Henry Ford will long be remembered as the inventor who brought a transformational product to the masses. The Ford Motor Company’s assembly line strategy was a breakthrough in manufacturing, creating new vehicles in hours instead of days and fueling new avenues in consumer desire. Greater throughput drove lower costs for automobiles, leading to wider availability for willing consumers. But to keep production costs in check, Ford had to impose certain sacrifices on areas that constrained consumer preference while not affecting core product qualities. In 1909, Ford announced that there would be one car, the Model T, and that it would be available in only one standard body type. He also remarked, ‘Any customer can have a car painted any color that he wants so long as it is black’.¹

Ford’s statement frames an important dilemma. The efficient, low-cost production of in-demand items often comes with trade-offs. Personal preferences and sensory attributes might be sacrificed to keep a product inexpensive and widely available. The Model T is a metaphor for today’s fresh fruit and vegetable market. An unprecedented bounty of produce items have rolled-off of plant breeding’s assembly line, constructed of the best genetics that deliver an inexpensive, accessible and typically satisfactory product. We have mastered the art of how to mass-produce mass produce.

Today the demands for fresh produce arise from increasingly curious and discerning consumers. There is a growing interest in food, farming and how fruits and vegetables can be an important part of a healthy diet. However, with all of the excitement around fruits and vegetables, consumers believe that there is plenty of room for improvement.² Although consumers are generally pleased with price, availability and safety, the core criticisms relate to flavors and aromas. Consumers consistently note that produce lacks favorable sensory qualities, and that is no surprise. Over the last 50 years, plant breeders have made tremendous progress satisfying objectives of an ambitious supply chain that demands large, perfect, abundant products, with year-round availability. This strict focus on production metrics coincides with erosion of the genetic and biochemical complexity essential to fruit sensory quality. Today’s elite varieties have been bred to produce high yielding, disease-free plants, producing easily harvested products that resist decay and ship smoothly within and across nations. While production has been priority one, flavor and nutrition have suffered from benign neglect. The irony has been described as, ‘The modern supermarket tomato became damaged goods as part of the attempt to keep it from becoming damaged goods’.² In the past, if sensory quality was acceptable in a plant that yielded perfect, big and long-lasting fruits—the breeding effort was remarkably successful. Consumers asked for cheap, always available produce and the breeders gave them what they wanted; consumers asked for the Model T, and scientists and farmers provided the Model T. Only today, consumers are thinking about tricked out Bel-Airs with tail-fins and Mustang convertibles.

Breeders most certainly did not set out to produce fruits and vegetables with poor flavor. Breeding for sensory quality was deprioritized because of its complexity. Hundreds, if not thousands, of chemical compounds contribute to the flavor and aroma, and interface with sensations triggered by acids and sugars.³⁻⁴ Humans exhibit great variation in their sensory perception.⁵ Flavor breeding requires a combination of expensive analytical tools as well as a deep understanding of human sensory psychology and access to consumer evaluation panels. The presence of specific compounds that shape flavor and aroma are informative, as they may relate to the nutrient content of the food item.⁷ Overlay physiological factors that disrupt chemical sensing or perception, age, learned preferences, social influences, texture and visual cues, and it is no wonder that flavor and aroma are such daunting breeding targets.⁸ The goal now is not solely to create good products that satisfy the consumer and the industry. Instead the target is to produce fruits and vegetables that...
consumers actively seek, while maintaining industry-mandated qualities.

The idea of putting the consumer first is shockingly novel, but it is an essential first step in growing demand for fruits and vegetables rather than merely maintaining existing markets (Figure 1). Bringing the consumer into breeding strategies requires an interdisciplinary approach with a long-term vision. Measuring what people actually like requires specific knowledge of the way that 'liking' is measured and related to chemical content of the product. The classical concept of measuring a volatile compound's relevance to flavor by integrating concentration with presumptive human sensory thresholds (described as 'odor units') has some important limitations (reviewed in Bartoshuk and Klee, 2013). The ranking system is too simple: every consumer's sensory receptor collection is different. Integration of sensory inputs in the brain with memories, biases and experiences shapes consumer liking. Relating chemical composition with a liking score across a population requires sophisticated measurement tools. Hedonic scaling tools have led to breakthroughs in linking the chemistry of flavor to breeding efforts in tomato, strawberry and melon. These consumer-based approaches provide a means to prioritize flavor compound targets for breeding.

