Parabens are preservatives widely used in consumer products including cosmetics and food. Whether low-dose paraben exposure may cause adverse health effects has been discussed controversially in recent years. Here we investigate the effect of prenatal paraben exposure on childhood overweight by combining epidemiological data from a mother-child cohort with experimental approaches. Mothers reporting the use of paraben-containing cosmetic products have elevated urinary paraben concentrations. For butyl paraben (BuP) a positive association is observed to overweight within the first eight years of life with a stronger trend in girls. Consistently, maternal BuP exposure of mice induces a higher food intake and weight gain in female offspring. The effect is accompanied by an epigenetic modification in the neuronal Pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) enhancer 1 leading to a reduced hypothalamic POMC expression. Here we report that maternal paraben exposure may contribute to childhood overweight development by altered POMC-mediated neuronal appetite regulation.
C

hildhood obesity has reached epidemic dimension in most of the developed countries and continues to increase globally. In accordance to recent reports overweight and obesity affect up to one third of children in Europe and in Northern America. Both, lifestyle factors such as a high caloric food intake and a predominantly sedentary behaviour, as well as genetic predisposition contribute to the risk for overweight and obesity. However, both factors alone cannot explain the fast increase in obesity rates all over the world. Additional priming for overweight development by environmental factors gained increasing attention in the scientific community.

In critical time windows such as the foetal development perturbations of the physiological endocrine and metabolic signalling can result in long lasting health effects. Synthetic chemicals interfering with the endocrine system (endocrine disrupting chemicals, EDC) represent a classical example for environmental factors contributing to programming towards overweight and obesity, in particular in the perinatal period. EDCs are added to products as preservatives or plasticizers, for antimicrobial and antifungal function or as flame retardants. Thus, exposure to EDCs is ubiquitous. These chemicals can enter the body via food and water intake, skin absorption, and inhalation. Many of them are able to cross the blood-placenta barrier with the risk to exert their harmful properties already during prenatal development. A group of EDCs that is suspected to interfere with oestrogenic and oestrogenic endocrine disrupting properties but has not yet been extensively studied in the context of overweight development are parabens.

Parabens are alkyl esters of p-hydroxybenzoic acid (PHBA) with antimicrobial and antifungal properties frequently used as preservatives in cosmetic products, toiletries, food (E214-219), and pharmaceuticals. They are found in the majority of leave-on cosmetics and in rinse-off products. Parabens enter the human body mainly through ingestion or skin absorption and can commonly be detected in urine, blood and breast milk. Paraben level vary among the different parabens, tissue and time after topical application with previously reported concentration of 0.5 μg l\(^{-1}\) butyl paraben to 43.9 μg l\(^{-1}\) methyl paraben in urine samples and about 4-times lower serum level. The fast metabolism of parabens in the liver (half-life in humans less than 24 h) and direct urinary clearance of conjugated metabolites may explain the higher urine concentration compared to serum. Thus, as the limit of detection is comparable in the two matrices, it is more likely to detect representative paraben concentrations in urine, rather than in serum.

There is increasing evidence from epidemiological studies that parabens might be associated with breast cancer and allergy development. With regard to development of overweight and obesity there is only one study showing elevated urinary levels of 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (3,4-DHB), a common paraben metabolite, in obese children. However, the findings are not explicit because there are other sources of 3,4-DHB like plants and many fruits. Furthermore, there is no study evaluating maternal exposure to parabens during pregnancy as potential risk factor for childhood overweight development.

In this study we show evidence for a positive association between maternal urinary concentrations of butyl paraben (BuP) and childhood overweight within the first eight years of life in our prospective mother-child cohort LINA. In an in vivo mouse model, we demonstrate that maternal exposure to nBuP induces an increased food intake and weight gain in female offspring. Our data provide evidence that a neuronal dysregulation of satiety could contribute to the observed gain in body weight mediated by an epigenetic silencing and reduced hypothalamic expression of the gene proopiomelanocortin (pomc) well-known to be involved in appetite regulation.

