Regulation of ytfK by cAMP-CRP Contributes to SpoT-Dependent Accumulation of (p)ppGpp in Response to Carbon Starvation
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Guanosine penta- or tetraphosphate (known as (p)ppGpp) serves as second messenger to respond to nutrient downshift and other environmental stresses, a phenomenon called stringent response. Accumulation of (p)ppGpp promotes the coordinated inhibition of macromolecule synthesis, as well as the activation of stress response pathways to cope and adapt to harmful conditions. In Escherichia coli, the (p)ppGpp level is tightly regulated by two enzymes, the (p)ppGpp synthetase RelA and the bifunctional synthetase/hydrolase SpoT. We recently identified the small protein YtfK as a key regulator of SpoT-mediated activation of stringent response in E. coli. Here, we further characterized the regulation of ytfK. We observed that ytfK is subjected to catabolite repression and is positively regulated by the cyclic AMP (cAMP)-cAMP receptor protein (CRP) complex. Importantly, YtfK contributes to SpoT-dependent accumulation of (p)ppGpp and cell survival in response to glucose starvation. Therefore, regulation of ytfK by the cAMP-CRP appears important to adjust (p)ppGpp level and coordinate cellular metabolism in response to glucose availability.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteria have evolved efficient stress response mechanisms to quickly adjust cell growth and metabolism according to challenging environments. One of such bacterial responses is the near-universal stringent response. The hyperphosphorylated derivatives of GDP and GTP, guanosine tetra- and pentaphosphate (collectively named (p)ppGpp), are the central signaling molecules of the stringent response (Cashel and Gallant, 1969; Potrykus and Cashel, 2008). These alarmones allow rapid and robust stress adaptation by affecting gene expression and metabolism (Cashel, 1969; Potrykus and Cashel, 2008; Hauryliuk et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Steinchen and Bange, 2016). Since, (p)ppGpp has also emerged as an important regulator of bacterial virulence, survival during host invasion (reviewed in Hauryliuk et al., 2015; Irving et al., 2021) and antibiotic resistance and tolerance (Nguyen et al., 2011; Amato et al., 2013; Helaine et al., 2014; Amato and Brynildsen, 2015).

The RelA–SpoT Homologue (RSH) family of bifunctional proteins is key players in synthesizing and degrading (p)ppGpp (Atkinson et al., 2011). Therefore, the tight balance between both reciprocal activities constitutes a crucial point of regulation for fine tuning...
The long RSH proteins share a similar domain architecture and can be divided into two regions of similar size. The N-terminal half of the protein harbors the catalytic synthetase and the hydrolase domains. The C-terminal half of the protein contains four regulatory (TGS, helical, CC and ACT) domains with essential role in sensing and transducing stress signal to the catalytic domains (Hogg et al., 2004; Hauryliuk et al., 2015; Pausch et al., 2020; Tamman et al., 2020). In most gamma and beta-proteobacteria, to which *Escherichia coli* belongs, the stringent response is driven by two paralogueous RSH enzymes named RelA and SpoT. While SpoT has both functional synthetase and hydrolase domains, RelA is a monofunctional synthetase with a degenerated inactive hydrolase domain, making SpoT the primary source of (p)ppGpp hydrolysis (Xiao et al., 1991).

The (p)ppGpp synthetase activity of RelA is triggered in response to amino acid starvation via a ribosomal mechanism. Under this condition, deacetylated tRNAs accumulate and activation occurs when RelA binds with an uncharged tRNA at an empty A-site of a stalled ribosome (Cashel and Gallant, 1969; Haseltine and Block, 1973; Arena et al., 2016; Winther et al., 2018).

SpoT functions as a central protein which integrates various stress signals (Hernandez and Bremer, 1991; Xiao et al., 1991), other than amino acid starvation, such as fatty acid (Seyfzadeh et al., 1993), carbon (Xiao et al., 1991), iron (Vinella et al., 2005) and phosphate (Spira et al., 1995) starvations. Importantly, the hydrolysis activity of SpoT is crucial for balancing the basal activity of RelA. Indeed, disruption of the spoT gene in the presence of relA leads to a lethal accumulation of (p)ppGpp (Xiao et al., 1991; Baba et al., 2006). Therefore, a fine regulation of reciprocal SpoT activities is essential to correctly adjust intracellular (p)ppGpp level in response to bacterial surrounding.

Interaction of SpoT with other protein partners directly controls the balance between SpoT activities. Indeed, it has been reported that the acyl carrier protein (ACP) binds the TGS domain of SpoT to promote (p)ppGpp accumulation during fatty acid starvation (Battesti and Bouveret, 2006). Interaction of SpoT with the CgtA/ObgE GTPase is proposed to modulate hydrolase activity during exponential growth (Wout et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2007). In addition, SpoT hydrolase activity is promoted by binding to the anti-σ70 factor Rsd upon carbon downshift (Lee et al., 2018). Finally and more recently, we reported that the small protein YtfK can directly interact with the catalytic domains of SpoT to activate the stringent response under fatty acid or phosphate starvations by tilting the catalytic balance toward synthesis rather than hydrolysis (Germain et al., 2019). Moreover, the SpoT-YtfK ratio controls the switch of SpoT activities (Germain et al., 2019). Therefore, regulation of the level of YtfK protein is crucial for adjusting (p)ppGpp level in response to external stresses.