This review examines consumer evaluations and the molecular basis for flavor in tomato and strawberry, two high-value crops that are recognized as having great opportunity for sensory improvement. These two crops have much in common regarding research and breeding for fruit quality (Figure 2). They are both intensively bred annuals, meaning that in the absence of positive selection for flavor quality, there is a greater risk for flavor deterioration in the race to produce larger fruits and higher-yielding varieties. Both systems are readily transformable for in planta validation of gene function. Genome sequences of the species and of close relatives are available and there is a rich diversity of transcriptome resources in both systems. Strawberry and tomato are complementary models for functional genomics efforts, allowing researchers to quickly identify candidate genes, including those responsible for the perceived quality of the fruits. The work outlined has led to the use of new biochemical-genetic-genomic tools to funnel sensory-relevant genes into advanced selections, using molecular breeding to hasten the process.

**TOMATOES**

Tomato flavor is defined by a complex blend of key volatiles that intertwine with sugars and acids. The interaction between them is played out in concert with the tongue, palate and olfactory system, all of them are integrated in the brain to register as 'tomato'. The relative amounts of, and ratios between, these components underlie consumer preference. In a recent study, consumers rated 66 different varieties, which were then dissected for their chemical constituents. Positive and negative correlations were observed between specific volatiles and liking. The results showed that sweetness was a critical contributor, mostly attributable to sugar concentrations. However, there were outliers—varieties rated as particularly sweet despite having relatively low sugar content. For example, the heirloom variety 'Matina' was rated as being twice as sweet as 'Yellow Jelly Bean' even though it had 10% less sugar. 'Matina' had significantly higher levels of certain volatiles than 'Yellow Jelly Bean', creating the illusion of sweetness in the absence of sugar. These results were consistent with previous findings that fruity volatiles enhanced the perception of sweetness, and that fruits lacking specific carotenoid volatiles were described as less sweet. Together, these results indicate that humans integrate different sensory signals, termed cross-modality, to create a brain image of flavor. Possibly through learned behavior, we come to associate certain volatiles as being paired with sweetness of fruits and the two sensations amplify each other. The results help to explain why certain volatiles are so important to consumer liking.

Although many volatiles impact consumer liking, a detailed understanding of the metabolic pathways underlying their syn-
thesis can greatly simplify the breeding challenges. That is, many of the important volatiles are metabolically linked and there should be genetic loci that correspond to rate-limiting steps in their biosynthetic pathways. Sensory improvement may best be approached by targeting those genes associated with large sets of metabolic changes. Over 50 quantitative trait loci (QTLs) contributing to the production of influential volatiles have been identified. Efforts now focus on prioritizing the most influential loci encoding upstream processes that affect large ranges of metabolites, such as aromatic amino-acid decarboxylases, the first committed step in the production of key phenylpropanoid volatiles like 2-phenylethanol and 2-phenylacetaldehyde. From there, natural variation can be exploited to introduce the most desirable alleles into elite germplasm. Even transgenic or gene-edited lines may provide a rapid means to produce higher-flavored tomatoes more consistently.

Part of the flavor problem comes from inadvertent selection of genes that negatively affect quality. For instance, most modern tomato cultivars contain a mutation in a gene known as uniform ripening. The mutation is in a Golden 2-like transcription factor that affects chlorophyll accumulation and distribution in the fruit. Wild-type tomato fruits exhibit a progressive gradient of ripening from blossom end to stem. This manifests as a dark green shoulder on the fruit, making it appear less than fully ripe. Loss-of-function results in uniform ripening, that while more attractive, contains fewer chloroplasts and lower soluble solids, meaning that the selection for fruits with uniform ripening inherently lack the genetic potential to produce the full complement of the compounds responsible for good flavor.

The control of tomato volatile production frequently coincides with developmental transitions. For instance, ripening is a hormone-mediated process that produces changes in fruit, many of which affect flavors and aromas. It is no surprise that mutations in transcription factors like RIPENING INHIBITOR (RIN) and NON-RIPENING (NOR) or the HIGH PIGMENT (HP) protein, result in pleiotropic defects in ripening progression that also change suites of flavor volatiles. Tomato ripening is ethylene dependent, so defects in ethylene synthesis, sensing or signaling also affect volatile production. For instance, the Nr (ever ripe) mutants are ethylene insensitive due to defects in an ethylene receptor, leading to widespread differences in volatile production in maturing fruits. Ripening also coincides with patterns of DNA demethylation, regulated by a SPB-box (SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like) protein COLOURLESS NON-RIPENING (CNR). The cnr mutants present defects in a factor required for normal ripening and show large-scale differences in compounds present. All of the ripening-impaired lines exhibit low or non-detectable levels of the TomloxC transcript, required for the production of C5 and C6 fatty acid-derived aldehydes and alcohols that contribute to volatile synthesis. Importantly, rin mutants are widely used in commercial practice to slow-down fruit ripening and extending shelf life despite their potential impacts on flavor quality.

