Cytokinin Signaling Downstream of the His-Asp Phosphorelay Network: Cytokinin-Regulated Genes and Their Functions
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The plant hormone cytokinin, existing in several molecular forms, is perceived by membrane-localized histidine kinases. The signal is transduced to transcription factors of the type-B response regulator family localized in the nucleus by a multi-step histidine-aspartate phosphorelay network employing histidine phosphotransmitters as shuttle proteins across the nuclear envelope. The type-B response regulators activate a number of primary response genes, some of which trigger in turn further signaling events and the expression of secondary response genes. Most genes activated in both rounds of transcription were identified with high confidence using different transcriptomic toolkits and meta analyses of multiple individual published datasets. In this review, we attempt to summarize the existing knowledge about the primary and secondary cytokinin response genes in order to try connecting gene expression with the multitude of effects that cytokinin exerts within the plant body and throughout the lifespan of a plant.
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INTRODUCTION

The plant hormone cytokinin, regulates a wide range of processes in plants, ranging from development (growth, meristem activity, vascular development) over metabolism and physiology (source–sink relationships, secondary metabolism) to environmental interactions (both biotic and abiotic) (Mok and Mok, 2001; Argueso et al., 2009; Werner and Schmülling, 2009; Kieber and Schaller, 2014, 2018; Cortleven et al., 2019; Wybouw and De Rybel, 2019).

The immediate-early cytokinin signaling network is an extended version of the two-component signaling system known in prokaryotes. Besides having receptors (histidine kinases, HK) and transcription factors (type-B response regulators, RRB) like the original prokaryotic system, this plant-specific multi-step His-Asp phosphorelay system is augmented by mobile signaling components traveling between cytosol and nucleus (histidine phosphotransmitters, HPT), and negative feedback regulators (type-A response regulators, RRA) (Heyl et al., 2013). The RRB transcription factors are transcriptional activators, and numerous transcriptomic studies have not found genes that are consistently negatively regulated in response to cytokinin at very early time points (Brenner et al., 2012; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015), suggesting that RRBs have no repressive function.
Among the cytokinin-induced genes, there are numerous signal transduction components such as transcription factors, protein kinases, F-box proteins, etc. (Rashotte et al., 2003; Brenner et al., 2005, 2012; Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). Some of them are immediate-early response genes, the transcripts of which have started to accumulate as early as 15 min after cytokinin treatment. Others are induced at later time points, indicating that several subsequent rounds of gene expression happen after cytokinin treatment. Additionally, few genes transducing downstream branches of the cytokinin signal were found by other means.

Some of the cytokinin-regulated signal transduction genes have been functionally characterized, a few of them in great detail, and in part focusing on aspects other than cytokinin. This review aims at summarizing the accumulated knowledge about selected signaling components downstream of the phosphorelay signaling system, at finding functional interactions between them, and at presenting hypotheses resulting from mechanistic and functional considerations.

The regulation of gene expression is obviously not the only means by which signals are transduced. However, other means such as different post-translational protein modifications are not as easily detected in a comprehensive manner. Thus, this review focuses on genes transcriptionally regulated by cytokinin and mentions other means of signal transduction only if they have been shown in the context of the respective gene.

In the following paragraphs, the collected knowledge of selected cytokinin-regulated genes will be presented in order to derive ideas about their functions and their contribution to the hormonal effects of cytokinin. The selection of genes (Table 1) is largely based on their frequency of occurrence in transcriptomic investigations of the global gene expression response to the hormone in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana.

**GENES INVOLVED IN CYTOKININ HOMEOSTASIS**

**Cytokinin Biosynthesis and Activation**

CYP735A2 is a gene encoding a cytochrome p450 family protein with trans-hydroxylation enzyme activity forming trans-zeaatin (tZ)-type cytokinins from N^{6}(\Delta^{3}\text{-isopentenyl}) adenine (iP)-type cytokinins (Takei et al., 2004b; Kiba et al., 2013). This change of the side-chain structure is relevant for the biological activity of the respective cytokinin derivative (Schmitz et al., 1972; Mok et al., 1978) and their route of transportation via phloem or xylem (Takei et al., 2001a; Hirose et al., 2007; Kudo et al., 2010; Kiba et al., 2013). Previous studies showed that the CYP735A2 transcript is induced by all forms of active cytokinins including the synthetic cytokinin BA, while the transcript of the paralog CYP735A1 is insensitive to cytokinin (Takei et al., 2004b; Brenner et al., 2012; Bhargava et al., 2013). The CYP735A2 promoter harbors several core motifs and one extended motif binding type-B RR (Brenner et al., 2012; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015), linking it with immediate-early cytokinin signaling network. Both CYP735A enzymes can be inhibited by uniconazole (Sasaki et al., 2013).

CYP735A2 is mainly expressed in roots (Takei et al., 2001b, 2004b; Schmid et al., 2005). Higher expression levels were also found during petal differentiation, in hypocotyls and in the leaf-forming structures of the shoot apical meristem (Schmid et al., 2005). The encoded protein is predicted to be localized in mitochondria and extracellular regions. A proteomic study has found the protein in the plasmodesmata (Fernandez-Calvino et al., 2011).

CYP735A2 is regarded as one of the major genes in maintaining the homeostasis of active cytokinins (Wang et al., 2013). This was concluded after studies with an ugt76c1 mutant showed attenuated N-glycosylation of tZ and iP but stable tZ and iP content and normal developmental phenotypes. The upregulation of CYP735A2 is the likely reason for that stable homeostasis.

CYP735A2 is strongly upregulated by increases of the nitrate concentration in the medium (Ramireddy et al., 2014). In contrast, increased phosphate availability, acidity, and osmotic stress downregulate CYP735A2 expression (Ramireddy et al., 2014). The CYP735A2 enzyme produces tZ-type cytokinins predominantly in the root, which are then transported to the shoot, promoting shoot growth (Takei et al., 2004b; Hirose et al., 2007; Kiba et al., 2013). Cytokinin has been proven to be one of the systemic signals of nitrogen availability in the soil (Krouk et al., 2011; Ruffel et al., 2011; Poitout et al., 2018; Vega et al., 2019). Therefore, it is concluded that CYP735A2 may be the main regulator of that systemic signal (Ramireddy et al., 2014). However, CYP735A2-produced tZ is not the only root-to-shoot nitrate signal since cytokinin-independent signaling by mobile peptides has also been found (Ruffel et al., 2016). In addition to being a long-distance signal promoting shoot growth in the presence of nitrate, cytokinin directly influences root system architecture by suppressing root growth and branching (Ramireddy et al., 2014).

