Associations of Renal Function with Polymorphisms in the \(\delta\)-Aminolevulinic Acid Dehydratase, Vitamin D Receptor, and Nitric Oxide Synthase Genes in Korean Lead Workers
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We analyzed data from 798 lead workers to determine whether polymorphisms in the genes encoding \(\delta\)-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD), endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), and the vitamin D receptor (VDR) were associated with or modified relations of lead exposure and dose measures with renal outcomes. Lead exposure was assessed with job duration, blood lead, dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA)-chelatable lead, and tibia lead. Renal function was assessed with blood urea nitrogen (BUN), serum creatinine, measured creatinine clearance, calculated creatinine clearance and urinary \(N\)-acetyl-\(\beta\)-d-glucosaminidase (NAG), and retinol-binding protein. Mean (± SD) tibia lead, blood lead, and DMSA-chelatable lead levels were 37.2 ± 40.4 μg/g bone mineral, 32.0 ± 15.0 μg/dL, and 767.8 ± 862.1 μg/g creatinine, respectively. After adjustment, participants with the ALAD2 allele had lower mean serum creatinine and higher calculated creatinine clearance. We observed effect modification by ALAD on associations between blood lead and/or DMSA-chelatable lead and three renal outcomes. Among those with the ALAD2 allele, higher lead measures were associated with lower BUN and serum creatinine and higher calculated creatinine clearance. Participants with the eNOS variant allele were found to have higher measured creatinine clearance and BUN. In participants with the Asp allele, longer duration working with lead was associated with higher serum creatinine and lower calculated creatinine clearance and NAG; all were significantly different from relations in those with the Glu/Glu genotype except NAG (p = 0.08). No significant differences were seen in renal outcomes by VDR genotype, nor was consistent effect modification observed. The ALAD findings could be explained by lead-induced hyperfiltration. Key words: \(\delta\)-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase, endothelial nitric oxide synthase, genetic susceptibility factors, lead exposure, \(N\)-acetyl-\(\beta\)-d-glucosaminidase (NAG), renal function, retinol-binding protein, vitamin D receptor. Environ Health Perspect 111:1613–1619 (2003). doi:10.1289/ehp.6116 available via http://dx.doi.org/[Online 12 June 2003]

Genetic susceptibility is one factor that contributes to the wide range of health outcomes occurring among individuals exposed to similar levels of toxicants. The gene that encodes the \(\delta\)-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD) enzyme is a potentially important modifier of relations between lead exposure/dose and renal function. The ALAD enzyme is a principal lead-binding protein with two common alleles, ALAD1 and ALAD2 (Battistuzzi et al. 1981). A higher percentage of lead is bound to the protein present in those with the ALAD2 allele compared with those with the ALAD1 allele (Bergdahl et al. 1997b). Several studies have found that similarly exposed participants with the ALAD2 allele have higher blood lead levels than those who are homozygous for the ALAD1 allele (Kelada et al. 2001; Wetmur et al. 1991; Ziemens et al. 1986). Other toxicokinetic differences have also been reported, including lower dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA)-chelatable lead levels (controlling for exposure duration and blood lead) (Schwartz et al. 1997) and less efficient uptake of lead into bone, resulting in a lower bone lead level for a given cumulative blood lead (Fleming et al. 1998). The impact of these relations on lead toxicity is not clear. ALAD2 binding could prevent lead from reaching target organs, thus reducing toxicity. On the other hand, increased blood lead could result in greater potential for toxicity. Two studies have examined the impact of ALAD genotype on the renal system; one reported higher unadjusted mean serum creatinine (p = 0.11) in participants with the ALAD2 allele (Bergdahl et al. 1997a). The other found higher mean serum creatinine (p = 0.11) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN; p = 0.03) in participants with the ALAD2 allele; however, after adjustment for covariates, the statistical significance of these associations decreased (p = 0.16 for serum creatinine and p = 0.06 for BUN) (Smith et al. 1995).

Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) catalyzes the transformation of \(\epsilon\)-arginine to nitric oxide, which is a vasodilator. Animal models of renal disease have demonstrated that administration of \(\epsilon\)-arginine results in decreased glomerulosclerosis and tubulointerstitial damage; this is thought to be mediated via increased NO (Klahr 2001). The Glu298Asp polymorphism of the eNOS gene involves a G-to-T conversion at nucleotide position 894 within exon 7, which results in substitution of aspartic acid for glutamic acid at codon 298. Research in patients with essential hypertension has found both an increased frequency of the Asp allele (Miyamoto et al. 1998) and decreased NO production (Klahr 2001). Presence of the Asp allele was associated with an earlier age at development of end-stage renal disease in males with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; patients with the Asp allele also demonstrated decreased NO synthase activity (Persu et al. 2002). Data on the eNOS Glu298Asp polymorphism in diabetic nephropathy are inconsistent; Noiri et al. (2002) found a higher frequency of the Asp allele in those with diabetic end-stage renal disease; however, Zanchi et al. (2000) did not. Noiri et al. (2002) also reported decreased NO production with the Asp allele.
in an in vitro system. In addition to its potential as a renal genetic susceptibility factor, the eNOS gene is of interest because animal data suggest that lead exposure also results in decreased NO levels (Vaziri et al. 1997).

