Insight into S-adenosylmethionine biosynthesis from the crystal structures of the human methionine adenosyltransferase catalytic and regulatory subunits
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MAT (methionine adenosyltransferase) utilizes L-methionine and ATP to form SAM (S-adenosylmethionine), the principal methyl donor in biological methylation. Mammals encode a liver-specific isoenzyme, MAT1A, that is genetically linked with an inborn metabolic disorder of hypermethioninaemia, as well as a ubiquitously expressed isoenzyme, MAT2A, whose enzymatic activity is regulated by an associated subunit MAT2B. To understand the molecular mechanism of MAT functions and interactions, we have crystallized the ligand-bound complexes of human MAT1A, MAT2A and MAT2B. The structures of MAT1A and MAT2A in binary complexes with their product SAM allow for a comparison with the *Escherichia coli* and rat structures. This facilitates the understanding of the different substrate or product conformations, mediated by the neighbouring gating loop, which can be accommodated by the compact active site during catalysis. The structure of MAT2B reveals an SDR (short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase) core with specificity for the NADP/H cofactor, and harbours the SDR catalytic triad (YxxxKS). Extended from the MAT2B core is a second domain with homology with an SDR sub-family that binds nucleotide-sugar substrates, although the equivalent region in MAT2B presents a more open and extended surface which may endow a different ligand/protein-binding capability. Together, the results of the present study provide a framework to assign structural features to the functional and catalytic properties of the human MAT proteins, and facilitate future studies to probe new catalytic and binding functions.
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INTRODUCTION

MAT (methionine adenosyltransferase, also known as S-adenosylmethionine synthetase; EC 2.5.1.6) is the only known enzyme that synthesizes SAM (S-adenosylmethionine), the principle methyl group donor and precursor for polyamine and glutathione synthesis [1,2]. MAT catalyses the transfer of the adenosyl group from ATP to the sulfur atom of Met (L-methionine), in an unusual two-step reaction cleaving at both ends of the ATP triphosphate chain [3]. First, the methionine sulfur attacks the ATP C5′ atom, yielding the intermediate PPP, (tripolyphosphate) and product SAM. Secondly, hydrolysis of PPP, produces PP, (pyrophosphate) and P, (orthophosphate), before all three products (SAM, PP, and P) are released. MAT constitutes the first reaction step of the essential 'methionine cycle', which serves to maintain the intracellular balance of methionine and its associated activities including protein synthesis, one-carbon transfer metabolism and transsulphuration [4].

MAT is present in almost all living organisms from bacteria to mammals, many of which have more than one isoforms. Mammals contain two MAT genes, *mat1a* and *mat2a*, encoding three catalytic isoforms [2]. The MAT1A gene product (MAT1A, MAT2A and MAT2B are also known in the literature as MAT subunits α1, α2 and β, respectively) is expressed in adult liver, where most transmethylation reactions take place, and organizes into tetramer (isoform I) or dimer (isoform III). The MAT2A gene product, which forms dimers (isoform II), is expressed in fetal liver, non-hepatic tissues, and during rapid growth and de-differentiation. The three isoforms differ in kinetic properties, thereby providing a means to regulate the steady-state intracellular level of SAM, a direct determinant of cell growth [5]. The function of MAT2A is further dependent upon an interaction with the auxiliary subunit MAT2B, encoded by the MAT2B gene [6]. MAT2B is present only in mammals and bears no sequence homology with the catalytic MAT1A/2A subunits, but instead harbours signature motifs of the SDR (short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase) superfamily [7]. MAT2B was proposed to modulate the catalytic activity of MAT2A by lowering its *K*<sub>m</sub> value for its substrate Met and the *K*<sub>i</sub> value for its product SAM [8]. Two spliced variants of MAT2B have been reported, both of which are found in the nucleus and interact with the mRNA-binding protein HuR [9]. A nuclear function for MAT2B is also supported by the recent identification of MAT2A and MAT2B as...
part of a transcription co-repressor complex for the oncoprotein MafK [10].

Dysregulation of MAT1A, MAT2A and MAT2B has been correlated with disease pathology. Mutations on the human MAT1A gene have been linked to hepatic MAT deficiency (OMIM number 250850), an inborn error of methionine metabolism in which patients presented with isolated persistent hypermethioninaemia [11,12]. MAT enzymes also play a role in liver diseases, such as hepatic cirrhosis and HCC (hepatocellular carcinoma). In HCC, MAT gene expression is switched from liver diseases, such as hepatic cirrhosis and HCC (hepatocellular carcinoma). In HCC, MAT gene expression is switched from MAT1A to MAT2A, accompanied by an induction of MAT2A and MAT2B protein levels [5,13,14]. This switch in MAT gene expression is proposed to facilitate the rapid cell growth and provide a proliferative advantage for cancer [15], and also makes MAT2A/MAT2B an attractive target for chemoprevention and treatment of HCC [16].

