Implications of the use of Strontium Ranelate (SRAN) in periodontics, dental implantology, oral surgery, orthodontics and endodontics and its possibility of application as storage media for avulsed teeth

Abstract

Dental avulsion is still a public health problem and tooth replantation has important unsuccess rates due to root resorption. Strontium ranelate (SRAN) presents antiresorptive property on the bone tissue and stimulates bone formation. So we aimed to comprehensively review the literature to 1- understand the mechanism of action of SRAN regarding to its propaedeutic in periodontics, dental implantology, oral surgery, orthodontics and endodontics; 2- present the current scientific knowledge about the SRAN efficiency on tooth avulsion; 3- observe fails and gaps in the literature and open up for unexplored ideas for SRAN uses and applications. An electronic search was performed crossing the key words 'strontium ranelate', 'periodontics', 'dental implantology', 'oral surgery', 'orthodontics' and 'endodontics', PubMed/ Medline, Lilacs and BBO electronic databases were searched for research articles, reviews of literature, animal laboratory studies and laboratory studies, which had been published in English until July 2020. Twenty-five articles fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The available literature demonstrates that SRAN provides a really better propaedeutic on the bone tissue and that the topical applications show a lower risk of side effects. That open up new perspectives and for the use of SRAN as a potential storage media for avulsed teeth.
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INTRODUCTION

Traumatic injuries are regarded serious social problems and pose a health risk worldwide. Among them, traumatic dental injuries are an important category. The highest frequency of traumatic dental injuries is in preschoolers, school-age children and young adults. Glendor et al. reported that 25% and 33% of population have experienced dental trauma, for schoolchildren and adults, respectively.

Traumatic dental injuries have a multifactorial and complex etiology. Glendor et al. 2009 suggested that three main etiologic factors: 1- “environmental determinants”, which includes more contextual parameters, such as individual protection equipment deprivation or an unsafe environment; 2- “human behavior” including risk-taking behaviors, conditions such as hyperactivity/attention-deficit, and others; and 3- “oral factors", wherein are included increased
overjet with protrusion, lip incompetence and others intraoral and extraoral factors. Obviously, this triad is not all-inclusive list, but offers a helpful categorization of all postulated risk factor of traumatic dental injuries. Zaleckiene et al. proposed additional risk factors like body mass index, sex, inappropriate use of teeth, physical limitations, presence of illness, oral piercings and learning difficulties. Those do not necessarily fall into one of Glendor’s categories, but might also increase the risk of dental trauma.

Anterior teeth, especially maxillary central and lateral incisors, are the most affected by traumatic dental injuries. Usually a single tooth is affected, however due to sports and/or traffic accidents and violence, multiple teeth can be affected. In primary dentition, Luxation injuries and crown factors are the representatives of traumatic dental injuries for primary and permanent dentition, respectively.

Among all traumatic dental injuries, tooth avulsion has a prevalence from 0.5 to 15% in permanent dentition, and it is defined as the complete displacement of the tooth out of its socket with disruption of the fibers of periodontal ligament and blood supply, beyond the aggression in cementum and alveolar bone. Clinical prognosis of avulsion is very contingent on first-aid measures and quickness to seek dental care.

The best clinical protocol for avulsed teeth is the immediate replantation and its success is dependent of high management knowledge, training and quickness, what makes the immediate replantation impracticable. So, an alternative is to perform late replantation, in which storage media for avulsed teeth plays an important role to lead to high level of success, otherwise tooth loss can happen mostly because a replacement resorption and inflammatory resorption of root.

Strontium Ranelate (SRAN) is an antiresorptive and bone pro-forming agent that can minimize, by demonstrations of numerous clinical studies, the risk of nonvertebral, vertebral and hip fractures in a wide range of post-menopausal woman with osteoporosis. Therefore, SRAN has been used for this group of patients for more than 10 years. When compared to other drugs, SRAN has a dual action on bone tissues simultaneously that result in anabolic and antiresorptive drug while reduce the lifespan of osteoclasts, stimulates osteoblast recruitment and activity.

