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ABSTRACT
Interphase HeLa cells exposed to solutions that are 1.6 X isotonic manifest a series of morphological transformations, several of which grossly resemble those which occur when untreated cells enter prophase. These include chromosome condensation with preferential localization at the nuclear envelope and nucleolus, ruffling of the nuclear envelope, and polyribosome breakdown. The nucleolus loses its fibrous component and appears diffusely granular. At 2.8 X isotonicity the nuclear envelope is selectively dispersed although other membranes show morphological alterations also. The characteristic transitions of the lysosomes, Golgi complex, and microtubules seen in normal mitosis do not occur during hypertonic treatment. All the changes induced with hypertonic solutions are rapidly reversible, and the nucleus particularly goes through a recovery phase which bears some similarity to that of the telophase nucleus. The prophase-like condensation of the chromatin following exposure of the intact cell to hypertonic medium cannot be reproduced on an ultrastructural level in the isolated nucleus with any known variation in salt concentration, suggesting significant modifications of the nuclear contents during isolation. In addition to these morphological responses, hypertonic solutions also markedly and reversibly depress macromolecular synthesis. The polyribosome disaggregation that results from exposure to hypertonic solutions may be partially prevented by prior exposure to elevated Mg++ concentrations; this same ion is also partially effective in preventing the polyribosome breakdown which normally occurs as cells enter mitosis.

INTRODUCTION
Shifts in intracellular ion distributions have often been causally implicated in mitosis although the available data are not compelling. Heilbrunn postulated a release of Ca++ from the cell cortex to the cytoplasm as the stimulus for spindle formation, but gave little direct evidence to support this view (1). Whitfield and coworkers have reported that the slight increase in total osmolarity effected by raising medium NaCl from 120 to 150 mm reduces postirradiation mitotic delay (2, 3).

Increasing extracellular Ca++ from 0.12 mm to 2 mm caused a more rapid entry of thymocytes into mitosis (4), while increasing extracellular Ca++ to 60 mm leads to visible chromosome aggregation at the light microscope level (5). High NaCl also appears to increase the rate at which rat bone marrow cells enter mitosis, and although this effect is not observed in thymocytes (6), thymus nuclei show marked inhibition of protein, RNA, and DNA synthesis at high cation levels (6 a).
selective detachment of cells in mitosis from monolayers or in suspension culture in Eagle's medium supplemented with 7% fetal calf serum.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells**

All experiments were carried out with HeLa cells (S2 strain) which were routinely maintained either as monolayers or in suspension culture in Eagle's medium supplemented with 7% fetal calf serum. In some experiments, cells were synchronized by selective detachment of cells in mitosis from monolayers propagated in low Ca++ medium.

**Effects of Hypertonic Solutions on Cell Morphology**

Hypertonic medium was prepared by adding crystalline NaCl, MgCl₂, or KCl to Eagle's medium or to Earle's salt solution to give final salt concentrations that ranged from 1.6 to 2.8 times isotonicity. Approximately 10⁷ cells were pelleted at 100 g and resuspended in various hypertonic media for 2–15 min at 0°, 25°, or 37°C. Following treatment they were spun and resuspended in 2% glutaraldehyde made up in the same hypertonic solution under test. Subsequent fixation with OsO₄, embedding, and sectioning were done as previously described (12). Sections were routinely stained with aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a Siemens Elmiskop IA microscope.

**Effects of Hypertonicity on Macromolecular Synthesis**

4 × 10⁶ cells were pelleted and then resuspended in 2 ml of various solutions of Eagle's medium made hypertonic as above. After 2–15 min, they were pulse labeled for 15 min by adding thymidine-1⁴C, uridine-1⁴C, reconstituted protein hydrolysate-1⁴C for monitoring DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis, respectively. The final precursor concentration was 0.5 µc/ml of cell suspension. The cells were washed, made 5% with TCA, and the TCA-precipitable material was collected on Millipore filters. The filters were dissolved in Bray's fluid and counted in a Beckman liquid scintillation counter.

