Recognition and sensing of anions through synergistic effects using simple benzimidazolium-urea receptors
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Benzimidazolium-based receptors 1–3 were designed and synthesized. On the basis of fluorescence, UV-Vis, and 1H NMR spectroscopic studies, and X-ray single crystal structure analysis, it was confirmed that the receptor 1 displays strong (C–H)+···X, N–H···X hydrogen bonds and charge-charge interactions with anions. More importantly, these investigations demonstrated that the charged (C–H)+ benzimidazolium and urea moieties play a synergistic role in anion recognition.
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Design and synthesis of fluorescent receptors for selective sensing and binding of anionic species are of great interest in host-guest chemistry [1–7], largely because anions are ubiquitous in both chemical and biochemical processes. In particular, phosphate anions and their derivatives are important in signal transduction and energy storage in biological systems [8,9]. Fluoride anions play an important role in dental care and the treatment of osteoporosis [10–12]. Carboxylates are critical components in numerous metabolic processes such as the citric acid and glyoxylate cycles [13–15]. They also play an important role in the biosynthesis of intermediates [16].

Various receptors that can recognize anions have been demonstrated. In general, most of these receptors use amide [17–19], pyrrole [20–22], urea/thiourea [23–27], or hydroxyl [28–30] groups, as hydrogen bonding synthons. Coordination of electron-deficient Lewis acid species by orbital overlap has also been used in the binding of anions [31–33]. In recent years, receptors with positively charged moieties such as ammonium [34–37], guanidinium [38,39], pyridinium [40–44], and amidazolium/benzimidazolium [45–54] have also received considerable attention. Imidazolium/benzimidazolium subunits bind anions through both charge-charge interactions and unconventional ionic (C–H)+···X (X = O, N, F−, Cl−, Br−, I−) hydrogen bonds [55,56]. Benzimidazolium subunits are more electron-deficient than imidazolium groups.

The hydrogen bonding of imidazolium ions along with other hydrogen bonding motifs or Lewis acids is a strategy used to design receptors. Ghosh et al. [57,58] reported the binding properties of benzimidazolium-based ortho-phenylenediamine derivatives towards various anions, and found that the complexes are stabilized by both conventional (N–H···O) and unconventional hydrogen bonds [C–H···O, (C–H)+···X], as well as charge-charge interactions. Xu et al. [59] developed a series of asymmetric bidentate receptors containing boronic acid and an imidazolium group as fluorescent receptors for fluoride ions. They found that ortho-directed boron and imidazolium exhibit enhanced fluoride binding. To the best of our knowledge, receptors containing both benzimidazolium and urea binding units have seldom been reported, and the interplay of these two functional groups during anion recognition has not been investigated in detail. In this regard, we designed and synthesized...
three new fluorescent receptors 1–3 (Scheme 1). Receptor 1 contains both (C–H)+ benzimidazolium and urea groups as binding sites. For comparison, receptor 2 only possesses a (C–H)+ benzimidazolium group and receptor 3 only has a urea group as binding site, respectively. The binding abilities of receptors 1–3 with anions were evaluated by X-ray single crystal structure analysis, 1H NMR, fluorescence and UV-Vis spectroscopic methods. Receptor 1 shows better recognition ability towards various anions because it has multiple binding sites so it can display strong (C–H)+···X, and N–H···X hydrogen bonds as well as charge-charge interactions.

1 Experimental

1.1 Materials and instruments

All commercially available reagents were used without further purification. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d6 using Me4Si as an internal standard on a Bruker Avance DRX 500 FT NMR spectrometer operating at 500 MHz for 1H NMR spectra and 125 MHz for 13C NMR spectra. Mass spectra were acquired using an Agilent ion trap spectrometer. UV-Vis spectra were measured on a Varian Cary-100 UV spectrophotometer. UV-Vis spectroscopic methods. Receptor interactions.