Despite strontium ranelate demonstrating good properties to bone tissue, the systemic administration shows some side effects mostly cardiovascular events. However, some studies provide bases to suppose that these risks can be lower when at topical application.

Therewith, combining the action of SRAN on the bone tissue and the possibility of topical application is reasonable to consider that drug as a storage media for avulsed teeth, wherein the antiresorptive mechanism could prevent the root resorption after replantation.

Thus, we aimed to perform a literature review to understand the mechanism of action of SRAN regarding to its propaedeutic in periodontics, dental implantology, oral surgery, orthodontics and endodontics. Present the current scientific knowledge about the SRAN efficiency on tooth avulsion; observe fails and gaps in the literature and open up for unexplored ideas for SRAN uses and applications.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

Using the key words ‘strontium ranelate’, ‘periodontics’, ‘dental implantology’, ‘oral surgery’, ‘orthodontics’ and ‘endodontics’, PubMed/Medline, Lilacs and BBO electronic databases were searched for research articles, reviews of literature, animal laboratory studies and laboratory studies, which had been published in English until July 2020. Papers without an abstract and those that did not evaluated dentistry parameters using strontium ranelate were not included. Twenty-five articles were select after application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria and were critically review for comparison of the outcomes.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

Strontium Ranelate (SRAN) is a drug that contains two atoms of stable strontium (Sr²⁺), which is a bivalent calcium-like cation, and an organic acid (Ranelic acid). This salt possesses a high affinity to the mineral phase of bone – hydroxyapatite (HAp), demonstrating ability to bind with it and, under certain conditions, present a similar metabolism to Ca²⁺. However, even the SRAN presents attraction to bone tissue, the atomic integration is still low, and some studies reported that only one in ten Ca²⁺ atoms could be replace by strontium. Thereby, the mixed results regarding the benefit of using this drug stem from the fact that they are dependents of the variety of doses used and the multiple induction models employed.

The exact mechanism of action of SRAN has not entirely understood. However, the studies over the years had considered as possible mediators of the pharmacological properties: stimulation of osteoblast proliferation, inhibition of osteoclast formation with probable apoptosis of mature cells, regulation of bone cell and activation of calcium-sensitive receptors.
Thereby, could be concluded that SRAN has a very peculiar dual action: stimulate bone formation and decrease bone resorption\(^\text{16,21}\)\(^\text{16,21}\).

The protective properties of SRAN in bone was first described in 1959 when strontium lactate was reported as capable of increasing bone density and decreasing pain in a small study of patients with osteoporosis\(^\text{22}\)\(^\text{22}\). Through clinical trials, SRAN has been shown significantly improve bone mass and quality, besides doing changes in bone matrix properties and bone mineral density, increasing bone strength. Moreover, it can reduces the risk of spine or hip fractures\(^\text{16}\)\(^\text{16}\). This drug has been used, for more than 10 years, for postmenopausal osteoporosis in woman and man and is a registered medication in over than 70 countries\(^\text{16}\)\(^\text{16}\).

In view of the benefits of SRAN on the bone tissue, some studies have been associating this medication in the dentistry field. Buehler et al. 2001 evaluated the histomorphometry aspects of a right half-mandible removed of 30 cynomolgus monkeys (\textit{Macaca fascicularis}), separated at random, after 26 weeks treated daily with SRAN or saline. Thus, strontium ranelate presented a significantly reduction of bone resorption indices while was able to maintain bone formation in alveolar. This study was one of the precursors to studies with SRAN on different areas and pathologies of dentistry\(^\text{23}\)\(^\text{23}\).

- **Periodontics**

  Periodontal disease is a common chronic inflammatory disease characterized by destruction of the tooth-supporting tissues, including periodontal-ligament, cementum and alveolar bone. Non-surgical therapies (with or without adjuncts) including scaling and root planning (SRP) are simple and effective procedures for treating periodontitis\(^\text{24}\)\(^\text{24}\). Some researches have been done to try stopping the bone loss throughout of a more efficient way and some of them used SRAN.

