TNFR1 and TNFR2 regulate the extrinsic apoptotic pathway in myeloma cells by multiple mechanisms
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The huge majority of myeloma cell lines express TNFR2 while a substantial subset of them failed to show TNFR1 expression. Stimulation of TNFR1 in the TNFR1-expressing subset of MM cell lines had no or only a very mild effect on cellular viability. Surprisingly, however, TNF stimulation enhanced cell death induction by CD95L and attenuated the apoptotic effect of TRAIL. The contrasting regulation of TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death by TNF could be traced back to the concomitant NFκB-mediated upregulation of CD95 and the antiapoptotic FLIP protein. It appeared that CD95 induction, due to its strength, overcompensated a rather moderate upregulation of FLIP so that the net effect of TNF-induced NFκB activation in the context of CD95 signaling is pro-apoptotic. TRAIL-induced cell death, however, was antagonized in response to TNF because in this context only the induction of FLIP is relevant. Stimulation of TNFR2 in myeloma cells leads to TRAF2 depletion. In line with this, we observed cell death induction in TNFR1-TNFR2-costimulated JJN3 cells. Our studies revealed that the TNF-TNF receptor system adjusts the responsiveness of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway in myeloma cells by multiple mechanisms that generate a highly context-dependent net effect on myeloma cell survival.
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Multiple myeloma is the consequence of malignant transformation of terminally differentiated antibody producing B-cells. Myeloma cells predominantly reside in the bone marrow where they trigger the formation of an osteoclastic microenvironment, eventually leading to bone destruction and associated therewith displacement of normal hematopoiesis.1,2 Two seminal studies demonstrated that about 80% of primary myeloma samples display a gene expression signature characteristic of transcription factors of the nuclear factor κB (NFκB) family, and identified mutations indicative for cell autonomous activation of NFκB signaling in about 20% of myeloma cases.3,4 NFκB signaling is held in check by intermolecular interaction with inhibitor of κB (IκB) proteins or by intracellular interaction with an IκB-related domain both resulting in masking of the nuclear localization sequence of NFκB.5,6 Inducible proteolysis/processing of IκB proteins (or IκB-like domains) thus represents the central bottleneck in NFκB activation. It takes place by two distinct routes: the classical (canonical) and the alternative (non-canonical) NFκB pathway.5,6 In the classical pathway, receptor induced activation of E3 ligases of the TNF receptor-associated factor (TRAF) family and TRAF-associated kinases trigger activation of the IκB kinase (IKK) complex. The latter in turn entails ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of IκB proteins.5,6 In contrast, activation of the alternative NFκB signaling pathway is independent of the IKK complex and instead relies on receptor-induced deviation/degradation of TRAF2 and TRAF3. The latter two in concert with cIAP1/2 are responsible for constitutive degradation of the MAP3K NIK.5,6 Receptor-induced degradation of TRAF2 and TRAF3 thus results in NIK accumulation. NIK in turn stimulates the IKK1 kinase, which then phosphorylates p100, the precursor of the NFκB family member p52 which contains an IκB-like inhibitory domain. Phosphorylation of p100 results in proteasomal destruction of the inhibitory domain by limited processing of the molecule resulting in active p52-containing NFκB.5,6 The classical NFκB pathway is stimulated by a wide variety of cellular stressors (e.g. PAMPs, UV and irradiation) and members of the Toll-like and the TNF receptor families. One of the strongest, best investigated and most widely distributed activators of the classical NFκB pathway is TNFR1.7 In contrast to the classical NFκB pathway, the alternative NFκB pathway is stimulated by a rather limited set of inducers most of which belong to the TNF receptor family.5,6 Notably, TNFR2, the second receptor of TNF is a comparably weak trigger of classical NFκB signaling but is able to induce the alternative NFκB pathway.8 TNF, like most other members of...
the TNF ligand family, is a homotrimeric type II transmembrane protein from which soluble trimers can be released by proteolytic processing. It is worth mentioning that both TNF receptors are robustly activated by membrane TNF while soluble TNF predominantly stimulates TNFR1 despite binding to TNFR2. Thus, the availability of TNFR1, TNFR2 and of soluble and membrane TNF in sum determines the activity of the classical and alternative pathway in situations of TNF exposure.

