The ECM and CAFs interact to differentially regulate PDAC parenchymal (CPC) and CSC phenotypes to determine pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) progression
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Abstract

Summary

CAFs and acellular stromal ECM components interact to drive metastatic progression by stimulating the hallmark behaviors of each tumor cell type that contribute to metastasis: invasion in the CPCs and growth and angiogenesis in the CSCs.

Background

Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most lethal cancers having a five-year survival rate of less than 8% [1], [2] and will become the second cause of cancer deaths in the coming years [3], [4]. One of the aggressive characteristics of PDAC is their highly reactive and tumor-supportive stromal microenvironment named desmoplasia [5]. Desmoplasia is a dense extracellular matrix (ECM), which makes up to 90% of PDAC tissue [6, 7] [8, 9], [10] and is characterized by increasing collagen type I levels as the tumor progresses and which contains the cancer cells and their accessory cells, including cancer associated fibroblasts (CAFs) [11], [12]. Collagen I makes up to 80% of the tumor space in PDAC and is associated with a worsened outcome [13, 14] and stimulates malignant cell properties to promote tumor growth, early metastasis and chemo-radiation resistance [15] [14] [9] [16]. Cancer stem cells (CSCs), which drive tumor heterogeneity and influence tumorigenesis, metastasis and drug resistance through their self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation capabilities (stemness) (for review see [17]).

Recent studies have demonstrated that the stromal ECM composition “per se” produces important cues that guide the expression of different PDAC phenotypes in both parenchymal cancer cells (CPCs) [18],[5] and CSCs [5]. In particular, ECM composition differently regulates growth, morphology, invasive, angiogenic capacities and secretome profiles in PDAC CPCs and their derived CSCs [5]. Importantly, in that study only the CSCs secreted factors known to activate and maintain CAFs19], [20]. This suggests that the described dual “symbiotic”, mutual support interaction between tumor cells and CAFs is maintained primarily through the CSC population through the existence of a tighter relationship between CSCs and CAFs than between the CPCs and CAFs. However, this has yet to be demonstrated.
Once activated, CAFs enhance the development, progression and invasion of PDAC through their extensive crosstalk with the tumor, resulting in reciprocal stimulation and therapy resistance [21], [22]. Recent data in PDAC has shown that CAF cells, via their secretome, can increase parenchymal tumor cell (CPC) invasion [23], [24], [25], [26], reduce their growth [23], [27] and modify their epigenetic and metabolic phenotypes [24, 27]. Only one study [27] measured the effect of the combination of CAF secretome and high levels of ECM collagen I on parenchymal PDAC cell lines (CPCs) but did not determine the individual roles of collagen I and the CAF (Conditioned Medium).

Importantly, those in vitro experiments were not performed on CSCs and, therefore, the effect of CAFs on CSC behavior in PDAC is completely unknown. Nor is the contribution of the ECM in the modulation of the CAF-driven determination of CPC and CSC phenotypic plasticity and behaviour known.

Given the complex interactions between cancer cells and CAFs, more work is needed to investigate the contributions of CAFs in enabling or maintaining hallmark behaviors in CSCs. Here, we analyzed the role of ECM collagen I in modulating the effect of CAF-derived signals with conditioned medium from primary cultured CAFs derived from patients with PDAC on the parenchymal (CPC) and CSC populations in a previously described three-dimensional (3D) organotypic model of PDAC [5] [18] mimicking the ECM of early (low collagen I levels) and late (high collagen I levels) stage PDAC tumors. These data reveal that the cellular (CAFs) and acellular (ECM) stromal components interact to differently modulate the hallmark tumor behaviors in the CSCs and CPCs. In particular, the CAFs reduce the growth of CPCs while favoring the growth of CSCs, which would trigger a positive feedback mechanism to stimulate CSC growth and make for a more malignant, persistent and immortal tumor.

