INTRODUCTION
Defining the cancer cell of origin can be critical for understanding the first steps of cancer development and finding the signals required for transformation (1, 2). The identity of certain classes of tumors seems to be more strongly related to the cell of origin than to the oncogenic insult that induces malignant transformation. For example, in brain tumors, concurrent inactivation of Trp53, Nf1, and Pten in neural progenitor cells or oligodendrocyte progenitors triggers the development of different subtypes of glioblastoma with distinct gene expression profiles (3, 4). BCR-ABL (breakpoint cluster region-Abelson kinase fusion gene) also provides an interesting example of an oncogene that produces different tumors depending on the cell in which it is expressed (5). These studies suggest that the transcriptional context of the cell of origin can determine the identity of the tumor. By contrast, in some cases, certain driver mutations rather than the cell of origin mainly define the tumor profile. Activation of Hedgehog signaling in neural stem cells, granule neural precursors, or postmitotic granule neurons leads to development of aggressive medulloblastomas (MBs) with similar molecular profiles (6–8). Nevertheless, it remains partially unclear what the specific determinants of the cell of origin required for tumor initiation are, and whether these specific features could be activated by an oncogenic insult only. For instance, few progenitors in the mouse brain are able to generate group 3 MB, although several genetic mutations that are able to generate this kind of tumors have been identified (9–12).

Group 3 is the most aggressive subtype of MB, mainly affecting children younger than 10 years of age. Recently, single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) studies allowed the comparison of human cerebellar tumors with transcriptional clusters in the developing murine cerebellum. Analysis of human group 3 MB revealed the presence of different transcriptional clusters within the tumor, resembling granule neuron precursors (GNPs), unipolar brush cells (UBCs), Purkinje cells, and GABAergic interneuron lineages during normal development (13, 14). This suggested that early uncommitted human cerebellar stem cells might represent a putative group 3 MB cell of origin (14).

The human cerebellum contains 80% of all brain neurons and has a 750-fold larger surface area, increased neuronal numbers, altered neuronal subtype ratios, and increased folia complexity compared to the mouse cerebellum (15, 16). Human cerebellar development begins 30 days after conception and is thought to be completed by the age of 2 years (15). Human cerebellar volume increases fivefold between 22 postconception weeks (PCW) and birth, and it becomes highly foliated during the third trimester [24 to 40 gestational weeks (GW)] (15). Granule cell progenitor proliferation peaks during this period, and it is accompanied by increased external granule layer (EGL) thickness. In mice, cerebellar growth and foliation are driven by granule cell progenitor proliferation between postnatal day 1 (P1) and P14, with deficient proliferation causing EGL thinning (17). Hence, the postnatal development of the mouse cerebellum resembles key aspects of human embryonic development. For this reason, the cell of origin of group 3 MB should also be studied during postnatal mouse cerebellar development. MB mouse models have been developed by postnatally deregulating Myc and Trp53 ex vivo in Math1+ GNPs or in CD133+ stem cells (11, 12, 18). It has also been demonstrated that CD133+ cerebellar stem cells and GNPs are able to give rise to group 3 MB upon ex vivo enforced expression of Myc and Gfi1 or Myc and Gfi1b (10, 19, 20). Furthermore, we have recently demonstrated that MYC and Gfi1 induce group 3 MB when co-overexpressed in human brain organoids (9). Moreover, Sox2+ astrocyte progenitors (upon Myc overexpression ex vivo) have been proposed to give rise to group 3 MB in the postnatal developing cerebellum (21). Notably, whether MYC and Gfi1 induce group 3 MB in specific progenitor populations has never been tested in vivo, during embryonic or postnatal cerebellum development. In addition, the molecular features that render a cerebellar progenitor competent for group 3 MB development are still unknown.
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RESULTS