In view of the relevance of the NFκB system for myeloma cells and the fact that NFκB regulates a variety of survival genes, we investigated in this study whether and how TNF and its receptors regulate the apoptotic sensitivity of myeloma cells.

Results

TNF receptor expression in multiple myeloma. FACS analysis showed that with exception of U266 all investigated myeloma cell lines express TNFR2 on their cell surface (Figure 1a). Cell surface expression of TNFR1 was more heterogeneous yielding clear staining only in 3 out of 10 cell lines (MM.1S, RPMI8226 and KMS-12-BM; Figure 1a). Using a highly sensitive ligand-binding assay with a *Gaussia princeps* luciferase fusion protein of the TNFR1-specific TNF mutant 32W/86T, we were able to detect significant TNFR1 expression in five additional cell lines (U266, OPM2, KMS-11, INA6 and JJN3) while even with this assay there was no evidence for TNFR1 expression in AMO1 and L363 (Figure 1b). Binding studies with a *Gaussia princeps* luciferase fusion protein of the TNFR2-specific TNF mutant 143N/145R confirmed the lack of TNFR2 expression in U266 cells as well as expression of this receptor on all the other cell lines (Figure 1b). In the three cell lines where TNFR1 expression was already evident by FACS analysis and also in two of the four cell lines (OPM2 and INA6) where TNFR1 expression was only evident by the more sensitive binding studies, stimulation with TNFR1-specific Flag-TNF(32W/86T) resulted in robust activation of the classical NFκB pathway in terms of phosphorylation and degradation of IkBα. Albeit very weak, there was also in half of the cell lines activation of the classical NFκB pathway upon stimulation with a non-americ form of the TNFR2-specific TNF mutant 143N/145R that mimics the activity of membrane TNF on this receptor (Figure 2a). Indeed, while induction of NFκB-regulated factors such as RelB, TRAF1 and p100 was readily observable in western blot analysis of TNFR1-stimulated cells, only one of five analyzed cell lines showed a corresponding TNFR2-induced response (Figure 2b). The lower or even missing capability of TNFR2 to trigger the classical NFκB pathway corresponds to former reports demonstrating that TNFR1 is superior to TNFR2 in activation of this response and that the latter depends on the presence of the highly regulated TRAF1 protein to elicit an optimal response.

TNF differentially regulates TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death in myeloma cells. A major effect of activation of transcription factors of the NFκB family is the transcriptional upregulation of survival genes. To reveal a potential protective effect of TNFR1-mediated NFκB activation on myeloma cells, we primed the three highly TNFR1 responsive myeloma cell lines identified above (MM.1S, RPMI8226 and KMS-12-BM) with soluble TNF and challenged the cells then for 24 h with TRAIL and CD95L that stimulate the death receptors TRAILR1, TRAILR2 and CD95 as well as with bortezomib (proteasome inhibitor), NVP-AUY922 (HSP90 inhibitor) and the Akt inhibitor Akti-1/2. Stimulation of the death receptor TNFR1 alone led to no or only marginal cell death (Figure 3a), whereas exclusive stimulation with either TRAIL or CD95L resulted in almost complete killing of myeloma cells (Figures 3b and c). Priming of myeloma cells with TNF had no or only a marginal effect on cell death-induction by the three pharmacological inhibitors suggesting that activation of the classical NFκB pathway does not interfere with the anti-myeloma effect of these clinical and preclinical drugs. TNF priming, however, exerted opposing effects on TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death of MM.1S and RPMI8226 cells (Figures 3c and d). In these cell lines there was a strong enhancement of CD95L-induced cell death upon TNF priming while TRAIL-induced cell death was reduced (Figures 3c and d). In the third cell line, KMS-12-BM, there was a moderate sensitizing effect of TNF on both TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death (Figure 3b). Because CD95 and the TRAIL death receptors utilize the same receptor-associated mechanisms to induce cell death, the opposing modulation of their cytotoxic effects by TNF observed in MM.1S and RPMI8226 cells was surprising and therefore investigated in more detail.

