A QUICK Screen for Lrrk2 Interaction Partners – Leucine-rich Repeat Kinase 2 is Involved in Actin Cytoskeleton Dynamics* [S]
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Mutations in human leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (Lrrk2), a protein of yet unknown function, are linked to Parkinson’s disease caused by degeneration of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. The protein comprises several domains including a GTPase and a kinase domain both affected by several pathogenic mutations. To elucidate the molecular interaction network of endogenous Lrrk2 under stoichiometric constraints, we applied QUICK (quantitative immunoprecipitation combined with knockdown) in NIH3T3 cells. The identified interactome reveals actin isoforms as well as actin-associated proteins involved in actin filament assembly, organization, rearrangement, and maintenance, suggesting that the biological function of Lrrk2 is linked to cytoskeletal dynamics. In fact, we demonstrate Lrrk2 de novo binding to F-actin and its ability to modulate its assembly in vitro. When tested in intact cells, knockdown of Lrrk2 causes morphological alterations in NIH3T3 cells. In developing dopaminergic midbrain primary neurons, Lrrk2 knockdown results in shortened neurite processes, indicating a physiological role of Lrrk2 in cytoskeletal organization and dynamics of dopaminergic neurons. Hence, our results demonstrate that molecular interactions as well as the physiological function of Lrrk2 are closely related to the organization of the actin-based cytoskeleton, a crucial feature of neuronal development and neuron function. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 10.1: 10.1074/mcp.M110.001172, 1–17, 2011.

Parkinson’s disease (PD) 1 is the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder but the underlying pathogenesis still remains elusive. The human leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (Lrrk2, OMIM 609007) gene has been identified as the most common causative gene of autosomal-dominant inherited and idiopathic PD (1). To date, more than 50 variants within the Lrrk2 locus have been described, seven of which are confirmed to be pathogenic (2). The clinical symptoms of Lrrk2 mutation carriers are indistinguishable from those of idiopathic PD patients, whereas the related neuropathology is pleomorphic, including α-synucleinopathy, tauopathy, and ubiquitin deposits or nigral neuronal loss solely (3, 4). Therefore, Lrrk2 might be central to neurodegenerative pathways associated with parkinsonism (5). The expression pattern of Lrrk2 comprises many regions of the central nervous system as well as some peripheral organs like heart, liver, lung, and kidney (4, 6). The protein localizes to various neuronal populations of the brain, primarily striatum, cortex, and hippocampus (7, 8) as well as to the dopaminergic (DA) neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta (9, 10).

Lrrk2 encodes a large multidomain protein (~286 kDa) belonging to the ROCO protein superfamily (4), comprising a characteristic combination of a ROC (Ras of complex protein) domain adjacent to a COR (C-terminal of ROC) domain (11).

---

1 The abbreviations used are: PD, Parkinson’s disease; ANOVA, analysis of variance; co-IP, co-immunoprecipitation; DA, dopaminergic; DIV, days in vitro; F-actin, filamentous actin; G-actin, globular actin; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GFP, green fluorescent protein; Lrrk2, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2; Oas1, 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase; P:A ratio, perimeter to area ratio; PIE, physical interaction enrichment; PPI, protein-protein interaction; QUICK, quantitative immunoprecipitation combined with knockdown; RNAi, RNA interference; SB, storage buffer; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; SILAC, stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase; TH-ir, TH-immunoreactive; VM, ventral mesencephalon; wt, wildtype.
immediately followed by a kinase domain (mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase). This catalytic region is flanked by other regulatory and protein-interaction domains (12). Although the domain structure of Lrrk2 is well known, the understanding of the physiological function and the impact of pathogenic mutations on the molecular mechanisms leading to PD still remain uncertain. In vitro studies demonstrated that Lrrk2 is both a functional kinase and GTPase, able to undergo autophosphorylation and perform phosphorylation of generic putative physiological substrates (13–15). Some mutations have been shown to increase the protein’s kinase activity (16–18) and to induce cellular toxicity in vitro, leading to the hypothesis that the kinase activity contributes to neurodegeneration (18, 19). However, divergent reports on the enzymatic activity of mutant Lrrk2 (20) and the distribution of point mutations throughout the sequence of Lrrk2 indicate that not just the kinase domain is crucial for the physiological function. It is rather likely that intra- and intermolecular protein-protein interactions (PPIs) inside as well as outside of the kinase domain are essential for the normal function. Hence, alterations in the PPIs may underlie the pathomechanisms by which mutant Lrrk2 causes parkinsonism (21). A key step in understanding the physiological function of Lrrk2 and associated PD pathology is placing Lrrk2 into biochemical pathways by the identification of its protein interaction partners.

Therefore, we systematically analyzed the Lrrk2 interactome using the QUICK (quantitative immunoprecipitation combined with knockdown) assay reported by Selbach and Mann (22). This approach assesses interactions between proteins at their endogenous levels and in their normal cellular environment by a combination of stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) (23), RNA interference (RNAi), coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP), and quantitative mass-spectrometry (MS). By applying this screen in the murine NIH3T3 cell line we identified 36 proteins as robust Lrrk2 interaction partners—a function of Lrrk2 in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton arrangement and/or dynamics. Significant morphological alterations of NIH3T3 cells upon lentiviral-mediated Lrrk2 knockdown raise further evidence for this hypothesis. Moreover, shRNA-based knockdown of Lrrk2 in primary ventral mesencephalic (VM) cells leads to impaired neurite outgrowth in developing DA neurons. These results indicate a functional association of Lrrk2 with the actin-based cytoskeleton, and suggest a role in regulating cellular morphology and structural plasticity.
active sites with 0.1 M ethanolamine (pH 8.2), the beads were washed twice with elution buffer (200 mM glycine, pH 2.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% Nonidet P-40 (Roche, Penzberg, Germany)) to remove unbound antibody and then washed extensively with Na-borate buffer for use.

NIH3T3 cells were lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (30 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1× Roche complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche), 1× phosphatase inhibitor mixture 1 and 2 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)). Extracts were kept on ice for 30 min with intermittent vortexing before they were centrifuged for 10 min at 16000 × g and 4 °C. The protein concentration of the lysates was determined using the Bradford method (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Possible global changes in protein abundance induced by lentiviral-mediated RNAi were investigated by quantitative analysis of whole cell lysates.

Equal amounts of identically concentrated lysates derived from "heavy" and "light" SILAC labeled cells were precleared by incubation with Protein G Sepharose for 30 min at 4 °C under gentle agitation separately. For immunoprecipitation, precleared lysates were incubated with anti-LRRK2-Protein G Sepharose matrix overnight at 4 °C with rotation. The matrices of the two separate immunoprecipitations were combined and washed three times with ice-cold HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 1× Roche complete protease inhibitor mixture, 1× phosphatase inhibitor mixture 1 and 2). Subsequently, protein complexes were eluted in Laemmli buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 1% SDS, 10% glycerol, 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and bromphenol blue) for 15 min at 37 °C and gentle agitation. Both precipitated proteins and whole cell lysates were prefractonated by standard SDS-PAGE followed by trypic in-gel cleavage as described earlier (27) before they were subjected to LC-MS/MS.

