Energy balance-related factors and risk of colorectal cancer based on \textit{K\textsc{RAS}}, \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}}, and \textit{BRAF} mutations and MMR status

Josien C. A. Jenniskens\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{1} \cdot Kelly Offermans\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{1} \cdot Colinda C. J. M. Simons\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{1} \cdot Iryna Samarska\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{2} \cdot Gregorio E. Fazzi\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{2} \cdot Jaleesa R. M. van der Meer\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{2} \cdot Kim M. Smits\textsuperscript{2} \textsuperscript{2} \cdot Leo J. Schouten\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{1} \cdot Matty P. Weijenberg\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{1} \cdot Heike I. Grabsch\textsuperscript{2,3} \textsuperscript{2} \cdot Piet A. van den Brandt\textsuperscript{1,4} \textsuperscript{1}

Received: 13 January 2022 / Accepted: 6 April 2022 / Published online: 11 May 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract
Introduction \textit{K\textsc{RAS}} mutations (\textit{K\textsc{RAS}\textsubscript{mut}}), \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}\textsubscript{mut}}, \textit{BRAF\textsubscript{mut}} and mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR) have been associated with the Warburg-effect. We previously observed differential associations between energy balance-related factors (BMI, clothing-size, physical activity) and colorectal cancer (CRC) subtypes based on the Warburg-effect. We now investigated whether associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC differ between subgroups based on mutation and MMR status.

Methods Information on molecular features was available for 2349 incident CRC cases within the Netherlands Cohort Study (NLCS), with complete covariate data available for 1934 cases and 3911 subcohort members. Multivariable-adjusted Cox-regression was used to estimate associations of energy balance-related factors with risk of CRC based on individual molecular features (\textit{K\textsc{RAS}\textsubscript{mut}}; \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}\textsubscript{mut}}; \textit{BRAF\textsubscript{mut}}; dMMR) and combinations thereof (all-wild-type + MMR-proficient (pMMR); any-mutation/dMMR).

Results In men, BMI and clothing-size were positively associated with risk of colon, but not rectal cancer, regardless of molecular features subgroups; the strongest associations were observed for \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}\textsubscript{mut}} colon cancer. In women, however, BMI and clothing-size were only associated with risk of \textit{K\textsc{RAS}\textsubscript{mut}} colon cancer (\textit{p}-heterogeneity \textit{K\textsc{RAS}\textsubscript{mut}} versus all-wild-type+pMMR = 0.008). Inverse associations of non-occupational physical activity with risk of colon cancer were strongest for any-mutation/dMMR tumors in men and women, and specifically for \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}\textsubscript{mut}} tumors in women. Occupational physical activity was inversely associated with both combination subgroups of colon cancer in men.

Conclusion In men, associations did not vary according to molecular features. In women, a role of \textit{K\textsc{RAS}} mutations in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer is suggested, and of \textit{PIK\textsc{3CA}} mutations between physical activity and colon cancer.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) risk was shown to be affected by energy balance-related factors (Moghaddam et al. 2007; Robsahm et al. 2013; Wolin et al. 2009; Samad et al. 2005). Adiposity measures, such as body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference, have been associated with an increased risk of CRC (Moghaddam et al. 2007; Robsahm et al. 2013), whereas physical activity has been associated with a decreased risk of CRC (Robsahm et al. 2013; Wolin et al. 2009; Samad et al. 2005). One of the proposed mechanisms underlying these associations is activation of the so-called Warburg-effect through upregulated PI3K/Akt-signaling (Huang and Chen 2009; Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Feron 2009; Schwartz et al. 2017; Hanahan and Weinberg 2011). We have previously observed differential associations between energy balance-related factors (i.e. BMI; clothing-size, as a proxy for waist circumference; physical activity) and CRC subtypes expressing different levels of proteins involved in the Warburg-effect (Jenniskens et al. 2021a).

The Warburg-effect is a metabolic phenotype first discovered in the 1920s by Otto Warburg and colleagues (Warburg 1925). This phenotype is characterized by increased aerobic glycolysis (Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Feron 2009) and is considered an important step in carcinogenesis (Schwartz et al. 2017; Hanahan and Weinberg 2011). Mutations in well-known oncogenes KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF have been reported to drive metabolic reprogramming towards the Warburg-effect (Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Kimmelman 2015; Hutton et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2018). Furthermore, we have previously shown in CRC that DNA mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR), a surrogate for microsatellite instability (MSI), was associated with the Warburg-effect (Offermans et al. 2021).

MSI and KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF mutations (KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, and BRAFmut, respectively) are common molecular features in CRC (Li et al. 2020; Haluska et al. 2007; Boland and Goel 2010). Associations between energy balance-related factors (i.e. BMI, waist circumference, physical activity) and risk of CRC in relation to KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, and/or dMMR status have been reported previously (Carr et al. 2018, 2020; Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2013, 2014; Slattery et al. 2000, 2001, 2007; Hughes et al. 2012; Campbell et al. 2010; Hoffmeister et al. 2013; Hanyuda et al. 2016). However, results thus far are inconsistent. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies that have investigated associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC in relation to PIK3CAmut status.

The aim of the current study was to investigate the associations of BMI, lower body clothing-size (as a proxy for waist circumference), and physical activity with risk of CRC subgroups based on KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, BRAFmut and MMR status. First, we compared CRC subgroups based on a combination of these molecular features: I) all-wild-type+pMMR — cases wild-type for all genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF) and MMR-proficient (pMMR); II) any-mutation/dMMR — cases with a mutation in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, and/or BRAF) and/or dMMR. Second, we investigated subgroups of these molecular features individually: KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, BRAFmut, and dMMR. The all-wild-type+pMMR subgroup served as the reference group for all other subgroups.

We hypothesized that associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC differ between subgroups based on KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, BRAFmut, and MMR status, which could indicate involvement of the Warburg-effect in etiological associations. We reasoned that associations with subgroups of individual molecular features (%KRASmut, %PIK3CAmut, %BRAFmut and dMMR) and/or with the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup, but not the all-wild-type+pMMR subgroup, give an indication of involvement of the Warburg-effect in the etiological pathway between the exposure of interest and CRC.

Methods

Design and study population

Data from the Netherlands Cohort Study (NLCS), a large prospective cohort study, was used. At baseline (1986), 120,852 subjects aged 55–69 years completed a mailed, self-administered questionnaire on cancer risk factors (Brandt et al. 1990a). By completing and returning the questionnaire, participants agreed to participate in the study. The NLCS was approved by institutional review boards from Maastricht University and the Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research. Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical Ethical Committee of Maastricht University Medical Center+. For data processing and analysis, a case-cohort approach was used (Prentice 1986). A subcohort (n = 5000) was randomly sampled from the total cohort immediately after baseline, and accumulated person-years were estimated from this subcohort. Vital status information of subcohort members was obtained biennially by active follow-up and by linkage with municipal population registries. Incident cancer cases from the total cohort were detected through annual record linkage with the Netherlands Cancer Registry and PALGA, the nationwide Dutch Pathology Registry (Brandt et al. 1990b), covering 20.3 years of follow-up (September 17, 1986 until January 1, 2007). Completeness of cancer follow-up by the Netherlands Cancer Registry and PALGA was estimated to be over 96% (Goldbohm et al. 1994). After
excluding cases and subcohort members who reported a history of cancer (except skin cancer) at baseline, a total of 4,597 incident CRC cases and 4,774 subcohort members were available (Fig. 1). As described previously (Jenniskens et al. 2021a), formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks from primary tumor and matched normal colon tissue from 3,872 CRC cases were requested from participating laboratories as part of the Rainbow-TMA project during
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Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the number of CRC cases and subcohort members; NLCS, 1986–2006. CRC colorectal cancer; NA not applicable; PALGA Dutch Pathology Registry; FFPE formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; TMA tissue microarray; QC quality control; H&E Hematoxylin & Eosin; pan-CK pan-cytokeratin; MMR mismatch repair
2012–2017. Tissue blocks from 3,021 CRC cases were successfully collected from 43 pathology laboratories throughout the Netherlands (78% retrieval rate) (Fig. 1).

Mismatch repair status

From the FFPE blocks, 78 tissue microarrays (TMAs) were constructed sampling three 0.6 mm tumor cores from 2,694 CRC cases (Fig. 1). Information on TMA construction has been published previously (Jenniskens et al. 2021a). All TMA sections were scanned using an Aperio scanner (Leica Microsystems, Milton Keynes, UK) at 40 × magnification at the University of Leeds (UK) ScanScope Aperio scanner (Leica Microsystems, Milton Keynes, UK) at 40× magnification at the University of Leeds (UK) Scanning Facility or at the Department of Pathology, Aachen University Hospital (Germany).

