Screen printed carbon electrode sensor with thiol graphene quantum dots and gold nanoparticles for voltammetric determination of solatol
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1. Introduction

Sotalol (SOT), N-[4-[1-hydroxy-2-(propan-2-ylamino) ethyl] phenyl] methane sulfonamide (see Scheme 1), is a new category of antiarrhythmic drugs with β-adrenergic receptor blocking properties. It is a quick non-selective receptor antagonist for all sorts of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia [1,2]. It is used for cure of hypertension, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, and certain arrhythmias. For pharmacokinetic studies of this drug and more routinely for establishing a relationship between blood concentration and therapeutic effect [3] or toxic influence [4], the measurement of plasma or serum is necessary. For analysis of SOT in biological matrices, some analytical methods have been reported including voltammetry and other methods [2,3,5,6,7,8,9].

Molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) is a form of synthesized material, which is usually prepared by the copolymerization of a function monomer and cross-linker when the template is present. In the following, the analyte molecules are removed from the final polymer matrices and providing binding sites preferably matching in size, shape, and functionality to the template [10]. Binding sites can specifically distinguish the template. In last years, electrochemical methods, especially electrochemical MIP based sensors, have caught more attention because of their recognition properties, good selectivity, excellent sensitivity, simplicity, high stability, fast response, low cost, and real time detection [11,12,13,14,15].

Currently, screen printed electrodes (SPEs), with low cost, simple, inexpensive and speed of mass production using thick film technology have been extensively used for developing novel (electrochemical) sensing platforms and improving their performances [16]. Generally, SPEs are fabricated by printing diverse electroconductive and insulative inks on a plastic or ceramic substrate and the printed inks can be synthesized with different compositions and conditions, thereby causing wide flexibility and versatility of the SPEs for pragmatic use [17,18]. Many kinds of metal nanoparticles organic compounds, and nano composites have been applying to modify the SPE electrode surface [17,19,20].

In recent years, special notice has been taken to nanoparticles particularly regarding metallic nanoparticles (NPs) such as AuNPs, with heightened behavior in electrochemical analysis due to their large effective surface area, excellent conducting capability, fast mass transport and effective catalysis [20,21]. In this survey, the AuNPs were located onto the surface of modified SPE to extend the electrochemically active sites and make easy the electron transfer from the reaction center to the electrode surface [17,19,21].

GQDs are one or few-layered graphene sheets with lateral size smaller than 100 nm in single double and multiple layers [22,23]. The GQDs are anticipated to have the important properties due to quantum detention and edge effects, making it an excellent candidate for
2. Experimental

2.1. Material and reagents

Analytical reagent grade such as azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), Methacrylic acid (MAA), Tetrachloroauric acid (HAuCl4) and sodium citrate (Na3C6H5O7·2H2O), ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and all other reagents were bought from KimiaExir chemical companies (Tehran, Iran). Sotalol hydrochloride was contributed by Farabi Darou (Isfahan, Iran). The 0.04 M Britton-Robinson (BR) buffer solution pH 7 was practical as a supporting electrolyte.

2.2. Apparatus

The whole of electrochemical experimental accomplish with a μ-AUTOLAB electrochemical system driven with NOVA software. The pH was measured by a Metrohm model, 780 pH/mV meters. A screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE) (3 mm in diameter) bought from Dropsens (Spain) was used as a planar three electrode based on a graphite working electrode, a silver pseudo-reference electrode and a carbon counter electrode. DPV and CVs measurements were performed in 0.04 mol L−1 Britton-Robinson (BR) solution. Moreover, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was recorded in 0.5 mol L−1 KCl solution containing 5 mM Fe(CN)6 3−/4−. Surface morphology of the imprinted polymer particles were considered by using FESEM (Model: Hitachi S4160).

2.3. Synthesis of MIP-polymer

2.3.1. Synthesis of polymer

For the synthesis of SOT-MIP-polymer, we used the reported method in ref [13] and for this synthesis, the following steps are followed; at first the template molecule (SOT, 1 mmol) and functional monomers MAA (4 mmol) were dissolved in 40 mL acetonitrile as solvent and then sonicated for 10 min. Subsequently, 2,2-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 1.0 mmol) and 25.0 mmol of EGDMA as a cross-linker agent were added and the mixture was purged with nitrogen for 10 min and then the reaction mixture was refluxed in an oil bath (stirring speed of 400 rpm and 60 °C) for 24 h. After the following, the nanoparticles were collected and washed with ethanol, respectively to remove additional reagents and solvent. The SOT and unreacted monomers were removed through washing of the polymer with methanol–acetic acid (9:1, v/v) solution for several times. At the last, particles were collected and then were washed by double distilled water until neutral pH is reached and dried at 60 °C and stored for more use. Non imprinted polymers (NIPs) were ready similar to other suggestion conditions but without of SOT.

