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Abstract: Signal-background interference effects are studied for $H \rightarrow WW$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ$ searches in gluon fusion at the LHC. More specifically, the interference in the channels with semileptonic weak boson pair decay is analysed for light and heavy Higgs masses with minimal and realistic experimental selection cuts. In the semileptonic decay modes, the interference is affected by tree-level background contributions enhanced by $1/e^2$ relative to the gluon-fusion continuum background in the fully leptonic decay modes. We find that for both light and heavy Higgs masses the interference with the loop-induced weak-boson pair background dominates over the interference with the tree-level weak-boson plus jets background for a range of selection cuts. We therefore conclude that higher-order background contributions can induce leading interference effects. With appropriate background suppression cuts the interference can be reduced to the 10% level for heavy Higgs masses, and to the per mille level for the light SM Higgs.
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1 Introduction

In 2012, a scalar resonance at 125 GeV consistent with a Standard Model (SM)-like Higgs boson was discovered at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) \cite{1, 2}. Its mass is in the correct range to unitarize the $WW$ scattering, but precision tests of its couplings are necessary in order to determine whether it is the particle predicted by the Higgs mechanism \cite{3-6}. Many beyond the Standard Model (BSM) scenarios allow for more scalar particles, and searches for heavier Higgs-like bosons in various channels are in progress.

At Tevatron and LHC energies, gluon fusion is the dominant Higgs boson production mechanism \cite{7}. Unfortunately, this process suffers from large higher order corrections and strong scale dependence at leading order (LO), motivating its calculation to higher orders in QCD \cite{8-15}. The combined PDF and scale uncertainties determined by the LHC Higgs Cross section Working Group are of $O(10\%)$ \cite{16-18}. Any other effects of the same order must be quantified, and it has been shown that interference effects, particularly at higher Higgs invariant masses, can be of similar size. In the SM, interference between the Higgs signal and continuum background in $gg \to H \to VV$ ($V = W, Z$) and including fully leptonic decays has been studied in refs. \cite{19-33}.\footnote{Predictions for $gg \to ℓℓνν + 0,1$ jets have been presented in ref. \cite{34}. SM Higgs-continuum interference in the $H \to VV$ decay modes at a $e^+e^−$ collider has been investigated in ref. \cite{35}.} Higgs-continuum interference results for a heavy SM Higgs boson have been presented in refs. \cite{22-24, 26, 27, 29, 31}. We note that all Higgs-continuum interference calculations are at LO, except for refs. \cite{26, 29, 33}, where approximate higher-order corrections have been calculated. The technical bottleneck of an unapproximated NLO calculation of $gg \to VV$ and its Higgs-continuum interference is the computation of the 2-loop multiscale integrals needed for the virtual corrections of the continuum background, which is in progress \cite{36-38}. Furthermore, we note that the interfering $gg \to VV$ continuum background at LO is formally part of the NNLO corrections to $pp \to VV$ \cite{39, 40}.

For a 125 GeV Higgs boson resonance, the $WW$ semileptonic decay mode has the highest branching fraction of any decay mode with a triggerable lepton and the $ZZ$ semileptonic decay is the third highest \cite{16-18}. Due to the large $V$+jets background, the semileptonic...
channels have often been neglected in favour of the fully leptonic ones. But, the semileptonic channels have several kinematic features that allow effective background reduction and given their large rates they merit further study. Both ATLAS [41–45] and CMS [46–50] have therefore included them in recent studies. Phenomenological studies have also been carried out (without taking into account interference effects): the semileptonic $ZZ$ channel has been studied for the LHC in ref. [51], and the semileptonic $WW$ channel has been studied for the Tevatron in refs. [52, 53] and for the LHC in ref. [54].

An interesting aspect of this particular decay channel is that in addition to the $gg \rightarrow VV$ loop continuum, a tree-level background arises from $gg \rightarrow Vq\bar{q}$, with $V$ decaying leptonically. In this work we will focus on the semileptonic decay mode and for the first time quantify the signal-background interference effects, including both the continuum and tree-level backgrounds.

This paper is organised as follows: in section 2 we review the details of our calculation. In section 3, we present Higgs signal cross sections and distributions for $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow VV$ with semileptonic decay, with minimal and realistic experimental selection cuts taking into account the interference with both the tree- and loop-level backgrounds. In section 4, we summarize our findings.

