OLFM4 Enhances STAT3 Activation and Promotes Tumor Progression by Inhibiting GRIM19 Expression in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Olfactomedin 4 (OLFM4) induces signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) activation by inhibiting gene associated with retinoid-interferon-induced mortality 19 (GRIM19), a strong STAT3 suppressor gene; however, the mechanisms of OLFM4 for regulating GRIM19-STAT3 cascade in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remain unclear. The functions and regulations of OLFM4, GRIM19, and STAT3 activation in HCC progression were evaluated using surgical specimens collected from 111 HCC patients or 2 HCC cell lines in vitro. Moreover, the cancer stem cell–like property of OLFM4 mediated by leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 (LGR5), known as an intestinal stem cell marker, was investigated. OLFM4 was increased in HCC compared with adjacent liver tissue. The multivariate analysis revealed that high OLFM4 expression was an independent factor for poor prognosis. OLFM4 expression was negatively correlated with GRIM19 expression and positively correlated with STAT3 activation in HCC, thereby increasing cell cycle progression. OLFM4 knockdown in HCC cells increased GRIM19 expression and inhibited STAT3 activation; however, after double knockdown of GRIM19 and OLFM4, STAT3 activation decreased by OLFM4 knockdown was increased again. OLFM4 knockdown increased cell apoptosis, inhibited cell proliferation, and suppressed cancer stem cell–like property in HCC cells. The incidence of hematogenous recurrence was higher in HCC patients with high OLFM4 expression, suggesting that anoikis resistance of HCC was enhanced by OLFM4. In clinical cases, LGR5 expression and CD133 expression was correlated with OLFM4 expression in HCC, leading to poor patient prognosis. In vitro, LGR5 enhanced cancer stem cell–like property by up-regulating OLFM4 through the Wnt signaling pathway. Conclusion: OLFM4 is induced by the LGR5-Wnt signaling pathway and is strongly associated with aggressive tumor progression and poor prognosis in HCC by regulating STAT3-induced tumor cell proliferation and cancer stem cell–like property. Therefore, OLFM4 is a novel prognostic predictor and a potential therapeutic target for patients with HCC. (Hepatology Communications 2019;3:954-970).

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death worldwide, particularly in Asian countries.\(^{(1,2)}\) Despite improvements in multidisciplinary therapy, the prognosis of advanced HCC is still poor because of the lack of effective treatments. Therefore, it is
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essential to elucidate the mechanisms of HCC progression, discover new prognostic factors, and identify useful therapeutic targets.

Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) is a transcriptional factor that is known to be activated in many malignancies, including HCC, and to promote tumor progression. Activation of STAT3 induces tumor cell proliferation by promoting cell-cycle progression,\(^3,4\) enhances tumor cell survival by inhibiting apoptosis,\(^5\) and accelerates tumor invasion and migration by promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT).\(^6,7\) In addition, STAT3 is reported to mediate cancer stem cell–like property by enhancing anoikis resistance, which is related to chemoresistance and poor prognosis.\(^8,9\) However, in HCC, little is known about the clinical significance of STAT3 function, and the precise mechanisms of its activation are still unclear. Moreover, because STAT3 is essential for cell survival in normal organs, therapies targeting STAT3 are not common.

Several factors regulating STAT3 activation have been reported. Because STAT3 is strongly related to inflammatory responses, its activation is induced by inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. In addition, activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), a potent inflammation-related transcriptional factor, is known to accelerate STAT3 activation.\(^9\) In contrast, gene associated with retinoid-interferon-induced mortality 19 (GRIM19) is known to be a strong suppressor for STAT3 activation.\(^10,11\) It has been reported that GRIM19 expression is decreased in many malignancies, such as breast cancer,\(^12\) colon cancer,\(^13\) ovarian cancer,\(^14\) and HCC,\(^15\) which conversely induces STAT3 activation and promotes cancer progression. However, the mechanisms of decreased GRIM19 expression in malignancies are still unclear. In addition, therapies up-regulating GRIM19 expression in tumor are not yet established.

Olfactomedin 4 (OLFM4), also known as GW112, is a member of the OLFM family, which has been identified from myeloid cells.\(^16\) Initially, OLFM4 is recognized to regulate inflammatory response and innate immunity,\(^17,18\), however, recent studies have demonstrated that OLFM4 is associated with cancer progression in several malignancies. OLFM4 is reported to increase in cancers, including gastric cancer,\(^19-22\) colon cancer,\(^23,24\) and pancreatic cancer,\(^25,26\) and to promote tumor progression by inducing cell-cycle progression and enhancing tumor invasion and metastasis, which resulted in poor prognosis. Moreover, recent studies have suggested the usefulness of OLFM4 as a stem cell marker of the intestine, because OLFM4 expression in the epithelium of the intestine is significantly correlated with the expression levels of leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 (LGR5), which is known to enhance stem cell property in the intestine through the Wnt signaling pathway.\(^27-29\) In contrast, several studies have demonstrated that OLFM4 suppressed tumor proliferation, invasion, and metastasis in prostate cancer,\(^30,31\) and triple-negative breast cancer.\(^32\) Therefore, the role of OLFM4 in cancer progression remains uncertain. In relation to STAT3 activation,
only a few experiments have shown that OLFM4 attenuates the ability of GRIM19 and enhances STAT3 activation in HeLa cells\(^{(33)}\) and gastric cancer cells\(^{(34)}\) in vitro. Based on these results, controlling STAT3 activation through OLFM4-GRIM19 cascade is a potentially useful therapeutic target for HCC patients. However, no reports have evaluated OLFM4 expression in HCC in any experimental models.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the expression of OLFM4 in patients with HCC in order to investigate the correlations with clinicopathological variables, including patient survival, and to determine whether STAT3 activation mediated by OLFM4-GRIM19 cascade is a relevant factor in the regulation of HCC progression.

