Yield and Quality in Purple-Grained Wheat Isogenic Lines

Alexey Morgounov 1,2,*, Yasar Karaduman 3, Beyhan Akin 1, Sinan Aydogan 4, Peter Stephen Baenziger 5, Madhav Bhatta 6, Vladimir Chudinov 7, Susanne Dreisigacker 8, Velu Govindan 9, Safure Guлер 4, Carlos Guzman 9, Ajit Nehe 1, Rachana Poudel 5, Devin Rose 5, Elena Gordeeva 10, Vladimir Shamanin 2, Kemal Subasi 1, Yuriy Zelenskiy 11 and Elena Khlestkina 10,12

1 Global Wheat Program, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), 06010 Ankara, Turkey; b.akin@cgiar.org (B.A.); ajit.nehe@gmail.com (A.N.); k.subasi@cgiar.org (K.S.)
2 Department of Agronomy, Omsk State Agrarian University, 644008 Omsk, Russia; vp.shamanin@omgau.org
3 Breeding Department, Transitional Zone Agricultural Research Institute, 26000 Eskisehir, Turkey; yasar.karaduman@tarimorman.gov.tr
4 Breeding Department, Central Field Crop Research Institute, 06010 Ankara, Turkey; s.aydogan@hotmail.com (S.A.); safure.guler@tarimorman.gov.tr (S.G.)
5 Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA; pbaenziger1@unl.edu (P.S.B.); rpoudel2@unl.edu (R.P.); drose3@unl.edu (D.R.)
6 Department of Agronomy, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA; mbhatta@wisc.edu
7 Breeding Department, Karabalyk Agricultural Experimental Station, 110000 Kostanay, Kazakhstan; ch.den@mail.ru
8 Global Wheat Program, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Texcoco 56100, Mexico; s.dreisigacker@cgiar.org (S.D.); velu@cgiar.org (V.G.)
9 Departamento de Genética, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Agronómica y de Montes, Edificio Gregor Mendel, Campus de Rabanales, Universidad de Córdoba, CeiA3, ES-14071 Córdoba, Spain; carlos.guzman@uco.es
10 Wheat Department, Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS, 63090 Novosibirsk, Russia; elgordeeva@bionet.nsc.ru (E.G.); khlest@bionet.nsc.ru (E.K.)
11 Global Wheat Program, International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), 01000 Astana, Kazakhstan; y.zelenskiy@cgiar.org
12 Wheat Department, N.I. Vavilov All-Russian Institute of Plant Genetic Resources (VIR), 190000 St. Petersburg, Russia
* Correspondence: alexey.morgounov@gmail.com

Received: 20 October 2019; Accepted: 27 December 2019; Published: 8 January 2020

Abstract: Breeding programs for purple wheat are underway in many countries but there is a lack of information on the effects of Pp (purple pericarp) genes on agronomic and quality traits in variable environments and along the product chain (grain-flour-bread). This study was based on unique material: two pairs of isogenic lines in a spring wheat cv. Saratovskaya-29 (S29) background differing only in Pp genes and grain color. In 2017, seven experiments were conducted in Kazakhstan, Russia, and Turkey with a focus on genotype and environment interaction and, in 2018, one experiment in Turkey with a focus on grain, flour, and bread quality. The effect of environment was greater compared to genotype for the productivity and quality traits studied. Nevertheless, several important traits, such as grain color and anthocyanin content, are closely controlled by genotype, offering the opportunity for selection. Phenolic content in purple-grained lines was not significantly higher in whole wheat flour than in red-colored lines. However, this trait was significantly higher in bread. For antioxidant activities, no differences between the genotypes were detected in both experiments. Comparison of two sources of Pp genes demonstrated that the lines originating from cv. Purple Feed had substantially improved productivity and quality traits compared to those from cv. Purple.
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1. Introduction

Wheat (Triticum spp.) is an important crop for global food security, being the staple food for millions of people globally, especially in many developing countries. The current context of food security implies not only sufficient quantity of food but also food of high quality to ensure human health. Nutritional and health aspects of wheat grain have recently been prioritized through studying the diversity of cultivated wheat: different species like einkorn (Triticum monococcum) and spelt (T. spelta), landraces, old and modern cultivars, and colored wheat. The number of research publications devoted to wheat with blue and purple grain have increased dramatically over the last two years, indicating the priority and potential of these genotypes in developing wheat cultivars with specific benefits for human health [1,2]. The interest in purple grain wheat is due to the presence of anthocyanin, flavonoid pigments found in a wide variety of plants including fruits and vegetables. Anthocyanins act as antioxidants, scavenging free radicals [2]. The study of Sytar et al. [3] demonstrated a high correlation between antioxidant activities and content of bioactive phytochemicals (anthocyanin components cyanidin and pelargonin) in colored grain. Anthocyanins have a wide range of biological functions including antioxidants, enzyme inhibitors, induction of detoxification enzymes, activation of protein kinase (important for cellular energy homeostasis), increased membrane stability, induction of apoptosis, and cell cycle arrest. The anthocyanin health benefits have recently been reviewed by Daotong Lia [1]. Crucial cellular processes, such as cell cycle, apoptosis, autophagy, and biochemical metabolism, are involved in the beneficial effects and may provide potential therapeutic targets and strategies for the improvement in treatment for a wide range of diseases in the future.

