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Abstract. We prove that every circled domain in the Riemann sphere
admits a proper holomorphic embedding into the affine plane $\mathbb{C}^2$.

1. Introduction

It has been a longstanding open problem whether every open (noncom-
pact) Riemann surface, in particular, every domain in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$,
admits a proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$. (By a domain we under-
stand a connected open set.) Equivalently,

Is every open Riemann surface biholomorphic to a smoothly embedded,
topologically closed complex curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$?

Every open Riemann surface properly embeds in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and immerses in
$\mathbb{C}^2$, but there is no constructive method of removing self-intersections of an
immersed curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$. For a history of this subject see [3] and [2, §8.9–§8.10].

In this paper we prove the following general result in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. Every domain in the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with
at most countably many boundary components, none of which are points,
admits a proper holomorphic embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

By the uniformization theorem of He and Schramm [6], every domain in
Theorem 1.1 is conformally equivalent to a circled domain, that is, a domain
whose complement is a union of pairwise disjoint closed round discs.

We prove the same embedding theorem also for generalized circled do-
 mains whose complementary components are discs and points (punctures),
provided that all but finitely many of the punctures belong to the cluster
set of the non-point boundary components (see Theorem 5.1). In particular,
every domain in $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{P}^1$ with at most countably many boundary compo-
nents, at most finitely many of which are isolated points, admits a proper
holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^2$ (see Corollary 5.2 and Example 5.3).
For finitely connected planar domains without isolated boundary points, Theorem 1.1 was proved by Globevnik and Stensønes in 1995 [4]. More recently it was shown by the authors in [3] that for every embedded complex curve $C \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, with smooth boundary $bC$ consisting of finitely many Jordan curves, the interior $C = \overline{C} \setminus bC$ admits a proper holomorphic embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$. This result was extended to some infinitely connected Riemann surfaces by I. Majcen [7] under a nontrivial additional assumption on the accumulation set of the boundary curves. (These results can also be found in [2, Chap. 8].) Here we do not impose any restrictions whatsoever.

Our proof of Theorems 1.1 and 5.1 is rather involved both from the analytic as well as the combinatorial point of view, something that seems inevitable in this notoriously difficult classical problem. Theorem 1.1 is proved in §4 after we develop the technical tools in §2 and §3. The main idea is to successively push the boundary components of an embedded complex curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$ to infinity by using holomorphic automorphisms of the ambient space, thereby insuring that no self-intersections appear in the process, while at the same time controlling the convergence of the sequence of automorphisms in the interior of the curve. We employ the most advanced available analytic tools developed in recent years, sharpening further several of them. A novel part is our inductive scheme of dealing with an infinite sequence of boundary components, clustering them together into suitable subsets to which the analytic methods can be applied.

For simplicity of exposition we limit ourselves to domains in the Riemann sphere, although it seems likely that minor modifications yield similar results for domains in complex tori. Indeed, any punctured torus admits a proper holomorphic embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$, and the uniformization theory of He and Schramm [6] applies in this case as well. For infinitely connected domains in Riemann surfaces of genus $> 1$ the main problem is to find a suitable initial embedding of the uniformized surface into $\mathbb{C}^2$. One of the difficulties in working with non-uniformized boundary components is indicated in Remark 2.3; another one can be seen in the last part of proof of Lemma 3.1 which is a key ingredient in our construction.

Casting a view to the future, what is now needed to approach the general embedding problem is some progress on embedding punctured Riemann surfaces into $\mathbb{C}^2$. It is plausible that a method for answering the following question in the affirmative would lead to a complete solution to the embedding problem for planar domains with countably many boundary components.

**Question 1.2.** Assume that $f : \overline{D} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a holomorphic embedding, $K \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus f(bD)$ is a compact polynomially convex set, $C \subset \mathbb{D}$ is a compact set with $f^{-1}(K) \subset \mathcal{C}$, and $a \in D \setminus C$ is a point. Is $f$ uniformly approximable on $C$ by proper holomorphic embeddings $g : \overline{D} \setminus \{a\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying

$$g^{-1}(g(\overline{D} \setminus \{a\}) \cap K) \subset \mathcal{C}?$$
In another direction, one can ask to what extent does Theorem 1.1 hold for domains in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) with uncountably many boundary components. A quintessential example of this type is a Cantor set, i.e., a compact, totally disconnected, perfect set. Recently Orevkov \([8]\) constructed an example of a Cantor set \( K \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) whose complement \( \mathbb{C} \setminus K \) embeds properly holomorphically in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). (See also \([2] \) Theorem 8.10.4). His method, using compositions of rational shears of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), does not seem to apply to a specific Cantor set. The methods explained in this paper offer some hope for future developments as indicated by Theorem 5.1 and Example 5.3 below.

The problem of embedding an open Riemann surface in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) is purely complex analytic, and there are no topological obstructions. Indeed, Alarcon and Lopez \([1]\) recently proved that every open Riemann surface \( X \) contains a domain \( \Omega \subset X \), homeotopic to \( X \), which embeds properly holomorphically in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). In particular, every open orientable surface admits a smooth proper embedding in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) whose image is a complex curve.

2. Preliminaries

In this and the following section we prepare the technical tools that will be used in the proof. The main result of this section, Theorem 2.8, gives holomorphic embeddings of bordered Riemann surfaces into \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) with exposed wedges at finitely many boundary points.

We begin by introducing the notation. Let \( \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \) be the Riemann sphere. We denote by \( \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \) the open unit disc and by \( \mathbb{D}_r = \{|z| < r\} \) the disc of radius \( r \) centered at the origin. Let \((z_1, z_2)\) be complex coordinates on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), and let \( \pi_i: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \) denote the coordinate projection \( \pi_i(z_1, z_2) = z_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). We denote by \( \mathbb{B}_r \) and \( \mathbb{B}_r \) the open and the closed ball in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), respectively, of radius \( r \) and centered at the origin. Let \( \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2 \) denote the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of \( \mathbb{C}^2 \). By \( \text{Id} \) we denote the identity map; its domain will always be clear from the context. We denote by \( \tilde{L} \) the polynomial hull of a compact set \( L \subset \mathbb{C}^n \).

**Definition 2.1.** A domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \) is said to be a *circled domain* if the complement \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega \neq \emptyset \) is a union of pairwise disjoint closed round discs \( \Delta_j \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \) of positive radii.