Reversibility of the effects of hypertonic solutions on macromolecular synthesis was studied by pelleting the cells and returning them to isotonic growth medium for 10 min, after which they were pulse labeled as above.

The effects of hypertonic solutions on the synthesis of histones was also investigated. 2 × 10⁷ cells were suspended in 50 ml of Eagle's medium minus lysine and tryptophan made 1.6 × isotonic with NaCl. After 10 min, one-half of the sample was returned to regular growth medium minus lysine and tryptophan and pulse labeled for 15 min with 5 µc of lysine-1⁴C (New England Nuclear, Boston) plus 50 µc of tryptophan-¹⁴H and the second half was pulse labeled in the hypertonic medium. Cells were lysed by adding the detergent NP 40 (Shell Chemical Co., New York) to a final concentration of 0.5% (13), and nuclei were collected by centrifugation. Twice-washed nuclei were resuspended in 0.25 N HCl for 30 min at 4°C. The solubilized nucleoproteins, which include histones, were made 2% in SDS, dialyzed against 0.1% sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) and 0.01 M PO₄ buffer, pH 7.2, and run on polyacrylamide gels as described in detail elsewhere (14, 15). The electro-
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**Figure 1a**  Untreated interphase HeLa cell showing characteristic morphology of nucleus and cytoplasm. Chromatin is diffuse and fibrous; nucleolus contains both granular and fibrous components. Contour of nuclear envelope is relatively unruffled. Numerous polyribosomes, mitochondria, etc. are scattered through the cytoplasm. \( \times \) 10,000.
phoretic patterns obtained were compared with those from untreated samples.

Effects of Hypertonic Solutions on Polyribosomes

$10^7$ cells were pelleted, resuspended in Eagle's medium, made $1.6 \times$ isotonic with appropriate additions of NaCl, KCl, or MgCl₂, and allowed to stand at $37^\circ C$ for 10 min. They were pelleted again so as to remove the hypertonic medium and lysed by adding NP 40 (0.5%) in either isotonic or hypotonic buffer (4°C). After the nuclei were removed by centrifugation, the cytoplasm was layered on 15-30% linear sucrose gradients and centrifuged for $2\frac{1}{2}$ hr at 24,000
rpm in the Spinco SW 27 rotor. The gradients were tapped through a Gilford recording spectrophotometer and the optical density (OD) was monitored at 260 mµ. In some experiments, cells were returned to isotonic medium before lysis and the reversibility of the effects induced by hypertonic treatment was studied with and without actinomycin D. Isolated polyribosomes disaggregate in 0.5 M NaCl solutions unless 0.05 M Mg++ is present (S. Penman, personal communication). Although the cell membrane is not freely permeable to Mg++, we have observed that raising the extracellular Mg++ concentration does cause a limited increase in intracellular Mg++ levels (E. Robbins and T. Pederson, unpublished observations). We, therefore, examined the sparing effects of 0.05 M extracellular Mg++ on polyribosomes in cells subjected to hypertonic treatment. 2 X 10⁷ cells were placed in isotonic Eagle's medium containing 0.05 M MgCl₂ and an osmotically equivalent reduction in NaCl. After 1 hr, the medium was removed and the cells were resuspended in medium made 1.6 X isotonic with NaCl. After 10 min at 37°C, polyribosomes were prepared and analyzed on sucrose gradients as above. In addition, the sparing effects of 0.05 M MgCl₂ on the disaggregation of polyribosomes that occur normally during mitosis were followed:

