Genetic diversity assessment in selected genetic resources of *Gmelina arborea* Roxb using RAPD markers
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Abstract

The genetic diversity and relationship among the fourteen accessions of *Gmelina arborea* were assessed using Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. The RAPD profiles of all the accessions were compared and a total of 262 scorable bands were produced with fifteen primers, out of these 243 bands were polymorphic. The highest number of amplified products was observed in primers OPJ-19, OPM-02, OPN-02, OPN-05, OPN-16, OPN-18 and OPC-10 showed a lowest level of the polymorphic band. The similarity coefficient values were ranged from 0.58 to 0.74. The highest value similarity coefficient was found between the progenies of CPTs selected in Vazhachal and Kulathupuzha and the lowest values found between Vazhachal and Rosemala. Geographically closely related Gmelina accessions were also found to be related at the molecular level. A sizeable amount of inter-population diversity recorded, the Vazhachal and Rosemala accessions can be used as a parent in the Gmelina breeding program for improving productivity and wood quality.
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Introduction

*Gmelina arborea* Roxb. is an fast-growing, multipurpose, indigenous tree species belonging to the family Lamiaceae. The species is naturally distributed between 5° to 30° N latitude and 70° to 110° E longitude (Chudnoff, 1979) and grown in India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam and southern provinces of China. However, in India the distribution of the species is extremely scattered, mostly found within the mixed deciduous forests in association with Teak and also occasionally it is found in evergreen forests or semi-evergreen forest types (Troup, 1921; Lamb, 1970). The wood is used for general construction, paneling, carriages, furniture, carpentry, boxes and plywood industries. It is also used to make musical instruments, artificial limbs, printing blocks and boat decking. The wood is also an excellent source for pulp and paper. The leaves are rich in protein with high fodder value. Roots and barks are used as main ingredients in many traditional medicines. The consumption of fruit gives a cooling effect to body and a decoction prepared from it is used as an ingredient in many traditional forms of medicines to cure anaemia, leprosy and ulcers (Tewari, 1995; Doat, 1976; Chothani et al., 2011). The wood is used for general construction, paneling, carriages, furniture, carpentry, boxes and plywood industries. It is also used to make musical instruments, artificial limbs, printing blocks and boat decking. The wood is also an excellent source for pulp and paper. The leaves are rich in protein with high fodder value. Roots and barks are used as main ingredients in many traditional medicines. The consumption of fruit gives a cooling effect to body and a decoction prepared from it is used as an ingredient in many traditional forms of medicines to cure anaemia, leprosy and ulcers (Tewari, 1995; Doat, 1976; Chothani et al., 2011). The nature and degree of divergence in the base population are pre-requisites for genetic improvement and development of conservation strategies (Ayad et al., 1995; Gradual et al., 1999). Information from the field experiments on provenance evaluation is very valuable, because assessed adaptive genetic variation is still the best for breeding and conservation activities (Eriksson, 1995). The high levels of variability were found in Gmelina due to high out crossing nature of species, wide geographical distribution and adaptability to different agro climatic zones. The assessment of genetic diversity using morphological traits have been the oldest and used for certain management practices of germplasm and cultivars, where the cultivars have been identified on the basis of leaf, flower, fruit and other physical characteristics but environmental conditions has major impact on these traits. In Perennial trees identification of cultivar and assessing genetic diversity through morphological traits has several limitations (Purushotaman et al. 2008). Estimation of genetic diversity through morphological features is inefficient and inaccurate (Rahman et al. 2007).
This can be overcome by direct identification of genotypes with DNA-based marker system. The use of biochemical and genetic markers for the identification of varieties offers a viable alternative method (Williams et al. 1990) [40-42]. DNA and protein-based markers are more authentic and unaffected by environmental factors (Dhanraj et al.2002) [17]. DNA-based genetic markers are integrated to play an important role in the future tree improvement programs of Gmelina. Molecular characterization of genotypes at the genetic level provides the basis for efficient maintenance, utilization and conservation of existing genetic diversity (Prakash et al. 2002) [26].

