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A B S T R A C T

Despite the fast development of vaccines, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) still circulates through variants of concern (VoC) and escape the humoral immune response. SARS-CoV-2 has provoked over 200,000 deaths/months since its emergence and only a few antiviral drugs showed clinical benefit up to this moment. Thus, chemical structures endowed with anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity are important for continuous antiviral development and natural products represent a fruitful source of substances with biological activity. In the present study, agathisflavone (AGT), a biflavonoid from Anacardium occidentale was investigated as a candidate anti-SARS-CoV-2 compound. In silico and enzymatic analysis indicated that AGT may target mainly the viral main protease (Mₚ⁰) and not the papain-like protease (PLₚ⁰) in a non-competitive way. Cell-based assays in type II pneumocytes cell lineage (Calu-3) showed that SARS-CoV-2 is more susceptible to AGT than to apigenin (APG, monomer of AGT), in a dose-dependent manner, with an EC₅₀ of 4.23 ± 0.21 μM and CC₅₀ of 61.3 ± 0.1 μM and with a capacity to inhibit the level of pro-inflammatory mediator tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α). These results configure AGT as an interesting chemical scaffold for the development of novel semi-synthetic antivirals against SARS-CoV-2.

1. Introduction

Members of the Coronaviridae family include seasonal and highly pathogenic viruses [1]. In the last two years and a half, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that emerged in China was spread globally [2], causing over 581 million confirmed cases and 6.41 million deaths worldwide of 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) until the end of July 2022 [3]. Coronavirus (CoV) are positive-sense RNA viruses that infect humans and zoonotic hosts [4]. The CoVs genome encodes two polycistronic polyproteins that are further cleaved by its own proteases to 16 non-structural proteins (nsp) by targeting its proteases [1]. In the last two years and a half, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that emerged in China was spread globally [2], causing over 581 million confirmed cases and 6.41 million deaths worldwide of 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) until the end of July 2022 [3]. Coronaviruses (CoV) are enveloped positive-sense RNA viruses that infect humans and zoonotic hosts [4]. The CoVs genome encodes two polycistronic polyproteins that are further cleaved by its own proteases to 16 non-structural proteins (nsp) [5], thus proteolytic processing is a critical step in CoV life cycle. Two viral proteases are required to cleave the CoV polyprotein into functional units: the main protease (Mₚ⁰), a chymotrypsin-like cysteine protease, also known as 3Cₚ⁰, and the papain-like protease (PLₚ⁰), which cleaves in about 11 cleavage sites [5,6], and the papain-like protease (PLₚ⁰), which is responsible for cleavage three sites [7,8]. Additionally, PLₚ⁰ has the function of stripping ubiquitin and interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15) from host-cell proteins to aid CoV in their evasion of the host innate immune responses [9].

Both Mₚ⁰ and PLₚ⁰ enzymes have been considered as interesting targets for drug development and were proposed to be targeted by...
clinically approved antiviral drugs [10–13]. The importance of MP^\text{P} as a target has been reinforced by the drug PF-07321332 (PAXLOVID^\text{TM}; Pfizer), which reduced COVID-19-associated hospitalization by 80 % [14]. Despite that, continuous drug development against COVID-19 is necessary, due to the virus ability to escape humoral response induced by vaccines, as evidenced by the emergence of variants of concern (VoC) [15–18]. Thus, antiviral resistance could also occur in the future and must be anticipated by a sustained effort in drug development.

Natural products are fruitful sources of active compounds with a wide variety of chemicals and biochemical arrangements [19]. It has been described that natural product present activity against CoVs, impairing mainly the viral replication [20]. In this context, polyphenolic metabolites, such as flavonoids, represent a class of molecules that includes the largest source of substances with antiviral activity [19]. Indeed, numerous flavonoids, e.g., fisetin, myricetin, quercetin, and kaempferol, have been described with antiviral activity, targeting proteases (M^\text{P} or PL^\text{P}) of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 [19,21–30]. On the other hand, recent reports indicated that natural dimers of flavonoids, known as biflavonoids, frequently reported in plants used in traditional and modern medicine, have attracted more attention than flavonoids due to their highest anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antibacterial, antiabetic, antitumor, and antiviral (including anti-SARS-CoV and anti-SARS-CoV-2) properties [31–34]. Additionally, biflavonoids are more promising candidates than flavonoids in either pre- or clinical stages due to their larger physical-chemical stability during pharmaceutical preparation and their better pharmacokinetics profile [32].

