Obesity detection rate among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China: a meta-analysis
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Background: Obesity has become a major public health problem worldwide. The prevalence of obesity is rising alarmingly among children and adolescents in the People’s Republic of China, with an estimated 120 million now in the obese range. It is estimated that 8% of children in the People’s Republic of China are obese and 12% are overweight.

Methods: Eligible papers on the prevalence of obesity among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China and published between 2006 and 2011 were retrieved from PubMed and from online Chinese periodicals, ie, the full-text databases of VIP, the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wan Fang. Meta-Analyst software was used to collate and analyze the detection rates cited in the papers retrieved.

Results: After evaluation of the quality of the papers, 25 were finally included, giving a total sample population size for investigation of obesity of 219,763, in which 28,121 cases were detected. Meta-analysis showed that the combined obesity detection rate was 10.4% (95% confidence interval 8.6–12.6) among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China, with a higher detection rate in boys (12.6%) than in girls (7.2%). The prevalence of obesity was higher in the north (11.8%) than in the south (9.5%), east (11.6%), and mid-west (8.0%) regions. Obesity defined according to the World Health Organization weight-for-height standard (14.3%) was higher than that using age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for body mass index (9.0%).

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis found an obesity prevalence rate of 10.4%, which does not seem as high as previous reports of childhood obesity rates in other countries. However, the prevalence of childhood obesity in the People’s Republic of China is still worrisome, and is likely to rise even further if we fail to take effective and practical measures now.
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Introduction

Obesity is defined as abnormal or excessive accumulation of body fat, and represents a risk to health. In the People’s Republic of China, overweight and obesity are significant growing health problems in children, and are threatening their physical and mental health. An in-depth understanding of the prevalence of obesity among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China could provide an opportunity to focus resources, interventions, and research in directions that would be most beneficial for addressing this problem.

Body mass index (BMI) is acceptable for determining obesity in an individual. It is defined as the individual’s body mass divided by the square of his or her height. The World Health Organization (WHO) suggests a BMI >25.0 and <29.9 as an
indicator of overweight and >30 as obesity. To take international variations into account, the International Life Science Institute proposes the following reference values for BMI in the Chinese population: 18.5–23.9 kg/m$^2$ for the normal range, 24.0–27.9 kg/m$^2$ for overweight, and ≥28.0 kg/m$^2$ for obesity. In November 2003, a working group prescribed a nationwide reference when screening for overweight and obesity in school-aged children and adolescents in the People’s Republic of China. This reference standard initially provided a quantitative basis for screening of overweight and obesity in Chinese students (herein referred to as age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for BMI). The WHO weight-for-height criterion is also widely used in the People’s Republic of China, which is according to the standard weight for different heights. It defines weight of 90%–110% standard weight-for-height as normal, 110%–120% as overweight, and ≥120% as obesity (herein referred to as the weight-for-height standard).

Although the authorities have made a commitment to bring obesity under control to 12% for adults and 8% for children and teens by 2015, this is a tough task to achieve. Analysis of the dynamics of overweight and obesity in different student groups between 1985 and 2000 by Ji et al suggested that the rapid growth of obesity in the Chinese population should outweigh any concerns about the apparent percentiles of overweight and obesity. This fact alone should attract the attention of relevant government departments to take effective preventive action against obesity. In this meta-analysis, an attempt was made to investigate the epidemiology of obesity and overweight in school-aged children and adolescents in the People’s Republic of China.

Materials and methods

Search strategy

Papers on the topic of obesity published online between 2006 and 2011 were retrieved from PubMed and from the Chinese periodical full-text databases of VIP, Wan Fang, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure using the search terms “obesity/fat”, “students”, “pupils”, “detection rate”, and “China” in the Chinese language for the Chinese database and in English for the PubMed database. Eligible full texts were retrieved manually from the above data.

Selection criteria

Inclusion criteria consisted of: papers published in the literature addressing obesity among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China; publication between 2006 and 2011; discussion of the obesity detection rate in Chinese primary school students with clear and reliable statistics; use of obesity criteria, ie, age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for BMI or the WHO weight-for-height standard; and priority of higher quality papers among the same subjects (when more than one of the same subjects was included in several publications, only the most recent or complete study was included in the meta-analysis). Exclusion criteria included indicators described in articles with less association, data being incomplete, and duplications.

Literature screening and assessment of quality

Data were extracted from each study according to the selection criteria by two investigators independently, and any disagreements were resolved by a third reviewer. A blinded method was used to ensure quality. The following information was extracted from the literature: first author, year of publication, total sample size, sample size of different gender and area, and the criterion used for obesity. Evaluation of research quality was made according to the criteria proposed by Stroup et al for meta-analysis of observational studies.

