Thermal-light heterodyne spectroscopy with frequency comb calibration
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Precision laser spectroscopy is key to many developments in atomic and molecular physics and the advancement of related technologies such as atomic clocks and sensors. However, in important spectroscopic scenarios, such as astronomy and remote sensing, the light is of thermal origin, and interferometric or diffractive spectrometers typically replace laser spectroscopy. In this work, we employ laser-based heterodyne radiometry to measure incoherent light sources in the near-infrared and introduce techniques for absolute frequency calibration with a laser frequency comb. Measuring the solar continuum, we obtain a signal-to-noise ratio that matches the fundamental quantum-limited prediction given by the thermal photon distribution and our system’s efficiency, bandwidth, and averaging time. With resolving power $R \sim 10^{6}$, we determine the center frequency of an iron line in the solar spectrum to sub-MHz absolute frequency uncertainty in under 10 min, a fractional precision 1/4000 the linewidth. Additionally, we propose concepts that take advantage of refractive beam shaping to decrease the effects of pointing instabilities by 100×, and of frequency comb multiplexing to increase data acquisition rates and spectral bandwidths by comparable factors. Taken together, our work brings the power of telecommunications photonics and the precision of frequency comb metrology to laser heterodyne radiometry, with implications for solar and astronomical spectroscopy, remote sensing, and precise Doppler velocimetry.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Optical frequencies are the most precisely measured physical quantities. The best laser spectroscopy has fractional uncertainty at the level of $1 \times 10^{-18}$ [1,2], and optical frequency combs [3] have the capability to coherently synthesize and compare ratios of optical frequencies with uncertainty in the range of $10^{-21}$ [4–6]. However, for many important optical and infrared systems of spectroscopic interest—such as those in astronomy and atmospheric remote sensing—the light to be analyzed does not come from a laser, but is thermal in origin. Here, one typically resorts to interferometric (Fourier transform) or diffractive (grating) spectrometers for spectral analysis. In such spectrometers, wavefront errors, instrument instabilities, and technical constraints on size limit the achievable precision as well as practicality. In this regard, heterodyne spectroscopy [7] between a laser and a thermal source is a compelling option for making spectral measurements at known frequencies instead of at inferred wavelengths. Commonly called laser heterodyne radiometry (LHR), such thermal heterodyne has its roots in radio-astronomy [8,9] and has generally only been implemented for astronomy [10–13], trace-gas sensing, and atmospheric spectroscopy [14–16] in the infrared region (e.g., 10 μm). However, with the ubiquity of high quality telecom fiber optic components, there is growing interest in the use of LHR in the near-infrared region (1.5–1.7 μm) for remote detection and spectroscopy of gases in the Earth’s atmosphere [17–21].

Figure 1 illustrates the operational concepts and parameters of a typical LHR system. Here, the source of interest is the Sun, but in general it can be any object that emits or reflects incoherent radiation. Through subsequent absorption and emission processes, from atomic and molecular species in either the Sun’s own photosphere or in Earth’s atmosphere, spectroscopic information becomes imprinted on the thermal spectrum. A narrow linewidth local oscillator laser (LO) is heterodyned with the thermal light, and spectral information at difference frequencies with respect to the LO is mixed down into the radio frequency (RF) domain. The RF power within an electrical bandwidth $b$ is proportional to the optical power in optical bandwidth $\Delta \nu = 2b$ centered on the LO frequency. This bandwidth sets the spectral resolution and can range from Hz to GHz using common RF electronics,
which is a significant advantage of LHR. Without the complexity of large free-space delays or diffractive elements, LHR can achieve high spectral resolution in a compact apparatus and can be easily reconfigured by swapping out the RF filters. Scanning the LO frequency and recording the downconverted RF power reconstructs the optical spectrum.

