Drying kinetics and thin layer modeling of ogi produced from six maize varieties at varying soaking period and drying temperature
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Abstract

The drying kinetics of ogi produced from six varieties of maize at varying soaking period (12, 24 and 36 hrs) and drying temperature of 40, 50 and 60°C, respectively were studied. Seven common thin layer models were evaluated, and the best models were selected. The moisture content of ogi decreased with increased drying temperature and drying time while the drying rate increased with an increase in drying temperature and decreased with an increase in drying time. Logarithmic and two term models best fitted about 40.77% (22 samples each). However, where two term models were selected best, the R² values ranged from 0.9858-0.99999999, \( \chi^2 = 0.03715-0.000412 \), RMSE = 0.02206-0.0000677, unlike Logarithmic model that ranged from 0.8876-0.9964, \( \chi^2 = 0.07045-0.001447 \), RMSE = 0.1084-0.01098. There was no definite pattern for effective moisture diffusivity (\( D_{eff} \)) and Activation energy (\( E_a \)). This research work strongly suggests that the drying process was predominantly in the falling rate period (FRP) and was significantly affected by the change in temperature and moisture gradient. The activation energy obtained for ogi at varying soaking period and drying temperature ranged from 2.58-12.00 kJ/mol (A4Y), 7.72-44.95 kJ/mol (A4W), 14.53-35.88 kJ/mol (S7Y), 6.02-20.10 kJ/mol (D2Y), 14.024-45.31 kJ/mol (DIY) and 19.34-64.22 kJ/mol (T3W). It was obviously indicated in this research that the soaking period had less or no impact on the drying behavior of ogi compared with the influence of drying temperature, drying time and initial moisture content.

1. Introduction

Application of dehydration is relevant in many food processes, particularly in food preservation. This is often achieved by removing or reducing moisture capable of aiding some undesirable reactions, deterioration and spoilage (Maskan et al., 2002; Simal et al., 2005; Sahin and Dincer, 2005). Drying process of food may be a complex dynamic of simultaneous heat and mass transfer (Bart-Plange et al., 2012). Apart from the strong potential for drying operation to prolong shelf-life of the product, it encourages volume reduction, product diversity, ease of transportation and distribution (Bart-Plange et al., 2012). Drying may aid the production of high-density product which can conveniently and adequately be packaged to prolong the shelf-life. These products can easily and rapidly be reconstituted without significant loss in some quality characteristics (Maskan, 2001; Maskan et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2008). However, physical changes, chemical reactions and alteration in sensory characteristics of some food products during and after drying operation have been reported (Ansari et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2008).

Two major possible stages (Constant-rate drying period and falling rate drying period) were widely reported in the literature (Maskan et al., 2002; Sahin and Dincer, 2005; Shi et al., 2008). The common relevant properties reported useful in the study and behavior of drying operations are moisture diffusivity, thermal conductivity, density, specific heat capacity, interphase heat and mass transfer coefficients (Maskan et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2008). Description and prediction of drying process of food are often possible with the aid of drying models (Midelli et al., 2002; Babalis and Belessiotis, 2004; Karim and Hawlader, 2005; Akpinar et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2008). Thin-layer drying models have found wide application because few parameters are needed in their computation (Maskan, 2001; Maskan et al., 2002; Kingsly et al., 2007; Erenturk and Erenturk, 2007; Shi et
Some of the thin layer models reported in the literature were for drying of Chilli pepper, millets samples, Sesame seeds, Amaranth grain, hazelnut, green pepper, green bean, squash, apricot, green chilli, pistachio, apple, pumpkin, red pepper, eggplant, bay leaves, rosehip, strawberries, green beans, okra, carrots, bananas and many other food materials (Yaldiz and Ertek, 2001; Hossain and Bala, 2002; Midilli and Kucuk, 2003; Togrul and Pehlivan, 2003; Ertek and Yaldiz, 2004; Erenturk et al., 2004; Sacilik and Unal, 2005; Gunhan et al., 2005; Doymaz, 2005; Karim and Hawlader, 2005; Toyosi and Adeladun, 2010; Ejendiran and Raji, 2010; Ronoh et al., 2015). The drying process and behavior of Ogi cannot be independent of its thermal properties, some of which were investigated by Bolaji et al. (2015). About 1 kg of each maize variety was weighed, cleaned and soaked in water for 12, 24 and 36 hrs, respectively. It was wet-milled using 230 watts attrition mill, sieved and wet ogi obtained. The ogi was squeezed using a muslin cloth to reduce the moisture content. The initial moisture content of wet ogi obtained from each maize variety at the varying soaking period was determined using moisture analyzer Model 0HAUS MB45 (10 mins at 100°C). These were dried in Genlab drying cabinet, model DC 125 at 40, 50 and 60°C. The dried ogi was further milled using laboratory mill IKA model M20 and sieved through 212 μm mesh.

