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Abstract. In the study of pattern formation in symmetric physical systems a 3-dimensional structure in thin domains is often modelled as 2-dimensional one. We are concerned with functions in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) that are invariant under the action of a crystallographic group and the symmetries of their projections into a function defined on a plane. We obtain a list of the crystallographic groups for which the projected functions have a hexagonal lattice of periods. The proof is constructive and the result may be used in the study of observed patterns in thin domains, whose symmetries are not expected in 2-dimensional models, like the black-eye pattern.

1. Introduction

Regular patterns are usually seen directly in nature and experiments. Convection, reaction-diffusion systems and the Faraday waves experiment comprise three commonly studied pattern-forming systems, see for instance [2], [11], [3].

The pattern itself and its observed state can occur in different dimensions. This happens for instance when an experiment is done in a 3-dimensional medium but the patterns are only observed on a surface, a 2-dimensional object. This is the case for reaction-diffusion systems in the Turing instability regime, [11], which have often been described using a 2-dimensional representation [8]. The interpretation of this 2-dimensional outcome is subject to discussion: the black-eye pattern observed by [8] has been explained both as a mode interaction, [5], and as a suitable projection of a 3-dimensional into a 2-dimensional lattice [4]. In her article, Gomes shows how a 2-dimensional hexagonal pattern can be produced by a specific projection of a Body Centre Cubic (bcc) lattice.

In the study of quasicrystals, projection is a mathematical tool for lowering dimension, [10], [6]. In contrast the work of [9] applies it to fully symmetric patterns.

Pinho and Labouriau [9] study projections in order to understand how these affect symmetry. Their necessary and sufficient conditions for identifying projected symmetries are used extensively in our results. In particular, it follows from their results that the lattice of periods of the projected functions is not obtained by deleting the last coordinate of the original.

Motivated by the explanation of [4] we look for all 3-dimensional lattices that exhibit a hexagonal projected lattice. We illustrate our results using the simple cubic lattice.

2. Projected Symmetries

The study of projections is related to patterns. Patterns are level curves of functions \( f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). In our work we suppose that these functions are invariant under the action of a particular subgroup of the Euclidean group: a crystallographic group.

The Euclidean group, \( E(n+1) \), is the group of all isometries on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), also described by the semi-direct sum \( E(n+1) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rtimes O(n+1) \), with elements given as an ordered pair \((v, \delta)\), in which \( v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) and \( \delta \) is an element of the orthogonal group \( O(n+1) \) of dimension \( n+1 \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be a subgroup of \( E(n+1) \). The homomorphism

\[
\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow O(n+1) \quad \begin{cases} 
(v, \delta) & \mapsto \delta 
\end{cases}
\]

has as image a group \( J \), called the point group of \( \Gamma \), and its kernel forms the translation subgroup of \( \Gamma \).

We say that the translation subgroup of \( \Gamma \) is a \( n+1 \)-dimensional lattice, \( \mathcal{L} \), if it is generated over the integers by \( n+1 \) linearly independent elements \( l_1, \ldots, l_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \), which we write:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \langle l_1, \ldots, l_{n+1} \rangle_\mathbb{Z}
\]
A crystallographic group is a subgroup of $E(n+1)$, such that its translation subgroup is a $n+1$-dimensional lattice.

This concept is a generalization, given by [7], of the 3-dimensional crystallographic group as defined by [7], page 55.

To get symmetries of objects in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, consider the group action of $E(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ given by the function:

$$E(n+1) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

$$((v, \delta), (x, y)) \mapsto (v, \delta) \cdot (x, y) = v + \delta(x, y)$$

In [1], the reader can see that the action (1) restricted to a point group of a crystallographic group leaves its translation subgroup $L$ invariant. The largest subgroup of $O(n+1)$ that leaves $L$ invariant forms the holohedry $H_L$. The holohedry is always a finite group, see [10], subsection 2.4.2.

Crystallographic groups and symmetries of pattern formation are associated by the description of group $\Gamma$ on the space of functions $f$ of symmetries on space of functions. To see this, observe that (1) induces an action of a crystallographic group $\Gamma$ on the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$(\gamma \cdot f)(x, y) = f(\gamma^{-1}(x, y))$$

Thus, we can construct a space $X_\Gamma$ of $\Gamma$-invariant functions, that is

$$X_\Gamma = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \gamma \cdot f = f, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \}$$

In particular a $\Gamma$-invariant function is $L$-invariant.