Severe cases of COVID-19 have been characterized by developing cytokine storm [35], therefore, the discovery of antivirals that also might inhibit the hyperinflammatory response and/or regulate the immune responses is an interesting strategy to better attenuate illness in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Different reports previously indicated flavonoids, e.g., flavones, isoflavonones, and flavonones, as natural sources with the capacity to decrease both releasing of proinflammatory mediators and transcription of proinflammatory genes [36–40], as example, pre-treatment of pre-inflamed human macrophage with apigenin (APG) shows significant inhibition not only of pro-inflammatory interleukin-6 (IL-6) secretion but also the inflammatory chemokines (CCL5) and adhesion molecules (ICAM1 and VCAM1) [41], reinforcing the importance to evaluate flavonoids/biflavonoids against COVID-19 [42].

Agathisflavone (AGT, 8-[5,7-dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-oxo-chromen-6-yl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl) chromen-4-one, Fig. 1) is a plant-derived biflavonoid that has been spotlight due to its diverse biological activities, e.g., antioxidant, antiviral, antiparasitic, cytotoxic, and neuroprotective [43–45]. From a structural point of view, AGT is a dimer of flavonoid APG (Fig. 1) [46]. Recently, aiming to explore the antiviral activity of biflavonoid, our group studied the anti-influenza action of AGT obtained from ethanolic extracts of *Anacardium occidentale* leaves [47,48]. In addition to the anti-influenza activity, AGT has been described with anti-inflammatory properties [49,50]. Considering that both COVID-19 and influenza trigger cytokine storm in critically ill patients [51] and the importance of finding novel antivirals hits that not only target both acute respiratory infections, but also act as anti-inflammatory is an interesting approach to the design of novel leads, the present work investigate the anti-SARS-CoV-2 and anti-inflammatory activity of AGT via cell-based and enzymatic assays combined with in silico calculations.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Agathisflavone (AGT) was isolated from *Anacardium occidentale* leaves and characterized according to De Freitas et al. [47]. Apigenin (APG), remdesivir (RDV), 2,3-bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide (XTT), N-methyl dibenzopyrazine methyl sulfate (PMS), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), formaldehyde, phosphate buffer solution (PBS), crystal violet, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and methanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich/Merck (St. Louis, MO, USA). Atazanavir sulfate (ATV) was kindly donated by Instituto de Tecnologia de Fármacos, Farmanguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

2.2. Cells and virus

African green monkey kidney (Vero, subtype E6), human lung carcinoma (A549), and human lung epithelial (Calu-3) cells were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM - HyClone, Logan, Utah) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS - Thermo Fisher Scientific®, Massachusetts, USA). All cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5 % of carbon dioxide (CO2).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus used in the experiments was isolated in Vero-E6 cells from nasopharyngeal swab of a confirmed case from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The virus strain was sequenced to confirm the virus identity and its complete genome was deposited in GenBank under the identification number #MT710714 and received the approval number 30650420.4.1001.0008 from the Institutional Review Board. All procedures related to virus culture were handled at biosafety level 3 (BSL3) multi-user facility at Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines [52].

2.3. Cytotoxicity assays

Vero-E6 cells (2.0 × 10^4 cells/well) in 96-well plates (Nalge Nunc Int, Rochester, New York, USA) were treated with different
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Fig. 1. Chemical structure of agathisflavone (AGT) and apigenin (APG).
concentrations of AGT or APG (ranging from 1 to 800 μM) and observed for 3 days to subsequent addition of 5 mg/mL of XTT in DMEM in the presence of 0.1% FBS. After incubation of 4 h at 37 °C, the plates were read in a spectrophotometer at excitation and emission wavelengths of 492 and 620 nm, respectively. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) of 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) was calculated by a non-linear regression analysis of the dose–response curves.

2.4. Yield-reduction and virus titration assays

Cells were infected with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) 0.1. Firstly, a screening in Vero-E6, A549, and Calu-3 cells was conducted with AGT, ATV (positive control), or RDV (positive control) in a concentration of 1.25 and 10 μM, however, the screening of APG was only conducted for infected-Calu-3 cells also in a drug concentration of 1.25 and 10 μM. The dose-response curve was conducted only in Calu-3 cells for AGT in concentrations of 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, 3.16, and 10 μM, for APG and ATV in concentrations of 0.63, 1.25, 2.50, 5.00, and 10.0 μM, and for RDV in concentrations of 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, and 10.0 μM. The cells were infected at densities of 2.0 × 10^5 cells/well (Vero-E6 and A549) and 5.0 × 10^5 cells/well (Calu-3), performed in 96-well plates (Nalge Nunc Int, Rochester, New York, USA) for 1 h at 37 °C. The cells were washed, and various concentrations of the compounds were added in DMEM with 2% FBS for Vero-E6 and A549, while 10% FBS was used for Calu-3 cells. After 48 h, the supernatants were harvested, and infectious titers were quantified by plaque-based assays previously described [13]. All experiments were carried out at least three independent times, including a minimum of two technical replicates in each assay, and each data was analyzed with Prism GraphPad 8.0 (Windows GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA). The selectivity index (SI) was calculated through the ratio between CC_{50} and EC_{50} values.

