first node within the green turacos led to a clade comprised of members of the genus \textit{Gallirex} (sensu Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). All recent authors have recognized a close relationship among these birds, although \textit{G. johnstoni} and \textit{G. kivuensis} were often placed in the genus \textit{Ruwenzorornis} (table 1). Snow (1978) treated \textit{Gallirex} and \textit{Ruwenzorornis} as a superspecies, as did subsequent accounts such as those of Brosset and Fry (1988) and Forshaw and Cooper (2002). That the \textit{Gallirex} (including \textit{Ruwenzorornis}) complex is sister to the remaining green turacos was reported by Veron and Winney (2000) and confirmed by Njabo and Sorenson (2009).
The ND2 and aconitase genes both identified a second turaco clade comprised of *leucotis*, *donaldsoni*, and *ruspolii*. The prior mtDNA studies of Veron and Winney (2000) and Njabo and Sorenson (2009) included only *leucotis* (sensu stricto); the latter’s Bayesian analysis placed *leucotis* in a position equivalent to our result for the clade of three taxa. None of the previous DNA studies included *ruspolii*; we placed it in the *leucotis* clade with high (100%) mitochondrial and combined bootstraps. Brosset and Fry (1988) thought *hartlaubi* was related to this assemblage and allied it with *leucotis* and *ruspolii* in a superspecies; our data strongly reject that hypothesis.

The third clade was comprised of two species, *rossae* and *violacea*, traditionally placed in the genus *Musophaga*, plus the *macrorhyncha* complex. The previous sequencing studies both identified this clade. Brosset and Fry (1988) thought there was a close relationship between the first two species, traditional *Musophaga*, and our first clade, the *Gallirex* complex; they based this treatment on plumage pattern and color, and on the cytological results of Van Tuinen and Valentine (1986). However, this latter justification was not supported by those karyotypic results; it represented a misinterpretation of symplesiomorphy as evidence for close relationship.

Our fourth clade, consisting of *bannermani*, *erythrolophus*, and *leucolophus*, present in all three genetic loci, was also identified by Njabo and Sorenson (2009). Traditional classifications (e.g., Brosset and Fry, 1988) have treated *bannermani* and *erythrolophus* as members of a superspecies; the autapomorphic plumage traits of *leucolophus* apparently masked its close relationship with the other two species. For example, Veron (1999) did not recover this clade in his cladistic analysis of 34 plumage and other morphological characters.

The final, largest, clade of green turacos was also identified in the other two molecular studies. However, because of our broad sampling, the clade here includes more species-level taxa than in those prior results. Additionally, the phylogeographic results indicate that some taxa formerly treated as conspecific (e.g., Dickinson and Remsen, 2013) are not even monophyletic: for example, *T. schuettii* and *T. emini*, and *T. livingstonii* and *T. reichenowi*. In addition, each of the superspecies assemblages recognized by Snow (1978) within these birds was either para- or polyphyletic. One genetically well-differentiated taxon, *T. chalcolophus*, was not even recognized as a valid subspecies by Turner (1997) or Dickinson and Remsen (2013), and many taxa that were 100% diagnosable based on the sequences and morphology were not recognized. As is apparent from the phylogeographic network (fig. 1), the green turaco clade represents a rapid, probably recent series of speciation events.

**Classification**

As pointed out above, our phylogeographic results require the recognition of species-level taxa of turacos not afforded that rank in recent classifications of the family (Brosset and Fry, 1988; Turner, 1997; Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). In addition, our results indicate that the genus *Tauraco* is not monophyletic in the first two of those classifications, and that several superspecies complexes recognized by Moreau (1958a), Snow (1978), Brosset and Fry (1988), Short et al. (1990), Sibley and Monroe (1990) and Turner (1997) are not monophyletic (table
The application of the biological species concept, and the subsequent allocation of such species to superspecies, has consistently obscured evolutionary relationships in this family of birds, even by authors (e.g., Short et al., 1990) who have themselves pointed out the danger of overreaching superspecific taxa (Amadon and Short, 1992). Although the failure to recognize nearly cryptic species and the recognition of polyphyletic species is understandable given the similarity of plumages in the green turacos, the recognition of polyphyletic superspecies represents an active error of using parapatry or of weighting some characters more highly than others in the absence of any phylogenetic analysis. Fry (1988) recognized this as a potential issue in this group. As a consequence of all these problems, we propose a classification that corrects the errors and provides a list of the taxa that would be essential for any study of evolution or biogeography of these birds or for a larger investigation of patterns of historical diversification on the African continent.

We recognize three subfamilies of musophagids: Corythaeolinae, Criniferinae, and Musophaginae. Recent classifications have varied in recognizing between two (e.g., Sibley and Monroe, 1990) and four (e.g., Verheyen, 1956) subfamilies; however, most have used three (Turner, 1997). Bock (1994) pointed out that Tauracidae and Tauracinae (Verheyen, 1956), originally Turacidae (Rafinesque, 1815), have priority over Musophagidae (Lesson, 1828), but Musophagidae has been used consistently as a family-group name for over 150 years.

There has been a long history of tension between lumping and splitting at the generic level. However, as Mayr (1943) pointed out, in a Linnaean classification the scientific name of a species consists of generic and specific designations; these are intended to represent alternate aspects of relationship: the specific epithet emphasizes differences and individuates the species, whereas the generic allocation is a collective and should carry information about similarity among species. The balance between the two in a classification is a matter of convenience and opinion. As the ratio of genera to species in a classification approaches one, the generic name becomes redundant—all the information content is in the species epithet; as the ratio of genera to species gets small, hierarchical content of the classification is lost.

Although von Boetticher (1947) used four genera for the five species of go-away-birds, most recent classifications have used three (e.g., Dickinson and Remsen, 2013). Nevertheless, with an average of only 1.67 species each, such genera are almost redundant in a Latin binomial. A more efficient classification would use a single genus; Crinifer has priority. We also note that in our maximum likelihood tree based on complete ND2 sequences (not shown), the divergences among the five go-away-birds are of the same magnitude as those among species within our genera Gallirex and Musophaga, and much less than among our proposed genera in the Musophaginae.

Within the green turacos, there were five major clades (e.g., fig. 1) identified by ND2 that were either concordant or consistent with the nuclear loci (fig. 7, fig. 8). Because species traditionally placed in the genus Tauraco were found in four of these, one must either recognize a very large genus Tauraco that includes at least 23 species, including Musophaga (as did Veron and Winney, 2000), or allocate traditional members of Tauraco to other genera. The former, a classification with two genera comprised of 4 and 23 species, seems unbalanced. Alternatively,
Table 4. Phylogenetic status of musophagine superspecies.

| Superspecies group | Authors recognizing superspecific taxon | Phylogenetic status |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| porphyreolophus + chlorochlamys + johnstoni + kivuensis | M58; S78; BF88; SM90 | Monophyletic |
| violacea + rossa | S78; BF88; SHM90; SM90; T97 | Monophyletic |
| leucotis + donaldsoni + ruspoli + hartlaubi | M58; S78; BF88; SHM90; SM90 | Polyphyletic |
| leucotis + donaldsoni + hartlaubi | T97 | Polyphyletic |
| erythrolophus + bannermani | M58; S78; BF88; SM90; T97 | Paraphyletic |
| persa + buffoni + chalcolophus + corythaix + emini + fisheri + livingstonii + loitanus + marungensis + reichenowi + schalowi + schuettii | S78; BF88; SHM90; SM90; T97 | Paraphyletic |

* Moreau, 1958b (M58); Snow, 1978 (S78); Brosset and Fry, 1988 (BF88); Short et al., 1990 (SHM90); Sibley and Monroe, 1990 (SM90); Turner, 1997 (T97).

