Black-hole information puzzle: A generic string-inspired approach
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Abstract

Given the insight stemming from string theory, the origin of the black-hole (BH) information puzzle is traced back to the assumption that it is physically meaningful to trace out the density matrix over negative-frequency Hawking particles. Instead, treating them as virtual particles necessarily absorbed by the BH in a manner consistent with the laws of BH thermodynamics, and tracing out the density matrix only over physical BH states, the complete evaporation becomes compatible with unitarity.

PACS: 04.70.Dy

1 Introduction

The semiclassical description of black-hole (BH) radiation [1] suggests that an initial pure state evolves into a final mixed thermal state [2]. A transition from a pure to a mixed state is incompatible with unitarity of quantum mechanics (QM), which constitutes the famous BH information puzzle. The attempts to restore unitarity can be divided into two types (for reviews, see, e.g., [3]). In the first type, the black hole does not evaporate completely, but ends in a Planck-sized remnant that contains the information missing in the Hawking radiation. The problem is that such a light object should contain a huge amount of information, which seems unphysical. In particular, light objects that may exist in a huge number of different states should have a huge probability for creation in various physical processes, which, however, is not seen in experiments. A variant of the remnant scenario is the creation of a baby-universe not observable from our universe, but such an idea remains rather speculative. In
the second type, the black hole evaporates completely, but the radiation is not exactly thermal. Instead, there are some additional subtle correlations among radiated particles. It is argued that this requires a sort of nonlocality not present in standard quantum field theory (QFT), suggesting that quantum gravity should contain some new nonlocal features.

The most promising candidate for a consistent theory of quantum gravity is string theory. Indeed, it provides new insights on BH thermodynamics (see, e.g., [4, 5] for reviews). In particular, it provides a unitary description of BH radiation and offers a microscopic explanation of the BH entropy proportional to the surface. It also contains some nonlocal features that might explain the desired deviation from exact thermality. Nevertheless, the theoretical description of the mechanism of BH radiation in string theory (see, e.g., [6]) seems completely different from that in the conventional semiclassical theory, so it remains difficult to see where exactly the semiclassical analysis fails. Thus, it would be desirable to understand a generic property that a large class of models of quantum gravity, including string theory, should possess in order to save the unitarity of BH radiation. The aim of this paper is to find such a generic resolution of the BH information puzzle, without using any explicit model of quantum gravity. We find that neither a new sort of nonlocality (for the case of complete evaporation) nor a huge amount of information in a light remnant (for the case of a remnant scenario) is needed. In fact, we find that no new unexpected property of physical laws is required. Instead, the standard rules of QM applied to black holes in a generic and intuitively appealing manner turn out to be sufficient.

2 Physical insights

2.1 Pure thermal states and decoherence

First, let us observe that a thermal distribution of particles is not necessarily incompatible with a possibility that these particles are in a pure state. For a simple example, consider a single quantum harmonic oscillator (with the frequency $\omega$) in the state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_n f_{\omega,n}|n\rangle$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and

$$f_{\omega,n} = \sqrt{1 - e^{-\beta\omega} e^{-\beta \omega n/2}}. \quad (1)$$

Clearly, $|\psi\rangle$ is a pure state. Yet, the probabilities of different energies $E = \omega n$ are proportional to $e^{-\beta E}$, which corresponds to a thermal distribution with the temperature $T = 1/\beta$. The density matrix $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ can be written as

$$\rho = \sum_n |f_{\omega,n}|^2|n\rangle\langle n| + \sum_{n \neq n'} f_{\omega,n} f_{\omega,n'}|n\rangle\langle n'|. \quad (2)$$

The first (diagonal) term represents the usual mixed thermal state. The second (off-diagonal) term is responsible for the additional correlations stemming from the fact that the state is pure. When a simple system (in this case, the single harmonic oscillator) interacts with an environment with a large number of unobserved degrees
of freedom, then, in practice, the presence of the second term is unobservable. Thus, for all practical purposes, the state can be described by the first term only. In QM this is known as the phenomenon of decoherence (for a review see, e.g., [7]). Thus, decoherence provides a mechanism for an effective transition from a pure to a mixed state
\[ |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \xrightarrow{\text{decoher}} \sum_n |f_{\omega,n}|^2 |n\rangle \langle n|. \] (3)
It does not involve any violation of unitarity at the fundamental level.

