Improving Statistical Machine Translation through co-joining parts of verbal constructs in English-Hindi translation
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Abstract

Verb plays a crucial role of specifying the action or function performed in a sentence. In translating English to morphologically richer language like Hindi, the organization and the order of verbal constructs contributes to the fluency of the language. Mere statistical methods of machine translation are not sufficient enough to consider this aspect. Identification of verb parts in a sentence is essential for its understanding and they constitute as if they are a single entity. Considering them as a single entity improves the translation of the verbal construct and thus the overall quality of the translation. The paper describes a strategy for pre-processing and for identification of verb parts in source and target language corpora. The steps taken towards reducing sparsity further helped in improving the translation results.

1 Introduction

With the availability of parallel content, increased memory and processing speed, there has been growing trend moving towards Statistical Machine Translation. Most of the phrase based machine translation systems are based on the noisy-channel based IBM models (Koehn, Och & Marcu, 2003, Zens et al., 2004). Phrases refer to a number of consecutive words that may not be a valid syntactic phrase but are learnt through the statistical alignment between two languages. English and Hindi have differing syntactical structure and pose great challenge in aligning phrases of the two languages. The former follows SVO pattern while the later adheres to the SOV pattern. Hindi being morphologically richer offers several verbal constructs governed through Tense, Aspect and Modality (TAM). The non-monotonocity between the two languages causes inferior alignment of phrases especially verbal constructs.

There have been efforts towards single tokenization of MWE parts. Ueffing and Ney, 2003 reported use of POS information for SMT to morphologically richer language. They tried to transform the source language while the approach proposed here attempts transformations on both source and target language sides. Recent related works use statistical measures like Mutual Information and Log Likelihood Ratio (Seretan and Wehrli, 2007) to know the degree of cohesion between constituents of a MWE. These require defining threshold value above which the extracted phrase is qualified as a MWE.

Minkov et al. (2007) utilized the rich syntactic and morphological analyzers to generate the inflections. Hindi lacks availability of robust parsers and complex morphological analyzers. The paper describes the process of identifying verbal constructs of both languages and grouping them in single units to reduce the search space. For identification of the verbal constructs, the POS information is utilized with simple combining rules to make verb phrases. This yields better alignment of verbal phrases and results in more grammatical, fluent and acceptable translations. Besides that, the data sparseness generated from chunking is
handled through extending the phrase table with verbal parts entries.

The paper is organized in sections, describing the phrase based SMT in brief, Hindi language and its verbal properties followed by sections describing identification of verbal constructs in English and Hindi. Further to it, corpus and pre-processing activities are detailed alongside the experimental setup, process adopted to reduce sparsity, the translation process, observations and conclusion.

2 Overview of SMT

Candide SMT system [Brown et al., 1990], presented by the IBM researchers paved the path for statistical approach to machine translation. In statistical machine translation, we are given a source language sentence $S = s_1 \ldots s_l$ which is to be translated into a target language (‘English’) sentence $T = t_1 \ldots t_l$. Statistical machine translation is based on a noisy channel model. It considers $T$ to be the target of a communication channel, and its translation $S$ to be the source of the channel. System may generate multiple translation sentences options and the problem of translation becomes identifying sentence $T$ which fits as the best translation of the source sentence $S$. Hence the machine translation task becomes to recover the source from the target. So, we need to maximize $P(T|S)$. According to the Bayes rule,

$$t^* = \arg \max_t P(t) = \arg \max_t \frac{P(t|s) P(s)}{P(t)}$$

As, $P(S)$ is constant,

$$t^* = \arg \max_t P(s|t) P(t)$$

Here, $P(s|t)$ represents Translation model and $P(t)$ represents language model. Translation model plays the role of ensuring translation faithfulness and Language model to ensure the fluency of translated output.

3 Hindi language and its verbal properties

Indian languages are classified in four major families: Indo-Aryan (a branch of the Indo-European family), Dravidian, Austro-Asiatic (Austric), and Sino-Tibetan, with the overwhelming majority of the population speaking languages belonging to the first two families. There are 22 languages listed in eighth schedule of constitution of India. The four major families are different in their form and construction, but they share many orthographic similarities, because their scripts originate from Brahmi (Ishida, 2002).

