1. Introduction

Fracturing flow-back fluid is one of the main pollutants produced in oilfield exploitation [1-2]. The composition of flow-back fluid is extremely complex. Further treatment of all types of organic pollutants in waste fluid is necessary prior to its reuse or discharge. Electrochemical method is an effective way for processing fracturing flow-back fluid in oilfield [3] and involves electrocoagulation [4-6], electrolytic flotation [7-9], and electrochemical oxidation methods [10-13]. These electrochemical methods produce a considerable amount of gases, such as H₂, O₂ or Cl₂, in the electrode during the fracturing fluid treatment [14-15]. O₂, Cl₂ and other strong oxidising gases are often comprehensively involved in the reaction of degraded or oxidised organic compounds in wastewater, which cause a significant decrease in their electrolytic production. Therefore, these gases do not evidently harm the environment. However, H₂ produced by the cathode is difficult to consume in the electrolysis process and thus a major safety hazard during the electrochemical treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid. Research on electrochemical treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid in oilfield mainly focuses on treatment effect, and no systematic report on the study of H₂ electrode analysis is currently available. Therefore, the Guangyuan oilfield fracturing flow-back fluid was considered as the processing object in this study. The amount of H₂ produced and the effect of different electrodes and electrode combinations on the treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid were analysed. Simultaneously, the influence of current, electrolysis time and electrode plate spacing on H₂ production was investigated. This study aims to serve as a reference for the analysis of the H₂ evolution regular pattern in treating oilfield fracturing flow-back fluid using the electrochemical method.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The experimental fracturing flow-back fluid was obtained from the storage pool of fracturing flow-back liquid in Guangyuan oilfield.
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and gas field of PetroChina. The electrode materials in the study included aluminium electrode (Al), titanium electrode (Ti), zirconium electrode (Zr), special ruthenium and iridium composite coating electrode (Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂, adapt to neutral and acid environment), ruthenium and iridium composite coating electrode (Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂, adapt to basic environment), special ruthenium and iridium palladium composite coating electrode (Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO, adapt to neutral and acid environment) and ruthenium and iridium palladium composite coating electrode (Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO, adapt to basic environment). The standard dimension was 100 mm × 90 mm × 3 mm. The electrode materials were produced by Baoji Longsheng non-ferrous Pioneer Metals Company (China). Electrolytic tank was used for the collection of gas from waste liquid through electrochemical treatment. The main components of the electrolytic tank included electrolysis, buffer and overflow rooms (shown in Fig. 1). The dimensions of the electrolysis, buffer, and overflow rooms were 120 mm × 144 mm × 105 mm (Total volume: 1,814.4 mL), 20 mm × 144 mm × 135 mm (Total volume: 388.8 mL) and 30 mm × 144 mm × 105 mm (Total volume: 583.2 mL), respectively. The tank was produced by the Feihong Plexiglass Products Company in Wuhan (China). DC regulated power supply type was XR (Shanghai Yize Electric Co., Ltd., China) (input voltage: 220 V + 10%, 50 Hz + 1 Hz; output voltage: 0-60 V; output current: 0-30 A).

2.2. Electrochemical Experiments

A total of 800 mL fracturing flow-back fluid from Guangyuan oil field was added to the electrolytic tank before the electrochemical process. Half of the electrodes were immersed in the solution, and the effective surface area of the electrode was 4,500 mm². The electrode material and electrode combination mode were changed, and the relationship among the H₂ production rate, energy consumption, decolourisation and turbidity removal rates of waste fluid in combination with different electrode materials was analysed. The parameters of electrochemical process, a direct current (DC) range of 0-2.5 A, an electrode plate spacing range of 2-10 cm and an electrolysis time range of 0-70 min were also examined. The batch experiments were conducted at room temperature (20°C).

