Sulfmyoglobin conformational change: A role in the decrease of oxy-myoglobin functionality
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A B S T R A C T

This work is focused at understanding the interaction of H2S with Myoglobin (Mb), in particular the Sulfmyoglobin (SMb) product, whose physiological role is controversial and not well understood. The scattering curves, Guinier, Kratky, Porod and $R(q)$ plots were analyzed for oxy-Mb and oxy-Hemoglobin I (oxyHb) in the absence and presence of H2S, using Small and Wide Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS/WAXS) technique. Three dimensional models were also generated from the SAXS/WAXS data. The results show that SMb formation, produced by oxyMb and H2S interaction, induces a change in the protein conformation where its envelope has a very small cleft and the protein is more flexible, less rigid and compact. Based on the direct relationship between Mb’s structural conformation and its functionality, we suggest that the conformational change observed upon SMb formation plays a contribution to the protein decrease in O2 affinity and, therefore, on its functionality.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Hydrogen Sulfide (H2S) is a gas with a “rotten egg” like smell produced in different systems in nature and industrial processes [1,2]. Through the years, H2S was known as a toxic gas that disrupts the respiratory process by inhibition of the cytochrome c oxidase (CcO) [1-5]. It also forms sulfhemoglobinemia, a rare blood condition with anemic/cyanotic symptoms, induced by the increased concentration of Sulfmyoglobin (SMb) and Sulfhemoglobin (SHb) complexes [5-9]. However, another side of the H2S molecule arose when it was discovered that there were three proteins [cystathionine β-synthase (CBS), cystathionine γ-lyase (CSE), and 3-mercaptoppyruvate sulfur transferase (MST)] that produced H2S physiologically and were present in different parts of the human body [1-5]. Different cytoprotective roles have been associated to H2S in the respiratory, vascular, nervous, endocrine and gastrointestinal systems [1-5]. For this reason, H2S has been evaluated as a potential therapeutic tool for the treatment of multiple diseases [10,11]. Nevertheless, the concept of H2S therapy should be treated with caution since there is a thin line between its cytoprotective and cytotoxic aspects defined by concentration. The H2S physiological concentration has been suggested to be low, ranging from nM to μM [1-3]. When a person is exposed to high H2S concentration, there is an increase in physiological H2S concentration that leads to the cytotoxic effects dominating over cytoprotective [2]. This is important when developing an efficient therapeutic tool, where the beneficial effects are maximized and the harmful effects minimized. The process for developing a resourceful H2S therapy requires a better understanding of the chemistry of H2S with different systems, in particular, protein interaction with this molecule.

Our research is focused at understanding one of the products of the interaction of H2S with hemoproteins, in particular the sulfheme proteins (SMb and SHb), whose physiological role is controversial and not well understood. When myoglobin (Mb) and hemoglobin (Hb) are exposed to H2S in the presence of oxygen (O2) or hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), a sulfur atom incorporates across the β-β double bond of the pyrrole B, as shown in Fig. 1 [7,8]. This sulfur ring formation can be identified by its characteristic absorption bands around 620 or 715 nm, depending on the bound-ligand and oxidation state of the heme-iron [7]. Resonance Raman is another tool for the recognition of the sulfheme complex formation by evaluation of the vinyl modes bands (1620/1026 cm$^{-1}$) and the satellites bands around $\nu_4$ [7,8]. Moreover, the presence of a properly oriented distal His residue is crucial for the sulfheme complex formation. This was determined by analyzing the H2S reactive Hemoglobin I (HbI) from the clam Lucina peclintu that interestingly does not form the sulfheme complex, given that it lacks of a distal His residue [7,8]. When the sulfheme complex forms, Mb decreases its O2 affinity by approximately 2500 folds. This significant decrease in O2 affinity was...
2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Myoglobin (Mb) from equine heart was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Recombinant HbI (rHbI) was prepared and purified as previously reported [23] and used as control. Both proteins were dissolved in a 100 mM Succinic Acid, 100 mM Potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and 1 mM EDTA buffer, 6.5 pH (all purchased in Sigma-Aldrich). The oxy-derivatives were prepared by adding [1:15] concentration ratio of [protein: sodium dithionite] under anaerobic conditions followed by O$_2$ purging [4]. The H$_2$S solution was prepared by dissolving sodium sulfide (purchased in Alfa-Aesar) in the presence of H$_2$S. The 100 mM succinic acid, 100 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and 1 mM EDTA buffer was used as a background. In the absence of H$_2$S, the scattering data of oxyMb were first collected at 5, 6, 10, and 11 mg/mL. The optimal protein concentration was found to be 11 mg/mL and the scattering data in the presence of H$_2$S were therefore collected at this concentration. For HbI the final protein concentration was 6.7 mg/mL. The SAXS/WAXS data was collected simultaneously at the X-9 Beamline of the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory using a PILATUS 300k SAXS detector and aPhotonics Science CCD WAXS detector [24]. For replicated data acquisition, 20 μL of sample was continuously flowed through a 1-mm diameter capillary where it was exposed to the x-ray beam for 30 s. Initial data processing was performed using the pyXS-v2 software package developed at X9. The program converted the two-dimensional scattering patterns recorded on the SAXS/WAXS detectors into one-dimensional scattering profiles. Three scattering patterns of each sample were obtained, averaged, and buffer (background) subtracted. Further SAXS/WAXS data processing and analysis were performed using 2.5.2 ATSAS Package [25,26]. Guinier, Kratky and Porod [20] analyses were conducted using Prims [27] The pair distribution functions were evaluated using GNOM [28]. 3-D surfaces were generated using DAMMIN [29], averaged using DAMAVER [30], and superimposed using SUPCOMB [31]. The theoretical scattering profiles of oxyMb and SMb atomic models were evaluated using CRYSO [32]. PyMol was used for graphical visualization and figure generation.