Hindi language belongs to the Indo-Aryan language family. It is spoken in vast areas of northern India and is written in Devanagari script. In Hindi, words belonging to various grammatical categories appear in lemma and inflectional forms. Hindi verbal constructs system is based on the TAM of the action. The verbal constructs are formed by placement of auxiliary verbs after the main verb. The main verb that carries the lexical meaning may appear in the root or inflected form. Auxiliary verbs of the main verb denote the TAM property of the verbal construct.

Tense is a grammatization of the relations between time of some event and the reference time. Aspect markers are semantically very sensitive and often convey subtle meanings and nuances that are not generally expressed through simple lexical words. Here we look at the two example sentences,

1. **वह दिन भर बैठा रहता है**

   vaha din bhar baithaa rahataa hai

   (‘He remains seated whole day’).

2. **वह बार-बार बैठता रहता है**

   vaha baar-baar baithataa rahataa hai

   (‘He sits frequently’)

Here, aspect marker बार ‘baar’ in first sentence, denotes the resultant state of the action and रहा ‘raha’ gives perception of a longer period of time. While in a slightly modified second sentence, the aspect marker ता ‘taa’ ‘taa raha’ gives the sense of repetition or infinity of the action and रहा ‘raha’ gives the perception of a time spread.

The mood reflects speaker’s attitude towards the action and is manifested in many ways in a language. In Hindi the moods can be of Imperative,
Subjunctive, Indefinite and definite potential, conditional and future etc. Here we look at the following three sentences.

1. तू पढ़ तु पढ़ (‘You read’)  
2. तूम पढ़ो तु पढ़ (‘You read’)  
3. आप पढ़िए तु पढ़ (‘You read’)  

All the above three sentences are imperative in nature but there is subtle difference in speaker’s attitude. The first sentence is the impolite form of expression, the second one is common form and the third sentence is the polite form of expressing the same thing.

All constituents of the verbal constructs are obligatory. Semantically TAM markers are so closely interlinked that it would be appropriate to treat them as a single entity rather than treating them separately. Besides that, the main verb appears frequently in compound and conjunct forms in the verbal constructs (Singh, 2010). Compound verbs follow the pattern of verb-verb (V-V) combination while conjunct verbs are formed with either noun-verb (N-V) or adjective-verb (A-V) combinations. In V-V expressions the first verb word carries verbal stem while successive verb words play the role of auxiliary or light verbs (LV). The LVs lose their independent meaning and are used to reflect the shade of main verb. The compound and conjunct verb expressions are also referred as complex predicates (CP). The CPs are multi-word expressions (MWEs) which may be compositional or non-compositional in nature (Sinha, 2011). These should be treated as a single verbal unit to infer the intended meaning or semantics. The CP adds to the expressiveness of the expression but pose difficulty for automatic identification.

4 Identification and treatment verbal constructs

The elements of verbal constructs, if treated as individual words leave too many entries in the sentences to get aligned through statistical alignment. This makes the probability distribution unfocussed. Co-joining parts of verbal constructs reduces the sentence length and thus helps in better alignment.

4.1 English verbal constructs

The Stanford POS tagger (Kristina Toutanova et al., 2003) is used for tagging words in a sentence with their POS categories. The POS tags are based on Penn Treebank POS tagset (Mitchell et al., 1993). The verbal parts to be chunked together are identified with the help of a set of rules. Some of these rules are listed in the Table 1. As an example, the rule ‘get NP VBN’ specifies, that if Noun Phrase appears in between the word ‘get’ and VBN, this is considered as a verbal construct.

| Sample rules for identiying English Verbal constructs |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| POS based Verb Chunking Rules                         |
| VBP/VBD/VBZ_VBG                                       |
| MD not VB                                             |
| get NP VBN                                            |

| Verbal Constructs | Co-joined Verbal Constructs |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| is going           | is_going                   |
| can not be done    | not_can_be_done            |
| get the work done  | get_done the work          |

Table 2: Sample English Verbal constructs

4.2 Identification of Hindi verbal constructs

For identifying the Hindi verbal constructs, a combination of POS tagging and presence of the TAM markers appearing as verb ending sequences are used. The POS tags are based on modified Penn Treebank POS tagset. The POS tagging identifies possible verbal parts to be chunked, while the TAM rules help in confirmation of them. Table 3 lists some of the TAM rules. Here $ indicates the presence of main verb stem.

| Verbal Constructs | Co-joined Verbal Constructs |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| is going           | is_going                   |
| can not be done    | not_can_be_done            |
| get the work done  | get_done the work          |