**S100b^+ cells are competent to induce group 3 MB**

To modify mouse cerebellar cells directly in vivo, we stably transfected P0 mouse cerebellum exploiting the piggyBac transposon system. We were able to target different cell populations in the developing cerebellum, such as Pax6^+, Sox2^+, Sox9^+, and Calbindin^+ cells (fig. S1, A to D) (9). To investigate which postnatal progenitors/cells are competent to potentially give rise to group 3 MB, we used mice expressing creER recombinase in GNPs (Math1-cre, 24 mice) (22), in glial cells progenitors (Sox2-cre, 7 mice) (23), Purkinje cells, GABAergic interneurons, and glial cells (Ascl1-cre, 24 mice) (Fig. 1A and fig. S1E) (24). We crossed these mice with R26-loxP-STOP-loxP-Myc (R26-LSL-Myc) transgenic mice conditionally expressing MYC under the control of the creER recombinase, and we stably transfected the cerebella at P0 with piggyBac vectors expressing Gfi1 (pPB-CAG-Gfi1). In parallel, we transfected the cerebella of mice bearing creER recombinase with piggyBac vectors expressing both MYC and Gfi1 under the control of a loxP-STOP-LoxP cassette (pPB-LSL-MYC and pPB-LSL-Gfi1) at P0 (Fig. 1A). Subsequently, we induced the creER-dependent removal of the LoxP cassette by injecting tamoxifen (TMX) at different time points (table S1; we considered only mice with transfected cells). To further identify which progenitors are competent to give rise to group 3 MB, we also transfected pPB-LSL-MYC and pPB-LSL-Gfi1 vectors together with plasmids expressing the cre recombinase under the control of Tbr2 (UBCs), Sox2, Math1, or S100b (astrocytic and extra-astrocytic expression in human brain) (25) regulatory elements (Fig. 1B and table S1). Notably, we obtained tumors only when MYC and Gfi1 expression was driven by Sox2 promoter (3 of 16, from two different litters; Fig. 1C). These tumors showed few S100b^+ cells (Fig. 1D), consistently with the observation that the tumor phenotype does not always resemble its cell of origin (26, 27). In addition, these tumors were pH3^+, showed few glial fibrillary acidic protein–positive (GFAP^+) cells, and were also positive for the group 3–specific marker natriuretic peptide receptor 3 (NPR3) (Fig. 1E and fig. S1, F and G). Sox2-cre;R26-LSL-Myc mice developed choroid plexus carcinoma (CPC) 1 month after TMX injection (6 of 6; Fig. 1F) as already published (28, 29). We did not detect MB formation with any of the other promoters, but we observed Venus^+ cells 75 days after injection (Fig. 1, G and H, and fig. S1, H and I). We checked the expression of MYC and Gfi1 by immunofluorescence (fig. S1, J to L). We were able to detect the expression of MYC in the CPC developed by Sox2-cre;R26-LSL-Myc transgenic mice injected with TMX at P2 (fig. S1I). The expression of both Gfi1 and MYC was also observed by immunofluorescence in P7 CD1 mouse cerebella transfected at P0 with pMath1-cre + pPB-LSL-MYC + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 (fig. S1, K and L).

Because the S100b promoter was able to drive cre expression in cells competent to develop group 3 MB, we investigated the identity of these cells. As shown in fig. S2A, S100b^+ cells are present in the mouse cerebellum at P0. In addition, we could detect Venus^+ / S100b^+ cells 4 days after transfection with pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-Venus, thus confirming that our promoter recapitulates endogenous S100b expression (Fig. 2, A and B). Furthermore, S100b promoter drives Venus expression not only in the ventricular zone (VZ) but also in the white matter (WM), internal granule layer (IGL), molecular layer (ML), and EGL, and most of those cells are positive for Sox2 and GFAP (glial cells; Fig. 2, C and D). Because the Sox2 promoter is not able to render postnatal cells competent for group 3 MB development, we investigated the presence of S100b^+ (Venus^+) and Sox2^+ cells (Fig. 2, D and E, and fig. S2, B and C). We observed that 34.8 ± 7.7% (mean ± SEM, n = 7 brains) of S100b-cre^+ cells are Sox2^+ 4 days after transfection, with a small subset being Sox2^+/ Nestin^+ (Fig. 2D). We then characterized which cells are produced by S100b-cre^+ cells by performing lineage tracing experiments. Thirty days after transfection, we observed ependymal cells (Fig. 2F), glial cells (fig. S2D), oligodendrocytes (Fig. 2G), Bergmann glia (Fig. 2H), and S100b^+ cells (Fig. 2I) that derive from S100b-cre^+ cells transfected at P0. Hence, the population of S100b-cre^+ cells at P0 is able to generate several cerebellar populations, notably different types of glial cells. To study the first step of S100b cell transformation, we performed lineage tracing of S100b-cre^+ cells that start to stably express MYC and Gfi1 starting from P0. As shown in Fig. 2 (J to M), after 10 days, we observed small clusters of Venus^+ cells, having a homogeneous round morphology that is different from cells not expressing MYC and Gfi1 (Fig. 2E and fig. S2, B and C). Furthermore, these cell clusters are mainly GFAP^+ and S100b^- (Fig. 2, J and M), with few Sox2^+ and Sox9^+ cells (Fig. 2, K and L). We did not detect any cluster formation with other promoters driving cre expression (Fig. 1, G and H, and fig. S1, H and I). Because S100b^+ cells are able to generate group 3 MB postnatally, we tested whether S100b^+ cells might be competent to give rise to MB also during embryonic development. We first confirmed the embryonic expression of S100b in the cerebellar VZ (Fig. S2E) (30), and then we electrooporated in utero pPB-LSL-MYC + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 together with pS100b-cre at embryonic day 15.5 (E15.5) (fig. S2F). We observed formation of tumors in 3 of 12 electrooporated mice (fig. S2G) that were positive for the group 3–specific marker NPR3 (fig. S2H).

