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Abstract: The announcement of the coronavirus pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO), ongoing restrictions and isolation led to a break with the daily routine, and suspension of social contacts, but also imposed new challenges on the population related to maintaining healthy eating habits. The purpose of the study was to assess the prevalence of “food addiction” (FA) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland in relation to several variables including depression. The method of analysis was a questionnaire containing original questions and the Yale Food Addiction Scale 2.0 (YFAS). A total of 1022 Polish residents aged 18–75 participated in the study (N = 1022; 93.7% women, 6.3% men). The prevalence of FA during the COVID-19 pandemic measured with the YFAS 2.0 scale was 14.1%. The average weight gain during the pandemic in 39% of respondents was 6.53 kg. Along with the increase in the value of the BMI index, the intensity of “food addiction” increased in the study group. People with depression had statistically significantly more FA symptoms than healthy people. This work may motivate future research to evaluate the association and potential overlap of “food addiction” and problem eating behaviors during the pandemic and the obesity problem.
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1. Introduction

The COVID-19 disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus has caused many unexpected changes around the world. The announcement of the coronavirus pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020, ongoing global restrictions and isolation led to a break with the daily routine, and the suspension of social contacts, but also imposed new challenges on the population related to maintaining healthy eating habits [1]. Quarantine and pandemic-related restrictions are key in limiting the spread of the virus, but at the same time they contribute to the deterioration of the quality of the diet, excessive food consumption and reduced physical activity [2–4]. Improper diet and sedentary lifestyle are closely related to both physical and mental health. The epidemiological situation in the world significantly influenced the behavior related to lifestyle, as well as the deterioration of mental health [5]. It has been found that during a pandemic, people with a history of mental health disorders tend to relapse [6–12]. Scientific evidence demonstrates a close correlation between unhealthy eating patterns, low-quality diets and the state of increased anxiety and emotional disorders [13]. Moreover, stress and sadness are associated with lower motivation to eat healthily and with a reduced feeling of pleasure while eating [3,14]. It has been shown that the pandemic situation is conducive to changes in consumers’ eating behavior, leading also to the accumulation of food supplies, and at the same time to changes conducive to weight gain [15–17]. It is well known that isolated and stressed people, as well as a significant proportion of the population during COVID-19 emergencies, often turn to substances or take “rewarding” actions to
deal with negative feelings [17]. A number of factors related to the COVID-19 pandemic may contribute to the incidence of food addiction (FA). Although the concept of FA was introduced sixty-five years ago, its definition and implications are still under discussion, both in society and in the scientific community [18,19]. The term suggests that people may experience reactions (under the influence of excessive and uncontrolled consumption of extremely palatable food) similar to the addictive ones that are adequate to those seen with classic intoxicants, both at the behavioral and neurobiological levels [20–28]. The American Society for Addiction Medicine has defined addiction as “a curable, chronic medical disease involving the complex interactions between the brain, genetics, environment, and life experiences of an individual. Addicted people use substances or engage in behaviors that become compulsive and often persist despite harmful consequences” [29]. The symptoms of FA include not only overeating, but also intrusive thoughts about food, experiencing strong emotions related to eating, giving up interests, ignoring and neglecting other activities and relationships with the environment. The preoccupation with food becomes an important, integral part of many people’s lives, and other spheres of functioning are subordinated to it. Binge eating and a focus on eating are related to the simultaneous loss of control over this process, experienced by many people, especially in relation to the tasty products included in the YFAS 2.0 questionnaire, i.e., sweets, bread or other cereal products, salty snacks, fast food and sweetened drinks [30]. FA has been suggested to be associated with changes in the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway that underpins the transition from reward-driven eating to impulsive and compulsive eating. The model is based on the assumption that a particular food category or certain food ingredient has a direct effect on the brain, introducing changes that ultimately take over behavior related to reward [28]. Some researchers argue that the main culprit is sugar, although the evidence of this theory is questionable and not entirely convincing [31–33]. Other studies show that the combination of sugars and fats in the Western diet increases the susceptibility to addictive eating behavior [34]. Foods high in both fat and carbohydrates have been shown to be more palatable than foods high in only fat or only carbohydrates, and foods containing a combination of these macronutrients had a greater effect on the activity of brain areas related to the reward center [34]. Studies have shown that highly processed, high-energy products with a high-glycemic load and high-fat content were most often associated with addiction-like eating behaviors, especially in people with elevated FA symptoms [35]. Moreover, staying indoors for long periods may increase the risk of compulsive overeating, high-calorie food consumption and thus the occurrence of FA [36–38]. The questions in the YFAS questionnaire were adjusted to assess the consumption of high-fat, high-carbohydrate foods and were analyzed by an expert panel. FA was assessed using the Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS). It was initially developed and validated in 2009 [26]. In 2016, YFAS 2.0 was developed to be consistent with the current addiction diagnostic criterion, described in the DSM-5 (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders) [39,40]. YFAS is the only available tool for measuring addictive eating behavior and is currently the best available measure for assessing “food addiction” [40]. YFAS has been translated and validated in European countries [41]. It is emphasized that FA is both an individual and collective problem that needs to be addressed at the social level [19]. The purpose of the study was to assess the prevalence of “food addiction” (FA) during the COVID-19 pandemic in Poland in relation to several variables including depression.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Participants and Exclusion Criteria

