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Abstract
Dementia is currently diagnosed based on clinical symptoms and signs, but significant brain damage has already occurred by the time a clinical diagnosis of dementia is made, and it is increasingly recognized that this may be too late for any effective intervention. It would therefore be of great public health and preventive value to define a variety of biomarkers that could permit early detection of persons at a higher risk for developing dementia, and specifically dementia due to Alzheimer’s disease. Nevertheless, for the purpose of large-scale screening, circulating biomarkers are more appropriate because they are less invasive than lumbar puncture, less costly than brain amyloid imaging and can be easily assessed repeatedly in a primary care clinic setting. In this brief review we will review a number of candidate molecules implicated as possible predictors of dementia risk. These candidates include markers of vascular injury, metabolic and inflammatory states, amyloid and tau pathway markers, measures of neural degeneration and repair efforts, and other molecules that might contribute to anatomical and functional changes characteristic of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease.

Introduction
The lifetime risk of dementia is one in five [1], with an estimated prevalence of 24 million people worldwide. As the population ages, the number of people with dementia is projected to rise to over 80 million by 2040, leading to a substantial public health burden [2]. Currently, dementia is diagnosed based on clinical characteristics [3,4] but brain imaging and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) markers are thought to improve diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, especially with regard to the etiology of the dementia [5]. However, significant brain damage has already occurred by the time a clinical diagnosis of dementia is made, and it is increasingly recognized that this may be too late for any effective intervention. It is therefore of great importance to define biomarkers that could permit early detection of apparently normal persons who are at a higher risk for developing dementia. A better understanding of the biomarker signature that precedes the clinical manifestation of dementia, and specifically dementia due to Alzheimer’s disease (AD), would permit the identification of a high-risk group of individuals who could be preferentially enrolled in studies testing preventive interventions. The biomarkers might also serve as easily assessable targets to gauge the efficacy of any interventions. Finally, identifying novel biomarkers could also point to new biological pathways that may play a role in early dementia and identify druggable targets and endophenotypes for genetic studies.

Indeed, there have been persistent efforts in recent years to identify novel biomarkers for incident dementia and AD; these could be thought of as predictors of future risk or as markers of an early, preclinical pathological process [6,7]. A biomarker may be broadly defined as a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological or pathogenic processes, or of pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention. The biomarker could be a marker of a disease trait, state or rate and could be a genetic variant, a molecule measurable in a body fluid or tissue, or an imaging or performance (cognition, gait) measure.

Genetic markers, notably the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 genotype, are known to increase the risk of developing AD, the exact magnitude of increase varying depending on the age of the person (relative risk varying from no increase among centenarians to a twofold to fourfold
increase in 65 year olds), sex of the person (relative risk being higher in women), number of copies of the e4 allele and presence of other concomitant risk markers [8]. Several other, recently identified genetic variants have also been associated with risk of AD, but their additive value to risk prediction over age, sex and APOE genotype is low; this is largely because the effect of each non-APOE gene is small, ranging from a 12 to 30% increase in risk [9,10]. CSF levels of beta-amyloid (Aβ40 and Aβ42 isoforms), tau and phospho-tau have been associated with risk of AD, and other CSF molecules may further improve risk stratification [11,12]. Brain amyloid imaging techniques [13] are also promising. Nevertheless, for the purpose of large-scale screening, circulating biomarkers are more appropriate because they are less invasive than lumbar puncture to assess CSF, less costly than brain amyloid imaging using positron emission tomography and can be easily assessed repeatedly in a population or primary care clinic setting.

In this brief review we will focus on biomarkers associated with risk of clinical dementia and AD, both in persons who appear to be cognitively normal and in those who have mild cognitive impairment (MCI); we will not be addressing markers associated solely with cognitive decline outcomes not severe enough to be categorized as dementia. We will examine circulating markers measured in whole blood, plasma or serum but will not reference markers assayed only in circulating platelets, monocytes or other blood cell types.

There are many factors that impact levels of circulating markers, such as whether samples were collected in a fasting state or in a recumbent or erect posture, whether plasma or serum samples were utilized, and technical factors such as the rapidity of aliquoting and freezing samples and details of the assay used. The most useful circulating biomarkers are likely to be relatively stable across testing conditions, easy to measure precisely and reproducibly (low intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients <5 to 10%) and to have fairly significant effect sizes (hazard ratios >1.5).