  In a study with ligature-induced periodontitis in rats, Karakan et al.\(^\text{25}\)\(^\text{25}\) demonstrated the influence that systemic administration of SRAN have on the alveolar bone loss. SRAN effectively increased bone mass and resistance and reduced bone loss. Furthermore, the drug could reduce osteoclast numbers in rats with periodontitis. Corroborating with this result, Souza et al.\(^\text{17}\)\(^\text{17}\) and Marins et al.\(^\text{26}\)\(^\text{26}\) have observed the same pattern using SRAN in rats with periodontitis. Marins et al.\(^\text{17}\) also concluded that the drug affected the expression of bone markers, showing to act predominantly as an anti-resorptive agent.

  Er et al.\(^\text{27}\) evaluated the cytotoxicity of SRAN on cultured human periodontal ligament fibroblasts obtained from healthy human third molars. They could conclude that SRAN was non-toxic at appropriate concentrations, but cultures treated with highest concentrations had significantly lower cell numbers and cells viability. In the same line, Bizelli-Silveira et al. 2018 have observed that PDL cells responded to SRAN with increased cellular proliferation and osteogenic behavior\(^\text{28}\)\(^\text{28}\).

  In order to increase the SRAN response, Wei et al. 2014 combined SRAN with the mesoporous bioactive glass (MBG) scaffolds. The results showed periodontal regeneration in rats with periodontalfenestration defects, even in severely osteoporotic animals\(^\text{29}\)\(^\text{29}\). Further, Jia et al. 2019 discovered a splicing factor, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein L (hnRNPL), was drastically down-regulated in periodontal ligament stem cells stimulated by Sr through the activation of AKT pathway. Furthermore, hnRNPL restrained the osteogenic differentiation of periodontal ligament stem cells through down-regulating H3K36me3-specific methyltransferase Setd2\(^\text{30}\)\(^\text{30}\).

- **Implantology**

  Dental implants represent a secure treatment option in oral rehabilitation and Brânemark et al. 1969 first described the process of osseointegration\(^\text{31}\)\(^\text{31}\). In general, the long-term survival rates of dental implants are excellent, but failures still occur in a small quantity of cases. These prompt the need for researches with bioactive surface modifications whose objective is to facilitate early osseointegration\(^\text{32}\)\(^\text{32}\). Thus, it was suggested that the use of SRAN might be benefic in that point.

  Park et al.\(^\text{33}\) evaluated Ti-6Al-4V alloy implants incorporated with strontium ions inserted in tibial and femoral condyles of rabbits. Four weeks later, implants containing strontium showed significantly more bone-implant contact in both cortical and cancellous bone.Zhao L et al.\(^\text{34}\) corroborated with the results when showed that incorporation of strontium within the Titanium/Sr nanotubes enhanced the proliferation of mesenchymal stem cell and osteoblastic differentiation in rats.

  Through systemic administration of SRAN for 8 weeks after the surgery for insertion of titanium implants, Maïmoun et al.\(^\text{35}\) obtained a remarkably similar result. This study concluded that SRAN had a significant beneficial effect on parameters of bone biomaterial properties at both cortical and trabecular areas. Tian et al.\(^\text{36}\) corroborated with these results with a study that used a strontium ranelate-loaded chitosan film
on a titanium surface, which promoted osteoblasts proliferation and differentiation in a dose-dependent manner.

De Bonis et al.\(^{37}\) made a study whose method was based on the combination of a mechanochemical synthesis of Sr-HA targets and their deposition in form of thin films on top of titanium with the use of laser ablation at low pressure. They could conclude that the bioactivity and biocompatibility of Sr-HA were confirm in an interaction with dental pulp stem cells, suggesting that these coatings may be viable candidates for the surface components of metallic bone implants.

