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Abstract

In spite of the vital role entrepreneurship plays in an economy, recent observations especially from the perspective of climate-related economic activities are indications for the inclusive probe of other salient elements responsible for environmental challenges. Considering the aforementioned framework, therefore, this study explores the asymmetric impact of entrepreneurship on the environmental quality for a developing economy such as Turkey. In a unique dimension, this study utilizes the nonlinear autoregressive distributed lag model with the aid of yearly data from 1985–2016 while incorporating other related determinants of environmental sustainability. The estimation results depict the presence of asymmetric short-run and long-run impact of the explanatory variables on environmental quality. Interestingly, the results confirm a negative impact of entrepreneurial activities, energy utilization, financial development, and economic growth on the environmental quality irrespective of the nature (either positive or negative) of the shock in the short and long run. However, a positive (negative) shock in trade openness worsens (improve) environmental quality in the short and long run. Furthermore, the investigation affirms the existence of the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) for Turkey. The main outcome from this paper shows that entrepreneurship has a crucial effect on the environment of Turkey. Generally, this study suggests the introduction of incentives to motivate the creation of sustainable businesses, technological innovation, and smart cities to mitigate its negative impact on the environment is far begging for critical attention in the country.
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Introduction

Entrepreneurship shows a vital source of the development of a country and the stimulation of income generation as well as promotes social responsibilities. To buttress this fact, the current Global Entrepreneurial Report ranked Turkey as the second most entrepreneurial country in the world after India which came first due to its ability of achieving great feasibility, self-funding willingness and experience as well as high early stage business activity (Global Entrepreneurial Report 2020). The report further illustrates that the USA is ranked third after Turkey by virtue of its capacity of supporting new businesses as well as small- and medium-scale enterprises with financial resources. According to the Global Entrepreneurial Monitor (GEM), entrepreneurship has the propensity to enable different countries to reduce poverty and overcome their current economic challenges by reallocating resources from old economic activities to more productive and more effective ones by the high number of skilled entrepreneurs in a country (GEM 2008). Similarly, activities closely linked to entrepreneurship can spur employment opportunities and re-invigorate an era of innovation which are essential for industrial and economic growth. Schumpeter, a renowned economist and the first scholars to create theories on entrepreneurship, stated that entrepreneurial activities are the main tool for economic growth (Schumpeter 1947). New entrepreneurs offer efficient resources with regard to...
recognizing current opportunities, technology, and the concept of marketing, to achieve economic growth.

Research has shown that certain increase in entrepreneurship and innovation improves economic growth, and then later, economic growth increases entrepreneurship. The association among entrepreneurship, innovation, and economic performance is one that requires a careful dissection to understand its effects and associated consequences to a given economy. Urbano and Aparicio (2016) stated that total entrepreneurship activities (TEA) have positive and greater influence on economic performance, similarly Gerceker and Ozel (2014) for Turkey.

Indeed, the effective use of entrepreneurial landscape can increase revenue for an economy and reduce welfare costs and inequality. Turkish, for instance, attracts high caliber entrepreneurship, and its growth depends on its successful entrepreneurs and environmental quality. Turkey is located between key economies in Europe, Central Asia, Middle East, and Russia. Environmental pollution and climate change have been reflected as the greatest challenges to an economy. Environmental pollution has negatively affected economic sustainability for a long time, and attention has been giving to it all over the world to reduce its effect on future economic sustainability. Turkey has been faced with environmental challenges being a top industrial economy with rapid urbanization and population growth, which consequently affect its growth and development. The challenges can manifest in various forms such as climate change, deforestation, and water-related issues (European Environmental Agency 2015).

A great number of studies consider entrepreneurship as one of the major elements responsible for these environmental pollutants. Cohen and Winn (2007) and Riti et al (2015) indicated in their various research that entrepreneurship contributes to environmental degradation. Nevertheless, others believed entrepreneurship reduces pollution; York and Venkataraman (2010) and Shepherd and Patzett (2011).

Financial institutions are relevant for economic growth especially in developing economies by providing sufficient capital for businesses and productivity, by acquiring advanced technology and managerial skills. Financial institutions also create alternative jobs and encourage innovations and competitiveness which are highly important for sustainable economic growth. Notwithstanding the significance of financial development in promoting economic growth, it also has disadvantages. With the contribution of financial institutions to Turkish economy, it will be instructive to test the impact of the growth in financial development on the environmental sustainability of Turkey. Many studies have confirmed the positive and negative impact of financial development on environmental quality (Lv and Li 2021; Abbasi and Riaz 2016).