2 Calculational details

We consider the hadron-level Higgs signal processes

\begin{align}
pp &\rightarrow H \rightarrow W^-W^+ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell jj, \quad (2.1) \\
pp &\rightarrow H \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \ell\nu_\ell jj, \quad (2.2) \\
pp &\rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell} jj, \quad (2.3)
\end{align}

and calculate integrated cross sections and differential distributions for the parton-level subprocesses

\begin{align}
gg &\rightarrow H \rightarrow W^-W^+ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell q_\bar{q}, \quad (2.4) \\
gg &\rightarrow H \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \ell\nu_\ell q_\bar{q}, \quad (2.5) \\
gg &\rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell} q_\bar{q}, \quad (2.6) \\
gg &\rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell} q_\bar{d} \quad (2.7)
\end{align}

including full signal-background interference at LO. For consistency, the signal cross section is also evaluated at LO. The hadron-level processes (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) also receive contributions from subprocesses of the type $gg \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell gq$ and $gg \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell} gg$. These crossed subprocesses feature a $t$-channel Higgs propagator. Using MadGraph5_aMC@NLO [55], we have verified in the heavy top limit that the crossed contributions are several orders of magnitude smaller than the $s$-channel contributions (2.4) – (2.7). We therefore neglect the crossed contributions in this study.

---

\(^2\)The $H\gamma\gamma$ effective vertex is not included.
**Figure 1.** Representative Feynman diagrams for the signal processes considered: (a) $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell q\bar{q}$ ($q$ can be an up- or down-type quark, $q_{u,d}$) and (b) $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}\ell\bar{\nu}$ ($q$ can be an up- or down-type quark, $q_{u,d}$) (the charge-conjugated process is also considered).

**Figure 2.** Representative tree-level background diagrams of $O(g_s^2\epsilon^2)$ that interfere with the signal diagrams in figure 1.

**Figure 3.** Representative one-loop background diagrams of $O(g_s^2\epsilon^4)$ that interfere with the signal diagrams in figure 1.

**Figure 4.** Representative triangle diagrams that formally contribute (see main text).
Figures 1–4 show representative Feynman diagrams for the different amplitude contributions. The amplitude $\mathcal{M}$ is decomposed as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{signal}} + \mathcal{M}_{\text{background}},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{background}} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{tree}} + \mathcal{M}_{\text{loop}},$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tree}}$ contains all tree-level contributions and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{loop}}$ contains all quark-loop contributions.\(^3\) We introduce the following notation for amplitude contributions to integrated cross sections:

$$S \sim |M_{\text{signal}}|^2$$

$$I_{\text{tree}} \sim 2 \text{Re}(M_{\text{signal}}^* M_{\text{tree}})$$

$$I_{\text{loop}} \sim 2 \text{Re}(M_{\text{signal}}^* M_{\text{loop}})$$

$$I_{\text{full}} \sim 2 \text{Re}(M_{\text{signal}}^* M_{\text{background}})$$

In addition to the signal contribution $S$ (see figure 1), we include $I_{\text{tree}}$, i.e. the interference with the LO (tree-level) background diagrams, which are of $O(g_s^2\epsilon^2)$ (see figure 2). For $M_{VV} > 2M_V$, the interference between the $gg \to H \to VV$ signal process and the $gg \to VV$ continuum background is known to be large. $I_{\text{loop}}$, i.e. the interference with the $gg \to (V \to \text{leptons}) (V \to \text{quarks})$ continuum amplitude, which is of $O(g_s^2\epsilon^4)$ (see figure 3), is therefore also taken into account. The sum of $I_{\text{tree}}$ and $I_{\text{loop}}$ is denoted by $I_{\text{full}}$. The $gg \to VV$ process is loop induced and the complete amplitude is UV and IR finite since no $ggVV$ counter term or real corrections to a tree-level Born term exist. As in the fully leptonic case, in addition to the box diagrams shown in figure 3, triangle diagrams formally also contribute at the same order (see figure 4). Since we consider $M_{VV} < 2M_V$, the weak boson pair is not treated in the narrow-width approximation, and the singly-resonant triangle diagrams shown on the right-hand side of figure 4 have to be considered. The triangle diagrams with intermediate photon or gluon vanish due to Furry’s theorem \(^56\). The $Z$ boson vector coupling contribution vanishes for the same reason. Regarding the $Z$ boson axial-vector coupling contribution: in the case of four massless final state leptons, the sum of all triangle diagrams has analytically been shown to vanish for $V = W$ \(^21\) (and $V = Z$ if $m_q = 0$ in the loop \(^57\)). For $V = W$ this result carries over to the semileptonic decay mode, because the $W f \bar{f}$ coupling is flavour independent ($V_{CKM} = 1$). For $V = Z$ and semileptonic decay with finite $M_{t,b}$, we have checked numerically that the contribution of the triangle diagrams is consistent with zero.\(^4\)

