Dwarf satellite galaxies are a key probe of dark matter and of galaxy formation on small scales and of the dark matter halo masses of their central galaxies. They have very low surface brightness, which makes it difficult to identify and study them outside of the Local Group. We used a low surface brightness-optimized telescope, the Dragonfly Telephoto Array, to search for dwarf galaxies in the field of the massive spiral galaxy M101. We identify seven large, low surface brightness objects in this field, with effective radii of 10–30 arcseconds and central surface brightnesses of $\mu_g \sim 25.5–27.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. Given their large apparent sizes and low surface brightnesses, these objects would likely be missed by standard galaxy searches in deep fields. Assuming the galaxies are dwarf satellites of M101, their absolute magnitudes are in the range $-11.6 \lesssim M_V \lesssim -9.3$ and their effective radii are 350 pc–1.3 kpc. Their radial surface brightness profiles are well fit by Sersic profiles with a very low Sersic index ($n \sim 0.3–0.7$). The properties of the sample are similar to those of well-studied dwarf galaxies in the Local Group, such as Sextans I and Phoenix. Distance measurements are required to determine whether these galaxies are in fact associated with M101 or are in its foreground or background.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the number of known dwarf galaxies residing within the Local Group has increased dramatically (see McConnachie 2012 and references therein). The Milky Way and Andromeda (M31) galaxies are each host to dozens of faint satellites ranging in central surface brightness from 20–30 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, most of which have been uncovered in star count surveys (e.g., Ibata et al. 2007; Belokurov et al. 2010; Richardson et al. 2011; Martin et al. 2013, and several others).

Through measurements of their kinematics, Local Group satellites provide constraints on the masses of the dark matter halos of the Milky Way and M31 (e.g., Battaglia et al. 2005; Watkins et al. 2010). They also serve as testing sites for theories of cosmology and galaxy evolution on small scales. Comparisons of observed satellite abundances and internal structure with predictions from ΛCDM, for example, have led to the now familiar “missing satellite” problem (Kauffmann et al. 1993; Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999) and the “too big to fail” problem (Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2012), respectively. To more robustly determine the magnitude of the challenges faced by ΛCDM, however, we will need to expand the sample size beyond the Local Group.

Most dwarf galaxies have extremely low surface brightness (LSB). If the known Milky Way satellites were located at 5 Mpc, their median integrated apparent magnitude would be $m_V \sim 21.8$, but their median central surface brightness would be $\mu_{0,V} \sim 26.1$, too faint to be detected in most integrated light surveys. Studies based on star counts are able to reach surface brightnesses of 30 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ or fainter—but only for very nearby galaxies, as the brightness of stars, and thus the number of detectable tracer stars in a distant source, decreases with the square of the distance. By contrast, integrated light surface brightness is conserved with distance, and the development of integrated light techniques sensitive enough to allow dwarfs to be detected beyond the Local Group could expand the number of known dwarfs by orders of magnitude.

Several dwarf galaxies have already been identified by their low surface brightness appearance in integrated light (e.g., Karachentsev et al. 2014). Furthermore, a number of technological advances that promise to make imaging of very low surface brightness galaxies routine have recently been developed (Abraham & van Dokkum 2014). It is within this context that we describe results from a search for faint dwarf galaxies around the nearby spiral galaxy M101. We find seven previously unknown low surface brightness galaxies, and we assess the likelihood that they are members of the M101 group.

2. DATA COLLECTION AND REDUCTION

Imaging faint galaxies in integrated light requires a telescope capable of detecting very low surface brightness emission. Our observations were taken with the Dragonfly Telephoto Array, a new robotic refracting telescope designed specifically for this purpose (Abraham & van Dokkum 2014). The telescope is comprised of an array of eight 400 mm $f/2.8$ Canon IS II telephoto lenses which, when operating together, are equivalent to an $f/1$ optical system. Nano-fabricated coatings on the optical elements of these lenses suppress internally scattered light—typically a significant obstacle to low surface brightness imaging (Slater et al. 2009)—by an order of magnitude. The

---

3 As an example, dwarfs with $M_V \sim -8$ can be detected out to $\sim 1$ Mpc with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Koposov et al. 2008).

