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**ABSTRACT**

For decades, fluoride has been used extensively as an anti-caries agent. It not only protects dental hard tissue, but also inhibits bacterial growth and metabolism. The antimicrobial action of fluoride is shown in three main aspects: the acidogenicity, acidurcance, and adherence to the tooth surface. To counteract the toxic effect of fluoride, oral bacteria are able to develop resistance to fluoride through either phenotypic adaptation or genotypic changes. Strains that acquire fluoride resistance through the latter route show stable resistance and can usually resist much higher fluoride levels than the corresponding wild-type strain. This review summarizes the characteristics of fluoride-resistant strains and explores the mechanisms of fluoride resistance, in particular the recent discovery of the fluoride exporters. Since the fluoride resistance of the cariogenic bacterium *Streptococcus mutans* has been studied most extensively, this review mainly discusses the findings related to this species.

**Introduction**

Fluoride is the most popular caries-preventive agent. It is widely used in many oral care products, such as toothpastes, mouthwashes, and gels [1]. In addition, fluoride intake through drinking water is also very common. In 2012, about 435 million people from 25 countries received water fluoridated at the recommended concentration, while people from another 28 countries have naturally fluoride-containing water with fluoride concentrations sometimes above the recommended level [2]. It is believed that the anticaries effects of fluoride are due to its ability to protect dental hard tissues and inhibit bacterial growth and metabolism. On the one hand, fluoride in saliva can be absorbed to the surface of apatite crystals in acidic environments, which arrests demineralization. When the pH rises, fluorhydroxyapatite becomes highly supersaturated, which enhances the remineralization process [3]. This protection of enamel can be observed at fluoride concentrations as low as 0.02 ppm (0.001 mM) [4]. On the other hand, fluoride is toxic to bacterial cells and can function as an antimicrobial, specifically at concentrations during and shortly after the use of oral hygiene products. Consecutive application of fluoride at 250–12,300 ppm (13–647 mM) has been shown to reduce the numbers of *Streptococcus mutans* in dental plaque significantly [5–7]. The antimicrobial action of fluoride is enacted in three main aspects of the metabolism of oral bacteria: the acidogenicity, acidurcance, and adherence to the tooth surface [5,8,9].

Bacteria have evolved different abilities to withstand certain levels of fluoride [10]. Fluoride-resistant strains of several oral bacterial species, including *S. mutans*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, and *Streptococcus sanguinis*, have been created in laboratories [11–13]. Generally, a fluoride-resistant strain is able to grow in an environment containing 400–1,000 ppm (21.1–52.6 mM) of fluoride, depending on the strain. This level of fluoride is at least three times higher than that which fluoride-sensitive strains could withstand [13,14]. The acquired fluoride resistance can be transient or permanent. Transient resistance is quickly lost by bacterial cells, already after one to seven passages, in a fluoride-free medium [15]. It is possibly acquired through phenotypic adaptation. Several transient fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strains have been isolated from xerostomia patients [15,16]. Stable, or permanent, fluoride resistance persists for at least 50 generations after the strain is cultivated without fluoride [13]. It is considered to be a consequence of chromosomal alterations [17,18]. The laboratory-derived fluoride-resistant strains mostly show stable fluoride resistance.

The stable fluoride-resistant strains allow researchers to examine cariogenicity and fitness of the strains and to investigate the mechanism of the acquired resistance. This knowledge might help elucidate the potential impact of fluoride on oral bacteria after 50 years of daily fluoride application at high concentrations to be better understood. Since *S. mutans* has been widely recognized for its major role in cariogenesis, fluoride...
resistance of this species has been most often studied [19]. This review summarizes the studies on fluoride-resistant S. mutans strains and provide an update to the current knowledge about the potential mechanisms behind stable fluoride resistance.

**Mode of antimicrobial action of fluoride**

To understand the characteristics of fluoride-resistant strains and the mechanism of acquired fluoride resistance, the mode of antimicrobial action of fluoride against S. mutans must be known. A brief summary of the antimicrobial mechanisms of fluoride in S. mutans is shown in Figure 1. The inhibitory effect of fluoride on intracellular metabolism depends on the influx of hydrogen fluoride (HF), which diffuses into bacterial cells, and dissociates to the proton (H⁺) and fluoride ion (F⁻) in the cytoplasm [20]. This process speeds up when the pH of the extracellular environment decreases, as this facilitates the association of H⁺ and F⁻ to HF [21]. Therefore, when the extracellular pH lowers, F⁻ and H⁺ accumulate faster in the cytoplasm, and the antimicrobial effect is stronger. The strong pH-dependence of the fluoride effect is well recognized. The inhibitory levels of fluoride for the glycolysis are as high as 10 mM at neutral pH but are only in the micro-molar range at pH 4.0 [21].

