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Abstract: Inflammation is related to many diseases. Lindera akoensis Hayata was often used in folk therapy in Taiwan for inflammation. In this study, three new flavonol acyl glycosides, namely kaempferol-3-O-β-D-4″,6″-di-(E)-p-coumaroylglucoside (1), 3″-(E)-p-coumaroylaflzelin (2) and 4′-O-methyl-2″,4″-di-(E)-p-coumaroylquercitrin (3), and three components, 3β-hydroxyl,4β-hydroxy-5β-methylidydrofuran-2-one (4), 2β-acetoxyclovan-9α-ol (5), (1α,4β,6β)-trihydroxyeudesmane (6) that were isolated from the natural product for the first time were obtained along with 25 known compounds from Lindera akoensis. Their structures were determined by comprehensive spectroscopic analyses (1D and 2D NMR, EI-, ESI- and HRESI-MS). The ability of 1 to decrease the LPS-stimulated production of nitrite in RAW264.7 cell was evaluated, showing an IC50 value of 36.3 ± 3.2 µM. This result supports the value of Lindera akoensis as a traditional medicine resource.
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1. Introduction

Lindera akoensis Hayata belonging to the Lauraceae family, moreover, it is an endemic species widely distributed in central and southern Taiwan. Traditionally it has been used by local residents to treat various inflammation symptom [1]. The genus Lindera has shown many bioactivities, including antitumor [2–4], anti-inflammatory [5,6] and antibacterial [7,8] properties in previous literature reports. Previous phytochemical research of the genus Lindera revealed an abundance of butalactones [8–10], sesquiterpenes [11] and flavonoids [9,12,13] in this genus. In our earlier study on the aerial parts of Lindera akoensis, was explored the isolation of butanolides, flavonols, and lignans [9]. In order to confirm the traditional folk usage of Lindera akoensis, this study continued our previous work on the isolation and purification of Lindera akoensis components. Six novel compounds (Figure 1) and 25 known compounds were isolated and identified. Next their anti-inflammatory activity was evaluated. Based on our experimental results, compound 1 has anti-inflammatory activity by decreasing nitric oxide (NO)
production induced by lipopolysaccharide in mouse macrophage RAW264.7 cells in IC\textsubscript{50} 36.3 ± 3.2 µM, having potential as a lead compound to treat symptoms of inflammation.

Figure 1. Compounds 1–6 isolated from the aerial part of \textit{L. akoensis}.

2. Results and Discussion

Thirty one compounds were isolated and identified from aerial part of \textit{L. akoensis} including kaempferol-3-O-β-D-4\(^′′\),6\(^′′\)-di-(E)-p-coumaroylglucoside (1), 3\(^′′\)-(E)-p-coumaroylafzelin (2) and 4\(^′\)-O-methyl-2\(^′′\),4\(^′′\)-di-(E)-p-coumaroylquercitrin (3), and three compounds that were isolated from the natural product for the first time, 3\(^′\)-β-dodecyl-4\(^′\)-β-hydroxy-5\(^′\)-β-methyldihydrofuran-2-one (4), 2\(^′\)-β-acetoxyclovan-9α-ol (5), and (1α,4β,6β)-trihydroxyeudesmane (6) (Figure 1) along with 25 known compounds, including two monoterpenoids, 17 sesquiterpenoids, and six steroids. Their structures were elucidated by ESI-MS, UV, IR, 1D and 2D NMR spectrometry and comparisons with data from the literature.

