Hedgehogs and Squirrels as Hosts of Zoonotic Bartonella Species
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Abstract: Free-living animals frequently play a key role in the circulation of various zoonotic vector-borne pathogens. Bacteria of the genus Bartonella are transmitted by blood-feeding arthropods and infect a large range of mammals. Although only several species have been identified as causative agents of human disease, it has been proposed that any Bartonella species found in animals may be capable of infecting humans. Within a wide-ranging survey in various geographical regions of the Czech Republic, cadavers of accidentally killed synurbic mammalian species, namely Eurasian red squirrel (Sciurus vulgaris), European hedgehog (Erinaceus europaeus) and Northern white-breasted hedgehog (Erinaceus roumanicus), were sampled and tested for Bartonella presence using multiple PCR reaction approach targeting several DNA loci. We demonstrate that cadavers constitute an available and highly useful biological material for pathogen screening. High infection rates of Bartonella spp., ranging from 24% to 76%, were confirmed for all three tested mammalian species, and spleen, ear, lung and liver tissues were demonstrated as the most suitable for Bartonella DNA detection. The wide spectrum of Bartonella spp. that were identified includes three species with previously validated zoonotic potential, B. grahamii, B. melophagi and B. washoensis, accompanied by ‘Candidatus B. rudakovii’ and two putative novel species, Bartonella sp. ERIN and Bartonella sp. SCIER.
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1. Introduction

Bartonellae are vector-borne, facultative intracellular, fastidious, gram-negative alpha-2-proteobacteria with significant zoonotic potential. The genus Bartonella represents an ecologically successful group of bacteria distributed worldwide that has been found in
a wide spectrum of blood-feeding arthropods and vertebrates, including humans [1–3] (Table S1).

Currently, there are 53 proposed Bartonella species and seven subspecies with a taxonomic name recognized by the National Center for Biotechnology Information database [4]. Of these, only 37 species and three subspecies have been validly published under the rules of the International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria (Bacteriological Code) [5]. New species and subspecies from all over the world are continuously being recognized. As candidate species from a wide range of animal reservoirs have been described but not yet assigned, the number of described taxa is steadily growing [6].

In mammals, Bartonella infection is characterized by a long-term persistence in erythrocytes and endothelial cells. This protects the bacteria from rapid clearance by the host’s immune system [7,8], making mammals suitable reservoir hosts. Long-lasting bacteremia facilitates the transmission of bartonellae by various blood-feeding arthropod vectors between different reservoirs as well as non-reservoir hosts [9,10]. It is anticipated that numerous arthropods are involved in the transmission, such as sand flies, lice, hippoboscid flies, bed bugs, ticks and above all fleas [3,11]. Moreover, some Bartonella species remain infectious in arthropod feces and can infect superficial wounds, e.g., through scratching [3].

Anthroponotic Bartonella bacilliformis and B. quintana and zoonotic B. henselae are the most well-known causative agents of human bartonellosis and cause the vast majority of human disease cases attributed to bartonellae [6]. Nevertheless, in the last two decades, human diseases linked to other Bartonella species (B. alisma, B. ancashensis, B. clarridgeiae, B. doshiae, B. elizabethae, B. grahamii, B. koehlerae, B. koshoyi, B. nayotimonensis, B. melophagi, B. rattiimusiliensis, B. rochalimae, B. schoenbuchensis, B. tamiae, B. tribocorum, B. vinsonii and B. washoensis) have been described, particularly in immunocompromised patients, with mild to serious symptoms (details provided in Table S1). Currently, bartonellosis is considered an emerging zoonotic disease (e.g., [12,13]). It has even been hypothesized that any of the Bartonella species is potentially capable of infecting humans [6,11].

Bartonella species have been detected in (or isolated from) a wide range of mammalian hosts, including humans, carnivores, rodents, bats, ungulates, kangaroos and also marine animals such as sea otters and beluga whales [3,6,10,14,15]. Sea turtles are the only non-mammalian vertebrates in which Bartonella infection has been detected [16]. Nevertheless, small mammals (especially rodents) are considered one of the most common mammalian reservoirs for Bartonella spp. worldwide. The remarkably high infection rate, typically long-lasting bacteremia and vertical transmission observed in some rodents, along with the high level of diversity and frequently mixed infection of different Bartonella species and genotypes, strongly indicate that rodents play a key role as reservoir hosts [2,17–20].

Synurbic animals, which are frequently infested by ticks and fleas, represent a source of pathogens transmissible to humans. They also constitute a valuable source of biological material for vector-borne pathogen monitoring [21–23]. In our surveillance, cadavers of hedgehogs and squirrels found in several different areas of the Czech Republic (mostly urban and suburban) were tested for the presence of Bartonella DNA. Hedgehogs (Erinaceus europaeus and Erinaceus roumanicus) and squirrels (Sciurus vulgaris) tend towards synurbization, and they are often even more abundant in urban (and rural) areas than in natural habitats such as forests (e.g., [24,25]). These mammalian species are found close to humans (in backyards, urban green spaces, etc.) and are well-liked by urban populations (e.g., people feed them, or in the case of hedgehogs, take care of them during overwintering). As such, they constitute a potential source of zoonotic infections, including those transmitted by arthropods (e.g., [21,26,27]). Various species of the Sciuridae family have been described as hosts of Bartonella spp. in countries all over the world (e.g., [28]).

In the Eurasian red squirrel, B. washoensis and B. grahamii have been detected to date [29–32]. Furthermore, B. washoensis has been detected in squirrel ectoparasites, Ixodes ricinus ticks and Ceratophyllus (Monopsyllus) sciurorum fleas [32]. There is only limited information about Bartonella infection in hedgehogs. In Algeria, B. elizabethae and B. tribocorum were detected in Atelerix algirus [33] and, furthermore, B. elizabethae and B. clarridgeiae were detected.
in hedgehog fleas (Archeopsylla erinacei) collected from these hedgehogs [34]. In Israel, a single unique sequence was obtained from Erinaceus concolor, clustering with B. clarridgeiae and B. rochalimae, but a more precise determination was not possible [35]. Two sequences (MF372764 and MF372765) closely related to B. taylorii were detected in E. roumanicus from Hungary [21], and B. henselae was identified in an A. erinacei flea collected from the same hedgehog species [36].

The main aim of this study was to verify the role of hedgehogs and squirrels as Bartonella hosts and to confirm the suitability of cadavers for Bartonella detection. Through multiple PCR targeting several DNA loci, the most suitable tissues for Bartonella detection and species identification were determined. The distribution, specificity and zoonotic potential of the detected Bartonella species are discussed considering various hosts worldwide.

2. Results

Dissection of 55 Eurasian red squirrels, 83 European hedgehogs and 42 Northern white-breasted hedgehogs resulted in a total of 1429 tissue samples (Table S2).

2.1. Tissue Detection Efficiency

To investigate detection efficiency, various tissues from each cadaver were screened for the presence of Bartonella DNA using real-time PCR. Ear/skin, muscle, lung, liver, spleen, urinary bladder, kidney and brain tissue and blood were screened from most of the animals (Figure 1), but in some cases, not all tissues were available (Table S2).
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Figure 1. The overall infection rate of Bartonella spp. assessed by real-time PCR in different tissues obtained from cadavers of Eurasian red squirrels, European and Northern white-breasted hedgehogs presented as a proportion of positive samples relative to all Bartonella DNA-positive individuals with the tissues available.