Statistical analysis

Meta Analyst Beta 3.13 software (Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA) was used for meta-analysis of data from the 25 eligible papers. By heterogeneity testing, the random-effects model was applied for merging sets of data and data analysis. The final data were subdivided into subgroups for statistical analysis and chart description.

Results

Basic information and assessment of quality

A total of 1,026 articles were retrieved from the online Chinese periodical full-text VIP (138), Wan Fang (78), and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (142) databases, and from PubMed (668). In total, 964 papers were excluded after preliminary screening. Of these, 332 were review articles. A further 248 papers were investigations of the relationship between obesity and hypertension or diabetes. Another 384 papers reported obesity detection rates in Chinese primary school students, but lacked the necessary data or contained incomplete data, so were excluded. The study with the highest quality was included if multiple publications were based on the same sample. Assessment of quality was made by meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology. Thirty-seven
papers were removed because the judgment standard for obesity was not based on age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for BMI or the WHO weight-for-height standard. Finally, 25 papers were included, representing 28,121 cases of obesity detected in a total sample size of 219,763 primary school students. Figure 1 shows the process used for literature screening, and basic information on the final papers included is given in Table 1.

**Meta-analysis of obesity detection rates in primary school students in the People’s Republic of China**

Heterogeneity testing was carried out for the obesity detection rate, with a result of $P=0.499$, suggesting that the research results for the 25 papers were heterogeneous. A random-effects model was introduced to merge the data for meta-analysis. As shown by the forest plots in Figure 2, the pooled prevalence of obesity in the primary school students was 10.4% (95% confidence interval [CI] 8.6–12.6).

**Subgroup analysis**

Subgroup analysis was based on student gender and distribution by district. After heterogeneity testing, a random-effects model was used for meta-analysis. Table 2 shows the mean prevalence of obesity in primary school students to be 12.6% (95% CI 10.0–15.6) for boys and 7.2% (95% CI 5.9–8.9) for girls. The prevalence of obesity in northern People’s Republic of China was 11.8% (95% CI 8.6–15.9), 9.5% (95% CI 8.2–11.0) in the south, 11.6% in the eastern areas (95% CI 9.3–14.5), and 8.0% (95% CI 6.8–9.3) in the mid-west.

### Table 1 Main characteristics of studies showing obesity detection rates among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China

| Reference | Year | Geographic distribution | Prevalence of obesity (%) | Subject | Age range, years | Criterion |
|-----------|------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| Chang et al | 2006 | Zhangjiakou | 10.15 (309/3,043) | Total (obesity/ sample size) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Man et al | 2006 | Dandong | 9.80 (2,971/30,378) | Boys (obesity/ sample size) | 12.90 (205/1,587) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Liu and Wu | 2006 | Haikou | 13.62 (350/2,569) | Girls (obesity/ sample size) | 7.10 (104/1,456) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Guo and Xie | 2006 | Shenzhen | 7.22 (277/3,836) | | 17.08 (248/1,452) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Mo et al | 2008 | Changsha | 7.39 (306/4,140) | | 8.99 (193/2,147) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Lv et al | 2008 | Changzhou | 6.43 (387/6,016) | | 9.09 (284/3,123) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Wang et al | 2009 | Handan | 16.01 (216/1,349) | | 3.56 (102/1,117) | Pupil | 6–12 | BMI |
| Yu and Lin | 2009 | Chengdu | 7.00 (421/6,047) | | 8.80 (274/3,113) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Li | 2010 | Jining | 17.47 (159/910) | | 22.27 (96/431) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Sun | 2010 | Ju’nan | 7.01 (215/3,066) | | 13.15 (63/479) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Bei et al | 2010 | Wuhu | 9.30 (964/10,381) | | 10.80 (580/5,343) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Huang et al | 2010 | Xiamen | 9.42 (4,853/5,156) | | 12.35 (3,503/28,375) | Pupil | 7–11 | BMI |
| Hu et al | 2010 | Zhenjiang | 8.70 (144/1,655) | | 13.40 (128/952) | Pupil | 7–11 | BMI |
| Liu et al | 2010 | Linghai | 9.60 (172/1,798) | | 12.80 (121/946) | Pupil | 7–11 | BMI |
| Zhang and Zhao | 2010 | Xinyang | 6.17 (111/1,800) | | 7.50 (69/920) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Zeng and Chen | 2010 | Yangchun | 4.19 (50/1,219) | | 5.91 (40/677) | Pupil | 8–12 | BMI |
| Zhuang | 2011 | Chaozhou | 10.26 (417/4,064) | | 13.58 (290/2,136) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Jiang and Zhao | 2011 | J’ian | 11.20 (319/2,836) | | 14.50 (204/1,405) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Zhang | 2011 | Guangzhou | 14.54 (154/1,059) | | 16.55 (94/568) | Pupil | 6–12 | BMI |
| Ren et al | 2011 | Langfang | 25.10 (690/2,749) | | 31.10 (440/1,415) | Pupil | 6–12 | BMI |
| Fu et al | 2011 | Shanghai | 22.64 (401/1,771) | | 1.85 (10/542) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Huang et al | 2011 | Beijing | 21.00 (12,124/57,751) | | 28.00 (7,795/27,863) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Chou et al | 2011 | Kunshan | 12.25 (1,427/11,646) | | 14.86 (990/6,661) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Liu et al | 2011 | Hebi | 7.41 (139/1,876) | | 5.42 (52/959) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |
| Lai et al | 2011 | Shenzhen | 8.98 (545/6,068) | | 10.65 (335/3,147) | Pupil | 7–12 | BMI |

