Repertoire of Abs primed by bacteria in gnotobiotic mice
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Abstract
Innate immunity natural Abs (NAbs) execute a number of functions, including protection and surveillance. Despite active research, the stimuli that induce the formation of NAbs are still described only hypothetically. Here, we compared repertoires of anti-glycan Abs in the peripheral blood of mice that received per os various bacteria. The repertoires of Abs of mice primed in this way were compared using a microarray that included about 350 glycans, as well as 150 bacterial polysaccharides. Sterile mice did not possess anti-glycan Abs. Oral inoculation of a single strain or combination of two to four strains of bacteria, as well as putting the animals on short-term nutrition with non-sterile food, did not contribute significantly to the formation of Abs, whereas a single gavage of digested food of non-sterile mice induced the formation of a repertoire close to the natural ones. Interestingly, the priming with polysaccharide Ags (in a composition of the bacterial cell envelope), that is, dominant Ags of bacteria, led to the induction of Abs against typical glycans of mammalian glycoproteins and glycolipids (e.g. Abs of the ABH blood group system) that do not have a structural similarity to the polysaccharides. The results support the importance of early contact with a naïve immune system with microorganisms of the environment to form a normal NAb repertoire.
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Introduction
Innate immunity is of paramount importance at the early stages of mammals' development. The moment of birth becomes a starting point of immune system development because the conditions of the limited Agic stimulation in utero turn into an environment rich with Ags. Natural Abs (NAbs) as a part of innate immunity are present in the blood of mammals at an early age and demonstrate a stable level and repertoire throughout life.¹,²

The difference between NAbs and adaptive Abs, induced in response to Ag invasion, was first mentioned when the ABO blood group system was discovered.³ Since then some of the other NAbs directed to blood group Ags, xeno-Ags and malignant cells have been found and partly characterized.⁴–⁸

NAbs mainly belong to the IgM class, have low affinity, and are believed to be polyreactive immunoglobulins¹–⁷; nevertheless, some of them demonstrate precise specificity, as mentioned above for anti-A and anti-B. Similar to adaptive Abs, broadly reactive NAbs protect the organism, but have a number of distinctive features. NAbs are the first line of defense from infection because of their permanent presence in the organism (without canonical immunization) and
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can respond to foreign agents much faster. In mice, a 2- to 7-d period is required to produce high-specificity adaptive Abs – this is more than enough for infection to spread due to the high speed of viral and bacterial propagation. NAbs also perform the clearance of metabolites, i.e. utilization of cell degradation products (including the excessive or pathogenic proteins) and the elimination of transformed cells.17,18

NAbs formation is poorly understood, despite decades of research. Several key points have been suggested,12–16 particularly that NAbs appear to result from enterobacterial stimulation. Many factors can affect the formation of Abs directly or indirectly, including nutrition. Nutrition components are substrates for many microorganisms that are involved in the digestion of food and the synthesis of vital compounds. A normal microbiota is required for defense against pathogens by competing for limited resources. In the presence of normal microflora, which is relatively stable during the lifetime and contains more than 400 species, pathogens remain within the transient microflora.17,18

NAbs play an important role in childbirth, that is during the transition from relatively sterile intrauterine conditions to an environment rich in Ags. The first days and weeks of life represent a crucial window of opportunity for shaping the development of the gastrointestinal tract and immune system, as well as for the adult microbiota. The study of the immune system at the level of cells and immunoglobulins in humans, especially young children, is difficult not only because of the risk of harm to health, but also because of the complexity of immunity. A useful model, apparently, is that of sterile animals whose living conditions can be controlled and varied. Adjusted for obvious differences between the human and mouse organisms, the results can be informative and useful. Little information about NAbs in mice is available, despite the fact that NAbs’ producers (B1 cells) were first discovered and characterized in mice,19 particularly data on Abs directed to auto-Ags (DNA, proteins, membrane oxidation-caused epitopes).20–22 To our knowledge, a systematic study of the anti-glycan Abs repertoire in mice has not been carried out yet. Presumably, as in humans, the murine repertoire of anti-glycan Abs is broad, because the environmental conditions (microbiota and nutrition) are similar to those of humans.

In this study, we challenged sterile mice with bacteria per os, and examined the specificity and comprehensiveness of the raised repertoires of Abs that are believed to be NAbs.

