Vaccinia Virus–Encoded Ribonucleotide Reductase Subunits Are Differentially Required for Replication and Pathogenesis
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Abstract

Ribonucleotide reductases (RRs) are evolutionarily-conserved enzymes that catalyze the rate-limiting step during dNTP synthesis in mammals. RR consists of both large (R1) and small (R2) subunits, which are both required for catalysis by the R1₂R2₂ heterotetrameric complex. Poxviruses also encode RR proteins, but while the Orthopoxviruses infecting humans [e.g. vaccinia (VACV), variola, cowpox, and monkeypox viruses] encode both R1 and R2 subunits, the vast majority of Chordopoxviruses encode only R2 subunits. Using plaque morphology, growth curve, and mouse model studies, we investigated the requirement of VACV R1 (I4) and R2 (F4) subunits for replication and pathogenesis using a panel of mutant viruses in which one or more viral RR genes had been inactivated. Surprisingly, VACV F4, but not I4, was required for efficient replication in culture and virulence in mice. The growth defects of VACV strains lacking F4 could be complemented by genes encoding other Chordopoxvirus R2 subunits, suggesting conservation of function between poxvirus R2 proteins. Expression of F4 proteins encoding a point mutation predicted to inactivate RR activity but still allow for interaction with R1 subunits, caused a dominant negative phenotype in growth experiments in the presence or absence of I4. Co-immunoprecipitation studies showed that F4 (as well as other Chordopoxvirus R2 subunits) form hybrid complexes with cellular R1 subunits. Mutant F4 proteins that are unable to interact with host R1 subunits failed to rescue the replication defect of strains lacking F4, suggesting that F4-host R1 complex formation is critical for VACV replication. Our results suggest that poxivirus R2 subunits form functional complexes with host R1 subunits to provide sufficient dNTPs for viral replication. Our results also suggest that R2-deficient poxviruses may be selective oncolytic agents and our bioinformatic analyses provide insights into how poxvirus nucleotide metabolism proteins may have influenced the base composition of these pathogens.

Introduction

Critical for the replication of all organisms and DNA viruses is the conversion of ribonucleotides to deoxynucleotides to serve as building blocks for genome synthesis and repair. Ribonucleotide reductase (RR) is a key enzyme involved in this process, catalyzing the reduction of rNDPs to dNDPs [1,2]. RR can be grouped into one of three classes, based on their requirement for oxygen and the mechanism by which a catalytically-important thyl radical is generated [1]. Mammals typically encode class I RR proteins while class II and III proteins are found only in microorganisms [1,3]. Class I RR enzymes are assembled from both large (R1; 80–100 kDa) and small (R2; 37–44 kDa) protein subunits, which associate to form enzymatically-active R1₂R2₂ tetrameric complexes [1]. These complexes require oxygen to generate a tyrosyl radical found within R2 subunits [1,4], which is ultimately transferred to R1 subunits to generate a thyl radical used in rNDP reduction. Transfer of the tyrosyl radical from R2 to R1 subunits is thought to occur through a “radical transfer pathway” that uses a series of at least eleven highly-conserved amino acid residues to promote long-range electron transfer [4,5,6,7,8]. Mutant proteins containing amino acid substitutions at either the tyrosine involved in radical formation [9] or any of the proposed transfer pathway residues [4,6,8,10,11] form inactive RR complexes, indicating that both radical formation and transfer are required for catalysis.

Mammalian cells encode a single R1 gene that is only transcribed during S-phase [12]. However, due to the long half-life (~15 h) of R1 proteins, R1 levels remain essentially constant throughout the cell cycle [13]. The primary small subunit, R2, is also only expressed during S-phase [12,14] however, this protein has a short half-life (~3 h) and is rate-limiting for R1-R2 complex formation and catalysis [1].
Efficient genome replication is central to the virulence of all DNA viruses, including poxviruses. To ensure replication efficiency, many of the more virulent poxviruses encode their own nucleotide metabolism machinery, including ribonucleotide reductase (RR) enzymes, which act to provide ample DNA precursors for replication. RR enzymes require both large (R1) and small (R2) subunit proteins for activity. Curiously, some poxviruses only encode R2 subunits. Other poxviruses, such as the smallpox vaccine strain, vaccinia virus (VACV), encode both R1 and R2 subunits. We report here that the R2, but not the R1, subunit of VACV RR is required for efficient replication and virulence. We also provide evidence that several poxvirus R2 proteins form novel complexes with host R1 subunits and this interaction is required for efficient VACV replication in primate cells. Our study explains why some poxviruses only encode R2 subunits and identifies a role for these proteins in poxvirus pathogenesis. Furthermore, we provide evidence that mutant poxviruses unable to generate R2 proteins may become entirely dependent upon host RR activity. This may restrict their replication to cells that over-express RR proteins such as cancer cells, making them potential therapeutics for human malignancies.

Author Summary

Many Chordopox-, herpes-, asfra- and iridoviruses, also encode their own class I RR proteins [21,22,23,24,25]. These enzymes are generally thought to support viral replication since ribonucleotide reductase is normally rate-limiting step in de novo dNTP biogenesis [26]. Although most Chordopoxviruses encode RR proteins, many only encode one of the two RR subunits with a clear bias towards the conservation of R2 proteins (Table S1). The latter group contains viruses of medical importance including variola virus, the causative agent of smallpox, as well as monkeypox and cowpox viruses, which are responsible for zoonoses in humans [27,28]. Most understanding of poxvirus R2 proteins comes from studies with another Orthopoxvirus, vaccinia virus (VACV). Slabaugh and Mathews [29] were the first to show that RR activity increased in VACV-infected cells and subsequent studies identified the I4L [25,30] and F4L [24] genes as those encoding the 87 kDa I4 (R1) and 37 kDa F4 (R2) proteins, respectively. Biochemical studies showed that VACV and cellular RR enzymes share many features, including a similar tertiary architecture, similar pH dependence, allosteric modulation of activity by nucleotides, and comparable specific activities on most rNTP substrates [31,32,33]. However, unlike cellular RR, the viral enzyme is less sensitive to allosteric modulation and shows little activity on UDP substrates, indicating that viral and cellular RR enzymes also differ in important ways [33]. The similarities between VACV and mammalian RR are not unexpected given that VACV (and other poxvirus) RR subunits typically share >70% sequence identity with their mouse and human homologs (Figure 1).

Previous studies have shown that inactivating VACV I4L does not affect plaque size and that I4L-deficient mutant strains replicate their DNA and produce viral particles to levels comparable to wild-type VACV in cell culture [34,35]. Due to these observations, the I4 locus has been suggested to be an excellent site for insertion of foreign genes into VACV [36]. Furthermore, I4L mutants are only mildly attenuated in their virulence in mouse models, exhibiting an ∼10-fold increase in lethal dose 50 (LD50) values when compared to wild-type virus [34]. Paradoxically, another group reported that targeted inactivation of F4L attenuated VACV in mice by 1000-fold compared to wild-type virus [37], but the reason for this attenuation was unknown. Although these were separate studies using different strains of VACV, they suggested that the F4 subunit is more important for virus replication and pathogenesis than I4, despite the fact that both subunits are needed for RR activity [32].

We initiated our studies of VACV RR because VACV recombination appears to be catalyzed by poxviral DNA polymerases in vivo [38], and we wanted to determine if perturbing dNTP pools would affect this process. However, it soon became apparent that some of the mutant strains we generated exhibited previously uncharacterized replication defects. This prompted us to revisit how VACV RR affects viral replication and pathogenesis. To do this, we generated a panel of mutant strains containing mutations in the VACV RR genes. We also generated strains lacking a functional J2R (thymidine kinase or TK) gene, thus unable to access the parallel viral salvage pathway of dTTP biogenesis [39]. Our studies show that both the VACV R1 and R2 proteins can form a diversity of virus-virus and virus-host protein-protein interactions in vivo, but that the VACV R2 subunit is far more critical for VACV replication and pathogenicity than is the R1 subunit. Our model suggests that poxvirus R2 subunits form active complexes with host R1 proteins in order to ensure a sufficient dNTP supply to support viral replication. This model is supported by previous biochemical studies that found a chimeric RR enzyme consisting of VACV F4 and mouse R1 (MR1) to be more active than strictly viral or mouse RR complexes [33]. Our studies also provide insights into why poxviruses have often conserved their R2 but not their R1 genes (Table S1). To our knowledge this is the first report of a chimeric, virus-host RR forming in vivo. Our study provides further evidence that poxviruses recruit cellular enzymes, in addition to those previously identified such as topoisomerase II [40] and DNA ligase I [41], to support viral replication. Our bioinformatic analysis of other large DNA viruses suggests that recruitment of host RR subunits may represent a more widespread viral strategy to parasite host nucleotide biosynthetic machinery.

