QUASISYMMETRIES OF SIERPİŃSKI CARPET JULIA SETS

MARIO BONK, MIKHAIL LYUBICH, AND SERGEI MERENKOVA

Abstract. We prove that if $\xi$ is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism between Sierpiński carpets that are Julia sets of postcritically-finite rational maps, then $\xi$ is the restriction of a Möbius transformation. This implies that the group of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of a Sierpiński carpet Julia set of a postcritically-finite rational map is finite.

1. Introduction

A Sierpiński carpet is a topological space homeomorphic to the well-known standard Sierpiński carpet fractal. A subset $S$ of the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Sierpiński carpet if and only if it has empty interior and can be written as

$$S = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k,$$

where the sets $D_k \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint Jordan regions with $\partial D_k \cap \partial D_l = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$, and $\text{diam}(D_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. A Jordan curve $C$ in a Sierpiński carpet $S$ is called a peripheral circle if its removal does not separate $S$. If $S$ is a Sierpiński carpet as in (1.1), then the peripheral circles of $S$ are precisely the boundaries $\partial D_k$ of the Jordan regions $D_k$.

Sierpiński carpets can arise as Julia sets of rational maps. The first example seems to be due to J. Milnor and Tan Lei (see [Mi1, Appendix]). In [B–S] (see also [DL]) it is shown that for the family

$$f_\lambda(z) = z^2 + \lambda/z^2,$$

in each neighborhood of 0 in the parameter plane, there are infinitely many parameters $\lambda_n$ such that the Julia sets $\mathcal{J}(f_{\lambda_n})$ are Sierpiński
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carpets on which the corresponding maps \( f_{\lambda_n} \) are not topologically conjugate.

1.1. **Statement of main results.** Sierpiński carpets have large groups of self-homeomorphisms. For example, it follows from results in [Wh] that if \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and we are given two \( n \)-tuples of distinct peripheral circles of a Sierpiński carpet \( S \), then there exists a homeomorphism on \( S \) that takes the peripheral circles of the first \( n \)-tuple to the corresponding peripheral circles of the other.

In contrast, strong rigidity statements are valid if we consider quasisymmetries of Sierpiński carpets. By a quasisymmetry of a compact set \( K \) we mean a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of \( K \) onto itself (see Section 2).

In the present paper, we prove quasisymmetric rigidity results for Sierpiński carpets that are Julia sets of postcritically-finite rational maps (see Section 3 for the definitions).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be a postcritically-finite rational map, and suppose the Julia set \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) of \( f \) is a Sierpiński carpet. If \( \xi \) is a quasisymmetry of \( \mathcal{J}(f) \), then \( \xi \) is the restriction to \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) of a Möbius transformation on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \).

Here a Möbius transformation is a fractional linear transformation on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) or a complex-conjugate of such a map; so a Möbius transformation can be orientation-reversing.

An example of a rational map as in the statement of Theorem 1.1 is \( f(z) = z^2 - 1/(16z^2) \); see Figure 1 for its Julia set.

![Figure 1. The Julia set of \( f(z) = z^2 - 1/(16z^2) \).](image)

The six critical points of this map are 0, \( \infty \), and \( \sqrt{-1}/2 \). The post-critical set consists of four points: 0, \( \infty \), and \( \sqrt{-1}/2 \). The point \( \infty \) is a
fixed point and forms the only periodic cycle containing a critical point; so $f$ is a hyperbolic postcritically-finite rational map. The group $G$ of Möbius transformations that leave $J(f)$ invariant contains the maps $\xi_1(z) = iz$, $\xi_2(z) = z$, and $\xi_3(z) = 1/(4z)$. It is likely that these maps actually generate $G$.

It was shown by Levin [Le1, Le2] (see also [LP]) that if $f$ is a hyperbolic rational map whose Julia set is not a circle, then the group of Möbius transformations that keep $J(f)$ invariant is finite.

In our context, we have the following corollary to Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** If $J(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet Julia set of a postcritically-finite rational map $f$, then the group of quasisymmetries of $J(f)$ is finite.

See the end of Section 8 for the proof. An immediate consequence is the following fact; see [Me4, Corollary 1.3] for a related result.

**Corollary 1.3.** No Sierpiński carpet Julia set of a postcritically-finite rational map is quasisymmetrically equivalent to the limit set of a Kleinian group.

Indeed, the Kleinian group acts on its limit set, and so limit set has an infinite group of quasisymmetries.

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of the following statement, which is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $f, g: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be postcritically-finite rational maps, and suppose the corresponding Julia sets $J(f)$ and $J(g)$ are Sierpiński carpets. If $\xi$ is a quasisymmetric homeomorphism of $J(f)$ onto $J(g)$, then $\xi$ is the restriction to $J(f)$ of a Möbius transformation on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Here and in Theorem 1.1 the map $\xi$ does not respect the dynamics a priori (in the proof of Theorem 1.4 we will actually obtain a relation of the form (1.2) below). In particular, we do not assume that $\xi$ conjugates $f$ and $g$. If $\xi$ conjugates the two rational maps, then the result is well known. It follows from the uniqueness part of Thurston’s characterization of postcritically-finite maps that can be extracted from [DH].

The statement of Theorem 1.4 is false if we drop the assumption that the maps are postcritically-finite. Indeed, each hyperbolic rational map $f_0$ is quasiconformally structurally stable near its Julia set, i.e., for any rational map $f$ sufficiently close to $f_0$, there exist (backward invariant) neighborhoods $U_0 \supset J(f_0)$ and $U \supset J(f)$ and a quasiconformal homeomorphism $h: U_0 \to U$ such that $h(f_0(z)) = f(h(z))$ for each $z \in f_0^{-1}(U_0)$ (see [MSS]). This conjugacy is quasisymmetric on
the Julia set. Moreover, \( f \) can be selected so that \( h \) is not a Möbius transformation (essentially due to the fact that a rational map of degree \( d \geq 2 \) depends on \( 2d+1 > 3 \) complex parameters, while a Möbius transformation depends only on 3). This discussion is applicable, e.g., to the previously mentioned hyperbolic rational map \( f_0(z) = z^2 - 1/(16z^2) \) whose Julia set is Sierpiński carpet.

1.2. Previous rigidity results for quasisymmetries. In [BKM] the authors considered quasisymmetric rigidity questions for Schottky sets, i.e., subsets of the \( n \)-dimensional sphere \( \mathbb{S}^n \) whose complements are collections of open balls with disjoint closures. A Schottky set \( S \) is said to be rigid if each quasisymmetric map of \( S \) onto any other Schottky set is the restriction to \( S \) of a Möbius transformation. The main result in dimension \( n = 2 \) is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** [BKM, Theorem 1.2] A Schottky set in \( \mathbb{S}^2 \) is rigid if and only if it has spherical measure zero.

In higher dimensions the “if” part of this statement is still true, but not the “only if” part.

A relative Schottky set in a region \( D \subseteq \mathbb{S}^n \) is a subset of \( D \) obtained by removing from \( D \) a collection of balls whose closures are contained in \( D \) and are pairwise disjoint.

**Theorem 1.6.** [BKM, Theorem 8.1] A quasisymmetric map from a locally porous relative Schottky set \( S \subseteq \mathbb{S}^n \) for \( n \geq 3 \) onto a relative Schottky set \( \tilde{S} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^n \) is the restriction to \( S \) of a Möbius transformation.

For the definition of a locally porous relative Schottky set see the end of Section 6 (where we define it only for \( n = 2 \), but the definition is essentially the same for \( n \geq 3 \)).

Note that Möbius transformations preserve the classes of Schottky and relative Schottky sets.

Theorem 1.6 is no longer true in dimension \( n = 2 \). However, the third author proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.7.** [Me2, Theorem 1.2] Suppose that \( S \) is a relative Schottky set of measure zero in a Jordan region \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C} \). Let \( f : S \to \tilde{S} \) be a locally quasisymmetric orientation-preserving homeomorphism from \( S \) onto a relative Schottky set \( \tilde{S} \) in a Jordan region \( \tilde{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \). Then \( f \) is conformal in \( S \) in the sense that

\[
f'(p) = \lim_{q \to p} \frac{f(q) - f(p)}{q - p}
\]

exists for every \( p \in S \) and is not equal to zero. Moreover, the map \( f \) is locally bi-Lipschitz and the derivative \( f' \) is continuous on \( S \).
We will call conformal maps $f$ as in this statement Schottky maps, see Section 6.

Another fractal space for which a quasisymmetric rigidity result has been established is the slit carpet $S_2$ obtained as follows. We start with a closed unit square $[0,1]^2$ in the plane and subdivide it into $2 \times 2$ equal subsquares in the obvious way. We then create a vertical slit from the middle of the common vertical side of the top two subsquares to the middle of the common vertical side of the bottom two subsquares. Finally, we repeat these procedures for all the subsquares and continue indefinitely. The metric on $S_2$ is the path metric induced from the plane.

**Theorem 1.8.** [Me1, Theorem 6.1] The group of quasisymmetries of $S_2$ is the group of isometries of $S_2$, that is, the finite dihedral group $D_2$ consisting of four elements and isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Finally, the first and the third authors of this paper proved the following rigidity result for the standard Sierpiński carpet.

**Theorem 1.9.** [BM, Theorem 1.1] Every quasisymmetry of the standard Sierpiński carpet $S_3$ is a Euclidean isometry.

For a class of standard square carpets a slightly weaker result is also known, namely that the group of quasisymmetries of such a carpet is finite dihedral [BM, Theorem 1.2].

1.3. Main techniques and an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.4. The methods used to prove the main result of this paper are different from those employed to establish Theorems 1.5–1.9.

The first key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is well known and basic in complex dynamics, namely the use of conformal elevators. Roughly speaking, this means that by using the dynamics of a given subhyperbolic rational map one can “blow up” a small disk centered in the Julia set to a definite size. We will need a careful analysis to control analytic and geometric properties of such blow-ups (see Section 4).

We will apply this to establish uniform geometric properties of the peripheral circles of Sierpiński carpets that arise as Julia sets of subhyperbolic rational maps.

**Theorem 1.10.** Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a subhyperbolic rational map whose Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet. Then the peripheral circles of the Sierpiński carpet $\mathcal{J}(f)$ are uniform quasicircles, they are uniformly relatively separated, and they occur on all locations and scales. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a porous set, and in particular, has measure zero.
See Sections 2 and 5 for an explanation of the terminology and for the proof.

The fact that the Julia sets of a large class of rational maps (including subhyperbolic maps with \( J(f) \neq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \)) have measure zero, and in fact are porous, is well known (see, for example, [Ly, Yi]).

An orientation-preserving quasisymmetric map \( \xi \) on a Julia set as in the previous theorem can be extended to a quasiconformal map on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) by the following fact.

**Theorem 1.11.** Suppose that \( \{ D_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) is a family of Jordan regions in \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) with pairwise disjoint closures, and let

\[
\xi : S = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}
\]

be an orientation-preserving quasisymmetric embedding. If the Jordan curves \( \partial D_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \) form a family of uniform quasicircles, then there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism \( F : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) whose restriction to \( S \) is equal to \( f \).

Here we say that the embedding \( \xi : S \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is orientation-preserving if \( \xi \) has an extension to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism on the whole sphere \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \). This does not depend on the embedding and is equivalent to the following statement: if we orient each Jordan curve \( \partial D_k \) of the set \( S \) as in the theorem so that \( S \) lies “to the left” of \( \partial D_k \) and if we equip \( \xi(\partial D_k) \) with the induced orientation, then \( \xi(S) \) lies to the left of \( \xi(\partial D_k) \).

Theorem 1.11 follows from [Bo, Proposition 5.1], where a stronger, quantitative version is formulated (note that in [Bo] a quasiconformal map is not necessarily orientation-preserving in contrast to our definition given in Section 2).

Now suppose that, as in Theorem 1.4, we have a given quasisymmetry \( \xi \) of the Julia set \( J(f) \) of a postcritically-finite rational map \( f \) onto the Julia set of another such map \( g \). By replacing \( g \) if necessary by its conjugate under complex conjugation, we may assume that \( \xi \) is orientation-preserving. Since every postcritically-finite map is subhyperbolic, we can apply Theorems 1.10 and 1.11 and extend \( \xi \) (non-uniquely) to a quasiconformal map on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \), also denoted by \( \xi \). We then use conformal elevators to produce a sequence \( \{ h_k \} \) of uniformly quasiregular maps defined in a fixed disk centered at a suitably chosen point in \( J(f) \). The map \( h_k \) is a local symmetry of \( J(f) \) and is given by

\[
h_k = \xi^{-1} \circ g^{m_k} \circ \xi \circ f^{-n_k}
\]
for appropriate choices of $n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and branches of $f^{-n_k}$. One can also write $h_k$ in the form $h_k = g_{\xi}^{m_k} \circ f^{-n_k}$, where $g_{\xi} = \xi^{-1} \circ g \circ \xi$. This has the advantage that the maps involved are defined on $\mathcal{J}(f)$. Standard compactness arguments imply that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ has a subsequence that converges locally uniformly to a non-constant quasiconformal map $h$ on a disk centered at a point in $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

Now one wants to show that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ stabilizes and so $h_k = h = h_{k+1}$ for large $k$. From this one can derive an equation of the form

$$g^{m'} \circ \xi = g^m \circ \xi \circ f^n$$

on $\mathcal{J}(f)$ for some integers $m, m', n \in \mathbb{N}$. This relates $\xi$ to the dynamics of $f$ and $g$.

When $\xi$ is a Möbius transformation, this approach goes back to Levin [Le1] (see also [Le2] and [LP]). In this case, the maps involved are analytic, which played a crucial role in establishing that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ stabilizes.

In our case, the maps are not analytic, so we need to invoke different techniques, namely rigidity results for Schottky maps on relative Schottky sets (see Section 6) established by the third author. Our situation can be reduced to the Schottky setting due to the following quasisymmetric uniformization result.

**Theorem 1.12.** [Bo, Corollary 1.2] Suppose that $T \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Sierpiński carpet whose peripheral circles are uniform quasicircles that are uniformly relatively separated. Then $T$ can be mapped to a round Sierpiński carpet $S \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ by a quasisymmetric homeomorphism $\beta: T \to S$.

Here we say that a Sierpiński carpet $S$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is *round* if all of its peripheral circles are geometric circles; in this case $S$ is a Schottky set. According to Theorem 1.11, we can again extend the map $\beta$ to a quasiconformal map on the sphere.

If we apply the previous theorem to $T = \mathcal{J}(f)$, then it follows from Theorem 1.7 that each map $h_k$ is conjugate by $\beta$ to a Schottky map defined in a fixed relatively open set $S \cap V \subseteq S$. This is a conformal map on $S \cap V$ in the sense of Theorem 1.7, with a continuous derivative.

