Revisit the Effects of Health Literacy on Health Behaviors in the Context of COVID-19: The Mediation Pathways Based on the Health Belief Model
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Background: Emerging research has identified health literacy as an important resource for individual health care and disease prevention. In the context of COVID-19, People with limited HL are less likely to follow preventive measures such as wearing masks, social isolation, or taking the vaccination. However, the pathways of how health literacy affects decision-making have remained unclear.

Methods: With a cross-sectional study, a total of 613 responses (mean age is 25.64 ± 6.46 years) were collected. The relationship between health literacy and health behaviors under COVID-19 was examined, and the potential mediation pathways were assessed based on the health belief model.

Results: With linear regression, it was found that health literacy has a direct effect on health behaviors and three constructs in the health belief model, i.e., perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived barriers, as well as an indirect effect on health behaviors via increasing perceived barriers related with COVID-19 preventive measures. The results showed that health literacy only goes through the pathway of perceived barriers to influence health behaviors, and the indirect effects via other pathways were not significant.

Conclusions: The research addressed the mediation model underlying the effects of health literacy on health behaviors and identified a partial mediation role of perceived barriers. Health literacy could promote individual health behavior by reducing the perceived barriers to forming a healthy lifestyle and making health decisions. Future health promotion interventions increasing people’s health literacy should be advocated to promote health initiatives in the whole population.
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INTRODUCTION

From the outbreak of COVID-19 in early 2020 (1) till May 9, 2022, more than 500 million confirmed cases infected with COVID-19 were reported, and over six million deaths (2). However, no effective treatment has been developed yet, and only preventive measures such as vaccination could be adopted to control the spread of the virus. During conducting this study (July to August...
2021), China had a large-scale COVID-19 vaccination campaign, with 43.2% of the population having received at least one shot of COVID-19 vaccination (3). At the same time, other preventive measures were promoted including crowd avoidance (4, 5), handwashing, and wearing masks, which are considered essential means to fight against COVID-19 by WHO (6). On the other hand, personal healthy lifestyles have received increased awareness in healthcare and disease prevention, such as regular medical checkups (7), frequent exercises to improve people's physical health and immunity (8). However, not everyone can follow the instructions to implement these preventive measures.

Health literacy (HL) was defined as “the ability to identify, understand, evaluate and use the information to improve decision-making and ultimately health and quality of life” (9). Health literacy was found to be an important factor that motives people to follow preventive measures and adopt healthy lifestyles (10, 11). Previous study has found that people with sufficient HL are more likely to have preventive behaviors (12). According to the framework of HL, vaccine literacy (also known as “vaccine-related HL”) refers to “a process of providing vaccine information, building communication, and increasing people's engagement about vaccines” (13), and both HL and vaccine literacy have obtained increasing research attention in during the pandemic. Previous studies have shown that limited HL is associated with lower engagement in a variety of preventive health behaviors (14, 15), including mammograms (16), influenza immunizations (17), and weight management (18). In particular, lacking the ability to understand health information could lead to deliberately ignoring recommended preventive measures, thus increasing the risk of infection and mortality (19, 20). Interventions were developed to increase people's HL, however, without a better understanding of the underlying mechanism, increased HL may not be effectively transformed into people's healthy life.

However, how HL works in affecting health behaviors has remained a black box. Theoretical models such as the health belief model (HBM) were developed to identify the factors that influence behavioral change in healthcare and disease prevention (21).

