Damage characterizations and simulation of selective laser melting fabricated 3D re-entrant lattices based on in-situ CT testing and geometric reconstruction
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

In recent years, metal additive manufacturing (AM) are widely employed for industrial applications, such as: biomedical, aerospace, automotive, marine and offshore sections. AM demonstrated superior manufacturing efficiencies and economic advantages for advanced lightweight industrial components with unlimited arbitrary topological layouts and complex internal microstructures, and are also employed for fabrication of auxetic materials and structures. In this paper, damage characterizations and mechanical behaviors of selective laser melting (SLM) fabricated 3D re-entrant lattices are investigated based on in-situ interrupted micro-CT test, and simulation based on geometric reconstructed models are performed for exploring the underlying failure mechanisms. Firstly, theoretical models for predicting the mechanical properties of 3D re-entrant lattice are developed, such as stiffness, Poisson’s ratio and strength, etc. Secondly, the geometrical errors and fabrication defects of 3D re-entrant lattices are analyzed based on 3D micro-CT scanning, in-situ micro-CT interrupted compression tests are performed for studying the deformation process and failure mechanisms. Finally, image finite element models with the detailed information of the shape, position and distribution of defects of the 3D reentrant lattices are constructed from 3D tomographic images, and numerical simulations are performed for studying the effects of the defects on the mechanical performances of the SLM additive manufactured 3D re-entrant lattice structures. It is shown that the failure behavior of the reentrant lattice is governed not only by its topology, but also by the geometric defects and surface defects. Moreover, the proposed interrupted in-situ micro-CT mechanical loading experiments and image finite element approaches can also shed lights on the relations between fracture failure around the edge and the powder adhesion. The damage evolution process is compared with the numerical simulation results to verify the materials failure modes.

1. Introduction

Auxetics are structures or materials that have a negative Poisson’s ratio (NPR), and are also known as materials with anti-rubber or dilational features. Different from traditional materials, auxetics will be elongated along directions perpendicular to the tensile loading direction. Auxetic materials with NPRs have the following special properties: high shear modulus, high energy absorption rate, and heat insulation, etc. [1–3]. Such excellent physical properties play an important role in realizing multi-functional performances, and are of great significance for the development of aerospace, semiconductor devices, optical components, precision instruments, biomaterials and building materials [4–7].

In recent years, metal additive manufacturing (AM) are widely employed for industrial applications, such as: biomedical, aerospace, automotive, marine and offshore sections. AM demonstrated superior manufacturing efficiencies and economic advantages for advanced lightweight industrial components with unlimited arbitrary topological layouts and complex internal microstructures. Negative Poisson’s ratio structures are difficult to manufacture by traditional processes, and various types of advanced metal additive manufacturing techniques are proposed for fabrications of auxetic materials and structures due to their complex topology, such as selective laser melting (SLM) manufacturing of metal auxetics. Despite the huge progress in recent years in metal AM technologies, there remain some production issues which
undermine the mechanical integrity of as-fabricated industrial components, such as stiffness, strength, ductility, corrosion, and fatigue resistances. In practice, metal AM inevitably introduces surface and internal defects into the manufacturing structures with complex configurations, the most common defects are unwanted porosity from incorrect processing parameters or build conditions, surface roughness or other surface imperfections, residual stresses and resultant deformation caused by the complex thermal history, and anisotropic mechanical properties, which are closely related to laser speed, laser energy, scanning path strategy and powder thickness, etc. Moreover, these manufacturing process induced imperfections can be exaggerated due to the complex nature of the designs, and industrial applications of additive manufactured industrial components are still limited due to the degradation of mechanical integrity under complex static and dynamic external mechanical loading environment.