At the protein level, the catalytic isoforms of MAT eMAT (Escherichia coli MAT) [17] and rMAT (rat MAT) 1A [18] have previously been crystallized in various liganded complexes (see Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/452/bj4520027add.htm), revealing different binding modes with regard to the adenine ring of substrate ATP and product SAM in the active-site pocket. On the other hand, no structural information is as yet available for the MAT2B protein. To address some of the unresolved questions from a structural perspective, we determined the crystal structures of all catalytic (MAT1A and MAT2A) and regulatory (MAT2B) subunits for the hMAT (human MAT) family. The results of the present study provide the first atomic view of the eukaryotic MAT2B architecture, allow a comparison of the MAT1A/MAT2A active site with structural homologues and establish a molecular basis for MAT disease mutations.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Cloning, expression and purification of the hMAT proteins**

DNA fragments encoding hMAT1A (residues 16–395; NCBI GI number 4557737), hMAT2A (residues 1–395; NCBI GI number 5174529) and hMAT2B (residues 14–323; NCBI GI number 37182512) were subcloned into the pNIC28-Bsa4 vector incorporating an N-terminal TEV (tobacco etch virus)-cleavable His6 tag. The resulting plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) cells, cultured in Terrific Broth at 37 °C until a $D_{600}$ of ~1.5 was reached and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside) overnight growth at 18 °C. Cells were harvested and homogenized in buffer A (50 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP [tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine] and EDTA-free protease inhibitor). Insoluble debris was removed by further centrifugation.

Proteins were purified by passing cell extracts through Ni-NTA (Ni$^{2+}$-nitrilotriacetate) resin pre-equilibrated with buffer B and eluted with buffer B (buffer A and 250 mM imidazole). The eluted fractions were applied on to a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 column pre-equilibrated with buffer C (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 0.5 mM TCEP). For hMAT1A, the eluted fractions were diluted to a [NaCl] of 50 mM, and applied to a 5 ml HiTrap HP-Q column pre-equilibrated with IEX buffer [20 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.0) and 50 mM NaCl]. Protein was eluted with a linear NaCl gradient (0–1 M). For hMAT2A and hMAT2B, the eluted proteins from the Superdex 200 column were treated with TEV protease overnight at 4 °C and passed over Ni-Sepharose resin pre-equilibrated with GF buffer. The final purified proteins were concentrated to 16 mg/ml (hMAT1A), 19 mg/ml (hMAT2A) and 25 mg/ml (hMAT2B) and stored at −80 °C.

**Crystallization and structure determination of hMAT1A and hMAT2A**

Crystals were grown by the sitting-drop vapour diffusion method. Prior to crystallization, 5 mM SAM was added to both proteins. Crystals were cryoprotected and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were collected at the Swiss Light Source beamline X10SA and processed with the HKL package [19]. Both hMAT1A and hMAT2A crystallized in the orthorhombic space group $I222$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The structure of hMAT2A was first solved by molecular replacement using the program PHASER [20] with the structure of rMAT1A (PDB code 1QM4) as a search model. Iterative cycles of restrained refinement and manual model building were performed using

**Table 1** Summary of the data collection and refinement statistics

The values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

| Parameter                                      | hMAT1A       | hMAT2A       | hMAT2B$^\text{rev}$ | hMAT2B$^\text{subt}$ (λ1) | hMAT2B$^\text{subt}$ (λ1) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Data collection                                 |              |              |                      |                          |                          |
| Space group                                    | $I222$       | $I222$       | $P422_1$             | $C221_1$                 | $C221_2$                 |
| Wavelength (Å)                                 | 1.90         | 1.21         | 2.80                 | 2.25                     | 2.17                     |
| Resolution range (Å)                           | 5.73–1.90    | 7.52–1.21    | 7.65–2.85            | 6.15–2.68                | 6.16–2.17                |
| Number of unique reflections                   | 27355        | 113895       | 78036                | 7705                     | 15419                    |
| $R_{merge}$ (%)                                | 0.11 (0.22)  | 0.05 (0.19)  | 0.05 (1.03)          | 0.06 (0.31)              | 0.05 (0.91)              |
| Completeness (%)                               | 93.7 (68.4)  | 99.0 (97.5)  | 93.2 (96)            | 92.8 (84.1)              | 99.8 (98.9)              |
| Multiplicity                                   | 3.8 (2.2)    | 7.16 (6.48)  | 6.9 (2.9)            | 5.7 (3.1)                | 6.6 (6.3)                |
| Anomalous completeness (%)                     | 87.0         | 99.3         |                      |                          |                          |
| Anomalous redundancy                           | 3.1          | 3.4          |                      |                          |                          |
| Refinement                                      |              |              |                      |                          |                          |
| Maximum resolution used (Å)                    | 2.05         | 1.21         | 2.80                 | 2.25                     | 2.17                     |
| Number of reflections                          | 21754        | 108185       | 78848                | 12589                    | 15419                    |
| R factor (%)                                    | 0.141        | 0.101        | 0.169                | 0.177                    | 0.177                    |
| Free R factor (%)                               | 0.183        | 0.119        | 0.190                | 0.218                    | 0.218                    |
| RMSD bond lengths (Å)                          | 1.383        | 1.615        | 1.110                | 0.970                    | 0.970                    |
| RMSD bond angles (°)                           | 0.013        | 0.013        | 0.010                | 0.009                    | 0.009                    |
| PDB accession code                             | 20BV         | 2P02         | 2YDX                 | 2YDX                     | 2YDX                     |
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Structures of the human MAT catalytic and regulatory subunits