A circulating biomarker that is useful in risk prediction need not be either causal or protective, changes in the level of this risk marker might be an epiphenomenon of early disease-related changes. Thus two overlapping but not congruent goals are to identify a set of biomarkers that improve clinical risk prediction models based on demographic and clinical measures alone, and to identify potentially causal pathways that may be amenable to intervention regardless of whether or not these biomarkers improve risk prediction. Hence, we will begin with a broad overview of various classes of circulating biomarkers that have been linked to incident dementia, mainly in large prospective cohort studies, but will also highlight markers most likely to incrementally improve risk prediction.

Overview
Circulating biomarkers may reflect brain pathology since about 500 ml CSF is absorbed into blood daily [14], circulating molecules can impact brain structure and function, and the pathology of AD may be associated with changes in the blood–brain barrier that increase the movement of molecules between the blood and the brain parenchyma; for example, mechanisms such as inflammation are both apparent in plasma and are associated with AD [15]. Peripheral blood monocytes and exosomes traverse the blood–brain barrier and systemic changes can affect the macrocirculation and microcirculation and internal milieu of the brain. Based on our current knowledge of the pathophysiological pathways underlying AD, on tissue and animal models of AD, and on a few agnostic approaches such as genome-wide genetic studies and omics-based (proteomics, metabolomics, transcriptomics and microRNA) searches, a number of candidate circulating biomarkers have been identified and their value in predicting dementia and AD assessed. Based on a review of the current literature (summarized below and in Additional file 1), some biomarkers to be considered for inclusion in such a panel of circulating biomarkers would probably include markers of lipid pathways, vascular injury, metabolic and inflammatory states, amyloid and tau pathway markers, measures of neural degeneration and repair efforts, and other molecules that might reflect neurotransmission, endocrine function or as yet unknown pathways that contribute to anatomical and clinical changes in dementia and AD.

Biomarkers associated with vascular risk, metabolic and inflammation states
Various lipid pathways are involved in neuronal function and in the metabolism of Aβ and tau. Several lipid pathway genes, notably APOE, APOJ (CLU), SORL1, and perhaps also SORT1 and CETP, have been linked to risk of AD [16,17]. Hence several candidate lipids, lipoproteins and related molecules have been examined as putative biomarkers of incident AD.

Total cholesterol
The relationship between total cholesterol levels and risk of dementia is still uncertain and appears to depend on the age at cholesterol measurement [18]. When measured in midlife, substantial evidence supports an association between total cholesterol and dementia risk [19-22], although some studies did not find such an association [23-26]. On the other hand, late-life total cholesterol is inversely related to subsequent risk of dementia [27,28] or no association has been observed [29,30]. Cholesterol, as a key component of the neuronal membrane, plays many roles in neuronal function and regulation [31]. Evidence from cell culture and animal
studies demonstrate that the production, aggregation, deposition and recycling of cerebral Aβ as well as its neurotoxicity may be modulated by cholesterol [32,33]. However, we still do not have a complete understanding of how cholesterol levels can influence AD pathogenesis, and despite early evidence from observational studies that cholesterol lowering by statins might reduce the risk of dementia, this was not corroborated in clinical trials.

Oxysterols
The brain eliminates excess cholesterol by excreting two oxidized oxysterols into the circulation: 24S-hydroxycholesterol and 27-hydroxycholesterol [34]. Levels of 24S-hydroxycholesterol appear to reflect brain production (and number of neurons) as well as hepatic elimination. Although evidence suggests that oxysterols play a role in AD pathogenesis by interacting with Aβ and regulating astrocytic production of APOE, the precise mechanisms are not clear [35] and data on the association of circulating oxysterols with incident dementia are scarce [36]. In a recent study of 105 older participants, those with higher plasma 24-hydroxycholesterol and a greater ratio of 24-hydroxycholesterol/27-hydroxycholesterol were more likely to develop incident cognitive impairment over 8 years of follow-up [36].

Other lipoproteins, and sphingolipids
A variety of lipoproteins have been examined for possible association with risk of dementia and AD, including high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, small dense low-density lipoprotein, lipoprotein a, apolipoprotein A1 and apolipoprotein B variants, but the only ones that have shown a fairly convincing association, albeit inconsistently, are higher levels of low-density lipoprotein and lower levels of high-density lipoprotein (<55 mg/dl) [28,37]. Apolipoprotein J (also called clusterin) will be discussed later in this article.

Sphingolipids, including ceramide, sphingomyelin and glycosphingolipids, are major components of lipid rafts and may play a role in processing of amyloid precursor protein. Higher ceramide levels may also increase oxidative stress and have been associated with an increased risk of AD [38].

