Transactivation in *Drosophila* of Human Enhancers by Human Transcription Factors Involved in Congenital Heart Diseases
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Background: The human transcription factors (TFs) GATA4, NKX2.5 and TBX5 form part of the core network necessary to build a human heart and are involved in Congenital Heart Diseases (CHDs). The human *natriuretic peptide precursor A* (*NPPA*) and *α-myosin heavy chain 6* (*MYH6*) genes are downstream effectors involved in cardiogenesis that have been demonstrated to be *in vitro* targets of such TFs.  

Results: To study the interactions between these human TFs and their target enhancers *in vivo*, we overexpressed them in the whole *Drosophila* cardiac tube using the UAS/GAL4 system. We observed that all three TFs up-regulate their natural target enhancers in *Drosophila* and cause developmental defects when overexpressed in eyes and wings. Conclusions: A strong potential of the present model might be the development of combinatorial and mutational assays to study the interactions between human TFs and their natural target promoters, which are not easily undertaken in tissue culture cells because of the variability in transfection efficiency, especially when multiple constructs are used. Thus, this novel system could be used to determine *in vivo* the genetic nature of the human mutant forms of these TFs, setting up a powerful tool to unravel the molecular genetic mechanisms that lead to CHDs. *Developmental Dynamics* 241:190–199, 2012. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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Key findings:  
• The mammalian transcription factors GATA4, Nkx2.5 and TBX5 are able to transactivate the Nppa and Myh6 human enhancers in *Drosophila* embryos.  
• The endogenous *Drosophila* homologs of GATA4, Nkx2.5 and TBX5 are not transactivated by GATA4, Nkx2.5 or TBX5, ruling out a possible endogenous regulatory circuit.  
• Overexpression in the mesoderm of GATA4, Nkx2.5 or TBX5 is lethal and overexpression in eyes and wings causes reduced organs.
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INTRODUCTION

The core network of transcription factors (TFs) and the main events that lead to heart formation have been conserved in evolution. The cardiac TFs GATA4, NKX2.5, and TBX5 are central to human heart development (Clark et al., 2006; Olson, 2006; Srivastava, 2006; Nemer, 2008). Mutations in these genes significantly perturb heart development resulting in Congenital Heart Diseases (CHDs).
Heart Diseases (CHDs). In *Drosophila*, mutations in the homologues of these genes, *tinman* (*tin*; the fly *NKX2.5* homologue), *pannier* (*pn*; the fly *GATA4* homologue), *Dorsocross*1-3 (*Dox1-3*: most closely related to *Tbx6*), and *midline* (*mid*; the fly *TBX20* homologue), affect the formation of the cardiac tube (reviewed in Reim and Frasch, 2010). Mutations in the two cardiac TFs *GATA4* and *NKX2.5* impair their functions leading to CHDs (Schott et al., 1998; Garg et al., 2003). In humans, *TBX5* is essential for heart and forelimb development and mutations in this gene lead to a developmental disorder called Holt-Oram syndrome (Basson et al., 1997; Li et al., 1997; Mori and Bruneau, 2004). Mutations in *TBX5* significantly alter its DNA-binding, protein–protein interaction and transcriptional activities (Ghosh et al., 2001; Hiroi et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2003; Garg et al., 2003). *TBX5*, alone or in combination with *NKX2.5* and *GATA4*, regulates the transcription of *NPPA*, *MYH6* and *CX40*, genes that are important for cardiomyocyte differentiation (Bruneau et al., 2001; Hiroi et al., 2001; Ching et al., 2005). Functional interactions between *TBX5* and *GATA4* are important for heart development and compound heterozygous mice show significant down-regulation of *Myh6* (Maitra et al., 2009), which encodes a sarcomeric protein associated with cardiomyopathy and other congenital heart disorders (Carniel et al., 2005; Ching et al., 2005). Cardiac TFs *Tbx5* and *Gata4* in combination with *Baf60c* or *Mef2c* can also drive ectopic differentiation of mouse mesoderm or fibroblast cells into beating cardiac myocytes (Takeuchi and Bruneau, 2009; Ieda et al., 2010), thereby suggesting the functional importance of these TFs and also their potential for future regenerative medicine.