For verification of interaction partners, immunoprecipitation was conducted in separated settings as described above using cell lysates from non-SILAC labeled wt and LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells that were also cultured for at least five passages. Eluted proteins and cell lysates were subjected to SDSL-PAGE and selected proteins were detected by Western blot analysis.

Liquid Chromatography (LC)-MS/MS and Data Analysis—LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an Ultimate3000 nano high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) coupled to a LTQ OrbitrapXL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by a nano spray ion source. Tryptic peptide mixtures were automatically injected and loaded at a flow rate of 30 μl/min in 95% buffer C (2% acetonitrile, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in HPLC-grade water) and 5% buffer B (98% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid in HPLC grade water) onto a nano trap column (100 μm i.d. × 2 cm, packed with Acclaim PepMap100 C18, 5 μm, 100 Å, LC Packings). After 5 min, peptides were eluted and separated on the analytical column (75 μm i.d. × 15 cm, Acclaim PepMap100 C18, 3 μm, 100 Å, LC Packings) by a linear gradient from 5% to 40% of buffer B in buffer A (2% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min over 140 min. Remaining peptides were eluted by a short gradient from 40% to 100% buffer B in 5 min. The eluting peptides were analyzed in the LTQ OrbitrapXL using XCalibur software (version 2.07). From the high resolution MS prescan with a mass range of 300 to 1500, the ten most intense peptide ions were selected for fragment analysis in the linear ion trap if they exceeded an intensity of at least 200 counts and if they were at least doubly charged. The normalized collision energy for CID was set to a value of 35 and the resulting fragments were selected with normal resolution in the linear ion trap. The lock mass option was activated and a background signal with a mass of 445.120020 was used as lock mass (28). Every ion selected for fragmentation, was excluded for 30 s by dynamic exclusion. All acquired spectra were processed and analyzed using the MaxQuant software (version 1.0.13.8) (29) and the mouse specific iPI database version 3.52 (number of sequences: 83947; http://www.maxquant.org/) in combination with Mascot (Matrix Science, Boston, MA, version 2.2). Trypsin/P was selected as enzyme for cleavage with two missed cleavages allowed. Fragment ion tolerance was set to 0.5 Da and the top six MS/MS peaks per 100 Da were used. A maximum of three labeled amino acids per peptide were allowed. The precursor ion tolerance was automatically set by the MaxQuant software. Cysteine carboxamidomethylation was selected as fixed modification and methionine oxidation, phosphorylation on serine, threonine or tyrosine as well as protein acetylation were allowed as variable modifications. The peptide false discovery rate was set to 5%, the protein false discovery rate to 1%. All contaminants included in the database, with the exception of β-actin, as well as proteins that showed high variability (>100%) were removed and only proteins, identified and quantified in at least two of five experiments, were considered for further analysis. If the identified peptide sequence set of one protein was equal to or contained the peptide set of another protein, these two proteins were grouped together by MaxQuant and not counted as independent protein hits. All details for the peptide and protein identifications and quantifications, including all parameters used for MaxQuant analysis are given in Supplemental File 1 for the QUICK assay and in Supplemental File 2 for the proteome comparison. MaxQuant normalized protein ratios were utilized for the downstream analyses and a p value of 0.05 was selected as threshold for significant enrichment of Lrrk2 complex components.

Protein Network Analysis—Protein-protein interactions were analyzed and visualized using Pathway Palette (30). Interaction networks were abstracted as graphs, where proteins are represented as nodes and their interactions as edges. Thereto, the genes corresponding to the significant enriched proteins within the QUICK assay (QUICK set) were uploaded to the Pathway Palette website (http://blaispathways.dfc.harvard.edu/Palette.html). In the case of protein hits with ambiguous assignment by the MaxQuant software, one gene was selected at random under the assumption that similar proteins share interaction partners. Known interactions between the proteins were retrieved from the Human Protein Reference Database (HPRD) (31) and the Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets (BioGRID) (32). Interactions were evaluated as well as prioritized according to their "evidence," referring to the experimental method used for the detection, provided by the database and using the following categorization: i) "BioGRID: Low-Throughput" or "HPRD: in vitro", ii) "BioGRID: HTP/complex" or "HPRD: in vitro" and iii) "BioGRID: HTP/Pairwise" or "HPRD: yeast 2-hybrid." To assess the physical cohesiveness of the resulting network, we implemented Physical Interaction Enrichment (PIE) analysis (33) using a custom Python script and a reference network consisting of the 29,377 mouse Entrez Gene IDs, with 51,460 known interactions between them, as represented in Pathway Palette. In total, a million randomly selected protein sets, having same size and node degree distribution as the QUICK set, were analyzed for the number of interactions within the sets to obtain a frequency distribution used for the calculation of physical cohesiveness (PIE score) and its significance. For a functional annotation of the Lrrk2-related network components, a pathway enrichment analysis was performed based on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (34) database using Pathway Palette. To further investigate the connectivity between the proteins of the QUICK set, interaction data from the HPRD and BioGRID database were used to construct an extended network by adding only first-order shared neighbors of the proteins, where two proteins from the QUICK set are linked by one interconnecting (intermediate) protein. Network complexity was reduced by removing edges between the first-order neighbors.
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Affinity Purification of Lrrk2 and F-actin Cosedimentation Assay—Strep/Flag(SF)-TAP tagged wt Lrrk2 was transiently expressed in HEK293 cells and purified via the STREP-tag II as described earlier (35, 36). The Bradford assay was used to determine the protein concentration of the eluates, which were supplemented with 20% glycerol and stored at −80 °C prior to use.

Actin (Ca-ATP-G(globular)-actin) was purified from rabbit skeletal muscle according to Spudich and Watt (37), further purified over a Sephadex G-200 gel filtration column in G-buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.7, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.2 mM dithiothreitol) and stored on ice.

For F-actin cosedimentation assays, affinity purified SF-TAP tagged Lrrk2 in storage buffer (SB; desthiobiotin elution buffer, 20% glycerol) was added to a final concentration of 150 nM or 300 nM to 3 μM actin in G-buffer, supplemented with Roche complete protease inhibitor and SB were included. A potential sedimentation of Lrrk2 irrespective of F-actin was monitored by incubating the protein at a concentration of 300 nm sole under actin polymerization conditions. Protein intensities (I) on Coomassie gels and Western blots were quantified by densitometric analysis using ImageJ (National Institute of Mental Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD, USA; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) after background subtraction. The distribution of G-versus F-actin was determined from the intensity ratios I(G)/I(F) and I(P)/I(F), respectively, and expressed in percentages. To allow comparison over different Western blots, the signals for Lrrk2 intensities in the pellet fractions at different concentrations were normalized for each blot to the protein’s intensity in the supernatant at 150 nM Lrrk2.