Kaempferol-3-O-β-D-4\(^′′\),6\(^′′\)-di-(E)-p-coumaroylglucoside (1) was isolated as a pale yellow solid. The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl (3240 cm\(^{-1}\)) and carbonyl groups (1651 cm\(^{-1}\)). Four structural units were observed in the 2D-NMR spectrum: two (E)-p-coumaroyls, glucose and a kaempferol nucleus (Figure 2). Two A\(_2\)X\(_2\) coupling systems (δ\textsubscript{H} 7.74 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5\(^{′′′}\),-9\(^{′′′}\)), 6.81 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6\(^{′′′}\),-8\(^{′′′}\)) and 7.26 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5\(^{′′′′}\),-9\(^{′′′′}\)), 6.80 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6\(^{′′′′}\),-8\(^{′′′′}\)) and two olefinic protons δ\textsubscript{H} 6.41, 7.68 (each 1H, d, J = 15.9 Hz, H-2\(^{′′′}\),-3\(^{′′′}\)) and δ\textsubscript{H} 6.06, 7.39 (each 1H, d, J = 15.9 Hz, H-2\(^{′′′′}\),-3\(^{′′′′}\)) in the \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum indicated the occurrence of two (E)-p-coumaroyl group. A down-shifted chemical shift δ\textsubscript{H} 5.18 (1H, t, J = 9.4 Hz) appeared on C-4\(^′′\) that conjecturing esterified on H-4\(^′′\) position, the other (E)-p-coumaroyl group replaced on CH\(_2\)OH of glucose corroborated by HMBC spectrum. The characteristic kaempferol signals observed in the \(^1\)H-NMR are consistent with the literature [14], the only difference being the fact that the H-3 proton was not detected and the \(^{13}\)C-NMR signal of C-3 (δ\textsubscript{C} 135.4) was more down-shifted than the C-3 of apigenin (δ\textsubscript{C} 103.2) furthermore, a significant HMBC relationship of H-1\(^′′\) to C-3 was detected, so through the above evidence, the glucose-kaempferol linkage was presumed to be in a C-3-O-C-1\(^′′\) configuration.
The axial-axial coupling constant (H-1′ and -2′, J = 7.8 Hz) and DEPT-135 signal of secondary carbon (C-6′, δC 64.4) were observed, supporting the existence of β-glucose. Accordingly, the structure of 1 was elucidated as kaempferol-3-O-β-D-4′,6′-di-(E)-p-coumaroylglucoside, and named linderakoside F as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 2. Selected key HMBC, COSY, and NOESY correlations of compounds 1–3.

3′′-(E)-p-Coumaroylafzelin (2) was isolated as a pale yellow solid. The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl (3431 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl groups (1655 cm⁻¹). Three constituents of the structure were observed—(E)-p-coumaroyl, rhamnose and kaempferol—through 2D-NMR spectrum (Figure 2). A typical methyl 1H-NMR signal of rhamnose δH 0.93 (3H, d, J = 5.5 Hz), different from 4′-O-methyl-4′′-(E)-p-coumaroylafzelin and 4′′-(Z)-p-coumaroylafzelin in our previous research [9]. The steric hindrance effect between the esterification on C-3′′ and ketone of flavone, the 1H-NMR signal of the methyl group (H-6′′) was down-shifted at δH 0.97 (3H, d, J = 5.5 Hz), deshielded by the ketone and the aromatic flavone ring, that demonstrated the p-coumaroyl position was different from 4′-O-methyl-4′′-(E)-p-coumaroylafzelin and 4′′-(Z)-p-coumaroylafzelin. An A₂X₂ coupling system at δH 7.48 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-5′′, -9′′) and δH 6.81 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, H-6′′, -8′′), as well as an olefinic proton signals at δH 6.43 and 7.72 (each 1H, d, J = 15.7 Hz, H-2′′, -3′′) could be observed suggesting the presence of an (E)-p-coumaroyl moiety. The α-rhamnose moiety was confirmed by the small axial- equatorial coupling constant (H-1′′ and -2′′, brs). Comparing with our previous studies [12], furthermore the HMBC relationship of H-1′′ to C-3 existed, so the the rhamnose-kaempferol linkage was in a C-3-O-C-1′′ configuration that was confirmed by 13C-NMR. Based on the above deduction, 2 was designated to be a new compound, 3′′-(E)-p-coumaroylafzelin, as shown in Figure 1, and named linderakoside G.

