Response of faba bean to intercropping, biological and chemical control against broomrape and root rot diseases
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Abstract
Multispecies cropping systems contribute to sustainable agriculture with multiple ecosystem services. Effects of intercropping of various crops with faba beans on growth and yield parameters and disease severity of root rot, damping off and broomrape were investigated. This study was implemented in the laboratory, greenhouse and field to investigate the effect of the intercropping systems (fenugreek + faba bean, lupine + faba bean, garlic + faba bean and sole faba bean). The intercropping systems were combined with the application of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) and yeast as bio-control agents, compared to chemical application of herbicides (Glyphosate) and fungicides (Rizolex-T50), to control root rot diseases and broomrape weeds, Orobanche spp., of faba bean plants in vivo and under the naturally infested field. In vitro, yeast and Rizolex-T50 significantly inhibited mycelial growth of root pathogenic fungi. Intercropping with garlic and/or application of Rizolex-T, significantly decreased the incidence and disease index of root rot and damping-off diseases, meanwhile increased percentage of survival plants. In vivo, intercropping with fenugreek and/or application of Glyphosate, significantly reduced the number/weight of spikes/plot of broomrapes. Intercropping with fenugreek combined with AMF application promoted crop growth and significantly increased yield components. The AMF enhanced seed yield/ha when applied to the intercropping of faba bean + fenugreek and faba bean + garlic, showing the highest seed yield/ha with 3.722 and 3.568 ton/ha, respectively. Intercropping of faba bean with garlic integrated with AMF revealed the highest values of LER, 2.45, and net return, 2341 US$/ha. Our results suggested that using faba bean–garlic intercrop along with AMF inoculation can reduce root rot disease, damping off and broomrapes, as well as enhance the profitability of Egyptian farmer and sustainable production.

1. Introduction

The economic significance of growing faba bean (Vicia faba L.) in the world and Egypt owing to its high nutritional value (Lizarazo et al., 2015). Generally, frequent cultivation lead to development, persistence and high build-up of soil pathogens and parasitic weeds causing serious yield losses. On the other hand, intercropping system, also known as polyculture or mixed cropping, is an important farming practice that is commonly emphasized worldwide to avoid the inoculum buildup of soil borne plant pathogens (Panth et al., 2020). Intercropping practices, with varied crop culti-
viation, use comparatively low inputs and enhance quality of the agro-ecosystem as well as help to manage diseases, weeds and pests (Sharma et al., 2021; Maitra et al. 2021), by antagonistic sec-

ondary metabolites released by one plant root, which can effec-
tively suppress the pathogen of another plant (Hao et al. 2010). Intercropping ensures many benefits like enhancing yield compo-
nents, environmental safety, sustainability production and better ecosystem services. In intercropping systems, two crop species or more are grown simultaneously as they coexist and interact among themselves and the agro-ecosystems (Maitra et al. 2021).

Intercropping garlic, onion or caraway with faba bean signifi-
cantly reduced both pre and post-emergence damping-off and rot root, compared to sole faba bean cultivation (Abdel-Monaim and Abo-Elyourir 2012, Mousa and El-Sayed 2016). Likewise, intercropping fenugreek, lupine, Egyptian clover or flax with faba bean reduced the O. crenata infestation of faba bean and achieved high yield, LER and net return (Bakheit et al., 2002, Safina, 2017). The inhibition of O. crenata seeds germination when fenugreek was intercropped with legume plants is due to allelopathy and isolation the main inhibiting metabolite (Abbes et al., 2019). However, percentages of Orobanche infestation varied among different intercropping species (Abu-Shall and Ragheb, 2014).

Using Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Fungi (AMF) and yeast as bio-
control agents for promoting plant growth and inducing plant resistance against certain soil-borne pathogenic fungi has recently received a lot of interest (Abozeid and El-Tarabily 2010, Abdel-
Razik et al., 2012, Imara et al., 2018, Abd El-Hai and Ali, 2019). AMF exudates may be reduced seed germination of the Orobanche and Phelipanche species (Fernàndez-Aparicio et al., 2010, Hassan and Abakeer, 2013). Soil treatment with Trichoderma spp. alone or in combination with aerial spray of glyphosate (50 ppm) was effective in reducing infection of Orobanches and increasing yields of peas, faba bean and tomatoes (Samejima and Sugimoto, 2018, El-Dabaa and Abd-El-Khair, 2020).

Currently, Chemical fungicides are the most often used method for fungal disease management. However, chemical compounds leave toxic residues in plants that are harmful to animals and humans (Li et al. 2019). Furthermore, chemical fungicides pollute the environment owing to their sluggish biodegradation rates. As a result, the use of biocontrol agents instead of chemical fungicides has gained traction as an alternative disease management method that is both ecologically benign and decreases the harmful effects of fungicides (Khunnanwong et al. 2019).

Therefore, the present research was conducted to clarify the efficacy of intercropping systems combined with AMF or yeast extract as bio-control agents, in comparison to herbicides and fungicides application, for decreasing root rot diseases and O. crenata weed, as well as enhance growth and yield parameters of faba bean under field conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Laboratory experiment

2.1.1. In vitro isolation of root rot pathogens and yeast extract preparation

R. solani and F. solani was isolated from naturally infected roots of faba bean (Vicia faba L.) collected from Sers El-Layian Agricultural Research Station, ARC in Egypt. Infected roots tissues were cut into small pieces and surface sterilized using sodium hypochlorite 5% (NaOCl) for two minutes and washed three times with dH₂O before being dried on double layers of sterile filter papers. The samples were firstly plated on water agar media. Three pieces were put in each plate then the plates were incubated at 25–27 °C for four days. The isolates were purified using single spore or hyphal tip techniques (Choi et al. 1999; Torbati et al. 2016). The causal organisms were initially identified microscopically according to the morphological features of mycelial, sclerotia and asexual spores using the description of (Barnett and Hunter 1972, 1998) and confirmed the identification at the Department of Mycology, Plant Pathology Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. On the other hand, yeast extract was obtained from commercial bread yeast was employed as a source for Saccharomyces cerevisiae and prepared according to (Wehr and Frank, 2004; Gül et al. 2005).

