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Abstract

Background: During the millennium development goals period, reduction in under-five mortality (U5M) and increases in child health intervention coverage were characterised by sub-national disparities and inequities across Kenya. The contribution of changing risk factors and intervention coverage on the sub-national changes in U5M remains poorly defined.

Methods: Sub-national county-level data on U5M and 43 factors known to be associated with U5M spanning 1993 and 2014 were assembled. Using a Bayesian ecological mixed-effects regression model, the relationships between U5M and significant intervention and infection risk ecological factors were quantified across 47 sub-national counties. The coefficients generated were used within a counterfactual framework to estimate U5M and under-five deaths averted (U5-DA) for every county and year (1993–2014) associated with changes in the coverage of interventions and disease infection prevalence relative to 1993.

Results: Nationally, the stagnation and increase in U5M in the 1990s were associated with rising human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevalence and reduced maternal autonomy while improvements after 2006 were associated with a decline in the prevalence of HIV and malaria, increase in access to better sanitation, fever treatment-seeking rates and maternal autonomy. Reduced stunting and increased coverage of early breastfeeding and institutional deliveries were associated with a smaller number of U5-DA compared to other factors while a reduction in high parity and fully immunised children were associated with under-five lives lost. Most of the U5-DA occurred after 2006 and varied spatially across counties. The highest number of U5-DA was recorded in western and coastal Kenya while northern Kenya recorded a lower number of U5-DA than western. Central Kenya had the lowest U5-DA. The deaths averted across the different regions were associated with a unique set of factors.

Conclusion: Contributions of interventions and risk factors to changing U5M vary sub-nationally. This has important implications for targeting future interventions within decentralised health systems such as those operated in Kenya. Targeting specific factors where U5M has been high and intervention coverage poor would lead to the highest likelihood of sub-national attainment of sustainable development goal (SDG) 3.2 on U5M in Kenya.
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Background

During the millennium development goals (MDGs) era, all-cause under-five mortality (U5M) reduced in Kenya but was characterised by spatio-temporal disparities and inequities [1]. MDG 4 target—to reduce U5M by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015—was achieved neither at national nor at sub-national level [1] with the current sustainable development goal (SDG) 3.2 aiming to reduce U5M to a more optimistic target of less than 25 deaths per 1000 live births by 2030 [2]. Similarly, improvements were observed in the coverage of interventions and declines in disease infection prevalence, which also showed spatial and temporal heterogeneities across the country [3–8]. However, the impact of sub-national intervention coverage, distribution of resources, healthcare utilisation and disease infection on the spatio-temporal disparities observed in U5M has not been adequately defined [9–13]. This limits application of sub-nationally targeted interventions for public health planning and attainment of child health development goals.

The differences observed in the rates of U5M change between counties in Kenya dictate an improved understanding of the competing risk factors and intervention contributions to child survival. Focusing on interventions with the highest impact will facilitate improved targeted disease control, better resource allocation, focus on equity and maximising impact [9, 14–16]. Therefore, policymakers will tailor U5M reducing policies in line with the SDGs principle of leaving no one behind and reaching the farthest behind, first by covering those most marginalised [17, 18]. In Kenya, such analyses focusing on inequities in child health have previously been conducted [4–6, 19–24].

However, the previous analyses [4–6, 19–24] relied on factors referring to the time of survey while U5M refers to a retrospective period resulting in temporal mismatches. The studies have often reported risk ratios and overlooked the prevalence of exposure. Where the population that is exposed has been accounted for, the analyses either are at the national level, use a limited set of factors, or are based on a single or limited time epoch and none has considered the impact of a full range of factors in the continuum of child survival at the units of decision-making [4–6, 19–24].

To address these limitations and data gaps, here, we collate all available data within spatio-temporal and counterfactual models to quantify how much reductions in U5M were associated with scale-up of interventions and changes in disease prevalence between 1993 to 2014 at 47 decentralised counties in Kenya (Additional file 1) to improve sub-national health planning and facilitate a reduction of health inequities.