TNF sensitizes myeloma cells for CD95L-induced cell death by TNFR1-induced IKK2-mediated upregulation of CD95. CD95 and the TRAIL death receptors trigger cell death not only via caspase-8-mediated activation of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway, but also by the virtue of caspase-8 activity-independent induction of necrosis via the receptor-associated serine/threonine kinase RIP1. We therefore proved first whether CD95L and TRAIL utilize the same molecular mechanisms to initiate MM cell death by help of the caspase inhibitor zVAD and the RIP1 inhibitor necrostatin-1. Treatment with the caspase inhibitor zVAD alone was fully sufficient to rescue MM.1S cells from TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death, irrespective of TNF priming (Figure 4a). In the case of RPMI8226 cells, zVAD treatment only partly rescued cells from death ligand-induced apoptosis. Addition of necrostatin-1 further improved the protective effect of zVAD in RPMI8226 cells indicating that these cells principally respond with apoptosis and with necrosis toward death receptor activation (Figure 4a). Again TNF priming showed no effect on the quality of cell death induced by TRAIL and CD95L, making it unlikely that the differential effect of TNF priming is related to the utilization of different death pathways by CD95 and the TRAIL death receptors. An important receptor proximal factor determining cellular sensitivity for the extrinsic pathway is cell surface expression of the death receptors. FACS analysis showed no significant changes in TRAIL receptor expression but revealed a pronounced upregulation of cell surface-expressed CD95 in the TNF-primed cells (Figure 4b).
Increased cell surface expression of CD95 was also mirrored by increased amounts of CD95, FADD and caspase-8 present in Fc-CD95L immunoprecipitates (Figure 4c). Of note, recruitment of the antiapoptotic protein FLIP was also increased, but this effect appeared to be overcompensated by the concomitantly enhanced recruitment of FADD and caspase-8 (Figure 4c). Soluble TNF predominantly acts via TNFR1.\textsuperscript{15,16} The effects of priming with soluble TNF on
CD95L- and TRAIL-induced cell death should therefore be due to activation of TNFR1. To confirm this by an independent experimental approach, we used TNFR1- and TNFR2-specific activators for myeloma cell priming. For selective stimulation of TNFR1 we used Flag-TNF(32W/86T), and for triggering of TNFR2 we used again...
the TNFR2-specific membrane TNF-mimicking non-american variant Flag-TNC-scTNF(143N/145R). Priming of MM.1S and RPMI8226 cells with the TNFR1-specific variant of soluble TNF elicited the same effect on TRAIL- and CD95L-induced apoptosis and receptor expression as wild-type TNF (Figures 4b and 5a,b).

In contrast, the TNFR2-specific non-american TNF mutant showed no effect on apoptosis induction and CD95 expression (Figures 5a and b). To further verify
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whether TNF-induced upregulation of CD95 was due to the activation of the classical NFκB pathway, we took advantage of the IKK2 inhibitor TPCA-1. The latter allows efficient inhibition of the classical NFκB pathway but does not interfere with the activity of the alternative NFκB pathway, which utilizes the IKK2-related kinase IKK1. TPCA-1 treatment alone had no effect on basal CD95 expression but completely abrogated upregulation of CD95 by TNF (Figure 6a). Moreover, RPMI8226 cells primed with TNF in the presence of TPCA-1, also failed to respond with an enhancement of CD95L-induced apoptosis (Figure 6b). TNF priming in the presence of TPCA-1 resulted in synergistic cell death induction in MM.1S cells, and thus prevented in this case analysis of the TNF-CD95L crosstalk (Figure 6c). The latter finding could be indicative for a protective effect of NFκB activity with regard to MM-associated TNF expression. In fact, IKK2 inhibition also sensitized two of the five remaining TNFR1-expressing MM cell lines (OPM2, KMS-12-BM) analyzed in Figure 1 for TNF-induced cell death (Figure 6c).