H&E-stained TMA sections combined with pan-cytokeratin stained sections (if necessary) were reviewed to confirm presence of adenocarcinoma for each core. Requiring at least one core per case with adenocarcinoma, 2,497 cases passed the criteria. Tumors were classified as MMR-deficient (dMMR), and those expressing complete loss of either MLH1 or MSH2 were classified as MMR-proficient (pMMR). MMR status information was available for 2,455 CRC cases (Fig. 1).

DNA isolation and mutation detection

For DNA extraction, two 20 µm thick sections were cut from FFPE blocks containing primary tumor. Sections were deparaffinized manually using the Buffer ATL (Cat. No. 939011, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), Proteinase K (Cat. No. 19131, Qiagen), and the Deparaffinization Solution (Cat. No. 19093, Qiagen), using an adapted version of the manufacturer’s protocol (Supplementary Methods). The QIAsymphony® DSP DNA Mini Kit (Cat. No. 937236, Qiagen) and the QIAsymphony® (Qiagen) instrument were used for DNA isolation following the manufacturer’s protocol (Tissue_HC_200 protocol). The Quantus™ Fluorometer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with a QuantiFluor® dsDNA system (Promega) was used to determine the double-stranded DNA concentrations. Mutations in tumor DNA were analyzed at Institut für Immunologie und Genetik (Kaiserslautern, Germany) with the ColoCarta panel (Agena Bioscience, Hamburg), which screens for 32 mutations in 6 genes (BRAF, HRAS, KRAS, MET, NRAS, PIK3CA; see Supplementary Table S1 for specific mutations) using Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. To ensure valid mutation information, the following cut-offs were used: Z-score ≥ 4.00; spectrum quality ≥ 0.750; typer peak probability ≥ 0.850; primer extension rate cut-off ≥ 0.200. Detection of mutations at a frequency of ≥ 7.5% for any of the alleles was considered evidence of a mutation in the corresponding gene. A failed reaction at a single nucleotide position resulted in missing data for the corresponding gene status only if the reactions at all other positions were wild-type.

No mutations were observed in HRAS, and NRAS mutations were found in a total of 86 cases. NRAS mutations were not included in the current analyses as after stratification on sex and tumor location, subgroups would have less than 50 cases (range 10–42 cases). This would have led to empty cells or cells with less than five cases for models based on categories of exposures. Complete information on KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF mutation status as well as MMR status was available for 2,349 CRC cases (Fig. 1). Supplementary Table S2 shows baseline characteristics of CRC cases by availability of mutation and MMR status.

Subgroups of molecular features

The following subgroups were used for statistical analyses: (I) all-wild-type + pMMR — cases wild-type for all genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF) and pMMR; (II) any-mutation/dMMR — cases with a mutation in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF) and/or dMMR; (III) KRAS(mut) — cases with a (non-exclusive) KRAS mutation; (IV) BRAF(mut); (V) PIK3CA(mut); and (VI) dMMR. Note: subgroups of individual mutation and MMR status might overlap since multiple mutations and/or dMMR can occur within the same tumor.

Energy balance-related factors

Baseline questionnaires provided information on anthropometry, physical activity, diet, and other risk factors (Brandt et al. 1990a). BMI at baseline (kg/m²) was calculated using baseline weight (kg) divided by height squared (m²). Lower body clothing-size (trouser/skirt) was used as a proxy for waist circumference (Hughes et al. 2009). Non-occupational physical activity included leisure activities like walking, cycling, or doing sports, as described in more detail previously (Simons et al. 2013). Occupational energy expenditure and sitting time were estimated for the longest held job, which was self-reported at baseline. Jobs were classified...
as low, moderate, or high activity, as described previously (Simons et al. 2013). Energy expenditure was classified as <8, 8–12, and >12 kJ/minute, and sitting time as sitting for >6, 2–6, and <2 working hours/day. Data on occupational physical activity were only available for the subcohort and for cases until 17.3 years of follow-up, since funding for later data-entry and classification of occupations was unavailable. Furthermore, we did not analyze occupational physical activity measures in women because many did not have paid jobs (Simons et al. 2013).

Statistical analyses

After exclusion of participants with incomplete or inconsistent data on exposure variables or confounders, 3911 subcohort members and 1934 CRC cases were available for analyses (Fig. 1). Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions were calculated for subgroups based on molecular features and cohort characteristics. Differences of molecular features between men and women and between colon and rectum were evaluated using Chi-square. Associations between energy balance-related factors and CRC subgroups based on molecular features were investigated stratified on sex and tumor location. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between CRC and BMI (according to sex-specific quartiles, and per 5 kg/m² increase), clothing-size (according to sex-specific quartiles, and per 2 sizes increase), non-occupational physical activity (in categories of <30, 30–60, 60–90, >90 min per day, and per 30 min/day increase), and, for men, occupational physical activity (energy expenditure in categories of <8, 8–12, >12 kJ/minute; sitting time in categories of >6, 2–6, and <2 working hours/day). Standard errors of the HRs were estimated using the Huber-White sandwich estimator to account for additional variance introduced by sampling from the cohort (Lin and Wei 1989). The proportional hazard assumption was tested using the scaled Schoenfeld residuals (Schoenfeld 1982) and by introducing time-covariate interactions into the models.

All multivariable models were adjusted for age, family history of CRC (yes/no), alcohol intake (0; 0.1–4; 5–14; >15 g/day), energy intake at baseline (kcal/day), red meat consumption (g/day), and processed meat consumption (g/day), as used previously (Jenniskens et al. 2021a). In addition, BMI and clothing-size models were adjusted for non-occupational physical activity (minutes/day), and BMI models for height (cm). All physical activity models were adjusted for BMI. Moreover, an additional analysis was conducted with mutual adjustment for clothing-size and BMI, where clothing-size adjusted for BMI represents a proxy for abdominal fatness, and BMI adjusted for clothing-size a proxy for subcutaneous fatness (Hughes et al. 2009; Janssen et al. 2002). Sensitivity analyses were performed excluding the first two years of follow-up.

Heterogeneity in associations between energy balance-related factors and CRC subgroups based on molecular features was evaluated using an adapted version of the competing risks procedure in Stata developed specifically for the case-cohort design (Vogel et al. 2008). The original procedure assumes independence of both estimated HRs, which underestimates the standard error and thus overestimates the p-values for their difference. Therefore, the p-values and associated CIs were estimated based on a bootstrapping method developed specifically for the case-cohort design (Wacholder et al. 1989). Each bootstrap analysis was based on 1000 replications. The all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup was the reference group for heterogeneity tests of all subgroups. Since our analyses were hypothesis-driven and exposures reflect different aspects of energy balance, we did not correct for multiple testing. All analyses were conducted in Stata Statistical Software: Release 15 (StataCorp., 2017, College Station, TX).

Results

Frequencies of molecular features

In total, 1142 (59.1%) tumors had a mutation in at least one of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF) and/or were classified as dMMR (Table 1, Fig. 2a). The overall frequency of mutations and/or presence of dMMR was higher in women compared to men (66.4% vs 53.6%, respectively; p-value: <0.001), and higher in tumors located in the colon compared to the rectum (64.7% vs 43.9%, respectively; p-value: <0.001) (Table 1).

KRASmut-tumors were observed in 673 (34.8%) cases, PIK3CAmut-tumors in 334 (17.3%) cases, BRAFmut-tumors in 298 (15.4%) cases, and dMMR-tumors in 206 (10.7%) cases (Table 1, Fig. 2b). The frequency of BRAFmut-tumors and dMMR-tumors was higher in women compared to men (BRAFmut: 22.1 vs 10.5%, p-value: <0.001; dMMR: 16.3% vs 6.5%, p-value: <0.001, respectively). PIK3CAmut−, BRAF−mut−, and dMMR-tumors were more often observed in colon compared to rectum (PIK3CAmut−: 19.2% vs 12.7%, p-value: 0.004; BRAF−mut−: 20.1% vs 3.9%, p-value: <0.001; dMMR: 14.5% vs 0.9%, p-value: <0.001, respectively) (Table 1).