2.2. In vitro screening of yeast extract and Rhizolex-T50 for antagonism to R. solani and F. solani

Approximately 20 µL of yeast extract (OD₆₆₀ = 0.8–1.26 × 10⁻² cells/mL) was added in the middle of agar plates having 15 ml of YPD agar medium. Each of R. solani and F. solani was cultured with 5 × 5 mm² agar discs of pathogens, mycelium, which were placed on the middle of plates. Three replicates were prepared for each pathogen and the plates was sealed with parafilm. The control treatment represents the yeast-free agar plates with only the inoculation of the pathogenic fungus. The cultures were incubated at 25–28 °C for 6 days (Chen et al. 2018). On the other hand, the Rhizolex-T50 fungicide was used at 1000 ppm of the active ingredients. About 15 ml of sterilized PDA medium mixed with the fungicide was poured in Petri dishes. After solidification, dishes were inoculated using 5 × 5 mm² discs of 7 days old PDA cultures of both fungi. Three replicates were used for each particular concentration of each fungicide. Three replicates of PDA dishes received no fungicides served as a control. The inoculated plates were incubated at 25–28°C. The mycelial growth diameter was calculated 5–7 dpi (days post inoculation) comparing to the control where the dishes completely covered with the fungal growth. Reduction percentage of the mycelial growth was calculated based on the previous formula described by (Sundar et al. 1995).

2.3. Greenhouse experiment

Study the effect of intercropping, mycorrhiza fungus, yeast extract and Rizolex-T50 on faba bean root rot disease caused by R. solani and F. solani was carried out under greenhouse conditions in Sers El-Layian Agricultural Research Station, ARC, Egypt. Pots (60 cm diameter) and soil were sterilized with 5% formalin solution. After formalin evaporation, soil infestation was performed by inoculation with R. solani and F. solani at 2% of the soil weight (Papvizas and Davye, 1962). Inoculums were prepared by growing each of them in 500 ml conical flasks containing 200 ml of autoclaved potato dextrose broth (PDB) medium and incubated at 26 ± 1 °C for 10–15 days. The fungal growth was blended to homogenize the inoculum before infestation at the rate of 100 ml homogenized culture per pot as mentioned by (Abdel-Monaim et al. 2011).

Ten seeds of faba bean cv. Sakha 1 were treated with mycor-
rhiza fungus, yeast extract and Rizolex-T50 (50 %WSC) before intercropped with fenugreek, lupin and garlic separately in three pots, then replicated three for each treatment. The percentages of pre-, post-emergence damping-off, root-rotted plants and survived plants calculated after 15, 30 and 90 days of sowing, respectively, according the following formula:

\[
\text{Pre – emergence(\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of unemerged seeds}}{\text{Total No. planted seeds}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{post – emergence(\%)} = \frac{\text{Total No. of dead seedlings}}{\text{Total No. planted seeds}} \times 100
\]
Survived plants(%) = \frac{\text{No. of healthy plants}}{\text{Total No. planted seeds}} \times 100

2.4. Field experiment

This work was carried out at Experimental Farm of Sers El-Layian Agric. Res. Stat., (30° 25' 60 N ; 30° 58' 0E), Egypt during 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 seasons. Experimental soil was clay texture and naturally infested with root rot diseases and Orobanche crenate. The experimental design was split-plot with three replicates. Sub-plot area was 10.5 m² (3 X 3.5 m). The main plots were assigned to intercropping treatments (fenugreek + faba bean, lupine + faba bean, garlic + faba bean and sole faba bean), while the sub-plots were assigned to bio-control agent (Mycorrhiza Fungi and yeast extract) and chemical control agent (Rizolex-T50 as fungicide and Glyphosate (Roundup 48%) as herbicide) compared to control (without any control agents). The herbicide Glyphosate was applied at recommenced dose (180 cm³/hectare). Sowing date of faba bean (Vicia faba L.), fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) and lupin (Lupinus termis L.) were on November 20th and 21th in 2019/20 and 2020/21, respectively, while garlic (Allium sativum L.) was sown 30 days earlier than faba bean in both seasons, under sole and intercropping system. Faba bean seeds cv. Sakha 1 was planted on both sides of ridge (60 cm) at 25 cm and one plants/hill. Fenugreek seeds cv. Giza 30 was broadcast on top of the ridges at rate of 4 g/m², while lupine seeds cv. Giza1 and garlic cloves cv. Balady were planted in one row on the top of ridge at 20 and 10 cm apart between hills, respectively. Cultural management of all crops was practiced as recommended by the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture. Data was recorded as follows:

a) Soil-borne pathogens

The percentage of infection was recorded as pre-, post-emergence damping-off, root rot and the survived faba bean plants.

b) Orobanche parasitic weed

The number and weight (g) of emerged O. crenata spikes was recorded /plot.

c) Faba bean and the three intercrops

At harvest, data on faba bean plant height (cm), number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant and seeds weight/plant (g) were collected for ten randomly plants taken from the internal ridges. Yield (ton/ha) of faba bean and intercrops was estimated from all plants/plot and converted to ton/hectare.

d) Land equivalent ratio

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) according to Willey (1979) was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{LER} = \frac{Y_{ab}}{Y_{aa}} + \frac{Y_{ba}}{Y_{bb}} \]

Where: Yaa and Ybb = pure stand yield of a (faba bean) and b (intercrops). Yab and Yba = intercropping yield of a and b

e) Economic evaluation

Total and net return of intercropping were compared with sole faba bean. Price of main products and cost were presented by Bulletin of Statistical Cost Production and Net Return (2019 and 2020).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using analysis of variance using MSTATC statistical package (Freed, 1991). Treatments mean were compared by L.S.D. test at 0.05 level of probability (Gomez and Gomez 1984).

3. Results

3.1. Laboratory experiment

Both of yeast extract and the fungicide Rizolex-T50 were evaluated to inhibit the mycelial growth of R. solani and F. solani and the
results were taken after 7 dpi (days post inoculation), indicating a significant inhibition against both pathogenic fungi as compared to the control, as shown in (Fig. 1). The fungicide Rizolex-T50 showed better inhibition than yeast extract against both pathogens, *R. solani* and *F. solani*. Since Rizolex-T50 showed a nearly complete reduction of mycelial growth for both pathogens, while yeast suspension recorded 44, 40% inhibition towards *R. solani* and *F. solani*.

