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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery and characterisation of a super-Earth and a sub-Neptune transiting the bright (\(K = 8.8\)) quiet, and nearby (37 pc) M3V dwarf TOI-1266. We validate the planetary nature of TOI-1266 b and c using four sectors of TESS photometry and data from the newly-commissioned 1-m SAINT-EX telescope located in San Pedro Mártir (Mexico). We also include additional ground-based follow-up photometry as well as high-resolution spectroscopy and high-angular imaging observations. The inner, larger planet has a radius of \(R = 2.37^{+0.03}_{-0.02} R_\oplus\) and an orbital period of 10.9 days. The outer, smaller planet has a radius of \(R = 1.56^{+0.15}_{-0.17} R_\oplus\) on an 18.8-day orbit. The data are found to be consistent with circular, co-planar and stable orbits that are weakly influenced by the 2:1 mean motion resonance. Our TTV analysis of the combined dataset enables model-independent constraints on the masses and eccentricities of the planets. We find planetary masses of \(M_p = 13_{-5.3}^{+3.2} M_{\oplus} (\leq 36.8 M_{\oplus} at 2-\sigma)\) for TOI-1266 b and \(2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.3} M_\oplus (\leq 5.7 M_\oplus at 2-\sigma)\) for TOI-1266 c. We find small but non-zero orbital eccentricities of 0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.05} (< 0.21 at 2-\sigma) for TOI-1266 b and 0.04^{+0.03}_{-0.03} (< 0.10 at 2-\sigma) for TOI-1266 c. The equilibrium temperatures of both planets are of 413 \pm 20 K and 344 \pm 16 K, respectively, assuming a null Bond albedo and uniform heat redistribution from the day-side to the night-side hemisphere. The host brightness and negligible activity combined with the planetary system architecture and favourable planet-to-star radii ratios makes TOI-1266 an exquisite system for a detailed characterisation.

Key words. Planets and satellites – Techniques: photometric – Methods: numerical

1. Introduction

The science of exoplanets has been historically driven by dedicated astronomical observations. Currently, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015) is leading the discovery of multi-planetary transiting systems with relatively small planets (i.e. sub-Neptune or smaller), orbiting around bright M-dwarf stars in the solar neighbourhood (e.g. Günther et al. 2019; Jenkins et al. 2019; Kostov et al. 2019; Cloutier et al. 2020). Their brightness allows for a detailed characterisation of small planets, and, in the near future, a glimpse into their atmospheric composition with the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). Furthermore, when multiple transit-like signals are detected from a single star, the signals are likely to be genuine as opposed to false positives such as eclipsing binaries (Latham et al. 2011; Lissauer et al. 2012; Morton et al. 2016). In some cases, in particular where the planets are near resonant orbits, time-series photometry alone not only allows for the measurement of the planet size, but also places dynamical constraints on the planet mass (Holman 2005; Agol et al. 2005). Measuring the planet mass and radius allows for the derivation of the bulk density, thus constraining planetary structure models (e.g. Dorn et al. 2017).

There are more than 3000 transiting exoplanets known to date\textsuperscript{1}, including 499 planetary systems with more than one detected transiting planet. This large sample of transiting exoplanets allows for in-depth exploration of the distinct exoplanet populations. One such study by Fulton et al. (2017) identified a bi-modal distribution for the sizes of super-Earth and sub-Neptune Kepler exoplanets, with a peak at \(\sim 1.3 R_\oplus\) and another at \(\sim 2.4 R_\oplus\). The interval between the two peaks is called the radius valley and it is typically attributed to the stellar irradiation received by the planets, with more irradiated planets being smaller due to the loss of their gaseous envelopes. Studying more than one super-Earth or sub-Neptune planet in a single system allows for tighter constraints on formation models (e.g. Owen & Campos Estrada 2020; Kubyszhkina et al. 2019) and thus the exploration of the effects of other physical processes such as the core and envelope mass distribution (e.g. Modirrousta-Galian et al. 2020).

\textsuperscript{1} http://exoplanet.eu/ retrieved on 10 Aug 2020
Here we present the discovery and characterisation of the planetary system TOI-1266, which was first identified from the TESS photometry. We confirmed the planetary nature of the transits through ground-based follow-up observations, including time-series photometry, high-angular resolution images, spectroscopy, and archival imagery.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes all observations. The stellar characterisation of the planet host is described in §3. The validation of the transit signals in the light curves is presented in §4. The search for transit signals and the analysis of the light curves to derive physical properties are presented in §5. We also include a stability analysis and mass constraints from a dynamical analysis in §5.2. Finally, in §6, we discuss the implications for the formation and evolution of the TOI-1266 planetary system given the measured planet radii, orbital periods, and constraints on the masses, as well as prospects for atmospheric characterisation. A summary of our results and their implications is presented in §7.

2. Observations

In this section, we present all the observations of TOI-1266 obtained with TESS and ground-based facilities. A summary of all ground-based time-series photometric observations of TOI-1266 is shown in Table 1.

2.1. TESS photometry

TOI-1266 is a late-type star with a measured parallax that is part of the TESS Candidate Target List (Stassun et al. 2018). It was observed by TESS with 2-min-cadence in sectors 14–15 (18 July to 11 Sep 2019) and 21–22 (21 Jan to 18 Mar 2020). TOI-1266’s astrometric and photometric properties from the literature are reported in Table 2. The time-series observations of TOI-1266 were processed with the TESS Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC) pipeline (Jenkins 2002; Jenkins et al. 2016, 2017), which resulted in the detection of two periodic transit signals: TOI-1266.01 and .02, the latter being at the detection limit using sectors 14-15 data alone, thus requiring additional data to strengthen that signal.

We retrieved the Presearch Data Conditioning Simple Aperture Photometry (PDC-SAP) (Stumpe et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2012; Stumpe et al. 2014) from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes and removed all datapoints flagged as ‘bad quality’. We identified 819/19337 such datapoints for sector 14, 912/18757 for sector 15, 1074/19694 for sector 21, and a larger count (3562/19579) for sector 22. Figure 1 shows the TESS fields of view and apertures used for TOI-1266 over each of the four sectors with the location of nearby Gaia DR2 sources superimposed.

2.2. SAINT-EX photometry

We obtained ground-based photometric time-series observations of TOI-1266 from the SAINT-EX Observatory\(^2\) (Search And characterisatioN of Transiting ExoPlanets), which was commissioned in March 2019. SAINT-EX is a 1-m F/8 Ritchey-Chrétien telescope built by the ASTELCO company and uses a similar design to the telescopes of the SPECULOOS Southern Observatory (Delrez et al. 2018; Jehin et al. 2018). SAINT-EX is located at the Observatorio Astronómico Nacional, in the Sierra de San Pedro Mártir in Baja California, México (31.04342 N, 115.45476 W) at 2780 m altitude. The telescope is installed on an ASTELCO equatorial NTM-1000 mount equipped with direct-drive motors, which enables operations without meridian flip. The telescope is installed in a 6.25-m wide dome built by the Gambato company. In terms of mount performance, SAINT-EX typically achieves a RMS better than 3′′ relative to the pointing model and a tracking accuracy – without autoguiding – better than 2″ over 15-min timescales. To improve this figure further, SAINT-EX uses the DONUTS autoguiding software (McCormac et al. 2013), which increases the guiding precision to 0.2″ RMS or better that is less than a pixel. SAINT-EX is equipped with an Andor iKon-L camera that integrates a deep-depletion e2v 2K × 2K CCD chip with a BEX2-DD coating that is optimised for the near infrared (NIR). The filter wheel includes the Sloan $ugriz'$ broad-band filters, as well as special blue-blocking (transmittance > 90% beyond 500 nm) and NIR (transmittance > 95% beyond 705 nm) filters. The detector gives a field of view of 12'×12' with 0.34″ per pixel.

SAINT-EX operations are robotic and the data reduction and analysis are automated by a custom pipeline PRINCE (Photometric Reduction and In-depth Nightly Curve Exploration) that ingests the raw science and calibration frames and produces light curves using differential photometry. The PRINCE pipeline performs standard image reduction steps, applying bias, dark, and flat-field corrections. Astrometric calibration is conducted using Astrometry.net (Lang et al. 2010) to derive correct world coordinate system (WCS) information for each exposure. Photutils\(^2\) star detection (Bradley et al. 2019) is run on a median image of the whole exposure stack to create a pool of candidate stars in the field of view. Stars whose peak value in the largest aperture is above the background by a certain threshold, defined by an empirical factor times the median background noise of the night, are kept as reference stars for the differential photometric analysis. From the WCS information and the detected stars’ coordinates, the pipeline runs centroiding, aperture and annulus photometry on each detected star from the common pool, using LMFit (Newville et al. 2014) and Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013; Price-Whelan et al. 2018), and repeats this for each exposure to obtain the measured lightcurves for a list of apertures. The measured lightcurves for each aperture are corrected for systematics using either a PCA approach (Pedregosa et al. 2011) or a simple differential photometry approach that corrects a star’s lightcurve by the median lightcurve of all stars in the pool except for the target star. SAINT-EX observed one transit of each planet of the TOI-1266 system in early 2020. The observing strategy was to use the $z'$ filter with a slightly-defocused 12-s exposure time to mitigate shutter noise and scintillation. A partial transit of TOI-1266.02 was observed on 29 January 2020 from 7:36 to 12:05 UT. A full transit of TOI-1266.01 was then observed on 29 February 2020 from 6:16 to 11:03 UT. We reduced both datasets with PRINCE using differential aperture photometry. We corrected our differential light curves for variations in both the airmass and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) along both horizontal and vertical axes on the detector. This correction is performed simultaneously to the transit fit in our MCMC framework detailed in Section 5.1.2.

