Effect of the interpass temperature on simulated heat-affected zone of gas metal arc welded API 5L X70 pipe joint
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Abstract
Welding costs associated with the laying of pipes for deepwater oil and gas extraction can be reduced using high interpass temperatures (ITs). However, a high IT can decrease the mechanical properties of the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of welded joints. With the use of high strength-toughness steels, this decrease may be an acceptable trade-off. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the influence of high ITs on the HAZ. The influence of the IT on the coarse-grain HAZ (CGHAZ) and intercritically reheated coarse-grain HAZ (ICCGHAZ) of an API 5L X70 pipe joint welded by gas metal arc welding was investigated. The welding was numerically simulated using finite element method software. The microstructure of the HAZ was predicted using thermodynamic simulation software. The CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ were also physically simulated and evaluated via optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy, dilatometry, and Vickers microhardness and Charpy V-notch (CVN) impact tests. The increase in IT led to a decrease in CGHAZ microhardness but did not affect the ICCGHAZ. The CVN energies obtained for all ITs (CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ) were higher than that set by the DNVGL-ST-F101 standard (50 J). These results show that increasing the IT is an interesting and effective method to reduce welding costs. In addition, thermodynamic simulation proved to be a valid method for predicting the phases in the HAZ of API 5L X70 pipe welded joints.
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1 Introduction
To strengthen their position in a highly competitive market, oil and gas companies have funded research projects aimed at developing solutions to reduce their process costs. The exploration of oil and gas in high-deep water requires the use of longer rigid risers. These pipes are initially welded on support ships (~ US $650,000/day rent) and then laid by the J-lay method. One way to reduce the costs of installing pipes in deep water is to reduce the welding time. The reduction of the welding time can be achieved by developing welding parameters, such as high heat input [1] and welding current [2]. However, this can decrease the hardness and impact toughness [3, 4] of the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of high-strength low-alloy (HSLA) steels because of the formation of brittle regions, such as a coarse-grain HAZ (CGHAZ) [5] and an intercritically reheated coarse-grain HAZ (ICCGHAZ) [6], which increase the risk of welded joint failure [7].

Another method that has been studied is the use of a high welding interpass temperature (IT), which reduces the time required for joint cooling, thus increasing productivity. Sirin et al. [8] observed that intermittent welding (with interruptions for joint cooling) increased the fracture toughness of the welded joint by approximately 18% compared with a continuous process. They concluded that the IT directly influenced the toughness of the HAZ of an API 5L X65 pipe. However, Neves and Loureiro [9] reported that a high IT reduces the HAZ fracture toughness, compromising the performance of the welded joint in service. The increase in IT reduces the cooling rate of the welded joint owing to the lower temperature gradient in the HAZ [10]. Shi and Han [11] reported a drop in the HAZ toughness owing to the amount
of martensite-austenite (M-A) constituents formed at lower cooling rates. Dornelas et al. [12] observed that the increase in the IT significantly changed in the microstructure and consequently decreased the CGHAZ hardness and fracture toughness of a Cr–Mo low-alloy steel. On the other hand, Wang et al. [13] reported an improvement in the HAZ toughness due to an increase in IT between 80 °C and 130 °C; however, a drop was observed when the IT increased beyond 130 °C. Therefore, the use of a higher IT seems to be critical in alloys sensitive to the cooling rate, justifying its control to ensure good fracture toughness. Steels with high fracture toughness may lose some of their properties without compromising their performance during service, thus reducing production costs. Thus, it is necessary to study the influence of an increase in IT on the CGHAZ and ICCHAZ of these alloys.

In welding with different parameters from those specified by the welding procedure (e.g., higher IT), it is necessary to perform a series of tests to evaluate the mechanical behavior of the welded joint, followed by a requalification of the new parameters, which is expensive. In this case, computer simulations of the welding process and thermodynamic simulations can be used to predict the HAZ thermal cycles and microstructures under different welding conditions (e.g., IT); this speeds up the analysis and makes it less expensive. Computer simulations of welding (finite element method, FEM) [14–18] and thermodynamics (Calphad method) [12, 19, 20] have developed in recent years.