3.2. Greenhouse experiment

Fig. 2 indicated that intercropping systems, bio-agents and chemical controls and their interaction significantly decreased pre, post-emergence damping-off and root rot diseases, consequently increase plants survival %, as compared to sole faba bean. The most effective intercropping system was garlic, followed by fenugreek. In addition, Rizolex-T50 ranked in the first position, while mycorrhiza treatment ranked in the second position, and yeast was the third in reducing faba bean damping-off and root rot diseases. Generally, the lowest values of pre, post-emergence and root rot diseases occurred under the application of Rizolex-T50 in all intercropping systems, which significantly decreased the occurrence of root rot and damping-off diseases, followed by mycorrhiza treatment and yeast under any intercropping system.

4. Field experiment

4.1. Disease assessment

Intercropping three intercrops with faba bean significantly reduce damping-off and root rot disease, as well as significantly increased plant survival % compared with sole faba bean (Table 1). Also, Rizolex-T50 was the most effective treatment in decrease damping-off and root rot diseases, followed by mycorrhiza then yeast compared to without treatment, irrespective of the intercropping system. Concerning interactions between two factors, the results indicated that no infections were recorded under treatment with Rizolex-T50 coupled with garlic intercropping system in both seasons (Table 1). Likewise, intercropping fenugreek with applied Rizolex-T50 and/or intercropping garlic coupled with mycorrhiza had the lowest pre and post-emergence damping-off and root rot disease, compared to the other treatments, while the highest values of survival plants were recorded with these treatments.

4.2. Broomrape parasitic weed

Results in Table 2 indicated that the intercropping fenugreek, lupin and garlic exhibited highly significant decreasing in the number of spikes/plot by 55.50, 41.42 and 48.83% and dry weight of spikes/plot by 48.48, 35.98 and 43.57%, respectively, compared to sole faba bean as average of both seasons. That is indicated that intercropping treatments would help to decrease *O. crenate* infestation in faba bean fields. Glyphosate as chemical control agent was superior in reduced the previous mentioned characters followed by inoculation faba bean seeds with AMF then yeast as bio-control. Regarding the interactions effect of intercropping and control agents on *O. crenate* infection, highly significant reduction in number of spikes/plot and spikes weight/plot were obtained, in both season. Spray glyphosate coupled with intercropping fenugreek or garlic was the best effective treatment for reduced *O. crenate* infection in faba bean fields, which reduced number and weight of broomrape by 82.16 and 77.67% for fenugreek and 83.33 and 78.07 for garlic over sole faba bean with control, orderly, as average of both seasons.

4.3. Faba bean growth characters

Results in Table 2 confirm that the intercropping systems had insignificant effect on plant height. Conversely, growing faba bean separately significantly increased number of branches/plant over the intercropping. Meanwhile, inoculating faba bean seeds with AMF significantly increased plant height and number of branches/plant, followed by yeast. Significant increases in number of branches/plant by the interaction effect only in first season (Table 2). However, the highest plant height was obtained by intercropping faba bean with lupine and application of AMF. Whereas, the maximum branches/plant (4.40) was achieved by inoculation of sole faba bean seeds with AMF, followed by intercropping garlic with faba bean along with AMF application (4.33). Contrary, control produces the lowest values of plant height and number of branches/plant.

4.4. Faba bean yield characters

Results in (Table 3) showed that intercropping fenugreek significantly increased pods number/plant, seed weight/plant and seed yield/ha, followed by garlic, without significant differences in most
traits except 100-seed weight and seed yield/fad in both seasons. Intercropping fenugreek, lupin and garlic with faba bean increased seed yield/fad comparison to sole faba bean by 37.21, 13.94 and 30.71% as average of both seasons. Concerning bio and chemical control agents, the maximum values of yield and yield components for faba bean were achieved with AMF, followed by Rizolex-T50 compared to other treatments (Table 3). Application AMF, Yeast, glyphosate and Rizolex-T50 significantly increased faba bean seed yield/ha by 35.98, 14.20, 16.31 and 30.38 %, respectively, compared to without, as average of both seasons.
Significant difference in yield and its components of faba bean were noticed due to interaction between studied factors, except number of pods/plant (Table 3). Intercropping fenugreek with faba bean, which inoculation with AMF enhanced the most faba bean yield components over the other treatments. In contrast, the lowest values of yield components were produced by control. Similarly, the highest seed yield 3.470 and 3.975 ton/ha was achieved with faba bean inoculation by AMF and intercropped with fenugreek in 1st and 2nd season, followed by 3.382 ton/ha by intercropping garlic plus AMF in 1st season and 3.953 ton/ha by intercropping fenugreek and application Rizolex-T50 in 2nd season.

4.5. Yields of three intercrops

Yield of fenugreek, lupin and garlic in both seasons illustrated in Fig. 3. The garlic had the highest yield in sole and intercropping systems, while fenugreek had the lowest yield.

4.6. Competitive relationship and economic evaluation

4.6.1. Land equivalent ratio (LER)

Fig. 4 illustrated that intercropping three intercrops with faba bean increase LER than one compared to control. The highest values of RYfaba 1.98 was obtained by intercropping fenugreek with faba bean, which inculcated with AMF in as average of both seasons. While the mean relative yield values of intercrops varied and were 0.27 for fenugreek, 0.44 for lupin and 0.55 for garlic. Therefore, the highest values of LER 2.45 were produce by intercropping garlic with faba bean coupled with AMF, followed by 2.30 with garlic and Rizolex-T50. While the lowest values of LER 1.64 was obtained by intercropping lupin and faba bean without applied any control agents, however it’s was more advantage than sole faba bean (1.0). The LER of 2.45 indicates that a sole faba bean would require 145% more land to achieve the same yield as intercropping.

4.7. Economic evaluation

Results in Fig. 5 indicate that sowing faba bean separate with or without bio or chemical control agents had lowest total and net return than intercropping faba bean. The highest total return 4130 US$/ha and net return 2342 US$/ha were obtained by intercropping garlic with faba bean coupled with AMF as average of two seasons. Thereby, intercropping faba bean more financially rewarding to Egyptian farmer’s than sole faba bean.

