Social Determinants of Health in the COVID-19 Pandemic Context of the Migrant Population Living in Settlements in Spain
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Objectives: The aim of this study was to describe and evaluate the sociodemographic profile and assess the levels of anxiety and fear, work engagement, and psychological distress on a sample of migrants living in settlements in the province of Huelva (Spain) during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted on a sample of 623 migrants during the months of April to June 2021, based on the Anxiety and Fear of COVID-19 (AMICO) assessment scale, the 9-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale to assess work engagement, and the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) to analyse psychological distress.

Results: A low level of education, dwelling of less than 3 m² and the desire to return to the country of origin may be related to the presence of anxiety and fear of COVID-19 and lower levels of work engagement.

Conclusion: There is a need to improve the study of the concept of health of the migrant population residing in the settlements of Huelva (Spain) and the assessment of their physical and mental health, in an official way.
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INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 has brought with it a number of social, economic and political consequences in all countries worldwide, while it is true that each country has shown a different impact on this issue [1]. In 2015, the United Nations General Assembly developed the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, where one of its goals is to make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable, but the reality is quite different [2]. Globally, it is estimated that 1 in 4 people live in slums and informal settlements [3]. In Spain, the National Institute of Statistics estimates that 19.7% of the population living in Spain does so in substandard housing, especially in the south of the country [4].

In this sense, people living in informal settlements tend to have poor living conditions, with small dwellings in close proximity to each other and made of cheap and/or recycled building materials, and
are often overcrowded due to the large number of dwellers [5], making it more difficult to comply with preventive measures against COVID-19, thus increasing the risk of COVID-19 transmission [6].

On the other hand, WHO defines the concept of social determinants of health (SDOH) as the social, physical, and economic conditions that impact health, both physically and mentally [7]. People living in informal settlements often have limited or no income, and a large proportion of them work in sectors such as agriculture, cleaning, transport, etc., and are therefore often employed in low-skilled, low-paid, temporary jobs with a higher risk of exposure to the possible spread of COVID-19 [8]. To this difficulty it may be added the fear they may have of losing their job, which is their main livelihood [9, 10], together with the uncertainty that COVID-19 may significantly affect the physical and mental health of the population in general, and of people living in poorer areas in particular [11]. In the face of this circumstance, both work demands and work loads, and even job opportunities, have changed, especially in a vulnerable group such as the migrants one. Interest to assess work engagement, together with emotional distress [12] and the presence of anxiety and fear of COVID-19 has then risen, given their intrinsic relationship with the social determinants of health [13] and due to the impact that the pandemic has on these determinants for this population group which is at risk of social exclusion [14].

Therefore, the aim of this study was to describe and analyse the sociodemographic profile and to assess the levels of anxiety and fear, work engagement, and psychological distress intrinsically related to the social determinants of health, on a sample of migrants living in settlements in the province of Huelva (Spain) during the COVID-19 pandemic, as a starting point for the detection of specific socio-health interventions in the pandemic context.

METHODS

Study Design
A descriptive, cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study was conducted from April to June 2021.

Population and Sample
The province of Huelva is located in the southwest of Spain and has a surface area of 10,128 km² and a population of just over half a million, of which 48,304 are foreigners according to the Spanish National Statistics Institute [15]. Its economy is mainly based on the agricultural, service, and industrial sectors. Job opportunities in the agricultural sector have led many people, especially from North Africa, to migrate to Huelva on a temporary basis to work in the intensive agricultural campaigns to harvest red fruits. Others, however, tend to work in other professions in the primary sector or are unemployed [16]. Since there is no real record of the total number of subjects residing in the settlements in the province of Huelva (southern Spain), the necessary sample size could not be estimated. However, the Red Cross Organisation, a participating entity in this study, estimated a population size of approximately 5,000 subjects. With this, a sample of at least 260 subjects was estimated, considering a confidence level of 95%, precision of 3%, a proportion of 5%, and an expected proportion of losses of 25%. However, the final sample consisted of 623 migrants, regardless of age, who voluntarily agreed to participate in the study.