$M_{\text{loop}}$ is of $O(g_s^2\epsilon^4)$ and hence of the same order as the $O(\epsilon^2)$ virtual electroweak (EW) corrections to $M_{\text{tree}}$. The complete set of these virtual corrections will not be finite and is hence not taken into account. In particular all self-energy corrections and all diagrams with boson propagators in the loop are not included. We argue that these are part of the next-to-leading order (NLO) EW corrections to $I_{\text{tree}}$ and are genuinely suppressed by $O(\alpha)$.

\(^3\)We note that the interference between the tree-level and loop background contributions is at the 1% level or less. This was verified for the $ZZ$ channels and all cut sets using $gg2VV$.

\(^4\)The complete triangle amplitude contribution is nevertheless included in our calculation.
Given that $I_{\text{tree}}$ at LO yields a small (tiny) correction to $I_{\text{loop}}$ for integrated results when LHC (background suppression) cuts are applied (see section 3) this treatment is justified. We argue similarly that neglecting the NLO QCD corrections to $I_{\text{tree}}$ in our calculation is justified.

To obtain and independently cross check the results presented in this work, we follow two independent approaches. In the first, we implement the amplitudes in the publicly available program gg2VV [58], while in the second we make use of the also public automated MadGraph5_AMC@NLO framework [55].

For the computation in gg2VV, the amplitudes are implemented and calculated using FeynArts [59], Formcalc [60] and loopTools [60], with code adaptation for compatibility with gg2VV. A fixed-width Breit-Wigner propagator is employed for the weak bosons and the Higgs boson. The width parameter $\gamma H$ of the complex pole of the Higgs propagator, as defined in eq. (16) of ref. [61], is calculated using the HTO code [61]. The box diagrams shown in figure 3 are affected by numerical instabilities when Gram determinants approach zero. In these critical phase space regions the amplitude is evaluated in quadruple precision in gg2VV, and residual instabilities are eliminated by requiring that $p_{T,W}$ and $p_{T,Z}$ are larger than 1 GeV. This criterion is also applied to amplitudes which are not affected by numerical instabilities, in order to obtain consistent cross section-level results. The numerical effect of this technical cut has been shown to be small [23, 28]. The diagrams in figures 1–3 show the different kinematical structures that appear in the various amplitude components. In addition to the Higgs and weak boson resonance peaks, the tree level diagrams exhibit mass singularities that must be dealt with when integrating the phase space. In gg2VV this is achieved with the multi-channel Monte Carlo integration technique [62], in which every kinematic structure has its own mapping from random variables to the phase space configuration such that peaks or singularities in the amplitude are compensated, and the inverse Jacobi determinants of all mappings are summed to give the inverse weight at each phase space point. The bottom diagram in figure 2 does not require its own mapping because the s-channel singularity coincides with a vanishing phase space volume. Additional details of the phase space implementation and validation in gg2VV can be found in ref. [63].

The computation within MadGraph5_AMC@NLO makes use of the recent development of the automation of event generation for loop-induced processes [64, 65], as well as the optimisations made in relation to large-scale Monte-Carlo production [66], and in particular the new interference module. The one-loop amplitudes in MadGraph5_AMC@NLO are obtained with the help of MadLoop [67], which computes one-loop matrix elements using the OPP integrand-reduction method [68] (as implemented in CutTools [69]).

Cross checking the results is important, in particular because the gg2VV code implements considerable changes to how the phase space integration is performed. We therefore verified all results presented here with independent calculations using gg2VV and MadGraph5_AMC@NLO. The agreement between the two codes is excellent: an example for the process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^- W^+ \rightarrow \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell q_d \bar{q}_u$ is given in table 8 in section 3, and other results show similar agreement. In addition to this cross section level validation, we also successfully compared the spin/polarisation-summed mod-squared amplitudes for all processes at two phase space points and found 4-significant-digit agreement for all $S$, $I_{\text{tree}}$, $I_{\text{loop}}$ and $I_{\text{full}}$. 