Although functional cooperation between these cardiac TFs has been well documented, to date there are very few direct targets characterized. Two well-characterized targets are *NPPA* and *MYH6*. *NPPA* is a downstream target of *GATA4* (Durocher et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1998), *NKX2.5* (Durocher et al., 1996), and *TBX5* (Hiroi et al., 2001). Mutations in either *NKX2.5* or *TBX5* severely reduce the transcription of *NPPA* (Zhu et al., 2000; Ghosh et al., 2001; Hiroi et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2003), whereas the transcription of *MYH6* is impaired by *TBX5* or *GATA4* mutations (Garg et al., 2003; Ching et al., 2005). The enhancers of these genes are invaluable tools for understanding the molecular mechanisms of heart development and disorders. Most commonly, these studies were conducted in *in vitro* tissue culture cells following transfection of the relevant constructs. Mutations in these TFs are pleiotropic and show different degrees of penetrance. Thus, their *in vivo* study in vertebrates is a difficult task.

It is known that regulatory regions identified in one organism can function in a heterologous organism (Awgulewitsch and Jacobs, 1992; Malicki et al., 1992; Frasch et al., 1995; Pöpperl et al., 1995; Haerry and Gehring, 1996, 1997; Keegan et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1999; Brugger et al., 2004). For example, the autoregulatory elements of *Drosophila* deformed and of its mammalian homologue *Hoxb-4* are functionally very conserved as they are able to function in each heterologous organism (the fly homologue in mice and the human one in flies) (Awgulewitsch and Jacobs, 1992; Malicki et al., 1992); the r4 enhancer of mouse *Hoxb-1* functions as an auto-regulatory element in *Drosophila* embryos (Pöpperl et al., 1995); the eye-specific enhancer of *Drosophila* eyeless directs eye- and CNS-specific expression in transgenic mice and the mouse *Pax6* P1 upstream region directs expression in *Drosophila* photoreceptors (Xu et al., 1999). All these examples highlight a high level of evolutionary conservation of the transcriptional machinery between insects and mammals.

In the present work, we show specific reporter gene up-regulation of two human enhancers by the mammalian TFs *GATA4*, *Nkx2.5*, and *TBX5* in *Drosophila* embryos. *Drosophila* has been largely used as a model to study homologies of signaling and regulatory pathways that govern organogenesis in vertebrates and invertebrates. Rescue experiments where a *Drosophila* TF is replaced by its vertebrate homologue or where a vertebrate regulatory sequence is activated by a *Drosophila* TF have been performed. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that such assayed vertebrate regulatory sequences belong to TFs or to signaling molecules at the top of the pyramid of cues that lead to organogenesis. On the contrary, this has rarely been shown for downstream effector genes, which are the actual “realizators” (Garcia Bellido, 1977) of organogenesis. Since regulatory regions identified in one organism might function in a heterologous organism, we decided to investigate if this could be true also for the regulatory regions of downstream effector genes involved in human cardiogenesis. In this work, we show that the mammalian TFs *GATA4*, *Nkx2.5*, and *TBX5* are able to up-regulate their natural target enhancers *in vivo* in *Drosophila* embryos.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to determine whether human enhancers of cardiac realizator genes could be active in *Drosophila*, we first selected two human enhancers belonging to genes that are involved in a widely described human pathology, namely CHDs, the regulation of which is well known. The two enhancers belong to the *NPPA* and *MYH6* human genes. ANF, the product of *NPPA*, is one of the earliest markers of cardiac differentiation expressed in the forming ventricular and atrial chamber myocardium (Christoffels et al., 2000). The *NPPA* promoter has served as a model for studying the regulatory mechanisms of cardiac TFs. A number of cardiac TFs such as *GATA4* (Durocher et al., 1997), *NKX2.5* (Durocher et al., 1996) and *TBX5* (Ghosh et al., 2001; Hiroi et al., 2001) have been shown to interact with an *NPPA* enhancer. *MYH6* is another important structural and functional gene mainly expressed in the atrium (Kurabayashi et al., 1988). In humans, mutations in this gene are associated with atrial septal defects (Ching et al., 2005) and cardiomyopathy (Carniel et al., 2005). The *MYH6* enhancer is regulated by the TFs *GATA4* (Molkentin et al., 1994), *TBX5* (Ching et al., 2005), and *MEF2* (Ghosh et al., 2009).