In this study, we dissected the ytfK promoter region and searched for new candidate genes involved in regulation of ytfK expression. Overexpression of one of these genes (*cpdA*), encoding a CAMP phosphodiesterase, strongly decreases ytfK expression. Importantly, our results show that the CAMP-CRP complex directly binds the ytfK promoter region to positively regulate its transcription in response to glucose availability. Moreover, we show that YtfK contributes to SpoT-dependent accumulation of (p)ppGpp and cell survival during glucose deprivation. Therefore, regulation of ytfK by the CAMP-CRP complex seems to play an important role in sensing and transducing signal to SpoT to coordinate cellular metabolism in response to glucose availability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Media, and Growth Conditions**

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in *Supplementary Table S1*. *E. coli* DH5α strain was the general cloning host. All *E. coli* strains were derived from MG1655 strain and grown at 37°C in LB (Lysogeny Broth) liquid medium from Oxoid (LP0021B and LP0042B; Clark and Maaloe, 1967) or NA (Nutrient Agar) solid medium from Oxoid (CM0003B). M9 minimal liquid medium was composed of M9 salt (60 mM NaHPO4, 22 mM KH2PO4, 8 mM NaCl, and 20 mM NH4Cl), 1 mM MgSO4, 100 μM CaCl2, 1 μg/ml thiamine, and 0.025% or 0.2% glucose. MOPS minimal liquid medium was prepared as previously described (Neidhardt et al., 1974) and was free of nucleobases and amino acids. When necessary, media were supplemented with 80 μg/ml X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside) and antibiotics used at the following concentrations: 50 μg/ml ampicillin, 50 μg/ml chloramphenicol, and 25 μg/ml kanamycin. P1 transductions were performed as previously described (Thomason et al., 2007).

Expression of lambda recombinase from pKD46 (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000) was induced by adding 0.2% of arabinose and by growing cells at 30°C during 1.5 h. pKD46 plasmid was then eliminated by streaking colonies on NA solid medium and by incubating plates overnight at 37°C. Kanamycin resistance cassette was flipped out as previously described (Cherepanov and Wackernagel, 1995).

**DNA Manipulations**

Plasmids used in this work are listed in *Supplementary Table S1* and were extracted using Monarch plasmid miniprep kit (Biolabs). PCRs were carried out from colonies with Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) to amplify DNA fragments used for cloning or strain constructions and Gotaq flexi DNA polymerase (Promega) for diagnostic PCR. PCR products were purified using the Monarch DNA gel extraction kit (Biolabs).

**Plasmid Constructions**

The plasmid derivatives used in this study (*Supplementary Table S1*) were constructed by amplifying genes by PCR from template chromosomal DNA using primers listed in *Supplementary Table S2* and by digesting DNA with restriction enzymes indicated in *Supplementary Table S2*.**

---
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Construction of Reporter Strains
Transcriptional and translational fusions reporter strains were constructed by two-step λ-red-mediated recombination, adapted from Blank et al. (2011). A chloramphenicol resistance cassette was amplified by PCR together with an I-SceI recognition site using pWRG100 plasmid as template with primers (357/358) for translational and (353/354 or 577/354) for transcriptional fusions (Supplementary Table S2) containing a 50 bp homologous sequence with upstream and downstream of the ytfK locus. MG1655 strain harboring pKD46 plasmid was electroporated with the resulting fragment and the insertion of the I-SceI:cat fragment into the target locus was verified by PCR and then P1 transduced into the TB28 strain. The resulting strain was then transformed with pWRG99 harboring the I-SceI endonuclease under the control of an anhydrotetracycline inducible promoter. The chloramphenicol resistance cassette was then removed by counter selection using lambda red recombination to insert a PCR product complementary to the flanking regions of the I-SceI:cat cassette on the chromosome. The PCR product of the different transcriptional fusions was transformed with primers (355/356) and pGH254:P\textsubscript{atk} P\textsubscript{1} P\textsubscript{2}:lacZ or pGH254:P\textsubscript{atk} P\textsubscript{1}:lacZ as templates or primers (708/356) and pGH254:P\textsubscript{atk} P\textsubscript{1}:lacZ as template (Supplementary Tables S1, S2). The translational fusion PCR product was obtained by PCR amplification with primers (360/361) and pGH254 as template (Supplementary Tables S1, S2). Selection of successful recombinants was mediated by spreading cells on NA plates containing ampicillin, X-gal, and 1 μg/ml anhydrotetracycline. The proper integration of transcriptional or translational fusions was confirmed by diagnostic PCR and then sequenced.

Construction of the Δcrp Mutant
Deletion of the crp gene was achieved by replacement of the crp locus with a kanamycin resistance cassette using λ-red-mediated recombination as previously described (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). The kanamycin resistance cassette was amplified from pKD4 plasmid template with primers (362/363; Supplementary Tables S1, S2) containing a 50 bp homologous extension with upstream and downstream of the coding sequence of crp. The resulting PCR product was then used to transform, by electroporation, MG1655 strain harboring pKD46 plasmid. Deletion of crp was confirmed by diagnostic PCR.