The intracellular F⁻ and H⁺ can directly or indirectly affect enzymatic activities and physiological processes in the cell, leading to lower acid production, acid tolerance, and adherence of S. mutans to tooth surfaces [5,8,9,22-25]. It has been found that enolase, which is involved in glycolysis, can be competitively inhibited by F⁻ [26]. This inhibition is observed for purified enolase as well as enolase from permeabilized cells [26-28]. In addition, enolase is also indirectly inhibited by the acidification of the cytoplasm caused by the accumulation of H⁺ [21,25]. Moreover, enolase not only plays a role in the glycolytic process, but also catalyses the production of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) for glucose uptake through the PEP-dependent phosphotransferase system (PTS). Thus, the inhibition of the enolase activity by F⁻ also has a negative effect on glucose uptake [9,29].

S. mutans experiences rapid and dynamic pH fluctuations from pH 7.0 to below pH 3.0 in the oral cavity after dietary carbohydrate intake of the host [30,31]. The ability of S. mutans to withstand these repetitive cycles of acid shocks is defined as acidurance or acid tolerance [30]. It is one of the major virulence factors of S. mutans. In the presence of fluoride, this ability has largely diminished [21,23,32]. The glycolysis of S. mutans stops at pH 6.0 in the presence of 10 mM F⁻, while in the absence of F⁻ it is only inhibited at a pH lower than 5.0 [23]. The survival rate of S. mutans after exposure to a lethal pH (3.5) decreases 77% in presence of fluoride (500 mM) [32]. The acidification of the cytoplasm via the influx of HF, as well as the inhibition of the proton-extruding F-ATPase, accounts for the reduction in acidurance of S. mutans [5,23,33]. F-ATPase, also known as ATP synthase, is a membrane-bound protein consisting

![Figure 1. Mechanisms for the antimicrobial effects of fluoride and potential sites involved in the mechanisms of fluoride resistance. FEX, fluoride exporters; ARG, arginine; ADS, arginine dehydrolase system; PPI, inorganic pyrophosphate; PPase, pyrophosphatase; Pi, inorganic phosphate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate. Red arrows indicate the inhibitory effect of fluoride on the enzymes. Red dashed boxes indicate sites which are potentially involved in fluoride resistance. F-ATPase and enolase are involved in both the antimicrobial action of fluoride and the potential mechanisms of fluoride resistance.](image-url)
of two domains: $F_0$ and $F_1$. ATP hydrolysis by F-ATPase is obligatorily coupled to proton extrusion through the $F_0$ pore in the membrane [33]. Therefore, F-ATPase is closely related to the acid tolerance of bacteria [33]. F$^-$ can bind to F-ATPase in the presence of Al$^{3+}$ [21], and the activity of the enzyme is reduced by 50% with <100 ppm (5.26 mM) fluoride [34,35]. Previous studies on fluoride inhibition of F-ATPase were done either with the purified enzyme or in permeabilized cells. The inhibition of F-ATPase in intact cells remains unknown [34–36]. It is worth mentioning that the development of modern biotechnology makes this type of study possible. The combination of single-molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (smFRET) and confocal microscopy allows the observation of regulatory conformational changes of specific proteins [37]. Such an approach has been taken to study the inhibition of Escherichia coli membrane F-ATPase by aurovertin [38] and is thus promising to confirm the fluoride inhibition of F-ATPase in S. mutans cells.

Fluoride can affect the adherence of S. mutans to enamel, which is a cariogenic trait of S. mutans. Yet, there is no consensus on how fluoride influences the ability to adhere [8,15,22]. While an obvious decrease in adherence of S. mutans to hydroxyapatite was found with 500 ppm (26.3 mM) F$^-$ or even less in some in vitro studies [8,39], others reported hardly any change in adherence with >5,000 ppm (263 mM) F$^-$ [15,22]. As glucosyltransferases (GTFs) play an important role in bacterial adhesion [40], the effect of fluoride on GTF activities have been studied. Yet, no inhibition of the GTF activity by fluoride has been reported [39,41,42]. Whether the fluoride inhibition of the adherence of S. mutans contributes to caries prevention requires further studies.

