Electronic properties of Mn-phthalocyanine–C60 bulk heterojunctions: Combining photoemission and electron energy-loss spectroscopy

Friedrich Roth, Melanie Herzig, Cosmin Lupulescu, Erik Darlatt, Alexander Gottwald, Martin Knupfer, and Wolfgang Eberhardt

Citation: Journal of Applied Physics 118, 185310 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4935623
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4935623
View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/118/18?ver=pdfcov
Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in
Epitaxial growth and electronic properties of well ordered phthalocyanine heterojunctions MnPc/F16CoPc
J. Chem. Phys. 141, 094706 (2014); 10.1063/1.4894757

Interfacial electronic properties of the heterojunctions C60/rubrene/Au and rubrene/C60/Au
J. Appl. Phys. 112, 023711 (2012); 10.1063/1.4739720

Electronic excitations of potassium intercalated manganese phthalocyanine investigated by electron energy-loss spectroscopy
J. Chem. Phys. 134, 194504 (2011); 10.1063/1.3591347

Electronic properties of potassium doped FePc from electron energy-loss spectroscopy
J. Chem. Phys. 130, 214503 (2009); 10.1063/1.3146812

The electronic properties of potassium doped copper-phthalocyanine studied by electron energy-loss spectroscopy
J. Chem. Phys. 126, 214702 (2007); 10.1063/1.2741539
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The electronic properties of co-evaporated mixtures (blends) of manganese phthalocyanine and the fullerene C\textsubscript{60} (MnPc:C\textsubscript{60}) have been studied as a function of the concentration of the two constituents using two supplementary electron spectroscopic methods, photoemission spectroscopy (PES) and electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in transmission. Our PES measurements provide a detailed picture of the electronic structure measured with different excitation energies as well as different mixing ratios between MnPc and C\textsubscript{60}. Besides a relative energy shift, the occupied electronic states of the two materials remain essentially unchanged. The observed energy level alignment is different compared to that of the related CuPc:C\textsubscript{60} bulk heterojunction. Moreover, the results from our EELS investigations show that, despite the rather small interface interaction, the MnPc related electronic excitation spectrum changes significantly by admixing C\textsubscript{60} to MnPc thin films. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4935623]

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular thin films consisting of transition metal phthalocyanines (Pc’s) have achieved significant attention due to the fact that they play a major role in a wide variety of emerging fields of technological applications. In particular, because of their attractive semiconducting and optoelectronic properties, Pc’s are already being applied in applications including organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs),\textsuperscript{1–5} organic field-effect transistors (OFETs),\textsuperscript{6,7} organic spintronic devices,\textsuperscript{8,9} and organic photovoltaic cells (OPVs).\textsuperscript{10–12}

In particular, organic solar cells have a large potential as flexible low cost material systems for photovoltaic power generation. An additional advantage of OPV systems is that they can easily be prepared by deposition from solutions or by (low-cost) printing techniques, rather than by more demanding vacuum deposition methods. However, one major drawback is the currently quite low conversion efficiency of organic based PVs. This is mainly due to the radiative recombination of the electron-hole pair produced in the photoreceptive material by the absorption of light. If one efficiently quenches the recombination process, the yield of photogenerated charges may be significantly improved.

Empirically, this has been accomplished by an admixture of C\textsubscript{60} into the organic photoreceptor film.\textsuperscript{13–15} Since C\textsubscript{60} has a very high electron affinity, it is capable of accepting an electron from the organic photoreceptor while the hole remains in the organic system, and thus the recombination process is strongly suppressed (cf. Fig. 1). Studies by photoelectron spectroscopy have shown that the charge transfer process is energetically possible, both in layered systems\textsuperscript{16,17} as well as in heterogeneous mixtures.\textsuperscript{18} The current state of knowledge about these systems and their role in organic photovoltaic devices has been summarized recently.\textsuperscript{19,20}

Manganese phthalocyanine (MnPc) is an interesting compound within the phthalocyanine family.\textsuperscript{21} For instance, MnPc is characterized by an unusual S = 3/2 spin state of the Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ion\textsuperscript{22–24} and therefore it has been referred to as a typical example of a molecular magnet. Moreover, in MnPc the Mn 3d orbitals are expected to lie close to the chemical potential.\textsuperscript{25–27} Therefore, MnPc plays a special role in the group of Pc’s, and it was demonstrated that the occupied electronic structure as well as the electronic excitation spectrum are significantly different compared to all other transition metal phthalocyanines.\textsuperscript{28–31} Furthermore, recent calculations confirmed experimental measurements that the ionization potential of MnPc is drastically decreased compared to other Pc’s (about 500 meV smaller).\textsuperscript{31,32}

