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Abstract

In this paper, binary extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 and extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 are studied. We give a classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40. We also give a classification of extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with shadows having 80 vectors of norm 2 through their relationships with extremal doubly even self-dual codes of length 40.

1 Introduction

Self-dual codes and unimodular lattices are studied from several viewpoints (see [16] for an extensive bibliography). Many relationships between self-dual codes and unimodular lattices are known and therefore these two objects have similar properties. In this paper, binary singly even self-dual codes of length 40 and odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 are studied. Both binary self-dual codes and unimodular lattices are divided into two classes,
namely, doubly even self-dual codes and singly even self-dual codes, and
even unimodular lattices and odd unimodular lattices. In addition, a binary
doubly even self-dual code of length \( n \) (as well as an even unimodular lattice
in dimension \( n \)) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \). This motivates to study
binary self-dual codes of length \( n \) and unimodular lattices in dimension \( n \)
when \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \).

It is a fundamental problem to classify self-dual codes and unimodular
lattices. Much work has been done towards classifying binary self-dual codes
and unimodular lattices for modest lengths and dimensions (see \[16\]). A big
progress has been realized recently in the classification of binary self-dual
codes with different parameters. Five papers related to this subject were
presented in 2010 and 2011. All self-dual codes of length 36 were classified in
\[21\]. The extremal codes among them, namely the self-dual \([36, 18, 8] \) codes,
had been previously classified in \[1\], so the result was approved in \[21\]. The
classification of the extremal self-dual \([38, 19, 8] \) codes was done by three re-
search groups independently. In \[2\] the authors proved that there are exactly
2744 extremal self-dual \([38, 19, 8] \) codes, two \( s \)-extremal self-dual \([38, 19, 6] \)
codes, and 1730 \( s \)-extremal self-dual \([38, 19, 8] \) codes, up to equivalence. Re-
cently, a classification of doubly even self-dual codes of length 40 has been
done in \[9\], namely there are 94343 doubly even self-dual codes, 16470 of
which are extremal, up to equivalence. Using these codes, the authors clas-
sified the extremal self-dual \([38, 19, 8] \) codes. In \[9\], an efficient algorithm
for classification of binary self-dual codes was described and then applied to
length 38. In this way all self-dual codes of length 38 were classified.

The main aim of this paper is to give a classification of binary extremal
singly even self-dual codes of length 40. Some part of the classification is done
by considering a relationship with binary doubly even self-dual codes of the
same length. In addition, the classification is completed using the algorithm
presented in \[9\]. A partial classification of extremal odd unimodular lattices
in dimension 40 is also established from the classification of binary extremal
doubly even self-dual codes of length 40.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions and
some basic properties of the self-dual codes and unimodular lattices used in
this paper. In Section 3 we study the binary extremal singly even self-dual
codes of length 40. The number of vectors of weight 4 in the shadow of a
binary extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 is at most 10 \[14\]. We
demonstrate that there are 19 inequivalent binary extremal singly even self-
dual codes with shadows having 10 vectors of weight 4. This classification is
done by considering a relationship with binary doubly even self-dual codes of length 40 such that the supports of all codewords of weight 4 are $T$-decompositions. We mention a relationship between such binary doubly even self-dual codes and even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes. By a method similar to the above, our classification is also extended to all cases that shadows have minimum weight 4. The classification of binary extremal (singly even) self-dual codes of length 40 is also completed by an approach which does not depend on the weight enumerators. As a consequence, we have a classification of binary extremal singly even self-dual codes with shadows of minimum weight 8, which is the remaining case. More precisely, combined with the above classification, we demonstrate that there are 10200655 inequivalent binary extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40. In Section 4 for extremal odd unimodular lattices, we consider a situation which is similar to that for binary extremal singly even self-dual codes with ten vectors of weight 4 in the shadows, given in Section 3. The number of vectors of norm 2 in the shadow of an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 is at most 80. It is shown that there are 16470 non-isomorphic extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with shadows having 80 vectors of norm 2. This classification is done by considering a relationship with binary extremal doubly even self-dual codes of length 40. Finally, in Section 5 we investigate theta series for which there is an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40. The existence of a number of extremal self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40 is also given.

Generator matrices of all inequivalent binary extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 can be obtained electronically from [22]. Most of the computer calculations in this paper were done by Magma [8]. In Section 3.4 the package SELF-DUAL-BIN by the second author is also used, where it’s main algorithm implemented in the program GEN-SELF-DUAL-BIN is described in [9].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Binary self-dual codes

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field of order $q$, where $q$ is a prime power. Any subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called an $\mathbb{F}_q$-code of length $n$. An $\mathbb{F}_q$-code is linear if it is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. An $\mathbb{F}_2$-code is called binary and all codes in this paper mean...
A code is called *doubly even* if all codewords have weight \( \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \). The dual code \( C^\perp \) of a code \( C \) of length \( n \) is defined as \( C^\perp = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C \} \), where \( x \cdot y \) is the standard inner product. A code \( C \) is called *self-dual* if \( C = C^\perp \). A self-dual code which is not doubly even is called *singly even*. A doubly even self-dual code of length \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \), while a singly even self-dual code of length \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \) is even.

Let \( C \) be a singly even self-dual code and let \( C_0 \) denote the subcode of codewords having weight \( \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \). Then \( C_0 \) is a subcode of codimension 1. The *shadow* \( S \) of \( C \) is defined to be \( C_0^\perp \setminus C \). There are cosets \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \) of \( C_0 \) such that \( C_0^\perp = C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \), where \( C = C_0 \cup C_2 \) and \( S = C_1 \cup C_3 \). Shadows were introduced by Conway and Sloane [14], in order to provide restrictions on the weight enumerators of singly even self-dual codes. Two self-dual codes \( C \) and \( C' \) of length \( n \) are said to be *neighbors* if \( \dim(C \cap C') = n/2 - 1 \). Since every self-dual code \( C \) of length \( n \) contains the all-one vector \( 1 \), \( C \) has \( 2^{n/2-1} - 1 \) subcodes \( D \) of codimension 1 containing \( 1 \). Since \( \dim(D^\perp/D) = 2 \), there are two self-dual codes rather than \( C \) lying between \( D^\perp \) and \( D \). When \( C \) is doubly even, one of them is doubly even and the other is singly even for each subcode \( D \). If \( C \) is a singly even self-dual code of length divisible by 8, then \( C \) has two doubly even self-dual neighbors, namely \( C_0 \cup C_1 \) and \( C_0 \cup C_3 \) (see [11]).

The minimum weight \( d(C) \) of a self-dual code \( C \) of length \( n \) is bounded by
\[
d(C) \leq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4 \text{ unless } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24} \text{ when } d(C) \leq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 6.
\]
We say that a self-dual code meeting the upper bound is *extremal*.

Two codes \( C \) and \( C' \) are *equivalent*, denoted \( C \cong C' \), if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates. An *automorphism* of \( C \) is a permutation of the coordinates which preserves the code. The set consisting of all automorphisms of \( C \) forms a group called the *automorphism group* of this code and it is denoted by \( \text{Aut}(C) \). The number of the codes equivalent to \( C \) is \( n!/\# \text{Aut}(C) \), where \( n \) is the length of \( C \). If we consider the action of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on the set \( \Omega_n \) of all self-dual codes of length \( n \), then two codes from this set are equivalent if they belong to the same orbit. This action induces an equivalence relation in \( \Omega_n \) and the equivalence classes are the orbits with respect to the action of \( S_n \). To classify the self-dual codes of length \( n \) means to find exactly one representative of each equivalence class.
2.2 Self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes and $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes

In Section 3.2, we also consider additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes where $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega^2\}$. Such a code is a $k$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-subspace of $\mathbb{F}_4^n$ and so has $2^k$ codewords. An additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code is even if all its codewords have even Hamming weights. Two additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes $C_1$ and $C_2$ are equivalent if there is a map from $S_3^n \times S_n$ sending $C_1$ onto $C_2$, where $S_n$ acts on the set of the $n$ coordinates and $S_3$ permutes the elements $1, \omega, \omega^2$ of the field. The automorphism group of $C$, denoted by $\text{Aut}(C)$, consists of all elements of $S_3^n \times S_n$ which preserve the code.

An additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code $C$ is called self-dual if $C = C^*$, where the dual code $C^*$ of $C$ is defined as $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_4^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$ under

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i^2 + x_i^2 y_i) \text{ for } x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}_4^n.$$ 

Even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes exist only in even lengths. The minimum Hamming weight $d(C)$ of an even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code $C$ of length $n$ is bounded by $d(C) \leq 2 \lfloor n/6 \rfloor + 2$ [34, Theorem 33]. We say that an even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code meeting the upper bound is extremal.

The last family of self-dual codes which we consider is the set of self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes, where $\mathbb{Z}_4$ denotes the ring of integers modulo 4. The self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes are connected with unimodular lattices of a special form [1]. A $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ of length $n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_4$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$. A $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ is self-dual if $C = C^\perp$, where the dual code $C^\perp$ of $C$ is defined as $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$ under the standard inner product $x \cdot y$. We say that two $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) changing the signs of certain coordinates.

The Euclidean weight of a codeword $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of $C$ is $n_1(x) + 4n_2(x) + n_3(x)$, where $n_\alpha(x)$ denotes the number of components $i$ with $x_i = \alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$). A $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ is Type II if $C$ is self-dual and the Euclidean weights of all codewords of $C$ are divisible by 8 [7, 24]. A self-dual code which is not Type II is called Type I. A Type II $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of length $n$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, while a Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code exists for every length. The minimum Euclidean weight $d_E(C)$ of a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ of length $n$ is bounded by $d_E(C) \leq 8 \lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 8$ [7]. It was also shown in [33] that the minimum Euclidean weight $d_E(C)$ of a Type I code $C$ of length $n$ is bounded by $d_E(C) \leq 8 \lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 8$ if $n \not\equiv 23 \pmod{24}$, and $d_E(C) \leq 8 \lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 12$ if
\( n \equiv 23 \pmod{24} \). A self-dual \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \)-code meeting the upper bound is called extremal.

2.3 Unimodular lattices

A (Euclidean) lattice \( L \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) in dimension \( n \) is integral if \( L \subset L^* \), where the dual lattice \( L^* \) of \( L \) is defined as \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in L \} \) under the standard inner product \((x, y)\). An integral lattice is called even if the norm \((x, x)\) of every vector \( x \) is even. A lattice \( L = L^* \) is called unimodular. A unimodular lattice which is not even is called odd. An even unimodular lattice in dimension \( n \) exists if and only if \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \), while an odd unimodular lattice exists for every dimension. Two lattices \( L \) and \( L' \) are isomorphic, denoted \( L \cong L' \), if there exists an orthogonal matrix \( A \) with \( L' = L \cdot A \).

Rains and Sloane [33] showed that the minimum norm \( \min(L) \) of a unimodular lattice \( L \) in dimension \( n \) is bounded by \( \min(L) \leq 2 \lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 2 \) unless \( n = 23 \) when \( \min(L) \leq 3 \). We say that a unimodular lattice meeting the upper bound is extremal.

Let \( L \) be an odd unimodular lattice and let \( L_0 \) denote its sublattice of vectors of even norms. Then \( L_0 \) is a sublattice of \( L \) of index 2 [15]. The shadow \( S \) of \( L \) is defined to be \( L_0^* \setminus L \). There are cosets \( L_1, L_2, L_3 \) of \( L_0 \) such that \( L_0^* = L_0 \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \), where \( L = L_0 \cup L_2 \) and \( S = L_1 \cup L_3 \). Shadows for odd unimodular lattices appeared in [15] and also in [16, p. 440], in order to provide restrictions on the theta series of odd unimodular lattices. Two lattices \( L \) and \( L' \) are neighbors if both lattices contain a sublattice of index 2 in common. If \( L \) is an odd unimodular lattice in dimension divisible by 8, then \( L \) has two even unimodular neighbors of \( L_0 \), namely, \( L_0 \cup L_1 \) and \( L_0 \cup L_3 \).

3 Singly even self-dual codes of length 40

In this section, we give a classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40. For the case that the shadows have minimum weight 4, the classification was done in two different ways.
3.1 Weight enumerators

An extremal singly even self-dual code $C$ of length 40 and its shadow $S$ have the following weight enumerators:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_{40,C,\beta} &= 1 + (125 + 16\beta)y^8 + (1664 - 64\beta)y^{10} + (10720 + 32\beta)y^{12} + \cdots, \\
W_{40,S,\beta} &= \beta y^4 + (320 - 8\beta)y^8 + (21120 + 28\beta)y^{12} + \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively, where $\beta$ is an integer with $0 \leq \beta \leq 10 [14]$. It was shown in [20] that an extremal singly even self-dual code with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,\beta}$ exists if and only if $\beta = 0, 1, \ldots, 8, 10$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $C$ be an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,\beta}$. Then one of $C_0 \cup C_1$ and $C_0 \cup C_3$ is an extremal doubly even self-dual code of length 40 and the remaining one is a doubly even self-dual code of length 40 containing $\beta$ codewords of weight 4.

Proof. The codes $C_0 \cup C_1$ and $C_0 \cup C_3$ are doubly even self-dual codes [11]. The lemma is trivial in the cases $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. Suppose that $S$ has at least two vectors of weight 4. Let $x, y$ be vectors of weight 4 in $S$ with $x \neq y$. If $x \in C_1$ and $y \in C_3$, then $x + y \in C_2$ and $\text{wt}(x + y) \leq 6$, which contradicts the minimum weight of $C_2$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that all vectors of weight 4 in $S$ are contained in $C_1$. Then $C_0 \cup C_3$ is extremal and $C_0 \cup C_1$ has $\beta$ codewords of weight 4. \qed

3.2 Weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$, $T$-decompositions and self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes

Let $C$ be a code of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. A partition $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{\frac{n}{4}}\}$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is called a $T$-decomposition of $C$ if the following conditions hold:

\begin{align*}
T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_{\frac{n}{4}} &= \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}, \\
\#T_i &= 4, \\
T_i \cup T_j &\text{ is the support of a codeword of } C,
\end{align*}

for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{n}{4}$ and $i \neq j$ [26]. In particular, when all $T_i$ are the supports of codewords of $C$, we say that $C$ contains the $T$-decomposition.

In this subsection, we assume that $C$ is an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$. By Lemma 3.1, we may suppose without loss of generality that all ten vectors of weight 4 in $S$ are contained in $C_1$. 
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Lemma 3.2. There is a $T$-decomposition for the self-dual codes $C$, $C_0 \cup C_1$ and $C_0 \cup C_3$. In particular, $C_0 \cup C_1$ contains a $T$-decomposition.

Proof. Denote by $S_4$ the set of the ten vectors of weight 4 in the shadow $S$ of $C$. The supports of any two vectors of $S_4$ are disjoint. Thus, $\{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in S_4\}$ is a $T$-decomposition for the codes $C$, $C_0 \cup C_1$ and $C_0 \cup C_3$.

