Brassica napus PHR1 Gene Encoding a MYB-Like Protein Functions in Response to Phosphate Starvation
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Abstract

Phosphorus (P) is one of the essential nutrient elements for plant development. In this work, BnPHR1 encoding a MYB transcription activation activator was isolated from Brassica napus. The characterization of nuclear localization and transcription activation ability suggest BnPHR1 is a transcriptional activator. The tissue expression and histochemical assay showed that BnPHR1 was predominantly expressed in roots and modulated by exogenous Pi in transcriptional level in roots under Pi deficiency conditions. Furthermore, overexpression of BnPHR1 in both Arabidopsis and B. napus remarkably enhanced the expression of the Pi-starvation-induced genes including ATPT2 and BnPT2 encoding the high-affinity Pi transporters. Additionally, BnPHR1 can in vivo bind the promoter sequence of ATPT2 and BnPT2 in both Arabidopsis and B. napus. Possibly, due to the activation of ATPT2 and BnPT2, or even more high-affinity Pi transporters, the excessive Pi was accumulated in transgenic plants, resulting in the crucially Pi toxicity to cells and subsequently retarding plant growth. Given the data together, BnPHR1, as crucial regulator, is regulated by exogenous Pi and directly activates those genes, which promote the uptake and homeostasis of Pi for plant growth.

Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is one of essential nutrient elements for plant growth and development. Although abundant Pi is present in a lot of soils, very little of it is present in phosphate (Pi) forms that are available for plants [1]. To adapt the low availability of Pi, plants have evolved complicated mechanisms [2]. Morphologically, the growth and architecture of root is modified, including increased root-shoot ratio and proliferation of root long hairs to enhance total surface area available for soil exploration [3,4]. In addition, some plants can improve their soil scavenging capacity by forming clusters of lateral roots or by establishing symbiotic associations with mycorrhizal fungi [2]. In soil in which Pi is distributed in patches, lateral roots proliferate in the high Pi areas and are inhibited in the low Pi areas [5]. The roots of P-deficient plants could exude organic acids into soil solution to increase the availability of phosphate bound to soil particles [6]. Plants also use endogenous P by increasing the activity of enzymes that replace P in metabolites and structural compounds due to Pi deficiency [2,7].

The molecular regulation of plants responding to Pi deficiency remains unclear so far, although some genes, encoding Pi transporters, phosphatases, RNases, transcription factor, and others of unknown function that help plants adapt to low Pi, have been characterized [8]. To increase Pi uptake capacity, the transcripts and activity of high affinity phosphate transporters are induced to optimize uptake and remobilization of phosphate in P-deficient plants [2]. The Pi transporters may play a crucial role in uptake and use of Pi, as the transport of Pi across membranes is an important step in this process. The high-affinity Pi transporters are membrane-associated proteins which can translocate Pi from an external media containing µM concentrations to the cytoplasm [7]. Some Pi transporters have been identified in plants, such as tomato and Arabidopsis [9–11]. The RNases are presumed to release Pi from RNA molecules in the extracellular matrix including those derived from other organisms in the rhizosphere or present within cells. Under Pi starvation conditions, the genes encoding RNases, are induced in plants [12,13]. On the other hand, these Pi-starvation responsive genes are transcriptionally regulated by transcription factors. AtPHR1, one of MYB-CC family transcription factors, is a master transcriptional activator of the Pi-starvation response in Arabidopsis [14]. AtPHR1 regulates a number of Pi-starvation-induced genes through binding a P1BS (PHR1 specific binding sequence) cis-element (GNATATNC), which is present in the promoter regions of Pi starvation-induced genes [14]. The phel1 mutant displays a reduced concentration of Pi under both Pi-sufficient and Pi-deficient conditions and minimal induction of anthocyanin accumulation in response to Pi deprivation [14,15]. On the contrary, AtPHR1 overexpression leads to an increased concentration of Pi in the shoots and the elevated expression of a range of Pi starvation-induced genes that encode the Pi transporter, phosphatase and RNase [15]. PHRI-LIKE1 (PHL1), another member of MYB-CC family, is redundant with PHR1 acting as central integrators of Pi starvation responses [16]. The homologues of AtPHR1 have been found in rice and common bean. Rice OsPHR1 and OsPHR2 were identified to be involved in regulating several Pi-starvation induced genes. However, only overexpression of OsPHR2 results in increased Pi accumulation in shoots of transgenic rice [17]. In common bean,
PvPHR1 is a positive regulator of genes implicated in Pi transport, remobilization and homeostasis [18]. Taken together, the data suggest that PHR1 is a master transcriptional activator in controlling Pi uptake and allocation in plants.

However, both the transcript level of PHR1 gene and nuclear localization of PHR1 protein are not altered significantly by Pi status in Arabidopsis and rice [14,17]. Therefore, the post-transcriptional modification was hypothesized to be involved in PHR1 activity. Supporting this notion, AtPHR1 was revealed to be sumoylated by AtSIZ1, a SUMO E3 ligase [19]. The sumoylation involved in various cellular processes can stabilize the target proteins and alter their sub-cellular localization [20].