2.3. TRAPPIST-North photometry

We used the 60-cm TRAPPIST-North telescope located at Oukaimeden Observatory in Morocco (Jehin et al. 2011; Gillon et al. 2013; Barkaoui et al. 2019) to observe one full and one part-
2.4. Artemis photometry

One partial transit of TOI-1266.01 was acquired on 21 March 2020 with the Artemis telescope, which constitutes the SPECULOOS-North facility located at the Teide Observatory (Canary Islands, Spain). The Artemis telescope is a twin of the SPECULOOS-South and SAINT-EX telescopes, which are all operated similarly and utilise Andor iKon-L cameras with 2K×2K deep-depletion CCDs. We acquired 668 images in the Sloan-r’ filter with an exposure time of 10 seconds. Data reduction consisted of standard calibration steps and subsequent aperture photometry with the TRAPPHOT pipeline. Best comparison stars and optimum aperture size were selected on the basis of the minimisation of the out-of-transit scatter of the light curve.

2.5. Observatori Astronòmic Albanyà

A full transit of TOI-1266 b was observed in Ic band on 25 Dec 2019 from Observatori Astronòmic Albanyà (OAA) in Girona, Spain. The 0.4 m telescope is equipped with a 3056×3056 Mosaic G4-9000 camera with an image scale of 1″/44 pixel−1, resulting in a 36′×36′ field of view. The images were calibrated and the photometric data were extracted using the AstroImageJ (AIJ) software package (Collins et al. 2017).

2.6. Kotizarovci Observatory

A full transit of TOI-1266 b was observed in Baader R long-pass 610 nm band on 23 Apr 2020 from Kotizarovci Observatory near Viskovo, Croatia. The 0.3 m telescope is equipped with a 765×510 SBIG ST7XE camera with an image scale of 1″/2 pixel−1 resulting in a 15.3′×10.2′ field of view. The images were calibrated and the photometric data were extracted using AIJ.

2.7. ZRO Observatory

A full transit of TOI-1266 b was observed without filter on 23 Apr 2020 from the Zambelli Roberto Observatory (ZRO) in Liguria, Italy. The 0.4-m telescope is equipped with a 3072×2048 SBIG STXL-6303E camera with an image scale of 1″/16 pixel−1 resulting in a 49′×33′ field of view. The images were calibrated and the photometric data were extracted using AIJ.

2.8. TRES spectroscopy

We obtained two ‘reconnaissance’ spectra with the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Furesz 2008) instrument mounted on the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory’s 1.5-m telescope on 25 January 2020 and 30 January 2020. The TRES wavelength coverage spans 385 to 910 nm and the resolving power is 44000. The purpose of these spectra is to discard ob-

Table 1. Ground-based time-series photometric observations of TOI-1266.

| Date (UT)   | Filter | Facility          | Exp. time [s] | Notes  |
|------------|--------|-------------------|---------------|--------|
| 25 Dec 2019| Ic     | OAA-0.4m          | 160           | b full |
| 29 Jan 2020| z’     | SAINT-EX-1m       | 12            | c partial |
| 29 Feb 2020| z’     | SAINT-EX-1m       | 12            | b full  |
| 21 Mar 2020| z’     | TRAPPIST-N-0.6m   | 15            | b full  |
| 21 Mar 2020| r’     | Artemis-1m        | 10            | b partial |
| 01 Apr 2020| V      | TRAPPIST-N-0.6m   | 60            | b partial |
| 23 Apr 2020| TESS   | Kotizarovci-0.3m  | 50            | b full  |
| 23 Apr 2020| clear  | ZRO-0.4m          | 200           | b full  |
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Parameter Value Source

Target designations

TIC 467179528 1

2MASS J13115955+6550017 2

UCAC 4 780-025091 3

Guai DR2 1678074272650459008 4

Photometry

TESS 11.040 ± 0.007 1

B 14.58 ± 0.05 3

V 12.94 ± 0.05 3

Guai 12.122 ± 0.0002 4

u 16.527 ± 0.007 5

g 14.950 ± 0.005 5

r 12.584 ± 0.002 5

i 11.600 ± 0.001 5

z 11.608 ± 0.005 5

J 9.71 ± 0.02 2

H 9.07 ± 0.03 2

K 8.84 ± 0.02 2

WISE 3.4 µm 8.72 ± 0.02 6

WISE 4.6 µm 8.61 ± 0.02 6

WISE 12 µm 8.50 ± 0.02 6

WISE 22 µm 8.23 ± 0.21 6

Astrometry

RA (J2000) 13 11 59.56 4

DEC (J2000) +65 50 01.70 4

RA PM (mas/yr) -150.652 6

DEC PM (mas/yr) -25.368 6

Parallax (mas) 27.7397 ± 0.0226 4

Table 2. TOI-1266 stellar astrometric and photometric properties. 1. Stassun et al. (2018), 2. Cutri et al. (2003), 3. Zacharias et al. (2013), 4. Brown et al. (2018), 5. Alam et al. (2015), 6. Cutri et al. (2013).

2.9. HIRES spectroscopy

We obtained a single spectrum with the HIRES (Vogt et al. 1994) instrument mounted on the Keck-I 10-m telescope on 15 December 2019. HIRES has a wavelength coverage of 390 to 900 nm and a resolving power of 50,000. The spectrum with which we conducted these analyses has a S/N per resolution element of 80.

2.10. High-angular resolution imaging

We used high-angular resolution imaging to rule out false-positive signals caused by unresolved blended stars in the timeseries photometry. This is particularly important for the TESS light curves, given that the TESS pixels are ∼21".

2.10.1. AstraLux at Calar Alto

TOI-1266 was observed on 30 October 2019 with the AstraLux instrument (Hormuth et al. 2008), a high-resolution spatial camera installed at the 2.2 m telescope of the Calar Alto Observatory (Almería, Spain). This fast-readout camera uses the lucky-imaging technique (Fried 1978) by obtaining thousands of short-exposure frames to subsequently select a small percentage of them showing the best Strehl ratio (Strehl 1902), and combining them into a final image. We observed this target using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey z filter (SDSSz), which provides the best resolution and contrast capabilities for the instrument (Hormuth et al. 2008), and obtained 35,000 frames with 20 ms exposure time and a 6′ × 6′ field-of-view. The datacube was subsequently reduced using the observatory pipeline (Hormuth et al. 2008), and we used a 10% selection rate for the best frames to obtain a final high-resolution imaging with a total exposure time of 70 s. We then computed the sensitivity curve by using our own astrasens package4, following the procedure described in Lillo-Box et al. (2012, 2014). The image allows us to discard stellar companions with magnitude contrasts down to ∆m = 4 at 0.2" (corresponding to 7.2 au at the distance of this system), and hence, establish a maximum contamination in the planet transit better than 3%. The AstraLux contrast curve is shown in Fig. 2.

2.10.2. ShARCS

TOI-1266 was observed on 12 November 2019 with the adaptive-optics-assisted ShARCS camera (McGurk et al. 2014; Gavel et al. 2014) on the Shane 3-m telescope at Lick Observatory. We collected observations in both the Ks and J filters with exposure times of 6 s and 12 s, respectively. Observations were performed with a four-point dither pattern, with the distance between subsequent exposures being 4.00′′ on a side.

We reduced our data with SImMER (Savel, Hirsch et al., in prep), an open-source, Python-based pipeline5. Prior to aligning images, the pipeline implements standard dark-subtraction and flat-fielding. To align our science images for each target, we adapted methods from Morzinski et al. (2015), performing rotations about points within a search radius and minimising the summed residuals from the original image. To determine our sensitivity to undetected stellar companions to TOI-1266, we calculated the minimum detectable companion brightness at increasing angular separations from the target. We performed this step by constructing concentric annuli centred on TOI-1266 and determining the mean and standard deviation of the flux within

4 https://github.com/jlillo/astrasens
5 https://github.com/arjunsavel/SImMER
With this technique, we recovered 2D spectra to a library of spectra of well-characterised stars. (Yee et al. 2017), which classifies stars by comparing their optical spectra to a library of spectra of well-characterised stars. We analysed the HIRES spectrum with SpecMatch-Empirical (Yee et al. 2017). Briefly, the equivalent widths of several spectral features. This analysis provides values for $T_{\text{eff}} = 3533 \pm 45\,\text{K}$, $R_* = 0.428 \pm 0.012\,R_\odot$, and $M = 0.447 \pm 0.023\,M_\odot$.

In a separate global fit, we used the EXOFASTv2 analysis package (Eastman et al. 2019) to derive the stellar parameters. EXOFASTv2 simultaneously utilises the SED, MIST stellar models (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016), Gaia DR2 parallax and enforces an upper limit on the extinction of $A_V = 0.04185$ from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust maps. In addition, we set Gaussian priors on $T_{\text{eff}}$ and [Fe/H] from the spectral analysis, presented in Section 3.1. The resulting EXOFASTv2 fit provides values for $T_{\text{eff}} = 3533 \pm 45\,\text{K}$, $R_* = 0.428 \pm 0.012\,R_\odot$, and $M = 0.447 \pm 0.023\,M_\odot$.

In Table 3, we present a summary of the values of the stellar parameters obtained from the different instruments and methods previously described. We also added stellar properties derived in this work. We adopt the mass and metallicity values from the SED+Mann analysis as priors in our global analysis, because it assumes only a simple Gaussian prior on the Gaia parallax, and thus, is completely empirical.

Additionally, using the radial velocity determined from the HIRES data (−41.71 ± 0.10 kms$^{-1}$), and the proper motion and parallax from Gaia DR2, we derived Galactic space-velocity components (U, V, W) = (3.99 ± 0.03, −43.53 ± 0.06, −32.36 ± 0.08) kms$^{-1}$, following the procedure detailed in Jofré et al. (2015).

3.2. SED analysis

As an independent check on the derived stellar parameters, we performed an analysis of the broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) together with the Gaia DR2 parallax in order to determine an empirical measurement of the stellar radius, following the procedures described in Stassun & Torres (2016); Stassun et al. (2017, 2018). We obtained the $BVgriz$ magnitudes from APASS, the $JHK_s$ magnitudes from 2MASS, the W1–W4 magnitudes from WISE, and the GG$_{BP}$GG$_{RP}$ magnitudes from Gaia (see Table 2). In addition, we obtained the NUV flux from GALEX in order to assess the level of chromospheric activity, if any. Together, the available photometry spans the full stellar SED over the wavelength range 0.2–22 μm (see Figure 3).

