The type IIb SN 2008ax: the nature of the progenitor
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ABSTRACT

A source coincident with the position of the type IIb supernova (SN) 2008ax is identified in pre-explosion Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 observations in three optical filters. We identify and constrain two possible progenitor systems: (i) a single massive star that lost most of its hydrogen envelope through radiatively driven mass-loss processes, prior to exploding as a helium-rich Wolf–Rayet star with a residual hydrogen envelope, and (ii) an interacting binary in a low-mass cluster producing a stripped progenitor. Late time, high-resolution observations along with detailed modelling of the SN will be required to reveal the true nature of this progenitor star.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Although the progenitors of several type II supernovae (SNe) have been identified in pre-explosion observations (e.g. Smartt et al. 2004; Li et al. 2006), the stripped stars thought to explode as type Ib/c SNe have so far eluded discovery (e.g. Gal-Yam et al. 2005; Maund, Smartt & Schweizer 2005; Maund & Smartt 2005; Crockett et al. 2007, 2008). The stars exploding as type Ib SNe (those events which transition from type II to Ib) are believed to be intermediate of the hydrogen-rich (H-rich) supergiant type II and the H-free type Ib precursors. The progenitor of the nearby type Ib SN 1993J was shown to be such a star; a K0 supergiant stripped of most of its hydrogen envelope by a massive binary companion (Aldering, Humphreys & Richmond 1994; Maund et al. 2004).

In this Letter, we report the identification and characterization of the progenitor of the type IIb SN 2008ax, in the galaxy NGC 4490. SN 2008ax was discovered by Mostardi, Li & Filippenko (2008) on 2008 March 3.45 at $\alpha_{2000} = 12^h30^m40^s$, $\delta_{2000} = 41^\circ38'14''$, just 6 h after its non-detection in an unfiltered observation made by R. Arbour with a limiting magnitude of 18.5 (Nakano 2008). SN 2008ax was spectroscopically classified as a type Ib SN by Chornock et al. (2008). We have obtained extensive and high-quality spectrophotometric monitoring of this SN, the analysis of which is presented in a companion paper (Pastorello et al. 2008).

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The pre- and post-explosion observations analysed in this study are summarized in Table 1. Archival Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images of the site of SN 2008ax prior to explosion were recovered from the Space Telescope Science Institute archive1 and calibrated via the on-the-fly-recalibration (OTFR) pipeline. These observations were taken using the Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) through four optical filters on three different epochs. The site of SN 2008ax was located on the WF3 chip of the F450W and F814W frames, the WF2 chip of the F606W image and the PC chip of the F300W image. The WF chips have pixel scales of 0.1 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ while the PC chip pixel scale is 0.046 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. Each of the WFPC2 observations was taken as two exposures which were combined
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1 http://archive.stsci.edu/hst/
in order to remove cosmic rays. Pixel offsets noted between the F606W exposures were taken into account during the combination process. Finally, the combined images were corrected for geometric distortion. These calibrated images were used to perform the image alignment described in Section 3. Point spread function (PSF) photometry was carried out using the \textsc{hstphot} package (version 1.1.7b) (Dolphin 2000a). Option 10 was chosen, which turned on local sky determination and turned off aperture corrections since there were no good aperture stars. (In this case, \textsc{hstphot} applies default filter-dependent aperture corrections.) The F606W exposures were input as individual frames along with appropriate offsets, since the \textsc{hstphot} pre-processing task COADD is unable to apply shifts before combining images. Our photometry in this filter is \sim 0.3 mag brighter than in Li, Filippenko & Van Dyk (2008b) who may have combined the exposures without applying offsets, thereby clipping the stellar profiles.

Ground-based adaptive optics observations of SN 2008ax were taken on 2008 April 3 using Altair/NIRI on the 8.1-m Gemini (North) Telescope. These observations were carried out as part of programmes GN-2008A-Q-28 (PI: Crockett) and GN-2008A-Q-26 (PI: Gal-Yam). Short exposures (to keep the SN counts linear) totaling 1200 s on-source were taken in the K-band using the SN as the natural guide star (NGS). The images were reduced, sky subtracted and combined using the NIRI reduction tools within the \textsc{iraf-gemini} package. The final reduced image is of very high quality showing near-diffraction limited resolution of 0.09 arcsec and Strehl ratios of up to \sim 10 per cent (Fig. 1). The pixel scale of the NIRI f/32 camera was 0.022 arcsec providing excellent sampling of the PSF.

