ABSTRACT

We highlight the main results of a three-dimensional (3D) multilevel radiative transfer investigation about the solar disk-center polarization of the Ca II 8542 Å line. First, through the use of a 3D model of the solar atmosphere, we investigate the linear polarization that occurs due to the atomic level polarization produced by the absorption and scattering of anisotropic radiation, taking into account the symmetry-breaking effects caused by its thermal, dynamic, and magnetic structure. Second, we study the contribution of the Zeeman effect to the linear and circular polarization. Finally, we show examples of the Stokes profiles produced by the joint action of the atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects. We find that the Zeeman effect tends to dominate the linear polarization signals only in the localized patches of opposite magnetic polarity, where the magnetic field is relatively strong and slightly inclined; outside such very localized patches, the linear polarization is often dominated by the contribution of atomic level polarization. We demonstrate that a correct modeling of this last contribution requires taking into account the symmetry-breaking effects caused by the thermal, dynamic, and magnetic structure of the solar atmosphere, and that in the 3D model used the Hanle effect in forward-scattering geometry (disk-center observation) mainly reduces the polarization corresponding to the zero-field case. We emphasize that, in general, a reliable modeling of the linear polarization in the Ca II 8542 Å line requires taking into account the joint action of atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Information on the magnetic field of the solar chromosphere is encoded in the polarization of chromospheric spectral lines (e.g., Harvey 2006). A set of spectral lines whose polarization is considered to be of great diagnostic interest for investigating the magnetic activity of the solar chromosphere is the infrared (IR) triplet of Ca II at 8498, 8542, and 8662 Å. Of particular interest is its strongest line at 8542 Å, whose line-core radiation encodes information on chromospheric layers around and slightly above the corrugated surface, where the ratio of gas to magnetic pressure is unity (e.g., Socas-Navarro et al. 2000; Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2010; de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2012). In strongly magnetized regions (e.g., sunspots), the polarization of these lines is dominated by the Zeeman effect, and the ensuing Stokes profiles have been exploited to probe the magnetism of the observed regions through the application of non-LTE Stokes inversion techniques (e.g., Socas-Navarro 2005). Outside active regions the circular polarization is still dominated by the Zeeman effect, but the linear polarization has, in general, significant contributions from scattering processes and the Hanle effect (Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2010).

The spectral line polarization produced by the Zeeman effect results from the wavelength shifts between the $\pi$ ($\Delta M = 0$) and $\sigma$ ($\Delta M = \pm 1$) transitions, $M$, being the magnetic quantum number of the atomic levels. In contrast, the physical origin of the scattering line polarization is the atomic polarization (the population imbalance and quantum coherence among the Zeeman sublevels) of the upper and/or lower level of the line transition under consideration. Such atomic level polarization results from the absorption and scattering of anisotropic radiation, and the degree of anisotropy of the incident radiation field depends on the thermal and dynamic structure of the stellar atmosphere under study (e.g., Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004). In general, the polarization of solar spectral lines results from the joint action of atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects.

All of the theoretical investigations on the scattering polarization in the IR triplet of Ca II have been carried out neglecting the Zeeman splitting of the atomic levels and using either one-dimensional (1D) model atmospheres without macroscopic velocities (Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2003, 2010), 1D models with radial velocities (Carlin et al. 2012, 2013), or three-dimensional (3D) magnetohydrodynamical models, but neglecting the effects of symmetry breaking produced by the horizontal inhomogeneities and/or by the non-vertical velocity components of the atmospheric model used (Carlin & Asensio Ramos 2015). Likewise, in 3D models of the solar atmosphere, the problem of the inversion of Stokes profiles induced by the action of the Zeeman effect alone has been investigated using also the so-called 1.5D approximation, which neglects the impact of horizontal radiation transfer on the atomic level populations (de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2012). Of particular interest is the conclusion of Carlin et al. (2013), which found that the presence of spatial gradients in the macroscopic velocity of the atmospheric plasma can have, in principle, a significant impact on the scattering polarization of the Ca II IR triplet.

Here, we present the main results of a full 3D radiative transfer investigation about the disk-center polarization of the solar Ca II 8542 Å line, which we carried out using the PORTA code (Štěpán & Trujillo Bueno 2013) and the MareNostrum supercomputer of the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (Spain). First, we neglect the Zeeman effect and calculate the linear polarization that occurs due to the presence of atomic
level polarization and the Hanle effect. Second, we assume that the atomic levels are unpolarized and calculate the circular and linear polarization produced by the Zeeman effect. Third, we show examples of the Stokes profiles that result from the joint action of the atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects. We consider a 3D model of an enhanced-atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects. We assume that the atomic levels are unpolarized and calculate the circular and linear polarization produced by the Zeeman effect. We chose for our radiative transfer investigation has inclined magnetic fields, non-vertical velocities, and horizontal inhomogeneities. The main aim of this Letter is to highlight the significance of these sources of symmetry breaking in producing the linear polarization of the emergent radiation in the Ca II 8542 Å line.

