Protein turnover dynamics suggest a diffusion-to-capture mechanism for peri-basal body recruitment and retention of intraflagellar transport proteins
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INTRODUCTION
Construction and maintenance of cilia require the movement of protein cargos to and from the cell surface to and from the ciliary tip inside the cilium. The intraflagellar transport (IFT) system is responsible for this movement by transporting cytosolic proteins along the ciliary microtubules (MTs) (Shchebleina et al., 2019). While IFT proteins have an essential axonemal function, the mechanisms by which they are recruited to the peri-basal body pool remain unclear (Lechtreck, 2015). Multiple hypotheses could explain IFT protein localization to basal bodies. For example, IFT proteins might be actively transported to the peri-basal body pool from the cytoplasm remain unclear. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0). © 2021 Hibbard et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Distinct turnover kinetics for ciliary proteins in the basal body pool

To quantify protein dynamics in vertebrate MCCs in vivo, we turned to the epidermis of Xenopus laevis embryos. This system has emerged in recent years as a key platform for in vivo analysis of IFT and other ciliary systems (Werner and Mitchell, 2012; Walentek and Quigley, 2017). We expressed fluorescently tagged ciliary proteins using methods described previously (Brooks and Wallingford, 2015) and used confocal microscopy to image the en face apical optical section of MCCs, which contains basal bodies, and performed FRAP (Figure 1A). We bleached small regions of interest (ROIs) containing three to five basal bodies within our field of view (Figure 1B) and used a neighboring cell with nonbleached basal bodies outside the ROI to correct for changes to background fluorescence during imaging. Under our experimental conditions, half-times were quite short (~20 s for most proteins tested) (Supplemental Figure S1), which is consistent with a freely diffusing protein. We therefore used mobile fraction to indicate the fraction of basal body protein that was exchanging with a cytosolic pool versus stable in the basal body pool. On short timescales (seconds to minutes), proteins that are stably associated with the basal body will be less able to exchange protein contents and will have a lower mobile fraction value (Axelrod et al., 1976; Reits and Neefjes, 2001).

We first established baseline parameters by performing FRAP on a diverse group of known basal body-associated proteins (Figure 1G). As expected for structural components of the basal body, we found that BFP or GFP fusions to Centrin2, Odf1, and Cep164 displayed very small mobile fraction values (Figure 1, B, D, and H), indicating that they are stably retained and exchange slowly with the cytoplasm (Paolletti et al., 1996; Singla et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2012). By contrast, known ciliogenesis regulator Ttbk2 (Goetz et al., 2012) displayed a much higher mobile fraction, suggesting it is not stably retained but rather exchanges more freely with the cytoplasm. Finally, Cdc66 is implicated in the recruitment of ciliary proteins and displayed an intermediate FRAP profile (Conkar et al., 2017, 2019), far more dynamic than the structural components, but slower than Ttbk2. These data demonstrate the efficacy of our experimental platform for exploring protein dynamics and suggest that ciliary and centriolar proteins display diverse patterns of turnover at basal bodies in MCCs.

Functionally related IFT subcomplexes display shared protein dynamics

We next sought to use our FRAP platform to explore the dynamics of IFT protein retention in the peri-basal body pool. We chose eight IFT proteins (bold outlines in Figure 1C) for analysis to sample the dynamics of the full range of known IFT subcomplexes.

The first trend that was clear in our data was that known subcomplexes displayed shared dynamics. For example, the IFT-B complex is known to be composed of two subcomplexes, IFT-B1 and IFT-B2 (Taschner et al., 2016). We examined the kinetics of recovery for three subunits of the IFT-B1 complex: Ift46, Ift52, and Ift81; all showed similar mean mobile fraction values (47, 47, and 43%, respectively). Strikingly, however, the IFT-B2 protein Ift20 displayed a much higher mobile fraction, suggesting it is not stably retained but rather exchanges more freely with the cytoplasm. These data demonstrate the efficacy of our experimental platform for exploring protein dynamics and suggest that ciliary and centriolar proteins display diverse patterns of turnover at basal bodies in MCCs.
with the other IFT-A proteins (Figure 1, F and H). Importantly, this finding is consistent with multiple studies suggesting Ift43 is substoichiometric in the IFT-A complex (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2010; Behal et al., 2012).

