Results on angular correlations with ALICE
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Abstract. Angular correlations are a sensitive probe of the transport properties of the system produced in nucleus–nucleus collisions. Similar studies performed in p–Pb collisions have recently revealed intriguing features as well. In this article, we review the latest results on charged and identified particle correlations obtained with the ALICE detector at the LHC in both Pb–Pb and p–Pb events.

1. Introduction
Angular correlations have emerged as a powerful tool to study the properties of the strongly interacting medium created in high energy nucleus–nucleus collisions [1]. Measurements of azimuthal correlations established the picture of a system that behaves as an almost perfect fluid with low value of dissipation i.e. low value of shear viscosity. The flow harmonics spectrum revealed that elliptic flow ($v_2$) is the dominant component, reflecting the elliptic shape of the nuclear overlap region and the hydrodynamic response of the medium [2, 3]. Recent experimental results [4] revealed that initial state fluctuations can generate higher order flow components, the study of which can further constrain the values of viscosity [5]. In parallel, the study of high transverse momentum ($p_T$) probes has also established the picture of a system that is dense and opaque [2]. In this article, I review the latest experimental results on angular correlations from the analysis of Pb–Pb and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, respectively, recorded with the ALICE detector at the LHC [6].

2. Anisotropic flow results in Pb–Pb and p–Pb
Anisotropic flow studies are based on the description of the azimuthal distribution of particles by a Fourier series $dN/d\phi \approx 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_n)]$ [7], where $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle of particles, and $v_n$ are the different flow coefficients developing relative to the symmetry planes $\Psi_n$ for each harmonic $n$. The first harmonic $v_1$, the directed flow, affected by the amount of nuclear stopping and the initial position of nucleons in the transverse plane, is a sensitive probe of the initial conditions of the system. It has been studied in ALICE relative to the deflection of the spectator nucleons ($\Psi_{SP}^t$ and $\Psi_{SP}^t$ for the target and projectile spectators, respectively), reconstructed with the Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDC) [6] in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. Figure 1 presents the pseudo–rapidity dependence of the odd and even components of $v_1$ for various centrality classes [8], defined as $v_1^{odd}(\Psi_{SP}) = 0.5[v_1(\Psi_{SP}^p) + v_1(\Psi_{SP}^t)]$ and $v_1^{even}(\Psi_{SP}) = 0.5[v_1(\Psi_{SP}^p) - v_1(\Psi_{SP}^t)]$, respectively. The former, that originates from flow developing relative to the symmetry plane, exhibits a negative slope with little centrality dependence and a magnitude that is smaller by a factor of 3 compared to the highest (i.e. $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV) RHIC energy [9]. On the other hand, $v_1^{even}$ has a negative sign, and shows little or no dependence on $\eta$ and on centrality within the current uncertainties, consistent with the expectations for a component originating from fluctuations of the initial energy density in the collision.
It is now established, that these initial fluctuations lead to non–zero higher order harmonics that develop relative to their own symmetry plane. A step forward in understanding the nature of these fluctuations is to investigate whether they are imprinted in the correlation between the different $\Psi_n$. Figure 2 presents the $p_T$ differential mixed harmonic correlator $\langle \cos(\varphi_a - 3\varphi_b + 2\Psi_2) \rangle$, where $\varphi_{a,b}$ are the azimuthal angles of particles $a$ and $b$, that probes the correlations between the first ($\Psi_1$), second ($\Psi_2$), and third ($\Psi_3$) order symmetry planes via the relation $\langle \cos(\varphi_a - 3\varphi_b + 2\Psi_2) \rangle \approx v_1v_2v_3\langle \cos(\Psi_1 - 3\Psi_3 + 2\Psi_2) \rangle$ [10]. The data points for all centralities, represented by different colours and symbols, exhibit non–zero correlations, indicating the existence of a 3–plane correlation. It is interesting to note that these results are in qualitative agreement with ideal hydrodynamical calculations [11] at low $p_T$, while the same calculations fail to describe the evolution at high $p_T$.

**Figure 1.** The $\eta$ dependence of $v_1^{\text{odd}}$ and $v_1^{\text{even}}$ for different centrality class of Pb–Pb collisions [8].

**Figure 2.** The $p_T$ dependence of $\langle \cos(\varphi_a - 3\varphi_b + 2\Psi_2) \rangle$, probing the 3–plane correlations, for different centrality class of Pb–Pb collisions.

Studying the different order flow harmonics that develop relative to their own symmetry plane can constrain the value of shear viscosity. However, an additional constraint can be given by studying the second Fourier coefficient, the elliptic flow $v_2$, as a function of centrality and $p_T$ for different particle species. Hydrodynamic model calculations predict a characteristic dependence of $v_2$ on the particle mass at low values of transverse momentum ($p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$). This dependence is induced by the system’s collective radial expansion, which being cumulative throughout the whole collision, has a significant contribution from both the partonic and the hadronic phases. Figure 3 presents the $p_T$ differential $v_2$ for central Pb–Pb collisions (i.e. 10–20% centrality) for charged $\pi$, $K$, and $\bar{p}$, $K_S^0$ and $\Lambda$. The measurement was performed with the scalar product method applying a pseudo–rapidity gap of $\Delta \eta > 1$ to suppress correlations not related with the symmetry plane (i.e. non–flow effects). It is seen that the data points exhibit the characteristic mass ordering in the low $p_T$ region (i.e. $p_T \leq 2 \text{ GeV}/c$), attributed to collective radial flow that shifts heavy particles to higher values of $p_T$. The experimental points are compared to hydrodynamical calculations [12] using a value of $\eta/s = 0.2$ and the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) initial conditions, represented by the curves. The model reproduces the $p_T$ dependence of $v_2$ for pions and kaons up to $p_T \approx 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ but overestimates $v_2$ for heavier particles.