In our study, we have used a 300-bp fragment immediately upstream of the *NPPA* promoter (called ANF300)
because this enhancer fragment was shown to be activated in vitro by the three cardiac-specific TFs TBX5, NKK2.5, and GATA4 (Durocher et al., 1997; Bruneau et al., 2001; Ghosh et al., 2001). Similarly, the 4.5-Kb fragment of MYH6 we used has also been shown to be activated in vitro by GATA 4 (Molkentin et al., 1994), by TBX5 (Ching et al., 2005), and by TBX5 in cooperation with MEF2C (Ghosh et al., 2009). We cloned the ANF300 and MYH6 enhancers in the pH-Stinger vector (Barolo et al., 2000) upstream of the hs43 promoter directing nGFP expression. No nGFP expression was detected in transgenic embryos by in situ hybridization (Figs. 1A, B, 2A, B). This indicates that wild-type levels of Drosophila TFs are unable to up-regulate these two enhancers either because the levels of GFP expression driven by the endogenous TFs are too low to be detected or because the endogenous TFs are busy taking care of their normal targets (a sort of threshold effect). By contrast, the over-expression of GATA4, Nkx2.5, or TBX5 in embryos using the UAS/GAL4 system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) specifically activated nGFP in the same way through both the ANF300 (Fig. 1) and MYH6 (Fig. 2) enhancers. When the three TFs are over-expressed through the Hand-GAL4 driver (Albrecht et al., 2006; Sellin et al., 2006), GFP expression is detected in the cardiac tube and in the visceral mesoderm (Figs. 1E–J, 2E–J), in the same domains as UAS-GFP expression (Figs. 1C,D, 2C,D). This indicates that the three human TFs are able to up-regulate their human targets in Drosophila and that MYH6 is a putative target of Nkx2.5, which has not been reported before. No GFP expression was observed in embryos carrying only the reporter and the Hand-GAL4 transgene (Fig. 3A, lane 3), whereas we detected a specific band of the expected GFP size (Fig. 3A, lane 7) in the embryos that also carry the UAS-GATA4, UAS-Nkx2.5, or UAS-TBX5 effector constructs (Fig. 3A, lanes 4–6). The rp49 housekeeping control indicates that cDNA is equally present in all samples (Fig. 3B). The same RT-PCR experiment has been done for the MYH6 enhancer and gave the same results (data not shown). Thus, GFP is indeed up-regulated by the three TFs considered.
The Drosophila system we propose allows analyzing the functions of human TFs in vivo. In vitro, not all cell types are transfected with the same efficiency and, in some instances, overexpression of the TFs causes spurious activity. Using Drosophila transgenics as a model overrides variability, for example due to transfection efficiency, because in transgenic flies all the cells in a tissue carry both the reporter and the effector constructs, which are up-regulated all at the same level through the UAS/GAL4 system. Consequently, in this system the molecular interactions observed can be studied at a higher level of precision. In addition, a strong potential of the present model might come from the combinatorial and mutational assays of TFs on their target promoters, which are not easily undertaken in tissue culture cells because of the variability in transfection efficiency especially when multiple constructs are used.