In all such LHR measurements, the stability of the LO frequency ultimately determines the spectral accuracy, and passive etalons, molecular absorption cells, or wavelength meters have been used to track the relative frequency of the LO [17–21]. However, these frequency references are not tied to fundamental frequency standards, and will drift on their own or have unknown absolute accuracy. In this paper, we introduce laser frequency comb (LFC) technology to LHR, thereby providing absolute frequency traceability and the capability of averaging or comparing spectral features over indefinite time scales. Our system achieves spectral resolving power of $R = v/\Delta v = 1,000,000$ and is built on robust fiber-integrated lasers in the 1.5 μm region. Utilizing fiber-integrated laser power control and balanced photodetection, we largely remove technical noise and achieve sensitivity at the fundamental quantum noise limit [24].

We illustrate these advantages with LHR spectroscopy of laboratory, solar, and atmospheric sources. In studies of a solar iron line, we measure a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of about 300 with 20 ms averaging time and 200 MHz resolution bandwidth, a number that exactly agrees with the theoretical prediction. The full spectrum of the line is swept out in 10 s, and after averaging 60 spectra, we are able to determine the line center to a precision less than 1 MHz, splitting the 3.7 GHz linewidth by a factor of $2 \times 10^{-4}$. Similar fractional precision is obtained on the HCN P28 line in a laboratory gas cell, with the narrower linewidth leading to an absolute frequency uncertainty of only 200 kHz. Crucially, these numbers are limited by the SNR of our experiment. The intrinsic frequency uncertainty of the instrument is still orders of magnitude lower and is ultimately limited only by the atomic frequency reference used to stabilize the frequency comb.

By removing the technical noise of the LO laser, the baseline drift, and the inaccuracy of the frequency axis, we advance spectroscopy of thermal sources to a new level of precision and rigor, and have shown for the first time the capability of making spectroscopic LHR measurements that are truly limited by the fundamental quantum statistics of the observed thermal light. With quantum-limited sensitivity, absolute frequency calibration, and improved long-term stability, our approach is transformative to a large body of work in atmospheric trace gas spectroscopy and solar astrophysics. High resolution measurements free of systematic noise are vital to the sophisticated modeling [25, 26] employed for precise quantification of the distribution of greenhouse gases in Earth’s atmospheric column [27]. In solar astrophysics, accurate knowledge of spectral line shapes and absolute frequency shifts can inform studies of helioseismology, magnetic activity, surface convection, and gravitational redshift [28–31]. In addition, such precise measurements are essential for models of stellar magnetism and photospheric dynamics and the efforts aimed at disentangling such activity from center-of-mass Doppler shifts [32]. The potential to fully resolve GHz-wide lines with sub-MHz absolute frequency precision across the near- and mid-infrared (wherever stabilized comb light can be generated) opens new possibilities for high precision solar and atmospheric LHR spectroscopy, which has previously been restricted to extremely narrow optical bandwidths surrounding isolated atomic or molecular transitions [33]. Modifications to this technique also hold the possibility to directly achieve cm/s level radial velocity precision (10–100 kHz) on the Sun, which has not been demonstrated to date. The ability to do so is a critical and open question relevant to the astronomical community searching for terrestrial-mass exoplanets in the habitable zones of nearby stars [34]. While LHR cannot replace traditional spectrographs for the radial velocity measurement of...
other stars [35–38], for detailed and high resolution measurements of velocity and line shape on the Sun, this technique is superior to other methods that at the level of a single line would struggle against instrumental systematics [39–42]. Furthermore, we lay the groundwork for additional modalities of direct frequency comb spectroscopy of non-laser sources [43,44]. This includes massively parallel heterodyne with frequency combs, which could be employed not only for spectroscopy but also for long-baseline phased-array imaging in the mid-infrared [45,46].

A. Theoretical Background

The theory of LHR has been thoroughly covered by others [9,47,48], and we simply state the important considerations for the system described in this paper. A single optical mode can couple only to a single statistical–mechanical thermal mode. The antenna theorem limits the product of the effective aperture (A) and field of view (Ω) of an optical mode to the square of its wavelength (λ), A Ω = λ² [49]. The power coupled into a single mode is maximized when the field of view is completely filled by the thermal source:

\[ P_{\text{max}} = h \nu \langle n \rangle \Delta \nu, \]