Thus, the main purpose of this paper is to analyze the asymmetric short-run and long-run association among entrepreneurship and environmental quality by incorporating the economic performance, trade openness, financial development and nonrenewable energy use for the period of 1985–2016 in Turkey. Although several researches have outlined the consequence of entrepreneurship on Turkish economy, researchers have yet to analyze the asymmetric association between entrepreneurship and the quality of environment in Turkey. On this note, the present study uniquely explores this relationship by using the nonlinear autoregressive distributed lag (NARDL) model by testing the asymmetric relations among the variables. This perspective is germane in the literature of environmental sustainability, and especially in the case of a developing economy such as Turkey. Thus, the contribution to the existing literature is from the standpoint of both empirically and theoretically approaches. This is achieved by examining the association of entrepreneurship and environmental quality (with carbon dioxide, CO2 as a proxy) by incorporating the financial development, economic performance, nonrenewable energy, and openness. Specifically, to the best of our knowledge, none of the prior research has considered the short-run and long run asymmetric nexus between entrepreneurship and environmental quality in Turkey by employing NARDL approach.

The remaining parts of this work are constructed as follows. The following part makes a comprehensive review of the previous studies. The third part offers the data and methods. Part four introduces the estimation results, and economic implications. The last part of the paper concludes our study with policy implications.

Literature review

Several researchers had analyzed the influence of entrepreneurship on economic growth but very few on how it impacts the environment. For instance, York and Venkataraman (2010), Shepherd and Patzett (2011), and Omri and Afi (2020) applied different approaches to examine the linkage between entrepreneurship and environmental sustainability. Specifically, York and Venkataraman (2010) employed the entrepreneurship theory to present a model that illustrates the relevance of entrepreneurial action in addressing the fundamental factors confronting environmental quality. While noting the direct bearing environmental effects of uncertainty, innovation, and resource allocation, York and Venkataraman (2010) opined that the outlined model adopts entrepreneur action to solve these problems arising from environmental uncertainty, triggers innovation, and resource allocation. Similarly, Omri and Afi (2020) implemented the system Generalized Method of Moments (Sys-GMM) to investigate the impact of entrepreneurship and educational capital (government spending on education) on the environmental quality of selected 32 developing economies over the period.
2001–2015. The study found that entrepreneurship contributes to economic growth and, on the centrally, impact the environment negatively by contributing to the increase in environmental degradation. At the same time, the study found that government spending on education reduces environmental degradation in the examined panel countries. Additionally, Nakamura and Managi (2020) designated that the influence of entrepreneurship on the environment varies across the economies. The study revealed that in high-income economies, the effects of entrepreneurial activities on ecological pollution are low compared to low-income economies which causes more pollution. Thus, the study revealed a U-shaped association between marginal cost of CO₂ and entrepreneurship in the stages of economic development. However, in the study of Cohen and Winn (2007), the investigation motioned that entrepreneurship promotes environmental pollution. As such, the study concluded that sustainable entrepreneurship has the possibility to reduce emissions and enhance environmental quality by providing the opportunity for innovative technologies in different sectors.

Over the past decades, several studies have illustrated the relationship among the economic performance or indicators and environmental quality for many cases, countries and regions with different analyses. Some of the studies offered that increase in gross domestic product (GDP) deteriorates the environment (Balsalobre-Lorente et al. 2018; Saint Akadiri et al. 2020). On the other hand, many studies have also reported the desirability of economic growth in mitigating carbon emission (Alola et al. 2021; Umar et al. 2021). For instance, Balsalobre-Lorente et al. (2018) implemented the case of the European Union 5 (EU-5) countries (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and the UK) over the period 1985–2016 to reveal that an increase in GDP dampens environmental quality. Specifically, the study revealed that the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation is an N-shaped pattern. In another study, Alola et al. (2019) examined the importance of attaining sustainability with respect to decreasing the level of deterioration in the environment of the EU members using the ARDL model from the period 1997 to 2014. Importantly, the study found that a rise in real GDP escalates the quality of the environment. While this perspective has been illustrated in the literature, Cai et al. (2018) found more interesting result when the ARDL was employed to test the association among energy, environment quality, and economy for G7 countries. Specifically, the study discovered that there is no evidence of cointegration among the examined variables in the US, UK, Canada, Italy, and France except in Germany and Japan.

Moreover, Cowan et al. (2014) implemented the bootstrap panel causality approach that is capable of accounting for cross section dependency and heterogeneity dynamics for the case of the Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa (the BRICS economies) over the period 1990–2010. Specifically, the study examined the causal link among electricity use, environmental deterioration, and economic growth for the in a panel Granger causality approach. Thus, Cowan et al. (2014) found non-existence of Granger causality between economic growth and environmental degradation in India and China. However, a feedback hypothesis of GDP and environmental degradation exists for Russia, and GDP causes CO₂ emissions while for Brazil from CO₂ emission to GDP. While considering the role of financial development and globalization, AhA-til et al. (2019) used nonlinear autoregressive distributed lag methods to investigate symmetric and asymmetric effect of financial development, globalization, energy consumption, and economic growth on environmental degradation in China over the period 1970Q1-2015Q4. Importantly, the results showed the existence of the asymmetric effect of development in financial sector, economic growth, and globalization on carbon emission in the long run, while the short run revealed a symmetric effect from financial development and economic growth. Thus, the findings showed that economic growth, social globalization, and energy consumption positively impact carbon emission symmetrically, while the impact of financial development, political globalization, and overall globalization are without a significant effect. Nevertheless, Ibrahiem (2020) used a battery of empirical techniques that include auto-regressive distributed lag, fully modified ordinary least square, Stock and Watson dynamic ordinary least square, and the Toda–Yamamoto approaches to examine how alternative energy, technological innovation, economic expansion, and financial development affect Egypt’s carbon mitigation drive during the period 1971–2014. While confirming an evidence of cointegration among the examined variables, the study discovered that financial development and economic expansion in Egypt escalate CO₂ emissions. Meanwhile, the result revealed that technological innovation and alternative energy utilization are statistically significant drivers of sustainable environment in Egypt.