To reduce welding costs, this paper examines the effects of welding at IT ≥ 300 °C on the microstructures and impact toughness of the simulated CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ of a high strength-toughness API 5L X70 pipe. The welding thermal cycles of the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ were simulated using a commercial FEM software. Then, the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ were evaluated by optical microscopy (OM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and Vickers microhardness and Charpy V-notch (CVN) impact tests. The simulated welding thermal cycles were also reproduced in dilatometry tests. A commercial thermodynamic simulation software (Calphad method) was used to predict the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ microstructures. A comparative study was performed to evaluate the accuracy of the predictions.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

A hot-rolled (seamless, Mannesmann process) HSLA API 5L X70 pipe with a ferritic microstructure (Fig. 1) was welded in this work. Table 1 lists the chemical compositions (wt. %) of the pipe and weld metal. Table 2 lists the mechanical properties of the pipe. The carbon equivalent (CEIIW) was calculated from Eq. 1.

\[ \text{CEIIW} = C + \frac{\text{Mn}}{6} + \frac{\text{Cr} + \text{Mo} + \text{V}}{5} + \frac{\text{Cu} + \text{Ni}}{15} \quad (1) \]

Table 1  Chemical compositions (wt. %) of the API 5L X70 pipe and weld metal analyzed by optical emission spectroscopy, and the carbon equivalent (CEIIW) of the API 5L X70 pipe

| Chemical element | Material             | API 5L X70 pipe | Weld metal |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| C                |                      | 0.019          | 0.071     |
| Mn               |                      | 1.397          | 1.430     |
| Si               |                      | 0.254          | 0.710     |
| P                |                      | 0.010          | 0.015     |
| S                |                      | 0.002          | 0.011     |
| Cr               |                      | 0.023          | 0.048     |
| Mo               |                      | 0.057          | 0.017     |
| Ni               |                      | 0.148          | 0.027     |
| Nb + V + Ti      |                      | 0.080          | < 0.012   |
| Al               |                      | 0.028          | 0.004     |
| Cu               |                      | 0.475          | 0.106     |
| CEIIW            |                      | 0.32           | —         |

Fig. 1 Optical (a) and scanning electron (b) micrographs of the fine-grained ferritic steel API 5L X70 pipe
The API 5L X70 pipe was gas metal arc girth welded to obtain the data to be used as input in the numerical simulation and to perform its validation. Table 3 presents the parameters adopted for gas metal arc welding. The IT was monitored using K-type thermocouples welded on the surface of the base metal 25 mm from the groove (a common measure distance during welding). Figure 2 shows the groove configuration and a schematic of the weld passes performed.

### 2.3 Welding modeling

To describe the HAZ thermal cycles, the physical welding thermal cycle simulators used simplified analytical models such as Rosenthal [21] and Rykalin 2D [22]. These models simplified some factors; for example, the physical properties are temperature independent, the metals are solid during welding, no solid phase transformation occurs, there is no heat loss by radiation and convection, and the heat source has zero volume (point or line) [23]. However, these simplifications lead to errors in the HAZ thermal cycle simulation. Numerical simulation methods (e.g., FEM) have been used to overcome these limitations and make the physical simulation more realistic [24, 25]. The commercial FEM software
Sysweld® was used to accurately describe the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ thermal cycles. The weld metal elements were simulated using the birth and death technique. The procedures for executing, documenting, and validating the welding simulations followed the ISO 18,166 standard.

The welding was modeled using the principle of energy conservation [26]. The fundamental equation of heat conduction in multiphase solids is expressed as Eq. 2, where the temperature distribution (T) is a function of the time (t) and position (x, y, z). k is the thermal conductivity, c_p is the specific heat, ρ is the density, T is the temperature distribution, p is the phase proportion, and Q is the heat generated per volume. $i$ and $j$ are phases indexes, $A_{ij}$ is the proportion of phase transformation per unit time, and $L_{ij}(T)$ is the latent heat of the phase transformation. $c_p$, k, and ρ (Table 4) are obtained from the chemical composition-based thermodynamic simulation of the pipe using the JMatPro® software. The same properties were used for the base and weld metals during the simulation because their chemical compositions were similar.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\sum_i P(T, k(T)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\sum_i P(T, k(T)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\sum_i P(T, k(T)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right) + \sum_j A_{ij} L_{ij}(T) = \sum_i P(T, c_p(T)) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \tag{2}
\]

The initial condition of the model assumed an IT of 245 °C at 25 mm away from the groove (a common measurement location in welding). The IT is heterogeneous in the joint owing to the localized heating induced by the electric arc [27]; hence, the model considers the temperature distribution as the initial condition. The boundary condition considers the heat flow due to convection and radiation in the welded joint according to Eq. 3, where $q$ is the energy supplied by the heat source, $ε$ is the emissivity coefficient (0.8), $σ$ is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant ($5.6704 \times 10^{-8}$ Wm$^{-2}$ K$^{-4}$), $T_0$ is the room temperature (20 °C), and $h$ is the convective heat transfer coefficient (25 Wm$^{-2}$ K$^{-4}$). The latent heat of the phase transformation is also considered.