According to HBM (22, 23), people's behavioral changes depend on their perceived risk of infection and severity of the disease (perceived susceptibility and perceived severity), as well as the perceived benefits and barriers in the behavioral change (perceived benefits and perceived barriers). According to the Health Belief Model (24) and the HL Skills Framework (25), it was proposed that HL may influence behavioral outcomes via shaping people's beliefs about the health motivation of the outcomes (26) Previous studies have supported the HBM as a useful model for predicting/explaining preventive behavior toward infectious diseases such as COVID-19 (26, 27). Niu et al. (28) have found that HBM constructs were both associated with lower engagement in preventive behaviors among high-risk Chinese. According to this model, the likelihood of a person participating in a healthy activity is based on personal beliefs. For example, people will adopt health behaviors when they feel threatened by an epidemic (perceived susceptibility) or believe that a disease may have a serious impact on their health (perceived severity). Meanwhile, HL will affect how useful they perceive the preventive measures, such as using masks (perceived benefits), and how difficult they perceive the particular behaviors (perceived barriers) (26, 29). By increasing perceived benefits, perceived sensitivity, perceived intensity, and self-efficacy and raising awareness, HL can reduce barriers to engaging in health behaviors (30, 31). Brega et al. (32) found that stronger HL was associated with more positive health beliefs (i.e., perceived severity and benefits, and lower perceived barriers). Therefore, it is possible that HL may influence health behaviors by shaping people's health beliefs.

Considering the importance of health behaviors in controlling and preventing the spread of COVID-19, the current study has considered health behaviors including both lifestyle behaviors and COVID-19 related preventive behaviors, such as smoking, drinking, wearing masks, and so on. Despite previous studies have examined the effects of health literacy and health beliefs on health behavior, few has focused on the mechanisms underlying the relationship between health literacy on health behaviors. Therefore, to address this issue, our study has integrated the health belief model and health literacy skills framework, and investigated the effect and mediation mechanism of HL on health behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic. We have therefore developed a multi-mediation model (see Figure 1), which proposed that HL influenced health behaviors both directly and indirectly via the pathways of different health beliefs. The model outlines the following hypotheses: (1) HL may influence people's health beliefs about COVID-19 (perceived susceptibility and severity) and perception about the preventive measures (e.g., taking COVID-19 vaccine) against COVID-19 (perceived benefits and barriers); (2) People's health beliefs may explain (at least partially) the relationship between HL and health behaviors.

**METHODS**

**Study Design**

With a cross-sectional design, the data was collected from July to August, 2021 via an online questionnaire platform “Wenjuanxing” (Ranxing Information Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, Hunan, China). It is similar to Qualtrics, SurveyMonkey, or CloudResearch, which has been widely recognized by top journals in social science. Participants were recruited from community clinics, and inclusion criteria were: (1) having the ability and access to online devices; (2) being native Chinese speakers. With a convenience sampling method, the survey was administered with face-to-face interview at Health Screening Centers and community clinics in Guangzhou. After obtaining the written consent from the participants, they would receive a link of the survey on their mobile phone. The survey was voluntary and anonymous for participants, and each IP address can only access the link once to eliminate repeated submission. No personal information or sensitive content was included in the questionnaire. Only the participants

**Abbreviations:** HBM, the Health Belief Model; COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019; HL, Health literacy; SPSS, the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences.
who provided informed consent would take part in the online survey. The study was approved by the Jinan University Human Research Ethic Committee.

**Data Collection**

In total, 662 participants have completed the questionnaire. Based on our previous studies using online survey [e.g., (33)], those with consecutively repeated answers were excluded. Afterwards, 613 valid responses (92.60%) were included in the analysis.

**Measures**

**Demographic Information**

Demographic variables, including age, gender, marital status, education level, chronic conditions, and health behaviors were asked. And some of these are treated as covariates: age, gender (0 = male, 1 = female), marital status (0 = married, 1 = single/separated/divorced/widowed/others), education level (1 = primary school, 2 = junior high school, 3 = senior middle school, 4 = university and above), and chronic conditions (0 = yes, 1 = no).

**HL**

HL was measured using a short version of the European Health Literacy Questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q) (i.e., HLS-SF12) (34), consisting of 12 items assessing information processing skills in the areas of health promotion, health care, and disease prevention, to which we added three vaccine-related items according to the study design (e.g., "I can understand why I need to be vaccinated"). A 4-point Likert scale was used, with responses ranging from "1"(very difficult) to "4"(very easy), and "don’t know" was coded as a missing value. The mean score indicates the level of HL of the individual, with higher scores indicating better HL (35). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for our sample was 0.934, indicating good internal consistency.

