Higgs Boson Creation in Laser-Boosted Lepton Collisions
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Electroweak processes in high-energy lepton collisions are considered in a situation where the incident center-of-mass energy lies below the reaction threshold, but is boosted to the required level by subsequent laser acceleration. Within the framework of laser-dressed quantum field theory, we study the laser-boosted process $\ell^+\ell^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ in detail and specify the technical demands needed for its experimental realization. Further, we outline possible qualitative differences to field-free processes regarding the detection of the produced Higgs bosons.
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Search for the Higgs boson is one of the primary tasks of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN. It relies on collisions of few-TeV proton beams produced in a 27 km long ring accelerator. The Higgs boson has been predicted as physical manifestation of the Higgs mechanism which endues the $W$ and $Z$ bosons with their mass by way of electroweak symmetry breaking. The Higgs boson recently discovered at the LHC [1] shows standard-model like properties in all aspects that are accessible by the LHC experiment [2]. However, further investigations of its couplings to other particles and to itself are necessary in order to establish whether it is indeed the (single) standard model Higgs boson or if it is accompanied by new physics [3]. These precision studies of Higgs boson properties will require lepton collisions on the TeV energy scale in a future linear accelerator. As compared with protons, leptons are favorable in this regard due to their point-like structure. Proposals for relatively compact future laser-driven TeV particle reactions of interest. As a specific example, we further enhance the c.m. energy, rendering possible the super-intense laser wave (cf. Fig. 1). A detailed calculation within the framework of laser-dressed quantum field theory is presented and its partially unexpected outcome as well as the technical requirements for an experimental realization are discussed. Throughout this Letter, we use a natural units system with $\hbar = c = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 1$.

We assume a linearly polarized, monochromatic plane laser wave with four-potential $A_l(\phi) := a_l \cos \phi$, with $a_l = (0, a, 0, 0)$, and $\phi = kx$ being the four-product of the laser wave vector $k = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega)$ and the space-time coordinate $x$. The transition amplitude for the process

\[ H \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \rightarrow HZ^0 \]

occurs in the presence of the field $HZ^0$. Experimental implementations of high-energy QED processes via the interaction of matter with intense lasers have been realized [13–18]. Furthermore, theorists are currently developing new schemes for the employment of next-generation lasers in the probing of fundamental physics beyond the standard model [19].

Against this background, we study in this Letter electroweak processes in high-energy lepton collisions arising from a staged scheme combining conventional with direct laser acceleration [20]. The incident particles are assumed to be pre-accelerated by conventional means to a center-of-mass (c.m.) energy which is high but still below the required reaction threshold. The acceleration gradient provided by a collinear superimposed laser field further enhances the c.m. energy, rendering possible the particle reaction of interest. As a specific example, we consider the process $\ell^+\ell^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ in the presence of a super-intense laser wave (cf. Fig. 1). A detailed calculation within the framework of laser-dressed quantum field theory is presented and its partially unexpected outcome as well as the technical requirements for an experimental realization are discussed. Throughout this Letter, we use a natural units system with $\hbar = c = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 1$.
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We assume a linearly polarized, monochromatic plane laser wave with four-potential $A_l(\phi) := a_l \cos \phi$, with $a_l = (0, a, 0, 0)$, and $\phi = kx$ being the four-product of the laser wave vector $k = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega)$ and the space-time coordinate $x$. The transition amplitude for the process
\[ \ell^+ \ell^- \rightarrow HZ^0 \] inside the laser is field given by
\[
\mathcal{J} = \frac{-ieg}{2\cos \theta_W} \int \int \int \psi_+(x) \gamma_{\mu} (g_V - g_A \gamma_5) \psi_-(x)
\times \left( \frac{4\pi e^{i(q \cdot u)}/2}{(2\pi)^4} \right)^4 \frac{-i g^{\mu \nu} + \frac{e q^{\mu} \gamma^\nu}{M_Z}}{q^2 - M_Z^2}
\times \frac{i q \cdot M_Z \theta_B}{\cos \theta_W} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4E_Z E_H}} e^{i(P_Z + P_H) \cdot y} d^4y d^4q,
\]
(1)
where the first line contains the leptonic current \( J_\mu \), the second line represents the propagator of the virtual \( Z^0 \) boson [21], and the third line describes the outgoing particles. Here, \( g = e / \sin \theta_W \) is the weak coupling constant (\( \theta_W \) being the Weinberg angle), \( g_V \) and \( g_A \) are the leptonic weak neutral current coupling constants, \( g_{\mu \nu} \) is the metric tensor, \( \gamma_{\mu} \) are the Dirac matrices, and \( \gamma_5 = i \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \). Within the Furry picture, the influence of the laser field on the charged leptons is accounted for by employing dressed states \( \psi_{\pm} \) which are exact solutions to the Dirac equation in the presence of the plane electromagnetic wave \( A_{\nu}(\phi) \). Carrying no electric charge, the created \( H \) and \( Z^0 \) bosons are not influenced by the laser field and thus are described by free states, i.e. the polarization state \( \epsilon(P_Z) \) for the \( Z^0 \) boson and 1 for the (scalar) Higgs particle. Their masses and four-momenta are \( M_Z, P_Z = (E_Z, P_Z) \) and \( M_H, P_H = (E_H, P_H) \), respectively.