Figure 1 c Untreated prophase cell shown for comparison with Fig. 1 b. Distribution of condensed chromatin is similar; however, both chromatin and nucleolus display a more fibrous appearance than they do following hypertonic treatment. X 7,000.
2½ hr before the expected wave of mitosis, monolayers of thymidine-synchronized cells were placed in Eagle's medium containing 0.05 M MgCl₂ as above, plus 0.1 μg/ml of colchicine. After 2½ hr, cells arrested in metaphase were collected by selective detachment. They were washed in isotonic Earle's
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Figure 3a Interphase cell treated for 10 min with 2.8 X isotonic medium. Nuclear envelope is not visible along most of nuclear-cytoplasmic boundary. Mitochondrial and lysosomal membranes remain discernible although higher magnification (Fig. 3 b) indicates that they too are affected by the high salt. Paired arrows indicate nuclear-cytoplasmic boundary. 3a, X 14,000; 3b, X 56,000.
salt solution, lysed in 0.5% NP 40 in isotonic buffer, and the polyribosomes were then analyzed on sucrose gradients as above. Control cultures treated with colchicine, but not with 0.05 M MgCl₂ were run in parallel.

RESULTS

Morphological Effects of Hypertonic Medium

Most of the results to be described pertain to the effects of Eagle's medium made 1.6 or 2.8 X isotonic with NaCl; the effects of MgCl₂ and KCl were similar after short exposures (2-4 min), and longer treatments were not studied in detail.

The most striking ultrastructural effects of increasing extracellular NaCl are noted within 2 min and are confined to the nucleus. As reported at the light microscope level by Gaulden and Jones (7), the normally fibrillar chromatin of interphase (Fig. 1 a) is transformed into scattered condensed masses which are preferentially disposed about the nucleolus and just within the inner membrane of the nuclear envelope (Fig. 1 b). The over-all appearance of the chromatin is prophase-like, as is evident by a comparison with the normal prophase cell in Fig. 1 c; however, unlike that of the prophase cell, the nucleolus loses its fibrous element and appears diffusely granular. The fortuitous section in Fig. 1 b also illustrates that condensed intranucleolar chromatin is continuous with the chromatin in the nucleoplasm proper (arrow). This phenomenon, observed several times, may be a general one indicating that nucleolar DNA is not independently encapsulated within the nucleolus.

The nuclear envelope shows a marked degree of irregularity which presumably results from water loss, but which is exaggerated beyond the boundary irregularities noted in other organelles or in the cell surface. It is of interest that during normal prophase the nuclear envelope also exhibits a marked undulation which is, however, confined to that region of the envelope bordering the centrosome (16).

A significant difference between normal prophase and the effects of hypertonic NaCl lies in the wider variation in size and shape of the condensed chromatin masses produced by the high salt. In addition, mitotic condensation usually yields a more fibrillar and a more discrete chromosome than is seen in Fig. 1 b, although this is variable.

The cytoplasmic changes induced by 1.6 X isotonic medium are less pronounced than the changes in the nucleus, the most evident being a
dispersion of polyribosomes. After 2 min in the hypertonic solution, the polyribosomes still retain their morphological integrity (Fig. 1 b), but by 4 min (Fig. 2) the majority of characteristic clusters have dispersed as occurs during metaphase (17). Organelles that undergo significant transformations during mitosis, including Golgi complex, lysosomes, centrioles, and spindle tubules
Interphase cell treated for 10 min with 2.8 X isotonic medium followed by isotonic medium for 10 min. The nuclear envelope is again visible and intact. The nucleolus has regained its fibrous component. This, in conjunction with the decondensing chromatin, gives the nucleus a telophase-like appearance. Few polyribosomes are seen. X 13,000.
Figure 5  Electron micrograph of nucleus isolated in hypotonic Tris buffer, and then exposed to 1.6 × isotonic medium. Morphology is not significantly different from that of nucleus in untreated interphase cell. × 11,000.
Figure 6  Electron micrograph of nuclei isolated in hypotonic Tris buffer and then exposed to 0.1 M CaCl₂. Chromatin is grossly precipitated. × 17,000.
(16), do not manifest any obvious morphological alterations in response to 1.6 X isotonicity; however, the nuclear envelope does appear to be differentially sensitive to still higher salt concentrations. Fig. 3 a shows a cell that has been exposed to 2.8 X isotonic medium for 10 min. At this concentration of salt, the nuclear envelope is undetectable along most of the nucleocytoplasmic boundary. This, in conjunction with the scattered, condensed chromatin, produces an image similar to that seen in colchicine-induced metaphase arrest. While the nuclear envelope is singularly sensitive to high salt concentrations, membranes bounding other organelles are also affected, albeit to a lesser degree. Mitochondrial membranes, for example, show the effects seen in Fig. 3 b. The unit membrane architecture is indistinct, and the light space between the two electron-opaque lines is grossly narrowed.