CYP735A2 is strongly upregulated by cytokinin in roots. This can be regarded as part of a feed-forward loop. Such feed-forward loops tend to increase the signal through itself. On the other hand, cytokinin signaling involves numerous feedback loops mediated through type-A response regulators or cytokinin-degrading enzymes (e.g., CKX4, UGT76C2). Temporally separated counteracting feed-forward and feed-back loops are frequently observed in developmental biology as they help establish patterning by promoting steeper gradients of morphogens between different domains. In this scenario, a feed forward loop may help establish a state of no return, fixing the developmental fate of a cell or a group of cells. In terms of long-distance signaling, a feed-forward loop may conceptually be a signal enhancing mechanism to increase the speed of signal propagation. In this case, that concept would be realized by a process in which tZ-activated CYP735A2 successively synthesizes tZ in the tissue at the arriving tZ signal. Such a mechanism may be faster than the process relying on transport with the water stream in the xylem, which is dependent on water evaporation of the
TABLE 1 | Cytokinin-regulated genes reviewed in this article.

| Gene ID   | Gene name | Cited in | Function (named in publications) | Crosstalk with major pathways |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| AT1G71110 | CYP73SA2  | 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16 | Conversion of iP-type cytokinins to tZ-type cytokinins |                              |
| AT4G29740 | CKX4      | 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16 | Irreversible degradation of cytokinins by cleavage |                              |
| AT5G05860 | UGT76C2   | 4, 6, 8, 12, 15, 16 | Irreversible inactivation of cytokinins by N-glucosylation |                              |
| AT4G23750 | CRF2      | 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16 | De novo SAM generation in calli Lateral root elongation Root architecture in the cold | Auxin                         |
| AT2G46310 | CRF5      | 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 15, 16 | Development of embryos, cotyledons and leaves | Auxin                         |
| AT3G61830 | CRF6      | 12, 13, 15 | Leaf senescence | Auxin                         |
| AT1G68360 | GIS3      | 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16 | Trichome cell differentiation Root hair differentiation | Gibberellin                   |
| AT1G16530 | LBD3/ASL9 | 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16 | Leaf development |                              |
| AT1G31320 | LBD4      | 13, 15, 16 | Cambium activity, vascular development, xylem differentiation |                              |
| AT2G21650 | RL2/MEE3/RSM1 | 3, 6, 8, 15 | Endosperm development Gravitropism Photomorphogenesis Embryo development Floral development Seed germination Response to salinity | Auxin ABA                     |
| AT4G26150 | CGA1/GNL/GATA22 | 5, 6, 8, 15 | Chloroplast biogenesis Chloroplast proliferation Chlorophyll biosynthesis Leaf senescence Flowering time Phylotaxis Branching Floral development Silique length Nitrate assimilation | Light Gibberellin Auxin       |
| AT1G13740 | AF2P      | 5, 8, 13, 15 | Seed dormancy Flowering time | ABA                           |
| AT3G44326 | CF8       | 14, 15, 16 | Sterol biosynthesis |                              |
| AT1G78580 | TPS1      | 3, 8, 15 | Trehalose-6-phosphate homeostasis Primary metabolism Sink-source relations |                              |
| AT2G22860 | PSK2      | 3, 5 | Cell division and proliferation Adventitious organ formation Pollen germination and growth Chlorophyll biosynthesis Differentiation of tracheary elements Stress-induced senescence |                              |
| AT2G17500 | PILS5     | 7, 12, 13, 15, 16 | Negative regulator of auxin signaling | Auxin                         |
| At2g34350 |          | 3, 15 | Biotic and abiotic stress (?) | JA                            |
| At1g11670 | DTX36     | 15, 16 | Toxin and heavy metal efflux (?) Cell cycle (?) | Phytochrome                   |
| AT2G17820 | AKH1/HT1  | 14, 15 | Osmosensor | ABA                           |
| AT3G51660 | MDL3      | 5, 15, 16 | Response to pathogens (?) Response to stress (?) |                              |

* Numbers refer to the following publications: 1 Che et al., 2002; 2 Hoth et al., 2003; 3 Rashotte et al., 2003; 4 Kiba et al., 2004; 5 Brenner et al., 2005; 6 Kiba et al., 2005; 7 Rashotte et al., 2006; 8 Lee et al., 2007; 9 Taniguchi et al., 2007; 10 Yokoyama et al., 2007; 11 Argyros et al., 2008; 12 Heyl et al., 2008; 13 Brenner and Schmülling, 2012; 14 Brenner et al., 2012; 15 Bhargava et al., 2013; 16 Brenner and Schmülling, 2015.

upper shoot and may therefore, under conditions of little evaporation, be quite slow.

**Cytokinin Deactivation and Degradation**

**CKX4** encodes one of the seven cytokinin oxidases/dehydrogenases in Arabidopsis (Werner et al., 2001, 2003, 2006), and is the only one whose transcript levels are strongly induced by cytokinin treatment (Rashotte et al., 2003; Brenner et al., 2005). CKX enzymes degrade cytokinins irreversibly by cleaving the adenine or adenosine moiety from the respective side chain. Thus, the induction of the **CKX4** gene by cytokinin may be regarded as another negative feedback mechanism superimposed to the negative feedback by type-A response regulators at the signaling level.

**CKX4** is predominantly expressed in the root cap, but also in meristemoid cells of the leaf epidermis forming stomata (Werner et al., 2003). Other authors found **CKX4** expression in a wide variety of other tissues, including the shoot apex (Schmid et al., 2005; Obulareddy et al., 2013) and in the endo-reduplicating cells of developing trichomes and stipules (Werner et al., 2006). No exact function of the **CKX4** gene could be established by analysis of single mutants as it obviously has overlapping functions with other **CKX** genes. Overexpression of **CKX4** as well as other **CKX** genes appeared to increase tolerance to drought, heat, or salt stress. Apparently, decreased levels of iP and tZ, which are the main substrates of **CKX4** (Gajdošová et al., 2011), play a major role in establishing drought, heat, or salt stress tolerance (Wang et al., 2020). It was also determined that **CKX4** plays a role in the pathogen-induced reduction of cytokinin levels after inoculation with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. Tomato DC3000 since the gene is induced by the phytotoxin coronatine delivered through the type III secretion system, thereby downregulating the plant defense system (Thilmony et al., 2006). Lastly, **CKX4** expression is down-regulated by IAA (Bilyeu et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2020), underlining the importance of this gene in auxin–cytokinin crosstalk.

Although the main expression domain of **CKX4** is in the root cap, it has most likely also a function in the shoot apical meristem:
A ckx3 ckx4 double mutant showed a significant increase of the meristem activity manifesting in a higher number of flowers and siliques (Bartrina et al., 2011). In the shoot apical meristem, cytokinin oxidases/dehydrogenases are involved in cytokinin homeostasis to maintain meristem activity at sustainable levels, and CKX4 may be an active negative feedback regulator in this signaling circuitry due to its responsiveness to cytokinin.

The CKX4 protein is most likely secreted into the apoplast as it has corresponding sequence features and is also secreted when expressed in the yeast *P. pastoris* (Bilyeu et al., 2001; Werner et al., 2003). Computational localization predicts the protein also to localize in the ER. Other CKX proteins were predicted to be localized in the mitochondria (Schmülling et al., 2003), or found in the vacuole (Šmehilová et al., 2009; Kowalska et al., 2010), and in the cytoplasm (Zürcher and Müller, 2016). iP-ribotides and tZ-ribotides are the predominant long-range transport forms of cytokinin, but their respective locations of biosynthesis and directions of transport differ fundamentally: While tZ-type cytokinins move from the root to the shoot in the xylem, iP-type cytokinins are transported rootward through symplastic connections in the phloem (Takei et al., 2001b; Corbesier et al., 2003; Matsumoto-Kitano et al., 2008; Shimizu-Sato et al., 2008; Kudo et al., 2010; Bishopp et al., 2011). Given their different subcellular locations, it is likely that different CKX genes are specialized in degrading different types of cytokinins with differing functions.

CKX5 is another cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase gene mainly expressed in the testa and in old leaves and primarily appears to have functions in germination, senescence and flowering (Gajdošová et al., 2011; Klepikova et al., 2016). Like CKX4, the CKX5 protein is localized in the ER and secreted into the apoplast (Werner et al., 2003; Zürcher and Müller, 2016). CKX5 is not very specific with regards to its substrate and metabolizes, in contrast to CKX4, cis-zeatin and cis-zeatin riboside quite efficiently (Gajdošová et al., 2011). These findings underline the assumption that different CKX enzymes are degrading different forms of cytokinin. Differential tissue-specific expression patterns suggest that the degradation of specific cytokinins happens in specific parts of the plant. Complex glycosylation patterns were found, and it has been speculated that these may be responsible for the regulation of enzymatic activity, protein stability, pH optimum, or subcellular localization (Schmülling et al., 2003; Werner et al., 2003; Gajdošová et al., 2011).