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 every extremal singly even self-dual code $C$ of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$ is constructed as a neighbor of a doubly even self-dual code $D$ satisfying the following condition

the supports of all codewords of weight 4 are a $T$-decomposition. (4)

It follows that $\dim(C \cap D) = 19$ and $1 \in C \cap D$. Since $\dim(C_0^+ / C_0) = 2$, there are two doubly even self-dual codes lying between $C_0^+$ and $C_0$. In this case, one doubly even self-dual code $C_0 \cup C_1$ has minimum weight 4 and the other one $C_0 \cup C_3$ has minimum weight 8. Hence, $C_0$ is contained in a unique doubly even self-dual code satisfying the condition (4). This means that extremal singly even self-dual codes, constructed in this way from inequivalent doubly even self-dual codes satisfying (4), are inequivalent. In other words, it is sufficient to check equivalences only for the subcodes $D$ with $\dim(D) = 19$, $d(D) = 8$ and $1 \in D$ contained in each doubly even self-dual code satisfying (4), in order to complete our classification. This observation substantially reduces the necessary calculations for equivalence tests in our classification.

We start the classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$ by investigating the doubly even $[40,20,4]$ codes. There are 1093 inequivalent doubly even self-dual codes with $A_4 = 10$, where $A_i$ denotes the number of codewords of weight $i$ [6]. We verified that 19 codes of them satisfy the condition (4). Note that every doubly even self-dual code of length 40 contains $2^{19} - 1$ subcodes of codimension 1 containing $1$. For each of the 19 codes, we verified that all such subcodes are equivalent. The calculations took about 65 minutes using a single core of a PC Intel i7 6 core processor. By the above observation in the previous paragraph, we have the following:

Proposition 3.3. There are 19 inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$.

Remark 3.4. A similar argument can be used for the classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 32. The codes C67, C68 and C69 in [13].
Table A] are the only doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 satisfying (4).
Note that the shadow of an extremal singly even self-dual code has exactly 8 vectors of weight 4 for length 32.

Now we give some properties of the extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,10} \). The covering radius of a code \( C \) of length \( n \) is the smallest integer \( R \) such that spheres of radius \( R \) around codewords of \( C \) cover the space \( \mathbb{F}_2^n \). The covering radius is a basic and important geometric parameter of a code. Let \( R_{40} \) be the covering radius of an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40. Then, by the sphere-covering bound and the Delsarte bound (see [3]), \( 6 \leq R_{40} \leq 14 \). In Table 1, we list the number \( N(\# \text{ Aut}, R) \) of extremal singly even self-dual codes with automorphism groups of order \( \# \text{ Aut} \) and covering radii \( R \) for \( \beta = 10 \).

Table 1: \((\# \text{ Aut}, R, N(\# \text{ Aut}, R))\) for \( \beta = 10 \)

| (# Aut, R, N(# Aut, R)) | (# Aut, R, N(# Aut, R)) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| (12288, 8, 1)           | (16384, 8, 1)           |
| (18432, 8, 1)           | (20480, 8, 1)           |
| (20480, 8, 1)           | (32768, 8, 1)           |
| (49152, 8, 3)           | (65536, 8, 1)           |
| (147456, 8, 1)          | (245760, 8, 1)          |
| (262144, 8, 1)          | (327680, 8, 1)          |
| (737280, 7, 1)          | (44236800, 7, 1)        |

From Table 1 for \( \beta = 10 \), there is a unique extremal singly even self-dual code with covering radius 7. This code must be equivalent to the extremal singly even self-dual code with covering radius 7 given in [23, Section 3].

The coset weight distribution of some extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with covering radius 7 was given in [29, Table IX], noting that the code was listed without giving its construction. It is claimed in [29, Table IX] that there are 40000 cosets with weight enumerator \( 4y^6 + 152y^8 + 1644y^{10} + 10608y^{12} + \cdots \). However, we verified that this is incorrect and there are only 14400 cosets with the weight enumerator and there are 25600 cosets with the following weight enumerator

\[
4y^6 + 168y^8 + 1580y^{10} + 10640y^{12} + 44388y^{14} \\
+ 119768y^{16} + 216172y^{18} + 263136y^{20} + \cdots + 4y^{34}.
\]

We give a characterization of the set of codewords of minimum weight in an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with weight enumerator.
Proposition 3.5. Let $C$ be an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$. Then the set of the supports of codewords of weight 8 in $C$ forms a 1-(40, 8, 57) design.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $C_0 \cup C_3$ is an extremal doubly even self-dual code. By the Assmus–Mattson theorem (see [34, Section 9]), the set of the supports of codewords of weight 8 in an extremal doubly even self-dual code of length 40 forms a 1-(40, 8, 57) design. Since the numbers of codewords of weight 8 in $C$ and $C_0 \cup C_3$ are identical, the result follows.

Now we study a relationship between the doubly even self-dual codes satisfying the condition (4) and the even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes. Let $C$ be an even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code of length $n$. From $C$, the following code of length $4n$ is obtained:

$$B(C) = \rho(C) + \{(0000), (1111)\}^n,$$

where $\rho$ is the map $\mathbb{F}_4^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^{4n}$ induced from $\mathbb{F}_4 \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $0 \mapsto (0000)$, $1 \mapsto (1100)$, $\omega \mapsto (1010)$ and $\omega^2 \mapsto (0110)$. It is easy to see that if $C$ is an even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code of length $n$, then $B(C)$ is a doubly even self-dual code of length $4n$ and $A_4 \geq n$, containing a $T$-decomposition. Conversely, if a doubly even self-dual code $C$ contains a $T$-decomposition, then $C \cong B(C)$ for some even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code $C$ [35]. A classification of extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes of length 10 was given in [4]. There are 19 such codes, up to equivalence [4, Table 2]. We verified that, by a mapping $C \mapsto B(C)$, the 19 inequivalent extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes of length 10 give the 19 inequivalent doubly even self-dual codes of length 40 satisfying (4).

Remark 3.6. Generator matrices of the 19 extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes of length 10 are listed in [4, Appendix], but the generator matrix for $QC_{10l}$ is the same as that for $QC_{10b}$. Note that only $QC_{10l}$ has automorphism group of order 16 [4, Table 2]. We found a code $C_{10}$ with $\# \text{Aut}(C_{10}) = 16$ by [17, Theorem 6] from a graph on 10 vertices, where $\text{Aut}(C_{10})$ was determined using the method given in [12, p. 1373], by calculating the automorphism groups of some related binary codes of length 30. A generator matrix of $C_{10}$ is listed in Figure [4].
There is a unique extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-code of length 6 [25, Table 1], and the code $F_{24}$ in [31, Table II] is a unique doubly even self-dual code of length 24 satisfying the condition (4). There are three inequivalent extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes of length 8 [25, Table 1]. These codes are No. 19, 20, 21 in [25, Table 1], and we denote them by $C_{19}$, $C_{20}$, $C_{21}$, respectively. We verified that $B(C_{19})$, $B(C_{20})$ and $B(C_{21})$ are equivalent to $C_67$, $C_68$ and $C_69$ in [13, Table A], respectively, which are the doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 satisfying the condition (4) (see Remark 3.4). Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of doubly even self-dual codes of length $4n$ satisfying (4) and the equivalence classes of extremal even self-dual additive $\mathbb{F}_4$-codes of length $n$ for $n = 6, 8, 10$.

3.3 Weight enumerators $W_{40,C,\beta}$ ($\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$)

In this subsection, we continue a classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes by modifying the classification method for the case $\beta = 10$, which was given in the previous subsection.