2.3. Analysis Methods

The ion chromatograph ICS-2100 was from Thermo Fisher Scientific Co. Ltd. The electronic microbalance type was Sartorius BP211D (Switzerland) with a precision of 0.01 mg. The multi-function complex gas analyser was GT-2000 (Shenzhen city Kelno Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., China) with 1% - 3% precision. The gas mass flowmeter and cumulant indicator type was MFM610-RS232 (Suzhou Aituo Electronic Equipment Co., Ltd., China) with a range of 0-300 mL/min.

The colourity of the solutions described by Zeng et al. [16] was performed on a 751-GW UV/vis spectrophotometer (Inesa analytical instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The maximum absorption wavelength at 339 nm was obtained by determining the colourity values. Standard solution samples were prepared from a commercial concentrated platinum cobalt colour solution. The samples were used for instrument calibration and for the development of a standard curve of colour and absorbance. The $R^2$ value associated with this curve was 0.9999. Colourity removal performance was calculated with the following equation: \[ R\% = \left( \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \right) \times 100 \]. The turbidity metre was Orion AQ2010 (Thermo Electron Corporation).

The mechanism of gas production in electrolysis was analysed by means of oxygen evolution potential, chloride evolution potential and polarization curve. The oxygen evolution potential of electrode in 0.5 mol/L sulphate water solution, the chlorine evolution potential of electrode in saturated NaCl aqueous solution and the Tafel polarization curve of electrode in fracturing flow-back fluid sample were obtained on the CS350H electrochemical workstation (Wuhan Corrtest Instruments Co., Ltd., China).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Electrode Materials on H₂ Production

When the Ti, Al and Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO were used as anode, Al, Ti, Zr, Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ and special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂, Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO and special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO were used as cathode, respectively. The data in Table 1 shows that when the cathode material was special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ inert
electrode, the H₂ production (Vₖ) of the electrode combination was the lowest at 19.94, 34.29 and 38.87 mL. These results were equivalent to 55.39%, 74.78% and 84.54% of the H₂ production (Vₛ/Vₐ) when the cathode material was aluminium electrode. Different electrode materials considerably influenced H₂ production. H₂ production was low when the anode and cathode materials were both inert electrodes (however, H₂ production of different inert electrode combinations were different) due to the high potential inert electrode of H₂ and O₂. A high O₂ potential can lead to Cl₂ production of the anode. Apart from H₂ production, electron consumption of ClO⁻ led to the reduction of H₂ production when the current and electrolysis time were the same and the inert electrode was used as cathode [17-19].

### 3.2. Relationship between H₂ Production and Electrochemical Treatment Efficiency

The effect of different types of electrode combination on the colourity and turbidity removal rate in fracturing flow-back fluid treatment is shown in Table 2, where the range of turbidity removal and decolourisation rates were 54.26% - 62.77% and 38.03% - 39.75%, respectively, when Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO was used as an anode. When Al was used as an anode, the range of the turbidity removal and decolourisation rates reached 98.37% - 98.74% and 85.67% - 87.82%, respectively. Table 1 shows that when the cathode material of the electrode was the same and when the Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO, Ti and Al were used as anode, H₂ production increased, showing that H₂ production is related to the turbidity removal and decolourisation rates of the fracturing flow-back fluid in the Guangyuan oilfield.

H₂ production resulted in high turbidity removal and decolourisation rates in the treatment of the fracturing flow-back fluid. Al anode yielded the highest H₂ (45.98 mL) and resulted in the highest turbidity removal and decolourisation rate (98.74% and 87.82%, respectively). By contrast, the Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO anode and special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO cathode produced 19.94 mL of H₂, with turbidity removal and decolourisation rate of 54.26% and 38.03%, respectively. When the current and electrolysis time were the same, the electrode combination, which produces considerable amount of H₂, usually exerts a satisfactory treatment effect. However, the safety of the electrolysis process must be considered because of the large production capacity of H₂.

