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Role of rumination in the relationship between metacognition and shyness
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AIM
To explore the association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination and shyness in a non-clinical sample of adults.

METHODS
One hundred and three healthy subjects from the general population were enrolled in the study. Shyness was evaluated using the Revised Cheek and Buss Shyness Scale, rumination was assessed using the Ruminative Response Scale, metacognition was evaluated using the Meta-Cognitions Questionnaire 30, and anxiety levels were measured using the State Trait Anxiety Inventory form Y. Correlation analyses, mediation models and 95% bias-
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INTRODUCTION

The self-regulatory executive function model (S-REF)[13]
has been proposed by Well and Matthews to describe
dysfunctional cognition in psychological distress. The
S-REF model posits that psychological dysfunction may
be maintained by a combination of attentional focu-
sing on threat, rumination, worry, and dysfunctional
behaviours, which constitute the Cognitive Attentional
Syndrome (CAS)[21]. CAS is activated and maintained
by metacognitive beliefs, which are defined as the in-
formation that an individual has about his/her own
cognition and coping strategies, which ultimately im-
 pact CAS[23]. Metacognitive beliefs take two forms:
Positive and negative. Positive metacognitive beliefs
motivate the use of CAS. Negative metacognitive beliefs
concern the significance, uncontrollability and danger
of thoughts[23]. In the S-REF model, CAS is considered
problematic because it causes negative thoughts and
emotions to persist, leading to failed modifications of
dysfunctional metacognitive beliefs and stably resolved
self-discrepancies[4].

The importance of metacognitive beliefs can be ex-
plained with reference to generalized anxiety disorder[25].
In the presence of a trigger (e.g., intrusive thoughts
and/or external factors), positive metacognitive beliefs
about the usefulness of worrying as a coping strategy
toward a threat are activated and persist until the person
achieves a desired internal feeling state. Positive beliefs
are not sufficient to lead to generalized anxiety disorder,
and the development of negative beliefs about worrying
contributes to an intensification of anxiety symptoms[23].

Rumination is one component of CAS and it has been
defined, in the context of social anxiety, as repetitive
thoughts about subjective experiences during a recent
social interaction, including self-appraisal and the external
evaluations of partners and other details of the event[26].

Several studies have found a significant correlation
between metacognitive beliefs and rumination in both
clinical and non-clinical samples[10-12]. Metacognitive
beliefs and rumination have been found to be posi-
tively-correlated with a wide range of psychological dis-
orders[4,10-12], including social anxiety[13,14]. Patients with
social anxiety focus their attention onto an “observer”
image of themselves in social circumstances by engaging
in ruminative activities after social encounters[5]. The
post-event involves ruminations about what happened
in the social situation, is focused on negative emotions
and image of oneself, and leads to reinforced beliefs
about one’s poor social performance and negative self-
perceptions[5].

In comparison with a non-clinical control group,
patients with social anxiety reported higher levels of
negative metacognitive beliefs regarding the uncontrol-
 lability and dangerousness of thoughts[25]. For those in
clinical samples with beliefs about the need to control
thoughts[16], positive and negative metacognitive beliefs
were positively-associated with social anxiety symp-
toms[13]. Similarly, in non-clinical samples, a positive
correlation was reported between positive metacognitive
beliefs about post-event rumination, negative meta-
cognitive beliefs and social anxiety[13].

Although it has been hypothesised that shyness could
be qualitatively different from social anxiety[17], some evi-
dence places shyness and social anxiety on a continuum
or spectrum in which social anxiety is conceptualised as
“extreme shyness”[18,17-19]. Such a conceptualisation also
suggests that the two may share similar features at the
somatic, behavioural and cognitive levels[17,20,21], even
though shyness is not pathological[17]. More specifically, it
might be assumed that shy and socially anxious subjects
also share similar features in terms of metacognitive
beliefs and ruminative processes. An evaluation of whe-
ther rumination and metacognitive beliefs are associated
with shyness could enrich the literature on the possible
aetiological factors of shyness. Although it might be

corrected and accelerated (BCaCI) bootstrapped analyses
were performed. Mediation analyses were adjusted for
sex and anxiety.