1.2 Synthesis and characterization

1-Methyl-3-(2-ureidoethyl)-1H-benzimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (1). A solution of N-methylbenzimidazole (0.66 g, 5 mmol) and 2-chloroethyurea (0.74 g, 6 mmol) in ethanol (10 mL) was heated under reflux for 72 h under N2. After the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, the white precipitate was collected and dissolved in H2O (25 mL). Anion exchange was carried out by reaction with AgBF4. The desired product 1 was yielded as a white powder (0.68 g, 45%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): 3.46–3.49 (2H, m), 4.09 (3H, s), 4.51 (2H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 5.59 (2H, s), 6.24 (1H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 7.68–7.71 (2H, m), 8.00–8.06 (2H, m), 9.71 (1H, s); 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): 33.16, 38.65, 47.27, 113.45, 113.49, 125.38, 125.48, 131.29, 131.78, 142.95, 158.67; ESI-MS ml/z calcd. for C11H15N4O+ 219.1, found 219.0.

1-Butyl-1-methyl-1H-benzimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (2). A solution of N-methylbenzimidazolide (0.66 g, 5 mmol) and 1-bromobutane (0.82 g, 6 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was heated under reflux for 11 h under N2. After the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, the white precipitate was collected and crystallized from acetone, then dissolved in H2O (25 mL) and anion exchange was carried out by reaction with AgBF4. The desired product 2 was yielded as a white powder (1.02 g, 79%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): 1.72 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.11–2.18 (2H, m), 2.65–2.71 (2H, m), 4.88 (3H, s), 5.29 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 8.47–8.52 (2H, m), 8.81–8.89 (2H, m), 10.54 (1H, s); 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): 13.32, 19.00, 30.58, 33.15, 46.25, 113.45, 113.53, 125.40, 125.41, 130.94, 131.81, 142.69; ESI-MS ml/z calcd. for C12H16N4O+ 189.1, found 189.1.

1-(2-(1H-Benzol[d]imidazol-1-yl)ethanamine hydrochloride (3). Potassium cyanate (0.55 g, 6.7 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-(1H-benzol[d]imidazol-1-yl)ethanamine hydrochloride (1.19 g, 6 mmol) in water (8 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 5 h under N2. The solid was separated by filtration and crystallized from ethanol, yielding the desired product as a light yellow powder (0.71 g, 58%). 1H NMR (500 Hz, DMSO-d6): 3.46 (2H, t, J = 5.0 Hz), 4.51 (2H, t, J = 5.0 Hz), 5.95 (2H, s), 6.83 (1H, br), 7.56–7.61 (2H, m), 7.84 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 8.00 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 9.41 (1H, s); 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6): 33.05, 42.27, 113.33, 115.10, 125.85, 125.15, 131.02, 131.34, 141.98, 171.85. ESI-MS ml/z calcd. for C10H13N4O+ 205.1, found 205.0.

1.3 General procedure for fluorescence titration

Stock solutions of the receptors were prepared in HPLC grade acetonitrile and 2 mL of each receptor solution was added to a cuvette. The solution was irradiated at the selected excitation wavelength. Upon addition of a guest compound (anion) dissolved in acetonitrile, first at intervals of 0.1 equiv. from 0 to 2.0 equiv., then at intervals of 1 equiv. from 3 to 50 equiv., the change in fluorescence emission of the receptor was observed. The corresponding emission values during titration were recorded and used for to determine binding constants. The change in emission in the presence of different equivalents of guest anions was used to produce Stern-Volmer plots.

1.4 General procedure for UV-Vis titration

Stock solutions of the receptors were prepared in DMSO
and 2 mL of each receptor solution was added to a cuvette. Anionic guests dissolved in DMSO were added to the receptor solution (at intervals of 0.5 equiv. from 0 to 10 equiv., then at intervals of 2 equiv. from 10 to 50 equiv.), and the corresponding absorbance during titration was measured.

1.5 X-ray structure determination

X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker-AXS APEX-II CCD area detector diffractometer with monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71069 Å). The SHELX programs were used for structure solution and refinement [60].