TNFR2 regulates TNFR1-mediated cell death-induction and TRAF2-related signaling events. The best investigated aspect of TNFR2 signaling is recruitment of TRAF2 and the TRAF2-associated proteins, cIAP1 and cIAP2. This results in limited availability of these proteins for other binding partners, such as the TRAF3-NIK and the TNFR1 signaling complex. Due to the degradation-inducing effect of cIAP1 and cIAP2 on TRAF3-associated NIK, TNFR2 stimulation can therefore result in accumulation of NIK, and subsequent activation of IKK1 and p100 processing thus leading to activation of the alternative NFκB pathway. In the context of TNFR1 signaling TRAF2-cIAP1/2 complexes are also of pivotal relevance for activation of the classical NFκB pathway and in addition prevent RIP1-mediated caspase-8 activation. Accordingly, it has been found that TNFR2 activation antagonizes TNFR1-induced NFκB activation and enhances cell death induction. In view of the broad expression of TNFR2 in myeloma cells (Figure 1), we analyzed whether TNFR2 has similar signaling capabilities.
in myeloma cell lines. Analysis of total cell lysates revealed that TNFR2 stimulation triggered robust (JJN3, AMO1 and KMS11) to moderate (RPMI8226, KMS-12-BM, INA6 and OPM2) TRAF2 degradation in 7 of the 10 investigated cell lines (Figure 7a). In five cases this was accompanied by accumulation of NIK (Figure 7a). Surprisingly, however, none of the cell lines responded with appreciable p100 processing.

In accordance with the antiapoptotic function of TRAF2 in TNFR1 signaling, we observed enhanced TNFR1-induced cell death in JJN3 but not in the other cells (Figure 7b). In five cases this was accompanied by accumulation of NIK (Figure 7a). Surprisingly, however, none of the cell lines responded with appreciable p100 processing. Thus, although TNFR2 stimulation significantly affected TRAF2 and NIK expression in most MM cell lines, this resulted only in one case in a corresponding downstream p100 processing effect.

Discussion

Transcription factors of the NFkB family regulate a variety of genes required for growth, survival and migration of cells. It is therefore not surprising that deregulated activation of the NFkB system has been implicated in cancer development and metastasis. In multiple myeloma, there is evidence that NFkB activity promotes growth, immortalization and survival of tumor cells as well as angiogenesis. For example, NFkB-inhibitory drugs, such as the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib or IKK2 inhibitors, reduce proliferation and enhance apoptosis in myeloma cells. Moreover, two recent studies demonstrated that more than 80% of myeloma biopsies have a gene expression signature characteristic for NFkB activity; and furthermore identified in a considerable fraction of MM cell lines and patient samples (close to 20%), genetic lesions that could lead to cell autonomous activation of NFkB signaling. TNF is a strong activator of the classical NFkB pathway and is itself regulated by this pathway. Although increased TNF levels have consistently been observed in multiple myeloma,26–32 there are conflicting data on an association of high producer alleles of the TNF and LTA genes with the risk for multiple myeloma. Notably, a pilot study in which 10 patients with refractory multiple myeloma received medical treatment with a soluble TNFR2 fusion protein (Enbrel/ Etanercept) came out with the unexpected result that TNF plasma levels were significantly increased. Moreover, 4 of the
10 patients, three with stable and one with progressive disease at therapy start, showed progressive disease during therapy. The basis of this counterintuitive increase in TNF in the presence of Enbrel is unclear but could be related, among other things, to reverse signaling of membrane TNF or differential inhibition of soluble and membrane TNF and thus differential inhibition of TNFR1 and TNFR2 that can elicit opposing effects on NFκB signaling. In any case this pilot study provides initial evidence that the TNF/TNF receptor system is not only active in multiple myeloma but also of significance for the course of the disease.