After conjugation by $\beta$, we can write this map in the form $h_k = g_{\xi}^{m_k} \circ f^{-n_k}$ as well, where, by abuse of notation, we do not distinguish between the original maps and their conjugates by $\beta$. The following result implies that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ of these conjugate maps stabilizes.

**Theorem 1.13.** [Mc3, Theorem 5.2] Let $S$ be a locally porous relative Schottky set in a region $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $p \in S$, and let $U$ be an open
neighborhood of \( p \) such that \( S \cap U \) is connected. Suppose that there exists a Schottky map \( u: S \cap U \to S \) with \( u(p) = p \) and \( u'(p) \neq 1 \).

Let \( \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) be a sequence of Schottky maps \( h_k: S \cap U \to S \). If each map \( h_k \) is a homeomorphism onto its image and if the sequence \( \{h_k\} \) converges locally uniformly to a homeomorphism \( h \), then there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( h_k = h \) in \( S \cap U \) for all \( k \geq N \).

After some algebraic manipulations, the relation \( h_k = h_{k+1} \) for large enough \( k \) gives the equation

\[
g^m_{\xi'} = g^m_{\xi} \circ f^n
\]

in a relatively open set \( S \cap U \), for some integers \( m, m', n \in \mathbb{N} \). The following result promotes the validity of this equation to the whole set \( S \).

**Theorem 1.14.** [Me3, Corollary 4.2] Let \( S \) be a locally porous relative Schottky set in \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C} \), and let \( U \subset D \) be an open set such that \( S \cap U \) is connected. Let \( u, v: S \cap U \to S \) be Schottky maps, and \( E = \{p \in S \cap U: u(p) = v(p)\} \). If \( E \) has an accumulation point in \( U \), then \( E = S \cap U \) and so \( u = v \).

If we conjugate back by \( \beta^{-1} \) to the original maps, then we can conclude that (1.3), and hence (1.2), is valid on the whole Julia set \( J(f) \).

In the final part of the argument, we analyze the functional equation (1.2) on \( J(f) \). Lemma 7.1 in combination with auxiliary results established in Section 3 allow us to conclude that \( \xi \) has a conformal extension into each periodic component of the Fatou set of \( f \). Using the description of the dynamics on the Fatou set in the postcritically-finite case (Lemma 3.3), we can actually produce a conformal extension of \( \xi \) into every Fatou component of \( f \) by a lifting procedure (see Lemma 3.4). These extensions piece together a global quasiconformal map, again denoted by \( \xi \), that is conformal on the Fatou set of \( f \). Since the Julia set \( J(f) \) has measure zero, it follows that \( \xi \) is 1-quasiconformal and hence a Möbius transformation (see Lemma 2.1).
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### 2. Quasiconformal Maps and Related Concepts

Throughout the paper we assume that the reader is familiar with basic notions and facts from the theory of quasiconformal maps and complex dynamics. We will review some relevant definitions and statements related to these topics in this and the following sections.
We will almost exclusively deal with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the Euclidean metric (the distance between $z$ and $w$ denoted by $|z - w|$), or the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ equipped with the chordal metric $\sigma$. We denote by $D = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < 1\}$ the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. By default a set $M \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ carries (the restriction of) the chordal metric $\sigma$, but we will usually specify the relevant metric in a given context.

With an underlying space $X$ and a metric on $X$ understood, we denote by $B(p,r)$ the open ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at $p \in X$, by $\text{diam}(M)$ the diameter, and by $\text{dist}(M, N)$ the distance of sets $M, N \subseteq X$. The cardinality of set $M$ is $\# M \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, and $\text{id}_M$ the identity map on $M$. If $f: X \to Y$ is a map between sets $X$ and $Y$ and $A \subseteq X$, then we denote by $f|_A: A \to Y$ the restriction of $f$ to $A$.

As usual, we call a set $D \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a region if it is open and connected. A region $D \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Jordan region if its boundary $\partial D$ is a Jordan curve, i.e., if $\partial D$ is homeomorphic to the unit circle $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

We will now discuss quasiconformal and related maps. For general background on this topic we refer to [Ri, AIM, Vä, He].

A non-constant continuous map $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ on a region $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is called quasiregular if $f$ is in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}$ and if there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that the (formal) Jacobi matrix $Df$ satisfies

$$
||Df(z)||^2 \leq K \det(Df(z))
$$

for almost every $z \in U$. The condition $f \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}$ means that the first distributional partial derivatives of $f$ are locally in the Lebesgue space $L^2$. This definition requires only local coordinates and hence the notion of a quasiregularity can be extended to maps $f: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ on regions $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. If $f$ is a homeomorphism onto its image in addition, then $f$ is called a quasiconformal map. The map $f$ is called locally quasiconformal if for every point $p \in U$ there exists a region $V$ with $p \in V \subseteq U$ such that $f|_V$ is quasiconformal. Note that a quasiconformal map is orientation-preserving, because by (2.1) its Jacobian is non-negative.

Each quasiregular map $f: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a branched covering map. This means that $f$ is an open map and the preimage $f^{-1}(q)$ of each point $q \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a discrete subset of $U$. A point $p \in U$, near which the quasiregular map $f$ is not a local homeomorphism, is called a critical point of $f$. These points are isolated in $U$, and accordingly, the set $\text{crit}(f)$ of all critical points of $f$ is a discrete and relatively closed subset of $U$. One can easily derive these statements from the fact that every quasiregular map $f: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ on a region $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ can be represented locally in the form $f = g \circ \varphi$, where $g$ is a holomorphic map and $\varphi$ is quasiconformal [AIM, p. 180, Corollary 5.5.3].
Let \((X, d_X)\) and \((Y, d_Y)\) be metric spaces and let \(f : X \to Y\) be a homeomorphism. The map \(f\) is called \textit{quasisymmetric} if there exists a homeomorphism \(\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)\) such that

\[
\frac{d_Y(f(u), f(v))}{d_Y(f(u), f(w))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d_X(u, v)}{d_X(u, w)}\right),
\]

for every triple of distinct points \(u, v, w \in X\).

Suppose \(U\) and \(V\) are subregions of \(\hat{\mathbb{C}}\). Then every orientation-preserving quasisymmetric homeomorphism \(f : U \to V\) is quasiconformal. Conversely, every quasiconformal homeomorphism \(f : U \to V\) is \textit{locally quasisymmetric}, i.e., for every compact set \(M \subseteq U\), the restriction \(f|_M : M \to f(M)\) is a quasisymmetry (see [AIM, p. 58, Theorem 3.4.1 and p. 71, Theorem 3.6.2]).

Often it is important to keep track of the quantitative information that appears in the definition of quasiregular and quasiconformal maps as in (2.1) or quasisymmetric maps as in (2.2). Then we speak of \(K\)-quasiregular and \(K\)-quasiconformal maps, or an \(\eta\)-quasisymmetry, etc. If we have a family of such maps with the same parameter, then we say that the family is \textit{uniform}. For example, a family of maps is uniformly quasiconformal if there exists \(K \geq 1\) such that each map in the family is \(K\)-quasiconformal.

A Jordan curve \(J \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}\) is called a \textit{quasicircle} if there exists a quasisymmetry \(f : \partial \mathbb{D} \to J\). This is equivalent to the requirement that there exists a constant \(L \geq 1\) such that

\[
\text{diam}(\alpha) \leq L\sigma(u, v),
\]

whenever \(u, v \in \mathbb{N}, u \neq v\), and \(\alpha\) is the smaller subarc of \(J\) with endpoints \(u\) and \(v\).

If \(\{J_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\) is a family of quasicircles, then we say that it consists of \textit{uniform quasicircles} if condition (2.3) is true for some constant \(L \geq 1\) independent of \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). The family \(\{J_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\) is said to be \textit{uniformly relatively separated} if there exists a constant \(c > 0\) such that

\[
\frac{\text{dist}(J_k, J_l)}{\min\{\text{diam}(J_k), \text{diam}(J_l)\}} \geq c
\]

for all \(k, l \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq l\).

If \(D\) and \(D'\) are Jordan regions in \(\hat{\mathbb{C}}\), then there exists a conformal homeomorphism \(\varphi : D \to D'\). Each conformal homeomorphism \(\varphi : D \to D'\) extends uniquely to a homeomorphism between the closures \(\overline{D}\) and \(\overline{D'}\). We call a map \(\psi : \overline{D} \to \overline{D'}\) between the closures of two Jordan regions \(D, D' \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}\) a \textit{conformal homeomorphism} if \(\psi\) is a homeomorphism of \(\overline{D}\) onto \(\overline{D'}\) and a conformal map of \(D\) onto \(D'\). The
conformal homeomorphism ψ is uniquely determined by the images of three distinct points in ∂D. For these classical facts that we will use throughout see [Po, p. 24, Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7].

We conclude this section with an extension result that is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $S \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a Sierpiński carpet written in the form $S = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k$ with pairwise disjoint Jordan regions $D_k \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, and suppose that the peripheral circles $\partial D_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, of $S$ are uniform quasicircles. Let $\xi : S \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be an orientation-preserving quasisymmetric embedding of $S$ and suppose that each restriction $\xi_{|\partial D_k} : \partial D_k \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, extends to an embedding $\xi_k : D_k \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that is conformal on $D_k$. Then $\xi$ has a unique quasiconformal extension $\tilde{\xi} : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that is conformal on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$.

Moreover, if $S$ has measure zero, then $\tilde{\xi}$ is a Möbius transformation.

**Proof.** The proof relies on the rather subtle, but well-known relation between quasiconformal, quasisymmetric, and quasi-Möbius maps (for the definition of the latter class and related facts see [Bo, Section 3]). In the proof we will omit some details that can easily be extracted from the considerations in [Bo, Section 5].

Under the given assumption the image $S' = \xi(S)$ is also a Sierpiński carpet that we can represent in the form $S' = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D'_k$ with pairwise disjoint Jordan regions $D'_k$. Since $\xi$ maps the peripheral circles of $S$ to the peripheral circles of $S'$, we can choose the labeling so that $\xi(\partial D_k) = \partial D'_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each embedding $\xi_k : \overline{D}_k \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ as in the statement of the lemma, we necessarily have $\xi_k(\overline{D}_k) = \overline{D}_k$, because $\xi_k(\partial D_k) = \xi(\partial D_k) = \partial D'_k$ and $\xi$ is orientation-preserving. Moreover, $\xi_k$ is uniquely determined by $\xi_{|\partial D_k}$.

Our original map $\xi$ and the unique maps $\xi_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, piece together to a homeomorphism $\tilde{\xi} : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that is conformal on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$. Moreover, $\tilde{\xi}$ is the unique homeomorphic extension of $\xi$ with this conformality property.

The Jordan curves $\partial D_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, form a family of uniform quasicircles, and hence also their images $\partial D'_k = \xi(\partial D_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, under the quasisymmetry $\xi$. This implies that Jordan regions $D_k$ and $D'_k$ are uniform quasidisks. More precisely, there exist $K$-quasiconformal homeomorphisms $\alpha_k$ and $\alpha'_k$ on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\alpha_k(\overline{D}_k) = \overline{D}$ and $\alpha'_k(\overline{D}_k) = \overline{D}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $K \geq 1$ is independent of $k$. Then the maps $\alpha_k$ and $\alpha'_k$ are uniformly quasi-Möbius (see [Bo, Proposition 3.1 (i)]). Moreover, the homeomorphisms $\alpha'_k \circ \xi_k \circ \alpha_k^{-1} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D}$ are uniformly quasiconformal on $\overline{D}$, and hence also uniformly quasi-Möbius on $\overline{D}$ (the last implication
is essentially well-known; one can reduce to [Bo, Proposition 3.1 (i)]
by Schwarz reflection in $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and use the fact that $\partial \mathbb{D}$ is removable for
quasiconformal maps [Vä, Section 35]). It follows that the maps $\xi_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are uniformly quasi-Möbius.

Now the maps $\xi_k|_{\partial D_k} = \xi|_{\partial D_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are actually uniformly quasiisometric, because $\xi$ is a quasiisometric embedding. This implies that the family $\xi_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is also uniformly quasiisometric (this can be seen as in the proof of [Bo, Proposition 5.3 (ii)]). Since $\xi = \hat{\xi}|_{S}$ is quasiisometric and the maps $\xi_k = \hat{\xi}|_{\mathcal{D}_k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are uniformly quasiisometric, the homeomorphism $\xi$ is quasiconformal (this is shown as in the last part of the proof of [Bo, Proposition 5.1]).

If $S$ has measure zero, then $\tilde{\xi}$ is a quasiconformal map that is conformal on the set $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$ of full measure in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence $\xi$ is 1-quasiconformal on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, which, as is well-known, implies that $\xi$ is a Möbius transformation (one can, for example, derive this from the uniqueness part of Stoiow’s factorization theorem [AIM, p. 179, Theorem 5.5.1]).

\[\square\]

3. Fatou components of postcritically-finite maps

In this section we record some facts related to complex dynamics. For basic definitions and general background we refer to standard sources such as [Be2, CG, Mi2, St].

Let $f$ be a rational map on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. We use the notation $\deg(f)$ for its degree and will always assume that $\deg(f) \geq 2$. We denote by $f^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the $n$th-iterate of $f$ (with the convention $f^0 = \text{id}_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}}$), by $\mathcal{J}(f)$ its Julia set and by $\mathcal{F}(f)$ its Fatou set. Then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = f(\mathcal{J}(f)) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(f)) = \mathcal{J}(f^n),$$

and we have similar relations for the Fatou set. We will use these standard facts throughout.

A continuous map $f: U \to V$ between two regions $U, V \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called proper if for every compact set $K \subseteq V$ the set $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq U$ is also compact. If $f$ is a rational map on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then its restriction $f|_U$ is a proper map $f|_U: U \to V$ if and only if $f(U) \subseteq V$ and $f(\partial U) \subseteq \partial V$.

If $f$ is a rational map, it is a proper map between its Fatou components; more precisely, if $U$ is a Fatou component of $f$, then $V = f(U)$ is also a Fatou component of $f$, and the restriction $f|_U$ is a proper map of $U$ onto $V$. In particular, the boundary of each Fatou component is mapped onto the boundary of another Fatou component. Similarly, we can always write

$$f^{-1}(U) = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_m,$$
where $U_1, \ldots, U_m$ are Fatou components of $f$ that are mapped properly onto $U$.

If $f: U \to V$ is a proper holomorphic map, possibly defined on a larger set than $U$, then the topological degree $\deg(f, U) \in \mathbb{N}$ of $f$ on $U$ is well-defined as the unique number such that

$$\deg(f, U) = \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(q) \cap U} \deg_f(p)$$

for all $q \in V$, where $\deg_f(p)$ is the local degree of $f$ at $p$.