The szl1 mutant exhibits hypersensitive responses to Pi starvation, including changes in root architecture with reduced primary root growth and the massive formation of lateral roots and root hairs, an increased ratio of root-to-shoot mass and greater anthocyanin accumulation [19]. The intracellular Pi concentration is higher in shoots of szl1 mutant than that of wild-type plants under Pi-sufficient conditions, possibly because of enhanced expression of PHO1 gene encoding an ubiquitin conjugating E2 enzyme at the transcriptional level [19,21]. The loss-of-function mutation of PHO2 specifically induces by Pi starvation and reciprocally regulates as a positive regulator. As one of AtPHR1 targets, miRNA399 is sufficient conditions, possibly because of enhanced expression of genes encoding the high-affinity Pi transporter which promote the uptake of Pi for plant growth.

Our results revealed that BnPHR1 is involved in the Pi-signaling pathway, and the overexpression of BnPHR1 results in Pi starvation response, we developed transgenic lines with overexpression of BnPHR1 in Arabidopsis and B. napus for Pi-signaling and Pi-uptake analysis. Our results revealed that BnPHR1 is involved in the Pi-signaling pathway, and the overexpression of BnPHR1 results in Pi accumulation in shoots of transgenic plants. As a crucial regulator of Pi starvation response in B. napus, BnPHR1 directly activated PT2 encoding the high-affinity Pi transporter which promote the uptake of Pi for plant growth.

Materials and Methods

Plant Growth Conditions

Brassica napus (cv. Westar) and Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized and germinated on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (pH 5.8) containing 0.8% agar at 22–25°C. After 10 days, germinated B. napus and Arabidopsis seedlings were transferred into soil in the greenhouse. Plants were grown under a long-day light cycle (16 h light/8 h dark) and the humidity was maintained at 65%.

Gene Cloning, Vector Construction and Plant Transformation

From Pi starvation induced genes in B. napus, one clone was identified as homologous gene of AtPHR1 and consequently designated as BnPHR1, which may be the major regulator of Pi-starvation response in B. napus. With the gene-specific primers (BnPHR1R1/B, Table 1), the open reading frame of BnPHR1 was amplified and then cloned into pBluescript SK vector for sequencing verification.

The coding sequence of BnPHR1 was cloned into pBI121 vector, replacing GUS gene. The pBI121-BnPHR1 construct was

| Name       | Sequences                          |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| BnPHR1F    | gcctcaagagggcgctgtgctg            |
| BnPHR1R1   | ggggttggctagctggtttggg            |
| BnPHR1RT2  | tcgagttctggtttggg                  |
| BnPHR1p2   | gcaaggcttgagcagataagaaacgg         |
| Lb1        | tgtgtccatctgtgggccatc             |
| Lb1        | cgctggccacgcttgctgcaact           |
| Lb1        | gcgagacacccagacctgctc             |
| RPS29      | cttttgtgcacagatctgtgag            |
| phr1-f     | gaaggcgacgacagataccttcgagctc      |
| phr1-r     | tcaattatgacccatgggacg             |
| BnACT2F    | tgtgtgttctagccgcaagacatca         |
| BnACT2R    | cttgtagcttcaccaatttccggc          |
| AACT2F     | gaatccacagacccgcttgc             |
| AACT2R     | aagcttcgactttgagcg                |
| ATPT2p1    | acagttggtgacacattatggttgc         |
| ATPT2p2    | gttgattacgacaccccttcctc           |
| BnPT2p1    | aattggaggggaaaataacagacacacagattctgtaaagg |
| BnPT2p2    | ccgctctataccatcaacagcttccggttatattcctcccttc |
| BnPT2R1    | gtacgccgcagatctttccacgc          |
| BnPT2R2    | ctacacaattgagggcgcttgc            |
| IPS1RT1    | tctatctttggaacaggcttgctc         |
| IPS1RT2    | catgcagcttgctagctatccaccaacacac |
| ATPT1RT1   | cattttggtgaccccttggtgc          |
| ATPT1RT2   | gaaacaaacaacaacaccaggactccctc    |
| ATPT2R1    | attaggagacagattggg                  |
| ATPT2R2    | caaactatgtggagccgtc              |
| ATPT2RT3   | tcaacaaagaggaagag                 |
| ACP5R1     | gcctgamgagagggagagatatgacccagtg  |
| ACP5R2     | gggagccactttagcgtgcaatagggac      |
| Pho1RT1    | gagattcagaggtcttgtgcctgaccttc     |
| Pho1RT2    | gatgcaaatggtgacacctctcctc        |
| RNS1RT1    | caaaccaagagccgaaatccg            |
| RNS1RT2    | gatcagtttggctcagagcagctc         |

Table 1. Gene-specific primers or oligonucleotides used in this paper.
introduced into Arabidopsis by floral dip method. The transformed seeds were selected on MS medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin. Homozygous lines of T3 and T4 generations were used for low Pi treatment and phenotype analysis.

