Forecasting of time data with using fractional Brownian motion∗

Valeria Bondarenko†, Victor Bondarenko‡, Kiryl Truskovsky§ Ina Taralova¶

August 25, 2016

Abstract

We investigated the quality of forecasting of fractional Brownian motion, and new method for estimating of Hurst exponent is validated. Stochastic model of the time series in the form of converted fractional Brownian motion is proposed. The method of checking the adequacy of the proposed model is developed and short-term forecasting for temporary data is constructed. The research results are implemented in software tools for analysis and modeling of time series.
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1 Introduction

We assume that an observed trajectory $x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, is an element of the ensemble of trajectories or an element of function space in the construction of statistical mathematical model. If $x(\cdot)$ is assumed to be continuous, then this space can be considered a set $C(0;T)$, which are continuous functions in $(0;T)$. In other words,

$$x(t) = \Phi(X(\cdot))(t),$$

where $X(s)$ is a realization of some random process $\xi(s)$ with known characteristics, $\Phi$ is a reversible conversion in $C(0;T)$. $(\Phi,\xi)$ is called a model of observed data. Process $\xi(t)$ is basic in model for $x(t)$. For discrete observation $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ (time series), and in assumption about automodeling $\xi(t)$,

$$x_k = \Phi(X(\cdot))\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n; \quad \Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For the highly oscillating trajectory $x(t)$, basic process $\xi(t)$ with unlimited variation is selected. In particular, $\xi(t) = \sigma B^H(t)$, where $B^H(t)$ is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm), which was first introduced by B. Mandelbrot in [15,16] and is defined as a Gaussian random process with zero mean and covariance function:

$$R(t,s) = \mathbb{E}B^H(t)B^H(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}\right), \quad 0 < H < 1.$$
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The $n$-dimensional density distribution of fractional Brownian motion looks as follows:

$$p(t_1, \ldots, t_n, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = c \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum r_{jk} x_j x_k \right\}, \quad r_{jk} = R(t_j, t_k).$$

Parameter $H \in (0; 1)$ is called the Hurst exponent of fBm, and the transformation $\Phi^{-1}$ consists of actions that transform fBm realization of the observed trajectory. Using of fractional Brownian motion as a basic process $\xi(t)$ in the model is justified by non-markovian $B_H(t)$. The first motivation for studies of this process and its applications are considered in [2, 17, 18]. The results of studies of the properties of fractional Brownian motion and its application in models of natural and economic processes are covered in [3, 4, 12–14, 26–28]. Let’s note the reviews [19, 25]. Research statistics of fractional Brownian motion are quoted below.

Let’s choose the model of fractional Brownian motion for observed time series $x_1, \ldots, x_n$:

$$x_k = \Phi(\sigma B_H)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

(2)

and transformation $\Phi$ is defined. Let’s calculate Hurst exponent of observed time series as $H$ of the basic process $B_H(t)$. Note that this value depends on transformation $\Phi$. The criteria for adequacy of the representation are shown in [3]. From empirical considerations follows that the model is suitable for describing the random time data and apriority isn’t satisfactory for the approximation of deterministic chaotic sequences. As a rule, the deterministic and stochastic components can be present in observed data.

In present work, a new method of estimation parameters $\sigma$ and $H$ is justified, and the quality of the forecast is investigated for the observed realization of fractional Brownian motion $x_k = \sigma B_H\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$. For the real time series, a model is proposed, which uses fractional Brownian motion as a basic process. The criteria of adequacy of this model are developed and short-term forecasting is constructed.

## 2 Statistics of Fractional Brownian Motion

### 2.1 Estimation of Parameters

Let’s consider the increments $\xi_k = \sigma (B_H\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - B_H\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right))$, which form the Gaussian stationary sequence with zero mean and the correlation matrix $V = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^{2H}} S$, and elements $s_{jk}$ of the matrix that look as follows:

$$s_{jk} = \rho(\xi_j, \xi_k) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |k-j+1|^{2H} + |k-j-1|^{2H} - 2 |k-j|^{2H} \right).$$

(3)

In particular, the coefficient of correlation between neighbor increments is

$$\rho(\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}) \equiv \rho_1 = 2^{2H-1} - 1.$$

