SOCS1 deficiency results in accelerated mammary gland development and rescues lactation in prolactin receptor-deficient mice
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RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Prolactin is essential for proliferation and differentiation of the developing mammary gland. We have explored a role for Suppressor of Cytokine Signaling 1 (SOCS1) as a modulator of the prolactin response using mice deficient in SOCS1, which were rescued from neonatal death by deletion of the Interferon gamma (IFNγ) gene. SOCS1−/− IFNγ−/− mice exhibited accelerated lobuloalveolar development in the mammary gland during late pregnancy and precocious lactation. Significantly, the lactogenic defect in prolactin receptor heterozygous females could be rescued by deletion of a single SOCS1 allele. These findings establish a role for SOCS1 as a negative regulator of prolactin signaling and suggest that SOCS1 is required for the prevention of lactation prior to parturition.
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Mammary gland development is governed by the coordinated action of peptide and steroid hormones, such as prolactin, estrogen, and progesterone. Prolactin, a pituitary polypeptide hormone, is a key regulator of mammaryogenesis (Vonderhaar 1987; Hennighausen et al. 1997). During pregnancy, prolactin is essential for expansion and differentiation of the lobuloalveolar system. After parturition, prolactin acts in synergy with insulin and glucocorticoids, to induce terminal differentiation and milk production. Binding of prolactin to its cognate receptor (PRLR) triggers dimerization and results in the recruitment and activation of Janus-2 kinase (Jak2). In turn, activated Jak2 phosphorylates the receptor and signal transducer and activator of transcription, Stat5. Activated Stat5 dimers translocate to the nucleus where they lead to transcriptional activation of target genes, including those encoding several milk proteins (Watson and Burdon 1996; Hennighausen et al. 1997; Boile-Feyssot et al. 1998).

Targeted disruption of genes in the prolactin signaling pathway has highlighted its importance in mammaryogenesis and lactogenesis. Prolactin-deficient mice exhibit curtailed ductal branching with arrest of mammary organogenesis at puberty (Horsemann et al. 1997). Interestingly, female mice carrying only one intact prolactin receptor allele fail to lactate after their first pregnancy, demonstrating that differentiation is dependent on a threshold level of PRLR (Ormandy et al. 1997; Briske et al. 1999). Stat5a-null females show a mammary phenotype similar to that of the PRLR−/− females, exhibiting impaired differentiation of lobuloalveolar units and an inability to lactate (Liu et al. 1997; Teglund et al. 1998).

Although the intracellular signaling pathways activated by prolactin are understood relatively well, the mechanisms by which signaling is attenuated are yet to be defined. Negative regulation is likely to involve protein tyrosine phosphatases as well as specific inhibitory molecules such as the suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) proteins. The SOCS family of proteins appear to act in a classical negative feedback loop to regulate signal transduction by a variety of cytokines (Yoshimura 1998; Krebs and Hilton 2000). The eight members (SOCS1–7 and CIS) of this family are characterized structurally by a C-terminal SOCS box, a central SH2 domain, and an N-terminal region of variable length and limited homology (Hilton et al. 1998). Functionally, SOCS proteins interact with cytokine receptors and/or Jak kinases, thereby inhibiting activation of kinases and STAT proteins (Yoshimura 1998; Krebs and Hilton 2000).

SOCS1, one of the founding members of the SOCS family (also termed JAB or SSI-1) (Endo et al. 1997; Naka et al. 1998; Starr et al. 1998), is induced in response to a broad range of cytokines and interacts with the kinase domain of Jak proteins. SOCS1-deficient mice die from a complex neonatal disease prior to weaning, involving fatty degeneration of the liver, macrophage infiltration of several organs, and multiple hematopoietic defects (Naka et al. 1998; Starr et al. 1998). This multiorgan disease can be prevented by neonatal treatment with neutralizing antiinterferon gamma (IFNγ) antibodies and is absent in mice lacking both SOCS1 and IFNγ genes, indicating that SOCS1 is a key modulator of IFNγ effects (Alexander et al. 1999; Marine et al. 1999a). Thus, additional disruption of the IFNγ gene allows the effects of SOCS1−/− mice to be studied in adult mice.

