Direct Synthesis of Co-doped Graphene on Dielectric Substrates Using Solid Carbon Sources
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Abstract Direct synthesis of high-quality doped graphene on dielectric substrates without transfer is highly desired for simplified device processing in electronic applications. However, graphene synthesis directly on substrates suitable for device applications, though highly demanded, remains unattainable and challenging. Here, a simple and transfer-free synthesis of high-quality doped graphene on the dielectric substrate has been developed using a thin Cu layer as the top catalyst and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as both carbon precursors and doping sources. N-doped and N, F-co-doped graphene have been achieved using TPB and F₁₆CuPc as solid carbon sources, respectively. The growth conditions were systematically optimized and the as-grown doped graphene were well characterized. The growth strategy provides a controllable transfer-free route for high-quality doped graphene synthesis, which will facilitate the practical applications of graphene.
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1 Introduction

Graphene, a one-atom-thick layer of carbon with \(sp^2\) hybrid orbital bonding and two-dimensional structure material, has attracted intense research interests due to its extraordinary physical and chemical characteristics, such as good mechanical strength [1], high carrier mobility [2], excellent electrical conductivity [3], superior thermal conductivity [4], and high transmittance [5]. However, the nature of pristine graphene with zero band gap brings some difficulties for its application in the electronic device field [6]. Doping of graphene with other heteroatoms (e.g., nitrogen, boron, phosphorus, halogen, etc.) is the most practicable, convenient, and efficient approach to modulate the band structure and properties of graphene [7] and further extend more useful applications in electronics and electrochemical cells [8–13].

Among all the approaches to synthesize doped graphene, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is the most popular method to obtain high-quality doped graphene in large scale by introducing copper or nickel foil as the catalyst [3, 14, 15] and independent doping source (e.g., \(NH_3\) as N doping source) [16, 17]. Recently, carbon sources containing dopant element have been used to directly grow doped graphene by CVD method, avoiding the post-doping treatment or using dopant gases in the growth process. For example, Tour et al. demonstrated a new approach that large area, high-quality N-doped graphene with controllable thickness can be grown from different solid carbon sources such as polymer films or small molecules, deposited on a metal catalyst substrate at 800 °C [18]. Liu et al. developed a self-assembly approach that allows the synthesis of single-layer and highly nitrogen-doped graphene.
domain arrays by self-organization of pyridine molecules on the Cu surface [9]. However, the graphene film obtained by these methods generally requires physical transfer onto the desired substrates for subsequent device processing [19, 20], which could introduce the defects and contaminations into the graphene film.

Recently, we have developed a new transfer-free approach capable of synthesizing graphene directly on dielectric substrates using polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) as carbon sources [21]. Significantly, N doping and patterning of graphene can be readily and concurrently achieved by this growth method. In this paper, we systematically investigate the factors that affect the growth quality of the doped graphene and optimized the growth conditions for high-quality doped graphene. Furthermore, we demonstrate that N, F-co-doped graphene can be synthesized using only 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25-Hexafluorophthalocyanine Copper(II) (F_{16}CuPc) as solid carbon source and both N and F doping sources.

2 Experimental Section

The schematic of growth process of doped graphene directly on SiO_{2}-layered Si (SiO_{2}/Si) without transfer is shown in Fig. 1. First, the SiO_{2}/Si (SiO_{2}: 300 nm thick) substrate was ultrasonically cleaned by acetone, ethanol, and deionized water for 15 min, respectively. Then PAHs with planar structure (TPB or F_{16}CuPc) were evaporated on the substrate as solid carbon sources by thermal evaporation system (Organic Evaporation Coating Machine ZZB-U500SA), followed by the Cu film layer deposition on the surface of PAHs as catalyst by electron-beam evaporation system (Kurt J. Lesker, PVD750). After annealing in a tube furnace under Ar gas flow at ~1.8 \times 10^2 Pa, doped graphene was synthesized between the Cu layer and the substrate. At last, Cu layer was etched away by Marble’s reagent (CuSO_{4}:HCl:H_{2}O = 10 g:50 mL:50 mL), then doped graphene was obtained directly on SiO_{2} substrate without any transfer process.