Primary VM Cultures and Viral Transduction—For the preparation of primary VM precursor cultures of DA neurons all experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Community Council Directive (86/609/EEC) for care and use of laboratory animals. Primary embryonic mouse VM cells were isolated from embryos at gestation day 12.5 (E12.5) (animal breeding facility, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Munich, Germany), expanded and cultured as previously described (25). From day 7 onwards (RT) of RNA (1–2 g per 20 μl sample volume, thus ensuring constant buffer conditions. Polymerization was induced by the addition of KCl and MgCl2 to 100 mM and 2 mM, respectively. After incubation for 45 min at room temperature and 2 h at 4 °C, samples were subjected to centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatants (S) were removed and pellets (P) were washed once with G-buffer (G-buffer containing 100 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl2) before they were resuspended in Laemmli buffer. Equal amounts of both the pellets and supernatants from each reaction were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie brilliant blue staining to mainly visualize actin or Western blotting using an anti-Flag antibody to show the presence of Lrrk2. To take into account any effects of the storage buffer on actin polymerization, samples containing only 3 μM actin and SB were included. A potential sedimentation of Lrrk2 irrespective of F-actin was monitored by incubating the protein at a concentration of 300 nm solely under actin polymerization buffer conditions. Protein intensities (I) on Coomassie gels and Western blots were quantified by densitometric analysis using ImageJ (National Institute of Mental Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD, USA; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) after background subtraction. The distribution of G-versus F-actin was determined from the intensity ratios I(G)/I(F) and I(P)/I(F), respectively, and expressed in percentages. To allow comparison over different Western blots, the signals for Lrrk2 intensities in the pellet fractions at different concentrations were normalized for each blot to the protein’s intensity in the supernatant at 150 nM Lrrk2.

Morphological Analysis of Cell Cultures—For the analysis of NIH3T3 cell morphology, fixed cells were immunostained for F-actin to visualize cell shape. Digital images from stained cultures were acquired using an Axioscope2 fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) equipped with an AxioCam HRc digital camera (Zeiss) and the AxioVision4 software package (Zeiss). Ten randomly selected fields per coverslip were imaged using a 10× objective and analyzed using ImageJ software. A binary mask was created by setting a threshold brightness that distinguished the fluorescent cells from the black background. Cell outlines were traced automatically and a single cell’s area and perimeter of at least 500 cells per coverslip were measured. As a quantitative measure of cell shape, the ratio of perimeter to area (P:A-ratio) (38) of each cell was determined and the average P:A-ratio was calculated for each coverslip. Data obtained from all coverslips per experimental condition, with three coverslips per treatment group within one experiment, from four independent biological replications were pooled.

To quantify the neurite length of DA neurons in VM cultures at DIV9 and DIV14, digital images of 12 randomly selected fields per well from TH-immunostained cultures were captured using a 20× objective. Cultures were analyzed by manually tracing each individual process of a neuron from the cell body to the neurite tip using the NeuronJ plug-in of the ImageJ software. The length of neurites was calculated according to a calibrated scale using Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Only individual processes without contacts to other neurites or cells were chosen for quantification. If a neurite branched, the longer of the branches was traced. Because it was not possible to distinguish between axons and dendrites in the cultures, all processes were considered equivalent and were referred to as neurites. To measure neurite length, a mean of 55 to 73 and 38 to 46 neurons per well was used for the analysis at DIV9 and DIV14, respectively. The obtained data, representing the total neurite length, were pooled from all wells per condition, three to four per experiment, over at least three independent experiments.

In case of lentiviral transduced cultures, only GFP-positive cells and neurons were analyzed.

Camera lucida drawings for TH-immunoreactive (TH-ir) neurons were obtained from original images captured with a 20× objective. Representative, randomly selected neurons from each condition were manually traced using NeuroJ. Tracings were saved as a 16-bit TIFF file and converted utilizing ImageJ.

Dopaminergic Cell Counts—VM cultures were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy using an Axioscope2 fluorescence microscope. The number of DA neurons at DIV9 and DIV14 was determined by counting TH-ir cells under microscopical observation using a 20× objective within the culture wells. Cell counts are expressed as a percentage of the corresponding wt culture per 8-well chamber (equal to 81 mm2). Data obtained from all wells per treatment group of at least three independent biological replications were pooled.

mRNA Isolation from VM Cultures and Real-Time PCR (Semiquantitative)—Total RNA from DIV9 and DIV14 VM cultures was obtained using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA integrity and quantity were determined with the RNA 6000 Nano Assay Kit on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Reverse transcription (RT) of RNA (1–2 μg per 20 μl reaction) was performed using the Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen) and primed with an oligo-dT primer (MetaBio). Semiquantitative real-time PCR was performed essentially as described (25), with previous published primer pairs for the detection of Lrrk2 and Oas1 (2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase) mRNA expres-
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RESULTS

To screen for Lrrk2 PPIs we applied the QUICK approach (22) using NIH3T3 cells, resulting in a list of putative Lrrk2 complex components. A schematic overview of the experimental workflow followed in our study is presented in Fig. 1: a subset of the identified proteins was verified for its interaction with Lrrk2 by Western blot analysis following co-IP. Database-curated interaction data and interactions indicated by the experimental results were used to generate an Lrrk2 PPI network on the basis of the identified Lrrk2 complex partners. The result links Lrrk2 function to the actin-based cytoskeleton. Physiological relevance of the hypothesis was verified by both F-actin cosedimentation assays, determining the ability of Lrrk2 to bind F-actin and to modulate its assembly in vitro, and cellular assays, analyzing the morphology of NIH3T3 cells and DA neurons within primary VM cultures upon lentiviral-mediated knockdown of Lrrk2.

Lrrk2 Interacts with Components of the Actin Cytoskeleton—To identify Lrrk2 interacting proteins we conducted the QUICK approach (22), which combines SILAC, RNAi-induced knockdown, co-IP and quantitative MS to screen for endogenous PPIs.

A prerequisite for the QUICK assay is the efficient depletion of the protein of interest within an appropriate cell type. We showed in a previous study that the expression of the Lrrk2-specific second generation shRNA mir construct miB3 in NIH3T3 cells results in an efficient Lrrk2 protein decline without induction of an immune response (24). Thus, corresponding viral supernatants were obtained and NIH3T3 cells were transduced with LVmiB3 1 day after plating. For the metabolic labeling with SILAC, wt and LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells were grown in “heavy” or “light medium” for at least five passages. Lrrk2 knockdown efficiency was monitored by Western blot analysis using the Lrrk2-specific antibody clone 1E11 (data not shown). To test whether the knockdown of Lrrk2 itself would lead to global changes in the proteome of NIH3T3 cells, whole cell lysates were prefractionated by SDS-PAGE and subsequently incubated with rabbit-polyclonal anti-Flag antibody (Sigma) diluted in 50% Odyssey buffer containing 0.01% Tween 20. For detection of the Flag-epitope, membranes were probed with an IRDye 800CW goat-polyclonal anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Li-COR Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s guidelines. In brief, proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were electrophoretically transferred onto Hybond-C nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). The blots were blocked in 50% Odyssey buffer (Li-COR Biosciences) in PBS and subsequently incubated with rabbit-polyclonal anti-Flag antibody (Sigma) diluted in 50% Odyssey buffer in PBS containing 0.01% Tween 20. For detection of the Flag-epitope, membranes were probed with an IRDye 800CW goat-polyclonal anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Li-COR Biosciences) and signals were detected using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System.

