Minimum dietary methionine requirements in Miniature Dachshund, Beagle, and Labrador Retriever adult dogs using the indicator amino acid oxidation technique
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the minimum requirement (MR) for methionine (Met), when cyst(e)ine (Cys) is provided in excess, in adult dogs of 3 different breed sizes using the indicator AA oxidation (IAAO) technique. In total, 12 adult dogs were used: 1 neutered and 3 spayed Miniature Dachshunds (4.8 ± 0.4 kg body weight (BW), mean ± SD), 4 spayed Beagles (9.5 ± 0.7 kg BW, mean ± SD), and 4 neutered Labrador Retrievers (31.8 ± 1.7 kg BW, mean ± SD). A deficient Met basal diet with excess Cys was formulated. Dogs were fed the basal diet randomly supplemented with different Met-Alanine (Ala) solutions to achieve final Met concentrations in experimental diets of 0.21, 0.26, 0.31, 0.36, 0.41, 0.46, and 0.66% (as fed basis). After 2 d of adaptation to the experimental diets, dogs underwent individual IAAO studies. During the IAAO study day, total feed was divided in 13 equal meals; at the sixth meal, dogs were fed a bolus of L-[1-13C]-Phenylalanine (Phe), and thereafter, L-[1-13C]-Phe was supplied with every meal. Total production of 13CO2 during isotopic steady state was determined by enrichment of 13CO2 in breath samples, and total production of CO2 measured using indirect calorimetry. The mean maintenance requirement (MR) for Met and the upper 95% confidence limit (CL) were determined using a 2-phase linear mixed-effects regression model. For Miniature Dachshunds, the MR for Met was between the first 2 dietary Met concentrations and is therefore between 35.7 and 44.1 mg.kg BW-1.d-1 (0.21-0.26%, as fed basis; no requirement could be determined on a metabolic BW basis). For Beagles and Labrador Retrievers, the MR for Met was 57.5 and 50.4 mg.kg BW-1.d-1, 107.7 and 121.8 mg/kg BW0.75 as fed basis, and 0.338 and 0.360%, as fed basis, respectively. The upper 95% CL of Met requirements were 77.9, and 72.4 mg.kg BW-1.d-1 or 147.8 and 159.6 mg/kg BW0.75 or 0.458, and 0.517%, (as fed basis) for Beagles, and Labradors, respectively. When pooling data from Beagles and Labrador Retrievers, the
MR and upper 95% CL were 56.0 and 75.8 mg.kg BW$^{-1}.d^{-1}$ or 118.4 and 150.5 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ or 0.360 and 0.482% (as fed basis). In conclusion, the MR and the upper 95% CL for Met is different for Dachshunds when compared to Beagles and Labrador Retrievers. Using this low protein diet, the estimated upper 95% CL Met requirement for Beagles and Labrador are higher than those recommended in the NRC (2006), but NRC is similar to the estimated upper 95% CL for Dachshunds.
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**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| AA           | Amino acid |
| AAFCO        | Association of American Feed Control Officials |
| BW           | Body weight |
| BW\(^{0.75}\) | Metabolic body weight |
| CL           | Confidence limit |
| CO\(_2\)     | Carbon dioxide |
| DM           | Dry matter |
| ECO\(_2\)    | \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) enrichment |
| EE           | Energy expenditure |
| F\(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) | Breath \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) production |
| FCO\(_2\)    | Breath CO\(_2\) production |
| FEDIAF       | European Pet Food Industry Federation |
| FEE          | Fed energy expenditure |
| IAAO         | Indicator amino acid oxidation |
| LBM          | Lean body mass |
| ME           | Metabolizable energy |
| MR           | Minimum requirement |
| NRC          | National Research Council |
| O\(_2\)      | Oxygen |
| RA           | Recommended allowance |
| REE          | Resting energy expenditure |
| RQ           | Respiratory quotient |
| SAA          | Sulfur amino acid |
| VCO\(_2\)    | Volume of CO\(_2\) produced |
| VO\(_2\)     | Volume of O\(_2\) produced |
INTRODUCTION

Methionine (Met) is an indispensable amino acid (AA) for domestic canines (*Canis lupus familiaris*; Milner 1979) and is often the first or second limiting AA for dogs fed diets formulated with soybean or rendered meat meals (National Research Council; NRC, 2006). However, current recommendations by the NRC (2006) are calculated only from studies done in immature (growing) dogs (Burns and Milner, 1981; Hirawaka and Baker, 1985) or from other long-term studies where dogs were fed low-protein diets and displayed no clinical signs of deficiency (Ward, 1976; Sanderson et al., 2001; NRC, 2006).