Within the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup, exclusive KRASmut-tumors were observed in 505 (44.2%), exclusive PIK3CAmut-tumors in 125 (11.0%), exclusive BRAFmut−tumors in 132 (11.6%), and exclusive dMMR-tumors in 44 (3.9%) cases (Fig. 2c). Combinations of KRASmut and PIK3CAmut and of BRAFmut and dMMR were most common (13.0% and 10.3%, respectively). Other combinations...
Cohort characteristics in subgroups based on molecular features

Information on cohort characteristics of CRC cases, overall and according to subgroups based on molecular features, is provided in Table 2. Cases in the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup were older than those in the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup. Furthermore, cases in the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup were more often overweight compared to those in the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup, with the exception of men with colon cancer. In general, overweight was most frequently observed amongst cases with KRAS mut- and/or PIK3CA mut-tumors. Similarly, the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup showed a larger mean clothing-size compared to the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup, with the exception of men with colon cancer. The mean clothing-size was largest for the KRAS mut subgroup, again with the exception of men with colon cancer. Non-occupational physical activity was higher amongst the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup than amongst the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup, with the exception of women with rectal cancer. In men, cases with a PIK3CA mut-tumor in the colon were least physically active, whereas in women cases with dMMR- or BRAF mut-tumors in the colon were least physically active. Colon cancer cases in the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup showed a higher occupational energy expenditure than those in the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup. In particular, dMMR colon cancer cases showed the highest occupational energy expenditure and lowest occupational sitting time. In contrast, rectal cancer cases showed lower occupational energy expenditure compared to those in the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup.

Associations of energy balance-related factors and CRC subgroups based on molecular features

Multivariable-adjusted Cox-regression models on energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC subgroups based on molecular features are shown in Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6. Age-adjusted Cox-regression models are shown in Supplementary Tables S3–S6. Results of associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC wild-type and MMR-proficient subgroups separately are additionally presented in Supplementary Tables S7–S8. Age was included as a time-varying covariate in all models, because of violation of the proportional hazards assumption.

Table 1 Frequenciesa [n (%)] of subgroups based on mutation and MMR status in CRC cases, by tumor location and sex; NLCS, 1986–2006

|                      | Colon | Rectum |
|----------------------|-------|--------|
|                      | Men   | Women  | Men   | Women |
| Total n              | 1384  | 355    | 224   | 131   |
| Men n                | 754   | 156    | 145   | 135   |
| Women n              | 630   | 199    | 79    | 64    |

(d/p)MMR mismatch repair (deficient/proficient); CRC colorectal cancer; NLCS Netherlands Cohort Study; mut mutated

aPercentages might not add up because multiple molecular characteristics (e.g. BRAF mutation and MMR deficiency) can occur per individual

bThis group excludes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF), as well as MMR deficient cases

cThis group includes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF) and/or cases that are MMR deficient

dDifference between men and women, based on total CRC, evaluated using Chi-square

eDifference between colon and rectum, based on men and women combined, evaluated using Chi-square
Fig. 2 Graphical presentation of $\text{KRAS}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{PIK3CA}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{BRAF}_{\text{mut}}$, and MMR status in CRC cases from the NLCS. a Pie chart showing the distribution of the all-wild-type+pMMR and any-mutation/dMMR subgroups (based on all CRC cases; $n=1934$). b Bar chart showing frequencies of $\text{KRAS}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{PIK3CA}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{BRAF}_{\text{mut}}$, and dMMR (based on all CRC cases; $n=1934$). c Venn diagram showing combinations of $\text{KRAS}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{PIK3CA}_{\text{mut}}$, $\text{BRAF}_{\text{mut}}$, and dMMR (based on any-mutation/dMMR subgroup; $n=1142$). The color intensity indicates the frequency: a darker color indicates more cases; a lighter color indicates fewer cases. d/pMMR mismatch repair deficiency/proficiency; mut mutation; CRC colorectal cancer; NLCS Netherlands Cohort Study
Adiposity

BMI and clothing-size were both associated with an increased risk of overall colon cancer in men (Table 3). Associations were similarly positive for the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup [BMI: HR_{5kg/m²} (95%-CI): 1.34 (1.08–1.67), p-trend_{quartiles}: 0.038; clothing-size: HR_{two sizes}: 1.32 (1.11–1.55), p-trend_{quartiles}: 0.002]. Although positive associations were found across all subgroups of individual molecular features (Table 4), associations were strongest for the PIK3CA_{mut} subgroup [BMI: HR_{5kg/m²} (95%-CI): 1.38 (1.05–1.82), p-trend_{quartiles}: 0.007; clothing-size: HR_{two sizes}: 1.31 (1.01–1.70), p-trend_{quartiles}: 0.094], and weakest for the BRAF_{mut} subgroup [BMI: HR_{5kg/m²} (95%-CI): 1.23 (0.87–1.72), p-trend_{quartiles}: 0.603; clothing-size: HR_{two sizes}: 1.18 (0.85–1.64), p-trend_{categories}: 0.360]. In women, BMI and clothing-size were not associated with risk of overall

---

### Table 2 Characteristics [mean (SD) or %] of CRC cases in subgroups based on mutation and MMR status, by sex and tumor location; NLCS, 1986–2006

|                  | Total          | Wild-type + pMMR | Any-mutation/dMMR | KRAS_{mut} | PIK3CA_{mut} | BRAF_{mut} | dMMR |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|------------|------|
| **Men—colon**    | N              | 754              | 309               | 445        | 256          | 150        | 105  | 70   |
| Age (years)      | 61.6 (4.2)     | 61.2 (4.2)       | 61.9 (4.2)        | 62.0 (4.2) | 61.5 (4.3)   | 62.2 (4.1) | 62.7 (4.1) |
| Overweight/obesity (%) | 52.4 | 52.8 | 52.1 | 52.7 | 56.7 | 48.6 | 54.3 |
| Clothing size | 52.2 (2.6)       | 52.2 (2.5)       | 52.2 (2.7)        | 52.1 (2.7) | 52.1 (2.7)   | 52.2 (2.9) | 52.3 (2.8) |
| Non-occupational PA > 60 min/day (%) | 50.4 | 53.7 | 48.1 | 51.6 | 42.0 | 49.5 | 55.7 |
| Occ. energy expenditure (>12 kJ/min)c | 11.6 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 11.2 | 17.0 |
| Occ. sitting time (<2 h/day)f | 23.2 | 23.5 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 27.1 | 20.2 | 28.8 |
| **Men—rectum**   | N              | 224              | 135               | 89         | 68           | 30         | 8    | 1    |
| Age (years)      | 60.8 (3.9)     | 60.4 (4.0)       | 61.4 (3.9)        | 61.7 (3.9) | 61.5 (4.3)   | 62.2 (4.1) | 62.6 (4.1) |
| Overweight/obesity (%) | 48.2 | 46.7 | 50.6 | 52.9 | 52.3 (2.8) |
| Clothing size | 51.7 (2.5)       | 51.6 (2.3)       | 51.8 (2.8)        | 52.3 (2.8) |
| Non-occupational PA > 60 min/day (%) | 59.4 | 60.0 | 58.4 | 54.4 | 54.4 |
| Occ. energy expenditure (>12 kJ/min)c | 11.0 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 8.8 |
| Occ. sitting time (<2 h/day)f | 30.4 | 31.0 | 29.3 | 29.8 |
| **Women—colon**  | N              | 630              | 179               | 451        | 222          | 116        | 173  | 131  |
| Age (years)      | 62.0 (4.1)     | 61.1 (3.9)       | 62.3 (4.1)        | 62.2 (4.1) | 62.2 (4.2)   | 62.6 (4.1) | 62.3 (4.0) |
| Overweight/obesity (%) | 44.8 | 39.7 | 46.8 | 52.7 | 49.1 | 43.4 | 38.2 |
| Clothing size | 43.6 (3.4)       | 43.4 (4.1)       | 43.6 (3.0)        | 43.9 (3.2) | 43.5 (2.9)   | 43.6 (2.8) | 43.3 (3.0) |
| Non-occupational PA > 60 min/day (%) | 41.8 | 46.4 | 39.9 | 40.1 | 38.8 | 38.7 | 41.2 |
| **Women—rectum** | N              | 131              | 64                | 67         | 55           | 15         | 6    | 2    |
| Age (years)      | 61.5 (4.2)     | 60.9 (4.3)       | 62.0 (4.0)        | 61.7 (4.0) |
| Overweight/obesity (%) | 49.6 | 48.4 | 50.8 | 52.7 |
| Clothing size | 43.5 (2.7)       | 43.3 (2.7)       | 43.8 (2.7)        | 43.9 (2.8) |
| Non-occupational PA > 60 min/day (%) | 42.8 | 37.5 | 47.8 | 47.3 |

