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Abstract

A roving survey was conducted during Kharif 2017 and 2018 in five major rice growing ecosystems viz., Kabini and Kaveri, Hilly upland, Thungabhadra and Upper Krishna, Thunga and Bhadra and Coastal belts to know the incidence and severity of grain discolouration in Karnataka. Grain discolouration was recorded in all the samples collected from major rice growing ecosystems. The mean per cent grain discolouration and mean per cent disease index during Kharif 2017 was 12.87 and 12.04 and Kharif 2018 was 13.24 and 12.27 respectively. Both the years, the maximum percent incidence of disease 14.95 and 15.47 and per cent disease index 13.64 and 13.94 respectively was observed in Hilly upland followed by coastal belt 14.33 and 15.00 and 13.04 and 13.67 respectively, whereas the least incidence 11.23 and 11.85 and severity 10.22 and 11.03 was noticed in Thunga and Bhadra ecosystem.
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Introduction

India has a long history of rice cultivation. Globally, it stands first in rice area (42.41 million ha) and second in rice production (166.5 million tonnes) (FAO, 2018) after China. It contributes 21.6 per cent to global rice production. Within the country, rice occupies one-quarter of total cropped area, contributes about 40 to 43 per cent of total food grain production and continues to play a vital role in the national food and livelihood security system.

Total area under rice in Karnataka is 1.42 million ha with a production of 3.6 million tones accounting a productivity of 2.62 tonnes per ha. The grain yield per unit area is reducing due to various factors, among which diseases and abiotic stresses are major causes...
for low yield. Rice crop is attacked by more than 76 fungi, bacteria, viruses and mycoplasma like organism causing various diseases in the field. Discolouration has been observed in almost all part of the world wherever rice grown. It was earlier considered to be a minor disease but now gaining more importance due to its severity in tropical rice growing areas.

The disease is distributed throughout Asia, Africa and America. In many regions of India, the early and medium duration rice cultivars grown particularly in wet seasons are generally exposed to high humidity and warm environmental conditions during flowering and post flowering stages which significantly favours the disease incidence.

The fungi reported to be associated with discolouration of grains are Curvularia lunata (highest 35.30% in Tungabhadra Project-TBP and Upper Krishna Project-UKP areas of North Eastern Karnataka), Alternaria alternate, Fusarium moniliforme, Bipolaris oryzae, Alternaria padwickii, Pyricularia oryzae, Fusarium graminearum, Nigrospora oryzae, Epicoccum nigrum, Phoma sorghina, Dichotomophthoropsis nymphacearum and Heterosporium echinunulatum etc. (Sumangala and Patil, 2009a).

Rice yield loss due to pests and diseases have been noticed more seriously than ever before. Grain discolouration is considered as “One of the most important threats to rice cultivation” in Karnataka.

The incidence of disease and associated organisms confined to rice crop, it varies with environmental conditions viz., and moisture, temperature, and relative humidity were prevailing in the location. These factors vary with one ecosystem to another ecosystem. In Karnataka, the rice crop is being cultivated in different ecosystem viz., Kabini and Kaveri, Hilly, Tungabhadra and Krishna, Thunga and Bhadra, and Costal. To know the incidence and severity of grain discolouration in different ecosystem the roving survey has been carried out during Kharif 2017 and Kharif 2018.

Materials and Methods

Seed samples were collected through roving surveys conducted during Kharif 2017 and Kharif 2018 from farmer’s fields of Mysore, Mandya, Chamarajanagara, Kodagu, Uttarkannada, Yadagir, Raichur, Koppal, Bellary, Shivamogga, Chikkamagaluru, Davanagere and Dakshina Kannada districts representing five rice ecosystem.

In each district, one or two taluks, in each taluk, three village and in each village, three plots were surveyed and are listed in the Table 1.Randomly 10 representative panicles from different fields at each location comprising of cultivars were collected, labeled and packed in polyethylene bags and were stored at room temperature (30 ± 2°C) for further investigation.