**Human S100B^+ cells are competent to induce group 3 MB**

To test whether S100b^+ cells are also present during human cerebellar development, we performed histological analysis of S100B in human tissues. As shown in Fig. 3 (A and B), S100B^+ cells are present in the human cerebellum at 22 and 39 GW in EGL, IGL, and ML. We could also detect a few S100B^+ cells in human group 3 MB samples (fig. S3A). On the basis of these results, we tested whether human S100B^+ cells are competent to generate MB in human cerebellar organoids. We have recently shown that MYC and Gfi1 overexpression in human cerebellar organoids induces group 3 MB with a methylation profile similar to human patients (9). Therefore, we electrooporated human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)–derived cerebellar organoids (9, 31) with pPB-LSL-Venus and pS100b-cre or pSosx2-cre at day 35 to target S100b^+ and SOX2^+ cells when these cerebellar progenitors are present (fig. S3, B to D) (9, 31). Unfortunately, we were unable to specifically target GNPs in human cerebellar organoids, as reliable human ATOH1 regulatory sequences are lacking. Next, we also electrooporated pPB-LSL-MYC + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 together with pS100b-cre or pSosx2-cre. As shown in Fig. 3 (C and D), MYC and Gfi1 expression in S100b-cre^+ cells induced formation of clusters of cells in 12.5% of electrooporated organoids (n = 40), as we previously observed (9). MYC and Gfi1 overexpression in SOX2-cre^+ cells did not induce cell cluster formation (0 of 40) (Fig. 3, C and D). Furthermore, we observed clusters of proliferating cell nuclear antigen–positive (PCNA^+) cells using the S100B promoter, suggesting that MYC and Gfi1 overexpression has an oncogenic potential in S100b-cre^+ cells also in human cerebellar organoids (Fig. 3E).
Fig. 1. Overexpression of MYC and Gfi1 in postnatal S100b+ cerebellar cells induces MB. (A and B) Schematic representation of in vivo transfection experiments to overexpress MYC and Gfi1 in different postnatal cerebellar cell populations. (C) DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining and Venus immunofluorescence of sagittal brain section of CD1 mouse 2 months after transfection with pPBase + pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-Myc + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 + pPB-LSL-Venus at P0. (D and E) Confocal images of Venus and S100b (D) and Venus and GFAP (E) immunofluorescence of tumors in CD1 mice 2 months after transfection with pPBase + pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-Myc + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 + pPB-LSL-Venus at P0. The white squares in (D) and (E) mark the regions shown at higher magnification. (F) DAPI staining and pH3 immunofluorescence of sagittal brain section of Sox2-creER;R26-LSL-Myc mouse 1 month after TMX injection at P2. The white square in (F) marks the region shown in (F`). (G and H) DAPI staining and Venus immunofluorescence of sagittal brain sections of Math1-creER;R26-LSL-Myc mouse (G) or Ascl1-creER;R26-LSL-Myc mouse (H) 2.5 months after transfection with pPBase + pPB-Gfi1 + pPB-Venus at P0 and TMX injection at P2 (G) or P0 (H). Arrows point to Venus+ cells. Scale bars, 1 mm (C and F) and 100 μm (D, E, G, and H). IGL, internal granule layer; EGL, external granule layer.
Fig. 2. Lineage tracing of S100b-cre+ cells in postnatal CD1 mouse cerebellum. (A to E) Lineage tracing in P4 cerebellum transfected with pPBase + pS100b-cre + pPBLSL-Venus at P0. (A) Immunofluorescence of Venus and S100b. (A and A′) Higher magnifications in (A′) and (A′′). The arrow marks a S100b+/Venus+ cell. (B) Quantification of S100b+/Venus+ cells within Venus+ cells. (C) Quantification of Venus+ cells within different regions. VZ, ventricular zone; white matter, WM; ML, molecular layer. (D) Quantification of Venus+/GFAP+, Venus+/Sox2+, Venus+/Nestin+, Venus+/Sox2+/Nestin+, Venus+/Sox2−/Nestin+, and Venus+/Sox2−/Nestin− cells within Venus+ cells. (E) Immunofluorescence of Venus, Sox2, and Nestin. The white square marks the region shown at higher magnification. The arrow marks a Venus+/Sox2+ cell; the arrowhead marks a Venus+/Sox2− cell. (F to I) Immunofluorescence of Venus with GFAP (F), Olig2 (G), Sox2 (H), and S100b (I) in P30 cerebellum transfected with pPBase + pS100b-cre + pPBLSL-Venus at P0. (F to I and F′ to I′) Higher magnifications in (F′) to (I′) and (F″) to (I″). Arrows mark double-positive cells. (J to M) Immunofluorescence of Venus and GFAP (J), Sox2 (K), Sox9 (L), and S100b (M) in P10 cerebellum transfected with pPBase + pS100b-cre + pPBLSL-MYC + pPBLSL-Gfi1 + pPBLSL-Venus at P0. (J to M) Higher magnifications in (J′) to (M′). Confocal images scale bars, 100 µm (A and E to M) and 50 µm in (F′ to I). Data in (B) to (D) presented as means ± SEM.
Notch1 activation makes Math1\(^+\) progenitors competent to induce group 3 MB

