Abstract: Day after day, natural energy sources are dwindling. Energy saving plays a crucial role in reducing the amount of pollution. Electric Vehicle consists of an energy storage system. Full car efficiency relies on energy storage system capacities. Driving cycle with high specific energy requirements that are met by the source of the battery. The battery will supply specific peak power demands with great current stress. Great present stresses directly affect battery life as a battery with less power density. It was discovered from the literature that supercapacitor has elevated power density and can be used as a specific power supply energy during the driving cycle’s peak energy requirements. The supercapacitor can be used during car break to store dynamic power storage source. All power/energy requirements of the driving cycle have simultaneously regulated the operation of both sources.

A new multi-source inverter can be used to improve the vehicle’s driving cycle. During an unstable case triggered by the power scheme, it can also use as a vibrant energy restore. Paper is a multisource inverter (MSI) analogy, MSI simulation, and multi-source inverter operation with hardware outcome debate.

Index Terms— Multisource Inverter (MSI), Battery, Ultracapacitor (UC), Driving cycle, Efficiency, Energy storage system (ESS).

I. INTRODUCTION

A hybrid electric vehicle with a storage scheme of multisource inverter type is beneficial and responsive to dynamic variations in the electric vehicle's driving cycle. The battery used to meet long-term demand for energy and the ultra-capacitor meets particular maximum power requirements. This article involves applying multisource inverter-based ESS to regulate approach intended for source features based on the Ragon plot. The power-sharing towards load depends on the load torque and the state of charge of the UC and Battery controlled using inverter control. A simulation is performed using MATLAB/ SIMULINK environment. Novel inverter multi-source terminology validated by the prototype. Active sharing improves the driving cycle, weight reduction ESS effectiveness.

II. EXISTING STRATEGIES

Ragon graph created an idea for comparing different source with efficiency. From the Ragon chart it is clear that batteries have a relatively high density of energy but a lower density of power, on the contrary, the UC has a lower density of energy with a sufficiently high density of power. Moreover, UC's life is much higher than its battery life. Also, UC's have low-temperature performance compared to batteries. The general efficiency of the scheme improved by mixing both sources[1-4].

2.1 Passive parallel

It's the easiest ESS setup. Without any converter or inverter, the battery and UC bank was linked to the dc connection in this setup. The two sources of energy are connected here in parallel.

\[ V_{B}=V_{U}=V_{DC}. \]

The benefits of this setup include ease of application, and the DC / DC converter or inverter is not needed. We can not efficiently use ultracapacitor with this analogy.

![Basic passive parallel hybrid configuration.](image1)

Fig.1 Basic passive parallel hybrid configuration.

2.2 Battery/UC configuration

The battery connected to the DC link via DC/DC converter and the UC is connected directly to the dc connection. The benefits of this are the voltage, or a battery can be kept lower or higher than the UC voltage.

![Battery/UC configuration.](image2)

Fig.2 Battery/UC configuration.
2.3 UC/Battery configuration

In this configuration UC connected to the dc link through the DC/DC converter and battery is directly connected to the DC link.

The advantage of this configuration is that the voltage of UC can be used with a versatile range, but the limitation of this configuration is that the converter needs to be the large size for handle the power of UC therefore, the adverse effect of a cost of the converter.

Fig.3 UC/battery configuration.

The analogy is developed using MATLAB simulation

2.3.1 Simulation of the system

Basic simulation performed for UC/battery configuration with a simulation time of 1000 seconds with Battery connected to Load, whereas UC connected through a DC-DC converter. Gradually load increased with time intervals of 300 sec. Results are observed to identify the dynamic response of the ultracapacitor for stated analogy (3.3.).

Fig.4 Simulation of UC/Battery Configuration
Peak power demands (Fig. no. 6) at 300 sec and 600 sec is handled by ultracapacitor discharging. Peak current requirements are fulfilled by ultracapacitor whereas base requirements dealt with by Battery as an energy source.

Two converters were used in the existing system. Converter losses can be minimized using the direct connection of sources with 3 phase load, which is analyzed by the proposed method.