Another cytokinin-deactivating gene transcriptionally induced by cytokinin is *UGT76C2*, which encodes a cytokinin N-glycosyltransferase of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Wang J. et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015; Šmehilová et al., 2016). It is one out of three UGTs having the ability to deactivate cytokinin *in vivo*. *UGT76C2* is an immediate-early cytokinin response gene (Kiba et al., 2004, 2005; Lee et al., 2007; Heyl et al., 2008), and its gene product was shown to be located in the cytosol (Šmehilová et al., 2016). The gene shows a spatio-temporal expression pattern in plants with high expression levels in roots, hypocotyls, cotyledons, young leaves, young lateral roots and immature seeds, but low expression levels in inflorescences and other tissues (Wang J. et al., 2011).

In comparison to the wild type, the amount of cytokinin N-glycosides is reduced in *ugt76c2* loss-of-function mutant plants and increased in plants overexpressing *UGT76C2*. The content of active cytokinins is increased in *ugt76c2* mutant plants, which is reflected by pertinent phenotypes in roots (root length and lateral root density), leaves (chlorophyll retention in detached leaves kept in the dark), and seeds (seed size) correlating with typical cytokinin functions (Wang J. et al., 2011). Being a cytokinin-deactivating gene, *UGT76C2* influences the expression of other cytokinin homeostasis and signaling genes: In *UGT76C2*-deficient plants, the positive regulators of the cytokinin status *AHK2, AHK3, ARR1*, and *IPT5* are down-regulated, while the negative regulator *CKX3* is up-regulated (Wang J. et al., 2011). Generally, loss of UGT enzyme activity tends to be compensated by an increased CKX gene activity (Šmehilová et al., 2016). Transgenic plants overexpressing the *UGT76C2* gene show enhanced tolerance to water deficit suggesting a function of UGT76C2 in drought stress adaptation (Li et al., 2015).

In summary, CKX4, CKX5, and *UGT76C2* show crosstalk signaling with other cytokinin homeostasis and signaling genes, such as the receptor genes *AHK2, AHK3, AHK4* and the response regulator genes *ARR1* and *ARR2*, suggesting a complex network of balancing feed-forward and feed-back loops, and signal attenuation events that may be differentially shaped depending on cell type, tissue or the underlying conditions.

**TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR GENES REGULATED BY CYTOKININ**

The Arabidopsis genome harbors more than 1,600 genes encoding transcription factors, more than 5% of the protein-coding genes. Based on their phylogenetic relationship they can be grouped into at least 11 major families. Members of at least four families, ERF/AP2, zinc finger, LBD/ASL, and MYB, are directly or indirectly transcriptionally regulated by cytokinin.

**Cytokinin-Responsive CRF Genes Have Roles in Diverse Areas Such as Stress Response and Development**

According to sequence similarity, *CRF2, CRF5, and CRF6* are the three cytokinin-responsive genes of a group of six identified as the CRF (Cytokinin Response Factor) subset of ERF/AP2 transcription factor genes (Rashotte et al., 2006; Rashotte and Goertzen, 2010; Culliflne et al., 2011; Jeon et al., 2016). Among other functions, they play a major role in establishing adjustments to pathogens, wounding and cold (Müller and Munné-Bosch, 2015; Sun X. et al., 2020). All three of the encoded proteins contain a highly conserved DNA-binding AP2 domain in the central region. This domain is around 60 amino acids long (Weigel, 1995; Culliflne et al., 2011) and directly binds to the GCC-Box, which appears to be the key motif in the promoters of ethylene-responsive genes (Hao et al., 1998; Sakuma et al., 2002; Rashotte and Goertzen, 2010; Sun X. et al., 2020). Additionally, they have a C-terminal MAPK phosphorylation site.
and an N-terminal CRF domain. Deletion constructs lacking the C-terminal domains of CRF5 demonstrated that the AP2 domain is required for target gene transcription (Cutcilffe et al., 2011; Strieberny et al., 2017).

CRF proteins form dimers among each other, with the CRF domain functioning as the sole dimerization domain (Cutcilffe et al., 2011). They also interact with all histidine phosphotransmitter proteins and some of the type-A and type-B response regulator proteins of the TCS pathway, probably also by means of the CRF domain, but with none of the cytokinin receptors. Specific interactions between response regulators and CRF proteins were reported for CRF2 with ARR1, ARR7, ARR10, and ARR12, for CRF5 with ARR1 and ARR12, and for CRF6 with ARR6, ARR9, ARR10, and ARR11 (Rashotte et al., 2006; Cutcliffe et al., 2011; Jeon et al., 2016; Zwack et al., 2016). For CRF2, and CRF5, multiple type-B RR binding motifs were found in the 5‘ region (Brenner et al., 2012; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015).

Outside of the cytokinin signaling network, CRFs influence the auxin transport machinery. Transcription of the two auxin efflux carrier genes PIN1 and PIN7 is directly up-regulated by CRFs binding to PIN CYTOKININ RESPONSE ELEMENTs (PCREs) in the promoter regions of PIN1 and PIN7. Consequently, plants lacking CRF activity show aberrations in developmental patterning consistent with abnormal auxin distribution. Investigations of the root suggested that CRFs fine-tune root growth and development (Šimášková et al., 2015).

As demonstrated by mutant phenotypes, the CRF proteins act as developmental regulators in embryos, leaves, and cotyledons (Rashotte et al., 2006). Gene expression data suggest that CRF2 is important for root development (Schlereth et al., 2010), highly expressed in seeds imbibed for 1 day, and moderately expressed in cotyledons and roots of 1-day-old seedlings, young leaves, seed forming organs and developing seeds (Klepikova et al., 2016). CRF5 appears to have it highest expression rate in the shoot apex, in the female floral organs (particularly in the ovules), in mature seeds, in the root, and in the axis of the inflorescence. CRF6 has its highest expression levels in petals, carpels, the first internode and in the mature leaves. It is not expressed in the embryo so that the first expression of CRF6 is shown in the cotyledon of a 1-day-old seedling. Of all three cytokinin-regulated CRFs, CRF2 has the highest expression level (Klepikova et al., 2016).

There is strong evidence that CRF2 plays a crucial role in the MONOPTEROS (MP) signaling pathway during de novo shoot apical meristem (SAM) generation in calli. The transcription factor MP directly binds to the CRF2 promoter and positively regulates its expression, positioning CRF2 as a downstream signaling molecule of MP (Schlereth et al., 2010; Kcurshumova et al., 2014). Loss of function of CRF2 totally abolished the increased shoot formation present in calli expressing a constitutively active variant of MP (Kcurshumova et al., 2014). The finding that CRF2 is a strong positive regulator of shoot regeneration from calli, more precisely the de novo establishment of SAMs, strongly suggests a role as a mediator of the cytokinin signal in this cytokinin-dependent process.

