Microbial population dynamics during long-term sludge adaptation of thermophilic and mesophilic sequencing batch digesters treating sewage fine sieved fraction at varying organic loading rates
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Abstract

Background: In this research, the feasibility of, and population dynamics in, one-step anaerobic sequencing batch reactor systems treating the fine sieved fraction (FSF) from raw municipal wastewater was studied under thermophilic (55 °C) and mesophilic (35 °C) conditions. FSF was sequestered from raw municipal wastewater, in the Netherlands, using a rotating belt filter (mesh size 350 micron). FSF is a heterogeneous substrate that mainly consists of fibres originating from toilet paper and thus contains a high cellulosic fraction (60–80 % of total solids content), regarded as an energy-rich material.

Results: Results of the 656-day fed-batch operation clearly showed that thermophilic digestion was more stable, applying high organic loading rates (OLR) up to 22 kg COD/(m³ day). In contrast, the mesophilic digester already failed applying an OLR of 5.5 kg COD/(m³ day), indicated by a drop in pH and increase in volatile fatty acids (VFAs). The observed viscosity values of the mesophilic sludge were more than tenfold higher than the thermophilic sludge. 454-pyrosequencing of eight mesophilic and eight thermophilic biomass samples revealed that Bacteroides and aceticlastic methanogen Methanosaeta were the dominant genera in the mesophilic digester, whereas OP9 lineages, Clostridium and the hydrogenotrophic methanogen Methanothermobacter dominated the thermophilic one.

Conclusions: Our study suggests that applying thermophilic conditions for FSF digestion would result in a higher biogas production rate and/or a smaller required reactor volume, comparing to mesophilic conditions.
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Background

Cellulose makes up about 30–50 % of the suspended solids in the sewage of western countries [1] and it usually enters aerobic sewage treatment plants, adding significant costs due to difficulties in aerobic degradation [2]. At sewage treatment plant (STP) Blaricum (Netherlands), the sewage flow is directed through a fine sieve with a mesh size of 350 µm. This sieve is implemented as a compact alternative to primary clarification to separate suspended solids from sewage prior to biological nutrient removal. The fine sieved fraction (FSF) is a heterogeneous substrate with high bio-energy potential. It mainly consists of partly disrupted toilet paper and cellulose accounts for 79 % of the total mass and 84 % of the organic mass according to a thermographic analysis [2]. Because FSF has a high dry solids concentration
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(20–30 %), a straightforward method to stabilise it is by dry anaerobic digestion (AD) [3].

Efficient AD of solids can proceed either under mesophilic (30–40 °C) or thermophilic (50–60 °C) conditions [4–6]. Many previous studies indicate that, compared to mesophilic AD processes, thermophilic AD generally accepts a higher organic loading rate, more efficient degradation of organic matters [7, 8], higher biogas production efficiency [9–12] and better sludge dewaterability [13]. It is hypothesised that microbial-ecology-driven factors play vital roles differentiating the performance in the abovementioned aspects between thermophilic and mesophilic digesters. However, it still remains unknown about how and to which extent temperature can shape microbial communities during long-term dry anaerobic digestion.

In this study, two parallel anaerobic digesters were operated for over 2 years under 55 and 35 °C. The two digesters were fed with the FSF from STP Blaricum as a sole substrate in batch mode. The overall aim was to seek for insights into how the thermophilic and mesophilic digesters were adapted and respond to an increasing organic loading rates (OLRs) of FSF. The digesters performance such as biogas production, volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and sludge viscosity were followed to indicate the possible functional dynamics of the communities along with their structural variation.

**Results and discussion**

**Composition and surface architecture of FSF**

Composition and surface morphology of solid wastes are often considered determining factors to hydrolysing processes. Therefore, it is important to characterise the FSF in detail. First of all, environmental scan electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray (ESEM-EDX) analysis revealed carbon (60–64 %) and oxygen (20–27 %) as major elements in raw FSF materials [3], while a previous thermographic test showed that cellulose accounted for 79 % of the total mass and 84 % of the organic dry mass of raw FSF [2]. Secondly, the FSF that were collected at the different times showed a great variation in composition during the different seasons of the year due to the inconsistency of composition in the raw domestic wastewater [3]. In addition, all the FSF samples showed a high heterogeneity (Fig. 1a). Based on the above and our experimental BMP data ([3], submitted manuscript), we estimate a varying cellulose content between 60 and 80 %, depending on mentioned seasonal and influent fluctuations.

Smooth fibres can be seen in the raw FSF (Fig. 1b) and a partially hydrolysed fibre after 36 h in the mesophilic digester can be also seen (Fig. 1c), in which a lot of cracks were present. A series of step-by-step magnified figures were captured to show the hydrolysing process in the mesophilic digester after 24 h, from which gel-like materials and irregular pores can be observed on the surface (Fig. 1d–f). The EDX analysis showed that more than 90 % of the hydrolysed fibre surface was composed of carbon and oxygen (Additional file 1: Table S1). A microbial consortium that was hidden inside the digested FSF matrix was captured in the sample taken from the thermophilic digester after 48 h digestion and very clear cocoid-shape microorganisms can be seen (Fig. 1g–i). The ESEM-EDX results also showed that calcium accounted for almost 2 % in weight on the surface of those cocoid-shape microorganisms (Fig. 1i, Additional file 1: Table S1), which might be derived from the scavenged toilet paper, or from possible inorganic precipitations occurring near the microbial consortia.

