MiR-372-3p promotes cell growth and metastasis by targeting FGF9 in lung squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract
The aim of this study was to study the role of miR-372-3p in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) cell proliferation and invasion by suppressing FGF9. RT-PCR was used to determine miR-372-3p and FGF9 mRNA expression in tissues and cells. Western blot was used to determine FGF9 expression in tissues and NCI-H520 cell line. Dual luciferase reporter gene assay was conducted to confirm that FGF9 can be directly targeted by miR-372-3p. MTT, colony formation assays were conducted to investigate the effects of ectopic miR-372-3p and FGF9 expression on NCI-H520 cell growth. Flow cytometry was used to analyze the influence of miR-372-3p and FGF9 expression on cell cycle distribution and apoptosis. Transwell assay was also conducted to see the effects of miR-372-3p and FGF9 expression on NCI-H520 cell invasiveness. MiR-372-3p was found significantly overexpressed in both LSCC tissues and cell lines, whereas FGF9 mRNA was found underexpressed in LSCC tissues. MiR-372-3p directly bound to wild-type FGF9 mRNA 3’UTR, therefore led to the reduction in FGF9 expression. The upregulation of FGF9 or the downregulation of miR-372-3p substantially retarded LSCC cell growth, mitosis, and invasion. MiR-372-3p enhanced LSCC cell proliferation and invasion through inhibiting FGF9.

Introduction
Lung cancer is the principal cause of deaths related to cancer worldwide [1]. Non-small-cell lung cancers (NSCLC) account for 80% of lung cancer, and are classified into four subtypes according to their histological and pathological features: lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC), lung large cell carcinoma, and lung neuroendocrine cancer [2, 3]. Approximately 40% of patients with NSCLC that are at advanced stage hardly survive more than 5 years despite of traditional chemotherapy, molecular-targeted therapy or chemoradiotherapy [2–4]. Therefore, novel effective treatments are demanded to tackle the short survival time of NSCLC.

The abnormal activation of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathways is required for tissue homeostasis, repair, angiogenesis, organogenesis, and is involved in carcinogenesis [5–10], indicating its potential role of a target for therapeutic intervention [5, 10, 11]. By interacting with FGF receptors (FGFRs) and FGF ligands, FGFs modulate cell proliferation, differentiation and mobility [9]. FGF9, belonging to the FGF family, its aberrant expression has been identified in diverse tumors, such as breast, prostate, endometrioid, and lung cancers, indicating its tumor biomarker role in various cancers [12–15]. Furthermore, Wang et al. indicated that FGF9 was upregulated in patients with lung adenocarcinoma, and that aberrant FGF9 expression might inhibit the progression of lung adenocarcinoma [16]. However, little is known about the role of FGF9 during LSCC.

MiRNAs, a class of small single strand RNA molecules, modulate the expression of approximately a third of human genes, and affect diverse cellular activities such as cell proliferation, cycle, apoptosis, and differentiation [17]. Circulating miRNAs have great potential to serve as diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers for diverse cancers, such as gastric, bladder, breast, prostate, renal, and lung cancers [18–23]. MiRNA-371-373 (miR-371-373) cluster, a human homolog of the mouse miR-290-295 cluster is initially
reported to be merely expressed in human embryonic stem cells, implicating its role in stem cell multipotency [24]. Recent studies have also confirmed that the miR-371-373 cluster is usually deregulated in human tumors such as hepatoblastoma, colorectal cancer, and testicular germ cell tumors [25–27]. MiRNAs function as tumor suppressors or tumor facilitators by directly binding to target 3′UTRs. MiR-373, for instance, has been found to facilitate tumor invasion and metastasis by inhibiting CD44 [28]. We herein conducted this study to explore the role of miR-372-3p in LSCC.