**Materials and methods**

**Serum samples**

The repertoire of anti-glycan Abs in sera of male Swiss Webster mice at the age of 3–4 mo was studied. Animals were obtained by Cesarean section and housed in special isolators as described previously.23 Each group (see Supplement 1) of animals included four mice. Group #1: sterile conditions were kept; group #2: contact to *Escherichia coli* K-12 strain W3110; group #3: contact to *Bifidobacterium longum* strain NCC2705 (Nestec Ltd., Nestlé Research Center Lausanne, P.O. Box 44, CH-1000 Lausanne 26); group #4: contact to *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* strain VPI-5482 (ATCC 29148); group #5: contact to *Lactobacillus reuteri* strain SD2112 (ATCC 55730); group #6: contact to four strains of bacteria (*E. coli* strain W3110, *B. longum* strain NCC2705, *B. thetaiotaomicron* strain VPI-5482, *L. reuteri* strain SD2112); group #7: transferred to normal diet (standard granulated food); group #8: gavaged orally with a sample of non-sterile mice feces; group #9: conventional controls, CD-1 male mice fed with standard granulated food.

All mice from groups #1–6 were fed with sterilized food (autoclaved chow diet, LabDiet).

Mice from groups #2–5 were given a single 200-µl dose (10⁸ CFU/mouse) of an overnight bacterial culture. Conventional controls were born normally and reared with their mothers until 9–14 wk of age. Blood samples were obtained 28 d after the exposure in all experiments. Animals were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice as defined by the relevant local animal welfare bodies; all animal procedures were supervised and approved by Food for Health Science Centre, Lund University ethics committee for animal experimentation.

Environmental endotoxins were not tested in the material used to prime Abs.

**Microarray chips**

Microarrays were prepared from 341 different synthetic amine-functionalized glycans and 146 bacterial polysaccharides, using *N*-hydroxysuccinimide-derivatized glass slides (slide H, Schott-Nexterion, Mainz, Germany), as described previously.24 The glycan library included blood group Ags and some of the most frequently occurring terminal oligosaccharides, as well as core motifs of mammalian *N* - and *O*-linked glycoproteins and glycolipids, tumor-associated carbohydrate Ags and polysaccharides from pathogenic bacteria. Synthetic glycan structures (> 95% purity) are structurally the same as natural ones. Their structures, NMR data of polysaccharides and related references are available at http://csdb.glycoscience.ru/bacterial (Zelinsky Institute of Organic chemistry, Moscow, Russia). The glycans (50 mM solutions) and polysaccharides (10 µg/ml) were printed in 6–12 replicates. A complete list of printed glycans can be found in the supplementary material (see Supplement S2). Two chips from each batch were analyzed using standard Complex Immunoglobulin Preparation (CIP,
Immunogem, Russia) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml with biotinylated goat-anti-human Abs (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at a concentration of 10 μg/ml followed by Streptavidin Alexa Fluor 555 Conjugate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at a concentration of 1 μg/ml. Batches of printed microarrays with intra- and inter-chip correlation more than 0.9 were used.

After printing, microarrays were blocked for 90 min at 25°C with blocking buffer (100 mM boric acid, 25 mM ethanolamine plus 0.2% (v/v) Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), pH 8.5), which was then washed out with milli-Q grade water and dried by the air. Blocked microarrays were stored at –20°C for further analysis.

Before serum analysis, microarrays were incubated in an incubation chamber for 15 min at 25°C with PBS plus 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 (buffer 1) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and the buffer was then carefully removed from the microchip surface using Whatman® filter paper (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Mouse sera were diluted (1:30) in PBS plus 1% (w/v) BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 (buffer 2). Diluted serum was spread over the slide surface and incubated with agitation (32–36 rpm) at 37°C for 1.5 h. After a round of washing steps with buffer 1, buffer 3 (PBS with 0.001% v/v Tween-20) microarrays were then incubated for 1 h at 37°C (32–36 rpm) with goat anti-mouse IgG + IgM (H + L) conjugated to biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and the buffer was then carefully removed from the microchip surface using Whatman® filter paper (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Mouse sera were diluted (1:30) in PBS plus 1% (w/v) BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 (buffer 2). Diluted serum was spread over the slide surface and incubated with agitation (32–36 rpm) at 37°C for 1.5 h. After a round of washing steps with buffer 1, buffer 3 (PBS with 0.001% v/v Tween-20) microarrays were then incubated for 1 h at 37°C (32–36 rpm) with goat anti-mouse IgG + IgM (H + L) conjugated to biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and the buffer was then carefully removed from the microchip surface using Whatman® filter paper (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Results

