ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparison of Happiness and Spiritual Well-Being among the Community Dwelling Elderly and those who Lived in Sanitariums

Mohsen Adib-Hajbaghery, PhD; Mona Faraji, MSc
Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

Corresponding author:
Mohsen Adib-Hajbaghery, PhD;
Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Qotbe Ravandi Blv., Kashan, Iran
Tel: +98 315 5540021; Fax: +98 315 5546633; Email: adibhajbagheri_m@kaums.ac.ir

Received: 30 December 2014 Revised: 3 May 2015 Accepted: 20 May 2015

ABSTRACT

Background: Several studies are available on the lifestyle, psychological and mental health of the elderly adults. This study aimed to compare the spiritual well-being and happiness in the elderly who lived in sanitariums with those lived in the community.

Methods: A comparative study was conducted on 384 elderly adults. A census sampling was used in sanitariums and a convenience sampling was performed to select the community dwelling (CD) older adults. A demographic questionnaire, the Pauloutzian and Ellison’s spiritual well-being scale and the Oxford happiness questionnaire were used in this study. Descriptive statistics and Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U tests and Spearman correlation coefficient were employed for data analysis, using the SPSS software, version 13.0.

Results: From the total participants, 56% were CD elderly and 44% were in sanitariums. Among the CD older adults, no one was at a high level of spiritual well-being while in sanitariums 24.4% were at a high level of spiritual well-being. Also, 71.2% of the community dwelling older adults were at a high level of happiness while only 3.6% of those living in sanitariums expressed a high level of happiness. A significant association was found between the level of spiritual well-being and happiness in those who lived in sanitariums (r=0.177, P<0.021).

Conclusion: Most of the elderly living in the community and in sanitariums showed moderate spiritual well-being and low happiness. Therefore, nurses and health authorities are responsible not only to inform the community about the importance of spiritual well-being and happiness, but also to establish some strategies in this regard.
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INTRODUCTION

By getting closer to the third millennium, aging seems to be a major global fact. In 2011, about 800 million people were 60 years old or more, but up to 2025 these statistics would be 1.2, and up to 2050 it will be about 2 billion people.1 About two-thirds of the elderly people are living in developing countries, and up to 2025 these statistics will be about 75%.1 By increasing the number of the elderly population, their health issues will be more challenging. Reduction of social communication, loss of relatives, and physical and mental illnesses among the aged people lead to a big challenge to reserve healthy lifestyle.2

In Iran, the proportion of the older adults has increased from 7.22% of the total population in 2006 to 8.20% in 2011.3 As a result, some appropriate, multidimensional, economical and socio-cultural activities in public and state organizations should be arranged. In literature, there is a distinction between religion and spirituality.4,5 A vast majority of written literature have been devoted to the relationship between healthiness and religious-spiritual state.6,7 Beliefs, manners and some rituals which are used as a tradition are regarded as religion while spirituality is regarded as a personal effort to understand the life meaning and goals.7 There is an association between improvement in the individual’s spiritual aspects and reduction in the rates of depression and suicide.8,9 Recently, spirituality and its elements have considerably been noticed in nurse-patient relationships.10-13 Increases in self-control, self-esteem and trust is among the benefits of spirituality, as mentioned by some authors.14 However, ignoring the patients’ spiritual aspects may result in undesirable consequences in the process of treatment. It is reported that provision of patients’ spiritual needs could not only reduce the negative effects of stress and fear but also would decrease the mortality rates.12,15,16 Moreover, associations were observed between spirituality and mental health,17 self-esteem, social skills,18 and quality of life (QOL) of healthy adults,19 and patients with chronic heart failure.20

Spiritual well-being is one of the most important aspects of human health.21 The perception of spiritual well-being may be examined from two different points of religious well-being and existential one. The religious well-being includes individual’s perception of health in his/her spiritual life related to a superior power. The existential well-being considers the individual’s social and mental concerns and the person’s ways of coping with the self, society and environment.22 In recent years, nursing theorists have paid close attention to the spiritual powers as a source of happiness, peacefulness and energy in older adults.23

