Genomic alterations in plasma DNA from patients with metastasized prostate cancer receiving abiraterone or enzalutamide
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In patients with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) analysis offers novel opportunities for the development of non-invasive biomarkers informative of treatment response with novel agents targeting the androgen-receptor (AR) pathway, such as abiraterone or enzalutamide. However, the relationship between ctDNA abundance, detectable somatic genomic alterations and clinical progression of mCRPC remains unexplored. Our study aimed to investigate changes in plasma DNA during disease progression and their associations with clinical variables in mCRPC patients. We analyzed ctDNA in two cohorts including 94 plasma samples from 25 treatment courses (23 patients) and 334 plasma samples from 125 patients, respectively. We conducted whole-genome sequencing (plasma-Seq) for genome-wide profiling of somatic copy number alterations and targeted sequencing of 31 prostate cancer-associated genes. The combination of plasma-Seq with targeted AR analyses identified prostate cancer-related genomic alterations in 16 of 25 (64%) treatment courses in the first cohort, in which we demonstrated that AR amplification does not always correlate with poor abiraterone
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and enzalutamide therapy outcome. As we observed a wide variability of ctDNA levels, we evaluated ctDNA levels and their association with clinical parameters and included the second, larger cohort for these analyses. Employing altogether 428 longitudinal plasma samples from 148 patients, we identified the presence of bone metastases, increased lactate dehydrogenase and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) as having the strongest association with high ctDNA levels. In summary, ctDNA alterations are observable in the majority of patients with mCRPC and may eventually be useful to guide clinical decision-making in this setting.

What’s new?
Despite the development of novel androgen receptor (AR) axis-targeting agents, prognosis remains poor for patients with metastatic prostate cancer. Hence, there is urgent need to develop biomarkers to optimize therapy selection. In this study, therapy-related alterations within tumor genomes were captured via analyses of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA). Analyses show that high ctDNA levels are strongly associated with bone metastases and elevated prostate-specific antigen and lactate dehydrogenase levels. Meanwhile, investigation of AR amplification revealed inconsistent associations with poor outcome in patients treated with AR axis-targeting drugs. The findings suggest that ctDNA content is a valuable biomarker in prostate cancer.

Introduction
Metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) marks a state of clinical acceleration to an aggressive form of prostate cancer. The androgen receptor (AR) is expressed and AR signaling pathways are active in the majority of patients with mCRPC, as evidenced by continued detection of PSA, an AR target gene, in the blood. Multiple mechanisms have been implicated in the re-activation of the AR axis despite very low levels of circulating androgens in mCRPC, including up-regulation of CYP17, amplification of the AR gene, expression of constitutive AR splice variants or mutations of the AR gene itself, among others.1–3

Recently, novel agents such as ZYTIGA® (abiraterone acetate) and XTANDI® (enzalutamide), each of which target the AR axis, have become available. As these and other agents are often approved for overlapping patient populations, there is an urgent need for biomarkers to guide selection of therapy and to elucidate mechanisms of resistance to these novel AR pathway inhibitors.2 Minimally invasive biomarkers for profiling tumor genomes in cancer patients, i.e. circulating tumor cells (CTCs) or cell-free DNA (cfDNA) and circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), are able to contribute to the understanding of sensitivity and resistance to abiraterone or enzalutamide.4–9