Evidently, many of the aforementioned literature as well as those shown in Table1 have attempted to extend the analysis of the entrepreneurship–growth–environmental degradation relationship in different perspectives. However, the current study seeks to further expand the literature by examining the symmetric and asymmetric perspective of entrepreneurship–environmental degradation nexus amidst other influences for a developing economy.

**Materials and methods**

Given the heterogeneity of the obtained results in the literature, this study pursues the nature of the nonlinear linkage between environmental quality (CO₂) and entrepreneurship (ENT) for the second most entrepreneurial country in the world, Turkey to contribute to the existing literature. This
| Authors                          | Year         | Country     | Variables                          | Results                                                                                                                                                  |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Philip et al. (2021)            | 1970–2017    | Turkey      | GDP, CO₂, FDI, ENC, and URB       | The positive impact of real GDP per capita on the environment in Turkey                                                                                 |
| Karasoy (2019)                  | 1965–2015    | Turkey      | FD, RENC, CO₂, NREN, TO            | FD aggravate environmental pollution Positive shock of RENC and NREN increase CO₂ TO increases emission                                             |
| Ozturk and Acaraci (2013)       | 1960–2007    | Turkey      | FD, CO₂, GDP, TO, ENC              | TO increases CO₂                                                                                                                                       |
| Ahmed et al (2021)              | 1971–2016    | Japan       | EP, FD, GDP, ENC, GLB, POP         | FD increases footprint                                                                                                                                  |
| Ibrahiem (2020)                 | 1971–2014    | Egypt       | GDP, FD, CO₂, TI, and clean energy sources | FD reduces environmental quality TI and energy sources improve environmental quality                                                                   |
| Omoke et al. (2020)             | 1971–2014    | Nigeria     | GDP, ENC, FD, CO₂, URB, and EXR    | Negative shock of FD increases CO₂ emission, while the positive shock of FD reduces CO₂ emission                                                        |
| Mikayiloy et al. (2018)         | 1992–2013    | Azerbaijan  | GDP, CO₂, and population           | Increase in GDP worsens environmental deterioration                                                                                                   |
| Riti et al. (2015)              | 2000–2012    | Nigeria     | ENT, CO₂, GDP, HD, and service sector | ENT increases CO₂                                                                                                                                   |
| Shahbaz et al (2013)            | 1965–2008    | South Africa | GDP, CO₂, FD, TO, and coal consumption | Economic growth increases CO₂ TO contribute to environmental quality                                                                                   |
| Saboobi et al (2012)            | 1980–2009    | Malaysia    | CO₂, RGDGP                         | GDP increases CO₂                                                                                                                                 |
| Zhang (2011)                    | 1994–2009    | China       | FD,CO₂, GDP, FDI, stock market     | FD increases CO₂                                                                                                                                     |
| Lv and Li (2021)                | 2000–2014    | 97 countries | FD, CO₂, GDP, POP,EU, URB, and TO  | FD increases environmental quality                                                                                                                      |
| Sharma et al (2020)             | 1990–2015    | 8 developing countries of Southeast Asia | GDP, REN,EP, POP, life expectancy | REN improves environmental quality                                                                                                                     |
| He et al (2020)                 | 2015–2017    | 67 countries | CO₂, ENT, GDP, APS, NES            | Entrepreneurship has positive effect on environmental quality                                                                                         |
| Bekun et al. (2019)             | 1996–2014    | 16 EU-Countries | RENC, NREN, GDP, and CO₂ emission | GDP increases CO₂ emission                                                                                                                             |
| Balsalobre-Lorente et al. (2018)| 1985–2016    | 5 EU countries | GDP, CO₂, RENC,TO, EI, and NR      | Economic growth increases CO₂ emission                                                                                                               |
| Dhahri and Omri (2018)          | 2001–2012    | 20 developing countries | ENT, CO₂, GDP, HD | ENT increases GDP                                                                                                                                  |
| Omri (2018)                     | 2001–2011    | 69 countries | ENT, CO₂ emission, GDP, TO, ENC, FD, and human development | The effects of entrepreneurial activities of high-income economies on the environmental pollution are low compared to low-income countries which causes more pollution |
Table 1 (continued)

