Efficacy and tolerability of initial low-dose lenvatinib to treat differentiated thyroid cancer
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Abstract
Some patients with differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) may require an initial low dose (LD) of lenvatinib. However, few studies have investigated the efficacy of LD lenvatinib. We compared the efficacy and tolerability of lenvatinib at an initial LD to those of the standard initial dose of 24 mg in patients with DTC.

In this cross-sectional study, records of patients with DTC treated with lenvatinib were retrospectively reviewed. Patients were divided into 2 groups based on the initial dose of lenvatinib: a full-dose (FD) group that received an initial dose of 24 mg/d and a LD group that received an initial dose of less than 24 mg/d. Categorical variables were compared with the Fisher exact test and continuous variables with Student t test. A progression-free survival (PFS) curve was constructed with the Kaplan–Meier method. A probability (P) value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

Thirty-six patients with DTC were treated with lenvatinib (30 in the FD group and 6 in the LD group). The response rates were 43% and 33% in the FD and LD groups, respectively. The median PFS duration was 696 [95% confidence interval (CI): 318–not available (NA)] days in the FD group. The median PFS of the LD group was not reached (95% CI: 124–NA) (P = .293). Treatment interruptions were required in 25 (83%) patients in the FD group and 4 (67%) in the LD group (P = .573). Dose reductions were required in 28 (93%) patients in the FD group and 4 (67%) in the LD group (P = .121). There were no significant differences in the incidences of common adverse events between the 2 groups.

The LD group also required dose reduction and interruption frequently. Since these findings are only the short-term results of a limited number of cases, a large number of cases and long-term observations are needed to determine whether an initial LD is effective for patients with DTC in poor general condition.

Abbreviations: ABC = advanced breast cancer, AE = adverse event, CI = confidence interval, DTC = differentiated thyroid cancer, FD = full-dose, FGF = fibroblast growth factor, LD = low dose, NA = not available, PFS = progression-free survival, PGDFRα = platelet-derived growth factor receptor α, RAI = radioactive iodine, RRDTC = radioactive iodine-refractory differentiated thyroid cancer, SELECT = Study of (E7080) Lenvatinib in Differentiated Cancer of the Thyroid, VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.
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1. Introduction
About 230,000 new cases of thyroid cancer were diagnosed in 2012 among women and 70,000 among men, with age-standardized (world population) rates of 6.10 and 1.90 per 100,000 persons, respectively.[1] Papillary thyroid carcinoma and follicular thyroid carcinoma are types of differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC), accounting for more than 95% of all thyroid carcinomas.[2] The prognosis of DTC is good, with a diseasespecific survival rate greater than 90%.[3] However, the prognosis remains poor for patients with unresectable, advanced, or refractory DTC, with a median 10-year survival rate of 40% to 42%; thus, it is important to develop effective treatment approaches for these patients.[4]

Recurrence and distant metastasis of DTCs are treated with radioactive iodine (RAI). The prognosis of patients with I-131 uptake is better than for those without and subsequent treatment options remain limited.[5] So far, no systemic therapy has been found to be effective in controlling DTC and cytotoxic chemotherapy has a limited role in disease management.[4] Although the evidence is very limited, doxorubicin remains the single most effective cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drug to treat DTC.[6] However, sorafenib and lenvatinib, which are multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitors and have high efficacy against RAI-refractory DTCs, have been used in Japan since 2013 and 2015, respectively.[7,8] Although no clinical trial has compared the efficacy of sorafenib with that of lenvatinib yet, the duration of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall response rate were greater with lenvatinib than with sorafenib.[6]

Lenvatinib inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors 1, 2, and 3; fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptors 1 to 4; platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PGDFRα), RET, and KIT signaling networks. In the global phase 3 Study of
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E7080) Lenvatinib in Differentiated Cancer of the Thyroid (SELECT), lenvatinib showed a significant antitumor effect, with a median PFS duration of 18.3 and 3.6 months in the lenvatinib and placebo groups, respectively (hazard ratio for progression or death, 0.21; 99% confidence interval (CI), 0.14–0.31; \( P < .001 \)).

The response rate, disease control rate, and clinical benefit rate were 64.7%, 87.7%, and 80.1%, respectively. However, some patients discontinued treatment and many required a dose reduction or interruption. The most frequent effects leading to dose discontinuation were asthenia and hypertension, each of which occurred in 1.1% of patients in the lenvatinib group. Many patients in the lenvatinib group than in the placebo group required a dose interruption (82.4% vs 18.3%) or reduction (67.8% vs 4.6%). The most common adverse events (AEs) developed during treatment, which led to a dose interruption or reduction among patients receiving lenvatinib, were diarrhea (22.6%), hypertension (19.9%), proteinuria (18.8%), and decreased appetite (18.0%).[8] The results of subgroup analysis showed that Japanese patients were more likely to require a dose reduction (Japanese, 90%; overall, 67.8%).[9]