Suppose that $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is finitely-connected, i.e., $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U$ has only finitely many connected components, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be the number of components of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U$. We call $\chi(U) = 2 - k$ the Euler characteristic of $U$ (see [Be2, Section 5.3] for a related discussion). The quantity $\chi(U)$ is invariant under homeomorphisms and can be obtained as a limit of Euler characteristics of polygons (defined in the usual way as for simplicial complexes) forming a suitable exhaustion of $U$. We have $\chi(U) = 2$ if and only if $U = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. So $\chi(U) \leq 1$ for finitely-connected proper subregions $U$ of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\chi(U) = 1$ if and only if $U$ is simply connected.

If $U$ and $V$ are finitely connected regions, and $f: U \to V$ is a proper holomorphic map, then a version of the Riemann-Hurwitz relation (see [Be2, Section 5.4] and [St, Chapter 1, Section 6]) says that

$$\deg(f, U) \chi(V) = \chi(U) + \sum_{p \in U} (\deg_f(p) - 1).$$

Part of this statement is that the sum on the right-hand side of this identity is defined as it has only finitely many non-vanishing terms.

The Riemann-Hurwitz formula is valid in a limiting sense for regions that are infinitely connected, i.e., not finitely-connected. In this case, the relation simply says that if $U, V \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are regions and $f: U \to V$ is a proper holomorphic map, then $U$ is infinitely connected if and only if $V$ is infinitely connected.

If $f$ is a rational map on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, then a point is called a postcritical point of $f$ if it is the image of a critical point of $f$ under some iterate of $f$. If we denote the set of these points by $\text{post}(f)$, then we have

$$\text{post}(f) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(\text{crit}(f)).$$

The map $f$ is called postcritically-finite if every critical point has a finite orbit under iteration of $f$. This is equivalent to the requirement that $\text{post}(f)$ is a finite set. Note that $\text{post}(f) = \text{post}(f^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. 
We denote by \( \text{post}^c(f) \subseteq \text{post}(f) \) the set of points that lie in cycles of periodic critical points; so

\[
\text{post}^c(f) = \{ f^n(c) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } c \text{ is a periodic critical point of } f \}.
\]

If \( f \) is postcritically-finite, then \( f \) can only have one possible type of periodic Fatou components: namely, every periodic Fatou component \( U \) is a Böttcher domain for some iterate of \( f \). This means that there exists an iterate \( f^n \) and a superattracting fixed point \( p \) of \( f^n \) such that \( p \in U \). (For the general classification of periodic Fatou components and their relation to critical points see [Be2, Sections 7.1 and 9.1]. See [Pi, TY] for a refined and more direct analysis in the postcritically-finite case.)

The following Lemmas 3.1–3.3 are essentially well-known and are used for describing the dynamics of a postcritically-finite rational map on its Fatou components (for related considerations see [Pi, Section 2], for example). For the sake of completeness we included detailed proofs. They can be safely skipped by the expert.

In the following we will use the notation \( P_k \) for the \( k \)-th power map given by \( P_k(z) = z^k \) for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \), where \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Lemma 3.1** (Dynamics on fixed Fatou components). Suppose \( f \) is a postcritically-finite rational map, and \( U \) a Fatou component of \( f \) with \( f(U) = U \). Then \( U \) is simply connected, and contains precisely one critical point \( p \) of \( f \). We have \( f(p) = p \) and \( U \cap \text{post}(f) = \{ p \} \), and there exists a conformal map \( \psi : U \to \mathbb{D} \) with \( \psi(p) = 0 \) such that \( \psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1} = P_k \), where \( k = \deg_f(p) \geq 2 \).

So \( p \) is a superattracting fixed point of \( f \), \( U \) is the corresponding Böttcher domain of \( p \), and on \( U \) the map \( f \) is conjugate to a power map. Note that in general the map \( \psi \) is not uniquely determined due to a rotational ambiguity; namely, one can replace \( \psi \) with \( a \psi \), where \( a^{k-1} = 1 \).

**Proof.** As the statement is fairly standard, we will only give a sketch of the proof (see [Mi2, p. 93] for a similar argument).

By the classification of fixed Fatou components it is clear that \( U \) contains a superattracting fixed point \( p \). Then \( f(p) = p \) and \( p \) is a critical point of \( f \). Let \( k = \deg_f(p) \geq 2 \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( p = 0 \), and \( \infty \not\in U \). Then there exists a holomorphic function \( \varphi \) (the Böttcher function) defined in a neighborhood of \( 0 \) with \( \varphi(0) = 0 = p \), \( \varphi'(0) \neq 0 \), and

\[
(3.2) \quad f(\varphi(z)) = \varphi(z^k)
\]

for \( z \) near \( 0 \) (see [Mi2, Section 9] or [St, Chapter 3, Section 3]).
Since the maps \( f^n, n \in \mathbb{N} \), form a normal family on \( U \) and \( f^n(z) \to p \) for \( z \) near \( p \), we have
\[
(3.3) \quad f^n(z) \to p = 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ locally uniformly for } z \in U.
\]

Let \( r \in (0, 1] \) be the maximal radius such that \( \varphi \) has a holomorphic extension to the Euclidean disk \( B = B(0, r) \). Then (3.2) remains valid on \( B \). We claim that \( r = 1 \), and so \( B = \mathbb{D} \); otherwise, \( 0 < r < 1 \), and by using (3.2) and the fact that \( f : U \to U \) is proper, one can show that \( \overline{\varphi(B)} \subseteq U \). The equation (3.2) implies that every point \( q \in \varphi(B) \setminus \{p\} \) has an infinite orbit under iteration of \( f \); by the local uniformity of the convergence in (3.3) this remains true for \( q \in \overline{\varphi(B)} \setminus \{p\} \). Since \( f \) is postcritically-finite, this implies that no point in \( \overline{\varphi(B)} \setminus \{p\} \) can be a critical point of \( f \); but then (3.2) allows us to holomorphically extend \( \varphi \) to a disk \( B(0, r') \) with \( r' > r \). This is a contradiction showing that indeed \( r = 1 \) and \( B = \mathbb{D} \).

As before by using (3.2), one sees that \( \varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq U \). Actually, one also observes that for points \( q = \varphi(z) \) with \( z \in \mathbb{D} \) closer and closer to \( \partial \mathbb{D} \), the convergence \( f^n(q) \to p \) is at a slower and slower rate. By (3.3) this is only possible if \( \varphi(z) \) is close to \( \partial U \) if \( z \in \mathbb{D} \) is close to \( \partial \mathbb{D} \); in other words, \( \varphi \) is a proper map of \( \mathbb{D} \) to \( U \) and in particular \( \varphi(\mathbb{D}) = U \).

It follows from (3.2) that \( \varphi \) cannot have any critical points in \( \mathbb{D} \) (to see this, one argues by contradiction and considers a critical point \( c \in \mathbb{D} \) of \( \varphi \) with smallest absolute value \( |c| \)). The Riemann-Hurwitz formula (3.1) then implies that \( \chi(U) = 1 \) and \( \deg(\varphi) = 1 \). In particular, \( U \) is simply connected and \( \varphi \) is a conformal map of \( \mathbb{D} \) onto \( U \). For the conformal map \( \psi = \varphi^{-1} \) from \( U \) onto \( \mathbb{D} \) we then have \( \psi(p) = 0 \) and we get the desired relation \( \psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1} = P_k \). This relation (or again (3.2)) implies that the fixed point \( p \) is the only critical point of \( f \) in \( U \) and that each point \( q \in U \setminus \{p\} \) has an infinite orbit; so \( p \) is the only postcritical point of \( f \) in \( U \).

The following lemma gives us control for the mapping behavior of iterates of a rational map onto regions containing at most one postcritical point.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be a rational map, \( n \in \mathbb{N}, U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be a simply connected region with \( \#(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U) \geq 2 \) and \( \#(U \cap \text{post}(f)) \leq 1 \), and \( V \) be a component of \( f^{-n}(U) \). Let \( p \in U \) be the unique point in \( U \cap \text{post}(f) \) if \( \#(U \cap \text{post}(f)) = 1 \) and \( p \in U \) be arbitrary if \( U \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset \), and let \( \psi_U : U \to \mathbb{D} \) be a conformal map with \( \psi_U(p) = 0 \).

Then \( V \) is simply connected, the map \( f^n : V \to U \) is proper, and there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and a conformal map \( \psi_V : V \to \mathbb{D} \) with \( \psi_V \circ f^n = P_k \circ \psi_V \).
Here $k = 1$ if $U \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset$. Moreover, if $U \cap \text{post}^c(f) = \emptyset$ then $k \leq N$, where $N = N(f) \in \mathbb{N}$ is a constant only depending on $f$.

In particular, for given $f$ the number $k$ is uniformly bounded by a constant $N$ independently of $n$ and $U$ if $U \cap \text{post}^c(f) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Under the given assumptions, $V$ is a region, and the map $g := f^n|_V : V \to U$ is proper.

Since $U \cap \text{post}(f) \subseteq \{p\}$, the point $p$ is the only possible critical value of $g$. It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (3.1) that
\[
\chi(V) = \deg(g, V)\chi(U) - \sum_{z \in V} (\deg_g(z) - 1) = \deg(g, V) - (\deg(g, V) - \#g^{-1}(p)) = \#g^{-1}(p).
\]

As $\chi(V) \leq 1$, this is only possible if $\chi(V) = 1$ and $\#g^{-1}(p) = 1$; so $V$ is simply connected and $p$ has precisely one preimage $q$ in $V$ which is the only possible critical point of $g$. Obviously, $\#\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus V \geq 2$, and so there exists a conformal map $\psi_V : V \to \mathbb{D}$ with $\psi_V(q) = 0$. Then $(\psi_U \circ f^n \circ \psi_V^{-1})$ is a proper holomorphic map from $\mathbb{D}$ to itself and hence a finite Blaschke product $B$. Moreover, $B^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, and so we can replace $\psi_V$ by a postcomposition with a suitable rotation around 0 so that $B(z) = z^k$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $k = \deg(g) \in \mathbb{N}$. If $U \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset$, then $q$ cannot be a critical point of $g$, and so $k = 1$.

It remains to produce a uniform upper bound for $k$ if we assume in addition that $U \cap \text{post}^c(f) = \emptyset$. Then in the list $q, f(q), \ldots, f^{n-1}(q)$ each critical point of $f$ can appear at most once; indeed, otherwise the list contains a periodic critical point which implies that $p = f^n(q) \in U \cap \text{post}^c(f)$, contradicting our additional hypothesis.

We conclude that
\[
k = \deg_{f^n}(q) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \deg_f(f^i(q)) \leq N = N(f) := \prod_{c \in \text{crit}(f)} \deg_f(c),
\]
which gives the desired uniform upper bound for $k$. \hfill $\square$

The next lemma describes the dynamics of a postcritically-finite rational map on arbitrary Fatou components.

**Lemma 3.3 (Dynamics on the Fatou components).** Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a postcritically-finite rational map, and $\mathcal{C}$ be the collection of all Fatou components of $f$. Then there exists a family $\{\psi_U : U \to \mathbb{D} : U \in \mathcal{C}\}$ of conformal maps with the following property: if $U$ and $V$ are Fatou components of $f$ with $f(V) = U$, then

\[
(3.4) \quad \psi_U \circ f = P_k \circ \psi_V,
\]
on $V$ for some $k = k(U, V) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, for each $U \in \mathcal{C}$ the point $p_U := \psi_U^{-1}(0)$ is the unique point in $U \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} f^{-n}(\text{post}(f))$.

In contrast to the points $p_U$ the maps $\psi_U$ are not uniquely determined in general due to a certain rotational freedom. As we will see in the proof of the lemma, $p_U$ can also be characterized as the unique point in $U \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} f^{-n}(\text{post}(f))$.

In the following, we will choose $p_U$ as a basepoint in the Fatou component $U$. If we take $0$ as a basepoint in $D$, then in the previous lemma we get the following commutative diagram of basepoint-preserving maps between pointed regions (i.e., regions with a distinguished basepoint):

$$(V, p_V) \xrightarrow{\psi_V} (\mathbb{D}, 0)$$

$$f \downarrow \quad \downarrow p_k$$

$$(U, p_U) \xrightarrow{\psi_U} (\mathbb{D}, 0)$$

Note that this implies in particular that $(f|_V)^{-1}(p_U) = \{p_V\}$ and that $f: V \setminus \{p_V\} \to U \setminus \{p_U\}$ is a covering map.

**Proof.** We first construct the desired maps $\psi_U$ for the periodic Fatou components $U$ of $f$. So fix a periodic Fatou component $U$ of $f$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the period of $U$, i.e., if we define $U_0 := U$ and $U_{k+1} = f(U_k)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$, then the Fatou components $U_0, \ldots, U_{n-1}$ are all distinct, and $U_n = f^n(U) = U$.

By Lemma 3.1 applied to the map $f^n$, for each $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ the Fatou component $U_k$ is simply connected and there exists a unique point $p_k \in U_k$ that lies in $\text{post}(f) = \text{post}(f^n)$. Moreover, there exists a conformal map $\psi_0: U_0 \to \mathbb{D}$ with $\psi_0(p_0) = 0$ such that $\psi_0 \circ f^n = P_d \circ \psi_0$ for suitable $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\psi_1: U_1 \to \mathbb{D}$ be a conformal map with $\psi_1(p_1) = 0$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we know that $B = \psi_1 \circ f \circ \psi_0^{-1}$ is a finite Blaschke product $B$ with $B^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and so $B(z) = az^{d_1}$ for suitable constants $d_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|a| = 1$. By adjusting $\psi_1$ by a suitable rotation factor if necessary, we may assume that $a = 1$. Then $\psi_1 \circ f = P_{d_1} \circ \psi_0$ on $U_0$. If we repeat this argument, then we get conformal maps $\psi_k: U_k \to \mathbb{D}$ with $\psi_k(p_k) = 0$ and

$$\psi_k \circ f = P_{d_k} \circ \psi_{k-1}$$

on $U_{k-1}$ with suitable $d_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that

$$\psi_n \circ f^n = P_{d_n} \circ \psi_{n-1} \circ f^{n-1} = \cdots = P_{d_n} \circ \cdots \circ P_{d_1} \circ \psi_0 = P_{d'} \circ \psi_0$$
on $U_0$, where $d' \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $\psi_0 \circ f^n = P_d \circ \psi_0$ by definition of $\psi_0$. Hence $d = \deg f_n(p_0) = d'$, and so $\psi_n \circ f^n = \psi_0 \circ f^n$ on $U_0$ which implies $\psi_n = \psi_0$. If we now define $\psi_{U_k} := \psi_k$ for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$, then by (3.5) the desired relation (3.4) holds for each suitable pair of Fatou components from the cycle $U_0, \ldots, U_{n-1}$. We also choose $p_{U_k} = p_k \in U_k$ as a basepoint in $U_k$ for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$. We know that $p_k$ is the unique point in $U_k$ that lies in post$(f)$. Since $f$ is postcritically-finite, each point in $P := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} f^{-n}$(post$(f)$) has a finite orbit under iteration of $f$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that each point $p \in U_k \setminus \{p_{U_k}\}$ has an infinite orbit and therefore cannot lie in $P$. Hence $p_{U_k}$ is the unique point in $U_k$ that lies in $P$.