### 3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A transformation between the pre- and post-explosion coordinate frames was derived in order to identify the precise position of the SN on the archival \textit{HST} images. The positions of 35 point sources common to both the \textit{HST} F814W and the Gemini K-band frames were input to the \textsc{iraf} task \textsc{geomap}, which calculated a general geometric transformation with a rms error of \pm 22 mas. The position of the SN in the Gemini AO image was transformed to the \textit{HST} F814W coordinate frame yielding a pixel position of [676.08, 307.13] on the WF3 chip. Fig. 1 shows the aligned pre- and post-explosion images, all of which are centred on the SN position. A source is visible at the SN site in the F450W, F606W and F814W pre-explosion images, although nothing is seen in the F300W frame (not shown in Fig. 1). Its position in the F814W image was measured using five different methods, \textsc{daophot} PSF fitting, \textsc{hstphot} and the three centering algorithms within \textsc{daophot} – centroid, Gaussian and offiter. The mean pixel position was [676.11, 307.17] with an uncertainty of \pm 12 mas. With a measured displacement of 5 mas and a total astrometric uncertainty of \pm 25 mas, this source can be considered coincident with SN 2008ax. This is the same object as suggested by Li et al. (2008a) to be the possible progenitor. However, high-resolution images are necessary to reduce the positional error, and ambiguity, as much as possible.

A slight pixel offset between the F450W and F814W images as measured by the \textsc{iraf} task \textsc{crosscor} is consistent with the offset in the position of the proposed progenitor, confirming that this is the same source in both filters. The F606W image was taken approximately 7 yr earlier with a pointing entirely different from the F450W/F814W observations. Positions of 35 point sources common to the F606W and F814W images were input to \textsc{geomap}, resulting in a transformation with a rms error of \pm 16 mas. The F814W object position was transformed to the F606W coordinate frame and found to be coincident within the astrometric uncertainties.

\textsc{hstphot} flight system magnitudes of the pre-explosion source were measured as $F450W = 23.66 \pm 0.10$, $F606W = 23.36 \pm 0.10$, $F814W = 22.63 \pm 0.10$. From pixel statistics at the SN position, we estimate a 3$\sigma$ detection limit of $F300W = 22.9$.

### 4 DISTANCE, REDDENING AND METALLICITY

Estimates of the distance to NGC 4490 and the reddening towards the SN progenitor are taken from a companion paper on the evolution of SN 2008ax Pastorello et al. (2008). Pastorello et al. derive a mean distance modulus of $\mu = 29.92 \pm 0.29$ and a reddening of $E(B-V) = 0.3$ estimated from the equivalent width (EW) of the Na i doublet and the relations of Turatto, Cappellaro & Benetti (2003). We note that Chornock et al. (2008) find a colour excess of $E(B-V) = 0.5$ despite measuring the same EW as Pastorello et al.

A recent study has estimated the oxygen abundances of NGC 4490 from Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 5 spectra of five individual H II regions (Pilyugin & Thuan 2007), none of which are close to the SN position. The values between 8.3 and 8.5 dex (on the scale of $12 + \log O/H$) are measured around $R_0/R_2 \sim 0.2$, while SN 2008ax is at a galactocentric distance of 0.3. Pilyugin & Thuan calibrations give abundances which are slightly, but systematically, lower than previous studies. The H II region abundances measured by these authors at the position of SN 2008ax appear similar to those they measure in the central regions of M101, for which the best recent estimates suggest solar-like values (e.g. Bresepin et al. 2007). Hence, we conclude that the progenitor of SN 2008ax most likely had solar-type metallicity and is bracketed from below by Large Magellanic Cloud-like (LMC-like) values (i.e. 8.3 dex) taking the Pilyugin & Thuan data at face value.