The limit theorems for sequence $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ were first proved by Peltier [29]: for statistics

$$R_{jn} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\xi_k|^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad E_n(j) = E R_{jn} = \frac{\sigma^j}{n^{jH}} \frac{2^j \Gamma\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}},$$

with probability $1 \frac{R_{jn}}{E_n(j)} \to 1, n \to \infty$. 
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From the last equation, consistency estimates of parameters $H$ and $\sigma$ follow:

$$
\hat{H}_n = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma}{\pi R_{1n}} \right)}{\ln n}, \text{ with known } \sigma,
$$

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{1n} = n^H \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} r R_{1n} = 1.25 n^H R_{1n}, \text{ with known } H.
$$

Let’s propose new estimation method of fractional Brownian motion, by observed data $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, two unknown parameters $\sigma$, $H$.

Let’s assume:

$$
y_1, \ldots, y_n \text{ are the increments} ; \quad y_k = x_k - x_{k-1},
$$

$$
Q (H) = 0.8 \frac{(S^{-1}y, y)}{R_{1n}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}},
$$

where matrix $S \equiv S_H$ is defined by (3), $y$ is a vector of increments.

**Statement**

$$
\hat{H} = \arg \min |Q (H) - 1|
$$

is a consistent estimator of the parameter $H$.

**Proof**

$\varepsilon$ is the canonical Gaussian vector with the following characteristics:

$$
\mathbb{E} \varepsilon = 0, \quad \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon, u) (\varepsilon, v) = (u, v), \quad \dim \varepsilon = n.
$$

Then, $y = V^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon$, therefore

$$
n = \mathbb{E} (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{E} \left( V^{-1} y, y \right) = \frac{n^{2H}}{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left( S^{-1} y, y \right).
$$

And consequently the statistic

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{2n}^2 = (n)^{2H-1} \left( S^{-1} y, y \right),
$$

and here statistic $(n)^{2H-1} (S^{-1} y, y)$ is an unbiased estimate of the parameter $\sigma^2$. The dispersion of estimate

$$
\sigma^2_{2n} = \sqrt{n^{2H-1} (S^{-1} y, y)}. \quad (6)
$$

is calculated using the formula of integration by parts ([5, p. 206]). From (4) and (6), it follows that

$$
\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{2n}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{1n}^2} = 0.8 \frac{(S^{-1}y, y)}{R_{1n}} = Q (H),
$$

and consistency of estimates means that $\lim_{n} Q (H) = 1$, where $H$ is a Hurst exponent of observed fractional Brownian motion. ■

The implementation of the corresponding algorithm is to choose such a value of argument $H$ in $Q (H)$, where $|Q (H) - 1| \rightarrow \min$.

The efficiency of the algorithm is confirmed by numerical experiment. The statistical values are shown in Table [1].

$$
q_{kj} = 0.8 \frac{(S^{-1} z_k, z_k)}{R_{1n}},
$$
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where \( z_k \) is a generated vector of increments \( \text{fBm} \) with Hurst exponent \( H_k \), \( S_j \) is the normalized correlation matrix, corresponding to the index \( \text{fBm} \) with Hurst exponent \( H_j \). For every \( H_k \), values \( q_{kj} \) are calculated with the selection of parameter \( H_j \) with step \( \Delta H_j = 0.1 \). Generation \( z_k \) is performed with the following parameters:

\[
\begin{align*}
  n &= 200 ; n = 1000 ; H_k = 0.1 ; 0.3 ; 0.7 ; 0.9 .
\end{align*}
\]

Table 1: Efficiency of evaluation method

| \( H_j \) | \( n = 200 \) | \( n = 1000 \) | \( 0.1 \) | \( 0.2 \) | \( 0.3 \) | \( 0.4 \) | \( 0.6 \) | \( 0.7 \) | \( 0.8 \) | \( 0.9 \) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| \( H_k \) |             |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0.1       | 1.004       | 1.002       | 1.00  | 0.95  | 0.93  | 0.91  | 1.11  | 1.21  | 1.45  | 2.03  |
|           |             |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0.3       | 1.29        | 1.28        | 1.07  | 0.98  | 0.95  | 0.91  | 1.07  | 1.16  | 1.38  | 1.92  |
|           |             |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0.7       | 4.06        | 7.04        | 2.25  | 1.52  | 1.18  | 0.94  | 0.97  | 0.97  | 1.09  | 1.44  |
|           |             |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 0.9       | 7.67        | 9.10        | 3.88  | 2.26  | 1.43  | 0.72  | 0.74  | 0.75  | 0.75  | 0.97  |