In this study, we identified FGF9 as a target gene of miR-372-3p. We also confirmed a converse correlation between miR-372-3p and FGF9 in LSCC tissues and cells. The direct suppression of FGF9 by miR-372-3p and the potential effects of miR-372-3p as a tumor facilitator in LSCC have been validated at both experimental and clinical levels.

Materials and Methods

Clinical samples

Twenty LSCC and corresponding adjacent normal tissues were obtained from those underwent pneumonectomy from April 2015 to December 2016 in The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University. The pathological type of each tumor sample was confirmed by experienced pathologists. Fresh samples were frozen in the liquid nitrogen prior to RNA extraction. None of the patients had ever received any adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery. Informed consent was signed by every patient. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University.

Cell culture

BEAS-2B, a normal lung epithelial cell line, together with three human LSCC cell lines including NCI-H520, SK-MES-1, and NCI-H1703 were bought from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). All the cell lines were cultured in the Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 media containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, NY), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen, CA) in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from frozen tissues using Trizol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s protocols. Both miR-372-3p and FGF9 mRNA were reversely transcribed to cDNAs using the Reverse Transcription System Kit (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was carried out following the instruction of SYBR® Premix EX Taq kit (Takara, Japan). cDNAs were then amplified (primer sequences are listed in Table 1). FGF9 mRNA expression was normalized to GAPDH expression and miR-372-3p to U6 snRNA, respectively. The relative expression of miR-372-3p and FGF9 mRNA were calculated by 2−ΔΔCt method.

Cell transfection

The cells were seeded into 24-well plates and transfected with miR-372-3p mimics, miR-372-3p inhibitors or miR-negative controls (NCs) using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. FGF9 cDNAs were subcloned into the pLenti-GIII-UbC lentivirus vectors and transfected into cells. Empty lentiviral vectors were used as negative controls. Viral packages were harvested after 24 h’s transfection. MiR-372-3p mimics, miR-372-3p inhibitors, miR-NCs, and FGF9 cDNAs were synthesized by GenePharma Co., LTD (Shanghai, China).

Dual luciferase reporter gene assay

A wild-type or mutated FGF9 3′-UTR segment was inserted between the XhoI and PmeI restriction sites of the pMiRGLO vector (Sangon Co., LTD, ShangHai, China). The reconstructed vectors were then cotransfected with miR-mimics or miR-NCs into NCI-H520 cells and incubated for 48 h. Ultimately, the activities of Firefly and Renilla luciferases were detected by Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, USA). The luciferase activities of firefly were normalized against Renilla to represent the relative luciferase activity of cells with different transfection.

3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2-H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay

Incubated cells were harvested and cultured in 100 μL fresh RPMI-1640 medium at a density of 2000 cells/well.

Table 1. Sequence primers designed for qRT-PCR.

| Primers   | Forward | Reverse   |
|-----------|---------|-----------|
| MiR-372-3p| 5′-TTTACGACGCTGTAAGACTCGCA-3′ | 5′-TTCTTTATCTTCTTCTT-3′ |
| FGF9      | 5′-GGGGCCCGCTGGCTGCTC-3′  | 5′-AAACGTTCAAGATTTGCT-3′  |
| U6        | 5′-CTCGTCTGAGCCGACCA-3′  | 5′-GCCATCACGCCAGTTTC-3′  |
| GAPDH     | 5′-AACAATTTGATATGGAAGG-3′ | 5′-GCCATCACGCCAGTTTC-3′  |
20 μL MTT solution (5 mg/mL) was added into each well once a day for days. After 4 h’s incubation, the original culture solution was discarded and 200 μL DMSO was added to dissolve the excessive crystals. Optical absorbance of cells was measured using a multifunctional microplate reader at 490 nm.

**Colony formation assay**

Approximately 200 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS for 2 weeks. Then the media were removed and the colonies were washed twice with PBS. Ultimately, cells were fixed by 4% methanol, stained with Giemsa for 15 min. The number of naked-eye-can-see colonies was counted under an optical microscope.