Clearly a circled domain has at most countably many complementary discs. Mapping one of them onto \( \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{D} \) by an automorphism of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) (a fractional linear map) we see that a circled domain can be thought of as being contained in the unit disc \( \mathbb{D} \).

The next lemma, and the remark following it, will serve to cluster together certain complementary components into finitely many discs; this will enable the use of holomorphic automorphisms for pushing these components towards infinity in the inductive process.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a domain, let $K \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega$ be a closed set which is a union of complementary connected components of $\Omega$, and let $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be an open set containing $K$. Then there exist finitely many pairwise disjoint, smoothly bounded discs $D_j \subset U$ ($j = 1, \ldots, m$) such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j, \quad bD_j \cap (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m.$$ 

Proof. Let $K_1 \subset K_{i+1} \subset K_{j+1}$ be an exhaustion of $\Omega$ by smoothly bounded connected compact sets $K_j$. Then $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus K_j$ is the union of finitely many discs $U_j = \{U_j^1, \ldots, U_j^{m_j}\}$ for each $j$. Clearly $U_j$ is a cover of $K$, and we claim that if $j$ is large enough then $U_j$ contains a subcover whose union is relatively compact in $U$. Otherwise there would exist a sequence $U_{k(j)}^j \supset U_{k(j)+1}^j$ of discs such that $U_{k(j)}^j \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and $U_{k(j)}^j \cap (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j$; but then $\bigcap_{j=1}^\infty U_{k(j)}^j$ would be a connected complementary component of $\Omega$ which is contained in $K$ and which intersects $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus U$, a contradiction. Hence for $j$ large enough the discs $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ in $U_j$ satisfy the stated properties. \qed

Remark 2.3. When applying Lemma 2.2 to prove Theorem 1.1 it will be crucial that if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is a circled domain with complementary discs $\Delta_j$, and if $C \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is any compact set, then the union of all $\Delta_j$’s intersecting $C$ is a closed set which is a union of complementary connected components of $\Omega$. The proof is elementary and is left to the reader. However, this fails in general if discs are replaced by more general connected closed sets. This is one of the reasons why our proof of Theorem 1.1 does not apply (at least not directly) to domains in compact Riemann surfaces of genus $> 1$. \qed

Definition 2.4. Let $0 < \theta < 2\pi$. A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an (open) $\theta$-wedge with vertex $a \in b\Omega$ if there exist a $C^2$ map of the form

$$\varphi(\zeta) = a + \lambda \zeta + O(|\zeta|^2), \quad \lambda \neq 0$$

in a neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and for every sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ a neighborhood $U_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{C}$ of the point $a$ such that

$$U_\epsilon \cap \Omega = \varphi(\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*: 0 < \arg(\zeta) < \theta, \ 0 < |\zeta| < \epsilon\}).$$

The closure of an open wedge will be called a closed wedge.

If $\Omega$ is a domain in a Riemann surface $Y$, we apply the same definition of a $\theta$-wedge in a local holomorphic coordinate near the point $a \in b\Omega \subset Y$. In particular, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $a = \infty \in b\Omega$, we apply the definition in the local chart $z \to 1/z$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ mapping $\infty$ to 0.

Given a nonempty subset $E$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and a linear projection $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, a point $p \in E$ is said to be $\pi$-exposed, and $E$ is said to be $\pi$-exposed at the point $p$, if

$$(2.1) \quad E \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p)) = \{p\}.$$
Recall that a bordered Riemann surface is a compact one dimensional complex manifold, $X$, with boundary $bX$ consisting of finitely many Jordan curves. The interior $X$ of a bordered Riemann surface is biholomorphic to a relatively compact, smoothly bounded domain in a Riemann surface $Y$.

We shall use the following notion of an exposed $\theta$-wedge.

**Definition 2.5.** Let $X$ be a bordered Riemann surface, embedded as a smoothly bounded relatively compact domain in a Riemann surface $Y$. Pick a point $a \in bX$ and a number $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$. An injective continuous map $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is said to be a holomorphic embedding with a $\pi_1$-exposed $\theta$-wedge at $f(a)$ if $f$ is holomorphic in $X$, and there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $a$ in $Y$ such that

1. the domain $\Omega = (\pi_1 \circ f)(U \cap X) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a $\theta$-wedge with vertex $\pi_1(f(a))$ (see Def. 2.4),
2. $f(U \cap X)$ is a smooth graph over $\Omega$ that is holomorphic over $\Omega$, and
3. $\pi_1^{-1}(\Omega) \cap f(X) = f(U \cap X)$.

If the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is instead smooth near the point $\pi_1(f(a)) \in b\Omega$, we say that $f$ is a holomorphic embedding which is $\pi_1$-exposed at $f(a)$.

**Remark 2.6. (On terminology.)** We shall consider embeddings $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ that are holomorphic in the interior $X$ and smooth on $X$, except at finitely many boundary points where $f(X)$ has (exposed) wedges in the sense of the above definition. Any such map will be called a holomorphic embedding with corners. We shall use embeddings with corners of a particular type: If $X$ is a smoothly bounded, relatively compact domain in a Riemann surface $Y$, we will construct holomorphic embeddings $\tilde{f} : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and injective continuous maps $\varphi : X \to Y$, holomorphic on $X$ and smooth at all but finitely many boundary points $a_j \in bX$, such that

$$f := \tilde{f} \circ \varphi : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$$

is an embedding with corners at the $a_j$'s.

In the sequel we will refer to such maps simply as being of the form (2.2).

The precise choice of the Riemann surface $Y$ will not be important, and we will allow $Y$ to shrink around $X$ without saying it every time.

The following lemma shows how to create wedges at smooth boundary points of a domain in a Riemann surface.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $X \Subset Y$ be Riemann surfaces, and assume that $bX$ is smooth outside a finite set of points. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in bX$, $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in X$ be distinct points, with $bX$ smooth near the $a_j$'s, and let $\theta_j \in (0, 2\pi)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Then there exists a sequence of injective continuous maps $\varphi_i : X \to Y$, holomorphic on $X$ and smooth on $X \setminus \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$, satisfying the following properties:

1. $\varphi_i \to \Id$ uniformly on $X$ as $i \to \infty$,
2. $\varphi_i(a_j) = a_j$ and $\varphi_i(X)$ is a $\theta_j$-wedge with vertex $a_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, m$), and

...
\( \varphi_i(x) = b_j + o(\text{dist}(x, b_j)^2) \) as \( x \to b_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots , k)\).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Lemma 8.8.3 in [2, p. 366], and it will help the reader to consult Fig. 8.1 in [2, p. 367].