Abs in the group of sterile mice unexposed to bacteria (group #1)

No anti-glycan Abs was found in a group of mice born and housed under sterile conditions and not exposed to any bacteria, except for one mouse in which serum significant titers of Abs directed to glycans containing Fucα1-2Gal (blood group H motif) were observed, namely Fucα1-2Galβ1-4(Fucα1-3)GlcNAcβ (LeX)3GalNAcβ1-3Galβ1-4Glcβ (LeXhexa)3GalNAcβ1-3(Fucα1-2)Galβ1-4GlcNAcβ (LeXhexa)3GalNAcβ1-3(Fucα1-2)Galβ1-4GlcNAc (A type 4), fucosylated polysaccharide from E. coli O127 and also L-rhamnose (monosaccharide) (data not shown, see Supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

Abs in groups of mice exposed to a single bacterial strain

In the group orally inoculated with E. coli W3110 (group #2), Abs directed to Galα1-3Galβ1-4(Fucα1-3)GlcNAcβ(αGalLeX)3 were detected in all mice; in one mouse, Abs were observed to Fucα1-2Galβ1-4GlcNAcβ (H type 2) and polysaccharide from Proteus mirabilis O31 (data not shown). In the group orally inoculated with B. longum NCC2705 (group #3), Abs were detected to the ABH blood group Ags, and (a high titer) to GlcAα1-6Gal, αGalLeX and some polysaccharides (Table 1).

In the group orally inoculated with B. thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 (ATCC 29148) (group #4), Abs were observed in all animals and were mainly directed to LaediNAc disaccharide and its O-sulfates. There was a high titer of Abs to P. mirabilis O31 (Table 1).

In the group orally inoculated with L. reuteri SD2112 (ATCC 55730) (group #5), Abs were observed to the blood group ABH Ags, L-rhamnose, glycans containing the GalNAcα-core, O-sulfated N-acetyl-lactosamine and several polysaccharides (Table 1).

In the group of animals orally inoculated with a combination of four strains of bacteria (group #6), Abs were detected to the blood group A Ags, L-rhamnose and polysaccharides E. coli O58, O127 and O148, P. mirabilis O13, and Shigella dysenteriae type 7 (Table 1). It was found that the total number of positive Ags in this group is comparable to cases where only one bacterial strain was gavaged. Thus, such a stimulus is apparently insufficient for the formation of a mature repertoire.
Table 1. Specificity of carbohydrates targeting natural Abs in the group of mice exposed to B. longum, L. reuteri, B. thetaiotaomicron, a mixture of four strains of bacteria (B. longum, L. reuteri, B. thetaiotaomicron and E. coli) and mice put to normal food. The list of glycans with binding signals above 1500 relative fluorescence units (RFU) is presented.