Several previous studies employing analyses of cfDNA have focused on AR gene aberrations (copy number changes such as gains or amplifications and/or mutations) and have reported an association with resistance to abiraterone and enzalutamide in patients with metastatic Mcrpc.10–17 In addition, gain of CYP17A1 has been associated with reduced progression-free survival (PFS) in men receiving abiraterone treatment14 and loss of RBL has predicted worse PFS in men treated with enzalutamide.16 Only a few studies have employed genome-wide approaches of plasma DNA analyses in prostate cancer.11,13,18,19 However, there is a very limited understanding of the relationship between ctDNA abundance/presence of genomic alterations in ctDNA and clinical progression of mCRPC in individual patients. Here, we utilized plasma-Seq, an approach based on whole genome sequencing with a shallow sequencing depth, to detect somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs) genome-wide.18 We further performed panel sequencing to analyze 31 prostate cancer-associated genes and the entire TMPRSS2-ERG fusion region on chromosome 21 on 94 longitudinal plasma samples from 23 patients. Our study had two aims. First, we wanted to determine somatic genomic alterations and explore their predictive value in ctDNA from mCRPC patients during treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone or enzalutamide. Second, we wanted to explore the association between clinicopathological parameters and ctDNA levels in mCRPC. This was accomplished by expanding the analysis to include an independent cohort comprising 334 samples from 125 patients.

Materials and Methods
Patient cohorts
USC cohort: patients were approached for participation in a prospective blood collection study in parallel with receiving abiraterone acetate plus prednisone or enzalutamide as a standard of care for metastatic CRPC at the University of Southern California (USC). Blood samples were prospectively collected, representing 25 treatment courses from 23 patients enrolled from May 2011 to December 2015. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at USC. Eligibility criteria included histologically proven adenocarcinoma of the prostate with metastatic progression to CRPC, absence of active infection and willingness to participate in the study-directed blood draws.
MUG cohort: for the second cohort, we used 334 plasma samples from 125 patients with metastatic prostate cancer from a collection established at the Institute of Human Genetics at the Medical University of Graz (MUG). A subset of these samples was profiled previously. Inclusion criteria were histologically proven prostate adenocarcinoma with metastatic disease (symptoms, PSA elevation and imaging). Blood was collected prospectively from January 2012 to March 2017. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the MUG (approval number 21–228 ex 09/10) and informed consent was obtained from all participants (further information on the second cohort is provided in the Supporting Information Data).

Written informed consent was documented from all patients prior to sample acquisition or performance of any study-related procedures. Clinical data were retrospectively obtained from medical records and maintained in custom-constructed databases. PSA response was evaluated according to the standard definition as the maximal fall compared to baseline or decline at 12 weeks.

Collection and processing of blood

For the USC cohort, blood was obtained from each subject at up to 4 time points during treatment representing the following: baseline, i.e. on the day of treatment start (n = 18; 72%) or within 20 days prior to treatment start (n = 7; 28%), weeks 2–6, weeks 9–14 and at progression. Plasma was isolated from blood collected in EDTA-containing tubes, centrifuged at 1,000g × 30 min at room temperature and stored at −80°C prior to analysis. Sample processing for the second cohort has been previously described.

Capture custom panel sequencing

For design of the panel, we defined highly relevant prostate cancer-associated genes by first selecting the 20 most frequently mutated genes from the COSMIC database (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic). We then used the data from an integrative analysis of advanced prostate cancer and selected genes identified in the mutation significance CRPC analysis (i.e., genes that were mutated more often than expected by chance given background mutation processes (www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/cga/mutsig); Table S5) and those from a selective enrichment analysis between metastasized CRPC and primary prostate cancer exomes (Table S6). This resulted in a panel of 31 genes (i.e., AKAP9, APC, AR, ATM, BRF1, BRCA1, BRCA2, CTNNB1, EGFR, ERG, FOXA1, GNAS, GRIN2A, HRAS, KRAS, MED12, MLL, MLL2, MLL3, MLLT3, NOTCH1, NRG1, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, Pten, Rb1, SPOP, TMPRSS2, TP53, ZFHX3) (see Supporting Information Table S1 for the exact gene coordinates). In addition, we enriched the entire TMPRSS2-ERG fusion region on chromosome 21 to identify respective fusions with high confidence.

For capture sequencing, we used optimized the TruSight Rapid Capture protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) as previously described. A list of genes including coordinates of the targets covered by the panel is shown in Supporting Information Table S1.

