INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a highly infectious disease caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).¹ As the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a pandemic on March 11, 2020,² millions of people around the world have been affected across all aspects of daily life. In Korea, the government tried to prevent the spread of the disease by raising the country’s infectious disease alert level to “highest” and taking strong actions such as lockdown, social distancing, and quarantine.³ However, healthcare workers must do their job regardless of these measures. Unlike common disasters, infectious disease disasters are more difficult for the healthcare workers who care for these patients because the victims affect the hospital. Indeed, they are at high risk of being exposed to COVID-19 infection.

Previous studies from the SARS and Ebola epidemics have shown that healthcare workers can lead to severe emotional distress, anxiety, depression and posttraumatic stress disorder.⁴⁻⁶ Similarly, frontline healthcare workers directly involved in the diagnosis, treatment, and care of patients with COVID-19...
are at risk of developing mental health symptoms. Sources of distress may include increased workload, nosocomial transmission, and a fear of infecting their family, friends, or colleagues. Moreover, infected individuals face the fear of dying from the disease, isolation from family, and getting stigmatized by society. As a result, healthcare workers have a significantly higher prevalence of psychological problems compared to the general population during pandemics.

Nurses have had the highest levels of occupational stress and resulting distress compared to other groups. Frontline nurses treating patients with COVID-19 are likely exposed to the highest risk of infection because of their close, frequent contact with patients and longer working hours. Nurses usually working a three-shift schedule are vulnerable to insomnia, anxiety, and depression. Nurses are mostly female, and the prevalence of anxiety and depression appears to be higher in females. Besides, the high staff turnover rate can lead to trouble with adapting to new protocols. Several reports have revealed the psychological distress of nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, it is important to develop a stress management system for healthcare workers, especially during this pandemic.

Resilience means the capacity to recover quickly from difficulties. It is the ability to adapt positively to negative stressful events in our life. It is a complex phenomenon including personality, interpersonal relationships, and the temporal characteristics of the stressor. From another perspective, resilience is the ability to accept stress as an opportunity, e.g., recognition of limits to control, self-efficacy, strengthening effects of stress, adaptability to change, and sense of humor. It is also patience and tolerance of negative affect, and adaptability to change.

Psychologically, resilience, as an adaptive coping strategy, may be helpful to reduce healthcare workers’ work-related stress and psychiatric symptoms in response to taking care of infected patients and preventing themselves from becoming infected with the virus during this pandemic. In this study, we explored the effect of resilience of nursing professionals on depression, anxiety, and work-related stress during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

An online survey was conducted in two teaching hospitals of the University of Ulsan College of Medicine, the Asan Medical Center at Seoul and Ulsan University Hospital at Ulsan, South Korea. We compiled the online survey and advertised it on the intranet of each hospital for voluntary enrollment of the healthcare workers to assess their work-related stress and anxiety response to the COVID-19 viral pandemic. From April 20 to April 30, 2020, 1,023 workers responded voluntarily to the survey and 1,019 workers from the Asan Medical Center consented to participate in the study. From 22 June to 8 July, 2020, 406 healthcare workers from the Ulsan University Hospital responded and consented to participate in the study. The protocol of each study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center (2020-0580) and Ulsan University Hospital (UUH 2020-06-021) and the requirement for written informed consent for participation was waived. We extracted data of 824 nursing professionals, 598 from 1,019 healthcare workers in Asan Medical Center and 226 from 406 healthcare workers in Ulsan University Hospital and analyzed the relationship between resilience and mental health profiles.

**Rating scales**

**Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-9 (SAVE-9) scale**

The SAVE-9 scale was developed to assess work-related stress and anxiety in response to viral epidemics. It consists of nine items that can be scored on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 (never), 1 (rarely), 2 (sometimes), 3 (often), and 4 (always). This scale can be clustered into two factors: 1) anxiety about viral epidemics-6 items (SAVE-6), and 2) work-related stress associated with viral epidemics-3 items (SAVE-3). The cut-off score of the SAVE-9 was set to 21 points in parallel with Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 items (GAD-7) ≥5 denoting a mild degree of general anxiety. Satisfactory internal consistency (Cronbach’s α=0.795) was reported.

**Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)**

The PHQ-9 is a self-rated, 9-item questionnaire for measuring depression symptoms. Each item is scored on a 3-point Likert scale (0=not at all to 3=nearly every day). Scores can range from 0 to 27, with higher scores reflecting greater symptom severity (0–4=minimal depression, 5–9=mild depression, 10–14=moderate depression, 15–19=moderately severe depression and ≥20=severe depression). In this study we defined a PHQ-9 score ≥10 as clinical depression. Excellent internal reliability with Cronbach’s α=0.89 was reported.

**Generalized Anxiety Scale-7 items**

The GAD-7 is a self-administered 7-item questionnaire for measuring general anxiety. Each item is scored on a 3-point Likert scale (0=not at all to 3=nearly every day). Scores can range from 0 to 21, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of anxiety. Cut-off intervals for anxiety include 0–4 (minimal), 5–9 (mild anxiety), 10–14 (moderate), and 15–21 (severe). The excellent internal consistency (Cronbach’s α=0.92) and good test-retest reliability (intraclass correlation=
Brief Resilience Scale (BRS)
The BRS is a 6-item rating scale to measure subjects’ resilience as a coping strategy to stress. The BRS assesses the ability to bounce back. Each question can be rated on a range from 1–5, with a total score of 6–30. Good internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha ranging from 0.80–0.91) and good test-re-test reliability (intraclass correlation of 0.62 and 0.69) were reported. The Korean version of the BRS is validated and was thus used in our study.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS ver. 21.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The clinical characteristics are summarized as mean±standard deviation. The level of significance for all analyses was defined as two-tailed p<0.05. A Student’s t-test for continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical variables were performed for between group analyses. A Spearman’s correlation analysis was performed to explore the relationship between clinical variables. A logistic regression analysis was carried out to determine the variables that contribute to clinical depression (PHQ-9 score ≥10).

RESULTS
Data from 824 nursing professionals at Asan Medical Center and Ulsan University Hospital were extracted and analyzed. Demographic characteristics and mental health profiles are shown in Table 1.

Among the participants, 152 (18.4%) workers were rated as having clinical depression (PHQ-9≥10). The proportion of junior staff and workers with past psychiatric history was significantly higher in the depressed group compared to the non-depressed group (p<0.001). Years of employment was significantly shorter in the depressed group than in the non-depressed group (p<0.001). Workers with clinical depression showed significantly higher PHQ-9, GAD-7, and SAVE-9 scores and lower BRS scores compared to the non-depressed group.

Older age was significantly correlated with low PHQ-9, GAD-7, SAVE-6, and SAVE-3 scores (all p<0.01) (Table 2). More years of employment significantly correlated with low PHQ-9, GAD-7, and SAVE-3 scores. Age and years of employment did not correlate with resilience level. PHQ-9 score positively correlated with high GAD-7, SAVE-6, and SAVE-3 scores and low BRS scores. BRS score negatively correlated with PHQ-9, GAD-7, SAVE-6, and SAVE-3 scores (all p<0.01).

Among nursing professionals who were not depressed (PHQ-9 score <10), the overall results were similar (Table 3) to the results from the correlation analysis among all participants.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants (N=824)

| Variables | Depressed* (N=152) | Not depressed (N=672) | p    |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Sex (female) | 150 (98.7) | 657 (97.8) | 0.37 |
| Age | | | |
| Junior (20–39 years) | 126 (82.9) | 439 (65.3) | <0.001 |
| Senior (40–65 years) | 26 (17.1) | 233 (34.7) | 0.08 |
| Marital status | | | |
| Single | 102 (65.7) | 336 (50.2) | <0.001 |
| Married, without kids | 12 (7.9) | 58 (8.7) | 0.83 |
| Married, with kids | 37 (24.5) | 275 (41.1) | 0.83 |
| Have you experienced or had treatment for depression, anxiety, or insomnia? (yes) | 33 (21.9) | 62 (9.2) | <0.001 |
| Years of employment (years) | 7.9±6.9 | 11.4±8.9 | <0.001 |
| Symptom assessments | | | |
| PHQ-9 | 13.2±3.3 | 3.9±2.8 | <0.001 |
| GAD-7 | 9.0±4.2 | 3.3±3.1 | <0.001 |
| SAVE-9 | 24.5±4.8 | 20.5±5.3 | <0.001 |
| Anxiety subcategory (SAVE-6) | 16.8±3.6 | 14.6±3.8 | <0.001 |
| Work-stress subcategory (SAVE-3) | 7.7±2.3 | 5.9±2.2 | <0.001 |
| BRS | 18.0±3.9 | 20.1±3.3 | <0.001 |