Employing Feynman slash notation, the dressed leptons in Eq. (1) are described by the Volkov states [22]
\[
\psi_{\pm}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2q_{\pm}} \left( 1 \pm \frac{ekA}{2(q_{\pm})} \right)} u_{p_{\pm} s_{\pm}} e^{iS},
\]
(2)
with the elementary charge unit \( e \), lepton mass \( m \), free Dirac spinors \( u_{p_{\pm} s_{\pm}} \) and the classical action
\[
S = \pm (q_{\pm} x) + \frac{e(a_{\mu} p_{\pm})}{(kp_{\pm})} \sin \phi \pm \frac{e^2 a^2}{8(kp_{\pm})} \sin 2\phi.
\]
(3)
Note that Eqs. (2) and (3) contain laser-dressed four-momenta
\[
q_{\pm}^\mu = p_{\pm}^\mu + e^2 \frac{m^2}{2(kp_{\pm})} k^\mu,
\]
(4)
which, depending on the dimensionless laser intensity parameter \( \xi = e a / \sqrt{2} m \), may be considerably larger than the free momenta \( p_{\pm} \). Note that the (quantum mechanical) laser-dressed momenta \( q_{\pm} \) can be obtained from the classical particle momenta in the field by averaging over one laser cycle, see e.g. Section III in [18].