In a study of patients’ attitudes towards the role of spirituality in healing, that was conducted in Tehran, it was reported that there was a significant relationship between patients’ spiritual beliefs and their recovery and QOL.24 In another study in the Eastern Azerbaijan, spirituality was cited as one of the key features affecting the elderly peoples’ lifestyle.2 A recent study in Tehran has also compared the spiritual health of older adults living in sanitariums with those living at their home. Interestingly, the mean score of spiritual health was significantly higher in those living in sanitariums.25 However, in another study it has been reported that the mental health and emotional well-being were significantly lower in older adults living in sanitariums when compared with those living in their homes.26

As a public approach, happiness is meant to exist in a state of joyfulness.27 Studies showed that happy people are more successful in different aspects of life, such as marriage, friendship, income, job and health.28 In a recent study in Tehran, that was conducted on 389 aged adults living with their families, a direct significant relationship was found between religious orientation and happiness.29 It has also been reported that the level of happiness is significantly lower among the older adults living in sanitariums than
community dwelling older adults. A study in Shahroud, Iran, has also reported that the rate of depression and cognitive disorders was significantly higher among the aged people living in a sanitarium than those who lived at their home.

Several studies are available on the lifestyle, mental health, depressions, spiritual and religious beliefs of the elderly adults. Also, some studies have investigated the relationship between spirituality and mental health in aged people. However, still there are questions about the state of the spiritual well-being and happiness among the community dwelling older adults and those living in sanitariums, and if there is any correlation between spiritual well-being and happiness in older adults. Therefore, this study aimed to assess and compare the levels of spiritual well-being and happiness among the community dwelling elderly and those who lived in sanitariums.

Materials and Methods

A comparative study was conducted on 384 elderly adults in Arak and Kashan cities from January to March 2014. A total of 108 community dwelling elderly in each city and 81 and 87 elderly people who lived in the sanitariums in Arak and Kashan participated in this study. Inclusion criteria were the age of 60 years or over, ability to speak in Persian language, having no hearing and communication problems, and willing to participate in the study.

A participant’s decision to withdraw from the study while completing the questionnaires and incomplete answering the questionnaires were selected as exclusion criteria.

Sample size was calculated using the Cochrane formula for estimating the maximum sample needed. Using a type one error of 0.05, a probability value of 0.5 and the sampling error of 0.05, we estimated a sample of 384 subjects to be used for the study.

To recruit the elderly living in sanitariums, a census sampling method was used to select all the participants with inclusion criteria in the two sanitariums in Kashan and Arak cities. However, a convenience sampling was performed to select the community dwelling older adults. To this end, two valleys, two banks, two parks and two main squares were randomly selected in each city. Then, all the houses in the selected valleys were referred to and the elderly residents with inclusion criteria were recruited if they agreed to take part in the study after a brief explanation of the study objectives. Moreover, the researchers performed regular daily visits of the selected banks and parks to select the elderly adults with inclusion criteria among those who referred to these sites. This part of sampling continued till the sample size was completed.

A three part questionnaire was used in this study. The first part consisted of 10 questions on age, gender, education level, residential place, people living with the elderly, job, monthly income, walking ability, having any chronic disease, and history of smoking. The Pauloutzian and Ellison’s spiritual well-being scale (SWBS) and the Oxford happiness questionnaire (OHQ) were used as the second and the third parts of the instruments.

The SWBS scale is consisted of 20 items, 10 related to religious health and 10 about the existential health. All items were answered on a 6 point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (=1) to strongly agree (=6). The scoring was reversed in negative items. The sum of scores ranged from 20 to 120 and a higher score indicated the higher level of spiritual well-being. Then, the scores from 20–40, 41–99, and 100–120 were regarded as low, moderate and high levels of spiritual well-being, respectively. The SWBS scale had been previously translated into Persian language and showed appropriate content validity and internal consistency (α=0.92).

The OHQ questionnaire comprised 29 items, each involving the selection of one of four options that were different for each item. All items were scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from zero to 3. The highest score of this questionnaire was 87, and the lowest 0. Then, scores from 40 to 42 could be regarded...
as normal. Obtaining scores higher than 42 reflected higher levels of happiness. As a result, the higher the score, the higher the level of happiness. The OHQ questionnaire was previously translated into Persian language and showed appropriate content validity and internal consistency (α=0.87).32

Because most of the participants were illiterate, the instrument was completed through interviews. The questions were asked by the second researcher in a simple and clear way and their answers were entered into the study instrument.

**Ethical Consideration**

The research objectives were explained to all participants. All of them signed a written informed consent to participate and were assured about the confidentiality of their personal information. Ethical issues of the study were approved in the research ethics committee in Kashan University of Medical Sciences. Also, permissions were obtained from sanitarium managers.