5. Discussion

Under the intercropping system, the mechanisms acting on the suppression of soil-borne pathogens and weeds may be due to reducing the host density, altering the soil environment and allelopathy. Several studies confirm the role of allelopathic substances in suppression soil-borne diseases and parasitic weed (Hao et al. 2010, Mousa and El-Sayed 2016, Abbes et al., 2019). Exudates of faba bean decreased significantly, which reduced the amount of nutrients needed for pathogen growth, limited the pro-

| Treatment | Trait | Pre-emergence damping off % 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Post-emergence damping off % 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Rot rotted% 2019/20 | 2020/21 | % survived plants 2019/20 | 2020/21 |
|-----------|-------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| Faba + Fen. AMF 10.00 | 8.33 | 2.00 | 1.66 | 3.00 | 2.33 | 85.00 | 87.66 |
| Yeast 11.66 | 9.33 | 6.33 | 5.33 | 5.00 | 3.33 | 77.00 | 80.00 |
| Glyphosate 15.00 | 14.66 | 11.00 | 6.66 | 5.66 | 4.33 | 68.33 | 74.33 |
| Rizolex-T50 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 99.66 |
| Control 17.33 | 18.00 | 12.33 | 9.00 | 7.33 | 6.00 | 63.00 | 67.00 |
| Mean 10.80 | 10.13 | 6.33 | 4.53 | 4.00 | 3.20 | 76.66 | 82.13 |
| Faba + Lupin AMF 13.33 | 9.66 | 4.33 | 3.66 | 4.33 | 3.00 | 78.00 | 83.66 |
| Yeast 14.00 | 11.00 | 7.66 | 7.00 | 6.33 | 4.66 | 72.00 | 77.33 |
| Glyphosate 22.66 | 18.66 | 12.00 | 10.66 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 58.33 | 64.66 |
| Rizolex-T50 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Control 24.33 | 18.66 | 14.66 | 13.00 | 9.00 | 7.66 | 52.00 | 60.66 |
| Mean 14.93 | 11.66 | 7.73 | 6.86 | 5.33 | 4.26 | 72.00 | 77.20 |
| Faba + Garlic AMF 7.00 | 7.00 | 1.66 | 1.33 | 1.66 | 1.33 | 89.66 | 90.33 |
| Yeast 9.00 | 8.00 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 85.33 | 86.66 |
| Glyphosate 12.00 | 13.33 | 8.00 | 5.33 | 4.66 | 4.33 | 75.00 | 77.00 |
| Rizolex-T50 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Control 13.33 | 14.33 | 10.00 | 6.66 | 6.66 | 4.66 | 70.00 | 74.66 |
| Mean 8.26 | 8.46 | 4.60 | 3.33 | 3.06 | 2.46 | 84.06 | 85.73 |
| Faba sole AMF 17.33 | 11.00 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 68.66 | 78.00 |
| Yeast 19.66 | 13.33 | 11.00 | 7.66 | 8.00 | 5.66 | 61.66 | 73.33 |
| Glyphosate 26.00 | 20.33 | 13.33 | 12.33 | 11.33 | 7.33 | 49.33 | 60.00 |
| Rizolex-T50 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Control 28.66 | 24.66 | 21.66 | 20.66 | 15.00 | 9.66 | 34.66 | 45.00 |
| Mean 18.33 | 13.93 | 10.80 | 9.53 | 8.06 | 5.33 | 62.86 | 71.20 |
| AMF 11.91 | 9.00 | 4.00 | 3.41 | 3.75 | 2.66 | 80.33 | 84.91 |
| Yeast 13.58 | 10.41 | 7.08 | 5.83 | 5.41 | 3.91 | 74.00 | 79.83 |
| Glyphosate 18.91 | 16.75 | 11.08 | 8.75 | 7.16 | 5.50 | 62.83 | 69.00 |
| Rizolex-T50 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99.91 | 99.75 |
| Control 20.91 | 18.83 | 14.66 | 12.33 | 9.50 | 7.00 | 54.91 | 61.33 |
| LSD 0.05 A 1.75 | 0.53 | 1.39 | 1.11 | 0.44 | 0.61 | 1.81 | 0.80 |
| LSD 0.05B 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 0.54 | 1.54 | 1.40 |
| LSD at 0.05 A × B 2.04 | 1.98 | 2.03 | 1.76 | 1.51 | 1.07 | 3.09 | 2.80 |
liberation of pathogens and contributed to the alleviation of Fusarium wilt (Lv et al. 2020). The allelochemicals released by fenugreek roots significantly reduced seed germination and numbers of underground Orobanche attachments with faba bean especially their roots significantly reduced seed germination and numbers of pathogens has been also investigated by (Abouzeid and El-Mohamedy and Abd-Alla 2013; El-Mohamedy et al. 2015). Also, faba bean treated by AMF can also provide good protection against parasites weed (Fernández-Aparicio et al. 2010, López-Ráez et al. 2011). They can create siderophores, cell wall disintegrating enzymes, and other unidentified bioactive compounds (Qin et al. 2004; Mayo et al. 2015; Ghosh; Zhimo et al. 2017; Di Canito et al. 2021). Rizolex-T50 was the most effective treatment may be due to the nearly entirely inhibition of mycelia growth of fungal pathogens. This finding was in harmony with (Mahmoud et al. 2018). Potential mechanisms by which Rizolex-T50 suppressed plant fungal diseases were previously addressed since it has significantly enhanced the production of toxic free-radicals and stimulated some defense mechanisms such as total phenolic compounds, flavonoids, ascorbic acid, antioxidant enzymes, and other metabolites, while reduced hydrogen peroxide, MDA and glutathione (Ali et al. 2009; Abdel-Monaim, et al 2011; Mohamed and Akladious 2017). Also, garlic is an allelopathic crop that reduces the obstacles to sole culture (Cheng et al., 2016). Mycorrhiza and yeast as biocontrol agent against soil-borne pathogens has been also investigated by (Abouzeid and El-Tarabily 2010, Abdel-Razik et al., 2012), where mycorrhiza increases the solubility of phosphates in soils and enhance nitrogen fixing ability of legumes. The antagonistic biocontrol potential effects of AMF controlled the incidence of root rot disease in field (Nawaz-Noor and Sreeramulu, 2017, Abd-El-Khair et al. 2018, Imara et al 2019). Yeast produced the hydrolytic enzymes that degrade the cell wall of the pathogenic fungi (Jadhav and Sayyed, 2016). The inhibited effect of yeast on mycelial growth of pathogenic fungi may be explained based on the production of soluble antifungal metabolites and proteinaceous toxins (Abd El-Hai and Ali 2019). Also, yeasts may efficiently attack harmful fungus strains and reduce or inhibit growth of destructive microbes as revived in (Liu et al. 2013; Horváth et al. 2020). Various mechanisms, such as nutrition competition or antifungal chemical production, have been hypothesized to explain their antagonistic action (Heydari and Pessarakli 2010; Guerrero et al. 2014; Elmahal et al. 2022).