2.2. SAXS/WAXS data acquisition and processing

SAXS/WAXS data were recorded on oxyMb and oxyHbI in the absence and presence of H$_2$S. The 620 nm band was monitored before and after data acquisition for both proteins. The band was only detected in oxyMb after addition of H$_2$S since oxyHbI does not form the sulfheme derivative. The 100 mM succinic acid, 100 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and 1 mM EDTA buffer was used as a background. In the absence of H$_2$S, the scattering data of oxyMb were first collected at 5, 6, 10, and 11 mg/mL. The optimal protein concentration was found to be 11 mg/mL and the scattering data in the presence of H$_2$S were therefore collected at this concentration. For HbI the final protein concentration was 6.7 mg/mL. The SAXS/WAXS data was collected simultaneously at the X-9 Beamline of the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory using a PILATUS 300k SAXS detector and a Photonics Science CCD WAXS detector [24]. For replicated data acquisition, 20 μL of sample was continuously flowed through a 1-mm diameter capillary where it was exposed to the x-ray beam for 30 s. Initial data processing was performed using the pyXS-v2 software package developed at X9. The program converted the two-dimensional scattering patterns recorded on the SAXS/WAXS detectors into one-dimensional scattering profiles. Three scattering patterns of each sample were obtained, averaged, and buffer (background) subtracted. Further SAXS/WAXS data processing and analysis were performed using 2.5.2 ATSAS Package [25,26]. Guinier, Kratky and Porod [20] analyses were conducted using Prims [27] The pair distribution functions were evaluated using GNOM [28]. 3-D surfaces were generated using DAMMIN [29], averaged using DAMAVER [30], and superimposed using SUPCOMB [31]. The theoretical scattering profiles of oxyMb and SMb atomic models were evaluated using CRYSO [32]. PyMol was used for graphical visualization and figure generation.

3. Results and discussion

Characterization of oxyMb and oxyHbI with and without H$_2$S was conducted using their overall dimensions and shapes, as well as their internal structural features derived from SAXS and WAXS scattering data, respectively.