Statistical analyses

Analysis was performed in the R statistical environment version 3.3.2 (R Development Core Team). Each sample was classified via a ctDNA z-scores measurement as “<−5” or “>5” based on low vs. high genomic complexity in ctDNA as described previously. The following clinical characteristics were also available for the samples together with ctDNA measurement: Prostate-specific antigen (PSA), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and presence of bone metastases. To account for longitudinal samples in the two cohorts, mixed effects logistic regression models were used to evaluate the association of clinical characteristics and ctDNA measurement using the lme4 package in the R environment. PSA, ALP and LDH were log-transformed to reduce data skewness and to improve model fitting. Only the first four samples for each subject were included in the analysis. All statistical tests were two-sided unless stated otherwise.

Progression-free survival analysis for patients treated with abiraterone was performed using the log rank test (Kaplan-Meier estimator).

Additional methods, i.e. those previously published, such as mFAST-SeqS including the calculation of the z-scores, plasma-Seq, panel and deep sequencing, are provided in the Supporting Information Data File.

Results

Patient cohorts

Clinicopathological characteristics at baseline of the two cohorts are summarized in Supporting Information Table S2. The USC cohort consisted of 94 plasma samples from 23 patients. Two of these patients (#35054 and #36976) were sequentially treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone followed by enzalutamide and these patients were therefore included in both the abiraterone and the enzalutamide group, resulting in a total number of 25 treatment courses. Plasma samples from the USC cohort were extensively analyzed as outlined in the following paragraphs. The results of this cohort prompted us to establish associations between ctDNA levels and clinical variables in patients with mCRPC. To this end, we needed larger patient numbers and we therefore added a second cohort, the MUG cohort, including 125 patients consisting of 334 plasma samples (Supporting Information Table S2).

SCNAs in ctDNA

To stratify plasma DNA samples from the USC cohort based on the presence of low (i.e., z-scores ≤5; corresponding to <10% ctDNA) vs. high (z-scores >5; corresponding to ≥10% ctDNA) genomic complexity in ctDNA, we employed the modified Fast Aneuploidy Screening Test-Sequencing System (mFAST-SeqS), which provides a plasma-based marker of aneuploidy (z-scores) (Workflow for processing of the USC cohort in Fig. 1a). Fifteen (60%) cases had low z-scores (<5) in
all of the plasma samples \((n = 55)\) (Fig. 1a; Supporting Information Fig. S1), whereas 10 (40\%) cases had increased ctDNA levels (\(z\)-scores) in at least one sample (total number of plasma samples: 39) (Figs. 1a and 1b). In these latter cases, we conducted plasma-Seq, which yields high-resolution genome-wide SCNA-profiles,\(^\text{18}\) and observed SCNAS typical of prostate cancer,\(^\text{22,26}\) such as loss of 8p (69.2\%), 13q (73.1\%), 16q (65.4\%), 18q (57.7\%) or gain of 8q (65.4\%) (Figs. 1b and 1c).

Because of the extraordinary relevance of \(AR\) amplification and the fact that mFAST-SeqS analysis is insensitive to gene-level changes, we further analyzed samples from the 15 cases with low \(z\)-scores using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) and/or plasma-Seq for the presence of \(AR\) amplifications. In doing so, we detected a high \(AR\) copy number state in 6 of these subjects. By applying our previously described stringent definition for focal amplifications,\(^\text{27}\) we identified \(AR\) amplifications altogether in 12 cases (48\%) (Fig. 1c), which is consistent with previous reports on advanced prostate cancer.\(^\text{22}\) The presence of the \(AR\) amplicon was confirmed in all cases by qPCR (TaqMan copy number assay) as described earlier by our group\(^\text{18,19}\) (for a detailed description, see Supporting Information Data).

