Structural diversity of cysteine desulfurases involved in iron-sulfur cluster biosynthesis
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Cysteine desulfurases are pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzymes that mobilize sulfur derived from the L-cysteine substrate to the partner sulfur acceptor proteins. Three cysteine desulfurases, IscS, NifS, and SufS, have been identified in ISC, NIF, and SUF/SUF-like systems for iron-sulfur (Fe-S) cluster biosynthesis, respectively. These cysteine desulfurases have been investigated over decades, providing insights into shared/distinct catalytic processes based on two types of enzymes (type I: IscS and NifS, type II: SufS). This review summarizes the insights into the structural/functional varieties of bacterial and eukaryotic cysteine desulfurases involved in Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems. In addition, an inactive cysteine desulfurase IscS paralog, which contains pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate (PMP), instead of PLP, is also described to account for its hypothetical function in Fe-S cluster biosynthesis involving this paralog. The structural basis for cysteine desulfurase functions will be a stepping stone towards understanding the diversity and evolution of Fe-S cluster biosynthesis.
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Significance

Recent X-ray crystallography, cryo-EM, NMR and SAXS analyses unveiled common and distinct features of two different types of PLP-dependent cysteine desulfurases involved in Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems. The significance of the type-dependent local structural difference is highlighted in this review, which will give clues for understanding not only the details of the cysteine desulfurase mechanisms, but also the diversity of the Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems.

Introduction

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S) clusters are inorganic cofactors composed of Fe ions and sulfides, and are found in most organisms (Fig. 1) [1-8]. Fe-S clusters are utilized by Fe-S proteins that play critical roles in many biological processes, such as the respiratory chain, the photosystem, and regulation of gene expression. For example, ferredoxins, which are well-known...
electron transfer proteins, utilize Fe-S clusters as redox centers [9]. As another example, aconitase utilizes a [4Fe-4S] cluster as a Lewis acid at its active site [10]. Radical S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) enzymes are also important Fe-S enzymes that catalyze a variety of reactions via radical formation using SAM [11]. In addition to Fe-S proteins with canonical Fe-S clusters (i.e., [2Fe-2S], [3Fe-4S] and [4Fe-4S] clusters) (Fig. 1A), some unique Fe-S enzymes having unusual Fe-S clusters are also of interest in terms of their cluster derivative chemistry (e.g., nitrogenases [12], [FeFe]-hydrogenase [13], and Ni,Fe-carbon monoxide dehydrogenase [14]).

The Fe-S clusters in proteins are coordinated by amino acid ligands, which are typically Cys residues, and occasionally His, Asp/Glu with metal-coordinating ability (Fig. 1A) [15, 16]. So far, various types of Fe-S clusters with non-amino acid ligands have been synthesized by chemists [15, 16]. The studies on chemical synthesis of Fe-S clusters greatly contributed to the understanding of their chemical properties. In contrast, it has been difficult to clarify the biological systems involved in the de novo synthesis of Fe-S clusters in cells. In the past, Fe-S clusters in cells were believed to be synthesized non-enzymatically (spontaneously) from Fe ions and sulfides (Fig. 1B), because they could be chemically reconstituted in vitro to certain apo-Fe-S proteins (e.g. ferredoxin) using Fe ions and sulfides [17]. However, in the 1980s, the enzymatic Fe-S cluster synthesis systems were identified through biochemical and genetic analyses, using several bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Azotobacter vinelandii* [18, 19].