2. Material and method

Six maize varieties were obtained from the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR & T) Ibadan. As shown below

| Codes | Bred Name |
|-------|-----------|
| D1Y   | DMR-LSR   |
| D2Y   | DMR-ESR-Y |
| T3W   | TZPB-SR-W |
| A4Y   | ART/98/SW1-SR-Y |
| A5W   | ART/98/SW5-OB-W |
| S7Y   | SUWAN-SR-Y |

About 1 kg of each maize variety was weighed, cleaned and soaked in water for 12, 24 and 36 hrs, respectively. It was wet-milled using 230 watts attrition mill, sieved and wet ogi obtained. The ogi was squeezed using a muslin cloth to reduce the moisture content. The initial moisture content of wet ogi obtained from each maize variety at the varying soaking period was determined using moisture analyzer Model 0HAUS MB45 (10 mins at 100°C). These were dried in Genlab drying cabinet, model DC 125 at 40, 50 and 60°C. The dried ogi was further milled using laboratory mill IKA model M20 and sieved through 212 μm mesh.

2.1 Drying kinetics computation

Drying kinetics of the process was studied, and curve fitted with selected models as shown in Table 1. The drying constant and coefficients of the models were determined by non-linear regression analysis with the aid MATLAB 2017. Drying experiments were expressed of dimensionless form as moisture ratios MR as shown in equation (1) (Shivhare et al., 2000; Özbek and Dadali, 2007):

$$MR = \frac{M - M_e}{M_i - M_e} = \exp (-kt)$$

(1)

Where M is the moisture content at any time, $M_i$ is the initial moisture content and $M_e$ is the equilibrium moisture content (Thakor et al., 1999; Togrul and Pehlivan, 2002; Akgun and Doymaz, 2005). The non-linear regression analysis in the present study was performed using MATLAB 2017. The goodness of fit of the tested mathematical models from the experimental data was evaluated with correlation coefficient ($R^2$), the reduced chi-square ($\chi^2$) and the root mean square error (RMSE). The higher the values of the $R^2$, and lower values of the $\chi^2$ and RMSE, the better the goodness of fit (Yaldiz and Ertek, 2001; Gunhan et al., 2005; Sacilik and Unal, 2005). The reduced $\chi^2$ and RMSE were computed as shown in equations (2) and (3)

$$RMSE = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}(MR_{\text{pred}} - MR_{\text{exp}})^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

(2)

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}(MR_{\text{exp}} - MR_{\text{pred}})^2}{N-n}$$

(3)

2.2 Estimation of effective moisture diffusivities

The effective diffusivities of the drying process of ogi were estimated by the method reported for drying
characteristics of biological products in falling rate period using Fick’s diffusion equation (4) (Flores et al., 2012)

\[ MR = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left[-n^2 \pi^2 D_{\text{eff}} t \right] \]  

(4)

