Biology and therapy of primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma: current status and future directions
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Summary
Primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL) is a distinct disease closely related to classical nodular sclerosing Hodgkin lymphoma. Conventional diagnostic paradigms utilising clinical, morphological and immunophenotypical features can be challenging due to overlapping features with other B-cell lymphomas. Reliable diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers that are applicable to the conventional diagnostic laboratory are largely lacking. Nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (JAK-STAT) signalling pathways are characteristically dysregulated in PMBCL and implicated in several aspects of disease pathogenesis, and the latter pathway in host immune evasion. The tumour microenvironment is manipulated by PMBCL tumours to avoid T-cell mediated destruction via strategies that include loss of tumour cell antigenicity, T-cell exhaustion and activation of suppressive T-regulatory cells. R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisolone) and DA-EPOCH-R (dose-adjusted etoposide, prednisolone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, rituximab) are the most common first-line immunochemotherapy regimens. End of treatment positron emission tomography scans are the recommended imaging modalities and are being evaluated to stratify patients for radiotherapy. Relapsed/refractory disease has a relatively poor outcome despite salvage immunochemotherapy and subsequent autologous stem cell transplantation. Novel therapies are therefore being developed for treatment-resistant disease, targeting aberrant cellular signalling and immune evasion.
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Primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma (PMBCL) is an aggressive B-cell lymphoma that represents 2–3% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) cases. It was considered a subtype of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) but, due to distinct clinicopathological features, it was acknowledged as a discrete entity in the 2008 World Health Organization (WHO) diagnostic criteria (Campo et al., 2011). This distinction from other DLBCL subtypes has been confirmed at a molecular level using gene expression profiling (Rosenwald et al., 2003; Manso et al., 2017). In fact, PMBCL is more akin to nodular sclerosing Hodgkin lymphoma (NScHL) than DLBCL. Both arise in the mediastinum from thymic B-cells, affect a young patient cohort and share similar clinicopathological and genetic features (Rosenwald et al., 2003). There is an intermediate between PMBCL and NScHL, known as mediastinal grey zone lymphoma (MGZL). Distinguishing these overlapping diseases can be challenging but is necessary due to their differing treatment strategies. Despite highly curative immunochemotherapy regimens, 10–30% PMBCL patients have relapsed/refractory (RR) disease and require salvage therapies, which do not offer satisfactory outcomes. Consequently, novel therapeutic agents are being developed although with limited efficacy in PMBCL to date.

Clinical features, diagnosis and prognosis
PMBCL typically affects women (3:1 ratio) in their third or fourth decade of life. This gender preponderance is only seen in Caucasians; the incidence is similar in African-Americans (Liu et al., 2016). PMBCL typically presents with compressive symptoms from the large mediastinal mass, such as cough or breathlessness. Superior vena cava obstruction is present in 25–30% of patients at diagnosis (Zinzani et al., 2002). Initial tumour progression tends to be localised although at relapse the disease can disseminate widely. Central nervous system (CNS) and bone marrow involvement are uncommon at presentation, 9% and 5% respectively (Bishop et al., 1999; Zinzani et al., 2002). In the rituximab-era the 5-year overall survival (OS) is estimated at between 79% and 97%, superior to that seen in de novo DLBCL (Dunleavy et al., 2013; Soumerai et al., 2014; Jackson et al., 2016).
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It has been proposed that PMBCL originates from germinal or post-germinal centres due to hypermutation in **BCL6** and immunoglobulin (Ig) heavy chain variable region (**VH**) genes, which are markers of B-cell transit through the germinal centre (Pileri et al, 2003; Csernus et al, 2004). As thymic B-cells have been shown to have a similar spectrum of mutations they are believed to be the cell of origin (Csernus et al, 2004). The bulky anterior mediastinal tumour comprises large clear B-cells often with compartmentalised fibrosis (Harris et al, 1994). Multinucleated Reed-Sternberg-like cells can also rarely be seen. The malignant cells strongly express B-cell antigens, such as CD20, but have weak/variable expression of CD30, which can hinder differentiation from NScHL, and usually lack surface immunoglobulins (Pileri et al, 2003). *De novo* DLBCL shares many of the same antigens as PMBCL, making a differential diagnosis challenging.

MGZL is defined in the WHO classification as B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL) (Swerdlow et al, 2017). A diagnosis of MGZL might therefore be made if the tumour has clinical, morphological and/or immunophenotypical features intermediate between cHL, PMBCL and DLBCL (Table I). MGZL characteristically has a range of cytological appearances that can resemble any of these three related diseases, including more than one in the same tumour. The cytological appearance may be discordant with the immunophenotype, although this alone should not lead to a MGZL diagnosis (Swerdlow et al, 2017). Like PMBCL, MGZL presents with symptoms of localised compression and is more common in women, with a median age of 30 years (Sarkozy et al, 2017; Swerdlow et al, 2017).

Data directly comparing sensitivities and specificities of PMBCL immunohistochemical biomarkers have been reported, although many are not available in routine clinical practice. Biomarkers with high positive predictive value (PPV) include CD23 (98%), p63 (96%), BOB.1 (94%) and CD79a (90%) with some recommending CD79a, BOB.1 and cyclin E (100% PPV in cHL) as the most useful in differentiating between cHL and PMBCL (Hoeller et al, 2010). MAL, a lipid raft-associated protein found in a relatively large proportion of PMBCL (70% cases), has been proposed as a useful marker to differentiate PMBCL from DLBCL, where it is expressed in only 3% of cases (Copie-Bergman et al, 2002). Dorfman et al (2012) also found CD200 to have a superior sensitivity (94%) and equivalent specificity (93%) to other markers, including MAL and CD23.

Gene expression profiling may play an integral part in future diagnostic paradigms as it has been shown to accurately diagnose 80% of PMBCL cases (Scott et al, 2014). **PDCD1LG2** (PD-L2) RNA *in situ* hybridisation has also been investigated as an alternative to immunohistochemistry in PMBCL and showed sensitivity of 72% and specificity of 92% over DLBCL (Wang & Cook, 2018). Recently, the development and validation of a 58-gene expression assay (Lymph3Cx) applicable to formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue to distinguish between PMBCL and DLBCL has been described, with a 3.8% misclassification rate compared to conventional clinicopathological diagnostics (Mottok et al, 2018). As there is no single biomarker applicable to the diagnostic laboratory, PMBCL diagnosis remains challenging and necessitates combined evaluation of the above clinical, morphological and immunophenotypical features.

