Genomics of Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma and Its Implications for Personalized Medicine
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Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a rare, heterogeneous group of mature T-cell neoplasms that comprise 10–15% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma cases in the United States. All subtypes of PTCL, except for ALK⁺ anaplastic T-cell lymphoma, are associated with poor prognosis, with median overall survival (OS) rates of 1–3 years. The diagnosis of PTCL is mainly based on clinical presentation, morphologic features, and immunophenotypes. Recent advances in genome sequencing and gene expression profiling have given new insights into the pathogenesis and molecular biology of PTCL. An enhanced understanding of its genomic landscape holds the promise of refining the diagnosis, prognosis, and management of PTCL. In this review, we examine recently discovered genetic abnormalities identified by molecular profiling in 3 of the most common types of PTCL: RHOA¹⁷V and epigenetic regulator mutations in angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, ALK expression and JAK/STAT3 pathway mutations in anaplastic T-cell lymphoma, and T-follicular helper phenotype and GATA3/TBX21 expression in PTCL-not otherwise specified. We also discuss the implications of these abnormalities for clinical practice, new/potential targeted therapies, and the role of personalized medicine in the management of PTCL.
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INTRODUCTION

Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a rare and heterogeneous group of mature T-cell neoplasms, comprising 10–15% of all cases of non-Hodgkin lymphoma cases in the United States (1), with at least 29 subtypes recognized by the revised 2016 World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms (2). PTCL generally carries a poor prognosis. The complex pathobiology of these disorders is well-reflected in their heterogeneous clinical, histological, and immunophenotypic features. Advances in the genome sequencing and gene expression profiling (GEP) of PTCL have improved our understanding of its molecular pathobiology, and a precise definition of its molecular background has revealed novel therapeutic targets. In this review, we focus on the recently discovered somatic genetic abnormalities of and emerging therapies for 3 of the most common PTCL subtypes: angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL), and PTCL-not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS) (Supplementary Table 1). Germline mutations are beyond the scope of this review.
ANGIOIMMUNOBLASTIC T-CELL LYMPHOMAS OF FOLLICULAR T HELPER CELL ORIGIN

AITL is the one of the most common PTCL entities, accounting for ~20–30% of all PTCL cases in the United States (3). The AITL cell originates from CD4+ T follicular helper cells (TFHs) (4). AITL is associated with B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders and a constitutively activated immune system (5). The prognosis of patients with AITL is poor, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of 33% (5). There has been no significant improvement in OS in the last 3 decades (6).

Recent advances in next-generation sequencing have led to the discovery of recurrent mutations in AITL. The most frequently reported somatic mutations include alterations in epigenetic regulators; ras homolog family member A (RHOA); and T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling pathway molecules (Table 1).

Epigenetic Regulators

Tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 (TET2), DNA methyltransferase 3a (DNMT3A), and mitochondrial isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (IDH2) genes participate in the regulation of DNA methylation/hydromethylation. Mutations in TET2 and DNMT3A are associated with hypermethylation and dysregulated gene expression (11, 32), and the IDH2R172 mutant confers neo-enzymatic activity, producing oncometabolite D-2 hydroxyglutarate (D-2-HG). The accumulation of D-2-HG inhibits both histone lysine demethylase and the DNA hydroxylase in the TET family (33). Interestingly, the high co-occurrence of TET2 and IDH2R172 mutations in AITL suggests a synergistic effect, by which these genes upregulate follicular T helper–associated genes and downregulate genes associated with Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells (7, 19).

Epigenetic modulating agents are promising targets for patients with relapsed AITL. 5-azacytidine, has induced a sustained response in selected patients with TET2-mutated relapsed/refractory AITL (34). A similar response is reported with romidepsin (35).