Currently, three distinct Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems are identified in the case of bacteria. They are called ISC, SUF, and NIF systems, based on the names of the iron-sulfur cluster (ISC), sulfur mobilization (SUF), and the nitrogen fixation (NIF) (Fig. 2) [2, 20]. The ISC system consists of seven components encoded by *iscSUA-hscBA-fdx-iscX* in the *isc* operon [21-40]. Among the members, cysteine desulfurase *IscS* and Fe-S cluster assembling scaffold *IscU* are essential for the biosynthesis. The *E. coli* SUF system consists of six components encoded by *sufABCDSE* in the *suf* operon [41-57]. In the SUF system, cysteine desulfurase *SufS* functions as IscS. However, the other components in the SUF system are rather different from the members in the ISC system. *SufB*, *SufC*, and *SufD* form a protein complex *SufBCD* serving as an Fe-S cluster-assemblying scaffold. *SufE* is a sulfur-transfer protein to deliver the inorganic sulfur from *SufS* to the *SufBCD* complex. These features are unique for the SUF system compared to the ISC system, which means two systems are distinct from each other (Fig. 3). The NIF system is also different from the ISC and SUF systems. The NIF system is simply composed of two components, cysteine desulfurase *NifS* and Fe-S cluster-assemblying scaffold *NifU*, which are encoded by *nifSU* [19, 58-67]. Actually, the NIF system was first discovered as components of the nitrogenase maturation system in nitrogen-fixing bacteria [68, 69]. However, *nifSU* can also be found in non-nitrogen-fixing bacteria (e.g. *Helicobacter pylori*), where the ISC and SUF systems are absent. Therefore, the NIF system in these bacteria is used as a general Fe-S cluster biosynthetic system, rather than a nitrogenase-specific Fe-S-type cluster biosynthetic system.

More recently, the SUF-like system (Fig. 2) was identified and characterized in some gram-positive bacteria (e.g. *Bacillus subtilis*) [70-75]. The SUF-like system contains five components encoded by the *sufCDSUB* operon. Hence, *SufS* and *SufBCD* exist in both the SUF-like system and in the SUF system. *SufU*, however, is unique to the SUF-like system [76-79], and is structurally homologous to *IscU* in the ISC system. Considering this, the SUF-like system is regarded as a chimeric system of the ISC and SUF systems. Biochemical, genetic and structural analyses of *SufU* have recently been conducted, confirming that *SufU* is a sulfur-transfer protein from *SufS* to *SufBCD* as *SufE* in the SUF system (Fig. 3). This finding is very curious because *SufU* and *IscU* belong to a “U-type protein family”, in which the members designated as U-type proteins (e.g., *SufU*, *IscU*, *NifU*) typically have IscU-type folds (an α+β tertiary fold, with three antiparallel β-strands and four α-helices in a compact globular structure) and are found in Fe-S cluster biosynthetic machineries [20]. However, *SufU* and *IscU* have different functions: *SufU* for a sulfur

![Figure 1](image) (A) Chemical structures, and ball-and-stick models of the three types of Fe-S clusters: [2Fe-2S], [3Fe-4S], and [4Fe-4S]. (B) Schematic representation of Fe-S cluster synthesis, and the maturation of Fe-S proteins in vivo and in vitro.
In contrast to Fe-S cluster assembling scaffolds, the cysteine desulfurases do not differ significantly \[21, 58, 59, 80-84\], because they share some structural and functional features \[85-87\]. However, recent intensive studies have demonstrated that the cysteine desulfurases are also important for understanding the distinct mechanisms of Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems. In particular, type difference of the cysteine desulfurases (type I: IscS and NifS and type II: SufS) \[85\] is highlighted in this review. Not only the cysteine desulfurases, but also cysteine desulfurase-partner complexes are also discussed by focusing on the type difference. Furthermore, cysteine desulfurase NFS1 in the mitochondrial ISC system \[88-91\], and an inactive cysteine desulfurase paralog, IscS from an archaeon Archaeoglobus fulgidus, \[92, 93\] are introduced, which will provide a clue to consider the diversity and evolution of cysteine desulfurases and Fe-S cluster biosynthetic systems.

**Two Distinct Types of Cysteine Desulfurases**

Cysteine desulfurases are pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzymes that catalyze sulfur mobilization from the L-cysteine substrate to the partner sulfur-accepting proteins (Figs. 3, 4). The Fe-S cluster biosynthetic machineries NIF, ISC, and SUF/SUF-like systems contain NifS, IscS, and SufS as cysteine desulfurases, respectively. The overall structures of these cysteine desulfurases are almost identical (Figs. 4A, 4B). These enzymes exhibit a very similar homodimeric architecture. The N-terminal region consists of the core region, and the C-terminal region comprising α-helices is extended from the central core region to the ends of the homodimeric architecture. The active sites of cysteine desulfurases contain PLP with some strictly conserved residues, Lys, Arg, His, and Cys \[85\]. This PLP is covalently attached to the conserved Lys via a Schiff base, forming the PLP-Lys internal aldimine (Fig. 4C).