2.4. Synthesis of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs)

Gold nanoparticles were provided based on an already report as described in ref [17] and details of this synthesis completely explained in the following. Gold nanoparticles were synthesized in four stages: i) First, 500 mL tetrachloroauric acid (0.01% (w/v), HAuCl4) was heated with stirring to reach boiling point. ii) During stirring, quickly 7.5 mL of a 1% solution of trisodium citrate dehydrate aqueous (Na3C6H5O7·2H2O) was added to this solution. After 25 s, the color of the solution was turned into blue and, finally, after 70 s the color was turned into red-violet. iii) Boiling went on for an additional 10 min v) the heating was stopped and the colloidal was stirred for another 15 min. The obtained solution was transparent red and AuNPs had no precipitate and the particles were about 12 nm. After cooling, the solution was kept in refrigerator at 4 °C until it was ready to be used.

2.5. Synthesized of SH-GQD

Thio-GQDs were synthesized based on an already report as described in ref [30] and details of this synthesis completely explained in the following. For this synthesis of QD-SH, Briefly, 0.1 g MWCNTs powder was added to 10 mL of HNO3/H2SO4 mixture at a ratio of 1:3 and was sonicated for 6 h at 40 °C to yield oxidized MWCNTs (ox-MWCNTs). The obtained black suspension containing ox-MWCNTs was diluted with distilled water and allowed to stand overnight for precipitation. Then the supernatant was removed and the suspension of ox-CNT was diluted with deionized water and filtered with a 0.05 mm filter membrane under vacuum. In order to form stable colloidal suspensions the ox-CNT were dissolved in a plenty of solvents including water, ethanol and dimethylformamide. 1 mL of 1 mmol L−1 cysteamine solution in ethanol was added to the resulted suspension, mixed (24 h) and then decanted overnight. Cysteamine leads to isolating of NPs which is named as oxidized QD (ox-QDQ) on the CNTs suspension. 10 mmol L−1 of DCC solution in DMF was added to the collected ox-QD to start the coupling reaction and it was mixed for 24. Finally, the QD were purified by repeated filtration through a cut-off membrane (MW 1500) and rinsed with fresh DMF. In this way QD-SH was achieved.

2.6. Fabrication of MIP sensor

In this study beforehand use the SPCE, the electrode were ready by employment a potential of −0.4 to +1.5 V in HCl 0.10 M for 20 cycles by scan rate of 50 mv s−1 [17]. Then it could be cleaned with deionized water and dried before use. The electrode was modified by 5.0 μL of synthesized SH-QD and dried for 60 min at room temperature under the lid. Afterwards, the modified SPCE electrode surface covered by 5.0 μL of AuNPs solution for 30 min at room temperature under the lid until interaction between AuNPs and SH-QD established. Subsequently, 5.0 μL of MIP was placed onto the surface of AuNPs/QD-SH/SPCE and allowed to dry.

2.7. Preparation of the real samples

Survey the practicability of the sensor in practical analysis, the drug-free human serum was custom-made from a local clinical laboratory and centrifuged for 30 min by 5000 rpm. Subsequently, the serum samples
were diluted 5 times with phosphate buffer solutions (0.1M) and various concentrations of SOT were added to these samples.

In order to pharmaceutical analyses five tablets of SOT, labeled 80 mg per tablet (Hakim Darou Company, Iran), were weighed and crushed in a mortar to a fine powder. Amount of powder equivalent to the average mass of one tablet was dissolved in 25 ml of B-R (0.04 M, pH 10.0). Subsequently it was introduced to an ultrasonic bath for 15 min, filtered and diluted with the same buffer in a calibrated volumetric flask (100 ml). Finally, the prepared solution was transferred into the electrochemical cell and standard addition method was applied for the determination of SOT in tablet.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the NIP and MIP

To confirm the synthesized SOT-MIP the FT-IR spectra of SOT, NIP and also MIPs before and after the removal of SOT were recorded (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. The IR spectra of SOT (A) NIP (B) un-leached (C) and leached (D) SOT imprinted polymers.
The IR spectrum of SOT indicated the stretching vibrations at 1154 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1362 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponded to S=O symmetric and asymmetric stretching, respectively.