The Selective Laser Melting (SLM) is an additive manufacturing technology that uses metal powders and 3D CAD files to produce a quasi-finished product from a powder bed [8,9]. There are four major defects detected in the aluminum alloy components which are fabricated by SLM: porosities, surface quality, defects related to thermal cracking phenomena and anisotropy induced in the material [10]. Galy et al. [10] summarized the main defects of the aluminum alloy parts manufactured by SLM, and the causes for their forming and the consequences. Leon et al. [11] pointed out that surface roughness accelerates the fatigue life of specimens made by SLM. Tian et al. [12] found that it was helpful to reducing the surface roughness using the strategies of contour scan and skywriting scan. Furthermore, there is a more serious type of defect that is a geometric defect deviates from the design size. These defects have a significant impact on the mechanical properties and failure mechanisms of the lattice structure. In order to clarify these effects, X-ray computed tomography (CT) as an important 3D non-destructive testing technology plays an important role in researches. In-situ CT has been used to study the influence of defects on the mechanical properties of metallic materials and failure mechanisms in metals by tracking defect distribution and damage evolution [13,14]. More and more researchers use CT technology to characterize the morphology of lattice structure made by additive manufacturing and reveal the relationship between mechanical properties and 3D quantification of structure quality [15–27]. Boniotti et al. [19] used digital image correlation to measure full-field strain of BCC lattice and compared with the finite element results obtained for the idealized and the real lattice geometries. Takano et al. [15] employed the first-order perturbation based stochastic homogenization method to categorize geometrical imperfections into kink, notch and hole and embedded them into finite element model to predict the reduction of stiffness. Carlson et al. [23] evaluated the failure mechanisms of two types of lattice structures by performing in-situ uniaxial compression tests to capture the local micro-strain deformation. Liu et al. [24] used CT to capture morphology, location, and distribution of process-induced defects and extracted the statistical distributions of three sets of defects from X-ray tomography images so as to study their role in the elastic response, damage initiation, and failure evolution under quasi-static compression. Amani et al. [25] built a 3D image-based conformal finite element model to simulate the compression test using Gurnon-Tvergaard-Needleman (GTN) porous plasticity that is fairly good in agreement with experiments. There are also some representation methods of geometric imperfections recently. Wang et al. [28,29] provided a benchmark of cylindrical shell for investigating the effect to knockdown factor from pure geometric imperfections which gave some guidance for studying the imperfection sensitivity of manufactured structure.

As concerns 3D re-entrant lattice structures, these materials manufacturing technologies [30–35] make it possible to fabricate. Yang et al. [36,37] established an analytical model of a 3D re-entrant lattice structure for getting analytical solutions of the elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratios and compression yield strength of the re-entrant structure in all principal directions based on both a large deflection beam model and a Timoshenko beam model. Wang et al. [38] established an analytical model of a 3D re-entrant lattice structure based on energy method considering the overlapping of the struts as well as axial extension or compression. Li et al. [39] proposed a 3D augmented re-entrant cellular structure and derived its elastic properties in all principal directions. However, these theories do not give the critical compressive strength and the criteria for judging which strut failures firstly.

It can be seen from above literatures that no rigorous investigations have been carried out to further study the failure evolution of 3D re-entrant lattice structure via in-situ CT and quantify the geometric errors between the real structure and the ideal model. In this study, theoretical models for predicting the mechanical properties of 3D re-entrant lattice are developed, such as stiffness, Poisson’s ratio and strength, etc. Afterwards, we investigate the static compression response of 3D re-entrant lattice structures with ideal and real geometry and demonstrate the geometric defects induced by SLM, damage initiation, and failure evolution of the lattices using in-situ X-ray CT technique. The paper is organized in the following way. Analytical solution of the elastic properties and the critical compressive strength of 3D re-entrant lattice in principal direction are derived in Section 2. Section 3 demonstrates the manufacturing and microstructure of the lattice and presents the experimental results from in-situ X-ray computed tomography. Finally, numerical simulation elucidates the outcome from FEA of fully-detailed imperfect models in Section 4, which reveals the influence of defects on the mechanical response of 3D re-entrant lattices.
2. Analytical model

2.1. Elastic properties

The unit cell of the 3D re-entrant lattice structure is shown in Fig. 1(a). The cross section of all the struts both vertical and oblique are square. As shown in Fig. 1(b), there are four primary design parameters for the unit cell structure: the length of oblique strut \( l \), the length of vertical strut \( h \), the re-entrant angle \( \theta \), and the side length of cross section of the strut \( t \).