### 3.3. Relationship between H₂ Production and Energy Consumption

The effect of different types of electrode combinations on the colourity and turbidity removal rate from fracturing flow-back fluid treatment is shown in Table 2. The energy consumption assessments of fracturing flow-back fluid treatment under different types of electrode combination are shown in Table 3. Table 3 shows that when Al, Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO, Ti was used as anode, the energy consumption range of the electrode combinations was 26-28, 26-30 and 34-36 W·h, respectively. From Table 1-3, electricity consumption increased with the increase of H₂ production, turbidity removal and decolourisation rate. However, the comparative analysis results in Table 1 and 2 show that when Al was used as the anode, H₂ production, turbidity removal and decolourisation rate of the electrode combinations was high,

| Serial number | Cathode | Anode | H₂ production/mL |
|---------------|---------|-------|------------------|
| 1             | Al      | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 36.00 |
| 2             | Al      | Ti           | 45.85 |
| 3             | Al      | Al           | 45.98 |
| 4             | Ti      | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 28.57 |
| 5             | Ti      | Ti           | 38.85 |
| 6             | Ti      | Al           | 45.87 |
| 7             | Zr      | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 25.02 |
| 8             | Zr      | Ti           | 34.83 |
| 9             | Zr      | Al           | 41.64 |
| 10            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 24.67 |
| 11            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 37.31 |
| 12            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 42.90 |
| 13            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 25.89 |
| 14            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Ti           | 38.63 |
| 15            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | Al           | 43.83 |
| 16            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 19.94 |
| 17            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Ti           | 34.29 |
| 18            | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Al           | 38.87 |
| 19            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 27.68 |
| 20            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Ti           | 37.93 |
| 21            | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | Al           | 41.76 |
Table 2. Effect of Electrode Materials on Turbidity Removal Rate and Decolourisation Rate

| Serial number | Cathode | Anode          | Turbidity removal rate/% | Decolourisation rate/% |
|---------------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1             | Al      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 57.45                    | 39.75                  |
| 2             | Ti      | Ti             | 97.12                    | 87.22                  |
| 3             | Al      | Ti             | 98.74                    | 87.82                  |
| 4             | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 60.64                    | 38.74                  |
| 5             | Ti      | Ti             | 95.32                    | 86.12                  |
| 6             | Al      | Ti             | 98.38                    | 86.45                  |
| 7             | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 62.77                    | 38.22                  |
| 8             | Zr      | Ti             | 95.50                    | 85.92                  |
| 9             | Al      | Ti             | 98.56                    | 87.01                  |
| 10            | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 57.45                    | 38.13                  |
| 11            | Special Ti/RuO2-IrO2 | Ti | 94.95                    | 84.27                  |
| 12            | Al      | Ti             | 98.55                    | 85.75                  |
| 13            | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 58.51                    | 37.92                  |
| 14            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2 | Ti | 95.32                    | 86.05                  |
| 15            | Al      | Ti             | 98.37                    | 86.27                  |
| 16            | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 54.26                    | 38.03                  |
| 17            | Special Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | Ti | 95.14                    | 87.05                  |
| 18            | Al      | Ti             | 98.72                    | 86.92                  |
| 19            | Ti      | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 58.51                    | 38.72                  |
| 20            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | Ti | 95.32                    | 85.75                  |
| 21            | Al      | Ti             | 98.38                    | 85.67                  |