3.1. Scattering curve and Guinier plot

In SAXS/WAXS, the intensity of the scattered X-ray beam is
Fig. 2. SAXS and WAXS analysis. Left panel is oxyHbI in the absence (black line) and presence of H$_2$S (red line). Right panel is oxyMb (black line) and SMb (red line, product of oxyMb and H$_2$S interaction). A and B; SAXS/WAXS scattering curves (showing $q$ range of 0.19–1.0 Å$^{-1}$), with their corresponding Guinier Plot (inset; $q$ range 0.030–0.072 Å$^{-1}$ for HbI and 0.038–0.072 Å$^{-1}$ for Mb). C and D; $P(r)$ plots normalized to $I(0)$ ($q$ range 0.024–0.442 Å$^{-1}$ for oxyHbI, 0.039–0.44 Å$^{-1}$ for oxyHbI in the presence of H$_2$S, 0.025–0.5 Å$^{-1}$ for oxyMb and 0.036–0.05 Å$^{-1}$ for SMb). E and F; Dimensionless Kratky plots ($q$ range 0.02–0.26 Å$^{-1}$). G and H; Porod plots ($q$ range 0.02–0.15 Å$^{-1}$).
measured as a function of the momentum transfer vector \( q \), 
\[ q = 4 \pi \sin(\theta)/\lambda, \] 
where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle and \( \lambda \) is the beam wavelength [19,26]. The transfer vector \( q \) is inversely related to the real space distance between scattering centers \( d \) within the particle \( (q = 2\pi/d) [19,26] \). Thus, the low \( q \) region in the scattering pattern \( (\sim 0.01 - 0.05 \text{ Å}^{-1}) \) represents vectors of length \( \sim 600 - 100 \text{ Å} \) and differences in the intensity within this region would reflect changes in the overall shape of the molecule. Conversely, at higher \( q \) the length space distance \( d \) between centers become smaller and changes in this region suggest fluctuations in internal structural features [18,22], Overall, it can be generalized that an increase in protein fluctuations or mobility is illustrated by a decrease in the definition or sharpness of peaks, in the high \( q \) region of the scattering curve of the protein [18,22,33,34].

Fig. 2A shows the scattering curves \( (I(q) \) vs \( q \) of the oxyHbI complex in the absence (black line) and presence of H\(_2\)S (red line). The HbI scattering curves maintain the same scattering central maxima in the presence or absence of H\(_2\)S. This indicates that the overall shape and internal structure of the protein remain unchanged in the presence of H\(_2\)S. Fig. 2B illustrates the scattering curves of oxyMb (black line) in the presence of H\(_2\)S, which leads to the formation of the SMb complex (red line). In addition to an evident increase in protein mobility and fluctuations characterized by a decrease in peak definition and sharpness, a noticeable fading of the scattering patterns at \( \sim 0.27 \) and 0.43 Å\(^{-1}\) was observed upon SMb complex formation. When comparing the scattering curves of Mb and HbI after addition of H\(_2\)S, the scattering pattern with the greatest degree of change is that observed for Mb (e.g. q region at 0.27–0.43 Å\(^{-1}\)) as a result of SMb complex formation. It is important to mention that oxyHbI reacts with H\(_2\)S to form ferric hemoglobin sulfide in which H\(_2\)S coordinates to the ferric heme \( (\text{Fe}^{III}\text{H}_2\text{S}) \) most probably by nucleophilic displacement of the bound superoxide [35]. In contrast, reaction of oxyMb with H\(_2\)S modifies the heme active center (Fig. 1) producing SMb [7,8]. Thus, the data indicate that H\(_2\)S binding to the ferric heme in oxyHbI does not induces significant global and internal structural changes, while modification of the heme macrocycle in oxyMb produces internal structural fluctuations. Overall, SMb shows greater variation of structural conformational changes upon interaction with H\(_2\)S, as evidence by the loss of scattering peaks. The structural distances that were present in oxyMb (represented by the scattering peaks at 0.27 and 0.43 Å\(^{-1}\) or space of \( \sim 23-15 \text{ Å} \)) are no longer the most abundant as a consequence of the structural conformational change associated to the SMb complex. Interestingly, time resolved X-ray scattering data on MbCO showed that the disappearance of the peaks in these regions was due to tertiary structural relaxation, specifically to displacement of the E and F helices [36]. It is therefore plausible that SMb induce changes in these helices as well.

One parameter that can be determined directly from the SAXS data in the low \( q \) region \( (\sim 0.01 - 0.05 \text{ Å}^{-1}) \) is the radius of gyration or \( R_g \), using the Guinier approximation [19,26]. The \( R_g \) is the root mean square distance of an object from its center of mass and provides a measure of the overall size of the protein. According to the Guinier approximation, at very small \( q \) \( (q < 1.3/R_g) \) a plot of \( \ln I \) \( (q) \) versus \( q^2 \) should be linear for a globular protein (Eq. (1)). From the slope of the linear fit one can determine the \( R_g \) and hence the overall size of the protein. In addition, deviations from linearity in the Guinier plots indicate aggregation [19,26]. The insets in Fig. 2A and B show the Guinier plots for oxyHbI and oxyMb in the absence and presence of H\(_2\)S. The linearity of the Guinier plots of both proteins with and without H\(_2\)S indicates no detectable aggregation. As shown in Table 1, the \( R_g \) of oxyHbI was determined to be \( \sim 18.0 \text{ Å} \) and no change in this value was detected after exposure of H\(_2\)S. Similarly, the \( R_g \) of oxyMb and SMb were determined to be 16.8 and 16.7 Å, respectively, indicating similar overall sizes [19].