**Flow cytometry**

After 48 h’s transfection, cells were harvested and suspended. To detect cell cycle distribution, 5 μL PI and 10 μL RNase A were added to the cell suspension and then incubated for 30 min. FACSalibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, CA) and Cell Quest software (BD Biosciences, CA) were used to analyze the cell cycle distribution.

For cell apoptosis analysis, 5 μL PI and 5 μL FITC-Annexin V (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were added to cell suspension. FITC-Annexin V-positive cells were considered apoptotic.

**Transwell assay**

1×10⁵ cells were seeded into the Transwell inserts with 8 μm-pore membranes coated with Matrigel (Corning Incorporated, NY). Serum free media were added to the inserts, whereas 20% FBS media were added to the bottom chambers. Cells were then cultured for 24 h at 37°C. Cells on the upper surface of the membrane were removed and the rest of the cells were fixed with 4% methanol for 15 min, washed twice by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stained by crystal violet for 15 min. Invasive cells were observed under an optical microscope and the number of invaded cells in ten randomly selected 20× fields was counted.

**Western blot**

Proteins were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA, Sigma-Aldrich) lystate buffer and the protein concentrations were determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA reagent kit (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China). Proteins in samples were separated using 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (50 μg/lane). The separated proteins were electro-transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes, and subsequently incubated with nonfat milk for 2 h at the room temperature. The PVDF membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies (anti-FGF9, 1:1,000, anti-GAPDH, 1:1,000) at 4°C overnight. The intensity of protein bands was quantified using ImageJ software (NIH, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS19.0 (IBM, USA). The data were shown as mean ± standard deviation (x±s). The Student’s t-test was used to analyze the significance of the difference between any two groups, whereas one-way ANOVA was used among more than two groups. Kaplan–Meier analysis was performed to analyze the survival rates of patients with LSCC. Differences were regarded statistically significant if P values were less than 0.05.

**Results**

**MiR-372-3p and FGF9 expression in LSCC tissues and cell lines**

We performed RT-PCR to quantify the expression of miR-372-3p in LSCC tissues and adjacent tissues. As shown in Figure 1A–B, the results indicated that miR-372-3p
was significantly upregulated in LSCC tissues and cell lines compared with adjacent tissues and normal cells, respectively ($P < 0.05$). We chose NCI-H520 cell line for the subsequent experiments in vitro due to its highest miR-372-3p level.

On the other hand, FGF9 mRNA expression was also determined by RT-PCR. The relative expression level of FGF9 mRNA was obviously lower in LSCC tissues compared with that in normal tissues (Fig. 1C; $P < 0.05$).

**FGF9 was validated as a direct target of miR-372-3p**

Complimentary sequence of miR-372-3p on FGF9 3′UTR was obtained from a bioinformatics database, TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org) and depicted in Figure 2A. To confirm that miR-372-3p could directly target FGF9 3′UTR, mutated FGF9 3′UTR (sequence showing in Fig. 2A) was also used in the following experiments. Dual luciferase reporter gene assay results validated the direct target relationship between miR-372-3p and FGF9 (Fig. 2B). Briefly, the luciferase activity in wild-type FGF9 3′UTR + miR-372-3p mimics subgroup was significantly lower than that in wild-type FGF9 3′UTR + miR-372-3p NC subgroup. Whereas the luciferase activity in mutated FGF9 3′UTR + miR-372-3p mimics subgroup showed no difference from the that in mutated FGF9 3′UTR + miR-372-3p NC subgroup. Besides, we quantified the expression of FGF9 protein in cells transected with miR-372-3p inhibitors by western blot. The results indicated that FGF9 was obviously upregulated in cells that were transected with miR-372-3p inhibitors by western blot. The results indicated that FGF9 was obviously upregulated in cells that were transected with miR-372-3p inhibitors (Fig. 2C, $P < 0.05$). Taken together, FGF9 can be directly suppressed by miR-372-3p in LSCC cells.