Notably, the cysteine desulfurases can be categorized into two types: NifS and IscS (type I) and SufS (type II). \[83, 94\].
There are several local structural differences between two types (Fig. 4D). For example, a β-hairpin region of SufS [95-97] is distinguished from the corresponding part of IscS/NifS, which lacks such a region (Fig. 5). This β-hairpin region is close to the PLP-Lys and the conserved Cys residue in the catalytic loop in SufS. Crystal structure analysis of E. coli SufS [95] and its variants revealed that this hairpin is movable, which may mediate the interaction of SufS with its partner SufE (Fig. 3B) [98, 99].

Catalytic loops are also different between two types (Figs. 4, 5) [84, 85]. The type I loop is usually approximately 10 amino acids longer than the type II loop. Crystal structure analysis of types I and II enzymes demonstrated that the structural folds of the loops are different (Figs. 4, 5). The loops of type I cysteine desulfurases, IscS and NifS (e.g., H. pylori NifS) are mostly or partially disordered (Fig. 4), which may indicate their flexibility. The exception here exists in Hydrogenimonas thermodalsa NifS, which showed that the loop region includes a β-sheet (Fig. 5). In contrast, loops of type II enzymes, (e.g., SufS from E. coli and B. subtilis), are folded as α-helices (Fig. 5). The conserved Cys residue of SufS is positioned in the turn between two α-helices of the catalytic loop. X-ray crystallographic snapshot analysis was carried out for trapping the catalytic intermediates of the two types of cysteine desulfurases, NifS and SufS, and the intermediates were compared to these resting states. [84] (Fig. 6) This analysis provided insights into the common and distinct features of the two types of cysteine desulfurases. In both types, the structures of PLP-L-Cys external aldimines showed polar interactions at equivalent positions. For example, the SH group of the PLP-L-Cys ketimine (4) is attacked by a nucleophilic cysteine residue on the catalytic loop, resulting in cysteine persulfide (Cys-SSH) and PLP-L-Ala enamine (5), which is further converted to PLP-L-Ala ketimine (6). The PLP-L-Ala ketimine (6) is converted to PLP-L-Ala quinonoid (7), and then to PLP-L-Ala aldimine (8) via acid-base catalysis with the conserved Lys. Finally, the PLP-L-Ala aldimine (8) was converted to the resting state of the PLP-Lys internal aldimine (1) via the release of L-Ala. The Cys-SSH donates the sulfur to the partner sulfur acceptor protein.

**Figure 4** (A) Structures of type I cysteine desulfurases, *Escherichia coli* IscS [PDB ID: 3lvm] and *Hydrogenimonas thermophilosa* NifS [PDB ID: 5zsp]. (B) Structures of type II cysteine desulfurases, *E. coli* SufS [PDB ID: 6o10] and *Bacillus subtilis* SufS [PDB ID: 5zs9]. Both types of cysteine desulfurases show homodimeric architectures, and have the PLP-Lys internal aldimine (shown as spheres) at their active sites. Catalytic loops are colored in blue. The β-hairpin region specific for type II SufS enzymes are colored in green. (C) Chemical structure of the PLP-Lys internal aldimine. (D) Primary structure comparisons of type I and type II cysteine desulfurases. H, K, C, and R indicate the conserved His, Lys, Cys, and Arg residues, respectively. The type I enzyme has a longer catalytic loop than the type II (shown in a blue box). Moreover, the type II enzyme has a β-hairpin region (shown in a green box) near the conserved Lys, whereas the type I does not have it.