A $L$-symmetric pattern or $L$-pattern consists of the level curves of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with periods in the lattice $L$.

In [4] the black-eye pattern is obtained as a projection of a function, whose level sets form a bcc pattern in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In terms of symmetries, the black-eye is a hexagonal pattern, as we can see in [4], it is the level sets of a bidimensional function with periods in a hexagonal plane lattice, that is, a lattice that admits as its holohedry a group isomorphic to the dihedral group of symmetries of the regular hexagon, $D_6$.

For $y_0 > 0$, consider the restriction of $f \in X_\Gamma$ to the region between the hyperplanes $y = 0$ and $y = y_0$. The projection operator $\Pi_{y_0}$ integrates this restriction of $f$ along the width $y_0$, yielding a new function with domain $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Definition 1.** For $f \in X_\Gamma$ and $y_0 > 0$, the projection operator $\Pi_{y_0}$ is given by:

$$\Pi_{y_0}(f)(x) = \int_0^{y_0} f(x, y) dy$$

The region between $y = 0$ and $y = y_0$ is called the projection band and $\Pi_{y_0}(f) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projected function.

The functions $\Pi_{y_0}(f)$ may be invariant under the action of some elements of the group $E(n) \cong \mathbb{R}^n + O(n)$. The relation between the symmetries of $f$ and those of $\Pi_{y_0}(f)$ was provided by Pinho and Labouriau [9].

To find the group of symmetries of the projected functions $\Pi_{y_0}(X_\Gamma)$, the authors consider the following data:

- for $\alpha \in O(n)$, the elements of $O(n+1)$:
  $$\alpha_+ := \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$
  $$\sigma := \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right),$$
  $$\alpha_- := \sigma \alpha_+;$$

- the subgroup $\hat{\Gamma}$ of $\Gamma$, whose elements are of the form
  $$\left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{array} \right); \alpha \in O(n), \beta = \pm 1, (v, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1};$$

- and the projection $h : \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow E(n) \cong \mathbb{R}^n + O(n)$ given by:
  $$h \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{array} \right) = (v, \alpha)$$

The group of symmetries of $\Pi_{y_0}(X_\Gamma)$ is the image by the projection $h$ of the group $\Gamma_{y_0}$ defined as:
• If \((0, y_0) \in \mathcal{L}\) then \(\Gamma_{y_0} = \hat{\Gamma}\).
• If \((0, y_0) \not\in \mathcal{L}\) then \(\Gamma_{y_0}\) contains those elements of \(\hat{\Gamma}\) that are either of the form \(\langle (v, 0), \alpha_+ \rangle\) or of the form \(\langle (v, y_0), \alpha_- \rangle\).

The group \(\Gamma_{y_0}\) depends on how the elements of \(\Gamma\) are transformed by the projection \(\Pi_{y_0}\). The criterion that clarifies the connection between the symmetries of \(\mathcal{X}_T\) and \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\) is provided by the following result:

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 1.2 in [9]). All functions in \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\) are invariant under the action of \((v, \alpha) \in E(n)\) if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1. \(\langle (v, 0), \alpha_+ \rangle \in \Gamma\);
2. \(\langle (v, y_0), \alpha_- \rangle \in \Gamma\);
3. \((0, y_0) \in \mathcal{L}\) and either \(\langle (v, y_1), \alpha_+ \rangle \in \Gamma\) or \(\langle (v, y_1), \alpha_- \rangle \in \Gamma\), for some \(y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\).

### 3. Hexagonal Projected Symmetries

As we saw in the last section, there is a connection between a crystallographic group \(\Gamma\) in dimension \(n + 1\) and the group of symmetries of the set of projected functions \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\). In this work we aim to know which crystallographic groups in dimension 3 can yield hexagonal symmetries after projection. In other words, we want to describe how to obtain hexagonal plane patterns by projection.

Given a crystallographic group \(\Gamma\), with a \(n + 1\)-dimensional lattice \(\mathcal{L}\), whose holohedry is \(H_\mathcal{L}\), we denote \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L})\) the translation subgroup of the crystallographic group of symmetries of \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\), whose point group is a subset of the holohedry of \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L})\). From theorem 1 we obtain

**Corollary 1.** Let \(\hat{\mathcal{L}}\) be a crystallographic group with lattice \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n\). Suppose \(\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L})\), and let \(H_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\) and \(H_\mathcal{L}\) be the holohedries of \(\hat{\mathcal{L}}\) and \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\), respectively. If \(\alpha \in H_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\) then either \(\alpha_+ \in H_\mathcal{L}\) or \(\alpha_- \in H_\mathcal{L}\).