**MiR-372-3p promoted LSCC cell viability and growth**

To explore the effects of miR-372-3p on LSCC cell growth, MTT and colony formation assays were performed on NCI-H520 cells. The viability of cells transected with miR-372-3p inhibitors were dramatically weaker than those transected with NCs (Fig. 3A, $P < 0.05$). Meanwhile, the viability of those transected with FGF9 cDNAs was obviously suppressed compared with those transected with NCs ($P < 0.05$).

Similarly, the colony formation results showed that the transfection of either FGF9 cDNAs or miR-372-3p inhibitors led to the decreased cell proliferation (Fig. 3B).

**MiR-372-3p and FGF9 ectopic expression inhibited LSCC cell mitosis and invasiveness**

We transfected NCI-H520 cells with miR-372-3p inhibitors or FGF9 cDNAs to see whether miR-372-3p and FGF9 deregulation could affect NCI-H520 cell mitosis. The cell cycle distribution and apoptosis rate of cells were analyzed. MiR-372-3p underexpression or FGF9 overexpression induced cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 stage (Fig. 3C, $P < 0.05$). Likewise, the apoptosis rates of cells transected with either miR-372-3p inhibitors or FGF9 cDNAs were obviously higher than those transected with NCs (Fig. 3D, $P < 0.05$).

To further detect the influence of miR-372-3p and FGF9 ectopic expression on LSCC cell invasiveness, we performed Transwell assay. As shown in Figure 3E, the repression of miR-372-3p led to the reduced LSCC cell invasion, suggesting that miR-372-3p could promote LSCC cell invasiveness ($P < 0.05$). Meanwhile, exogenous FGF9 also substantially inhibited the LSCC cell mobility ($P < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Nowadays, with the deterioration of the environment, aggravation of the air pollution and prevalence of smoking, lung cancer gradually become a common cancer which severely threatens people’s health and life. According to an investigation conducted by the GLOBOCAN project (http://globocan.iarc.fr/), the mortality and incidence rate of lung cancer were 16.7% and 23.2% respectively in 2012 and it has become the third most common cancer. Therefore, it is necessary and meaningful to explore the mechanism of tumorigenesis of the lung cancer.

MicroRNAs (miRs) have been widely accepted as a regulator of human cancers and it can be either cancer...
promoters or cancer suppressors. A plethora of studies have demonstrated that miR-372 has a strong influence on some human cancers like gastric cancer, hepatocellular cancer, and colorectal cancer by regulating specific genes [29–31]. MiR-372-3p, on the other hand, has not been reported to influence carcinogenesis too much, however, was discovered to suppress insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding protein 1 (IGF2BP1) therefore led to the decrease in proliferation and invasiveness in renal cell carcinoma [32]. In this study, we explored the influence of miR-372-3p on lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC), and to explain the mechanism behind the effects, the targeting relationship between miR-372-3p and gene FGF9 was also revealed.

Although miR-372-3p has been found to regulate cancer development by suppressing various genes in various tumors [32–34], it was worth mentioning that the FGF9 was chosen as the candidate target gene using bioinformatics analysis. In this study, we found that miR-372-3p depressed the expression of FGF9 directly. On the basis of the discovery that miR-372-3p was overexpressed and FGF9 was underexpressed compared with adjacent tissues in three LSCC cell lines, we hypothesize that FGF9 seemed
to be the tumor suppressor and miR-372-3p might act as a tumor facilitator in LSCC.