Genetic Screening for the Identification of Genes Involved in Regulation of ytfK Expression
A collection of plasmids containing 6His-tagged genes (minus GFP) from the ASKA library (Kitagawa et al., 2005) was used to transform the TB28 translational fusion (ytfK P1 + P2) reporter strain by electroporation. Cells were diluted and spread on NA plates supplemented with chloramphenicol, 50 or 200 μM IPTG and 80 μg/ml X-gal. Petri plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and colonies were screened for their dysregulated expression of ytfK (Supplementary Figure S1), then streaked and their plasmids were sequenced.

6His-CRP Tagged Protein Purification
The pEG25::6His-crp plasmid expressing crp with an N-terminal 6His tag, under the control of the T5 lac promoter inducible by IPTG was used to transform BL21 (DE3) cells. Several transformants were grown at 37°C overnight in LB medium containing 100 μg/ml of ampicillin. Culture was then diluted 50-fold in 21 of the same medium and incubated at 37°C with shaking until OD\textsubscript{600}nm = 0.6. The expression of the 6His-CRP protein was induced by adding IPTG at a final concentration of 0.5 mM for 2 h. Bacteria were harvested (9,000 × g, 20 min at 4°C) and the pellet was stored at −80°C. Cells were resuspended and incubated in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 300 μM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM imidazole, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 20 μg/ml DNase and 15 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}) for 1.5 h at 4°C with gentle shaking and were disrupted using three cycles of French press lysis steps. The cleared lysate was recovered by centrifugation (6,080 × g, 25 min) and 6His-CRP protein was purified by ion metal affinity chromatography using a 5 ml Nickel (HiTrapHP) column on an AKTA pure 25 (GE healthcare) and desalted using HiPrep 26/10 Desalting column, as previously described (Germain et al., 2019; Supplementary Figure S2A).

The last step in 6His-CRP purification was achieved by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a HiLoad 26/600 Superdex 200 pg, column pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 500 mM KCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 2% glycerol. The SEC chromatogram is visualized in Supplementary Figure S2B. The purity of the 6His-CRP was verified by SDS-gel electrophoresis (Supplementary Figure S2C). The 6His-CRP protein was stored at −80°C in storage buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 238 mM NaCl, 22% glycerol, and 1.6 mM β-mercaptoethanol).

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay
5’ or 3’-Cy5-labeled DNA fragments of the ytfK promoter region were obtained by PCR amplification with appropriate oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table S2). DNA fragments (5 nM) were incubated for 15 min at 37°C with 6His-CRP (12.5, 25, 65, 130, 195, 300, or 500 μM) or not, in 14 μl of the binding buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}, 1 mM DTT (dithiothreitol), 1 mg/ml BSA (bovine serum albumin), 200 μM cAMP, 10% glycerol and 12 μg/ml poly (di-dC)]. Ten microliters of the sample were then loaded into a 5% polyacrylamide (37.5/1 [wt/wt] acrylamide-bisacrylamide) gel containing 0.25X TBE (tris-borate EDTA) pH 7.5, 10% glycerol and 12 μg/ml poly (di-dC). Ten microliters of the sample were then loaded into a 5% polyacrylamide (37.5/1 [wt/wt] acrylamide-bisacrylamide) gel containing 0.25X TBE (tris-borate EDTA) pH 7.5, 10% glycerol and 200 μM CAMP. After migration (10 μl, 37°C for 2 h), the bands were visualized using phosphoImaging (GE Healthcare). The DNA fragment of ytfK promoter region deleted for the putative CRP-binding site was obtained by PCR extension of overlapping DNA fragments using appropriate oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table S2).

β-Galactosidase Activity Assay
Cells expressing transcriptional fusion (ytfK P1 + P2) were grown at 37°C in MOPS minimal medium containing 0.025% of glucose.
and 0.4 mM KH₂PO₄. At the indicated time point, OD₆₀₀nm was measured and 200 µl of the culture was taken at indicated times and incubated with 800 µl of Z-Buffer (0.06 M Na₂HPO₄, 7 H₂O, 0.04 M NaH₂PO₄, H₂O, 0.01 M KCl, 0.001 M MgSO₄, 7 H₂O and 0.05 M β-mercaptoethanol). Twenty microliters of chloroform was added and cells were vortexed 3 × 10 s followed by incubation at room temperature for 2 min. Fifty microliters of the sample was incubated with 150 µl of Z-Buffer pre-heated to 28°C. ONPG was added at a final concentration of 0.67 mg/ml and the β-galactosidase activity was measured according to the Miller method (Miller, 1992) by following the OD₄₂₀nm with TECAN microplate reader.