The presence of absorbance bands at 3420 and 3435 cm\(^{-1}\) were observed as double that maybe is impoted to the N–H stretching vibration of \(--\text{NH}_2\) group. In curve C the peak at 1732 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to C=O absorbance. The weak absorbance peak at 1628 cm\(^{-1}\) is corresponded to C=C and simultaneously indicated that most MAAs were cross-linked and alone a few ones remained. On the other hand, The –COOH group of MAA and the O–H stretching were discern based on their bending vibrations at 3435 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1389 cm\(^{-1}\). Also, the features of the O–H banding were in 3435 cm\(^{-1}\) and it can be seen which at the MIP leached that the intensity of the peak was weaker and reached 3679 cm\(^{-1}\) shifts. Also, according to Fig. 1, we can say that the main band spectra of MIP unbleached and leached had the same position and form which could demonstrate that the analyte had been removed thoroughly from the MIP after washing.
3.2. Scanning the electron microscopy (SEM) MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE

For assess the morphology and structure of prepared products we used SEM images (Fig. 2(A-D)). Fig. 2A showed SEM image of the bare electrode, which showed a smooth and uniform surface. As it was obvious in Fig. 2B that after dropped GQD-SH on the surface bear SPCE, scattered uniformly on the working electrode and had large surface area for the electron transfer. When the AuNPs dropped on the surface of electrode, the SEM images showed a uniform coverage of the AuNPs with small particles size on the surface of the GQD-SH/SPCE, which it possessed more sites for binding with the SH-GQD and this caused the increase interaction area and electron transfer rate on the surface of the electrode (Fig. 2C). It can be found rough and multi-hole structure in Fig. 2D, which ready a large recognition sites in removing the MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE.

3.3. Characterization of the synthesized thiol-GQD and AuNPs

For assess the structure of prepared products AuNPs and the SH-GQD we used TEM images. The TEM images in Figs. 3A and 3B confirm the formation nanomaterials, which promoted the charge transfer and could function as a desired modifier for enhancing the electrode surface area.

Also, the fluorescence spectra of the synthesized SH-GQDs was investigated (Fig. 3C). It can be observed that a maximum intensity in 470 nm. That in agreement with other some recent studies of the fluorescent of SH-GQDs [29].

Furthermore, UV-vis spectra of the synthesized SH-GQD were studied. As shown in Fig. 3D, the SH-GQDs had an absorbance intensity of 0.423.as likewise previous reports [29].

3.4. Characterization of the modified electrodes

The electrochemical behavior of different modified electrodes in each step was examined by recording of related CV and EIS in ferro/ferricyanide solution.

EIS as an efficient technique was used for monitoring the interface properties of modified electrodes during stepwise modifications. The Nyquist plots at unmodified SPCE, GOD-SH/SPCE, and AuNPs/GOD-SH/SPCE in 5 mM ferro/ferricyanide solution were shown in Fig. 4(A). As obvious in Fig. 4(A) (curve a) the spectrum represented an electrochemical impedance of the unmodified SPCE electrode (Rct = 400 Ω). Afterwards, with placed 5 μL of SH-GQD on the surface of bear SPCE (curve b), Rct was increased to 622 Ω, via the thiol and hydroxyl groups in the compound of the SH-GQD. This was because of the negatively charged SH-GQD which was produced an electrostatic repulsion force to ferro/ferricyanide. In the next step, when 5 μL of the AuNPs placed onto the surface GQD-SH/SPCE the resistance for the redox probe clearly enhanced (Rct = 831 Ω) (curve c) because, the AuNPs presence with negative charge on the electrode surface repelled the negatively charged ferro/ferricyanide to the extent that the response of probe was reduced and thus causes increased Rct. Subsequently, when MIP was dropped on the surface of the AuNPs/GOD-SH/SPCE, Rct clearly increased (Rct = 1537 Ω). This may be attributed by the non-conductive membrane formed by the monomers and SOT, which inhibited the transfer of electrons (curve d). Also, the sensor manufacture process was examined by recording cyclic voltammograms of the modified electrode during preparation steps in 5.0 mM ferro/ferricyanide solution (Fig. 4(B)). These results were accordant with CV diagrams as described in details above, corroborate that the proposed sensor worked indeed was achieved successfully.