### Results

**Cosmetic products as source of paraben exposure.** Within the LINA mother-child study 629 mother-child pairs were recruited between 2006 and 2008. General characteristics of the study participants are shown in Supplementary Table 1 with no differences compared to the analysed sub-cohort for longitudinal BMI development (year 2–8) and paraben exposure; \(n = 223\). Maternal paraben exposure was assessed by urine measurements showing high exposure levels for methyl paraben and lower for butyl parabens (Supplementary Table 2). As a potential source of paraben exposure the usage of cosmetic products during pregnancy was assessed by questionnaires. Indicated cosmetic products were searched for their paraben content with the TOXFOX app (described in Methods) and categorised in leave-on and rinse-off products. Since exposure time is obviously very short for rinse-off products and TOXFOX indicated only 31 out of 414 rinse-off products as paraben containing (Supplementary Fig. 1), only leave-on products with a high exposure time and high body area coverage were considered for comparison with urine measurements. Valid data on the application of paraben containing or paraben-free leave-on products during pregnancy was available from 414 participants. Indeed, 26% used at least one cosmetic leave-on product that contained parabens, whereas 56% used paraben-free leave-on products only (Supplementary Fig. 1).

When comparing measured urinary paraben concentrations, mothers that used paraben containing leave-on products had up to 3.0-fold higher concentrations for methyl paraben (MeP), ethyl paraben (EtP), n-propyl paraben (nPrP), and n-butyl paraben (nBuP) compared to mothers using paraben free leave-on products, as shown in Table 1. Thus, the usage of paraben containing leave-on products can be considered as one potential source for maternal paraben exposure.

**Prenatal paraben exposure and weight development in LINA.** Further, we defined overweight children using age and sex specific cut-offs from the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF).

### Table 1 Maternal urinary paraben concentrations [μg/l] in regard to cosmetic product application (A) usage of leave-on products during pregnancy without parabens (\(n = 276\)) or (B) usage of leave-on products during pregnancy containing parabens (\(n = 138\)).

|     | (A) Median <25% >75% | (B) Median <25% >75% | P-value |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| MeP | 28.05 6.62 133.20   | 68.80 17.00 167.20  | 0.0018  |
| EtP | 1.89 0.51 9.88      | 2.90 0.79 18.10     | 0.0466  |
| nPrP| 3.20 0.72 14.60     | 7.40 1.50 25.80     | 0.0025  |
| iBuP| 0.10 0.05 0.70      | 0.20 0.05 0.94      | 0.1875  |
| nBuP| 0.41 0.10 2.70      | 1.24 0.30 4.55      | 0.0004  |

*Shown are median, as well as first (<25%) and fourth quartile (>75%); P-values are derived from Mann-Whitney-U-test*
Adjusted logistic regression models were applied to study a potential risk increase resulting from prenatal paraben exposure comparing weight of children at birth (>4000 g or macrosomia vs. <4000 g) and afterwards (overweight vs. non-overweight children; Table 2). Since reference data are not available for one-year-old children, only the time window between age two and eight could be considered for this analysis. While high exposure to parabens did not affect the risk for macrosomia at birth, there was evidence for the long chain parabens iBuP and nBuP to increase the risk for ever overweight in early to mid-childhood (Table 2).

To investigate the impact of prenatal paraben exposure on longitudinal children’s weight development we applied GEE analysis on BMI-data from age 1–8 years. We found evidence for an early manifestation of differences between prenatally low (1st tertile) and high (3rd tertile) exposed children for iBuP and nBuP from birth and onwards (Supplementary Fig. 3A–C). Furthermore, using adipocytes differentiated from primary mouse mesenchymal stem cells we observed comparable results to the human in vitro analyses showing no direct effect of nBuP exposure on adipogenesis (Supplementary Fig. 3A–C).