On the other hand, Alenezi et al.\(^{38}\) evaluated whether the local release of strontium ranelate (Sr-ranelate) from implants coated with mesoporous titania could improve bone formation around implants in an animal model. SEM images and histomorphometric analysis showed the successful formation of bone, but with no significant differences to the control group, so they have concluded that, regarding to the results, it is not possible to confirm the positive effects of SRAN on implant osseointegration.

- **Surgery**
  Rapid bone defect filling with normal bone is a challenge in dentistry. Infection, dental extraction, bone metastasis, comminuted fractures and orthopedic surgery are among the main causes leading to a local bone loss\(^{39,40}\).

Zacchetti et al.\(^{41}\) demonstrated that the systemic administration of SRAN accelerates the healing of a bone defect created in rat proximal tibiae. SRAN was integrated both in cortical and in trabecular bone after 8 and 12 weeks of bone defect surgery.

Lavet et al.\(^{42}\) treat with SRAN proximal tibia bone defects created in rats. This study reported that, in the healing zone, the medication stimulated bone formation early and latterly decreased bone resorption, improving the healing of the trabecular and cortical compartment without deleterious effects on bone quality.

- **Orthodontics**
  The anchorage mechanisms currently used in orthodontic treatment have various disadvantages\(^{43}\). Orthodontically induced external root resorption (OIERR) is a deleterious common side effect of orthodontic movements, which are inflammation-driven tooth movement. OIERR thus occurs when is exceeded the reparative capacity of the cementum, what exposes the dentine to activated odontoclasts, causing irreversible loss of root structure\(^{44}\).

Kirschneck et al.\(^{45}\) reported that SRAN significantly reduced tooth movement and root resorption in anchorage orthodontic of rats after two and four weeks.\(^{45}\) Makrygiannakis et al.\(^{46}\) corroborated with that when, investigating the effect of commonly prescribed systemic medications, shows that strontium ranelate decreased the rate of orthodontic tooth movement.

The effects of SRAN is also been study in the mid-palatal suture after rapid maxillary expansion (RME). Zhao et al.\(^{47}\) investigated the morphological changes in the mid-palatal suture of rats 4, 7 and 10 days after and orthodontic appliance was set between the right and left upper molars with an expansive force. It was report that strontium ranelate can increase bone formation, have some influence on remodeling of the alveolar bone in the molars and may be effective in preventing relapse after RME.

- **Endodontics**
  The pulp treatment has a purpose to maintain the tooth structure intact in order to preserve vitality and function of teeth damaged due to trauma or dental caries. In case of immature permanent teeth, maintain the pulp vitality is essential for continuous root development and apical closure\(^{48}\).

Huang et al.\(^{49}\) characterize the Sr effects on human dental pulp stem cells human dental pulp cells (HDPCs) \textit{in vitro} using exogenously Sr added to culture medium, and bioavailable Sr derived from a novel bioactive glass. This study reported that, at specific doses, Sr significantly influences proliferation, odontogenic mineralization and differentiation of HDPCs in vitro via the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) using a similar pathway to osteoblast differentiation.

Mizumachi et al.\(^{50}\) corroborated with these results when examined the expression of CaSR in both rat dental pulp tissue and human dental pulp cells and investigated the effects of activated CaSR on odontoblastic differentiation of HDPCs. This study reported that in rats, CaSR was expressed throughout the pulp tissue, with higher expression levels in the odontoblastic layer and lower levels in central pulp tissue. That suggest that use of a CaSR-activating agent under a neutral pH conditions may be an ideal therapeutic medication due to less tress for pulp tissue, leading to the induction of normal dentin formation without necrotic layers.

Bakhit et al.\(^{19}\) investigated the effects of strontium ranelate on the proliferation and mineralization/differentiation of cloned dental pulp-like cells (mouse dental papillae cells; MDPs). This \textit{in vivo} study reported that topical
application of SRAN induced a continuous barrier of osteodentin-like mineralized tissue on the rat exposed pulp tissue, what shows that this drug has a potential utility as a new pulp-capping material.