In vivo (p)ppGpp Assessment

Bacteria were grown at 37°C overnight in MOPS medium containing 2 mM KH₂PO₄ and 0.2% glucose. Cells were then diluted 100-fold in 500 µl of fresh MOPS medium containing 0.4 mM phosphate (KH₂PO₄) and 0.025% glucose. Cells were continuously and uniformly labeled with 5 µl of ³²P (0.37 MBq/µl, PerkinElmer) and grown at 37°C with shaking (440 rpm). Fifty microliters of samples were taken at the indicated times and 20 µl of 21 M ice-cold formic acid was added to stop the reaction. Samples were kept on ice for 20 min and then stored at −20°C. Cell extracts were recovered by centrifugation (14,000 × g for 60 min at 4°C). Five microliters of each sample were spotted into PEI Cellulose TLC (thin layer chromatography) plates (purchased from Mercedes-Millipore) and resolved with 1.5 M KH₂PO₄, pH 3.4. TLC plates were then revealed by PhosphoImaging (GE Healthcare) and analyzed using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare). The amount of pppGpp was normalized by the amount of ppGpp, ppGpp and GTP for the wild-type strain and the ΔytfK mutant and by the amount of ppGpp and GTP for the ΔrelA and ΔrelAΔytfK mutants.

Cell Survival to Prolonged Carbon Starvation

Overnight cultures of M9 minimum medium containing 2% of glucose were diluted 100-fold in fresh M9 medium containing 0.025% of glucose. Bacteria were cultivated during 120 h with shaking at 37°C. Evaporated water was measured and compensated by regularly adding the missing volume of water throughout the culture. Aliquots were taken at indicated hours, cells were serially diluted and plated on NA medium. Plates were then incubated at 37°C overnight and the number of CFU/ml was determined.

RESULTS

ytfK Is Transcribed From Two-Independent Promoters and the cAMP Level Plays a Key Regulatory Role in ytfK Expression

ytfK is transcribed as a monocistronic unit (Figure 1A). One promoter, referenced in this study as P1 promoter, has been shown to be recognized by the sigma factor σS (Lacour and Landini, 2004), which is proposed to be involved in the induction of ytfK expression at the onset of stationary phase (Salgado et al., 2013). In addition, two pho boxes recognized by PhoB are located upstream the P1 transcriptional start site and are required for induction of ytfK expression in response to phosphate starvation (Baek and Lee, 2006; Yoshida et al., 2011; Figure 1A). Moreover, a second putative P2 promoter is predicted (Lacour and Landini, 2004) upstream the P1 promoter but has not yet been experimentally validated.

In order to dissect the promoter region of ytfK and to analyze the genetic regulation of ytfK expression, we first generated (Figure 1A; see Materials and Methods) chromosomal translational (ytfK TL P1 + P2) and several truncated transcriptional lacZ fusions (ytfK PL, ytfK P2, or ytfK P1 + P2) to follow promoter activity on X-gal plates. As shown in Figure 1A, ytfK expression is driven from two-independent promoters when cells were spotted on nutrient rich agar, thus confirming the existence of a distal P2 promoter.

To gain further insight on how ytfK is regulated, we used cells harboring the translational fusion (ytfK TL P1 + P2) and searched for activators and inhibitors of ytfK expression by overexpressing E. coli genes from the ASKA library pool (Kitagawa et al., 2005) and by using screening assay on X-gal plates for selection of clones with dysregulated lacZ activity (Figure 1B). The ASKA library encompasses almost all E. coli genes cloned into the high-copy-number vector pCA24N, under the control of a P₅₅- lac promoter inducible by IPTG (Kitagawa et al., 2005). Out of the approximately 40,000 clones screened, 29 candidates were selected and their plasmids were sequenced (Table 1; Supplementary Figure S1).

We confirmed, after re-cloning into a more suitable physiological plasmid harboring a tight IPTG-inducible P₅₅-lac promoter (pEG25), that the ectopic overexpression of cpdA, encoding a cAMP phosphodiesterase, strongly decreases ytfK expression level (Figure 1B). Therefore, this result suggests that the intracellular level of cAMP plays an important role in adjusting ytfK expression level.

ytfK Expression Level Is Induced by the cAMP-CRP Complex at the Transcriptional Level

In E. coli, cAMP is degraded by CpdA (Imamura et al., 1996) and is synthesized by the adenylyl cyclase CyaA (Notley-McRobb et al., 1997). To understand how cAMP plays an essential role in controlling the YtfK level, we first followed lacZ activity of wild-type (TB28 strain) and ΔcyA cells harboring translational or transcriptional lacZ fusions. We first observed that deletion of the adenylyl cyclase cyaA dramatically reduces ytfK expression and this regulation occurs at the transcriptional level (Figure 2A). Moreover, adding 1 mM of cAMP in the medium fully restored ytfK expression (Figure 2A). These results confirm that cAMP is necessary to induce ytfK transcription under standard rich conditions.

The CRP is the best-known cAMP target in E. coli (Postma et al., 1993). Once activated by cAMP, the cAMP-CRP complex has a central role in the integration of external signals, such as carbon starvation by regulating, in absence of glucose, the expression of several hundred genes involved in the uptake and catabolism of other carbon sources (Zheng et al., 2004; Shimada...
et al., 2011). We naturally investigated the involvement of CRP in regulation of ytfK. Interestingly and similarly to what was observed in a ΔcyaA strain, we found that transcriptional expression of ytfK is also strongly impaired in the Δcrp mutant (Figure 2A). Importantly, this phenotype is fully trans-complemented by pEG25:6His-crp (Figure 2B). However, addition of 1 mM of cAMP does not restore expression of ytfK in the Δcrp mutant (Figure 2A). Therefore, these results show that the cAMP-CRP complex positively regulates transcription of ytfK.

