Aharonov Bohm effect in 2D topological insulator.
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We present magnetotransport measurements in HgTe quantum well with inverted band structure, which expected to be a two-dimensional topological insulator having the bulk gap with helical gapless states at the edge. The negative magnetoresistance is observed in the local and nonlocal resistance configuration followed by the periodic oscillations damping with magnetic field. We attribute such behavior to Aharonov-Bohm effect due to magnetic flux through the charge carrier puddles coupled to the helical edge states. The characteristic size of these puddles is about 100 nm.

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of the quantum interference phenomena, such as Aharonov-Bohm (AB) oscillations and weak localizations, provides an important information about fundamental properties of various electronic systems. Recently new class of materials with interesting properties have emerged, called topological insulators (TI), which are insulating in the bulk and characterized by the existence of robust gapless excitations at their surface2–5. Manifestation of AB oscillations in topological insulators, can be utilized to probe the surface nature in TI and its properties. The time-reversal-symmetric 2D topological insulator is induced by a strong spin-orbit interaction6,7 and characterized by edge modes with opposite spins propagating in opposite directions. The 2D TI have been realized in HgTe quantum wells with inverted band structure8–10. Aharonov-Bohm oscillations is intimately related to the weak localization (WL) corrections. For example, WL phenomena is discussed in terms of the collective action of the magnetic flux through the random loops of the pair of time reversed trajectories11. The constructive interference of these path in return point is suppressed by AB flux, which lead to the resistance increase and negative magnetoresistance12. The spin orbit coupling strongly modifies the quantum corrections the conductivity, it leads to a destructive interference between clockwise and counter-clockwise trajectories and changes the WL to weak anti-localization behavior (WAL).

In 2D topological system WL is quite different from conventional 2D metals and strongly affected by the Dirac spectrum of massive fermions13–15 with the mass proportional to the band gap. The electronic spectrum is shown in Figure 1. The band structure has a simple parabolic form near the bottom of the band (marked by $E_F^*$ in Figure 1 and linear Dirac-like dispersion relation at high Fermi energy $E_F$). When the Fermi energy lies in the bulk gap near the charge neutrality point (CNP), spectrum described by pair of the helical edge states (Figure 1). More over, the edge state must have linear Dirac-like dispersion. The localization corrections are strongly governed by such remarkable property of the spectrum. When the Fermi energy is small, the effective spin-orbit coupling is weak, and one can expect the conventional WL behavior16. When the Fermi energy becomes larger than the gap width, the energy dispersion is linear, and theory describes crossover from WL to WAL behavior14,15. The magnetoresistance of 2D TI with a dominant edge state contribution is described by two mechanisms: first scenario relies to the frequent deviations of the edge electrons into the disordered AB flux threaded 2D bulk16, and second scenario to the localization of the helical edge states due to the collective action of the random magnetic flux through the loops, naturally formed by rough edges of the sample17. Both models predict quasi-linear positive magnetoresistance MR. According to this theoretical predictions positive MR was observed in 8 nm HgTe quantum well20.

![Figure 1: (Color online) Schematic of the energy spectrum of 2D TI near $k=0$. Solid lines - spectrum for the bulk electrons, dashes - helical edge state spectrum. Positions of the Fermi energy in the bulk gap and near the bottom of the conductive bands are indicated.](image_url)

Recently interaction of the helical states with multiple puddles of charge carriers formed by fluctuations in the donor density has been considered in 2D topological insulators18. This leads to significant inelastic backscattering due to the dwelling of carriers in the puddles and to a large resistance, which depends weakly on temper-
ature. Moreover, carriers in the puddles describes loops trajectories, which are sensitive to the magnetic field flux. therefore, one could expect that the magnetococonductivity is mainly contributed by the quantum interference of such electrons.

In the present paper we investigate transport properties of the HgTe quantum wells with the width $d$ of 8-8.3 nm. Slightly above the the charge neutrality point, when the system is expected to be two-dimensional topological insulator, we observe the negative magnetoresistance measured in the local and nonlocal configurations, followed by periodic oscillations damping with magnetic field. When the applied field is larger than 1 T, the MR becomes positive. From the sign of the magnetoresistance we specified two contributions: electrons from edge states and bulk electrons, which are localized in the metallic puddles formed by potential fluctuations.