Our approach offers two options for identifying the \(TMPRSS2-ERG\) fusion, which may occur in about 50\% of prostate cancer cases.\(^\text{28}\) First, the fusion through deletion \(TMPRSS2-ERG\) rearrangement results in a well-defined 3-Mbp interstitial deletion on chromosome 21,\(^\text{29,30}\) which is...
Tumor Markers and Signatures

ATM and AR were mutated in Patient #33572 (for details, see below). In addition, we found further germline variants in DNA repair genes, including ATM (chr3572 and Chr37069), BRCA2 (chr28413) and BRCA1 (chr35153) (Supporting Information Table S3); however, these variants were not classified as pathogenic or possibly damaging when employing the same classification as used by Pritchard et al.\(^2\)

The detection of 2 pathogenic BRCA2 germline mutations in 23 patients (9%) corresponds to the frequency reported in other studies\(^2\),\(^3\) and confirms BRCA2 as being the most frequently mutated DNA repair gene in the germline of CRPC patients. The presence of such mutations in genes mediating DNA repair processes is of great therapeutic interest.\(^3\)

Validation of the association of \(z\)-scores with tumor content

Twenty-one plasma samples (37458-6, 37458-7, 33572-42, 33572-43, 34254-53, 34254-54, 34254-55, 34254-56, 34254-57, 33495-58, 33495-59, 33495-60, 28413-62, 28413-64, 37069-21, 37069-22, 37069-23, 36976-36, 36976-37, 36976-38, 36976-25; Supporting Information Table S3) were informative, i.e. contained somatic mutations to estimate the tumor VAF. In 12 plasma samples with a \(z\)-scores < 5, the observed VAFs of somatic mutations were below 10%. In contrast, in the 9 informative plasma samples (i.e., 37458-5, 33572-44, 33495-61, 33495-62, 33495-63, 28413-63, 28413-65, 37069-24, 36976-26, 36976-27, 36976-28; Supporting Information Table S3) with a \(z\)-scores > 5, the VAFs of somatic mutations were invariably above 10%. This further confirmed that the mFAST-SeqS approach reliably distinguishes between cases with low and high ctDNA levels.

We\(^1\) and others\(^5\) have shown a high concordance between ctDNA and matched biopsies in patients with prostate cancer, which is important, as the bone-predominant metastatic spread limits the option for routine biopsies. We confirmed this further in one of our patients (#28413), for which a bladder tumor was classified as adenocarcinoma from prostate cancer after transurethral resection. Molecular testing of this tumor, which was performed at a commercial laboratory (Foundation Medicine), revealed amplified AR, PTEN loss, TMPRSS2-ERG fusion and a TP53 G245S mutation. We sought after the presence of the same somatic alterations in plasma sample 28413-63 (Supporting Information Table S3). The AR amplicon and the PTEN loss were obvious from the plasma-Seq profile (Fig. 2c). We applied our split read algorithm\(^18\) and identified 158 TMPRSS2-ERG fusion reads confirming this rearrangement and detected the TP53 mutation G245S by panel sequencing, further confirming the validity of our methodology. Notably, this patient had a high-amplitude AR amplification already in his baseline profile 28413-62 (Fig. 2c) and responded well to treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednison for 8 months.