**Biogas production and VFA accumulation**

The start-up and adaptation of the mesophilic and thermophilic seed sludge to the FSF has been reported comprehensively in our previous work [3]. A stable process was observed after almost a year of operation. Maximum biogas production rate for the thermophilic mesophilic digesters was 2.5 L/(L reactor day), from day 333 till day 393 at an OLR of 5.5 kg COD/ (m³ day) with FSF chemical oxygen demand (COD) of 350 ± 15 g/kg and total solids (TS) of 25 %, respectively (Fig. 2a). The mesophilic digester became instable from day 393 (Fig. 2a, b), indicated by a rapid decrease in pH and biogas production and increased TS from 4.2 % on day 333 to 6 % on day 396. The lowest registered pH of the digester was 6.3 on day 396 when the FSF digestion process was failing. In order to recover the reactor, feeding was stopped on day 396 and half of the mesophilic sludge in the digester was replaced with excess mesophilic sludge that was collected from the same digester before and stored at room temperature. Mixing both sludges led to a gradual increase in pH and to a drop in VFA concentrations (Fig. 3b). Feeding of the mesophilic digester was restarted on day 407 and the OLR was reduced from 5.5 (SRT of 64 days) to 2.5 kg COD/ (m³ day) (SRT of 128 days) in order to avoid recurrence of the process instability.

In order to investigate the limits of the loading capacity of both digester systems (Fig. 2c; Table 1), the OLR was increased stepwise from 2.5 to 22 kg COD/(m³ day), leading to a decreased SRT from 128 to 16 days under mesophilic conditions. Therefore, the average biodegradation of the FSF slightly decreased from 53 % at OLR of 2.5 kg COD/(m³ day) to 44 % at OLR of 13.5 kg COD/(m³ day). Further increase in OLR to 22 kg COD/(m³ day) led to an immediate process failure of the mesophilic digestion, biodegradation decreasing to 14 % (Table 1), acetate and...
propionate accumulating to 3.0 and 2.6 g/L, respectively, and pH dropping to 5.7. It was notable that in this stage, at the applied SRTs, complete conversion of the FSF was not attained in both digesters, which was more strikingly for the mesophilic reactor.

For the thermophilic digester, the OLRs were continuously increased from 5.5 kg COD/(m³ day) (day 333–550) to 22 kg COD/(m³ day) (day 644–656), decreasing the SRT from 64 to 16 days (Fig. 2c; Table 1). When the OLR was increased to 13.5 kg COD/(m³ day) the biodegradation reduced from 60 to 47 % (Table 1). A further increase to 22 kg COD/(m³ day), further reduced biodegradation to 34 %; at this stage acetate and propionate accumulated to 0.17 and 1.10 g/L, respectively. Nevertheless, the thermophilic digester could still be operated stable and process failure was not experienced. It is notable that, when the feeding to the reactor was paused for several days in the period of applying the highest OLR, the thermophilic reactor produced almost 100 L of CH₄, which relates to the conversion of about 0.82 kg FSF, assuming a COD content of 350 g/kg. The observed methane peak could be ascribed to the further degradation of accumulated FSF in the digester. When the feeding was restarted, the biogas production rate was fully restored.

Figure 3 shows the VFA concentrations in both thermophilic and mesophilic digesters during recovery of the mesophilic sludge (day 402 to day 424). Restarting the batch wise feeding regime of the mesophilic digester at day 407, resulted in an increased acetate concentration at the end of first two cycles. The reduced OLR of
2.5 kg COD/(m³ day), however, was sufficient for stabilising the mesophilic reactor, indicated by decreased acetate concentrations and stable biogas production (Fig. 2b). Within the VFA spectrum produced, acetate and propionate were observed in the highest concentrations under both conditions (Fig. 3). At mesophilic conditions, a gradual pH decrease to 6.3 was observed from day 396 to 402, whereafter the VFA concentrations were measured from day 402 to day 424. Decrease in VFA concentrations (day 402 to day 407) is mainly due to (1) replacing half of content of the mesophilic digester with excess mesophilic sludge collected and stored from the same digester (2) no feeding from day 402 to 407 in order to stabilise the conversion and to recover the sludge (Fig. 2a, b). After 15 days (day 424) the anaerobic digestion was considered stable again.

**Specific methanogenic activity (SMA) of mesophilic and thermophilic biomass**

To investigate the activity of the methanogens after the mesophilic reactor recovered, four SMA tests were conducted at day 407 (Fig. 4a), day 416 (Fig. 4b) and day 462 (Fig. 4c), after which the reactor was considered to be fully stable again. The SMA of the thermophilic sludge was determined at day 407 only (Fig. 4d). The results showed that the activity of the methanogenic organisms was indeed low at reactor failure, while a long period without VFA accumulation resulted
in an increased acetate consumption rate, however, not exceeding 0.1 gCOD-CH₄/(gVS day) at day 462. This value was considerably lower than the conversion rate measured from the thermophilic sludges, which was 0.6 gCOD-CH₄/(gVS day) at day 407, higher than most of previously reported values (Table 2). This activity remained the same at the fixed OLR of 5.5 kgCOD/ (m³ day). The measured high SMA values of the thermophilic sludge are congruent with the observed high reactor stability at increased OLRs, whereas the observed low SMA values of the mesophilic digester agreed with instability and recovery period between day 402 and day 424.