A total of 1066 residents from various regions of Poland took part in the study. After reading and providing their informed consent to participate in the study, participants completed an anonymous online survey. The link to the form (Google Forms online survey platform), preceded by a short description of the purpose of the study, was made available via social media (Facebook, Instagram) from 1 January 2021 to 20 June 2021 (i.e., after about 12 months of the pandemic). The participants could complete the questionnaire directly
from their smartphone, tablet or computer. Ultimately, 1022 people were qualified for the study. Indeed, 44 participants who did not meet the study criteria were excluded. These were people under the age of 18, with eating disorders, as well as pregnant and breastfeeding women. The rejection criteria are argued for a physiological and psychological condition that may influence eating behavior and thus the identification of FA.

2.2. Study Documentation

The personal data and data of the participants were anonymous in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation of the European Parliament (GDPR 679/2016). The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the University of Rzeszów (Approval Code: Resolution No. 6/05/2021, Approval Date: 20 May 2021). Participants were allowed to withdraw at any stage of the study.

2.3. Questionnaire and Principles for Determining the Degree of “Food Addiction”

The method of analysis was a questionnaire containing proprietary questions and the Yale Food Addiction Scale 2.0 (YFAS 2.0). The first part consisted of questions concerning gender, education, place of residence, lifestyle (e.g., changes in tobacco consumption, physical activity), professional situation related to the pandemic, anthropometric measurements and the presence of certain pathologies. The second part involved a 35-item Polish adaptation of the questionnaire Yale Food Addiction Scale Version 2.0 [42,43]. YFAS 2.0 takes into account the eating behavior of the last 12 months. Based on this part of the questionnaire, the degree of “food addiction” during the pandemic was determined. In YFAS 2.0, all 35 items are continuous with measures 0 to 7 (0—never, 1—less than monthly, 2—one per month, 3—two or three times per month, 4—one per week, 5—two to three times per week, 6—four to six times per week, 7—every day). The diagnostic result on this scale is within the range of 0–11: the higher the score, the higher the level of FA. The presence of no more than one symptom or the absence of a symptom 12 (taking the substance causes serious problems or stress) was classified as non-addiction, the presence of 2–3 symptoms and the occurrence of a symptom 12 was classified as mild addiction, the presence of 4–5 symptoms together with the symptom 12 addiction was classified as moderate and the occurrence of more symptoms with 12 was classified as severe addiction.

2.4. Statistics Calculations

The calculations were made in the R ver. 3.6.0 statistical environment, with the PSPP program and MS Office 2019. \( p = 0.05 \) was adopted as the level of significance. Variables expressed at the ordinal or nominal level were analyzed using chi-square tests. Non-parametric tests (the Mann–Whitney U test or Kruskall–Wallis test) were used to analyze quantitative variables divided into groups. The selection of tests was made on the basis of the distribution of variables, which was verified with the Shapiro–Wilk test.

