Electronic mechanisms for superconductivity are of conceptual interest on their own and allow, in principle, large superconducting (SC) transition temperatures since electronic energy scales easily exceed phononic energies. In addition to the copper-oxide based high-temperature superconductors, heavy fermion superconductors like UPt$_3$ and UBe$_{13}$, and the ruthenate compound Sr$_2$RuO$_4$, organic superconductors are candidates for a pairing mechanism which originates in strong electronic correlations in the normal state.

The organic molecular crystals $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$X (in the following abbreviated as $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$X), are very anisotropic quasi two-dimensional superconductors with transition temperatures up to around 10 K, depending on pressure and the ion X, which can, for example, be I$_3$ or Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br or Cu(SCN)$_2$ Br. Shubnikov - de Haas experiments have established the existence of a well defined Fermi surface in these materials [3], demonstrating the Fermi liquid character of the low energy quasi-particles. Below $T_c$, the low temperature $^{13}$C-NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate varies as $T^3$ [8], and the specific heat coefficient exhibits a magnetic field dependence $\gamma \propto B^{1/2}$ [9], and the thermal conductivity $\kappa$ is linear in $T$ [10], evidences for nodes of the SC gap function on the Fermi surface. All these results suggest that superconductivity is caused by a highly anisotropic and likely non-phononic pairing interaction. An electronic mechanism is also supported by the absence of an isotope shift of $T_c$ due to the C=C and C-S phonon modes, as observed by $^{34}$S and $^{13}$C=13C isotope substitution in the central part of the ET-molecule [11].

It has been pointed out earlier that many anomalous normal state properties of layered organics strongly suggest the important role of electronic correlations [10] [12] and that some, but not all, of these anomalies are in striking similarity to cuprate superconductors [13]. Particularly magneto-transport, the thermoelectric power, and the uniform magnetic susceptibility display similar behavior. Another resemblance is their proximity to an antiferromagnetic (AF) state, even though, in organics, frustration and strong in-plane anisotropies demonstrate differences between both systems. Particularly, due to the, now established, dominant $d_{x^2-y^2}$ order parameter component [4], high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates is believed to be dominated or entirely caused by an electronic mechanism. The spin fluctuation scenario [15], which predicted the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state, is a very promising approach, because it also offers an explanation for a variety of anomalous normal state properties of cuprates. The unfrustrated AF nearest neighbor coupling and the specific shape of the Fermi surface are important prerequisites for a spin-fluctuation induced SC state with $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry in cuprates. In contrast, in organics, the magnetic coupling is frustrated, due to the underlying anisotropic triangular lattice [13]. In addition, their Fermi surface consists of two disconnected pieces [2]. Therefore, it is not at all obvious whether, despite these numerous similarities to cuprates, spin fluctuations can bring about superconductivity in the organics.

In this paper I show that spin fluctuations can cause superconductivity with $T_c \approx 10 - 15$ K in $\kappa$-type organic molecular crystals [17] and discuss similarities between the inter-band coupling and the hot spot scenario of cuprates [15]. Gap nodes on the Fermi surface, accompanied by changes in sign of the order parameter, result because of zone boundary inter-band pairing combined with an intra-band pairing of a quasi one-dimensional (quasi 1-D) band. This is in agreement with NMR and specific heat measurements and should be observable in a corresponding phase shift experiment. Furthermore, the strong inter-band pairing interaction causes an opposite sign of the gap functions of the two bands.

Within a given conducting layer, the unit cell of $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$X is occupied with six electrons in the outer electronic shells and consists of four ET- molecules, which can be considered as single electronic degrees of freedom [15]. The four molecules are arranged in two dimers (see Fig. 1). Due to the large intra-dimer transfer integral, $t_1 \approx 250$ meV, the two bonding bands are completely occupied and shifted to binding energies $\sim 2t_1$.
below the Fermi energy. Only the two remaining anti-bonding bands cross the Fermi surface; those are half filled with the remaining two electrons.

In what follows, I consider only the two anti-bonding bands near the Fermi surface (within a hole picture) and neglect the bonding bands. Due to the strong intra-bands near the Fermi surface (within a hole picture) and the bonding bands cross the Fermi surface; those are half filled with the remaining two electrons.