On the basis of our data, we speculated that S100b\(^+\) cells should have specific features/pathways that are present to a lesser extent in postnatal Math1\(^+\), Aosc1\(^+\), and Sox2\(^+\) cells. It has been found that Notch signaling regulates fate decisions of early mouse cerebellar progenitors (32, 33). In particular, Sox2\(^+\) progenitors at E9.5 have high Notch1 pathway levels and are competent to give rise to Ascl1\(^+\) and Math1\(^+\) progenitors (33). We tried to target these Sox2\(^+\) early progenitors by conditionally overexpressing Myc at E9.5 and E13.5 (Sox2\(-\)creER;R26-LSL-Myc mouse), but we were not able to obtain live pups, possibly due to a widespread Sox2 expression during mouse development (n = 2 pregnant females administered with TMX at E9.5; n = 2 pregnant females administered with TMX at E13.5). Notably, these early Sox2\(^+\) progenitors do not generate the Sox2\(^+\) cells residing in the postnatal cerebellum (Sox2\(-\)creER;R26-LSL-tdtomato, TMX...
at E10.5; fig. S4A). Furthermore, embryonic Math1+ progenitors express low levels of Notch1 and Hes1/Hes5 target genes, and Notch1 pathway activation is able to repress Math1 expression, thus changing the fate of these progenitors (33). The Notch signaling pathway plays a critical role in central nervous system development, stem cell maintenance, and differentiation of cerebellar GNP and modulates epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; and its role in SHH MB is still controversial (34–37). Mutations in NOTCH signaling genes have been described in group 3 MB (38), with especially elevated expression of NOTCH1 in spinal metastases (39).

On the basis of these data, we tested whether the Notch1 pathway plays a role in determining the competence of the different cerebellar progenitors to generate group 3 MB. Using available scRNA-seq data (40) from postnatal (P0 and P4) mouse cerebellum, we verified the stable cell type assignment for the different cerebellar cell populations (Fig. 4A and fig. S4B). Further analyses on the scRNA-seq data indicated that S100b is expressed in clusters assigned to the astrocytic lineage (which includes glial progenitors, oligodendrocytes, glia, and Bergmann glia; clusters β and γ) and ciliated cells (cluster α) (Fig. 4, A to D) (40) and is mostly mutually exclusive with the expression of Math1 (Fig. 4, E to G), especially in the granule neurons lineage. Therefore, we asked whether the expression of Notch pathway genes correlates with any cell lineage also in the postnatal cerebellar scRNA-seq data, as in the embryonic mouse cerebellum (33). As shown in Fig. 4 (H to P) and fig. S4 (C and D), we found that the S100b+ cell clusters show the highest expression levels of the Notch1 receptor and of Hes1/Hes5 target genes. On the other hand, postnatal Math1+ cells display lower levels of Notch pathway activation, similar to the Math1+ progenitors in the embryonic mouse cerebellum (33).

To confirm these data, we tested Notch activity using a well-known reporter for Notch signaling. We used a plasmid that drives the expression of destabilized enhanced green fluorescent protein (d2EGFP) under the control of the Hes5 promoter (41). The promoter activity is dependent on Notch pathway activation and is restricted to neural stem cells in the mouse brain (41, 42). As shown in fig. S4 (E to G), we observed more d2EGFP expression in S100b+ cells compared to Math1+ progenitors, confirming that the Notch pathway is active in S100b+ cells.

Notably, we overexpressed the Notch1 constitutively active form (N1ICD) (42, 43) with MYC in postnatal mouse cerebellum and, together, they are sufficient to induce MB (fig. S5A). N1ICD and Gfi1 co-overexpression was not sufficient to generate tumor, highlighting the Notch and MYC relation in tumor formation (fig. S5A). To test whether the Notch pathway is also required for the formation of group 3 MB, we used the dominant negative forms of the Notch pathway components Maml1 (DN-Maml1) and Rbpj (DN-Rbpj) (44, 45). As shown in fig. S5B, we did not observe tumor formation upon overexpression in S100b+ cells of DN-Maml1 or DN-Rbpj together with MYC/Gfi1, suggesting that the Notch pathway activation is required for group 3 MB formation. On the other hand, we tested whether decreasing Notch pathway activity could make Math1+ cells competent to induce group 3 MB. To do so, we overexpressed N1ICD in Math1+ cells, which alone did not induce MB formation (Fig. 5A). Next, we co-overexpressed N1ICD with MYC and Gfi1 to induce group 3 MB in Math1+ progenitors. As shown in Fig. 5 (B and C), stable N1ICD overexpression, together with MYC/Gfi1, in Math1+ progenitors induces tumor formation in 13 of 14 mice (Fig. 5A). These tumors are GFAP+, pH3+ (fig. S5, C and D), and are comparable to human group 3 MB and to previously published group 3 MB mouse models (MYC/Gfi1, MYC/Otx2, and MYC overexpression in Sox2+ progenitors; Fig. 5, D and E) (9, 21). We compared the transcriptional profiles of tumors induced by N1ICD + MYC/Gfi1 in Math1+ cells and tumors induced by MYC/Gfi1 in S100b+ cells with human MB samples classified according to the consensus subtypes (46). The unsupervised clustering confirmed a similarity of our models to group 3 MB and also most substantial matches to groups 3β and 3γ (fig. S5E). This is consistent with the observation of GFI1 activation to be restricted to group 3β samples and MYC amplification in group 3γ. Nevertheless, MYC expression levels in group 3β are comparable to those in group 3γ (fig. S5F). We also checked the levels of expression of the key components of the NOTCH signaling pathway among the molecular subtypes of group 3/4 MB. We observed only a slight enrichment of NOTCH pathway genes expression in group 3γ, while group 3β demonstrated an opposite effect (fig. S5G). This suggests that NOTCH pathway might play a pivotal role in the initial stages of tumor formation. To study the effects of N1ICD on Math1+ progenitors, we checked the transfected cerebellum 14 days after injection: we found that N1ICD + MYC/Gfi1-transfected cells already formed small clusters of Ki67+ cells in the EGL, unlike granule neuron progenitors transfected with N1ICD alone (fig. S5, H to K).