These data in vertebrate MCCs confirm and extend previous findings from Chlamydomonas and trypanosomes (Buisson et al., 2013; Wingfield et al., 2017). The trends observed in IFT dynamics here, with IFT-A proteins being more dynamic than IFT-B and with IFT-B1 more dynamic than IFT-B2, are consistent with Chlamydomonas (Wingfield et al., 2017). Additionally, the mobile fraction identified here for Ift52 (47%) is nearly identical to the 46% recovery observed for GFP::IFT52 in trypanosomes (Buisson et al., 2013).

Finally, the turnover trends observed here, along with data from Chlamydomonas, establish shared basal body turnover dynamics within functionally related IFT subcomplexes as an evolutionarily conserved feature (Wingfield et al., 2017). Moreover, these conserved turnover dynamics suggest that IFT protein complexes are likely retained at basal bodies as assembled subcomplexes, rather than as individual subunits. Coupled to biochemical findings that many individual IFT proteins are unstable without their binding partners (Richey and Qin, 2012; Bhogaraju et al., 2013; Taschner et al., 2014), our live imaging data suggest that IFT subcomplex assembly likely occurs in the cytoplasm, rather than in the basal body pool.
Intra-IFT protein interactions control IFT basal body turnover kinetics

To explore the significance of the observed pattern of IFT protein dynamics in the peri-basal body pool, we next asked if known protein–protein interactions within IFT complexes impact IFT protein turnover. We focused on Ift46, an IFT-B1 member, because it is known to be recruited to the basal body by its interaction with Ift52 in Chlamydomonas (Lv et al., 2017). First, we confirmed that this functional interaction is conserved in Xenopus by expressing Ift46 that lacked its C-terminal Ift52 binding domain (Ift46ΔC-mNG). We observe that while full-length Ift46-mNG was properly recruited to the basal body, Ift46ΔC-mNG was not and instead displayed strong diffuse signal throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 2A). This result further validates the conservation of basal body recruitment mechanisms between Chlamydomonas and vertebrates.

As Ift46 recruitment to basal bodies is dependent on its interaction with Ift52 (Lv et al., 2017), we hypothesized that the disruption of Ift52 would lead to changes in basal body turnover dynamics of Ift46, so we assessed FRAP turnover after knockdown (KD) of Ift52 with a previously described morpholino (Dammernann et al., 2009; Drew et al., 2017). KD of Ift52 leads to decreased levels of Ift46-mNG at basal bodies, as expected, and importantly, this phenotype could be rescued by re-expression of Ift52 (Supplemental Figure S2). Consistent with the hypothesis that Ift52 loss will disrupt the FRAP kinetics of its binding partner, we determined that Ift46 displayed significantly reduced turnover in the basal body pool on KD of Ift52 (Figure 2, B and C). As there is still remnant Ift46 enrichment at the basal body (Supplemental Figure S2), we hypothesize that Ift52 KD reduces the number of basal body binding spots available to Ift46, resulting in less dynamic turnover.

As a control for specificity, we noted that IFT-B is not required for IFT-A recruitment to basal bodies (Brown et al., 2015), and that Ift121 dynamics were unaffected by Ift52 KD (Figure 2, D and E). These data validate the utility of our FRAP system to explore the mechanisms of IFT retention at basal bodies.