Based on recent studies, the catalytic cycle of cysteine desulfurases has been revisited (Fig. 7) [82, 84, 85]. The substrate L-cysteine initially reacts with the PLP-Lys internal aldimine (1), resulting in the formation of the corresponding external PLP-L-Cys aldimine (2) via a Schiff-base-exchange reaction. The conserved His works to stabilize the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine (2) via polar interaction. After that, the conserved His serves as an acid-base catalyst, to proceed conversion of the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine (2) to the corresponding PLP-L-Cys quinonoid (3), and then to the PLP-L-Cys ketimine (4) [82]. At this stage, the SH group of the PLP-L-Cys ketimine (4) is attacked by a nucleophilic cysteine residue on the catalytic loop, resulting in cysteine persulfide (Cys-SSH) and PLP-L-Ala enamine (5), which is further converted to PLP-L-Ala ketimine (6). The PLP-L-Ala ketimine (6) is converted to PLP-L-Ala quinonoid (7), and then to PLP-L-Ala aldimine (8) via acid-base catalysis with the conserved Lys. Finally, the PLP-L-Ala aldimine (8) was converted to the resting state of the PLP-Lys internal aldimine (1) via the release of L-Ala. The Cys-SSH donates the sulfur to the partner sulfur acceptor protein.
Figure 5 (A) Active site structure of *Hydrogenimonas thermophila* NifS [PDB ID: 5zsp]. (B) Active site structure of *Bacillus subtilis* SufS [PDB ID: 5zs9]. Catalytic loops in both NifS and SufS are colored in blue. The β-hairpin region of SufS is colored in green. The PLP-Lys moieties and catalytic cysteine residues are shown in stick models.

Figure 6 (A) Active site structure of *Helicobacter pylori* NifS in the resting state [PDB ID: 5wt2]. (B) Active site structure of *H. pylori* NifS in an intermediate state of PLP-L-Cys external aldimine [PDB ID: 6kg0]. (C) Active site structure of *Bacillus subtilis* SufS in the resting state [PDB ID: 5zs9]. (D) Active site structure of *B. subtilis* SufS in an intermediate state of PLP-L-Cys external aldimine with Cys361-persulfide [PDB ID: 6kfz]. Dashed lines indicate key polar interactions for binding of the substrate L-cysteine into the active sites.

Figure 7 Catalytic mechanism of cysteine desulfurases. The reaction initiates from the resting state where the PLP-Lys internal aldimine exists. From here, L-cysteine (L-Cys) is covalently bound to the PLP moiety (1) via a Schiff-base exchange reaction, yielding the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine (2). This aldimine is stabilized via polar interactions. For example, the conserved Arg interacts with the carboxy group of the cysteine moiety of the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine (2). Also, the conserved His interacts with the SH group of the PLP-L-Cys, although it also functions as an acid-base catalyst during cysteine desulfurase catalysis. Further, the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine (2) is converted to the corresponding ketimine (4) via the quinonoid (3). Then, the catalytic Cys residue attacks the SH group of the PLP-L-Cys ketimine (4), yielding persulfurated Cys (Cys-SSH) and PLP-L-alanine (PLP-L-Ala) enamine (5). This enamine (5) is subsequently converted to PLP-L-Ala ketimine (6), the quinonoid (7), and the external aldimine (8) in a stepwise manner via acid-base catalysis. Finally, the Cys-SSH transfers the sulfur to the sulfur-accepting Cys residue of the partner proteins. Together with, L-Ala is released from the PLP-L-Ala external aldimine (8), which is to be the resting state, PLP-Lys internal aldimine (1).
Despite the shared intermediates, behaviors of the catalytic loops of NifS and SufS are distinct, which has been proposed by the X-ray crystallographic snapshot analysis (Fig. 8) [84]. In the conversion of the resting state of the PLP-Lys internal aldimine to the PLP-L-Cys intermediate of *H. thermophila* NifS, the catalytic loop becomes partially unstructured. It has been proposed that this structural transition was caused by the binding of substrate L-cysteine to the conserved Arg at the active site on the basis of the observation of a slight change of the orientation of Arg [84]. However, the topology of the loop in the PLP-L-Cys intermediate state is still not ready for a nucleophilic reaction by the conserved Cys residue (Fig. 8A, top). In this state, the Cys residue is sterically hindered by the partially-folded loop. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the topology of the loop may be necessarily changed for making the Cys residue close to the PLP site. Then, the Cys residue could finally attack the PLP-L-Cys ketimine, resulting in cysteine desulfurase reaction (Fig. 8A, bottom). After the cysteine desulfurase reaction, the catalytic loop could be structured again with the Cys-SSH in the catalytic cycle of NifS. Indeed, the structure of NifS after the cysteine desulfurase reaction exhibited the folded catalytic loop with the Cys-SSH [84]. When NifS and a sulfur accepting partner NifU co-exist, the catalytic loop having the Cys-SSH should be moving toward NifU, for the sulfur transfer (Fig. 3).