*pnr* and *tin* are the fly homologues of human GATA4 and NKX2.5, respectively. The Drosophila *pnr*, *tin*, and *Tbx* genes are known to auto-regulate and to cross-regulate each other (Gajewski et al., 2001; Klinedinst and Bodmer, 2003; Qian et al., 2005; Reim and Frasch, 2005; Reim et al., 2005). Similarly, NKX2.5 regulates the expression of human GATA4 (Riazi et al., 2009) and GATA4 also regulates transcription of NKX2.5 through an upstream NKX2.5 enhancer (Lien et al., 1999). So far, there is no report on an autoregulatory role for NKX2.5 and GATA4. To rule out a possible endogenous regulatory circuit, we first determined whether Tin has the same regulatory ability as its Nkx2.5 homologue in the regulation of the ANF300 and MYH6 enhancers. GATA4, Nkx2.5, and TBX5 transactivate the MYH6 enhancer in Drosophila embryos. Detection of GFP expression driven by the MYH6 enhancer by in situ hybridization using HRP staining. Left column: Stage-13-14 embryos. Right column: Stage-15-16 embryos (dorsal side up). All embryos carry the MYH6-hs43-nGFP reporter and the Hand-GAL4 driver. In wild-type embryos (A, B), no GFP is detected. In embryos carrying also the UAS-GFP (C, D), UAS-GATA4 (E, F), UAS-Nkx2.5 (G, H), or UAS-TBX5 (I, J) transgene, GFP is detected in the cardiac tube (block arrows) and in the visceral mesoderm (arrows). Staining with the sense GFP probe did not produce any signal except for salivary glands (arrowheads, data not shown).

**Fig. 3.** Detection of GFP by RT-PCR. RT-PCR was performed on the same embryos as those of Figure 1. A: RT-PCR detection of GFP. B: RT-PCR detection of the rp49 housekeeping gene. 1, mock PCR; 2, white; 3, Hand-GAL4; ANF300MYH6-hs43-nGFP; 4, Hand-GAL4; ANF300MYH6-hs43-nGFP + UAS-GATA4; 5, Hand-GAL4; ANF300MYH6-hs43-nGFP + UAS-Nkx2.5; 6, Hand-GAL4; ANF300MYH6-hs43-nGFP + UAS-TBX5; 7, Hand-GAL4; ANF300MYH6-hs43-nGFP + UAS-GFP. A specific GFP band is detected only in GATA4, Nkx2.5, and TBX5 overexpressing embryos (lanes 4–6) and in the positive control (lane 7).
and TBX5 could up-regulate the two enhancers through the indirect activation of their fly homologues. To rule out this possibility, we looked at the expression of the fly endogenous genes *pnr* (*GATA4* homologue), *tin* (*NKX2.5* homologue), and *Doc1* (*TBX20* homologue; there is no true *Drosophila* homologue of *TBX5*) in embryos ectopically activating *GATA4*, *Nkx2.5*, or *TBX5*. By *in situ* hybridization (for *pnr*, *Doc1*, and *mid*) or immunohistochemistry (for *tin*), we did not see a change in expression of these endogenous fly genes in germ band–extended and in germ band–retracted embryos upon *GATA4*, *Nkx2.5*, or *TBX5* overexpression in the cardiac, somatic, and visceral mesoderm through the 24B-GAL4 driver (Fig. 5). This indicates that the regulation of the two tested enhancers by the three mammalian TFs is not indirect.

