1. Introduction

In Flanders, all TV shows are subtitled. However, the process of subtitling is a very time-consuming one and can be sped up by providing the output of a speech recognizer run on the audio of the TV show, prior to the subtitling. Naturally, this speech recognition will perform much better if the employed language model is adapted to the register and the topic of the program.

We present several language models trained on subtitles of television shows provided by the Flemish public-service broadcaster VRT. This data was gathered in the context of the project STON which has as purpose to facilitate the process of subtitling TV shows. One model is trained on all available data (46M word tokens), but we also trained models on a specific type of TV show or domain/topic. Language models of spoken language are quite rare due to the lack of training data. The size of this corpus is relatively large for a corpus of spoken language. The models can be freely downloaded on http://www.esat.kuleuven.be/psi/spraak/downloads/.

2. Data

The data on which the models are trained consists of several types of television programs: documentaries, fiction, talkshows, daily news, weather reports, one quiz and one lifestyle show. In total we have 57 different TV shows. In table 1 the number of episodes and number of word tokens for each type of show are displayed. A language model trained on all data available is released, together with a smaller model for each type of TV show, as shown in table 1.

Firstly, fiction is the largest group of television shows. The majority of them are adult programs (11M words): 2 crime fiction shows (142 episodes), 1 other fiction show (6 episodes) and 1 daily soap, of which we have subtitles of 2558 episodes, yielding 10M word tokens. Besides the adult shows, the data set also contains 7 children’s programs (1046 episodes or 2.4M word tokens). Secondly, the documentaries can be divided into two main groups: documentaries with only voice-over and documentaries with interviews. The first group consists of 25 nature movies, delivering 87k word tokens. The voice-over typically closely follows the screenplay, thus the language is not very spontaneous. The second type, documentaries with interviews, is a very heterogeneous group in terms of topic. Several programs even belong to different topics at the same time, such as God in Frankrijk, a documentary about the Tour de France (sports) and France in general (traveling). Since there are interviews, the language in these documentaries is more spontaneous and dialectal. We have trained language models on subtitles of 35 different programs, in total 3536 episodes (for some programs we have only a few episodes, for others several hundreds) or 12.4M word tokens.

Our data set also contains the subtitles of 6 talkshows: 2 of them are about recent events and topics (291 episodes or 2.5M words), 2 about political and social themes (395 episodes or 4.6M words) and 2 about soccer (632 episodes or 4.5M words).

The subtitles of the news report and the weather report together contain 52k word tokens. Finally, we have 2047 episodes of a daily quiz, good for approximately 8M words, and 258 episodes of a lifestyle program about the everyday life of ordinary people, with many interviews (472k words).

Since the data comprises different domains and since different types of TV shows can be about the same domain (e.g. there are sports documentaries but also talkshows about sports), we also trained several smaller language models focused on a single domain. The different domains can be found in table 2, along with the number of shows belonging to the domain and the total number of word tokens. We have to note that the domain “sports” consists largely of soccer-related TV shows, next to only a few episodes about bob-sleighing, cycling and sports people in general. The domains of “human interest” and “current topics” cover a very diverse series of topics.

| Type          | # of episodes | # of word tokens |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| fiction       | 3760          | 13.4M            |
| documentary   | 3561          | 12.5M            |
| talkshow      | 1318          | 11.7M            |
| quiz          | 2047          | 8M               |
| lifestyle     | 258           | 472k             |
| news/weather  | 13            | 52k              |
| **total**     | **10957**     | **46M**          |

Table 1: Number of episodes and number of word tokens for each type of television show.
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than their lowercase variant in a frequency list of lower- and uppercase words, the uppercase words are converted to lowercase if their frequency is lower than 4 letters or shorter, it is more likely to be an abbreviation or acronym than script information. Finally, trailing sentence-initial spaces are removed and begin- and end-of-sentence tokens are removed, because they typically contain script information. Lines that only contain capital words are seen numbers. Lines that only contain capital words are removed, because they typically contain script information. For dots and apostrophes, sentence punctuation is used to split lines that contain more than one sentence and to merge sentences that are spread over different lines. After the splitting and merging process, all punctuation is removed. For dots and apostrophes, it is first checked whether they are part of an abbreviation or contraction; if that is the case, the abbreviated form is written in full. All numerical items are written in full and split (e.g. 274 becomes twee honderd vier-en-zeventig rather than tweehonderdvierenzeventig). Splitting the numbers helps to reduce the storage space and helps generalizing to unseen numbers. Lines that only contain capital words are removed, because they typically contain script information and not spoken utterances. If the line contains a mixture of uppercase and lowercase words, the uppercase words are removed if they are longer than 4 letters: if the word is 4 letters or shorter, it is more likely to be an abbreviation or acronym than script information. Finally, trailing spaces are removed and begin- and end-of-sentence tokens are added.