The pBI121-BnPHR1 construct was also used for *B. napus* transformation. An *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated *B. napus* transformation system was modified from the above Arabidopsis floral dip method. The transgenic seeds were selected on MS medium containing 400 mg/L kanamycin. T2 generation transgenic plants were used for analyses of phenotype, genes expression and Pi content.

Identification of Arabidopsis *phr1* Mutant and Complementation of *BnPHR1*

The *phr1* mutant (SALK_067629) was obtained from the SALK lines collection. The homozygous *phr1* mutant, which was selected by PCR-based genomic identification with four primers (LBa1, LBb1, LP629 and RP629, Table 1), was confirmed by RT-PCR. The coding sequence of *BnPHR1* was cloned into the modified pCAMBIA1301 vector with hygromycin resistance and introduced into *phr1* mutant. The transformed seeds were selected on MS medium containing 250 mg/L hygromycin.

Isolation of BnPHR1 Promoter and Histochemical Assay of GUS Activity

*BnPHR1* promoter was isolated from *B. napus* using Genome Walking Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). A 1,265 bp 5'-flanking fragment of *BnPHR1* gene was amplified by PCR, using a pair of primers (BnPHR1p1/2, Table 1). *BnPHR1* promoter fragment was subcloned into pBI101 vector to generate the chimeric *BnPHR1*GUS construct. The *BnPHR1*GUS transgenic Arabidopsis was obtained by the floral dip method described as above.

Histochemical assays of GUS activity in transgenic Arabidopsis were conducted according to a modified protocol [28]. The five-day-old and eight-day-old seedlings of *BnPHR1*GUS transgenic Arabidopsis were transferred on MS with 1 nM and 1 μM Pi to vertically growth for 72 h. The samples were incubated at 37°C 2–4 h in a GUS reaction buffer including 3-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-glucuronic acid (X-Gluc). Chlorophyll was cleared from plant tissues by immersing them in 70% ethanol. GUS staining patterns were confirmed by observing at least five different transgenic lines. The representative stained seedlings or tissues were imaged using a Leica MZ16f stereomicroscope (Leica, Germany).

Subcellular Localization and Transcriptional Activity Analysis

The coding sequence of BnPHR1 was cloned into a pBI-eGFP vector to obtain 35S:BnPHR1-GFP construct, and introduced in Arabidopsis by the floral dip method described as above. The transgenic seedlings were transferred to 1 μM Pi for 72 h. Subsequently, fluorescence microscopy was performed on a SP5 Meta confocal laser microscope (Leica, Germany). The roots of the transgenic seedlings were examined with a filter set for GFP fluorescence (488 nm for excitation and 506–538 nm for emission). SP5 software (Leica, Germany) was employed to record and process the digital images taken.

![Figure 1. The characterization of BnPHR1 gene and its deduced protein.](https://www.plosone.org_attachment_id/1371)
The coding sequence of **BnPHR1** was cloned into pGBKT7 (Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) which containing GAL4 DNA binding domain (BD). The BD-BnPHR1 construct was transformed into the yeast strain AH109 and Y187, and three reporter genes HIS, ADE and lacZ were tested by streaking the yeast AH109 and Y187 transformants on SD/-Trp, SD/-His/-Trp and SD/-Ade/-Trp nutrition-deficient medium (B). The transcription activity of BnPHR1 was measured by α-galactosidase activity assay (C).

Pi and Anthocyanin Content Assay
Pi content and anthocyanin content were measured by the methods described previously [30].
Northern and Western Blot Analysis

Total RNA from plants (100 mg, FW) was isolated with TRIZOL® reagent (Invitrogen), and Northern blot analysis was carried out as described previously [28]. In brief, 20 mg total RNA was separated on a 1.2% formaldehyde/agarose gel and blotted onto a nylon membrane. The membrane was incubated with the α-32P-labeled probe at 65°C overnight, and washed under high-stringency conditions. Autoradiography was done at 270°C.

Total protein was extracted from 35S:GFP and 35S:BnPHR1-GFP transgenic Arabidopsis following the protocols described previously [31]. Protein concentration was determined using Bradford reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) with BSA as a standard. For immunoblot analysis, 15 mg of total protein per lane was separated on SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, proteins were electrotransferred to Hybond-C membrane (Amersham) using Trans-Blot cell (Bio-Rad), and the anti-GFP antibody (Invitrogen; 1:2000) was used in protein blot analysis. Primary antibodies were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence peroxidase-labeled anti-mouse secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia) and visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL Advance Western Blotting detection kit; Amersham Pharmacia).

Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

The expression of genes was analyzed by real-time quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR using the fluorescent intercalating dye SYBR Green in a detection system (MJ Research, Opticon 2). The AtActin2 (AtACT2) and BnActin2 (BnACT2) genes were used as standard control in the quantitative RT-PCR reactions. Two-step RT-PCR procedure was performed using a method described earlier [32].