2. THE 3D RADIATION TRANSFER PROBLEM

Figure 1 shows the magnetic field strength and the macroscopic velocity at the heights in the 3D model atmosphere that delineate the corrugated surface where the vertical optical depth at the center of the 8542 Å line is unity. The solid curve in the right panel corresponds to the modulus of the velocity vector.

In our radiative transfer calculations, we fully take into account the symmetry-breaking effects produced by the thermal, dynamic, and magnetic structure of the model atmosphere (i.e., we do not use the 1.5D approximation). Therefore, in forward-scattering geometry (i.e., in the case of a disk-center observation), we may now find non-zero scattering polarization without the need of an inclined magnetic field. In a 1D model atmosphere with or without radial velocities, the only way to break the axial symmetry of the incident radiation field is through the presence of a magnetic field inclined with respect to the solar local vertical direction, which produces the Hanle effect. For this reason, in such a model atmosphere, the Hanle effect of an inclined magnetic field creates a forward-scattering polarization. When a 3D model atmosphere is used, the same clearly applies to radiative transfer investigations (e.g., Carlin & Asensio Ramos 2015) that neglect the non-vertical velocity components of the model and that use the 1.5D approximation. Another way of breaking the axial symmetry of the incident radiation field is through Doppler shifts in the spectral line radiation produced by macroscopic motions with non-vertical velocity components; therefore, even in an unmagnetized 1D model atmosphere, we may have \( Q/I \) and \( U/I \) forward-scattering signals if the non-vertical velocity components are taken into account. Finally, the presence of horizontal inhomogeneities in the physical properties of the stellar atmospheric plasma (e.g., kinetic temperature and gas density) can break the axial symmetry of the incident radiation field at each point within the medium (e.g., Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2011; Štepán 2015). The 3D model atmosphere we chose for our radiative transfer investigation has inclined magnetic fields, non-vertical velocities, and horizontal inhomogeneities. The main aim of this Letter is to highlight the significance of these sources of symmetry breaking in producing the linear polarization of the emergent radiation in the Ca II 8542 Å line.
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2. THE 3D RADIATION TRANSFER PROBLEM

Figure 1 shows the magnetic field strength and the macroscopic velocity at the heights in the 3D model atmosphere that delineate the corrugated surface where the vertical optical depth at the center of the 8542 Å line is unity. Note that the magnetic strength varies between about 1 and 100 G and that the most likely macroscopic velocity is 2.5 km s\(^{-1}\), which gives rise to a Doppler shift in the 8542 Å line equal to two times its thermal Doppler width.

The scattering polarization signals in the Ca II 8542 Å line are dominated by the atomic polarization of its lower (metastable) level (Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2003, 2010). As shown in Figure 8 of Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno (2010), for magnetic strengths similar or larger than 10 G, the scattering polarization signals of the 8542 Å line are in the saturation regime of the Hanle effect (i.e., they are sensitive only to the orientation of the model’s magnetic field). This implies that there should be no significant forward-scattering signals in regions of the 3D model having predominantly vertical magnetic fields stronger than 10 G, because in the Hanle saturation regime any quantum coherence between different \( M \) sublevels is zero in the magnetic field reference frame and for line of sights parallel to the magnetic field vector such coherences are the only source of line scattering polarization. On the other hand, the Doppler shifts associated with the macroscopic velocities of the 3D model are a significant fraction of the line widths, so that following Carlin
et al. (2013) we may expect a non-negligible impact on the scattering polarization of the emergent spectral line radiation.

To solve the above-mentioned 3D radiation transfer problem we have applied the PORTA code (Štěpán & Trujillo Bueno 2013), which jointly solves the radiative transfer equations for the Stokes parameters and the statistical equilibrium equations for the multipolar components of the atomic density matrix associated with each atomic level of total angular momentum $J_i$, assuming complete frequency redistribution and neglecting quantum interference between the magnetic sublevels pertaining to different $J$-levels (see the multilevel model atom equations in chapter seven of Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004). We have used the five-level model atom detailed in Figure 1 and Table 1 of Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno (2010), including the population transfer collisional rates for all the transitions and the alignment transfer collisional rates for the allowed transitions. Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno (2010) and Belluzzi & Trujillo Bueno (2011) argue why this theoretical approach is expected to be suitable for modeling the intensity and polarization observed in the Ca II IR triplet.