Methods
Cell lines
The human pancreatic adenocarcinoma parenchymal (CPC) cell line, Panc1, and Panc1 CSCs, generated as previously described [28], were grown and maintained in standard conditions as previously described [5]. Panc1 cells express the mutated PDAC driver genes KRAS, CDKN2A, MAP2K4, and TP53 [29], [30].

Cancer Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs)
The experimental procedure relating to the use of patient-derived pancreatic tumor pieces was
performed after approval from the South Mediterranean Personal Protection Committee, under the reference 2011-A01439-32. CAFs isolation and culture were processed as previously described [31].

Briefly, pancreatic tissues obtained during pancreatic surgery from patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma were cut into small pieces of 1 mm$^3$ using a razor blade. The dissociation of these tissue pieces and the culture to isolate CAFs were performed as in [31] and primary CAF were verified by positive α-SMA staining and negative KRT19 immunofluorescence staining.

To collect conditioned media (CM), 1.5x10$^5$ CAF cells/well were seeded in 24-well cell culture plates and medium changed every 3 days. When the monolayer reached approximately 80% confluence, they were incubated with 1 ml of medium with 1% FBS lacking growth factors and antibiotics for 30 hours. The CM were collected, centrifuged, protein concentration assayed with the Bradford protein assay reagent (Pierce, Milan, Italy) using bovine serum albumin as a standard and stored in liquid nitrogen.

3D culture models
The 90% Matrigel-10% Collagen I and 20% Matrigel - 80% Collagen I ECM mixtures were prepared as previously described [5]. In all cases,100 µl of the mixture were plated in 96-well cell culture plates, which were then incubated at 37° C with 5% CO$_2$ for 1 hour to allow the mixture to create a thin gel on the bottom of the wells. 1.5x10$^4$ cells/well were seeded on the top of the matrix and cultured as described above.

Indirect co-culture
To condition cells, both CPCs and CSCs were grown for 1 day on 90%M:10%C or 20%M:80%C in their corresponding complete culture media and for the subsequent 5 days in either 100% CM collected from the primary patient CAFs or in the CM diluted at 50% with the same complete culture media used to grow the cells. A change of medium was conducted midweek. To analyze if the CAF CMs could change the growth and/or the growth phenotype (morphology) of the cells, growth was assessed by the Resazurin cell viability assay and morphology was examined microscopically.

Growth measurements
Curves of CPCs and CSCs were calculated from Resazurin (Immunological Sciences, Rome, Italy)
reduction assays for cell growth as previously described [32]. Standard curves obtained by fluorescence readings of Resazurin on serial dilutions of both CPCs and CSCs were used to calculate cell number.

**Invadopodia proteolytic activity**

Experiments to measure invadopodia focal ECM proteolysis were conducted in cells seeded onto a ECM layer in which quenched BODIPY linked to BSA (DQ-Green-BSA, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was mixed at a final concentration of 30 µg/ml as previously described [5].

**Vascular network analysis**

CSCs and CPCs were grown on the 90%Matrigel:10%Collagen I (90%M:10%C) ECM mixture. After 5 days in these growth conditions, vascular channel networks were photographed using the TE200 microscope (Nikon USA, Garden City, NY, USA) and analysed as previously described [5]. To study paracrine CAF regulation of vascular parameters, CSCs or parenchymal cells were cultured as above and after 24 hrs, to permit their adherence, the cultures were incubated with CAF CM as described above for indirect co-culture.

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software) to perform a two-tailed Student’s t test assuming unequal variances to compare the effects of CAF CM on Panc1 CPCs and CSCs and to determine whether the differences between two groups were statistically significant. P-values < 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001 are indicated as *, ** or ***, respectively when compared to each control for each matrix and †, †† or ††† compared to the same CM treatment on 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I.

