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Dataset from shake-table testing of four full-scale URM walls in a two-way bending configuration subjected to combined out-of-plane horizontal and vertical excitation
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

This data article provides experimental data obtained from the incremental dynamic shake-table testing of four single leaf unreinforced masonry (URM) walls constructed in Calcium Silicate (CS) bricks reported in “Two-way bending experimental response of URM walls subjected to combined horizontal and vertical seismic excitation” [1]. These walls were tested in the second phase of a larger experimental campaign addressing the out-of-plane (OOP) response of full-scale URM panels in two-way bending configurations at EUCENTRE, Pavia, Italy. Data corresponding to the first phase of testing for four single leaf and one cavity wall has already been made available through Tomassetti et al. [2] and the information necessary to interpret these results can be found in Graziotti et al. [3]. The walls of the tests reported in this data article were constructed in an intentionally weakened mortar but using the same Calcium Silicate (CS) bricks as Graziotti et al. [3]. The walls were also tested in boundary conditions that were not addressed in [3] and a specimen was also subjected to simultaneous horizontal OOP and vertical seismic excitation in one of the tests. Videos documenting
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the failure of specimens tested in both phases of the experimental campaign can be viewed online on YouTube [4].
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Specifications table

| Subject area | Engineering |
| --- | --- |
| More specific subject area | Structural dynamics, Earthquake engineering |
| Type of data | Experimentally recorded raw data that was subsequently filtered and processed, tables and figures explaining the organisation of the data. |
| How data were acquired | All full-scale walls were equipped with wire potentiometers, linear potentiometers, accelerometers and a 3-D optical motion-capture system |
| Data format | Experimentally recorded raw data which was subsequently filtered and processed is provided in .txt files |
| Parameters for data collection | The tested specimens were unreinforced masonry walls in geometries and support conditions that can be commonly found in practice. |
| Description of data collection | Incremental unidirectional and bi-directional dynamic shake-table tests were performed up to collapse conditions of the specimens, using input motions compatible with the induced-seismicity scenario for the Groningen region of the Netherlands |
| Data source location | The reported experiments were performed on the multiaxial shake table of the 6Dlab of EUCENTRE in Pavia, Italy |
| Data accessibility | Experimentally recorded data is included with this article as supplementary material. The same can also be downloaded from the EUCENTRE repository at the URL www.eucentre.it/nam-project/?lang=en |
| Related research article | S. Sharma, U. Tomassetti, L. Grottoli, F. Graziotti, Two-way bending experimental response of URM walls subjected to combined horizontal and vertical seismic excitation, Eng. Struct. 2020; doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2020.110537 [1] |

Value of the data

• The data provided with this article corresponds to one of the first experimental campaigns in literature addressing the dynamic out-of-plane two-way bending response of full-scale unreinforced masonry walls.
• The data elaborated from laboratory tests in this article can be used as a benchmark for numerical models in literature (e.g. [5–12]) for their calibration and development in simulating the out-of-plane two-way bending response of URM walls.
• Analytical methods and design rules (e.g. [13,14]) to assess the out-of-plane two-way bending response of URM walls can be validated and developed using this data.

1. Data

Experimentally recorded data during the shake table testing of four single leaf full-scale unreinforced masonry walls (Fig. 1) is provided with this article. Sensors were used to measure accelerations and displacements of these walls in every test of the testing sequence (Table 3 of reference article [1]). Frequencies higher than 50 Hz were filtered from the experimentally recorded raw data. Displacement recordings are provided in units of mm while accelerations and forces are given in units of g and kN, respectively.