2.5. Measurements of inflammatory mediators

The levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) were quantified from the supernatant of uninfected Calu-3 cells (MOCK), infected cells without treatment (NIL), and infected and AGT or APG treated (10 μM) cells, using specific kits following the manufacturer’s instructions (code #DY206 and #DY210 for IL-6 and TNF-α, respectively, from R&D Systems® Inc., Minneapolis, USA). All experiments were carried out at least three independent times and analyzed with Prism GraphPad 8.0 (Windows GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA).

2.6. Enzymatic assays

The AGT capacity to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 PLpro and Mpro processability was determined by the commercial kit provided by BPS Bioscience® company (catalog number: #79995-1 and #79995-1, respectively) following the procedure and recommendations from literature and manufacturer [53–55]. Basically, 100 nM PLpro was incubated in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.01% Triton X-100 (v/v), 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA), 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) with 25 μM of its substrate (modified peptide Z-RLRGG-AMC with CAS number 167698-69-3) in the presence of different concentrations of inhibitors (0–10 μM of AGT or GRL0617 as positive control) for 45–60 min at 37 °C. On the other hand, 88.8 nM Mpro was incubated overnight in reaction buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.3, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM BSA) containing 25 μM of substrate (modified peptide DABCYL-KTSAVLQGFRKME-EDANS with CAS number 730985-86-1) and AGT or GC276 (positive control), at concentrations ranging from 0 to 10 μM at 25 °C. Fluorescence signal was measured at excitation and emission wavelengths of 360 nm and 460 nm, respectively, in a GloMax®-i (Promega) plate reader. The quadratic equation describing tight-binding behavior, known commonly as the Morrison equation (one of the widely used approximation mainly due to its high accuracy for this type of inhibitor) [56,57] was applied to treat the experimental enzymatic data. Therefore, the Morrison’s inhibitory constant (Ki) was calculated by this non-linear regression using Prism GraphPad 8.0 (Windows GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA). The Michaelis-Menten plots were obtained for 100 nM PLpro or 88.8 nM Mpro in assay buffer with substrate concentrations varying from 0 to 100 μM with and without 2.5 μM of AGT, following the procedure described above. After fluorescence quantification, the Michaelis-Menten constant (Km) and maximum velocity (Vmax) were calculated by non-linear regression also using Prism GraphPad software 8.0.

2.7. In silico simulations

Models obtained by X-ray diffraction from crystal structures of Mpro (PDB ID: 6LJ7, dimeric structure) and PLpro (PDB ID: 6W9C, trimeric structure) [58] were obtained with resolutions of 2.16 Å and 2.70 Å, respectively.

2.7.1. Molecular docking

Ligand docking was performed with DOCK v6.9 [59] together with sphgen [60] to generate a maximum of 100 spheres within 10.0 Å of the dyad and catalytic triad used as reference position. Then, it was built a box of 10.0 Å edges around the spheres with showbox. Finally, was computed the energy interactions of each ligand and receptor atom at a 0.375 Å resolution inside the box with grid [61].

The substrate of Mpro (S_{pro}) modified peptide DABCYL-KTSAVLQGFRKME-EDANS with CAS number 730985-86-1) and substrate of PLpro (S_{PLpro}) modified peptide Z-RLRGG-AMC with CAS number 167698-69-3) was docked in the active site of chain A of the corresponding protease, and the best result was replicated for the other chains. On the other hand, AGT docking in Mpro was performed with SMpro in the active site, as well as to the allosteric sites of Mpro. The active site of this protease is characterized by the presence of the amino acids His41 and Cys145, which form a catalytic dyad. In addition to its active site, two allosteric sites were proposed in previous studies: (i) a binding site formed by Glu288, Asp289 and Phe290-291 (named as ALST1 below), which is a region at the interface between both monomers and (ii) a binding site formed by Lys12, Cys16, and Lys97 (named as ALST2 below) on each chain A and B [62–64]. Finally, the AGT docking in PLpro was performed with and without S_{PLpro} in the active site, which is characterized by the catalytic triad Cys108, His269, and Asp283.

Ligands and amino acid side chains at the selected sites of protein targets were treated as flexible [65]. The docking parameters were as follow: (i) groups with at least five atoms were considered as rigid segments of the molecule and treated as anchors. The maximum number of anchor orientations (max_orientations) was 10,000; (ii) 10,000 anchor orientations (pruning_max_orient) were retained to maximize the docking score according to a cut-off heuristic of 100 that combines conformational classification and mean squared deviation (RMSD); (iii) recursive cycles of ligand conformation based on energy minimization to search the best docking pose; (iv) rejection of conformers with a score >100.0 kcal/mol (pruning_conformer_score_cutoff) after energy minimization; (v) maximum number of 10,000.00 scored conformers for each optimization run; and (vi) grouping of the best conformers with a 2.0 Å RMSD threshold [59,65].

