Non-Linear Effects in Non-Kerr spacetimes
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Abstract. There is a chance that the spacetime around massive compact objects which are expected to be black holes is not described by the Kerr metric, but by a metric which can be considered as a perturbation of the Kerr metric. These non-Kerr spacetimes are also known as bumpy black hole spacetimes. We expect that, if some kind of a bumpy black hole exists, the spacetime around it should possess some features which will make the divergence from a Kerr spacetime detectable. One of the differences is that these non-Kerr spacetimes do not posses all the symmetries needed to make them integrable. We discuss how we can take advantage of this fact by examining EMRIs into the Manko-Novikov spacetime.

1. Introduction

We expect that a star which at the end of its life becomes a compact object with mass greater than three solar masses is a Kerr black hole. However, this anticipation should be somehow tested by observations.

One way to test the Kerr hypothesis is to study the gravitational wave signal produced by an inspiraling relatively light compact object (e.g., stellar) into the spacetime background of a supermassive compact object. This kind of motion is called Extreme Mass Ratio Inspiral (EMRI). Such
binary systems should exist in the center of galaxies which we believe are occupied by supermassive black holes ($10^5$ to $10^9$ solar masses). In EMRIs the lighter object basically traces the background spacetime by following approximately geodesic orbits. Ryan in [15, 16] showed that we could extract the multipole moments of the background from the gravitational wave signal, and Collins and Hughes [6] produced a perturbed Schwarzschild black hole spacetime, which they called “bumpy” black hole spacetime, in order to perform the first tests of the Kerr hypothesis. Since then several such tests have been proposed, see e.g., [1, 3, 11] and references therein.

The bumpy black hole spacetimes are axisymmetric and stationary, but in general lack a Carter-like constant [5]. It has been shown that even in an axisymmetric stationary Newtonian potential, a higher order Killing tensor connected to a Carter-like constant cannot be found [14], contrary to conjectures that were initially postulated [4]. The lack of a Carter-like constant implies that the bumpy black hole systems are non-integrable, which in turn suggests that non-linear effects like chaos should be present. In a series of publications [2, 12, 8] we have studied what implications these non-linear effects will bring to a gravitational wave signal coming from an EMRI into a non-Kerr spacetime background. In the present article we present briefly these findings.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some basic theoretical elements about a bumpy black hole spacetime and the geodesic motion in such spacetime. Section 3 discusses the non-integrability imprints of the non-Kerr background in gravitational wave signals. Our conclusions are given in Section 4.

2. Theoretical elements

2.1. The Manko-Novikov spacetime

The bumpy black hole spacetime we used in [2, 12, 8] is a spacetime which belongs to the so-called Manko-Novikov (MN) metric family [13]. Manko and Novikov found an exact vacuum solution of Einstein’s equations which describes a stationary, axisymmetric, and asymptotically flat spacetime with arbitrary mass-multipole moments [13]. The MN metric subclass we used was introduced in [10] and deviates from the Kerr at all moments higher or equal to the quadrupole one. The new spacetime is characterized by one more parameter $q$ than the ones describing a Kerr metric. Namely, the quantity $q$ measures how much the MN quadrupole moment $Q$ departs from the Kerr quadrupole moment $Q_{Kerr} = -S^2/M$ (that is $q = (Q_{Kerr} - Q)/M^3$), where $M$ and $S$ are the mass and the spin of a Kerr black hole respectively. If $q = 0$ the MN solution becomes exactly a Kerr solution. The line element of the MN metric in the Weyl-Papapetrou
cylindrical coordinates \((t, \rho, \varphi, z)\) is

\[
ds^2 = -f dt - \omega d\varphi + f^{-1}[e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2],
\]

where \(f, \omega, \gamma\) are considered functions of the prolate spheroidal coordinates \(v, w\), while the coordinates \(\rho, z\) can be expressed as functions of \(v, w\) as well. Namely, \(\rho = k \sqrt{(v^2 - 1)(1 - w^2)}, \quad z = kvw, \quad k = M \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2}, \quad \alpha = -\frac{1\sqrt{1 - \chi^2}}{\chi}, \quad \chi = S/M^2.\) The exact formulae of \(f, \omega, \gamma\) are lengthy, and can be found in \([10, 12]\).