According to Gibson’s theory [40], the ratio of the macroscopic density of the lattice structure to the density of the solid material is defined as the relative density:

\[
\rho_r = \frac{\rho_s}{\rho} = \frac{4l^2H + 16t^2L}{(2l \sin \theta)^2 + 2(h - l \cos \theta)}
\]  

(1)

In order to simplify the expression, the actual length \( H \) of vertical strut and the internal distance \( L \) between adjacent vertical strut were introduced, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Where \( H \) and \( L \) can be obtained by geometric relations shown in Fig. 1(c): \( H = h + 2\Delta x = h + \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta} \), \( L = (l - \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}) \).

Poisson’s ratio and equivalent elastic modulus of re-entrant lattice under compressive stress in \( x_3 \) (referred as the ‘Z’) direction can be obtained from reference [36]:

\[
\nu_{xz} = \frac{\cos \theta - \cos \theta}{(l - 2\Delta t) \cos \theta} \left( \frac{6}{E} \right) + \frac{6}{E} + \frac{1}{E} = \frac{6}{E} + \frac{1}{E} \left( \frac{l - 2\Delta t}{\cos \theta} \right)
\]  

(2)

\[
E_z = \frac{E}{(l - 2\Delta t) \cos \theta} \left( \frac{6}{E} + \frac{1}{E} \right)
\]  

(3)

Where \( E_z \) and \( G_z \) are the elastic modulus and shear modulus of solid material, respectively. \( \Delta t \) is the effective length reduction of the oblique strut, \( \Delta h \) is the length reduction of vertical strut. Wang et al. [38] obtained the elastic properties of 3D re-entrant lattice, but did not derive the failure theory. Yang et al. [36] deduced the yield strength of the re-entrant structure but did not consider the buckling of the vertical strut and the effects of struts overlapping. Based on Wang’s theory, the critical compressive strength was deduced.

2.2. Critical compressive strength

Take lattice structure as bulk material, assuming that the compressive stress \( \sigma_z \) is applied far from the infinite three-dimensional re-entrant lattice along \( z \) direction (as shown in Fig. 2a), for a two-dimensional unit cell, the vertical strut is subjected to the compressive load \( F_1 \), and for a three-dimensional unit cell, the compressive load on the vertical strut is \( 2F_1 \) because the vertical strut is loaded on both \( x-z \) and \( y-z \) planes shown in Fig. 2c. So there are:

\[
\sigma_z = F \frac{A}{A} = \frac{4F_1}{(2l \sin \theta)^2 + 2(h - l \cos \theta)} \frac{F_1}{l^2 \sin^2 \theta}
\]  

(4)

Under the compressive load, the vertical strut is prone to elastic buckling. According to the beam’s Euler instability theory, assuming that both ends of vertical strut are fixed constraints, the critical compressive load for initial buckling is:

\[
F_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{(0.5(h - 2\Delta h))^2}
\]  

(5)

The load on the vertical strut in a three-dimensional cell is \( 2F_1 = F_{cr} \). For a square beam, the moment of inertia is \( I = \frac{l^2}{12} \). Accordingly, in the case of macroscopic buckling of the vertical strut, ultimate strength \( \sigma_{ub} \) applied far from the infinite 3D re-entrant lattice along \( z \) direction can be expressed as:

\[
\sigma_{ub} = F_{cr} = \frac{2\pi^2 EI}{6l^4 \sin^2 \theta(h - 2\Delta h)^2}
\]  

(6)

The yield stress of solid material is \( \sigma_Y \). When the compressive stress of vertical strut reaches the yield stress, the compressive load at this time is:

\[
2F_1 = \sigma_Y t^2
\]  

(7)

Accordingly, in the case of macroscopic yield of the vertical strut, ultimate strength \( \sigma_{oy} \) applied far from the infinite 3D re-entrant lattice along \( z \) direction can be written in the following formulations:

\[
\sigma_{oy} = \frac{\sigma_Y t^2}{2\pi^2 \sin^2 \theta}
\]  

(8)

For oblique strut, two assumptions were made: (1) Euler-Bernoulli’s plane section assumption, that is, the plane perpendicular to the beam axis before deformation, remains plane after deformation, and perpendicular to the curved beam axis; (2) only the normal stress along the beam axis is important in the section, and the shear stress and the normal stress along the beam height direction causing the longitudinal extrusion are negligible.

