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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: This study examined the trends, determinants and health risks of adolescent fatherhood in three selected African countries where adolescent-girl pregnancy/motherhood are decried but with permissive male sexual latitude.

METHODS: Adolescent male data were extracted from the male-recode datasets of Demographic Health Survey (2000-2014) for Nigeria, Ethiopia and Zambia. The surveys were grouped into 3-Waves: (2000-2004); (2005-2008) and (2011-2014). The study employed descriptive and binary logistics that tested the log-odds of adolescent fatherhood with respect to selected sexual behaviour indices, and individual and shared demographic variables.

RESULTS: The results revealed that the number of lifetime-sexual-partners among the boys is ≥2. The likelihood of adolescent fatherhood is positively associated with increasing age at first cohabitation and multiple sexual partnerships (≥2) having OR=1.673 and OR=1.769 in 2005/2008 and 2011/2014 respectively. Adolescents who had attained tertiary education, and engaged in professional and skilled jobs were 0.313, 0.213 and 0.403 times (respectively) less likely to have ever-fathered a child. The positive association between rural place of residence and adolescent fatherhood in the past shifted to urban residents in 2011/2014.

CONCLUSION: The study concludes that early sexual activities and cohabitation are common among male adolescents among the countries of study. The authors recommend discouragement of boy-girl cohabitation, increasing access to higher education and job opportunities in order to stem boy-fatherhood incidence in the study locations and, by extension, other countries in sub-Saharan Africa.
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing waves of risky sexual behaviour among adolescents has been documented worldwide, especially with high level of pre-marital sex, non-use or rare-use of condom including multiple sexual partners in sub-Saharan countries (1–4). The consequences of these behaviours are unwanted pregnancies, early motherhood, early fatherhood and STIs/HIV, among other trajectories (2,4–7). While adolescent pregnancies and child motherhood have been decried over the years, not much attention has been placed on adolescent fatherhood and circumstances that are influencing this event, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. In this region, masculinity is supreme and men’s sexuality landscape is relatively perforated with unchecked measures of sex outside marriage (8–11). There is generally sexual latitude for men, perhaps because of the patriarchal system in the region. Specifically, in countries like Nigeria and Ghana, pregnancy in school terminates school attendance (12), but boy-fatherhood is often celebrated even within the family(6). While there are numerous studies on teen and adolescent pregnancy, and huge resources devoted to strategies to stem the incidence, there is relative silence on issues related to boy fatherhood, not only among the researchers, but also in terms of public interventions.

Among the boys worldwide, sexual knowledge and demonstration of high risk sexual behaviour are becoming more rampant. In sub-Saharan Africa, over 20% of adolescent who have ever had sex also have multiple sexual partners (13,14). A study in Ethiopia reported that 55% out of 40 adolescent boys interviewed were already in sexual relationships (1). Another study reported that out of 145 adolescents who had pre-marital sex, 70% were male adolescents (2). In Nigeria, reports have shown that almost 35% of the 200 teenagers interviewed had fathered at least a child or impregnated a girl (6,15). The majority of the adolescent boys today brag more about their sexual prowess and strive to acquire sex skills than in pre-21st century (1). As noted also, the mean and median ages of sexual initiation for adolescent boys are as low as 14.8 and 15 years (12,16), and currently, virtually all adolescents are more exposed to sexual information on media than in pre-21 century.