The vitamin D receptor (VDR) is important for calcium absorption and bone mineralization and is activated through binding of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃. The VDR BsmI polymorphism has three genotypes resulting from restriction enzyme digestion: bb, Bb, and BB, with the uppercase letter signifying the absence of the restriction site. Decreased bone mineral density has been reported in those with the BB compared with the bb genotype (Cooper and Umbach 1996). In the lead workers studied here, participants with the B allele were found to have significantly higher blood lead, DMSA-chelatable lead, tibia lead (Schwartz et al. 2000a), and systolic and diastolic blood pressure (Lee B-K et al. 2001), compared with participants with the bb genotype. In a Caucasian population, the b allele was significantly associated with improved survival in renal dialysis patients (Marco et al. 2001). In contrast, an increased risk for renal disease in Japanese systemic lupus erythematosus patients with the b allele has also been reported (Ozaki et al. 2000).

Herein, we report associations of ALAD, VDR, and eNOS polymorphisms with six renal outcomes, and effect modification by these polymorphisms on associations among four lead exposure and dose measures and six renal outcomes in a cross-sectional analysis of Korean lead workers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design and population.** In this article we focus on data obtained from 798 current and former lead workers from the first of two plants that were operated by a lead smelting company in Korea. Study participants were not currently working in secondary lead smelting. Participation in the study was voluntary, and workers were paid approximately $30 U.S. for their time and effort. Participation rates by plant generally exceeded 85%. No medical exclusionary criteria (e.g., blood pressure, renal disease) were applied. Study participants were not currently occupationally exposed to other known renal toxicants.

**Data collection.** Data collection was completed either at the Institute of Industrial Medicine of the Soonchunhyang University in Chonan, Korea, or at the study plants, using previously reported methods (Schwartz et al. 2001; Weaver et al. 2003). Data and biologic specimens collected included a standardized questionnaire on demographics, medical history, and occupational history; blood pressure measured with a Hawksley random zero sphygmomanometer (Lee B-K et al. 2001); height and weight measurement; a blood specimen (for blood lead, BUN, serum creatinine, and genotyping); and a spot urine sample (for retinol-binding protein [RBP], N-acetyl-β-d-glucosaminidase [NAG], and creatinine), both of which were stored at −70°C until analyzed; and tibia lead concentration. A 4-hr urine collection after oral administration of 10 mg/kg DMSA was also obtained to measure DMSA-chelatable lead and creatinine clearance (787 participants completed this collection).

**Laboratory methods.** The lead biomarkers and renal outcomes were measured using previously reported assays (Schwartz et al. 2001; Weaver et al. 2003). In brief, blood lead was measured with a Hitachi 8100 Zeeman background-corrected atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Hitachi Ltd. Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) with the standard addition method of the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (Kneip and Crable 1988) at the Institute of Industrial Medicine, a certified reference laboratory for lead in Korea. Tibia lead was assessed with a 30-min measurement at the left mid-tibia shaft using 109Cd K-shell X-ray fluorescence (Schwartz et al. 1999; Todd and McNeill 1993; Todd et al. 1992). All point estimates, including negative values, were retained in the statistical analyses in order to minimize bias and avoid censoring of data (Kim et al. 1995). Urine lead levels in the 4-hr collection were measured at the Wadsworth Center of the New York State Department of Health (Albany, NY, USA) by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry with Zeeman background correction (model 4100ZL; Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) (Parsons and Slavin 1999).

BUN and serum creatinine were measured via an Automatic Chemical Analyser (TBA 40FR Biochemical Analyser; Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan). Urine creatinine was measured in spot samples, for adjustment of NAG and RBP, and in the 4-hr sample after DMSA, for determination of measured creatinine clearance and adjustment of DMSA-chelatable lead levels, using a modification of the Sigma kit (Creatinine test kit 555A; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) (Weaver et al. 2000). Measured creatinine clearance was defined as (urinary creatinine in milligrams per deciliter × urine volume in milliliters) ÷ serum creatinine in milligrams per deciliter] × collection time in minutes. Calculated creatinine clearance was obtained from the Cockcroft and Gault (1976) equation. NAG was measured using the P.P.R. NAG Test kit (P.P.R. Diagnostics Ltd., London, UK), which uses 2-methoxy-4-(2’-nitrovinyl)-phenyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranoside as the substrate, resulting in 2-methoxy-4-(2’-nitrovinyl)-phenol formation after hydrolysis by NAG (Yuen et al. 1984). RBP was measured using a modification of the method of Topping et al. (1986).

For genotyping, DNA was isolated from whole blood samples using the QIAamp Blood Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), and all assays were based upon polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The protocol for ALAD genotyping has been previously described (Schwartz et al. 1995; Wetmur et al. 1991; Ziensmen et al. 1986). In brief, the initial amplification, using 3’ and 5’ oligonucleotide primers (5’-AGACAGACATTAGCTCA-GTA-3’ and 5’-GGCAAAGACAGCTC-CATTCC-3’), generated a 916 base pair (bp) fragment. A second round of amplification using a pair of nested primers [provided by J. Wetmur (Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA); sequences 5’-CAGAGCTTTCACACGTGGA-3’ and 5’-CCAGCACAATGTGGAGTGA-3’, respectively] generated an 887 bp fragment. The amplified fragment was cleaved at the diagnosticMspI site, present only in the ALAD allele.

The Glu298Asp polymerorphism was determined by a modification of the assay of Hibi et al. (1998). The primer sequences were 5’-TCCCTGAGGAGGGCATGAGGCT-3’ and 5’-TGGAGGTCAACAGGGTTTCT-3’, which resulted in a 457 bp PCR amplification product. Subsequent digestion with BanII cleaved this into two fragments (137 bp and 320 bp) in G-variant individuals who have the BanII restriction enzyme digest site. Fragments were resolved on a 1.5% agarose gel (with 0.2% Synergel; Diversified Biotech, Boston, MA, USA) and stained with ethidium bromide.