**Data Analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software, version 13.0. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation) were calculated. Inferential statistics were also used. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test revealed that the distribution of the variables was not normal. Then, Chi-square-test was used to compare the two groups in terms of their demographics. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the mean scores of the two groups. Also, Chi-square-test was used to test the relationship between happiness and also spiritual well-being in sanitarium and community dwelling older adults. Spearman correlation coefficient was used to examine the association between spiritual well-being and happiness scores.

**RESULTS**

From a total of 384 participants, 215 (56%) were community dwelling elderly and 169 (44%) were in sanitariums. Totally, 67.7% of the participants (including 59.1% of the participants in community and 78.7% of those living in sanitariums) were males. The mean age of the participants was 73.47±8.93 years (73.05±8.12 years in the community elderly and 73.76±6.53 in those living in the sanitarium, P=0.35). Moreover, no significant differences were observed between the elderly in the community and in sanitarium in terms of education level (P=0.16), having a chronic disease (P=0.69) and walking ability (P=0.068).

The mean of spiritual well-being score was 54.15±15.87 in the community dwelling elderly adults and 71.65±22.87 of those in the sanitariums (P<0.001). Moreover, the mean of happiness scores was 51.48±13.14 in the community dwelling elderly while it was 24.49±8.42 in those in the sanitariums (P<0.001).

As shown in Table 1, no one among the community dwelling older adults got a high score of spiritual well-being while 24.3% of the elderly living in sanitariums were at a high level of spiritual well-being. The Chi-square test showed a significant relationship between spiritual well-being and residential place (P=0.001). Moreover, the majority of the older adults in sanitariums were in a low level
of happiness while most of the community dwelling elderly adults obtained high level scores in happiness. The Chi-square test showed a significant relationship between the levels of happiness and residential place (P=0.001) (Table 1).

Significant differences were observed between the mean scores of spiritual well-being of the elderly living in sanitariums and those living in the community in terms of different demographic variables (P<0.001), (Table 2).

Moreover, significant differences were observed between the mean scores of happiness of the elderly living in sanitariums and those living in the community in terms of different demographic variables (P<0.001), (Table 3).

Using the Spearman’s correlation coefficient, we found a significant association between the levels of spiritual well-being and happiness in those who lived in sanitariums (r=0.177, P<0.021). However, no significant association was found between the levels of spiritual well-being and happiness in the community dwelling elderly (r=0.079, P=0.251).

**Discussion**

This study aimed to compare happiness and

| Variables                        | Residential place | P     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                                  | Sanitarium        | Community |
| Gender                           |                   |       |
| Male                             | 65.41±21.22       | 48.17±10.83 | 0.001  |
| Female                           | 93.83±12.81       | 62.77±17.91 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.001             | 0.001 |
| Walking ability                  |                   |       |
| Yes                              | 70.91±20.83       | 55.96±16.92 | 0.001  |
| No                               | 74.60±22.61       | 48.10±10.64 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.295             | 0.14  |
| Having a chronic disease         |                   |       |
| Yes                              | 72.21±23.11       | 53.70±14.90 | 0.001  |
| No                               | 70.26±23.12       | 54.8±17.22 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.381             | 0.85  |
| History of smoking               |                   |       |
| Yes                              | 63.10±22.14       | 47.38±10.22 | 0.001  |
| No                               | 80.12±20.53       | 56.77±16.81 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.001             | 0.001 |
| Monthly income (equal to USD)    |                   |       |
| <100                             | 74.82±21.82       | 48.87±10.27 | 0.001  |
| 100-600                          | 62.33±33.48       | 53.77±17.29 | 0.001  |
| 600-1000                         | -                 | 53.25±11.83 | -      |
| No income                        | 71.39±23.43       | 59.81±16.62 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.639             | 0.001 |
| Education level                  |                   |       |
| Illiterate                       | 73.04±22.69       | 58.44±16.28 | 0.001  |
| Primary school                   | 65.45±22.84       | 54.76±16.41 | 0.001  |
| High school                      | 70.62±25.03       | 45.62±10.01 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.282             | 0.001 |
| Job                              |                   |       |
| Unemployed                       | 70.25±23.2        | -     | -     |
| Self-employed                    | -                 | 51.33±13.7 | -      |
| Retired                          | 74.11±22.36       | 52.8±14.71 | 0.001  |
| P value                          | 0.514             | 0.525 |