TCR Signaling Pathway

The RHOAI17V mutation is common in AITL. RHOA is a small GTPase that mediates T-cell migration, polarity, and thymocyte development (36). Glycine at RHOA residue 17 is critical for GTP binding. Thus, the substitution of Valine leads to a loss of GTPase activity (8). It was initially believed that the RHOAI17V mutation played an oncogenic role by disrupting the classical RHOA signaling. However, a recently reported p.K18N mutant in AITL is associated with higher GTP binding capacity (15). This phenomenon is explained by the RHOA-VAV1 signaling complex. The binding of G17V RHOA to VAV1 augments VAV1’s adaptor function, resulting in an accelerated TCR signaling. An isolated VAV1 mutation has also been identified in AITL (37). Dasatinib blocked accelerated VAV1 phosphorylation and TCR signaling in vitro and improved the overall survival of the mice model (37).

In preclinical models, the expression of RHOAI17V induced TFH cell specification, upregulated the inducible co-stimulator (ICOS), and increased phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. PI3K inhibitors efficiently inhibited TET2-/RHOA G17V tumor proliferation (38).

Other TCR-related mutations in AITL include PLCG1, CD28, and FYN. CD28 is the primary costimulatory receptor in T cells and induces sustained T-cell proliferation and cytokine production. The presence of CD28 mutations correlates with a poor prognosis (16). Cyclosporine A, a calcineurin inhibitor that blocks TCR signaling, effectively prevented the progression of AITL (39, 40). Two structural changes, CTLA4-CD28 (17) and ICOS-CD28 fusion genes (16), have also been described. Ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy, is a potential treatment for the CTLA4-CD28 fusion gene.

Multistep Tumorigenesis Model

To account for the complex genomic landscape of AITL, a multistep tumorigenesis model was proposed (41–43). The premalignant hematopoietic progenitor cells harboring mutations (e.g., TET2 and DNMT3A) are predisposed to the development of blood cancer, and the acquisition of second-hit mutations (e.g., RHOAI17V and IDH2R172) in a subclone of TFH cells eventually leads to AITL. This model is supported by the detection of TET2 and DNMT3A mutations in tumor-free peripheral blood cells, bone marrow cells, and hematopoietic progenitors, whereas RHOA and IDH mutations are specific to malignant cells from AITL tumors (13).

Nodal T-Cell Lymphomas With TFH Phenotype as a Newly Proposed Group of PTCL

Together with AITL, nodal PTCL with TFH phenotype and follicular T-cell lymphoma (F-PTCL) belong to a newly proposed group of PTCL called “nodal T-cell lymphomas with TFH phenotype,” described in the 2016 revised WHO classification (2, 44). This change reflects the observation that a subset of PTCLs expresses TFH-associated markers (45, 46). Interestingly, this subset shares common genetic abnormalities with AITL (9, 10, 12, 14, 24, 32). The analysis of 94 cases of AITL, 5 cases of F-PTCL, and 16 cases of nodal PTCL with TFH phenotype supported this grouping (13). These entities shared not only disease severity and prognosis, but also global and specific gene expression patterns. They had similar mutation frequencies in TET2, RHOA, DNMT3A, with the exception of IDH2R172 mutation, which was restricted to AITL.