2.2 The role of negative-frequency particles in semiclassical and fully quantum black holes

As we shall see, the observations above will play a role in our resolution of the BH information paradox. Indeed, the role of decoherence in BH thermodynamics has already been discussed in [8]. Nevertheless, decoherence is not the main part of our resolution. To see the true origin of the BH information puzzle, we start from the fact that standard semiclassical analysis based on the Bogoliubov transformation describes Hawking radiation as particle creation in which the initial vacuum \(|0\rangle\) transforms to a squeezed state [9]
\[ |0\rangle_{\text{squeez}} \rightarrow |\psi\rangle_{\text{squeez}}, \] (4)
where
\[ |\psi\rangle_{\text{squeez}} = \prod_{\omega} \sum_n f_{\omega,n}(M) |n_{-\omega}\rangle \otimes |n_\omega\rangle, \] (5)
and, for massless uncharged spin-0 particles, \(f_{\omega,n}(M)\) are given by (1) with \(\beta \equiv 8\pi M\), where \(M\) is the BH mass. The product is taken over all possible positive values of \(\omega\). The state \(|n_\omega\rangle\) represents outgoing state containing \(n_\omega\) particles, each having frequency \(\omega\), so that their total energy is \(E = \omega n_\omega\). Similarly, \(|n_{-\omega}\rangle\) represents \(n_{-\omega}\) ingoing particles, each having negative frequency \(-\omega\). In our notation, the direct product \(\otimes\) separates the inside states on the left from the outside states on the right. At this level the total energy is not yet conserved, as the energy of the negative-frequency states is also positive, in the sense that the sign of their energy is the same as that of the interior matter determining the BH mass \(M\). The conservation of energy is provided by another mechanism, namely by renormalization of the energy-momentum tensor implying a flux of negative energy across the horizon into the black hole [9]. The overall effect is that the BH mass decreases, such that the total energy is conserved. However, owing to the creation of negative-frequency particles that carry information, the information content of the black hole increases despite the fact that its mass decreases. Does it contradict the first law of BH thermodynamics? Not necessarily, if the BH entropy proportional to the BH surface (and thus to \(M^2\)) is interpreted merely as the part of BH information that is available to the outside observer. However, string theory suggests a very different interpretation of BH entropy – the entropy associated with counting of the internal degrees of freedom of the black hole, independent on the knowledge of an outside observer. Thus, from the
string-theory point of view, the information carried by the negative-frequency particles should be *unphysical*. Indeed, the physical mechanism of BH radiation in string theory does not rest on the Bogoliubov transformation, and hence does not lead to creation of particles in the BH interior \[6\]. Thus, our idea is to modify the semiclassical description of particle creation, in a manner that removes the negative-frequency particles from physical states.

For states \(|n_{-\omega}\rangle\) we find convenient to introduce a negative effective “renormalized” energy \(E = -\omega n_{-\omega}\), without changing the information content of these states. This makes energy conserved already at the level of (5), making the analysis simpler. The product over \(\omega\) shows that states of the form \(|n_{\omega})|n_{\omega}\rangle\cdots\) with total energies \(E = \omega n_{\omega} + \omega' n_{\omega'} + \ldots\) also appear. Thus, it is convenient to rewrite (5) as a sum over energy eigenstates \(|\pm E, \xi\rangle\)

\[
|\psi\rangle_{\text{squeeze}} = \sum_{E} \sum_{\xi} d_{E, \xi}(M) |E, \xi\rangle \otimes |E, \xi\rangle,
\]

where \(\xi\) labels different states having the same outside or inside energy \(\pm E\), and the sum is taken over non-negative values of \(E\). The coefficients \(d_{E, \xi}\) can be expressed in terms of \(f_{\omega, n}\), but the explicit expression will not be needed here. The squeezed state (5) is a pure state and the transition (4) is unitary [10]. Consequently, the density matrix constructed from (6) is pure. However, an outside observer cannot observe the inside states, so the density matrix describing the knowledge of the outside observer is given by tracing out the inside degrees of freedom of the total density matrix. Applying this to (6), one obtains