SD standard deviation; CRC colorectal cancer; (d/p)MMR mismatch repair (deficient/proficient); NLCS Netherlands Cohort Study; PA physical activity; Occ occupational

a Body mass index ≥ 25

b Lower body clothing size. Based on fewer participants due to extra missings

c Based on fewer participants due to shorter follow-up (17.3 years), only available for men

d This group excludes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF), as well as MMR deficient cases

e This group includes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF) and/or cases that are MMR deficient

f Analyses for subgroups with < 50 cases were not performed
Table 3  Multivariable-adjusted HRs and 95%-CIs for associations between adiposity measures and CRC in subgroups based on mutation and MMR status, by sex and tumor location; NLCS, 1986–2006

| BMI quartiles (kg/m²): range (median) | Person-years at risk | Total | Wild-type + pMMR | Any-mutation/dMMR | p-het |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|------|
| **Men—colon**                        |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| < 23.4 (22.2)                        | 7993                 | 167   | 1.00 (ref.)      | 66                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 23.4–24.9 (24.2)                     | 8343                 | 188   | 1.06 (0.82–1.37) | 79                | 1.10 (0.76–1.57) |
| 25.0–26.6 (25.7)                     | 7683                 | 200   | 1.21 (0.93–1.56) | 77                | 1.13 (0.78–1.64) |
| > 26.6 (27.8)                        | 7003                 | 199   | 1.41 (1.09–1.83) | 87                | 1.47 (1.03–2.11) |
| p-trend                              | 0.005                | 0.038 | 0.710            |                   |      |
| per 5 kg/m²                           | 31,022               | 754   | 1.30 (1.12–1.51) | 309               | 1.34 (1.08–1.67) |
| **Men—rectum**                       |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| < 23.4 (22.2)                        | 7993                 | 58    | 1.00 (ref.)      | 34                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 23.4–24.9 (24.2)                     | 8343                 | 54    | 0.86 (0.57–1.28) | 35                | 0.95 (0.58–1.57) |
| 25.0–26.6 (25.7)                     | 7683                 | 65    | 1.15 (0.78–1.69) | 42                | 1.29 (0.80–2.09) |
| > 26.6 (27.8)                        | 7003                 | 47    | 0.93 (0.61–1.43) | 24                | 0.82 (0.47–1.44) |
| p-trend                              | 0.851                | 0.870 | 0.458            |                   |      |
| per 5 kg/m²                           | 31,022               | 224   | 1.02 (0.81–1.28) | 135               | 0.95 (0.71–1.26) |
| **Women—colon**                      |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| < 22.8 (21.5)                        | 9014                 | 181   | 1.00 (ref.)      | 56                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 22.8–24.7 (23.8)                     | 8914                 | 146   | 0.81 (0.63–1.05) | 43                | 0.78 (0.51–1.19) |
| 24.8–27.0 (25.7)                     | 8141                 | 147   | 0.92 (0.71–1.20) | 36                | 0.73 (0.47–1.16) |
| > 27.0 (29.2)                        | 8158                 | 156   | 1.01 (0.77–1.31) | 44                | 0.91 (0.59–1.41) |
| p-trend                              | 0.805                | 0.595 | 0.636            |                   |      |
| per 5 kg/m²                           | 34,228               | 630   | 1.04 (0.92–1.18) | 179               | 0.88 (0.69–1.11) |
| **Women—rectum**                     |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| < 22.8 (21.5)                        | 9014                 | 37    | 1.00 (ref.)      | 16                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 22.8–24.7 (23.8)                     | 8914                 | 26    | 0.69 (0.41–1.17) | 15                | 0.90 (0.43–1.89) |
| 24.8–27.0 (25.7)                     | 8141                 | 32    | 0.91 (0.55–1.53) | 18                | 1.16 (0.58–2.34) |
| > 27.0 (29.2)                        | 8158                 | 36    | 1.04 (0.63–1.72) | 15                | 0.93 (0.45–1.90) |
| p-trend                              | 0.691                | 0.973 | 0.548            |                   |      |
| per 5 kg/m²                           | 34,228               | 131   | 1.08 (0.86–1.34) | 64                | 1.05 (0.77–1.42) |
| **Clothing size: range (median)**    |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| **Men—colon**                        |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| ≤ 50 (50)                            | 10,903               | 211   | 1.00 (ref.)      | 90                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 52 (52)                              | 9750                 | 247   | 1.30 (1.03–1.62) | 104               | 1.29 (0.94–1.77) |
| 54 (54)                              | 5156                 | 136   | 1.36 (1.04–1.77) | 53                | 1.26 (0.86–1.84) |
| ≥ 56 (56)                            | 2619                 | 90    | 1.80 (1.31–2.46) | 39                | 1.87 (1.22–2.86) |
| p-trend                              | < 0.001              | 0.008 | 0.897            |                   |      |
| per 2 sizes                          | 28,428               | 684   | 1.33 (1.16–1.52) | 286               | 1.34 (1.12–1.61) |
| **Men—rectum**                       |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| ≤ 50 (50)                            | 10,903               | 78    | 1.00 (ref.)      | 46                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 52 (52)                              | 9750                 | 69    | 1.00 (0.70–1.41) | 46                | 1.12 (0.73–1.72) |
| 54 (54)                              | 5156                 | 43    | 1.20 (0.80–1.80) | 28                | 1.17 (0.70–1.96) |
| ≥ 56 (56)                            | 2619                 | 16    | 0.90 (0.51–1.59) | 7                 | 0.67 (0.29–1.51) |
| p-trend                              | 0.801                | 0.760 | 0.454            |                   |      |
| per 2 sizes                          | 28,428               | 206   | 0.98 (0.81–1.20) | 124               | 0.95 (0.75–1.21) |
| **Women—colon**                      |                      |       |                  |                   |      |
| ≤ 40 (40)                            | 6574                 | 128   | 1.00 (ref.)      | 46                | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 42 (42)                              | 8582                 | 150   | 0.88 (0.67–1.17) | 34                | 0.58 (0.36–0.93) |
| p-het                                |                      |       |                  |                   |      |

Note: Cases are per 5 kg/m² for BMI and per 2 clothing sizes for clothing size.
colon cancer, nor with the all-wild-type + pMMR or any-mutation/dMMR subgroups (Table 3). For individual molecular features, both BMI and clothing-size were associated with an increased risk of \( \text{KRAS} \) mut [BMI: HR5kg/m2 (95% CI): 1.31 (1.10–1.57), \( p \)-trend quartiles: 0.031; clothing-size: HRtwo sizes : 1.26 (1.03–1.53), \( p \)-trend quartiles : 0.229], but not with \( \text{PIK3CA} \) mut, \( \text{BRAF} \) mut, or dMMR colon cancer in women (Table 4). No associations between BMI or clothing-size and risk of overall rectal cancer were observed in men or in women, and stratification on subgroups did not lead to clear associations (Tables 3, 4). None of the models with mutual adjustment for BMI and clothing-size showed clear associations of BMI or clothing-size with CRC subgroups based on molecular features (Supplementary Tables S9–S10).

Non-occupational physical activity

Non-occupational physical activity was not associated with overall colon cancer risk in men (Table 5). However, a borderline significant inverse association was found between non-occupational physical activity and risk of the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup [HR\( >90\text{vs} \leq 30 \text{ min/day} \) (95% CI): 3.32 (1.28–8.60), \( p \)-trend categories: 0.033], whereas no clear association was found for the all-wild-type + pMMR or \( \text{KRAS} \) mut subgroups (Tables 5, 6). However, it should be noted that the reference group (\( \leq 30 \text{ min/day} \)) in the any-mutation/dMMR and \( \text{KRAS} \) mut subgroups had a limited number of cases (\( n = 5 \)). In women, non-occupational physical activity was associated with a decreased risk of overall colon cancer (Table 5). Although inverse associations were found for all subgroups, most did not reach statistical significance (Tables 5, 6). Only the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup [HR\( >90\text{vs} \leq 30 \text{ min/day} \) (95% CI): 0.71 (0.51–0.98), \( p \)-trend categories: 0.024] and the subgroup with a \( \text{PIK3CA} \)-mut-tumor [HR\( >90\text{vs} \leq 30 \text{ min/day} \) (95% CI): 0.51 (0.28–0.93), \( p \)-trend categories: 0.042] showed statistically significant inverse associations. Non-occupational physical activity was not associated with overall rectal cancer in women, and stratification on subgroups did not lead to clear associations (Tables 5, 6).