AR amplifications at baseline and progression

From the 12 cases (48%) with AR amplification, the amplicon was observed in four cases (two cases each treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednison (chr36976: Fig. 3a; chr37666: Supporting Information Fig. S3) and enzalutamide (chr37069: Fig. 3b; chr35393:}
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Figure 2. Panel sequencing and pathway analyses. (a) Overview of somatic mutations (the pathogenic germline BRCA2 mutations were included, as they likely have a significant impact on the prostate cancer genome) as well as some SCNAs, i.e. deletions of the PTEN [10q23.31] and RB1 [13q14.2] loci, AR amplification and the TMPRSS2-ERG rearrangement and pathways affected by these alterations. The oncoprint summarizes the 15 patients for which significant SCNAs and/or coding variants were detected. The eight columns (with the black symbols) to the right depict affected pathways (black and red numbers: patients treated with abiraterone and enzalutamide, respectively; blue number: patient treated sequentially with abiraterone followed by enzalutamide). (b) Plasma-Seq profiles derived from patient #33572, whose tumor genome harbored two somatic mutations, i.e. L702H and T878A, in the AR gene (the x-axis shows the chromosomes, the y-axis indicates log2 copy number ratios; green: balanced regions; red: gained regions; blue: lost regions). While the baseline profile (33572-42) was balanced, after 2 months with abiraterone treatment the progression profile (33572-44) showed multiple SCNAs indicating increasing ctDNA, which was accompanied by raising ALP and PSA levels. The line graph displays PSA (brown) and ALP (blue) values obtained at the same time as the plasma sample (x-axis: time points). (These color schemes for copy number profiles and ALP/PSA displays apply to all subsequent figures.) (c) Patient #28413 had a high-amplitude AR amplification already at baseline. An increase in ctDNA was noted in the second plasma sample (28413-63) with multiple SCNAs including the AR amplicon and PTEN loss at 10q23.31 (Log2-ratio −0.98). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Supporting Information Fig. S3) only at progression but not in the baseline samples. Previously, we defined focal events, established the resolution limits for the detection of amplifications by plasma-Seq and reported that they can be reliably identified at approximately 5% of total ctDNA. In cases with high-level amplification, the amplicon may be detectable with less ctDNA. Here, we confirmed this observation, as in plasma sample 36976-25, the VAF of the somatic TP53 mutation was ~3% (Supporting Information Table S3) and the AR amplicon was detectable (Fig. 3a). In baseline sample 37069-21, the somatic TP53 mutation had a VAF of ~10% (Supporting Information Table S3) without evidence of AR amplification (Fig. 3b), suggesting the absence of this amplicon. However, we observed the amplicon in the progression sample 37069-24 8 months later (Fig. 3b). In the other two cases (Supporting Information Fig. S3) for which there was no somatic mutation to establish the ctDNA level, we cannot formally rule out that the AR amplification may have been missed at baseline due to low ctDNA levels. However, in each case, the emergence of AR amplification correlated with clinical resistance, e.g. increasing PSA values on abiraterone or enzalutamide (Fig. 3; Supporting Information Fig. S3).

The time range from treatment start to detection of AR amplicon was 4–8 months (mean: 6.25 months).

AR amplification was already present at baseline in eight cases, four of which were treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone (#34442, #33495, #34254, #28413) or enzalutamide (#35153, #37198, #31378, #36976Enza) (Figs. 3 and 4 and Supporting Information Fig. S4). In our cohort, only one case treated with abiraterone did not show PSA decline (#34442: maximal PSA decline: 238.1%; progression after 2 months treatment with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone; Supporting Information Fig. S4a), whereas no PSA decline was observed in three enzalutamide cases (#36976Enza: maximal PSA decline: +122.2%, Fig. 3a; #37198: maximal PSA decline: +70.1%, Supporting Information Fig. S4b; #31378: maximal PSA decline: +63.7%, Supporting Information Fig. S4c).

Clinical significance of longitudinal tumor genome monitoring

In the following, we highlight three cases in more detail to illustrate the importance of longitudinally monitoring the prostate cancer genome.
Case Study #1: Long-term response to abiraterone despite AR amplification at baseline.

An exceptional case was #34254, one of the *BRCA2* germline mutation carriers, who, despite AR amplification present at baseline, was a long-term responder of abiraterone treatment for ~20 months (maximal PSA decline: ~95.8%), with only a slight PSA increase between 20 and 27 months and a low ctDNA level in plasma (Fig. 4a).

Case Study #2: Loss of AR amplification associated with progression to neuroendocrine-like prostate cancer.