**Rheology of mesophilic and thermophilic sludge**

Rheology of sludge determines to a large extent the mixing in the reactor systems and the contact between bacteria and its substrate. Rheology of sludge is defined by its viscosity which is a function of applied shear rate and shear stress. Sewage sludges are often non-Newtonian fluids, because the shear rate is not linearly proportional to the applied shear stress [14].
### Table 1 Average organic loading rates (OLR) and SRTs at different periods and the corresponding biodegradability for both mesophilic and thermophilic conditions

| Conditions and operational days | OLR, kg COD/(m³·day) | Applied SRT (day) | Required SRT (day) | AnBD (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| **Mesophilic**                 |                       |                   |                    |          |
| 333–393                        | 5.5                   | 60                | 64                 | ND       |
| 393–550                        | 2.5                   | 157               | 128                | 53       |
| 550–608                        | 3.4                   | 58                | 107                | 54       |
| 608–624                        | 6.7                   | 16                | 53                 | 45       |
| 624–644                        | 10.0                  | 20                | 36                 | 45       |
| 644–650                        | 13.5                  | 6                 | 27                 | 44       |
| 650–656                        | 22.0                  | 6                 | 16                 | 14       |
| **Thermophilic**               |                       |                   |                    |          |
| 333–550                        | 5.5                   | 217               | 64                 | 60       |
| 550–608                        | 6.7                   | 58                | 53                 | 57       |
| 608–624                        | 10.0                  | 16                | 36                 | 58       |
| 624–644                        | 13.5                  | 20                | 27                 | 47       |
| 644–656                        | 22.0                  | 12                | 16                 | 34       |

ND not detected

---

**Fig. 4** Specific methanogenic activity (SMA) conducted during recovery of the mesophilic digester at OLR of 2.5 kg COD/(m³·day) (a, b, c) and of the thermophilic digester as comparison (d) at OLR of 5.5 kg COD/(m³·day).
Fresh thermophilic and mesophilic sludge were sampled for a rheology test when thermophilic and mesophilic digesters were operated stably (day 526) at an OLR of 5.5 and 2.5 kg COD/(m³ day), respectively. The rheogram of both mesophilic and thermophilic sludge was plotted at 35 and 55 °C (Fig. 5). The thermophilic sludge showed a viscoplastic fluids type at 35 °C, while it changed to a Bingham fluids type at 55 °C (Fig. 5). The mesophilic sludge showed a pseudoplastic fluids type at both temperatures (Fig. 5). Both viscoplastic and pseudoplastic fluids have shear thinning effect. An Ostwald–de Waele Power law model (Fig. 5) can be used to describe the rheology behaviour of mesophilic sludge and the thermophilic one that was tested at 35 °C [15]. It is notable that the thermophilic sludge behaved like Newtonian fluids at 55 °C, which meant that the shear thinning effect disappeared when the operational temperature increased from 35 to 55 °C. Meanwhile, the viscosity value of the thermophilic sludge at 55 °C was lower than at 35 °C, which was only 1/18 and 1/34 of the values of mesophilic sludge tested at 55 and 35 °C, respectively, based on the consistency behaviour (0.006 and 0.0931 for thermophilic sludge at 55 and 35 °C, 1.7347 and 3.1694 for mesophilic sludge at 55 and 35 °C) from the Ostwald–de Waele Power law model (Fig. 5). The thermophilic sludge was characterised by a lower viscosity compared to the mesophilic one.

**Table 2** Comparison of SMA values of this study with previous studies done on (co-)digestion of lignocellulosic wastes

| Substrate type | Reactor | Temperature (°C) | SMA | OLR[^a^][[^b^]] kg COD/(m³ day) | References |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|-----|-----------------------------|------------|
| Pre-hydrolysed brewers’ spent grain | EGSB | 35 | 0.49 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | 10 | [36] |
| Cellulose powder | Batch digestion assays | 35 | 0.18 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | N.A. | [37] |
| Pig manure and rice straw | Batch digestion assays | 35 | 1.31 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | N.A. | [38] |
| Pig manure and rice straw | Batch digestion assays | 55 | 1.38 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | N.A. | [38] |
| Corn straw | Full-scale CSTR | 37 | 0.2 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | 1.2[^b^] | [39] |
| Agro-industrial wastes | Batch digestion assays | 55 | 0.13 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | N.A. | [40] |
| FSF | SBR Digester | 35 | 0.1 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | 2.5 | This study |
| FSF | SBR Digester | 55 | 0.6 gCOD-CH₄/(gVSS·day) | 5.5 | This study |

[^a^] The same period when the SMA of biomass was tested
[^b^] The unit here is kg TS/(m³ day)

**Fig. 5** Rheogram of the thermophilic (a) and mesophilic (b) sludge

Microbial population dynamics in the mesophilic reactor

454 pyrosequencing was applied in order to investigate the dynamics of bacterial and archaeal populations at both applied conditions. The changes in microbial communities in the mesophilic reactor before process failure and during recovery were followed closely as well. As expected, the microbial taxa analysed based on a total of about 78,000 sequences showed differences in population between the thermophilic and mesophilic digester over time.

*Bacteroides* was the sole dominant genus in the mesophilic digester after acclimation (Fig. 6), with variation in its relative abundance from the highest 90 % (sample day 456) to the lowest 46 % (sample day 608). The second predominant genus in the mesophilic digester is *Anaerolinea*, which accounts for 5–24 % in relative abundance. *Parabacteroides* owned 34 % of abundance in the sample of day 327 and 1–12 % in the other samples from the other periods, suggesting its dominant position in the
bacterial community as well. *Parabacteroides* are obligatory anaerobic short rods that were frequently discovered in anaerobic environments with capability of producing various acids such as lactic acid, propionic acid, formic acid and acetic acid from carbohydrates [16]. For archaeal lineages in the mesophilic digester, the genus of *Methanosaeta* owned the substantial proportion from 81 to 94 % followed by *Methanobacterium* (2–11 %). *Methanosaeta* is a typical aceticlastic methanogen that prevails in many anaerobic biogas systems [17]. *Methanobacterium* belongs to group of hydrogenotrophic methanogens [18].

About half of the biomass in the mesophilic digester was replaced by stored excess sludge on day 402. Although the exact composition of this excess sludge was unknown, it was anticipated that this microbial community was alike the reactor content before the disturbance at day 393, given the fact that excess sludge was stored without feeding, at room temperature and anaerobic conditions. Every 2 days fresh excess sludge was added to the storage and a new storage container was started every 2 months.

