PREPARATION AND EVALUATION OF SIMVASTATIN TRANSDERMAL FILM
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study was to prepare simvastatin transdermal films for the treatment of atherosclerosis and to evaluate the effect of concentration of polymer on penetration enhancement.

Methods: Solvent evaporation technique was employed for the preparation of films and the prepared films were evaluated for various physicochemical properties of films such as tensile strength, thickness, surface pH, swellability, drug content, moisture content and folding endurance. In vitro drug, release study and release kinetics were also studied.

Results: Tensile strength ranged from 3.56±0.343 to 4.56±0.12 (N/mm²). The films were of uniform weight. Thickness varied from 0.2±0.3 mm to 0.2±0.8 mm. Surface pH ranged from 6.6±0.14 to 6.9±0.16. Percentage swellability ranged from 12.1±0.36 to 16.3±0.22. Percentage drug content varied from 75% to 88.4±0.7%. Percentage moisture content ranged from 0.864 to 1.03%. Moisture uptake was from 2.6±0.24 to 2.9±0.072. The folding endurance test gave satisfactory results and F3 formulation showed maximum drug release.

Conclusion: From the study, it was concluded that out of various formulations, the F3 formulation was found to be the optimum formulation with 88% drug release at the fourteenth hour.
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INTRODUCTION

The transdermal film is the most innovative research area in drug delivery, and it is aimed to provide a continuous supply of medication through the skin into systemic circulation. It has several advantages like maintaining constant drug level in blood, fewer side effects and improved bioavailability and patient compliance [1] being an alternative for the oral route it prevents the gastrointestinal pH and enzymatic deactivation of the drug along with minimizing the steps involved in the metabolising pathway [2]. Simvastatin is an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor and acts as an anti-hyper- lipidemic drug and is a structural analogue of HMG-CoA [3]. The mechanism of action of HMG-CoA reductase is by usually 70-75% LDL removing the process of endocytosis. Cholesterol esters from LDL molecules are hydrolyzed to free cholesterol in the liver. By de novo synthesis liver introduces cholesterol and this pathway involves mevalonic acid formation by the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase [4]. Statins aim at inhibiting this rate-limiting enzyme and provide the therapeutic effect. Cholesterol synthesis is decreased which leads to increased synthesis of high-affinity LDL receptors which results in increased clearance (uptake) of cholesterol-rich plasma LDL with subsequent reduction in plasma LDL cholesterol by this mechanism. Statins can lower LDL level by 30-50% in maximum doses [5]. It is lipophilic in nature, and due to extensive first-pass metabolism, the plasma half-life of simvastatin is 2h with an oral bioavailability of 5% [6]. To overcome this issue, various new techniques employed are transdermal, rectal, buccal and parenteral routes of administration [7]. Simvastatin is an ideal candidate for the preparation of transdermal films as it has low molecular weight (418.56 g/mol), high lipid solubility, low melting point (129 °C), effective in low plasma concentration as well as a high degree of first-pass metabolism [8]. Solvent evaporation method was used for the preparation of films which involves solubilation of the drug in a volatile solvent that is later evaporated. The thermal breakdown of drugs can be stopped since organic solvent evaporation occurs at low temperature [9].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Simvastatin was procured from Micro labs, Bangalore, ethyl cellulose and methanol were bought from Loba Chemie, Mumbai. PEG 4000 and chloroform was procured from Merck specialties pvt ltd, Bangalore. PVA was procured from Hi media laboratories pvt ltd, Mumbai [10].

Table 1: Composition of transdermal film

| S. No | Ingredients | Formula (%w/v) |
|-------|-------------|----------------|
|       |             | F1            | F2            | F3            |
| 1     | Simvastatin | 30            | 30            | 30            |
| 2     | PVA         | 12%           | 12%           | 12%           |
| 3     | Ethyl cellulose | 2%    | 2%            | 2%            |
| 4     | PEG 4000    | 12%           | 15%           | 15.5%         |
| 5     | Methanol    | 2.5           | 2.5           | 2.5           |
| 6     | Chloroform  | 2.5           | 2.5           | 2.5           |

Preparation of transdermal film

Solvent evaporation technique was used for the preparation of films using petri dish by adding a 12% (w/v) PVA solution and drying for 6 h at 60 °C. The backing membrane was produced. The reservoir of the drug was then made by adding ethyl cellulose in a mixture of chloroform, methanolin (1:1) ratio. PEG 4000 was employed as a plasticizer.

Later, simvastatin was added and stirred using a magnetic stirrer for 20 min. The homogenous preparation was cast on a backing
membrane which contains PVA and set aside in a desiccator at room temperature for 1 d [11].

Physicochemical characterization

The prepared transdermal films were evaluated for physicochemical parameters.

Physical appearance

The physical appearance of transdermal films like thickness, colour, clarity, flexibility, smoothness, weight and appearance were visually inspected as per the standard procedure [12].

Thickness

The transdermal film’s thickness was found out by the use of screw gauge (dial thickness gauge 7301, Mitutoyo Corporation, kanagawa, Japan) at various part of the film and readings were noted [13].

Weight variation

Different films were weighed individually by the use of digital balance (shimadzu, Japan) and variation in weight was found out and then the average weight was calculated [14].

Folding endurance

Strips were evenly cut and were evaluated by folding the film many times on the same spot till it broke. The folding endurance value was calculated by noting down the number of times it can be folded at the same spot without being broken/cracked [15].

