Associations between eating behaviors and muscle strength among Chinese adults: A population-based cross-sectional study
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Abstract
Background: Poor eating behaviors are associated with imbalances in energy and food intake, which may directly or indirectly contribute to muscle strength loss. This study aimed to investigate the association between eating behaviors and muscle strength in Chinese adults.
Methods: We designed a cross-sectional study of 2,036 Chinese individuals aged 25–68 years, conducted in 2017. Eating behaviors, including breakfast consumption, snacking after dinner, and eating rate, were assessed using a self-administered questionnaire. Muscle strength was determined by grip strength and assessed using a digital grip dynamometer.
Results: After final adjustments for confounding factors, grip strength was measured as 35.2 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 34.6–35.8), 36.0 (95%CI: 35.6–36.4), and 36.9 (95%CI: 36.6–37.2) for those who skipped, occasionally ate, and regularly ate breakfast, respectively (p for trend < 0.001). Those who always, sometimes, and seldom snacked after dinner, respectively (p for trend <0.001), reported the following grip strength: 34.6 (95%CI: 33.9–35.2), 36.2 (95%CI: 35.8–36.6), and 36.8 (95%CI: 36.5–37.2). Significant associations were not found between eating rate and grip strength.
Conclusions: Present study showed that higher frequency of breakfast consumption and lower frequency of snacking after dinner were associated with better muscle strength in Chinese adults. Therefore, eating behaviors may be involved in muscle function.

Background
The age-associated loss of skeletal muscle mass [1], called sarcopenia, has been postulated as a major factor in the decline of strength with aging [2]. Poor muscle strength is related to functional impairment [3], disability [4], falls [5], loss of independence, and mortality [6–8] in older adults. In addition, a six-year follow-up study has demonstrated that, even in middle-aged individuals, the risk of mortality in those with lower grip strength is more than twice that of those with higher grip strength [9]. Therefore, preventing muscle strength decline is important for well-being.
Meanwhile, eating behaviors are critical human health, associated with many health outcomes. In a Japanese study, people with more than two unhealthy eating behaviors have shown a higher incidence of depressive symptoms compared with those with fewer than two unhealthy eating
behaviors [10]. In a different study, self-reported eating rate is positively associated with the presence of metabolic syndrome in Japanese men and women [11]. Other studies have also shown that night eating can cause nutritional imbalance [12], and compared with irregular breakfast consumption, regular breakfast consumption contributes to higher nutrient intake, such as dietary fiber, iron, calcium, and folate [13]. As such, night eating and irregular breakfast consumption may contribute to muscle strength decrease owing to nutrient imbalance; indeed, nutrient deficiency is a well-known predictor of muscle strength loss [14]. A high frequency of breakfast consumption is also associated with high physical activity (PA) [15,16], which can improve muscle strength and prevent strength loss. Based on these findings, it is possible that eating behaviors may affect muscle function. However, few studies have directly examined the relation between eating behaviors and muscular function. A population-based study has indicated that an increase in the components of unhealthy eating habits is associated with poor aerobic fitness and lower limb muscle power in primary school children [17]. In addition, breakfast consumption frequency has been associated with muscle strength and physical fitness in Japanese adults [18] and Chinese university students [19]. However, the association between snacking after dinner, eating rate, frequency of breakfast (three representative eating behaviors), and muscular strength in Chinese adults is unclear. Therefore, we designed a cross-sectional study to investigate whether eating behaviors would be associated with muscle strength in Chinese adults.

Methods
Participants
The present study used 2017 data from an annual health examination for adult employees conducted with self-report questionnaires in Pingfang District, Haerbin, China. In this study, 2,323 participants provided their written informed consent for the analysis of their data. The protocol for the study was approved by the Human Investigation Ethics Committee of Huaiyin Institute of Technology. Responses were excluded if the data for grip strength (n = 98), eating behaviors (n = 30), depressive symptoms (n = 156), and PA (n = 3) were missing. The final study population consisted of 2,036 participants (men = 1,191, women = 845).
Eating behaviors were measured using a self-administered questionnaire that included items on breakfast consumption, snacking after dinner, and eating rate. Frequency of breakfast consumption was determined by the question, “In past month, how many times did you eat breakfast per week?” Snacking after dinner was determined by the question, “In past month, how many days did you snack after dinner?” Participants were asked to report the frequency of their breakfast consumption and snacking after dinner by responding with “never,” “once per week,” to “7 times per week.” Regarding breakfast consumption, the participants were categorized as regular consumers (6–7 times per week), occasional consumers (2–5 times per week), and skippers (less than two times per week). Regarding snacking after dinner, participants were categorized as “always” (6–7 times per week), “sometimes” (2–5 times per week), and “seldom” (less than two times per week). Eating rate was examined using the following question: How quickly do you eat? The participants responded by choosing from the following five categories: very slowly, relatively slowly, at a moderate speed, relatively quickly, and very quickly. We combined the very slow and relatively slow categories to form one slow category, and the fast and relatively fast categories to form one fast category.