Fig. 4. Nyquist diagrams (A) and cyclic voltammograms (B) of the modified electrode after each immobilization (a) bare SPCE, (b) GQD-SH/SPCE, (c) AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE, (d) MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE, in [Fe(CN)6]3−/4 as electrolyte solution.

Fig. 5. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) bare SPCE, (b) MIP/SPCE, (c) MIP/GQD-SH/SPCE, (d) MIP/AuNPs/SPCE, (e) MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE, (f) NIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE; after elution, in B-R buffer solution (pH 10) containing 100 μM SOT at the scan rate of 100 mV s−1.
3.5. Electrocatalytic oxidation of SOT

To elucidate the direct electrochemistry behavior of SOT, cyclic voltammetric technique was used. Fig. 5 showed a typical cyclic voltammograms of diverse electrodes in B-R buffer solution (0.04 M, pH 10.0) in the presence of 100.0 μM SOT with scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹. The outcomes confirmed that the bare SPCE in buffer solution in the attendance of 100.0 μM SOT displayed an irreversible redox peak for SOT, and the oxidation peaks of SOT was found at p1 = 0.540 and p2 = 0.750 V. The same irreversible behavior and shown two peaks had been reported in the previous reports [10]. As it was seen from (Fig. 5, curve b), after modification surface SPCE by 5 μL of MIP, small changes in the peak current obviously were seen for the MIP/SPCE. It can be seen that the peak current of SOT at the surface of the MIP/GQD-SH/SPCE (Fig. 5, curve c) was better than that for the MIP/SPCE and for the bare SPCE. In opposite, when the electrode SPCE was modified by the AuNPs and the MIP, the peak potentials of SOT shifted towards negatively potential values than that for the MIP/GQD-SH/SPCE (Fig. 5, curve d). This indicated a role of the AuNPs with an incremental surface area and conductivity of the resulted electrode (MIP/AuNPs/SPCE). Furthermore, after placing the AuNPs on the surface GQD-SH/SPCE which it had more sites for binding with the SH-GQD, and subsequently the MIP as appropriate recognition element, developed the interaction area and increased the electron transfer rate on the surface of the electrode. The comparison of the peak intensity currents in all the tested electrodes showed that the higher peak current of SOT appeared on the MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE (curve e). In the other hand, in Fig. 5 (curve f) almost no electrochemistry response could be beheld with the NIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE, eventuating from the blocking of electron transfer by the polymeric matrix covering the entire surface of the electrode.

3.6. Effect of pH

To achieve the best condition for the electrochemical oxidation, we studied the influence of pH on the electrochemical responses of SOT in the surface of proposed sensor in buffer solution with varying pH from 2.0 to 12.0 (Fig. 6). Whereas SOT at the surface of the MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE showed two peaks, several factors affected the peak currents and peak potentials. The results showed that, both the peak potentials of SOT shifted to negatively potential values as the solution pH increased (Fig. 6). Which it was an incontestable reality that protons represented a momentous participant in the oxidation procedure of SOT at the MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE. Additionally, according to equation Epa(V) = -0.0575 pH + 1.0706, which was the relationship between the potential and pH value and with respect to the slope of 57.5 mV/pH, it could be seen that the number of protons and electrons exchanged in the oxidation mechanism was equal. Nonetheless, at higher pH of 10.0, the electrical conductivity of the sensor reduced and it likewise could result in the decreases of the electrochemical responses of SOT at the MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE. In addition, as it is seen from Fig. 6 the best Ip was appeared, at pH 10.0. Hence, pH 10.0 was chosen for all the testing.

3.7. Effect of scan rate

The influence of scan rate (υ) on the electro-oxidation of SOT was likewise examined by varying the scan rate 5 to 100 (Fig. 7(A)). The signal achieved revealed that the both peak currents increased linearly with increasing the scan rate (υ) (R² (p1) = 0.9944, R²(p2) = 0.9923), that this consequence obviously indicated an adsorption-controlled electro-oxidative process. In addition, to obtain information about the rate-determining step, Tafel plot was depicted (Fig. 7(B)). Therefore, the value electron transfer coefficient (α) was almost P1 = 0.86 and P2 = 0.9 for the irreversible electrode process.

