The efficacy of preoperative posterior subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide in noninfectious uveitic patients with secondary glaucoma undergoing trabeculectomy
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Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of preoperative posterior subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide (PSTA) in noninfectious uveitic patients with secondary glaucoma undergoing primary trabeculectomy with mitomycin C.

Design: This was a retrospective study.

Patients and methods: We reviewed the medical records of 10 noninfectious uveitic patients, who had received a single preoperative PSTA 40 mg/1 mL, with secondary glaucoma undergoing primary trabeculectomy with mitomycin C. We collected data before and after surgery on intraocular pressure (IOP), anterior chamber (AC) cells, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), morphologic characteristics of the filtering bleb and complications.

Results: The mean time between injection and surgery was 7.8 ± 3.88 days. Postoperative IOP level was significantly lower than preoperative level (31.3 ± 11.44 mmHg) at all visits (P < 0.02). Antiglaucoma medications were decreased from preoperative (4.9 ± 0.88) to 12-month postoperative (0.8 ± 1.31; P-value < 0.001) and also discontinued in seven eyes (70%). About 12 months after surgery, eight eyes (80%) with qualified success and two eyes (20%) with failed treatment were recorded. AC cells and BCVA did not differ significantly from baseline; however, all inflammations were controlled successfully. Most desirable bleb morphology was shown at 12 months as well. Complications were blepharoptosis and hypotony maculopathy in two eyes (20%).

Conclusion: A preoperative PSTA may be an effective and safe option in controlling intraocular inflammation and maintaining bleb function after trabeculectomy in noninfectious uveitic patients with secondary glaucoma during a 12-month period.
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Introduction

Uveitis is the inflammatory reaction of the middle, pigmented, vascular structures of the eye which includes the iris, ciliary body and choroid. Uveitis can be broadly categorized into infectious and noninfectious etiologies. The goal of the management of uveitis is to effectively control inflammation so as to eliminate or reduce the risk of vision loss from complications, such as secondary glaucoma, cataract, cystoid macular edema and hypotony. Corticosteroids are the mainstay of uveitis treatment.1

Uveitis can cause secondary glaucoma; however, corticosteroids that are the mainstay of uveitis treatment also cause secondary glaucoma. Trabeculectomy is indicated in patients whose maximum tolerated medical treatment fails to adequately reduce...
intraocular pressure (IOP). The success of trabeculectomy is achieved through inhibiting the wound healing process, because the failure of trabeculectomy is usually due to excessive wound healing.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

The antimetabolites, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and mitomycin C (MMC), are widely used to inhibit wound healing in trabeculectomy, and it results in relatively avascular filtration blebs with less fibrovascular scarring and an increased success rate.\(^3\) However, corticosteroids also reduce and regulate wound healing through inhibition of macrophage functions such as phagocytosis and release of enzymes such as collagenase, plasminogen activator and growth factors and thus suppress inflammatory process for scar prevention. Postoperative topical corticosteroids have been used as an antifibrotic agent, and they increased the success rate of filtering surgery for a long period.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^6\) However, there is no literature review about preoperative medication for better outcome especially in uveitic patient who has more inflammation than usual.

Posterior subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide (PSTA) is generally given when a more posterior effect is needed or when a patient is noncompliant with unresponsive to topical or systemic administration.\(^1\) Subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide (TA)\(^2\) is widely used to treat uveitis, because it is a high-efficacy and long-acting medication. So it might increase the success rate of trabeculectomy against secondary glaucoma. Tham et al\(^6\) reported the use of TA 1.2 mg injected into filtration blebs at the end of trabeculectomy in a nonrandomized noncontrolled study and showed that good IOP control was obtained at least by the third month.

Limited data are available to support the safety and efficacy of PSTA among patients with uveitis undergoing trabeculectomy. We reviewed the efficacy of PSTA for controlling inflammation, visual improvement, success rate of trabeculectomy and the safety also in noninfectious uveitic and secondary glaucoma patients undergoing trabeculectomy with MMC.

**Patients and methods**

This was a retrospective case series study carried out between November 1, 2015, and April 1, 2017, at Phramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand. The study analyzed all patients with noninfectious uveitis and secondary glaucoma who had received a preoperative PSTA and undergone a primary trabeculectomy with MMC between January 1, 2014, and January 1, 2017.