Suppose that $1 \leq \beta \leq 8$. Let $C$ be a doubly even self-dual code of length 40. By modifying the definition of $T$-decompositions, we consider a collection $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\beta\}$ satisfying the conditions (2), (3) and

$$T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$$

for $i \neq j$. Denote by $N_{DE}^{\beta}(40)$ the number of the inequivalent doubly even self-dual codes with $A_4 = \beta$ and by $\overline{N}_{DE}^{\beta}(40)$ the number of those codes.
among them which satisfy \((2), (3)\) and \((5)\). It is trivial that \(N_{DE}^\beta(40) = N_{DE}^\beta(40)\) for \(\beta = 1, 2\). The numbers \(N_{DE}^\beta(40)\) and \(\overline{N}_{DE}^\beta(40)\) for \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\) are obtained in [6], and the results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: \(N_{DE}^\beta(40), \overline{N}_{DE}^\beta(40)\) and \(N_{SE}^\beta(40)\) for \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\)

| \(\beta\) | \(N_{DE}^\beta(40)\) | \(\overline{N}_{DE}^\beta(40)\) | \(N_{SE}^\beta(40)\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 20034 | 20034 | 4674608 |
| 2 | 17276 | 17276 | 1511827 |
| 3 | 12168 | 11241 | 337565 |
| 4 | 8471 | 6645 | 64692 |
| 5 | 5552 | 3115 | 11009 |
| 6 | 3916 | 1380 | 2413 |
| 7 | 2610 | 405 | 405 |
| 8 | 1932 | 120 | 120 |

For \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\), a similar argument to that given in the previous subsection shows that every extremal singly even self-dual code with weight enumerator \(W_{40,C,\beta}\) can be constructed from some doubly even self-dual code with \(A_4 = \beta\) containing \(\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_\beta\}\), which satisfies the conditions \((2), (3), (5)\). Moreover, the argument for equivalence tests given in the previous subsection is also applied to the cases \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\). Hence, for these cases, we were able to complete the classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerator \(W_{40,C,\beta}\). For the case \(\beta = 1\), the calculations took about 3 months using 6 cores of a PC Intel i7 6 core processor. The numbers \(N_{SE}^\beta(40)\) of inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with weight enumerators \(W_{40,C,\beta}\) are also listed in Table 2 for \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\). Combined with Proposition 3.3, we have the following:

**Proposition 3.7.** There are 6602658 inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with shadows of minimum weight 4.

In Table 3 we list the numbers \(N(\# \text{Aut}, R)\) of inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes with automorphism groups of order \(\# \text{Aut}\) and covering radii \(R\) for \(\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8\).
Table 3: $(\# \text{Aut}, R, N(\# \text{Aut}, R))$ for $\beta = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$

|                  | $\beta = 1$              |                 |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| $(\# \text{Aut}, R, N(\# \text{Aut}, R))$ | $\beta = 1$              |                 |
| $(1, 7, 370397)$ | $(1, 8, 4235394)$        | $(2, 7, 8663)$ |
| $(4, 7, 908)$    | $(4, 8, 3965)$           | $(6, 7, 21)$   |
| $(12, 7, 4)$     | $(12, 8, 51)$            | $(14, 7, 1)$   |
| $(18, 8, 2)$     | $(21, 8, 1)$             | $(24, 7, 5)$   |
| $(36, 8, 4)$     | $(48, 7, 4)$             | $(48, 8, 4)$   |
| $(96, 7, 2)$     | $(96, 8, 3)$             | $(128, 7, 3)$  |
| $(4032, 8, 1)$   |                         | $(192, 8, 2)$  |

|                  | $\beta = 2$              |                 |
| $(1, 7, 96666)$  | $(1, 8, 1359341)$        | $(2, 7, 5464)$ |
| $(4, 7, 932)$    | $(4, 8, 6042)$           | $(6, 7, 5)$    |
| $(12, 7, 10)$    | $(12, 8, 34)$            | $(16, 7, 108)$ |
| $(32, 7, 51)$    | $(32, 8, 322)$           | $(48, 8, 15)$  |
| $(96, 8, 7)$     | $(128, 7, 1)$            | $(128, 8, 106)$|
| $(256, 8, 52)$   | $(288, 8, 1)$            | $(384, 8, 4)$  |
| $(1024, 7, 1)$   | $(1024, 8, 12)$          | $(1536, 8, 2)$ |
| $(4096, 8, 1)$   | $(6144, 8, 1)$           | $(8192, 8, 1)$ |
| $(196608, 8, 2)$ |                         | $(12288, 8, 2)$|

|                  | $\beta = 3$              |                 |
| $(1, 7, 13222)$  | $(1, 8, 300610)$         | $(2, 7, 2014)$ |
| $(4, 7, 482)$    | $(4, 8, 2923)$           | $(6, 7, 8)$    |
| $(12, 7, 6)$     | $(12, 8, 48)$            | $(16, 7, 56)$  |
| $(32, 7, 23)$    | $(32, 8, 84)$            | $(48, 7, 1)$   |
| $(96, 7, 1)$     | $(128, 8, 2)$            | $(144, 7, 1)$  |

|                  | $\beta = 4$              |                 |
| $(1, 7, 708)$    | $(1, 8, 51223)$          | $(2, 7, 349)$   |
| $(4, 7, 132)$    | $(4, 8, 2309)$           | $(6, 7, 6)$    |
| $(12, 7, 5)$     | $(12, 8, 29)$            | $(16, 7, 24)$  |
| $(24, 7, 3)$     | $(24, 8, 18)$            | $(32, 7, 14)$  |
| $(64, 7, 1)$     | $(64, 8, 162)$           | $(72, 8, 2)$   |
| $(128, 8, 108)$  | $(144, 8, 1)$            | $(192, 7, 1)$  |
| $(256, 8, 1)$    | $(288, 8, 1)$            | $(384, 8, 9)$  |
| $(512, 7, 1)$    | $(512, 8, 1)$            | $(512, 8, 9)$  |
| $(1024, 8, 1)$   | $(1024, 8, 12)$          | $(1536, 8, 11)$|
| $(2048, 8, 1)$   | $(288, 8, 1)$            | $(384, 8, 9)$  |
| $(4096, 8, 3)$   | $(6144, 8, 3)$           | $(8192, 8, 6)$ |
| $(8192, 8, 1)$   | $(9216, 8, 1)$           | $(16384, 8, 1)$|
| $(16384, 8, 1)$  |                         | $(20736, 7, 1)$|
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Table 3: (# Aut, R, N(# Aut, R)) for β = 1, 2, . . . , 8 (continued)

| (Aut, R, N(Aut, R)) | β = 5 |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| (1, 7, 51)          | (4, 8, 974) | (12, 7, 5) | (32, 8, 42) | (128, 7, 1) |
| (1, 8, 6723)       | (6, 7, 1) | (6, 8, 12) | (16, 7, 11) | (48, 8, 5) |
| (2, 7, 75)         | (8, 7, 20) | (16, 8, 119) | (64, 7, 2) | (144, 8, 1) |
| (2, 8, 2498)       | (8, 8, 348) | (24, 8, 8) | (64, 8, 11) | (192, 8, 1) |
| (3, 8, 14)         | (10, 8, 5) | (32, 7, 8) | (96, 8, 1) | (320, 7, 1) |
| (4, 7, 47)         | (120, 8, 2) | | (384, 8, 1) | |