We repeat this argument for the other finitely many periodic Fatou components $U$ to obtain suitable conformal maps $\psi_U : U \to \mathbb{D}$ and unique basepoints $p_U = \psi_U^{-1}(0) \in U \cap P$.

If $V$ is a non-periodic Fatou component, then it is mapped to a periodic Fatou component by a sufficiently high iterate of $f$ (this is Sullivan’s theorem on the non-existence of wandering domains; see [Be2, p. 176, Theorem 8.1.2]). We call the smallest number $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $f^k(V)$ is a periodic Fatou component the level of $V$.

Suppose $V$ is an arbitrary Fatou component of level 1. Then $U = f(V)$ is periodic, and so $\psi_U$ and $p_U$ are already defined and we know that $\{p_U\} = \text{post}(f) \cap U$. Hence by Lemma 3.2 there exists a conformal map $\psi_V : V \to U$ such that (3.4) is valid. If $p_V := \psi_V^{-1}(0)$, then $f(p_V) = p_U \in \text{post}(f)$, and so $p_V \in V \cap P$. Moreover, (3.4) shows that $f(V \setminus \{p_V\}) = U \setminus \{p_U\}$ which implies that each point in $V \setminus \{p_V\}$ has an infinite orbit and cannot lie in $P$. It follows that $p_V$ is the unique point in $V$ that lies in $P$.

We repeat this argument for Fatou components of higher and higher level. Note that if for a Fatou component $U$ a conformal map $\psi_U : U \to \mathbb{D}$ has already been constructed and we know that $p_U := \psi_U^{-1}(0)$ is the unique point in $U \cap P$, then $U \cap \text{post}(f) \subseteq \{p_U\}$ and we can again apply Lemma 3.2 for a Fatou component $V$ with $f(V) = U$.

In this way we obtain conformal maps $\psi_U$ as desired for all Fatou components $U$. The point $p_U = \psi_U^{-1}(0)$ is the unique point in $U$ that lies in $P$, because $f^k(p_U) \in \text{post}(f)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all other points in $U$ have an infinite orbit. \[ \square \]

We conclude this section with a lemma that is required in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

**Lemma 3.4** (Lifting lemma). Let $f : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a postcritically-finite rational map, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(U, p_U)$ and $(V, p_V)$ be pointed Fatou components of $f$ that are Jordan regions with $f^n(U) = V$. Suppose $D \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$
is another Jordan region with a basepoint $p_D \in D$, and suppose that
\( \alpha : D \to \overline{V} \) is a map with the following properties:

(i) \( \alpha \) is continuous on \( \overline{D} \) and holomorphic on \( D \),
(ii) \( \alpha^{-1}(p_V) = \{p_D\} \),
(iii) there exists a continuous map \( \beta : \partial D \to \partial U \) with \( f^n \circ \alpha = \alpha \mid_{\partial D} \).

Then there exists a unique continuous map \( \tilde{\alpha} : \overline{D} \to \overline{U} \) with \( f^n \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \) and \( \tilde{\alpha} \mid_{\partial D} = \beta \). Moreover, \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is holomorphic on \( D \) and satisfies \( \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(p_U) = \{p_D\} \).

If, in addition, \( \beta \) is a homeomorphism of \( \partial D \) onto \( \partial U \), then \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is a conformal homeomorphism of \( D \) onto \( U \).

Note that in the previous lemma we necessarily have \( f^n(\overline{U}) = \overline{V} \), \( \alpha(p_D) = p_V = f^n(p_V) \), and \( \alpha(\partial D) \subseteq \partial V \) by (iii). In the conclusion of the lemma we obtain a lift \( \tilde{\alpha} \) of the given map \( \alpha \) under the branched covering map \( f^n \) so that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \overline{U} \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow f^n \\
\overline{D} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & \overline{V}
\end{array}
\]

commutes. By Lemma 3.3 the map \( f^n \) is actually an unbranched covering map from \( \overline{U} \setminus \{p_U\} \) onto \( \overline{V} \setminus \{p_V\} \). The lemma asserts that the existence and uniqueness of a lift \( \tilde{\alpha} \) is guaranteed if the boundary map \( \alpha \mid_{\partial D} \) has a lift (namely \( \beta \)), and if we have some compatibility condition for branch points (given by condition (ii)).

**Proof.** The lemma easily follows from some basic theory for covering maps and lifts (see [Ha] for general background), so we will only sketch the argument and leave some straightforward details to the reader.

By Lemma 3.2 we can change \( \overline{U} \) and \( \overline{V} \) by conformal homeomorphisms so that we can assume \( \overline{U} = \overline{V} = \overline{D} \), \( p_U = 0 = p_V \), and \( f^n = P_k \) for suitable \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) without loss of generality. By classical conformal mapping theory we may also assume that \( D = \mathbb{D} \) and \( p_D = 0 \). Then condition (ii) translates to \( \alpha(0) = 0 \) and \( \alpha(z) \neq 0 \) for \( z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \).

We use this to define a homotopy of the boundary map \( \alpha \mid_{\partial D} \) into the base space \( \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \) of the covering map \( P_k : \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \). Namely, let \( H : \partial \mathbb{D} \times (0, 1] \to \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \) be defined as \( H(\zeta, t) := \alpha(t\zeta) \) for \( \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} \) and \( t \in (0, 1] \). It is convenient to think of \( H \) as a homotopy running backwards in time \( t \in (0, 1] \) starting at \( t = 1 \). Note that \( P_k \circ \beta = \alpha \mid_{\partial D} = H(\cdot, 1) \). So for the initial time \( t = 1 \) the homotopy has the lift \( \beta \) under the covering map \( P_k : \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \). By the homotopy lifting theorem [Ha, p. 60, Proposition 1.30], the whole
homotopy $H$ has a unique lift starting at $\beta$, i.e., there exists a unique continuous map $\tilde{H} : \partial \mathbb{D} \times (0,1) \to \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P_k \circ \tilde{H} = H$ and $\tilde{H}(\cdot, 1) = \beta$. Now we define $\tilde{\alpha}(z) = \tilde{H}(z/|z|, |z|)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{0\}$, where it satisfies $P_k \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. Since $\alpha(0) = 0$, this last equation implies that we get a continuous extension of $\tilde{\alpha}$ to $\mathbb{D}$ by setting $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = 0$. This extension is a lift $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$. Note that $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}|_{\partial \mathbb{D}} = \tilde{H}(\cdot, 1) = \beta$. Moreover, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, because it is a continuous branch of the $k$-th root of the holomorphic function $\alpha$ on $\mathbb{D}$. This shows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ has the desired properties. The uniqueness of $\tilde{\alpha}$ easily follows from the uniqueness of $\tilde{H}$.

We have $\beta = \tilde{\alpha}|_{\partial \mathbb{D}}$; so if $\beta$ is a homeomorphism, then the argument principle implies that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a conformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$.

\section{The conformal elevator for subhyperbolic maps}

A rational map $f$ is called \textit{subhyperbolic} if each critical point of $f$ in $\mathcal{J}(f)$ has a finite orbit while each critical point in $\mathcal{F}(f)$ has an orbit that converges to an attracting or superattracting cycle of $f$. The map $f$ is called \textit{hyperbolic} if it is subhyperbolic and $f$ does not have critical points in $\mathcal{J}(f)$. Note that every postcritically-finite rational map is subhyperbolic.

For the rest of this section, we will assume that $f$ is a subhyperbolic rational map with $\mathcal{J}(f) \neq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, we will make the following additional assumption:

\begin{equation}
(4.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}.
\end{equation}

Here and in what follows, if $B$ is a disk, we denote by $\frac{1}{2}B$ the disk with the same center and whose radius is half the radius of $B$.

The inclusions (4.1) can always be achieved by conjugating $f$ with an appropriate Möbius transformation so that $\mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}$ and $\infty$ is an attracting or superattracting periodic point of $f$. If we then replace $f$ with suitable iterate, we may in addition assume that $\infty$ is an attracting or superattracting fixed point of $f$ with $f(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{D}$. The latter inclusion is equivalent to $f^{-1}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$.

Every small disk $B$ centered at a point in $\mathcal{J}(f)$ can be “blown up” by a carefully chosen iterate $f^n$ to a definite size with good control on how sets are distorted under the map $f^n$. We will discuss this in detail as a preparation for the proofs of Theorems 1.10 and 1.4, and will refer to this procedure as applying the \textit{conformal elevator} to $B$. In the following, all metric notions refer to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}$. 
Let \( P \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) denote the union of all superattracting or attracting cycles of \( f \). This is a non-empty and finite set contained in \( \mathcal{F}(f) \). Since \( f \) is subhyperbolic, every critical point in \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) has a finite orbit, and every critical point in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{J}(f) \) has an orbit that converges to \( P \). Hence there exists a neighborhood of \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) that contains only finitely many points in \( \text{post}(f) \) and no points in \( \text{post}^c(f) \). This implies that we can choose \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) so small that \( \text{diam}(\mathcal{J}(f)) > 2\epsilon_0 \), and so that every disk \( B' = B(q, r') \) centered at a point \( q \in \mathcal{J}(f) \) with positive radius \( r' \leq 8\epsilon_0 \) is contained in \( \mathbb{D} \), contains no point in \( \text{post}^c(f) \) and at most one point in \( \text{post}(f) \).

Let \( B = B(p, r) \) be a small disk centered at a point \( p \in \mathcal{J}(f) \) and of positive radius \( r < \epsilon_0 \). Since \( B \) is centered at a point in \( \mathcal{J}(f) \), we have \( \mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq f^n(B) \) for sufficiently large \( n \) (see [Be2, p. 69, Theorem 4.2.5 (ii)])], and so the images of \( B \) under iterates will eventually have diameter \( > 2\epsilon_0 \). Hence there exists a maximal number \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) such that \( f^n(B) \) is contained in a disk of radius \( \epsilon_0 \) centered at a point \( \tilde{q} \in \mathcal{J}(f) \).

If \( B(\tilde{q}, 2\epsilon_0) \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset \), we define \( q = \tilde{q} \) and \( B' = B(q, 2\epsilon_0) \). Otherwise, there exists a unique point \( q \in B(\tilde{q}, 2\epsilon_0) \cap \text{post}(f) \). Then we define

\[
B' := B(q, 8\epsilon_0) \supset B(q, 4\epsilon_0) \supset B(\tilde{q}, 2\epsilon_0) \supset f^n(B).
\]

In both cases, we have

(i) \( f^n(B) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}B' \subseteq B' \subseteq \mathbb{D} \),

(ii) \( B' \cap \text{post}^c(f) = \emptyset \),

(iii) \( #(B' \cap \text{post}(f)) \leq 1 \) with equality only if \( B' \) is centered at a point in \( \text{post}(f) \).

By definition of \( n \), the set \( f^{n+1}(B) \) must have diameter \( \geq \epsilon_0 \). Hence by uniform continuity of \( f \) near \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) there exists \( \delta_0 > 0 \) independent of \( B \) such that

(iv) \( \text{diam}(f^n(B)) \geq \delta_0 \).

Let \( \Omega \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be the unique component of \( f^{-n}(B') \) that contains \( B \). Then by Lemma 3.2 and by (4.1),

(v) \( \Omega \) is simply connected, and \( B \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{D} \),

(vi) the map \( f^n|_{\Omega} : \Omega \to B' \) is proper,

(vii) there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and conformal maps \( \varphi : B' \to \mathbb{D} \) and \( \psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \) such that

\[
(\varphi \circ f^n \circ \psi^{-1})(z) = z^k
\]
for all \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Here \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) is uniformly bounded independent of \( B \).

If \( k \geq 2 \), then \( q = \varphi^{-1}(0) \in \text{post}(f) \cap B' \), and so \( q \) is the center of \( B' \). If \( k = 1 \), then we can choose \( \varphi \) so that this is also the case. So

(viii) \( \varphi \) maps the center \( q \) of \( B' \) to 0.

We refer to the choice of \( f^n \) and the associated sets \( B' \) and \( \Omega \) and the maps \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) satisfying properties (i)–(viii) as applying the conformal elevator to \( B \).

**Lemma 4.1.** There exist constants \( \gamma, r_1 > 0 \) and \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \geq 1 \) independent of \( B = B(p, r) \) with the following properties:

(a) If \( A \subseteq B \) is a connected set, then

\[
\frac{\text{diam}(A)}{\text{diam}(B)} \leq C_1 \text{diam}(f^n(A))^{\gamma}.
\]

(b) \( B(f^n(p), r_1) \subseteq f^n(\frac{1}{2}B) \subseteq f^n(B) \).

(c) If \( u, v \in B \), then

\[
|f^n(u) - f^n(v)| \leq C_2 \frac{|u - v|}{\text{diam}(B)}.
\]

(d) If \( u, v \in B \), \( u \neq v \), and \( f^n(u) = f^n(v) \), then the center \( q \) of \( B' \) belongs to \( \text{post}(f) \) and we have

\[
|f^n(u) - q| \leq C_3 \frac{|u - v|}{\text{diam}(B)}.
\]

So (a) says that a connected set \( A \subseteq B \) comparable in diameter to \( B \) is blown up to a definite size under the conformal elevator, and by (b) the image of \( B \) contains a disk of a definite size. If we consider the maps \( f^n|_B \) for different \( B \), then by (c) they are uniformly Lipschitz if we rescale distances in \( B \) by \( 1/\text{diam}(B) \). In (d) the center \( q \) of \( B' \) must be a point in \( \text{post}(f) \) for otherwise \( f^n \) would be injective; so (d) says that if distinct, but nearby points are mapped to the same image \( w \) under \( f^n \), then a postcritical point must be close to this image \( w \).

**Proof.** In the following we write \( a \lesssim b \) or \( a \gtrsim b \) for two quantities \( a, b \geq 0 \) if we can find a constant \( C > 0 \) independent of the disk \( B \) such that \( a \leq Cb \) or \( Ca \geq b \), respectively. We write \( a \approx b \) if we have both \( a \lesssim b \) and \( a \gtrsim b \), and in this case say that the quantities \( a \) and \( b \) are comparable.

We consider the conformal maps \( \varphi : B' \to \mathbb{D} \) and \( \psi : \Omega \to \mathbb{D} \) satisfying properties (vii) and (viii) of the conformal elevator as discussed above. The exponent \( k \) in (vii) is uniformly bounded, say \( k \leq N \), where
$N \in \mathbb{N}$ is independent of $B$. As before, we use the notation $P_k(z) = z^k$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

As we will see, the properties (a)–(d) easily follow from distortion properties of the map $P_k$. We discuss the relevant properties of $P_k$ first (the proof is left to the reader). The map $P_k$ is Lipschitz with uniformly bounded Lipschitz constant, because $k$ is uniformly bounded. If $M \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ is connected, then

$$\text{diam}(P_k(M)) \gtrsim \text{diam}(M)^k \gtrsim \text{diam}(M)^N.$$  

Moreover, if $B(z, r_0) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, then

$$B(P_k(z), r_1) \subseteq P_k(B(z, r_0)),$$

where $r_1 \gtrsim r_0^k \geq r_0^N$.