Table 3: Sample TAM rules for Hindi verbal constructs
Verbal constructs | TAM Rules
---|---
जा सकता है | $._saktaa_hai$
ja saktaa hai | $saktaa_hai$
जाने मत दो | मत $._do$
jaane mat do | $mat_.do$
खाया जा रहा होगा | $._jaa_.raha_.hogaa$
khaaya jaa rahaa hogaa | $yaa_jaa_rahaa_hogaa$
जा नहीं रहा है | नहीं $._raha_.hai$
jaa nahi rahaa hai | $nahi_.rahaa_.hai$
जाता तो था | तो $_.tha$
jaataa to thaa | to $thaa$

Table 3: Sample rules for identifying Hindi Verbal constructs

Table 4 shows some of the verbal constructs and their co-joined forms after processing. The negation markers, such as, नहीं nahi (‘not’) and particles, such as, तो to (emphatic marker) occurring in between are moved out of the verbal expressions to reduce the sparsity.

| Verbal Constructs | Co-joined Verbal Constructs |
|---|---|
| जा सकता है | जा_saktaa_hai |
| ja saktaa hai | $saktaa_hai$
| जाने मत दो | मत जाने_do |
| jaane mat do | $mat_.jaane_.do$
| खाया जा रहा होगा | खाया_jaa_.raha_.hogaa |
| khaaya jaa rahaa hogaa | $yaa_jaa_rahaa_hogaa$
| जा नहीं रहा है | नहीं $._raha_.hai$
| jaa nahi rahaa hai | $nahi_.rahaa_.hai$
| जाता तो था | तो $_.tha$
| jaataa to thaa | to $thaa$

Table 4: Sample Hindi Verbal constructs

Complex Predicates are identified using the approach of Sinha (2009). Here, we make use of parallel corpus, English-Hindi dictionary of Light Verbs and TAM rules. Table below shows some sample Complex predicates in Compound and Conjunct forms and their treatment.

| Compound Verbs | Co-joined Verbal Constructs |
|---|---|
| बैठ जा | baith_jaa |
| baith jaa | $baith_jaa$
| पढ़ लिया होगा | पढ़_लिया_होगा |
| padh liyaa hogaa | $padh_liyaa_hogaa$
| कर दिया | कर_दिया |
| kar diyaa | $kar_diyaa$

Conjunct Verbs

| Verbal Constructs | Co-joined Verbal Constructs |
|---|---|
| परीक्षा दे | parikshaa_de |
| parikshaa de | $parikshaa.de$
| बात कर रहा है | बात_कर_रहा_है |
| baat kar rahaa hai | $baat_kar_rahaa_hai$
| बंद हो गया | बंद_हो_गया |
| band ho gayaa | $band_ho_gayaa$

Table 5: Sample Hindi complex predicates

5 Corpus and pre-processing

Basic Travel Expressions Corpus (BTEC) containing travel conversations is used for performing the experiments (Kikui, 2006). This contains travel expressions which are generally used when a person travels to another country and covers the utterances of potential subjects in travel situations. The expressions contained more than one sentence in single expression. These have been separated by sentence end markers (dot). Such sentences have been treated as separate sentence entities. This increased the number of independent sentences in parallel corpus. The Tables 6 and 7 list corpus statistics.

| Corpus | Training | Development | Test |
|---|---|---|---|
| English: | | | |
| # sentences | 19972 | 2343 | 2371 |
| # words | 153066 | 17806 | 18257 |
| # avg words/sentence | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
| Hindi: | | | |
| # sentences | 19972 | 2043 | 2071 |
| # words | 171347 | 17774 | 17811 |
| # avg words/sentence | 8.6 | 8.7 | 8.6 |

Table 6: Corpus Statistics before pre-processing
### Table 7: Corpus Statistics after pre-processing

|       | Training | Development | Test |
|-------|----------|-------------|------|
| English: |          |             |      |
| # sentences | 24056    | 2581        | 2575 |
| # avg words / sentence | 6.3      | 6.4         | 6.3  |
| Hindi:   |          |             |      |
| # sentences | 24056    | 2581        | 2575 |
| # avg words / sentence | 7.2      | 7.1         | 7.2  |

The average sentence length in the English corpus before pre-processing was 7.7 words per sentence and after pre-processing it came down to 6.3 words per sentence. Hindi corpus had 8.7 words per sentence and it became 7.2 words per sentence after pre-processing.

