Structure of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent PP2A heterotrimer and insights into Cdc6 dephosphorylation
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The B″/PR72 family of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is an important PP2A family involved in diverse cellular processes, and uniquely regulated by calcium binding to the regulatory subunit. The PR70 subunit in this family interacts with cell division control 6 (Cdc6), a cell cycle regulator important for control of DNA replication. Here, we report crystal structures of the isolated PR72 and the trimeric PR70 holoenzyme at a resolution of 2.1 and 2.4 Å, respectively, and \textit{in vitro} characterization of Cdc6 dephosphorylation. The holoenzyme structure reveals that one of the PR70 calcium-binding motifs directly contacts the scaffold subunit, resulting in the most compact scaffold subunit conformation among all PP2A holoenzymes. PR70 also binds distinctively to the catalytic subunit near the active site, which is required for PR70 to enhance phosphatase activity toward Cdc6. Our studies provide a structural basis for unique regulation of B″/PR72 holoenzymes by calcium ions, and suggest the mechanisms for precise control of substrate specificity among PP2A holoenzymes.
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Introduction

The tight control of reversible protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation is crucial for the regulation of cellular function [1, 2]. Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is a major Ser/Thr phosphatase in all eukaryotic cells that participates in many cellular processes via the formation of diverse trimeric holoenzymes. Each holoenzyme comprises of a common core enzyme formed by the scaffold (A) and catalytic (C) subunits (two isoforms each) that recruits a variable regulatory subunit derived from four different families (B/B55/PR55, B′/B56/PR61, B″/PR72, and B″′/Striatin) [3, 4]. The regulatory subunits control substrate specificity and intracellular distribution of PP2A holoenzymes. Collectively, PP2A holoenzymes target a broad array of cellular phosphoproteins [3, 4]. The three-dimensional structures of two PP2A holoenzymes have been determined [5], but no structures are reported for the B″/PR72 and B″′/Striatin families of PP2A regulatory subunits and holoenzymes. Furthermore, tight regulation of dephosphorylation of cellular proteins remains poorly understood, as it is unclear how PP2A regulatory subunits restrict the access to the PP2A active site, allowing only selected substrates to be dephosphorylated.

Members of B″/PR72 family of PP2A regulatory subunits are involved in multiple cellular processes, including modulation of neuronal signaling [6], Wnt signaling [7, 8], regulation of calcium-channel phosphorylation [9, 10] and tumor suppression [11]. The PR72 and PR70 members of this family have been proposed to play a role in cell cycle progression by regulating the retinoblastoma and cell division control 6 (Cdc6) proteins, which are both crucial for the G1/S transition [12-14]. The precise control of Cdc6 levels is important for the correct timing of DNA replication, and helps to ensure that only one copy of the genome is synthesized during each cell cycle [15, 16]. The N-terminal domain of Cdc6 harbors...
RXL (D box) and KEN (KEN box) destruction motifs, which target Cdc6 for ubiquitination and degradation [17]. Ubiquitination is negatively regulated by the phosphorylation of serine residues at 54, 74, and 106 of Cdc6 by cyclin-dependent kinases during G1 [18]. Several lines of evidence suggest that the PR70 regulatory subunit binds to Cdc6 and plays a role in controlling its cellular level [14]. How the phosphatase activity toward Cdc6 is regulated, however, remains largely unclear.

The A subunit of PP2A contains 15 HEAT (huntingtin-elongation-A subunit-TOR) repeats [19, 20]. The catalytic subunit specifically recognizes HEAT repeats 11-15 [21] and regulatory subunits from the B/PR55 and B'/PR61 families interact with the N-terminal HEAT repeats [22-24]. The B″/PR72 family has no sequence similarity to B/PR55 or B'/PR61 regulatory subunits. All members of the B″/PR72 family have two conserved EF hand calcium-binding motifs. Calcium binding was shown to enhance the interaction of these subunits with the PP2A core enzyme, and affect the phosphatase activity [6, 12, 14]. However, the lack of structural information for this family of regulatory subunits and holoenzymes has prevented mechanistic understanding of PP2A regulation by B″/PR72 regulatory subunits.

Here we report the crystal structures of the isolated PR72 and the trimeric PP2A holoenzyme containing

---

### Table 1 Crystallographic data collection, phasing, and refinement for PR72

| Crystal | B″ mono calcium | B″ mono B″ di calcium native | B″ mono B″ di calcium native |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Data collection and phasing | | | |
| Data set | Peak | Inflection | Remote | Calcium native | Calcium native |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.9790 | 0.9793 | 0.9611 | 1.100 | 1.10 |
| Resolution (Å) | 100-2.8 | 100-2.8 | 100-2.8 | 100-2.09 | 50-2.9 |
| (2.9-2.8) | (2.9-2.8) | (2.9-2.8) | (2.18-2.09) | (3.0-2.9) |
| Unique reflections | 17,443 | 17,596 | 17,462 | 21,335 | 20,230 |
| Completeness (%) | 99.4 (99.5) | 98.7 (99.2) | 99.5 (99.5) | 94.9 (61.2) | 99.8 (98.9) |
| Redundancy | 3.7 (3.6) | 3.6 (3.4) | 3.7 (3.6) | 4.7 (2.3) | 3.6 (3.1) |
| R-symmm | 0.245 (0.096) | 0.117 (0.457) | 0.108 (0.322) | 0.083 (0.442) | 0.076 (0.609) |
| <i>/sI</i> | 11.3 (3.7) | 7.9 (1.7) | 9.6 (2.5) | 10.9 (1.3) | 11.9 (1.8) |

