Evolution of spirorbin brooding: a phylogenetic analysis and a test of an oxygen limitation hypothesis
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Introduction

Many marine invertebrates brood embryos on the body or inside specialised brooding structures and brooding is commonly associated with small body size (e.g., Chia, 1974; Menge, 1975; Knight-Jones, Bowden, 1979). Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain such a relationship. The most intuitive hypothesis of Chia (1974) states that brooding should be advantageous in small organisms with low energy reserves for gamete production because planktonic mortality is high. Low fecundity (of small species) means there is a high probability that no embryos exposed to the hazards of planktonic life will survive.

According to the recruitment hypothesis (Strathmann, Strathmann, 1982), predictable recruitment typical for brooding species is more important for small-bodied than for large-bodied species. If recruitment is unpredictable, and larval or juvenile survival is more variable than survival of adults, selection may favour greater adult longevity with ensuring higher fecundity. Thus, varying survival may link absence of brooding to higher longevity and therefore, larger body size. The dispersal hypothesis (Strathmann, Strathmann, 1982) states that the advantages of brooding for smaller species exceed potential advantages provided by greater dispersal. Given that small spirorbins can disperse considerable distances using rafting, drifting and floating, any disadvantages of limited larval dispersal in brooders may be compensated. Long-term dispersal may be disadvantageous and Bhaud & Duchene (1996) even suggested...
that brooding evolved as an adaptation for reduced dispersal to ensure fertilisation in a dispersive environment. However, their hypothesis does not suggest that brooding is more beneficial for small species. The allometry hypothesis (Strathmann, Strathmann, 1982) states that with increased body size, the capacity for egg production increases faster than space for brooding. While Hess (1993) found no evidence that scaling limits brood size in spirorbins, her test may not be decisive because she compared four spirorbins with *Pseudochitinopoma occidentalis* (Bush, 1905), the small-bodied serpulin that may provide early embryos with short-term parental care (Kupriyanova et al., 2012). Because the validity of the allometry hypothesis depends on the type and geometry of the brood space, it may be only applicable to certain types of internal brooding. While this hypothesis explains why brooding is not a beneficial strategy for larger organisms, it does not necessarily imply that brooding should be a preferred mode for small species. The model of Havenhand (1993), which stresses the selective pressures toward reduction of development time to metamorphosis, indirectly emphasises the importance of brooding in small organisms, because brooders are normally lecithotrophic and tend to have shorter development time than planktotrophs.

All the hypotheses above are not mutually exclusive and some of them emphasise the association of large body size with absence of brooding more than the association of small size with brooding. In serpulimorph polychaetes (Serpulidae, Annelida) brooding is typical for all spirorbins and small (usually < 10 mm in length) serpulids, whereas larger serpulids with a body size of > 20 mm tend to be broadcasters (Kupriyanova et al., 2001). Spirorbin show two major types of incubation: in the operculum and in the tube. Tube incubation is morphologically simple but is not uniform and its methods vary markedly according to the types of embryo anchorage inside the tube.

The evolution of brooding in spirorbins attracted attention of a number of researchers. It has been argued based on morphological complexity of structures involved in opercular brooding, that this method is an evolutionary novelty in the Spirorbinae. Gee (1964) proposed a hypothetical evolutionary scheme according to which tube incubation precedes all other types of brood protection in spirorbins. The idea of ancestral tube incubation was widely accepted (Thorpe, 1975; Knight-Jones, Thorp, 1984; Nishi, 1993). The incubating cups of the Pileolarini are considered to be derived, as they are structurally more complex and exhibit a wider range of forms. The interrelationships of romanchellin, pileolarin and januin brood chambers and possible sequences of their evolution have been considered in Thorp (1975) and Knight-Jones & Thorp (1984). Nishi (1993) proposed only a slight modification of Thorp (1975), suggesting that the tube brooding is ancestral type for the tubular thoracic-stalk brooding of Romancheliinae. An alternative hypothesis by Thorp & Segrove (1975) suggested that the opercular incubation is ancestral and the tube incubation is derived.

The origin of the opercular brooding method appears to have been an important transition in evolution of spirorbins because it resulted in significant diversification of the group and wide geographical distribution of opercular brooders. However, the costs and benefits of tube vs. opercular incubation are not clearly understood. Opercular brooding appears to have some obvious disadvantages. The larvae could be susceptible to predation because opercula in serpulimorph polychaetes can be easily bitten off (Kupriyanova et al., 2001), whereas tube incubation offers better protection for embryos. Opercular incubation may result in decreased fecundity because brooding space of the opercular brooding chamber is less than that of the tube. The brooding space limitation can result in reduced number of young per brood, although no studies analyzed the relative fecundity of opercular and tube brooders.

