Changes in Nuclear Orientation Patterns of Chromosome 11 during Mouse Plasmacytoma Development

Abstract

Studying changes in nuclear architecture is a unique approach toward the understanding of nuclear remodeling during tumor development. One aspect of nuclear architecture is the orientation of chromosomes in the three-dimensional nuclear space. We studied mouse chromosome 11 in lymphocytes of [T38HxBALB/c]N mice with a reciprocal translocation between chromosome X and 11 (T38HT(X;11)) exhibiting a long chromosome T(11;X) and a short chromosome T(X;11) and in fast-onset plasmacytomas (PCTs) induced in the same strain. We determined the three-dimensional orientation of chromosome 11 using a mouse chromosome 11 specific multicolor banding probe. We also examined the nuclear position of the small translocation chromosome T(X;11) which contains cytoband 11E2 and parts of E1. Chromosomes can point either with their centromeric or with their telomeric end toward the nuclear center or periphery, or their position is found in parallel to the nuclear border. In T38HT(X;11) nuclei, the most frequently observed orientation pattern was with both chromosomes 11 in parallel to the nuclear border (“PP”). PCT cells showed nuclei with two or more copies of chromosome 11. In PCTs, the most frequent orientation pattern was with one chromosome in parallel and the other pointing with its centromeric end toward the nuclear periphery (“CP”). There is a significant difference between the orientation patterns observed in T38HT(X;11) and in PCT nuclei (\( P < .0001 \)).

Introduction

Chromosomes are organized in evolutionary conserved chromosome territories [1]. Their nonrandom three-dimensional (3D) positions were previously described [2], e.g., the localization of the active and inactive chromosome X and their respective genes [3,4]. Euchromatin of rod photoreceptor cells in nocturnal mammals is found in the periphery, whereas it is found in the center in diurnal mammals [5]. Not only chromosome territories are in the focus of research but also the localization of telomeric regions [6,7].

Tumor development is greatly influenced by genomic instability [8], and telomere dysfunction plays an important role in genomic instability [9]. Therefore, it is essential to study nuclear architecture in normal and tumor cells. Movement of telomeric regions during the cell cycle was observed in living ECV-TRF1 and -TRF2 cells [10] and in human osteosarcoma U2OS cells [11]. Chromosomes of primary human fibroblasts alter their positions within 15 minutes after they are made quiescent due to a removal of serum from the culture medium. This repositioning is probably dependent on nuclear myosin 1B [12]. Further changes of chromosome positions can be found during adipocyte differentiation [13] or T-cell differentiation [14].

Telomere lengthening is a method to prevent genomic instability of rapidly dividing cells [15]. This can occur due to telomerase [16] or due to cycles of homologous recombination during the process of...
alternative telomere lengthening [17]. In fast-onset plasmacytomas (PCTs), the telomere length is significantly increased for the translocation chromosome T(X;11) carrying 11E2 [18].

In the current study, we used a [T38HxBALB/c]N congenic mouse model with a reciprocal translocation between chromosomes X and 11 (rcpT(X;11)). This unique mouse model exhibits a long chromosome T(11;X) and a short chromosome T(X;11). The short chromosome T(X;11) contains cytoband 11E2 and parts of cytoband E1 (Figure 1) [19]. To determine the chromosome orientation in cancer cells and in the same cell lineage, we studied mouse PCT cells and [T38HxBALB/c]N rcpT(X;11) lymphocytes. There was a significant difference noted with respect to their chromosome 11 orientation (P < .0001). The nuclear position of the small translocation T(X;11) was also studied visually. It was most frequently found in the intermediate region of the nucleus. There was no significant change in position of T(X;11) detected between the two cell types (P = .06).

**Material and Methods**

**Cell Harvest**

Primary lymphocytes were harvested from spleens of 6- to 8-week-old congenic [T38HxBALB/c]N rcpT(X;11) mice [19]. PCT cells were harvested from the ascites of fast-onset PCT mice. The [T38HxBALB/c]N rcpT(X;11) mice were pretreated with pristane intraperitoneally and after 5 days infected with a v-abl/myc virus also administered intraperitoneally. The mean latency of fast-onset PCTs is 45 days [19,21]. Procedures were performed in accordance to Animal Protocol 11-019 approved by Central Animal Care Services, University of Manitoba (Winnipeg, MB, Canada).

**3D Nuclear Hybridizations**

For 3D nuclei fixation, lymphocytes were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 minutes. After resuspension of the pellet, cells were carefully placed onto slides and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde/1× PBS for 20 minutes at room temperature. Next, the slides underwent washing steps in 1× PBS shaking. Subsequently, the slides were washed in 0.5% Triton-X-100 for 10 minutes. The slides were incubated for 1 to 2 hours in 20% glycerol and were then subjected to four freeze-thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen afterward. Next, the slides were washed 3× in 1× PBS and then incubated in fresh 0.1 M HCl for 5 minutes. After washing the slides in 1× PBS, they were placed for at least 1 hour in 70% formamide/2× SSC.

