INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) is an insoluble gas with a colourless gas smelling of rotten egg, heavier than air, with a very low odour threshold and high toxicity. It has long been recognized as a toxic gas and environment pollutant (Haouzi, Sonobe, & Judenh-C-Haouzi, 2016; Wu et al., 2019) and remains a significant chemical hazard (Arnold, Dufresne, Alleyne, & Stuart, 1985; EPA, 2003) in various farming (Chénard, Lemay, & Laguë, 2003) as well as fishing activities (Glass, 1980). It continues to be one of the most common hazardous substances attributed to acute poisoning deaths in occupational settings. The maximum values recorded during some of the monitored events reached 1,000 ppm (Chénard et al., 2003). Pig production buildings involving short-term storage...
of liquid manure may present hydrogen sulphide exposure risks. Its effects are reasonably well-established in pigs and humans including mucosal irritation (Chaussier, 1908), especially of the eye, olfactory paralysis, sudden but reversible loss of consciousness, pulmonary oedema, death (Szabo, 2018) and genotoxic effect of high doses of hydrogen sulphide (Attene-Ramos, Wagner, Plewa, & Gaskins, 2006).

According to the environmental protection agency (EPA), hydrogen sulphide is recognized as one of the important environmental stressors. Acute or chronic stress can modify gut permeability, which is related to the temporary distribution of tight junction proteins (Assimakopoulos, Gogos, & Labropoulou-Karatza, 2011; Koh, Peng, & Klasing, 1996; Maejima, Deitch, & Berg, 1984; Matter & Balda, 2007). Mammals rely on their gut microbiota for digestion (Flint, Scott, Duncan, Louis, & Forano, 2012). The intestinal microbiome is involved in the regulation of multiple host pathways and metabolic and immune-inflammatory axes that connect the gut with the liver, muscles and brain (Nicholson et al., 2012). The gut microbiome develops with its host from birth and is subjected to complex interactions influenced by the host genome, diet, health status, environment and lifestyle (Rodríguez et al., 2015).

Therefore, this research evaluated the effects of hydrogen sulphide on the growth performance and cecal microbiota of weaning pigs and ascertain the maximum limitation of hydrogen sulphide in pigsties.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental design

A total of 24 weaning pigs (Landrace × Yorkshire × Duroc; average body weight (BW) of 11.25 ± 1.01 kg; weaning at 28 days) were randomly allocated to four treatment groups with six replicates in each group. The piglets were placed in four controlled environment chambers. The four environmental chambers were identical in terms of size, construction materials, acclimatisation equipment, cages, feeders and drinkers. Each controlled environment chambers was 23.5 × 23.5 × 2.5 m (length × width × height) sealed unit. The piglets in three of the four rooms were exposed to hydrogen sulphide exposure 5 mg/m³, 10 mg/m³ and 15 mg/m³ during the experiment period, which lasted 28 days, whereas the last room served as the control treatment with 0 mg/m³ level of hydrogen sulphide. Hydrogen sulphide (purity ≥ 98%) and hydrogen sulphide bottles were provided by the Baoding Jinglian Gas Factory. The hydrogen sulphide bottles were connected to a pressure regulator and a flow metre in sequence to keep hydrogen sulphide concentration stable. The latter was connected to the chamber by a silicone tube. The piglets were fed with the feed according to the standards of the National Research Council, NRC (2012). The feed composition was provided in Table 1. The experimental protocols described the management and care of animals were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Hebei Agriculture University, Baoding, China.

2.2 Sample collection

In experiment, Body weight (BW) of every piglet was measured on days 1, 15 and 28, and feed consumption was recorded on a piglet basis throughout the experiment to calculate the ADG, ADFI and FCR. From the experiment, faecal score of weaning pigs was recorded three times per day by the same person, according to the method described by Wang, Huang, Meng, and Wang (2011), the scores were as follows: 1 = well-formed faeces (hard or soft, formed and moist stool that retains its shape), 2 = sloppy faeces (unformed stool that assumes the shape of the container) and 3 = diarrhoea (liquid stool that can be poured). The pigs were euthanized, and cecal digesta were collected in cryotubes and then stored at −80°C for further studies.