Unlike other lymphomas, prognostic biomarkers are largely lacking in PMBCL. Early identification of high-risk patients enables focus on novel therapies and would spare low-risk patients from unnecessary intensive therapy. Clinical predictors of poor outcome include pleural or pericardial effusion and high International Prognostic Index score (Aoki et al, 2013) but there is no PMBCL-specific prognostic index. The International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group (IELSG)-26 study showed end of treatment (EOT) [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) predicted patient outcome in PMBCL (Martelli et al, 2014) and is subsequently being investigated as a tool to stratify patients for radiotherapy (RT) (IELSG-37; NCT01599559).

**Pathogenesis of PMBCL**

**Dysregulation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) signalling**

The NF-κB pathway is instrumental in the development, survival and activation of B-lymphocytes, and dysregulated signalling has been implicated in many B-cell malignancies, including PMBCL (Sasaki & Iwai, 2015). The NF-κB pathway is activated through distinct signalling axes. The canonical (classical) pathway is instigated when growth factors or cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF), binds its receptor, initiating a signalling cascade culminating in activation of inhibitor of κB kinase subunit beta (IKKβ) (Hayden & Ghosh, 2012). The non-canonical (alternative) pathway instead utilises IKKα, also triggered via TNF receptor stimulation (Sun, 2011). Gene expression profiling studies have demonstrated over-expression of TNF family members, and **TRAF1** in PMBCL and in cHL (Savage et al, 2003). In cHL, and presumably in PMBCL, this hyperactivation induces downstream anti-apoptotic genes (e.g. BCL2 family members **BCL2L1** [Bcl-xL] and **BCL2A1** [Bfl-1/A1]), activation of caspases (e.g. caspase-3) and transcription of cell cycle regulators (e.g. cyclins D1 and D2) resulting in malignant proliferation (Hinz et al, 2001). There are several lines of evidence that implicate the NF-κB pathway in PMBCL. Treatment of a PMBCL cell line with a small molecule IKK kinase inhibitor resulted in cell cytotoxicity, even at low doses, indicating dependence of this lymphoma on NF-κB for survival (Lam et al, 2005). Other aberrations in this pathway include **REL**, a member of the NF-κB transcription factor family, which was shown to be frequently amplified in PMBCL, cHL and DLBCL (Bea et al, 2005). Over 75% of PMBCL primary tumours and cell lines...
Table I. Differences between supradiaphragmatic-cHL, MGZL, PMBCL and DLBCL.

|                      | Supradiaphragmatic-cHL                                      | MGZL                          | PMBCL                          | DLBCL                                      |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Gender predominance  | Female > male                                               | Female > male                 | Female > male                  | Male > female                              |
| Median age (years)   | 30                                                           | 35                            | 65                             | 65                                         |
| Typical presentation | A painless mass often in the neck or incidental finding of a mediastinal mass. | Symptoms of localised mediastinal compression. | Symptoms of localised mediastinal compression. | One or more rapidly enlarging nodal or extranodal masses. |
| Morphological features | Mononuclear Hodgkin cells and multinuclear Reed-Sternberg cells in a reactive infiltrate. Four histological subtypes: nodular sclerosing, lymphocyte-rich, mixed cellularity and lymphocyte-depleted. | Heterogenous appearances. Large cells, high cell density with areas of necrosis. | Medium to large cells with clear cytoplasm. Compartmentalised fibrosis is often seen. | Medium to large cells. Broad or fine bands of sclerosis may be seen. There are three common variants: centroblastic, immunoblastic and anaplastic. |
| Immunophenotypical features | Reduced expression of B-cell antigens. Absent surface immunoglobulin. Transcription factors OCT2 and BOB1 usually not expressed, PAX5 is weak or negative. CD30 positive. CD15 usually expressed. EBV positive in 40%. | Heterogenous immunophenotype. Variable expression of B-cell antigens. Absent surface immunoglobulin. Transcription factors PAX5, OCT2, BOB1 usually expressed. 70% express CD23, MAL, PDL-1 and PDL-2. | Strong expression of B-cell antigens, such as CD20. Absent surface immunoglobulin. Transcription factors PAX5, OCT2, BOB1 expressed. 70% express CD23, MAL, PDL-1 and PDL-2. | Strong expression of B-cell antigens such as CD20. Surface immunoglobulin typically present. Transcription factors PAX5, OCT2, BOB1 usually expressed. CD30 rarely expressed. EBV positive in 5%. |
| 5-year overall survival | 85% (Shanbhag & Ambinder, 2018)                             | 74% (Wilson et al, 2014)      | 79–97%                         | 65%                                        |

cHL, classical Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; EBV, Epstein–Barr virus; MGZL, mediastinal grey zone lymphoma; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma.
in one study were found to have chromosomal gains and gene amplifications in REL, and although this did not correlate with increased transcription nor protein expression, there was a significant association with nuclear REL expression consistent with pathway activation (Weniger et al, 2007). Moreover, amplifications in the NF-κB regulators BCL10 and MALTI have been reported where these gene products form a multimeric signalling complex to mediate pathway activation (Wessendorf et al, 2007).

Inactivation of negative feedback mechanisms that normally restrain NF-κB activity also account for constitutive NF-κB activation. A20, encoded by TNFAIP3 is a ubiquitin-modifying enzyme that inhibits NF-κB signalling downstream of TNF receptor engagement. The IKK complex and NF-κB activation is reliant on Lys63 polyubiquitination of RIP1, a kinase that is recruited to the receptor upon TNF stimulation. A20 replaces Lys63 ubiquitins from RIP1 with Lys48 polyubiquitins, a switch that results in proteasomal degradation and subsequent NF-κB downregulation (Wertz et al, 2004). Loss-of-function nonsense and frameshift mutations in TNFAIP3 have been found in 36% of PMBCL cell lines and primary cases resulting in unarrested NF-κB activation (Schmitz et al, 2009).