### Materials and Methods

#### TISSUE SAMPLES

We studied 111 HCC patients who underwent primary surgical resection between 2009 and 2012 at our institution. None of the patients received preoperative treatments. Fresh surgical specimens were obtained from these patients during operation. Normal liver tissues were obtained from patients with liver metastases of colorectal cancer as controls. Portions of the samples were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The pathological features of HCC were evaluated based on the classification proposed by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan (6th ed., 2015). Whole-tissue lysates and nuclear extracts were collected from 35 HCC samples. Fully informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the Chiba University Human Research Committee.

#### IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL AND IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE STAINING

Immunohistochemical staining was performed with anti-OLFM4, anti-GRIM19, anti-Ki-67, anti-CD133, anti-LGR5 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), or anti-phosphorylated (p-)STAT3 (Ser727) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) using the EnVision Kit or Universal LSAB Kit (Dako, Copenhagen, Denmark) and was counterstained with hematoxylin. A negative control obtained by omitting the secondary antibody was included in each target protein. Isotype-matched controls were used as negative controls. The expression levels of OLFM4 or GRIM19 were evaluated based on the staining intensity and percentage of positive cells. The intensity grade was evaluated by two clinical pathologists based on the grading scale and ranged from 0 (no signal) to 1 (very weak signal), 2 (weak signal), 3 (strong signal), and 4 (the strongest signal), and the average score was recognized as the intensity score. The percentage score for the positive cells was determined from 1 (0%-5%), 2 (6%-25%), 3 (26%-50%), and 4 (51%-100%) using the ImageJ software. The product of the intensity score and the percentage score was defined as the labeling index (0-16). The cell proliferation index was evaluated by Ki-67 immunohistochemistry based on the percentage of positive nuclei in 400 to 600 tumor cells. Determination of p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index was evaluated by immunohistochemistry based on the percentage of positive nuclei and was expressed as the labeling index of HCC. Overexpression of CD133 was defined as 30% or more of cancer cells expressing CD133 compared with adjacent liver tissue. For LGR5 expression, HCC with more than 30% of cancer cells losing LGR5 expression compared with adjacent liver tissue was defined as HCC with low LGR5 expression. Immunofluorescence staining was done as described previously. Anti-GRIM19, anti-Ki-67, and anti-green fluorescent protein antibody (Abcam) were used for immunofluorescence staining.

#### WESTERN BLOTTING

Western blotting was performed using whole cell lysates as described.\(^{(35)}\) PhosphoBLOCKER (Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, CA) was used for blocking nonspecific binding sites. Antibodies against OLFM4, GRIM19, LGR5, CD133 (Abcam), p-STAT3 (Ser727), β-actin, or caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) were used for primary antibody. Immunoreactive proteins were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence and quantified by image analysis.
ELECTROPHORETIC MOBILITY-SHIFT ASSAY

Nuclear extracts were prepared by the method of Deryckere and Gannon, and the DNA-binding activity was evaluated by electrophoretic mobility-shift assay (EMSA). Double-stranded consensus oligonucleotides to STAT3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was end-labeled with $\gamma^{[32P]}$ adenosine triphosphate (Perkin Elmer, Inc., Boston, MA). Binding reaction products were separated in a polyacrylamide gel and analyzed by autoradiography.

CELL CULTURE

Human HCC cell lines, Huh-7 cells and HepG2 cells, purchased from the JCRB Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan), were distributed onto a 6-cm dish at a concentration of $3.5 \times 10^5$ cells/mL. After overnight incubation, small interfering RNA (siRNA) specific for OLFM4, GRIM19, or LGR5, or negative control siRNA (QIAGEN, Inc., Valencia, CA), was transfected into HCC cells using RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, New Hampshire). In some experiments, additional knockdown of OLFM4 was performed in GRIM19-knockdown HCC cells to achieve double knockdown of OLFM4 and GRIM19. The Biotrak cell proliferation enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay system (GE Healthcare, Buckingham, United Kingdom) was used for evaluating DNA incorporation of 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU), and a cell counting kit (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) was used for counting total cell number after 24, 48, or 72 hours of treatment as an index of HCC cell proliferation. Cell lysates and nuclear extracts were prepared for western blotting or EMSA. For the apoptosis assay, cells were stained with propidium iodide and Annexin V-FITC 72 hours after treatment. Apoptotic cells were identified using a FACS CANTO II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). In other experiments, cells were incubated for 72 hours in medium containing 0 or 50 μmol/L IWP-2, a Wnt signaling inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