The origin of wheat genetic resources with purple grain was described in the reviews of Zeven [4] and Grausgruber et al. [2]. Purple tetraploid wheat (T. durum) was first collected in Abyssinia (present Ethiopia) in the 1870s and then introduced to Europe, where it was widely distributed by botanists, and this was followed by further introductions. The purple grain color was eventually incorporated into bread wheat (T. aestivum). In the 1960s and 1970s, purple wheat was developed worldwide to distinguish feed grain, determine outcrossing rates and develop hybrid systems. Commercial purple wheat cultivars were released in Australia, Canada, China, New Zealand, and several European countries. It is also noteworthy that purple grain landraces are still cultivated in Ethiopia and can be found in the markets in the Tigray Region [5].

The anthocyanin pigmentation in wheat is controlled by dominant alleles of the genes Rc (coleoptile), Pc (culm), Plb (leaf blades), Pls (leaf sheaths), Pp (grain pericarp), Pan (anthers), and Ra (auricles) [6–10]. The alleles conferring strong pigmentation induce more intensive transcription of the structural genes, proving their role as regulators in the anthocyanin biosynthesis network. According to Tereshchenko et al. [9], a dark purple pericarp results from complementary action of the dominant genes, Pp-D1 (7D) and Pp3 (2A). Presence of the dominant genes, Pp-A1 and Pp3, results in light purple color, and the grain will remain uncolored in the presence of the recessive Pp3 gene even if two other genes (Pp-A1 and Pp-D1) are in the dominant state [11]. Later, the complementary action of Pp3 and Pp-I genes was explained by interaction of their products (the bHLH-MYC transcriptional factor encoded by the Pp3 gene and the R2R3-MYB factor encoded by the Pp-I genes), resulting in a functional regulatory complex for anthocyanin biosynthesis [12].

Breeding and genetic resource efforts to develop purple grain wheat are underway globally. The winter wheat breeding program in the Czech Republic focuses on a combination of dark grain using different genes, important agronomic traits and good baking quality [13]. In China, five novel mutants with variable seed color were developed using gamma irradiation of the hexaploid purple wheat line K4191 [14]. The total anthocyanin content of three mutant lines was significantly higher than the parent and white seeded check. The grain anthocyanin content can be increased
by pyramiding different genes responsible for the accumulation of anthocyanins in different grain layers [15]. Bohmdorfer et al. [15] proposed a method of high-performance thin-layer chromatography allowing fast and efficient phenotyping of grain pigments. The same detection method was successfully used in fingerprinting of 94 colored wheat genotypes [16]. The phenotyping cost was below 1 EUR and the processing time was less than 9 min per sample. Crosses between purple pericarp and blue aleurone genotypes were used to increase the anthocyanin content, although the majority of the progenies were within the range of the parental material [17].

One of the main focuses of recent research has been the anthocyanin content of wheat grain, including its composition, dynamics, genotypic variation, and products. Knivel et al. [18] studied adapted spring wheat lines with blue and purple pericarp for two seasons in Saskatoon, Canada and found that genotypic variation for anthocyanin concentration was statistically significant, while the year and genotype by year interaction were not. This facilitates breeding progress. In a more recent study with nine purple wheat genotypes in Saskatchewan, Canada, the content and composition of anthocyanin was significantly influenced by genotype and genotype by environment (G × E) interaction [19]. The source–sink relationship has an important role in anthocyanin content [20]. Grain position in the spike affected the anthocyanin content, decreasing at distal positions, and source reduction decreased anthocyanin content by 25% to 50%. Heat stress positively affected anthocyanin content in wheat grain [21,22]. Combined nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer application results in higher antioxidant activities in six wheat cultivars, including two purple-grained cultivars [23]. Shoeva et al. [24] demonstrated that anthocyanins in coleoptiles protect shoots, while those in grains protect roots under drought stress (seedlings grown in 15% polyethylene glycol). In addition, anthocyanins in coleoptiles protect wheat seedlings under metal toxicity (treatment with 25 µM CdCl₂) [25] and moderate irradiation dose (pretreatment of dry seeds with 50 Gy before sowing) [26].

Four colored winter wheat cultivars along with two checks were studied for two years under organic and non-organic cropping systems [27]. While total phenolic content was more affected by year, anthocyanin content was more affected by genotype and the effect of environment and genotype was comparable for total antioxidant activity. Higher anthocyanin content and antioxidant activities and lower yields were observed under an organic cropping system and in a drier year. Thirteen diverse anthocyanin pigmented wheat genotypes were studied in Austria for two seasons [28]. Both genotype and environmental effects were important for agronomic and biochemical traits. A significant and relatively high negative correlation was observed between 1000-kernel weight and both total phenolic and anthocyanin content. However, protein content did not affect the biochemical traits measured.