Where MR is the moisture ratio, \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective moisture diffusivity (m²/s), \( r \) is the equivalent radius (m) and \( t \) is the time (s). This could be written in a logarithmic form as shown in Equation (5). The effective moisture diffusivity was calculated from the slope of a straight line when experimental data in terms of ln (MR) were plotted against drying time (Flores et al., 2012):

\[ \ln \text{MR} = \ln \frac{8}{\pi^2} - \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^2 D_{\text{eff}} t \]  

(5)

The effective moisture diffusivity was estimated by using the method of slopes. From Equation (5), a plot of lnMR versus drying time is expected to give a straight line with a slope as written in equation (6)

\[ \text{Slope} = \frac{\pi^2 D_{\text{eff}}}{r^2} \]  

(6)

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Moisture content

The moisture content of ogi decreased with an increase in drying temperature and drying time. The moisture decreased significantly (p<0.05) for all drying temperatures. A similar observation was noted for computed moisture ratio. There were higher drying rates at high moisture contents. These decreased rapidly with decreased moisture content. This may be unconnected with the free moisture near the surface of the product. The changes in moisture content are as shown in Table 2.

The moisture content of drained ogi slurry ranged from 44.59 to 47.27%. This work revealed that drying temperature had an impact on the drying time of ogi, irrespective of the soaking period. The drying time of ogi slurry produced from all the maize varieties at 12, 24 and 36th hour of soaking ranged from 17-19, 13-15 and 9-11 hrs at 40, 50 and 60°C, respectively. There was no significant difference in the initial moisture content of drained ogi.

The moisture decrease followed a similar trend reported by Bolaji et al. (2014). The changes in the moisture content appeared to be the function of drying temperature and time as shown in Figure 1. Initially, there was a rapid decrease in moisture content with increased drying time. This then slowly decreased with an increase in the drying time. Falling rate periods was noticeably dominant in the drying of ogi in this experiment.

However, the drying time to attain respective final moisture content decreased with increased drying temperature. This is consistent with the findings of some researchers (Doymaz, 2005; Karim and Hawlader, 2005; Akpinar et al., 2006; Kingsly et al., 2007; Erenturk and Erenturk, 2007; Shi et al., 2008; Bolaji et al, 2014).

According to Demirel and Turhan (2003), the prevalent fallen rate periods observed in this experiment indicated that the drying rate was effectively governed by internal resistance within the ogi slurry. It can be deduced that there was a decrease in moisture migration with an increase in drying time. (Demirel and Turhan, 2003; Doymaz, 2005; Karim and Hawlader, 2005; Akpinar et al., 2006).

The increased drying rate observed most especially at the beginning of the drying may be due to the increased heat transfer potential within the drying environment because of increased temperature (Maskan, 2001; Akpinar et al., 2006; Waewsak et al., 2006). The drying rate presented in Figure 2 also followed a similar trend reported for drying of ogi by Bolaji et al. (2014). Also, food composition was reported among factors that may affect the rate of water removal in the food materials. Some researchers reported that surface-to-volume ratio of the food can minimize the resistance to heat and mass transfer (Maskan, 2001; Maskan et al., 2002; Akpinar et al., 2006; Erenturk and Erenturk, 2007) while Chirife (1983) reported that drying behavior may be a function of the equipment employed in the dehydration operation. 

| S/n | Model                  | Mathematical expressions                  |
|-----|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1   | Lewis                  | \( MR = \exp (-kt) \)                   |
| 2   | Page                   | \( MR = \exp(-kt)^n \)                  |
| 3   | Herderson and Pabis    | \( MR = a \exp (-kt) \)                 |
| 4   | Two term model         | \( MR = a \exp( -k_1 t) + b \exp(-k_2 t) \) |
| 5   | Wang and Singh         | \( MR = 1 + at + bt^2 \)                |
| 6   | Logarithmic            | \( MR = a \exp(-bt) + c \)              |
| 7   | Midelli et al.         | \( MR = a \exp(-bt) + b \times c \)    |

