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Abstract

Visual Entity Linking (VEL) is a task to link regions of images with their corresponding entities in Knowledge Bases (KBs), which is beneficial for many computer vision tasks such as image retrieval, image caption, and visual question answering. While existing tasks in VEL either rely on textual data to complement a multi-modal linking or only link objects with general entities, which fails to perform named entity linking on large amounts of image data. In this paper, we consider a purely Visual-based Named Entity Linking (VNEL) task, where the input only consists of an image. The task is to identify objects of interest (i.e., visual entity mentions) in images and link them to corresponding named entities in KBs. Since each entity often contains rich visual and textual information in KBs, we thus propose three different sub-tasks, i.e., visual to visual entity linking (V2VEL), visual to textual entity linking (V2TEL), and visual to visual-textual entity linking (V2VTEL). In addition, we present a high-quality human-annotated visual person linking dataset, named WIKIPerson. Based on WIKIPerson, we establish a series of baseline algorithms for the solution of each sub-task, and conduct experiments to verify the quality of the proposed datasets and the effectiveness of baseline methods. We envision this work to be helpful for soliciting more works regarding VNEL in the future. The codes and datasets are publicly available at https://github.com/ict-bigdatalab/VNEL.

1 Introduction

An in-depth understanding of visual content in an image is fundamental for many computer vision tasks. VEL (Tilak et al., 2017; Maigrot et al., 2016) is a task to put the image understanding to the entity-level. For example, given an image of the debate between Trump and Hillary, the goal of VEL is not only to recognize the region of Trump and Hillary, but also to link them to the correct entity in KBs (e.g., Wikidata (Vrandečić and Krötzsch, 2014), DBpedia (Auer et al., 2007), or YAGO (Fabian et al., 2007)). Just as the significance of textual entity linking for many NLP tasks such as Information Extraction and Information Retrieval (Sevgili et al., 2022), visual tasks, such as image retrieval (Datta et al., 2008) and image caption (Tariq and Foroosh, 2017), would also benefit from entity-level fine-grained comprehension of images.

In recent years, VEL has been given increasing attention. Early works (Tilak et al., 2017; Weegar et al., 2014) try to link objects in images with general entities, e.g., ‘Person’ and ‘Suit’, in KBs as is described in Figure 1(b). Apparently, these works are restricted to the coarse-level entity linking and fail to distinguish objects within the same class. Besides, there are also some works that make use of deep image understanding to link objects with named entities in KBs (Müller-Budack et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2022; Dost et al., 2020; Gan et al., 2021). However, they generally require detailed entity mention information in text, which plays a vital role via multi-modal entity linking as shown...

Figure 1: Different categories of Entity Linking. VNEL is a task to identify images individually without any text input and link visual mentions to specific named entities in KBs.
Table 1: The public related dataset of WIKIPerson. $T^m$, $T^e$, $V^m$, and $V^e$ represent textual mention, textual entity, visual mention, visual entity, and visual information, respectively.

| Dataset                  | Multi-modal | Entity-aware | Entity-labeled | Modality | KB               | Source       | Lang | Size   |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------|------------------|--------------|------|--------|
| AIDA(Hoffart et al., 2011) | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $T^m$    | Wikipedia        | News         | en   | 1K docs|
| Flicker30K(Young et al., 2014) | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $T^m$    | social media     | News         | en   | 30k images|
| BreakingNews(Ramista et al., 2017) | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $T^m$    | News             | Freebase     | en   | 100k images|
| SnapCaptionsKB(Moon et al., 2018) | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $T^m$    | Social Media     | Wikipedia    | en   | 12K captions|
| WIKIDiverse(Wang et al., 2022)               | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $V^m$    | Wikipedia        | News         | en   | 8K captions|

WIKIPerson               | ✓           | ✓            | ✓              | $V^m$    | $T^e$ + $V^e$    | Wikipedia    | News | 50k Images|

In Figure 1(c). We argue that all the above tasks fail to process the named entity linking well for images without any text annotations, which is often the case in social media platforms.