In most cases, sexual activities are male initiated or mostly influenced by men (17,18). Also, Africa runs patriarchal and patrilineal systems where men are supreme (19), and their decisions, comportment and supports are akin to women sexual outcomes (19,20). Thus, the solutions to myriads of sexuality problems might be a mirage if adequate attention is not given to the male factor. In sub-Saharan Africa, teen-girl pregnancy is often frowned at, but there is relative general tolerance of teen/adolescent boys’ sexual behaviour, in addition to little or scanty literature on teen-fatherhood or adolescent fathers. This study therefore attempted to provide answers to few boggling questions on teenage fatherhood. What are prevalence rates of adolescent fatherhood in countries of sub-Saharan Africa? What are the factors responsible for this? Continuous identification of the drivers of adolescent fatherhood could help in curbing the challenges of early fatherhood and early pregnancy. This study is crucial because there is father-factor in almost every aspect of demographic challenges worldwide. Baby-fathers could also impose limitations on both the child/mother care and their future.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Research design: The study used male-recode datasets of Demographic Health Survey (2000-2014) for Nigeria, Ethiopia and Zambia. The surveys were grouped into 3-waves: wave 1: 2000, 2002, 2003; wave 2: 2005, 2007, 2008 and waves 3: 2011, 2013, 2014. Ethiopia’s data consisted of 2000, 2005 and 2011 datasets; Nigeria’s datasets included 2003, 2008 and 2013 while Zambia’s set of data featured 2002, 2007 and 2014. The data for 2001, 2006 and 2012 are missing from the data analyzed for the three sub-Saharan African countries. The files in each wave were grouped together and then combined into a single file. All data were weighted to ensure representation, and analyses were split by countries and by waves to show the trends across the years and for different countries.
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Data and measurements: Adolescent father is conceptualized as a young male still under 24 years of age who has fathered at least a child or responsible for the procreation of an offspring regardless of the age of the woman (6,21,22). Kiernan described a young father as a man who became a father before the age of 22 (23). Therefore, respondents were classified into two age groups: \( \leq 19 \text{ years} = 1 \) and \( 20-24 = 2 \). Most available demographic variables related to adolescents were analyzed including the selected indicators of their sexual behaviour and parenthood. Selected sexual behaviour indicators used included age at first sex (age at which the man first experienced sexual intercourse) recoded as \( \leq 19 \text{ years}, 20-24 \). The number of sexual partners was captured as \( 0 = \text{none}, 1 = \text{only one} \) and, \( 2 \) and above =3; condom use: if the respondent reported using condom in the last sex measured as \( \text{Yes} =1 \) or \( \text{No} =0 \). The frequency of union (i.e. union turnover) describes the number of times the respondents marries or engages in sexual union (only once =1, \( \geq 2 \text{ times} = 2 \)) and transactional sex: whether the man has ever paid for sex in the last 12 months (Yes or No). The selected sexual behaviour indicators were as defined in the Integrated Demographic Health Survey Data Descriptions and also constituted part of independent variables (13,24,25). The dependent variable is ever fathered at least a child, generated from the number of children ever fathered \( 0= \text{never}; \text{one and above} = 1 \).

Data analysis: The study employed descriptive analysis (frequency tables with means and median statistics) to explore the trends and patterns of adolescent fatherhood while the multivariate analysis illustrated the determinants. The trends specifically investigated the direction of change in the determinants of adolescent fatherhood, while the patterns illustrate the sequence in adolescent fatherhood in the countries selected. In the multivariate analysis, we used the binary logistic regression to test the log of odds of adolescent fatherhood with respect to demographic characteristics and selected indices of sexual behaviour. The multivariate specifically measures the responsiveness of adolescent fatherhood to demographic and sexual indices of the adolescent in both 2005/2008 and 2011/2014.

Ethical considerations: The data used in this study were secondary data collated by MEASURES DHS, ICF Macro, and Calverton, Maryland, USA. The data were made available with the respondents identifiers completely removed. The survey exercises and the data were approved by Institutional Review Board of ICF Macro and also by country-specific ethics-related committees. International standards of data collections were duly employed and all participants gave informed consent before their participation. Information collected was also accorded the right to confidentiality. For this study, the lead author registered and applied for the use of the data and adequate permission was given before the data were downloaded and analyzed.