To estimate requirements in adult dogs, the indicator AA oxidation (IAAO) is more sensitive and accurate than traditional techniques, such as nitrogen balance and growth performance (Pencharz and Ball, 2003; Levesque et al., 2010). Those techniques are directly related to increments in whole body protein mass and, therefore, are not suitable for adult dogs at maintenance. We have previously estimated phenylalanine (Phe), tryptophan (Trp), threonine (Thr), and lysine (Lys) requirements in adult dogs of different breeds using the IAAO technique and found that Phe requirements are lower (Mansilla et al., 2018) and Trp, Thr, and Lys requirements are higher (Templeman et al. 2019; Mansilla et al., 2020; Sutherland et al., 2020) than those recommended by NRC (2006).

The objective of the present study is to estimate dietary minimum Met requirements, where cyst(e)ine (Cys) is provided in excess, in 3 different breeds of adult dogs using the IAAO technique. We hypothesized that the mean Met requirement for adult dogs will be different among different breeds. Second, when breeds are pooled to provide an ‘all breed’ recommendation, this estimate will be greater than the current NRC (2006) recommendation for adult dogs at maintenance.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and housing

The present experiment was approved by the Procter and Gamble Pet Care’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. A total of 12 adult dogs were used, 1 neutered and 3 spayed Miniature Dachshunds (initial body weight (BW) 4.77 ± 0.44 kg; 0.93 ± 0.03 yr old, mean ± SD), 4 spayed Beagles (initial BW 9.46 ± 0.76 kg; 5.73 ± 0.25 yr old, mean ± SD), and 4 neutered Labrador Retrievers (initial BW 31.8 ± 1.66 kg; 3.98 ± 1.5 yr old, mean ± SD), and all dogs were at a body condition score of 3 on a 5 point scale. A power of greater than 0.8 was found for the 2-phase linear regression model used for each breed size using a data step of SAS and data from Templeman et al. (2019) (SAS Institute Inc., v. 9.4, Cary, NC). All dogs resided at Procter and Gamble Pet Care (Lewisburg, OH) and were considered healthy based on a general health evaluation by a licensed veterinarian prior to the study. During the study, dogs were pair-housed in kennels (2.4 m × 2.4 m) in a temperature-controlled building (22°C) and with a lighting schedule of 12 h: 12 h light: dark. Dogs received daily socialization, exercise, and regular veterinary care as previously reported (Shoveller et al., 2017). Throughout the entire study, dogs had access to fresh water via an automatic watering system.

Study design and diets

A basal diet was formulated to meet or exceed requirements for all indispensable AA according to NRC (2006). Inclusion level of crude protein was below AAFCO (2018) recommendations; however, those regulations are predicated upon meeting AA requirements with intact protein-based ingredients, rather than with anhydrous AA supplementation. The ingredient formula and nutrient content of this diet is detailed in Table 1. The extruded kibble basal diet was fed twice daily (0700 and 1300 h) for 14 d prior to the beginning of the experiment (adaptation period) in amounts known to maintain dog individual BW. After the 14-d adaptation period to the basal diet, a test diet (similar to basal diet but without added crystalline Met; final Met = 0.21% as
fed) was fed to the dogs at 17 g/kg of BW for Miniature Dachshunds and Beagles and at 14 g/kg of BW for Labradors for 2 d prior to each IAAO study (Moehn et al. 2004), which allowed all the dogs to adapt to the level of protein intake (Thorpe et al. 1999). Furthermore, the amount of food provided equated to about a 5% restriction of the normal intake known to support BW but was also 1.5-1.8 times resting energy expenditure (REE). The test diet was supplemented at feeding with 1 of 7 Met (Skidmore Sales & distributing, West Chester Township, OH) solutions (0, 1.67, 3.33, 5.00, 6.67, 8.33, and 15.00 g/L) at 5.1 mL/kg BW for Miniature Dachshunds and Beagles and at 4.2 mL/kg BW for Labradors. To maintain similar nitrogen content among all supplemental solutions, Ala was added (8.96, 4.98, 3.98, 2.99, 1.99, 1.0, and 0 g/L for solution 1 to 7, respectively). The final Met contents in the test diet plus the supplemental solution were 0.21, 0.26, 0.31, 0.36, 0.41, 0.46, and 0.66% on an as fed basis (experimental diets). After the 2-d adaptation period to the test diet (Moehn et al., 2004), the IAAO study combined with indirect calorimetry was conducted. After each IAAO study, dogs returned to the basal diet in amounts to maintain dog individual BW for 4 d before feeding the test diet supplemented with a different solution and conducting the next IAAO study. This 7-d feeding regime was repeated 7 times with treatments assigned to dogs in a random order (Microsoft Excel 2010: Random function). After completion of the study, all dogs had received each of the 7 supplemental Met solutions in the test diet and no dog received the same order of diets. Blood samples (3 mL) were collected from the jugular vein in serum vacutainers (Becton & Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) immediately after each IAAO study to represent fed state serum AA concentrations as samples were collected within 30 minutes after the dogs’ final meal.