**Phasing**

| Figure of merit | 0.82 |

**Refinement**

| Resolution (Å) | 35-2.09 | 35-2.9 |
| | (2.20-2.09) | (3.05-2.90) |
| No. of reflections (free) | 20326 (1029) | 20215 (1024) |
| Completeness (%) | 90.5 | 99.6 |
| R-factor (%) | 19.41 (26.8) | 20.95 (26.0) |
| R-free (%) | 25.22 (35.1) | 25.75 (32.1) |
| No. of atoms | | |
| Protein | 2222 | 4444 |
| Water | 83 | 0 |
| Calcium | 1 | 4 |
| RMSD bond lengths (Å) | 0.009 | 0.011 |
| RMSD bond angles (°) | 1.122 | 0.890 |
| Average B-factors (Å²) | 50.1 | 77.1 |
| Ramachandran plot | | |
| Favored (%) | 97.7 | 96.0 |
| Allowed (%) | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Outliers (%) | 0.0 | 0.2 |

* X-ray diffraction data were collected on one crystal. Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.*
PR70, as well as in vitro characterization of the PR70 holoenzyme-mediated dephosphorylation of Cdc6. There is a distinct positioning of two PR70 EF-hand calcium-binding motifs. The first EF-hand (EF1) is located on the top surface of the holoenzyme facing the phosphatase active site and the second EF-hand (EF2) directly contacts the scaffold subunit. This architecture provides a possible explanation for the role of calcium binding in holoenzyme assembly and substrate recognition. Interestingly, PR70 contacts the catalytic subunit near the phosphatase active site distinctly different from the B′ family of regulatory subunits. While PR70 enhances the phosphatase activity toward pCdc6, which relies on its interaction with the catalytic subunit, the B′/PR61 regulatory subunits markedly hindered Cdc6 dephosphorylation, likely by steric hindrance of Cdc6 entry route to the active site on the catalytic subunit. These studies fill an important gap of knowledge on the structural basis of the B″/PR70 holoenzymes, and provide important insights into tight control of Cdc6 dephosphorylation.

**Results**

**Crystallization of the PR72 subunit and trimeric PR70 holoenzyme**

The recombinant full-length PR72 and PR70 subunits eluded crystallization after extensive efforts. As an alternative approach, we defined an elastase-resistant core of the PR72 subunit (residues 165-443) and this protein was readily crystallized. The structure was determined by Selenium MAD (multispectrum anomalous dispersion) phasing and refined to 2.2 Å (Table 1).

PP2A holoenzymes assembled with various PR72/PR70 fragments failed to produce diffracting crystals. PR70 was previously shown to interact with the N-terminal fragment of Cdc6 [13, 14]. The PR70 holoenzyme was thus assembled using PR70 fused to Cdc6 fragments, which led to a breakthrough in the crystallization of this holoenzyme. After extensive efforts, diffracting crystals were obtained for the PR70 holoenzyme bound to microcystin-LR (MCLR) containing PR70 (122-490) fused to Cdc6 (70-90) via a flexible linker (STG-NASDSSDSSSEG DGTV).

Structural determination by molecular replacement using the structure of PR72 and the structural models of the PP2A core enzyme from other holoenzymes (PDB codes: 2NPP (B′γ1 holoenzyme), 3DW8 (B55α holoenzyme)) was not successful, indicating that there are significant conformational differences between the PR70 holoenzyme and holoenzymes containing other regulatory subunits. The structure was eventually determined by Selenium SAD (single-wavelength anomalous dispersion) phasing, and refined to 2.4 Å with the native data set (Table 2). The electron density map identified majority of residues in all three PP2A subunits (residues 9-589 of the A subunit, residues 2-296 of PP2Ac and residues 122-478 of the PR70 subunit).

**Overall structure of PR70 holoenzyme**

The structure of the PR70 holoenzyme measures 110 Å in width, 100 Å in height, and 60 Å in thickness (Figure 1). The interhelical loops of the A subunit serve as the docking sites for the regulatory and catalytic subunits, as seen in holoenzymes containing the B/PR55 and B′/PR61 family.

**Table 2 Crystallographic data collection, phasing, and refinement for PP2A holoenzyme containing PR70**

| Data collection and phasing | PR70 Holoenzyme | PR70 Holoenzyme |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| SeMet SAD | P212121 | P212121 |
| Space group | P212121 | P212121 |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.9792 | 0.9792 |
| Resolution (Å) | 49-2.8 | 50-2.4 |
| Unique observations | 119 018 | 123 017 |
| Redundancy | 7.1 (7.4) | 7.0 (6.7) |
| R-symm | 0.157 (0.94) | 0.068 (9.592) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.8 (100) | 98.4 (89.7) |

**Phasing**

| Figure of merit | 0.68 |

**Refinement**

| Resolution (Å) | 49-2.8 | 50-2.4 |
| No. reflections (free) | 79 333 (4113) | 116 785 (6193) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.9 | 98.6 |
| R-factor (%) | 18.3 | 17.9 |
| R-free (%) | 24.9 | 22.8 |
| Number of atoms (total) | 19 933 | 20 419 |
| Protein | 19 662 | 19 886 |
| Water | 174 | 533 |
| RMSD bond lengths (Å) | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| RMSD bond angles (º) | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Average B-factors (Å²) | 54.5 | 27.6 (42.1) |
| With TLS contribution | 94.8 | 97.0 |
| Ramachandran plot | 4.4 | 2.5 |
| Allowed regions (%) | 0.9 | 0.6 |

X-ray diffraction data were collected on one crystal. Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.
PR61 families of PP2A regulatory subunits [22-24]. In the holoenzyme containing PR70, the catalytic subunit associates with the C-terminal five HEAT repeats, and PR70 binds to HEAT repeats 1-7 at the N-terminus of the A subunit (Figure 1). The PR70 binding region overlaps with the binding sites of the B/PR55 and B’/PR61 regulatory subunits. This supports the mutually exclusive binding of different B subunits to the heterodimeric core enzyme of PP2A.