Figure 1 Structures of hMAT1A and hMAT2A

(A) Structure of the hMAT2A monomer, coloured yellow for the N-domain, cyan for the central domain and pink for the C-domain. The bound product SAM is shown as sticks. (B) Upper panel, the interactions of SAM with the ‘lower half’ of the binding pocket formed by one subunit of the dimer (in surface representation). Lower panel, interactions of SAM with the ‘upper half’ of the binding pocket formed by the second subunit of the dimer (in ribbons). (C) Surface representation of the eMAT (apo form, PDB code 1XRA) and hMAT2A dimers, emphasizing the ordered gating loop in hMAT2A (coloured blue) which blocks access to the active site. (D) Superimposition of ATP, AMP-PNP and SAM from the structures of hMAT (PDB code 1O9T), eMAT (1P7L) and hMAT2A (the present study) respectively.

COOT [21] and REFMAC5 [22]. The structure of hMAT1A was subsequently solved by molecular replacement with the refined MAT2A structure. Calculation of difference Fourier maps revealed clear electron density for SAM in the active sites for hMAT1A and hMAT2A.

Crystallization and structure determination of hMAT2Bsubt

Purified hMAT2B was digested with subtilisin for 1 h at 293 K at a protein/subtilisin mass ratio of 1:30. At selected time points, 10 µl aliquots were removed and the reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 µl of 100 mM PMSF, before SDS/PAGE analysis. Crystals were grown by vapour diffusion in sitting drops at 4°C. A sitting drop consisting of 100 nl of protein (hMAT2Bsubt) and 200 nl of well solution was equilibrated against well solution containing 1.05 M lithium sulfate and 0.45 M TMAO (trimethylamine N-oxide). The crystals were mounted directly from the drop using 25% ethylene glycol as a cryoprotectant and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. A two-wavelength dataset was collected at the Diamond Light Source (Harwell, U.K.; Table 1) and processed using the CCP4 suite [23]. Phases were obtained by selenium–MAD phasing using SHELXD [24] which identified five selenium sites per monomeric protein. The sites were used for phasing with SHELXE and optimized in SHARP/AutoSHARP [25]. The initial model was built using ARP/wARP [26] followed by iterative cycles of manual building in COOT [21] and refinement with REFMAC5 [22].

Crystallization and structure determination of hMAT2Bresv

Prior to crystallization, 5 mM NADP and 2 mM resveratrol were added to the protein. Crystals were grown by vapour diffusion in sitting drops at 4°C. A sitting drop consisting of 100 nl of protein (hMAT2Bresv) and 200 nl of well solution was equilibrated against well solution containing 1.05 M lithium sulfate and 0.45 M TMAO. The structure of hMAT2Bresv was determined by molecular replacement in PHASER [20] using the refined hMAT2Bsubt structure as search model. The structure was refined with alternating cycles of restrained refinement in BUSTER (http://www.globalphasing.com/buster/) and manual building in COOT [21]. In the final stages of refinement, atomic displacement parameters were refined with TLS (Translation–Libration–Screw-rotation) parameters.

DSF (differential scanning fluorimetry)

hMAT2B was assayed for shifts in melting temperature caused by the presence of various ligands in a 96-well PCR plate using an Mx3000p RT (real-time)-PCR machine (Stratagene). Each well (25 µl) consists of protein (2.5 µM in buffer A), SYPRO-Orange (Invitrogen) diluted ×1000 and 1 mM nucleotide/ligand. Fluorescence intensities were measured from 25°C to 96°C with a ramp rate of 1°C/min. The temperature shifts, ΔTm, for each ligand were determined as described previously [28].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural insights into catalytic mechanism of hMAT1A and hMAT2A

The structures of hMAT1A and hMAT2A are nearly identical to each other (RMSD (root mean square deviation) 0.41 Å (1 Å = 0.1 nm)) and similar to the reported structures of rMAT1A and eMAT (RMSD ~1.55 Å and 2.24 Å respectively), all adopting a three-domain architecture (Figure 1A). In the crystals, hMAT1A and hMAT2A exist as dimer of dimers...
The hMAT1A/hMAT2A structures reveal a bound SAM molecule at each active site, sandwiched at the dimer interface. SAM adopts a bent conformation with the adenine and ribose moieties fitting into one subunit of the dimer (Figure 1B, upper panel) and the methionine group protruding into the opposite subunit of the dimer (Figure 1B, lower panel). The binding of SAM is partially stabilized by a surface-exposed ‘gating loop’ (see Supplementary Figure S2), and their biochemical and structural data corroborate with the hypothesis that the enzyme substrates (ATP and Met) and products (SAM and PPi) can occupy the active site in similar orientations (with regard to the adenosine moiety) and engage in similar protein-binding interactions. The hMAT1A structures bound with substrate, product or analogue, however, reveal a different orientation of the adenine ring and large distances (>9 Å) separating the reactive methionine sulfur and ATP C5’ atoms [18]. The plethora of ligand-bound MAT structures available to date (summarized in Supplementary Table S1) may therefore represent the different possible ligand conformations, potentially mediated by the nearby protein gating loop, that the substrates and products could adopt within the active site during different stages of catalysis. Such variety of ‘intermediate’ structural snapshots also highlights the dynamics and flexibility within the conserved MAT active site, and merits further structural and biochemical studies to delineate the underlying complexity of the catalytic mechanism.