*All data presented as Mean±SD
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Table 3: The differences in happiness scores of the two elderly groups in terms of demographic variables*

| Variables                  | Sanitarium          | Community          | P    |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|
| Gender                     |                     |                    |      |
| Male                       | 23.58±7.56          | 53.02±13.01        | 0.001|
| Female                     | 27.44±10.82         | 49.26±13.08        | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.228               | 0.019              |      |
| Walking ability            |                     |                    |      |
| Yes                        | 24.94±8.51          | 53.51±11.26        | 0.001|
| No                         | 25.96±8.91          | 50.46±13.89        | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.631               | 0.289              |      |
| Having a chronic disease   |                     |                    |      |
| Yes                        | 25.13±8.79          | 51.61±14.14        | 0.001|
| No                         | 23.25±7.85          | 51.3±11.59         | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.158               | 0.623              |      |
| History of smoking         |                     |                    |      |
| Yes                        | 22.63±7.63          | 45.47±12.45        | 0.001|
| No                         | 26.24±8.94          | 53.81±12.68        | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.083               | 0.001              |      |
| Education level            |                     |                    |      |
| Illiterate                 | 25.83±8.68          | 54.58±12.12        | 0.001|
| Primary school             | 20.06±7.06          | 47.16±12.72        | 0.001|
| High school                | 21.02±4.31          | 54.15±13.63        | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.016               | 0.001              |      |
| Income                     |                     |                    |      |
| <100                       | 25.24±8.85          | 48.92±13.90        |      |
| 100-600                    | 20.0±0.0            | 51.66±13.05        |      |
| 600-1000                   | -                   | 62.45±7.54         |      |
| No income                  | 24.58±9.46          | 49.14±12.09        |      |
| Job                        | 0.245               | 0.001              |      |
| Unemployed                 | 23.72±8.31          | -                  | -    |
| Self-employed              | -                   | 57.01±12.71        | -    |
| Retired                    | 25.89±8.72          | 53.23±13.42        | 0.001|
| P value                    | 0.246               | 0.344              |      |

*All data presented as Mean±SD

spiritual well-being among the community dwelling elderly adults and those who lived in sanitariums. To the best of our knowledge, no similar studies are available and this was the first study investigating both variables simultaneously in a sample of aged people.

The present study showed that the majority of the community dwelling older adults and those who lived in sanitariums were at moderate and low levels of spiritual well-being. The level of spiritual well-being was lower to some extent in the community dwelling older adults as no one in this group got a high score at spiritual well-being. A recent study in Tehran has also examined the spiritual well-being of senior citizens living in sanitarium and home residents, reporting that most of the elderly in both settings had a moderate level of spiritual well-being though the mean spiritual health score was significantly higher among the elderly who lived in sanitarium.25 Consistent with the present study, a recent study has investigated the relationship between spiritual well-being and QOL among elderly people residing in Kahrizak sanitarium, indicating that the mean score of spiritual well-being was at a moderate level.33 The higher level of spiritual well-being among the elderly who lived in sanitariums may be attributed to the fact that they were in continual contact with other aged people like themselves. Therefore, they solved their emotional problems easier than those who were community dwelling.
The present study revealed that the level of happiness was higher among community dwelling older adults than those who lived in sanitariums. This finding was consistent with a previous study which compared the level of happiness among the elderly living at home and the senior home residents. Another study has also reported that depression was more prevalent among the elderly living in sanitariums than those living at their home. However, our finding was in contrast with the results of a recent study that investigated the level of happiness among a sample of elderly people in Thailand and reported that the majority of community dwelling elderly adults had a moderate or high level of happiness. Although this conflict may be attributed to the differences in sample size, socio-cultural factors and family structures, the difference in the study instrument might also be a reason since they assessed happiness using a researcher-made questionnaire that might be different from that used in the present study in terms of the basic questions and constructs.

The present study showed that even with respect to demographic variables, the means of happiness scores were significantly higher in the elderly living in the community than those living in sanitariums. However, the means of spiritual health, by all demographic variables, were significantly higher in the elderly who lived in sanitariums than those living in the community.