Table 3. Effect of Electrode Materials on Energy Consumption

| Serial number | Cathode             | Anode          | Energy consumption/W-h |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 30.00                   |
| 2             | Al                  | Ti             | 34.00                   |
| 3             | Al                  | Ti             | 26.00                   |
| 4             | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 26.00                   |
| 5             | Ti                  | Ti             | 34.00                   |
| 6             | Ti                  | Al             | 27.00                   |
| 7             | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Zr             | 27.00                   |
| 8             | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 36.00                   |
| 9             | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 27.00                   |
| 10            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Special Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 28.00                 |
| 11            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 36.00                   |
| 12            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 27.00                   |
| 13            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 29.00                   |
| 14            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 29.00                   |
| 15            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 26.00                   |
| 16            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Special Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO | 29.00                 |
| 17            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 35.00                   |
| 18            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 26.00                   |
| 19            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Ti             | 29.00                   |
| 20            | Ti/RuO2-IrO2-PdO    | Al             | 27.00                   |
although their energy consumption was low. These findings may be attributed to the soluble Al electrode. Al electrode was not only rapidly dissolved but also generated the flocculation effect of aluminum hydroxide flocs. The various pollutants in the form of floc sedimentation, which resulted in the consumption of the same electric energy, significantly increased turbidity removal and decolourisation rate [20, 21]. This finding may be due to the relatively low H$_2$ potential of the Al electrode, which resulted in an increase in the current efficiency during the electrochemical treatment, thereby reducing the energy consumption and causing H$_2$ evolution [17-19].

3.4. Effect of Different Electrolysis Condition on H$_2$ Production of Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) Electrode Combination

Table 1 shows that when Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combination was used in the fracturing flow-back fluid treatment, H$_2$ production was the largest under the same electrolysis conditions. Therefore, the Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combination was selected as a sample, the effect of electrolysis time, electrolysis current and electrode plate spacing on the H$_2$ production were shown in Fig. 2-4.

Fig. 2 shows that H$_2$ production from the electrode combination linearly increased with prolonged electrolysis under the same electrolysis conditions when the Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combination was used. This finding indicates that the electrochemical reactor uses the Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combination for a long time for waste fluid treatment. H$_2$ production linearly increased, and the risk due to H$_2$ also increased.

Fig. 3 shows that with the combination of Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode, H$_2$ production from the electrode combination increases with increasing electrolysis current under the same electrolysis conditions. The increase rate also rises with increasing electrolysis current. Increasing the electrolysis current was clearly beneficial to the production of H$_2$ from the Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combination. The main reactions occurring at the anode and cathode are as follows:

Anodic reaction:
\[
\text{Al} - 3e \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} \quad (1)
\]

Cathodic reaction:
\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2e \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \uparrow \quad (2)
\]

Combination of the preceding analysis showed that a large H$_2$ production was conducive to improving the turbidity removal and decolourisation rate of fracturing flow-back fluid by electrochemical treatment.

As shown in Fig. 4, no evident change was observed in the gas evolution of H$_2$ between the Al($^{+}$)-Al($^{-}$) electrode combinations with the increase in electrode plate spacing. This observation can be attributed to the Guangyuan oilfield fracturing flow-back
fluid containing numerous ions, such as Cl⁻ and Na⁺ (initial concentration: Na⁺ 1,700 mg/L; Cl⁻ 7,000 mg/L, the change of concentration was not obvious after electrochemical treatment), thereby the fluid has excellent conductivity (19,000 μs/cm). Thus, increasing the electrode plate spacing will not significantly reduce the current efficiency.

3.5. Analysis of the Electrode Self-corrosion Potential, O₂ Evolution and Cl₂ Evolution Polarization Curve

Tafel electrode polarization curves of the Al electrode and special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO are shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b), where the self-corrosion potentials of Al electrode and special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO were −0.58 and 0.54 V, respectively. The self-corrosion potential of the Al electrode, which was an active metal electrode, was extremely low. Thus, the Al electrode formed Al³⁺ due to the easy occurrence of electron loss in the electrolysis anode reaction. However, the special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO had a high self-corrosion potential and was an inert electrode. During the electrolysis anode reaction, the electrode could not easily lose electrons. OH⁻ or Cl⁻ adsorbed on the electrode was relatively more likely to lose electrons, thereby producing O₂ or Cl₂.

In the case of an inert electrode, the self-corrosion potentials of different coated electrodes were also different, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that the self-corrosion potential of the special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO in the fracturing fluid in Guangyuan oilfield was the highest, whereas the self-corrosion potential of zirconium electrode was the lowest. Generally, when the self-corrosion potential was high, the electrode was inert. Therefore, the inert electrodes in Table 4 were sorted according to their inertness during the electrolysis treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid in Guangyuan oilfield as follows: Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO > Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO > Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ > special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ > Ti > Zr.

