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Abstract
Objectives: This study investigates common patterns in patients with exceptionally high creatine kinase (CK) levels to identify factors that could have contributed to the development of severe rhabdomyolysis in the studied cohort. Material and Methods: The authors present a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics. The patients were selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center. Results: The most frequent causative agents were recreational drugs, sedatives and anti-epileptics. Six patients developed multi-organ failure, including 1 who died. Substance abuse disorder was diagnosed in 90% of the patients. Each patient had at least 1 contributory factor present (hypothermia, hyperthermia, injury, an episode of agitation, seizures, prolonged immobilization), and the median was 3 factors. Acute kidney injury was observed in 90% of the patients, and 70% needed renal replacement therapy due to acute renal failure, which meant a longer hospital stay. Creatinine concentration differences between days 2 and 1 of the presentation (Cdiff) correlated with the length of hospital stay (r = 0.73, p = 0.02). All patients with negative Cdiff values did not need dialysis. No patients experienced liver failure. Conclusions: Massive rhabdomyolysis seems to be the effect of coincidence of several factors rather than the myotoxic effect alone. A creatinine concentration difference between days 2 and 1 of hospitalization was a good prognostic factor for the need for further dialysis. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2020;33(5):661–73
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INTRODUCTION
Polypharmacy and an ongoing rise in the popularity of novel psychoactive substance (NPS) use brings a plethora of new toxins that can induce rhabdomyolysis, sometimes very severe, in patients. An adequate and quick treatment of this condition causes quick reversal of organ injuries leading to a shorter hospital stay and reduced healthcare costs.

Rhabdomyolysis is a syndrome caused by myocyte damage. It consists of clinical and biochemical features that are the result of the release of muscle cell contents. Some of those substances are available on most laboratories’ standard test panels, i.e., myoglobin concentration, creatine kinase (CK) activity, and the levels of electrolytes, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST). Other compounds (phospholipase A, Ca2+-dependent phosphorylases, nucleases, proteases, and free radicals) may require advanced analytical methods while not adding any substantial clinical value. Importantly, these substances are relevant in the development of complications of rhabdomyolysis. Muscle cells are affected either by direct cell membrane...
destruction or by energy depletion. Free ionized calcium enters the intracellular space and activates proteases and apoptosis pathways. The genesis and pathophysiology of rhabdomyolysis has been well studied [1–3]. Unfortunately, there is no published work on the mechanisms by which toxic substances trigger the process described above.

Rhabdomyolysis was first observed by Bywaters and Beal [4] in 1941, during their study of crush syndrome in victims saved from ruins after London bombings. This work led to the identification of the role played by myoglobin in the development of rhabdomyolysis. In addition to traumatic causes, >150 medications and toxins have been associated with myotoxic properties that lead to the development of rhabdomyolysis. The most prevalent causes of myocyte damage are recreational drugs and ethanol [5]. Non-traumatic rhabdomyolysis, which appears to be at least 5 times more common than traumatic rhabdomyolysis, may be a consequence of toxic injury caused by:

- medications,
- illicit drugs,
- plant toxins,
- animal poisons,
- electrolyte and metabolic disorders,
- infections,
- neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS),
- serotonin syndrome (SS),
- dermatomyositis and polymyositis.

Most authors emphasize the influence of toxic factors on the muscles. However, in this study, massive rhabdomyolysis was observed relatively rarely despite the fact that 43.2% of the intoxicated patients seen at the center had abnormal CK activity. The authors aimed to determine the cause of the relatively small proportion of patients who developed massive rhabdomyolysis. They formulated the following question: is the toxic effect of xenobiotics overestimated as a causative agent of muscle damage?

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Study design and inclusion criteria

This work presents 10 patients with massive rhabdomyolysis caused by toxic factors. Their CK activity levels ranged 1017.45–8609.30 ukat/l (61 035–516 455 U/l). Data were gathered by retrospective analyses of the medical records of 7708 individuals treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in a large academic city in northern Poland, in 2009–2014. In total, 2397 patients had both their CK activity levels and creatinine concentrations measured. Of this group, 1036 (43.22%) patients had elevated CK activity levels. Severe elevation, defined as CK activity >833.5 ukat/l (50 000 U/l), was present in 10 patients, who were then enrolled in the analysis. According to observations made by the authors, just above this CK value, the risk of acute kidney damage and subsequent multi-organ failure (MOF) increased significantly.