| Glycan                                      | Number of mice showing significant values of RFU | Glycan                                      | Number of mice showing significant values of RFU |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Group of mice exposed to B. longum NCC 2705  |                                                  | Group of mice exposed to a mixture of four strains of bacteria (E. coli W3110, B. longum NCC 2705, B. thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 (ATCC 29148), L. reuteri SD 2112 (ATCC 55730)) |                                                  |
| GlcA[1-6]Gal[β]                             | 1                                               | GalNAc[β]                                   | 3                                               |
| Gal[1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]        | 1                                               | Rha[α]                                      | 1                                               |
| Gal[1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]        | 1                                               | GalNAc[β]                                   | 2                                               |
| GalNAc[α1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]    | 1                                               | (6-O-Bn-Gal[β1-3](6-O-Bn)GlcNAc[β])         | 2                                               |
| E. coli OS8                                  | 1                                               | GlcA[β1-6]Gal[β]                           | 1                                               |
| E. coli O127                                 | 2                                               | (GalNAc[β-PEG2]3-[β]-Asp-Asp)               | 3                                               |
| E. coli O148                                 | 1                                               | GalNAc[α1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-4]GalNAc[β]   | 1                                               |
| Sh. dysenteriae type 1                      | 1                                               | GalNAc[α1-4](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-4]GalNAc[β]   | 2                                               |
| Group of mice exposed to L. reuteri SD 2112  |                                                  | GalNAc[α1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]   | 3                                               |
| Rha[α]                                       | 1                                               | E. coli O127                                | 3                                               |
| 3,4-O-Su2-Gal[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]                | 3                                               | E. coli O148                                | 1                                               |
| GlcNAc[β1-6](GlcNAc[β1-4])GalNAc[α]          | 1                                               | P. mirabilis O13                            | 1                                               |
| GalNAc[α1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]    | 1                                               | Sh. dysenteriae type 7                      | 1                                               |
| (GlcNAc[β1-3]3,4,6-GalNAc[α]                 | 1                                               | Group of mice exposed to B. thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 (ATCC 29148) |                                                  |
| GalNAc[α1-3](Fuc[α1-2])Gal[β1-3]GalNAc[α]    | 1                                               |                                               |                                                 |
| E. coli O127                                 | 3                                               | GalNAc[β1-4]GalNAc[β]                      | 1                                               |
| E. coli O148                                 | 1                                               | GalNAc[β1-4](6-O-Su)GlcNAc[β]               | 1                                               |
| P. mirabilis O28                             | 1                                               | 3-O-Su-GalNAc[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]               | 1                                               |
| P. mirabilis O31                             | 1                                               | 6-O-Su-GalNAc[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]               | 1                                               |
| Sh. dysenteriae type 1                      | 1                                               | 3,6-O-Su2-GalNAc[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]             | 3                                               |
| Group of mice put to non-sterile food        |                                                  | 6-O-Su-GalNAc[β1-4](6-O-Su)GlcNAc[β]        | 2                                               |
| 3,4-O-Su2-Gal[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]                | 1                                               | GlcNAc[β1-6](GlcNAc[β1-4])GalNAc[α]         | 1                                               |
| 3-O-Su-GalNAc[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]                | 1                                               | GalNAc[β1-4](Fuc[α1-6])GlcNAc[β]            | 1                                               |
| 3,6-O-Su2-GalNAc[β1-4]GlcNAc[β]              | 2                                               | (GlcNAc[β1-4])6                           | 1                                               |
| E. coli OS8                                  | 1                                               | E. coli O127                                | 1                                               |
| E. coli O127                                 | 1                                               | P. mirabilis O31                            | 3                                               |
| P. aeruginosa O4ac                           | 1                                               |                                               |                                                 |

In the group of animals fed with non-sterile food (group #7), Abs were observed to O-sulfated LN, O-sulfated LactiNAc and to polysaccharides E. coli OS8, E. coli O127 and P. aeruginosa O4ac (Table 1). The repertoire of the rise in Abs appears to be poor, similar to previous cases, where only one or a limited number of bacteria were gavaged.

In the group of mice gavaged only once with digested food of mice reared under laboratory conditions (group #8), a wide repertoire of anti-glycan Abs and the highest Abs titers were observed. Table 2 presents glycans to which Abs were detected, totaling 54 items. This list includes Abs found in the aforementioned groups of animals (ABH blood group Ags, O-sulfated LN and LactiNAc, L-rhamnose) and a variety of other glycans. The number of polysaccharide-binders is also much higher than in the case of groups gavaged with one or four bacteria strains (Table 1).

In the group of non-sterile mice without any additional challenging (group #9), anti-glycan Abs directed to 67 oligosaccharides and 26 polysaccharides were detected, that is, the number of primed Abs was not significantly higher than in the previous group, although the repertoires were dramatically different (see Supplement 3 and 4). Table 2 demonstrates glycans to which Abs were detected in at least three animals.
Table 2. Specificity of carbohydrates targeting natural Abs in the group of mice orally gavaged with a sample of non-sterile mice feces (left columns, ligands positive for at minimum two of four mice are shown; total amount of glycans to which Abs were detected is 54, see Supplementary Table 1) and non-sterile, conventional mice (right columns, only ligands positive for at minimum three of four mice are shown; total amount of glycans to which Abs were detected is 93, see Supplementary Table 1). The list of glycans with binding signals above 1500 relative fluorescence units (RFU) is presented.