Several human and murine enhancers have been shown to be active in *Drosophila*. Most of the reporter constructs used in the regulatory studies on the evolutionary conservation of enhancers reported in the Introduction section (Awgulewitsch and Jacobs, 1992; Malicki et al., 1992; Frasch et al., 1995; Pöpperl et al., 1995; Haerry and Gehring, 1996, 1997; Keegan et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1999; Brugger et al., 2004) utilized the TATA promoter hs43 (the *Drosophila* hsp70 promoter deleted of the Pelham heat-inducible box) that we used in our studies. This promoter is silent in *Drosophila*. It has been used in numerous studies on many types of *Drosophila* and mammalian TFs over many years (Qian et al., 1991, 1993; Capovilla et al., 1994; Chan et al., 1994; Capovilla and Botas, 1998; Hirumi and Gehring, 1987; Wagner-Bernholz et al., 1991; Vachon et al., 1992; Gajewski et al., 1997; Ranganayakulu et al., 1998; Li et al., 1999; Ryoo et al., 1999; Han et al., 2002; Sellin et al., 2006; Ryan et al., 2007). In addition, several mammalian TFs have been shown to function in *Drosophila* as their fly homologues (Luo et al., 1992; Albagli et al., 1996; Ludlow et al., 1996; Deshpande et al., 1997; Leuzinger et al., 1998; Nagao et al., 1998; D’Souza et al., 1999; Fox et al., 2010). In most of these studies, the *Drosophila* or mammalian TFs were over-expressed through the UAS/GAL4 method we used. Thus, it is very likely that the GFP expression we observed comes from a direct interaction between the TFs over-expressed and the two human enhancers studied, which is supported by the results reported in Figure 5. To our knowledge, this is the first report of human enhancers being activated by human TFs in flies and it highlights the high evolutionary conservation of the regulatory functions of these molecules.

We determined whether *GATA4*, *Nkx2.5*, or *TBX5* over-expression could cause developmental defects. To this aim, we over-expressed the three TFs in the whole mesoderm (through the 24B-GAL4 driver), in the eyes (through the GMR-GAL4 driver), and
in the wings (through the \textit{vestigial-GAL4} driver). Over-expression of each of the three TF in the mesoderm is lethal. Over-expression in eyes and wings causes developmental defects, as both are very reduced (Fig. 6). For \textit{GMR-GAL4}, the penetrance is complete at 28°C and at 18°C for all three TFs (Supp. Fig. S2). At 18°C, the over-expression of Nkx2.5 in the eye shows variable expressivity (data not shown). Finally, we observed that very similar phenotypes in both eyes and wings are elicited by the overexpression of \textit{pnr} (with 100% penetrance at all temperatures), but not of \textit{tin} (data not shown). This is consistent with the fact that \textit{GATA4} is the true orthologue of \textit{pnr} (Gajewski et al., 1999), whereas Nkx2.5 is not able to functionally replace \textit{tin} in all its developmental roles (Park et al., 1998; Ranganayakulu et al., 1998). The fact that over-expression of the three TFs leads to the same developmental defects suggests that they act in the same developmental pathway. Because the phenotypes elicited are viable and very easy to score, the over-expression of these TFs could be used to carry out modifier screens in order to identify novel interactors, as as it has been successfully done for other gene products (Fernandez-Funez et al., 2000; Bilen and Bonini, 2007; Cukier et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2010).

\textbf{Fig. 5.} Endogenous Drosophila TFs are not mis-expressed by the over-expression of GATA4, Nkx2.5, or TBX5. Detection of \textit{tin} protein by immunohistochemistry and of \textit{pnr}, Doc1, and \textit{mid} transcripts by in situ hybridization in control embryos (top) and in embryos overexpressing GATA4, Nkx2.5, or TBX5 (see left) in the whole mesoderm through the 24B-GAL4 driver. \textbf{A:} Germ band–extended embryos. \textbf{B:} Germ band–retracted embryos. Each gene is expressed at normal levels in all embryos.
GTGGAGAAAGAAT-3' (carrying a XbaI site) and 5'-TTGGATCCAGC GTCTCAACGACCGAG-3' (carrying a BamHI site). The 4.5-kb MYH6 enhancer was amplified from the pGL3 MYH6 4.5 plasmid (Cheng et al., 2005) using the oligonucleotides 5'-CCTCTAGAAGCCGAGATCC GACCTCCAGACTTCTTCT-3' (carrying a XbaI site) and 5'-CCGGATCCCCT CGTGTCTAAATTTGAGATCCG AG-3' (carrying a BamHI site). Both enhancers were cloned in the XbaI and BamHI sites of pH-Stinger (Barolo et al., 2000) upstream of the minimal hsp43 promoter driving nGFP expression to generate the ANF300-hsp43-nGFP and MYH6-hsp43-nGFP reporters.

