PREVALENCE OF THE HEPATITIS C VIRUS AMONG UNIVERSITY EMPLOYEES IN SÃO PAULO, SOUTHEASTERN BRAZIL: predictive factors and geoprocessing spatial analysis
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ABSTRACT – Background – There are limited studies on the prevalence and risk factors associated with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Objective – Identify the prevalence and risk factors for HCV infection in university employees of the state of São Paulo, Brazil. Method – Digital serological tests for anti-HCV have been performed in 3153 volunteers. For the application of digital testing was necessary to withdraw a drop of blood through a needlestick. The positive cases were performed for genotyping and RNA. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact test were used, with P-value <0.05 indicating statistical significance. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were also used. Results – Prevalence of anti-HCV was 0.7%. The risk factors associated with HCV infection were: age >40 years, blood transfusion, injectable drugs, inhalable drugs (InDU), injectable Gluconergam®, glass syringes, tattoos, hemodialysis and sexual promiscuity. Age (P=0.01, OR 5.6, CI 1.4 to 22.8), InDU (P<0.0001, OR=96.8, CI 24.1 to 388.2), Gluconergam® (P=0.0009, OR=44.4, CI 4.7 to 412.7) and hemodialysis (P=0.0004, OR=90.1, CI 7.5 – 407.1) were independent predictors. Spatial analysis of the prevalence with socioeconomic indices, Gross Domestic Product and Human Development Index by the geoprocessing technique showed no positive correlation. Conclusion – The prevalence of HCV infection was 0.7%. The independent risk factors for HCV infection were age, InDU, Gluconergam® and hemodialysis. There was no spatial correlation of HCV prevalence with local economic factors.

HEADINGS – Hepacivirus. Spatial analysis. Genotyping techniques.

INTRODUCTION

Since the isolation of hepatitis C virus (HCV) complementary DNA in 1989(1), hepatitis C has been recognized as a major cause of chronic liver disease throughout the world(9, 23). Hepatitis C prevention and control depend on a complex assessment of HCV infection global distribution, determination of its associated risk factors and estimation of the factors that accelerate disease progression. In addition, due to the absence of a vaccine or some form of post-exposure prophylaxis, proper epidemiological evaluation is essential for planning primary prevention in any population. HCV infection is characterized by a silent onset that is rarely identified in most patients, although it is highly prevalent. It also shows a high rate of viral persistence, and chronic infection is asymptomatic in most cases, with the potential to develop end-stage liver disease, such as in the development of chronic hepatitis to cirrhosis and to hepatocellular carcinoma. Due to these features, its natural history is not so precise or clear, which motivates the continuation of studies. HCV infection is a very serious public health problem worldwide. Its global prevalence is of approximately 2.2% to 3% of the population, ranging from 0.1% to 5% in different countries. It is estimated that approximately 170 million people are chronically infected worldwide and that 3 to 4 million new infections occur each year(15, 19). The estimated prevalence rates in specific regions range from <1% in Northern Europe to >2.9% in Northern
Africa. The lowest prevalence (0.01% to 0.1%) has been observed in countries of the United Kingdom and Scandinavia, and the highest prevalence rate (15% to 20%) has been found in Egypt\(^\text{15}\). In Brazil, the prevalence of seropositivity for anti-HCV varies according to the study sample: 1.23% in blood donors\(^\text{11}\), 1.42% in population samples from São Paulo City\(^\text{10}\) and 0.7% to 2%, 1% in population samples from capital cities\(^\text{6}\). The objectives of this study were to determine the prevalence of HCV infection in a population sample from the state of São Paulo; to identify risk factors for HCV infection; to assess age-specific prevalence and perform the geoprocessing spatial analysis of HCV infection prevalence correlated with the cities’ socioeconomic factors.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

The study included 3,153 people (in a universe of 10,776 individuals). They were all university employees and selected by means of direct search in educational campaigns conducted in 17 cities in São Paulo State. Inclusion criteria: university employees who were older than 18 years. Exclusion criteria: age less than 18 years, students, trainees and individuals who were not employees.