**Results**

Given the above described crosstalk between CAFs and cancer cells and the reported role of the ECM composition in regulating the phenotypes of both PDAC CPCs [5] [18] and CSCs [5], we evaluated the role of the ECM composition on the effect of two concentrations of Conditioned Medium (CM) derived from CAFs isolated from a PDAC patient on the growth, invasive capacity and vascular morphology of each cell type. The experiments were performed with the cells cultured on either 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I or 20%Matrigel:80% Collagen I since these combinations very well mimic the ECM of early and late stages of PDAC progression, respectively (see Materials and Methods and protocol scheme in
Supplemental Figure 1).

CAF CM reduces CPC growth and increases CSC growth on all of the substrates. When CPCs or CSCs were incubated with two concentrations of CAF CM on the two substrates, we observed that CAF CM reduces growth of the CPCs and increases growth of the CSC in a progressive, dose-dependent manner that was independent of ECM composition with a similar behavior on both early (90%M:10%C) and late (20%M:80%C) ECM compositions (Figure 1). This would favor the expansion of the CSCs over the CPCs, in line with the report that only the CSC population secreted factors known to activate CAFs [5] and supports the hypothesis of a mutual “symbiotic” support between these two cell types as in other tumor types [33] [34]. Further, this would support the reports that CAFs drive an increase in general ‘stemness’ of the tumor in various other tumor types and would suggest that, at least in PDAC, this occurs by a net favoring of CSC growth over CPC growth.

Effect of primary CAF CM on CPC and CSC invadopodia activity
We have previously observed that the PDAC CPCs have higher levels of invadopodia-driven invasion than do the CSCs and that this phenomenon is greater on Matrigel rich ECMS than on collagen I ECMS [5]. We, therefore, next analyzed the role of the ECM composition in modulating the CAF CM-dependent regulation of the invasive phenotype of the CPCs and CSCs, by measuring their invadopodia-mediated ECM proteolytic activity defined by their Digestion Index [35] (Figure 2B). As previously reported [5], we found that (i) the control CPCs had a much higher ability to form functional invadopodia and digest the two types of ECM compared to control CSCs and (ii) both cell types had a higher Digestion Index when cultured on 90%M:10%C with respect to 20%M:80%C. The effects of the CAF CM on the formation and activity of invadopodia were very complex. On 90%M:10%C, treatment with 50% CM produced a small but not significant increase in the CPC invadopodia activity while 100% CM stimulated CPC invadopodial activity 2.5-fold. CAF CM had no significant effect on CSC invadopodia activity at either concentration. Interestingly, when cultured on 20%M:80%C neither cell line responded significantly to CAF CM at either concentration.

Effect of primary CAF CM on CPC and CSC vasculogenic mimicry
We have previously reported that vascular-like structures correspondent with \textit{in vivo} Vasculogenic
Mimicry (VM) were formed by the CSCs on 100% Matrigel and were reduced as Collagen I levels increased and completely disrupted as Collagen I levels surpassed 30% of the ECM composition [5]. We asked whether the CAF CM-driven stimulation of CSC growth observed in Figure 1 would also result in an increase of VM organization in the CSCs and, perhaps, in a slight increase in VM in the CPC population. Indeed, when CSCs or CPCs were incubated with the two concentrations of CAF CM on the 90%M:10%C substrate, we observed that CAF CM increases both the mean number of lacunae per well and number of capillary connections to nodes per field of the CSCs in a progressive, dose-dependent manner that resulted in the formation of very tight, organized vascular structures. Interestingly, CAF-CM somewhat stimulated a capillary-like phenotype also in the CPCs but only at the higher concentration of CAF CM, suggesting that it may induce the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of CPCs.