We recommend routine screening of any PTCL-NOS for TFH markers and assigning them to this new category when at least 2 TFH markers are simultaneously detected on the neoplastic cells.
| Gene                        | Frequency, % | Potential Targets                          | Examples                      | Reference |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| ANGIOIMMUNOBLASTIC T-CELL LYMPHOMA |              |                                            |                               |           |
| RAS super family            |              |                                            |                               |           |
| RHOA<sup>G17V</sup>         | 50–72        | Multikinase inhibitors; PI3K inhibitors    | dasatinib; duvelisib          | (7–10)    |
| Epigenetic regulators       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| TET2                        | 47–86        | HMA, HDACi                                 | 5-azacytidine; romidepsin*     | (7, 9, 11, 12) |
| DNMT3A                      | 20–48        | HMA, HDACi                                 | 5-azacytidine; romidepsin*     | (7, 9–11, 13) |
| IDH2<sup>G172</sup>         | 20–45        | HMA, HDACi                                 | 5-azacytidine; romidepsin*     | (7, 9, 11, 14) |
| TCR signaling pathway       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| PLCγ                        | 14           | Calcineurin inhibitors                     | cyclosporine A                | (13)      |
| CD28<sup>a</sup>            | 9–11         | Calcineurin inhibitors                     | cyclosporine A                | (15, 16)  |
| FYN                         | 3–4          | Calcineurin inhibitors                     | cyclosporine A                | (10, 15)  |
| VAV1                        | 5            | RAC1 inhibitor                             | azathioprine                  | (19)      |
| Structural alteration       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| CTLA4-CD28 fusion           | 58           | Anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy                   | ipilimumab                    | (17)      |
| ICOS-CD28 fusion            | 5            |                                            |                               | (16)      |
| ANAPLASTIC LARGE CELL LYMPHOMA |              |                                            |                               |           |
| Transcription factor        |              |                                            |                               |           |
| STAT3                       | 3            | JAK/STAT inhibitors                        | ruxolitinib                   | (18)      |
| JAK1                        | 8            | JAK/STAT inhibitors                        | ruxolitinib                   | (18)      |
| JAK1+STAT3                  | 7            | JAK/STAT inhibitors                        | ruxolitinib                   | (18)      |
| Epigenetic regulators       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| TET2                        | 33           | HMA, HDACi                                 | 5-azacytidine, romidepsin*     | (19)      |
| DNMT3A                      | 17           | HMA, HDACi                                 | 5-azacytidine, romidepsin*     | (19)      |
| TCR signal pathway          |              |                                            |                               |           |
| VAV1                        | 11           | RAC1 inhibitor                             | azathioprine                  | (20)      |
| Tumor suppressor            |              |                                            |                               |           |
| DUSP22                      | 30           |                                            |                               | (21, 22)  |
| TP53<sup>a</sup>            | 42           |                                            |                               | (21, 22)  |
| PRDM1<sup>a</sup>           | 35           |                                            |                               | (21, 22)  |
| Structural alteration       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| ROS1                        | N/A          | JAK/STAT3 inhibitors                       | ruxolitinib                   | (18)      |
| TYK2                        | N/A          | JAK/STAT3 inhibitors                       | ruxolitinib                   | (18)      |
| ERBB4                       | 24           | ERB Kinase Inhibitors                      | cetuximab, gefitinib          | (23)      |
| COL29A1                     | 24           | ERB Kinase Inhibitors                      | cetuximab, gefitinib          | (23)      |
| TP63 rearrangement<sup>a</sup> | 8           |                                            |                               | (21)      |
| PERIPHERAL T-CELL LYMPOMATA, NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED |              |                                            |                               |           |
| Epigenetic regulator        |              |                                            |                               |           |
| TET2                        | 38–49        | HMA, HDACi                                 | romidepsin*, belinostat*, azacytidine | (9, 12, 24–26) |
| DNMT3A                      | 5–27         | HMA, HDACi                                 | romidepsin*, belinostat*, azacytidine | (9, 12, 24–26) |
| IDH2                        | 0–8          | HMA, HDACi                                 | romidepsin*, belinostat*, azacytidine | (9, 12, 24–26) |
| KMT2C                       | 8            | HMA, HDACi                                 | romidepsin*, belinostat*, azacytidine | (9, 12, 24–26) |
| KMT2D                       | 2–20         |                                            |                               |           |
| SETD1B                      | 5            | HMA, HDACi                                 | romidepsin*, belinostat*, azacytidine | (9, 12, 24–27) |
| SETD2                       | 3–10         |                                            |                               |           |
| KDM6A                       | 1–11         |                                            |                               |           |
| CREBBP                      | 4–16         |                                            |                               |           |
| Tumor suppressor            |              |                                            |                               |           |
| TP53<sup>a</sup>            | 7–16         |                                            |                               | (25, 28, 29) |
| ATM                         | 4–16         |                                            |                               |           |
| TCR signaling pathway       |              |                                            |                               |           |
| RHOA<sup>G17V</sup>         | 7–26         | Multikinase inhibitors; PI3K inhibitors    | duvelisib, tenalisib          | (9, 10, 24–26) |

(Continued)
ALCL

ALCL accounts for ~12% of PTCL cases in the United States (3). ALCL is characterized by CD30 positivity. The 2016 revision of WHO classification system for lymphoid neoplasms recognizes 4 subtypes of ALCL: ALK+ ALCL, ALK− ALCL, primary cutaneous, and breast implant–associated ALCL (44, 47). The differentiation between ALK+ and ALK− subtypes has formed the backbone of the current classification system.

