In the landscape of SARS-CoV-2 and fresh fruits and vegetables: The fake and hidden transmission risks
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Abstract
From the first notification reporting to the WHO a cluster of coronavirus in Wuhan City (China), over 114 million cases of SARS-CoV-2 have been confirmed, with more than 2,530,000 deaths, and over 400,000 new cases and 10,000 deaths daily. Numerous viruses are susceptible to contaminate crops during growth, harvesting, handling, marketing and minimally processing, and these steps share one common factor which is human. Different studies showed that viruses might persist on different crops for periods of 2 to 14 days under different conditions such as refrigeration, household and freezing. Little is known on SARS-CoV-2, but preliminary studies showed that this virus might survive 24 hr on cardboard and 72 hr on plastic, materials used in fruits and vegetables packaging. Based on preliminary data, there is no evidence of food or food packaging being associated with transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Certainly, to date there is no scientific evidence that SARS-CoV-2 might be transmitted by a contact with, or the ingestion of contaminated fresh or minimally processed fruits and vegetables. However, this risk even though being considered improbable, it cannot be “completely and definitely” discarded or ignored, particularly where the virus is spreading in the word. Some agencies indicated that in case some commodities and handlers are contaminated among the multiple people involved from the farm to the table, a cross-contamination may occur, and the risk of the contamination of food, food contact materials, and packaging from infected but asymptomatic workers should not be discarded even though considered “Very Low = meaning very rare but cannot be excluded.”

1 INTRODUCTION

From the first notification (December 2019) reporting to the World Health Organization (WHO) a cluster of cases of pneumonia caused by a novel coronavirus in Wuhan City (China), and as of this day (March 4, 2020), the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak has now been confirmed in 223 countries on the six continents. Globally, over 114 million cases of SARS-CoV-2 have been confirmed, including more than 2,530,000 deaths, and an average of more than 400,000 new cases and 10,000 deaths reported daily. The most affected regions are the Americas (44.5%) and Europe (34.1%), while Eastern Mediterranean (5.7%), South-East Asia (11.9%), Africa (2.5%), and Western Pacific (1.4%) are less.

Contrary to the hundreds of pathogenic microorganisms known, human pathogens account for much less than 1% and only few viruses are associated with foodborne outbreaks. Unfortunately, the last few decades have been characterized by many environmental, urbanistic, and socioeconomic changes causing severe disorders to the natural ecosystems. Consequently, the causality viruses and outbreaks associated with foodborne illness became obvious as reported by many studies, and therefore becoming an emerging problem representing a significant threat to global public health requiring a surveillance...
platform for the early recognition of food related threats (FAO, 2004; Koopmans & Duizer, 2004; Lipkin, 2013; Tetro, 2014).

Indeed, the history of viruses as food contaminant and foodborne illness causing agents is recent and juvenile compared to bacterial foodborne illness known from decades ago. To date, more than a hundred of enteric viruses have been recognized pathogenic causing foodborne illness and most of them are Hepatitis A and Noroviruses (Bintis, 2017). In an interesting review, Appleton (2000) described two main foodborne virus infections. The first is the viral gastroenteritis caused by small round structured viruses (SRSV) and the second hepatitis A. These two infections are in most cases transmitted from person-to-person; however, they might also occasionally be foodborne (Butt, Aldridge, & Sanders, 2004) or water-borne (Carter, 2005; Gerba & Rose, 1990). Unfortunately, consequently to the social development of the humanity and its interaction with the macro- and the micro- ecosystems, the list of emerging viral pathogens that could threaten the food supply is growing and other foodborne related viruses are identified.

During the last few decades, consumption of fruits and vegetables showed a significant increase, and this trend is expected to continue through the next decades. According to the FAO (2020), fruit consumption increased by c.a. 25% while vegetable consumption increased by c.a. 22%, with some discrepancies observed between different regions of the word.