Crystallographic data for 1+·Cl−·2H2O. The data was obtained from a crystal that was a colorless block and had approximate dimensions of 0.15 mm × 0.18 mm × 0.36 mm. Triclinic, space group P1: a = 7.6455 (3) Å, b = 10.0400 (5) Å, c = 10.8011 (5) Å, α = 114.9470 (10)°, β = 95.1300 (10)°, γ = 101.6240 (10)°, V = 721.99 (6) Å³, Z = 2, GOOF = 1.073, R1 = 0.0324, wR2 = 0.0876. Crystallographic data for the structure (1+·Cl−·2H2O) have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication No. CCDC-819028. These data can be obtained free of the charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Design and synthesis of receptors 1–3

Receptors 1–3 containing different binding subunits were designed and synthesized. Receptors 1 and 2 were synthesized by the reaction of 2-chloroethylurea or 1-bromobutane with N-methylbenzimidazole followed by anion exchange with AgBF4 in good yield. Receptor 3 was synthesized by reaction of 2-(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-1-yl)ethanamine with potassium cyanate. All of these compounds were characterized by 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry. The single crystal X-ray structure of 1 provided unambiguous structural confirmation.

2.2 Solid state crystal structure of 1+·Cl−·2H2O

Crystals of the chloride salt of 1 suitable for single crystal X-ray structure determination were obtained upon slow concentration of the mother liquor at room temperature. The crystal system of 1+·Cl−·2H2O is triclinic and the unit cell consists of a benzimidazolium cation, a chloride anion and two water molecules. The molecular structure of 1+·Cl−·2H2O is shown in Figure 1.

The distances between the chloride and (C–H)+ benzimidazolium protons is 3.591 Å (C8–H8A···Cl1 = 4.221 Å), which is larger than the sum of the van der Waals radius of a H atom and the ionic radius of a chloride anion (3.14 Å). The angle C8–H8A···Cl1 is 126.04°, suggesting the ionic (C–H)+···Cl− hydrogen bond is weaker than that observed other receptors [61,62]. Moreover, the crystal structure reveals that the chloride anion forms strong hydrogen bonds with two urea protons (H3B, and H4C), as well as forming a hydrogen bond with a proton of the aromatic benzene ring (H4A). The N3–H3B···Cl1, N3–H4C···Cl1, C4–H4A···Cl1 distances are 3.365, 3.296, and 3.666 Å, respectively, and bond angles N3–H3B···Cl1, N4–H4C···Cl1, and C4–H4A···Cl1 are 151.27°, 157.22°, and 146.79°, respectively. There is also an intermolecular π–π stacking interaction (distance = 3.577 Å) between imidazolium and benzene rings in the crystal structure.

2.3 Fluorescence study

The sensitivity and selectivity of receptors 1–3 towards various anions (including fluoride, chloride, bromide, iodide, acetate, hydrogen sulfate, and dihydrogen phosphate ions as their tetrabutylammonium salts) were evaluated by observing changes in the fluorescence emission spectra of 1–3 in acetonitrile. In the absence of anions, 1 (concentration of 6.0×10−6 mol/L) shows a characteristic emission band at 375 nm when excited at 277 nm. Upon addition of increasing amounts of anions, the intensity of the emission band at 375 nm gradually decreased without producing any other spectral change (i.e., no spectral shift or formation of a new emission band). This is ascribed to the quenching effect of a photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process from the urea moiety to the charged benzimidazolium ring [13]. Quenching of emission varied between anions, as revealed in the Stern-Volmer plot shown in Figure 2. The nonlinear nature of the curves for 1 with dihydrogen phosphate and fluoride suggests interplay of both static and dynamic quenching [63]. Dihydrogen phosphate, fluoride and acetate anions are more basic than the other anions and hence induce stronger

Figure 1 The crystal structure of 1+·Cl−·2H2O (50% probability thermal ellipsoids) showing N–H···Cl, C–H···Cl, (C–H)+···Cl− hydrogen bonding and intermolecular π–π stacking interactions. H2O molecules are omitted for clarity.
quenching.

As a representative example, the corresponding change in the emission spectra of 1 upon gradual addition of fluoride is displayed in Figure 3.

The fluorescence Job plots of receptor 1 (Figure 4) shows that the concentration of the host-guest complex [H-G] approaches a maximum when the molar fraction of guest is 0.5, which indicates 1:1 stoichiometry of the complex with the receptor.