To confirm that N1ICD is required for the first steps of MB formation, we transfected Math1+ cells with MYC/Gfi1 and a nonintegrating plasmid encoding for N1ICD, allowing the transient expression of the transgene. As shown in Fig. 5F, transient expression of N1ICD was sufficient to allow tumor formation driven by MYC/Gfi1 overexpression in 7 of 28 mice (Fig. 5, A and B). These tumors are GFAP+ and pH3+ (fig. S6, A and B), resembling human group 3 MB and other published group 3 MB mouse models. Notably, the activity of N1ICD is sufficient during early phases of tumor formation, while being not essential for its progression. Expression of V5-tagged N1ICD is detectable in tumors driven by MYC/Gfi1 + N1ICD stable, whereas it is not maintained in tumors generated by transient N1ICD expression (Fig. 5G). To confirm that the activation of the Notch pathway is not required for the tumor progression, we checked the expression levels of known Notch pathway genes in our tumors (fig. S6C). We did not detect any up-regulation of Notch pathway genes (Hes1, Hes5, Jag1, and Notch1) in tumors driven by MYC/Gfi1 (under the control of S100b promoter), suggesting that fully grown tumors do not rely on the Notch pathway for their sustenance. Notch pathway genes, such as Hes5 and Jag1, were overexpressed only in tumors stably expressing N1ICD together with MYC/Gfi1 in Math1+ cells. Last, we tested the effect of Notch1 activation in Sox2+ progenitors as well. Notably, MYC/ Gfi1 + N1ICD overexpression in Sox2+ cells induces MB in 9 of 11 mice (fig. S6D). Overall, our data suggest that Notch pathway plays a critical role in group 3 MB initiation and formation in various cerebellar progenitors, such as S100b+, Math1+, and Sox2+ cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite large effort in understanding the cell of origin of different tumors, the relationship of the contribution of the cell of origin versus the relevant driver mutations is still elusive. Current opinion in the field is that tumor identity can be defined by the cell of origin and/or genetic mutation (1, 2). In the first scenario, the cells of origin have specific features, such as the epigenetic state, that make them competent in inducing cancer and may also directly cell tumor-initiating
Fig. 4. Notch pathway expression in the mouse postnatal cerebellum. (A) t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) visualization of cerebellar derived cell clusters at P0 and P4. Each point represents one cell. Main clusters are marked in color, while relevant subclusters are labeled as α, β, and γ. GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid. (B to P) scRNA-seq analysis of cell type specific markers in different cell clusters. (B, E, H, K, and N) t-SNE visualization showing expression of cell type–specific markers: S100b (B), Math1 (E), Notch1 (H), Hes1 (K), Hes5 (N). Cells are color-coded according to genes expression. (C, F, I, L, and O) Violin plots to visualize gene expression levels of S100b (C), Math1 (F), Notch1 (I), Hes1 (L), and Hes5 (O) at P0 in four groups: mutually exclusive Math1⁺ cells and S100b⁺ cells within cluster α, S100b⁺ cells within cluster β, and S100b⁺ cells within cluster γ. (D, G, J, M, and P) Violin plots to visualize gene expression levels of S100b (D), Math1 (G), Notch1 (J), Hes1 (M), and Hes5 (P) at P4 in four groups: mutually exclusive Math1⁺ cells and S100b⁺ cells within cluster α, S100b⁺ cells within cluster β, and S100b⁺ cells within cluster γ. Unpaired Student’s t test, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001.
Fig. 5. Notch pathway determines competence to generate Group 3 MB. (A) Histograms show the percentage of mice that develop MB after transfection at P0 with either MYC/Gfi1, or N1ICD, or MYC/Gfi1 + stable N1ICD, or MYC/Gfi1 + transient N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells. (B) Plots show the age at which mice where sacrificed due to MB development after transfection at P0 of MYC/Gfi1 with stable or transient N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells. Mean is shown in black. (C) Venus immunofluorescence of CD1 mouse brain sagittal section 1 month after transfection of MYC/Gfi1 + stable N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells at P0. (D) Principal components analysis visualization based on previously published group 3 MB mouse models (MYC/Gfi1; MYC/Otx2; MYC overexpression in Sox2<sup>+</sup> progenitors) (9, 21) and tumors developed after transfection of MYC/Gfi1 + stable N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells, combined with batch effect adjusted MB ICGC RNA-seq data on top 500 highly variable genes. PC, principal component. (E) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering from the same samples. (F) Venus immunofluorescence of CD1 mouse brain sagittal section 2 months after transfection of MYC/Gfi1 + transient N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells at P0. (G) Confocal images of Venus and V5 immunofluorescence of tumors in CD1 mice after transfection of MYC/Gfi1 with stable or transient N1ICD in Math1<sup>+</sup> cells at P0. Chi-square test (A). Scale bars, 1 mm (C and F) and 100 μm (G).