Muscle strength
Muscle strength was determined by handgrip strength and measured using a digital grip dynamometer (WCS–100 Qishu Co., Shanghai, China), with participants in the standing position. The dynamometer was adjusted to fit participants’ individual hand sizes. The participants were encouraged to exert maximal grip effort. Grip strength was measured four times: twice for each hand on an alternating basis, with brief intervals between trials. The average value of the highest power recorded for both hands was included in the analysis [20,21].

Confounding variables
Blood pressure (BP) was measured twice using an automatic device, which was applied to the upper-left arm (KENTAROU HBP–9021; OMRON Co., Ltd., Japan) subsequent to rest periods of five minutes in the sitting position. The mean of two measurements was used as the BP value. Hypertension was determined based on a systolic BP of ≥ 140 mmHg, diastolic BP of ≥ 90 mmHg, or use of antihypertensive medication [22]. Diabetes was determined based on a fasting blood glucose
concentration of $\geq 126$ mg/dL or use of antidiabetic medication. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Chinese version of the Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS) [23]. An SDS score of $\geq 45$ was adopted as the cutoff point indicating relatively mild or severe depressive symptoms [24]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight/height$^2$ (kg/m$^2$). Educational level was assessed by determining the highest school grade completed, and classified into two categories: $<\text{college}$ or $\geq \text{college}$. Occupation was classified into two categories: desk work or other. Information regarding age, sex, smoking status, drinking status, occupation, and living status was obtained via a questionnaire survey. Levels of daily PA were estimated using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Total daily PA (metabolic equivalents [METs] in h/week) was calculated [25]. PA was categorized into high and low categories.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive data are presented as means (with 95% confidence intervals [95%CIs]) and percentages. Grip strength was used as a dependent variable, whereas categories of eating behaviors (all in tertiles) were used as independent variables. Differences between eating behavior categories were examined using analysis of variance for continuous variables and logistic regression analysis for proportional variables. An analysis of covariance was performed to examine the correlation between eating behaviors and grip strength in the crude and adjusted models. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, and BMI, whereas Model 2 was adjusted for the items in Model 1, as well as hypertension, diabetes, and depressive symptoms. Model 3 was adjusted for the items in Models 1 and 2, as well as PA, education level, occupation, living status, smoking, and drinking habits. Bonferroni-corrected $p$ values were used to compare differences between groups. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 24.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Means and 95%CIs were reported throughout. All $p$ values for linear trends were calculated using the categories described above; a $p$ value of $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The study participants’ characteristics according to eating behaviors are presented in Table 1. As eating rate increased, the proportion of obesity increased, whereas the proportion of those with a
higher educational level and reported drinking alcohol occasionally decreased significantly (p for trend < 0.05). As frequency of breakfast consumption increased, the proportion of men, aged < 40 years, living alone, and with depressive symptoms decreased, whereas the proportion of those aged > 50 years, with higher PA, and with a smoking habit increased significantly (p for trend < 0.001). The proportion of participants whose age was < 40 years or classified as obese was significantly lower across the categories of snacking after dinner (p for trend < 0.05). The proportion of participants aged 40–49 years, with a normal body weight, lower educational level, job as a desk worker, or with diabetes was significantly lower across the categories of snacking after dinner (p for trend < 0.05). No significant association was observed between other characteristics and eating behavior.

Table 2 shows the adjusted association between eating behaviors and grip strength. In the unadjusted model, grip strength (kg) was measured as 37.0 (36.4–37.6), 35.9 (35.1–36.6), and 34.8 (33.6–35.9) for the seldom, sometimes, and always snacking after dinner categories, respectively (p for trend = 0.001). However, no significant association was seen between breakfast consumption, eating rate, and grip strength. After the final adjustment (Model 3), significantly positive association was found between breakfast consumption and grip strength. Grip strength was higher across the categories of breakfast consumption: 35.2 (34.6, 35.8), 36.0 (35.6, 36.4), and 36.9 (36.6, 37.2) for the skipper, occasional consumer, and regular consumer categories, respectively (p for trend < 0.001). Grip strength was higher in the regular consumer category relative to the occasional consumer and skipper categories (Bonferroni-corrected p <0.05). The inverse association between snacking after dinner and grip strength was consistent in the final adjusted model (Model 3) (p for trend < 0.001). Grip strength was lower in the always category relative to the sometimes and seldom categories (Bonferroni-corrected p <0.05). In addition, significant difference was found between the sometimes and seldom categories (Bonferroni-corrected p <0.05). Finally, eating rate was not significantly associated with grip strength in Models 1, 2, and 3.