Von Boetticher (1947) recognized 13 genera and subgenera for his 17 species, a ratio of 1.3 species per generic-level taxon. We recognize five genera with an average of approximately five species per genus (and a range of three to 13). Names are already available for each of these.

In several cases in which we have elevated taxa to the species rank, English common names were not available in the literature. Where appropriate, we have added geographic modifiers, such as eastern and western or northern and southern, to the current vernaculars. In other cases, we have suggested the use of modifiers based on relevant geographical or political names.

Family **MUSOPHAGIDAE** Lesson, 1828

Subfamily **CORYTHAEOLINAE** Verheyen, 1956 – blue turacos

Genus **CORYTHAEOLA** Heine, 1860

*Corythaeola cristata* (Vieillot, 1816) **GREAT BLUE TURACO**

Subfamily **CRINIFERINAE** Verheyen, 1956 – go-away-birds

Genus **CRINIFER** Jarocki, 1821

*Crinifer leucogaster* (Rüppell, 1842a) **WHITE-BELLIED GO-AWAY-BIRD**

*Crinifer piscator* (Boddaert, 1783) **WESTERN GREY PLANTAIN-EATER**

*Crinifer zonurus* (Rüppell, 1835a) **EASTERN GREY PLANTAIN-EATER**

*Crinifer concolor* (Smith, 1833) **GREY GO-AWAY-BIRD**

*Crinifer personatus* (Rüppell, 1842b) **BARE-FACED GO-AWAY-BIRD**

Subfamily **MUSOPHAGINAE** (Lesson, 1828) – turacos

Genus **GALLIREX** Lesson, 1844

*Gallirex porphyreolophus* (Vigors, 1831) **SOUTHERN PURPLE-CRESTED TURACO**

*Gallirex chlorochlamys* Shelley, 1881 **NORTHERN PURPLE-CRESTED TURACO**

*Gallirex johnstoni* Sharpe, 1901 **RWENZORI TURACO**

*Gallirex kivuensis* (Neumann, 1908a) **KIVU TURACO**

Genus **MENELIKORNIS** von Boetticher, 1947

*Menelikornis leucotis* (Rüppell, 1835b) **WHITE-CHEEKED TURACO**

*Menelikornis donaldsoni* (Sharpe, 1895) **DONALDSON’S TURACO**
**Menelikornis ruspolii** (Salvadori, 1896) Prince Ruspoli’s turaco

*Genus MUSOPHAGA* Isert, 1789

*Musophaga rossae* Gould, 1852 Lady Ross’s turaco

*Musophaga violacea* Isert, 1789 violet turaco

*Musophaga macrorhyncha* (Fraser, 1839) western yellow-billed turaco

*Musophaga verreauxii* Schlegel, 1854 eastern yellow-billed turaco

*Genus PROTURACUS* Bates, 1923

*Proturacus bannermani* Bates, 1923 Bannerman’s turaco

*Proturacus leucolophus* (von Heuglin, 1855) white-crested turaco

*Proturacus erythrolophus* (Vieillot, 1819a) red-crested turaco

*Genus TAURACO* Kluk, 1779

*Tauraco persa* (Linnaeus, 1758) eastern green turaco

*Tauraco buffoni* (Vieillot, 1819b) western green turaco

*Tauraco emini* (Reichenow, 1893) eastern black-billed turaco

*Tauraco hartlaubi* (Fischer and Reichenow, 1884) Hartlaub’s turaco

*Tauraco fischeri* (Reichenow, 1878) Fischer’s turaco

*Tauraco reichenowi* (Fischer, 1880) Reichenow’s turaco

*Tauraco corythaix* (Wagler, 1827) Knysna turaco

*Tauraco livingstonii* (Gray, 1864) Livingstone’s turaco

*Tauraco schuettii* (Cabanis, 1879) western black-billed turaco

*Tauraco chalcolophus* (Neumann, 1895) Ngorongoro turaco

*Tauraco schalowi* (Reichenow, 1891) Schalow’s turaco

*Tauraco loitanus* (Neumann, 1908b) Loita turaco

*Tauraco marungensis* (Reichenow, 1902) Zambia turaco

---

**Biogeography**

Nearly a century ago, Chapin (1923) published a map summarizing general avian distribution patterns across Africa; he recognized six ecological provinces and 17 districts, largely based on vegetation, which he thought reflected overall faunal diversity. Moreau (1966) provided a more detailed update of such patterns in his monograph on the African avifauna, but it was not until the compendia of Hall and Moreau (1970) and Snow (1978) that detailed distribution maps of most species-level taxa of African birds were assembled. Crowe and Crowe (1982) analyzed those data in a statistical assessment of the efficacy of vegetation as a surrogate for defining avifaunal zones and boundaries, and provided a hierarchical classification of such zones. Dowsett-Lemaire and Dowsett (2001) and de Klerk et al. (2002) reexamined those patterns in greater detail. More recently, Linder et al. (2012) summarized African biogeographic patterns across plants and vertebrates. Of course, most of those analyses were based on assessments of species limits prevalent at the time, before detailed molecular studies were available. Our phylogeographic results suggest there is substantially more diversity and genetic structure at varying geographic scales than prior...
authors had suspected. This represents an additional example of a pattern that has been suggested to be general across birds (Barrowclough et al., 2016).

The importance of montane regions of Africa as centers of diversity is widely recognized (Stuart et al., 1993; Burgess et al., 2007; Fjeldså and Bowie, 2008). Dowsett (1986) summarized the organization of the montane avifaunal regions of Africa and these are generally concordant with our clades (fig. 1) of montane turacos. However, with the exception of Bannerman’s turaco, we observed significant taxonomic and geographic structure within his montane groups (e.g., Gallirex johnstoni, Tauraco hartlaubi); that is, phylogeography revealed finer geographic structure, presumably due to more recent historical events, than Dowsett (1986) identified using biological species as units of history. Multiple recent phylogeographic studies are consistent with this pattern of cryptic diversity within assemblages of African montane birds (e.g., Bowie et al., 2004, 2006, 2009). Voelker et al. (2010b) suggested that these montane patterns were driven by Pliocene forest dynamics.

There have been few avian phylogeographic surveys at the larger spatial scale of the extensive African lowland forest; this is perhaps due to the difficulty of obtaining fresh DNA samples from multiple political entities. Nevertheless, our results are generally consistent with those of several recent studies in uncovering significant geographic diversity within lowland avian species and subspecies (e.g., Marks, 2010; Fuchs et al., 2016; Huntley and Voelker, 2016). For example, in West Africa, in two lowland forest-associated traditional complexes, those of M. macrorhyncha and T. persa, we found strong genetic differentiation across or near the Dahomey Gap. The concordance of avian divergence across the Dahomey Gap/lower Niger River is a well-known pattern (e.g., Fuchs and Bowie, 2015) and corresponds to a gap between probable forest refuges (e.g., Diamond and Hamilton, 1980; Mayr and O’Hara, 1986; Maley, 2001). On the other hand, in the more northerly distributed, savannah-associated M. violacea, divergence across the region was not observed. Similarly, in P. leucolophus, distributed in dry forest and savannah north of the Congo Basin, we observed no pronounced geographic structure, but in the forest-dwelling T. schalowi complex, we observed substantial geographic structure. Thus, our results indicate the possible existence, both within montane and lowland forest avifaunas, of largely unappreciated diversity in many taxa. Parallel surveys and attendant discoveries have already begun on the mammalian fauna (e.g., Moodley and Bruford, 2007; Anco et al., 2017).