4′′-O-Methyl-2′′,4′′-di-(E)-p-coumaroylquercitrin (3) was a pale yellow solid. The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl (3421 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl group (1649 cm⁻¹). Four parts of the structure were observed, two (E)-p-coumaroyls, rhamnose and tamarixetin through the 2D-NMR spectrum (Figure 2). A typical methyl 1H-NMR signal of rhamnose appeared at δH 0.85 (3H, d,
According to the literature [15], the methyl signal should be at δ_H 0.95 if the –OH on the rhamnose was not esterified, and the methyl signal was high-shifted in position 4″ confirming the esterification. Two A_2X_2 coupling system (δ_H 7.50 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-5′′′′, -9′′′′), 6.82 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-6′′′′, -8′′′′)) and 7.50 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, H-5′′′′, -9′′′′), 6.85 (2H, d, J = 8.6, H-6′′′′, -8′′′′)) and two olefinic protons δ_H 6.27, 7.55 (each 1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-2′′′′, -3′′′′) and δ_H 6.42, 7.68 (each 1H, d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-2′′′′, -3′′′′) in the 1H-NMR spectrum indicated the occurrence of two (E)-p-coumaroyl group. This structure was similar to linderakoside E identified in our previous work [12], with a relatively downfield chemical shift on H-2′′′′ δ_H 5.55 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 1.7 Hz) and H-4′′′′ δ_H 4.97 (1H, t, J = 9.8 Hz), that indicated esterification on these positions. Similar to 2, the rhamnose-kaempferol linkage was in a C-3-O-C-1′′′′ configuration that was confirmed by 13C-NMR. A methoxy signal δ_H 3.87 (3H, s, OMe) was observed, with a significant NOESY correlation with H-5′′′′ δ_H 7.15 (1H, d, J = 8.9 Hz) and obviously HMBC correlation with C-4′′′′ δ_C 152.0, whence the position was determined. The α-rhamnose moiety was confirmed by small axial-equatorial coupling constant (H-1′′′′ and -2′′′′, J = 1.6 Hz). Based on the above deduction, 3 was designated to be the new compound 4′′-O-methyl-2′′′,4′′′-di-(E)-p-coumaroylquericitrin, and named linderakoside H, as shown in Figure 1.

3β-Dodecyl-4β-hydroxy-5β-methylidihyfuran-2-one (4) was isolated as a colorless solid ([α]_D^20 + 4° (c = 0.8, CHCl₃)). Three 1H-NMR signals (H-3, -4, -5 δ_H 2.55 (1H, m), 4.30 (dd, J = 4.6, 3.0 Hz), 4.44 (gd, J = 6.4, 3.0 Hz)) were similar to those of 3β-((E)-dodec-1-enyl)-4β-hydroxy-5β-methylidihyfuran-2-one and 3α-((E)-dodec-1-enyl)-4β-hydroxy-5β-methylidihyfuran-2-one in our previous work [9,10]. Compound 4 has a cis-relationship between H-4 and -5 according to the literature comparison [16]. Eleven CH₂-group signals were observed, where δ_H 1.23 (22H, m), one of two methyl signals δ_H 1.41 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz) was down-shifted because the influences of the -OH and lactone moiety, another methyl δ_H 0.87 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz) was typical of a -CH₂ chain-end (Table S1). This compound was not described in natural product before, although Lee et al obtained it by hydrogenating 3-epilitsenolide D2 in 2001 [16].