We reviewed the cases in which patients with noninfectious uveitis with secondary glaucoma undergoing primary trabeculectomy with MMC received one preoperative PSTA, 40 mg (1 mL; Kanolone-F\(^*\), 40 mg/mL). All injections were administered using the technique of Smith and Nozik (Figure 1).\(^1\) First, the conjunctiva is anesthetized with cotton swab soaked in tetracaine while patient looks inferonasally. Next syringe filled with triamcinolone and fitted with 25/26 G needle is advanced with bevel facing toward the globe, superotemporally along the curve of the globe with intermittent sidewise sweeping movement to confirm the separation of needle from sclera. When the needle is advanced till the hub touches the conjunctiva, the plunger is slightly withdrawn to rule out injecting steroids within a vessel. Finally, triamcinolone is injected and needle is withdrawn.\(^7\) All patients were treated for intraocular inflammation at least 3 months before trabeculectomy.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients <18 years or >70 years; 2) infectious uveitic patients; 3) pregnant or breastfeeding patients; 4) patients lost to follow-up; 5) incomplete data record.

Information on each patient was obtained from medical record review. Preoperative data obtained for each patients included age, sex, side of injected eye, type of uveitis, previous intraocular surgery, number of antiglaucoma medications, duration between PSTA and surgery, IOP (applanation tonometry), anterior chamber (AC) cells (the SUN (Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature) working group grading scheme for AC cells\(^8\) and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA; ETDRS visual acuity, logMAR).

Postoperative data, collected on 1 day, 1 week, 2 weeks and 1, 2, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months included IOP, AC cells, BCVA, the morphologic characteristics of the filtering bleb (the Indian Bleb Appearance Grading Scale\(^9\)) and complications, that could be attributed to the PSTA, trabeculectomy or both, such as blepharoptosis, cataract.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

*Figure 1* Posterior subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide.
progression, cystoid macular edema, epiretinal membrane, hypotony maculopathy and infection.

Because patients were not seen on the same schedule after surgery, the following time points (with intervals) were established for data collection and analysis: 1 week ±2 days; 2 weeks ±3 days; 1 month ±5 days; 2 months ±1 week; 3 months ±2 weeks; 6 months ±4 weeks. No data were recorded for a patient at a given time point when the closest examination fell outside these established intervals.

Three primary outcome measures for IOP were defined. First, complete success was defined as having an IOP of 21 mmHg or less or an IOP reduction of greater than or equal to 20% without antiglaucoma medication and intervention. Second, qualified success was defined as having an IOP of 21 mmHg or less or an IOP reduction of greater than 20% with antiglaucoma medication and/or intervention performed at the slit lamp such as 5-FU injection, bleb needling procedures and laser suture lysis. Third, failed treatment was defined as having an IOP greater than 21 mmHg despite medication (after the 3-month visit) or requiring reoperation for glaucoma surgery, hypotony or loss of light perception. Reoperation for glaucoma was defined as additional glaucoma surgery requiring a return to the operating room such as glaucoma drainage device or cyclodestructive procedure. Hypotony was defined as an IOP less than 5 mmHg. The secondary outcome measures were AC cells and BCVA.

Controlling inflammation was recorded as: 1) successful treatment, defined as AC cells, grade 0 and 0.5, and 2) failed treatment, defined as AC cells, grade 1 or more.

Visual response was defined as: 1) improvement, defined as improving at least two lines of the ETDRS chart over baseline measurement, 2) deterioration, defined as deteriorating at least two lines of the ETDRS chart over baseline measurement and 3) no response, defined as improving or deteriorating less than two lines of the ETDRS chart over baseline measurement. The visual acuity on each visit was obtained using the patient’s BCVA (logMAR).

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 23.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Basic descriptive statistics were performed. The preoperative and postoperative IOP, AC cells and BCVA were analyzed using the paired t-test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was approved by the Phramongkutklao Institutional Review Board who have deemed that informed consent is not required when the patient cannot be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the patient.

Results
In all, 13 patients with secondary glaucoma underwent primary trabeculectomy with MMC of which 13 patients had a confirmed diagnosis of noninfectious uveitis. After excluding patients with incomplete follow-up and incomplete records or those without a preoperative PSTA, a total of 10 patients were included for analysis.