IFT recruitment kinetics at basal bodies are unaltered during cilia regeneration

The IFT retention kinetics described above were obtained in full-length cilia, which homeostatically maintain a relatively constant length. Interestingly, during cilia regeneration, several aspects of IFT are altered, as substantially more cargoes must be transported to build the growing axoneme. Indeed, flagellar regeneration in Chlamydomonas is characterized by changes in the size of the peri-basal body pool, IFT train size, and in cargo loading onto IFT trains (Engel et al., 2009; Ludington et al., 2013; Wren et al., 2013; Craft et al., 2015). On the one hand, ciliary growth requires the import of thousands of copies of ciliary precursors (Qin et al., 2004), so we might expect to observe altered kinetics of IFT during regeneration. Alternatively, steady-state basal body turnover of IFT could be sufficient to “prime” cilia for regeneration. In this model, an increase in cargoes per IFT train could be sufficient to increase ciliary cargo import, without the need to change IFT recruitment to basal bodies. Consistent with this latter hypothesis, cargo-loading on IFT trains scales inversely with ciliary length (Engel et al., 2009; Wren et al., 2013; Craft et al., 2015).

To ask if IFT turnover rates differ during ciliary growth, we used a previously described method for deciliation in Xenopus MCCs (Werner and Mitchell, 2013) and then quantified the timecourse of cilia regeneration using confocal imaging at 30-min intervals. Xenopus MCCs underwent an obvious lag phase before beginning regrowth, with no evident increase in cilia length in the first 60 min (Figure 3A and B). Regeneration lag phases are variable across organisms, with protozoans displaying a ~20-min lag before regeneration (Rosenbaum and Child, 1967; Tamm, 1967) and no apparent lag phase in Chlamydomonas (Rosenbaum et al., 1969). Cilia then grew at a fairly consistent rate over the next 2 h (Figure 3B). We first examined basal body turnover of the IFT-A protein Ift121 immediately following deciliation and at early and mid-regrowth timepoints (Figure 3, C and D). Interestingly, we observed no change in the basal body turnover of Ift121 during cilia regeneration (Figure 3, C and D). These data suggest that retention of Ift121 at the basal body is not adjusted during cilia regeneration. Based on our previous data, we expect other members of the IFT-A complex to share basal body recruitment mechanisms. We therefore expect steady-state turnover of IFT-A to be sufficient to prime cilia for regeneration. Alternatively, it is possible that while retention is unaltered during cilia regeneration there is a higher flux of IFT-A proteins to the basal body and into the axoneme.

We also observed the turnover of IFT-B protein Ift81 immediately following deciliation and at 1 h postdeciliation. Immediately following deciliation, there is little new growth of cilia (Figure 3, A and B). At this timepoint, we observed no significant difference between the mobile fraction of Ift81, compared with values obtained from embryos with full-length cilia (Figure 3, C and D). Interestingly, we note that there was a slight, but significant difference in the mobile fraction obtained from embryos at 1 h postdeciliation, where
regrowth is actively occurring (Figure 3, C and D) from cilia not undergoing regeneration (Figure 3, C and D).

These data further address the relative contributions of exchange from cytoplasm and axonemal IFT trains to the observed basal body turnover dynamics. Immediately following deciliation, there is little or no contribution from returning IFT trains to the basal body pool. Nonetheless, basal body turnover dynamics remain constant, demonstrating that the basal body pool of IFT is maintained by exchange with the cytosolic pool, rather than recycling from the cilia. As cell body fractions have 10- to 50-fold higher abundance of IFT proteins than flagellar fractions, it fits that IFT proteins coming and going in trains make minor contributions to the basal body pool. Consistent with this diffusion-to-capture mechanism, data from trypanosomes showed that temperature changes influenced the rate of basal body turnover of GFP::IFT52 (Buisson et al., 2013). Increased temperature would be expected to increase the kinetic rates of diffusion and binding, so we would expect faster turnover, and this is exactly what was observed with GFP::IFT52 in trypanosomes (Buisson et al., 2013).