ALK+ ALCL

ALK+ ALCL commonly presents in young populations, generally within the first 3 decades of life and carries a significantly better prognosis (5-year OS, 70–85%) than ALK− ALCL (5-year OS, 30–49%) (48). The presence of ALK gene rearrangements in ALK+ ALCL, most commonly translocation t(2;5)(p23;q35), results in the fusion of nucleophosmin (NPM1) and ALK (49). Anti-ALK antibodies can identify the proteins produced by NPM1/ALK transcripts based on staining patterns. ALK+ ALCL expressed ALK in nucleus and cytoplasm; conversely, variant fusions lacked nuclear ALK-staining (50).

ALK gene rearrangements often occur within the intron, between exons 19 and 20, allowing the intracytoplasmic domain of ALK to fuse with NPM1. The dimerization domain auto-phosphorylates the ALK catalytic domain and activates multiple downstream signaling pathways, including PI3K/AKT, RAS/ERK, and JAK/STAT (51).

NPM1-ALK cell lines express STAT3 phosphorylated on serine residue 727 and tyrosine residue 705 and increase STAT3 expression at the transcriptional level. Although JAK3 is phosphorylated, its binding is not essential for STAT3 activity. NPM-ALK fusion transcripts could activate STAT3 directly (52). This activation is important, as STAT3 is integral to cell survival by controlling the transcription of numerous apoptosis-regulating proteins, such as cyclin D1, Bcl-X, Bcl-XL, and c-Myc (53). Although NPM1/ALK fusion transcripts are the most common rearrangements in ALK+ ALCL, other rearrangements, such as TPM3 (1q25), ATIC (2q35), TFG (3q21), TPM4 (19p13.1), MYH9 (22q11.2), RNF213 (17q25), TRAF1 (9q33.2), CLTC (17q23), and MSN (Xq11), have also been reported (54).

ALK− ALCL

ALK− ALCL was upgraded from a provisional to a definite entity in the revised 2016 WHO classification (55). It is difficult to differentiate between ALK− ALCL and PTCL-NOS based on CD30 positivity (20). To better define ALCL from PTCL-NOS, GEP of PTCL-NOS, and ALCL discovered a unique cluster of gene transcripts shared by ALK− and ALK+ ALCLs (56). We can also distinguish ALK− ALCL from CD30+ PTCL-NOS through clinical outcomes (57, 58). CD30+ PTCL-NOS has a poorer prognosis and requires more aggressive treatment (59, 60). Based on GEP, a 3-gene model (TNFRSF8, BATF3, and TMOD1) was developed to separate ALK− ALCL from PTCL-NOS, with 97% accuracy (61).

Chromosomal Rearrangements of DUSP22 and TP63 as a Differentiating Factor

Two chromosomal rearrangements, DUSP22 and TP63, subclassify ALK− ALCL into 3 groups: DUSP22-rearranged, TP63-rearranged, and group without any rearrangement. DUSP22 rearrangement occurs in 30% of ALK− ALCL patients and is associated with a 5-year OS rate of 80–90%, similar to that of ALK+ ALCL (5-year OS, 85%) (62). It is associated with downregulation of DUSP22, which leads to the inhibition of TCR signaling and the promotion of apoptosis (21). Its unique immunogenic molecular signature, such as DNA hypomethylation, lower expression of PD-1, and higher expression of costimulatory gene CD58 and HLA Class II likely contributes to its favorable prognosis (63). Other clinical predictors, such as IPI risk factors, age, and CD3 positivity, also impact prognosis despite DUSP22 rearrangement (64, 65).

TP63 rearrangement, the fusion transcript of TBL1XR1/TP63, has similar structural homology to oncogenic deltaNp63 in p53 tumor suppressor pathway and is associated with inferior survival (66).

