PREVALENCE OF HYPERTENSION IN PREGNANCY IN RURAL SOUTH INDIA.
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Abstract

Background: Hypertension is one of the common causes of mortality and morbidity in pregnant women in India.

Methods: We conducted a study on two hundred and eight 208 pregnant women consisting of 101 primigravidae, 78 gravidae 2 and 33 multi gravidae, we conducted a study on this pregnant women between january 2017 to may 2017, in our hospital

Results: Seventeen (17 that means 8%) pregnant women were having hypertension. Majority of pregnant women in the study population were primigravidae (48%). Nearly 80% of hypertension pregnant Woman were primigravidae. 88% developed hypertension in iii trimester. Complication like preeclampsia was in 24%.

Conclusion: Pregnancy-induced hypertension is the common variety of pregnant women. It is commonly seen in primigravidae who are exposed to the trophoblastic tissue for the first time.
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1. Gestational Hypertension (formerly added PIH or transient hypertension of pregnancy).
2. Preeclampsia & Eclampsia syndrome.
3. Seizures, intracerebral hemorrhage, pulmonary preeclampsia syndrome superimposed on chronic hypertension.
4. Chronic hypertension.

Maternal diastolic blood pressure of more than 110 mmHg is associated with an increased risk for abruptio placentae, intrauterine foetal death. Severe maternal complications include eclamptic oedema, acute renal failure, and proteinuria greater than 4.5 g/dl, liver dysfunction, disseminated intravascular coagulation and consumptive coagulopathy. Perinatal mortality and morbidity are also high due to chronic placental insufficiency and growth restriction of foetus.

**Aims And Objectives:-**
1. To find out prevalence of hypertension in pregnant women attending antenatal outpatient department of the hospitals.
2. To estimate the prevalence of hypertension in pregnancy.

**Materials and Methods:-**
The present prospective study was carried out jointly in the department of between January 2015 to July 2015 416 pregnant women attending the antenatal outpatient department were screened for hypertension. Blood pressure were measured in the supine, left lateral and sitting position in the both the upper limbs. Systolic blood pressure of more than 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of more than 90 mmHg are taken as cut off values for labeling a pregnant woman as hypertensive. Disappearance of Korotkoff’s sound phase V was taken as cut-off for diastolic blood pressure measurement. Age parity, gestational age at which blood pressure are recorded, pervious obstetric history of pregnancy included hypertension and its complications, family history of hypertension & diabetes mellitus, presence of petal edema or anasarca, excess weight gain are noted. Relevant laboratory investigations like complete urine examination, random blood sugar, liver function test, renal function test were done and value are noted.

Results obtained were tabular and analyzed

**Inclusion Criteria:-**
1. All pregnant women attending antenatal OPD.
2. Age 20-35 years.
3. Not having any previous history of hypertension.

**Exclusion Criteria:-**
1. Pregnant women >35 years.
2. Any past history of hypertension.
3. Pregnant women having other systemic disease.

**Results:-**
Out of 416 pregnant women, 33.65% were less than 20 yrs., 66.34% were between 21-34yrs. Primigravidae(48.56%) were more than 2(35.58%) and multigravidae (15.87%), 34(8.2%) were hypertensive (Table -I). Oedema was present in 25.48% of pregnant women & 52.94% of hypertensive women has oedema. Most of high blood pressures were note in III trimester (88.24%). 83.17% of pregnant women had normal BMI.

Table II:- shows preeclampsia is a common complication (23.52%). Proteinuria was absent in 76.47%, raised serum uric acid was seen in 58.82% & other investigations were normal (table iii).
| Complications          | No of cases (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Preeclampsia          | 4 (3.5%)        |
| Eclampsia             | 1 (3%)          |
| Severe HTN            | 1 (3%)          |
| No complications      | 11 (64%)        |

Table- II Complications of Hypertension in Pregnancy in Study Population

| Investigation                        | No. of cases(%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Proteinuria Present                  | 4 (23%)         |
| Proteinuria Absent                   | 13 (76%)        |
| Serum uric acid >40 mg/dL            | 10 (58%)        |
| Abnormal LFT, RFT Coagulation Profile, ECG | Normal       |

Table III: Investigations in study population

Discussion:-
Hypertension in pregnancy has long been suspected of heralding an increased risk of high blood pressure in later life. In the population studied, blood pressure of 140/90 mmHg & above was seen in 34 pregnant women. This accounts to an incidence of 8.2%. Hypertensive disorders complicating pregnancies have been reported in 6-8% and may go up to 20%. Proteinuria is seen in only 23.5% and majority of hypertensive women had no proteinuria. This shows that gestational hypertension or pregnancy-induced hypertension is the type of hypertension commonly seen in pregnancy. Pregnancy-induced hypertension and chronic hypertension was responsible for hypertension in 96% and 4% of cases respectively in an Indian study, chronic hypertension is not common. Oedema is seen in up to 80% of normal pregnant women & seen invariably in preeclampsia & eclampsia, pathologic oedema is the first sign of PIH. Excess weight gain (gaining more than ½ kg per week of gestation) is the first symptom of pregnancy-induced hypertension. Preeclampsia is seen in 10-15% of primigravidae with hypertension and 5.7-7.3% in multigravidae. Preeclampsia is hence peculiar to pregnancy. Elevated serum uric acid levels more than 4 mg/dL indicate foetal compromise and indicate need to deliver the foetus as early as possible. Serum uric acid level of more than 5.5 mg/dL is consistent with preeclampsia and above 6 indicates serious disease when liver dysfunction and mild elevation of serum transaminases occurs.

LFT, RFT Blood coagulation profile, ECG were normal in the study population. Ophthalmoscopy showed normal fundus. Retinal vasospasm is a manifestation of severe maternal disease. The study populations with high blood pressures were picked up early and hence they did not have complications. Incidence of preeclampsia is increased with twins & previous history of eclampsia. Pregnancy-induced hypertension is usually thought to resolve without serious sequelae, but a link to cardiovascular disease in later life was suggested from an early follow-up study of preeclamptic and eclamptic women and from an increased incidence of previous preeclampsia observed in women who had suffered myocardial infarction. Gerdur A et al study showed death rates from ischaemic heart disease are higher in women who had hypertension in pregnancy when compared with the general population, and that this risk might be linked to increasing severity of the disease in pregnancy. Four subsequent studies have since independently indicated a significantly increased risk of myocardial ischaemia and related cardiovascular disease later in life.
women who had hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Pregnancy-induced hypertension may not only be an expression of underlying genotypic and phenotypic hypertensive tendency but has its own adverse and long term effect on the endothelium and the cardiovascular system. Women with preeclampsia or eclampsia should, therefore, receive follow-up and health care advice with regard to lifestyle, nutrition and weight control.

Conclusion:-
Pregnancy-induced hypertension is predominant in Indian pregnant women. In our study, this is confirmed. But study population is small, it requires large population studies to confirm the same. Chronic hypertension is less commonly seen. Blood pressure should be measured in sitting position with cuff at level of heart. Majority of deaths are preventable if pregnancy-induced hypertension is detected and treated early.
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