\[
I(q) = I(0)\exp(-1/3R_g^2q^2)
\]  

(1)

3.2. Pair distribution function, \( P(r) \)

The shapes and maximum dimensions \( (D_{max}) \) of proteins can also be determined directly from their SAXS/WAXS scattering data. Indirect Fourier transformation of the scattering data \( I(q) \) yields a pair distribution function \( P(r) \), which is a histogram of distances between pairs of elements within the entire volume of the scattering protein (Eq. (2)) [19,26]. In general, the \( P(r) \) function is a real space representation of the scattering data and provides an approximation of the shape and dimension of a protein in solution. For example, globular proteins have a symmetric bell-shaped \( P(r) \), whereas unfolded particles have an extended tail. In addition, multi-domain proteins often yield \( P(r) \) with multiple shoulders and oscillations corresponding to intra and inter-subunit distances [19,26].

\[
P(r) = \frac{\pi^2}{2\sigma^2} \int_0^\infty q^2 I(q) \sin qr dq
\]  

(2)

Fig. 2C shows the \( P(r) \) plots of oxyHbI in the absence (black line) and presence of H\(_2\)S (red line). The contours of the \( P(r) \) plots are characteristic of a globular protein with somewhat elongated sphere envelope [19]. There is no significant change in the \( P(r) \) plot of oxyHbI after the protein has interacted with H\(_2\)S, indicating that the presence of H\(_2\)S does not alter significantly the envelope or structural conformation of HbI. Fig. 2D shows the \( P(r) \) plots of oxyMb (black line) and SMb, formed after interaction of oxyMb with H\(_2\)S (red line). Interestingly, the Mb conformation upon SMb complex formation shows a new \( P(r) \) contour with two distinct peaks. This is characteristic of two predominant regions within the overall globular conformation, as observed for calmodulin and thrombin-like enzymes [21,37]. Thus, the data suggest that Mb induces internal structural fluctuations, generating two distinctive regions within the overall dimension of the protein [21,37–39] without inducing a significant change in the overall protein dimension \( (D_{max}) \) [38,40]. Similar \( P(r) \) profiles have been reported for Rhinodrilus alatus and Glossocopex paulus hemoglobins at basic pH and in the presence of urea respectively. The authors associated the two peaks to unfold and flexible forms of the proteins [41,42]. In addition, \( P(r) \) plots displaying two peaks in their contours have been suggested for proteins with U-shape or two-domain envelopes [19,21,37]. In this case, it is more likely that the Mb envelope acquires a very small degree of a U-shape or cleft in its global shape upon SMb complex formation. The suggested increase in fluctuation or flexibility observed in the \( P(r) \) plot can be profoundly evaluated using Kratky and Porod plots.

3.3. Kratky and Porod plots

SAXS/WAXS data is also commonly used to identify protein flexibility. Proteins that vary in flexibility and conformation can be recognized from the scattering data using the Kratky and Porod plots defined by the following equation [20]:

Table 1  
Structural parameters determined by Guinier approximation and indirect Fourier transformation of SAXS/WAXS data.

| Protein          | \( R_g \) (Guinier) (Å) | \( R_g \) (Gnom) (Å) | \( D_{max} \) (Å) |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| oxyHbI           | 17.90 (± 0.06)          | 18.16 (± 0.04)       | 60                |
| oxyHbI + H\(_2\)S| 18.10 (± 0.66)          | 17.81 (± 0.05)       | 60                |
| oxyMb            | 16.80 (± 0.13)          | 16.32 (± 0.04)       | 50                |
| SMb (oxyMb + H\(_2\)S) | 16.70 (± 0.38) | 15.98 (± 0.02)       | 50                |


\[ V_p = 2\pi^2\lambda(0)/Q \quad Q = \int_0^\infty q^2 I(q) dq \]  

(3)