In this work, we consider a purely Visual-based Named Entity Linking (VNEL) task, which is described in Figure 1(d). Given an image without textual description, the goal is to link the visual mention in the image with the whole image as the context to the corresponding named entity in KBs. Considering the format of entity in KBs, such as textual descriptions, images, and other structured attributes, we further introduce three sub-tasks according to the type of entity context, i.e., the visual to visual entity linking (V2VEL), visual to textual entity linking (V2TEL), and visual to visual-textual entity linking (V2VTEL). We believe these tasks could put forward higher requirements and more detailed granularity for image understanding, cross-modal alignment, and multi-modal fusion.

Following the definition of VNEL, currently public available EL datasets may not fit for our research, as they either only focus on textual modality or lack of detailed annotations for entity information in each image. As a result, we release a new dataset called WIKIPerson. The WIKIPerson is a high-quality human-annotated visual person linking dataset based on Wikipedia. Unlike previously commonly-used datasets in EL, the mention in WIKIPerson is only an image containing the PERSON entity with its bounding box. The corresponding label identifies an unique entity in Wikipedia. For each entity in Wikipedia, we provide textual descriptions as well as images to satisfy the need of three sub-tasks.

In the experiments, we benchmark a series of baseline models on WIKIPerson under both zero-shot and fine-tuned settings. In detail, we adopt a universal contrastive learning framework to learn a robust and effective representation for both mentions and entities. Experimental results show that existing models are able to obtain a reasonably good performance on different VNEL tasks, but there is still a large room for further enhancements.

2 The Visual Named Entity Linking Task

This section first presents a formal definition of the task. Then we introduce the complete building procedure of the human-annotated dataset, which covers a wide variety of Wikipedia person entities for further research. Finally, an in-depth data analysis will be elaborated on in detail.

2.1 Definition of VNEL and Three Sub-tasks

VNEL takes an image as input and extracts bounding boxes around objects, and then links them to entities in KBs. More precisely, given an image $I$, all visual mentions $V^m$, which are regions of the image, are firstly recognized with a bounding box. Then, all visual mentions $V^m$ are linked with the corresponding entity $e$ in knowledge base $E$. The visualized process of the VNEL task is shown in Figure 2, which often consists of two stages, namely the visual mention detection stage and the visual entity linking stage. In this work, we follow existing works (Mulang’ et al., 2020; Sil et al., 2018) to pay attention to the visual entity linking.
Generally, each entity $e_i \in E$ is often characterized with rich textual and visual descriptions, and each modality of the description can provide sufficient information for visual entity linking. To make the task more clearly presented, we further decompose the VNEL task into three sub-tasks according to the type of description used for the entity. In the first place, only the visual description $V_{e_i}$ of the entity can be used in the visual entity linking stage, which we denote as the V2VEL sub-task. The core of V2VEL is to match two visual objects. It is worth noting that entities in KB may contain more than one image. To simply this, we take the first image of $e_i$ as $V_{e_i}$, and leave the multiple images per entity as the future work. In the second place, only the textual description $T_{e_i}$ of the entity is used in the visual entity linking stage, which we denote as the V2TEL sub-task. The V2TEL task aims to evaluate the ability in image-text matching, central to cross-modal entity linking. Finally, both the visual description and the textual description $(V_{e_i}, T_{e_i})$ of the entity could be employed to link the visual mention, which we denote as the V2VTEL sub-task. The V2VTEL task could leverage both textual and visual modality to complement each other in linking visual mentions.

Formally, let $e_i$ represent the $i^{th}$ entity in KB with corresponding visual description $V_{e_i}$ or textual description $T_{e_i}$, and the whole image can be seen as visual context $V^c$. As a result, three sub-tasks of the VNEL can be formulated as the following respectively:

\[
e^*(m) = \arg \max_{e_i \in E} \Phi^\alpha (V^m, V^c | V^e),
\]

\[
e^*(m) = \arg \max_{e_i \in E} \Phi^\beta (V^m, T^c | V^e),
\]

\[
e^*(m) = \arg \max_{e_i \in E} \Phi^\gamma (V^m, (V^c, T^c) | V^e),
\]

where $\Phi$ represents the value of the score function between a mention and an entity.