The disease was scored by using 0-9 scale given by IRRI, 2013.

| Score | Description               |
|-------|---------------------------|
| 0     | No symptom of discolouration |
| 1     | Less than 1% discolouration |
| 3     | 1 to 5% discolouration     |
| 5     | 6 to 25% discolouration    |
| 7     | 26 to 50% discolouration   |
| 9     | 51% to 100% discolouration |

Per cent disease index was worked out by using the formula

\[
PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of individual diseased grain ratings}}{\text{No. of grains assessed} \times \text{Maximum grade}} \times 100
\]
Grain discolouration (%) was calculated by using the formula,

\[
\text{Grain discolouration} = \frac{\text{No. of panicles affected}}{\text{Total no. of panicles observed}} \times 100
\]

**Results and Discussion**

Survey and surveillance studies on grain discolouration helps to identify the “hot spot” of grain discolouration disease in rice from five major rice growing ecosystems of Karnataka. The roving survey was conducted to assess incidence and severity of grain discolouration during *Kharif* 2017 and 2018 in different taluks of Mysuru, Mandya, Chamarajanagara, Kodagu, Uttara Kannada, Yadagir, Raichur, Koppal, Ballari, Shivamogga, Chikkamagaluru, Davanagere and Dakshina Kannada districts representing major five rice ecosystems *viz.*, Kabini and Kaveri, Hilly upland, Thungabhadra and Upper Krishna, Thunga, Bhadra and Coastal belts of Karnataka. The details of farmer’s field surveyed are presented in Table 1 and 2 and were graded as healthy or discoloured grains for further examination.

During *Kharif* 2017, five major rice growing ecosystems surveyed, the incidence of grain discolouration and severity of disease was prevalent in all the five ecosystems with mean percent disease incidence ranged from 11.23 to 14.95 and mean per cent disease index ranged from 10.22 to 13.64, respectively (Table 1, 2, Fig.1). while the, maximum percent incidence of disease (14.95%) and per cent disease index (13.64%) was observed in hilly upland followed by Costal belt (14.33%) and (13.04%), whereas the least incidence (11.23%) and severity (10.22%) was noticed in Thunga and Bhadra ecosystems. The survey data are presented in (Table 1, 2, Fig.1). Among 13 districts surveyed across ecosystems, the incidence and severity of grain discolouration was observed in all districts. The mean per cent disease incidence ranged from 10.29 to 15.70 and mean per cent disease index ranged from 8.64 to14.56. The highest per cent grain discolouration was observed in Kodagu district (15.70%) followed by Dakshina Kannada district (14.44%) and least incidence was noticed in Davanagere district (10.29%).

While the disease severity was maximum in Kodagu district (14.56%) followed by Dakshina Kannada (13.49%) and least percent disease index (8.64%) was observed in Ballari district. The detailed data are presented in (Table 1,).

Out of 69 villages surveyed, highest incidence of disease was in Kankanadi village (17.33%) in Mangaluru taluk followed by in Mudbidari (16.00%) in Mangaluru taluk, Hudikeri village in taluk, Bashi village in Sirsi taluk, Madhuvinahalli village in Kollegal taluk, Devarahalli village in Maddur taluk and Basapattana village in Ballari taluk.

The least incidence Mallanayankanakatte village (8.00%) in Mandya taluk and Kondajji village in Harihara taluk, whereas highest per cent disease index (16.29%) in Hudikeri village in Ponnampet and Kankanadi village in Mangaluru taluk followed by (14.81%) in Mudbidari in Mangaluru taluk, Kumata village in Uttara Kannada, Basapattana village in Ballari taluk and Gorebal village in Sindhanoor taluk. The detailed data are presented in (Table 1,).

During *Kharif* 2018, The incidence of grain discolouration and severity of disease was prevalent in all five ecosystems with mean percent incidence ranged from 11.85 to 15.47 and mean per cent disease index ranged from 11.03 to 13.94, respectively (Table 1, 2, Fig.2). The highest percent incidence (15.47%) and per cent disease index (13.94%)
was observed in Hilly upland followed by Costal belt (15.00%) and (13.67%), whereas the least incidence (11.85%) and severity (11.03) was noticed in Thunga and Bhadra ecosystem. The data are presented in (Table 1, 2, Fig.2).

Totally thirteen districts surveyed, the incidence and severity of grain discoloration was observed in all districts. The mean per cent disease incidence ranged from 10.95 to 16.14 and mean per cent disease index ranged from 10.31 to 14.56. The highest per cent discoloration (16.14%) was observed in Kodagu district followed by Dakshina Kannada district (15.23%) and least incidence was noticed in Davanagere district (10.95%).