Statistical Analysis—All data are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (S.E.). The number of independent experiments is indicated by n. Multiple group analyses were made by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s or Holm-Sidak post-hoc test as well as by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-hoc analysis using GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Means were assumed to be significantly different when the p value for the null hypothesis was less than 0.05. The Chi-Square test ($\chi^2$) was applied to analyze the distributions of frequencies using Excel. Differences among the distributions were considered significant if $p < 0.05$. The p value of physical cohesiveness of the Lrrk2 interaction network was calculated as described (33).
organization in structural higher-order networks. Additionally, we identified proteins belonging to the myosin superfamily (group 3) and modulators of these actin-dependent motor proteins that are listed in group 4. Moreover, a KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was performed using Pathway Palette to obtain an unbiased indication of overrepresented cellular process categories in the set of Lrrk2 complex components. The analysis yielded the highest enrichment for proteins annotated to the “regulation of actin cytoskeleton” pathway (KEGG pathway entry #hsa04810), with the by far strongest significance of $p < 10^{-10.35}$ (Supplemental Fig. 1). In addition, the proteins could be mapped to several other, not necessarily independent pathways whose significance did however not exceed $10^{-3.6}$ (“leukocyte transendothelial migration”).

A selection of the identified Lrrk2 protein complex components was confirmed to interact with Lrrk2 by independent co-IP followed by Western blotting (Fig. 2), utilizing cell extracts from wt and LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells. The analysis with anti-myosin Id, anti-Arp3 and anti-tropomyosin revealed specific signals for wt cells compared with those of shRNA expressing NIH3T3, displaying no or weak bands, and thus confirming the results of the QUICK assay.

Interactions between the identified Lrrk2 complex partners were parsed and visualized using Pathway Palette in an evaluated and prioritized manner, applying interaction data stored in the HPRD and BioGRID database. Within the group of 37 specific interactors (nodes), the analysis identified 36 previously reported interactions (edges) between 20 individual nodes (Fig. 3A). To evaluate the physical cohesiveness of the inferred interaction network we applied PIE analysis. Fig. 3B shows the frequency distribution of edge numbers within the simulated protein sets ($n = 1,000,000$ simulated networks, set size 37). The analysis yielded a PIE score of 1.78 and a $p$ value $< 10^{-6}$ and thus reveals significant physical cohesiveness for the proteins identified within the QUICK assay, meaning that they interact with one another at a significantly higher frequency than a random set of proteins with the same node degree distribution. According to database searches for PPIs, interactions of proteins with Lrrk2 could not be automatically covered in the network. However, on the basis of the experimental results within both the QUICK assay and co-IP experiments, these associations were manually added, resulting in a Lrrk2 interaction network (Fig. 3A) that illustrates the close relationship between the identified proteins. To further analyze the connectivity between the Lrrk2 complex components, the network was expanded by linking pairs of proteins through interconnecting proteins using interaction data from the HPRD and BioGRID database, enabling the mapping of 10 network, integrating interaction data stored in the HPRD and BioGRID database as well as interactions identified through experimental results. The resulting protein network suggests a biological role of Lrrk2 within actin cytoskeletal dynamics. The physiological relevance of the hypothesis was analyzed through biochemical and cellular assays.

**Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the experimental procedure.** The interactome of Lrrk2 was analyzed by QUICK using NIH3T3 cells. A list of putative Lrrk2 complex components was obtained and a selected subset was verified by Western blot analysis following co-IP. The identified Lrrk2 interacting proteins were mapped into a PPI network, integrating interaction data stored in the HPRD and BioGRID database as well as interactions identified through experimental results. The resulting protein network suggests a biological role of Lrrk2 within actin cytoskeletal dynamics. The physiological relevance of the hypothesis was analyzed through biochemical and cellular assays.
additional proteins from the QUICK set into the network (Supplemental Fig. 2). Fidelity of the network was assessed through manual evaluation of all extensions in a literature-based fashion, with functional information extracted from peer-reviewed publications (Supplemental Table 3). The analysis revealed that the interconnecting neighbors predominantly comprise proteins associated with the actin cytoskeleton and/or affecting its modulation as well as myosins and their regulators. Thus, the extended network strengthens an inherent correlation among the identified Lrrk2 interacting proteins.

---

**Table I**

Summary of Lrrk2 interacting proteins identified by quantitative immunoprecipitation combined with knockdown (QUICK). Proteins are categorized according to their molecular function. Those that could not be differentiated by the MaxQuant software are grouped within the same cell. Ratio H/L: ratio heavy to light labeled peptides.

| Category           | Protein name (a) | Mouse gene name (b) | UniProt ID (c) | Ratio H/L normalized (d) | Ratio H/L significance (e) |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Leucine-rich repeat serine/threonine-protein kinase 2 | Lrk2 | QS0006 | 3.57 | 0.010 |
| Actins             |                   |                     |                |                          |                            |
| Beta-actin-like protein 2 | Actb2 | QS8FZ3 | 3.61 | 0.009 |
| Actin, cytoplasmic 1 (β-actin) | Actb | P60710 | 3.24 | 0.015 |
| Actin, cytoplasmic 2 (γ-actin) | Actg1 | P03200 | 3.40 | 0.012 |
| Actin, aortic smooth muscle (α-actin-2) | Acta2 | P62737 | 3.05 | 0.019 |
| Actin, gamma-entire smooth muscle (γ-2-actin) | Actg2 | P63288 | 3.05 | 0.019 |
| Actin-related protein 2 | Actr2 | P61161 | 3.19 | 0.020 |
| Actin-related protein 3 | Actr3 | Q96L9Y | 5.63 | 0.001 |
| Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 1B | Arpc1b | Q9W223 | 4.02 | 0.008 |
| Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 2 | Arpc2 | Q9C8B9 | 4.59 | 0.003 |
| Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 4A | Arp4 | P59999 | 6.19 | 0.001 |
| Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 5 | Arp5 | Q9CPW4 | 4.57 | 0.005 |
| F-actin-capping protein subunit alpha-1 | Capza1 | P74753 | 2.59 | 0.038 |
| F-actin-capping protein subunit alpha-2 | Capza2 | P74754 | 2.90 | 0.024 |
| F-actin-capping protein subunit beta-1 | Capzb2 | P74757 | 2.75 | 0.029 |
| Tropomodulin-3 | Tmod3 | QS4HJ0 | 3.08 | 0.018 |
| Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain (α-Tropomyosin) | Tpm1 | P88771 | 2.92 | 0.023 |
| Tropomyosin alpha-3 chain (γ-Tropomyosin) | Tpm3 | P21107 | 2.39 | 0.053 |
| Tropomyosin beta chain (β-Tropomyosin) | Tpm2 | P88774 | 2.56 | 0.038 |
| Lim domain and actin-binding protein 1 (EPLIN) | Llim1 | Q9ERG0 | 3.66 | 0.009 |
| Drebrin | Dbn1 | Q9QX66 | 3.53 | 0.010 |
| Myosin-Ib | Myo1b | P46735 | 3.23 | 0.015 |
| Myosin-Ic | Myo1c | Q5ND46 | 2.30 | 0.054 |
| Myosin-ID | Myo1d | Q5SYD0 | 3.45 | 0.012 |
| Myosin-If | Myo1f | P70248 | 2.43 | 0.051 |
| Myosin regulatory light chain 128 | Myl12b | Q9THE2 | 3.33 | 0.013 |
| Myosin light polyepitope 6 | Myl6 | Q60056 | 2.43 | 0.045 |
| Myosin regulatory protein 1 regulatory subunit 12A (MYPT1) | Mpp1t12a | Q9DBR7 | 2.37 | 0.049 |
| Myosin phosphatase Rho-interacting protein (M-RIP) | Mprip | P97434 | 2.47 | 0.042 |
| Calmodulin | Calm1 | P62204 | 2.86 | 0.025 |
| Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(i), alpha-2 subunit | Gna2 | P08752 | 7.71 | 0.000 |
| Miscellaneous |                   |                     |                |                          |                            |
| Histone H3.1 | Hhist1h3a; Hhist1h3g; Hhist1h3h; Hhist1h3i | P64433 | 5.00 | 0.001 |
| Histone H3.2 | Hhist1h3b; Hhist1h3c; Hhist1h3d; Hhist1h3e; Hhist3f; Hhist2h3b; Hhist2h3c1; Hhist2h3c2 | P84229 | 5.00 | 0.001 |
| Histone H3.3 | Hhist3a; Hhist3b; Hhist3f | P84244 | 5.00 | 0.001 |
| Brain protein 44 | Brp44 | Q9DD23 | 3.17 | 0.020 |
| ATP synthase subunit g, mitochondrial | Atp5i | Q9C9P8 | 2.64 | 0.033 |
| Swiprosin-1 | Swiprosin-1 | Q56E0Y | 3.93 | 0.008 |