Glucose is transported into the cell and phosphorylated to glucose-6-phosphate, by the phosphotransferase system (PTS), which is composed of several proteins (i.e., EI, HPr, and EIIAGlc; Postma et al., 1993; Bettenbrock et al., 2007). The phosphorylation state of the PTS is lower when glucose is available in the medium, whereas once glucose is consumed, phosphorylated PTS proteins accumulate. The phosphorylated EIIAGlc protein interacts with CyaA and stimulates its activity, thus increasing the intracellular cAMP concentration (Notley-McRobb et al., 1997). In agreement with these data, we observed that the ytfK expression is also highly reduced, when cells are grown in a NA rich medium supplemented with 0.2% of glucose (Figure 2C).
transcriptional (ytfK P1) or (ytfK P2) fusions are both submitted to carbon catabolite repression (Figure 2C), suggesting that in the absence of glucose, the cAMP-CRP complex promotes ytfK transcription from both promoters.

Taken together, our results support that the cAMP-CRP complex is required to regulate ytfK transcription in response to glucose availability.

The cAMP-CRP Complex Directly Binds the ytfK P2 Promoter to Regulate Its Expression

To address whether the cAMP-CRP complex directly regulates ytfK expression, we first produced and purified the CRP protein by two consecutive chromatography steps (affinity and size exclusion; Supplementary Figure S2) and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) were performed with 5' or 3'-Cy5-labeled DNA fragments selected from the ytfK promoter region (Figure 3A).

Using DNA covering the entire promoter sequence, a band shift is observed even in the presence of a large amount of poly (dI-dC) competitor (Figures 3A, B). Moreover, this interaction occurs only in the presence of cAMP (Figures 3A, B), as is commonly observed for E. coli CRP homologs (Green et al., 2014). Importantly, no band shift is detected when the intragenic sequence of hofB is used as internal negative control (Cameron and Redfield, 2006; Supplementary Figure S3). In addition, the CRP binding to the ytfK promoter sequence appears upon addition of a 2.5-fold molar excess CRP/DNA and the estimated dissociation constant.

### Table 1

| Clone | Gene overexpressed | Function | Regulation of ytfK expression |
|-------|---------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| A3    | ybaY*               | PF09619 family lipoprotein | +                            |
| B4    | mepS*               | peptidoglycan DD-endopeptidase | +                            |
| C1    | ydbA4*              | putative outer membrane protein N-terminal fragment | +                            |
| C2    | mdfP*               | putative multidrug efflux pump outer membrane channel | +                            |
| C3    | ydbA4*              | putative outer membrane protein N-terminal fragment | +                            |
| C4    | mdfP*               | putative multidrug efflux pump outer membrane channel | +                            |
| D4    | nanC*               | N-acetylmuramic acid outer membrane channel | +                            |
| D7    | plcA*               | outer membrane phospholipase A | +                            |
| D10   | mepS*               | peptidoglycan DD-endopeptidase | +                            |
| E2    | ampH*               | peptidoglycan DD-carboxypeptidase | +                            |
| E6    | pbpG*               | peptidoglycan DD-endopeptidase | +                            |

**Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis**

- Clone A3: ybaY*
- Clone B4: mepS*
- Clone C1: ydbA4*
- Clone C2: mdfP*
- Clone C3: ydbA4*
- Clone C4: mdfP*
- Clone D4: nanC*
- Clone D7: plcA*
- Clone D10: mepS*
- Clone E2: ampH*
- Clone E6: pbpG*

**Signal transduction mechanisms**

- Clone B2: cpdA
- Clone D3: ydfK
- Clone E11: ycgZ
- Clone B12: dgcJ

**Cell motility**

- Clone B8: yraK*
- Clone C7: stmF*
- Clone C10: yhcA*
- Clone E4: ytcF*
- Clone E9: stmF*
- Clone E12: fimF*

**Amino acid transport and metabolism**

- Clone A5: lrpC*
- Clone C11: lrpB*
- Clone E5: ycgN*

**Carbohydrate transport and metabolism**

- Clone A6: yihS
- Clone E8: glK

**Lipid transport and metabolism**

- Clone A1: pleX

**Energy production and conversion**

- Clone F2: xdhA

**Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis**

- Clone A11: rlmN

**Genes whose overexpression upregulates or downregulates the ytfK expression are indicated by (+) or (−), respectively. Clones are designated by their relative position in the Supplementary Figure S1. Genes encoding membrane proteins are marked with an asterisk.**

*Genes identified by overexpressing each E. coli gene from the ASKA library (Kitagawa et al., 2005) and by screening for the impaired regulation of translational fusion (ytfK TL P1 + P2).*
(K_D) is around 25 nM range suggesting that CRP has a strong affinity for the ytfK promoter region (Figures 3A,B). Moreover, no additional shifted band of lower mobility was observed even when the CRP/DNA mixing ratio was raised up to 100 (Supplementary Figure S4) suggesting existence of a single-binding site. Finally, and consistent with this observation, we show that CRP specifically interacts with the P2 promoter region and that no binding was observed with the P1 promoter region (Figures 3A,B).

