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In this article, we analyze the analytical result of fractional-order Jaulent-Miodek equations with the help of two novel methods, namely, \( \rho \)-Laplace decomposition method and \( \rho \)-Laplace variational iteration method. The achieved results are shown in a series form, which is rapidly converging. The approximate simulations were performed in absolute error to ensure that the suggested methods are accurate and reliable. The achieved results are graphically presented to confirm the validity and accuracy of the techniques. The study results reveal that the \( \rho \)-Laplace decomposition method is computationally very effective and accurate compared to \( \rho \)-Laplace variational iteration method to analyze the nonlinear system of fractional-order Jaulent-Miodek equations.

1. Introduction

Jaulent and Miodek developed the Jaulent-Miodek equation in 1979 as an extension to energy-dependent potentials [1, 2]. The JM equation was developed in many related fields of physics, optics [3], condensed matter physics [4], plasma physics [5], and including fluid mechanics [6]. The purpose of this research is to investigate the approximate results for arbitrary order of the following anomalous and difficult physical model,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^\mu \mu}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial^3 \omega}{\partial \xi^3} - 6 \mu \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \xi} - 6 \omega \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi^2} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial \xi^2} - 6 \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \xi} - 6 \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \xi^2} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

The nonlinear system JM equation has been analyzed in past years by several researchers through different methods like homotopy perturbation transform method [7], homotopy asymptotic method and Hermite wavelets [8], invariant subspace technique [9], and others [10–12]. Systems of nonlinear partial differential equation [13–17] appear in a variety of scientific physical models. The classical Jaulent-Miodek equations have been the subject of extensive investigation in recent years. Several techniques such as suitable algebraic technique [18], Adomian decomposition technique [19], tanh-sech technique [20], homotopy perturbation technique [21], Exp-function technique [22], and homotopy analysis technique [23] had been applied for solving of the system of Jaulent-Miodek equations. But the complete evaluation of the nonlinear fractional-order combined with the Jaulent-Miodek equation is only an initiation by the available information.

Abdeljawad and Jarad [24] recently developed the Laplace transform of the fractional-order Caputo derivatives. We proposed a new iterative technique based on the \( \rho \)-Laplace transformation to study fractional-order ordinary and partial differential equations with fractional-order Caputo derivatives. This novel proposed method is used to solve numerous fractional-order differential equations, including linear and nonlinear diffusion equations, fractional-order Fokker-
Planck equations, and Zakharov-Kuznetsov equations [25–27]. Adomian, an American mathematician, introduced the Adomian decomposition method. It concentrates on obtaining series-like solutions and decomposing the nonlinear operator into a sequence, with the terms currently obtained using Adomian polynomials [28–31]. The $\rho$-Laplace transform is used to modify this procedure, resulting in the $\rho$-Laplace decomposition method. This method is applied to the nonhomogeneous fractional differential equations [32–34]. He [35, 36] was the first to introduce the variational iteration method (VIM), which was successfully applied to autonomous ordinary differential equations in [37], nonlinear polycrystal line solids in [38], and other fields. The variational iteration method is modified with the help of $\rho$-Laplace transform, known as $\rho$-Laplace variational iteration method. Different types of ODEs and PDEs have been analyzed with the aid of the variational iteration method. For instance, this method is investigated for solving fractional differential equations in [37]. In [38], this method is used to solve nonlinear oscillator equations. Compared to the Adomian decomposition method, variational iteration transforms approach solving without the need to compute Adomian's polynomials. The [39] mesh point procedures provide an analytical solution, whereas this scheme provides a fast response to the equation [40–42]. This technique can also be used to approximate accurate results.

This article has applied the $\rho$-Laplace decomposition method and $\rho$-Laplace variational iteration method to solve the fractional coupled Jaulent-Miodek equation with the Caputo fractional derivative operator. The analytical results attained via the $\rho$-Laplace decomposition method were compared with exact results and those derived by using the $\rho$-Laplace variational iteration method in case of fractional order.