In addition to the abovementioned actions, other mechanisms may play roles in the antimicrobial activity of fluoride. Recently, fluoride was found to inhibit alkali production [21,43]. This is due to the inhibition of either urease or the arginine deiminase system (ADS) . The former is very sensitive to fluoride, with 50% inhibition by 0.3 mM F$^-$ [43,44]. The ADS, however, is less sensitive than the urease system, and its inhibition by fluoride requires low pH values [43,45]. Fluoride can also affect metabolism by binding to pyrophosphatase in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$ [21]. Pyrophosphatase is responsible for the release of pyrophosphate (PPI) from nucleotide triphosphates and is therefore involved in a variety of physiological processes, including biosynthesis and regulation of metabolism [21,46].

**Occurrence of fluoride resistance**

Laboratory-derived fluoride-resistant S. mutans strains have been isolated through either one-step or stepwise procedures [13,47–51]. In the one-step procedures, the wild-type cells were directly spread on agar plates containing high concentrations of NaF (highest at 26.3 mM), and fluoride-resistant colonies were selected from these plates [13,47,48]. In the stepwise procedures, fluoride-resistant strains were obtained by culturing the fluoride-sensitive parent strains on agar plates containing increasing concentrations of NaF to a maximum of 52.6 mM [50,51]. In the 1970s, S. mutans strains were made resistant to fluoride by exposure to ultraviolet light or acriflavin [49]. However, this method became less popular because of the non-specific nature of these mutagens and the latent chromosome lesions [52].

Reports on clinically isolated fluoride-resistant S. mutans strains are scarce. Streckfuss et al. reported seven fluoride-resistant S. mutans isolates from radiation-induced xerostomia patients receiving daily topical application of 1% (238 mM) NaF gel [15]. These isolates were obtained with the one-step selection method. The isolates that were able to grow in culture media containing 400–600 ppm (21.1–31.6 mM) of fluoride were considered ‘fluoride resistant’ [15]. The same research group later found that sustained fluoride treatment increased the ratio of fluoride-resistant to fluoride-sensitive strains [16]. These two studies are the only reports on the isolation of fluoride-resistant strains from clinical samples. So far, there have been no reports on the prevalence of naturally occurring fluoride-resistant bacteria in the oral cavity. The reasons for the lack of this type of studies are unknown. It might be related to the small attention that the antimicrobial function of fluoride has received, as fluoride has mainly been studied for its role in protecting dental hard tissue.

**Characteristics of fluoride-resistant strains**

One of the foremost concerns related to fluoride resistance in oral bacteria is whether fluoride-resistant strains impose risks on oral health. Studies have been focused on several characteristics of fluoride-resistant strains: stability of the resistance, the acidogenicity, the fitness, and the in vivo cariogenicity. A summary of the fluoride-resistant S. mutans strains and their characteristics is shown in the Table 1.