Perhaps the most interesting of our geographic observations were those of taxa with marked genetic breaks in locations not associated with major phenotypic divergence or current habitat discontinuities. Tauraco schalowi/T. marungensis and T. schuettii/T. emini appear to be parapatric, or possibly even allopatric, somewhere in the eastern or central Congo River Basin; this may be the result of past habitat fragmentation in the eastern Congo during the last (schalowi/marungensis) or an earlier (schuettii/emini) glacial cycle (e.g., Maley, 2001). Similarly, Gallirex porphyreolophus/G. chlorochlamys and T. livingstonii/T. reichenowi (the latter not a species pair) are differentiated in forested habitat in coastal eastern Africa; Fuchs et al. (2017) found mixed haplotype clades in some drongos in this same region. Future, denser sampling will be required to better characterize those zones.
Further Research

Not surprisingly, given the geographical heterogeneity of specimen collecting in sub-Saharan Africa, a great many problems remain in our understanding of turaco systematics. Perhaps the real import of our research is the discovery of how much remains to be investigated concerning geographic variation and species limits in turacos. First, we have yet to obtain DNA sequences for two potentially important populations, originally described as subspecies, that may represent differentiated taxa. These are the population of *T. persa* at the east-central portion of its range (*T. p. zenkeri*), and the population of *Gallirex johnstoni* on Mt. Kabobo in the eastern Democratic Republic of Congo (*G. j. bredoi*). In addition, the taxonomic status of the population of *T. fischeri* on Zanzibar is enigmatic and requires further attention. Second, our geographic sampling of green turacos has left large portions of the ranges of several of the species unsampled (e.g., *Tauraco schalowi*, *P. leucolophus*, and *M. rossae*). The *T. hartlaubi* complex probably harbors several additional species-level taxa and requires additional, especially nuclear, sequencing. In other cases, further sampling might allow for the quantitative characterization of possible zones of contact between sister taxa across western Africa (e.g., *T. persa* and *T. buffoni*) and zones of contact between sister taxa, such as *T. corythaix* and *T. livingstonii* in southern Africa and *Menelikornis leucotis* and *M. donaldsoni* in Ethiopia (Erard and Prévost, 1971). Distantly related pairs, such as *T. livingstonii* and *T. reichenowi* in eastern Africa, as well as *Tauraco schalowi* and *T. marunensis*, and *T. schuettii* and *T. emini*, both in the Congo Basin, all require attention to establish range limits. The *Gallirex porphyreolophus* plus *G. chlorochlamys* complex in Zambia, Zimbabwe, and Mozambique particularly requires attention. Third, thorough geographic surveys of the great blue turaco (*Corythaela*) and of the go-away-birds (*Crinifer*) are necessary.

Finally, all our phylogeographic analysis has been based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. Although mtDNA is expected to provide a more sensitive indicator of recent geographical isolation than is nuclear DNA (Zink and Barrowclough, 2008), apparent instances of mito-nuclear discordance are known in birds (Toews and Brelsford, 2012). A multilocus nuclear DNA survey of turaco phylogeography would be welcome.
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### APPENDIX 1

**Specimens of Musophagids, and Outgroups, Sequenced for ND2**

| Taxon                  | Voucher      | Locality                          | bp  | GenBank no. | Source       |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------|--------------|
| Corythaola cristata    | AMNH DOT 10637 | Central African Republic: Sangha-Mbaere Province | 1041 | KU160213    | This study   |
| Crinifer leucogaster   | AMNH DOT 14740 | avairy                            | 1041 | KU160214    | This study   |
| Crinifer concolor      | AMNH DOT 5821  | South Africa: Limpopo Province    | 1041 | KU160215    | This study   |
| Crinifer personatus    | AMNH 448561   | Uganda: Western Region             | 1041 | KU160216    | This study   |
| Crinifer piscator      | AMNH DOT 14745 | avairy                            | 1041 | KU160217    | This study   |
| Crinifer zonurus       | AMNH 158998   | Democratic Republic Congo: Haut-Uele Region | 1041 | KU160218    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | AMNH 262537   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189322    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | AMNH 262538   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189323    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | AMNH 262539   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189324    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | AMNH 262544   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189325    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | AMNH 262555   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189326    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | FMNH 355261   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 607  | MF189327    | This study   |
| Gallirex johnstoni     | FMNH 355262   | Uganda: Ruwenzori Mtns.           | 1041 | KU160188    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 262563   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 1041 | KU160189    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 262565   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607  | MF189328    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 262566   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607  | MF189329    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 262568   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607  | MF189330    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 624137   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607  | MF189331    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 624140   | Rwanda: “Rugege Forest”            | 607  | MF189332    | This study   |
| Gallirex kivuensis     | AMNH 624141   | Rwanda: “Rugege Forest”            | 607  | MF189333    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | FMNH 282648  | Mozambique: Sofala Province        | 607  | MF189496    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 624120  | South Africa: Transvaal Province   | 607  | MF189497    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 624121  | South Africa: Transvaal Province   | 607  | MF189498    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 624122  | South Africa: Transvaal Province   | 607  | MF189499    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 624123  | South Africa: Transvaal Province   | 607  | MF189500    | This study   |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 50078   | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province | 1041 | KU160190    | This study   |
| Taxon                  | Voucher   | Locality                        | bp | GenBank no. | Source         |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------|
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | AMNH 176644 | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province | 607 | MF189501    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 193647 | Kenya: Central Province          | 607 | MF189479    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 193645 | Kenya: Coast Province            | 607 | MF189480    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 193646 | Kenya: Coast Province            | 607 | MF189481    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 95372  | Tanzania: Arusha Region          | 607 | MF189482    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 428621 | Tanzania: Tabora Region          | 607 | MF189483    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 428622 | Tanzania: Tabora Region          | 607 | MF189484    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 202572 | Tanzania: Tabora Region          | 607 | MF189485    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 202573 | Tanzania: Tabora Region          | 1041 | KU160191    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 414158 | Tanzania: Tabora Region          | 607 | MF189486    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 205164 | Zambia: Eastern Province         | 607 | MF189487    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 205165 | Zambia: Eastern Province         | 607 | MF189488    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 205167 | Zambia: Eastern Province         | 607 | MF189489    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 205168 | Zambia: Eastern Province         | 607 | MF189490    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 205169 | Zambia: Eastern Province         | 607 | MF189491    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 474718 | Malawi: Northern Region          | 607 | MF189492    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 474717 | Malawi: Southern Region          | 607 | MF189493    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | AMNH 624129 | Malawi: Southern Region          | 607 | MF189494    | This study     |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys    | FMNH 471008 | Malawi: Southern Region          | 607 | MF189495    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | FMNH 82474  | Ethiopia: Begemder Province      | 607 | MF189352    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | FMNH 82479  | Ethiopia: Begemder Province      | 1041 | KU160192    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | FMNH 82480  | Ethiopia: Begemder Province      | 607 | MF189353    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | FMNH 82484  | Ethiopia: Gojam Province         | 607 | MF189354    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | AMNH 624001 | Ethiopia: Shewa Province         | 607 | MF189355    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | AMNH 624002 | Ethiopia: Shewa Province         | 607 | MF189356    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | AMNH 624004 | Ethiopia: Shewa Province         | 607 | MF189357    | This study     |
| Menelikornis leucotis    | AMNH 624010 | Ethiopia: Gamu-Gofa Province     | 607 | MF189358    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | AMNH 624022 | Ethiopia: Hararghe Province      | 607 | MF189359    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | AMNH 624023 | Ethiopia: Hararghe Province      | 607 | MF189360    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | FMNH 82495  | Ethiopia: Bale Province          | 607 | MF189361    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | AMNH 294948 | Ethiopia: Arsi Province          | 1041 | KU160193    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | FMNH 82487  | Ethiopia: Arsi Province          | 607 | MF189362    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | FMNH 82488  | Ethiopia: Arsi Province          | 607 | MF189363    | This study     |
| Menelikornis donaldsoni  | FMNH 82493  | Ethiopia: Arsi Province          | 607 | MF189364    | This study     |
| Taxon                   | Voucher   | Locality                     | bp  | GenBank no.  | Source     |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----|--------------|------------|
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni* | FMNH 82494 | Ethiopia: Arsi Province       | 607 | MF189365     | This study |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni* | FMNH 82481 | Ethiopia: Bale Province       | 607 | MF189366     | This study |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni* | FMNH 82482 | Ethiopia: Bale Province       | 607 | MF189367     | This study |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni* | FMNH 82483 | Ethiopia: Bale Province       | 607 | MF189368     | This study |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni* | FMNH 82486 | Ethiopia: Bale Province       | 607 | MF189369     | This study |
| *Menelikornis ruspolii*  | AMNH 708768 | Ethiopia: Sidamo Province     | 1041| KU160194     | This study |
| *Menelikornis ruspolii*  | BMNH 1946.5.1323 | Ethiopia: Sidamo Province | 607 | MF189502     | This study |
| *Menelikornis ruspolii*  | BMNH 1946.5.1324 | Ethiopia: Sidamo Province | 607 | MF189503     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 831518 | Kenya: Rift Valley Province   | 607 | MF189181     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 624169 | Uganda: Central Region        | 607 | MF189182     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 624171 | Uganda: Central Region        | 607 | MF189183     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 624172 | Uganda: Central Region        | 607 | MF189184     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 624173 | Uganda: Central Region        | 607 | MF189185     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 10737  | Uganda: Central Region        | 607 | MF189186     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 158958 | Democratic Republic Congo: Haut-Zaïre Province | 607 | MF189187     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 262579 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 1041| KU160198     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 624164 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607 | MF189188     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 764050 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607 | MF189189     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 764053 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607 | MF189190     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | FMNH 301934 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region | 607 | MF189191     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | FMNH 205172 | Zambia: South Zambia          | 607 | MF189192     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | FMNH 262839 | Zambia: West Province         | 607 | MF189193     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50298  | Angola: Lunda Province        | 607 | MF189194     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50299  | Angola: Lunda Province        | 607 | MF189195     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50300  | Angola: Malanje Province      | 607 | MF189196     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50301  | Angola: Lunda Province        | 607 | MF189197     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50302  | Angola: Malanje Province      | 607 | MF189198     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50304  | Angola: Lunda Province        | 607 | MF189199     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | YPM 50305  | Angola: Lunda Province        | 607 | MF189200     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 418941 | Angola: Bié Province          | 607 | MF189201     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 259284 | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province   | 607 | MF189202     | This study |
| *Musophaga rossae*      | AMNH 259285 | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province   | 607 | MF189203     | This study |
### APPENDIX 1 continued