FGF9 belongs to the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family that participates in a spectrum of biological processes including tumor growth and invasion. FGF9 is specifically important in glial cell growth and development. It has been reported to suppress renal tumor metastases [35]. However, it has also been discovered to facilitate gastric cancer invasion and growth [36]. Besides, FGF9 was believed to indicate a poor prognosis of non-small-cell lung cancer, colon cancer, and prostate cancer [15, 37, 38]. However, we found that FGF9 was under-expressed in LSCC tissues, which suggested its tumor suppressor role rather than a tumor facilitator role. The different roles of FGF9 may due to the different context of different tumors, and further experiments are warranted to confirm its role in LSCC. FGF9 was proved to be targeted by miRNAs such as miR-155-5p and miR-140-5p in lung dysplasia and hepatic cell carcinoma [39, 40]. In our study, we also confirmed that FGF9 could be directly suppressed by miR-372-3p, which contributed to the comprehensive understanding of LSCC carcinogenesis.

Taken together, exogenous miR-372-3p significantly suppressed FGF9 expression, and simultaneously, LSCC cells FGF9 can be directly repressed by miR-372-3p. This interaction between miR-372-3p and FGF9 in LSCC led to the increase in tumor growth and invasiveness. We thus conclude that miR-372-3p could promote LSCC development by suppressing FGF9 expression.

Our research provided novel insight into the treatment of LSCC. Traditional treatments for LSCC are radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and lobe resection, which always do harm to patients and have a high risk of side effects and recurrence rates. Our study provides therapists a basis for further treatments of LSCC by targeting miR-372-3p or FGF9. However, some limitations remain in our study that FGF9 is not the only gene that influencing the progression of LSCC, moreover, other miRNAs may regulate the expression of FGF9 except for miR-372-3p in LSCC. Therefore, our future work will be dedicated to overcome the limitations, specifically, by investigating the relationship between other genes and the progression of LSCC.

In conclusion, the expression of miR-372-3p and FGF9 in LSCC tissues and cells were determined and the effects of miR-372-3p and FGF9 on LSCC cell activities have been studied. Besides, we confirmed the binding relationship between miR-372-3p and FGF9. So we come to that conclusion that miR-372-3p could probably promote LSCC growth and invasion by suppressing FGF9 expression.

**Conflict of Interest**

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Moriya, Y., N. Nohata, T. Kinoshita, M. Mutallip, T. Okamoto, S. Yoshida, et al. 2012. Tumor suppressive microRNA-133a regulates novel molecular networks in lung squamous cell carcinoma. J. Hum. Genet. 57:38–45.
2. Chansky, K., J. P. Sculier, J. J. Crowley, D. Giroux, J. Van Meerbeeck, P. Goldstraw, et al. 2009. The International Association for the study of lung cancer staging project: Prognostic factors and pathologic TNM stage in surgically managed non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 4:792–801.
3. Sawabata, N., H. Asamura, T. Goya, M. Mori, Y. Nakanishi, K. Eguchi, et al. 2010. Japanese lung cancer registry study: first prospective enrollment of a large number of surgical and nonsurgical cases in 2002. J. Thorac. Oncol. 5:1369–1375.
4. Sandler, A., R. Gray, M. C. Perry, J. Braher, J. H. Schiller, A. Dowlati, et al. 2006. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-small-cell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 355:2542–2550.
5. Dieci, M. V., M. Arnedos, F. Andre, and J. C. Soria. 2013. Fibroblast growth factor receptor inhibitors as a cancer treatment: from a biologic rationale to medical perspectives. Cancer Discov. 3:264–279.
6. Ellman, M. B., D. Yan, K. Ahmadinia, D. Chen, H. S. An, and H. J. Im. 2013. Fibroblast growth factor control of cartilage homeostasis. J. Cell. Biochem. 114:735–742.
7. Lemieux, S. M., and M. K. Hadden. 2013. Targeting the fibroblast growth factor receptors for the treatment of cancer. Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 13:748–761.
8. Oladipupo, S. S., C. Smith, A. Santeford, C. Park, A. Sene, L. A. Wiley, et al. 2014. Endothelial cell FGF signaling is required for injury response but not for vascular homeostasis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 111:13379–13384.
9. Ornitz, D. M., and N. Itoh. 2015. The fibroblast growth factor signaling pathway. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol. 4:215–266.
10. Turner, N., and R. Grose. 2010. Fibroblast growth factor signalling: from development to cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 10:116–129.
11. Liang, G., G. Chen, X. Wei, Y. Zhao, and X. Li. 2013. Small molecule inhibition of fibroblast growth factor receptors in cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 24:467–475.
12. Hendrix, N. D., R. Wu, R. Kuick, D. R. Schwartz, E. R. Fearon, and K. R. Cho. 2006. Fibroblast growth
factor 9 has oncogenic activity and is a downstream target of Wnt signaling in ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res. 66:1354–1362.