Indicator amino acid oxidation studies

The conduct of the IAAO study has been previously described in detail (Templeman et al., 2019) and no deviations other than dietary treatment (Met rather than Trp) and food provision occurred. The total amount of diet fed during the IAAO study was based on BW measured that same day, in the morning after 18 h of fasting (17 g/kg of BW for Miniature Dachshunds and Beagles, and
14 g/kg BW for Labradors). This level of feeding was on average 95% of the historical feeding allowance and ensured that dogs consumed all of the diet and equivalent isotope delivery among dogs of the same breed and among all dietary treatments. An important design principle to employing oxidation methods is that all animals are fed equivalent food with the same caloric density among all the dietary treatments as this will change overall gas exchange and macronutrient partitioning, potentially contributing to the dilution of the tracer and increasing variability (Hoerr et al., 1989). Furthermore, equivalent single diet delivery among dietary treatments within breed are necessary to maintain equivalent delivery of dietary Phe and Tyr among dietary treatments since Phe oxidation is sensitive to dietary supply (Mansilla et al. 2018). Details regarding the timeline for each IAAO study have been reported in detail in Mansilla et al. (2018).

Sample collection, analysis, and calculations

Analysis of nitrogen content in the basal diet, AA content in the test diet, and calorimetry data collection have been detailed previously (Templeman et al. 2018) and no deviations occurred. The fraction of $^{13}$CO$_2$ released per kg of BW per h ($F^{13}$CO$_2$, mmol.kg$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$) was calculated using the following equation:

$$F^{13}$CO$_2 = \frac{FCO_2(ECO_2)(44.6)(60)}{(BW)(1.0)(100)},$$

in which $FCO_2$ is the average production of CO$_2$ during the isotope steady state phase (mL/min); $ECO_2$ is the average $^{13}$CO$_2$ enrichment in expired breath at isotopic steady state (Atom percent excess, %); and, BW is the weight of the dog (kg). The constants 44.6 (mmol/mL) and 60 (min/h) convert the $FCO_2$ to micromoles per hour; the factor 100 changes enrichment to a fraction; and, the 1.0 is the retention factor of CO$_2$ in the body due to bicarbonate fixation as reported previously (Shoveller et al., 2017). Resting and fed energy expenditure (REE; FEE) were calculated based on volume of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ produced (VO$_2$; VCO$_2$) using the modified Weir equation (Weir, 1949). Energy expenditure (kcal/d) was expressed in relation to metabolic BW (BW$^{0.75}$) for all dog breed sizes.
Body composition determination

Lean body mass (LBM) was determined using X-Ray Bone Densitometer (Model Delphi A, Hologic Inc., Marlborough, MA) during the 7-week study as described in detail previously (Templeman et al., 2019). Body mass composition (i.e. mineral, fat, and lean contents) was determined in the left and right front and hind legs, trunk, and head (data not shown). Whole body composition was determined by the sum of all regions measured on individual dogs. Following the scan, atipamezole (0.2 mg/kg; Antisedan®, Pfizer, New York, NY) was administered i.m. Dogs were placed in a heated cage until fully recovered and monitored for 1 wk for complications. For additional details regarding body composition determination, refer to Templeman et al. (2019).

Serum amino acid concentrations.

Collected blood samples were centrifuged (2,500 g, 10 min, 4 °C) and serum was separated and stored for later analysis at -80 °C. On the day of analysis, serum samples were protein-precipitated using 20% sulfosalicylic acid, filtered and analyzed by pre-column 9-fluorenlymethyloxycarbonyl and ortho-phthalaldehyde derivatization. Amino acids were measured using high-performance liquid chromatography as described in Agilent publication 5980-1193E.

Statistical analysis

The effect of Met content in the test diet on $F^{13}$CO$_2$ was analyzed by fitting a mixed effects model using the MIXED procedure of SAS with diet as a fixed effect and dog as a random effect for each individual breed. The estimate of the mean Met requirement and the upper 95% confidence limit (CL) for individual dog breeds and pooled data from Beagles and Labradors were derived by breakpoint analysis of the $F^{13}$CO$_2$/kg BW using a 2-phase linear regression model using the MIXED procedure of SAS (v. 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) as previously reported (Shoveller et al., 2017).

Within different breed sizes, BW, calorimetry data, and plasma AA were analyzed using a mixed effect model with the MIXED procedure of SAS (v. 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) with diet as
a fixed effect and dog as a random effect. Differences in AA concentration in blood were determined comparing each dietary Met content against the lowest Met diet (0.21% as fed basis) using the Dunnett’s test. The same data were also pooled across diets and compared using a linear mixed effects model with breed as a fixed effect and dog as a random variable. When ANOVA was \( P < 0.05 \), differences between breed AA concentrations were determined with the Tukey test. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons. Results were considered statistically significant at \( P \leq 0.05 \), and a trend when \( P \leq 0.10 \).

**RESULTS**

All animals remained healthy and maintained their body condition and BW during the execution of the experiment. Throughout every IAAO study, all dogs consumed their entire daily diet offerings. Comparing among experimental diets and within each breed, BW, REE, FEE, and fast and fed respiratory quotient (RQ) were not significantly different (\( P > 0.05 \); Supplemental Table 1) and demonstrate the test diets only affected the oxidation of the indicator AA. During the IAAO studies, all dogs reached isotopic steady state at every intake of Met (data not shown).