The morphology of doped graphene was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (FEI Quanta 200F). Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature using a Jobin–Yvon HR800 Raman microscope with laser excitation at 514 nm. Optical images were obtained using Fluorescence optical microscope (DM4000M). The HR-TEM images were taken by transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Tecnai G2 F20). The surface state and electron structure of the samples were studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement (Kratos AXIS UltraDLD ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) surface analysis system), using Al K\alpha X-rays (1486 eV) as the excitation source. The optical transmittance spectrum and sheet...
resistance of doped graphene are measured by ultraviolet-visible–near-infrared spectrophotometer (LAMBDA 750) and four-point probe system (ST-2258A), respectively.

3 Result and Discussion

Carbon source is an important factor in graphene synthesis. We found that planar configuration of PAHs might provide a hexagonal honeycomb skeleton structure for the graphene growth and the growth mechanism from PAHs maybe involves surface-mediated nucleation process of dehydrogenated PAHs catalyzed by Cu rather than segregation or precipitation process of small carbon species that decomposed from the precursors. Therefore, planar PAHs that contain heteroatoms (e.g., nitrogen, boron, fluorine) were chosen as solid carbon sources for doped graphene growth in our work. In addition to the specific structure of solid carbon sources, there are some other key factors to control the quality of doped graphene, such as the thickness of solid carbon sources, the thickness of Cu film layer, annealing time, annealing temperature, etc. Hence, in order to achieve high-quality doped graphene, optimal conditions for doped graphene growth had been investigated by rationalizing the above factors. 2, 4, 6-triphenylborazine (TPB) with planar configuration was selected as the solid carbon source to evaluate the growth conditions of the doped graphene.

The thickness effect of TPB on the quality of graphene was investigated firstly. The Raman spectra shown in Fig. S1a reveal that the optimum thickness of TPB layer is 5 nm. When the thickness of TPB is less than 5 nm, the carbon source cannot form continuous film on the substrate, which could result in the formation of discontinuous graphene. While the thickness of TPB is greater than 5 nm, the excessive amount of carbon source leads to multilayer graphene or amorphous carbon formation due to the extremely low solubility of C in Cu. Different annealing temperatures were also investigated for the growth of TPB-derived doped graphene. In general, the growth temperature, in conventional CVD method, required to synthesize good-quality graphene is 1000–1050 °C. Figure S1b shows the Raman spectra of graphene synthesized at different growth temperatures, suggesting that graphene can be obtained above 950 °C. Annealing temperature below 650 °C results in the deposition of amorphous carbon, as characterized by the broad D and G bands and a very weak 2D band shown in Fig. S1b. When the annealing temperature was increased to 1050 °C, the obtained graphene layer also has a larger D band than that grown at 1000 °C in the Raman spectra. It has probably arisen from the partial evaporation of thin Cu film at 1050 °C.

Subsequently, different annealing times were studied. As shown in Fig. S1c, higher quality doped graphene with lower \( I_D/I_G \) and higher \( I_{2D}/I_G \) ratio can be achieved when the annealing time is 60 min. The effect of Cu film thickness on doped graphene growth was investigated as well. When Cu film thickness is above 100 nm, the graphene film can be obtained. However, when the thickness of the Cu film was decreased below 100 nm, most of the Cu was evaporated during the annealing process at 1000 °C and resulted in discontinuous doped graphene. In addition, the graphene formed on the top surface of Cu was observed when a thin Cu layer was used. When the thickness of the Cu film was increased to 1000 nm, relatively high-quality doped graphene was obtained indicated by the G/2D ratio (\( \sim 0.3 \)), D/G ratio (\( \sim 1.3 \)), and FWHM of the 2D (\( \sim 42 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) band in Fig. S1d. Thus the optimal growth conditions for the doped graphene growth from TPB were set at 5 nm TPB as carbon source, 1000 nm Cu film on the top surface, and annealing temperature of 1000 °C for 60 min.