The leptonic current \( J_\mu \) can be treated by standard QED procedure. Using the abbreviation \( \Gamma_\mu := \gamma_\mu (g_V - g_A \gamma_5) \), it is proportional to
\[
J_\mu \propto \bar{u}_{p_{+} s_{+}} \left( \Gamma_\mu + \frac{2}{e} \left( \frac{g_\mu \Gamma_\mu}{(kp_{+)}} - \frac{\Gamma_\mu g_\mu}{(kp_{-})} \right) \cos \phi \right)
\times \frac{-e^2 g_\mu \Gamma_\mu g_\mu}{4(kp_{+)}} \cos^2 \phi \right) u_{p_{-} s_{-}}
\times e^{-i(\beta_1 \sin \phi + \beta_2 \sin 2\phi)} e^{-i(q_{+} x)} (5)
\]
with
\[
\beta_1 = \left( \frac{e(a_{\mu} p_{+})}{(kp_{+})} - \frac{e(a_{\mu} p_{-})}{(kp_{-})} \right), \beta_2 = \frac{e^2 a^2}{8} \left( \frac{1}{(kp_{+})} + \frac{1}{(kp_{-})} \right).
\]
(6)
The periodic functions \( f(\phi) := e^{-i(\beta_1 \sin \phi + \beta_2 \sin 2\phi)} \), \( \cos \phi f(\phi) \) and \( \cos^2 \phi f(\phi) \) in Eq. (6) can be expanded in Fourier series of the form \( \sum_n J_n(\beta_1, \beta_2) e^{-in\phi} \) where the coefficients can be expressed by generalized Bessel functions \( J_n(\beta_1, \beta_2) \) [23]. This expansion allows for integrating Eq. (1) over \( x \) which for each \( n \) yields a four-momentum conserving \( \delta \)-function at the leptonic vertex, \( \delta(q_{+} + q_{-} + nk - q) \). The \( y \)-integral similarly yields \( \delta(P_Z + P_H - q) \). Hence, after integration over \( q \), the transition amplitude becomes
\[
\mathcal{J} = \sum_n \frac{(2\pi)^5}{4 \sqrt{q_{0}^0 q_{0}^0 E_Z E_H}} g^2 M_Z \cos^2 \theta_W \mathcal{M}_\mu^{\nu - ig^{\mu \nu} + \frac{i e q_0^2}{M_Z^2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{ekA}{2(q_{\pm})} \right)} u_{p_{\pm} s_{\pm}} e^{iS}
\times g_{\mu \nu} \epsilon_{\nu}^*(P_Z) \delta(q_{-} - P_H - P_Z)
\]
(7)
with the virtual \( Z^0 \) boson's four-momentum \( q_0 := q_{+} + q_{-} + nk \) and a complex spinor-matrix product \( \mathcal{M}_\mu^{\nu} \) containing the expansion of the leptonic current. The remaining \( \delta \)-function in Eq. (6) represents the conservation of four-momentum in the process. Note that it contains the laser-dressed, i.e. boosted four-momenta \( q_{\pm} \) of the incoming leptons. The summation index \( n \) denotes the number of laser photons absorbed or emitted (if \( n < 0 \)) at the leptons' vertex.

In order to obtain a cross section, the transition amplitude \( \mathcal{J} \) has to be squared, averaged over the initial spins and summed over the polarization states of the outgoing \( Z^0 \) boson, divided by the incoming particle flux \( |\vec{J}| \) and a unit time \( T \), and integrated over the final particles' momenta:
\[
d^6 \sigma = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s_{+} s_{-}} \sum_{s_{0} s_{0}} \sum_{pol} \frac{|\mathcal{J}|^2}{T |\vec{J}|^2 (2\pi)^3 (2\pi)^3}.
\]
(8)
Note that the particle flux \( |\vec{J}| \) [22] contains the laser-dressed momenta \( q_{\pm} \). The spin sum in Eq. (8) can be evaluated by standard trace technology. Due to the \( \delta \)-function in Eq. (7), the square of the transition amplitude \( \mathcal{J} = \sum_n \mathcal{J} \) can be written as \( |\mathcal{J}|^2 = \sum_n |\mathcal{J}_n|^2 \) and the total cross section decomposes into a sum over partial cross sections \( \sigma_n \),
\[
\sigma = \sum_n \sigma_n.
\]
(9)
Note that, because $q_\pm \to p_\pm$ for $a_1 \to 0$, Eq. (9) reproduces the field-free cross section of the process in the limit of vanishing laser field.

The influence of the laser field on the collision energy is two-fold: Firstly, the laser-dressed lepton momenta $q_\pm$ appear in the four-momentum conservation law of the process. For a particle which counterpropagates with the laser field, the laser-dressed energy amounts to $q^0 \approx p^0$, while for a co-propagating particle one finds $q^0 \approx (1 + \xi^2)p^0$, which can be significantly larger than the incident energy $p^0$ (cf. Eq. 11 and 10 24). An intuitive reason for this enormous energy gain is that, in its rest frame, the moving particle experiences a strongly enhanced acceleration time.