Besides its role in fine-tuning root growth and de novo SAM generation, the transcription factor CRF2 is involved in lateral root (LR) elongation. Together with CRF3, it promotes LR elongation, which is strongly reduced under cold stress in crf2 crf3 double mutants (Jeon et al., 2016). Interestingly, cold-induced up-regulation of the CRF2 transcript is partially dependent on the two-component signaling system (Jeon et al., 2016), indicating convergence of multiple signaling pathways upstream of the CCR2 promoter. Whereas cytokinin inhibits LR initiation (Riefler et al., 2006; Laplaze et al., 2007; Bielach et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2015), it is involved in LR elongation, and cytokinin-responsive genes, among them CRF2, are expressed in emerging lateral roots. In summary, CRF2 is involved in shaping root system architecture in response to cold, and is probably also involved in the cellular signal transduction of other root growth responses mediated by cytokinin.

Cytokinin has a major function in delaying leaf senescence (Werner and Schmülling, 2009). Recently it was found that CRF6 has a major role in dark-induced and stress-induced senescence and is most likely part of a fine-tuning system between both senescence pathways. Among the receptors, the main mediator of this response is AHK3 (Kim et al., 2006). Furthermore, the CRF6 protein acts as a negative regulator in developmental leaf senescence and senescence caused by oxidative stress (Zwack and Zwack et al., 2016). From experiments with H2O2 it was concluded that CRF6 has a function as a transcriptional suppressor repressing the expression of the type-A RRs ARR6, ARR9, and of the type-B RR ARR11 in terms of signaling, LOG7 in terms of cytokinin biosynthesis and ABCG14 in terms of cytokinin transport (Zwack et al., 2016). The role of cytokinin and its downstream signaling components in alleviating diverse stresses is still not fully investigated. Research into this topic may lead to findings of potential importance in agriculture when applied in green biotechnology (Gan and Amasino, 1995).

In summary, cytokinin-regulated CRFs appear to mediate a number of cytokinin-related plant responses in different organs. As most of these CRFs are also regulators in other signaling pathways, they can be regarded as hubs for crosstalk and signal integration between cytokinin and stress-related signaling.

**GIS3: A Link Between Cytokinin and Trichome/Root Hair Development**

The protein encoded by GIS3 (At1G68360, GLABROUS INFLORESCENCE STEM) is a member of the C2H2-type zinc finger family of transcription factors and is induced by cytokinin as early as 15 min (Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). It is a direct target of the type-B response regulator ARR10 (Zubo et al., 2017). The C2H2 subfamily of zinc finger transcription factors contains the GIS subfamily made up of ZFP5, ZFP6, ZFP8, GIS, GIS2, and GIS3 (Sun et al., 2015), two of which (ZFP6, ZFP8) were also reported as direct targets of ARR10 (Zubo et al., 2017). The main function of GIS3 is in trichome development where it is a positive regulator of trichome cell differentiation and morphogenesis (Sun et al., 2015; Han et al., 2020). GIS3 is primarily expressed in these tissues but additionally in roots (Schmid et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2015).

Cytokinin is a positive regulator of trichome formation in Arabidopsis, and it is even able to induce trichome formation when applied to organs that normally do not form trichomes, such as floral organs (Greenboim-Wainberg et al., 2005). Cytokinin signaling promoting trichome differentiation...
is transduced through two other C2H2 zinc finger proteins, ZFP8 and GIS2, the latter being a cytokinin-inducible gene itself (Gan et al., 2007b). GIS3 acts upstream of GIS, GIS2 and ZFP8 to induce trichome development by binding to their promoters (Gan et al., 2007a;b; Sun et al., 2015). It was shown that the Gibberellin-activated signaling pathway plays a key role for trichome development (Gan et al., 2007b; Sun et al., 2015). Thus, GIS3 appears to be the signaling component that feeds the cytokinin signal into the module consisting of GIS, ZFP8, and GIS2 to integrate cytokinin and gibberellin signaling.

Another function of certain C2H2 zinc finger transcription factors regarding the development of epidermal layers is in root hair development in Arabidopsis, integrating cytokinin and gibberellin signals (Han et al., 2020). Consequently, the gene is mainly expressed in root hair cells (Zhou Z.-Y. et al., 2011; An et al., 2012) but additionally it is involved in initiation of inflorescence trichomes in response to gibberellin (Zhou Z.-Y. et al., 2011). Similar to trichome development, GIS3 functions upstream of GIS, GIS2 and ZFP8, the latter being again directly targeted by GIS3 (Zhou Z.-Y. et al., 2011).

The involvement of virtually identical signaling molecules in the development of ( unicellular) trichomes and root hairs in Arabidopsis underlines the idea that both structures are developmentally related. Consequently, cytokinin plays the same promoting role in the formation of both trichomes and root hairs.

**Cytokinin-Regulated Genes Encoding LOB Domain Proteins Involved in Secondary Growth and Vascular Development**

*LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY DOMAIN* (LBD) genes encode a plant-specific transcription factor family whose first discovered member LOB shows a ring-shaped expression pattern around the sites where lateral organs emerge from an axis (Shuai et al., 2002). These genes are also referred to as ASL (AS2-like) genes, based on their sequence similarity to ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 (Iwakawa et al., 2002).

The *LBD3*/ASL9 transcript was found to be induced by cytokinin in a number of transcriptomic studies (Rashotte et al., 2003; Kiba et al., 2005; Bhargava et al., 2013), but by no other hormone (Naito et al., 2007). Consistent with that, its promoter contains type-B response regulator binding sites (Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). No other LBD gene was rapidly responsive to cytokinin (Naito et al., 2007).

Another LBD gene regulated by cytokinin, albeit at later stages of the response (2 h after induction and later), is *LBD4* (AT1G31320). Unlike most cytokinin-responsive genes, which are regulated in the same way in root and shoot, *LBD4* is specifically upregulated in the root but not in the shoot (Brenner and Schmülling, 2012). *LBD4* was identified as part of a feedforward loop in a transcriptional network analysis to identify signaling mechanisms controlling vascular development (Smit et al., 2020). This network transduces the signal of TDIF, a mobile CLE peptide perceived by the PXY receptor, leading to the upregulation of several WOX genes (Hirakawa et al., 2008, 2010; Etchells et al., 2013; Morita et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). The ligand-receptor pair of TDIF and PXY is part of a regulatory loop between phloem and cambium controlling xylem differentiation through another transcription factor, BES1 (Kondo et al., 2014).

Both *LBD3* and *LBD4*, the two closest relatives among the LBD genes, are redundantly involved in vascular development as shown by mutant and overexpression analysis (Smit et al., 2020). Remarkably, *LBD4* is expressed at the phloem-procambium boundary, in accordance with the general expression pattern of genes of the LBD family at organ boundaries. It is hypothesized that *LBD4* may function as a boundary regulator or as an enhancer of cell divisions at the phloem side of the procambium, or to have both functions. Since redundancy of *LBD3* and *LBD4* was determined, it is very likely that *LBD3* acts in the same way. Both genes may act as a signaling hub feeding the cytokinin signal into the system controlling vascular development and cambial activity with *LBD4* acting as a factor differentiating between root and shoot.

**MEE3: Signaling Hub Coupling Cytokinin With Auxin and ABA Signal Transduction**

*MEE3* (AT2G21650, *MATERNAL EFFECT EMBRYO ARREST 3*), also known as *RSM1* or *ATRL2*, belongs to the family of MYB-related transcription factors. It is a gene responding at a later time point to a cytokinin pulse, probably not being directly coupled to the phlorelay network by the type-B response regulators.

*MEE3* is essential for endosperm development, gravitropism, and photomorphogenesis. Additionally, it may have roles in embryo development, plant hormone interaction, floral development, and response to stress, and modulates seed germination and seedling development in response to abscisic acid and salinity (Riechmann and Ratcliffe, 2000; Pagnussat et al., 2005; Baxter et al., 2007; Hamaguchi et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2018).