Another area of great potential is understanding the role of enzymes that modify flavor volatiles. For instance, substantial quantities of many volatiles exist in the ripening fruit as non-volatile glycosides, effectively negating their effects on flavor. However, there are ~120 glycosyltransferases in tomato. Each volatile can be glycosylated by multiple enzymes and each enzyme can modify multiple volatiles. At this point more basic research must be performed to harness this potential mechanism of flavor improvement.

To make matters more complex, all of the above-mentioned factors are also influenced by tomato production and post-harvest handling. Tomato flavor is affected by growing conditions, time of ripening and developmental state on harvesting, degreening procedures, storage temperature and post-harvest handling. Almost nothing is known about optimizing genetics for environment to achieve maximum flavor potential.

Future breeding directions in tomato for flavor will attempt to target some of the main pathways that influence sets of important volatiles. Consumer-based testing is an important first step in prioritization of QTLs and genes, and new gene-editing approaches likely will have profound effects in designing the next generation of highly flavored tomatoes.

STRAWBERRY
Breeding gains in fragile strawberry fruits have allowed seasonal production in coastal climates to reach consumers across broad geographical regions. It is common to find California strawberries in Florida during the summer months in the USA, and Spanish strawberries across Europe. But like tomato, these production and distribution gains typically came at the expense of flavors and aromas. Although breeding for improved sensory traits is always a challenge, strawberry presents an additional barrier—a complicated octoploid genome that makes simple genetic relationships difficult to decipher, and adds a formidable challenge to pyramiding favorable genes or alleles into a single superior variety.

But the same genome also represents opportunity. The room for improvement is benchmarked by the explosion of fruity and floral aromas present in wild diploid strawberry species like Fragaria vesca and other non-octoploid fruits. These small, soft berries present a savory bouquet unmatched by commercial varieties. Even the hexaploid strawberry (Fragaria moschata) varieties present musky tones that are not apparent in octoploid cultivars, and demonstrate the breadth of strawberry’s genetic potential for improved sensory quality.

But is that potential even present in the genomes of commercial strawberries? One known limitation is that commercial varieties arise from a narrow core of foundational genotypes. It has been estimated that the entire commercial strawberry germplasm arises from fifty-some foundational lines and seventeen cytotypes. The extensive breeding within this narrow genetic set means that the potential for exceptional flavors may be lost. However, significant numbers of wild, inter-fertile octoploid strawberry species have been identified and show great variation for growth habits, stature and fruit types. These wild accessions have limited commercial value, other than to potentially serve as genetic repositories for the chemistries that may shape the future of strawberry flavors. Most of the work in this area has centered around Fragaria chiloensis, a wild octoploid strawberry species that grows on the western coast of Chile and North America. The white-fruited varieties of Chile are recognized for unique flavors, and have been examined for volatile content and causal genes such as alcohol acyl transferases. These species also present excellent tolerance to disease, weather extremes and pests, and may prove to be a wild repository for useful genetics in variety improvement centered around sensory and commercial quality.

Strawberry breeders have turned their attention to reclaiming these aromas that are present in the genus, but lost from commercial varieties. Consumer appreciation is related most strongly to sweetness, driven primarily by sucrose. The same report indicates that titratable acidity contributes to non-liking. The sensory experience is intensified by volatile organic compounds. While over 360 different compounds are thought to contribute to strawberry aroma, it has been estimated that a much smaller group of ~20 contributes significantly to sensory quality. Consumer tests have narrowed a select set of compounds that tend to associate with liking. The consensus shows appreciation for butanoic acid (plus its ethyl and methyl esters), hexanoic acid (methyl and ethyl esters), linalool and furanone (4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3-furanone). Not surprisingly, these compounds impart a fruity, floral or ‘sweet’ sensation to the subject. One novel breeding approach skipped molecular markers and devised a ‘chemometric’ rubric to identify
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high-flavor candidates by moving directly to volatile analysis. In this case, researchers simply screened massive numbers of fruits, not for genes, but for volatiles directly. Fruits fitting a pattern of products known to positively affect flavor are tagged for further analysis. This laborious practice has the advantage of not making predictions, but relying on the olfactory needle in a haystack to identify high-flavor accessions.