Cytosolic MTs are dispensable for IFT recruitment to basal bodies

Next, we sought to explore the mechanisms of IFT transport to basal bodies from the cytosol. To investigate the potential that IFT proteins are themselves a cargo for MT-based active transport to basal bodies, we developed a system to specifically depolymerize cytosolic MTs in Xenopus MCCs in vivo. Cold-shock techniques are known to depolymerize cytosolic MTs without affecting ciliary MTs (Behnke and Forer, 1967; Burton, 1968). However, cytosolic MTs quickly reform on return to room temperature. Because nocodazole inhibits MT polymerization (De Brabander et al., 1976; Hoebeke et al., 1976), we used a combination treatment of cold shock and nocodazole (CS-noc). Transverse sections of Xenopus MCCs (Figure 4, A and B) show this treatment effectively depolymerized cytosolic MTs (Figure 4C), without affecting ciliary MTs (Figure 4D).

To confirm this approach disrupted active transport to basal bodies, we examined the turnover kinetics of Ccdc66, which is known to require cytosolic MTs for its localization to the base of primary cilia (Conkar et al., 2019). FRAP following CS-noc treatment of MCCs revealed a significant decrease in the mobile fraction value for Ccdc66, as compared with the untreated control (Figure 4E). The −19% mobile fraction decrease is consistent with the −15% decrease observed for centrosomal localization in RPE cells (Conkar et al., 2019).

By contrast, all tested IFT proteins displayed no change after CS-noc treatment. FRAP recovery kinetics of IFT-A proteins Ift121, Ift122, and Ift144 appeared identical with and without CS-noc treatment (Figure 5A). Similarly, FRAP kinetics of IFT-B proteins Ift52 and Ift20 did not change with CS-noc treatment (Figure 5B). There was no statistically significant change in the mobile fraction values of any IFT protein after disruption of cytosolic MTs (Figure 5, C and D). These data demonstrate that cytosolic MTs are dispensable for normal retention of IFT proteins at basal bodies, suggesting that a diffusion-to-capture mechanism is responsible for maintenance of the IFT basal body pool. Consistent with this diffusion-to-capture mechanism, data from trypanosomes showed that temperature changes influenced the rate of basal body turnover of GFP::IFT52 (Buisson et al., 2013). Increased temperature would be expected to increase the kinetic rates of diffusion and binding, so we would expect faster turnover, and this is exactly what was observed with GFP::IFT52 in trypanosomes (Buisson et al., 2013).

In vivo quantification of IFT protein diffusion and binding kinetics by analysis and modeling of FRAP data

Elucidation of kinetic rate constants is essential for complete understanding of biological processes. To determine the kinetic rate constants of the diffusion-to-capture mechanism for IFT transport to basal bodies, we used a combination of curve fitting and modeling techniques.

When FRAP recovery is dominated by binding to a subcellular structure, FRAP curve-fitting allows for elucidation of binding kinetics (Sprague and McNally, 2005). Therefore, we first verified that our FRAP recoveries were within a binding-dominant regime. To do so, we changed the size of our bleaching and analysis region from 5 to 20 µm, which result in shorter travel distance for nonbleached fluorescent proteins, should demonstrate faster recoveries (Sprague et al., 2004). Performing this experiment with IFT-A protein Ift122 we found that FRAP recovery kinetics were identical between the smaller and the larger bleaching regions, indicating that our recovery is in a binding-dominant regime (Figure 5E).

Having established a binding-dominant regime, we then utilized curve-fitting to quantify the off-rate for basal body binding (koff) and the mobile fraction (f) (Sprague et al., 2004). Fluorescence recovery as a function of time f(t) was fit to the following equation:

\[ f(t) = A(1 - e^{-k_{off}t}) \]

