Unrealistic treatment of detrained water substance in FGOALS-s2 and its influence on the model’s climate sensitivity
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\begin{abstract}
Based on a series of aqua-planet and air–sea coupled experiments, the influence of unrealistic treatment of water substance in the Flexible Global Ocean–Atmosphere–Land System Model, spectral version 2 (FGOALS-s2), on the model’s climate sensitivity is investigated in this paper. Because the model does not adopt an explicit microphysics scheme, the detrained water substance from the convection scheme is converted back to the humidity. This procedure could lead to an additional increase of water vapor in the atmosphere, which could strengthen the model’s climate sensitivity. Further sensitivity experiments confirm this deduction. After removing the water vapor converted from the detrained water substance, the water vapor reduced significantly in the upper troposphere and the high clouds also reduced. Quantitative calculations show that the water vapor reduced almost 10\% of the total water vapor, and 50\% at 150 hPa, when the detrained water substance was removed, contributing to the 30\% atmospheric surface temperature increase. This study calls for an explicit microphysics scheme to be introduced into the model in order to handle the detrained water vapor and thus improve the model’s simulation skill.
\end{abstract}

\section{1. Introduction}

Cloud formation processes span scales from the sub-micrometer scale of cloud condensation nuclei, to cloud systems of up to thousands of kilometers. This range of scales is impossible to resolve in climate models (IPCC 2013), and various clouds schemes are applied to simulate the cloud macro- and micro-properties and the associated radiative forcings. Despite decades of advancement, cloud parameterization schemes, especially microphysics schemes, still contain many uncertainties, which lead to the largest uncertainty in simulating cloud feedbacks and to a wide range of climate sensitivity in state-of-the-art climate models (Wang et al. 1976; Hall and Manabe 1999; Kristin et al. 1999; Schneider et al. 1999; Gettelman et al. 2012). The Flexible Global Ocean–Atmosphere–Land System Model, spectral version 2 (FGOALS-s2), is a climate system model developed at the State Key Laboratory of Numerical Modeling for Atmospheric Sciences and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, Institute of Atmospheric Physics (LASG/IAP) (Bao et al. 2010, 2013) in which the atmospheric model Spectral Atmosphere Model developed at LASG/IAP, version 2 (SAMIL2) is applied. SAMIL2 uses a diagnostic method to estimate the effective radius of cloud droplets for both the liquid and ice phase, which is based on the detrained liquid water content in the convection scheme and assumed droplet concentrations in the cloud (Martin et al. 1994). Because the model does not employ an explicit microphysics scheme to simulate cloud condensation nuclei and the associated radiative forcings, the detrained water substance (cloud water and cloud ice) in the convection scheme is evaporated back to the water vapor in the atmosphere after deep convection occurs. This treatment will potentially cause excessive water vapor in the upper troposphere and lead to an excessively strong water vapor feedback and high climate sensitivity.
Recently, two studies (Zhou et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014) have revealed that FGOALS-s2 shows quite high climate sensitivity in response to increasing greenhouse gases (GHGs) in both historical simulations and future projections, which may be related to the excessively strong water vapor feedback in the model. Whether or not the unrealistic treatment of converting detrained water substance to the water vapor is related to the model’s high climate sensitivity remains unclear. Therefore, in this study, based on a series of aqua-planet experiments and an air–sea coupled experiment, the influence of the unrealistic treatment of detrained water vapor in SAMIL2 on the radiation forcing is investigated. The contribution of the unrealistic treatment to the model’s high climate sensitivity is measured quantitatively. Additionally, an eventual solution to the model’s high climate sensitivity is measured quantitatively. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the datasets, the model configurations, and the experimental design. Section 3 reports the results. Section 4 presents the final conclusions and a discussion.

2. Datasets and model configuration

2.1. Datasets

The Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) Surface Temperature Analysis dataset is used for observation in the present study (Hansen et al. 2010). This dataset is on a 2° × 2° grid and covers the period 1880 to the present day with monthly mean anomalies. More details of the documentation of the datasets can be found at http://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/.