By (vii) the map $\varphi$ is a Euclidean similarity, and so $\varphi(\frac{1}{2}B') = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}$. Since the radius of $B'$ is equal to $2\epsilon_0$ or $8\epsilon_0$, and hence comparable to 1, we have

$$|\varphi(u') - \varphi(v')| \approx |u' - v'|,$$

whenever $u', v' \in B'$.

Moreover, for $\rho := 2^{-1/N} \in (0, 1)$ (which is independent of $B$) we have

$$D := B(0, \rho) \supseteq P_k^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}) = P_k^{-1}(\varphi(\frac{1}{2}B')) = \psi(f^{-n}(\frac{1}{2}B') \cap \Omega).$$

Since $f^n(B) \subseteq \frac{1}{2}B'$ by (i) and $B \subseteq \Omega$ by (v), we then have $\psi(B) \subseteq D$.

So if $u, v \in B$, then $\psi(u), \psi(v) \in D$. Hence by the Koebe distortion theorem ([Po, p. 9, Theorem 1.3]) we have

$$|u - v| \approx |(\psi^{-1})'(0)| \cdot |\psi(u) - \psi(v)|$$

whenever $u, v \in B$. In particular,

$$\text{diam}(B) \approx |(\psi^{-1})'(0)| \cdot \text{diam}(\psi(B)).$$

On the other hand, by (iv)

$$1 \approx \text{diam}(f^n(B)) \approx \text{diam}(\varphi(f^n(B))) = \text{diam}(P_k(\psi(B))) \lesssim \text{diam}(\psi(B)) \leq 2.$$  

Hence $\text{diam}(\psi(B)) \approx 1$, and so $\text{diam}(B) \approx |(\psi^{-1})'(0)|$. This implies that

$$\frac{|u - v|}{\text{diam}(B)} \approx |\psi(u) - \psi(v)|,$$

whenever $u, v \in B$. 

Now let $A \subseteq B$ be connected. Then $\psi(A)$ is connected, which implies
\[
\frac{\text{diam}(A)}{\text{diam}(B)} \approx \text{diam}(\psi(A)) \lesssim \text{diam} \left( P_k(\psi(A)) \right)^{1/N} = \text{diam} \left( \varphi(f^n(A)) \right)^{1/N} \approx \text{diam}(f^n(A))^{1/N}.
\]
Inequality (a) follows.

It follows from (4.3) that there exists $r_0 > 0$ independent of $B$ such that $B(\psi(p), r_0) \subseteq \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} B \right)$. By the distortion property of $P_k$ mentioned in the beginning of the proof, $\varphi(f^n \left( \frac{1}{2} B \right)) = P_k(\psi \left( \frac{1}{2} B \right))$ then contains a disk $B(P_k(\psi(p)), r_1)$ with $r_1 > 0$ independent of $B$. Since $\varphi(f^n(p)) = P_k(\psi(p))$, and $\varphi$ distorts distances uniformly, statement (b) follows.

For (c) note that if $u, v \in B$, then
\[
|f^n(u) - f^n(v)| \lesssim |\varphi(f^n(u)) - \varphi(f^n(v))| = |P_k(\psi(u)) - P_k(\psi(v))| \approx \frac{|u - v|}{\text{diam}(B)}.
\]
We used that $P_k$ is Lipschitz on $\hat{D}$ with a uniform Lipschitz constant.

Finally we prove (d). If $u, v \in B$, $u \neq v$, and $f^n(u) = f^n(v)$, then $f^n$ is not injective on $B'$, and so the center $q$ of $B'$ belongs to $\text{post}(f)$. Moreover, we then have $\psi(u)^k = \psi(v)^k$, but $\psi(u) \neq \psi(v)$. This implies that
\[
|\psi(u) - \psi(v)| \geq \frac{1}{k} |\psi(u)| \approx |\psi(u)|.
\]
It follows that
\[
|f^n(u) - q| \approx |\varphi(f^n(u)) - \varphi(q)| = |\psi(u)|^k \leq |\psi(u)| \lesssim |\psi(u) - \psi(v)| \approx \frac{|u - v|}{\text{diam}(B)}.
\]
\[\square\]

5. Geometry of the peripheral circles

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.10. We have already defined in Section 2 what it means for the peripheral circles of a Sierpiński carpet $S$ to be uniform quasicircles and to be uniformly relatively separated. We say that the peripheral circles of $S$ occur on all locations and scales if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every $p \in S$ and every $0 < r \leq \text{diam}(\hat{C}) = 2$, there exists a peripheral circle $J$ of $S$ with $B(p, r) \cap J \neq 0$ and
\[
r/C \leq \text{diam}(J) \leq Cr.
\]
Here and below the metric notions refer to the chordal metric $\sigma$ on $\hat{C}$. 
A set $M \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called porous if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $p \in S$ and every $0 < r \leq 2$ there exists a point $q \in B(p, r)$ such that $B(q, cr) \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus M$.

Before we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.10, we require an auxiliary fact.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $f$ be a rational map such that $J(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet, and let $J$ be a peripheral circle of $J(f)$. Then $f^n(J)$ is a peripheral circle of $J(f)$, and $f^{-n}(J)$ is a union of finitely many peripheral circles of $J(f)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $J \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset = J \cap \text{crit}(f)$.

**Proof.** There exists precisely one Fatou component $U$ of $f$ such that $\partial U = J$. Then $V = f^n(U)$ is also a Fatou component of $f$. Hence $\partial V$ is a peripheral circle of $J(f)$. The map $f^n|_U : U \to V$ is proper which implies that $f^n(J) = f^n(\partial U) = \partial V$. Similarly, there are finitely many distinct Fatou components $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ of $f$ such that

$$f^{-n}(U) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k.$$  

Then

$$f^{-n}(J) = \partial V_1 \cup \cdots \cup \partial V_k,$$

and so the preimage of $J$ under $f^n$ consists of the finitely many disjoint Jordan curves $\partial V_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, which are peripheral circles of $J(f)$.

To show $J \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset$, we argue by contradiction, and assume that there exists a point $p \in \text{post}(f) \cap J$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $c \in \text{crit}(f)$ such that $f^n(c) = p$. As we have just seen, the preimage of $J$ under $f^n$ consists of finitely many disjoint Jordan curves, and is hence a topological 1-manifold. On the other hand, since $c \in f^{-n}(p) \subseteq f^{-n}(J)$ is a critical point of $f$ and hence of $f^n$, at $c$ the set $f^{-n}(J)$ cannot be a 1-manifold. This is a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that $c \in J \cap \text{crit}(f)$. Then $f(c) \in \text{post}(f) \cap f(J)$, and $f(J)$ is a peripheral circle of $J(f)$. This is impossible by what we have just seen. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 1.10.** A general idea for the proof is to argue by contradiction, and get locations where the desired statements fail quantitatively in a worse and worse manner. One can then use the dynamics to blow up to a global scale and derive a contradiction from topological facts. It is fairly easy to implement this idea if we have expanding dynamics given by a group (see, for example, [Bo, Proposition 1.4]). In the present case, one applies the conformal elevator and the estimates as given by Lemma 4.1. We now provide the details.
We can pass to iterates of the map $f$, and also conjugate $f$ by a Möbius transformation as the properties that we want to establish are Möbius invariant. This Möbius invariance is explicitly stated for peripheral circles to be uniform quasicircles and to be uniformly relatively separated in [Bo, Corollary 4.7]. The Möbius invariance of the other stated properties immediately follows from the fact that each Möbius transformation is bi-Lipschitz with respect to the chordal metric. In this way, we may assume that (4.1) is true. Then the peripheral circles are subsets of $\mathbb{D}$, where chordal and Euclidean metric are comparable. Therefore, we can use the Euclidean metric, and all metric notions will refer to this metric in the following.

Part I. To show that peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ are uniform quasicircles, we argue by contradiction. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a peripheral circle $\mathcal{J}_k$ of $\mathcal{J}(f)$, and distinct points $u_k, v_k \in \mathcal{J}_k$ such that if $\alpha_k, \beta_k$ are the two subarcs of $\mathcal{J}_k$ with endpoints $u_k$ and $v_k$, then

\[
\frac{\min\{\text{diam}(\alpha_k), \text{diam}(\beta_k)\}}{|u_k - v_k|} \to \infty
\]
as $k \to \infty$. We can pick $r_k > 0$ such that

\[
\frac{\min\{\text{diam}(\alpha_k), \text{diam}(\beta_k)\}}{r_k} \to \infty
\]
and

\[
|u_k - v_k|/r_k \to 0
\]
as $k \to \infty$. Then $r_k \to 0$, and so we may assume that each $r_k$ is so small that we can apply the conformal elevator to $B_k := B(u_k, r_k)$.

Let $f^{n_k}$ be the corresponding iterate and $B'_k$ be the ball as discussed in Section 4. Define $\mathcal{J}'_k = f^{n_k}(\mathcal{J}_k)$, $u'_k = f^{n_k}(u_k)$, and $v'_k = f^{n_k}(v_k)$. Then Lemma 4.1 (a) and (5.2) imply that the diameters of the sets $\mathcal{J}'_k$ are uniformly bounded away from 0 independently of $k$. Since $\mathcal{J}'_k$ is a peripheral circle of the Sierpiński carpet $\mathcal{J}(f)$ by Lemma 5.1, there are only finitely many possibilities for the set $\mathcal{J}'_k$. By passing to suitable subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}'_k$ is a fixed peripheral circle of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ independent of $k$. The points $u'_k, v'_k$ lie in $\mathcal{J}'$ and by (5.3) and Lemma 4.1 (c) we have

\[
|u'_k - v'_k| \to 0
\]
as $k \to \infty$.

For large $k$ we want to find a point $w_k \neq u_k$ in $B_k$ near $u_k$ with $f^{n_k}(u_k) = f^{n_k}(w_k)$. If $u'_k = v'_k$ we can take $w_k = v_k$. Otherwise, if $u'_k \neq v'_k$, there are two subarcs of $\mathcal{J}'$ with endpoints $u'_k$ and $v'_k$. Let
The image contains a page of text discussing quasisymmetries of Sierpiński Carpet Julia sets. The text explains the properties of arcs under specific maps and the implications for the structure of the Julia set. The page includes mathematical expressions and definitions, with the goal of proving certain properties of the arcs and their images under the map. The text continues to discuss the behavior of these arcs as certain parameters approach infinity, and how these behaviors affect the structure of the Julia set.
of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ whose diameter exceeds a given constant, only finitely many such image pairs can arise. By passing to a suitable subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\alpha = f^{n_k}(\alpha_k)$ and $\beta = f^{n_k}(\alpha_k)$ are peripheral circles independent of $k$.

We define $u_k' := f^{n_k}(u_k) \in \alpha$ and $v_k' := f^{n_k}(v_k) \in \beta$. Then again the relation (5.4) holds. This is only possible if $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \emptyset$, and so $\alpha = \beta$.

Again for large $k$ we want to find a point $w_k \neq u_k$ in $B_k$ near $u_k$ with $f^{n_k}(u_k) = f^{n_k}(w_k)$. If $u_k' = v_k'$ we can take $w_k := v_k$. Otherwise, if $u_k' \neq v_k'$, we let $\gamma_k'$ be the subarc of $\alpha = \beta$ with endpoints $u_k'$ and $v_k'$ and smaller diameter. Then we can lift $\gamma_k'$ to a subarc $\gamma_k \subseteq \beta_k$ with initial point $v_k$ such that $f^{n_k}(\gamma_k) = \gamma_k'$, and we have (5.6). If $w_k \in \beta_k$ is the other endpoint of $\gamma_k$, then $f^{n_k}(w_k) = u_k' = f^{n_k}(u_k)$, and $w_k \neq u_k$, because these points lie in the disjoint sets $\beta_k$ and $\alpha_k$, respectively. Again we have (5.7), which implies that the center $q_k$ of $B_k'$ belongs to post$(f)$, and leads to $\text{dist}(\alpha, \text{post}(f)) = 0$. We know that this is impossible by Lemma 5.1.

Part III. We will show that the peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ appear on all locations and scales.

Let $p \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ and $r > 0$ be arbitrary, and define $B = B(p, r)$. We may assume that $r$ is small, because by a simple compactness argument one can show that disks of definite, but not too large Euclidean size contain peripheral circles of comparable diameter.

We now apply the conformal elevator to $B$ to obtain an iterate $f^n$. Lemma 4.1 (b) implies that there exists a fixed constant $r_1 > 0$ independent of $B$ such that $B(f^n(p), r_1) \subseteq f^n(\frac{1}{2}B)$. By part (a) of the same lemma, we can also find a constant $c_1 > 0$ independent of $B$ with the following property: if $A$ is a connected set with $A \cap B(p, r/2) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{diam}(f^n(A)) \leq c_1$, then $A \subseteq B$.

We can now find a peripheral circle $J'$ of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ such that $J' \cap f^n(\frac{1}{2}B) \neq \emptyset$ and $0 < c_0 < \text{diam}(J') < c_1$, where $c_0$ is another positive constant independent of $B$. This easily follows from a compactness argument based on the fact that $f^n(\frac{1}{2}B)$ contains a disk of a definite size that is centered at a point in $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

The preimage $f^{-n}(J')$ consists of finitely many components that are peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$. One of these peripheral circles $J$ meets $\frac{1}{2}B$. Since $\text{diam}(f^n(J)) = \text{diam}(J') < c_1$, by the choice of $c_1$ we then have $J \subseteq B$, and so $\text{diam}(J) \leq 2r$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 4.1 (c) that $\text{diam}(J) \geq c_2 \text{diam}(J') \text{diam}(B) \geq c_3 r$, where again $c_2, c_3 > 0$ are independent of $B$. The claim follows.

Part IV. Let $p \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ be arbitrary and $r \in (0, 1]$. To establish the porosity of $\mathcal{J}(f)$, it is enough to show that the Euclidean disk $B(p, r)$
contains a disk of comparable radius that lies in the complement of $J(f)$. By what we have just seen, $B(p,r)$ contains a peripheral circle $J$ of diameter comparable to $r$. By possibly allowing a smaller constant of comparability, we may assume that $J$ is distinct from the one peripheral circle $J_0$ that bounds the unbounded Fatou component of $f$. Then $J \subseteq B(p,r)$ is the boundary of a bounded Fatou component $U$, and so $U \subseteq B(p,r)$. Since the peripheral circles of $J(f)$ are uniform quasicircles, it follows that $U$ contains a Euclidean disk $D$ of comparable size (for this standard fact see [Bo, Proposition 4.3]). Then $\text{diam}(D) \approx \text{diam}(J) \approx r$.