**Proof.** To prove our claim, it is sufficient to apply theorem 1 to the case \(\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\mathcal{L}} + H_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\). Since \(\alpha \in H_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\), there exists \(v \in \mathbb{R}^n\) such that \(f\) is \((v, \alpha)\)-invariant for all \(f \in \Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\). By theorem 1, one of the three conditions holds. Then, depending on whether (I), (II) or (III) is verified, either \((w, \alpha_+)\) or \((w, \alpha_-)\) is in \(\Gamma\) where, \(w \in \{(v, 0), (v, y_0), (v, y_1)\}\). By definition of holohedry, we have either \(\alpha_+ \in H_\mathcal{L}\) or \(\alpha_- \in H_\mathcal{L}\).

**Remark 1.** We note that there is a non-trivial relation between the lattice \(\hat{\mathcal{L}}\) of periods of the projected functions and that of the original one. In fact, consider a \((n + 1)\)-dimensional lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) and \(\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L})\). If \(v \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}\) then \((v, I_n)\) is a symmetry of \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\). Applying theorem 1 with \(\alpha = I_n\), one of the following holds for each \(v \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}:\)

1. \(\langle (v, 0), \alpha_+ \rangle = \langle (v, 0), I_{n+1} \rangle \in \Gamma\), or equivalently \((v, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\);
2. \(\langle (v, y_0), \alpha_- \rangle = \langle (v, y_0), \sigma \rangle \in \Gamma\) then \((v, y_0), \sigma^2 \in \Gamma\) implying that \((2v, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\);
3. \((0, y_0) \in \mathcal{L}\) and either \((v, y_1)\) or \((2v, 0)\) is in \(\mathcal{L}\), for some \(y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\).

While condition I implies that \(\mathcal{L} \cap \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{L}}\), the other conditions show that this inclusion is often strict. Furthermore, conditions II and III show that we may have no element of the form \((v, y_1)\) in \(\mathcal{L}\) and yet \(v \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}\). So, the lattice of periods of the projected functions is not obtained by deleting the last coordinates of the original.

The next proposition provides sufficient conditions for a multiple of the projected lattice to exist as the sublattice of a suspended lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\).

**Proposition 1.** Consider a crystallographic group \(\Gamma\) with a lattice \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) and let \(\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L}) = (\hat{l}_1, \cdots, \hat{l}_n)z \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) be the translation subgroup of \(\Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{X}_T)\). Suppose for each \(\hat{l}_j\) one of the following conditions holds:

1. \(\hat{l}_j, 0), I_{n+1} \rangle \in \Gamma\);
2. \(\langle (\hat{l}_j, y_1), \sigma \rangle \in \Gamma\), for some \(y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\);
3. \((0, y_0)\), and \((\hat{l}_j, p/q y_0) \in \mathcal{L}\), for some \(p, q\) nonzero integers.

Then there exists \(r \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(\mathcal{L}_r = \{r \cdot (v, 0); v \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}\text{ and } r \in \mathbb{Z}\}\) is a sublattice of \(\mathcal{L}\).
Condition (iii) is a stronger version of condition III in theorem 1. The other condition follow from theorem 1.

Proof. If one of the conditions i - iii is true, for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), then, using remark 1, either \((\tilde{l}_j, 0)\) or \(2(\tilde{l}_j, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\).

If condition iv holds for some \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), then \((0, y_0), (\tilde{l}_j, \frac{p_j}{q_j}y_0) \in \mathcal{L}\), where \(p_j, q_j\) are nonzero integers. Since \(\mathcal{L}\) is a lattice \((q_j\tilde{l}_j, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\).

Therefore, for each \( j \), we can chose \( r_j = 2q_j \) such that \((r_j\tilde{l}_j, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\). Let \( r \) be the least common multiple of the \(\{r_j\}_{j=1}^n\), then \((r\tilde{l}_j, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\) for all \( j \), finishing the proof.

\[ \square \]

For three-dimensional lattices we have the following stronger result. This gives the full description of a 3-dimensional lattice which can be projected onto a prescribed 2-dimensional one.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a lattice such that its projection is a plane lattice \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \Pi_{p_0}(\mathcal{L})\) generated by two linearly independent vectors \(\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2\) in \(\mathcal{L}\).