In a phase 1 study, the safety and tolerability of lenvatinib were evaluated in 82 patients with advanced solid tumors refractory to conventional treatments, and the maximum tolerated dose was determined to be 25 mg/d.[10] The results of that study led to the development of a phase II study of lenvatinib to treat advanced RRDTC with an initial dose of 24 mg/d.[11] The standard dose of many oral cancer molecular targeted drugs is equivalent to that of the standard initial dose of 24 mg in patients with DTC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The protocol of this cross-sectional study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kanagawa Cancer Center (Kanagawa, Japan). The records of patients with DTC treated with lenvatinib from May 2015 to September 2018 were reviewed retrospectively. The inclusion criteria were DTC confirmed by histology or cytology, prior total thyroidectomy, age 18 years and older, and use of RAI before treatment with lenvatinib. The exclusion criteria were the coexistence of another thyroid malignancy, and other recurrent or concurrent malignancies.

The following demographic and clinicopathological information was collected for analysis: age, sex, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, height, body weight, histological type of thyroid cancer, previous tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment, initial dose of lenvatinib, reported treatment interruptions or dose reductions, distant metastasis sites, clinical response to lenvatinib, PFS duration, and AEs. Patients were divided into 2 groups based on the initial dose of lenvatinib: a full-dose (FD) group that received an initial dose of 24 mg/d and a LD group that received an initial dose of less than 24 mg/d. The reasons for choosing an LD of lenvatinib were also collected.

2.2. Objectives

The objectives of this study were to compare the clinical response, PFS duration, rates of lenvatinib interruption and dose reduction, and incidence of AEs between the 2 groups.

2.3. Definitions

PFS was calculated as the time from the start of lenvatinib to disease progression or the date of death from any cause. The clinical response to lenvatinib was evaluated by computed tomography using the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1.[13]

AEs were evaluated using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.0.

2.4. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander designed to add statistical functions used frequently in biostatistics. Comparisons were made between the 2 groups to identify any significant imbalances in patient characteristics. Categorical variables were compared with the Fisher exact test and continuous variables with Student t test. A PFS curve was constructed with the Kaplan–Meier method. A probability (\( P \)) value of <.05 was considered statistically significant. For the survival analysis, the final examination date was confirmed with the medical record. There were no patients who were lost to follow-up.

3. Results

3.1. Study population

Thirty-six patients with DTC were treated with lenvatinib: 30 patients in the FD group and 6 in the LD group. The baseline characteristics of the 2 groups are shown in Table 1. All baseline characteristics were comparable between the 2 groups except for height, which was significantly lower in the LD group (\( P = .03 \)). The initial dose of lenvatinib in the LD group was 20 mg/d for 3 patients, 14 mg/d for 1, and 10 mg/d for 2. The setting of the initial dose was based on the physician’s choice, and there was no uniformity. The reasons for starting with an LD were hypertension in 3 cases, advanced age in 2, and a concern of fistula formation in 1. The median follow-up time was 510 days (range, 40–1210) in the FD group and 366 days (range, 124–740) in the LD group.

3.2. Efficacy

The response rates were 43% and 33% in the FD and LD groups, respectively. The median PFS duration was 696 [95% CI: 318–not available (NA)] days in the FD group. The median PFS of the LD group was not reached (95% CI: 124–NA) (\( P = .293 \)) (Fig. 1).

3.3. Tolerability profile

A summary of the rates of lenvatinib dose reduction and interruption, and the incidence of AEs are shown in Table 2. Dose reduction was required in 28 (93%) patients in the FD group and 4 (67%; 3 patients from 20 mg and 1 from 14 mg) in the LD group.
Treatment interruption was required in 25 (83%) patients in the FD group and 4 (67%; 2 patients from 20 mg, 1 from 14 mg, and 1 from 10 mg) in the LD group \((P = .573)\). There were no significant differences in the incidences of hypertension, proteinuria, fatigue, anorexia, diarrhea, and palmar–plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome, which are common AEs of lenvatinib, between the 2 groups. There were no serious AEs resulting in hospitalization or death.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, the efficacy and tolerability of an initial LD were compared with those of the standard initial dose of 24 mg/d to treat DTC. The data showed that 2 patients who were treated by initial 20 mg dose had a partial response, suggesting that an initial LD might be effective. However, the rates of lenvatinib dose reduction and interruption, and the incidence of AEs were high in the LD group.