Data regarding the agents causing rhabdomyolysis were obtained from anamnesis, qualitative testing using immunoassays and, where applicable, quantitative analysis. An experienced team of specialists ruled out other conditions that can produce similar symptoms, such as NMS, SS, anti-cholinergic toxicity, heat stroke, and meningitis. None of the patients in the assessed group met the criteria for SS, malignant hyperthermia or meningitis. The results of routine laboratory tests were analyzed for both similarities and differences.

A specific ethical approval was not required for this observational study due to the nature of the study design.

#### Study aim

During the analysis, the authors tried to find common patterns in patients who developed severe rhabdomyolysis, and to identify factors that could have contributed to its development in the studied cohort.

#### RESULTS

In the analyzed period, 10 patients were identified who met the inclusion criteria. The group consisted of 1 woman
and 9 men. The youngest individual was 20 years old, and the oldest 49 years old (mean 32, median 31, interquartile range [IQR] 27–36.75 years).

**Causative agents**
The authors analyzed the medical records looking for information regarding the substances that were involved in causing the condition of these patients. Table 1 presents a summary of the agents involved and shows the groups to which the substance belonged (grouping was performed according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, ICD 10) [6]. The most prevalent groups of substances involved in massive rhabdomyolysis were narcotics and psychodysleptics (7 patients); anti-epileptic, sedative-hypnotic and anti-parkinsonian drugs (6 patients); and psychotropic drugs, not elsewhere classified (3 patients). No individual substance was identified as having an outstanding prevalence. The authors were able to measure the concentration of only 5 out of the 22 substances involved. For the patient with 6 reported substances, they were able to perform a qualitative analysis. For the remaining patients, data regarding the substance used came either from the patient or from his/her family.

**Comorbidities**
Each patient in the study was evaluated by either a consultant psychiatrist or a specialist in substance dependence therapy, or by both. The diagnoses for each patient are presented in Table 2. The most prevalent finding was the harmful use of stimulants other than cocaine (N = 4). Most of the studied patients (N = 9) presented with some substance use disorder (ICD code group F10–F19). Of this group, 2 patients were treated due to NMS after the introduction of additional medication to the therapy.

The authors also analyzed comorbidities, both preexisting and complications of rhabdomyolysis. Kidney injury was excluded because it was analyzed separately. The most common maladies accompanying rhabdomyolysis were pneumonia (N = 3) and various injuries (N = 3). Only 1 patient died; however, that patient’s death was a result of septic complications, not rhabdomyolysis. Most of the patients (N = 7) had used psychoactive substances:
- NPSs (N = 2),
- amphetamine (N = 2),
- ethanol (N = 2),
- opioids (N = 1),
- lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) (N = 1),
- tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) (N = 1).

Values do not add up to 7 due to multi-substance use by 1 patient. In 4 patients, pharmaceuticals were involved (all involved the ingestion of multiple drugs), and 1 patient was treated due to a severe acute withdrawal state with delirium. Most patients (N = 9, all males) were diagnosed with substance abuse disorder, and 2 (1 male, 1 female) were diagnosed with NMS.

**Contributing factors**
Table 3 shows the presence of factors that might have potentially influenced the development of rhabdomyolysis. In 8 patients, agitation or aggression was present. Most patients (60%, N = 6) had body temperature disturbances; 4 had hyperthermia (≥38°C [100.4°F]), and 2 had hypothermia (≤35.0°C [95.0°F]). Five patients had seizures; injuries were present in 3 cases; and 3 patients had sustained prolonged immobilization. Each patient had at least 1 contributing factor, and the median was 3 factors.

The authors could not exclude the possibility of a patient having had ≥1 of the factors present prior to hospitalization, which were not noted in the medical history (especially in the cases of patients found unconscious with no prior information on the course of exposure).