Patient #35153 (maximal PSA decline: ~41.8%; Fig. 4b) had an initial diagnosis of prostate adenocarcinoma, but 6 months after start of enzalutamide, a brain biopsy revealed a “de-differentiated” or “neuroendocrine” prostate cancer and, furthermore, he developed new innumerable liver metastases 1 month later. Hence, the clinical presentation was suggestive
of a transition from an adenocarcinoma to a neuroendocrine or aggressive variant tumor and this was also reflected in the plasma DNA profiles in which we observed clonal pattern changes in the tumor genome. Importantly, the AR amplicon was lost at progression (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, using plasma-Seq, we only detected the TMPRSS2-ERG rearrangement associated with a 3-Mbp interstitial deletion on chromosome 21 in the progression sample 35153-92 but not in the previous plasma samples (Fig. 4b). Our split-read algorithm mapped the deletion breakpoints to chr21:39,833,973-42,856,349. This enabled us to show the presence of this deletion at the subclonal level in the previous samples, as we identified very low numbers of respective fusion fragments (35153-89: 41; 35153-90: 9; 35153-91: 40). Some of the emerging somatic alterations, such as loss of PTEN or RB1 and the novel gain of 20q13 which harbors the AURKA gene, have been reported as frequent changes in neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC) and represent a potential therapeutic target. In principle, enzalutamide treatment was successful insofar as the clone with AR amplification was diminished to a level such that it was no longer detectable in our ctDNA analyses.

Case Study #3: Insight into clonal evolution in relation to treatment.

The tumor genome of patient #33763, the second BRCA2 germline mutation carrier, already displayed multiple SCNAS at baseline, but no apparent AR-related alterations (Fig. 4c). This patient was treated with abiraterone and after 3–4 months had a maximal PSA decline of \( \sim 41.8\% \). In this short time, plasma-Seq revealed novel changes such as the loss of the distal short arm of chromosome 1 and loss of the long arm of chromosome 16 (Fig. 4c), illustrating once more the plasticity of advanced prostate cancer.

Additional clinical implications

We grouped the somatic alterations, i.e. mutations and SCNAS, in cancer pathways which may be clinically actionable (Fig. 2a). We found evidence for altered pathways in 15 cases, which corresponds to 60% relative to all 25 treatment courses or to 100% of all cases with increased ctDNA fractions, i.e. \( z \)-scores >5. Several of these pathways have possible therapeutic implications in prostate cancer, including the use of PARP inhibitors in the presence of DNA repair pathway deficiencies, PI3 kinase pathway inhibitors in the presence of activating mutations or genomic alterations or cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitors.

We observed improved outcomes in patients with a low \( z \)-scores at baseline. Considering patients treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone, a maximum PSA decline of \( \geq 30\% \) was observed in 6 of 9 (67%) with \( z \)-scores \( \leq 5 \) vs. 2 of 5 (40%) with \( z \)-scores >5 (Fig. 5a, left panel). Accordingly, we found a significant difference in PFS between patient samples with low vs. high \( z \)-scores (Fig. 5b). Similar observations could not be made for patients treated with enzalutamide (Fig. 5a, right panel); however, this may be explained by the smaller number of patients receiving this treatment which was available for analysis.

Associations between ctDNA detection and clinical variables in mCRPC

The wide variability of ctDNA levels in the USC cohort of otherwise relatively similar patients with mCRPC raises the question about factors associated with ctDNA release. To explore this question, we also included, in addition to the...
USC cohort, the 334 plasma samples derived from 125 patients from the MUG cohort, and analyzed the association of dichotomized ctDNA scores (low ≤ 5 and high > 5 z-scores) and clinical variables (PSA, ALP, LDH, bone metastases) available in both clinical cohorts. The distribution of each of the clinical covariates for low and high ctDNA scores are shown for both cohorts in Figures 6a and 6b, with data densities outlined. The results of the univariate and multivariate analyses of ctDNA scores in relation to each of clinical covariates in the cohorts are shown in Figure 6c and show significant relationship between bone metastases, LDH and PSA in both univariate and multivariate analyses.