RAPD is a novel technique developed by Williams et al. (1990) [40-42] for the analysis of genetic diversity, which is considered an efficient and cost-effective technique (Hadrys et al., 1992). RAPD markers have been widely used in plant research for phylogenetic studies, genome mapping and genetic variation analysis both a

Materials and methods

Survey and collection

The survey was conducted in the natural forest and plantation located in different parts of Tamil Nadu and Kerala. Fourteen Candidate Plus Trees (CPTs) of Gmelina were selected based on superiorities in height, GBH, stem straightness, clear bole height, crown width, self-pruning ability and disease resistance. The point grading methods were adopted for the selection of Candidate Plus Trees. The seeds were collected from individual trees, labelled and transported to IITM, Nursery. Fruits were de pulped and washed in running water for extraction of seeds. The seeds were germinated in the raised nursery beds. About thirty days old seedlings were transplanted in a poly bags containing 2:1:1 (Red earth, sand and FYM) rooting medium. The young disease-free leaves of different accessions were used for the present study. Details of the accessions and geographical locations are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Details on Geographical Locations of Selected Candidate Plus Trees of G.arborea

| S. No | Tree Code | Place       | Latitude     | Longitude    | Altitude (M) |
|-------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1     | TCBSG     | Siruvani    | N10°36'39.5" | E76°40'49.8" | 433          |
| 2     | TCAKG     | Anaikatti   | N11°03'23.5" | E76°46'53.7" | 515          |
| 3     | TESHG     | Sathyamangalam | N11°36'13.2" | E77°04'16.8" | 913          |
| 4     | TESBG     | Bargoor     | N1°45'54.14" | E77°33'14.10" | 1056         |
| 5     | TSYYG     | Yercaud     | N11°40'26.1" | E78°11'23.5" | 992          |
| 6     | TDSSK     | Sirumalai   | N10°13'42.6" | E77°58'43.9" | 1088         |
| 7     | TDKTG     | Kodaikanal  | N10°16'50.6" | E77°38'41.4" | 958          |
| 8     | TTCKG     | Courtallam  | N8°56'19.77" | E77°11'59.9" | 216          |
| 9     | KEKRG     | Bhoothanthakettu | N10°9'18.89" | E76°40'9.33" | 60           |
| 10    | KKTRG     | Rosemala    | N8°57'25.87" | E77°9'12.23" | 285          |
| 11    | TPAGK     | Pudukottai  | N10°18'56.2" | E79°02'28.6" | 75           |
| 12    | KEMPGR    | Malayattoor | N10°09'17.6" | E76°36'17.1" | 131          |
| 13    | KTAVG     | Vazhachal   | N10°20'41.2" | E76°35'17.2" | 350          |
| 14    | KKKKG     | Kulathupuzha | N08°51'16.09" | E77°01'12.02" | 175          |

Table 2: Estimation of quantity and quality of DNA isolated from progenies of G. arborea

| S. No | Populations/Plantations | DNA quantity (ng/µL) | Optical density ratio (A260/280) |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | Siruvani                | 28.4                 | 1.64                             |
| 2     | Pudukottai              | 29.0                 | 1.71                             |
| 3     | Sathyamangalam          | 12.5                 | 1.45                             |
| 4     | Bargur                  | 9.4                  | 1.22                             |
| 5     | Yercaud                 | 7.8                  | 1.39                             |
| 6     | Anaikatti               | 13.1                 | 1.10                             |
| 7     | Sirumalai               | 15.0                 | 1.25                             |
| 8     | Kodaikanal              | 7.0                  | 1.49                             |
| 9     | Courtallam              | 7.7                  | 1.48                             |
| 10    | Malayattoor             | 17.5                 | 1.67                             |
| 11    | Bhoothanthakettu        | 11.1                 | 1.81                             |
| 12    | Vazhachal               | 20.8                 | 1.42                             |
| 13    | Kulathupuzha            | 30.3                 | 1.52                             |
| 14    | Rosemala                | 10.3                 | 1.64                             |
| Mean  |                         | 15.71                | 1.49                             |
Isolation of genomic DNA
Genomic DNA was isolated using Qiagen DNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Leaf samples weighing 100-120 mg were finely ground to powder using Liquid Nitrogen and transferred to sterile microfuge tube. The DNA was isolated with D Neasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany), following the protocol provided by QIAGEN with little modification. The quality and yield of isolated DNA was verified with 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis visualized by ethidium bromide staining. DNA was run alongside with k DNA-HindIII Digest (New England BioLabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA). The concentration of DNA samples were quantified using Nano Drop 2000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). The concentration (ng/µL) and the optical density ratio (A260/280) were recorded.