Maternal nBuP increased weight in offspring of female mice. To further investigate a potential causal relationship between an increased risk for childhood overweight and maternal exposure to certain parabens, we exposed Balb/c mice during gestation and in the breast-feeding period to BuP and measured offspring’s body weight and several metabolic parameters. Due to its higher human exposure (see Supplementary Table 2) nBuP (1.75 μg per mouse per day) was used in the experimental setup. nBuP urine concentration was measured in exposed mice and was found to be in a comparable range as observed in the LINA study (highest 193 μg per mouse per day; Supplementary Fig. 2A,B). Furthermore, gene expression of the transcription factor PPARG showed no differences in nBuP-treated cells compared to control (Fig. 1d). Looking closer into PPARG regulation, we found no evidence for PPARG activation by nBuP in an artificial reporter gene assay (Supplementary Table 3). Moreover, nBuP exposure did not activate the androgen, progesterone and glucocorticoid receptor but exerted a strong impact on oestrogen receptor-α (ER-α) activity (Supplementary Table 3). Interestingly and in contrast to the other results, leptin (LEP) expression in adipocytes was downregulated by nBuP with a significant effect even at 0.5 μM. For validation of these findings the secretion of adiponectin and leptin into the cell culture supernatant was assessed. Also decreased levels of secreted leptin were observed after exposure to nBuP, with a significantly effect at 10 μM. Secreted adiponectin levels significantly increased after exposure to nBuP in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 1e).

| Table 2 Prenatal paraben exposure and weight development of children. |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                 | birth OR     | 95% CI       | P-value                | 2–8 years OR     | 95% CI       | P-value                | Male: beta         | 95% CI       | P-value               |
| MeP             | 1.18         | 0.63–2.23    | 0.606                  | 1.15             | 0.58–2.28    | 0.691                  | –0.04              | 0.02,0.05     | 0.275                 | 0.005           | 0.03,0.01     | 0.31,0.901                |
| EtP             | 1.39         | 0.67–2.88    | 0.382                  | 0.38             | 0.49–2.03    | 0.991                  | –0.04              | 0.02,0.05     | 0.275                 | 0.005           | 0.03,0.01     | 0.31,0.901                |
| nPrP            | 0.86         | 0.44–1.69    | 0.671                  | 0.86             | 0.53–2.03    | 0.920                  | –0.04              | 0.02,0.05     | 0.275                 | 0.005           | 0.03,0.01     | 0.31,0.901                |
| iBuP            | 1.61         | 0.81–3.18    | 0.175                  | 2.40             | 1.16–4.98    | 0.018                  | –0.04              | 0.02,0.05     | 0.275                 | 0.005           | 0.03,0.01     | 0.31,0.901                |
| nBuP            | 1.45         | 0.73–2.89    | 0.293                  | 2.17             | 1.06–4.47    | 0.035                  | –0.04              | 0.02,0.05     | 0.275                 | 0.005           | 0.03,0.01     | 0.31,0.901                |