ANOIKIS ASSAY

To evaluate the resistance for apoptosis after losing contact with the extracellular matrix (cancer stem cell–like property), anoikis assay was performed using OLFM4-depleted HCC cells. After incubating these cells with medium without growth factor with rotation for 24 hours, colony formation assay was performed as previously described. The number of colonies was determined at 14 days after cell seeding.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as means ± SEM. The Kaplan-Meier method was used for estimating overall survival and relapse-free survival and analyzed by the log-rank test. Significant prognostic factors by univariate analysis were included in a multivariable analysis to identify independent factors for poor prognosis. Statistical comparisons for significance were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test, Student $t$ test, Fisher's exact test, or regression analysis. Probability (P) values of 0.05 or less were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the commercially available software JMP 11 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

OLFM4 EXPRESSION IN NORMAL LIVER TISSUE, ADJACENT LIVER TISSUE, AND HCC

When OLFM4 expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1A), it was weak in normal liver tissue and adjacent liver tissue. Increased OLFM4 expression was seen in some cases of HCC compared with adjacent liver tissue, but not in other cases of HCC. Based on receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis in accordance with the 3-year survival (Supporting Fig. S1A), 111 HCC patients were divided into two groups (cutoff value = 6; $P = 0.014$; AUC [area under the curve] = 0.636). When the relationships between OLFM4 expression in HCC and several clinicopathological variables were evaluated, high OLFM4 expression was significantly correlated with younger age ($P = 0.047$), larger tumor size ($P < 0.001$), positive portal vein invasion ($P = 0.005$), and the incidence of hematogenous recurrence ($P = 0.030$) (Table 1). Overall survival time and relapse-free survival time were significantly poorer in HCC patients with high
OLFM4 expression ($P = 0.010$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively) (Fig. 1B,C). The univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that the presence of intrahepatic metastasis ($P = 0.045$), positive portal vein invasion ($P = 0.003$), positive venous invasion ($P = 0.042$), and high OLFM4 expression in HCC ($P = 0.002$) were independent poor prognostic factors associated with relapse-free survival (Table 2).
GRIM19 EXPRESSION IN NORMAL LIVER TISSUE, ADJACENT LIVER TISSUE, AND HCC

Immunohistochemical staining revealed abundant GRIM19 expression in normal liver tissue and adjacent liver tissue (Fig. 1D). GRIM19 expression was decreased in some cases of HCC. When 111 HCC patients were divided into two groups by ROC analysis in accordance with the 3-year survival (Supporting Fig. S1B) (cutoff value = 6; $P = 0.040$; AUC = 0.623), low GRIM19 expression was significantly correlated with larger tumor size ($P = 0.019$), positive septum formation ($P = 0.018$), poor differentiation ($P = 0.014$), and positive portal vein invasion ($P = 0.030$) (Supporting Table S1). Kaplan-Meier analyses revealed that overall survival time and relapse-free survival time for patients with low GRIM19 expression were significantly poorer when compared with those with high GRIM19 expression ($P = 0.002$ and $P = 0.004$, respectively) (Fig. 1E,F).

### Table 1. Relationship Between OLFM4 Expression and Clinicopathological Characteristics in HCC

| OLFM4 Expression in HCC | High     | Low     | $P$ Value |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Patients (n)            | 53       | 58      | 0.047     |
| Age (years; means ± SEM)| 63.9 ± 1.5 | 67.4 ± 1.4 | 0.351     |
| Gender                  | Male     | Female  |           |
|                         | 44       | 44      |           |
|                         | 9        | 14      |           |
| Virus infection          | HBV+ or HCV+ | NBNC  |           |
|                         | 37       | 39      | 0.771     |
|                         | 16       | 19      |           |
| AST levels (U/L) (mean ± SEM) | 48.4 ± 4.1 | 43.2 ± 3.9 | 0.818     |
| Tumor capsule           | +        |         | 0.652     |
|                         | 43       | 46      |           |
|                         | –        | 9       | 12        |
| Capsule infiltration    | +        |         | 0.170     |
|                         | 33       | 30      |           |
|                         | –        | 18      | 28        |
| Septum formation        | +        |         | 0.351     |
|                         | 44       | 44      |           |
|                         | –        | 9       | 14        |
| Differentiation         | Well or moderately | Poorly | 0.233     |
|                         | 47       | 55      |           |
|                         | 6        | 3       |           |
| Tumor size (mm) (means ± SEM) | 64.2 ± 5.0 | 38.4 ± 4.8 | <0.001   |
| Number of tumors        | Solitary |         | 0.153     |
|                         | 42       | 39      |           |
|                         | Multiple |         |           |
|                         | 11       | 19      |           |
| Intrahepatic metastasis | +        |         | 0.969     |
|                         | 19       | 21      |           |
|                         | –        | 34      | 37        |
| Portal vein invasion    | +        |         | 0.005     |
|                         | 23       | 11      |           |
|                         | –        | 30      | 47        |
| Venous invasion         | +        |         | 0.338     |
|                         | 12       | 9       |           |
|                         | –        | 41      | 49        |
| Serosal infiltration    | +        |         | 0.882     |
|                         | 2        | 3       |           |
|                         | –        | 50      | 55        |
| PIVKA-II levels (mAU/mL) (mean ± SEM) | 3,901 ± 960.5 | 6,019 ± 3,425 | 0.277     |
| AFP levels (ng/mL) (mean ± SEM) | 3,366 ± 1,800 | 5,254 ± 3,754 | 0.326     |
| Hematogenous recurrence | +        |         | 0.030     |
|                         | 5        | 1       |           |
|                         | –        | 47      | 57        |