In this contribution we present the first comprehensive investigation of the electronic properties of MnPc–C\textsubscript{60} bulk heterojunctions using a combination of two spectroscopic methods, photoemission spectroscopy (PES) and electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS). Our results provide a detailed analysis of the changes that are induced in the electronic structure depending on different mixing ratios between MnPc and C\textsubscript{60}.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

For the PES investigations we have chosen a pre-cleaned Si(100) wafer (n-doped) as the substrate in order to have well characterized reproducible substrate conditions, where the electronic properties are well established. The fullerene and phthalocyanine films were grown on such substrates by \textit{in situ} (co)evaporation of C\textsubscript{60} and MnPc from two
spatially separated effusion cells. The growth at room temperature was monitored by a quartz crystal microbalance to ensure homogeneous and continuous films over the $5 \times 5$ mm$^2$ Si(100) wafer. The samples were prepared in a preparation chamber (base pressure of $<2 \times 10^{-8}$ mbar) connected to the analysis chamber of the iDEEAA apparatus (base pressure of $<5 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar).33

Photoelectron spectra were recorded with the SCIENTA R4000 hemispherical electron spectrometer in the iDEEAA end station.33 The instrument was installed at the Metrology Light Source (MLS), located in the Willy-Wien-Laboratorium of the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB).34 For this work, the iDEEAA end station was installed at the Insertion Device Beamline (IDB) whereby a 30.5 period undulator of 125 mm length (U125) serves as insertion device. The spot size on the sample is about 1.7 mm horizontal and 0.1 mm vertical. At a pass energy of 20 eV, the electron spectrometer was operated at an energy resolution of 15 meV.

The EELS measurements require thin samples with a thickness of only about 100 nm. For this purpose, thin films of organic compounds have been produced by thermal evaporation under high vacuum onto a single crystalline substrate (KBr) kept at room temperature in a separate vacuum chamber. During the vacuum deposition, the film thickness was monitored in situ via a quartz crystal microbalance. Subsequent to the evaporation, the films are floated off in distilled water, mounted onto standard electron microscopy grids,35 incorporated into an EELS sample holder, and transferred into the EELS spectrometer.36,37

All loss function measurements were carried out using the 172 keV spectrometer, as thoroughly discussed in detail in previous publications.36,37 At this high primary beam energy, only singlet excitations are possible. The energy and momentum resolution was 85 meV and 0.03 Å$^{-1}$ for all measurements. The EELS signal, i.e., the loss function $\text{Im}[-1/\varepsilon(q, \omega)]$, which is proportional to the dynamic structure factor $S(q, \omega)$, was determined for a small momentum transfer, $q = 0.1$ Å$^{-1}$, which represents the optical limit.36

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. PES on MnPc:C$_{60}$ blends

In Figure 2, we show the valence band photoemission spectra for pristine MnPc, MnPc:C$_{60}$ 10:1, and MnPc:C$_{60}$ 1:1 measured at various photon energies ($h\nu = 21.2, 40, 60, 80, 100,$ and 125 eV). The spectra reveal the characteristic two peak low-energy structure of MnPc labeled with A and B (in the pristine compound at around 0.85 eV and 1.45 eV, respectively). This is in agreement with previous PES measurements on MnPc thin films.28,31 Peak A can be ascribed to emission from the $a_{1u}$ ligand state, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO). The second feature closer to the chemical potential (peak B) can only be observed in MnPc and is associated with an electronic state that has significant metal 3$d$ character. Moreover, as shown in the insets of Fig. 2, the intensity of the first ionization state changes significant by increasing the excitation energy (we have normalized the spectra to the intensities of the ligand HOMO level, since this level has $a_{1u}$ symmetry and does not hybridize with any of the metal 3$d$ states). It grows in intensity with increasing photon energy. Interestingly, this observation is the same even for the blends of MnPc:C$_{60}$, where the increment of intensity seems to be more pronounced if one increase the C$_{60}$ amount (cf. Fig. 2, middle and right panels).