The physiological roles of SOCS proteins in mammary development are not known. To investigate the role of SOCS1 in the mammary gland, we have studied mice carrying targeted deletions of the SOCS1 and IFNγ genes. These mice exhibited accelerated lobuloalveolar development during pregnancy. Moreover, deletion of a single copy of SOCS1 rescued the lactogenic defect that occurs in PRLR−/− mice (Ormandy et al. 1997). These findings provide evidence that SOCS1 has a biological role in the developing mammary gland, where it acts as a negative regulator of prolactin signaling. Further, the data demonstrate that the absolute levels of both positive and negative modulators of the prolactin pathway...
SOCS1 is expressed in the developing mammary gland

In situ hybridization revealed that SOCS1 RNA is highly expressed in the ductal epithelium and lobuloalveolar units of the developing mammary gland and is apparent, at lower levels, in the surrounding stroma (Fig. 1). SOCS1 RNA appeared to be more abundant in the developing lobuloalveolar units of mammary glands during pregnancy. RT–PCR analysis of mammary tissue from different stages of development confirmed that the level of SOCS1 RNA was higher (>fivefold) in glands from pregnant females relative to those from lactating or involuting glands (data not shown).

Overexpression of SOCS genes inhibits β-casein synthesis in mammary epithelial cells

To examine the role of SOCS genes in mammary differentiation, we utilized the mammary epithelial line, SCp2, which displays the essential features of mammary differentiation in the presence of extracellular matrix (ECM) and a lactogenic stimulus (Desprez et al. 1993). Differentiation of these cells is accompanied by the production of milk proteins, such as β-casein, which we have used here as a marker. Linearized expression vectors containing either SOCS1, SOCS2, SOCS3, or CIS carrying an N-terminal Flag or GFP tag, plus a puromycin resistance cassette, were introduced into SCp2 cells and pools of stable transfectants assayed for their ability to undergo differentiation. For the latter assay, transfectants were plated on ECM in the presence or absence of a lactogenic stimulus.

All four SOCS genes were found to profoundly inhibit β-casein synthesis by 10- to 50-fold, whereas transfectants expressing vector alone were indistinguishable from the parental cells (Fig. 2A). Expression of the Flag-tagged SOCS1 and SOCS2 transgenes was readily detectable in SCp2 cells (Fig. 2B) whereas Flag-SOCS3 was undetectable, probably accounting for the weaker inhibition observed. However, expression of a GFP-tagged SOCS3 transgene proved to be more stable in these cells [Fig. 2B] and, accordingly, was more effective in blocking β-casein mRNA synthesis [Fig. 2A]. Thus, SOCS1–3 and CIS all can act as negative regulators of the endogenous prolactin signaling pathway in SCp2 cells.

SOCS1 deficiency accelerates lobuloalveolar development

Since targeted deletion of the IFNγ gene rescues SOCS1−/− mice from death at 2 wk of age [Alexander et al. 1999; Marine et al. 1999b], these double knockout mice could be used to study the effect of SOCS1 deficiency on mammoipoiesis by comparison with mice lacking IFNγ alone. SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice were crossed to generate females for developmental analysis whereas SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice were bred to generate control IFNγ−/− females. Between 4–8 age-matched female mice of each genotype were analyzed at different stages. Importantly, loss of IFNγ had no discernible effect on mammary development as these mice appeared identical to wild-type mice at all stages of development. No overt differences were found between mammary glands from SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− females versus those from IFNγ−/− or wild-type mice at 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, and 18 wk [data not shown].

SOCS1 deficiency led to increased development of the lobuloalveolar units during pregnancy, as revealed by wholemount analysis and histological sectioning. There
was a markedly higher density of lobuloalveolar units in mammary glands from SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice, apparent from day 16 of pregnancy, relative to those from control mice [Fig. 3A,B]. By day 18 of pregnancy, these units had substantially penetrated the mammary fat pad and displayed dilated lumens. Although development was more advanced at day 1 of lactation in the double knockout females, there was no difference by day 5. The rate of proliferation appeared to be similar for SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− and IFNγ−/− mammary epithelium, based on BrdU staining at days 13 and 16 of pregnancy [data not shown].