By enlarging the domain \( X \) slightly away from the \( a_j \)'s we may assume that \( X \) is smoothly bounded. For simplicity of notation we explain the proof in the case when there is only one such point \( a = a_1 \); the same procedure can be performed simultaneously at finitely many points.

Choose a smoothly bounded disc \( D \) in \( Y \) such that \( a \in bD \), \( D \) does not contain any of the points \( b_j \), and \( U \cap X \cup \{a\} \subset D \) holds for some small open neighborhood \( U \) of the point \( a \) in \( Y \). (The disc \( D \) is obtained by pushing the boundary of \( X \) slightly out near \( a \) and then rounding off.) We also choose a compact Cartan pair \( (A,B) \subset Y \) with \( X \subset (A \cup B) \) and \( C := A \cap B \subset D \). (For the notion of a Cartan pair see [2, Def. 5.7.1].) The set \( A \) is chosen such that it contains a neighborhood of \( a \), and \( B \) contains \( X \setminus U' \) for a small neighborhood \( U' \) of the point \( a \). The Riemann Mapping Theorem furnishes a sequence of injective continuous maps \( \psi_i : D \to Y \) that are holomorphic in \( D \) and smooth on \( D \setminus \{a\} \) such that \( \psi_i(a) = a, \psi_i(D) \) is a \( \theta_1 \)-wedge with vertex \( a \) (see Def. 2.3), and the sets \( \psi_i(D) \) converge to \( D \) as \( i \to \infty \). We may assume that \( \psi_i \to \text{Id} \) uniformly on \( D \) (see Goluzin [5, Theorem 2, p. 59]). This implies that \( \psi_i(C) \subset D \) for all sufficiently large \( i \in \mathbb{N} \).

By Theorem 8.7.2 in [2, p. 359] there exist an integer \( i_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) and sequences of injective holomorphic maps \( f_i : A \to Y \) and \( g_i : B \to Y \) \((i \geq i_0)\), both converging to the identity map and tangent to the identity to second order at those points \( a \) and \( b_j \) which are contained in their respective domains, such that
\[ \psi_i \circ f_i = g_i \] holds on \( C \).

The sequence of maps \( \varphi_i : X \to Y \), defined by
\[ \varphi_i = \psi_i \circ f_i \text{ on } A \cap X, \quad \varphi_i = g_i \text{ on } X \setminus B \]
then satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. Injectivity of \( \varphi_i \) on \( X \) for sufficiently large index \( i \) can be seen exactly as in the proof of [2, Lemma 8.8.3] (see bottom of page 359 in the cited source). \( \square \)

Using Lemma 2.7 we obtain the following version of the main tool introduced in [3] for exposing boundary points of bordered Riemann surfaces. (See also Theorem 8.9.10 and Fig. 8.2 in [2, pp. 372–373].) The main novelty here is that we create exposed points with wedges.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( X \) be a smoothly bounded domain in a Riemann surface \( Y \), \( f : X \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) a holomorphic embedding with corners of the form \((2.2)\), and \( a_1, \ldots , a_m \in bX \), \( b_1, \ldots , b_k \in X \) distinct points such that \( f \) is smooth near the \( a_j \)'s. Let \( \gamma_j : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) \((j = 1, \ldots , m)\) be smooth embedded arcs with pairwise disjoint images satisfying the following properties:
Given an open set \( V \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) containing \( \cup_{j=1}^m \gamma_j([0,1]) \), an open set \( U \subset Y \) containing the points \( a_j \) and satisfying \( f(U \cap X) \subset V \), and numbers \( 0 < \theta_j < 2\pi \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, m \)) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a holomorphic embedding with corners \( g : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \) of the form (2.2) satisfying the following properties:

1. \( \|g-f\|_{X\setminus U} < \epsilon \),
2. \( g(U \cap X) \subset V \),
3. \( g(x) = f(x) + o(\text{dist}(x,b_j)^2) \) as \( x \to b_j \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, k \)),
4. \( g(a_j) = \gamma_j(1) \) and \( g(\overline{X}) \) is \( \pi_1 \)-exposed with a \( \theta_j \)-wedge at \( g(a_j) \) for every \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), and
5. \( g \) is smooth near all points \( x \in bX \setminus \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \) at which \( f \) is smooth.

If for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) we have that \( b_j \in bX \) and \( f(X) \) is a wedge at the point \( f(b_j) \), then property (3) insures that \( g(X) \) remains a wedge with the same angle at \( f(b_j) = g(b_j) \). In addition, property (4) insures that \( g(X) \) is an exposed wedge at each of the points \( g(a_j) \).

**Proof.** Since \( f \) is of the form (2.2), we write \( f = \tilde{f} \circ \varphi \) where \( \tilde{f} : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \) is a holomorphic embedding. Set \( X' = \varphi(X) \subset Y \). Lemma 2.7 applied to the domain \( X' \) and the points \( a_j' = \varphi(a_j) \in bX' \), \( b_j' = \varphi(b_j) \in \overline{X'} \), gives an injective continuous map \( \psi : \overline{X'} \to Y \) close to the identity map, with \( \psi \) holomorphic on \( X' \) and smooth on \( \overline{X'} \setminus \{a_1', \ldots, a_m'\} \), such that

\[ (2') \quad \psi(a_j') = a_j' \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(X') \text{ is a } \theta_j\text{-wedge with vertex } a_j' \quad (j = 1, \ldots, m), \]

and

\[ (3') \quad \psi(x) = b_j' + o(\text{dist}(x,b_j')^2) \quad \text{as} \quad x \to b_j \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k). \]

(The map \( \psi \) is one of the maps \( \varphi_i \) in Lemma 2.7 and the properties (2'), (3') correspond to (2), (3) in that lemma, respectively.)

Set \( \tilde{\varphi} = \psi \circ \varphi : X \to Y \); this is an embedding with the analogous properties as \( \varphi \), but with additional \( \theta_j \)-wedges at the points \( a_j' \in bX' \). The embedding with corners \( \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\varphi} : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \) then satisfies properties (1)--(3) and (5) (for the map \( g \)) in Theorem 2.8.