2.3 Intestinal microbiome analysis

Genomic DNA (gDNA) of bacteria was extracted from the samples using the Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit (Omega Bio-Tek Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA quality and quantity were assessed by the 260 nm/280 nm and 260 nm/230 nm ratios. Then, the DNA was stored at −80°C until further processing.

TABLE 1 Dietary nutrient composition and its content

| Ingredients | Content (%) | Nutrient levels | Content (%) |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Corn grain  | 57          | Digestible energy b, (MJ.kg⁻¹) | 14.06 |
| Wheat middling | 1           | Crude protein | 20.34 |
| Soybean oil | 2.5         | Crude fibre    | 2.18 |
| Soybean meal | 14         | calcium        | 0.88 |
| Soy protein isolate | 3.6   | phosphorus     | 0.64 |
| Extruded soybean | 6       | Available phosphorus | 0.46 |
| Corn protein meal | 3       | Lysine         | 1.22 |
| Imported fish meal | 3        | Methionine     | 0.41 |
| Dried whey  | 3           | Threonine      | 0.81 |
| Glucose     | 2           |                |             |
| NaCl        | 0.3         |                |             |
| Limestone   | 1           |                |             |
| CaHPO4      | 1.2         |                |             |
| Acidifier   | 0.4         |                |             |
| Premixa     | 2           |                |             |
| Total       | 100.00      |                |             |

Note: A premix provides the following (per kg of the diet): VA 5 175 IU, VD₃ 1 150 IU, VE 11.5 IU, VK₃ 1.15 mg, VB₅ 0.575 mg, VB₆ 3.45 mg, VB₇ 0.23 mg, VB₁₂ 14.5 mg, riboflavin 3.45 mg, nicotinic acid 11.5 mg, pantothenic acid 5.75 mg, biotin 11.5 μg, Fe (as ferrous sulphate) 75 mg, Cu (as copper sulphate) 10 mg, Mn (as manganese sulphate) 20 mg, I (as potassium iodide) 0.5 mg and Se (as sodium selenite) 0.175 mg. b DE is a calculated value, while the others are measured values.
The V3-V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the common primer pair 338-F (5′-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) and 806-R (5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′) combined with adapter and barcode sequences. A total volume of 50 μL contained 10 μL buffer, 0.2 μL Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase, 10 μL high GC enhancer, 1 μL dNTP, 10 μM of each primer and 60 ng gDNA. The PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 98°C for 30 s, followed by 15 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, and the final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products from the first step were purified using VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads. The second step of PCR was then performed with a 40 μL reaction mixture that contained 20 μL 2× Phusion HF MM, 8 μL ddH2O, 10 μM for each primer and 10 μL PCR products from the first step. The PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 10 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 65°C for 30 s min and 72°C for 1 min, and the final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Finally, all PCR products were quantified by Quant-iT™ dsDNA HS reagent and pooled together. High-throughput sequencing analysis of the bacterial rRNA gene was done using the Illumina Hiseq 2,500 platform (2 × 250 paired ends) at Biomarker Technologies Corporation, Beijing, China.

2.4 | Bioinformatic analysis

According to the relationship between paired-end (PE) reads and overlapping reads, the double-ended sequencing data was compiled into a sequence of tags after Hiseq sequencing. The quality of the reads and the effect of merging analysed by quality control were used to obtain valid data by following three steps, such as PE read splicing, tag filtering and the removal of chimerism. UCLUST was used in QIIME (version 1.8.0) software to cluster tags at a similarity level of 97% to obtain operational taxon unit (OTUs) and classify the OTUs based on Silva taxonomy database (https://www.arb-silva.de/).

2.5 | Statistical analysis

All experimental data were statistically analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 21.0. The results were expressed as Mean ± Standard Deviation (SD). p < .05 indicates a significant difference and p < .01 indicates an extremely significant difference.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Growth performance

The results of growth performance are shown in Table 2. Compared with control group, ADG and ADFI were significantly decreased (p < .01) and FCR was significantly increased (p < .01) in treatment groups.