2.2. Bartonella Infection Rates and Species Identification

The tissue with the lowest Cp value of each real-time PCR positive individual (with the exception of seven animals, where spleen was used preferentially, Figure S1, Table S2) was subsequently tested using a set of six different conventional PCR reactions targeting various loci: citrate synthase (gltA), the beta subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB), and the cell division protein (ftsZ) encoding genes and the 16S–23S rRNA intergenic spacer (ITS).
The ITS and gltA-1 were the most sensitive assays (positive PCR product in 61% and 60% of samples that were previously real-time PCR positive, respectively) and species identification by glutA-1, glutA-2 and rpoB assays was the most successful (Figures 2 and 3). However, each of the chosen PCR protocols revealed positivity or allowed particular Bartonella species identification for at least one host individual missed by all other protocols, e.g., B. washoensis-specific PCR revealed four extra infections in squirrels (Figure 3).

**Figure 2.** Conventional PCR sensitivity presented as a proportion of positive samples relative to all Bartonella-positive samples identified by six PCR assays altogether. Successful detection (including low-quality sequences) is indicated by pictograms of host species (hedgehogs: black = *E. europaeus*, yellow = *E. roumanicus*). In the case of species-specific glutA* PCR, the proportion is calculated from *B. washoensis*-positive samples only. The number of identified Bartonella species for a particular PCR assay (from the total of six detected species) is summarized below the chart.

In the tested set of real-time PCR positive samples (Figure 3), different conventional PCR reactions revealed the DNA of six Bartonella genospecies, including several mixed infections (Figures 3–5). For two squirrels, five European hedgehogs and four Northern white-breasted hedgehogs considered to be Bartonella-positive by real-time PCR, amplification was successful in at least one of the conventional PCR reactions. However, the low sequence quality (identified as Bartonella spp. only) did not allow for accurate species identification (presented in Figures 3 and 4 as “not identified”). In nine cases, the real-time PCR positive samples, which had not been amplified in any of the subsequent conventional PCRs or where the sequencing revealed misamplification of the host DNA, were considered negative for all analyses (Figure 3).
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**Figure 3.** *Bartonella* species determination in real-time PCR. *Bartonella*-positive samples verified by six different conventional PCR reactions and subsequent sequencing. Mixed infections = two colors in the column. Tissue: 1 = ear; 3 = muscle; 4 = blood; 5 = lungs; 6 = liver; 7 = spleen; 8 = urinary bladder; 9 = kidney. *Bartonella washoensis*-specific PCR.
The highest Bartonella spp. infection rate was observed in S. vulgaris (76%, 42/55 individuals), followed by E. roumanicus (43%, 18/42) and E. europaeus (24%, 20/83) (Figure 4).

2.3. Identified Bartonella Species

A total of six Bartonella species were identified by DNA sequencing. Three well-established species, B. washoensis, B. grahamii and B. melophagi, were accompanied by ‘Candidatus B. rudakovii’ and two putative novel species, provisionally designated here as Bartonella sp. ERIN and Bartonella sp. SCIER. No obvious pattern in their geographical distribution was observed in this study (Figure S2).

B. washoensis was the only species for which sequences were obtained for all PCR targeted genes and ITS (Figure 3). All of the sequences (represented by CZ-12, CZ-22 and CZ-146 (gltA), CZ-259 and CZ-262 (rpoB), CZ-23 and CZ-32 (ftsZ) and CZ-175 (ITS); for detailed information about all representative sequences see Table S3) achieved >99% similarity to the B. washoensis GenBank sequences. Phylogenetic analysis of the gltA sequences revealed two closely related genotypes represented by CZ-12 and CZ-146 within the B. washoensis clade (Figure 5). While the CZ-12 and CZ-146 sequences were obtained by species-specific PCR, the CZ-22 was a product of gltA-1 PCR targeting a diverse part of the citrate synthase gene. Thus, we were not able to confirm whether the CZ-22 belongs to one of the genotypes represented by CZ-12 and CZ-146 or not. B. washoensis was detected by different PCR assays in most of the Bartonella-positive squirrels (approximately 67%) and in two European hedgehog individuals (Figures 3–5 and Figure S3).
Figure 5. The phylogenetic tree of the Bartonella species based on the citrate synthase (gltA) gene sequences and reconstructed using the Maximum likelihood method. Statistical support at the nodes is presented as bootstrap values for Maximum likelihood/Bayesian posterior probabilities; the scale bar denotes the number of substitutions per site; the tree was rooted with the Brucella abortus sequence. Newly obtained sequences are shown in red; each genospecies/genotype is represented by a single sequence (incl. GenBank Accession Number). The number of positive animals with the identical sequence is presented in parentheses using the host abbreviations SV (Eurasian red squirrel, Sciurus vulgaris), EE (European hedgehog, Erinaceus europaeus) and ER (Northern white-breasted hedgehog, E. roumanicus). * CZ-22 is a product of PCR targeting other parts of the citrate synthase gene than species-specific PCR products CZ-12 and CZ-146. Thus, we were not able to confirm whether CZ-22 belongs to one of the genotypes represented by CZ-12 and CZ-146 or not.

B. melophagi was detected in the sole European hedgehog (approximately 1%) by amplifying and sequencing the gltA target. The corresponding sequence CZ-87 showed 100% similarity to B. melophagi sequences available in the GenBank (MT635399 and AY724768). 'Candidatus B. rudakovii' (EF682090) was the closest match (97.4%–99.1% similarity) for several sequences obtained exclusively from squirrels, represented by CZ-30, CZ-51 and...
CZ-52, obtained through gltA and rpoB targeted PCR protocols (Figures 3–5 and Figure S3). Bartonella grahamii was detected in two squirrels; the obtained sequences (represented by CZ-124) showed 97.7% similarity to B. grahamii GenBank sequences and clustered to the B. grahamii clade in the phylogenetic analyses (Figure 5).

The two putative novel Bartonella species, Bartonella sp. ERIN and Bartonella sp. SCIER, are represented by gltA sequences CZ-47 and CZ-41, respectively (Figure 5). For Bartonella sp. SCIER, rpoB sequences were also obtained representing three slightly different genotypes (CZ-3, CZ-123 and CZ-232; Figure S3B). Bartonella sp. SCIER was detected in six squirrels, one European hedgehog and six Northern white-breasted hedgehogs. The sequences obtained by gltA-1 PCR assay (represented by CZ-41) showed 98.5% similarity to the B. grahamii GenBank sequences (Table S3). However, phylogenetic analyses indicated rather an unstable position concerning the B. grahamii sequences (Figure 5; data not shown). The three rpoB sequences also had the closest match to B. grahamii (96.1–96.9% similarity, Table S3, Figure S3A).

Bartonella sp. ERIN was detected in both hedgehog species as the most prevalent Bartonella species (55% of all positive E. europaeus and 44% of E. roumanicus) and formed a well-supported clade along with B. clarridgeiae (95.6% similarity), B. rochalimae and ‘C. B. rudakovii’ (Figure 5).