– 5 –
3 Results

In this section, we present integrated cross sections and differential distributions for the considered Higgs signal processes taking into account the interference with the tree- and loop-level background contributions. We do not give results for process (2.5) with $\bar{\ell}\nu_\ell \bar{q}_u q_d$ final state, because they are identical to the results for process (2.4) with $\ell\nu_\ell q_u \bar{q}_d$ final state due to the $CP$ symmetry of the amplitude (and the symmetry of the applied selection cuts). To obtain numerical results, the renormalisation and factorisation scales are set to $M_{\ell\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}}/2$ for $V = W$ and $M_{\ell\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}}/2$ for $V = Z$. The MSTW2008LO \cite{70} PDF set is used with default $\alpha_s$. The CKM matrix is approximated by the unit matrix, which causes a negligible error \cite{23}. As input parameters, we use the recommendation of the LHC Higgs Cross section Working Group in appendix A of ref. \cite{16} with $G_\mu$ scheme and LO weak boson widths for consistency. More specifically, $M_W = 80.398$ GeV, $M_Z = 91.1876$ GeV, $\Gamma_W = 2.141$ GeV, $\Gamma_Z = 2.4952$ GeV, $M_t = 172.5$ GeV, $M_b = 4.75$ GeV, $G_F = 1.16637 \cdot 10^{-5}$ GeV$^{-2}$ are used. The Higgs width parameter $\gamma_H$ (see section 2) is set to 4.098973 MeV and 26.59768 GeV for a Higgs mass of 125.5 and 400 GeV, respectively. Finite top and bottom quark mass effects are included. Lepton and light quark masses are neglected. Proton-proton collision energies of 8, 13 and 14 TeV are considered.

Results for all processes are computed for the following three sets of cuts: \footnote{To validate our calculations, we have numerically verified explicitly the agreement of integrated and differential results for processes (2.4) and (2.5).}

- **minimal cuts**: $p_{Tj} > 4$ GeV, and $M_{Z/\gamma} > 4$ GeV to eliminate soft photon singularities
- **LHC cuts** (mainly detector resolution): minimal cuts and $p_T\ell > 20$ GeV, $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$, $p_{Tj} > 25$ GeV, $|\eta_j| < 4.5$, and for $H \rightarrow WW$ in addition: $p_T > 20$ GeV
- **background suppression cuts** for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson \cite{42}: LHC cuts and $|M_{jj} - M_V| < 5 \Gamma_V$, $p_{Tj,1st} > 60$ GeV, $p_{Tj,2nd} > 40$ GeV, $|\eta_j| < 2.8$, $\Delta R_{jj} < 1.3$

For the processes with intermediate $W$-boson pair, we also calculate results using the **background suppression cuts** proposed in ref. \cite{54} for a 125.5 GeV Higgs boson at $\sqrt{s} \approx 14$ TeV:

- $p_{Tj,1st} > 30$ GeV, $p_{Tj,2nd} > 20$ GeV, $65$ GeV $< M_{jj} < 95$ GeV, $p_T\ell < 30$ GeV, $p_T < 40$ GeV, $|\eta_j| < 5$, $|\eta_\ell| < 2.5$, $M_\ell < 45$ GeV, $M_{jj\ell\nu} < 130$ GeV, $\Delta R_{jj\ell} > 0.2$

In tables 1–3, integrated cross sections are given for the $WW$ process for the cuts specified above, while in tables 4–7 the results for $ZZ$ are shown. To illustrate the relative effect of the signal-background interference, the ratios $R_i = (S + I_i)/S$ are also displayed. The results presented in the tables and plots have been obtained with gg2VV and cross checked with MadGraph5_aMC@NLO. We notice that for both $WW$ and $ZZ$ the total contribution of the interference strongly depends on the choice of selection cuts. A general observation is that for all sets of cuts and final states the loop background is dominant compared to the tree one. In more detail,
Table 1. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \to H \to W^-W^+ \to \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q_d}$ (S) and its interference with the tree-level ($I_{\text{tree}}$) and quark-loop ($I_{\text{loop}}$) $gg$ background contributions as well as $I_{\text{full}} = I_{\text{tree}} + I_{\text{loop}}$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with minimal and LHC cuts for a 125.5 GeV SM Higgs boson and background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). To illustrate the relative effect of the signal-background interference, the ratios $R_i = (S + I_i)/S$ are given. Cross sections are given for single lepton and quark flavour combinations. The integration error is displayed in brackets.