**Methods**

The volunteers underwent digital serological tests for anti-HCV (HCV rapid test bioassay) after informed consent was obtained. It is based on the immunochromatography method, which had been previously validated by other authors\(^\text{11,12,24}\).

The patients answered a questionnaire with the following risk factors for HCV infection: age, gender; use of injectable drugs (IDU), inhalable drugs (InDU), energizers (Gluconergam®), glass syringes, blood transfusions or blood products before 1992; tattooing or piercing, surgical history, occupation in health care, hemodialysis, sexual promiscuity (four or more different sexual partners per year), manicure manipulation. The risk factor for HCV infection was the common practice of sharing unclean material and not the medication gluconegam itself. At the time of the questionnaire, it was largely informed the subjects.

Patients with positive serological results were referred to the regional referral center for treatment of viral hepatitis. The ELISA test, genotyping and RNA were performed for these patients from collection by the filter-paper technique (dried blood spot sampling)\(^\text{10}\), \(^\text{18}\).

**Statistical methodology**

Categorical variables were analyzed by means of absolute and relative frequency tables. Descriptive statistics was used for continuous variables, such as mean, standard deviation, median and extreme values. In order to evaluate the association between HCV positivity with categorical variables, the chi-square and Fisher’s exact test were used, and association was considered to be significant when the \(P\)-value was \(\leq 0.05\).

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression was used to analyze the variables that influenced anti-HCV positivity. The stepwise procedure was performed, and SAS for Windows (Statistical Analysis System), version 6.12 (SAS Institute Inc, USA) was used.

**Geoprocessing methodology**

Geoprocessing is the computerized processing of georeferenced data. It employs computer programs that allow for using cartographic information (maps, topographic charts and plans) as a vehicle for spatial data communication.

The system also allows for detecting vulnerable areas in which health problems occur more frequently and severely\(^\text{9}\). Geoprocessing is a multidisciplinary science that receives contributions from various fields, such as cartography, computer aided design (CAD) and computer graphics, database management systems (DBMS), remote sensing, artificial intelligence, statistics and informatics.

Spatial analysis can be defined as a technique that seeks to describe patterns existing in spatial data and to establish relationships preferably in a quantitative manner\(^\text{10}\). It is basically a signal analysis. Some socioeconomic data population Gross Domestic Product and Human Development Index in the studied cities were correlated with HCV prevalence in their population samples by using spatial representation and mapping systems. Geostatistics was not used due to the fact that the studied sites (cities) were very sparse in the context of the São Paulo State map.

**RESULTS**

The overall prevalence was of 0.7%, ranging from 0% to 2.32% in the studied cities. Twenty-two cases were found to be positive for anti-HCV. All 22 anti-HCV positive cases for digital tests were confirmed by third-generation ELISA tests, with a 100% agreement. Genotyping and RNA were also performed, and 21 RNA-positive cases were detected, with predominance of genotype 1 (66.6%) as compared to genotype 3 (33.4%). There was no genotype association with anti-HCV positivity. In the distribution of subjects according to gender, age, BMI and ethnicity, it was found that most of them were females (54.8%), white (83.3%), overweight (BMI 26.1 ± 4.5) and 40 to 50 years of age (43.9 ± 10.2).

There was no association of gender or ethnicity with anti-HCV positivity. Regarding the age variable, an association of age >40 years with increased risk for anti-HCV positivity was observed (Tables 1 and 2). Increased prevalence was found according to increase in the categorized age ranges studied: 18 to 30 years (0%), 31 to 40 years (0.54%), 41 to 50 years (0.84%) and >50 years (1.08%), with a trend towards statistically significant (\(P=0.053\)). Association of these following risk factors with HCV positivity was found: blood transfusion, IDU, InDU, previous use of Gluconergam®, previous use of glass syringes, hemodialysis, tattooing and sexual promiscuity (Table 2).