In view of the pivotal role of the classical NFκB pathway for cell survival, we asked in this study whether TNF modulates the sensitivity of MM cell lines for apoptosis. First, we analyzed various myeloma cell lines with respect to the cell surface expression of the two TNF receptors TNFR1 and TNFR2. Although TNFR2 expression was found on 9 of 10 cell lines, TNFR1 expression was rather restricted. Therefore, we initially focused our analysis on MM.1S and RPMI8226 cells that showed strong TNFR1-mediated NFκB activation in terms of phosphorylation and degradation of IκBα and upregulation of NFκB-regulated factors (Figures 2a and b). TNFR1, CD95 and the two TRAIL death receptors, TRAILR1 and TRAILR2, all belonging to the death receptor subgroup of the TNF receptor family, signal apoptosis by FADD and caspase-8. Notably, however, while Fc-CD95L and TRAIL potently induced apoptosis in both cell lines within 18 h, treatment with soluble TNF, thus TNFR1 activation, elicited no or only a very minor growth inhibitory effect (Figure 3). This different sensitivity is typically also observable in other cell types and might mainly reflect the fact that TNFR1-associated caspase-8 activation, in contrast to CD95-, TRAILR1- and TRAILR2-associated activation of this protein, is not only inhibited by FLIP proteins but also by TRAF2-cIAP1/2-containing complexes. Consequently, we and others found that cytoplasmic deviation of these complexes by recruitment to TRAF2-cIAP1/2-interacting receptors, such as TNFR2, results in enhanced TNFR1-induced cell death (for review, see Naude et al.22). In accordance with the idea that such TRAF2-containing complexes are also operative in myeloma cells, we indeed noticed enhanced TNFR1-induced cell death in TNFR2-primed JNJ3 cells (Figure 7b).

Although activation of the classical NFκB pathway has frequently been implicated in development of resistance against chemotherapeutic drugs, we observed no inhibitory effect of TNF priming on cell death induction by different types of anti-myeloma drugs despite upregulation of anti-apoptotic proteins, such as cIAP2 and FLIPL (Figures 2b and 4c). Surprisingly, however, TNF priming elicited opposing effects on TRAIL- and CD95L-induced cell death (Figures 3b–d).
although the corresponding death receptors trigger apoptosis by the same receptor-associated mechanisms.\textsuperscript{13} The latter suggested that TNF priming acts, at least partly, upstream of caspase-8 on receptor-proximal steps in TRAIL- and CD95L-induced apoptosis. Indeed, CD95 and both TRAIL death receptors as well as the FLIP proteins are regulated by the classical NF\textsubscript{κ}B pathway in a cell-type-specific manner.\textsuperscript{42-47} FACS analysis, western blotting and immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that TNFR1 activation enhances cell surface expression of CD95 but not of the TRAIL death receptors in myeloma cells (Figures 4b and c). Experiments using the IKK2 inhibitor TPCA-1 further indicated that the TNF-induced upregulation of CD95 expression occurred via the classical NF\textsubscript{κ}B pathway (Figure 6a). Moreover, in RPMI8226 cells TPCA-1 not only prevented TNFR1-mediated upregulation of CD95 (Figure 6a) but also diminished the enhancing effect of TNF on CD95L-induced apoptosis (Figure 6b). TNF-mediated sensitization for apoptosis induction by CD95 upregulation has previously been observed also in the OH\textsubscript{2} myeloma cell line,\textsuperscript{48} and NF\textsubscript{κ}B-mediated CD95 upregulation has recently been found to exert pro-apoptotic effects in an animal model of cMyc-induced lymphomagenesis.\textsuperscript{49}