To account for differences in feed intake among breeds (17, 17, and 14 g/kg BW for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labradors Retrievers, respectively) the 2-phase linear regression was done with Met intake (mg/kg BW and mg/kg BW\(^{0.75} \)) as independent variable rather than Met content (%). Based on \( F^{13}CO_2 \), the mean Met requirement for Miniature Dachshunds was at 44.2 mg/kg BW (0.260% Met as fed basis) with an upper 95% CL of 51.6 mg/kg BW (0.304% as fed basis; Fig. 1A). The model calculated based on metabolic BW (BW\(^{0.75} \)) was not significant (\( P > 0.10 \); Fig. 2A). For Beagles and Labradors Retrievers, the mean Met requirement was estimated at 57.5 and 50.4 mg/kg BW (107.7 and 121.8 mg/kg BW\(^{0.75} \) as fed basis) with an upper 95% CL of 77.9 and 72.4 mg/kg BW (147.8 and 159.6 mg/kg BW\(^{0.75} \) as fed basis), respectively (Table 2; Fig. 1B, 1C, 2B, and 2C). As the MR for Met was not significantly different for Beagles and Labrador Retrievers, data were pooled for these breeds. The mean Met requirement (MR) was estimated at 56.0 mg/kg BW with and upper 95% CL
of 75.8 mg/kg BW of Met for Beagles and Labrador Retrievers together (118.4 and 150.5 mg/kg BW^0.75 as fed basis for MR and upper 95% CL, respectively; Table 2; Fig. 1D and 2D).