The excited state properties of 2 were investigated in the presence of the same anions. In this case, receptor 2 (concentration = 6.0×10⁻⁶ mol/L) in acetonitrile shows a broad emission band at 368 nm when excited at 278 nm. On gradual addition of anions to the solution containing receptor 2, the fluorescence intensity is decreased to a smaller degree than that observed for 1. This indicates that the PET process is more active in 1 during complexation than in 2. The Stern-Volmer plot of 2 in Figure 5 shows the quenching behavior of different anions. Greater quenching is observed for more basic anions such as dihydrogen phosphate and fluoride. The change in the emission of 2 upon addition of tetrabutylammonium fluoride is represented in Figure 6 as a representative example. The stoichiometry of the complexes was confirmed by fluorescence Job plots, which show that all anions formed 1:1 complexes with receptor 2 (Figure 7).

In comparison, receptor 3 (concentration = 6.0×10⁻⁶ mol/L) shows a sharp emission band at 305 nm when excited at 262 nm in acetonitrile. On addition of increasing amounts of the same anions (up to 50 equiv.), the fluorescence intensity is increased slightly by fluoride, acetate and dihydrogen...
phosphate, and decreased slightly by chloride, bromide, iodide and hydrogen sulfate. The slight changes in intensity were insufficient to calculate the association constant reliably.

The fluorescence emission spectra show that receptor 1 possesses better recognition ability towards dihydrogen phosphate and fluoride than receptors 2 and 3. This may be because the different binding sites, and the interplay of the (C–H)+ benzimidazolium and urea groups in receptor 1 mutually reinforce anion recognition.

2.4 UV-Vis study

To further investigate the action of two different binding sites in the anion recognition process, the recognition properties of receptors 1–3 with various anions (fluoride, chloride, bromide, iodide, acetate, hydrogen sulfate, and dihydrogen phosphate as their tetrabutylammonium salts) were monitored by UV-Vis titration in DMSO.

In the absence of anions, receptors 1–3 (concentration = 6.0×10^{-5} mol/L) show three characteristic absorption bands at 262, 270 and 277 nm. When adding chloride, bromide, iodide, acetate, hydrogen sulfate, or dihydrogen phosphate respectively to a solution of 1, 2, or 3 in DMSO, the UV-Vis absorption spectra of 1, 2, or 3 do not change, which demonstrates that recognition interactions between the receptors and these anions do not occur. However, when fluoride was added to a solution of 1, 2, or 3 in DMSO, the UV-Vis absorption spectra changed significantly, as shown in Figure 8.

For receptor 1 (Figure 8(a)), upon addition of 0→4 equiv. of fluoride, a new broad band at 311 nm appears and gradually increases in intensity. Meanwhile, the intensity of the absorption peaks at 262, 270 and 277 nm decrease slightly, and an isosbestic point is observed at 283 nm, which indicates that there is a balance in the solution and a stable complex has been formed between receptor 1 and fluoride. Upon addition of 4→50 equiv. fluoride, the broad band at 311 nm further increases in intensity, and the absorption peaks at 270 and 277 nm decreases significantly. The band at 262 nm also decreases, but with a slight blue shift to 258 nm. This may attribute to the deprotonation of receptor 1 at higher concentrations of fluoride [64–66]. 1H NMR spectroscopic studies showed similar results (Section 2.5).

Upon addition of increasing amounts of fluoride to a solution of 2 in DMSO (Figure 8(b)), a new broad band appears at 294 nm region that gradually increases in intensity.
Meanwhile, the intensity of the absorption peaks at 262, 270 and 277 nm decrease gradually, and an isosbestic point at approximately 280 nm is observed, which indicates that receptor 2 and fluoride form a stable complex.

The changes in the spectra of receptor 3 (Figure 8(c)) are similar. With an increase in fluoride concentration, a new broad band appears at 294 nm region that gradually increases in intensity. Meanwhile, the intensity of the absorption peaks at 262 and 270 nm decrease gradually, while the band at 277 nm decreases slightly. An isosbestic point at approximately 280 nm is observed, which indicates that receptor 3 and fluoride form a stable complex.