\( V_p \) is the hydrated particle volume (e.g. protein) and \( Q \) is the Porod invariant, a direct measurement of the density contrast [20]. According to the Kratky analysis, a plot of \( q^4 I(q) \) vs \( q \) should display a bell-shape with a clear maximum for compact globular proteins. Proteins with dual structure (compact and flexible regions) will show at low \( q \) a maximum that resembles the order region within the ensemble and at higher \( q \) a “tail” that represents the disordered or flexible region [19,20,40,43,44]. With increasing protein flexibility, the “tail” segment of the Kratky plot will raise, indicating an increase in disordered/flexible structure within the protein conformation. For example, a completely unfolded protein will exhibit a plateau “tail” [40,43,45]. On the other hand, the Porod approximation states that a plot of \( q^4 I(q) \) vs \( q^4 \) should display a crescent-hyperbolic tendency arriving to a plateau as \( q \) increases for compact globular proteins. The loss of plateau suggests an increase in flexibility produced by the interaction of oxyMb with H2S (red line). OxyMb demonstrates a classical hyperbolic shape curve arriving to a plateau as \( q \) increases, characteristic of a globular, compact, and rigid conformation. Interestingly, once the SMb complex is formed, a loss in the plateau is observed representative of a gain in conformational flexibility that is not observed in HbI upon H2S exposure. This change in protein structural conformation, specifically induced by the SMb formation, is different from the structural change induced by ligand migration towards the protein active site, given that: (1) before H2S exposure, the protein was in a O2 saturated environment where structural changes associated to ligand migration through the protein had already occurred and (2) both proteins had the same H2S exposure. Regarding this, the distinction in the Kratky and Porod plots of both proteins, when expose to H2S, is due to the SMb formation since HbI does not form the sulfheme complex [7,8].

Overall, the scattering curves of SMb showed that the peaks at \( q > 0.2 \) are practically unresolved, indicating an increase in protein fluctuations and mobility as a consequence of SMb complex formation. The two peaks in the SMb \( P(r) \) plot demonstrated changes in internal structural conformation, suggesting formation of a small cleft on the protein envelope with a two distinctive flexible

---

**Fig. 3.** SAXS/WAXS three-dimensional models. A; SAXS/WAXS three-dimensional surfaces of oxyMb (top-left) and SMb (bottom-left). B; Comparison of the experimental SAXS/WAXS data of oxyMb (black-dotted lines) and SMb (red-dotted lines) with the corresponding calculated scattering curves of their theoretical models (solid lines). The \( q \) range used for the theoretical models was the same as those used in the \( P(r) \) function. The curves were offset for better appraisal (oxyMb has \( x^2 = 0.8 \) and \( R^2 = 0.99984 \); SMb has \( x^2 = 2.2 \) and \( R^2 = 0.99975 \)). C; Residual plots of the experimental minus the calculated scattering curves of oxyMb (black line) and SMb (red line) theoretical models.
regions. The Kratky and Porod plots supports increase in flexibility, decrease in rigidity and compactness.

3.4. Three dimensional models and scattering from high resolution models

To acquire a visual perspective of the global surface features of SMb conformational effect, three-dimensional surfaces from SAXS/WAXS scattering data were produced for oxyMb and SMb. The three-dimensional scattering surfaces of the proteins were generated using the Dummy Atom Model Minimization method [29]. The algorithm represents a protein as a collection of dummy atoms in a constrained volume with a maximum diameter defined experimentally by $D_{\text{max}}$. It employs simulated annealing to generate the three-dimensional surface, and it calculates the scattering curve of the surface to evaluate its discrepancy ($\chi^2$) with the experimental data (Eq. (4)) [19].

$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{I_{\text{exp}}(q) - I_{\text{calc}}(q)}{\sigma(q)} \right)^2$$

Here $I_{\text{exp}}(q)$ and $I_{\text{calc}}(q)$ are the experimental and computed profiles, respectively, $\sigma(q)$ is the experimental error of the measured profile, $N$ is the number of points in the profile, and $c$ is the scaling factor.

Fig. 3A shows the surfaces of oxyMb and SMb, formed by the interaction of oxyMb with H$_2$S. The surfaces demonstrated general globular structures and reveal differences in their shapes, where the SMb envelope has a small cleft with two distinct regions. As shown in Fig. 3B, the scattering curves of the three-dimensional surfaces fit the experimental data well, yielding $\chi^2$ values of 0.8 and 2.1 for oxyMb and SMb, respectively. This was also confirmed by the residual analysis of the experimental and calculated scattering curves (Fig. 3C), yielding R$^2$ values of 0.9998 and 0.9997 for oxyMb and SMb, respectively.