Homocysteine, vitamins B₁₂ and folate, and related metabolites
It has long been known that cobalamin (vitamin B₁₂) deficiency in pernicious anemia was associated with dementia [39], and Lindenbaum and colleagues showed that cognitive decline could be present in the absence of anemia [40]. Circulating vitamin B₁₂ levels measure both active vitamin B₁₂ bound to transcobalamin (holotranscobalamin) and inactive vitamin B₁₂ bound to haptocorrin, and hence circulating vitamin B₁₂ levels often correlate poorly with cellular vitamin B₁₂ status. Plasma homocysteine and methylmalonic acid, and more recently holotranscobalamin, have been shown to be better indicators of vitamin B₁₂ status and associated with risk of incident dementia and AD [41,42].

Homocysteine is a sulfur-containing amino acid produced in the methionine cycle and there is some evidence that it may increase the risk of AD independent of its status as a marker of low vitamin B₁₂. Hyperhomocysteinemia is associated with higher age, male gender, renal dysfunction, genetic disposition, high methionine intake, and deficiencies of folate, vitamin B₁₂ and pyridoxine vitamins [43,44]. Considerable evidence suggests that an elevation of total plasma homocysteine (tHcy) is associated with a subsequent higher risk of AD [45-48], but contradictory evidence also exists [49-51]. A prospective evaluation of 1,092 dementia-free Framingham Heart study participants demonstrated that elevations in plasma tHcy over 8 years prior to the onset of clinical dementia predicted the subsequent development of AD. A plasma tHcy level in the highest age-specific and sex-specific quartile doubled the risk of developing dementia or AD after adjustment for age, sex, and APOE ε4 genotype. A similar effect was noted in The Conselice Study of Brain Aging, which followed 816 subjects for over 4 years, although the Washington-Heights Inwood Columbia Aging Project did not find a significant association, perhaps because the mean plasma tHcy level was high in this study, even among control subjects.

The mechanisms underlying this association remain uncertain and it is not clear whether tHcy is an AD risk factor or merely a risk marker [52]. However, there are many potential pathways that could explain this association. Homocysteine is an essential intermediary in the metabolism of methionine to the S-adenosylmethionine compound. S-adenosylmethionine serves as the methyl donor for all methylation reactions within the neuron, including the methylation of phospholipids, proteins, myelin, catecholamines and nucleic acids. Homocysteine treatment of cell cultures doubles the rate of telomere shortening [53]. Homocysteine also promotes calcium influx and generation of toxic free oxygen radicals, thus accelerating DNA damage within hippocampal neurons [54,55]. A metabolite of homocysteine, homocysteic acid, activates excitotoxic glutamatergic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors [56,57]. Elevated tHcy levels promote the homocysteinylation of proteins, thus altering protein function [58,59], and inhibit Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity [60]. Homocysteine increases presenilin-mediated Aβ generation [61] and potentiates the neurotoxicity of insoluble Aβ deposits [54,62], and also promotes tau hyperphosphorylation [63]. Homocysteine could increase dementia risk via its vasculotoxic effects on large arteries.
because it alters low-density lipoprotein receptor function, stimulates vascular smooth muscle proliferation, increases atherosclerotic plaque, reduces endothelial reactivity, and has prothrombotic and procoagulant effects [64]. Homocysteine also alters cerebral capillary function in folate-deficient rats [65].

**Insulin and amylin**

Diabetes is associated with a higher risk of dementia [66], and one of the suggested underlying mechanisms is through dysfunction in insulin signaling pathways in the brain since peripheral and perhaps central insulin resistance is a defining characteristic of type 2 diabetes [67].Typically hyperinsulinemia correlates closely with measures of insulin resistance such as the Homeostasis Model of Assessment – Insulin Resistance, which factors in simultaneous glucose and insulin levels. However, the mechanisms underlying the insulin–dementia association are not clear, and may include decreased clearance of Aβ by the insulin-degrading enzyme (which preferentially binds insulin but has a physiological role in Aβ clearance), increased tau hyperphosphorylation, or an indirect effect such as potentiating vascular injury or the adverse effects of inflammation [68].