Although the IKK2-mediated upregulation of CD95 explains why TNF priming sensitizes for CD95L, but not for TRAIL-induced apoptosis, it does not provide an answer for the mechanism(s) underlying the protective effect of TNF priming against TRAIL. The most relevant protective factors regulated by NF\textsubscript{κ}B in the context of death receptor signaling are certainly the FLIP proteins. The different isoforms of FLIP corecruit with caspase-8 to the TRAIL death receptors and CD95 where they interfere with caspase-8 maturation.\textsuperscript{9} Analysis of cell lysates and immunoprecipitates of CD95 and TRAIL receptors of TNF-primed MM cells indeed revealed upregulation of FLIP (Figure 4c). Moreover, in accordance with an increased inhibitory effect of FLIP proteins on TRAIL-induced apoptosis in TNF-primed cells, there was reduced processing of the long isoform of FLIP in the TRAIL-associated receptor signaling complex as well as increased recruitment of this protective factor (Figure 4c). In sum, these data suggest the following model (Figure 8): activation of the classical NF\textsubscript{κ}B pathway via TNFR1 results in the upregulation of CD95 and FLIP proteins. With respect to TRAIL signaling only the latter is of relevance and has a protective effect. In case of CD95 signaling, however, there are two opposing effects, moderate upregulation of FLIP and strong upregulation of CD95, the net effect of which is enhanced CD95-mediated apoptosis.

In this study we identified multiple mechanisms by which TNF and its receptors regulate the sensitivity of myeloma cell lines for extrinsic apoptosis. In view of the quite variable expression of the two TNF receptors in the different myeloma cell lines and the known heterogeneity of genetic alterations in multiple myeloma, it should not be surprising that these mechanisms are not equally effective in all cell lines investigated but rather occur in each case only in a subset of the cell lines. A major difference between primary myeloma samples and myeloma cell lines is the much higher proliferative capacity of the latter. It is thus desirable to recapitulate findings obtained from experiments with cell lines with primary myeloma cells. Biopsies of patients suffering from multiple myeloma typically contain very limited numbers of cells (10\textsuperscript{5}-10\textsuperscript{6}) and their clinical frequency is rather moderate. Analysis of primary myeloma samples is therefore more practicable for the investigation of processes occurring in a large fraction of primary samples and requiring only a low number of experimental groups. However, this approach is poorly feasible for investigations of scenarios like those studied in this study that possibly occur only in a fraction of samples and requires the parallel analysis of several groups (e.g. plus/minus TNF, plus/minus CD95 stimulation, plus/minus IKK2 inhibition) by two or more parameters (e.g. cell surface receptor expression and apoptosis assay). Thus, a future challenge will be to evaluate the relevance of TNF and its receptors for the development and treatment of multiple myeloma in animal models of this disease.

### Materials and Methods

**Cell lines, reagents and antibodies.** HEK293, MM.1S, RPMI8226, AMO1, U266, L363, JNJ3, OPM2, KMS-12-BM, KMS-11 and INA6 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 medium (PAA, Pasching, Germany), containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (PAA). For INA6 cells the culture medium was supplemented with recombinant human IL6 (10 ng/ml, ImmunoTools, Friesoythe, Germany). Mutations conferring specificity for TNFR1 and TNFR2 to soluble TNF have been described elsewhere by Loetscher et al.\textsuperscript{10} The various Flag-tagged variants of soluble TNF or CD95L used in this study were produced in HEK293 cells and purified by affinity chromatography on anti-Flag mAb M2-agarose. The pan-caspase inhibitor, zVAD, was purchased from Bachem (Weil am Rhein, Germany). Necrostatin-1, anti-FLIP (NF6, 804-428-C100) and TRAIL were from Enzo Life Sciences (Lorrach, Germany). TRAF2-specific antibody (H-249 and sc-7187), anti-IxB\textsubscript{a} (FL and sc-847), anti-CD95 (C-20 and sc-715) and anti-FADD (H-181 and sc-838) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-tubulin (DM1A and MS-581-P) was from NeoMarkers (Fremont, CA, USA). Protein G-agarose was from Roche (Mannheim, Germany). The phycoerythrin-conjugated antibodies anti-TNFRII (FAB225P), anti-TNFFR2 (FAB226P), anti-TRAILR1 (FAB347P), anti-TRAILR2 (FAB631P), anti-lgG1 (IC002P), anti-lgG2a (IC003P), and IgG2b (IC004P) and the fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated...
Flow cytometry. Cells were stained with phycoerythrin- or fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated monoclonal antibodies specific for TNFR1, TNFR2, CD95, TRAILR1 and TRAILR2 or with corresponding isotype control antibodies for 20 min on ice, and after two washes with PBS cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACSc flow cytometer and the corresponding software supplied by the manufacturer (BD Calibur, BD, Heidelberg, Germany).