| Treatment        | Trait | Orobanche/plot | Plant height (cm) | No. of Branches / plant | Orobanche/plot | Plant height (cm) | No. of Branches/plant |
|------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                  |       | No. of spikes  | Weight (g)        |                         | No. of spikes  | Weight (g)        |                         |
|                  |       |                |                   |                         |                |                   |                       |
| Faba + Fenu.     | AMF   | 33.33          | 53.33             | 106.93                  | 4.10           | 29.00             | 43.25                 |
|                  | Yeast | 34.00          | 59.98             | 103.17                  | 4.13           | 31.00             | 48.76                 |
|                  | Glyphosate | 28.67       | 47.40             | 97.83                   | 3.70           | 6.00              | 35.90                 |
|                  | Rizolex-T50 Control | 61.67          | 80.62             | 98.50                   | 3.87           | 48.00             | 68.84                 |
| Mean             |       | 46.57          | 69.29             | 99.19                   | 3.74           | 37.40             | 57.97                 |
| Faba + Lupin     | AMF   | 52.33          | 87.93             | 111.23                  | 3.33           | 40.00             | 69.31                 |
|                  | Yeast | 52.00          | 70.43             | 100.67                  | 4.00           | 78.00             | 62.56                 |
|                  | Glyphosate | 33.00       | 41.80             | 99.33                   | 2.70           | 28.00             | 36.59                 |
|                  | Rizolex-T50 Control | 70.67          | 104.68            | 101.00                  | 3.03           | 61.00             | 95.84                 |
| Mean             |       | 59.27          | 84.39             | 101.22                  | 3.03           | 51.40             | 73.75                 |
| Faba sole        | AMF   | 44.33          | 60.64             | 105.67                  | 4.33           | 36.00             | 47.69                 |
|                  | Yeast | 52.00          | 64.98             | 101.37                  | 4.00           | 42.00             | 59.98                 |
|                  | Glyphosate | 32.00       | 40.90             | 96.33                   | 3.33           | 25.00             | 36.09                 |
|                  | Rizolex-T50 Control | 60.67          | 92.94             | 99.00                   | 4.03           | 52.00             | 89.16                 |
| Mean             |       | 52.47          | 73.53             | 97.90                   | 3.75           | 44.20             | 65.85                 |
| Faba sole        | AMF   | 77.00          | 121.71            | 103.17                  | 4.40           | 67.00             | 104.57               |
|                  | Yeast | 102.33         | 115.41            | 100.67                  | 3.93           | 83.00             | 108.50               |
|                  | Glyphosate | 46.67       | 69.18             | 95.00                   | 3.77           | 31.00             | 59.24                 |
|                  | Rizolex-T50 Control | 100.67        | 155.45            | 98.67                   | 4.20           | 95.00             | 150.00               |
| Mean             |       | 113.03         | 123.79            | 96.34                   | 3.63           | 78.60             | 119.62               |
| Faba sole        | LSD 0.05 A | 5.34         | 4.50              | 2.79                    | 0.17           | 5.08              | 5.05                 |
|                  | LSD 0.05B | 10.69         | 10.09             | N.S                    | 0.33           | 10.15             | 10.11                |

Table 2: Effect of intercropping system, bio and chemical control and their interactions on Orobanche weed and growth characters of faba bean during both seasons.
Table 3
Effect of intercropping system, bio and chemical control and their interactions on yield and its components characters of faba bean during both seasons.

| Treatment                  | Pods /plant (No.) | Seed wt. /plant (g) | 100-seed wt. (g) | Seed yield (t/ha) | Pods /plant (No.) | Seed wt. /plant (g) | 100-seed wt. (g) | Seed yield (t/ha) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                            | 2019/2020 season  |                     |                  |                   | 2020/2021 season  |                     |                  |                   |
| Faba + Fenu. AMF           | 19.10             | 23.10               | 86.10            | 3.470             | 24.30             | 37.35               | 95.00            | 3.975             |
| Yeast                     | 16.00             | 19.00               | 76.99            | 2.951             | 19.57             | 17.26               | 90.00            | 3.315             |
| Glyphosate                | 16.53             | 19.53               | 82.72            | 3.206             | 16.20             | 21.61               | 91.33            | 3.094             |
| Rizolex-T50               | 17.60             | 20.60               | 85.81            | 3.375             | 17.33             | 29.01               | 94.00            | 3.953             |
| Control                   | 12.10             | 12.52               | 75.14            | 2.723             | 15.43             | 16.52               | 89.98            | 2.863             |
| Mean                      | 16.27             | 18.95               | 81.35            | 3.146             | 18.57             | 24.35               | 92.06            | 3.440             |
| Faba + Lupin AMF          | 13.47             | 16.47               | 86.84            | 2.996             | 14.47             | 18.80               | 90.33            | 2.511             |
| Yeast                     | 11.13             | 14.13               | 76.77            | 2.530             | 13.67             | 16.88               | 90.33            | 2.697             |
| Glyphosate                | 12.67             | 15.67               | 81.98            | 2.692             | 12.13             | 17.27               | 90.67            | 2.835             |
| Rizolex-T50               | 12.93             | 15.93               | 85.56            | 2.697             | 13.93             | 16.25               | 91.33            | 3.065             |
| Control                   | 9.77              | 10.00               | 72.00            | 2.206             | 11.63             | 14.33               | 90.13            | 2.373             |
| Mean                      | 11.99             | 14.44               | 80.63            | 2.625             | 13.17             | 16.71               | 90.56            | 2.844             |
| Faba + Garlic AMF         | 18.00             | 23.00               | 84.41            | 3.382             | 22.10             | 27.92               | 94.33            | 3.753             |
| Yeast                     | 13.20             | 16.20               | 78.14            | 2.939             | 19.53             | 25.12               | 90.33            | 3.208             |
| Glyphosate                | 16.57             | 19.57               | 80.26            | 3.082             | 19.00             | 23.16               | 93.33            | 2.999             |
| Rizolex-T50               | 16.33             | 17.33               | 86.93            | 3.134             | 20.60             | 28.74               | 93.00            | 3.451             |
| Control                   | 10.13             | 11.98               | 75.65            | 2.673             | 16.93             | 19.59               | 91.00            | 2.744             |
| Mean                      | 14.85             | 17.62               | 80.97            | 3.043             | 19.63             | 24.91               | 92.40            | 3.231             |
| Faba sole AMF             | 14.73             | 18.73               | 79.65            | 2.649             | 20.73             | 20.90               | 84.67            | 2.875             |
| Yeast                     | 11.17             | 15.17               | 72.78            | 1.966             | 18.43             | 18.98               | 79.00            | 2.530             |
| Glyphosate                | 13.43             | 16.43               | 75.82            | 2.185             | 16.17             | 15.77               | 82.00            | 2.404             |
| Rizolex-T50               | 15.53             | 17.53               | 80.95            | 2.573             | 20.53             | 20.14               | 87.33            | 3.035             |
| Control                   | 8.63              | 9.18                | 70.55            | 1.666             | 13.03             | 13.74               | 87.20            | 2.123             |
| Mean                      | 12.70             | 15.41               | 75.95            | 2.207             | 17.78             | 17.91               | 84.04            | 2.593             |
| Mycorrhizal AMF           | 16.33             | 20.33               | 84.25            | 3.124             | 20.40             | 26.24               | 91.08            | 3.464             |
| Yeast                     | 12.88             | 16.13               | 76.17            | 2.597             | 17.80             | 19.56               | 87.42            | 2.938             |
| Glyphosate                | 14.80             | 17.80               | 80.20            | 2.792             | 15.88             | 19.45               | 89.33            | 2.833             |
| Rizolex                   | 15.60             | 17.85               | 84.67            | 2.945             | 18.10             | 23.53               | 91.42            | 3.376             |
| Without                   | 10.16             | 10.92               | 73.33            | 2.318             | 14.26             | 16.04               | 89.58            | 2.526             |
| LSD 0.05 A                | 1.16              | 0.89                | 0.52             | 0.109             | 0.89              | 0.95                | 1.66             | 0.202             |
| LSD 0.05B                 | 0.92              | 1.09                | 1.26             | 0.095             | 0.87              | 1.06                | 1.48             | 0.146             |