Evaluation of purple grain products for end use and nutritional quality has been made for beer [29], biscuits [30,31], pasta [32], bread [33,34], buns [35], powder from bran faction [36], Chinese noodles [37], and steamed bread [38]. Hrivna et al. [39] studied the rheological properties of dough containing 10, 15 and 20% of the bran from purple wheat. Addition of bran increased water loss during baking and the specific volume of the bread. Generally, higher content of bran increased dough viscosity. Detailed evaluation of flour and dough properties from purple and blue wheat grain indicated that colored wheat cultivars did not have characteristics substantially different from standard commercial cultivars or flour products [40]. Khlestkina et al. [34] showed that bread-making quality and organoleptic properties of bakery products made from anthocyanin-containing grains did not concede, or in some cases were higher than the corresponding properties of products obtained from control grains. It was found that the presence of anthocyanin increased the shelf life of bakery products and their resistance to the development of mold under conducive conditions [34].

Most of the purple grain studies compared very diverse material including old and modern cultivars, cultivars with different adaptation and even different species. Using such diverse material makes it difficult to isolate the effect of Pp genes, because the effect of genotype background will be substantial. The Institute of Cytology and Genetics in Novosibirsk (Russia) developed isogenic lines for purple grain in the background of cv. Saratovskaya-29 [11]. Two sources of Pp genes were used: cvs Purple and Purple Feed. Morphologically identical Saratovskaya-29 isogenic lines were used
in the current study to compare isogenic lines with red (recurrent parent) and purple grain for grain yield and yield components, common bread-making quality traits and composition of health-related substances under variable growing conditions to develop relevant breeding approaches.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Spring wheat isogenic lines were developed using landmark cv. Saratovskaya-29 (S29) [41]. This cultivar was released in 1957 and was grown in several millions hectares in the 1960–1970s due to its wide adaptation. S29 has been routinely used in genetic studies in Russia and its genetic composition is well understood. Two purple grain cultivars were used as sources of color: cv. Purple [42] and cv. Purple Feed [43]. The \( Pp \) genes in both cultivars originated from Ethiopian \( T. dicoccum \) accession E-450. Eight backcrosses were used to transfer \( Pp \) genes to S29 by phenotypic selection [44]. Two backcrosses were made to split the lines carrying complementary genes into lines having different combinations of dominant and recessive \( Pp3 \) and \( Pp-1 \) alleles using molecular markers. Detailed description of this procedure is given by Gordeeva et al. [11]. Six genotypes were used in the study: semidwarf check cv. Seri-82 with white grain; S29 recurrent parent with red grain (Red); near-isogenic lines S29-Red(PF) and S29-Purple(PF), i.e., plants with dark anthocyanin coleoptile, and plants with dark anthocyanin coleoptile plus pericarp, respectively, originating from crosses with Purple Feed; S29-Red(P) and S29-Purple(P) from crosses with Purple (Table 1). The seeds were supplied by the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Novosibirsk, Russia. The material was genotyped at CIMMYT genomics lab for more than 50 functional markers following the protocol described at https://maswheat.ucdavis.edu.

Table 1. Description of the material used in the study.

| No. | Genotype Name         | Abbreviation | Genotype \(^1\)       | \( Pp3 \) Gene Source | Grain Color |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1   | Seri-82 (Check)       | Seri         | -                     | -                     | White       |
| 2   | Saratovskaya 29 (Recurrent parent) | S29       | \( pp-D1; pp3 \)     | -                     | Red         |
| 3   | Saratovskaya 29-Purple \(^{PF}\) | S29-Purple (PF) | \( Pp-D1; Pp3 \(^{PF}\) | Purple Feed | Purple      |
| 4   | Saratovskaya 29-Red \(^{PF}\) | S29-Red (PF) | \( Pp-D1; pp3 \(^{PF}\) | Purple Feed | Red         |
| 5   | Saratovskaya 29-Purple \(^{P}\) | S29-Purple (P) | \( Pp-D1; Pp3 \(^{P}\) | Purple      | Purple      |
| 6   | Saratovskaya 29-Red \(^{P}\) | S29-Red (P) | \( Pp-D1; pp3 \(^{P}\) | Purple      | Red         |

\(^1\) All genotypes possess \( Pp-A1 \).

2.2. Multilocational Experiment in 2017

The isogenic lines along with S29 (parent) and Seri (check) were studied at seven sites in Turkey, Kazakhstan, and Russia in 2017 (Table 2). Two sites in Turkey (Konya and Cumra) represent a facultative wheat environment with planting in October–November and harvesting in July. In Ankara and Izmir, evaluation was made on small plots under artificial inoculation with stripe rust (\( Puccinia striiformis \)) and leaf rust (\( P. triticina \)), respectively. No grain yield was recorded at these two sites. The three sites in Russia and Kazakhstan represented high latitude short season (May–August) rain-fed spring wheat environments. In 2017, an epidemic of stem rust (\( P. graminis \)) affected wheat crop in Astana and Kostanay (the two sites in Kazakhstan) substantially reducing grain yield. Overall, the sites used in the study in 2017 provided sufficient diversity to evaluate the germplasm performance for adaptation, grain yield, and quality. Randomized complete block designs were used with the number of replicates shown in Table 2.
Table 2. Characteristics of the experimental sites used in 2017.