*defined as PHQ-9 score ≥10. PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9, GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Scale-7 items, SAVE-9: Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-9 items, BRS: Brief Resilience Scale
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Table 2. Spearman’s correlation coefficients of all variables in all participants (N=824)

| Variables           | Age     | Years of employment | PHQ-9    | GAD-7    | SAVE-6 | SAVE-3 | BRS  |
|---------------------|---------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|------|
| Age                 | 1.000   |                     |          |          |        |        |      |
| Years of employment | 0.88**  | 1.000               | -0.19**  | 0.72**   | 0.39** | 1.000  |      |
| PHQ-9               | -0.18** | -0.19**             | 1.000    |          |        |        |      |
| GAD-7               | -0.15** | -0.14**             | 0.28**   | 0.39**   | 0.45** | 1.000  |      |
| SAVE-6              | -1.07** | -0.05               | 0.5**    | 0.45**   | 0.45** | 1.000  |      |
| SAVE-3              | -0.15** | -0.09**             | 0.23**   | -0.25**  | 0.15** | 0.13** | 1.000|
| BRS                 | 0.03    | 0.05                | -0.23**  | 0.22**   | 0.45** | 0.45** | 1.000|

**p<0.01. PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9, GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Scale-7 items, SAVE-6: Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-6 items, SAVE-3: Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-3 items, BRS: Brief Resilience Scale

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of variables that may contribute to depression* (N=824)

| Variables                                            | cOR (95% CI) | p     | aOR (95% CI) | p     |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Age, junior (vs. senior)                             | 2.57 (1.64–4.03) | <0.0001 | 1.23 (0.51–2.99) | 0.64  |
| Marital status, single (vs. married)                 | 2.06 (1.42–2.99) | <0.0001 | 1.23 (0.66–2.29) | 0.51  |
| Have you experienced or had treatment for depression, | 2.75 (1.73–4.39) | <0.0001 | 0.57 (0.30–1.07) | 0.08  |
| anxiety, or insomnia?                                |              |       |              |       |
| Years of employment (years)                          | 0.95 (0.93–0.97) | <0.0001 | 0.98 (0.93–1.04) | 0.49  |
| Rating scale scores                                  |              |       |              |       |
| GAD-7                                                | 1.47 (1.38–1.56) | <0.0001 | 1.40 (1.31–1.50) | <0.001|
| SAVE-6                                               | 1.18 (1.12–1.24) | <0.0001 | 1.02 (0.95–1.09) | 0.58  |
| SAVE-3                                               | 1.44 (1.32–1.57) | <0.0001 | 1.16 (1.03–1.29) | 0.01  |
| BRS                                                  | 0.84 (0.80–0.89) | <0.0001 | 0.91 (0.85–0.97) | 0.007 |

*depression was defined as PHQ-9 score ≥10. cOR: Crude odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, GAD-7: Generalized Anxiety Scale-7 items, SAVE-6: Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-6 items, SAVE-3: Stress and Anxiety to Viral Epidemics-3 items, BRS: Brief Resilience Scale

Among the 824 participants, we explored the variables that might lead to depressive symptoms in nursing professionals by adjusting for age, sex, marital status, SAVE-6 score, and participants’ past psychiatric illness history using a logistic regression analysis. The results show that depression occurred in those individuals with a high level of general anxiety [adjusted odds ratio (aOR)=1.40, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.31–1.50] and work-related stress to viral epidemics (aOR=1.16, 95% CI=1.03–1.29), and a low level of resilience (aOR=0.91, 95% CI=0.85–0.97) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the risk for clinical depression was increased by high levels of general anxiety, work-related stress in response to a viral pandemic, and a low level of resilience.