Discussion
Utilizing a 3D organotypic culture model representing the ECM changes observed during in vivo PDAC progression from a laminin-rich to a collagen I-rich environment [36], we have previously found that the ECM composition drove the very early development of metastatic behaviors of both the parenchymal tumor cell (CPC) and CSC populations which is another of the important PDAC characteristics [14], [37], [38]. Indeed, when growing in an early tumor ECM modeled by the Matrigel rich ECM (containing laminin, collagen IV and entactin), the CSCs secreted high levels of pro-angiogenic/growth factors, which activate their transdifferentiation into an endothelial-like VM network via a VEGF/VEGFR-2 mediated cascade. At the same time, when on Matrigel the more differentiated CPC population has a high invadopodia-driven invasive capacity that is stimulated by EGF [39], which is highly secreted by the CSCs. This concerted and reciprocal activation of these two malignant phenotypes, i.e. (1) the high rate of local invasion executed by CPCs into the (2) aberrant vascular network created by the CSC-derived vascular signals suggested a symbiotic relationship between the CPCs and the CSCs underlies the initiation and maintenance of early PDAC infiltration and metastasis. In this way, parenchymal CPC cells and CSCs interact to contribute to the vascular [40] and invasive phenotype of the early stage tumor.
However, tumor growth and phenotypes are influenced not only by their own gene expression-related and ECM-related factors, but also via the interaction of the tumor cells with the accessory cells found in the tumor stroma. In PDAC, the major stroma cell driving progression is considered to be the Cancer Associated Fibroblasts (CAFs) and due to the sparse distribution of tumor cells the interaction between the different cell types occurs principally via the soluble factors secreted by both tumor cells and CAFs [23] [24] [25] [26]. While in other tumor types, the interaction of CSCs with CAFs has been documented, in PDAC the role of CAFs in determining and/or modulating the balance between the parenchymal and CSC tumor populations is still unknown.

Here, utilizing our organotypic cultures mimicking the changes in ECM composition with PDAC progression, we characterized the role of CAFs on both CPC and CSC phenotypes when cultured on ECM compositions known to drive specific growth and phenotype patterns in the two tumor cell types. We find that when growing on an early tumor ECM (modeled by 90%M:10%C), the CAFs increased both CSC growth (Fig. 1A) and their assembly into a VM network (Fig. 3). Together, this increases their dedicated programing towards the preparation of a vascular niche and eventual transdifferentiation into an endothelial-like network [5]. At the same time, when on Matrigel the CAFs further reduced the growth rate of the more differentiated CPC cell population (Fig. 1B) but greatly increased their already high invadopodia-driven invasive capacity (Fig. 2). This concerted over-activation of these two malignant phenotypes by the CAFs, i.e. (1) the high rate of CPC local invasion into the (2) CSC-derived vasculogenic network suggest that the CAFs specifically activate the previously described symbiotic relationship between the parenchymal CPC cells and the CSCs that underlies early PDAC infiltration and metastasis [5].

It has been previous reported [5] that CSCs develop their vascular phenotype on Matrigel via the coordinated interaction of two factors: (i) the intrinsic over-expression of genes for endothelial factors and vascular receptors and (ii) the high secretion of VEGF from both the CSCs and CPCs. Based on the findings reported here, this program is further stimulated by a third factor, the secretion by CAFs of various pro-angiogenic/growth factors. Altogether, these factors support their high growth rate necessary to form the vascular network. This would both mobilize CSCs (together with endothelial
cells, pericytes etc) into a vascular niche and further stimulate the CSCs endothelial-like differentiation program, in-order-to participate in vasculogenic mimicry, and their angiogenic secretome to stimulate endothelial angiogenesis [41]. This enhanced ability of the CAFs to stimulate the previously described CSC growth/pro-angiogenic program [5], especially in response to an early stage tumor microenvironment (eg. growth on Matrigel), is in line with in vivo experiments in which (a) subcutaneous tumors originating from CSCs gave rise to amore abundant vascular network composed of larger vessels than the tumors originating from CPCs, (b) the tumors of the CSC-injected mice had increased Ki-67 staining for mitotic index [5] and (c) grow faster than those in mice injected with CPCs [28].