A similar analysis has recently been performed in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ and reported by ALICE [13]. It was based on studying two–particle correlations measuring particle pairs from same events separated in the pair angles $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ corrected for acceptance effects and efficiency losses using mixed events. The results revealed a double–ridge structure for $\pi$–hadron, $K$–hadron and $p$–hadron correlations after subtracting the associated yield per trigger...
Figure 3. The $p_T$–differential $v_2$ measurement for central Pb–Pb collisions and for different particle species.

Figure 4. The $p_T$–differential $v_2$ measurement, after applying the subtraction procedure (see text for details), for p–Pb collisions and for different particle species [13].

The charge dependent part of these correlations has recently emerged as a key feature in many studies since they can probe the effect of local charge conservation coupled to a system that exhibits collective behaviour. This is addressed by the so called balance functions [15], defined as the difference between the unlike– and like–sign pair densities divided by the number of trigger particles. Each pair density term is corrected for detector acceptance and efficiency effects using mixed events. The balance function measures the correlation strength of balancing partners, imprinted in the width of the distribution, and it is sensitive to the time of hadronization. Figure 6 presents the centrality dependence in Pb–Pb collisions of the width of the balance function.
in $\Delta \eta$ $(\langle \Delta \eta \rangle)$ and $\Delta \varphi$ $(\langle \Delta \varphi \rangle)$, calculated as the weighted average from each distribution. It is seen that the width for data (red full circles) decreases for more central collisions in both representations, consistent with the picture of late stage creation of balancing charges focused by the strong radial flow. It is also interesting to note that only models that incorporate collective effects (e.g. AMPT) describe the data points in $\Delta \varphi$ but seem to fail to do so in $\Delta \eta$ [16].

**Figure 5.** The centrality dependence of the width of the near–side jet–peak in $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \varphi$ for different ranges of $p_T$ for the trigger and the associated particles, in Pb–Pb collisions.

**Figure 6.** The centrality dependence of the width of the balance functions, $\langle \Delta \eta \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta \varphi \rangle$, in Pb–Pb collisions [16].

**Figure 7.** The centrality dependence of the charge dependent correlations of $\langle \cos(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle$ in Pb–Pb collisions [18].

**Figure 8.** The centrality dependence of the differences of the charge dependent correlations of $\langle \cos(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta - 2\Psi_2) \rangle$ and $\langle \cos(2\varphi_\alpha + 2\varphi_\beta - 4\Psi_4) \rangle$ in Pb–Pb collisions.

These charge–dependent correlations relative to the collision symmetry plane, were recently
used to investigate the possibility of observing $P$- and $CP$-odd effects in heavy-ion collisions. These effects originate from the interaction of local domains (i.e. QCD excited vacuum states) that can violate the $CP$ invariance, with the quarks of the deconfined phase and with the strong, of the order of $10^{19}$ G, magnetic field that develops in peripheral collisions at the LHC energies. This is better known as the Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME), the experimental consequence of which is a charge asymmetry relative to the reaction plane. The first results from both STAR [17] and ALICE [18] are based on the study of correlations of two particle relative to the reaction plane (i.e. $\langle \cos(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle$) and show a strong charge dependent signal that develops for more peripheral events, qualitatively consistent with the CME expectations. This is illustrated in fig. 7 where the ALICE results for same and opposite charge combinations are represented by the red filled and open circles, respectively. It is seen that while the unlike–sign correlations are compatible with zero, with the exception of the most peripheral points, the like–sign ones exhibit an increasing magnitude for more peripheral events, coupled to a negative sign. It is also interesting to note that the LHC results are in quantitative agreement with the RHIC values (i.e. blue filled and open stars for the like and unlike–sign pairs, respectively) inpite the almost 14 times higher centre–of–mass energy at the LHC. The focus now turns to analyses whose aim is to disentangle the potential signal originating from the CME, from the background. For the latter, it was recently realised that the biggest contributor is the effect of local charge conservation coupled to elliptic flow modulations [19]. One way to probe the background contribution is to study the charge dependent correlations relative to the fourth harmonic symmetry plane i.e. the so–called double harmonic correlator (\langle \cos(2\varphi_\alpha + 2\varphi_\beta - 4\Psi_4) \rangle). The contribution from the CME is argued to be little, if any at all (i.e. correlations relative to $\Psi_4$), while the background should create a charge dependent signal albeit scaled compared to the previous case (i.e. $\langle \cos(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta - 2\Psi_2) \rangle$, the so-called single harmonic correlator) by the ratio of $v_3/v_2$. Figure 8 presents the centrality dependence of the differences between the correlations of unlike and like–sign pairs for both the single (i.e. red points) and the double harmonic (i.e. blue points) correlators. While the single harmonic correlator exhibits a rather strong charge dependent signal (see also fig. 7), the double harmonic one is consistent with zero within the current large uncertainties. This could be interpreted as an indication that the effect of local charge conservation and its interplay with azimuthal anisotropy might not contribute significantly in these measurements. However, before reaching a final conclusion, a measurement of better precision needs to be performed from the experimental side, while there is an increasing need for these effects to be studied thoroughly from the theory side e.g. using models that can describe both the hydrodynamical evolution of the system but also its final state, hadronic interactions.

4. Summary

The study of angular correlations in Pb–Pb provided valuable information on the expansion of the system, driven by initial pressure gradients. During this phase it behaves as a liquid with low dissipation values. This opens up the possibility for the initial energy density fluctuations to be imprinted in the final state observables. These studies in p–Pb collisions have recently yielded surprisingly similar observations compared to the Pb–Pb results, the interpretation of which leads to rather intriguing paths.
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