(a) Name of identified proteins according to the UniProt database  
(b) Name of the corresponding mouse gene according to the UniProt database  
(c) Accession numbers are derived from the UniProt database  
(d) Calculated by the quantitation algorithm in the MaxQuant software and correcting systematic deviations. All peptide ratios are normalized such that the mean of their log-transformed ratios are zero  
(e) Outlier significance score for protein ratios calculated by MaxQuant software (significance B), corresponding to the probability of obtaining a value this large or larger by chance.
between Lrrk2 and F-actin. Therefore, we assessed F-actin binding of Lrrk2 in vitro in a cosedimentation assay using recombinant SF-TAP tagged Lrrk2 purified from HEK293 cells. After incubating a constant amount of actin (3 μM) with different concentrations of Lrrk2 (150 nM and 300 nM) under constant buffer conditions, actin was sedimented by centrifugation at steady-state level of polymerization. The pellets and supernatants, containing polymerized and unpolymerized actin, respectively, were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Coomassie brilliant blue staining (Fig. 4A, upper panel). (Co-)sedimentation of Lrrk2 was visualized by Western blotting using an anti-Flag antibody (Fig. 4A, lower panel). Based hereupon, the amount of both Lrrk2 and actin present in the supernatants or pellets was quantified (Fig. 4B and C). In the absence of actin, Lrrk2 was only present in the supernatant, indicating that Lrrk2 did not self-aggregate forming pellets (Fig. 4A, condition 5). Coomassie brilliant blue staining following SDS-PAGE of the purified protein revealed the purity of the affinity preparation (Fig. 4A, upper panel, condition 5) as demonstrated in a previous study (36). In the presence of actin, Lrrk2 localized to the pellet fractions, visualized by Western blotting (Fig. 4A, lower panel, conditions 3 and 4; Fig. 4B). With increasing concentrations of Lrrk2 incubated with actin, we observed a concentration-dependent enhanced recovery of Lrrk2 with F-actin in the pellet fraction (Fig. 4B). Depending on the protein batch, the amount of Lrrk2 in the pellet increased between 1.3- and 2.3-fold using an added concentration of 300 nM versus 150 nM Lrrk2. Lrrk2 led furthermore to a significant increase in actin present in the supernatants, as shown by the quantification of Coomassie stained gels (Fig. 4A and C). Whereas in the control samples the majority of actin sedimented (Fig. 4A, upper panel, conditions 1 and 2; Fig. 4C), the addition of substoichiometric amounts of Lrrk2 decreased the amount of polymerized actin (Fig. 4A, upper panel, conditions 3 and 4; Fig. 4C). As demonstrated in Fig. 4C, the shift in the G-/F-actin ratio toward the monomeric form (in the supernatant) is statistically significant in the presence of increasing Lrrk2 (p < 0.01 and 0.001 versus SB buffer control, n = 4, one-way ANOVA, Holm-Sidak post-hoc test). At a concentration of 300 nM, Lrrk2 induced a ~1:1 distribution of actin between supernatant (48.00 ± 2.54%) and pellet (52.00 ± 2.54%) (Fig. 4C).

Overall, our results demonstrate an association of Lrrk2 to F-actin. Moreover, under the in vitro conditions used, the G-actin/F-actin balance at equilibrium is altered in favor of G-actin indicating that Lrrk2 affects actin polymerization.

Effect of Lentiviral-Mediated Knockdown of Lrrk2 on NIH3T3 Morphology—The actin cytoskeleton plays a fundamental role in eukaryotic cells and is a major determinant of cell morphology. The assembly and disassembly of filamentous actin structures provide a driving force for dynamic processes within the cell. Fibroblasts are commonly used to study the mechanisms of actin cytoskeleton reorganization (40, 41). Therefore, we used NIH3T3 cells to investigate whether Lrrk2 depletion affects the cellular morphology. Wt, LVTH- and LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells were plated on glass coverslips and serum-starved for 24 h. Upon silencing of Lrrk2 the cells displayed a change in morphology in terms of elongation and narrowing of the cell body, whereas the wt and LVTH-transduced controls had a typical fibroblast-like morphology (Fig. 5A). As a quantitative measure of cell shape, we determined the ratio of perimeter to area (P:A-ratio) (38) and calculated both the average P:A-ratio (Fig. 5B) and its frequency distribution (Fig. 5C) for the different conditions. Frequency distribution analysis confirmed the immunocytochemical observations. The P:A-ratio in all three conditions ranged from 0.05 μm⁻¹ to greater than 0.40 μm⁻¹ with the majority of cells falling in the range between 0.20 μm⁻¹ and 0.35 μm⁻¹ (Fig. 5C). However, the distribution of the P:A-ratio revealed a shift toward higher values in the Lrrk2 knockdown cultures (Fig. 5C), indicating that a significant proportion of the cells showed cell body elongation and narrowing. The proportions of cells in the ranges of 0.15–0.2 μm⁻¹ and 0.2–0.25 μm⁻¹ were significantly reduced in the shRNA-treated cultures (p < 0.001 versus wt, n = 4, two-way ANOVA, Bonferroni post-hoc test; wt: 12.06 ± 1.0% and 27.07 ± 1.0%; LVTH: 12.00 ± 1.3% and 27.59 ± 0.9%; LVmiB3: 8.53 ± 0.8% and 23.28 ± 1.0%), whereas the percentage of cells with a ratio greater than 0.4 μm⁻¹ was significantly increased (p < 0.001 versus wt, n = 4, two-way ANOVA; wt: 10.07 ± 0.7%; LVTH: 10.68 ± 1.1% and LVmiB3: 16.07 ± 1.5%). This resulted in an increase in the average P:A-ratio of NIH3T3 cells expressing the miB3 silencing construct from 0.286 ± 0.004 μm⁻¹ and 0.286 ± 0.005 μm⁻¹ for wt and LVTH-control cells, respectively, to 0.309 ± 0.005 μm⁻¹ for LVmiB3 cells (p < 0.01 versus wt, n = 4, one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post-hoc test) (Fig. 5B). These results denote that NIH3T3...
Fig. 3. **Network of Lrrk2 interacting proteins.** Known interactions among the proteins identified by QUICK were analyzed and visualized by Pathway Palette. The obtained network displays significant physical cohesiveness. A, Out of the 36 proteins identified as specific Lrrk2 interactors, 20 had previously been described as connected through 36 interactions. Interactions of proteins with Lrrk2 were added manually, on the basis of their identification in the QUICK assay and, in part, verification by co-IP experiments. Proteins within the network are depicted by star-shaped nodes and colored according to their molecular function as classified in Table I. Solid lines denote known protein interactions from the HPRD and BioGRID database prioritized and qualified in an evidence-based fashion, referring to the PPI detection method, as reflected by a corresponding color-scheme of the edges: “BioGRID: Low-Throughput” or “HPRD: in vivo” type (green) and “BioGRID: HTP/Complex” or “HPRD: in vitro” type (blue). Manually added interactions are figured as dashed gray lines. Proteins are indicated by their gene names, with their full names shown in Table I. B, Distribution of interactions within a million randomly selected sets of proteins (set size 37) with the same node degree as those of the QUICK set. The graph insert represents the logarithmic depiction of interaction frequencies. The Lrrk2 data set gave significantly more interactions compared with the randomly selected sets.