Figure 9 shows the dependence of the ratio of the absorbance at 294 and 270 nm (A_{294 nm}/A_{270 nm}) on the concentration of fluoride. Receptor 1 shows better recognition ability of fluoride than receptors 2 and 3. We propose that the charged (C–H)$^+$ benzimidazolium moiety and urea group have a synergistic effect in anion recognition.

2.5 $^1$H NMR spectroscopic study

$^1$H NMR spectroscopy has been widely used to investigate receptor-substrate interactions and can provide details of the interaction between receptors and anions [67]. As shown in Figure 10, upon addition of different anions (H$_3$PO$_4$, F$^-$, Cl$^-$, Br$^-$ and I$^-$ as their tetrabutylammonium salts) to a solution of receptor 1 in DMSO-d$_6$ (with a composition of [I]/[G] = 1:1), downfield shifts of $\Delta\delta \approx 0.11, 0.10, 0.03, 0.03$, and 0.00, respectively, were observed for the (C–H)$^+$ benzimidazolium proton (assigned as Ha). Upon addition of H$_3$PO$_4$, and F$^-$, the signals of the urea protons (assigned as Hb and Hc) in 1 also moved downfield $\Delta\delta \approx 0.12, 0.04$ and 0.10, 0.03, respectively. No changes were observed for the other anions.

To further investigate the nature of the host-guest interactions, $^1$H NMR titration experiments were conducted in DMSO-d$_6$. Figure 11 shows partial $^1$H NMR spectra of receptor 1, highlighting the change of Ha, Hb and Hc upon addition of fluoride. It is obvious that upon addition of increasing amounts of fluoride, the signal of the terminal urea proton Hc only shows a minor downfield shift. The Ha signal shifts downfield gradually and the signal broadens, which implies interaction of the fluoride anion with Ha via favorable ionic (C–H)$^+$···F$^-$ hydrogen bonding [68,69]. The Hb signal from the internal urea proton shifts downfield at low ratios of F$^-$ to 1 , whereas at higher ratios of F$^-$ to 1 the signal broadens and decreases in intensity. At the same time, a new signal at 16.2 ppm appears, which is ascribed to the fluoride anion and urea protons forming N–H···F hydrogen bonds at low concentrations of F$^-$, while at higher concentrations of F$^-$, deprotonation of Hb occurs.

Likewise, a partial $^1$H NMR spectra of receptor 2 highlighting changes of the (C–H)$^+$ benzimidazolium proton upon addition of fluoride are shown in Figure 12. It was observed that the signal from Ha shift downfield like that of Ha of receptor 1, which implies a complex between receptor 2 and F$^-$ has formed through ionic (C–H)$^+$···F$^-$ hydrogen bonding.

Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of receptor 3 revealing changes upon addition of fluoride are presented in Figure 13. At low ratios of F$^-$ to 3, the signal for the internal urea proton Ha shifts upfield, the terminal urea proton Hc only shows a minor upfield shift, and the majority of signals on the benzimidazole rings shift upfield. At higher ratios of F$^-$ to 3, the signals of all protons remained unchanged, and a new signal at 12.8 appears because of the [HF$_2$]$^-$ complex. These observations indicate deprotonation, which increases the shielding effect and caused the general upfield shift of the protons [72,73].

**Figure 10** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of 1 (concentration = 6.0x10$^{-3}$ mol/L) in DMSO-d$_6$ with different anions. (a) Receptor 1 only; (b) 1:1 complex with dihydrogen phosphate; (c) 1:1 complex with fluoride; (d) 1:1 complex with chloride; (e) 1:1 complex with bromide; (f) 1:1 complex with iodide.

**Figure 9** $A_{294\text{~nm}}/A_{270\text{~nm}}$ as a function of fluoride concentration for receptors 1–3.
From these results, the possible hydrogen bonding modes of receptors 1 with fluoride at low concentrations of F$^-$ are shown in Figure 14. The (C–H)$^+$ benzimidazolium moiety and urea group of receptor 1 bind to fluoride as hydrogen donors to form hydrogen bonds N–H···F$^-$ and (C–H)$^+$···F$^-$, respectively.