**Laboratory deviations**
**Impact on kidney function**
Creatinine concentrations were analyzed on the day of admission (C1) and on the second day of hospitalization (C2).
## Table 1. Toxic agent confirmation in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | Age [years] | Sex | Primary diagnosis (ICD-10) | Confirmation laboratory qualitative | quantitative | anamnesis |
|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| 1           | 31          | M   | NPS poisoning: 2-CB, MXE and drug poisoning: THC, amphetamine (T40.6, T40.7, T40.4) | yes – partial | no | no |
| 2           | 20          | M   | NPS poisoning: 25I-NBOMe (T40.6) | no | yes | no |
| 3           | 20          | M   | CDI: 400 mg tamoxifen, 2000 mg ibuprofen, 2500 mg melperone, 225 mg zopiclone, 1500 mg pregabalin (T38.6, T39.3, T43.4, T42.6, T42.5) | no | yes | yes |
| 4           | 37          | M   | amphetamine intoxication – 2-day binge (T40.4) | yes | no | no |
| 5           | 36          | M   | CDI: >400 mg baclofen, 1500 mg diclofenac, ethanol (T42.8, T39.3, T51.0) | no | yes – partial | yes |
| 6           | 40          | M   | acute suicidal opioid intoxication (T40.1) | yes | no | no |
| 7           | 30          | M   | lysergic acid diethylamide (T40.8) | yes | no | no |
| 8           | 31          | M   | CDI: 3200 mg carbamazepine, 400 mg zolpidem, 600 mg chlorprothixene (T42.1, T42.6, T43.4) | yes | yes – partial | no |
| 9           | 26          | F   | CDI: clozapine, valproic acid intoxication (T43.5, T42.6) | no | yes – partial | yes |
| 10          | 49          | M   | acute alcohol poisoning (T51.0) | no | yes | no |

ICD-10 – International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision.
2-CB – 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromophenethylamine: a psychedelic drug of the 2C family; 25I-NBOMe – a synthetic hallucinogen; CDI – combined drug intoxication; LSD – lysergic acid diethylamide: a hallucinogenic drug; MXE – methoxetamine: a dissociative hallucinogen; NPS – novel psychoactive substance; THC – tetrahydrocannabinol: one of cannabinoids identified in cannabis.

Additionally, the difference between those 2 values (\(C_{\text{CK}}\)) was calculated. The mean change in creatinine concentration was 48.63 umol/l (0.55 mg/dl) (min. 91.07 umol/l [1.03 mg/dl], max 150.31 umol/l [1.70 mg/dl]). All patients in whom the creatinine level decreased over the first day of hospitalization (N = 3) had a CK activity level of ≤1648.38 ukat/l (98 883 U/l). None of those patients needed renal replacement therapy (RRT).

The need for RRT associated with a more severe and complicated course of poisoning had a significant impact on the length of hospital stay. The mean hospital stay was 4.33 days (min. 4, max 5, IQR 4–4.5, N = 3) in the no RRT group vs. 28.86 days (min. 20, max 36, IQR 25.50–32.50, N = 7) in the RRT group. The Welch 2-sample t-test showed statistical significance in the difference in means (p < 0.001).

There was a significant correlation between \(C_{\text{CK}}\) and the length of hospital stay (Pearson’s r. = 0.73, p = 0.02).

The correlation between the length of hospital stay and the total duration of RRT was not statistically significant (Pearson’s r. = 0.61, p = 0.15). The data regarding the renal parameters in the studied group are shown in Table 4.
The most common observation was decreased ionized calcium concentration (N = 9, mean Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration = 1.06 mmol/l). The only patient with a normal Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration had hypercalcemia. The second prevalent finding was hyperkalemia (N = 8, mean K concentration = 5.6 mmol/l).

Acid-base disturbances
Every patient admitted to hospital had arterial blood drawn for the arterial blood gas (ABG) analysis. The results of those tests are shown in Table 7.

Electrolyte disturbances
In the studied group, 5 patients were acidotic, 4 had normal pH, and 1 had alkalosis. The mean pH was 7.31.
Table 3. Factors contributing to rhabdomyolysis in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | Temperature disturbances | Injury | Prolonged immobilization | Agitation/aggression | Seizures | Other contributory factors |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| 1           | hyperthermia              | no     | no                       | yes                  | yes      | none                      |
| 2           | hyperthermia              | no     | no                       | yes                  | yes      | none                      |
| 3           | hyperthermia              | no     | yes                      | yes                  | no       | in the last few months intensively trained in the gym and used supplements for body builders (creatine) |
| 4           | none                     | yes    | no                       | yes                  | yes      | none                      |
| 5           | hypothermia               | no     | yes                      | no                   | no       | none                      |
| 6           | hypothermia               | no     | yes                      | yes                  | no       | weekly binge drinking, alcohol withdrawal syndrome, hypotension, emaciation |
| 7           | none                     | yes    | no                       | yes                  | no       | none                      |
| 8           | none                     | yes    | no                       | yes                  | yes      | polydrug use               |
| 9           | hyperthermia              | no     | no                       | yes                  | no       | none                      |
| 10          | none                     | yes    | no                       | yes                  | yes      | alcohol withdrawal syndrome |

Hyperthermia ≥38°C (100.4°F); hypothermia ≤35.0°C (95.0°F).