3.2 | Diarrhoea rate

It can be seen from Table 3 that the diarrhoea rate and faecal score of piglets were increased, but there were no statistical significance (p > .05).

| TABLE 2 | Effect of gaseous hydrogen sulphide on the growth performance of weaning pigs |
|----------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Items    | Control group                  | Treatment group 1 | Treatment group 2 | Treatment group 3 | p-value         |
| Initial weight (kg)  | 11.12 ± 1.08                   | 11.02 ± 1.18      | 11.15 ± 1.28      | 11.35 ± 0.78     | .96             |
| Final weight (kg)    | 22.82 ± 1.74b                  | 20.48 ± 1.65a     | 20.90 ± 2.24ab    | 20.45 ± 1.32a    | .097            |
| ADG, g             | 417.86 ± 55.70B                | 348.10 ± 32.47A   | 338.21 ± 40.77A   | 325 ± 23.15A     | .003            |
| ADFI, g            | 589.77 ± 6.03C                 | 585.05 ± 9.70AC   | 576.47 ± 9.13AB   | 571.23 ± 6.66B   | .003            |
| FCR               | 1.43 ± 0.21bb                  | 1.74 ± 0.16Aab    | 1.67 ± 0.18Ab     | 1.77 ± 0.14Aab   | .014            |

Note: The lowercase letters in the same row of data are the same, indicating that the difference is not significant (p > .05); the lowercase letters are different, indicating significant difference (p < .05); the same line of uppercase letters is different, indicating that the difference is extremely significant (p < .01).

| TABLE 3 | Effects of gaseous hydrogen sulphide on diarrhoea rate and faecal morphology of weaning pigs |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------|                 |
| Items   | Control group                  | Treatment group 1 | Treatment group 2 | Treatment group 3 |
| Diarrhoea rate (%) | 5.02                           | 5.55             | 6.02             | 6.08             |
| Faecal score | 2.07 ± 0.23                    | 2.07 ± 0.18      | 2.08 ± 0.04      | 2.11 ± 0.15      |

Note: The lowercase letters in the same row of data are the same, indicating that the difference is not significant (p > .05); the lowercase letters are different, indicating significant difference (p < .05); the same line of uppercase letters is different, indicating that the difference is extremely significant (p < .01).
3.3 | Overview of the sequencing data

Compared with control group, the piglets exposed to hydrogen sulphide had more OTUs (Figure 1). The Venn diagram is shown in Figure 2. There were 406 common OTUs among the treatment groups, while also 16, 5, 12 and 5 unique OTUs in the control group, and treatment groups 1, 2 and 3.

3.4 | Alpha and beta diversity

The alpha diversity analysis showed that ACE, Chao and Shannon indexes were increased, and the Shannon index was decreased in treatment groups 1, 2 and 3 when compared with the control group.

Intestinal bacterial richness and diversity of the treatment groups were increased based on the OTUs, Chao, Shannon and Simpson indexes (Table 4). The rank abundance curve of the treatment groups was wider, indicating the species was richer than the control group in the treatment groups (Figure 3).

To compare the overall structure of microbiota under hydrogen sulphide exposure, the unweighted UniFrac distance matrix was calculated based on the OTUs of each sample. The results of the partial least squares discrimination analysis (PLS-DA) based on distance exhibited a significant difference in the bacterial structure of the intestinal lumen. Furthermore, each sample of the four groups was fully separated (Figure 4). The similarity between the control group and treatment group 3 in the genus level was high (Figure 5).

3.5 | Intestinal bacterial composition

To investigate the effects of different hydrogen sulphide concentrations on the structure and composition of intestinal microflora, the abundance of TOP10 microbial phyla (Figure 6a) and genus (Figure 6b) were used.

At the phylum level, the microbiota in the cecal digesta was dominated by Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria. Compared with control group, the abundance of Firmicutes decreased by 20.04% ($p < .05$), 10.25% and 9.47% in treatment groups 1, 2 and 3; Bacteroidetes increased by 6.52%, 8.00% and 7.84%; Proteobacteria increased by 7.81%, 1.49% and 0.30%; Tenericutes increased by 0.68%, 0.15% and 0.25%; Spirochaetae increased by 3.99%, 0.04% and 1.49%.

The abundance of uncultured_bacterium_f_Bacteroidales_S24-7_group decreased by 3.99%, 1.49% and 1.05% in treatment groups 1, 2 and 3; Eubacterium_coprostanoligenes_group decreased by 87%, 1.14% and 3.66%; Ruminococcaceae_NK4A214_group decreased by 41.38%, 0.74% and 0.30%. Prevotellaceae_NK3B31_group increased by 2.73%, 0.48% and 3.43% in treatment groups 1, 2 and 3; Parabacteroides increased by 5.17% ($p < .05$), 2.81% and 1.09%.