Dysregulation of Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (JAK-STAT) signalling

In normal cells, JAK-STAT is tightly controlled to prevent unscheduled gene regulation and inappropriate biological responses. In malignant cells this system is altered, leading to constitutive JAK-STAT-dependent gene expression, including several key gene products required to initiate and/or maintain malignant transformation (Bowman et al, 2000). Target genes activated by this pathway contribute to oncogene activation, tumour suppressor de-activation, abnormal cell proliferation, tumour growth and metastasis. Peptide ligands (e.g. cytokines) binding to transmembrane receptors initiate the pathway leading to receptor dimerization and cross-phosphorylation of JAK kinases. In turn, this hyperphosphorylates STAT molecules, which translocate to the nucleus and act as DNA-binding transcription factors, inducing expression of target genes (Aaronson & Horvath, 2002).

STAT6 is activated by tyrosine phosphorylation in response to interleukin (IL)4 or IL13 and plays a prominent role in modulating the immune system (Goenka & Kaplan, 2011). Recurrent point mutations in STAT6 DNA binding domain have been reported in 36% of PMBCL cases (Ritz et al, 2009) with a hotspot mutation affecting the amino acid p.419D. This lesion hyperactivates the IL4-JAK-STAT6 axis, as evidenced by elevated expression of STAT6 target genes (Yildiz et al, 2015). Silencing activated STAT6 in the PMBCL-derived cell line MedB-1 showed decreased Bcl-XL (BCL2L1) expression and cell survival (Ritz et al, 2008). Upstream of this signalling axis, gain-of-function mutations in IL4R have been reported in 24% of PMBCL primary samples and in 100% of PMBCL cell lines, which led to ligand-independent phosphorylation of STAT6 and STAT5 (Viganò et al, 2018). Most mutations were single nucleotide variants that affected residue p.242I in the transmembrane domain of IL4R, indicating a hotspot lesion. Expression of mutant IL4R in a mouse xenotransplantation model conferred growth advantage in vivo. Downstream of constitutive JAK-STAT activation, the mutant upregulated the B-cell specific antigen CD23 and the tumour-promoting chemokine CCL17. Interestingly, once secreted to the tumour microenvironment (TME), CCL17 attracts CCR4+ tumour-promoting cells, such as CD4+ T-cells and T-regulatory cells (Imai et al, 1999; Iellem et al, 2001). This immunocompromised setting presumably allows PMBCL tumours to acquire an immune escape phenotype. The same study revealed multiple concurrent mutations affecting the JAK-STAT pathway in primary tumours, demonstrating potential synergistic/additive effects of these aberrations in PMBCL pathogenesis.

Given that activated STAT proteins accumulate in the nucleus to drive target gene transcription, it is critical to tightly regulate the duration and strength of activation to “dampen” the pathway when unneeded. Termination of signalling is achieved by negative regulatory factors, including suppressors of cytokine signalling (SOCS) and protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) (Levy & Darnell, 2002). SOCS protein family members share a common SH2 domain that contains an upstream kinase inhibitory region that has been shown to bind the tyrosine phosphate activation loop of JAK proteins to attenuate kinase activity (Yasukawa et al, 1999). Loss-of-function mutations in SOCS1 spanning all domains, consisting of indels and missense mutations, leading to premature peptide abort and JAK-STAT pathway de-regulation have been reported in B-cell lymphomas (Mottok et al, 2009). The occurrence of mutations in PMBCL is 45% and bi-allelic deletions concurrent with delayed degradation of de novo JAK2, hyper-phosphorylation of JAK2/STAT5 in PMBCL cell lines have also been reported. Furthermore, restoration of wild type SOCS1 in these cell lines repressed CCND1, induced RB1 and activated caspase-3, indicating an increase in the apoptotic cell fraction (Melzner et al, 2005; Ritz et al, 2008).

The prototype of protein tyrosine phosphatases, PTP1B (encoded by PTPN1) also mitigates JAK-STAT activity in its role of dephosphorylating active kinases. Somatic PTPN1 mutations have been found in PMBCL cases (22%) and cell lines (33%) (Gunawardana et al, 2014). Mutations leading to premature protein truncations and amino acid substitutions were deleterious to phosphatase activity and resulted in sustained activation of JAK-STAT. Chromosomal rearrangements involving the classical lymphoma oncogenes BCL6 and MYC are atypical events in PMBCL (Savage et al, 2003), however amplifications in both genes have been reported by genomic profiling (Wessendorf et al, 2007). Intriguingly, shRNA-mediated PTPN1 silencing led to overexpression of BCL6 and MYC, indicative of tissue specificity of the phosphatase.
Genes encoding components of JAK-STAT are often overexpressed in PMBCL including JAK2, STAT1 and IL13RA2 (Savage et al, 2003). JAK2 mutations are well described and implicated in myeloproliferative disorders but largely absent in lymphoid malignancies. However, JAK2 genomic copy number amplifications at chromosome 9p24.1 are characteristic of Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and PMBCL (seen in 63% of PMBCL cases) and induce cell proliferation via JAK2/STAT1 signalling (Joos et al, 2000). Mice challenged with derived cell lines of both diseases bearing amplified JAK2 and treated with JAK2 inhibitors exhibited decreased tumour growth and intratumoural p-STAT3 levels (Hao et al, 2014). Despite the attenuation of tumourigenesis following JAK2 inhibition seen in vivo, the precise mechanism of JAK2 activation as a direct result of copy number aberrations remains unclear. Notably, JAK2 amplification was associated with upregulation of the programmed death ligands PD-L1 (CD274) and PD-L2 (PDCD1LG2) (Green et al, 2010), demonstrating a link between the JAK-STAT pathway and tumour immune evasion.

The PMBCL tumour microenvironment

Contemporary tumorigenesis models have diverged from being centred on the description of accumulating genetic changes and signalling alterations in malignant cells towards the dynamic interactions between cancer cells and the surrounding stroma, termed the tumour microenvironment (TME). The TME is recognised as a critical element for tumour development and progression, and a measurable parameter of response to treatment (Barry et al, 2018). Malignant cells are conferred a selected growth advantage when they successfully evade the immune system and prosper as the prevailing cell (Wang et al, 2017). Therefore, manipulating the balance between immune responsiveness and self-tolerance is essential to avoid T-cell mediated destruction and to thrive in an immunocompromised setting. In this section, we review microenvironment-related strategies used by PMBCL tumours to sculpt their reactive milieu to survive host immunity (Fig 1).