Methods

Approach overview

Our analysis involved four main steps. First, data on U5M rates and factors associated with child survival were synthesised and estimated at the county level from population censuses and household sample surveys [1]. Second, a set of parsimonious factors significantly associated with U5M in the Kenyan context were selected. In the third step, a Bayesian ecological space-time mixed-effects regression model was fitted to quantify the relationship between the parsimonious set of factors and U5M. In the fourth step, counterfactual analysis, an approach widely used to assess causal attribution [25–34] in health applications [33–38], was used to determine how much changes in U5M (deaths averted (U5-DA) or lives lost (U5-LL)) were attributable to the changes in intervention coverage and disease infection prevalence between 1993 and 2014.

Data

The outcome variable was U5M available for each county across 22 years from 1993 to 2014 generated using demographic and spatio-temporal models detailed elsewhere [1]. In brief, ten household surveys and three population censuses with birth histories were assembled and spatially aligned to county boundaries. Five demographic methods were applied to estimate U5M per county by survey and smoothed using a Bayesian spatio-temporal Gaussian process regression (GPR) accounting for spatio-temporal relatedness, sample size and demographic methods [1].

The candidate list of predictor variables included 43 factors known to be associated with U5M (Additional file 1) available at county level spanning across 22 years (Table 1 and Additional file 1). The 43 factors were identified from existing frameworks of child survival [39–42] (Additional file 1), relevance to Kenya’s health priorities and data availability and defined based on household survey guidelines while ensuring temporal comparability. Estimates for 39 factors were generated using data from 20 household surveys and three population censuses via a Bayesian spatio-temporal GPR model while four factors were available from disparate sources [7, 43–45]. Table 1 outlines the factors, while detailed definitions and the specific data sources for each factor are presented in Additional file 1. Our analyses included data up to 2014 when the last household sample survey was conducted. Alternative data sources are limited; the coverage and completeness of data from civil registration and vital statistics systems remains low in Kenya while routine data does not capture those who do not interact with the health systems and misses majority of deaths that happen in the communities.
| Group                           | ID | Variable                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Environmental factors          |    |                                                                           |
| 1                              | 1  | Rural residency                                                          |
| 2                              | 2  | Precipitation                                                            |
| 3                              | 3  | Enhanced vegetation index (EVI)                                          |
| Maternal factors               |    |                                                                           |
| 4                              | 4  | Maternal education                                                       |
| 5                              | 5  | Maternal literacy                                                        |
| 6                              | 6  | Female-headed households (maternal autonomy)                              |
| 7                              | 7  | Short birth spacing                                                      |
| 8                              | 8  | Use of modern contraceptives                                             |
| 9                              | 9  | High parity                                                              |
| Child factors                  |    |                                                                           |
| 10                             | 10 | Underweight                                                              |
| 11                             | 11 | Wasted                                                                   |
| 12                             | 12 | Stunted                                                                  |
| 13                             | 13 | Breastfed within the first hour of birth                                  |
| 14                             | 14 | Exclusive breastfeeding                                                  |
| 15                             | 15 | Continued breastfeeding                                                  |
| 16                             | 16 | Low birth weight (LBW)                                                   |
| Household factors              |    |                                                                           |
| 17                             | 17 | Poor household                                                           |
| 18                             | 18 | Improved sanitation                                                      |
| 19                             | 19 | Access to any form of a toilet                                           |
| 20                             | 20 | Improved water                                                           |
| 21                             | 21 | Access to wells borehole and piped water                                 |
| Infections                     |    |                                                                           |
| 22                             | 22 | HIV infection prevalence                                                 |
| 23                             | 23 | Malaria infection prevalence                                             |
| Healthcare utilisation         |    |                                                                           |
| 24                             | 24 | At least one antenatal care visit (ANC1)                                  |
| 25                             | 25 | At least four antenatal care visits (ANC4)                                |
| 26                             | 26 | Skilled birth attendance (SBA)                                            |
| 27                             | 27 | Health facility deliveries (HFD)                                          |
| 28                             | 28 | Diarrhoea treatment-seeking                                              |
| 29                             | 29 | Fever/cough treatment-seeking                                             |
| Child health interventions      |    |                                                                           |
| 30                             | 30 | Bacille Calmette–Guérin (BCG)                                            |
| 31                             | 31 | Three diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis vaccinations (DPT3)                    |
| 32                             | 32 | Three doses of polio (Polio3)                                             |
| 33                             | 33 | Measles                                                                  |
| 34                             | 34 | Fully immunised                                                          |
| 35                             | 35 | Oral rehydration salts (ORS use)                                         |
| 36                             | 36 | Vitamin A-children                                                       |
| 37                             | 37 | Insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) use by children                      |
| 38                             | 38 | Recommended antimalarial use                                             |
| Maternal health interventions   |    |                                                                           |
| 39                             | 39 | Tetanus toxoid injection                                                 |
| 40                             | 40 | Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp 1)                  |
| 41                             | 41 | IPTp 2                                                                   |
| 42                             | 42 | Iron supplement                                                          |
| 43                             | 43 | Vitamin A-mothers                                                       |
Statistical analysis