\[
\rho_{\text{out}} = \sum_{E} \sum_{\xi} |d_{E, \xi}(M)|^2 |E, \xi\rangle \langle E, \xi|,
\]

which is a mixed state. However, we have argued that the negative-energy states are not physical, which means that the mixed thermal state (7) is obtained by tracing out over unphysical degrees of freedom. Hence, this mixed thermal state may also be unphysical. A physical density matrix should be obtained by tracing out over physical (but unobserved) degrees of freedom. The difference between unphysical and unobserved degrees is in the fact that the former cannot be observed even in principle, by any observer.

The unphysical negative-energy particles can be intuitively viewed as virtual particles analogous to those appearing in Feynman diagrams of conventional perturbative QFT. They cannot exist as final measurable states. Instead, they must be *absorbed* by physical states. In our case, the physical object that should absorb them is the black hole. To give a precise description of this process of absorption, one should invoke a precise microscopic theory that presumably includes a quantum theory of gravity as well. Nevertheless, the essential features of such an absorption can be understood even without a precise microscopic theory. For simplicity, we study uncharged and unrotating black holes. Thus, we assume that a black hole with a mass \(M\) can be described by a quantum state \(|M; \chi_M\rangle\), where \(\chi_M\) labels different BH states having the same mass \(M\). We assume that the number of different states increases with \(M\) and that there is only one state with mass \(M = 0\), i.e., that \(|0; \chi_0\rangle = \langle 0|\). In
particular, such an assumption is consistent with string theory asserting that entropy of the internal BH degrees of freedom is proportional to the surface, i.e., to $M^2$. It is also consistent with a more naive possibility that the entropy is proportional to the volume, i.e., to $M^3$. In fact, proportionality of entropy to the surface rests on the validity on the Einstein equation, while thermal particle creation from a horizon is a much more general phenomenon [11]. As our analysis will not depend on validity of the Einstein equation, we will not be able to specify the exact number of states with mass $M$. For our purposes, it is sufficient to assume that the absorption of negative-energy particles takes a generic form

$$|M; \chi_M > \rightarrow -E, \xi \absoop |M - E; \chi_{M-E} >.$$  (8)

Such a form is dictated by energy conservation, which, indeed, is consistent with the first law of BH thermodynamics. Note that the left-hand side of (8) has a larger number of different states than the right-hand side. Consequently, the operator governing the absorption (8) is not invertible, and thus cannot be unitary. Nevertheless, the overall unitarity is not necessarily violated. To see why, note that, although the squeezing (4) is described by a formally unitary operator, it is not unitary on the physical Hilbert space (because the physical Hilbert space does not contain the unphysical negative-energy particles). Thus, neither the squeezing (4) nor the absorption (8) are physical processes by themselves. What is physical is their composition

$$|M; \chi_M > \rightarrow \sum_E \sum_\xi d_{E,\xi}(M)|M - E; \chi_{M-E} > \otimes |E, \xi >.$$  (9)

Thus, if the initial state is $|\Psi_0 > = |M; \chi_M >$, then we have a physical transition $|\Psi_0 > \rightarrow |\Psi_1 >$, where $|\Psi_1 >$ is the right-hand side of (9). The physical process (9) is expected to be unitary. (An explicit verification of unitarity requires a more specific model of quantum gravity.) In fact, one may forget about the virtual subprocesses (4) and (8) and consider (9) as the only directly relevant physical process. Indeed, the process of BH radiation in a more advanced theory of quantum gravity may not be based on a Bogoliubov transformation at all, so it may not be formulated in terms of creation of virtual negative-energy particles appearing in (4), but directly in terms of physical processes of the form of (9). In fact, this is exactly what occurs in string theory [6].

