Prevalence of biofilms in acute infections challenges a longstanding paradigm
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ABSTRACT

The significance of bacterial biofilm formation in chronic bacterial lung infections has long been recognized [1]. Likewise, chronic biofilm formation on medical devices is well accepted as a nidus for recurrent bacteremia [2,3]. Even though the prevailing paradigm relies on the dominance of planktonic bacteria in acute endobronchial infections, our understanding of the bacterial organization during acute infection is, so far, limited - virtually absent. However, by comparing similar clinical samples, we have recently demonstrated massive bacterial biofilm formation during acute lung infections resembling the immense bacterial biofilm formation during chronic lung infections. These findings pose major challenges to the basic paradigm of chronic infections being dominated by biofilm forming bacteria while acute infections are dominated by planktonic bacteria. As opposed to the similar high amount of bacterial biofilm found in chronic and acute lung infections, we found that the fast bacterial growth in acute lung infections differed from the slow bacterial growth in chronic lung infections. By highlighting these new findings, we review modes of improved treatment of biofilm infections and the relevance of bacterial growth rates for other bacterial biofilm infections than human lung infections.

1. Introduction

During the past 40 years microbiologists have proposed that bacteria exist in two growth states: as single independent cells (planktonic) or as extracellular matrix-embedded aggregates termed biofilms [4]. In 1982, the biofilm pioneer Professor Bill Costerton and colleagues demonstrated for the first time a difference between acute and chronic infection where planktonic bacteria in blood were readily treatable with antibiotics, whereas bacteria in biofilm covering a pacemaker were tolerant to the same treatment [2,3]. Amalgamating this early observation of biofilm-related high antibiotic tolerance with the dominance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa microcolonies persisting massive antibiotic treatment in the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis with chronic lung infection, spawned the concept of chronic infections being caused by biofilm growing bacteria, while acute infections are caused by planktonic bacteria [1,5,6]. This current paradigm was soon exemplified by considering bacteria in a shaken, liquid culture growing as planktonic single cells (chains or clusters) while bacteria aggregated in clumps or associated with surfaces are considered to grow as biofilms. However, our understanding of biofilm biology has recently been modernized to focus directly on bacteria in the in vivo situation [5,6]. In chronic lung infections, the biofilms induce local continuous pro-inflammatory host responses characterized by persistent and progressing pathology [7] and biofilm-associated bacteria in the lung are typically slow-growing [8,9]. In addition, persisting local inflammation and slow growth of the microorganisms has been proposed to be common to various biofilm infections [4,7]. If an infection causes clinical symptoms such as inflammation or fever it may be eradicated spontaneously by the host response or by antibiotics. Such infections are known as acute infections. If the infection persists, despite the immune response and antibiotic therapy it is known as a chronic infection [10].

2. Similar bacterial organization in acute and chronic lungs infections

While the predominance of the biofilm lifestyle in chronic infections was confirmed, the prevalence of the biofilm lifestyle in acute infections has recently been challenged in a comparison between chronic and acute lung infections [11] (Fig. 1). This study demonstrates that a majority of bacteria are in biofilms in both chronic and acute infections. Interestingly, organization of bacteria in biofilm has also been observed in other acute infections in patients with e.g. necrotizing fasciitis [12,13] (Fig. 1) and acute otitis media [14] as well as in experimental acute wounds and in experimental blister wounds [15,16]. These observations suggest that
we need a new bacterial infection paradigm. Since the bacterial growth rate was found to be different in chronic and acute infections [11], we propose the new paradigm to emphasize on characterization of the infections according to the bacterial metabolism rather than bacterial aggregation. In chronic lung infections the growth of biofilm forming bacteria is linked to the activity of the inflammatory cells [8,9]. Surprisingly, the bacteria grew faster in sputum from acute infections even though similar amounts of inflammatory cells accumulated around the biofilms in all types of infections. This unexpected fast bacterial growth may rely on incomplete maturation of the acquired immune response, which, when matured, may accelerate the inflammatory response as seen in chronic lung infections [17]. Furthermore, the expression of virulence factors, such as quorum sensing-mediated inactivation of the inflammatory response, may be lost during chronic biofilm infections [18,19].

3. Significance of biofilm formation in acute infections

While the detrimental contribution of biofilm has long been recognized in chronic infections [20], the demonstrated high prevalence of biofilm in acute infections calls for investigations of the significance of biofilm in acute infections. Considering the high mortality rate of necrotizing fasciitis [21], which contain massive amounts of biofilm [12], we need to consider whether it is the biofilm i.e. the aggregation of bacteria which is important or also the physiology of bacteria and the infectious microenvironment [22]. Bacteria seem to inherently aggregate in close proximity and we know that within confined space the biofilm formation is typically by clonal expansion. However, the presence of aggregated bacteria within a matrix, the hallmark of a biofilm, in acute fast progressing infections like acute pneumonia and even more in necrotizing fasciitis clearly calls for a revision of the biofilm paradigm, especially categorizing acute and chronic infections. Extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) is likely a part of matrix components of infectious biofilm, but if EPS is differentially expressed in the bacterial aggregates in acute and chronic pneumonia is unclear. However, the role of EPS changes temporally over the course of an infection as EPS loses its impact on virulence which is highest in acute infections [23]. However, this has not been demonstrated in acute and chronic pneumonia where EPS was detected in both infection types [11].

4. Optimizing antibiotic treatment according to the bacterial growth rate

The distinct growth rates of bacteria in acute and chronic lung infections may provide important clues for advancing antibiotic treatment. The knowledge that the effect of antibiotic treatment of bacterial biofilm is enhanced when the growth rate of the bacteria is accelerated by supplemental oxygen [24–28] could serve a strategy for improving treatment of chronic lung infections, where clinical cure is complicated and rare. In addition, supplemental nutrients or metal traces may induce oxidative stress-mediated enhancement of the activity of antibiotics against biofilm [29–34]. In acute lung infections clinical cure is frequent and approximates 80%, but a high number of patients still experience clinical failure [35]. This could indicate failure of the empirical treatment regimens even though the bacteria display sensitive growth physiology [11] and antimicrobial resistance is reported in less than 5% of the isolates [36]. The “one size fits all” mindset of empirical antibiotic treatment must be re-evaluated so that individualized antibiotic treatment [37] can be offered to patients with acute pneumonia. This is especially important in those situations where there has not been a sufficient effect of the empirical treatment, or where a deterioration in the patient’s condition is seen. Thus, our new findings call for

![Fig. 1. Biofilms are observed across infection types.](image-url)
reevaluating bacterial behavior in infections, and a changed approach towards categorizing infections. The acute/chronic – planktonic/biofilm paradigm needs to be revised with an emphasis on the metabolism of the infecting bacteria and possibly the local inflammatory response as well as an appreciation of the infectious microenvironment. Science is a dynamic process and all the developments within the field of bacterial biofilms contribute pieces to a greater understanding. We as scientists need to adapt to the increasing knowledge, just as infecting bacteria are adapting to specific microenvironments.
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