3. Discussion

In parallel with ongoing global changes, novel vector-borne diseases are emerging, and knowledge about the distribution of reservoirs, vectors and pathogens is becoming a key priority for infectious disease control. This trend is further supported by growing interest and new diagnostic approaches. The urban environment is characterized by a high abundance of relatively few vertebrate species that co-occur in communities that do not exist naturally. Thus, free-ranging vertebrates living in urban ecosystems can serve as reservoirs for zoonotic pathogens. This likely has important yet unknown consequences for the transmission dynamics of vector-borne pathogens, particularly for those which can cause persistent infections and have a relatively broad host range. This holds true for Bartonella spp., which is transmitted by various arthropod species and/or via animal bites and scratches and which displays high zoonotic potential (Table S1). In addition, the spectrum of known vertebrate hosts is growing, both for many well-established Bartonella species and for newly recognized ones.

The species diversity of Bartonella spp. detected in the three synurbic target hosts (E. europaeus, E. roumanicus, S. vulgaris) generally aligns with previously published results [29–32,34,35]. The suitability of these mammalian species’ carcasses for Bartonella DNA screening was demonstrated previously by Szekeres et al. [21], von Loewenich et al. [31] and Lipatova et al. [32]. However, in comparison to these studies, we noticed higher infection rates (76%, 24% and 42% for Eurasian red squirrel, European hedgehogs and Northern white-breasted hedgehogs, respectively), most likely owing to the diagnostic approach combining real-time PCR and multiple conventional PCRs. There is no obvious explanation for the observed differences in the infection rates (and Bartonella spp. diversity) between the two hedgehog species, as none of the analyzed parameters (animal age, geographical origin, grade of autolysis) differed significantly (Figure S2; data not shown). 7% (3/45) of squirrels, 17% (4/24) of European hedgehogs and 10% (2/20) of Northern white-breasted hedgehogs, which had previously been positive in real-time PCR, showed negative results for all six conventional PCRs. This was probably due to the higher sensitivity of real-time PCR in comparison to conventional PCRs, in which samples with low bacteremia may be below the detection limit. Discrepancies in the results from these two methods have been observed for different pathogens and the same samples previously [22,23] and for Bartonella spp. in other studies (e.g., [37]). Lipatova et al. [32] also compared the detection efficiency of a particular squirrel’s tissue, showing that lung (47%), bladder (44%) and spleen (39%) samples tested positive for Bartonella more frequently than liver, kidney and ear samples (19%, 14% and 11%, respectively). Our results differed slightly, with the highest detection efficiency for squirrels was found for spleen tissue
We conclude that the multiple PCR reactions approach revealed higher with the same approach used for the mammals in this study. None of these samples were (four and three, respectively), and similarly, three species were detected by the (85%), followed by liver (71%), lung (70%) and ear (68%) tissues (Table S4). However, a different assay using only ITS PCR was used in the compared study. Moreover, tissue tropism is not expected to occur in the case of bartonellae, as it is considered a blood and endothelial cell parasite. Nevertheless, within our survey, blood and ear tissues from 29 European hedgehogs and 28 Northern white-breasted live-trapped hedgehogs were used as a control group for pathogen detection (for methodology and ethical statement, see [23]). Surprisingly, only four European hedgehogs (i.e., one blood sample and three ear tissue samples) were Bartonella-positive according to the real-time PCR results, with the only single sample confirmed by a set of conventional PCRs (data not shown). The skin, muscle, blood, liver and brain tissues from the total of 85 blackbird (Turdus merula) and 11 song thrush (Turdus philomelos) carcasses [22,23] were also tested for Bartonella DNA presence with the same approach used for the mammals in this study. None of these samples were positive, supporting the hypothesis that only mammals (and some reptiles) are hosts for bartonellae, but not birds.

Bartonella spp. identification solely through molecular methods is considered to be a challenging task. It has also been shown that sensitivity varies considerably among different PCR assays, and the primers used may favor detection of specific species in mixed infections [38]. Although we tried to avoid this limitation by using PCRs targeting four different loci, our results may be biased due to the selection of assays more suitable for Bartonella species occurring in squirrels (i.e., B. washoensis). In the comparison of five Bartonella spp. conventional PCR protocols used in this study, the gltA-1 and ITS protocols showed the highest sensitivity (approximately 60%). On the contrary, the ftsZ PCR assay successfully amplified only approximately 6% of the positive samples (Figure 2). Nevertheless, all of the chosen assays enabled us to identify the infection by an extra Bartonella species for at least one sample/specimen, including the B. washoensis-specific PCR protocol. The combination of gltA-1 and gltA-2 assays revealed all six detected species (four and three, respectively), and similarly, three species were detected by the rpoB assay. We conclude that the multiple PCR reactions approach revealed higher Bartonella species diversity, which would be missed if only a sole PCR assay were used (Figure 3).

Thus far, human infections by B. washoensis have been recorded in three cases: two in North America [39,40], where Californian ground squirrel (Otospermophilus beecheyi) seems to be a reservoir [41], and a single human European case recently described in Germany [31]. The relatively high prevalence of B. washoensis in squirrels in the Netherlands, United Kingdom and Lithuania suggested that S. vulgaris may be a vertebrate reservoir of zoonotic B. washoensis in Europe [29,31,32]. Our findings of a high proportion of B. washoensis bacteria in squirrels strongly support this hypothesis. However, detection of B. washoensis in other mammalian species (various members of the Sciuridae family [28] and dogs [42]) indicate rather lower host specificity. Our results from the European hedgehog represent the first finding of B. washoensis in insectivores and thus extend the recognized host spectrum.

B. melophagi has been frequently found in sheep [43,44] and sheep keds, Melophagus ovinus [44–49], and some authors hypothesize that this Bartonella species is highly host- and vector-specific [3,44,50]. Nevertheless, B. melophagi infections may cause human disease [50]. They were also recently detected in white-tailed deer Odocoileus virginianus [51], forest flat fly Hippobosca equina [49] and questing ticks of two species, Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis and Dermacentor everestianus [18]. Our findings of B. melophagi in a European hedgehog contribute to the discussion about host specificity.

Two of the detected Bartonella species belong to the B. rochalimae clade (Figure 5 and Figure S3A). One is closely related to ‘C. B. rudakovii’ while the second shows the highest similarity to C. claridgeiae. There is almost no information about ‘Candidatus B. rudakovii’ in the literature, apart from the GenBank database (EF682090) data concerning detection of this candidate species in bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus) in Western Siberia. According to the sequence similarity, this species was also detected in C. glareolus in Lithuania (rpoB sequence MH547308; [37]). In our analysis (Figure 5 and Figure S3B, Table S3), the sequence of Bartonella sp. AR-15 (FN645480) detected from Tamiasciurus sp. [52] also shows close
similarity to ‘Candidatus B. rudakovii’ and likely represents the same species (or its variant). All these sequences were the closest GenBank matches for several sequences obtained from eleven squirrels in this study (represented by CZ-30 and CZ-51 (gltA) and CZ-52 (rpoB)), and thus could be considered as variant(s) of ‘Candidatus B. rudakovii’. Since we were able to detect this Candidatus species by three different PCR protocols (gltA-1, gltA-2, rpoB) in one individual (squirrel no. 51), we suppose all of the sequences (CZ-30, 51 and 52) may constitute a single variant or even genotype. However, mixed infections with several Bartonella species or strains in one animal are common, and there is always the possibility of getting amplicons from the same sample that actually belong to different Bartonella species or genotypes coexisting in the same individual. However, all these sequences also show high similarity with B. rochalimae, a well-recognized zoonotic Bartonella species reported as a causative agent of human disease [53,54]. It has been suggested that wild carnivores serve as major reservoirs for B. rochalimae (e.g., [8,55]) since this species has been detected mostly in canids, raccoons and skunks (e.g., [35,55–62]). On the other hand, multiple recent studies have shown that other small mammals, including rodents, can also harbor B. rochalimae [35,63–70]. Therefore, our findings in Eurasian red squirrels are not surprising and indicate that small mammals can play an important role in the circulation of species from the B. rochalimae clade.

