Measurement of Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit magnetic fields
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Spin-orbit coupling is a manifestation of special relativity. In the reference frame of a moving electron, electric fields transform into magnetic fields, which interact with the electron spin and lift the degeneracy of spin-up and spin-down states. In solid-state systems, the resulting spin-orbit fields are referred to as Dresselhaus or Rashba fields, depending on whether the electric fields originate from bulk or structure inversion asymmetry, respectively. Yet, it remains a challenge to determine the absolute value of both contributions in a single sample. Here we show that both fields can be measured by optically monitoring the angular dependence of the electrons’ spin precession on their direction of movement with respect to the crystal lattice. Furthermore, we demonstrate spin resonance induced by the spin-orbit fields. We apply our method to GaAs/InGaAs quantum-well electrons, but it can be used universally to characterise spin-orbit interactions in semiconductors, facilitating the design of spintronic devices.

Symmetry-breaking electric fields in semiconductors induce a spin splitting, because electric fields appear to a moving electron as magnetic fields, which interact with the electron spin and couple it with the electron momentum, or wave vector, \( \mathbf{k} \). In zinc-blende-type crystals, such as GaAs, the electric fields resulting from the lack of an inversion centre lead to bulk inversion asymmetry (BIA) and to the Dresselhaus term in the Hamiltonian \([1]\). In the conduction band, its coupling is linear or cubic in \( \mathbf{k} \) with proportionality constants \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \), respectively. In heterostructures, additional electric fields are introduced owing to structure inversion asymmetry (SIA), giving rise to the Rashba term \([2]\), which for conduction-band electrons is linear in \( \mathbf{k} \) with coupling constant \( \alpha \). Both contributions have been extensively studied \([3]\), since a potential use of electron spins in future devices (e.g. a spin transistor \([4]\)) requires precise control of the spin’s environment and of the Dresselhaus and Rashba fields \([5]\). Spin-orbit fields also contribute to spin decoherence \([6]\).

In two-dimensional systems, such as quantum wells (QWs), usually \( \alpha \gg \beta \) and \( \gamma \approx 0 \) \([7,8,9,10]\). Therefore, measurements of the spin-orbit coupling initially focused on the Rashba term in QWs and concentrated on the study of beatings in Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations \([8,10,11,12,13]\), whose interpretation, however, is debated \([14,15]\). More recent experiments include the investigation of antilocalization in magnetotransport \([16]\) or the analysis of photocurrents \([17]\). In the latter experiment, the ratio \( \alpha/\beta \) could be determined. A gate-induced transition from weak localization to antilocalization allowed the discrimination between Rashba, as well as linear and cubic Dresselhaus contributions to the spin-orbit field \([18]\). Tuning of the Rashba coupling has been achieved by introducing additional electric fields from gates \([9,19]\) or by changing the electron density \([20,21]\).

The influence of effective spin-orbit magnetic fields on optical measurements in a heterostructure was already measured in 1990 \([22]\), and the spin-orbit-induced precession of spin packets was observed more than a decade later \([23,24]\). Remarkably, the in-plane spin-orbit fields in a QW can lead to an out-of-plane spin polarization \([25]\). In ref. \([26]\), it was pointed out that although spin-orbit and external magnetic fields can be added to describe spin precession \([22]\), a more complicated concept has to be evoked when accounting for the generation of an out-of-plane spin polarization.

In this Article, we show that both Rashba and Dresselhaus fields in the conduction band can be determined by measuring the spin precession of optically polarized electron spins as a function of the direction of their drift momentum. We find good agreement with the assumption that the spins precess about the sum of the effective spin-orbit and an external magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}_0 \). In Sect. I, we derive an expression for the magnitude of this total magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{tot}} \) as a function of the angles \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) that \( \mathbf{B}_0 \) and the electron drift momentum \( \hbar \mathbf{k} \) include with the crystal’s \([001]\) axis. These predictions provide a good description of the experimental data \( B_{\text{exp}}(\theta,\varphi) \) of three \((001)\) GaAs/InGaAs QW samples given in Sect. II, and allow us to separately determine the Rashba and Dresselhaus contributions to the spin-orbit field. In Sect. III, we demonstrate spin resonance induced by oscillating spin-orbit fields.