**DISCUSSION**

Besides being used mostly for osteoporosis, studies are being done to investigate the complete mechanism of action and the influence that SRAN exercise on the oral tissues repair. The included studies investigated the use of SRAN on procedures that involves periodontics, implantology, surgery, orthodontics and endodontics. Based on the results of these studies, is reasonable considerate new studies using this drug on a field not yet researched, such as in cases of tooth avulsion, because of its potential beneficial effect on the avulsed teeth through root surface treatment or as a storage media.

On periodontics, SRAN showed capable to act predominantly as an anti-resorptive agent and reduce bone loss through reduction of osteoclast numbers in rats. SRAN is also capable to increase cellular proliferation on the PDL cells. SRAN showed more bone-implant contact in both cortical and cancellous bone and increased the proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells and osteoblastic differentiation in rats. SRAN showed benefits effects on parameters of bone biomaterial properties at both cortical and trabecular areas. Also, the biocompatibility and bioactivity of SRAN were confirm in an interaction with dental pulp stem cells. Furthermore, SRAN seems to accelerate the healing of a bone defect, stimulating bone formation and decreasing bone resorption without deleterious effects on bone quality.

SRAN was also studied related with orthodontic treatment. It was observed that it had the ability to reduce the rate of tooth movement and root resorption in anchorage, and also presents benefits on the tissue repair after rapid maxillary expansion (RME) by accelerating bone deposition calcification and new bone formation. Some studies reported that SRAN influences, on endodontics treatment, proliferation, odontogenic mineralization and differentiation of HDPCs at specific doses, leading to the induction of normal dentin formation without necrotic layers.

The systemic side effects of SRAN should be also discuss and taken into account. They include venous thromboembolism, cardiovascular events, gastrointestinal discomfort, nausea, myocardial infarction and others systemic symptoms. However, based in some studies, it is reasonable to suppose that topical application may pose a much lower risk of side effects compared to systemic application. Er et al. analyzed the cytotoxicity of this drug on human periodontal ligament fibroblasts (PDL cells) in vitro. This study concluded that, at appropriate concentrations, SRAN was non-toxic to the PDL cells.

These results open up new perspectives for the use of SRAN in studies as a pharmacologic agent, with a potential beneficial effect on the avulsed teeth through root surface treatment or as a storage media. So far, there are not available studies that related this drug for this purpose.

In cases of tooth avulsion, dry storage is detrimental to the preservation of the PDL cells, so the avulsed tooth must be prevented from drying by the use of storage media. Among the ideal requirements of a storage media, it should be capable of preserving the feasibility of PDL cells, not react with body fluids, have antimicrobial characteristics and physiological pH and preferably capacity of proliferate cells. Available at literature search, there are many solutions tested as a storage media: saline solution, saliva, tap water, pasteurized milk, Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS), eagle’s medium, propolis, egg white, coconut water, green tea extract, oral rehydration salt-liquid, tooth rescue box, contact lens solutions, Gatorade, among others. Khinda et al. concluded that HBSS and pasteurized milk are the most appropriate clinically recommended storage media. Gatorade, saliva, saline, tap water and contact lens solution have been not recommended due to be considered non-physiological. Pasteurized milk, propolis, coconut water and egg white have shown promising results.

The prognosis of a replanted tooth depends on conditions related to the extra-alveolar time and type of storage media: viability of PDL cells remaining on root surface, minimal bacterial contamination and integrity of root cementum. Is crucial maintaining PDL vitality for a good prognosis since the presence of necrotic PDL remnants can cause the development of root resorption.

Even with all these knowledge about the correlation between the conditions like storage media, studies are still been done trying to find a storage media that could provide more confidence on a favorable prognosis. Considering the benefits of SRAN on the periodontal tissue repair, researches should be
done using it as a storage media for avulsed tooths and replantation varying concentrations and time of storage. If with positive results, studies in human should be encouraged.
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