### 2.2 Dataset Setups of WIKIPerson

To facilitate research on VNEL, we introduce WIKIPerson, a benchmark dataset designed for linking person in images with named entities in KB. The dataset building process is shown in Figure 3, which consists of three main steps. We firstly select the data source to build the input image collection, and then filter and clean the collection to obtain a high-quality dataset. Finally, we annotate each image by several experienced annotators. In the following, we will describe each step in detail.

#### 2.2.1 Data Source Collection

For the source of data, we follow existing works (Ramisa et al., 2017; Tran et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Biten et al., 2019) to use News collections, since the content of images in News collection often contains many named entities at a higher degree of specificity, e.g., specific people, which convey key information regarding the events presented in the images. In this paper, we choose VisualNews\footnote{https://github.com/FuxiaoLiu/VisualNews-Repository}, which has the largest data scale with 1.2 million image-text pairs among them as the original data source. In addition, VisualNews covers diverse news topics, consisting of more than one million images accompanied by news articles, image captions, author information, and other metadata. All these additional metadata could help us in the subsequent entity annotation procedure. However, only images and annotated mentions with bounding boxes are available in all VNEL sub-tasks.

For the knowledge base, we employ the commonly-used Wikipedia as back-end, consisting of a wide range and abundant information of entities. Specifically, we crawl the first image of each entity from wiki commons as the visual description and the text information from Wikipedia as the textual description, respectively.

#### 2.2.2 Data Filter and Clean

In this work, we pay our attention to PERSON mentions in images since person is the most common named entity, and leave the research on other entity types for future work. For this purpose, we keep only images with PERSON mentions from the news collection, and remove non-PERSON entities from the KB. Specifically, for each image-caption pair in the news collection, we take Spacy to analyze the text caption and filter out the corre-
Figure 4: Examples of the WIKIPerson dataset. Left: An image and its mention’s bounding box with WikId, which represents an unique entity in Wikipedia. Right: The ground truth entity in KB with both visual and textual information.

Table 2: Statistics of WIKIPerson. \#E\textsubscript{cov} and \#M\textsubscript{avg} denotes number of covered entities and average number of mentions per image, respectively.

2.2.3 Data Annotation
The primary goal of WIKIPerson is to link the PERSON mention in the image to the correct Wikipedia entity. As a consequence, the annotators need to identify the person mention and label each mention with the corresponding Wikipedia entity in the form of a Wikidata id.\footnote{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikidata#Concept}

In the earlier step, Spacy is used to identify the caption of origin image-text pairs to extract possible PERSON entities. MTCNN is adopted to recognize the faces, supplying bounding boxes in the picture. So the annotators only need to check the faces in the bounding box and choose the corresponding entity from the results generated by searching the keywords of PERSON entities detected in the caption. In this way, we can largely reduce the labor in labeling the entity of each mention. Mentions that do not have corresponding entities in Wikipedia will be filtered in the procedure.

In the process of data annotation, we designed end-to-end labeling web demos to facilitate manual annotation. The provided information on the website includes news images, captions, news content, and possible candidate entities with pictures and descriptions to help the annotator make judgments. All annotators have linguistic knowledge and are instructed with detailed annotation principles. The annotators need to link the mention with each bounding box to the correct entity in Wikipedia. Finally, after the labeling, we can get the dataset full of the image which comprises several mentions with each bounding box and corresponding entity WikId.\footnote{More examples from WIKIPerson are shown in Appendix.}

2.3 Dataset Analysis
2.3.1 Basic Statistics
Table 2 shows the statistics of the WIKIPerson in detail. The dataset contains a total of 48k different news images, covering 13k out of 120K (i.e., |E| \(\approx 120K\)) PERSON named entities, each of which corresponds to a celebrity in Wikipedia. Many entities appear many times in the data, which ensures that entities can be fully learned. Unlike many datasets in traditional EL, the image of the PERSON named entity usually focuses on a single person in the news except for the scene such as group photo, debate, etc. As a result, the average amount of the mention per image is about 1.08 and only about 3k images contain more than one mention.