RESULTS

Shyness, rumination and metacognition were significantly
correlated (P < 0.05). The relationship between meta-
cognition and shyness was fully mediated by rumination
(Indirect effect: 0.20; 95% BCaCI: 0.08-0.33).

CONCLUSION

These findings suggest an association between meta-
cognition and shyness. Rumination mediated the relation-
ship between metacognition and shyness, suggesting that
rumination could be a cognitive strategy for shy people.
Future research should explore the relationship between
these constructs in more depth.
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Core tip: No previous studies have explored the relation-
ship between metacognitive belief, rumination and shyn-
ness in a sample of adults. This research, based on the
self-regulatory executive function model, explores the
association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination
and shyness. Results show a correlation between shyness,
rumination and metacognition. Moreover, the relationship
between metacognition and shyness was fully mediated
by rumination. These findings have important implications
for strengthening the social skills of shy individuals.

Palmieri S, Mansueto G, Scaini S, Fiore F, Sassaroli S, Ruggiero
GM, Borlimi R, Carducci BJ. Role of rumination in the relation-
ship between metacognition and shyness. World J Psychiatr 2018; 8(4):
108-113 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/
full/v8/i4/108.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v8.i4.108

INTRODUCTION

The self-regulatory executive function model (S-REF)[13]
was proposed by Well and Matthews to describe
dysfunctional cognition in psychological distress. The
S-REF model posits that psychological dysfunction may
be maintained by a combination of attentional focu-
sing on threat, rumination, worry, and dysfunctional
behaviours, which constitute the Cognitive Attentional
Syndrome (CAS)[21]. CAS is activated and maintained
by metacognitive beliefs, which are defined as the in-
formation that an individual has about his/her own
cognition and coping strategies, which ultimately im-
 pact CAS[23]. Metacognitive beliefs take two forms:
Positive and negative. Positive metacognitive beliefs
motivate the use of CAS. Negative metacognitive beliefs
concern the significance, uncontrollability and danger
considered as a source of limited evidence to support this specific assumption, Vassilopoulos et al.\(^\text{[22]}\) suggested that during preadolescence, shyness might be correlated with post-event processing. However, no studies to date have explored the proposed relationship between metacognitive belief, rumination and shyness in a sample of adults.

Based on the S-REF model\(^\text{[2]}\), this study aims to explore the association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination and shyness in a non-clinical sample of adults. We hypothesised that higher levels of metacognitive belief and rumination would be associated with higher levels of shyness.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Participants

One hundred and three healthy subjects were recruited from the general population on the basis of the following inclusion criteria: At least 18 years old and fluent in Italian. In terms of exclusion criteria, individuals with personality disorders were excluded on the basis of a diagnostic interview conducted by psychologists who assessed demographic data, past or current emotional disorders, or psychological and/or psychopharmacological treatments. All participants provided informed consent.

#### Instruments

Shyness was evaluated using the Revised Cheek and Buss Shyness Scale\(^\text{[23]}\), a 14 item self-report scale. Each item is rated on a five-point Likert scale. Higher scores indicate greater levels of shyness. The Revised Cheek and Buss Shyness Scale possesses good psychometric properties\(^\text{[28,29]}\). The official Italian translation of this scale by Marcone and Nitro\(^\text{[24]}\) was used.

Rumination was measured by the Ruminative Response Scale\(^\text{[26]}\), a 22 item self-report scale assessing the propensity to ruminate in response to depression. Respondents are required to indicate the degree to which they engage in a ruminative thinking style when they feel depressed. Each item is rated on a four-point scale ranging from one (almost never) to four (always). Higher scores indicate higher levels of rumination. This scale possesses good psychometric properties\(^\text{[27]}\). The English version of this scale was back-translated into Italian by a native Italian speaker who was not familiar with the questionnaire.

Metacognition was assessed using the Meta-Cognitions Questionnaire 30\(^\text{[30]}\), a 30 item self-report instrument assessing individual differences in metacognitive beliefs, judgments and monitoring tendencies. Higher scores indicate greater levels of maladaptive metacognitive beliefs. The Meta-Cognitions Questionnaire 30 possesses good psychometric properties\(^\text{[26,29]}\).