| $M_H$ [GeV] | cuts | $S$ | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
|------------|------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 125.5      | min. | 67.28(9) | -2.47(2) | -4.99(1) | -7.48(9) | 0.963(2) | 0.926(2) | 0.889(3) |
| 125.5      | LHC  | 1.978(6) | 0.266(4) | -2.647(6) | -2.38(3) | 1.135(5) | -0.338(4) | -0.20(2) |
| 400        | bkg. | 13.30(2) | -0.0054(2) | -1.052(5) | -1.058(4) | 1.000(2) | 0.921(2) | 0.920(2) |

Table 2. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \to H \to W^-W^+ \to \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q_d}$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Other details as in table 1.

| $M_H$ [GeV] | cuts | $S$ | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
|------------|------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 125.5      | min. | 162.1(3) | -5.9(1) | -15.36(4) | -21.2(4) | 0.964(3) | 0.905(2) | 0.869(3) |
| 125.5      | LHC  | 5.56(2) | 0.83(3) | -8.34(3) | -7.51(7) | 1.15(2) | -0.500(5) | -0.35(2) |
| 400        | bkg. | 43.10(4) | -0.018(2) | -4.29(2) | -4.30(4) | 1.000(2) | 1.001(2) | 1.000(2) |

Table 3. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \to H \to W^-W^+ \to \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q_d}$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in pp collisions at 13 and 14 TeV with background suppression cuts for a 125.5 GeV SM Higgs boson at $\sqrt{s} \approx 14$ TeV (see main text). Other details as in table 1.

| $\sqrt{s}$ [TeV] | $S$ | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
|-----------------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 13              | 42.16(5) | -0.0148(5) | 0.0264(2) | 0.0118(6) | 1.000(2) | 1.001(2) | 1.000(2) |
| 14              | 47.44(5) | -0.0164(5) | 0.029(1) | 0.0131(6) | 1.000(2) | 1.001(2) | 1.000(2) |

Table 4. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \to H \to Z\bar{Z} \to \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q_d}$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. $\gamma^*$ background contributions are included. Other details as in table 1.
Table 5. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell q\bar{q}_u$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Other details as in table 4.

| $M_H$  | cuts   | $\sigma$ [fb] | interference | ratio   |
|--------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|        |        | $S$           | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
| 125.5  | min.   | 4.79(4)       | -0.45(3)     | -1.088(2)    | -1.54(3)      | 0.91(2)         | 0.773(9)    | 0.68(1)   |
| 125.5  | LHC    | 0.375(2)      | 0.063(7)     | -0.612(1)    | -0.552(6)     | 1.17(2)         | -0.633(6)   | -0.47(2)  |
| 400    | bkg.   | 4.043(4)      | -0.0027(3)   | -0.3569(9)   | -0.359(3)     | 0.999(2)        | 0.912(2)    | 0.911(2)  |

Table 6. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell q\bar{q}_d$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Other details as in table 4.

| $M_H$  | cuts   | $\sigma$ [fb] | interference | ratio   |
|--------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|        |        | $S$           | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
| 125.5  | min.   | 2.505(4)      | -0.244(3)    | -0.443(1)   | -0.686(6)     | 0.903(2)         | 0.823(2)    | 0.726(3)  |
| 125.5  | LHC    | 0.1498(4)     | 0.022(2)     | -0.2493(5)  | -0.227(2)     | 1.146(9)         | -0.664(5)   | -0.52(2)  |
| 400    | bkg.   | 1.611(2)      | -0.00110(4)  | -0.1167(3)  | -0.1176(4)    | 0.999(2)        | 0.928(2)    | 0.927(2)  |

Table 7. Cross sections for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell q\bar{q}_d$ and its interference with the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Other details as in table 4.