Table 1. Selected mathematical models for drying curves fitting
Table 2. Initial and moisture content of ogi

| Maize Variety | Drying Temperature | Initial Moisture Content (%) | Final Moisture Content (%) |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|               |                    | 12 hrs | 24 hrs | 36 hrs | 12 hrs | 24 hrs | 36 hrs |
| D2Y           | 40                 | 46.54  | 46.22  | 44.47  | 8.44 b| 8.51 c | 7.87 d |
| D2Y           | 50                 | 44.97  | 44.59  | 45.15  | 7.89 e| 7.82 f | 7.80 g |
| D2Y           | 60                 | 44.21  | 44.85  | 41.96  | 8.12 df| 8.36 ef| 8.49 gdf|
| A5W           | 40                 | 45.95  | 45.45  | 45.71  | 7.86 ef| 8.20 g| 8.11 dce |
| A5W           | 50                 | 45.62  | 44.44  | 44.82  | 8.16 df| 8.05 f | 7.82 de |
| A5W           | 60                 | 44.94  | 43.35  | 41.16  | 7.27 f | 8.25 de| 7.85 de |
| A4W           | 40                 | 42.74  | 44.36  | 44.45  | 9.06 abc| 7.92 e| 7.79 de |
| A4W           | 50                 | 43.32  | 42.72  | 44.14  | 8.96 abcd| 7.92 ef| 8.79 bh |
| A4W           | 60                 | 45.41  | 44.99  | 44.47  | 9.69 a| 8.36 bcde| 9.65 a |
| DIY           | 40                 | 44.16  | 47.25  | 44.21  | 8.18 de| 7.83 ef| 7.51 e |
| DIY           | 50                 | 45.63  | 43.95  | 44.39  | 8.05 cdef| 7.93 ef| 7.81 de |
| DIY           | 60                 | 45.01  | 43.489 | 43.61  | 7.73 ef| 7.97 ef| 7.61 e |
| S7Y           | 40                 | 45.51  | 45.74  | 44.86  | 7.92 ef| 8.94 abcd| 9.18 abc |
| S7Y           | 50                 | 44.77  | 46.31  | 44.51  | 9.45 f | 7.66 | 9.07 |
| S7Y           | 60                 | 47.27  | 44.67  | 43.47  | 9.58 a| 7.56 | 9.35 ab |
| T3W           | 40                 | 44.98  | 44.05  | 44.38  | 7.74 ef| 7.47 | 8.14 dce |
| T3W           | 50                 | 45     | 44.623 | 45.59  | 9.23 abd| 8.64 | 7.88 de |
| T3W           | 60                 | 44.34  | 44.06  | 44.59  | 8.35 bcd| 9.15 | 8.65 abed |

Mean with same superscripts along the column are not significantly different at (p>0.05)

3.2 Thin layer models

The drying curves fitted to the experimental data using seven selected models as shown in Table 1 resulted in summarized best models selected based on recommended criteria are as shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The constant parameters are a, b and c while drying constants is k, respectively. The R^2, RSME and chisquare (χ²) were used to determine the best model. The best model for ogi produced from A5W and dried at 40°C (12 and 24 hrs), 50°C (12, 24 and 36 hrs) and 60°C (12 hrs) were best fitted with Logarithmic model with higher R^2, lowest χ² and RMSE. While ogi dried at 60°C (24 and 36 hrs) of soaking were best fitted with two term and Wang and Singh models, respectively. Apart from ogi dried at 60°C (12 hrs), 50°C (36 hrs) and 40°C (12 and 24 hrs) which were best fitted with Logarithmic model, others were best fitted with two term models.