A third category, defined as triple-negative (ALK−, DUSP22−, and TP63−), harbors the remaining 62% of ALK− ALCL cases and has a 5-year OS rate of 42% (67). Although further validation of this model is needed, DUSP22 and TP63 rearrangements may serve as useful biomarkers in prognosis and direct therapy for patients with ALK− ALCL in the future.

Other Genetic Aberrations in ALK− ALCL

As in ALK+ ALCL, the JAK/STAT3 pathway is constitutively activated in ALK− ALCL (68). Recurrent single or convergent somatic mutations and translocations in the JAK1 and STAT3 genes are thought to upregulate the STAT3 pathway (18, 19). In addition, the gene fusions involving ROS1 and TYK2 in some ALK− ALCLs have led to the activation of STAT3 independent of JAK1 or STAT3 mutations. RNA sequencing has identified

TABLE 1 Continued

| Gene         | Frequency, % | Potential Targets | Examples       | Reference |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| FYV          | 2–3          | SYK inhibitors    | fostamatnib, entospletinib | (9, 10, 24–26) |
| Structural alteration |             |                   |                |           |
| VAV1 fusion  | 18           | RAC1 inhibitor    | azathionine    | (17, 20, 30, 31) |
| CTLA4-CD28 fusion | 23        | Anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy | ipilimumab   | (17, 20, 30, 31) |
| ITK-SYK fusion | 17–18      | SYK inhibitors    | fostamatnib, entospletinib | (17, 20, 30, 31) |

* denotes FDA approved therapy for PTCL; # denotes poor prognostic indicators.
HDAIs, histone deacetylase inhibitors; HMAs, Hypomethylating agents; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; SYK, spleen tyrosine kinase.
the co-expression of truncated ERBB4 and COL29A1 in 24% of patients with ALK− ALCL (23). These ERBB4-truncated forms are potentially oncogenic, and ERBB4 inhibition can partially arrest cell growth and stop disease progression. These transcripts were not observed in ALK+ ALCL or PTCL-NOS patients. More recently, losses at 6p21 and 17p13 were identified in ALK− ALCL using single nucleotide polymorphism arrays (22, 69). These losses correlated with the losses of TP53 and PRDM1 and poor prognoses.

PTCL-NOS

PTCL-NOS is the most common subtype of PTCL, accounting for 30–50% of PTCL cases in the United States (3, 70). Patients are diagnosed with PTCL-NOS if they do not meet the diagnostic criteria of other PTCL subtypes as per WHO 2016 revision (2, 70). As a diagnosis of exclusion, PTCL-NOS comprises a heterogeneous group of diseases with diverse cells of origin and presents with different cytogenic, molecular, and morphological phenotypes. This heterogeneity makes classification and treatment of the disease difficult. With the standard anthracycline-based chemotherapy, complete response rates range from 40 to 60%, and 5-year OS rates range from 30 to 40% (71, 72).

GATA3 and TBX21 Expression as a Differentiating Factor in PTCL-NOS

PTCL-NOS can be categorized based on GATA3 and TBX21 expression and T helper 1 and T helper 2 cell differentiation regulators (73, 74). PTCL-NOS cases with high expression of TBX21 have a tumor microenvironment gene signature, whereas those of GATA3 have a cytotoxic gene signature with poorer outcomes (75). The greater genomic complexity associated with GATA3 is characterized by frequent loss of tumor suppressor genes on the CDKN2A/B-TP3 axis and PTEN-P13K pathways as well as genetic gains and amplification of STAT3 and MYC. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) algorithm can be used to identify the two subtypes and add in risk stratification for clinical trials (76).

Watatani’s group studied the relation between PTCL-NOS with TFH phenotype and GATA3/TBX21 expression using GEP (25). PTCL-NOS without TFH phenotype often has mutations in TP53 and/or CDKN2A genes, which can cause chromosomal instability and mediate immune escape and transcriptional regulations. Those mutations potentially explain the worse outcomes among patients with PTCL-NOS without TFH phenotype as compared with those with TFH phenotype. However, there was no difference in GATA3 and TBX21 expression in the TFH-related group and in the TP53/CDKN2A-altered group.