ChIP Assay

ChIP assay was performed according to the previously described protocol [33]. The seedling roots of 35S:GFP and 35S:BnPHR1-GFP transgenic Arabidopsis were cross-linked by formaldehyde and the purified cross-linked nuclei were then sonicated to shear the chromatin into suitably sized fragments. The GFP antibody that specifically recognizes the recombinant BnPHR1-GFP was used to immunoprecipitate DNA/protein complexes from the chromatin preparation. DNA in the precipitated complexes was recovered and analyzed by real time quantitative PCR described above. A 142 bp fragment within the promoter of AtPT2 was amplified by the specific primer pair AtPT2p1/p2 (Table 1). To ensure the reliability of ChIP data, the...
input sample and non-antibody control sample were analyzed with each primer set. As the negative control, a 161 bp ATPT2 genomic DNA fragment (from +1350 to +1510 bp downstream of ATPT2 start codon) was amplified by a pair of primers ATPT2RT1/3 (Table 1).

EMSA Assay
EMSA was carried out using a molecular probes’ fluorescence-based EMSA Kit (Invitrogen). The coding sequence of BnPHR1 was cloned into pMAL-c2 and inserted downstream from the malE gene, which encodes maltose-binding protein (MBP), resulting in the expression of an MBP-BnPHR1 fusion protein. The MBP-BnPHR1 fusion proteins, which were purified from Escherichia coli strain BL21 (DE3) using MBP’s affinity for maltose (NEW ENGLAND), was used in protein/DNA binding analysis. A pair of 45-bp oligonucleotides BnPT2p1/p2 (Table 1) from specific promoter of BnPT2 was synthesized and annealed as DNA probes for EMSA assay. The MBP-BnPHR1 and DNA probes binding reaction was performed in binding buffer (750 mM KCl, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4) and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The reaction mixture was separated by non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The gel was stained DNA with SYBR® Green EMSA Nucleic Acid Gel Stain and imaged using 254 nm UV illumination. After the staining of DNA, the protein was stained with SYPRO® Ruby EMSA stain.

Yeast One-hybrid Analysis
To confirm BnPHR1 interacting with BnPT2 promoter in cells, the Matchmaker Gold yeast one-hybrid system (Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was employed. BnPT2 promoter (1.2 kb) was inserted upstream of the AUR1-C gene in the pAbAi vector, and then efficiently integrated into the genome of the Y1HGold yeast strain by homologous recombination.

The coding sequence of BnPHR1 was cloned into pGADT7 containing the GAL4 activation domain to create the fusion construct of GAL4-BnPHR1 which was transformed into Y1HGold/BnPT2P reporter yeast strain. Aureobasidin A (AbA) is a cyclic depsipeptide antibiotic, which is toxic to yeast at low concentrations. The resistance to AbA is conferred by AbAr gene (AUR-1C), which is the reporter on the bait vector pAbAi. When BnPHR1 binds to BnPT2 promoter sequence, the GAL4 AD activates expression of AbAr which allows the cells to grow on medium containing the AbA antibiotic.

Results
BnPHR1 is a Transcription Activator
From Pi starvation response genes in B. napus, one clone (cDNA) was identified as homologous gene of AtPHR1 and consequently designated as BnPHR1 (accession number in Gen Bank: JN806156). BnPHR1 composed of 418 amino acids shares 80% identity with AtPHR1. Sequence alignment shows that BnPHR1 is a novel member of MYB-CC gene family in B. napus with high conservation in both MYB domain and coiled-coil domain (Figure 1A). BnPHR1 genomic DNA was 1,847 bp including six introns (Figure 1B).

It also contains a conserved nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Figure 1A). To confirm its nuclear localization, BnPHR1 was fused with an enhanced GFP (eGFP) in transgenic Arabidopsis. As shown in Figure 2A, GFP fluorescence was strongly detected only in the nuclei, demonstrating that BnPHR1 is a nuclear-localized protein.

To analyze the transcription activation ability of BnPHR1, an autonomous gene activation test was performed in yeast system. BnPHR1 was fused to the binding domain (BD) of yeast transcription factor GAL4, and transferred into yeast strain AH109 and Y187 for excluding false positives. On minimal synthetic dextrose (SD) medium lacking Trp, yeast strains with both BD and BD-BnPHR1 vectors grew well. However, on double nutrition-deficient SD medium (SD−Trp-His or SD−/−Trp-Ade), only AH109 strain with BD-BnPHR1 protein could grow.
The results indicated that \textit{BnPHR1} could activate the \textit{HIS3} and \textit{ADE2} reporter genes in yeast strain AH109. The transcription activities of these strains were also measured by α-galactosidase (encoded by reporter gene \textit{MEL1}) activity assay. The results indicated that \textit{BnPHR1} expressed predominantly in roots and flowers (Figure 3A). On the other hand, \textit{BnPHR1} mRNAs are slightly accumulated in shoots and leaves at 72 h after Pi starvation stress (Fig. 3B). The localization of \textit{GUS} expression under the control of \textit{BnPHR1} promoter in transgenic \textit{Arabidopsis} was examined. Histochemical staining of \textit{GUS} activity revealed that \textit{BnPHR1} promoter was active in roots, especially at the early developmental stage of seedlings (Figure 4A and 4C). In low (1 μM) Pi conditions, \textit{GUS} activity was still high in roots, but was undetected in tips of elongated roots, especially in tips of primary roots (Figure 4B and 4D). The results suggested that \textit{BnPHR1} may play an important role in early root development and its activity in roots is modulated by external Pi.