Ogi produced from A5W (60°C) at 36th hour of soaking and dried at 60°C, DIY (60°C) at 12th hour of Soaking, D2Y (50°C) at 24 hrs of soaking, S7Y (50°C) at 24th hour of soaking, T3W (40°C) at 12 hrs of soaking and T3W (40°C) were best fitted with Wang and Singh model. Dried ogi (DIY) produced at 12th hour of soaking and dried at 60°C, S7Y at produced 12 and 36th hour of Soaking and dried at 60 and 40°C, respectively were best fitted with Page models. Logarithmic and Two term models best fitted about 40.77% (22) samples each of ogi produced from six varieties, soaked and dried at varying period and temperature, respectively. However, where two term models were noted and selected best based on the recommended criteria, were relatively and significantly highest for R^2, lowest for χ² and RMSE, respectively when compared with Logarithmic models. The values for two term model ranged from 0.9858-0.99999999, χ² = 0.03715-0.0000412, RMSE 0.02206-0.0000677, unlike Logarithmic model which ranged from 0.8876-0.9964, χ² = 0.07045-0.001447, RMSE, 0.1084-0.01098. The values of R² obtained for two term model were within the range reported for drying of apple (Akpinar et al., 2006); green table olives Demir et al. (2007), and black grapes (Doymaz 2006).

3.3 Effective moisture diffusivity and activation energy

The effective moisture diffusivity and Activation energy is as shown in Table 6. Moisture diffusivity obtained for ogi slurry and dried at varying temperature ranged from 1.97-2.01 x 10^{-10} m²/s, 1.16-2.77 x 10^{-10} m²/ s and 1.3-2.00 x 10^{-10} m²/(A4Y), 2.96-5.05 x 10^{-10} m²/ s 2.66-4.91 x 10^{-10} m²/s, and 1.1-4.46 x 10^{-10} m²(s(A5W), 2.81-3.19 x 10^{-10} m²/s, 1.3- 7.61 x 10^{-10} m²/s and 2.23-3.35 x 10^{-10} m²/s(S7Y), 2.57-2.95 x 10^{-10} m²/s,1.82-2.92 x 10^{-10} m²/s and 2.23-2.44 x 10^{-10} m²/(D2Y), 4.65-10 x 10^{-10} m²/s, 1.86-6.24 x 10^{-10} m²/s and 2.05-3.61 x 10^{-10} m²/s and 2.35-3.82 x 10^{-10} m²/s, 1.05-2.95 x 10^{-10} m²/s and 2.04-3.45 x 10^{-10} m²/s(T3W). These values were within values reported by some researchers (Yashoda et al., 2006; Gastón et al., 2004; Doymaz, 2005; Gely and Santalla, 2007; Rahman et al., 2009; Gaware et al., 2010). Past work established that variation in effective
Figure 1. Moisture content and drying time relationship
| Code     | Variety | Code     | Variety | Code     | Variety | Code     | Variety | Soaking period | Drying temperature |
|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| DIY-12hr-40°C | D2Y-12hr-40°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-12hr-40°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-12hr-40°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-12hr-40°C | SUWAN-Y | 12             | 40                |
| DIY-24hr-40°C | D2Y-24hr-40°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-24hr-40°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-24hr-40°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-24hr-40°C | SUWAN-Y | 24             | 40                |
| DIY-36hr-40°C | D2Y-36hr-40°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-36hr-40°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-36hr-40°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-36hr-40°C | SUWAN-Y | 36             | 40                |
| DIY-12hr-50°C | D2Y-12hr-50°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-12hr-50°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-12hr-50°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-12hr-50°C | SUWAN-Y | 12             | 50                |
| DIY-24hr-50°C | D2Y-24hr-50°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-24hr-50°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-24hr-50°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-24hr-50°C | SUWAN-Y | 24             | 50                |
| DIY-36hr-50°C | D2Y-36hr-50°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-36hr-50°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-36hr-50°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-36hr-50°C | SUWAN-Y | 36             | 50                |
| DIY-12hr-60°C | D2Y-12hr-60°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-12hr-60°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-12hr-60°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-12hr-60°C | SUWAN-Y | 12             | 60                |
| DIY-24hr-60°C | D2Y-24hr-60°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-24hr-60°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-24hr-60°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-24hr-60°C | SUWAN-Y | 24             | 60                |
| DIY-36hr-60°C | D2Y-36hr-60°C | DIY-1hr-Y | A5W-36hr-60°C | ART/98/SW5-OB-1W | A4W-36hr-60°C | ART/98/SW1SR-Y | S7Y-36hr-60°C | SUWAN-Y | 36             | 60                |