The study population consisted of six males and four females with a mean age of 47.7±11.34 years (range, 31–70 years). The mean preoperative PSTA and trabeculectomy surgery duration was 7.8±3.88 days (1–16 days). The mean number of prescribed antiglaucoma medications dropped from a preoperative mean of 4.9±0.88 (range, 3–6) to a 12-month postoperative mean of 0.8±1.31 (range, 0–3; P-value <0.001). Demographics and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1.

The mean IOP at baseline was 31.3±11.44 mmHg, and mean IOPs at all visits are listed in Table 2. Postoperative IOP levels were significantly lower than preoperative levels at all visits (P<0.02). About 12 months after surgery, eight eyes were considered to have a qualified success and two eyes were considered to have a failed treatment (Table 3).

Table 1 Demographics and clinical characteristics at baseline

|                          | n=10 | %    |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| Sex                      |      |      |
| Male                     | 6    | 60   |
| Female                   | 4    | 40   |
| Age (years)              |      |      |
| Mean ± SD                | 47.7±11.34 |      |
| Range                    | 31–70 |      |
| Eye                      |      |      |
| Right                    | 6    | 60   |
| Left                     | 4    | 40   |
| Type of uveitis          |      |      |
| Panuveitis (Behcet)      | 5    | 50   |
| Chronic anterior uveitis (idiopathic) | 4 | 40 |
| Pars planitis            | 1    | 10   |
| Previous intraocular surgery |      |      |
| None                     | 2    | 20   |
| Phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implantation | 8 | 80 |
| Duration between PSTA and surgery (days) |      |      |
| Mean ± SD                | 7.8±3.88 |      |
| Range                    | 1–16 |      |
| Preoperative number of antiglaucoma medications |      |      |
| Mean ± SD                | 4.9±0.88 |      |
| Range                    | 3–6  |      |
| Postoperative number of antiglaucoma medications (12 months) |      |      |
| Mean ± SD                | 0.8±1.31 |      |
| Range                    | 0–3  |      |

**Abbreviation:** PSTA, posterior subtenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide.
The mean of AC cells at baseline was 0.15 ± 0.34. Mean AC cells at follow-up visits are presented in Table 4. About 12 months after surgery, AC cells did not differ significantly from baseline (P=1.00), and all eyes were considered to be treated successfully.

The mean BCVA at baseline was logMAR 0.55 ± 0.34. Mean BCVA at follow-up visits is presented in Table 5. About 12 months after surgery, BCVA did not differ significantly from baseline (P=0.522). The visual acuity remained unchanged from baseline in 70% of patients, 20% of patients had improved VA and VA deteriorated in 10% of patients (Table 6).

The images of morphologic characteristics of filtering blebs at 1 month and 6 months after surgery are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The morphologic characteristics of the filtering blebs at 6 and 12 months after surgery were defined in terms of the Indiana Bleb Appearance Grading Scale as shown in Table 7. The complications that could be attributed to the PSTA, trabeculectomy or both are presented in Table 3. One patient had experienced blepharoptosis, and one patient had a diagnosis of hypotony maculopathy. No patients experienced cataract progression, cystoid macular edema, epiretinal membrane or infection.

### Discussion

Most glaucoma filtering surgical failure in uveitis occurs because of scarring in the subconjunctival space by the excessive ocular wound healing response from inflammation. Modulation of the wound healing process to prevent excessive fibroblast proliferation and scar formation can play a major role in improving the outcome of trabeculectomy. A common clinical practice is to administer intraoperative MMC, and postoperative 5-FU is recommended for high-risk patients. However, the use of 5-FU and MMC is associated

---

**Table 2** Mean IOP (mmHg) compared to baseline at 1 day to 12 months

|    | Mean ± SD | P-value |
|----|-----------|---------|
| Preoperative | 31.3±11.44 |         |
| 1 day | 19.3±5.79 | 0.005   |
| 1 week | 14.8±6.63 | 0.003   |
| 2 weeks | 17.8±7.68 | 0.019   |
| 1 month | 13.6±5.7  | 0.001   |
| 2 months | 13±4.67  | 0.001   |
| 3 months | 12.8±6.36 | 0.001   |
| 6 months | 12.1±4.46 | 0.001   |
| 9 months | 12.7±5.61 | 0.001   |
| 12 months | 13.8±4.68 | 0.001   |