**HBM Constructs**

The HBM constructs was based on the research by Shahrabani and Benzion (21). The questionnaire included the following questions on the structure of the HBM: HBM1-Perceived susceptibility (three items); HBM2-Perceived severity (three items); HBM3- Perceived benefit (three items); HBM4- Perceived barrier (four items); All items were scored using a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly agree “1” to strongly disagree “5” (see Table 1). Scores on each scale were averaged to generate the mean score, with higher mean scores indicating lower levels of agreement with their corresponding constructs. The structures of the HBM categories have been tested for reliability and validity in previous studies (36). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for our sample was 0.796.

**Health Behaviors**

Health behaviors were selected based on the recommendations of the World Health Organization (6). Both lifestyle behaviors (e.g., exercise, alcohol consumption, medical check-ups, smoking) and preventive behaviors related to COVID-19 (e.g., wearing masks, washing hands, crowd avoidance, taking COVID-19 and influenza vaccination) were assessed. For example, in terms of lifestyle behaviors, participants were asked if they regularly take part in the exercise, drink alcohol, smoke, or take medical check-ups with the options of "yes" or "no." We also asked participants if they had received any influenza vaccine in the past year. Regarding preventive behaviors, participants were asked how
they have avoided crowded places, wore masks, and washed their hands in the last 2 weeks with the options from “never”; “occasionally”; “often”; “always.” We also asked participants if they had received the COVID-19 vaccine with the options of (received “one shot”; “two shots”; or “no”). To generate a total score of various health behaviors, the rating of each item was transformed into a binary variable (0: “no or infrequent,” 1: “yes or frequent”), and the sum was obtained by counting the total number “1.” With a score ranging from 0 to 9, higher scores indicate more health behaviors.

**Data Analysis**

Multiple regression analyses were conducted to examine the associations between health beliefs, HL, demographic variables, and health behaviors. The PROCESS macro was used to test the mediating relationships proposed in the hypothesis (37). The PROCESS model 4 was used to examine mediation pathways. All data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Age, gender, marital status, education, and chronic illness were controlled as covariates in multiple regression models and mediation analyses. Alpha error probability <0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-sided test).

**RESULTS**

**Descriptive Results**

Table 2 summarizes the demographic information and characteristics of the sample. A sample of 613 participants was included in the analysis. The mean age of the sample was 25.64 years (SD = 6.46), ranging from 14 to 60 years old, and 53.3% were male. The majority of the participants were not married (79.1%), obtained a university degree or above (65.7%), and had no chronic conditions (97.6%). The average number of health behaviors was 6.24 (SD = 1.16), suggesting that in general people have adopted most health behaviors. The mean HL score was 3.37 (SD = 0.44), indicating that most people responded between “easy” and “very easy”. For the Health Beliefs Model, HBM1-Susceptibility had a mean score of 3.28 (SD = 0.78), HBM2-Severity had a mean score of 2.18 (SD = 0.92), HBM3-Benefits had a mean score of 2.70 (SD = 0.79), and HBM4-Barriers had a mean score of 3.59 (SD = 0.73). Despite that, the majority of our sample reported not using tobacco or alcohol (“no smoking”: 78.0%; “no drinking”: 91.5%), the descriptive analysis showed that during the pandemic, only 34.7% of participants reported doing regular exercise, 48.3% had regular medical check-ups and only 8.8% received a flu vaccination in the past year. Regarding preventive behaviors related to the pandemic, over 80% of the participants reported wearing the mask, avoiding crowds, and having taken at least one shot of the COVID-19 vaccine.

**The Effects of HL**

Table 3 shows the correlation between HL, health behaviors and health beliefs. The linear regression model was conducted to examine the effects of HL on different dependent variables one by one, with controlling for socio-demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and education level (see Table 4). It was found that higher education was associated with more health behaviors (β = 0.214, p < 0.001). After controlling for the covariates, greater HL was also associated with more health behaviors (β = 0.319, p < 0.001). HL was also associated with less perceived susceptibility (β = 0.267, p < 0.001), perceived severity (β = 0.170, p = 0.043) of COVID-19, and fewer perceived barriers (β = 0.371, p < 0.001), but no effect on perceived benefit was found.