| Taxon               | Voucher       | Locality                  | bp | GenBank no. | Source       |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------|----|-------------|--------------|
| *Musophaga rossae*  | AMNH 624154   | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province | 607| MF189204    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | AMNH 624155   | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province | 607| MF189205    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 220662   | Angola: Malanje Province   | 607| MF189206    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 220663   | Angola: Malanje Province   | 607| MF189207    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 220664   | Angola: Malanje Province   | 607| MF189208    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 220665   | Angola: Malanje Province   | 607| MF189209    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 220666   | Angola: Luanda Province    | 607| MF189210    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 269887   | Cameroon: Adamawa Region   | 607| MF189211    | This study   |
| *Musophaga rossae*  | FMNH 269888   | Cameroon: Adamawa Region   | 607| MF189212    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 269886   | Cameroon: Adamawa Region   | 607| MF189213    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| AMNH 624152   | Nigeria: Kaduna State      | 607| MF189214    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| AMNH 624153   | Nigeria: Housaland         | 607| MF189215    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 396417   | Ghana: Northern Region     | 607| MF189216    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 396418   | Ghana: Northern Region     | 607| MF189217    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 396419   | Ghana: Northern Region     | 607| MF189218    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 396420   | Ghana: Northern Region     | 607| MF189219    | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 278808   | Ivory Coast: Savanes District | 607| MF189220   | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| FMNH 285159   | Ivory Coast: Savanes District | 607| MF189221   | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| AMNH 624147   | Guinea-Bissau: Cacheu Region | 1041| KU160199 | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| AMNH 624148   | Guinea-Bissau: Cacheu Region | 607| MF189222   | This study   |
| *Musophaga violacea*| YPM 45304     | Senegal: Tambacounda Region | 607| MF189223   | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| AMNH 623862 | Sierra Leone: Southern Region | 607| MF189400 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| AMNH 623863 | Sierra Leone: Western Area | 607| MF189401 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| AMNH 268757 | Liberia: Montserrado County | 607| MF189402 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| AMNH 344663 | Liberia: Bong County       | 607| MF189403 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| AMNH 415156 | Liberia: Montserrado County | 607| MF189404 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| FMNH 186647 | Liberia: Margibi County    | 607| MF189405 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| FMNH 186648 | Liberia: Margibi County    | 607| MF189406 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| FMNH 186649 | Liberia: Margibi County    | 607| MF189407 | This study   |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*| FMNH 186650 | Liberia: Margibi County    | 607| MF189408 | This study   |
| Taxon               | Voucher       | Locality                     | bp  | GenBank no. | Source      |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| Musophaga macrorhyncha | FMNH 186651  | Liberia: Margibi County      | 607 | MF189409    | This study  |
| Musophaga macrorhyncha | YPM 10745    | Liberia                      | 1041| KU160200    | This study  |
| Musophaga macrorhyncha | YPM 76850    | Liberia: Nimba County        | 607 | MF189410    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 428567  | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189411    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 428568  | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189412    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624048  | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189413    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 95649   | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189414    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 95650   | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189415    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 95651   | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189416    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 95653   | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189417    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 95654   | Cameroon: Southwest Region   | 607 | MF189418    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 269856  | Cameroon: South Region       | 607 | MF189419    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 269857  | Cameroon: South Region       | 607 | MF189420    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 269858  | Cameroon: South Region       | 607 | MF189421    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 269859  | Cameroon: South Region       | 607 | MF189422    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 269860  | Cameroon: South Region       | 607 | MF189423    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 297328  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189424 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 297329  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189425 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 297330  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189426 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624041  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189427 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624043  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189428 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624044  | Equatorial Guinea: Bioko Norte Province | 607 | MF189429 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | YPM 100498   | Equatorial Guinea: Centro Sur Province | 1041| KU160201 | This study |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624051  | Gabon: Ogooué River          | 607 | MF189430    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624052  | Gabon: Ogooué River          | 607 | MF189431    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624053  | Gabon: Ogooué River          | 607 | MF189432    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | AMNH 624054  | Gabon: Ogooué River          | 607 | MF189433    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 210612  | Gabon: Ngounié Province      | 607 | MF189434    | This study  |
| Musophaga verreauxii | FMNH 210613  | Gabon: Ngounié Province      | 607 | MF189435    | This study  |
### APPENDIX 1 continued