Anxiety levels were measured using the State Trait Anxiety Inventory form Y\(^\text{[30]}\) for assessing trait anxiety. This form is a 40 item self-report scale in which participants rate the extent to which they experience various manifestations of anxiety. This form possesses good psychometric properties\(^\text{[30]}\).

#### Statistical analysis

In order to evaluate the association between shyness, rumination and metacognition, Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed. Mediation models\(^\text{[31]}\) were tested in order to evaluate the mediating role of rumination in the association between metacognition and shyness. In accordance with Baron and Kenny\(^\text{[31]}\), a correlational analysis was used before evaluating the mediation effects to ensure that metacognition (independent variable IV), shyness (dependent variable DV) and rumination (mediator) correlated with each other. The mediation model was tested according to Baron and Kenny’s criteria\(^\text{[31]}\), which assume that a fully- or partially-mediating relationship occurs when the relationship between the IV and DV is non-significant or still significant, respectively, after controlling for the effect of the mediator. In this study, the mediation model was performed using the SPSS macros for bootstrapping\(^\text{[32]}\) as provided by Preacher and Hayes\(^\text{[33]}\). The indirect effect was tested using a bootstrapping procedure\(^\text{[32,33]}\). Mediation analyses were controlled for sex and anxiety. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.

#### RESULTS

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests indicated that the distribution of variables was normal. Sixty percent of the samples were female with an average age of 35.8 (SD ± 5.98) and a mean school education of 13.70 years (SD ± 3.66). Significant correlations were found between shyness, rumination and metacognition (Table 1). Rumination mediated the association between metacognition and shyness (Table 2 and Figure 1).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we examined the link between metacognition and shyness, as well as the mediation role of rumination. To our knowledge, no study has addressed this important issue, despite its obvious relevance and potential in reducing the negative effects of extreme shyness on human well-being. Rumination is known to be a crucial correlate of metacognition\(^\text{[2]}\) and has recently been shown to be related to shyness and social anxiety\(^\text{[1,22]}\). Building on previous research, the core finding of the present study was the mediating role of rumination in explaining the relationship between metacognition and shyness. In this regard, rumination could be a helpful cognitive strategy for shy individuals.

The second important finding of this research was the significant association between metacognition and shyness. Such results are in accordance with previous studies on social anxiety\(^\text{[3]}\), a similar construct to shyness. The results of this study seem to indicate that rumination as a mediator explains only a small
formation and cues from the environment, which could reduce their ability to execute the social skills needed to perform successfully during social situations.

It is also possible that metacognition directly influences shyness. Such data highlight the pressing need for a longitudinal investigation of these constructs to confirm the proposed relationship. The failure of shy individuals to respond appropriately in social situations is associated with the non-adaptive control of cognitive beliefs and type of metacognitive beliefs (e.g., the tendency for shy individuals to make internal attributions in response to interpersonal failures) [36], rather than to the usual schema’s content (e.g., the more general self-serving attribution bias to make external attributions for personal failures) [37].

The present research constitutes a novel contribution to the literature, given that the association between metacognition, rumination and shyness has not previously been addressed. We have only just begun to unravel the mechanisms through which metacognition and shyness are linked, and more research is needed to explore the relationship between these constructs.

**Limitations and implications of the research**

Some limitations of the present work must be acknowledged. The first limitation is its cross-sectional design.

---

### Table 1  Correlation analyses

|                     | STAI-Y anxiety | RCBS-shyness | MCQ-metacognition | RRS-rumination |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|
| STAI-Y anxiety      | 1              |              |                    |               |
| RCBS-shyness        | 0.022 (0.82)   | 1            |                    |               |
| MCQ-metacognition   | 0.19 (0.05)    | 0.223 (0.02) | 1                  |               |
| RRS-rumination      | 0.071 (0.47)   | 0.413 (< 0.001) | 0.734 (< 0.001) | 1 |

STAI-Y: State trait anxiety inventory form Y; RCBS: Revised cheek and buss shyness scale; RRS: Ruminative response scale; MCQ: Metacognitions questionnaire 30.