We performed a fit using NextGen stellar atmosphere models (Hauschildt et al. 1999), with the fitted parameters being the effective temperature ($T_{\text{eff}}$) and metallicity ([Fe/H]). We set the extinction ($A_V$) to zero due to the star being nearby. We used the $T_{\text{eff}}$ from the TIC (Stassun et al. 2018) as an initial guess. The broadband SED is largely insensitive to the surface gravity (log $g$), thus we simply adopted the value from the TIC. The resulting fit is satisfactory (Figure 3) with a reduced $\chi^2$ of 1.9.

The best-fit parameters are $T_{\text{eff}} = 3600 \pm 150\,\text{K}$ and [Fe/H] = −0.5 ± 0.5 dex. Integrating the (unreddened) model SED gives the bolometric flux at Earth of $F_{\text{bol}} = 6.72 \pm 0.16 \times 10^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Taking the $F_{\text{bol}}$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ together with the Gaia DR2 parallax, adjusted by +0.08 mas to account for the systematic offset reported by Stassun & Torres (2018), gives the stellar radius as $R = 0.420 \pm 0.037\,R_\odot$. Finally, estimating the stellar mass from the empirical relations of Mann et al. (2019) gives $M = 0.45 \pm 0.03\,M_\odot$, which with the empirical radius measurement gives the mean stellar density as $\rho_* = 8.7 \pm 2.3\,g\,cm^{-3}$.

In a separate global fit, we used the EXOFASTv2 analysis package (Eastman et al. 2019) to derive the stellar parameters. EXOFASTv2 simultaneously utilises the SED, MIST stellar models (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016), Gaia DR2 parallax and enforces an upper limit on the extinction of $A_V = 0.04185$ from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust maps. In addition, we set Gaussian priors on $T_{\text{eff}}$ and [Fe/H] from the spectral analysis, presented in Section 3.1. The resulting EXOFASTv2 fit provides values for $T_{\text{eff}} = 3533 \pm 45\,\text{K}$, $R_* = 0.428 \pm 0.012\,R_\odot$, and $M = 0.447 \pm 0.023\,M_\odot$.

In Table 3, we present a summary of the values of the stellar parameters obtained from the different instruments and methods previously described. We also added stellar properties derived in this work. We adopt the mass and metallicity values from the SED+Mann analysis as priors in our global analysis, because it assumes only a simple Gaussian prior on the Gaia parallax, and thus, is completely empirical.

Additionally, using the radial velocity determined from the HIRES data (−41.71 ± 0.10 kms$^{-1}$), and the proper motion and parallax from Gaia DR2, we derived Galactic space-velocity components (U, V, W) = (3.99 ± 0.03, −43.53 ± 0.06, −32.36 ± 0.08) kms$^{-1}$, following the procedure detailed in Jofré et al. (2015).
has a probability of $\sim$96% of belonging to the thin disc population.

3.3. Activity

We first searched for signs of stellar variability in the TESS PDC-SAP data from Sect. 2.1, as one might expect given the prevalence of activity in M dwarfs (e.g. Newton et al. 2016, 2018). We performed a visual inspection of the light curve and found no hints of rotational modulation nor evidence of flaring activity. We then used the tools provided by the Lightkurve Python package (Lightkurve Collaboration et al. 2018) to compute the Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Scargle 1982) for all the photometric data and for the data of each sector, without detecting any significant peak that might indicate periodic variability. To check that the variability was not removed by the PDC pipeline, we also performed an independent data reduction from the TESS Target Pixel Files (TPFs). We used a circular aperture, tracking the star on each image, and we stitched the observations from sectors 14, 15, 21 and 22 together to produce a new lightcurve. We computed a Lomb-Scargle periodogram and we additionally fit a Gaussian process model with a quasi-periodic kernel (see e.g. Aigrain et al. 2016). We did not find any periodic variation consistent with stellar variability, however. This could result from a rotation period much longer than the 120 day observation period, or a small spot coverage.

Within the total $\sim$ 120 days of TESS observations across all four sectors, we did not observe any flares from the star, consistent with an inactive early M dwarf (Hawley et al. 2014). We note that the radius and inferred mass are compatible with an uninflated early M-dwarf, and the NUV photometry is consistent with an unspotted photosphere and negligible chromospheric activity. In summary, TOI-1266 appears to be an old, inactive, slightly metal-poor early M dwarf.

4. Target vetting tests

4.1. TESS pipeline data validation

As a first step in the false-positive vetting, we closely examined the data validation (DV) report (Twicken et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019) combining all four sectors (14, 15, 21, and 22) provided by the SPOC pipeline. Both of the TOI-1266 planet candidates successfully passed all the tests, which includes a search for discrepancies between odd and even transit depths, as well as, the search for a shallow secondary eclipse that could both identify a possible eclipsing binary scenario. DV also includes a difference image centroid test to ensure that the source of the transit occurs on the target star, as well as, a ’ghost diagnostic test’ to discard scattered light as a source for the observed signal. These conclusive tests encouraged us to conduct further analysis to validate both planet candidates.

4.2. SAINT-EX ground-based photometry

In the context of the TESS Follow-up Observing Program, we used SAINT-EX to perform observations of the transit of each planet to eliminate possible contamination from nearby stars included in the large TESS aperture. We identified five such sources located between 36 and 132” from TOI-1266 within the Gaia DR2 catalogue (Brown et al. 2018), with measured delta magnitudes ranging between 4.6 and 7.1 in the $\lambda'$ band. Using AstroImageJ (Collins et al. 2017), we estimated that eclipse depths between 0.064 and 0.2 occurring on these stars would

![Spectral energy distribution (SED) of TOI-1266. Red symbols represent the observed photometric measurements, where the horizontal bars represent the effective width of the passband. Blue symbols are the model fluxes from the best-fit NextGen atmosphere model (black).](image)

**Table 3.** Stellar Characterisation. SED+Mann use the empirical relations of Mann et al. (2019). Parameters in bold are the adopted stellar values. We use the SED+Mann mass and metallicity as priors in our global analysis presented in Sect. 5.1.2, where we also derive the other parameters that appear in bold.

| Parameter | Value | Source   |
|-----------|-------|----------|
| $T_{\text{eff}}/K$ | 3548 ± 70 | HIERES   |
| 3600 ± 150 | SED+Mann |
| 3533 ± 45 | EXOFASTv2 |
| 3570 ± 100 | TRES    |
| $[\text{Fe}/H]$ | -0.24 ± 0.09 | HIERES |
| -0.5 ± 0.5 | SED+Mann |
| -0.03 ± 0.18 | TRES |
| $M_*/M_\odot$ | 0.45 ± 0.03 | Mann    |
| 0.447 ± 0.023 | EXOFASTv2 |
| 0.48 ± 0.10 | TRES    |
| $R_*/R_\odot$ | 0.43 ± 0.02 | HIERES |
| 0.420 ± 0.037 | SED+Mann |
| 0.428 ± 0.012 | EXOFASTv2 |
| 0.43 ± 0.10 | TRES    |
| 0.42 ± 0.02 | This work |
| $\log g / \text{dex}$ | 4.85 ± 0.08 | SED+Mann |
| 4.826 ± 0.024 | EXOFASTv2 |
| 4.78 ± 0.10 | TRES    |
| 4.85 ± 0.05 | This work |
| $\rho_*/g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ | 8.7 ± 2.3 | SED+Mann |
| 8.04^{+0.66}_{-0.55} | EXOFASTv2 |
| 8.99^{+1.25}_{-1.00} | This work |

| Spectral Type | M3 | Source   |
|---------------|---|----------|
| $F_{\text{bol}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}$ | $(6.72 ± 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$ | SED+Mann |

This work
be necessary to create the 0.0015 deep transit observed on TOI-1266 for the c planet candidate. Visual inspection of the light curves did not show transit signatures with the predicted depths on the nearby stars but revealed a 0.0015 deep transit on the target star as expected. We repeated the same analysis for the deeper transit of the b planet candidate, and confirmed both the lack of deep transits on the other stars and the detection of a ∼0.003 transit on TOI-1266. This step of the analysis confirms that both transits occur on TOI-1266 without contamination from known Gaia DR2 sources. We also detected no wavelength dependence of the transit depths between the TESS, $\varepsilon$, $r'$, $I_{C}$, and $V$ bandpasses (see Sect. 5.1.2).

4.3. Archival imagery

Archival images are useful for investigating the background contamination of stars with non-negligible proper motion (PM), such as TOI-1266. None of the blue and red POSS1 images from 1953 at the current target location show the presence of a source that would be blended with the target at the epoch of the TESS observations (Fig. 4). TOI-1266's PM, combined with the moderate spatial resolution of ground-based all-sky surveys, does not allow us to constrain background sources from more recent optical imagery such as POSS2, Pan-STARRS and SDSS.

4.4. Statistical validation using high-resolution imaging with TRICERATOPS & VESPA

As an additional vetting step, we calculated the false positive probabilities of these TOIs using triceratops (Tool for Rating Interesting Candidate Exoplanets and Reliability Analysis of Transits Originating from Proximate Stars; Giacalone & Dressing 2020a,b) and vespa (Validation of Exoplanet Signals using a Probabilistic Algorithm; Morton 2012, 2015). To tighten the constraints we obtain from these calculations, we incorporated a probabilistic algorithm; Morton 2012, 2015). To tighten the constraints we obtain from these calculations, we incorporated the contrast curves obtained from our high-resolution AO imaging. With triceratops, we compute false positive probabilities of 0.00310 and 0.08287 for TOI-1266.01 and TOI-1266.02, respectively, and with vespa, we compute false positive probabilities of 0.00002 and 0.00993, respectively. Because this is a multi-planet system, we were able to apply additional priors to these probabilities using the results of Lissauer et al. (2012). This study uses data from the Kepler mission to estimate the fraction of planet candidates that are false positives when in systems with multiple planet candidates. This is done by assuming that the expected number of targets with $k$ false positives, $E(k)$, is given by the Poisson distribution

\[
E(k) = \frac{N^{k} e^{-N}}{k!} \quad N = \frac{R_{FP} n^{k}}{k!},
\]

where $R_{FP}$ is the false positive rate, $n$ is the number of planet candidates, and $N$ is the number of targets from which the sample is drawn. The sample used in Lissauer et al. (2012) contained $n = 230$ planet candidates in candidate two-planet systems from $N = 160171$ total targets and assumed $R_{FP} = 0.5$. Thus, the expected number of candidate two-planet systems in which both planet candidates are false positives is $E(k = 2) \approx 1$ and the expected number of candidate two-planet systems in which only one planet candidate is a false positive is $(1 - R_{FP})^{2} \times E(k = 1) \approx 2$. Therefore, the prior probability that a planet candidate in a candidate two-planet system is a false positive is $(1 + 2)/230 \approx 0.01$ (see also Guerrero et al., submitted). Multiplying the probabilities above by this prior, we find a false positive probability $< 0.01$ for both TOIs using both triceratops and vespa.

