Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Different Population Subsets: A Study from a Tertiary Care North Indian Hospital

Abstract

Background: The correlation of SARS-CoV-2 viral load with disease severity in different population subsets is still elusive. There is a scarcity of literature regarding this aspect in Indian Population. Aim: To study retrospectively the risk factors and the role of viral load with disease severity among different age groups of North Indian population. Methods: Here we quantified the viral load of 239 positive participants and collected data retrospectively from April 2020 to May 2020 and categorised the patients as per disease severity and population subsets. Results: Asymptomatic patients were found to have higher viral load than the symptomatic patients, though the difference was not found to be statistically significant. The logistic regression analysis showed that contact with laboratory confirmed cases, SARI and ILI were independent risk factors for acquiring COVID-19 infection. Conclusion: SARS-CoV-2 viral load is not significantly associated with disease severity among different population subsets. However, there is a need to carry out more studies with a larger number of patients to validate and confirm the above findings.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is responsible for causing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is a highly infectious disease and has affected >30 million people worldwide with significant morbidity and mortality. This virus emerged in the late December 2019 in China and has promptly spread across the globe. India has also experienced an explosive global pandemic which started in February 2020, and till December 31, 2020, >10.2 million laboratory-confirmed active cases have been reported with 1.5% mortality rate.[1] According to the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) guidelines for the viral containment, massive testing, vigorous contact tracing, and safety precautionary measurements have been adopted.[2]

The SARS-CoV-2 infection can be transmitted by symptomatic and asymptomatic, and there is also evidence of patients transmitting it during the presymptomatic phase of illness. Some individuals are infected and tend to shred virus but are asymptomatic and have possibility of getting symptoms in future, such are said to be presymptomatic.[3] It has been observed that most of the COVID-19–infected patients are asymptomatic and range from 70% to 80% of the infected population and hence may pose a risk for community transmission.[4,5] Lauer et al. estimated the median incubation period of 5.1 days and the symptoms started to appear after 11.4 days of infection where Li et al. estimated the mean incubation period of 5.2 days.[6] He et al. inferred that the infectious stage starts from 2.3 days and peaked at 0.7 days before symptoms.[7] However, all the previous studies have observed that trends in symptomatic patients and temporal dynamics of presymptomatic and asymptomatic are still illusive. Savvides and Siegel interpreted viral dynamic studies and observed a sharp rise in viral load (VL) if dynamics follow log normal or gamma...
distribution pattern with the start of symptom onset and may be linked to infectiousness, whereas if VL follows normal or Weibull distribution, then it will support the
presymptomatic transmission.\textsuperscript{[3]} The infectiousness profile
of SARS-CoV-2 resembles that of influenza rather than the
SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV\textsuperscript{[9,10]}
Several viral dynamic studies of SARS-CoV-2 demonstrated that
VL peaks at the onset of symptoms and stays about 5–6 days
after symptoms onset which is distinct from SARS-CoV where
the peak is observed after 10 days of symptom onset.\textsuperscript{[10,11]} Apart from nasopharyngeal and throat swabs, the positivity of
SARS-CoV-2 can be observed in other samples such as sputum,
saliva, feces, anal swabs, and blood.\textsuperscript{[14–16]}
Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is the
diagnostic test used for SARS-CoV-2 and can detect the
viral RNA, but it lacks information whether the virus is
contagious or not. VL or cycle threshold (Ct) values obtained from RT-PCR are used as a unit for testing the presence of viral RNA copy number. The Ct value is
inversely proportional to VL, meaning high VL will have less Ct value having high copy number of virus. Some authors also tried to combine the RT-PCR with virus
culturing and reported that samples having high VL up to
10\textsuperscript{6} (Ct value <24) can be successfully isolated and are infectious.\textsuperscript{[17–19]}
There has been a conflicting result about the VL in severe
versus mild patients or symptomatic versus asymptomatic
patients. Zou et al. concluded that severe patients have high
VL at 10 days after symptom onset and they are in need of
intensive care unit, whereas Lescure et al. concluded that
patients having few symptoms also have high VL.\textsuperscript{[20,21]} A study by Liu et al. indicated that VLs in severe cases were up
to 60 times higher than in mild cases, and there was an early
clearance in mild cases compared to severe which shows
long shredding up to 10 days postsymptoms.\textsuperscript{[13]} However,
other studies have shown contradictory results and reported
no significant difference in VL kinetics of mild and severe
cases. A study by Lee et al. has observed that Ct values for
symptomatic and asymptomatic patients were similar and
many of them were asymptomatic for a long time.\textsuperscript{[6]}
Considering the scarcity of such studies from India, the
present study aimed to correlate the VL of SARS-CoV-2
with clinical manifestations in COVID-19–positive patients
tested in a tertiary care hospital, North India.

Materials and Methods
The study was performed in the Department of Virology,
Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research,
a referral tertiary care hospital in North India.