2β-Acetoxyclovan-9α-ol (5) was isolated as a colorless oil, with the molecular formula C₁₇H₂₉O₃ from the HR-ESI-MS (m/z 280.2027 [M]+, calcd 280.2024). The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxyl (3450 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl groups (1738 cm⁻¹). Three singlet methyl signals in the 1H-NMR (δ_H 0.89, 1.03, 0.93, each 3H, s, H-13, -14, -15) were characteristic of a clovane skeleton (Table S1). A typical acetyl group carbonyl was observed at δ_C 171.0 and δ_H 2.02 (3H, s). The structure of compound 5 was similar to that of clovanadiol (16) [17] that was isolated in this work, the only difference was δ_H 4.83 (1H, dd, J = 8.7, 5.9 Hz) of H-4 was down shifted more than the H-4 of clovanadiol (δ_H 3.79, 1H, dd, J = 10.5, 5.5 Hz), thence the acetyl group is speculated to be linked at this position, and the significant HMBC relationship of H-2′-C-1′′ confirmed this. This work is to describe the structure 5 in a natural product. Heymann, et al. previously obtained it by acetylateing clovanadiol in 1994 [18]. (1α,4β,6β)-Trihydroxyeudesmane (6) was isolated as colorless needle-like crystals, with the molecular formula C₁₅H₂₆O₃ from HR-ESI-MS (m/z 256.2029 [M]+, calcd 256.2010). The absolute stereo configuration of 6 was solved by X-ray single crystal diffraction (Figure S1). The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl (3238 cm⁻¹) group. The 13C-NMR and DEPT spectrum showed compound 6 had a eudesmane skeleton (Table S2). Two isopropyl methyls (δ_H 0.92, 1.09, each 3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, H-13, -12), one down-shifted methyl (δ_H 1.34, 3H, s, H-15) affected by the –OH, one relatively high-shifted methyl (δ_H 0.94, 3H, s, H-14), and two relatively down-shifted signals affected by the –OH (δ_H 3.32, 1H, m, H-1, 4.33, 1H, dd, J = 11.2, 4.4 Hz, H-6) were observed in the 1H-NMR (Table S1). The relative stereo configuration was decided by the NOESY spectrum, H-6/H-14/H-15 were in an axial position as defined by their significant NOESY correlations with each other. The significant correlation of H-5/H-1, -12, and -13, and the small coupling constant (4.4 Hz) between H-6 and H-7 decided the relative stereo configuration of H-1, -5, and the isopropyl. According to the literature, compound 6 was never reported as a natural product, but it was prepared by hydrolysis of pumilaside A with hesperidinase by Kitajima et al. in 2000 [19].
The 25 known compounds, including two monoterpenes, (E)-6-hydroxy-2,6-dimethylocta-2,7-dienoic acid (7) [20] and trans-sobrerol (8) [21], seventeen sesquiterpenes: teucladiol (9) [22], globulol (10) [23], β-dictyopterol (11) [24], 4β,10α-aromadendranediol-10-methyl ether (12) [25], 4α,10β-alloaromadendranediol-10-methyl ether (13) [25], 4β,10α-aromadendranediol (14) [26], 4α,10β-alloaromadendranediol (15) [25], clovandiol (16) [17], caryophyllenol-II (17) [18], humulene diepoxide A (18) [18], isocaryolanediol (19) [18], β-caryophyllene-8,9-oxide (20) [18], kobusone (21) [18], 7,8-epoxy-1(12)-caryophyllene-9α-ol (22) [18], 8β-hydroxy-1(12)-caryophyllene (23) [27], 2β-methoxyclovan-9α-ol (24) [28] and 8,9-dihydroxy-1(12)caryophyllene (25) [29] and six steroids, β-sitosterol (26) [30], 5-stigmasten-3β,7β-diol (27) [31], 5-stigmasten-3β,7α-diol (28) [31], 5α,8α-epidioxy-24-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-ol (29) [32], 5α,8α-epidioxy-24-methylcholesta-6,22-dien-3β-ol (30) [33], and 3β-hydroxystigmast-5-en-7-one (31) [34] were identified by comparison of their physical and reported spectroscopic data.

Caffeic acid is an effective anti-inflammatory substance. According to the literature [35–38], it inhibits inflammatory responses in many ways, including nitric oxide (NO) produced by various induction pathways, therefore the anti-inflammatory evaluation in this work used caffeic acid as positive control. linderakoside F (1) showed in vitro anti-inflammatory activity since it decrease the LPS-stimulated production of nitrite in RAW264.7 cell, with the IC\(_{50}\) value 36.3 ± 3.2 µM a lot better than caffeic acid (162.8 ± 5.6 µM), in addition, they have no obvious cytotoxicity at the concentration of the experiment (Figure 3). Unfortunately, the weights of linderakoside G-H (2-3) was too small to evaluate their anti-inflammatory activity.
3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Methods

The following instruments were used for obtaining physical and spectroscopic data: optical rotations P-1020 digital polarimeter (JASCO, Kyoto, Japan); IR spectra, IR Prestige-21 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan); UV spectrum, Shimadzu Pharmaspec-1700 UV-Visible spectrophotometer; HR-ESI-MS spectra, LCQ ion-trap mass spectrometer (Finnigan, Waltham, MA, USA); melting point, MP-J3 (Yanaco, Kyoto, Japan); and 'H- and 13C-NMR spectra, DRX- 400 at 400 and 100 MHz and 500 FT-NMR spectrometer at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) with TMS as an internal standard. Silica gel column chromatography was performed on silica gel (70–230 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). HPLC was performed with EtOAc and (500 MHz, methanol-d).