4 This is crucial for low surface brightness imaging, as the counts per unit area on the detector decrease inversely with the square of the focal ratio $f$. 
Dragonfly field of view covers $2'.6 \times 1'.9$ in a single frame, and $3'.3 \times 2'.8$ once dithered frames have been combined. At an assumed distance to M101 of $7$ Mpc (Shappee & Stanek 2011; Lee & Jang 2012), this corresponds to $\sim 403 \times 342$ kpc. For reference, the virial radius of M101 is $\sim 260$ kpc.5

Details of data acquisition and reduction are provided in van Dokkum et al. (2014); here we give only a brief description. The data were taken during May and June of 2013 for a total of 35 hours. Calibration frames were taken on each night and applied to individual images, along with an illumination correction and an additional correction for the sky gradient. Images on a given night and across different nights were combined using optimal weighting. The final data product is comprised of reduced and star-subtracted frames in the $g$ band and $r$ band. The limiting surface brightness in the final reduced images is $\mu_g \sim 29.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ and $\mu_r \sim 29.8$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ on scales of $\sim 10$ arcseconds.

3. A SEARCH FOR LOW SURFACE BRIGHTNESS OBJECTS

Six of the seven LSB galaxies presented here were initially discovered in the vicinity of M101 by visual inspection. Motivated by this, we developed a simple algorithm to allow semi-automatic detections of these and similar objects in the field (see Vollmer et al. 2013 for an example of more sophisticated methods). Our algorithm recovered the six visually identified objects, and detected one additional galaxy (DF_3). The method is described below; it utilizes the reduced $g$-band and $r$-band images as well as their star-subtracted counterparts (see van Dokkum et al. 2014).

In order to detect all objects in each image, SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) was run three separate times, using varied convolution filters and detection thresholds, and the three SExtractor output source catalogs were subsequently combined for each frame. The first requirement for an LSB detection was that it appeared in both $g$ and $r$ frames, and we therefore combined catalogs for the two filters (for reduced and star-subtracted frames separately), excluding any detections that were only found in a single filter. Objects were matched based on their positions and relative sizes. Extended LSB objects were not removed from the star-subtracted frames along with the stars, so we further required that objects were detected there as well as in the original (i.e., pre-star subtraction) frames. Finally, we imposed conservative constraints on the size ($5 \leq R \leq 50$ pixels), median count level ($\leq 0.03$ counts) and scatter ($\leq 0.008$ counts) for detections in order to optimize the search for LSB objects.

This selection reduced the number of detections that require visual inspection from $\sim 108,098$ sources in the $g$-band catalog to only 529. Six of these corresponded to the original sample that was found by eye, and one additional LSB object was identified. Of the remaining $522$, $28\%$ were either wings of bright stars that were not fully subtracted, or in close proximity to stars or galaxies; $19\%$ were false positives caused by closely spaced faint stars; and the final $53\%$ were deemed to be noise fluctuations. Deeper observations may reveal that some candidates in this latter group are galaxies as well. An important caveat here is that our search is insensitive to the smallest galaxies; the FWHM of stars in our images is $\sim 6.5$ arcseconds, corresponding to $\sim 200$ pc at the distance of M101.

To quantify how efficiently our algorithm detects LSB objects, we simulated LSBs with a range of central surface brightness and size ($30 \leq \mu_0 \leq 23$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$, $6'' \leq r_e \leq 50''$) and placed them at random locations in our data. The algorithm was then run on these images to determine how well the simulated LSBs were recovered. We found that $\sim 70\%$ of the simulated LSBs with properties similar to those of our observed sample were detected, but detection rates drops for other combinations of size and surface brightness. We therefore interpret our seven detections as a lower limit for the number of LSBs in the field of view.