It is important to note that we carried out the radiative transfer calculations without using any ad hoc line-fitting parameter (i.e., we did not use microturbulent velocities). We point out that the Doppler core of the spatially averaged intensity profile of the 8542 Å line calculated for the case of a disk-center observation is approximately a factor 0.65 narrower than the one observed (see the atlas of Neckel 1999), but both profiles have nearly similar line-center depths. This implies that the theoretical Zeeman polarization signals shown here are probably overestimated. That the intensity profiles calculated in the 3D atmospheric model are narrower than the ones observed suggests that the model lacks some of the dynamics present in the real Sun. A different dynamical activity is likely to change the scattering line polarization signals. Whether the dynamical state of the real solar atmosphere have a significant influence on the scattering polarization of the Ca II IR triplet will depend on the particular realization of the velocity field in a fully realistic 3D model.

3. RESULTS

3.1. 3D versus 1.5D

Figure 2 provides information about the effects of horizontal radiative transfer on the intensity (upper panels) and the total fractional linear polarization ($P_L = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2} / I_i$; lower panels) at the center of the 8542 Å line. The central and the right panels quantify the errors made when solving the radiative transfer problem applying the so-called 1.5D approximation (i.e., assuming that each column of the 3D model can be considered as if it were an isolated 1D plane-parallel model).

The emergent intensity of the spectral line radiation depends mainly on the variation of the total population of the upper and lower line levels along the line of sight. The upper left panel shows a histogram of the fractional errors in the intensities corresponding to each point of the field of view, while the bottom right panel shows histograms of the $P_L$ values and of the errors in the total fractional linear polarization signals. The middle panels show the spatial distribution of such errors.
intensities (not shown here), we point out that the differences between the full 3D and 1.5D results are not very significant.

The scattering polarization of the emergent spectral line radiation is determined by the variation of the atomic level polarization along the line of sight under consideration. The bottom left panel of Figure 2 shows the total fractional linear polarization at the center of the 8542 Å line as it results from our 3D radiative transfer calculation. The middle and the right panels show that the differences between the 3D and the 1.5D results are of the order of the true 3D polarization amplitude; this demonstrates that a full 3D calculation is crucial for understanding the scattering polarization signals of the Ca II IR triplet, even for the disk-center observation case considered in this Letter.

### 3.2. Sensitivity of the Line Scattering Polarization to the Hanle Effect, the Macroscopic Velocities, and the Spatial Resolution of the Simulated Observation

The linear polarization signals caused by the presence of atomic polarization in the levels of the Ca II IR triplet are, in general, sensitive to the Hanle effect and to the macroscopic velocities of the atmospheric plasma (Manso Sainz & Trujillo Bueno 2010; Carlin et al. 2012, 2013). They are also sensitive to the spatial resolution of the observation. We illustrate this by means of the full 3D results in Figure 3, which shows histograms of the amplitudes of the total fractional linear polarization ($P_L$) signals in the 8542 Å line. It is important to note that Figure 3 shows the maximum amplitudes of the $P_L$ signals instead of the $P_L$ values shown in the bottom left panel of Figure 2 for the laboratory line-center wavelength.

There are several interesting results in the left panel of Figure 3. The influence of the Hanle effect that is produced by the magnetic field of the 3D model can be clearly seen by comparing the dashed and solid curves. Both cases include the impact of the macroscopic velocities; however, while the dashed curve corresponds to the zero magnetic field case, the solid curve was obtained from the $P_L$ signals which were computed taking into account the model’s magnetic field. The impact of the macroscopic velocities of the 3D model can be appreciated by comparing the solid curve just mentioned with the dotted one, which corresponds to the zero velocity case. In summary, the macroscopic velocities increase the total fractional linear polarization amplitudes (dotted curves versus solid curves), while the magnetic field of the 3D model produces depolarization at most of the locations (dashed curves versus solid curves).

Finally, the right panel of Figure 3 illustrates what happens when the spatial resolution of the simulated spectropolarimetric observations is reduced, in contrast with the full-resolution case characterized by a grid spacing of about 0".06.

### 3.3. Hanle versus Zeeman Signals

With Figures 4 and 5 we aim at providing information useful to understand that, in general, the linear polarization signals of the Ca II IR triplet have significant contributions coming from both the presence of atomic level polarization and the Zeeman splitting of the atomic levels. Hereafter, we will use the term “Hanle signals” to refer to those resulting from atomic level polarization.