The position of the sensors on the specimens along with their operational status in the dynamic tests is provided in Tables 1–3 and Figs. 2–4. If a particular instrument was not recording in certain tests of the testing sequence, these test numbers are indicated in the column “Offline” of Tables 1–3. In the same column, “−−” indicates that the instrument was recording in every run
Fig. 1. Geometry, mass and direction of applied excitation for the specimens (all dimensions in m) [1] and QR code to access YouTube playlist [4] documenting the failure of specimens.

of the entire testing sequence. The mass distribution adopted to calculate the inertial force of the OOP panel (which is also provided in the data) has been provided in Figs. 2–4. It is to be noted that this distribution was changed through the incremental dynamic testing sequence to take into account the progression of cracks and damage. The lumped masses that were associated with each accelerometer as a result of these mass distributions have been indicated in Tables 1–3. For more details on how the inertial force associated with each specimen was calculated, the reader is directed to the reference article [1].

The data corresponding to all the tests of the testing sequence (Table 3 of the reference article [1]) of a specimen is provided in a folder named as the specimen itself i.e. all data corresponding to the specimen CS-000-RFV [1] is grouped in a folder named as “CS-000-RFV”. Multiple .txt files can be found inside each folder. Each .txt file corresponds to a single test of the testing sequence and is also named accordingly i.e. as “TestT#” with “T#” referring to the test number from Table 3 of the reference article [1]. The first column of every .txt file corresponds to a time vector while all other columns to instrument recordings as per the number indicated under “Col.” in Tables 1–3. It is to be noted that, for specimens CS-000-L1 and CS-000-L2, which were tested simultaneously on the shake table, the results for both specimens are provided in a single .txt file contained in a folder named “CS-000-L1&L2”. Figs. 2–4 provides a visual representation of the instrumentation adopted for each specimen. The exact coordinates of the location of each instrument is provided in Tables 1–3.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

All the full-scale specimens were tested on the multiaxial shake table of the new 6DLab of EUCENTRE, Pavia, Italy. The horizontal (OOP) input motions used corresponded to acclero-
| No. | Col. | Instr. | Description                                           | Offline | Location | Associated Mass |
|-----|------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|
|     |      |        |                                                       |         | X [mm]   | 1st [kg] 2nd [kg] 3rd [kg] |
| 1   | 1    | -      | 'Time [s]'                                            | -       | -        | -               |
| 2   | 2    | Accelerometer | 'Shake Table X Acceleration [g]'             | -       | -        | -               |
| 3   | 3    | Accelerometer | 'Shake Table Z Acceleration [g]'             | -       | -        | -               |
| 4   | 4    | Accelerometer | 'Foundation X Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | -        | -               |
| 5   | 5    | Accelerometer | 'Foundation Z Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | -        | -               |
| 6   | 6    | Accelerometer | 'Frame X Acceleration [g]'                       | -       | -        | -               |
| 7   | 7    | Accelerometer | 'Frame Z Acceleration [g]'                       | -       | -        | -               |
| 8   | 8    | Accelerometer | 'Side A Ret. Wall X Acceleration [g]'           | -       | -        | 128 128 166 |
| 9   | 9    | Accelerometer | 'Side A Ret. Wall Z Acceleration [g]'           | -       | -        | -               |
| 10  | 10   | Accelerometer | 'Side C Ret. Wall X Acceleration [g]'           | -       | -        | 128 33 34     |
| 11  | 11   | Accelerometer | 'Side C Ret. Wall Z Acceleration [g]'           | -       | -        | -               |
| 12  | 12   | Accelerometer | '1/4 B Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | 28      | 1995 775 | 251 312 -     |
| 13  | 13   | Accelerometer | '1/2 A Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | 885 1425 | 255 255 195 |
| 14  | 14   | Accelerometer | '1/2 B Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | 1995 1505 | 126 126 131 |
| 15  | 15   | Accelerometer | '1/2 B Wall Z Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | 1995 1505 | -               |
| 16  | 16   | Accelerometer | '1/2 C Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | 3105 1425 | 242 306 558 |
| 17  | 17   | Accelerometer | '3/4 B Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | 28      | 1995 2070 | 242 234 -     |
| 18  | 18   | Accelerometer | '4/4 B Wall X Acceleration [g]'                 | -       | 1995 2640 | 223 233 245 |
| 19  | 19   | Accelerometer | '4/4 B Wall Z Acceleration [g]'                 | 1–16    | 1995 2640 | -               |
| 20  | 20   | Potentiometer | 'Shake Table X Displacement [mm]'           | -       | -        | -               |
| 21  | 21   | Potentiometer | 'Shake Table Z Displacement [mm]'           | -       | -        | -               |
| 22  | 22   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 885 775   | -               |
| 23  | 23   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 1995 775   | -               |
| 24  | 24   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 3105 775   | -               |
| 25  | 25   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/2 A Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 885 1425   | -               |
| 26  | 26   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/2 B Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 1995 1505   | -               |
| 27  | 27   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/2 C Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 3105 1425   | -               |
| 28  | 28   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '3/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 885 2070   | -               |
| 29  | 29   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '3/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 1995 2070   | -               |
| 30  | 30   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '3/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]'       | 21–28   | 3105 2070   | -               |
| 31  | 31   | Wire Potentiometer/ Optical | '4/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]'       | -       | 885 2720   | -               |
| 32  | 32   | Potentiometer/ Optical | '4/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]'       | -       | 1995 2720   | -               |
| 33  | 33   | Optical | '4/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]'       | -       | 3105 2720   | -               |
| 34  | 34   | Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/2 Side A OOP Detachment [mm]'   | -       | 220 1425   | -               |
| 35  | 35   | Potentiometer/ Optical | '4/4 Side A OOP Detachment [mm]'   | -       | 220 2640   | -               |
| 36  | 36   | Potentiometer/ Optical | '1/2 Side C OOP Detachment [mm]'   | -       | 3770 1425   | -               |
| 37  | 37   | Potentiometer/ Optical | '4/4 Side C OOP Detachment [mm]'   | -       | 3770 2640   | -               |
| 38  | 38   | -      | 'Inertial Force [kN]'                              | -       | -        | -               |
Table 2
CS-000-RF2 data organisation.