4. STRUCTURE AND BRIGHTNESS

We used GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002) to determine the structure, luminosity, and surface brightness of the galaxies. We chose a region of $200 \times 200$ pixels around each LSB and masked out any nearby stars. We first simultaneously fit the galaxy with a Sersic (1968) profile and any overlapping stars with delta functions. Both the Sersic models and delta functions were convolved with the Dragonfly point-spread function. A model was produced for the stars, and they were subsequently removed from the foreground of the images. Next, we stacked the $g$-band and $r$-band star-subtracted cutouts, and ran GALFIT a second time to measure the structure and orientation of the galaxies at higher signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). Finally, we fit the luminosity and surface brightness of the galaxies in $g$-band and $r$-band individually, holding all other parameters fixed at their previously determined values. Figure 1 shows the cutouts, best-fit model, and associated residuals for DF_1 - DF_7, and Table 1 contains the values for each parameter.

We find that the measured surface brightnesses of the LSBs are low, ranging in central surface brightness from $25.5$–$27.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ in $g$-band with corresponding surface brightnesses of $26.5$–$28.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$ measured at the effective radius. Effective radii range from $10$–$30$ arcseconds.

The dominant source of error in our measurements of these parameters is the low S/N in the fitting regions. To quantify this, we placed our best fit model of each LSB in 100 relatively empty, random locations in the M101 field. Each time, we re-measured every parameter, applying the same steps that were used for the original sample. The scatter in the values for each parameter represents the uncertainty in the fit due to noise and systematic errors such as background estimation. The errors are listed in Table 1.

We note that these galaxies are not detected in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) (Abazajian et al. 2009), although the central regions of the brightest few are visible in SDSS images.

5. A NEW POPULATION OF FAINT DWARFS IN THE M101 GROUP?

Given their location relative to M101 (all seven lie within its projected virial radius), we consider the possibility that these seven new LSB galaxies are dwarf satellite galaxies. In the absence of available distance measurements for the LSBs, we use comparisons of their physical properties (computed at a distance of $7$ Mpc) with those of known Local Group dwarf satellite galaxies. If the LSBs are satellites of M101, we may expect that the properties of the two populations will be consistent with one another.

In Figure 2, we plot central surface brightness as a function of effective radius and absolute magnitude for our sample and

---

5 Using a stellar mass of $M_* \sim 5.3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ (van Dokkum et al. 2014) in combination with the stellar mass–halo mass relation given by Moster et al. (2010), we estimate a halo mass of $M_h \sim 2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$, which corresponds to a virial radius of $\sim 260$ kpc.
Figure 1. Top: the full 3.3 × 2.8 Dragonfly field of view, centered on M101. The zoomed cutouts highlight the position of each of the seven newly discovered LSBs. North is up, and east is to the left. The five additional members of the M101 group that fall within our field of view (NGC 5474, NGC 5477, UGC 8837, UGC 8882) are labeled, as are the H\textsc{i} cloud GBT 1355+5439 and the background galaxy NGC 5485. Bottom: from left to right, we show each of the seven LSBs. In each panel, we show the central 100 × 100 pixels of the g-band cutouts, r-band cutouts, g-band GALFIT fits, and associated g-band residuals. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

6 The LSBs presented here have a median central surface brightness of $\mu_{0, V} \sim 26.5$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$, which is very close to the median values for the MW and M31 dwarf satellites (26.6 and 26.3 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, respectively). The median absolute magnitude $M_V \sim -10.5$ is also typical of the Local Group dwarfs. Furthermore, we find that the integrated colors are similar: the median color of the LSB sample is