Selection of primer and RAPD – PCR program
The RAPD assays were performed using random 10-mer oligonucleotide primers from Eurofins Genomics India Pvt Ltd, Bangalore. PCR amplifications were carried out in 10 µL reaction volume using Veriti (Applied Biosystems, USA) thermal cycler. About 25 RAPD primers were tested and the 15 primers that produced clear and polymorphic amplification patterns were chosen for further analysis. The details of screened primer used for RAPD amplification are presented in Table 3. For each primer, the PCR amplification was carried out in 10 µl reaction volume mixture (2 µl Genomic DNA (50-60ng), 2.5 µl Taq Buffer (10X) with 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 µl dNTP pre-mix (2.5 mM), 0.3 µl Taq polymerase (3 U/µl), 1.0 µl Primer (10 pmoles/ml) and 19.2 µl Sterile Millipore water) as described by Williams et al. (1990) 140-42 in an Applied Biosystems, Verity 96 well, thermalcycler

PCR programme
The genomic DNA per population was pooled DNA from five randomly selected progenies per CPTs. The thermal cycler was programmed for an initial denaturation of 4 minutes at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation of 1 minute at 94 °C, 30 seconds of annealing at 37°C. The extension was carried out at 72°C for 2 minutes and final extension at 72 °C for 7 minutes and a hold temperature of 4 °C at the end. The amplified DNA samples were mixed with 2.5 µl of 6X DNA loading dye and then loaded into 1.5% Agarose gels with ethidium bromide (0.1%) along with 100bp DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific, USA). The gel was run at 80-100V for 2 hours in 1X TBE tank buffer, until all the amplified fragments are separated completely and then visualized on an ultraviolet trans-illuminator and documented through photographs. The amplified products were scored as 1 for presence and 0 for absence at particular marker level generated by an accession and the marker. The binary data matrix table was computed for analysis using Numeric Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System (NTSYS-pc) version 2.02 software. The final score of each accession with the 15 primers were recorded. Genetic similarity for pair wise accessions were estimated based on similarity for qualitative data format (Jaccard, 1908). A similarity matrix was constructed and subjected to cluster analysis following the Unweighed Pair Group Method with Arithmetic average (UPGMA) method using NTSYS PC version 2.0 software.

Results and Discussion
Genomic DNA was isolated using Qiagen DNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen, USA) in the progenies of 14 CPTs. The quantity of DNA (ng/µL) and the optical density ratio (A260/280) were recorded in Table 2. In the present investigation, the quantity of DNA from 15 accessions of Gmelina arborea is ranged from 7.0 ng/µL to 30.3 ng/µL. The highest quantity of DNA was obtained from progenies of KKKG-1 (30.3 ng/µL), TPAAG-2 (29.0 ng/µL) and lowest DNA yield of 7.0 ng/µL was recorded from TDGKG-1 progeny. Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) techniques have been effectively utilized to identify polymorphism in several forest tree species (Mohapatra and Singhal, 2000) 123. It is widely used because of the high frequency of polymorphism, rapidity, technical simplicity and requirement of low quantity of DNA (Subudhi and Huang, 1999) 135. RAPD has been successfully used to study the genetic diversity in trees viz., teak (Keiding et al. 1986) 18, eucalyptus (Keil & Griffin 1994) 19, oil palm (Shah et al. 1994) 33, mango (Ravishankar et al. 2000) 31 and plum (Shimida et al. 1999). Hence the RAPD markers proved to be very useful for estimating the genetic diversity of Gmelina arborea.

Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis was carried out and all the progenies produced a large number of distinct fragments with each primer. Initially twenty five primers (Operon Technologies, USA) were tested and out of which fifteen primers yielded clear polymorphic and reproducible bands (Table 2). The amplified products varied in number and intensity among the fourteen progenies. The size of the amplified fragments ranged from 130 bp to 3270 base pairs, (bps). The total number of products generated and the levels of polymorphism observed by the 15 RAPD primers are given in Table 3. RAPD analysis of 14 progenies representing different regions of Tamil Nadu and Kerala generated 262 distinct bands. Among 262 bands amplified by 15 primers, 243 (92.7%) were polymorphic and 19 (7.30 %) were monomorphic. The average number of bands per primer was 17.46 and average number of polymorphic bands per primer was 16.2. The number and percentage of polymorphic RAPD bands obtained are detailed in Table 5. The percent polymorphic bands varied from 78.95 percent to 100 percent with the average polymorphic percentage of 92.45 %. Primers OPJ-19, OPM-02, OPM-05, OPM-16 and OPM-18 were found to be highly polymorphic and showed 100 percent polymorphism. On the other hand, primer OPC-10 showed lower polymorphic band of 78.95%. The polymorphic information content (PIC) was ranged from 0.25 (OPC-10) to 0.43 (OPM-10) with an average found to be 0.34. The PIC was highest in case of OPM-05 (0.43), OPM-16 and OPM-18 (0.41) whereas the primers OPC-10 (0.25), OPC- 03 and OPE-20 (0.29) showed the lowest PIC. Certain primers such as OPE -19 (0.34) and OPE-4 (0.33) showed the medium level of PIC. Gmelina is a highly cross pollinated tree species and heterozygous in nature. The polymorphism levels observed in present investigation was fairly high and it indicating that a wide and diverse genetic base existed between progenies of different CPTs selected in Tamil Nadu and Kerala. This variation due to out crossing nature and diverse origin of selected CPTs.