Unlike type I, the catalytic loop of type II *B. subtilis* SufS maintained the α-helix fold in the forms with PLP-L-Cys and PLP-L-Ala species (Fig. 8B). The conformational change in SufS catalysis was only the change in orientation of Cα-Cβ-Sγ of the conserved Cys residue in the catalytic loop. This small conformational change, however, is sufficient for SufS catalysis, since the SH group of the catalytic Cys residue could already be in proximity to the SH group of the PLP-L-Cys moiety for the nucleophilic attack and subsequent formation of the Cys-SSH in the catalytic loop.

**Figure 8** Proposed conformational and topological changes of the catalytic loops of the two types of the cysteine desulfurases. (A) NifS (type I). (B) SufS (type II). The schematic representation for type I is based on *Hydrogenimonas thermophila* NifS, whose loop forms a β-sheet in the resting state, though many of type I enzymes show mostly or partially disordered loops. The Cys residue on the type I loop was far from the PLP site in the resting state. Thus, the structural change of the catalytic loop must occur, to make the conserved Cys serve as a nucleophile toward the PLP-L-Cys ketimine for the cysteine desulfurase reaction. In contrast, the type II catalytic loop (e.g., the catalytic loop of *Bacillus subtilis* SufS) forms an α-helix with a turn including the catalytic Cys residues. This Cys residue is already in proximity to the PLP-site. Thus, only a slight conformational change of the Cys residue of the type II is needed for the cysteine desulfurase reaction. Notably, it is not completely clarified whether and how the loop conformational change is related to the conversion of the PLP-L-Cys external aldimine to the PLP-L-Cys ketimine because of no structures of the ketimine forms of type I and II wild-type enzymes, although this conversion is supposed to proceed via an acid-base catalysis.

**Structures of Cysteine Desulfurase-Partner Complexes in the ISC, NIF and SUF Systems**

Cysteine desulfurases interact with their sulfur-accepting partner proteins to form protein complexes (Fig. 9) [100]. Within these complexes, the sulfane sulfur of Cys-SSH of cysteine desulfurases is transferred to sulfur acceptor proteins. In the case of the ISC system, IscS-IscU serves not only as a sulfur-transferring complex, but also as an Fe-S cluster-assembling complex (Fig. 3). There are several crystal structures available for IscS-IscU complexes, such as *E. coli* IscS-IscU (Fig. 9A) [39] and *A. fulgidus* IscS-IscU D35A (Fig. 9B) [40], where the conserved Asp was substituted by Ala in IscU (Fig. 9B, bottom) [33, 34, 101-104]. Based on these structures and available biochemical data, it has been proposed that the assembly of the [2Fe-2S] cluster takes place at the interface of IscS and IscU. However, it should be noted that
the actual ligands for the biosynthesis of the [2Fe-2S] cluster in IscS-IscU wild-type have not been fully resolved yet, since only the structure of the [2Fe-2S]-bound form of IscS-IscU D35A variant (Fig. 9B) is available as an Fe-S cluster-bound state. In other words, there is no available structure for wild-type IscS-IscU with an Fe-S cluster. Also, it is known that the substitution of Asp by Ala is known to render IscU inactive in vivo [35, 105]. To further understand the mechanisms that underlie the assembly of the [2Fe-2S] cluster in IscS-IscU, it is necessary to characterize the structures of both an intermediate and a [2Fe-2S]-bound wild-type IscS-IscU.

Compared to the IscS-IscU, the NifS-NifU complex is not fully characterized yet because structures of NifU and NifS-NifU are not available. However, it has been known that NifU is uniquely composed of three domains (N-terminal, middle, and C-terminal domains) [2] for functioning as Fe-S cluster-assembling scaffold in NIF system, which is distinct from IscU in ISC system. The N-terminal domain of NifU is structurally homologous to IscU, which suggests that the NifS-NifU complex may function as the IscS-IscU complex [62, 63, 67]. The middle domain is a [2Fe-2S] cluster-bound domain, which probably functions as an electron donor [60, 62]. The C-terminal domain is a type of Nfu-like protein with two conserved Cys residues [64, 106-108]. The functions of the middle- and C-terminal domains have not yet been fully resolved; however, some insights into their possible roles have been revealed. To gain further insights into the functions of NifS-NifU, the structure of NifU and NifS-NifU needs to be resolved.