The conformer that performed the largest number of hydrogen bonds and had the best gridscore was used for further analyses.

2.7.2. Ligand parameterisation

The substrate of Mpro (S_{Mpro}) and PLpro (S_{PLpro}) as well as AGT structure corresponding to CID numbers: 145707754, 101438889, and 52815599, respectively, were retrieved from the PubChem database (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The structures were converted to 3D topologies and their electrical charges calculated using the Ambertools 1.5 package [66]. Finally, it was used the force field Amber99SB [67,68].
and the General AMBER Force Field (GAFF) [69] to define bonds, angles, and twists.

### 2.7.3. Molecular dynamics (MD)

The MD simulations of Mpro/S$_{\text{Mpro}}$ and PLpro/S$_{\text{PLpro}}$ were performed using Amber 18 [70]. The systems were solvated with a cubic water box TIP3P of 12 Å [71,72]. The energy of MD systems was minimized in two steps: (i) steepest descent method and (ii) conjugate gradient in constant volume for 5000 cycles each.

The energy minimized systems were gradually heated (i) from −273 to 25 °C for Mpro and (ii) from −273 to 37 °C for PLpro during 1 ns with data collection in each 1 ps. The backbone and ligands were constrained with a constant force of 1.5 kcal/mol·Å$^2$ during heating. From this step on, all bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained with the SHAKE algorithm and the PME distance cutoff was set to 12 Å. In addition, during all MD steps, temperature was controlled with a Langevin thermostat whose collision frequency was set to 1 ps$^{-1}$.

Afterward, the heated systems were equilibrated for 1 ns and their evolution was recorded by collecting data each 1 ps at constant temperature (25 °C and 37 °C, for Mpro and PLpro, respectively) and pressure (1 bar). The backbone and ligands were constrained with a constant force of 0.5 kcal/mol·Å$^2$ during the equilibration step. Pressure was controlled with isotropic position scaling, setting the relaxation time to 1 ps.

For each system, the final snapshot of the equilibration process was used as input to MD simulations for 200 ns of simulation for each protease complex. Following MD simulations, temperature trajectories were joined to identify the most representative cluster using (i) $K$-means clustering [73], (ii) hierarchical agglomerative (bottom-up) [74], and (iii) DBScan clustering [75]; all these methods were parameterized with a maximum cluster equal to 10 and a minimum distance of 4 Å between clusters to be reached at the end of the clustering process. This cut-off was set to 4 Å for all methods and temperatures investigated. The cluster whose 3D-structure presented the lowest RMSD compared to the initial structure among the three methods, was the cluster used for the subsequent docking and MD analyzes.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. SARS-CoV-2 is susceptible to AGT in Vero-E6, A549, and Calu-3 cells

Cell-based assays in Vero-E6, A549, and Calu-3 cells were performed with AGT and two controls: atazanavir (ATV), a human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protease inhibitor repurposed against SARS-CoV-2 (targeting SARS-CoV-2 Mpro), and remdesivir (RDV) an approved drug by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) against COVID-19 (targeting SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, RdRp) [13,76,77]. Fig. 2A shows that SARS-CoV-2 replication is susceptible to AGT, comparable to ATV, in Vero-E6 and Calu-3 lineages, while RDV was the most effective drug in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 replication in all cell lines, during initial antiviral screening. The APG (monomer of AGT) was less effective in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 replication than AGT in Calu-3 cells (Fig. 2A). Fig. 2B depicts the dose-dependent inhibitory effect of AGT, APG, ATV, and RDV in the SARS-CoV-2 replication in Calu-3 cells, and the corresponding 50 % effective concentration (EC$_{50}$) value. Since the 50 % cytotoxic concentration (CC$_{50}$) value for AGT, APG, ATV, and RDV was 61.3 ± 0.1, 282 ± 4 (Fig. S1), 312 ± 8 [12], and 512 ± 30 (78) μM, respectively, were obtained the corresponding selective indexes (SI) of 14.5, 54.1, 637, and 1.68 × 10$^{3}$.

#### 3.2. Anti-inflammatory profile of AGT in Calu-3 infected with SARS-CoV-2

COVID-19 frequently leads to fatal inflammatory responses and acute lung injury in critically ill patients. In this sense, monocytes/macrophages from patients with severe COVID-19 may be the main source of uncontrolled levels of the pro-inflammatory mediators TNF-α and IL-6 in the peripheral blood of the respiratory tract [51]. Fig. 3 depicts the AGT and APG capacity (in the concentration of 10 μM, close to their corresponding EC$_{50}$ value of 9.24 ± 0.46 and 8.94 ± 0.51 μM, respectively) to reduce inflammatory markers upon SARS-COV-2 infection, in which TNF-α levels in infected Calu-3 cells were reduced by both natural products.