Table 4. Renal parameters in severe rhabdomyolysis in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | AKI | C1 [mg/dl] | C2 [mg/dl] | C_{diff} [mg/dl] | RRT | CRRT [h] | IHD | Max CK [n] | T_{hosp} [n] |
|-------------|-----|------------|------------|-----------------|-----|----------|-----|------------|-------------|
| 1           | yes | 3.56       | 3.83       | 0.27            | yes | 288      | 3 × 5 h (15 h) | 220 531    | 31          |
| 2           | yes | 2.19       | 2.91       | 0.72            | yes | 696      | 4 × 4 h (16 h) | 516 455    | 34          |
| 3           | yes | 2.85       | 4.55       | 1.7             | yes | 96       | 4 × 4 h (16 h) | 131 950    | 20          |
| 4           | no  | 0.7        | 0.50       | −0.2            | no  | 0        | 0   | 61 035     | 4           |
| 5           | yes | 3.2        | 4.83       | 1.63            | yes | 216      | 1 × 6 h (6 h)  | 97 476     | 36          |
| 6           | yes | 3.19       | 3.68       | 0.49            | yes | 360      | 2 × 6 h (12 h) | 169 700    | 30          |
| 7           | no  | 2.66       | 1.63       | −1.03           | no  | 0        | 0   | 93 883     | 5           |
| 8           | no  | 2.26       | 1.27       | −0.99           | no  | 0        | 0   | 85 983     | 4           |
| 9           | yes | 2.32       | 3.84       | 1.52            | yes | 0        | 4 × 6 h (24 h) | 225 785    | 26          |
| 10          | yes | 5.02       | 6.42       | 1.4             | yes | 144      | 6 × 6 h (36 h) | 270 000    | 25          |

AKI – acute kidney injury; C1 – creatinine on admission day; C2 – creatinine on the second day of hospitalization; C_{diff} – difference between C1 and C2 values; CRRT – continuous renal replacement therapy; IHD – intermittent haemodialysis; max CK – peak creatine kinase activity; RRT – renal replacement therapy; T_{hosp} – number of days of hospital stay.
Complications of rhabdomyolysis
In the studied group, 6 patients developed MOF. Among those individuals, 1 death was observed. Due to acute renal failure, 7 patients needed RRT. Additionally, 7 patients developed respiratory failure that required mechanical ventilation. The most prevalent complication was pneumonia, which was present in 4 patients. Severe hypotension, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and compartment syndrome were also observed, each of which was present in 2 patients. A summary of the observed complications is presented in Table 8.

DISCUSSION
Rhabdomyolysis is a process of muscular tissue damage that results in the release of cell contents into
after the stress [9]. According to Childs [10], CK activity in patients with massive rhabdomyolysis is usually between 166.7 and >1667 ukat/l (10 000 and >100 000 U/l). Myoglobin is the second parameter that can be measured in the cases of suspected muscular damage. Its use is limited by its half-life of 2–3 h [11], and the lack of a strong correlation between its blood and/or urine concentrations and the severity of rhabdomyolysis [12].

The incidence of rhabdomyolysis is controversial, and there are many discrepancies in the literature. In the USA, approximately 26 000 cases of rhabdomyolysis are diagnosed every year [13]. According to Veenstra et al. [14], over the observation period of 7 years, in a study performed in a large university hospital, only 0.074% of patients had CK activity levels >83.35 ukat/l (5000 U/l). Janković et al. [15] analyzed 656 patients hospitalized over 1 year due to intoxication with various substances; CK >4.17 ukat/l (250 U/l) was present in 19% of these patients. In the group of analyzed cases with abnormal CK values, patients with CK ranging 25.01–166.7 ukat/l (1500–10 000 U/l) was pres-

**Table 6.** Electrolyte disturbances in patients with massive rhabdomyolysis in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | K [mmol/l] (N 3.5–5.1) | Na [mmol/l] (N 136–145) | Ca [mmol/l] (N 8.8–10.2) | Ca^{2+} [mmol/l] (N 1.12–1.32) | P [mmol/l] (N 2.5–4.5) | Cl [mmol/l] (N 98–107) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1           | 7.1                     | 139                     | 7.63                    | 0.99                        | 5.03                   | 116                    |
| 2           | 5.3                     | 140                     | 7.72                    | 1.05                        | 6.02                   | 112                    |
| 3           | 7.1                     | 136                     | 11.23                   | 1.16                        | 3.01                   | 107                    |
| 4           | 3.5                     | 117                     | 8.8                     | 1.06                        | 2.08                   | 84                     |
| 5           | 6.3                     | 136                     | 6.7                     | 1.09                        | 10.01                  | 111                    |
| 6           | 9.5                     | 135                     | 7.92                    | 1.01                        | 2.03                   | 97                     |
| 7           | 5.5                     | 143                     | 9.2                     | 1.04                        | 3.03                   | 103                    |
| 8           | 4.9                     | 130                     | 8.9                     | 1.10                        | 2.06                   | 103                    |
| 9           | 5.2                     | 156                     | 9.2                     | 1.06                        | 4.03                   | 121                    |
| 10          | 5.8                     | 125                     | 9.13                    | 1.01                        | 5.08                   | 96                     |

N – norm.
Ca – total calcium; Ca^{2+} – ionized calcium; Cl – chloride; K – potassium; Na – sodium; P – inorganic phosphorus.

the bloodstream. The released substances include electrolytes, myoglobin, and enzymes (CK, LDH, ALT, AST). Muscle damage may be a result of many factors, including crushing, burning, vasoconstriction or vasal obstruction leading to tissue hypoxia, excessive physical activity, prolonged seizures or immobilization. The effects of medications, recreational drugs, plant toxins, animal venoms, electrolyte or metabolic disturbances, infections, SS and NMS are other factors leading to rhabdomyolysis [7].

In clinical practice, the extent of muscular damage is monitored by CK activity and myoglobin concentration. There is no established cut-off value for diagnosing muscular pathology; however, it has been frequently assumed that the threshold for rhabdomyolysis diagnosis is a CK activity level higher than 5 × the normal value (approx. 16.67 ukat/l [1000 U/l]) [8]. The increase in CK activity is usually visible 4–6 h after the stress, and it can be observed for 24–48 h. In the case of massive rhabdomyolysis, the normalization of CK activity can start several days after the stress [9]. According to Childs [10], CK activity in patients with massive rhabdomyolysis is usually between 166.7 and >1667 ukat/l (10 000 and >100 000 U/l). Myoglobin is the second parameter that can be measured in the cases of suspected muscular damage. Its use is limited by its half-life of 2–3 h [11], and the lack of a strong correlation between its blood and/or urine concentrations and the severity of rhabdomyolysis [12].

The incidence of rhabdomyolysis is controversial, and there are many discrepancies in the literature. In the USA, approximately 26 000 cases of rhabdomyolysis are diagnosed every year [13]. According to Veenstra et al. [14], over the observation period of 7 years, in a study performed in a large university hospital, only 0.074% of patients had CK activity levels >83.35 ukat/l (5000 U/l). Janković et al. [15] analyzed 656 patients hospitalized over 1 year due to intoxication with various substances; CK >4.17 ukat/l (250 U/l) was present in 19% of these patients. In the group of analyzed cases with abnormal CK values, patients with CK ranging 25.01–166.7 ukat/l (1500–10 000 U/l) was pres-
### Table 7. Arterial blood gas (ABG) analysis in patients with massive rhabdomyolysis in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50,000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | pH  (N 7.35–7.45) | pCO₂  (N 4.7–6.0 kPa, 35–45 mm Hg) | pO₂  (N 10–13 kPa, 83–108 mm Hg) | cHCO₃⁻  (N 22–26 mEq/l) | cBase (Ecf) [mmol/l]  (N –2–(+2)) | Anion gap [mEq/l] | mOsm [mosm/kg]  (N 275–295) | cLac [mmol/l, mg/dl] | Acid-base interpretation |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1           | 7.25             | 26.4                            | 68.4                            | 11.6                     | –15.5                             | 11.3               | 290.8                   | 6.7                     | primary metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap, full respiratory compensation |
| 2           | 7.24             | 48.0                            | 76.9                            | 19.9                     | –6.3                              | 10.9               | 281.2                   | 7.1                     | acute (uncompensated) primary respiratory acidosis, metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap |
| 3           | 7.34             | 33.1                            | 82.5                            | 17.7                     | –6.9                              | 11.8               | 279.3                   | 4.7                     | primary metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap, full respiratory compensation |
| 4           | 7.47             | 29                              | 108                             | 21.1                     | –1.5                              | 11.3               | 267.9                   | 4.6                     | mixed acute respiratory alkalosis, low level of metabolic acidosis |
| 5           | 7.18             | 46.6                            | 165                             | 16.8                     | –10                               | 8.0                | 283.8                   | 3.1                     | acute (uncompensated) primary respiratory acidosis, metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap |
| 6           | 7.15             | 71.1                            | 33.0                            | 24.2                     | –8.3                              | 13.0               | 281.1                   | 5.7                     | acute (uncompensated) primary respiratory acidosis, metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap |
| 7           | 7.36             | 31.9                            | 75.0                            | 17.6                     | –6.5                              | 16.0               | 292.5                   | 3.6                     | mixed respiratory alkalosis/metabolic acidosis, increased anion gap |
| 8           | 7.39             | 36                              | 69                              | 21.8                     | –3.2                              | 4.4                | 276.3                   | 2.8                     | mixed respiratory alkalosis/metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap |
| 9           | 7.39             | 21.6                            | 95.4                            | 13                       | –9.4                              | 11.1               | 284.2                   | 0.8                     | mixed respiratory alkalosis/metabolic acidosis, increased anion gap |
| 10          | 7.35             | 24.9                            | 69.1                            | 13.4                     | –10.1                             | 11.4               | 291.1                   | 2.2                     | primary metabolic acidosis, normal anion gap, superimposed respiratory alkalosis |

N – norm.

cHCO₃⁻ – level of bicarbonate in the blood (the HCO₃⁻ ion indicates whether a metabolic problem is present, a low HCO₃⁻ indicates metabolic acidosis, a high HCO₃⁻ indicates metabolic alkalosis); cLac – level of lactate in the arterial blood; Osm – osmolality is a variation of molality that takes into account only solutes that contribute to a solution’s osmotic pressure; PaCO₂ – arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (a high PaCO₂ [respiratory acidosis, alternatively hypercapnia] indicates underventilation [or, more rarely, a hypermetabolic disorder], a low PaCO₂ [respiratory alkalosis, alternatively hypocapnia] hyper- or overventilation); PaO₂ – arterial partial pressure of oxygen (a low PaO₂ indicates that the person is not oxygenating properly, and is hypoxemic); pH – blood’s pH; the pH indicates if a person is acidemic (pH <7.35) or alkalemic (pH >7.45).

Anion gap – the difference between certain measured cations (positively charged ions) and the measured anions (negatively charged ions) in serum. If the gap is greater than normal, then high anion gap metabolic acidosis is diagnosed.

Base excess – used for the assessment of the metabolic component of acid-base disorders, and indicates whether the person has metabolic acidosis or metabolic alkalosis.
In literature, there is significant variance in the definitions of rhabdomyolysis and kidney injury/failure, as well as in the research methodologies employed. Some studies included patients according to their discharge statistical coding instead of their laboratory test results. Most of those individuals were people with a severe elevation of CK activity, usually <500.1 ukat/l (30 000 U/l), who were admitted to hospital. Little is known about patients with lower CK activity levels. The risk of developing acute kidney injury, the need for RRT and mortality in this group remain unknown [21].

Fluid replacement is a keystone of rhabdomyolysis treatment [22]. Capillary damage and fluid leakage lead to a “functional” dehydration that requires early, aggressive fluid therapy. All of the patients involved received 4–8 l of fluid i.v. daily, as suggested by other authors [23–25]. Nine patients had acute kidney injury. Only 1 patient had a normal creatinine concentration on admission despite having elevated CK activity. Three patients received treatment with a high volume of fluids (150–350 ml/h i.v.) with alkalization (10 mmol of NaHCO₃ for every 500 ml of crystalloids), which was sufficient to sustain kidney function.