Conversely, the SufS-SufE complex in the SUF system specifically functions in sulfur-mobilization (Fig. 3) [109-111]. The X-ray crystal structure of CsdA-CsdE [112, 113] (a SufS-SufE homolog) has been determined (Fig. 9C) [114, 115], from which mechanism of SufS-SufE function can also be deduced [116]. Notably, the location of CsdE, which is bound next to the PLP site of CsdA, is different from that of IscU to IscS. This CsdE-binding location in CsdA-CsdE is suitable for facile sulfur transfer [115]. This type of facile sulfur-transfer process could also occur in SufS-SufE system because of the high degree of homology of SufS-SufE to CsdA-CsdE.
Structure of the SufS-SufU Complex in the SUF-like System

The SUF-like system utilizes SufS-SufU, a cysteine desulfurase-partner complex (Fig. 9D). Uniquely, complex formation and sulfur-transfer mechanisms of the SufS-SufU complex are dependent on the Zn site of SufU (Fig. 10A). In *B. subtilis* SufU, the Zn-coordination site has four conserved amino acid ligands, i.e., Cys41, Asp43, Cys66, and Cys128. However, in the *B. subtilis* SufS-SufU complex, the Zn site contains Asp43, Cys66, and Cys128 of SufU, and His342 of SufS, which resulted from a ligand swapping [116]. This His residue is unique to SufS associated with SufU in the SUF-like system [75, 116, 117], but not to SufS associated with SufE in the SUF system. The importance of His342 was confirmed by studying the *B. subtilis* SufS H342Y variant, which showed no interaction to SufS and very low activity. Gene complementation analysis also demonstrated the specific interaction between SufS and SufU [75].

When *B. subtilis* SufS-SufU complex forms, Cys41 of *B. subtilis* SufU moves toward the PLP site. The position of Cys41 is suitable for accepting sulfur from persulfurated Cys361 (Cys361-SSH) of SufS. X-ray crystallographic snapshot analysis of SufS-SufU revealed two intermediates, which proposed the sulfur transfer route: sulfur is transferred from the PLP site (PLP-L-Cys) to Cys361 of SufS, then to Cys41 of SufU (Fig. 10B) [116].

Distinct Features between the Two Types of Cysteine Desulfurases-Partner Complexes

Difference in catalytic loops between type I and II enzymes may also be important for discussion of distinct features of the cysteine desulfurase-partner complexes. The type I IscS-IscU complex should utilize a “longer” catalytic loop for dual functions: the sulfur transfer and Fe-S cluster assembly. During these processes by IscS-IscU, this loop should change its conformation largely and flexibly, because there is a long distance between IscS and IscU. More importantly, IscS-IscU can interact with other partners (e.g., Fdx, IscX, and CyaY) [118-123]. Based on studies of IscS-IscU with other partners using X-ray crystallography, NMR and SAXS, it is hypothesized that the motions of the catalytic loop may be affected by the association/dissociation of these partners to IscS-IscU, contributing to a precise Fe-S cluster assembly. This kind of discussion will also be shown in the section concerning human type I cysteine desulfurase (see below).

Conversely, type II SufS-SufU and SufS-SufE (CsdA-CsdE) may have evolved to specifically function in sulfur transfer, with a “shorter” catalytic loop. Indeed, CsdA-CsdE and SufS-SufU sulfur-transfer intermediates have demonstrated that sulfur-transfer is possibly achieved by small conformational changes of the catalytic loops. Also, no additional partners to SufS-SufE or CsdA-CsdE are reported, which is different from the case of IscS-IscU. Interestingly, it is known that SufS-SufE is relatively resistant to oxidative species, whereas IscS-IscU not [109-111]. This feature of SufS-SufE may...
be considered based on the structure of the shorter loop enabling the facile sulfur-transfer.