In order to achieve also condition (4) we apply Theorem 8.9.10 in [2] and the proof thereof. (The original source for this result is [3] Theorem 4.2.) We recall the main idea and refer to the cited works for the details. By pushing the boundary \( bX' \) slightly outward away from the \( a_j' \)'s we obtain a smoothly bounded domain \( Z \subset Y \) such that \( \overline{X'} \subset Z \cup \{a_1', \ldots, a_m'\} \). We attach to \( Z \) short pairwise disjoint embedded arcs \( \Gamma_j \subset Y \) intersecting \( Z \) only at the points \( a_j' \). By Mergelyan’s theorem we can change the embedding \( f \) so that it maps the arc \( \Gamma_j \) approximately onto the arc \( \gamma_j \) for each \( j = 1, \ldots, m \),
taking the other endpoint $c_j$ of $\Gamma_j$ to the exposed endpoint $\gamma_j(1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ of $\gamma_j$
and remaining close to the initial embedding on $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2}$. At each point $a_j' \in b\mathbb{C}^2$
we choose a small smoothly bounded disc $D_j \subset Y$ with the same properties as
in the proof of Lemma 2.7 in particular, $a_j' \in bD_j$ and $D_j$ contains $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2} \setminus \{a_j'\}$ near the point $a_j'$. By the Riemann Mapping Theorem we find
for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ a holomorphic map $h_j: \overline{D}_j \to Y$ stretching $\overline{D}_j$ to
contain the arc $\Gamma_j$, mapping $a_j'$ to the other endpoint $c_j$ of $\Gamma_j$ and remaining
close to the identity except very near the point $a_j'$. We then glue the $h_j$’s
to an approximation of the identity map on the rest of the domain $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2}$, using
again Theorem 8.7.2 in [2, p. 359]. This gives an injective holomorphic map $h: \overline{\mathbb{C}^2} \to Y$ in an open neighborhood $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2}$ such that $h|_{\overline{\mathbb{C}^2}}$ is close to the
identity, except very near the points $a_j' \in b\mathbb{C}^2$. The holomorphic embedding
$\tilde{g} := \tilde{f} \circ h: \overline{\mathbb{C}^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is then close to $\tilde{f}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{C}^2}$, except near the points $a_j'$. By the construction, $\tilde{g}(a_j')$ is a $\pi_1$-exposed point of $\tilde{g}(\overline{\mathbb{C}^2})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. The
embedding with corners $g = \tilde{g} \circ \tilde{\phi}: \overline{\mathbb{C}^2} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is then of the form (2.2) and satisfies properties (1)--(5) in Theorem 2.8. }

\section{The main lemma}

In this section we prove the following key lemma that will be used in the proof
of Theorem 1.1. It is similar in spirit to Lemma 1 in [10, p. 4] (see also [2, Lemma 4.14.4., p. 150]), but with improvements needed to deal with the
more complicated situation at hand.

\textbf{Lemma 3.1.} Let $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \cup_{j=0}^k \overline{\Delta_j}$ be a circled domain, and let $\Omega' = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \cup_{j=0}^k \overline{\theta_j} \Delta_j$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick a point $c_j \in b\Delta_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$. Assume that $f: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a holomorphic embedding with a $\pi_1$-exposed $\theta_j$-
 wedge at each point $f(c_j)$ and $\theta_0 + \cdots + \theta_k < 2\pi$. Let $g$ be a rational shear
map of the form

$$g(z_1, z_2) = \left(z_1, z_2 + \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\beta_j}{z_1 - \pi_1(f(c_j))}\right).$$

Assume that there exist open neighborhoods $U_j \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of the points $c_j$ such
that $(\pi_2 \circ g \circ f)(U_j) \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ are $\theta_j$-wedges whose closures only intersect at
their common vertex $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$. (This can be arranged by a suitable choice of
the arguments of the numbers $\beta_j$, while at the same time keeping $|\beta_j| > 0$
arbitrary small.) Given a compact polynomially convex set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with

$$K \cap (g \circ f)(b\Omega' \cup (\cup_{i=k+1}^\infty \overline{\Delta_i})) = \emptyset$$

and numbers $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\psi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

1. $(\psi \circ g \circ f)(b\Omega' \cup (\cup_{i=k+1}^\infty \overline{\Delta_i})) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{E}_N,$ and
2. $\|\psi - \text{Id}\|_K < \epsilon.$
Proof. We may assume that $\Delta_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{D}$, so $\Omega = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\Delta}_j$. By increasing the number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we may also assume that $K \subset \mathbb{B}_N$.

Set $X = (g \circ f)(\Omega')$, $\gamma_j = (g \circ f)(b \Delta_j \setminus \{c_j\})$ $(j = 0, \ldots, k)$, and $\gamma = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k} \gamma_j$. Then $\overline{X}$ is an embedded bordered Riemann surface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ whose boundary $bX = \gamma$ consists of pairwise disjoint properly embedded real curves $\gamma_j$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, and the second coordinate projection $\pi_2: \overline{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ is proper. Let $\Delta'_i = (g \circ f)(\Delta_i) \subset X$ for $i = k + 1, k + 2, \ldots$; then

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{\Delta}'_i = (g \circ f)(\Omega).$$

To prove the lemma we must find an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ sending the boundary curves $bX = \gamma$ and all the discs $\Delta'_i$ for $i > k$ out of the ball $\mathbb{B}_N$, while at the same time approximating the identity map on the compact set $K$. We seek $\psi$ of the form

$$\psi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2, \quad \text{where } \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We begin by constructing $\phi_1$.

The conditions on $f$ and $g$ ensure that for any sufficiently large disc $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ centered at the origin the projection $\pi_2: \overline{X} \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(D) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ is injective and maps $\overline{X} \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(D)$ onto the union of $k + 1$ pairwise disjoint wedges with the common vertex at $\infty$; furthermore, the closed set

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{D} \cup \pi_2 \left( \gamma \cup \bigcup_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{\Delta}'_i \right) \subset \mathbb{C}$$

can be exhausted by polynomially convex compact sets. To see this, note that if $V'_j \subset V_j$ are small round discs in $\mathbb{C}$ centered at the point $c_j$ such that $V_j \subset U_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$, where the $U_j$’s satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma, then the sets

$$(bV'_j \setminus \Delta_j) \cup (b \Delta_j \setminus (\overline{V}_j \setminus V'_j)) \cup \bigcup_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{\Delta}'_i \cap (\overline{V}_j \setminus V'_j) \subset \mathbb{C}$$

are polynomially convex, and the map $\pi_2 \circ g \circ f: \bigcup_{j=0}^{k} \overline{V}_j \setminus \Omega' \to \mathbb{C}$ is an injection onto a union of wedges such that the closures of any two of them intersect only at their common vertex at $\infty$. An exhaustion of the set $\overline{D}$ by polynomially convex compact sets is constructed by letting the radii of the discs $V'_j$ going to 0.