Table 1 shows that, for each \( H_k \) (in the fixed line),

\[
|q_k - 1| \to \min , \text{if } H_j = H_k, \text{so } \hat{H}_k = H_j .
\]

Note: In the works of J.-F. Coeurjolly [9][11], supplemented by the work [1], another method of estimating Hurst exponent is justified, embedded in Package \( \text{dvfBm} \) (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/dvfBm/dvfBm.pdf). Let’s denote by \( \hat{H}_1 \) an estimate of the proposed method in this paper and by \( \hat{H}_2 \) an estimate by J.-F. Coeurjolly. The comparison of these estimates shows that their deviation is not more than 5%.

### 2.2 Forecast of Fractional Brownian Motion

Let’s observe the trajectory of a random process \( x(t) \), \( 0 \leq t \leq T \). The random value \( \hat{X}(T + \tau) \) is called an optimal forecast of process in point \( T + \tau \), if

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \hat{X}(T + \tau) - X(T + \tau) \right)^2 = \min \mathbb{E} (\xi - X(T + \tau))^2 .
\]

The optimal forecast is defined by the formula of conditional mean:

\[
\hat{X}(T + \tau) = \mathbb{E}(X(T + \tau)|X(t) , \ 0 \leq t \leq T) . \tag{7}
\]

In some cases, \( (7) \) assumes an explicit expression. Let’s consider Gaussian random vector \( \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \), \( \xi = (\eta, \sigma) \), \( \dim \eta = m \), \( \dim \sigma = n - m \), \( \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0; S) \), \( \eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0; A) \), \( \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0; D) \), so correlation operator of vector \( \xi \) is a block matrix

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
    A & B \\
    C & D 
\end{bmatrix} ,
\]

where matrix elements represent the cross-correlation of coordinates \( \eta \) and \( \sigma \). If \( \eta \) is observed and \( \sigma \) is estimated vector, then optimal forecast coincides with the linear estimation, and the equation \( (7) \) takes the following form:

\[
\hat{\sigma} = \mathbb{E}(\sigma|\eta) = CA^{-1}\eta ,
\]
or in the coordinate form:

\[
\hat{\xi}_{m+j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{m+j,k} a^{ki} \xi_i, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n - m. \tag{8}
\]

For one-step extrapolation, \( m = n - 1 \), \( B \) is a vector, convector \( C = B^T \), \( D = E \xi_n^2 \), and mean-square absolute error of forecast \( \delta \) is defined by the following formula:

\[
\delta^2 = E (\hat{\xi}_n - \xi_n)^2 = D - (A^{-1} B, B),
\]

and \( \delta D^{0.5} \) is an error.

We can construct the forecast of fBm for its increments

\[
\xi_k = y_k = B_H \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) - B_H \left( \frac{k-1}{n} \right),
\]

as well as for the values of fractional Brownian motion: \( \xi_k = B_H \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \).

In the first case, the elements of the matrix \( S \) are defined by (3), and formula (8) takes the following form:

\[
\hat{y}_{m+j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{(m+j-k+1)^{2H} + (m+j-k-1)^{2H}}{2} - (m+j-k)^{2H} \right) a^{ki} y_i, \tag{9}
\]

The elements \( s_{jk} \) of correlation matrix \( S \) in extrapolating the values of fractional Brownian motion are defined by the following equation:

\[
s_{jk} = 0.5 \left( j^{2H} + k^{2H} - (k-j)^{2H} \right). \tag{10}
\]

The numerical experiment was performed with the simulated data for determining the quality of forecast. Forecast was constructed for 8 steps by the learning sample.

The results of forecast \( \{ y_k \} \) by the formula (9) are not satisfactory: the absolute error \( \delta_j = \left| \frac{\hat{y}_{m+j} - y_{m+j}}{y_{m+j}} \right|, \; j = 1, \ldots, 8 \), equals 0.8–1.2, and doesn’t depend on the size of the learning sample.