During early photomorphogenesis, *MEE3* may be implicated in *HOOKLESS1* (HLS1)-mediated auxin signaling, negatively regulating this pathway as a feedback regulator by a mechanism that is so far unknown (Hamaguchi et al., 2008). This observation may reflect part of the antagonistic effect of cytokinin on auxin action. The mutually inhibitory influence of the two hormones on each other’s action is known since a long time from phenotypical observations and is realized on the molecular level through hormone homeostasis and signal inhibition (Dello Ioio et al., 2008; Müller and Sheen, 2008; Schaller et al., 2015). Thus, *MEE3* may be another piece to be added to the multi-faceted auxin–cytokinin interaction network.

*MEE3* binds to the *ABI5* promoter driving the expression of a transcription factor negatively regulating seed germination, major mediator of abscisic acid (ABA) signal transduction and abiotic stress response (Finkelstein, 1994; Finkelstein and Lynch, 2000; Lopez-Molina et al., 2001; Nakamura et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2018). In addition, *MEE3* physically interacts with the transcription factor HY5, which promotes photomorphogenesis and activates *ABI5* expression (Alabadi and Blázquez, 2008; Yang et al., 2018). This interaction between *MEE3*, HY5, and the *ABI5* promoter appears to modulate the sensitivity of several abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent processes to the hormone. This
way, cytokinin signaling couples into the ABA and abiotic stress response pathway by means of regulating MEE3 expression.

All of the above leads to the conclusion that regulation of ABA-, auxin- and abiotic stress response may be partially mediated by MEE3 as a secondary response gene of cytokinin during early morphogenesis (Hamaguchi et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2018).

LLM Domain-Containing GATA Transcription Factors Mediate Multiple Developmental Processes and Promote Chloroplast Development

GATA transcription factors belong to one of four subfamilies of the C2C2 zinc finger proteins. Characteristically, they bind to the consensus sequence (T/A)GATA(G/A), which was found in the promoters of many light-regulated genes (Teakle et al., 2002; Reyes et al., 2004). Among them, CGA1/GNL/GATA22 was found to be transcriptionally regulated by cytokinin in a number of transcriptomic studies (Kiba et al., 2004; Brenner et al., 2005; Bhargava et al., 2013) as an early-responding gene, probably directly activated by type-B response regulators. In addition to cytokinin, the CGA1 transcript is also regulated by nitrate (Price et al., 2004; Scheible et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Bi et al., 2005), (red) light (Manfield et al., 2007), and sugar (Wang et al., 2003; Price et al., 2004; Scheible et al., 2004), and is under the control of the circadian clock (Harmer et al., 2000; Alabadi et al., 2002; Manfield et al., 2007). Although CGA1 is co-regulated with seven other GATA transcription factor genes (GATA15, GATA16, GATA17, GATA17L, GATA21/GNC), all of which contain an LLM (Leu-Leu-Met) domain (Ranftl et al., 2016), it is special with regards to its particularly strong reaction to cytokinin. Of these, the two paralogs GATA21/GNC and GATA22/GNL/CGA1 are repressed by the homeotic floral organ identity transcription factors AP3 and PI (Mara and Irish, 2008). Higher-order mutants of these transcription factor genes showed defects in several cytokinin-regulated developmental processes such as phyllotaxis, cytokinin-induction of leaf greening and suppression of chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence, branching and plant height, the number of floral organs and silique length (Ranftl et al., 2016).

CGA1 has multiple roles in plant development and physiology. In terms of crosstalk with other hormones, it represses gibberellin signaling downstream of the DELLA proteins and PIFs (Richter et al., 2010), enabling a negative regulation of gibberellin signaling by cytokinin. Consistently, plants overexpressing CGA1 show an altered timing of numerous developmental events such as germination, leaf production, flowering and senescence (Hudson et al., 2011). CGA1 was suspected as a point of convergence of cytokinin, light, and gibberellin signaling (Köllmer et al., 2011). The repressive effect of CGA1 on flowering time is mediated by direct transcriptional repression of the flowering time regulator SOC1, simultaneously influencing greening (Bastakis et al., 2018) and cold tolerance (Richter et al., 2013a). In addition, auxin signaling converges at CGA1, repressing its expression through ARF7 (Richter et al., 2013b).

Mutant analysis revealed that CGA1 promotes chlorophyll biosynthesis by modulating the expression of a number of chlorophyll biosynthesis genes (Mara and Irish, 2008; Hudson et al., 2011). However, not only chlorophyll biosynthesis is regulated by CGA1, but chloroplast proliferation in all aspects, development, growth, and division. For these processes, CGA1 was assigned the role of a master regulator because overexpression causes ectopic chloroplast development even in roots or in darkness (Chiang et al., 2012; Zubo et al., 2018). From the analysis of mutants, it was also concluded that the positive effect of cytokinin on chloroplasts is at least partially transduced through CGA1. During wound-induced root greening, CGA1 and GNC are important factors transducing the cytokinin signal, but the exact way how CGA1 and other GATA transcription factors induce the transcription of photosynthesis-related genes is not known (Kobayashi and Iwase, 2017; Kobayashi et al., 2017). These findings are consistent with the observation that cytokinin shifts the root transcriptome toward a more shoot-like profile, which may be largely due to chloroplast genes becoming expressed in the root after an extended period of cytokinin treatment (Brenner and Schmülling, 2012).

In terms of metabolism, CGA1 positively regulates the expression of GLU1 encoding the chloroplast-localized GLUTAMATE SYNTHASE1, the primary enzyme controlling nitrogen assimilation in green tissue and providing substrate for chlorophyll biosynthesis (Hudson et al., 2011). This may be another section of nitrate signaling mediated by cytokinin, coupling into processes related to greening and photosynthesis.

SIGNALLING BY TARGETED PROTEIN DEGRADATION

AFP2: An ABA Signaling Component Targeting ABI5 for Proteasomal Degradation

AFP2 (AT1G13740, ABI FIVE-BINDING PROTEIN) belongs to a small family of five genes in Arabidopsis (Garcia et al., 2008), whose members bind to the transcription factor and key regulator of the ABA response ABI5, thereby attenuating the ABA response by targeting ABI5 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation (Lopez-Molina et al., 2003). All these proteins share three conserved domains of unknown function (Garcia et al., 2008). Additionally, the transcriptional repression of ABI5 target genes may be mediated by recruitment of a co-repressor of the TOPLESS family (Pauwels et al., 2010; Causier et al., 2012; Lynch et al., 2017). AFP proteins also interact with themselves and other members of the AFP family, and, remarkably, also with histone deacetylases, providing another level of gene regulation by chromatin modification. AFP2 has also emerged as a regulator for breaking heat-induced secondary seed dormancy (Chang et al., 2018) and as a factor delaying flowering time (Chang et al., 2019).
Cytokinin negatively regulates ABA-dependent responses such as drought and salt tolerance (Tran et al., 2007). Thus, cytokinin-induced upregulation of AFP2 may be one of the molecular links mediating the negative influence of cytokinin on ABA signaling. Another mechanism of cytokinin-ABA signaling crosstalk is the direct interaction of several type-A RRs with ABI5, inhibiting its function as a transcription factor (Wang Y. et al., 2011).