| Site       | Latitude (° N) | Longitude (° E) | Season          | Seasonal Rainfall (mm) | Plots (m²) | Reps | Yield (kg/ha) | Protein (%) |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------|------|---------------|-------------|
| Konya, TR  | 37.53          | 32.29           | Nov–Jun         | 189                    | 5          | 2    | 2317          | 11.9        |
| Cumra, TR  | 37.38          | 32.44           |                 | 182                    | 5          | 2    | 4016          | 12.5        |
| Ankara, TR | 39.36          | 32.40           |                 | 224                    | 1          | 1    | -             | 13.7        |
| Izmir, TR  | 38.33          | 27.02           |                 | 504                    | 1          | 1    | -             | 13.5        |
| Omsk, RU   | 55.01          | 73.18           | May–Sep         | 170                    | 5          | 3    | 3141          | 14.4        |
| Astana, KZ | 51.38          | 71.01           |                 | 103                    | 1          | 2    | 1666          | 12.0        |
| Kostanay, KZ | 53.51        | 62.08           |                 | 218                    | 1          | 1    | 1838          | 14.1        |

1 TR, Turkey; RU, Russia; and KZ, Kazakhstan.

Field observations for common agronomic traits and yield component analysis were conducted following CIMMYT Wheat Physiology Manual volume II [45]. The grain from the 2017 experiments was analyzed for a number of traits at different institutions. University of Nebraska, Lincoln lab (USA) analyzed grain brightness (L), redness (a), and yellowness (b) using ColorFlex colorimeter (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Reston, VA, USA). Anthocyanin content was determined using the pH differential method with a few modifications [46]. Total antioxidant activity (ABTS assay) was used with a few modifications as described by Zhu et al. [47]. Grain protein content, SDS sedimentation value, and alveograph parameters were evaluated at the wheat quality lab at CIMMYT in Mexico using standard equipment and methodology. Zn and iron content were evaluated using the Inductively Coupled Plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) method. Grain hardness was evaluated at the Transitional Zone Agricultural Research Institute (Eskisehir, Turkey) using Single Kernel Characterization System 4100 (Perten Instruments, Springfield, IL, USA). The data from the 2017 multilocational experiment with variable replicates was analyzed using an unbalanced regression design in GenStat (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, UK). ANOVA results are presented in Table S1.

2.3. Experiment in Turkey in 2018

A replicated experiment was conducted in Konya in 2018 with large plots (40 m²) to obtain sufficient amount of grain for detailed quality analysis. The grain quality from the 2018 experiment in Turkey was evaluated at the Transitional Zone Agricultural Research Institute (Eskisehir, Turkey) using intact grain, whole wheat flour, regular flour with an extraction rate of 65.0%, and bread. Protein content was evaluated with Leco FP 657 (Leco Corp, St. Joseph, MI, USA) according to the AACCII method 46-30.01 [48]. Flour and bread color was evaluated using ColorFlex colorimeter (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Reston, VA, USA). Total dietary fiber content was determined by using the AACC Approved Method 32-07.01 [49]. The phenolic content was determined using Folin Ciocalteau reagent, according to the methods of Singleton and Rossi [50] and Gao et al. [51]. The antioxidant activities of the samples were determined using 2,2-di phenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl reagent, according to the method of Brand-Williams et al. [52]. Macro and micro element content was evaluated using ICP-OES as reported by Kaçar [53]. Amino acid content was determined using HPLC (Agilent 1200 model) as reported by Henderson et al. [54]. Gluten aggregation properties were determined using a GlutoPeak tester (C.W. Brabender Inc., South Hackensack, NJ, USA) as reported by Chandi and Seetharaman [55]. Farinograph analysis was done according to the AACCII 54.21.02 method [48]. The bread-making procedure was conducted according to AACC 10-10B (48) with some modifications; 1.5% NaCl, 2% (w/v) yeast, 100 ppm ascorbic acid, 0.004% alpha-amyloses were added to 100 g of regular flour (on 14% moisture basis). The water addition was adjusted according to the farinograph determined by the farinograph. After mixing for 1 min, the dough was left for fermentation at 30 °C and 85% RH for 1 h (30 min + punching + 30 min). The molded and panned dough pieces were proofed for 50 min under the same conditions. The baking was performed at 215 °C for 25 min. The loaf volume (rapeseed displacement method) and loaf weight were measured after cooling for 2 h. The specific volume was calculated by dividing the loaf volume to loaf weight. The color parameters (L, a
and b) for crumb and crust were determined with a Mini Scan XEplus (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Reston, VA, USA). Texture profile analyses of bread samples were determined according to AACC 74-09.01 [49] 24 h after baking using a texture analyzer (Stable Microsystems, TA-XT plus, Godalming, Surrey, UK). The parameters measured were hardness, cohesiveness, springiness, gumminess, and chewiness. The data from the 2018 experiment was analyzed using ANOVA (Table S2) and Biplot in GenStat (VSN International).