**Notes:** Paired t-test. Significant (P<0.05).

**Abbreviation:** IOP, intraocular pressure.

**Table 3** Data of previous intraocular surgery, number of antiglaucoma medications, IOP at 12 months after surgery, additional intervention after surgery, outcome measurement and complications

| Code | PAS extension (clock’s hour) | Previous intraocular surgery | Number of antiglaucoma medications | IOP Preoperative | IOP 12 months | Additional intervention after surgery | Outcome measurement | Complications |
|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1    | 0                           | PE/IOL                       | 4                                   | 0                | 13            | LSL at 2 weeks                       | Qualified success   | –             |
| 2    | 0                           | PE/IOL                       | 6                                   | 0                | 8             | 5-FU injection 5 mg/0.1 mL at 1 week | Qualified success   | Blepharoptosis |
| 3    | 2                           | –                            | 5                                   | 0                | 11            | LSL at 1 day, bleb needling with 5-FU 5 mg/0.1 mL at 3 months | Qualified success   | –             |
| 4    | 0                           | –                            | 5                                   | 2                | 23            | Resuturing conjunctiva at 2 days, LSL at 1 month | Failed treatment    | –             |
| 5    | 4                           | PE/IOL                       | 5                                   | 0                | 12            | LSL at 1 week, bleb needling with 5-FU 5 mg/0.1 mL at 1 and 2 weeks | Qualified success   | –             |
| 6    | 0                           | PE/IOL                       | 3                                   | 0                | 8             | LSL at 1 day                         | Qualified success   | –             |
| 7    | 8                           | PE/IOL                       | 6                                   | 0                | 15            | LSL at 1 day and 1 week              | Qualified success   | –             |
| 8    | 3                           | PE/IOL                       | 5                                   | 0                | 13            | LSL at 1 day                         | Qualified success   | –             |
| 9    | 5                           | PE/IOL                       | 5                                   | 3                | 16            | Resutured scleral flap with reformed AC with viscoelastic agent at 4 days | Failed treatment   | Hypotony maculopathy |
| 10   | 9                           | PE/IOL                       | 5                                   | 3                | 19            | LSL at 1 day and 1 month, bleb needling with 5-FU 5 mg/0.1 mL at 2 and 3 weeks | Qualified success   | –             |

**Abbreviations:** AC, anterior chamber; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; IOP, intraocular pressure; LSL, laser suture lysis; PAS, peripheral anterior synechiae; PE/IOL, phacoemulsification with intraocular lens implantation.
with corneal epithelial defects, wound leaks and hypotony with accompanying vision loss. Nowadays, the use of growth factors such as antibodies, cyclosporine and antifibrinolytic agents such as urokinase and recombinant tissue plasminogen activator adds a new and controversial dimension to postoperative pharmacologic therapy.\textsuperscript{11–18}

Many studies have reported the success rate of trabeculectomy after using postoperative steroids for better glaucoma control,\textsuperscript{4,5} especially the use of topical steroids.\textsuperscript{10} However, little data are available on the efficacy of preoperative PSTA before glaucoma surgery. Tham et al\textsuperscript{6} published a nonrandomized noncontrolled study using intra-bleb TA (1.2 mg) injected at the end of trabeculectomy in 11 eyes. They demonstrated that injecting TA directly into the bleb provides a good IOP control at least by the third month and appears to be safe up to 3 months after surgery, without the evidence of increased cataract formation.

Wang et al\textsuperscript{19} showed that intracameral TA injection during combined phacoemulsification with trabeculectomy significantly reduced aqueous inflammation and improved visual acuity. However, a study by Yuki et al\textsuperscript{20} showed that trabeculectomy with intraoperative subtenon injection of TA to treat secondary glaucoma neither increased the intermediate-term success rate nor decreased postoperative complications at 12 months.

In our series, the postoperative IOP levels were significantly lower than preoperative levels at all visits ($P<0.02$). Eight of 10 patients (80%) were considered to have a qualified success at 12 months. Two patients were classified as failure of treatment. One patient required resuturing the conjunctiva due to bleb leakage. Another one required resuturing the scleral flap with reformed AC with viscoelastic agent due to overfiltration. The leaking bleb occurring at the early postoperative period could be due to poor surgical technique, differing from late leaking bleb, which caused avascular, thin wall bleb in MMC-augmented trabeculectomy. The overfiltration at the early postoperative period could also be due to poor surgical technique, rather than the effect of long-term steroid use.