Since $D \subseteq U \subseteq B(p,r) \cap \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J(f)$ the porosity of $J(f)$ follows.

Finally, the porosity of $J(f)$ implies that $J(f)$ cannot have Lebesgue density points, and is hence a set of measure zero. □

6. Relative Schottky sets and Schottky maps

A relative Schottky set $S$ in a region $D \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a subset of $D$ whose complement in $D$ is a union of open geometric disks $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ with closures $\overline{B_i}$, $i \in I$, in $D$, and such that $\overline{B_i} \cap \overline{B_j} = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$. We write

$$S = D \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i. \quad (6.1)$$

If $D = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ or $D = \mathbb{C}$, we say that $S$ is a Schottky set. We call the circles $\partial B_i$, $i \in I$, the peripheral circles of $S$.

Let $A, B \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\varphi: A \to B$ be a continuous map. We call $\varphi$ a local homeomorphism of $A$ to $B$ if for every point $p \in A$ there exist open sets $U, V \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $p \in U$, $f(p) \in V$ such that $f|_{U \cap A}$ is a homeomorphism of $U \cap A$ onto $V \cap B$. Note that this concept depends of course on $A$, but also crucially on $B$: if $B' \supseteq B$, then we may consider a local homeomorphism $f: A \to B'$ as a map $f: A \to B'$, but the second map will not be a local homeomorphism in general.

Let $D$ and $\tilde{D}$ be two regions in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $S = D \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{D} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} \tilde{B}_j$ be relative Schottky sets in $D$ and $\tilde{D}$, respectively. Let $U$ be an open subset of $D$ and let $f: S \cap U \to \tilde{S}$ be a local homeomorphism. According to [Me3], such a map $f$ is called a Schottky map if it is conformal at every point $p \in S \cap U$, i.e., the derivative

$$f'(p) = \lim_{q \in S, q \to p} \frac{f(q) - f(p)}{q - p} \quad (6.2)$$

exists and does not vanish, and the function $f'$ is continuous on $S \cap U$. If $p = \infty$ or $f(p) = \infty$, the existence of this limit and the continuity of $f'$ have to be understood after a coordinate change $z \mapsto 1/z$ near $\infty$.

In all our applications $S, \tilde{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and so we can ignore this technicality.
Theorem 1.7 implies that if \( D \) and \( \tilde{D} \) are Jordan regions in \( \mathbb{C} \), the relative Schottky set \( S \) has measure zero, and \( f: S \to \tilde{S} \) is a locally quasisymmetric homeomorphism that is orientation-preserving (this is defined similarly as for homeomorphisms between Sierpiński carpets; see the discussion after Theorem 1.11), then \( f \) is a Schottky map.

We require a more general criterion for maps to be Schottky maps.

**Lemma 6.1.** Let \( S \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) be a Schottky set of measure zero. Suppose \( U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is open and \( \varphi: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is a locally quasiconformal map with \( \varphi^{-1}(S) = U \cap S \). Then \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \) is a Schottky map.

In particular, if \( \psi: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is a quasiregular map with \( \psi^{-1}(S) = S \), then \( \psi: S \setminus \text{crit}(\psi) \to S \) is a Schottky map.

In the statement the assumption \( S \subseteq \mathbb{C} \) (instead of \( S \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \)) is not really essential, but helps to avoid some technicalities caused by the point \( \infty \).

**Proof.** Our assumption \( \varphi^{-1}(S) = U \cap S \) implies that \( \varphi(U \cap S) \subseteq S \). So we can consider the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( U \cap S \) as a map \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \) (for simplicity we do not use our usual notation \( \varphi|_{U \cap S} \) for this and other restrictions in the proof). This map is a local homeomorphism \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \). Indeed, let \( p \in U \cap S \) be arbitrary. Since \( \varphi: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is a local homeomorphism, there exist open sets \( V, W \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) with \( p \in V \subseteq U \) and \( f(p) \in W \) such that \( \varphi \) is a homeomorphism of \( V \) onto \( W \). Clearly, \( \varphi(V \cap S) \subseteq W \cap S \). Conversely, if \( q \in W \cap S \), then there exists a point \( q' \in V \) with \( \varphi(q') = q \); since \( \varphi^{-1}(S) = U \cap S \), we have \( q' \in S \) and so \( q' \in V \cap S \). Hence \( \varphi(V \cap S) = W \cap S \), which implies that \( \varphi \) is a homeomorphism of \( V \cap S \) onto \( W \cap S \).

Note that \( p \in U \cap S \) lies on a peripheral circle of \( S \) if and only if \( \varphi(p) \) lies on a peripheral circle of \( S \). Indeed, a point \( p \in S \) lies on a peripheral of \( S \) if and only if it is accessible by a path in the complement of \( S \), and it is clear this condition is satisfied for a point \( p \in S \cap U \) if and only if it is true for the image \( \varphi(p) \) (see [Me3, Lemma 3.1] for a more general related statement).

We now want to verify the other conditions for \( \varphi \) to be a Schottky map based on Theorem 1.7. It is enough to reduce to this situation locally near each point \( p \in U \cap S \). We consider two cases depending on whether \( p \) belongs to a peripheral circle of \( S \) or not.

So suppose \( p \) does not belong to any of the peripheral circles of \( S \). Then there exist arbitrarily small Jordan regions \( D \) with \( p \in D \) and \( \partial D \subseteq S \) such that \( \partial D \) does not meet any peripheral circle of \( S \). This easily follows from the fact that if we collapse each closure of a complementary component of \( S \) in \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) to a point, then the resulting
The quotient space is homeomorphic to \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) by Moore’s theorem [Mo] (for more details on this and the similar argument below, see the proof of [Me3, Theorem 5.2]). In this way we can find a small Jordan region \( D \) with the following properties:

(i) \( p \in D \subseteq \overline{D} \subseteq U \),
(ii) the boundary \( \partial D \) is contained in \( S \), but does not meet any peripheral circle of \( S \),
(iii) \( \varphi \) is a homeomorphism of \( \overline{D} \) onto the closure \( \overline{D}' \) of another Jordan region \( D' \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \).

As in the first part of the proof, we see that \( \varphi \) is a homeomorphism of \( D \cap S \) onto \( D' \cap S \). This homeomorphism is locally quasisymmetric and orientation-preserving as it is the restriction of a locally quasiconformal map. Since \( \partial D \) does not meet peripheral circles of \( S \), the same is true of its image \( \partial D' = \varphi(\partial D) \) by what we have seen above. It follows that the sets \( D \cap S \) and \( D' \cap S \) are relative Schottky sets of measure zero contained in the Jordan regions \( D \) and \( D' \), respectively. Note that the set \( D \cap S \) is obtained by deleting from \( D \) the complementary disks of \( S \) that are contained in \( D \), and \( D' \cap S \) is obtained similarly. Now Theorem 1.7 implies that \( \varphi: D \cap S \to D' \cap S \) is a Schottky map which implies that \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \) is a Schottky map near \( p \).

For the other case, assume that \( p \) lies on a peripheral circle of \( S \), say \( p \in \partial B \), where \( B \) is one of the disks that form the complement of \( S \). The idea is to use a Schwarz reflection procedure to arrive at a situation similar to the previous case. This is fairly straightforward, but we will provide the details for sake of completeness.

Similarly as before (here we collapse all closures of complementary components of \( S \) to points except \( \overline{B} \)), we find a Jordan region \( D \) with the following properties:

(i) \( \overline{D} \subseteq U \) and \( \partial D = \alpha \cup \beta \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two non-overlapping arcs with the same endpoints such that \( \alpha \subseteq \partial B \), \( \beta \subseteq S \), \( p \) is an interior point of \( \alpha \), and no interior point of \( \beta \) lies on a peripheral circle of \( S \),

(ii) \( \varphi \) is a homeomorphism of \( \overline{D} \) onto the closure \( \overline{D}' \) of another Jordan region \( D' \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}} \).

Let \( \alpha' = \varphi(\alpha) \). Then \( \alpha' \) is contained in a peripheral circle \( \partial B' \) of \( S \), where \( B' \) is a suitable complementary disk of \( S \). Note that \( \beta' = \varphi(\beta) \) is an arc contained in \( S \), has its endpoints in \( \partial B' \), and no interior point of \( \beta' \) lies on a peripheral circle of \( S \).
Let \( R: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be the reflection in \( \partial B \), and \( R': \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) be the reflection in \( \partial B' \). Define \( \hat{S} = S \cup R(S) \) and \( \hat{S}' = S \cup R'(S) \).

Then \( \hat{S} \) and \( \hat{S}' \) are Schottky sets of measure zero, \( \partial B \subseteq \hat{S} \), \( \partial B' \subseteq \hat{S}' \), and \( \partial B \) and \( \partial B' \) do not meet any of the peripheral circles of \( \hat{S} \) and \( \hat{S}' \), respectively.

Let \( \hat{D} = D \cup \text{int}(\alpha) \cup R(D) \) and \( \hat{D}' = D' \cup \text{int}(\alpha') \cup R'(D') \), where \( \text{int}(\alpha) \) and \( \text{int}(\alpha') \) denote the set of interior points of the arcs \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \), respectively. Then \( \hat{D} \) and \( \hat{D}' \) are Jordan regions such that \( p \in \hat{D}, -\partial \hat{D} \subseteq \hat{S}, \partial \hat{D}' \subseteq \hat{S}' \), and \( \partial \hat{D} \) and \( \partial \hat{D}' \) do not meet any of the peripheral circles of \( \hat{S} \) and \( \hat{S}' \), respectively. Hence \( \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} \) and \( \hat{D}' \cap \hat{S}' \) are relative Schottky sets of measure zero in \( \hat{D} \) and \( \hat{D}' \), respectively.

We define a map \( \hat{\varphi}: \hat{D} \to \hat{D}' \) by

\[
\hat{\varphi}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(z) & \text{for } z \in D \cup \text{int}(\alpha), \\
(R' \circ \varphi \circ R)(z) & \text{for } z \in R(D) \cup \text{int}(\alpha).
\end{cases}
\]

Note that this definition is consistent on \( \text{int}(\alpha) \), because \( \varphi(\alpha) = \alpha' = \overline{\partial D} \cap \partial R'(\overline{\partial D}) \). It is clear that \( \hat{\varphi}: \hat{D} \to \hat{D}' \) is a homeomorphism. Moreover, since the circular arc \( \alpha \) (as any set of \( \sigma \)-finite Hausdorff 1-measure) is removable for quasiconformal maps [Vä, Section 35], the map \( \hat{\varphi} \) is locally quasiconformal, and hence locally quasisymmetric and orientation-preserving. It is also straightforward to see from the definitions and the relation \( \varphi^{-1}(S) = U \cap S \) that \( \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{S}') = \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} \). Similarly as in the beginning of the proof this implies that \( \hat{\varphi}: \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} \to \hat{D}' \cap \hat{S}' \) is a homeomorphism. Since it is also a local quasisymmetry and orientation-preserving, it follows again from Theorem 1.7 that \( \hat{\varphi}: \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} \to \hat{D}' \cap \hat{S}' \) is a Schottky map. Note that \( \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} = D \cap S \), that on this set the maps \( \hat{\varphi} \) and \( \varphi \) agree, and that \( \varphi(\hat{D} \cap \hat{S}) \subseteq S \). Thus, \( \varphi: \hat{D} \cap \hat{S} \to S \) is a Schottky map, and so \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \) is a Schottky map near \( p \).

It follows that \( \varphi: U \cap S \to S \) is a Schottky map as desired.

The second part of the statement immediately follows from the first; indeed, \( \text{crit}(\psi) \) is a finite set and so \( U = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{crit}(\psi) \) is an open subset \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) on which \( \varphi = \psi|_U: U \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) is a locally quasiconformal map. Moreover, \( \varphi^{-1}(S) = \psi^{-1}(S) \cap U = S \cap U \). By the first part of the proof, \( \varphi \) and hence also \( \psi \) (restricted to \( U \cap S \)) is a Schottky map of \( U \cap S = S \setminus \text{crit}(\psi) \) into \( S \).

A relative Schottky set as in (6.1) is called \textit{locally porous at} \( p \in S \) if there exists a neighborhood \( U \) of \( p \), and constants \( r_0 > 0 \) and \( C \geq 1 \) such that for each \( q \in S \cap U \) and \( r \in (0, r_0) \) there exists \( i \in I \) with \( B_i \cap B(q, r) \neq \emptyset \) and \( r/C \leq \text{diam}(B_i) \leq Cr \). The relative Schottky set
$S$ is called *locally porous* if it is locally porous at every point $p \in S$. Every locally porous relative Schottky set has measure zero since it cannot have Lebesgue density points.

For Schottky maps on locally porous Schottky sets very strong rigidity and uniqueness statements are valid such as Theorems 1.13 and 1.14 stated in the introduction. We will need another result of a similar flavor.

**Theorem 6.2.** [Me3, Theorem 4.1] *Let $S$ be a locally porous relative Schottky set in a region $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set such that $S \cap U$ is connected, and $u : S \cap U \to S$ be a Schottky map. Suppose that there exists a point $a \in S \cap U$ with $u(a) = a$ and $u'(a) = 1$. Then $u = \text{id}_{|_{S \cap U}}$.***

### 7. A functional equation on the unit circle

As discussed in the introduction, for the proof of Theorem 1.4 we will establish a functional equation of the form (1.2) for the maps in question. For postcritically-finite maps $f$ and $g$ this leads to strong conclusions based on the following lemma. Recall that $P_k(z) = z^k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let $\phi : \partial \mathbb{D} \to \partial \mathbb{D}$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism, and suppose that there exist numbers $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, such that

$$ (P_l \circ \phi)(z) = (P_n \circ \phi \circ P_k)(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \partial \mathbb{D}. $$

Then $l = nk$ and there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a^{n(k-1)} = 1$ such that $\phi(z) = az$ for all $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.

This lemma implies that we can uniquely extend $\phi$ to a conformal homeomorphism from $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto itself. It is also important that this extension preserves the basepoint $0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

**Proof.** By considering topological degrees, one immediately sees that $l = nk$. So if we introduce the map $\psi := P_n \circ \phi$, then (7.1) can be rewritten as

$$ P_k \circ \psi = \psi \circ P_k \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{D}. $$

Here the map $\psi : \partial \mathbb{D} \to \partial \mathbb{D}$ has degree $n$. We claim that this in combination with (7.2) implies that for a suitable constant $b$ we have $\psi(z) = bz^n$ for $z \in \partial \mathbb{D}$.