3. Results

3.1. Genotype by Environment Interaction for Agronomic and Quality Traits in the 2017 Experiments

Field observations of the isogenic lines confirmed their high phenotypic similarity. The genotyping data of more than 50 molecular markers demonstrated a complete match between the pairs of isogenic lines with purple and red gain as well as S29, their recurrent parent. Therefore, the differences observed in this study can be attributed to the Pp genes controlling the grain color. The isogenic lines had a very similar rate of development, reflected in the number of days to heading and plant height. The results of the ANOVA and significance of sites, genotypes, and their interactions are presented in Table 3. The effects of the sites were significant for all agronomic and grain quality traits. Significant effects of genotypes and genotype by environment interactions were found for grain yield, 1000-kernel weight, all three color parameters, iron content, grain hardness, protein content, and SDS sedimentation value. Three traits (spikes/spike, anthocyanin content, and alveograph dough strength W value) had significant effects of genotype, but no significant interactions with environment, demonstrating the strong effects of genotype used in the study independent of the growing conditions. Zn, P/L ratio, grain weight/spike, and antioxidant content were not significantly affected by the genotypes.

Table 3. Significance of main effects and their interactions for different traits in purple grain isogenic lines across sites in 2017.

| Trait                          | Number of Sites Trait Recorded | Trait Mean Value | Significance of Main Effects and Their Interactions | CV (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|
|                               |                                |                  | Sites | Genotypes | Genotypes × Sites |                                  |
| Grain yield, kg/ha            | 5                              | 2641             | ***   | *          | *                  | 12.9                              |
| Spike length, cm              | 4                              | 8.53             | ***   | ns         | ns                 | 6.7                               |
| Spikelets/spike               | 4                              | 16.5             | ***   | ***        | ns                 | 5.1                               |
| Grains/spike                  | 4                              | 37.0             | *     | ns         | ns                 | 8.4                               |
| 1000-kernel weight, g         | 7                              | 32.5             | ***   | ***        | *                  | 2.8                               |
| Grain weight/spike, g         | 4                              | 1.43             | ***   | ns         | ns                 | 13.9                              |
| Test weight, kg/hl            | 7                              | 74.9             | ***   | ***        | ***                | 0.7                               |
| Grain brightness (L) (UNL)    | 7                              | 83.7             | ***   | ***        | *                  | 0.5                               |
| Grain redness (a) (UNL)       | 7                              | 1.32             | ***   | ***        | *                  | 8.3                               |
| Grain yellowness (b) (UNL)    | 7                              | 11.0             | ***   | ***        | ***                | 2.1                               |
| Zn content, mg/kg (Mex)       | 7                              | 25.1             | ***   | ns         | *                  | 9.3                               |
| Fe content, mg/kg (Mex)       | 7                              | 34.7             | ***   | ***        | *                  | 5.5                               |
| Anthocyanin content, mM/g     | 7                              | 130.9            | **    | **         | ns                 | 12.6                              |
| Antioxidants content, mM/g    | 7                              | 0.216            | *     | ns         | ns                 | 6.0                               |
| Grain hardness (ESK)          | 6                              | 78.7             | ***   | ***        | ***                | 1.7                               |
| Grain protein content, % (Mex)| 7                              | 13.2             | ***   | **         | ***                | 3.2                               |
| SDS sedimentation, mL (Mex)   | 3                              | 20.5             | ***   | ***        | *                  | 2.6                               |
| Alveograph, W (Mex)           | 3                              | 489              | ***   | ***        | ns                 | 7.6                               |
| Alveograph, P/L (Mex)         | 3                              | 3.14             | ***   | ns         | ns                 | 25.8                              |

1, *, ** and *** significant at p < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively; and ns, not significant at p = 0.05.

The mean values of grain yield and spike productivity traits across sites are presented in Table 4. The purple- and red-grained isogenic lines did not differ significantly for the number of spikelets per spike, although for Seri this trait was significantly higher. The important difference was in 1000-kernel
weight with purple isogenic lines being significantly higher than the red-grained line: 34.0 vs. 32.7 g for the lines with \( Pp3 \) genes originating from Purple Feed and 31.7 vs. 30.2 g for Purple originated lines. This was an increase of 4 to 5% in favor of the purple-grained lines. The grain yield was slightly but not significantly higher for purple-grained isogenic lines. Comparing two sources of \( Pp \) genes, it appears that lines originating from Purple Feed have slightly higher productivity, mostly due to larger grain.

### Table 4. Grain yield and spike productivity traits in purple grain isogenic lines across sites in 2017.

| Genotype          | Spike Length (cm) | Spikelets/ Spike | Grains/ Spike | 1000-Kernal Weight (g) | Grain Weight/Spike (g) | Test Weight (kg/m³) | Yield (kg/ha) |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Seri              | 8.94              | 19.2a            | 46.2          | 34.2a                  | 1.77                   | 75.6                | 3197a        |
| S29               | 8.31              | 16.1b            | 36.3          | 32.1b                  | 1.41                   | 75.6                | 2752bc       |
| S29-Purple(PF)    | 8.33              | 15.4b            | 31.8          | 34.0a                  | 1.30                   | 74.9                | 3010ab       |
| S29-Red(PF)       | 8.92              | 16.2b            | 35.3          | 32.7b                  | 1.40                   | 74.4                | 2801bc       |
| S29-Purple(P)     | 8.14              | 16.1b            | 36.4          | 31.7b                  | 1.37                   | 74.0                | 2769bc       |
| S29-Red(P)        | 8.50              | 16.0b            | 36.2          | 30.2c                  | 1.35                   | 75.1                | 2518c        |

*1 values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \( p < 0.05 \).