also in human cerebellar organoids, and our experiments clarified
that certain mutations are powerful enough in terms of defining cell
fate, being able to override the transcriptional context of the cell of
origin. Our work shows that S100b+ cells are competent to induce
MB and that S100b+ cells present high levels of Notch pathway
activity. By overexpressing the active Notch1 form (N1ICD), we
demonstrated that Notch1 pathway activation, upon MYC/Gfi1
overexpression, induces cancer in Math1+ and Sox2+ cells. Notably,
Notch1 overexpression per se is not sufficient to induce cancer in
Math1+ progenitors but requires the overexpression of MYC/Gfi1,
as in S100b+ cells. Moreover, by transiently overexpressing N1ICD
in Math1+ cells, we showed that Math1+ progenitors are able to gen-
erate group 3 MB upon transient Notch1 pathway activation, which
is not required to sustain tumor growth at later time points. We did
not observe significant NOTCH pathway activation in human
group 3 and 4 MB samples. This could be related to extensive so-
matic switches from the cells of origin occurring in group 3 MB. This
hypothesis was partially confirmed by Hovestadt et al. (13) study,
where group 3 MB did not demonstrate any clear similarity to
mouse cerebellum cell types. Full response to this question, about
group 3 subtypes cells of origin, could be achieved in precise com-
parison to human materials to verify the association in the same
species material. In the human cerebellum, S100B is expressed in
every neural cell types and progenitors and is less “astrocyte-specific”
than GFAP (25), suggesting that considering S100B as an astrocyte-
specific marker might not be correct. We validated our findings
also in human cerebellar organoids, and our experiments clarified
that S100B-Cre+ cells are competent to induce hyperproliferation in
cerebellar organoids. MB mouse models have been already devel-
oped by postnatally deregulating Myc and/or Gfi1 ex vivo in Math1+
and Sox2+ cells (11, 21). Notably, cell dissociation for fluorescenc-
activated cell sorting and ex vivo manipulation are able to activate
Notch pathway (50, 51) and, in light of our data, it appears to be a
determinant of cell competence. Therefore, such ex vivo manipula-
tions might result in the acquisition of competence for developing
group 3 MB by these Math1+ and Sox2+ progenitors, which might not have in vivo Notch activation per se. With our gene
transfer technology, we are not able to induce MB from Math1+ or
Sox2+ cells, unless we ectopically activate the Notch pathway. How-
ever, we cannot exclude that the absence of tumors from Sox2+ cells
might be due to the inability of our technical approach to efficiently
target the subpopulation of Sox2+ cells with an active Notch path-
way in vivo. We were not able to test the tumorigenic potential of
the early Sox2+ progenitors that have high levels of Notch (32, 33)
and that might be competent in inducing group 3 MB. Together,
our data point to the direction that Notch activity, which is physio-
logically involved in determining progenitor identity in a wide vari-
te of contexts, may be a general regulator of cancer cell of origin
competence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids
The plasmid encoding a hyperactive form of the piggyBac trans-
posase [pCMV HAhyPBase (pPBaSet)] was a gift from the Wellcome
Sanger Institute (52). The piggyBac donor plasmids pPB CAG MYC,
pPB CAG Gfi1:FLAG-IRES-GFP, pPB CAG Otx2-IRE-GFP, and
pPB CAG Venus were previously described (9). These plasmids
were used as backbones to insert before the start codon a loxP-
STOP-loxP cassette by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), generating
respectively pPB CAG LSL MYC, pPB CAG LSL Gfi1:FLAG-IRES-
GFP, and pPB CAG LSL Venus. The pPB CAG LSL N1ICD-V5-
IRE-GFP plasmid was generated by substituting Gfi1:FLAG with
the PCR-amplified N1ICD-V5 coding sequence derived from
pDNA3-N1ICD-myc (53). The pCAG N1ICD-V5 and pPB CAG
N1ICD-V5-IRE-GFP plasmids were generated by cloning the
PCR-amplified N1ICD-V5 coding sequence into the pCAG or pPB
CAG backbones, respectively. The pPB CAG LSL DN-Maml1-
IRE-GFP and pPB CAG LSL DN-Rbpj-IRE-GFP plasmids were
generated by substituting Gfi1:FLAG with the PCR-amplified coding
sequence of DN-Maml1 or DN-Rbpj. The former was derived from
iChr2-Notch Mosaic (Addgene plasmid #99752), and the latter was
amplified from 1mB-Notch-Mosaic (Addgene plasmid #99749).
The pPB CAG LSL mCherry plasmid was generated by substituting
Venus coding sequence with mCherry cDNA. The pMATH1-creER
plasmid was a gift from R. Machold (22) and was used to generate
the pMATH1-creplasmid by substituting the creER coding sequence
with the cre coding sequence. The pTre2-cre plasmid was a gift from
T. Haydar (54). The pSox2-cre plasmid was generated by cloning
the cre coding sequence into pGL3-Sox2 (Addgene plasmid #101761).
The pS100b-cre plasmid was generated by substituting the Sox2
promoter sequence from pSox2-cre with S100b promoter sequence,
which was PCR amplified from pEMS1384 (Addgene plasmid #29304).
The pHes5-d2EGFP plasmid was a gift from R. Kageyama (41).

