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ABSTRACT

Physical properties of two-dimensional materials, such as graphene, black phosphorus, molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) and tungsten disulfide, exhibit significant dependence on their lattice orientations, especially for zigzag and armchair lattice orientations. Understanding of the atomic probe motion on surfaces with different orientations helps in the study of anisotropic materials. Unfortunately, there is no comprehensive model that can describe the probe motion mechanism. In this paper, we report a tribological study of MoS$_2$ in zigzag and armchair orientations. We observed a characteristic power spectrum and friction force values. To explain our results, we developed a modified, two-dimensional, stick-slip Tomlinson model that allows simulation of the probe motion on MoS$_2$ surfaces by combining the motion in the Mo layer and S layer. Our model fits well with the experimental data and provides a theoretical basis for tribological studies of two-dimensional materials.
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1. Introduction

Two-dimensional materials with strong in-plane covalent bonding and weak van der Waals interaction are the most common class of crystalline structures that can be easily exfoliated into atomically thin layers. Achievements in fabrication have led to a new research field devoted to the study of their novel physical, chemical and mechanical properties,[1–6] which have fascinated the scientific community. Among the family of two-dimensional materials, the form that attracts the most interest is graphene, which has shown superior carrier mobility of up to 200,000 cm$^2$V$^{-1}$ and record thermal conductivity and stiffness.[7–9] The discovery of graphene has also unveiled other layered-structure materials, which include the graphene analogs hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN), black phosphorus, and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDC). For instance, the perfectly flat and electrically insulating properties allow the use of h-BN as an alternative substrate and excellent gate dielectric.[10,11] A predicted direct bandgap of 2 eV and its anisotropic nature make black phosphorus an ideal material for the infrared regime and new types of plasmonic devices.[12,13]

Among the two-dimensional materials, graphene, with inherent zero-band gap,[14] usually requires complex bandgap engineering processes to fabricate field-effect transistors (FET).[15,16] The wide bandgap of h-BN makes it unsuitable for electronic applications.[17] Black phosphorus, however, is chemically inert in atmosphere, and its monolayer form is expected to be destroyed upon...
exposure to air,[18] which remains an important challenge restricting its future applications. By comparison, several TMDCs have sizable bandgaps of 1–2 eV and possess novel properties that are complementary to, yet distinctive from, those of graphene, ranging from electronic, optical, mechanical, chemical and thermal properties.[19,20] Furthermore, TMDCs are generally air-stable and naturally abundant,[21] rendering them promising channel materials to replace silicon.

In particular, MoS₂, a TMDC, is useful in device applications due to its satisfactory bandgaps, good flexibility, thermal stability, excellent carrier mobility, and compatibility with silicon CMOS (Complimentary Metal Oxide Semiconductor).[22,23] and is being heavily investigated for wide-ranging application in electronics and optoelectronics.[24–27] For example, the high on-off current ratio, up to 200 cm² V⁻¹ carrier mobility and good sub-threshold swing have been utilized to fabricate more complex, two-dimensional, hetero-CMOS inverters with low power consumption.[28] An indirect-to-direct bandgap transition occurs when bulk MoS₂ is thinned to a monolayer, which induces strong photoluminescence (PL) with sensitive photoresponse, thus rendering it attractive for optoelectronic devices. [29,30] In sum, the intriguing prospect of the potential nanoelectronic applications, which may take advantage of the novel physical properties, has inspired researchers to explore the unknown characteristics of MoS₂.

Recently, intensive theoretical research on MoS₂ nanoribbons has shown that armchair nanoribbons are nonmagnetic and semiconducting,[31] whereas zigzag nanoribbons are ferromagnetic metallic.[32] Thus, most of the aforementioned electrical and optical applications largely depend on the edge state because metallic edges may result in current leakage and thereby immensely hinder normal device function.[33] However, for piezotronics, zigzag edge is preferable because it induces and accumulates piezoelectric polarization charges to produce piezoelectric current and voltage responses due to its ferromagnetic property.[34] In view of the fact that different chirality directly leads to distinct properties and practical applications, it is essential to extensively investigate these two lattice orientations, towards finding a convenient method to effectively differentiate them in the future.