Under the combined action of bending moment and axial force, the oblique strut reaches the plastic limit state. Under the plastic limit state, as shown in Fig. 3(d), the stress at any point in the beam is \( +\sigma_y \) or \( -\sigma_y \) except for the points of \( \sigma = 0 \) on the neutral axis. Let the distance between the neutral axis and the geometric center axis of the cross section is \( \eta t/2 \), then

\[
N = -\sigma_y t \int_{-\eta}^{\eta} y dy + \sigma_y t \int_{-\eta}^{\eta} y dy = \sigma_y t^2 \frac{F_1 \cos \theta}{2}
\]  

(9)

\[
M = -\sigma_y t \int_{-\eta}^{\eta} y dy + \sigma_y t \int_{-\eta}^{\eta} y dy = \frac{1}{4} \sigma_y t^3 (1 - \eta^2) = \frac{F_1 \sin \theta}{4}
\]  

(10)
Accordingly, in the case of macroscopic yield of the oblique strut, ultimate strength $\sigma_{\text{oy}}$ applied far from the infinite 3D re-entrant lattice along $z$ direction can be expressed as:

$$
\sigma_{\text{oy}} = \frac{2\sigma_Y t^2}{l^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta}
$$

The final critical compressive strength of 3D re-entrant lattice is:

$$
\sigma_c = \min \{ \sigma_{\text{ox}}, \sigma_{\text{oy}}, \sigma_{\text{oz}} \}
$$

### 3. Experimental procedures and results discussion

#### 3.1. Manufacturing and microstructure

The unit cell of the 3D re-entrant structure investigated in this study is shown in Fig. 1. The shape of cross section of the struts in the auxetic cellular structure is square. The geometry parameters of the unit cell: the length of the vertical strut $h = 11.875$ mm, the length of the re-entrant strut $l = 6.987$ mm, the re-entrant angle between the vertical strut and the oblique strut $\theta = 63.434^\circ$, and the thickness of the strut cross section $t = 1.25$ mm. The samples contained three cell in $x_1$, $x_2$ and $x_3$ directions. The building direction and re-entrant sample printed by SLM are shown in Fig. 4.

For each experimental model, the specimens were manufactured via an Additive Manufacturing technology called Selective Laser Melting (SLM). The Al-Si10-Mg materials based in-situ micro-CT compression test samples used for this study were fabricated using an EOS M290 (constructed by EOS GmbH, Germany) machine, a selective laser melting system from SLM Solutions GmbH. Raw material powder was obtained from EOS GmbH, certified with particle sizes ranging from 18 to 58 $\mu$m. AlSi10Mg fine powder was used for the production of these re-entrant samples. The SLM forming technology is using a high-energy laser beam to melt and fuse the metal powder together in a layer-by-layer manner to obtain an almost fully dense part. The SLM device is equipped with a laser power of 370 W, a scanning speed of 1300 mm/s, a layer thickness of 30 $\mu$m and an argon gas atmosphere. The designed samples are supported on a plate heated to 300 $^\circ$C. To relieve residual stresses generated by the fabrication process, thermal stress relieving was performed on these specimens at 300 $^\circ$C for 2 h, prior to removing specimens from the support structures.

Powder adhesion is a common defect in the formation of lightweight lattice structures. The presence of this defect affects the shape and
dimensional accuracy of the cores of lightweight lattice structure, and it is difficult to remove the adherent powder in the post-treatment stage. The generation of powder adhesion is related to the metal powder material and the forming structure. The high-energy laser beam spot selectively melts metal powder to form a melting pool and a heat-affected zone. The powder in heat-affected zone is insufficiently melted due to insufficient energy which result in the insufficiently melted metal powder adheres to the melting zone after cooling [41]. As shown in Fig. 5, we can see severe powder adhesion phenomenon on the surface of strut.

For horizontal or oblique struts, the roughness of top surface was barely affected by various powers and speeds of laser scanning (as shown in Fig. 5d), at the same time the roughness of bottom surfaces increased as the laser scanning power increased, and decreased as the laser scanning speed increased [12] (as shown in Fig. 5f and h). For horizontal struts, thermal flux will accumulate on the underside due to gravity, eventually causing excessive melting and lengthening the section of strut along the build direction [27]. The roughness of the top and bottom surfaces can be greatly different due to this local thermal behavior. The thermal flux is dissipated through the molten powder, which causes the bottom surface to be excessively melted and increase the roughness. The mechanism diagram of roughness formation is shown in Fig. 6.