\textbf{Expression Pattern of \textit{BnPHR1} in \textit{B. napus}}

\textit{BnPHR1} expression in \textit{B. napus} tissues was analyzed by RT-PCR. The results showed that \textit{BnPHR1} expressed predominantly in roots and flowers (Figure 3A). On the other hand, \textit{BnPHR1} mRNAs are slightly accumulated in shoots and leaves at 72 h after Pi starvation stress (Fig. 3B). The localization of \textit{GUS} expression under the control of \textit{BnPHR1} promoter in transgenic \textit{Arabidopsis} was examined. Histochemical staining of \textit{GUS} activity revealed that \textit{BnPHR1} promoter was active in roots, especially at the early developmental stage of seedlings (Figure 4A and 4C). In low (1 μM) Pi conditions, \textit{GUS} activity was still high in roots, but was undetected in tips of elongated roots, especially in tips of primary roots (Figure 4B and 4D). The results suggested that \textit{BnPHR1} may play an important role in early root development and its activity in roots is modulated by external Pi.
The Phenotypic Defects of *phr1* are Rescued by *BnPHR1*

The *phr1* knockout mutant (SALK_067629) was obtained from the SALK lines collection (Figure 5A). The homozygous *phr1* mutant was identified by PCR (Figure 5B) and then confirmed that *AtPHR1* transcripts were undetectable in mutant lines (Figure 5C). In Pi starvation condition, the distinct phenotype of *phr1* mutant was the impairment of anthocyanins accumulation, which resulted in no dark-purple leaves. The quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that *BnPHR1* transcripts were accumulated at high level in *phr1/35S:BnPHR1* plants (Figure 5C). In Pi starvation conditions, the *phr1/35S:BnPHR1* plants displayed dark-purple leaves, like those of wild type, indicating *BnPHR1* shares similar function with *AtPHR1* in Pi starvation and rescues the phenotypic defects of *phr1* mutant (Figure 5D).

*BnPHR1* Overexpression Affects Growth of Arabidopsis and *B. napus*

*BnPHR1* rescued the phenotypic defects of *phr1* suggested that *BnPHR1* could share similar functions with *AtPHR1* in Pi starvation (Figure 5). To investigate the functions of *BnPHR1* in Pi starvation, *BnPHR1* controlled by 35S promoter was introduced into Arabidopsis. *BnPHR1* transcripts in the transgenic lines were detected by Northern blotting (Figure 6A). On MS medium with high Pi level (1 mM Pi), the growth of 35S:*BnPHR1* seedlings was superior to wild type and *phr1* mutant (Figure 6B). On MS medium with low Pi (1 μM Pi), 35S:*BnPHR1* seedlings still grew relatively better than that of wild type and *phr1* mutant (Figure 6C). The fresh weight of per 35S:*BnPHR1* seedling was more than that of WT and *phr1* in both high Pi and low Pi conditions (Figure 6D). Although there was no significant difference in length of primary roots between wild type and *phr1* mutant in high Pi conditions, the length of primary roots of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was longer than that of wild type and *phr1* mutant in both high Pi and low Pi conditions (Figure 6E). Furthermore, the number of lateral roots of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants were superior to those of wild type and *phr1* mutant (Figure 6F).

The results indicated that *BnPHR1* overexpression was in favor of Arabidopsis growth and development in early seedling development. In low Pi (1 μM Pi), 35S:*BnPHR1* lines presented dark-purple leaves, especially the dark-purple leafstalks, showing the accumulation of anthocyanins (Figure 6C and 6G).

To investigate effects of *BnPHR1* on Arabidopsis at later developmental stages, the 35S:*BnPHR1* plants were grown in soil fertilized with 1.0 mM Pi. The wild type (WT), *phr1* mutant and 35S:*BnPHR1* plants were almost identical on development after one week (Figure 7A). However, after two weeks, the growth of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was retarded and the fresh weight of wild type and *phr1* mutants was more than that of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants (Figure 7B and 7D). After plants bolting, the size of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was obviously smaller (Figure 7C). These results indicated that the growth of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was accelerated at early stage and then inhibited at later stage of plant development.

To investigate the effects of *BnPHR1* overexpression on *B. napus*, we established a new *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated *B. napus* transformation system, by which the 35S:*BnPHR1* construct was introduced into *B. napus* and over 30 transformants were obtained. The transgenic lines with enhanced *BnPHR1* expression were selected for phenotypic analysis (Figure 8A). The 35S:*BnPHR1* and wild type plants were cultured in soil fertilized with 1.0 mM Pi. After three weeks, the 35S:*BnPHR1* plants grew much slower than that of wild type (data not shown). After five weeks, the size of the 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was much smaller than that of wild type (Figure 8B). After seven weeks, the chlorosis on leaves of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants was observed, but not found in wild type (Figure 8C and 8D). After seven weeks, the fresh weight of wild type plants was more than that of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants, which was 60.5 and 37.0 g respectively (Figure 8G).