The calculation of forecasting values \( \hat{x}_{m+j} \) by formula (8) with the matrix defined by equation (10) leads to the following expected result. The forecast of antipersistent process (\( H < 0.5 \)) is not satisfactory, the error of forecast does not depend on the size of the learning sample. The quality of forecast improves with increasing \( m \) for the persistent process. Appropriate data are given in Table 2 which shows the values of the relative error \( \delta_j = \left| \frac{\hat{x}_{m+j} - x_{m+j}}{x_{m+j}} \right|, \; j = 1, \ldots, 8 \), for \( H = 0.3, H = 0.7, H = 0.9, m = 100, m = 500, m = 1000. \)

**Note:** The data of forecast for \( H = 0.9, m = 1000 \) may contain some errors due to poor conditioning of matrix \( S_H \): determinant of this matrix is a decreasing function of \( m \) and \( H \) for \( H > 0.5 \). So, for \( m = 500, \) det\( S_{0.9} \sim 10^{-190} \).

### 3 Limit Theorems and Applications

Let \( B(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) be a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst exponent \( H \). Let’s consider the normalized increments

\[
\xi_k = n^H \left( B \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) - B \left( \frac{k-1}{n} \right) \right) \sim \mathcal{N} (0; 1). \]
There is a mean-square convergence:

\[
\alpha_k = n^H B \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \xi_j .
\]

There is a mean-square convergence:

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \xi_k^2 \to -\frac{3}{2} , \quad H \in \left( 0; \frac{1}{2} \right) ,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{n^{1+H}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k^2 \xi_k^3 \to 3\eta , \quad H \in \left( 0; \frac{1}{2} \right) ,
\]

where

\[
\eta \sim \mathcal{N} \left( 0; \frac{1}{2H+2} \right) ;
\]

\[
\frac{1}{n^{2H}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \xi_k^3 \to \frac{3}{2} B^2 \left( 1 \right) , \quad H \in \left( \frac{1}{2}; 1 \right) .
\]

These limit relations allow us to check the statistical hypothesis \( T = \{ \text{the investigated time series } x_1, \ldots, x_n \text{ is an implementation of fBm} \} \).

**The algorithm of checking is as follows** (with known \( H \))

Let’s consider the increments \( y_k = x_k - x_{k-1} \), statistics \( R_{1n}(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |y_k| \), and estimate \( \hat{\sigma} \) by formula (1).

Let’s normalize the increments and assume:

\[
z_k = (\hat{\sigma})^{-1} n^H y_k = \frac{0.8}{R_{1n}} y_k .
\]

We assume that the hypothesis \( T \) holds:

\[
z_k = \xi_k = n^H \left( B \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) - B \left( \frac{k-1}{n} \right) \right) .
\]

Assume \( v_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} z_j \) and calculate the statistics

\[
A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k z_k^2 , \quad H \in \left( 0; \frac{1}{2} \right) ;
\]

\[
B_n = \frac{1}{n^{1+H}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k^2 z_k^3 , \quad H \in \left( 0; \frac{1}{2} \right) ;
\]

\[
D_n = \frac{1}{n^{2H}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k z_k^3 , \quad H \in \left( \frac{1}{2}; 1 \right) .
\]

| \( H \) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.3 | \( m = 100 \) | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 2.32 | 1.49 | 1.58 | 0.11 |
| \( m = 500 \) | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.61 | 0.17 | 1.68 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.69 |
| \( m = 1000 \) | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| 0.7 | \( m = 100 \) | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.58 |
| \( m = 500 \) | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.007 |
| \( m = 1000 \) | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.031 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.017 |
| 0.9 | \( m = 100 \) | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.31 |
| \( m = 500 \) | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| \( m = 1000 \) | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 |

In the series of papers [7,8,20–24], some limit theorems for the functions of these increments were proven. Let’s denote

\[
\hat{\alpha} = n^H B \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \xi_j .
\]
If hypothesis $T$ is true, then there is convergence:

$$A_n \to -1.5 ; \quad B_n \to 3\eta ; \quad D_n \to \frac{3}{2}B^2(1) .$$

The decision about the hypothesis $T$ is taken by comparing the actual values of statistics with their limiting theoretical values. Let’s determine the deviation from the limit value $\delta = |A_n + 1.5|$ for statistic $A_n$; the limit distribution functions for statistics $B_n, D_n$:

$$F_1(x) = P\{3\eta < x\} = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{3d}\right), \quad F_2(x) = 2\Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}x}\right) - 1, x > 0 ,$$

where $\Phi$ is Laplace function, $d = (2H + 2)^{-0.5}$.