\[
-q \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right) = εσ(T^4 − T_0^4) − h(T − T_0) \tag{3}
\]

The moving Goldak double-ellipsoid model [28] was adopted to represent the welding heat source. This model is a combination of two ellipsoids, one in front and the other at the rear, as described by Eq. 4. $a$, $b$, and $c_i$ are the semi-axes of the double ellipsoid (Table 5); $\nu$, $q_i$, and $r$ are the welding speed (Table 3), power density distribution in the double ellipsoid, and time, respectively. $U$ is the electric arc voltage, $η$ is the thermal efficiency of welding (0.86), and $I$ is the welding current (Table 3). $f$ and $r$ are the rear and front quadrants of the Goldak double ellipsoid; $ff$ and $fr$ are the fractions of the heat at the rear ($fr$) and front ($ff = 2 − fr$), respectively. Table 5 presents the dimensions of Goldak’s heat source (I and II) used to simulate the weld passes 7–8 (I) and 5–6 (II). Figure 2 shows the weld pass numbers 5–8.

\[
q_i(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6\sqrt{3}f_iθUI}{abc\pi\sqrt{π}} \exp\left(-\frac{3\nu^2}{a^2} - \frac{3\nu^2}{b^2} - \frac{3ν^2}{c_i^2}\right), \quad i = f and r \tag{4}
\]

The accuracy of an FEM model is influenced by the mesh density used in the physical analysis. Thus, it is necessary to perform mesh refinement in the study regions (CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ) because they experienced severe

Table 4  Density ($\rho$), thermal conductivity ($k$), and specific heat ($c_p$) of the HSLA API 5L X70 pipe at a constant pressure and various temperatures ($T$).

| Temperature, $T$ (°C) | Density, $\rho$ (g cm$^{-3}$) | Thermal conductivity, $k$ (W (m k)$^{-1}$) | Specific heat, $c_p$ (J (g K)$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2000                  | 6.58                          | 42.92                                    | 0.82                            |
| 1900                  | 6.67                          | 41.07                                    | 0.82                            |
| 1800                  | 6.75                          | 39.23                                    | 0.82                            |
| 1700                  | 6.84                          | 37.38                                    | 0.82                            |
| 1600                  | 6.92                          | 35.54                                    | 0.82                            |
| 1500                  | 7.25                          | 35.45                                    | 0.73                            |
| 1400                  | 7.33                          | 34.33                                    | 0.69                            |
| 1300                  | 7.38                          | 33.11                                    | 0.67                            |
| 1200                  | 7.44                          | 31.89                                    | 0.66                            |
| 1100                  | 7.49                          | 30.66                                    | 0.64                            |
| 1000                  | 7.55                          | 29.45                                    | 0.63                            |
| 900                   | 7.60                          | 28.23                                    | 0.61                            |
| 800                   | 7.59                          | 30.38                                    | 0.96                            |
| 700                   | 7.61                          | 32.81                                    | 0.98                            |
| 600                   | 7.64                          | 35.01                                    | 0.82                            |
| 500                   | 7.68                          | 37.54                                    | 0.69                            |
| 400                   | 7.72                          | 40.03                                    | 0.62                            |
| 300                   | 7.75                          | 42.03                                    | 0.56                            |
| 200                   | 7.78                          | 43.15                                    | 0.52                            |
| 100                   | 7.82                          | 43.10                                    | 0.48                            |
| 25                    | 7.84                          | 42.21                                    | 0.45                            |
temperature gradients during welding. The adequate meshing for the problem was based on the literature [29] and the authors’ simulation experience. Figure 3 presents the FEM model mesh and a macrograph of the welded joint. Figure 4 shows the thermal profile during the welding simulation of the sixth pass (forming a CGHAZ—left) and the eighth pass (forming a CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ—right). The ICCGHAZ was formed because of the intercritical reheating (900–773 °C) of the previous CGHAZ.

2.4 Physical simulation of the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ

The simulated CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ welding thermal cycles (Sect. 3.1) were reproduced in the specimens using a Gleeble® 3800 physical simulator. The specimens (11 mm × 11 mm × 80 mm) were removed along the longitudinal direction of the pipe. After the physical simulation, these were subjected to microscopy, Vickers microhardness measurements, and Charpy V-notch impact testing.