2 | MODE OF TRANSMISSION OF VIRUSES BY FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Indeed, there are different mode of transmission of viruses. Person-to-person (touch, blood and bodily fluids, saliva, air) is the most predominant mode of transmission, but food, water, insects and fomites might also be a mode of transmission. Specifically talking, faecally contaminated foods have been shown to be a route of a direct or indirect transmission of viruses to humans. Although seafood and mollusks are the major vehicle of viruses’ transmission to humans, other foods might be contaminated by persons or contaminated water, and therefore be a mode of transmission (Cliver, 1997). Disregarding the different categories of foods and food products, we will focus on fresh fruits and vegetables. First, it is important to discuss briefly the persistence and the potential transmission of some viruses by fruits and vegetables and the threats they might cause. In the 1990s, c.a. 12% of foodborne illnesses were linked to the consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables which is increasing due to the health benefits of these commodities. With the increase of fresh crop commodities trade, particularly between USA, Canada, and Mexico, and between the European countries more particularly, food safety concerns are being created, and the major issue among wholesalers, retailers and consumers is ensuring fresh crops safety. Indeed, safety of fresh fruits and vegetables have known a real advance over the past two decades. In this regard, numerous governmental and public food safety standards have been developed and implemented, and incommensurate efforts were put in place aiming to address the consumers’ concerns related to food safety, especially in the developed countries. However, these advances are still not enough to ensure a desired and total fresh produce safety and still few foodborne outbreaks have been associated with the consumption of fresh snow peas, raspberries, basil, mesclun lettuce and a variety of other fresh fruits and vegetables (Matthews, 2006).

Overall, enteric viruses contribute to large extent to foodborne diseases. Major viruses found in food are adenovirus, astrovirus, rotavirus, sapovirus, hepatitis A and E viruses, and norovirus (Todd & Greig, 2015; Velebit et al., 2019). Most of the studies show that the foodborne viruses are generally transmitted by contaminated raw or processed foods including handlers, however, person-to-person contact or through environmental contamination might also be other modes of transmission (Bidawid, Farber, & Sattar, 2000a; Richards, 2001; Todd & Greig, 2015). In 2001, an interesting review was published by Seymour and Appleton (2001) listing numerous viruses susceptible to contaminate fresh produce. Indeed, either fresh or minimally processed fruits and vegetables might be contaminated by different viruses, and these contaminations occur either in the field or during handling, marketing or minimally processing.

Basically, it is important to distinguish between the pre-harvest and the post-harvest viruses contamination of fresh produce. Indeed, both pre- and postharvest steps have their specific constraints, however, they share one common factor which is human (handlers) and either during cropping and cultivation or during harvesting, handling, transportation, storage, marketing and retail, human is the pivot factor of these successive operations.

During cultivation, the origin and these viruses transmission modes have been highlighted by many reports. The sources and routes of contamination in the field and during cultivation are variables. Fresh crops are likely to be contaminated by the introduced viruses into soils by manure or green fertilizers, faecally contaminated irrigation water, animals and insects, or workers themselves (Alegebeleye, Singleton, & Sant’An, 2018; Bosch, Pintó, & Guix, 2016; Lynch, Tauxe, & Hedberg, 2009). In contrary, the post-harvest contamination results from poor or inadequate hygiene practices during handling, transportation, minimally processing, storage, and marketing (Ruggeri et al., 2013; Van der Poel, 2014). The risk of contamination is likely to be increased by the number of intermediaries such as wholesale houses, packing houses, storage facilities, involved in fresh crops handling from the farm to the table, and post-harvest handling is considered the darkest step of the fresh crop supply chain.

