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ABSTRACT

Background: Magnesium is the second most abundant intracellular cation in the body and recently there has been an emerging interest in its major role played in various physiological and disease states of the body. Its deficiency is being correlated with pathogenesis, glycemic control, and various complications occurring in patients of diabetes mellitus (DM) in many studies.

Aim and objective: To estimate serum magnesium levels in diabetic patients and correlating it with pathogenesis, duration of diabetes, poor glycemic control, and various complications in our tertiary care hospital patients.

Materials and methods: This is a case-control, prospective study comprising 120 diabetic patients diagnosed as per recommended criteria of ADA and were evaluated at NIMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan. Keeping serum magnesium value threshold of 1.6 mg/dL, all patients were divided into two groups; hypomagnesemic(s) and normomagnesemic(s). Twenty-five healthy age-matched controls were also enrolled and compared.

Results: We observed 120 patients (52.5% males and 47.5% females), with age ranges between 36 years and 78 years; however, 60% of patients were in the range of 40–65 years. Mean serum values in hypomagnesemic, normomagnesemic, and healthy controls were detected in the range 1.54 ± 0.43, 1.81 ± 0.56, and 2.12 ± 0.67, respectively. 60.8% of diabetic patients had one or more diabetic complications; more in hypomagnesemic (83.3%) compared with normomagnesemic (51.9%). Microvascular complications were the most common. A single case of neuromuscular weakness was also detected.

Conclusion: Hypomagnesemia is common in patients of type 2 DM (T2DM) and has a negative correlation with its incidence, duration of disease, poor glycemic control, and various complications of DM. The therapeutic potential of serum magnesium is worth exploring via large clinical trials. Since replenishment of serum magnesium is a simple clinical entity, thus, it will be prudent to measure serum magnesium in each diabetic patient and replenish it accordingly.
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INTRODUCTION

Magnesium is the second most abundant intracellular cation in the body and acts as a cofactor in various enzymatic reactions of body cells. Recently, there has been an emerging interest in its major role played in various physiological activities and disease states. Chronic low magnesium states have been associated with several chronic diseases including DM, HT, CAD, asthma, and osteoporosis. Various clinical trials have found that magnesium levels are lower in diabetics compared with non-diabetic controls.¹⁻⁴ The relationship of hypomagnesemia with the pathogenesis of diabetes, poor glycemic control, and with various long-term complications of diabetes mellitus (DM) also have been reported.¹ Present study was carried out to estimate the levels of serum magnesium in DM patients and correlate these levels with the pathogenesis, duration of disease, poor glycemic control, and also with various complications in our hospital tertiary care hospital group of patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at NIMS Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur between June 2019 and December 2019. Patients with type 2 DM (T2DM), diagnosed as per recommended criteria of ADA, with age above 35 years were included in the study. Patients on drugs and with diseases likely to affect the magnesium levels were excluded (Table 1). Twenty-five healthy controls of similar age distribution were also enrolled and compared. Written informed consent was taken from the patients and controls.
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Detailed history taking and clinical examination including fundus oculi were done in all the patients to diagnose and detect the presence of any microvascular and macrovascular complications of DM. Peripheral neuropathy assessment was done clinically and quantified by neuropathy disability score. Retinopathy was evaluated by direct ophthalmoscopy and nephropathy by serum creatinine and urine microalbuminuria estimation. All patients underwent investigation for serum Mg, FBG, PPBG, HbA1c, lipids, urea, creatinine, and other relevant ones (Table 2). Urine was tested for micro- and macroalbuminuria. The normal serum level in our laboratory is 1.6–2.6 mg/dL. Patients
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Study of Serum Magnesium Levels in DM Patients

One hundred and twenty (52.5% males and 47.5% females) diabetic patients (hereafter referred to as patients), aged between 36 and 78 with the majority (60%) in-between 40–65; diagnosed with ADA recommended criteria were studied. The mean BMI of patients was 27.9 ± 6.2. Age-matched 25 healthy controls (hereafter referred to as controls) were also enrolled in the study. A detailed comparison is depicted in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of patients and controls

| Characteristics                  | Patients (N = 120) | Controls (N = 25) | p value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 55.9 ± 8.0        | 55 ± 6.4         | 0.507   |
| Male (%)                         | 52.50%            | 56%              | 0.75    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 27.9 ± 6.2        | 25.6 ± 6.4       | 0.102   |
| SBP (mm Hg)                      | 138.3 ± 21.7      | 135 ± 15         | 0.36    |
| DBP (mm Hg)                      | 89.2 ± 14.9       | 82.1 ± 7.7       | 0.0007  |
| FBG (mg/dL)                      | 168.7 ± 24.1      | 109.3 ± 29.3     | 0.0     |
| PPG (mg/dL)                      | 258.9 ± 23.2      | 243.0 ± 24.6     | 0.003   |
| HbA1c (mg/dL)                    | 8.2 ± 0.56        | 6.3 ± 0.4        | 0.0     |
| Serum magnesium (mg/dL)          | 1.73 ± 0.49       | 2.12 ± 0.67      | 0.006   |
| Serum calcium (mg/dL)            | 9.8 ± 0.65        | 9.95 ± 0.7       | 0.325   |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)         | 0.95 ± 0.57       | 0.84 ± 0.23      | 0.115   |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)        | 190.4 ± 46.9      | 176 ± 34.5       | 0.078   |
| TG (mg/dL)                       | 143.6 ± 40.03     | 140 ± 32.4       | 0.629   |

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG, fasting blood sugar; PPG, postprandial blood glucose; TG, triglyceride

With a threshold magnesium value <1.6 mg/dL were considered hypomagnesemic.