Working in a hospital during this pandemic era is extremely stressful to healthcare workers.5,6,8,9 In particular, nurses working on the frontline treating patients with COVID-19 are exposed to the highest risk of infection.25 They may face uncertainty and the fear of being infected with COVID-19 from the patients they care for, and can also experience emotional distress at the thought of infecting their family members. Therefore, the highest level of stress was observed in the group who were working in a high-risk unit.26 These individuals do not have enough time to rest, change their gown for each patient, and are often in distressing situations where patients can be uncooperative. Therefore, stress management programs are needed for healthcare workers, especially nursing professionals, who are working on the frontline during this pandemic era.27

In this study, nursing professionals with younger age and shorter working years were found to be more depressed during the viral pandemic. Nursing professionals who have worked for fewer years may have poor coping ability because they are relatively inexperienced and less skilled in their work than nurses who have worked for a long time. Therefore, they have difficulty in adapting well to distress, such as an increased workload and infection risk, and the risk of mental problems for them increases. It is also possible that junior nursing professionals are required to perform more tasks at this time.
greater number of working years was also associated with lower levels of anxiety and work-related stress. Senior nursing professionals are more likely to experience less distress at work as they become more experienced and skilled at their work. This may be because nursing professionals who are less anxious and less stressed about their work remain at work for a long time.

Resilience is a term used to describe the ability to recover from negative life experiences and is an important concept in the field of stress management. Whether it should be considered a temporary state of mind, meaning it can change according to the situation, or a trait, meaning it is a stable characteristic of a person, has been under debate. Viewing resilience as a personality trait has been very influential; however, it is limited by the denial of the complexities of psychological principles. In this regard, Richardson’s metatheory of resilience and resiliency defined resilience as having both trait and contextual factors. It is possible to identify people who are less likely to experience burnout using the trait level of resilience while understanding how they are dealing with current stressors using state resilience. In this context, the most recent consensus reached is that a combination of both state and trait factors leads to the formation of resilience in each individual.

In this study, the depressed group had a lower resilience score than the non-depressed group. As the resilience score decreased, the level of anxiety and work-related stress increased. This is consistent with other studies showing that a higher level of resilience is correlated to a lower probability of experiencing mental health issues such as depression or anxiety. Similar results have been found in studies that explored the association between resilience and psychological outcomes, such as stress, anxiety, depression, and fatigue, in nurses. In our study, resilience did not correlate with age or number of years worked, but in other studies, resilient nurses were more likely to work longer because they showed successful adaptation and an active coping style in response to stressors.

According to previous studies on the effect of resilience among healthcare workers, resilience has been proven to play an important role in overcoming distress. Likewise, in the COVID-19 pandemic era, resilience and anxiety levels have been shown to have an inverse relationship among physicians, and the total group of healthcare professionals. Moreover, a recent study suggested that among general populations, more resilient adults are experiencing a lower rate of burnout and distress during the current pandemic era, which corresponds with the previous finding that resilience has an inverse association with burnout among physicians.

Considering the results of this study, we should consider setting up a psychological management program for nursing professionals who are at high risk of becoming depressed. In particular, we need to consider the experience of caring (years of employment), current individual/family support, or the place individuals work in. Psychologically, we should consider the emotional status, e.g., anxiety, depression, or work-related stress. Furthermore, it is important to help individuals improve their adaptive coping strategy, resilience, and work-related stress levels.

The limitations of this study are as follows. First, as this study involved an online self-report survey, we could not perform face-to-face interviews with the participants. Although an online survey was necessary to prevent the spread of the virus during the pandemic, this limited our ability to obtain details of psychiatric symptoms. Second, this survey was performed in a hospital in Seoul and a hospital in Ulsan, both metropolitan cities. Therefore, we cannot generalize the results from the responses of nursing professionals of these two hospitals, although the two hospitals are the largest hospitals in each city. Third, this survey was conducted in mid-April 2020, the first wave of the pandemic; thus, the results of this study do not reflect the psychiatric symptoms of nursing professionals during the third or forthcoming waves of the COVID-19 pandemic. Last, the SAVE-9 scale used in this study was not fully validated; however, we attempted to develop and apply the scale to assess anxiety and work-related stress, especially in healthcare workers during this pandemic. The validation report of the SAVE-9 scale will be formally reported soon.

In conclusion, healthcare workers, especially nursing professionals, experience various kinds of psychiatric symptoms such as depression, anxiety, and work-related stress during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is important to manage these individuals psychologically for their severe work-related stress. In this study, we observed that resilience can reduce work-related stress and anxiety to the viral pandemic, and the resultant depressive symptoms. Hospitals and the government should develop a system for healthcare workers to improve their resilience as a coping skill.
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