**Note:** BMI here refers specifically to age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for body mass index.
The obesity detection rate was 9.0% (95% CI 8.0–10.0) using the age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for BMI criterion and 14.3% (95% CI 10.4–19.4) using the WHO weight-for-height standard.

**Publication bias**

Publication bias refers to the tendency of a study to produce apparently statistically significant results leading investigators to submit manuscripts and for reviewers and editors to accept them. Although this is a potential threat in meta-analysis, it may be avoided by using funnel plots, and we used these to test for possible bias in our literature selection. Verification by funnel plot (Figure 3) showed that the literature included were in better symmetry with the narrow top and wide bottom, suggesting a low likelihood of publication bias with regard to detection of obesity rates in primary school students in the People’s Republic of China.

**Discussion**

As shown in Table 1, obesity detection rates among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China varied widely from 4.1% to 25.1%. This broad variation may be associated with differences in sample size, an imbalance in regional economic development in the People’s Republic of China, and/or year of publication. Nevertheless, our meta-analysis competently represents the current picture of obesity prevalence among primary school students in the People’s Republic of China.

Our findings on the basis of the literature suggest that obesity disproportionately affects certain groups of children, with higher detection rates in boys than in girls. A possible explanation for these results may lie in: the physiologic differences between boys and girls; the traditional Chinese thinking that male gender is the strongest within the family, as a consequence of diverse food quality treatment for boys and girls and the irrational perception that slender figures are more beautiful for girls; and the conventional stereotype on the part of parents who value boys over girls such that the food intake of boys should be greater than that of girls, thus resulting in a potentially higher prevalence of obesity in male students.

A higher prevalence of obesity was seen in the northern and eastern areas of the People’s Republic of China as compared with the south and mid-west. A likely explanation for this difference is the different eating habits between the northern and southern areas. In addition, the highly developed economy in the People’s Republic of China has led to urban children from wealthy families being transported in more modern vehicles and being more sedentary, leading to less daily physical activity and decreased daily energy expenditure but more ready accumulation of body fat; in contrast, obesity is less likely in western People’s Republic of China, where the economy is relatively underdeveloped, and growth deficiencies due to poor nutrition occur more often than obesity in children. This is further demonstrated by data showing that overweight or obesity in adolescents from affluent families was 1.6 times higher than in children from less wealthy families. In contrast, the rapidly increasing problem of obesity in children in the UK is concentrated in the lower socioeconomic classes. A possible interpretation of this difference between the western world and the People’s Republic of China is that families of higher socioeconomic status in industrialized
developed nations have access to more healthy food and have better dietary habits. Our findings show that the obesity detection rate using the WHO weight-for-height criterion was higher than that using the criterion of age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for BMI. Both these criteria are widely used in the People’s Republic of China for detecting obesity in primary school students, and further study is needed to determine which method is the most useful.

In 2010, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported that 17% of US children were obese.\textsuperscript{10} 