In Miniature Dachshunds, serum Met concentration was significantly greater at the 3 highest dietary concentrations of Met (0.41, 0.46, and 0.66%) when compared to 0.21% Met (Table 3). In Beagles, serum Met concentration was significantly greater at the highest level (0.66%) of Met supplementation when compared to 0.21% Met (Table 3). Serum Met concentrations were not significantly different among dietary Met intakes in Labrador Retrievers (Table 3). Cystine and taurine (Tau) were not modified at any level of Met supplementation in any of the breeds used. As there were no interactions between dietary Met content and breeds (Table 3), the overall AA concentration was compared across breeds. Cys concentration across the 3 breeds was not significantly different (61.4, 41.1, and 39.1 ± 10.6 µM; mean ± SEM for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labradors, respectively; P > 0.10), while Met concentration was significantly lower for miniature Dachshunds (43.8, 238.8, 247.4 ± 14.8 µM; mean ± SEM for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labradors, respectively; P ≤ 0.05) despite being fed more Met per kg BW than both Beagles and Labradors. Taurine was also significantly different across breeds, with significantly greater concentration for Miniature Dachshunds compared to Beagles and Labradors (225.6, 172.8, and 123.6 ± 11.8 µM; mean ± SEM for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labradors, respectively; P ≤ 0.05) and could be a function of the total sulfur AA (SAA) intake. When the Met concentration data were analyzed using the 2-phase linear regression model, data from the highest Met level was not included during data analysis for Miniature Dachshunds as it did not follow the linear increase seen in previous levels (Fig. 3). Using Met concentration in serum, the MR for Met in Miniature Dachshunds was estimated at 44.2 mg/kg BW (0.260%) with an upper 95% CL of 48.9 mg/kg (0.288%) (Fig. 3). Estimation of the breakpoint was not significant for Beagles or Labrador Retrievers using serum Met concentrations (P > 0.10).
Among breeds, BW, and LBM were significantly different \((P \leq 0.05); \textbf{Table 4}\). When expressed as a proportion of BW, LBM was the greatest for Miniature Dachshunds \((P \leq 0.05)\), but no significant differences were found between Beagles and Labradors \((P > 0.10, \textbf{Table 4})\). Resting EE per unit of metabolic BW \((BW^{0.75})\) was the greatest for Labrador Retrievers \((P \leq 0.05)\), and no significant differences were found for Miniature Dachshunds and Beagles \((P > 0.10, \textbf{Table 4})\). Fed energy expenditure per \(BW^{0.75}\) increased compared to REE for all breeds \((P \leq 0.05)\) and was significantly greater for Miniature Dachshunds and Labrador Retrievers as compared to Beagles \((P \leq 0.05)\). Fasting and fed RQ was significantly higher for Beagles \((P \leq 0.05)\) compared to Miniature Dachshund and Labradors \((\textbf{Table 4})\).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first dose-response study evaluating Met requirements among different breeds of adult dogs at weight maintenance. The current study suggests that the minimum recommended allowance of the Met requirement with Cys provided in excess (0.930% as fed) is 51.6 mg/kg BW (0.304 g/100 g dry matter, DM) for Miniature Dachshunds, 77.9 mg/kg BW (147.8 mg/kg \(BW^{0.75}\) as fed basis and 0.458 g/100 g DM) for Beagles, and 172.4 mg/kg BW (159.6 mg/kg \(BW^{0.75}\) as fed basis and 0.517 g/100 g DM) for Labrador Retrievers. NRC (2006) recommends that Met and Met + Cys should be provided at 0.26 and 0.52 g/100 g DM, respectively, for adult dogs at maintenance. The current NRC recommendations are based on the lowest Met concentrations reported in two long-term studies where mature Beagles were fed low-protein diets for extended periods of time and showed no observable signs of deficiency (Ward, 1976; Sanderson et al., 2001). In the study by Sanderson et al. (2001), feeding 0.48% of total SAA resulted in 1 case of dilated cardiomyopathy from Tau deficiency and suggests SAA were deficient, but may also be due to other factors such as the relatively high amount of dietary fat provided. Compared to those results, estimated Met requirement in the present experiment are much higher for Beagles and Labrador Retrievers, but are similar for Miniature Dachshunds when reported in mg/kg and in percent (%) of diet on a DM basis.
Recently, Harrison et al. (2020) applied IAAO methods to estimate the dietary requirements of Met in adult Labrador Retrievers and determined an upper 95% CL of 0.71 g/Mcal, which is about 50% of the present recommendation (1.303 g/Mcal ME for the pooled requirement of Beagles and Labrador Retrievers). One difference when comparing these estimates and presenting them as a function of dietary energy is that in North America, the ME content of the diet is calculated using factors of 3.5 kcal/g for protein and nitrogen free extract, and 8.5 kcal/g for fat (modified Atwater factors). In contrast, in the United Kingdom and Europe factors of 4 kcal/g for protein and nitrogen free extract, and 9 kcal/g for fat are used (Atwater factors). As such, if you used the Atwater factors, rather than modified Atwater factors, the estimate of our requirement on a caloric basis would be 1.176 g/Mcal ME for the pooled requirement of Beagles and Labrador Retrievers and still greater than the estimate from Harrison et al. (2020). Further differences between these estimates may be due to differences in digestibility (Harrison et al., 2020 = 96% total tract apparent crude protein (CP) digestibility) whereas the digestibility of the diets used in the present study was likely lower due to the inclusion of more intact ingredients in contrast to the diets used by Harrison et al. (2020). More specifically, the diets used in the current study included beet pulp, a moderately fermentable fiber. Fermentable carbohydrates reduce apparent ileal digestibilities, nitrogen retention, and average daily gain in pigs (Myrie et al., 2008) and addition of dietary pectin resulted in reduced total tract CP digestibility in dogs (Silvio et al., 2000). In addition to reducing ileal digestibility of the AA, fermentable fibers such as oligosaccharides results in greater colonic weight of rats (Campbell et al., 1997), and consequently higher Met utilization by the gut tissue. The latter is supported by the 30% lower Met requirement of piglets fed parenterally in contrast to enterally (Shoveller et al., 2003) and that the gut utilizes 20% of dietary Met in healthy pigs (Riedijk et al., 2007). It should also be noted that the diets used by Harrison et al. (2020) had a much higher protein content and lower ratio of indispensable AA to total nitrogen content. We do not know whether greater dispensable AA may have contributed to a lower estimate of the requirement, but this is an industry relevant question to investigate.
Related to the study designs, there are also fundamental differences between these two studies. Harrison et al. (2020) did not use the prime to constant ratio which is based on the Phe kinetics that we conducted in dogs (Gooding et al., 2013) and also explains the significantly lower $F^{13}CO_2$ presented by Harrison et al. (2020). Furthermore, given that Gooding et al. (2013) demonstrated that gastric emptying rate is approximately 25 min, the once hourly feeding in Harrison et al. (2013) may not have allowed for achievement of a similar isotopic steady state. Finally, we validated that metabolic chambers resulted in complete CO$_2$ collection and did not result in any fractionation of the breath sample (Shoveller et al., 2017), but it was unclear how Harrison et al. (2020) had done the same using respiratory masks. Together, these differences in the experimental diets and in the study design may explain the greater requirement determined in the present study in contrast to Harrison et al. (2020). Indeed, a limitation of the current study is that basal and test diets were low protein, AA supplemented diets, and as such, the total protein supply may affect the prediction of the requirement. Further research examining the effects of dietary fat and protein on single indispensable AA requirements, and how gut function is related to the estimate of AA requirements is warranted.

The Met requirements estimated herein may increase depending on other factors, such as Cys supplementation, the nature of the dietary ingredients, dietary protein and fat provision, and/or provision of trans-methylation products in the diets. Methionine acts as a precursor for Cys synthesis, and supplementation of Cys can spare up to ~70% of the Met needed in rats (Finkelstein et al., 1988), whereas in pigs, Cys spares the Met requirement by 40% on a weight basis, equating to Cys comprising 50% of the total SAA (Shoveller et al. 2003). We included excess of Cys in the diet to minimize Met utilization for Cys synthesis; thus, the present values should be interpreted as the minimum dietary Met requirements or the lowest amount of Met needed in the diet. As well, the estimated MR for Met has been determined here using a semi purified diet, with more than 50% of the estimated requirement coming from a crystalline AA source that is considered to be 100% bioavailable (NRC, 2006). Total Met content in the diet should be modified depending on AA
digestibility and bioavailability in the ingredients used to meet the animal needs. Finally, Met is also involved in methylation reactions, as such, direct supplementation of the final products of methylation (i.e. folate, betaine, and choline) may decrease the need for Met in the diet (Shoveller et al., 2005, Robinson et al., 2016).