Results

Generation of VACV RR mutant strains

A series of mutant strains were generated in which one (ΔI4L; ΔJ2R) or both (ΔI4L/ΔJ2R) of the VACV RR genes were deleted
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from the viral genome (Figure 2A). We also constructed VACV encoding an insertional inactivation of the \(J2R\) (TK) gene in combination with \(D_{I4L}\) and/or \(D_{F4L}\) mutations generating \(D_{I4L}/D_{F4L}\) and \(D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}\) strains. These strains provide insights into the relative biological importance of the de novo (RR-dependent) and salvage (TK-dependent) pathways in VACV replication. We also constructed VACV \(D_{F4L}\) strains encoding a His6-tagged \(F4L\) gene, or a His6-tagged \(F4L\)gene encoding a Y300F amino acid substitution, inserted into the \(J2R\) locus. These viruses are referred to as VACV strains \(D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}\) His\(F4L\) and \(D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}\) HisY300F\(F4L\), respectively. The marker rescue strategies used to generate these mutant strains are depicted in Figure 2A. PCR-based analysis confirmed the deletion or inactivation of the targeted loci in constructed VACV strains (data not shown).

**Figure 1. Alignment of cellular and poxvirus small RR subunits.** Alignment of human R2 (HR2; Genbank accession: NP_001025.1), mouse R2 (MR2; Genbank accession: NP_033130.1), human p53R2 (Hp53R2; Genbank accession: BAD12267.1), mouse p53R2 (Mp53R2; Genbank accession: Q6PEE3.1) as well as R2 subunits encoded by vaccinia (VACV; Genbank accession: AAO89322.1), ectromelia (ECTV; Genbank accession: NP_671546.1), myxoma (MYXV; Genbank accession: NP_051729.1), and Shope fibroma (SFV; Genbank accession: NP_051904.1) viruses was performed using ClustalW. Asterisks indicate catalytically-important residues [61]. The solid box indicates the "KEN" box found in cellular R2 proteins [15]. The dashed box indicates the putative R1-binding domain.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.g001

**ΔF4L strains exhibit a small plaque phenotype**

Plaque size and morphologies of the generated strains were analyzed on BSC-40 cells as an initial step to characterize their growth properties. The wild-type and \(D_{I4L}\) strains exhibited similar plaque morphologies. These plaques typically had large central clearings and were accompanied by smaller secondary plaques that are formed when extracellular enveloped virus are released from infected cells and initiate new infections near primary plaque sites (Figure 3A). Upon measurement of primary plaque areas, no significant differences were found between wild-type and the \(D_{I4L}\) strain (Figure 3B). In contrast, the \(D_{F4L}\), \(D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}\), and \(D_{I4L}/\Delta F4L/\Delta J2R\) strains all produced significantly smaller plaques (\(P<0.05\)) that were only 55–60% of the plaque size exhibited by wild-type virus (Figure 3B). In addition, the primary plaques produced by \(ΔF4L\) strains were typically devoid of nearby secondary plaques (Figure 3A). Incorporation of
a His<sub>6</sub>-tagged form of F4L into the TK locus appeared to complement ΔF4L strain replication as the ΔF4L/ΔJ2R<sup>HisY300F</sup> strain displayed plaques characteristic of wild-type virus in terms of size and the presence of secondary plaques (Figure 3A and B). Strikingly, ΔF4L strains rescued with a His<sub>6</sub>-tagged F4L gene encoding the Y300F substitution produced plaques that were not only significantly smaller than wild-type virus (P<0.05); Figure 3B), but were only 35–40% the size of plaques produced by any of the strains with F4L deleted and these differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). These results suggested that deletion of F4L has a more detrimental effect on plaque size than deletion of I4L. They further suggested that re-introduction of a His<sub>6</sub>-tagged F4L gene into the TK locus can rescue the small plaque phenotype of ΔF4L strains. However, this rescue effect is lost and the ΔF4L strain replication defect is exacerbated when the re-introduced F4 protein encodes the Y300F amino acid substitution.

Y300 represents a highly-conserved tyrosine residue found in essentially all mammalian small RR subunits (Figure 1). The homologous residue in mouse R2 (MR2; Y370) is required for the transfer of radicals from MR2 to MR1 subunits, which is necessary for catalysis [4]. A Y370F substitution abolishes catalysis but does not impede physical interaction of MR1 and MR2 subunits [4]. The same substitution of the homologous tyrosine residue in human p53R2 (Hp53R2) also abolishes RR activity of Human R1 (HR1)-Hp53R2 complexes [43]. Therefore, the Y300F substitution in F4 is predicted to inhibit catalysis while still allowing R1-R2 subunit interaction. These predicted properties of the Y300F F4 protein may explain the dominant negative-like phenotype exhibited by the ΔF4L/ΔJ2R<sup>HisY300F</sup> strain.

**Figure 3.** Construction of recombinant VACV strains. (A) Schematic of strategy used to inactivate I4L, F4L and/or J2R function in VACV using homologous recombination. The ΔI4L and ΔF4L strains were generated using the pZIPPY-NEO/GUS vector [109]. The ΔI4L/ΔF4L strains were generated with the pDGloxPKO<sup>RG</sup> vector which replaces I4L sequence with a yfp-gpt fusion cassette flanked by loxP sites (not shown). A pSC66 shuttle vector was used to insert several different ectopic genes into the J2R locus although only pSC66<sup>HisY300F</sup> is shown as an example. See Materials and Methods and Text S1 for further details. (B) Western blot analysis of I4 and F4 expression. Proteins were extracted from HeLa cells 8 h post-infection with the indicated strains, size fractioned and blotted using the indicated antibodies. Blotting for the constitutively-expressed viral I3 protein and cellular actin served as loading controls. Note that in I4 blots the lower band (indicated by an arrow) represents I4 (87.6 kDa) and the upper band is due to cross-reactivity with HR1 (89.9 kDa).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.g003
ΔF4L strains exhibit impaired replication kinetics in culture

We also examined the growth kinetics of these mutant strains in HeLa cells. As previously reported [34], deleting *HL* had little effect on viral replication, with the Δ*HL* strain replicating to titers that were 2-fold lower than those produced by wild-type virus 48 h post-infection (Figure 3C). In contrast, large (>5-fold) differences between wild-type and ΔF4L strains were readily apparent by 18 h post-infection. This trend continued to the end of the experiment, with the wild-type strain producing ~15-50-fold more virus than ΔF4L strains 48 h post-infection. This growth defect could be complemented with a His6-tagged *F4L* gene but not if this gene encoded the Y300F substitution (Figure 3D). In fact, the His6-tagged wild-type or Y300F-encoding *F4L* gene was inserted into the *J2R* locus of Δ*HL*/Δ*F4L* strains. The Δ*HL*/Δ*F4L*/Δ*J2R* strain produced plaques indistinguishable in size from those formed by wild-type virus (P > 0.05; Figure 3B). However, deleting *HL* had no further effects on the plating properties of the Δ*HL*/Δ*F4L*/Δ*J2R* strain. This strain still produced plaques that were significantly smaller than those produced by wild-type (P < 0.05) or Δ*F4L* strains (P < 0.05) and were not significantly different from Δ*HL*/Δ*F4L*/Δ*J2R* HisY300F/F4L virus plaques (P > 0.05; Figure 3B). These observations implied that the plaque properties of ΔF4L strains are not influenced by the presence or absence of *HL*.