Occupational physical activity

Occupational energy expenditure was associated with a decreased risk of overall colon cancer in men (Table 5). Even though inverse associations were observed for both combination subgroups, only the association with the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup reached statistical
Table 4 Multivariable-adjusted HRs and 95%-CIs for associations between adiposity measures and CRC for individual mutations and MMR status, by sex and tumor location; NLCS, 1986–2006

| BMI quartiles (kg/m²): range (median) | Person-years at risk | KRAS<sup>a</sup> mut | PIK3CA<sup>b</sup> mut | BRAF<sup>b</sup> mut | dMMR<sup>b</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                                       | n<sub>cases</sub> HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> HR (95% CI) |
| **Men—colon**                         |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| <23.4 (22.2)                          | 7993                  | 61 1.00 (ref.)         | 27 1.00 (ref.)         | 25 1.00 (ref.)         | 19 1.00 (ref.)  |
| 23.4–24.9 (24.2)                      | 8343                  | 58 0.89 (0.60–1.32)    | 38 1.37 (0.81–2.30)    | 28 1.11 (0.62–1.96)    | 13 0.68 (0.33–1.42) |
| 25.0–26.6 (25.7)                      | 7683                  | 69 1.12 (0.76–1.65)    | 42 1.66 (0.98–2.81)    | 29 1.22 (0.68–2.20)    | 21 1.21 (0.63–2.34) |
| >26.6 (27.8)                          | 7003                  | 68 1.30 (0.88–1.92)    | 43 1.97 (1.17–3.32)    | 23 1.14 (0.61–2.14)    | 17 1.17 (0.59–2.31) |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.007                  | 0.603                  | 0.378                  |                 |
| per 5 kg/m²                            | 31,022                | 256 1.25 (1.00–1.57)   | 150 1.38 (1.05–1.82)   | 105 1.23 (0.87–1.72)   | 70 1.51 (1.01–2.26) |
| **Men—rectum**                        |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| <23.4 (22.2)                          | 7993                  | 18 1.00 (ref.)         |                       |                       |                 |
| 23.4–24.9 (24.2)                      | 8343                  | 13 0.67 (0.31–1.44)    |                       |                       |                 |
| 25.0–26.6 (25.7)                      | 7683                  | 19 1.06 (0.53–2.15)    |                       |                       |                 |
| >26.6 (27.8)                          | 7003                  | 18 1.21 (0.59–2.47)    |                       |                       |                 |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.415                  |                       |                       |                 |
| per 5 kg/m²                            | 31,022                | 68 1.17 (0.79–1.73)    |                       |                       |                 |
| **Women—colon**                       |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| <22.8 (21.5)                          | 9014                  | 52 1.00 (ref.)         | 30 1.00 (ref.)         | 53 1.00 (ref.)         | 43 1.00 (ref.)  |
| 22.8–24.7 (23.8)                      | 8914                  | 46 0.88 (0.58–1.35)    | 27 0.89 (0.52–1.53)    | 40 0.76 (0.49–1.19)    | 34 0.78 (0.49–1.26) |
| 24.8–27.0 (25.7)                      | 8141                  | 65 1.48 (0.99–2.20)    | 30 1.08 (0.64–1.84)    | 39 0.82 (0.52–1.29)    | 24 0.61 (0.36–1.03) |
| >27.0 (29.2)                          | 8158                  | 59 1.37 (0.90–2.08)    | 29 1.01 (0.60–1.73)    | 41 0.90 (0.57–1.41)    | 30 0.78 (0.48–1.30) |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.031                  | 0.798                  | 0.678                  | 0.221           |
| per 5 kg/m²                            | 34,228                | 222 1.31 (1.10–1.57)*  | 116 1.09 (0.84–1.42)   | 173 0.99 (0.81–1.22)   | 131 0.90 (0.70–1.15) |
| **Women—rectum**                      |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| <22.8 (21.5)                          | 9014                  | 18 1.00 (ref.)         |                       |                       |                 |
| 22.8–24.7 (23.8)                      | 8914                  | 7 0.40 (0.17–0.98)     |                       |                       |                 |
| 24.8–27.0 (25.7)                      | 8141                  | 9 0.57 (0.24–1.33)     |                       |                       |                 |
| >27.0 (29.2)                          | 8158                  | 21 1.46 (0.72–2.96)    |                       |                       |                 |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.312                  |                       |                       |                 |
| per 5 kg/m²                            | 34,228                | 55 1.21 (0.87–1.67)    |                       |                       |                 |
| **Clothing size: range (median)**     |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| **Men—colon**                         |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| ≤50 (50)                              | 10,903                | 73 1.00 (ref.)         | 40 1.00 (ref.)         | 30 1.00 (ref.)         | 18 1.00 (ref.)  |
| 52 (52)                               | 9750                  | 84 1.26 (0.89–1.78)    | 52 1.45 (0.94–2.24)    | 29 1.04 (0.61–1.78)    | 23 1.37 (0.71–2.64) |
| 54 (54)                               | 5156                  | 48 1.33 (0.88–2.02)    | 22 1.20 (0.69–2.09)    | 21 1.40 (0.77–2.55)    | 12 1.37 (0.64–2.95) |
| ≥56 (56)                              | 2619                  | 29 1.63 (1.00–2.65)    | 17 1.80 (0.98–3.31)    | 9 1.19 (0.54–2.61)     | 7 1.55 (0.63–3.81) |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.040                  | 0.094                  | 0.360                  | 0.280           |
| per 2 sizes                            | 28,428                | 234 1.24 (1.01–1.54)   | 131 1.31 (1.01–1.70)   | 89 1.18 (0.85–1.64)    | 60 1.33 (0.90–1.96) |
| **Men—rectum**                        |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| ≤50 (50)                              | 10,903                | 21 1.00 (ref.)         |                       |                       |                 |
| 52 (52)                               | 9750                  | 17 0.90 (0.45–1.77)    |                       |                       |                 |
| 54 (54)                               | 5156                  | 17 1.71 (0.86–3.40)    |                       |                       |                 |
| ≥56 (56)                              | 2619                  | 9 1.78 (0.78–4.06)     |                       |                       |                 |
| p-trend                               |                       | 0.073                  |                       |                       |                 |
| per 2 sizes                            | 28,428                | 64 1.17 (0.80–1.73)    |                       |                       |                 |
| **Women—colon**                       |                       |                        |                        |                        |                 |
| ≤40 (40)                              | 6574                  | 35 1.00 (ref.)         | 24 1.00 (ref.)         | 29 1.00 (ref.)         | 32 1.00 (ref.)  |
| 42 (42)                               | 8582                  | 54 1.13 (0.72–1.78)    | 25 0.75 (0.42–1.34)    | 47 1.23 (0.75–2.03)    | 35 0.82 (0.49–1.37) |
significance \( [HR_{>12 \text{ kJ/min}} (95\% \text{ CI}): 0.51 (0.30–0.84), \ p_{-\text{trend categories}}: 0.006] \). Furthermore, lower occupational sitting time was associated with a decreased risk of overall colon cancer in men (Table 5), and associations were slightly stronger for the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup \( [HR_{<2 \text{ h/day}} (95\% \text{ CI}): 0.56 (0.38–0.81), \ p_{-\text{trend categories}}: 0.003] \) compared to the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup \( [HR_{<2 \text{ h/day}} (95\% \text{ CI}): 0.70 (0.50–0.97), \ p_{-\text{trend categories}}: 0.034] \). No associations were observed for occupational physical activity measures and subgroups of individual molecular features in colon cancer (Table 6). Occupational physical activity measures were not associated with risk of rectal cancer in men, and stratification on subgroups did not lead to clear associations (Tables 5, 6).

### Heterogeneity testing

For heterogeneity analyses, the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup served as the reference group for all other subgroups (i.e. any-mutation/dMMR, \( KRAS_{\text{mut}} \), \( PIK3CA_{\text{mut}} \), \( BRAF_{\text{mut}} \), and dMMR). Statistically significant heterogeneity was observed only for BMI associations between \( KRAS_{\text{mut}} \) versus all-wild-type + pMMR colon cancer in women \( (p = 0.008) \), but not for any other subgroup.

### Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses excluding the first two years of follow-up did not lead to essential changes \((\text{data not shown})\).

### Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study, we investigated associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC subgroups based on \( KRAS_{\text{mut}} \), \( PIK3CA_{\text{mut}} \), \( BRAF_{\text{mut}} \), and MMR status. Associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC varied by abovementioned molecular features, as well by sex and tumor location. A statistically significant difference in associations was only found between all-wild-type + pMMR and \( KRAS_{\text{mut}} \) subgroups of colon cancer in women regarding BMI associations. In women, we observed positive associations for BMI and clothing-size with risk of \( KRAS_{\text{mut}} \) colon cancer, but not with any other subgroup. In men, BMI and clothing-size were positively associated with risk of colon, but not rectal cancer, regardless of molecular features subgroups. While positive associations of BMI and clothing-size with risk of colon cancer were observed in men for all individual molecular features, associations were strongest for
### Table 5: Multivariable-adjusted HRs\(^a\) and 95% CIs for associations between physical activity measures and CRC in subgroups based on mutation and MMR status, by sex and tumor location; NLCS, 1986–2006

| Physical Activity Measure | Person-years at risk | Total | Wild-type + pMMR\(^b\) | Any-mutation/dMMR\(^c\) | p-het |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| **Non-occupational physical activity (min/day): range (median)** | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 | 4997 | 132 | 1.00 (ref.) | 49 | 1.00 (ref.) | 83 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 | 10,100 | 242 | 0.89 (0.69–1.16) | 94 | 0.95 (0.64–1.39) | 148 | 0.86 (0.63–1.17) |
| 61–90 | 6001 | 156 | 0.99 (0.75–1.32) | 62 | 1.08 (0.71–1.63) | 94 | 0.95 (0.67–1.33) |
| > 90 | 9925 | 224 | 0.85 (0.65–1.11) | 104 | 1.10 (0.76–1.60) | 120 | 0.71 (0.51–0.97) | 0.232 |
| p-trend | | | | 0.356 | 0.402 | 0.050 |
| per 30 min/day | 31,022 | 754 | 0.99 (0.95–1.03) | 309 | 1.02 (0.97–1.07) | 445 | 0.97 (0.92–1.02) | 0.204 |
| **Men—rectum** | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 | 4997 | 18 | 1.00 (ref.) | 13 | 1.00 (ref.) | 5 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 | 10,100 | 73 | 1.92 (1.12–3.30) | 41 | 1.49 (0.78–2.85) | 32 | 3.03 (1.16–7.89) |
| 61–90 | 6001 | 57 | 2.57 (1.47–4.47) | 38 | 2.33 (1.21–4.47) | 19 | 3.13 (1.15–8.52) |
| > 90 | 9925 | 76 | 2.09 (1.22–3.59) | 43 | 1.62 (0.85–3.08) | 33 | 3.32 (1.28–8.60) | 0.450 |
| p-trend | | | | 0.012 | 0.104 | 0.033 |
| per 30 min/day | 31,022 | 224 | 1.04 (0.98–1.09) | 135 | 1.04 (0.96–1.11) | 89 | 1.03 (0.96–1.11) | 0.850 |
| **Women—colon** | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 | 7756 | 169 | 1.00 (ref.) | 52 | 1.00 (ref.) | 117 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 | 10,923 | 198 | 0.83 (0.65–1.06) | 44 | 0.58 (0.38–0.89) | 154 | 0.94 (0.71–1.25) |
| 61–90 | 8000 | 148 | 0.84 (0.64–1.09) | 47 | 0.85 (0.56–1.30) | 101 | 0.83 (0.61–1.13) |
| > 90 | 7550 | 148 | 0.70 (0.53–0.93) | 36 | 0.69 (0.44–1.08) | 79 | 0.71 (0.51–0.98) | 0.145 |
| p-trend | | | | 0.021 | 0.344 | 0.024 |
| per 30 min/day | 34,228 | 630 | 0.97 (0.91–1.03) | 179 | 0.98 (0.88–1.10) | 451 | 0.96 (0.89–1.03) | 0.623 |
| **Women—rectum** | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 | 7756 | 31 | 1.00 (ref.) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) | 17 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 | 10,923 | 44 | 1.03 (0.63–1.67) | 26 | 1.30 (0.66–2.56) | 18 | 0.78 (0.39–1.55) |
| 61–90 | 8000 | 34 | 1.06 (0.64–1.75) | 12 | 0.79 (0.36–1.76) | 22 | 1.27 (0.67–2.39) |
| > 90 | 7550 | 22 | 0.72 (0.41–1.26) | 12 | 0.83 (0.38–1.82) | 10 | 0.61 (0.28–1.37) | 0.214 |
| p-trend | | | | 0.285 | 0.325 | 0.563 |
| per 30 min/day | 34,228 | 131 | 1.00 (0.88–1.14) | 64 | 1.07 (0.89–1.28) | 67 | 0.93 (0.79–1.08) | 0.206 |
| **Occupational energy expenditure (kJ/min)** | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | |
| < 8 | 25,073 | 564 | 1.00 (ref.) | 226 | 1.00 (ref.) | 338 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 8–12 | 15,144 | 365 | 1.00 (ref.) | 152 | 1.00 (ref.) | 213 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| > 12 | 6368 | 133 | 0.83 (0.65–1.05) | 54 | 0.80 (0.57–1.12) | 79 | 0.86 (0.64–1.15) |
| p-trend | | | | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.274 |
| **Men—rectum** | | | | | |
| < 8 | 25,073 | 185 | 1.00 (ref.) | 114 | 1.00 (ref.) | 71 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 8–12 | 15,144 | 107 | 1.00 (ref.) | 65 | 1.00 (ref.) | 42 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| > 12 | 6368 | 57 | 1.35 (0.95–1.91) | 35 | 1.38 (0.89–2.13) | 22 | 1.30 (0.75–2.24) |
| p-trend | | | | 0.905 | 0.746 | 0.801 |
| **Occupational sitting time (h/day)** | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | |
| > 6 | 25,073 | 564 | 1.00 (ref.) | 226 | 1.00 (ref.) | 338 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 2–6 | 6511 | 187 | 1.00 (ref.) | 85 | 1.00 (ref.) | 102 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| < 2 | 11,617 | 244 | 0.70 (0.55–0.88) | 87 | 0.55 (0.39–0.77) | 157 | 0.82 (0.62–1.09) |
| p-trend | | | | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| **Men—rectum** | | | | | |
| > 6 | 25,073 | 185 | 1.00 (ref.) | 114 | 1.00 (ref.) | 71 | 1.00 (ref.) |
Table 5 (continued)

| Person-years at risk | Total | Wild-type + pMMRb | Any-mutation/dMMRc | p-het |
|----------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                      | ncases| HR (95% CI)       | ncases | HR (95% CI) | ncases | HR (95% CI) | p-het |
| > 6                  | 6511  | 60 1.00 (ref.)    | 39     | 1.00 (ref.) | 21     | 1.00 (ref.) |       |
| 2–6                  | 11,617| 69 0.62 (0.43–0.89)| 40     | 0.55 (0.35–0.87)| 29     | 0.75 (0.42–1.33)| 0.730 |
| <2                   | 6944  | 56 0.88 (0.60–1.30)| 35     | 0.84 (0.52–1.37)| 21     | 0.96 (0.52–1.79)|       |
| p-trend              | 0.541 | 0.500             |        | 0.912       |        |            |       |

HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; CRC: colorectal cancer; (d/p)MMR: mismatch repair (deficient/proficient); NLCS: Netherlands Cohort Study; p-het: p-heterogeneity

"Hazard Ratios were adjusted for age (years; continuous), BMI (kg/m²; continuous), total energy intake (kcal/day; continuous), family history of CRC (yes/no), alcohol consumption (0; 0.1–4; 5–14; > 15 g/day), processed meat intake (g/day; continuous), red meat intake (g/day; continuous). Age was included as a time-varying covariate"

This group excludes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF), as well as MMR deficient cases

This group includes cases with mutations in any of the genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, or BRAF) and/or cases that are MMR deficient

PIK3CAmut tumors and weakest for BRAFmut tumors. Non-occupational physical activity was inversely associated with any-mutation/dMMR colon cancer in men and women, but not with all-wild-type + pMMR colon cancer. In men, no clear associations were observed between non-occupational physical activity and individual molecular features in colon cancer. In women, inverse associations were observed for all individual molecular features, but associations were strongest for PIK3CAmut colon cancer. Occupational physical activity was associated with a decreased risk of colon cancer for both combination subgroups in men, but associations were strongest for all-wild-type + pMMR tumors.