Model development

Model development aimed to select a parsimonious set of factors strongly associated with U5M in Kenya to reduce overfitting and fluctuating regression coefficients [46, 47]. Before formal statistical model development, factors whose contribution was captured by other factors among the 43 were excluded to reduce any potential collinearity, circularity and confounding [3, 48, 49] (Additional file 2). The role of insecticide-treated bed nets (ITNs) and antimalarial medicine is captured by malaria prevalence [7, 50, 51]; intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) role is partially captured by low birth weight (LBW) [52–55] and was available in 13 malaria-endemic counties only [56] while the effect of three doses of diphtheria–tetanus–pertussis (DTP3) vaccine, polio (Polio3), measles and BCG vaccines was captured by fully immunised status. Likewise, factors measuring the same intervention and have an overlapping impact (for example, maternal education and maternal literacy) were grouped, their relationship with U5M quantified via a simple regression and the best fitting factor included based on a lower Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) [3, 48, 49] (Additional file 2).

All the factors under consideration are associated with U5M (Additional file 2). To validate the findings against the Kenyan context, a simple regression model was fitted to explore the bivariate association between U5M, and factors retained in the preceding stage. Factors with a p-value < 0.2 were retained and were considered in the elastic net regression (ENR) model. ENR, a rigorous penalisation regression, was used to reduce dimensionality by selecting factors that explain most of the variation in U5M [57–60] as applied in child survival studies [61, 62]. It was implemented via the glmnet R statistical package [60] with factors having non-zero coefficients forming the base model. Further simplification of the base model was explored through the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) and model predictive capability using out of sample validation [46, 47, 63]. The presence of multicollinearity was assessed using the variance inflation factor (VIF) with a cut-off of four with the collinear and interpretable factors combined through principal component analysis [64–66].

Mixed-effects regression model

The final list of factors from the model development phase was included in a Bayesian ecological space–time mixed-effects regression model to estimate adjusted association with U5M (Eq. 1). The model included an intercept, fixed effects, spatial and temporal random effects and a space–time interaction term. The random variables were assigned prior distributions that borrowed the strength of information across space and time to capture better the underlying structure of U5M, changes in time-varying factors that affect all counties and unchanging factors of U5M within each county [33, 36].

Equation 1 Bayesian ecological space–time mixed-effects regression for quantifying the association between U5M and factors from the model development process.

$$\ln(5q0)_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_j \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j + \mu_i + v_i + y_t + \delta_{it}$$

where $$\ln(5q0)_{i,t}$$ is the natural logarithm of U5M in county $$i$$ and year $$t$$, $$\beta_0$$ the intercept, $$\beta_j$$ regression coefficients for the fixed effects, $$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j$$, ($$\mu_i$$) the structured spatial random effect, $$v_i$$ the unstructured spatial random effect, ($$y_t$$) the temporal random effect and $$\delta_{i,t}$$ the type 1 space–time interaction specified for parsimony and concerns on identifiability with highly structured interactions.

The spatial dependence was defined by a neighbourhood matrix through the queen adjacency with the value of a parameter in one county influenced by the average value of its neighbouring counties with some additional variability. The convolution Besag, York and Mollié (BYM) conditional autoregressive (CAR) model was used to express spatial dependence [67, 68]. Similarly, temporal neighbours were defined by the adjacent period points (preceding and post) while the space–time interaction parameter $$\delta_{i,t}$$ accounted for any departure from predictable patterns based on the overall temporal and spatial effects [68].