To further determine the accurate localization of the CRP-binding site, we made several truncated deletions in the ytfK P2 promoter region (Figures 3A,B). Using this approach, we observed that cAMP-CRP complex binds a region located between 248 and 221 base pairs upstream the ytfK start codon (Figures 3A,B). We searched in this short region of interest for DNA sequence similar to the known consensus sequence (5'-TGTGAT-N6-TCACA-3') recognized by CRP (Shimada et al., 2011) and we found as a potential CRP-binding site, the 5'-TGTGATGCCAGTTTGC-3' sequence located at 229 bp upstream the start codon (Figure 3A). To validate this prediction, the putative CRP-binding site has been deleted and we observed that CRP no longer binds to the ytfK promoter region (Figures 3A,B). These results suggest that CRP induces ytfK transcription by its binding to the 5'-TGTGATGCCAGTTTGC-3' sequence located in the P2 promoter and that induction of transcription from P1 promoter is likely to be indirect (Figures 3A,B).

**ytfK Contributes to SpoT-Mediated (p)ppGpp Accumulation During Glucose Starvation**

Under carbon starvation, SpoT promotes the (p)ppGpp accumulation (Xiao et al., 1991; Gentry and Cashel, 1996; Murray and Bremer, 1996), but little is known about how this environmental change is sensed by bacteria and linked to SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation. As mentioned before, YtfK protein level plays an important role in adjusting intracellular (p)ppGpp level in *E. coli* (Germain et al., 2019). Given that cAMP-CRP complex plays an important role in carbon sources catabolism and positively regulates the ytfK expression, we naturally investigated the role of YtfK in SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation under glucose starvation. For that purpose, we first followed expression of ytfK during glucose starvation.
FIGURE 3 | The cAMP-CRP complex forms a tight complex with the ytfK P2 promoter. (A) Intergenic sequence encompassing the ytfK promoter region. The coding sequences of ytfK and ytfJ are shown by green and yellow arrows, respectively. The −35 and −10 sequences of the P1 promoter are similar to the consensus sequences recognized by the sigma factor σS (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Salgado et al., 2013) and are boxed as red. The pho boxes (I and II) and the putative CRP-binding site are written in blue and purple, respectively. The transcriptional start sites of the P1 and putative P2 promoters are indicated by black arrows. The putative ribosome-binding site (RBS) located upstream of the ytfK coding sequence and its start codon is indicated in bold and in italic letters, respectively. Primers used to generate DNA fragments for electrophoretic mobility shift assay are indicated by colored arrows and the relative position to the start codon of ytfK is indicated. (B) EMSA using the CRP protein (0, 12.5, 25 or 65 nM) and the indicated DNA fragments (with relative position to the ATG start codon of ytfK). Position of the Cy5 dye is represented by a gray circle. Samples were incubated for 15 min at 37 °C, separated by native 5% PAGE and bands were visualized using phosphoImaging (Typhoon, GE Healthcare). Results are representative of three independent experiments.
exhaustion, a condition known to trigger SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation (Xiao et al., 1991; Gentry and Cashel, 1996). As shown in Figure 4A and consistent with the observed positive regulatory role of cAMP-CRP complex, ytfK expression gradually increased 30 min after growth arrest due to glucose exhaustion. We then compared (p)ppGpp accumulation in the wild-type strain and the ΔytfK mutant in response to glucose exhaustion. However, and as shown in Supplementary Figure S5, both strains seem to have similar kinetics of (p)ppGpp accumulation in response to glucose exhaustion. Importantly, in the absence of amino acids, both RelA and SpoT contribute to (p)ppGpp accumulation during carbon source or diauxic growth transition (Gentry and Cashel, 1996; Fernández-Coll and Cashel, 2018). Therefore, we further decided to address the role of YtfK in response to glucose starvation in cells devoid of RelA. We observed that compared to the wild-type strain, deletion of relA causes earlier growth arrest and a 30 min delayed kinetic of (p)ppGpp accumulation (Figures 4B, C and Supplementary Figures S5, S6). Interestingly, we observed that compared to the ΔrelA mutant, the ΔrelAΔytfK mutant exhibits a strong decrease in ppGpp accumulation in response to glucose exhaustion (Figures 4B, C). Hence, YtfK contributes to the full SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation in response to carbon starvation.

SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation is also known to be essential for survival during prolonged glucose starvation (Nystöm, 1994). Therefore, we addressed the role of YtfK in cell survival during carbon starvation. While survival of the wild-type, ΔrelA and ΔytfK strains are not significantly affected after 5 days of carbon starvation (Figure 4D), the ΔrelAΔytfK double mutant rapidly loses its viability after 24 h and retains less than 30% of viability after 5 days of prolonged carbon starvation (Figure 4D). Taken together, our results show that regulation of ytfK is a fine-tuned regulated process that allows cells to rapidly adapt and survive during long-term carbon starvation by triggering SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation.