| Study name                  | N      | Confidence interval     |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Chang et al\textsuperscript{13} | 3,043  | 0.102 (0.091, 0.113)    |
| Man et al\textsuperscript{14} | 30,378 | 0.098 (0.095, 0.101)    |
| Liu and Wu\textsuperscript{15} | 2,569  | 0.136 (0.124, 0.150)    |
| Guo and Xie\textsuperscript{16} | 3,836  | 0.072 (0.064, 0.081)    |
| Mo et al\textsuperscript{17} | 4,140  | 0.074 (0.066, 0.082)    |
| Lv et al\textsuperscript{18} | 6,016  | 0.064 (0.058, 0.071)    |
| Wang et al\textsuperscript{19} | 1,349  | 0.160 (0.142, 0.181)    |
| Yu and Lin\textsuperscript{20} | 6,047  | 0.070 (0.063, 0.076)    |
| Li et al\textsuperscript{21}  | 910    | 0.175 (0.151, 0.201)    |
| Sun et al\textsuperscript{22} | 3,066  | 0.070 (0.062, 0.080)    |
| Bei et al\textsuperscript{23} | 10,381 | 0.093 (0.087, 0.099)    |
| Huang et al\textsuperscript{24} | 51,516 | 0.094 (0.092, 0.097)    |
| Hu et al\textsuperscript{25}  | 1,655  | 0.087 (0.074, 0.102)    |
| Liu\textsuperscript{26}       | 1,798  | 0.096 (0.083, 0.110)    |
| Zhang and Zhao\textsuperscript{27} | 1,800 | 0.062 (0.051, 0.074)    |
| Zeng and Chen\textsuperscript{6} | 1,219 | 0.041 (0.031, 0.054)    |
| Zhuang\textsuperscript{28}    | 4,064  | 0.103 (0.094, 0.112)    |
| Jiang and Zhao\textsuperscript{29} | 2,836 | 0.112 (0.101, 0.125)    |
| Zhang\textsuperscript{30}     | 1,059  | 0.145 (0.125, 0.168)    |
| Ren et al\textsuperscript{7}  | 2,749  | 0.251 (0.235, 0.268)    |
| Fu et al\textsuperscript{31}  | 1,771  | 0.226 (0.208, 0.247)    |
| Huang et al\textsuperscript{32} | 57,971 | 0.209 (0.206, 0.212)    |
| Chou et al\textsuperscript{33} | 11,646 | 0.123 (0.117, 0.129)    |
| Liu et al\textsuperscript{34} | 1,876  | 0.074 (0.063, 0.087)    |
| Lan et al\textsuperscript{35} | 6,068  | 0.090 (0.083, 0.097)    |
| Overall                     | 219,763 | 0.104 (0.086, 0.126)    |

**Figure 2** Forest plot for obesity prevalence and confidence intervals for obesity in each study and the overall prevalence in the meta-analysis.
year, 30% of UK children were reported to be obese. The cultural habits relating to food in the US and UK may be the most significant factor in these obesity rates. Many of the favorite foods in both countries, including hamburgers, French fries, and doughnuts, are high in carbohydrate content. Children frequently demand that these foods be included in their diet. In Singapore, the percentage of obese school children remained at about 12% from 2007 to 2010. Further, official statistics from the Food and Health Bureau of the Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People’s Republic of China, show that the prevalence of obesity is as high as 21.3%. Although the mean prevalence rate in our meta-analysis was only 10.4%, ie, lower than the above data, the prevalence of obesity in the People’s Republic of China is still worrisome, in that if we fail to implement effective preventive and control measures now, the situation will get worse. As in adults, obesity in childhood causes hypertension, type 2 diabetes, hyperinsulinemia, and Endocrine-Neurogenic Tumor. Apart from that, obesity in childhood tends to be a risk factor for mental growth in childhood and even represents social dysfunction when children grow up. Obese children often feel upset when they are too big to fit into beautiful dresses and classmates also make fun of their body size. Given the rising childhood obesity rates in the People’s Republic of China, our education departments and health authorities should jointly implement effective and practical measures, such as health education and regular physical examinations, to bring childhood obesity under control. In addition, parents

Table 2 Mean prevalence of obesity among primary school students in different subgroups

| Study number | Male | Female | South | North | East | Mid-west | BMI | Weight-for-height |
|--------------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|----------|-----|------------------|
| Prevalence (%) | 12.6 | 7.2 | 9.5 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 14.3 |
| 95% CI (%) | 10.0–15.6 | 5.9–8.9 | 8.2–11.0 | 8.6–15.9 | 9.3–14.5 | 6.8–9.3 | 8.0–10.0 | 10.4–19.4 |
| Heterogeneity (F) | 0.499 | 0.497 | 0.495 | 0.499 | 0.499 | 0.482 | 0.489 | 0.499 |

Notes: BMI here specifically refers to age-specific and gender-specific cutoff points for body mass index. North and South are divided by Qinling Mountains-Huaihe River Line. East and mid-west are divided by economic development level.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 3 Funnel plot for overall prevalence in the meta-analysis.
should be educated about the importance of healthy eating, and students should be encouraged to take more exercise and develop good lifestyle habits. Our recommendations for the future include: improvement of awareness about the hazards of obesity; earlier implementation of preventive measures; development of reasonable eating habits including a balanced diet and less intake of foods with high calories; more physical exercise, such as running, swimming, or other physical activity; development of healthy lifestyle behaviors, such as keeping to a regular daily timetable and a regular diet; and keeping an optimistic attitude and a peaceful mood.
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