| (Aut, R, N(Aut, R)) | β = 6 |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| (1, 8, 430)          | (6, 8, 5) | (16, 8, 173) | (128, 8, 70) | (384, 8, 4) |
| (2, 7, 9)           | (8, 7, 8) | (24, 8, 6) | (144, 7, 1) | (512, 7, 1) |
| (2, 8, 676)         | (8, 8, 268) | (32, 7, 3) | (72, 8, 1) | (512, 8, 29) |
| (3, 8, 1)           | (12, 7, 1) | (32, 8, 110) | (96, 7, 1) | (768, 8, 6) |
| (4, 7, 8)           | (12, 8, 9) | (48, 7, 1) | (96, 8, 4) | (1024, 8, 8) |
| (4, 8, 424)         | (16, 7, 7) | (48, 8, 6) | (128, 7, 2) | (1536, 8, 2) |
| (1, 8, 2498)        | (2, 8, 2498) | (3, 8, 14) | (4, 7, 47) | (688128, 7, 1) |

| (Aut, R, N(Aut, R)) | β = 7 |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| (4, 8, 109)          | (24, 7, 1) | (64, 8, 13) | (192, 7, 1) | (1728, 7, 1) |
| (8, 7, 1)           | (24, 8, 6) | (72, 8, 2) | (192, 8, 1) | (5184, 8, 1) |
| (8, 8, 138)         | (32, 7, 1) | (96, 7, 1) | (288, 7, 1) | (384, 7, 1) |
| (12, 8, 4)          | (32, 8, 19) | (96, 8, 8) | (384, 8, 2) | (384, 8, 2) |
| (16, 7, 2)          | (48, 8, 8) | (128, 8, 1) | (576, 8, 2) | |
| (16, 8, 79)         | (64, 7, 1) | (144, 8, 1) | |

| (Aut, R, N(Aut, R)) | β = 8 |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| (32, 8, 6)          | (384, 8, 4) | (384, 8, 17) | (2048, 8, 5) | (16384, 8, 2) |
| (64, 8, 21)        | (768, 8, 1) | (768, 7, 1) | (3072, 8, 4) | (36864, 8, 1) |
| (96, 8, 1)         | (1024, 8, 1) | (1024, 7, 1) | (4096, 8, 2) | (688128, 7, 1) |
| (128, 8, 26)       | (1024, 8, 4) | (5376, 8, 1) | (5376, 8, 1) | |
| (192, 8, 3)        | (12288, 8, 1) | (8192, 8, 5) | (8192, 8, 5) | |
| (256, 8, 11)       | (1536, 8, 5) | (288, 8, 1) | (288, 8, 1) | |

3.4 Another approach and weight enumerator $W_{40,C,0}$

The classification of extremal self-dual codes of length 40 was also completed by an approach which does not depend on the weight enumerators. As a consequence, we have a classification of extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,0}$, which is the remaining case. Here we describe how such a classification was done.

From the self-dual codes of length 38 and minimum weights 6 and 8, we complete a classification of the extremal self-dual codes of length 40, using the algorithm presented in [3], noting that a classification of self-dual codes of length 38 was done in [4]. We emphasize that the used algorithm has a
better way to deal with equivalence classes and it gives as output exactly one representative of every equivalence class. The constructive part of the algorithm is not different from the other recursive constructions of self-dual codes, which were listed in [2], but to take only one representative of any equivalence class, we used a completely different manner. Its special feature is that practically there is not equivalence test for the objects. Algorithms of this type are known as isomorph-free generation [27].

The algorithm for isomorph-free generation solves two main problems. The first one is to find only inequivalent objects (in our case extremal self-dual codes of length 40) using a basic object $B$ from the previous step (in our case a self-dual code of length 38 and minimum weight 6 or 8). It is easy to solve this by defining an action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(B)$ on the set of the objects constructed from $B$. For this construction, we use the method described in [21].

The second main problem is how to take only the inequivalent objects among already constructed ones (after solving the first problem). This problem and our solution is explained in details in [9]. We give here only the general idea. We use the concept for a canonical labeling map and a canonical representative of an equivalence class. We consider here the action of the symmetric group $S_n$ on the set of all self-dual codes of length $n$. We fix a so called canonical representative for any equivalence class which is selected on the base of some specific conditions. The canonical representative is intended to make easily a distinction among the equivalence classes. The function which maps any code $C$ to the canonical representative of its equivalence class is called a canonical representative map. This map is realized by a proper algorithm which uses invariants. Using the canonical representative, we define an ordering of the coordinates of the code $C$. This ordering is unique only if $\# \text{Aut}(C) = 1$. In the other cases there are $\# \text{Aut}(C)$ such orderings. To take only the inequivalent codes we use a parent test for each code obtained in the first part of the algorithm. An example for such test is the following: A code passes the test if the added two coordinates during the construction are the biggest (last) two coordinates in the defined ordering.

Using the above algorithm, all inequivalent extremal doubly even self-dual codes as well as extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 were obtained. The calculations took about two months using four cores of a PC Intel i5 4 core processor. We have the following:

**Theorem 3.8.** There are 10200655 inequivalent extremal singly even self-
dual codes of length 40.

As a summary, we list in Table 4 the numbers \(N^\beta_{SE}(40)\) of inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes with weight enumerators \(W_{40,C,\beta}\).

**Table 4: Extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40**

| \(\beta\) | \(N^\beta_{SE}(40)\) | \(\beta\) | \(N^\beta_{SE}(40)\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0  | 3597997 | 5  | 11009 |
| 1  | 4674608 | 6  | 2413 |
| 2  | 1511827 | 7  | 405 |
| 3  | 337565  | 8  | 120 |
| 4  | 64692   | 10 | 19  |

For the weight enumerator \(W_{40,C,0}\), we list in Table 5 the numbers \(N(\# \text{ Aut}, R)\) of inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes with automorphism groups of order \(\# \text{ Aut}\) and covering radii \(R\). Since the shadow of \(C\) is a coset of \(C\) having minimum weight 8, we have that \(R \geq 8\). It follows from the classification that there is no extremal singly even self-dual code with \(R \geq 9\).

**Table 5: (\(\# \text{ Aut}, R, N(\# \text{ Aut}, R)\)) for \(\beta = 0\)**

| (\(\# \text{ Aut}, R, N(\# \text{ Aut}, R)\)) |
|---|
| (1, 8, 3542831) | (2, 8, 48796) | (3, 8, 222) | (4, 8, 4273) | (5, 8, 4) | (6, 8, 133) |
| (8, 8, 904) | (10, 8, 5) | (12, 8, 59) | (16, 8, 379) | (20, 8, 4) | (24, 8, 29) |
| (32, 8, 113) | (40, 8, 2) | (48, 8, 19) | (64, 8, 103) | (96, 8, 3) | (120, 8, 5) |
| (128, 8, 35) | (160, 8, 1) | (192, 8, 6) | (256, 8, 22) | (384, 8, 2) | (512, 8, 14) |
| (640, 8, 1)  | (768, 8, 3) | (1024, 8, 6) | (1536, 8, 4) | (2048, 8, 7) | (3072, 8, 2) |
| (4096, 8, 2) | (6144, 8, 1) | (8192, 8, 1) | (12288, 8, 1) | (16384, 8, 2) | (20480, 8, 1) |
| (24576, 8, 1) | (491520, 8, 1) |

### 3.5 Automorphism groups

Here we consider automorphism groups of extremal self-dual codes of length 40. It can be shown without the classification that if a prime \(p\) divides the
order of the automorphism group of an extremal self-dual code of length 40 then \( p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 19\} \) (see [10]). By [6] and Theorem 3.8 there are 10214125 extremal self-dual codes of length 40. Their automorphism groups are divided into 91 different orders. We present the number of the codes in respect to the order of their automorphism group in Table 6.