ytfK Coordinates Growth Resumption During Glucose-Lactose Diauxic Shift

When E. coli is cultivated in a medium containing various carbon sources, the glucose is preferentially consumed until its exhaustion. (p)ppGpp accumulation rapidly occurs resulting in a transitional growth arrest allowing the establishment of regulatory networks that coordinate the resumption of growth on another carbon source (Harshman and Yamazaki, 1971; Traxler et al., 2006). This phenomenon causes biphasic growth, well known as diauxie (Jacob and Monod, 1961). Importantly a tight control of (p)ppGpp level governs the length of the diauxic lag (Fernández-Coll and Cashel, 2018). We therefore addressed the role of YtfK in diauxic shift. For this purpose, we cultivated the wild-type strain, the simple mutants ΔrelA, ΔytfK and the double-mutant ΔrelAΔytfK in MOPS minimal medium containing a limiting concentration of glucose (0.025%) and an excess of lactose (0.4%). The diauxic lag times were calculated and normalized by generation times on glucose, as previously described (Fernández-Coll and Cashel, 2018). We showed that the wild-type strain and the ΔytfK mutant display a similar diauxic lag times of 53 min (Figure 5A) and a diauxic lag time/generation time on glucose close to 0.7 (Figure 5B). Moreover and as previously reported (Fernández-Coll and Cashel, 2018), we observed that compared to the wild-type strain, deletion of relA, significantly increases the diauxic lag time (64 min; Figure 5A) and the ratio diauxic lag time/generation time on glucose (0.9; Figure 5B). Interestingly, the ΔrelAΔytfK double mutant displays an important extended diauxic lag time (92 min; Figure 5A) and the ratio diauxic lag time/generation time on glucose reaches 1.2 (Figure 5B), showing that YtfK also plays an important role in SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation during diauxie.

Taken together, these results showed that YtfK plays a key role in sensing carbon starvation though the cAMP-CRP complex and transducing the signal to SpoT to orchestrate (p)ppGpp accumulation and thus coordinate cellular metabolism.

DISCUSSION

In E. coli, two homologous enzymes work in concert to control (p)ppGpp level: the (p)ppGpp synthetase RelA and the bifunctional synthetase/hydrolase SpoT. While RelA possesses only (p)ppGpp synthetic activity responding primarily to amino acid starvation (or other stresses that would ultimately cause amino acid starvation), SpoT has both hydrolytic and synthetic activities and functions as a central protein responding to an extreme variety of stress (Xiao et al., 1991; Seyfzadeh et al., 1993; Spira et al., 1995; Vinella et al., 2005). Tight regulation of the synthetic and hydrolytic intracellular activities is crucial for rapidly adjusting (p)ppGpp level and several molecular mechanisms have been reported (Wout et al., 2004; Battesti and Bouveret, 2006; Jiang et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2018; Germain et al., 2019). We previously observed that ectopic production of YtfK is sufficient to trigger SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation in absence of external stress and that the YtfK/SpoT ratio controls the intracellular amount of (p)ppGpp (Germain et al., 2019). Therefore, the aim of this work was to further decipher the genetic control involved in the regulation of ytfK expression and its impact on the cell physiology. We first confirmed experimentally that the ytfK promoter region comprises two promoters (Figure 1A), as previously expected (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Salgado et al., 2013). We then systematically searched for trans-regulatory element of ytfK expression using screening assay. This approach leads us to the observation that cAMP level is critical for ytfK expression and its impact on the cell physiology. We first confirmed experimentally that the ytfK promoter region comprises two promoters (Figure 1A), as previously expected (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Salgado et al., 2013). We then systematically searched for trans-regulatory element of ytfK expression using screening assay. This approach leads us to the observation that cAMP level is critical for ytfK expression and its impact on the cell physiology. We first confirmed experimentally that the ytfK promoter region comprises two promoters (Figure 1A), as previously expected (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Salgado et al., 2013). We then systematically searched for trans-regulatory element of ytfK expression using screening assay. This approach leads us to the observation that cAMP level is critical for ytfK expression and its impact on the cell physiology. We first confirmed experimentally that the ytfK promoter region comprises two promoters (Figure 1A), as previously expected (Lacour and Landini, 2004; Salgado et al., 2013).
to carbon starvation, where (p)ppGpp is at the apex of the signaling pathway and maximizes induction of CRP-activated genes (Traxler et al., 2006). Importantly, while regulatory mechanisms orchestrating carbon catabolite repression in E. coli have been intensively characterized, signaling pathway regulating SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation in response to carbon availability remains poorly understood. Here, we partially elucidated this mechanism by showing that the YtfK contributes to SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation in response to glucose starvation. We also observed that a residual (p)ppGpp accumulation persists in the ΔrelAΔytfK double mutant during carbon starvation. Upon fatty acid starvation, the acyl carrier protein (ACP) interacts with SpoT to promote the accumulation of (p)ppGpp (Battesti and Bouveret, 2006; Figure 6). Importantly, ACP and fatty acid metabolism could also be a relay for responding to carbon source starvation and be responsible for the residual SpoT activity observed in the ΔrelAΔytfK cells. Indeed, carbon exhaustion would lead to fatty acid starvation through shrinkage of the acetyl-CoA pool produced during glycolysis. It is interesting to note that YtfK and ACP interact with two different regions of SpoT. YtfK binds the catalytic domains in the N-terminal region (Germain et al., 2019), while ACP binds the TGS domain in C-terminal regulatory region.