and determined an off-rate of 0.045 s⁻¹ (Figure 5, F and G).
Next, we sought to further characterize the kinetics of this diffusion-to-capture method by using a kinetic modeling approach (Phair and Misteli, 2001). We used a Monte Carlo model to simulate IFT particles moving on a lattice, with a diffusion coefficient D (Figure 5F). If these particles encountered the basal body, simulated by a capture site with a boundary of 400 × 400 nm, they displayed a probability of unbinding dependent on the pseudo-first-order rate constant for binding (k_{on}) (Sprague et al., 2004). Molecules that were captured displayed a probability of unbinding dependent on the previously established k_{off} = 0.045 s^{-1}. Using this method, we simulated the turnover FRAP experiments and determined that D = 1 µm^2/s and k_{on} = 0.5 s^{-1} closely recapitulated experimental data for representative IFT-A protein GFP-Ift122 (Figure 5G). As expected for a protein of >100 kDa that is a member of a ∼1 MDa complex, this diffusion coefficient is slower than cytosolic GFP (Potma et al., 2001), but faster than mRNAs undergoing translation (Yan et al., 2016). We expect the kinetic values obtained here for diffusion-to-capture will help further efforts to characterize IFT capture in the peri-basal body pool.

While it has become evident that the distal appendage matrix appears to be the docking site for IFT proteins in the peri-basal body pool (Deane et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2018), the specific capture mechanism is still unclear. In vitro reconstitution of the centrosome, which is a modified centriole like basal bodies, elucidated the molecular requirements for MT nucleation (Stearns and Kirschner, 1994; Woodruff et al., 2017), and in vitro reconstitution was similarly essential for elucidating the architecture of the IFT-B complex (Taschner et al., 2016). The quantification of in vivo rate constants presented here provides a useful foundation for future in vitro efforts to reconstitute IFT binding to the distal appendage matrix. Additionally, it will be interesting to further elucidate the changes to these rate constants using perturbations that affect the peri-basal body pool of IFT in previous, static assays (Singla et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2012; Cajánek and Nigg, 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

Elucidating the mechanisms of IFT proteins’ dynamic localization from the cytoplasm to the peri-basal body pool and their retention there is a crucial challenge in understanding both ciliogenesis and cilia homeostasis. Here, we demonstrate a diffusion-to-capture mechanism governing IFT localization to basal bodies through quantification of IFT dynamics in vertebrate MCCs. Additionally, we show the recruitment of IFT proteins is dependent on their interaction partners. IFT-A and IFT-B, show independent recovery dynamics, suggesting that different protein–protein interactions facilitate the capture of IFT-A and IFT-B complexes at the basal body. This result is consistent with findings in Chlamydomonas that IFT-A and IFT-B proteins occupy unique domains in the peri-basal body pool (Hou et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2015).

Further characterization of basal body capture will help delineate which domains of the basal body correspond to the steps of IFT capture and train assembly. Presumably, IFT capture and train assembly are separate steps. Indeed, recruitment to the peri-basal body pool and train incorporation of IFT-A can be separated in mutants of Ift74 (Brown et al., 2015). Additionally, while IFT-B is required for train formation, we show here that IFT-A protein Ift122 does not require IFT-B Ift52 for normal basal body turnover dynamics. Moving forward, it will be interesting to determine how peri-basal body capture mechanisms influence the assembly of IFT machinery into trains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Request a protocol through Bio-protocol.

Xenopus embryo manipulations

All experiments were conducted following animal ethics guidelines of the University of Texas at Austin, protocol number AUP-2018-00225. Female adult X. laevis were induced to ovulate by injection of human chorionic gonadotropin. The following day, females were squeezed, and eggs were fertilized in vitro with homogenized testis. Embryos were dejellied in 1/3× Marc’s modified Ringer’s (MMR) with 3% (wt/vol) cysteine (pH 7.9) at the two-cell stage. All embryos were reared in 1/3× MMR solution until the appropriate stage. For micro-injections, embryos were placed in 2% Ficoll in 1/3× MMR and injected using a glass needle, forceps, and an Oxford universal micromanipulator.

Immunostaining

Immunostaining protocol was modified from Lee et al. (2008). Stage 27 Xenopus embryos were fixed using 1× MEMFA for 2 h on
a rotating mixer at room temperature immediately following either DMSO or cold-shock and nocodazole treatment. For transverse sections, embryos were embedded in 2% agarose, and thick (~250 µm) sections were cut using a Vibratome 1000 system. Sections were further fixed in 1× MEMFA for 1 h at room temperature.