The high level of polymorphism generated by all RAPD markers in this study dramatically higher compared to the results of previous studies conducted in Thailand (Kanawapree et al., 2011) 117. Bangladesh (Hasan and Raihan, 2015) 14, Iraq (Abdi-razzal Tahir, 2014) 147, and India (Rajani et al., 2013) 30. Similar study was conducted in Tectona grandis by Parthiban (2001) 25 using 17 arbitrary primers and observed 74 % of polymorphism. It was also reported in Azadirachta indica (Ranade and Farooqui, 2002) 29, Acacia auriculiformis and A. mangium (Nguyen et al., 2004) 24. The genetic similarity matrix was constructed using the RAPD bands to assess the genetic relatedness among the 14 accessions of G arborea (Table 4). The Jaccard’s similarity matrix values
ranged from 0.45 to 0.74. The highest similarity value of 0.74 was found between the accessions of Vazhachal with Kulathupuzha followed by Anaikatti with Yercaud and Sirumalai with Bargur (0.69). However the lowest similarity co-efficient was observed between the progenies Vazhachal with Rosemala (0.45). The Jaccard’s similarity matrix was used to generate dendrogram for quantifying the level of polymorphism between the accessions. The dendrogram was constructed based on simple matching co-efficients, taking into account of the presence or absence of DNA bands. The phenetic tree was constructed by selecting the Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Average (UPGMA) and it is presented in Fig. 3. The UPGMA clustering algorithm based on RAPD data grouped a fourteen accessions into three clusters at co-efficient level of 0.60. Similar findings have been reported by Parthiban (2001) [25] in teak with estimated levels of genetic variation between 0.4 and 0.1. The present investigation also supports the observation made by Chalmers et al., (1992) [3] in Gliricidia with a estimated levels of genetic variation between 0.4 and 0.7. Tarachand et al., (2015) reported the similarity co-efficient ranged between 0.07 and 0.64 among 12 accessions of Garcinia cambogia. Umesh Kanna (2001) also observed the same trend in Madhuca latifolia. Raza et al. (2018) [32] reported that, the 86.34% polymorphism and 13.65% monomorphism was observed using twenty RAPD markers to estimate the genetic diversity among ten sunflower genotypes. All the accessions originated from the CPTs selected in Tamil Nadu were grouped into cluster-I. The cluster I was further sub divided into two groups. Siruvani, Anaikatti, Sathyamangalam, Kodaikanal, Courtallam, Bargur, Sirumalai and Yercaud accessions were grouped in a sub cluster I A and Pudukkottai accession was grouped in I B. Similarly Most of the accessions collected from Kerala were grouped into cluster II. The cluster II was further sub divided in to two sub-clusters. Malayattoor, Vazhachal and Kulathupuzha accessions were grouped in a sub cluster II A and Bhoothathankettu accessions was grouped in sub cluster II B. The Cluster III had a Rosemala accessions selected from Kerala. The G. arborea trees were distributed throughout in Tamil Nadu and Kerala. Major clusters were reflecting the same clustering pattern of superior trees of same geographic origin (Fig. 17). It is contrary with the findings of Ganesh Ram (2000) [11] and Balaji (2000) [2] who estimated genetic diversity in Azadirachta indica and Eucalyptus tereticornis using RAPD markers and stated that distribution of the genotypes did not group based on geographical origin.