2.3.2 Entity Distribution
The WIKIPerson comprises diverse PERSON named entity types such as politicians, singers, actresses, sports players, and so on, from different news agencies. These entities do not belong to a
We take a widely-used bi-encoder contrastive learning framework to learn robust and effective representations of both visual mentions and entities. Given a visual mention $V^m$ and a candidate entity $e_i$, which is accompanied by visual description $V_e$, and/or textual description $T_e$, the framework aims to produce a relevance score between the mention and the entity. The overall structure of the framework is shown in Figure 6, which consists of two major components, namely the mention encoder and the entity encoder. These two encoders aim to extract features as embeddings $f^m$ for the input image and $f^e$ for the entity. For each encoder, we directly take existing pre-trained models as the implementation. Inspired by existing works (Gao et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021b) in applying pre-trained model, we add a feed-forward layer to transform the vector generated from the encoder to the task-oriented embedding space. After that, a residual connection (He et al., 2016) is added to obtain $F^m$ and $F^e_i$, followed by using L2 norm and dot-product to calculate the similarity score.

$$f^m = \text{Encoder}^m(V^m), f^e_i = \text{Encoder}^e(e_i)$$
$$F^m = f^m + \text{ReLU}(f^mW^m_1)W^m_2$$
$$F^e_i = f^e_i + \text{ReLU}(f^e_iW^e_1)W^e_2$$
$$e^*(m) = \arg \max_{e_i \in E} F^m \cdot F^e_i$$

Where $W^m_1$ and $W^m_2$ are learnable parameters for mention representation learning, and $W^e_1$ and $W^e_2$ are learnable parameters for entity representation learning.

Since each sub-task of VNEL have different types of inputs, we thus implement each baseline with different encoders:

- **V2VEL Encoders**: We adopt ResNet (Szegedy et al., 2017) in a single-modal way following (Schroff et al., 2015), which has been pre-trained on the vggface2 (Cao et al., 2018) to extract visual features. Here, both mention and entity encoder use ResNet and share the parameters.

- **V2TEL Encoders**: We directly take CLIP (Radford et al., 2021), which has been pre-trained with a large-scale image-text dataset, to implement the mention encoder and the entity encoder. For entity encoder, we apply two types of textual information about entity, i.e., entity name (CLIP_N) and entity name with description (CLIP_N_D), to study the influence of the entity’s meta info.
### Table 3: Experimental results of baselines among three sub-tasks under both zero-shot and fine-tuned settings.

| Sub-Task | Model | Recall @1 | Recall @3 | Recall @5 | Recall @10 | MRR @3 | MRR @5 | MRR @10 |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|---------|
| zero-shot | V2VEL | ResNet    | 0.3097    | 0.4053    | 0.4479     | 0.5076 | 0.3518 | 0.3616  | 0.3695  |
|          | V2TEL | CLIP_N    | 0.4393    | 0.5673    | 0.6145     | 0.6724 | 0.4964 | 0.5071  | 0.5149  |
|          |       | CLIP_N_D  | 0.4586    | 0.5872    | 0.6323     | 0.6827 | 0.5158 | 0.5260  | 0.5328  |
|          |       | ResNet+CLIP_N | 0.5644 | 0.6665   | 0.6981     | 0.7309 | 0.6101 | 0.6174  | 0.6217  |
|          | V2TEL | CLIP_N_D  | 0.5892    | 0.6859    | 0.7102     | 0.7440 | 0.6327 | 0.6383  | 0.6429  |
|          |       | CLIP_N + ResNet | 0.5667 | 0.6618   | 0.6893     | 0.7072 | 0.6095 | 0.6158  | 0.6184  |
|          |       | CLIP_N_D + ResNet | 0.5895 | 0.6794   | 0.7066     | 0.7235 | 0.6302 | 0.6365  | 0.6389  |
| fine-tune | V2VEL | ResNet    | 0.4212    | 0.5530    | 0.5832     | 0.6428 | 0.4701 | 0.4821  | 0.4899  |
|          | V2TEL | CLIP_N    | 0.5527    | 0.6860    | 0.7250     | 0.7756 | 0.6126 | 0.6215  | 0.6285  |
|          |       | CLIP_N_D  | 0.5946    | 0.7180    | 0.7550     | 0.8022 | 0.6550 | 0.6634  | 0.6697  |
|          |       | ResNet+CLIP_N | 0.7171 | 0.8115   | 0.8385     | 0.8634 | 0.7600 | 0.7661  | 0.7696  |
|          | V2TEL | CLIP_N_D  | 0.7301    | 0.8242    | 0.8512     | 0.8798 | 0.7714 | 0.7776  | 0.7815  |
|          |       | CLIP_N + ResNet | 0.7180 | 0.7921   | 0.8082     | 0.8177 | 0.7502 | 0.7539  | 0.7552  |
|          |       | CLIP_N_D + ResNet | 0.7370 | 0.8178   | 0.8347     | 0.8445 | 0.7739 | 0.7778  | 0.7792  |