To generate the UAS-GATA4 construct, the GATA4 cDNA was excised from pcDNA3.1 Zeo(-) GATA4 (T. K. Ghosh, unpublished data) with EcoRI and cloned in the EcoRI site of pUAST (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). The orientation was verified with BamHI to select a clone with the orientation appropriate for protein synthesis. The TBX5 cDNA was excised from pcDNA1.1zeo(-) TBX5 (Ghosh et al., 2001) with XhoI and EcoRI and cloned in the XhoI and EcoRI sites of pBluescript KS+. From this construct, it was then excised using NotI and KpnI and cloned in the NotI and KpnI sites of pUAST to generate UAS-TBX5, ANF300-hsp43-nGFP, and MYH6-hsp43-nGFP transgenic flies were generated in the laboratory by standard methods. UAS-GATA4 and UAS-TBX5 transgenic flies were generated by Best Gene Inc. (Chino Hills, CA). UAS-Nkx2.5 (Zaffran et al., 2006), P{GawB} how (Albrecht et al., 2006; Sellin et al., 2006) flies and P{GawB} how (Albrecht et al., 2006; Sellin et al., 2006) were a gift of Manfred Frasch. At least two independent reporter and UAS lines were analyzed for each experiment.

The GAL4 lines used are: Hand-GAL4 (Albrecht et al., 2006; Sollin et al., 2006), P[GawB]hou[24B] (24B-GAL4), GMR-GAL4, elav-GAL4, and vestigial-GAL4.

**Crosses and Embryo Staining**

Double-balanced Hand-GAL4: ANF300-hsp43-nGFP and Hand- GAL4: MYH6-hsp43-nGFP stocks were generated by standard breeding procedures. Males of these stocks were crossed to UAS-GFP (Bloomington stock n. 1521), UAS-GATA4, UAS-Nkx2.5, or UAS-TBX5 females at 27°C. Progeny embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach, fixed in 4% formaldehyde, dehydrated in 100% methanol, and stored in 100% ethanol. All probes were made using the Riboprobe Combination System kit (Promega, Madison, WI) and the DIG RNA Labeling Mix (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). To make GFP probes, the GFP cDNA was excised from pH-Stinger (Barolo et al., 2000) with EcoRI and XbaI and cloned in the EcoRI and XbaI sites of pGEM. GFP sense and antisense probes were made by linearizing with HindIII and transcribing with SP6 or by linearizing with EcoRI and transcribing with T7, respectively. The Doc2, mid, and pnr antisense probes were made as follows: the Doc2 cDNA cloned in pNB40 (Reim et al., 2003) was linearized with Smal and transcribed with T7, the mid cDNA plasmid cloned in pFLC (Reim et al., 2005) was linearized with XhoI and transcribed with T3, and the pnr cDNA plasmid (Raman et al., 1993) cloned in pBluescript was linearized with HindIII and transcribed with T3. Embryos were stained using the TSA Plus Biotin System (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) with minor modifications available upon request. Immunohistochemistry was carried out as previously described (Capovilla et al., 2001) with anti-Tin antibodies (Yin et al., 1997) at a 1:1,000 dilution.

**RT PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from 30 μl of dechorionated 12–24-hr embryos using PureZOL RNA Isolation Reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA) following instructions. cDNA was produced from 1 μg of total RNA digested with DNaseI using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (BioRad) according to instructions. PCR was carried out using the following primers: GFP-For (5’-TGACCCTGAACCT CATCTG-3’), GFP-Rev (5’-GGTGTGTTGT GCTGCTA-3’), RP49-For (5’-TAT GCAGCTGTGGCCAC-3’), and RP49- Rev (5’-ATCCGTAACCGATGGTTGG-3’) using DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (Fermentas Life Sciences, Glen Burnie, MD).
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