The monthly mean outputs of atmospheric surface temperature (AST) of 24 CMIP5 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5) climate models (ACCESS1-0, BCC-CSM1-1, CanESM2, CCSM4, CESM1-CAM5, CMCC-CM, CNRM-CM5, CSIRO-Mk3-6-0, EC-EARTH, FGOALS-g2, GFDL-CM3, GFDL-ESM2G, GISS-E2-H, GISS-E2-R, HadGEM2-CC, HadGEM2-ES, INMCM4, IPSL-CM5A-LR, MIROC5, MPI-ESM-LR, MRI-ESM, MPI-ESM-LR, MPI-ESM-MR, MRI-CGCM3, and NorESM1_M) are used to obtain the multi-model ensemble (MME). The exchanged fluxes among these components are connected by the National Center for Atmosphere Research (NCAR) coupler module 6 (Collins et al. 2006). The basic performances of the models are described in Bao et al. (2013).

The atmospheric model SAMIL2 has an R42 horizontal resolution (2.81° longitude × 1.66° latitude) with 26 vertical layers in a σ-p hybrid coordinate, extending from the surface to 2.19 hPa. The mass flux cumulus parameterization of Tiedtke (1989) is used to calculate convective precipitation. The cloud scheme is a diagnostic method based on relative humidity (RH), vertical velocity, atmospheric stability, and the convective mass flux associated with parameterized moist convection (Slingo 1987; Kiehl et al. 1996), while a statistic low cloud method is also applied (Dai et al. 2004). A nonlocal scheme is employed in the boundary layer to calculate the eddy-diffusivity profile and turbulent velocity scale, and the model incorporates nonlocal transport effects for heat and moisture (Holtslag and Boville 1993). The radiation scheme employed is an updated Edwards–Slingo scheme (Edwards and Slingo 1996; Sun and Rikus 1999).

2.2. Model configuration

The climate system model FGOALS-s2 is composed of four individual components: SAMIL2 (Wu et al. 1996; Bao et al. 2010); version 2 of the LASG/IAP Climate System Ocean Model, LICOM2 (Liu et al. 2013); version 3 of the Community Land Model, CLM3 (Oleson et al. 2004); and version 5 of the Community Sea Ice Model, CSIM5 (Briegleb et al. 2004). The exchanged fluxes among these components are connected by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) coupler module 6 (Collins et al. 2006). The basic performances of the models are described in Bao et al. (2013).

To investigate the possible influence of the unrealistic treatment of detrained water substance on the model’s climate sensitivity, a series of sensitivity experiments were designed, as summarized in Table 1. For simplicity, the detrained water substance in the convection scheme is defined as Δq_c. An aqua-planet control run was performed first, with the default SAMIL2 physics configuration (CON_A) and with the sea surface temperature (SST) forced as in Equation (1):

\[
T_s(\lambda, \phi) = \begin{cases} 
27\left(1 - \sin^2 \left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right) & {^o}\text{C}: - \frac{\pi}{3} < \phi < \frac{\pi}{3} \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases}, \quad (1)
\]

Table 1. Experimental design.

| Name     | Description                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CON_A    | Aqua-planet run: distribution of surface temperature set as in Equation (1); model physics not modified; experiment integrated for five years |
| RMQ_A    | Same as CON_A but with Δq_c removed in the model physics                     |
| RMQ_B    | Same as CON_A but with a uniform 4 °C added on the surface temperature       |
| CON_B    | Same as CON_A but with a uniform 4 °C added on the surface temperature       |
| CON_CP   | Air–sea coupled run: historical simulation from 1850 to 2005; external forcings time-dependent on their monthly mean values |
| RMP_CP   | Same as CON_CP but with Δq_c removed in the model physics                     |
where \( \lambda \) denotes the longitude and \( \phi \) denotes the latitude. The Maximum SST was 27 °C at the equator. Poleward of both 60°N and 60°S the SST remained at 0 °C with sea-ice switched off. More details of the experimental settings can be found in Neale and Hoskins (2001). The sensitivity run named RMQ_A removed the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) in the model's physics but kept other forcings the same as in CON_A. The influences of the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) on the model's climate sensitivity were investigated by conducting additional experiments, CON_B and RMQ_B, which were the same as CON_A and RMQ_A, respectively, but a uniform 4 °C addition on the SST for the perturbation. Thus, quantitative measurement of the model's climate sensitivity parameter could be calculated from Equation (2). Lastly, the possible influence of \( \Delta q_{cl} \) on the evolution of AST in the fully coupled model FGOALS-s2 was estimated. The historical run (CON_CP) was performed from 1850 to 2005, while a sensitivity run (RMP_CP) was performed with the same configuration as CON_CP but with \( \Delta q_{cl} \) removed from the model's physical package.