In a longitudinal analysis of 683 people without dementia followed for 5.4 years, the risk of AD doubled in persons with hyperinsulinemia and the association was even stronger among APOE ε4 allele carriers [69]. Similarly, in another study, impaired insulin response to a glucose tolerance test in mid-life was associated with an increased risk of AD 32 years later, but fasting serum insulin was associated with risk of AD only in APOE ε4 allele carriers [70]. Interestingly, both low and high serum insulin levels were associated with an increased risk of incident dementia in the Honolulu-Asia study [71], and in the Rotterdam study the risk of AD increased in persons with high serum levels of insulin after a 3-year follow-up period – but this association was no longer apparent with a longer follow-up, so the association is complex rather than straightforward, and may vary depending on the amount of concurrent vascular pathology, APOE or inflammatory status and across stages of the disease [72]. Amylin, or islet amyloid polypeptide, an amyloidogenic peptide hormone produced by the pancreas along with insulin, was recently shown to be present in the brains of persons with AD [73], but a prospective association of circulating amylin levels and risk of AD has not been demonstrated.

**Adiponectin**

Adiponectin is an adipokine hormone (secreted by adipose tissue), and low levels of adiponectin have been linked to increased insulin resistance and to the development of coronary heart disease [74], stroke [75] and type 2 diabetes [76]. On the other hand, higher levels have been associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality [77] and visceral obesity has been linked to smaller brain volumes and a higher risk of AD [78]. Increased levels of adiponectin in the plasma have been recently shown to be an independent risk factor for all-cause dementia and AD in women over a mean follow-up of 13 years [79]. The unexpected inverse association may reflect a compensatory elevation of adipokine levels in response to early AD pathology, but it has also been inversely related to weight loss that often precedes the onset of clinical dementia.

**Leptin**

Leptin is a hormone produced by subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue that regulates food intake, satiety and body weight. Accumulating evidence suggests that this hormone also plays a pivotal role, perhaps by improving hippocampal synaptic plasticity, in modulating higher cognitive functions such as learning and memory, and that dysfunction in this system may be linked to neurodegenerative diseases such as AD [80]. Leptin has been shown to reduce tau hyperphosphorylation and to attenuate the toxic effect of Aβ levels in neurons [80]. Lower leptin levels were associated with greater brain atrophy [81,82] and leptin dysfunction may provide a biological link between obesity and dementia [83]. In the Framingham study, the inverse association of leptin levels with risk of AD was only seen in persons who were not obese, perhaps due to the leptin resistance known to be present in obese persons.

Few observational studies have examined the association between plasma leptin levels and risk of incident dementia or AD. In a subsample of the Framingham study participants (mean age 79 years), circulating leptin was inversely related to risk of incident dementia and AD after a median follow-up of 8 years [82]. However in a subsequent study of almost 2,000 Swedish women, leptin levels measured in mid-life (38 to 60 years old) were not associated with the risk of incident dementia occurring up to 32 years later [84].

**C-reactive protein and interleukin-6**

Inflammation is increasingly recognized as a key component in cerebrovascular diseases [85] and neurodegenerative diseases [86] and may play a fundamental role in dementia pathogenesis [87]. Cross-sectional studies suggest that inflammatory proteins such as C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 are elevated in the blood of individuals with AD compared with controls [88,89], but with cross-sectional studies it remains unclear whether inflammation is a byproduct of the AD pathology or is directly contributing to brain damage and cognitive deficits. Prospective studies, however, are inconsistent.

The Honolulu-Asia Aging Study demonstrated a threefold increase in risk of dementia over a follow-up of 25 years in
men with a circulating C-reactive protein level in the top three quartiles, compared with the lowest quartile [90]. Associations of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 with risk of incident dementia were also demonstrated in the Rotterdam study [91], and in The Conselice Study of Brain Aging only a combination of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 predicted vascular dementia but not AD [92]. Other large-scale prospective studies failed to demonstrate such associations. Framingham Heart Study data suggest that whereas circulating levels of C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor alpha were not associated with the risk of developing AD, higher spontaneous production of interleukin-1 or tumor necrosis factor alpha by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (which readily traverse the blood–brain barrier) may be a marker for future risk of AD [79,93,94].

**Thyroid stimulating hormone**

Clinical thyroid disease, causing either hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism, is well known to result in cognitive changes, but these states may not be related to risk of AD dementia [95]. However, an association of variation in thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) with risk of incident AD has been described. In a first prospective study of older persons free of thyroid disease, a more than threefold increase in risk of dementia and AD was observed in persons with a reduced circulating TSH level at baseline [96]. In the Framingham Heart Study, over a longer follow-up period of more than 12 years, both low and high TSH levels were associated with an increased risk of developing incident AD in women but not in men [97]. Another study of 93 people with MCI demonstrated an association between reduced TSH levels and increased risk of conversion to AD [98]. However, some prospective studies failed to find associations between TSH levels and risk of dementia or AD among persons free of clinical thyroid disease [99,100].