There exists \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) such that the three-dimensional lattice \(\mathcal{L}\) has a sublattice \(\mathcal{L}_r = \langle (r\tilde{l}_1, 0), (r\tilde{l}_2, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}\) if and only if for each \( v \in \{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2\} \) one of the following conditions holds:

a. \(\langle (v, 0), l_3 \rangle \in \Gamma\);

b. \(\langle (v, y_1), \sigma \rangle \in \Gamma, \) for some \( y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\);

c. \(\langle v, y_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{L}, \) for some \( y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\).

Proof. That the conditions are necessary is immediate from remark 1. Let us prove that they are sufficient.

Suppose that for each \( v \in \{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2\} \) one of the conditions a to c is verified. We will prove that there exists \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \), such that \(\mathcal{L}_r\) is a sublattice of \(\mathcal{L}\).

Since \(\tilde{l}_2 = \rho\tilde{l}_1\), it is sufficient to show that one of \( r(\tilde{l}_1, 0) \) or \( r(\tilde{l}_2, 0) \) is in \(\mathcal{L}\). To see this, suppose, without loss of generality, that \( r(\tilde{l}_1, 0) \in \mathcal{L} \). Then since \(\rho \in H_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\), by corollary 1, either \(\rho_{+} \in H_{\mathcal{L}} \) or \(\rho_{-} \in H_{\mathcal{L}}\). As \(\rho_{+}(r\tilde{l}_1, 0) = (r\tilde{l}_2, 0), \) it implies that \( r(\tilde{l}_2, 0) \in \mathcal{L} \) and therefore, \(\mathcal{L}\) has a sublattice \(\mathcal{L}_r\).

If for some \( v \in \{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2\} \) one of the conditions a or b is true then, by remark 1, \( rv, 0 \in \mathcal{L}\), for \( r = 1 \) or \( r = 2 \). Hence, all that remains to prove is the case when \(\tilde{l}_1\) and \(\tilde{l}_2\) only satisfy condition c.

By hypothesis,

\[ (\tilde{l}_1, y_1) \text{ and } (\tilde{l}_2, y_2) \text{ are in } \mathcal{L}, \text{ for some } y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \]

this implies that

\[ (\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2, y_1 + y_2) \in \mathcal{L} \]

Using (2) and corollary 1

\[ \text{either } \rho_{+}(\tilde{l}_1, y_1) = (\tilde{l}_2, y_1) \in \mathcal{L} \text{ or } \rho_{-}(\tilde{l}_1, y_1) = (\tilde{l}_2, -y_1) \in \mathcal{L}. \]

If \((\tilde{l}_2, y_1) \in \mathcal{L} \) then

\[ (\tilde{l}_2, y_1) + (\tilde{l}_2, y_2) = (2\tilde{l}_2, y_1 + y_2) \in \mathcal{L} \]

thus, using (3)

\[ (\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2, y_1 + y_2) - (2\tilde{l}_2, y_1 + y_2) = (\tilde{l}_1 - \tilde{l}_2, 0) \in \mathcal{L} \]

Since \(\{\tilde{l}_1, \tilde{l}_2\}\) is a basis to \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\) and \(\rho \in H_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}\) then

\[ \rho(\tilde{l}_1 - \tilde{l}_2) = m\tilde{l}_1 + n\tilde{l}_2, \text{ } m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \]

where \( m, n \) are not both equal to zero. Suppose that \( n \neq 0 \), then

\[ n(\tilde{l}_1 - \tilde{l}_2, 0), (m\tilde{l}_1 + n\tilde{l}_2, 0) \in \mathcal{L} \]

implying that the sum of these last two vectors \((m+n\tilde{l}_1, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\). Therefore, if \( n \neq -m \), \(\mathcal{L}_r\) is a sublattice of \(\mathcal{L}\), where \( r = m + n \in \mathbb{Z} \). If \( n = -m \), we subtract the two expressions in (4) to get \((2n\tilde{l}_1, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\).

If \((\tilde{l}_2, -y_1) \in \mathcal{L} \) then

\[ -(\tilde{l}_2, -y_1) + (\tilde{l}_2, y_2) = (0, y_1 + y_2) \in \mathcal{L} \]
thus, using (3)  

\[(\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2, y_1 + y_2) - (0, y_1 + y_2) = (\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2, 0) \in \mathcal{L}\]

An analogous argument applied to \(\rho(\tilde{l}_1 + \tilde{l}_2, 0)\) finishes the proof.