**Lentiviral-Mediated Knockdown of Lrrk2 in Primary VM Cultures Results in a Significant Decrease in Dopaminergic Neurite Length—** On the basis of the pathological association between Lrrk2 and PD and the importance of the actin cytoskeleton in neuronal morphogenesis (42), we investigated the role of endogenous Lrrk2 in neuronal cells. Therefore, we applied the lentiviral-mediated knockdown of Lrrk2 by shRNA expression to primary VM cultures. In addition to miB3 we designed a second, independent shRNA mir construct on the basis of the naturally expressed microRNA-30 precursor, miB4.

Primary VM cultures derived from E12.5 mouse embryos were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding the Lrrk2 targeting shRNAs (LVmiB3 and LVmiB4) or the empty vector (LVTH) 1 day after plating and were analyzed at DIV9 or DIV14. Transduction of the VM cultures with LVmiB3 and LVmiB4 resulted in a significant decrease of Lrrk2 mRNA levels relative to wt cultures (p < 0.001, one-way ANOVA) (Fig. 6A) and led to a relative Lrrk2-expression ratio of 0.50 ± 0.03 (LVmiB3, n = 5) and 0.52 ± 0.03 (LVmiB4, n = 3) at DIV9 and 0.45 ± 0.04 (LVmiB3, n = 5) and 0.51 ± 0.01 (LVmiB4, n = 4) at DIV14 (Fig. 6A). The decline in Lrrk2 mRNA levels after expression of the two silencing constructs was accompanied by a corresponding reduction in Lrrk2 protein content (Fig. 6B). We showed in a previous study that the expression of miB3 in cortical cultures does not activate a shRNA-mediated interferon response (24). Likewise, we observed no meaningful induction of Oas1 expression in LVmiB3- and LVmiB4-transduced primary VM cultures (data not shown) hence excluding an immune response, providing accordance with published RNAi guidelines (43, 44).

For the phenotypic analysis of shRNA expressing primary VM cultures, cells were fixed, dopaminergic neurons were visualized by TH-immunohistochemistry and examined by fluo-
The transduction with lentiviral vectors encoding Lrrk2 targeting shRNAs or the empty vector alone resulted in a transduction efficiency of TH-ir neurons of about 90%, determined at both DIV9 and DIV14 (data not shown). First, we quantified the amount of TH-ir cells relative to non-transduced wt cultures. At DIV9 no significant alterations in the relative number of TH-ir neurons was observed ($p > 0.05$ versus wt, $n = 4--5$, one-way ANOVA; wt: 99.28 ± 1.20%, LVTH: 102.12 ± 3.64%; LVmiB3: 102.16 ± 3.22% and LVmiB4: 97.34 ± 8.37%) (Fig. 7A). As recently as at DIV14, transduction of the cultures with either LVmiB3 or LVmiB4 caused a significant decrease in relative cell counts to 78.38 ± 4.18% and 74.92 ± 5.40%, respectively ($p < 0.01$ versus wt, $n = 3--5$, one-way ANOVA) (Fig. 7A). The relative
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amount of TH-ir neurons in the LVTH control expressing cul-

tures revealed a reduction to 89.46 ± 5.79%, which was not

statistically significant (p ≤ 0.05 versus wt, n = 4, one-way

ANOVA).

Similar to NIH3T3 cells, we analyzed whether the deple-
tion of Lrrk2 had an effect on the morphology of DA neu-
rons. Fig. 8 shows representative images and camera lucida
drawings of untreated wt, LVTH control and Lrrk2 knock-
down (LVmiB3 and LVmiB4) cultures at DIV9 and DIV14. Knockdown of Lrrk2 led to shortened neurites as early as at

DIV9 (Fig. 8A and B), which was even more pronounced at

DIV14 (Fig. 8C and D). Quantitative measurements of the

neurite length at DIV9 confirmed the immunocytochemical

observations and revealed that the transduction with

LVmiB3 led to a significant shift in the neurite length distri-

bution (p < 0.001 versus wt, χ²) to shorter neurites (Fig. 7C).

Expression of the LVmiB4 construct led also to a shift
toward lower value, although it was not significant (p > 0.05

versus wt, n = 4, one-way ANOVA).

The alterations in neurite length distributions were reflected in

a significant decrease in the average length of neurite exten-
sions of TH-ir neurons expressing shRNAs targeting Lrrk2

to shorter neurites (Fig. 7B). The outgrowth declined from 90.60 ± 0.98

μm in wt cultures to 58.92 ± 0.58 μm in LVmiB3-transduced

FIG. 5. Knockdown of Lrrk2 alters the morphology of NIH3T3 cells. NIH3T3 cells were plated onto 6-well plates and transduced the

following day with lentiviral vectors encoding Lrrk2 targeting shRNA (miB3) or the transfer vector LVTH alone as indicated. Five days after

transduction, cells were replated at a density of 5%–10% on glass coverslips, serum-starved for 24 h and analyzed. A, To visualize cell shape,
cells were immunostained for F-actin. LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells displayed cell body elongation and narrowing. Scale bar: 50 μm.
B, To

quantify the observed alterations in cell shape the perimeter to area ratio (P:A-ratio) was determined. Silencing of Lrrk2 resulted in a significant

increase of this ratio (** p < 0.01 versus wt, n = 4, one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post-hoc test). C, Relative frequency distributions of P:A-ratios

for wt, LVTH- or LVmiB3-transduced NIH3T3 cells. Ratios were grouped into consecutive bins increasing by 0.05 μm⁻¹, ranging from 0.05 to >