The average values of grain quality parameters across sites are presented in Table 5. The purple grain color was clearly detected by the ColorFlex colorimeter parameters L, a and b with significant differences between the isogenic lines and the white-grained check cultivar. The amount of anthocyanin in S29-Purple(PF) was 151 mM/g, significantly higher than in S29 and both red-grained isogenic lines. However, S29-Purple(P) was not significantly higher than other lines. The iron content was highest in Seri but there were significant differences between the purple- and red-grained isogenic lines. S29-Purple(PF) had significantly higher Fe content than S29-Purple(P) (36.2 vs. 33.4 mg/kg). For SDS sedimentation and alveograph W value, the statistically significant genotype effect was largely due to the differences between Seri and other genotypes. No significant differences between the isogenic lines with different grain color were detected for these traits. Overall, it appears that isogenic line S29-Purple(PF) with \( Pp \) genes has a slight advantage in the number of traits compared to S29-Purple(P).

### Table 5. Average grain quality traits in purple grain isogenic lines across sites in 2017.

| Genotype          | L    | a    | b    | Anthocyanin in (mM/g) | Fe (mg/kg) | Protein Content, (%) | SDS Sedimentation (mL) | Alveograph W |
|-------------------|------|------|------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Seri              | 86.6a| 1.00d| 11.5c| 112b                  | 38.3a      | 13.2ab               | 12.3b                  | 188c         |
| S29               | 84.8b| 1.22c| 11.8b| 125b                  | 33.7bc     | 12.9b                | 21.9a                  | 506b         |
| S29-Purple(PF)    | 80.5c| 1.56b| 9.2e | 151a                  | 35.5b      | 13.6a                | 22.3a                  | 565ab        |
| S29-Red(PF)       | 84.6b| 1.17c| 12.0a| 132b                  | 33.8bc     | 13.3b                | 22.0a                  | 543ab        |
| S29-Purple(P)     | 80.5c| 1.78a| 9.4d | 140ab                 | 33.1c      | 13.0b                | 22.6a                  | 579a         |
| S29-Red(P)        | 84.9b| 1.18c| 12.1a| 124b                  | 33.5bc     | 13.3b                | 22.1a                  | 550ab        |

*1 values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \( p < 0.05 \).

3.2. Effects of Purple Grain Genes on Agronomic Traits, Grain, Flour, and Bread Quality in the 2018 Experiment

In 2018, experimental lines along with S29 and Seri were tested in Konya, Turkey on large plots (40 m²) under irrigated conditions. S29 and all isogenic lines were lodged resulting in relatively low yield compared to Seri, which by far out yielded all other lines (Figure 1). Both isogenic lines S29-Purple(PF) and S29-Red(PF) had yields almost twice as high as the recurrent parent and 40–45% higher than lines originating from Purple.
that isogenic line S29-Purple(PF) with Pp genes has a slight advantage in the number of traits compared to S29-Purple(P).

**Table 5.** Average grain quality traits in purple grain isogenic lines across sites in 2017.

| Genotype         | Lab Anthocyanin (mM/g) | Fe (mg/kg) | Protein Content, % | SDS Sedimentation (mL) | Alveograph W |
|------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Seri             | 86.6a                  | 1.00d      | 11.5c              | 112b                   | 38.3a        |
| S29              | 84.8b                  | 1.22c      | 11.8b              | 125b                   | 33.7bc       |
| S29-Purple(PF)   | 80.5c                  | 1.56b      | 9.2e               | 151a                   | 35.5b        |
| S29-Red(PF)      | 84.6b                  | 1.17c      | 12.0a              | 132b                   | 33.8bc       |
| S29-Purple(P)    | 80.5c                  | 1.78a      | 9.4d               | 140ab                  | 33.1c        |
| S29-Red(P)       | 84.9b                  | 1.18c      | 12.1a              | 124b                   | 33.5bc       |

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p < 0.05$.

**3.2. Effects of Purple Grain Genes on Agronomic Traits, Grain, Flour, and Bread Quality in the 2018 Experiment**

In 2018, experimental lines along with S29 and Seri were tested in Konya, Turkey on large plots (40 m²) under irrigated conditions. S29 and all isogenic lines were lodged resulting in relatively low yield compared to Seri, which by far out yielded all other lines (Figure 1).

