Prevalence of Obesity in Inguinal Hernia Repair Patients in a Tertiary Care Center
Sundar Shrestha, Pramod Kumar Upadhyay
1Department of General Surgery, Bir hospital, NAMS, Kathmandu, Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Inguinal hernia is a common surgical problem, with a lifetime risk of 27% in men and 3% in women. Its cumulative incidence is 17.2% and 12.3% in body mass index of <25 kg/m² and 25–30 kg/m² respectively. Obesity had been regarded as the risk factor for the development of an inguinal hernia. However, recent epidemiologic studies have suggested the decreased prevalence of inguinal hernia in increased weight and body mass index individuals. The aim of this study is to find out the prevalence of obesity in inguinal hernia repair patients in a tertiary care center.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional observational study was performed in Bir Hospital from May 2018 to December 2019 after taking ethical approval from the institutional review board of NAMS. Convenient sampling was done with a sample size of 219. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS ver. 23 and Microsoft Excel software by descriptive statistics.

Results: The mean body mass index was 22.10 ± 3.07 kg/m². Body mass index Category 18.5 – 22.9 kg/m² had 133 (61%) male and seven (3.2%) female patients, category ≥30 kg/m² had four (1.8%) male. Most of inguinal hernia repair patients were farmers 158 (72.5%). Common risk factors noted were smoking 142 (65.1%), heavy work 112 (51.4%), chronic cough 65 (29.8%). Most of the complications occurred in the normal body mass index category and the prevalence of complications decreased as the body mass index increased. The recurrence was found in three (1.4%) inguinal hernia repairs.

Conclusions: The majority of inguinal hernia repair patients were non-obese, and complications were less in obese patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Inguinal hernia (IH) is a common surgical problem. It represents 75% of abdominal wall hernias, with a lifetime risk of 27% in men and 3% in women.¹ The 20-year cumulative incidence was 17.2% in Body mass index (BMI) of <25 kg/m² and 12.3% in both BMI of 25–30 kg/m², and >30 kg/m².²

Increasing age, male gender, smoking, family history, connective tissue disorders, and increased intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) are predisposing factors to develop IH.³ Due to increased IAP associated with obesity, it is considered a risk factor for IH.⁴ However, recent epidemiologic studies have suggested the opposite.²⁴ Other factors contributing to the development of IH include obesity.⁵ Favoring the theory that obesity is a risk factor for IH is the fact that the prevalence of obesity is increasing worldwide.⁶

The aim of this study is to find out the prevalence of obesity in inguinal hernia repair patients in a tertiary care center.

METHODS

This is a descriptive cross-sectional observational study performed on 219 hospitalized inguinal hernia patients at the Department of general surgery, Bir hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal, from May 2018 to December 2019. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of NAMS.
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All Patients ≥15 years, clinically diagnosed cases of inguinal hernia, undergoing either elective or emergency surgery irrespective of the mode of anesthesia were included in the study.

Patients with inguinoscrotal swellings other than an inguinal hernia, who did not give consent, and patients with familial collagen vascular diseases were excluded from the study.

Total sample size was calculated by using
\[ n = \frac{z^2 \times p \times q}{e^2} \]

Where,
\[ n = \text{sample size} \]
\[ z = \text{confidence interval (1.96 for Confidence Interval of 95\%)} \]
\[ p = \text{prevalence (17.2\% i.e. 0.172)} \]
\[ q = 1-p \]
\[ e = \text{margin of error (5\% i.e. 0.05)} \]

After calculation, \( n = 218.8 \approx 219 \) cases. Hence, taking the largest incidence, the required (largest) sample size for the study is 219 cases.

The patients were grouped into different BMI groups based on the WHO Asia-Pacific obesity classification as:

a) Underweight (body mass index, BMI: <18.5 kg/m\(^2\)),
b) Normal (BMI \( \geq 18.5 \) to \( <22.9 \) kg/m\(^2\)),
c) Overweight (BMI \( \geq 23 \) to \( <24.9 \) kg/m\(^2\)),
d) Obese (BMI \( \geq 25 \) to \( <29.9 \) kg/m\(^2\)), and
e) Severely obese (BMI \( \geq 30 \) kg/m\(^2\)) by BMI.\(^7,8\)

According to the BMI, patients higher than \( 23 \) kg/m\(^2\) were defined as overweight and patients between 18.5 kg/m\(^2\) and 22.9 kg/m\(^2\) were defined as normal-weight patients.