II. EXPERIMENT

The Cd$_{0.65}$H$_{0.35}$Te/HgTe/Cd$_{0.65}$H$_{0.35}$Te quantum wells with (013) surface orientations and a width $d$ of 8-8.3 nm were prepared by molecular beam epitaxy. A detailed description of the sample structure has been given in Refs. 11,12. Device A is six-probe Hall bar, while device B is designed for multiterminal measurements. The device A was fabricated with a lithographic length 6$\mu$m and width 5$\mu$m (Figure 2, top panel). The device B consists of three 4$\mu$m wide consecutive segments of different length (2, 8, 32$\mu$m), and 7 voltage probes. Device C (figure 5) is a structure with large gate area for identifying nonlocal transport over macroscopic distances.24 The lengths of the edge states are determined by the perimeter of the sample part covered by metallic gate (mostly side branches) rather than by the length of the bar itself. The ohmic contacts to the two-dimensional gas were formed by in-burning of indium. To prepare the gate, a dielectric layer containing 100 nm SiO$_2$ and 200 nm Si$_2$N$_4$ was first grown on the structure using the plasmochemical method. Then, the TiAu gate with sizes of 18 $\times$ 10$\mu$m$^2$ was deposited. The ungated HgTe well was initially n-doped with density $n_s = 1.8 \times 10^{11} cm^{-2}$. Several devices with the same configuration have been studied. The density variation with gate voltage was $1.09 \times 10^{15} m^{-2} V^{-1}$. The magnetotransport measurements in the structures described were performed in the temperature range 1.4-25 K and in magnetic fields up to 12 T using a standard four point circuit with a 3-13 Hz ac current of 0.1-10 nA through the sample, which is sufficiently low to avoid overheating effects. The density of the carriers in the HgTe quantum wells can be electrically manipulated with local gate voltage $V_g$. The typical dependence of the four-terminal resistance of two of the representative samples A and B as a function of $V_g$ is shown in Figure 2. The resistance $R_{14,23} = R_{I=14; V=2,3}$ of the sample A and resistances for sample B, measured by various voltage probes in a zero magnetic field reveal a sharp peaks, shown in figure 2 a and b, when the gate voltage induces an additional charge density, altering the quantum wells from an n-type conductor to a p-type conductor via a 2D TI state. It has been shown11,12 that the 4-probe resistance in an HgTe/CdTe micrometer-sized ballistic Hall bar demonstrated a quantized plateaux $R_{14,23} \simeq h/2e^2$. It is expected that the scattering between the helical edge states in the topological insulator is unaffected by the presence of a weak disorder.25,26 Note, however, that the resistance of samples longer than 1$\mu$m might be much higher than $h/2e^2$ due to the presence of the electron spin flip backscattering on each boundary. Mechanism of the back scattering is not clear and appealing task for theoreticians and a matter of ongoing debate.27,28,29 The Hall effect reverses its sign and $R_{xy} \approx 0$ (not shown) when longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$ approaches its maximum value, which can be identified as the charge neutrality point (CNP). These behaviour is similar to those described in graphene.30 An unambiguous way to prove the presence of edge state transport mechanism in 2D TI with strong backscattering on the boundary are the nonlocal electrical measurements. The nonlocal response always exists because of the presence of the two counter-propagating
edge states, which flows sideways and may reach any contacts in the device.\textsuperscript{24,28} Figures 2 a and b show the nonlocal resistances corresponding to the different configurations. For example, nonlocal resistance in figure 2a corresponds to the contact configuration, when the current flows between contacts 2 and 6 and the voltage is measured between contacts 3 and 5. One can see that the nonlocal resistance near CNP has a peak of a comparable amplitude, though less wide, and approximately in the same position as the local resistance. Outside of the peak the nonlocal resistance is negligibly small. The apparent residual nonlocal resistance in figure 2b above \( V_g - V_{\text{CNP}} > 1 \) V and below \( V_g - V_{\text{CNP}} < -1 \) V is related to the noise in the full linear scale measurements.

It is expected that a magnetic field perpendicular to the quantum well breaks time reversal symmetry (TRS) and thereby enables elastic scattering between counter-propagating chiral edge modes. However, a number of the different theoretical models has previously been proposed\textsuperscript{20} with substantially different physical scenarios. In our previous study\textsuperscript{20} we have observed a linear negative magnetoconductance in HgTe-based quantum wells in the 2D TI regime near CNP, when the edge state transport prevails. Our observation agrees with the model\textsuperscript{20} which describes the effects of WAL (see discussion section below for more details). The model predicts almost linear positive magnetoresistance \( \Delta R / R = A |B| \), where parameter A strongly depends on the disorder strength W in comparison with the energy gap \( E_g \) : the fluctuations \( W > E_g \) result in a large B-slope corresponding to a strong disorder regime and fluctuations \( W < E_g \) lead a small B-slope. Figure 3 shows the evolution of the resistance \( R_{xx} \) with magnetic field and density, when the chemical potential crosses the bulk gap. The magnetoresistance (MR) demonstrates a striking V-shape dependence in magnetic fields below 1T near CNP, which confirms our previous observations. Note however, that the V-shaped magnetoresistance is strongly transformed, when Fermi energy moves away from CNP to the electronic side of the resistance peak. In this region MR inverses the sign near zero magnetic field and shows triangular-shaped peak accompanied by two satellite features or damped oscillations. The width of the negative magnetoresistance spike and the period of the oscillations are slightly varied from sample to samples, as one can see in figures 3a and b. Both samples are almost identical with approximately equal mobility. Note that the Fermi level still lies in the bulk gap and transport is dominated by edge states, because we see nonlocal effects. In the hole side of the peak we do not find neither small negative magnetoresistance near B=0, nor the oscillations on the side branches of the the positive magnetoresistance. In magnetic fields above 3 T the magnetoresistance falls off rapidly marking a pronounced crossover to the quantum Hall effect regime in accordance with previous observations.\textsuperscript{20}

The figure 4 shows the low-field part of the relative magnetoresistance for the two values of the gate voltages and two temperatures. One can see that for this particular sample the MR varies linearly with magnetic field. As voltage \( V_g \) increases, the B-slope of the MR decreases and additional oscillation emerges. The MR profile does not show any significant temperature dependence. Figure 4 b displays traces of the resistance in nonlocal configuration for device B (\( R_{NL} = R_{I=2,6, V=3,5} \)). One can see similar triangular-shaped MR peak, as in the local geometry, though less wide, with two satellite peaks. Coexistence of the low-field negative MR peak in nonlocal configuration exclude the possibility that this effect has a bulk origin. It is worth noting however, that around B=0 the magnetoresistance is parabolic rather than linear in nature.

Finally we present the results for a device C with a large gate. We expect that the length of the edge states in this structure is determined by the perimeter of the sample covered by the metallic gate. Figure 5 shows schematic view of the sample. One can see that the current flows mostly along the edges of the side branches. The resistance reveals saw-tooth oscillations, shown in
that the evolution of the oscillations with gate occurs away from CNP. Note, however, when the Fermi energy passes the electronic side bottom): 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**FIG. 4:** (Color online) (a) The relative local resistance $R_L(B)/R_{L}(0)$ ($R_L = R_{L=1.4, V=-2,3}$) as a function of the magnetic field for the two values of the gate voltage $V_g - V_{CNP}(V)$: 0.35 (black), 0.53 (blue, red), and two temperatures (device B). (b) The relative nonlocal resistance $R_{NL}(B)/R_{NL}(0)$ ($R_{NL} = R_{L=2.5, V=-3.5}$) as a function of the magnetic field for the two values of the gate voltage $V_g - V_{CNP}$: 0.35 (black), 0.5 (red) (device A). Dashed lines are B-linear approximations.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**FIG. 5:** (Color online) The local resistance $R$ for device C as a function of the magnetic field for the different values of the gate voltages. (a) $V_g - V_{CNP}$ (V) (from top to bottom): 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7.

Fig.5, when the Fermi energy passes the electronic side of the resistance peak away from CNP. Note, however, that the evolution of the oscillations with gate occurs rapidly and not gradually, as in devices A and B.

### III. DISCUSSION

We now proceed to an analysis of the data described. We will focus on the two models that can explain the magnetoresistance of one dimensional edge electrons. Both models considered alternative paths for the edge states due to bulk disorder or rough edges of the realistic sample. Figure 6 illustrates the helical edge states in a disordered 2D TI in an uniform magnetic field. The first scenario describes disordered spinless one dimensional quantum wire. For strong enough disorder electron paths frequently deviate into the bulk region enclosing AB flux before returning back to the edge, labeled in figure 6 by number 2. The conventional WAL approach can be used. The average with respect to the different size of the loops leads to the positive linear magnetoresistance. Second scenario relies to the localization of the helical edge states due to the collective action of the random magnetic flux through the loops, naturally formed by rough edges of the sample (labeled by number 1 in the Figure 6). In accordance with this scenario immediately after magnetic field is switched on, edge states become localized. The magnetic field penetrates through the random helical edge states loops (Figure 6 ), which acts as magnetic flux impurity and introduces the backscattering between edge states on each boundary. Similar to the first scenario the average with respect to the different magnetic fluxes should be performed. The model predicts positive magnetoresistance and $B^2$ dependence of the inverse localization length $\gamma$ in small magnetic field, which becomes more linear with increasing magnetic field. Our experimentally observed linear positive magnetoresistance near CNP are in good qualitative agreement with both models. Detailed comparison with model has been performed in our previous publication. Discrimination among two scenarios requires further experimental work. It is worth noting that both models consider ballistic transport at zero magnetic field, while our samples demonstrate diffusive transport. Despite the fact that while the both models give a satisfactory description of the linear positive magnetoresistance near CNP, the explanation of negative MR and AB-like oscillations in Fig.3-4 away from CNP requires a further elaboration of the models.

Absence of the resistance quantization in samples with dimensions above a few microns is another unsolved problem. One of the possible explanation is the fluctuations of the local insulating gap width induced by smooth inhomogeneities, which can be represented as metallic puddles or dots. The well-localized metallic regions along the edge have been found using scanning gate microscopy and in microwaves experiments. According to the model charge carrier puddles coupled to a coherent conductor results in incoherent inelastic processes and modifies the ballistic transport. Therefore metallic
puddles can lead to spin dephasing, since an electron entering the puddle is thermalized by dissipation and later on fed back into the system. Therefore ballistic coherent transport is expected only in the region between the puddles, and total 4-terminal resistance exceeds the quantized value. Self-averaging resistance of the sample with edge state dominated contribution to transport is given by:

\[ R \approx \frac{h}{e^2} g^2 n_p \lambda \left( \frac{T}{\delta} \right)^3 L \]  

(1)

where \( n_p \) is the density of the puddles, \( \lambda = \hbar v/E_g \approx 18\mu m \) is the electron penetration depth into the puddles \((v \approx 5.5 \times 10^7 \text{cm/s} \) is the electron velocity, \( E_g \approx 20\text{meV} \) is the forbidden gap), \( g \) is the dimensionless conductance within the dot (puddle), \( \delta \) is the mean level spacing within the dot, \( L \) is the distance between probes (length of the edge states). It has been found that the equation 1 gives a satisfactory explanation of the high resistance value, obtained in experiments. Combining all parameters we calculate \( \rho_0 = R/(L^2) = 8 \times 10^3 \left( \frac{T}{\delta} \right)^3 (e^2/h)/cm \), which is comparable with experimental value \( \rho_0 = 15 \times 10^3(e^2/h)/cm \). The density of the puddles increases, and the size of the puddles is growing, when the Fermi level moves to valence band, it is expected that the puddles should be occupied by the holes. Note however, that both dephasing and spin relaxation times for holes are found to be much smaller than for electrons in similar conditions and WAL effect should be considerably smaller in agreement with our observations.

When the Fermi level lies in the conductive band, and transport becomes dominant by massive fermions with Dirac spectrum (figure 1), the weak antilocalization behaviour has been observed. In conclusion, we observed interplay between positive and negative magnetoresistance, when Fermi level shifts with respect to the charge neutrality point, but still lies inside of the gap, and transport occurs via edge states. We consider three contributions to the magnetoresistance: edge state penetration to the bulk, edge state scattering by magnetic flux formed by rough edges, and WL of the bulk electrons in the puddles formed by inhomogeneous charge distributions. The negative magnetoresistance and AB-like oscillations are attributed to weak localization of the bulk electrons in the metallic puddles formed by fluctuation of the local insulating gap. It is worth noting that the Fermi energy of electrons in puddles lies in the parabolic part of the energy spectrum (figure 1) because of the low density. Therefore, one would expect that the spin orbit coupling is weak, and magnetoresistance is negative in agreement with our observations. It is easy to estimate the characteristic sizes of these loops using the period of the oscillations in fig.3-4, which is \( \delta B = 0.3 - 0.4 \text{T} \). Then the characteristic area of the loops is \( S = \delta B/\Phi_0 (\Phi_0 = \hbar/e) = 10^{-10}\text{cm}^2 \) and respectively size is about 100 nm. Indeed this value agrees with estimations of the puddle size and density and scanning gate microscopy. Note that for explanation of the negative sign of the MR the role of the bulk electrons in the puddles is emphasized, however the bulk state and edge state may co-exist (figure 6). The interplay between the topological insulators helical states and bulk electrons requires further theoretical study. When the Fermi level moves to valence band, it is expected that the puddles should be occupied by the holes. Note however, that both dephasing and spin relaxation times for holes are found to be much smaller than for electrons in similar conditions and WAL effect should be considerably smaller in agreement with our observations.
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