2. Basic Preliminaries

2.1. Definition. The fractional-order generalized integral $\theta$ of a continuous function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as [24]

$$\left( I^{\rho \theta} g \right) (\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon - s}{\rho} \right)^{\theta-1} g(s) ds \left( 1 - \rho \right),$$

the gamma function is defined by $\Gamma$, $\rho > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $0 < \theta < 1$.

2.2. Definition. The fractional-order generalized derivative of $\theta$ of a continuous function $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as [24]

$$\left( D^{\rho \theta} g \right) (\varepsilon) = \left( I^{1-\rho \theta} g \right) (\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} \left( \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right) \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon - s}{\rho} \right)^{1-\theta} g(s) ds \left( 1 - \rho \right).$$

2.3. Definition. The fractional-order Caputo derivative $\theta$ of a continuous function $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as [24]

$$\left( D^{\rho \theta} g \right) (\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta)} \left( \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right) \int_0^{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon - s}{\rho} \right)^{1-\theta} g(s) ds \left( 1 - \rho \right).$$

2.4. Definition. The $\rho$-Laplace transform of a continuous function $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given as [24]

$$L_{\rho}(g(\varepsilon)) (s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s\theta} g(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon \left( 1 - \rho \right).$$

The fractional-order Caputo generalized $\rho$-Laplace transformation derivative of a continuous function $g$ is given by [24]

$$L_{\rho}\left( D^{\rho \theta} g \right) (s) = s L_{\rho}(g(\varepsilon)) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^{\theta+1} \left( l^{\rho \theta} g^n \right)(0).$$

2.5. Definition. The generalized Mittag-Leffler function is defined by

$$E_{\theta, \rho}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\theta k + \rho)}.$$
The $\rho$-Laplace transformation is applied to Eq. (8), we have

$$L\left[D_\rho^0 \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})\right] + L[\mathcal{M}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] = 0,$$

$$L\left[D_\rho^0 \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})\right] + L[\mathcal{M}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] = 0.$$  \hfill (10)

Using the $\rho$-Laplace transform differentiation property, we get

$$L[\mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] = \left. \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \right|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s} L[\mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] - \frac{1}{s^2} L[\mathcal{M}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega)],$$

$$L[\omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] = \left. \frac{1}{s^\lambda} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \right|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s} L[\mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] - \frac{1}{s^2} L[\mathcal{M}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega)].$$  \hfill (11)

$\rho$-LDM defines the result of infinite series $\mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})$ and $\omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})$,

$$\mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}), \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}).$$  \hfill (12)

Adomian polynomial decomposition of nonlinear terms of $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ is described as

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k,$$  \hfill (13)

All forms of nonlinearity the Adomian polynomials can be represented as

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \left. \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \left\{ \mathcal{N}_1 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \omega_j \right) \right\} \right|_{\lambda=0},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \left. \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda^k} \left\{ \mathcal{N}_2 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \mu_j, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j \omega_j \right) \right\} \right|_{\lambda=0}.$$  \hfill (14)

Putting equations (12) and (14) into equation (11) gives

$$L \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) \right] = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^2} L[\mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s^2} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m \right) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k,$$  \hfill (15)

$$L \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) \right] = \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^3} L[\mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s^3} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m \right) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k.$$  \hfill (16)

Using the inverse $\rho$-Laplace transform of equation (15), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^3} L[\mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s^3} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m \right) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_k,$$  \hfill (17)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^4} L[\mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{s^4} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_m \right) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k.$$  \hfill (18)

we define the following terms,

$$\mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^3} L[\mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] \right],$$

$$\omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{\rho-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})}{\partial s^j} \bigg|_{s=0} + \frac{1}{s^4} L[\mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F})] \right],$$  \hfill (19)

$$\mu_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^3} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_1(\mu_0, \omega_0) + \mathcal{A}_0 \right] \right],$$

$$\omega_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^4} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_2(\mu_0, \omega_0) + \mathcal{B}_0 \right] \right],$$  \hfill (20)

the general for $\kappa \geq 1$ is given by

$$\mu_{\kappa+1}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^{\kappa+2}} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_1(\mu_{\kappa}, \omega_{\kappa}) + \mathcal{A}_\kappa \right] \right],$$

$$\omega_{\kappa+1}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^{\kappa+3}} L \left[ \mathcal{M}_2(\mu_{\kappa}, \omega_{\kappa}) + \mathcal{B}_\kappa \right] \right].$$  \hfill (21)