2.2. Geodesic motion in the Manko-Novikov spacetime

The geodesic orbits of a test particle of mass \(\mu\) are described as equations of motion of the Lagrangian \(L = \frac{1}{2} \mu g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu\), where the dots denote derivatives with respect to the proper time. The MN metric has two integrals of motion, namely the energy (per unit mass)

\[
E = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}/\mu = f(t - \omega \varphi),
\]

and the z-component of the angular momentum (per unit mass)

\[
L_z = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varphi}/\mu = f\omega(t - \omega \varphi) + f^{-1} \rho^2 \varphi,
\]

The Kerr metric has one more integral of motion, the so-called Carter constant \([5]\), thus it is an integrable system. However, the MN model lacks in general (as long as \(q \neq 0\)) such constant, which means that MN is a non-integrable system, and therefore chaos should appear.

We can reduce the four degrees of freedom of the MN system to two, by using the two integrals of motion \(E\), and \(L_z\), and thus, restrict the motion on the meridian plane \((\rho, z)\). By rewriting the metric (1) we see that the motion on the meridian plane satisfies the relation

\[
\frac{1}{2}(\dot{\rho}^2 + \dot{z}^2) + V_{eff}(\rho, z) = 0,
\]

where the effective potential \(V_{eff}(\rho, z)\) depends on the parameters \(q, \chi, E,\) and \(L_z\). \(V_{eff} \leq 0\) for all possible orbits. On the boundary \(V_{eff} = 0\) the velocity vanishes: \(\dot{\rho} = \dot{z} = 0;\) this is the so called curve of zero velocity (CZV).

In the Kerr spacetime case \((q = 0)\) every non-plunging geodesic orbit confined by the CZV lies on a two dimensional torus in the phase space. On such a torus each orbit is described by two characteristic frequencies
If the ratio of these frequencies $\nu_\theta$ is an irrational number, the motion is quasiperiodic, and the corresponding torus is covered densely by the orbit. If the ratio is a rational number, the motion is periodic, and the corresponding torus is called resonant. A resonant torus is covered by an infinite number of periodic orbits, all having the same frequency ratio $\nu_\theta$.

By setting $q \neq 0$ we perturb the integrable system (Kerr), and the transition to the non-integrable system (MN) is described basically by two theorems: the KAM theorem, and the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. The first theorem states that after the perturbation most of the non-resonant tori will survive deformed. These surviving tori are called KAM tori. The second theorem implies that from a resonant torus only a finite even number of periodic orbits will survive; half of them will be stable and the other half unstable. Around the stable orbits small islands of stability are formed, while the asymptotic manifolds emanating from the unstable periodic orbits fill a region of chaotic orbits. The above formation is known as a Birkhoff chain.

One way to study the aforementioned different structures in a non-integrable system of two degrees of freedom is to take a section through the foliation of the tori. Such section is known as a surface of section, or as a Poincaré section. Another way is provided by the frequency ratio $\nu_\theta$, by which we can detect the different types of orbits and it is known as the rotation number (e.g., [7]). If $\nu_\theta$ corresponds to an irrational number, we have a KAM curve; if it corresponds to a rational number, we are on a Birkhoff chain of islands of stability; if the value of $\nu_\theta$ is indefinite, and does not correspond to a particular number, then the orbit is chaotic.

3. Non-integrability imprints on the gravitational wave signal

3.1. The plateau effect of the resonances

One possible imprint of the non-integrability of a bumpy black hole spacetime on the corresponding gravitational wave signal is the effect of the resonances. The left panel of Fig. 1 shows a part of the surface of section $z = 0$ ($z > 0$) of a MN spacetime for the parameter set $E = 0.95$, $L_z = 3 \ M$, $\chi = 0.9$, $q = 0.95$. The first impression one might get is that the surface of section indicates an integrable system, because no straightforward signs of chaos are prominent. However, the islands of stability (left panel of Fig. 1) imply the existence of Birkhoff chains, which in turn indicate that chaos is also present.