We also tested the ability of other, His6-tagged *Chordopoxivirus* or host R2 proteins to rescue the small plaque phenotype of the ΔF4L strain. The R2 genes encoded by ECTV, MYXV and SFV R2 genes were all able to rescue the small plaque phenotype, but interestingly the Hp53R2 gene failed to rescue this phenotype (Figure 3B). These results implied that *Chordopoxivirus* R2 proteins have conserved a specific function and/or activity level that is not recapitulated by Hp53R2.

VACV DNA synthesis is impaired in cells infected with a ΔF4L strain

We hypothesized that the reduced replication of the ΔF4L strains was due to impaired genome replication. This is because...
Figure 3. ΔF4L strains exhibit a small plaque phenotype and impaired replication in vitro. (A) Representative plaques formed by each of the indicated strains 48 h post-infection on BSC-40 cells. (B) Scatter plots illustrating independent (n = 20) as well as mean (horizontal bar) plaque area measurements in arbitrary units (AU) for each of the indicated strains. Open circles indicate that the mean plaque area was statistically different (P < 0.05) from wild-type virus based on a one-way ANOVA. (C) and (D) virus growth in HeLa cells infected with each of the indicated strains at a MOI
RR plays a key role in dNTP biogenesis and our initial studies found that ΔF4L (Figure S1A), but not ΔHL strains (Figure S1B), exhibited reduced late gene expression, which is a common consequence of defects in DNA replication. To test this hypothesis, BSC-40 cells were infected with wild-type or ΔF4L viruses and genome replication was measured in parallel with viral yields. The results of these experiments are shown in Figure 4. As in HeLa cells, the ΔF4L strain exhibited impaired replication kinetics in BSC-40 cells, generating only 15% of the total titer observed with the wild-type strain at 24 h post-infection (Figure 4A). This growth defect was associated with impaired DNA synthesis, with the ΔF4L strain exhibiting an ~3 h delay in genome synthesis as well as an ~5-fold reduction in DNA production at 24 h post-infection when compared to wild-type infections (Figure 4B). We also tested what effect the drug hydroxyurea (HU) would have on these strains, since previous studies have correlated HU resistance with changes in F4 expression [44]. Addition of 0.5 mM HU to ΔF4L strain-infected cultures completely blocked virus DNA synthesis. In contrast, wild-type virus still produced detectable amounts of genomic DNA, albeit with delayed kinetics, at levels comparable to what is seen in cells infected with the ΔF4L strain in the absence of HU (Figure 4B). These results suggested that the reduced yields observed with ΔF4L strains are at least partially due to impaired genome synthesis. Furthermore, because sensitivity to RR inhibitors is directly correlated to RR activity levels [45], the hypersensitivity of the ΔF4L strain to HU suggests that these effects on DNA replication are caused by a reduction in RR activity.

ΔF4L strains are uniquely hypersensitive to cidofovir and HU

We hypothesized that the impaired genome replication of the ΔF4L strain was due to reduced dNTP pool sizes as a result of decreased RR activity. However, it is difficult to interpret the meaning of biochemical measurements of pool sizes because of uncertainties surrounding how dNTPs are distributed in infected cells. Instead, we tested whether VACV RR mutants exhibit an altered sensitivity to the antiviral drug cidofovir (CDV). CDV is converted by cellular kinases to the diphosphoryl derivative (CDVpp) [46] which is competitive with respect to dCTP [47] and inhibits VACV E9 DNA polymerase activity [48,49]. Thus, CDV sensitivity can be used as an indirect probe for changes in dCTP pool sizes. Table 2 summarizes how RR mutations affect CDV sensitivity as assessed by plaque reduction assays and calculated 50% effective concentration (EC50) values. Wild-type and ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strains exhibited similar mean EC50 values of 42.0 and 41.2 μM, respectively. The ΔHL strain was significantly more sensitive than the aforementioned strains (P<0.05) having a mean EC50 value of 25.1 μM. However, loss of F4L (or F4L and J2R) resulted in greater hypersensitivities to CDV (P<0.05) with EC50 values ~5–7-fold lower than wild-type values. The ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strain was even more sensitive to CDV (EC50 ~3.5 μM) than either wild-type (P<0.05) or ΔF4L (P<0.05) strains. As noted previously [50,51], inactivation of J2R did not further alter VACV sensitivity to CDV (Table 2). The trends in CDV sensitivity closely mirrored those found in measurements of HU sensitivity using a plaque reduction assay (Table 2). The order of resistance to HU (from measurements of EC50) was wild-type ≥ΔF4L/ΔJ2R ≥ΔF4L/ΔJ2R>ΔHL≥ΔF4L/ΔJ2R>ΔF4L and seemed unaffected by the presence or absence of the J2R gene (Table 2). In order to determine if the hypersensitivities of ΔF4L and ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strains to CDV and HU were specific and not simply due to the reduced replicative abilities of these viruses, we performed a plaque reduction assay using phosphonoacetic acid (PAA). PAA is a pyrophosphate analog and DNA polymerase inhibitor that is noncompetitive with dNTPs [52]. Therefore, the efficacy of PAA in inhibiting virus replication would not be expected to be dependent upon RR activity or dNTP pool sizes. Consistent with

Figure 4. A VACV ΔF4L strain exhibits impaired growth and DNA replication kinetics in BSC-40 cells. (A) Growth curve analysis of wild-type and ΔF4L strains in BSC-40 cells infected at a MOI of 2. Symbols represent mean titers from three independent experiments and error bars represent SE, although some bars are approximately the same size as the symbols. “HU” indicates where 0.5 mM hydroxyurea was added to the culture media. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.g003

Figure 4. A VACV ΔF4L strain exhibits impaired growth and DNA replication kinetics in BSC-40 cells. (A) Growth curve analysis of wild-type and ΔF4L strains in BSC-40 cells infected at a MOI of 2. Symbols represent mean titers from three independent experiments and error bars represent SE, although some bars are approximately the same size as the symbols. “HU” indicates where 0.5 mM hydroxyurea was added to the culture media. (B) Viral DNA accumulation during infection with the indicated strains. Parallel samples from (A) were analyzed for viral DNA content expressed in arbitrary units (AU). Symbols represent mean DNA content from two independent experiments and error bars represent error of the mean. Some bars are approximately the same size as the symbols. “HU” indicates where 0.5 mM hydroxyurea was added to the culture media. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.g004
this, RR mutant VACV strains were not hypersensitive to PAA when compared to wild-type virus (Table 2). These mutant strains were also not hypersensitive to isatin-β-thiosemicarbazone (IBT), which causes aberrant late viral mRNA biogenesis [53] (data not shown). Collectively, these results point to a deficiency in dNTP pools as being the cause of the ΔF4L strain growth deficiency (Figure 3) and suggest that F4, and not H1, is the critical determinant of growth efficiency and drug sensitivity.

### Viral and human RR subunits interact in VACV-infected cells

Our data suggested that F4 may form functional complexes with host R1 proteins to support viral replication. This hypothesis was strengthened by previous studies that found purified murine and VACV RR subunits to form functional chimeric RR complexes in vitro [33]. To determine if virus-host RR interactions could occur in vivo, co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed with VACV-infected HeLa cell lysates using antibodies against HR1, Human R2 (HR2) or Hp53R2 RR subunits. F4 co-immunoprecipitated with each of the host RR subunits (Figure 5A) and the efficiency of "pull-down" was the same in extracts prepared from cells infected with wild-type and ΔH1L strains (Figure 5B), suggesting that the presence of H1 does not significantly impede F4 interaction with host RR subunits. Interaction of F4 with cellular R2 subunits, while unexpected, may not be that surprising given that R2 subunits from poxviruses that infect a diversity of mammalian hosts have conserved the capacity to interact with HR1.