Both whole-mount embryos and transverse sections were washed in TBST (155 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl, 0.1% Triton X-100, pH 7.4) and blocked in 10% NGS, 5% DMSO in TBST. Monoclonal mouse anti-alpha-tubulin (DSHB, AA4.3, 1:200 dilution) was used as a primary antibody. Anti-alpha-tubulin was detected using Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse (Invitrogen, 1:1000). In addition, nuclei were stained using DAPI (1:1000) and actin was stained using Alexa Fluor 568 Phalloidin (Invitrogen, 1:500). Images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM700 laser scanning confocal microscope using a 100x oil objective lens.

Ciliary and cytosolic alpha-tubulin staining intensity quantification was performed by drawing a ROI around the ciliary or cytosolic regions of a single MCC and measuring the mean intensity of that region using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). For comparisons of alpha-tubulin intensity between DMSO- and CS+Noc-treated embryos, a minimum of 55 cells from four embryos were quantified per condition.

Plasmids and cloning
Previously described vectors containing the following inserts were used: Ift43-GFP (Brooks and Wallingford, 2013), Ift121-GFP (Toriyama et al., 2016), GFP-Ift122 (Toriyama et al., 2016), Ift144-GFP (Toriyama et al., 2016), Ift20-GFP (Brooks and Wallingford, 2012), Ift81-GFP (Toriyama et al., 2016), GFP-Ofd1 (Toriyama et al., 2016), Cep164-GFP (Toriyama et al., 2016), GFP-Ttbk2 (Tu et al., 2018), Centrin2-BFP (Tu et al., 2018), and α-tub MAP7-RFP.

For new inserts, gene sequences were obtained from Xenbase (www.xenbase.org) (Karimi et al., 2018). Open reading frames were amplified from a Xenopus cDNA library by polymerase chain reaction and then inserted into either a pCS10R or a pCS vector. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

mRNA, morpholino, and plasmid microinjections
Vectors were linearized by restriction digestion and capped mRNAs were synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 transcription kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, #AM1340).
For KD experiments, we used the previously described antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) against Ift52, 5′-AAGCAATC TGTTTGTGACTCCCAT-3′ (GeneTools) (Dammermann et al., 2009; Drew et al., 2017). Xenopus embryos were injected with mRNAs, plasmids, and/or MOs in the two ventral blastomeres at the four-cell stage to target the epidermis. mRNAs were injected at 70–200 pg per blastomere, Ift52 morpholino was injected at 40 ng per blastomere, and plasmids were injected at 25-75 pg per blastomere.

Live imaging, FRAP, and image analysis
For live imaging, Xenopus embryos (stage 26–29) were mounted between two coverslips and submerged in 0.3x MMR and imaged using a Nikon A1R scanning confocal microscope with a 60x oil immersion objective.

For FRAP experiments, a ROI of either 2.5 × 2.0 µm (all FRAP experiments unless otherwise stated) or 1 × 1 µm, focusing on the basal bodies of an MCC, was defined. Bleaching was performed on the ROI using 35% laser power of either a 405- or a 488-nm wavelength laser. Images of the bleached cell and a neighboring non-bleached MCC (which was used for subsequent bleach correction) were acquired. Bleach correction and FRAP curve-fitting was carried out using a custom Python script (modified from http://imagej.net/Analyze_FRAP_movies_with_a_Jython_script). For WT FRAP analysis of each IFT protein, a minimum of 35 cells from at least five independent embryos in three different clutches was analyzed. For complete numbers, see Supplemental Table S1.

The fluorescence intensity of Ift46-mNG at basal bodies was measured as previously described (Toriyama et al., 2016). Briefly, basal bodies were determined using the three-dimensional (3D) object counter plugin of Fiji software, with the object size filter minimum set to 20 and the threshold set to the plugin's recommended value. At least 12 basal bodies per cell from at least 24 cells in three independent embryos were analyzed.