| $M_H$  | cuts   | $\sigma$ [fb] | interference | ratio   |
|--------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|        |        | $S$           | $I_{\text{tree}}$ | $I_{\text{loop}}$ | $I_{\text{full}}$ | $R_{\text{tree}}$ | $R_{\text{loop}}$ | $R_{\text{full}}$ |
| 125.5  | min.   | 6.16(2)       | -0.57(3)     | -1.396(3)   | -1.97(2)      | 0.907(5)         | 0.773(3)    | 0.680(4)  |
| 125.5  | LHC    | 0.4809(9)     | 0.077(8)     | -0.786(2)   | -0.708(5)     | 1.16(2)          | -0.635(4)   | -0.47(2)  |
| 400    | bkg.   | 5.185(5)      | -0.0038(4)   | -0.457(1)   | -0.461(2)     | 0.999(2)         | 0.912(2)    | 0.911(2)  |

for minimal cuts for the light Higgs the interference for $WW$ is at the 5–10% level and destructive for both the tree and loop backgrounds, resulting in a total reduction of the signal of $O(10\%)$. For $ZZ$, we notice that for minimal cuts this rises to $O(30\%)$. With LHC cuts, the loop and total interference become larger than the signal for both final states, and the total interference is heavily dominated by the loop contribution. In the heavy Higgs case, the background suppression cuts force the interference to fall to 7–10%. In this case, the interference with the tree level background is completely negligible.

Finally, for the light Higgs mass with appropriate background suppression cuts [54] the interference for $WW$ is reduced to the sub-percent level as the invariant mass is forced to remain very close to the mass of the Higgs, removing both the tree- and loop-level backgrounds. We expect a similar behaviour for $ZZ$ with an appropriate set of cuts.
\[
\text{cuts} \quad S \quad I_{\text{tree}} \quad I_{\text{loop}}
\]

|               |       |       |       |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| min. \(gg2VV\) | 67.28(9) | -2.47(2) | -4.99(1) |
| min. \(MG5\_AMC@NLO\) | 67.19(6) | -2.49(2) | -5.004(3) |
| LHC \(gg2VV\) | 1.978(6) | 0.266(4) | -2.647(6) |
| LHC \(MG5\_AMC@NLO\) | 1.963(3) | 0.264(4) | -2.646(7) |
| bkg. \(gg2VV\) | 13.30(2) | -0.0054(2) | -1.052(5) |
| bkg. \(MG5\_AMC@NLO\) | 13.30(2) | -0.0057(5) | -1.08(2) |

Table 8. Comparison of cross sections calculated with \(gg2VV\) and \(MG5\_AMC@NLO\) \((\sqrt{s} = 8\,\text{TeV})\). The \(gg2VV\) results are taken from table 1.

To illustrate our validation, in table 8 we present a detailed comparison of integrated results for the signal, tree and loop interference calculated with \(gg2VV\) and MadGraph5\_AMC@NLO for the \(WW\) process. All results agree within the integration errors. Similar agreement is achieved for all other channels at the integrated level, but these comparisons are not shown here for brevity.

In figures 5–23, the corresponding differential distributions for the invariant mass of the \(\ell\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}\) \((V = W)\) and \(\ell\ell q\bar{q}\) \((V = Z)\) systems, denoted by \(M_{VV}\) in the plots, are shown. In addition to the observations made above at the integrated cross section level, interesting information can also be extracted by studying the \(M_{VV}\) distributions. Firstly, we note that all figures are dominated by a sharp resonance peak at the Higgs mass induced by the signal. On the resonance peak, interference effects are negligible [25]. Moving away from the resonance peak increases the importance of the interference effects which rapidly overtake the signal in size.

A general observation for the light Higgs case is the appearance of various thresholds in the \(M_{VV}\) distribution. The \(2M_V\) threshold arises in both the signal and loop background amplitudes when the two weak bosons are produced on-shell. A second threshold occurs at \(2M_t\), again relevant for the signal and loop background amplitudes: when the top quarks in the loop are produced on-shell the amplitude acquires an imaginary part. These loop amplitude thresholds are well known and have been extensively discussed in the literature.

Another feature that we observe in all cases by studying the interference contributions individually is that the tree-level background displays rather sharp dips and peaks. The sign of the tree-level interference changes, often leading to sizeable cancellations between regions of different invariant mass and consequently to a reduced contribution to the integrated cross section. A similar cancellation occurs for the loop-induced background for the heavy Higgs case, as the interference changes sign at \(M_H\). In this case the total interference contribution to the signal cross section is reduced to 7–10%.
Figure 5. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^- W^+ \rightarrow \ell \bar{\nu} q d \bar{q}$ ($S$) and including its interference with the tree-level ($S + I_{bkg,tree}$) and in addition quark-loop ($S + I_{bkg,full}$) $gg$ background contributions in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV for a 125.5 GeV SM Higgs boson. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in table 1.