![Fig. 2. Susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 replication to different concentrations of AGT, APG, ATV, and RDV. (A) Inhibitory capacity of AGT, ATV or RDV (1.25 and 10 μM) on SARS-CoV-2 replication in Vero-E6, A549, and Calu-3 cells. Screening for APG was conducted only in infected-Calu-3 cells. (B) Dose-dependent drug concentration for SARS-CoV-2 inhibition by AGT, APG, ATV or RDV in Calu-3 cells (5.0 × 10$^{5}$ cells/well). ATV and RDV are positive controls. [AGT] = 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, 3.16, and 10 μM, [ATV] = [APG] = 0.63, 1.25, 2.50, 5.00, and 10.0 μM, and [RDV] = 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0, and 10 μM.](image-url)
3.3. Enzymatic assays and in silico calculations of the inhibitory capacity of AGT to SARS-CoV-2 proteases

Considering previous literature that flavonoids inhibit SARS-CoV-2 proteases [79], M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro} were assayed in the presence of AGT – better SARS-CoV-2 inhibitor than APG from the cell-based data. Fig. 4A and B depict the influence of AGT in the enzymatic parameters of M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro}, respectively. Morrison’s inhibitory constant (K\textsubscript{i}) for M\textsuperscript{pro} was 321-fold lower than that for PL\textsuperscript{pro} (Fig. 4A and B). Additionally, AGT showed superior inhibitory capacity against M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro} than the corresponding positive controls GC376 and GRL0617. Although these results suggest that M\textsuperscript{pro} is a better target than PL\textsuperscript{pro}, K\textsubscript{i} values for both enzymes are below the in vitro antiviral potency displayed in Fig. 2B (EC\textsubscript{50}).

Next, either M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro} were assayed in the presence of various substrate concentrations (0 to 100 μM) under a fixed concentration of AGT (2.5 μM). For M\textsuperscript{pro}, the Michaelis-Menten constant (K\textsubscript{m}) value with and without AGT was the same inside the experimental error, while the maximum velocity (V\textsubscript{max}) value decreased, indicating the possibility of a non-competitive inhibitory mechanism (Fig. 4C), which suggests that AGT might interact M\textsuperscript{pro}/substrate complex and/or with the allosteric site(s). For PL\textsuperscript{pro}, both K\textsubscript{m} and V\textsubscript{max} values changed from the absence to the presence of AGT, indicating the possibility of a mixed inhibitory mechanism (Fig. 4D), therefore, AGT might interact with the catalytic site of PL\textsuperscript{pro} without or in the presence of its native substrate.

To offer a molecular level explanation of the inhibitory capacity of AGT for the two SARS-CoV-2 proteases in silico calculations were performed based on the experimental inhibitory mechanism. Firstly, the proteases’ substrate S\textsubscript{Mpro} and S\textsubscript{PLpro} were docked in the active site of the center of mass of the catalytic dyad (M\textsuperscript{pro}) and triad (PL\textsuperscript{pro}) (Fig. S2) The best docking pose for the systems M\textsuperscript{pro}/S\textsubscript{Mpro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro}/S\textsubscript{PLpro} was subsequently used as input for MD simulations (Fig. S3), observing that chain B of M\textsuperscript{pro} was more stable than chain A, while for PL\textsuperscript{pro} the chain C remained stable throughout the simulation. Finally, the analysis of MD clusters showed that the DBscan method released structures with the lowest RMSD, i.e., 1.378 Å and 3.154 Å for M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro}, respectively (Fig. S4). These structures were those used for the docking studies of AGT.

The same coordinates used to dock S\textsubscript{Mpro} and S\textsubscript{PLpro} in the active site of M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro}, respectively, were applied for AGT with the corresponding substrate. Additionally, for M\textsuperscript{pro}, the allosteric sites suggested in previous studies [62], corresponding to amino acid residues Lys12, Cys16, and Lys97 of chains A and B, and Glu288, Asp289, Phe290, Phe291, and Cys300 of the chain A were also investigated.

The gridscores of AGT at the active sites of M\textsuperscript{pro} and PL\textsuperscript{pro} complexed with their substrates were −54.696 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} and −4.824 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively (Fig. 5A and B), which are consistent with higher potency of AGT over M\textsuperscript{pro} than PL\textsuperscript{pro}. In this case, AGT’s interacted with residues Thr24, Asn119 and Cys145 for M\textsuperscript{pro} (Table 1, Fig. 5C) and Thr262 and Lys271 for PL\textsuperscript{pro} (Table 2, Fig. 5D). It is worth noting that the hydrogen from the enol group of the ring C of AGT is a potential donor for hydrogen bonding with the carboxylic acid from S\textsubscript{Mpro} structure (2.70 Å). In comparison, AGT docked in the part of PL\textsuperscript{pro} most exposed to the solvent and the carbonyl group of ring C from AGT structure is at 7.50 Å away from the central Zn(II) ion (Fig. 5B, O7–Zn(II)), which suggests some role for AGT-Zn(II).