These probabilities are sufficiently low to rule out astrophysical false positives originating from both resolved nearby stars, and unresolved stars blended within the photometric aperture. In conclusion, the combination of TESS photometry, high-resolution spectroscopy, high-precision ground-based photometry, and archival imagery enable us to rule out false-positive scenarios for the observed transit signals of TOI-1266 b and c.

4.5. Possible bound stellar companions

Thanks to our data, we can place some limited constraints on the presence of a binary companion. Our high-resolution imaging in Fig. 2 limits any object with a $\Delta m > 4 \ in \ v$-band at a distance $> 0.2''$ (corresponding to a semi-major axis of 7.2 au, and orbital period of 25 years). Using the Baraffe et al. (2015) models, and assuming a system age of 5 Gyr, we can exclude any binary companion with a mass $> 0.10 M_\odot$. Using the $K$-band observations, we can exclude the presence of any Hydrogen-burning object beyond 1$''$ ($> 0.07 M_\odot$).

Inside of 7.2 au, we have to rely on spectroscopy to place any constraints. A companion could in principle be detected as an extra set of lines, which requires two conditions: it is bright enough, and its orbital velocity is distinct enough from the TOI-1266 A, the primary star. Our HIRES spectrum reached a S/N of 80. Using models from Baraffe et al. (2015) once more, stellar companions with masses $> 0.15 M_\odot$ could be detectable with S/N $= 5$. The velocity resolution of HIRES is $\sim 6 \ km \ s^{-1}$. All companions with orbital velocity $< 12 \ km \ s^{-1}$ would see their absorption lines blending with the primary’s for the majority of their orbital motion, meaning they would likely remain unnoticed. An orbital velocity difference of $> 12 \ km \ s^{-1}$, corresponds to orbital separations of $< 3.8 \ au$ (period $> 10.7$ years), for a secondary mass of $0.15 M_\odot$, and $\sin i = 1$.

Using dynamical arguments, we can also exclude the presence of a secondary star at orbital separations $< 0.3 \ au$ (orbital periods around 0.2 years) or TOI-1266 c would be unstable for any orbital inclination (Holman & Wiegert 1999). Similarly, a stable and circular inner planetary system implies that an external companion has not produced Lidov–Kozai cycles that would excite the eccentricities of the planetary orbits (Lidov 1962; Kozai 1962; Wu et al. 2007). Using the Lidov–Kozai timescale derived in Kiseleva et al. (1998), we find that companions with a mass of $> 0.05 M_\odot$, eccentricities of 0.3, and orbital periods of 1000 years ($\sim 82$ au), would induce cycles in the eccentricity of TOI-1266 c, on timescales much shorter than the age of the system (21 Myr for the parameters we quote), but only if that companion has an orbital inclination between 40 and 140$^\circ$.

In summary, we can exclude detecting the presence within the data of most stellar companions, except at orbital separations between 3.8 and 7.2 au. However, we cannot exclude any companion should that object be within the line of sight (i.e. high-angular resolution imaging does not detect it, and with a relative radial velocity near 0 km/s). Radial velocities would not detect face-on orbits, however those are ruled out using dynamical arguments.
5. Results

5.1. Photometric analysis

5.1.1. Planet search and detection limits from the TESS photometry

As mentioned previously, TOI-1266 was observed by TESS in sectors 14, 15, 21 and 22. The TESS Science Office issued two alerts for this object based on SPOC DV reports: TOI 1266.01 and TOI 1266.02 correspond to planetary candidates with periods of 10.8 d and 18.8 d respectively. We performed our own search for candidates using the SHERLOCK\(^8\) (Searching for Hints of Exoplanets in Rom Lightcurves Of spaCe-based seeKers) pipeline presented in Pozuelos et al. (2020). This pipeline makes use of the Lightkurve package (Lightkurve Collaboration et al. 2018), which downloads the PDC-SAP flux data from the MSA Mikulski Archive for Space Telescope (MAST), and removes outliers defined as data points $> 3\sigma$ above the running mean. In order to remove stellar noise and instrumental drifts, our pipeline uses woman (Hippke et al. 2019) with two different detrending methods: bi-weight and Gaussian process with a Matérn 3/2-kernel. In both cases, a number of detrending approaches were applied by varying the window and kernel sizes to maximise the signal detection efficiency (SDE) of the transit search, which was performed by means of the transit least squares package (Hippke & Heller 2019). The transit least squares uses an analytical transit model based on the stellar parameters, and is optimised for the detection of shallow periodic transits. We properly recovered the two aforementioned candidates, where the best systematics model corresponded to the bi-weight method with a window-size of 0.4149 d. In addition to these two signals, we found a threshold-crossing event with a period of 12.5 d. However, after an in-depth vetting process (Heller et al. 2019), we discarded this signal, which we attributed to systematics in the data set. We show in Fig. 5 the PDC-SAP flux, the best systematics model, and the final detrended lightcurve with the two planet candidates.

In order to assess the detectability of other planets in the data set available from TESS, we performed an injection-recovery test, where we injected synthetic planetary signals into the PDC-SAP fluxes corresponding to planets with different radii and periods. We then detrended the lightcurve using the best method found previously that is the bi-weight approach with a window size of 0.4149 d. Before searching for planets, we masked the two known candidate planets with periods of 10.8 d and 18.8 d. We explored the $R_{\text{planet}} - P_{\text{planet}}$ parameter space in the ranges of 0.8–3.0 $R_\oplus$ with steps of 0.05 $R_\oplus$, and 1–30 d with steps of 1 d, for a total of 1305 different scenarios. In this test, we defined an injected signal as being recovered when a detected epoch matched the injected epoch within one hour, and if a detected period matched any half-multiple of the injected period to better than 5%. It is important to note that since we injected the synthetic signals directly in the PDC-SAP lightcurve, they were not affected by the PDC-SAP systematic corrections. Therefore, the detection limits found correspond to the most optimistic scenario (see e.g. Pozuelos et al. 2020; Eisner et al. 2020). The results are shown in Fig. 6, and we reached several conclusions from this test: (1) We can rule out the presence of planets with sizes larger than $\sim 1.5 R_\oplus$ with orbits shorter than 10 d. However, such planets might reside in orbits with longer periods and, thus, remain undetected, as a longer period yields a lower detectability. In fact, for periods greater than 10 d, we obtained a recovery rate ranging from 30 to 70%. (2) For the full set of investigated periods, planets with sizes smaller than 1.5 $R_\oplus$ would remain undetected, with recovery rates lower than 30%, and close to 0% for 1.0 $R_\oplus$.

5.1.2. Global analysis

We used the full photometric dataset described in Sect. 2 along with the transit signals detected in the previous step as input parameters to a global analysis of the TOI-1266 system. We also included the stellar mass and effective temperature derived in Sect. 3 as Gaussian priors to convert the transit fitted parameters into physical values.

We used the MCMC algorithm implementation already presented in the literature (e.g. Gillon et al. 2012; Demory et al. 2012; Gillon et al. 2014). The inputs to the MCMC are the photometric time-series obtained during the data reduction described above. For each light curve, we fit simultaneously for the instrument baseline model and a transit model of two Keplerian orbits corresponding to TOI-1266 b and c. This approach ensures that instrumental systematic noise is properly propagated to the system parameters of interest. The photometric baseline model coefficients used for detrending for each instrument are determined at each step of the MCMC procedure using a singular value decomposition method (Press et al. 1992). The resulting coefficients are then used to correct the raw photometric lightcurves. Such an approach is necessary because the input data originate from multiple instruments with different sources of systematics. We show in Table 4 the baseline model used for each light curve.

---

\(^8\) SHERLOCK code is available upon request.
Fig. 5. TESS data of TOI-1266 from the four sectors in which it was observed. In all cases, the black line corresponds to the PDC-SAP fluxes obtained from SPOC pipeline, the solid-orange line corresponds to the best-detrended model, and the teal line is the final detrended lightcurve. The red triangles mark the 10.8 d period planetary candidate, while the blue triangles mark the 18.8 d candidate.

Fig. 6. Injection-and-recovery test performed to check the detectability of extra planets in the system. We explored a total of 1305 different scenarios. Larger recovery rates are presented in green and yellow colours, while lower recovery rates are shown in blue and darker hues. Planets smaller than 1.5 $R_\oplus$ would remain undetected for almost the full set of periods explored.

To derive accurate uncertainties on the system parameters, we computed two scaling factors, $\beta_w$ and $\beta_r$, following Winn et al. (2008), to account for over- or under-estimated white noise and correlated noise (Pont et al. 2006) in each dataset (these values are computed over 10 to 240-min timescales).

We computed the quadratic limb-darkening (LD) coefficients $u_1$ and $u_2$ in the TESS, $z'$, $r'$, $I_c$, and Johnson V filters, using the PyLDTk code (Parviainen & Aigrain 2015) and a library of PHOENIX high-resolution synthetic spectra (Husser et al. 2013). We placed Gaussian priors on each of the quadratic LD parameters, with a 5-fold inflation of the uncertainties computed from model interpolation. All LD parameters used in this analysis are shown in Table 5. For each of the two planets, we fitted for (1) the transit depth (planet-to-star area ratio) $R_p^2 \rho_\star$ for each instrument to assess transit depth chromaticity, (2) the transit duration $T_{14}$, (3) the orbital period $P$, (4) the transit centre $T_0$, and (5) the impact parameter $b = \frac{\sqrt{e} \cos \omega}{R_\star}$, where $a$ is the orbital semi-major axis and $i$ the orbital inclination. For this MCMC fit, we assumed circular orbits, and fixed $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ values to 0. We ran two chains of 100 000 steps (including 20% burn-in) each, and checked their efficient mixing and convergence by visually inspecting the autocorrelation functions of each chain, and by using the Gelman & Rubin (1992) statistical test, ensuring that the test values for all fitted parameters were < 1.01.