Figure 2. Relationship with drying rate and drying time at varying drying temperature
Table 3. Summarised statistical results of the best fitted drying models for dried ogi from A5W and A4Y

| Drying temperature | Soaking period | Best model        | a      | b      | c      | n  | K₁    | K₂    | R²    | \(\chi^2\) | RMSE   |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| A5W (40)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 1.231 | 0.08612 | -0.1492 |    | 0.984 | 0.02208 | 0.03715 |
|                   | 24            | Logarithmic       | 2.806 | 2.701 | -1.904 |    | 0.992 | 0.00853 | 0.02666 |
|                   | 36            | Two term          | 0.8955 | -0.02714 |    | -0.05651 | 0.1294 | 0.9991 | 0.001063 | 0.008416 |
| A5W (50)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 3.588 | 0.02855 | -2.664 |    | 0.9915 | 0.008531 | 0.02978 |
|                   | 24            | Logarithmic       | 0.9407 | 0.1525 | -0.1787 |    | 0.9867 | 0.005848 | 0.02704 |
|                   | 36            | Logarithmic       | 1.852 | 0.07057 | -0.8726 |    | 0.9961 | 0.003295 | 0.0203 |
| A5W (60)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 3.969 | 1.13E-03 | -3.884 |    | 0.9979 | 0.002322 | 0.01205 |
|                   | 24            | Two term          | -0.1334 | 0.8476 |    | 0.1227 | -0.04202 | 0.9999 | 3.75E-05 | 0.002314 |
|                   | 36            | Two term          | -0.06524 | -0.00199 |    | 0.9988 | 0.001064 | 0.01087 |
| A4Y (40)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 5.335 | 0.01259 | -4.37 |    | 0.9957 | 0.004435 | 0.01922 |
|                   | 24            | Logarithmic       | 3.008 | 0.02822 | -1.963 |    | 0.9935 | 0.008487 | 0.02659 |
|                   | 36            | Two term          | -0.3529 | 1.251 |    | 0.05352 | -0.01962 | 0.9996 | 0.00315 | 0.005349 |
| A4Y (50)          | 12            | Two term          | -0.7833 | 1.402 |    | 0.08056 | 0.04169 | 0.9959 | 0.002261 | 0.01434 |
|                   | 24            | Two term          | 0.8298 | -0.1145 |    | 0.00404 | 0.08033 | 0.99999 | 0.000011 | 0.0009841 |
|                   | 36            | Logarithmic       | 3.856 | 0.01604 | -3.251 |    | 0.9964 | 0.001446 | 0.01098 |
| A4Y (60)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 1.141 | 0.08218 | -0.3267 |    | 0.9719 | 0.02113 | 0.04197 |
|                   | 24            | Two term          | -0.3529 | 1.251 |    | 0.05352 | -0.01962 | 0.9996 | 0.003015 | 0.005349 |
|                   | 36            | Two term          | -0.00014 | 0.761 |    | 0.4591 | -0.09423 | 0.9987 | 0.000642 | 0.007639 |

Table 4. Summarised Statistical results of the best fitted drying models for dried ogi produced from DIY AND S7Y