3.6 | LEfSe analysis

LEfSe analysis was performed with an LDA threshold of 4, and a statistically significant biomarker was found among different groups (Figure 7). Eubacterium_coprostanoligenes and Megamonas were found in the control group. Phascolarctobacterium and Acidaminococcaceae were found in treatment group 2 and Ruminococcaceae_UCG-002 was found in treatment group 3.

4 | DISCUSSION

The maximal concentration measurements taken within Animal feeding operations (AFOs) were the highest among the studies.
reviewed (8.66E + 03 mg/m³) (Malone Rubright, Pearce, & Peterson, 2017). Wang et al. (2011) indicated that exposure to hydrogen sulphide had lower weaning weight in chickens. Dorman, Struve, Gross, and Brenneman (2004) reported the exposure of hydrogen sulphide to SD rats led to reduced ADG. However, it was not clear whether hydrogen sulphide had a similar negative effect on piglets. In this study, BW and ADG of weaning pigs were linearly decreased with the increasing level of hydrogen sulphide. These results illustrate that hydrogen sulphide damages piglets health, especially the growth performance, and that higher hydrogen sulphide concentrations cause more obvious damage. Hydrogen sulphide is well known to cause irritation, damage to airway (Chen, Li, Shi, Zhang, & Xu, 2019) and pulmonary injury (Saeed et al., 2018) following inhalation. We found that gaseous hydrogen sulphide damaged the conjunctiva of piglets resulting eyelid redness and swollen and increased cough frequency which affecting appetite of the piglets, reducing the ADG. At the same time, the absorption of hydrogen sulphide will also affect the electron transfer of the respiratory chain in the body, reducing the ability of piglets to use oxygen and causing hypoxia, which may also be one of the reasons of reducing of ADG. For piglets, optimizing the gastrointestinal ecosystem and nutrient management seems of utmost importance to maintain piglets performance and health status (Taras, Vahjen, Macha, & Simon, 2006). The imbalance of intestinal bacteria may be the reason of increasing of diarrhoea rate and faecal score. These effects resulted the reduce of intake and nutrient utilization.

In this study, Alpha diversity index and rank abundance curve indicated that exposed to gaseous hydrogen sulphide could increase the cecal microbiota diversity and the species abundance of weaning pigs. PLS-DA analysis showed that the species of the four populations could be separated and the composition of the microbial communities changed.

### TABLE 4 Alpha diversity index

| Items  | Control group | Treatment group 1 | Treatment group 2 | Treatment group 3 | p-value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Shannon | 4.06 ± 0.58   | 4.36 ± 0.16       | 4.38 ± 0.33       | 4.44 ± 0.39       | .87     |
| Simpson | 0.050 ± 0.025 | 0.027 ± 0.049     | 0.037 ± 0.025     | 0.033 ± 0.023     | .88     |
| ACE    | 403.56 ± 26.68| 409.35 ± 14.26    | 427.61 ± 30.70    | 414.07 ± 5.39     | .86     |
| Chao   | 411.96 ± 29.07| 418.18 ± 23.23    | 434.64 ± 31.73    | 435.77 ± 23.59    | .98     |

Note: a: In the same column, the values with the same small letter superscripts mean no significant difference. The values with different small letter superscripts mean significant difference (p < .05) and the values with different capital letter superscripts mean very significant difference (p < .01).

b: The Chao and ACE indexes measure the species richness. Shannon and Simpson indexes are used to measure species diversity, which are affected by species richness and community evenness among the samples. In the case of the same species abundance, the higher the evenness of each species in the community, the higher the diversity of the community; the high Shannon index and the low Simpson index values indicate the high species diversity of the samples.
The microbial community composition was affected by hydrogen sulphide. On the contrary, the similarity of the microbial community composition had increased with the increasing intensity of the particular stimulus. At the phylum level, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria are the dominant bacteria. The core microflora in the intestine directly affects the normal intestinal function. The bacterial genera, such as Proteus, Bacteroides, Absidia and Actinomycetes play important roles in the intestinal tract. Studies have found that Rothia and Bacteroides are the core microflora (Ley, Hamady, & Lozupone, 2008; Shen et al., 2006). The previous studies revealed that Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are the dominant phyla in birds, zebras and mammals (Kohl, 2012; Semova et al., 2012). In the gastrointestinal tract of animals, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are the most common bacteria, accounting for 92.6% of all 16S rRNA sequences in humans (Eckburg et al., 2005; Ley, Turnbaugh, Klein, & Gordon, 2006). In this study, three bacterial phyla, such as Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria accounted for 96.97%, 91.26%, 96.21% and 95.64%. It was similar with previous researches.