The A subunit in the PR70 holoenzyme adopts a distinctly compact conformation compared with other PP2A complexes. The compact conformation of the A subunit in the PR70 complex shortens the width but increases the height of the A subunit, and thus increases the overall height of the PR70 holoenzyme. A helix domain following the N-terminal hydrophobic motif of PR70 extends outside the rim of the A subunit, resulting in a holoenzyme that is wider than the B/PR55 and B’/B56 holoenzymes. Consistent with this structural observation, although PR70 (108-519) alone migrated as a smaller protein than the B’ε regulatory subunit during gel filtration, the holoenzyme containing PR70 (108-519) migrated as a slightly larger complex than the holoenzyme containing the B’ε regulatory subunit (Supplementary information, Figure S1).

Comparison between PR70 and PR72
Comparison of the primary sequences of the B’/PR72 family subunits showed that although they have unique N- and C-terminal regions, each member of the family contains a conserved central region encompassing the N-terminal hydrophobic motif and the two EF hand calcium-binding motifs, including the helix that binds directly to the catalytic subunit (Figure 2A). This suggests that all B’/PR72 subunits might associate with the core enzyme in a similar manner, and share similar regulation by calcium binding.

Two structures of PR72 were determined: one with both EF hands associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) ions and another in which only the second EF hand (EF2) is associated with Ca\(^{2+}\) (Figure 2B). This is consistent with the previous observation that EF2 has a higher binding affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\) than EF1 [14]. Consistent with their sequence similarity, overlaying the structures of the isolated PR72 subunit and the PR70 from the holoenzyme shows that they share a similar structural core encompassing the two EF hand calcium-binding motifs, with a root-mean-square-deviation of 1.44 Å over 262 residues (Figure 2B). These results suggest that members in the B’/PR72 family have similar structures, and assembly into the heterotrimERIC holoenzyme does not induce much of an overall change in conformation of the protein core of the PR70/72 subunits.
Figure 2 Sequence and structural comparison of B" regulatory subunits. (A) Sequence alignment of B" regulatory subunits from human (hs), mouse (mm) and C. elegans (ce). Secondary structural elements are indicated above the sequences. Conserved residues are highlighted in yellow. Residues that interact with the scaffold and catalytic subunits are identified by magenta and cyan squares, respectively. The residues that are involved in calcium binding are indicated by green circles. The EF-hand calcium-binding motifs are underlined by green lines. (B) Illustration of the functional domains of B" subunit (upper panel) and overlay of the structures of PR72 in isolation (yellow) and PR70 from the holoenzyme (orange) (lower panel). The PR72 subunit with the mono-calcium bound form is shown. The hydrophobic motif is missing in PR72 core.
Interfaces of PR70 with the scaffold and catalytic subunits

The interaction between the PR70 regulatory subunit and the PP2A core enzyme can be best described by three separate interfaces, two at the interface with the A subunit and one at the interface with the catalytic subunit (Figure 3A). At the first interface with the A subunit (AB-1), the N-terminal hydrophobic motif of PR70 latches to a hydrophobic surface on the side of the first HEAT repeat via PR70 residues Ile125 and Phe128 (Figure 3B). This architecture suggests that the PP2Ac-binding helix, and thus expected to be in a close proximity to the phosphatase active site in the holoenzyme (Figure 3E). This second interface (AB-2) harbors extensive contacts between the PR70 protein core and the top ridge of HEAT repeats 2-7 that are enriched in hydrogen bond (H-bond), salt bridge, and stacking interactions (Figure 3C). In particular, two acidic residues in HEAT repeats 2 and 3, Asp61 and Glu100, make H-bond and salt bridge contacts to Arg288 and Arg398 of PR70. Two basic residues in repeats 5 and 7, Arg183 and Arg258, make H-bond and salt bridge interactions to Asp403 in PR70. The π-π stacking interactions are found in the middle of the interface, formed between Trp140 in HEAT repeat 4 and PR70 residues, Trp277, Arg362, and Arg398. Note that Asp403 also participates in the chelation of Ca

Collectively, the interactions between PR70 and the PP2A core enzyme bury a solvent accessible surface area of 3 200 Å² and 1 300 Å² at the interfaces with the A and the catalytic subunits, respectively. As already mentioned, given that the PR70 residues at the interface with the core enzyme are well-conserved in the B″/PR72 family (Figure 2A), we expect that other B″/PR72 regulatory subunits may have similar contacts with the PP2A core enzyme, and argue that the structure of PR70 holoenzyme can serve as a representative model of all the holoenzymes in this family.