Several studies have focused on investigating associations between energy balance-related factors (i.e., BMI, waist-circumference, physical activity) and risk of CRC in relation to specific (individual) mutations and/or MSI/MMR status, but results have been inconsistent (Carr et al. 2018, 2020; Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2014; Slattery et al. 2000, 2001; Hughes et al. 2012; Campbell et al. 2010; Hoffmeister et al. 2013). To our knowledge, the current study is the first to combine cases into subgroups based on KRASmut, PIK3CAmut, BRAFmut, and MMR status, and study potential etiological differences between these subgroups. Instead of comparing wild-type versus mutated tumors for individual genes and proficient versus deficient tumors for MMR, as done in previous studies, the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup served as the reference group for all other subgroups in the current study. Combining mutation and MMR status into subgroups has some advantages. First, it has been suggested that mutations in KRAS, PIK3CA, and BRAF drive metabolic reprogramming toward the Warburg-effect (Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Kimmelman 2015; Hutton et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2018), and we have shown previously that MMR deficiency is associated with presence of the Warburg-effect (Offermans et al. 2021). Combining these molecular features, presumed to be involved in the same metabolic phenotype, thus results in a cleaner reference group compared to groups based on individual features (e.g., KRAS mutated versus wild-type). Our results show that co-occurrence of KRASmut and PIK3CAmut is relatively common, as is co-occurrence of BRAFmut and dMMR. Using the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup as the reference for all subgroups of individual mutations and MMR status, this reference group is less heterogeneous compared to, e.g., the KRAS wild-type (KRASwt) group, which still contains a large number of cases with a PIK3CA mutation. Second, differentiating subgroups on the basis of the combination of presence or absence of mutations and/or dMMR leads to increased statistical power, since most individual molecular features occurred in < 20% of CRC cases (e.g., MMR deficiency: 10.7%).

Previous studies on adiposity and risk of CRC in relation to molecular features mainly focused on BMI (Carr et al. 2018, 2020; Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2013, 2014; Slattery et al. 2000, 2001, 2007; Hughes et al. 2012; Campbell et al. 2010; Hoffmeister et al. 2013; Hanyuda et al. 2016), though some used additional adiposity measures like waist circumference (Brändstedt et al. 2013, 2014; Hughes et al. 2012). Two cohort studies (Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2014) and two case–control studies (Carr et al. 2020; Slattery et al. 2001) investigated adiposity in relation to KRASmut status in CRC. Our results are in line with those of Slattery et al. (2001), which showed positive associations of adiposity with KRASmut but not KRASwt colon cancer in women, whereas similar associations were observed for KRASmut and KRASwt in men. A study by Brändstedt et al. (2014) also reported positive associations between adiposity and KRASmut but not KRASwt CRC, but in men, not women. These and our results are in contrast with those of Carr et al. (2020) and Myte et al. (2019), who reported positive associations of adiposity with KRASwt CRC (note: KRASwt + BRAFwt in the study by Myte et al.) but no or
Table 6  Multivariable-adjusted HRs and 95%-CIs for associations between physical activity measures and CRC for individual mutations and MMR status, by sex and tumor location; NLCS, 1986–2006

| Person-years at risk | KRAS<sup>a</sup> mut | PIK3CA<sup>b</sup> mut | BRAF<sup>b</sup> mut | dMMR<sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|                      | n<sub>cases</sub> | HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> | HR (95% CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> | HR (95%-CI) | n<sub>cases</sub> | HR (95% CI) |
| **Non-occupational physical activity (min/day): range (median)** | | | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 (21.4) | 4997 | 41 | 1.00 (ref.) | 24 | 1.00 (ref.) | 19 | 1.00 (ref.) | 13 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 (42.9) | 10,100 | 83 | 0.97 (0.64–1.46) | 63 | 1.30 (0.79–2.13) | 34 | 0.87 (0.49–1.55) | 18 | 0.66 (0.31–1.40) |
| 61–90 (73.6) | 6001 | 63 | 1.31 (0.85–2.02) | 28 | 0.97 (0.55–1.72) | 19 | 0.83 (0.43–1.61) | 16 | 1.00 (0.47–2.15) |
| > 90 (130.0) | 9925 | 69 | 0.84 (0.55–1.28) | 35 | 0.73 (0.42–1.26) | 33 | 0.82 (0.46–1.48) | 23 | 0.80 (0.39–1.64) |
| p-trend | | | 0.575 | 0.041 | 0.548 | 0.548 | 0.925 |
| per 30 min/day | 31,022 | 256 | 0.95 (0.89–1.01) | 150 | 0.96 (0.87–1.06) | 105 | 1.02 (0.94–1.11) | 70 | 1.02 (0.92–1.13) |
| **Men—rectum** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 (21.4) | 4997 | 5 | 1.00 (ref.) | 36 | 1.00 (ref.) | 47 | 1.00 (ref.) | 32 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 (42.9) | 10,100 | 26 | 2.38 (0.90–6.31) | 28 | 0.97 (0.47–1.32) | 32 | 0.70 (0.44–1.44) | 20 | 0.64 (0.36–1.14) |
| > 90 (130.0) | 9925 | 21 | 2.04 (0.76–5.45) | 17 | 0.51 (0.28–0.93) | 32 | 0.70 (0.44–1.14) | 20 | 0.64 (0.36–1.14) |
| p-trend | | | 0.372 |
| per 30 min/day | 31,022 | 68 | 0.98 (0.89–1.08) |
| **Women—colon** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 (19.3) | 7756 | 55 | 1.00 (ref.) | 36 | 1.00 (ref.) | 47 | 1.00 (ref.) | 32 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 (42.9) | 10,923 | 78 | 1.01 (0.69–1.47) | 35 | 0.73 (0.45–1.20) | 59 | 0.91 (0.60–1.37) | 45 | 1.00 (0.63–1.61) |
| 61–90 (75.0) | 8000 | 48 | 0.84 (0.56–1.27) | 28 | 0.79 (0.47–1.32) | 35 | 0.72 (0.45–1.16) | 34 | 1.00 (0.60–1.67) |
| > 90 (115.7) | 7550 | 41 | 0.80 (0.52–1.23) | 17 | 0.51 (0.28–0.93) | 32 | 0.70 (0.44–1.14) | 20 | 0.64 (0.36–1.14) |
| p-trend | | | 0.197 | 0.042 | 0.095 | 0.150 |
| per 30 min/day | 34,228 | 222 | 0.98 (0.90–1.08) | 116 | 0.90 (0.77–1.04) | 173 | 0.94 (0.84–1.05) | 131 | 0.97 (0.85–1.09) |
| **Women—rectum** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 (19.3) | 7756 | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) | 36 | 1.00 (ref.) | 47 | 1.00 (ref.) | 32 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 31–60 (42.9) | 10,923 | 15 | 0.79 (0.37–1.68) | 28 | 0.79 (0.47–1.32) | 35 | 0.72 (0.45–1.16) | 34 | 1.00 (0.60–1.67) |
| 61–90 (75.0) | 8000 | 20 | 1.40 (0.71–2.78) | 17 | 0.51 (0.28–0.93) | 32 | 0.70 (0.44–1.14) | 20 | 0.64 (0.36–1.14) |
| > 90 (115.7) | 7550 | 6 | 0.45 (0.17–1.18) | 17 | 0.51 (0.28–0.93) | 32 | 0.70 (0.44–1.14) | 20 | 0.64 (0.36–1.14) |
| p-trend | | | 0.366 |
| per 30 min/day | 34,228 | 55 | 0.86 (0.74–0.99) |
| **Occupational energy expenditure (kJ/min)** | | | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 8 | 15,144 | 115 | 1.00 (ref.) | 72 | 1.00 (ref.) | 59 | 1.00 (ref.) | 32 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 8–12 | 6368 | 50 | 1.04 (0.72–1.49) | 26 | 0.81 (0.50–1.32) | 18 | 0.68 (0.38–1.20) | 16 | 1.08 (0.56–2.08) |
| > 12 | 3561 | 25 | 0.93 (0.58–1.49) | 16 | 0.84 (0.47–1.50) | 10 | 0.65 (0.32–1.33) | 10 | 1.02 (0.45–2.27) |
| p-trend | | | 0.855 | 0.425 | 0.139 | 0.919 |
| **Men—rectum** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 8 | 15,144 | 31 | 1.00 (ref.) | 17 | 1.43 (0.77–2.64) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| > 12 | 3561 | 5 | 0.70 (0.27–1.82) | 17 | 1.43 (0.77–2.64) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| p-trend | | | 0.892 |
| **Occupational sitting time (h/day)** | | | | | | | |
| **Men—colon** | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 6 | 6511 | 57 | 1.00 (ref.) | 34 | 1.00 (ref.) | 22 | 1.00 (ref.) | 14 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| 2–6 | 11,617 | 87 | 0.81 (0.56–1.17) | 48 | 0.76 (0.48–1.20) | 47 | 1.15 (0.67–1.96) | 27 | 0.98 (0.49–1.94) |
| < 2 | 6944 | 46 | 0.75 (0.49–1.14) | 32 | 0.84 (0.51–1.39) | 18 | 0.73 (0.37–1.41) | 17 | 0.99 (0.46–2.13) |
| p-trend | | | 0.181 | 0.508 | 0.325 | 0.992 |
weak associations with $KRAS_{mut}$ CRC. Three cohort studies (Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2014; Hughes et al. 2012), including one study that used data from the NLCS with 7.3 years of follow-up (Hughes et al. 2012), and two case–control studies (Carr et al. 2020; Slattery et al. 2007) studied adiposity in relation to $PIK3CA_{mut}$ CRC. Our results are in line with all but one of these studies (Myte et al. 2019; Brändstedt et al. 2014; Hughes et al. 2012; Slattery et al. 2007), as these reported either a weaker positive association of adiposity with $BRAF_{mut}$ compared to $BRAF_{wt}$ CRC (Brändstedt et al. 2014; Hughes et al. 2012), or no association with $BRAF_{mut}$ CRC (Myte et al. 2019; Slattery et al. 2007). Even though Carr et al. (2020) observed this same difference in associations for men, associations between adiposity and CRC were stronger for $BRAF_{mut}$ CRC than $BRAF_{wt}$ CRC in women. For MSI/MMR status, our results are in line with those of a recent meta-analysis by Carr et al. (2018), in which no difference in associations was observed between adiposity and MSI status in CRC. Our study is the first to investigate the association between adiposity and CRC risk in relation to $PIK3CA_{mut}$ status, and therefore cannot be compared to any previous data.