- **V2VEL Encoders**: We combine encoders of V2VEL and V2TEL to implement the V2VEL-T. Specifically, we take a simple but effective strategy that uses one model to recall Top-K results and the other to re-rank. For example, ResNet + CLIP means recall with the ResNet first and re-rank Top-K results with CLIP again. We also test different combinations about the order of V2VEL encoders and V2TEL encoders, whose results are listed in Section 4.1.

**4 Experiments**

During experiments, we split images in WIKIPerson into train, dev, and test set with the ratio of 6:2:2. Besides, to avoid the bias of popular entities affecting the evaluation, each named entity appears at most once in test set. For evaluation, we report two widely-used metrics of Top-k retrieve: Recall@K (K=1, 3, 5, 10) and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR@K, K=3, 5, 10).  

### 4.1 Results

All results are summarized in Table 3. Since all the encoders we adopt are pre-trained and can be directly applied in each task, we thus report both zero-shot and fine-tuned performances to show the effectiveness of all baselines.

**Zero-shot v.s. fine-tune.** In zero-shot, we directly use the embedding generated from the encoder as the feature. As we can see, ResNet has achieved a reasonable good performance for R@10 (i.e., 0.5076), which demonstrates the effectiveness of the pre-trained model. Moreover, we can see that the CLIP, which is pre-trained with about 400M image-caption pairs, has achieved better performances against ResNet with either CLIP_N or CLIP_N_D across all metrics. When combining ResNet with CLIP, we observe a distinct improvement for all combination, which demonstrate the effectiveness in combining both visual description and textual description in VNEL. While comparing the zero-shot with fine-tuned baselines, all models have obtained significant improvements, e.g., an average improvement of MRR@10 is 0.13. The improvements verify the quality of dataset and demonstrates that the WIKIPerson could significantly boost the ability of visual named entity linking.

**Sub-tasks of VNEL.** We focus on the below part of table 3 where all models are fine-tuned on WIKIPerson.

1) The V2VEL sub-task: As the most funda-
mental part concerning VNEL, the ResNet extracts features for both visual mentions and visual descriptions of entities, and matches them in visual feature space. However, it obtains generally low absolute numbers in different evaluation metrics, e.g., 0.4212 on R@1, which leaves a large room for improvement. A possible reason is that the image of an entity in KB are often earlier pictures which show very different state (e.g., age and occasion) with entities appeared in news articles.

2) The V2TEL sub-task: CLIP obtains higher performance compared to ResNet by matching the visual mention with textual descriptions of the entity. Besides, these results show that the cross-modal matching between the image and the text is very powerful in linking images with entities. Moreover, by comparing the two different types of textual information about the entity, we can see that entity description could provide useful information in distinguishing disambiguate entities since CLIP_N_D outperforms CLIP_N over all metrics.

3) The V2VTEL sub-task: By combining the textual information and visual information of each entity, the performance could be further boosted. For example, the relative improvement of ResNet+CLIP_N_D over ResNet and CLIP_N_D against R@1 is about 73% and 23%, respectively. These results verify that both textual and visual modality of the entity could complement each other in linking visual mentions with named entities. Moreover, as for different order of combination between ResNet and CLIP, we can see that each method could obtain a relatively close performance, which confirms the effectiveness of the strategy in combining the V2VEL method and the V2TEL method.

4.2 Qualitative Analysis

To better understand baseline methods among different sub-tasks, we show several cases in Figure 7. The input image is on the left, and the top 3 predicted results are partitioned into two rows corresponding to different baselines. The entity with a green border is the ground truth entity.