I. THEORETICAL EXPECTATIONS

Neglecting cubic terms, the Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit couplings in a QW are linear in \( \mathbf{k} \) and can be described by an effective magnetic field \([3,27]\),

\[
\mathbf{B}_{\text{SIA}} = \frac{\alpha}{g\mu_B} \left( k_y \mathbf{\hat{x}} - k_x \mathbf{\hat{z}} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}_{\text{BIA}} = \frac{\beta}{g\mu_B} \left( k_y \mathbf{\hat{x}} + k_x \mathbf{\hat{z}} \right),
\]

for a coordinate system with base vectors \( \mathbf{\hat{x}} || [1\bar{1}0] \) and \( \mathbf{\hat{y}} || [1\bar{1}0] \). Here, \( g \) is the electron’s \( g \)-factor and \( \mu_B \) the Bohr magneton. Both fields are in the plane of the QW, but while \( \mathbf{B}_{\text{SIA}} \) is always perpendicular to
FIG. 1: Orientation of the magnetic and electric fields and measurement setup. a, Rashba and b, Dresselhaus spin-orbit fields for different orientations of the $k$-vector on a unit circle. c, Electric and magnetic fields involved in the experiment. d, Optical microscopy image of the sample and wiring of the gates. e, The electron precession frequency $\omega(t)$ is determined at different phases of the oscillating wave vector $k(t)$.

$k$ (Fig. 1a), $B_{BIA}$ has a different geometrical dependence on $k$ (Fig. 1b). A conduction-band electron experiences the total magnetic field $B_{tot} = B_0 + B_{SIA} + B_{BIA}$ (Fig. 1c). With a time-dependent $k(t) = k_0 \sin (2\pi \nu t) \cdot (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$, we obtain for the total magnetic field square

$$B_{tot}^2(t) = B_0^2 \times$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{2k_0 \sin (2\pi \nu t)}{g\mu_B B_0} \left(\frac{k_0 \sin (2\pi \nu t)}{g\mu_B B_0}ight)^2 (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\alpha \beta \cos 2\varphi)\right).$$

$B_{tot}^2(t)$ is expected to oscillate around $B_0^2$ with frequencies $\nu$ and $2\nu$. If cubic Dresselhaus terms were included in $B_{tot}$, additional terms proportional to $k^n$, $n = 3, 4, 6$ would appear in Eq. 2 and induce oscillations at frequencies $n\nu$. Assuming that $|B_{SIA}|, |B_{BIA}| \ll B_0$, we expand the square root of Eq. 2 up to second order in $k_0/B_0$, and obtain, using Eq. 1,

$$B_{tot}(t) \approx B_0 + A(\theta, \varphi) \sin (2\pi \nu t) + B(\theta, \varphi) \sin^2 (2\pi \nu t),$$

with

$$A(\theta, \varphi) = (B_{BIA} + B_{SIA}) \cos \theta \sin \varphi + (B_{BIA} - B_{SIA}) \sin \theta \cos \varphi,$$

and

$$B(\theta, \varphi) = [(B_{BIA} + B_{SIA}) \sin \theta \sin \varphi - (B_{BIA} - B_{SIA}) \cos \theta \cos \varphi]^2 / B_0.$$

By measuring the oscillation amplitude of $B_{tot}(t)$ for varying angles $\theta$ and $\varphi$, we can extract the Rashba and Dresselhaus contributions to the spin-orbit magnetic field.

II. EXPERIMENTS

To induce an oscillating spin-orbit field, we impose an oscillating drift momentum $\hbar k(t)$ on the QW electrons by applying an in-plane a.c. electric field $E(t) = E_0 \sin (2\pi \nu t)$, $\nu = 160$ MHz, at an angle $\varphi$ with the $x$-axis, see Fig. 1. In the diffusive limit, electron scattering occurs fast on the timescale $1/\nu$, and $k(t)$ is expected to rotate around the total magnetic field (TRFR, see Methods).
clearly follow $\sin \varphi$ for $\theta = 0$ and $\cos \varphi$ for $\theta = 90^\circ$ (solid lines). From the two measurements at $\theta = 0$ and $90^\circ$, we can extract the spin-orbit magnetic fields $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$. Normalized to a gate modulation amplitude of $V_0 = 2 \ V$ ($\approx 13 \ \text{dBm}$), corresponding to an electric field of $E_0 \approx 2,900 \ \text{V/m}$, we find $B_{SIA} = -4.2, -8.5$, and $-17.6 \ \text{mT}$ and $B_{BIA} = 21.6, 21.1$, and $15.7 \ \text{mT}$ for samples 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Note that as $t$ is known up to an offset $t_0$, the sign of $A(\theta, \varphi)$ is arbitrary, leading to an uncertainty in the absolute sign of $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$ (the relative sign is obtained). We choose $B_{BIA} > 0$. As a function of the magnitude of the applied electric field $E_0$, $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$ increase linearly (see Fig. [1]), as expected from the linear relation between $k(t)$ and $E(t)$ and Eq. (1). We have conducted the same measurements at different magnitudes of $B_0$ $0.55$ and $0.82 \ \text{T}$, and found similar values for the spin-orbit fields.