We show in Table 6 the median and 1σ credible intervals of the system parameter’s posterior distribution functions. The corresponding light curves for both planets are shown in Fig. 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Our analysis shows a good agreement between the combined stellar density value of $\rho_\star = 8.99 \pm 1.25$ g cm$^{-3}$ derived from the photometry alone, and (1) the $\rho_\star = 8.7 \pm 2.3$ g cm$^{-3}$ derived from the SED+Mann analysis, as well as, (2) the $\rho_\star = 8.04^{+0.66}_{-0.55}$ g cm$^{-3}$ derived from the EXOFASTv2 analysis described in Sect. 3. The transit depths measured in four different bandpasses (TESS, $z'$, $r'$, and V) for TOI-1266 b are all in agreement at the ~2-σ level. We find a good agreement as well for the transit depth of TOI-1266 c albeit with only two bandpasses (TESS and $z'$). We also repeated the same MCMC analysis, this time allowing $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ to vary, but did not find evidence for eccentric orbits for any of TOI-1266 b and c, using transit photometry alone.
Table 4. Baseline model functional forms, residual RMS, and scaling factors of each transit light curve used in the photometric global analysis. For each baseline, a polynomial is used with the indicated variables as parameters.

| Planet | Facility | T0 [BJD$_{TDB}$] | Baseline model functional form | Residual RMS (exp. time) | $\beta_w$ | $\beta_r$ |
|--------|----------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| b      | TESS     | 8691.0063        | flux offset                  | 0.00165 (120 s)          | 0.44     | 1.18     |
|        |          | 8701.9011        | flux offset                  | 0.00186 (120 s)          | 0.50     | 1.10     |
|        |          | 8712.7959        | flux offset                  | 0.00176 (120 s)          | 0.81     | 1.16     |
|        |          | 8723.6907        | flux offset                  | 0.00173 (120 s)          | 0.68     | 1.53     |
|        |          | 8734.5855        | flux offset                  | 0.00190 (120 s)          | 0.84     | 1.11     |
|        |          | 8876.2179        | flux offset                  | 0.00188 (120 s)          | 0.91     | 1.00     |
|        |          | 8871.1127        | flux offset                  | 0.00174 (120 s)          | 0.84     | 1.22     |
|        |          | 8908.9023        | flux offset                  | 0.00178 (120 s)          | 0.78     | 1.18     |
|        |          | 8919.7971        | flux offset                  | 0.00180 (120 s)          | 0.79     | 1.08     |
|        | OAA      | 8843.5335        | flux offset + airmass$^2$    | 0.00080 (160 s)          | 1.02     | 1.00     |
|        | SAINT-EX | 8908.9023        | flux offset + airmass$^2$ + FWHM$^2$ | 0.00352 (12 s) | 0.51     | 1.98     |
|        | TRAPPIST-N | 8930.6920     | flux offset + airmass$^2$ + FWHM$^2$ | 0.00370 (15 s) | 0.71     | 1.35     |
|        | Artemis  | 8930.6920        | flux offset + airmass$^2$ + FWHM$^2$ | 0.00344 (10 s) | 0.79     | 1.32     |
|        | TRAPPIST-N | 8941.5868    | flux offset + airmass$^2$ + FWHM$^2$ | 0.00287 (60 s) | 0.78     | 1.15     |
|        | Kotizarovci | 8963.3764   | flux offset + airmass$^2$    | 0.00435 (50 s)          | 0.70     | 1.58     |
|        | ZRO      | 8963.3764        | time$^2$ + airmass$^2$       | 0.00101 (200 s)         | 0.56     | 1.43     |
| c      | TESS     | 8689.9612        | flux offset                  | 0.00189 (120 s)          | 0.51     | 1.04     |
|        |          | 8708.7625        | flux offset                  | 0.00190 (120 s)          | 0.49     | 1.00     |
|        |          | 8727.5638        | flux offset                  | 0.00185 (120 s)          | 0.77     | 1.33     |
|        |          | 8877.9741        | flux offset                  | 0.00174 (120 s)          | 0.84     | 1.00     |
|        |          | 8896.7754        | flux offset                  | 0.00180 (120 s)          | 0.87     | 1.00     |
|        | SAINT-EX | 8877.9741        | flux offset + airmass$^2$ + FWHM$^2$ | 0.00488 (12 s) | 1.04     | 1.10     |

Table 5. Quadratic limb-darkening coefficients used in the photometric global analysis for each instrument.

| Filter | $u_1$     | $u_2$     | Notes                                      |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|
| TESS   | 0.20 ± 0.09 | 0.41 ± 0.10 | used as well for Kotizarovci               |
| z'     | 0.17 ± 0.08 | 0.27 ± 0.09 |                                            |
| r'     | 0.39 ± 0.10 | 0.31 ± 0.09 |                                            |
| V      | 0.38 ± 0.10 | 0.32 ± 0.09 |                                            |
| Ic     | 0.20 ± 0.09 | 0.29 ± 0.09 |                                            |
| Clear  | 0.33 ± 0.09 | 0.30 ± 0.09 | ZRO, QE>50% between 480 and 800 nm         |

Fig. 7. TESS phase-folded transits of TOI-1266 b (left) and TOI-1266 c (right) from the global analysis. Grey circles are un-binned data points while 15-min bins are shown as black circles. The best-fit model is shown in red.
5.2. Dynamical analysis

5.2.1. Mass constraints from TTVs

As the system is within 6% of the second order 5:3 mean motion resonance (MMR) and within 14% of the stronger first order 2:1 MMR, we attempt to constrain planet masses from the transit timing variations (Agol et al. 2005; Holman 2005; Agol & Fabrycky 2017) measured in our combined photometric dataset. We have in total 13 transits of planet b and five transits of planet c (Table 7). We computed TTVs using a similar MCMC setup as in Sect. 5.1.2, but allowing each transit timing to vary, using the same tests as the ones described in Sect. 5.1.2 to ensure that the chains have converged. We derived posterior distribution functions for each transit timing that we use for our TTV analysis.

Table 6. Global model fitted results along with mass and eccentricity estimates from the TTV analysis (see Sect. 5.2.1). For each parameter, we indicate the median of the posterior distribution function, along with the 1-sigma credible intervals. The equilibrium temperature corresponds to a case with null Bond albedo and no heat recirculation from the day side to the nightside hemispheres of the planet.

| Parameter | TOI-1266 b | TOI-1266 c |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Transit fitted parameters | | |
| Transit depth, (R_p/R_*)^2 | 0.00276^{+0.00011}_{-0.00013} | 0.00120 ± 0.00017 |
| Transit duration, T_{14} (days) | 0.08877^{+0.00021}_{-0.00022} | 0.09111 ± 0.00055 |
| Impact parameter, b | 0.38 ± 0.12 | 0.613 ± 0.089 |
| Mid-transit time, T_0 (BJD_{TDB}) | 2458821.74439^{+0.00054}_{-0.00055} | 2458821.5706^{+0.0034}_{-0.0029} |
| Orbital period, P (days) | 10.894843^{+0.000067}_{-0.000066} | 18.80151^{+0.00067}_{-0.00069} |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–SAINT–EX,x'} | 0.00106^{+0.00069}_{-0.00067} | 0.00089 ± 0.00063 |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–TRAPPIST–N,x'} | 0.00079^{+0.00071}_{-0.00075} | |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–TRAPPIST–N,V} | 0.00067^{+0.00045}_{-0.00046} | |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–ARTEMIS,x'} | 0.00049 ± 0.00120 | |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–OAA,kc} | −0.00030^{+0.0016}_{-0.0013} | |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–ZRO,clear} | 0.0035^{+0.00025}_{-0.0019} | |
| Tr. depth diff., δ_{TESS–Kotizarovci,TESSband} | 0.00192^{+0.00094}_{-0.00098} | |

Fig. 8. SAINT-EX detrended transits of TOI-1266 b (left) and TOI-1266 c (right) from the global analysis, both observed in z'. Grey circles are un-binned data points while 15-min bins are shown as black circles. The best-fit model is shown in red.
Fig. 9. Single, detrended transits of TOI-1266 b obtained with TRAPPIST-N in $z'$ (left), $V$ (centre), and with ARTEMIS in $r'$ (right) from the global analysis. Grey circles are un-binned data points while 15-min bins are shown as black circles. The best-fit model is shown in red.

Fig. 10. Single, detrended transits of TOI-1266 b obtained with OAA (left), Kotizarovci (centre), and with ZRO (right) from the global analysis. Grey circles are un-binned data points while 15-min bins are shown as black circles. The best-fit model is shown in red.

described in this section. While our observations provide only a partial sampling of the TTV libration periods (Steffen 2006; Lithwick et al. 2012) of ~106 and 68 days for the 5:3 and 2:1 MMR respectively, we can still place upper limits on the masses computed from N-body simulations.