In the second step of the preprocessing, sentence-initial words are converted to lowercase if their frequency is lower than their lowercase variant in a frequency list of lower- and uppercase words, as this is an indication that the word is only capitalized because of its sentence-initial position. The last step of the preprocessing corrects spelling errors (e.g. on-line → online) and maps different orthographic variants to a single canonical form to ensure consistency (e.g. Schelde-oever → Scheldeoever “shore of the Scheldt”).

### 4. Models

The language models were trained with SRILM (Stolcke, 2002). They are all open-vocabulary 5-gram models with modified Kneser-Ney smoothing (Chen and Goodman, 1999) and no count cut-offs. We trained one model on all the data, 6 language models on each type of TV show (see the rows in table 1) and 13 language models on each domain (see the rows in table 3). The models are released both as count files, such that it is possible to train other language models than the ones provided, and as language models that can readily be used (in ARPA format).

### 5. Speech recognition

#### 5.1. Set-up

The speech recognition experiments were done using the SPRAAK toolkit (Demuynck et al., 2008), configured according to (Demuynck et al., 2009), although the preprocessing is slightly different. The acoustic model for this recognizer was trained on broadcast news. We compare models trained on Mediargus, a collection of 22 newspapers in Dutch (1.2B words); components a, b, c, d, e, f, i, j, k, l and m of CGN (250k words); all data of VRT (“VRT all”); 1 model trained on a specific type of TV show (documentary “docu”) and 2 models trained on a specific domain (general fiction “gen-fic” and current topics “current”). The vocabularies contain all the words in the training text, except for the model trained on Mediargus (limited to 400k).

We test the language models on several test sets: the first test set is a part of component g (henceforth referred to as “comp-g”) of the Corpus of Spoken Dutch (CGN) (Oostdijk, 2000), which contains recordings of discussions, debates and meetings (25k word tokens or 2.88h of audio). The other test sets consist of television programs provided by VRT: an episode of a daily soap (“soap”, 30min or 8k word tokens) and a documentary with interviews about current topics (“docu-i”, 53min or 10k words).

#### 5.2. Speech recognition results

Table 4 shows the results for speech recognition with language models trained on a single data set. The model of Mediargus performs the best for comp-g and docu-i, which is not surprising given the fact that it is trained on much more data than the other two models. Nevertheless, for the soap – which has very spontaneous and dialectal language (hence the very high word error rates) – the language model trained on data of VRT gives the best performance, although it is trained on a corpus that is ca. 26 times smaller than the corpus of Mediargus.

In table 4 results for the interpolation of Mediargus, CGN and the large model of VRT are shown, where the interpolation weights are calculated on respectively another part of component g of CGN (comp-g-dev), a set of subtitles.

| domain/topic       | # of shows | # of word tokens |
|--------------------|------------|------------------|
| general fiction    | 2          | 10M              |
| current topics     | 8          | 9.5M             |
| politics/society   | 5          | 5.2M             |
| sports             | 5          | 4.6M             |
| human interest     | 18         | 2.9M             |
| children           | 7          | 2.5M             |
| traveling          | 6          | 2.4M             |
| police/justice     | 3          | 860k             |
| nature             | 3          | 460k             |
| history            | 3          | 380k             |
| medical            | 2          | 280k             |
| love               | 2          | 139k             |
| music              | 1          | 69k              |

Table 2: Number of television shows and number of word tokens for each domain/topic. Several shows belong to two domains. All shows except the quiz are included in this classification.
Table 3: Word error rates for 3 test sets, for models trained on a single training set.

| test set | model     | comp-g | soap | docu-i |
|----------|-----------|--------|------|--------|
| Mediargus| 28.2      | 79.7   | 38.1 |
| CGN      | 35.1      | 76.8   | 42.8 |
| VRT all  | 30.8      | 75.0   | 39.1 |

Table 4: Word error rates for 3 test sets for the interpolation of Mediargus, CGN and all data of VRT, where the first column indicates the data set on which the interpolation weights were calculated.

| test set | optim. on | model     | comp-g | soap | docu-i |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|------|--------|
| soap-dev | gen-fic   | 26.3      | 76.4   | 34.7 |
| docu-i-dev| docu     | 28.8      | 77.8   | 36.8 |
| current  | Gen-fic   | 28.8      | 78.0   | 35.9 |

Table 5: Word error rates for 3 test sets for the interpolation of Mediargus, CGN and a type or domain model, where the first column indicates the data set on which the interpolation weights were calculated and the second column the training set (type or domain).

6. Conclusion

We presented several language models of spoken Dutch (one large one and several small in-domain ones), trained on normalized subtitles of TV shows. Models of spoken language are quite rare and a valuable source for speech recognition, as our experiments with an interpolation of a large background model (of written language) with the smaller models of spoken language show.
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