As discussed above, measurements at two angles, $\theta = 0$ and $90^\circ$, were needed to obtain $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$. At $\theta = 45^\circ$ both $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$ can be determined simultaneously. This is because not only the amplitude, but also the phase of the oscillation in $\varphi$ contains information about the spin-orbit fields. The zero-crossing occurs at $\varphi_0 = \arctan ([B_{SIA} - B_{BIA}]/[B_{SIA} + B_{BIA}])$, compared with $\varphi_0 = \theta$ for $\theta = 0$ and $90^\circ$. For $\theta = \varphi = 0$ and $90^\circ$, $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$ are perpendicular to $B_0$ (Fig. [1a] and b) and $A(\theta, \varphi)$ vanishes, because it is equal to the component of $B_{SIA} + B_{BIA}$ along the direction of $B_0$. If however $\theta = \varphi = 45^\circ$, $B_{SIA}$ still is perpendicular to $B_0$, but $B_{BIA}$ is now parallel, and $A(\theta, \varphi) = B_{BIA}$.

The measurement at $\theta = 45^\circ$ is shown in Fig. [2b], with a fit to Eq. (3). For $V_0 = 2 \ \text{V}$, we extract the spin-orbit fields $B_{SIA} = -2.4 \ \text{mT}$ and $B_{BIA} = 19.1 \ \text{mT}$ for sample 1. These values correspond well to the values obtained from $\theta = 0$ and $90^\circ$. Relative variations in $B_{SIA}$ of up to $50\%$ (but far less in $B_{BIA}$) occurred for different cool-downs of the same sample, which we attribute to the freezing of electron states in the QW interface or to strain.

Knowing the electron $g$-factor and drift wave vector $k$, we can calculate the coupling constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from $B_{SIA}$ and $B_{BIA}$ using Eq. (1). For sample 3, the mobility is known (see Methods), and with a numerical simulation of $E_0$, we obtain $\alpha = h g \mu_B B_{SIA}/m^* \mu E_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-15} \ \text{eV} \cdot \text{m}$ and $\beta = h g \mu_B B_{BIA}/m^* \mu E_0 = -1.4 \times 10^{-13} \ \text{eV} \cdot \text{m}$, where we have used $g = -0.27$, as independently measured by TRFR in a known external magnetic field, and assuming $g < 0$. Previous experiments report $\alpha \approx 5 \times 10^{-10} \ 10^{-12} \ \text{eV} \cdot \text{m}$ on In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As/In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As QWs or heterostructures [14, 15], and in InAs/AISb QWs [20] and assume $\alpha \approx \beta$. The Rashba coupling is proportional to the average electric field in the valence band [3], including contributions from band discontinuities. We estimate the valence band offset in our QWs to be on the order of $10 \ \text{meV}$, which is much smaller than in those previously investigated structures, and explains our small value of $\alpha$. Our $\alpha$ is about four times larger than that reported in ref. [23], where an In$_{0.07}$GaAs epilayer (10 times thicker than our QW) was studied. There, the
interfaces play a minor role and strain-induced spin-orbit coupling predominates. The linear Dresselhaus term is expected to scale with the extent of the wave function in the confinement direction, \( \langle k_z^2 \rangle \). For an infinitely deep well with width \( \ell \), \( k_z \propto 1/\ell \), and \( \beta \propto 1/\ell^2 \). Assuming that samples 1 and 2 have similar mobilities, we observed almost the same \( \beta \), even though the QW in sample 2 is twice as wide as that in sample 1. This could be attributed to inhomogeneous In deposition during growth, leading to a triangular confinement potential, where the nominal QW width has less influence on \( \beta \).

III. ELECTRIC-DIPOLE-INDUCED SPIN RESONANCE

In electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments, spins that are initially polarized along the direction of a static magnetic field \( B_0 = B_z \) perform Rabi oscillations between the states parallel and anti-parallel to \( B_z \) if an a.c. magnetic field (the tipping field) is applied in the plane perpendicular to \( B_z \) and at the Larmor frequency \( \nu = g\mu_B B_z / \hbar \). Instead of an a.c. magnetic field, we use an a.c. electric field \( E_z(t) \) in the plane of the QW. It induces an oscillating spin-orbit field \( B_y(t) \), which can serve as a tipping field for ESR, in this context referred to as electric-dipole-induced spin resonance (EDSR) [28]. The measurements presented in Fig. 4 have been conducted in Faraday geometry with sample 1. Here, the external magnetic field \( B_z \) is parallel to the laser propagation and perpendicular to the QW plane. The pump laser pulse polarizes the spins into an eigenstate, in line with the spin precession in Sect. II.