Let $J = \{i \in \mathbb{N}: i \geq k + 1, \pi_2(\overline{\Delta}'_i) \cap \overline{D} \neq \emptyset\}$. Consider the compact set

$$C := [\gamma \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{D})] \cup \bigcup_{i \in J} \overline{\Delta}'_i \subset \overline{X}.$$  

(Fig. 1 below shows $C$ with bold lines and black discs.) We claim that $C$ is polynomially convex. Clearly $C$ is holomorphically convex in $\overline{X}$ since its complement is connected. Furthermore, $\overline{X}$ can be exhausted by compact smoothly bounded subdomains $X_j \subset \overline{X}$ such that each boundary component of $X_j$ intersects the boundary of $X$. (It suffices to take the intersection of $\overline{X}$ with a sufficiently large ball and smoothen the corners.) Then $\hat{X}_j \setminus X_j$ is either empty or a pure one-dimensional complex subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus X_j$ (see
Stolzenberg [9]), the latter being impossible since the variety would have to be unbounded. Hence every such set $X_j$ is polynomially convex, and by choosing it large enough to contain $C$ we see that $C$ is polynomially convex.

We will construct $\phi_1$ as a composition $\phi_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ which is close to the identity on $K$ and satisfies $\sigma_1(C) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{B}_N$; equivalently, $C \cap \sigma_1^{-1}(\overline{B}_N) = \emptyset$.

By [10, Lemma 1] (see also [2, Corollary 4.14.5]) there exist $\sigma_1 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ which is close to the identity on $K$ and satisfies $\sigma_1(\gamma) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{B}_N$.

Let $K' = \bigcup \Delta_i$ be the union of all discs $\Delta_i$ whose images $\sigma_1(\Delta_i)$ satisfy $\sigma_1(\Delta_i) \cap \mathbb{B}_N \neq \emptyset$.

Since $\sigma_1(\gamma) \cap \mathbb{B}_N = \emptyset$, the set $(\sigma_1 \circ g \circ f)^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_N) \subset \Omega^\prime$ is compact, and hence $K'$ is also compact (see Remark [23]). Lemma 2.2 gives pairwise disjoint smoothly bounded discs $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ in $\Omega^\prime$ whose union $\bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j$ contains $K'$ and whose closures $\overline{D}_j$ avoid $b\Omega^\prime \cup (g \circ f)^{-1}(K)$. Set $D_j' = (g \circ f)(D_j) \subset X$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. The set

$$L := K \cup (C \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \overline{D}_j) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$$

is then polynomially convex (argue as above for the set $C$, using the fact that $K$ is disjoint from $C$). The union of discs $E_0 := \bigcup_{j=1}^m \sigma_1(\overline{D}_j)$ is polynomially convex and disjoint from $\sigma_1(L)$, so it can be moved out of the ball $\mathbb{B}_N$ by a holomorphic isotopy in the complement of the polynomially convex set $\sigma_1(L)$. (It suffices to first contract each disc $\sigma_1(\overline{D}_j)$ into a small ball around one of its points and then move these small balls out of the set $\sigma_1(L)$ along pairwise disjoint arcs.) Furthermore, letting $E_t \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ ($t \in [0,1]$) denote the trace of $E_0$ under this isotopy, we can insure that for every $t$ the union $E_t \cup \sigma_1(L)$ is polynomially convex. The Andersén-Lempert theory (cf. [2],
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$\overline{\sigma_1(C)} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

The automorphism $\phi_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ is then close to the identity map on $K$ and satisfies $\phi_1(C) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

Next we shall find a shear automorphism $\phi_2 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form

(3.2) $\phi_2(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + h(z_2), z_2)$

which is close to the identity on $\mathbb{C} \times (\overline{\pi_2(C)} \cup \overline{D})$ and satisfies

$\phi_2(\gamma \cup (\bigcup_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \overline{\Delta_i})) \cap \phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) = \emptyset$.

The automorphism $\psi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ will then satisfy Lemma 3.1.

Choose a large number $R > 0$ such that

$\pi_1(\phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}})) \subset \mathbb{D}_R$ and $\pi_2(\phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}})) \cup \overline{D} \subset \mathbb{D}_R$.

We shall find $\phi_2$ as a composition $\phi_2 = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$ of two shears of the same type \textcolor{red}{(3.2)}. The values of the function $h \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ in \textcolor{red}{(3.2)} on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}_R$ are unimportant since $\phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ projects into $\mathbb{D}_R$.

Recall that the projection $\pi_2: \overline{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(D) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ maps $\overline{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(D)$ bijectively onto a union of pairwise disjoint closed wedges with the common vertex at $\infty$ (see Fig. 2 below.) Hence the geometry of subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \pi_2^{-1}(D)$ is the same as the geometry of their $\pi_2$-projections in $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$, an observation that will be tacitly used in the sequel.

By [10] Lemma 1 there is an entire function $h_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ which is small on the set $\mathbb{D} \cup \pi_2(C)$ and takes suitable values on the projected curves $\pi_2(\gamma) \setminus D$ so that the shear $\tau_1(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + h_1(z_2), z_2)$ satisfies

$\tau_1(\gamma \cup C) \cap \phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) = \emptyset$.

Set $\bar{J} = \{i \in \mathbb{N}: i \geq k + 1, \pi_2(\overline{\Delta_i}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}_R} \neq \emptyset\}$. Consider the compact set

$\overline{C} := [\gamma \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}_R})] \cup \bigcup_{i \in \bar{J}} \overline{\Delta_i} \subset \overline{\mathbb{X}}$.

Let $K''$ be the union of all discs $\overline{\Delta_i}$ $(i \in \bar{J})$ whose images $\overline{\Delta_i} = (g \circ f)(\overline{\Delta_i})$ satisfy the condition

$\tau_1(\overline{\Delta_i}) \cap \phi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \neq \emptyset$.