where \( h \nu \) is the photon energy, \( \Delta \nu \) is the optical bandwidth, and \( \langle n \rangle \) is the mean photon occupancy given by the Planck or Bose–Einstein distribution, \( \langle n \rangle = \exp(h\nu/kT) - 1 \). Equation (1) can also be viewed as the maximum power that can be delivered to a receiver’s area over which the thermal source is unresolved and its light is spatially coherent. This expression, converted to spectral density in terms of wavelength, is shown in Fig. 1(b) for a few blackbody temperatures relevant to the Sun and other common stellar types. Note that at 1550 nm, there is only 5 pW within a resolution bandwidth of \( \Delta \nu = 150 \) MHz for a thermal source with the temperature of the Sun (roughly 4 nW/nm single-mode power spectral density). The shape and extent of the optical mode is important for how information is retrieved spatially (see Section 5), but as long as the thermal source is larger than the field of view, improved imaging or tighter focusing onto the fiber will not change the coupled power. For standard single-mode fiber (SMF) at 1550 nm, only a simple collimating lens with a mm scale aperture is required to achieve a field of view smaller than the ~0.5° angular diameter of the Sun.

The heterodyne process is equivalent to passing the thermal light through a quantum-limited, phase-insensitive amplifier. If the LO shot noise dominates other sources of noise, this leads to the following SNR [24]:

\[ \text{SNR} = \frac{\eta \langle n \rangle}{1 + \eta \langle n \rangle} \sqrt{\Delta \nu \tau}, \]

where \( \tau \) is the averaging time, and \( \eta \) is the probability that a photon emitted by the blackbody arrives at the detector and results in a photoelectron. The efficiency factor \( \eta \) includes all transmission and coupling losses as well as the detector’s quantum efficiency. The additional factor of \( \eta \langle n \rangle \) in the denominator is due to thermal photon bunching and has important implications. Regardless of the number of photons, or how hot the source is, the first term in Eq. (2) is always less than one. This means that useful SNRs can be obtained only with large optical bandwidths or averaging times. The bunching term is also why LHR has typically been considered only for the mid- and far-infrared, as starting with a relatively large number of photons gives an SNR that is both larger and less sensitive to optical loss. The SNR rapidly drops off at short wavelengths due to reduced photon counts, but as seen in Fig. 1(d), the SNR can assume significant values in the near-infrared for the reasonable experimental parameters that we employ.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Our experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 2. Sunlight is coupled into a SMF by a fiber collimator (Thorlabs 50-1550) mounted to a solar tracker (Orion Solar StarSeeker). The divergence of the collimator’s Gaussian mode gives a 1/e² angular radius roughly half that of the Sun’s, so about 25% of the solar disk is within the effective field of view. The SMF runs ~100 m to an indoor laboratory where the LHR components are located. The sunlight is combined with a single-frequency diode laser in a 50:50 fiber coupler and then interfered on balanced photodetectors. Balanced detection allows measuring all of the collected sunlight while simultaneously rejecting amplitude noise associated with the laser [50]. Before the coupler, the laser is first passed through an amplitude stabilizer implemented with a fiber-coupled Mach–Zehnder intensity modulator. This servos the laser to a constant power, stabilizing the background level of the LHR signal as the laser frequency is swept. The servo maintains the sum of the two balanced detector outputs at 9.75 V, or at about 0.975 mW of total optical power.

The heterodyne signal is filtered and amplified before the RF power is detected. A 25 MHz high-pass filter removes low frequency technical noise due to the laser and detector electronics. A 100 MHz low-pass filter sets a sharp high frequency cutoff and gives an optical bandwidth of 200 MHz. The laser noise spectrum and the shape of the effective bandpass filter are plotted in Fig. 2(b). Across the entire passband, the noise spectrum is within 1 dB of the calculated shot-noise limit assuming a quantum efficiency of 0.82 and a transimpedance gain of 25 V/mA. The shape of the effective bandpass filter was measured by recording the response of the power detection electronics to a swept RF tone generated by a synthesizer. Weighting and integrating the filter profile by the noise spectrum gives a double-sided equivalent noise bandwidth of 150 MHz. While the high-pass filter at 25 MHz does create a non-ideal instrument response function with a 50 MHz gap in the center, excess noise below 25 MHz results in a smaller SNR when the filter is removed. In principle, with lower noise laser and photodetection electronics, the central gap in the detection band could be reduced to only a few hundred kHz using readily available RF components.