Conclusions
In conclusion, our study sheds light on the role of the CAFs in driving the very early metastatic development, one of the most representative PDAC hallmarks [38]. We find that the CAFs and acellular stromal components interact to modulate the hallmark tumor behaviors of the CPC and CSC cell types and drive metastatic progression by stimulating the hallmarks of each tumor cell type that contribute to metastasis: invasion in the CPCs and growth & angiogenesis in the CSCs (Figure 4). Altogether, these findings propose a scenario in which the ECM composition and the cellular secretomes of the two tumor cell types and the CAFs cooperate to jointly regulate both growth and morphology of the CPC and CSC cell lines and, by modulating the highly dynamic interactions between them, establishes a continuum between tumor initiation and progression in primary PDAC tumors. This suggests that also in PDAC, such as in other tumor types, CAFs drive progression by favoring the growth and vascular plasticity of the CSC population.
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Figures
Figure 1

Figure 1. CAF CM inhibits CPC growth and stimulates CSC growth on both ECM compositions.

A) Representative microscopic images of growth morphology of Panc1 CPCs and their derived CSCs cultured on organotypic cultures composed of 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I (left panel) and 20% Matrigel:80% Collagen I (right panel). Scale bar represents 500µm for all images. (B) CPC and CSC growth rates in organotypic cultures of 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I (left panel) and 20% Matrigel:80% Collagen I (right panel). Growth rates of CPCs and CSCs cultured on the different ECMs were calculated from Resazurin reduction assays according to the standard curves obtained by fluorescence readings of Resazurin and are normalized to the control as 100 as described in Materials and Methods. Data are mean ± SEM, n=5, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 to the control of each cell type on each matrix.
Figure 1. CAF CM inhibits CPC growth and stimulates CSC growth on both ECM compositions.

A) Representative microscopic images of growth morphology of Panc1 CPCs and their derived CSCs cultured on organotypic cultures composed of 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I (left panel) and 20% Matrigel:80% Collagen I (right panel). Scale bar represents 500µm for all images. (B) CPC and CSC growth rates in organotypic cultures of 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I (left panel) and 20% Matrigel:80% Collagen I (right panel). Growth rates of CPCs
and CSCs cultured on the different ECMs were calculated from Resazurin reduction assays according to the standard curves obtained by fluorescence readings of Resazurin and are normalized to the control as 100 as described in Materials and Methods. Data are mean ± SEM, n=5, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 to the control of each cell type on each matrix.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. CAF secretome stimulates the vascular-like morphology (VM) of both CSCs and CPCs grown on 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I. ECM composition modifies the effect of CAF CM on vasculogenic mimicry in CPCs and CSCs. Cells were grown on 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen
I and after 24 hrs to permit their adherence the cultures were incubated with CAF CM as described in Materials and Methods. A) Representative microscopic images of growth morphology of CPCs and their derived CSCs cultured on organotypic cultures composed of 90% Matrigel:10% Collagen I for 5 days with their growth medium or with either 50% or 100% of the CAF conditioned medium. Scale bar represents 500µm for all images. (B) After 7 days in these growth conditions, vascular channel networks were analysed as described in Material and Methods for mean number of lacunae per well (left panel) and mean number of capillary connections per field (right panel). Mean ± SEM from three independent experiments, ns, non significant, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 compared to the CPC control; †††p<0.001 CSCs compared to their control.
Figure 4

Figure 4. Model of Influence of the ECM composition on the CAF-dependent regulation of CPC and CSC plasticity. Matrigel induces the formation of autocrine loops in CSCs. Indeed, on Matrigel (1) CSCs secrete a high amount of potent proangiogenic and growth factors (ie. PDGF, MMP9, IL8, EGF, HGF, bFGF, ET-1) [5], which, via paracrine mechanisms, stimulate CAF growth, which in turn secrete factors that increase CSC growth/self-renewal and vasculogenic mimicry while decreasing CPC growth but increasing CPC invasion. This creates a vicious positive-feedback growth cycle between the CAFs and CSCs to increase the stemness of the tumor while exacerbating the aggressive angiogenesis phenotype of the CSCs and invasive phenotype of the CPCs.
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