In ESR, one assumes a tipping field that oscillates circularly in the \( x/y \) plane, resulting in a monotonous decrease of the spin polarization along \( z \) during the first \( \pi/2 \) Rabi oscillation. The spin dynamics can then be solved analytically in the “rotating frame”. In EDSR, the Rabi oscillation on resonance is not steady with time (Fig. 4), because the tipping field oscillates linearly on the \( y \)-axis instead. The precession of a spin is described by the Bloch equations (neglecting spin relaxation)

\[
\dot{S} = \frac{g\mu_B}{\hbar} B \times S,
\]

from which we find \( \dot{S}_z(t') = g\mu_B B_y(t') S_x(t')/\hbar \), where the dot denotes the time derivative and \( t' = \Delta t + t \). The tipping field \( B_y(t') \propto \sin(2\pi \nu t') \) and with it \( S_z(t') \) vanish twice per electric field period \( 1/\nu \), resulting in a stepwise decrease of \( S_z \) on resonance, \( S_x(t') \) and \( B_y(t') \) vanish simultaneously. This is shown in Fig. 4, where the time derivative of the Faraday signal \( \Theta_F(t') \propto \dot{S}_z(t') \) is plotted for different \( \Delta t \) and \( t \) and for \( B_z \) on resonance. Apart from decaying with time, it is periodic in both \( \Delta t \) and \( t \), with period \( 1/2\nu \). The stepwise decrease of \( S_z \) can be reproduced by a numerical solution of the Bloch equations including a spin-relaxation term with \( T_1 = T_2 = 1 \) ns, \( B_z = 120 \) mT, \( B_x = 0 \) and \( B_y(t) = 34 \) mT sin(2\pi \nu t).

FIG. 4: Spin resonance induced by an oscillating spin-orbit field. a, TRFR signal at \( \Delta \tau = 3 \) ns for varying external magnetic fields \( B_z \) and electric field frequencies \( \nu \). Resonance is observed with \( |g| = 0.57 \). b, TRFR scans on (red line) and off (black line) resonance. c, TRFR scans \( \Theta_F(\Delta \tau) \) at different \( B_z \). On resonance, the spins precess coherently about the spin-orbit induced-tipping field. d, Differential TRFR signal \( \Theta_F(\Delta \tau + t) \) on resonance \( (\nu = 960 \text{ MHz}) \), red lines are guides to the eye at \( \Delta \tau = t \). e, Measured \( \Theta_F(\Delta \tau) \propto \dot{S}_z(\Delta \tau) \) (solid line) and Bloch simulations with linearly oscillating (dotted) and rotating tipping field (dashed), at \( \nu = 960 \) MHz and \( t = 400 \) ps (solid line in d).

In Fig. 4, TRFR scans are collected for varying \( B_z \), monitoring the spin dynamics. At \( B_z = 120 \) mT, the Larmor frequency matches the electric field frequency \( \nu = 960 \) MHz and resonance occurs. Note that the short spin relaxation time of \( \approx 1 \) ns strongly reduces the signal. At \( \Delta \tau_s \approx 1800 \) ps, the spins have performed a \( \pi/2 \) Rabi oscillation, yielding an estimate of the tipping field amplitude \( B_y \approx 2h/g\mu_B4\Delta \tau_s \approx 35 \) mT. Here, the factor 2 takes into account the linearly (and not circularly) oscillating tipping field [29]. This value agrees well with the measurements of \( |B_{RIA} - B_{RIA}| \approx 30 \) mT at a gate modulation amplitude of \( V_0 \approx 2.5 \) V (15 dBm) and \( \phi = 0 \).

In ESR, one assumes a tipping field that oscillates circularly in the \( x/y \) plane, resulting in a monotonous decrease of the spin polarization along \( z \) during the first \( \pi/2 \) Rabi oscillation. The spin dynamics can then be solved analytically in the “rotating frame”. In EDSR,
We show $\dot{\mathbf{s}}_z$ of this solution in Fig. 1d, together with the experimentally measured $\Theta_F(t')$ and the corresponding solution with a rotating tipping field. For the latter, the tipping field has to be reduced by a factor 2 [29].