The RF power detection circuit utilizes the diode bridge of a double balanced mixer for rectification. A 0° power splitter sends the amplified heterodyne signal to both input ports of the mixer. The output of the mixer is terminated into 50 Ohms, and the DC voltage is used as a measure of RF power. A final low-pass filter and preamplifier are applied before that signal is sent to the digitizer. The low-pass filter is a programmable second order RC filter and is set to give an effective averaging time of 21 ms and 210 ms for the solar and HCN measurements. The transfer function from broadband RF noise power at the input to DC voltage at the output was determined by heterodyning the LO with an amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) source and is nearly linear for the optical power levels involved. After frequency axis calibration, the transfer function is used to offset and scale the raw voltage signal so that it corresponds to RF noise power.
Fig. 2. Experimental setup for laser-frequency-comb (LFC) calibrated LHR spectroscopy. (a) Schematic of the key components and signal pathways; see text for details. (b) Laser noise and LHR passband. The noise spectrum (blue) from the balanced detector is within 1 dB of the calculated shot-noise limit (gray). The roll-off at high frequency is due to the 100 MHz detector bandwidth. The effective RF bandpass filter (orange) defines the doubled-sided optical passband centered on the LO frequency. The low-pass filter at 100 MHz sets a resolving power $R = \nu/\Delta R$ of approximately $10^6$ in the 1550 nm region. The high-pass filter at 25 MHz attenuates excess low frequency technical noise. (c) Simultaneous solar and calibration signals as recorded on an oscilloscope. Each calibration tick is separated by 62.5 MHz and is traceable to a stabilized LFC, allowing for absolute frequency calibration.

A. Absolute Frequency Calibration

Using the same LHR techniques as for the thermal light, the spectrum of an LFC [3] is also mapped out through heterodyne with the LO laser. Our LFC is referenced to a NIST-calibrated hydrogen maser and provides a grid traceable to the SI second, with uncertainty of a few parts in $10^{13}$ or better on time scales from seconds to years ($\sim$20 Hz frequency precision at 1550 nm). This precise and accurate reference has been absent from other LHR measurements, and we believe it will be key to the long-term averaging and day-to-day repeatability required to meaningfully track the center frequency and line shape of solar or atmospheric lines.

The position of each comb tooth is given by the comb equation, which is defined by two degrees of freedom and an integer comb line number, $\nu = f_0 + n f_R$. When the offset frequency ($f_0$) and the repetition rate ($f_R$) are properly stabilized, knowledge of the comb line number ($n$) allows for absolute frequency calibration. For single, fixed frequency applications, $n$ is typically determined by a lower resolution absolute measurement (e.g., with a wavelength meter) or by performing multiple heterodynes with the comb set at different repetition rates. However, since the frequency of our LO laser is continuously scanning, those methods are not conveniently applied. We use the centroid of an absorption line from a reference gas cell to determine the integer $n$, but such determination could also easily be accomplished by separately recording the heterodyne between the scanning laser and a secondary, fixed laser locked to a known comb line.

Since our LFC has a comb tooth only every 250 MHz, the heterodyne beat is first mixed with additional synthesizers at 31.25 MHz and 93.75 MHz to give a denser grid of calibration ticks [51]. Using hardware identical to that in the thermal LHR arm (shown in the blue shaded region of Fig. 2) but with 4 MHz double-sided bandwidth and 0.6 ms averaging time, RF power detection provides a voltage output every $f_R/4 = 62.5$ MHz for each calibration line. The thermal and calibration spectra are recorded simultaneously on an oscilloscope, a sample of which is shown in Fig. 2(c).