**Multicolor Banding**

The mBANDing probe for mouse chromosome 11 (Metasystems, Altusheim, Germany) was developed by Benedek et al. (2004) [29]. The slides were equilibrated in 2× SSC, treated with RNAase A (100 μg/ml) in 2× SSC at 37°C for 1 hour, and then incubated in freshly prepared 0.01 M HCl with 100 μg/ml pepsin for 2 minutes. After washing the slides in 1× PBS, they were pretreated in 1% formaldehyde in 1× PBS/50 mM MgCl2, followed by washing in 1× PBS. Next, the slides were incubated in 0.1× SSC and then
transferred into 2× SSC at 70°C for 30 minutes for denaturation. After cooling the solution to 37°C, the slides were transferred to 0.1× SSC and then subjected to 0.07 M NaOH at room temperature for 1 minute. Afterward, the slides were placed in 0.1× SSC and then 2× SSC at 4°C followed by dehydration in ethanol (30%, 50%, 70%, and 90%). Next, the mBANDing probe was applied. The slides were sealed with rubber cement and incubated for 2 days at 37°C. After hybridization, the slides were washed in 1× SSC at 75°C and in 4× SSC/0.05% Tween20. The cells were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade (Invitrogen/Gibco, Burlington, ON, Canada).

**Image Acquisition**

For the two-dimensional image acquisition, an Axioplan 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Toronto, ON, Canada) with a 63×/1.4 oil objective lens (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Toronto, ON, Canada) and the ISIS-FISH imaging system 5.0 SR 3 (Metasystems Group Inc., Boston, MA) were used. The chromosomal counterstain was visualized with the help of a 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole filter. To detect the four regions of chromosome 11 that were labeled with different fluorochromes (DEAC, FITC, Gold, and Texas Red), narrow band-pass filters were used (Chroma Technologies) as described by our group previously.

3D image acquisition was conducted using an AxioImager Z2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc. Canada) equipped with the same filters and an AxioCam MRm (Carl Zeiss Inc. Canada), combined with the Axiovision Release 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss Inc. Canada). Z-stacks of 80 slices, with 200-nm axial distance and 102-nm lateral pixel size, were acquired to reconstruct a 3D image. Using Axiovision Release 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss Inc. Canada), deconvolution was conducted with the constrained iterative algorithm (Schaefer et al., 2001).

**Image Analysis**

The results presented in this paper were analyzed by visual inspection. The chromosome 11 mBAND probe is composed of four different fluorochromes labeling four different overlapping regions of

---

**Table 1. Orientation Patterns of Chromosome 11 and Their Frequency in Diploid Cells of Congenic [T38HxBALB/c]N Mice Showing T(X;11) and in PCTs**

| T38H | Diploid PCTs | Triploid PCTs | Tetraploid PCTs | All PCTs |
|------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| T[X;11] |
| Both homologs in parallel to the nuclear border (PP) | 90 | 24 | 24 |
| One copy points with telomeric end to the nuclear center; the other copy is in parallel (PT) | 35 | 19 | 19 |
| Both homologs point with their telomeric end to the nuclear periphery (TT) | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| One copy points with its telomeric end and the other copy with its centromeric end to the nuclear periphery (CT) | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| Both copies point with their centromeric ends to the nuclear periphery (CC) | 48 | 13 | 13 |
| One homolog points with its centromere to the nuclear periphery; the other is parallel to the nuclear border (CP) | 61 | 30 | 30 |
| CCC | 1 | 1 |
| CCP | 8 | 8 |
| CPP | 12 | 12 |
| CPT | 19 | 19 |
| CCT | 9 | 9 |
| CTT | 2 | 2 |
| TTT | 2 | 2 |
| PTT | 7 | 7 |
| PPT | 15 | 15 |
| PPP | 17 | 17 |
| CCCP | 1 | 1 |
| CCP | 4 | 4 |
| CPP | 4 | 4 |
| PPP | 5 | 5 |
| CPT | 3 | 3 |
| CPTT | 3 | 3 |
| CCPT | 3 | 3 |
| PPPT | 5 | 5 |
| PPTT | 1 | 1 |

The table shows the orientation patterns observed in the diploid T38HT[X;11] cells and in PCTs with two, three, or four copies of chromosome 11. The last column lists the orientation patterns in all PCT cells together (C = centromere points to periphery, T = telomere points to periphery, P = chromosome is parallel to nuclear periphery).
the whole chromosome 11. The telomeric end is labeled with FITC (green), the centromeric end with Texas Red (magenta), and the intermediate bands with DEAC (cyan blue) and Gold (red) (Figure 2). DEAC was not always detectable. The mBAND paint made it possible for the visual observer to determine the orientation of chromosome 11. To analyze the position of the small translocation chromosome T(X;11) labeled only with FITC (green), we divided the nucleus visually into three regions: periphery, intermediate, and center.