At the phylum level, Firmicutes was the most abundant in the four groups, and its abundance in pigs exposed to hydrogen sulphide was reduced compared to the control group. Besides, at the genus level, the abundance of [Eubacterium] _coprostanoligenes_ and Ruminococcaceae_ NK4A214_ which belongs to Firmicutes decreased in control and treatment groups. And the abundance was lowest when exposed to 5 mg/m³ hydrogen sulphide. Bacteroidetes, as the second abundant bacteria, at the genus level, the abundance of [Parabacteroides] increased significantly in treatment group 1 compared with the control group. The abundance of the [uncultured_bacterium_f_Bacteroidales_S24-7] genus decreased when exposed to hydrogen sulphide, and the abundance was lowest when exposed to 5 mg/m³ hydrogen sulphide. However, the abundance of Bacteroidetes in each treatment group increased. As one of the major members among animal microbiota, the phylum, Bacteroidetes exists in various mammalian microbiota, such as mice, dogs and ducks (Deng & Swanson, 2015; Wang et al., 2018; Weldon et al., 2015). Bacteroides are considered to have the ability to degrade organic substances of high molecular weight, such as proteins and carbohydrates. Bacteroides also help the host to obtain the nutrients from the diet (Tremaroli & Bäckhed, 2012). The previous studies reported that the increasing ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes might increase fat deposition (Schwiertz et al., 2010), which is associated with the increased production of SCFAs and energy utilization by...
colonic fermentation (Fernandes, Su, Rahat-Rozenbloom, Wolwe, & Comelli, 2014; Turnbaugh et al., 2006). This could be the reason for the reduction in apparent digestibility of protein, fat and energy. 

Proteobacteria is the third abundant phylum. In contrast with the control group, the abundance of Proteobacteria was highest in the treatment group 1, followed by treatment groups 2 and 3. Proteus has pro-inflammatory properties (Lopetuso, Ianiro, Scaldaferrari, Cammarota, & Gasbarrini, 2016; Lopetuso et al., 2016; Sartor, 2008) and the role of Proteus in the intestinal inflammation has been demonstrated in various mouse models of colitis with positive correlation (Maharshak et al., 2013). Therefore, in addition to the reduction of energy absorption and utilization, hydrogen sulphide may also cause intestinal inflammation.

The biomarkers selected in the control group were Eubacterium _coprostanoligenes_, Clostridiales and _Meganonas_, while the biomarkers screened in the treatment groups included _Phascolarctobacterium_, _Acidaminococcaceae_ and _Ruminococcaceae_UCG_002. It was reported that the abundance of _Eubacterium coprostanoligenes_ of high-yielding cows, meat ducks and laying hens was significantly higher than that of low-yielding cows, meat ducks and laying hens (Dai et al., 2018; O’Donnell et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2018; Zhang(a) et al. 2019). Clostridiales (50.7%) were the dominant bacteria among cecal microbiota in 21-day-old meat ducks at the order level (Dai et al., 2018). It was related to the weight of humans and mice (Goodrich et al., 2014). It may explain the better growth performance in control group. Previous study showed that Bacteroides, Oscillibacter and _Ruminococcaceae_UCG-002_ were positively correlated with the BW of pigs with intrauterine growth retardation (Zhang(b) et al. 2019). It indicated that the microflora related to carbohydrate metabolism and weight loss was decreased to influence in the nutrient absorption and growth performance of piglets after hydrogen sulphide treated.

In conclusion, the gaseous hydrogen sulphide level significantly affected the cecal microbiota of pigs. The increased level of hydrogen sulphide increased the diversity of cecal microbiota in pigs. High hydrogen sulphide content may reduce the defence of piglets to harmful bacteria. The concentrations of hydrogen sulphide should fall below 5 mg/m³.
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