3. Results

The influence of detrained water substance in the convection scheme on the total water vapor simulation in the model is first examined. The water vapor differences between RMQ_A and CON_A for the mass ratio unit are shown in Figure 1a, and for the percentage in Figure 1b. It is clear that once the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) has been removed the water vapor decreases significantly throughout the troposphere, especially in the tropics (Figure 1a). Because the radiative effect of absorption by water vapor is roughly proportional to the logarithm of its concentration (IPCC 2013), the change of water vapor in percentage terms is shown...
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of the global mean water vapor change and the vertical distribution indicate that the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) accounts for about 10\% of the total water vapor and is more sensitive in the upper troposphere where the convection occurs. Because the water vapor decreases significantly in the upper troposphere, its own radiative forcing and the associated cloud radiation forcing (CRF) will both change. These two kinds of radiative forcing changes are estimated in the following paragraph.

Next the change in the vertical water vapor percentage is examined under the uniform +4 °C warming by comparing CON_B minus CON_A and RMQ_B minus RMQ_A (Figures 1c and 1d). When the increase in upper tropospheric water vapor still contains the amount of \( \Delta q_{cl} \) (Figure 1c), the ratio of the increase of water vapor shows a maximum over the tropics at 100–200 hPa, which exceeds 180\%. However, when the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) is removed in the second group (RMQ_B minus RMQ_A), the simulated water vapor change in the upper troposphere weakens significantly (Figure 1d). The percentage of increased water vapor in the upper troposphere reduces to 150\% over the tropics, while remaining almost unchanged at other latitudes. The results of the global mean water vapor change and the vertical distribution indicate that the \( \Delta q_{cl} \) accounts for about 10\% of the total water vapor and is more sensitive in the upper troposphere where the convection occurs.

Because the water vapor decreases significantly in the upper troposphere, its own radiative forcing and the associated cloud radiation forcing (CRF) will both change. These two kinds of radiative forcing changes are estimated in the following paragraph. The longwave CRF (LWCRF) is defined as the difference between clear-sky net upward longwave flux and upward longwave flux at the top of the atmosphere (TOA). The shortwave CRF (SWCRF) is defined as the difference between net downward shortwave fluxes and clear-sky net downward shortwave fluxes.

Because the GHGs are all prescribed in the model, except the water vapor, the change of the clear-sky longwave radiation (LWCS) is mainly induced by the changes in Figure 1b, revealing that the water vapor percentage decreases significantly in the upper troposphere, which would weaken the greenhouse effect from water vapor (Yang and Tung 1998; Minschwaner and Dessler 2004).

Figure 2. Zonal mean value of (a) clear-sky outgoing longwave radiation (units: W m\(^{-2}\)), (b) 150 hPa relative humidity (units: %), (c) 500 hPa vertical velocity (units: 100 \( \times \) Pa s\(^{-1}\)), (d) high cloud fraction (units: %), (e) longwave cloud radiation forcing (units: W m\(^{-2}\)), and (f) shortwave cloud radiation forcing (units: W m\(^{-2}\)), in the four aqua-planet experiments.
calculating the climate sensitivity parameter. Following Cess et al. (1990), the climate sensitivity parameter \( \lambda \) can be expressed as

\[
\lambda = \frac{\Delta T_s}{(\Delta F - \Delta Q)},
\]

where \( F \) and \( Q \) denote the global-mean emitted infrared and net downward solar fluxes at the TOA. Thus, \( \Delta F \) and \( \Delta Q \) represent the climate change TOA responses to the direct radiative forcing, which are impacted by climate feedback mechanisms. \( \Delta T_s \) denotes the change in global-mean surface temperature.

In the aqua-planet experiments, the change of surface temperature was equal to 4 °C in both CON_B minus CON_A (CON group) and RMQ_B minus RMQ_A (RMQ group). Therefore, \( \lambda \) is determined by the change in the denominator on the right side of Equation (2). Equation (2) was calculated in both the CON and RMQ group, revealing \( \lambda \) to be 0.65 °C m² W⁻¹ in CON and 0.44 °C m² W⁻¹ in RMQ.