This local change was not observed in the SMb crystallographic structure probably due to the protein constriction that can occur during the process of crystal formation, which does not take place in SAXS/WAXS since it is in solution. To corroborate this interpretation, the theoretical scattering curves of oxyMb and SMb atomic models (PDB ID: 1MBO and 1YMC, respectively) were obtained using CRYSOL software [32]. The program uses multipole expansion for fast calculation of spherically averaged scattering profile and takes into account the hydration shell. It also compares the theoretical scattering curve with the SAXS/WAXS experimental data by fitting the curves and minimizing the discrepancy. The theoretical scattering curves obtained from the PDB structures were further analyzed to calculate the corresponding theoretical $P(r)$ functions. In general, an atomic model that provides a good fit to the data is considered a valid description of the structure in solution.

As Fig. 4A shows, the theoretical scattering curve of oxyMb
atomic model fits the SAXS/WAXS experimental data well, implying similarities of both crystal and solution structures. The corresponding \( P(r) \) functions support the above suggestion (Fig. 4C). However Fig. 4B demonstrates that the theoretical scattering curve of SMb crystal structure poorly correlates with the scattering data of SMb in solution, indicating that the atomic model does not describe well the protein in solution. In fact, the peak at \(-4 \) Å is not so well defined in the theoretical \( P(r) \) function of SMb atomic model, as shown in Fig. 4D, suggesting that the internal structural fluctuations are hampered by crystal packing forces, which limit the range of conformational motion accessible to the protein.

3.5. Conclusion and further outlooks

Taken together, the data suggest that SMb complex induces a conformational change increasing protein flexibility and fluctuations with decreasing rigidity. This change is specific of SMb and different from conformational change produce by ligand migration or heme Fe-ligand binding. Regarding this, the MB's Xe cavities have been demonstrated to play a crucial role in the protein functionality by regulating ligand entry and release from the active site [14–16]. The ligand migration in the cavity produces a structural expansion of the cavity itself follow-on by gating motions of the surrounding residues that leads to a self opening of the migrating channel [16]. The cavity comes back to its original volume once the ligand has left the cavity, mimicking a breathing motion [16,17]. The final channel is created by the rotating motion of the distal His opening the gate toward the active heme site [16]. Any change in the size and shape of these pockets directly affects the Mb function, which results in significant physiological effects [14–16]. Olson et al. [15] demonstrated that a decrease in the size of the cavity produced a more rigid and compact packing. As a result, it lowers the rate of ligand capture by making it difficult for the ligand to arrive to the active site. However, if the ligand is able to arrive to the active site, dissociation is even more difficult since the ligand is “trapped” in the active site, leading to an overall increase in O2 affinity [15]. Upon SMb formation, the opposite is observed, a decrease in O2 affinity. On this basis, we propose that the observed changes in conformation and increase in protein flexibility play a role in the decrease in O2 affinity by SMb, in addition to the reported local structural change on the heme. These structural changes would facilitate ligand entry but destabilize even more ligand bonding, leading to an overall decrease in O2 affinity.

Furthermore, in addition to the heme–Fe ligand transport, it has been suggested that the conformational cavities are capable of carrying additional ligands, such as NO, giving Mb higher carrying capacity than (1:1) stoichiometry and further physiological roles (e.g. scavenger) [14–17,46]. In this study we demonstrated that the inner structure of Mb is altered by the formation of SMb by increasing protein flexibility, movement, and fluctuation. On this basis, considering the constant ligand competition and the increase in protein flexibility of SMb, enhancement of H2S entry and O2 displacement may be possible. This could lead to a plausible H2S transports flux, in addition to the known rapid diffusive flux of free H2S.

The nature of the proteins should be further studied, given that, it is intriguing that a protein whose functionality is H2S transport does not suffer a significant conformational change while a protein whose “main functionality” is O2 storage and transport suffers significant conformational change, when exposed to H2S. Furthermore, it opens the door to explore the conformation change associated with the reaction of oxy-Hemoglobin with H2S, which only shows a decrease in O2 affinity of 135 folds [47], suggesting that cooperativity may play an important role in protecting oxy-Hemoglobin functionality.
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