3.2. Plant Material

The aerial part of L. akoensis was collected in Taichung, Taiwan, in July, 2008. This material was identified by Prof. Yen-Hsueh Tseng, Department of Forestry, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan. A voucher specimen (CMU2008-06-LA) was deposited in the School of Pharmacy, China Medical University.

3.3. Extraction and Isolation

The dried aerial part of L. akoensis (dry weight 5.9 kg) was extracted with 95% ethanol for 7 days (20 L, three times). The dried crude extract (337.8 g) was suspended in H2O and partitioned successively with EtOAc and n-BuOH. The EtOAc layer was evaporated in vacuo to yield a residue (127.8 g) that was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (particle size 70–230 mesh) and eluted with a gradient of increasing polarity with solvent of n-hexane/EtOAc solvent (99:1/0:100) to give 21 fractions. Fraction 16 (7.15 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CHCl3/EtOAc, v/v 6:4; n-hexane/aceton 8:1; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 1:1) alternately to afford pure 1 (143.7 mg), 2 (1.1 mg), 3 (2.0 mg), and 8 (85.5 mg). Fraction 15 (1.23 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CH3Cl/EtOAc, v/v 6:4; n-hexane/aceton v/v 7:3; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 1:1) alternately to afford pure 4 (16.9 mg), 6 (36.2 mg), 7 (71.3 mg), 15 (12.8 mg), 16 (47.7 mg), 19 (8.5 mg), 27 (46.3 mg), and 28 (55.1 mg). Fraction 11 (5.08 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CH2Cl2/EtOAc, v/v 7:3; n-hexane/aceton v/v 4:1; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 3:2) alternately to afford pure 5 (12.7 mg), 9 (17.2 mg), 12 (14.3 mg), 13 (16.6 mg), 14 (10.9 mg), 22 (10.8 mg), 24 (18.8 mg), and 25 (7.6 mg). Fraction 8 (10.84 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CH2Cl2/EtOAc, v/v 4:1; n-hexane/aceton v/v 9:1; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 7:3) alternately to afford pure 10 (9.8 mg), 11 (9.1 mg), 17 (7.2 mg), 18 (6.4 mg), 21 (9.3 mg), 23 (8.3 mg), 26 (873.5 mg), 29 (15.5 mg), and 30 (17.3 mg). Fraction 4 (0.87 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CHCl3/EtOAc, v/v 8:1; n-hexane/aceton v/v 10:1; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 4:1) alternately to afford pure 31 (62.2 mg). Fraction 3 (10.03 g) was separated using semi-preparative HPLC with the conditions (CHCl3/EtOAc, v/v 9:1; n-hexane/aceton v/v 12:1; n-hexane/EtOAc v/v 5:1) alternately to afford pure 20 (10.2 mg).

3.4. Linderakoside F (1)

Pale yellow solid; mp: 213 °C; [α]D20 54.6° (c = 8.9, CH3OH); IR (film) νmax: 3240, 2936, 1651, 1605, 1512, and 1173 cm⁻¹; UV νmax (MeOH) nm (log ε): 314 (4.79), 248 (4.30) and, 210 (4.70); 1H-NMR (500 MHz, methanol-d4): Table 1; 13C-NMR (125 MHz, methanol-d4): Table 2; and positive-ion HR-ESI-MS: m/z 763.1630 [M + Na]+ (calcd for C39H52O15Na: 763.1633).
Table 1. $^1$H-NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 1-3 (in methanol-$d_4$, 500 MHz)\textsuperscript{a}.