3 | POSTHARVEST PERSISTENCE OF FOODBORNE VIRUSES ON FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

It is obvious that fresh and minimally processed fruits and vegetables crops require intensive handling during the multiple steps of the supply chain. Although rarely observed, few viruses might be a cause of foodborne outbreaks, and some outbreaks of viral infection are attributed to consumption of contaminated fresh fruits and vegetables. Thus, improper cultivation conditions or gaps in the best agricultural
practices from one hand, and poor handling and lack in hygienic condi-
tions or gaps in best post-harvest practices from another hand, make
the fresh crops of risks even though of low level. Indeed, the persist-
ence of the viruses depends on the inanimate surface or the medium
(Goli, 2020b; Thippareddi, Balamurugan, Patel, Singh, & Brassard,
2020), and greatly on their two major structures. First are enveloped
viruses possessing an external layer made of proteins and lipids and
this layer is easily destructured by soaps or alcohols inactivating the
viruses (Klein, 2004). In contrary, the second category of viruses are
non-enveloped ones and have an external protein shell which is resis-
tant to environmental stressors and many disinfectants (Maillard &
Russell, 1997; Sattar, Springthorpe, Karim, & Loro, 1989). Unfortu-
nately, in the context of foodborne diseases caused by viruses only
avian influenza, SARS, and Nipah diseases are caused by enveloped
ones, while other non-enveloped ones are major contributors to many
infections for example, astroviruses, Aichi virus, calciviruses, HAV,
and HEV which are small and more difficult to control (Maillard &
Russell, 1997). In matter of persistence, the different studies show
that rotavirus (non-enveloped) were found on strawberry irrigated
with contaminated water (Brassard, Gagné, Généreux, & Côté, 2012),
and these non-enveloped viruses are more persistent, therefore, sur-
viving and spreading from fresh produce production (Baert et al.,
2011; Van Boxstael, Habib, Jacxsens, & de Vocht, 2013) to their stor-
age (Butot, Putallaz, & Sanchez, 2008) even though their concertation
declines because of their inability to multiply. An interesting research
investigated the persistence of poliovirus on different fruits and vege-
tables. In this study, the decimal reduction times (D-value = days after
which the initial virus number declines by 90%) was 14.2 days for
white cabbage, 11.6 days for lettuce and 8.4 days for frozen straw-
berries, while no decline was observed for green onion and fresh rasp-
berries (Kurdziel, Wilkinson, Langton, & Cook, 2001). Other examples
reported the persistence of hepatitis A virus in spinach during refriger-
ated storage (Shieh, Stewart, & Laird, 2009) and onion (Sun, Laird, &
Shieh, 2012), and norovirus and adenovirus were found to be persist-
ent on soft berries (Verhaelen, Bouwknecht, Lodder-Verschoor, &
Rutjes, 2012). These results show well that viruses could persist under
the retail and household storage conditions several days and repre-
sent a real risk for the consumers if fresh crops are contaminated prior
to their consumption.

Beside the fresh produce, minimally processed fruits and vegetables
are typically sold to the consumer in a ready-to-use or ready-to-eat
form, and are well appreciated by the consumers, especially in the devel-
opped countries. Unfortunately, during their processing and packaging,
produce might be subjected to some viruses’ contamination. For exam-
ple, enteric viruses were detected on the packaging material of mini-
mosly processed leafy greens (Mattison et al., 2010), and many viruses
survive well on hands and are spread by workers (Greig, Todd,
Bartleson, & Michaels, 2007; Todd, Greig, Bartleson, & Michaels, 2009).
Another case was reported by Bidawid, Farber, and Sattar (2000b), who
reported approximately 10% of the HAV virus particles are trans-
ferred from faecally contaminated fingers to foods and surfaces,
thus increasing the potential for contamination (Mokhtari &
Jaykus, 2009).

Nevertheless, links between foodborne viruses and their persist-
ence in fresh produce and minimally processed fruits and vegetables
seem more complex and not a simple passive transfer as concluded by
several studies carried out by phytopathologists and food microbiolo-
gists (Tyler & Triplett, 2008). For example, if plastic packaging material
used in minimally processed fruits and vegetables is contaminated by
worker’s fingers, adenoviruses may survive c.a. 35 days in a low rela-
tive humidity (RH) environment (Nauheim et al., 1990). However, the
viruses survival under different RH is questionable, since enteroviruses
were found to survive better under high RH, whereas low RH favors
survival of HAV and human rotavirus (HRV) (Mbithi, Springthorpe, &
Sattar, 1991; Sattar, Dimock, Ansari, & Springthorpe, 1988).

4 | TRANSMISSION OF SARS-COV-2 BY
FRESH FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

The 2019 novel coronavirus disease (2019-nCoV or COVID-19) recently
reported from Wuhan (China), which has cases in Thailand, Japan, South
Korea, and the United States, has been confirmed as a new coronavirus
(WHO, 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 has infected millions of humans and
has caused more than two and half-million deaths. Current evidence
suggests that person-to-person is the main mode of contamination, and
the main way the virus spreads is by respiratory droplets or aerosol
transmission, and when persons are in contact with contaminated sur-
faces or objects, for example tables, doorknobs and handrails.