In addition, we used novel automated software to confirm our visual results [30]. In short, the nucleus was segmented first with an isodata threshold after some smoothing and out-of-focus blur subtraction. The chromosome bands were then segmented after the recorded images were blurred based on the band sizes. These bands were then linked together to chromosome territories based on a utility function determined by overlap and distance between the segmented bands. The orientation of each CT was then determined by calculating the eigenvectors of the inertia tensor; the orientation is indicated by the more outlying band. Consequently, the chromosome is pointing either with its telomeric end or with its centromeric end toward the nuclear periphery. Because an exact measurement is performed, no parallel orientation category is needed anymore.

The automatic analysis was only performed for the diploid cells. Automation of chromosome orientation by this program was not implemented for tri- and tetraploid cells. Therefore, we present the results assessed by visual inspection. Over 300 nuclei per cell type were acquired, and we were able to determine the orientation pattern in 224 PCT and 257 T38HT(X;11) nuclei.

### Statistical Analysis

The visually assessed orientation patterns were analyzed by chi-square, likelihood ratio chi-square, and Mantel-Haenszel chi-square tests. The nuclear positions of T(X;11) were compared by the same tests. They all led to the same result; only chi-square is shown in the paper.

The automatically measured orientation distributions were compared to each other with two-sample, two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The two cell types that display each of the observed orientation patterns were compared using chi-square, likelihood ratio chi-square and Mantel-Haenszel chi-square tests. They yielded the same results.

### Results

In this study, we analyzed chromosome 11 orientation patterns in lymphocytes of PCTs and of T38HxBALB/cN repT(X;11) mice. We performed mBANDing and analysis on more than 300 nuclei of each cell type. The mouse chromosome 11 mBAND probe labels four overlapping segments with four fluorescing colors. The telomeric end is labeled with FITC Green, the centromeric end with Texas Red, and
the regions in between with Gold and DEAC (Figure 2). The segment labeled with DEAC was not always detectable. The mBANDed nuclei were imaged using Axiovision 4.8 Software (Carl Zeiss Inc. Canada) and deconvolved with a constrained iterative algorithm [31]. By visual inspection, we analyzed the orientation of chromosome 11 of the respective cell types and subsequently determined orientation patterns. Nuclei of T38HT(X;11) lymphocytes consistently showed a diploid chromosome constitution, whereas nuclei of PCTs either were diploid or showed an increase in chromosome 11 copy numbers. Moreover, we identified the position of the small translocation chromosome T(X;11) in all nuclei of T38HT(X;11) and PCT.

By visual inspection, we observed three different orientations in nuclei of T38HT(X;11) and PCTs: 1) chromosome 11 points with its telomeric end to the nuclear periphery and with its centromeric end to the nuclear center ("T"); 2) it points with its centromeric end to the nuclear periphery and with its telomeric end to the center ("C"); and 3) chromosome 11 is in parallel to the nuclear border ("P"). Combining the observed orientations of all chromosomes in one nucleus, we determined an orientation pattern. All observed orientation patterns are shown in Table 1. The most frequently observed orientation pattern in T38HT(X;11) was with both chromosomes located in parallel to the nuclear border ("PP") (35.0%). In all PCTs, "PP" was only observed in 10.7% (P < .01). The orientation pattern "CP" with one homolog pointing with its centromeric end toward the nuclear periphery and the other homolog being in parallel was found most frequently in PCTs (13.4% of all PCTs) and in 23.7% of T38HT(X;11) (P = .83). Both chromosomes
pointing with their centromeric ends toward the periphery ("CC") was observed in 18.7% of T38HT(X;11) and in 8.5% of all PCTs (P = .0001). The third most common orientation pattern in PCTs is "PT," with one homolog in parallel and the other pointing with its telomeric end to the periphery (8.5%). This orientation pattern was found in 13.6% of T38HT(X;11) (P < .01). Representative images of diploid PCT and T38HT(X;11) nuclei analyzed visually and automatically are illustrated in Figure 3.

Ninety-two of 224 (41.1%) PCT nuclei showed three copies of chromosome 11 (Table 1). The orientation pattern "CPT," with one copy pointing with its telomeric end and another copy with its centromeric end toward the nuclear periphery and one copy located in parallel, was also observed in 8.5% of all PCTs. The second most frequently orientation pattern in PCTs with three copies of chromosome 11 is "PPP," with all homologs located in parallel (7.6%).

Twenty-three of 224 (10.3%) PCT nuclei showed four copies of chromosome 11 (Table 1). Images of PCT nuclei with more than two copies of chromosome 11 can be seen in Figure 4.