Our choices of $\phi_1$ and $\tau_1$ imply that for every disc $\overline{\Delta_i} \subset K''$ the projection $\pi_2(\overline{\Delta_i})$ intersects the disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}_R}$ and avoids the set $\pi_2(C) \cup \overline{D}$. Remark 2.3 shows that $K''$ is compact. Using Lemma 2.2 we find smoothly bounded discs $B_1, \ldots, B_l \subset \Omega'$ with pairwise disjoint closures whose union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} B_j$ contains $K''$ and is disjoint from $b\mathbb{P} \cup (g \circ f)^{-1}(C)$, and whose boundaries $bB_j$ belong to $\Omega$. (Hence every disc $\overline{\Delta_i}$ for $i > k$ is either completely contained in $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} \overline{B_j}$ or else is disjoint from it.) It follows that the set

$\overline{L} := \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} (\pi_2 \circ g \circ f)(\overline{B_j}) \subset \mathbb{C}$
is a disjoint union of discs contained in $C \setminus (D \cup \pi_2(\gamma))$. Hence the sets $\tilde{L}$ and $\pi_2(\tilde{C}) \setminus \tilde{L}$ are polynomially convex, and so is their union. (Fig. 2 shows $\tilde{L}$ as the union of black ellipses, while $\pi_2(\tilde{C}) \setminus \tilde{L}$ is shown in gray.)

Choose a function $h_2 \in O(\mathbb{C})$ such that $|h_2| > R$ on $\tilde{L}$ and $|h_2|$ is small on $\pi_2(\tilde{C}) \setminus \tilde{L}$. Let $\tau_2(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + h_2(z_2), z_2)$ and $\phi_2 = \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$. The automorphism $\psi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2 \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2$ then clearly satisfies Lemma 3.1.

Note that $\phi_2(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 + h(z_2), z_2)$ with $h = h_1 + h_2$, so it is possible to compactify the construction of $\phi_2$ into one step.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. The construction is similar to the proof of Majcen’s theorem [7] as given in [2, §8.10], but the induction scheme is altered and improved at several key points.

Every holomorphic embedding with corners will be assumed to be of the form (2.2).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a domain with countably many complementary components, none of which are points. (We assume that there are infinitely many components, for otherwise the result is due to Globevnik and Stensønes [4]. Our proof also applies in the latter case, but it could be made much simpler.) By the uniformization theorem of He and Schramm [6] we may assume that $\Omega$ is a circled domain. By mapping one of the complementary discs in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega$ onto the complement $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$ of the unit disc $D$ we may further assume that $\Omega = D \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j$, where $\Delta_j$ are pairwise disjoint closed discs in $D$.

We construct a proper holomorphic embedding $\Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ by induction.

Choose an exhaustion $\emptyset = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \ldots \subset \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j = \Omega$ of $\Omega$ by compact, connected, $O(\Omega)$-convex sets with smooth boundaries, satisfying
$K_j \subset \tilde{K}_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. These conditions imply that for each index $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\tilde{K}_j \setminus K_j \subset \mathbb{D}$ is a union of finitely many open discs, i.e., sets homeomorphic to the standard disc.

We begin the induction at $n = 0$. Set $\Gamma_0 = b \mathbb{D}$, $m_0 = k_0 = 0$. Pick a point $c_0 \in \Gamma_0$ and a number $\epsilon_0 > 0$. At the $n$-th step of the construction we shall obtain the following data:

- integers $m_n, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- a number $\epsilon_n$ such that $0 < \epsilon_n < \frac{1}{n} \epsilon_{n-1}$ (the last inequality is void for $n = 0$),
- circles $\Gamma_j = b \Delta_i(j)$ ($j = 1, \ldots, k_n$) from the family $\{b \Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, at least one in each connected component of $\tilde{K}_{m_n} \setminus K_{m_n}$,
- the domain $\Omega_n = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_n} \Delta_i(j)$ with boundary $b \Omega_n = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k_n} \Gamma_j$,
- points $c_j \in \Gamma_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, k_n$,
- numbers $\theta_j > 0$ ($j = 0, \ldots, k_n$) with $\sum_{j=0}^{k_n} \theta_j < 2\pi$,
- a holomorphic embedding with corners $f_n : \overline{\Omega}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that the points $c_0, \ldots, c_{k_n}$ are $\pi_1$-exposed with $\theta_j$-wedges (see Def. 2.5) and $f_n$ is smooth near $b \Omega_n \setminus \{c_0, \ldots, c_{k_n}\}$,
- a rational shear with poles at the exposed points $f_n(c_j)$ of $f_n(b \Omega_n)$, 

$$g_n(z_1, z_2) = \left( z_1, z_2 + \sum_{j=0}^{k_n} \frac{\beta_j}{z_1 - \pi_1(f_n(c_j))} \right),$$

such that $(\pi_2 \circ g_n \circ f_n)(\Omega_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a union of $\theta_j$-wedges whose closures intersect only at their common vertex $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and

- an automorphism $\phi_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$,

such that, setting

$$F_{n-1} = \Phi_{n-1} \circ g_n \circ f_n, \quad \Phi_n = \phi_n \circ \Phi_{n-1} = \phi_n \circ \phi_{n-1} \ldots \circ \phi_1,$$

the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{align*}
(4.1) & \quad |g_n \circ f_n(x) - g_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1}(x)| < \epsilon_n, \quad x \in K_{m_n}, \\
(4.2) & \quad |\Phi_{n-1} \circ g_n \circ f_n(x) - \Phi_{n-1} \circ g_{n-1} \circ f_{n-1}(x)| < \epsilon_n, \quad x \in K_{m_n}, \\
(4.3) & \quad \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{n-1} \cap F_{n-1}(\Omega_n) \subset F_{n-1}(\tilde{K}_{m_n}), \\
(4.4) & \quad |\phi_n(z) - z| < \epsilon_n, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{n-1} \cup F_{n-1}(\tilde{K}_{m_n}), \\
(4.5) & \quad |\Phi_n \circ g_n \circ f_n(x)| > n, \quad x \in b \Omega_n \cup (\Omega_n \setminus \Omega).
\end{align*}$$

**Remark 4.1.** Setting $J_n = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i(j) : j = 1, \ldots, k_n\}$, we have that

$$\Omega_n = \Omega \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_n} \overline{\Delta}_j, \quad \Omega_n \setminus \Omega = \bigcup_{j \in J_n} \overline{\Delta}_j.$$

Clearly $\mathbb{D} \supset \Omega_1 \supset \Omega_2 \supset \cdots \supset \Omega$, but the intersection $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j$ need not equal $\Omega$. That is, the set of all circles $\Gamma_j$ that get opened up in the course of the construction may be a proper subset of the family $\{b \Delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of all boundary circles of $\Omega$. The only reason for opening a boundary circle contained in
\( \hat{K}_{m_n} \setminus K_{m_n} \) is to insure that the image of \( K_{m_n} \) in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) becomes polynomially convex; see (4.7) below.