Although numerous research and review papers reported the
spread of viruses through the food chain supply in general and fruits
and vegetables in particular, data on the persistence and transmission of
SARS-CoV-2 through fresh crops is still not well established and numer-
ous questions remain to be answered. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 pandemic
raised numerous concerns and questions among them air and water
borne transmission and survival of coronavirus (Bilal, Nazir, Rasheed,
Parra-Saldivar, & Iqbal, 2020; Goli, 2020a; Wathore, Gupta, Bherwani,
& Labhasetwar, 2020) and whether SARS-CoVs-2 can potentially be trans-
mitted via “surface-contaminated” foods products (Ceylan, Meral, &
Cetinkaya, 2020) including fresh fruits and vegetables and food packag-
ing materials bearing in mind the possibility of no foodborne transmis-
sion can be excluded for any virus.

At the beginning of the pandemic, according to the FDA (2019)
and based on the preliminary data on the disease (van Doremalen
et al., 2020), SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped virus and can survive 24 hr
on cardboard, 48 hr on stainless steel, and 72 h on plastic. However,
two interesting reviews reported variable survival times (24 hr to
5 days) on the same materials depending on the initial inoculum con-
centration and SARS-CoV-2 strains (Carraturo et al., 2020; Kampf,
Todt, Pfländer, & Steinmann, 2020). These packaging materials are
used extensively in the fresh and minimally processed crops sectors,
therefore, a customer can get infected by SARS-CoV-2 after his hand
has been in contact with a surface or object that has the virus on it
and then touching his mouth, nose, or possibly his eyes, even though,
this mode of transmission is not thought to be the main way the virus
spreads. On the other hand, CDC (2019) (Centre for Disease Control
and Prevention) and FDA (2019) (Food and Drug Administration) stated that “currently there is no evidence of food or food packaging being associated with transmission of SARS-CoV-2,” and this statement corroborates the statement that there is no tangible or strong evidence that the virus can be spread by fresh produce and other non-packaged foods purchased at the grocery store. However, with the development of the situation, the increasing number of infected persons and a better understanding of the virus based on the few scientific published work, the CDC (2020a) released on the 22 of May 2020 an update on SARS-CoV-2 transmission to clarify information about types of spread. In this update the CDC states that “Based on data from laboratory studies on SARS-CoV-2 and what we know about similar respiratory diseases, it may be possible that persons can get SARS-CoV-2 by touching a surface or object that has the virus on it and then touching their own mouth, nose, or possibly their eyes, but this isn’t thought to be the main way the virus spreads.”

To corroborate this recommendation, it is pertinent to refer to one study published in 2013, and reporting on the survival of two respiratory viruses (adenovirus 2-Ad2 and coronavirus 229E – CoV229E) on fresh lettuce, strawberries, and raspberries produce. Interestingly, the results show that CoV229E survives and declines after 2 days, but it was not detected after 4 days on lettuce stored at 4°C, while Ad2 survived 10 days on the three produce. Considering the studies on the persistence of many foodborne viruses, these results show well that respiratory viruses can also survive for few days on fresh crops under the domestic storage conditions, therefore, the potential for transfer to the handlers’ hand and subsequently a risk of contamination and a route of transmission of the virus (Yépiz-Gómez, Gerba, & Bright, 2013). In a more recent review of Mitchie, Zhao, and Tan (2021) comparing coronaviruses and human noroviruses, it seems that the possibility of CoVs foodborne transmission is low compared to other routes of transmission. However, the authors in their conclusion stated that the possibility of CoV infection through food ingestion should be monitored because many facts of these viruses still remain not well known and the viruses may evolve rapidly.

Certainly, there is no scientific evidence that SARS-CoV-2 might be transmitted by fresh or minimally processed fruits and vegetables, however the risk cannot be discarded or ignored, particularly in the actual context where the virus is spreading in many countries and regions of the world. However, in a recent study on food contamination by SARS-CoV it was reported that the virus was detected on some frozen foods, packaging materials and storage environments with 9 incidents reported by health authorities across China between early July and mid-August 2020. These findings should change our view and reconsider the possible risks of food contaminations from the farm-to-table chain including harvesting and postharvest handling of fresh crops (Han, Zhang, He, & Jia, 2020).