As previously published (Schwartz et al. 2000b), the VDR BsmI polymorphic site in intron 8 was amplified using primers originating in exon 7 (primer 1: 5’-CAACCAAGAGATCAAGTACCGGTCAGTA-3’) and intron 8 (primer 2: 5’-AACACCGGAGAGGTCAACGGG-3’). Participants homozygous for the presence of the BsmI restriction site are designated bb, heterozygotes are designated Bb, and those homozygous for the absence of the site are designated BB.

**Statistical analysis.** The primary goals of the analysis were (a) to examine associations between ALAD, VDR, and eNOS genotypes and six renal outcomes (BUN, serum creatinine, measured creatinine clearance, calculated creatinine clearance, RBP, and NAG) in...
lead workers, while controlling for covariates; and b) to evaluate whether ALAD, VDR, and eNOS genotypes modified associations between one lead exposure measure (job duration) and three lead dose biomarkers (tibia lead, blood lead, DMSA-chelatable lead) and the renal outcomes. Statistical analysis was completed using SAS statistical software programs (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Initially, variable distributions were examined. The distributions of NAG and RBP evidenced departures from normality and were thus ln (base 2)-transformed. The adequacy of ln-transformation of these measures was confirmed by verification that distributions of residuals after linear regression modeling were normal. Linear regression modeling with a dichotomous genotype variable was used to compare renal outcome measures by genotype, while controlling for the same covariates used in the final models. For ALAD genotype, participants with ALAD<sup>1-2</sup> were compared with participants with ALAD<sup>Bb</sup>. Because of small numbers, all analyses combined homozygous and heterozygous variant genotype carriers for VDR<sup>BB</sup> (BB and Bb) and eNOS<sup>Glu/Asp</sup> and Asp/Asp), unless noted otherwise.

Linear regression modeling, with cross-product terms for genotypes and lead variables, was used to evaluate effect modification by genotype on associations between lead measures and renal outcomes. Covariate selection for final regression models used a priori variables [age, sex, body mass index (BMI; defined as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters)] and a dichotomous variable for current versus former worker status to adjust for differences between these two groups (the former workers were older, had lower mean blood and DMSA-chelatable lead levels, had longer job durations, and a greater proportion were women) as well as biologically directed stepwise forward modeling to identify other significant variables, as previously described (Weaver et al. 2003). Covariates in the model for clinical renal outcomes (BUN, serum creatinine, measured creatinine clearance, and calculated creatinine clearance) included age, sex, BMI, hypertension, work status (current vs. former worker), and a dichotomous variable for current smoking. Models of NAG and RBP were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, systolic blood pressure, work status, diabetes mellitus, and alcohol consumption.

Models were evaluated for linear regression assumptions and the presence of outlying points using jackknife residual (Kleinbaum et al. 1998) and added variable plots (Weisberg 1985). The latter plots are graphical summaries of the relation between Y and a particular X (referred to as X<sub>y</sub>) below) adjusted for all the other covariates. Specifically, the residuals of the regression of Y on all the covariates except X<sub>y</sub> are plotted on the y-axis. This is the part of Y not explained by those covariates. Next, the residuals from the regression of X<sub>y</sub> on all the other covariates are plotted on the y-axis. The part of X<sub>y</sub> not explained by the other covariates. The regression line and a line determined by a scatter plot smoothing method that calculates a locally weighted least-squares estimate for each point in the scatter plot (Cleveland 1979) were displayed. We used the lowest function in the S-Plus statistical software (MathSoft, Seattle, WA, USA) to produce these plots. When warranted, outliers were removed and the models were repeated.

Results

A total of 79 (9.9%) participants were heterozygous for the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> allele, and none was homozygous (Table 1). For VDR, 85 (10.7%) were genotype BB, and four (0.5%) were BB.<sup>2</sup> For eNOS, 114 (14.4%) participants were genotype Glu/Asp, and six (0.7%) were Asp/Asp. Mean (± SD) crude values for demographic, exposure, and outcome variables by genotype are presented in Table 1, and adjusted differences are noted below.

**ALAD.** After removal of previously identified outliers (Weaver et al. 2003) and adjustment for age, sex, BMI, hypertension, current smoking, work status (current vs. former lead worker), and lead dose, workers with the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> genotype were found to have lower serum creatinine and higher calculated creatinine clearances compared with those with the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> genotype (p < 0.05).

Effect modification by ALAD on relations between lead and the renal outcomes was observed (Table 2). Among participants with the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> genotype, higher lead measures were associated with lower BUN, serum creatinine, and RBP and with higher creatinine clearances; these relations were statistically different (p < 0.1) compared with those in participants with the ALAD<sup>BB</sup> genotype. Added variable plots of associations between blood lead and the renal outcomes indicate that these relations are not due to influential outliers (Figure 1). These plots also illustrate the magnitude of change in the renal outcomes across the blood lead range in those with the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> allele. No blood lead level threshold for these effects is apparent.