Table 1 also shows that when the current and electrolysis time were the same and Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO was used as anode, H₂ production of each electrode combination was relatively low. However, the H₂ production at each electrode combination significantly increased when the Al electrode was used as the anode. The inert electrode may generate O₂ or Cl₂ because the Al electrode mainly formed Al³⁺ during the anode reaction. The generated gas type (O₂, Cl₂ or mixed gas of O₂ and Cl₂) of the inert electrode as an anode was clarified and its influence on H₂ production of different cathodes was determined. The inert electrode special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO was used as an example to study the O₂ evolution and the Cl₂ evolution polarization curve as shown in Fig. 6(a) and (b), respectively.

Fig. 6(a) and (b) show that when special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO was used as the anode, evolutions of the O₂ and Cl₂ potential were 1.17 and 1.24 V, respectively. Moreover, O₂ evolution potential was lower than the Cl₂ evolution potential, indicating that O₂ was easier to generate than Cl₂. Therefore, O₂ was mainly generated from the anode. However, the difference between O₂ evolution potential and Cl₂ evolution potential was only 0.07 V, indicating that the anode was also likely to generate Cl₂. The use of an inert electrode as an anode in the processing of fracturing flow-back fluid in Guangyuan oilfield resulted in the production of O₂ and Cl₂. Cl₂ can be dissolved in aqueous solution and forms HClO. After dissociation, it can form ClO⁻, which can obtain electrons from the cathode, thereby reducing the H₂ production of the cathode. This finding also verified the results shown in Table 1.

Table 4. Self-corrosion Potentials of Different Cathode Materials

| Serial number | Cathode material | Self-corrosion potential/V |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1             | Ti               | 0.081                       |
| 2             | Zr               | 0.047                       |
| 3             | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂ | 0.264                     |
| 4             | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂     | 0.275                       |
| 5             | Special Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 0.540                  |
| 6             | Ti/RuO₂-IrO₂-PdO | 0.284                       |
Therefore, the reaction mechanism of inert electrode in Table 1 was speculated as follows:

Anodic reaction:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} - 2e \rightarrow \text{O}_2 \uparrow + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (3) \]

\[ 2\text{Cl}^- - 2e \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 \uparrow \quad (4) \]

\[ \text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ClO}^- + 2\text{H}^+ + \text{Cl}^- \quad (5) \]

Cathodic reaction:

\[ \text{ClO}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e \rightarrow 2\text{Cl}^- + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (6) \]

4. Conclusions

i) The combination of electrode material and electrode significantly affected H\textsubscript{2} evolution. When the anode and cathode materials were both inert electrodes, H\textsubscript{2} production was low, and the anode material was a soluble Al electrode; therefore, H\textsubscript{2} production was high.

ii) A large amount of H\textsubscript{2} led to the high turbidity removal and decolourisation rate of the electrode combination was used for the treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid in the Guangyuan oilfield.

iii) Under the same current and electrolysis time, the energy consumption of cathodic material using soluble Al electrode was lower than that of the inert electrode.

iv) The turbidity removal and decolourisation rate of fracturing flow-back fluid were highest when using Al(+) - Al(-) electrode combination. Prolonged electrolysis time and increasing the current can significantly enhance H\textsubscript{2} production, especially, electrolysis current played an important role in H\textsubscript{2} production.

v) When inert electrode was used as an anode for the treatment of fracturing flow-back fluid in Guangyuan oilfield, the main anodic gases were O\textsubscript{2} and Cl\textsubscript{2}. Cl\textsubscript{2} can be dissolved in aqueous solution to form ClO\textsuperscript{-} after dissociation. The dissolution reduces the amount of H\textsubscript{2} produced by the cathode.
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