| Table 3 Longitudinal effect of prenatal paraben exposure on weight development in childhood (1–8 years). |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Tertile         | Alk: beta    | 95% CI       | P-value                | Female: beta    | 95% CI       | P-value                | Male: beta         | 95% CI       | P-value               |
| MeP             | –0.10        | –0.36,0.16   | 0.436                  | –0.06           | –0.44,0.33   | 0.77         | –0.19                 | –0.53,0.15     | 0.265                  |
| 3               | –0.04        | –0.30,0.22   | 0.758                  | –0.03           | –0.42,0.35   | 0.87         | –0.02                 | –0.35,0.31     | 0.901                  |
| 2               | 0.18         | –0.08,0.44   | 0.183                  | 0.17            | –0.26,0.56   | 0.404        | 0.21                  | –0.14,0.56     | 0.246                  |
| 3               | –0.01        | –0.27,0.25   | 0.944                  | 0.05            | –0.36,0.46   | 0.852        | –0.02                 | –0.34,0.31     | 0.924                  |
| EtP             | 0.08         | –0.18,0.34   | 0.555                  | 0.24            | –0.14,0.62   | 0.216        | –0.11                 | –0.46,0.25     | 0.555                  |
| 3               | –0.04        | –0.30,0.21   | 0.729                  | 0.08            | –0.21,0.47   | 0.682        | –0.11                 | –0.43,0.22     | 0.515                  |
| nPrP            | 0.35         | 0.08,0.62    | 0.011                  | 0.46            | 0.07,0.85    | 0.021        | 0.26                  | –0.10,0.62     | 0.162                  |
| 3               | 0.26         | 0.02,0.05    | 0.035                  | 0.53            | 0.16,0.89    | 0.005        | 0.05                  | –0.26,0.36     | 0.752                  |
| iBuP            | 0.13         | –0.12,0.39   | 0.342                  | 0.21            | –0.17,0.59   | 0.275        | 0.07                  | –0.28,0.42     | 0.706                  |
| 3               | 0.21         | –0.04,0.47   | 0.095                  | 0.36            | –0.03,0.74   | 0.069        | 0.07                  | –0.26,0.40     | 0.672                  |
weight became manifest shortly after birth and was linked to a higher fat and a lower lean mass as measured by whole body composition analysis using nuclear magnetic resonance technology (Fig. 2b). In contrast, weight development of male offspring was not affected by perinatal nBuP exposure (GEE estimate: 0.5 g higher body weight in the exposed group, SE: 0.6 g, p = 0.34, Fig. 2a, b). Furthermore, female mice from nBuP-exposed dams showed an increase in weekly food intake over the observation period compared to control mice (GEE estimate: 0.5 g additional food intake per week in the exposure group; SE: 0.2 g, p = 0.006; Fig. 2c). In addition, while the fasting serum glucose levels were elevated in the female offspring of nBuP-exposed dams, we did not detect impaired glucose and insulin tolerances (Fig. 2d, e). The male progeny showed no differences in glucose and insulin levels compared to the control mice from unexposed dams (Fig. 2d, e). Further, nBuP exposure to female adult mice had no effect on weight, food intake and leptin serum levels (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Within the female F1 generation, elevated body weight of mice was associated with an increased size of adipocytes (Fig. 3a, b). Gene expression analysis revealed no changes for the glycose transporter 4 (glut4), the insulin receptor (insr) and pparg in adipose tissue of female offspring from nBuP-exposed dams compared to control animals (Fig. 3c). Furthermore, while leptin levels were elevated in the offspring from nBuP-exposed dams, the concentrations of adiponectin, resistin, ghrelin, and insulin were not affected compared to control animals (Fig. 3d). In addition, maternal nBuP exposure did not influence 17β estradiol levels in female and male offspring (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Maternal nBuP reduced POMC expression via nPE1 methylation. The results from the human adipocyte differentiation assay revealed no conclusive impact of nBuP exposure on adipogenesis. Moreover, the female offspring of nBuP-exposed dams released leptin as expected as a result of a higher weight gain. Accordingly, we next focused on gene analyses important for the central regulation of food intake in the hypothalamus. Expression of the leptin receptor (lepr) mRNA was downregulated in female offspring of nBuP-exposed dams (Fig. 4a) suggesting a potentially impaired leptin signalling. This finding was supported by a very low expression of pomic mRNA in female offspring compared to the progeny from non-exposed mice (Fig. 4a). The mRNAs of the melanocortin type 4 receptor (mc4r), a target for the POMC cleavage product alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH), the agouti-related neuropeptide gene (agrp) and the insulin receptor X (insr) were unaffected in the overweight mice (Fig. 4a).