| Taxon                    | Voucher     | Locality                                      | bp  | GenBank no. | Source     |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|------------|
| Musophaga verreauxii     | FMNH 215518 | Gabon: Ngounié Province                       | 607 | MF189436    | This study |
| Proturacus bannermani    | AMNH 251194 | Cameroon: Northwest Region                    | 607 | MF189224    | This study |
| Proturacus bannermani    | AMNH 624119 | Cameroon: Northwest Region                    | 1041| KU160195    | This study |
| Proturacus bannermani    | FMNH 343113 | Cameroon: Northwest Region                    | 607 | MF189225    | This study |
| Proturacus bannermani    | FMNH 343114 | Cameroon: Northwest Region                    | 607 | MF18926     | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 121729 | Cameroon: Adamawa Region                      | 607 | MF189334    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 188976 | Cameroon: Adamawa Region                      | 607 | MF189335    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 269884 | Cameroon: Adamawa Region                      | 607 | MF189336    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 269885 | Cameroon: Adamawa Region                      | 607 | MF189337    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 269883 | Cameroon: Adamawa Region                      | 607 | MF189338    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | AMNH 624117 | Central African Republic: Haut-Mbomou Prefecture | 607 | MF189339    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | AMNH 624118 | Central African Republic: Haut-Mbomou Prefecture | 607 | MF189340    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | AMNH 158944 | Democratic Republic Congo: Haut-Zaïre Province | 607 | MF189341    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | AMNH 158945 | Democratic Republic Congo: Haut-Zaïre Province | 607 | MF189342    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | AMNH 158950 | Democratic Republic Congo: Haut-Zaïre Province | 607 | MF189343    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 103270 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607 | MF189344    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 103271 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 1041| KU160197    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 103272 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607 | MF189345    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 103273 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607 | MF189346    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 298235 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607 | MF189347    | This study |
| Proturacus leucolophus   | FMNH 298236 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607 | MF189348    | This study |
| Taxon               | Voucher  | Locality                                      | bp | GenBank no. | Source   |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|----|-------------|----------|
| Proturacus leucolophus | FMNH 298237 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria State          | 607| MF189349    | This study|
| Proturacus leucolophus | AMNH 624113 | Kenya: Rift Valley Province                   | 607| MF189350    | This study|
| Proturacus leucolophus | AMNH 624114 | Kenya: Rift Valley Province                   | 607| MF189351    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | AMNH 624036 | Angola: Malanje Province                       | 607| MF189245    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | AMNH 624037 | Angola: Malanje Province                       | 607| MF189246    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | AMNH 624039 | Angola: Malanje Province                       | 607| MF189247    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | YPM 50278  | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province                    | 607| MF189248    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | YPM 50279  | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province                    | 1041| KU160196   | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | YPM 50280  | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province                    | 607| MF189249    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | YPM 50282  | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province                    | 607| MF189250    | This study|
| Proturacus erythrolophus | AMNH 624035 | Angola: Benguela Province                     | 607| MF189251    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | FMNH 285574 | Ivory Coast: Vallée du Bandama District        | 607| MF189454    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623869 | Nigeria: Rivers State                           | 607| MF189455    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623870 | Nigeria: Rivers State                           | 607| MF189456    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623871 | Nigeria: Rivers State                           | 607| MF189457    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623872 | Nigeria: Rivers State                           | 607| MF189458    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 812107 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189459    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415142 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189460    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415143 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189461    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415154 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189462    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415138 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189463    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415144 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189464    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415145 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189465    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415147 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189466    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415148 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189467    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 415149 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189468    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623878 | Cameroon: Littoral Region                      | 607| MF189469    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623881 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189470    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623882 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189471    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623884 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189472    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623885 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189473    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623886 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189474    | This study|
| Tauraco persa        | AMNH 623888 | Gabon: Ogooué River                            | 607| MF189475    | This study|
| Taxon          | Voucher | Locality                         | bp  | GenBank no. | Source   |
|---------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----|-------------|----------|
| *Tauraco persa* | AMNH 158914 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kongo Central Province | 607 | MF189476    | This study |
| *Tauraco persa* | YPM 50272  | Angola: Cuanza Norte Province     | 1041| KU160205    | This study |
| *Tauraco persa* | YPM 50273  | Angola: Cuanza Norte Province     | 607 | MF189477    | This study |
| *Tauraco persa* | YPM 50274  | Angola: Cuanza Norte Province     | 607 | MF189478    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623896 | Guinea-Bissau: Cacheu Region      | 607 | MF189437    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623897 | Guinea-Bissau: Cacheu Region      | 607 | MF189438    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623898 | Guinea-Bissau: Cacheu Region      | 607 | MF189439    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623899 | Sierra Leone: Southern Province   | 607 | MF189440    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623900 | Sierra Leone: Northern Province   | 607 | MF189441    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623902 | Sierra Leone: Northern Province   | 607 | MF189442    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | AMNH 623903 | Sierra Leone: Western Province    | 607 | MF189443    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186636 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189444    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186637 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189445    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186638 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189446    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186639 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189447    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186640 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189448    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186641 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189449    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186642 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189450    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186643 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189451    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | FMNH 186644 | Liberia: Nimba County             | 607 | MF189452    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | YPM 76848   | Ivory Coast: Montagnes District   | 1041| KU160206    | This study |
| *Tauraco buffoni* | YPM 76849   | Ivory Coast: Montagnes District   | 607 | MF189453    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | FMNH 298238 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria Province | 1041| KU160202    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | FMNH 298239 | South Sudan: Eastern Equatoria Province | 607 | MF189533    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | FMNH 193612 | Uganda: Central Region            | 607 | MF189534    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | AMNH 623960 | Uganda: Central Region            | 607 | MF189535    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | FMNH 193614 | Uganda: Western Region            | 607 | MF189536    | This study |
| *Tauraco emini*  | FMNH 193615 | Uganda: Western Region            | 607 | MF189537    | This study |
| Taxon               | Voucher     | Locality                        | bp | GenBank no. | Source         |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----|-------------|----------------|
| *Tauraco emini*    | FMNH 193616 | Uganda: Western Region          | 607| MF189538    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | FMNH 193617 | Uganda: Western Region          | 607| MF189539    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | FMNH 357943 | Rwanda: Butare Province         | 607| MF189540    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | FMNH 357944 | Burundi: Western Burundi        | 607| MF189541    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 262535 | Democratic Republic Congo: North Kivu | 607| MF189542    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 450787 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189543    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 623971 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189544    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 623973 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189545    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 623974 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189546    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 623976 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189547    | This study     |
| *Tauraco emini*    | AMNH 764047 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu State | 607| MF189548    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| FMNH 369554 | Kenya: Marsabit Co.; Mt. Kulal  | 607| MF189265    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| FMNH 369556 | Kenya: Marsabit Co.; Mt. Kulal  | 607| MF189266    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| FMNH 193608 | Kenya: Samburu Co.; Mt. Nyiru   | 607| MF189267    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| FMNH 193609 | Kenya: Samburu Co.; Mt. Nyiru   | 607| MF189268    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624062 | Uganda: Eastern Region; Mt. Elgon | 607| MF189269    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624063 | Uganda: Eastern Region; Mt. Elgon | 607| MF189270    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624064 | Uganda: Eastern Region; Mt. Elgon | 607| MF189271    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 123749 | Kenya: Uasin-Gishu Co.          | 607| MF189272    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 123750 | Kenya: Uasin-Gishu Co.          | 607| MF189273    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624071 | Kenya: Uasin-Gishu Co.          | 607| MF189274    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624066 | Kenya: Nakuru Co.; Molo         | 607| MF189275    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624067 | Kenya: Nakuru Co.; Molo         | 607| MF189276    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624068 | Kenya: Nakuru Co.; Subugo       | 607| MF189277    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624069 | Kenya: Nakuru Co.; Subugo       | 607| MF189278    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624070 | Kenya: Nakuru Co.; Subugo       | 607| MF189279    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624095 | Kenya: Meru Co.                 | 607| MF189280    | This study     |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*| AMNH 624096 | Kenya: Meru Co.                 | 607| MF189281    | This study     |
| Taxon          | Voucher    | Locality                   | bp | GenBank no. | Source    |
|---------------|------------|----------------------------|----|-------------|-----------|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 799887 | Kenya: Meru Co.            | 607| MF189282    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 799888 | Kenya: Meru Co.            | 607| MF189283    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 262527 | Kenya: Kirinyaga Co.; Mt.  Kenya | 607| MF189284    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 262528 | Kenya: Kirinyaga Co.; Mt.  Kenya | 607| MF189285    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 414156 | Kenya: Nyeri Co.          | 607| MF189291    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 414157 | Kenya: Nyeri Co.          | 607| MF189292    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 80017  | Kenya: Nyeri Co.          | 607| MF189294    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | YPM 49019   | Kenya: Mt. Kenya          | 607| MF189286    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | YPM 49020   | Kenya: Mt. Kenya          | 607| MF189287    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | YPM 49023   | Kenya: Mt. Kenya          | 607| MF189288    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | YPM 49024   | Kenya: Mt. Kenya          | 607| MF189289    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | YPM 49025   | Kenya: Mt. Kenya          | 607| MF189290    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 414156 | Kenya: Nyeri Co.         | 607| MF189291    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 414156 | Kenya: Nyeri Co.         | 607| MF189292    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 80018  | Kenya: Nyeri Co.          | 607| MF189294    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624075 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189296    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624076 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189297    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624078 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189298    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624085 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189299    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624087 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189300    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208478 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189301    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208479 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189302    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208480 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189303    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208481 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189304    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208482 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189305    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 208484 | Kenya: Kajiado Co.; Ngong | 607| MF189306    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624091 | Kenya: Kiambu Co.        | 607| MF189307    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624088 | Kenya: Nairobi             | 607| MF189308    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624089 | Kenya: Nairobi             | 607| MF189309    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624090 | Kenya: Nairobi             | 607| MF189310    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624057 | Tanzania: Kilimanjaro Region | 607| MF189311    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624058 | Tanzania: Kilimanjaro Region | 607| MF189312    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624059 | Tanzania: Kilimanjaro Region | 607| MF189313    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624060 | Tanzania: Kilimanjaro Region | 607| MF189314    | This study|
| Tauraco hartlaubi | AMNH 624061 | Tanzania: Kilimanjaro Region | 607| MF189315    | This study|
| Taxon           | Voucher | Locality                              | bp  | GenBank no. | Source   |
|-----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------|----------|
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88428 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189316    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88429 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189317    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88430 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189318    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88431 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189319    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88432 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189320    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88433 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 607 | MF189321    | This study |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi* | YPM 88434 | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns. | 1041| KU160204    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | FMNH 193643 | Somalia: Jubba River                  | 607 | MF189252    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | FMNH 193644 | Somalia: Jubba River                  | 607 | MF189253    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | AMNH 624031 | Kenya: Tana River Co.                 | 607 | MF189254    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | AMNH 624032 | Kenya: Tana River Co.                 | 607 | MF189255    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | AMNH 208476 | Kenya: Tana River Co.                 | 607 | MF189256    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | FMNH 193638 | Kenya: Kilifi Co.                    | 607 | MF189257    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | FMNH 193639 | Kenya: Kilifi Co.                    | 607 | MF189258    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 79792  | Kenya: Kilifi Co.                    | 607 | MF189259    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 79793  | Kenya: Kilifi Co.                    | 1041| KU160207    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 88422  | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns.| 607 | MF189260    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 88423  | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns.| 607 | MF189261    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 88424  | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns.| 607 | MF189262    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 88426  | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns.| 607 | MF189263    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | YPM 88427  | Tanzania: Tanga Region; Usambara Mtns.| 607 | MF189264    | This study |
| *Tauraco fischeri*  | BMNH (Tring) 1938.5.6.1 | Tanzania: Zanzibar; Unguja Island. | 607 | MN529996    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi* | AMNH 202570 | Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.| 607 | MF189370    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi* | AMNH 202571 | Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.| 607 | MF189371    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi* | AMNH 258971 | Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.| 607 | MF189372    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi* | YPM 88408  | Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.| 1041| KU160208    | This study |
### APPENDIX 1 continued