The difference in estimated Met requirement between Miniature Dachshunds, and Beagles and Labrador Retrievers is not well understood. In Miniature Dachshunds, the MR (the break point in the 2-phase regression model) was found at the second level of Met supplementation. This indicates that the true break point could be between 0.21-0.26%, values that are similar to estimates in growing dogs (NRC, 2006). Moreover, when determining Met requirements based on metabolic BW (BW^0.75) the model was not significant. Thus, data from Miniature Dachshunds should be interpreted carefully. However, analysis of serum Met concentration in adult Miniature Dachshunds also suggests lower Met requirements, in agreement with IAAO data. Based on the overall concentration of AA across the multiple level of Met intake, there are consistent differences in SAA metabolism. Across all dietary Met level, Dachshunds Met concentration in plasma was approximately 20% compared to that of Beagles and Labrador, while for Tau, Dachshunds concentration was 50% higher. In the present study, given that Tau is mostly influenced by dietary supply, plasma Tau was likely greater due to greater food intake. The mechanism behind these differences in SAA metabolism support the notion of different indispensable AA requirements among dog breeds and warrants a breed specific investigation of the control of transmethylation, remethylation, and transsulfuration.

Similar to other studies presented by our lab (Mansilla et al., 2018; Templeman et al., 2019; Mansilla et al., 2020; Sutherland et al., 2020), calorimetry data remained similar at all levels of Met supplementation, indicating no differences in AA or nutrient metabolism with increasing levels of Met supplementation. The animals used for the present experiment have been used for similar studies (Mansilla et al., 2018; Templeman et al., 2019; Mansilla et al., 2020; Sutherland et al., 2020);
therefore, the calorimetry data are comparable to data published elsewhere. The higher REE (kcal/BW\(^{0.75}\)) in Labrador Retrievers compared to Beagles and Miniature Dachshunds is, however, not consistent with our previous results. This could be related to changes in BW and LBM. As expected, and as seen in the previous reports in this series, the fasted RQ values are indicative of fat metabolism for energy production, and increased RQ in the fed state suggests a preferential shift to dietary protein and carbohydrate metabolism in the postprandial phase.

This study is part of a series of experiments where the requirements of Phe, Trp, Thr, and Lys for adult dogs of different breeds have been previously estimated using the IAAO technique (Mansilla et al., 2018; Templeman et al., 2019; Mansilla et al., 2020; Sutherland et al., 2020). It should be noted, though, that in all these reports (including the present study), only spayed and neutered dogs were used. As such, the authors acknowledge that no conclusions can be drawn regarding how these indispensable AA requirements may differ based on hormonal status.

In conclusion, this is the second dose-response study determining Met requirements in adult dogs at maintenance and the first to use multiple breeds. The estimates presented are higher than current recommendations by the NRC (2006) for Beagles and Labrador Retrievers, but similar to Miniature Dachshunds. Values presented herein are based on semi purified diets supplemented with excess Cys; actual dietary supply of Met (bioavailability) may vary depending on the ingredients used in the diet. The present study suggests that SAA metabolism may differ between breeds and may be greater when lower digestibility diets with greater dietary fiber are utilized, or when diets with an increased dietary fat to protein ratio are provided. Further research is warranted to establish Met requirements with varying inclusion levels of dietary Cys, differing splits of specific macronutrients (i.e. fat to protein), and with direct supplementation of final products of methylation. Additionally, a more thorough investigation into the metabolic differences that may underpin breed differences in Met requirements, such as breed-specific control of trans- and re-methylation, and transsulfuration.
is necessary. Finally, the values presented herein were determined in a short-term study, and validation in a long-term study requires further attention.
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Table 1. Ingredient composition and analyzed nutrient contents of the test diet on an as is basis

| Ingredient                          | g/kg  |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Corn starch                         | 480.6 |
| Chicken fat                         | 130.6 |
| Chicken meal                        | 63.9  |
| Yellow corn                         | 50.6  |
| Brewer’s rice                       | 50.6  |
| Amino acid premix¹                  | 75.9  |
| Beet pulp                           | 30.4  |
| Dicalcium phosphate                 | 29.0  |
| Chicken flavor                      | 20.2  |
| Potassium chloride                  | 13.3  |
| Sodium bicarbonate                  | 10.1  |
| Chicken liver flavor                | 5.06  |
| Brewer’s yeast                      | 5.06  |
| Ground flax                         | 5.06  |
| Choline chloride                    | 4.47  |
| Vitamin premix²                     | 4.25  |
| Sodium hexametaphosphate            | 4.05  |
| Calcium carbonate                   | 5.80  |
| Mineral premix D³                    | 3.44  |
| Fish oil                            | 2.91  |
| Sodium chloride                     | 1.82  |
| Monosodium phosphate                | 2.33  |
| Ethoxyquin                          | 0.51  |