### 5 THE PROGENITOR OF SN 2008AX

These pre-explosion images constitute the most detailed information on a SN progenitor since the identification of the precursor of SN 2003gd (Smartt et al. 2004), and the first for a peculiar type IIb SN since SN 1993J. In the case of SN 1993J, the progenitor was identified as a K-type supergiant with an ultraviolet (UV) and B band excess interpreted as a nearby hot, massive early B-type star (Aldering et al. 1994; Maund et al. 2004). Interaction with this proposed binary companion is used to explain the stripped nature of the SN progenitor. Ryder, Murrowood & Statkakis (2006) found a point source at the site of the type Ibb SN 2001ig \sim 1000 d post-explosion which they identify as the blue supergiant companion to the putative progenitor. Given the type Ibb classification of SN 2008ax,
One might assume a similar binary progenitor system to be appropriate. Below we compare the pre-explosion photometry and magnitude limits with several progenitor scenarios. Using extinctions derived with the $A_v/E(B-V)$ relations of Van Dyk et al. (1999), we find absolute photometry for the progenitor of $M_{F506W} = -7.55 \pm 0.31$, $M_{F606W} = -7.43 \pm 0.31$, $M_{F814W} = -7.86 \pm 0.31$ (Poisson noise $= \pm 0.10$, mean $= \pm 0.29$) and a $3\sigma$ detection limit of $M_{F330W} = -8.6$.

**Single massive supergiant progenitor.** The spectral energy distributions of supergiant stars from Drilling & Landolt (2000) were converted from $UBVRI$ to $HST$ flight system magnitudes using the colour corrections of Maund & Smartt (2005). Comparing these to the observed SED of the pre-explosion source, we find no single star solution, in agreement with Li et al. (2008b). This is not surprising given that the source is simultaneously blue in $(F450W-F606W)$ and red in $(F606W-F814W)$. Even when applying arbitrary levels of extinction, we were unable to fit a single supergiant SED to the observed photometry.

**Superposition of two supergiant stars.** That SN 2008ax transitioned from a type II to a type Ib SN (Pastorello et al. 2008) implies that the progenitor had lost all but a small fraction ($\lesssim 10^{-1} M_\odot$) of its H-rich envelope. Previous studies suggest that the type Ib SNe 1993J and 2001ig arose from massive binary progenitor systems (Aldering et al. 1994; Maund et al. 2004; Ryder et al. 2006), the binary orbit in each case being close enough to allow interaction. For SN 2008ax, we do not restrict ourselves to an interacting binary scenario. The PSF of the proposed progenitor is consistent with that of a single star; however, its full width at half-maximum (FWHM) is some $0.15$ arcsec, which translates to $\sim 6$ pc at the distance of NGC 4490. The source might actually be two stars separated by as much as $3$ pc and such widely separated objects would not interact, evolving instead as two single stars. The progenitor in this case would have to lose almost its entire hydrogen envelope through strong stellar winds prior to exploding, a feat which theory suggests is only possible for stars more massive than $\sim 25–30 M_\odot$ (Eldridge & Tout 2004).

Pairs of supergiant SEDs from Drilling & Landolt (2000), converted to the $HST$ VEGAMAG system (see above), were fitted to the source photometry with the same value of extinction being assumed for both components. The best fit was a B8 supergiant of log($L/L_\odot) \approx 5.15$ combined with a M4 supergiant of log($L/L_\odot) \approx 4.20$. This implies the initial mass of the more evolved M-type supergiant ($\sim 8–10 M_\odot$) is much less than that of its B-type companion ($\sim 25 M_\odot$). Assuming the stars are coeval, one would expect the more massive object to be the most evolved. Even if one argues that the more massive object is evolved, the lower mass star should not be observed as a red supergiant as its main-sequence lifetime is approximately four to five times longer. We also attempted to fit a Wolf–Rayet (WR) + supergiant interacting binary (e.g. Ryder et al. 2004) using model WR colours (see discussion of single WR progenitor for details) and found a similar result; the WR star was around 50 times more luminous than its evolved red/yellow supergiant companion. Neither of these two-star models is self-consistent.