**The Mediating of HBM in the Effect of HL**

As abovementioned, after adjusting for age, gender, educational level, marital status, and whether has a chronic illness, a higher level of HL was associated with more health behaviors (β = 0.319, p < 0.001, see Table 4). In addition, lower perceived severity and perceived barriers were also found to be related to more health behaviors (HBM2-Severity: β = 0.147, p < 0.05; HBM4-Barriers: β = 0.210, p < 0.001). In particular, mediation analysis showed that the effects of HL were partially mediated via reduced perceived barriers (B = 0.078, 95%CI = [0.020, 0.146]), but not via perceived susceptibility (B = −0.013, 95%CI = [−0.051, 0.026]), severity (B = 0.025, 95%CI = [−0.000, 0.065]), or benefits (B = 0.011, 95%CI = [−0.008, 0.043]) Note that this mediation effect was only partial, and after including the HBM constructs in the regression model, the direct effect of HL on increasing health behaviors has remained significant (B = 0.218, p = 0.047), see Figure 2.
TABLE 3 | The correlation matrix between health behaviors, health literacy and health beliefs.

|   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Age | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2. Gender |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3. Marital status |     |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4. Educational level |     |     | 0.135** | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 5. Chronic illness |     |     |     | 0.255** | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |
| 6. Health literacy |     |     |     |     | 0.088* | 0.431** | 1   |     |     |     |
| 7. HBM1 - Susceptibility |     |     |     | 0.170* | 0.371** | 0.319** | 0.107 | 1   |     |     |
| 8. HBM2 - Severity |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1   |     |     |
| 9. HBM3 - Benefits |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1   |     |
| 10. HBM4 - Barriers |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1   |

**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05.

TABLE 4 | Linear regression predicting health beliefs and health behaviors.

| Predictors                  | HBM1 - susceptibility, coefficient (SE) | HBM2 - severity, coefficient (SE) | HBM3 - benefits, coefficient (SE) | HBM4 - barriers, coefficient (SE) | Health behaviors, coefficient (SE) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Age                         | 0.002 (0.006)                          | -0.005 (0.007)                    | -0.005 (0.006)                    | 0.000 (0.006)                     | 0.015 (0.010)                     |
| Gender                      | -0.050 (0.067)                         | -0.144 (0.079)                    | -0.042 (0.067)                    | -0.091 (0.062)                    | 0.010 (0.101)                     |
| Marital status              | 0.220* (0.100)                         | 0.050 (0.117)                     | 0.056 (0.100)                     | 0.209* (0.093)                    | -0.212 (0.150)                    |
| Educational level           | -0.081 (0.053)                         | -0.039 (0.063)                    | 0.125* (0.053)                    | -0.015 (0.050)                    | 0.214* (0.080)                    |
| Chronic illness             | -0.271 (0.207)                         | -0.290 (0.244)                    | -0.391 (0.207)                    | 0.149 (0.193)                     | 0.332 (0.312)                     |
| Health literacy             | 0.267** (0.071)                        | 0.170* (0.084)                    | -0.083 (0.071)                    | 0.371** (0.066)                   | 0.319** (0.107)                   |
| R²                          | 0.039                                  | 0.018                             | 0.025                             | 0.068                             | 0.038                             |

**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05. Coefficient is unstandardized. SE, Standard errors.

The results were controlled for age, gender, marital status, education level, and chronic conditions.

FIGURE 2 | A mediation model of health beliefs between health literacy and health behaviors. The model has adjusted for age, gender, marital status, chronic conditions, and education levels. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