The 9972575 codes (more than 97% of 10214125 codes) have a trivial automorphism group (group of order 1). This means that only 228849 codes have nontrivial automorphism groups. There are 189449 codes with automorphism group of order 2. So the codes with automorphism group of order 1 or 2 are more than 99.61% of all inequivalent codes. This calculation substantiates some conjectures from [9] and very small number of the codes have bigger automorphism groups.

Extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 having automorphisms of odd prime order \( p \geq 5 \) were considered in [10]. Here we give the current knowledge on the existence of such codes for \( p \geq 3 \).

- \( p = 19 \): No such code exists for this case.

- \( p = 7 \): Unfortunately, there is a mistake in [10] because there are six inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with an automorphism of order 7 - two codes with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,8} \) and automorphism groups of orders 688128 = \( 2^{15} \cdot 21 \) and 5376 = \( 2^{8} \cdot 21 \), and four codes with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,1} \) and automorphism groups of orders 4032 = \( 2^{6} \cdot 63 \), 1008 = \( 2^{4} \cdot 63 \), 21 and 14. Bouyuklieva and Yorgov [10] missed four of the codes because they had to consider five different supports for the codeword of weight 2 in \( \pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \), namely \{1, 6\}, \{2, 6\}, \{3, 6\}, \{4, 6\} and \{5, 6\}. So the codes with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,8} \) could be constructed as a combination of the matrix \( H_4 \) and \( \pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \) with \{2, 6\} as a support of the weight 2 vector, and \( H_3 \) with \{4, 6\} as this support. The additional two codes with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,1} \) are obtained from \( H_1 \) with \{2, 6\} and \( H_2 \) with \{3, 6\} (see [10] for the details of the construction and for the matrices \( H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4 \)).

- \( p = 5 \): Exactly 39 inequivalent singly even self-dual codes of length 40 have an automorphism of order 5 - two codes more than it is stated in [10]. The authors missed there two codes with weight enumerator \( W_{40,C,0} \).
• $p = 3$: Exactly 1986 inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 have an automorphism of order 3.

We formulate these corrections on the cases $p = 5$ and 7.

**Corollary 3.9.** There are exactly 6 and 39 inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 having an automorphism of order 7 and 5, respectively.

### 3.6 Some observations

By the sphere-covering bound (see [3]), the covering radius of a self-dual code of length 40 is at least 6. From Tables 3 and 5 there are 501337 inequivalent extremal singly even self-dual codes of length 40 with covering radius 7, and there is no extremal singly even self-dual code with covering radius 6. Note that there are only two inequivalent extremal doubly even self-dual codes with covering radius 7, and there is no extremal doubly even self-dual code with covering radius 6 [6]. By the Delsarte bound (see [3]), the covering radius of an extremal doubly even self-dual code of length 40 is at most 8. It follows from the classification that the covering radius of an extremal singly even self-dual code of the same length is also at most 8.

Let $N_{DE}(n)$ and $N_{SE}(n)$ be the numbers of inequivalent extremal doubly even self-dual codes and singly even self-dual codes of length $n$ and minimum weight $4\lceil n/24 \rceil + 4$, respectively. Then it holds that

\[
\begin{align*}
(N_{DE}(8), N_{SE}(8)) &= (1, 0), \\
(N_{DE}(16), N_{SE}(16)) &= (2, 1), \\
(N_{DE}(24), N_{SE}(24)) &= (1, 0), \\
(N_{DE}(32), N_{SE}(32)) &= (5, 3), \\
(N_{DE}(40), N_{SE}(40)) &= (16470, 10200655).
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that 40 is the smallest length $n$ with $N_{DE}(n) < N_{SE}(n)$.

### 4 Odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40

For odd unimodular lattice, we consider a situation which is similar to that for singly even self-dual codes given in Section 3.2. We show that the number
Table 6: Automorphism groups of the extremal self-dual codes of length 40

| # of $\text{Aut}(C)$ | singly even | doubly even | # of $\text{Aut}(C)$ | singly even | doubly even | # of $\text{Aut}(C)$ | singly even | doubly even |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| $\text{Aut}(C) = 2^s$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, 18$ |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, 18$ |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 2^{s} \cdot 3^{m}$, $s = 1, \ldots, 17, m = 2, 3, 4$ |             |             |
| 1                     | 9977596     | 10400       | 6                     | 64          | 639         | 75                   | 4096        | 12          |
| 2                     | 186149      | 3538        | 12                    | 128         | 360         | 46                   | 8192        | 16          |
| 4                     | 23528       | 1189        | 25                    | 256         | 148         | 21                   | 16384       | 8           |
| 8                     | 6179        | 459         | 512                   | 512         | 115         | 16                   | 32768       | 1           |
| 16                    | 2625        | 233         | 1024                  | 1024        | 44          | 3                    | 65536       | 1           |
| 32                    | 1172        | 70          | 2048                  | 2048        | 48          | 4                    | 262144      | 1           |
|                       |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, 18$ |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 2^{s} \cdot 3^{m} \cdot 5$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, 18, m = 0, 1, 2$ |             |             |
| 3                     | 804         | 43          | 192                   | 192         | 27          | 12                   | 12288       | 5           |
| 6                     | 425         | 68          | 384                   | 384         | 28          | 12                   | 24576       | 4           |
| 12                    | 284         | 80          | 768                   | 768         | 22          | 7                    | 49152       | 5           |
| 24                    | 134         | 41          | 1536                  | 1536        | 24          | 10                   | 98304       | 3           |
| 48                    | 82          | 34          | 3072                  | 3072        | 13          | 3                    | 196608      | 2           |
| 96                    | 44          | 12          | 6144                  | 6144        | 11          | 7                    | 786432      | 1           |
|                       |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 2^{s} \cdot 3^{m} \cdot 7$, $s = 0, 1, \ldots, 18, m = 0, 1, 2$ |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 19q$, $q = 2, 6, 360$ |             |             |
| 5                     | 4           | 2           | 240                   | 240         | –           | 2                    | 24576       | 1           |
| 10                    | 10          | 8           | 320                   | 320         | 1           | 1                    | 327680      | 1           |
| 20                    | 4           | 4           | 640                   | 640         | 1           | 1                    | 491520      | 1           |
| 30                    | –           | 2           | 720                   | 720         | –           | 2                    | 737280      | 1           |
| 40                    | 2           | 5           | 1920                  | 1920        | –           | 1                    | 983040      | 1           |
| 60                    | –           | 2           | 3840                  | 3840        | –           | 1                    | 1474560     | 1           |
| 120                   | 7           | 5           | 20480                 | 20480       | 2           | 1                    | 11796480    | 1           |
| 160                   | 1           | 1           | 61440                 | 61440       | –           | 1                    |                  |             |
|                       |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) = 19q$, $q = 2, 6, 360$ |             |             | $\text{Aut}(C) > 40\,000\,000$ |             |             |
| 38                    | –           | 1           | 114                   | 114         | –           | 1                    | 6840        | –           |
| 44236800              | 1           | 1           | 82575360              | 82575360    | –           | 1                    |                  |             |
of vectors of norm 2 in the shadow of an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 is at most 80. We also give a classification of extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with shadows having 80 vectors of norm 2.

4.1 Frames of Type A, B and C

Let \( L \) be an even integral lattice in dimension \( n \). Let \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \) be vectors of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfying

\[
(e_i, e_j) = 2\delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad e_i \pm e_j \in L \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n), \tag{6}
\]

where \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta. If the vectors \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \) satisfy the following conditions

\[
e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \in L, \tag{7}
\]

\[
e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \notin L \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}e_i \supset L, \tag{8}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}e_i \not\supset L, \tag{9}
\]

then the set of these vectors is called a frame of Type A, B and C related to \( L \), respectively [26].