Fig. 3. Yields of fenugreek, lupin and garlic under intercropping and sole culture in two seasons.
fewer Orobanche attachments and formed tubercles at a slower rate (Habimana et al. 2014). However, glyphosate (Round up) superiority bio control agents in reduced the number and weight of *O. crenata*/plot. Glyphosate efficiency is attributed to the herbicide translocate unmetabolised to the underground *Orobanche* via the haustorium inflicting its suppressive action in the parasite (Gressel, 2009). The weeds can be controlled by using resistant or tolerant varieties, microbiological approach, cultural practices, chemical controls and integrated management (Samejima and Sugimoto, 2018).

The positive effect of intercropping on growth and yield of faba bean could be attributed to reduce negative effect of soil diseases and Orobanche weed, which increased plants survival percentage and improved yield components (Abbes et al., 2019). Further, biological N fixing and chemicals secreted by legume roots that is stimulate the growth of faba bean plants (Bakheit et al., 2002,
Ahmed et al. (2015). Similarly, inoculation faba bean seeds with mycorrhiza or yeast improve their growth and yield by increasing the nutrient uptake and producing growth promoting compounds as well as plant protection to several diseases and parasitic weeds (Hisamuddin et al., 2015, Nawaz-Noor and Sreeramu, 2017, Abd-El-Khair et al. 2018; Elnahal et al., 2022). AMF improving crop yield by destroying the O. crenata at its early developmental stages (El-Dabaa and Abd-El-Khair 2020). AMF root exudates had a harmful effect on the germination of O. cumana stimulated by germination stimulants and AMF spore exudates might provide a similar effect (Lounar et al., 2016). Exudation and Production of strigolactone were significantly decreased by AMF symbiosis in tomato (Lopez-Raez et al., 2011), as well as Mycorrhizal root exudates inhibited O. cumana germination (Lounar et al., 2012). Root exudates from AMF-colonized pea plants had minimal germination inducing activities toward O. crenata, O. foetida, O. minor and P. aegyptiaca (Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2010; Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2013; Habimana et al., 2014). On the other hand, AMF-treated plants showed substantial increases in nutritional content, phenolics, and chlorophyll content when compared to untreated control plants. The activities of POX, PAL, and PPO were elevated in the roots of the host plant (Komeil and Badry 2021) and the nutrient uptake was enhanced by the successfully colonized roots, besides the Orobanche germination was reduced or delayed after mycorrhizal colonisation (Hassan and Abakeer 2013).

Undoubtedly, integrating agronomic, chemical and biological control agents can benefit growers not only by reducing rot root disease and O. crenatra, but also by increasing productivity of faba bean (Abu-Shall and Ragheb, 2014, El-Dabaa et al. 2019), LER, total and net return compared control (Ahmed et al. 2015; Safina, 2017). The improved resistance of faba bean to aggressive broomrape might be attributable to the plant’s specific defense mechanisms induced by AMF treatment (Komeil and Badry 2021). AMF can play an important role in natural and agricultural environments by influencing plant nutrition, soil biological activity, and changing nutrient availability by plants (Ingraflia et al. 2019; Elnahal et al., 2022).

Intercropping is a technique for increasing soil fertility and crop production. There is growing interest in intercropping due to the need to reduce nitrogen costs and soil erosion. Intercropping is already utilised in parts of Africa as a low-cost method of managing broomrapes (Oswald et al., 2002; Habimana et al., 2014). Intercropping with fenugreek, or berseem clover has been shown to minimize O. crenata infection on faba bean and pea owing to allelopathic interactions, for example, trigoxazonane was found in fenugreek root exudates, perhaps responsible for the prevention of O. crenata seed germination (Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2010; Zeid and Komeil 2019). These results agree with another study about intercropping between flax and faba bean might create unfavorable under-grown circumstances for O. crenata growth, boosting faba bean competitiveness and production during the growth and development phases (Safina 2017). Also, Fernández-Aparicio et al., (2013) suggested that the mechanism for reducing O. crenata infection is the prevention of seed germination by allelochemicals generated by cereal roots. Therefore, intercropping benefits include better resource utilization, yield stability, weed reduction, increased soil fertility conservation, and higher economic returns (Kabura et al., 2008; Mohamed 2013; Meresa and Gebremedhin 2020).