The 2018 experiment provided sufficient grain for more detailed evaluation of compositional, physical, and technological properties (Table 6). The large differences in adaptation, grain yield, and quality of Seri and experimental lines were reflected in significant variation for a number of traits and parameters. For the test weight, 1000-kernel weight, protein content, and Zeleny sedimentation, Seri was significantly higher than S29 and isogenic lines, which did not differ among themselves. The slight superiority of purple-grained lines in 1000-kernel weight compared to red grain isolines, which was observed in 2017, was not confirmed in 2018. The darker color of purple-grained lines was detected using the colorimeter (L, a and b) on intact grain, whole wheat flour and bread crust and crumb (Figure 2). All the products from purple-grained lines had darker color judged by the L value. The total dietary fiber content in whole wheat flour and bread was highest in two isogenic lines derived from Purple, followed by those derived from Purple Feed and Seri. Both whole wheat flour and bread from purple-grained lines had higher total phenolic content, although the difference was significant only for S29-Purple(PF) vs. S29-Red(PF) for bread. However, Seri had the highest total phenolic content, which was significant. Variety Seri, being a semi-dwarf, is totally different in adaptation and quality from the old and tall material based on S29. It shows once again the importance of the comparison of related germplasm to single out the gene effects. There were no statistically significant differences in antioxidant activities in flour and bread. The rheological dough parameters (development time, BEM torque, and several others not presented in the table) of purple-grained lines were as good as their red counterparts and were, overall, superior to Seri. The baked bread volume and specific bread volume of purple-grained lines were not significantly higher than red-grained lines. There was no difference between the lines for sensory parameters such as springiness and cohesiveness.
Table 6. Quality parameters in purple grain isogenic lines in Konya, Turkey, 2018.

| Trait                              | Product       | Seri       | S29-Purple (PF) | S29-Red (PF) | S29-Purple (P) | S29-Red (P) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Test weight, kg/m³                 | Grain         | 79.7a      | 78.0b           | 77.5b        | 77.5b          | 78.1b        |
| 1000-kernel weight, g              | Grain         | 35.6a      | 31.9b           | 32.0b        | 29.9b          | 29.7b        |
| Protein content, %                 | Flour         | 11.1b      | 13.2a           | 12.3a        | 12.3a          | 12.4a        |
|                                   | WWF ²         | 13.5b      | 15.6a           | 15.2a        | 15.5a          | 15.4a        |
| Zeleny sediment., mL              | Flour         | 30.0b      | 54.2a           | 53.5a        | 59.5a          | 57.0a        |
| Color: brightness (L)             | Grain         | 56.1a      | 41.9c           | 50.9b        | 44.5c          | 51.0b        |
|                                   | WWF           | 82.8a      | 75.1c           | 79.0b        | 75.3c          | 78.9b        |
|                                   | B. Crust      | 46.2a      | 35.8b           | 43.0a        | 37.6b          | 42.3a        |
|                                   | B. Crumb      | 56.5a      | 38.9c           | 46.7b        | 41.0c          | 46.9b        |
| Color: redness (a)                | Grain         | 8.99       | 8.19            | 8.81         | 8.58           | 8.71         |
|                                   | WWF           | 3.12b      | 3.74a           | 3.89a        | 4.04a          | 3.98a        |
|                                   | B. Crust      | 8.08a      | 6.49b           | 7.72a        | 7.04ab         | 7.60a        |
|                                   | B. Crumb      | 4.70c      | 5.04b           | 5.35a        | 5.22ab         | 5.44a        |
| Color: yellowness (b)             | Grain         | 27.9a      | 17.2e           | 24.1b        | 19.2d          | 23.2c        |
|                                   | WWF           | 16.2       | 13.7            | 15.0         | 14.1           | 17.2         |
|                                   | B. Crust      | 15.2a      | 10.6c           | 13.8b        | 11.4c          | 13.5b        |
|                                   | B. Crumb      | 16.9a      | 10.2c           | 13.4b        | 10.9c          | 13.8b        |
| Total dietary fiber, %            | WWF           | 14.8c      | 16.1b           | 16.5ab       | 16.8a          | 16.9a        |
|                                   | Bread         | 15.4c      | 16.4b           | 16.9b        | 18.2a          | 18.3a        |
| Total phenolic content, mg GAE/kg | WWF           | 1104a      | 908bc           | 819c         | 931b           | 854bc        |
|                                   | Bread         | 1077a      | 909b            | 838c         | 896b           | 867bc        |
| Antioxidant activity, µmol TE/g   | WWF           | 2.20       | 2.56            | 2.08         | 2.65           | 2.34         |
|                                   | Bread         | 3.80       | 3.75            | 3.61         | 3.76           | 3.57         |
| Development time, min             | Flour         | 2.72c      | 3.33b           | 3.43ab       | 3.51ab         | 3.83a        |
|                                   | BEM (Max. Torque) | 36.0b  | 60.7a           | 54.2a        | 63.0a          | 63.0a        |
|                                   | WWF           | 39.5d      | 44.0b           | 42.7c        | 46.5a          | 44.7b        |
|                                   | Flour         | 296        | 311             | 283          | 312            | 302          |
|                                   | Specific volume | 2.14      | 2.24            | 2.06         | 2.22           | 2.13         |
|                                   | Springiness   | 0.88       | 0.92            | 0.90         | 0.90           | 0.87         |
|                                   | Cohesiveness  | 0.61b      | 0.66a           | 0.65a        | 0.68a          | 0.66a        |

1 values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \( p < 0.05; \) ² WWF, whole wheat flour.