We used the integrator GENGA (Gravitational Encounters in N-body simulations with Graphics processing unit Acceleration) (Grimm & Stadel 2014) together with a differential evolution Markov chain Monte Carlo (DE MCMC) method (Ter Braak 2006; Vrugt et al. 2009), as described in Grimm et al. (2018), to perform a TTV analysis of the transit timings reported in Table 7. The TTV signal is shown in Figure 11 together with 1000 samples from the MCMC calculations. We find planetary masses of $M_p = 13.5^{+11.0}_{-9.0}$ $M_{\oplus}$ ($< 36.8$ $M_{\oplus}$ at 2-$\sigma$) for TOI-1266 b, and $2.2^{+12.0}_{-13.5}$ $M_{\oplus}$ ($< 5.7$ $M_{\oplus}$ at 2-$\sigma$) for TOI-1266 c. We constrain the orbital eccentricities to $0.09^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ ($< 0.21$ at 2-$\sigma$) for TOI-1266 b, and $0.04 \pm 0.03$ ($< 0.10$ at 2-$\sigma$) for TOI-1266 c. The posterior distributions of the derived masses and eccentricities are shown in Figure 12. We note that the arguments of periastron remain unconstrained. A visual inspection of Fig. 11 shows that the libration period favoured by the fit is ~70 days, which suggests that the system dynamics are more influenced by the 2:1 MMR than the 5:3 MMR. The small number of TOI-1266 c transits combined with their shallow depth causes the derived mass for TOI-1266 b to be less constrained by the data. During our exploration of the parameter space, we found another, lower statistical significance solution, yielding a similar mass for c but higher mass and smaller eccentricity for b. Further high-precision transits are, thus, required to improve these preliminary mass and eccentricity constraints. It is also worth mentioning that the expected radial-velocity semi-amplitude of approximately 4 m/s would enable mass measurements of TOI-1266 b with Doppler spectroscopy, thus, complementing the TTV technique for the innermost planet.

5.2.2. Stability analysis

With the current set of data, we found as a likely planetary architecture a system composed by two eccentric planets: a more massive inner planet, TOI-1266 b, at 0.0736 au, and a lighter
one, TOI-1266 c, at 0.1058 au. Unfortunately, due to the large uncertainties in their masses, the nature of these planets remains unknown (see Table 6). In this section, we seek to constrain the masses and eccentricities of the planets by exploring the global stability of the system. To achieve this, we made use of the Mean Exponential Growth factor of Nearby Orbits, \( Y(t) \) (MEGNO; Cincotta & Simó 1999, 2000; Cincotta et al. 2003) parameter. This chaos index has been widely used to explore the stability of both the Solar System, and extrasolar planetary systems (e.g. Jenkins et al. 2009; Hinse et al. 2015; Wood et al. 2017; Horner et al. 2019). In short, MEGNO evaluates the stability of a body’s trajectory after a small perturbation of its initial conditions through its time-averaged mean value, \( \langle Y(t) \rangle \), which amplifies any stochastic behaviour, thereby allowing one to distinguish between chaotic and quasi-periodic trajectories during the integration time: if \( \langle Y(t) \rangle \rightarrow 2 \) for \( t \rightarrow \infty \), the motion is quasi-periodic; while if \( \langle Y(t) \rangle \rightarrow \infty \) for \( t \rightarrow \infty \), the system is chaotic. To investigate this, we used the MEGNO implementation with an N-body integrator REBOUND (Rein & Liu 2012), which employs the Wisdom-Holman WHfast code (Rein & Tamayo 2015).

We performed two suites of simulations to explore if the system could actually be fully stable in the range of the 2-\( \sigma \) uncertainties obtained for the masses and the eccentricities (see Section 5.2.1). To address this question, we constructed two-dimensional MEGNO-maps in the \( M_b-M_c \) and \( e_b-e_c \) parameter spaces following Jenkins et al. (2019). Hence, in the first case, we explored planet masses ranging from 1 to 37 \( M_{\oplus} \) for TOI-1266 b, and from 1 to 6 \( M_{\oplus} \) for TOI-1266 c. In the second case, we explored planet eccentricities in the ranges of 0.0–0.21 and 0.0–0.1 for TOI-1266 b and TOI-1266 c, respectively. In both sets of simulations, we took 100 values from each range, meaning that the size of the obtained MEGNO-maps were 100x100 pixels. Thus, we explored the \( M_b-M_c \) and \( e_b-e_c \) parameter spaces up to 10,000 times in total. In each case, we fixed the other parameters to the nominal values given in Table 6. The integration time was set to 1 million orbits of the outermost planet, and the time-step was set to 5% of the orbital period of the innermost planet. We found that, concerning the masses, the system is fully stable in the range of values studied here; hence we cannot set extra constraints on the planetary masses. On the other hand, we found that the full set of eccentricities explored is not permitted, and we identified regions with different behaviours where the system transitions from stable to unstable gradually towards the upper-right region of the \( e_b-e_c \) parameter space (see Fig. 13). This allowed us to clearly identify three different regions (A, B, and C). First, where the system is fully stable (A), and where the mutual eccentricities follow the relationship given by:

\[
e_b + 0.992e_c < 0.098.
\]  

Then, the second is a transitional region where the system is still stable, but some instabilities appear (B). This region spans from the limit given by Eq. 2, and the upper limit given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
& \{ e_b < 0.140 \quad \text{if} \quad e_c < 0.032, \\
& \{ e_b + 1.14e_c < 0.17 \quad \text{if} \quad e_c > 0.032.
\end{align*}
\]  

Finally, region C, where the aforementioned relationships are violated, and instability is more likely. We found that the nominal values are stable in the transition region of the parameter space (i.e. region B), which may hint that these values could be

| Predicted timing BJD$_{TDB}$-2450000 | Observed difference days | Source |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| **TOI-1266 b**                     |                        |        |
| 8691.00632                         | −0.00138$^{+0.000247}_{-0.000232}$ | TESS   |
| 8701.90112                         | −0.00164$^{+0.000260}_{-0.000312}$ | TESS   |
| 8712.79593                         | −0.00101$^{+0.000202}_{-0.000171}$ | TESS   |
| 8723.69073                         | 0.00028$^{+0.000159}_{-0.000158}$ | TESS   |
| 8734.58553                         | 0.00160$^{+0.000185}_{-0.000199}$ | TESS   |
| 8843.53357                         | 0.00218$^{+0.000158}_{-0.000142}$ | OAA    |
| 8876.21798                         | 0.00178$^{+0.000127}_{-0.000125}$ | TESS   |
| 8887.11278                         | 0.00192$^{+0.000174}_{-0.000184}$ | TESS   |
| 8908.90239                         | −0.00092$^{+0.00086}_{-0.00103}$ | TESS + SAINT-EX |
| 8919.79719                         | 0.00066$^{+0.00092}_{-0.00086}$ | TESS   |
| 8930.69199                         | 0.00054$^{+0.00106}_{-0.00127}$ | ARTEMIS + TRAPPIST-N |
| 8941.58680                         | 0.00334$^{+0.00142}_{-0.00148}$ | TRAPPIST-N |
| 8963.37640                         | 0.00092$^{+0.00210}_{-0.00267}$ | Kotizarovci + ZRO |
| **TOI-1266 c**                     |                        |        |
| 8689.96122                         | 0.01117$^{+0.01025}_{-0.01064}$ | TESS   |
| 8708.76250                         | −0.00347$^{+0.00083}_{-0.000913}$ | TESS   |
| 8727.56379                         | 0.00313$^{+0.000726}_{-0.000656}$ | TESS   |
| 8877.97410                         | 0.00165$^{+0.000293}_{-0.000321}$ | TESS + SAINT-EX |
| 8896.77539                         | 0.00105$^{+0.000979}_{-0.000702}$ | TESS   |

Table 7. Transit timings used in the TTV analysis.
more appropriately considered as upper limits to stability, where larger values would rapidly turn into unstable scenarios. This encouraged us to favour the hypothesis of low eccentricities for both planets in terms of long-term stability, with the most restrictive condition given by Eq. 2. Hereafter, for our dynamical purposes, we have adopted the nominal planetary masses and eccentricities given in Table 6, which, as we demonstrated, are stable and, in the case of the eccentricities, may represent a realistic upper limits of their real values.

Using the stellar parameters given in Table 2, the planetary parameters provided in Table 6, and the derived planetary masses described above, we conducted a dynamical analysis of the system with the goal of testing for the potential existence of additional planets, especially in the region where the TESS photometry is not accurate enough to detect them, as the case for planets smaller than 1.5 $R_\oplus$ with periods longer than 4 days (see Fig. 6). For this purpose, we used the \texttt{mercury} Integrator Package (Chambers 1999) to perform the N-body integrations. The adopted methodology is similar to that used by Kane (2015, 2019), where a grid of initial conditions is used to explore the valid parameter space for possible additional planets within the system. The innermost planet has an orbital period of $\sim 10.8$ d, and so we used a conservative time step of 0.2 days to ensure the perturbative reliability of the simulations. An initial simulation of the two known planets for $10^7$ years, equivalent to $2 \times 10^8$ orbits of the outer planet, demonstrated the intrinsic stability of the system described in Table 6. We then inserted an Earth-mass planet in a circular orbit at several hundred locations within the semi-major axis range of 0.05–0.20 au to test for possible locations of additional planets within the system. These simulations were executed for $10^6$ years each, and the results were evaluated based on the survival of all planets. Non-survival means that one or more of the planets were ejected from the system or lost to the potential well of the host star. The results of this simulation are shown in Fig. 14, where the semi-major axis (bottom) and orbital period (top) are shown on the x-axis, and the percentage of the simulation time during which all three planets survived is shown on the y-axis. These results show that the semi-major axis range of 0.058–0.138 au is a largely unstable region where, given an additional planet, it is highly unlikely that orbital integrity of the system may be retained. However, outside of this range, the viability of orbits is rapidly regained and so there is potential for numerous other locations where additional transit-
60.20 au and evaluated the overall system stability for a period of $10^6$ years (see description in Section 5.2.2). These results demonstrate that there are few viable orbits allowed in the range 0.064–0.131 au, but that additional terrestrial planets may exist in the system outside of that range.