The extinction towards an assumed companion star was varied, while retaining $E(B-V) = 0.3$ for the progenitor. Since this implies that the stars are separated by some considerable distance, we cannot invoke binary interaction. Arbitrarily reducing the companion extinction to zero, we found a range of fits where the components had quite similar luminosities/masses, the progenitor being of later spectral type. The relative evolutionary time-scales are not inconsistent in this case; however, the implied main-sequence mass of $10–14 M_\odot$ is too much low for the progenitor to have lost most of its hydrogen envelope through wind-driven mass loss.

It is possible that several other stars might lie within the PSF as was the case for the progenitor of SN 1993J (Aldering et al. 1994; Maund et al. 2004). Removing the flux contributed by these stars might allow us to fit a consistent interacting binary model.

**Young stellar cluster.** Alternatively, the source might be an unresolved, young stellar cluster in which the SN progenitor was embedded, provided the cluster diameter is less than $\sim 6$ pc ($PSF$ FWHM). This is not unreasonable as compact stellar clusters observed in M51 have median effective radii of $2–4$ pc (Lee, Chandar & Whitmore 2005; Scheepmaker et al. 2007). Previous studies have attempted to place constraints on SN progenitors by estimating the ages and main-sequence turn-off masses of their host clusters (e.g. SN 2004dj – Maíz-Apellániz et al. 2004; SN 2007gr – Crockett et al. 2008; GRB 030329/SN 2003dh – Östlin et al. 2008). Here, we attempt a similar analysis assuming that the pre-explosion source is a compact, coeval cluster. Bastian et al. (2005) suggest sources brighter than $M_V = -8.6$ are most likely star clusters. Our source is somewhat less luminous ($M_{F606W} = -7.43$) so cannot immediately be characterized as such. We used CHORIZOS (version 2.1.4) (Maíz-Apellániz 2004), a $\chi^2$ minimization code, to fit Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) stellar populations to our observed photometry. The model SEDs were of solar metallicity and assumed a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF) with an upper mass cut-off of $100 M_\odot$. CHORIZOS
1500–3000 $M_\odot$ evolved star (of mass $10–30 M_\odot$). The value of hybrid models were fitted to the observed photometry adopting a single massive star was assumed to be the SN progenitor. These 6 to 27 Myr) Starburst99 stellar populations. The $\sim$ wind-driven mass loss. Hence we conclude that, if embedded in a large dispersion in the integrated photometry of clusters of similar masses (e.g. Cerviño & Luridiana 2004; Jamet et al. 2004). For low-mass clusters, where the IMF is more sparsely populated and the total luminosity is much lower, even a single massive star can significantly affect the observed SED; and the stochastic nature and the total luminosity is much lower, even a single massive star can significantly affect the observed SED; and the stochastic nature of the masses of the stars, especially the most massive stars, can result in a large dispersion in the integrated photometry of clusters of similar masses (e.g. Cerviño & Luridiana 2004; Jamet et al. 2004). In an attempt to mimic these effects, we created a grid of ‘cluster + massive star’ models by combining the flux from a single, evolved star (of mass 10–30 $M_\odot$ and spectral type WR/O9-M5) with coeval ($\sim$6 to 27 Myr) Starburs99 stellar populations. The single massive star was assumed to be the SN progenitor. These hybrid models were fitted to the observed photometry adopting a value of $E(B-V) = 0.3$. The resulting fits yielded cluster masses of $\sim$1500–3000 $M_\odot$, main-sequence masses for the progenitor of 10–15 $M_\odot$, and colours consistent with OB-type stars and WR objects that have retained a fraction of their H envelope. Stars of such low initial mass could not have produced a H-poor progenitor through wind-driven mass loss. Hence we conclude that, if embedded in a cluster, the progenitor star must have been stripped in an interacting binary system. Interestingly, these initial masses are similar to that estimated for the progenitor of SN 1993J ($\sim$15 $M_\odot$), although it exploded as a cooler, K-type star.

**Single Wolf–Rayet star.** A progenitor star of sufficient initial mass could have lost most of its H-rich envelope through radiatively driven mass loss. WR stars, exposed He cores of massive stars, can form in this way. H-rich WN stars have been proposed as possible progenitors of type Ib SNe and H-rich supergiants have been shown to be the progenitors of type II SNe (e.g. Smartt et al. 2004; Li et al. 2006). A progenitor intermediate of these types of star would be consistent with the strange evolution of a type Ib SN.