| Nutrient content                  | Analyzed content |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg (calculated)⁴ | 3700             |
| DM, %                             | 92.22            |
| CP, %                             | 11.05            |
| Predicted crude fiber, %          | 1.20             |
| Predicted crude fat, % (min)      | 15.5             |
| Arg, %                            | 1.162            |
| Pro, %                            | 0.553            |
| Cys, %                            | 1.124            |
| His, %                            | 0.567            |
| Ile, %                            | 0.749            |
| Leu, %                            | 1.087            |
| Lys, %                            | 0.870            |
| Amino Acid | %     |
|------------|-------|
| Met        | 0.210 (0.487)  |
| Phe        | 0.800  |
| Tau        | 0.040  |
| Thr        | 0.866  |
| Trp        | 0.444  |
| Tyr        | 0.600  |
| Val        | 0.755  |
| Ser        | 0.685  |
| Gly        | 0.598  |
| Ala        | 0.534  |

\(^1\)Provides per kg of final diet: 4.03 g of Arg, Cystine, His, Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, Phe, Thr, Trp, Tyr, and Val each. Threonine was removed for the test diets.

\(^2\)Vitamin premix contained per kg: 6,650 K IU Vitamin A, 365,000 IU Vitamin D₃, 100,400 IU Vitamin E, 4,100 mg Thiamine, 2,500 mg Niacin, 2,000 mg Pyridoxine, 7,750 mg d-Pantothenic acid, 115 mg Folic acid, 45 mg Vitamin B₁₂, 2,500 mg Inositol, 13,750 mg Vitamin C, 1,200 mg β-carotene.

\(^3\)Mineral premix contained per kg: 150 mg Cobalt Carbonate, 4,500 mg Copper Sulphate, 900 mg Potassium Iodine, 72,000 mg Iron Sulfate, 8,000 mg Manganese Oxide, 5,800 mg Manganese Sulfate, 60,000 mg Sodium Selenite.

\(^4\)Calculated metabolizable energy based on modified Atwater values.

\(^5\)Value for Met presented in brackets represent the basal test diet only.
Table 2. Recommended dietary Met inclusions for adult dogs at maintenance by AAFCO, FEDIAF, NRC, and the present study.

|                | AAFCO\(^1\) | FEDIAF\(^2\) | NRC\(^3\) | Miniature Dachshunds | Beagles | Labrador Retrievers | Beagles and Labradors (pooled data) |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| g/100 g DM\(^4\) | 0.43\(^6\)  | 0.26         | 0.26       | [0.21-0.26]          | 0.304   | 0.360             | 0.360                               |
| g/Mcal ME      | 1.23\(^7\)  | 0.65         | 0.65       | [0.57-0.70]          | 0.822   | 0.973             | 0.973                               |
| mg/kg BW       | [35.7-45.0] | 51.6         | 57.5       | 77.9                 | 50.4    | 56.0              | 75.8                                |
| mg/kg BW\(^0.75\) | 85         | 110          | --         | --                   | 107.7   | 121.8             | 118.4                               |

\(^1\)Association of American Feed Control Officials Manual. 2014.
\(^2\)European Pet Food Industry Federation Nutritional guidelines for complete and complementary pet food for cats and dogs. 2013.
\(^3\)Nutrient requirements of dog and cats. National Research Council. 2006.
\(^4\)CL: Upper 95% confidence limit, MR: Minimal requirement, RA: recommended allowance.
\(^5\)Values for g/100 g DM are determined assuming a dietary energy density of 4,000 kcal ME/kg.
\(^6\)Value for Methionine-Cystine.
\(^7\)Value for Methionine-Cystine assuming dietary energy density of 3,700 kcal ME/kg.
Table 3. Least square means of fed state serum Met, Cys, and Tau concentrations in adult Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labrador Retrievers fed diets containing increasing concentrations of Met with excess Cys.

