Cerebellar cryptococcosis characterized by a space-occupying lesion in an immunocompetent non-HIV patient
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Abstract: Central nervous system (CNS) cryptococcosis is an opportunistic fungal infection that typically occurs in patients with reduced immunological function, such as patients with AIDS, patients receiving organ transplants, or patients receiving corticosteroid and immunosuppressive therapy. CNS cryptococcosis rarely occurs in immunocompetent patients. CNS cryptococcosis is characterized by meningitis and encephalitis and occasionally forms isolated granulomas. Isolated cerebellar cryptococcosis is a rare condition, especially in immunocompetent patients, and the misdiagnosis rate is high. A definite diagnosis must be based on pathology. To raise awareness of this disease, the clinical data of a patient with cryptococcomas in the right side of the cerebellum are reported.
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Introduction

Clinical presentation

A 61-year-old immunocompetent woman presented with a chronic headache for 10 months that had been progressively worsening for 18 days with emesis. She had an irregular headache without relation to body, position, or time. The patient was a peasant with no particular exposure to avian (especially pigeon) feces or woodworking. The headache got worse, with several nonbloody, nonbilious vomiting episodes, and the patient developed an ataxic gait 18 days before hospital admission. A comprehensive physical examination of her body at admission did not show any pertinent findings. There was no evidence of compromised immunological function or signs of localized infection. She could not complete the hand alternating movement test, her right side of finger–nose test was positive, and her heel-knee-tibia test was suspiciously positive, but Romberg’s sign was negative. The rest of the physical examination did not present any abnormalities. Blood cell count, urine analysis, and blood biochemistry were normal. HIV antibody test was repeatedly negative. Gram stain and India ink stain of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) were negative. CSF and blood were negative for cultures. The cryptococcal antigen latex agglutination test of CSF was negative the first time, but it was positive the second time; the titer was 1:32.

Imaging examination

Abdominal and chest radiographs were negative. A plain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the head demonstrated a 26×21×16 mm3 nodule as long or equal to T1 and long T2 signal in the right cerebellar hemisphere with no adjacent edema, and the nodule was significantly enhanced as nodular with a thick and annular wall after contrast administration (Figure 1C–E). There was no midline shift, and the compression of
adjacent structures was not apparent (Figure 1A and B). The patient was considered to have a metastatic tumor or glioma in the right cerebellar hemisphere.

**Operation**

The cerebellar nodule was removed by neurosurgery via a suboccipital craniotomy in the left lateral position. An 80 mm long straight incision was made 20 mm outside the right side of the occipital bone of the lower lateral hairline. Intraoperatively, the lower part of the transverse sinus and the surface layer of cerebellum were exposed. Subcortical dissection was performed until a 40×30×20 mm firm nodule with moderate vascular supply and distinct border was identified, located at the upper portion of the right cerebellar hemisphere. The blood vessels that supply for the nodule were cut off by electrocoagulation, and then en bloc resection of the nodule along the border was performed.

**Pathological manifestations**

A portion of the nodule was sent for pathologic analysis. A large number of mononuclear cells and multinucleated giant cells were seen, with diffused distribution. There was a small amount of bacteria circular structure and mesenchyme hyalinization, with a large amount of lymphocyte and little plasma cell infiltration and local tissue necrosis with neutrophils infiltration. Immunohistochemical staining: thallus PAS (+).

**Discussion**

CNS cryptococcosis is a kind of subacute or chronic deep mycosis caused by *Cryptococcus neoformans.*1 *Cryptococcus neoformans* mainly spreads via the respiratory route and infects predilection sites in CNS via hematogenous spread. It usually infects patients with immunosuppression, especially AIDS patients, as well as patients with obvious consumptive disease...
and patients who consumed extensive immunosuppressants and extensive broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy. Occasionally, CNS cryptococcosis infects immunocompetent patients. However, the morbidity of cryptococcosis in immunocompetent patients has increased in recent years.2 The main pathologic changes of CNS cryptococcosis were meningoitis, meningoencephalitis, vasculitis, and rarely, granulomas. Furthermore, intracranial cryptococcal granulomas were significantly more common in the cerebral hemisphere than in the cerebellar hemisphere. There are two recognized varieties of cryptococcus neoformans: cryptococcus neoformans var neoformans and cryptococcus neoformans var Gattii, which rarely infected immunocompromised patients while usually infecting immunocompetent patients.3,4 Cerebral cryptococcoma is the most common form of expression in immunocompetent individuals.5,6 There are only a few cases of isolated cerebellar cryptococcoma that were reported in immunocompetent patients.7–10

The clinical feature of CNS cryptococcosis is highly variable and nonspecific, which is related to immune status and the types of cryptococcus.11 Because of its insidious onset, progressive intracranial hypertension may be the initial symptom, characterized by headache, nausea, and vomiting, and then followed with other symptoms, such as cranial nerve damage and focal neurological signs. Immunocompetent patients may be characterized by meningitis and focal cryptococcas.

The imaging diagnosis of immunocompetent patients with cerebellar cryptococcomas is a challenge. In general, CSF, including pressure measurement, routine tests, biochemistry indicators, and examination of ink stain of CSF, is essential to the diagnosis. Cryptococcal antigens latex agglutination test of cerebrospinal fluid and blood examination contribute to the diagnosis, but the definitive diagnosis of cryptococcosis must be confirmed by histopathologic examination. In the current patient, the diagnosis of cerebellar cryptococcoma was confirmed by histopathology, whereas the CSF and cryptococcal antigen latex agglutination tests were both negative.

Different sites, pathological types, and stages of CNS cryptococcosis may show different computed tomographic (CT) and MRI findings.12 Early stages of cryptococcosis may not show any abnormal CT findings, but a few nonspecific manifestations, including diffuse cerebral atrophy, hydrocephalus, and diffuse cerebral edema, can be found.13,14

Cryptococcoma can appear as a localized tumor-like mass when the fungus has involved brain parenchyma. Cryptococcus tumors typically show long T1 and long T2 signals on MRI, as well as circular enhancement.14 Although certain characteristic imaging findings have been described, the differential diagnosis is still difficult due to the overlap of imaging findings with granulomatous tumors and abscesses, especially the tuberculous granuloma.

Early diagnosis and early initiation of treatment are associated with a good prognosis. However, CNS cryptococcosis can be fatal if not treated. The therapeutic goal is to control the initial infection and lifelong antifungal therapy for patients with HIV coinfection and to cure the cryptococcosis infection completely for patients without HIV coinfection. CSF should be sampled to assess the therapeutic effect after initial therapy for 2 weeks.7

Conclusion

The lack of specificity of clinical manifestations and the low detection rate of cryptococcus in CSF bring difficulties to its diagnosis. In this reported case, CNS cryptococcosis manifested as an intracranial lesion, which was misdiagnosed as a tuberculoma or a cerebral tumor. It is necessary to perform multiple smears and cultures in CSF examination. Radiological examination, especially by MRI, may make a suggestive diagnosis. A definite diagnosis is confirmed by histological examination.
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