Cells placed in 2.8 X isotonic medium for 10 min and then returned to 1 X isotonic medium go through a rapid recovery, with complete reversal of the induced changes we have described. In the early phases of this reversal, the nucleus bears a close resemblance to the untreated telophase nucleus as can be seen by comparing Figs. 4 a and b. As in the untreated telophase cell (Fig. 4 a), the chromosomes in Fig. 4 b have begun to unfold and the nucleolus has reassumed its interphase morphology. Occasional polyribosomes are seen, although their complete re-formation requires about 30 min.

Effects of Hypertonic Solutions on Isolated Nuclei

When isolated nuclei prepared by Dounce homogenization in either hypotonic or isotonic buffer are treated with hypertonic solutions, their response is strikingly different from that seen in the intact cell. No concentration of salt tested on isolated nuclei induced the transitions shown in Fig. 1 b. Gradually increasing the NaCl concentration up to 2.4 X isotonicity has little effect, and the isolated nuclei are indistinguishable from the nuclei seen in the untreated, intact interphase cell (Fig. 5). Raising the concentration to 2.8 X isotonicity leads to complete nuclear dissolution, and the DNA is released as a viscous aggregate. Substituting KCl, MgCl₂, or CaCl₂ altered the degree of chromatin clumping, but never produced a prophase-like nucleus at the electron microscope level. For example, Fig. 6 illustrates the effects of 0.1 M CaCl₂ which has been described as causing chromosome condensation (19). It is clear that the divalent cation has induced indiscriminate precipitation which is quite different in character from that seen in Figs. 1 b or c.

Effects of Increased Tonicity on Macromolecular Synthesis

Increasing salt concentration causes a significant but differential decrease in macromolecular synthesis, with amino acid incorporation being the most sensitive (Fig. 7). It is noted that between 1.3 and 2 X isotonicity protein synthesis decreases about 62% while RNA synthesis falls only 16%; however, at 2.8 X isotonicity—that concentration at which the nuclear envelope is dispersed (Fig. 3)—all macromolecular synthesis ceases. Fig. 7 in conjunction with Fig. 1 b demonstrates that DNA replication and RNA transcription can occur on markedly condensed chromatin for at least 15 min. In addition, Table I illustrates that the profound depression of macromolecular synthesis that occurs at 2.8 X isotonicity is rapidly reversed upon return of the cells to isotonic medium; 10
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Table I

Effects of Hypertonic Treatment on Macromolecular Synthesis

|                | cpm  |
|----------------|------|
|                | Untreated | Hypertonic | Reversed |
| DNA            | 1044  | 106        | 1191     |
| RNA            | 4809  | 286        | 5714     |
| Protein        | 4055  | 158        | 1542     |

Cell suspensions were made 2.8 X isotonic by appropriate addition of NaCl. 10^6 cells were incubated for 10 min as described in Materials and Methods. A second set of samples was incubated similarly, returned to isotonic medium for 10 min, and labeled as above ("Reversed"). Incorporation was compared with that of untreated cells.
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Effects of Hypertonic Solutions on Polyribosomes

The polyribosome profiles plotted in Fig. 8 extend the morphological data given in Fig. 2. Exposure of cells to 1.6 X isotonic medium causes almost total disaggregation of polyribosomes within 4 min as assessed by sucrose density gradient analysis. The rapid reversibility of the hypertonic effect is also evident in the figure; 30 min after the cells are returned to isotonic medium, the cytoplasmic OD_{260} profile is almost indistinguishable from the control pattern. This re-formation occurs similarly in the presence of actinomycin D at a concentration which inhibits

