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We demonstrate that a reduction in the noise-to-signal ratio may be obtained for hadrons at non-zero momenta whilst maintaining a good overlap with the ground state through a generalisation of Gaussian/Wuppertal smearing. The use of an anisotropic smearing wavefunction is motivated by the physical picture of a boosted hadron.
1. Introduction

Lattice QCD is a useful tool for the extraction of quantities such as masses, energies and matrix elements. These may be extracted for a given hadron from the Euclidean time dependence of correlation functions for states with appropriate quantum numbers. The ground state may be isolated through taking the large source-sink separation limit due the time dependent exponential suppression of excited states. However the statistical errors of the correlation functions also grow with increasing time separations (with the exception of the pion at zero momentum), and so it can prove difficult to find a ‘window’ where both statistical and systematic errors are under control. This becomes increasingly more difficult once states with non-zero momenta are considered, as is the case for many desirable quantities such as form factors. A clear example of the deterioration of the signal for increasing momentum $q^2$ is given for the pion in figure 1. Throughout this paper we will use the notation $p = q \frac{2\pi}{L}$ when referring to the momenta carried by the hadron, where $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a vector of integers. For the case of the pion at zero momentum, the noise-to-signal ratio is expected to be constant as a function of time whereas for non-zero-momentum it is expected to be [1, 2]

$$R_{NS}(x_0) \propto e^{\sqrt{m_\pi^2 + p^2 - m_\pi} x_0}, \quad \text{for } x_0 \to \infty.$$  

(1.1)

This behaviour is demonstrated for our data in figures 1 and 3 for several values of $q^2$. The asymptotic trend sets in quite early and is consistent with noise dominated by a zero-momentum two pion state.

The usual technique used to improve the ground state overlap with the interpolating source fields, thus improving the ‘window’, is through smearing. The present study is devoted to optimising the smearing based upon the hadrons kinematics. In the following sections we detail how...
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Figure 2: The $yz$-section of a spherical wavefunction $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = \kappa_z = 2.9$ (left panel) and an anisotropic wavefunction $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = 2.9, \kappa_z = 2.9/16$ (right panel).

a generalisation of Gaussian/Wuppertal smearing that allows for anisotropic quark smearing (interpolating a boosted hadron) can lead to a significant improvement of the noise-to-signal ratio for states of non-zero momentum. The calculations were performed using $N_f = 2$ non-perturbative $O(a)$ improved Wilson fermions on configurations generated as part of the CLS initiative. This initial exploratory calculation utilises 168 configurations, each with four evenly spaced source positions, on a lattice of $32^3 \times 64$ points, with a lattice spacing of 0.063(2) fm and a pion mass of approximately 450 MeV; further details on the simulation parameters may be found in [3, 4, 5].

2. Implementation of anisotropic smearing

Gaussian/Wuppertal smearing is defined by [6, 7]

$$H_k(x, x') = U_k(x) \delta_{x', x + \hat{k}} + U_k^\dagger(x - \hat{k}) \delta_{x', x - \hat{k}},$$

where $H_k$ is the hopping operator in direction $k$ and the smeared fermion field $\psi^{(\kappa)}$ is defined as

$$\psi^{(\kappa)}(x) = (1 + \kappa H)^n \psi(x),$$

where

$$H = H_x + H_y + H_z.$$ \hspace{2cm} (2.3)

In our generalization, we promote $\kappa$ and $H$ to spatial vectors $\kappa$ and $H$ with components $x, y$ and $z$ so that

$$\psi^{(\kappa)}(x) = (1 + \kappa \cdot H)^n \psi(x).$$ \hspace{2cm} (2.4)

It is then possible to interpolate a boosted hadron through tuning the components in $\kappa$ so that a Gaussian-like wavefunction is squeezed into something more point-like in the boosted direction. We also note that this tuning between point-like and gaussian-like enables a balance of parameters that result in, in the first case a better noise-to-signal ratio and in the latter a better overlap and thus plateau [8]. Figure 2 shows the $yz$-cross sections corresponding to $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = \kappa_z = 2.9$ (spherical - left panel) as well as $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = 2.9, \kappa_z = 2.9/16$ (anisotropic - right panel). We use $\kappa_i = 2.9$ as a
basis and fix $n = 140$ as these values maximised the length of the effective mass plateaus for the nucleon and pion at $q^2 = 0$ and produce a wavefunction with a radius of approximately 0.5 fm [4]. The anisotropic smearing is implemented so that only directions with momenta are squeezed. In addition we smear the gauge links in the hopping operator by applying one level of hypercubic smearing, using the parameters specified in the definition of “HYP2” links in [9].