**Increased milk production in the absence of SOCS1**

The dilated acini evident in mammary glands from day 18 pregnant and day 1 lactating SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice suggested increased production and secretion of milk. Western analysis of whole-cell extracts from double knockout and age-matched control mice using antimouse milk antiserum confirmed that there were significantly higher levels of milk proteins in the mammary gland in the absence of SOCS1. Fig. 4A shows milk protein expression for three sets of mice at day 18 of pregnancy in which WAP [14 kD] and α-casein [46 kD] are markedly up-regulated, as well as β-casein [30 kD]. Milk protein levels were elevated from day 16 of pregnancy through to day 1 of lactation in SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mammary glands relative to those from control mice, with the maximal difference occurring at day 18 of pregnancy [Fig. 4B].

Since Stat5 is an important transcriptional effector in the prolactin pathway [Liu et al. 1997; Teglund et al. 1998] and is known to directly regulate expression of milk protein genes, we examined whether phosphorylation of Stat5 was elevated in SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice. Higher levels of phosphorylated Stat5 were found in mammary glands at day 1 of lactation relative to controls [Fig. 4C], although there was no apparent difference during pregnancy. Furthermore, there was no change in Stat5 DNA-binding activity during pregnancy [data not shown]. Interestingly, substantially less MAP kinase activity [phospho-Erk1 and phospho-Erk2] was found in SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mammary glands at day 18 of pregnancy and day 1 of lactation, relative to control mammary tissue [Fig. 4D]. The level of total Erk1/2 remained the same (Fig. 4D), indicating that MAP kinase activity was reduced. It is not known whether SOCS1 directly influences MAP kinase activity but the diminished levels most likely reflect the differentiated state of the epithelium.

**Deletion of one SOCS1 allele rescues the lactogenic defect exhibited by prolactin receptor heterozygous females**

Young PRLR+/− females fail to lactate after their first pregnancy because of impaired lactogenesis but can lactate after subsequent pregnancies [Ormandy et al. 1997]. Thus a single functional allele of PRLR is insufficient to drive the final rounds of epithelial differentiation and lactogenesis. This mammary defect varies in its penetrance, dependent on strain background [C.J. Ormandy, unpubl.].

To determine whether the lactogenic defect in PRLR mice was epithelial specific, we used epithelial explants from PRLR+/− or PRLR+/− mice transplanted into the cleared mammary fat pads of Rag1−/− recipients. Reconstitution of wild-type stroma with PRLR+/− epithelium failed to rescue lobuloalveolar development during pregnancy, providing direct evidence that the defect lies in the epithelium [Fig. 5A]. Moreover, recombination experiments using PRLR−/− epithelium or stroma revealed that PRLR is required in mammary epithelium but not in stroma for normal development [M.J. Naylor and C.J. Ormandy, data not shown].

To examine whether a reduction in the level of SOCS1 might rescue signal transduction along the prolactin pathway, we generated females that were heterozygous for both PRLR and SOCS1 and compared these to either SOCS1+/−, PRLR+/−, or wild-type littermates. We found that six out of six double heterozygous females were capable of lactation after their first pregnancy, whereas four out of six PRLR+/− females exhibited reduced lactation. Wholemount and histological analysis of glands from the rescued mice revealed normal morphology of the lobuloalveolar structures in PRLR+/−/SOCS1+/− mice at day 2 postpartum but dramatically reduced development in four PRLR+/− females [Fig. 5B,C]. The rescue of lobuloalveolar development also was achieved in PRLR+/−/SOCS1+/−/ mice on a different SOCS1 [129Sv] background. Expression of WAP and β-casein milk protein genes in PRLR+/−/SOCS1+/− mammary glands was restored to that seen in wild-type glands, in contrast to the lower levels evident in PRLR+/− mice [Fig. 5D].

**Discussion**

Pathways that direct proliferation and differentiation must be tightly controlled to ensure the appropriate intensity and duration of response. The molecular mecha-
nisms that attenuate signaling by prolactin, a hormone essential for breast epithelial proliferation and differentiation, are poorly defined. Receptor internalization, tyrosine phosphatase inactivation and/or specific suppressors of cytokine signaling (SOCS) are utilized by a variety of signaling pathways. The protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2 has been implicated in PRLR-mediated signaling but the data indicates that it is acting as a positive rather than negative regulator (Ali et al. 1996). In this paper, we provide evidence that SOCS1 is a negative regulator of prolactin signaling in vivo using two different sets of targeted mice. The precocious lobuloalveolar development that occurs in SOCS1/IFNγ-deficient females but not those lacking IFNγ alone, is compatible with SOCS1 acting as an inhibitor. PRLR+/− mice exhibit a specific defect in mammary differentiation. Whilst the architecture of the lobuloalveolar units is normal in these mice, the alveoli fail to dilate with milk, because of lack of terminal differentiation. Rescue of the lactogenic defect in PRLR−/− females by removal of a single SOCS1 allele demonstrates that SOCS1 is indeed affecting prolactin signal transduction. The threshold level of PRLR required for terminal epithelial differentiation and lactogenesis is presumably restored by reducing the level of a negative regulator of this pathway.