Discussion
This study showed that a high frequency of breakfast consumption and low frequency of snacking after dinner were significantly associated with higher grip strength in Chinese adults aged 25–68
years. We considered various confounding factors, including age, sex, BMI, health status, and lifestyle. However, subsequent to adjustment for these confounding factors, the significant association between eating behaviors and grip strength remained, indicating that such a correlation was independent of these factors.

A Japanese study has shown a significant association between higher breakfast consumption and higher grip strength among 1,415 Japanese adults aged 19–83 years [18]. A Chinese study has also indicated that frequent breakfast consumption is significantly associated with higher grip strength and faster sprint times in 10,125 college students [19]. Despite the difference in samples, these previous studies are consistent with the present findings. In contrast, our results are inconsistent with a European study that reported that breakfast consumption is not significantly associated with muscular fitness and speed/agility, only cardiorespiratory fitness, in 2,148 European adolescents aged 12.5–17.5 years [26]. The different methods used to assess breakfast consumption and muscle strength or different ages may explain the contrast in findings. Notably, many studies have focused on children and adolescents, whereas those on adults’ eating behaviors and muscle function are scarce. We could not find any previous study that has investigated the association between night eating, eating rate, and muscle function.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate an association between self-reported eating behaviors and muscle strength in Chinese adults. There are several possible explanations for the observation of a relation between eating behaviors and grip strength. First, our primary hypothesis was that eating behaviors would exert a beneficial influence on muscle strength, as regular breakfast consumption would lead to higher nutrient intake [13] and higher energy intake [16]. Nutrient and energy intake is positively associated with muscle strength [27]. As such, regular breakfast consumption could be associated with higher muscle strength. In addition, night eating is not only reported to cause nutritional imbalance but also associated with poor sleep quality [28], which is related with poor muscle strength [29]. This link can also explain why eating behaviors are associated with muscle strength.

We also hypothesized that eating behaviors may have a beneficial effect on the prevention of muscle
strength loss via high PA. The results of our study showed that a higher frequency of breakfast consumption is significantly associated with higher PA, in line with the results of a previous study [30]. However, the association between eating behaviors and muscle strength did not change after adjusting for PA. Thus, the relation between eating behaviors and muscle strength cannot be attributable to PA. Meanwhile, previous studies have indicated that eating rate is associated with many health behaviors and health outcomes [31,32]. However, in the present study, we did not find any significant association between eating rate and muscle strength.

The present study was subject to certain limitations. First, eating behaviors were self-reported, a method that is vulnerable to recall bias. Second, the cross-sectional design prevented causal inference regarding whether healthy eating behaviors contributed to higher grip strength or participants with higher grip strength simply tended to eat healthier relative to those with lower grip strength. Therefore, prospective or intervention studies are required to determine the causal relation between eating behaviors and grip strength. Finally, although we adjusted for a considerable number of confounding factors, we could not exclude the possibility that other covariates may have mediated the association between eating behaviors and grip strength.

The results of this study indicated that grip strength was lower in Chinese adults who skipped breakfast relative to those who regularly had breakfast, and who always snacked after dinner relative to those who sometimes and seldom snacked after dinner. This finding suggests that eating behaviors may be correlated with muscular function in adults. Eating behaviors are indispensable in people’s daily life. Maintaining positive eating behaviors is important for human health. In future, a prospective study or randomized trial may confirm these findings and clarify causality.
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Tables