Figure 2a shows the optical image of the doped graphene grown on SiO\(_2\)/Si substrate at the optimal condition using TPB as the carbon source. The continuous film with almost no contrast indicates that the graphene is distributed uniformly on the dielectric substrate. The corresponding Raman spectrum in Fig. 2b shows a weak D band, revealing that the graphene film is almost defect free and the weak D band may have arisen from the doping effect. The G/2D ratio is \( \sim 0.25 \) and the 2D peak is sharp and symmetric, indicating that the obtained graphene is monolayer [22, 23]. The monolayer graphene is also confirmed by AFM measurement as shown in Fig. S2. A small D* band beside G band confirms that doped graphene has been obtained. Figure S3 shows micro-Raman mapping for the 2D graphene peak, further indicating that graphene film is distributed uniformly on the substrate. Figure 2c shows the high-resolution XPS scan of N 1s centered at 400.7 eV, further confirming that N-doped graphene was obtained under this optimal condition. All the results demonstrate that planar configuration of PAHs precursor containing dopant elements promotes the formation of doped graphene. The atomic concentration of N in TPB-derived doped graphene is about 1.74 % from the data of XPS survey scan. No B 1s peak was observed for this sample, which is probably owing to the difficulty of B–C bonding formation in graphene film at the present condition.

In order to achieve co-doping in graphene by this method, F\(_{16}\)CuPc of 5 nm was used as the carbon source to prepare N, F-co-doped graphene on the SiO\(_2\)/Si substrate at 1000 °C for 60 min. F\(_{16}\)CuPc is also a PAH compound with a planar structure. The Raman spectrum of the product (Fig. 3a) shows a large D peak and a small D* peak, which may be induced by N and F doping atoms. The G/2D ratio...
is \(\sim 1.5\) and the 2D peak is lower and broader than that of the single layer graphene, indicating that the obtained doped graphene film is of 3–4 layers. Figure 3b shows SEM image of \(\text{F}_{16}\text{CuPc}\)-derived doped graphene. It can be found that thin graphene film is homogeneously distributed on the substrate. Moreover, it can be clearly distinguished from the HR-TEM image shown in Fig. 3c that the doped graphene film is of three layers, which is consistent with Raman analysis.

XPS investigation further verifies that N and F co-doping has been achieved in the graphene. XPS spectra of N, F-co-doped graphene are shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4a shows the full XPS spectrum of \(\text{F}_{16}\text{CuPc}\)-derived doped graphene on the SiO\(_2\)/Si substrate. There is no signal of Cu, indicating the clear removal of Cu after etching. Both the nitrogen- and fluorine-related peaks are obviously found in the survey scan, which confirms the successful co-doping of N and F in the graphene film. The atomic concentration of N and F for \(\text{F}_{16}\text{CuPc}\)-derived doped graphene is about 2.98 and 0.66 %, respectively. The characteristic XPS C 1s core-level spectrum (Fig. 4b) is assigned as \(sp^2\) carbon (284.4 eV), confirming the graphitic structure of the as-grown graphene grains. The shoulder around 285.5 and 286.6 eV can be assigned to the C–N and C–F bonding, respectively. Figure 4c shows the high-resolution XPS scan of N 1s, suggesting two types of N–C bonding: “graphitic” N centered at 401.1 eV and “pyridine” N centered at 399.2 eV [7, 9, 18]. The ratio of two types N indicates that they are mainly bonded to three adjacent carbons, suggesting that the N atoms are uniformly bound to the graphene structure. The high-resolution XPS scan of F 1s shows a single symmetric peak centered at 689.1 eV in Fig. 4d, which is assigned to C–F covalent bond [24].

Figure 5 shows the result of optical transmittance measurement for the N, F-co-doped graphene directly grown on quartz in the same condition as using SiO\(_2\)/Si substrate, exhibiting a high optical transmittance of...
The sheet resistance ($R_s$) obtained from four-point probe measurement is $\sim 2.5 \, \text{k} \Omega \, (\text{sq})^{-1}$, revealing that the as-grown N, F-co-doped graphene film is of high conductivity.

### 4 Conclusions

In summary, a facile method for high-quality synthesis of doped graphene film on the dielectric substrate has been developed. PAHs containing dopant elements with planar configuration were used as both carbon feedstocks and doping sources and a layer of Cu film as the catalyst. The thickness of Cu layer and PAHs, the annealing time, and temperature are optimized for high-quality graphene growth. N-doped and N, F-co-doped graphene have been synthesized using TPB and F$_{16}$CuPc as solid carbon sources, respectively. The properties of the as-grown samples were well studied and N, F-co-doped graphene exhibits a high optical transmittance and low sheet resistance. The present growth strategy provides a controllable transfer-free route for high-quality doped graphene growth, which
will facilitate the practical electronic applications of graphene.
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