The OLRs of the mesophilic digester were firstly increased to 5.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day) during days of 333–393 and then decreased to 2.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day) from day 393 to day 550 due to VFA accumulation and failure in biogas production. Hereafter, the OLR was increased again, stepwise from 2.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day) to a maximum of 22 kg COD/(m$^3$ day). The variation in OLRs directly altered the SRTs of the reactor, which was anticipated to influence the microbial community as well. The abundance of *Bacteroides*, a key genus responsible for hydrolysing and fermenting polysaccharides [19], increased by more than two times when the duration of the batch feeding cycle was shortened from 9 days (day 327, SRT 128 days, OLR 2.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day)) to 2 days (day 375, SRT 64 days, OLR 5.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day)). This sudden increase in *Bacteroides* abundance was concomitant to an observed VFA accumulation from day 394 to 398 (data not shown). The resulting low pH could further favour the growth of *Bacteroides* [20]. Moreover, in the same period, the archaeal community quantity decreased by 60 % from day 327 to 375, while the homo-acetogenic, syntrophic acetate oxidising (SAO) bacteria increased.
more than three times. SAO bacteria are specialised to oxidise acetate into \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \). It was noticed that the thrived growth of the acetate oxidising bacteria could not prevent VFA accumulation, possibly because of an even higher productivity of VFAs from fermenting genera such as *Bacteroides*.

**Microbial population dynamics in the thermophilic reactor**

The thermophilic reactor harboured a substantially different microbial community assembly. The predominant bacterial genus altered from OP9 lineage in the samples taken during days 456–474 (relative abundance about 56–62 %) to *Clostridium* in the samples taken after day 594 (relative abundance about 32–47 %), while OP9 was dominant again (relative abundance of 50 %) in the sample of day 628. Members of OP9 have been occasionally observed in anaerobic digestion reactors. For example, they were found to be able to hydrolyse complex carbohydrates such as cellulose under thermophilic conditions [21, 22]. In line with the hydrolytic community, the methanogenic community of the thermophilic digester was also completely different from the mesophilic one. *Methanoseta* was found to be the absolutely dominant archaeal genus (91 %) until day 273 of the thermophilic digestion, while the hydrogenotrophic methanogen *Methanothermobacter* was only 7 % in relative abundance in the sample of day 273. However, *Methanothermobacter* became the most dominant methanogen afterwards in the thermophilic reactor until the end of operation, having a relative abundance of 60–98 % (Fig. 6).

In the thermophilic reactor, the OLR was stepwise increased from 5.5 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day) to 22 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day). *Bacteroides*, OP9 and *Clostridium* were three dominant bacterial genera with total abundance over 50 % under OLR lower than 5.5 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day) and thus at higher SRTs (samples of day 273 and day 327). By increasing the OLR to 5.5 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day), OP9 was the sole dominant genera with abundance over 50 % (Fig. 6). When the OLR was further increased to 6.7 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day) (day 550–608) the dominancy of OP9 was replaced by *Clostridium*, but OP9 again dominated the bacterial community after OLR was increased to 13.5 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day). *Bacteroides* was dominant once during the start-up period (before day 333) but its abundance decreased and varied in a range between 0.1 and 14 % after the start-up (Fig. 6).

It is interesting that *Methanosarcina*, a group of versatile methanogens that are capable of both acetoclastic methanogenesis and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis, became dominant (13–39 %) during the same period that *Clostridium* replaced OP9 as the most dominant genera based on the samples of day 594 and 608 (Fig. 6). Then the *Methanosarcina*’s abundance decreased to 4 % on day 628 when *Clostridium* was no longer dominant. *Clostridium* are also versatile microorganisms capable of fermenting cellulose and various carbohydrates into acetate, butyrate carbon dioxide and hydrogen [23, 24]. In line with our observations, it has been reported before that *Clostridium* co-exists with *Methanosarcina* in anaerobic digestion under thermophilic conditions [25].

The combined information from 454-pyrosequencing and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) results indicated that a less stable community exists in the mesophilic reactor under the OLRs higher than 5.5 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day). On the opposite, the thermophilic community was more stable in response to an increasing OLR up to 22 kg COD/(m\(^3\) day).

**Quantity and activity of microorganisms**

Pyrosequencing data provide taxa information and their relative abundance, but will not give insight into quantities. In this study, qPCR tests based on bacterial, archaeal and SAO bacterial 16S rRNA genes were employed to give insight in specific quantities of bacteria and archaea in general, and SAO bacteria specifically. This analysis showed that the thermophilic reactor harboured marginally higher level of bacterial, archaeal and SAO bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies than the mesophilic reactor (Fig. 7).

For the mesophilic reactor, bacteria had a higher quantity than the archaea and SAO bacteria (Fig. 7). The
quantity of bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies had small perturbation around $10^{12}$ to $10^{13}$ gene copies per gram sludge, except for a decrease in the sample of day 608 (Fig. 7), during which period the OLR was increased from 3.4 to 6.7 kg COD/(m$^3$ day). The archaeal quantity also fluctuated within the magnitude from $10^{10}$ to $10^{11}$ gene copies per gram sludge. The changing trend of archaeal amount was similar to that of bacteria. The SAO bacterial quantity was considerably lower than the other two and fluctuated between $10^9$ and $10^{10}$ gene copies per gram sludge. The changing trend of archaeal quantity substantially dropped from day 375 to day 456. This is likely because of the pH drop on day 396 and the re-inoculation of stored mesophilic biomass on day 402.