The sample was selected by non-probability snowball sampling. An online questionnaire was created with the Google Forms© application, containing information about the study and informed consent, as well as other items related to the study variables. This questionnaire was also translated into French and Arabic by two professional cultural mediators from the Red Cross Organisation, with degrees in French and Arabic Studies, respectively. The final tool was administered in the settlements, with the help of Red Cross staff and volunteers. All collaborators carried out the data collection using the link to the questionnaire, in the language of the interviewee, using tablets or mobile phones of the organisation itself.

All subjects were informed of the purpose of the study and the possibility of participating in it on a voluntary, anonymous, and confidential basis. In addition, all subjects were required to give their consent, which was recorded by the interviewer collaborator by ticking a specific box before the start of the survey.

Variables
The present study included socio-demographic variables (sex, age, settlement, country of origin, work activity, highest level of education, work permit, housing situation), variables related to health and the COVID-19 pandemic (positive diagnosis, isolation, chronic diseases, consumption, hospitalisation, preventive measures, and self-perception of health), and those of the measuring instruments themselves.

Instrument
The level of anxiety and fear of COVID-19 was assessed using the “Assessment of Anxiety and Fear of COVID-19” (AMICO) scale, validated by Gómez-Salgado et al. [17]. This scale consists of 2 dimensions (anxiety and fear), and 16 items, which are scored from 0 to 10 points. The final score is obtained by calculating the mean score the subject gives to each item, and the cut-off point is set at 6.4 points, indicating that scores higher than this represent high levels of anxiety and fear of COVID-19. After the validation process, the scale obtained a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.92. The scale obtained convergent validity, a sensitivity value of 90.48%, and a specificity value of 76% [17, 18]. In addition, a process of cultural adaptation of the scale into French and Arabic was carried out based on the principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes measures [19]. The process was carried out in three phases: translation of the AMICO scale into both languages by two native male key informants identified by the Red Cross organisation from among the people living in the settlements, with a minimum educational level of secondary school education; back-translation of both versions into Spanish by two professional women, cultural mediators from the Red Cross organisation, with degrees in French and Arabic Studies; face validity analysis of the versions translated into English and Arabic.
with respect to the original Spanish version, in which all the translators and back-translators participated, as well as the team of authors of this study and of the AMICO scale.

Work engagement was assessed using the 9-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES) questionnaire, with a Likert-type response scale between 0 (never) and 5 (always) [20]. The tool assesses work engagement on the basis of three independent but related dimensions or subscales (vigour, dedication, and absorption). Its Spanish validation obtained a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.9, and between 0.79 and 0.84 for the 3 subscales, and the final score of the scale is obtained by calculating the average of the scores. The values of the means scores of UWES are: very low 0 to 0.99; low 1 to 1.99; medium 2 to 3.99; high 4 to 4.99; very high 5 to 6 [20]. The UWES-9 version validated in French obtained a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.92, and between 0.80 and 0.90 for the 3 subscales [21], and the validated Arabic version obtained a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.91, and between 0.85 and 0.91 for the 3 subscales [22].

Psychological distress was measured using the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12), a psychometric instrument widely used as a screening tool for non-psychotic psychiatric disorders [23]. It consists of 12 items with four response options, and each item can obtain a score of 0–2 points, to result in a total score ranging from 0 to 24. This questionnaire, developed by Goldberg, has been translated and validated in many countries, presenting Cronbach’s alpha values of 0.82–0.86 [23], also showing good reliability in its Spanish-speaking version with 0.86 and 0.76 in the Spanish population [24]. The GHQ-12 scale validated in French obtained a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.92 [25], and the validated Arabic version obtained a Cronbach’s alpha value of 0.86 [26]. For this study, the global score was used as a single factor whose reliability, estimated by Cronbach’s alpha, was $\alpha = 0.851$. The cut-off point established for the general population was 12, with subjects whose scores greater than or equal to 12 being considered a potential case of psychiatric morbidity [24].