The variance parameters for the random effects were assigned non-informative priors due to lack of prior corresponding data to inform the choice of such specification and allow the data to drive the model results [69]. The hyper prior distributions followed inverse gamma distributions with parameter values of 0.5 and 0.0005 [68]. The inference was made via Markov Chain Monte Carlo and posterior distributions of parameters summarised by the mean and the 95% credible intervals (CI).

Counterfactual analysis

The estimated regression coefficients from the space–time model were used to compute annual counterfactual U5M for every county between 1993 and 2014; U5M predictions assuming intervention coverage and disease prevalence of each factor had stayed constant at its 1993 value over 22 years. The differences between the observed U5M and counterfactual U5M were multiplied by the annual number of under-fives to compute the annual number of U5-DA and/or U5-LL between 1993 and 2014 based on census data including the corresponding CI. In summary, the set-up allowed for the estimation of the annual number of child deaths averted associated
with changes in disease prevalence and intervention coverage relative to 1993 values.

Model convergence was evaluated via trace plots and Gelman–Rubin statistic [70] while the model accuracy was assessed using the MC error, the standard deviation and their ratio [71]. Data preparation and pre-processing were done in StataCorp. 2014 [Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP]; model development was conducted in R statistical (V.3.4.1) while the final Bayesian ecological space–time mixed-effects regression was fitted in WinBUGS Package (version 1.4.3) [72]. All the cartographies were done in ArcMap 10.5 (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA).

Results
Model development
A set of ten parsimonious factors were retained from the modelling building process and included in the Bayesian ecological space–time mixed-effects regression; the details are presented in Additional file 2. In summary, 17 factors were excluded to reduce collinearity, circularity and confounding; four factors not statistically significant and nine factors explaining the least U5M variation from ENR were excluded. Consequently, the best model based on information criterion and out of sample predictive accuracy included health facility deliveries, mothers with high parity, fully immunised status, households with access to better sanitation, proportion of children seeking treatment after fever, HIV and malaria infection prevalence, infants’ breastfeeding within the first hour of birth, proportion of stunted children and maternal autonomy (proxied by the proportion of female-headed households).

Adjusted regression model
Early breastfeeding, access to better sanitation, fever treatment-seeking, maternal autonomy, facility deliveries and high parity were associated with a decrease in U5M while HIV and malaria infection prevalence were associated with an increase in U5M (Table 2). Fully immunised status and prevalence of stunting were not statistically significant. The magnitude of the effect was uneven across the ten factors with high parity and maternal autonomy, and HIV and malaria infection prevalence strongly and significantly associated decreasing and increasing U5M respectively. Overall HIV infection prevalence (2.87 [95% CI 2.03–3.72]) and high parity (–2.05 [–2.33 to –1.80]) were significantly associated with the largest changes in U5M. Spatial variation was more dominant compared to temporal heterogeneity (Table 2) over the 22 years.

National counterfactual U5M
Nationally, the roles of the ten key factors were heterogeneous over the 22-year period. Declining high parity and reduction in the proportion of fully immunised children were associated with U5-LL, while the increase in the coverage of early breastfeeding, access to better sanitation, seeking treatment after fever, maternal autonomy, facility deliveries and reduction in HIV and malaria

Table 2 The mean regression coefficients, 2.5–97.5% quantiles effects from the ecological Bayesian spatio-temporal mixed-effect regression model. The intercept represents the overall baseline. Sigma.w and sigma.t are the variances for spatial and temporal random effects, respectively. Sigma.nu[1] and [2] are space–time interaction effects for the stable (structured) and unstable (unstructured) risk patterns. The SD, MC error and their ratio are shown.