For the thermophilic digester, the quantity distribution was quite similar to the mesophilic reactor, with bacteria having the largest quantity. SAO bacterial amount was stable around $8 \times 10^9$ gene copies per gram sludge, while the bacterial and archaeal quantity substantially dropped from day 474 to 594, which coincided to a sudden shift in the dominant bacteria from OP9 to Clostridium. Seasonal differences, changing the raw FSF characters might have contributed to this change in microbial community. The archaeal quantity varied nearly two magnitudes between the samples taken from day 327 and day 628.

Variation in community structure and microorganisms quantity was observed in both the mesophilic and thermophilic digester; however, the thermophilic digester performed more stably and robust than the mesophilic one. Considering the high percentage of cellulose inside FSF, there likely was more hydrolytic enzymes generated by the thermophilic sludge than by the mesophilic one. The protein analysis by sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie staining showed that the thermophilic sludge contained more protein than the mesophilic sludge ($p < 0.01$, Student test) (Additional file 1: Table S2, Figure S1). The protein concentration of the thermophilic sludge fluctuated within the range of 14–72 μg/mL without an obvious trend, while the protein concentration of the thermophilic sludge almost increased by three times from day 356 (OLR = 5.5 kg COD/(m$^3$ day)) to day 624 (OLR = 10 kg COD/(m$^3$ day)).

The quantity of microorganisms and their released protein together can indicate efficiency of an anaerobic digester, which is normally, from an engineering retrospective, a direct response to operational parameter(s). From an engineering retrospective, the food to mass ratio (F/M ratio) or OLR, highly determines the design and applicability of a process. The higher the applicable OLR, the compacter the reactor and thus the more biogas can be produced per reactor volume. However, overloading a digester could lead to process instability due to accumulated VFA and decreased pH (as on day 396). In our study, both reactors were applied with varying OLRs, which has been comprehensively proven as a driving force altering digesters’ microbiome [26, 27]. Our results indicated that the applicable OLRs to a mesophilic digester was usually lower than that to a thermophilic process, possibly due to a limitation of methanogenic capacity (Fig. 4) and an instable methanogenic community (Fig. 6d) under mesophilic conditions. However, a higher OLR at 22 kg COD/(m$^3$·day) could be applied to the thermophilic digester when hydrolytic bacteria were abundant (Fig. 6a) and large amount of hydrolytic enzymes could be observed (Additional file 1: Table S2, Figure S1).

Microbial diversity

Both α- and β-diversity were analysed based on 454-pyrosequencing raw data. The α-diversity is defined as the diversity of organisms in one sample or environment and the β-diversity is the difference in diversities across samples or environments. We employed phylogenetic distance (PD), the observed number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), Chao1 and Shannon index to fully characterise the α-diversity of each community, all of which are commonly used in recent years [28]. For β-diversity, UniFrac method is considered to be very useful in revealing biologically meaningful patterns [28] and so was applied in this study (Fig. 8).

Our results showed that the bacterial communities, for both thermophilic and mesophilic digesters, owned higher richness and evenness than the archaeal communities (Additional file 1: Figure S2). Both thermophilic and mesophilic bacterial community shared similar level of evenness (Shannon index, Additional file 1: Figure S2a, S2b), while the archaeal community of the mesophilic reactor (Shannon index, Additional file 1: Figure S2d) showed a lower level of evenness than the thermophilic reactor (Shannon index, Additional file 1: Figure S2c). The observed species number of the thermophilic community (both bacterial, Additional file 1: Figure S2a, and archaeal, Additional file 1: Figure S2c) was more stable than the mesophilic one (Additional file 1: Figure S2b, S2d). The β-diversity results proved that there was a stable archaeal community in the mesophilic digester as all blue dots were close to each other (Fig. 8a). Also, the bacterial community in the mesophilic digester was more stable compared to the thermophilic reactor (Fig. 8b). In other words, the dynamics of the thermophilic community was more intense than that of the mesophilic community.

Vanwonterghem et al. [17] suggested that a deterministic process was important to microbial community
dynamics in long-term operated anaerobic digesters, when a selective pressure imposed by the operational conditions existed [17]. In this study, the thermophilic reactor reveals a clear evolution of the bacterial community (Fig. 8a), whereas the archaeal community in the same reactor showed a weaker pattern of evolution. The performance of the thermophilic reactor was primarily disclosed by the structure and functionality of bacterial community. Two important facts played a role: (1) the hydrolytic populations were consistently and strongly adapted during the OLR increase and (2) an efficient syntrophic relationship was eventually established at high OLRs. From this point of view, our study indicated that a deterministic process also guided microbial community dynamics in a thermophilic digester. For the mesophilic reactor, it is difficult to conclude a deterministic or a stochastic process of population dynamics because of the re-inoculation in the middle stage of the operation.

Conclusions
FSF as a new type of concentrated substrate sequestered from raw municipal sewage contains high fraction of cellulosic fibres originating mainly from toilet paper, hence FSF is rich in energy. Our study demonstrates that FSF from a domestic sewage treatment plant that has 23 % dry solids content can be digested either under thermophilic (55 °C) or mesophilic (35 °C) conditions. The long-term adapted microbial communities at 55 and 35 °C were distinctly different in composition and population dynamics. In the thermophilic community, OP9, Clostridium and Methanothermobacter while in the mesophilic community Bacteroides and Methanoseta were the dominant species. Eventually, the thermophilic digester produced biogas stably at an extreme loading rate of 22 kg COD/(m³ day). In addition, the thermophilic sludge had a considerably lower viscosity than the mesophilic sludge. Results clearly show the high rate potentials of thermophilic conditions for the digestion of sewage FSF under extreme loading conditions.