| Position | Linderakoside F (1) | Linderakoside G (2) | Linderakoside H (3) |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 6        | 6.12 (1H, br s)     | 6.21 (1H, br s)     | 6.22 (1H, d, J = 2.0) |
| 8        | 6.26 (1H, br s)     | 6.40 (1H, br s)     | 6.39 (1H, d, J = 2.0) |
| 2'       | 7.97 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 7.84 (1H, d, J = 8.8) | 7.40 (1H, s) |
| 3'       | 6.82 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 6.97 (1H, d, J = 8.8) | - |
| 5'       | 6.82 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 6.97 (1H, d, J = 8.8) | 7.15 (1H, d, J = 8.9) |
| 6'       | 7.97 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 7.84 (1H, d, J = 8.8) | 7.41 (1H, d, J = 8.9) |
| 1''      | 5.56 (1H, d, J = 7.8) | 5.47 (1H, br s)     | 5.71 (1H, d, J = 1.6) |
| 2''      | 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 9.4, 7.8) | 4.44 (1H, br s)     | 5.55 (1H, dd, J = 3.4, 1.6) |
| 3''      | 3.59 (1H, t, J = 9.4) | 5.13 (1H, m)        | 4.17 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 1.6) |
| 4''      | 5.18 (1H, t, J = 9.4) | 3.61 (1H, t, J = 9.3) | 4.97 (1H, t, J = 9.8) |
| 5''      | 3.67 (1H, m)        | 3.44 (1H, qd, J = 5.5, 9.3) | 3.31 (1H, qd, J = 6.2, 9.8) |
| 6''      | 4.25 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 6.5) | 0.97 (3H, d, J = 5.5) | 0.85 (3H, d, J = 6.2) |
| 2'''     | 6.41 (1H, d, J = 15.9) | 6.43 (1H, d, J = 15.7) | 6.30 (1H, d, J = 16.0) |
| 3'''     | 7.68 (1H, d, J = 15.9) | 7.72 (1H, d, J = 15.7) | 7.60 (1H, d, J = 16.0) |
| 5'''     | 7.44 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 7.48 (1H, d, J = 8.7) | 7.50 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 6'''     | 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.7) | 6.82 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 8'''     | 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 6.81 (1H, d, J = 8.7) | 6.82 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 9'''     | 7.44 (1H, d, J = 8.5) | 7.48 (1H, d, J = 8.7) | 7.50 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 2''''    | 6.06 (1H, d, J = 15.9) | -                   | 6.42 (1H, d, J = 16.0) |
| 3''''    | 7.39 (1H, d, J = 15.9) | -                   | 7.70 (1H, d, J = 16.0) |
| 5''''    | 7.26 (1H, J = 8.5)   | -                   | 7.50 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 6''''    | 6.80 (1H, J = 8.5)   | -                   | 6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 8''''    | 6.80 (1H, J = 8.5)   | -                   | 6.85 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |
| 9''''    | 7.26 (1H, J = 8.5)   | -                   | 7.50 (1H, d, J = 8.6) |

| OCH3     | -                   | 3.87 (3H, s)        |

\textsuperscript{a} The chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ ppm. The coupling constants (J) are expressed in Hz.

Table 2. $^{13}$C-NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 1-3 (in methanol-$d_4$, 125 MHz).

| Position | Linderakoside F (1) | Linderakoside G (2) | Linderakoside H (3) |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2        | 159.3               | 159.6               | 159.4               |
| 3        | 135.4               | 136.2               | 134.1               |
| 4        | 179.3               | 176.5               | 179.6               |
| 4a       | 105.7               | 103.2               | 100.2               |
| 5        | 158.4               | 158.8               | 158.8               |
| 6        | 100.1               | 100.1               | 100.2               |
| 7        | 165.9               | 166.2               | 166.4               |
| 8        | 95.1                | 95.0                | 95.1                |
| 8a       | 162.9               | 163.4               | 163.4               |
| 1'       | 122.7               | 122.7               | 125.0               |
| 2'       | 132.4               | 132.1               | 131.5               |
| 3'       | 117.0               | 116.8               | 148.1               |
| 4'       | 161.2               | 161.8               | 152.0               |
| 5'       | 117.0               | 116.8               | 114.9               |
| 6'       | 132.4               | 132.1               | 131.5               |
| 1''      | 104.1               | 103.2               | 100.2               |
| 2''      | 74.2                | 70.1                | 73.1                |
| 3''      | 70.3                | 75.3                | 68.6                |
| 4''      | 78.9                | 70.7                | 74.9                |
| 5''      | 75.8                | 72.4                | 70.0                |
| 6''      | 64.4                | 17.9                | 18.0                |
| 1'''     | 169.2               | 169.1               | 168.7               |
| 2'''     | 115.5               | 116.0               | 114.8               |
Table 2. Cont.