Discussion

We demonstrate that clinically informative genomic profiling from minimally invasive blood sampling is feasible in most patients with mCRPC. Our strategy involved mFAST-SeqS,25 which provides an initial assessment of aneuploidy, plasma-Seq18 for the detailed analysis of SCNAs genome-wide, and panel sequencing of 31 prostate cancer-associated genes and the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion region on chromosome 21. We already demonstrated the efficacy of this strategy for plasma DNA analyses of patients with prostate cancer in our previous studies.18,19 Other studies have used different strategies for the analysis of ctDNA in mCRPC patients. One study employed a custom panel to deep-sequence ~38 kb, including the coding exons of SPOP, TP53, FOXA1 and PTEN and SNPs to detect deletions at 21q22, 8p21 and 10q23.11 Another study applying NGS targeted all AR coding bases and genomic regions that are highly informative in prostate cancer.13 Additional studies have used array-CGH and deep AR gene sequencing10,16,35 or targeted sequencing across 72 clinically relevant genes.35 Our plasma-Seq was the first description of low-coverage sequencing of plasma DNA18 and as it is being adapted by other groups,13 it is currently evolving into a widely used tool for genome-wide SCNA analysis. With the addition of targeted sequencing at high coverage of relevant genes, a wealth of information for improving the management of patients can be generated.

Importantly, we made novel and unexpected observations regarding the status of aberrant AR. In part, we confirmed previous observations. For example, one patient with “heavily aberrant AR” due to two mutations,16 i.e. L702H and T878A, did not respond to AR axis antagonism.16 Furthermore, in several patients treated with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone or enzalutamide, AR amplifications were detected in plasma of patients with increasing PSA values, which confirms the presence of this amplicon and its association with resistance to these therapies as reported earlier.11,14–17 Therefore, it has been suggested that circulating AR copy number status might be used for outcome prediction to abiraterone/ enzalutamide treatment in CRPC patients.11,14–17 However, 4 of 8 patients with AR amplification at baseline showed PSA response and one of them, i.e. patient #34254, even had a PFS of >20 months. Hence, for outcome prediction, AR copy number alone is not sufficient for the stratification of patients into responders and non-responders. A similar observation was recently reported in a study involving seven patients, in which one patient with preexisting AR amplification demonstrated response to abiraterone treatment.42

A potential limitation inherent in the design of our study involves the heterogeneous nature of the patient cohorts. For example, 56% of patients in the enzalutamide group were previously exposed to abiraterone, while 17% of patients in the abiraterone group were previously exposed to enzalutamide (Supporting Information Table S2). This variation in the sequence of administering AR-directed agents reflects the limitations of current clinical information, as an optimal sequence or treatment strategy for mCRPC patients has not yet been defined. The heterogeneity observed in our patient set is similar to that reported in other contemporary reports. For example, 62% of the patients being monitored for cfDNA changes during treatment with enzalutamide had previously received abiraterone in a recent study.16 Hence, prospective studies conducted with treatment naïve or structured sequencing trials (for example, see: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02125357) will be needed to enhance the knowledge of genomic alterations associated with resistance to AR-targeted treatment.