### Table 2  Mediating effects of rumination in the relationship between metacognitive beliefs and shyness (controlled for sex and anxiety)

|                     | B   | SE    | P    | 95% BCaCI |
|---------------------|-----|-------|------|-----------|
| **Step 1**          |     |       |      |           |
| Sex                 | 2.32| 2.43  | 0.34 | -0.49     |
| Anxiety             | 0.09| 0.12  | 0.46 | -0.49     |
| Metacognition (IV)  | 0.11| 0.05  | 0.02 | 0.01-0.21 |
| Shyness (DV)        |     |       |      |           |
| **Step 2**          |     |       |      |           |
| Sex                 | 4.11| 1.78  | 0.02 | 0.57-7.65 |
| Anxiety             | 0.12| 0.09  | 0.19 | -0.36     |
| Metacognition (IV)  | 0.41| 0.03  | <0.001 | 0.33-0.48 |
| Rumination (M)      |     |       |      |           |
| **Step 3**          |     |       |      |           |
| Sex                 | 0.28| 2.33  | 0.9  | -9.28     |
| Anxiety             | 0.03| 0.11  | 0.77 | -0.46     |
| Metacognition (IV)  | -0.08| 0.06 | 0.23 | -0.28     |
| Rumination (M)      | 0.49| 0.12  | <0.001 | 0.23-0.75 |
| Shyness (DV)        |     |       |      |           |

IV: Independent variable; DV: Dependent variable; M: Mediator; 95% BCaCI: 95% bias-corrected and accelerated.

---

**Figure 1** Mediating role of rumination in the association between metacognition and shyness (controlled for sex and anxiety).
A longitudinal study would be a more appropriate design for investigating the causal relationship between metacognition, rumination, shyness and their association over time. Secondly, although ours could be considered a sizeable sample, a larger one would have allowed us to go beyond our current level of analysis to explore, for example, gender or age differences. A third, more technical limitation of this study has to do with shared-method variance, as these variables are internal and subjective processes, and self-report measures seemed appropriate. Furthermore, our study focused on metacognitive beliefs and did not investigate cognitive errors\(^[30]\), and therefore should be considered exploratory.

We believe that the different patterns of associations that emerged have important practical implications for shy individuals. Starting from the position that shyness is neither a disease nor a psychiatric disorder\(^[30]\), these results could be relevant in helping individuals to understand the nature and dynamics of shyness by addressing its cognitive components\(^[40,41]\). Carducci\(^[42]\) and Sirikantraporn et al\(^[43]\) have previously noted the value of examining the cognitive-related self-selected strategies used by shy individuals to deal with their shyness as a means of helping them to more effectively understand and respond to their shyness. Furthermore, with respect to the implications based on the results of the present study, the metacognitive model\(^[44]\) should be a potentially valuable framework for improving the social skills of shy subjects. Based on the metacognitive model\(^[44]\), the evaluation of metacognitive beliefs and ruminative thinking could be considered in shy subjects, given that this model is mainly focused on the modification or reduction of these aspects\(^[44]\).

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**
Metacognitive beliefs and rumination are correlated with social anxiety, which is located on a continuum of shyness. To our knowledge, no studies have explored the association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination and shyness in a non-clinical sample of adults.

**Research motivation**
To add to current knowledge about the association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination and shyness.

**Research objectives**
The main aim was to explore the association between metacognitive beliefs, rumination and shyness in a non-clinical sample of adults.

**Research methods**
This was an observational study, comprising a sample of 103 healthy subjects recruited from the general population.

**Research results**
Shyness, rumination and metacognition were significantly correlated \((P < 0.05)\). The relationship between metacognition and shyness was fully mediated by rumination (Indirect effect: 0.20; 95% bias-corrected and accelerated: 0.08-0.33). These results build upon previous research.

**Research conclusions**
To our knowledge, no other study has investigated the link between metacognition and shyness, as well as the mediating role of rumination. The core findings of the study are: (1) The significant association between metacognition and shyness; and (2) the mediating role of rumination in explaining the relationship between metacognition and shyness. These results could have important implications for shy people. Although shyness is not a disease, the findings could be relevant in helping individuals understand the nature of their shyness by addressing its cognitive components.

**Research perspectives**
Our research appears to indicate that future studies should longitudinally investigate the causal relationship between metacognition, rumination and shyness. Moreover, future studies should explore other possible factors, in addition to rumination, that might explain the relationship between metacognition and shyness.
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