In uninfected cells, mammalian RR subunits show an exclusively cytoplasmic distribution [54,55,56]. Confocal microscopy studies with antibodies directed against endogenous (Figure S4A) or epitope-tagged (Figure S4B) RR subunits suggested that VACV infection did not alter host RR localization and VACV RR subunits were also found to exhibit a similar cytoplasmic distribution.

### Requirement of C-terminal residues of F4 for interaction with HR1

The previous studies showed that F4 interacts with HR1 but did not prove whether such an interaction was essential for viral replication. Numerous structural and peptide-inhibition studies of class I RR proteins have identified a C-terminal peptide (boxed in Figure 1) in R2 subunits as critical for interaction with R1 [11,57,58,59,60,61]. Since this C-terminal peptide is conserved in the R1-binding domain (R1BD), encoding a truncation mutant of F4 that lacks the C-terminal seven residues representing the putative R1-binding domain (R1BD) was constructed and used in new immunoprecipitation experiments. Immunoprecipitation with anti-Flag antibodies confirmed the interaction of HR1 and H4 with F4 as well as with HR2 and Hp53R2 (Figure 6A). We typically observed weaker R2 bands in immunoprecipitations of Flag-tagged HR1 compared to Flag-tagged H4, despite similar amounts of these two proteins being immunoprecipitated (Figure 6A). This result was likely due to competition between the Flag-tagged HR1 protein and endogenous HR1, whereas Flag-tagged H4 is expressed in the ΔH1L background and thus does not have to compete for binding to R2 proteins with endogenous H4. We also prepared extracts from cells infected with ΔF4L/Δ2RΔHis300F or ΔF4L/Δ2RΔHis300F, viruses and observed that these His300-tagged proteins could also be co-immunoprecipitated with HR1 protein (Figure 6B). Reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed an interaction between F4 and HR1 proteins (Figure S3).

Other Chordopoxvirus R2 proteins rescued the replication defect of VACV ΔF4L strains (Figure 3B). Therefore, we determined whether these proteins could also interact with HR1. ECTV, MYXV, and SFV R2 proteins all co-immunoprecipitated with HR1 (Figure 6B). Although there appeared to be differences in the efficiency of HR1 association, western blotting of lysates showed that this reflected differences in R2 expression levels (Figure 6B). These results confirm that RR subunits from poxviruses that infect a diversity of mammalian hosts have conserved the capacity to interact with HR1.

### Table 2. Susceptibility of VACV RR mutant strains to cidofovir (CDV), hydroxyurea (HU) and phosphonoacetic acid (PAA).

| Virus | Mean EC50 of Compound | Fold Change2 | HU (mM)1 | Fold Change2 | PAA (μg/mL)1 | Fold Change2 |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Wild-type |                      |              |          |              |              |              |
| ΔF4L | 42.0 (36.2–48.7) | 1.0 | 0.87 (0.72–1.06) | 1.0 | 50.5 (41.9–61.0) | 1.0 |
| ΔH1L | 25.1 (22.0–28.7) | 1.7 | 0.19 (0.15–0.24) | 4.6 | 55.6 (44.9–68.9) | 1.1 |
| ΔF4LΔH1L | 6.2 (5.5–7.0) | 6.8 | 0.05 (0.04–0.06) | 17.4 | 56.6 (49.4–64.9) | 1.1 |
| ΔH1LΔF4L | 6.8 (5.4–8.5) | 6.2 | 0.05 (0.04–0.06) | 17.4 | 54.7 (48.3–62.1) | 1.1 |
| ΔH1LΔF4LΔ2R | 7.6 (6.7–8.5) | 5.5 | 0.05 (0.05–0.06) | 17.4 | 47.4 (39.7–56.6) | 1.1 |
| ΔF4LΔ2R | 8.1 (6.6–9.9) | 5.2 | 0.07 (0.06–0.08) | 12.4 | 49.0 (40.9–58.6) | 1.0 |
| ΔH1LΔ2RΔHis300F | 41.2 (35.9–47.1) | 1.0 | 0.68 (0.50–0.91) | 1.3 | 46.8 (38.3–57.1) | 1.1 |
| ΔF4LΔ2RΔHis300F | 3.5 (3.0–4.2) | 12 | 0.03 (0.03–0.03) | 29 | 44.9 (39.0–51.8) | 1.1 |

1Values in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.
2Compared to mean EC50 of wild-type virus. Bold values indicate statistically significant (P<0.05) differences from wild-type values.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.t002
We used plaque area measurements to determine if deleting the R1BD would alter VACV plating properties (Figure 7B). The control viruses exhibited the same relative plaque sizes noted previously (i.e. wild-type = $D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$, $D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$His$F4L$). However, the $D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$His$F4L$ and $D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$HisY300F$F4L$ strains produced plaques no different in size from those produced by $D_{F4L}$ strains (P > 0.05). This suggested that the F4 R1BD was not only required for RR activity, but that the HR1-F4 interaction was also responsible for the dominant negative effects observed with strains encoding the Y300F-substituted F4 protein with an intact R1BD. We also confirmed in these studies that inactivation of $J2R$ alone had no significant effect on plaque size (Figure 7B).

Correlation of $D_{F4L}$ strain replication with host RR subunit expression

Our results suggested that deleting the $F4L$ gene renders VACV highly dependent upon the host cell for provision of a complementing RR activity. This leads to the prediction that the efficiency of growth of a $D_{F4L}$ virus will depend upon the level of cellular RR activity. To test this hypothesis, we used two pancreatic cancer cell lines that have been previously reported to exhibit high (PANC-1) and low (CAPAN-2) levels of RR subunit expression and activity [45,62]. We prepared cell-free extracts from wild-type virus-infected (or mock-infected) PANC-1 and CAPAN-2 cells, and used western blots to measure the levels of RR proteins. This study confirmed that HR1, HR2, and Hp53R2 are expressed at lower levels in CAPAN-2 cells, relative to PANC-1 cells, and that this phenotype is unaffected by VACV infection (Figure 8A). We then seeded approximately equal numbers of PANC-1 and CAPAN-2 cells into culture dishes and infected them with wild-type and mutant strains. The total titers for each of these infections at 48 or 72 h post-infection are plotted in Figure 8B. Division of the mean titers obtained in PANC-1 cells by those obtained in CAPAN-2 cultures for each virus gave an estimate of the fold difference in replication efficiencies for each strain in these cells (Figure 8C). These data showed that PANC-1 cells support a relatively normal level of replication of most mutant VACV strains. For example, the wild-type virus grew only 3–6-fold better on PANC-1 cells than did $D_{F4L}$, $D_{I4L}/D_{F4L}$, and $D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$ strains (Figure 8B). One exception to this rule is that the wild-type virus produced titers 16-fold higher than the $D_{I4L}/D_{F4L}/D_{J2R}$ strain on PANC-1 cells (Figure 8B). This suggested that in certain cell types and in the absence of $J2R$ and $F4L$, $I4L$ may play some role in supporting VAC replication.