### 3.2. Mechanical properties of parent materials

For parent materials AlSi10Mg, the mechanical parameters were measured by Digital Image Correlation (DIC) technique. The stress-strain curves of uniaxial tension specimens are shown in Fig. 7. The mechanical parameters can be directly obtained from these curves, as shown in Table 1: elastic modulus $E = 33.2$ GPa, yield stress $\sigma_y = 260$ MPa, ultimate strength $\sigma_u = 466$ MPa and maximum plastic strain $\varepsilon^{\text{max}} = 4.2\%$. It should be noted that although all uniaxial tension specimens were produced by the same printing process, their mechanical properties were different. The failure mode of the specimens was a brittle fracture, and no localized necking stage like that of aluminum alloy occurs. In fact, the influence of component size on mechanical parameters should be considered, but if the specimens have the same size with the struts in the as-built lattice structures, they will be too weak to test and be destroyed easily when clamping, it is difficult to measure their mechanical parameters. Therefore, block materials were used here. In the future research, different printing angles and different

---

**Table 1**

| Elastic modulus E | Yield stress $\sigma_y$ | Ultimate strength $\sigma_u$ | Maximum plastic strain $\varepsilon^{\text{max}}$ |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 33.2 GPa          | 260 MPa                | 466 MPa                     | 1.0                             |
specimen size will be considered to study their influence on mechanical parameters of materials.

3.3. In-situ CT experiment

High-precision industrial X-ray computed tomography (CT) was used to perform 3D digital reconstruction of 3D additive manufacturing re-entrant lattice to capture elastoplastic deformation, damage initiation and failure evolution of 3D lattice samples tested under in-situ compression experiments. The CT equipment operated at a voltage of 100 KV and a tube current of 300μA was used to scan the lattice structure. During each scan, the sample was rotated from 0° to 360° at increments of 0.36°/s for each rotation step and a total set of 2048 images projected on the detector screen which has 2048 × 2048 square sensitive pixels was obtained after a full rotation. An isotropic voxel size of the reconstructed images was 29 μm. Zwick Z100 device was used for the compression test, and the displacement rate of the pressure head was 0.5 mm/min which is consistent with the ASTM standard E9-09. During each scan the displacement will stop for scanning and some relaxation was observed after each loading step. There were seven loading steps (as shown in Table 2) for observing the mechanical behavior of the re-entrant lattice structure.

Avizo® software was used to perform the image noise reduction, image segmentation, three dimensional visualization and quantification. First of all, apply the median filter to the tomography data to remove noise for improving the results of later processing (for example, edge detection on images). Then each 3D tomographic dataset was segmented into two white color represented as the solid material and black color represented as large voids of the lattice structure by a single threshold value, and morphological operation was implemented to remove small isolated points that have no relationship with the material points. Finally, 3D surface mesh model was generated from 3D binary dataset.

### Table 2

| Step | Displacement/mm |
|------|-----------------|
| 0    | 0.5             |
| 1    | 1.0             |
| 2    | 1.5             |
| 3    | 2.0             |
| 4    | 2.5             |
| 5    | 3.0             |
| 6    | 3.5             |
| 7    | 4.0             |

3.3.1. Geometric error

After the 3D surface mesh model (CT model) was generated, it was necessary to perform a three dimensional comparison between the CT model and CAD model for getting the geometric errors of the 3D re-entrant lattice structure. For the purpose of doing this comparison, the two models could be aligned through fast and automatic best fit alignment tool or manual alignment tool. In Fig. 8, all the dimensional differences between the CAD model created by SolidWorks® and the actual CT reconstructed surface (STL mesh) are represented by colors. The global tolerance ranges from −0.34 mm to 0.38 mm and the thickness of a single ideal CAD strut is 1.25 mm, that means for a single strut the maximum geometrical tolerance is −27.2% to 30.4% which has significant influence on the local deformation or failure mode.