Methods
Digester
Four water-jacketed laboratory mixed digesters with a working volume of 8 L were used in duplicate to conduct the digestion of FSF under both thermophilic and mesophilic conditions for more than 650 days at 55 and 35 °C, respectively. The reactors were continuously mixed by stirring (60-80RPM, Maxon motor Benelux B.V., Switzerland) to create a homogenised matrix. The system was equipped with a pH and temperature probe (CP547D, Endress + Hauser B.V., Switzerland) and an online biogas measuring device (RITTER MilliGascounter MGC-1 PMMA, Germany). The temperature was controlled by circulating water from a programmable water bath (TC16, PMT TAMSON, the Netherlands). The pH, temperature, biogas flow rate were continuously monitored using Labview software.

Substrate
A rotating belt filter (Salsnes Filter, Norway) equipped with a 350-µm pore size fine sieve was operated to treat the screened (mesh size 6 mm) sewage at WWTP Blaricum, the Netherlands (plant size: 30,000 pe, maximum hydraulic capacity 1600 m³/h). The fine sieved fraction (FSF) coming from this sieve was collected once every
4 months and stored at 4 °C prior to use. The FSF contained mainly paper fibres, some sand, hair, leaves and undefined materials. The main physicochemical characteristics of the different used FSFs batches were determined after every sampling event at WWTP Blaricum and prior to use [3]. This heterogenetic appearance of FSF (Additional file 1: Figure S3) was mainly due to seasonal fluctuations (e.g. more leaves in the FSF in autumn), functioning of the fine sieve system, FSF storage time and temperature in the on-site container. The maximum period that the FSF was stored in the container was 2 weeks and the temperature varied from maximum 25 °C in summer to minimum 0 °C in winter. FSF was fed manually and batch wise in a way that first the corresponding mass to be fed was extracted from the reactor where after the reactor was fed with FSF.

**Inoculum**

It would be difficult and inefficient to inoculate both thermophilic and mesophilic digester using the same seed sludge, hence, two origins of seed sludge were chosen in this study. In the first stage (start-up of the experiment), the thermophilic inoculum was obtained from a plug flow dry anaerobic composting (DRANCO, OWS, Brecht, Belgium) digester [29], operated at a solids retention time (SRT) of 15 days and treating mainly vegetable, fruit and yard wastes with a dry matter content of about 35 % and a heterogeneous appearance. The thermophilic inoculum was sieved (4 mm mesh) prior to use. Mesophilic inoculum was taken from a anaerobic digester of a WWTP (Harnaschpolder, Delft, the Netherlands) that treats both primary and secondary sludge with a maximum solid content of 5 % and which was operated at an SRT of 22 days. In the second stage of this study, both adapted thermophilic and mesophilic sludges were taken directly from the FSF-fed laboratory scale anaerobic digesters that were operated at a dry solids content in the range of 4–7 %. Due to reactor instability half of the biomass (about 120 gVS) in the mesophilic digester was replaced on day 402 by excess sludge that was collected from the same reactor. This excess sludge was collected each feeding period and stored for a maximum of 2 months at room temperature and no feeding was applied. The biogas produced in the excess sludge container was released every 2 days.

**Analytical methods**

Total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS) were determined on weight base (g/kg) according to the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [30]. Chemical oxygen demand (COD, 500–10,000 mg/L) was measured spectrophotometrically using photometric cell tests (Merck, Germany). All analyses were done in triplicate.

Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) were quantified by Gas Chromatograph (GC, Agilent Technology 7890A), using a flame ionisation detector (FID) and a capillary column type HP-FFAP Polyethylene Glycol (25 m × 320 µm × 0.5 µm) with helium as the carrier gas at a total flow of 67 ml/min and a split ratio of 25:1. The GC oven temperature was programmed to increase from 80 °C to 180 °C in 10.5 min. The temperatures of injector and detector were 80 and 240 °C, respectively, and the injected volume was 1 µl. Prior to GC analysis 10 ml of digested samples was first centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for about 15–20 min. Then the supernatant was filtrated over 0.45 µm filter paper. The filtrated liquid was diluted 2 and 3 times with pentanol as internal solution (300 ppm) for mesophilic and thermophilic digestion samples, respectively. Finally, 10 µL of formic acid (purity >99 %) was added into the 1.5 mL vials.

Gas composition (CH\textsubscript{4}, CO\textsubscript{2}) was measured by using a GC [Agilent 7890A, with Agilent 1909S-PMS6 + 19095P-UO4 (60 m × 530 µm × 20 µm)] equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD, reactor experiments). Helium was used as a carrier gas with a split flow of 10 ml/min and operation conditions were: Oven 100 °C (45 °C for 6 min then 25 °C/min to 100 °C for 1.8 min), detector 200 °C and injection port 45 °C. The biogas volume was measured by Milligascounter. Biogas results were then converted to standard temperature and pressure conditions (T = 0 °C and P = 1 atm).

**Specific methanogenic activity (SMA)**

Specific methanogenic activity (SMA) was used to determine the conversion rate of acetate into CH\textsubscript{4} in the anaerobic system. In this study, the SMA of the mesophilic and thermophilic sludge was determined using an Automated Methane Potential Test System (AMPTS II, Bioprocess Control, Lund, Sweden). The SMA was conducted using sodium acetate COD (2 g/L) as substrate and supplemented by a medium consisting of a mixture of macronutrients, trace elements and phosphate buffer solution [31]. The inoculum amount was determined by setting an inoculum VS to substrate COD ratio on weight base (I/S) of 2:1. SMA was calculated by the slope of the accumulated methane production curve (mL/day) divided by the grams of VS introduced in the bottle (inoculum). The final values were expressed in gCH\textsubscript{4}-COD/(gVS. day). Experiments were conducted in triplicate.