3. Results

In total, 1022 inhabitants from various regions of Poland qualified for the study. The majority of the group were women (93.7%). A total of 94.2% of the respondents were people with secondary or higher education, 26.8% of the respondents were residents of rural areas and 32.9% of the group were residents of cities with more than 250,000 inhabitants. Based on the collected anthropometric data, the body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the formula: the quotient of body mass [kg] and height [m\(^2\)]. The subjects were divided into groups according to the classification: underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m\(^2\)), normal weight BMI (18.5–24.9 kg/m\(^2\)), overweight BMI (25.0–29.9 kg/m\(^2\)) and obesity (BMI \(\geq 30.00\) kg/m\(^2\)). In total, 53.7% of the respondents were people with a normal body weight, 40% were overweight and obese and the smallest number of people were underweight (6.4%). The characteristics of the study group are described in Table 1.
Table 1. Characteristics of the study group.

| Body mass index (BMI) | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Underweight           | 65        | 6.40%   |
| Normal weight         | 549       | 53.70%  |
| Overweight            | 204       | 20.00%  |
| Obesity               | 204       | 20.00%  |

| The occupational situation during the pandemic | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| No change                                       | 374       | 36.60%  |
| Remote system                                   | 393       | 38.50%  |
| Taking a job                                    | 4         | 0.40%   |
| Change of a job                                 | 29        | 2.80%   |
| Losing a job                                    | 81        | 7.90%   |
| More work                                       | 2         | 0.20%   |
| Less work                                       | 10        | 1.00%   |
| A leave, e.g., childcare leave                  | 17        | 1.70%   |
| Non-working person                             | 102       | 10.00%  |
| Another                                         | 10        | 1.00%   |

| Smoking | Frequency | Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| Yes     | 134       | 13.10%  |
| No      | 825       | 80.70%  |
| I stopped smoking before the pandemic          | 43        | 4.20%   |
| I started smoking during the pandemic           | 20        | 2.00%   |

| Comorbidities | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------|-----------|---------|
| Thyroid disease | 187      | 18.30%  |
| Type 2 diabetes | 28       | 2.74%   |
| Depression     | 117       | 11.45%  |
| Hypercholesterolaemia | 47     | 4.60%   |
| Hypertension   | 85        | 8.32%   |
| Elevated triglycerides | 31   | 3.03%   |
| Another        | 107       | 10.47%  |
| Not applicable | 617       | 60.37%  |

| Sex         | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Woman       | 958       | 93.70%  |
| Man         | 64        | 6.30%   |

| Education | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Primary education | 6      | 0.60%   |
| Lower secondary education | 13    | 1.30%   |
| Vocational education | 41    | 4.00%   |
| Secondary education | 432  | 42.30%  |
| Higher education | 530   | 51.90%  |

| Place of residence | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| Village            | 274       | 26.80%  |
| City up to 50,000  | 206       | 20.20%  |
| City 50–100,000    | 99        | 9.70%   |
| City 100–250,000   | 107       | 10.50%  |
| City over 250,000  | 336       | 32.90%  |

| Physical activity during a pandemic | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Increased                           | 267       | 26.10%  |
| Has not changed                     | 265       | 25.90%  |
| Decreased                           | 490       | 47.90%  |

| Change in body weight before the pandemic and now | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Increased                                        | 399       | 39.00%  |
| Has not changed                                  | 378       | 37.00%  |
| Decreased                                        | 245       | 24.00%  |
Participants were 18–75 years of age (mean = 33.18 years, SD = 11.86 years). The average weight gain of people who gained weight during the pandemic (N = 399, 39% of respondents) was M = 6.53 kg (Min = 1 kg, Max = 30 kg, SD = 4.21 kg). Half of the people whose weight increased were characterized by addiction not lower than Me = 7.00, while half of the people whose weight did not change were characterized by addiction not higher than Me = 2.00. Half of those whose weight decreased were not more than Me = 3.00. There were significant statistical differences (p < 0.05) between people whose weight increased and the other subjects. Among people whose body weight increased during the pandemic, there were statistically significantly (p < 0.05) more symptoms of food addiction than among the other people. Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics for the study group of N = 1022 people, taking into account the average, minimum and maximum values, as well as the median values of variables such as age, weight, height, calculated BMI, weight gain during the pandemic and “food addiction”.