Other Genetic Aberrations in PTCL-NOS

The FYN gene encodes a tyrosinase kinase involved in T-cell activation and Src kinase inhibition. Dasatinib targeted the Src kinase in vitro, and could be a target for patients with mutations in FYN genes (10). Recurrent loss at 9p21.3 decreases the expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors 2A and 2B which are associated with a poorer prognosis (28). Guanine nucleotide exchange factor VAV1 encodes a critical component of TCR signaling, and recurrent gene fusion of VAV1 has also been identified (20). Recurrent genetic activating mutations and translocations of VAV1 gene in PTCL-NOS highlighted its role of a drive oncogene in catalytic-dependent (MAPK and JNK) and -independent (NFAT) VAV1 effector pathways (77). Azathioprine targets cells overexpressing the VAV1-GSS fusion protein (20). CTLA4-CD28 fusion and mutations in KMT2C and SET1B (histone methylation) have also been identified (17, 27).

FAT1 tumor suppressor binds to β-catenin and inhibits nuclear localization, thus inhibiting cell growth. The recurrent mutations in FAT1 tumor suppressor gene were seen in 39% cases of PTCL-NOS and is associated with inferior outcome (29).

With new differentiating factors such as TFH phenotype, GATA3/TBX21 expression, we may expect PTCL-NOS to be better categorized, which could provide more insight into defining targetable molecular pathways and developing novel therapeutic strategies for PTCL-NOS patients.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND PERSONALIZED MEDICINE

Recent advances in genome sequencing and GEP have led to the identification of commonly dysregulated pathways, especially enhanced T-cell signaling pathways, in all of the 3 most common nodal subtypes of PTCL. Despite similarities in genomic profiles, the interaction between various pathways might play a role in determining divergent cell differentiation and tumorigenesis. For example, some mutations in the epigenetic modifier genes are similar between AITL and myeloid neoplasms; however, the mutation patterns are different. TET2 and IDH2 mutations are mutually exclusive in acute myeloid leukemia. In contrast, IDH2 mutations often cooccur with TET2 mutations in AITL (19, 78). These different mutation patterns suggest that the interaction between IDH2 and TET2 mutations possibly lead to the development of a TFH phenotype.

The different patterns of molecular signature profiles can help us to identify and to reclassify AITL and ALCL from PTCL-NOS in cases that do not meet morphological criteria (Figure 1). Iqbal et al. reclassified 14% of PTCL-NOS cases as AITL via GEP using the 3 prominent AITL signatures: B-cell signature, follicular dendritic-cell signature, and cytokine signature (79). This reclassification was then confirmed by the presence of the IDH2R172 mutation. The presence of the RHOG17V mutation helped to identify nodal T-cell lymphomas with TFH phenotype, as this mutation key in TFH cell speciation and AITL transformation (38). ITK-SYK gene fusion could potentially differentiate a subset of PTCL-NOS patients with TFH phenotype from those with AITL (30, 31, 80, 81) (Figure 1).

Agnelli et al. reclassified 11% of PTCL-NOS cases as ALCL using a 3-gene model (TNFRSF8, BATF3, and TMOD1) with 97% accuracy (61). Similarly, lack of ERBB4 transcript in other PTCL subtypes could help to confirm the diagnosis of ALK− ALCL (23) (Figure 1).
**FIGURE 1** Unique and shared mutations identified in the 3 most common types of PTCL. The Venn diagram above showed the most frequently encountered genomic abnormalities in 3 most common types of peripheral T-cell lymphoma: angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL), and peripheral T-cell lymphoma-not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS). TET2 and DNMT3A mutations are seen in all 3 major subtypes.

Furthermore, many mutations have been associated with the prognosis of PTCL. The mutations associated with a poor prognosis include CD28 mutations in AITL (16); TP63 rearrangement (21), loss of TP53, and loss of PRDM1 (22) in ALK− ALCL; GATA3 (75), TP53, and/or CDKN2A (25) in ALK− ALCL; and alterations in histone methyltransferase genes KMT2A, KMT2B, or KDM6A (82) and FAT1 (29) in PTCL-NOS. The mutations associated with a favorable prognosis include the presence of DUSP22 in ALK− ALCL (21).