To our knowledge, associations between physical activity and colon cancer risk in relation to molecular features have only been investigated in a case–control study by Slattery et al. for $KRAS_{mut}$ status (Slattery et al. 2001), $BRAF_{mut}$ status (Slattery et al. 2007), and MSI (Slattery et al. 2000) status. Our results are partly in line with these studies, which showed stronger positive associations between physical inactivity and risk of $KRAS_{mut}$ colon cancer compared to $KRAS_{wt}$ colon cancer in men, whereas associations did not differ according to $KRAS_{mut}$ status in women (Slattery et al. 2001). For $BRAF$, they observed no association between physical activity and $BRAF_{mut}$ colon cancer (Slattery et al. 2007). Lastly, physical activity was associated with both MSS and MSI colon cancer in men, but only with MSS colon cancer in women (Slattery et al. 2000). Our results for $PIK3CA_{mut}$ CRC cannot be compared to any previous data, since studies investigating associations between physical activity and $PIK3CA_{mut}$ status in CRC are currently lacking.

The contradicting results across molecular pathological epidemiology (MPE) studies regarding associations of energy balance-related factors with risk of CRC according to $KRAS_{mut}$, $BRAF_{mut}$, and/or MSI/MMR status might be attributed to several factors. For example: use of different methods for assessing molecular features (e.g. assessment of different mutations or MSI versus MMR status); different timing and method of exposure measurements (i.e. BMI, waist circumference, physical activity); different study designs (i.e. cohort versus case–control); different approaches for (outcome) stratification (for example stratification on sex and tumor location); and/or chance findings due to multiple testing, caused by repeatedly splitting CRC into different molecular pathological subgroups. We therefore believe it is important that large prospective cohort studies replicate the current analyses, preferably stratified on tumor location and sex.

The current results suggest a role of $KRAS$ mutations in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer risk in women (adiposity was only associated with $KRAS_{mut}$ colon cancers). In contrast, our results do not indicate a clear role of one of the molecular features in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer in men (adiposity was associated with all subgroups of molecular features in colon cancer). As mentioned above, the molecular features used in the current study have all been associated with the Warburg-effect (Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Kimmelman 2015; Hutton et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2018; Offermans...
et al. 2021). Associations with the all-wild-type + pMMR group indicate a low likelihood of Warburg-effect involvement, whereas associations with the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup or subgroups of individual molecular features indicate a higher likelihood of Warburg-effect involvement. Therefore, the current results indicate a potential role of the Warburg-effect in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer in women through KRAS mutations, but not other molecular features. In men, a role of the Warburg-effect in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer is not indicated by the current results. In a previous study, we investigated associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of Warburg-subtypes in CRC, based IHC expression of proteins involved in the Warburg-effect (Jenniskens et al. 2021a). The results of this previous study indicated involvement of the Warburg-effect in associations between adiposity and colon cancer risk in both men and women, though additional mechanisms could be at play in women as well.

For physical activity, the current results indicate a role of molecular features (KRAS*PIK3CA* B*RAF* and/or MMR deficiency) in the etiological pathway between physical inactivity and colon cancer risk in women (physical activity was associated with any-mutation/dMMR colon cancer), and it seems that in particular PIK3CA mutations are involved in this association (strongest association observed with PIK3CA* colon cancer). In men, the current results do not give a clear indication of involvement of molecular features in the association between physical activity and colon cancer. While non-occupational physical activity was inversely associated with the any-mutation/dMMR subgroup, occupational physical activity was mainly associated with the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup. It is assumed that occupational physical activity gives a better indication of physical activity for men than non-occupational physical activity. That is, while occupational physical activity represents long-term physical activity (median duration of longest held job: 29 years), non-occupational physical activity probably reflects the last few years before baseline. Therefore, the current results suggest that the molecular features studied here are not involved in the etiological pathway between physical inactivity and colon cancer risk in men. All in all, the current results indicate involvement of the Warburg-effect in associations between physical activity and colon cancer risk in women, but not men. Results of our previous study on Warburg-subtypes in CRC indicated that inverse associations between physical activity and colon cancer risk are explained by mechanisms other than the Warburg-effect (Jenniskens et al. 2021a).

Altogether, results from our previous study on Warburg-subtypes in CRC are only partly in line with the current results. Although the molecular features that were considered in the current study have been associated with the Warburg-effect (Levine and Puzio-Kuter 2010; Kimmelman 2015; Hutton et al. 2016; Jiang et al. 2018; Offermans et al. 2021), they are additionally known for their involvement in numerous diverse (oncogenic) cellular pathways for cell growth, differentiation, proliferation, and survival (Li et al. 2020; Haluska et al. 2007; Boland and Goel 2010). Therefore, the molecular features used in the current study might not always be a good reflection of the Warburg-effect. Furthermore, tumors of cases in the all-wild-type + pMMR subgroup might express other molecular features, possibly also associated with the Warburg-effect, that were not assessed in the current study. This may have potentially influenced our results. Still, combining these molecular features into all-wild-type + pMMR and any-mutation/dMMR subgroups seemed to be a straightforward way of subgrouping CRC cases, especially for physical activity associations.

A major strength of the current study is the prospective cohort design with long follow-up (20.3 years) and availability of DNA from FFPE tumor material from a large number of incident CRC cases. Another strength was the detection of mutations using MassARRAY technology, which has been shown to be a suitable technique for mutation typing in (older) FFPE material (Fleitas et al. 2016). The ColoCarta panel that was used includes assays for most of the KRAS (99%) and B*RAF (98%) mutations, but it identifies only 78% of known PIK3CA mutations (Fumagalli et al. 2010). However, the most common PIK3CA mutations are included (Gray et al. 2017). This makes it unlikely that additional detection of less common mutations would alter the current results, since the number of additional cases with a PIK3CA mutation would be rather small. As an indicator of MSI status, we used IHC expression of MLH1 and MSH2, which might have led to misclassification of some of the cases. However, it has been shown that loss of MLH1 or MSH2 expression was observed in ~90% of MSI cases (Lanza et al. 2002).

In conclusion, results from this large prospective cohort study provide further insights in the associations between energy balance-related factors and CRC risk according to KRAS*PIK3CA* B*RAF* and MMR status. Associations between energy balance-related factors and risk of CRC varied by these molecular features, as well by sex and tumor location. Our results suggest a role of KRAS mutations in the etiological pathway between adiposity and colon cancer in women. For men, our results do not indicate a role of one of the molecular features in the etiological pathway of adiposity and colon cancer. Furthermore, the current results indicate a role of mutations in KRAS, PIK3CA, and/or B*RAF, and/or MMR deficiency in the etiological pathway between physical inactivity and colon cancer risk in women, but not men, and it seems that in particular PIK3CA mutations are involved in this association. Our findings need to be replicated in additional large-scale MPE-studies.
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