Expansion and maturation of the lobuloalveolar system are achieved earlier in the absence of SOCS1. The morphological effects of SOCS1 deficiency are first seen around day 16 of pregnancy but are no longer evident by day 5 of lactation. Because the lactogenic defect in PRLR−/− mice is epithelial-specific [Fig. 5A], rescue of this phenotype by diminution of SOCS1 indicates that SOCS1 is acting cell autonomously within the epithelium. This is consistent with expression of SOCS1 in the ductal epithelium and its prolactin inductibility in breast epithelial cells (Pezet et al. 1999, data not shown). It is plausible that SOCS1 deficiency may have additional effects via the stroma, which could be addressed by reciprocal transplantation studies. It is notable that serum prolactin levels in adult SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/−, IFNγ−/−, and wild-type mice were within the normal range [data not shown]. This finding further supports a direct role for SOCS1 in the mammary gland.

SOCS1 may play a negative regulatory role in the induction of lactation after parturition. Lactation is a complex process that is determined in part by a postpartum decrease in progesterone and increase in serum prolactin levels (Wilde and Hurley 1996; Neville and Daniel 1998). The inhibition of lactation that is normally relieved at parturition is lost early in the SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mice, resulting in precocious lactation. Remarkably, there does not appear to be functional redundancy between different SOCS proteins at this stage of mammary development, despite coexpression of at least five members of this family during pregnancy and lactation [data not shown]. Interestingly, SOCS2-null mice have no apparent mammary perturbation at lactation (C. Greenhalgh, data not shown), despite the phenotype indicating that it is an important regulator of the growth hormone/IGF-1 pathway [Metcalf et al. 2000]. Thus, SOCS1 but not SOCS2 appears to have an essential negative regulatory role in the prolactin pathway.

SOCS proteins act as potent inhibitors of prolactin signaling in vitro but there appears to be little specificity amongst the different family members in these overexpression systems. We have shown here that SOCS1−3 and CIS inhibited β-casein synthesis when introduced into a differentiative mammary epithelial cell line. Other groups have previously reported that SOCS1, SOCS3, and SOCS2 partially, but not CIS can inhibit prolactin signaling in transiently transfected 293T cells [Helman et al. 1998; Pezet et al. 1999; Tomic et al. 1999]. These seemingly discrepant data are likely to reflect different cell types and the use of an endogenous, versus transiently expressed, prolactin receptor. In summary, these in vitro studies have not proven conclusive in addressing the biological specificity of the SOCS family members within the mammary gland. Transgenic mice expressing CIS1 under the control of the β-actin promoter fail to lactate as a result of defective mammary differentiation (Matsumoto et al. 1999). This suggests

Figure 4. Analysis of expression of milk protein, Stat5, and MAP kinase in SOCS1/IFNγ-deficient mammary glands. (A) Increased milk production in the absence of SOCS1. Whole-cell extracts from three age-matched sets of SOCS1+/−/IFNγ−/−, IFNγ−/−, and wild-type mammary tissue at day 18 of pregnancy were analyzed by Western blot using anti-mouse milk antisera. (B) Time course of milk protein expression in the developing SOCS1+/−/IFNγ−/− mammary gland. Western blot analysis revealed elevated milk protein expression in SOCS1+/−/IFNγ−/− mice from day 16 of pregnancy through to day 1 of lactation. M, marker lane. (C) Increased levels of phosphorylated Stat5 in lactating SOCS1−/−/IFNγ−/− mammary glands. Whole-cell extracts from different stages were fractionated by extended electrophoresis (5% PAGE) and blotted with anti-phospho-Stat5 or anti-Stat5a antisera. Fractionation experiments indicated that the majority of phospho-Stat5 correlates to Stat5a. (D) Decrease in phosphorylated MAP kinase in SOCS1+/−/IFNγ−/− mammary glands. Western blot analysis of whole-cell extracts using anti-phospho-Erk1 and 2 or anti-Erk1 and 2 antisera. Protein loading was confirmed using anti-α-tubulin.