Table 2. Characteristics of the group with a description of the statistics, taking into account the average, minimum and maximum values as well as the values of the medians of the variables.

| Variable       | N  | M    | SD   | Min  | Maks | Me   |
|----------------|----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Age            | 1022 | 33.18 | 11.86 | 18.00 | 75.00 | 30.00 |
| Body weight    | 1022 | 70.38 | 17.85 | 33.00 | 164.00 | 66.00 |
| Height         | 1022 | 166.88 | 7.11 | 143.00 | 193.00 | 166.00 |
| BMI            | 1022 | 25.20 | 5.90 | 12.27 | 54.17 | 23.74 |
| Weight gain    | 399  | 6.53  | 4.21 | 1.00  | 30.00 | 5.00  |
| “Food addiction” | 1022 | 4.74  | 3.53 | 1.00  | 11.00 | 4.00  |

N—abundance; M—average; SD—standard deviation; Min—minimum; Maks—maximum; Me—median.

The result was statistically significant (p = 0.001). People who were not diagnosed with FA accounted for 85.9% of the study group, people with severe addiction accounted for 12.9%, while people with moderate addiction 0.8% and mild addiction 0.4%. Presented below are the observed (real) and expected values, the result of the \(\chi^2\) test for one sample and the residual graph (difference between the observed and expected values). Table 3 shows the distribution of the levels of “food addiction”.

Table 3. Assessment of the occurrence of “food addiction”.

| Variable Level | Values | N    | Proportion | The Rest | Test Result |
|----------------|--------|------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Lack           | Observed | 878.00 | 0.859      | -622.50  |             |
|                | Expected | 255.50 | 0.250      |          |             |
| Mild           | Observed | 4.00  | 0.004      |          |             |
|                | Expected | 255.50 | 0.250      | 251.50   | \(\chi^2 = 2063.67\) |
| Moderate       | Observed | 8.00  | 0.008      |          |             |
|                | Expected | 255.50 | 0.250      | 247.50   | \(p = 0.001\) |
| Heavy          | Observed | 132.00 | 0.129      |          |             |
|                | Expected | 255.50 | 0.250      | 123.50   |             |

\(\chi^2\)—test statistic; df—degrees of freedom; N—abundance; p—relevance.

A statistically significant correlation (p < 0.001) between the BMI index and “food addiction” was observed. The correlation was moderately strong, as evidenced by the value of the coefficient 0.3 ≤ | rho | ≤ 0.5. It was a positive correlation, which means that the increase in the value of BMI coincided with the increase in the severity of FA in the study group. The distribution of variables is presented in Table 4.
Table 4. Correlation between BMI and FA in the study group.

| “Food Addiction” | rho  | p     |
|------------------|------|-------|
| BMI              |      |       |
|                  | 0.351 | <0.001|

rho—Spearman’s correlation coefficient; p—relevance; *** p < 0.001.

For the purposes of the study, three age categories were created: up to 25 years old, 26–35 years old, and over 35 years old. There were statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between people up to 25 years old and people aged 26–35 and over 35 years old. Half of the people up to 25 years of age were characterized by addiction not greater than $Me = 3.00$ (the other half of this age group by addiction not less than $Me = 3.00$), while half of the people in other age groups were characterized by addiction not less than $Me = 4.00$. Among people up to 25 years of age, there were statistically significantly (p < 0.05) fewer symptoms of “food addiction” than in other age groups.

In terms of food addiction, the groups selected according to the place of residence differed statistically significantly (p < 0.05). A post hoc Bonferroni test was performed to determine exactly which groups contained significant differences. There were significant statistical differences (p < 0.05) between the inhabitants of cities with more than 250,000 people and inhabitants of villages and cities with up to 50 thousand. Half of the rural population was characterized by addiction not lower than $Me = 5.00$, while half of the urban population was characterized by addiction not greater than $Me = 3.00$, as well as half of the urban population up to 50,000. In people from cities over 250 thousand, there were statistically significantly (p < 0.05) more symptoms of food addiction than in people from rural areas and cities of up to 50 thousand people.

Half of people working or learning remotely were addicted to no more than $Me = 3.00$, while half of people who experienced deterioration of working conditions were addicted to at least $Me = 5.50$. People who lost their jobs, changed jobs, or had fewer jobs, had statistically significant (p < 0.05) more symptoms of FA than people working or learning in a remote system. There were statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between people who worked or studied in a remote system and people who lost their job, had to change it, or had less work due to the pandemic.