| Authors               | Year      | Country                           | Variables                                      | Results                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Youssef et al. (2018) | 2001–2014 | 17 African countries              | ENT, CO₂, GDP, HD, IQ, INV, FD, ENC, and TO    | Formal and informal entrepreneurship contributes to reducing the quality of environment. However, informal entrepreneurship causes more environmental degradation compared to formal entrepreneurship |
| Iorember et al (2019)| 1990Q1-2014Q4 | Nigeria and South Africa   | RENC, TO, GDP, CO₂                             | TO has significant and positive effect on CO₂ emission                  |
| Saidi and Hammani (2016)| 1990–2012| Latin America and the Caribbean | GDP, ENC, CO₂, FDI, L, and K                   | CO₂ emission has a negative impact on GDP                                |
| Cowan et al (2014)    | 1990–2010 | BRICS                             | ELEC, GDP, CO₂                                 | ELEC improves CO₂                                                        |
| Al-Mulali and Sab (2012)| 1980–2008| Sub-Saharan African countries     | ENC, GDP, FD, CO₂                              | ENC improves economic performance and FD                                  |

ENT, entrepreneurship; CO₂, carbon emission; RGDP, real gross domestic product; HD, human development; TO, trade openness; ENC, energy consumption; FD, financial development; INV, innovation; RENC, renewable energy consumption; NREC, nonrenewable energy consumption; FDI, foreign direct investment; URB, urbanization; L, labor force; K, capital stock; TI, technological innovation; EXR, exchange rate; ELEC, electricity; EP, ecological footprint; POP, population; EU, energy use; GLB, globalization; MKT CAP, market capitalization; IQ, institutional quality; APS, adult population survey; NES, national expert survey; EI, energy innovation; NR, natural resources; SMT, stock market turnover

relationship is examined with the help of the variables, financial development (FD), openness (TO), energy use (EU), and economic performance (Y). CO₂ emission, as a dependent variable in our empirical estimation, is used to indicate the environmental quality in our estimations and measured in kilogram per 2010 US$ of gross domestic product (GDP). Financial development was measured by domestic credit to private sector by banks in Turkey measured in the percentage of GDP. Openness of country is expressed as the summation of invisible and visible trade as the percentage of GDP. The indicator of energy use is assessed in kilogram of oil equivalent per capita, while economic performance is measured in per capita GDP (constant 2010 US$). The total number of new registered businesses is used to assess entrepreneurship activity in Turkey. The model for our study has been constructed with the light of recent studies by Philip et al. (2021), Karasoy (2019) and Youssef et al. (2018).

Depending on the availability of the data, yearly data are used for the period 1985–2016 for estimation output. The World Bank’s World Development Indicator (WDI) Database is used to obtain the required data for sampled variables except for the entrepreneurship variable. The data for entrepreneurship activities in Turkey have been compiled from the Union of Chambers and Commodity Exchanges of Turkey (TOBB) official website.

**Preliminary estimates**

To get robust results, the logarithmic form of the variables is used, and their normality assumptions are tested according to the Jarque–Bera test statistics. Normality assumption is valid for all variables. On the other hand, the linkage among all investigated variables is introduced in the below equation:

\[
\text{CO}_2_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ENT} + \beta_2 Y + \beta_3 EU + \beta_4 FD + \beta_5 TO + \epsilon_t
\]

(1)

where \(\text{CO}_2_t\) indicates the environmental quality in period \(t\), \(\text{ENT}\), represents the total number of new registered businesses in period \(t\); \(Y\) stands for the per capita GDP in period \(t\); \(EU\) shows the non-renewable energy use in period \(t\); \(FD\), signifies the financial development in period \(t\); and \(TO\) signifies the trade openness in period \(t\), while \(\beta_0\) is a constant term; \(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, and \beta_5\) are the respective coefficients of independent variables. The \(\epsilon_t\) stands for the residuals of the empirical estimation.

**Method**

Moreover, we believe that entrepreneurship and environmental quality are very sensitive to the instabilities in the economic and political decisions. Thus, changes in economic and political policies may cause positive and negative shocks for these variables in short run and long run. Like entrepreneurship and environmental degradation, openness and financial development also are affected by the inconsistent policies. However, traditional time series models stated in the previous section are not able to capture the asymmetric and nonlinear effect among variables. In this model, besides,
we assume the nonlinear relationship among variables, the systematic adjustments are also expected to be observed. For this purpose, the nonlinear autoregressive distributed lag model (NARDL) is engaged to investigate the reaction of environmental quality for the different shocks of the explanatory variables. The NARDL is a strengthened version of the ARDL model (Peseran et al. 2001).