6. Conclusion

A number of diseases and parasitic weeds that infect faba beans can reduce yield and quality. The use of chemical control, including herbicides and fungicides, for controlling weeds and soil-borne pathogens is costly, produces environmental hazards and affects beneficial microorganisms in the soil. Therefore, consumer demand for alternative approaches is increasing, such as biocontrol agents and agronomic approaches that reduce plant pathogen populations. Bioactive compounds generated by yeasts may be potential growth-inhibiting agents against fungal growth, as well as yeasts may potentially contribute to alternate techniques to combating plant pathogenic fungi. In addition, we highlight the significant effects of the intercropping system on microbiological properties in the rhizosphere, which may contribute to enhancement of yield components. Besides, we demonstrated that faba bean–garlic intercrop along with AMF inoculation could be recommended due to the potential role of driving biological interactions among neighboring plants, as well as reduction of root rot disease and broomrapes. Accordingly, this study introduces a high potential ecofriendly approach for use in sustainable faba bean production and disease management.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgment

Authors would like to thank Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/138), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

References

Abbes, Z., Trabelsi, I., Kharrat, M., Amri, M., 2019. Intercropping with fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum) enhanced seed yield and reduced Orobanche foetida infestation in faba bean (Vicia faba). Biological Agric., & Hortic. 35 (4);238–247.
El-Hai, K.M.A., Ali, A.A., 2019. Formulation of Trichoderma, Saccharomyces and Rhizobium metabolites against damping-off and root rot pathogens in peanut plant, Asian J. Biol. Sci. 12 (2), 114–121.
Abd-El-Khair, H., Haggag, K.H.E., Seif El-Nassr, H.L., 2018. Field application of Trichoderma harzianum and Bacillus subtilis combined with Rhizobium for controlling Fusarium root rot in faba bean in organic farming. Middle East J Appl Sci 8 (3), 865–873.
Abdel-Monaim, M.F., Abu-El-Yousry, K.A.M., 2012. Effect of preceding and intercropping crops on suppression of lentil damping-off and root rot disease in New Valley, Egypt. Crop Prot. 32, 41–46.
Abdel-Monaim, M.F., Ismail, M.E., Morsy, K.M., 2011. Induction of systemic resistance of benzothiadiazole and humic acid in soybean plants against Fusarium wilt disease. Mycobiology 39 (4), 290–298.
Abdel-Razik, S.A., Sallam, N.M.A., Eraly, A.M.L., Hassan, M.H.A., 2012. Integrated controls of root rots and wilt disease of faba bean by soil amendment with suppressive compost in combination with seed coating with an antagonistic yeast. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection 45 (14), 1692–1704.
Abouzeid, M.A., El-Tarabily, K.A., 2010. Fusarium spp. suppress germination and parasitic establishment of bean and hemp Orobanche. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 9, 51–64.
Abu-Shall, A.M.H., Ragheb, E.M., 2014. Management of Orobanche Crenata using trap crops and Phytomyza Orobanchia kalt. in broad bean (Vicia Faba) field in Egypt. Egyptian J. of Biological Pest Control 24 (1), 217–223.
Ahmed, N.R., Abdallah, N.G., Abd-El raoufn, R.M., 2015. Intercropping fenugreek (Trigoneloa foemae gramcum L) on the faba bean (Vicia faba) to reduce the incidence of (Orobanche crenata). World Rural Observations 7 (1), 88–99.
Ali, A.A., Ghoneem, K.M., El-Metwally, M.A. and KM, A.E.H., 2009. Induce systemic resistance in lupine against root rot diseases. Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBS, 12(3), pp.213-221.
Bakheit, B.R., Allam, A.Y., Galal, A.H., 2002. Intercropping faba bean with some legume crops for control of O. crenata. Acta Agronomica Hungarica 50, 1–6.
Barnett, H.L. and Hunter, B.R., 1972. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi, Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. , (3rd ed).
Barnett, H.L., Hunter, B.R., 1998. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. The American Phytopathological Society.
Begum, M.M., Sarah, M., Puthy, A.B., Zainal Abidin, M.A., Rahman, M.A., Siddiqui, Y., 2010. Field performance of bio-primed seeds to suppress Colletotrichum truncatum causing damping-off and seedling stand of soybean. Biological Control 53 (1), 18-23.
Hao, W.-y., Ren, L.-X., Ran, W., Shen, Q.-R., 2010. Allelopathic effects of root exudates from watermelon and rice plants on root knot nematodes and Rhizoctonia root rot disease in two faba bean cultivars. J Plant Prot Res, 59(2):255–264 https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2019.129287.

El-Mohamedy, R.S., Shaheef, M.R., Fatma, A.R., 2015. Management of root rot diseases and improvement growth and yield of green bean plants using plant resistance inducers and biological seed treatments. Journal of Agricultural Research 5(3):1–16.

Fernández-Aparicio, M., García-Garrido, J.M., Ocampo, J.A., Rubiales, D., 2010. Colonisation of field pea roots by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduces biomass and yield of pea. Fungal Diversity 44(1), 1–10.

El-Dabaa, M.A.T., Abd-El-Khair, H., 2020. Applications of plant growth promoting bacteria and Trichoderma spp. for controlling Orobanche crenata in faba bean. Bulletin of the National Research Centre 44(1), 1–10.

El-Dabaa, M.A.T., H. Abd-El-Khair, W.M.A. El-Nagi, 2010. Field application of Clotet humanoida combined with Trichoderma spp. for controlling weeds, root knot nematodes and Rhizoctonia root rot disease in two faba bean cultivars. J Plant Prot Res, 59(2):255–264 https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2019.129287.

El-Mohamedy, R.S., Shaheef, M.R., Fatma, A.R., 2015. Management of root rot diseases and improvement growth and yield of green bean plants using plant resistance inducers and biological seed treatments. Journal of Agricultural Research 5(3):1–16.

El-Mohamedy, R.S.R., Abd Alla, M.A., 2013. Bio-prompting seed treatment for biological control of soil borne fungi causing root rot of green bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). J. Agric. Technol. 9(3):580–599.

Elnahal, A.S.M., El-Saadony, M.T., Saad, A.M., Desoky, E.M., El-Tahan, A.M., Rady, M., AbuQamar, S.F., El-Tarabily, K.A., 2022. The use of microbial inoculants for biological control, plant growth promotion, and sustainable agriculture: A review. Eur. J. Plant Pathol.

Fernández-Aparicio, M., García-Garrido, J.M., Ocampo, J.A., Rubiales, D., 2010. Colonisation of field pea roots by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduces Orobanche and Phelipanche species seed germination. Weed Research 50(3), 262–268.