Loss of antigenicity

Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules display neoantigenic peptides to the T-cell receptor (TCR) to initiate the adaptive immune response. The integrity of this process is dependent on the ability of the malignant B cell to present antigen to a T cell in the context of a peptide-MHC complex. Tumours which acquire defects in antigen presentation or lose MHC expression will be resistant to immunemediated elimination by tumour-specific T-cells, resulting in impaired activation of CD4+ (MHC-II recognition) and CD8+ T-cells (MHC-I recognition). Downregulation of MHC-II are defining immunophenotypes in many subtypes of lymphoma (Rosenwald et al, 2002; Rimsza et al, 2006; Diepstra et al, 2007) and similarly in PMBCL, substantial loss of HLA-DR has been reported, with 12% of cases showing complete loss of protein. Inferior patient survival in PMBCL correlated with incremental decreases in MHC-II expression (Roberts et al, 2006). This loss may partly be explained by aberrations in the MHC-II master transcriptional regulator, CIITA. Genetic alterations in CIITA is a defining feature in PMBCL with 70% cases affected via coding sequence mutations, deletions and chromosomal translocations. Most mutations were caused by activation-induced (cytosine) deaminase (AID)-mediated aberrant somatic hypermutation that downregulated MHC-II surface expression (Mottok et al, 2015). Interestingly, CIITA gene fusions resulted in upregulation of PD-L1 and PD-L2 (Twa et al, 2014).

T-cell anergy

PD-L1 and PD-L2 engage their cognate receptor PD-1 to modulate effector T-cell function and to induce peripheral tolerance. Many lymphomas, including PMBCL, exploit the PD-L/PD-1 axis to suppress the antitumor response (Gatalica et al, 2015; Keane et al, 2015; Vari et al, 2018). PD-L1/2 overexpressing malignant cells increase the co-inhibitory pathways leading to hypo-responsive T-cells known as T-cell anergy (Wang et al, 2018). PD-L1/2 expression is aberrant in malignant B cells through a combination of somatically acquired copy-number gains and chromosomal rearrangements (Chong et al, 2016). CD274 (previously termed PD-L1) and PDCD1LG2 are located on chromosome 9p24.1. This common cytoband is shared with JAK2 and is concurrently amplified, as discussed previously. By fluorescence in situ hybridization and chromosome break-apart analysis, amplification of this locus was highest in PMBCL (29% in 125 cases) compared to other B-cell lymphomas, and frequently and specifically rearranged in 20% of cases, respectively as compared with other lymphomas (Twa et al, 2014). Fourteen structural rearrangement events involving PD-L1 and 19 involving PD-L2 have been described. Both amplifications and structural rearrangements resulted in increased transcript and protein levels of PD-L1 and PD-L2 (Shi et al, 2014; Twa et al, 2014; Chong et al, 2016). Co-culture of a B-cell line expressing the PMBCL PDCD1LG2-IGHV7-81 arrangement with Jurkat T-cells significantly decreased the early T-cell activation marker CD69, reinforcing the paradigm that juxtaposition with IGH super-enhancers influences many aspects of malignancy, including the TME.

CD2 is a member of the immunoglobulin supergene family and is expressed primarily on T and NK cells, and binds ligands expressed on antigen-presenting cells and malignant B cells, mainly CD58 (also known as LFA-3) (Bierer et al, 1988). The binding between CD2 and CD58 stabilises the joining of the T cell to the malignant B cell so that the two cells form a conjugate. Once closely associated, the TCR scans various peptide-loaded MHC combinations to initiate intracellular signalling necessary for T-cell activation. In vitro, neutralizing
antibodies directed against CD2 or CD58 prevents conjugate formation and decreases T-cell activity (Mak & Saunders, 2006). The CD58 locus in PMBCL was found to be targeted by mono- and bi-allelic microdeletions in 3 of 4 cell lines and in 5 primary tissues interrogated, resulting in conspicuous silencing of CD58 gene expression (Dai et al., 2015). Although functional studies in PMBCL are lacking, reconstitution of CD58 in a null DLBCL cell line increased NK-cell mediated cytolysis (Challa-Malladi et al., 2011). Furthermore, in DLBCL, HL and in transformed follicular lymphoma (FL), mutations of CD58 have been found to co-occur frequently with B2M mutations suggesting complementary mechanisms to establish immune privilege (Challa-Malladi et al., 2011; Pasqualucci et al., 2014; Abdul Razak et al., 2016).

Regulatory T-cell activation

In malignancies, the TME and host immune suppression are frequently dictated by regulatory T-cell (Treg) function. This cell subset accounts for 4–10% of all peripheral CD4+ cells and is responsible for maintenance of autoantigen tolerance and regulation of the immune response by suppression of effector cells. These CD4+CD25+ cells intracellularly express the forkhead transcription factor, FOXP3, which is essential for Treg development and function (Ohkura et al., 2013).

As mentioned earlier, IL4R mutations in PMBCL have been shown to induce the tumour-promoting chemokine, CCL17 (also known as TARC) both in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, 36% of PMBCL cases showed elevated protein levels (Viganò/C18o et al., 2018). Once secreted to the TME, CCL17 binds with high affinity to CCR4 receptors on T cells, including Treg cells (Ghia et al., 2001). In line with this, immunohistochemical analysis of 48 PMBCL tumours showed that a high (but variable) proportion of the tumour-infiltrating CD4+CD25+ T-cell subset expresses FOXP3, one of the highest increases among other lymphomas. However, unlike in FL, DLBCL and HL, no influence on patient survival was found (Tzankov et al., 2008). CCL17 is also highly expressed by Reed-Sternberg cells.
and is detectable in HL patient serum (Jones et al., 2013). As it correlates with disease staging, it has been used as a HL biomarker for predicting and monitoring response and detection of relapse (Plattel et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2013). The role of CCL17 as a disease response biomarker in PMBCL remains to be established.

Despite these encouraging findings, the role of Tregs in PMBCL immunity remains poorly understood. Further investigations in larger cohorts and functional studies replicating the TME are needed to precisely delineate immune evasion and tumour progression associated with this subpopulation of suppressive T-cells.