Half of the people who decreased their activity were characterized by addiction not less than $Me = 5.00$, while half of the people who did not change their activity were characterized by addiction not higher than $Me = 2.00$, and half of the people who increased their activity were not more than $Me = 3.00$. People who decreased physical activity during the pandemic had statistically significantly (p < 0.05) more symptoms of FA than other people. There were statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between people who decreased physical activity during the pandemic and the other people.

There was a statistically significant correlation (p < 0.05) between FA and weight gain. The correlation was moderately strong and positive. Thus, the more symptoms of addiction were noted in the studied subjects, the greater was their weight gain during the pandemic.

Half of the people with secondary or less than secondary education achieved a result not greater than $Me = 3.00$. The lowest result among this group was $Min = 1.00$, and the highest $Max = 11.00$. Half of the people with higher education obtained a result not lower than $Me = 4.00$. The lowest result was $Min = 1.00$ and the highest was $Max = 11.00$. The indicated differences, however, are not statistically significant (p > 0.05). This means that education does not statistically significantly differentiate the number of symptoms of food addiction. The distribution of the described variables is presented in the Table 5.
Table 5. Correlation between “food addiction” and age range, place of residence, professional situation, physical activity and weight change.

|                        | Descriptive Statistics |
|------------------------|------------------------|
|                        | Age range              |
| “Food addiction”       |                         |
| up to 25 years         | 14.83                   |
| 26–35 years old        | 14.06                   |
| over 35 years old      | 152.96                  |
| Place of residence     |                         |
| village                | 17.18                   |
| city up to 50.000      | 17.87                   |
| city 50–250 thousand   | 17.58                   |
| a city with over 250.000 | 17.29                |
| Professional situation |                         |
| no change              | 55.73                   |
| remote system          | 152.96                  |
| loss/change/reduction  | 152.96                  |
| the remaining          | 152.96                  |
| Physical activity      |                         |
| has increased          | 55.73                   |
| has not changed        | 152.96                  |
| decreased              | 152.96                  |
| Weight change          | 152.96                  |
| has increased          | 152.96                  |
| has not changed        | 152.96                  |
| decreased              | 152.96                  |
| Education              |                          |
| medium or lower        | 121,627.50              |
| higher                 | 121,627.50              |

$\chi^2$—test statistic; df—degrees of freedom; $p$—statistical significance; Min—minimum score; Maks—maximum score; Me—median.

Half of the people who developed depression were characterized by addiction not lower than $Me = 7.00$. The lowest result among this group was $Min = 1.00$, and the highest $Max = 11.00$. Half of the people who did not develop depression had addiction not greater than $Me = 3.00$. The lowest result was $Min = 1.00$, and the highest was $Max = 11.00$. People with depression had statistically significantly ($p < 0.05$) more symptoms of FA than healthy people. The results are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Correlation between “food addiction” and the incidence of depression during a pandemic.

|                          | Descriptive Statistics |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
|                          | $U$                    |
| Depression               |                         |
| “Food addiction”         | 36,488.50              |
| appeared                 | 1.00                   |
| did not occur            | 1.00                   |

$U$—test statistic; $p$—statistical significance; Me—median; Min—minimum score; Maks—maximum score.