Figure 3. Cross section of water vapor percentage (units: %) trends from 1880 to 2005 simulated by (a) CON_CP and (b) RMQ_CP. The 150 hPa global mean water vapor linear trend is 1.29 ppm in CON_CP and 0.63 ppm in RMQ_CP. (c) Time series of the annual mean global AST (units: °C) anomaly (relative to the first 30 years) simulated by CON_CP, the MME, RMQ_CP, and that observed (OBS).
Compared to the results of Cess et al. (1990), in which a typical $\lambda$ value of 0.5 °C m$^2$ W$^{-1}$ was shown, the $\lambda$ for the CON group is too high. However, when the $\Delta q_{cl}$ is removed in the model’s physical package, the $\lambda$ shows a reasonable value that is close to Cess et al. (1990), indicating a reduction in the model’s climate sensitivity. Therefore, from the aqua-planet sensitivity experiments, it is revealed that if the detrained water substance is converted back to water vapor, it can strengthen the water vapor feedback to increase the model’s climate sensitivity.

Lastly, the possible influence of $\Delta q_{cl}$ on the evolution of AST is measured directly in the fully coupled model FGOALS-s2. The historical run (named RMQ_CP) was repeated in the same way as CON_CP (Table 1) but with the $\Delta q_{cl}$ removed from the model’s physical package. The linear trends in the vertical water vapor percentage from 1880 to 2005 for CON_CP and RMQ_CP are shown in Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. The ratio of increased water vapor in the upper troposphere reduces to 20–25% in RMQ_CP (Figure 3b), less than CON_CP (Figure 3a) in which the ratio of increased water vapor is up to 40%. The trend in the absolute amount of water vapor at 150 hPa is 0.63 ppm/126 yr in RMQ_CP, reduced from 1.29 ppm/126 yr in CON_CP. The results indicate that the positive bias of water vapor in the upper troposphere is largely suppressed by removing $\Delta q_{cl}$. It also indicates that the greenhouse effect due to water vapor is reduced in RMQ_CP, which will lead to a more realistic simulation in the evolution of global AST.

Figure 3c shows the time series of the annual mean global AST evolution from 1880 to 2005 simulated by CON_CP, RMQ_CP, and the MME, and that observed. After reducing the $\Delta q_{cl}$ in each model step, the evolution of global AST in RMQ_CP is lower than CON_CP and closer to the MME’s results. The linear trend of AST during 1880 to 2005 is 1.32 °C/126 yr in RMQ_CP; compared to the 1.79 °C/126 yr in CON_CP, RMQ_CP reduces 30% of the global warming trend. Note that this linear trend is still larger than the MME value of 0.91 °C/126 yr and the observed value of 0.66 °C/126 yr, which demonstrates that the unrealistic treatment of the water substance in the model physics is not the only source of the model’s high climate sensitivity. Other possible reasons are to be studied further.

4. Summary and discussion

This study investigates the influence of the unrealistic treatment of detrained water substance in SAMIL2 on the model’s climate sensitivity, by carrying out a series of sensitivity experiments. Because the model does not adopt an explicit microphysics scheme, the detrained water vapor from the convection is converted back to the humidity. This procedure leads to an additional increase of water vapor in the upper troposphere, which could strengthen the model’s climate sensitivity. Further sensitivity experiments show that the unrealistic treatment increases the water vapor content in the upper troposphere, leading to more high cloud and thus causing an increase in the cloud longwave radiative forcing. Quantitative calculations show that, after removing the detrained water substance in the model’s physics, the climate sensitivity parameter $\lambda$ reduces from 0.65 to 0.44 °C m$^2$ W$^{-1}$. In the historical simulation, the water vapor reduces by almost 50% at 150 hPa when the detrained water substance is removed, contributing to the 30% AST increase.

The present study suggests that it is necessary to implement a physical-based microphysics scheme in SAMIL2. This will mean that the detrained water substance can be directly handled, which is conducive to reducing the model’s high climate sensitivity. In fact, the accurate treatment of clouds and their radiative properties should be widely considered as one of the most important issues facing global climate modeling (Hack 1998). While some of the changes in cloud, cloud water, cloud ice, and cloud distribution provide positive feedback, others provide negative feedback. Therefore, improving radiative effects of the cloud properties in FGOALS-s2 should be the primary approach to improving the model’s simulation skill in the future.
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