Binding studies with *Gauussia princeps* luciferase TNF fusion proteins. Cells (3 x 10^5) were incubated with either the TNFR1-specific mAb H398 (10 ng/ml) (a kind gift of Profs. K. Pfizenmaier and P. Scheurich, University of Stuttgart, Germany) or a mixture of 3 ng/ml Flag-TNC-scTNF(143N/145R) and 3 ng/ml Flag-TNF for 1 h on ice or left untreated. Cells were then incubated for another hour on ice with TNFR1- (200 ng/ml) or TNFR2- (100 ng/ml) specific *Gauussia princeps* luciferase fusion proteins of Flag-TNC-TNF(32W/86T) and Flag-TNC-scTNF(143N/145R). To remove unbound ligand, cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS and were suspended in 50 µl culture medium with 0.5% FBS in a black 96-well plate. Luciferase activity was determined by using a Luciferase Assay Kit (New England Biolabs GmbH, Frankfurt a. M., Germany) and measured in a Luminometer (Lucy 2, Anthos Labtec Instruments, Wals/Salzburg, Austria). Specific binding is the difference of total binding (i.e. luciferase activity of cells treated with the luciferase fusion proteins alone) and non-specific binding (i.e. the activity of samples which were pre-incubated with H398 or a mixture of Flag-TNC-scTNF(143N/145R) and Flag-TNF as blocking reagents).

Death-inducing signaling complex analysis. Cells (70 x 10^5 in 5 ml) were stimulated with Fc-CD95L (1 µg/ml) or Fc-scTRAIL (200 ng/ml) for the indicated times at 37°C or remained untreated. After two washes with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, cells were lysed by incubation (20 min on ice) in 1.5 ml lysis buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol and 120 mM NaCl) supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (30 min, 14,000 x g), and Fc-CD95L/Fc-scTRAIL-associated proteins were precipitated with protein G agarose beads (Roche; 20 µl of a 50% slurry, overnight at 4°C). As a control, lysates of unstimulated cells were supplemented with 10 ng of the corresponding ligand and subjected to immunoprecipitation with protein G agarose beads. Immunocomplexes were washed five times with ice-cold lysis buffer, and bound proteins were eluted by incubation at 70°C for 10 min in 4 x Laemml buffer and analyzed by western blotting.

Western blotting. For western blot analysis of whole cell lysates, cells were lysed in 4 x Laemml sample buffer which was supplemented with complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail II (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). Upon sonication, samples were heated (5 min, 96°C) and subjected to protein separation by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes by semi-dry blotting and remaining binding sites were blocked by incubation with PBS or TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 5% dry milk. To detect the proteins of interest, membranes were incubated overnight with corresponding primary antibodies. To finally visualize bound primary antibodies, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and the obtained antibody-containing complexes were detected with the ECL Western blotting detection system (Pierce, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Bonn, Germany).

Determination of cellular viability. The various multiple myeloma cell lines were plated into a 96-well plate and were challenged with the various stimuli as indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Metabolically active cells were finally quantified using a standard MTT protocol. Viability was calculated as percentage of the viability of untreated controls (100%). Background staining of completely killed cells (0% viability) was determined using a group consisting of cells that had been exposed to a deadly mixture containing CHX, TRAIL and Fc-CD95L.
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