4. Discussion

The results of the study are consistent with the current literature on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on emotional states and eating behavior. The existing scientific evidence shows that 43.5% of Polish respondents declared that they ate more during the quarantine, and 51.8% admitted to snacking more often between meals [44]. This study can illustrate the effects of this phenomenon, as it has been shown that the average
weight gain during a pandemic in 39% of the respondents was 6.53 kg. Importantly, “food addiction” is defined by behavioral patterns and eating experiences, not body weight. However, some reports indicate that a much larger proportion of people meeting the FA criteria are obese [30]. Systematic reviews that included both clinical and population groups showed a mean prevalence of “food addiction” between 15 and 20%. In a meta-analysis of 36 studies, the mean incidence of FA diagnosis was 16.2% [45]. The amount of YFAS symptoms was correlated with body mass index (BMI) in non-clinical trials. It was variable among obese people or those with eating disorders [46]. In this study, an FA of 14.1% was recorded during the pandemic and it was shown that weight gain during the pandemic was associated with the occurrence of FA. With the increase in the BMI value, the severity of FA increased in the study group. In the general U.S. population, Schulte and Gearhardt found that 15% of people can have FA, regardless of BMI [46]. Pursley et al. determined the average incidence of FA in 19.9% of patients, moreover, the average incidence of FA was much more likely in overweight and obese people [47]. Kiyici et al. found that 32% of obese patients with an average BMI of 41.6 and seeking weight loss treatment had FA [48]. In a study by Hauck et al. the chances of reaching the YFAS 2.0 threshold for “food addiction” were higher in people not only obese but also underweight [21]. “Food addiction” is not the same as obesity, as FA can also affect people of normal weight; however, there seems to be an association between low and high BMI and the incidence of “food addiction”. In this study, FA has not been demonstrated in underweight people, presumably due to the exclusion of people with eating disorders (where BMI below normal may suggest the presence of, e.g., anorexia nervosa). Given that few people who are underweight were included in the current sample, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the potential link between “food addiction” and a low BMI. Moreover, little research has been done on FA among underweight people, thus providing only a small database for comparison purposes and increasing the need for future work. Studies have also found significant positive correlations between FA and depression or anxiety [45,49]. In the described study, people with depression (11.45% of respondents) had statistically significantly more symptoms of FA than healthy people. A systematic review of 62 studies from 17 countries, including China, Turkey, Iran, Spain and Italy, with a total of 162,639 participants, included reported rates of COVID-19 anxiety of 33% and depression of 28% [50]. A national study conducted in China (N = 52,730) revealed the incidence of mental stress at the level of 35% [51]. In a study by Berenson et al. women with FA more often had a higher level of depression compared to women who were not “addicted to food”, while no other features were significantly associated with FA [52]. The negative emotional effects of the global crisis caused by deaths, compulsory quarantine and economic disruption, along with feelings of isolation, fear of infection, stress and disrupted life, are likely to increase FA. Our results showed that stress related to COVID-19, i.e., job loss, was also associated with higher symptoms of FA. People who lost their jobs, changed jobs or had fewer jobs, had statistically significantly more symptoms of food addiction than people working or learning in a remote system. As with other reward stimuli, compulsive and uncontrolled overeating may reflect a dysfunctional strategy of coping with unpleasant states, experiencing uncertainty, despair, and/or self-regulation of emotions [53]. There is a significant positive relationship between “food addiction” and mental health symptoms. Moreover, there is extensive literature confirming that depression is associated with an increased risk of overeating during isolation [54,55]. Recent studies have confirmed the relationship between depressed mood and “pathological” eating habits, where depression was associated with an increased risk of overeating [56,57]. However, in a study by Rodriguez-Pérez et al. an improvement in eating behavior was observed during the COVID-19 pandemic [58]. Quarantine is associated with stress and depression, as well as an unhealthy diet and decreased physical activity. In the described study, almost half of the respondents (47.9%) declared a decrease in physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic, while those who decreased their physical activity during the pandemic experienced statistically significantly more symptoms of FA than the remaining
During the quarantine, there was a limited possibility of physical activity (closed gyms) and outdoor exercise, which resulted in limited physical activity. In a study by Li JTE et al. people with FA also reported significantly less physical activity [59]. Apart from influencing body weight and health aspects, physical activity may also play a role in the regulation of appetite, which may suggest a relationship with the occurrence of FA [60]. The pandemic negatively affected levels of physical activity, as confirmed by Lesser et al. in particular in outdoor activities that have been shown to have a protective effect on well-being [61]. Other researchers have also confirmed the negative impact of the epidemiological situation on mental health and the level of physical activity [62–66]. In addition to the above-mentioned factors, the study showed that in people from cities over 250,000 there were statistically significantly more FA symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic than in people from rural areas and cities of up to 50,000. It can be assumed