Mice husbandry
Rosa26-LSL-MYC (Stock no. 020458), Rosa26-LSL-t番茄ato (Stock
no. 007908), Math1-creER (Stock no. 007684), Ascl1-creER (Stock
no. 012882), and Sox2-creER (Stock no. 017593) were purchased
from the Jackson laboratory. CD1 mice were purchased from Charles
River Laboratories. Mice were intraperitoneally injected with TMX
(75 mg/kg) at E9.5, E10.5, E13.5, P0, P1, P2, P5, P7, or P9. Animals
were sacrificed at E15.5, P4, P7, P10, P14, P21, P30, P75, or at a hu-
man end point as they displayed signs of morbidity (ataxia, weight
loss, and ruffled fur). Mice were housed in a certified animal facility
in accordance with European Guidelines. The experiments were ap-
proved by the Italian Ministry of Health as conforming to the rele-
vant regulatory standards.

In vivo transfection and in utero electroporation
For in vivo transfection, plasmid DNA and in vivo-jetPEI transfection
reagent (Polyplus-transfection) were mixed according to manufac-
turer’s instructions. pPBaSet and piggyBac donor plasmids were mixed
at a 1:4 ratio. The pPB CAG Venus or pPB CAG LSL Venus plasmids
were always cotransfected as a reporter. P0 to P2 mice were anesthe-
tized on ice for 2 min, placed on a stage in a stereotactic apparatus,
and medially injected at lambda: −3.6 dorso-ventral: −1.6 with 4 μl
of transfection mix using a pulled glass capillary and a Femtojet mi-
croinjector (Eppendorf). In utero electroporation was performed at
day E15.5 after defining day E0.5 by the observation of a vaginal
plug. The pregnant female was anesthetized with 2% isoflurane, and the uterine horns were exposed. After 1 μl of solution (2.5 μg/μl) of plasmids in sterile saline was injected into the fourth ventricle of CD1 embryos, DNA was transferred using electric square pulses delivered by forceps-like electrodes (35 mV; pulse, 50 ms; pause, 950 ms; five pulses).

**Human group 3 MB and embryonic cerebellum samples**

Human group 3 MB sections from 8- and 28-year-old male patients and cerebellar sections from 22 and 39 GW human embryos have been provided by F. Giangaspero from Department of Radiologic, Oncologic and Anatomo Pathological Sciences, University Sapienza of Rome, Rome, Italy and Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS) Neuromed, Pozzilli, Isernia, Italy. All the information and the procedures to be used for obtaining consent for the use of human materials were included in the research protocols submitted for ethical review. The study protocol received full approval from the local ethics committees (Polliclinico Umberto I, Rome; Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo; and Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Verona), and the subjects or their parents gave written informed consent.

**Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry**

Mice were intraventricularly perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and brains were dissected and postfixed overnight in 4% PFA. Brains were either cryoprotected in 30% (w/v) sucrose in water and embedded in Frozen Section Compound (Leica, 3801480) or embedded in paraffin (brains were dehydrated with ethanol and then kept sequentially in xylene and paraffin solutions) or otherwise embedded in 5% agarose. Frozen Section Compound–embedded brains were cryosectioned at 20 to 40 μm with a Leica CM1850 UV Cryostat. Paraffin–embedded brains were sectioned using a Leica Microtome at 10 μm. Agarose-embedded tissues were sectioned using a Leica Vibratome at 100 μm. Immunofluorescence stainings were performed on glass slides. Blocking and antibody solutions consisted of phosphate-buffered saline supplemented with 3% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C and secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich) sections and coverslips were mounted with permanent mounting medium.

Immunohistochemistry stainings were performed on rehydrated paraffin sections. Antigen retrieval was performed by incubating slices for 30 min in retrieval solution [10 mM sodium citrate and 0.5% Tween 20 (v/v) (pH 6.0)] at 98°C. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C and secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature in antibody solution. Avidin–Biotin complex (ABC) solution was used 2 hours at room temperature (VECTASTAIN Elite ABC Kit Standard PK-6100). The sections were incubated with the substrate at room temperature until suitable staining was observed (DAB Peroxidase PK-6100). The sections were incubated with the substrate at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich).