Let \( D \) be a doubly even code of length \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \). Let \( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n \) be vectors of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfying \((e_i, e_j) = 2\delta_{ij}\) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \). Set \( \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}e_i \), \( \Lambda_x = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_ie_i \mid x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{2} \} \) (\( \varepsilon = 0, 1 \)). The following lattices are defined in [26]:

\[
L_A(D) = \bigcup_{x \in D} \left( \Lambda + \frac{1}{2}e_x \right),
\]

\[
L_B(D) = \bigcup_{x \in D} \left( \Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}e_x \right),
\]

\[
L_C(D) = \bigcup_{x \in D} \left\{ \left( \Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}e_x \right) \bigcup \left( \Lambda_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}e_x + \frac{1}{4}e_1 \right) \right\} \quad (\varepsilon \equiv \frac{n}{8} \pmod{2}),
\]

where \( e_x = \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(x)} e_i \) and \( \text{supp}(x) \) denotes the support of \( x \). These lattices and their relationships with frames of Type A, B and C are investigated
in [26]. For example, if $D$ is a doubly even self-dual code of length 40 and minimum weight 4 (resp. 8), then $L_C(D)$ is an even unimodular lattice with minimum norm 2 (resp. 4) [26]. In addition, the following result is an important tool in this section.

**Lemma 4.1 ([26, Theorem 3]).** For $U = A, B, C$, a mapping $D \mapsto L_U(D)$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of doubly even codes $D$ of length $n$ and isomorphism classes of even lattices in dimension $n$ with related frames of Type $U$, if $n > 16$ for $U = B$ and if $n > 32$ for $U = C$.

As an example of the above lemma, we directly have the following result from the classification of doubly even self-dual codes of length 40 in [6].

**Remark 4.2.** There are 94343 non-isomorphic extremal even unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with related frames of Type C, 16470 of which are extremal.

### 4.2 Theta series

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $L$ be an odd unimodular lattice in dimension $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Suppose that $L$ and its shadow $S$ have minimum norms $\geq 4$ and 2, respectively. Then all vectors of norm 2 in $S$ are contained in one of $L_1$ and $L_3$.

**Proof.** Let $x, y$ be distinct vectors of norm 2 in $S$. Since $x - y \in L$,

$$(x - y, x - y) = 4 - 2(x, y) \geq 4.$$  \hfill (10)

Suppose that $x \in L_1$. Since $L_0^*/L_0$ is isomorphic to the Klein 4-group (see e.g. [18, Lemma 1]), $-x \in L_1$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that $(x, y) \geq 0$. If $y \in L_3$, then, by [18, Lemma 2], $(x, y) \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. This contradicts (10).

Conway and Sloane [15] show that when the theta series of an odd unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension $n$ is written as

$$\theta_L(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/8 \rfloor} a_j \theta_3(q)^{n-8j} \Delta_8(q)^j,$$ \hfill (11)

the theta series of the shadow $S$ is written as

$$\theta_S(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/8 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^j}{16^j} a_j \theta_2(q)^{n-8j} \theta_4(q^2)^{8j},$$ \hfill (12)
where $\Delta_8(q) = q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{2m-1})^8 (1-q^{4m})^8$ and $\theta_2(q), \theta_3(q)$ and $\theta_4(q)$ are the Jacobi theta series \cite{16}. In the case $n=40$ and minimum norm $\min(L) = 4$, $a_0, \ldots, a_3$ in (11) are determined as follows:

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = -80, a_2 = 1360, a_3 = -2560.$$  

In this case, it follows that

$$\theta_S(q) = \frac{-a_5}{2^{20}} + \left( \frac{a_4}{2^8} + \frac{5a_5}{2^{16}} \right) q^2 + \cdots.$$  

Hence, $a_5 = 0$ and $a_4$ is divisible by $2^8$, so we put $a_4 = 2^8\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is an integer. Then we have the possible theta series $\theta_{40,L,\alpha}$ and $\theta_{40,S,\alpha}$ of an extremal odd unimodular lattice $L$ and its shadow $S$:

$$\theta_{40,L,\alpha} = 1 + (19120 + 256\alpha)q^4 + (1376256 - 4096\alpha)q^5 + \cdots,$$

$$\theta_{40,S,\alpha} = \alpha q^2 + (40960 - 56\alpha)q^4 + (87818240 + 1500\alpha)q^6 + \cdots,$$

respectively. Moreover, we have the following restriction on $\alpha$.

**Lemma 4.4.** $\alpha$ is even with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 80$.

**Proof.** Denote by $S_2$ the set of all vectors of norm 2 in $S$. By Lemma 4.3, we may assume without loss of generality that all vectors of $S_2$ are contained in $L_1$. Let $x, y$ be vectors of norm 2 in $L_1$ such that $x \neq y$ and $x \neq -y$. If $y \in L_1$ then $-y \in L_1$. Hence, $\alpha$ is even and we may assume that $(x, y) \geq 0$. It follows from (10) that $(x, y) = 0$. The set $S_2$ is written as

$$S_2 = T \cup (-T)$$

satisfying that $(x, y) = 0$ for $x, y \in T$ with $x \neq y$. Hence, $\#T \leq 40$, and $L_1$ has at most 80 vectors of norm 2. Therefore, $\alpha \leq 80$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $L$ be an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40,L,\alpha}$. Then one of $L_0 \cup L_1$ and $L_0 \cup L_3$ is an extremal even unimodular lattice and the remaining one is an even unimodular lattice containing $\alpha$ vectors of norm 2.

**Proof.** Follows from Lemma 4.3 and $\theta_{40,S,\alpha}$.
4.3 Lattices with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$

Now we suppose that $L$ is an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 with shadow $S$ having exactly 80 vectors of norm 2, that is, $L$ and $S$ have the following theta series:

$$\theta_{40,L,80} = 1 + 39600q^4 + 1048576q^5 + \cdots,$$

$$\theta_{40,S,80} = 80q^2 + 36480q^4 + 87938240q^6 + \cdots,$$

respectively.

**Lemma 4.6.** There is a frame of Type B related to the even sublattice $L_0$.

**Proof.** By the proof of Lemma 4.4 the set of all vectors of norm 2 in $S$ may be written as $T \cup (-T)$, where $T = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{40}\}$ satisfying the condition (6). Then

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{40} \mathbb{Z}e_i\right)^* = \sum_{i=1}^{40} \mathbb{Z}e_i \subset L \cup S = L_0^*.$$

Hence, $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{40}$ satisfy the condition (8). \hfill $\square$

We are in a position to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.7.** There are 16470 non-isomorphic extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$.

**Proof.** Let $L$ be an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$. By Lemma 4.6, there is a frame of Type B related to the even sublattice $L_0$. Hence, by Theorem 1 in [26], there is a doubly even code $C$ of length 40 such that $L_0 \cong L_B(C)$. Since $L_B(C)$ is a sublattice of index 2 of an even unimodular lattice $L_A(C)$, $C$ must be self-dual. Since $L_B(C)$ has minimum norm 4, $C$ has minimum weight 8, that is, extremal.

Moreover, by Lemma 4.1 a mapping $C \mapsto L_B(C)$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of extremal doubly even self-dual codes $C$ of length 40 and isomorphism classes of even sublattices of extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$. There are 16470 inequivalent extremal doubly even self-dual codes of length 40 [6]. The result follows. \hfill $\square$
Remark 4.8. A similar argument can be found in [15] for dimension $n = 32$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of extremal doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 and isomorphism classes of even sublattices of extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 32 with shadows having exactly 64 vectors of norm 2. In this dimension, the shadow of any extremal odd unimodular lattice has 64 vectors of norm 2. By Lemma 4.3, the 64 vectors of norm 2 of the shadow are contained in one of $L_1$ and $L_3$. It is incorrectly reported in [15, p. 360] that each $L_i$ has 32 vectors of norm 2 ($i = 1, 3$).