To determine whether increased urinary creatinine from increased glomerular filtration contributed to the association between higher blood lead and lower RBP in those with the ALAD<sup>Bb</sup> genotype, the model was repeated using RBP unadjusted by creatinine. The β coefficient was similar (−0.012), and p = 0.09.

eNOS. After removal of previously identified outliers (Weaver et al. 2003) and adjustment, mean measured creatinine clearance was found to be higher in participants with the eNOS Asp allele (p < 0.05). Mean measured creatinine clearance, adjusted for age, sex, and BMI, had lower mean blood and DMSA-chelatable lead levels, had longer job durations, and a greater proportion were women) as well as biologically directed stepwise forward modeling to identify other significant variables, as previously described (Weaver et al. 2003).

**Table 1.** Selected demographic, exposure, and outcome variables by ALAD, eNOS, and VDR genotype in Korean lead workers.<sup>2</sup>

| Characteristic                             | 1–1          | 1–2          | Glu/Glu     | Asp/Glu or Asp/Asp | VDR genotype |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Number (%)                                | 716 (90.1)   | 79 (9.9)     | 673 (84.9)  | 120 (15.1)         |              |
| Age, years (mean ± SD)                    | 40.5 ± 10.2  | 40.1 ± 9.7   | 40.3 ± 10.1 | 41.1 ± 10.1        |              |
| Sex, n(%)                                 |              |              | 40.2 ± 10.1 | 42.7 ± 10.3        |              |
| Male                                      | 569 (79.5)   | 62 (78.5)    | 537 (73.8)  | 93 (77.5)          |              |
| Female                                    | 147 (20.5)   | 17 (21.5)    | 136 (20.2)  | 27 (22.5)          |              |
| BMI, kg/m<sup>2</sup> (mean ± SD)         | 23.1 ± 3.0   | 22.3 ± 2.6   | 23.1 ± 3.0  | 22.2 ± 2.8         |              |
| Hypertension, n(%)                        | 52 (7.3)     | 51 (7.6)     | 51 (7.6)    | 7 (5.8)            |              |
| Lead job duration, years (mean ± SD)      | 8.1 ± 6.6    | 7.9 ± 5.8    | 8.0 ± 6.6   | 8.5 ± 6.5          |              |
| Blood lead, µg/dl (mean ± SD)             | 31.7 ± 14.9  | 34.2 ± 15.9  | 32.0 ± 15.1 | 31.2 ± 14.6        |              |
| Tibia lead, µg Pb/g bone mineral (mean ± SD) | 37.5 ± 40.6  | 31.4 ± 29.5  | 37.5 ± 41.6 | 35.8 ± 34.0        |              |
| DMSA-chelatable lead, µg Pb/g creatinine (mean ± SD) | 763.2 ± 822.4 | 638.2 ± 1183.3 | 775.2 ± 899.7 | 717.9 ± 622.8 |              |
| BUN, mg/dl (mean ± SD)                    | 145.3 ± 35.8 | 13.7 ± 3.5   | 14.3 ± 3.6  | 14.9 ± 3.8*        |              |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dl (mean ± SD)       | 0.90 ± 0.15  | 0.98 ± 0.12* | 0.90 ± 0.16 | 0.89 ± 0.13        |              |
| Measured creatinine clearance, ml/min (mean ± SD) | 114.6 ± 34.7 | 115.6 ± 27.6 | 113.7 ± 32.9 | 118.8 ± 36.3** |              |
| Calculated creatinine clearance, ml/min (mean ± SD) | 94.6 ± 20.7 | 95.7 ± 19.7** | 94.6 ± 22.6 | 94.6 ± 20.3        |              |
| NAG, µmol/hr/g creatinine (mean ± SD)      | 229.7 ± 248.6 | 204.6 ± 141.6 | 221.5 ± 239.5 | 259.6 ± 244.5 |              |
| RBP, µg/g creatinine (mean ± SD)           | 57.2 ± 10.1  | 65.8 ± 89.5  | 63.7 ± 204.7 | 61.7 ± 87.8        |              |

<sup>2</sup>ALAD, eNOS, and VDR genotyping were completed on 795, 793, and 788 lead workers, respectively. *p < 0.1 for difference between renal outcomes by genotype after adjustment. **p < 0.05 for difference between renal outcomes by genotype after adjustment.
Table 2. Linear regression modeling of effect modification by genotype on selected associations between renal outcomes and lead dose variables.