When comparing T38HT(X;11) nuclei to all PCT nuclei with respect to their orientation patterns, a significant difference was noted (P < .0001). Regarding only diploid cells of PCTs and T38HT(X;11), there was no significant difference (P = .10). However, comparing diploid PCTs to PCTs with three or four copies of chromosome 11, a significant difference was noted (P < .0001).

For unknown reasons, the small translocation chromosome T(X;11) carrying cytoband 11E2 was only detected in 31.3% of all 224 mBANDed PCT nuclei and in 34.6% of the 257 mBANDed T38HT(X;11) nuclei. The most frequently observed position was in the intermediate region of the nucleus (61.8% of T38HT(X;11) and 74.3% of PCTs, respectively; P = .10) (Table 2). There is no significant difference between the two cell types regarding the position of T(X;11) (P = .0612). An image showing T(X;11) is demonstrated in Figure 4.

**Discussion**

We used mBANDing to determine the orientation of chromosome 11 in PCTs and lymphocytes of [T38HxBALB/c]N mice showing T(X;11) and in diploid, triploid, and tetraploid PCTs.

**Table 2. Nuclear Position of the Small Translocation Chromosome T(X;11) in Cells of Congenic [T38HxBALB/c]N Mice Showing T(X;11) and in Diploid, Triploid, and Tetraploid PCTs**

| Nuclear Position | T38H T[X;11] | All PCTs | Chi-Square |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Periphery       | 14 (15.7%)  | 12 (17.1%)| .81        |
| Intermediate    | 55 (61.8%)  | 52 (74.3%)| .10        |
| Central         | 20 (22.5%)  | 6 (8.6%)  | .02        |

The nuclear positions of T(X;11) were compared by chi-square analysis. There is no significant difference between the two cell types regarding the nuclear position of T(X;11) (P = .06). A chi-square value of P > .05 indicates that the frequency of the T(X;11) position is similar between the two cell types.

We used mBANDing to determine the orientation of chromosome 11, a significant difference was noted (P < .0001). The third most common orientation pattern in PCTs with three or four copies of chromosome 11, we found various orientation patterns (Table 1), e.g., the orientation pattern “CPT” (one homolog is pointing with its centromeric end and another with its telomeric end toward the nuclear center, and a third is in parallel) in 8.5% of all PCTs. When comparing PCT nuclei with three or four chromosome 11 copies to diploid PCT nuclei, a significant difference was noted (P < .0001). Furthermore, when comparing T38HT(X;11) to all PCT nuclei, we identified different orientation patterns (P < .0001).

The small translocation chromosome T(X;11) was analyzed visually and found in the intermediate region of the nucleus in 74.3% of the PCTs and in 61.8% of T38HT(X;11) (P = .10) (Table 2).

In our previous paper, we presented nonrandom orientation patterns for chromosome 11 in 3D nuclei of PreB lymphocytes of BALB/c origin and of [T38HxBALB/c]N wild-type mice [26]. There was a distinct difference between the frequency of the observed orientation patterns, and this was found in both cell types. The orientation pattern most frequently observed was with both chromosomes 11 in parallel to the nuclear periphery ("PP"). The second most common pattern was with one homolog in parallel and the other homolog pointed with its centromeric end toward the nuclear periphery ("CP").

The focus of the current study is the 3D nuclear orientation of chromosome 11 in mouse PCTs. We investigated changes in the nuclear orientation during the process of PCT development.

Nuclear architecture is important for nuclear function [5,9]. It is known that telomere dysfunction leads to genomic instability and therefore to tumorigenesis. Key factors of telomere dysfunction are the shortening of telomeres, breakage-bridge-fusion cycles, and the formation of telomeric aggregates (TAs) [32–34]. A trigger for TA formation is c-Myc deregulation [35]. Louis et al. (2005) described not only that c-Myc deregulation leads to TA formation resulting in breakage-bridge-fusion cycles but also that changes of nuclear positions lead to closer proximity of telomeres, resulting in chromosomal rearrangements [35]. Changes in chromosome orientation may also lead to closer proximity of telomeres and could therefore be linked to telomere aggregation.

Rotation is a way of movement and a possible way to change nuclear positions. The mechanisms of a possible rotation are currently unknown. One may hypothesize that chromosomes rotate to access transcription factories. The transcription of genes within the telomeric end 11E2 might be enhanced due to telomeric orientation toward the nuclear center. Future studies will elucidate these questions.

In conclusion, we found distinct 3D orientation patterns of mouse chromosome 11 in diploid lymphocytes of [T38HxBALB/c]N recP(X;11) mice and of PCTs. How and whether the changes of the orientation patterns in PCT nuclei with three or four chromosomes 11 impact on tumor progression will be the focus of future studies.
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