Fernández-Aparicio, M., García-Garrido, J.M., Ocampo, J.A., Rubiales, D., 2010. Colonisation of field pea roots by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduces Orobanche and Phelipanche species seed germination. Weed Research 50(3), 262–268.

Fernández-Aparicio, M., Cimmino, A., Evidente, A., Rubiales, D., 2013. Inhibition of Orobanche crenata seed germination and radicle growth by allelochemicals identified in cereals. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 61(41): 9797–9804.

Fernández-Aparicio, M., Rispail, N., Prats, E., Morandi, D., García-Garrido, J.M., DUMAS-GAUDOT, E., Duc, G. and Rubiales, D., 2010. Parasitic plant infection is suppressed Fusarium wilt in faba bean. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 5 (4), 850–863.

Fungal competition and yield advantage. (c.f Field Crops Abst., 32: 1-10).

Hassan, M., Abakeer, R.A., 2013. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and fertility technology on incidence of Rhizoctonia root-rot disease of faba bean. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 5 (4), 850–863.

Komeil, D.A., Badry, H.H., 2021. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Improved Host-plant resistance inducers and biological seed treatments. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology 13(4), 129287.

Kohlmeyer, D., Knaack, L., Knaack, M., Klaunig, K., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis decreases stiglotracea production in tomato. J. Plant Physiol. 168(3), 294–297.

Lopez-Rodriguez, J.A., Charnikhova, T., Fernández, L, Bouweemeester, H., Pozo, M.J., 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis decreases stiglotracea production in tomato. J. Plant Physiol. 168(3), 294–297.

Mohamed, N., A., N., Khalifa, M., S., Abbas, H.M., Sobhy, and N. M., Abou-Zeid, 2018. Efficacy of antagonistic fungal and bacterial bioagents against faba bean damping-off disease. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 45(3):917–929.

Maitra, S., Hossain, A., Brestic, M., Skalicky, M., Ondrusik, P., Gita, H., Brahmacari, S., K., Banerjee, J., Raha, S., and Sabhapedian, A., 2018. Differences in the growth response and root rot disease in two faba bean varieties inoculated with different Trichoderma spp.

Montazeri, B.K., Gebremedhin, H.M., 2020. Faba Bean Gall (Olpodium vicieae K.) as a Priority Biosecurity Threat for Producing Faba Bean in Ethiopia: Current Status and Future Perspectives. International Journal of Agroinvention 2020, 1–12.

Mohamed, G., 2013. Effect of intercropping of pea with some medicinal plants on microbial community of soil, damping-off and downy mildew diseases, under Beheira governorate conditions. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology 4(7), 625–641.

Mohamed, A.I., Akladious, S.A., 2017. Changes in antioxidants potential, secondary metabolites and plant hormones induced by different fungicides treatment in cotton plants. Pesticide biochemistry and physiology 142, 117–122.

Mousa, A.M., El-Sayed, S.A., 2016. Effect of intercropping and phosphorus fertilizer treatments on incidence of Fusarium root-rot disease of faba bean. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 5 (4), 850–863.

Nawaz-Noor, A.S., Reeramkul, R., 2017. Comparative efficiency of biocontrol agents in the management of root borne diseases of culeus (Culeus forskohlii Willd). Berg. Int. J. Cyt. Microbiol. App. Sci. 6 (4), 2315–2327.

Oswald, A., Ransom, J.K., Kroschel, J., Sauerbner, J., 2002. Interocropping controls Striga in maize based farming systems. Crop Protection 21(5), 367–374.

Panth, M., Hassler, S.C., Baysal-Curel, F., 2020. Methods for management of soilborne diseases in crop production. Agriculture 10(1), 16.

Papavizas, G.C., Davey, C.B., 1962. Isolation and Pathogenicity of Rhizoctonia saprophytically existing in soil. Phytopath. 52, 834–840.

Qin, G., Tian, S., Xu, Y., 2004. Biocontrol of postharvest diseases on sweet cherries by four antagonistic yeasts in different storage conditions. Postharv Biol Technol. 31 (1), 51–58.

Safina, S., 2017. Effect of Ridge Width and Cropping System on Productivity and Profitability in Faba bean-Flax Interocrops. Egyptian Journal of Agronomy 38 (1), 357–381.

Samejima, H., Sugimoto, Y., 2018. Recent research progress in combating root parasitic weeds. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 32 (2), 221–240. https://doi.org/10.1080/13537336.2017.1420427.

Sarma, G., Shrestha, S., Zhang, L., Tseng, T.-M., 2021. Crop diversification for improved weed management: A review. Agriculture 11 (5), 461.

Sundar, A.R., Das, N.D., Krishnaveni, D., 1995. In-vitro Antagonism of Trichoderma against two Fungal Pathogens of Castor. Indian J Plant Prot. 2(2), 152–155.

Turati, B., Arzanlo, M., Bakhtshi, M., 2016. Morphological and molecular identification of ascoscyphaceous coprophilous fungus occurring on feces of some bird species. Current Research in Environmental & Applied Mycology 6 (3), 210–217.

Wehr, H.M., Frank, J.F., 2004. Standard methods for the examination of dairy products. American Public Health Association.
Zeid, M.M., Komeil, D.A., 2019. Same-Hill Intercropping of Different Plant Species with Faba Bean for Control of Orobanche Crenata. Alexandria Science Exchange Journal 40 (APRIL–JUNE), 228–238.

Zhino, V.Y., Dilip, D., Sten, J., Ravat, V.K., Bhtia, D.D., Panja, B., Saha, J., 2017. Antagonistic yeasts for biocontrol of the banana postharvest anthracnose pathogen Colletotrichum musae. J Phytopathol. 165 (1), 35–43.

Further Reading

Abdel-Kader, M. M, N, S, El-Mougy, S, M, Lashin, 2011. Essential oils and Trichoderma harzianum as an integrated control measure against faba bean root rot pathogens. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 51 (3): 306-313. DOI: 10.2478/v10045-011-0050-8

AOAC, 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC Int. 17th Ed. by Horwitz, W. Suite (ed.) Vol. (2), Chapter, (41): 66-68.

Chapman, H.D., Pratt, P.E., 1961. Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Division Agric. Sci., California Univ., USA.

Parker, C., Riches, C.R., 1993. Parasitic weeds of the world: Biology and Control. CAB International, Wallingford.