---

6 We converted our magnitudes from $g$ to $V$ using the transformations given in Fukugita et al. (1996).
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Table 1
Observed Properties of the Sample

| ID   | α (J2000) | δ (J2000) | g a  | μ0,g b | μe,g c | g − r | r_e d | n e  | b/a e |
|------|-----------|-----------|------|--------|--------|-------|------|------|-------|
| DF_1 | 14 03 45.0| +53 56 40 | 18.9 ± 0.1 | 25.6 ± 0.1 | 26.6 ± 0.1 | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 14 ± 1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 |
| DF_2 | 14 08 37.5| +54 19 31 | 19.4 ± 0.2 | 25.8 ± 0.3 | 26.9 ± 0.2 | 0.5 ± 0.2 | 10 ± 1 | 0.7 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| DF_3 | 14 03 05.7| +53 36 56 | 17.9 ± 0.2 | 26.4 ± 0.2 | 27.4 ± 0.2 | 0.6 ± 0.2 | 30 ± 3 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |
| DF_4 | 14 07 33.4| +54 42 36 | 18.8 ± 0.3 | 26.8 ± 0.4 | 28.0 ± 0.2 | 0.6 ± 0.4 | 28 ± 7 | 0.7 ± 0.3 | 0.6 ± 0.1 |
| DF_5 | 14 04 28.1| +55 37 00 | 18.0 ± 0.2 | 27.4 ± 0.3 | 28.0 ± 0.2 | 0.5 ± 0.4 | 38 ± 7 | 0.4 ± 0.2 | 0.8 ± 0.1 |
| DF_6 | 14 08 19.0| +55 11 24 | 20.1 ± 0.4 | 27.5 ± 1.1 | 27.8 ± 0.4 | 0.4 ± 0.5 | 22 ± 8 | 0.3 ± 0.8 | 0.3 ± 0.1 |
| DF_7 | 14 05 48.3| +55 07 58 | 20.4 ± 0.6 | 27.7 ± 1.6 | 28.7 ± 0.6 | 0.9 ± 0.8 | 20 ± 9 | 0.6 ± 1.0 | 0.5 ± 0.2 |

Notes. Structural parameters were computed using GALFIT from a stack of the g- and r-band images.

a Integrated apparent magnitude.

b Central surface brightness, in mag arcsec⁻².

c Effective surface brightness, in mag arcsec⁻².

d Effective radius, in arcseconds.

e Sersic index.

f Axis ratio.

6. DISCUSSION

In this Letter, we have presented the discovery of seven LSB galaxies in the field of the nearby spiral galaxy M101. The galaxies in our sample range from 25.5−27.5 mag arcsec⁻² in central surface brightness and have Sersic indices n < 1. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the properties of the LSBs are similar to those of dwarf satellites in the Local Group, but we stress that without distance measurements for these galaxies other interpretations are possible. Here we provide a discussion of the implications of associating the LSBs with M101 and also explore some additional scenarios.

To date, the M101 group is known to consist of seven relatively bright companions (−19 < M_V < −14; Giuricin et al. 2000; Karachentsev et al. 2014), five of which fall within our field of view. Additionally, Mihos et al. (2012) discovered two HI clouds in the vicinity of M101—one, GBT 1355+5439, lies in our field, but we do not detect any signal above the limiting surface brightness (see Section 2) at that location. In Figure 4, we show the cumulative luminosity function (CLF) for the M101 group with the LSBs included, along with the observed CLFs of the Milky Way and M31 for comparison. We note that for M_V ≲ −9, the M101 group CLF is remarkably similar to that of M31. Another point of interest is the apparent distribution of the LSB galaxies—all seven were discovered to the east of M101. Particularly in the context of the lack of observed tidal streams or stellar halo down to ≥30 mag arcsec⁻² (Mihos et al. 2013; van Dokkum et al. 2014), this may indicate...
Figure 3. Radial profiles of the seven LSBs (red), constructed from the Sersic parameters measured by GALFIT. Blue and purple lines represent the profiles of dwarf satellites of the Milky Way and M31, respectively, and the shaded region corresponds to FWHM/2 for our data. Left: the physical properties of the Local Group satellites as well as those of our sample for a distance of 7 Mpc. Right: the observed properties of our sample and the implied observed properties if the Local Group satellites were at 7 Mpc. Dashed lines indicate redshifted Local Group dwarfs which, when modeled with GALFIT and placed into our data, were not detected by our algorithm.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. Observed cumulative luminosity function of the M101 group (red), including the LSBs presented here. We compare this to the observed CLFs of the Milky Way (blue) and M31 (purple), using data from McConnachie (2012). The arrow represents the factor of $\sim 3$ completeness correction for the Milky Way CLF.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