5.2.3. Tidal evolution

TOI-1266 b and TOI-1266 c are close-in exoplanets, which, due to their proximity to their host star, may be affected by tides. While the size and maximum mass face values of TOI-1266 c point to a terrestrial composition, the large uncertainty in the mass of TOI-1266 b prevents us from making any definitive assertions regarding its nature. Therefore, in this section we only focus on the tidal evolution of TOI-1266 c. To quantify the influence of tides on this planet, we made use of the constant time-lag model (CTL), where a planet is considered as a weakly viscous fluid that is deformed due to gravitational effects (see e.g. Mignard 1979; Hut 1981; Eggleton et al. 1998; Leconte et al. 2010). Tides affect each orbital parameter over a different timescale: the obliquity and rotational period are the first to be altered, while the eccentricity and the semi-major axis are affected over longer periods of time (see e.g. Bolmont et al. 2014; Barnes 2017). To study the effects of tides, we made use of posidonius (Blanco-Cuaresma & Bolmont 2017). The main free parameters that define the tidal dissipation of a given planet are the degree-2 potential Love number $k_2$ and its constant time lag $\Delta \tau$, where $k_2$ can have values between 0 and 1.5, and $\Delta \tau$ can span orders of magnitudes (Barnes 2017). Observations have revealed that the Earth’s dissipation is completely dominated by the friction induced by its topography on tidal gravito-inertial waves that propagate in the oceans (Mathis 2018). Therefore, when exploring terrestrial exoplanets under the influence of tides, the Earth’s reference value $k_2, \Delta \tau = 213$ s (Neron de Surgy & Laskar 1997) is commonly adopted. Typically, $0.1 \times k_2, \Delta \tau$ is used for planets without oceans, and $10 \times k_2, \Delta \tau$ for volatile-rich planets (see e.g. Bolmont et al. 2014, 2015). This strategy allowed us to identify a range of possible tidal behaviours.

For a close-in exoplanet, it is expected that tidal torques fix the rotation rate to a specific frequency, in a process called tidal locking. A tidally-locked planet in a circular orbit will rotate synchronously, and the same side of the planet will always face the star. On the other hand, for a fluid planet, the rotation is pseudo-synchronous, which means that its rotation tends to be as fast as the angular velocity at periapse (hence, the same side does not always face the star). Planets that are solid, like Mercury, can be locked because of a permanent deformation into a specific resonance\(^9\) (see e.g. Bolmont et al. 2015; Hut 1981; Barnes 2017).

To ascertain which of these scenarios applies to TOI-1266 c, we followed the aforementioned strategy: we studied the evolution of the obliquity ($\epsilon$) and rotational period ($P_{\text{rot}}$) by considering a number of cases with: different initial planetary rotational periods of 10 hr, 100 hr, and 1000 hr, combined with obliquities of 15°, 45°, and 75°, for the three cases concerning tidal dissipation: (0.1, 1, and 10)$\times k_2, \Delta \tau$.

We found that in all cases, the planet is tidally locked rapidly: $10^7$ yr for 0.1$k_2, \Delta \tau$, $10^6$ yr for 1$k_2, \Delta \tau$, and $10^3$ yr for 10$k_2, \Delta \tau$. The results for the particular case of 1$k_2, \Delta \tau$ are displayed in Fig. 15. We note that the presence of a relatively large moon orbiting the planet may provoke chaotic fluctuations of its obliquity, or even impart it with another value (see e.g. Laskar & Robutel 1993; Lissauer et al. 2012). However, it has been found that compact planetary systems are unlikely to have moons (Lissauer & Cuzzi 1985; Kane 2017), which encourages us to tentatively consider that TOI-1266 c is tidally locked and fairly well aligned with the host star.

Circularisation of the orbits due to the effects of tides is a slow process, which may last from hundreds to billion years (see e.g. Bolmont et al. 2015; Barnes 2017; Pozuelos et al. 2020).\(^9\)

\(^9\) In Mercury’s case, it’s the 3:2, and because of Mercury’s large $e$, that is near pseudosynchronous, but if Mercury had a smaller $e$, it could have gotten trapped into synchronous orbit.
From our results of the global model, we found that the planet TOI-1266 c may have a certain level of eccentricity with a median value of 0.04. This may be provoked by the lack of time to circularise the orbit by tides (which is not explored in this study) or due to the architecture of the system, where the planets are close to the 5:3 and 2:1 MMRs, which can excite the orbits and consequently induce a marginal level of eccentricity. Hence, both planets might be experiencing some level of tidal heating. In this context, we computed for TOI-1266 c the tidal heating for the nominal eccentricity of 0.04 for (0.1, 1, and 10)\(\times 10^2 \Delta r_{\oplus}\) configurations. We found that the planet is heated by 0.5 W m\(^{-2}\) for 10\(\times 10^2 \Delta r_{\oplus}\), 0.05 W m\(^{-2}\) for 1\(\times 10^2 \Delta r_{\oplus}\), and 0.005 W m\(^{-2}\) for 0.1\(\times 10^2 \Delta r_{\oplus}\).

Taken together, our results suggest that it is likely that the outermost planet TOI-1266 c is tidally locked, but due to its small but non-zero eccentricity, which may persist through MMR excitations, it may not have the same side always facing the star. In a recent paper by Pozuelos et al. (2020), the authors adapted the general description of the flux received by a planet given by Kane & Torres (2017) for the configuration found here, for a tidally-locked planet on a non-circular orbit. It was found that in such a configuration, the orbital phase is no longer relevant, and the distribution of flux along the latitude (\(\beta\)) is the same for the whole orbit, which depends only on the planet’s eccentricity. In our case, assuming the nominal value of eccentricity found in our models (i.e. 0.04) we found 3805–3242 W m\(^{-2}\) (2.79–2.37 \(F_{\oplus}\)) at the equator, 2691–2293 W m\(^{-2}\) (1.97–1.68 \(F_{\oplus}\)) at \(\beta = \pm 45^{\circ}\), and \(~0\) W m\(^{-2}\) (0.0 \(F_{\oplus}\)) at the poles. These values suggest that the stellar flux received by the planet may vary by \(~15\)% along its orbit. Based on dynamical arguments, this variation of \(~15\)% may be considered as an upper limit. Indeed, we demonstrated that the nominal values of the eccentricities might be considered as upper limits, where the system tends to more stable scenarios for low eccentricities (see Fig. 13). At the 1-\(\sigma\) uncertainty level, the minimum eccentricity of TOI-1266 c is 0.01. In such a case, the variation of the flux along the orbit is only \(~4\)%.

Hence, a plausible set of values for the variation of the flux along the orbit ranges from 4 to 15%.

6. Discussion

6.1. TOI-1266 b and c in the mass-radius diagram

In this section, we use the mass and radii constraints on TOI-1266 b and c derived from the transit photometry to investigate the location of these planets in the mass-radius diagram. We emphasise that the mass constraints will be improved once additional transit timings, or precise radial-velocity timeseries become available. However, Figure 16 provides a first assessment on whether the derived planet properties are consistent with usual mass-radius relationships. In this figure, the composition curves were calculated with model B of Michel et al. (2020). We used for iron the equation of state of Hakim et al. (2018), for rock the model of Sotin et al. (2007) and for water the equation of state of Mazevet et al. (2019).

6.2. TOI-1266 and the radius valley

With radii of about 2.4 and 1.6 \(R_{\oplus}\), TOI-1266 b and c span the so-called radius valley (Fulton et al. 2017). Since atmospheric evaporation is a possible explanation for the origin of the valley (Owen & Wu 2013; Lopez & Fortney 2013a; Jin et al. 2014), this feature is also known as the ‘evaporation valley’. We note, however, that the origin for the radius valley feature is currently unconstrained and that other studies advocate, for instance, for core-powered mass loss as the driving mechanism for this pattern (Ginzburg et al. 2018; Gupta & Schlichting 2020). The recent discovery of a change in the fraction of planets above and below the valley over ~ Gyr timescales has indeed been interpreted in favour of the core-powered mass loss scenario for some solar-type stars (Berger et al. 2020), although there is evidence that the formation pathway may be different in low-mass stars (Cloutier & Menou 2020).

Planets in multiple systems with dissimilar radii, like TOI-1266 b & c, Kepler-36 b & c (Carter et al. 2012) or TOI-402 b & c (Dumusque et al. 2019), are of particular interest to understand the origin of the radius valley, as they allow to study the differential evolutionary history of the planets, and to check whether evaporation can self-consistently explain all the planets in a system (Lopez et al. 2012; Lopez & Fortney 2013b; Owen & Campos Estrada 2020).

To understand the implication of the hypothesis that evaporation has shaped the distinct radii of TOI-1266 b & c, we simulated their long-term thermodynamical evolution (cooling, contraction, atmospheric escape) with the Bern evolution model completo21 (Mordasini et al. 2012; Jin et al. 2014; Jin & Mordasini 2018).

The model simulates the long-term evolution of the planets after the dissipation of the protoplanetary disc by solving the internal structure equations of the planets. It includes XUV-driven atmospheric photoevaporation in the radiation-recombination and energy-limited regimes (Murray-Clay et al. 2009).

The planets consist of a solid core and a H/He envelope, and we assumed that their cores have an Earth-like 2:1 silicate:iron composition described by the polytropic equation of state (EOS) of Seager et al. (2007).

The gaseous envelope consists of H/He, described by the EOS of Saumon et al. (1995), and the opacity corresponds to a condensate-free solar-composition gas (Freedman et al. 2014).

As in Mordasini (2020), we simulated the evolution of 6 000 planets on a grid of semi-major axis and mass. The initial conditions (i.e. the post-formation envelope — core mass ratio and luminosity) are the same as in the nominal case considered in Mordasini (2020), but the stellar mass is now 0.5 \(M_{\odot}\). The stellar XUV luminosity as a function of time was taken from McDonald et al. (2019).

Figure 17 shows the result in the plane of orbital distance versus planet radius at an age of 10 Gyr, where the evaporation valley is apparent. Planets above this threshold retain some H/He, whereas those below become bare rocky cores. In the top left corner, the region devoid of planets corresponds to the sub-Neptunian desert (Landkvist et al. 2016; Mazeh et al. 2016; Bourrier et al. 2018).

TOI-1266 b & c are also shown as black squares. Planet b is located clearly above the valley, while planet c’s median radius sits just underneath. This position corresponds to the most massive (and largest) cores at a given semi-major axis that have lost their H/He.

The interesting property of TOI-1266 is that the inner planet is larger than the outer one. In the context of evaporation, this can be explained if the inner planet is also more massive than the outer one. The higher mass allows for the planet to keep its core. The higher mass also allows for the planet to keep its core.

To understand the implication of the hypothesis that evaporation has shaped the distinct radii of TOI-1266 b & c, we simulated their long-term thermodynamical evolution (cooling, contraction, atmospheric escape) with the Bern evolution model completo21 (Mordasini et al. 2012; Jin et al. 2014; Jin & Mordasini 2018).