The first case of Figure 7 is a picture of a famous American golfer named Tiger Woods. ResNet could identify the correct entity, and other returned results have a similar face to the input image. CLIP_N_D also returned the ground truth entity at the second position in the top-3 results, and all three candidates are professional golfers. This shows that only text descriptions may unable to disambiguate between the correct entity and irrelevant entities.

Analogously, the second case is an image about Bill Clinton speaking at his foundation. ResNet links it to the entity "Andy Gill", which looks very similar to Clinton. While CLIP_N_D correctly predicts the ground truth entity in the first position.
and all returned entities are related to Clinton. This verifies that CLIP_N_D can learn high-level association between image mention and entity meta-info.

The last case is an image of a famous Chinese tennis sports player named Li Na. We can see that the image has complicated backgrounds, and both ResNet and CLIP_N_D cannot link the mention with the ground truth entity in top-3 returned results. This motivates the need for focused research on building effective VNEL models.

From all the above cases, it is clearly presented that ResNet pays more attention to the pixel-level matching, and CLIP learns high-level semantic connection between mentions and entities. However, the dynamic nature of the input images highlights the difficulty of the task, especially for entities with outdated pictures. We believe this work could pave the way for better visual entity linking.

5 Related Work

Entity Linking. There is extensive research on EL, which serves as a classic NLP task. With the help of large-scale pre-train language models (Devlin et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019), several recent deep learning methods (Mulang’ et al., 2020; Yamada et al., 2019; De Cao et al., 2020) achieve 90%+ accuracy on AIDA (Hoffart et al., 2011), which is a commonly used high-quality robust EL dataset. However, as mentioned in (Cao et al., 2020), it seems that the current methods have already torched the task ceiling. As a result, many more challenging EL-related tasks are formulated. For example, zero-shot entity linking (Logeswaran et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019), engaging other features like global coherence across all entities in a document, NIL prediction, joining MD and ED steps together, or providing completely end-to-end solutions to address emerging entities is rapidly evolving (Sevgili et al., 2022).

Multi-modal Entity Linking. Recently, Multi-modal Entity Linking (MEL) (Moon et al., 2018) task has also been proposed for consideration. Given a text with images attached, MEL uses both textual and visual information to map an ambiguous mention in the text to an entity in the KBs. (Moon et al., 2018) proves that image information helps identify the mention in social media for the fuzzy and short text. Furthermore, (Adjali et al., 2020) transfer the scene to Twitter and perform MEL on Twitter users. (Zhang et al., 2021a) proposes an attention-based structure to eliminate distracting information from irrelevant images and builds a multi-source Social Media multi-modal dataset. (Wang et al., 2022) builds a multi-modal Entity Linking Dataset with Diversified Contextual Topics and Entity Types. However, for all those works, the text input plays a vital part, and the visual input only serves as a complementary role to the text.

Multi-modal Dataset. At the same time, our work is also related to the multi-modal image-text datasets, which is also a hot issue in recent years. Flicker30k (Young et al., 2014) annotates 30k image-caption pairs from Flicker with five descriptive sentences per image, such as “a man is wearing a tie.” In addition, MSCOCO caption (Chen et al., 2015) scale up the size with over one and a half million captions describing over 330000 images. However, the caption in all these datasets is descriptive sentences and non-entity aware. As a result, some work has started to build a news-related dataset for entity-aware image caption tasks. For example, (Ramisa et al., 2017) focus on the news website and have crawled 100k image-caption pairs. (Biten et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020) expand the size of the dataset. Nevertheless, the detailed entity information is neither annotated nor linked to the KBs.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

To tackle the limitation that previous visual entity linking either rely on textual data to complement a multi-modal linking or only link objects with general entities, we introduce a purely Visual-based Named Entity Linking task, where the input only contains the image. The goal of this task is to identify objects of interest in images and link them to corresponding named entities in KBs. Considering the rich multi-modal contexts of each entity in KBs, we propose three different sub-tasks, i.e. the V2VEL sub-task, the V2TEL sub-task, and the V2VTEL sub-task. Moreover, we build a high-quality human-annotated visual person linking dataset, named WIKIPerson, which aims at recognizing persons in images and linking them to Wikipedia. Based on WIKIPerson, we introduce several baseline algorithms for each sub-task. According to the experimental results, the WIKIPerson is a challenging dataset worth further explorations. In the future, we intend to build a larger scale VNEL dataset with diverse types and adopt more advanced models to achieve higher accuracy.
Limitations