| Taxon                     | Voucher  | Locality                                      | bp  | GenBank no. | Source   |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|----------|
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88409| Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.      | 607 | MF189373    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88413| Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.      | 607 | MF189374    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88414| Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.      | 607 | MF189375    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88415| Tanzania: Morogoro Region; Uluguru Mtns.      | 607 | MF189376    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88410| Tanzania: Iringa Region                       | 607 | MF189377    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88416| Tanzania: Iringa Region                       | 607 | MF189378    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88420| Tanzania: Njombe Region                       | 607 | MF189379    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88421| Tanzania: Njombe Region                       | 607 | MF189380    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | AMNH 416217| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                      | 607 | MF189381    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | AMNH 416218| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                      | 607 | MF189382    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | AMNH 416219| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                      | 607 | MF189383    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | AMNH 416221| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                      | 607 | MF189384    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88411| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                       | 607 | MF189385    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88417| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                       | 607 | MF189386    | This study |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*     | YPM 88418| Tanzania: Mbeya Region                       | 607 | MF189387    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 623905| South Africa: Transvaal                     | 607 | MF189229    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 50075| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189230    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 176641| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189231    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 176642| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189232    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 201485| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189233    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | AMNH 623906| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189234    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | YPM 72559| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189235    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | YPM 72560| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189236    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | YPM 72561| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189237    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | YPM 72363| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 607 | MF189238    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | YPM 78532| South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province         | 1041| KU160209    | This study |
| *Tauraco corythaix*      | FMNH 256674| South Africa: Eastern Cape Province          | 607 | MF189239    | This study |
APPENDIX 1 continued