4. The Producer of $\rho$-LVITM

In this section, explain the $\rho$-Laplace variational iteration method of the solution of FPDEs.

$$D_\rho^0 \mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mu, \omega + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = 0,$$

$$D_\rho^0 \omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{M}_2(\mu, \omega + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = 0, m - 1 < b \leq m,$$  \hfill (22)
with initial conditions
\[
\mu(\varepsilon, 0) = g_1(\varepsilon), \omega(\varepsilon, 0) = g_2(\varepsilon). \tag{23}
\]
where is \( D^{\beta}_\varepsilon = \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial \varepsilon^\beta} \) the Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \beta \), \( \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2 \) are linear and nonlinear functions, respectively, and \( \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \) are source operators.

The \( \rho \)-Laplace transformation is applied to Eq. (22),
\[
L \left[ D^{\beta}_\varepsilon \mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] + L \left[ \mathcal{H}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] = 0, \tag{24}
\]
\[
L \left[ D^{\beta}_\varepsilon \omega(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] + L \left[ \mathcal{F}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] = 0.
\]

Using the differentiation property \( \rho \)-Laplace transform, we get
\[
L[\mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \bigg|_{\mathfrak{F}=0} = -L \left[ \mathcal{H}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right], \tag{25}
\]
\[
L[\omega(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \bigg|_{\mathfrak{F}=0} = -L \left[ \mathcal{F}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) - \mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right].
\]

The procedure iteration method is defined as
\[
L[\mu_{x+1}(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] = L[\mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] + \lambda(s) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \right]_{\mathfrak{F}=0} - L\left[ \mathcal{P}_1(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] - L\left[ \mathcal{H}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) \right], \tag{26}
\]
\[
L[\omega_{x+1}(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] = L[\omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] + \lambda(s) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \right]_{\mathfrak{F}=0} - L\left[ \mathcal{P}_2(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) \right] - L\left[ \mathcal{F}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) \right]. \tag{27}
\]

A Lagrange multiplier as
\[
\lambda(s) = - \frac{1}{s^\beta}, \tag{28}
\]
the inverse \( \rho \)-Laplace transformation \( L^{-1} \), the iteration method Eq. (26) can be given as
\[
\mu_{x+1}(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) - L^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \right]_{\mathfrak{F}=0} - \left[ \mathcal{H}_1(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_1(\mu, \omega) \right] \tag{29}
\]
\[
\omega_{x+1}(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F}) - L^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} s^{\beta-j-1} \frac{\partial^j \omega(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})}{\partial \mathfrak{F}^j} \right]_{\mathfrak{F}=0} - \left[ \mathcal{F}_2(\mu, \omega) + \mathcal{N}_2(\mu, \omega) \right].
\]

5. Implementation of Techniques

5.1. Problem. Consider the fractional-order non-linear Jaulent-Miodek equation is given as
\[
\frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^\mu} + \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} \tag{32}
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
With initial conditions
\[
\mu(\varepsilon, 0) = \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right) \right), \tag{33}
\]
\[
\omega(\varepsilon, 0) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right). \tag{34}
\]
Taking \( \rho \)-Laplace transform of (31),
\[
\mathfrak{L}[\mu(\varepsilon, \mathfrak{F})] - s^\beta \mu(\varepsilon, 0) = -L \left[ \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial^\omega}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^\mu}{\partial \varepsilon^3} \right], \tag{35}
\]
\[ s^\beta L[\omega(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F})] - s^{\beta - 1} \omega(\epsilon, 0) = -L \left[ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \mu \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} - 15 \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} \omega^2 \right]. \]  

Using inverse \( \rho \)-Laplace transformation, we get

\[ \mu(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{\mu(\epsilon, 0)}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} L \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} + 6 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \mu \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} - 3 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon} \omega^2 \right) \right], \]

\[ \omega(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{\omega(\epsilon, 0)}{s} - \frac{1}{s^3} L \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} \omega - 6 \mu \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} - 15 \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} \omega^2 \right) \right]. \]