Comparisons of different initial doses of sorafenib, as a molecular targeted therapy for thyroid cancer, have been

---

**Table 1**

|                | Full dose (n=30) | Low dose (n=6) |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| **Sex**        |                  |                |
| Male           | 10 (33%)         | 1 (17%)        |
| Female         | 20 (67%)         | 5 (83%)        |
| **Age, median (range)** | 68 (47-83) | 77 (41-84) |
| **PS**         |                  |                |
| 0–1            | 27 (90%)         | 6 (100%)       |
| ≥2             | 3 (10%)          | 0              |
| **Height, median (range)** | 158 (146–174) cm | 148 (139–167) cm |
| **Weight, median (range)** | 53 (36–101) kg | 58 (34–68) kg |
| **Histologic type** |                |                |
| PTC            | 24 (80%)         | 6 (100%)       |
| FTC            | 6 (20%)          | 0              |
| **Previous treatment** |            |                |
| Sorafenib      | 9 (30%)          | 1 (17%)        |
| Distant metastasis |          |                |
| Lung           | 24 (80%)         | 4 (67%)        |
| Bone           | 6 (20%)          | 2 (33%)        |
| Lymph node     | 14 (47%)         | 3 (50%)        |

PTC = follicular thyroid carcinoma, PS = performance status, PTC = papillary thyroid carcinoma.

---

**Table 2**

|                        | Full dose (n=30) | Low dose (n=6) |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| **Reduction**          | 28 (93%)         | 4 (67%)        |
| **Interruption**       | 25 (83%)         | 4 (67%)        |
| **Adverse event**      |                  |                |
| All grade              |                  |                |
| ≥Grade 3               |                  |                |
| Hypertension           | 28 (93%)         | 13 (43%)       |
| Proteinuria            | 23 (77%)         | 10 (33%)       |
| Fatigue                | 14 (47%)         | 2 (7%)         |
| Anorexia               | 11 (37%)         | 3 (10%)        |
| Diarrhea               | 7 (23%)          | 0              |
| Palmar–plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome | 23 (77%) | 2 (7%) |

**Figure 1.** PFS of the FD and LD groups. The median PFS duration was 696 (95% CI: 318–NA) days in the FD group. The median PFS of the LD group was not reached (95% CI: 124–NA) \((P = .293)\). CI = confidence interval, FD = full dose, LD = low dose, NA = not available, PFS = progression-free survival.
reported. There were no significant differences in the efficacy and tolerability among 51 cases treated with an initial FD and 24 cases starting with an LD. The median durations of overall survival were 36 and 30 months in the LD and FD groups, respectively. Although there was no statistically significant difference, overall survival tended to be poorer in the LD group. As a possible explanation, patients in poorer general condition were included in the LD group.\textsuperscript{14}

Lenvatinib has significant antitumor effects and is used to treat RRDTC, unresectable anaplastic thyroid cancer, and unresectable medullary thyroid cancer in Japan. In this study, the records of 36 patients with RRDTC treated with lenvatinib were reviewed retrospectively. In clinical practice, the initial dose of lenvatinib is determined by the patient’s characteristics. Suyama et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that of 20 patients with DTC treated with lenvatinib, 5 started lenvatinib at an LD due to vessel invasion, trachea invasion, and advanced age. Berde lou et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported that of 75 patients, 54 started lenvatinib at a FD and 21 at an LD. The reasons of starting at an LD were comorbidity in 1 case, advanced age in 2, poor performance status in 9, and unknown in 9. The response rate was nearly equal between the LD and FD groups (28\% vs 31\%, respectively).\textsuperscript{16}\textsuperscript{17} In this study, 6 patients started lenvatinib at an LD, but the efficacy was not significantly different between the 2 groups. The reasons for starting an LD were similar to past reports.

In subgroup analysis of the SELECT, Japanese patients were more likely to require a dose reduction (Japanese, 90\%; overall, 67.8\%).\textsuperscript{17} In the present study, dose reduction and interruption were also required in many cases, but there were no significant differences in the rates of reduction and interruption between the 2 groups. With other molecular targeted agents, no correlation was found between the dose intensity and efficacy in terms of PFS.\textsuperscript{17,18} We think that there are some RRDTC patients who have efficacy of lenvatinib at a low dose.

According to the results of a phase II study, the optimal initial dosage of lenvatinib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma is 12mg at a body weight of 60kg or more and 8mg at a body weight less than 60kg.\textsuperscript{19} In a phase III trial, lenvatinib was not inferior to sorafenib in treating hepatocellular carcinoma.\textsuperscript{20} Population pharmacokinetic analysis reported that body weight is not considered clinically relevant; thus, a dose adjustment of lenvatinib is not warranted.\textsuperscript{21} However, higher toxicity was observed in older patients in the SELECT.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, it is appropriate to change the initial dose according to the patient’s age.

There were some limitations to this study. First, this study was not prospective or randomized. Therefore, the patient characteristics differed between the 2 groups. Second, because the initial dose of the LD group was different, drug efficacy could not be evaluated uniformly. Third, the number of cases in the 2 groups was small and the observation period was short. We think that the short observation period interfered in measuring the true PFS time of low dose group.

5. Conclusion

The LD group also required dose reduction and interruption frequently. Since these findings are only the short-term results of a limited number of cases, a large number of cases and long-term observations are needed to determine whether an initial LD is effective for patients with RRDTC in poor general condition.
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