In a recent assessment report authored by Oakenfull and Wilson (2020) and published by the Food Standards Agency (UK), the authors indicated that for some commodities, in case some handlers are contaminated among the multiple people involved from the farm to the table, a cross-contamination may occur. Interestingly, the authors do not discard the risk of the contamination of food, food contact materials, and packaging from infected but asymptomatic workers and consider the risk “Very Low = meaning very rare but cannot be excluded,” in case of course the food hygiene and HACCP processes are followed. Nevertheless, from the beginning of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, hygiene measures have

**FIGURE 1** Potential routes of transmission of COVID-19 by fruits and vegetables. *Sapphire blue arrow:* Transmission though persons, *Azur blue:* Transmission through water; *Red arrow:* Transmission by fruits and vegetables
been drastically reinforced in particular by recommending regular hand washing which should considerably reduce the risk of contamination by handlers because keeping hands clean is especially important to help prevent the virus from spreading (CDC, 2020b).

5 | TRANSMISSION RISKS PERSPECTIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The potential transmission risks of SARS-CoV-2 by fresh fruits and vegetables and recommendations to reduce these risks should be based on the answer to one essential question. Can a person be contaminated by SARS-CoV-2 from being in contact with contaminated fresh fruits and vegetables? Before answering this question, it is important to know (a) fresh fruits and vegetables might be contaminated by SARS-CoV-2 and the virus may persist and survive at least 2 days on the contaminated commodity, (b) 50% of the viral outbreaks have been caused by leafy vegetables and fruits including nuts (Hall et al., 2012), (c) a SARS-CoV-2 infected person can contaminate a fresh produce or its packaging by handling it with contaminated hands or via infectious droplets produced when coughing or sneezing (Shukla, Cho, Kwon, Chung, & Kim, 2018), and last but not least, (d) no foodborne contamination can be excluded. Consequently, it appears regarding the numerous opinions and conclusions of the different research and awareness that fruits and vegetables including minimally processed ones might play a non-negligible role in spreading SARS-CoV-2 infection. Of course, this role is relatively much less than the classic mode of transmission of person-to-person. However, this mode of transmission should not be completely ignored and taken to some extent into consideration, and we should learn from the previous outbreaks rather than to minimize a risk that can lead to what we do not know. Indeed, what is scaring about SARS-CoV-2 is not “what we know about it, but what we do not know.” As depicted by Figure 1, we obviously see the potential risk of being directly or indirectly contaminated by SARS-CoV-2 caused by the handling of contaminated fruits and vegetables and where human plays a major role in this scenario. At this stage and before a vaccine is developed, our main goal and unique confrontation is at least to slow down the spread of the virus and save lives. Therefore, beside the good hygiene practices at the farm, wholesale and retail levels, small actions should be taken. First, it is recommended to simply thoroughly wash the fresh produce before consuming. It is recommended to wash thoroughly the fresh fruits and vegetables consumed raw (for an enhanced safety and because the enveloped viruses are the easiest to kill, dip fresh fruits and raw eaten vegetables 30 s in water containing 0.1% sodium hypochlorite or domestic bleach c.a. 10 drops per liter), and this wash is more efficient to inactivate SARS-CoV-2 if present or any other enveloped virus as confirmed by numerous studies. Because diet is necessary to our life and well-being, we should perpetually have in mind the safety of what we are eating. In the context of SARS-CoV-2, each avoided contamination which might lead to death is likely a saved life and therefore a small step to our victory against this disease, and often small action can make a big difference.

6 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, to date SARS-CoV-2 has caused the death of more than 2.5 million persons and this number is increasing daily and no one is in the position to predict reliably when this number will decrease or stop. Among these deaths, no data are available on how much exactly have been caused by person-to-person contact and contact with other materials including fruits and vegetables in the grocery or the wholesale markets. Even though the number of infected persons from fruits and vegetables might be extremely low in comparison, it is a life that could have been saved by breaking the transmission chain of the virus in the fresh crops supply chain.
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