The pre-processing activity also included expanding of common abbreviated expressions e.g. I’ll to ‘I will’ etc. This has been performed with a set of simple expansion rules. Besides that, dots appearing after titles are also replaced with hash (#), to avoid being treated them as sentence end-markers.

### 6 Experimental setup

For the training of the statistical models, standard word alignment GIZA++ (Och & Ney, 2003) and language modelling toolkit SRILM (Stolcke, 2002) tools were used. For translation, MOSES phrase-based SMT decoder (Koehn, 2007) has been used. For evaluation, the automatic evaluation metrics, BLEU (Papineni, 2002) was applied to the translation output.

### 7 Translation process

The overall process can be classified as Training and Testing processes. The training process describes the steps involved in building models. These steps include – pre-processing of training corpus, POS tagging source and target language training corpus, chunking words forming the verbal constructs, building translation and language models.

---

- **Corpus**: English
- **Training**: # sentences 24056, # avg words 6.3
- **Development**: # sentences 2581, # avg words 6.4
- **Test**: # sentences 2575, # avg words 6.3

- **Hindi**:
  - **Training**: # sentences 24056, # avg words 7.2
  - **Development**: # sentences 2581, # avg words 7.1
  - **Test**: # sentences 2575, # avg words 7.2

---

![Training process](image1.png)

**Figure 1: Training process**

Testing process describes steps while translating. It involves - pre-processing of test corpus, POS tagging of test corpus, chunking the words forming the verbal constructs and searching words in the vocabulary of training models. If some words are unseen but are lexical words of verbal constructs, they are handled as described in section 8 below.

![Testing process](image2.png)

**Figure 2: Testing process**
8 Handling sparsity

Due to limited size of parallel corpus used for training the models, it is quite probable that some verbal constructs may appear which is unseen by the training model and is out of vocabulary (OOV). The probability of such occurrence increases due to the co-joining of words forming verbal constructs. To meet this situation, templates of different verbal constructs with their translations are used. The Table 8 shows some sample templates with their translations.

If verbal construct is OOV, it is changed to its translation template form. After that, its equivalent translation is picked up and is replaced in the sentence to be translated. As an example, if the verbal construct ‘would_have_been_cleaning’ is OOV. It is changed to its template form ‘would_have_been_VBG’ and its respective translation ‘बना_रहा_होगा’ is picked up from the translation template table. Now, with the help of English-Hindi dictionary, translation of verbal construct ‘would_have_been_cleaning’ in the sentence is replaced with the translated as ‘सफ़_कर_रहा_होगा’ and is sent for final translation.

Table 8: Verbal Construct template translation

| Verbal construct template | Translation template           |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| can_VB                    | VB_सकता_है                     |
|                           | VB_saktaa_hai                   |
| would_have_been_VBG       | VB_रहा_होगा                     |
|                           | VB_rahaa_hogaa                  |
| has_not_VBN               | नहीं_VBगया_है                    |
|                           | nahi_VByaa_hai                  |

Table 9: BLEU scores for different experiments

| Corpus                      | BLEU Score | Gain in BLEU score |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| BPP *                       | 0.1596     |                    |
| APP *                       | 0.1672     | 0.0076             |
| APP + VCC *                 | 0.1694     | 0.0022             |

9 Experiments

The experiments were carried on original, pre-processed and chunked verbal constructs based models. Table 9 below show that there is improvement in BLUE score when we pre-process the raw corpus. Better alignment is achieved due to reduced sentence length and data being in normalized form. The chunked verbal constructs corpus further improves the BLUE score. Though the BLUE score gain is marginal but on human inspection, better order and organization of Verbal constructs is observed. The table below shows the BLEU score for experiments.

10 Conclusion and Future Work

Results show, moderate gain in BLUE score is obtained with pre-processing of the corpus. This can be attributed to better alignment due to reduced length of sentences. Marginal gain is observed with chunking of Verbal constructs, yet manual inspection show fluent translation of verbal parts.

Hindi verb forms are sensitive to gender, number and person information, which is not considered in current implementation. Work on interrogatives, prepositional phrases and other multi-word expressions, is in progress. There is scope to improve the statistical alignment using linguistic knowledge. The investigations on these are currently in progress.
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