The stability, or persistence, of fluoride resistance is studied as an indication of whether the fluoride-resistant strains can prosper in the oral cavity in the long run. Resistance to fluoride induced in vitro is usually stable and remains at similar resistance levels after as many as 500 transfers in the absence of fluoride [11,15]. However, the S. mutans isolates from xerostomia patients with long-term fluoride application seem to have a transient resistance, as the resistance was lost after seven transfers in fluoride-free medium [15]. Very little has been done to characterize these transient fluoride-resistant isolates.
| Fluoride-resistant S. mutans strain | Lab/clinical isolate | Level of resistance | Stable/transient resistance | Characteristic | Potential mechanism | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1144/600FR                        | Lab isolate; stepwise derived from S. mutans #1144 | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | Stable                       | Characteristic | Potential involvement of genotypic mutation. | [15]     |
| 671S/600FR                        | Lab isolate; stepwise derived from S. mutans 671S | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | Stable                       | Characteristic | Potential involvement of genotypic mutation. | [15]     |
| 671S-derived                      | Lab isolate; induced by exposure of S. mutans 671S to ultraviolet light | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | N/A                          | Characteristic | N/A                 | [49]     |
| C180-2FR                          | Lab isolate; one-step derived from S. mutans C180-2 | 500 ppm (26.3 mM) | Stable                       | Characteristic | Similar activity of enolase or F-ATPase compared to the wild type; No mutation in the enolase gene; Eight mutations found in C180-2FR genome; mutation mutp (−44A→C) upregulates the expression of fluoride exporters | [17,28,53–55] |
| FA-1-derived                      | Lab isolate; induced by exposure of S. mutans FA-1 to acriflavin | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | N/A                          | Characteristic | N/A                 | [49]     |
| GS-5 A25–A73                      | Lab isolates; stepwise derived from S. mutans GS-5 | 400–3,000 ppm (21.1–157.9 mM) | Stable                       | Characteristic | Potential involvement of multiple gene mutations. | [13]     |
| NCH105                            | Lab isolate; one-step derived from S. mutans UA130 | 1,000 ppm (52.6 mM) | Stable                       | Characteristic | Higher acid production than the wild type when pH <6.0 (in the absence of fluoride); Unable to bind to enamel when the wild type was present; Similar cariogenicity compared to the wild type in vivo (rats) | [18,47] |
| S-126-derived                     | Lab isolate; induced by exposure of S. mutans S-126 to ultraviolet light | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | N/A                          | Characteristic | Lower cariogenicity than the wild type in vivo (rats) | [49]     |
| U41, U48, U53, U60, U64, U80, U85 | Clinical isolates | 600 ppm (31.6 mM) | Transient                    | Characteristic | Potential involvement of horizontal plasmid transfer | [15]     |
| UA159FR                           | Lab isolate; stepwise derived from S. mutans UA159 | 1,000 ppm (52.6 mM) | N/A                          | Characteristic | Mutation in mutp upregulates the expression of fluoride exporters | [51] and the authors' unpublished data |
The characterizations discussed below are all based on the stable fluoride-resistant strains.

The acidogenicity of fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strains has been studied in comparison with the corresponding wild-type fluoride-sensitive strain. The outcome of the comparison depended on the presence/absence of fluoride. When fluoride was absent, some fluoride-resistant strains produced acid at a lower rate than the wild-type strains at the environmental pH between 4.0 and 7.0 [56]. One fluoride-resistant strain was reported to produce acid at a higher rate than the wild-type strain when the environmental pH was <6.0 [53]. Another fluoride-resistant strain was found to produce acid at the same rate as the wild-type strain [47]. However, when fluoride was present, all fluoride-resistant strains were more acidogenic than the fluoride-sensitive strains [47,53,57].

The fitness of fluoride-resistant strains was assessed in two ways: the ability to survive acidic pH and to compete with the wild-type strains to adhere to tooth surfaces. One fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strain was reported more sensitive to acid killing [54], while another fluoride-resistant strain exhibited stronger acid tolerance than its parental strain [51]. Controversial results have also been reported for the competition test, which examined the competition between fluoride-resistant and fluoride-sensitive strains to bind to enamel. A fluoride-resistant strain was unable to bind to teeth when its wild-type strain was present [55,58]. This result was challenged by Hoelscher, who found another fluoride-resistant strain to be capable of binding to the same extent as the wild-type strain when they had been mixed in equal proportions [47]. The mechanism of this competition is not very clear. Likely, the growth rate of each strain determines the outcome of the competition. In the former study [55,58], the growth rate of the fluoride-resistant strain was reported to be lower than its wild-type strain, while the strains in the latter study exhibited similar generation time [47].

A few *in vivo* studies examined the cariogenicity of fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strains in rats. The results vary, depending on the strains and the parameters used in the experiment. Van Loveren (1989) reported less severe dentinal lesions in rats superinfected with a fluoride-resistant strain than in rats superinfected with the wild-type strain [50]. This result was in line with the results of the competition test, in which the same strains were examined [55,58]. However, when the number of all visible lesions, including enamel and dentine lesions, were taken into account, the two strains showed similar cariogenic potentials, irrespective of whether fluoride was included in the diet [50]. Rosen [1978] also reported lower cariogenicity of three fluoride-resistant strains when compared to their wild-type strains [49]. Another strain, made resistant to fluoride by exposure to ultraviolet light, exhibited at least as much ability as its wild type to cause caries [49]. As no conclusions can be drawn from these *in vivo* studies, due to their different experimental designs as well as their limited sample sizes, the cariogenicity of fluoride-resistant strains *in vivo* remains an important issue for further studies.