Substrate recognition and holoenzyme conformation

The structures of trimeric PP2A holoenzymes containing Ba/PR55α, Bυ1/PR61γ1 [22-24], and PR70 regulatory subunits allowed us to compare the three holoenzymes. As expected from their divergent sequences, the three families of regulatory subunits share no structural similarity. The B/PR55 regulatory subunits contain structural repeats that form seven-bladed β propeller and the B′/PR61 subunits contain eight HEAT repeats. The putative substrate-binding site of these regulatory subunits is located on their top surfaces, involving several of their structural repeats. In contrast, the B″/PR72 subunit has a linear arrangement of different functional motifs with the Cdc6-binding region located at the C-terminus (after residue 446). Due to a direct contact between PR70 and the catalytic subunit near the active site (Figure 3E), the putative Cdc6-binding region is expected to be near the phosphatase active site (Figure 4A). We thus speculate that the B″/PR72 subunits likely have a mechanism for orienting substrates different from the B/PR55 and B′/PR61 regulatory subunits.

A notable difference between the three families of PP2A holoenzymes is their distinct A subunit conformations (Figure 4B). The A subunit undergoes a drastic conformational change in all three holoenzymes, pivoting around a hinge region between HEAT repeats 10 and 11 [21-24]. The A subunit in the holoenzyme containing the Bυ1 regulatory subunit has a more compact conformation than the holoenzyme containing the Ba subunit, likely due to the extensive interaction of Bυ1 with the catalytic subunit [23, 24]. The A subunit in the PR70 holoenzyme is even more compact. The measured distances between the N- and C-termini of the A subunit were 70 Å, 53 Å, and 34 Å in the Ba, Bυ1, and PR70 holoenzymes, respectively. The tripartite binding sites of PR70 likely lock the A subunit into this compact conformation. These structural observations show that the conformational dynamics of the scaffold subunit is required for the assembly of diverse PP2A holoenzymes, and underlie an
Figure 3 Intersubunit interfaces in the trimeric PP2A holoenzyme containing PR70. The color scheme is the same as Figure 1. (A) Two opposite views of the PR70 holoenzyme illustrate three separated interfaces to the A subunit (AB-1, AB-2) and the catalytic subunit (BC). The structures are shown in the surface or worm. (B) A close-up view of the AB-1 interface between the protruding N-terminal hydrophobic motif of PR70 and a hydrophobic surface of the A subunit HEAT repeat 1 (electrostatic potential is shown). (C) A close-up stereo of the AB-2 interface between an extensive surface of PR70 encompassing the second EF hand motif (EF2) and the A subunit HEAT repeats 2-7 (II-VII). Residues of PR70 and the A subunit are shown in ball-and-stick and cylinder, and colored magenta and green, respectively. H-bond is indicated by dashed black lines. (D) Pull down of titrated concentrations of PR70 with bound and depleted calcium, respectively, by GST-tagged PP2A core enzyme (GST-A bound to PP2Ac). Experiments were repeated three times; representative results are shown. (E) A close-up view of the interface between PR70 and the catalytic subunit (BC interface). Residues of PR70 and the catalytic subunit are shown in cylinder and ball-and-stick, and colored orange and green, respectively. H-bond is indicated by dashed black lines. The catalytic metal ions are shown in sphere and colored magenta.
Figure 4 Insights into substrate binding and holoenzyme conformation of the PR70 holoenzyme in comparison with holoenzymes containing the B/PR55 and B′/PR61 family of regulatory subunits. (A) Structures of PP2A holoenzymes containing B/PR55 (left, PDB code: 3DW8), B′/PR61 (middle, PDB code: 2NPP) and B″/PR70 (right), respectively. Green spherical circles indicate the putative substrate-binding sites on the top surface of the holoenzymes containing B/PR55 and B′/PR61 subunits spanning several structural repeats of the regulatory subunits (left and middle). The predicted position of the previously suggested substrate-binding site of PR70 and the top surface location of EF1 are indicated (right). (B) Conformational variation of the A subunit in PP2A core enzyme and holoenzymes containing Bα, B′γ1 and PR70 manifested by structural overlay via the catalytic subunit and the C-terminal five HEAT repeats of the A subunit. The A subunits from core enzyme and holoenzymes containing Bα, B′γ1 and PR70 are colored grey, yellow, orange and purple, respectively, and the distances between their N- and C-termini are shown. (C) FRET assay measured changes of the distance between the N- and C-termini of the A subunit between the core enzyme and the holoenzymes containing B′ and PR70 (108-575) subunits. The scheme for FRET is shown (left) and the protein input for the FRET assay was examined by SDS-PAGE (right).
important basis for regulating holoenzyme assembly and function.

To probe the conformational differences caused by different PP2A regulatory subunits, we established a FRET (fluorescence resonance energy transfer) assay, in which the A subunit is fused to CFP (cyan fluorescent protein) at its N-terminus and a tetracysteine peptide (TC) at its C-terminus. Covalent labeling of the TC peptide by the FIAsh-EDC$_2$ compound [26] genetates a highly fluorescent fluorophore (TC-FLASH) that serves as an acceptor for CFP in FRET [27, 28] (Figure 4C, left). The A subunit harboring the fluorophore pair was then used to