Furthermore, the dark-purple pigment was accumulated nearby the nodes of basal leaves in 35S:*BnPHR1* plants, but not in wild type (Figure 8E and 8F). The analysis of anthocyanin content revealed that there was more anthocyanin accumulated in shoots of 35S:*BnPHR1* plants than that of wild type plants (Figure 8H).
Overexpression Results in Excessive Phosphate Accumulation in Transgenic Plants

To investigate possible mechanism of the retarded growth of transgenic plants, Pi content in 35S:BnPHR1 Arabidopsis and B. napus was measured. The results indicated that Pi content in 35S:BnPHR1 plants was much higher than that of wild type. The content of Pi was 91.4 and 28.3 μmole/g in shoots and roots of 35S:BnPHR1 Arabidopsis, whereas only 23.6 and 5.6 μmole/g Pi in shoots and roots of wild type, respectively (Figure 7E). Similarly, Pi content in shoots of 35S:BnPHR1 B. napus was about three-fold higher than that of wild type (Figure 8I). The data suggested that overexpression of BnPHR1 caused excessive Pi accumulation in transgenic plants.

BnPHR1 Directly Regulates PT2 Gene Encoding High-affinity Pi Transporter

Expression of eight Pi starvation-related genes (including IPS1, one member of the Mt4/TPSI1 family, ATPT1 and ATPT2 encoding a high affinity Pi transporter, ACP5 encoding an acid phosphatase, PHO1 involved in Pi loading into xylem, PHO2 encoding a ubiquitin-conjugating E2 enzyme involved in phosphate starvation response, MIR399a encoding a phosphate starvation-responsive microRNA that targets PHO2, and RNS1 encoding a RNase) in 35S:BnPHR1 Arabidopsis was analyzed by real time qRT-PCR, using gene-specific primers (Table 1). Except PHO1 and PHO2, the expression of the other six genes was increased in 35S:BnPHR1 Arabidopsis (Figure 9). ATPT2 was significantly up-regulated over four folds in Pi sufficient conditions.

In Arabidopsis, AtPHR1 could bind a P1BS cis-element (GNATATNC) in the promoter of its target gene, AtIPS1 [14]. In ATPT2 promoter, there was a two-overlapped GNATATNC motif (Figure 10A). To confirm ATPT2 is one of the target genes of BnPHR1 in 35S:BnPHR1 Arabidopsis, interaction between BnPHR1 and ATPT2 promoter was detected by ChIP assay. The 35S:GFP and 35S:BnPHR1-GFP Arabidopsis was identified by Western blotting assay using GFP antibody (Figure 10B), demonstrating that GFP protein and BnPHR1-GFP fusion protein were constitutively accumulated in transgenic plants and GFP antibody could be employed in ChIP assay. Based on ATPT2 promoter sequence, a pair of primers ATPT2p1/p2 (Table 1), which could amplify 142 bp DNA fragment including the two-overlapped GNATATNC motif, was designed for PCR in ChIP analysis as negative control. As shown...
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in Figure 10C, BnPHR1-GFP fusion protein strongly interacted with ATPT2 promoter which encompassed GNATATNC motif, whereas no interaction between GFP and ATPT2 promoter was detected. And BnPHR1-GFP fusion protein could not interact with the DNA fragment in ATPT2 coding region (Figure 10D). These results suggested that ATPT2 is the target gene of BnPHR1 in transgenic Arabidopsis.

We identified some Pi starvation-induced genes (including BnPPT2) in B. napus [30]. The qRT-PCR analysis revealed that BnPPT2 was significantly up-regulated in 35S:BnPHR1 B. napus (Figure 11E). By Genome Walker PCR, a 1 276 bp fragment of 5′-upstream region of BnPPT2 gene was isolated from B. napus genome. BnPPT2 promoter contains two P1BS cis-elements (GNATATNC), which are localized from −778 to −771 and −1024 to −1017 bp upstream of BnPPT2 start codon (ATG) respectively (Figure 11A).

To confirm BnPHR1 as a transcription factor binding to GNATATNC elements in BnPPT2 promoter and regulating BnPPT2 expression, protein-DNA binding assay was carried out by EMSA assay. BnPHR1 protein was expressed and purified by pMAL-c2 system. A pair of 45-bp oligonucleotides, BnPPT2p1/p2 (Table 1) containing two GNATATNC elements in BnPPT2 promoter were synthesized and annealed to double chain DNA as DNA probes. After stained DNA with SYBR® Green EMSA Nucleic Acid Gel Stain, a large molecular weight DNA band was presented in MBP-BnPHR1/DNA lane, whereas the band was not detected in MBP/DNA, MBP, MBP-BnPHR1 and DNA lanes (Figure 11B). After stained protein with the SYPRO® Ruby EMSA stain, besides a band of MBP-BnPHR1, a larger-size band represented protein-DNA complex was observed in MBP-BnPHR1/DNA lane, but not found in other lanes (Figure 11C). The results suggested that in vitro BnPHR1 could bind BnPPT2 promoter.