**CFB: A Cytokinin-Regulated Gene Directly Interfering With a Key Enzyme of Sterol Biosynthesis**

*CFB* (At3G4326, CYTOKININ-REGULATED F-BOX PROTEIN) has emerged as one of the most robustly upregulated genes after cytokinin treatment in meta-analyses of microarray experiments and RNA-Seq transcriptomics (Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). It is an early-responding gene and as such probably directly activated by type-B response regulators. It encodes an F-box protein belonging to a small group of three related proteins in Arabidopsis (Brenner et al., 2017). Orthologs exist in all land plants. The group of CFB-like proteins is characterized by an F-box carrying the unique motif ILTRLDG not found in the F-box domain of any other F-box protein. In addition, the proteins possess five domains of unknown function, two highly conserved sequence motifs, and a C-terminal transmembrane domain.

The CFB protein interacts with the only cycloartenol synthase enzyme in Arabidopsis, CAS1, thereby downregulating a bottleneck step in plant sterol biosynthesis. The resulting accumulation of 2,3-oxidosqualene in young shoot tissue causes a disturbed and delayed development of chloroplasts resulting in accumulation of 2,3-oxidosqualene in young shoot tissue causes a disturbed and delayed development of chloroplasts resulting in white shoot tips. In which tissues and for what purpose a possible downregulation of sterol biosynthesis by cytokinin may be relevant for plant development or other processes is not known.

**SMALL DOWNSTREAM EFFECTORS**

**TPS1 and Trehalose-6-Phosphate: Cytokinin Influencing Primary Metabolism**

Trehalose-6-phosphate (T6P) is a major signaling molecule in plants regulating sucrose levels, hence it is referred to as “the plant insulin.” Levels of free sucrose in tissues are regulated by the formation or degradation of starch. This regulation is governed by T6P, the levels of which are highly positively correlated to sucrose levels, leading to the formation of a homeostatic feedback regulatory circuit referred to as the sucrose-T6P nexus (Figueroa and Lunn, 2016).

T6P homeostasis is governed by two enzymatic activities, trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS) for synthesis, and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP) for degradation. Transcriptomic experiments have revealed that genes encoding these two types of enzymes are regulated in a reciprocal manner by cytokinin: Upon cytokinin treatment, *TPS* transcripts are more abundant and *TPP* transcripts are less abundant, while in cytokinin-deficient plants the opposite is true (Brenner et al., 2005). Thus, T6P levels are likely to be increased under cytokinin treatment while T6P levels are probably reduced in cytokinin-deficient plants. T6P directs primary metabolism toward a more consumptive mode, thus a cytokinin-induced increase would be consistent with the generally proliferative action of the hormone. However, it is not clear whether *TPS1* (AT1G78580), which appears to encode the major T6P biosynthetic enzyme (Fichtner et al., 2020), and the other enzymes involved in T6P homeostasis are directly regulated by the cytokinin-dependent TCS signaling network or whether the homeostatic regulation mentioned above is an indirect effect of altered sucrose levels due to cytokinin modulating carbohydrate consumption by, e.g., growth processes. Motifs that are demonstrated to bind type-B response regulators (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014) or that are enriched in cytokinin-responsive promoters (Brenner and Schmülling, 2015) are present in the promoter region of *TPS1*, favoring the idea of direct manipulation of T6P homeostasis and the associated changes in primary metabolism by cytokinin.

**PSK2: Phytosulfokine as a Downstream Signal of Cytokinin Leading to Its Proliferating and Chloroplast-Promoting Action?**

Phytosulfokine (PSK) is a 5 aa-long peptide sulfated at two tyrosine residues that was first identified in conditioned medium of plant cell cultures, where it is the primary signal molecule for cell-cell communication promoting callus growth. Due to that property, PSK can be regarded as a plant growth factor. There are at least five PSK precursor genes in Arabidopsis, of which the PSK2 gene (AT2G22860) is induced by cytokinin (Rashotte et al., 2003; Brenner et al., 2005). Genes encoding proteases and tyrosylprotein sulfotransferases processing the PSK precursor proteins were also identified in the Arabidopsis genome, as well as respective receptors (Matsubayashi et al., 2006a,b).

Besides its effects on callus proliferation, PSK is also associated with a number of events associated with growth and proliferation in whole plants. The PSK transcripts in rice are highly expressed in the proliferating zones of the root and shoot meristems (Yang H. et al., 1999). PSK promotes adventitious bud formation in *Antirrhinum* (Yang G. et al., 1999), adventitious root formation from hypocotyls in cucumber (Yamakawa et al., 1998b), somatic embryogenesis (Kobayashi et al., 1999; Hanai et al., 2000; Igasaki et al., 2003), and pollen germination and growth (Chen et al., 2000; Stührwohldt et al., 2015). It also enhances chlorophyll biosynthesis in the dark such as during the night or under etiolating conditions (Yamakawa et al., 1998a; 1999). Finally, PSK promotes the differentiation of tracheary elements (Matsubayashi et al., 1999) and retards stress-induced senescence (Yamakawa et al., 1999).

Many of these PSK functions overlap with the effects observed by cytokinin and are in accordance with the generally proliferative, growth-promoting and anti-senescent action of the hormone. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that PSK may be an important downstream signal of cytokinin. The PSK
promoter contains several motifs either found to be bound by type-B response regulators or enriched in the promoters of other cytokinin-induced genes, encouraging investigations into the PSK2 gene as part of the downstream signaling network of cytokinin.

**TRANSPORT ACROSS MEMBRANES**

**PILS5, a Player in Cytokinin–Auxin Interactions**

**PILS5** (AT2G17500, **PIN-LIKES 5**) encodes a PIN transporter-like auxin efflux carrier protein and is induced by cytokinin during the late response (≥120 min) (Brenner et al., 2005; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). There are seven members in the PIN-LIKES family. PILS proteins have predicted topological similarities to PIN-FORMED proteins, despite the circumstance that they only share 10–18% of their sequence (Feraru et al., 2012; Sun L. et al., 2020). PILS family members were identified by the presence of the auxin carrier domain spanning nearly the whole length of the PILS protein. Due to that domain, PILS proteins are predicted to have auxin transport function (Barbez et al., 2012). However, it is difficult to pinpoint functional residues within the domain. Moreover, nothing is known about possible post-translational modifications, but generic phosphorylation sites, kinase specific phosphorylation sites and isoform variations were predicted (Blom et al., 1999, 2004). Furthermore, different numbers of serine, threonine and tyrosine phosphorylation sites were used to assign three different classes of PILS proteins. PILS5 was grouped into class one because it has less than 10 phosphorylation sites (Feraru et al., 2012).

Interestingly and in contrast to the proper PIN transporters, the subcellular localization of PILS proteins is in the ER (Barbez et al., 2012). For that reason, expression of PILS transporters results in a retention of auxin within cells. They sequester auxin at the ER, limiting active auxin availability in the nucleus, thereby attenuating auxin signaling and decreasing cellular sensitivity to auxin (Barbez et al., 2012; Feraru et al., 2012, 2019; Béziat et al., 2017). Furthermore, it affects auxin homeostasis and signaling by regulating the auxin conjugation rate and its intracellular accumulation. Consequently, **PILS5** gain-of-function results in multiple phenotypic changes consistent with a low auxin status regarding root organ growth (lateral root formation positively, root-hair elongation negatively), growth regulation in general, as well as seedling growth and development (Barbez et al., 2012; Dal Bosco et al., 2012; Feraru et al., 2012; Barbez and Kleine-Vehn, 2013; Sun L. et al., 2020).

Phylogenetic analyses revealed that PILS proteins are probably older than PIN-FORMED proteins, hence intracellular auxin accumulation is evolutionary older PIN dependent auxin transport (Feraru et al., 2012). Nearly all family members except for PILS4 originate from lineage specific duplications. They are grouped into three different clades with PILS5 grouped into Clade III (Feraru et al., 2012).