Low extensibility of the entity information. In the V2VEL sub-task, each entity in the KB can have more than one attached image. However, in our paper, only the first image is selected for convenience, which will inevitably omit additional information. At the same time, in the V2TEL sub-task, we only use the short descriptive sentences of the entity. How to integrate longer unstructured text information is also a problem worth exploring.
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A Baselines Details

Parameters Setting. In the architecture, we set the number of layers in the feed-forward as 2 and the dimensions are [512*1024, 1024*512] both for mention and entity in the two models. The initial learning rate is set to 2e-4 for ResNet and 2e-6 for CLIP. Images are all resized to 224 × 224 pixels according to the common size and textual information is truncated to 77 words. The batch sizes for ResNet and clip are both set to 64. All the methods are implemented in Pytorch (Paszke et al., 2019) and optimized by the AdamW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2017) algorithm.

Experimental setup. We train our models on two NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPU. We train each model with much to 20 epochs. For inference, we use Faiss to achieve fast recall in large-scale embedding space with about 500ms per instance.

B Evaluation Metrics

All evaluation and empirical analysis are reported by two widely-used metrics of Top-k retrieve: Recall and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR). The final result is the average score among all the cases.

\[
\text{Recall@K} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{Q} 1_{qk_i}(gt_i) \\
\text{MRR@K} = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{\text{rank}_i}
\]

where \(1_A(x)\) denotes a 0,1 valued indicator function, \(qk_i, gt_i\) are the Top-k result and the ground truth of query i. MRR is a measure to evaluate systems that “Where is the first relevant item”. For a single query, the reciprocal rank is \(\frac{1}{\text{rank}}\) where rank is the position of the highest-ranked answer. If no correct answer was returned in the query, then the reciprocal rank is 0.

C More Examples from WIKIPerson

To demonstrate more details of our dataset, we pick two examples from our dataset. (Figure 8, Figure 9).

D Detailed Analysis

According to the experimental results, the re-ranking strategy improves performance to a certain degree. So we conduct a detailed analysis of the strategy to help understand the reason and provide some insights for future model designs.

Firstly, we analyze the effect of re-ranking sequence length, which is the main factor affecting the result. Specifically, we conduct research on the re-ranking sequence length. Then we plot the Recall@1 for ResNet + CLIP_N_D and CLIP_N_D + ResNet in Figure 10. From the results, we can see that both two methods achieve high performance as the re-ranking length increases at the beginning. Then it starts to decrease slightly. It can be simply inferred that when the re-ranking length continues to grow to the size of the \(|\mathbf{E}|\), the re-ranking model can be equal to the single Reset or CLIP_N_D. Besides, these two models have different Inflection Points and speeds of the downtrend. CLIP_N_D
+ ResNet reaches its peak at lower re-rank length and decent sharply while ResNet + CLIP_N_D increases until re-rank length equals 600 and decent slowly. The reason for the phenomenon is that CLIP_N_D outperforms ResNet. As a result, a larger re-rank size is necessary for ResNet to guarantee to recall the ground truth.

Secondly, we notice that the Top-k results of CLIP_N_D and ResNet differ greatly. As a result, we plot the precise overlap between ResNet and CLIP_N_D’s Top-k result in Figure 11.

The origin and fine-tune model have the same trend: with the increase of the K, the overlap decreases first and increases later. When k nears 50, the overlap minimum. For fine-tune model, it has a higher overlap than the zero-shot. The overlap starts from 30.1%, which means only the 30.1% of entities are identical among the Top-1 results between the two models even though they have comparable performance. Then it drops to 15% sharply. When k equals |E|, the overlap will reach

100%. Smaller coverage with high and comparable model performance ensures that using one model to re-ranking based on the recall of the other model could improve performance significantly.

Figure 10: The Recall@1 of the models with different re-rank size.

Figure 11: Overlap of Top-k result between CLIP_N_D and ResNet in zero-shot and fine-tune.