**Current first-line treatment**

Currently, there is no established standard of care in PMBCL due to the paucity of patients and its recent recognition as a distinct disease. Data used to guide management is somewhat limited to retrospective studies or analysis of PMBCL subgroups within prospective DLBCL trials; Fig 2 outlines a range of management options that are currently available or under evaluation. The major controversies that still exist revolve around balancing maximum cure against minimum long-term toxicity in this young patient population. Successful first-line treatment is ever more important as cure rates for RR disease are poor.

Historically, as it was considered a subtype of DLBCL, CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone) was the usual first-line treatment regimen for PMBCL. The addition of rituximab (R-CHOP) improved 3-year event-free survival (EFS) compared to CHOP (78% vs. 52%; P = 0.012) with equivalent 3-year overall survival (OS) (88.5% vs. 78.2%; P = 0.158) in a subgroup analysis of 87 PMBCL patients in a DLBCL trial (Rieger et al., 2011). Alternative regimens include MACOP-B (methotrexate, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, prednisolone, bleomycin) or VACOP-B (etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, prednisolone, bleomycin). MACOP-B-like regimens have shown
similar complete response (CR) rates of 51% (142/277) compared to 49% (50/105) in CHOP-like regimens, but better predicted 10-year OS of 71% vs. 44% respectively ($P < 0.001$) (Zinzani et al, 2002). Since the addition of rituximab to CHOP, however, the advantage is no longer clear. In a retrospective study comparing 153 patients receiving V/MACOP-B, CHOP and R-CHOP, the only significant difference in survival was between V/MACOP-B and CHOP ($P = 0.016$); therefore R-CHOP and V/MACOP-B are considered equivalent (Savage et al, 2006). Of note, addition of rituximab to V/MACOP-B has been trialled but with no obvious benefit (Zinzani et al, 2009).

More recent evidence suggests that dose-intensive regimens, such as DA-EPOCH-R (dose-adjusted etoposide, prednisolone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, rituximab) have either comparable or superior outcomes to R-CHOP. In a phase 2 trial, 51 PMBCL patients who received DA-EPOCH-R demonstrated EFS of 93% at a median follow-up of 63 months [95% confidence interval (CI) 81–98%] with overall survival of 97% [95% CI 81–99%] (Dunleavy et al, 2013). Of significant interest, only 2/51 (4%) of the patients in this trial required consolidative RT, indicating that remission can be achieved without RT. A retrospective study in 2017 supported these results when it reported on 156 adults and children with PMBCL who received DA-EPOCH-R. The estimated 3-year EFS was 85.9% (95% CI 80.3–91.5%) and OS 95.4% (95% CI 91.8–99.0%); there were no differences in outcomes between adults and children (Giulino-Roth et al, 2017). In this study, 14.9% patients received RT. A 2016 subgroup analysis of PMBCL patients in the UK National Cancer Research Institute R-CHOP-14 versus 21 trial resulted in a 5-year OS (83–88%) that fell within the 95% CI range for the Dunleavy et al DA-EPOCH-R trial results (Gleeson et al, 2016); of interest this study showed a non-significant improvement in outcome with R-CHOP-14 compared to R-CHOP-21 but this requires further investigation. In paediatric patients there is conflicting data regarding DA-EPOCH-R in PMBCL. The Inter-B-NHL Ritux 2010 study investigated DA-EPOCH-R in 47 paediatric PMBCL patients, resulting in a 2-year EFS of 69% (95% CI 52–82%) and OS of 82% (95% CI 67–91%), which were no better than previous PMBCL studies (Burke et al, 2017), suggesting that DA-EPOCH-R is not superior to conventional treatment in children.

In considering the outcomes with DA-EPOCH-R it is important to note that dose-intensive regimens come with significant associated toxicities, despite potential lower RT use. In a phase 3 R-CHOP versus DA-EPOCH-R trial in DLBCL there were higher treatment cessation rates due to adverse events (AE) with DA-EPOCH-R (5-6% vs. 1.7% in R-CHOP arm) (Wilson et al, 2016). The safety profile reported in the prospective DA-EPOCH-R trial in PMBCL patients however appears better than that above (Dunleavy et al, 2013). Long-term toxicities are also expected with DA-EPOCH-R given the high cumulative anthracycline dose. In one retrospective study with a short follow-up interval 13.1% patients had a cardiac abnormality (Giulino-Roth et al, 2017). There is unfortunately no randomised trial to date comparing R-CHOP and DA-EPOCH-R from which to draw a conclusion for PMBCL patients.

Some therapies previously reserved for RR disease, such as brentuximab vedotin, are now being investigated as part of first-line regimens as they may have better safety profiles and efficacy. Up-front autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) has been investigated in PMBCL with no change in OS compared to first-line chemotherapy (Hamlin et al, 2005).

**FDG-PET**

FDG-PET is the recommended modality for EOT imaging in aggressive lymphoma. Various methods of FDG interpretation have emerged, including the qualitative Deauville score comparing FDG uptake to the liver, typically used in clinical practice, along with more quantitative methods such as standardised uptake value (SUV) and total lesion glycolysis (TLG), which are still primarily used in research studies (Ziai et al, 2016). Following the IELSG-26 study a negative EOT-PET in PMBCL is considered one with uptake less than or equal to the liver (Deauville 1–3), indicating complete metabolic response to immunochemotherapy. In this prospective study using these criteria, a negative EOT-PET scan predicted a 5-year DFS of 99% compared to 68% in a positive scan ($P < 0.001$) and 5-year OS of 100% vs. 83% respectively ($P < 0.001$) (Martelli et al, 2014). There was also a difference between Deauville 4 and 5 positive scans: the rate of RR disease in the Deauville 4 group was 5/24 compared to 6/10 with Deauville 5. Many positive EOT-PET scans are falsely positive, thought to be due to residual inflammation in the mediastinum post-treatment. This is illustrated by EOT-PET’s high negative predictive value but poor PPV, 100% and 17% respectively in the DA-EPOCH-R study (Dunleavy et al, 2013). To confirm RR disease in this study patients underwent serial PET imaging ± biopsy; three patients underwent biopsy and only one was positive for residual tumour.