| Variable                                      | β coefficient | SE β | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|------|---------|
| BUN (mg/dL)                                  |               |      |         |
| Intercept                                    | 9.6098        | 1.1680 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | 2.0037        | 1.0164 | 0.05    |
| Blood lead (µg/dL)                           | 0.0094        | 0.0102 | 0.36    |
| Blood lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>  | −0.0771       | 0.0278 | < 0.01 |
| Intercept                                    | 9.5556        | 1.1701 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | 0.8670        | 0.8672 | 0.19    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead (µg Pb/g creatinine)     | 0.0000        | 0.0002 | 0.93    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup> | −0.0022       | 0.0008 | < 0.01 |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dL)                     |               |      |         |
| Intercept                                    | 0.8472        | 0.0359 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | 0.0222        | 0.0316 | 0.08    |
| Blood lead (µg/dL)                           | 0.0003        | 0.0003 | 0.41    |
| Blood lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>  | −0.0017       | 0.0009 | 0.05    |
| Intercept                                    | 0.8519        | 0.0361 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | 0.0076        | 0.0205 | 0.71    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead (µg Pb/g creatinine)     | −0.0000       | 0.0000 | 0.02    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup> | −0.0001       | 0.0000 | 0.02    |
| Intercept                                    | 0.8507        | 0.0362 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −0.0364       | 0.0173 | 0.04    |
| measured creatinine clearance (mL/min)       |               |      |         |
| Intercept                                    | 74.0404       | 9.2994 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −2.2309       | 5.2462 | 0.66    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead (µg Pb/g creatinine)     | −0.0010       | 0.0016 | 0.53    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup> | 0.0106        | 0.0061 | 0.08    |
| Intercept                                    | 77.4254       | 9.0917 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −0.0364       | 0.0173 | 0.04    |
| NAG (µg/g creatinine)                        |               |      |         |
| Intercept                                    | 0.0039        | 0.0016 | 0.02    |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −0.0017       | 0.0009 | 0.05    |
| Calculated creatinine clearance (mL/min)     |               |      |         |
| Intercept                                    | 56.6738       | 4.4354 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −6.3221       | 3.7759 | 0.09    |
| Blood lead (µg/dL)                           | −0.0567       | 0.0383 | 0.14    |
| Blood lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>  | 0.2857        | 0.1033 | < 0.01 |
| Intercept                                    | 56.2870       | 4.3876 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | −2.2172       | 2.4992 | 0.38    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead (µg Pb/g creatinine)     | 0.0005        | 0.0007 | 0.49    |
| DMSA-chelatable lead × ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup> | 0.0079        | 0.0029 | 0.01    |
| Intercept                                    | 56.6014       | 4.3434 | < 0.01 |
| ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup>              | 0.0539        | 0.2067 | 0.01    |
| Lead job duration × eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp      | 0.2401        | 0.0896 | 0.01    |
| Lead job duration × eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp      | −0.4015       | 0.1957 | 0.04    |
| In NAG (µmol/L/g creatinine)                 |               |      |         |
| eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp                          | 0.2254        | 0.0931 | 0.02    |
| Lead job duration                            | 0.0070        | 0.0040 | 0.08    |
| Lead job duration × eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp      | −0.0157       | 0.0088 | 0.08    |
| In RBP (µg/g creatinine)                     |               |      |         |
| eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp                          | 0.1528        | 0.1014 | 0.13    |
| Lead job duration                            | 0.0002        | 0.0000 | 0.01    |
| Lead job duration × eNOS Glu or Asp/Asp      | −0.0002       | 0.0001 | 0.05    |

BUN, serum creatinine, measured creatinine clearance, and calculated creatinine clearance models were also adjusted for age, sex, BMI, current/former exposure status, current smoking, and hypertension. NAG and RBP models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, systolic blood pressure, current/former exposure status, current alcohol ingestion, and diabetes. p-Values for the cross-product terms reflect the statistical significance of the difference between the slopes of the regression line for the gene variant group and the regression line for the reference group. Slopes in the nonreference category are obtained by adding the β coefficient of the cross-product term to the β coefficient for the reference category. Only outcomes with significant or borderline significant associations (p < 0.1) are presented from a total of 24 models for each gene.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated whether polymorphisms in three genes (ALAD, VDR, and eNOS) were associated with or modified relations of lead exposure and dose measures with six renal outcomes in a large cross-sectional study of Korean lead workers. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate effect modification by ALAD, VDR, or eNOS genetic polymorphisms on the relations between lead measures and renal outcomes. After adjustment, participants with the ALAD<sup>-1</sup>-<sup>-2</sup> allele had lower mean serum creatinine and higher calculated creatinine clearance. Higher mean serum creatinine and lower calculated creatinine clearance but also lower NAG were statistically different (p = 0.02 for trend). In contrast, BUN was also higher (p = 0.04–0.06 depending on lead covariate in the model), and a trend was present for mean BUN by genotype (p = 0.04); means after adjustment for the same covariates, except tibia instead of blood lead, were 14.2, 14.9, and 15.8 µg/dL for the Glu/Glu, Glu/Asp, and Asp/Asp genotypes, respectively. Effect modification by eNOS on relations between lead and the renal outcomes was observed in only 3 of 24 models (Table 2). Among participants with the Glu/Glu genotype, longer lead job duration was associated with higher calculated creatinine clearance but also border line associated (p = 0.08) with higher NAG. In contrast, in those with the Asp allele, longer lead job duration was associated with lower serum creatinine and lower calculated creatinine clearance but also lower NAG. These relations were statistically different (p < 0.05 except for NAG, where p < 0.1) and in opposite directions compared with those in participants with the Glu/Glu genotype.

VDR. No main effects of VDR genotype on renal outcomes were observed. Effect modification was present in 2 of 24 models (Table 2). Higher tibia lead was associated with lower mean serum creatinine and higher calculated creatinine clearance in all participants, but the slope of the relation was greater in those with VDR Bb or BB genotypes. DMSA-chelatable lead was directly associated with NAG only in those with the bb genotype.
ALAD² allele, clinical renal outcomes changed by 10% or more across the blood lead range. The prevalence of the ALAD² allele is approximately 20% in Caucasians and 10% in Asians (Kelada et al. 2001; Schwartz et al. 1995). In addition to the toxicokinetic differences mentioned above, lower cortical bone lead (Hu et al. 2001) has been reported. Hu et al. (2001) also noted less efficient uptake of lead into bone when trabecular lead levels > 60 µg/g bone mineral were present. Data on lead into bone when trabecular lead levels above, lower cortical bone differences suggest a protective effect of the ALAD² allele on the hematologic system (Alexander et al. 1998; Schwartz et al. 1995; Sithisanakul et al. 1997). In the lead workers studied here, those with the ALAD² allele had lower levels of zinc protoporphyrin and plasma aminolevulinic acid, but there was no clear effect modification by ALAD genotype on relations between lead dose and hematologic system outcomes (Lee SS et al. 2001). Possibly improved neuropsychologic function has also been reported, based on a few participants with the variant allele (Bellinger et al. 1994). Two studies have assessed the effect of ALAD genotype on the renal system in lead-exposed populations. Smith et al. (1995) studied 691 volunteers from a construction trade union, of whom 96 had the ALAD² allele. Mean blood lead was 7.78 µg/dL. As described above, BUN and serum creatinine were elevated in participants with the ALAD² allele compared with those with the ALAD¹ genotype, but p-values for these differences increased after adjustment. Bergdahl et al. (1997a) assessed the impact of ALAD genotype on kidney function in 89 lead workers, of whom only seven had the ALAD² allele. Serum creatinine was elevated in the latter group, although, again, the difference was not statistically significant and adjusted data were not presented. Thus, our results are not consistent with the data presented in these publications. There are some potentially important differences between our work and these studies. The small number of participants with the ALAD² allele limits the power to detect a difference in the study of Bergdahl et al. (1997a). Compared with Smith et al. (1995), our participants had a higher mean blood lead level and a wider range of lead measures and renal outcomes. These factors may play a role in the differences noted.