A structural rationale for the hMAT1A disease mutations

To establish a structural basis for inherited MAT1A deficiency, we mapped the reported pathogenic mutations, including 30 missense mutations (see Supplementary Table S2 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/452/bj4520027add.htm), in the hMAT1A structure. The nonsense and indel mutations are expected to cause premature translation termination, resulting in truncated proteins that affect enzyme function and stability. The 30 missense mutations are located along the entire hMAT1A polypeptide, albeit with a preponderance in the second and third subdomains (Figure 2A, red spheres). The mutation sites are found to be conserved in both hMAT1A and hMAT2A sequences (see Supplementary Figure S2), and their biochemical and structural penalties can be interpreted with regard to three categories: mutations that affect (i) active-site binding pocket, (ii) oligomerization interface, and (iii) overall stability of the structure.

The first category consists of amino acid changes at the active site, including A55D, G69S, D258G and I322M/V. These residues...
line the SAM-binding pocket and form hydrogen bonds (e.g. Asp258) and van der Waals forces (e.g. Ala55 and Ile122) with the SAM ligand (Figure 2B). Therefore introduction of bulkier and longer side-chains or removal of polar contact atoms would affect the shape complementarity of the pocket and consequently reduce the SAM-binding affinity. These mutations were found to reduce enzyme activity by >50% [31,32]. The second category of missense mutations is found at the dimer interface, e.g. R249W, I252T, G257R, A259V and R264C/H. In particular Arg264 is involved in a salt bridge with Glu57 from the dimeric partner (Figure 2C). Its substitution to a cysteine or histidine residue almost completely abolished enzyme activity [31,33], which may be owing to the weakened ability of the mutant to dimerize.

The third category of mutations is distributed across the entire polypeptide and may destabilize the overall protein fold by means of steric hindrance with a bulky residue (S22L, S38N, A297D, P357L and G381R), early termination of helical structure (L42P), and the disruption of salt-bridge contacts (R199C, K289N, R292C, E344A and R356P/Q/W), as well as the start of misfolding/aggregate formation (e.g. L305P), which may be owing to the weakened ability of the mutant to dimerize. This effect of these mutations varies in the range of 0.2–46% of the wild-type activity [31,32].

Figure 3 Remedial strategies to crystalize hMAT2B

(A) Limited proteolysis of full-length hMAT2B (6 μg per reaction) in the presence of increasing quantity of trypsin (Tryp), chymotrypsin (Chy) and subtilisin (Subt). For each proteolytic enzyme, the three different amounts added are 200, 10 and 0.5 ng. A negative control of no proteolytic treatment (X) is also shown. Asterisks indicate stable truncated products. (B) Thermostability of hMAT2B in dependence of cofactor-bound states, as measured by DSF. The Tm value (°C) of each protein sample is the mid-point between the native (N) to unfolded (U) transition of the protein. (C) Stabilization of hMAT2B by a selection of small-molecule compounds screened in the presence of NADP, and the ΔTm value (against the no compound reference) for each compound is shown as a bar on the y-axis.

Strategies to crystallize hMAT2B

We pursued a structural study on hMAT2B to further understand its functional and biochemical properties. Despite a large yield of recombinant hMAT2B protein from E. coli overexpression, our efforts to crystallize the full-length and truncation constructs of hMAT2B were not successful. To circumvent this we carried out two remedial strategies, namely in situ limited proteolysis and co-crystallization with small ligands. Limited proteolysis is a valuable tool to remove disordered or flexible regions in purified proteins, and can assist in crystal lattice packing [36]. A small-scale treatment of purified hMAT2B with limited amount of trypsin, chymotrypsin or subtilisin generated stable truncated products (Figure 3A, asterisk). We subsequently incorporated into the large-scale purification protocol a limited proteolysis step followed by size-exclusion chromatography. The purified truncated polypeptides, found to have molecular masses of ~28 kDa (subtilisin-treated hMAT2B) and ~32 kDa (trypsin-treated hMAT2B) by MS, were subjected to crystallization trials. The subtilisin-treated protein (hMAT2Bsubt) yielded diffraction-quality crystals allowing its structure determination at 2.2 Å resolution in the unliganded form (Table 1). Inspection of the structure revealed that crystal packing is mediated by the removal of three surface-exposed flexible regions in the subtilisin-treated hMAT2B protein, namely Phe60–Ala77, Ala95–Asn113 and the C-terminal residues 325–338 (see Supplementary Figure S3 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/452/bj4520027add.htm).