Note also that in (8) we assume that the right-hand side does not depend on $\xi$. This reflects on the right-hand side of (9) in the fact that the new BH state does not depend on the state of radiation $\xi$. This means that there is no correlation between radiated particles and BH interior, except for the trivial correlation expressing the fact that total energy must be conserved. The absence of such correlations is expected also from a more general view of the semiclassical description of particle creation [12]. As we shall see, this destruction of the (unphysical) information contained in the negative-energy particles on the left-hand side of (8) makes the remnant scenario viable, by removing the unwanted huge information that otherwise would have to be be present in a light remnant. Nevertheless, later we also discuss a possibility to relax the assumption that the nontrivial correlation between exterior radiation and BH interior is completely absent.
3 The process of radiation – unitary evolution and the role of wave-function collapse

Now the analysis of further steps of the process of BH radiation is mainly technical. After (9), the remaining BH state radiates again, now at a new larger temperature corresponding to the new smaller BH mass $M - E$. Thus, the next step $|\Psi_1\rangle \rightarrow |\Psi_2\rangle$ is based on a process analogous to (9)

$$|M - E; \chi_{M-E} > \rightarrow \sum_{E'} \sum_{\xi'} d_{E',\xi'}(M - E)$$

$$\times |M - E - E'; \chi_{M-E-E'} > \otimes |E',\xi'\rangle,$$

so

$$|\Psi_2\rangle = \sum_E \sum_{E'} \sum_{\xi} \sum_{\xi'} d_{E,\xi}(M) d_{E',\xi'}(M - E)$$

$$\times |M - E - E'; \chi_{M-E-E'} > \otimes |E,\xi\rangle|E',\xi'\rangle.$$

(10)

Repeating the same process $t$ times, we obtain

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = \sum_{E_1} \cdots \sum_{E_t} \sum_{\xi_1} \cdots \sum_{\xi_t}$$

$$\times d_{E_1,\xi_1}(M) \cdots d_{E_t,\xi_t}(M - E_1 - \cdots - E_{t-1})$$

$$\times |M - \mathcal{E}; \chi_{M-\mathcal{E}} > \otimes |E_1,\xi_1\rangle \cdots |E_t,\xi_t\rangle,$$

(12)

where $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{t=1}^{t} E_t$. (A continuous description of evolution labeled by a continuous time parameter $t$ is also possible, but this does not change our main conclusions.)

States with the same energy $\mathcal{E}$ can be grouped together, so we can write

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \sum_{\Xi} |M - \mathcal{E}; \chi_{M-\mathcal{E}} > \otimes D_{\mathcal{E},\Xi}^{(t)}|\mathcal{E},\Xi\rangle,$$

(13)

where $\Xi = \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_t\}$ and the coefficients $D_{\mathcal{E},\Xi}^{(t)}$ can be expressed in terms of $d_{E_t,\xi_t'}$.

Note that, for any finite $t$, $|\Psi_t\rangle$ contains contributions from all possible BH masses $M' = M - \mathcal{E}$. At first sight, it seems to imply that the unitary evolution (13) prevents the black hole from evaporating completely during a finite time $t$. Nevertheless, this is not really true. To see why, it is instructive to consider a simpler quantum decay $|a\rangle \rightarrow |b\rangle$ in which the unitary evolution usually implies an exponential law $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sqrt{1 - e^{-\Gamma t}}|b\rangle + \sqrt{e^{-\Gamma t}}|a\rangle$. For any finite $t$, there is a finite probability $e^{-\Gamma t}$ that the decay has not yet occurred. Nevertheless, a wave-function collapse associated with an appropriate quantum measurement implies that at each time the particle will be found either in the state $|a\rangle$ or $|b\rangle$. Analogously, if the BH mass $M'$ is measured at time $t$, the wave-function collapse implies

$$|\Psi_t\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{measure}} |M - \mathcal{E}; \chi_{M-\mathcal{E}} > \otimes N_{\mathcal{E}} \sum_{\Xi} D_{\mathcal{E},\Xi}^{(t)}|^\mathcal{E},\Xi\rangle,$$