The gridscore of AGT onto the putative allosteric site was −72.946 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} for ALST1 and −66.853 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} for ALST2 (Fig. 6A), which is more favorable than its predictive effect on the M\textsuperscript{pro}’s active site; and thus, more consistent with the kinetic data assayed at different substrate concentrations. The interactions of AGT with ALST1 occurred following two modes: (i) hydrogen bonds with Gln127 and Glu288 in the chain B and (ii) cation bonds with Lys5 in the chain A and with Lys137 in the chain B (Table 3, Fig. 6B). The interactions of AGT with ALST2 occurred through hydrogen bonds with Glu14, Gly120 in the chain A and Asp155 in the chain B (Table 4, Fig. 6C).

Finally, the gridscore of AGT at the active site of PL\textsuperscript{pro} (a mixed inhibitory mechanism) without its substrate is −33.152 kcal mol\textsuperscript{−1} (Fig. 7) without the detection of any hydrogen bonding or covalent interactions with the amino acid (AA) residues at the active site of PL\textsuperscript{pro}, even occupying the same docking region as S\textsubscript{PLpro}.

4. Discussion

Biflavonoids have been widely explored as potential drugs and scaffolds for the design of semisynthetic drugs with wide-spectrum
activity, e.g., anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antibacterial, and antiviral [32, 79]. Recently, Lokhande and coworkers reported by in silico calculations that AGT interacts strongly with the catalytic residues of SARS-CoV-2 M\text{pro} [79], however, this inference was not experimentally validated either by enzymatic or cell-based assays. Thus, here, it was investigated AGT inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-2 and its anti-inflammatory profile in Calu-3-based assays (a physiologically relevant cell lineage) [80] and evaluated experimentally the AGT capacity in inhibiting the two proteases of SARS-CoV-2. Finally, since the rational design has entered the state of the art for the discovery of new SARS-COV-2 inhibitors [6], was also mimicked the lab enzymatic experiments by in silico modeling to better shed light on the molecular interactions of AGT with M\text{pro} and PL\text{pro}.

The cell-based screening in three different lineages confirmed the AGT activity as anti-SARS-CoV-2. Although the biflavonoid did not show comparable potency and SI value with the positive controls ATV (a FDA-approved HIV-protease inhibitor that targets SARS-CoV-2 M\text{pro} via competitive mechanism) [12, 13] and RDV (a FDA-approved inhibitor of SARS-CoV-2 RdRp) [76], there is still a difference of over 10-fold between its potency and cytotoxicity values, configuring a safety range for eventual clinical applications. Additionally, AGT was more potent than APG (the natural monomer of AGT) in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 replication, with an EC\text{50} value 4.6-fold lower than APG, reinforcing our preliminary hypothesis that biflavonoid might increase the antiviral capacity of flavonoids. Finally, AGT might reduce in the same way of APG the severity of COVID-19 symptoms by significantly decreasing the production of the pro-inflammatory mediator TNF-\alpha in the peripheral blood of the respiratory tract which has been associated with patients with severe COVID-19 [51]. Therefore, the cell-based results indicated AGT as a dual activity against COVID-19 (antiviral and anti-inflammatory), corroborating with other studies that also identified some flavonoids, e.g., luteolin, fisetin, kaempferol, and myricetin with dual biological activity [81].

Table 1
Interaction of AGT with the amino acid (AA) residues of the M\text{pro}/S\text{Mpro} complex in the active site (chain B).

| AGT | AA      | Distance (Å) |
|-----|---------|--------------|
| H50 | Thr24 - O | 2.56         |
| 08  | Asn119  - OD1 | 2.02        |
| H45 | Asn119  - OD1 | 1.78        |
| H57 | Cys145  - SG  | 1.98        |

Table 2
Interaction of AGT with the amino acid (AA) residues of the PL\text{pro}/S\text{PLpro} complex in the active site (chain C).

| AGT | AA      | Distance (Å) |
|-----|---------|--------------|
| H50 | Thr262 - OG1 | 2.67        |
| 05  | Lys271 - HD3 | 2.31        |

Fig. 5. The in silico results for AGT in the catalytic site of M\text{pro} and PL\text{pro}. (A) AGT (pink) positioned at the catalytic site of M\text{pro} complexed with the substrate S\text{Mpro} (yellow) in the chain B. (B) AGT (pink) positioned at the catalytic site of PL\text{pro} complexed with the substrate S\text{PLpro} (yellow) in the chain C. In this case, Zn(II) is represented as violet sphere on the top left. (C) 2D-representation of AGT interactions at the catalytic site of the M\text{pro}/S\text{Mpro} complex. (D) 2D-representation of AGT interactions at the catalytic site of the PL\text{pro}/S\text{PLpro} complex.
the proteases of SARS- and MERS-CoV, e.g., the flavonols quercetin and kaempferol have potency values in the range of 52.7–116.3 and 8.6–16.3 μM for M⁷⁶⁶ and PL⁷⁶⁶, respectively [22,23,82], that are not so good compared with AGT for the proteases of SARS-CoV-2, probably due to the chemical nature of biflavonoid compared with flavonoid. Morrisson’s approximation was used to treat the enzymatic data due to the tight-binding inhibitor capacity of AGT (K_{i} ≈ 1.1 nM) [56,57], reinforced by the using M⁷⁶⁶’s substrate at proportion >10-fold above the K_{m} value.