Newer techniques are enhancing the prognostic ability of FDG-PET. TLG, which combines assessment of tumour volume and metabolism, has been shown to be an excellent prognostic tool: low TLG at diagnosis was associated with 100% 5-year OS compared to 80% with high TLG ($P = 0.0001$) and DFS of 99% and 64% respectively ($P < 0.0001$) (Ceriani et al, 2015). More recently, the heterogeneity of FDG uptake calculated by the area under the curve on a cumulative SUV histogram (AUC-CSH) has been developed as another method of interpreting FDG with a strong predictive value. Amongst 103 PMBCL patients, the most heterogenous FDG uptake at baseline correlated with poorer outcomes, such as 5-year DFS of 73% vs. 94% in less heterogenous distributions (Meignan & Cottereau, 2018).
this study both TLG and AUC-CSH were shown to be independent prognostic markers of PFS in PMBCL.

**Use of radiotherapy**

PMBCL is a radiosensitive disease and RT continues to play an important but controversial role in treatment. Lymphoma survivors have high incidences of secondary cancers due to chemotherapy and RT, estimated at around 1% per year in HL (Dores et al., 2002), as well as increased risk of coronary artery disease, valvular disease and heart failure attributed to anthracyclines and RT.

A retrospective analysis of 426 patients in the National Cancer Database suggested superior 5-year OS for patients receiving RT after multiagent chemotherapy compared to those who did not (83% vs. 93% respectively) (Jackson et al., 2016). Other evidence indicates that the improved outcomes with RT are more marked in those with partial response (PR), classified as Deauville 4–5 on PET but improved disease from baseline. In one retrospective study, the addition of consolidative RT to MACOP-B transformed 55/59 (93%) of patients with PR to CR (Zinzani et al., 2001). This improvement in partial responders is supported by another retrospective study including patients treated with both CHOP-like regimens and MACOP-B (Zinzani et al., 2002). These studies both assessed response using CT scanning, in the era before routine FDG-PET.

Although there is evidence for RT in those with residual disease following R-CHOP or V/MACOP-B, the need for RT in patients who have CR is uncertain. A negative EOT-PET has been successfully used to detect patients who can safely forego RT following R-CHOP (Savage et al., 2012) and R-MACOP-B (Zinzani et al., 2015). Both retrospective studies demonstrated no difference in outcome for PET-negative patients who did not receive RT compared to PET-positive patients that did, suggesting that RT is not needed for PET-negative patients. Fortunately, a randomised trial is in progress to conclusively answer this question (IELSG-37): patients who have a negative EOT-PET following rituximab-containing immunochemotherapy will be randomised to either mediastinal radiation or close observation.

With the favourable outcomes of dose intensive chemotherapy, RT could be avoided in most patients. A prospective trial using dose-intense R-CHOP/ICE (ifosfamide, carboplatin, etoposide ± rituximab) in 54 PMBCL patients with mostly bulky or extra-nodal presentation demonstrated estimated 3-year OS of 88% and PFS of 78% without using RT (Moskowitz et al., 2010). Arguably, an additional benefit of this trial was that withholding RT first-line allowed second-line use of salvage auto-transplant with mediastinal radiation. The prospective DA-EPOCH-R study (Dunleavy et al., 2013) also did not include RT in first-line treatment. An updated analysis showed that of 25 PET-positive patients, 5 (20%) had evidence of residual disease or progression, notably more commonly in the Deauville 5 group (4/8) compared to the Deauville 4 group (1/17) (Melani et al., 2018). Only one EOT-PET negative patient relapsed after 320 days.

The pragmatic approach to the patient with the positive EOT-PET scan is a watch and wait approach involving serial PET scans and/or biopsy with its associated risks (Giulino-Roth, 2018). Already utilised in DLBCL (Rossi et al., 2017), plasma circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) could obviate the need for tissue diagnosis in future.

**Relapsed/refractory disease**

Despite having more favourable outcomes to initial therapy than DLBCL, 10–30% of PMBCL patients have primary refractory or relapsed disease and the outcomes are poor (Aoki et al., 2015). Relapse usually occurs within 12 months, is more likely to be widespread and can involve the CNS. Late relapses are very uncommon. Once RR, the 5-year PFS is around 27% (Aoki et al., 2015).

As reported in the DA-EPOCH-R prospective study, if the patient has localised disease and is RT-naïve then RT alone can be curative (Dunleavy et al., 2013). The usual alternative is salvage immunochemotherapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy and ASCT, as in DLBCL (Aoki et al., 2015; Kuruvilla et al., 2008). Salvage immunochemotherapy regimens include R-DHAP (rituximab, dexamethasone, cytarabine, cisplatin), R-ICE (rituximab, ifosfamide, carboplatin and etoposide) (Gisselbrecht et al., 2010) or the potentially less toxic R-GDP (rituximab, gemcitabine, dexamethasone, cisplatin) (Crump et al., 2014). Outcomes depend greatly on the response to the salvage chemotherapy regimen (Sehn et al., 1998) and consequently, poor response precludes ASCT. In one retrospective study only 22% of 37 RR PMBCL patients responded sufficiently to proceed to ASCT despite utility of second- and third-line salvage chemotherapy, in contrast with 50% DLBCL patients (n = 143) (Kuruvilla et al., 2008); of note, rituximab was not routinely used at the time of many of these patients’ treatment. Due to this poor response the 2-year OS for all RR patients was only 15% compared to 34% in DLBCL, \( P = 0.018 \). However, those that proceeded to ASCT had similar outcomes to DLBCL patients with 2-year post-ASCT OS at 67% compared to 53% in DLBCL (\( P = 0.78 \)) and PFS of 57% vs. 36% respectively (\( P = 0.64 \)). These positive outcomes post-ASCT were confirmed in a subsequent multicentre retrospective review. Of 44 RR PMBCL patients undergoing ASCT the 4-year OS was 70% and PFS 61% (Aoki et al., 2015). Despite its curative potential the role of allogenic stem cell transplant (allo-SCT) in RR PMBCL is unclear due to limited data. A recent retrospective study however suggests that it could be an appropriate treatment option in selected patients (Herrera et al., 2018).