The technique presented here to unambiguously determine Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit fields with high precision can be extended to any semiconductor sample, if optical access to electron spin precession is provided. This is useful for the optimization of semiconductor materials and QW designs with increased spin-orbit fields that can be used for efficient EDSR-based spin manipulation. Moreover, it might facilitate the tuning of systems where Rashba and Dresselhaus terms cancel out.

IV. METHODS

A. Application of the electric field

In the centre of four top-gate electrodes, which enclose a square with 150 $\mu$m side length (see Fig. 1l), the angle $\varphi$ of the oscillating electric field $E(t)$ is determined by the amplitudes $E_x$ and $E_y$ of two superposed fields along $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$. $E_0 = E_x \mathbf{x} + E_y \mathbf{y}$. $E_x$ and $E_y$ are generated by two phase-locked oscillators, each driving two opposite electrodes. In the diffusive regime, the scattering time of the electrons in the QW ($\approx 0.5$ ps from mobility measurements) is much smaller than $1/\nu$ and, therefore, their average drift wave vector points along $\mathbf{E}(t)$ and its magnitude is given by $k(t) = m^* \mu E(t)/\hbar = m^* \mu E_0 \sin(2\pi \nu t)/\hbar$, with $m^*$ the electron effective mass, $\mu$ the electron mobility in the QW, and $\hbar$ Planck’s constant.

B. Time-resolved Faraday rotation

To determine the total magnetic field acting on the QW electrons in the centre of the four top-gate electrodes (see Fig. 1l), we employ time-resolved Faraday rotation (TRFR) [30]. A first, circularly polarized laser pulse ($P = 400$ $\mu$W, focus diameter $\approx 15$ $\mu$m, pulse width $\approx 3$ ps) tuned to the absorption edge of the QW (870 nm) creates a spin polarization perpendicular to the QW plane. The linear polarization of a second laser pulse ($P = 50$ $\mu$W), which is transmitted through the sample at a time delay $\Delta \tau$ with respect to the first pulse, is rotated by an angle $\Theta_F$ proportional to the spin polarization along the QW normal. As the spins precess about a local in-plane magnetic field $B_{\text{tot}}$, an oscillating signal $\Theta_F(\Delta \tau) = \Theta_0 \cos(\omega \Delta \tau) e^{-\Delta \tau/\tau_0}$ is measured (see Fig. 2a). The exponential accounts for the finite spin lifetime $\tau$, and $\omega = g\mu_B B_{\text{tot}}/\hbar$ is proportional to the magnitude of the total magnetic field $B_{\text{tot}}$. Experiments are performed at $T = 40$ K, where effects of nuclear polarization are negligible [31]. To probe the spin precession at a given time $t$ and thus at a given phase of $k(t) \propto E(t)$, the pulsed laser is phase-locked to the oscillatory field $E(t)$ with a variable phase shift. We probe the spin precession during an interval $\Delta \tau = 0 \ldots 700$ ps, which is much shorter than the a.c. electric field period of $1/\nu = 6,250$ ps. Therefore, $E(t)$ is roughly constant over the spin precession observed and a well-defined precession frequency $\omega(t)$ can be obtained, see Fig. 1f. For experimental reasons, the time $t$ is known up to an offset $t_0$, which is constant throughout the experiment.

C. Sample structure

Sample 1 is a 20-nm-wide GaAs/InGaAs QW with an In content of 8.5%, capped by 21 nm GaAs and grown on a GaAs substrate by metal organic chemical vapor deposition. Both cap and well are n-doped to maximize the spin lifetime [32]. Sample 2 is similar to sample 1, but with a QW width of 43 nm. Sample 3 is a GaAs/InGaAs QW with an In content aimed at 10%, grown by molecular beam epitaxy, n-doped on both sides and in the 20-nm-wide QW, and capped by 30 nm GaAs. For this sample, we determined a carrier density $n_s = 5.8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and a mobility $\mu = 10,600$ cm$^2$/Vs in a Van-de-Pauw Hall measurement. Transport measurements of samples 1 and 2 were dominated by strongly localized states, presumably due to a parallel conductivity from the doping layer, and rendered a determination of $n_s$ and $\mu$ in the QW impossible. In the optical measurements, however, the QW could be probed independently of the doping layer. The fitting parameter $B_0$ in Eq. [3] is independent of $\theta$ for all three samples, which indicates that the g-factor is isotropic in the QW plane, as expected for (001) GaAs/InGaAs QWs. Top-gate electrodes are fabricated by evaporating 80 nm Au on a PMMA mask defined by standard electron-beam lithography techniques.
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