RESULTS

Background information about respondents: The selected demographic variables analyzed included education, religion and occupation. The result revealed that Ethiopia recorded the highest percentage (36.0%) of adolescents who had no formal education. The proportion without formal education in Zambia is 4.0% lower than that of Nigeria (10.6%) (Table 1). The proportion of adolescents with secondary education was 60.8%, 37.8% and 23.6% in Nigeria, Zambia and Ethiopia, respectively. While the proportion of adolescent increased from primary to secondary education level in Nigeria, the opposite was the case for Ethiopia and Zambia. The proportions in tertiary levels were as low as 1.4%, 1.2% and 6.2% in Ethiopia, Zambia and Nigeria respectively. Ethiopia and Zambia recorded a decline in ‘no formal education’ category in wave 2 and 3 while Nigeria consistently recorded increase in this category among the adolescents.
The result also revealed that 5-14% of adolescent boys had fathered at least a child in these countries. In 2000/2004, 5.9%, 5.2% and 13.5% of boys were already fathers in Ethiopia, Nigeria and Zambia, respectively. The proportion increased by 21.3% in Ethiopia but decreased by 7.5% and 13.4% in Nigeria and Zambia during 2011/2014. Generally, Zambia recorded the highest proportion of adolescent fatherhood (13.5%) but consistently decreased across the waves (Table 1). In terms of marital status, the proportion of ‘separated’ in Zambia among the adolescent decreased from 2.1%, to 1.4 and finally to 1.2% in wave 1, 2 and 3 respectively, while it remained stagnant at 0.4% in Nigeria since 2005/2008. Ethiopia experienced almost 70% reduction (from 2.4% to 1.4%) between 2000/2004 and 2005/2008 before it increased to 1.6% in 2011/2014 (Table 1).

The data on occupation indicated that larger proportions of the adolescent (60% in Ethiopia and above 40% in Zambia) were concentrated in farming job with the exception of Nigeria. Unemployment increased from 10.8% to 13.7% between 2000/2004 and 2005/2008 in Ethiopia and later reduced by 5.2% in 2011/2014. The urban and rural distribution of adolescent males

Table 1: Selected Socio-demographic characteristics of Adolescents as at 2014

| Selected variables       | Ethiopia 2000-2004 | Nigeria 2005-2008 | Zambia 2011-2014 |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| **Residence**            |                     |                   |                  |
| Urban                    | 29.1                | 45.6              | 33.0             |
| Rural                    | 70.9                | 54.4              | 67.0             |
| **Education**            |                     |                   |                  |
| No formal Education      | 35.8                | 10.6              | 4.0              |
| Primary Education        | 40.0                | 22.4              | 58.7             |
| Secondary Education      | 23.0                | 60.9              | 36.3             |
| Higher Education         | 1.2                 | 6.2               | 1.0              |
| **Religion**             |                     |                   |                  |
| Christianity             | 48.5                | 33.3              | 97.3             |
| Islam                    | 12.6                | 21.8              | 0.4              |
| Others (Traditional)     | 38.9                | 44.9              | 2.3              |
| **Wealth Status**        |                     |                   |                  |
| Poor wealth status       | na                  | 33.7              | na               |
| Middle wealth status     | na                  | 18.8              | na               |
| Rich wealth status       | na                  | 47.5              | na               |
| **Marital status**       |                     |                   |                  |
| Never in union           | 87.7                | 92.5              | 85.3             |
| Married/Living with      | 10.1                | 6.6               | 12.9             |
| partner                  | 2.2                 | 0.9               | 1.8              |
| Others (e.g. separated)  | 2.2                 | 0.9               | 1.8              |
| **Ever fathered a child**|                     |                   |                  |
| Never fathered a child   | 94.1                | 92.8              | 86.5             |
| Have fathered (≥1 child) | 5.9                 | 7.2               | 13.5             |
| Occupation               | 10.8                | 27.3              | 26.7             |
| Unemployed               | 4.6                 | 11.0              | 4.0              |
| Professional             | 9.3                 | 14.1              | 0.5              |
| Clerical/Services/Sales  | 64.6                | 28.5              | 45.5             |
| Farming                  | 8.2                 | 15.2              | 21.8             |
| Skilled manual           | 2.5                 | 3.9               | 1.4              |
| Unskilled manual         | 100.0               | 100.0             | 100.0            |

Source: Computed from DHS 2000-2014. na = not available
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indicated a ratio of almost 30:70 (urban/rural) in Ethiopia and Zambia, and 46:54 in Nigeria in 2000/2004. However, the ratio tended towards (40:60) in the last wave for Nigeria and Zambia.