The most promising target of immune checkpoint blockade to date is PD-1, including agents such as pembrolizumab and nivolumab. Data supporting the use of pembrolizumab
in PMBCL has led to US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for its use in RR PMBCL patients. The phase 1b KEYNOTE-013 trial enrolled 21 RR PMBCL patients who received pembrolizumab. The ORR was 48% (10/21; 95% CI 26–70%) with CR of 33% (7/21). AEs were experienced by 61% patients, mostly grade 1 or 2, and none led to treatment cessation (Zinzani et al, 2017a; Armand et al, 2018). There is a subsequent ongoing phase 2 trial KEYNOTE 170 using pembrolizumab in RR PMBCL patients. Interim data presented show that in 53 enrolled PMBCL patients the ORR was 45% (24/53; 95% CI 32–60%) and CR 13% (7/53) (Zinzani et al, 2017b; Armand et al, 2018). The combination of chemotherapy with PD-1 blockade may have advantages. There is an ongoing trial treating RR PMBCL patients with GVD (gemcitabine, vinorelbine and doxorubicin) chemotherapy plus SHR-1210 (anti-PD-1) with or without decitabine priming. Initial results were encouraging in a small number of patients (Zhang et al, 2018).

**Novel therapeutic approaches**

The key to realising good outcomes for RR PMBCL patients is achieving better responses to salvage immunochemotherapy, allowing subsequent ASCT. The focus has therefore shifted towards novel therapies because conventional salvage immunochemotherapy regimens deliver unsatisfactory results (Table II). The latest molecular advances in PMBCL have revealed new therapeutic targets.

The JAK-STAT pathway is a rational target for therapeutic intervention given its role in lymphomagenesis. To date JAK/STAT inhibitors trialled against lymphoma in vivo are the JAK2-specific inhibitors pacritinib and ruxolitinib, both in small numbers with trials still in progress. Pacritinib was investigated in RR lymphoma patients including HL and NHL; 17/31 (55%) patients with assessable imaging showed a reduction in tumour volume, ranging from 4% to 70% (Younes et al, 2012). Ruxolitinib has undergone a pilot phase 2 trial in RR HL (n = 14) and PMBCL patients (n = 6). All PMBCL patients progressed rapidly after the first or second cycle (Kim et al, 2016). STAT3 inhibitors are in preclinical development (Zhao et al, 2018). Ibrutinib, a Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor implicated in the NF-κB pathway, has also been trialled in combination with R-ICE in RR DLBCL, including 4 PMBCL patients. Amongst the 4 PMBCL patients there was an ORR of 100%, all demonstrating PR (Sauter et al, 2018).

Antibody-drug conjugates deliver cytotoxic drugs via monoclonal antibodies to malignant cells expressing specific antigens, for example brentuximab vedotin (BV) which is selective for CD30. BV is routinely used in RR HL and there is recent evidence that as a front-line treatment with chemotherapy it offers at least equivalent efficacy and less toxicity than current first-line regimens in advanced HL (Connors & Radford, 2018). A multicentre phase 2 trial investigated BV in CD30-positive RR PMBCL patients. Of 15

| Study | Treatments | Patient cohort | Outcome | Study type |
|-------|-------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| Jacobsen et al (2015) | Brentuximab | ECOG PS 0-2 | ORR 17% (1 CR) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Zinzani et al (2017a) | Brentuximab | ECOG PS 0-1 | ORR 13% (2 PR) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Armand et al (2018) | Brentuximab | ECOG PS 0-1 | ORR 48% (7 CR, 3 PR) | Single-arm multicentre phase 1b trial |
| Zinzani et al (2017c) | Pembrolizumab | ECOG PS 0-1 | ORR 85% (CR 70%) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Zinzani et al (2017a); Armand et al (2018) | Pembrolizumab and transformed FL | ECOG PS 0-1 | ORR 51% (CR 34%) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Neelapu et al (2017) | CD19 targeted CAR-T cells: KTE-C19 (axicabtagene ciloleucel) | RR PMBCL and transformed indolent lymphoma | ORR 24 (8 PMBCL) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Armand et al (2013) | Peplizumab | ECOG PS 0-1 | ORR 66 (4 PMBCL) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |
| Armand et al (2013) | CD19 targeted CAR-T cells: KTE-C19 (axicabtagene ciloleucel) | RR PMBCL, DLBCL and transformed indolent lymphoma | ORR 51% (CR 34%) | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial |

CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; CR, complete response; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FL, follicular lymphoma; ORR, overall response rate; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; PR, partial response; RR, relapsed/refractory.
Table III. Ongoing clinical trials involving PMBCL patients.

| Clinicaltrials.gov identifier | Study type | Treatment | Patient cohort | Target recruitment | Estimated completion date |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| NCT03346642                 | Randomised two-arm single centre phase 1–2 trial | GVD and SHR-1210 (anti-PD-1 antibody) with or without decitabine priming | RR PMBCL | 30 | October 2018 |
| NCT00078949                 | Randomised two-arm multicentre phase 3 trial | R-GDP versus R-DHAP salvage chemotherapy followed by ASCT with or without maintenance rituximab | RR aggressive NHL | 619 | December 2018 |
| NCT02568553                 | Single-arm multicentre phase 1 trial | Lenalidomide and blinatumomab | RR NHL | 36 | December 2018 |
| NCT03038672                 | Randomised cross-over single centre phase 2 trial | Nivolumab with or without varilumab | RR aggressive B-cell NHL | 106 | December 2019 |
| NCT02950220                 | Single-arm single centre phase 1/1b trial | Pembrolizumab and ibrutinib | RR NHL | 58 | December 2019 |
| NCT03484819                 | Single-arm phase 2 trial | Copanlisib and nivolumab | RR DLBCL and PMBCL | 99 | August 2020 |
| NCT02576990                 | Single-arm phase 2 trial | Pembrolizumab | RR PMBCL and Richter | 80 | September 2020 |
| NCT02631044                 | Non-randomised two-arm multicentre phase 1 trial | CD19 targeted CAR-T cells: JCAR017 (lisocabtagene maraleucel) single dose versus two dose schedule | RR B-cell NHL | 274 | December 2020 |
| NCT03601819                 | Single-arm single centre phase 1b trial | Pacritinib | RR lymphoproliferative disorders | 26 | September 2021 |
| NCT02747732                 | Single-arm multicentre phase 2 trial | ibrutinib and bendamustine and rituximab | RR aggressive B-cell NHL | 72 | October 2021 |
| NCT01599559                 | Randomised two-arm multicentre phase 3 trial | Rituximab combined with any anthracycline-containing chemotherapy regimen followed by mediastinal RT or no RT | New diagnosis PMBCL | 540 | May 2025 |
| NCT03625037                 | Dose-escalation multicentre phase 1–2 trial | GEN3013 (anti-CD3 anti-CD20 bispecific Ab) | RR B-cell NHL | 110 | December 2025 |
| NCT02348216                 | Single-arm multicentre phase 1–2 trial | CD19 targeted CAR-T cells: KTE-C19 (axicabtagene ciloleucel) | RR aggressive NHL | 200 | March 2023 |