Percentage of moisture content

Films which were prepared were separately weighed and were kept for drying in a desiccator which contains silica gel. It was kept for 24 h. The percentage of moisture content was then calculated by using the below equation [16].

\[
\% \text{ Moisture content} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight} \times 100}{\text{Final weight}}
\]

Moisture uptake studies

The films were weighed after 24h of placing it in a desiccator containing silica gel and then transferred to another desiccator having saturated KCl solution of 85% relative humidity (RH) and allowed to attain equilibrium. Later the films were taken out and re-weighed. Moisture uptake was further determined by using the below equation.

\[
\text{Percentage moisture uptake} = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight} \times 100}{\text{Initial weight}}
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| S. No. | Properties                  | F1 mean±SD       | F2 mean±SD       | F3 mean±SD       |
|--------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1      | Thickness(mm)               | 0.2±0.3          | 0.2±0.6          | 0.2±0.8          |
| 2      | Folding endurance           | 297.0±2.63       | 298.2±2.01       | 296±1.72         |
| 3      | Percentage moisture content | 0.91             | 1.03             | 0.864            |
| 4      | Tensile strength N/mm²      | 3.56±0.343       | 4.56±0.12        | 4.14±0.234       |
| 5      | Moisture uptake             | 2.6±0.24         | 2.7±0.32         | 2.9±0.072        |
| 6      | Percentage Swellability     | 12±1±0.36        | 15±3±0.41        | 16±3±0.22        |
| 7      | Flatness                   | 100              | 100              | 100              |
| 8      | Surface pH                  | 6.6±0.14         | 6.7±0.12         | 6.9±0.16         |
| 9      | Drug content                | 97.3±0.3         | 96.6±0.13        | 98.4±0.32        |

mean±SD, n=3

Drug content

Transdermal films were cut into pieces of a specified area (1 cm²) and taken in a volumetric flask. Phosphate buffer having pH 7.4 was added and shaken and kept for 24 h. The drug in solution was determined using UV spectrophotometer (shimadzu UV 1800). Absorbance was measured at 238 nm, and values were recorded [18].

Swellability

The weighed films were kept in a petri dish consisting of 50 ml of distilled water for 1 h in a glass tube. Combined glass electrode was then brought near the film surface and allowed to equilibrate for 1 min. The surface pH was then measured by using a digital pH meter (elico LL120 type 003), and the results were noted [20].

Flatness study

This test was carried out to ensure the flat surface and non-shrinkability of the prepared transdermal films on the progression of time. Each film strip was selected and cut from different portions, the non-uniformity in flatness was determined by means of measuring the variation in length of each strip for % constriction. 100% flatness was ensured if constriction was equivalent to 0% [21].

Percentage constriction was obtained using the formula

\[
\frac{l_1 \times 100}{l_2}
\]

\(l_1\) is the initial length of each strip

\(l_2\) is the final length of each strip

Tensile strength

It was measured using the method of modified analytical two pan balance. A film of 6 cm² wide was fixed between two clamps on one side; weights were added to the pan on another side till the film breaks. The weight required to break the film was taken as a measure of the tensile strength of the patch [22].

Stability study

Stability studies were conducted based on guidelines of ICH by keeping the films at 40±0.5 °C and 75±5%RH for 6 mo. The samples were taken during 0, 15th, 30th, 60th, 90th and 180th intervals and they were analysed [23].
Kinetic modelling of drug release

The pattern of drug release from formulations was analysed using BCP software. The release profile of the formulations was fitted into release kinetic models like first order, zero order, and higuchi. This is shown in table 4. F1 and F3 formulation showed first order release with correlation coefficient ($R^2$) value 0.9770 and 0.9919 respectively. F2 formulation showed zero order release. F3 formulation was found to show maximum drug release. According to S. Parmare et al. the percentage drug release was found to be 80% when a novel approach such as spherical agglomerate was made [24]. And according to A. M. Peth et al., a mucoadhesive buccal tablet of simvastatin showed 65.96% drug release [25]. The transdermal films in the present study showed more than 88% of drug release which states that transdermal delivery of simvastatin has a higher percentage of drug release when compared to others.

Table 4: Kinetic modelling of drug release

| Formulation code | Zero-order | First order | Higuchi |
|------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| F1               | 0.9317     | 0.9770      | 0.9200  |
| F2               | 6.608      | 0.9945      | 0.9170  |
| F3               | 0.9251     | 0.9919      | 0.9197  |

Prepared transdermal films are of F3 formulation. It showed good physicochemical characteristics with good flexibility and maximum drug release. It is used in minimizing dose and increased patient compliance. According to Bhawana et al., polyethylene glycol used is best suited as a plasticizer as compared to others such as glycerine since it shows good physicochemical properties like thickness, moisture uptake, moisture absorption etc and drug release profile [26]. In the studies conducted by Shailesh et al., the transdermal films which contained polyethylene glycol were having optimum flexibility and were not brittle [27]. According to Prathik et al., formulation with ethyl cellulose gave good folding endurance [28] and also according to Gajanan et al., with the increase of ethyl cellulose in the formulation, the moisture uptake, as well as the moisture content, was increased [29].

The physicochemical properties of films are depicted in the table 2. Tensile strength ranged from 3.56±0.343 to 4.56±0.12 (N/mm²). The films were of uniform weight. Thickness varied from 0.2±0.3 to 0.2±0.8 mm. Surface pH ranged from 6.6±0.14 to 6.9±0.16. Percentage swell ability ranged from 12.1±0.36 to 16.3±0.22. Percentage drug content ranged from 88.4±0.7% to 90.5±0.6% in all the formulation. Percentage moisture content ranged from 0.864 to
1.03%. Moisture uptake ranged from 2.6±0.24 to 2.9±0.072. The study concluded that as the penetration enhancer’s concentration increased the drug permeation was also increased. Stability studies of the drug formulations proved that the drug was stable in the optimized transdermal film formulation. It can be concluded that the films prepared has the potential for simvastatin drug delivery with improved permeation.
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