In the present study, no significant gender differences were observed in happiness scores of the elderly living in sanitariums. However, in the community dwelling elderly people the mean score of happiness was significantly higher in males than in females. Also, in both settings (i.e. sanitariums and community), the spiritual health of the older females was significantly higher than males. This finding was consistent with two previous studies in Iran and India. These findings can be attributed to the fact that the males are usually more active and consider themselves to be more important in achieving the goals of life in their middle-aged and adulthood. However, with the retirement and declining in their roles, their feeling of being important is diminished and consequently would affect their level of spiritual well-being.

The present study showed that in sanitariums, no significant difference was observed in happiness mean scores of smokers and non-smokers. However, in community dwelling older adults, the mean happiness score was significantly higher among non-smokers than in smokers. Moreover, in both settings, the spiritual health of older people who did not smoke was higher than that of smokers. These finding are consistent with the results of a study that investigated the relationship between life satisfaction and health behavior. Perhaps, indecisiveness and despair after the retirement have led people toward smoking. Then, the lower levels of spiritual well-being in smokers might indirectly be attributed to the state of wondering and having no specific aims—but not to smoking- at these last stages of the life.

Our results showed that, in sanitariums, no significant difference was observed between the spiritual health scores of individuals with and without walking ability. This finding was consistent with the results of a previous study that investigated the factors affecting happiness. This finding may be attributed to the fact that the elderly living in sanitariums are usually confined in a limited space, regardless of their ability to walk. On the contrary, the community dwelling elderly with ability to walk had higher spiritual wellbeing scores than those unable to walk. However, the ability to walk induced no significant difference in the seniors’ happiness mean scores in both settings. Peoples’ ability to walk is a main factor affecting their social relationships, their feeling of effectiveness, joy and psychological health. Therefore, the insignificant effect between ability to walk and happiness in the present study indicates the need for further study in this area.

In the present study, the illiterate people who lived in sanitariums and the community dwelling elderly people with primary
Happiness and spiritual well-being of the elderly adults

education obtained the highest mean scores of happiness. Therefore, it seems that illiterate or low-literate individuals were happier than those with higher levels of education. Although this finding may be attributed to the number and distribution of the elderly people participating in this study, it might also be attributed to the fact that people with lower levels of education usually have lower levels of expectancies in their lives and then experience lower levels of tension in the life conditions. Other studies reported mixed results regarding the relationship between education and happiness. In some studies, an indirect association was reported and some others found no relationship between the two variables. That’s why this issue needs further investigation. In addition, although we found no significant relationship between the education level and the mean of spiritual well-being in the elderly lived in sanitariums, the community dwelling elderly with lower levels of education obtained higher scores of spiritual well-being. Previous studies revealed varying results as to the relationship between education and spiritual well-being. In some studies, a direct association was reported; some reported that people with low literacy are more religious and perform more spiritual activities and some others found no relationship between the two variables.

In the present study, no significant difference was observed in happiness and spiritual well-being mean scores of the elderly people (either in sanitarium or in the community) in terms of their previous job and having a chronic illness. Moreover, in sanitariums, no significant differences were observed in happiness and well-being mean scores of the elderly people with different levels of income. However, in the community dwelling elderly people the mean happiness score was higher in those with higher income. Studies have shown that the happiness and spiritual well-being of older people are decreased in those with chronic illnesses. However, a study has reported that a high level of spiritual well-being improves the intensity of a chronic illness in older adults.

In the present study, a direct correlation was observed between the participants’ scores in spiritual well-being and happiness. It means that an increase in happiness would result in an increase in spiritual well-being. Though this finding seems to be logical, no previous studies are available in this regard.

One of the most important limitations of this study that can hinder generalizability of the findings was the limited sample size. Therefore, further studies with larger sample size and stratification for socio-economical variables are suggested.

**Conclusion**

Although most of the elderly participating in this study showed a moderate level of spiritual well-being and a low level of happiness, the level of spiritual well-being was approximately higher among the elderly who lived in sanitariums but the level of happiness was higher among the community dwelling elderly adults. It seems that the elderly who lived with their families benefitted from more social and emotional support that affected their level of happiness. On the other hand, it seems that the older adults in sanitariums have more time to think of the meaning of life and its goals. Then, they had a higher level of spiritual well-being. Measures should be taken to increase the older adults’ level of happiness and spiritual well-being. Public education on the importance of happiness and spiritual well-being among the elderly, encouragement of families and specially the aged peoples’ children to increase their emotional and social support of their aged parents, holding programs to help the older adults in recalling their positive memories and thinking about the meaning of life and increasing their attention toward God may also help them feel higher levels of spiritual well-being and happiness. It is also suggested that the nursing students should be taught the concepts of happiness and spiritual well-being (especially among the elderly population). Then, a higher quality of caring may be delivered, especially by
nurses who work in sanitariums and the elderly care settings.