III. PROPOSED MULTI-SOURCE INVERTER

This topology’s primary aim is to cascade multiple dc sources with connection to the three-phase AC load. In this case, two DC sources are connected, namely Battery (VB) and Ultracapacitor (VU); this multi-source inverter consists of 12 microcontroller-controlled IGBTs. The primary benefit of this topology is that it does not add any additional stages between load and sources, resulting in improved electric vehicle efficiency by enhancing the fulfillment of energy and power demand. Source current regulated according to driving cycle torque demands in the suggested control approach. Three switching modes are chosen here with microcontroller based on Electric Vehicle acceleration, cruising and breaking. There are three operating modes regarding switching states

Mode 1: VB is not used and switches S_{L1}, S_{L2}, S_{L3} and S_{U11}, S_{U12}, S_{U13} enable V_{U} to supply the motor;

Mode 2: The switches S_{U1}, S_{U2}, S_{U3} and S_{U11}, S_{U12}, S_{U13} would allow V_{B} to supply the motor with charging V_{U}. The output voltage is equal to V_{B} - V_{U};

Mode 3: The switches S_{U1}, S_{U2}, S_{U3} and S_{L1}, S_{L2}, S_{L3} enable V_{B} to supply the motor, and V_{U} is not used.

The voltages [V_{1O}, V_{2O}, V_{3O}] are functions of the state of the switches and input voltages:

\[ V_{1O} = E_{SU1}\ V_{B} + E_{U11}\ V_{U} - Z_{a}i_{1} \]
\[ V_{2O} = E_{U2}\ V_{B} + E_{U12}\ V_{U} - Z_{b}i_{2} \]
\[ V_{3O} = E_{U3}\ V_{B} + E_{U13}\ V_{U} - Z_{c}i_{3} \]

Where \( Z \) = impedance of load

\( E_{SU1,2,3} \) and \( E_{U11,12,13} \) = Switching functions

Similarly input currents \( [I_{B}, I_{U}] \) can be expressed as:

\[ I_{B} = E_{SU1}\ i_{1} + E_{SU2}\ i_{2} + E_{SU3}\ i_{3} \]
\[ I_{U} = E_{U11}\ i_{1} + E_{U12}\ i_{2} + E_{U13}\ i_{3} \]
### Table 1- Switching Combinations of MSI[3]

| Mode | States of Switches | Line Voltages |
|------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1    | $S_{U1}$ $S_{U2}$ $S_{U3}$ $S_{L1}$ $S_{L2}$ $S_{L3}$ $S_{U11}$ $S_{U12}$ $S_{U13}$ | $V_{U2}$ $V_{U3}$ $V_{U}$ |
| Battery Open | 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 | $V_{U2}$ 0 $V_{U}$ |
|    | 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 | 0 $V_{U2}$ $V_{U}$ |
|    | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 | $-V_{U2}$ $V_{U}$ 0 |
|    | 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 | $-V_{U2}$ 0 $V_{U}$ |
|    | 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 | 0 $-V_{U2}$ $V_{U}$ |

### IV. PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT

The hardware consists of Buffer IC (74HC244) to control modes with adjustment of duty cycle using enable signal. EN1 is used to control upper switches $S_{U1}$, $S_{U2}$, $S_{U3}$. EN2 control $S_{L1}$, $S_{L2}$, $S_{L3}$ whereas EN1* and EN2* are used to control $S_{U11}$, $S_{U12}$, $S_{U13}$ and $S_{L12}$, $S_{L22}$, $S_{L23}$ respectively. The low state (digital zero) of the latch is allowing input at latch output. Simulation is carried out using the Proteus design suit environment [27–30].
The operation of the circuit is explained with a flow chart (Figure 10) as follows.

In the Implementation of hardware, battery and ultracapacitor drive the load (Electric motor / Grid / RL Load) in which we observe the power and energy sharing conduct during dynamic loading scenarios. Observations are made for distinct combinations of three modes (i.e., mode-1: battery as a source only, mode-2: both sources simultaneously handling the dynamic power requirement condition and mode-3: ultracapacitor as a source). Hardware is introduced using 6 IGBTs, the three-phase inverter that provides battery energy to the AC link and the ultracapacitor bank attached to the AC connection via 6 IGBTs. Thus, the implementation uses a total of 12 IGBTs.

![Fig. 11 Hardware implementation.](image-url)
Hardware is introduced using the three modes earlier indicated. PCB is built using express PCB software.

Fig. 12. PCB layout.

Single side PCB Itched and made with parts for fastening. Using the SVPWM analogy, the 18F4520 microchip processor is used with a control approach based on a lookup table with signal generation and using dvo vectors, digital control signals created in six sectors.

Look up table with 864 states is included to decrease the controller's computing time, so we get two-level inverter output. Buffer (74HC244) is used to select the modes.

V. RESULTS

In this chapter, experimental findings are discussed in detail with distinct combinations of three modes. Case-1: In this case, Battery functions as a source and offers a resistive load (mode-1). Inverter voltage and current for case-1 where SVPWM-based inputs are generated from the inverter.

Input voltage obtained from the battery to inverter is 24 V DC. The output obtained with the line to line voltage is 12 volts with current 1.2 A (readings measured across 1-ohm resistor connected in line with RL load). Waveform below (Figure 33) shows phase displacement between different phase voltages of the inverter.