Figure 5 The PR70 holoenzyme binds to Cdc6 and specifically enhances Cdc6 dephosphorylation. (A) The PR70 holoenzyme and GST-Cdc6 (49-90) co migrated over gel filtration chromatography. Protein fractions were examined by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie blue staining. (B) Steady state enzyme kinetics of PP2A core enzyme and the holoenzymes containing PR70 (108-519) or PR70 (108-575) (0.16 µM) toward pCdc6 (49-90) (12-378 µM). (C) Steady state enzyme kinetics of PP2A core enzyme and the holoenzymes containing PR70 (108-519) or PR70 (108-575) (0.4 nM) toward pThr peptide (75-1200 µM). All experiments were repeated three times. For (B and C), the normalized enzyme input for the assays were shown in Supplementary information, Figure S2. The experiments were performed in triplicates and the calculated $K_{cat}$ and $K_m$ and the $K_{cat}/K_m$ ratio are summarized in table on the right in each panel.
Figure 6 Mutational analysis of the tripartite PR70 interfaces in holoenzyme assembly and enhancement of phosphatase activity toward pCdc6. (A) In vitro pull down of wild-type and mutant PR70 (108-575) proteins by GST-AC (PP2A core enzyme). Experiments were repeated three times; representative results are shown. The normalized percentage of binding was averaged from three experiments; mean ± SEM was shown below the data. (B) The increased phosphatase activity of holoenzymes containing wild-type and mutant PR70 (108-575) proteins compared with the core enzyme toward pCdc6. The phosphatase activity was measured using 200 nM of PP2A and 60 µM of pCdc6 substrate. Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated three times; representative results are shown. For both panels, red stars indicate two mutations, F128A and D443K, that exhibited distinctively different effect on holoenzyme assembly and phosphatase activity toward pCdc6. These mutations involves the N-terminal hydrophobic (AB-1) interface with the A subunit and the interface with the catalytic subunit (BC) (Figure 3), respectively. (C) Simulation of concentration-dependent binding of PR70 (108-575) wild-type and mutants to GST-AC based on data shown in (Supplementary information, Figure S3C for estimation of binding affinity (Kd). (D) Summary of the effect of PR70 mutations on the binding affinity between PR70 and the core enzyme and on enhancement of phosphatase activity toward Cdc6. See also Supplementary information, Figure S3.

assemble PP2A complexes, and the efficiency of energy transfer was determined. As expected, the energy transfer efficiency of the PR70 holoenzyme is significantly higher than the core enzyme and the holoenzyme containing B'/PR61 family regulatory subunits (Figure 4C, middle). This is consistent with the structural observations discussed above (Figure 4B).

In vitro Cdc6 dephosphorylation by the PR70 holoenzyme

The C-terminal sequence of PR70 (440-575) was previously shown to harbor a binding region for the N-terminal fragment of Cdc6, and this interaction is needed for the association of Cdc6 with PP2A scaffold and catalytic subunits in cells [13, 14]. To extend this observation, we showed that GST-Cdc6 (49-90), a GST-tagged Cdc6 fragment containing residues 49-90, comigrated readily well with the PP2A holoenzyme containing PR70 (108-519) (Figure 5A). Together with the structural insights mentioned earlier, these results suggest that this Cdc6-binding region is likely located within the PR70 sequence containing residues 447-519. The specific interaction between Cdc6 and the PR70 holoenzyme suggests that this holoenzyme might target Cdc6 for dephosphorylation.

To test this notion, we established an in vitro phosphatase assay toward phosphorylated Cdc6. Purified GST-Cdc6 (49-90) was phosphorylated in vitro using cyclin A/
CDK2, and the GST-tag was removed. The phosphorylated Cdc6 peptide (pCdc6) was then isolated by gel filtration, and used as the substrate for measuring the phosphatase activity of the PP2A core enzyme and the holoenzymes containing PR70. Two different fragments of PR70 (108-519, and 108-575) were tested to determine the effect of the C-terminal PR70 sequence on the holoenzyme activity toward Cdc6. At the same molar concentration, the trimeric holoenzymes containing either of the two PR70 fragments exhibited enhanced phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 compared with the heterodimeric core enzyme (Figure 5B). The calculated $K_{cat}/K_{m}$ ratio is almost identical for both PR70 holoenzymes, which is significantly higher than the core enzyme (AC) (Figure 5B, right). In contrast, both PR70 holoenzymes and the core enzyme were equally active toward a synthetic pThr peptide (K-R-pT-I-R-R), a universal substrate for all PP2A complexes (Figure 5C). These data support the notion that the PR70 subunit enhances the phosphatase activity of PP2A toward pCdc6.

**Tripartite PR70 interfaces in holoenzyme assembly and Cdc6 dephosphorylation**

To examine whether the multiple binding sites of PR70 are essential for holoenzyme structure and function, we performed mutational analysis at all three interfaces. Mutations at both of the interfaces with the A subunit, AB-1 and AB-2, particularly F128A and R398D, severely compromised the interaction between PR70 and the GST-tagged PP2A core enzyme (Figure 6A). This result is consistent with the previous observation that the hydrophobic motif of PR70 is required for holoenzyme assembly in cells [14]. The PR70 mutation at the interface with the catalytic subunit, D443K, exhibited a less severe defect on holoenzyme assembly, and reduced the interaction between PR70 and the GST-tagged PP2A core enzyme only by half. None of these mutations affected the interaction between PR70 and Cdc6 (Supplementary information, Figure S3A).

Next, we investigated how PR70 mutations affect the activity of PR70 to enhance phosphatase activity toward pCdc6. Mutation of PR70 at the interface with the catalytic subunit, D443K, which retained considerable activity in holoenzyme assembly (Figure 6A), completely abolished the ability of PR70 to enhance phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 (Figure 6B). In contrast, this mutation barely affected the holoenzyme activity toward the pThr peptide (Supplementary information, Figure S3B), indicating that it did not affect the phosphatase active site. Unlike D443K, the F128A mutation had a much smaller defect in enhancing phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 (Figure 6B), albeit it had a more severe defect in
holoenzyme assembly (Figure 6A).