For further understanding type-dependent features of the complexes, it is necessary to determine the structures of other type I and II cysteine desulfurase-partner complexes, e.g. NifS-NifU. Also, it is worthwhile to examine whether cysteine desulfurases can interact with not only sulfur acceptor proteins, but also the additional partners. For example, type II SufS-SufU from \textit{B. subtilis} is supposed to bind to a frataxin-type protein \[83\]. However, this possible association of \textit{B. subtilis} frataxin-like protein with SufS-SufU was reported before unveiling the X-ray crystal structure of the SufS-SufU complex \[116\], and there are no additional reports of three-dimensional structures of \textit{B. subtilis} frataxin-like-protein-bound SufS-SufU. To gain further idea, structural analysis of the frataxin-like-protein-bound SufS-SufU complex might be necessarily conducted, followed by comparison of it to the other ternary complexes such as IscS-IscU-IscX.

**Structures of Eukaryotic Cysteine Desulfurase NFS1 in Complex with its Partners in the Mitochondrial ISC System**

The mitochondrial ISC system \[124\] has recently been of great interest in research related to not only the diversity and evolution of Fe-S cluster biosynthesis, but also the relationship of the ISC system to human diseases \[125\]. The mitochondrial ISC system is a more complicated system than that of bacteria, containing 18 known proteins. However, the basic reaction steps (i.e., sulfur activation and Fe-S cluster assembly) are well conserved between the bacterial and mitochondrial ISC systems. The key components of the mitochondrial ISC system are type I cysteine desulfurase NFS1 and the Fe-S cluster biosynthetic scaffold ISCU \[126\], which are homologous to IscS and IscU, respectively. In addition, NFS1 and ISCU interact with each other, similar to IscS and IscU \[39, 40\].

However, there are several unique features of the NFS1 and NFS1-ISCU systems \[127\] compared to the IscS and IscS-ISCU systems \[39, 40\]. For example, NFS1 must form a complex with ISD11 \[128-130\], a member of the LYRM protein family \[131\]. Moreover, ISD11 must be in complex with the acyl carrier protein (ACP) \[132\], which plays a role in mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis and lipoic acid formation. The NFS1-ISD11-ACP complex, in which \textit{E. coli} ACP was used instead of mitochondrial ACP, has been isolated as a functional complex to study its characteristic properties \[133\]. X-ray crystal structure analysis of NFS1-ISD11-ACP (Fig. 11A) \[127\] demonstrated that the overall folds and PLP-binding sites of NFS1 and IscS are very similar. However, NFS1 shows disordered segments that are not observed in IscS. For example, the N-terminal region (e.g., the residues from Tyr85 to Gly96) are disordered. The unusually disordered region of the dimer interface of NFS1 are in contact with the PLP moiety. Related to the disordered region, the dimeric architecture of NFS1 appears to be flexible compared to that of IscS. Considering this, it has been proposed that an NFS1 domain orientation may be related to the functions of NFS1-involved protein complexes. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the disordered regions of NFS1-ISD11-ACP are more structured in NFS1-ISD11-ACP-ISCU in both Zn\(^{2+}\)-bound and metal-free states (Figs. 11B,11C).

![Figure 11](image1.png)  
*Figure 11* Structures of cysteine desulfurase NFS1 in complex with its partners in the mitochondrial ISC system. (A) NFS1-ISD11-ACP [PDB ID: 5wgh]. (B) NFS1-ISD11-ACP-ISCU with Zn\(^{2+}\) [PDB ID: 5lw]. (C) NFS1-ISD11-ACP-ISCU [PDB ID: 5wkp]. (D) NFS1-ISD11-ACP-ISCU-FXN [PDB ID: 6nzu]. PLP moieties are shown in sphere models (colored in yellow for carbon, red for oxygen, and blue for nitrogen). The fatty acid-linked (S)-dodecanoyl-4'-phosphopantetheine (Ligand ID: 8Q1) molecules in ISD11-ACP interfaces are shown in sphere models (colored in white for carbon, red for oxygen, and blue for nitrogen). Zn\(^{2+}\) ions are shown in grey sphere models.
Unlike the N-terminal regions, the catalytic Cys-containing loops in both NFS1 and IscS are commonly disordered, as found in other type I enzymes [127]. However, visualizing an intermediate state of the NFS1 catalytic loop (Fig. 11D) has recently been reported [134] using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) analysis of NFS1-ISD11-ACP-ISCU in complex with frataxin (FTX) [135-139]. In this case, FTX can be likely to contribute to the stabilization of the intermediate state of the loop, which may also give a hint for understanding the system of IscS-IscU with other partners.