The specific chirality of a material can be determined by the lattice orientation detection technique,[35,36] which presents the atomic resolution of the corresponding material and enables us to investigate the unknown microscopic domains. Current lattice orientation detection techniques include transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM).[37–40] TEM and STM techniques require either extremely expensive equipment, ultra-high vacuum (UHV) environment or additional gold coating procedures to produce a conductive substrate, which greatly increases the difficulty of detecting multiple lattice orientation. AFM is also able to achieve a high-resolution atomic image, and has the advantage over TEM and STM that it can simultaneously acquire the lattice orientation and friction information through the atomic image of the material surface in lateral force microscopy (LFM) mode. Meanwhile, as a lubricant material, the tribological properties of MoS₂ have a significant impact on many fields of science and technology, and the relevant research mainly focuses on the friction force of interlayer sliding [41–43] or the friction motion on various substrates.[44] Atomic-scale friction has been studied but knowledge is not sufficient. Research on atomic-scale friction between the probe and surface is scarce and does not address the relationship between friction and lattice orientation. In view of the shortcomings in TEM and STM techniques and the enormous significance of atomic-scale tribological research, AFM is more suitable for our study and is employed to obtain the friction information.

This study aims to carry out research on atomic-scale friction of MoS₂ and to work towards identifying lattice orientation, and is the first study of the friction variation and friction force in zigzag and armchair lattice orientations of MoS₂ using AFM and LFM. A modified model is established to explain thoroughly the motion mechanism of the probe on the MoS₂ surface in zigzag and armchair orientations. For a better comparison of the friction signal variation, fast Fourier transform (FFT) is performed. Finally, measurements of the friction force in the experiment and simulation are conducted to explore uncharted territories in the atomic-scale friction of MoS₂.

2. Modeling of the lateral friction in zigzag and armchair orientations

In the lateral friction experiment, stick-slip movement occurs between the probe and MoS₂ surface.[45] To derive the relationship between the friction force and the lattice orientation and to further establish a comprehensive model of probe motion, a two-dimension Tomlinson model,[46] which describes the movement in idealized LFM constant-force mode, is adopted for the simulation modeling:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{m}_x \ddot{x}_i & = k_x(x_M - x_i) - \frac{\partial V(x_i, y_i)}{\partial x_i} - \gamma_x \dot{x}_i, \\
\mathbf{m}_y \ddot{y}_i & = k_y(y_M - y_i) - \frac{\partial V(x_i, y_i)}{\partial y_i} - \gamma_y \dot{y}_i,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m_x \) and \( m_y \) are the effective mass of the system, \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are the actual positions of probe at particular time, \( x_M \) and \( y_M \) are the equilibrium positions of the probe, \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) are the elasticity of the probe, and \( \gamma_x \) and \( \gamma_y \) are the damping constants of the system. In theory, the degree of freedom for the motion of atoms is high in two-dimensional systems in which non-adiabatic motion is
avoided.\cite{47} In our two-dimensional Tomlinson model, the sweeping of the probe can be regarded as adiabatic.

To obtain the solutions of Equation (1), an appropriate and simple interaction potential $V(x_t, y_t)$ has to be selected. The original interaction potential is described as:

$$V(x_t, y_t) = V_0 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi a_x}{a_x} x_t \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi a_y}{a_y} y_t \right)$$

(2)

where $a_x$ and $a_y$ are the lattice constants of S layer in a MoS$_2$ film. Typical LFM parameters are:\cite{46} $V_0 = 1.0$ ev, $k_x = k_y = 10$ Nm$^{-1}$, $V_m = 400$ Å S$^{-1}$, $V_0$ is the initial value of tip-sample interaction, and $V_m$ is the scan speed. Then, the damping constant of the system can be obtained $\gamma_x = \gamma_y = 2 \sqrt{k_x m_x} \approx 10^{-3}$ Ns/m.