### Table 3: Level of polymorphism of various primers used for RAPD analysis

| S. No. | Primer | Band size range | Total number of bands | Number of polymorphic bands | Percentage of polymorphism | Polymeric information content (PIC) |
|--------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1      | OPE-20 | 130-1890        | 12                    | 10                          | 83.33                      | 0.31                              |
| 2      | OPC-10 | 141-2767        | 19                    | 15                          | 78.95                      | 0.25                              |
| 3      | OPE-04 | 249-2130        | 17                    | 15                          | 88.24                      | 0.33                              |
| 4      | OPE-20 | 259-2102        | 16                    | 14                          | 87.50                      | 0.29                              |
| 5      | OPJ-19 | 181-1413        | 11                    | 11                          | 100.00                     | 0.30                              |
| 6      | OPM-02 | 148-3270        | 17                    | 17                          | 100.00                     | 0.35                              |
| 7      | OPN-02 | 204-2427        | 14                    | 14                          | 100.00                     | 0.31                              |
| 8      | OPN-03 | 275-2769        | 15                    | 13                          | 86.67                      | 0.29                              |
| 9      | OPN-05 | 305-1413        | 13                    | 13                          | 100.00                     | 0.43                              |
| 10     | OPN-16 | 211-2650        | 19                    | 19                          | 100.00                     | 0.41                              |
| 11     | OPN-18 | 169-2181        | 23                    | 23                          | 100.00                     | 0.41                              |
| 12     | OPP-18 | 172-1885        | 24                    | 22                          | 91.67                      | 0.36                              |
| 13     | OPP-19 | 136-2721        | 24                    | 23                          | 95.83                      | 0.31                              |
| 14     | OPX-01 | 217-1952        | 18                    | 15                          | 83.33                      | 0.37                              |
| 15     | OPD-05 | 162-1719        | 20                    | 19                          | 95.00                      | 0.31                              |
| Mean   |        |                 | 17.47                 | 16.2                        | 92.745                     | 0.34                              |
| Total  |        |                 | 262                   | 243                         |                            |                                   |

### Table 4: Genetic Similarity coefficient matrix among populations/plantations based on RAPD marker

|          | Siruvani | Pudukkottai | Sathyamangalam | Bargur | Yercaud | Anaikatti | Sirumalai | Kodaikanal | Courtallam | Malayattoor | Bhoothathankettu | Vazhachal | Kulathupuzha | Rosemala |
|----------|----------|-------------|----------------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|
| Siruvani | 1.000    |             |                |        |         |           |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Pudukkottai | 0.607    | 1.000       |                |        |         |           |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Sathyamangalam | 0.660    | 0.611       | 1.000          |        |         |           |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Bargur   | 0.611    | 0.660       | 0.676          | 1.000  |         |           |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Yercaud  | 0.634    | 0.592       | 0.592          | 0.641  | 1.000   |           |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Anaikatti | 0.706    | 0.611       | 0.679          | 0.691  | 0.698   | 1.000     |           |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Sirumalai | 0.649    | 0.576       | 0.645          | 0.695  | 0.618   | 0.630     | 1.000     |            |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Kodaikanal | 0.660    | 0.580       | 0.626          | 0.637  | 0.615   | 0.695     | 0.637     | 1.000     |            |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Courtallam | 0.695    | 0.576       | 0.637          | 0.634  | 0.611   | 0.615     | 0.656     | 0.714     | 1.000     |             |                   |           |              |         |
| Malayattoor | 0.569    | 0.534       | 0.534          | 0.546  | 0.508   | 0.550     | 0.561     | 0.504     | 0.538     | 0.592     | 1.000             |           |              |         |
| Bhoothathankettu | 0.504   | 0.515       | 0.511          | 0.489  | 0.483   | 0.538     | 0.534     | 0.592     | 0.603     | 0.660     | 1.000             |           |              |         |
| Vazhachal | 0.553    | 0.511       | 0.530          | 0.531  | 0.531   | 0.534     | 0.534     | 0.592     | 0.603     | 0.660     | 1.000             |           |              |         |
| Kulathupuzha | 0.584    | 0.504       | 0.565          | 0.492  | 0.523   | 0.542     | 0.546     | 0.511     | 0.576     | 0.679     | 0.607             | 0.740     | 1.000        |         |
| Rosemala  | 0.546    | 0.511       | 0.504          | 0.523  | 0.477   | 0.496     | 0.523     | 0.489     | 0.492     | 0.466     | 0.469             | 0.458     | 0.466        | 1.000 |