Serum magnesium was assessed by the photometric method (Vitros, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Python 3 programming language with Scipy analytical package was used for the analysis of data). All parametric variables were expressed as mean ± SD. The comparison between groups was made by unpaired t-test and p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Table 3: Characteristics of hypomagnesemic vs normomagnesemic

| Characteristics                  | Hypomagnesemic (N = 36) | Normomagnesemic (N = 84) | p value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 57.6 ± 8.4               | 55.3 ± 7.6               | 0.16    |
| Male (%)                         | 52.70%                   | 52.30%                   | 0.968   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 28.06 ± 6.7              | 27.84 ± 5.8              | 0.86    |
| Duration of DM (years)           | 6.27 ± 3.4               | 6.82 ± 2.6               | 0.38    |
| SBP (mm Hg)                      | 142.86 ± 20.5            | 136.43 ± 22.84           | 0.131   |
| DBP (mm Hg)                      | 92 ± 11.6                | 88 ± 17.6                | 0.145   |
| FBG (mg/dL)                      | 175 ± 25.3               | 165 ± 22.8               | 0.05    |
| PPG (mg/dL)                      | 268 ± 27.4               | 255 ± 18.2               | 0.01    |
| HbA1c                            | 8.92 ± 0.43              | 7.98 ± 0.67              | 0.004   |
| Serum magnesium (mg/dL)          | 1.54 ± 0.43              | 1.81 ± 0.56              | 0.004   |
| Serum calcium (mg/dL)            | 9.86 ± 0.70              | 9.90 ± 0.6              | 0.765   |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)         | 1.03 ± 0.57              | 0.92 ± 0.57              | 0.334   |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)        | 196 ± 48.5               | 188 ± 45.38             | 0.4     |
| TG (mg/dL)                       | 152 ± 40.32              | 140 ± 39.74             | 0.136   |
| Microalbuminuria (mg/day)        | 210.18 ± 80              | 183.1 ± 60              | 0.07    |

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG, fasting blood sugar; PPG, postprandial blood glucose; TG, triglyceride

The patients and controls were comparable based on age and gender distribution. However, there was a significant difference in serum magnesium, BMI, SBP, DBP, and HbA1c levels between them.

Correlation of Serum Magnesium to Diabetic Complications

Furthermore, the patients were divided into two groups according to the threshold level of serum magnesium (1.6 mg/dL), i.e., hypomagnesemic and normomagnesemic. Mean serum magnesium levels in two diabetic groups are depicted in Table 3.

The two groups were statistically similar based on age, gender, duration of DM, and BMI levels. The hypomagnesemic have significantly higher HbA1c levels than normomagnesemic suggesting poorer glycemic control in the former. All other parameters were comparable.

Furthermore, both diabetic groups were compared for various complications.

Total 73 patients in both the diabetic groups had one or more than one complication. Of this, 53 patients had a single complication. Nine patients had two complications. Six patients had three complications and five had four complications. Forty-two (57.5%) patients had neuropathy, 15 (20.5%) had nephropathy, and 17 (23.2%) had retinopathy. One patient had a neuromuscular weakness.

Correlation of Serum Magnesium to Duration of Diabetes

The patients were divided into three groups based on their diabetes duration. Sixty-five (54.1%) patients had a history of <6 years, 20 (16.6%) patients between 6 years and 12 years, and 29.1% over 12 years.
Patients with a longer duration of diabetes had higher mean HbA1c values and lower mean serum magnesium values. Inverse correlation between duration of diabetes and serum magnesium levels is well evident (Tables 4 and 5).

**Discussion**

Type 2 DM is a chronic metabolic disorder, progressive in nature with high prevalence. Magnesium (Mg) is one of the most common minerals and second most abundant intracellular cation in the body. It is a cofactor in various enzymatic reactions of body cells and, thus, plays a major role in various cell processes. Recently, there has been an emerging interest in its deficiency and correlation with DM. Many trials have shown that mean plasma magnesium levels are lower in diabetics compared with non-diabetics.

Causes of hypomagnesemia are multifactorial which includes either poor magnesium-rich dietary intake or loss of magnesium in urine by rampant use of diuretics or reduced tubular reabsorption due to insulin resistance or development of diabetic autonomic neuropathy. In our present study, out of 120 patients, 36 (30%) patients had hypomagnesemia. A similar incidence has been (13.5–47%) has been observed in other studies.