For the investigation of an electronic pairing state, one has to determine, the momentum and frequency dependence of the pairing interaction which is responsible for the magnitude, $|\Delta_{ij}^\lambda|$, of the coordinate space components of the gap function $\Delta_{ij}^\lambda \propto \langle c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow}^\uparrow \rangle$ and the relative phase relations the $\Delta_{ij}^\lambda$ establish. The latter effect is determined by the maximum gain of condensation energy on the Fermi surface. In order to have a quantitative account for this interplay of magnetic correlations, Fermi surface shape and inter- and intra-band coupling, I use a self-consistent summation of bubble and ladder diagrams (fluctuation-exchange approximation [22–24]) within the Nambu-Gorkov description of the SC state. Both the pairing interaction and the SC gap function will be determined self consistently. Whether superconductivity occurs and whether the gap function possesses nodes on the Fermi surface will therefore be the results of our calculation.

The fluctuation-exchange approximation has been successfully used for the investigation of superconductivity in one band models relevant for high temperature superconductors [22, 23]. For completeness, I give the set of equations for the two band Hamiltonian, Eq. 1, within the SC state. The effective interactions of the system,
energy in Nambu representation, respectively, and can be determined from the Eliashberg equations,

\[ Y_\lambda(k) = \sum_{k',\lambda'} V_{\lambda',\lambda}(k-k') i\omega_n Z_{\lambda'}(k') / D_{\lambda'}(k') \]

\[ X_\lambda(k) = \sum_{k',\lambda'} V_{\lambda',\lambda}(k-k') (\varepsilon_{k',\lambda} + X_{\lambda'}(k')) / D_{\lambda'}(k') \]

\[ \Phi_\lambda(k) = \sum_{k',\lambda'} \left[ V_{\lambda',\lambda}^-(k-k') + U \right] \Phi_{\lambda'}(k') / D_{\lambda'}(k'), \tag{4} \]

with denominator \( D_\lambda(k) = (i\omega_n Z_\lambda(k))^2 - (\varepsilon_{k,\lambda} + X_{\lambda}(k))^2 - \Phi_{\lambda}(k)^2 \). The off-diagonal self energy, which signals superconductivity, determines the gap function \( \Delta_\lambda(k) = \Phi_{\lambda}(k) / Z_\lambda(k) \). After analytical continuation to the real axis, \( i\omega_n \rightarrow \omega + i0^+ \), this set of coupled equations is solved self consistently using the numerical framework described in Ref. 25.

In Fig. 1a, we show the unit cell and in Fig. 1b the corresponding Fermi surface, determined by \( \varepsilon_{k,\pm} \). The Fermi surface segments of the two bands appear as one quasi 1-D and one hole pocket part, as seen in magneto-oscillation experiments. 24. This Fermi surface generates 2kr intra-band transitions within the quasi 1-D band. In a real space picture, this corresponds to a coupling between dimers of the same type. In addition we expect strong couplings \( J_{\lambda,\lambda'} \propto t_{\lambda,\lambda'}^2 / U \) between dimers of different type. The numerical analysis of the above set of equations shows that this latter effect, which causes a magnetic inter-band coupling, dominates. Correspondingly, the inter-band effective interactions \( V_{q,\lambda}^\pm(\omega) \) are large compared to \( V_{q,\lambda}^{\pm}(\omega) = V_{q,\lambda}^{\pm}(\omega) \) and peaked at \( q = (\pm\pi, 0) \). This result is shown in Fig. 2, where we plot \( V_{q,\lambda}^{\pm}(\omega = 0) \) along the high symmetry lines of the Brillouin zone (BZ). Due to this strong inter-band coupling we expect a relative sign \(-1\) for the gap functions of the two bands: \( \Delta_{k,+} \approx -\Delta_{k,-} \). Furthermore, the pronounced anisotropy of the pairing interaction, peaked at \( q = (\pm\pi, 0) \), will cause a substantial momentum dependence of \( \Delta_{k,\pm} \).

Our numerical results for the anomalous self energy \( \Phi_{k,\pm}(\omega = 0) \propto \Delta_{k,\pm} \) are shown in Fig. 3. We find a stable SC solution, caused by AF spin fluctuations at a temperature \( T = 8 \text{ K} \) which exhibits zeroth of the gap-function along the lines \( k_{y,z} = 0 \). The leading harmonics of the gap-function are given by \( \Delta_{k,\pm} \approx \Delta_0^y \sin(k_y/2) \sin(k_z/2) + \Delta_0^z = -0.9 \text{ meV} \) and \( \Delta_0^y = 1.5 \text{ meV} \). For \( T = 15 \text{ K} \), within our numerical accuracy, the gap function vanishes, consistent with an estimate for the superconducting transition temperature of the order of \( 10 - 15 \text{ K} \), in fair agreement with the experiment. As shown in Fig. 1c, this gives gap nodes for both pieces of the Fermi surface. The symmetry of this superconducting state is \( d_{xy} \) which, in addition, is out of phase between the two parts of the Fermi surface.

The physical origin of this pairing state and the close resemblance to the \( d_{z^2-x^2} \) state in cuprates can be understood if, for illustrating purposes, one makes the assumption \( t_3 = t_{3'} \) for the hopping elements between different dimer types. Now, the two dimer types, which differ in their orientation of the molecule pairs, are indistinguishable and the unit cell reduces to that indicated by dotted lines in Fig. 2a. Assuming furthermore that the dimers are arranged on a square lattice, the BZ is doubled and rotated by \( \pi/4 \). The resulting Fermi surface is shown in Fig. 2b. One easily recognizes that the two branches of the Fermi surface of Fig. 2b correspond, after down-folding into the reduced BZ, to states sepa-
rated by the dashed line in Fig. 1b. Since in coordinate space the dominating AF coupling is between nearest neighbors, it causes peaks in the magnetic susceptibility around $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$ of the extended BZ. A quantitative calculation shows that the additional anisotropy due to the hopping element $t_2$ causes the peaks at $\pm (\pi, \pi)$ to differ from those at $\pm (\pi, -\pi)$. Nevertheless, $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$ are the dominating momentum transfers causing anomalies for hot quasiparticles, located on Fermi surface segments close the corresponding magnetic zone boundary (dashed line in Fig. 1b) [13, 20], which lead to the interband coupling in the original BZ of Fig. 1b. On the other hand, as discussed in detail in Refs. [4, 13], a momentum transfer $(\pm \pi, \pm \pi)$ causes a superconducting state with gap function $\Delta_k \propto \cos k_y - \cos k_z$. Rotation by $\pi/4$ and down folding into the reduced BZ of Fig. 1b yields $\Delta_{k, \pm} \propto \pm \sin (k_y/2) \sin (k_z/2)$ which covers the general behavior of the numerical results presented in Fig. 3. Thus, subject to the modifications brought about by the gap between the two branches of the Fermi surface and the additional anisotropy of the underlying lattice, the origin of the pairing state in cuprates and organics is very similar. Due to the important role played by hot momentum states for various normal state anomalies of cuprates [13, 20], we expect that they are responsible for normal state anomalies in organics as well.

In conclusion, I have shown that spin fluctuations are a promising candidate for the pairing interaction of the superconducting state of organic molecular crystals. I find a transition at 10 – 15 K to a superconducting state, in which the calculated occurrence of nodes on the Fermi surface is in agreement with various experimental observations. Furthermore, a close similarity of the origin of this pairing symmetry to the one in cuprate superconductors was demonstrated. Therefore it is tempting to conclude that the occurrence of two distinct classes of quasiparticles, hot and cold, depending on the strength of the effective interaction, shown to be vitally important for the normal state anomalies of cuprates, are essential for the corresponding unconventional behavior in organics as well. This would demonstrate that the spin fluctuation model provides a general scenario for systems characterized by antiferromagnetically short ranged and over-damped spin excitations, independent of the specific details causing these collective spin modes. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the self consistent weak-coupling approach, used in the present paper, does not cover strong coupling effects like the formation of a frustration-induced spin liquid state nor does it provide the desirable quantitative description of the low-frequency spin dynamics as reached in cuprates [13]; rather it is only expected to give qualitatively correct information about the occurrence and symmetry of a SC state.
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