Importantly, our observations have shed some light onto the process of ctDNA release in metastatic prostate cancer, which is of great interest, as the underlying biology is poorly understood at present. In our cohort, the relatively large number of patients with low ctDNA levels was unexpected, as all patients had metastatic disease and a progressive disease status at least at one point in time. For this reason, we included a second cohort which resulted altogether in data from 148 patients and 428 plasma samples, which is to the best of our knowledge the largest ctDNA-related study in mCRPC to date. In multivariate analyses, clinical variables that best predicted high ctDNA levels were the presence of bone metastases, increased LDH and PSA concentrations. LDH together with ALP have previously been viewed as “serum indices of tumor burden” in prostate cancer.15 Here, for the first time, we show a highly significant association between increased LDH and ctDNA levels. LDH values have been used as a prognostic factor in prostate cancer43 and, interestingly, in previous studies an association between high LDH levels and CTC numbers has been observed.14,45 On a cellular level, expression of LDHA (also known as the M (skeletal muscle) subunit primarily involved in anaerobic metabolism) and LDHB (also known as the H (heart) subunit found predominately in aerobic tissues) contributes significantly to the metabolic adaptability of cancer cells by promoting anaerobic growth and autophagy.46,47 As the Ki67 proliferation index has been reported as an independent predictor of ctDNA detection in patients with non-small cell lung cancer,48 increased proliferation may be an important determinant of ctDNA release.
Figure 6. Predictors of ctDNA detection in metastatic CRPC. Violin plots of USC (a) and MUG (b) cohorts displaying the data densities of PSA, LDH, ALP and bone metastases values at each visit (the visit number color gradient is shown at the bottom). Table (c) displays the results of the univariate and multivariate analyses of ctDNA scores in relation to each of clinical covariates (bone metastases, LDH and PSA). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

| Clinical covariates (log10 transformed) | Univariate Analyses | Multivariate Analyses |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| **Prostate-specific antigen (PSA)**    | 3.38 (1.56, 7.97)   | 0.003                |
|                                        |                     | 2.724 (1.254, 6.405) | 0.015 |
| **Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)**        | 4.83 (1.88, 13.76)  | 0.002                |
|                                        |                     | 3.726 (1.471, 10.51) | 0.008 |
| **Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)**         | 0.667 (0.355, 1.253)| 0.203                |
|                                        |                     | 0.776 (0.382, 1.551) | 0.471 |
| **Bone Metastases**                    | 10.11 (3.552, 35.47)| 5.69E-05             |
|                                        |                     | 6.062 (2.155, 20.85) | 0.001 |
Particularly striking cases are prostate adenocarcinomas which transdifferentiate into a neuroendocrine carcinoma, also referred to as treatment-induced neuroendocrine prostate cancer (t-NEPC). In our study, this was exemplified by patient #35153 where some of the emerging somatic alterations, such as loss of PTEN or RB1 and the novel gain of 20q13, which harbors the AURKA gene, have been reported as frequent changes in t-NEPC and represent a potential therapeutic target. AR antagonism may induce lineage alterations and thus promote enhanced lineage plasticity, as previously reported by us and others. Furthermore, we describe several cases in which genomic alterations evolve with disease progression, but at present it is unclear whether these are associated with response/resistance to abiraterone/enzalutamide. However, knowledge regarding the high plasticity of the prostate cancer genome is instrumental for elucidating their impact on treatment response in future studies.

After submission of our article, a paper was published describing ctDNA analyses during a trial in which patients were randomized to treatment with abiraterone or enzalutamide. Similar to our observations, the authors did not detect a prognostic effect of AR amplification but discuss the possibility of poorer response for patients with high AR copy number (>8). Otherwise, alterations in BRCA2, ATM and TP53 were associated with poor clinical outcome.

In summary, we have shown that longitudinal monitoring of prostate cancer genomes may provide insight into clonal evolution and that ctDNA analyses have the potential to identify actionable alterations that arise later in disease progression within a clinical practice setting. However, our study has several limitations. In light of the myriad of observed SCNA, a much larger number of patients will be needed to establish predictive genomic alterations. This is exemplified by the most frequently observed therapy-associated alteration, i.e. the AR amplification, which alone appears to be unreliable as a predictive marker for response to treatment with abiraterone or enzalutamide, an observation recently also reported by others. Another limitation concerns the heterogeneity of the cohort regarding different pretreatments. Nevertheless, our study illustrates that detailed characterization of changes in the tumor genome due to selection pressure and adaptation to treatment response will be a prerequisite for the successful implementation of precision oncology.
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