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha fetoprotein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; NBNC, non-B non-C; PIVKA-II, protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist II. The bold-faced values were statistically significant by the student $t$ test.
Clinically Relevant Between OLFM4 and GRIM19

Immunohistochemical staining revealed that OLFM4 expression was negatively correlated with GRIM19 expression in clinical cases of HCC ($P = 0.002$) (Fig. 2A). These data were confirmed by western blotting using whole-tissue lysates from HCC (Fig. 2B). Consistent with the results of immunohistochemistry, western blotting results showed the negative correlation between OLFM4 expression and GRIM19 expression ($P = 0.043$). When the overall survival time and relapse-free survival time in patients with HCC in relation to the expression levels of OLFM4 and GRIM19 were evaluated, low OLFM4 expression with high GRIM19 expression in HCC was a significant predictor for better prognosis ($P < 0.05$, compared with other groups) (Supporting Fig. S1C,D). In most cases, the labeling index of GRIM19 in tumor-adjacent liver tissues was very high (mean + SEM of the GRIM19 labeling index = 12.4 + 0.3). In contrast, the OLFM4 labeling index was very low in tumor-adjacent liver tissues in most cases (mean + SEM of the OLFM4 labeling index = 3.4 + 0.2). These data were consistent with the correlations in HCC.

### Table 2. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Relapse-Free Survival in 111 Patients With HCC

| Hazard Ratio | PValue | Hazard Ratio | PValue |
|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| Age ($\geq70$, $n = 45$ vs. $<70$, $n = 66$) | 1.341 (0.812-2.191) | 0.248 | 1.499 (0.850-2.597) | 0.158 |
| Gender (male, $n = 88$ vs. female, $n = 23$) | 1.221 (0.674-2.399) | 0.526 | 1.350 (0.695-2.577) | 0.371 |
| Virus infection (HBV/HCV, $n = 76$ vs. NBNC, $n = 35$) | 1.799 (1.074-2.577) | 0.026 | 1.499 (0.850-2.597) | 0.158 |
| Tumor size ($\geq50$ mm, $n = 38$ vs. $<50$ mm, $n = 73$) | 3.145 (1.901-5.177) | <0.001 | 3.150 (1.695-5.777) | <0.001 |
| Tumor capsule (absent, $n = 21$ vs. present, $n = 89$) | 1.709 (0.878-3.759) | 0.120 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| Capsule infiltration (present, $n = 63$ vs. absent, $n = 46$) | 1.812 (1.082-3.118) | 0.024 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| Septum formation (present, $n = 68$ vs. absent, $n = 23$) | 1.655 (0.881-3.455) | 0.122 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| Number of tumors (multiple, $n = 30$ vs. solitary, $n = 81$) | 1.232 (0.712-2.060) | 0.444 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| Intrahepatic metastasis (present, $n = 40$ vs. absent, $n = 71$) | 2.462 (1.485-4.039) | <0.001 | 1.845 (1.015-3.310) | 0.045 |
| Portal vein invasion (positive, $n = 34$ vs. negative, $n = 77$) | 5.579 (3.243-9.509) | <0.001 | 3.177 (1.481-6.820) | 0.003 |
| Venous invasion (positive, $n = 21$ vs. negative, $n = 90$) | 5.518 (2.989-9.754) | <0.001 | 2.226 (1.031-4.747) | 0.042 |
| Serosal infiltration (present, $n = 5$ vs. absent, $n = 105$) | 1.938 (0.599-6.105) | 0.310 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| Differentiation (poorly, $n = 9$ vs. moderately and well, $n = 102$) | 1.854 (0.645-4.214) | 0.226 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| PIVKA-II ($\geq40$ mAU/mL, $n = 70$ vs. $<40$ mAU/mL, $n = 41$) | 2.260 (1.340-3.965) | 0.002 | 1.016 (0.508-2.033) | 0.934 |
| AFP ($\geq40$ ng/mL, $n = 52$ vs. $<40$ ng/mL, $n = 59$) | 1.549 (0.950-2.535) | 0.079 | 1.068 (0.577-1.964) | >0.999 |
| OLFM4 expression (high, $n = 53$ vs. low, $n = 58$) | 2.646 (1.603-4.429) | <0.001 | 2.555 (1.423-4.655) | 0.002 |

**Abbreviations:** AFP, alpha fetoprotein; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; NBNC, non-B and non-C; PIVKA-II, protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist-II. The bold-faced values were statistically significant by the regression analysis.

STAT3 Activation in Relation to OLFM4 and GRIM19 Expression in HCC

Following activation, Ser727 residue of STAT3 is phosphorylated and translocated into the nucleus. Therefore, the activation of STAT3 was evaluated by nuclear accumulation of p-STAT3 (Ser727) by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, the p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index was positively correlated with OLFM4 expression and negatively correlated with GRIM19 expression in HCC ($P = 0.003$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively). In accordance with STAT3 activation in tumor-adjacent liver tissues, its p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index was extremely low in most cases (mean + SEM of the p-STAT3 [Ser727] labeling index = 4.2 + 0.9).