The calibration procedure consists of fitting Gaussian profiles to the calibration ticks to find their centers and then linearly interpolating between those points to construct a time-to-frequency calibration curve. Since these are continuous time-domain measurements, the absolute frequency calibration is susceptible to the product of the sweep rate and the relative time delays between the two arms. The largest contributors to such delays are the final, time-averaging low-pass filters. Given a nominal sweep rate of 5 GHz/s, a 10 ms delay due to a 20 ms averaging time results in a 50 MHz frequency offset. The actual delays for each filter configuration were determined by splitting a slow sine wave, sending it into both arms’ filters, and then measuring their relative delay at the oscilloscope. The sweep rate is automatically calculated as part of the time-to-frequency calibration curve, so once the fixed delays are known the effective frequency shift can be removed in post processing. However, this issue could be avoided altogether by sweeping in a step and hold pattern, such that the frequency of the LO is constant during the measurement of each spectral element. In that case, instead of fitting to and interpolating between the comb lines in the measured power spectrum, one could determine the LO frequency by directly counting the frequency of the heterodyne beat note or by servoing it to a prescribed value.

To estimate an upper limit on the sweep-to-sweep calibration uncertainty, we injected LFC light into both arms of the LHR system. The frequency calibration procedure was applied using data from the first arm, while cross-correlation was used on data from the second to determine the trace-to-trace frequency shift. For 5 s long traces spanning a 15 GHz optical window, this comb versus comb measurement yielded a frequency uncertainty at the
few tens of kHz level, approaching the 1 kHz level after 1000 s of averaging.

Due to the additional RF processing, the absolute frequency of a spectral line measured by our LHR system is given by a modified comb equation:

\[ v = f_0 + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{f_R}{4} + f_c - f_{\text{dly}}, \]  

where \( f_0 \) and \( f_R \) are the maser-referenced carrier–envelope offset frequency and repetition rate of the frequency comb, respectively. \( f_{\text{dly}} \) is the effective frequency axis shift due to the time delay between the two arms, and \( f_c \) is the line center frequency relative to the calibration tick given by integer index \( n \). The line center frequency is found by fitting a Voigt profile to the spectral line being measured. Electronic mixing has reduced the effective repetition rate and increased the number of calibration ticks by a factor of four. The delay frequency shift \( (f_{\text{dly}}) \) is calculated from the scan rate of the LO laser and the time delay of the averaging low-pass filters, which were measured to effective frequency precisions, respectively, two and four times better than the minimum given by the Allan deviations of the solar and HCN measurements. With stability near \( 10^{-13} \) for the offset frequency and repetition rate, the largest source of uncertainty is the determination of the line center frequency \( (f_c) \), which depends heavily on the SNR and line shape.

3. SYSTEM CHARACTERIZATION

We conducted LHR experiments using an ASE source in the laboratory to explore systematics independent of instabilities due to telescope tracking, relative solar velocity and rotation, as well as variable solar flux (e.g., due to cloud cover). The broadband light from an unseeded semiconductor optical amplifier was attenuated to approximate the power spectral density of sunlight. Absorption lines imparted on the ASE by an HCN gas cell (NIST SRM 2519a [52]) served as stable spectral features to measure with the LHR setup. The results from a series of scans of the P28 transition spanning 36 h are shown in Fig. 3. Due to the weak nature of this line and the short optical path length within the cell, the absorption profile dips only about 4% away from the relative continuum level. The scan range of this measurement was restricted to a 4 GHz region around the P28 transition. Each scan was collected in 6 s with a resolution bandwidth of 200 MHz and an effective averaging time of 210 ms.

The amplitude and frequency noise are analyzed by comparing the individual traces against a template formed from a filtered average of the entire dataset (see Supplement 1 for details). The two-dimensional histogram of the data from the individual traces is plotted against the spectral template in Fig. 3(a). The curves are normalized to the same mean amplitude, so the residuals solely represent the relative amplitude noise. The distribution of the residuals is Gaussian, as expected of amplitude fluctuations due primarily to shot noise.