### The NARDL method

The model of nonlinear ARDL proposed by Shin et al. (2014) helps us to capture the relationship of differently integrated variables and assures robust results with low observation levels. Additionally, since the model eliminates the endogeneity problems and corrects the residual serial correlations, the negative and positive shocks for investigated variables can be captured with the following equation:

\[ \text{CO}_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ENT}^+ + \beta_2 \text{ENT}^- + \beta_3 Y^+ + \beta_4 Y^- + \beta_5 \text{EU}^+ + \beta_6 \text{EU}^- + \beta_7 \text{FD}^+ + \beta_8 \text{FD}^- + \beta_9 \text{TO}^+ + \beta_{10} \text{TO}^- + \epsilon_i \]

where + and – signs on the independent variables denote the positive and negative components of the explanatory variables. Moreover, the partial sum process can be used to synthesize the components of the shocks in the model. The process is presented below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ENT}_i^+ &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t}^+ = \Delta \text{ENT}_i, \\
\text{ENT}_i^- &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t}^- = \Delta \text{ENT}_i, \\
Y_i^+ &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta Y_{i,t}^+ = \Delta Y_i, \\
Y_i^- &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta Y_{i,t}^- = \Delta Y_i, \\
\text{EU}_i^+ &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t}^+ = \Delta \text{EU}_i, \\
\text{EU}_i^- &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t}^- = \Delta \text{EU}_i, \\
\text{FD}_i^+ &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{FD}_{i,t}^+ = \Delta \text{FD}_i, \\
\text{FD}_i^- &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{FD}_{i,t}^- = \Delta \text{FD}_i, \\
\text{TO}_i^+ &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{TO}_{i,t}^+ = \Delta \text{TO}_i, \\
\text{TO}_i^- &= \sum_{t=1}^{s} \Delta \text{TO}_{i,t}^- = \Delta \text{TO}_i.
\end{align*}
\]

The term dynamics may be encompassed as follows:

\[
\Delta \text{CO}_2 = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 \text{CO}_{2,t-1} + \beta_1 \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^+ + \beta_2 \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^- + \gamma_1 Y_{i,t-1}^+ + \gamma_2 Y_{i,t-1}^- + \lambda_1 \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^+ + \lambda_2 \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^- + \phi_3 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^+ + \phi_4 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^- +
\]

\[
\psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^+ + \psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^- + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta \text{CO}_{2,t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_1 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_2 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_3 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_4 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_5 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_6 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^- + \phi_3 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^+ + \phi_4 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^- + \epsilon_i)
\]

With the addition of the error correction term (ECT), Eq. 4 is converted into the error correction model:

\[
\Delta \text{CO}_2 = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 \text{CO}_{2,t-1} + \beta_1 \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^+ + \beta_2 \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^- + \gamma_1 Y_{i,t-1}^+ + \gamma_2 Y_{i,t-1}^- + \lambda_1 \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^+ + \lambda_2 \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^- + \phi_3 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^+ + \phi_4 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^- +
\]

\[
\psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^+ + \psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^- + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta \text{CO}_{2,t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_1 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_2 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_3 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_4 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_5 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_6 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^- + \phi_3 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^+ + \phi_4 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^- + \epsilon_i) + \eta \text{ECT}_{t-1} + \epsilon_i
\]

\[
\psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^+ + \psi_i \text{TO}_{i,t-1}^- + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta \text{CO}_{2,t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_1 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_2 \Delta \text{ENT}_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_3 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_4 \Delta Y_{i,t-1}^- + \theta_5 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^+ + \theta_6 \Delta \text{EU}_{i,t-1}^- + \phi_3 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^+ + \phi_4 \text{FD}_{i,t-1}^- + \epsilon_i) + \eta \text{ECT}_{t-1} + \epsilon_i
\]
The short-run dynamics are indicated with a different operator which is denoted $\Delta$ in the above equation. Moreover, level variables are used to capture the long-run dynamics. Here, in this equation, the lag orders are signified with $q$ and $p$. The information of the effects of positive and negative shocks in the explanatory variables on environmental quality is captured with the values of $$ \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_i^+) + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_i^-) $$ and $$ \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_i^+) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\theta_i^-) $$.

Furthermore, the presence or lack of the cointegration relationship among variables is tested by employing the Wald test. The testing hypothesis of the Wald test is given in the following equation:

$$ H_0 : \beta_1' = \gamma_1' = 0 $$

$$ H_0 : \beta_1' \neq \gamma_1' $$

Following this step, the existence of the long-run asymmetries will be examined among the investigated variables by using the hypothesis of $H_0 : \beta_1^+ = \beta_1^-$ or otherwise and $H_0 : \gamma_1^+ = \gamma_1^-$. At the last step, the long-run estimation output of NARDL is confirmed with the fully modified ordinary least squared model (FMOLS) and the goodness of fit for the NARDL model. The result obtained is further checked through the cumulative sum of recursive residuals (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of recursive residuals squares (CUSUMSQ) stability tests.