**Acknowledgement**

This study was supported by Kashan University of Medical Sciences as a research project (No: 92184). The authors would like to thank Kashan University of Medical Sciences for its supports. Also, authors express their appreciation of the sanitarium managers and all the elderly who participated in this study.

**Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

**References**

1. Reaburn P. Nutrition and Performance in Masters Athletes. 1st ed. London: CRC Press; 2014
2. Taghdici MH, Doshmangir P, Dehdari T, Doshmangir L. Influencing factors on healthy lifestyle from viewpoint of Elderly people: qualitative study. Iran J Ageing. 2013;7:47-58.[In persian]
3. Noroozian M. The Elderly Population in Iran: An Ever Growing Concern in the Health System. Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci. 2012;6:1-6.
4. Moreira-Almeida A, Neto F, Koenig H. Religiousness and mental health: a review. Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2006;28:242-50.
5. Nelson C, Rosenfeld B, Breitbart W, Galietta M. Spirituality, religion, and depression in the terminally ill. Psychosomatics. 2002;43:213-20.
6. George LK, Larson DB, Koenig HG, McCullough ME. Spirituality and health: What we know, what we need to know. J Social Clin Psychol. 2000;19:102-16.
7. Shreve-Neiger AK, Edelstein BA. Religion and anxiety: A critical review of the literature. Clin Psychol Rev. 2004;24:379-97.
8. Cross T. Spirituality and mental health: A Native American perspective. Focal Point. 2002;16:22-4.
9. Rasic D, Robinson JA, Bolton J, et al. Longitudinal relationships of religious worship attendance and spirituality with major depression, anxiety disorders, and suicidal ideation and attempts: Findings from the Baltimore epidemiologic catchment area study. J Psychiatr Res. 2011;45:848-54.
10. Astrow AB, Sulmasy DP. Spirituality and the patient-physician relationship. JAMA. 2004;291:2884.
11. Caine KW, Kaufman BP. Prayer, faith, and healing. Cure your body, heal your mind, and restore your soul. 1st ed. Pennsylvania: Rodale Books; 2000.
12. McCord G, Gilchrist VJ, Grossman SD, et al. Discussing spirituality with patients: a rational and ethical approach. Ann Fam Med. 2004;2:356-61.
13. Monroe MH, Bynum D, Susi B, et al. Primary care physician preferences regarding spiritual behavior in medical practice. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:2751-6.
14. Curlin FA, Lawrence RE, Chin MH, Lantos JD. Religion, conscience, and controversial clinical practices. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:593-600.
15. Anandarajah G, Stumpff J. Integrating spirituality into medical practice: a survey of FM clerkship students. Fam Med. 2004;36:160-1.
16. Silvestri GA, Knittig S, Zoller JS, Niertert PJ. Importance of faith on medical decisions regarding cancer care. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21:1379-82.
17. Pérez JE, Little TD, Henrich CC. Spirituality and depressive symptoms in a school-based sample of adolescents: A longitudinal examination of mediated and moderated effects. J Adolesc Health. 2009;44:380-6.
18. Poage ED, Ketzenberger KE, Olson J. Spirituality, contentment, and stress in recovering alcoholics. Addict Behav. 2004;29:1857-62.
19. You KS, Lee H-O, Fitzpatrick JJ, et al. Spirituality, depression, living alone, and perceived health among Korean older...
adults in the community. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 2009;23:309-22.
20 Bekelman DB, Parry C, Curlin FA, et al. A comparison of two spirituality instruments and their relationship with depression and quality of life in chronic heart failure. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2010;39:515-26.
21 Seyed Fatemi N, Rezai M, Givari A, Hosseini F. Prayer and its relation to the spiritual health of patients with cancer. Payesh. 2006;5:295-304.[In persian]