Figure 8 Effects of hypertonic medium on polyribosomes. Three aliquots of 10^7 randomly growing cells were incubated for 10 min at 37°C in: isotonic medium (---); 1.6 X isotonic (-- -) medium; and 1.6 X isotonic medium followed by isotonic medium for 30 min (----). The cells were washed in Earle's salts and lysed at 4°C in isotonic buffer containing 0.5% NP 40 detergent (13). The nuclei were removed by centrifugation, and the cytoplasmic extracts were analyzed on 15-30% sucrose gradients as described in the text.

min following reversal, only protein synthesis remains below control levels. This is consistent with the morphological data showing a slow re-formation of polyribosomes after exposure to this high salt concentration.
RNA synthesis by 85-90% (2 μg/ml), emphasizing the resistance of messenger RNA to the hypertonic treatment. The reassociation of messenger RNA and ribosomes to form functional polyribosomes in the absence of new RNA synthesis has been reported in several other instances including the postmitotic (G₁) phase of the cell life cycle (18).

The functional integrity of the polyribosomes was also reestablished as judged by their capacity to synthesize specific proteins. Nucleohistones are synthesized on small polyribosomes and identified on polyacrylamide gels by their high lysine and low tryptophan incorporation (13). When cells that had been treated and reversed for 10 min were pulse labeled and newly synthesized nucleohistones displayed on polyacrylamide gels, the general pattern of radioactivity in the various peaks was the same as that obtained from untreated cells (Fig. 9, panel A vs. panel C). However, the peak heights were reduced in the reversed sample since at the end of 15 min protein synthesis has not yet returned to control levels (see Table I). Cells that were pulsed in hypertonic medium show no significant incorporation (panel B). This complete inhibition of histone synthesis occurs at salt concentrations that are only 40% inhibitory to DNA synthesis. We have noted that incorporation of thymidine-¹⁴C may continue as long as 1½ hr in hypertonic medium, emphasizing that the syntheses of the nucleic acid and protein components of the chromosomes can be at least partially uncoupled even though they occur concomitantly in the untreated cell.

Whether the polyribosome breakdown observed in hypertonic solutions and that occurring during normal mitosis have the same basis is not established; however, it is of interest that in both cases breakdown may be partially prevented by high extracellular concentrations of Mg²⁺. Fig. 10 A shows polyribosome profiles from random interphase cells that were treated with Eagle's medium containing 0.05 M Mg²⁺ for 1½ hr followed by 1.6 X isotonic medium for 10 min; there is a significant sparing of polyribosomes, as compared to the preparation treated with only the 1.6 X isotonic medium. Similarly, synchronized cells treated with high Mg²⁺ and then arrested in metaphase also show polyribosome sparing, as compared to cells that were allowed to enter meta-
phase arrest without prior exposure to high Mg$$^{++}$$
(Fig. 10 B). The degree to which intracellular
polyribosomes resist breakdown in high salt con-
centrations in the presence of high extracellular
Mg$$^{++}$$ is not as marked as in the case of isolated
polyribosomes, presumably due to the limited
permeability of the cell membrane to the Mg$$^{++}$$
ions.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that profound but reversible intra-
cellular effects may be induced by manipulating
extracellular ion concentrations. Although there
are important discrepancies, many of the mor-
phological and biochemical responses bear comparison
with mitotic phenomena, lending credence to the
hypothesis that ions may play a crucial role in cell
division. If the data of Langendorf et al. (8) and
of Naora et al. (9), and others, with respect to
high concentrations of bound intranuclear Na$$^{+}$
are correct, then the selective mobilization of this
ion during mitosis could, in a controlled way,
lead to localized regions of high Na$$^{+}$$ concentra-
tion. Resultant effects might be grossly comparable
to those we have induced with hypertonic me-
dium, even though we have been necessarily in-
discriminate by concentrating all intracellular
ions.