Cold shock and drug treatment
To depolymerize cytosolic MTs, a combination of cold shock and nocodazole treatment (CS + noc) was used. First, embryos were equilibrated in a solution of 25 µM nocodazole in 0.1× MMR for a minimum of 15 min. Cold-shock was performed by adding the embryos to 25 µM nocodazole in 0.1× MMR prechilled to 5°C for 15 min. Embryos were allowed to return to room temperature and maintained in 25 µM nocodazole in 0.1× MMR for imaging.

To ensure efficient and persistent depolymerization of MTs, treated embryos utilized for FRAP live imaging were co-injected with the MT binding domain ensconsin of MAP7 (α-tubulin MAP7-RFP). Nontreated embryos showed robust ensconsin labeling of cytosolic MTs, while CS+noc-treated embryos only showed ensconsin signal at basal bodies. For FRAP analysis of CS+noc-treated proteins, a minimum of 20 cells from four independent embryos was utilized.

Deciliation and ciliary regeneration
Deciliation was performed as previously described (Werner and Mitchell, 2013). Briefly, embryos were incubated in deciliation buffer (75 mM calcium, 0.02% NP40 in 0.1x MMR+antibiotic [50 µg/ml gentamicin]) at room temperature for 5 min. Embryos were washed in 0.1x MMR + antibiotic for 2 min, maintained in 1/3x MMR for specified periods of regeneration time, then mounted for imaging.

Cilia length was measured in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) from Z-stack images acquired of each timepoint. A segmented line was drawn along the length of each cilia with a clearly defined base and tip. Ciliary length was quantified from a minimum of 20 cells from at least two embryos per timepoint.

Additionally, FRAP was performed on embryos at different stages of cilia regeneration. A minimum of 25 cells was imaged from at least three embryos per timepoint.

Analysis of IFT binding kinetics from FRAP curve fitting
For verification of binding-dominant regime in FRAP experiments, the ROI was reduced from 5 to 1 µm² in experiments and analysis, or only when analyzing as indicated. For experiments and analysis, a ROI of 1 × 1 µm was bleached and this same area was measured as described above in postbleach image analysis. For analysis only, a 2.5 × 2.0 µm was still bleached. However, in postbleach image analysis, a ROI focusing on the center 1 × 1 µm of the 2.5 × 2.0 µm bleached region was measured.

Fluorescence recovery as a function of time was fit to the following equation: $f(t) = A(1 - e^{-kt})$ utilizing custom nonlinear model fitting within MATLAB’s curve fitting toolbox.

Monte Carlo model of diffusion-to-capture
Diffusion and binding in a 3D environment were modeled as a random walk process, with simulations run in MATLAB; 2 thousand randomly distributed particles move in a 2 × 2 × 4 µm cubic lattice with reflecting boundary conditions. If the molecules move within an apical capture radius of 400 × 400 nm, simulating the basal body, they have the probability of binding, dependent on their binding kinetics ($k_{on}$). Unbinding from the basal body is stochastic, but with a probability influenced by $k_{off}$.

To ensure proper simulation of diffusion in the model, the cubic lattice was expanded (to prevent confined diffusion), $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ were set to zero. In this scenario, we saw appropriate scaling of area explored, with $<\Delta x^2>(t) = 6Dt$. Additionally, proper binding was determined by ensuring the number of molecules bound, $p_{Bound}$, scaled linearly with increases in $k_{on}/k_{off}$.

Statistical analysis and data visualization
Plots were generated using custom R scripts, utilizing the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016). One-way analysis of variance comparison of FRAP mobile fraction values between basal-body proteins and of ciliary length measurements during regeneration were carried out utilizing the afex R package (Singmann et al., 2015). Differences between treated and nontreated mobile fraction values were analyzed using Welch’s t-test. P values greater than 0.05 are reported as nonsignificant (n.s.), *0.05 > p > 0.005, **0.005 > p > 0.0005, and ***p < 0.005.
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