3.3.2. Deformation response

In this study, the real-time three-dimensional deformation behavior of 3D re-entrant lattice structure was studied by simultaneously tracking microscopic and macroscopic scale deformations. The curve of force versus displacement is shown in Fig. 9(a). The numbers correspond to each loading step were marked on each plot. As mentioned above, the information including 3D spatial location of each joint node and strut about the as-built lattice was provided by each 3D tomographic dataset. Local deformation was quantified and failure mechanisms were identified through time tracking. Fig. 9(c) shows the overall failure process of the re-entrant lattice which was reconstructed from the tomography at each loading step. Red circles manifest that the edge struts (at lattice boundary) failure from buckling to fracture.
3.3.3. Tomography analysis

In order to observe the fracture position of struts clearly, the sub-volumes were extracted from the 3D tomographic dataset of 7th loading step, as shown in Fig. 10. The most obvious finding to emerge from Fig. 10 is that the occurrence of fracture location of strut tends to the side of severe powder adhesion. The reason for this phenomenon is that excessive melting and powder adhesion have an effect on the strength of the joint node which is a significant contributory factor to the fracture location of strut.

As mentioned previously, the oblique strut in the lattice is subjected to tension, bending, and shearing, but it has been found through experiments that the deformation of the strut is also accompanied by torsion. This is mainly due to the lower nodal connectivity of the struts at external boundaries than those at the interior. Additional boundary effects exacerbate nodal rotations, leading to the introduction of torsion in the deformation of struts intersecting at lattice corners. Fig. 11 shows the deformation process of the struts in the boundary cell over time during loading.

Then, sub-volumes around the joint nodes were extracted to inspect the failure history of node. Owing to the nodes in the 0–3 steps have no obvious deformation, only the regions around the nodes in 4–7 steps were intercepted to display. As can be seen from Fig. 12, the rupture initiation of the struts was located outside the re-entrant angle.

In the boundary cell, the fracture of oblique struts under the combined action of the stretching, bending, shearing, and torsion occurred ahead of the buckling of vertical struts. Meanwhile, the vertical strut was eccentrically bent due to the twist of the node. In the interior cell of the lattice structure, vertical struts did not undergo buckling, and the yield of oblique struts started firstly. According to Eqs. (6), (8) and (10): \( \sigma_{ab} = 2.926\text{MPa}, \sigma_{yy} = 5.200\text{MPa}, \sigma_{yf} = 2.075\text{MPa}, \sigma_{yy} < \sigma_{ab} < \sigma_{yf} \), which is consistent with the experimental results.

---

**Fig. 9.** In-situ compression results: (a) the curve of force versus displacement; (b) view orientation; (c) the front view of CT reconstruction at different steps represents overall failure process of re-entrant lattice.

**Fig. 10.** Sub-volumes of the 7th reconstruction model, red dashed arrows indicate the location of fracture and green solid arrows indicate the side of severe powder adhesion.
4. Numerical simulation and results discussion

4.1. FEA of single struts

After analyzing the experimental test, Finite Element Analysis (FEA) was performed to compare the result. First of all, for the sake of easy analysis, the results of FEA of the single strut with ideal geometry (named CAD model) and the single strut with real geometry (named CT reconstructed model) were compared, as shown in Fig. 13.

The ideal strut of 9 mm long was meshed into 28,928 eight-node linear hexahedron elements using ABAQUS®. The CT reconstructed strut of 9 mm long was meshed into 134,956 ten-node quadratic tetrahedron elements. The same boundary conditions were imposed on the mesh of the struts: all displacement degree of freedom of all nodes located on the left surface was constrained blocked, axial displacement degree of freedom of all nodes located on the right surface was subjected to an imposed displacement of 5 mm. The classical metal plasticity models were implemented in the material model using Mises yield surface with J2 plastic flow, which allows for isotropic hardening. And, the ductile damage criterion was applied to the material model.

For the FEA of CAD model, the strut was regular with lower strain localizations and more homogeneous damage than the CT reconstructed model. Load-displacement curves and stress-strain curves for the different FE model of single struts were shown in Fig. 14. Because the cross section caused by excessive melting increased, the ultimate load of the CT reconstruction model was higher than the CAD model, but the ultimate stress of the CT reconstruction model was lower than the CAD model. Since the cross-section of the model reconstructed by CT was variable, the average of 20 cross-sections was taken to calculate its stress-strain curve.