The effective line center shift of each trace is calculated by finding the frequency shift that maximizes the trace’s cross-correlation with the template. The Allan deviation of the frequency shifts yields an 8 MHz uncertainty for the 6 s traces, which reduces down to about 200 kHz after \( 10^8 \) s of averaging. We compare the measured frequency uncertainty to an estimate of the extracted center frequency’s sensitivity to white-amplitude noise, calculated from the line shape and the statistics of the amplitude residuals (described in Supplement 1). As seen in Fig. 3(b), over the first few decades, the frequency instability of the HCN line agrees with this white-amplitude-noise limit. This indicates that random amplitude fluctuations dominate the short- and medium-term frequency instabilities, and the measurement is limited purely by the SNR.

The origin of the 200 kHz noise floor seen in the last decade is less certain. From the comb versus comb results discussed in the previous section, the noise floor is not due to calibration uncertainty. Temperature induced drift is largely ruled out when we apply the temperature sensitivity of the lines given in [53] to our measured laboratory temperature shifts. In addition, we also did not find a significant coupling between frequency shifts and variations of the mean LHR amplitude. While unexplored, there could be a polarization induced effect due to the non-polarization maintaining fibers used to connectorize the HCN system.
an area that needs further investigation, but regardless of the true source or cause of the noise floor, it does not yet represent a concern for the solar measurements we performed. With the current solar tracking setup, the obtainable spectral averaging times on the Sun are less than 1000 s, which is still within the 1/√τ averaging region of the HCN dataset.

An estimate of the HCN line’s absolute frequency is used to isolate the absolute comb line number (n). Since the SRM 2519a HCN reference cell is certified only for P-branch frequencies up to the P27 transition [52], its documentation does not report a frequency specification for the P28 transition. We estimate our cell’s P28 frequency by adding the pressure shifts extrapolated from the last few certified lines to the vacuum line center calculated in [53]. That estimate was found to be within 2 MHz of the frequency given by our LHR measurement and an integer comb number, which results in a comb-calibrated absolute frequency of 191.5114832(2) THz for the P28 transition of our gas cell. For comparison, a 2 MHz error is well within the ~10 MHz ±1σ certified uncertainty for the nearest line at P27. The 200 kHz uncertainty, from the Allan deviation shown in Fig. 3(b), represents an absolute frequency precision of one part in 10⁹.

4. RESULTS ON THE SUN

Using this system, we observed a neutral iron line (Fe I) in the solar spectrum with a vacuum wavelength near 1565.279 nm [54,55]. Figure 4 illustrates the spectral profile and amplitude noise from an observing run that extended 20 min. Each trace, spanning 27.5 GHz, was taken over a 10 s period with 200 MHz resolution bandwidth and 21 ms averaging time. The data were processed using the same procedures as described in the previous section and in Supplement 1 for the measurement of the HCN line. While the full shape of the iron line spans only ~15 GHz, the additional scan range facilitated determination of the comb’s integer index n by extending over the positions of both the iron and P28 HCN lines with a single sweep configuration. After the solar measurements finished, the input to the LHR arm was switched to the ASE illuminated HCN gas cell, and its known line center was used to determine the reference comb line number to use for absolute frequency calibration of the solar data. While the HCN line is used to determine the integer n, we emphasize that the absolute accuracy of the frequency axis is determined by the NIST-calibrated hydrogen maser.

It is interesting to examine the solar data’s SNR as compared to the theoretical value for a thermal source. For this purpose, we take the standard deviation of the residuals over a 4 GHz window roughly 15 GHz away from the line center where the measured signal was closest to the background continuum level (0.994). With the continuum normalized to one, the reciprocal of that standard deviation defines the data driven SNR. The predicted SNR is calculated using Eq. (2). The total quantum efficiency is 0.77, which accounts for the detector’s quantum efficiency (0.82) and the transmission through the fiber connectors and splitter (0.93). The effective temperature within the field of view of the collimated Gaussian mode (~25% of the solar disk) is estimated to be 6000 K (⟨n⟩ ≈ 0.27), given the nominal solar disk temperature of 5800 K [57] and the limb darkening profile at 1565 nm as measured in [58] (the center of the solar disk is brighter than the average due to limb darkening and so has a larger effective temperature). With the above values, and the system’s effective averaging time of 21 ms and equivalent noise bandwidth of 150 MHz, the predicted SNR is 313. This exhibits remarkable agreement with the SNR of 309 calculated purely from the data, and the two values are essentially the same (310) given the numerical precisions involved. Additionally, the data show the SNR continuing to improve with √N averages at all time scales, reaching a value of approximately 3500 after 125 averages (20 min). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of an LHR measurement that is at the fundamental detection limits dictated by the quantum optical theory of Eq. (2).