| Glycan/bacterial strain | Number of mice showing significant values of RFU | Glycan/bacterial strain | Number of mice showing significant values of RFU |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| GlcNAc(1→4)GlcNAc| 3 | 3-0-Su-GlcNAcβ | 4 |
| Galα1–3(Fucα1–2)Galβ1–3GalNAcα | 2 | 6-Bn-Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 4 |
| Galα1–3Galβ1–4(Fucα1–3)GlcNAcβ | 2 | (6-0-Bn-Galβ1–3(6-0-Bn)GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| GalNAcα1–3(Fucα1–2)Galβ1–3GalNAcβ | 3 | Fucα1–2Galβ1–3GalNAcα | 4 |
| GalNAcα1–3(Fucα1–2)Galβ1–3GalNAcβ | 2 | Galβ1–4Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| (GlcNAcβ1–4)6 | 3 | Galβ1–3GlcNAcα1–6Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| S. enterica O13 | 2 | Galβ1–3GlcNAcα1–6Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| S. enterica O67 | 3 | Galβ1–4GlcNAcα1–6Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 4 |
| S. enterica O41 | 2 | Galβ1–4GlcNAcα1–6Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| E. coli O58 | 4 | GalNAcα1–3(Fucα1–2)Galβ1–3GalNAcα | 4 |
| E. coli O127 | 4 | GalNAcα1–3(Fucα1–2)Galβ1–3GalNAcβ | 3 |
| E. coli O148 | 3 | Fucα1–2Galβ1–3GlcNAcα1–3Galβ1–4GlcNAcβ | 3 |
| E. coli O14 | 2 | S. enterica O13 | 4 |
| E. coli O19ab | 2 | E. coli O58 | 4 |
| P. aeruginosa O9ad | 2 | E. coli O127 | 4 |
| Sh. dysenteriae type 1 | 3 | E. coli O14 | 3 |
| Sh. flexneri type 6 | 2 | Sh. dysenteriae type 1 | 3 |

Discussion

Sterile mice allow us to study the genesis of natural Abs and directly observe their formation as a response to stimuli that mimic natural ones. The printed glycan array technology revealed that, as expected, in mice housed in conditions excluding living bacteria (group #1), no Abs was found against oligosaccharides and bacterial polysaccharides, whereas serum of mice housed in natural environment (group #9) contains Abs against at least 93 glyco-ligands (in the ~500-ligand array). It was found that sterile mice acquire an almost complete repertoire of anti-glycan NAbs if they once were gavaged with a ‘library’ of Ags representing the full range of mice microbiota (group #8). A significant number of specificities thus primed shared the regular repertoire of non-sterile animals (Table 3). When mice were inoculated by the oral route with only one or a few individual bacteria strains, the number of emerged Abs was small.

The result of exposure to a combination of four bacteria was not the “sum” of four single-bacteria repertoires (groups #2–6). Notably, specificity of the Abs does not correspond to the chemical structure of Ags in the composition of the bacteria; indeed, Abs directed to ABH blood group Ags are observed, although there is no bacterial blood group related glycoproteins or glycolipids, and their polysaccharides do not contain closely or even remotely related structures. And vice versa: LOS of E. coli W3110, used for the oral inoculation, has the (complete) structure GlcNAcβ1-7Hep1-6Glc1-2Glcα1-3(Galα1-6)Glcβ1-inner core (in which Hep stands for L-glycero-D-manno-heptopyranose, or other variants, that is, Hepα1-6Glc1-2Glcα1-3(Galα1-6)Glcβ1-inner core and Glcα1-2Glcα1-3(Galα1-6)Glcβ1-inner core). This bacterium does not promote production of Abs to partial oligosaccharides of its full structure such as GlcNAcβ1-X or Galα1-6Glcβ.

The priming of Abs formation in animals having standard nutrition (group #7) leads to the appearance of a poor repertoire (about 10 glycans) of Abs; furthermore, these are not in the top-rank Abs found in non-sterile animals (group #9) (Table 1). This observation
Table 3. Glycans that bound Abs in the sera of non-sterile mice as well as mice orally gavaged with feces of non-sterile, conventional mice.