that the galaxies are part of an infalling low mass group (e.g., Tully et al. 2006; Wetzel et al. 2013).

We also consider the possibility that the galaxies in this sample are not associated with M101. Measured central surface brightnesses for field LSBs out to $z \sim 0.1$ (green points; Impey et al. 1996) are shown in Figure 2, along with absolute magnitude and effective radius. It is evident from these plots that the LSBs presented here have lower surface brightness, and implied lower luminosity and smaller sizes (at 7 Mpc) than the majority of that population. The dashed red line in Figure 2 shows how these properties change as a function of distance. At large distances, our sample of LSBs would be considerably fainter than the main LSB population at fixed $M_V$. The interpretation of this discrepancy is not straightforward, however, as the limiting surface brightness of the Impey et al. (1996) catalog is 26$B$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. We therefore cannot rule out the possibility that a significant population of large, very low surface brightness field galaxies at intermediate redshift has gone undetected thus far. However, their $n < 1$ structure would be very different from known field LSBs, which often have both an exponential disk and a bulge component (e.g., Romanishin et al. 1983). Several of the galaxies are projected near the background galaxy NGC 5485 (indicated in Figure 1, located at a distance of 30 Mpc). If these galaxies are satellites of NGC 5485, their median absolute magnitude and effective radius would be $M_V \sim -13.7$ and $r_e \sim 3$ kpc. While it is plausible that a subset of the LSBs belong to this group, their $n < 1$ profiles make this scenario unlikely. It is also possible that the LSBs reside within the halo of the Milky way—if this is the case, they would have median sizes of $\sim 16$ pc and luminosities of $M_V \sim -2.2$.

Given the faint, diffuse nature of the LSBs, we also assess the likelihood that we are observing galactic cirrus, planetary nebulae (PNe), or globular clusters. Optical studies have identified galactic cirrus on scales from degrees down to $\sim 10$ arcseconds (Guhathakurta & Tyson 1989). This range encompasses the sizes of the LSBs; however, the morphologies of the LSBs are
inconsistent with the wispy and stratified nature of cirrus clouds, with the possible exception of DF_4. Planetary nebulae have apparent magnitudes that are consistent with our LSB sample (Mal’Kov 1997); however, PNe are extremely blue in $g - r$ due to the presence of $[\text{O}III]_{4959, 5007}$ in the g-band (e.g., Kniazev et al. 2014). We include a sample of globular clusters (gray points; Harris 1996) in Figure 2, and note that their properties are inconsistent with both the LSBs and the Local Group dwarfs.

The characterization of these seven new LSBs relies heavily upon determining the distance to each individual galaxy. Distance measurements for these galaxies will be difficult to obtain due to their low surface brightness, but may be possible with a combination of spectroscopy and high resolution imaging.

The M101 field was the first in an ongoing photometric survey of nearby galaxies with the Dragonfly telescope, and we will extend this work to searches for dwarfs around other galaxies. The discovery of LSB satellite populations around a larger sample of parent galaxies would not only provide key constraints on cosmology and galaxy evolution on small scales, but also open up the possibility of measurements of dark matter halo masses for individual galaxies (e.g., Zaritsky & White 1994; Battaglia et al. 2005).
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