We have already noted the nonspecific chroma-
tin clumping caused by Ca$$^{++}$$ (Fig. 6); likewise,
ethionine (20) and mengovirus (21) have been
reported to induce chromatin clumping; however,
it is our impression that these effects are qualita-
tively quite different from those shown in Fig. 1 b
and bear less resemblance to the phenomenon of
unperturbed prophase.

Although we have induced a characteristic type
of chromosome condensation by increasing intra-
cellular ion concentrations, other factors besides
ions must also play a role in condensation because
increased ion density alone does not have the same
morphological effect on isolated nuclei prepared
by the most gentle methods available. The nuclear
response in the intact cell may be partly depend-
ton unknown molecules inadvertently lost to
the medium during separation of nuclei from cyto-
plasm. Unfortunately, however, this hypothesis
is not easily testable.

While most of the morphological changes in-
duced with hypertonic solutions are similar to
those seen during mitosis, this resemblance is
less pronounced with respect to biochemical
events. Thus, although macromolecular synthesis
is grossly depressed during mitosis as well as fol-
lowing hypertonic treatment, we have noted an
important difference: the concentration of salt
necessary to halt DNA and RNA syntheses also
stops protein synthesis; in contrast, mitotic cells
maintain amino acid incorporation at 20–30% of
interphase levels even though DNA and RNA
syntheses cease.

This investigation was supported by grants from the
United States Public Health Service (GM 14582, Al
4153). Dr. Robbins holds a Research Career Develop-
ment Award from the United States Public Health
Service, National Institutes of Health. Dr. Pederson
is a postdoctoral trainee supported by United States
Public Health Service grant GM 876.

Received for publication 4 August 1969, and in revised form
30 September 1969.

REFERENCES

1. HEILBRUNN, L. V. 1952. Outline of General
Physiology. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia. 102.
2. WHITFIELD, J. F., and R. H. RIXON. 1963. Exp.
Cell Res. 31:140.
3. WHITFIELD, J. F., H. BROHEE, and T. YOUDALE.
1964. Exp. Cell Res. 35:207.
4. WHITFIELD, J. F., and R. H. RIXON. 1962. Exp.
Cell Res. 27:154.
5. WHITFIELD, J. F., and T. YOUDALE. 1966. Exp.
Cell Res. 43:502.
6. WHITFIELD, J. F., H. BROHEE, and T. YOUDALE.
1966. Exp. Cell Res. 41:49.
6a. ALLFREY, V. G., R. MENDT, J. W. HOPKINS, and
A. E. MIRSKY. 1961. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.
47:907.
7. GAULDEN, M. E., and G. JONES, III. 1966. J. Cell
Biol. 31:38A. (Abstr.)
8. LANGENDORF, H., G. SIEBERT, R. HANNOVER, I.
LORENZ, and R. BEYER. 1961. Biochem. Z. 335:
273.
9. NAORA, H., H. NAORA, A. E. MIRSKY, and V. G.
ALLFREY. 1961. J. Gen. Physiol. 44:713.
10. EAGLE, H. 1959. Science. 130:432.
11. ROBBINS, E., and M. D. SCHARFF. 1966. In Cell
Synchrony. I. L. Cameron and G. Padilla,
editors. Academic Press Inc., New York. 353.
12. Robbins, E., and G. Jentzsch. 1967. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 15:181.
13. Borun, T. W., M. D. Scharff, and E. Robbins. 1967. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 149:302.
14. Maizel, J. V. 1966. *Science.* 151:988.
15. Robbins, E., and T. W. Borun. 1967. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A.* 57:409.
16. Robbins, E., and N. K. Gonatas. 1964. *J. Cell Biol.* 21:429.
17. Scharff, M. D., and E. Robbins. 1966. *Science.* 151:992.
18. Hodge, L. D., E. Robbins, and M. D. Scharff. 1969. *J. Cell Biol.* 40:497.
19. Anderson, N. G., and K. Wilbur. 1952. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 35:781.
20. Herman, L., and P. J. Fitzgerald. 1962. *J. Cell Biol.* 12:278.
21. Dales, S., and R. Franklin. 1962. *J. Cell Biol.* 14:281.