Our work expands on the existing literature pertaining to the effect of ALAD genotype on the renal system in lead-exposed populations by assessing effect modification. Although our data by ALAD genotype are unique, inverse associations similar to those we found in participants with ALAD² allele (i.e., associations between higher lead measures and lower BUN and serum creatinine and higher creatinine clearances) have been previously reported in lead-exposed populations. Mean measured creatinine clearance was 112.9 mL/min/1.73 m² in 22 adults who had experienced childhood lead poisoning, compared with 88.8 mL/min/1.73 m² in age- and sex-matched controls (p < 0.01) (Hu 1991). Roels et al. (1994) also reported a mean creatinine clearance of 121.3 mL/min/1.73 m² in a group of 76 lead workers compared with 115.5 mL/min/1.73 m² in 68 age- and sex-matched controls (p < 0.05). More important, they also observed a positive association between tibia lead and creatinine clearance. Similarly, we also found associations in the population studied here between higher lead measures and lower BUN and serum creatinine, and higher creatinine clearance measures, especially in younger workers (Weaver et al. 2003).

A longitudinal study in rodents reported a positive association between glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and blood lead after 3 months of lead acetate ingestion (Khalil-Manesh et al. 1992). However, after 6 months of exposure, tubulointerstitial fibrosis was present, and at 12 months, focal glomerulosclerosis was seen and signs of renal insufficiency had developed. A similar pattern of renal function change has been observed in studies of human diabetics.

Figure 1. Added variable plots of the effect modification by ALAD on relations between blood lead and four renal outcomes in 795 Korean lead workers. (A, C, E, G) Data from participants with the ALAD¹ genotype. (B, D, F, H) Data from those with ALAD². (A, B) Adjusted BUN. (C, D) Adjusted serum creatinine. (E, F) Adjusted calculated creatinine clearance. (G, H) Adjusted RBP. For each plot, the adjusted regression line (dashed) and the lowest line (solid; see “Materials and Methods” for details) are shown. Both have been adjusted for covariates. For ease of interpretation, axes have been scaled so that the plotted residuals are centered around the mean rather than zero.
followed longitudinally; supranormal creatinine clearance early in the clinical course of type 1 diabetes is a predictor of subsequent nephropathy (Brenner et al. 1996). Other diseases and conditions in which increased glomerular filtration is present, such as sickle cell disease and obesity, are also associated with an increased risk for subsequent renal abnormalities (Alton 1990; Nenov et al. 2000). The hyperfiltration theory, involving a paradoxical initial increase in GFR associated with glomerular hypertension and ultimately ending in glomerulosclerosis and renal failure, is one mechanistic explanation for this pattern (Brenner et al. 1996). However, kidney donors also have elevated GFR but generally do not have evidence of other renal abnormalities. These individuals are carefully screened to exclude underlying diseases that could result in adverse renal outcomes, a fact that may, in part, explain this observation (Nenov et al. 2000). It is also possible that the significance of increased creatinine clearance may vary depending on the underlying condition.

The hyperfiltration theory generally refers to clinical renal function. The effect modification by ALAD genotype on relations between blood lead and RBP, a renal early biologic effect marker, is another novel and intriguing finding in this population. One possible explanation for this finding is that RBP is routinely divided by urinary creatinine to reduce the influence of urinary dilutional variation on its concentration. However, in the setting of increased glomerular filtration, urinary creatinine may also be increased, which adversely affects the accuracy of spot urine creatinine-adjusted biomarker results (Muller et al. 1999). We were able to exclude this as an explanatory factor in our findings by modeling with unadjusted RBP.

After adjustment, we found that participants with the eNOS A(1) allele had higher measured creatinine clearance but, paradoxically, higher BUN, with significant trend tests for both across the three eNOS genotype groups (none, one, and two A(1) alleles). Effect modification by eNOS was present in only 3 of 24 models and, although the two significant associations suggested increased risk for adverse renal outcomes with higher lead dose in those with the A(1) allele, the borderline association with NAG was inconsistent. Reported A(1) allele frequencies of the Glu298Asp polymorphism in two Japanese populations were 5.0% and 8.7% (Hibi et al. 1998; Miyamoto et al. 1998); a frequency of 9.7% in two Japanese populations were 5.0% and 8.7% (Hibi et al. 1998; Miyamoto et al. 1998)); a frequency of 9.7% in two Japanese populations.