STAT3 activation in relation to OLFM4 expression in HCC was confirmed by EMSA and western blotting. EMSA results revealed that STAT3 activation was significantly increased in HCC with high OLFM4 expression ($P = 0.028$; Fig. 2D). Similar to the results from EMSA, p-STAT3 (Ser727) expression tended to be higher in HCC with high OLFM4 expression than that with low OLFM4 expression.
FIG. 2. (A) Correlation between OLFM4 labeling index and GRIM19 labeling index in HCC (n = 111). A significant correlation was seen by regression analysis (P = 0.002). (B) OLFM4 and GRIM19 expression evaluated by western blotting (n = 35). A significant correlation was seen by regression analysis (P = 0.043). Data are shown by relative intensity unit (RIU). (C) Nuclear accumulation of p-STAT3 (Ser727) was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining. Results are representative of 111 HCC sections. Original magnification was ×400. The p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index was positively correlated with the OLFM4 labeling index (P = 0.003) and negatively correlated with the GRIM19 labeling index (P = 0.001). (D) STAT3 activation in HCC with low and high OLFM4 expression, analyzed by EMSA (n = 16-19 per group). *P = 0.028 between these groups. (E) Expression levels of p-STAT3 (Ser727) evaluated by western blotting (n = 16-19 per group). P = 0.080 between these groups. (F) Cell proliferation index evaluated by Ki-67 immunohistochemistry. Results are representative of 111 HCC sections. Original magnification was ×100. The cell proliferation index was significantly correlated with the OLFM4 labeling index (P = 0.049).
When the cell proliferation index was determined by Ki-67 immunohistochemistry, it was significantly correlated with STAT3 activity \((P = 0.002; \text{Supporting Fig. S1E})\). Consistent with the results of STAT3 activation, the cell proliferation index by Ki-67 was significantly correlated with the OLFM4 labeling index \((P = 0.049)\) (Fig. 2F). When 111 HCC patients were divided into two groups, high and low p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index, based on ROC analysis in accordance with the 3-year survival (cutoff value = 19.1; \(P = 0.002; \text{AUC} = 0.600\)) (Supporting Fig. S2A), overall survival time and relapse-free survival time were significantly poorer in HCC patients with high p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index (Supporting Fig. S2B,C).

### EFFECTS OF OLFM4 AND GRIM19 KNOCKDOWN ON HCC CELLS IN VITRO

Expression of OLFM4 and GRIM19 in Huh-7 cells and HepG2 cells was confirmed by western blotting (Supporting Fig. S2D). In Huh-7 cells, knockdown of OLFM4 by its siRNAs significantly increased GRIM19 expression \((P < 0.01 \text{ for each})\), thereby reducing p-STAT3 (Ser727) expression \((P < 0.01 \text{ for each})\) (Fig. 3A). Increased expression of GRIM19 in OLFM4-depleted Huh-7 cells was confirmed by immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 3B). Strong OLFM4 expression was seen in negative control cells, which expressed low GRIM19. After OLFM4 knockdown, GRIM19 expression was significantly increased in these OLFM4-depleted Huh-7 cells. When double knockdown of OLFM4 and GRIM19 was further performed in Huh-7 cells, cell proliferation suppressed by OLFM4 knockdown was enhanced again after additional knocking down of GRIM19 \((P < 0.001 \text{ for each})\) (Fig. 3C). Consistent, decreased STAT3 activation by OLFM4 knockdown was recovered to the basal line in OLFM4 and GRIM19 double-knockdown HepG2 cells \((P < 0.001 \text{ for each})\) (Supporting Fig. S3C,D). Similar to Huh-7 cells, GRIM19 knockdown alone had no effects on OLFM4 expression in HepG2 cells (Supporting Fig. S3E).