| Glycans                          | Abs Reaction |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Rhaa                            |              |
| ManNAcβ                         |              |
| GlcNAcβ1-3GalNAcβ               |              |
| GlcNAcβ1-4GlcNAcβ               |              |
| GlcNAcβ1-6GalNAcββ             |              |
| GlcNAcβ1-4Galβ1-6GlcNAcβ        |              |
| (GlcNAcβ)1-3-3,4,6-GalNAcβ       |              |
| GalNAcβ1-3(Fucα1-2)Galβ1-3GalNAcβ (blood group A) |              |
| GalNAcβ1-3(Fucα1-2)Galβ1-3GlcNAcβ (blood group A) |              |
| E. coli O14: Galα1-2Glcα1-2(Galβ1-4)Glcα1-3Glcα1-inner core-lipid A |              |
| E. coli O58: 4(R-Lac2-3Rhap2Acβ1-3)Manβ1-4Manβ1-3GalNAcβ |              |
| E. coli O127: 2Fucα3(65%)Acβ4(35%)Acβ1-2Galβ1-3GlcNAcβ1-3GalNAcβ |              |
| E. coli O148: 3Rhaa1-3Rhaa1-2Glcα1-3GlcNAcβ |              |
| P. mirabilis O13: 4(Lys2-6)Galα1-4Galα1-3(Ser2-6)Galα4Acα1-3GlcNAcβ |              |
| P. mirabilis O31: 3(QuinNAcβ1-3GlcNAcβ1-6(S-Lac-1-3)GlcNAcβ |              |
| S. enterica O13: 2Fucα1-2Galβ1-3GlcNAcβ1-3GlcNAcβ | (blood group H) |
| Sh. boydii type 17: 6(R-Lac2-4)Glcβ1-4GalNAcβ1-3GlcNAcβ |              |
| Sh. dysenteriae type 1: 3Rhaa1-3Rhaa1-2Glcα1-3GlcNAcβ |              |
| Sh. dysenteriae type 7: 3GalNAcβ3Ac6NH2α1-4GalNAcβ1-3GlcNAcβ |              |
| Sh. flexneri type 6b: 2Rhaα3(60%)Acβ4(30%)Acβ1-2Rhaα1-4Galαβ1-3GlcNAcβ |              |

shows the insufficiency of that set of antigenic stimuli that is present in the nutrition components as such, as well as those belonging to the killed (autoclaved) bacteria – if they were present in the food. Unexpectedly, no identical Ab repertoires were observed for animals orally inoculated with identical bacteria in the same conditions (groups #2–6); this is true for all studied groups, including mice gavaged with feces (group #8), that is, when we observed the highest number of NAb.

This is an astonishing phenomenon. Apparently, apart from the dictates of both identical genetics and the same conditions, the repertoire of the Abs partially has a stochastic component. Notably, this is consistent with previous data demonstrating non-identical NAb repertoires in α-galactosyltransferase-knock-out BALB/c mice housed together,27,28 but is contradictory to the publication in which three Ags were reported to ostensibly have primed their cognate NAb.

However, the immature repertoire can be different in certain animals (individuals), and here the principle ‘first come first served’ as regards to the encounter of B1 cells with bacteria works. The lack of compliance between specificity of challenged Abs to the structure of administered Ags is easily explained in terms of the key role of bacteria in the formation of B1 cell immunity. Antigen mimicry is well known for B2 cell immunity,30–32 i.e. for the production of specific high affinity Abs. B1 cells generally produce a polyreactive low affinity Abs, therefore the phenomenon of mimicry is more “easily allowed” and thus expected to be more common. In particular, human NAb against blood group A and B antigen bind to bacterial polysaccharides having no any similarity to these antigens, a large number of other examples can be found in the literature.

Why are B1 cells primed with bacterial but not primed with self Ags at an early stage of development? The role of TLRs (of B1 lymphocytes) in establishment of natural Abs is well documented;34 we believe that the trigger function of bacteria in the genesis of NAb is stipulated by co-localization of Agic determinant with TLR ligands – this promotes the formation of an immuno-synapse35 followed by activation of the B1 cell. Before birth, the organism’s ability to prime B1 cells with bacteria is very limited, so it has virtually no NAb (or there are none at all, as is the case with the model of sterile animals). Immediately after birth, active occupation of intestine by microorganisms favors the formation of the NAb repertoire, including Abs to bacteria, self-proteins, allo- and xeno-Ags, tumor-associated epitopes, anionic polymers (DNA, GAGs) and other Ags. In other words, we believe that the total repertoire of NAb is provided exclusively by microorganisms from a normal microbiota. Although it is not known how many orally administered antigens reach the mouse digestive tract and pass the mucin/endothelial cells barriers to reach immunocompetent cells, there are assumptions according to which we could suggest that M cells (in Payer’s patches) evaluate the intestinal lumen environment and transport bacteria through the epithelial barrier to sub-mucosal macrophages and dendritic cells, which then act as antigen-presenting cells. This process, called ‘bacterial translocation’, is well described in sterile animals, as well as premature born mice and children, characterized by underdevelopment or imperfection of the mucin layer.35–41

In our work, we can assume (but not affirm) that all or a major part of the anti-glycan Abs we identified are related to NAb, because of two main reasons that we consider most important. First, Abs emerge as a response to oral contact with a source of a potential Ag in a relatively short time, which is unlikely with an adaptive immune response. Second, we see a structural discrepancy between the specificity of the observed Abs and Ags, whereas in the case of adaptive Abs this structural similarity underlies the specific immune response.