Data Analysis
Univariate and bivariate descriptive data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Software© v26 [27]. The raw data were recorded in a spreadsheet, and subsequently transferred to the SPSS© software in a rigorous manner. After that, the database was revised, naming each study variable and defining its characteristics, in order to obtain a final refined database. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that the data distribution regarding each of the measurement scales used was normal (p value $\geq 0.2$), so parametric statistics were used. For data analysis, descriptive statistics of central tendency and dispersion were developed as well as contrast tests, previously studying the homogeneity of variances, such as T-Student, one-factor ANOVA, and Pearson’s correlation. In the case of one-factor ANOVA, the existence of homogeneity of variances was previously analysed when the $p$-value of Levene’s statistic was greater than 0.05. A confidence level of 95% was established for all the statistical tests used.

To establish the relationship between work engagement (total score of the UWES-9 questionnaire) and the level of anxiety and fear of COVID-19 (total score of the AMICO scale), and the rest of the variables, a categorical regression analysis (CATREG) was performed, since these variables are qualitative in nature. The CATREG analysis includes characteristic aspects of classical regression analysis: coefficient of determination (R2), analysis of the variance in the regression, and significance of the model parameters. For its calculation, the optimal scaling option was selected in SPSS© software, and dummy variables were introduced for the calculation of the final model [27].

Ethical Issues
Permission was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Regional Government of Andalusia (Ref. 1539-N-20). In addition, all sample subjects gave their consent voluntarily, having been informed of the purpose of the study, ensuring at all times the confidentiality of the data and the anonymity of the participants, based on Organic Law 3/2018, of 5 December, on Personal Data Protection and Guarantee of Digital Rights [28].

RESULTS

Univariate Analysis
The socio-demographic profile of the sample is described in Table 1. Of the total 623 subjects making up the sample, 84% were male and the mean age was 33.4 years (SD = 10.7 years). Regarding the level of education, 81.2% had no minimum education, 18% had primary or secondary education, and 0.8% had completed higher education. On the other hand, 11.7% worked on a continuous basis, 23% only on specific days, and 65.2% reported that they were not working. In this respect, only 39.3% had a valid work permit in Spain. Among them, the highest percentages are Morocco (27.93%), Mali (27.29%), and Ghana (21.19%). The remaining 23.59% come from other countries of the African continent such as Sahara, Mauritania, Senegal, or Algeria.

In relation to the migration process, 60.1% indicated that they had entered the country by sea, and 77% of the total sample did not wish to return to their country of origin. Regarding the dwelling they occupied at the time of data collection, 16.3% claimed to live in an area of less than 3 m². Regarding the distribution of the sample subjects by the different settlements in the province of Huelva (southern Spain), 26% resided in the settlement of Lepe, 22% in Las Sevillanas, 18% in Las Madres, 13% in Los Gagos, 3.8% in Valdefresas, and the rest of the subjects were distributed in smaller percentages in up to 8 smaller settlements.

Considering health-related variables described in Table 2, 96.5% said they did not have any chronic diseases, and 79.2% did not consume any toxic substances. However, 14.4% were active smokers, and 6.4% consumed alcohol on a daily basis. Also, the mean score obtained for self-perceived health status was 8.74 (SD = 1.2), out of a total score of 10. Regarding the questions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, only 3.1% had been infected
with COVID-19, although 8.1% had experienced confinement for being in close contact with a positive person. 46.5% of the sample reported not having received any training in pandemic prevention and control measures, and only 34.4% of the sample who were working had received protective equipment from their employers during their employment.

The mean work engagement score was 5.38 points (SD = 1.38), indicating a very high overall level. (Table 1). For the vigour and dedication dimensions, mean scores of 5.60 (SD = 1.4) and 5.37 (SD = 1.5) were obtained, respectively, both being categorised at the high level. In the same line, the mean score for the absorption dimension was 5.59 (SD = 1.6), which is interpreted as a very high level.

Regarding the level of perceived anxiety and fear, the mean score of the AMICO scale was 6.54 points (SD = 5.4). This score was higher than 6.4, indicating the presence of both constructs in the study sample.