Transcription of **PILSS** is strongly dependent on auxin, cytokinin and brassinosteroid levels (Sun L. et al., 2020). Additionally, abiotic factors such as light and temperature, repress **PILS5** expression, leading to growth effects reminiscent of a higher auxin status (Feraru et al., 2012; Béziat et al., 2017; Sun L. et al., 2020). The gene is expressed during all developmental stages, specifically in mature pollen (Klepikova et al., 2016), seedling, cauline leaves, and flowers (Barbez et al., 2012). Through the well-known antagonistic action between auxin and cytokinin, **PILS5** indirectly affects homeostasis and signaling of cytokinin (Kuderová et al., 2008; Naseem and Dandekar, 2012). That antagonism may be accomplished by auxin mediated shifts in pH that regulate cytokinin receptor activity (Werner and Schmülling, 2009). A more direct signaling mechanism is the upregulation of certain type-A response regulator genes by the auxin signal transduction (Müller and Sheen, 2008). AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR3 represses cytokinin biosynthesis and signaling at multiple levels (Zhang et al., 2018). During plant development these interactions are important, e.g., for cell specification, growth and size of plant structures both below-ground and above-ground (Müller and Sheen, 2007; Taniguchi et al., 2007; Dello Ioio et al., 2008).

In summary, **PILS5** promotes auxin accumulation at the ER, thereby repressing auxin signaling (Barbez et al., 2012; Feraru et al., 2012; Sun L. et al., 2020). As cytokinin supposedly increases **PILS5** activity by transcriptionally activating the corresponding gene, **PILS5** may be one of the players that mediate the negative influence of cytokinin on auxin signaling, making it a factor in mediating crosstalk of cytokinin and auxin.

**DTX36: A Transmembrane Export Protein Probably Involved in Abiotic Stress Response**

**DTX36** (At1g11670, **DETOXIFICATION 36**) encodes a MATE-related efflux protein located in membranes, particularly in the plasma membrane (Li et al., 2002; Gaudet et al., 2011). It is part of a gene family of at least 56 members mediating the efflux of endogenous compounds such as phenols and heavy metals (Li et al., 2002). Upregulated at 15 min after cytokinin treatment, **DTX36** is an early cytokinin response gene (Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015), probably directly activated by type-B response regulators. Furthermore, the gene is regulated by the cell cycle peaking in the G1 phase (Menges et al., 2002). Another process during which **DTX36** is regulated is photomorphogenesis induced by the phytochrome pathway: **fhy3** and **far1** mutants show reduced **DTX36** expression (Hudson et al., 2003).

**DTX36** is expressed in nearly every structure from seed, root, shoot, leaves, to inflorescence structures (Schmid et al., 2005; Obulareddy et al., 2013). The highest expression levels were found in seeds after 3 days of soaking and in the root apex of seedlings, whereas the lowest expression levels were found in dry seeds (Klepikova et al., 2016). Generally, the expression in the roots was higher than in aboveground organs.

Cytokinin has been implicated in stress responses in numerous studies (Naseem et al., 2014; Bielach et al., 2017; Yang and Li, 2017; Huang et al., 2018; Kieber and Schaller, 2018; Corteleven et al., 2019). Here, an unspecific stress response gene is induced by cytokinin in an immediate-early fashion, further...
corroborating the function of cytokinin as a hormone involved in stress response.

**At2g34350: A Nodulin-Like Major Facilitator Superfamily Gene With Links to Biotic and Abiotic Stress**

According to sequence similarity, the gene At2g34350 is a Nodulin-like major facilitator superfamily protein. As a member of this family, it is probably involved in transmembrane transport of hydrophilic molecules or water itself. Genes of this family are mainly associated with the response to abiotic stress, but also to biotic stress (Bezerra-Neto et al., 2019).

The gene is primarily expressed in the root apex (Klepikova et al., 2016) and is induced by cytokinin as a late (120 min) response gene (Rashotte et al., 2003; Bhargava et al., 2013). Its cytokinin-dependent expression pattern was further confirmed in plants overexpressing ARR22, a negative regulator of cytokinin signaling (Wallmeroth et al., 2017, 2019), where its transcript levels were lower (Kiba et al., 2004).

Furthermore, the gene is also regulated by salt stress (Sottosanto et al., 2004) and the jasmonate signaling pathway (Chini et al., 2007), corroborating the idea that it has a role in biotic and abiotic stress response. Its exact function, however, has not yet been investigated.

**GENES WITH OTHER FUNCTIONS**

**AHK1: A Probable Osmosensor**

Another gene induced by cytokinin is **AHK1** (At2G17820, *ARABIDOPSIS HISTIDINE KINASE 1*) (Brenner and Schmülling, 2012; Bhargava et al., 2013). The gene encodes a member of the histidine kinase family and is involved in response to osmotic stress, response to water deprivation, seed maturation and stomatal complex patterning (Tran et al., 2007; Wohlbach et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2013). Unlike the three cytokinin receptors AHK2, AHK3, and AHK4, which belong to the same family, AHK1 is an osmosensor, but – lacking the cytokinin-binding CHASE domain – not a cytokinin sensor. Just like the cytokinin receptors, AHK1 acts according to the principle of histidine phosphotransfer (Urao et al., 1999).

The gene is expressed in nearly every plant structure in relatively even levels (Schmid et al., 2005; Obulareddy et al., 2013; Klepikova et al., 2016). The subcellular localization of the Arabidopsis protein and at least one of the poplar orthologs is in the plasma membrane (Caesar et al., 2011; Héricourt et al., 2013, 2016).

AHK1 is suggested to be a positive regulator in stress response through ABA-dependent and ABA-independent signaling pathways. Furthermore, it has a major role in plant growth (Tran et al., 2007). Additionally, it is a necessary player to prevent desiccation during seed development and also in vegetative tissues (Wohlbach et al., 2008). How water limitation is actually sensed is not finally clarified, however, the predicted extracellular domain is essential for its activity (Urao et al., 1999). AHK1 most likely integrates mechanisms such as sensing of cell volume, shape, turgor pressure, or macromolecular crowding to a downstream signal that is so far unknown (Kumar et al., 2013). Interestingly, it was shown in poplar that the cytokinin receptors are also able to interact with those histidine phosphotransmitter proteins through which the poplar orthologs of AHK1 signal (Héricourt et al., 2019). Conversely, the poplar orthologs of AHK1 are unable to interact with a subset of histidine phosphotransfer proteins that are uniquely interacting with the cytokinin receptors. Thus, in poplar, crosstalk can happen from cytokinin into the osmosensing pathway, but not vice versa. Similar investigations in Arabidopsis are missing.

**MDL3: A Gene of Unknown Function With Links to Diverse Abiotic Stresses**

The **MDL3** gene (At3G51660, *MACROPHAGE MIGRATION INHIBITORY FACTOR/D-DOPACHROME TAUTOMERASE-LIKE PROTEIN 3*) is expressed in nearly every Arabidopsis plant structure including even plant sperm cells and guard cells (Schmid et al., 2005; Obulareddy et al., 2013; Klepikova et al., 2016). The highest expression levels were found in the petioles of senescent leaves and in the pods of older siliques (Klepikova et al., 2016). The encoded protein is an LS1-like protein belonging to the tautomerase/MIF superfamily and is localized in the peroxisomes (Reumann et al., 2007).