Secondly, the absorption of $n$ laser photons leads to additional four-momentum $nk$ in the lepton vertex. At high laser intensities, the number $n$ of absorbed laser photons can be very large, thus further increasing the available collision energy. If the laser beam propagates along the collision axis (such that $\beta = 0$), photon numbers as high as $n_{\text{max}} \approx 2\beta_2 = p^0 \xi^2/\omega$ contribute to the cross section. Here, $p^0_\pm = p^0 \equiv p^0$ has been assumed. The distribution of partial cross sections $\sigma_n$ even peaks at $n \approx n_{\text{max}}$ due to the properties of the Bessel functions $\text{J}_0$. The collision energy in the presence of the laser field, $E_{\text{cm}}(n) = [(p_+ + q_- + nk)^2]^{1/2}$, is therefore not fixed but varies with $n$, reaching a maximum value of

$$E_{\text{cm}}(n_{\text{max}}) = 2p^0\sqrt{1 + 2\xi^2}$$

which may substantially exceed the field-free collision energy $2p^0$. Therefore, superimposing a strong laser field may render the process $\ell^+\ell^- \to HZ^0$ possible even if the incident free lepton energies lie below the reaction threshold 20.

As an example, Fig. 2 shows the partial cross sections $\sigma_n$ for the process $\mu^+\mu^- \to HZ^0$ in the presence of a super-intense near-optical laser field ($\sim 10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$). The Higgs boson mass is taken as 125 GeV 1. Without the laser field, the total cross section attains a maximum of $\approx 212$ fb at a c.m. energy of about 245 GeV 27. Here, we chose the incident muon energy $p^0$ in such a way that the energy gain from the laser field boosts the total collision energy for the maximum number of absorbed photons 10 to this value, $E_{\text{cm}}(n_{\text{max}}) \approx 245$ GeV. This is the case for $p^0 \approx 70$ GeV. Note that there occurs a cut-off towards the left side in Fig. 2 where the number of absorbed photons does not suffice to exceed the reaction threshold. Summation over all contributing partial cross sections yields $\sigma \approx 38$ fb. Note that this cross section is an average over a wide range of collision energies. Therefore, it does not compare directly to a field-free cross section at a well-defined energy. However, the rate of Higgs creation events per unit time $R = \sigma |\bar{J}|$, with the particle flux $|\bar{J}| \approx 2/V[1 + m^2/4p^2_0(1 + \xi^2)]$ and the interaction volume $V$, can be accessed experimentally in the usual way 11 13.

In view of an experimental realization of the described scheme certain conditions regarding the collision parameters should be met 10. Firstly, the quasi-momentum in Eq. (4) strictly holds for an infinitely extended laser wave and is meaningful in a finite laser pulse only if the particles experience at least one full oscillation cycle. This is certainly guaranteed for the counterpropagating antimuon in our setup but for the copropagating muon it is required that the laser pulse length exceeds $\Delta z = 2\gamma^2(1 + \xi^2)\lambda$ 24, with $\gamma = p^0/m$ and the laser wavelength $\lambda$. In addition, the transversal extent $\Delta x$ of the laser beam along the polarization direction should cover the complete muon trajectory, i.e. $\Delta x \gtrsim \xi \gamma \lambda$. Note that focusing and trapping of the particles in the field is a common issue in laser acceleration schemes. It can be achieved by tailored pulse profiles and auxiliary fields 23.

Secondly, the quasi-momentum (4) is maximal for perfect alignment of the lepton and laser beams. This can be realized only if the angular spread in the incident particle beam is low, $\Delta \theta \ll 1/\gamma$. If $\Delta \theta > \xi/\gamma$, only a small fraction of the muon beam is efficiently accelerated. Typical envisaged beam divergences for muon colliders are in the order of 1 mrad 23.