Likewise, the mean score of the GHQ questionnaire was 14.12 points (SD = 4.8), and this would indicate the possibility that the sample is suffering from psychological distress.
No significant differences were found between the level of anxiety and fear, which were assessed by the AMICO scale, and the different values of the work activity, work permit, route of entry to Spain, and housing variables (Table 3). In relation to the education variable, the differences between the mean scores of the variable were significant ($F = 2.3; p = 0.045$). Thus, subjects
with no studies presented higher levels of anxiety than the rest of the categories of the variable. In the same sense, people who wished to stay in Spain presented higher levels of anxiety and fear, and the hypothesis test was significant ($t = 2.2$; $p = 0.01$) (Table 3). Thus, subjects whose response was that they did not wish to return to their country of origin had a mean score on the AMICO scale of 5.45 points, while those subjects who did wish to return to their country of origin had a mean score of 4.74 points.

**Work Engagement**

The results of the statistical analysis reported no significant differences between the values of the work permit and route of entry to Spain variables and the total level of work engagement, as assessed by the UWES-9 scale (Table 3). On the contrary, significant differences were found for the following variables: education ($F = 3.61$; $p = 0.01$), housing ($t = 2.17$; $p = 0.03$), desire to return to their country of origin ($t = 4.2$; $p = 0.001$), and work activity ($F = 1.6$; $p = 0.04$). Subjects in the sample who reported not working obtained a mean work engagement score of 5.50 points, and those who worked on a continuous basis obtained a mean work engagement score of 6.16 points. Regarding the education variable, subjects with primary school education had a work engagement level of 6.16 points. On the other hand, subjects with secondary school and higher education had scores of 6.06 and 5.56, respectively. Likewise, those who reported their desire to return to their country of origin obtained a mean score of 6.21 points, as opposed to those who wished to stay in Spain, who obtained a mean of 5.26 points. Similarly, participants whose housing was less than 3 m$^2$ showed higher levels of work engagement than those in the opposite situation (6.16 and 5.47, respectively) (Table 3).

**Psychological Distress**

No significant differences were found between the presence of psychological distress, as assessed by the GHQ-12 scale, and the different values of the education, work activity, work permit, route of entry to Spain, and desire to return to their country of origin. On the other hand, subjects whose dwelling was smaller than 3 m$^2$ scored 18 points, compared to those whose dwelling was larger than 3 m$^2$ who scored 16 points. The results of the statistical analysis therefore showed significant differences for this variable ($t = 2.23$; $p = 0.02$) (Table 3).

**Correlation Between Questionnaires**

The correlation between the mean score of the GHQ-12 questionnaire and the mean score of the AMICO questionnaire was studied, and although it was significant ($p = 0.01$), it obtained a value of $r = 0.27$, indicating a direct but slight correlation. Likewise, the correlation between the UWES-9 questionnaire and the GHQ-12 questionnaire showed a value of $p = -0.20$, reflecting an indirect but slight correlation. The correlation between the mean score of the UWES-9 questionnaire and the AMICO questionnaire was also significant, with a value of $p = -0.41$. This indicates an indirect correlation, with a moderate effect.

**Regression Analysis**

For the regression analysis, those variables that had obtained significant differences in the hypothesis tests were inserted into the test models. Table 4 shows the results of the categorical regression. It is observed that the lower educational level, dwelling of less than 3 m$^2$ and desire to return variables are related to the presence of anxiety and fear of COVID-19, and this model explains 65% of the variation of the variable. Similarly, the desire to stay in Spain, dwelling of less than 3 m$^2$, lower educational level, and not having a continuous work activity are related to lower levels of work engagement. In the same sense, dwelling of less than 3 m$^2$ is also related to the presence of psychological distress.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to assess emotional distress and work engagement in the migrant population residing in the settlements of the province of Huelva (Spain) during the COVID-19 pandemic. People living in informal settlements present anxiety and fear of contagion of COVID-19 and the possibility of becoming unemployed.

It is paradoxical that people with poor housing conditions, many of whom are unemployed, report good self-perceived health (8.74 out of 10), although this phenomenon could be explained by the “healthy migrant” theory proposed by Lu in 2008 [29], and corroborated in subsequent studies such as the one conducted by De Wet et al. in Johannesburg (South Africa) [30].