Reported A(1) allele frequencies of the Glu298Asp polymorphism in two Japanese populations were 5.0% and 8.7% (Hibi et al. 1998; Miyamoto et al. 1998); a frequency of 9.7% in two Japanese populations were 5.0% and 8.7% (Hibi et al. 1998; Miyamoto et al. 1998)). Although some of our findings are consistent with this, our ability to draw firm conclusions based on our data is limited by their lack of consistency. Similarly, we found few significant results for VDR, despite the previously mentioned lead measure and blood pressure differences reported in this same population. It is possible that our relative lack of positive findings with eNOS and VDR genotypes is because the effect of lead dose on the kidney is not mediated through either of these genes. However, it must also be noted that, although the sample size was large, the number of participants with variant alleles was relatively small, leading to unstable estimation of coefficients. Further research is needed to determine which genetic susceptibility factors, other than ALAD, are important for the effect of lead on the renal system.

In conclusion, higher lead dose was associated with lower BUN, serum creatinine, and RBP but higher creatinine clearances in participants with the ALAD(G–G) and VDR(3–2) genotype. These findings may represent lead-induced hyperfiltration. Longitudinal data will be important in determining whether subsequent renal function decline differs by genotype.

References

Alexander BH, Checkoway H, Costa-Mallon P, Faustman EM, Woods JS, Kelsey KT, et al. 1998. Interaction of blood lead and δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase genotype on markers of heme synthesis and sperm production in lead-smelter workers. Environ Health Perspect 106:213–218.

Allon R. 1990. Renal abnormalities in sickle cell disease. Arch Intern Med 150:501–504.

Battistuzzi GF, Petrucci R, Silvagni L, Urbani FR, Caiola S. 1981. δ-Aminolevulinic acid dehydrase: a new genetic polymorphism in man. Ann Hum Genet 45:223–229.

Bellinger D, Hu H, Tielebaum L, Needelmen H. 1994. Attentional correlates of cerebellar lead levels in adolescents. Arch Environ Health 49:98–105.

Bergdahl IA, Gerhardsson L, Schutz A, Desnick RJ, Wetmur JG, Skerfving S. 1997a. δ-Aminolevulinic acid dehydratase polymorphism: influence on lead levels and kidney function in humans. Arch Environ Health 52:91–96.

Bergdahl IA, Grubb A, Schutz A, Desnick RJ, Wetmur JG, Saxsa S, et al. 1997b. Lead binding to δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase (ALAD) in human erythrocytes. Pharmacol Toxicol 81:153–158.

Brenner BM, Lawley EV, Mackenzie HS. 1996. The hyperfiltration hypothesis: a paradigm shift in nephropathy. Kidney Int 49:1734–1777.

Cleveland WS. 1979. Robust locally weighted regression and smoothing scatterplots. J Am Stat Assoc 74:829–836.

Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. 1976. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 16:31–41.

Cooper GS, Umbach DM. 1996. Are vitamin D receptor polymorphisms associated with bone mineral density? A meta-analysis. J Bone Miner Res 11:1841–1849.

Fleming DEB, Chettle DR, Wetmur JG, Desnick RJ, Robin J-P, Bergdahl IA, Grubb A, Schutz A, Desnick RJ, Wetmur JG, et al. 1992. Experimental model of delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase polymorphism on the accumulation of lead in bone and blood in lead smelter workers. Environ Res 77:49–61.

Fukuhara S. 2000. Vitamin-D receptor genotype and renal disease in Japanese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Nephrol Dial Transplant 15:2240–2247.

Hu H. 1991. A 50-year follow-up of childhood plumbism. Hypertension, renal function, and hemoglobin levels among survivors. Am J Dis Child 145:681–687.

Lee S-S, Lee B-K, Lee G-S, Stewart WF, Simon D, Kelsey K, et al. 2001. Associations of lead biomarkers and δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase and vitamin D receptor genotypes with hematopoietic outcomes in Korean lead workers. Scand J Work Environ Health 27:402–411.

Muller D, Sievers E, Egger P. 1998. Influence of hyperfiltration on the measurement of urinary N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase. Pediatr Nephrol 13:519–523.

Nenov YV, Taiw MW, Sekharova OV, Brenner BM. 2000. Multi-hit nature of chronic renal disease. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 9:95–97.

Parsons PJ, Slavin W. 1999. Electrophoretic atomization atomic absorption spectroscopy for the determination of lead in urine: results of an interlaboratory study. Spectrochim Acta Part B At Spectrosc 54:853–864.

Persu A, Stoenoiu MS, Messiaen T, Davidsa S, Robino B, El-Khatib G, et al. 2002. Modified effect of ENOS in acute malnourished polycytic kidney disease. Hum Mol Genet 11:229–241.

Roels H, Lauwerys R, Konings J, Buccher JP, Bernard A, Green S, et al. 1994. Renal function and hyperfiltration capacity in lead smelter workers with high blood lead. Occup Environ Med 51:505–512.

Schwarz WS, Lee BK, Lee GS, Stewart WF, Lee SS, Hwang KY, et al. 2001. Associations of blood lead, dimercaptosuccinic acid-chelatable lead, and tibia lead with neurobehavioural test scores in South Korean led workers. Am J Epidemiol 153:433–464.