**Results and discussion**

In this study, the purpose is to assess the short-run and long-run asymmetric association between entrepreneurship and environmental quality for the second most entrepreneurial country, Turkey. The period is investigated between 1985 and 2016 due to data availability. To this extent, as the first step, the level of integration for the investigated variables is tested and the level of integration for each variable is determined. To do this, we employed several unit root tests depending on their benefits and drawbacks. All of these tests are presented in Table 1 and display that sampled variables are stationary at their first-differenced form. Furthermore, Zivot and Andrews (1992) test is utilized to detect the structural break of the investigated variables. This test suggests that 1994, 1998, 1999, and 2011 are the break years for openness, financial development, entrepreneurship, and economic growth, respectively. However, the break year for both environmental quality and non-renewable energy use has been calculated for 2003. The reason is, in 1994 and 2001, Turkey has experienced an economic and political crisis. Large governmental expenditures, high-interest rates, and failure to implement structural reforms have delayed solving fundamental problems. Therefore, the economy spent a long time recovering itself. On the other hand, the energy sector was mostly owned by the public until 2001. To meet the rising energy consumption and to improve the functioning of the energy sector, many incentives have been provided to the private sector to encourage them to invest in energy projects. However, the desired results could not be obtained until 2001. After 2001, with the harmful effect of the global economic

---

**Table 2** Stationarity test results

| Variables | ADF | PP | DF-GLS | KPSS | Zivot-Andrews Test |
|-----------|-----|----|--------|------|-------------------|
|           | Level | $\Delta$ | Level | $\Delta$ | Level | $\Delta$ | Level | $\Delta$ | Level | $\Delta$ | Break year |
| CO$_2$    | $-0.414$ | $-6.42^{***}$ | $-0.044$ | $-7.758^{***}$ | $-0.526$ | $-6.135^{***}$ | $0.739$ | $0.160^{***}$ | $-4.52$ | $-6.824^{***}$ | 2003 |
| ENT       | $-1.789$ | $-5.179^{***}$ | $-1.879$ | $-5.171^{***}$ | $-1.316$ | $-5.271^{***}$ | $0.510$ | $0.059^{***}$ | $-4.248$ | $-5.818^{***}$ | 1999 |
| Y         | $0.028$ | $-5.822^{***}$ | $0.285$ | $-5.995^{***}$ | $1.019$ | $-5.839^{***}$ | $0.743$ | $0.121^{***}$ | $-3.283$ | $-5.638^{***}$ | 2011 |
| EU        | $-0.223$ | $-5.934^{***}$ | $0.277$ | $-7.285^{***}$ | $0.683$ | $-5.989^{***}$ | $0.737$ | $0.196^{***}$ | $-3.934$ | $-5.733^{***}$ | 2003 |
| FD        | $2.173$ | $-3.643^{***}$ | $1.912$ | $-3.607^{***}$ | $0.661$ | $-3.685^{***}$ | $0.539$ | $0.517^{***}$ | $-2.268$ | $-6.198^{***}$ | 1998 |
| TO        | $-1.729$ | $-5.461^{***}$ | $-1.476$ | $-7.096^{***}$ | $-1.508$ | $-4.524^{***}$ | $0.639$ | $0.289^{***}$ | $-5.334$ | $-5.579^{***}$ | 1994 |

***States the significance level at 1%

**Table 3** Bound test results

| K | Calculated F-statistic | 1% LB | 1% UB | 5% LB | 5% UB | 10% LB | 10% UB |
|---|------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|--------|--------|
| 6 | 6.47                   | 3.15  | 4.43 | 2.45  | 3.61 | 2.12   | 3.23   |

Note: UB: upper critical bound LB: lower critical bound

---

1 Dickey-Fuller generalized least squares (DF-GLS) test (Elliot et al.,1996), KPSS test ( Kwiatkowski et al.1992) test Augmented Dickey-Fuller(ADF) test (Dickey and Fuller 1979) and Phillips and Perron (1990).
and financial crisis, Turkish government decided to privatize the lignite mines, power plants, and distribution activities in the electricity sector. For this reason, it affects the efficiency in the production of energy, as well as an investment in the renewable energy sector will contribute to the environmental quality and can cause sustainability. The structural breaks with unit root amplify the applicability of the nonlinear ARDL model to our research.

### The NARDL result and discussion

On the other hand, as the first step, the bounds test is employed as a pre-condition of the NARDL model to extract the long-run association among investigated variables. Table 1 represents the findings of the bounds test and shows the presence of cointegration among the variables. Since the upper critical bound (4.43) at 1% of significance level is smaller than the calculated F-statistic (6.47), the Ho is rejected, which indicated the cointegration amidst variables exists. Also, the test result indicates that any changes in the level of entrepreneurship and other explanatory variables have significant effects on the environmental quality, and this proves our theoretical assumptions (Tables 2 and 3).

Moreover, to scrutinize the asymmetric effects of investigated variables on environmental quality, the NARDL method is employed and elaborated in Table 4.

Although the magnitudes of the shocks are different in both terms, the coefficients of all investigated variables are statistically significant at different level of significance. Therefore, it can easily be understood that the investigated variables have asymmetric relations with environmental quality. The coefficient of ECT is negative and statistically significant at 1% level. This confirms the presence of cointegration. The coefficient of ECT is 0.532, which concludes that 53.2% of the disequilibrium in the environmental quality is rectified in the short run given the independent variables. Given the significant coefficients of entrepreneurship in both terms, a 1% increment in entrepreneurship (due to a positive shock) deteriorates the environmental quality by 0.109% at 1% significance level, while 1% decrease in entrepreneurship

| Table 4 | Estimation output |
|---------|-------------------|
| Short Run Variables | Coefficient | P-values |
| D(LENT+) | 0.109 | 0.001*** |
| D(LENT−) | 0.044 | 0.016** |
| D(LEU+) | 1.479 | 0.000*** |
| D(LEU−) | 0.701 | 0.010*** |
| D(LFD+) | 0.088 | 0.013** |
| D(LFD−) | 0.198 | 0.017** |
| D(LTO+) | 0.129 | 0.091* |
| D(LTO−) | −0.393 | 0.002*** |
| D(LY+) | 0.943 | 0.000*** |
| D(LY−) | 0.237 | 0.030** |
| ECT(-1) | −0.532 | 0.000*** |
| Long Run Variable | Coefficient | Prob |
| LENT+ | 0.006 | 0.059* |
| LENT− | 0.028 | 0.017** |
| LEU+ | 1.383 | 0.000*** |
| LEU− | 1.001 | 0.001*** |
| LFD+ | 0.037 | 0.014** |
| LFD− | 0.012 | 0.084* |
| LTO+ | 0.044 | 0.052* |
| LTO− | −0.061 | 0.046** |
| LY+ | 0.187 | 0.045** |
| LY− | 0.082 | 0.079* |
| C | 0.766 | 0.000*** |

***, **, and * denote the significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively
Consequently, this will lead to an increase in CO2 emissions and investments, which raises the energy demand in the country. Increased access to credits attracts and increases foreign and domestic investment in the market, increasing the consumption of carbon-intensive products. These results are parallel with Dhahri and Omri (2018), Youssef et al (2018), and He et al. (2020). To this end, Youssef et al. (2018) suggested that innovation and institutional quality should be supported and developed by policymakers to make sustainable formal entrepreneurship to decrease the level of environmental degradation.

Moreover, the coefficients of FD are statistically significant in both terms. If there is a 1% increase in FD, then on average, environmental degradation will increase by 0.088%, whereas a one-percent fall in FD will contribute to the environment by 0.198%, in the short run. Similarly, it can easily be understood the asymmetric relation between FD and environmental degradation because positive and negative shocks significantly contribute to environmental degradation in the long run, but do not have the same contribution level in both terms. These findings are parallel to the Karasol (2019) study and Ozturk and Acaravci (2013) research which are done for Turkish economy.

A positive and negative shock of inadequate utilization of energy usage deteriorates environmental quality in both terms. The coefficients of the EU show that the rise in energy use in Turkey by one percent will cause a rise in environmental degradation level and declines the environmental quality by 1.479%, while the coefficient of EU is significant at 1% and indicates that 1% decrease in energy use will increase the quality of environmental by 0.701%. These coefficients, in the long run, are 1.383 and 1.001 and show the same effect on environmental quality in Turkey. These results are parallel to Sharma et al (2020) and Acharya (2003) and demonstrate that an increase in the accessibility to credits in the market increases the consumption of carbon-intensive products (Zhang 2011). Also, accessibility to credits attracts and increases foreign and domestic investments which raises the energy demand in the country. Consequently, this will lead to an increase in CO2 emissions and cause higher environmental degradation.

However, concerning the coefficients of economic growth, a one percent rise in economic performance (due to a positive shock in the economy) will lead to deterioration of the environment by 0.943% in the short run, on average. A 1% decline in the level of economic performance (arising from a negative shock in the economy) will also cause a decline in environmental degradation by 0.237%, on average. Moreover, a one percent increase in economic performance will cause 0.187% increase in environmental degradation in the long run, on average, while a 1% decrease in the economic performance will contribute to the environmental quality by 0.082%, on average. These significant positive and negative shock coefficients in both terms prove the asymmetric relation between these two variables. These results prove that a raise in economic performance will increase productivity and induce output expansion and more energy demand which raises the level of environmental degradation. The findings synchronized with those of Philip et al. (2021) and Ahmed et al (2021) on the Turkish economy.

Furthermore, interestingly, a positive shock in openness will raise environmental degradation by 0.129%, while a negative shock of openness will also raise environmental degradation by 0.393%, on average. Also, positive shocks in TO significantly contribute to CO2 emission and deteriorate the environmental sustainability by 0.044%, on average, while a 1% increase in negative shocks of TO will increase environmental pollution by 0.061%, on average. Results are consistent with Audi and Ali (2018) and Holladay (2016). This may be because Turkey is an import dependent country and negative shocks on TO can cause people to be sensitive to imported products and continue to consume more traditional and carbon emitter products.

Here, it is very important to conclude that since one unit change in independent variables does not cause the same changes in the dependent variable, the results prove the presence of a nonlinear and asymmetric association among investigated variables.

### Diagnostic and robustness estimates

Following this, we added GDP squares into the model and check the validity of EKC hypothesis with FMOLS model. The FMOLS is also employed to confirm the consistency and the robustness of the long-run effects of the investigated variables on the environmental quality in Turkey. Table 4 of
the NARDL model proves that it is statistically significant and the long-run effect of the independent variables on environmental degradation. At a first glance, according to the estimation output of FMOLS model, the inverted U-shaped of the EKC hypothesis is confirmed for Turkey since \( Y \) and \( Y^2 \) have positive and negative statistically significant coefficients (see Table 5). Accordingly, a rise in economic performance first raises environmental pollution and decreases environmental quality. After some level of performance, the level of environmental pollution leads to decline and this will lead to a rise in environmental quality. Moreover, the increase in entrepreneurship by 1% will deteriorate with 0.017% of extra carbon dioxide emission to the air. A one percent rise in energy demand in Turkey will rise environmental deterioration by 1.116%, and an increase in financial development by 1% will have smaller impact on environmental degradation and increase it by 0.006%. However, the overall effect of TO shows that the 1% increase in import and export of the economy will decrease in environmental degradation and cause an increase in environmental quality by 0.142%. Additionally, the magnitude of economic performance on environmental quality is significant. Thus, among all variables, an increase in GDP will lead to having the most powerful effect on environmental degradation. An increase in the economic performance of Turkey by one percent will raise CO\(_2\) emission by 5.327%. Nevertheless, then, it will raise the environmental quality by 0.293%.

Robustness estimates

To test the reliability of the coefficients, the diagnostic tests are employed and presented in Table 6. Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey (BPG) and ARCH test are employed for heteroscedasticity test, and Breusch-Godfrey LM (BG-LM) test and Jarque–Bera test are employed for testing autocorrelation and normality. Also, for model specification, the Ramsey RESET test is utilized. All findings reveal that our model is free from these statistical issues.

Moreover, the stability of the NARDL model is tested at the last step. To this end, CUSUM and CUSUMSQ stability tests are employed and, respectively, presented in Figs. 1 and 2. The findings of these tests prove the stability of the developed model since the plots of the tests are located within the 5% significance critical bounds.

Conclusion

The main purpose of this research was to verify the short-run and long-run asymmetric impacts of entrepreneurship on environmental quality incorporating with economic performance, openness, energy and financial development. For this purpose, data for Turkey were gathered from World Bank WDI and TOBB in annual basis for the period 1985–2016. Providing extended theoretical relationship between

| Tests        | Statistics | Probability |
|--------------|------------|-------------|
| BG-LM test   | 1.561      | 0.275       |
| BPG          | 6.410      | 0.004       |
| RESET        | 1.471      | 0.1796      |
| ARCH         | 1.138      | 0.2953      |
| Jarque–Bera test | 0.444 | 0.800 |

Fig. 1 CUSUM test result

Fig. 2 CUSUMSQ test result
entrepreneurship and environmental quality, an empirical NARDL model has been developed to achieve our purpose and NARDL bounds test has been employed to investigate cointegration among sampled variables. To test the strength and consistency of the estimation results, the long-run coefficients are estimated with another model, i.e., FMOLS, and consistency has been approved.

The results of this study, in general, provide that an increase or decrease in the degree of entrepreneurship and other investigated variables has statistically significant association with environmental quality, and this proves our asymmetric short-run and long-run deterioration effects of the sampled variables on environment assumptions. In the previous section, the empirical findings are well discussed with their reasons in detail. As a summary, estimation results showed that the overall effects of all investigated variables increase environmental degradation except for trade openness. These results showed that entrepreneurial activities deteriorate the environment in Turkey, since economic growth is prioritized to environmental quality/sustainability in emerging economies.

Furthermore, the validity of EKC hypothesis was tested with FMOLS model. This model was also employed to confirm the significance and the long-run outcome of the investigated variables on the environmental degradation and to test their robustness in Turkey. Additionally, the inverted U-shaped EKC hypothesis was also confirmed in this model. The EKC hypothesis was confirmed for Turkish economy since the coefficient of economic performance is positive and the coefficient of the square of it is negative and statistically significant. Accordingly, an increase in the economic performance first emits large emissions to the environment and deteriorates environmental quality, and after a certain level of GDP, the growth in economic performance will cause a decline in the environmental pollution and increases environmental quality. The reason is that people tend to consume more capital intensive environmentally friendly products since they prioritize the environment with an increase in income level.

The literature gap is filled by testing the asymmetric impact of entrepreneurship on environmental quality in Turkey. The topic has limited recognition in the literature. Most of the studies are based on entrepreneurship and how it contributes to economic growth. This study provides vital suggestions for researchers and entrepreneurs when having the decision to set up a business to have a better understanding of how economic regulations can simplify the growth of entrepreneurship, and also, motivate the creation of new businesses by introducing an incentive to increase the number of entrepreneurs and to register their business when possible. Moreover, opportunities should be created for technological innovation and green building to minimize environmental degradation. Future studies may use different indicators for environmental quality to develop this relationship, such as environmental performance index, and focus on how to minimize the negative impact on the environment. Moreover, panel data can be used to make the comparison about the nature of asymmetric relationship among all emerging economies that have the same economic structure.
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