Let \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a lattice and \(P \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a plane such that \(P \cap \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset\). Given \(v \in P \cap \mathcal{L}\) there is a rotation \(\gamma \in O(3)\) such that \(\gamma(P - v)\) is the plane \(X0Y = \{(x, y, 0); x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}\). Then we define the \(y_0\)-projection of \(\mathcal{L}\) into \(P\) as the lattice \(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subset E(2)\) where \(\gamma\) is the symmetry group of \(\Pi_{y_0}(X\gamma(L-v))\).

We say that the \(y_0\)-projection of \(\mathcal{L}\) into the plane \(P\) is a hexagonal plane lattice if and only if the lattice \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\) admits as its holohedry a group isomorphic to \(D_6\).

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let \(\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) be a lattice. The \(y_0\)-projection of \(\mathcal{L}\) into the plane \(P\) is a hexagonal plane lattice if and only if:

1. \(P \cap \mathcal{L}\) contains at least two elements;
2. there exists \(\beta \in H_\mathcal{L}\) such that:
   - \(\beta\) has order 6;
   - \(P\) is \(\beta\)-invariant.

**Proof.** Suppose first that \((0, 0, 0) \in P \cap \mathcal{L}\). To show that the conditions (1) and (2) are necessary let us consider, without loss of generality, that \(P = X0Y\). Therefore, the conditions hold by theorem 2.

To prove that the condition (1) and (2) are sufficient consider \(\beta \in H_\mathcal{L}\), then either \(\beta\) is a rotation or \(\beta\) is a rotation-inversion. In both cases we can write \(\beta = (-I_k)\gamma, k = 0, 1\), where \(\gamma\) is a rotation and \(I_k\) is the identity. Observe that, since the order of \(\beta\) is six, the order of \(\gamma\) should be either three or six.

By [2], theorem 2.1 and the proof of the crystallographic restriction theorem, in the same reference, there exists only one subspace of dimension 2 invariant by \(\beta\). Such a plane is the plane perpendicular to the rotation axis of \(\gamma\). So, let \(P\) be this plane.

Since \(P \cap \mathcal{L} \neq \{0\}\), let \(v\) be a nonzero element of minimum length in \(P \cap \mathcal{L}\) and the lattice \(\mathcal{L}' = \{v, \beta v\}_Z = \{v, \gamma v\}_Z\). If \(\gamma\) has order 6 then \(\mathcal{L}'\) is a hexagonal plane lattice. If \(\gamma\) has order 3, notice that \(-v, -\gamma v \in \mathcal{L}'\), because \(\mathcal{L}'\) is a lattice, hence \(\mathcal{L}'\) is also a hexagonal lattice.

If \((0, 0, 0) \notin P \cap \mathcal{L},\) note that the proof can be reduced to the previous case by a translation.

**Remark 2.** Theorem 3 shows that the only possibility to obtain patterns with hexagonal symmetry, by \(y_0\)-projection, is to project the functions \(f \in \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{L}\) in a plane invariant by the action of some element \(\beta \in H_\mathcal{L}\) with order six. After finding one of those planes, in order to obtain projections as in definition 1, we only need to change coordinates. The reader can see an example with the bcc lattice in [4].

Moreover, by theorem 2, if \(\mathcal{L}\) is a lattice such that the projection, \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \Pi_{y_0}(\mathcal{L})\), of \(\mathcal{L}\) into the plane \(X0Y\) is a hexagonal plane sublattice then \(\mathcal{L}\) has a sublattice \(L_r = \{r \cdot (v, 0); v \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\\}\). This implies that \(\forall f \in \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{L}\), we have \(L_r\), periodicity.

As a consequence of theorem 3, we are able to list all the Bravais lattices that may be projected to produce a 2-dimensional hexagonal pattern.

**Theorem 4.** The Bravais lattices that project to a hexagonal plane lattice are:

1. Simple cubic lattice;
2. Body-centred cubic lattice;
3. Face-centred cubic lattice;
4. Hexagonal lattice; and
5. Rhombohedral lattice.

Moreover, up to change of coordinates, for the first three lattices the plane of projection must be parallel to one of the planes in Table 1. For the hexagonal and rhombohedral lattice the plane of projection must be parallel to the plane \(X0Y\).