~ 700 ~
Fig 1: UPGMA dendrogram of G. arborea as revealed by RAPD markers

Fig 17: RAPD profiling of OPB-20, OPC-10, OPN-03, OPE-04, OPM-02, OPN-05 primers in G. arborea
Fig 1: RAPD profiling of OPE-20, OPJ-19, OPN-02, OPN-16, OPN-18 and OPP-18 primers in *G. arborea*

Lane 1 – 100bp ladder, Lane 2-11 – DNA samples of *G. arborea*, Lane 12 – 500bp ladder
Conclusion
The Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) marker analysis has revealed presence of high level of genetic variation among the fourteen accession of Gmelina. However differences among the geographical locations were significant and accession collected in Tamil Nadu and Kerala were grouped separately. The study demonstrated that the RAPD markers can be effectively utilized for assessing the genetic relationship among *Gmelina arborea* genetic resources.

References
1. Ayad G, Hodgkin T, Jaradat A, Ramanatha Rao V. (Eds.). Proceedings of the IPGRI Molecular Genetics Workshop, September 1995. IPGRI, Rome, Italy 1995, 8-11.
2. Balaji B. Estimation of genetic parameters and genetic diversity using morphometric, isozyme and RAPD approaches in *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2000.
3. Chalmers KJ, Waugh R, Sprent JI, Simons AJ, Powell W. Detection of genetic variation between and within populations of *Gliricidia sepium* and *G. maculata* using RAPD markers. Heredity 1992;69(5):465-472.
4. Chothani DL, Vaghasiya HU, Patel NM. A review on *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. (Gambhari) traditional uses, phytochemical constituents, pharmacological activity, marketed formulation. Inventi Rapid: Ethnopharmacology 2011, 2(1). Available at inventi.in.s:
5. Chudnoff M. Tropical Timbers of The World. US Forest Product Lab., Forest Service, USDA. Madison, Wisconsin, USA 1979, 666-667.
6. Gradual L, Kjaer ED, Suangtho P, Kaosaard A. Conservation of genetic resources of teak (*Tectona grandis*) in Thailand. Technical Note, No. 52, Danida Forest Seed Centre, Denmark 1999.
7. Dhanraj AL, EVVB Rao, KRM Swamy, Bhat MG, Prasad T, Sondur SN. Using RAPDs to assess the diversity in Indian cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) germplasm. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 2002;77:41-47.
8. Doat, J. The papermaking characteristics of a reforestation species: Gmelina arborea. Bios for Trop 1976;168:47-63.
9. Eriksson G. Which traits should be used to guide sampling for gene resources? In: Population genetics and genetic conservation of forest trees. (Eds. P.H.Baradat,
W.T. Adams and G. Mullerstarch). SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam, The Netherlands 1995:349 -358.

10. Esselmen FS, Crawford DJ, Brauner S, Stuessy TF, Anderson GI, Silva OM. RAPD marker diversity within and divergence among species of Dendroseris (Asteraceae: Lactueae). Am. J Bot 2000;87(4):591-596.

11. Ganesh Ram S. Genetic diversity and finger printing studies among one parent families of Neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss.). Ph.D. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India 2000.

12. Gillies ACM, Navarao C, Lowe AJ, Newton AC, Hernandez M, Wilson Cornelius JP. Genetic diversity in Mesoamerican population of mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla) assessed using RAPD. Heridity 1999;83:722-732.

13. Hadrys HM, Balick M, Schierwater B. Application of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) in molecular ecology. Molecular Ecology 1199;2:35-63.

14. Hasan M, Raihan MS. Genetic variability in Bangladeshi aromatic rice through RAPD analysis. Turkish J Agric Food Sci Technol 2015;3(3):107-111.

15. Isabel N, Beaulieau J, Therlaut P, Bousquet J. Direct evidence of biased gene diversity estimates from dominant random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) fingerprints. Molecular Ecology 1999;8:477-483.

16. Jaccard P. Nouvelles recherches sur la distribution florale. Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles 1908;44:223-270.

17. Kanawapee N, Sanitchon J, Srihaban P, Theerakulpisut J. Genetic diversity analysis of rice cultivars (Oryza sativa L.) differing in salinity tolerance based on RAPD and SSR markers. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 2011,14(6), doi:10.2225/vol14/issue6-fulltext-4.

18. Keiding H, Wellendorf H, Lauridsen EB. Evaluation of an international series of Teak provenance trials. Danida Forest Seed Centre, Denmark 1986.81.