Because environmental factors influencing ontogenesis that may affect the disease risk later in life have been shown to exert their effects via the induction of epigenetic changes such as DNA methylation, we investigated whether the paraben-driven pomc downregulation in female offspring is due to nBuP-induced alterations in DNA methylation of regulatory regions (nPE1,
Fig. 2 Perinatal exposure to nBuP and weight development, food intake and glucose metabolism in the offspring. a Bodyweight development, (CON: n = 18, nBuP: n = 16), b body composition (CON: n = 11, nBuP: n = 9) and c food intake (CON: n = 10, nBuP: n = 6) are shown from female (left) and male offspring (right) from nBuP-exposed dams. d Glucose tolerance test (GTT, CON: n = 18, nBuP: n = 10) and e insulin tolerance test (ITT, CON: n = 15, nBuP: n = 10) were performed in 9-weeks old offspring. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, *P < 0.05, unpaired t-test. For longitudinal analysis GEE models were applied (a female mice β = 3 g, SE = 0.5 g, p = 5.3 × 10⁻⁹, male mice β = 0.5 g, SE: 0.6 g, p = 0.34; c female mice β = 0.5 g per week SE: 0.2 g, p = 0.006, male mice β = 0.25 g per week, SE = 0.18, p = 0.17). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
nPE2, Supplementary Fig. 6) of the pomc gene. We detected an increased DNA methylation of nPE1 (Fig. 4b) while we did not observe any methylation changes in promoter and nPE2 regions (Supplementary Fig. 7). Furthermore, the hypermethylated nPE1 and reduced pomc mRNA expression was already detectable in the offspring from nBuP-exposed dams directly after weaning (Fig. 4c).

To evaluate whether the nBuP-induced hypermethylation is linked to overweight development in the offspring, one-week-old pups from nBuP-exposed dams were treated with the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-Aza-2′-deoxycytidine (Aza) for two weeks until weaning. Treatment of the offspring with Aza reduced the body weight and the food intake caused by maternal nBuP exposure (Fig. 4d), as well as adipocyte area, and leptin serum levels and restored lepr expression in the hypothalamus (Supplementary Fig. 8). Moreover, the paraben-induced nPE1 hypermethylation and the diminished hypothalamic pomc expression in the offspring from nBuP-exposed dams were reversed in the presence of Aza (Fig. 4e, f), while nPE1 methylation and pomc mRNA expression in the control group were reduced.

**Discussion**

In this study a complex translational research design was applied to study the potential impact of maternal paraben exposure on children’s weight development. Findings from the prospective birth cohort study LINA were used to establish hypothesis driven mechanistic studies in human and mouse in vitro models. Based on these results a mouse in vivo experiment provided the final proof-of-concept and deeper mechanistic insight (Fig. 5).

Evidence from our LINA cohort study indicates that maternal exposure to butyl paraben (BuP) may trigger overweight development in early childhood. Using a mouse model, we verified these epidemiological data and provide a mechanistic explanation by demonstrating that prenatal exposure to nBuP induced an
increased food intake and weight gain in female offspring. Our data indicate that a neuronal dysregulation of food intake could contribute to the observed effect since we could show an epigenetic silencing and reduced hypothalamic expression of the gene proopiomelanocortin (pomc) known to be strongly associated with food intake regulation.

Maternal urine samples at 34th week of gestation contained detectable paraben concentrations, supporting the hypothesis of
ubiquitous human exposure. As parabens are frequently used in cosmetic products, it seems reasonable that cosmetics are a principal source for human paraben exposure. This assumption could be supported by linking the questionnaire data on the usage of cosmetics to the mothers with urine paraben measurements within the LINA cohort. Mothers that used paraben-containing cosmetic leave-on products on a daily basis had significantly higher urinary paraben concentrations, although the actual number of paraben-containing products used during pregnancy might be underestimated due to limited data on cosmetic product composition from the LINA recruitment period between 2006 and 2008. However, despite the significant link between the usage of leave-on cosmetics and urinary paraben concentrations, we cannot exclude that further sources in addition to cosmetics have contributed to increased maternal urine concentrations. For the actual exposure situation it has to be considered that e.g., the Commission of the European Union has directed a maximum concentration for particular preservatives in cosmetic products in 201427. Thus, current exposure levels might be lower compared to the LINA recruitment period.

Data from the LINA study provide some evidence that high prenatal paraben butyl paraben exposure can contribute to an increased risk of being overweight in early to mid-childhood. Stratified for sex, this effect seems to be stronger in girls compared to boys. Interestingly, effects for short chain parabens could not be confirmed in association with children’s weight development.