Finally, the motion of the leptons can be affected by radiation damping due to Compton scattering in the field which, in principle, limits the applicable laser intensities. However, this effect is suppressed by the large muon mass. The relevant parameter measuring the impact of radiation damping for the muon and antimuon, respectively, is given by $R_\pm = \alpha \xi^2(kp_\pm)/m^2$ 30, with the QED fine structure constant $\alpha$. For the parameters of Fig. 2 we obtain $R_- \ll R_+ \approx 10^{-7}$, rendering radiation damping effects of minor importance.

Due to the small total cross section which is typical in weak interaction processes, there will be a number of background processes, like e.g. $\mu^+\mu^- \to e^+e^-$, which...
would occur in any collision experiment. The laser field, however, allows for some additional channels. In particular, $e^+e^-$ pairs can be created from the collision of the counterpropagating antinuons with the laser photons via the nonlinear Bethe-Heitler effect. This field-induced background process estimates to be rather weak, leading to only one created pair per antinuon during the interaction time.

In Tab. 1 exemplary laser beam parameters are given for different collision constellations, where the lepton energies are such that the absorption of $n_{\text{max}}$ photons leads to the collision energy with the maximum field-free cross section. The required laser pulse power and pulse energy are calculated for elliptically-shaped laser pulses where the extension parallel to the electric field is assumed to cover the whole lepton trajectory and perpendicular to it, the radius is assumed to be $\lambda$. Besides the parameters for the process $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ considered in Fig. 2 we also list the parameters for $\xi = 0.5$. Here the required laser pulse power and energy but also the boost effect on the leptons are smaller. For comparison, laser-boosted $e^+e^-$ collisions are listed as well. For the latter, high values of $\xi$ are much easier to obtain since the required laser intensities scale as $\sim m^2$. However, larger Lorentz factors than for muons, $\sim 1/m$, are necessary, leading to vastly increased spatiotemporal beam dimensions and thus huge beam energies. Moreover, Compton scattering and radiation losses impose more stringent limitations. Therefore, inducing electroweak reactions by laser-boosting of $\mu^+\mu^-$ collisions appears more promising with regard to experimental implementation. As can be seen from the pulse durations given in Tab. 1, the field contains many laser cycles ($\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ for the parameters from column 1). This justifies the assumption of a monochromatic laser field of infinite duration underlying our calculations. We note that, for much shorter pulses, it would be required to take into account the shape of the finite laser pulse which leads to quantitative modifications.

| Parameter                  | \(\mu^+\mu^-\) | \(\mu^+\mu^-\) | \(e^+e^-\) | \(e^+e^-\) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Intensity param. \(\xi\)  | 1               | 0.5             | 1           | 0.5         |
| Intensity \((\text{W/cm}^2)\) | 7.6 \times 10^{22} | 1.9 \times 10^{22} | 1.8 \times 10^{18} | 4.4 \times 10^{17} |
| Optimum \(p^0\) \((\text{GeV})\) | 70              | 100             | 70          | 100         |
| Lorentz factor \(\gamma\) | 670             | 945             | 1.4 \times 10^5 | 2.0 \times 10^5 |
| Extension \(\xi E\) \((\text{mm})\) | 0.8            | 0.6            | 170         | 120         |
| Pulse duration \((\text{ns})\) | 7               | 9              | 3 \times 10^5 | 4 \times 10^5 |
| Pulse power \((\text{PW})\) | 2.3 \times 10^3 | 400            | 11          | 2           |
| Pulse energy \((\text{GJ})\) | 16              | 3.6            | 3.4 \times 10^3 | 750         |

TABLE I: Required laser parameters for the considered example $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ for the laser wavelength $\lambda \approx 1.2 \mu$m. The incident lepton energy $p^0$ is such that Eq. \ref{eq:10} yields $E_{\text{cm}} \approx 245$ GeV, where the field-free cross section is maximal. A laser beam with an elliptical cross sectional area is assumed, with the extensions parallel to the electric and magnetic field components given by $\Delta x = \xi \lambda \Delta y = \lambda$, respectively. The pulse duration is $2\gamma^2(1 + \xi^2)\lambda/c$. Power and energy of the pulse are derived from its spatiotemporal extensions. For comparison, a smaller laser intensity parameter as well as the required parameters for $e^+e^-$ collisions are listed.