19. Keil M, Griffin AR. Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers in the discrimination and verification of genotypes in Eucalyptus. Theoret. Appl. Genetics 1994;89:442–450.

20. Lamb AFA. Fast growing timber trees of the lowland tropics. Gmelina arborea. Bol. Inst. For. Latino Merida 1970;21-51.

21. Li F, Gan S, Weng Q, Zhao X, Huang S, Li M et al. RAPD and morphological diversity among four populations of the tropical tree species Paramelia baiilnii (Pierre) Hu in China. Forest Ecology and Management 2008;255:1793-1801.

22. Lopes PM, Brito JM, Gomes S, Meirimhos J, Santos L. RAPD and ISSR molecular markers in Olea europaea L.: Genetic variability and molecular cultivar identification. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 2007;54:117-128.

23. Mohapatra KP, V Singhal. Role of molecular markers in biodiversity conservation. Ann. For. 2000;8(1):1-7.

24. Nguyen NT, Moghaieb REA, Saneoka H, Fujita K. RAPD markers associated with salt tolerance in Acacia auriculiformis and Acacia mangium. Plant Science 2004; 167:797-805.

25. Parthiban KT. Seed source variations, molecular characterization and clonal propagation in teak (Tectona grandis Linn f.). Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2001.

26. Prakash DP, Narayanaswamy P, Sondur SN. Analysis of molecular diversity in guava using RAPD markers. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 2002;77:287-293.

27. Purushotham B, Narayanawamy P, Simon L, Shyamalamma S, Mahabaleshwar H, Jayapalogwdu B. Genetic relationship between cultivars of areca nut (Areca catechu L.) determined by RAPD. The Asian and Australasian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology 2008;2(1):31-35.

28. Rahman SN, Islam MS, Alam S, Nasiruddin KM. Genetic polymorphism in rice (Oryza sativa L.) through RAPD analysis. Ind. J Biotech 2007;6:224-229.

29. Ranade SA, Nuzhat Farooqui, Farooqui N. Assessment of profile variations amongst provenances of Neem using single primer amplification reaction (SPAR) techniques. Molecular Biology Today 2002;3(1):1-10.

30. Rajani J, Deepu V, Nair GM, Nair AJ. Molecular characterization of selected cultivars of rice Oryza sativa L. using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. International Food Research Journal 2013;20(2):919-923.

31. Ravishankar KV, Anandm L, Dinesh MR. Assessment of genetic relatedness among a few Indian mango cultivars using RAPD markers. J Hortic Sci Biotechnol 2000;75:198-201.

32. Raza A, Shaukat H, Ali Q, Habib M. Assessment of RAPD markers to analyse the genetic diversity among sunflower (Helianthus annus L.) genotypes. Turk J Agric Food Sci Technol 2018;6:107–111.

33. Shah FH, Rashid O, Simons AJ, Dunsdon A. The utility of RAPD markers for the determination of genetic variation in oil palm (Elaeis guineensis). Theor Appl Genet 1994;89:713-718.

34. Shimada T, Hayama H, Haji T, Yamaguchi M. Genetic diversity of plums characterized by random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis. Euphytica 1999;109:143-147.

35. Subudhi PK, Huang N. RAPD mapping in a doubled haploid population of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Hereditas 1999;130:41-49.

36. Sun C, Kaplin BA, Kristensen KA, Munyaligoga V, Mvakuyumwami J, Kajondo KK et al. Tree phenology in a tropical montane forest in Rwanda. Biotropica 1996;28:668-681.

37. Tahir NAR. Genetic variability evaluation among Iraqi rice (Oryza sativa L) varieties using RAPD markers and protein profiling. Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences 2014;7(1):13-18.

38. Tewari DN. A Monograph on Gamarri (Gmelina arborea Roxb.). Milton Book Company, Dehra Dun, India 1995,125.

39. Troup RS. The silviculture of Indian trees Oxford, UK, Clarendon Press 1921,1-3.

40. Williams JGK, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingeys SV. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucleic Acids Research 1990;18:6531-6535.

41. Wilde JR, Waugh, Powell W. Genetic finger printing of Theobroma clones using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Theor. Appl. Genet 1992;83:871-877.

42. Williams JGK, Kubelik AR, Livak KJ, Rafalski JA, Tingeys SV. DNA polymorphism amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. Nucleic Acid Research 1990;18:6531-6565.