MoS$_2$ film can be envisioned as a sandwich-like slab that consists of two individual atomic layers, a Mo layer and an S layer. As shown in the inset of Figure 1, Mo and S atoms are covalently linked in a sandwich structure. According to the literature, the characteristic value of MoS$_2$ can be approximated as follows: in the same layer, the distances between Mo atom and S atom and between the two S atoms are 3.16 Å and 2.41 Å, respectively; however, in different layers, the perpendicular distance between two S atoms and between S and Mo atoms is 3.17 Å and 1.58 Å, respectively. The bond angle between two S atoms and a Mo atom is 82°.

Given the stick-slip movement, the probe shuttles between the upper layer (S layer) and lower layer (Mo layer) in the actual LFM experiment. Although the interaction between the probe and lower layer might be weaker compared to that of the upper layer, it should not be ignored to establish a comprehensive motion model. In the following two sections, the probe motion in zigzag and armchair orientations combining two layers will be discussed in detail.
2.1. Motion in zigzag orientation

For zigzag orientation, the probe moves along two distinct paths due to the disparity in the relative position of the MoS\(_2\) lattice structure, which are the first type zigzag (zz-1) and the second type zigzag (zz-2), as depicted in Figures 2(a) and (c), respectively. The scan direction of zz-1 is defined as 0°. For zz-2, the scan direction is 60°. In view of the characteristic of stick-slip movement, we assume the probe moves via the central points in each layer. Then, considering the two different types of atoms in MoS\(_2\), the probe motion in each path of zigzag orientation can be further divided into two distinct cases: one case starts from the central points of the Mo crystal cell and terminates at the central points of the S crystal cell; the other case is the opposite. For instance, in the case of zz-1, as shown in Figure 2(a), two moving trajectories are possible, A-B-C-D-E or A′-B′-C′-D′-E′. For A-B-C-D-E, the trajectory consists of various lines -D′-E′-B′. Trajectories are possible, A-B-C-D-E or A-C-D-E or A-B-E-C-D. The component of each trajectory can be modified as:

\[ R = R \cos \theta \]

2.2. Motion in armchair orientation

In a similar way, the moving path of armchair orientation can be divided into two distinct paths: the first type armchair (ac-1) and the second type armchair (ac-2), as depicted in Figures 2(b) and (d). The scan directions of these two paths are 30° and 90°, respectively. Each path contains two different trajectories. As shown in Figures 2(b) and (d), in armchair orientation, the probe moves along M-N-P-Q or M′-N′-P′-Q′ and R-S-T or R′-S′-T′. The component of each trajectory is the same as in zigzag orientation discussed above: the line segments between the central points of S or Mo crystal cell and that of their adjacent crystal cell. These lines segments are also marked in different colors in terms of length. Notably, as shown in Figure 2(d), the length of the moving trajectories R-S-T and R′-S′-T′ in the second type of armchair orientation are equal due to the specific lattice symmetry.

2.3. Simulation results

According to the analysis above, the movements of the probe in both S layer and Mo layer must be considered together. The lattice constants in the original interaction potential described in Equation (2) need to be modified because they simply reflect the movement in S layers. To obtain the actual lattice constants combining the movement in two layers, the accurate length of the various trajectories should be calculated first. Based on the triangle cosine theorem, the length of the line segments in different colors can be obtained by taking these parameters into the calculation. Furthermore, the length of various trajectories, \( R \), both in zigzag and armchair orientations, can be obtained by simple addition. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Based on the data, the original interaction potential can be modified as:

\[ V(x, y) = V_0 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi R}{R^*} x \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi R}{R^*} y \right) \]

Where \( R^* \) is the actual lattice constant considering the moving trajectory \( R \) in various lattice orientations. The expression of \( R^* \) is as follows:

\[ R^* = R \cos \theta \]

where \( \theta \) is the corresponding lattice orientation. For zz-1, zz-2, and ac-1, the values of \( \theta \) are 0°, 60°, and 30°, respectively. For ac-2, considering the particularity of the cosine function (\( \cos 90° = 0 \)), 90° is treated as 30°.