Hypothesis $T$ is accepted, if

$$\delta < \beta_0 , \quad |B_n| < \beta_1 , H < 0.5 ; \quad 0 < D_n < \beta_2 , H > 0.5 , \quad (14)$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2$ are quantiles of distributions of $F_1, F_2$, corresponding to the selected level of significance $\alpha = 0.1$. Then,

$$\beta_1 = \frac{4.95}{\sqrt{2H + 2}} , \quad \beta_2 = 4.08 .$$

The rate of convergence of statistics to the limit has been tested by numerical experiment for the first example (“ideal case”):

$$z_k = (\hat{\sigma}^{-1}) n^H (X(k) - X(k - 1)) ; \quad X(t) = \sigma B_H(t) ,$$

where the values of fractional Brownian motion were obtained by simulation. The values of the control statistics $A_n, B_n, D_n$ are shown in Table 3.

| $H$   | $n = 200$ | $n = 1000$ | $|B_n|$ | $|D_n|$ | $\beta_1$ | $\beta_2$ |
|-------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.1   | -1.30     | -1.32       | 0.84   | 3.34   | 3.34      |           |
|       | -1.30     | -1.32       | 2.63   | 3.34   |           |           |
| 0.2   | -1.21     | -1.35       | 0.81   | 3.20   |           | 3.20      |
|       | -1.21     | -1.35       | 1.74   | 3.20   |           |           |
| 0.3   | -2.00     | -1.10       | 0.37   | 3.07   | 3.07      |           |
|       | -2.00     | -1.10       | 0.50   | 3.07   |           |           |
| 0.4   | -0.55     | -2.51       | 1.26   | 2.96   | 2.96      |           |
|       | -0.55     | -2.51       | 0.83   | 2.96   |           |           |
| 0.6   |           |             | 1.75   | 1.03   |           |           |
|       |           |             |       |        |           |           |
| 0.7   |           |             | 1.23   | 0.67   |           |           |
|       |           |             |       |        |           |           |
| 0.8   |           |             | 1.05   | 0.52   |           |           |
|       |           |             |       |        |           |           |
| 0.9   |           |             | 0.48   | 0.04   |           |           |
|       |           |             |       |        |           |           |

From Table 3 it follows that

$$|B_n| < \frac{4.95}{\sqrt{2H + 2}} = \beta_B , \quad H < 0.5 ; \quad 0 < D_n < 4.08 = \beta_D , \quad H > 0.5 ,$$
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and deviation $\delta$, $H < 0.5$, is an increasing function of $H$ (for $H = 0.4$, $\delta \approx 1$).

The second example is a deterministic logistic chaotic sequence $x_{k+1} = 4x_k (1 - x_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, 1049$. By procedure of estimation, we obtained $\hat{H} = 0.15$. The control statistics are as follows: $A_n = 0.6 > 0$, $|B_n| = 1.9 > \beta_B = 0.08$. Hypothesis $T$ is rejected.

The third example. Assume that the observed values are an additive mixture of the deterministic chaotic and random sequences:

$$x_k = u_k + av_k,$$

where $u_k$ are the values of a dynamical system, $v_k$ are the values of a random process.

Sequences $\{u_k\}$, $\{v_k\}$ are normalized by energy, therefore $\frac{1}{n}\sum u_k^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum v_k^2 = 1$. Then, the value $a$ determines stochastic share in the observed data.

In the example, $u_k = 4u_{k-1} (1 - u_{k-1})$, $v_k = \sigma_B \hat{H} \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)$.

The stochastic sequence $v_k$ is generated with $H_{fBm} = 0.1 - 0.9$. Table 4 shows estimate $\hat{H}$ of mixture and values of control statistics.