We also investigated the effect of small-molecule ligands to stabilize protein conformations using DSF as a means to aid crystallization [37]. DSF analysis of ligand binding is based on the concept that proteins are stabilized by their ligands, and the difference between the denaturation temperatures of the ligand-bound and ligand-free forms (i.e. ΔTm) can be measured. This approach is independent of protein function and allows us to identify ligands which may not have been predicted a priori. We first set out to identify whether hMAT2B binds the cofactors NAD(P)/H in its oxidized or reduced state, and observed that the thermal stability of MAT2B was increased with NAD(P)/H (ΔTm of 4.5/9.7 °C), but there is little or no stabilization with NADH (0.4/0.8 °C) (Figure 3B). We subsequently screened against hMAT2B a manually compiled library of ~600 chemical compounds that are known SDR substrates, inhibitors or cofactor analogues, and identified resveratrol with a ΔTm value of 1.8 °C in the presence of NADP (Figure 3C). We subsequently...
co-crystallized hMAT2B with NADP and resveratrol and determined the ligand-bound structure (hMAT2B_resv) at 2.8 Å resolution (Table 1).

**hMAT2B is an SDR protein with preference for NADP binding**

The hMAT2Bresv and hMAT2Bsubt structures are highly similar to each other (RMSD < 0.35 Å), and can be structurally divided into two domains constructed from discontinuous stretches of the polypeptide (Figure 4A). The core Rossmann-like domain (residues 27–183, 224–254 and 293–308) consists of a seven-stranded β-sheet sandwiched between six α-helices. It harbours the conserved signature sequences for SDRs [7], including the glycine-rich dinucleotide-binding motif (G35AT G38LLG41) for cofactor binding, as well as the catalytic triad (S136 ... Y159GKTK163) which are important for substrate activation (Figure 4B). The second smaller domain (residues 184–223, 255–292 and 309–338) elaborates from the core Rossmann fold and contains five α-helices and a double-stranded β-sheet.

Minor structural variations between the two structures are found in a loop region of the second domain (residues 282–289, loop C), forming an extended coil in the hMAT2Bresv structure and a two-turn helix in the MAT2Bsubt structure. This region is important in shaping a putative substrate-binding pocket (see below).

Each hMAT2Bresv protomer is bound with an NADP molecule in its extended configuration (Figures 4A and 5A), packing against backbone atoms from the conserved GxxGxxG motif. The nicotinamide ribose hydroxyls are in hydrogen-bonding distances with Tyr159 and Lys163 from the YxxxK motif (Figure 5B). The adenosyl phosphate end of the cofactor is anchored by the loop segment L1 (connecting strands β2–α2) that contains the G85xRR26 sequence (Figure 5B). This L1 sequence is known to confer cofactor specificity for NADP in favour of NAD in many SDRs. In the case of hMAT2B, the preference for NADP over NAD can be explained by Gly59, which provides the space to accommodate the phosphate moiety, and Arg62, which forms hydrogen bonds to the phosphate oxygens (Figure 5B). The importance of loop L1 to NADP binding is also evidenced from the MAT2Bsubt structure, in which the segment Phe60–Ala77 was not present in the structure (probably owing to subtilisin proteolysis) and no electron density for the NADP cofactor was observed despite being included in the crystallization buffer.

The MAT2Bresv structure reveals two bound resveratrol molecules (res1 and res2) per protomer, which exhibit different binding modes to the protein (Figure 5A, spheres). Res1 is positioned at the interdomain cleft of each monomer, in a putative ‘active site’ where most SDR enzymes bind their cognate substrates (Figure 5C). The benzene-1,3-diol group of res1 forms aromatic stacking with the NADP nicotinamide ring and the diol oxygens form hydrogen bonds with Ser136, Asp137, Tyr159 and Arg219. The hydroxystyryl group extends into a wide and shallow pocket in the second domain, with the hydroxyl oxygen atom hydrogen bonded to Glu193. Res2 slots into a narrow pocket that is formed by the C-terminal residues of one protomer (A), as well as the loop L1–helix α2 region of the adjacent NCS (non-crystallographic symmetry)-related protomer (B) within the asymmetric unit (Figure 5D). Protomers A and B form a head-to-tail dimer with an internal two-fold symmetry, contrasting with the typical back-to-back dimerization mode that involves a four-helical bundle as observed in many SDR oligomers. Res2 is positioned within the hMAT2Bresv dimer interface mainly by van der Waals contacts and hydrogen bonds with two acidic residues (Glu80 and Asp94).

**Structural homology of hMAT2B with nucleotide-sugar modifying enzymes**

A DALI search [38] with hMAT2B identified a number of structural homologues from the SDR superfamily, including
Figure 5  Ligand binding to hMAT2B

(A) Structure of the hMAT2B dimer, bound with NADP (sticks) and resveratrol (spheres). (B–D) Enlarged views of ligand-binding sites of hMAT2B, revealing the binding modes of NADP in the core Rossmann-like domain (B), resveratrol molecule res1 close to the NADP (C) and resveratrol molecule res2 at the dimer interface (D). Subunit 1 of the dimer is coloured by domains, whereas subunit 2 is coloured grey.