Schwarz WS, Lee BK, Lee GS, Stewart WF, Lee SS, Hwang KY, et al. 2000a. Associations of blood lead, dimercaptosuccinic acid-chelatable lead, and tibia lead with polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor and δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase genes. Environ Health Perspect 108:949–954.

Schwarz WS, Lee BK, Stewart WF, Ahn K-D, Simon D, Kelsey K, et al. 2001. Associations of blood pressure and hypertension with lead dose measures in lead smelter workers. Nephron 85:86–91.

Simonsen PB, Skov N, Sorensen PS, Nexo E. 1997. Vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms on mortality risk in hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 30:956–962.

Takahashi J, Kajiyama N, Yamashita S, Shimazaki Y, Nakayama K, et al. 1998. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism is generally associated with essential hypertension. Hypertension 32:3–8.

Willems E, Steegers-Theunissen RP, Kappeler B, Wevers RA, Reuten P, Kester AD, et al. 1995. Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms with osteomalacia in women with primary hyperparathyroidism. J Bone Miner Res 10:879–884.

Yoshida K, Nishida M, Miyazaki T, Uchida T, Yanagida T, et al. 1987. Effect of δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase polymorphism on the accumulation of lead in bone and blood in lead-smelter workers. Environ Res 41:42–44.

Klahr S. 2001. The role of nitric oxide in hypertension and renal disease progression. Nephrol Dial Transplant 16:60–62.

Kleinbaum DG, Kupper LL, Muller KE, Nizam A. 1988. Applied Regression Analysis and Other Multivariable Methods. 3rd ed. Pacific Grove, CA:Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.

Kneip T, Crable JV. 1988. Methods for Biological Monitoring: A Manual for Assessing Human Exposure to Hazardous Substances. Washington, DC:American Public Health Association.

Lee B-K, Lee G-S, Stewart WF, Ahn K-D, Simon D, Kelsey K, et al. 2001. Associations of blood pressure and hypertension with lead dose measures in lead smelter workers. Nephron 85:86–91.
zinc protoporphyrin levels in Korean lead workers. Am J Epidemiol 142:738–745.
Schwartz BS, Lee B-K, Stewart W, Sithisarankul P, Strickland PT, Ahn K-D, et al. 1997. δ-Aminolevulinic acid dehydratase genotype modifies 4-hour urinary lead excretion after oral administration of dimercaptosuccinic acid. Occup Environ Med 54:241–246.
Schwartz BS, Stewart WF, Kelsey KT, Simon D, Park S, Links JM, et al. 2000b. Associations of tibial lead levels with BsmI polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor in former organolead manufacturing workers. Environ Health Perspect 108:199–203.
Schwartz BS, Stewart WF, Todd AC, Links JM. 1999. Predictors of dimercaptosuccinic acid chelatable lead and tibial lead in former organolead manufacturing workers. Occup Environ Med 56:22–29.
Sithisarankul P, Schwartz BS, Lee B-K, Kelsey KT, Strickland PT. 1997. Aminolevulinic acid dehydratase genotype mediates plasma levels of the neurotoxin, 5-aminolevulinic acid, in lead-exposed workers. Am J Ind Med 32:15–20.
Smith CM, Wang X, Hu H, Kelsey KT. 1995. A polymorphism in the δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase gene may modify the pharmacokinetics and toxicity of lead. Environ Health Perspect 103:248–253.
Todd AC, McNeill FE. 1993. In vivo measurements of lead in bone using a 109Cd 'spot' source. Basic Life Sci 60:299–302.
Todd AC, McNeill FE, Palethorpe JE, Peach DE, Chettle DR, Tobin MJ, et al. 1992. In vivo X-ray fluorescence of lead in bone using X-ray excitation with 109Cd sources: radiation dosimetry studies. Environ Res 57:117–132.
Topping MD, Forster HW, Dolman C, Lucynska CM, Bernard AM. 1986. Measurement of urinary retinol-binding protein by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, and its application to detection of tubular proteinuria. Clin Chem 32:1863–1866.
Vaziri ND, Ding Y, Ni Z, Gonick HC. 1997. Altered nitric oxide metabolism and increased oxygen free radical activity in lead-induced hypertension: effect of lazaroid therapy. Kidney Int 52:1042–1048.
Weaver VM. 2000. Lack of specificity of trans,trans-muconic acid as a benzene biomarker after ingestion of sorbic acid-preserved foods. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 9:749–755.
Weaver VM, Lee B-K, Lee G-S, Ahn KD, Lee G-S, Todd AC, et al. 2003. Associations of lead biomarkers with renal function in Korean lead workers. Occup Environ Med 60:551–562.
Weisberg S. 1985. Applied Linear Regression. New York:John Wiley & Sons.
Wetmur JG, Lehnert G, Desnick RJ. 1991. The δ-aminolevulinate dehydratase polymorphism: higher blood lead levels in lead workers and environmentally exposed children with the 1-2 and 2-2 isozymes. Environ Res 56:109–119.
Yuen CT, Kind PRN, Price RF, Prait PF, Richardson AC. 1984. Colorimetric assay for N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) in pathological urine using the ω-nitrostyryl substrate: the development of a kit and the comparison of manual procedure with the automated fluorimetric method. Ann Clin Biochem 21:295–300.
Zanchi A, Moczulecki DK, Hanna LS, Wantman M, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. 2000. Risk of advanced diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes is associated with endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism. Kidney Int 57:405–413.
Ziemsen E, Angerer J, Lehnert G, Benkmann HD, Gwedde HW. 1986. Polymorphism of delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase in lead-exposed workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 58:245–247.