The closest GenBank match (95.59%) for gltA sequences represented by CZ-47 and designated here as Bartonella sp. ERIN was B. claridgeiae, a well-known pathogen of humans (Table S1), cats (e.g., [71]), dogs (e.g., [72]), and rodents [30,73,74]. According to the 96% Bartonella species threshold criterion for gltA sequences proposed by La Scola et al. [75], Bartonella sp. ERIN might be considered as a new species. However, this criterium also includes <95.4% identity for the rpoB gene. Furthermore, bacterium speciation requires bacteria isolation and full genetic and biochemical characterization, which we are not able to provide [38].

B. grahamii is considered to be a rodent-borne zoonotic bacterium found in a multitude of rodent genera (Apodemus, Arvicola, Clethrionomys, Cricetulus, Dryomus, Micromys, Microtus, Mus, Pteromys, Rattus and Sciurus) worldwide (e.g., [37,76–79]). Our findings in two squirrels confirmed previous reports. B. grahamii was also the closest match for gltA sequences represented by CZ-41 (98.5% similarity) and several rpoB sequences (represented by CZ-3, CZ-123 and CZ-232; 96.1%–96.9% similarity) obtained from all three targeted mammalian species and designated here as Bartonella sp. SCIER. According to the BLASTn analysis only, these sequences could be considered variants of B. grahamii. On the contrary, phylogenetic analyses indicated Bartonella sp. SCIER as a distinct species (Figure 5 and Figure S3A). However, a culture isolation method is required for more accurate identification of the most likely new Bartonella sp. SCIER species.

Urban and peri-urban ecosystems represent dynamically evolving environments with growing numbers of synurban vertebrates. We demonstrated that cadavers of synurban mammals represent a valuable source of biological material for vector-borne pathogen screening. High infection rates of Bartonella spp., confirmed for all three tested mammalian species, and the discovery of two presumably new Bartonella species call for a more robust system of involvement of accidentally killed urban vertebrates in pathogen surveillance.

4. Materials and Methods

A total of 180 cadavers of the European hedgehog (Erinaceus europaeus), the Northern white-breasted hedgehog (Erinaceus roumanicus) and the Eurasian red squirrel (Sciurus vulgaris) were collected in various geographical regions of the Czech Republic for a project addressing the role of these species in the circulation of vector-borne pathogens and their possible use as sentinel hosts. All of these animals were found dead, mostly as roadkill, killed by other animals (above all by dogs and cats) or had died in animal rescue centers. The cadavers were kept at −20 °C until processing. Cadaver collection, dissection, tissue sample preparation and DNA extraction were performed as described previously [22,23].
All PCR reactions were set up in separate rooms with all required precautions (separate supplies, equipment, and personal safety items, pre- and post-amplification activities). Real-time PCR targeting a fragment of the *ssrA* (transfer-messenger RNA) gene [80] with forward and reverse primers (*ssrA*-F 5′-GCT ATG GTA ATA AAT GGA CAA TGA AAT AA-3′; *ssrA*-R 5′-GCT TCT GTT GCC AGG TG-3′) and probe (5′-ATTOS20-ACC CCG CTT AAA CCT GCC AGG-BHQ1-3′) in 10 μM concentration was used to detect *Bartonella* spp. in all tissue samples. For real-time PCR, an iQ Multiplex Powermix PCR reagent kit containing iTaq DNA polymerase (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used. Real-time PCR was performed in a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) under the following conditions: an initial activation of the iTaq DNA polymerase at 95 °C for 5 min, 60 cycles of a 5 s denaturation at 94 °C, followed by a 35 s annealing-extension step at 60 °C (single point measurement at 60 °C), and a cooling cycle of 37 °C for 20 s. The analysis was performed using second derivative calculations for Cp (crossing point) values. Curves were inspected visually in LightCycler 480 software. A negative control (no template) and positive control (*B. henselae* DNA) were added to each set of samples.

Hedgehogs and squirrels that tested positive for *Bartonella-DNA* by real-time PCR (at least in one tested tissue sample) were subsequently analyzed by six different conventional PCR assays targeting citrate synthase (*gltA*), the beta subunit of RNA polymerase (*rpoB*), and the cell division protein (*ftsZ*) encoding genes and the 16S–23S rRNA intergenic spacer (ITS) (Table 1). For these analyses, the tissue sample with the lowest Cp value (most frequently spleen and ear tissues, Figure S1 and Table S2) per animal was used. The cycling conditions for the individual primer pairs are described in Table S5. A sample/animal was considered to be positive when at least one of the conventional PCRs and subsequent sequencing (including low-quality sequences that could be identified as *Bartonella sp.*, but not to the species level, because of the fragment size or the number of ambiguous nucleotides) confirmed the real-time PCR positive results.

Table 1. Conventional PCR reactions used for *Bartonella* spp. detection and species identification.

| Locus | PCR Type | Primer Name | Sequence 5′-3′ | References | Product Size (bp) |
|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| *gltA* | PCR *gltA*-1 | 443f 1210R | GCTATGTCGGATTATGTCA GATCYTCAACATTTCTTTCCA | [81] [82] | 750 |
|       | nested PCR *gltA*-1 | 443f 781R | GCTATGTCGGATTATGTCA CCACCATGAGCTTGCTTCCCC | [81] [83] | 340 |
|       | PCR *gltA*-2 | Bhs81p fwd Bhs1137p rev | GGGGACCCAGCTATGTTGG ATGCAAAAAAGAAGCTTAAACA | [84] | 370 |
|       | PCR * | BwgglA/BwgglAr | AATCAATCCATGTATCTGCG CGCAGCCCGTTGATAGAGTT | [31] | 625 |
|       | *rpoB* | PCR 1400F 2300R | CGCATTGGCTTACCTCCTCCTGATG CGTACCTGATAGAAGCCTG | [85] | 800 |
|       | nested PCR 1596F 2300R | CGCATTATGCTGATTTGTCGCC CGTACCTGATAGAAGCCTG | [86] | 400 |
|       | *ftsZ* | PCR | F R | CATATGTTTCATTGTTGTTTGATTC CTCTCCGGAATACATGTTAGCATGCTT | [86] | 515 |
|       | ITS | PCR | H56 983as | GGGGACCCCTGCTGAGTACAC TGGTCCTAAACACTGAGTG | [87] | 900–1100 |
|       | nested PCR | H493as 321s | TGAACCCACCTGCAGTATATC AGATGATATATCAGAACTCCTC | [87] | 200–300 |

* Bartonella wahoensis specific PCR; ** Used in two steps with same primers and protocol.