**Mechanisms of fluoride resistance**

As previously stated, *S. mutans* can acquire either transient or stable fluoride resistance. The former was noticed in xerostomia patients with daily topical application of fluoride [15,16]. It was proposed that this transient resistance was related to the horizontal transfer of plasmids [15,16]. Fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strains lost these plasmids when fluoride was absent and rapidly reversed back to their fluoride-sensitive state [15,16]. There is currently no evidence to support this hypothesis.

In contrast to transient fluoride resistance, stable fluoride resistance is believed to be due to chromosomal mutations. Different approaches have been applied to identify genes that are related to the stable fluoride resistance. Until 2008, the research focus has been on enolase and F-ATPase, which are known to be essential in the antimicrobial action of fluoride. In 2012, a fluoride exporter and its regulation were unexpectedly discovered in bacteria during a study on the binding of various metabolites to bacterial RNA [59,60]. A few years later, multiple gene mutations were reported in a fluoride-resistant strain [17]. These recent studies brought new concepts or candidates for the mechanism of fluoride resistance. Figure 1 shows not only the antimicrobial target sites of fluoride, but also the potential sites that are involved in fluoride resistance.

**Enolase and F-ATPase**

Enolase and F-ATPase are two important enzymes that are sensitive to fluoride. Hence, these two enzymes were originally considered as the most possible sites involved in fluoride resistance. Meanwhile, enolase and F-ATPase were also thought to be involved in the mechanisms of fluoride resistance. It was hypothesized that they were insensitive to fluoride in fluoride-resistant *S. mutans* strains. In the presence of fluoride, the cellular level of PEP could be maintained, which provided sufficient substrates for the PEP-dependent PTS, as well as for glycolysis [47].

However, the published evidence is not sufficient to prove the above hypothesis. The activities of purified enolase or enolase in permeabilized cells were
compared in vitro between fluoride-sensitive strain and the derived fluoride-resistant strains. No difference was observed between the enolase activities for the two strains, regardless the presence of fluoride [12,28]. Recently, a study reported that enolase from a fluoride-resistant strain was less sensitive to fluoride. However, the difference was not large enough to explain the resistance in metabolism [18].

The results of studies on the F-ATPase activity in fluoride-resistant strains were also inconsistent. One study showed that F-ATPase in a fluoride-resistant strain was insensitive to fluoride at pH 5.0, while the F-ATPase in the corresponding fluoride-sensitive wild-type strain was sensitive to fluoride under the same pH condition [47]. However, another study did not find any difference between two strains at both pH 4.0 and pH 7.0 [28].

In efforts to identify mutations responsible for fluoride resistance, researchers have sequenced genes coding for enolase and F-ATPase [18,28,61]. No mutation was found in the F-ATPase-coding gene in the fluoride-resistant strain [61]. In the enolase-coding gene (eno) of the fluoride-resistant strain NCH105, one mutation was located [18], while in another strain, no mutation was identified [28]. The eno mutation in the fluoride-resistant strain NCH105 led to an amino acid alteration from proline to leucine (P173L) [18]. However, in the three-dimensional conformation models, the mutation was not located nearby any known F− binding site [18].

The reason for the inconsistent findings with enolase and F-ATPase in fluoride resistance is unclear. Since different fluoride-resistant strains were tested in different studies, it is possible that the approach (proteins or regulation pathways) employed by each strain to resist fluoride is strain-dependent.

**Fluoride exporters**

In 2012, Breaker et al. discovered that two gene families, which were previously predicted to code for proteins involved in camphor resistance (crcB) and ClC-type ion channel protein (eriCf), have identical biochemical roles [59,60]. Both gene families encoded fluoride exporters [59,62] and are directly related to the fluoride resistance of microorganisms. The deletion of crcB in E. coli or Candida albicans leads to a 200- to 350-fold higher sensitivity of the mutant to fluoride compared to the wild-type strain. The resistance to fluoride can be restored by supplementing eriCf from another species, Bacillus cereus [59,63]. The genes crcB and eriCf are conserved in the bacterial kingdom. Most bacterial species harbor only crcB in their genome, while a few of them have only eriCf [59]. S. mutans has two eriCf genes in tandem with the same orientation, namely perA and perB [64]. The products of these two genes share 58% amino acid identity [17]. The involvement of these two genes in fluoride resistance has been confirmed by two gene knockout studies and a gene regulation study [54,64,65]. Both gene knockout studies found that S. mutans became 100-fold more sensitive to fluoride after knocking out both eriCf copies [64,65]. Differently, one of the two studies reported increased fluoride sensitivity by knocking out either of the two eriCf copies [65], while the other discovered that only the second eriCf copy was required for fluoride resistance [64]. In the gene regulation study, the introduction of a single mutation in the promoter, which constitutively upregulated eriCf expression, conferred fluoride resistance on the S. mutans strain [54]. It is currently not clear why S. mutans possesses two copies of fluoride exporter-coding genes. Breaker proposes that this might be a more recent adaptation made by the species to the bursts of extremely high fluoride concentrations delivered with oral health products [60].