| Determinant                        | Mean [2.5–97.5%]   | SD     | MC error | Ratio |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|-------|
| Breastfed within 1 h              | –0.39450 [–0.52450 to –0.26490] | 0.06628 | 0.00085 | 1.3%  |
| Better sanitation                 | –0.61430 [–0.73030 to –0.49770] | 0.05935 | 0.00112 | 1.9%  |
| Female-headed household           | –1.05600 [–1.24800 to –0.86360] | 0.09821 | 0.00124 | 1.3%  |
| Fever treatment-seeking           | –0.56290 [–0.78010 to –0.34570] | 0.11080 | 0.00127 | 1.2%  |
| HIV risk                          | 2.87300 [2.02500–3.72300]     | 0.43160 | 0.00894 | 2.1%  |
| Health facility delivery          | –0.19650 [–0.35470 to –0.03879] | 0.08057 | 0.00138 | 1.7%  |
| High parity                       | –2.05400 [–2.31500 to –1.79200] | 0.13310 | 0.00186 | 1.4%  |
| Malaria risk                      | 0.12810 [0.05127–0.20550]     | 0.03936 | 0.00039 | 1.0%  |
| Stunted                           | 0.22580 [0.11780–0.37120]     | 0.17660 | 0.00279 | 1.6%  |
| Fully immunised                   | –0.11800 [–0.25840 to –0.02259] | 0.07179 | 0.00087 | 1.2%  |
| Intercept                         | –0.83470 [–1.10600 to –0.57020] | 0.13650 | 0.00234 | 1.7%  |
| Sigma.nu[1]                       | 0.00544 [0.00027–0.01604]     | 0.00434 | 0.00015 | 3.4%  |
| Sigma.nu[2]                       | 0.10210 [0.07864–0.12870]     | 0.01267 | 0.00025 | 2.0%  |
| Sigmat                            | 0.05245 [0.03791–0.07311]     | 0.00903 | 0.00004 | 0.4%  |
| Sigma.w                           | 0.57350 [0.46660–0.71040]     | 0.06225 | 0.00028 | 0.5%  |
The period of rising U5M was largely associated with increasing HIV infection prevalence, reduction in maternal autonomy and decreasing high parity (Table 3, Fig. 1). Just over 100,000 (100,354, 95% CI 121,411–79,296) under-five lives would have been saved if HIV prevalence had remained the same as the level in 1993 through to 2000. Similarly, the decline in maternal autonomy was associated with 67,213 (52,309–82,116) U5-LL while a decline in high parity was associated with over 108,823 (94,310–123,373) U5-LL. The increase in the number of stunted children and the decline in both the number of children who were fully immunised and the number of health facility deliveries were also associated with the stagnation; however, these seemed to have less of a counterfactual impact on U5-LL relative to HIV and maternal autonomy. However, during the stagnation epoch (1993–2000), the increase in fever treatment-seeking, early breastfeeding and declining malaria prevalence were associated with 100,364 (89,606–111,122), 12,981 (6565–21,939) and 16,219 (11,799–20,640) deaths averted, respectively (Table 3).

The period of U5M decline (2006–2014) was characterised with substantial increases in the number of child deaths that were averted. The high number of U5-DA was associated with declining HIV infection prevalence 398,206 (357,899–438,512), reduction in malaria infection prevalence 105,403 (94,385–116,422) and a decline in the number of stunted children 52,575 (46,808–58,343). Increasing fever treatment-seeking rates 267,743 (241,083–294,402), access to better sanitation 173,601 (141,096–206,106), increasing maternal autonomy 129,003 (105,204–152,803), improvements in the coverage of early breastfeeding 51,363 [38,756–63,970] and institutional deliveries 59,509 (49,182–69,836) further contributed to deaths averted during 2006–2014 (Table 3, Fig. 1). However, reductions in the proportion of fully immunised children and high parity women were associated with 17,847 (3852–21,841) and 392,738 (350,233–435,243) U5-LL in the same timeline.