Logistic regression analysis was performed, and the following were observed as independent risk factors for HCV infection: age, InDU, Gluconergam® and hemodialysis (Table 3).
TABLE 1. Characteristics, prevalence and association of anti HCV positive with risk factors of subjects studied (n = 3153)

| Characteristics          | n (%)     | Number of anti HCV positive | Prevalence of anti HCV positive | P  
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------
| Subjects                 | 3153      | 22                          | 0.7%                            | 0.08 |
| Gender                   |           |                             |                                 |      
| Male                     | 1407 (44.6%) | 14                          | 0.99%                           |      
| Female                   | 1728 (54.8%) | 8                           | 0.46%                           |      
| Ethnicity                |           |                             |                                 |      
| White                    | 2628 (83.3%) | 17                          | 0.64%                           | 0.18 |
| No White                 | 538 (10.7%) | 5                           | 1.47%                           |      
| Yellow                   | 108 (3.4%)  | 0                           | 0%                              |      
| Risk Factors             |           |                             |                                 |      
| Age > 40 anos            | 2024 (64.2%) | 19                          | 0.94%                           | 0.04 |
| Blood transfusion before 1992 | 88 (2.8%)  | 3                           | 3.41%                           | 0.02 |
| Use of injectable drugs  | 8 (0.25%)  | 2                           | 25%                             | 0.001|
| Use of inhalation drugs  | 24 (0.76%) | 5                           | 20.85%                          | <0.0001|
| Use of Gluconergam®      | 7 (0.22%)  | 3                           | 42.86%                          | <0.0001|
| Use of a glass syringe   | 66 (2.1%)  | 3                           | 4.55%                           | 0.01 |
| Tattoo                   | 189 (6%)   | 4                           | 2.12%                           | 0.05 |
| Piercing                 | 102 (3.2%) | 0                           | 0%                              | 0.38 |
| Health professionals     | 437 (13.85%) | 2                           | 0.46%                           | 0.75 |
| Prior surgery            | 1779 (56.4%) | 16                          | 0.9%                            | 0.13 |
| Prior jaundice           | 196 (6.2%) | 3                           | 1.53%                           | 0.15 |
| Hemicodialysis           | 4 (0.13%)  | 1                           | 25%                             | 0.027|
| Sexual promiscuity †     | 51 (1.61%) | 2                           | 3.92%                           | 0.048|
| Manicure                 | 1101 (34.9%) | 4                          | 0.36%                           | 0.11 |

HCV: hepatitis C virus; †: Four or more sexual partners in 1 year; ‡: Fisher's exact test

TABLE 2. Distribution of Association of hepatitis C virus infection according to sociodemographic characteristics (n = 3153)

| Variable                  | Prevalence | P  
|---------------------------|------------|------
| Age >40 anos              | 0.94%      | 0.04 |
| No                        | 0.27%      | 0.08 |
| Gender                    |             |      
| Male                      | 0.99%      |      |
| Female                    | 0.46%      |      |
| Ethnicity                 |             |      
| White                     | 0.64%      |      |
| No white                  | 1.47%      |      |
| Yellow                    | 0%         |      |
| Blood transfusion         |             |      
| Yes                       | 3.41%      | 0.02 |
| No                        | 0.62%      |      |
| Injecting drug use        |             |      
| Yes                       | 25%        | 0.001|
| No                        | 0.64%      |      |
| Inhalable drugs use       |             |      
| Yes                       | 20.83%     | <0.0001|
| No                        | 0.54%      |      |
| Use of gluconergam®       |             |      
| Yes                       | 42.86%     | <0.0001|
| No                        | 0.6%       |      |
| Use of a glass syringe    |             |      
| Yes                       | 4.55%      | 0.01 |
| No                        | 0.62%      |      |
| Sexual promiscuity †      |             |      
| Yes                       | 3.92%      | 0.048|
| No                        | 0.64%      |      |
| Tattoo                    |             |      
| Yes                       | 2.12%      | 0.03 |
| No                        | 0.61%      |      |
| Piercing                  |             |      
| Yes                       | 0%         |      |
| No                        | 0.72%      | 0.38 |
| Hemicodialysis            |             |      
| Yes                       | 25%        | 0.027|
| No                        | 0.72%      |      |
| Surgery events            |             |      
| Yes                       | 0.90%      | 0.13 |
| No                        | 0.44%      |      |
| Jaundice prior            |             |      
| Yes                       | 1.53%      | 0.15 |
| No                        | 0.64%      |      |
| Occupational health       |             |      
| Yes                       | 0.46%      | 0.75 |
| No                        | 0.74%      |      |
| Manicure                  |             |      
| Yes                       | 0.36%      | 0.11 |
| No                        | 0.88%      |      |