**Anaerobic biodegradability**

Anaerobic biodegradability (AnBD) was assessed as the experimental ultimate methane production (expressed in COD) over the initial tCOD of the substrate [32].
Giving the conversion 1 CH₄ + 2O₂ → CO₂ + 2H₂O, 1 g COD equals 350 mL of CH₄ at 273 K and 1 bar. It is noted that this theoretical approach does not take into account the needs for bacterial cell growth and their maintenance, which has been reported typically 5–10 % of organic material degraded [31], meaning that not all the COD is methanised. Moreover, during bioconversion, non-methanised biodegradable or non-biodegradable intermediates may occur, lowering the actual methane yield of the substrate.

Reactor operation
Four water-jacketed laboratory mixed digesters with a working volume of 8 L were used in duplicate to conduct the digestion of FSF under both thermophilic and mesophilic conditions for more than 650 days at 55 and 35 °C, respectively. The first 333 days of the experiment were mainly used to adapt the sludges to the ESEM, especially the thermophilic sludge [3]. At the same period, several biomethane potential (BMP) tests and SMA tests were conducted to monitor the conversion capacity and activity of the biomass at both conditions. In the first stage of the study (day 333–393), it was decided to operate both thermophilic and mesophilic digesters at an organic loading rate (OLR) of 5.5 kg COD/(m³ day), applying an FSF COD and TS of 350 ± 15 g/kg and of 25 %, respectively. In the second stage of this study (day 393 till day 656), the OLR was increased stepwise from 2.5 kg COD/(m³ day) and 5.5 kg COD/(m³ day) to a maximum of 22 kg COD/(m³ day) for both the mesophilic and thermophilic digesters, resulting in decreasing solid retention times (SRT). Table 1 shows the digesters' operation performance within the extended research period and batch cycle duration of 2 days.

Environmental scan electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray element analysis (ESEM-EDX)
The samples for ESEM and EDX analysis were collected from both mesophilic and thermophilic digesters that were operated at an OLR of 2.5 and 5.5 kg COD/(m³ day), respectively. The structure of the substrate before degradation was studied using an ESEM equipped with an EDX elemental analysis system. Raw FSF and sludge samples were pretreated immediately after collection. Triplicated samples were washed for three times using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma Aldrich). Then the samples were air dried and ready for analysis. Before ESEM analysis, the samples were mounted on a 1-cm² metal support and kept in place with adhesive tape and observed with a Philips XL30 Series ESEM. An EDAM 3 EDS system (SUTW 3.3 EDX window and 128.0 eV EDX resolution) was applied to analyse the key elements.

Viscosity analysis
Fresh thermophilic and mesophilic sludge were sampled for rheology tests on day 526 when the operating OLRs were 5.5 and 2.5 kg COD/(m³ day), respectively. Rheological characteristics were determined with a universal dynamic rheometer (Paar Physica UDS 200, Stuttgart, Germany) equipped with a waterbath for temperature adjustment. The software US200/32 (V2.30) was applied for programming and data logging. For this purpose, it was attempted to conduct the test at two different temperatures, i.e. 35 and 55 °C, for both types of sludge.

DNA extraction
Fresh biomass samples were washed with 1 × PBS and then centrifuged under 7000×g for 7 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed by PBS for a second time and centrifuged under 17,000×g for 20 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was stored (less than 1 month) at −25 °C for DNA extraction. DNA extraction was performed using the MoBio UltraClean microbial DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, CA, USA). A minor modification of the manufacturer's protocol was that twice bead-beating (5 min) and heating (5 min) were applied in sequence in order to enhance the lysis of microbial cells. DNA isolation was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The quality of DNA was verified by Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

454 Pyrosequencing
The amplification and sequencing of the 16S rDNA gene was performed by Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, TX, USA) with following primers: (1) U515F (5'-GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3') and U1071R (5'-GGA CCA GGT AGT ATC ATG CGG-3') [33] were used for bacteria and archaea with a high coverage over 90 % for each domain; (2) Arch341F (5'-CCC TAY GGG GYG CAS CAG-3') and Arch958R (5'-YCC GGC GTT GAM TCC AAT T-3') were used for archaea. The pyrosequencing was done using a Roche 454 GS-FLX system (454 Life Science, Branford, CT, USA) with titanium chemistry.

Post analysis of pyrosequencing data
The post analysis of pyrosequencing data was performed by combining different programs from the Quantitative insights into microbial ecology (QIIME) pipeline, version 1.6.0 [34].

Real-time qPCR
Real-time qPCR was performed using an ABI 7500 instrument (Foster City, CA, USA) with three primer sets, including Bac516-F-Bac805-R (for all bacteria),
Additional file

**Additional file 1.** Supplementary materials.

**Abbreviations**

AD: anaerobic digestion; AMPTS: automatic methane potential test system; AnBiO: anaerobic biodegradability; BMP: biological methane potential; COD: chemical oxygen demand; CSTR: continuous-stirred tank reactor; EDX: energy dispersive X-ray; EGSB: expanded granular sludge bed; ESEM: environmental scan electron microscopy; F5F: fine sieved fraction; GC: gas chromatograph; I/S: inoculum to substrate ratio; OLR: organic loading rate; OUT: operational taxonomic unit; PCoA: principal co-ordinate analysis; PD: phylogenetic distance; qPCR: quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SAO: syntrophic acetate oxidising bacteria; SBR: sequencing batch reactor; SDS-PAGE: sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; SMA: specific methanogenic activity; SRT: solid retention time; STP: sewage treatment plant; tCOD: total chemical oxygen demand; TS: total solids; VFA: volatile fatty acid; VS: volatile solids.