The following conclusions can be drawn.

1. Sterile animals, reliably deprived of the possibility of contact with microorganisms, have little or no anti-glycan Abs.
2. Contact with a small number of bacterial strains belonging to the normal microflora stimulates (primers) in mice production of anti-glycan Abs; their level and variety are dramatically inferior to Abs of mice living under standard non-sterile conditions.

3. An almost comprehensive repertoire of anti-glycan NAbs is formed as a result of single gavage of digested food of non-sterile animals.

4. The observed priming with bacteria leads to the appearance of Abs directed not only to bacterial polysaccharides, but also to typical glycans of mammalian glycoproteins/glycolipids having no distinct structural similarity with bacterial polysaccharides. Specifying the hypothesis of Springer et al.\textsuperscript{12} (i.e. the appearance of NAbs as a result of the structural similarity of bacterial polysaccharides with mammalian glycans), we explain this phenomenon not by the direct structural similarity of ABH oligosaccharides and bacterial polysaccharides, but by molecular mimicry when the epitope is a spatial glycotopote, a protein epitope or a molecular pattern.

Acknowledgments
The work of N Khashbuiullina, N Shilova and N Bovin was supported by grant #14-14-00131 of the Russian Science Foundation. The work of Yu Knirel on isolation and characterization of bacterial polysaccharides was supported by grant #14-14-01042 of the Russian Science Foundation.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests
The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding
The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD
Nailia R Khasbiullina  http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7504-6650
Nicolai V Bovin  http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8669-4477