Applying Adomian procedure, we have

\[ \mu_0(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{\mu(\epsilon, 0)}{s} \right] = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1/8 \lambda^2 (1 - 4 \sec h^2(\lambda \epsilon/2))}{s} \right], \mu_0(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{2} \right) \right), \]

\[ \omega_0(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{\omega(\epsilon, 0)}{s} \right] = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{\lambda \sec h(\lambda \epsilon/2)}{s} \right], \omega_0(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \lambda \sec \left( \frac{\lambda \epsilon}{2} \right). \]

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{k+1}(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^3} L \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \right], \]

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k+1}(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = -L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s^3} L \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon^3} - 6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \epsilon^3} \omega + 6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \right], \]

The nonlinear terms find with the help of Adomian polynomials

For \( \kappa = 1 \)

\[ \mu_1(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{-2 \lambda^5 \csc h^3(\lambda \epsilon) \sec h^4(\lambda \epsilon/2) (\mathfrak{F}^\rho/\rho)^\theta}{I(\theta + 1)}, \]

\[ \omega_1(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{\lambda^4 \csc h^4(\lambda \epsilon) \sec h^3(\lambda \epsilon/2) (\mathfrak{F}^\rho/\rho)^\theta}{I(\theta + 1)}, \]

for \( \kappa = 2 \)

\[ \mu_2(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{\lambda^6 (2 + 4 \cos h(\lambda \epsilon)) \sec h^3(\lambda \epsilon/2) (\mathfrak{F}^\rho/\rho)^{2\theta}}{16 I(2\theta + 1)}, \]

\[ \omega_2(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{\lambda^7 (-3 + 4 \cos h(\lambda \epsilon)) \sec h^4(\lambda \epsilon/2) (\mathfrak{F}^\rho/\rho)^{2\theta}}{32 I(2\theta + 1)}, \]

\[ \mu_1(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{1}{1024 I(\theta + 1)^2 I(\theta + 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( -165 + 28 \cos h(\lambda \epsilon) + \cos h(2\lambda \epsilon) (I(\theta + 1)^2) \right) \right] \]

\[ \omega_1(\epsilon, \mathfrak{F}) = \frac{1}{512 I(\theta + 1)^2 I(\theta + 1)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 147 - 92 \cosh (\lambda \epsilon) + \cosh (2\lambda \epsilon) (I(\theta + 1)^2) \right) \right] \]
The $\rho$-LDM solution of Example 1 is

\[
\mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \mu_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \mu_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \mu_3(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \cdots,
\]
\[
\omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \omega_1(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \omega_2(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \omega_3(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) + \cdots,
\]

\[
\mu(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right) \right) - \frac{2 \lambda^5 \csc h^2(\lambda \varepsilon) \sec h^4(\lambda \varepsilon/2)(3^\rho/\rho)^3}{\Gamma(7 + 1)} - \frac{\lambda^6 (-2 + \cos h(\lambda \varepsilon)) \sec h^4(\lambda \varepsilon/2)(3^\rho/\rho)^3}{16 \Gamma(28 + 1)} - \frac{1}{1024(\Gamma(7 + 1))^2 \Gamma(3\theta + 1)} \lambda^{11} + \ldots,
\]

\[
\omega(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda^5 \csc h^2(\lambda \varepsilon) \sec h^4(\lambda \varepsilon/2)(3^\rho/\rho)^3}{\Gamma(7 + 1)} + \frac{\lambda^7 (-3 + \cos h(\lambda \varepsilon)) \sec h^4(\lambda \varepsilon/2)(3^\rho/\rho)^3}{32 \Gamma(28 + 1)} + \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(7 + 1))^2 \Gamma(3\theta + 1)} \lambda^{10} + \ldots,
\]