**County-level counterfactual U5M**

National-level presentation of U5-DA masks county-level variation. Figure 2 shows the number of U5-DA and U5-LL aggregated over the 22 years for the ten factors at the county level. Across the 22 years, the majority of the factors (increasing coverage and reduction in disease prevalence) across most of the counties were associated with U5-DA except for a decline in high parity and proportion of fully immunised children which were linked to U5-LL. Further, in the 22-year period, some regions recorded U5-LL: counties in northern

The period of U5M decline (2006–2014) was characterised with substantial increases in the number of child deaths that were averted. The high number of U5-DA was associated with declining HIV infection prevalence 398,206 (357,899–438,512), reduction in malaria infection prevalence 105,403 (94,385–116,422) and a decline in the number of stunted children 52,575 (46,808–58,343). Increasing fever treatment-seeking rates 267,743 (241,083–294,402), access to better sanitation 173,601 (141,096–206,106), increasing maternal autonomy 129,003 (105,204–152,803), improvements in the coverage of early breastfeeding 51,363 [38,756–63,970] and institutional deliveries 59,509 (49,182–69,836) further contributed to deaths averted during 2006–2014 (Table 3, Fig. 1). However, reductions in the proportion of fully immunised children and high parity women were associated with 17,847 (3852–21,841) and 392,738 (350,233–435,243) U5-LL in the same timeline.

**County-level counterfactual U5M**

National-level presentation of U5-DA masks county-level variation. Figure 2 shows the number of U5-DA and U5-LL aggregated over the 22 years for the ten factors at the county level. Across the 22 years, the majority of the factors (increasing coverage and reduction in disease prevalence) across most of the counties were associated with U5-DA except for a decline in high parity and proportion of fully immunised children which were linked to U5-LL. Further, in the 22-year period, some regions recorded U5-LL: counties in northern

**Table 3** Annual under-five deaths averted [+ positive sign] or lives lost [− negative sign] per 1000 live births at the national level if the coverage/prevalence of each factor had remained unchanged between 1993 to 2014. They have been classified into < 1.0 (yellow), 1–2 (light green), 2–3 (dark green), 3–5 (light blue) and > 5 (dark blue). Red shows non-significant changes. Total counts and the average lives saved or lives lost for 1993–2000 [A], 2006–2014 [B] and 1993–2014 [C] are also presented.

| Year | Malaria prevalence | HIV prevalence | Stunting | Fully immunised | Health facility delivery | Breastfeeding within one hour | Better sanitation | Maternal Autonomy | Fever treatment seeking | High parity |
|------|-------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1993 | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.043 (0.043, 0.044) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) | 0.025 (0.028, 0.029) |
(decline in health facility deliveries and better sanitation), eastern (slow reduction in HIV prevalence), and western (declining maternal autonomy and early breastfeeding) Kenya (Fig. 2).

There was high spatial heterogeneity in both the aggregated U5-DA and U5-LL across the counties. Counties in northern Kenya had a lower number of U5-DA associated with the declining prevalence of malaria and HIV, reduction in stunting, increase in access to better sanitation and fever treatment-seeking rates relative to other parts of Kenya which had higher rates of intervention coverage. In this region, U5-LL were associated with a decline in health facility deliveries and access to better sanitation; however, the region had a higher number of U5-DA relative to other parts of Kenya associated with an increase in maternal autonomy and proportion of infants whom breastfeeding was initiated early (Fig. 2).

Western Kenya had a larger number of U5-DA associated with increasing fever treatment-seeking rates, health facility deliveries, access to better sanitation and reduction of malaria and HIV in infection prevalence. The U5-LL in this region was associated with a decline in maternal autonomy and early breastfeeding (Fig. 2). Relative to western Kenya, the south-east region had almost a similar number of U5-DA associated with the same factors; however, some counties alternated between U5-DA and U5-LL associated with fluctuating changes in maternal autonomy and early breastfeeding (Fig. 2). Central Kenya had a moderate number of U5-DA when compared to other parts of the country. Declining high parity and fully immunised children were associated with U5-LL in all counties with considerable spatio-temporal variation (Fig. 2).

The aggregated values presented in Fig. 2 mask important spatial variations over time across individual factors. To highlight the variability, the counterfactual U5M for HIV infection prevalence is presented in Fig. 3 while the rest of the factors are presented in Additional file 3. The period between 1993 and 1997 was associated with increases in HIV infection prevalence (hence U5-LL) across all counties (Fig. 3) with a higher burden in western and parts of central Kenya. A transition phase followed from 1998 to 2005. After 2006, declining HIV infection prevalence was associated with U5-DA with the largest reductions in western and south-east Kenya. Comparable patterns of variability were observed for other factors (Additional file 3).