While the disease severity was maximum in Dakshina Kannada district (14.56%) followed by Kodagu district (14.31%) and least percent disease index (10.31%) was observed in Davanagere district and data are presented in (Table 1, ).

Out of 69 villages surveyed, highest incidence of disease (17.77%) was in Kankanadi village in Mangaluru taluk and Srimangala village in Ponnampet taluk followed by (16.00%) in Bashi village in Sirsi taluk, Gowdahalli village in Kollegal taluk, Kumata village in Uttara Kannada taluk, Suttur village in Mysuru taluk and Udupi village in Udupi taluk and least incidence in Kondajji village in Harihara taluk and highest per cent disease index (15.55%) in Udupi village in Udupi taluk followed by (14.81%) in Kundapur village in Udupi taluk, Kumata village in Uttara Kannada, Bashi village in Sirsi taluk and Guttiganur village in Ballari taluk and least percent disease index (10.31%) was observed in Agatahalli village in Pandavapur taluk and Avaragilla village in Davanagere taluk, and data are presented in (Table 1). The results from table 3 indicated that, grain discoloration of rice was prevalent in all five ecosystems surveyed which include 207 fields form 69 villages of 23 taluks from fifteen districts of Karnataka. Mean per cent grain discolouration of 12.87 during kharif 2017 and 13.24 during Kharif 2018 and per cent disease index of 12.04 during Kharif2017 and 12.27 during Kharif 2018 (Table 2, Fig.1, Fig.2).

These survey results are corroborate with previous reports from Saifulla (1997) who reported that grain discolouration of rice was maximum in hilly region varied from 5 to 50 per cent followed by costal region which varied from 0 to 50 per cent, whereas in plain region varied from 0 to 5 per cent during summer and 0 to 25 per cent in Kharif. During 1989 and 1990, the incidence of rice grain discolouration was assessed in Bilaspur, Chamba, Hamirpur, Kangra, Kullu, Mandi, Sirmour, Solan and Una districts of Himachal Pradesh. Maximum grain discolouration was recorded at Rahlu in Kanga district (88.12%) and least was in Una district (7.60%) (Sharma and Vaid, 1990).

Rice grain discolouration was found to vary with variety and Negi and Das (2003) reported that 18 varieties, Narendra 80 and 97 experienced maximum grain discolouration (54.7%) and were followed by Narendra 359 (47.4%), Manhar (46.6%), Basmati 385 (45.4%), Saket 4 (45.3%), Phat Dhan 11 (41.2%), Phat Dhan 16 (40.3%), Phat Dhan 12 (39.4%), Improved Sarbati (39.3%), Improved Indrasan (36.8%), Pant Sugandha Dhan 15 (35.8%), Jaya (35.7%), Basmati 386 (33.9%), Phat Dhan 10 (31.2%), Taraori Basmati (30.7%) and Sarjoo 52 (29.2%), whereas minimum discolouration was observed in Pusa 44 (27.5%). Imran Arshad et al., (2009) reported that the grain discolouration disease of rice is becoming a serious threat to rice cultivation during Kharif season as compared to summer season in hilly area of Pakistan.
Table 1: Incidence of grain discolouration of rice in different ecosystems in Karnataka during Kharif 2017 and Kharif 2018.