Elevations in circulating TSH could be secondary to low thyroid hormone levels, which can directly increase amyloid precursor protein expression and consequently Aβ levels. On the other hand, the effect of elevated TSH on a normal thyroid is to increase thyroid hormone levels, which can result in increased oxidative stress. Both low and high thyroid hormone levels are associated with accelerated systemic atherosclerosis [101,102]. Indeed, in a recent prospective study of older individuals, increased TSH levels were not associated with incident AD but were associated with a higher risk of incident vascular dementia [100].

**Biomarkers associated with Alzheimer’s disease and neurodegenerative pathology**

**Beta-amyloid**

The deposition of Aβ in senile plaques in the brain is a hallmark of AD [103]. Peptides Aβ40 and Aβ42 are the two major components in these plaques and are generated by cleavage of amyloid precursor protein [104]. The relationship between brain and plasma Aβ proteins is complex [105], and studies relating plasma Aβ levels to incident AD have been inconclusive [106-115]. Reports on the ability of plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels (and their ratio) to predict conversion from MCI to AD or to correlate with cognitive decline in people with AD or MCI are also mixed [116-118]. Nevertheless, results of a recent meta-analysis suggest that higher baseline Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels in cognitively normal older individuals might be predictors of higher rates of progression to AD or dementia [119]. This association is discussed in detail in a companion article [120].

**Apolipoprotein E**

APOE ε4 allele is the most significant and best validated genetic risk factor for sporadic AD [8]. However, no consistent association has been demonstrated between serum levels of APOE and either incident or prevalent AD [121] or cognitive decline among persons with MCI or cognitively intact persons [122].

**Clusterin**

A variant within the clusterin (CLU) or apolipoprotein J gene has been associated with low plasma clusterin levels and with increased risk of AD in genome-wide association studies [123]. On the other hand, plasma clusterin levels are increased in AD and MCI patients [122,124] and a dose-dependent association has been demonstrated with increasing disease severity [124,125]. In the Rotterdam study, plasma clusterin levels were not associated with risk of incident dementia – although among persons with AD, higher clusterin levels were associated with a more severe dementia [124]. Moreover, although the plasma concentration of clusterin was found to be associated with rate of clinical progression in AD patients in a combined proteomic and neuroimaging study [125], a subsequent study failed to demonstrate such an association [122].

Clusterin may contribute to AD pathogenesis through various mechanisms, and reviewing these it appears likely that elevation in clusterin levels is probably a compensatory response to early AD pathology. Clusterin shares structural and functional similarities with heat shock proteins, can bind amyloid extracellularly and may inhibit the aggregation of Aβ monomers into toxic oligomers (an extracellular chaperone function). Clusterin may also reduce the neurotoxicity of amyloid through its interaction with molecules involved in signal transduction (transforming growth factor-beta receptors) and DNA repair (Ku70 and Ku86 proteins) [126,127], and may be a key player in regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis [127,128]. Further, as the second major apolipoprotein in the brain, clusterin is involved
in cholesterol transport and neuronal lipid metabolism. Clusterin may also inhibit neuroinflammation, which, in turn, is thought to be a major contributor in AD pathogenesis.

**Other promising biomarkers**

A variety of other plasma proteins seems promising and merit further study. These candidates include: proteins linked to AD pathophysiological processes (such as beta-site amyloid precursor protein-cleaving enzyme 1, transthyretin, butyrylcholinesterase and choline acetyltransferase); markers of neuronal injury (such as neuron-specific enolase), of astroglial injury (glial fibrillary acid protein), of oligodendroglial injury (S-100), of myelin injury (such as myelin basic protein), and of endothelial and matrix function (such as vascular endothelial growth factor and matrix metallopeptidase-9); levels of vitamins, such as vitamin D (lower levels of which have been associated with risk of cardiovascular disease, cognitive decline and with prevalent but not incident dementia) [129] and vitamin E; neurotrophic factors that are involved in neuronal growth, development and synaptic plasticity and whose circulating levels change with lifestyle (diet and physical activity), for example brain-derived neurotrophic factor, beta-nerve growth factor and insulin-like growth factor-1; and protein products of genes identified via genome-wide association studies and candidate gene studies.