Fig. 13. Line Voltage and current at the inverter output.

Output with input for case-1 where battery supplies power to load is as follows. Readings of battery current are noted by variation in 3 phase load with continuous, intermittent switching of 10 s.

Fig. 14. Phase voltages at the inverter output.

In this case, the battery source alone fulfills the complete energy requirement. In this case, the current from the battery is 4 A for 5 s and 2 A for the next five intervals, giving an average battery current of 3 A.

Case-2: You can change the mode by using latch enable signals. It is the suggested method for analyzing and interpreting results and conclusions by simultaneously implementing a mixture of distinct sources (mode-2, mode-3).

Fig. 15. Battery Current.
By adjusting the duty cycle of source utilization, the prototype is tested for different combinations of sources. With a potentiometer, the duty cycle adjustment provision is integrated into the hardware. For three distinct blends, the duty cycle is set, and the average battery current is calculated for a steady charge. Throughout the cycle, the battery alone and a mixture of battery-ultracapacitor are used together for 0.5 ms. The mode-2 battery current is 1.60 A, 0.04 A for mode-3. It is calculated that the average battery current achieved for 1 ms with two modes is 0.82 A.

![Fig.16. Battery and Ultracapacitor currents](image1)

**Fig.16. Battery and Ultracapacitor currents**

**mode-2 and mode-3 with t₁=0.5 ms, t₂=0.5 ms.**

**Case-3:** In this case, the load is fulfilled through individual ultracapacitor, battery and ultracapacitor together, and a single battery for 0.5ms each. For mode-3, the battery current is 0.04 A, for mode-2 it is 1.6 A, and for mode-1 it is 2.8 A. Average battery current calculated turns out to be 1.48 A.

![Fig.17. Battery and Ultracapacitor currents](image2)

**Fig.17. Battery and Ultracapacitor currents**

**mode-3, mode-2 and mode-1 with t₁=0.5 ms, t₂=0.5 ms.**

**Case-4** This case consists of the load is fulfilled through a battery, ultracapacitor together (mode-2) and individual battery (mode-1) for 0.5ms each.

Above waveforms depict the load shared by battery alone, i.e., mode-1 (2.4A) and the load shared by battery and ultracapacitor, i.e., mode-2 are used together (1.2 A). The average battery current obtained with these modes (mode-2 and mode-3) is 1.8 A.

As an ultracapacitor is used to supply power demands of short duration, thereby mode-3 can't be independently used for long term energy requirements.

| Case | Mode-1 | Mode-2 & 3 | Mode-1, 2 & 3 | Mode-1 & 2 |
|------|--------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Average Battery Current | 3 A | 0.82 A | 1.48 Amp | 1.8 Amp |
| Ultracapacitor Current (Discharging) | 0 A | 2 A | 2 A | 1.6 A |

Here we use standard mode (energy-supplying battery) or blended mode in which ultracapacitor alone and battery-ultracapacitor sharing can be preferred to minimize average battery current. The minimization of battery current increases the electric vehicle's operating cycle/driving cycle (or any load). Mixed mode (case-2) provides an average current of 0.82 A compared to the individual battery mode, which provides an average battery current of 3 A, obviously showing an average battery current of 27.33 percent (0.82 A* 100/3 A) compared to tooth control modes (case-1), resulting in a much enhanced driving cycle range.

The experimentation work is performed using a small prototype energy management system composed of the differential probe Battery, Ultracapacitors, Control Board, Power Supply, Digital Storage Oscilloscope.
With experimental results hardware tested for different cases with mode-1, mode-2, and mode-3.

VI. CONCLUSION

Appropriate multi-source inverter topology was suggested for HESS in this paper. This topology has the primary benefit of not adding any new stages between grid/motor and battery. The result of the novel multi-source connection is improved energy demand load fulfillment, thus enhancing electric vehicle efficiency. Smooth present sharing and lower average currents are also accomplished with multi-source inverters. On the other side, the battery can drive an engine directly without any boost operation as per the DC/DC converter, thereby lowering the generic converter price and also improving the effectiveness of EMS. During dynamic load requirements acquired using the SVPWM-based control approach, which increases load stability as an induction motor, active power, and energy sharing between multisource is feasible. Finally, a scaled-down prototype is used to study the efficiency of multi-source inverter topology. From experimentation, it is noted that with multisource topology, the higher driving range is achieved with a decrease of the average current to 27 percent compared to the current from the battery during standard methods of EMS control with higher heat stability, reduction in overall size and improvement of the life of the power storage system.
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