Sexual behaviour among adolescents across SSA (2000-2014): The analysis of age at first sex revealed that 24.4%, 36.4% and 70.0% have had sexual experience before their 20th birthday in Ethiopia, Nigeria and Zambia, respectively (Table 2). The proportion, however, increased progressively in Ethiopia and Nigeria and Zambia as ages increased. The results also revealed that relatively, 50% of the adolescents who had cohabited did so within their first 19 years of life, across the three countries surveyed. However, as the proportion of cohabiters shows a downward trend among adolescent aged ≤19, it increased among those aged 20-24 in Nigeria. The statistic remained relatively the same in Zambia (Table 2). One-third of adolescent boys had more than one lifetime sexual partners. Relatively, 7-10% had also experienced union turnover; 34.1%, 45.8% and 75.4% were sexually active in Ethiopia, Nigeria and Zambia in the 2000/2004 survey period against 28.4%, 41.5% and 64.6% in the next survey period (2005/2008) and 29.1%, 35.3% and 65.2% in 2011/2014, respectively. Knowledge of modern contraceptive methods was overwhelming. However, the proportion that had tested for HIV range from only 3.3% to 6.8% between 2000 and 2004 in Ethiopia (Table 2). The proportion of male adolescents that used condom in last sex increased from 8.9% (Ethiopia), 17.5% (Nigeria) and 18.2% (Zambia) in 2000/2004, to 9.1% (Ethiopia), 20.5% (Nigeria) and 24.7% (Zambia) in 2011/2014 (Table 2). There was a drastically decrease in the proportion of adolescent male who ever paid for sex across the surveyed countries. The proportions that had experienced genital discharge ranged between 0.2% in Ethiopia to 4.0% in Zambia. However, the reduction in genital discharge and ulcer was not general for the three countries. STIs consistently reduced across the three countries though Zambia was most affected from 2000/2004 to 2011/2014 survey period.

The multivariate binary logistic results indicated that age is statistically significant in the likelihood of becoming an adolescent father in both 2005/2008 and 2011/2014. Adolescents in age group 20-24 were 0.117 and 0.222 times less likely to be adolescent father in 2005/2008 and 2011/2014, respectively, compared to those in the younger age group (≤19 years). The probability of adolescent fatherhood decreases with increase in level of education in 2005/2008. Adolescent boy with lower levels of education (primary/secondary) were 1.413 and 1.781 times more likely to be adolescent fathers especially in the 2005/2008 (r is positive). The result indicated that adolescents with primary, secondary and tertiary education were 0.379, 0.357 and 0.313 times less likely to be adolescent fathers compared to those with no formal education (the reference category). Being in the professional occupation and farming were statistically significant in 2011/2014 in the likelihood of adolescent fatherhood.

Adolescents from the rural areas are 1.206 times more likely to be adolescent fathers compared to urban residents. Adolescents from middle and rich wealth families were 0.785 and 0.496 times less likely to be adolescent father compared to those from the poor wealth families (Table 3). Those who cohabited at age 20-24 were 3.589 times more likely to experience fatherhood (as adolescents) in 2005/2008 compared to those who experienced the same at lower age. Age at cohabitation was statistically significant at both waves (p < 0.05). Multiple sexual partnerships was positively associated with adolescent fatherhood all round the waves analysed. Adolescents with lifetime sexual partner (≥2) were 1.673 (2005/2008) and 1.769 (2011/2014) times more likely to be father compared to those with one or no sexual partners.
Table 2: Sexual behaviour among adolescent in SSA (2000-2014)