| Ecosystems | Districts | Taluks | Villages | No of fields | Major varieties | Diseases Incidence (%) | Per cent disease index (PDI) | Mean Diseases Incidence (%) of ecosystem | Mean per cent disease index (PDI) | District average |
|------------|-----------|--------|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Irrigated Kabini and Kaveri | Chamaraj angar | Yalandur | Y.K.Mole | 3 | IR-64 MTU-1010 | 13.33 | 11.85 | | | |
|  |  | | Yariyuru | 3 | Gangavati sona Aman KRH-4 | 12.00 | 11.11 | | | |
|  |  | | Maddur | 3 | | 09.33 | 10.37 | | | |
|  | Kollegal | Madhuvanahalli | 3 | IR-64 MTU-1001 Aman | 16.00 | 12.59 | | | |
|  |  | Gowdalli | 3 | | 13.33 | 14.07 | | | |
|  |  | Uttamballi | 3 | | 10.66 | 11.11 | | | |
|  | District average |  |  |  |  | **12.44** | **11.85** | | | |
|  | Maddur |  | Mandya | 3 | Jaya IR-64 | 12.00 | 12.59 | | | |
|  |  | | Holalu | 3 | | 10.66 | 9.63 | | | |
|  |  | | Mallanayankanakatte | 3 | Thanu BR-2655 KRH-4 | 08.00 | 8.89 | | | |
|  | District average |  |  |  |  | **12.16** | **11.59** | | **12.22** | **11.27** |
|  | Pandavapura | Devarahalli | 3 | BR-2655 Jayakrisha | 16.00 | 14.81 | | | |
|  |  | Doddaarasnakere | 3 | | 13.33 | 11.85 | | | |
|  |  | Alemanegate | 3 | | | 12.66 | 12.59 | | | |
|  |  | Pandavapur | 3 | MTU1010 BR-2655 Aman | 10.66 | 11.11 | | | |
|  |  | Agatahalli | 3 | | 08.00 | 07.40 | | | |
|  |  | Alphahalli | 3 | | 09.33 | 08.89 | | | |
|  | District average |  |  |  |  | **11.18** | **10.86** | | **11.50** | **10.61** |
|  | Mysore | Suttur | 3 | Jyoti IR-64 Gangavati sona MTU-1010 | 14.66 | 13.33 | | | |
|  | Basavanapur | 3 | | | | 13.33 | 12.59 | | | |
|  | Horalawadi | 3 | | | | 10.66 | 10.37 | | | |
|  | District average |  |  |  |  | **12.88** | **12.07** | | **12.58** | **11.85** |
| District          | TBP  | UKP  | GKM 105 | Abhilash | Hemavati | TBP  | UKP  | GKM 105 | Abhilash | Hemavati |
|-------------------|------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Kodagu            |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Ponnampet         |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Hudikeri          | Kona| massuri|          |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Srimangala        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Balele            | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 16.00| 16.29| 14.95   | 13.64      |           | 16.00| 16.29| 14.95   | 13.64      |           |
| Sirsi             |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Yedurubail        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Bashi             | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Gudnapur          | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 15.70| 15.67| 14.56   | 13.64      |           | 16.14| 14.30| 15.70   | 13.40      |
| Uttara Kannada    |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Sirsi             |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Yedurubail        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Bashi             | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Gudnapur          | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 13.33| 12.09| 11.34   | 11.09      |           | 14.80| 13.57| 13.33   | 12.09      |
| Irrigated ecosystem of TBP and UKP |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Raichur           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Sindhanoor        |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Gorebal           | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Sindhanoor        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Javalagera        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 12.22| 12.09| 11.76   | 11.28      |           | 12.62| 12.36| 11.85   | 11.03      |
| Koppal            |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Gangavati         |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Basapattana       | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Heruru            | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Anegundi          | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 14.41| 13.33| 13.14   | 12.27      |           | 12.62| 12.36| 11.85   | 11.03      |
| Bellari           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Siruguppa         |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Siruguppa         | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| B.M.Sugur         | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Balakundi         | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 11.85| 11.03| 12.00   | 10.53      |           | 12.62| 12.36| 11.85   | 11.03      |
| Hospete           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Kamalapur         | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Kampli            | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Ramasagara        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 11.88| 11.93| 11.85   | 10.89      |           | 11.55| 10.45| 11.85   | 10.89      |
| Bellari           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Emmignur          | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Guttiganur        | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Kottal            | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 11.88| 11.93| 11.85   | 10.89      |           | 11.55| 10.45| 11.85   | 10.89      |
| Yadgiri           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Shahpur           |      |      |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| Gotthpete         | 3   | 3    |         |            |           |      |      |         |            |           |
| District average  | 11.88| 11.93| 11.85   | 10.89      |           | 11.55| 10.45| 11.85   | 10.89      |
| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Shahapur | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 12.59 |
| Gogi     | Tamarind | Logan | 13.33 | 11.85 |
| Gogi     | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 11.85 |
| Gogi     | Tamarind | Logan | 10.66 | 12.59 |
| District average | 11.99 | 12.09 |