3.8. Effect of accumulation potential and accumulation time

Accumulation time and potential is an important parameters for enhancing the sensitivity of the imprinted sensor [22]. Hence, Influences of the accumulation time and the accumulation potential were investigated in buffer solution containing 100 μM SOT by the CV method. The accumulation time was changed from 10 s to 100 s. The results showed peak current enhanced meaningfully by the increase of the accumulation time to 100 s, and after the 70 s peak current reduced so, 70 s was used in the subsequent experiments (Fig. 8). Similarly, the dependence of the accumulation potential on the peak current of 50 μM SOT from -0.8 to 0.5 V was examined. The cyclic voltammograms in Fig. 9 corroborated that the extreme peak current took place at -0.6 V. Hence, for further study the accumulation potential of -0.6 V was chosen.

3.9. Analytical application

The equipped MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE as the proposed sensor based on the primary experimental results defined described in the preceding sections was fabricated. The typical DPV of sensor using 12
Fig. 7. A) Cyclic voltammograms of MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE in B-R buffer solution (pH 10) containing 100 μM SOT at various scan rates (5–100 mV s⁻¹). The inset shows plot of peak current vs scan rate. B) Tafel plot derived from the rising part of voltammogram recorded at the scan rate 20 mV s⁻¹.

Fig. 8. Cyclic voltammograms of MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE in B-R buffer solution (pH 10) containing 100 μM SOT at various accumulation times of 10–100 s (at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹). The inset shows plot of current vs accumulation time.

Fig. 9. Cyclic voltammograms of MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE in B-R buffer solution (pH 10) containing 100 μM SOT at various accumulation potential of -0.8 to 0.6 V (at the scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹). The inset shows plot of current vs accumulation potential.
different SOT standards in the buffer solution (0.04 M, pH 10.0) is shown in Fig. 10. It could be seen from Fig. 10A that with increase the SOT concentration, enhanced peak current responses. For the concentration range of 0.1–250 μM, the linear regression equations were $I_p (\mu A) = 0.0901 C_{SOT} (\mu M) + 3.6133$ and $I_p (\mu A) = 0.1225 C_{SOT} (\mu M) + 8.004$ with correlation coefficient 0.9905 and 0.9902 (inset in Fig. 10B). The detection limit (3σ) for SOT in the lower range region was found to be 0.035 μM (based on S/N = 3). Table 1 collected some pervious methods established for the determinations detection limit and the linear dynamic range.

### Table 1

Comparison of proposed sensor with previously reported methods for detection of SOT.

| Method | Modified Electrode | Limit of detection | Linear dynamic range | Ref. |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------|
| CV     | NiFe2O4/MWCNT/GCE  | 0.09 μM            | 0.5–1000 μM          | [1]  |
| Amperometric | Copper nanoparticles/CPE | 1.8 μM | 100–1283 μM | [8] |
| DPV    | GNP/CPE           | 0.025 μM           | 10–0.075 μM          | [9]  |
| SPE@HPLC-FLD | - | 70 ng/mL. | 0.07–5.75 μg/mL. | [6]  |
| SPE@LC-UV | - | 2.5 ng/mL. | 15–25 ng/mL. | [31] |
| SPE@HPLC-UV | - | 18 ng/mL. | 25–1000 ng/mL. | [6]  |
| RAM@LC-UV | - | 1 ng/mL. | 5–500 ng/mL. | [32] |
| IP-HPLC-FLD | - | - | 20–1500 ng/mL. | [33] |
| FFTCV | - | 0.95 pg/mL. | 3–14900 pg/mL. | [34] |
| MISPE@HPLC-UVA | - | 10 ng/mL. | 0.05–100 μg/mL. | [35] |
| MWCNT-MMIPs@UADSPME@HPLC-UV | 0.31 ng/mL | 0.001–2 μg/mL | [36] |
| DPV    | MIP/AuNPs/GQD-SH/SPCE | 0.035 μM | 0.1–250 μM | This work |

### Table 2

The selectivity of the modified electrode.

| Species | Tolerance limit | $I_p_1 (\mu A)$ | $I_p_2 (\mu A)$ |
|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Sotalol | 100 μM         | 11.6           | 20.25          |
| Captopril | 100 nM       | 11.6           | 20.41          |
| Glucose | 70 nM          | 11.3           | 20.13          |
| Cystamine | 70 nM        | 11.8           | 20.29          |
| Urea    | 100 nM         | 11.9           | 20.62          |
| Alanine | 70 nM          | 12.3           | 20.79          |
| Ripaglinide | 100 nM    | 11.9           | 20.68          |
| Terazosin | 100 nM      | 11.8           | 20.57          |
| Valproate | 100 nM      | 11.2           | 20.39          |
| Cysteine | 50 nM          | 12.2           | 20.86          |

* Restricted Access Material Liquid Chromatography.
* Ion-Pair High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Fluorescence Detection.
* Fast Fourier Transform with Continuous Cyclic Voltammetry.
* Molecularly Imprinted Solid Phase Extraction.
range of SOT [1,8,9].