We begin the induction at \( n = 0 \) by choosing an embedding \( f_0(\zeta) = (\tau_0(\zeta), 0) \) of \( \mathbb{D} \) in \( \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) with a \( \theta_0 \)-wedge at the point \( c_0 \in \Gamma_0 = b\mathbb{D} \) (see Theorem 2.8). We also choose a shear

\[
\gamma_0(z_1, z_2) = \left( z_1, z_2 + \frac{\beta_0}{\tau_1 - \tau_1 \circ f_0(c_0)} \right),
\]

sending the exposed point \( \tau_1 \circ f_0(c_0) = \tau_0(c_0) \) to infinity. Let \( \phi_0 = \Phi_0 = \Phi_{-1} = \text{Id} \). Conditions (4.1) - (4.4) are then vacuous for \( n = 0 \) (recall that \( K_0 = \emptyset \)), and (4.5) is satisfied after a small translation of the embedding \( \gamma_0 \circ f_0: \mathbb{D} \setminus \{c_0\} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) which removes the image off the origin.

We now explain the inductive step \( n \to n + 1 \). By (4.5) there exists an integer \( m_{n+1} > m_n \) such that

\[
(4.6) \quad \mathbb{F}_n \cap (\Phi_n \circ g_n \circ f_n(\Omega_n)) \subset \Phi_n \circ g_n \circ f_n(\hat{K}_{m_{n+1}}).
\]

By the inductive hypothesis the polynomial hull \( \hat{K}_{m_{n+1}} \) contains the boundary circles \( \Gamma_j \subset b\Omega \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq k_n \). (This is vacuous if \( n = 0 \).) In each of the (finitely many) connected components of \( \hat{K}_{m_{n+1}} \setminus K_{m_{n+1}} \) which does not contain any of the above circles we pick another boundary circle of \( \Omega \) (such exists since the set \( K_{m_{n+1}} \) is \( \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \)-convex); we label these additional curves \( \Gamma_{k_{n+1}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{k_{n+1}} \). As before, we have \( \Gamma_j = b\Delta_i(j) \) for some index \( i(j) \). Let

\[
\Omega_{n+1} = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_{n+1}} \Delta_i(j).
\]

Setting \( J_{n+1} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i(j) : j = 1, \ldots, k_{n+1}\} \), we have that

\[
\Omega_{n+1} = \Omega \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_{n+1}} \Delta_j.
\]

Each of these additional curves will now be opened up. Pick a point \( c_j \in \Gamma_j \) for each \( j = k_n + 1, \ldots, k_{n+1} \) and positive numbers \( \theta_{k_n+1}, \ldots, \theta_{k_{n+1}} \) such that \( \sum_{j=0}^{k_{n+1}} \theta_j < 2\pi \). Also choose a number \( \epsilon_{n+1} \in (0, \epsilon_n/2) \) such that any holomorphic map \( h: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) satisfying \( \|h - g_n \circ f_n\|_{K_{m_{n+1}}} < 2\epsilon_{n+1} \) is an embedding on \( K_{m_n} \). Theorem 2.8 furnishes a holomorphic embedding \( f_{n+1}: \Omega_{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) with corners such that \( f_{n+1} \) agrees with \( f_n \) to the second order at each of the points \( c_0, \ldots, c_{k_n} \), it additionally makes the boundary points \( c_{k_n+1}, \ldots, c_{k_{n+1}} \) \( \pi_1 \)-exposed with \( \theta_j \)-wedges, and it approximates \( f_n \) as close as desired outside of small neighborhoods of these points. The image \( f_{n+1}(\Omega_{n+1}) \) stays as close as desired to the union of \( f_n(\Omega_{n+1}) \) with the family of arcs that were attached to this set in order to expose the points \( c_{k_n+1}, \ldots, c_{k_{n+1}} \). In particular, we insure that none of the complex lines \( z_1 = \pi_1 \circ f_{n+1}(c_j) \) for \( j = k_n + 1, \ldots, k_{n+1} \) intersects the set \( \Phi_{n}^{-1}(\mathbb{F}_n) \). The rational shear

\[
g_{n+1}(z_1, z_2) = g_n(z_1, z_2) + \left( 0, \sum_{j=k_{n+1}}^{k_{n+1}} \frac{\beta_j}{z_1 - \pi_1(f_{n+1}(c_j))} \right)
\]
sends the exposed points \( f_{n+1}(c_0), \ldots, f_{n+1}(c_{k_{n+1}}) \) to infinity. A suitable choice of the arguments of the numbers \( \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}^* \) for \( j = k_n + 1, \ldots, k_{n+1} \) insures that, in a neighborhood of infinity, \((\pi_2 \circ g_n \circ f_{n+1})(\Omega_{n+1})\) is a union of pairwise disjoint \( \theta_j \)-wedges with the common vertex at \( \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1 \); at the same time the absolute values \( |\beta_j| > 0 \) can be chosen arbitrarily small in order to obtain good approximation of \( g_n \) by \( g_{n+1} \).

Set \( F_n = \Phi_n \circ g_{n+1} \circ f_{n+1} \). If the approximations of \( f_n, g_n \) by \( f_{n+1}, g_{n+1} \), respectively, were close enough, then the conditions (4.1)–(4.3) hold with \( n \) replaced by \( n + 1 \).