22 Brown D, Johnson E, Parrish M. Spirituality assessments: Limitations and recommendations. Alexandria: American Counseling Association; 2007. [cited 9 April 2015]. Available from: https://www.counseling.org/resources/library/vistas/2007-V-online-MSWord-files/2007-V-online-MSWord-files/Brown.doc.
23 Movaghari M, Nikbakht Nasrabad AR. An investigation into the quality of spiritual rehabilitation in hospitalized elderly patients in mental ward. Payesh Health Monit. 2003;2:121-26. [In persian]
24 Bahrainian SA, Saadat R, Shakeri N, Azizi F. Patients’ Attitude towards the role of spirituality in healing the patients. Med Ethics. 2011;4:131-48. [In Persian]
25 Saydshohadai M, Heshmat Sh, Seidfatemi N, et al. The Spiritual Health of Seniors Living in Sanitarium and Home Residents. Iran J Nurs. 2013;26:11-20. [In Persian]
26 Rezaei S, Manouchehri M. Comparison of mental disorders between home owner residents and nurse homes elders. Iran J Ageing. 2008;3:16-25. [In Persian]
27 Moeen M. Persian language encyclopedia. Iran (Tehran): Amirkabir; 1992. [In Persian]
28 Lyubomirsky S, King L, Diener E. The Benefits of frequent positive affect: Does Happiness Lead to success? Psychol Bull. 2005;131:803-55.
29 Ghaderi D. The survey of relationship between religious orientation and happiness among the elderly man and woman in Tehran. Iran J Ageing. 2011;5:64-71. [In Persian]
30 Nasiri Z, Dadkhah A, koulaei A. Investigate and comparison self-esteem and happiness among residential and non-residential old people. Iran J Ageing. 2012;7:18-25. [In Persian]
31 Sohrabi MB, Zolfaghi P, Mehdizade F, et al. Evaluation and Comparison of Cognitive State and Depression in Elderly Admitted in Sanitarium with Elderly Sited in Personal Home. Knowledge & Health. 2008;3:27-31. [In Persian]
32 Hadinezhad H, Zaree F. Reliability, validity, and normalization of the Oxford Happiness Questionnaire. Psychol Res. 2009;12:62-77. [In Persian]
33 Jadidi A, Farahani M, Janmohammadi S, Haghani H. The Relationship between Spiritual Well-Being and Quality of Life among Elderly People Residing in Kahrizak Senior House. Iran J Nurs. 2011;24:48-56. [In Persian]
34 Sharifidaramadi P, Panahali A. comparison between the level of happiness among the elderly living at home and that of senior home residents. Iran J Ageing. 2011;6:49-55. [In Persian]
35 Taban H, Ahmadzadeh Gh, Ghasemi Gh, et al. Comparison of prevalence of depression in Sadeghiyeh sanitarium and home residences in Isfahan. J Isfahan Med Sch. 2005;23:55-9.[In persian]
36 Tuntichaivanit C, Nanthamongkolchai S, Munsawaengsub C, Charupoonphol P. Life Happiness of the Elderly in Rayong Province. J Public Health. 2009;39:34-47.
37 Kandasamy A, Chaturvedi SK, Desai G. Spirituality, distress, depression, anxiety, and quality of life in patients with advanced cancer. Indian J Cancer. 2011;48:55-9.
38 Grant N, Wardle J, Steptoe A. The relationship between life satisfaction and health behaviour:a cross-cultural analysis of young adults. Int Behav Med. 2009;16:259-68.
39 Keshavarz A, Vafaian M. Investigation of factors affecting happiness. J Appl
40 Hondac K, Jacobson JS. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among United States adults: the influences of personality, coping strategies, and social support. J Prevent Med. 2005;40:46-53.

41 Algier LA, Hanoglu Z, Ozden G. The use of complementary and alternative (non-conventional) medicine in cancer patients in Turkey. Eur J Oncology Nurs. 2005;9:138-46.

42 Pourebrahim T, Rasouli R. Effect of group logotherapy on decreasing depression and increasing meaning in life on older adults resident in sanitarium. J Appl Psychol. 2008;2:673-85. [In Persian]

43 Ghasemi A, Abed A, Bagheban I. The impact of group education based on Snyder’s hope theory on the rate of happiness in elderly’s life. J Appl Psychol 2009;11:17-40. [In Persian]

44 Pawell LH, Shahabi L, Thoresen CE. Religion and spirituality: linkage to physical health. Am Psychol. 2003;58:36-52.