(14)
where $N_E$ is the normalization factor, $N_E^{-2} = \sum_\Xi |D_{E,\Xi}^{(t)}|^2$. Now the black hole is in a definite pure state $|M - E; \chi_{M-E}\rangle$ and the outside particles are in a definite pure state $N_E \sum_\Xi D_{E,\Xi}^{(t)}|E, \Xi\rangle$. (More realistically, the measurement uncertainty $\Delta M'$ is smaller for smaller $M'$, so the outside particles are closer to a pure state when $M'$ is smaller.) For example, it is conceivable that some quantum mechanism might prevent transitions (9) for $M - E < M_{\text{min}}$ (where $M_{\text{min}}$ is a hypothetic minimal possible BH mass). In this case, (14) may correspond to a transition to a BH remnant with a mass $M - E = M_{\text{min}}$. Such a BH remnant is not correlated with the radiated particles (except for the correlation implied by energy conservation) and the information content of the remnant is determined only by its mass. The absence of such correlations is a consequence of the assumption that the right hand-side of (8) does not depend on $\xi$. This assumption could also be relaxed by allowing that at least some different $\xi$'s may correspond to different BH states. In this case, the BH state in (14) would also depend on $\Xi$, so it would not sit in front of the sum over $\Xi$, which would imply that neither the black hole nor the radiation is in an exactly pure state, but that there is a small correlation between them. Nevertheless, the maximal amount of possible correlation is restricted by the smallness of the BH mass. In particular, if $M_{\text{min}} = 0$, then (14) may correspond to a complete evaporation of the black hole, in which case the BH state $|0\rangle$ must be unique, implying that the final state of radiation must be a pure state $N_M \sum_\Xi D_{M,\Xi}^{(t)}|M, \Xi\rangle$.

4 Discussion – thermality, apparent nonunitarity, and the origin of nonlocality

We have seen that, under reasonable assumptions, the BH radiation is in a pure state whenever the BH mass is measured exactly. Does it mean that the BH radiation is not really thermal? Actually not. Instead, the situation is analogous to that in the discussion around Eqs. (1)-(3). For example, if the BH mass is measured after the first step (9), then the radiation collapses to a pure state equal (up to an overall normalization factor) to $\sum_\xi d_{E,\xi}(M)|E, \xi\rangle$. This state is obtained from $\Pi_\omega \sum_n f_{\omega,n}(M)|n_\omega\rangle$ by rewriting it as a sum of products and retaining only those states the total energy of which is equal to $E$. The density matrix of such a pure state takes a form analogous to (2). Due to the decoherence induced by the interaction with the environment, in practice such a state can be effectively described by a mixed state analogous to (3). From (1) we see that it is a thermal mixed state. More precisely, as the total energy $E$ is exactly specified, while the number of particles is specified only in average, this is a thermal state corresponding to a grand microcanonical ensemble. By contrast, the thermal state (7) (in which both total energy and number of particles are specified only in average) corresponds to a grand canonical ensemble.

At the end, let us recall that our resolution of the BH information puzzle involves 4 different types of seemingly nonunitary evolutions. The process of squeezing (4) is formally unitary [10], but it is not unitary on the physical space. It is always accompanied with another nonunitary virtual process (the absorption of negative-energy
particles) Eq. (8), which together are combined into a physical unitary process (9). This represents the core of our resolution of the BH information puzzle. The third nonunitary process is the wave-function collapse (14). The exact meaning of the collapse depends on the general interpretation of QM that one adopts. In particular, in some interpretations (e.g., many-world interpretation and the Bohmian interpretation) a true collapse does not really exist, making QM fully consistent with unitarity. Finally, the fourth nonunitary process is the phenomenon of decoherence (3), which corresponds only to an effective violation of unitarity, not a fundamental one.

Finally note that, although our analysis allows a complete BH evaporation without a true violation of unitarity, no new nonlocal mechanism has been involved. The only new mechanism is the absorption (8), which, however, occurs only inside the black hole, thus not violating locality. Some nonlocal mechanisms are involved in our analysis, namely quantum entanglement and quantum wave-function collapse, but these are standard nonlocal aspects of QM.
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