A narrative review of quadrupled hamstring tendon autograft for ACL tear
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Abstract

Hamstring tendon autograft remains a popular graft choice nowadays. Knee pain after surgery has decreased very much than patellar tendon graft and recovery was also feasible and faster. Biomechanically stronger also compared to patellar tendon graft. Extensive search of articles were done in PUBMED, Google scholar and reference checking. We concluded that quadrupled hamstring tendon graft gives an excellent outcome by assessing with IKDC scoring.
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Introduction

There is a ligament in knee called ACL which is the injured commonly in athletes. Since it is more frequent, it is strongly studied with focus, and post-operative reports are noticeable. Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) reconstruction is widely followed throughout the country with practiced surgical procedure with a low number of morbidity. ACL, when its tore results in changes in muscle role and patterns. Surgically reconstructing ACL returns to the pre injury levels of the muscles. There are various methods of reconstructing the graft and fixing methods evolved which is being studied throughout as the injury is quite common.

Methods

Literature done in the English language for this review was collected. Articles related to this topic were retrieved from PubMed and Google scholar. Keywords used for this research included ACL reconstruction; Hamstring tendon autograft; IKDC score. In 2000 there evolved a scoring system (IKDC) used for assessment of patient, which was documented by international knee committee. Calculation was done by totalling scores individually for each and then changing the score to a scale that exists from number 0 to number 100. The scores as 90 to100 are normal, 80 to 89 - near normal, 70 to 79 abnormal and less than 70- which is said to be severely abnormal.

Results

Riley et al. in year 2004, assessed the clinical consequences in patients with minimum 2yrs follow up in ACL reconstructed patients with quadrupled hamstring tendon autograft. Upto 89% improvement was seen clinically. Seven percent had complications of tear of the graft, ten months post surgery. No degenerative changes noted in post op X ray. In 2005 Roe et al. analyzed to find out whether any disparity exists between two grafts HT and BPTB after seven years post procedure. At 7 year evaluation, atypical X-ray change seen in forty five percent BPTB and Fourteen percent of HT group. Splitting of the graft occurred in four in BPTB group and in nine in HT subjects. Outstanding results achieved in both groups at end of 7 years.

Keays SL et al. in year 2007 compared BPTB autograft against HT tendon graft at subjects with 6yr follow up. Constructing ACL again with the HT tendons gave improved performance and very less incidence of degenerative changes. In 2011 Mohtadi NG et al., analysed outcomes of Patellar tendon bone autograft and HT graft for ACL tear in adults with review.
19 trials were conducted from Cochrane, Medline, Embase databases and finally, no difference was found between these two graft. Whereas in BPTB forward knee Problem was seen. In year 2011 Cirstiou et al. [13] assessed torn anterior cruciate ligament with a Patellar tendon graft or with HT. Subjects with semitendinous graft had easier and better rehabilitation. Mariscalo et al. in year 2013 [14] analysed the consequence graft size on outcome of the patient. In the study graft size is one mm higher, it was noted that it does with 3.3 point increase in pain and 2 point increase in ADL subscale, a 5.2 point increase in sport or recreation function subscale, with a 3.4 point increase in subjective scale. Surgery revision required again in 14 of 199 patients.

In year 2016 Robindro et ai [14, 15,] calculated end results of ACL repair with HT tendon autograft using arthroscopy. In this procedure, for fixing femur part endo button was used and for fixing tibia part, bioabsorbable screw such as IF was used. Eighty percent attained normal, sixteen percent nearly normal and three percent abnormal results according to Intenation Knee documentation committee score. Veeragandham et al. in year 2017 [16, 17] did a study. To monitor the outcomes of HT graft reconstructed by arthroscopy, which use Endo button-CL for fixing with femur and for fixing tibia, screws that are bio absorbable was used. post-operative outcome was assessed and it improved well. In year 2017 Padya et al. [18, 19,] study was about analyzing the post op results using HT. Autograft for reconstruction of torn ACL. Only eight percent of subjects had fair range, while ninety two percent attained excellent outcomes. Jagadeesh in year 2017 [20,] assessed about the variables at 6 months at regular interval with the scoring systems namely, IKDC 2000, Lysholm scoring, tegner action scale. Upto 95%, satisfactory results were achieved. In 2017 by Sholahudin et al. [21, 22,] Functional range (IKDC, modified Cincinnati and Lysholm scores) were noted before surgery. Functional range noted one year post surgery. All complications were noted. They came with a conclusion stating that peroneous longus tendon can be a promising graft for reconstructing ACL in terms of its efficacy and functional scoring and excellent ankle function with less thigh hypotrophy.

In year 2018, Mishra et al. [23, 24] outcome was assessed based on the functions of quadrupled HT tendon graft, which was done with implants by inserting endo button on femoral and for tibia part, used Bioabsorbable IF (Interference) screw which was done with arthroscopy. Evaluation of functional end result was made by using using Tegner Lysholm scoring method. It shows that injured occurred more frequently in younger age and Atheletes are more prone. This procedure, thereby gives a stable knee, and reduces post-operative morbidity.

Rai et al. [25, 26] in 2018, used quadrupled HT and femoral side graft fixation with ACL. Tight Rope for this ACL(anterior cruciate ligament) reconstruction procedure. They also evaluated about complication arising from this. Pre operatively, all patients had positive findings in clinical test. Post-operatively negative lachmann in 85% and none were pivot positive. Complications were seen in five percent cases as infection and graft failure. In year 2019, Girish Kumar et al. [27,] Results were calculated for the procedure, HT tendon autograft that was fixed with implants for treating ACL tear by arthroscopy. Total number of subjects is 30, of which twenty four had normal range and five subjects that had nearly normal range and one had abnormal range.

12 subjects got better by one, 18 of them achieved two better grade. That 1 patient who had abnormal range had loss of ROM of upto 50%.

**Conclusion**

As per the review, studies suggesting that hamstring tendon autograft achieves excellent functional outcome. The problems that arose by patellar tendon graft such as patellar tendon rupture, tibia bone fracture, failure of complete extension and anterior knee pain has been overcome by using hamstring tendon autograft according to the review [28, 29]. There is more improvement in IKDC score measured post operatively after 6 months. Also studies done to compare the efficacy between hamstring tendon graft and patellar tendon bone graft as measured by IKDC score showed better outcome in quadrupled hamstring tendon autograft in comparison with patellar tendon bone graft [30].
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