2.4.1 Microstructural characterization

The physically simulated specimens were microstructurally characterized by OM (Zeiss Axio Imager M2m) and SEM (Tescan VEJA 3). The OM and SEM specimens were ground (sandpaper from 100 to 1200 grade), polished (diamond paste from 3 to 1 μm), and etched with 2% nital followed by 2% picral solutions.

2.4.2 Mechanical testing

Full-size CVN impact testing was performed using a JBW-300 pendulum impact tester at –30 °C, in accordance with ASTM A370. The microhardness test was performed using an HV-1000 Digimet hardness tester with a load of 1 kgf and a dwell time of 20 s, in accordance with ASTM E92.

2.5 Thermodynamic simulation

Thermodynamic simulation was performed using the JMatPro® software to obtain the physical properties of the API 5L X70 pipe (Table 4) and the continuous cooling transformation (CCT) diagram (Fig. 5). To predict the microstructures, simulations of the phase contents during cooling for all ITs (CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ) using the average...
cooling rate as the input data were performed. The average cooling rate was calculated as 1350–300 °C (CGHAZ) for IT = 300, 350, 400, and 425 °C (9, 4.6, 2.9, and 2.6 °C/s, respectively) from the simulated thermal welding cycles (Sect. 3.1). For the ICCGHAZ, the average cooling rate was similar for all conditions (1 °C/s, owing to the lower peak temperature, 850 °C); hence, only a single simulation was performed for all ITs. All the previously mentioned simulations were based on the chemical composition of the base metal (Table 1).

2.6 Dilatometry

Dilatometry tests were performed using the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ simulated welding thermal cycles (Sect. 3.1) in a Gleeble 3800 physical simulator. The well-known tangent method [30] was applied to determine the phase formation starting temperatures during cooling.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 FEM model and its validation

The welding simulation was validated by comparing the simulated welding thermal cycles (Sysweld®) in the last four weld passes with the actual thermal cycles measured with thermocouples; both were obtained from the base metal 25 mm away from the joint groove. A similar validation procedure is specified in ISO 18166, which has been used by other authors in the literature [4, 12, 31]. Figure 6 shows the excellent correlation between the simulated and measured welding thermal cycles. After validation of the FEM model, welding simulations were performed considering the ITs of 300, 350, 400, and 425 °C. Figure 7 shows the thermal cycles obtained for the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ. Petrobras N-133, the official welding standard adopted in Brazil, indicates the maximum IT of 315 °C for the API 5L X70 pipe as...
it guarantees in the welded joint the minimum CVN energy required (50 J) in the DNVGL-ST-F101 standard. Therefore, the ITSs of 350, 400, and 425 °C were chosen aiming to reduce the welding cost without significantly reducing the CVN impact energy.

3.2 Microstructural characterization

Figure 8 shows the macrographs of the simulated CGHAZ. For IT = 300 °C, the CGHAZ was formed by needle ferrite and massive and slender aligned martensite-austenite-carbide...
(M-A-C) morphologies, which were also observed by Di et al. [32] and Zhu et al. [33]. For IT ≥ 350 °C, the ferritic grains were larger and only massive M-A-C formed compared with IT = 300 °C. Needle ferrite was formed for IT = 300 °C because of the shorter time available (i.e., with thermodynamically favorable conditions) for the diffusion of alloying elements, and consequently grain growth. This behavior occurred because of the higher cooling rate of the alloy during its phase transformation at 850–400 °C (CCT diagram in Fig. 6) for IT = 300 °C compared with the other
ITs (Fig. 9). According to the literature [34–36], the increase in heat input changes the M-A-C morphology from slender to massive owing to the decrease in the cooling rate. Figures 8 and 9 show that the IT increase decreased the cooling rate, creating the necessary thermodynamic conditions for the formation of a massive instead of slender M-A-C. The microstructures observed in the CGHAZ were similar to those observed by other researchers [32, 33, 37, 38], although some have also identified the formation of granular bainite [37, 39]. The phase content during cooling, obtained from the thermodynamic simulation (Fig. 10), indicates the presence of ferrite for all ITs. For IT \( \geq 350 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), the minor formation of perlite and bainite was predicted (<1%). The formation of ferrite for all ITs was confirmed by dilatometry tests (Fig. 11).