| Taxon                  | Voucher  | Locality                          | bp  | GenBank no. | Source       |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------|--------------|
| Tauraco corythaix      | FMNH 256675 | South Africa: Eastern Cape Province | 607 | MF189240    | This study   |
| Tauraco corythaix      | AMNH 623913 | South Africa: Western Cape Province | 607 | MF189241    | This study   |
| Tauraco corythaix      | AMNH 623915 | South Africa: Western Cape Province | 607 | MF189242    | This study   |
| Tauraco corythaix      | FMNH 471005 | South Africa: Western Cape Province | 607 | MF189243    | This study   |
| Tauraco corythaix      | FMNH 92701   | South Africa: Western Cape Province | 607 | MF189244    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 416220 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189388    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 416222 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189389    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 416223 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189390    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623918 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189391    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623919 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189392    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623920 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189393    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623921 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189393    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | FMNH 447245 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189394    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | FMNH 447246 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189395    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | FMNH 447247 | Malawi: Southern Region           | 607 | MF189396    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623922 | Mozambique: Nampula Province      | 607 | MF189397    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623923 | Mozambique: Nampula Province      | 607 | MF189398    | This study   |
| Tauraco livingstonii   | AMNH 623924 | Mozambique: Nampula Province      | 607 | MF189399    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257925 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189549    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257926 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189550    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257927 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189551    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257928 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189552    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257929 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189553    | This study   |
| Tauraco schuettii      | AMNH 257930 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasaï-Occidental Province | 1041 | KU160203    | This study   |
| Taxon             | Voucher | Locality                     | bp  | GenBank no. | Source        |
|------------------|---------|------------------------------|-----|-------------|---------------|
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 257931 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasai-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189554   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 257932 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasai-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189555   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 257933 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasai-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189556   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 623953 | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasai-Occidental Province | 607 | MF189557   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296714 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189558   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296716 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189559   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296717 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189560   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296719 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189561   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296722 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189562   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | AMNH 296726 | Democratic Republic Congo: Equateur Province | 607 | MF189563   | This study    |
| Tauraco schuettii | YPM 50277  | Angola: Lunda Province       | 607 | MF189564   | This study    |
| Tauraco chalcolophus | FMNH 95371 | Tanzania: Arusha Region      | 1041| KU160211   | This study    |
| Tauraco chalcolophus | AMNH 623930 | Tanzania: Manyara Region     | 607 | MF189227   | This study    |
| Tauraco chalcolophus | AMNH 623931 | Tanzania: Manyara Region     | 607 | MF189228   | This study    |
| Tauraco loitanus  | AMNH 623927 | Kenya: Narok Co.             | 607 | MF189504   | This study    |
| Tauraco loitanus  | AMNH 831519 | Kenya: Narok Co.             | 1041| MF189505   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | YPM 88403 | Tanzania: Rukwa Region       | 607 | MF189506   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | YPM 88404 | Tanzania: Rukwa Region       | 607 | MF189507   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | YPM 88405 | Tanzania: Rukwa Region       | 607 | MF189508   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | YPM 88406 | Tanzania: Rukwa Region       | 1041| KU160212   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | YPM 88412 | Tanzania: Mbeya Region       | 607 | MF189509   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | AMNH 416224 | Malawi: Northern Region      | 607 | MF189510   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 440433 | Malawi: Northern Region      | 607 | MF189511   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 440434 | Malawi: Northern Region      | 607 | MF189512   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 440435 | Malawi: Northern Region      | 607 | MF189513   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 444026 | Malawi: Central Region       | 607 | MF189514   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 444027 | Malawi: Central Region       | 607 | MF189515   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 444028 | Malawi: Central Region       | 607 | MF189516   | This study    |
| Tauraco marungensis | FMNH 444029 | Malawi: Central Region       | 607 | MF189517   | This study    |
**APPENDIX 1 continued**

| Taxon                  | Voucher  | Locality                      | bp  | GenBank no. | Source              |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----|-------------|---------------------|
| *Tauraco marungensis*  | FMNH 444030 | Malawi: Central Region        | 607 | MF189518    | This study          |
| *Tauraco marungensis*  | AMNH 347342 | Zambia: Luapula Province      | 607 | MF189519    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623934 | Angola: Huambo Province       | 607 | MF189520    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623935 | Angola: Huambo Province       | 607 | MF189521    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623936 | Angola: “Bingondo”            | 607 | MF189522    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623937 | Angola: Bié Province          | 607 | MF189523    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623938 | Angola: Bié Province          | 607 | MF189524    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623939 | Angola: Bié Province          | 607 | MF189525    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 623941 | Angola: Bié Province          | 607 | MF189526    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 259278 | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province   | 607 | MF189527    | This study          |
| *Tauraco schalowi*     | AMNH 259281 | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province   | 607 | MF189528    | This study          |
| *Ciconia ciconia*      | Unknown   | Unknown                       | 1041| NC002197    | NCBI Genome Project |
| *Otis tarda*           | Unknown   | Unknown                       | 1041| NC014046    | Yang et al. (2010)  |
| *Antigone canadensis*  | Intl. Crane Foundation 7-31 | aviary | 1041 | FJ769855 | Krajewski et al. (2010) |
| *Coccyzus americanus*  | Unknown   | Unknown                       | 1041| EU327609    | Wright et al. (2008) |
## APPENDIX 2

### Specimens of Musophagids, and Outgroups, Sequenced for ACO1: Intron 15

| Taxon                      | Voucher         | Locality                                      | bp  | GenBank no. |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| Corythaeola cristata       | AMNH DOT 8076   | Central African Republic: Sangha-Mbaere Prefecture | 559 | KT372832    |
| *Crinifer leucogaster*     | AMNH DOT 14740  | avairy                                        | 544 | KT372827    |
| *Crinifer concolor*        | AMNH DOT 5821   | South Africa: Limpopo Province                | 559 | KT372830    |
| *Crinifer personatus*      | ZMUC 130716     | avairy                                        | 559 | KT372831    |
| *Crinifer piscator*        | AMNH DOT 14745  | avairy                                        | 560 | KT372828    |
| *Crinifer zonurus*         | AMNH 624199     | Eritrea: Debub Region                         | 560 | KT372829    |
| *Gallirex johnstoni*       | FMNH 355262     | Uganda: Western District                      | 552 | KT372802    |
| *Gallirex kivuensis*       | AMNH 262563     | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region        | 552 | KT372803    |
| *Gallirex porphyreolophus* | AMNH 50078      | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province          | 551 | KT372804    |
| *Gallirex chlorochlamys*   | FMNH 452484     | Malawi: Northern Region                       | 551 | KT372805    |
| *Proturacus bannermani*    | FMNH 343113     | Cameroon: Northwest Region                     | 552 | KT372810    |
| *Proturacus erythroleophas*| YPM 50279       | Angola: Cuanza Sul Province                   | 552 | KT372812    |
| *Proturacus leucolophus*   | FMNH 103271     | South Sudan: Eastern Equatorial State         | 552 | KT372811    |
| *Musophaga rossae*         | ZMUC 128747     | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region        | 551 | KT372806    |
| *Musophaga violacea*       | FMNH 396419     | Ghana: Northern Region                        | 551 | KT372807    |
| *Musophaga macrorhyncha*   | YPM 10745       | Liberia                                       | 552 | KT372808    |
| *Musophaga verreauxii*     | YPM 100498      | Equatorial Guinea: Centro Sur Province        | 552 | KT372809    |
| *Menelikornis leucotis*    | ZMUC 131942     | avairy                                        | 552 | KT372813    |
| *Menelikornis ruspollii*   | FMNH 193625     | Ethiopia: Sidamo Province                     | 551 | KT372814    |
| *Menelikornis donaldsoni*  | AMNH 188873     | no data                                       | 552 | KT372815    |
| *Tauraco emini*            | FMNH 298238     | South Sudan: Western Equatorial State         | 552 | KT372825    |
| *Tauraco hartlaubi*        | ZMUC 146783     | Kenya                                         | 552 | KT372826    |
| *Tauraco persa*            | FMNH 95642      | Benin: Plateau Department                     | 552 | KT372823    |
| *Tauraco buffoni*          | ZMUC 130725     | avairy                                        | 552 | KT372824    |
| *Tauraco reichenowi*       | YPM 88409       | Tanzania: Morogoro Region                     | 552 | KT372820    |
| *Tauraco fischeri*         | ZMUC 142855     | Tanzania: Tanga Region                        | 552 | KT372819    |
| *Tauraco livingstonii*     | AMNH 416220     | Malawi: Southern Region                       | 552 | KT372821    |
| *Tauraco schuettii*        | AMNH 623953     | Democratic Republic Congo: Kasai Occidental Province | 552 | KT372816    |
| *Tauraco chalcophlus*      | FMNH 95371      | Tanzania: Arusha Region                       | 552 | KT372818    |
| *Tauraco schalowi*         | YPM 50275       | Angola: Bié Province                          | 552 | MF766008    |
**APPENDIX 2 continued**

| Taxon                   | Voucher       | Locality                            | bp  | GenBank no. |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| *Tauraco corythaix*     | YPM 72559     | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province | 552 | KT372822    |
| *Tauraco loitamus*      | AMNH 831519   | Kenya: Narok District               | 552 | MF766009    |
| *Tauraco marungensis*   | FMNH 444029   | Malawi: Central Region              | 552 | KT372817    |
| *Ciconia ciconia*       | AMNH skel 23687 | Austria: Burgenland                | 556 | KT372834    |
| *Otis tarda*            | AMNH DOT 14728 | Hungary: Békés County              | 555 | KT372836    |
| *Antigone canadensis*   | AMNH DOT 10113 | U.S.: Florida                      | 562 | KT372835    |
| *Coccyzus americanus*   | AMNH AC18     | U.S.: Texas                        | 549 | KT372833    |