†: Four or more sexual partners in 1 year; ‡: Fisher's exact test

TABLE 3. Independent risk factors* associated for anti hepatitis C virus positive of subjects studied (n = 3153)

| Variable                  | OR       | CI (95%)  | P     |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| Age >40 years             | 5.6      | 1.4- 22.8 | 0.01  |
| Use of inhalation drug    | 96.8     | 24.1- 388.2 | < 0.0001|
| Use of Gluconergam®       | 44.4     | 4.7- 412.7 | 0.0009|
| Hemicodialysis            | 90.1     | 7.5- >999,999 | 0.0004|

* Multivariate analysis by stepwise logistic regression; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

There was no positive spatial correlation according to geoprocessing analysis (Figures 1 and 2). There was no correlation between socioeconomic data population and the prevalence rate of HCV in the regions studied.

FIGURE 1. Spatial representation of the prevalence of anti-HCV related to the Human Development Index in the cities studied
DISCUSSION

The group of patients in this study comprised asymptomatic individuals from 17 municipalities in São Paulo State who spontaneously sought the technical health divisions of the university campuses where prevention campaigns and HCV screening tests were performed. Therefore, it does not completely characterize the general population, but allows for analyzing potentially healthy individuals with HCV infection. On the other hand, a relatively high number of individuals were studied (n=3,153). Prevalence (0.7%) was similar to that found in other studies performed on high-risk populations, and the need for screening the entire population, and not only in risk groups, should be considered.

A statistically significant association of positive anti-HCV was shown in individuals aged >40 years, which was confirmed as an independent risk factor for HCV infection, thus suggesting an infection pattern that was similar to that found in the United States of America and Australia (an infection contracted in the recent past, 10 to 30 years ago), a fact that was also reported by Focaccia et al.\(^{(16)}\) when studying a population sample from São Paulo.

In the sample studied, only 0.25% of individuals reported IDU, although 25% of these confirmed positivity for anti-HCV, and an association was observed between IDU and HCV infection. In Brazil, IDU as a risk factor for HCV infection is reported with variable frequency in several studies\(^{(5, 11, 12, 17)}\). In the United States of America, studies\(^{(14)}\) reported IDU in 51% of their subjects comprising their samples, which consisted of blood donors. It is important to emphasize the fact that a considerable number of employees may have denied past IDU in completing the questionnaire and during the interview for fear of discrimination, although they were informed about the confidentiality of information. This occurrence was also reported by Thomas\(^{(20)}\) in an editorial.

The use of inhalable drugs, particularly cocaine, has been associated with VHC infection\(^{(19, 22)}\), but that route is not consensually accepted as a form of infection transmission\(^{(23)}\). Alter et al.\(^{(25)}\), when interviewing users of cocaine inhalation, reported that approximately 30% reported epistaxis during inhaling, and another 30% observed nose bleeding in their mates. Therefore, this contamination route appears to be biologically feasible when “straw” sharing by users occurs, especially in those showing epistaxis. In our subjects, the association of a history of inhalable drug use was shown as an independent risk factor for HCV infection.