| AA, μM | Breed     | Dietary Met, % (n=4) | P-value | SEM | Breed | Met | Interaction |
|--------|-----------|----------------------|---------|-----|-------|-----|-------------|
|        |           | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 0.66 |         |
| Cys    | Dachshunds| 84.6 | 67.6 | 93.1 | 49.0 | 66.2 | 23.3 | 45.7 | 34.0 | 0.276 | 0.511 | 0.794 |
|        | Beagles   | 46.1 | 43.2 | 41.7 | 34.5 | 42.4 | 44.2 | 36.2 | 6.9  |       |       |       |
|        | Labradors | 45.4 | 52.4 | 33.5 | 39.5 | 29.8 | 33.0 | 40.2 | 7.1  |       |       |       |
| Met    | Dachshunds| 26.1 | 23.1 | 34.7 | 43.9 | 52.4* | 63.4* | 63.2* | 7.0  | <0.001 | 0.016 | 0.125 |
|        | Beagles   | 141.0 | 220.6 | 199.3 | 238.5 | 304.3 | 222.8 | 344.9* | 51.0  |       |       |       |
|        | Labradors | 280.6 | 285.0 | 147.6 | 224.8 | 194.1 | 252.2 | 347.6 | 41.0  |       |       |       |
| Tau    | Dachshunds| 266.1 | 227.0 | 195.6 | 238.5 | 226.0 | 217.6 | 208.7 | 25.5 | <0.001 | 0.243 | 0.882 |
|        | Beagles   | 175.9 | 159.4 | 141.4 | 176.6 | 184.5 | 192.2 | 177.1 | 24.0  |       |       |       |
|        | Labradors | 133.8 | 131.3 | 110.1 | 126.9 | 119.3 | 116.2 | 127.4 | 12.8  |       |       |       |

*Standard error of the mean, n = 4 at each level of dietary Met for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labrador Retrievers.
*Significantly different (P ≤ 0.05) when compared to the lowest level of dietary Met (Met = 0.21%) using the Dunnett’s test within each breed.
Table 4. Mean body weight, lean body mass, and indirect calorimetry data of dogs used.

|                      | Miniature Dachshunds n = 4 | Beagles n = 4 | Labrador Retrievers n = 4 | Pooled ANOVA SEM | P-value |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|
| BW, kg               | 4.66<sup>c</sup>           | 9.91<sup>b</sup> | 32.6<sup>a</sup>         | 0.23             | <0.001  |
| LBM, kg              | 3.74<sup>c</sup>           | 7.61<sup>b</sup> | 25.1<sup>a</sup>         | 0.33             | <0.001  |
| LBM, % BW            | 80.6<sup>a</sup>           | 76.7<sup>b</sup> | 76.9<sup>b</sup>         | 1.20             | 0.001   |
| REE, Kcal/BW<sup>0.75</sup> | 63.1<sup>b</sup> | 62.1<sup>b</sup> | 70.4<sup>a</sup>         | 1.87             | 0.004   |
| FEE, Kcal/BW<sup>0.75</sup> | 91.3<sup>a</sup> | 81.5<sup>b</sup> | 89.2<sup>a</sup>         | 2.69             | 0.029   |
| Fasting RQ           | 0.774<sup>b</sup>          | 0.795<sup>a</sup> | 0.771<sup>b</sup>        | 0.007            | 0.026   |
| Fed RQ               | 0.845<sup>b</sup>          | 0.868<sup>a</sup> | 0.848<sup>b</sup>        | 0.003            | <0.001  |

<sup>1</sup>Standard error of the mean, n = 4 for Miniature Dachshunds, Beagles, and Labrador Retrievers.
<sup>2</sup>LSmean of BW (body weight) REE (resting energy expenditure), FEE (fed energy expenditure), Fasting RQ (respiratory quotient), and Fed RQ measured on each day of each IAAD study.
<sup>3</sup>LBM, lean body mass.
<sup>a,b,c</sup> Values in a row with different superscript are different (P ≤ 0.05; Tukey test).
Figure 1. Production of $^{13}$CO$_2$ from the oxidation of orally administered L-[1-$^{13}$C]-Phe in adult dogs of different breeds fed diets with increasing concentrations of Met with excess Cys. Miniature Dachshunds (A), Beagles (B), Labrador Retrievers (C), and Beagles and Labrador Retrievers (D). Dashed lines represent estimated mean Met requirement (44.2 mg/kg BW for A, 57.5 mg/kg BW for B, 50.4 mg/kg BW for C, 56.0 mg/kg BW for D); dotted lines represent the upper 95% CL for Met requirement (51.6 mg/kg BW for A, 77.9 mg/kg BW for B, 72.4 mg/kg BW for C, 75.8 mg/kg BW for D). Data points represent mean + SE of samples (n = 4).
Figure 2. Production of $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ from the oxidation of orally administered L-[1-13C]-Phe in adult dogs of different breeds fed diets with increasing concentrations of Met with excess Cys. Miniature Dachshunds (A), Beagles (B), Labrador Retrievers (C), and Beagles and Labrador Retrievers (D). Dashed lines represent estimated mean Met requirement (model was $P > 0.10$ for A, 107.7 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for B, 121.8 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for C, 118.4 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for D); dotted lines represent the upper 95% CL for Met requirement (147.8 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for B, 159.6 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for C, 150.5 mg/kg BW$^{0.75}$ for D). Individual data points are represented in the graphs.
Figure 3. Methionine concentration in serum collected from adult Miniature Dachshunds fed diets supplemented with increasing levels of Met and excess Cys during the fed state. Dashed lines represent estimated mean Met requirement (44.2 mg/kg BW); dotted lines represent the upper 95% CL (48.9 mg/kg BW). Data points represent mean + SE of samples (n = 4).
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