Then, simulations of the lateral force friction under zigzag and armchair orientations are performed using Matlab software (MathWorks, USA, version 7.0). The simulation results are displayed in Figure 3.

3. Experimental method

The substrate material used for room-temperature tests was silicon covered with a 285-nm-thick oxide. To improve the measurement accuracy, two types of multilayer MoS\(_2\) film samples were fabricated. The first type, labeled sample-1, was grown by chemical vapor deposition at the Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics. The original solution was diluted three times and then sonicated for 12 minutes at 59 Hz in an ultrasonic oscillator (SK5210 LHC). One 2 µl drop was then cast on a freshly cleaned silicon substrate and dried at

| Color  | Computation result (Å) | Lattice orientation | Expression of motion period | \( R \) (Å) |
|--------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Black  | 2.85                   | zz-1                | 2 x b / 2 x g               | 5.7 / 8.12|
| Green  | 4.06                   | zz-2                | b + p / g + p               | 6.51 / 7.72|
| Purple | 3.66                   | ac-1                | b + p / g + p               | 6.51 / 7.72|
|        |                        | ac-2                | b + g                       | 6.91      |
Figure 3. Simulation results of the lateral friction for various lattice orientations of MoS$_2$: (a) zz-1 orientation; (b) ac-1 orientation; (c) zz-2 orientation; (d) ac-2 orientation. The simulated waves marked in green indicate the first-case trajectory in each path of zigzag orientation or armchair orientation. The simulated waves marked in orange indicate the second-case trajectory in each path of zigzag orientation or armchair orientation.

Figure 4. (a, b) Surface morphology of the multilayer MoS$_2$ films: (a) sample-1; (b) sample-2. (c, d) Height profile along the white line in (c) panel a; (d) panel b. The black rectangles indicate the scan areas.
The length, width, height, radius, and thickness of the probe were 210 µm, 21 µm, 4.95 µm, 10 nm and 0.5 m, respectively, as shown in the inset of Figure 5. The spring constant of the probe was 0.02 N m⁻¹. During the experiment for two cases, the scan size and rate were 10 nm and 29.5 Hz, respectively. The LFM experiment typically achieved the best atomic-scale imaging under 90° scanning. The scan angle in two cases remained constant at 90°. In addition, the proportional gain, integral gain and setpoint value were kept at 0.2, 0.3 and 0.5, respectively. Finally, the total number of the lines for each atomic image, i.e. the pixel resolution of the image, was 256.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Atomic images of two types of MoS₂ multilayers

After FFT filtering, atomic images for the two types of MoS₂ films were acquired. The surface morphology and atomic images of the central parts in MoS₂ films are displayed in Figure 6(a) and (b). Because the scanning angle in two cases was constant at 90°, the lattice structure was achieved by rotating the original lattice by 90° either clockwise or counter-clockwise (the obtained results were the same in both cases). In addition, for a single crystal, the lattice distribution of the entire sample is continuous and unbroken. Therefore, the edge state is consistent with the inner structure. The right sharp edge of the mechanical exfoliated single crystal MoS₂ film can be safely deduced through the central atomic distribution image because it is parallel to zz'-2 orientation, as indicated by the red arrow in Figure 6(b). After acquiring these atomic images, the useful information they contain is further extracted and discussed in detail in the following section.

4.2. Characteristics of actual lateral friction signals

After discerning the different lattice orientations, the lateral friction signal waves of the corresponding film can be obtained by pulling a line along each angle in the atomic image, as displayed in Figures 7(a) and (b).
direction and 0° lattice orientation, which remains constant in the same friction atomic image. The values of φ are approximately 10° and 7°. By pulling the line along different angles of 0° and 60° or 30° and 90°, lateral friction signal waves of zigzag and armchair lattice orientations can be obtained, respectively. The lateral friction signal waves in two cases are plotted in Figure 8(a) and (b).