A more notable feature of this experiment is that all virus tested grew better on PANC-1 cells compared to CAPAN-2 cells. The wild-type, $ΔH4L$, and $ΔF4L/ΔJ2R$ strains produced yields 6–8-fold higher on PANC-1 cells than CAPAN-2 cells 48 h post-infection and this difference was greatly exacerbated by deletion or mutation of $F4L$ (Figure 8C). For example, the $ΔF4L$ strain grew...
A

| Infect with | ΔJ2R_{Flag}HR1 | Δ4LΔJ2R_{Flag/4L} | ΔJ2R |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-------|
|             | -              | +                 | +     |
| kDa         | 95             | 43                | 43    |
|             | IP: Flag       | WB: Flag          |       |
|             | 43             | IP: Flag          | WB: HR2|
|             | 43             | IP: Flag          | WB: Hp53R2|
|             | 34             | Lysate            | WB: F4 |
|             | 95             | Lysate            | WB: Flag|
|             | 43             | Lysate            | WB: HR2|
|             | 43             | Lysate            | WB: Hp53R2|
|             | 34             | Lysate            | WB: F4 |

B

| Infect with | ΔF4LΔJ2R_{HisSFVR2} | ΔF4LΔJ2R_{HisMYXR2} | ΔF4LΔJ2R_{HisECTVR2} | ΔF4LΔJ2R_{HisY300FF4L} | ΔF4LΔJ2R_{HisF4L} |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|             | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | +                 |
| kDa         | 95                  | 34                  | 95                  | 34                  |                   |
|             | IP: HR1             | IP: HR1             | Lysate              | Lysate              |                   |
|             | WB: HR1             | WB: His_{6}         | WB: HR1             | WB: HR1             |                   |
|             |                     |                     |                     |                     |                   |
18–30-fold better on PANC-1 cells and the ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strain yielded a 113-fold increase in titer on PANC-1 cells compared to CAPAN-2 cells. In fact, titration of input inocula indicated that the ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strain did not productively replicate in CAPAN-2 cells (data not shown). This suggested that the reduced RR activity of CAPAN-2 cells imposes a barrier to replication of this mutant. Collectively, these results suggested that the replication defects exhibited by ΔF4L and ΔF4L/ΔJ2R strains can be complemented in human cancer cell lines over-expressing cellular RR subunits. However, direct evidence for the linkage between cellular RR levels and mutant rescue requires further studies.

VACV RR subunits are differentially required for pathogenesis in mice

We used an animal model to determine if the apparent differential requirement for VACV RR subunits for replication in culture would be recapitulated in vivo. We infected groups of five NMRI mice with equal doses of wild-type, ΔH4L, ΔF4L, or ΔH4L/ΔF4L strains and tracked changes in animal body weight over 24 days. The wild-type and ΔH4L strains exhibited a similar degree of virulence, causing the death of 5/5 and 4/5 animals, respectively, within seven days of infection. In contrast, both ΔF4L and ΔH4L/ΔF4L strains were highly attenuated, with all animals displaying little to no signs of disease and surviving the infections (Figure 9A). There were small, transient drops in body weight for animals infected with the ΔF4L strain around days 5 and 7, otherwise these animals, and those infected with the ΔH4L/ΔF4L strain, showed no obvious signs of morbidity when compared to the mock-infected control group (Figure 9A). To obtain a more quantitative measurement of the pathogenic nature of these infections, we isolated lung tissues from mice infected with the aforementioned strains on day 5 post-infection. Wild-type and ΔH4L strains clearly had a replication advantage over ΔF4L and ΔH4L/ΔF4L strains with lung titer approximately 4 logs higher than the latter two strains (Figure 9B). These results indicate that VACV RR subunits are differentially required for virulence in vivo.

Discussion

Acquisition of a suitable supply of dNTPs to support replication is a challenging feat for mammalian DNA viruses because most host cells exist predominantly in a terminally-differentiated and quiescent state [63]. The 8-phase-specific nature of host R2 expression leaves quiescent cells with only p33R2-R1 complexes to maintain a low (~2–3% the level of cycling cells [64]) level of RR activity to meet the demands of DNA repair and mitochondrial genome synthesis [16,19,65]. Since ribonucleotide reduction is the rate-limiting step in mammalian dNTP biogenesis [26], low RR activity may pose a barrier to productive infection. Therefore, DNA viruses must replicate only in cycling cells, induce host RR activity upon infection, and/or encode their own RR enzymes [63]. Many large DNA viruses, including herpes-, irido-, asfra- and poxviruses have evolved the later strategy.

It is clear that herpesvirus-encoded RR proteins are important because inactivation of viral RR genes leads to replication defects in vitro and in animals [66,67,68,69]. Furthermore, inhibiting complex formation by herpes simplex virus (HSV) R1 and R2 proteins with a C-terminal peptide mimic has been shown to prevent HSV replication in culture [70,71,72]. Interestingly, β-herpesviruses, only encode an R1 gene, although it is still required for virulence [63]. However, it is unlikely to play a catalytic role in dNTP biogenesis as it encodes mutations at key catalytic residues that would render this subunit inactive in RR complexes [73,74,75]. Recent evidence suggests that β-herpesviruses may induce host RR protein expression, possibly explaining why viral RR function was not conserved [75,76]. What biological purpose is served by β-herpesvirus R1 proteins is unclear, although it has been suggested that these proteins might play some role in inhibiting apoptosis [77].

The increasing availability of virus genome sequences has revealed that differential conservation of viral RR genes is actually a widespread phenomenon among eukaryotic DNA viruses. However, in contrast to the case of β-herpesviruses, most DNA viruses that encode a single RR subunit encode R2 proteins while R1 is frequently absent. For example, iridoviruses in the Megalocytivirus genus only encode an R2 subunit while all other iridoviruses encode both RR subunits [78]. Furthermore, certain members of the Phycodnaviridae and Ascoviridae viral families also only encode R2 subunits [79]. This R2 bias is also seen in bacteriophage belonging to the Siphoviridae and Myoviridae families, suggesting that even prokaryotic viruses have biased conservation of RR genes [79].

Perhaps the most biased conservation of RR genes is found in poxviruses, with a clear favoring of R2 over R1 (Table S1). Even Orthopoxviruses, which typically encode both R1 and R2 genes, contain a member (horsepox virus) that encodes a fragmented R1 gene [80]. Many other Chordopoxviruses show no evidence of ever having encoded an R1 activity, including the Leporipoxviruses MYXV and SFV which we sequenced ten years ago [81,82]. At that time, the close similarity of MYXV and SFV R2 subunits to mammalian R2 proteins, and absence of a viral R1 homolog, led us to suggest that Leporipoxviruses R2 subunits were likely forming chimeric complexes with host R1 proteins [82]. Subsequent biochemical studies of VACF4 and H4 by Chunploy and Mathews [33] found that mixing purified F4 and H4 with MR1 and MR2 proteins, respectively, resulted in functional, chimeric RR enzymes. However, the two kinds of chimeric RRs did not display identical properties. Whereas the native complexes (i.e., H4F42 and MR1MR2) were about equally active, the H4MR2 enzyme exhibited ~5-fold less activity and the MR1F42 enzyme showed up to 2-fold more activity than either native complex [33]. These observations may explain why Child et al. reported their ΔH4L strain to exhibit no observable replication defect in culture and only a small (~10-fold) increase in LD50 for mice compared to wild-type VACV [34]. However, in an attempt to develop new vaccine strains, Lee et al. generated a F4L insertional inactivation mutant and reported significant increases of ~1000-fold in the LD50 of this mutant in a similar mouse model used by Child et al. with their ΔH4L strain [34]. Collectively these independent bioinformatic, biochemical, and molecular genetic studies all suggested that poxvirus R2 subunits might be more important for...
Figure 7. Deletion of F4 C-terminus residues inhibits interaction with HR1 and impairs virus growth. (A) Co-immunoprecipitation of recombinant F4 proteins with HR1. HeLa cells were infected with the indicated strains at a MOI of 10 for 8 h and then protein extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-HR1 antibodies or control serum (indicated by ‘*’). Western blots (WB) of IP material and total lysates are shown. LC, light chain. (B) Plaque area analysis of RR mutant strains. BSC-40 monolayers in 60-mm-diameter plates were infected with ~100 PFU of the indicated strains and stained 48 h post-infection with crystal violet. The scatter plots illustrate independent (n = 20) as well as mean (horizontal bar) plaque area measurements in arbitrary units (AU) for each of the indicated strains. Open circles indicate that the mean plaque area was statistically different (P<0.05) from wild-type virus as determined by a one-way ANOVA. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.g007
viral replication than R1 subunits. However, the contributions of poxvirus R1 and R2 subunits to viral replication and pathogenesis had never been directly compared nor was it clear why R2 subunits may be more important to the poxvirus life cycle.