This is completely different than what we observe in CuPc (and also CuPc:C$_{60}$ mixtures), where only one low energy feature was observed without any intensity variation as a function of increasing excitation energies.18 The electronic
state with metal 3d character is present even in the case where copper is the central metal atom, but due to the different effective nuclear charge (compared to MnPc) it is situated at higher binding energies and therefore not visible in the valence band spectra. This agrees with some theoretical predictions where the metal 3d levels move upwards by going down the transition metals series.38

According to previous publications, we attribute peak B to a Mn 3d state with \(e_g\) symmetry, since this is the only metal 3d state that can hybridize with the ligand \(\pi^*\) states. This hybridization is necessary to explain the observed photoemission intensity at low photon energies, because of the much larger probability of emission from a carbon or nitrogen 2p orbital than from a transition metal 3d state at these energies.39,40 Moreover, recent theoretical calculations predict a highest molecular orbital consisting of a ligand \(e_g\) state with a significant metal 3d contribution.27,28

Fig. 3 shows photoemission spectra of nominally 7–10 nm thick films taken at four different photon energies (\(h\nu = 40, 60, 80,\) and 125 eV). For each photon energy, the spectra are shown for the pure MnPc, a 10:1 MnPc:C\(_{60}\) film, a 1:1 MnPc:C\(_{60}\) film, and a pure C\(_{60}\) film. The energy scale is referenced to the Fermi level of a thin gold film, which was deposited on the Si substrate.

The changes by admixing C\(_{60}\) to MnPc are twofold. First of all, the increase of the C\(_{60}\) content in the thin films manifests itself by a rise of spectral weight (cf. bluish shaded area in Fig. 3) in the region where pristine C\(_{60}\) shows up, the characteristic two peak HOMO and HOMO-1 structures.41,42 This becomes especially clear by focusing on the first valence band excitation (HOMO) of C\(_{60}\) around 2.5 eV, which gets more noticeable when going from a mixture of 10:1 over 1:1 up to pure C\(_{60}\). Besides this more or less expected result, the second modification is the change of the level alignment from the pure films to the mixtures/blends. This is clearly visible when observing the double peak structure of MnPc, which shifts to lower binding energies by increasing the C\(_{60}\) amount. The position of the C\(_{60}\) derived emission is not as easily derived, especially for the low concentration (10:1) blends. To shine more light on this, we extracted the shift of both parent compounds by first fitting the MnPc HOMO structure with the help of two Voigt peaks. The resulting shift of the MnPc is then used to fit the spectra of the blends by using the spectra of the pure compounds, allowing for an energy shift and intensity variation (whereby the energy shift of MnPc is fixed to the value of the previous fit procedure).

The MnPc shifts in the 1:1 mixture are slightly larger (−240 meV) than in the 10:1 blend (−160 meV), while the shifts in the C\(_{60}\) component are slightly smaller for the 1:1 blend (+70 meV) compared with 165 meV for the 10:1 blend. In light of this, it is remarkable that the relative alignment of the two constituents of this bulk heterojunction remains identical at about 310–325 meV shift measured relative to the electronic states of the separate systems. Interestingly, this shift is about 35%–40% less compared to mixed films including CuPc instead of MnPc with the same ratios, where the resulting shift was about 500 meV.18 In general, energy shifts and the formation of dipoles have been thoroughly studied at interfaces of organic semiconducting materials to metals and at organic heterojunctions,43 which are of particular importance for applications. Recent models describe these dipoles via the charge flow across the interfaces during the formation process, which is dependent on the

![FIG. 3. Photoemission spectra for pristine MnPc and C\(_{60}\) as well as for two mixtures of MnPc:C\(_{60}\) (10:1 and 1:1) for various photon energies (\(h\nu = 40, 60, 80,\) and 125 eV). The energy scale is referenced to the Fermi level of the substrate. The blue shaded area indicates the region of the typical HOMO and HOMO-1 structure of C\(_{60}\). The dashed lines are a guide to the eye to follow the shifts discussed in the text.](image-url)
density of states of the organic semiconductors.\textsuperscript{44–46} Moreover, these models suggest that the dipoles found at organic heterojunctions can be modeled based on knowledge of the dipoles of the organic semiconductors to inert metals (e.g., Au). Moreover, the interface dipoles (energy shifts) observed for a bulk heterojunction of CuPc:C\textsubscript{60} and a well ordered individual interface agree well.\textsuperscript{18,47} The interface dipoles at CuPc/Au and MnPc/Au interfaces can be found in the literature, and it turns out that they differ by about 300 meV.\textsuperscript{31} This can be rationalized in terms of different Fermi energy (or charge neutrality level, see Ref. 44) positions in CuPc and MnPc following the IDIS (induced density of interface states) model.\textsuperscript{44} This difference is also reflected at the heterojunctions to C\textsubscript{60}, as discussed, and leads to the observed variation in the energy shifts of the photoemission signals as presented above, i.e., the IDIS model is appropriate to describe the observed energy shifts.