The EmeraldAmp GT PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) was used for all conventional PCRs according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total volume of 20 μL was prepared for each reaction containing 10 μL of the master fco, 10 pmol of each primer, 2 μL of template DNA or 1 μL of PCR product from the first PCR in the case of nested PCR (*gltA*-1, *rpoB*, ITS) or second PCR (*ftsZ*), filled with PCR-grade water. The PCR
products were visualized using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis under UV light, cleaned with ExoSAP-IT™ PCR Product Cleanup Reagent (Applied Biosystems™, Waltham, MA, USA), and direct Sanger sequencing was provided by SEQme (Dobris, Czech Republic), BaseClear (Leiden, The Netherlands), or Hy Laboratories Ltd. (Rehovot, Israel). The sequences were checked manually using Geneious software (version 10.0.6) and compared to sequences from the NCBI GenBank database for Bartonella species identification. All the partial gltA gene sequences of related recognized Bartonella species available in the GenBank database were downloaded and used for phylogenetic analyses (Geneious software, version 10.0.6). Alignments were generated using MAFFT v.7. The final gltA dataset contained 55 different sequences (representative taxa) and 1199 characters (Figure S4). Phylogenetic reconstructions were conducted using Maximum likelihood (ML; PhyML v.3.0.1) and Bayesian inference (BI; MrBayes v.3.2.2) with model optimization in ModelTest v.3.06. A general time-reversible substitution model with a mixed model for among-site rate variation (GTR + Γ + I) was chosen as the best fitting sequence evolution model. Bootstrap analyses involved heuristic searches with 1000 replicates (ML). Bayesian inference analysis was run for five million generations with covarion and sampling every 100 generations. The newly read sequences were submitted to the GenBank database under the following accession numbers: MZ089835–MZ089851 and MZ089548. The maps were created in QGIS software version 3.8.3-Zanzibar.

5. Conclusions

In our project, we focused on three vertebrate species: the European hedgehog, the Northern white-breasted hedgehog, and the Eurasian red squirrel and their role as hosts of Bartonella spp. These mammals tend towards synurbization, are frequently heavily infested by ticks and fleas and are well-known as reservoir hosts for many other zoonotic pathogens, such as Borrelia burgdorferi s.l. Emerging vector-borne zoonotic diseases are a matter of high importance, and the cadavers of accidentally killed animals or handicapped animals that had died in rescue centers can be successfully used for pathogen monitoring. In this study, various tissues of 180 cadavers were screened by real-time PCR for the presence of Bartonella spp. DNA. The results were verified by multiple conventional PCR reactions, and this approach revealed a higher Bartonella spp. infection rate and level of diversity, which would have been missed if only a sole PCR assay targeting a single locus had been used. The highest Bartonella DNA infection rate was observed for S. vulgaris (76%), followed by E. roumanicus (42%) and E. europaeus (24%). The current study extends the known host range of Bartonella species with ascertained zoonotic potential, B. washoensis and B. melophagi. Moreover, two probably new, previously uncharacterized Bartonella species were found in hedgehogs and squirrels. We conclude that hedgehogs and squirrels are suitable hosts for various zoonotic Bartonella spp. and are most likely involved in their maintenance in the environment.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pathogens10060686/s1, Figure S1: Comparison of the portion of particular tissue samples with the lowest Cp value as assessed by real-time PCR in Bartonella-positive animals (verified by conventional PCRs), Figure S2: Geographical distribution of detected Bartonella species in European hedgehog (E. europaeus), Northern white-breasted hedgehog (E. roumanicus), and Eurasian red squirrel (S. vulgaris), Figure S3: Phylogenetic trees of Bartonella species based on the beta subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB) gene sequences, Figure S4: Alignment of gltA sequences used for phylogenetic analyses, Table S1: Overview of the occurrence of zoonotic Bartonella spp. in humans, Table S2: Detection of Bartonella spp. among the different tissues in European hedgehog (E. europaeus), Northern white-breasted hedgehog (E. roumanicus), and Eurasian red squirrel (S. vulgaris) by real-time PCR, verified by a set of conventional PCR reactions and confirmed by subsequent sequencing, Table S3: The high scoring sequences detected by BLAST for the gltA, rpoB, ftsZ, and ITS Bartonella sequences obtained from hedgehogs and squirrels, Table S4: Bartonella spp. detection efficiency of different tissues assessed by real-time PCR. The percentage represents the portion of positive samples of a
particular tissue from the total number of Bartonella-positive individuals with the tissue available, Table S5: Conventional PCR protocols.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization D.M., J.V., D.R., R.G. and K.M.; methodology, H.S., M.F., L.H., V.H., J.V., R.G. and S.H.; formal analysis, K.M., J.V. and R.G.; investigation, V.H., K.M., M.F., L.H., P.P., P.M.L. and Y.N.-B.; resources, K.M., J.V., L.H., P.P., V.H. and D.M.; data curation, K.M. and L.H.; writing—original draft preparation, K.M.; writing—review and editing, R.G., V.H., D.R., J.V., D.M., H.S. and S.H.; visualization, K.M. and J.J.V.; supervision, D.M., J.V. and D.R.; project administration, L.H. and D.M.; funding acquisition, J.V., L.H., D.M. and H.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Czech Science Foundation, grant number 17-16009S and the project CePaViP (CZ.02.1.01/16_019/0000759). Karolina Majerová was financially supported by the Faculty of Science Foundation, Charles University. H.S. and M.F. were financially supported by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (VWS), and by a grant from the European Interreg North Sea Region program, as part of the NorthTick project.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