**Authors’ contributions**

DG, MK, MZ and JL designed the experiment. DG operated digesters and did measurements. YT applied microbiology experiments. DG and YT drafted the initial manuscript together and all authors contributed in analysing the data and writing the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**References**

1. STOWA 2010–19. Influent Fijnzeven in RWZI's. 2010. http://www.stowa.nl (ISBN 978.90.5773.477.9).

2. Ruiken CJ, Breuer G, Klaoversma E, Santiago T, van Loosdrecht MCM. Sieving wastewater—cellulose recovery, economic and energy evaluation. Water Res. 2013;47:43–8.

3. Ghasimi DSM, Tao Y, de Kreuk M, Abbas B, Zandvoort MH, van Lier JB. Digester performance and microbial community changes in thermophilic and mesophilic sequencing batch reactors fed with the fine sieved fraction of municipal sewage. J Water Res (In Press Corrected Proof). 2015.

4. Yu D, Kurola JM, Lãhde K, Kylãmaãien M, Sïnkonen A, Romantschuk M. Biogas production and methanogenic archaeal community in mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic co-digestion processes. J Environ Manage. 2014;143:54–60.

5. Labatut RA, Angeren LT, Scott NR. Conventional mesophilic vs. thermophilic anaerobic digestion: a trade-off between performance and stability? Water Res. 2014;53:249–58.

6. Tezel U, Tandurak M, Hajaya MG, Pavlostathis SG. Transition of municipal sludge anaerobic digestion from mesophilic to thermophilic and long-term performance evaluation. Bioresour Technol. 2014;170:385–94.

7. Zábranská J, Štepová J, Wachtz R, Jenlček P, Dohányos M. The activity of anaerobic biomass in thermophilic and mesophilic digesters at different loading rates. Water Sci Technol. 2000;42:49–56.

8. Ahring BK. Perspectives for anaerobic digestion. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 2003;81:1–30.

9. McHugh S, Carton M, Collins G, O’Flaherty V. Reactor performance and microbial community dynamics during anaerobic biological treatment of wastewaters at 16-37 degrees C. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2004;48:369–78.

10. Leven L, Eriksson ARB, Schnurrer A. Effect of process temperature on bacterial and archaean communities in two methanogenic bioreactors treating organic household waste. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2007;59:683–93.

11. Goberna M, Schoen MÅ, Sjöfjord S, Wett B, Inman H. Mesophilic and thermophilic co-fermentation of cattle excreta and olive mill wastes in pilot anaerobic digesters. Biomass Bioenergy. 2010;34:340–6.

12. Siddique M. Mesophilic and thermophilic biomethane production by co-digesting pretreated petrochemical wastewater with beef and dairy cattle manure. J Ind Eng Chem. 2014;20:331–7.

13. De Rubia MA, Perez M, Romero L, Sales D. Anaerobic mesophilic and thermophilic municipal sludge digestion. ChemBiochem EnqG. 2002;16:19–24.

14. Dentel S. Evaluation and role of rheological properties in sludge management. Water Sci Technol. 1997;36:1–8.

15. Eshtiaghi N, Markis F, Yap SD, Baudez JC, Slatter P. Rheological characterisation of municipal sludge: a review. Water Res. 2013;47:5493–510.
Advancing our understanding of the human microbiome using QIIME Methods Enzymol. 2013;531:371–444.

29. De Baere L. Anaerobic digestion of solid waste: state-of-the-art. Water Sci Technol. 2000;41:283–90.

30. APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 21st ed. Washington D.C.: American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association; 2005

31. Angelidaki I, Sanders W. Assessment of the anaerobic biodegradability of macropolllutants. Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol. 2004;3:117–29.

32. Raposo F, Fernández-Cégirol V, de la Rubia MA, Borja R, Bélina F, Cavínato C, Demirer C, Fernández B, Fernández-Polanco M, Frigon JC, Gañés R, Karpars P, Kouboua J, Méndez R, Menin G, Peene A, Scherer P, Terrijos M, Uellendahl H, Weirinck L, Wilde V. Biochemical methane potential (BMP) of solid organic substrates: evaluation of anaerobic biodegradability using data from an international interlaboratory study. J Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2011;86:1088–98.

33. Wang Y, Qian P. Conservative fragments in bacterial 16S rRNA genes and primer design for 16S ribosomal DNA amplicons in metagenomic studies. PLoS One. 2009;4:e7401.

34. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello Eck, Fierer N, Peña AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JJ, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig J, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pires M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature Methods 2010;7:335–6.

35. Yu Y, Lee C, Kim J, Hwang S. Group-specific primer and probe sets to detect methanogenic communities using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2005;89:670–9.

36. Wang H, Tao Y, Temudo M, Schooneveld M, Bijl H, Ren N, Wolf M, Heine C, Faerster A, Pellec V, Kloeck J, van Lier JB, de Kreuk M. An integrated approach for efficient biomethane production from solid bio-wastes in a compact system. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2015;8:1–14.

37. Jensen PD, Ge H, Batstone DJ. Assessing the role of biochemical methane potential tests in determining anaerobic degradability rate and extent. Water Sci Technol. 2011;64:880–6.

38. Jiménez J, Guardia-puebla Y, Cisneros-ortiz ME, Morgan-sagastume JM, Guerra G, Noyola A. Optimization of the specific methanogenic activity during the anaerobic co-digestion of pig manure and rice straw, using industrial clay residues as inorganic additive. Water Environ Res. 2015;87:289–98.

39. Qiao JT, Qiu YL, Yuan XZ, Shi XS, Xu XH, Guo RB. Molecular characterization of bacterial and archaeal communities in a full-scale anaerobic reactor treating corn straw. Bioreour Technol. 2013;143:512–8.

40. Pagés Díaz J, Pereda Reyes I, Lunden M, Sárvári Horváth L. Co-digestion of different waste mixtures from agro-industrial activities: kinetic evaluation and synergistic effects. Bioreour Technol. 2011;102:10834–40.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit