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In the theory of fuzzy fixed point, many authors have been proved different contractive type fixed point results with different types of applications. In this paper, we establish some new fuzzy cone contractive type unique coupled fixed point theorems (FP-theorems) in fuzzy cone metric spaces (FCM-spaces) by using “the triangular property of fuzzy cone metric” and present illustrative examples to support our main work. In addition, we present a Lebesgue integral type mapping application to get the existence result of a unique coupled FP in FCM-spaces to validate our work.

1. Introduction

The theory of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [1]. Later on, in 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces (FM-space); they present some structural properties of FM-space. In 1988, Grabiec [3] used the concept of Kramosil and Michalek [2] and proved two fixed point theorems (FP-theorems) of “Banach and Edelstein contraction mapping theorems on complete and compact FM-spaces, respectively.” After that, the idea of FM-space given by Kramosil and Michalek [2] was modified by George and Veeramani [4], and they proved that every metric induces a fuzzy metric and also proved some fundamental properties and Baire’s theorem for FM-spaces. In 2002, Gregory and Sapena [5] proved some contractive type FP-theorems in FM-spaces. Roldan et al. [6] presented some new FP-results in FM-spaces, while Jleli et al. [7] proved some results by using cyclic (ψ, φ)-contractions in Kaleva-Seikkala’s type fuzzy metric spaces. Kiany and Harandi [8] presented the concept of set-valued fuzzy-contractive type maps and proved some FP and end point results in FM-spaces. Latterly, Rehman et al. [9] gave out the notion of rational type fuzzy contraction for FP in complete FM-spaces with an application. Some more related FP-results can be found in [10–15].

Indeed, Huang and Zhang [16] rediscovered the idea of Banach-valued metric space. Indeed, many mathematicians proposed it; but it becomes popular after Huang and Zhang’s study. By adopting the theory that the underlying cone is normal, they demonstrated the convergence properties and some FP-theorems. Rezapour and Hamlbarani [17], in 2008, proved FP-theorems without assuming the cone’s normality, while in [18] Karapinar proved some Ćirić-type non-unique FP-theorems on cone metric spaces. After that, many others contributed their ideas to the problem of FP-findings in cone metric spaces. A few of their FP-findings can be found (e.g., see [19–22]).

In 2015, Oner et al. [23] gave the idea of fuzzy cone metric space (FCM-space), and they also presented some fundamental properties and “a single-valued Banach contraction...
theorem for FP with the assumption that all the sequences are Cauchy. After that, Rehman and Li [24] settled some
generalized fuzzy cone contractive type FP-results neglecting
that “all the sequences are Cauchy” in complete FCM-space.
And later, Jabeen et al. [25] presented some common FP-
theorems for three self-mappings, by taking into consider-
ation the idea of weakly compatible in FCM-spaces with an
integral mapping to get the existence result of unique
solutions. In Section 4, we present an application of Lebesgue
Integral Equations (LIE) for a common solution to uphold our
main work. In Section 5, we discuss the conclusion of our work.

In this paper, we present some unique coupled FP-
results for commuting mappings in FCM-spaces to hold up our
main work. In the year 2010, Sedghi et al. [31] proved
some coupled FP-theorems in FCM-spaces with non-linear
integral theorems for three self-mappings, by taking into consider-
ation the idea of weakly compatible in FCM-spaces with an
integral type application. Chen et al. [26], in 2020, gave the
idea of coupled fuzzy cone contractive-type mappings. They
proved “some coupled FP-theorems in FCM-spaces with
the triangular property of fuzzy metric spaces, and this work is
also presented by Lakshmikantham and Ciric [30]. In the year
2010, Sedghi et al. [31] proved some common coupled FP-results
for commuting mappings in fuzzy metric spaces.

In this paper, we present some unique coupled FP-
findings in FCM-spaces by taking the idea of Guo and
Lakshmikantham [28] and Chen et al. [26]. Furthermore,
we have also presented an application of the two Lebesgue
Integral Equations (LIE) for a fuzzy set to get the existence result of unique
coupled FP in FCM-spaces to hold up our main work. In
Section 5, we discuss the conclusion of our work.

2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1** [32]. A binary operation \( * : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) would be a continuous \( t \)-norm if \( * \) fulfils the following conditions:

(i) \( * \) is associative and commutative

(ii) \( * \) is continuous

(iii) \( 1 * a = a, \forall a \in [0, 1] \)

(iv) \( \alpha_1 * \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 * \alpha_4 \) whenever \( \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_3 \) and \( \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_4 \), for \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in [0, 1] \)

Throughout the complete paper, \( \zeta \)-norm represents a con-
tinuous \( t \)-norm.

**Definition 2** [16]. Let \( E \) be a real Banach space and \( \emptyset \) be the
zero element of \( E \), and \( C \) is a subset of \( E \). Then, \( C \) is called a cone if,

(i) \( C \) is closed and nonempty, and \( C \neq \emptyset \)

(ii) \( a_1, a_2 \in R, a_1, a_2 \geq 0 \) and \( a, b \in C \), then \( a_1 a + a_2 b \in C \)

(iii) both \( a \in C \) and \( \neg a \in C \) and then \( a = \emptyset \)

A partial ordering on a given cone \( C \subseteq E \) is defined by
\( a \leq b \iff a - b \subseteq E \cdot a < b \) stands for \( a \leq b \) and \( a \neq b \), while \( a \ll b \) stands for \( b - a \subseteq \text{int} \,(C) \). In this paper, all cones have non-
empty interior.

**Definition 3** [4]. A 3-tuple \((A, \mathcal{M}, *)\) is said to be a FCM-
space if \( A \) is any set, \( * \) is a \( \zeta \)-norm, and \( \mathcal{M}_t \) is a fuzzy set
on \( A^2 \times (0, \infty) \) satisfying

(i) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) > 0 \)

(ii) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) = 0 \iff a = b \)

(iii) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) = \mathcal{M}_t (b, a, \zeta) \)

(iv) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, c, \zeta) * \mathcal{M}_t (c, b, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta + s) \)

(v) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, c) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is continuous, \( \forall a, b, c \in A \) and \( \zeta, s \gg \emptyset \)

**Definition 4** [23]. A 3-tuple \((A, \mathcal{M}, *)\) is said to be a FCM-
space if \( C \) is a cone of \( E, A \) is an arbitrary set, \( * \) is a \( \zeta \)-norm, and \( \mathcal{M}_t \) is a fuzzy set on \( A^2 \times \text{int} \,(C) \) satisfying

(i) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) > 0 \)

(ii) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) = 0 \iff a = b \)

(iii) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta) = \mathcal{M}_t (b, a, \zeta) \)

(iv) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, c, \zeta) * \mathcal{M}_t (c, b, \zeta) \leq \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, \zeta + s) \)

(v) \( \mathcal{M}_t (a, b, c) : \text{int} \,(C) \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is continuous, \( \forall a, b, c \in A \) and \( \zeta, s \gg \emptyset \)

**Definition 5** [23]. Let a 3-tuple \((A, \mathcal{M}, *)\) be a FCM-space,
\( b_1 \in A \), which is a sequence \( \{b_j \} \) in \( A \)

(i) \( \{b_j \} \) converges to \( b \) if \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) and \( \zeta \gg \emptyset \); there is \( j_1 \in N \) such that \( \mathcal{M}_t (b_j, b, \zeta) > 1 - \alpha_j \) for \( j \geq j_1 \), or
we write it as \( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j = b \) or \( b_j \rightarrow b_1 \) as \( j \rightarrow \infty \)

(ii) \( \{b_j \} \) is a Cauchy sequence if \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) and \( \zeta \gg \emptyset \); there is \( j_1 \in N \) such that \( \mathcal{M}_t (b_j, b_i, \zeta) > 1 - \alpha_j \) for \( j, i \geq j_1 \)

(iii) \((A, \mathcal{M}, *)\) is complete if every Cauchy sequence is
convergent in \( A \)

(iv) It is fuzzy cone contractive if \( \exists \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and fulfilling

\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_t (b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_t (b_{j-1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right),
\]

for \( \zeta \gg \emptyset, j \geq 1 \).
Lemma 6 [23]. Let \((A, M_c, \ast)\) be a FCM-space, and let a sequence \(\{b_j\}\) in \(A\) converge to a point \(b \in A\Rightarrow M_c(b, b, \zeta)\) which converges to 1 as \(j \rightarrow \infty\), for \(\zeta \gg 0\).

Definition 7 [24]. Let \((A, M_c, \ast)\) be a FCM-space. The FCM \(M_c\) is triangular, if

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(a, b, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \left( \frac{1}{M_c(a, c, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_c(c, b, \zeta)} - 1 \right),
\]

\[
\forall a, c, b \in A, \zeta \gg 0.
\]

(2)

Definition 8 [23]. Let \((A, M_c, \ast)\) be a FCM-space and \(\Gamma : A \rightarrow A\). Then, \(\Gamma\) is said to be fuzzy cone contractive if \(\exists \ a_i \in (0, 1)\) such that

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma b, \Gamma c, \zeta)} - 1 \leq a_i \left( \frac{1}{M_c(b, c, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \ \forall b, c \in A, \zeta \gg 0.
\]

(3)

Definition 9. Let \((b, c)\) be an element in \(A \times A\). Then, it is called coupled FP of a mapping \(\Gamma : A \times A \rightarrow A\) if

\[
\Gamma(b, c) = b,
\]

\[
\Gamma(c, b) = c.
\]

(4)

Now, in the following, we prove some unique couple FP-theorems in FCM-spaces with examples to support our main work. Furthermore, we present an application of Lebesgue integral contractive type mapping to prove a unique coupled FP-theorem in FCM-spaces.

3. Main Results

Now, we present our first main result.

Theorem 10. Let \(\Gamma : A \times A \rightarrow A\) be a mapping on complete FCM-spaces \((A, M_c, \ast)\) in which \(M_c\) is triangular and satisfies the inequality:

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma, (\kappa, q), \zeta)} - 1
\]

\[
\leq a_j \left( \frac{1}{M_c(a, \kappa, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \alpha_2 \left( N(\Gamma, (a, b), (\kappa, q), \zeta) - 1 \right),
\]

(5)

where

\[
N(\Gamma, (a, b), (\kappa, q), \zeta) - 1
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(\kappa, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
- 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma, (\kappa, q), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1
\]

(6)

\[
\forall a, b, \kappa, q \in A, \zeta \gg 0, a_i \in [0, 1), \text{ and } a_2 \geq 0 \text{ with } a_i + 4a_2 < 1. \text{ Then, } \Gamma \text{ has a unique couple FP in } A.
\]

Proof. Any \(a_0, b_0 \in A\); we define sequences \(\{a_j\}\) and \(\{b_j\}\) in \(A\) such that

\[
\Gamma(a_j, b_j) = a_{j+1},
\]

\[
\Gamma(b_j, a_j) = b_{j+1}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.
\]

□

Now from (5) for \(\zeta \gg 0\), we have

\[
\frac{1}{M_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma(a_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), \Gamma(a_j, b_j), \zeta)} - 1
\]

\[
\leq \alpha_i \left( \frac{1}{M_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ \alpha_2 \left( N(\Gamma, (a_j, b_j), (a_j, b_j), \zeta) - 1 \right),
\]

(8)

where

\[
N(\Gamma, (a_j, b_j), (a_j, b_j), \zeta) - 1
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{M_c(a_j, (a_j, b_j), \Gamma(a_j, b_j), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(a_j, (a_j, b_j), \Gamma(a_j, b_j), \zeta)} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
- 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma, (a_j, b_j), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(\Gamma, (a_j, b_j), \zeta)} - 1
\]

(9)

\[
\leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{M_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{M_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right).
\]
Now from (8) and (9), for $\zeta \gg \delta$, 
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + 2 \alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
= \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \lambda^{j+1} \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \lambda^{j+1} \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
= \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty. 
\]

Hence, the sequence $\{a_j\}$ is Cauchy. Now for sequence $\{b_j\}$ and from (5), for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have 
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 
\]
\[
= \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(b_{j+1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
= \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty. 
\]

We get, after simplification,
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \gg \delta, 
\]
where $\lambda = (a_1 + 2a_2)/(1 - 2a_2) < 1$. Similarly,
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \alpha \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j-1}, a_{j-2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \gg \delta. 
\]

Now, from (11) and (12) and by induction, for $\zeta \gg \delta$,
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 
\]
\[
\leq \lambda \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \leq \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j-1}, a_{j-2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
\leq \cdots \leq \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty. 
\]

It shows that the sequence $\{a_j\}$ is a fuzzy cone contractive; therefore,
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta) = 1, \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta \gg \delta. 
\]

Now for $i > j$ and for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have
\[
\frac{1}{M_\xi(a_i, a_i, \zeta)} - 1 
\]
\[
\leq \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
+ \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
\leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
+ \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
\leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(b_{j+1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) 
\]
\[
= \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{M_\xi(b_{j+1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty. 
\]
Let \( \lambda = (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)/(1 - 2\alpha_2) < 1 \). Similarly,

\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_{j-1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg \delta.
\] (19)

We get, after simplification,

\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_{j-1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg \delta.
\] (20)

Now, from (19) and (20) and by induction, for \( \zeta \gg \delta \),

\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \lambda \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_{j-1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.
\] (21)

It shows that the sequence \( \{b_j\} \) is a fuzzy cone contractive; therefore,

\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_e(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta) = 1, \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg \delta.
\] (22)

Hence, the sequence \( \{b_j\} \) is Cauchy. Since \( A \) is complete and \( \{a_j\}, \{b_j\} \) are Cauchy sequences in \( A \), so \( \exists a, b \in A \) such that \( a_j \rightarrow a \) and \( b_j \rightarrow b \) as \( j \rightarrow \infty \) or this can be written as \( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = a \) and \( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j = b \). Therefore,

\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_e(a_j, a, \zeta) = 1,
\]

\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_e(b_j, b, \zeta) = 1, \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg \delta.
\] (24)

Hence,

\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{j+1} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(a_j, b_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j \right) \Rightarrow \Gamma(a, b) = a.
\] (25)

Similarly,

\[
\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_{j+1} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(b_j, a_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j \right) \Rightarrow \Gamma(b, a) = b.
\] (26)

Regarding its uniqueness, suppose \( (a_j, b_j) \) and \( (b_j, a_j) \) are another couple fixed point pairs in \( A \times A \) such that \( \Gamma(a_j, b_j) = a_j \) and \( \Gamma(b_j, a_j) = b_j \). Now, from (5), for \( \zeta \gg \delta \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{N(\Gamma, (a, b), (a_1, b_1), \zeta)} - 1 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(a_1, b_1), \zeta)} - 1 \leq a_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a_1, a, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, b, \zeta)} - 1 \right),
\] (27)

where
\[
\frac{1}{N(\Gamma, (a, b), (a_1, b_1), \zeta)} - 1 = \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a_1, \Gamma(a_1, b_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \Gamma(a_1, b), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a_1, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a, \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a_1, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \\
+ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a_1, a, \zeta)} - 1 \right) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right).
\]

(28)

Now from (27) and for \( \zeta \gg \delta \),
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \\
\leq \alpha_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + 2\alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \leq \cdots \\
\leq \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

(29)

where \( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 < 1 \). Hence, we have \( \mathcal{M}_e(a, a_1, \zeta) = 1 \) for \( \zeta \gg \delta \), \( \Rightarrow a = a_1 \).

Similarly, again from (5), for \( \zeta \gg \delta \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 = \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, \Gamma(b, a), \zeta)} - 1 \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_1, \Gamma(b_1, a_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, \Gamma(b_1, a), \zeta)} - 1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b_1, \Gamma(b, a), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \alpha_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{N(\Gamma, (b, a), (b_1, a_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right).
\]

(30)

From (30) and for \( \zeta \gg \delta \),
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \\
\leq \alpha_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + 2\alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, \Gamma(b, a), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \leq \cdots \\
\leq \left( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 \right)^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \longrightarrow \infty.
\]

(32)

Hence, we have \( \mathcal{M}_e(b, b_1, \zeta) = 1 \) for \( \zeta \gg \delta \), \( \Rightarrow b = b_1 \).

**Corollary 11.** Let \( \Gamma : A \times A \longrightarrow A \) be a mapping on complete FCM-spaces \( (A, \mathcal{M}_e, *) \) in which \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is triangular and satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\kappa, \zeta), \zeta)} - 1 \\
\leq \alpha_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \kappa, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(\kappa, \Gamma(\kappa, \zeta), \zeta)} - 1 \right).
\]

(33)

for all \( a, b, \kappa \in A, \zeta \gg \delta, \alpha_1 \in [0, 1], \) and \( \alpha_2 \geq 0 \) with \( \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 < 1 \). Then, \( \Gamma \) has a unique couple FP in \( A \).

**Corollary 12.** Let \( \Gamma : A \times A \longrightarrow A \) be a mapping on complete FCM-spaces \( (A, \mathcal{M}_e, *) \) in which \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is triangular and satisfies
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\kappa, \zeta), \zeta)} - 1 \\
\leq \alpha_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \kappa, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \alpha_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(a, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e(\kappa, \Gamma(\kappa, \zeta), \zeta)} - 1 \right).
\]

(34)
for all $a, b, \kappa, \varrho \in A$, $\varrho \gg \delta$, $\alpha_{j} \in [0, 1)$, and $\alpha_{2} \geq 0$ with $(\alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{2}) < 1$. Then, $\Gamma$ has a unique coupled FP in $A$.

Example 1. $A = (0, \infty)$, is a $\zeta$-norm, and $M_{\zeta} : A^{2} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined as

$$M_{\zeta}(a, b, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + d(a, b)} , \quad d(a, b) = |a - b| , \quad (35)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\zeta > 0$. Then, it is easy to verify that $M_{\zeta}$ is triangular and $(A, M_{\zeta}, \ast)$ is a complete FCM-space. We define

$$\Gamma(g, h) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{a - b}{12}, & \text{if } a, b \in [0, 1), \\
\frac{2a + 2b - 2}{3}, & \text{if } a, b \in [1, \infty) . 
\end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Now from (5), for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\kappa, q), \zeta)} - 1 = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a - b/12, (\kappa - q)/12, \zeta) - 1} \right)} = \frac{1}{\zeta} \left( \frac{a - b - \kappa + q}{12} \right) \leq \frac{1}{12\zeta} \left( |a - \kappa| + |a - (a - b)| + (\kappa - (\kappa - q)) \right) + |a - (\kappa - q)| + |\kappa - (a - b)| = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a - b, \kappa, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a - b, \kappa, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$\Gamma(a, b) = \Gamma(2, 2) = \frac{2(2) + 2(2) - 2}{3} = 2 \Rightarrow \Gamma(2, 2) = 2 . \quad (38)$$

Theorem 13. Let $\Gamma : A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a mapping in a complete FCM-space $(A, M_{\zeta}, \ast)$ in which $M_{\zeta}$ is triangular and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\kappa, q), \zeta)} - 1$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a - b, \kappa, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$+ \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{4} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1} \right) , \quad (39)$$

for all $a, b, \kappa, \varrho \in A$, $\varrho \gg \delta$, $\alpha_{j} \in [0, 1)$, and $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \geq 0$ with $(\alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}) < 1$. Then, $\Gamma$ has a unique coupled FP in $A$.

Proof. Any $a_{0}, b_{0} \in A$, and we define sequence $\{a_{j}\}$ by

$$\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}) = a_{j+1} , \quad (40)$$

$$\Gamma(b_{j}, a_{j}) = b_{j+1} , \quad \text{for } j \geq 0 .$$

Now, from (39), for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a_{j}, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 =$$

$$\frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j-1}, b_{j-1}), \Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} - 1$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a_{j-1}, a_{j}, \zeta) - 1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$+ \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$= \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a_{j-1}, a_{j}, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$= \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a_{j-1}, a_{j}, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right)$$

$$= \alpha_{1} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(a_{j-1}, a_{j}, \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{2} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \frac{1}{M_{\zeta}(\kappa, \Gamma(a_{j}, b_{j}), \zeta) - 1} \right) . \quad (41)$$
We get, after simplification,
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \delta \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg 0, \]  
(42)

where \( \delta = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/(1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3) < 1. \) Similarly,
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \leq \delta \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{j-2}, a_{j-1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg 0. \]  
(43)

Now, from (42) and (43) and by induction, for \( \zeta \gg 0, \) we have
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \]
\[ \leq \delta \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{j-1}, a_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ \leq \ldots \leq \delta^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \longrightarrow \infty. \]  
(44)

Hence, the sequence \( \{a_j\} \) is fuzzy cone contractive; therefore,
\[ \lim_{j \longrightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta) = 1 \quad \zeta \gg 0. \]  
(45)

Now for \( i > j \) and for \( \zeta \gg 0, \) we have
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_j, a_i, \zeta)} - 1 \]
\[ \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ + \ldots + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{i-1}, a_i, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ \leq \delta^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \delta^{j+1} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_1, a_2, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ + \ldots + \delta^{i-1} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ = \left( \delta^j + \delta^{j+1} + \ldots + \delta^{i-1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ = \frac{\delta^j}{1 - \delta} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a_0, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \longrightarrow \infty. \]  
(46)

Hence, the sequence \( \{a_j\} \) is Cauchy. Now for sequence \( \{b_j\}, \) again from (39), for \( \zeta \gg 0, \) we have
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(\Gamma(b_{j-1}, a_{j-1}), \Gamma(b_j, a_j), \zeta)} - 1 \]
\[ \leq a_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_{j-1}, b_j, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \]
\[ + a_2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_{j-1}, \Gamma(b_{j-1}, a_{j-1}, \zeta))} - 1 \right) \right] \]
\[ + \ldots \leq \delta^j \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \longrightarrow \infty. \]  
(50)
Hence, the sequence \( \{ b_j \} \) is fuzzy cone contractive; therefore,
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \mathcal{M}_c(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta) = 1, \quad \text{for } \zeta \gg 0. \tag{51}
\]

Now for \( i > j \), for \( \zeta \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_j, b_i, \zeta)} - 1 \\
\leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_j, b_{j+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_{j+1}, b_{j+2}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_i, b_{i+1}, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \delta^i \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + \delta^{i+1} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_2, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \cdots + \delta^j - 1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \delta^i \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
= \frac{\delta^i}{1 - \delta} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_0, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{52}
\]

Hence, the sequence \( \{ b_j \} \) is Cauchy. Since \( A \) is complete and \( \{ a_j \} \) and \( \{ b_j \} \) are Cauchy sequences in \( A \), \( \exists a, b \in A \) such that \( a_j \to a \) and \( b_j \to b \) as \( j \to \infty \), or this can be written as \( \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = a \) and \( \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j = b \). Therefore,
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} a_{j+1} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \Gamma(a_j, b_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j, \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j \right) \\
\Rightarrow \Gamma(a, b) = a. \tag{53}
\]

Similarly,
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} b_{j+1} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \Gamma(b_j, a_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j, \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j \right) \\
\Rightarrow \Gamma(b, a) = b. \tag{54}
\]

Regarding its uniqueness, let \( (a_1, b_1) \) and \( (b_1, a_1) \) be another couple fixed point pairs in \( A \times A \) such that \( \Gamma(a_1, b_1) = \alpha \) and \( \Gamma(b_1, a_1) = \beta \). Now, from (39), for \( \zeta \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \\
= \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(b, a_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq a_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b_1, \Gamma(a_1, b_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq a_1 + a_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \cdots \leq \left( a_1 + a_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(a, a_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{55}
\]

Hence, we get that \( \mathcal{M}_c(a, a_1, \zeta) = 1, \Rightarrow a = a_1 \). Similarly, again from (39), for \( \zeta \gg 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \\
= \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(\Gamma(b, a), \Gamma(b_1, a_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq a_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, \Gamma(b, a), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
+ \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, \Gamma(b_1, a_1), \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq a_1 + a_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \\
\leq \cdots \leq \left( a_1 + a_2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_c(b, b_1, \zeta)} - 1 \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{56}
\]

Hence, we get that \( \mathcal{M}_c(b, b_1, \zeta) = 1 \) for \( \zeta \gg 0 \), \( \Rightarrow b = b_1 \).

**Corollary 14.** Let \( \Gamma : A \times A \to A \) be a mapping on complete FCM-spaces \( (A, \mathcal{M}_c, \ast) \) in which \( \mathcal{M}_c \) is triangular and satisfies
for all \( a, b, \kappa, \varrho \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \kappa \gg 0 \), \( \alpha_1 \in (0, 1) \), and \( \alpha_3 \geq 0 \) with \((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) < 1\). Then, \( \Gamma \) has a unique coupled FP.

Example 2. \( \mathcal{A} = (0, \infty) \), \( * \) is a \( \zeta \)-norm, and \( \mathcal{M}_e : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{M}_e(a, b, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{d(a, b)}, \quad d(a, b) = |a - b|,
\]

for all \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( \zeta > 0 \). Then, it is easy to verify that \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is triangular and \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_e, *)\) is a complete FCM-space. We define

\[
\bar{F}(a, b) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{a - b}{8}, & \text{if } a, b \in [0, 1], \\
2a + 2b - 3, & \text{if } a, b \in [1, \infty).
\end{cases}
\]