We examined this issue in detail by generating a panel of VACV RR mutant strains and analyzing their plaque, growth, and pathogenic properties. Our studies clearly show that these properties are far more affected in ΔF4L strains compared to ΔI4L strains (Figures 3 and 9). Combining F4L and I4L deficiencies caused no further inhibition of virus growth, suggesting that the phenotype is dominated by the integrity of the F4L locus. We also showed that inactivating VACV J2R in the ΔF4L background did not further impede replication (Figure 3), suggesting that the salvage pathway for dNTP production is either not required for replication in culture, or is sufficiently complemented by host TK enzymes. This result made it possible to use the J2R locus as a site for introducing ectopic copies of different recombinant R2 proteins. The ΔF4L strain's phenotype can be completely complemented by a gene encoding His6-tagged F4 and by genes encoding other Orthopoxvirus or Leporipoxvirus R2 proteins (Figure 3B). Why Hp53R2 failed to rescue this phenotype is unclear but several possibilities exist. For one, R1-p53R2 complexes exhibit only 40–60% the activity of R1-R2 complexes [17] and this reduced activity might not meet some activity threshold required for efficient viral replication. Secondly, significant fractions of Hp53R2 proteins are bound in inactive complexes by p53 and p21 proteins, and are only released after appropriate signaling pathways have been activated [83,84]. Therefore, even if one over-expresses Hp53R2, it may not produce a sufficient level of “free” Hp53R2 that could complex with R1 proteins. Finally, Hp53R2 has recently been shown to inhibit MEK2, a kinase involved in the activation of the Ras-Raf-MAPK signaling pathway [85]. This inhibition could be detrimental as activation of the MAPK pathway is required for VACV replication [86]. These possibilities are currently being addressed.

Attempts to generate a VACV strain over-expressing HR2 have thus far been unsuccessful and so it is unclear whether HR2 can complement a ΔF4L strain.

The hypothesis that F4 protein can compete with (or replace) cellular small subunits to form chimeric RR complexes in vivo is strongly supported by the dominant-negative phenotype exhibited by Y300F-substituted F4 protein [Figure 3]. Viruses encoding these mutant proteins replicate very poorly and produce extremely small plaques. Furthermore, this phenotype is not altered by the presence or absence of I4 [Figure 3B]. The genetic data are fully concordant with our immunoprecipitation experiments, which showed that F4 interacted with cellular RR subunits [Figure 5A] and that this interaction was unaffected by I4 [Figure 5B]. We also found that other Chordopoxvirus R2 proteins co-immunoprecipitated with HR1 [Figure 6B], which is consistent with the ability of these proteins to rescue the replication defect of the ΔF4L strain. The
ability of various poxvirus R2 subunits to interact with HR1 might be explained by the high degree of sequence conservation amongst mammalian RR subunits and the fact that Chordopoxvirus R2 subunits are typically >70% identical to mammalian subunits. The observation that poxvirus RR genes are generally more similar to cellular RR genes than other virus RR genes has led to the suggestion that poxviruses have acquired RR genes through horizontal transfer events with their host [87,88]. Interestingly, other viral and bacterial pathogens have also likely acquired RR enzymes through host gene capture [99,90,91]. It would be of interest to determine if Chordopoxvirus R2 proteins exhibit a quantitative binding preference for R1 proteins isolated from their natural hosts (e.g., MR1 with ECTV R2 and rabbit R1 with MYXV and SFV R2), as that would be an expected consequence of evolutionary adaption to a particular host. Potential differences in binding affinities between poxvirus and host subunits may provide further insight into factors that contribute to poxvirus host range which remain poorly defined.

During infection, ~8-fold more F4 than I4 subunits are synthesized [92]. In tissue culture, levels of mammalian R1 are constant during the cell cycle due to its long half-life [13], while R2 subunits are quickly degraded late in mitosis leading to a much shorter half-life [15]. Given the relatively reduced activity of R1-Hp53R2 complexes [17], it is possible that production of F4 in excess allows these subunits to form needed complexes with both viral and host R1 subunits. Interestingly, poxvirus R2 subunits, like Hp53R2, lack much of the N-terminal sequences found in HR2 including phosphorylation and ubiquitination sites that may regulate HR2 function and degradation (Figure 1) [15]. This may explain why F4 protein levels are stable for at least 12 h after infection [92]. It seems likely that adaptive changes during evolution has led to conservation of poxvirus R2 enzymatic function yet has resulted in a loss of regulatory sequences that may restrict viral subunit levels in the host.

We hypothesized that the ability of Chordopoxvirus R2 proteins to interact with HR1 was due to the high degree of conservation of the C-terminal seven residues between poxvirus and mammalian R2 subunits (Figure 1). This C-terminal motif has been well-characterized in R1-R2 interaction studies of various class I RR enzymes [11,57,58,59,60,61] and an oligopeptide mimic (7FTLDADF1) of mammalian R2 C-terminus has been shown to inhibit RR activity [57]. Positions 1, 5, and 7 in this mimic are the most critical determinants of RR inhibition [57], and the residues at these positions are conserved in the C-terminus of F4 (FSLDVDF) suggesting that VACV and mammalian RR share a common R1-R2 subunit interaction mechanism. The large differences between C-terminal sequences of HSV (YA-GAVVNDL) and mammalian R2 subunits likely explains why no evidence could be found for interaction of HSV RR proteins with host subunits [23] and why peptide mimics of the HSV R2 C-terminus are highly selective antivirals [71]. Previous studies have used the F4 heptapeptide to generate an affinity column for I4 purification [93]. Therefore, we thought it likely that F4 interacted with R1 proteins in a similar manner as found with cellular R2 subunits. Indeed, interaction of F4 proteins lacking the putative R1BD with HR1 was clearly impaired (Figure 7A) and strains expressing these truncated proteins were unable to rescue the small plaque phenotype of the ΔFAI mutant (Figure 7B). However, deleting the R1BD from Y300F F4 did suppress the dominant negative phenotype (Figure 7B), which further implied that F4 functionally interacts with HR1 through the C-terminus of F4.

Collectively our data show that VACV F4 proteins (and likely other poxvirus R2 proteins) are required for efficient viral replication in culture as well as for pathogenesis (Figure 9). While our studies of CDV and HU sensitivities (Table 2) suggest a defect in RR activity and subsequent dNTP pool biogenesis as the underlying cause for the defect of ΔFAI strains, it is possible that these are only indirect consequences of inactivation of F4L and other functions of F4 are required for replication. However, the dominant negative phenotype of the Y300F-encoding strains in the presence or absence of I4 (Figure 3B), the requirement of the...
and shows promise as an oncolytic agent in mouse models [98].

more efficiently in cancers with elevated host RR expression [97]. HSV mutant strain with an inactivated viral R1 gene, replicates chemotherapy and poorer prognoses [94]. Interestingly, hrR3, a patients' tumors were directly correlated with reduced response to [94,95,96]. For example, a recent study of patients with non-small wide variety of human cancers exhibit elevated RR expression [94].

patterns and prolonged treatment of patients with RR inhibitors [102]. Therefore, poxviruses appear to have acquired the potential for use in cancer virotherapy, we suggest that deletion of these strains in PANC-1 cells relative to CAPAN-2 pancreatic cancer cell lines (Figure 8C) correlates well with the higher levels of RR subunits in PANC-1 cells (Figure 8A) and documented differences in RR activities between these two cell lines [45]. While further evidence will be needed to prove that host RR proteins complement the ΔF4L strain replication defect in PANC-1 cells, our results build a strong circumstantial case for the dependence of these ΔF4L mutant strains on host RR activity. Since VACV and other, non-Orthopoxviruses (e.g. Leporipoxviruses [99,100], and Yatapoxviruses [101]) that encode R2 subunits have shown potential for use in cancer virotherapy, we suggest that deletion of R2 subunit genes from these viruses may create more selective oncolytic agents.