The experimental enzymatic assays agree with the in silico calculation of interaction energy that showed a difference also over 10-fold between both protease-AGT complexes. Based on the AGT structure,

Table 3
Interaction of AGT with the amino acid (AA) residues of the M⁷⁶⁶/S₇₆⁶ complex in ALST1.

| AGT | AA      | Distance (Å) |
|-----|---------|--------------|
| O₉  | Gln127 – H | 2.64         |
| H₄₅ | Glu288 – OE1 | 2.75         |
| x   | Lys5 – HZ1  | 6.32         |
| x   | Lys137 HZ1  | 3.12         |

Table 4
Interaction of AGT with the amino acid (AA) residues of the M⁷⁶⁶/S₇₆⁶ complex in ALST2.

| AGT | AA      | Distance (Å) |
|-----|---------|--------------|
| H₄₆ | Gln14-O1 | 2.10         |
| H₄₇ |         | 1.70         |
| H₅₇ | Gly120  | 1.97         |
| H₄₅ | Asp155  | 2.57         |

Fig. 6. Docking of AGT at the allosteric sites of M⁷⁶⁶. (A) Superposition of the best docking pose of AGT (pink) in the two allosteric sites of M⁷⁶⁶ (ellipse) in the system M⁷⁶⁶/S₇₆⁶ (substrate in yellow). (B) The 2D-representation of AGT interactions at the putative ALST1 of M⁷⁶⁶. (C) The 2D-representation of AGT interactions at the putative ALST2 of M⁷⁶⁶.
this biflavonoid showed a better interaction with protease than other
flavonoids, such as quercetin and myricetin [83–86], which is presum-
ably due to the number of hydrophobic contacts provided by the largest
number of aromatic rings in AGT. The experimental $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ values for $M^{\text{pro}}$ indicated that AGT might interact not into the active site as a
competitive inhibitor but close to the active site in a non-competitive
way via the allosteric region or in the protease-substrate complex,
while for PL$^{\text{pro}}$ a mixed inhibitory mechanism was identified. Comparing
the enzymatic data of AGT with those previously described for ATV
[13], the commercial HIV-protease inhibitor showed a competitive
inhibitory mechanism to SARS-CoV-2, suggesting that the insertion of
amino acid moieties in the AGT scaffold during drug design could lead
this compound to similar mechanism of action and probably increase the
anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity - semisynthetic compounds that might
improve both EC$^{50}$ and SI values.

The in silico simulations of $S_{\text{AGT}}$ by MD within the active site of $M^{\text{pro}}$
suggested that the S2 region was not initially occupied by the $S_{\text{Mpro}}$, but
the sulfonic group of its C-terminal side moved to this active pocket
throughout the simulation, which increased the RMSD value. Upon
reaching the S2 region, the $S_{\text{Mpro}}$ stabilized throughout the simulation
time. The $S_{\text{Mpro}}$’s sulfonic group moved into the S2 region and liberate a
space for AGT in the S1’ pocket, which is close to the catalytic amino
acid residue Cys145. The AGT interaction with Cys145, even in the
presence of the substrate occupying the S1-S4 binding regions, may
disable the proton transfer from Cys145 to His41, which is possibly
triggered by substrate binding or occurring in a transition state during
the attack of sulfur on the carbonyl carbon atom of the scissile peptide
bond [87]. Thus, the AGT interaction with Cys145 can break the inter-
action with His41 and, consequently, lead to the $M^{\text{pro}}$ inactivation
[88,89].

An alternative form of $M^{\text{pro}}$ inhibition is via its allosteric site that is
located at the dimeric interface near the C-terminal region [63]. The
impediment of the $M^{\text{pro}}$ dimeric formation should lead to its lack of
activity since it is essentially functional in its dimeric form. In general,
the enzymatic activity of $M^{\text{pro}}$ relies on the architecture of the active site,
which critically depends on the dimerization of the enzyme and the
correct relative orientation of its subdomains. Ligands binding outside of
the active site in ALST1 could lead to monomer misalignment and affect
enzyme activity. In fact, Günther and coworkers [63] identified two
such allosteric binding sites for $M^{\text{pro}}$. As outlined above, AGT presented
the highest negative gridscore for ALST1 and ALST2 compared to the
active site in the presence of substrate, which suggests that $M^{\text{pro}}$
inhibition at the allosteric sites occurs preferentially, mainly at ALST1,
and should be better explored. Overall, our enzymatic and in silico data
for AGT-$M^{\text{pro}}$ (Fig. 8) invalidate the in silico results previously reported
by Lokhande and coworkers [79]. Additionally, it is important to
highlight that despite the difference in the experimental potency be-
tween AGT and APG, both compounds achieve the same experimental
efficacy, e.g., the same EC$^{50}$ value inside the experimental error, prob-
ably, following the in silico trend for AGT, due to the excess of the
monomer of AGT in the biological assay might interact with the allo-
steric binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 $M^{\text{pro}}$ via dimerization of the in-
hibitor achieving the same inhibitory capacity of AGT.