When compared simultaneously across all the factors and years in epidemiologically diverse counties (Kilifi, Homa Bay, Wajir and Kirinyaga) [1], the contribution of each factor in each region was extremely variable (Fig. 4). Temporal heterogeneity was evident, where a factor contributed to lives lost and at a different year it was...
Fig. 2 Total number of under-five deaths averted (green shades) and lives lost (yellow to brown) aggregated over 22 years [1993–2014] per county if the coverage/prevalence of factors relative to 1993 values had remained unchanged. Source: author
Fig. 3 Number of deaths averted (green shades) and lives lost (yellow to brown) per year [1994–2014] per county if HIV infection prevalence relative to 1993 had remained unchanged. The maps for the other nine factors are presented in Additional file 3. Source: author.
associated with deaths averted relative to the baseline. Plots for all the 47 counties are presented in Additional file 3. Finally, the convergence and stabilisation of the Bayesian ecological space–time mixed-effects regression model were achieved and the accuracy was within the recommended rule of thumb [70, 71] (Additional file 2).

**Discussion**

The analysis extends previous efforts to understand the impact of factors associated with child survival in Kenya [4–6, 19–24], incorporating more data, the bulk of factors in the continuum of child survival [33–36] over two decades anchored at sub-national counties used for decentralised health planning. Overall, stagnation and increase in U5M witnessed in the 1990s were likely due to increasing HIV infection prevalence and reduced maternal autonomy while the reduction in U5M observed after 2006 was likely associated with the declining HIV and malaria infection prevalence and increase in access to better sanitation, treatment-seeking and maternal autonomy. The decline in the number of stunted children and increase in early breastfeeding and institutional deliveries were likely to have had much smaller contribution to declining U5M while declining parity and proportion of fully immunised children were associated with increased childhood mortality.

The significant role of HIV on U5M variation over time has previously been observed in Kenya [5, 6, 22]. Since 2000, there have been concerted efforts to reduce and prevent HIV infection given impetus by the formation of Kenya’s National AIDS Control Council [4, 20]. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission, increased paediatric HIV programmes, antiretroviral drug uptake, testing and behavioural change campaigns increased steadily from early 2000 [73–77] contributing to improvements in child survival. The decline in malaria conspicuous after 2003 has been linked to the increased coverage of ITNs and changing antimalarial drug policies and contributed to declines observed in U5M during this period [4, 7, 78, 79].

Declines in stunting and increase in early breastfeeding appear to have contributed moderately to the observed reduction in U5M. Increased breastfeeding can be linked to the promotion of breastfeeding in maternity wards.
and at the community level while complementary feeding, maternal nutrition, food fortification, micronutrient supplements, nutritional campaigns and school feeding programmes [80, 81] may have contributed to the reduction in the number of stunted children. However, the rate of decline for stunting was much smaller and slower as has previously documented [82, 83] likely due to poverty, low education attainment and lack of basic preventive health care in some regions [84]. Since 2003, the number of children receiving the minimum acceptable diet has also been low and declined over time [8].

Febrile illness is associated with a broad range of childhood illnesses; hence, fever treatment-seeking patterns provide insights on how the community seeks paediatric care [85–87]. The increasing number of deaths averted likely due to increasing treatment-seeking rates coincided with improved healthcare utilisation linked to partial abolition of user fees (2004), direct health facility financing (2010) and free services at government outpatient facilities (2013) allowing better access to treatment [88–93]. The health voucher programme for maternity services (2006–2016), the abolishment of delivery fees (2007) and free maternity services (2013) may have led to increased facility delivery and likely contributed to improvement in child survival after 2008 [88–93]. The stagnation and small drop of children who were fully immunised could be due to non-timely immunisation, drop-out, demand and supply challenges related to physical access, health workforce, stockouts and transportation costs [94–97].

Maternal autonomy is context-specific due to differences in culture and community norms and takes various constructs [98]. In this work, maternal autonomy was defined by households headed by a female due to its ubiquitous availability across the surveys and a harmonised data collection approach over the years. It was associated with improved child survival (U5-DA) given mothers prioritise expenditure on basic food and health care above other needs compared to the fathers [98, 99]. High parity is routinely associated with high U5M (Additional file 1), and in this analysis, it was associated with an improvement in child survival; however, because high parity declined over time, under-five lives were lost. Such findings have been observed in different settings and have been linked to learning effect, reverse causality, sibling effect, hygiene hypothesis and residual confounding [100–104]. Nevertheless, we are unsure of the pathways through which high parity acted in the Kenyan context.