In Huh-7 cells, OLFM4 knockdown significantly increased the expression levels of caspase-3, the indicators of cell apoptosis (Fig. 4A). When flow cytometry was performed to evaluate the effects of OLFM4 knockdown on Huh-7 cell apoptosis, OLFM4 knockdown significantly increased the population of apoptotic cells at 72 hours after treatment \((P < 0.001; \text{Fig. 4B})\). DNA incorporation of BrdU was reduced in OLFM4-depleted Huh-7 cells, when compared with negative controls \((P < 0.001 \text{ for each}; \text{Fig. 4C})\). Consistent with these results, cell proliferation was inhibited in Huh-7 cells with OLFM4 knockdown (Fig. 4D). The results of apoptosis and proliferation in Huh-7 cells were confirmed in HepG2 cells. OLFM4 knockdown in HepG2 cells significantly increased caspase-3 expression by western blotting (Supporting Fig. S4A), thereby inducing apoptosis evaluated by flow cytometry \((P < 0.001; \text{Supporting Fig. S4B})\). In addition, OLFM4 knockdown significantly inhibiting DNA incorporation of BrdU and cell proliferation in HepG2 cells \((P < 0.001 \text{ for each}; \text{Supporting Fig. S4C,D})\). Anoikis resistance is known as one of the potent functions for cancer stem cell–like property; therefore, cancer stem cell–like property in OLFM4-depleted HCC cells was evaluated by anoikis assay. Interestingly, the number of colony formation was significantly reduced after OLFM4 knockdown in both Huh-7 cells and HepG2 cells, suggesting that OLFM4 enhances cancer stem cell–like property of HCC cells \((P < 0.001 \text{ for each})\) (Fig. 4E and Supporting Fig. S4E).
Fig. 3. (A) Effects of OLFM4 knockdown by siRNA in Huh-7 cells evaluated by western blotting (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.01 compared with negative controls. (B) Effects of OLFM4 knockdown in Huh-7 cells evaluated by immunofluorescence staining. 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for nuclear staining. Results are representative of n = 6 per group. Original magnification was ×400. (C) Double knockdown of OLFM4 and GRIM19 in Huh-7 cells. GRIM19 knockdown was further performed in addition to knocking down of OLFM4. Cell proliferation was evaluated by a cell counting kit (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls and double-knockdown cells. (D) Effects of OLFM4 and GRIM19 double knockdown on STAT3 activation assessed by EMSA (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls and double-knockdown cells. (E) Effects of GRIM19 knockdown in Huh-7 cells evaluated by western blotting (n = 4-6 per group). No differences were seen between the two groups.
The upstream factors regulating OLFM4 expression in malignancies are not yet fully understood. Recent studies have suggested that LGR5, a receptor of the Wnt signaling pathway that is known as a stem cell marker of the intestine, mediates OLFM4 expression through the Wnt signaling pathway. Our findings showed that OLFM4 enhanced cancer stem property in Huh-7 cells evaluated by anoikis assay (n = 4-6 per group). Original magnification was ×400. *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls. Abbreviation: O.D., optimal density.

FIG. 4. (A) Effects of OLFM4 knockdown on caspase-3 expression in Huh-7 cells evaluated by western blotting (n = 3 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls. (B) Huh-7 cell apoptosis at 72 hours after OLFM4 knockdown was evaluated by apoptosis assay using flow cytometry (n = 3-4 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls. Effects of OLFM4 knockdown on DNA incorporation of BrdU by absorptiometry (optimal density = 450 nm) (C) and cell proliferation in Huh-7 cells (n = 4-6 per group) (D). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls at 72 hours after incubation. (E) Effects of OLFM4 knockdown on cancer stem cell–like property in Huh-7 cells evaluated by anoikis assay (n = 4-6 per group).
cell–like property in HCC; therefore, the expression levels of LGR5 and CD133, a well-known cancer stem cell marker for HCC, in 111 HCC patients were evaluated by immunohistochemistry. LGR5 expression was abundantly seen in normal liver tissue and adjacent liver tissue, whereas its expression was weak in some cases of HCC compared with adjacent liver tissue. OLFM4 labeling index was significantly higher in HCC with high LGR5 expression compared with HCC with low LGR5 expression (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5A). Instead, CD133 was weak in normal liver tissue and adjacent liver tissue; however, it was strongly expressed in some cases of HCC. Interestingly, CD133 expression in HCC was significantly correlated with OLFM4 expression in HCC (P = 0.003) (Fig. 5B). Moreover, overall survival time and relapse-free survival time were significantly poorer in HCC patients with high LGR5 expression (P = 0.018 and P = 0.002, respectively; Fig. 5C,D).

CANCER STEM CELL–LIKE PROPERTY MEDIATED BY LGR5-Wnt SIGNALING-OLFM4 PATHWAY IN VITRO

When LGR5 knockdown was performed in Huh-7 cells in vitro, expression levels of OLFM4 and CD133 were significantly decreased after knocking down of LGR5 (P < 0.001 for each) (Fig. 5E). In addition, stimulation with a Wnt signaling inhibitor, IWP-2, significantly decreased OLFM4 expression in Huh-7 cells (P < 0.001), thereby reducing CD133 expression (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5F). These findings were confirmed using HepG2. Consistently, LGR5 knockdown and IWP-2 stimulation significantly inhibited OLFM4 expression, leading to decreased expression of CD133 in HepG2 cells (P < 0.001 for each) (Supporting Fig. S5A,B). In addition, DNA incorporation of BrdU was reduced in Huh-7 cells and HepG2 cells after LGR5 knockdown or Wnt signaling inhibition by IWP-2, when compared with negative controls (P < 0.001 for each) (Fig. 5G and Supporting Fig. S5C). Consistent with these results, cell proliferation was inhibited in Huh-7 cells and HepG2 cells after LGR5 knockdown or Wnt signaling inhibition by IWP-2 (P < 0.001 for each, compared with negative controls) (Fig. 5H and Supporting Fig. S5D). Moreover, STAT3 activation evaluated by EMSA was significantly decreased after LGR5 knockdown or IWP-2-treatment in Huh-7 cells or HepG2 cells compared with negative controls (Fig. 5I and Supporting Fig. S5E). Furthermore, GRIM19 expression evaluated by western blotting was increased in LGR5-depleted or LGR5-inhibited Huh-7 cells or HepG2 cells in vitro (Supporting Fig. S5F). These results suggest that the LGR5-Wnt signaling pathway is the upstream cascade for increasing OLFM4 expression in HCC, which enhances cancer stem cell–like property and tumor cell proliferation in HCC by up-regulating OLFM4-mediated STAT3 activation.