Let us put the laser parameters given in the first two columns of Tab. 1 into perspective. With modern petawatt laser systems, peak intensities in the order of $10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$ have indeed been achieved. The focal extensions ($\sim \mu$m) and pulse durations ($\sim$ fs), however, lie substantially below the required level. Further development towards 10 PW machines is currently being pushed forward, with the goal of reaching a few 100 PW within the future Extreme Light Infrastructure. Even exawatt laser systems are already being considered. Present high-power lasers are able to generate pulses with energies in the MJ range and durations of about 10 ns. While, thus, the laser parameters required for an efficient boosting of $\mu^+\mu^-$ collisions are certainly very challenging, the ongoing progress in high-power laser technology offers prospects to reach them.

While the laser field may strongly enhance the c.m. energy in laser-boosted lepton colliders, it reduces the collider’s luminosity: the interaction area is increased in the polarization direction and the number of reacting particles is decreased due to the small focus in the perpendicular direction. Assuming an initial bunch population of $2 \times 10^{12}$ muons, a bunch radius of 26 $\mu$m, and a laser field with the parameters of the first column in Tab. 1 we can estimate that the large extension of the field in x-direction leads to a luminosity reduction by a factor of $26 \mu m/\Delta x \approx 3 \times 10^{-2}$. In addition, due to the small extension in y-direction, only a fraction of the initial muons is sufficiently accelerated which decreases the luminosity by another factor of $\Delta y/26 \mu m \approx 4 \times 10^{-2}$. Regarding the collision frequency, one may assume that the repetition rate of the laser can reach the repetition rate $\sim 15$ Hz of the envisioned muon collider and that the lepton and laser beams are perfectly synchronized. Thus, all in all, we obtain a luminosity loss due to the laser field of approximately three orders of magnitude.

We note that higher laser frequencies can be beneficial for our scheme. Assuming that ultraviolet laser systems with sufficient intensity and power become available, the required laser pulse energy in Tab. 1 could be reduced by an order of magnitude by utilizing a laser beam with $\omega = 10$ eV (keeping $\xi = 1$) since the said pulse energy $\sim a^2 \omega^2 \Delta x \Delta y \Delta \varsigma$ scales linearly with the laser wavelength. Alternatively, application of this frequency along with a pulse extent of $\Delta y = 10 \lambda$ would lead to a luminosity loss of only two orders of magnitude (while keeping the laser pulse energy unchanged).
This is because fewer partial cross sections of photon order $n$ yield sufficiently high energy to contribute for larger Higgs boson energy. For the same reason, the spectrum of the Higgs boson’s emission angle is asymmetric and tilted towards the propagation direction of the laser. Besides that, the laser field will also affect the electrically charged decay products of the Higgs boson, e.g., by channeling them into narrow angular regions, thus possibly rendering their detection easier. We also note that, in comparison to the field-free case, the total cross section obtained in the laser-boosted reaction $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HZ^0$ may be somewhat less sensitive to variations of the Higgs boson mass which is connected to the broad range of collision energies due to the many photon numbers that are involved (cf. Fig. 2).

In conclusion, we have shown that the upgrading of the existing and the development of new laser facilities – in fruitful conjunction with the well-established conventional acceleration techniques – might bring new opportunities in the physics of elementary particles such as to Higgs boson creation. As a proof-of-principle experiment, the laser-boosted QED process $\ell^+\ell^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ could be implemented with present-day technology, utilizing intense nanosecond laser pulses with an energy of $kJ$ order.
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