| Drying temperature | Soaking period | Best model        | a      | b      | c      | n  | K₁    | K₂    | R²    | \(\chi^2\) | RMSE   |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| D1Y (40)          | 12            | Wang and Singh    | -0.1354 | 0.004421 |    | 0.9851 | 0.0166 | 0.03719 |
|                   | 24            | Logarithmic       | 2.888 | 0.02342 | -1.984 |    | 0.99210 | 0.00986 | 0.02654 |
|                   | 36            | Logarithmic       | 2.125 | 0.04625 | -1.082 |    | 0.9957 | 0.004112 | 0.02028 |
| D1Y (50)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 1.147 | 0.2898 | -0.00601 |    | 0.8876 | 0.07045 | 0.1084 |
|                   | 24            | Wang and Singh    | -0.125 | 0.004362 |    | 0.9681 | 0.03497 | 0.04828 |
|                   | 36            | Two Term          | -0.1779 | 0.9164 |    | 0.1174 | -0.05209 | 0.99999 | 0.0000015 | 0.005059 |
| D1Y (60)          | 12            | Two Term          | 0.02849 | 1.916 |    | 0.9703 | 0.04539 | 0.0615 |
|                   | 24            | Two Term          | 0.8888 | -0.2613 |    | -0.04469 | 0.1076 | 0.999999 | 0.00000068 | 0.000412 |
|                   | 36            | Two Term          | -0.193 | 0.9542 |    | 0.1248 | -0.04698 | 0.999999 | 0.0000088 | 0.001326 |
| S7Y (40)          | 12            | Logarithmic       | 3.353 | 0.02804 | -2.326 |    | 0.9935 | 0.008702 | 0.02813 |
|                   | 24            | Logarithmic       | 3.671 | 0.0115 | -254.5 |    | 0.9955 | 0.001809 | 0.01228 |
|                   | 36            | page              | 0.03145 | 1.673 |    | 0.9918 | 0.01007 | 0.02897 |
| S7Y (50)          | 12            | page              | 0.06197 | 1.707 |    | 0.9763 | 0.02958 | 0.04965 |
|                   | 24            | Wang and Singh    | -1.471 | 2.303 |    | 0.9964 | 0.003348 | 0.0183 |
|                   | 36            | Logarithmic       | 3.747 | 0.01097 | -286.3 |    | 0.9964 | 0.001447 | 0.01098 |
|                   | 24            | Two term          | -0.3529 | 1.251 |    | 0.05352 | -0.01962 | 0.9996 | 0.000315 | 0.005349 |
|                   | 36            | Two term          | -0.00014 | 0.761 |    | 0.4591 | -0.09423 | 0.9987 | 0.000642 | 0.007639 |
The activation energy obtained for ogi at 20.10 kJ/mol (D1Y) and 19.34 kJ/mol (DIY) and 14531.5 kJ/mol (T3W). Higher values of activation energy were obtained for ogi produced at 24th hour of soaking for all maize varieties with the exception of ogi produced from varieties at varying soaking period and drying temperature are in the range of 2.58-12.00 kJ/mol (A4Y), 7.72-44.95 kJ/mol (A4W), 14.53-35.88 kJ/mol (S7Y), 6.02-20.10 kJ/mol (D2Y), 14.02-45.31 kJ/mol (DIY) and 19.34-64.22 kJ/mol (T3W). Higher values of activation energy were obtained for ogi produced at 24th hour of soaking for all maize varieties with the exception of ogi produced from A4Y and A5W at 12th hour of soaking.

4. Conclusion

moisture diffusivity may be affected by types and conditions of experimental procedures employed for the determination of effective moisture diffusivity, data treatment methods, temperature, product properties, compositions, physiological state and heterogeneity of structure (Celma et al., 2007). Contrary to the report of Celma et al. (2007), values obtained in this research work did not suggest that temperature increase caused increase in effective moisture diffusivity. The structure of ogi from different varieties may be responsible for this. The values of activation energy obtained using Arrhenius type equation is as shown in Table 6. The
Drying operation of ogi was significantly affected by the change in temperature and moisture gradient. There was a reduced drying time with the increase in drying temperature. There was a higher removal of water at the initial stage of the drying operation and drying process was predominantly in the falling rate period (FRP). The soaking period had no significant impact on drying behavior. Drying behavior was more connected with the amount of moisture in the drained ogi and the drying temperature. The structure of ogi slurry may have played an active role in the drying kinetics and effective moisture diffusivity, this may need to be investigated. Logarithmic and two term models best fitted about 40.77% (22 samples each). There was no definite pattern for effective moisture diffusivity ($D_{eff}$) and Activation energy ($E_a$).
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