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; GVD, gemcitabine, vinorelbine, doxorubicin; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma; R-DHAP, rituximab, dexamethasone, cytarabine, cisplatin; R-GDP, rituximab, gemcitabine, dexamethasone, cisplatin; RR, relapsed/refractory; RT, radiotherapy.
reviewed patients the ORR was 13.3% (2 patients with PR, 1 with SD and 12 with PD) (Zinzani et al, 2017c). As in HL, BV has been trialled as an adjunct to first-line R-CHP (vincristine omitted to reduce risk of peripheral neuropathy) in CD30-positive PMBCL, DLBCL and grey zone lymphoma. Interim data has been published, but full data is available only in abstract form at the time of writing. Twenty-three treatment-naïve PMBCL patients were treated with BV plus R-CHP, resulting in a 1-year PFS of 87%, CI 57–97% (Svoboda et al, 2017).

T cells that have been genetically engineered to express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) are an exciting prospect in fighting haematological malignancy. The CAR is a TCR engineered to bind specific antigens on tumour cells and in doing so cause focussed activation of the T cell. CD19 is the usual targeted antigen in haematological malignancy as it is ubiquitous on B cells. The major concern with this pioneering therapy is the possibility of severe toxicity due to high cytokine levels, such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and neurotoxicity, termed CAR-T-cell-related-encephalopathy syndrome (CRES). Moreover CAR-T therapy can cause off-target/off-tumour recognition where healthy B cells are an unintended target, leading to B-cell aplasia (Neelapu et al, 2018). An ongoing phase 1–2 multicentre trial is investigating Abxicatagene Ciloleucel (axi-cel, KTE-C19), an autologous anti-CD19 CAR-T treatment, in RR aggressive NHL patients (ZUMA-1) and its results have led to FDA and European Commission approval for RR DLBCL and PMBCL patients. Initial data published included a total of 101 patients with RR DLBCL, PMBCL (n = 8) and transformed FL treated with axi-cel. Among all patients at 1 year the ORR was 82% with a CR of 58% (Neelapu et al, 2017). Among the combined PMBCL/FL sub-group the ORR was 85% with CR of 70%. Ninety-three percent of patients experienced CRS and 64% had neurotoxicity although both were largely reversible. Around 43% of patients required tocilizumab, an anti-IL6R monoclonal antibody, to treat these events with no obvious detriment to CAR-T response. Initial real-world results using axi-cel CAR-T therapy in RR B-cell lymphomas, including PMBCL (8% of patients), are comparable to the ZUMA-1 trial at the 30-day follow-up. Of 112 evaluable patients the ORR was 79% and CR 50%, with equivalent safety data (Nastoupil et al, 2018). There is not yet any data regarding other CAR-T treatments in PMBCL.

CAR-T therapy may be enhanced by other novel therapies, such as anti-PD-1 antibodies, shown by preclinical evidence of their synergy (John et al, 2013). There is one reported case of a RR DLBCL patient who progressed on anti-CD19 CAR-T treatment and was given pembrolizumab with a subsequent clinical improvement. Interestingly, the highest percentage of CAR19+ T-cells was seen in the 48 h following pembrolizumab (Chong et al, 2017). There is an ensuing phase 1–2 trial of pembrolizumab for DLBCL patients who are failing to respond to anti-CD19 CAR-T therapy (NCT02650999).

We must await the full data of the above novel therapy trials to appreciate long-term outcomes and delayed toxicities. There are many trials planned or in progress that include PMBCL patients (Table III). As an uncommon disease, PMBCL will benefit from trials in related haematological malignancies as well as ‘basket-trials’ where patients are chosen by genetic mutation rather than malignancy type. Opportunities arising from studies in other high-grade B-cell lymphoma include maintenance lenalidomide, which has been explored in DLBCL (Thieblemont et al, 2016); and ibrutinib plus lenalidomide and rituximab which has shown promising results in RR DLBCL (Goy et al, 2016). Tazemetostat, targeting EZH2 mutations causing aberrant histone methylation, is also undergoing investigation in a phase 1–2 trial in RR solid tumours and B-cell lymphomas, including PMBCL, with optimistic first results (Italiano et al, 2018). Although the status of EZH2 has not been reported in PMBCL, it is known to be highly expressed in germinal centre B-cell (GCB) lymphomas (Béguelin et al, 2013). Another innovative therapy is bispecific T-cell engager (BiTE) antibodies, that can bind two different antigens simultaneously; PMBCL data is awaited. Blockade of other co-inhibitory immune receptors that may be future therapeutic targets include Lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG3), T-cell immunoglobulin-3 (TIM3) and T-cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT) (Anderson et al, 2016) as well as killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) and V-domain immunoglobulin suppressor of T-cell activation (VISTA) (Vick & Mahadevan, 2016).

**Concluding remarks**

As a discrete entity, PMBCL warrants a distinct management approach, which is challenging due to the lack of prospective studies. Pragmatic diagnostic techniques applicable to the routine laboratory are required to distinguish PMBCL from its related diseases. Comparison of R-CHOP and DA-EPOCH-R in a randomised trial would guide optimal front-line immunosuppression. In this young patient cohort, long-term toxicity from RT should be considered carefully. A PET-guided approach could limit the use of RT, potentially in conjunction with ctDNA given the high false positive rate of EOT-PET. To enable patients with RR disease to proceed to ASCT, improved responses to salvage therapies are needed; the role of small-molecules and immune modulators in salvage regimens needs clarification. Greater understanding of the TME and immune evasion mechanisms will probably underpin the development of new therapeutic targets.
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