During our studies we noted that poxviruses that encode R2 and TK genes tend to have higher A+T base content in their genomes than poxviruses lacking these genes (Table S1). This strong correlation suggests that hybrid virus-host RR complexes and/or poxvirus TK proteins have contributed to the establishment of unique dNTP pools that have influenced viral genome composition during the co-evolution of poxviruses with their hosts. Recently an APC mimic has been identified in poxviruses that lack R2 and TK genes including Molluscipoxviruses, Parapoxviruses, and crocidolopox virus [102]. The APC mimic in orf virus, termed "PACR" (poxvirus APC/cyclosome regulator) inhibits APC activity and causes mammalian cells to accumulate in G2/M phases of the cell cycle [102]. Since APC targets mammalian TK [103] and R2 [15] proteins for degradation during mitosis, poxvirus APC mimics may serve to prevent degradation of these host nucleotide metabolism proteins during viral replication. This is supported by the finding that PACR inhibits host TK degradation during the cell cycle [102]. This reliance on host nucleotide metabolism proteins may explain why poxviruses encoding APC mimics have low A+T content in their genomes (Table S1). Strict reliance on host nucleotide metabolism machinery may also explain why GC-rich Mollusc- and Parapoxviruses have a rather limited host range when compared to AT-rich Orthopoxviruses which encode their own RR and TK [104] (Table S1). Therefore, poxviruses appear to have acquired different mechanisms to obtain dNTPs for replication, which may ultimately influence their genomic composition and host tropism.

Materials and Methods

Cell and virus culture

Cell and virus culture methods have been described elsewhere [105]. Wild-type VACV and its mutant derivatives were derived from strain Western Reserve (WR) originally acquired from the American Type Culture Collection. Non-transformed African Green Monkey kidney cells (BSC-40) were normally cultured in modified Eagle’s medium (MEM) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). HeLa human cervical adenocarcinoma and human embryonic lung (HEL) cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s MEM (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, PANC-1 and CAPAN-2 cells are human pancreatic epithelial carcinoma and adenocarcinoma lines, respectively and were also cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. All of the above cell lines were originally obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. A U2OS human osteosarcoma cell line that expresses Cre recombinase was a kind gift from Dr. J. Bell (University of Ottawa). These cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were cultured in Opti-MEM media (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA) for experiments requiring transfections.

Antibodies, western blotting, and immunoprecipitation

Normal mouse and goat serum and goat polyclonal antibodies against human R1 (HR1), human R2 (HR2), and human p53R2 (Hp53R2) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA). Mouse monoclonal antibodies against HR1 and HR2 were from Millipore (Billerica, MA) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., respectively. Mouse monoclonal antibodies against Flag and His, His epoxyepipeptide anti-VACV I4, was obtained from ICN Biomedicals, Inc. (Aurora, OH). Compounds were diluted to their final concentration in MEM (CDV; HU; PAA; IBT) or in a 1:1 mixture of MEM and 1.7% noble agar (X-gal; X-glu) immediately prior to use. Taq and Piultra DNA polymerases were obtained from Fermentas (Burlington, ON) and Stratagene (La Jolla, CA), respectively.

Protein extracts for western blots and immunoprecipitations were prepared from cell cultures by lysing cells in ice in a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, and 0.5% NP-40 along with freshly-added phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (100 μg/mL) and protease inhibitor tablets (Roche). For western blots, 20–40 μg of total protein were subjected to SDS-PAGE and subsequently blotted with appropriate antibodies after
transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were scanned using an Odyssey scanner (Li-COR Biosciences).

Protein extracts for immunoprecipitations were recovered as described above 6–8 h post-infection from 10⁷ HeLa cells infected with indicated strains at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Extracts were then pre-cleared by incubation with normal mouse or goat serum along with protein G sepharose beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences; Piscataway, NJ) for 30 min at 4°C with constant inversion. The samples were subsequently centrifuged (2,500 rpm, 1 min, 4°C) and supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes. These extracts were then incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4°C with constant inversion. Fresh protein G beads were then added to the extracts and incubated for 2 h at 4°C after which the beads were collected (2,500 rpm, 1 min, 4°C) and washed four times with lysis buffer. The resulting bead-protein complexes were resuspended in SDS-PAGE loading buffer, boiled for 15 min and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Western blotting was performed as described above. Whole cell extracts (lysates) were also blotted with indicated antibodies and represented ~5% of the input material used for immunoprecipitations.

Plaque morphology and replication analyses

Plaque dimensions were measured on 60-mm-diameter dishes of confluent BSC-40 cells infected with ~100 plaque-forming units (PFU) of the indicated strain. After 48 h of infection, triplicate plates were stained with crystal violet and scanned using an HP ScanJet 6300C scanner. The resulting image files were analyzed using ImageJ v1.04g software (National Institutes of Health, USA). Unpaired t-tests or one-way ANOVA tests were performed on mean plaque areas between wild-type and each of the various RR mutant strains using GraphPad Prism (San Diego, CA) software (version 4.0). In some cases two different RR mutant strains were also compared for differences in mean plaque areas. A P value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Growth analyses were conducted in BSC-40, HeLa, PANC-1 and CAPAN-2 cell cultures using the indicated MOI and strains. Cells were harvested by scraping monolayers into the culture media at the indicated time points followed by three rounds of freeze-thawing. Virus stocks were titered on BSC-40 cells.

For viral genome replication analyses, at the indicated times post-infection, BSC-40 cells were harvested by scraping, collected by centrifugation (800 rpm, 10 min, 4°C), washed once with PBS, and resuspended in 500 μL of 10× saline-sodium citrate (SSC) loading buffer containing 1 M ammonium acetate [106]. The cells were then disrupted by three cycles of freeze-thaw and 50-μL aliquots of the lysates were applied to a Zeta probe membrane using a slot-blot apparatus (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA). Samples were denatured with 1.5 M NaCl and 0.5 M NaOH and washed twice with 10× SSC loading buffer. The membrane was then hybridized with a 32P-labeled E9L gene probe. After the membrane was washed with SSC buffer and air-dried, it was exposed to a phosphorimager screen, imaged using a Typhoon 8600 phosphorimager and the data were processed using ImageQuant software, (version 5.1) [40]. In some cases 0.5 mM HU was added to the media 1 h post-infection.

Plaque reduction assays

Plaque-reduction assays were performed as previously described [105]. Briefly, 35-mm-diameter dishes of confluent BSC-40 cells were inoculated with ~100 PFU of the indicated virus strains, and 1 h after infection either drug-free medium or medium containing the indicated doses of CDV or HU was added to the cultures and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Plates were then stained with crystal violet to visualize and count plaques.

50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) values and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using nonlinear regression analyses with GraphPad Prism software after three independent experiments had been performed. In cases where the 95% CIs of two different EC₅₀ values did not overlap, these two EC₅₀ values were considered to be statistically different (P<0.05).

Confocal microscopy

HeLa cells were grown on coverslips in 24-well plates and infected with the indicated virus strains at a MOI of 5 for 10 h. The cells were fixed for 30 min on ice with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. The fixed cells were blocked and permeabilized for 1 h at RT in PBS containing 0.1% Tween (PBS-T) as well as 10% BSA. The coverslips were then incubated with the primary antibodies diluted in PBS-T (1% BSA) for 2 h at RT, washed three times and then incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488 or 594 (Invitrogen) for 1 h at RT. The cells were then counterstained with 10 ng/mL 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in PBS-T for 15 min. The specimens were examined using a Zeiss 710 Laser-Scanning confocal microscope equipped with DAPI, Alexa 488, and Alexa 594 filters. Images were captured and processed using ZEN 2009 software and Adobe Photoshop (version 10.0.1).