**Irrigated Thunga and Bhadra**

| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Shivamogga | Tamarind | Logan | 14.66 | 12.59 |
| Harakere | Tamarind | Logan | 13.33 | 10.66 |
| Holaluru | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 09.33 |
| District average | 10.66 | 11.11 |

**Chikkamagaluru**

| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Davanagere | Tamarind | Logan | 14.66 | 12.59 |
| Kariganur | Tamarind | Logan | 13.33 | 10.66 |
| Arehalli  | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 09.33 |
| District average | 13.33 | 10.86 |

**Dakshina Kannada**

| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Barkur   | Tamarind | Logan | 13.33 | 11.33 |
| Kundapur | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 12.59 |
| Udapi    | Tamarind | Logan | 14.66 | 13.33 |
| District average | 14.22 | 12.59 |

**Coastal belts**

| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Mundugodu | Tamarind | Logan | 12.00 | 10.37 |
| Kumata   | Tamarind | Logan | 16.00 | 14.81 |
| Honnavar | Tamarind | Logan | 14.66 | 12.59 |
| District average | 10.66 | 8.64 |

**District average**

| District | Village | Variety | Yield (kg/ha) | Water Source |
|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Dakshina Kannada | Tamarind | Logan | 14.66 | 13.33 |
| Udupi    | Tamarind | Logan | 16.00 | 14.81 |
| Kankanadi| Tamarind | Logan | 17.33 | 16.29 |
| District average | 14.44 | 13.49 |
Table 2 Incidence of grain discolouration of rice in different ecosystems in Karnataka during Kharif 2017 and Kharif 2018

| Ecosystems                        | No of districts covered | No of taluks covered | No of villages covered | No of fields covered | Major varieties                                      | Diseases Incidence (%) | Per cent disease index (PDI) | Diseases Incidence (%) | Per cent disease index (PDI) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Irrigated Kabini and Kaveri       | 3                       | 6                    | 18                     | 54                  | IR-64, MTU-1010, Gangavati sona, Aman, KRH-4, Thanu BR-2655, KRH-4 | 12.16                  | 11.59                         | 12.22                  | 11.27                         |
| Hilly upland                      | 2                       | 2                    | 6                      | 18                  | Jaya, Sona massuri, Local Jaya, KMP105, Abhilash Hemavati | 14.95                  | 13.64                         | 15.47                  | 13.94                         |
| Irrigated ecosystems of TBP and UKP command | 4                       | 6                    | 18                     | 54                  | BPT-5204, RNR-15048 Gangavati Sona, Nellur Sona Kaveri Sona | 12.62                  | 12.36                         | 12.22                  | 11.35                         |
| Irrigated Thunga and Bhadra       | 4                       | 6                    | 18                     | 54                  | RNR-15048, Jayashree BPT-5204, IR-64, MTU-1010         | 11.23                  | 10.22                         | 11.85                  | 11.03                         |
| Coastal belts                     | 2                       | 3                    | 9                      | 27                  | Jaya, KMP105, Abhilash Hemavati                      | 14.33                  | 13.04                         | 15.00                  | 13.67                         |
| Mean Average                      | 15                      | 23                   | 69                     | 207                 |                                                      | 12.87                  | 12.04                         | 13.24                  | 12.27                         |
Total 287 seed samples consisting of 20 cultivars collected from major rice growing parts of Tamil Nadu and were used for analysis of health status. Among them, the per cent disease incidence ranged from 1.39 to 58.89 per cent. (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2010) and Sumangala et al., (2010) reported mean per cent incidence 10.34, 8.69 and 11.27 and mean per cent index 9.05, 8.39 and 10.70 in Raichur, Yadgir and Koppal, plain regions of North Eastern districts of Karnataka, respectively. The rice grain discolouration samples were collected from four districts of Andhra Pradesh. The percentage of discoloured grains from West Godavari district samples was ranged from 21.91 to 27.32 on weight basis and from 22.74 to 28.88 on grain number based analysis.

The corresponding percentages were; Krishna district - 23.02 to 28.62 and 24.34 to 30.35%, Guntur district - 22.58 to 28.76 and 24.57 to 30.46, and Nellore district - 22.88 to 28.74% and 25.05 to 30.81% (Divya, 2015).
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