Figure 4 shows maps of the amplitudes of the total fractional linear polarization signals caused only by the atomic polarization of the Ca II 8542 Å levels (lower right panel) and by the Zeeman effect without atomic level polarization (lower left panel). Qualitatively speaking, the figure indicates that in the regions of the field of view where the Zeeman signals are the largest (i.e., at the two opposite-polarity localized magnetic patches where the magnetic field is stronger than 100 G and slightly inclined), the Hanle signals are relatively weak, while in the regions where the Hanle signals reach their maximum amplitudes (i.e., outside such magnetic patches), the Zeeman signals are much weaker or negligible.

The upper panels of Figure 5 show an example of emergent Stokes profiles corresponding to a spatial location where the linear polarization of the 8542 Å line is dominated by the contribution of atomic level polarization. Likewise, the bottom panels of Figure 5 show the Stokes profiles of a spatial location where the linear polarization is dominated by the Zeeman effect. In other spatial locations the Hanle and Zeeman signals are of the same order of magnitude, as illustrated in the middle panel of Figure 5. As expected, at such spatial locations the $Q/I$ and $U/I$ line center signals are dominated by the atomic level polarization and the Hanle effect, while the wing signals are...
Figure 4. Spatial distribution of the linear polarization amplitudes caused only by atomic level polarization (lower right panel) or by the Zeeman effect without atomic level polarization (lower left panel) in the Ca II 8542 Å line. The three symbols indicate the spatial points at which Figure 5 shows the ensuing Stokes profiles. The upper panels show the model’s magnetic field strength (left) and the magnetic field inclination (right) throughout the corrugated surface where the vertical optical depth at the center of the Ca II 8542 Å line is unity.

Figure 5. Stokes profiles of the Ca II 8542 Å line corresponding to the spatial points indicated in Figure 4, obtained taking into account only the contribution of atomic level polarization and the Hanle effect (dashed curves), only the Zeeman effect without atomic level polarization (dotted curves), and the joint action of atomic level polarization and the Hanle and Zeeman effects (solid curves). Stokes I is given in units of $10^{-5}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$. 
produced by the Zeeman effect. In any case, we point out that at most of the points of the field of view the Hanle signals dominate (see the bottom panels of Figure 4). Finally, note that the right panels of Figure 5 show the circular polarization caused by the Zeeman effect without (dotted curves) and with (solid curves) atomic level polarization, and that for the spatially resolved situation considered in this section the ensuing Stokes-V amplitudes are significant at practically all spatial locations.

4. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Understanding the polarization of the IR triplet of Ca II is important both for correctly interpreting the spectropolarimetric observations that can be carried out with the available telescopes and for designing the most suitable instruments for future investigations with ground-based and spaceborne facilities. With this scientific motivation, we solved the problem of the generation and transfer of polarization in the Ca II IR triplet using a 3D model of the solar atmosphere, fully taking into account the effects of horizontal radiative transfer.

In the 3D model we have considered, the Zeeman effect tends to dominate the linear polarization of the 8542 Å line in the localized patches of opposite magnetic polarity where the magnetic field is relatively strong ($B > 100$ G) and slightly inclined, while in weakly magnetized regions ($B < 100$ G) the linear polarization is mainly determined by the presence of atomic level polarization and the Hanle effect. However, it is important to note that at many points of the model’s surface both sources of spectral line polarization contribute to the linear polarization of the Ca II 8542 Å line, with the line center signals determined by the atomic level polarization and the line wing signals by the Zeeman effect. The circular polarization is always dominated by the Zeeman effect.

In general, the atomic level polarization makes a significant contribution to the linear polarization of the Ca II 8542 Å line. Because the polarization of the Ca II levels is caused by radiative transitions, it is sensitive to the thermal and dynamic structure of the solar atmosphere in addition to its magnetic structure through the Hanle effect. In the 3D model atmosphere we considered, the line Doppler shifts resulting from the macroscopic velocities significantly modify the fractional total linear polarization amplitudes.

As shown in Figure 3, the magnetic field of the 3D model mainly produces depolarization. The fact that we have Hanle depolarization even in the disk-center case is a logical consequence of the result illustrated in Figure 2, namely the unsuitability of the 1.5D approximation given the significant breaking of the axial symmetry of the pumping radiation field caused by the horizontal inhomogeneities in the model’s chromosphere.

Our results lead us to emphasize that in general a reliable radiative transfer modeling of the polarization in the Ca II IR triplet requires taking into account the joint action of anisotropic radiation pumping (which produces atomic-level polarization even in the presence of relatively strong fields) and the Hanle and Zeeman effects in realistic 3D models of the solar chromosphere.
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