**References**

1. Birtles, R. Bartonellae as Elegant Hemotropic Parasites. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2005, 1063, 270–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Gutiérrez, R.; Krasnov, B.R.; Morick, D.; Gottlieb, Y.; Khokhlova, I.S.; Harrus, S. Bartonella Infection in Rodents and Their Flea Ectoparasites: An Overview. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2015, 15, 27–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Cheslock, M.A.; Embers, M.E. Human Bartonellosis: An Underappreciated Public Health Problem? *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 4, 69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Taxonomy Browser of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=773 (accessed on 13 April 2021).
5. List of Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature. Available online: https://lpsn.dsmz.de/genus/bartonella (accessed on 13 April 2021).
6. Okaro, U.; Addisu, A.; Casanas, B.; Anderson, B. Bartonella Species, an Emerging Cause of Blood-Culture-Negative Endocarditis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2017, 30, 709–746. [CrossRef]
7. Harms, A.; Dehio, C. Intruders below the Radar: Molecular Pathogenesis of Bartonella spp. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2012, 25, 42–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Deng, H.; Pang, Q.; Zhao, B.; Vayssier-Taussat, M. Molecular Mechanisms of Bartonella and Mammalian Erythrocyte Interactions: A Review. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2018, 8, 431. [CrossRef]
9. Kosoy, M.; Hayman, D.T.; Chan, K.-S. Bartonella bacteria in nature: Where does population variability end and a species start? *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2012, 12, 894–904. [CrossRef]
10. Breitschwerdt, E.B. Bartonellosis, One Health and all creatures great and small. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 28, 96–e21. [CrossRef]
11. Regier, Y.; O’rourke, F.; Kempf, V.A.J. Bartonella spp.-a chance to establish One Health concepts in veterinary and human medicine. *Parasites Vectors* 2016, 9, 261. [CrossRef]
12. Maguíña, C.; Guerra, H.; Ventosilla, P. Bartonellosis. *Clin. Dermatol.* 2009, 27, 271–280. [CrossRef]
13. Breitschwerdt, E.B. Bartonellosis: One Health Perspectives for an Emerging Infectious Disease. *ILAR J.* 2014, 55, 46–58. [CrossRef]
14. Saisongkorh, W.; Rolain, J.-M.; Suputtamongkol, Y.; Raoult, D. Emerging Bartonellosis in humans and animals in Asia and Australia. *J. Med Assoc. Thail. Chatmaitri Thangphael* 2009, 92, 707–731.
15. Carrasco, S.E.; Chomel, B.B.; Gill, V.A.; Kasten, R.W.; Maggi, R.; Breitschwerdt, E.; Byrne, B.A.; Burek-Huntington, K.A.; Miller, M.A.; Goldstein, T.; et al. Novel Bartonella infection in northern and southern sea otters (*Enhydra lutris kenyoni* and *Enhydra lutris nereis*). *Vet. Microbiol.* 2014, 170, 325–334. [CrossRef]
16. Valentine, K.H.; Harms, C.A.; Cadenas, M.B.; Birkenheuer, A.; Marr, H.S.; Braun-McNeill, J.; Maggi, R.; Breitschwerdt, E.B. Bartonella DNA in Loggerhead Sea Turtles. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2007, 13, 949–950. [CrossRef]
17. Kosoy, M.Y.; Regnery, R.L.; Kosaya, O.I.; Jones, D.C.; Marston, E.L.; Childs, J.E. Isolation of Bartonella spp. from embryos and neonates of naturally infected rodents. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 1998, 34, 305–309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Gutiérrez, R.; Cohen, C.; Flatau, R.; Marcos-Hadad, E.; Garrido, M.; Halle, S.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Covo, S.; Hawlena, H.; Harrus, S. Untangling the knots: Co-infection and diversity of Bartonella from wild gerbils and their associated fleas. *Mol. Ecol.* **2018**, *27*, 4787–4807. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Tolkacz, K.; Alsarraf, M.; Kowalec, M.; Dwuźnik, D.; Grzybek, M.; Behnke, J.M.; Bajer, A. Bartonella infections in three species of Microtus: Prevalence and genetic diversity, vertical transmission and the effect of concurrent Babesia microti infection on its success. *Parasites Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Hao, L.; Yuan, D.; Guo, L.; Hou, W.; Mo, X.; Yin, J.; Yang, A.; Li, R. Molecular detection of Bartonella in ixodid ticks collected from yaks and plateau pikas (*Ochotona curzoniae*) in Shiqu County, China. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2020**, *16*, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Szekeres, S.; Van Leeuwen, A.D.; Tóth, E.; Majerová, K.; Hulva, P.; et al. Hedgehogs, Squirrels, and Blackbirds as Sentinel Hosts for Active Surveillance of *Borrelia miyamotoi* and *Borrelia burgdorferi* Complex in Urban and Rural Environments. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1908. [CrossRef]

22. Probert, W.; Louie, J.K.; Tucker, J.R.; Longoria, R.; Hogue, R.; Moler, S.; Graves, M.; Palmer, H.J.; Cassady, J.; Fritz, C.L. Meningitis due to a *Bartonella* Infection with Human Pathogen. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2009**, *47*, 2332–2335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Jokimäki, J.; Selonen, V.; Lehikoinen, A.; Kaisanlahti-Jokimäki, M.-L. The role of urban habitats in the abundance of red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*, L.) in Finland. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2011**, *103*, 34–43. [CrossRef]

24. Hubert, P.; Julliard, R.; Biagianti, S.; Poulle, M.-L. Ecological factors driving the higher hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*) density in an urban area compared to the adjacent rural area. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* **2011**, *103*, 34–43. [CrossRef]

25. Lesiczka, P.M.; Hrazdilová, K.; Majerová, K.; Forstner, M.; Sýkora, J.; et al. The Role of Domestic Animals in the Eco-Epidemiology of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. *Microb. Ecol.* **2021**, *1–11*. [CrossRef]

26. Bitam, I.; Rolain, J.-M.; Nicolas, V.; Tsai, Y.-L.; Parola, P.; Gundi, V.A.; Chomel, B.B.; Raoult, D. A multi-gene analysis of diversity of *Bartonella* species detected in rodents and hedgehogs from Algeria. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 102–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Hulva, P.; et al. Road-killed mammals provide insight into *Bartonella* infection on its diversity and prevalence in wild carnivores, hyraxes, hedgehog and rodents from Israel. *Bartonella* spp. from wild carnivores, hyrax, hedgehog and rodents from Israel. *Parasitol. Res.* **2016**, *114*, 1232–1242. [CrossRef]

28. Lipatova, I.; Razanske, I.; Jurgelevičius, V.; Paulauskas, A. Bartonella wassilmsi infection in red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) and their ectoparasites in Lithuania. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *68*, 101391. [CrossRef]

29. Bitam, I.; Rolain, J.-M.; Kernif, T.; Baziz, B.; Parola, P.; Raoult, D. Bartonella species detected in rodents and hedgehogs from Algeria. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2009**, *15*, 102–103. [CrossRef]

30. Marciano, O.; Gutiérrez, R.; Morick, D.; King, R.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Baneth, G.; Harrus, S. Detection of Bartonella spp. in wild carnivores, hyraxes, hedgehog and rodents from Israel. *Parasitol. Res.* **2016**, *114*, 1232–1242. [CrossRef]

31. Lipatova, I.; Razanske, I.; Jurgelevičius, V.; Paulauskas, A. Bartonella wassilmsi infection in red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) and their ectoparasites in Lithuania. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *68*, 101391. [CrossRef]

32. Lipatova, I.; Razanske, I.; Jurgelevičius, V.; Paulauskas, A. Bartonella wassilmsi infection in red squirrels (*Sciurus vulgaris*) and their ectoparasites in Lithuania. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *68*, 101391. [CrossRef]

33. Bitam, I.; Rolain, J.-M.; Kernif, T.; Baziz, B.; Parola, P.; Raoult, D. Bartonella species detected in rodents and hedgehogs from Algeria. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2009**, *15*, 102–103. [CrossRef]

34. Bitam, I.; Rolain, J.-M.; Nicolas, V.; Tsai, Y.-L.; Parola, P.; Gundi, V.A.; Chomel, B.B.; Raoult, D. A multi-gene analysis of diversity of *Bartonella* species detected in fleas from Algeria. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *35*, 71–76. [CrossRef]

35. Marciano, O.; Gutiérrez, R.; Morick, D.; King, R.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Baneth, G.; Harrus, S. Detection of Bartonella spp. in wild carnivores, hyraxes, hedgehog and rodents from Israel. *Parasitol. Res.* **2016**, *114*, 1232–1242. [CrossRef]

36. hornok, S.; Foldvari, G.; Rigó, K.; Meli, M.L.; Tóth, M.; Molnár, V.; Gőnczi, E.; Farkas, R.; Hofmann-Lehmann, R. Bartonella Infection in Microtus: Prevalence and Vertical Transmission in Urban and Rural Environments. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1908. [CrossRef]

37. Mardosaitė-Busaitienė, D.; Radzijevskaja, J.; Balčiauskas, L.; Bratchikov, M.; Jurgelevičius, V.; Parola, P.; Gundi, V.A.; Chomel, B.B.; Raoult, D. A multi-gene analysis of diversity of *Bartonella* species detected in rodents and hedgehogs from Algeria. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2009**, *15*, 102–103. [CrossRef]

38. Marciano, O.; Gutiérrez, R.; Morick, D.; King, R.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Baneth, G.; Harrus, S. Detection of Bartonella spp. in wild carnivores, hyraxes, hedgehog and rodents from Israel. *Parasitol. Res.* **2016**, *114*, 1232–1242. [CrossRef]

