Characteristics of patient with chronic hepatitis b from a community hospital in North Brooklyn
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Abstract

Introduction: The estimated prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection is 0.3–0.5% in the United States, in contrast to that of New York City, which is 1.2%, likely because of the large number of foreign born inhabitants. Accordingly, the aim of the present study was to characterize the patients with chronic hepatitis B at a community hospital in Brooklyn, New York.

Methods: A retrospective chart review of patients with chronic hepatitis B seen in the hepatology clinic from 2004 to 2013. Data on country of origin, mode of transmission, hepatitis B serology and genotype, and liver histology were collected.

Results: Two-hundred and forty-seven patients with chronic hepatitis B of whom 137 were men and 110 were women, were identified. The average age was 46 years. The most common route of infection was sexual, followed by horizontal transmission. Genotype A was the most prevalent. Of all the patients, 40 (16%) met criteria for treatment; although most patients had adequate viral suppression, none seroconverted.

Conclusion: Chronic hepatitis B is one of the conditions commonly identified at a hepatology clinic in the North Brooklyn community; thus, screening practices to diagnose the infection and limit transmission by vaccination and education are warranted.

Introduction

Around the world, over 248 million people are infected with the chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV); of these, approximately 600,000 die yearly of its consequences. The highest prevalence of hepatitis B viral infection is in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa where most patients become infected in early childhood, and where 5-10% of the population is chronically infected [1,2]. It has been reported that the prevalence of chronic hepatitis B in New York City (NYC) is 1.2%, which is greater than in the rest of the United States, in which it is 0.3-0.5%, considered to be mainly because of a high percentage of foreign born persons in the city, and where 36% of its inhabitants are foreign born nationals compared to 11% nationwide [3-7].

Accordingly, the aim of this study was to characterize the patients with chronic hepatitis B attended in a community hospital located in northern Brooklyn, and that serves a substantial number of patients from different parts of the world.

Patients and methods

This study was a retrospective review of charts from patients who had been seen at the hepatology clinic at least once between 2004 to 2013, and whose medical records had been coded by ICD 10 as chronic hepatitis B. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Results

Two-hundred and forty-seven patients were identified. The demographics are given in Table 1. The majority of patients was from Central and South America. The most common mode of transmission was unprotected sexual intercourse; however, in 126 patients the route of transmission was not documented. Comorbid conditions included hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and human immunodeficiency virus infection.

Data related to the HBV infection, and liver disease are documented in Table 2 and Table 3.

Fifty-five (23%) patients had high level of replication as suggested by HBV DNA greater than 20,000 IU/ml. One patient was co-infected with the delta hepatitis virus. In the majority of patients, serum activity of liver transaminases was below two times the upper limit of normal, considered to reflect minimal or no inflammatory activity. Twenty-two of 247 (10%) had a liver biopsy performed.

Mutations were detected in 107 of 247 (43.3%) patients, most of whom had a reactive anti-HBe antibody (96/107). A group of patients had a high serum HBV DNA in conjunction with anti-HBe antibody and a precore mutation (13/247), most of which were HBV genotype D.

Correspondence to: Dalia Mahmoud, Division of Gastroenterology, NYC Health+Hospitals, Woodhull, Brooklyn, NY, USA, Tel: +1 718-963-8000; E-mail: Dalia.Mahmoud-Hassan-Elamin@nychhc.org

Key words: chronic hepatitis B, hepatology clinic, anti-HBe antibody, viral hepatitis, prevent disease transmission

Received: February 14, 2018; Accepted: February 21, 2018; Published: February 25, 2018
Forty of the 247 (16%) patients met criteria for treatment; of these, twelve patients were HBeAg positive and 23 had a viral load of more than 20,000 IU/ml. Thirty were treated with oral antiviral agents and nine with pegylated interferon. One patient was co-infected with hepatitis C and HIV virus; he was treated for the former in association with sustained virological response, and for the latter, he received highly active antiretroviral therapy (Table 3). None of the patients treated seroconverted from HBeAg to anti-HBe antibody, or lost surface antigen, although 30 (73%) did have adequate viral suppression.

Eleven of the 247 patients (4.4%) had documented complications related to chronic hepatitis B including hepatocellular carcinoma, nephrotic syndrome, and findings consistent with cirrhosis.

### Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, patients with chronic hepatitis B came from different continents, consistent with the diverse population served by the hospital; however, the lack of documented country of origin in the medical records is a limitation to our interpretation. The most frequent genotype identified was A, consistent with the geographic area from which the majority of the patients came, Central and South America, a reflection of the origin of patients who reside in the neighborhoods around the institution. Only sixteen percent of the patients met criteria for treatment; however, although treatment is not recommended for all patients, the identification of chronic hepatitis B is an important public health finding that provides support for screening for this chronic infection, and for the provision of standard of care to this type of patients. In addition, vaccination efforts to prevent infection of close contacts would be a direct result from identifying patients with chronic hepatitis B.

In 150 patients, the route of transmission was not documented. This finding may suggest that patients might have had subclinical hepatitis B virus infection and were not aware at the time of diagnosis of the factors or behaviors that might have facilitated the infection. In this context, public health care practices, including lay injectors, may also contribute to the unrecognized spread of this (and others) viral infections. Furthermore, the lack of knowledge of the risk factors associated with acquisition of viral hepatitis is likely a barrier to the containment of the spread of viral hepatitis. In this regard, the fact that the most common mode of transmission in this study was sexual transmission supports the idea that improved education regarding risk factors is pivotal in the control of chronic hepatitis B, an infection that can be prevented by vaccination. In addition, limited information obtained in the medical interview may contribute to the lack of data in the charts, suggesting that the inclusion of templates into the electronic medical records may help physicians and other health care providers to improve documentation.

Hepatitis B virus is classified into eight genotypes (A-H) according to phylogenetic analysis [8]. The most common genotypes in this study were A, D and E, which are the most common in North America, southern Europe, Middle East and sub-Saharan Africa [9]. The patients with high levels of serum HBV DNA in association with a pre-core mutation and negative anti-HBe antibody were infected with genotype D mostly, consistent with existing literature [10].

Chronic HBV infection is divided into four phases: immune tolerant, immune clearance (or activation), inactive carrier state, and HBeAg negative chronic hepatitis, as described in Table 4 [11–16]. Most of our patients fell in the latter category, followed by inactive carrier state. We note that 3 of the 4 patients in the immune tolerant phase exhibited piecemeal necrosis on liver histology, consistent with the finding that a substantial proportion of patients have inflammatory responses.

The different phases of hepatitis B infection in our subjects are represented in Table 4. Most of the patients were classified as having HBe antigen (HBeAg) negative chronic hepatitis.
changes in that phase despite normal serum activity of liver associated transaminases. As expected, most of the activity in the available liver histology was noted in patients in the reactivation, HBBeag negative chronic hepatitis, as episodes of hepatitis flares are associated with substantial inflammatory activity [11-16].

The progression to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma differs by geographic regions and by degree of replication and serology [4,11,18,19]. Our study was not longitudinal; thus, identification long term complications of chronic hepatitis B in the cohort examined was not possible.

There are treatment guidelines, recently updated, to manage patients with chronic hepatitis B [20]. There are several pharmacological options that include pegylated interferon and oral antiviral agents [21]. The decision to treat, choice of agent, as well as the length of treatment are based on several factors that include status of HBsAg, serum activity of liver associated transaminases, presence of cirrhosis (compensated versus decompensated), prior treatment, genotype, and co-morbidities [21,22.; not all patients with chronic hepatitis B meet criteria for treatment. In our patients, treatment was not associated with seroconversion from HBBeag to antiHBc antibody, or with loss of surface antigen and development of anti-HBc antibody (HBsab) in any of the patients. At present, the rate of success in regard to seroconversion is limited, therefore, the development of drugs that lead to the cure of chronic hepatitis B is an area of intense effort. However, the treatment of patients with decompensated liver disease from chronic hepatitis B is associated with marked improvement in liver function and resolution of complications including ascites; thus, it is important to identify this group of patients, not found in our cohort, for treatment.

In summary, chronic hepatitis B is a chronic infection carried by patients attended in the hepatology clinic of the hospital and hence, in the community of northern Brooklyn, the source of most of the referrals to the institution. Educational efforts to raise awareness on hepatitis B viral infection, its modes of transmission, along with the exhortation of physicians and other health care providers to screen high risk populations will result in identification of patients and in vaccination campaigns to prevent disease transmission.
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