The central frequency of the line was tracked through cross-correlation with the spectral template, the Allan deviation of which is shown in Fig. 5(a). The 7 MHz line center instability per 10 s trace represents a measurement precision of one part in 500 relative to the 3.7 GHz linewidth. However, because of a variable Doppler shift attributable to the relative motion between the Sun and the LHR system on the surface of the Earth, that value does not
significantly improve even after 10 min of averaging. Barycentric correction, using the algorithms from [56,59], removes that effect and calculates the expected frequency as seen by an observer far outside the solar system and at rest with respect to the solar systems’ center of mass. Applying that correction, the uncertainty averages down and is reduced below 1 MHz after 10 min, a precision that splits the line to better than one part in 4000. This is about 40 times more precise than the uncertainties listed for this transition in the NIST Atomic Spectra Database [54]. The rigorous spectroscopy enabled by such absolute frequency precision should allow investigations into the physics that go into the line frequency and the line shape, including effects such as magnetic activity, convective blueshift, and gravitational redshift [29–31]. Examining the frequency offsets in Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), the short-term stability appears to be limited by the p-mode surface oscillations of the Sun, which have characteristic periods around 5 min. At time scales longer than shown here, the instability of our solar tracker becomes significant, and the precision degrades due to pointing errors asymmetrically coupling the Sun’s large rotational Doppler shift across the system’s field of view. We present a photonic solution to this issue below.

5. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

These experiments illustrate the present capabilities of our frequency-comb-calibrated LHR setup for precision spectroscopy, but several important opportunities and open questions remain about the full potential of this approach. The most immediate is the extension of the solar measurements to longer averaging times. Spectral information from across the Sun’s surface is weighted by the antenna pattern given by the distribution of the SMF’s optical mode in the far-field [49]. The present results were obtained with optics that coupled light into the SMF from only ∼25% of the solar disk. This leads to solar tracking errors manifesting as spectroscopic noise, as light from the eastern and western limbs of the solar disk have oppositely signed Doppler shifts due to the Sun’s rotational motion. There are two options to minimize this effect: reduce the solar tracking errors and/or manipulate the antenna pattern to reduce the sensitivity to misalignment. The first could be accomplished through active feedback that locks to the bright center of the solar disk, while an attractive solution for the second is to employ a flat-top beam shaper.

In contrast to those based on diffusers, which have been applied to great effect in precision astronomical photometry [60], the beam shapers proposed for use with LHR are single mode in nature. Such beam shapers are common in laser machining and use refractive or diffractive elements to convert a single-mode Gaussian profile in the near-field into a flat-top profile in the far-field without introducing a speckle pattern. Figure 6 compares the antenna pattern efficiency and pointing sensitivity of two Gaussian distributions with a flat-top profile provided by the manufacturer Holos/Or. With only a small perturbation for these beam shapes, the pointing sensitivity calculation includes models for limb darkening [58] and the latitude variability of the Sun’s rotational velocity [61]. In comparison to a Gaussian mode profile, a well matched top-hat aperture reduces the tracking sensitivity by 100×.