Lindeman et al.
longed but not amplified in the mammary glands of SOCS1+/−/IFN−/− mice, as has been observed for Stat1 in hepatocytes from these mice [R. Starr, unpubl.]. Alternatively, another SOCS1-regulated pathway may also contribute to prolactin signaling. The observation that Stat5a-null mice are capable of milk protein synthesis invokes additional pathways in signaling by prolactin. The identification of such pathways should provide insight into the molecular basis of SOCS1 inhibition in the mammary gland.

Materials and methods

In situ hybridization

Full-length mouse SOCS1 cDNA [Starr et al. 1997] was cloned into Bluescript SKII [Stratagene]. antisense and sense riboprobes were generated using T3 or T7 RNA polymerase [Promega] with digoxigenin-UTP [Roche]. Standard in situ hybridizations were performed as described [Wilkinson 1992].

Scp2 cell differentiation assay

Scp2 mammary epithelial cells [Desprez et al. 1993] were passaged in DMEM-F12 media containing 10% FCS, and 5 µg/mL insulin [Sigma]. Full-length cDNAs corresponding to SOCS1–3 [Hilton et al. 1998] and CIS [Yasuakawa et al. 1999], all carrying an N-terminal FLAG- or GFP-epitope tag, were cloned into the pEF1a-puro mammalian expression vector [Huang et al. 1997]. Protein expression was confirmed by transient transfection of 293T cells. Linearized expression vectors (10 µg) were introduced into Scp2 cells using Superfect [QIAGEN] and selected in puromycin for 10 d. Pools of stable transfectants were then used in the differentiation assay, essentially as described [Desprez et al. 1993].

RNA analysis and RT–PCR

Total RNA was isolated from Scp2 cells on ECM using RNAzol (Tel-Test). cDNA synthesis and PCR were performed as described [Weiss et al. 1994], using primers for β-casein and HPRT [Weiss et al. 1994]. Sequences of the β-casein primers were: forward 5′-ATGAAGGCTTCCTCA TCTGCCTGCCC-3′, reverse 5′-GCCTGAGACAGACTGGAGAA GTGCC-3′. Northern analysis of total RNA was performed as described [Weiss et al. 1994].

Immunoprecipitation and Western analysis

Whole-cell lysates were generated from stably transfected Scp2 pools by lysing cells in KALB lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors [Complete Cocktail, Roche]. Proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag M2 [Sigma] or rabbit antiserum raised against full-length SOCS3, and protein G Sepharose [Pharmacia], and separated by SDS-PAGE [Novex]. After transfer, filters were blocked and incubated with mouse anti-SOCS1, rat anti-Flag, or mouse anti-SOCS3 [N terminus] monoclonal antibodies. Antibody binding was visualized with peroxidase-conjugated antimouse [Amersham] or anti-rat antibody [Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories] using the ECL system [Amerham].

Mouse mammary lysates were prepared in 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 0.1% NP-40, 0.1% deoxycholate containing protease inhibitors. After protein fractionation and transfer, filters were blocked with 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 50 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, and incubated with one of the following primary antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antiserum raised against mouse milk-specific proteins [Accurate Chemical & Scientific Corporation], anti-phospho-Stat5a/b or anti-Stat5a monoclonal antibody [Upstate Biotech], anti-ERK1/2 [p44/p42 MAPK] or anti-phospho ERK1/2 monoclonal antibody [New England Biolabs], anti-α-tubulin monoclonal antibody [Sigma]. For milk protein detection, 400 ng of protein was loaded per lane, while other blots were performed using 20 µg protein per lane.

Derivation and maintenance of mice

The derivation of SOCS1+/− and IFN−/− mice has been described previously [Alexander et al. 1999]. SOCS1+/−/IFN−/− mice were originally maintained on a hybrid 129/Sv by crosses and then propagated as intercrosses. PRLR+/− [129Ola/
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