To better define the different effect of PR70 D443K and F128A mutations on holoenzyme assembly and enhancement of PP2A activity toward pCdc6, we estimated the binding affinity between PP2A core enzyme and PR70 using a titration pull-down assay to determine the effect of these two mutations on holoenzyme assembly (Supplementary information, Figure S3C, Figure 6C). The F128A mutation was estimated to reduce the binding affinity of PR70 by fourfold, but retained 60% of the PR70 activity to enhance Cdc6 dephosphorylation. In contrast, the D443K mutation reduced the binding affinity only by twofold, but led to a complete loss of activity to enhance Cdc6 dephosphorylation (Figure 6D). These results demonstrate that the direct contact between PR70 and the catalytic subunit is required for PR70 to enhance PP2A activity toward pCdc6.

Restriction of PP2A activity toward pCdc6 by the B′ family of regulatory subunits

Structural overlay of the trimeric PP2A holoenzymes containing B′γ1 and PR70 regulatory subunits shows that the B′ and B″ families of regulatory subunits have distinctly different contact surface areas on the catalytic subunit (Figure 7A). Furthermore, the electrostatic potential near the active site of the B′γ1 holoenzyme is much more acidic than that of either the core enzyme or the PR70 holoenzyme (Supplementary information, Figure S4). The Cdc6 (49-90) fragment that interacts with the PR70 holoenzyme (Figure 5A) contains both positively and negatively charged residues, which matches the alternating pattern of charge distribution near the active site of PR70 holoenzyme (Supplementary information, Figure S4). These structural features suggest that the B′ family of regulatory subunits might inhibit the phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 by occupying the entry route of Cdc6 on the catalytic subunit and by repulsive electrostatic interactions. Consistent with these structural analysis, while the trimeric PR70 holoenzyme exhibited an enhanced activity toward pCdc6, the B′γ1 and B′ε holoenzymes exhibited a markedly reduced phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 compared with the heterodimeric core enzyme (Figure 7B). As a control, all the holoenzymes and core enzyme tested exhibited a similar activity toward the pThr peptide (Figure 7C).

Discussion

Despite recent advances in PP2A structural biology, many questions remain about the mechanisms underlying PP2A holoenzyme assembly, substrate specificity, and regulation. As the diversity of PP2A holoenzyme functions are dictated by its distinctly different regulatory subunits, a complete understanding of this crucial regulatory enzyme requires structural information about each holoenzyme family. In the studies reported here, we overcame the major hurdle in crystallization of the B″/PR72 family of PP2A holoenzymes and determined the crystal structures of PR72 regulatory subunit in isolation, and the holoenzyme containing the closely related PR70 regulatory subunit.

Our structures provide important insights into the structural basis of the B″/PR72 PP2A family. First, the PR70 and PR72 subunits have a similar structural core and all the residues at the interface with the core enzyme are well conserved, suggesting that members in this family might share a similar holoenzyme structure and regulatory mechanisms. The structure of the PR70 holoenzyme thus can serve as a representative structural model for all members in this family. Second, the PR70 holoenzyme exhibits the most compact scaffold subunit conformation among all known PP2A holoenzyme structures, likely defined by the multiple binding sites between the elongated B″/PR72 regulatory subunits and the PP2A core enzyme. The compact scaffold subunit conformation might contribute to holoenzyme stability in cells and provide a basis for regulating holoenzyme function via modulating scaffold subunit conformation. Third, the two PR70 EF-hand calcium-binding motifs adopt distinct orientations in the PR70 holoenzyme, which provides possible explanations for the effects of calcium binding on holoenzyme function. The first EF hand motif is located on the top surface of the holoenzyme facing the phosphatase active site, suggesting a role of EF1 in regulating substrate binding. This notion is also supported by the effect of EF1 in enhancing the phosphatase activity of the PR72 holoenzyme in response to changes of calcium levels [6]. The EF2 calcium-binding motif directly contacts the scaffold subunit, which explains the critical role of calcium binding in assembly of holoenzymes containing PR72/PR70 subunits [6, 14, 25].

Our work also established an in vitro phosphatase assay that allowed us to examine Cdc6 dephosphorylation by the PR70 holoenzyme. We showed that the PR70 holoenzyme interacts with an N-terminal fragment of Cdc6 containing residues 49-90 in vitro (Figure 5A), and exhibited enhanced phosphatase activity toward pCdc6 (49-90) (Figure 5B). Our study demonstrated tight control of Cdc6 dephosphorylation that likely relies on a continuous route for Cdc6 entry to the active site formed by PR70 and the catalytic subunit, which is affected by the interaction between two subunits. This notion is supported by several structural and biochemical observations. First, the catalytic subunit-binding helix of PR70
(residues 439-446) is closely linked to a putative Cdc6-binding region (presumably within PR70 sequence 447-519), and in close proximity to the phosphatase active site (Figure 3E). Second, PR70 mutation at the interface with the catalytic subunit abolished its ability to enhance phosphatase activity toward pCdc6, despite having a modest effect on holoenzyme assembly (Figure 6). It is likely that mutations at this interface alter the orientation of PR70 and the catalytic subunit, and thus distort precise orientation of the substrate at the active site. Finally, this mechanism likely explains the ability of the B′/PR61 regulatory subunits to hinder Cdc6 dephosphorylation, presumably by steric hindrance of Cdc6 docking site on the catalytic subunit. This notion is coherent with the structural observation that the B′ and B″ families of regulatory subunits have distinctly different contact surface areas on the catalytic subunit (Figure 7A). A similar mechanism was proposed for spinophilin in substrate restriction of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) [29], a closely related phosphatase in the PPP family. Whether the B′ family of regulatory subunits plays a role in restricting the PP2A phosphatase activity toward Cdc6 during cell cycle remains to be determined.