Gluconergam\(^{®}\) consists of the following substances: glucose, vitamin C and 2-Amino-5-guanidinovaleric acid hydrochloride. It used to be prescribed as a tonic, and its use was intended to promote better performance in sports and carnival balls. This medication has been banned in the Brazilian market for several years. In these subjects, this factor was associated with risk for HCV positivity, which was confirmed by logistic regression analysis and also shown by Silva et al.\(^{(16)}\).

A history of glass syringe use was considered a possible risk factor for HCV infection because this practice, without safe sterilization, was common in Brazil in the past. The risk of association found in the sample studied by Fisher’s exact test was not observed after logistic regression analysis.

The pattern for HCV genotype distribution found in this study was similar to that in other studies previously conducted in Brazil\(^{(5, 11, 12, 17)}\).

In an unprecedented manner in Brazil, the geoprocessing technique was applied in hepatitis C studies by using the spatial representation of HCV infection prevalence correlated with socioeconomic factors in the studied cities. Although there was no positive spatial correlation, this analysis can serve as a stimulus for further studies in this field.

Soon after its identification, several epidemiological studies failed to relate HCV to a contamination route in up to 40% of cases. Alter\(^{(15)}\) associated it with low socioeconomic development in 44% of individuals. More recently, the same author and colleagues\(^{(3)}\) still associate it with poverty as an independent factor. One hypothesis is that there may be lack of knowledge about some means of HCV infection, such as shares of domestic objects, in populations of low socio economic level. There are no reports in the literature of studies investigating such association using the geoprocessing technique.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of HCV infection in the studied sample was 0.7%, with variation for the studied cities. The risk factors associated with HCV infection were blood transfusion before 1993, IDU, InDU, gluconergam\(^{®}\) use, glass syringes, hemodialysis, tattooing and sexual promiscuity. The independent risk factors for HCV infection were age, inhalable drugs use, Gluconergam\(^{®}\) use and hemodialysis. No spatial correlation of HCV prevalence with the cities’ socioeconomic factors was observed in the studied sample.
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RESUMO – Contexto – Existem escassos estudos sobre a prevalência e fatores de risco associados à infecção pelo vírus da hepatite C. Objetivos – Identificar a prevalência e os fatores de risco para a infecção pelo vírus da hepatite C em funcionários de uma Universidade do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Métodos – Testes sorológicos digitais para anti vírus da hepatite C foram realizados em 3.153 voluntários. Para a aplicação do teste digital foi necessário retirar uma gota de sangue através de uma picada de agulha. Nos casos positivos foram realizados genotipagem e RNA. Os testes Qui-quadrado e exato de Fisher foram utilizados, com valor de P<0,05 sendo considerado como estatisticamente significante. Regressão logística univariada e multivariada também foram aplicadas. Resultados – A prevalência de anti vírus da hepatite C foi de 0,7%. Os fatores de risco associados com a infecção pelo vírus da hepatite C foram idade >40 anos, transfusão de sangue, uso de drogas injetáveis, uso de drogas inalatórias, Gluconergam® injetável, uso de seringas de vidro, tatuagens, hemodiálise e promiscuidade sexual. Idade (P=0,01, OR 5,6, IC 1,4-22,8), uso de drogas inalatórias (P=0,0001, OR=96,8, IC 24,1-388,2), Gluconergam® injetável (P=0,0009, OR=44,4, IC 4,7-412,7) e hemodiálise (P=0,0004, OR=90,1, IC 7,5-407,1) foram preditores independentes. A análise espacial da prevalência com índices socioeconômicos, produto interno bruto e índice de desenvolvimento humano, por meio da técnica de geoprocessamento, não mostrou correlação positiva. Conclusões – A prevalência da infecção pelo vírus da hepatite C foi de 0,7%. Os fatores de risco independentes para a infecção pelo vírus da hepatite C foram idade, uso de drogas inalatórias, Gluconergan® injetável e hemodiálise. Não houve correlação espacial da prevalência de vírus da hepatite C com fatores econômicos locais.

DESCRITORES – Hepacivirus. Análise espacial. Técnicas de genotipagem.
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