To verify a potentially direct effect of maternal nBuP exposure on weight development and metabolism we applied an appropriate mouse model29. The dose of paraben exposure was estimated from a daily intake of BuP in humans of 20 μg kg−1 body weight based on a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL, 2 mg kg−1 body weight) reported in a previous study28,29. This dose corresponds to a weekly BuP uptake of 3.5 μg in our mouse experiments. The resulting urinary BuP levels in the mouse model were similar to those observed in highly exposed mothers of the LINA cohort suggesting that BuP concentrations investigated in the mouse model are representative for the real exposure situation in humans. Our results demonstrated that female but not male offspring of exposed dams showed higher weight over the entire observation period.

To identify the underlying mechanisms of the nBuP-induced weight gain we investigated a possible effect on adipocyte differentiation using a human and a murine mesenchymal stem cell assay. We have successfully applied this model earlier to test adipogenic effects of bisphenol A9. Here, we chose paraben concentrations based on previous publications23 and the assumption that 0.5 μM (the lowest concentration used) are approximately equivalent to the highest paraben concentrations found in LINA for MeP. Interestingly, our results did not indicate that nBuP up to a concentration of 10 μM has a direct impact on adipogenesis. This was in contrast to previous in vitro studies demonstrating an increasing effect of nBuP exposure on adipocyte differentiation as revealed by adipocyte morphology and lipid accumulation of mouse fibroblast cells with increasing effect size from MeP to nBuP (MeP < EtP < …nBuP)23,30,31. Moreover, we did not detect an activation of PPARγ by nBuP, although other studies using mouse cell lines reported an activation of this receptor which is known to be crucial in adipogenesis23.

Although in vitro adipocyte differentiation was unaffected in our human stem cell differentiation assay, we found adiponectin and leptin expression and secretion to be altered. In vivo, leptin activates POMC neurons to induce satiety in the hypothalamic food intake control region via α-MSH release22. Hence, reduced leptin signalling may lead to an inappropriate satiety signalling and increased food intake, which could then promote overweight development in children.

In our mouse model we showed that the weight gain in the offspring of exposed animals was accompanied by an increased food intake, fat mass and increased adipocyte size but no differences in glucose and insulin tolerances. In vivo, leptin is expressed in adipose tissue proportionally with fat mass32. As expected, an increase of serum leptin level was observed in mice with a higher fat to lean mass ratio. In accordance with our in vitro analysis there was no effect on adipocyte differentiation and gene expression of pparg in adipose tissue. These findings
indicate that maternal nBuP exposure may not directly affect adipogenesis leading to the observed weight gain. Therefore, looking more closely on hypothalamic food intake regulation, we found prominent genes to be differentially expressed between maternally exposed and non-exposed offspring. Gene expression of the leptin receptor (lepr) and pomec was downregulated due to nBuP exposure. POMC is involved in anorexigenic signalling pathways to suppress food intake. After stimulation, α-MSH is released from POMC by proteolysis and binds to MC4R to induce satiety. To shed some further light on a potential mechanism, we looked more closely on hypothalamic food intake regulation, we found prominent genes to be differentially expressed between maternally exposed and non-exposed offspring. Gene expression of the leptin receptor (lepr) and pomec was downregulated due to nBuP exposure. POMC is involved in anorexigenic signalling pathways to suppress food intake. After stimulation, α-MSH is released from POMC by proteolysis and binds to MC4R to induce satiety.