A previous study suggested that the B′ family of regulatory subunits control substrate specificity via charge-charge interactions [30]. Indeed, the electrostatic potential near the PP2A active site becomes much more acidic when the core enzyme is associated with the B′γ1 regulatory subunit (Supplementary information, Figure S4), which would favor interaction with positively charged residues in the substrate [30]. In contrast, the PR70 holoenzyme exhibits an alternating pattern of positively and negatively charged surface area, which matches the charge distribution of the PR70-binding Cdc6 (49-90) peptide (Supplementary Figure S4). Thus, the interaction between the PR70 holoenzyme and its substrates might be contributed in part by electrostatic potential highly distinct from that of the B′ holoenzymes. It is noteworthy that the electrostatic potentials also play an important role in substrate restriction and specificity of PP1 holoenzymes [31, 32]. Collectively, observations from PP2A and PP1 holoenzymes suggest unifying mechanisms of substrate restriction by alteration of electrostatics and/or steric hindrance of substrate binding.

The C-terminal fragment of PR70 (residues 440-575) was previously shown to harbor an essential Cdc6-binding region [13, 14], and the C-terminal boundary of PR70 required for this binding was further refined to residue 519 in this report (Figure 5A). The structure of the PR70 holoenzyme helps further define the boundary of this binding region after residue 446, as residues 439-446 are buried in the holoenzyme (Figure 3A and 3E) and most likely not involved in Cdc6 binding. It is important to mention that, although the C-terminal sequence harbors a Cdc6 binding region, the role of the N-terminal PR70 sequence prior to residue 439 in Cdc6 binding remained to be determined. The fact that EF1 is required for full phosphatase activity of the PR72 holoenzyme toward DARPP32 in response to changes of calcium level [6] suggests that the N-terminal sequence might participate in substrate binding at least for some members in the B′/PR72 family. Due to the low binding affinity between PR70 and Cdc6, mapping of the PR70-binding region of Cdc6 had been challenging. Albeit the current PR70-Cdc6 fusion protein for crystallization of the PR70 holoenzyme did not yield electron density for Cdc6, further attempts with different boundaries for PR70 and Cdc6 and crystallization in the absence of phosphatase inhibitor might be helpful for determining the structures of the PR70 holoenzyme bound to Cdc6. The lack of electron density for the Cdc6 peptide might be due to its weak interaction with the PR70 holoenzyme and/or steric hindrance caused by phosphatase inhibitor MCLR at the active site. Our studies provide a basis for structural and biochemical studies required for further understanding of substrate recognition and specificity.

Our observations on PP2A substrate restriction by different regulatory subunits likely provide general insights into tight control of PP2A activity for precise control of cellular processes and signaling. The notion of substrate restriction by different PP2A holoenzymes is coherent with the emerging concept on precise control and coordination of PP2A function for cellular signaling [33, 34]. While several families of PP2A holoenzymes have been implicated in cell cycle regulation, the ability of the B′/PR61 regulatory subunits in restricting PP2A activity toward pCdc6 (Figure 7B) suggests a mechanism for tight restriction of PP2A phosphatase activity during cell cycle.

Materials and Methods

Protein preparation

All constructs and point mutations were generated using a standard PCR-based cloning strategy. Expression and purification of PP2A Aα (9-589), Ca (1-309), and PR72 subunits, and assembly of the PP2A core enzyme (Aα-Ca heterodimer) followed procedures described previously [21]. Expression and purification of CFP-Aα (9-589)-TC fusion protein and the core enzyme containing this protein were similar to Aα (9-589). Cdc6 and PR70 with different boundaries were cloned in pQlink vector (Addgene) harboring a GST-tag and a His6-tag, respectively, and a TEV cleavage site between the affinity tag and the protein. The proteins were overexpressed at 23 °C in E. coli strain DH5α. The soluble fraction of the E. coli cell lysate was purified over GS4B resin (GE Healthcare) or Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen), and further fractionated...
by anion exchange chromatography (Source 15Q, GE Healthcare) and gel filtration chromatography (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare). PR70, PR72, and their holoenzymes were purified in the presence of 1-2 mM CaCl₂.

To facilitate crystallization of PR72 subunit, PR72 was cleaved by 0.2 mg/ml elastase for 20 min on ice. The stable protein core was fractionated by anion exchange and gel filtration chromatography to remove peptide fragments. For crystallization of the PR70 holoenzyme, the PP2A core enzyme was fully methylated by PP2A specific methyltransferase (LCMT-1) in the presence of PTPA (PP2A phosphatase activator) at 1:0.5:0.2 molar ratio [33], followed by association with PR70 (122-490) fused to the Cdc6 peptide (70-90) via a flexible linker (STGNASDSSSDSSSEG-DGTV). The sample was fractionated by anion exchange and gel filtration chromatography to remove LCMT-1, PTPA, and the excess amount of PR70. The purified PR70 holoenzyme was mixed with MCLR in a 1:1.2 molar ratio prior to crystallization.

**Crystallization and data collection**

Crystals of PR72 protein core were grown at 23 °C by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method by mixing ~8 mg/ml of protein with an equal volume of a reservoir solution containing 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄/0.1 M K₂HPO₄, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5, and 2 M NaCl. The crystals appeared in 5 min and grew to full within 3 days. Crystals of the seleno-methionine-substituted PR72 were grown under similar conditions. Crystals were equilibrated in a cryoprotectant buffer containing reservoir buffer with 20% glycerol (v/v), and flash frozen in a cold nitrogen stream at –170 °C. Both native and MAD data sets for PR72 were collected at Brookhaven NSLS beamline X29 and processed using the software Denzo and Scalepack [35].