**Proof.** It is immediate from theorem 3 that we can exclude the following Bravais lattices: triclinic, monoclinic, orthorhombic and tetragonal, since the holohedries of these lattices do not have elements of order six.
Table 1. Two-dimensional spaces perpendicular to the rotation axis of each one of the rotations \( \gamma_i \). Here we denote by \( \langle v \rangle, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) the subspace generated by \( v \).

| Rotation | Rotation Axis | Perpendicular Plane |
|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| \( \gamma_1 \) | \( \langle (1, 1, -1) \rangle \) | \( P_1 = \{ (x, y, z); z = x + y \} \) |
| \( \gamma_2 \) | \( \langle (1, -1, -1) \rangle \) | \( P_2 = \{ (x, y, z); z = x - y \} \) |
| \( \gamma_3 \) | \( \langle (1, -1, 1) \rangle \) | \( P_3 = \{ (x, y, z); z = -x + y \} \) |
| \( \gamma_4 \) | \( \langle (1, 1, 1) \rangle \) | \( P_4 = \{ (x, y, z); z = -(x + y) \} \) |

To see if the other Bravais lattices have hexagonal projected symmetries, we need to examine the rotations of order three and six in their holohedries and see if the plane perpendicular to their rotation axes intersects the lattice.

The group of rotational symmetries of the cubic lattice (as well as the body centred cubic lattice and the face centred cubic lattice) is isomorphic to \( S_4 \), the group of permutation of four elements. So, in the holohedry of the cubic lattice we only have rotations of order one, two or three. Consider a systems of generators for a representative for the cubic lattice \( \mathcal{L} \), in the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), given by:

\[
(1, 0, 0), \ (0, 1, 0), \ (0, 0, 1)
\]

Then, the matrix representation of the rotations of order 3 in \( H_{\mathcal{L}} \) are:

\[
\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Two-dimensional spaces perpendicular to the rotation axis of each one of these rotations are given in Table 1.

This means that for the first three lattices in the list, the projection of functions \( f \in X_{\mathcal{L}} \) into a plane have hexagonal symmetries only if the plane is parallel to one of the plane subspaces given in Table 1.

Consider now a 3-dimensional hexagonal lattice. Its group of rotational symmetries has order twelve and it has a subgroup of order six consisting of the rotational symmetries of the rhombohedral lattice. Let the representatives for the hexagonal and rhombohedral lattices, be generated by:

\[
(1, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0), (0, 0, c) \quad c \neq 0, \pm 1.
\]

\[
(1, 0, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 1)
\]

respectively. Then, the twelve rotations in the holohedry of the hexagonal lattice are generated by:

\[
\rho_z = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_x = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The generators of the group of rotational symmetries of the rhombohedral lattice are then \( \rho_z^2 \) and \( \gamma_x \).

We conclude that the only rotations of order 6 in the holohedry of the hexagonal lattice are \( \rho_z \) and \( \rho_z^2 \), and of order 3 \( \rho_z^3 \) and \( \rho_z^4 \).

Therefore, the \( y_0 \)-projection of the hexagonal and rhombohedral lattices is a hexagonal plane sublattice if and only if the \( y_0 \)-projection is made into a plane parallel to the plane \( XOY \).

\[\square\]
4. HEXAGONAL PROJECTED SYMMETRIES OF THE SIMPLE CUBIC LATTICE

We conclude the article with an example to illustrate the hexagonal symmetries obtained by \( z_0 \)-projection of functions with periods in the simple cubic lattice, for all \( z_0 \in \mathbb{R} \).

Consider a three-dimensional crystallographic group, \( \Gamma = \mathcal{L} + H_\mathcal{L} \), where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the simple cubic lattice generated by the vectors \((1,0,0), (0,1,0) \) and \((0,0,1) \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \), and \( H_\mathcal{L} \) its holohedry.

Without loss of generality, let us see the projection of \( \Gamma \) on \( P_1 \) (see Table 1).

From theorem 3, the cubic lattice has a hexagonal plane sublattice that intersects \( P_1 \). This sublattice is generated by:

\[
(0,1,1), \ (1,0,1)
\]

To make our calculations easier and to set up the hexagonal symmetries in the standard way consider the new basis \( \{ (0,1,1), (1,0,1), (0,0,1) \} \) for the lattice \( \mathcal{L} \). Now multiply \( \mathcal{L} \) by the scalar \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) in order to normalise the vectors of \( \mathcal{L} \).