| Table 1. Participant characteristics according to eating behaviorsa. | Eating rate | Breakfast consumption | Snacking after dinner |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Slow | Fast | Skipper | Consumer | Seldom | Always |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex (men; %) | 59.9 | 63 | 69.1 | 57.6** | 59.6 | 56.4 |
| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 40 | 40.3 | 44.9 | 48.1 | 35.2*** | 36.6 | 56.7*** |
| 40-49 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 28 | 25.6 | 30.3 | 20.4*** |
| > 50 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 23.9 | 39.2*** | 33.1 | 22.8 |
| BMI (kg/m²) b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≤ 18.5 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 9.7 |
| 18.6 - 24.0 | 57 | 51.5 | 61 | 59.1 | 59.4 | 48.4* |
| 24.1 - 28.0 | 26.6 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 23.6 | 24 | 25.3 |
| > 28 | 8.3 | 14.1* | 6.7 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 16.6* |
| PA high (METs h/week; %) | 48.9 | 49.5 | 43.5 | 53.1** | 49.5 | 48.4 |
| Education level ≥12years (%) | 27.8 | 21.6** | 22.6 | 21.9 | 25.7 | 21.8* |
| Occupation (desk work; %) | 42.0 | 42.6 | 46.8 | 42.6 | 44 | 38.1* |
| Living along (%) | 22.4 | 26.9 | 26.3 | 20.3* | 23.2 | 27 |
| Smoking status (%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smoker | 47.3 | 42.6 | 34.9 | 51.8*** | 48.3 | 47.8 |
| Drinking status (%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drinking everyday | 21.6 | 24.6 | 26.9 | 23.5 | 21.8 | 19.4 |
| Drink occasionally | 52.9 | 45.9* | 50 | 47.7 | 50 | 55 |
| No drinking | 25.5 | 29.5 | 23.1 | 28.8 | 28.3 | 25.6 |
| Hypertension (%) | 22.6 | 21.6 | 24.2 | 24.7 | 22.7 | 19.7 |
| Diabetes (%) | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 2.8* |
| Depressive symptom (%) | 30.6 | 33.4 | 37.1 | 28.3*** | 31.5 | 30.1 |

a Obtained using x² test for proportional variables.
b BMI: body mass index; PA: physical activity.
* p for trend < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001
Table 2. Adjusted relationship between eating behaviors and grip strength (kg)\textsuperscript{a}.

| Breakfast consumption                      | Unadjusted | Model 1\textsuperscript{b} | Model 2\textsuperscript{c} | Model 3\textsuperscript{d} |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Skipper (n = 372)                          | 37.1 (36.1, 38.2) | 35.2 (34.7, 35.8)          | 35.2 (34.6, 35.8)          | 35.2 (34.6, 35.8)          |
| Occasional consumer (n = 615)              | 35.3 (34.5, 36.1)\textsuperscript{f} | 36.0 (35.5, 36.4)          | 36.0 (35.5, 36.4)          | 36.0 (35.6, 36.4)          |
| Consumer (n = 1049)                        | 36.6 (36.0, 37.3)\textsuperscript{g} | 36.9 (36.6, 37.2)\textsuperscript{f,g} | 36.9 (36.6, 37.3)\textsuperscript{f,g} | 36.9 (36.6, 37.2)\textsuperscript{f,g} |
| p for trend\textsuperscript{e}             | 0.403      | < 0.001                     | < 0.001                     | < 0.001                     |

| Snacking after dinner                      |            |                             |                             |                             |
| Seldom (n = 1057 )                         | 37.0 (36.4, 37.6) | 36.8 (36.5, 37.2)          | 36.9 (36.5, 37.2)          | 36.8 (36.5, 37.2)          |
| Sometimes (n = 690 )                       | 35.9 (35.1, 36.6)\textsuperscript{f} | 36.2 (35.8, 36.7)          | 36.2 (35.8, 36.7)          | 36.2 (35.8, 36.6)\textsuperscript{f} |
| Always (n = 289 )                          | 34.8 (33.6, 35.9)\textsuperscript{f} | 34.6 (33.9, 35.2)\textsuperscript{f,g} | 34.5 (33.9, 35.2)\textsuperscript{f,g} | 34.6 (33.9, 35.2)\textsuperscript{f,g} |
| p for trend\textsuperscript{e}             | 0.001      | < 0.001                     | < 0.001                     | < 0.001                     |

| Eating rate                                |            |                             |                             |                             |
| Slow (n = 695)                             | 36.6 (35.9, 37.4) | 36.4 (36.0, 36.8)          | 36.4 (36.0, 36.8)          | 36.4 (36.0, 36.8)          |
| Medium (n = 1036)                          | 36.0 (35.4, 36.6) | 36.4 (36.1, 36.8)          | 36.4 (36.1, 36.8)          | 36.4 (36.1, 36.8)          |
| Fast (n = 305)                             | 36.7 (35.6, 37.9) | 35.8 (35.2, 36.4)          | 35.8 (35.2, 36.4)          | 35.8 (35.2, 36.4)          |
| p for trend\textsuperscript{e}             | 0.889      | 0.128                       | 0.12                        | 0.132                       |

\textsuperscript{a} Variables are expressed as estimated geometric means (95% CI).

\textsuperscript{b} Adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index.

\textsuperscript{c} Further adjusted for hypertension, diabetes, and depressive symptoms.

\textsuperscript{d} Further adjusted for physical activity, educational level, occupation, living status, smoking and drinking habits.

\textsuperscript{e} Obtained using ANCOVA.

\textsuperscript{f} Significantly different to the first category, p < 0.05 (Bonferroni-corrected).

\textsuperscript{g} Significantly different to the second category, p < 0.05 (Bonferroni-corrected).