Abstract. In an effort to generate titanium surfaces for implants with improved osseointegration, we used direct laser interference patterning (DLIP) to modify the surface of pure titanium grade 4 of four different structures. We assessed in vitro cytotoxicity and cell attachment, as well as the viability and proliferation of cells cultured directly on the surfaces. Attachment of the cells to the modified surfaces was comparably good compared to that of cells on grit-blasted and acid-etched reference titanium surfaces. In concordance with this, viability and proliferation of the cells directly cultured on the specimens were similar on all the titanium surfaces, regardless of the laser modification, indicating good cytocompatibility.

Improving the osseointegration of implants is a key issue in dental implantation and surface modification is a major strategy for enhancing the attachment, proliferation and differentiation of cells in contact with such implants.

For titanium implants, various methods are used to modify the surface roughness, including sandblasting, acid-etching, anodization, calcium-phosphate crystal deposition and chemical modification (1).

A recently developed technique for surface modification is direct laser interference patterning (DLIP) (2, 3). By overlapping two or more laser beams on a sample surface, periodic laser intensity distributions are obtained and used for laser ablation. DLIP is a very cost effective process for treating surfaces because it is possible to structure metals at high speeds of up to 0.39 m²/min (4). The structure periodicity can be controlled at the micrometer to submicrometer range and the surface roughness at the micrometer to nanometer level. Moreover, some chemical features can be modified, for example, the material’s wetting properties (5).

In the present study, we modified the surface of titanium of four different structures and assessed in vitro cytocompatibility tests.

Materials and Methods

Titanium specimens and reference materials. Line-like structures with spatial periods (p) of 3, 5, 10 and 20 μm were produced on pure titanium (grade IV) samples (Figure 1). All specimens were cylindrical with a diameter of 10 mm and thickness of 1.5 mm. Before and after laser treatment they were cleaned in pure ethanol (C₂H₅OH) using ultrasonic cleaning for 5 min each. As a reference surface, standard grit-blasted and etched samples (TiPure Plus; BEGO Implant Systems GmbH & Co. KG, Bremen, Germany) were used. The surface characteristics of the DLIP-treated variants and TiPure Plus grit-blasted and acid-etched reference material are shown in Table I.

As a positive control for the in vitro cytocompatibility tests, RM-A, a polyurethane film sheet containing 0.1% zinc diethylthiodithio-carbamate (Hatano Research Institute, Hadano, Kanagawa, Japan) was used. As a negative control, Wako plastic sheets (cat. no. 160-08893; Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany) were used.
Figure 1. Plasma mass spectroscopy (A) and scanning electron microscopy (B) of the surface microstructure of the grit-blasted and acid-etched reference material (i) and the laser-sintered variants: (ii) 3 μm (iii) 5 μm (iv) 10 μm and (v) 20 μm. Bars: 50 μm.
Cytocompatibility assays. All assays were carried out using the mouse fibroblast cell line L929; direct live-dead staining assay was carried out using L929 cells and the preosteoblast cell line MC3T3-E1 (both from the American Type Culture Collection via LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany). The cells were maintained in their recommended medium under standard cultural conditions (6).

Table 1. Surface characteristics of direct laser interference patterning (DLIP)-treated variants and TiPure Plus grit-blasted and acid-etched reference material. *The spatial period (p) is given for each surface.

| Surface                         | Surface roughness (μm) | Peak to valley height (μm) | DLIP structure depth (μm) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| TiPure Plus                     | 1.21±0.03              | 15.32±0.63                | -                        |
| DLIP (p=3 μm)*                  | 0.35±0.01              | 3.99±1.05                 | 0.59±0.32                |
| DLIP (p=5 μm)                   | 0.29±0.05              | 3.55±0.35                 | 1.33±0.29                |
| DLIP (p=10 μm)                  | 0.21±0.04              | 3.51±0.38                 | 1.24±0.47                |
| DLIP (p=20 μm)                  | 0.32±0.02              | 5.71±1.10                 | 1.53±0.43                |

For L929 cells, minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin (100 U/ml each) (all from Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and L-glutamine (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) to a final concentration of 4 mM was used. For MC3T3-E1, MEM was used as base medium supplemented as per the L929 medium but without additional L-glutamine.