The comparison of NFS1 with not only IscS, but also SufS will give further insights into structural evolution of the cysteine desulfurases. Actually, type II *E. coli* SufS also utilizes a structural change of the β-hairpin region close to the PLP [95-97]. This structural change of SufS upon the binding of the partner is similar to that of NFS1, although SufS and NFS1 are different cysteine desulfurase types. Perhaps, structural evolution of the cysteine desulfurases may be rather complicated as expected. In other words, the evolution may not be just from type I IscS to type I NFS1. Clearly, structural studies of a variety of the type I and II cysteine desulfurases including eukaryotic ones are necessary for understanding the diversity and evolution of the cysteine desulfurases.

**An Inactive Cysteine Desulfurase Paralog with PMP Rather than PLP**

An unusual example of IscS from *A. fulgidus* (*Af* IscS) has recently been reported using biochemical and X-ray crystallographic analyses (Fig. 12) [92, 93]. Interestingly, *Af* IscS wild-type (WT) has Asp199 and pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate (PMP) at positions equivalent to the conserved Lys and PLP of type I cysteine desulfurases, respectively. Because of the lack of PLP-Lys, *Af* IscS WT has no L-cysteine desulfurase activity. Further studies on the *Af* IscS D199K variant showed that it also has PMP. Thus, it was proposed that *Af* IscS may function solely as a scaffold protein, providing one Cys ligand to bind to the [2Fe-2S] cluster onto the *Af* IscS-IscU complex [40].

However, certain open questions remain, for example, how does [2Fe-2S] cluster assembly proceed without a cysteine desulfurase function in IscS-IscU from *A. fulgidus*? In a previous report [93], authors hypothesized that sulfide ion (S²⁻) might be a sulfur source for de novo synthesized Fe-S cluster onto *Af* IscS-IscU, since *A. fulgidus* is a sulfate-reducing archaean that can produce S²⁻. Still, it is uncertain why “PMP” was bound in *Af* IscS wild-type (WT) and D199K [92, 93], and why “PLP” was bound in [2Fe-2S]-cluster-bound *Af* IscS-IscU D35A [40]. Further studies are needed for clarifying their PLP or PMP-binding property and roles of these paralogs in Fe-S cluster biosynthesis. Here, it should be noted that not all archaean have such an unusual IscS without PLP. For example, *Methanoscirca acetivorans*, which is one of the methanogenic archaean, has a functional (but not essential) ISC system [140]. This ISC system is composed of *iscSU* and *M. acetivorans* IscS was characterized as a typical PLP-dependent cysteine desulfurase, not the PMP-bound type.

![Figure 12](image-url)  Structures of *A. fulgidus* IscS, an inactive cysteine desulfurase paralog, containing pyridoxamine-5′-phosphate (PMP). (A) *A. fulgidus* IscS wild-type [PDB ID: 4hvk]. (B) D199K variant [PDB ID: 4r5f]. (C) Chemical structure of PMP.
Concluding Remarks and Outlook

This review summarizes the findings of recent studies conducted on cysteine desulfurases involved in Fe-S cluster biosynthesis. These findings provide insights into their catalytic mechanisms involving common intermediates and the utilization of distinct features between the two types, including human cysteine desulfurase NFS1 and the inactive cysteine paralog AfIscS. Notably, the multidisciplinary approaches using state-of-the-art techniques (e.g., X-ray crystallography, cryo-EM, NMR and SAXS) in structural biology is of great importance for understanding not only details of cysteine desulfurases mechanisms, but also the diversity and evolution of Fe-S cluster biosynthesis. Also, it is necessary to develop anaerobic sample-handling techniques in structure-based studies of the Fe-S cluster biosynthetic reactions involving the cysteine desulfurases and other partners because of instability of the Fe-S clusters against oxidative species.
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