13. Li, Z. G., P. Mathew, J. Yang, M. W. Starbuck, A. J. Zurita, J. Liu, et al. 2008. Androgen receptor-negative human prostate cancer cells induce osteogenesis in mice through FGF9-mediated mechanisms. J. Clin. Invest. 118:2697–2710.

14. Marek, L. K. E. Ware, A. Fritzsche, P. Hercule, W. R. Helton, J. E. Smith, et al. 2009. Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and FGF receptor-mediated autocrine signaling in non-small-cell lung cancer cells. Mol. Pharmacol. 75:196–207.

15. Ohgino, K., K. Soejima, H. Yasuda, Y. Hayashi, J. Hamamoto, K. Naoki, et al. 2014. Expression of fibroblast growth factor 9 is associated with poor prognosis in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 83:90–96.

16. Wang, C. K., H. Chang, P. H. Chen, J. T. Chang, Y. C. Kuo, J. L. Ko, et al. 2009. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation and overexpression upregulated fibroblast growth factor-9 in human lung adenocarcinomas. Int. J. Cancer 125:807–815.

17. Farazi, T. A., J. I. Hoell, P. Morozov, and T. Tuschi. 2013. MicroRNAs in human cancer. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 774:1–20.

18. Scheffer, A. R., S. Holdenrieder, G. Kristiansen, A. von Ruecker, S. C. Muller, and J. Ellinger. 2014. Circulating microRNAs in serum: novel biomarkers for patients with bladder cancer? World J. Urol. 32:353–358.

19. Heneghan, H. M., N. Miller, A. J. Lowery, K. J. Sweeney, J. Newell, and M. J. Kerin. 2010. Circulating microRNAs as novel minimally invasive biomarkers for breast cancer. Ann. Surg. 251:499–505.

20. Tsuchiura, M., D. Ichikawa, S. Komatsu, A. Shiozaki, H. Takeshita, T. Kosuga, et al. 2010. Circulating microRNAs in plasma of patients with gastric cancers. Br. J. Cancer 102:1174–1179.

21. Hu, Z., X. Chen, Y. Zhao, T. Tian, G. Jin, Y. Shu, et al. 2010. Serum microRNA signatures identified in a genome-wide serum microRNA expression profiling predict survival of non-small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 28:1721–1726.

22. Mahn, R., L. C. Heukamp, S. Rogenhofner, A. von Ruecker, S. C. Muller, and J. Ellinger. 2011. Circulating microRNAs (miRNA) in serum of patients with prostate cancer. Urology 77:e1269–1216.

23. Wulfken, L. M., R. Moritz, C. Ohlmann, S. Holdenrieder, V. Jung, F. Becker, et al. 2011. MicroRNAs in renal cell carcinoma: diagnostic implications of serum miR-1233 levels. PLoS ONE 6:e25787.

24. Wang, Y., S. Baskerville, A. Shenoy, J. E. Babiarz, L. Baehner, and R. Bleloch. 2008. Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs regulate the G1-S transition and promote rapid proliferation. Nat. Genet. 40:1478–1483.

25. Voorhoeve, P. M., C. le Sage, M. Schrier, A. J. Gillis, H. Stoop, R. Nagel, et al. 2006. A genetic screen implicates miRNA-372 and miRNA-373 as oncogenes in testicular germ cell tumors. Cell 124:1169–1181.