3. Pion and nucleon effective-mass study

We consider two-point correlation functions of the form eq. (3.1), where the operator $O$ interpolates mesons or baryons

$$C(x) = \left\langle O(\psi^{(\kappa)}(x), \bar{\psi}^{(\kappa)}(x)) O^\dagger(\psi^{(\kappa)}(0), \bar{\psi}^{(\kappa)}(0)) \right\rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Through the use of the anisotropic smearing we aim to reduce the contribution to the noise from the zero-momentum component of the four-point correlation function occurring in the variance of the two-point correlation function. Figure 4 demonstrates the effect of anisotropic smearing for four values of $q^2$. For each direction that the squeezing is applied to, the boost factor $\gamma$, defined by

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{m_H^2 + p^2}}{m_H}, \quad (3.2)$$

is indicated.
Figure 4: Effective energies for the pion for different values of $q^2$ using spherical (blue) and anisotropic (red) smearing.

The results demonstrate that anisotropic smearing offers a clear improvement for non-zero momenta, which becomes more pronounced for larger boosting factors. This is further demonstrated in figure 3. We investigated the effects of adjusting the anisotropy in different directions by multiplying the appropriate components of $\kappa$ with a factor $1/N$, where $N = 2, 4, 8, 16$ and 32. We found that by $N = 16$ the improvement in the statistical noise had saturated and any further improvement was accompanied by a slightly worsened overlap with the ground state. We explicitly checked that squeezing in directions orthogonal to those with momenta provided no gain. The same techniques were applied to the nucleon (figure 5), however, due to the worse initial signal and larger mass, the nucleon only attains relatively small boosting factors before the signal is lost in noise and so the effect is less pronounced.

Figure 6 compares the extracted results for the spherical and anisotropic smearing to the continuum dispersion relation for both the pion and nucleon, further demonstrating the potential statistical gain. The extracted values were obtained via a $\chi^2$ per d.o.f. minimisation of simple plateau fits to the data in figures 4 and 5, and the same fitranges are used for both spherical and anisotropic smearing.
Figure 5: Effective energies for the nucleon for different values of $q^2$ using spherical (blue) and anisotropic (red) smearing.

Figure 6: The dispersion relation plotted against the extracted effective energies for spherical (blue) and anisotropic (red) smearing for the pion (left panel) and nucleon (right panel). The solid line denotes the continuum dispersion relation.
4. Conclusion and outlook

We have implemented a generalisation of Gaussian/Wuppertal smearing which allows the
smearing to be optimised to account for a hadron’s kinematics, which for a boosted hadron cor-
respond to an anisotropic wavefunction. Our data demonstrates that this provides a significant
improvement in the noise-to-signal ratio for heavily boosted hadrons whilst maintaining a good
overlap with the ground state. The results are more pronounced for the pion due to its large boost-
ing factor at small values of the lattice momenta. Our results show that the improvement is more
dependent on $\gamma$ than on the mass of the hadron, and as such we expect this prescription to be more
effective as the chiral limit is approached. Important applications for this method could include
the determination of mesonic and baryonic form factors, such as for $B \rightarrow \pi l \nu$ or the nucleon form
factors $G_E$ and $G_M$, for which we presented preliminary results at this conference [10]. A prelimi-
ary study of the nucleon three-point function used in the calculations of the nucleon form factors
show that a similar gain could be achieved. We are currently extending this study. In principle,
anisotropic smearing requires a different smearing for each choice of momentum and so the largest
gain would likely be achieved though the use of all-to-all [11, 12] stochastic propagators to reduce
the number of inversions of the Dirac operator and thus the computational cost.

A published account of this work may be found in [13]. We note that a similar approach was
applied to the nucleon channel in [14], which is consistent with our findings.
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