**POTENTIAL THERAPEUTIC TARGETS**

Most PTCL subgroups have median OS rates of 1–3 years, except for ALK+ ALCL (3). Only a small portion (20%–40%) of patients with PTCL achieve long-term survival (6). During the past 3 decades, long-term survival has not been significantly improved by available therapies (83). Fortunately, a greater understanding of the pathogenesis of the major PTCL subtypes has led to the identification of potentially actionable biologic pathways, especially activating pathways. Novel targeting therapies are now available for clinical studies (Table 1).

Epigenetic modulators, such as romidepsin (NCT03141203, NCT00426764), chidamide (NCT03268889), and HBI-8000 (NCT02953652), are currently being investigated in clinical trials for PTCL, either as a monotherapy or in conjunction with other therapies.

The T-cell signaling pathway also has multiple candidate therapies: multikinase inhibitors, such as dasatinib (NCT01609816, NCT01643603), for PTCL; PI3K inhibitors, such as duvelisib (NCT03372057) and copanlisib (NCT03052933), for PTCL; an anti-ICOS monoclonal antibody in ICOS-PI3K pathways, MEDI−570 (NCT02520791), for the follicular variant of PTCL-NOS and AITL; and a CTLA-4 inhibitor, such as ipilimumab, for CLA-CD28 fusion-positive tumors.

The transcription factor NF-κB pathway is differentially activated in PTCL, especially in the AITL subtype (79). Bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor with NF-κB inhibitory activity, has shown early promise in the treatment of adult T-cell lymphoma (84). An additional clinical study on bortezomib is currently underway (NCT04061772), as well as studies on ixazomib, a drug similar to bortezomib (NCT03547700).

The JAK-STAT3 pathways are sometimes aberrantly activated in PTCL, especially in ALCL and in some cases...
of PTCL-NOS. JAK inhibitors, such as ruxolitinib, were previously used extensively for myeloid disorder and are currently under investigation for treating patients with PTCL (NCT01431209).

Another promising target is SYK, a receptor-associated tyrosine kinase expressed in 94% of all PTCL patients (85). The SYK inhibitor R406 effectively caused apoptosis and inhibited cell growth in preclinical studies (86). SYK inhibitors, such as entospletinib, are promising potential agents.

The ALK-1 inhibitor crizotinib was used in patients with relapsed pediatric ALK+ ALCL, with a complete response rate of 83% (NCT00939770) (87). For ALK+ ALCL resistant to crizotinib, platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β (PDGFRB) blockade is potentially effective. Imatinib, acting on PDGFRα and PDGFRB blockade, induced a complete remission in a late stage NPM-ALK+ ALCL patient. As suggested in murine model, ALK promotes the expression of activator protein 1 family members JUN and JUNB, which subsequently promote tumor dissemination through PDGFRB regulation (88).

Other targeted agents tested in PTCL include BCL2 inhibitors (e.g., venetoclax [NCT03552692]); monoclonal antibodies targeting CD2 (e.g., sipiluzimab [NCT01445535]); CCR4 monoclonal antibodies (e.g., mogalizumab [NCT01611142]); FYN inhibitors; CD30 antibody drug conjugates (e.g., brentuximab [NCT01716806, NCT03496779]); ERB kinase inhibitors; VEGFR-2 inhibitors (e.g., apatinib [NCT03631862]); and PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors for immune modulation (e.g., pembrolizumab [NCT02535247], nivolumab [NCT03586999], durvalumab [NCT03161223], and avelumab [NCT03046953]).

Currently, novel therapies are being developed rapidly, and personalized medicine is made possible through clinical gene sequencing. The genetic heterogeneity in PTCL requires an individualized therapeutic approach that uses agents that specifically target genetic abnormalities or oncogenic pathways found in patients’ tumors. More rationally designed clinical trials enrolling patients with specific genetic alterations are needed to provide higher response rates and more sustained responses. In the context of genome sequencing and GEP, targeted and personalized therapies will likely provide the best clinical outcomes in patients with PTCL in the near future.
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