Discussion

OLFM4 has been reported to increase in cancers and promote tumor progression by several mechanisms. OLFM4 promotes tumor cell proliferation by activating STAT3 and accelerating cell–cycle progression in gastric cancer(22) and pancreatic cancer.(25) Moreover, OLFM4 inhibits tumor cell apoptosis through NF-κB pathway in gastric cancer. (20) Regarding tumor invasiveness and metastasis, OLFM4 is reported to increase liver metastasis in colon cancer(24) and promote lymph node invasion and metastasis in gastric cancer by enhancing EMT signaling. (20) As these results show, increased OLFM4 expression is associated with poor survival in patients with pancreatic cancer, colon cancer, and gastric cancer. In contrast, OLFM4 has been reported to inhibit tumor proliferation and metastasis in prostate cancer by inhibiting cathepsin and stromal cell–derived factor 1. (30,31) Moreover, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis are significantly increased in triple-negative breast cancer patients with low OLFM4 expression, which is associated with poor prognosis. (32) Therefore, the roles of OLFM4 on cancer progression might be different among different types of cancer. However, the function of OLFM4 in HCC has not been reported in any experimental model. In the present study, we propose precise mechanisms of OLFM4-mediated HCC progression (Fig. 6) and reveal that OLFM4 expression is increased in HCC, and increased OLFM4 expression is associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC.

To clarify the precise mechanisms of OLFM4-mediated HCC progression, we focus on the GRIM19-STAT3 cascade. GRIM19 is known as a strong tumor suppressor gene, and the effects were induced by
STAT3 inhibition. As STAT3 promotes tumor progression by inducing tumor cell proliferation, inhibiting apoptosis and increasing tumor invasion and metastasis by enhancing EMT,\(^{(3-7)}\) GRIM19-mediated STAT3 inhibition is also reported to be associated with tumor suppression in many cancers. In brief, GRIM19 expression is decreased and negatively correlates with STAT3 activation in gastric cancer.\(^{(36)}\)
colon cancer\(^{13}\), breast cancer\(^{12}\), ovarian cancer, and HCC\(^{15}\), leading to poor patient prognosis. As we show that GRIM19 expression is negatively correlated with STAT3 activation, low GRIM19 expression is the significant factor for poor prognosis in patients with HCC. In the present study, we evaluated p-STAT3

**Fig. 5.** (A) Immunohistochemical staining for LGR5 in NL tissues from patients with liver metastasis and HCC with high and low LGR5 expression. Results are representative of 111 HCC sections. Original magnification was ×100. The OLFM4 labeling index was significantly higher in HCC with high LGR5 expression (*P < 0.001 between these groups). (B) Immunohistochemical staining for CD133 in NL tissues from patients with liver metastasis and HCC with high and low CD133 expression. Results are representative of 111 HCC sections. Original magnification was ×100. OLFM4 labeling index was significantly higher in HCC with high CD133 expression. *P = 0.003 between these groups. Overall survival (C) and relapse-free survival (D) of 111 HCC patients in relation to LGR5 expression in HCC were analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier methods (P = 0.018 and P = 0.002, respectively). (E) Effects of LGR5 knockdown in Huh-7 cells evaluated by western blotting \textit{in vitro} (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls. (F) Effects of treatment with the Wnt signaling inhibitor on OLFM4 expression in Huh-7 cells \textit{in vitro} (n = 4-6 per group). Huh-7 cells were stimulated with 50 μM IWP-2, a Wnt signaling inhibitor, for 72 hours. *P < 0.001 compared with 0 μM. Effects of LGR5 knockdown or treatment with Wnt signaling inhibitor on DNA incorporation of BrdU (G) and cell proliferation in Huh-7 cells (H) (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls at 72 hours after incubation. (I) Effects of LGR5 knockdown or treatment with the Wnt signaling inhibitor on STAT3 activation in Huh-7 cells evaluated by EMSA (n = 4-6 per group). *P < 0.001 compared with negative controls at 72 hours after incubation. Abbreviation: O.D., optimal density.

**Fig. 6.** Proposed model of OLFM4-induced STAT3 activation mediated by the LGR5-Wnt signaling pathway in HCC. Abbreviations: APC, adenomatous polyposis coli protein; β-Cat, β-catenin; GSK3, glycogen synthase kinase 3; TCF, T cell factor.
(Ser727) expression in HCC, as GRIM19 is known to recognize and bind to p-STAT3 (Ser727) and induce dephosphorylation of STAT3 (Ser727), resulting in suppressed STAT3 activation.\(^{(37)}\) STAT3 (Tyr705) phosphorylation was the classical pattern for STAT3 activation, and many studies have demonstrated that STAT3 (Tyr705) phosphorylation promotes cancer progression. However, the roles of STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation on cancer progression are not well defined. A recent study demonstrated that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation suppressed HCC progression\(^{(38)}\); however, several other papers have shown that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation promotes HCC progression by activating STAT3.\(^{(39,40)}\) In addition, we have recently reported that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation by Pin1, a peptidyl-prolyl isomerase, activates STAT3 and enhances tumor progression in gallbladder cancer.\(^{(41)}\) In the present study, overall survival time and relapse-free survival time were significantly poorer in HCC patients with high p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index. Moreover, significant correlations were found between p-STAT3 (Ser727) expression and STAT3 activation in HCC. These results in the present study clearly showed that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation promoted HCC progression by activating STAT3.\(^{(39,40)}\) In addition, we have recently reported that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation by Pin1, a peptidyl-prolyl isomerase, activates STAT3 and enhances tumor progression in gallbladder cancer.\(^{(41)}\) In the present study, overall survival time and relapse-free survival time were significantly poorer in HCC patients with high p-STAT3 (Ser727) labeling index. Moreover, significant correlations were found between p-STAT3 (Ser727) expression and STAT3 activation in HCC. These results in the present study clearly showed that STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation promoted HCC progression by activating STAT3. Therefore, STAT3 (Ser727) phosphorylation is a useful target for evaluating STAT3 activity mediated by OLFM4-GRIM19 cascade in the present study.