Furthermore, as shown in our previous paper, we assume that even in the case of MnPc instead of CuPc, the morphology changes by admixing C\textsubscript{60} into the system, whereas the electronic structure will not be effected by these morphology variances.\textsuperscript{18}

B. EELS on MnPc:C\textsubscript{60} blends

In Fig. 4, we summarize the evolution of the electronic excitation spectra of MnPc:C\textsubscript{60} bulk heterojunctions below 3 eV for different mixing ratios. As a consequence of an optically forbidden transition between the highest occupied molecular orbital and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, the excitation spectrum of C\textsubscript{60} starts weakly around 1.8 eV followed by shoulder like structures at about 2 eV and 2.8 eV.\textsuperscript{48–50} It completely overlaps with the excitation spectrum of MnPc, which renders the analysis of individual features rather inaccurate.

The electronic excitation spectrum of pure MnPc is rather complex with several maxima and shoulders below 1.6 eV and, in this respect, also differs from those of other metal phthalocyanines.\textsuperscript{29,51} Spectral features at about 2.1 eV, 1.75 eV, 1.4 eV, 0.8 eV, and 0.5 eV can be observed. We note that a full microscopic understanding of this spectrum has not yet been achieved. The fact that Mn 3\textit{d} states are close to the Fermi level in MnPc can partly explain the difference to other phthalocyanines, as these states give rise to excitations below the well-known Q band (around 1.8–2 eV) of the phthalocyanines.\textsuperscript{30}

For MnPc:C\textsubscript{60} mixed films, the MnPc derived excitation spectrum changes quite drastically. With increasing C\textsubscript{60} admixture, the excitation features at 0.5 eV and 2.1 eV are lost. The excitations at 0.8 eV as well as the shoulder around 1.4 eV decrease in intensity and become somewhat narrower. Finally, the remaining excitation for almost diluted MnPc in a C\textsubscript{60} matrix (mixing ratio 1:4) is very similar to that of individual MnPc molecules in solution.\textsuperscript{52,53}

For many phthalocyanines, the electronic excitation spectrum in the bulk is quite different than that in solution, in monolayers or in the gas phase.\textsuperscript{54–57} This difference had been attributed to the interaction of the molecules in the excited state (cf. Davydov splitting), a Jahn-Teller like distortion of the molecules, and the admixture of so-called charge-transfer excitations to the excited state wave function.\textsuperscript{55,58} This might also explain the complexity of the MnPc bulk excitation spectra, where, in addition to the \textit{p}-derived ligand orbitals, Mn 3\textit{d} derived states participate at low energy.\textsuperscript{59} Adding more and more C\textsubscript{60} results in smaller and smaller MnPc aggregates/grains and less intermolecular impact on the excitation spectra. This behavior has already been observed for CuPc:C\textsubscript{60} mixed films,\textsuperscript{59} and is also represented in the electron diffraction profiles of the mixed films shown in Fig. 5. The diffraction peak at about 0.5 Å\textsuperscript{–1} is due to the (200) Bragg reflection of phthalocyanine.\textsuperscript{59} It disappears for mixing ratios of 1:1 and 1:4, in good agreement to what has been observed for

\begin{figure}[h]

\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{Loss function of pristine MnPc (red, open circles) as well as C\textsubscript{60} (blue, full diamonds) and various heterojunctions with mixing ratios of MnPc:C\textsubscript{60} of 4:1 (green, full circles), 2:1 (purple, open squares), 1:1 (dark yellow, full squares), and 1:4 (turquoise, open diamonds). The upturn toward 0 eV is due to the quasi-elastic line of the direct beam.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]

\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{Comparison of the electron diffraction profiles of MnPc:C\textsubscript{60} blends with different mixing ratios in the range between 0.2 and 1.1 Å\textsuperscript{–1}. The annotation is the same as in Fig. 4.}
\end{figure}
CuPc:C_{60} films. Simultaneously, the C_{60} derived (111) Bragg reflection shows up at about 0.76 eV, which was absent for larger MnPc:C_{60} ratios. This indicates that for an admixture of more than about 30% of C_{60}, sizable crystalline MnPc grains are no longer formed, which is also true at lower C_{60} content.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied mixtures (blends) of MnPc and C_{60} as model systems for bulk heterojunction organic materials using two supplementary electron spectroscopic methods, PES and EELS in transmission. The main objective of our research was to shine more light on the role of MnPc in the series of transition metal phthalocyanines with respect to interfacial as well as bulk properties. Our PES measurements provide an extensive overview of the change of the electronic structure in the valence band region upon admixing C_{60} to MnPc. Furthermore, an electronic interface dipole of about 300 meV is observed, whereby the relative band alignment remains essentially unchanged. Interestingly, this value is significantly smaller compared to CuPc:C_{60} mixtures. Additionally, the EELS measurements supply a detailed picture of the drastic change in the absorptivity of the bulk heterojunction.
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