| Sexual behaviour indices | 2000-2004 | 2005-2008 | 2011-2014 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Age at 1st Sex           |           |           |           |
| Never had sex            | 65.9      | 54.3      | 24.7      |
| ≤ 19 years               | 24.4      | 36.4      | 70.0      |
| 20-24 years              | 9.7       | 9.4       | 5.2       |
| Ever & age at 1st Cohabitation |         |           |           |
| ≤ 19 years               | 53.3      | 59.7      | 43.0      |
| 20-24 years              | 46.7      | 40.3      | 57.0      |
| Recent sexual activity   |           |           |           |
| Never had sex            | 65.9      | 54.3      | 24.7      |
| Active in last 4 weeks   | 14.3      | 19.8      | 29.8      |
| Not active in last 4 weeks | 19.8     | 26.0      | 45.4      |
| Sexual partner minus wife|           |           |           |
| Only one partner         | 85.3      | 74.2      | 66.5      |
| 2 or more partners       | 14.7      | 25.8      | 33.6      |
| Frequency of Union       |           |           |           |
| Only once                | 86.1      | 92.5      | 89.3      |
| ≥ 2 times                | 13.9      | 7.5       | 10.7      |
| Contraceptives Knowledge |           |           |           |
| Know no Methods          | 20.9      | 11.4      | 4.6       |
| Modern methods           | 78.6      | 88.3      | 95.4      |
| Other methods            | 0.5       | 0.3       | 0.1       |
| Ever heard STIs          |           |           |           |
| No                       | 9.1       | 4.4       | 2.2       |
| Yes                      | 90.9      | 95.6      | 97.8      |
| Ever heard AIDS          |           |           |           |
| No                       | 10.2      | 4.7       | 3.0       |
| Yes                      | 89.8      | 95.3      | 97.0      |
| Ever been tested for HIV |           |           |           |
| No                       | 96.7      | 91.8      | 93.2      |
| Yes                      | 3.3       | 8.2       | 6.8       |
| Ever paid for sex        |           |           |           |
| No                       | 95.4      | 95.1      | 80.8      |
| Yes                      | 4.6       | 4.9       | 19.2      |
| Use condom in last sex   |           |           |           |
| Not used                 | 91.8      | 82.5      | 81.8      |
| Used                     | 8.2       | 17.5      | 18.2      |
| Had genital discharge    |           |           |           |
| No                       | 99.2      | 97.8      | 96.0      |
| Yes                      | 0.8       | 2.2       | 4.0       |
| Total                    | 100.0     | 100.0     | 100.0     |

Source: Computed from DHS 2000-2014. *Ethio = Ethiopia, Nig = Nigeria, Zam = Zambia, na = not available
Table 3: Binary logistic regression illustrating interconnections between selected variables and adolescent fatherhood.

