Improving the treatment of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia by optimizing the use of 70-year-old drugs
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In the current issue of Haematologica, Larsen, Schmiegelow and colleagues have provided clear and convincing evidence that the addition of a relatively low dose of thioguanine (≤12.5 mg/m²/day) can significantly increase the amount of thioguanine nucleotides incorporated into DNA (DNA-TG) of normal leukocytes in patients with ALL, when compared to treatment with only mercaptopurine in historical controls or in the same patients prior to the addition of low-dose thioguanine. As depicted in Figure 1, mercaptopurine requires intracellular metabolism by multiple enzymes to produce thioguanine nucleotides, whereas thioguanine is converted directly to thioguanine nucleotides. The deoxy thioguanine triphosphates are then available for incorporation into DNA, which is thought to be the principal mechanism of mercaptopurine’s antileukemic effects.

Mercaptopurine is a mainstay of combination chemotherapy for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), which is curative for over 90% of children and ~70% of adults, whereas thioguanine is not widely used to treat ALL. Mercaptopurine was the first antileukemic agent for which pharmacogenomics was shown to be an important determinant of the optimal dosage, with those inheriting non-functional variants of thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT) more likely to develop dose-limiting hematologic toxicity if treated with conventional doses of mercaptopurine (75 mg/m²/day). In the 1990s, mercaptopurine became one of the first medications for which preemptive genotyping for common variants (in TPMT) were used to determine the optimal dosage, and 20 years later this strategy was expanded to include testing for inactivating variants in NUDT15 (nucleotide diphosphatase nudix hydrolase 15). Non-functional alleles of TPMT are the primary determinants of mercaptopurine toxicity in people of European and African ancestry, whereas NUDT15 variants are the primary determinants in people of Asian and Native American ancestry. Patients who inherit two non-functional alleles for either of these enzymes must be treated with only 5-10% of the conventional dose of mercaptopurine to avoid toxicity, whereas for heterozygous patients it is recommended reducing the starting dose by about 50%. Even with these dose reductions, these enzyme-deficient patients maintain higher average erythrocyte thioguanine nucleotide levels than homozygous wild-type patients treated with full doses of mercaptopurine and have comparable cure rates. It is unclear whether TPMT-deficient or heterozygous patients require supplemental doses of thioguanine to achieve DNA-TG in the target range and, if so, what dosage of supplemental thioguanine should be given.

As a complement to preemptive genotyping, monitoring the concentration of thioguanine nucleotides in erythrocytes is commonly used to identify patients who accumulate excessive levels of thioguanine nucleotides or patients who have low thioguanine nucleotide levels due to non-compliance with daily oral mercaptopurine therapy. Although measuring thioguanine nucleotides in erythrocytes is clinically useful, this is not measuring the active drug in the target tissue (leukemia cells) nor active drug at the presumed site of action (thioguanine incorporated into the DNA). It will be important to determine in a large prospective clinical trial whether measuring thioguanine incorporated into DNA is indeed a better metric of mercaptopurine treatment than measuring thioguanine nucleotides in erythrocytes, because measuring thioguanine in DNA requires a more complex assay, which may not be widely available. Larsen et al. report that such a clinical trial (ALLTogether-1) is ongoing. It is interesting that in the current report, Larsen et al. did not find any correlation between median erythrocyte thioguanine nucleotides and median thioguanine incorporated into leukocyte DNA (Online Supplementary Figure S6C in the article by Larsen et al.).

Although it is not known how closely DNA incorporated into DNA of normal leukocytes reflects thioguanine incorporated into DNA of primary ALL cells in patients, it is reasonable to assume this is a better surrogate than measuring thioguanine nucleotides in the cytosol of erythrocytes, in part because only the triucleotide is incorporated into DNA, whereas inactive mono- and di-phosphate nucleotides are measured in erythrocytes. Measuring thioguanine incorporated into the DNA of primary leukemia cells in patients would be the ideal metric, but this is not feasible because patients are generally in complete remission before mercaptopurine therapy is initiated and thus there are no leukemia cells to assess. It is also not known whether the incorporation of thioguanine into DNA of normal leukocytes has a uniform relation to thioguanine incorporated into DNA of leukemia cells of different molecular and lineage subtypes of ALL.

These limitations notwithstanding, measuring thioguanine incorporated into DNA (DNA-TG) of normal leukocytes offers a potential advance for optimizing mercaptopurine treatment of ALL. A major unknown is what level of thioguanine incorporation into DNA is indicative of optimal treatment with mercaptopurine, which will require assessment of the relation between thioguanine in leukocyte DNA and event-free survival in a large enough cohort of uniformly treated patients so that all relevant covariates can be included in a multivariate analysis. It is also not known whether the level of increase in DNA-TG documented by Larsen et al. translates into an improvement in event-free survival, although Larsen et al. speculate that this could reduce the relapse hazard rate by as much as 59%, based on their prior research reporting a relapse hazard ratio of 0.81 per 100 fmol/μg DNA increase (95% confidence interval: 0.67-0.98; P=0.029).
The final issue that will need further study in a larger cohort of patients is whether the addition of this small dose of thioguanine is associated with additional toxicity during ALL therapy, as thioguanine has been associated with veno-occlusive disease in about 20% of children with ALL, and the risk with low-dose thioguanine when given concomitantly with mercaptopurine is unknown.

Nowadays, we are faced with the challenge of pushing the cure rate of childhood ALL beyond 90% while also improving the quality of life for those we cure. Improving the cure rate from 20% to 90% over the past six decades has been largely achieved by optimizing the use of conventional chemotherapy, such as mercaptopurine, not by the development of new antileukemic agents. Most of these improvements have been incremental in nature, but their cumulative effects have produced remarkable progress. The work of Schmiegelow and colleagues may offer yet another small step toward maximizing the effects of medications that we have been using for many decades. Much hope and hype have been raised around the development of more targeted therapy for cancer, yet when available these targeted agents, such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors, are being added to and not replacing conventional chemotherapy in treating ALL. We would be wise not to abandon efforts to further improve the use of these older anticancer agents and avoid placing all our hope on so-called “targeted chemotherapy”. And it should not go unnoticed that we continue to expand our knowledge of how best to use anticancer agents developed 70 years ago, suggesting that in the coming decades we may still be optimizing the use of both targeted and conventional chemotherapy as we work to push the ALL cure rate closer to 100%.
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CD33 is a highly sought-after target in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), with gemtuzumab ozogamicin (GO), a CD33-antibody conjugated to a DNA-damaging cytotoxin currently approved for the treatment of CD33+ adult and pediatric AML.1,2 The levels of expression of CD33 on the cell surface vary significantly between patients (up to 2 log-fold) and have been shown to be associated with disease characteristics as well as response to GO.3-5 However, as a biological threshold of CD33 expression that correlates with response to GO is lacking for incorporation into prospective trials to guide CD33-directed therapeutics, there is an urgent and unmet need to better define genomic variants that might predict response to GO.

It has recently been reported that there is a splicing single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in CD33, rs12459419 (C>T, Ala14Val), which results in skipping of exon 2 and thus loss of the most immunogenic domain of CD33 – IgV. Given that the IgV domain is recognized by GO, this nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in CD33, rs12459419, might predict response to GO.

Time to reconsider CD33 single nucleotide polymorphism in the response to gemtuzumab ozogamicin
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