Figure 2. Grain and bread made from Seri (check) and purple grain isogenic lines, Konya, Turkey, 2018.
The elemental composition (Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, P, and Zn) of whole wheat flour and bread is presented in Table S3. Significant differences for both flour and bread were only observed for Cu (S29-Purple(PF) had significantly higher content) and for Mn (Seri had significantly higher content). Purple and red-grained isogenic lines were significantly different in P content in whole wheat flour but not in bread. No significant differences were detected for Fe content. Bread Zn content in two isogenic lines derived from Purple Feed was 15 to 20% higher than lines derived from Purple. 

The amino acid content in whole wheat flour (per unit weight of flour and protein) and in bread (per unit weight of bread) are presented in Table S4. No significant differences were detected for arginine, tyrosine, cysteine, and lysine. Significant differences in content in whole wheat flour were detected for aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, threonine, alanine, phenylalanine, and leucine. A significant difference was detected for both flour and bread only in isoleucine, but again with Seri being inferior to the other genotypes.

Biplot analysis of key grain quality traits including physical parameters and content of health-related substances demonstrated potentially useful relationships (Figure 3). Seri was associated with a higher test weight, 1000-kernel weight, and higher content of phenolic substances. Experimental lines were associated with higher protein and dietary fiber content, both in whole wheat flour and bread. The redness of whole wheat flour and bread was closely associated with the dietary fiber and protein content. The redness of grain and bread crust were not good indicators of any parameters included in the biplot. Purple-grained isolines clustered together and were associated with higher antioxidant content in whole wheat flour.

Figure 3. Biplot analysis of grain quality traits, in Konya, Turkey, 2018. (1) Seri, (2) S29-Purple(PF), (3) S29-Red(PF), (4) S29-Purple(P), and (5) S29-Red(P). WWF, whole wheat flour.
4. Discussion

The current study addressed the important question of the relative contribution of genotype and environment for characters associated with purple color. As expected, due to the diversity of our testing sites, the effect of environment was larger compared to genotype for the studied productivity and quality traits. Nevertheless, several important traits such as grain color and anthocyanin content are closely controlled by genotype, offering the opportunity for selection. These results are similar to previous studies conducted in Canada [56,57]. The significant genotypic effects and genotype by environment interactions suggest that the methodology of breeding would require careful selection of sites and consideration of the genotype rank change with the change in testing locations (crossover interactions).

The current study contributed to the understanding of variation of different grain quality traits along the processing line: grain, whole wheat flour, regular flour and bread. When comparing the properties of the isogenic lines differing only in a few genes, it is not guaranteed that purple grain products will have superior and significant advantages. For example, the phenolic content in purple-grained lines was not significantly higher in whole wheat flour than in red-colored lines. However, it was significantly higher in bread. For antioxidant activities, no differences between the genotypes were detected in both years. This is a common challenge for research and breeding for health related traits in wheat. Normally grain phytochemicals are present in small quantities, and require reliable and sophisticated equipment for their accurate quantification. In this regard, while breeding colored wheat with emphasis on anthocyanin and antioxidants, it makes sense to increase the number of replicates to clearly establish the relationships between the traits and genes, and background genotypic effects. Targeting phytochemicals using genomic selection could provide an excellent opportunity to make genetic gains once relevant training populations are developed and characterized.

Two sources of Pp genes were used in the development of the isogenic lines and were conveniently compared in two experiments of this study. The lines originating from Purple Feed were substantially better for grain yield, some productivity and grain quality traits compared to lines originating from Purple. The lines derived from Purple Feed have a donor fragment in chromosome 7D extending from the locus Xgwm0044 to Xgwm0111, while the donor fragment in the lines derived from Purple is larger (from Xgwm1004 to Xgwm0437) [9]. This region of chromosome 7D is known as the gene-rich region [58], including a locus for grain weight [59]. Putative allelic differences in this locus between the isogenic lines can explain the Purple Feed-derived line superiority for grain yield. Thus, this line would be the preferred donor for further transfer of the Pp genes into elite wheat lines. Overall, despite very clear differences in the grain, flour, and bread color observed in this study, the effects of Pp genes on grain quality traits were not large and stable enough to be easily used in breeding. Therefore, from a breeding perspective, utilization of additional genes to strengthen Pp gene effects on targeted traits would be a justified approach for developing germplasm for health-promoting grain. Substantial progress has already been made for biofortified wheat with higher Zn content [60]. The combination of high Zn and purple grain can be explored to further enhance the health benefits of biofortified wheat. Likewise, as research and breeding for other traits such as Fe content and dietary fiber progress, these can also be incorporated into purple wheat improvement programs.

There is always opportunity for genetic gains provided there is genetic diversity, understanding of genotype by environment interaction, and relevant breeding methodology and technology. In this case, purple wheat improvement represents a unique opportunity to use the extensive knowledge of bread wheat to develop healthier food products.
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