0.4 μm⁻¹, and were calculated as percentage of cells with a given ratio. The knockdown of Lrrk2 led to a shift of the P:A-ratio toward higher

values, denotative for an increase in the amount of cells exhibiting an enhanced P:A-ratio.
Fig. 6. Knockdown of Lrrk2 in primary VM cultures. VM tissue was isolated from E12.5 mouse embryos and cells were plated onto 8-well chamber slides. Cultures were transduced at DIV1 with LVTH, LVmiB3 and LVmiB4 and analyzed at DIV9 or DIV14. A, Semi-quantitative real-time PCR displayed reduced Lrrk2 mRNA levels in primary VM cultures transduced with the two independent shRNA-constructs LVmiB3 and LVmiB4. B, Western blot analysis revealed that the transduction with LVmiB3 and LVmiB4 resulted in decreased Lrrk2 protein levels at both time points. GFP and GAPDH Western blots served as controls for transduction efficiency and protein load, respectively.

and 82.20 ± 1.89 μm in LVmiB4-transduced cultures. In the LVTH control no alterations in the frequency distribution and average neurite length (91.54 ± 1.34 μm) were observed. Neurons cultivated until DIV14 possessed on average significantly longer processes than at DIV9 (p < 0.001 DIV9 versus DIV14, n = 3–5, two-way ANOVA), regardless of whether they expressed shRNA constructs or not (Fig. 7B). However, the average neurite length of LVmiB3- or LVmiB4-transduced TH-ir neurons at DIV14 was still significantly decreased compared with the wt or LVTH condition (p < 0.001 versus wt, n = 3–5, one-way ANOVA; wt: 122.03 ± 1.71 μm, LVTH: 120.45 ± 2.81 μm, LVmiB3: 69.73 ± 1.03 μm, LVmiB4: 103.65 ± 2.35 μm) (Fig. 7B). The corresponding neurite length distributions displayed a shifting to lower values, which was significant for both Lrrk2 targeting shRNA constructs (p < 0.001 LVmiB3 versus wt, p < 0.01 LVmiB4 versus wt, χ²) (Fig. 7C). Thus, depletion of Lrrk2 in primary VM cultures resulted in an impaired outgrowth of developing DA neurites.

**DISCUSSION**

Since the first linkage of Lrrk2 to PD in 2004 (4, 6) much attention has been drawn on the enzymatic activity of Lrrk2 rather than the physiological role of the protein within intact cells and tissues. Thus, knowledge about the endogenous function of Lrrk2 and Lrrk2-mediated signaling pathways is still limited. Studies on the analysis of Lrrk2 protein interactions published so far are based on either yeast 2-hybrid screens and/or the overexpression of the tagged protein (13, 21, 45–47). However, several drawbacks are linked to these approaches (22). To identify specific interactors of Lrrk2, we applied QUICK (22) and identified 36 potential Lrrk2 complex partners (Table I) whose vast majority is functionally linked to the actin cytoskeleton, its rearrangement, dynamics, and maintenance as indicated by both literature-based curation and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. Filamentous actin superstructures are essential for a wide variety of cell properties and function in the generation and maintenance of cell morphology and polarity, in endocytosis and intracellular trafficking, in cell division, contractility and motility, including neuronal outgrowth (48). Spatial organization and dynamic assembly of different actin filamentous structures is controlled by a large number of actin-regulatory proteins performing specific recognition and/or catalytic yet coordinated functions (49–51). Beside some actin isoforms, we found a number of proteins that are known to modulate actin dynamics (49, 52–57) as Lrrk2 interactors. In addition, we also identified members of the myosin I and II family and modulators of these actin-dependent motor proteins. Myosins generally use actin filaments as tracks along which they move, thereby promoting contractility or cell motility and vesicular transport (58), but their involvement in the assembly/disassembly (59, 60) and remodeling of actin filaments (61) has also been shown.

The assembled PPI network based on the putative Lrrk2 complex partners identified in the study (Fig. 3A) proved to be significantly enriched in previously validated interactions, as curated by the HPRD and BioGRID database (Fig. 3B). The significant physical cohesiveness of the Lrrk2 complex partners reflects their biological coherence and functional interdependence thereby reinforcing the validity of the remaining, novel Lrrk2 interactions implied by the experimental results. Thus, the inferred Lrrk2 PPI network, which links Lrrk2 to proteins that are involved in the assembly of actin-based structures, indicates that the function of Lrrk2 is related to the actin cytoskeleton and its dynamic regulation. This is further supported by the extension of the network through the incorporation of first-order shared neighbors, revealing a high degree of connectivity between the Lrrk2 interacting proteins by intermediate proteins (Supplemental Fig. 2). A functional evaluation of the extensions in a literature-based fashion demonstrated that the interconnecting proteins are predominantly closely associated with the actin cytoskeleton, its modulation and/or the actin-dependent myosins (Supplemental Table 3),
affirming the network’s fidelity. Therefore, Lrrk2 protein complex partners and consequently Lrrk2 itself can be mapped into an evolutionary and functionally conserved PPI network regulating the actin-based cytoskeleton. This is indicative for a role of Lrrk2 in actin-based biological processes and in line with previous studies that suggest an association of Lrrk2’s biological function with the actin cytoskeleton (62–64). Although the study by Habig and colleagues reports that the knockdown of Lrrk2 results in the differential expression of genes involved in actin cytoskeleton signaling in SH-SY5Y (63), the knockdown does not affect the proteome of NIH3T3 cells as shown here. However, these findings are not mutually exclusive as investigations on the transcriptome and proteome analyze two different levels of a biological system. Divergent mRNA and protein expression levels have often been described, being most likely the result of posttranscriptional regulatory mechanisms (65, 66). Thus, beside integrating genomic and proteomic data sets, their meaningful interpretation requires functional annotation and consideration in the context of biological pathways and functional processes (66). Accordingly, Habig et al. as well as our study ascribe the same cellular role to Lrrk2 based on their experimental findings, namely its association with actin cytoskeleton-based mechanisms. 