Currently, the fluoride exporter is identified as a subclass of the bacterial CLC anion-transporting proteins based on its protein structure. In contrast to canonical CLCs, which are weakly selective for Cl− and other monovalent anions, this fluoride exporter greatly prefers F− over Cl−, even though F− is usually strongly hydrated and difficult to develop host–guest compounds [66]. The protein has a ‘double-barrelled’ channel architecture in which two F− tunnels span the membrane [67]. The narrow pores and unusual anion coordination that exploits the quadrupolar edges of conserved phenylalanine rings indicate its preference for F− [67]. Unlike all other CLC transporters, which employ two-to-one stoichiometry, the fluoride exporter exchanges F− with H+ with one-to-one stoichiometry [66,68].

The regulation of the fluoride exporter varies among different bacterial species. In S. mutans, the expression of the fluoride exporter is through the promoter of perA (homologue of eriCf). A single mutation (A→C) at the putative −35 element of the promoter of perA in strain S. mutans UA159 considerably upregulated the expression of both fluoride exporter genes perA and perB. Likely, this upregulation is achieved by the enhanced binding affinity of RNA polymerase to the mutated promoter [17,54]. It is worth mentioning that this is not the only regulation mechanism for the fluoride exporters. Many bacterial species, including those from the orders Lactobacillales and Bacillales, regulate the fluoride exporters using fluoride riboswitches [59]. Fluoride riboswitches are fluoride-binding RNA molecules, which are stabilized once bound by fluoride [69]. The fluoride-bound riboswitches can then activate expression of genes coding for the fluoride exporters [59].
Involvement of multiple factors

Using state-of-the-art whole genome sequencing (WGS) and bioinformatics analyses, the genome sequences of *S. mutans* C180-2 and its derivative fluoride-resistant strain C180-2FR were compared [17]. In total, eight single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified in five protein-coding regions and two intergenic non-coding regions in strain C180-2FR. Two of eight SNPs were related to the fluoride exporters. One SNP locates in the promoter region of *perA*. Its involvement in the upregulation of the expression of fluoride exporter is discussed above. The other SNP locates in the coding region of *perB*, which leads to the change of an amino acid (I373V).

The list of identified SNPs provides us with multiple candidate genes that may be involved in fluoride resistance [17 and the authors’ unpublished data]. One interesting target is pyruvate kinase, a glycolytic enzyme converting PEP to pyruvate. Pyruvate kinase plays a central role in carbohydrate metabolism by connecting sugar uptake and glycolysis. The change of its configuration or activity can lead to a dramatic shift in metabolism [70]. Moreover, in the glycolytic pathway, pyruvate kinase locates downstream of enolase, the known fluoride-sensitive enzyme, in the cascade. Two SNPs are found in the pyruvate kinase-coding gene of strain C180-2FR. Back in 1987, Brussock and Kashket already proposed that fluoride resistance could be due to a cumulative effect of at least two gene mutations [13]. Until now, no synergistic effect of multiple genes has been identified for fluoride resistance. However, the multiple SNPs identified in the fluoride-resistant C180-2FR strain indicate this possibility.

Conclusions

Fluoride is widely used as an anti-caries agent and has been for at least five decades. *S. mutans* and other oral bacterial species are able to develop resistance to counteract the antimicrobial effects of fluoride. Research on several fluoride-resistant strains has demonstrated that this resistance is stable and acquired through chromosomal mutations. However, the impact of fluoride-resistant strains on the oral microbiome and on the cariogenicity of dental biofilms is still unknown. Standardized experimental designs and protocols are essential for a better comparison between study results, which can improve the risk assessment of fluoride-resistant strains. Furthermore, profound knowledge on the mechanisms of fluoride resistance may provide us with novel molecular tools to study the prevalence of fluoride resistance in the oral microbiome.
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