3.10. Interference studies

In order to assess that the presented sensor was selective to detect SOT, under the optimum conditions the sensor response was examined by various potentially interference species. Interferences from similar compound such as captopril, glucose, cystamine, urea, alanine, ripaglinide, terazosin, valproate and cysteine on the surface of the sensor at the amount of 100 μM for apiece interference in the attendance of 5 μM SOT were used as indicators for the selectivity of the sensor in comparison with the estimate of SOT alone (Table 2 and Fig. 11). The results suggested that the proposed MIP-sensor strategy had high specificity for SOT detection.

3.11. Reproducibility of the sensor

The reproducibility of the sensor was an important factor affecting the analytical performance. To appraise the reproducibility of the presented sensor, one electrode was prepared independently with the proposed system and the electrode was stored in the laboratory and performed every day two repetitive measurements in the presence of 100 μM SOT for 14 days. The prepared sensores preserved 97% (for P1), 97.4 % (for P2) of its initials response after 7 days and 93.9% (for P1), 94.4% (for P2) after 2 week, which represented the good life time and reproducibility of the sensor for SOT analysis (Fig. 12).

3.12. Analysis of food samples

3.12.1. Analysis of real sample

To evaluate the applicability of the invented sensor for real sample analysis, the concentration of SOT in real samples was studied through means of DPV measurement and via the standard addition method. Two kinds of common real samples included tablet and human serum containing different concentrations of SOT (10, 20, 30 μM) were investigated. The recovery was specified in the range of 97.3%–103.06 % and RSD was in the range from 1.23% to 4.3%, the results as summarized are shown in Table 3. Also, Table 3 shows the results of the comparison of the differential pulse voltammetry method as a proposed method and the high performance liquid chromatography method for statistical estimation.

4. Conclusion

Briefly, electrochemical sensors offer a crucial analytical instrument as demand for selective, sensitive, and fast determination of analytes. This work showed the application of a SPCE modified with AuNPs, SH-GQD and MIP for the determination of SOT. Utilizing of the N-GQDs as a sub layer in preparation of the nanocomposite can create more surface area for immobilization of further substrate on the modified electrode surface. Also, the AuNPs have been immobilized onto the SH groups of GQDs through bonding formation of Au–S. This bonding formation in resulted the acceleration of the electron transfer kinetics, improved the electrochemical signal, and also increased the adsorption of the SOT in surface the sensor. Drawbacks associated to MIP processes, such as long times for bulk polymerization and long elution steps for analyte removal from the polymeric tissue, are avoided by using a fabricated electrochemical sensor with stability, sensitivity, selectivity, simplicity, good repeatability and Inexpensive. Also, this electrochemical sensor had a low detection limit, wide linear range, and it was ascertain to be an efficient, tool to detect SOT in real samples with satisfactory recoveries.
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Table 3

Detection of SOT in real samples (n = 3).

| Sample     | Added (μM) | Found by electrode (μM) | Found by HPLC (μM) | RSD% electrode | RSD% HPLC | Recovery% |
|------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Blood serum| 10         | 9.86                    | 9.83               | 3.64           | 2.73      | 98.6      |
|            | 20         | 20.34                   | 19.46              | 2.96           | 3.84      | 101.7     |
|            | 30         | 30.73                   | 29.07              | 2.43           | 3.17      | 103.06    |
| Tablet     | 10         | 9.73                    | 10.16              | 2.13           | 4.06      | 97.3      |
|            | 20         | 19.61                   | 19.32              | 3.23           | 3.92      | 98.05     |
|            | 30         | 20.11                   | 19.46              | 2.69           | 2.57      | 100.3     |

Fig. 12. The reproducibility of the modified electrode within 16 days, in B-R buffer solution (pH 10) containing 100 μM SOT.