| $H$ | $\hat{H}$ | $A_n$ | $B_n$ | $D_n$ | $\beta_1$ |
|-----|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 0.1 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -1.94 | -0.07 | -0.43    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.1   | -1.60 | -0.40 | -697     | 3.34      |
| 0.2 | $a = 1$   | 0.15  | -5.35 | -15.3 | -1095    | 3.26      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.15  | -3.19 | -6.17 | -652     | 3.26      |
| 0.3 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -2.54 | -0.12 | -0.56    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.2   | -5.0  | -9.20 | -477     | 3.19      |
| 0.4 | $a = 1$   | 0.15  | -4.50 | -7.38 | -920     | 3.26      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.15  | -2.33 | -1.03 | -475     | 3.26      |
| 0.6 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -0.91 | -0.01 | -0.20    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.15  | -4.0  | 15.8  | -813     | 3.26      |
| 0.7 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -1.35 | -0.03 | -0.30    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.1   | -1.08 | 0.47  | -470     | 3.34      |
| 0.8 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -1.37 | -0.03 | -0.30    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.6   | -0.68 | -0.01 | -0.15    | 2.77      |
| 0.9 | $a = 1$   | 0.6   | -1.45 | -0.03 | -0.32    | 2.77      |
|     | $a = 2$   | 0.6   | -1.91 | -0.07 | -0.42    | 2.77      |

The table data show the “aggressiveness” of the chaotic component in relation to stochastic for $H_{fBm} \geq 0.2$. Inequalities (14) are not satisfied for these values of fBm and character of the mixture determines the logistic sequence. The deviation of statistics from the limit values is the same as for the “pure” fractional Brownian motion (Table 3) (for $H_{fBm} = 0.1$).

Conclusion: Persistence ($\hat{H} > 0.5$) of investigated time series ($D_n < \beta_2$) means it has stochastic nature; antipersistent ($\hat{H} = 0.1 - 0.2$, $A_n \approx A$, $|B_n| < \beta_1$) admits the existence of the chaotic component.

4 The Real Data: Approximation and Forecast

Construction of the model (2) for real-time series $x_0 = 0$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, $x = 0$ is in choosing the transformation $\Phi$ and checking the adequacy of the model by criterion (14). The transformation $\Phi^{-1}$ is defined on the vector of increments

$$y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n), \quad y_k = x_k - x_{k-1}, \quad \tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{y}_n) = \Phi^{-1}(y),$$
where \( \tilde{y}_k \) are the increments of fractional Brownian motion. The procedure of constructing the model is called “algorithm for approximating the time series \( s_0 = 0, s_1, \ldots, s_n \) by fractional Brownian motion,” which consists of the following:

1. Primary conversion \( \psi \) on initial data \( s_0 = 0, s_1, \ldots, s_n \), which is leading new sequence \( x_0 = 0, x_1, \ldots, x_n, \tau = 0 (x_k = \psi(s_k)) \), and calculation of the increments \( y_k = x_k - x_{k-1} \). In particular, the transformation \( \psi \) may contain a logarithm and removing approximation of the trend \( (S_k > 0, x_k = \log S_k - M_k) \).

2. Selection of operator \( \Phi^{-1} \), which is converting the increments \( \tilde{y}_k \) in new sequence \( (\tilde{y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{y}_n) \):

\[
\tilde{y}_k = \sigma \left( B \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) - B \left( \frac{k-1}{n} \right) \right),
\]

and construction of the new time series \( u_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{y}_j \).

3. Estimation of \( H \) exponent by (15), where \( y = \{\tilde{y}_k\} \).

4. Investigation of the adequacy of the proposed model or checking the statistical hypothesis (15). Adequacy is checked by methods described in Section 3, which are reduced to the calculation of control statistics (13):

\[
z_k = \frac{0.8}{R_{1n}(\tilde{y})} \tilde{y}_k,
\]

and comparison of these statistics with the limit values. The hypothesis (15) is accepted if relations (14) hold.

5. Forecast for \( r \) steps for converted time series \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \), based on this model:

\[
\hat{u}_{m+j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{m+j,k} s_{i} u_i, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r,
\]

where \( m \) is the size of the learning sample, and the elements \( s_{jk} \) of correlation matrix \( S \) are defined by the equality (10). The reverse transition to the forecast \( \hat{s}_{m+1}, \ldots, \hat{s}_{m+r} \) of initial data is performed by the following procedure:

5a. Calculation of the vector of increments:

\[
\begin{align*}
v_1 &= \hat{u}_{m+1} - u_m, \\
v_2 &= \hat{u}_{m+2} - \hat{u}_{m+1}, \\
\vdots \\
v_r &= \hat{u}_{m+r} - \hat{u}_{m+r-1},
\end{align*}
\]

and its conversion into a new vector:

\[
w = (w_1, \ldots, w_r) = \Phi(v).
\]