dTDP-rhamnose reductase (Z-score 33.7, sequence identity 29% and RMSD 2.1 Å; PDB code 1KC3) [39], UDP-glucose epimerase (Z-score 31.5, sequence identity 22%, and RMSD 2.5 Å; PDB code 1A9Y) [40], and GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase (Z-score 30.7, sequence identity 16% and RMSD 3.0 Å; PDB code 1N7G) [41]. These enzymes form a subclass of SDRs (Pfam accession number PF04321) that modify NDP-sugars in an NAD/P-dependent manner. The structures of the sugar-modifying homologues, bound with their respective cofactor and sugar substrates (Figure 6A), show that the substrate sugar moieties (e.g. rhamnose, mannose and glucose) are positioned in proximity to the cofactor, overlapping the binding region of resveratrol res1 in our hMAT2Bresv structure (Figure 6B). On the other hand, the NDP moieties (e.g. dTDP, UDP and GDP) are bound entirely within a narrow pocket in the smaller second domain (Figure 6C).

hMAT2B superimposes well with the sugar-modifying enzymes in the core Rossmann domain, but displays more structural differences in the second domain pocket, defined by loop C (residues 282–289), the parallel β-sheet preceding it (part of loop B; residues 210–214 and 275–279), as well as the loop preceding helix αB (loop A; residues 199–206) (Figure 6A). These structural elements are oriented away from the core of hMAT2B, and form the platform of a broad and surface-exposed groove in hMAT2B (Figure 6D, left-hand panel). By contrast, the equivalent structure elements in other sugar-modifying enzymes are oriented more closely to the core, forming the lid that covers a narrower more secluded substrate pocket (Figure 6D, middle and right-hand panels). The binding determinant for the NDP diphosphate group in these enzymes, namely a conserved arginine residue near loop C (e.g. Arg314 in 1N7G and Arg292 in 1A9Y), is also absent in hMAT2B (Figure 6C). Together, these structural modifications of the hMAT2B second domain suggest against NDP-sugar binding for this protein and are consistent with our experimental observations that: (i) the DSF assay did not yield any detectable Tm shift with nucleotides (see Supplementary Figure S4A at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/452/bj4520027add.htm), and (ii) no naturally occurring sugar molecules derived from the expression host E. coli cells were co-purified, unlike in some sugar-modifying homologues [41].

A second distinguishing feature of hMAT2B concerns its oligomeric state. Size-exclusion chromatography with full-length hMAT2B reveals a monomeric species, as compared with the dimeric or tetrameric arrangements as observed in the sugar-modifying enzymes. The hMAT2Bresv structure did reveal a head-to-tail dimeric packing for the two NCS-related protomers within the asymmetric unit (Figure 5A), but it probably represents a crystallization artefact for the following reasons: (i) the C-terminus involved at the interface is partly derived from the vector-encoded sequence (AENL; residues 335–338), (ii) no equivalent dimerization is observed in the hMAT2Bsubt crystal lattice, and (iii) size-exclusion chromatography of full-length hMAT2B does not reveal a dimeric species in solution (see Supplementary Figure S4B). Nevertheless, the hMAT2Bresv dimer interface, mediated by the res2 ligand, may mimick a physiologically relevant function in protein–protein interactions (see below).

Concluding remarks

In the present study we performed a structural coverage for the hMAT family, which constitutes two catalytic isoforms and one accessory protein. The hMAT1A and hMAT2A isoenzymes
are highly homologous at the structural level, not unexpected from their primary sequence conservation. As a result, the observed functional differences in their kinetic properties, physiological roles and disease relevance are probably the consequences of distinct subcellular localizations and differential regulation by accessory factors, such as the enigmatic MAT2B protein. Despite the discovery of the latter as a ‘MAT2A regulatory subunit’ for over a decade, its underlying function and mechanism remain unknown. The hMAT2B structure determined in the present study represents the first atomic view for this mammal-only protein, and confirms its membership within the highly ubiquitous SDR superfamily. Its structural homology with the sugar-modifying subclass of SDRs, however, is perhaps more intriguing and puzzling. It is currently unknown whether MAT2B performs similar NAD/P-dependent modifications on sugar substrates and, if so, what the functional relevance to the methionine cycle will be. The unambiguous presence of the catalytic triad (serine, tyrosine and lysine) in hMAT2B, residues known to stabilize SDR substrates for hydride transfer, nevertheless merits the search for potential substrates or binding ligands for hMAT2B, which is currently underway.

Figure 6 Structural superposition of NDP-sugar-modifying enzymes

(A) Backbone superimposition of structural representatives from the NDP-sugar-modifying subfamily of SDRs, including hMAT2B (PDB code 2YDX), A. thaliana GDP-mannose-4,6-dehydratase (atMUR1; PDB code 1N7G) and E. coli UDP-galactose-4-epimerase (ecGALE; PDB code 1A9Y). (B) Enlarged views of the sugar-binding pockets in the second domain, the equivalent of which in hMAT2B is bound with resveratrol. (C) The arginine residues in A. thaliana GDP-mannose-4,6-dehydratase and E. coli UDP-galactose-4-epimerase that provide binding interactions to nucleotide sugar, residing in loop C, are not present in hMAT2B. (D) Surface representation of the three proteins highlighting the enlarged and solvent-accessible surface in the second domain of hMAT2B. (A–C) The three proteins are coloured in white except for the variable loop regions (loops A, B and C) of the second extended domain.
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One established functional partner for MAT2B is the catalytic isoenzyme MAT2A, through which MAT2B is postulated to interact and modulate its catalytic efficiency in order to match the cellular requirement for SAM synthesis. There are precedents of SDR member enzymes functioning as regulatory proteins via protein–protein interactions, with examples including CtBP (C-terminal binding protein), HSCARG [also known as NMRAL1 (NmrA-like family domain containing 1)] and NmrA [42,43]. A unifying feature among these SDR regulators is their NAPD(P)-sensing capability via the Rossmann fold. It is therefore not unreasonable to speculate that hMAT2B may also function as a redox sensor by dint of its NAPD binding ability, as demonstrated in our structure and solution studies. This potentially allows hMAT2B to fine-tune hMAT2A catalytic activity to the cellular need and redox level of the cell. The manner in which MAT2A and MAT2B functionally associate to achieve the regulation is also unknown.