Table 4 Mean AC cells grading compared to baseline at 1 day to 12 months

| Code no | Preoperative (logMAR) | Month 12 | Outcome measurement |
|---------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.70                  | 0.20     | Improvement         |
| 2       | 0.30                  | 0.70     | Deterioration       |
| 3       | 0.10                  | >1       | No response         |
| 4       | 0.20                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 5       | 0.90                  | 0.60     | Improvement         |
| 6       | 0.20                  | 0.20     | No response         |
| 7       | 0.60                  | 0.50     | No response         |
| 8       | >1                    | >1       | No response         |
| 9       | 0.50                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 10      | >1                    | >1       | No response         |

Notes: Paired t-test. Significant ($P<0.05$).

Abbreviation: BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity.

Table 5 Mean visual acuity compared to baseline at 1 day to 12 months

| Code no | Preoperative (logMAR) | Month 12 | Outcome measurement |
|---------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.70                  | 0.20     | Improvement         |
| 2       | 0.30                  | 0.70     | Deterioration       |
| 3       | 0.10                  | >1       | No response         |
| 4       | 0.20                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 5       | 0.90                  | 0.60     | Improvement         |
| 6       | 0.20                  | 0.20     | No response         |
| 7       | 0.60                  | 0.50     | No response         |
| 8       | >1                    | >1       | No response         |
| 9       | 0.50                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 10      | >1                    | >1       | No response         |

Notes: Paired t-test. Significant ($P<0.05$).

Abbreviation: AC, anterior chamber.

Table 6 Mean visual acuity compared to baseline at 1 day to 12 months

| Code no | Preoperative (logMAR) | Month 12 | Outcome measurement |
|---------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 1       | 0.70                  | 0.20     | Improvement         |
| 2       | 0.30                  | 0.70     | Deterioration       |
| 3       | 0.10                  | >1       | No response         |
| 4       | 0.20                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 5       | 0.90                  | 0.60     | Improvement         |
| 6       | 0.20                  | 0.20     | No response         |
| 7       | 0.60                  | 0.50     | No response         |
| 8       | >1                    | >1       | No response         |
| 9       | 0.50                  | 0.40     | No response         |
| 10      | >1                    | >1       | No response         |

Notes: Paired t-test. Significant ($P<0.05$).

Abbreviation: AC, anterior chamber.
Table 7 The morphologic characteristics of the filtering blebs at 6 and 12 months after surgery

|                      | 6 months (mean ± SD) | 12 months (mean ± SD) | Range |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Height               | 1.6±0.69             | 1.4±0.70              | 1–3   |
| Horizontal extent    | 2.7±0.48             | 2.0±0.82              | 2–3   |
| Vascularity          | 1.9±0.56             | 1.2±0.63              | 1–3   |
| Leakage              | 0                    | 0                     | 0     |

Filtering surgery such as trabeculectomy is less successful among patients with uveitis because of the younger age of such patients and inflammatory mediators that promote fibrous tissue growth and closure of the filtering blebs. Corticosteroids used to manage ocular inflammation are factors that have an effect on visual outcomes. Well-managed inflammation can prevent postoperative complications. In a comparative study by Ghate et al, posterior subtenon injection was the best pericocular route for vitreous delivery with minimal systemic levels. In addition, posterior subtenon corticosteroid injection has great therapeutic features to manage inflammation.

TA is an anti-inflammatory drug, so the effect of TA for filtering surgery seems to be effective for inflammatory glaucoma such as uveitic glaucoma similar to our study, whereas the administration of TA through the subtenon route could be highly variable and difficult to assess, as the diffusion of the drug into the eye is by the sclera and the choroid. Despite the favorable results, our study had some limitations. The study had small sample size and no control group; this was a retrospective study; and all surgeries were performed by glaucoma specialists or glaucoma fellowship trainers.

Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that a preoperative PSTA may be an effective and safe option in controlling intraocular inflammation and maintaining bleb function after primary trabeculectomy with MMC in noninfectious uveitic patients with secondary glaucoma at a 12-month period. No evidence is currently available to suggest a routine preoperative PSTA use before glaucoma surgery among uveitic patients. We would recommend further randomized controlled studies to develop more validity and reliability of this treatment.
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