---

**Table 4 | Regression Model Description of the Sample Profile (Social Determinants of Health and Migrant Population in Covid-19 Pandemic, Spain, 2021).**

| Scale | Variables | BETA coefficient | Variable significance ($p$-value) | $R^2$ value | Model significance ($p$-value) |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| AMICO | Educational level | $-0.13$ | 0.03 | 0.65 | 0.01* |
|       | Desire to return | $-0.13$ | 0.02 |     |     |
|       | Dwelling | $-0.11$ | 0.01 |     |     |
| UWES-9 | Educational level | 0.11 | 0.001 | 0.22 | 0.001* |
|        | Work activity | $-0.023$ | 0.001 |     |     |
|        | Desire to return | 0.34 | 0.001 |     |     |
|        | Dwelling | 0.21 | 0.01 |     |     |
| GHQ-12 | Dwelling | 0.31 | 0.005 | 0.61 | 0.06* |

*Anova.
This theory attempts to explain this “epidemiological paradox” in which migrants, especially younger people, are generally healthier than populations in recipient societies [31].

In relation to the questions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, only 3.1% had suffered COVID-19. This could be justified by the high unemployment rate of the sample at the time of data collection and the low incidence of the disease in the study area (Huelva), even though only one in three people had received personal protective equipment at work and reported gaps in knowledge, in line with a study of food handlers in an informal urban settlement in Nairobi (Kenya) during COVID-19 [32]. Similar studies indicate that when a person in an informal neighbourhood leaves the neighbourhood, it may be either to go to work, to look for work, or to look for resources such as food, water, clothing, among others [33]. Keeping in mind that the data were collected between April and June 2021, the red fruit harvesting season was coming to an end, which would justify the high rate of unemployment and the low percentage of infections, yet being well below those found in similar samples [29, 31].

Regarding the level of perceived anxiety and fear, the mean score of the AMICO scale was above the cut-off point (>6.4 points), indicating the presence of both constructs in the study sample. These results are similar to those found in samples from informal settlements in Peru through the Fear of COVID-19 Scale [34], where four out of six offered scores above the cut-off point in Peru [35]. In contrast, the prevalence of depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic among residents of an urban slum in northern India yielded values below those found in our sample [36]. However, no significant differences were found between the level of anxiety and fear, as assessed by the AMICO scale, and the different values of the work activity, work permit, route of entry to Spain, and dwelling variables. But statistically significant differences were found between those subjects with no education and those who wished to stay in Spain. In comparison with data from the general Spanish population assessed by the AMICO scale [37], the sample of residents of informal settlements showed higher levels of fear and anxiety (6.54 points; SD = 5.4), as compared to the general Spanish population (5.54 points; SD = 1.83). Perhaps, lack of knowledge about the disease, fear of unemployment, and lack of resources to meet basic needs may be behind these differences [38].

Like any research study, the present one has several limitations. Firstly, due to its nature as a descriptive cross-sectional study, it does not allow to draw cause and effect conclusions. In addition, the timing of data collection may also influence the response of individuals in such changing circumstances. Secondly, self-reporting and social desirability bias are inherent in any study based on questionnaires, although in the specific case of the data collected on contagion and close contacts with other people infected with COVID-19 it is particularly relevant because there is likely to be an under-reporting of these cases against the self-reported reality, as well as fear of job loss, even if it is precarious. However, the present study provides the opportunity to describe for the first time the sociodemographic characteristics and health beliefs of the migrant population living in settlements in Huelva (Spain); with this, this study is also positioned as a starting point for the design of specific intervention strategies that should be aimed at improving living and working conditions, intrinsically linked to the social determinants of health and the improvement of public health in Spain.

**Conclusion**

A low level of education, dwelling of less than 3 m² and the desire to return to the country of origin may be related to the presence of anxiety and fear of COVID-19. Moreover, the desire to stay in Spain and not having a continuous work activity are related to lower levels of work engagement. On the other hand, there is a need to improve the study of the concept of health of the migrant population residing in the settlements of Huelva (Spain) and the assessment of their physical and mental health, as well as social, economic conditions the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on this population, in an official way.
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