Now from (39), for \( \zeta \gg 8 \), we have

\[
\mathcal{M}_e(\Gamma(a, b), \Gamma(\kappa, \varrho), \zeta) - 1 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_e((a - b)/8, (\kappa - \varrho)/8, \zeta)} - 1
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\zeta} \left( \frac{a - b}{8} - \kappa - \varrho \right) = \frac{1}{8\zeta} (|a - b - \kappa + \varrho|)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{8\zeta} [\kappa + |a - b - \kappa + (\kappa - \varrho)|]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{8\zeta} [a - \kappa] + \frac{1}{8\zeta} |a - b| + |\kappa - (\kappa - \varrho)|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{8} \left( \mathcal{M}_e(a, \kappa, \zeta) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \mathcal{M}_e(a, \Gamma(a, b), \zeta) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left( \mathcal{M}_e(\kappa, \Gamma(\kappa, \varrho), \zeta) - 1 \right),
\]

for \( \zeta \gg 8 \).

It is easy to verify that all the conditions of Theorem 13 are satisfied with \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/8 \) and \( \alpha_3 = 0 \). Then, \( \Gamma \) has unique coupled FP.

\[
\Gamma(a, b) = \Gamma(3, 3) = \frac{2(3) + 2(3) - 3}{3} = 3 \Rightarrow \Gamma(3, 3) = 3.
\]

4. Application

In this section, we present an application on Lebesgue integral (LI) mapping to support our main work. In 2002, Branciari proved the following result on complete metric space for unique FP (see [33]):

**Theorem 15.** Let \( (\mathcal{A}, d) \) be a complete metric space, \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), and \( \Gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \) a mapping such that for any \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[
\int_0^{d(\alpha, \Gamma(b))} \varphi(s) ds \leq \alpha \int_0^{d(ab)} \varphi(s) ds,
\]

where \( \varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable (i.e., with finite integral on each compact subset of \([0, \infty)\)) and for each \( \tau > 0 \),

\[
\int_0^{\tau} \varphi(s) ds > 0.
\]

Then, \( \Gamma \) has a unique FP \( u \in \mathcal{A} \) such that for any \( a \in \mathcal{A}, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma^j(a) = u \).

Now, we are in the position to use the above concept and to prove a unique coupled FP-theorem in FCM-spaces.

**Theorem 16.** Let \( \Gamma : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \) be a mapping on complete FCM-spaces \((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}_e, *)\) in which \( \mathcal{M}_e \) is triangular and satisfies

\[
\int_0^{\tau} \varphi(s) ds > 0.
\]

Then, \( \Gamma \) has a unique couple FP in \( \mathcal{A} \).
Proof. Any \(a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in A\); we define sequences \(\{a_j\}\) and \(\{b_j\}\) in \(A\) such that
\[
\Gamma(a_j, b_j) = a_{j+1},
\]
\[
\Gamma(b_j, a_j) = b_{j+1}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.
\]
\(\square\)

Now from (64) and from the proof of Theorem 10, for \(\zeta \gg \delta\), we have that
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_i, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
= \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(\Gamma(a_j, b_j), \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \quad (68)
\]
where \(\lambda = (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)/(1 - 2\alpha_2) < 1\). Similarly, again by using the same arguments, we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \quad (69)
\]
Now, from (68) and (69) and by induction, for \(\zeta \gg \delta\), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
\leq \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \leq \cdots
\leq \lambda^j \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \longrightarrow \infty \quad (70)
\]
which shows that the sequence \(\{a_j\}\) is a fuzzy cone contractive, therefore
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j+1; \zeta)} - 1 \right) = 0 \quad (71)
\]
Hence, we get that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} M_i(a_j, a_{j+1}, \zeta) = 1, \quad \text{for } \zeta > \delta. \quad (72)
\]
Now for \(i > j\) and for \(\zeta \gg \delta\), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_i, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
\leq \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_i, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
+ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_i, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds + \cdots
\]
\[
\leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_i, a_i; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \quad (73)
\]
We get that
\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{M_i(a_j, a_i; \zeta)} - 1 \right) = 0, \quad \text{for } \zeta > \delta. \quad (74)
\]
Hence proved that the sequence \(\{a_j\}\) is Cauchy. Now for sequence \(\{b_j\}\) from (64) and from the proof of Theorem 10, for \(\zeta \gg \delta\), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(b_j, b_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds
= \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(\Gamma(b_j, a_j), \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \quad (75)
\]
where \(\lambda = (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)/(1 - 2\alpha_2) < 1\). Similarly, again by using the same arguments, we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(b_j, b_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds \leq \lambda \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{M_i(b_j, b_j; \zeta)} \right)^{-1} \phi(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \zeta > \delta. \quad (76)
\]
Now, from (75) and (76) and by induction, for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have

$$\int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \lambda \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \lambda^2 \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^j \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \infty,$$

which shows that the sequence $\{b_j\}$ is fuzzy cone contractive; therefore,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)} - 1 \right) = 0, \text{ for } \zeta \gg \delta.$$