To validate BnPHR1 binding BnPPT2 promoter in vivo, yeast one-hybrid assay was employed. As shown in Figure 11D, yeast cells with the effector (AD-BnPHR1) and BnPPT2 promoter/AUR1-C reporter gene grew well on both SD/-Leu medium and SD/-Leu/AbA medium. In contrast, the control cells with AD-BnPHR1 and AUR1-C only grew on SD/-Leu medium, but not on SD/-Leu/AbA medium. These data indicated that BnPHR1 could bind BnPPT2 promoter to activate AUR1-C gene in yeast cells.

Discussion

B. napus is one of the important oil crops worldwide. Although B. napus, as Arabidopsis, belongs to crucifer plant, the conventional genetic transformation of B. napus is carried out using various explants, such as stem internodes, stem segments, cotyledonary petioles and hypocotyl segments, which is inefficient and laborious. In this work, based on the floral dip method of Arabidopsis transformation [34], an Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated B. napus transformation system was constructed and showed its feasibility to carry on functional genomics in B. napus.

Identification and cloning the key regulator of low Pi adaptation is significant for B. napus genetic modification. From B. napus cDNA library, one AtPHR1-like gene, BnPHR1, was identified. AtPHR1 as a transcription factor belongs to the members of MYB-CC family with a single repeat MYB domain and a coiled-coil domain [14]. In BnPHR1, the two conserved domains were also present (Figure 1). The high conservation of protein and gene structures between BnPHR1 and AtPHR1 implies both of them are evolved from a mutual ancestor. In BnPHR1, a putative

Figure 8. The retarded growth of BnPHR1 overexpressing B. napus in soil. (A) The expressing analysis of BnPHR1 in wild type (WT) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic B. napus by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. (B) The five-week old wild type (left) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic (right) B. napus grew in soil with high Pi. The seven-week old wild type (C) and transiently transformed (D) B. napus grew in high Pi conditions in soil. The nodes of basal leaves in wild type (E) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic (F) plants. (G) The fresh weight of seven-week-old wild type (WT) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic B. napus plants in soil. (H) Anthocyanins content in shoots of wild type (WT) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic B. napus. (I) Phosphate content in shoots of wild type (WT) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic B. napus. Error bars indicate standard deviation (*0.01 < p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n = 10).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044005.g008

Figure 9. qRT-PCR analysis of Pi starvation response genes in transgenic Arabidopsis. The wild type (WT) and 35S:BnPHR1 transgenic Arabidopsis was cultured in 1 mM Pi, and then the total RNAs isolated from the seedlings were used in real time qRT-PCR analysis. The data are presented as means standard deviation (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044005.g009
nuclear localization signal was also found in peptides C-terminus (Figure 1). Our data confirmed the nuclear localization of BnPHR1 by GFP fluorescence assay (Figure 2A). An autonomous gene activation test indicated that BnPHR1 has transcription activation ability (Figure 2B), which is consistent with the result of OsPHR1 and OsPHR2, which are homologies of AtPHR1 in rice [17].

It has been reported that neither AtPHR1 nor OsPHR1/OsPHR2 are significantly regulated at transcriptional level under Pi starvation [14,17]. The post-translational modification was hypothesized to be the main activity regulation of AtPHR1, which could be sumoylated by AtSIZ1, a SUMO E3 ligase [19]. Our study revealed that the transcription of BnPHR1 was slightly induced by Pi starvation in shoots and roots of B. napus (Figure 3). The promoter activity analysis in Arabidopsis indicated that BnPHR1 promoter activity in seedling roots was very strong, implying that the regulation of Pi uptake and homeostasis by BnPHR1 is essential at early stage of plant development. Interestingly, under low Pi condition, BnPHR1 promoter activity was impaired in tips of both primary roots and the elongated lateral roots. At early stage of plant development, although the decreased promoter activity was found in root tip, the increased promoter activity was also found in other region of root. Generally, the promoter activity was increased in root under Pi deficiency conditions (Figure 4). Previous studies indicated that root is the sensitive organ to external Pi levels, and its architecture was altered in Pi deficiency. Under low Pi conditions, the growth and architecture of plant roots is modified, including promoted growth of lateral roots and inhibited growth of primary roots [3,4]. The transcriptional pattern of BnPHR1 in Pi starvation is consistent with the modification of growth and architecture of plant roots to Pi-starvation response. Our results suggested that BnPHR1, an important regulator of Pi starvation response, is modulated in transcriptional level by exogenous Pi. Therefore, regulation of BnPHR1 activity may be involved in both transcriptional level and post-translation modification.