Sub-national heterogeneity observed in the role of the ten factors on U5M variation over the two decades has important consequences for the planning and prioritisation of health resources in Kenya. The factors associated with national improvements in child survival differed between counties. To reduce inequities and increase the likelihood of achieving health-related SDGs, there is a need to focus on the factors with the largest influence, most appropriate for individual counties. To make sure no one is left behind and those farthest behind are reached first, the national government could proportionally allocate funds based on U5M trends, intervention coverage and relative impact in averting child deaths. The counties can localise and tailor the resource to suit their context to achieve maximum gains.

In western Kenya, to sustain the gains and achieve further reductions in U5M, treatment-seeking rates and coverage of institutional deliveries and access to better sanitation should be improved and infection prevalence of HIV and malaria reduced further. Notably, counties located in western Kenya experience the highest levels of HIV and malaria risk and these counties already benefit from targeted HIV and malaria control viz. a viz. other counties [105]. In north-eastern Kenya, addressing access-related issues, nutritional programmes and access to improved sanitation will accelerate reductions in U5M while malaria in these semi-arid areas is not an important driver of U5M.

It should be noted that, despite statistical models showing a discrete number of major factors that explained most of the U5M variation, this does not imply that the excluded factors were not important in improving child survival. Some factors may have reached an early point of universal coverage and can no longer be used to explain contemporary variations in U5M or the counterfactual. Failure to recognise the continued importance of these factors, for example, continued breastfeeding, at least one antenatal care visit, and BCG vaccination, could risk gains made pre-1990s. Different local settings within country present unique ways on how factors interact and co-exist within an ecosystem and their relationship with child survival. The factors whose association was not statistically significant or had smaller contributions could be due to several limitations.

**Limitations**

Birth histories used to compute U5M are prone to mis-reporting of dates, maternal age and omissions of the dead children. Human resources for health, civil unrest and other macro-level factors were not included due to lack of data while recall biases associated with some factors were minimised by limiting the recall period to 3 years [106]. Further, the coverage estimates neither reflect the quality of interventions received nor do they measure effective coverage. The statistical inferences at the county level are prone to ecological fallacy [107] and the modifiable areal unit problem [108]. Some heterogeneities were masked especially in geographically larger counties of northern Kenya; disaggregation to lower administrative units would lower the precision. The
counterfactual distribution cannot be observed in reality when using observation data; hence, changes that might have been triggered in the causal web remain unknown and part of the observed association might be due to confounding; therefore, cause and effect cannot be conclusively inferred \([28, 32, 109]\). Our analyses included data up to 2014 when the last household sample survey was conducted. The increased availability (quantity and quality) of data from Kenya’s routine health information system since 2011, the recently concluded housing and population census (2019) and ongoing household sample surveys (Malaria Indicator Survey) provides opportunities for updating both U5M and factors associated with child survival \([110–112]\).

Conclusions
During the MDG period, U5M reduction, intervention coverage increases and reduction on disease prevalence were characterised by sub-national disparities and inequities across Kenya. Ten factors were significantly associated with the majority of deaths averted or lives lost. The deaths averted were uneven in time between counties and are likely due to a decrease in the infection prevalence of HIV and malaria and an increase in access to better sanitation, treatment-seeking and maternal autonomy. A decline in the prevalence of stunting, progress in the initiation of early breastfeeding and an increase in institutional deliveries were associated with a moderate number of under-five deaths averted. Lives lost were associated with declining high parity and proportion of children who were fully immunised. The findings have improved our understanding of what factors were associated with variation in U5M in different counties over time to inform targeting and planning by decision-makers so that the gains made can be sustained and further accelerated. The results can be used to shape programmatic planning in the devolved governance structure in Kenya during the SDG era and Vision 2030, Kenya’s blueprint to providing a high quality of life to all its citizens by 2030 in a clean and secure environment.
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