Figure 12 shows micrographs of the simulated ICCGHAZ. For IT = 300 °C, ferrite and massive M-A-C were formed. However, owing to intercritical reheating, the ferritic grains were equiaxial and larger than those in the CGHAZ. For IT \( \geq 350 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), M-A-C formation was observed at the grain boundaries of the prior austenite, which was also observed by other authors [40, 41]. The grain boundary M-A-C observed for IT \( \geq 350 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) may be related to the lower cooling rate after intercritical reheating (Fig. 9), which can produce the thermodynamically favorable conditions for the diffusion of alloying elements over a longer period. The formation of ferrite for all ITs was confirmed by dilatometry tests (Fig. 13). The thermodynamic simulation (JMatPro®) indicated the major presence of ferrite (Fig. 14) and minor perlite (<1%) for all ITs.

From the microstructural analysis and dilatometry, it was possible to obtain good agreement between the observed and predicted microstructures in the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ for all ITs. These results show that thermodynamic simulation for phase prediction is a valid and powerful method for analyzing the welding of API 5L X70 pipes.

### 3.3 Mechanical testing

Figure 15 shows the Vickers microhardness values of the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ. For the CGHAZ, the increase in IT led to a decrease in microhardness owing to the reduction of the cooling rate (Fig. 9). Such thermodynamically favorable conditions promoted greater diffusion of the alloying elements, thus decreasing the hardening by solid solution in the resulting ferritic microstructure. This difference in diffusion
explains the change in the needle to equiaxial ferrite, as previously reported (Sec. 3.2). The decrease in microhardness with the reduction in cooling rate was also observed in other studies [4, 37, 42]. For the ICCGHAZ, the increase in IT did not strongly influence the Vickers microhardness because the cooling rates arising from the different ITs are similar (Fig. 9b).

The CVN energies for all ITs, for both the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ, reached the maximum value of the equipment (300 J), i.e., the specimens did not fracture. The high CVN energies are attributed to the maintenance of the ferritic microstructure in all cases. Figures 8 and 12 show that in both the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ, M-A-C was formed with slender and massive morphologies [34, 43]. Several studies have investigated the influence of the M-A-C morphology...
Despite the controversy over whether the slender \cite{34, 44} or massive \cite{45, 46} morphology is more detrimental to toughness, all studies agree that both morphologies can cause the HAZ of the API 5L X70 pipe to transition from ferrite to austenite at lower temperatures compared to the CGHAZ.

Fig. 13 Results of the dilatometry test indicating the starting temperature of ferrite formation ($F_s$) for the intercritically reheated coarse-grain heat-affected zone.

Fig. 14 Simulated phase contents of the intercritically reheated coarse-grain heat-affected zone during cooling for all interpass temperatures (cooling rate $= 1 \, ^\circ C/s$).

Fig. 15 Vickers microhardness of the coarse-grain heat-affected zone (CGHAZ) and intercritically reheated coarse-grain heat-affected zone (ICCGHAZ) for the interpass temperatures of 300, 350, 400, and 425 $^\circ C$.
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on the toughness. Despite the controversy over whether the slender \cite{34, 44} or massive \cite{45, 46} morphology is more detrimental to toughness, all studies agree that both morphologies can cause the HAZ of the API 5L X70 pipe to
become brittle [32, 40, 47, 48]. Because of the high CVN energies obtained, it was not possible to assess the influence of M-A-C formation. However, even if this influence is negative, the obtained CVN energies were considerably higher than the limit set by the DNVGL-ST-F101 standard (50 J). Thus, all the investigated ITs do not jeopardize the fracture toughness of the HAZ.

4 Conclusions

The influence of the IT = 300, 350, 400, and 425 °C on the CVN impact energy of numerically and physically simulated CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ of an API 5L X70 pipe was studied. The welding thermal cycles of the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ were successfully simulated using a commercial FEM software. The CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ microstructures were formed by ferrite and M-A-C in all IT. The increase in IT decreased the Vickers microhardness of the CGHAZ owing to lower cooling rates (230 HV1 (9 °C/s) to 201 HV1 (2.6 °C/s), IT = 300 and 425 °C, respectively). For the ICCGHAZ, the influence of the IT was weaker (224 to 216 HV1, IT = 300 and 425 °C, respectively). Despite the formation of M-A-C in the CGHAZ and ICCGHAZ, the CVN impact energies in these regions were considerably higher (300 J) than the limit set by the DNVGL-ST-F101 standard (50 J). These results show that a high IT can reduce the welding time and increase productivity without compromising impact toughness of the HAZ. Moreover, the thermodynamic simulation correctly predicted the ferrite formation for all IT. Thus, it proved to be an efficient method for predicting the HAZ microstructure of API 5L X70 pipe, reducing costs through tests and analyses.
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