Our data indicated BnPHR1 could rescue the phenotypic impairment of phr1 mutant in low Pi condition, indicating that BnPHR1 can substitute AtPHR1 for the signaling of Pi starvation response. In Pi deprivation, a series of genes have been identified as Pi starvation-induced genes in Arabidopsis [7,15,35] and some of them were regulated by AtPHR1 [14,36]. AtPHR1 may be a central positive regulator of most, but not all, Pi starvation-induced genes [37]. The OsPHR1 and OsPHR2, the homologies of AtPHR1 in rice, also could regulate several Pi starvation response genes [17]. In transgenic Arabidopsis, the Pi starvation induced genes (ACP5, IPS1, ATPT1, ATPT2, MIR399a and RNS1) were up-regulated by BnPHR1. ACP5 encodes an acid phosphatase that promotes phosphate mobilization [38]. AtIPS1 plays a role in control of P homeostasis by inhibiting the cleavage of PHO2 [39]. ATPT1 and ATPT2 encoding Ph1 phosphate transporters play significant roles in Pi acquisition from both low- and high-Pi environments [40]. MIR399a encodes a phosphate starvation-responsive microRNA that targets an ubiquitin-conjugating E2 enzyme PHO2 involved in phosphate starvation response [36]. The MIR399/PHO2 pathway as a subcomponent of the Pi-signaling network operates downstream of PHR1 and regulates a subset of Pi-dependent responses in Arabidopsis [36]. The activation of these genes (especially the genes encoding high-affinity Pi transporters) is favor of the uptake and homeostasis of Pi

Figure 10. BnPHR1 directly regulating ATPT2 encoding high-affinity Pi transporter in transgenic Arabidopsis. (A) The partial sequence of ATPT2 promoter containing two overlapped P1BS cis-elements (GNATATNC) (uppercase and underlined). (B) Western blotting assay of 35S:GFP and 35S:BnPHR1-GFP transgenic Arabidopsis with GFP antibody. (C-D) ChIP-qPCR analysis of the ATPT2 promoter sequence. ChIP assay was performed with chromatin prepared from 35S:GFP and 35S:BnPHR1-GFP transgenic Arabidopsis roots. Genomic DNA fragments that communoprecipitated with GFP antibody were analyzed by real time qPCR using primers amplifying ATPT2 promoter fragment (C) and ATPT2 coding fragment (D) respectively. The experiments were repeated three times, and three replicates were included for each sample in each experiment. The data are presented as means standard deviation (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044005.g010
in Arabidopsis. In transgenic *B. napus* with overexpressing *BnPHR1*, the expression of *BnPT2* encoding a high-affinity Pi transporter was significantly increased. Potentially, whether in transgenic Arabidopsis or *B. napus*, *BnPHR1* is the positive regulator for the genes encoding high-affinity Pi transporters. Previous study supposed that AtPHR1, as transcription factor, might bind to a conserved *cis* element GNATATNC of *IPS1* promoter [14]. By motif scanning, the *cis* element GNATATNC

---

**Figure 11.** *BnPT2* is the target gene of *BnPHR1* in *B. napus*. (A) The partial sequence of *BnPT2* promoter. By Genome Walker PCR, a 1 276 bp DNA fragment of upstream region *BnPT2* gene was isolated from *B. napus* genomic DNA. By motif scanning, two P1BS *cis*-elements (GNATATNC) (uppercase and underlined) were found in *BnPT2* promoter sequence. (B–C) EMSA of *BnPHR1* binding to DNA fragments from the promoter of *BnPT2*. (B) The gel was stained with SYBR® Green EMSA stain. (C) The same gel as in (B) stained with SYPRO® Ruby EMSA stain. The MBP-*BnPHR1*/DNA complex is observed in both DNA and protein staining. The MBP protein was used as negative control. (D) Interaction between *BnPHR1* and the *BnPT2* promoter sequence in yeast one-hybrid assays. (E) *BnPT2* expression in wild type (WT) and 35S:*BnPHR1* transgenic *B. napus* by real time qRT-PCR analysis. Error bars indicate standard deviation (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044005.g011
was found in promoters of \textit{ATPT2} and \textit{BnPT2} genes. By ChIP analysis, we provided the direct evidence that \textit{in vivo} BnPHR1 binds the promoter of \textit{ATPT2} in transgenic Arabidopsis. The EMSA and yeast one-hybrid assay also showed that BnPHR1 binds the promoter of \textit{BnPT2} in \textit{B. napus}. The data confirmed that the genes encoding high affinity phosphate transporters are the targets of BnPHR1 as crucial regulator in phosphate starvation response.

Our data indicated that the content of Pi in shoots of transgenic plants was increased, suggesting that the activation of the high-affinity Pi transporters by BnPHR1 overexpression resulted in the promotion of Pi uptake in transgenic plants. Similarly, previous study revealed that Pi content in shoots of \textit{AphiR1} overexpression Arabidopsis was increased, whereas Pi content in shoots of \textit{phr1} mutant was decreased in high Pi condition [15]. However, the effects of Pi-level change on growth of the mature Arabidopsis plants are unavailable. In our data, the excessive Pi accumulation in transgenic plants could be the key cause of the retarded growth and smaller plant size, because of Pi toxicity. The chlorosis on leaves of transgenic \textit{B. napus}, one of the characteristics of Pi toxicity, was also observed. These data suggested that BnPHR1 is the important regulator for Pi uptake and homeostasis in plants.

Based on the data presented in this study, we hypothesize that BnPHR1 in the signaling pathway for plant response to low Pi is a crucial component. In Pi starvation, BnPHR1, as a positive regulator, directly activates a subset of genes, including the genes encoding the high-affinity Pi transporters, which promote the uptake of Pi for plant growth.
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