A recent meta-analysis of 13 selected studies, 9 showed a statistically significant inverse association between serum magnesium and HbA1c, and concluded that low magnesium intake is significantly associated with the risk of T2DM in a dose-response manner.

Eighty-eight patients had HbA1c >7, indicating poor glycemic control (Fig. 1). An inverse correlation between serum magnesium and HbA1c is observed. Ramadass et al. also observed declined mg levels with a rise in HbA1c and duration of diabetes.

We have observed that patients with a longer duration of DM had higher mean HbA1c values and lower mean serum magnesium values (Table 6). However, few others have concluded that serum magnesium has no direct relationship with diabetic duration if the diabetes is well controlled.

Hypomagnesemia has been related to elevated blood pressure, dyslipidemia, increased inflammatory burden, oxidative stress, carotid wall thickness, and coronary heart disease. Hypomagnesemia is known to be accompanied by thrombotic tendencies, increased platelet aggregability, increased coronary artery responsiveness to contractile stimuli. CAD incidence was observed at 27.7% in hypomagnesemic and 11.9% in normomagnesemic. This difference was found to be statistically significant.

Peripheral neuropathy was also significantly different in both groups (50.0 vs 28.6%). Other studies have also found a relationship between low magnesium levels with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. An improvement in the nerve conduction

---

**Table 4: Diabetic complications in hypomagnesemic vs normomagnesemic**

| Complications          | Hypomagnesemic (N = 36) | Normomagnesemic (N = 84) | Total | p value |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| Microvascular          |                         |                          |       |         |
| Peripheral neuropathy  | 18 (50.0%)              | 24 (28.6%)               | 42    | 0.024   |
| Nephropathy            | 7 (19.4%)               | 8 (9.5%)                 | 15    | 0.132   |
| Retinopathy            | 9 (25.0%)               | 8 (9.5%)                 | 17    | 0.026   |
| Macrovascular          |                         |                          |       |         |
| CAD                    | 10 (27.7%)              | 10 (11.9%)               | 20    | 0.033   |
| CVA                    | 4 (11.1%)               | 6 (7.1%)                 | 10    | 0.471   |
| PVD                    | 2 (5.5%)                | 4 (4.7%)                 | 6     | 0.855   |
| Others                 |                         |                          |       |         |
| Neuromuscular weakness | 1 (2.7%)                | 0                        | 1     | 0.125   |

---

**Table 5: Hypomagnesemia vs diabetic complications**

| Patients (N = 120) | Hypomagnesemic (N = 36) | Normomagnesemic (N = 84) | Total | p value |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| With complications | 30 (83.3%)              | 43 (51.9%)               | 73    |         |
| Without complications | 6 (16.7%)             | 41 (48.8%)              | 47    |         |

Thus, 73 (60.83%) diabetics had one or more complications. This was significantly more in hypomagnesemic(s) (83.3%) compared with normomagnesemic(s) (51.9%).

---

**Table 6: Serum magnesium level vs duration of diabetes**

| Duration of diabetes (years) | No. of patients | Mean HbA1c | Mean serum magnesium (mg/dL) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| <6                           | 65              | 7.9 ± 0.45 | 1.85 ± 0.52                 |
| >6–12                        | 20              | 8.2 ± 0.6  | 1.65 ± 0.39                 |
| >12                          | 35              | 8.6 ± 0.62 | 1.55 ± 0.49                 |

---

![Fig. 1: Distribution of HbA1c levels in patients](image-url)
velocity following magnesium supplementation is being studied. Nephropathy was also found prevalent in hypomagnesemic (19.4 vs 9.5%). Corsonello et al.19 had similar observations. We also observed a higher incidence of retinopathy (25 vs 9.5%) among hypomagnesemic. This relationship between hypomagnesemia and retinopathy was earlier established by Fujii et al.20 A study from Brazil with type 1 and type 2 diabetics, however, did not demonstrate a significant correlation between the severity of retinopathy and magnesium concentration in the plasma.4

One patient presented with severe neuromuscular weakness (Quadriparesis)21 and had severe hypomagnesemia (1.12 mg/dL); the action on kidneys and parathyroid gland, respectively, via the leak of K+22 increases ROMK efflux activity and thereby K+ wasting. Hypomagnesemia causes hypocalcemia causing inappropriately low parathyroid secretion and hormone resistance23 and also decreased action of 1,25 hydroxylase.

**Conclusion**

Hypomagnesemia is common in patients of T2DM and has a negative correlation with its incidence, duration of disease, poor glycemic control, and various microvascular and macrovascular complications of DM. The therapeutic potential2,4,19 of serum magnesium is worth exploring via large clinical trials.25 Since replenishment of serum magnesium is a simple clinical entity, thus, it will be prudent to measure serum magnesium in each diabetic patient.
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