| Selected variables | (2005-2008) | (2011-2014) |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age group          | β, Exp(B)   | β, Exp(B)   |
| ≤ 19 years (RC)    |             |             |
| 20-24              | -2.15 (0.117)** | -2.18 (0.222)** |
| Education          |             |             |
| No Education (RC)  | 0.35 (1.413) | -0.47 (0.379) |
| Primary Education  | 0.58 (1.781) | 0.15 (0.357) |
| Higher Education   | -1.29 (0.275) | -0.55 (0.313) |
| Occupation         |             |             |
| Unemployed (RC)    | -1.55 (0.213) | -1.06 (0.389)** |
| Professional       | 0.64 (1.894) | -0.15 (0.510) |
| Clerical/Services/Sales | 0.35 (1.418) | 0.65 (0.251)** |
| Farming/unskilled  | -0.91 (0.403) | -0.45 (0.294) |
| Skilled manual     |             |             |
| Religion           |             |             |
| Christianity (RC)  | -0.55 (0.575) | -0.36 (0.179)** |
| Islam              | -1.61 (0.201)** | -0.43 (0.180)** |
| Others (Traditional)|             |             |
| Residence          |             |             |
| Urban (RC)         | 0.19 (1.206) | -0.285 (0.184) |
| Rural              |             |             |
| Wealth status      |             |             |
| Poor wealth status (RC) | -0.24 (0.785) | 0.41 (0.168)** |
| Middle wealth status |             |             |
| Rich wealth status | -0.70 (0.496)** | 0.28 (0.175) |
| Used Condom        |             |             |
| No (RC)            | -0.61 (0.547) | -0.24 (0.248) |
| Yes                |             |             |
| Age at first sex   |             |             |
| ≤ 19 years (RC)    | -0.30 (0.743) | 0.31 (0.198) |
| 20-24 years        |             |             |
| Age at first cohabitation |             |             |
| ≤ 19 years (RC)    | 1.28 (3.589)** | 1.56 (0.136)** |
| 20-24 years        |             |             |
| Lifetime sexual partners |             |             |
| Only one partner (RC) |             |             |
| 2 or more partners | 0.52 (1.673) | 0.571(1.769)** |
| Condom use         |             |             |
| Not used (RC)      |             |             |
| Used Condom        | -1.01 (0.363)** | -0.07 (0.238) |
| Constant           | 3.416 (30.442) | 1.29 (0.640) |

Source: Computed using DHS Datasets (2000-2014) from 3 countries **P≤0.05
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DISCUSSION

The study provided a 14-year wide-ranging empirical analysis of the trends and patterns of adolescent fatherhood in three sub-Saharan Africa countries. The insights from the study identified key determinants of fatherhood among the adolescents in Nigeria, Ethiopia and Zambia as distinct from country-specific study (7,17,18,21,26–28). It has also added to the body of knowledge on the areas of adolescent sexual behaviour and corroborated submissions from other studies that the preponderance of baby-fathers is real and could be inimical to the child’s health, education, and total wellbeing/welfare of the family (2,4,19,21,29,30). Generally, the finding indicated that the adolescents in the country of the study were engaging in sexual activity that might predispose them to numerous social challenges, including sexual and reproductive health problems (4). The decline in the proportion of male adolescent with multiples sexual partnership ≥ 2 as well as increase in condom use between the periods studied could be due to increasing sexuality education in the region (31). This change in the impact of primary education on the likelihood of fatherhood in 2010/2015 could be due to massive drive towards free basic education for girls in most parts of sub-Saharan Africa (32–35). However, the import of household education as significant driver of lowering incidence of adolescent fatherhood could be eroded considering the supremacy of culture on social behaviour including marriages and multiple sexual partnership in sub-Saharan Africa(8,9,36).

However, this study is not without some limitations. The response on ever fathered at least a child is usually subjective because there are often no medical validations. Uncertainty is mostly surrounding paternity responses especially due to multiple sexual partnerships and possibility for men not to know or acknowledge that they have impregnated or fathered a child (15). Besides, biological contribution to the conception of a child may not necessarily imply fatherhood in the social context. There are also challenge of age classification of adolescent but the adopted age range used in this study is located in various international definitions of adolescent.

The study concludes that the significant negative association between primary and tertiary education and adolescent fatherhood could be maximised for policy formulation and implementation. Since adolescents in skilled manual and professional jobs are negatively associated with adolescent fatherhood, it could imply that adolescent empowerment would help in reducing boys' vulnerability to fatherhood and other risks involved. The findings from this study could be explored for policy and programme advocacy towards adolescent empowerment and against adolescent fatherhood. The authors therefore recommend support from policy-takers, governments and other stakeholders towards male adolescent access to both primary and tertiary education. Social workers and health personnel could also explore the opportunity for intense rural and urban campaign against the rising levels of cohabitations notwithstanding the age of adolescent involved.
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