| Col. | Instr. | Description | Offline | Location | Associated Mass |
|------|--------|-------------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| 1    | -      | Time [s]'   | -       | -        | -               |
| 2    | Accelerometer | Shake Table Acceleration [g]' | -       | -        | -               |
| 3    | Accelerometer | Foundation Acceleration [g]' | -       | -        | 473             |
| 4    | Accelerometer | Frame Acceleration [g]' | -       | -        | -               |
| 5    | Accelerometer | Side A Ret. Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | -        | 108             |
| 6    | Accelerometer | Side C Ret. Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | -        | 108             |
| 7    | Accelerometer | 1/4 B Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 1995     | 260             |
| 8    | Accelerometer | 1/2 A Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 885      | 199             |
| 9    | Accelerometer | 1/2 B Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 1995     | 126             |
| 10   | Accelerometer | 1/2 C Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 3105     | 188             |
| 11   | Accelerometer | 3/4 A Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 885      | 165             |
| 12   | Accelerometer | 3/4 B Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 1995     | 121             |
| 13   | Accelerometer | 3/4 C Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 3105     | 157             |
| 14   | Accelerometer | 4/4 C Wall Acceleration [g]' | -       | 1995     | 150             |
| 15   | Potentiometer | Shake Table Displacement [mm]' | -       | -        | -               |
| 16   | Potentiometer | 1/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 885      | 855             |
| 17   | Potentiometer | 1/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 1995     | 855             |
| 18   | Potentiometer | 1/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 3105     | 855             |
| 19   | Potentiometer | 1/2 A Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 885      | 1425            |
| 20   | Potentiometer | 1/2 B Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 1995     | 1425            |
| 21   | Potentiometer | 1/2 C Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 3105     | 1425            |
| 22   | Potentiometer | 3/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 885      | 2070            |
| 23   | Potentiometer | 3/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 1995     | 2070            |
| 24   | Potentiometer | 3/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 3105     | 2070            |
| 25   | Potentiometer | 4/4 B Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 1995     | 2070            |
| 26   | Potentiometer | 4/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 3105     | 2070            |
| 27   | Potentiometer | 1/2 Side A OOP Detachment [mm]' | -       | 220      | 1425            |
| 28   | Potentiometer | 4/4 Side A OOP Detachment [mm]' | -       | 220      | 2640            |
| 29   | Potentiometer | 1/2 Side C OOP Detachment [mm]' | -       | 3770     | 1425            |
| 30   | -       | 'Inertial Force [kN]' | -       | -        | -               |
| 31   | Optical | 4/4 A Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 885      | 2720            |
| 32   | Optical | 4/4 C Wall Displacement [mm]' | -       | 3105     | 2720            |