5b. Construction of forecast of an auxiliary time series:

\[
\hat{x}_{m+j} = x_m + \sum_{k=1}^{j} w_k, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r,
\]

and initial time series

\[
(\hat{s}_{m+1}, \ldots, \hat{s}_{m+r}) = \psi^{-1}(\hat{x}_{m+1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{m+r}) .
\]
It is necessary to investigate the sequence \( \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \) for the realization Selection 2. In \([6]\), the following method was proposed for constructing a one-dimensional transformation \( \Phi^{-1} \) for the sample \( \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \), \( n \sim 200–1000 \). Let’s consider the kurtosis:

\[
d(y) = \frac{R_{1n}^2(y)}{R_{2n}(y)}.
\]

If \( d_n \) is significantly different from \( \frac{2}{\pi} \), let’s replace the time series \( \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \) with the new sequence \( \{\tilde{y}_1, \ldots, \tilde{y}_n\} \) by the following formula:

\[
\tilde{y}_k = \text{sgn} y_k |y_k|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \quad y_k = \text{sgn} y_k |\tilde{y}_k|^{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0 ,
\]

where parameter \( \lambda \) is defined from the following equation:

\[
d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma^2 \left( \frac{\lambda+1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right)}; \quad d(y) = \frac{R_{1n}^2(y)}{R_{2n}(y)} \approx \frac{2}{\pi} .
\]

Thus, the proposed approximation leads to the following model of original time series:

\[
x_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \text{sgn} y_j |\tilde{y}_j|^{\lambda}.
\]

Let’s consider two examples of real data:

1. Carbon dioxide (http://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/carbon-dioxide/) from 1.03.-1958 to 1.06.2016, 693 data points (Fig. 1).

2. The prices of soybean oil (LAMETA, Department of Economics, University of Montpellier) from 01.01.1960 to 01.09.2011, 610 data points. (Fig. 1)

Figure 1: Concentration of carbon dioxide

The initial algorithm of transformation \( \psi \) is as follows: the values \( \log s_k \) are divided into time windows (a linear approximation of the trend is constructed in every window).
The initial research on stationary increments consists in calculating the correlation coefficient $\hat{p}_1$ for three time windows by the following formula:

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{\sum y_j y_{j+1}}{\sum y_j^2}.$$ 

For the first example: $\hat{p}_1 = 0.24 - 0.25$. For the second example: $\hat{p}_1 = 0.63 - 0.65$.

The increments form a stationary sequence, since the values of $\hat{p}_1$ don’t depend on the number of the window.

The results of calculation are shown in the Table 5.

Table 5: Characteristics of real data

| Example | Log Mean trend | $d(y)$ | $\lambda$ | $H$ | $A_n$ | $B_n$ | $D_n$ | $\beta_1$ |
|---------|----------------|--------|-----------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| carbon  | 0.0037         | 0.74   | 0.75      | 0.75| 26    | -5.3  | 1.0   | 2.66     |
| Huile   | 0.022          | 0.50   | 1.37      | 0.65| 0.04  | 0.14  | 0.01  | 2.72     |

The forecast of real data was performed for the size of learning sample $r = 4$, $m = 200; 400; 600$. The transition to the forecast of initial data is determined by the following formula:

$$\hat{s}_{m+j} = \exp \{ \hat{x}_{m+j} + M_{k+j} \}.$$ 

Values of forecast error are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Values of forecast error $\delta_{m+k}$

| $m$   | $k = 1$  | $k = 2$  | $k = 3$  | $k = 4$  |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 200   | carbon dioxide | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
|       | Huile    | 0.09    | 0.07    | 0.09    | 0.09    |
| 400   | carbon dioxide | 0.0006 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
|       | Huile    | 0.009   | 0.012   | 0.09    | 0.04    |
| 600   | carbon dioxide | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.01   | 0.01   |
|       | Huile    | 0.02    | 0.04    | 0.04    | 0.06    |

Table 6 confirms the satisfactory quality of forecast.

Conclusions

The proposed model of real time series with fractional Brownian motion as a basic process is effective, if the increments of the observed data have the property of stationarity. Considered examples of physical and financial nature allow an approximation by a
persistent process, which is confirmed by checking the adequacy of model. Constructed short-term forecast is satisfactory.
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