* All sequences new for this study.
## APPENDIX 3

### Specimens of Musophagids, and Outgroups, Sequenced for RAG-1

| Taxon                 | Voucher       | Locality                                      | bp   | GenBank no. | Source                  |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Corythaeola cristata  | AMNH DOT 10637| Central African Republic: Sangha-Mbaere Prefecture | 2872 | KT424072    | This study              |
| Crinifer leucogaster  | AMNH DOT 14740| avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424073    | This study              |
| Crinifer concolor     | AMNH DOT 5808 | South Africa: Limpopo Province                | 2872 | KT424074    | This study              |
| Crinifer personatus   | ZMUC 130716   | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424075    | This study              |
| Crinifer piscator     | AMNH DOT 14745| avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424076    | This study              |
| Gallirex johnstoni    | FMNH 355262   | Uganda: Western District                      | 2872 | KT424077    | This study              |
| Gallirex porphyreolophus | UWBM 52953   | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Province          | 2872 | KT424078    | This study              |
| Gallirex chlorochlamys| FMNH 474717   | Malawi: Southern Region                       | 2872 | KT424079    | This study              |
| Proturacus erythrolophus | AMNH DOT 10121| avairy                                        | 2872 | DQ482643    | Barrowclough et al. (2006) |
| Proturacus leucolophus| YPM 84727     | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424080    | This study              |
| Musophaga rossae      | ZMUC 128747   | Democratic Republic Congo: Kivu Region        | 2872 | KT424081    | This study              |
| Musophaga violacea    | FMNH 396417   | Ghana: Northern Region                        | 2872 | KT424082    | This study              |
| Musophaga macrorhyncha| KUNHM 15603   | Ghana: Western Region                         | 2872 | KT424083    | This study              |
| Musophaga verreauxii  | YPM 100498    | Equatorial Guinea: Centro Sur Province        | 2872 | KT424084    | This study              |
| Menelikornis leucotis | ZMUC 131942   | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424085    | This study              |
| Tauraco emini         | FMNH 357944   | Burundi: Cibitoke Province                    | 2872 | KT424086    | This study              |
| Tauraco hartlaubi     | ZMUC 114847   | Tanzania: Arusha Region                       | 2872 | KT424087    | This study              |
| Tauraco persa         | AMNH DOT 7126 | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424088    | This study              |
| Tauraco buffoni       | ZMUC 130725   | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424089    | This study              |
| Tauraco reichenowi    | UWBM 90400    | avairy                                        | 2872 | KT424090    | This study              |
| Tauraco fischeri      | ZMUC 142855   | Tanzania: Tanga Region                        | 2872 | KT424091    | This study              |
| Tauraco livingstonii  | FMNH 447247   | Malawi: Southern Region                       | 2872 | KT424092    | This study              |
| Tauraco corythaix     | UWBM 95352    | South Africa: Western Cape Province           | 2872 | KT424093    | This study              |
### APPENDIX 3 continued

| Taxon                  | Voucher         | Locality             | bp  | GenBank no. | Source                     |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-------------|----------------------------|
| *Tauraco marungensis* | FMNH 444030     | Malawi: Central Region | 2872| KT424094    | This study                 |
| *Ciconia ciconia*     | AMNH skel 23687 | Austria: Burgenland   | 2872| KT424095    | This study                 |
| *Otis tarda*          | AMNH DOT 14728  | Hungary: Békés County | 2872| KT424096    | This study                 |
| *Antigone canadensis* | AMNH DOT 10113  | U.S.: Florida         | 2869| AF143732    | Groth and Barrowclough (1999) |
| *Coccyzus americanus* | AMNH AC18       | U.S.: Texas           | 2872| DQ482640    | Barrowclough et al. (2006)  |
## APPENDIX 4

**Phenotype, Haplotype, and Geography of Specimens of Gallirex porphyreolophus**

| Voucher | Label locality | Current administrative division | Latitude  | Longitude  | Phenotype | ND2 haplotype |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| 624130  | "Machakos"     | Kenya: Machakos Co.             | 1° 31′S  | 37° 16′E  | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 202572  | "Dombolo, Tabora. T. T." | Tanzania: Tabora Region | −5°S | −34°E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 202573  | "Dombolo, Tabora. T. T." | Tanzania: Tabora Region | −5°S | −34°E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 428621  | "Mawere, T. T." | Tanzania: Tabora Region | 5° 18′S | 32° 45′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 428622  | "Mawere T. T." | Tanzania: Tabora Region | 5° 18′S | 32° 45′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 414158  | "Mtoni, Tanganyika Terr." | Tanzania: Tabora Region | 6° 15′S | 32° 19′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 414159  | "Mtoni" | Tanzania: Tabora Region | 6° 15′S | 32° 19′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 624129  | "Monkey Bay, Lake Nyasa B.C Africa." | Malawi: Southern Region | 14° 05′S | 34° 55′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| 624128  | Luchenza, Nyasaland | Malawi: Southern Region | 16° 03′S | 35° 17′E | chlorochlamys | chlorochlamys |
| FMNH    | Dondo Forest, 25 mi. NE | Mozambique: Sofala Prov. | 19° 37′S | 34° 45′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 282648  | Dondo, Sofala Prov., Mozambique | | | | | |
| 414706  | "Zimbabwe"      | Zimbabwe: Masvingo Prov.       | 20° 16′S | 30° 56′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 844007  | "Zimbabwe"      | Zimbabwe: Masvingo Prov.       | 20° 16′S | 30° 56′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 624120  | "Hector Spruit" | South Africa: Mpumalanga Prov. | 25° 26′S | 31° 41′E | porphyreolophus | chlorochlamys |
| 624121  | "Hector Spruit" | South Africa: Mpumalanga Prov. | 25° 26′S | 31° 41′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 624122  | "Hector Spruit" | South Africa: Mpumalanga Prov. | 25° 26′S | 31° 41′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 624123  | "Hector Spruit" | South Africa: Mpumalanga Prov. | 25° 26′S | 31° 41′E | porphyreolophus | chlorochlamys |
| 200089  | "Berea, near Durban, Natal" | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov. | 29° 51′S | 31° 00′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 111234  | "Durban, Natal South Africa" | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov. | 29° 53′S | 31° 03′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 624125  | "Port Natal"    | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov. | 29° 53′S | 31° 03′E | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| Voucher  | Label locality                    | Current administrative division     | Latitude   | Longitude  | Phenotype   | ND2 haplotype |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| 624124   | "Illovo 20 miles west of Durban, Natal" | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov.  | 30° 04’S   | 30° 50’E   | porphyreolophus |               |
| 176644   | "Umzumbe Mission" Natal           | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov.  | 30° 37’S   | 30° 33’E   | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |
| 50074    | Natal, S. Africa                  | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov.  | >29° S     | ?          | porphyreolophus |               |
| 50078    | "Natal, So. Afr.”                 | South Africa: KwaZulu-Natal Prov.  | >29° S     | ?          | porphyreolophus | porphyreolophus |

* AMNH unless specified.
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