With these changes the crystallographic group \( \Gamma \) has the new translational subgroup generated by the vectors:

\[
v_1 = \left( 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \ v_2 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \ v_3 = \left( 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)
\]

Projection of \( \Gamma \) on \( P_1 \), as in definition 1, can be done after a change of coordinates that transforms \( \mathcal{L} \) into \( X0Y \). Consider that change given by the orthonormal matrix

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then, in the new system of coordinates \( X = Ax \), we obtain the base for the simple cubic lattice given by:

\[
l_1 = (1,0,0), l_2 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0 \right), l_3 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \right)
\]

Observe that we changed the position of \( \mathcal{L} \) as prescribed by theorem 3.

We proceed to describe the symmetries of the space \( \Pi_{z_0}(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma) \), for each \( z_0 \in \mathbb{R} \). For this, we need to write up the subgroups \( \hat{\Gamma} \) and \( \Gamma_{z_0} \) of \( \Gamma \). Denote by \( \Sigma_{z_0} = \mathcal{L}_{z_0} + J_{z_0} \) the subgroup of \( E(2) \) of all symmetries of \( \Pi_{z_0}(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma) \).

It is straightforward to see that the elements of \( \Gamma \) with orthogonal part \( \alpha_\pm \) are in the group

\[
\hat{\Gamma} = \{ (v,z), \rho \in \mathcal{L}, \rho \in \hat{J} \}
\]

where \( \hat{J} \) is the group generated by

\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and the group \( \Gamma_{z_0} \) has a subgroup \( H = \hat{\mathcal{L}} + \hat{J} \), for all \( z_0 \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( \hat{\mathcal{L}} \) is the translation subgroup \( \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle_\mathbb{Z} \) and \( \hat{J} \) is the subgroup generated by \( \{(0,0,0), \kappa \} \) and \( \{(0,0,0), -\gamma \} \). Using statement I of theorem 1, for all \( z_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) all the functions \( f \in \Pi_{z_0}(\mathcal{X}_\Gamma) \) are \((1,0)\), and \( \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \) periodic and invariant for the action of

\[
\kappa' = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( -\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix} \).

In Table 2 we list the group \( \Gamma_{z_0} \), for each \( z_0 \in \mathbb{R} \), and describe the respective projected symmetries.

We assume that all the functions \( f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) in \( \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{L} \) admit a unique formal Fourier expansion in terms of the waves

\[
w_k(x,y,z) = \exp(2\pi i(k, (x,y,z)))
\]
where $z$ is the wave number with $k$ where

$$z \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$$

then $(0, 0, \frac{n}{\sqrt{6}}) \in \mathcal{L}$

$$z = \frac{3n+1}{\sqrt{6}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$$

then $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \frac{3n-1}{\sqrt{6}}) \in \mathcal{L}$

$$z = \frac{3n+1}{\sqrt{6}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$$

then $(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, \frac{3n+1}{\sqrt{6}}) \in \mathcal{L}$

For $z_0$ different of the cases before

$$\Gamma_{z_0} = H$$

$$J_{z_0} = \langle -\gamma', \kappa' \rangle$$

where $k$ is a wave vector in the dual lattice, $\mathcal{L}^* = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^3; \langle k, l \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \ i = 1, 2, 3\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ given in (6), with wave number $|k|$, $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Thus,

$$f(x, y, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^*} z_k w_k(x, y, z)$$

where $z_k$ is the Fourier coefficient, for each $k \in \mathcal{L}^*$, and with the restriction $z_{-k} = \pi k$.

Therefore, we can write

$$\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{L}} V_k$$

for

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \{k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{L}; \ k_1 > 0 \text{ or } k_1 = 0 \text{ and } k_2 > 0\}$$

and

$$V_k = \{Re(z w_k(x, y, z)); \ z \in \mathbb{C}\} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Note that $\mathcal{X}_\Gamma$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{X}_\mathcal{L}$.

A straightforward calculation shows that the function

$$u(x, y, z) = \sum_{|k| = \sqrt{2}} \exp(2\pi i k \cdot (x, y, z))$$

is $\Gamma$-invariant.

The contour plot of the projections of $u$ are shown in the next figures, with respective symmetries given in Table 2.
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