We compared our pre-explosion photometry with solar metallicity models of single stars of initial masses between 20 and 32 $M_\odot$. Our model colours and absolute magnitudes were obtained using the method of Lejeune & Schaerer (2001) to perform synthetic photometry on the Cambridge STARS stellar evolution models (Eldridge & Vink 2006). This process is described in more detail by Eldridge, Mattila & Smartt (2007), although here we have also calculated synthetic photometry for WR stars using the model WR spectra of Gräfener, Koesterke & Hamann (2002) and Hamann & Gräfener (2003). Photometry was calculated using both the Johnson–Cousins and HST WFPC2 photometric systems, so that it was possible to compare the models directly with $BVI$ photometry of observed WR stars and WFPC2 photometry of the proposed progenitor, respectively. Fig. 2 shows $BVI$ colour–magnitude and colour–colour plots of these models along with WN and WNL stars in M31 and the LMC (Massey 2002; Massey et al. 2005). The photometry of the proposed progenitor, transformed to $BVI$ magnitudes (Holtzman et al. 1995; Dolphin 2000b), is plotted with a solid square and its colours fit well with those of the observed WR stars. We note that the observed WR stars show a considerable dispersion in colour, particularly in $V-I$, when compared to the model tracks. The strong mass loss and emission line dominated spectra of these objects lead to an inherent spread in their broad-band colours (e.g. Hamann, Koesterke & Wessolowski 1995). Given the ambiguous nature of the object’s SED, our transformation WFPC2 to Johnson–Cousins magnitudes maybe somewhat uncertain, but comparison of the flight-system magnitudes with the WFPC2 model photometry shows a very similar relationship between the pre-explosion source and the models to that shown in Fig. 2. The progenitor lies between the endpoints of the 27 and 28 $M_\odot$ tracks. Remarkably, these model stars end their lives while transitioning between the supergiant and WR phases, precisely the type of progenitor one would expect to produce a type Ib SN. Final hydrogen masses are between $\sim10^{-1}$ and $10^{-3} M_\odot$. These would be classed as WNL or WNH stars (Smith & Conti 2008).

A potential pitfall for our single WNL/WNH progenitor is the mass of its carbon/oxygen (C/O) core. The final stellar mass is 11–12 $M_\odot$, with a C/O core mass of $\sim9 M_\odot$; a factor of 2 higher than the progenitor of SN 1993J (Maund et al. 2004). Pastorello et al.
(2008) show that the bolometric light curves and ejecta velocities of SNe 2008ax and 1993J are very similar, inferring that ejecta masses, and hence progenitor masses were also similar. They suggest the more massive C/O core arising from our single star model would have produced a broader light curve. If these two progenitors actually had core masses and ejecta masses different by a factor of 2, then the fact that they show similar evolution would have important implications for the modelling of SN light curves. Alternatively, the ejecta masses could be similar if we assume our WNL/WNH progenitor produced a more massive compact remnant (~5 M⊙) than was the case for SN 1993J. The remnant formed would be a black hole, in agreement with the predictions of stellar models in this initial mass range (Heger et al. 2003; Eldridge & Tout 2004).

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have determined the position of SN 2008ax to within ±22 mas in pre-explosion HST observations of NGC 4490 and identified a coincident source in three filters. It is unclear whether this object is the SN progenitor alone, or a blend of it and several other stars. We conclude that it is not a single supergiant star, but that an OB/WR star progenitor (of main-sequence mass 10–14 M⊙) is an interacting binary is possible so long as we include a significant flux contribution from an unresolved stellar cluster. We also find a single star progenitor model consistent with the pre-explosion photometry, where an initially very massive star (~28 M⊙) loses most of its He-rich envelope prior to exploding as an 11–12 M⊙ WNH star. However, the light curve of SN 2008ax would suggest a lower progenitor mass (Pastorello et al. 2008). In several years time, when the SN has faded, further high-resolution imaging may help us better determine the nature of the progenitor star. If a source is still visible, subtraction from the pre-explosion data could help to isolate the progenitor SED. If the source has disappeared, it could have serious implications for the interpretation of ejecta masses from SN light curve and spectral modelling.
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