The interaction between OLFM4 and GRIM19 is not fully understood. Only a few reports have suggested that OLFM4 negatively regulates GRIM19 expression in Hela cells and gastric cancer cells in vitro.\(^{(33)}\) However, no reports have shown the correlation in clinical patients. In the present study, we demonstrate that OLFM4 expression is negatively correlated with GRIM19 expression and positively correlated with STAT3 activation. Because STAT3 activation is correlated with cell proliferation in clinical cases of HCC, these data suggest that OLFM4 promotes STAT3 activation by inhibiting GRIM19-mediated STAT3 inactivation, leading to poor prognosis in patients with HCC. The results that low OLFM4 expression with high GRIM19 expression in HCC was a significant predictor for better prognosis support our hypothesis.

The precise mechanisms of HCC progression by OLFM4-GRIM19-STAT3 cascade are confirmed in vitro using two HCC cell lines. We reveal that OLFM4 is the upstream factor in the OLFM4-GRIM19-STAT3 cascade, because OLFM4 knockdown significantly increases GRIM19 expression; however, GRIM19 knockdown shows no effects on OLFM4 expression in HCC cells. Moreover, we clarify that STAT3 activation by OLFM4 is mediated through inhibition of GRIM19 function. In brief, OLFM4 knockdown reduces STAT3 activation; however, the effects of OLFM4 knockdown on STAT3 activation are recovered by double knockdown of OLFM4 and GRIM19. OLFM4-induced STAT3 activation inhibits apoptosis and induces HCC cell proliferation by enhancing cell-cycle progression. Based on these results, OLFM4-GRIM19-STAT3 signaling is a potentially excellent therapeutic target for controlling tumor progression in patients with HCC. Direct inhibition of STAT3 is critical for the survival of normal organs; therefore, STAT3 inhibitor is difficult for clinical use. Up-regulation of GRIM19 expression in HCC might be another chance for molecular targeting therapy; however, no effective drugs exist for up-regulating GRIM19 expression. Moreover, the development of drugs up-regulating the target factor is sometimes difficult. We believe that OLFM4 is the favorable target for controlling OLFM4-GRIM19-STAT3 cascade. At present, OLFM4 inhibitor has not developed yet; therefore, we focus on the upstream pathway of this cascade.

Inflammation-related factors, such as activator protein 1, NF-κB, retinoic acid, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, have been reported to regulate OLFM4 expression.\(^{(20,42-44)}\) In addition, recent studies have demonstrated that LGR5 increases OLFM4 expression through the Wnt signaling pathway and promotes stem cell property in the intestine.\(^{(27-29)}\) LGR5 is reported to be expressed in HCC;\(^{(45,46)}\) however, no reports have focused on the interaction between LGR5 and OLFM4. Moreover, no previous studies have shown the effects of LGR5 or OLFM4 on cancer stem cell–like property in any malignancies. In the present study, we clearly demonstrate that LGR5 induces OLFM4 expression through the Wnt signaling pathway and up-regulates STAT3 activation, leading to enhanced cancer stem cell–like property and accelerated cell-cycle progression in HCC. As these results show, increased LGR5 expression is associated with poor prognosis in patients with HCC. Cancer stem cell–like property, such as anoikis resistance, is known to be strongly correlated with hematogenous
distant metastasis, because anoikis resistance enhances the resistance to apoptosis after losing contact with the extracellular matrix and accelerates colonization at the distant site. In the present study, we reveal that the incidence of hematogenous recurrence is higher in HCC patients with high OLFM4 expression. Therefore, therapies controlling the LGR5-Wnt signaling pathway are assumed to suppress STAT3 activation by down-regulating OLFM4-GRIM19 cascade and inhibit HCC metastasis by reducing cancer stem cell–like property. Further in vivo and clinical studies using LGR5 inhibitor or Wnt inhibitor are needed for clinical use.

In conclusion, OLFM4 expression is induced by the LGR5-Wnt signaling pathway and is strongly associated with aggressive tumor progression and poor prognosis in patients with HCC by accelerating cell-cycle progression and enhancing cancer stem cell–like property through STAT3 activation. Therefore, OLFM4 is a novel prognostic predictor and a potential therapeutic target for patients with HCC.
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