**RNA isolation and quantitative reverse transcription PCR**

The total RNA from murine tissues was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse-transcribed using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The results are presented as linearized Ct values normalized to the housekeeping gene Gapdh and the indicated reference value (2^ΔΔCt). Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR are listed as follows:

- **Gapdh**, 5′-TGTGTTCCGCTGTGGATCTGA-3′ (forward) and 5′-CCGTGTCTACACTCTTGTGA-3′ (reverse);
- **Notch1**, 5′-AAATGGGAACCTGCTGAATG-3′ (forward) and 5′-GATTGGAGTCTCTGGGATCCTGT-3′ (reverse);
- **Hes1**, 5′-ACGACACCGGACCAAACCAA-3′ (forward) and 5′-ATGCGGGAACGTACTCTTCT-3′ (reverse);
- **Hes5**, 5′-CCAAGGAGAAAAACCGACTGC-3′ (forward) and 5′-GGCTTTGCTGTGTTCAGTGT-3′ (reverse);
- **Jag1**, 5′-CAGGGGTATAACACTTTCAATCT-3′ (forward) and 5′-TCCACCAGCAGAAGTGTAGGAC-3′ (reverse).

**Cell quantification and statistical analysis**

**Cell quantification in P4 CD1 mouse cerebella transfected with pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-Venus**

Venus+ cells coming from at least two mice were analyzed for each quantification. In particular, n = 2 mice, 99 cells (Fig. 2B); n = 5 mice, 362 cells (Fig. 2C). In Fig. 2D, n = 3 mice, 99 cells (Venus+)/GFAP+; n = 7 mice, 188 cells (Venus+/Sox2); n = 5 mice, 81 cells (Venus+/Nestin); Venus+/Sox2/Nestin; Venus+/Sox2/Nestin+ and Venus+/Sox2/Nestin+. All data are presented as means + SEM.

**Cell quantification in P4 CD1 mouse cerebella transfected with pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-mCherry + pHeS5-d2EGFP or pMath1-cre + pPB-LSL-mCherry + pHeS5-d2EGFP**

Venus+ cells coming from at least three mice were analyzed for each quantification. All data are presented as means + SEM. Data were compared using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test.
Organoids quantitative 24-hour analysis
Data are presented as means + SEM. For each condition, 10 to 17 organoids were examined and at least 30 to 45 cells were quantified.

Live clustering analysis
Brightfield and fluorescence images of the electroporated organoids were acquired, and Venus+ fluorescent area was quantified using ImageJ. Groups of Venus+ cells with an area of ≥3500 μm² were considered clusters.

qRT-PCR analysis
The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to validate the assumption of normality. Statistical significance was determined using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc pairwise test for data with normal distribution or using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc test for data with non-normal distribution.

RNA extraction, library generation, and sequencing
Total RNA was extracted from fresh-frozen tumor tissues with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Libraries were prepared from the extracted RNAs using the QuantSeq 3’ mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit-FWD (Lexogen, Vienna, Austria) using 0.5 to 1 μg of RNA per library and following the manufacturers’ instructions. We modified the standard protocol by adding unique molecular identifiers during library and following the manufacturers’ instructions. We modified the standard protocol by adding unique molecular identifiers during the second strand synthesis step. Indices from the Lexogen i7 6nt index hopping. The pooled libraries were sequenced in a Novaseq 6000 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA) on an SP flowcell, producing 900 M single reads [100 nucleotides (nt)] and in a HiSeq2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA) on a rapid run lane, producing 166 M single reads (100 nt).

Sequencing data: 10.5281/zenodo.4730190, Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO): GSE173888.

Mouse cerebellum scRNA-seq data integration
The full cell type annotation and the gene expression counts per single reads (100 nt).

Mouse model gene expression analysis
The RNA-seq transcripome profiling was performed on the mouse model materials in the corresponding cohorts: pPB-CAG-MYC + pPB-CAG-Gfi1 (n = 2), pPB-CAG-MYC + pPB-CAG-Otx2 (n = 3), pS100b-cre + pPB-LSL-MYC + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 (n = 3), and pMath1-cre + pPB-LSL-MYC + pPB-LSL-Gfi1 + pPB-LSL-N11CD (n = 3). The reads were aligned using STAR v2.4.1 tool (57) to mm10 reference genome, and gene expression counts were computed using featureCounts module of the Subread package v1.4.6 (58) with Ensembl GRCh38 v72 annotation. The quality control (QC) was performed with Qualimap v2 using RNA-seq QC mode (59). Furthermore, for comparison to human tumors, RNA-seq gene expression data were collected from MB landscape study (38). The orthologous genes common between species were filtered using biomart R package and corresponding Ensembl database annotation. Unsupervised clustering was performed on combined RNA-seq cohorts after ComBat batch effect adjustment (60), with focus on top 500 most highly variable genes (HVGs).

Affymetrix data from Cavalli et al. (46) data study (GEO dataset GSE85218) were integrated on the basis of selection of AffyIds representing the common orthologous genes between mouse and human.

MYC/NOTCH pathway expression levels in human groups 3 and 4 MB
MYC expression levels in human group 3 and 4 MB were obtained from the GEO dataset GSE85217 (46). Data were analyzed using the R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform (http://r2.amc.nl). NOTCH pathway genes selection was performed from Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways annotation. Gene expression enrichment estimation across group 3/4 subclasses per sample was performed on the basis of computation of mean expression among gene pathways.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/26/eabd2781/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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