The assays for viability (2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide; XTT), proliferation (bromodeoxyuridine; BrdU) and for toxicity (lactate dehydrogenase; LDH) were carried out using established kits, as described in our previous publication both as direct assays and as indirect (extract) assays (7). Briefly, the indirect assays test possible soluble toxic substances from the test specimen by culturing cells for 24 h in medium that was previously incubated with the test specimens for 3 days. In direct assays, cells were seeded directly onto the surface of the test specimen and the assays were carried out 2 days later. Live-dead staining of cells that were cultured directly on the specimens was carried out 1 day later to determine cellular attachment and to visualize cytotoxic effects.

Data evaluation. Statistical analysis was performed using the software Graphpad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). For differences between each test specimen and the grit-blasted and acid-etched reference specimen, an ANOVA was performed with subsequent Bonferroni correction. All tests were two-tailed and the statistically significant level was set at 0.05.

Results

Medium from incubation with the modified and the grit-blasted and acid-etched reference titanium specimen did not alter any of the cytocompatibility parameters including cytotoxicity, viability and proliferation of the L929 cells (Figure 2). Medium cultured with the RMA reference material led to a complete lack of viability and proliferation, coupled with high cytotoxicity (Figure 2).

Attachment of the cells to the modified surfaces was comparable to that of cells attached to the grit-blasted and acid-etched reference titanium surface (Figure 3). Moreover,
the cell morphology was not altered by the modifications. In concordance with this, viability and proliferation of the cells directly cultured on the specimens were similar on all the titanium surfaces, regardless of the laser modification used (Figure 4). Accordingly, no toxicity was detectable (Figure 4).

Discussion

In this study, we have shown that all four DLIP titanium surfaces preserved good cytocompatibility as regards cell attachment, viability and proliferation, in both indirect and direct assays.

Traditional approaches for generating roughness such as etching, are known to frequently lead to reduced biocompatibility. In vitro, cellular attachment, viability and proliferation may all be negatively influenced (8). By contrast, DLIP seems to be rather neutral regarding the cytocompatibility of the treated surfaces. This is reasonable because DLIP is a physical modification which neither leads to a release of toxic soluble substances nor toxic effects by direct contact. Nevertheless, certain surface structures may still inhibit cell attachment with the possible consequence of increased cytotoxicity, as well as reduced viability and proliferation. Indeed, previous studies have reported such phenomena (9).

No improvement in cytocompatibility was achieved for any of the four surface modifications. One possible explanation for the lack of positive effect of DLIP is that a change in pure physical roughness does not alter cytocompatibility features. It is also possible that the range of periodicity of the line-like structures that were chosen for this study (3-20 μm) was too narrow to reveal any such alterations. Future studies may try...
surfaces with line-like structures with spatial periods smaller than 3 μm as well as larger than 20 μm. Previous studies demonstrated that roughing the surface of titanium seems to have the potential of promoting osseointegration (9, 10).

Even though the results obtained and those of previous studies indicate that DLIP might yield more promising osseointegration results, such a conclusion requires further in vivo testing with progressive monitoring of the osseointegrative state over regular time intervals starting from implant loading.

In summary, laser-structured titanium implants do offer a more favorable surface for viable cell attachment and represent a superior alternative to conventional roughening, such as acid etching and grit blasting (11). Furthermore, the viability of the attached cells is an important indicator for future successful implant treatment since the cells themselves are the primary elements expected to react and be stimulated into continuing along the path of osteoblastic differentiation to achieve the ultimate goal of osseointegration of a functional implant into bone union.
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