If we take initial conditions along a straight line in the phase space, like the $\dot{\rho} = 0$ line starting from the center $u_0$ of the main island of stability shown in the left panel of Fig. 1 and evaluate the rotation number for each of these initial conditions, then we get a rotation curve (right panel of Fig. 1). This curve seems to be smooth and strictly monotonic (in a
Figure 1. The left panel shows a part of the surface of section on the plane \((\rho, \dot{\rho})\) focusing on the main island of stability, where \(u_0\) indicates the center of the main island. The right panel shows the rotation number along the line \(\dot{\rho} = 0\) (starting from \(u_0\) and moving leftwards) on the surface of section shown in the left panel. Embedded in the right panel is a detail of the rotation curve around the 2/3-resonance. The parameter used are \(E = 0.95, Lz = 3\ M, \chi = 0.9, q = 0.95\).

Kerr spacetime this is the case), however a more detailed look reveals that this is not exactly true. At the resonances, plateaus appear. For instance in the embedded plot of the right panel of Fig. 1 we can see a plateau at the 2/3 resonance. This happens because all the orbits belonging to the same chain of islands of stability share the same rotation number, i.e., the same frequency ratio. Such plateaus do not appear in the case of a Kerr metric.

However, geodesic orbits are simply an approximation of real EMRI orbits. A more realistic approximation demands the inclusion of the radiation reaction. Since there are no reliable computations describing the radiation reaction in a bumpy black hole spacetime, we used the same trick with the authors of \([10]\). Namely, we used the hybrid approximative method \([9]\) (eqs. (44), (45) in \([9]\)), where we added by hand the anomalous quadrupole moment \(q\) to the \(\chi^2\) terms. Furthermore, we assumed a constant rate of energy and angular momentum loss due to gravitation radiation.

We applied the aforementioned scheme for a mass ratio \(\mu/M = 8 \times 10^{-5}\), to evolve initial conditions near the 2/3 resonance, and found that the plateau also appears when we calculate the rotation number as a function of the coordinate time (left panel of Fig. 2). This phenomenon was tested for several initial conditions near the 2/3 resonance and for each of them...
3.2. The Beacon effect of stickiness

If we focus more on the chaotic aspect of the Birkhoff chains, another effect could be detected in gravitational waves coming from an EMRI in a bumpy black hole spacetime background. This effect is connected with the phenomenon of stickiness \cite{7}. The stickiness phenomenon concerns chaotic orbits which for various reasons stick for a long time interval in a region, close to regular orbits. Therefore, their behavior in the frequency spectrum might resemble that of the regular orbits they are close to, before they depart from that region.

In the left panel of Fig. 3 we see a detail of the surface of section near the resonance 2/7. The stickiness appears in the region where chaotic orbits (scattered points on the surface of section) are confined by regular orbits. Even though their true character is detected by the rotation number, since
**Figure 3.** The left panel shows a detail of the surface of section near an island $2/7$. The right panel shows the rotation curve along the $\dot{\rho} = 0$ line of the surface of section presented in the left panel. Embedded in the right panel is the irregular variation of the rotation number just outside the left side of the $2/7$-plateau. This irregular behavior is due to the chaotic layer surrounding the corresponding island. The parameters used are $E = 0.95$, $L_z = 2.995\,M$, $\chi = 0.9$, $q = 0.95$.

$\nu_\theta$ varies widely in the corresponding regions (right panel of Fig. 3), the phenomenology might be more complicated in the frequency spectrum. Namely, while a chaotic orbit stays near a regular orbit we might get a signal, i.e., distinct characteristic frequencies; when the orbit moves to a more prominent chaotic layer the frequency peaks in the signal will dissolve leaving only noise instead of a signal; later on when the orbit will return near a regular orbit the signal shall reappear, and so on. This effect resembles a beacon, where the signal appears and disappears.

**4. Conclusions**

The resonance and the stickiness effect are generic characteristics of the geodesic motion in any non-integrable Hamiltonian system describing a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime background like that of an axially symmetric perturbation of the Kerr spacetime. Therefore, they should be in principle detectable in the gravitational wave signal coming from an EMRI into a non-Kerr metric.
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