**Biomarkers discovered by agnostic approaches (proteomics, metabolomics, and so forth)**

Proteomics technology enables the comprehensive analysis of the protein composition of a biological sample at a given time. A recent study that aimed to detect changes due to AD in a comprehensive panel of plasma proteins identified five proteins that were able to distinguish between AD and controls, but these data need validation in additional prospective studies [130].

**Integrating plasma biomarkers for a holistic approach to dementia risk prediction**

An ideal circulating biomarker should be accurately, validly and reproducibly measurable using a relatively low-cost assay. The circulating biomarker should have a discernible impact on dementia/AD risk that is either independent of or synergistic with the effect of other putative biomarkers. Consistency of effect across age, sex and race/ethnicity and ability to be incorporated in a multiplex platform would be an advantage but are not essential. Of course, we are not just concerned with the association of the biomarker with disease, what we wish to know is whether incorporating information regarding the biomarker improves the predictive accuracy of the best available clinical model (standard of care) incorporating several known predictors such as age, sex and APOE genotype. Improvement in predictive accuracy can be assessed as a change in the C statistic or as the integrated discrimination improvement or net reclassification improvement [98,99]. The latter examines whether addition of biomarker data improves correct reclassification of persons in an intermediate risk category into low-risk or high-risk categories. Such estimates have not been calculated for most of the putative biomarkers discussed and would, of course, vary based on the study sample selected. Effect sizes for individual biomarkers range from 20 to 200% and are in a range wherein single markers are unlikely to substantially improve risk predictions based on age, sex and APOE genotype.

Selecting which biomarkers should be included in prospective assessments of a multimarker panel is not easy, but there are guidelines developed from studies in cancer and cardiovascular disease [100]. Correlation between putative biomarkers should be examined, and among moderately or highly correlated pairs of biomarkers biological and statistical information should be used to select biomarkers that appear most biologically relevant and have the larger impact on risk in age-adjusted and sex-adjusted models. Imaging (magnetic resonance imaging, positron emission tomography), functional imaging (functional magnetic resonance imaging) and cognitive endophenotypes will also be useful in studying the utility of individual biomarkers and biomarker panels. Bioinformatics and machine-learning algorithms have also been used to design biomarker panels [101,102]. The challenges inherent in identifying circulating biomarkers (often by showing differences in levels among persons with AD compared with controls), in validating them in prospective cohorts as useful risk markers for development of clinical dementia in normal older persons or persons with MCI and in crafting multi-marker panels were initially addressed by a working group jointly convened by the Ronald and Nancy Reagan Research Institute of the Alzheimer’s Association [131] and the National Institute on Aging, and are currently being readdressed by a Blood-based Biomarker Interest Group within the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative [120]. A few early attempts have been made to identify such multimarker panels, and in a study of 17 circulating biomarkers, which included only one of the four markers suggested above, four biomarkers (apoE, B-type natriuretic peptide, C-reactive protein and pancreatic polypeptide) were found to be associated with risk of AD; this multimarker panel has not yet been studied in incident cohorts [132]. Another paper used data-mining approaches to the published literature and identified five likely candidates including a novel one, urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor [133].
Conclusion
Circulating biomarkers are easy to measure repeatedly and can typically be estimated at a lower cost than imaging studies. A number of circulating (plasma, serum or blood) biomarkers have been shown to have different levels in persons with dementia, typically due to AD, when such persons are compared with normal individuals and with persons with MCI. Some of these markers, but not all, are also associated with the risk of developing incident dementia in population studies – however, age-specific, sex-specific, ethnicity-specific, and disease-stage-specific effects appear to exist, and hence results are often equivocal. Variability in assays and intra-individual variation over time are additional challenges. Further, the identification of these biomarkers has, hitherto, been based on our existing knowledge regarding dementia/AD pathophysiology, and agnostic omics-based approaches are just emerging. However, several circulating biomarkers such as Aβ42 and Aβ40 (and their ratio), homocysteine, insulin (or insulin resistance) and leptin levels may be useful as part of a multimarker panel to predict, along with age, sex, APOE and perhaps other genetic variants, the risk of developing dementia. Over the next decade, a number of multimarker panels of five to 50 potential circulating dementia biomarkers will probably be developed and tested in various ongoing studies of preclinical and prodromal dementia and AD.

Note: This article is part of a series on Peripheral Biomarkers, edited by Douglas Galasko. Other articles in this series can be found at http://alzres.com/series/ biomarkers.
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