Now we give characterizations of the set of vectors of minimum norm in extremal odd unimodular lattices with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$. By the above theorem, the even sublattice of such a lattice is written by $L_B(C)$ using some extremal doubly even self-dual code $C$ of length 40.

Proposition 4.9. The set of vectors of norm 4 in $L_B(C)$ is given by

$$L_B(C)_4 = \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i \neq j \} \cup \left( \bigcup_{x \in C_8} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} e_x - \sum_{y \in S} e_y \mid S \subset \text{supp}(x), \#S \in 2\mathbb{Z} \right\} \right),$$

where $C_8$ is the set of codewords of weight 8.

Proof. The norm of a vector of $L_B(C)_4$ is 4. Since $\#C_8 = 285$ [28], it follows that $\#L_B(C)_4 = 24^2 + 285 \cdot 2^7 = 39600$, which is the same as the number of vectors of norm 4 in $L_B(C)$.

Remark 4.10. By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5, the even unimodular neighbor $L_0 \cup L_3$ is extremal. Then $L_0 \cup L_3$ is written as $L_C(C)$, where $L_0 = L_B(C)$ and $C$ is some extremal doubly even self-dual code $C$ of length 40 (see Remark 4.2). An even unimodular lattice in dimension 40 has the following theta series $1 + 39600q^4 + 93043200q^6 + \cdots$. By the above proposition, the set of vectors of norm 4 in $L_C(C)$ is also given by $L_B(C)_4$.

In Proposition 3.5, the set of codewords of minimum weight in an extremal singly even self-dual code of length 40 with weight enumerator $W_{40,C,10}$ has been characterized. Similarly, we give a characterization of the set of vectors of minimum norm in an extremal odd unimodular lattices in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40,L,80}$. It is known that the set of vectors of each norm in an extremal even unimodular lattice in dimension 40 forms a spherical 3-design (see [5] for a recent survey on these subjects). By the above remark, we have the following:
Proposition 4.11. The set of vectors of minimum norm in an extremal odd unimodular lattice in dimension 40 with theta series $\theta_{40, L, 80}$ forms a spherical 3-design.

5 Extremal self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes and extremal odd unimodular lattices

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code of length $n$ and minimum Euclidean weight $d_E(\mathcal{C})$. Then the following lattice

$$A_4(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{2} \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (x_1 \mod 4, \ldots, x_n \mod 4) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is a unimodular lattice in dimension $n$ having minimum norm $\min\{4, d_E(\mathcal{C})/4\}$. In addition, $\mathcal{C}$ is Type II if and only if $A_4(\mathcal{C})$ is even [7]. A set $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of $n$ vectors $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ of a unimodular lattice $L$ in dimension $n$ with $(f_i, f_j) = 4\delta_{ij}$ is called an orthogonal frame of norm 4 (a 4-frame for short) of $L$. It is known that $L$ has an orthogonal frame of norm 4 if and only if there is a self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $\mathcal{C}$ with $L \cong A_4(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $n$ is even. Let $L$ be an even (resp. odd) unimodular lattice in dimension $n$ such that there are vectors $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ satisfying the condition that $(e_i, e_j) = 2\delta_{ij}$ and $e_i \pm e_j \in L$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) which is the same condition as (3). Then $L$ contains an orthogonal frame of norm 4 and there is a Type II (resp. Type I) $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ with $A_4(\mathcal{C}) \cong L$.

Proof. The following set

$$\{e_{2i-1} + e_{2i}, e_{2i-1} - e_{2i} \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2\}$$

is an orthogonal frame of norm 4 of $L$. The result follows. \qed

We consider the existence of extremal self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes.

Proposition 5.2. There are at least 16,470 inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40. There are at least 16,470 inequivalent extremal Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40.
Proof. Since the two cases are similar, we give details only for Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes. By Theorem 4.7, 16470 non-isomorphic extremal odd unimodular lattices $L_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 16470$) in dimension 40 exist (see Remark 4.2 for extremal even unimodular lattices). Moreover, for each $L_i$, there are vectors $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{40}$ satisfying the condition that $(e_j, e_k) = 2\delta_{jk}$ and $e_j \pm e_k \in L_i$ ($1 \leq j, k \leq 40$). By Lemma 5.1 there is a Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C_i$ of length 40 with $A_4(C_i) \cong L_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 16470$. Since $L_1, \ldots, L_{16470}$ are non-isomorphic extremal odd unimodular lattices, $C_1, \ldots, C_{16470}$ are inequivalent extremal Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40.

Using the method given in [30], we found 16 new extremal Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes $D_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 16$) of length 40, in order to give extremal odd unimodular lattices $A_4(D_i)$ with other theta series $\theta_{40,L,4k}$, where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 14, 16$. Since a Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $C$ of length 40 is extremal if and only if $A_4(C)$ is extremal, we verified that odd unimodular lattices $A_4(D_i)$ are extremal. Also, the theta series of $A_4(D_i)$ were determined by obtaining the numbers of vectors of minimum norm. Then we have the following:

**Proposition 5.3.** There is an extremal odd unimodular lattice with theta series $\theta_{40,L,4k}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 14, 16, 20\}$.

As an example, we give a generator matrix $G$ of the Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-code $D_1$ such that $A_4(D_1)$ has theta series $\theta_{40,L,0}$, which is $s$-extremal in the sense of [19]. Since $G$ is of the form \[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_{10} & A \\
O & 2I_{20} \end{pmatrix}
\] we only list the matrices $A$ and $2B$ in Figure 2 to save space, where $I_k$ denotes the identity matrix of order $k$ and $O$ denotes the $20 \times 10$ zero matrix.

The 16 codes $D_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 16$) slightly improve the number of known extremal Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40 given in Proposition 5.2, that is, there are at least 16486 inequivalent extremal Type I $\mathbb{Z}_4$-codes of length 40. Generator matrices for all codes $D_i$ can be obtained electronically from “http://sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp/~mharada/Paper/z4-40.txt”.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Masaaki Kitazume and Hiroki Shimakura for useful discussions.
\[ A = \begin{pmatrix}
01011001111001111002023023120 & 2000002202 \\
10101100111101111002200122110 & 2200000220 \\
11010110010010011111111220010211 & 0220000000 \\
1110101100100111111322022322 & 0022022000 \\
01110110111100111121112000032 & 0002220200 \\
011101101111100110012033220223 & 0000222022 \\
11001110101111111100100300312020 & 2220022022 \\
01110111011101111001001001111002 & 2202220220 \\
1011001111000111111012012013202 & 0202202220 \\
\end{pmatrix} \]

\[ 2B = \begin{pmatrix}
11011001111001111002023023120 & 0220022000 \\
11010110010010011111111220010211 & 2220022020 \\
111010110011001111111220012012 & 0022022000 \\
01110110111100111121112000032 & 0000222022 \\
0111011101011111111100100300312020 & 2220022022 \\
0111011101111111111001001001111002 & 2222220220 \\
01110011110001111111012012013202 & 0220220220 \\
011101101111100110012033220223 & 0222022022 \\
110011101011111111100100300312020 & 0222022022 \\
110011101011111111100100300312020 & 0222022022 \\
\end{pmatrix} \]

Figure 2: A generator matrix of the code \( D_1 \)
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