4.2. FEA of 3D re-entrant lattices

Moving on now to consider the whole re-entrant structure. The first FE model that is based on an ideal geometry of the specimen was meshed into 101,709 eight-node linear hexahedron elements. The second FE model of the real structure defined from the tomography, was then meshed into 2,390,457 four-node tetrahedral elements. Although the same type of element should be selected for numerically analysis for fairness, we used different element types for CAD model, only the Load-Displacement curves have a little difference, the results are almost the same. For the higher accuracy and lower computational burden of hexahedron element, we chose and showed the result of the hexahedron element of CAD model.

A uniaxial compression loading was applied on the generated volume meshes. The typical boundary conditions imposed on the mesh of the CT...
The FEA results of the CT reconstructed model and the CAD model at linear elastic stage were compared, as shown in Fig. 16. And the predicted load-displacement curves of the ideal CAD and CT reconstructed model were compared to the experimental curve in Fig. 17. In contrast with experimental result, it is clear that the FE simulations of the ideal CAD and CT reconstructed model result in overestimated load values. Fig. 18 shows the final failure mode of the CAD model, which is consistent with the experimental results. But the failure results of the CT reconstruction model have not been shown here due to computational efficiency issues. Owing to some limitations of the FE model, numerical results definitely will have some difference with experimental result. In fact, it is difficult to run a convergence analysis on account of the computational burden of the geometrical reconstruction. And enormous numbers of mesh and the scarcity of computer memory refused to adopt a mesh with quadratic elements.

The numerical analysis results indicate that: (1) The stress concentration area at the intersection of struts with real geometry is significantly larger than the ideal CAD model and strain localizations is more obvious; (2) Geometric irregularities can lead to a reduction in critical buckling load and the surface morphology of specimen reduces the ultimate strength and strain at break of the solid material; (3) The reason why FE simulations with the ideal CAD model results in higher load values compared to experiments is that the ideal model have perfect geometry; (4) FE simulations with the CT reconstructed model results in higher load values compared to CAD model and experiments, that is because the powder adhesion caused by over-melting hardly participates in load-bearing, but this part of can’t be distinguished by CT technology, which leads to the existence of fictitious bearing area in the finite element model, and finally the load calculated by the reconstructed model is artificially high; (5) Surface roughness and powder adhesion do not affect the final failure mode of 3D re-entrant lattice structure.
Fig. 15. Boundary conditions imposed on the CT reconstruction model.

Fig. 16. Numerical simulation results presented for the whole structure: FEA of CAD model (left), FEA of CT reconstructed model (right).

Fig. 17. Load-displacement curves obtained by FE simulations of the CAD model and CT reconstructed model and compression test.
5. Conclusion

In this work, theoretical models for predicting the mechanical properties of 3D re-entrant lattice are developed, such as stiffness, Poisson’s ratio and strength, etc. Afterwards, 3D re-entrant lattices with negative Poisson’s ratio were manufactured by SLM, and in-situ X-ray computed tomography (CT) is used to obtain the shape, position and distribution of defects to study their mechanical response, damage initiation and failure evolution under quasi-static compression. The geometric defects extracted from the tomographic images are introduced into the finite element model and the corresponding numerical simulation of the compression test is carried out to predict the deformation behavior of lattice structures. From the aspects of elastic modulus, strength and failure mechanism, it reveals the influence of defects on the 3D reentrant lattice structures. The insights gained from the experimental results and FEA results demonstrate the following conclusions:

1. Geometric errors between the samples and the CAD models are large, which have a significant impact on the mechanical properties of the structures and joint nodes resulting in the fracture failure of the struts tends to occur on the side where the powder adhesion is serious.
2. In the interior cell of the lattice structure, vertical struts did not undergo buckling and the yield of oblique struts started firstly based on the analytical solution of critical compressive strength.
3. The 3D re-entrant lattice with real geometry shows higher stress concentration and more obvious strain localizations. Geometric irregularities of samples reduce the ultimate strength and strain at break of the solid material.
4. Both FEA results of CAD model and CT reconstructed model exhibit higher load values compared to experiments. The CT model with real geometry has fictitious bearing area which hardly participates in load-bearing.

In addition, the FE analysis on the real structure does not remove the part which hardly participates in load-bearing. The fictitious bearing area might be required to be considered since which results in increasing bearing area and predictive load values. The modelling of the fictitious bearing area will be considered for future developments.
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