References
1. Lutz HU. Naturally occurring antibodies (NAbs). Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 750. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012, pp.27–43.
2. Mouhot L, Lacroix-Desmazes S, Nobrega A, et al. The self-reactive Ab repertoire of normal human serum IgM is acquired in early childhood and remains conserved throughout life. J Immunol 1996; 144(3): 243–251.
3. Boyden SV. Natural Abs and the immune response. Adv Immunol 1966; 5: 1–28.
4. Spalter SH, Kaveri SV, Bonnin E, et al. Normal human serum contains natural Abs reactive with autologous ABO blood group Ags. Blood 1999; 93(12): 4418–4424.
5. Cohen I. AutoAb repertoires, natural biomarkers, and system controllers. Trends Immunol 2013; 34(12): 620–625.
6. Cramer DV. Natural Abs and the host immune responses to xenografts. Xenotransplantation 2000; 7(2): 83–92.
7. Shoenefeld Y and Touibi E. Protective autoimmune role in homeostasis, clinical importance, and therapeutic potential. Arthritis Rheum 2005; 52(9): 2599–2606.
8. Vas J, Grönwall C and Silverman GJ. Fundamental roles of the innate-like repertoire of natural Abs in immune homeostasis. Front Immunol 2013; 4: 4.
9. Casali P and Schettino EW. Structure and function of natural Abs. In: Potter M and Rose NR (eds) Immunology of silicones. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology 210. Springer, 1996, p.167.
10. Holodick NE, Rodrı́guez-Zhurbenko N and Hernández A-M. Defining natural Abs. Front Immunol 2017; 8: 872.
11. Boes M. Role of natural and immune IgM Abs in immune response. Mol Immunol 2000; 37(18): 1141–1149.
12. Springer GF, Horton RE and Forbes M. Origin of antihuman blood group B agglutinins in germfree chicks. J Exp Med 1959; 110(2): 221–244.
13. Khashbuiullina N and Bovin N. Hypotheses of the origin of natural Abs: a glycobiologist’s opinion. Biochemistry (Moscow) 2015; 80: 820–835.
14. Panda S and Ding JL. Natural Abs bridge innate and adaptive immunity. J Immunol 2015; 194(1): 13–20.
15. Herzenberg L. Layered evolution in the immune system: a view from history. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2015; 1362(1): 1–5.
16. Granato A, Chen Y and Wesemann D. Primary immunoglobulin repertoire development: time and space matter. Curr Opin Immunol 2015; 33: 126–131.
17. Hooper LV. Bacterial contributions to mammalian gut development. Trends Microbiol 2004; 12(3): 129–134.
18. Lloyd-Price J, Maharukar A, Rahnavard G, et al. Strains, functions and dynamics in the expanded Human Microbiome Project. Nature 2017; 551(7679): 256.
19. Hayakawa K and Hardy RR. Development and function of B-1 cells. Curr Opin Immunol 2000; 12: 346–353.
20. Chou M-Y, Fogelstrand L, Hartvigsen K, et al. Oxidation-specific epitopes are dominant targets of innate natural Abs in mice and humans. J Clin Invest 2009; 119(5): 1335–1349.
21. Bos NA, Kimura H, Meeuwsen CG, et al. Serum immunoglobulin levels and naturally occurring Abs against carbohydrate Ags in germ-free BAL/c mice fed chemically defined ultrafilter diet. Eur J Immunol 1980; 19: 2325–2339.
22. Haury MD, Sundblad A, Grandien A, et al. The repertoire of serum IgM in normal mice is largely independent of external Agic contact. Eur J Immunol 1997; 27(6): 1557–1563.
23. Arvidsson C, Hallén A and Böcked F. Generating and analyzing germ-free mice. Curr Protoc Mouse Biol 2012; 2: 307–316.
24. Blix O, Head S, Mondala T, et al. Printed covalent glycan array for ligand profiling of diverse glycan binding proteins. Proc Nail Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 17033–17038.
25. de Boer AR, Hokke CH, Deelder AM and Wurher M. Serum Ab screening by surface plasmon resonance using a natural glycan microarray. Glycoconj J 2008; 25(1): 75–84.
26. Schroeder HW Jr. Similarity and divergence in the development and expression of the mouse and human Ab repertoires. Dev Comp Immunol 2006; 30(1-2): 119–135.
27. Bello-Gil D, Khashbuiullina NR, Shilova NV, et al. Repertoire of BAL/c mouse natural anti-carbohydrate Abs: mice vs. humans difference, and otherness of individual animals. Front Immunol 2017; 8: 1449.
28. Bos NA, Meeuwsen CG, Van Wijngaarden P, et al. B cell repertoire in adult Ag-free and conventional neonatal BAL/c mice. Eur J Immunol 1989; 19(10): 1817–1822.
29. Hennings L, Artaud C, Jousheghany F, et al. Carbohydrate mimetic peptides augment carbohydrate-reactive immune responses in the absence of immune pathology. Cancers 2011; 3: 4151–4169.
30. Lekakh IV, Bovin NV, Bezyaeva GP, et al. Natural hidden autoAbs react with negatively charged carbohydrates and xenoAg Bdi. Biochemistry (Moscow) 2001; 66: 205–210.
31. Goldberg JB. Genetics of bacterial polysaccharides. CRC Press, Science, 1991.
32. Seon-ah HS, Tsuji M, Suzuki K, et al. Regulation of B1 cell migration by signals through Toll-like receptors. J Exp Med 2006; 203(11): 2541–2550.
33. Browne EP. Regulation of B-cell responses by Toll-like receptors. Immunology 2012; 136(4): 370–379.
34. Yuseff MI and Lennon-Duménil AM. How B cells capture, process and present Ags: a crucial role for cell polarity. Nat Rev Immunol 2013; 13(7): 475–486.
35. Sherman MP. New Concepts of microbial translocation in the neonatal intestine: mechanisms and prevention. Clin Perinatol 2010; 37(3): 565–579.
36. Berg RD. Bacterial translocation from the gastrointestinal tract. Adv Exp Med Biol 1999; 473: 11–30.
37. Velich A, Yang W, Heyer J, et al. Colorectal cancer in mice genetically deficient in the mucin Muc2. Science 2002; 295: 1726–1729.
38. Sommer F and Bäckhed F. The gut microbiota: masters of host development and physiology. Nat Rev Microbiol 2013; 11(4): 227–238.
39. Abreu MT. Toll-like receptor signalling in the intestinal epithelium: how bacterial recognition shapes intestinal function. Nat Rev Immunol 2010; 10(2): 131–144.
40. Zareie M, Johnson-Henry K, Jury J, et al. Probiotics prevent bacterial translocation and improve intestinal barrier function in rats following chronic psychological stress. Gut 2006; 55: 1553–1560.
41. Emami CN, Petrosyan M, Giuliani S, et al. Role of the host defense system and intestinal microbial flora in the pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2009; 10(5): 407–417.