The structural elucidation of MAT2B, as well as the serendipitous identification of resveratrol as a small-molecule ligand, provides a starting framework to study its functional interactions. The two resveratrol-binding sites, one in the second domain 'substrate pocket' (res1 site) and the other at the crystal dimer interface (res2 site), may mimic possible contact regions for the MAT2A and MAT2B interactions. A number of surface-exposed loops are present within these two sites (e.g. loops A, B, C and L1), and exhibit limited sequence homology with other NDP-sugar-modifying enzymes, perhaps pointing to a specific role in protein–protein interaction. Pertinent to this, the equivalent regions (and their vicinity) in HSCARG and NmrA have been shown in biochemical and structural studies to be involved in direct interaction with their protein-binding partners (see Supplementary Figure S5 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/452/bj4520027add.htm) [44,45]. With this in mind, the stage is therefore set for further experimental design aimed at isolating the MAT2A–MAT2B complex, in order to understand its molecular determinants and structural properties.
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Figure S1  Crystal structures of hMAT1A/hMAT2A

(A) Left-hand panel, structure of the hMAT2A monomer in orthogonal views, coloured yellow for the N-domain, cyan for the central domain and pink for the C-domain. Right-hand panel, tetrameric assembly of hMAT2A showing two tightly packed dimers (1 and 2 and 3 and 4). In a dimer, the two active sites are located at the interface between two tightly packed monomers that are burying ~20% of the total accessible surface. Two dimers in turn form an elongated tetramer via less extensive interactions. (B) Substrate or product orientation in the active site. Interaction of substrates/analogues (upper panels) and products/analogues (lower panels) in the active-site pocket of eMAT, rMAT1A and hMAT1A/hMAT2A. The top subunit of the dimer is coloured cyan and the bottom subunit pink. The co-crystallized ligands from each structure are shown above the figure. Inset, superimposition of ATP, AMP-PNP and SAM from the structures of rMAT (PDB code 1O9T), eMAT (PDB code 1P7L) and hMAT2A (the present study) respectively.
Figure S2 Structure-based sequence alignment of the MAT enzymes

The aligned sequences include hMAT1A (PDB code 2OBV; Uniprot ID Q00266), hMAT2A (PDB code 2P02; Uniprot ID P31153), rMAT1A (PDB code 1O9T; Uniprot ID P13444), eMAT (PDB code 1RG; Uniprot ID POAI7) and Burkholderia pseudomallei MAT (PDB code 3IML; Uniprot ID O53YHS). Residue numbering and secondary structure elements for hMAT1A are shown above the aligned sequences. Residue numbering for hMAT2A is shown below the sequences. The conserved catalytic residues (Lys265, Lys285, Asp134, Phe250 and His29) mentioned in the main text are indicated in green circles. The gating loop is marked with green box. Residues with known clinical mutations in hMAT1A are marked with red stars. Residues that are not modelled in the crystal structures (presumably disordered) are shown in italics.
Figure S3  Crystal packing in the subtilisin-treated hMAT2B protein

Left-hand panel, the crystal structure of hMAT2B_{subt} (grey) which reveals the absence of three loop regions (shown as an overlay from the hMAT2B_{resv} structure; red). Right-hand panel, packing of crystallographic symmetry-related molecules (Sym1–3) of each hMAT2B_{subt} protomer (yellow) was mediated by the absence of the three loop regions (shown as an overlay from the hMAT2B_{resv} structure).

Figure S4  Solution studies of full-length hMAT2B

(A) Thermal stability assay of hMAT2B in the presence of various nucleotide sugars by DSF. (B) Size-exclusion chromatography of hMAT2B in solution, demonstrating a monomeric species. Elution peaks of molecular mass standards are shown in red.
The contact sites of two SDR homologues (red in HSCARG and cyan in NmrA) with their interaction partners [ASS1 (argininosuccinate synthase 1) and AreA respectively] are mapped on to the structure of hMAT2B. The PDB codes for the HSCARG–NADP and NmrA–NADP–AreA structures are 2EXX and 2VUU.