Figure 6. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^- W^+ \rightarrow \ell \bar{\nu} q d \bar{q}$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 5.
Figure 7. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^- W^+ \rightarrow \ell \bar{\nu} q d u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 5.

Figure 8. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^- W^+ \rightarrow \ell \bar{\nu} q d u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 5.
Figure 9. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^-W^+ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). The $gg$ background is also displayed. Other details as in figure 5.

Figure 10. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow W^-W^+ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\nu}q_d\bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). Other details as in figure 9.
Figure 11. Invariant $WW$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \to H \to W^- W^+ \to \ell \bar{\nu} q \bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 125.5 GeV Higgs boson at $\sqrt{s} \approx 14$ TeV (see main text). The $gg$ background is also displayed. Other details as in figure 5.

Figure 12. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \to H \to ZZ \to \ell \bar{\nu} q \bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). $\gamma^*$ background contributions are included. Other details as in figure 5.
Figure 13. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}q\bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.

Figure 14. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}q\bar{q}_d$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.
Figure 15. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell q\bar{q}_d$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Minimal cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.

Figure 16. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\ell q\bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.
Figure 17. Invariant ZZ mass distributions for the signal process \( gg \to H \to ZZ \to \ell \ell ar{q} q \) and including its interference with the background in pp collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.

Figure 18. Invariant ZZ mass distributions for the signal process \( gg \to H \to ZZ \to \ell \ell q_d \bar{q}_d \) and including its interference with the background in pp collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 8 \) TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.
Figure 19. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \to H \to ZZ \to \ell\bar{\ell}q_dq_d$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. LHC cuts are applied (see main text). Other details as in figure 12.

Figure 20. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \to H \to ZZ \to \ell\bar{\ell}q_uq_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). The $gg$ background is also displayed. Other details as in figure 12.
Figure 21. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}q_dq_d$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). The $gg$ background is also displayed. Other details as in figure 12.

Figure 22. Invariant $ZZ$ mass distributions for the signal process $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}q_u\bar{q}_u$ and including its interference with the background in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with background suppression cuts for a 400 GeV SM Higgs boson (see main text). The $gg$ background is also displayed. Other details as in figure 12.
4 Conclusions

The semileptonic $H \rightarrow WW$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ$ channels provide important additional information in SM light Higgs measurements and heavy Higgs searches. In this work, we have studied the signal-background interference for $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow ℓνq′q′$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ℓℓqq$ in gluon fusion at the LHC, computing both the tree-level and quark-loop $gg$ background contributions to the interference. The former is enhanced by $1/e^2$ relative to the latter. The results have been obtained using two independent implementations in the public codes gg2VV and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO, which show excellent agreement. We have considered light and heavy Higgs masses with minimal and realistic experimental selection cuts.

We find that the interference of the Higgs signal with the tree-level background is suppressed compared to its interference with the loop-induced $VV$ background. This holds for light and heavy Higgs masses as well as minimal, LHC and Higgs search cuts. Generalising this result, we conclude that higher-order background contributions can induce leading interference effects. Consequently, precision calculations of interference effects are well motivated.

The impact of the full signal-background interference on integrated signal cross sections can range from $O(0.1\%)$ to $O(1)$ depending on the applied selection cuts. We show that for the light SM Higgs with appropriate background suppression cuts, the total effect of the signal-background interference on the integrated cross section can be reduced to the per mille level in the $WW$ channel. Similarly, background suppression cuts for a heavy Higgs boson can lower the interference to 10% or less for the $WW$ and $ZZ$ channel.
In addition to the integrated cross section study, we have calculated differential results and discussed important features in the invariant mass distributions, particularly in $M_{VV}$ regions away from the (light or heavy) Higgs mass. In these off-shell regions interference effects dominate over the signal and therefore should be taken into account for more exclusive selection cuts or analysis methods. The partial cancellation of positive and negative interference contributions, which mitigates interference effects for sufficiently inclusive selections, in general does not apply to more exclusive selections or differential distributions.
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