39. Kosoy, M.; Murray, M.; Gilmore, J.R.D.; Bai, Y.; Gage, K.L. Bartonella Strains from Ground Squirrels Are Identical to *Bartonella wassilmsi* Isolated from a Human Patient. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2003**, *41*, 645–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Probert, W.; Louie, J.K.; Tucker, J.R.; Longoria, R.; Hogue, R.; Moler, S.; Graves, M.; Palmer, H.J.; Cassady, J.; Fritz, C.L. Meningitis Due to a *Bartonella* Infection with Human Pathogen. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2009**, *47*, 2332–2335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Osikowicz, L.M.; Billeter, S.A.; Rizzo, M.F.; Rood, M.P.; Freeman, A.N.; Burns, J.E.; Hu, R.; Juieng, P.; Loparev, V.; Kosoy, M. Distribution and Diversity of *Bartonella wassilmsi* Strains in Ground Squirrels from California and Their Potential Link to Human Cases. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 683–690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Chomel, B.B.; Wey, A.C.; Kasten, R.W. Isolation of *Bartonella wassilmsi* from a Dog with Mitral Valve Endocarditis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2003**, *41*, 5327–5332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Bemis, D.A.; Kania, S.A. Isolation of Bartonella sp. from Sheep Blood. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2007, 13, 1565–1567. [CrossRef]

44. Kosoy, M.; Bai, Y.; Enscore, R.; Rizzo, M.R.; Bender, S.; Popov, V.; Albayrak, L.; Fofanov, Y.; Chomel, B. Bartonella melophagi in blood of domestic sheep (Ovis aries) and sheep keds (Melophagus ovinus) from the southwestern US: Cultures, genetic characterization, and ecological connections. Vet. Microbiol. 2016, 190, 43–49. [CrossRef]

45. Halos, L.; Jamal, T.; Maillard, R.; Girard, B.; Guillot, J.; Chomel, B.; Vayssier-Taussat, M.; Boulouis, H.-J. Role of Hippoboscidae Flies as Potential Vectors of Bartonella spp. Infecting Wild and Domestic Ruminants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2004, 70, 6302–6305. [CrossRef]

46. Kumsa, B.; Parola, P.; Raoult, D.; Socolovschi, C. Bartonella melophagi in Melophagus ovinus (sheep ked) collected from sheep in northern Oromia, Ethiopia. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2014, 37, 69–76. [CrossRef]

47. Rudolf, I.; Betášová, L.; Bischof, V.; Vencilková, K.; Blážejová, H.; Mendel, J.; Hubálek, Z.; Kosoy, M. Molecular survey of arthropod-borne pathogens in sheep keds (Melophagus ovinus), Central Europe. Parasitol. Res. 2016, 115, 3679–3682. [CrossRef]

48. Liu, Y.; He, B.; Li, F.; Li, K.; Zhang, L.; Li, X.; Zhao, L. Molecular Identification of Bartonella melophagi and Wolbachia Superfamily F from Sheep Keds in Xinjiang, China. Korean J. Parasitol. 2018, 56, 365–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Boucheikhchouki, M.; Mechouk, N.; Benakhla, A.; Raoult, D.; Parola, P. Molecular evidence of bacteria in Melophagus ovinus sheep keds and Hippobosca equina forest flies collected from sheep and horses in northeastern Algeria. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2019, 65, 103–109. [CrossRef]

50. Maggi, R.; Kosoy, M.; Mintzer, M.; Breitschwerdt, E.B. Isolation of Candidatus Bartonella melophagi from Human Blood1. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2009, 15, 66–68. [CrossRef]

51. Izenour, K.; Zikeli, S.; Kalalah, A.; Ditchkoff, S.S.; Fox, K.; Osikowicz, L.; Kosoy, M. Diverse Bartonella spp. detected in white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) and associated keds (Lipoptena mazamae) in the southeastern USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 2020, 56, 505. [CrossRef]

52. Engel, P.; Salzburger, W.; Liesch, M.; Chang, C.-C.; Maruyama, S.; Lanz, C.; Calteau, A.; Lasage, F.; Médigue, C.; Schuster, S.C.; et al. Parallel Evolution of a Type IV Secretion System in Radiating Lineages of the Host-Restricted Bacterial Pathogen Bartonella. PLoS Gen. 2011, 7, e1001296. [CrossRef]

53. Eremeeva, M.E.; Gerns, H.L.; Lydy, S.L.; Goo, J.S.; Ryan, E.T.; Mathew, S.S.; Ferraro, M.J.; Brown, R.N.; Koehler, J.E.; Macdonald, K.A.; Kittleson, M.D.; Thomas, W.P.; Chomel, B.B. Isolation of Bartonella rochalimae from Raccoons, Coyotes, and Red Foxes. J. Wildl. Dis. 2020, 56, 365–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Bemis, D.A.; Kania, S.A. Isolation of Bartonella rochalimae from American Black Raccoons, Urocyon lutreolus, in a newly recognized pathogen in dogs. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 2007, 21, 464–467. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Mizukami, M.; Sato, S.; Nabeshima, K.; Kabeya, H.; Ueda, D.; Suzki, K.; Maruyama, S. Molecular survey of Bartonella rochalimae in Japanese raccoon dogs (Nyctereutes procyonoides viverrinus). J. Wildl. Dis. 2020, 56, 560. [CrossRef]

56. Henn, J.B.; Gabriel, M.W.; Kasten, R.W.; Brown, R.N.; Theis, J.H.; Foley, J.E.; Chomel, B.B. Gray Foxes (Urocyon cinereoargenteus) as a Potential Reservoir of a Bartonella clarridgeiae-Like Bacterium and Domestic Dogs as Part of a Sentinel System for Surveillance of Zoonotic Arthropod-Borne Pathogens in Northern California. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2007, 45, 2411–2418. [CrossRef]

57. Schaefer, J.D.; Kasten, R.W.; Coonan, T.J.; Clifford, D.L.; Chomel, B.B. Isolation or detection of Bartonella vinsonii subspecies berkholffi and Bartonella rochalimae in the endangered island foxes (Urocyon littoralis). Vet. Microbiol. 2011, 154, 135–139. [CrossRef]

58. Gerrikagoitia, X.; Gil, H.; Garcia-Esteban, C.; Anda, P.; Juste, R.A.; Barral, M. Presence of Bartonella Species in Wild Carnivores of Northern Spain. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2011, 77, 885–888. [CrossRef]

59. Gerns, H.L.; Lydy, S.L.; Goo, J.S.; Ryan, E.T.; Mathew, S.S.; Ferraro, M.J.; Brown, R.N.; Koehler, J.E.; Macdonald, K.A.; Kittleson, M.D.; Thomas, W.P.; Chomel, B.B. Infective Endocarditis in a Dog and the Phylogenetic Relationship of the Associated Bartonella rochalimae strain with isolates from dogs, gray foxes, and a human. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2008, 47, 787–790. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Henn, J.B.; Chomel, B.B.; Boulouis, H.-J.; Kasten, R.W.; Murray, W.J.; Bar-Gal, G.K.; King, R.; Courreau, J.-F.; Baneth, G. Bartonella rochalimae in Raccoons, Coyotes, and Red Foxes. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2009, 15, 1984–1987. [CrossRef]