Hence, we get that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta) = 1, \text{ for } \zeta \gg \delta. \quad (79)$$

Now for $i > j$ and for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have

$$\int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \times \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_{j+1},b_{j+2},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds + \cdots$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds + \lambda^j \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds + \cdots$$

$$= \lambda^j (\lambda^j + \lambda^{j+1} + \cdots + \lambda^i) \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds$$

$$= \lambda^j \left( \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \right) \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \infty. \quad (80)$$

We get that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(h_j,h_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{j \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\Phi_i(h_j,h_{j+1},\zeta)} - 1 \right) = 0,$$

$$= 0, \text{ for } \zeta \gg \delta. \quad (81)$$

Hence, it was proved that the sequence $\{b_j\}$ is Cauchy.

Since $A$ is complete and $\{a_i\}$, $\{b_j\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $A$, so $\exists a, b \in A$ such that $a_j \to a$ and $b_j \to b$ as $j \to \infty$ or this can be written as $\lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = a$ and $\lim_{j \to \infty} b_j = b$.

Therefore,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \Phi_i(a_j,a_{j+1},\zeta) = 1, \quad (82)$$

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \Phi_i(b_j,b_{j+1},\zeta) = 1, \text{ for } \zeta \gg \delta. \quad (83)$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} a_j = \lim_{j \to \infty} \Gamma(a_j,b_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j, \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j \right) = \Gamma(a,b) = a. \quad (84)$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} b_j = \lim_{j \to \infty} \Gamma(b_j,a_j) = \Gamma \left( \lim_{j \to \infty} b_j, \lim_{j \to \infty} a_j \right) = \Gamma(b,a) = b. \quad (85)$$

Regarding its uniqueness, suppose $(a_1, b_1)$ and $(b_1, a_1)$ are another couple fixed point pairs in $A \times A$ such that $\Gamma(a_1,b_1) = a_1$ and $\Gamma(b_1,a_1) = b_1$. Now, from (64) and from the proof of Theorem 10, for $\zeta \gg \delta$, we have that

$$\int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq (a_1 + 2\alpha_2) \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq (a_1 + 2\alpha_2) \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta))^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \quad (86)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + 2\alpha_2)^2 \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \leq \cdots \leq (a_1 + 2\alpha_2)^j \int_0^{\Phi_i} (\frac{1}{\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta)})^{-1} \varphi(s) ds \to 0, \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$

Hence, we get that $\Phi_i(a_1,a_{j+1},\zeta) = 1$ for $\zeta \gg \delta$; this implies $a = a_1$. 


Similarly, again from (64) and from the proof of Theorem 10, for \( \zeta \gg 0 \), we have that
\[
\int_0^{(1/(M_1(b, b_1, \xi)))-1} \varphi(s) ds \\
= \int_0^{(1/(M_1(\Gamma(b, 2), \Gamma(b_1, 2), 2^*))-1)} \varphi(s) ds \\
\leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) \int_0^{(1/(M_1(b, b_1, \xi)))-1} \varphi(s) ds \\
= (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) \int_0^{(1/(M_1(b, b_1, \xi)))-1} \varphi(s) ds \\
\leq \cdots \leq (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)^j \int_0^{(1/(M_1(b, b_1, \xi)))-1} \varphi(s) ds \\
\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Hence, we get that \( M_1(b, b_1, \xi) = 1 \) for \( \zeta \gg 0 \); this implies \( b = b_1 \).

5. Conclusion

We presented the concept of coupled FP-results in FCM-spaces and prove some unique coupled FP-theorems under the modified contractive type conditions by using “the triangular property of fuzzy cone metric.” We presented examples in support of our result. Further, we presented an application of Lebesgue integral mapping to uplift our main work. With the help of this new concept, one can prove more modified and general contractive type coupled FP-results with different types of integral contractive type of conditions and applications in complete FCM-spaces.
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