The model simulates the long-term evolution of the planets after the dissipation of the protoplanetary disc by solving the internal structure equations of the planets. It includes XUV-driven atmospheric photoevaporation in the radiation-recombination and energy-limited regimes (Murray-Clay et al. 2009).

The planets consist of a solid core and a H/He envelope, and we assumed that their cores have an Earth-like 2:1 silicate:iron composition described by the polytropic equation of state (EOS) of Seager et al. (2007).

The gaseous envelope consists of H/He, described by the EOS of Saumon et al. (1995), and the opacity corresponds to a condensate-free solar-composition gas (Freedman et al. 2014).

As in Mordasini (2020), we simulated the evolution of 6 000 planets on a grid of semi-major axis and mass. The initial conditions (i.e. the post-formation envelope — core mass ratio and luminosity) are the same as in the nominal case considered in Mordasini (2020), but the stellar mass is now 0.5 \(M_{\odot}\). The stellar XUV luminosity as a function of time was taken from McDonald et al. (2019).

Figure 17 shows the result in the plane of orbital distance versus planet radius at an age of 10 Gyr, where the evaporation valley is apparent. Planets above this threshold retain some H/He, whereas those below become bare rocky cores. In the top left corner, the region devoid of planets corresponds to the sub-Neptunian desert (Landkvist et al. 2016; Mazeh et al. 2016; Bourrier et al. 2018).

TOI-1266 b & c are also shown as black squares. Planet b is located clearly above the valley, while planet c’s median radius sits just underneath. This position corresponds to the most massive (and largest) cores at a given semi-major axis that have lost their H/He.

The interesting property of TOI-1266 is that the inner planet is larger than the outer one. In the context of evaporation, this can be explained if the inner planet is also more massive than the outer one. The higher mass allows for the planet to keep its H/He envelope even at a higher XUV flux. We thus studied the masses of model planets that are compatible with TOI-1266 b & c in terms of orbital distance and radius in Figure 17, and find that these model planets have masses of about 10 ± 1.5 \(M_{\oplus}\) for planet b, and 6\(^{+3}_{-1}\) \(M_{\oplus}\) for planet c, which is consistent with the masses derived using our TTV measurements (Sect. 5.2.1).
These specific numbers depend on model assumptions, such as the core and envelope composition, the initial conditions, or the evaporation model. But the general result, namely that the inner planet should be more massive, is robust.

We note that Figure 17 has been obtained with an evaporation model that assumes a constant evaporation efficiency in the energy limited domain. It is known (Owen & Wu 2017) that this yields a steeper slope (R vs a) for the evaporation valley than the one found in models which calculate the evaporation efficiency self-consistently (Owen & Jackson 2012).

This shallower slope is also in better agreement with observations (Mordasini 2020). This affects the prediction for the mass ratios of the two planets; in particular, a shallow slope means that the two masses can be more similar than estimated above. If we assume that the slope of the valley is instead the same as the slope observed for FGK stars (Van Eylen et al. 2018; Cloutier & Menou 2020), and not the one predicted in the model, then we can estimate that the inner planet must have a mass that is at least 25% higher than the mass of the outer planet (Mordasini 2020). Then, the inner planet can keep some H/He.

### 6.3. Potential for atmospheric characterisation

The potential for atmospheric characterisation of an exoplanetary system relates directly to the size and brightness of the host: the smaller and brighter the host, the larger the signal in transmission and photon count (i.e. S/N), all other parameters being equal. TOI-1266’s relatively small size and proximity makes
it a remarkably good host for the atmospheric study of super-Earth-sized and larger planets. In order to quantify and contextualise its prospect for atmospheric study, we followed the same approach as in Gillon et al. (2016), focusing here on temperate sub-Neptune-sized planets following the NASA Exoplanet Archive. Figure 18 reports the planets’ signal in transmission, which we derive as follows:

\[
S = \frac{2R_p h_{\text{eff}}}{R_\ast}, \quad \text{with} \quad h_{\text{eff}} = \frac{7kT}{\mu g},
\]

where \( R_p \) is the planetary radius, \( R_\ast \) is the stellar radius, \( h_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective atmospheric height, \( \mu \) is the atmospheric mean molecular mass, \( T \) is the atmospheric temperature, and \( g \) is the local gravity. We assume \( h_{\text{eff}} \) to cover seven atmospheric scale heights, assuming atmospheres down to \( \sim 0.1 \) bar. We assume the atmospheric mean molecular mass to be \( 2.3 \) amu for planets larger than \( 1.6R_\oplus \), which we model as sub-Neptunes, and \( 20 \) amu for the smaller planets, which we model as terrestrial. We assume the atmospheric temperature to be the equilibrium temperature for a Bond albedo of 0. For the planets with missing masses, we estimate \( g \) using the statistical model from Chen & Kipping (2017).

TOI-1266 b and c’s projected signals in transmission are \( \sim 220 \) and \( \sim 100 \) ppm, which are significantly above JWST’s plausible noise floor level (20–50 ppm; Greene et al. 2016). In order to quantify this further, we also report in Figure 18 a relative S/N scaling the signal amplitude with the hosts’ brightness in the J band and using TRAPPIST-1 b’s S/N as a reference. We find that TOI-1266’s planets compare favourably to TRAPPIST-1b in terms of potential for atmospheric exploration. In fact, assessing the presence of a clear hydrogen-dominated atmosphere as assumed for the purpose of this discussion would require 1 and 4 transits for planet b and c, respectively, with JWST NIRSpec Prism mode.

However, this preliminary assessment could be complicated by at least two factors. A first obstacle of transmission spectroscopy is refraction, which bends starlight away from the line of the sight to the observer. In a transmission spectrum, this has a similar effect to an opaque cloud with a ‘cloud-top pressure’ (Sidis & Sari 2010), which introduces a spectral continuum that mutes the strength of spectral features. To determine if this effect is significant, we use equation (14) and Table 1 of Robinson et al. (2017) to estimate the pressure corresponding to this refraction continuum. For nitrogen-dominated atmospheres, we estimate this pressure to be \( 1.3 \) and \( 0.8 \) bar for TOI-1266 b and TOI-1266 c, respectively, if we assume \( g \sim 10^7 \text{ cm s}^{-2} \) and \( T \sim T_{\text{eq}} \). For carbon dioxide-dominated atmospheres, we estimate this pressure to be \( 0.7 \) and \( 0.4 \) bar for TOI-1266 b and TOI-1266 c, respectively. Since transmission spectroscopy probes pressures \( \sim 1–10 \) mbar or lower, we therefore expect refraction to have a negligible effect on the shape of the transmission spectra for TOI-1266 b and TOI-1266 c. Given the low equilibrium temperatures, a second obstacle may be the presence of clouds or hazes that are likely to strongly shape the transmission spectra of these weakly-irradiated exoplanets (see e.g. Crossfield & Kreidberg 2017, and references therein).

We finally note that the planets of TOI-1266 are attractive targets for transmission spectroscopy due to the small geometric size of and lack of activity of the host star. More active M dwarfs like TRAPPIST-1 pose significant challenges to transmission spectroscopy, since the stellar surface features emit different spectra from the mean photosphere, introducing a degenerate signal that one must disentangle to correctly identify the signal from the exoplanet atmosphere (Morris et al. 2018b,c,a; Ducrot et al. 2018; Wakeford et al. 2019). TOI-1266 has no photometric evidence of these confounding starspot signatures, and therefore, makes a clean case for transmission spectroscopy.

6.4. Prospects for radial velocity follow-up and additional TESS data

Radial velocities (RVs) are likely to become available for this target in the future. It is critical to obtain precise masses and thus bulk densities for planets with sub-Neptune radii to identify if they are evaporated giants or giant rocks, so that we may identify model atmospheres to apply to the transmission spectroscopy. This requirement for a reliable mass constraint will be one of the fundamental limitations in choosing sub-Neptune targets for observations with JWST (Batalha et al. 2017). For this system, we might have some certainty about whether or not these are evaporated giants, which will strengthen our interpretations of the transmission spectra.

In addition, we might we be able to measure the Rossiter-McLaughlin effect for this system, due to its brightness and lack of confounding stellar activity. The obliquity of the system would be a valuable addition to the recent observation by Hirano et al. (2020) that the TRAPPIST-1 planets are well-aligned with the host star’s spin.

We finally note that as of writing, TOI-1266 will not be observed by TESS during Sectors 23-26 nor during Extended Mission 1.

7. Conclusions

This study reports on the discovery and preliminary characterisation of the TOI-1266 system that hosts a super-Earth and a sub-Neptune around a M3 dwarf. Our analysis combines photometry obtained from space- and ground-based facilities with a careful treatment of instrumental systematics and correlated noise for each dataset. The resulting data enable us to compute preliminary mass measurements for both planets, investigate their tidal evolution and search for additional companions in the system. Along with other recently discovered TESS exoplanets (e.g. Cloutier et al. 2020; Cloutier & Menou 2020), TOI-1266 will likely become a key system to better understand the nature of the radius valley around early to mid-M dwarfs. First, its orbital architecture, influenced by the 2:1 mean-motion resonance, will facilitate the measurement of precise planetary masses with high-precision photometry (TTV) and Doppler spectroscopy (radial velocities). Second, the host brightness is such that the system will be observable in most JWST modes, hence providing a large wavelength coverage. Third, the outer planet TOI-1266 c has an irradiation level that is similar to that of Venus and is also a favourable target for atmospheric characterisation. We also note that TOI-1266 c may be tidally-locked but with a non-circular orbit, resulting in incident stellar flux varying at a few percent level only along its orbit. Such a configuration would also lead to more homogeneous longitudinal temperature differences, in stark contrast with the bulk of small transiting exoplanets discovered so far.
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Fig. 18. Most promising sub-Neptune-sized planets for atmospheric characterisation. Point colours illustrate the S/N of a JWST/NIRSPEC observation relative to TRAPPIST-1 b. S/N below 1/100th of TRAPPIST-1 b have been removed to enhance readability of the figure. The planets for which the presence of an atmosphere could be assessed by JWST within ~100 hrs are encircled in red, if their atmospheric signals are above JWST’s threshold of ~50 ppm. The size of the circle is proportional to the size of the planet.
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