Indeed, there exists a continuous function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha(t+2\pi) = \alpha(t)$ such that

$$ \psi(e^{it}) = \exp(int + i\alpha(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. $$
By (7.2) we have
\[ \exp(iknt + i\alpha(t)) = (\psi(e^{it}))^k = \psi(e^{ikt}) = \exp(iknt + i\alpha(kt)) \]
for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). This implies that there exists a constant \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[ \alpha(t) = \frac{1}{k} \alpha(tk) + c \quad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \]
Since \( \alpha \) is \( 2\pi \)-periodic, the right-hand side of this equation is \( 2\pi/k \)-periodic as a function of \( t \). Hence \( \alpha \) is \( 2\pi/k \)-periodic for all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), and so has arbitrarily small periods (note that \( k \geq 2 \)). Since \( \alpha \) is continuous, it follows that \( \alpha \) is constant. Hence \( \psi(z) = bz^n \) for \( z \in \partial \mathbb{D} \) with a suitable constant \( b \in \mathbb{C} \).

It follows that
\[ \psi(z) = bz^n = \phi(z)^n \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \partial \mathbb{D}. \]
Therefore, \( \phi(z) = az \) for \( z \in \partial \mathbb{D} \) with a constant \( a \in \mathbb{C}, a \neq 0 \).

Inserting this expression for \( \phi \) into (7.1) and using \( l = nk \), we conclude that \( a^{n(k-1)} = 1 \) as desired. \( \square \)

8. Proof of Theorem 1.4

The proof will be given in several steps.

Step I. We first fix the setup. We can freely pass to iterates of the maps \( f \) or \( g \), because this changes neither their Julia sets nor their postcritical sets. We can also conjugate the maps by Möbius transformations. Therefore, as in Section 4, we may assume that
\[ \mathcal{J}(f), \mathcal{J}(g) \subseteq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D} \text{ and } f^{-1} (\mathbb{D}), g^{-1} (\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}. \]
Moreover, without loss of generality, we may require that \( \xi \) is orientation-preserving, for otherwise we can conjugate \( g \) by \( z \mapsto \bar{z} \). Since the peripheral circles of \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) and \( \mathcal{J}(g) \) are uniform quasicircles (Theorem 1.10), it follows from Theorem 1.11 that the map \( \xi \) extends (non-uniquely) to a quasiconformal, and hence quasisymmetric, map of the whole sphere. Then \( \xi(\mathcal{J}(f)) = \mathcal{J}(g) \) and \( \xi(\mathcal{F}(f)) = \mathcal{F}(g) \). Since \( \infty \) lies in Fatou components of \( f \) and \( g \), we may also assume that \( \xi(\infty) = \infty \) (this normalization ultimately depends on the fact that for every point \( p \in \mathbb{D} \) there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism \( \varphi \) on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) with \( \varphi(0) = p \) that is the identity outside \( \mathbb{D} \)). Then \( \xi \) is a quasisymmetry of \( \mathbb{C} \) with respect to the Euclidean metric. In the following, all metric notions will refer to this metric. Finally, we define \( g_\xi = \xi^{-1} \circ g \circ \xi \).
Step II. We now carefully choose a location for a “blow-down” by branches of \( f^{-n} \) which will be compensated by a “blow-up” by iterates of \( g \) (or rather \( g_k \)).

Since repelling periodic points of \( f \) are dense in \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) (see [Be2, p. 148, Theorem 6.9.2]), we can find such a point \( p \) in \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) that does not lie in \( \text{post}(f) \). Let \( \rho > 0 \) be a small positive number such that the disk \( U_0 := B(p, 3\rho) \subseteq \mathbb{D} \) is disjoint from \( \text{post}(f) \). Since \( p \) is periodic, there exists \( d \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f^d(p) = p \). Let \( U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{D} \) be the component of \( f^{-d}(U_0) \) that contains \( p \). Since \( U_0 \cap \text{post}(f) = \emptyset \), the set \( U_1 \) is a simply connected region, and \( f^d \) is a conformal map from \( U_1 \) onto \( U_0 \) as follows from Lemma 3.2. Then there exists a unique inverse branch \( f^{-d} \) with \( f^{-d}(p) = p \) that is a conformal map of \( U_0 \) onto \( U_1 \). Since \( p \) is a repelling fixed point for \( f \), it is an attracting fixed point for this branch \( f^{-d} \). By possibly choosing a smaller radius \( \rho > 0 \) in the definition of \( U_0 = B(p, 3\rho) \) and by passing to an iterate of \( f^d \), we may assume that \( U_1 \subseteq U_0 \) and that \( \text{diam}(f^{-n_k}(U_0)) \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). Here \( n_k = dk \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( f^{-n_k} \) is the branch obtained by iterating the branch \( f^{-d} \) \( k \)-times. Note that \( f^{-n_k}(p) = p \) and \( f^{-n_k} \) is a conformal map of \( U_0 \) onto a simply connected region \( U_k \). Then \( p \in U_k \subseteq U_{k-1} \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( \text{diam}(U_k) \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \).

The choice of these inverse branches is consistent in the sense that we have
\[
f^{n_k+1-n_k} \circ f^{-n_k+1} = f^{-n_k}
\]
on \( U_0 = B(p, 3\rho) \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Note that this consistency condition remains valid if we replace the original sequence \( \{n_k\} \) by a subsequence.

Let \( \tilde{r}_k > 0 \) be the smallest number such that
\[
f^{-n_k}(B(p, 2\rho)) \subseteq \tilde{B}_k := B(p, \tilde{r}_k).
\]
Since \( p \in f^{-n_k}(B(p, 2\rho)) \) we have \( \text{diam}\left(f^{-n_k}(B(p, 2\rho))\right) \approx \tilde{r}_k \). Here and below \( \approx \) indicates implicit positive multiplicative constants independent of \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). It follows that \( \tilde{r}_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). Moreover, since \( f^{-n_k} \) is conformal on the larger disk \( B(p, 3\rho) \), Koebe’s distortion theorem implies that
\[
\text{diam}\left(f^{-n_k}(B(p, 2\rho))\right) \approx \text{diam}\left(f^{-n_k}(B(p, \rho))\right).
\]

Let \( r_k > 0 \) be the smallest number such that \( \xi(\tilde{B}_k) \subseteq B_k := B(\xi(p), r_k) \). Again \( r_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \) by continuity of \( \xi \). By possibly discarding finitely many \( k \), we may assume that each \( r_k \) is so small that for suitable choice of \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) for the map \( g \) as in Section 4, we can apply the conformal elevator given by iterates of \( g \) to the disk \( B_k \). In
this way, we can find iterates $g^{mk}$ such that $g^{mk}(B_k)$ has a definite, but not too large size, and so $\text{diam}(g^{mk}(B_k)) \approx 1$.

**Step III.** Now we consider the composition

$$h_k = g^{mk}_\xi \circ f^{-nk} = \xi^{-1} \circ g^{mk} \circ \xi \circ f^{-nk}$$

defined on $B(p, 2\rho)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to show that this sequence subconverges locally uniformly on $B(p, 2\rho)$ to a (non-constant) quasiregular map $h: B(p, 2\rho) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Since $f^{-nk}$ maps $B(p, 2\rho)$ conformally into $\tilde{B}_k$, $\xi$ is a quasiconformal map with $\xi(\tilde{B}_k) \subseteq B_k$, and $g^{mk}$ is holomorphic on $\tilde{B}_k$, we conclude that the maps $h_k$ are uniformly quasiregular on $B(p, 2\rho)$, i.e., $K$-quasiregular with $K \geq 1$ independent of $k$. The images $h_k(B(p, 2\rho))$ are contained in a small Euclidean neighborhood of $J(f)$ and hence in a fixed compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Standard convergence results for $K$-quasiregular mappings [AIM, p. 182, Corollary 5.5.7] imply that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ subconverges locally uniformly on $B(p, 2\rho)$ to a map $h: B(p, 2\rho) \to \mathbb{C}$ that is also quasiregular, but possibly constant. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $h_k \to h$ locally uniformly on $B(p, 2\rho)$.

To rule out that $h$ is constant, it is enough to show that for the smaller disk $B(p, \rho)$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{diam}(h_k(B(p, \rho))) \geq \delta$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We know that

$$\text{diam}(f^{-nk}(B(p, \rho))) \approx \text{diam}(f^{-nk}(B(p, 2\rho))) \approx \text{diam}(\tilde{B}_k).$$

Moreover, since $\xi$ is a quasisymmetry and $f^{-nk}(B(p, \rho)) \subseteq \tilde{B}_k$, this implies

$$\text{diam}(\xi(f^{-nk}(B(p, \rho)))) \approx \text{diam}(\xi(\tilde{B}_k)) \approx \text{diam}(B_k).$$

So the connected set $\xi(f^{-nk}(B(p, \rho))) \subseteq B_k$ is comparable in size to $B_k$. By Lemma 4.1 (a) the conformal elevator blows it up to a definite, but not too large size, i.e.,

$$\text{diam}((g^{mk} \circ \xi \circ f^{-nk})(B(p, \rho))) \approx 1.$$

Since the sets $(g^{mk} \circ \xi \circ f^{-nk})(B(p, \rho))$ all meet $J(g)$, they stay in a compact part of $\mathbb{C}$, and so we still get a uniform lower bound for the diameter of these sets if we apply the homeomorphism $\xi^{-1}$; in other words,

$$\text{diam}((\xi^{-1} \circ g^{mk} \circ \xi \circ f^{-nk})(B(p, \rho))) = \text{diam}(h_k(B(p, \rho))) \approx 1$$

as claimed. We conclude that $h_k \to h$ locally uniformly on $B(p, 2r)$, where $h$ is non-constant and quasiregular.
The quasiregular map $h$ has at most countably many critical points, and so there exists a point $q \in B(p,2\rho) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)$ and a radius $r > 0$ such that $B(q,2r) \subseteq B(p,2\rho)$ and $h$ is injective on $B(q,2r)$ and hence quasiconformal. Standard topological degree arguments imply that at least on the smaller disk $B(q,r)$ the maps $h_k$ are also injective and hence quasiconformal for all $k$ sufficiently large. By possibly disregarding finitely many of the maps $h_k$, we may assume that $h_k$ is quasiconformal on $B(q,r)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

To summarize, we have found a disk $B(q,r)$ centered at a point $q \in \mathcal{J}(f)$ such that the maps $h_k$ are defined and quasiconformal on $B(q,r)$ and converge uniformly on $B(q,r)$ to a quasiconformal map $h$. From the invariance properties of Julia and Fatou sets and the mapping properties of $\xi$, it follows that

$$h_k(B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(f)$$

and

$$h_k(B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(f)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$h_k^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(f)) = \mathcal{J}(f) \cap B(q,r)$$

for each map $h_k : B(q,r) \to \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is closed and $h_k \to h$ uniformly on $B(q,r)$, we also have $h(B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{J}(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(f)$. To get a similar inclusion relation also for the Fatou set, we argue by contradiction and assume that there exists a point $z \in B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)$ with $h(z) \notin \mathcal{F}(f)$. Then $h(z) \in \mathcal{J}(f)$. Since $B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)$ is an open neighborhood of $z$, it follows again from standard topological degree arguments that for large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a point $z_k \in B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)$ with $h_k(z_k) = h(z) \in \mathcal{J}(f)$. This is impossible by (8.2) and so indeed $h(B(q,r) \cap \mathcal{F}(f)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(f)$. We conclude that

$$h^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(f)) = \mathcal{J}(f) \cap B(q,r).$$

**Step IV.** We know by Theorem 1.10 that the peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ are uniformly relatively separated uniform quasicircles. According to Theorems 1.12 and 1.11, there exists a quasisymmetric map $\beta$ on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $S = \beta(\mathcal{J}(f))$ is a round Sierpiński carpet. We may assume $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

We conjugate the map $f$ by $\beta$ to define a new map $\beta \circ f \circ \beta^{-1}$. By abuse of notation we call this new map also $f$. Note that this map and its iterates are in general not rational anymore, but quasiregular maps on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Similarly, we conjugate $g_\xi, h_k, h$ by $\beta$ to obtain new maps for which we use the same notation for the moment. If $V = \beta(B(q,r))$, then the new maps $h_k$ and $h$ are quasiconformal on $V$, and $h_k \to h$ uniformly on $V$.
Lemma 8.1. There exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open set $W \subseteq V$ such that $S \cap W$ is non-empty and connected and $h_k \equiv h$ on $S \cap W$ for all $k \geq N$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is porous by Theorem 1.10 and $S$ is a quasisymmetric image of $\mathcal{J}(f)$, the set $S$ is also porous; in particular, $S$ is locally porous as defined in Section 6 and a set of measure zero.

The maps $h_k$ and $h$ are quasiconformal on $V = \beta(B(q,r))$, and $h_k \to h$ uniformly on $V$ as $k \to \infty$. The relations (8.3) and (8.2) translate to $h^{-1}(S) = S \cap V$ and $h_k^{-1}(S) = S \cap V$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So Lemma 6.1 implies that the maps $h: S \cap V \to S$ and $h_k: S \cap V \to S$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are Schottky maps. Each of these restrictions is actually a homeomorphism onto its image.

There are only finitely many peripheral circles of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ that contain periodic points of our original rational map $f$; indeed, if $J$ is such a peripheral circle, then $f^n(J) = J$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows from Lemma 5.1; but then $J$ bounds a periodic Fatou component of $f$ which leaves only finitely many possibilities for $J$. Since the periodic points of $f$ are dense in $\mathcal{J}(f)$, we conclude that we can find a periodic point of $f$ in $\mathcal{J}(f) \cap B(q,r)$ that does not lie on a peripheral circle of $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

Translated to the conjugated map $f$, this yields existence of a point $a \in S \cap V$ that does not lie on a peripheral circle of the Sierpiński carpet $S$ such that $f^n(a) = a$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The invariance property of the Julia set gives $f^{-n}(S) = S$, and so Lemma 6.1 implies that $f^n: S \setminus \text{crit}(f^n) \to S$ is a Schottky map. Note that $a \notin \text{crit}(f^n)$ as follows from the fact that for our original rational map $f$, none of its periodic critical points lies in the Julia set.

Therefore, our Schottky map $f^n: S \setminus \text{crit}(f^n) \to S$ has a derivative at the point $a \in S \setminus \text{crit}(f^n)$ in the sense of (6.2). If $(f^n)'(a) = 1$, then Theorem 6.2 implies that $f^n \equiv \text{id}|_{S \setminus \text{crit}(f^n)}$, and hence by continuity $f^n$ is the identity on $S$. This is clearly impossible, and therefore $(f^n)'(a) \neq 1$.

Since $a \in S \cap V$ does not lie on a peripheral circle of $S$, as in the proof of Lemma 6.1 we can find a small Jordan region $W$ with $a \in W \subseteq V$ and $W \cap \text{crit}(f^n) = \emptyset$ such that $\partial W \subseteq S$. Then $S \cap W$ is non-empty and connected.