Fig. 3. Instrumentation, mass distribution evolution and associated Test# for specimen CS-000-RF2.
grams recorded at the first floor level of a full-scale building prototype experimentally tested by Graziotti et al. [8]. The characteristics of these input motions can be found in Table 2 of the reference article [1]. Additionally, a specimen (CS-000-RFV) was subjected to simultaneous vertical and horizontal seismic input. The applied vertical excitations were recorded at the ground level of the same building prototype [8]. Low amplitude motions having a broad frequency bandwidth were also used in between test runs to document any change in the frequency associated with the first natural mode of vibration of the specimens.

The instrumentation adopted for each specimen consisted of accelerometers, potentiometers, wire potentiometers as well as a 3D optical acquisition system. Expected deformed shapes were used as the basis of choosing the location of the sensors on the wall specimens. In particular: accelerometers were installed to record acceleration-time histories, potentiometers were used to measure relative displacements and wire potentiometers to record displacements relative to different parts of the adopted setup or the shake table. The 3D optical acquisition system was also used to measure displacements at both locations equipped and not equipped with traditional instrumentation i.e. potentiometers and wire potentiometers. This system was especially useful in continuing to have sufficient locations at which displacement was measured in dynamic tests performed at high intensities when traditional instruments had to be removed for the sake of preserving them from damage during collapse.

3. Illustrative examples

- Referring to Table 1, column 5 of “Test6.txt” in the folder “CS-000-RFV” corresponds to recordings of the ‘Foundation Z Acceleration [g]’ i.e. vertical acceleration of the foundation when specimen CS-000-RFV was subjected to FEQ1-DS0 scaled at 110% in both the horizontal (OOP) and vertical directions i.e. T#6 in Table 3 of the reference article [1].
- Referring to Table 3, columns 28 and 35 of the file “Test14.txt” in the folder “CS-000-L1&L2” corresponds to recordings of the ‘4/4 B L1 Displacement [mm]’ and ‘4/4 B L2 Displacement [mm]’ respectively i.e. OOP displacements at the location of the intersection of the free edges of specimens CS-000-L1 and CS-000-L2 (see Fig. 4). This data was recorded when both specimens were subjected to FEQ1-DS4 in the horizontal (OOP) direction scaled at 150% and 120% to the spectral acceleration at the fundamental period of CS-000-L1 and CS-000-L2 respectively i.e. T#14 in Table 3 of the reference article [1].
## Table 3
CS-000-L1&L2 data organisation.