Since every connected component of \( \mathring{K}_{m+1} \setminus K_{m+1} \) contains at least one of the points \( c_1, \ldots, c_{m+1} \) which \( F_n \) sends to infinity, the set \( F_n(\mathring{K}_{m+1}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) is polynomially convex. (See [10], Prop. 3.1) We also pick a large constant \( R > 0 \) such that for any pair of points \( z, z' \), with \( |z - z'| < \delta \), we have \( |\Phi_n(z) - \Phi_n(z')| < \epsilon_{n+1} \). (Such \( \delta \) exists by continuity of \( \Phi_n \).) We also pick a large constant \( R > 0 \) such that \( |\Phi_n(z)| > n + 1 \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) with \( |z| > R \). (Equivalently, \( \Phi_n^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_n) \subset \mathbb{P}_R \) is polynomially convex, Lemma 3.1 furnishes an automorphism \( \psi \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2 \) satisfying the following two conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
(4.4') \quad |\psi(z) - z| &< \delta \quad \text{for } z \in \Phi_n^{-1}(L_n), \\
(4.5') \quad |\psi(z)| &> R \quad \text{for } z \in g_{n+1} \circ f_{n+1}(b\Omega_{n+1} \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_{n+1}} \mathring{\Omega}_j).
\end{align*}
\]

By (4.3) (applied with \( n + 1 \)) the two sets appearing in these conditions are disjoint. It is now immediate that \( \phi_{n+1} \) satisfies conditions (4.4), (4.5).

This completes the induction step, so the induction may proceed.

We now conclude the proof. By (4.1) and the choice of the numbers \( \epsilon_n > 0 \) we see that the limit map \( G = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n \circ f_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}^2 \) is a holomorphic embedding. Condition (4.4) implies that the sequence \( F_n \in \text{Aut} \mathbb{C}^2 \) converges on the domain \( O = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \) to a Fatou-Bieberbach map \( \Phi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n : O \to \mathbb{C}^2 \), i.e., a biholomorphic map of \( O \) onto \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) (c.f. [2], Corollary 4.4.2]). Conditions (4.2) and (4.4) show that the sequence \( \Phi_n \) converges on \( G(\Omega) \), so \( G(\Omega) \subset O \). From (4.3) and (4.5) we see that \( G \) embeds \( \Omega \) properly into \( O \). Hence the map

\[
F = \Phi \circ G = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n \circ g_n \circ f_n : \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2
\]
is a proper holomorphic embedding of $\Omega$ into $\mathbb{C}^2$. □

**Remark 4.2.** If we choose an initial holomorphic embedding $f_0: \mathbb{D} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, a compact set $K = K_0 \subset \Omega$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$, then the above construction is easily modified to yield a proper holomorphic embedding $F: \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying $\|F - f\|_K < \epsilon$. Furthermore, we can choose $F$ to agree with $f$ at finitely many points of $\Omega$. All these additions are standard.

5. **Domains with punctures**

Theorem 1.1 can be extended to domains $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with certain boundary punctures. By a *puncture* we mean a connected component of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega$ which is a point. We say that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is a *generalized circled domain* if each complementary component is either a round disc or a puncture. By He and Schramm [6], any domain in $\mathbb{P}^1$ with at most countably many boundary components is conformally equivalent to a generalized circled domain.

Our main result in this direction is the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a generalized circled domain in $\mathbb{P}^1$. If all but finitely many punctures in the complement $K := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Omega$ are limit points of discs in $K$, then $\Omega$ embeds properly holomorphically in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

**Corollary 5.2.** If $\Omega$ is a circled domain in $\mathbb{C}$ or in $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_l \in \Omega$ is an arbitrary finite set of points in $\Omega$, then the domain $\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ admits a proper holomorphic embedding in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

By He and Schramm, Corollary 5.2 also holds for $\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is a domain as in Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** We make the following modifications in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume as before that $\Omega$ is contained in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, with $\Gamma_0 = b\mathbb{D}$ being one of its boundary components. Let $f_0: \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the embedding $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta, 0)$. Assume that $p_1, \ldots, p_l \in b\Omega$ are the finitely many punctures which do not belong to the cluster set of $\bigcup \Delta_i$. A rational shear $g_0(z_1, z_2) = \left( z_1, z_2 + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{\beta_j}{z_1 - p_j} \right)$ sends the points $p_1, \ldots, p_l$ to infinity. We then apply the rest of the proof exactly as before, insuring at each step of the inductive construction that the embedding with corners $f_n: \overline{\Omega}_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ agrees with $f_0$ at the points $p_1, \ldots, p_l$ and leaves these points $\pi_1$-exposed, and the shear $g_n$ has poles at these points. The coordinate projection $\pi_2: \mathbb{X}_n = g_n \circ f_n(\overline{\Omega}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is no longer injective near infinity due to the poles of $g_n$ at the points $p_1, \ldots, p_l$. However, since the discs $\Delta_i$ do not accumulate on any of the points $p_1, \ldots, p_l$, the discs $(g_n \circ f_n)(\Delta_i) \subset X_n$ which approach infinity are still mapped bijectively to a finite union of pairwise disjoint wedges at $\infty$, and the additional sheets of the projection $\pi_2: \mathbb{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are irrelevant for the construction of the automorphism which removes the discs and the boundary curves of $X_n$ out of a given ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$. 
The remaining punctures $p_\lambda$ in $b\Omega$ (a possibly uncountable set) can be treated in the same way as the complementary discs. Indeed, since each of these points is the limit point of the sequence of discs $\Delta_i$, every connected component of the set $\hat{K}_m \setminus K_m$ (where $K_m$ is a sequence of compacts exhausting the domain $\Omega$, see [4]) which contains one of these punctures $p_\lambda$ also contains a disc $\Delta_j$. By exposing a boundary point of $\Delta_j$ and removing it to infinity by a rational shear we thus insure that the image of $p_\lambda$ does not belong to the polynomial hull of the image of $K_m$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. (See Remark [4].) The conclusion of Remark [2.3] is still valid, and hence the arguments in the proof of Theorem [1.1] concerning moving compact sets by automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$ still apply without any changes. 

Example 5.3. Assume that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is any compact totally disconnected set. (In particular, $E$ could be a Cantor set.) Then we may choose a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed round discs $\overline{\Delta}_j \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus E$ such that each point of $E$ is a cluster point of the sequence $\{\Delta_j\}$ and such that $\Omega := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus (E \cup (\cup_j \Delta_j))$ is a domain. Then $\Omega$ embeds properly in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

There exists a Cantor set in $\mathbb{P}^1$ whose complement embeds properly holomorphically into $\mathbb{C}^2$ (Orevkov [8]), but it is an open problem whether this holds for each Cantor set. 
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