that this situation is related to the greater possibility of buying food in cities and ordering it at any time with delivery to a specific address. Additionally, it was shown that among people up to 25 years of age there were statistically significantly fewer symptoms of FA than in other age groups. Most publications focus on the diagnosis of FA among the youth or student population [67–69]. One study found that the rate of FA and the number of symptoms in women aged 18–34, 35–54 and over 55 years of age were significantly higher than in men in the same age groups, and there was no significant difference between the groups [70]. In a review by Pursey et al. the mean incidence of FA was lower in adults below 35 years of age compared to those above 35 years of age [47]. However, in one study, the frequency of FA diagnoses and the number of symptoms reported decreased with age: the diagnosis of FA was lower in the older age group [71]. The condition for exclusion from this study was people with eating disorders, because in many studies the diagnosis of FA among people diagnosed with a binge eating disorder (BED) is high and may reach 56.8% [72,73], while in the case of psychological bulimia it was 83.6% and 100% [74,75]. People with eating disorders could increase the incidence of FA in the analysis, which would distort the results focusing on factors related to the COVID-19 pandemic. One meta-analysis also showed that women were more often diagnosed with FA than men, which may be related to gender differences in hormonal profiles and/or eating patterns [47]. In a study by Penzenstadler et al. FA was diagnosed more often in women than in men [49]. The literature on eating disorders confirms that they are much more common in women than in men [76]. In a study by Yu et al. the gender differences in eating disorders among students were analyzed, emphasizing that female gender was a predictor of eating disorders and “food addiction” [77]. Men are less likely to recognize the symptoms associated with an eating disorder and are therefore less diagnosed and treated than women. The COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting quarantine restrictions may further exacerbate the endemic health crisis [78]. It should be noted that before the pandemic, insufficient levels of physical activity (low or inactivity and excessive screen time) and obesity were reported as a global public health problem [79,80]. Due to the problems described, the current COVID-19 pandemic and the occurrence of FA could further aggravate the situation and provoking another pandemic referred to as “Covibesity” by scientists, as “food addiction” is a likely causative factor in obesity [81,82]. Despite general concerns about the increasing prevalence and severity of addiction related to the COVID-19 risk, little data are available [83]. Our results seem to confirm general concerns about the negative impact of the COVID-19 crisis on addictive behavior, which suggests that this problem should be carefully monitored [22,36]. The main purpose of this type of research is to shed light on relevant phenomena in order to provide useful information on public health that can be taken into account by policymakers and health professionals when an emergency such as a pandemic occurs.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The strength of our study is the relatively large sample of the respondents. Although our results are specific to the Polish population and should not be generalized to other
populations, the obtained observations may be potentially useful in the design of research and interventions related to the pandemic and its consequences. To the best of our knowledge, this article is the first to investigate the prevalence of food addiction using YFAS 2.0 during a pandemic. However, there are also limitations to our research. Certain limitations are associated with potential errors and raise the credibility that may arise when data are self-reported by study participants in an online survey, such as self-reporting of height and weight. Additionally, in our study there was a large disproportion of the respondents in terms of gender, which made it impossible to determine the differences and relationships between sexes and FA and other factors. Although online surveys have many advantages (e.g., access to a large group of respondents from different parts of the country and to quarantined people, and it is a safe form of analysis during a pandemic), there are also disadvantages, such as selection bias, which should be taken into account [84]. For example, despite the increasing use and availability of the Internet throughout society, online questionnaires may be more accessible to some groups (e.g., young, middle-aged) than others (e.g., elderly) [85]. Similarly, and in line with the reported data, it has been shown that the percentage of responses to online surveys may be increased in favor of women, possibly due to gender differences in online behavior, e.g., women use social networks extensively, while men are more involved in online games [86–89]. Additionally, the online survey raises credibility concerns. More representative samples are needed to better understand the impact of a pandemic on the incidence of FA symptoms in the general population.

5. Conclusions

The diagnosis and symptoms of “food addiction” measured by the YFAS 2.0 method were significantly associated with increased BMI (overweight and obesity), middle and older age, low physical activity, job loss and depression. The results of the study highlight groups of people who may benefit from preventive and therapeutic measures related to FA. This work could motivate future research to assess the relationship and potential overlap between “food addiction” and problem eating behavior during the pandemic and the obesity problem. The results also show the necessity of nutritional education in order to cope with food shopping and proper nutrition in everyday life as well as in a crisis situation. When conducting nutrition education, it is necessary to encourage and motivate healthy lifestyle behaviors, especially to increase physical activity, even in a pandemic situation.
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