**Figure 4** | ytfK is required for SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation during glucose exhaustion. (A) Growth curve and β-galactosidase activity assay of WT cells in glucose limited minimal medium. WT (TB28) cells harboring the transcriptional fusion (ytfK P1+ P2) were grown in MOPS minimum medium containing 0.025% glucose. The OD600nm values of the cultures (curve) were taken and β-galactosidase activity (bar chart) was measured at indicated times. After 7 h of growth, glucose was added at a final concentration of 0.2%. Error bars indicate the standard deviations of averages of three independent experiments. (B) In vivo (p)ppGpp accumulation in response to glucose exhaustion. The ΔrelA and ΔrelAΔytfK mutants were labeled with 32P and grown at 37°C in low-phosphate MOPS medium containing 0.025% of glucose (see Materials and Methods). Samples were taken at indicated times prior to nucleotides extraction and are separated by TLC. Representative autoradiograph of the TLC plates is shown and quantification is provided in (C). Error bars indicate the standard deviations of averages of four independent experiments. (D) YtfK is required for long-term survival during carbon starvation in absence of relA. Cells of MG1655 (WT; black line) and isogenic deletion strains ΔrelA (gray line), ΔytfK (black dotted line) and the ΔrelAΔytfK double mutant (gray dotted line) were grown in M9 minimum medium containing limited concentration of glucose (0.025%). Cell survival (log scale) was determined at indicated time. Error bars indicate the standard deviations of averages of three independent experiments.
It is therefore tempting to speculate that binding of the both proteins may work in concert to maximize (p)ppGpp level in response to glucose starvation. It seems to be a striking opposite parallel between the mechanisms of SpoT regulation upon fatty acid starvation via ACP and the SpoT regulation by the anti-σ^70^ Rsd during carbon downshift. Indeed, Rsd binding to the TGS domain of SpoT promotes hydrolase activity upon carbon downshift and plays a physiological role in controlling cell growth recovery during diauxic shift (Figure 6). Our observation that YtfK contributes to the SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation during diauxie and seems also to be important for growth resumption during glucose-lactose diauxic shift (Figure 5) is consistent with the notion that a tightly coordinated balance of SpoT promotes hydrolase activity upon carbon downshift and plays a physiological role in controlling cell growth recovery during diauxic shift (Figure 6).
between synthesis versus hydrolysis activity rather than the absolute (p)ppGpp level is important for faster adaption during diauxic shift.

In addition to the regulation presented in this study, ytfK transcription is subjected to complex regulatory network. Indeed, ytfK also contains, upstream of its coding sequence, two pho boxes similar to the consensus sequence recognized by the response regulator PhoB and transcriptome analyses showed that ytfK is induced 15-fold by PhoB during phosphate starvation (Baek and Lee, 2006; Yoshida et al., 2011). Moreover, the PhoR-PhoB two-component system has been shown to play key role in triggering SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation during phosphate starvation (Spira et al., 1995; Spira and Yagil, 1998; Figure 6). Importantly, deletion of ytfK also affects cell viability and (p)ppGpp accumulation under phosphate starvation (Iwadate and Kato, 2017; Germain et al., 2019). Interestingly, ytfK is also induced and involved in H₂O₂ tolerance (Iwadate and Kato, 2017). In addition, we previously described that ytfK is also induced during fatty acid starvation causing accumulation of (p)ppGpp and cell survival (Figure 6; Germain et al., 2019). However, the exact nature of this regulation remains to be determined. Finally, ytfK expression is also under
the control of the ferric uptake regulator Fur (Zhang et al., 2005), involved in response to iron limitation (Stojiljkovic et al., 1994; Hantke, 2001, 2002; McHugh et al., 2003), another signal known to trigger SpoT-dependent (p)ppGpp accumulation in vivo (Vinella et al., 2005). Importantly, the amount of SpoT protein has been estimated around hundreds of molecules per cell (Pedersen and Kjeldgaard, 1977) and to our knowledge, no transcriptional regulation of spoT has been directly linked to the environmental control of SpoT activities. Therefore, the regulation of ytfK appears as an emerging key node in the SpoT-mediated activation of stringent response in E. coli (Figure 6).

Finally, aside from identification of the role of cAMP in the regulation of ytfK, our genetic screening assay enables the identification of many proteins, probably acting indirectly, involved in a variety of key functions, such as cell wall biogenesis, cell motility, amino acid metabolism, carbohydrates and lipids transport, energy maintenance and signal transduction (Table 1).

Therefore, any perturbation in these metabolic pathways may influence YtfK protein level and therefore could impact SpoT activities and modulate intracellular (p)ppGpp level. For instance, it is well known that the overexpression of membrane proteins disrupts membrane integrity by limiting the capacity of proteins translocation into the membrane and by increasing the aggregation of cytoplasmic proteins due to the titration of chaperones, which leads to broad perturbations of the proteome and is responsible for inefficient ATP synthesis (Wagner et al., 2007). Overexpression of membrane proteins highly induces ytfK expression (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1), suggesting that YtfK protein may play a role in response to cell envelope disruption which could impact SpoT activities and modulate the intracellular level (p)ppGpp.

Therefore, beyond a better understanding of the genetic regulation, our systematic analysis of the trans-regulatory elements can indirectly allow us to better understand the metabolic pathways behind the regulation of SpoT activities and can lead to the identification of new stressful conditions in which SpoT and its partners intervene (Figure 6).
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