Table 8. Observed complications of severe rhabdomyolysis in a retrospective analysis of patients with massive rhabdomyolysis (measured CK activity >50 000 U/l) caused by xenobiotics, selected from a group of 7708 patients treated at the Regional Toxicological Center in northern Poland, in 2009–2014

| Participant | Other major complications | MOF | Death |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----|-------|
| 1           | pneumonia, hypotension    | yes | yes   |
| 2           | compartment syndrome, hypotension, DIC | yes | no    |
| 3           | pneumonia                 | no  | no    |
| 4           | none                      | yes | no    |
| 5           | compartment syndrome, DIC | yes | no    |
| 6           | pneumonia                 | yes | no    |
| 7           | none                      | no  | no    |
| 8           | none                      | no  | no    |
| 9           | none                      | no  | no    |
| 10          | pneumonia                 | yes | no    |

DIC – disseminated intravascular coagulation; MOF – multi-organ failure.
and prevent the need for RRT. However, no studies have actually compared bicarbonate therapy with fluid therapy alone [23,24]. Continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) provides for fluid removal and solute clearance continuously, 24 h/day, potentially allowing for less hemodynamic instability in critically ill patients. Intermittent hemodialysis (IHD) is highly effective in achieving solute removal by solute clearance, and fluid removal by ultrafiltration. This method seems to be more convenient for stable patients during recovery and rehabilitation. It involves medical staff for a shorter period.

In many cases, an early recognition of rhabdomyolysis leads to the adequate prevention of the development of renal injury, which protects the renal ability to excrete toxic metabolites and stops the “vicious circle” mechanism. In the cases of severe rhabdomyolysis in which multiple organs are already damaged, patients usually require extracorporeal organ support. The effectiveness of IHD was described by Russel [26].

Takizawa et al. [27] reported on a patient with NMS and severe rhabdomyolysis (CK >2667.2 ukat/l [160 000 U/l]). The patient was treated with bromocriptine and dantrolene. Additionally, the following aggressive treatment was used: hydration with alkalinization, 3 plasmapheresis procedures, 5 days of continuous veno-venous haemodiafiltration and intermittent dialysis performed until day 45 of the disease.

In the present study, the authors observed many rhabdomyolysis complications, including metabolic acidosis, hyperkaliemia, hyperphosphatemia, and hypocalcaemia, which can lead to mental state alterations, dysrhythmias, nausea, and vomiting. The release of cellular contents into the bloodstream may lead to hypotonia and renal injury via a mechanism involving fluid translocation from the blood to the damaged muscle tissue [2,9]. Another complication of rhabdomyolysis is the chaotic activation of the coagulation cascade, leading to the development of disseminated intravascular coagulation [9,28]. Massive muscular edema may lead to the development of compartment syndrome, with peripheral perfusion deficit and pain [28]. Severe hypotension or sepsis are other factors that increase the risk of renal injury.

There are only limited data regarding the mortality of patients with rhabdomyolysis. According to Gabow et al. [20], Cervellin et al. [8], and Bagley et al. [29], the mortality rate of patients with rhabdomyolysis is 8–10%. Janković et al. [15] observed mortality in 25.6% of intoxicated patients with CK activity levels >4.17 ukat/l (250 U/l). The observation made in this study revealed the mortality in patients with CK activity levels >833.5 ukat/l (50 000 U/l) to be 10%. Only 30% of the patients were discharged after hospitalization lasting <7 days. Most patients had to undergo intensive therapy and prolonged stays in the intensive care unit, in some cases followed by rehabilitation in lower-level facilities.

This observation shows, in accordance with Keltz et al. [30], that toxic rhabdomyolysis is usually the result of multiple contributing factors.

It should be noted that the size of the studied sample may be too small to draw general conclusions; however, severe rhabdomyolysis is a rare condition, so gathering a large cohort may involve a large, multi-center study. Thus, these observations may prove helpful in the management of patients with severe rhabdomyolysis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The most common cause of massive toxin-induced rhabdomyolysis is the use of psychoactive substances, including ethanol. Most patients developing severe rhabdomyolysis in the study group were diagnosed with substance abuse disorder. The majority of patients presenting with massive toxic rhabdomyolysis had many factors influencing the development of muscle damage. Massive rhabdomyolysis is a pathologic process affecting a number of body systems and constitutes a state of immediate threat to life. Renal replacement therapies are an efficient means of balancing disturbances caused by muscle damage and treating severe rhabdomyolysis complications. Early rec-
ognition of rhabdomyolysis and aggressive treatment initiation may prevent the need for RRT. A decrease in creatinine concentration over the first day of hospitalization may be indicative of a good prognosis despite a high CK activity level.
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