Sample collection and processing
The Department of Virology is routinely carrying out
RT-PCR for the diagnosis of COVID-19 as per the ICMR
guidelines. Hence, the nasopharyngeal samples being
received from institutes, surrounding states of Punjab,
Haryana, and Chandigarh, were included in the study.
Since the stored samples were used, no additional consent
was obtained. The study was duly approved by the Institute
Ethics Committee vide no. NK/6444/Study/686. The
patients were categorized into six categories as per the
ICMR guidelines (Version 4).

Study design
In this retrospective study, a total of 239 patients testing
positive (between the period April 7, 2020, and May 15,
2020) for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR were included in
the study. On the basis of clinical symptoms, all patients
were categorized into two groups, i.e., symptomatic (S)
and asymptomatic (AS), which further divided into
four different age-based population subsets: (1) pediatric
group (PG, 0–14 years, n = 38), (2) young adult group
(YG, 15–40 years, n = 108), (3) mature adult group
(AG, 41–60 years, n = 69), and (4) elderly group
(EG, >61 years, n = 24). The demographic and
clinical information was collected from the sample request
form accompanying the sample.

RNA extraction and real-time polymerase chain reaction
The RNA extraction was performed using Qiagen Viral
RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) as per the manufacturer’s
protocol. SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection was performed using
TaqPath COVID-19 Combo Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster
City, California, USA). The kit was supplied with known
concentration of positive control (1 × 10\textsuperscript{4} copies per μL)
containing specific target genes, i.e., nucleocapsid (N), open
reading frame, and spike (S), against SARS-CoV-2, and the
standard curve of N gene was prepared by making serial dilution
of positive control for estimating the viral copy numbers.

Statistics
SPSS software was used to analyze the data, and descriptive
analysis was performed for percentage distribution of
demographic parameters in symptomatic and asymptomatic
groups. Pearson’s Chi-square test was performed between
symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in each population
subset. Only $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically
significant. The logistic regression analysis was done to
predict the risk for COVID-19.

Results
Demographic details and clinical symptoms
Patients
A total of 239 COVID-19–positive patients (mean age
34.53 years) were included in the study. A total of
132 (55.23%) patients were male and 107 (44.76%) were
female. Out of total 239 patients, 68 (28.45%) patients were
symptomatic and rest 171 (71.54%) were asymptomatic.
The detailed categorization of patients was done as per the
ICMR guidelines (Version 4) as shown in Table 1.
As per logistic regression analysis, contact with laboratory-confirmed cases ($P = 0.0001$, confidence interval [CI] = 13.61–161.71), severe acute respiratory infection (SARI), and influenza-like illness (ILI) ($P = 0.0001$, CI = 1.464–2.236) were independent risk factors in acquiring COVID-19 infection ($P = 0.036$, CI = 1.02–2) as shown in Table 2.

**Comparison based on the age of the patients**

Majority of the positive patients were adults (177, 74.05%), out of which 108 (61.01%) were young adults and 69 (38.98%) were mature adults. The PG ($n = 38$, 15.8%) and the EG ($n = 24$, 10.04%) contributed smaller numbers.

SARI patients contributed to 5.4% of cases (13/239) and majority (38.46%, 5/13) belonged to the elderly age group. ILI patients contributed to 8.3% of cases (20/239) and majority (45%, 9/20) belonged to the young adult age group.

**Correlation with viral load**

No significant difference was observed in the VL between symptomatic patients as compared to asymptomatic population (log 10 VL = $2.72 \pm 1.62$ vs. $2.37 \pm 1.46$, $P = 0.111$) as shown in Table 3. No significant correlation was observed between viral copy number and symptoms in all age groups [Figure 1].

**Discussion**

VL refers to the quantitative numbers of viral particles in the body fluid, which represents the infectivity levels and...
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has been used conventionally for monitoring the therapeutic effects of antivirals, especially for human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B and C virus, and cytomegalovirus. These viral diseases are always discussed in terms of VL and disease severity. The sight of the study was to investigate the association of SARS‑CoV‑2 VL with disease severity if any in different population subsets infected with COVID‑19 disease.

No difference in COVID‑19 positivity was observed among both the sexes (30% in males vs. 26% in females; \( P = 0.481 \)) which is in concordance with some of the other studies.\(^{[6,22]}\) However, other studies have shown that males develop more symptomatic infection and severe diseases with higher mortality.\(^{[23,24]}\)

Table 3: Demographic and clinical manifestations of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2-positive patients in different population subsets

| Groups | PG (0-14 years) (n=38), n (%) | YG (15-40 years) (n=108), n (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|        | Symptomatic | Asymptomatic | Symptomatic | Asymptomatic |
| Total cases | 9 (23.68) | 29 (76.31) | 32 (29.6) | 76 (70.3) |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4 (10.5) | 12 (31.57) | 19 (17.5) | 44 (40.7) |
| Female | 5 (13.1) | 17 (44.73) | 13 (12.0) | 32 (29.6) |
| Categories |  |  |  |  |
| Category 1: Symptomatic with traveling history | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Category 2: Symptomatic with lab confirmed case | 3 (7.89) | 0 | 15 (13.88) | 0 |
| Category 3: Symptomatic HCW | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.92) | 0 |
| Category 4: SARI patients | 2 (5.2) | 0 | 3 (2.7) | 0 |
| Category 5a: Asymptomatic with lab confirmed cases | 0 | 28 (71.05) | 0 | 59 (55.55) |
| Category 5b: Asymptomatic HCW with contact history without adequate protection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (1.8) |
| Category 6: Symptomatic ILI patients | 4 (10.5) | 0 | 9 (8.3) | 0 |
| Others | 0 | 1 (2.6) | 2 (1.8) | 15 (13.88) |
| Viral copy number (1 μL) | 11496.06±2738.48 | 3485.97±1015.95 | 19025.30±12648.45 | 13318.75±9576.77 |
| \( \log_{10} \) viral copy number | 3.79±0.27 | 2.31±0.267 | 2.50±0.31 | 2.27±0.18 |