For the sake of accuracy, each angle was processed at three different locations of the friction atomic image. Because the total number of the rows per image is 256, the three locations can be differentiated by the number of rows they locate. Three distinct locations are colored in black, red and blue. In addition, φ, representing the actual location of the films, is the angle between the 0° scanning direction and 0° lattice orientation, which remains constant in the same friction atomic image. The values of φ are approximately 10° and 7°. By pulling the line along different angles of 0° and 60° or 30° and 90°, lateral friction signal waves of zigzag and armchair lattice orientations can be obtained, respectively. The lateral friction signal waves in two cases are plotted in Figure 8(a) and (b).

Table 2. Relevant data of the simulation zigzag and armchair orientation parameters using in the simulation.

| Lattice orientation type | Number of wave peaks in simulation result | Number of wave peaks in actual friction signal | Corresponding trajectory of actual friction signal | Shape of the wave in simulation result | Shape of the wave in actual friction signal |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| zz-1                     | 10/7                                     | 10                                            | A'-B'-C'-D'-E'                                   | Saw-tooth wave                        | Saw-tooth wave                          |
| zz-2                     | 13/11                                    | 11                                            | F-G-H-I-J                                       | Saw-tooth wave                        | Combination of two types of saw-tooth wave varying in height |
| ac-1                     | 6/5                                      | 6                                             | M'-N'-P'-Q'                                     | Combination of two types of saw-tooth wave varying in height | Combination of two types of saw-tooth wave varying in height |
| ac-2                     | 7                                        | 7                                             | R-S-T ( R'-S'-T)                                | Saw-tooth wave                        | Combination of two types of saw-tooth wave varying in height |

Figure 7. Atomic images of multilayer MoS₂ films. (a) Sample-1 and (b) Sample-2. The black, red and blue lines indicate the 68th, 137th and 221st rows of the atomic image, respectively. The four dashed lines marked different colors indicate zz-1, zz-2, ac-1 and ac-2 orientations at different locations, respectively.

Figure 8. Lateral friction signal of multilayer MoS₂ films. (a) Lateral friction signal of sample-1. (b) Lateral friction signal of sample-2. The waves in black, red and blue indicate the friction signal obtained from 68th, 137th and 221st rows of the atomic image, respectively.
Based on the actual lateral friction signal waves obtained from the two samples, we compare the simulation results and the actual friction signal; the results are summarized in Table 2.

According to Table 2, most of the data in the real signal profile exhibit consistent correlation with the simulation results with respect to the shape and the numbers of wave peaks. However, inconsistency between the simulation and the actual signal in the number of wave peaks is observed. The simulation is based on the assumption that each lattice direction may contain two trajectories. But in realistic friction experiments, only one of the postulated motion curves exists. According to the number of wave peaks in the actual friction signal, the possible paths for zz-1, zz-2, ac-1 and ac-2, are $A'-B'-C'-D'-E'$, $F-G-H-I-J$, $M'-N'-P'-Q'$, and $R-S-T$, respectively. The theoretical result is simulated under ideal conditions, whereas the experimental data with uncertainties leads to bias in various levels.

### 4.3. Power spectrum analysis of the friction signal variation in zigzag and armchair orientations

The direct comparison between the simulation result and the actual friction signal demonstrates the validity of the established motion model for the probe motion on the MoS$_2$ surface. We conducted an extensive analysis of the actual friction signals between the two samples. As the AFM and LFM experiments are performed under ambient conditions, several external factors, such as alteration of the experimental parameters, environmental noise and condition of the apparatus, affect the experimental results to varying degrees. Hence, the actual friction signals include disturbances, and direct comparison is not suitable. To address this problem, an appropriate technique should be selected.

FFT is a computationally effective technique in signal processing. With the aid of FFT, the irrelevant external influences we mentioned above could be reduced and even eliminated. For these reasons, we employ this approach to process all of the waves in the various lattice orientations obtained from the friction atomic image. After processing the original friction signal waves in Figure 8(a) and (b), power spectra of each lattice orientation can be obtained, as shown in Figure 9(a) and (b).