We now restrict our maps to $W$. Then $h_k: S \cap W \to S$ is a Schottky map and a homeomorphism onto its image for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The same is true for the map $h: S \cap W \to S$. Moreover $h_k \to h$ as $k \to \infty$ uniformly on $W \cap S$. Finally, the map $u = f^n$ is defined on $S \cap W$ and gives a Schottky map $u: S \cap W \to S$ such that for the point $a \in S \cap W$ we have $u(a) = a$ and $u'(a) \neq 1$. So we can apply Theorem 1.13 to
conclude that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h_k \equiv h$ on $S \cap W$ for all $k \geq N$. □

By the previous lemma we can fix $k \geq N$ so that $h_k = h_{k+1}$ on $S \cap W$. If we go back to the definition of the maps $h_k$ and use the consistency of inverse branches (which is also true for the maps conjugated by $\beta$), then we conclude that

$$h_{k+1} = g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi \circ f^{-n_{k+1}} = h_k = g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{-n_k} = g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k} \circ f^{-n_{k+1}}$$

on the set $S \cap W$. Cancellation gives

$$g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi = g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k}$$

on $f^{-n_{k+1}}(S \cap W) \subseteq S$.

The two maps on both sides of the last equation are quasiregular maps $\psi: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\psi^{-1}(S) = S$. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that they are Schottky maps $S \cap U \to S$ if $U \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is an open set that does not contain any of the finitely many critical points of the maps; in particular, $g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi$ and $g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k}$ are Schottky maps $S \cap U \to S$, where $U = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\text{crit}(g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi) \cup \text{crit}(g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k}))$. Since $U$ has a finite complement in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the non-degenerate connected set $f^{-n_{k+1}}(S \cap W) \subseteq S$ has an accumulation point in $S \cap U$. Theorem 1.14 yields

$$g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi = g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k}$$

on $S \cap U$, and hence on all of $S$ by continuity.

If we conjugate back by $\beta^{-1}$, this leads to the relation

$$g^{m_{k+1}}_\xi = g^{m_k}_\xi \circ f^{n_{k+1}-n_k}$$

on $\mathcal{J}(f)$ for the original maps. We conclude that there exist integers $m, m', n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for the original maps we have

$$(8.4) \quad g^{m'} \circ \xi = g^m \circ \xi \circ f^n$$

on $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

Step V. Equation (8.4) gives us a crucial relation of $\xi$ to the dynamics of $f$ and $g$ on their Julia sets. We will bring (8.4) into a convenient form by replacing our original maps with iterates. Since $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is backward invariant, counting preimages of generic points in $\mathcal{J}(f)$ under iterates of $f$ and of points in $\mathcal{J}(g)$ under iterates of $g$ leads to the relation

$$\deg(g)^{m' - m} = \deg(f)^n,$$

and so $m' - m > 0$. If we post-compose both sides in (8.4) by a suitable iterate of $g$, and then replace $f$ by $f^n$ and $g$ by $g^{m'-m}$, we arrive at a
relation of the form
\[(8.5)\quad g^{l+1} \circ \xi = g^l \circ \xi \circ f.\]
on \mathcal{J}(f) for some \(l \in \mathbb{N}\).

Note that this equation implies that on \(\mathcal{J}(f)\) we have
\[(8.6)\quad g^{n+k} \circ \xi = g^n \circ \xi \circ f^k \text{ for all } k, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n \geq l.\]

**Step VI.** In this final step of the proof, we disregard the non-canonical extension to \(\hat{\mathbb{C}}\) of our original homeomorphism \(\xi: \mathcal{J}(f) \to \mathcal{J}(g)\) chosen in the beginning. Our goal is to apply (8.6) to produce a natural extension of \(\xi\) mapping each Fatou component of \(f\) conformally onto a Fatou component of \(g\). Note that if \(U\) is a Fatou component of \(f\), then \(\partial U\) is a peripheral circle of \(\mathcal{J}(f)\). Since \(\xi\) sends each peripheral circle of \(\mathcal{J}(f)\) to a peripheral circle of \(\mathcal{J}(g)\), the image \(\xi(\partial U)\) bounds a unique Fatou component \(V\) of \(g\). This sets up a natural bijection between the Fatou components of our maps, and our goal is to conformally “fill in the holes”.

So let \(\mathcal{C}_f\) and \(\mathcal{C}_g\) be the sets of Fatou components of \(f\) and \(g\), respectively. By Lemma 3.3 we can choose a corresponding family \(\{\psi_U: U \in \mathcal{C}_f\}\) of conformal maps. Since each Fatou component of \(f\) is a Jordan region, we can consider \(\psi_U\) as a conformal homeomorphism from \(\overline{U}\) onto \(\overline{D}\). Similarly, we obtain a family of conformal homeomorphisms \(\tilde{\psi}_V: \overline{V} \to \overline{D}\) for \(V\) in \(\mathcal{C}_g\). These Fatou components carry distinguished basepoints \(p_U = \psi_U^{-1}(0) \in U\) for \(U \in \mathcal{C}_f\) and \(\tilde{p}_V = \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1}(0) \in V\) for \(V \in \mathcal{C}_g\).

We will now first extend \(\xi\) to the periodic Fatou components of \(f\), and then use the Lifting Lemma 3.4 to get extensions to Fatou components of higher and higher level (as defined in the proof of Lemma 3.3). In this argument it will be important to ensure that these extensions are basepoint-preserving.

First let \(U\) be a periodic Fatou component of \(f\). We denote by \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) the period of \(U\), and define \(V\) to be the Fatou component of \(g\) bounded by \(\xi(\partial U)\), and \(W = g^l(V)\), where \(l \in \mathbb{N}\) is as in (8.6). Then (8.6) implies that \(\partial W\), and hence \(W\) itself, is invariant under \(g^k\).

According to Lemma 3.3 the basepoint-preserving homeomorphism \(\psi_U: (\overline{U}, p_U) \to (\overline{D}, 0)\) conjugates \(f^k\) to power a map \(P_{d_1} = \psi_U \circ f^k \circ \psi_U^{-1}\). Since \(f\) is postcritically-finite, the periodic Fatou components \(U\) is superattracting, and thus the degree \(d_1\) of the power map is at least 2. Similarly, by Lemma 3.3 the maps \(\tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^l \circ \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1}\) and \(\tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^{k+l} \circ \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1}\) are power maps \(P_{d_2}\) and \(P_{d_3}\), respectively.
Since \( U, V, W \) are Jordan regions, the maps \( \psi_U, \tilde{\psi}_V, \tilde{\psi}_W \) give homeomorphisms between the boundaries of the corresponding Fatou components and \( \partial \mathbb{D} \). Since \( \xi \) is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of \( \partial U \) onto \( \partial V \), the map \( \phi = \tilde{\psi}_V \circ \xi \circ \psi_U^{-1} \) gives an orientation-preserving homeomorphism on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \). Now (8.6) for \( n = l \) implies that on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \) we have

\[
P_{d_3} \circ \phi = \tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^{k+l} \circ \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1} \circ \phi = \tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^{k+l} \circ \xi \circ \psi_U^{-1}
= \tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^l \circ \xi \circ f^k \circ \psi_U^{-1} = \tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^l \circ \xi \circ \psi_U^{-1} \circ P_{d_1}
= \tilde{\psi}_W \circ g^l \circ \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1} \circ \phi \circ P_{d_1} = P_{d_2} \circ \phi \circ P_{d_1},
\]

where \( d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( d_1 \geq 2 \).

Lemma 7.1 implies that \( \phi \) extends to \( \overline{\mathbb{D}} \) as a rotation around 0, also denoted by \( \phi \). In particular, \( \phi(0) = 0 \), and so \( \phi \) preserves the basepoint 0 in \( \overline{\mathbb{D}} \). If we define \( \xi = \tilde{\psi}_V^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi_U \) on \( \overline{U} \), then \( \xi \) is a conformal homeomorphism of \( (\overline{U}, p_U) \) onto \( (\overline{V}, \tilde{p}_V) \).

In this way, we can conformally extend \( \xi \) to every periodic Fatou component of \( f \) so that \( \xi \) maps the basepoint of a Fatou component to the basepoint of the image component. To get such an extension also for the other Fatou components \( V \) of \( f \), we proceed inductively on the level of the Fatou component. So suppose that the level of \( V \) is \( \geq 1 \) and that we have already found an extension for all Fatou components with a level lower than the level of \( V \). This applies to the Fatou component \( U' = f(V) \) of \( f \), and so a conformal extension \( (U, p_U) \to (U', \tilde{p}_U') \) of \( \xi|_{\partial U} \) exists, where \( U' \) is the Fatou component of \( g \) bounded by \( \xi|_{\partial U} \). Let \( \tilde{V} \) be the Fatou component of \( g \) bounded by \( \xi|_{\partial V} \), and \( W = g^{l+1}(\tilde{V'}) \). Then by using (8.5) on \( \partial V \) we conclude that \( g^l(U') = W \). Define \( \alpha = g^l \circ \xi|_{\overline{U'}} \circ f|_{\overline{V'}} \) and \( \beta = \xi|_{\partial V} \). Then the assumptions of Lemma 3.4 are satisfied for \( D = \overline{V}, p_D = p_V \), and the iterate \( g^{l+1} : \overline{V'} \to \mathbb{W} \) of \( g \). Indeed, \( \alpha \) is continuous on \( \overline{V} \) and holomorphic on \( V \), we have

\[
\alpha^{-1}(p_W) = f^{-1}(\xi|_{\overline{V'}}^{-1}(\tilde{p}_V')) = f^{-1}(p_V) = \{p_V\},
\]

and

\[
g^{l+1} \circ \beta = g^{l+1} \circ \xi|_{\partial V} \circ g^l \circ \xi|_{\partial U} \circ f|_{\partial V} = \alpha|_{\partial V}.
\]

Since \( \beta \) is a homeomorphism, it follows that there exists a conformal homeomorphism \( \tilde{\alpha} \) of \( (\overline{V}, p_V) \) onto \( (\overline{V'}, \tilde{p}_V') \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha}|_{\partial V} = \beta = \xi|_{\partial V} \).

In other words, \( \tilde{\alpha} \) gives the desired basepoint preserving conformal extension to the Fatou component \( V' \).

This argument shows that \( \xi \) has a (unique) conformal extension to each Fatou component of \( f \). We know that the peripheral circles of \( J(f) \) are uniform quasicircles, and that \( J(f) \) has measure zero.
Lemma 2.1 now implies that $\xi$ extends to a Möbius transformation on $\hat{C}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

The techniques discussed also easily lead to a proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $f$ be a postcritically-finite rational map whose Julia set $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet. Let $G$ be the group of all Möbius transformations $\xi$ on $\hat{C}$ with $\xi(\mathcal{J}(f)) = \mathcal{J}(f)$, and $H$ be the subgroup of all elements in $G$ that preserve orientation. By Theorem 1.1 it is enough to prove that $G$ is finite. Since $G = H$ or $H$ has index 2 in $G$, this is true if we can show that $H$ is finite.

Note that the group $H$ is discrete, i.e., there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{p \in \hat{C}} \sigma(\xi(p), p) \geq \delta_0$$

for all $\xi \in H$ with $\xi \neq \text{id}_{\hat{C}}$. Indeed, we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ so small that there are at least three distinct complementary components $D_1, D_2, D_3$ of $\mathcal{J}(f)$ that contain disks of radius $\delta_0$. In order to show (8.7), suppose that $\xi \in H$ and $\sigma(\xi(p), p) < \delta_0$ for all $p \in \hat{C}$. Then $\xi(D_i) \cap D_i \neq \emptyset$, and so $\xi(D_i) = D_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, because $\xi$ permutes the closures of Fatou components of $f$. This shows that $\xi$ is a conformal homeomorphism of the closed Jordan region $D_i$ onto itself. Hence by Brouwer’s fixed point theorem, $\xi$ has a fixed point in $D_i$. Since $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is a Sierpiński carpet, the closures $\overline{D_1}, \overline{D_2}, \overline{D_3}$ are pairwise disjoint, and we conclude that $\xi$ has at least three fixed points. Since $\xi$ is an orientation-preserving Möbius transformation, this implies that $\xi = \text{id}_{\hat{C}}$, and the discreteness of $H$ follows.

We will now analyze the type of a Möbius transformation contained in the group $H$ (for the relevant classification of Möbius transformations up to conjugacy, see [Be1, Section 4.3]). So consider an arbitrary $\xi \in H$, $\xi \neq \text{id}_{\hat{C}}$.

Then $\xi$ cannot be loxodromic; indeed, otherwise $\xi$ has a repelling fixed point $p$ which necessarily has to lie in $\mathcal{J}(f)$. We now argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 and “blow down” by iterates $\xi^{-n_k}$ near $p$ and “blow up” by the conformal elevator using iterates $f^{m_k}$ to obtain a sequence of conformal maps of the form $h_k = f^{m_k} \circ \xi^{-n_k}$ that converge uniformly to a (non-constant) conformal limit function $h$ on a disk $B$ centered at a point $q \in \mathcal{J}(f)$. Again this sequence stabilizes, and so $h_{k+1} = h_k$ for large $k$ on a connected non-degenerate subset of $B$, and hence on $\hat{C}$ by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions. This leads to a relation of the form $f^k \circ \xi^l = f^m$, where $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Comparing degrees we get $m = k$, and so we have $f^k = f^k \circ \xi^{-ln}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. 
This is impossible, because \( f^k = f^k \circ \xi^{-ln} \to f^k(p) \) near \( p \) as \( n \to \infty \), while \( f^k \) is non-constant.

The Möbius transformation \( \xi \) cannot be parabolic either; otherwise, after conjugation we may assume that \( \xi(z) = z + a \) with \( a \in \mathbb{C}, a \neq 0 \). Then necessarily \( \infty \in \mathcal{J}(f) \). On the other hand, we know that the peripheral circles of \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) are uniform quasicircles that occur on all locations and scales with respect to the chordal metric. Translated to the Euclidean metric near \( \infty \) this means that \( \mathcal{J}(f) \) has complementary components \( D \) with \( \infty \notin \partial D \) that contain Euclidean disks of arbitrarily large radius, and in particular of radius \( > |a| \); but then \( \xi \) cannot move \( D \) off itself, and so \( \xi(D) = D \) for the translation \( \xi \). This is impossible.

Finally, \( \xi \) can be elliptic; then after conjugation we have \( \xi(z) = az \) with \( a \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( |a| = 1, a \neq 1 \). Since \( H \) is discrete, \( a \) must be a root of unity, and so \( \xi \) is a torsion element of \( H \).

We conclude that \( H \) is a discrete group of Möbius transformations such that each element \( \xi \in H \) with \( \xi \neq \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}} \) is a torsion element. It is well-known that such a group \( H \) is finite (one can derive this from [Be1, p. 70, Theorem 4.3.7] in combination with the considerations in [Be1, p. 84]).

\[ \square \]
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