Heavy work was defined as lifting more than 100 pounds (45.3 kg) at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds (23 kg).\(^9\)

Chronic cough was defined as cough more than 3 months durations irrespective of phlegm.\(^10\)

All eligible candidates were subjected to IHR and per operative findings, difficulties, and complications were noted and correlated with the BMI. Participants were followed up for one year. Follow-up in 3 weeks and three months was done by patients visiting themselves in OPD and 12 months follow-up by either themselves visiting in OPD or via telephone inquiries regarding any complications.\(^11\)

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 23 and Microsoft Excel software by descriptive statistics.

RESULTS

Out of 350 patients, 219 were eligible for the study. Among them, 208 (95\%) were male and 11 (5\%) were female. The mean age was 51.69±8.52 years, weight 56.68±9.39 Kg, height 160.06±8.72 cm and BMI 22.10±3.07 kg/m\(^2\). BMI Category 18.5–22.9 kg/m\(^2\) has maximum number 141 (64.38\%). One hundred and thirty four (61\%) male and 7 (3.2\%) female patients, and BMI category ≥30 kg/m\(^2\) has minimum number 4 (1.8\%) male and none of the female.

Highest IHRs done in BMI group 18.5-22.9 kg/m\(^2\) 141 (64.2\%) and lowest in ≥30 kg/m\(^2\) 4 (1.8\%). The prevalence of IHR decreased as the BMI category increased and vice versa (Table 1).

| Table 1. Distribution of BMI among IHR patients. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| BMI Category (kg/m\(^2\)) | Male n (%) | Female n (%) | Total n (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| 18.5-22.9                 | 134 (61.18) | 7 (3.19)     | 141 (64.38) |
| 23-24.9                   | 41 (18.72)  | 3 (1.36)     | 44 (20.09)  |
| 25-29.9                   | 29 (13.24)  | 1 (0.45)     | 30 (13.69)  |
| ≥30                       | 4 (1.82)    | 0 (0)        | 4 (1.82)    |
| Total                     | 207 (94.5)  | 11 (5.02)    | 219 (100)   |

Most of IHR patients were farmers 159 (72.5\%) followed by laborers 27 (12.32\%) and businessman 14 (6.39\%) (Figure 1).

Common risk factors responsible for the IHs were: smoking 143 (65.29\%), chronic cough 65 (29.68\%), heavy work 112 (51.14\%), COPD 24 (10.95\%), BEP/BOO 25 (11.41\%) (Figure 2).
The distribution of primary and recurrent IHR in different BMI groups. Primary IH was more common in the low BMI category, while recurrent IHR increased as the BMI increased (Table 2).

### Table 2. Distribution of BMI for Primary Vs. Recurrent Hernia Repairs.

| BMI category (kg/m²) | Primary n (%) | Recurrent | Total |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|
| 18.5-22.9            | 135 (95.7)    | 6 (4.25)  | 141   |
| 23-24.9              | 42 (95.5)     | 2 (4.5)   | 44    |
| 25-29.9              | 27 (90.0)     | 3 (10.0)  | 30    |
| =>30                 | 4 (100.0)     | 0 (0)     | 4     |
|                      | 208 (94.9)    | 11 (5.02) | 219   |

Most of the complications occurred in the normal and overweight BMI category and the prevalence of complications decreased as the BMI category increased except the Surgical Site Infection (SSI) (Figure 3). Recurrence was seen in 3 (1.4%) cases (one in open mesh repair, one in darning repair, and one in TAPP repair).

### Discussion

Most of the patients were farmers 159 (72.6%), showing the influence of the work. It increases the IAP and protrusion of the abdominal contents from the defects and the abdominal wall’s weakest points. Smoking, heavy work and chronic cough were other common causes of IHs. A similar study done by Balamaddiah G et al. and Carbonell et al. showed the most common cause for the presence of hernia was lifting heavy objects. 15,16

Most of IHRs 208 (94.9%) were primary and 11 (5.02%) were recurrent. The recurrence was found in three cases (1.4%), which is much lower as compared to the study done by Sorensen et al. and Cheong et al., the recurrence rate was 12.7% (10.0–15.8) and 3.8% patients, respectively. 17,18 Several factors that influence the recurrence were contaminated wounds, female gender and complexity of hernia. 19,20

Most of the complications occurred in the normal and overweight BMI category and the frequency of complications decreased as the BMI category increased. However, when compared within the groups with increasing BMI, SSI prevalence increased. It is similar to a study done by Cheong et al. 18

The major limitations of the study were short follow-
up periods, small sample size, heterogeneous group of patients, no randomizations in the sampling and need for population-based study.

CONCLUSIONS

The majority of IHR patients were non-obese (in other words, obesity is less prevalent in inguinal hernia patients). The increase in BMI leads to an increased intra-abdominal cause that may not cause the development of IH as we are thinking for years. Complications were common in non-obese IHRs patients except for the SSI. Nonetheless, further studies examining measures of obesity are required.
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