| Instr. | Description                                                                 | Offline | Location | Associated Mass |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| 1      | -                                                                           | X [mm]  | Z [mm]   | 1st [kg] 2nd [kg] 3rd [kg] |
| 2      | Accelerometer 'Time [s]'                                                   | -       | -        | -              |
| 3      | Accelerometer 'Shake Table Acceleration [g]'                               | -       | -        | -              |
| 4      | Accelerometer 'Foundation Acceleration [g]'                                | -       | -        | -              |
| 5      | Accelerometer 'Frame Acceleration [g]'                                     | -       | -        | -              |
| 6      | Accelerometer 'Side A Ret. Wall Acceleration [g]'                          | -       | -        | -              |
| 7      | Accelerometer '1/4 A L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 775     | 695 127 127    |
| 8      | Accelerometer '1/4 B L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1660    | 695 56 56      |
| 9      | Accelerometer '1/2 A L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 775     | 1425 120 120   |
| 10     | Accelerometer '1/2 B L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1660    | 1425 52 52     |
| 11     | Accelerometer '3/4 A L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 775     | 2070 176 176   |
| 12     | Accelerometer '3/4 B L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1660    | 2070 51 51     |
| 13     | Accelerometer '4/4 B L1 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1660    | 2640 28 28     |
| 14     | Accelerometer '1/4 B L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1885    | 695 80 80      |
| 15     | Accelerometer '1/4 C L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 2880    | 695 150 150    |
| 16     | Accelerometer '1/2 B L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1885    | 1425 75 75     |
| 17     | Accelerometer '1/2 C L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 2880    | 1425 140 140   |
| 18     | Accelerometer '3/4 B L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1885    | 2070 71 71     |
| 19     | Accelerometer '3/4 C L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 2880    | 2070 206 307   |
| 20     | Accelerometer '4/4 B L2 Acceleration [g]'                                  | -       | 1885    | 2640 39 39     |
| 21     | Potentiometer 'Shake Table Displacement [mm]'                              | -       | -       | -              |
| 22     | Wire Potentiometer '1/4 A L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 775     | 695 - -        |
| 23     | Wire Potentiometer '1/4 B L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1660    | 695 - -        |
| 24     | Wire Potentiometer '1/2 A L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 775     | 1425 - -       |
| 25     | Wire Potentiometer '1/2 B L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1660    | 1425 - -       |
| 26     | Wire Potentiometer '3/4 A L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 775     | 2070 - -       |
| 27     | Wire Potentiometer '3/4 B L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1660    | 2070 - -       |
| 28     | Wire Potentiometer '3/4 C L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1660    | 2640 - -       |
| 29     | Wire Potentiometer '4/4 B L1 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1885    | 695 - -        |
| 30     | Optical '1/4 C L2 Displacement [mm]'                                       | -       | 2880    | 695 - -        |
| 31     | Wire Potentiometer '1/2 B L2 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1885    | 1425 - -       |
| 32     | Optical '1/2 C L2 Displacement [mm]'                                       | -       | 2880    | 1425 - -       |
| 33     | Wire Potentiometer '3/4 C L2 Displacement [mm]'                            | -       | 1885    | 2070 - -       |
| 34     | Optical '3/4 C L2 Displacement [mm]'                                       | -       | 2880    | 2070 - -       |
| 35     | Potentiometer '4/4 B L2 Displacement [mm]'                                 | -       | 1885    | 2640 - -       |
| 36     | Potentiometer '1/2 Side A L1 OOP Detachment [mm]'                          | -       | 220     | 1425 - -       |
| 37     | Potentiometer '4/4 Side A L1 OOP Detachment [mm]'                          | -       | 220     | 2640 - -       |
| 38     | Potentiometer '1/2 Side C L2 OOP Detachment [mm]'                          | -       | 3770    | 1425 - -       |
| 39     | Potentiometer '4/4 Side C L2 OOP Detachment [mm]'                          | -       | 3770    | 2640 - -       |
| 40     | -                                                                           | -       | -       | -              |
| 41     | -                                                                           | -       | -       | -              |
| 42     | Wire Potentiometer '1/4 L2 Displacement [mm]'                              | -       | 3325    | 695 - -        |
| 43     | Wire Potentiometer '1/2 L2 Displacement [mm]'                              | -       | 3325    | 1425 - -       |
| 44     | Wire Potentiometer '3/4 L2 Displacement [mm]'                              | -       | 3325    | 2070 - -       |
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