### Table S1  Comparison of the MAT catalytic subunit structures determined to date

| Organism                          | Name     | PDB code | Resolution (Å) | Active site ligands          | Gating loop* | References |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|
| *Burkholderia pseudomallei*       | 3IML     | 2.35     |                | Disordered                  | Not published|            |
| *Entamoeba histolytica*           | 3SO4     | 3.18     |                | Disordered                  | Not published|            |
| *Mycobacterium marinum*           | 3RV2     | 2.00     |                | Disordered                  | Not published|            |
| *Mycobacterium avium*             | 3S82     | 1.73     |                | Disordered                  | Not published|            |
| *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*      | 3TDE     | 1.85     |                | Disordered                  | Not published|            |
| *E. coli*                         | eMAT     | 1F7L     | 2.50           | AMP-PNP, Met                | Ordered      | [1]        |
|                                   | 1RG9     | 2.50     |                | SAM, PPNP                   | Ordered      | [1]        |
|                                   | 1FU6     | 3.20     |                | Disordered                  | [2]         |
|                                   | 1MXA     | 2.80     |                | PP,                        | Disordered   | [3]        |
|                                   | 1MX8     | 2.80     |                | ADP                        | Disordered   | [3]        |
|                                   | 1MXC     | 3.00     |                | 8-Br-ADP                    | Disordered   | [3]        |
|                                   | 1XRA     | 3.00     |                | Disordered                  | [4]         |
| *Rat*                             | rMAT1A   | 1090     | 3.10           | AEP and PQ₆                  | Disordered   | [5]        |
|                                   | 1092     | 3.19     |                | ADP and AMB and 3P           | Disordered   | [5]        |
|                                   | 1093     | 3.49     |                | ATP, AEP and 2P             | Disordered   | [5]        |
|                                   | 1091T    | 2.90     |                | ATP, Met and 2P             | Disordered   | [5]        |
|                                   | 10QM4    | 2.66     |                | AMB and 2SO₄                | Disordered   | [6]        |
| *Human*                           | hMAT1A   | 2OBV     | 2.05           | SAM                        | Ordered      | The present study |
|                                   | hMAT2A   | 2P02     | 1.21           | SAM                        | Ordered      | The present study |

*Conformation of the gating loop as observed in the crystal structure
| Missense | Nucleotides | Predicted consequence | Activity (%) | Reference(s) |
|----------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| S22L     | 65C>T       | Steric hindrance     | -52         | [7, 8]        |
| G38N     | 113G>A      | Steric hindrance     | None        | [9]           |
| L42P     | 125T>C      | Disrupt helix        | -10         | [7, 8]        |
| A55D     | 164C>A      | Disrupt SAM pocket   | 17.2        | [10]          |
| G60S     | 205G>A      | Disrupt SAM pocket   | -100        | [8, 11]       |
| Y92H     | 274T>C      | Disrupt polar interaction | -100 | [8, 12]       |
| R199C    | 595C>T      | Disrupt salt bridge  | 11.1        | [13]          |
| R249W    | 745C>T      | Affect dimer interface | -18         | [8, 11]       |
| G257R    | 769G        | Affect dimer interface | -24         | [8]           |
| I252T    | 769G>A      | Affect dimer interface | -10         | [8]           |
| A55D     | 164C>A      | Disrupt SAM pocket   | -5          | [8]           |
| A55D     | 164C>A      | Steric hindrance     | -13         | [8]           |
| R264H    | 791G>A      | Affect dimer interface | 0.1         | [9, 14]       |
| R264C    | 791C>T      | Affect dimer interface | 0.3         | [9]           |
| I289N    | 867G>T      | Disrupt ionic interaction | -5         | [8, 11]       |
| R292C    | 874C>T      | Disrupt ionic interaction | -18        | [13, 15]      |
| A297D    | 890C>A      | Steric clash core    | -25         | [8]           |
| R299H    | 896G>A      | Disrupt ionic interaction | -17       | [8]           |
| R299C    | 895C>T      | Disrupt ionic interaction | -25       | [8]           |
| L305P    | 914T>C      | Disrupt helix        | 26          | [10]          |
| I322V    | 914A>G      | Disrupt SAM pocket   | -1          | [8]           |
| I322M    | 916T>G      | Disrupt SAM pocket   | 45.8        | [8]           |
| G336R    | 1006G>A     | Steric hindrance     | 22.9        | [9]           |
| E344A    | 1031A>C     | Not known            | 12.1        | [9]           |
| R356P    | 1067G>C     | Disrupt ionic interaction | -10        | [8, 11]       |
| R356Q    | 1068G>A     | Disrupt ionic interaction | 53.1       | [13]          |
| R356W    | 1068C>T     | Disrupt ionic interaction | -3         | [8]           |
| P357I    | 1070C>T     | Steric hindrance     | 31          | [10]          |
| G378S    | 1132G>A     | Affect p-turn        | 0.17        | [13]          |
| G381R    | 1141G>A     | Steric hindrance     | -30         | [8]           |

Insertion

| 185X | 539insTG | Early termination | n.r. | [13, 16] |
| 351X | 827insG  | Early termination | None | [13]     |

Deletion

| 92X  | 255delCA | Early termination | None | [9]     |
| 350X | 1043delTG | Early termination | n.r. | [13] |

Nonsense

| 387X | 1161G>A | Eight amino acid truncation | 75   | [17] |
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