Proteins of this family are found in mammalian and non-mammalian organisms and are known as upstream mediators of various immune responses. In plants it most likely integrates intracellular effects and induces precursor proteins which are part of the secondary plant metabolite signaling pathway (Panstruga et al., 2015; Sparkes et al., 2017). The transcript is induced as early as 15 min after cytokinin treatment (Brenner et al., 2005; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015), by cold stress (Mori et al., 2018), osmotic stress, wounding, and UV-B radiation (Panstruga et al., 2015). The protein is most likely a part of self-protection of plants in response to pathogens and environmental stress (Reumann et al., 2007; Ascencio-Ibáñez et al., 2008; Panstruga et al., 2015; Mori et al., 2018) and therefore possibly also part of cytokinin-mediated stress responses. Its subcellular localization in the peroxisome substantiates a possible function in defense and/or detoxification mechanisms (Reumann et al., 2007).

**DISCUSSION**

In the previous paragraphs, accumulated knowledge about a selection of cytokinin-regulated genes was collected and summarized (Table 1). The selection of genes was based on the number of occurrences primary literature about cytokinin-related transcriptomic studies (Brenner et al., 2012; Bhargava et al., 2013; Brenner and Schmülling, 2015). These genes can be regarded as a subset of the most reliably cytokinin-regulated genes. We focused our selection on signaling genes, but included genes with other functions as well if significant knowledge was found in the literature.

Collecting information available in the literature has revealed numerous functional connections between cytokinin and processes such as plant development, primary metabolism, biotic and abiotic stress response, cytokinin homeostasis and...
phytohormone crosstalk. These connections between cytokinin-regulated genes and plant processes known to be controlled by them are summarized in Figure 1. The scheme shows how the cytokinin signal splits up into several strands, each one transduced by its own component to result in the different hormonal actions.

One conspicuous observation is that although the percentage of kinase-encoding genes in the Arabidopsis genome is >3%, there was only one cytokinin-regulated (0.05%) kinase found among the set of genes considered as the most reliably cytokinin regulated ones outside of the phosphorelay signaling system. The activity of kinases is usually regulated by means other than their mere abundance, and the transcript levels of genes encoding kinases are often quite stable under a wide range of conditions. Kinases are rather regulated by other means such as the presence of ligands or posttranslational modification. This way, transcriptomic experiments do not necessarily shed light on the kinase parts of signaling pathways, limiting the approach using transcriptomic data in this respect. On the other hand, transcriptomic data are easy to generate and may serve as a starting point for in-depth investigations leading to the discovery of signaling chains involving events other than transcriptional regulation such as kinase activities.

Feed-Forward and Feed-Back Loops Must Be Spatially and Temporally Separated
It is known that there is an immediate feed-back loop built into the phosphorelay system in the form of the type-A response regulators (Kiba et al., 2003; To et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2007). The existence of feed-back at the level of hormone homeostasis by deactivating and degrading enzymes has also been noted (Kieber and Schaller, 2014). Generally, feed-back loops are a frequently emerging theme in developmental biology, and their roles are exhaustingly covered.

In contrast, the role of a feed-forward mechanism at the level of cytokinin homeostasis has not found much attention. It is generally contradicting the paradigm of the self-limiting action of the hormone in order to maintain stable developmental processes. One scenario that requires escalating a signal by a feed-forward mechanism is rapid long-distance signaling as it happens, for instance, during the propagation of the electrical signal in the axons of nerve cells followed by a delayed feed-back mechanism.

It is certain that cytokinin is the long-distance signal to transmit nitrogen availability to the shoot tip in order to control a sustainable growth rate of the shoot (Miyawaki et al., 2004; Takei et al., 2004a). The cytokinins transported shootward in the xylem belong to the tZ type, the members of which are catalytically formed by the CYP735A enzymes from iP-type cytokinins (Takei et al., 2004b; Kiba et al., 2013). The CYP735A2 gene is responsive to both nitrate and cytokinin and mainly expressed in the root, but it has not been determined in which cell types it is expressed. It is tempting to speculate that CYP735A2 induced in cells neighboring xylem elements (e.g., xylem parenchyma cells) may lead to a rapid increase of tZ-type cytokinins in the xylem vessels, even more so as the protein is predicted to be localized in the apoplast. The increase of active tZ would in turn trigger the induction of CYP735A2 activity in cells further upstream, releasing more tZ into the xylem in the shootward direction. This mechanism could speed up the migration of the tZ signal beyond the velocity of the xylem stream. Thus, the signal would travel at a speed largely independent of the velocity of the water stream in the xylem vessels, which strongly depends on the transpiration rate, and would be driven by the feed-forward loop of biosynthesis, perception and signaling, rapidly propagating over long distances. To this end, CYP735A2 should be expected to be expressed in cells neighboring the xylem vessels, such as xylem parenchyma cells. This hypothesis, however, remains to be tested.

Feed-forward and feed-back mechanisms have to be carefully controlled as they may form a wasteful short-circuit if they are active at the same time or place. Thus, it is to be expected to find feed-forward components distinctly from feed-back components. In certain situations, there may be a temporal succession of a feed-forward phase followed by a feed-back phase to first escalate the cytokinin signal before seeking homeostasis.

Multi-Layered Cytokinin–Auxin Interplay
Auxin and cytokinin action are closely interwoven and each of the two hormone influences the status of the other at multiple layers and through multiple signaling pathways, mostly in an antagonistic fashion (Dello Ioio et al., 2008; Müller and Sheen, 2008). Components of that largely unknown network continue to be discovered, such as SYAC1 very recently (Hurný et al., 2020).

Some of the cytokinin–auxin crosstalk components, however, have been characterized. Polar auxin transport may be influenced via CRFs transcriptionally regulating PIN expression (Šimášková et al., 2015). The cytokinin-induced MYB-related transcription factor MEE3 is a negative regulator of the HOOKLESS1-dependent auxin signaling pathway during early seedling morphogenesis (Hamaguchi et al., 2008). Cytokinin-stimulated PILS5 expression may sequester auxin into the ER, removing it from the nucleus where it is supposed to exhibit its activity (Barbez et al., 2012; Feraru et al., 2012; Sun L. et al., 2020). All three examples of crosstalk show a negative influence of cytokinin to auxin action. On the other hand, cytokinin upregulates auxin biosynthesis by increasing TAA1 and YUCCA8 expression (Jones et al., 2010; Zhou Z. et al., 2011; Schaller et al., 2015; Di et al., 2016). The crucial function of the two hormones acting in complementary patterns in many developing structures of the plant has been reviewed to great detail (Schaller et al., 2015).

Downstream Effectors Mediate Part of the Cytokinin Action
Despite the discovery of multiple signaling hubs mediating crosstalk between cytokinin and other pathways for hormone, environmental, and developmental signals, it is still not understood how the multitude of hormonal effects comes into action. Light may be shed on parts of these unknown links by investigating how cytokinin affects the levels and activities of downstream effectors. Cytokinin has been implicated in the regulation of sink–source relationships (Werner et al., 2008; Kieber and Schaller, 2014). The finding that genes responsible
FIGURE 1 | Scheme showing selected cytokinin-regulated genes related to their functions described in published literature.
for the homeostasis of a master regulator of primary metabolism, trehalose-6-phosphate, are differentially regulated in cytokinin-treated and cytokinin-deficient plants may give a clue on how the cytokinin signal is integrated into the control of primary metabolism.

The general proliferative effect of cytokinin may be mediated by phytosulfokine (PSK), as one of the five PSK precursor genes is positively regulated by the hormone. Not only is PSK regarded as the plant growth factor, but it is also implicated in chloroplast development and other processes driven by cytokinin. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that PSK is a downstream regulator of the plant growth factor, but it is also implicated in chloroplast compartmentalization.
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