| Position | Linderakoside F (1) | Linderakoside G (2) | Linderakoside H (3) |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 3\''\''   | 146.9               | 147.0               | 147.6               |
| 4\''\''   | 127.4               | 127.5               | 127.3               |
| 5\''\''   | 131.3               | 132.1               | 131.5               |
| 6\''\''   | 116.9               | 116.0               | 117.0               |
| 7\''\''   | 161.3               | 161.5               | 161.6               |
| 8\''\''   | 116.9               | 116.0               | 117.0               |
| 9\''\''   | 131.3               | 132.1               | 131.5               |
| 1\''\''\''| 168.9               | -                   | 168.4               |
| 2\''\''\''| 114.8               | -                   | 114.9               |
| 3\''\''\''| 146.7               | -                   | 147.6               |
| 4\''\''\''| 127.2               | -                   | 127.3               |
| 5\''\''\''| 131.3               | -                   | 131.5               |
| 6\''\''\''| 116.2               | -                   | 117.0               |
| 7\''\''\''| 161.6               | -                   | 161.6               |
| 8\''\''\''| 131.3               | -                   | 131.5               |
| 9\''\''\''| -                   | -                   | 56.7                |

| OCH₃      | -                   | -                   | -                   |

3.5. Linderakoside G (2)

Pale yellow solid; mp: 162 °C; [α]²⁰_D-149.1° (c = 0.6, CH₃OH); IR (film) ν max: 3431, 1651, 1618 and 1171 cm⁻¹; UV ν max (MeOH) nm (log ε): 314 (4.53), 276 (4.40), 267 (4.46), 246 (4.22), and 210 (4.56); ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, methanol-d₄): Table 1; ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, methanol-d₄): Table 2; and positive-ion HR-ESI-MS: m/z 601.1317 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₃₀H₂₆O₁₂Na: 601.1316).

3.6. Linderakoside H (3)

Pale yellow solid; mp: 221 °C; [α]²⁰_D-166.2° (c = 0.3, CH₃OH); IR (film) ν max: 3421, 2933, 1649, 1605, 1512, and 1169 cm⁻¹; UV ν max (MeOH) nm (log ε): 315 (4.70), 274 (4.47), 267 (4.49), 246 (4.34), and 210 (4.70); ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, methanol-d₄): Table 1; ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, methanol-d₄): Table 2; and positive-ion HR-ESI-MS: m/z 777.1650 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₄₀H₃₄O₁₅Na: 777.1653).

3.7. Bioactivity Assays

The assays of evaluating nitric oxide (NO) production and cell viability on RAW264.7 cells were followed our studies before [9,10] and consulted literature [39]. RAW264.7 cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/well in 96-well plates for 12 h. Cells were treated with linderakoside F (1) in the presence of LPS (100 ng/mL) for 24 hours. Supernatants were collected and NO levels were determined using the Greiss reagent. Each of 100 µL of supernatant was mixed with 100 µL of Griess reagent (0.1% N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, 1% sulfanilamide, and 5% phosphoric acid) then incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 540 nm using a microplate reader (SpectraMax® M2e, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Culture media were used as blanks and the nitrite levels were determined by using a standard curve obtained from sodium nitrite (0–125 µM).

4. Conclusions

Despite being an endemic species of Lauraceae in Taiwan, there are not many reports yet on the phytochemistry and bioactivities of L. akoensis. Traditionally, L. akoensis is only used for ornamental purposes and some inflammation treatments. This study obtained three new flavonol acylglycosides, linderakosides F-H (compounds 1–3) and three components 4–6 isolated from a natural product that first time, along with 25 known compounds, including two monoterpenoids 7–8, 17 sesquiterpenoids 9–25, and six steroids 26–31 which were isolated from this plant for the first time. Linderakoside F (1) displayed potential anti-inflammatory activity, with an IC₅₀ value of 36.3 ± 3.2 µM. In this work,
we discovered active components as potential lead compounds and additionally provided a scientific basis for the drug use of *L. akoensis*.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online, Figure S1: X-ray crystallographic structure of compound 6, Table S1: 1H-NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 4–6 (in CDCl₃, 400 MHz), Table S2: 13C-NMR spectroscopic data of compounds 5–6 (in CDCl₃, 100 MHz).
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