*Significance between symptomatic versus asymptomatic. Data were analyzed by the Pearson’s Chi-square test. Mean±SEM. HCW: Healthcare worker; SARI: Severe acute respiratory infections; ILI: Influenza like illness; PG: Pediatric group; YG: Young adults group; AG: Adult group; EG: Elderly group; SEM: Standard error of the mean

\[ *P < 0.05 \]
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According to the World Health Organization, COVID-19 can infect people of all age groups though the elderly age group with underlying comorbid medical conditions are at higher risk and develop severe disease, leading to higher mortality.\cite{25} However, due to the small sample size of elderly patients in this study (24/239), no statistically significant difference was found in relation to symptoms as well as VL. Davies et al. have reported a relative higher susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 infection, in individuals having age 60–69 years compared with young and adults.\cite{26} Furthermore, studies have reported that patients with (>60 years) rapidly develop severe acute respiratory symptoms and have high mortality and severity of infection.\cite{27-31} Acute respiratory distress syndrome has also been reported to be higher in EG compared to young and middle-aged group. However, due to the limited sample in the present study, it would be difficult for us to conclude anything on the severity in the elderly population.

In the COVID-19 pandemic, it has been observed that children are less affected by SARS-CoV-2 and most of the cases are asymptomatic. In the present study, pediatric positivity was found to be 15.8\% (n = 38/239) and majority of the patients (n = 29/38, 76\%) were found to be asymptomatic. Dhochak et al. have also shown children have asymptomatic infection and frequency of symptoms are lower compared to adults.\cite{32} Jiehao et al. also described ten pediatric cases showing mild presentation.\cite{33} The various reasons for lower severity in pediatric population can be explained by – (1) trained immunity: which is a reprogramming of innate immune response to a more activated stages by frequent viral exposures/vaccination/ routine live viral vaccine/frequent viral exposures to endemic coronaviruses, (2) innate immunity, (3) higher regeneration capacity of the lung of children, and (4) higher levels of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 expression which may be protective.\cite{32} Other reason for low infection rate in pediatric population in the study can be less exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection due to lockdown, leading to closure of schools and other gatherings.

The present study showed that asymptomatic cases were higher than symptomatic cases (71.5\% vs. 28.4\%; P < 0.05), which is in compliance with other studies.\cite{34,6} Day et al. have analyzed that viruses that progress slowly toward diseases (mild symptoms for longer) are more likely favored for selection, leading to more transmission.\cite{34}

VL is the measure of infection and is generally always correlated with diseases severity. SARS-CoV-2 has shown new trends in the field of virology in aspects of VL and diseases severity. Some studies demonstrated association of high VL with disease severity while other refute this statement.\cite{6,9,13,20,21,33} The present study found no statistically significant difference between VL of symptomatic and asymptomatic population across all age groups. The ICMR has also refuted the role of VL and diseases severity by releasing a statement that Ct value (inversely proportional to VL) is similar in both mild or asymptomatic patients and those who develop severe symptoms.\cite{35} Furthermore, they added that SARS-CoV-2 infection severity mainly depends on host factors and the immunological responses, including cytokine storm.\cite{36} The low Ct values may not necessarily suggest disease severity as high VLs have also been seen in entirely asymptomatic patients. The virus shedding by RT-PCR has been shown to be up to 83 days as even the RNA of the dead viral particles can be detected and hence may not be a marker of infectivity.\cite{37}

The present study included participants who were reported as RT-PCR positive during the lockdown period (68 days); hence, no cases with international and national travel history were reported. During this period, emphasis was laid on ramping up the testing facilities, trainings on hospital infection control including donning and doffing techniques for personal protective equipment were implemented, and refresher courses on biomedical waste management were conducted in the present institute; hence, the number of symptomatic and asymptomatic healthcare workers was less than 2\% as shown in table 1.

To conclude, the SARS-CoV-2 VL was not found to be significantly associated with the disease severity among different age groups in the present study as various host factors have been implicated in the disease severity. However, the limitations include the availability of limited clinical information, disease progression status, and disease outcome due to the prestructured pro forma, which was received routinely as a part of routine diagnosis. Furthermore, the lack of serial sampling precluded the study of viral kinetics which would have shed more knowledge on the desired subject. The strength of the present study is that it is a unique study estimating the VL among symptomatic and asymptomatic cases in different age groups of the North Indian population.
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