61. Bai, Y.; Gilbert, A.; Fox, K.; Osikowicz, L.; Kosoy, M. Bartonella rochalimae and B. vinsonii subsp. berkholffi in wild carnivores from Colorado, USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 2005, 41, 844–849. [CrossRef]

62. Ernst, E.; Qurobolo, B.; Olech, C.; Breitschwerdt, E.B. Bartonella rochalimae, a newly recognized pathogen in dogs. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 2020, 34, 1447–1453. [CrossRef]

63. Lin, J.-W.; Chen, C.-Y.; Chen, W.-C.; Chomel, B.B.; Chang, C.-C. Isolation of Bartonella species from rodents in Taiwan including a strain closely related to ‘Bartonella rochalimae’ from Rattus norvegicus. J. Med. Microbiol. 2008, 57, 1496–1501. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Saisongkorh, W.; Wootta, W.; Sawanpanyalert, P.; Raoult, D.; Rolain, J.-M. “Candidatus Bartonella thailandensis”: A new genotype of Bartonella identified from rodents. Vet. Microbiol. 2009, 139, 197–201. [CrossRef]

65. Gundi, V.A.K.B.; Kosoy, M.Y.; Myint, K.S.A.; Shrestha, S.K.; Shrestha, M.P.; Pavlin, J.A.; Gibbons, R.V. Prevalence and Genetic Diversity of Bartonella Species Detected in Different Tissues of Small Mammals in Nepal. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2010, 76, 8247–8254. [CrossRef]

66. Lipatova, I.; Paulauskas, A.; Puraitė, I.; Radzijevskaja, J.; Balciuskauskas, L.; Gedminas, V. Bartonella infection in small mammals and their ectoparasites in Lithuania. Microbes Infect. 2015, 17, 884–888. [CrossRef]
67. Rizzo, M.F.; Osikowicz, L.; Cáceres, A.G.; Luna-Caipo, V.D.; Suarez-Puyen, S.M.; Bai, Y.; Kosoy, M. Identification of Bartonella rochalimae in Guinea Pigs (Cavia porcellus) and Fleas Collected from Rural Peruvian Households. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2019, 101, 1276–1281. [CrossRef]

68. Abreu-Yanes, E.; Abreu-Acosta, N.; Izquierdo-Rodriguez, E.; Martin-Carrillo, N.; Foronda, P. Bartonella species and haplotypes in rodents and their fleas in Lanzarote and El Hierro in the Canary Islands, Spain. *J. Vector Ecol.* 2020, 45, 254–261. [CrossRef]

69. de Oliveira, J.G.; Rozental, T.; Gutierrez, A.; Teixeira, B.R.; Andrade-Silva, B.E.; da Costa-Neto, S.F.; Furtado, M.C.; Moratelli, R.; D’Andrea, P.S.; Lemos, E.R.S. Investigation of Bartonella spp. in brazilian mammals with emphasis on Atlantic forest and bats from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* 2020, 13, 80–89. [CrossRef]

70. Müller, A.; Gütiérrez, R.; Seguel, M.; Monti, G.; Otth, C.; Bittencourt, P.; Sepúlveda, P.; Alabí, A.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Harrus, S. Molecular survey of Bartonella spp. in rodents and fleas from Chile. *Acta Trop.* 2020, 212, 105672. [CrossRef]

71. Staggemeier, R.; Venker, C.A.; Klein, D.H.; Petry, M.; Spilki, F.; Cantarelli, V.V. Prevalence of Bartonella henselae and Bartonella clarridgeiae in cats in the south of Brazil: A molecular study. *Memórias do Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* 2010, 105, 873–878. [CrossRef]

72. André, M.R.; Canola, R.A.M.; Braz, J.B.; Perossi, I.F.S.; Calchi, A.C.; Ikeda, P.; Machado, R.Z.; Vasconcelos, R.D.O.; Camacho, A.A. Aortic valve endocarditis due to Bartonella clarridgeiae in a dog in Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Vet.* 2019, 28, 661–670. [CrossRef]

73. Holmberg, M.; Mills, J.N.; McGill, S.; Benjamin, G.; Ellis, B.A. Bartonella infection in sylvatic small mammals of central Sweden. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2003, 130, 149–157. [CrossRef]

74. Tea, A.; Alexiou-Daniel, S.; Papoutsi, A.; Papa, A.; Antoniadis, A. Bartonella Species Isolated from Rodents, Greece. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2004, 10, 963–964. [CrossRef]

75. Oksi, J.; Rantala, S.; Kilpinen, S.; Silvennoinen, R.; Vornanen, M.; Veikkolainen, V.; Pulliainen, A.T. Cat Scratch Disease in Finland: A Review. *Pathogens* 2017, 6, 20–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Berglund, E.C.; Ellegaard, K.; Granberg, F.; Xie, Z.; Maruyama, S.; Kosoy, M.Y.; Birtles, R.; Andersson, S.G.E. Rapid diversification by recombination in Bartonella grahamii from wild rodents in Asia contrasts with low levels of genomic divergence in Northern Europe and America. *Mol. Ecol.* 2010, 19, 2241–2255. [CrossRef]

77. Oksi, J.; Rantala, S.; Kilpinen, S.; Silvennoinen, R.; Vornanen, M.; Veikkolainen, V.; Eerola, E.; Pulliainen, A.T. Cat Scratch Disease Caused by Bartonella grahamii in an Immunocompromised Patient. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2013, 51, 2781–2784. [CrossRef]

78. Zhao, H.; Li, S.; Lu, L.; Wang, R.; Song, X.; Sun, K.; Shi, Y.; Li, D.; Yu, J. Genetic diversity of Bartonella species in small mammals in the Qaidam Basin, western China. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 1–10. [CrossRef]

79. Díaz, M.H.; Bai, Y.; Malania, L.; Pérez, R.; Seguel, M.; Monti, G.; Otth, C.; Bittencourt, P.; Sepúlveda, P.; Alabí, A.; Nachum-Biala, Y.; Harrus, S. Bartonella species and haplotypes in rodents and their fleas in Lanzarote and El Hierro in the Canary Islands, Spain. *J. Vector Ecol.* 2020, 45, 254–261. [CrossRef]

80. Sofer, S.; Gutiérrez, R.; Morick, D.; Muccucuolu, K.Y.; Harrus, S. Molecular detection of zoonotic bartonellae (B. henselae, B. elizabethae and B. rochalimae) in fleas collected from dogs in Israel. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 2015, 29, 344–348. [CrossRef]

81. Norman, A.F.; Regnery, R.; Jameson, P.; Greene, C.; Krause, D.C. Differentiation of Bartonella-like isolates at the species level by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism in the citrate synthase gene. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1995, 33, 1797–1803. [CrossRef]

82. Renesto, P.; Gouvernet, J.; Drancourt, M.; Roux, V.; Raout, D. Use of rpoB Gene Analysis for Detection and Identification of Bartonella Species. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2001, 39, 430–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Paziewska, A.; Harris, P.D.; Zwolinski, L.; Bajer, A.; Siński, E. Recombination Within and Between Species of the Alpha Proteobacterium Bartonella Infecting Rodents. *Microb. Ecol.* 2011, 61, 134–145. [CrossRef]

84. Maggi, R.G.; Breitschwerdt, E. Potential Limitations of the 16S-23S rRNA Intergenic Region for Molecular Detection of Bartonella Species. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005, 43, 1171–1176. [CrossRef]