Viewing the Sun with a uniform antenna pattern, effectively as if it were unresolved, would allow the lessons learned from such LHR measurements to be more easily transferred to the study of distant stars. For example, it has been shown that radial velocity measurements of the Sun are correlated with its magnetic activity, and that removing those correlations improves long-term precision [62]. However, it is not currently known how to apply those corrections to other stars where precision magnetic field measurements do not exist (such as the spatially resolved measures used in [62]). A potential solution may be to extract the magnetic field information from the shape of the observed spectral lines, which can manifest as line splitting, line broadening, or line intensification [63]. It is for this purpose that we chose an iron line with a high magnetic sensitivity [64]. With the experimental flexibility that LHR provides, we are planning to undertake a series of measurements to determine the resolution, SNR, and temporal sampling required to take full advantage of the stellar activity information contained within the line shape itself. While near-infrared LHR is largely restricted to targeting the Sun (see Supplement 1), such measurements could still set important constraints on the design of future observations and spectrographic instrumentation for other stars.
temperature and pressure quantification. Preliminary experiments using frequency combs to directly measure thermal-like sources have been performed \[43, 44\]. However, in these works, the thermal heterodyne signal required extremely long averaging times to emerge above the shot-, thermal-, and electronic-noise floors. A more fruitful direction may be to combine spectral demultiplexing with combs of high power per mode to optimize the SNR on an array of detectors. We provide a few scenarios in Supplement 1.

Focusing on the frequency content of a single line, the achievable frequency precision could be increased by discarding the line shape information. A signal proportional to the derivative of the spectral amplitude, suitable for use as an error signal to servo and lock the LO laser \[67\], can be generated by dithering the laser frequency and performing lock-in detection of the LHR output. Such derivative signals have been previously demonstrated with LHR \[68, 69\], but not in the context of feeding back to and stabilizing the laser frequency. Locking the LO laser would make the average laser frequency a direct representation of a line’s instantaneous frequency. This technique would maximize the achievable SNR and hence the achievable frequency precision by concentrating the effective averaging time at the point of spectroscopic interest, by allowing the use of an increased resolution bandwidth optimized to the linewidth, and by enabling the line frequency to be continuously tracked with minimal dead-time against the LFC. For the iron line in Fig. 4, we calculate an optimal resolution bandwidth of about 3 GHz based on the theoretical increase in SNR and the decrease in the slope of the line with larger resolution bandwidth. We estimate that with a square-wave-type dither jumping between the two steepest parts of the line, the resulting SNR should support 1 m/s precision in only 10 s and 10 cm/s in 1000 s. Besides being a path towards cm/s radial velocity precision on the Sun using only a single line, such measurements could also complement single-line helioseismic studies such as the BISON and GONG networks \[70, 71\] by extending high precision radial velocity measurements to different wavelengths and atomic species.

Polarization is an aspect of our work that remains to be analyzed. As heterodyning is a fundamentally single-mode process, the signal emerges only from the thermal light projected onto the polarization state of the LO. The absorption lines embedded in the solar spectrum are slightly polarized due to the Sun’s magnetic field, and the Earth’s atmosphere can in principle change the polarization of the detected light randomly at the few percent level \[72\]. While we have yet to see the direct effect of this noise source in our measurements, mitigation could either involve fully randomizing (scrambling) the polarization of the sunlight via agitation/rotation/squeezing of the SMF in which the solar light propagates, or splitting and then separately detecting the two orthogonal polarizations of the input solar light. The second approach would be particularly beneficial for the analysis of magnetically sensitive lines, as it could allow mapping of the full polarization state of the transition, giving detailed information on the local magnetic fields \[73\].

While challenging, the introduction and continued improvement of photonic tools and optical frequency combs makes further study of LHR in the near- and mid-infrared a compelling cross-disciplinary field of exploration for precision spectroscopy and Doppler metrology in astronomy, atmospheric science, and remote sensing.

---

Fig. 6. Heterodyne antenna pattern and pointing sensitivity. (blue) Gaussian mode with radius 0.5 times the solar radius \(r_s\), (orange) Gaussian mode with radius 1.1\(r_s\), and (green) flat-top mode with radius 1.1\(r_s\). The relative SNR is normalized to the view given by a uniform antenna pattern that extends up to the edge of the solar disk and includes the effects of limb darkening \[58\]. The pointing sensitivity is the effective Doppler shift (due to the Sun’s rotational motion) per angular misalignment perpendicular to the rotational axis (1 arcsec is about one part in 1000 of \(r_s\)). The sensitivity of the Gaussian mode does not significantly decrease upon increasing its field of view from 0.5 to 1.1 solar radii, but a similarly sized flat-top beam should reduce the pointing sensitivity by two orders of magnitude compared to the current 0.5\(r_s\) setup.
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