Figures 9(a) and (b) show the distinct variation of the actual friction signals. For zz-1 and zz-2 lattice orientations, only one major peak appears in the corresponding power spectrum diagrams, whereas for ac-1 and ac-2 lattice orientations, the number of major peaks is generally two. This phenomenon is reasonable considering the shapes of the waves listed in Table 2. However, to thoroughly explain this phenomenon, we need to return to the modified interaction potential. In view of the physical structure of MoS$_2$ and the relationship between $a_y$ and $\sqrt{3}a_x$, Equation 3 can be re-written as:

$$V(x, y) = V_0 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{R^*}x\right) \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}R^*}y\right)$$

(5)

In addition, $x_i$ and $y_i$ can be replaced by $x_i = r \cos \theta, y_i = r \sin \theta$. By substituting these two relations into Equation (5), the inherent frequency depending on the angle can be obtained:

$$w_1 = \left|\cos \theta + \sin \theta / \sqrt{3}/R^*\right|$$

(6)

$$w_2 = \left|\cos \theta - \sin \theta / \sqrt{3}/R^*\right|$$

(7)

**Figure 9.** Power spectrum diagrams of the lateral friction signal for (a) Sample-1 and (b) Sample-2. The waves in black, red and blue indicate the power spectra obtained from friction signal at the 68th, 137th and 221st rows of the atomic image, respectively.
where \( \frac{dx}{dV_n} \) can be derived from the slope of the force curve, which is presented in the inset of Figure 10(a). According to the calculation \( \frac{dx}{dV} = 130.51 \text{nm V}^{-1} \), then, the friction force of various lattice orientations can be calculated from the following equation:

\[
F_l \approx 0.052n\text{Nm} - 1V^{-1} \times V_l
\]

The actual friction forces of two MoS\(_2\) films in various lattice orientations are displayed in Figures 10(a) and (b). As shown in Figure 10(a), the average actual friction force in the thinner film, S-1, is higher than that of the thicker film, S-2, which is consistent with published reports.[50]

For more proof, we calculated the friction force of the simulation results. Based on the data displayed in Figure 8, the simulated friction force can be directly obtained by averaging the absolute values for various lattice orientations. The simulated friction force is displayed in Figure 10(b).

As shown in Figure 10(a) and (b), the friction force varies with the lattice orientations in MoS\(_2\). The discovery of this phenomenon will play a critical role in building a closed-loop fabrication strategy with real-time force sensor feedback to detect and control the lattice orientation. We also observed that the friction force in the actual situation does not perfectly match with the simulated results in terms of the friction force distribution in zigzag and armchair lattice orientations. The inconsistency is due to unavoidable experimental error. The exact reason remains unclear.

5. Conclusions

In summary, highly distinct, atomic-scale friction in zigzag and armchair lattice orientations of MoS\(_2\) is revealed through simulation and experiment. In particular, the friction signals of the two orientations are thoroughly compared. First, to reflect the relationship between the lateral friction and the lattice orientation, we propose the motion trajectories of the probe in both zigzag and armchair orientations and establish a comprehensive motion...
model. Then, the simulation of the friction force is completed using Matlab software. Furthermore, an AFM and LFM based experiment is conducted to obtain the actual friction signal. The consistency between the simulation results and the experimental data confirms the validity of the friction modeling for MoS 2. By employing the FFT technique, the power spectra of the lateral friction materials.

Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, from the State Key Laboratory of Robotics, Shenyang provide the possibility of establishing an effective lattice orientation may hint a corresponding orientation detecting strategy in the future.

Our results may help to develop a reliable and effective method to experimentally differentiate these two important lattice orientations. The theoretical and experimental demonstration provides solid evidence of regular atomic-scale friction variation dependence on zigzag and armchair lattice orientations. Moreover, the distinct characteristics in both lattice orientations provide the possibility of establishing an effective lattice chirality detecting method or developing a real-time force sensing and feedback mechanism, which would be potentially useful for MoS 2 and other two-dimensional materials.
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