26. Cairo, S., Y. Wang, A. de Reynies, K. Durouere, J. Dahan, M. I. Redon, et al. 2010. Stem cell-like micro-RNA signature driven by Myc in aggressive liver cancer. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107:20471–20476.

27. Ng, E. K., W. W. Chong, H. Jin, E. K. Lam, V. Y. Shin, J. Yu, et al. 2009. Differential expression of microRNAs in plasma of patients with colorectal cancer: a potential marker for colorectal cancer screening. Gut 58:1375–1381.

28. Huang, Q., K. Gumireddy, M. Schrier, C. le Sage, R. Nagel, S. Nair, et al. 2008. The microRNAs miR-372 and miR-520c promote tumour invasion and metastasis. Nat. Cell Biol. 10:202–210.

29. Cao, H., Y. Feng, and L. Chen. 2016. Repression of MicroRNA-372 by arsenic sulfide inhibits prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration through regulation of LATS2. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 120:256–263.

30. Liu, B. L., K. X. Sun, Z. H. Zong, S. Chen, and Y. Zhao. 2016. MicroRNA-372 inhibits endometrial carcinoma development by targeting the expression of the Ras homolog gene family member C (RhoC). Oncotarget 7:6649–6664.

31. Tu, H. F., K. W. Chang, H. W. Cheng, and C. J. Liu. 2015. Upregulation of miR-372 and -373 associates with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis of oral carcinomas. Laryngoscope 125:E365–E370.

32. Huang, X., M. Huang, L. Kong, and Y. Li. 2015. miR-372 suppresses tumour proliferation and invasion by targeting IGF2BP1 in renal cell carcinoma. Cell Prolif. 48:593–599.

33. Chen, X., B. Hao, G. Han, Y. Liu, D. Dai, Y. Li, et al. 2015. miR-372 regulates glioma cell proliferation and invasion by directly targeting PHLP2. J. Cell. Biochem. 116:225–232.

34. Wu, G., H. Liu, H. He, Y. Wang, X. Lu, Y. Yu, et al. 2014. miR-372 down-regulates the oncop gene ATAD2 to influence hepatocellular carcinoma proliferation and metastasis. BMC Cancer 14:107.

35. Yin, H., M. J. Frontini, M. J. Arpino, Z. Nong, C. O’Neil, Y. Xu, et al. 2015. Fibroblast Growth Factor 9 Imparts Hierarchy and Vasoreactivity to the Microcirculation of Renal Tumors and Suppresses Metastases. J. Biol. Chem. 290:22127–22142.

36. Sun, C., H. Fukui, K. Hara, X. Zhang, Y. Kitayama, H. Eda, et al. 2015. FGF9 from cancer-associated fibroblasts is a possible mediator of invasion and anti-apoptosis of gastric cancer cells. BMC Cancer 15:333.

37. Leushacke, M., R. Sporle, C. Bernemann, A. Brouwer-Lehmitz, J. Fritzmann, M. Theis, et al. 2011. An RNA
interference phenotypic screen identifies a role for FGF signals in colon cancer progression. PLoS ONE 6:e23381.

38. Teishima, J., K. Shoji, T. Hayashi, K. Miyamoto, S. Ohara, and A. Matsubara. 2012. Relationship between the localization of fibroblast growth factor 9 in prostate cancer cells and postoperative recurrence. Prostate Cancer Prostatic. Dis. 15:8–14.

39. McAdams, R. M., C. J. Bierle, E. Boldenow, S. Weed, J. Tsai, R. P. Beyer, et al. 2015. Choriodecidual Group B streptococcal infection induces miR-155-5p in the Fetal Lung in Macaca nemestrina. Infect. Immun. 83:3909–3917.

40. Yang, H., F. Fang, R. Chang, and L. Yang. 2013. MicroRNA-140-5p suppresses tumor growth and metastasis by targeting transforming growth factor beta receptor 1 and fibroblast growth factor 9 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 58:205–217.