### 2.6 Binding constant determination

The change of fluorescence intensity as a function of guest concentration allows binding constants to be calculated. For a complex with 1:1 stoichiometry, the following relation applies [74]:

$$I = I_0 + \left(\frac{I_{\text{lim}} - I_0}{2} \left[ C_H + C_G + \frac{1}{K} \right] - \frac{C_H + C_G + 1}{K} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $I$ is the fluorescence intensity, $I_0$ is the intensity of pure host, $C_H$ and $C_G$ are the corresponding concentrations of host and anionic guest, respectively, and $K$ is the binding constant. $K$ and correlation coefficient $R^2$ obtained by a nonlinear least-square analysis of $I$ versus $C_H$ and $C_G$ are presented in Table 1. The result of non-linear curve fitting confirms that a 1:1 stoichiometric complex has been formed between host and guest [75].

From Table 1, we can see that: (1) the anion affinity constants of receptor 1 are in the order: H$_2$PO$_4^-$ > F$^-$ > AcO$^-$ > Cl$^-$ > Br$^-$ > HSO$_4^-$ ≈ I$^-$, while that of receptor 2 are in the order: F$^-$ > H$_2$PO$_4^-$ > AcO$^-$ > Cl$^-$ ≈ Br$^-$ ≈ HSO$_4^-$ ≈ I$^-$. (2) Receptor 1 shows higher binding constant values for anions

![Figure 11](image1.png) Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of 1 (concentration = 6.0x10$^{-3}$ mol/L) in DMSO-$d_6$ in the absence and presence of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 4.00 and 8.00 equiv. of fluoride.

![Figure 12](image2.png) Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of 2 (concentration = 6.0x10$^{-3}$ mol/L) in DMSO-$d_6$ in the absence and presence of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 4.00 and 8.00 equiv. of fluoride.

![Figure 13](image3.png) Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of 3 (concentration = 6.0x10$^{-3}$ mol/L) in DMSO-$d_6$ in the absence and presence of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 4.00 and 8.00 equiv. of fluoride.

![Figure 14](image4.png) Possible hydrogen bonding modes of complex of 1 with F$^-$ (at low concentrations of F$^-$).
than 2. This indicates that the cooperative action of benzimidazolium and urea groups in binding anions by multiple interactions is an important factor for efficient recognition. The incorporation of two functional groups for anion binding effectively enhances selectivity in anion recognition and the stability of the resultant complex.

3 Conclusions

In summary, a series of benzimidazolium-based receptors 1–3 were prepared and characterized. Directed conventional hydrogen bonds (N–H···X), unconventional hydrogen bonds [(C–H)+···X], and charge–charge interactions allowed for anion binding more effectively than 2 and 3. Hence, the charged (C–H)+ benzimidazolium moiety and urea group have a synergistic effect in anion recognition.

Table 1 Binding constants of receptors 1 and 2 with different anions obtained by analysis of fluorescence intensity

| Guests       | Receptor 1 | Receptor 2 |
|--------------|------------|------------|
|              | $K_a$ (L/mol) | $R^2$     | $K_a$ (L/mol) | $R^2$     |
| F⁻           | $(1.75 ± 0.07) \times 10^3$ | 0.9992 | $(3.33 ± 0.21) \times 10^2$ | 0.9980 |
| Cl⁻           | $(2.82 ± 0.18) \times 10^3$ | 0.9996 | $(1.62 ± 0.17) \times 10^2$ | 0.9957 |
| Br⁻           | $(2.56 ± 0.17) \times 10^3$ | 0.9970 | $(9.96 ± 0.13) \times 10^2$ | 0.9963 |
| I⁻             | $(1.23 ± 0.13) \times 10^3$ | 0.9968 | $(1.11 ± 0.15) \times 10^3$ | 0.9956 |
| HSO₄⁻          | $(1.57 ± 0.16) \times 10^3$ | 0.9964 | $(1.16 ± 0.26) \times 10^3$ | 0.9839 |
| AcO⁻          | $(1.44 ± 0.02) \times 10^3$ | 0.9991 | $(5.12 ± 0.24) \times 10^3$ | 0.9968 |
| H₂PO₄⁻        | $(3.06 ± 0.33) \times 10^3$ | 0.9289 | $(7.08 ± 0.52) \times 10^3$ | 0.9894 |
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