The suggested functional connection of Lrrk2 with the actin cytoskeleton is further emphasized by the ability of affinity purified Lrrk2 to associate with F-actin as demonstrated in vitro (Fig. 4). Moreover, under the stoichiometric conditions tested in vitro, Lrrk2 decreased the amount of polymerized actin at steady state, thus affecting the G-actin/F-actin ratio in

**Fig. 7.** Lentiviral-mediated knockdown of Lrrk2 in primary VM cultures leads to an impaired neurite outgrowth of developing DA neurons. Lrrk2 expression in primary VM cultures was depleted by lentiviral delivery of two independent silencing constructs (LVmiB3 and LVmiB4). Non-transduced (wt) or LVTH-transduced cells served as negative controls and cultures were analyzed at DIV9 or DIV14. A, Cell counts of TH-ir cells per well. No differences in the amount of DA neurons was evident at DIV9, whereas the knockdown of Lrrk2 resulted in a decrease in TH-ir cell counts at DIV14 (** p < 0.01 versus wt DIV14, n = 3–5, one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post-hoc test). B, Quantification of neurite length of TH-ir neurons. Knockdown of Lrrk2 led to a significant decrease in the average neurite length at DIV9 and DIV14 (*** p < 0.001 versus wt DIV9, n = 4–5, +++ p < 0.001 versus wt DIV14, n = 3–5, one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post-hoc test). C, Corresponding frequency distributions of neurite length for the different treatment groups (wt, LVTH, LVmiB3, and LVmiB4) at DIV9 and DIV14. Absolute neurite lengths were grouped into 40 μm bins of increasing size and the percentage of DA neurites of given length was calculated. The expression of miB3 and miB4 resulted at both time points in a shifted distribution toward shorter processes compared with wt and LVTH-control cultures, reflecting an increase in the number of shorter neurites and a decrease of longer neurites.
favor of the monomer. These data may indicate that Lrrk2 itself possesses at least a basal regulatory activity on actin polymerization as a direct modulator. In the in vitro context, it might have either monomer sequestration or, given its substoichiometric activity, capping activity. Further analysis is needed to clarify the mechanism underlying the observed effects of Lrrk2 on the G-/F-actin ratio. Given the cellular abundance of actin, it also needs consideration that the Lrrk2/actin stoichiometry used in vitro (1/10 to 1/20) may be higher than one can expect in vivo, although local Lrrk2 to actin ratios in cells may be sufficiently high at actin filaments or, considering the observed association of Lrrk2 to membranous structures (67, 68), close to membranes. It cannot also be excluded that a modified form of Lrrk2 (e.g. phosphorylated) is more active in exerting its modulating function within the cell. Alternatively, in conjunction with its identified interactors Lrrk2 may act as passive user of the microfilament system by scaffolding/cooperating with the actin-regulatory and motor proteins. Taken together, Lrrk2 can be placed in the protein network whose mutual interactions govern the spatiotemporal localization and activity of the actin cytoskeleton.

As the physiological function of the actin cytoskeleton comprises the generation and maintenance of cell morphology, alterations in cell shape are a consequence of modifications in the organization of cytoskeletal components. We demonstrated that the depletion of Lrrk2 in NIH3T3 cells by RNAi leads to significant morphological alterations (Fig. 5), reflecting a general perturbation of normal cytoskeletal organization.
Given that Lrrk2-associated PD is characterized by the degeneration of DA neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (3) as in idiopathic PD, we assayed the physiological relevance of Lrrk2 in DA neurons. The depletion of Lrrk2 in primary VM cultures derived from embryonic mice resulted in a decrease of the average neurite length in developing DA neurons due to an impaired neurite outgrowth (Figs. 7 and 8). Although it was reported that off-target effects following the expression of shRNAs in rat hippocampal cultures can alter the neuronal morphology by innate antiviral response pathways (69) this option can be excluded as the delivery of shRNA expressing constructs in our approach lacked the induction of Oas1 expression. Moreover, Bauer et al. (24) demonstrated that the induction of an interferon response due to the delivery of shRNAs is associated with a significant decline of neurons, rarefaction of neurites as well as fragmented and condensed nuclei. In contrast, the neurites of DA neurons expressing shRNA targeting Lrrk2 mRNA displayed outgrowth that, though diminished compared with the wt, continued from DIV9 to DIV14 (Fig. 7B). This reveals further that the neurites were rather subjected to reduced outgrowth ability than to a retraction process. Additionally, the relative amount of DA neurons in our study remained unaltered upon Lrrk2 silencing at DIV9. A physiological relevance of Lrrk2 on neurite outgrowth was also demonstrated by others. Gillardon recently showed that neurons derived from Lrrk2-deficient mouse ES cells also exhibit significantly shorter neurites than wt neurons (70). However, a converse effect of Lrrk2 on neurite length was observed after transduction of rat cortical neurons with Lrrk2 shRNA vectors that led to an increase in neurite length (71). This discrepancy is difficult to reconcile. One point that might contribute to these conflicting results is the difference in cell culture namely primary progenitor VM cultures and ES cell derived neurons from mouse versus cortical cultures derived from P1 rat brains. Besides the two different animal models that were used, the developmental stage of the neurons represented by the cellular systems also differs. Both the progenitor VM cultures and the ES cell derived neurons mimic the prenatal period of neuronal development whereas the cortical cultures represent the postnatal phase.

Besides its critical role for a wide range of fundamental cellular processes, actin-based cytoskeletal dynamics is crucial for the development of the nervous system. Migration of neurons as well as extension of neurites relies on an organized actin polymerization (72), actin-regulatory proteins and myosins (50). The effects of Lrrk2 knockdown observed in vitro may be supported by the identified interactors as well as by the link between Lrrk2 and actin filaments found in vitro, collectively indicative for an impact of Lrrk2 on cytoskeleton integrity mediated by variations in actin-based dynamics. Lrrk2 being placed in a functional network of proteins required for a proper actin network formation during neurite outgrowth by the in vitro studies and the concomitant observed decrease in neurite length of developing DA neurons after Lrrk2 knockdown moreover suggest that Lrrk2 acts as a modulator of actin dynamics during neurite extension.

Neurite outgrowth is the result of numerous extracellular stimuli targeting the actin cytoskeleton of each individual neuron. Small Rho GTPases are essential components that link these stimuli to actin but their neuronal downstream effectors binding to actin or responsible for scaffolding are largely unknown (73). Lrrk2 being a GTPase dependent kinase, harboring both protein interaction domains as well as enzymatic domains, may be involved in such processes and serves as a scaffold protein within signaling pathways controlling the dynamic actin cytoskeleton organization. Several LRR-containing proteins and members of the ROCO protein superfamily participate in the functional assembly of the actin network (53, 74–77) making Lrrk2 not the first member of this family to be associated with components of the cytoskeleton.

Clearly, further research has to determine the physiological role of Lrrk2 in actin-based cytoskeleton processes. Because Lrrk2 is a multi-domain protein, comprising in addition to its GTPase and kinase activity several protein interaction domains that are likely to facilitate various PPIs, different biochemical functions can be accomplished by Lrrk2. This view is supported by several studies demonstrating that Lrrk2 is involved in various, not necessarily independent, physiological processes including kinase signaling, vesicular transport, regulation of microtubules, cytoskeleton assembly and the chaperone system (78). The proteomic approach taken here renders new insights on how Lrrk2 molecularly interacts with the cytoskeletal machinery controlling actin turnover. A direct influence on actin filament dynamics is observed in vitro although in cells Lrrk2 may also, and maybe mainly, act as connector or scaffold for associated actin-regulatory and motor proteins and thereby regulating both actin filament assembly and stability. Indeed, on the cellular level, loss of Lrrk2 influences the generation and/or maintenance of cell morphology in non-neuronal cells and interferes with neurite outgrowth as well as neurite maintenance of DA neurons. Consistent with previous studies (63, 64) our results suggest a biological function of Lrrk2 in actin-related processes, whose impairment may underlie PD-associated neurodegeneration.
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