Analysis of urinary paraben concentrations in human samples. Urinary concentrations of eight paraben species were determined in 504 maternal urine samples of the 34th week of gestation (reduced sample size due to available urine samples). The samples were prepared and analysed as described and validated by Schlittenbauer et al. In brief, urine samples, quality controls, and solvent blanks were thawed at room temperature, vortexed, and centrifuged. Aliquots were spiked with internal standard solution and a deconjugation standard. Hydrolysis was achieved by adding enzyme buffer solution and incubation in an ultrasonic bath. The enzyme was removed by centrifugation/filtration using Amicon Ultra-0.5 filters. LC-MS analysis was performed on a UPLC system (ACQUITY U-1 Class, Waters Cooperation, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Nevo TQ-S, Waters Cooperation, Manchester, UK) with an electrospray ionisation (ESI) source. For each analyte (MeP, EtP, iPrP, nPrP, sBuP, iBuP, nBuP, BzP), a quantification (Q) and a confirmation (G) MRM transition was selected. Quality criteria for positive confirmation of peaks were (i) presence of both MRM transitions, (ii) a retention time within 0.03 min, and (iii) a relative ion ratio within 50 to 150% compared to a standard. The limits of quantification (LOQ) were 0.5 μg l⁻¹ for MeP and 0.1 μg l⁻¹ for the other parabens (EtP, iPrP, nPrP, sBuP, iBuP, nBuP, BzP). For 498 participants valid paraben concentrations above the detection limit were obtained (cases used for weight analyses are shown in Supplementary Table 2). For iPrP, sBuP and BzP more than 5% of samples had concentrations below the LOQ and were therefore excluded from further analysis. For paraben analysis of mouse samples 20 μl urine and 180 μl milliQ water was mixed and the same protocol as described above was applied.

Assessment of cosmetic product application. The usage of cosmetic products during pregnancy was assessed within the recruitment period via questionnaires at the 34th week of gestation. Participants could name up to 6 cosmetic products that were used on a daily basis. These cosmetics were categorised (leave-on products (cremes, body lotion, make-up or leave-on facial cleansings) and rinse-off products (toothpaste, rinse-off facial cleansings, hairstyling, perfume and others). The content of parabens in the named cosmetic products was assessed between April and July 2016 via the TOXFOX app for iOS from the Friends of the earth Germany (Bund für Umwelt und Naturschutz Deutschland), which provides information about the content of endocrine disrupting chemicals like parabens in an online data base of cosmetic products. Insufficiently indicated cosmetic products that could not be assigned specifically to a particular brand/product were excluded from further analysis.

Anthropometric data collection. Children’s body weight and height were assessed annually during clinical visits or were obtained from regular preventive medical check-ups asked for in the LINA questionnaires. At birth and the one-year follow-up children’s length was measured horizontally; afterwards standing height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. To adjust for child’s age and sex, BMI was classified according to the International (IOTF) Body Mass Index Cut-Offs for Thinness, Overweight and Obesity in Children (BMI) was defined as the weight in kg divided by the height in m squared. Children with BMI equivalent to an adult BMI ≥ 25 (for example: 36-month-old girl: 17.64 kg m⁻², 36-month-old boy 17.85 kg m⁻²) were classified as overweight (OW), children with BMI equivalent to an adult BMI < 25 as non-overweight (NOW). Further, we have classified weight at birth as ≥4500 g (macrogamia; 19.3% of samples) or <4000 g (n = 496). Longitudinal BMI information was available for 396 children from year 1–8. Classification in NOW and OW was performed in early to mid-childhood (year 2–8; n = 223). Due to missing response data the first year could not be included in this analysis. This should not be of relevance for the paraben effect since Körner et al. described a longitudinal overweight stabilising effect only after the age of 3 years. We were able to verify the OW classification based on a Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA) performed at children’s age of eight. Children classified as OW had about 30% higher body fat mass percentage at 8 years of age compared to normal weight children (fat mass overweight = 19.3%, n = 58; fat mass normal weight = 14.9%, n = 138, P < 0.001).

In vitro adipocyte differentiation. Human adipocyte-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) derived by a female donor (ATCC, LGC Standards (Wesel, Germany), PCS-500-011; LOT #95735760) and appropriate culture media were purchased from ATCC (LGC Standards,Wesel, Germany, PCS-500-030, PCS-500-040 and PCS-500-050). Cells were cultured under normal conditions at 37 °C, 5% CO2 and 95% humidity according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, for adipocyte differentiation MSCs passage 3–5 were seeded at 9,000 cells per cm² in a 96-well plate and grown to 70% confluence. For initiation of differentiation cells were fed with Adipocyte Differentiation Induction Medium (ADI; ATCC Adipocyte Differentiation Kit, PCS-500-050). ADI was changed to Adipocyte Maintenance Medium (AMM; ATCC, ADI-001) on day 4 and changed regularly. Cells were treated with parabens at different concentrations (working solution in 0.05% ethanol) during the entire differentiation process.
period. Butyl paraben exposure was represented in the experimental setup by nBuP due to its higher human exposure (see Supplementary Table 1) compared to iBuP. Experiments included groups of 4-6 mice per cage and were performed at least two times from at least 3 dams (each with 2-5 pups with not more than 3 pups per sex).