Recombinant viruses

BSC-40 cells were grown to confluence and then infected for 1 h with the appropriate VACV strain (see below) at a MOI of 2 in 0.5 mL of PBS. The cells were then transfected with 2 μg of linearized plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). See Text S1 and Table S2 for details regarding the primers and transfer vectors used to generate recombinant VACV strains. The cells were returned to the incubator for another 5 h, the transfection solution was replaced with 5 mL of fresh growth medium, and the cells were cultured for 24–48 h at 37°C. Virus progeny were released by freeze-thawing, and the virus titer was determined on BSC-40 cells. To identify recombinant virus, plaques were stained with X-gal or X-glu (both at 0.4 mg/mL) in solid growth media, or cultured in media containing 25 μg/mL MPA supplemented with xanthine (250 μg/mL) and hypoxanthine (15 μg/mL) for selection of yfp-gtt-encoding strains (see Text S1; [107]). The PCR was used to confirm insertions/deletions in the resulting recombinant viruses. The primers: 5′-GAT-GAATGTCTCCTGATTGGA-3′ & 5′-ATTCCAAGATCG-GACGGTA-3′ were used to PCR amplify ~700 bp of HL sequence that should not be present in ΔHL strains. The primers: 5′-ATGGGACCCTACCTTGGACCC-3′ & 5′-ATCTTCTTGTGA-GACATAAC-3′ were used to amplify ~930 bp of F1H sequence that should not be present in ΔF1H strains. Disruption of J2R sequence was detected with primers: 5′-TCCCTCTCTGATGCGTCAACCGCAATTAG-3′ & 5′-GTCGGGCTACATTAACACCAAAAAAC-3′ that bind to regions of J2R flanking the insertion site of pSc66 vector [108] sequences (see below). Primers TGGATTCTGCAAATTTGGATTCTAT & AATTGCTATTTTGAGGATGGTTTC were used to amplify an ~800 bp fragment from VACV DNA polymerase (E9L) sequence to serve as a positive control for amplification. In some cases western blotting was used to confirm the presence or absence of gene expression in the described VACV strains. Details of how each VACV strain was constructed are provided in Text S1 and the marker rescue strategies used in these studies are depicted in Figure 2A.

FyuUltra DNA polymerase (Stratagene) was used to PCR-amplify DNA for cloning whereas Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas) was used for PCR diagnostic purposes. Plasmid
constructs were verified by sequencing and all virus strains were plaque-purified a minimum of three times in BSC-40 cells or Cre recombinase-expressing U20S cells. All VACV strains were characterized by PCR (data not shown) and sometimes by western blotting (Figure 2B), although for brevity only characterization of the main viral strains discussed throughout this study is shown.

**Animal studies**

Female NMRI mice, 3 to 4 weeks of age, were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Brussels, Belgium). Mice were utilized at 5 mice per infection or control group for morbidity studies. Mice were anesthetized using ketamine-xylazine and inoculated intranasally (or mock-inoculated) with 4×10^4 PFU of virus diluted in 30 μL of saline. Animal body weights were recorded over the next 24 days or until the animals had to be euthanized because of more than 30% loss in body weight. To determine viral titers in lungs, two (wild-type infections) or five animals (ΔHL, ΔF4L, and ΔHL/ΔF4L infections) were euthanized on day 5. Lung samples were removed aseptically, weighed, homogenized in MEM, and frozen at −70°C until assayed by titrations on HEL cells. For mouse pathogenicity experiments, the ΔHL/ΔF4L strain was generated with the pdGloxPKO<sup>DEL</sup> vector. This allowed for removal of the yfp-gpt marker cassette from the HL locus after passage in U20S cells expressing Cre recombinase. No differences were found in replication in culture between strains expressing the yfp-gpt cassette and those with this cassette deleted by Cre recombination (Figure S5). See Text S1 for further details.

**Ethics statement**

All animal work was approved by the K.U. Leuven Animal Care and Use Committee. All animal guidelines and policies were in accordance with the Belgian Royal Decree of 14 November 1993 concerning the protection of laboratory animals and the European Directive 86-609-EEC for the protection of vertebrate animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes.

**Genbank gene ID numbers**

1. VACV HL (VACWR073) (Genbank ID: 3707606)
2. VACV F4L (VACWR043) (Genbank ID: 3707500)
3. VACV J2R (VACWR094) (Genbank ID: 3707550)

**Genbank protein accession numbers**

1. human R2 (HR2; Genbank accession: NP_001025.1)
2. mouse R2 (MR2; Genbank accession: NP_033130.1)
3. human p53R2 (Hp53R2; Genbank accession: BAD1267.1)
4. mouse p53R2 (Mp53R2; Genbank accession: Q6PEE3.1)
5. VACV F4 (Genbank accession: AAO89322.1)
6. ectromelia EVM028 (Genbank accession: NP_671546.1)
7. myxoma virus m015L (Genbank accession: NP_051729.1)
8. Shope fibroma gp015L (Genbank accession: NP_051904.1)
9. human R1 (HR1; Genbank accession: AAD37491)
10. mouse R1 (mR1; Genbank accession: AAH16450)
11. VACV I4 (Genbank accession: AAO89352)

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** The ΔF4L strain has reduced expression of the late VACV protein B5. BSC-40 cells were infected (at a MOI of 5) with wild-type or VACV strains with a deletion of F4L (ΔF4L) or a ΔF4L revertant strain (ΔF4L<sup>REV</sup>). (B) BSC-40 cells were infected as in (A) with wild-type virus or a VACV strain with a deletion of HL (ΔHL). Cells were harvested at the indicated times post-infection and protein extracts were prepared for western blotting. Antibodies against the VACV late protein B5, the early viral proteins F4 and I4 or cellular actin were used for blotting on parallel nitrocellulose membranes. Asterisks indicate mock-infected lysates collected after 24 h.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s001 (9.96 MB TIF)

**Figure S2** Expression profile of cellular RR proteins after infection with VACV. HeLa cells were infected with wild-type, ΔF4L or ΔF4L<sup>REV</sup> (revertant) strains (MOI of 5) or were mock-infected (MI). Protein extracts were prepared at the indicated times post-infection and equal amounts of protein were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting (WB) for human R1 (HR1), human R2 (HR2), or human p53R2 (Hp53R2). Blots for cellular actin and VACV I3 protein served as loading controls.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s002 (1.03 MB TIF)

**Figure S3** Immunoprecipitation of His<sub>6</sub>-tagged F4 with human R1 (HR1). HeLa cells were infected with the indicated strains (MOI of 10) for 8 h and then protein extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-His<sub>6</sub> antibodies. Western blots (WB) of IP material and total lysates are shown. HC, heavy chain. Note that VACV F4 is ~37 kDa while Hp53R2 (positive control for HR1 interaction) is ~43 kDa.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s003 (1.16 MB TIF)

**Figure S4** Human and viral RR proteins are localized to the cytoplasm during infection with VACV. (A) Localization of human RR subunits in the absence or presence of infection. HeLa cells were mock-infected (mock) or infected with wild-type VACV (VAC) at an MOI of 5 for 10 h after which coverslips were fixed and stained with antibodies against endogenous human R1 (HR1), R2 (HR2), or p53R2. (B) Localization of recombinant human and VACV RR subunits during infection. HeLa cells were co-infected with the indicated strains (MOI of 5 for each virus) for 10 h after which coverslips were fixed and stained with antibodies recognizing Flag or His<sub>6</sub> epitopes. Arrows indicate positions of cytoplasmic viral DNA. DIC, differential interference contrast.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s004 (7.92 MB TIF)

**Figure S5** Growth properties of selected recombinant strains in BSC-40 cells. Cells were infected at a MOI of 0.03, harvested at the indicated time points, freeze-thawed three times, and tittered on BSC-40 cells. Although the experiments in (A) and (B) were done in parallel, they are separated for clarity purposes and thus the wild-type curve is the same in both graphs. The superscript labels above certain virus strains refer to whether the HL locus was inactivated using pdGloxPKO<sup>INV</sup> (INV)- or pdGloxPKO<sup>DEL</sup> (DEL)- or pZIPPY NEO/GUS (pZippy)-based vectors. A superscript “REV” refers to a revertant of the ΔF4L strain. All pdGloxPKO-based viruses went through a final, three-round plaque purification procedure in Cre recombinase-expressing U20S cells. Symbols represent mean titers determined in triplicate and error bars represent SD. Some error bars are approximately the same size of the symbols.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s005 (0.31 MB TIF)

**Table S1** Differential conservation of Chordopoxvirinae RR genes.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s006 (0.04 MB DOC)

**Table S2** Primers described in Text S1.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000984.s007 (0.04 MB DOC)
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