Crystals of the holoenzyme containing the PR70-Cdc6 fusion protein bound to MCLR were grown at 18 °C by the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method by mixing ~6 mg/ml of the protein complex with two thirds volume of a reservoir solution containing 7% PEG3350 (v/v), 0.03 M succinic acid at pH 4.75. The crystals appear in 3 days and grew to full within 2 weeks. Crystals of the holoenzyme with the seleno-methionine-substituted scaffold and PR70 subunits were grown similarly except that the reservoir solution contains 12% PEG3350 (v/v), 0.1 M sodium malonate pH 5.0. Crystals were equilibrated in a cryoprotectant buffer and flash frozen as described above. Native and anomalous data sets for the PR70 holoenzyme were collected at APS LS-CAT sector 21 using beamline F and D, respectively, and processed using the software HKL2000 [35].

**Structure determination**

The structure of PR72 in isolation and the holoenzyme containing PR70 were determined by MAD and SAD phasing, respectively, using program CRANK [36] in the CCP4 package [37]. Selenium atoms were located by program AFRO/CRUNCH2 [38] and refined using BP3 [39]. Following phase improvement and density modification, a large fraction of the scaffold and PR70 subunits were automatically built using Buccaneer [40]. Automatic model building for PR72 was performed similarly but used the native data sets. Model errors were corrected manually based on the electron density map followed by manual building of the rest of the model. The structures were built using Coot [41] and refined using REFMAC restraints with TLS [42]. The structures of PR72 bound to one Ca²⁺ and two Ca²⁺ ions were refined to 2.1 Å and 2.9 Å, respectively (Table 1). The structures of the seleno-methionine-labeled and native PR70 holoenzymes were refined to 2.8 Å and 2.4 Å, respectively (Table 2).

**GST-mediated pull down assay**

Approximately 10 μg of GST-AC (core enzyme) or GST-Cdc6 was bound to 10 μl of glutathione resin via GST tag. The resin was washed with 200 μl assay buffer three times to remove the excess unbound protein. Then, 10 μg or the indicated amount of PR70 constructs, wild type or mutant PR70 (108-575) was added to the resin in a 200 μl volume suspended in the assay buffer containing 25 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, and 3 mM DTT. The mixture was washed three times with the assay buffer. The proteins remained bound to resin were examined by SDS-PAGE, and visualized by Coomassie blue staining. All experiments were repeated three times. For binding of PR70 vacant of calcium, 1 mM CaCl₂ was replaced with 0.1 mM EDTA as indicated. For determination of the binding affinity, titration of PR70 (108-575) wild type and mutants (1/3/2/4 μM) was added to the immobilized GST-AC for pull down. The immobilized GST was used as control. The level of binding was quantified using Image J, and results from three separate experiments were fitted in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.) after background subtraction to estimate Kd.

**FRET assay**

The donor fluorescent signal of CFP of 100 μg/ml of PP2A core enzyme containing CFP-Aα (9-589)-TC fusion protein was measured in the presence and absence of FlAsH-EDC₂ compound (Invitrogen) using a Victor V 1420 Multilabel HTS counter (Wallac) with excitation at 450 nm and emission at 490 nm. Addition of FlAsH-EDC₂ compound at 1:1:1 molar ratio creates the highly fluorescent TC-FLASH that serves as the acceptor in the FRET assay. The rate of energy transfer was calculated based on loss of donor fluorescence using the following equation: \( E = 1 - (F_{DA} / F_D) \), where \( F_{DA} \) and \( F_D \) are the fluorescence of CFP in the presence and absence of TC-FLASH, respectively. The effect of regulatory subunits on the rate of energy transfer was determined similarly in the presence of five times molar concentration of B’ subunits or wild type or mutant PR70 (108-575).

**Phosphatase assay**

The purified GST-Cdc6 (49-90) was phosphorylated in vitro by cyclin A/CDK2 (1/20 w/w) with 10 mM MgCl₂ and 10× molar concentration of ATP for 1 h at 30 °C. The phosphorylated protein was purified by gel filtration chromatography (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare) to remove free ATP, followed by overnight cleavage of the GST-tag with TEV protease (1/20 w/w). The pCdc6 peptide was then separated from GST or uncleaved peptide using untrafiltration membrane (Millipore) with a 10 kDa cut-off. The phosphatase activity of 50 nM – 1 μM of PP2A core enzyme or holoenzyme containing B’ or wild type and mutant PR70 (108-519) was measured using 60 μM pCdc6 peptide in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 50 μM MnCl₂, and 1 mM CaCl₂. The reaction was performed in 50 μl assay volume at room temperature for 15 min and stopped by the addition of malachite green (100 μL). The absorbance at 620 nm was measured after 10 min incubation at room temperature. For steady state kinetics, the assays were performed using 0.16 μM of the indicated
PP2A complexes and titration of pCdc6 peptide (10-380 µM). The data were fitted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc.) to calculate $K_a$ and $K_{cat}$. The phosphatase activity of PP2A samples (0.4-20 nM) toward a universal phosphopeptide substrate (K-R-pT-I-R-R) was measured similarly as previously described [43]. For steady state kinetics, the assays were performed using 0.4 nM of the indicated PP2A complexes and titration of pThr peptide (75 µM-1.2 mM). All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated three times. Mean ± SEM were calculated.
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