By contrast to $M^{\text{pro}}$, the structure of PL$^{\text{pro}}$ is characterized by a very
narrow catalytic site, which requires a flexible ligand. Docking results
showed that $S_{\text{PLpro}}$ can insert into the narrow active site of the protease
between Leu73 and Gly76, which is consistent with the high local
specificity for flexible molecules [90]. In this case, the mode of inhibi-
tion of PL$^{\text{pro}}$ can occur in two ways: (i) by interaction with the Zn(II) ion
that binds the three PL$^{\text{pro}}$ monomers and that is responsible for the
correct folding of the protein as well as its structural stability [91]; and
(ii) by interaction with the catalytic triad [92]. Since AGT presented the
lowest negative gridscore for PL$^{\text{pro}}$ in the absence of $S_{\text{Mpro}}$ than in its
presence, one may conclude that the PL$^{\text{pro}}$ inhibition at the catalytic site
by AGT occurs preferentially without the substrate.

Overall, our results revealed that AGT is a better SARS-CoV-2 in-
hibitor than its monomer with the capacity to inhibit mainly SARS-CoV-
2 $M^{\text{pro}}$ than PL$^{\text{pro}}$ via a non-competitive fashion, probably interacting
with the $M^{\text{pro}}$’s allosteric site(s) (Fig. 8). Considering the anti-
inflammatory profile of AGT and APG, both reduced the TNF-$\alpha$ levels
in infected Calu-3 cells. This first approach combining experimental and
in silico calculations to identify biflavonoid capacity to inhibit SARS-
CoV-2 proteases offers an optimistic scenario regarding the continuous
effort to identify natural products as a hit for future development of
leads based on their phytochemical core, however, additional assays,
including in vivo experiments are necessary to ensure the efficacy of
AGT as antiviral and/or anti-inflammatory, as well as understanding the
pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic of the biflavonoid.

Moreover, we understand that the finding of pan-inhibitors of pro-
teases (compounds able to inhibit different proteases) is a good strategy
to find therapeutic for the treatment of different viral diseases, however,
for example, SARS-CoV-2 $M^{\text{pro}}$/PL$^{\text{pro}}$, dengue virus NS2B-NS3 protease,
and HIV-protease are cysteine, serine, and aspartic proteases, respec-
tively, belonging to different families of proteases [93–95], making
difficult the correlation between the inhibitory capacity of AGT with different viral proteases, even for the two proteases of SARS-CoV-2 this correlation is also difficult since M^{pro} (dimer) and PL^{pro} (trimer) have different composition and structural arrangements. This limitation is feasible considering the reactive pocket of the proteases, however, considering the allosteric site is not ruled out the possibility to identify, in future works, some structural motifs that make AGT a pan-inhibitor of proteases.

5. Conclusion

The SARS-CoV-2 replication is susceptible to treatment with AGT showing EC_{50} and CC_{50} values of 4.23 ± 0.21 and 61.3 ± 0.1 μM, respectively, however, although the biflavonoid did not show a comparable potency and SI value with ATV and RDV controls, there is still a difference of over 10-fold between its potency and cytotoxicity values, which configure a safety range for clinical applications and an interesting scaffold to improve its anti-SARS-CoV-2 potential by drug design. Additionally, AGT was more potent than its natural monomer APG in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 replication, with an EC_{50} value 4.6-fold lower than APG, indicating that biflavonoid might increase the antiviral capacity of flavonoids, however, both natural compounds achieved the same experimental efficacy - probably the excess of APG in the biological assay might interact with the allosteric binding pocket of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} via dimerization of the inhibitor achieving the same inhibitory capacity of AGT. Finally, both AGT and APG decreased the pro-inflammatory mediator TNF-α levels in infected cells. The experimental enzymatic data indicated a non-competitive and mixed inhibitory mechanism of AGT for M^{pro} and PL^{pro}, respectively. The K_i of M^{pro} in the presence of AGT was 321-fold lower than that of PL^{pro}, which was corroborated by in silico calculations mainly due to the gridscore of AGT interaction at the allosteric site of the M^{pro}/S_M^{pro} complex.
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