The approximate solution by $\rho$-LVIM. Apply the iteration technique, we have

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{k+1}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} L \left[ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon^3} + 3 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \omega_k \frac{\partial^2 \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon^2} + 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon} - 9 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 2 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon^2} - 3 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] \right],
\]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k+1}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} L \left[ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon^3} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mu_k}{\partial \varepsilon} \omega_k - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon} - 15 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial \varepsilon} \omega_k^2 \right] \right],
\]

where

\[
\mu_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right) \right),
\]

\[
\omega_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \right).
\]
For $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

\[
\mu_1(\epsilon, \lambda) - \mu_2(\epsilon, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{4} L \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \mu_0}{\partial \epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{2} \omega_1 \frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{9}{2} \partial^2 \omega_0 \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial \epsilon} - 6 \partial^2 \omega_0 \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{3}{2} \partial \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right] \right],
\]

(53)

\[
\omega_1(\epsilon, \lambda) = \omega_2(\epsilon, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{4} L \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \omega_0}{\partial \epsilon^2} - 6 \partial^2 \omega_0 \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{15}{2} \partial \omega_0 \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial \epsilon} \right] \right],
\]

(54)

\[
\mu_1(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_1(\epsilon, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(55)

\[
\omega_1(\lambda, \lambda) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{16} \sec h^2 \left( \lambda \cdots \right) \sec h^2 \left( \lambda(\lambda \cdots) / 4 \right),
\]

(56)

\[
\mu_2(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_2(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(57)

\[
\omega_2(\lambda, \lambda) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{32} \sec h^2 \left( \lambda \cdots \right) \sec h^2 \left( \lambda(\lambda \cdots) / 4 \right),
\]

(58)

\[
\mu_3(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_3(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(59)

\[
\omega_3(\lambda, \lambda) = \omega_2(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(60)

\[
\mu_4(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_4(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(61)

\[
\omega_4(\lambda, \lambda) = \omega_3(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(62)

\[
\mu_5(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_5(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(63)

\[
\omega_5(\lambda, \lambda) = \omega_4(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(64)

\[
\mu_6(\lambda, \lambda) = \mu_6(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(65)

\[
\omega_6(\lambda, \lambda) = \omega_5(\lambda, \lambda) - L^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda \cdots}{2} \right) \right) \right],
\]

(66)
Figure 1: Exact and approximate solution of $\mu(\epsilon, \mathcal{I})$ and $\omega(\epsilon, \mathcal{I})$ at $\vartheta = 1$.

Figure 2: Exact and approximate solution of $\mu(\epsilon, \mathcal{I})$ at $\vartheta = 1$.

Figure 3: The different fractional-order of $\vartheta = 0.8$ and $0.6$ of the model.
The exact solution of equation (8) at $\vartheta = 1$,

$$
\mu(\varepsilon, 3) = \frac{1}{8} \lambda^2 \left( 1 - 4 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 3 \right) \right) \right),
$$

$$
\omega(\varepsilon, 3) = \lambda \sec h \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 3 \right) \right).
$$

(67)

In Figure 1, the first figure shows the $\rho$-Laplace decomposition method and $\rho$-Laplace variational transform method and exact solutions graphs of the given problem at $\mu(\varepsilon, 3)$ and $\omega(\varepsilon, 3)$. From the given graphs, it can be observed that both the exact, $\rho$-Laplace decomposition method, and $\rho$-Laplace variational transform method solution are in strong agreement with each other. In Figure 2, the exact and approximate solution of $\mu(\varepsilon, 3)$ at $\vartheta = 1$. Figure 3, different fractional-order of $\vartheta = 0.8$ and 0.6 of the model. Similarly, in Figure 4, the exact and approximate solution of $\omega(\varepsilon, 3)$ at $\vartheta = 1$. Figure 5, different fractional-order of $\vartheta = 0.8$ and 0.6 of the model. It is investigated that results of fractional-order problem are convergent to an integer-order result as fractional-order analysis to integer-order. The same phenomenon of convergence of fractional-order solutions towards integral-order solutions is observed.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, two analytical methods are applied to solve fractional-order nonlinear Jaulent-Miodek equation. The analytical results of the equations are calculated to show the reliability and validity of the suggested techniques. Figures of the results are plotted to show the closed contact between the achieved and exact solutions. Moreover, the proposed methods provide easily computable components for the series-form solutions. It has been discovered that the results obtained in series form have a better rate of convergence to the exact solutions. Finally, the proposed strategies are found to be a sophisticated strategy for solving various fractional-order nonlinear partial differential equations.
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