Major efforts have centered around fruity volatiles. Some of the first productive microarray-based gene expression assessments in plants identified transcripts associated with the production of fruity esters, namely one encoding an alcohol acyl-transferase. The candidate transcript encoded a protein that could induce volatile ester production from medium-chain alcohols, leading to the production of a series of fruity aromatic compounds that were known to have a correlation with flavor quality. 

Key volatiles in strawberry include furaneol and its methyl ether 2,5-dimethyl-4-methoxy-3(2H)-furanone. These compounds produce a rich, buttery, caramel-like aroma. It is present in high absolute amounts in strawberry, up to 55 mg kg⁻¹ fresh weight, and has a low odor threshold. A ripening-induced transcript encoding a quinone oxidoreductase was cloned from commercial strawberry, and represents the last step in furaneol biosynthesis. While this compound plays a central role in flavor perception, it is metabolized by UDP-glycosyltransferases that are induced by ripening and changes HDMF (4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone) to a relatively sensorially benign β-D-glucoside. Future efforts in gene editing may permit alteration of these modification mechanisms, leading to higher levels of this important volatile. Mesifurane is a related compound that imparts similar characteristics, only with a more ‘burnt’ or ‘sherry-like’ profile, and is produced from methylation of furaneol, orchestrated by an O-methyl transferase.

Another important fruity volatile in strawberries is linalool. This compound appears to be differentially produced between diploid and octoploid strawberries, occurring only in the latter. The molecular mechanism was traced back to localization of the enzyme NEROLIDOL SYNTHASE 1 (NES1) which is present in the cytosol of linalool producing varieties. In diploid strawberries the enzyme is located in the chloroplast, availing to a different substrate pool and leading to the production of nerolidol instead of linalool. The linalool-producing allele is present in all commercial varieties, is lacking in other levels of ploidy, yet is missing in a subset of wild octoploids.

The genes contributing to eugenol synthesis have also been functionally characterized in strawberry. Eugenol and isoeugenol are synthesized in the flowers of many plants to attract pollinators, but have been identified as important volatile compounds in ripe strawberry fruits, but it is much more prevalent in the wild diploids than in cultivated accessions. Its synthesis is under the control of eugenol synthase (FADG52) and controlled by a transcription factor that is orthologous to the R2R3 MYB transcription factor controlling benzenoid emissions in petunia. The compound y-decalactone confers a strong peach essence to fruits. Two groups identified the same underlying gene, FAD1, using two contrasting approaches. Sanchez-Sevilla et al. identified a QTL in a segregating population of commercial strawberries. They then overlaid transcriptome data to identify the gene FAD1, a transcript specific to the individuals that produced y-decalactone in the mapping population. A different approach was simultaneously performed by Chambers et al. and came to the identical conclusion. A cross was made between a strawberry non-producer and a producer, and the compound segregated as a single dominant locus in the F1 generation. A transcriptome profile and volatile profile were obtained from individual fruits from each plant in the progeny. The transcriptomes were grouped computationally based on presence or absence of y-decalactone, and a single transcript showed a presence/absence relationship that fit invariably with the compound’s detection. The corresponding gene was a fatty-acid desaturase, termed FAD1.

These analyses have shown that the volatile-rich background of strawberry can be focused down to a relatively small slate of compounds, somewhere between five and two dozen that contribute to its core flavor and aromas. Most have been prioritized and narrowed down to genes associated with their synthesis and/or inactivation, and molecular markers have been developed or are being explored. Coupled to new gene-editing approaches and the implementation of genomics-level breeding tools, strawberry flavor stands to improve from its current state, where production traits have been valued over sensory characteristics.

CONCLUSIONS
Tomato and strawberry present two contrasting yet complementary systems. The findings from tomato show that most genes identified in flavor presentation control suites of genes and metabolites. Strawberry, on the other hand, shows contributions from specific genes with roles in discrete biosynthetic pathways. Both suffer from years of efficient breeding for production characteristics that have resulted in wide availability of fruits and vegetables but there is plenty of room to improve flavors and aromas. New approaches can be thought of as ‘consumer-assisted selection’, allowing the consumer with his/her complicated sensory preferences to prioritize the next steps in the breeding process. Coupling the targets defined by consumer tests to today’s powerful metabolomic and genomic technologies, plus the potential for genome editing, suggests that creating the next wave of highly flavored fruits and vegetables can be streamlined compared with yesterday’s processes of traditional breeding. The tomatoes and strawberries of the future will carry the best of modern production qualities and the sensory experience of a garden-grown heirloom variety.
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