Assessment of weight and metabolic parameters. Body weight of the pups was measured twice a week and a mean weight per week was calculated for each mouse. At the end of the observation period (12 weeks) both body composition (fat mass and lean mass) was determined in awake mice based on nuclear magnetic resonance technology using an EchomR700™ instrument (Echo Medical Systems, Houston, TX, USA) in the offspring of control and nBuP exposed dams. Food intake was monitored after weaning. Control dams received only the vehicle. To investigate a possible involvement of epigenetic alterations offspring were treated i.p. with 160 μg kg⁻¹ body weight Aza (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in PBS 3 times per week starting 1 week after birth until weaning⁶⁰. Each exposure protocol was performed at least two times from at least 3 dams (each with 2-5 pups with not more than 3 pups per sex).

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and qPCR. Dissection of the hypothalamus was conducted from the ventral side of the brain. The optic chiasma was removed away from the anterior portion of the hypothalamus. The mammillary nuclei were dissected from the posterior of the hypothalamus. The entire hypothalamus was prepared including the arcuate, ventromedial, dorsomedial, and paraventricular nuclei. Total RNA was extracted from hypothalamic tissue and adipose tissue and hypothalamus of mice by using qIAzol Lysis Reagent (qIAZEN, Hilden, Germany) and RNAeasy Plus Mini Kit (qIAGEN) following manufacturers instructions. Two hundred nanogram were used for cDNA synthesis by ReverTra™ α Minus Reverse Transcriptase (Takara Bio, Otsu, Shiga, Japan) with 4 ng genomic DNA as template. cDNA was used in subsequent qPCR analysis. Expression of ARE was performed at least two times from at least 3 dams (each with 2-5 pups with not more than 3 pups per sex). Sequences of target genes and the respective qPCR primer pairs are shown in Supplementary Table 6. Results were calculated as fold change compared to control and error bars indicate standard deviation.
Statistical analysis. LINA study data were evaluated by STATISTICA for Windows, Version 12 (Statsoft Inc., USA) and STATA version 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, USA). A GEE model with exchangeable correlation matrix and cubic splines with knot points at 12, 25, 38.2, 61 and 98.2 months was applied to test for longitudinal differences in BMI between low (1st tertile, reference), medium (2nd tertile) and high (3rd tertile) paraben exposure groups. Logistic regression models were performed to analyse the impact of prenatal paraben exposure on infants’ risk for macrosomia at birth and overweight development afterwards (year 2–4). Both models were adjusted for the sex of the child, smoking during pregnancy, prenatal school education, gestational week at delivery, existence of siblings, breast feeding duration (not for models with only birth weight as outcome) and age of the mother at birth. All confounders were chosen according to their potential weight association after a literature review. To test the equal distribution of parameters in the analysed sub-cohort and the entire LINA cohort the chi squared test was performed. Mann–Whitney-U-test was used for the comparison of median paraben levels of mothers that used paraben containing vs. paraben free cosmetic products. Experimental data sets from in vivo and in vitro studies were processed and analysed in GraphPad Prism 7.02 for windows (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and R version 3.5.1. All p-values are derived by GEE models with exchangeable correlation matrix for longitudinal mouse data, ANOVA or unpaired t-test.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All relevant data supporting the findings of the study are available in this article, its Supplementary Information file, the Source Data file (Figs. 1B–E; 2A–E; 3B–D; 4A–F and Supplementary Figs. 2A–C, 3B–C; 4A–C; 5; 7A–B; 8A–C), or from the corresponding authors on request. However, epidemiological cohort data cannot be provided as an open source file due to ethical declaration issues and can be requested in their analysed version from the corresponding author.
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