DISCUSSION

The current study investigated how HL affected health behaviors based on the health belief model. HL was found to be associated with a lower level of perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, and perceived barriers, while it was related to more health behaviors. In addition, the positive effect of HL was partially explained by reducing perceived barriers to adopting preventive behaviors with COVID-19. The results may provide insight for developing interventions for promoting the adoption of health behaviors in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Despite the average number of health behaviors being about six in our sample, when looking at specific behaviors, the prevalence has remained low. Recent evidence showed that people spent less time on physical exercise during the pandemic. For example, 56% of respondents in an Italian sample reported spending less time on physical activity, particularly among older adults and residents of large cities (38); while only 4.8% of children and 0.6% of adolescents in Canada following exercise guidelines standards (39); and inconsistent, sedentary leisure behavior increased among US adults, while physical activity time has declined (39). Across the studies in different samples, it was shown that the frequency of physical exercise has declined in different populations. As for preventive behaviors, the prevalence is also unsatisfactory. When asked whether they had received an influenza vaccination in the past year, only 8.8% of our participants reported doing so. Although there is a higher than
that was found in the 2014–15 influenza vaccination survey in China (2.4%) (40), but has remained low. In comparison, during the 2018–2019 influenza season in the United States, influenza vaccination rates were about 49% (41). Low rate of engaging in physical exercise and taking the influenza vaccine could contribute to greater morbidity and mortality during the pandemic of COVID-19 (41, 42). Therefore, it is urgent to develop interventions that could effectively promote people's health behaviors during the pandemic to mitigate the negative impact caused by COVID-19.

People with higher level of HL were more likely to form healthy lifestyles and adopt preventive measures. Many studies on the relationship between HL and health behaviors have supported the protective effects of HL (20, 43–46), prompting us to consider improving HL as a critical measure to curb the pandemic (47). Better understanding, appreciation, and application of health information can support actions at multi-level to address major public health challenges (48). As far as our knowledge, little research has explored the potential mechanism underlying HL on behaviors. Adopting the theoretical framework of the health belief model, we found that HL was significantly associated with lower perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, and perceived barriers. Moreover, lower perceived severity or barriers were associated with more health behaviors, while the causal relationship is not clear. e.g., it is also possible that people who adopt healthcare or preventive measures may develop better sense of security and perceive lower level of severity. Longitudinal studies are needed to explore the causal relationships.

In addition, the findings provide further evidence on the underlying mechanism of HL via influencing different health beliefs. Previous research has confirmed the role of HL on the structures of HBM (49, 50), and this framework provides a useful tool to modify and explain the effects of HL (50). Previous study has found that greater perceived barriers and less perceived benefits were both associated with lower engagement in preventive behaviors among high-risk Chinese, and perceived barriers and benefits, self-efficacy, and trust in media and doctors have fully mediated the effects of HL in predicting preventive behaviors (28). In our study, however, the perceived benefit was not found to effectively predict health behaviors, possibly because our sample was recruited from a more general population of which the majority was free from chronic conditions and perceived lower benefits of health behaviors, thus muting the potential effects. Consistent with the theoretical framework of Squiers et al. (25), we found that perceived barriers was an important predictor of health behaviors. Meanwhile, greater HL was associated with reduced perceived barriers, which may be because people with sufficient HL could apply various health information and handle different healthcare needs more appropriately (51), thus easily solving various obstacles. It is also possible that HL is related to greater initiative in healthcare and disease prevention (52), which motivates people to overcome the barriers. Besides, HL is closely related to health empowerment (53), indicating a higher level of meaningfulness, competence, impact, and self-determination in managing health-related situations (54), which could also contribute to lower perceived barriers.

There are some limitations to our study. First, with a cross-sectional survey, it is difficult to address the causal relationships, and longitudinal or intervention studies should be conducted. Second, we used the convenience sampling method, which may lead to selection bias and challenge the generalizability of the conclusions to other samples. Future studies could be conducted on health behaviors across different populations.

**CONCLUSION**

With the theoretical framework of HBM, the current study has investigated the mechanism of HL in promoting health behavior in the context of COVID-19. Results showed that in addition to a direct effect on increasing health behaviors, HL also had an indirect effect via reducing people's perceived barriers to adopting these behavioral measures. Our findings support the idea that HL plays a critical role in the adoption of health behaviors during the pandemic. This finding also provides a theoretical basis for governments, public health agencies, and healthcare professionals to develop effective policy and interventions promoting people's initiative and self-discipline in forming a healthy lifestyle, to better adapt to the post-pandemic era.
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