The only hypothesis explaining a selective advantage of opercular incubation (Harris, 1972) links oxygen demands of spirorbid embryos and type of incubation based on geographic distribution of selected spirorbin species. The observation that opercular incubators predominantly
live in warm waters led Harris (1972) to suggest that oxygen may be limiting factor for tube incubators. Tube-incubating species should dominate in highly oxygenated waters of high latitudes, whereas opercular incubators should mainly inhabit predominantly warm (and thus, less oxygenated) waters of lower latitudes. Therefore, opercular incubation evolved as an adaptation to reduce oxygen limitation experienced by embryos of tube incubators in warm waters. Although the hypothesis does not address the direction of evolution of brooding, it implies that tube incubation is an ancestral method that had originally emerged in high altitudes and opercular incubation evolved as spirorbins penetrated into lower latitudes. The hypothesis predicts a relationship between the type of brooding in a spirorbin taxon and the geographical distribution of the taxon in relation to the dissolved oxygen in the water.

The aim of this paper is to study of evolution of tube and opercular brooding in spirorbins. The phylogenetic analysis of spirorbid morphological characters was used to determine whether the opercular incubation is derived relative to the tube incubation and whether methods of opercular incubation originated independently. We also tested the prediction of Harris (1972) about a relationship between type of spirorbin incubation and the average concentration of dissolved oxygen on the scale of world-wide distribution.

**Material and methods**

The material used in the cladistic analysis of morphological characters and in the analysis of geographical distribution was obtained from the literature (see Kupriyanova *et al.*, 2001) and unpublished data of Rzhavsky who had examined spirorbins deposited in museums worldwide (Rzhavsky, 1991a, b, 1992a, b, c, 1993, 1994, 1997, 1998; Rzhavsky *et al.*, 2018).

The data on average dissolved oxygen concentration corresponding to published and unpublished spirorbin distribution records (coordinates) were obtained from the public database of the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC), USA at www.nodc.noaa.gov. Yearly-averaged data with 1-degree resolution in latitude and longitude and 33 depth points from 0 to 5500 m were used. The species used in the analysis were recorded in the depth range 0-650 m, and most of them were recorded from the depths below 200 m (Table 1). The coordinates and depths of the original spirorbid distribution were rounded to the nearest points of the 3D data grid. Data for some intertidal or shallow subtidal species did not include depth, therefore, because they all fell within the first 0-33-m grid, the depth of such records was assumed to be zero. Some of the obtained nearest points happened to be onshore or below the bottom due to round off errors and/or approximate reporting of the data coordinates in species distributions. Such data points were further rounded towards the nearest grid node within the water. Finally, NODC data were used for the nearest node as the measure of the oxygen contents at the spirorbin location. The distribution of 3 spirorbin genera (10 species, Table 2) was analysed.

A phylogenetic analysis of spirorbin genera was performed using two serpulin species as an outgroup. The list of morphological characters used in the analysis is summarised in Table 3. The 27 spirorbin genera used in the analysis are listed in Table 4. Only the genera were used in the analysis because spirorbins show remarkable uniformity of their brooding within each genus. All characters were unordered and had equal weight. Character 1 (type of incubation) was included in the data matrix. Cladograms were generated with PAUP 4.10 (Swofford, 1999). The size of the data matrix (27 taxa by 35 characters) did not allow the use of exhaustive search; therefore, heuristic search was performed. The search 2000 replications using random addition with tree-bisection-reconnection branch-swapping, with 10 trees being held at each step. Accelerated transformation (ACCTRAN) was used for character-state optimisation.

The hypothesis that spirorbin fecundity is related to the body size was tested using regression analysis. One-way ANOVA was used to
Table 1. Spirorbin records, average dissolved oxygen concentration data, and incubation type.

| Species                  | Latitude  | Longitude | Depth, m | Incubation | Oxygen, mg.L⁻¹ |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------------|
| Bushiella (J.) acuticostalis | 45°49'N  | 55°34'W  | 70       | operculum  | 6.9073        |
|                          | 45°25'N  | 56°13'W  | 70       | operculum  | 6.9441        |
|                          | 44°26'N  | 50°50'W  | 72       | operculum  | 6.993         |
|                          | 69°19'N  | 33°52'W  | 170      | operculum  | 7.0138        |
|                          | 45°00'N  | 49°40'W  | 73       | operculum  | 7.1935        |
|                          | 54°52'N  | 167°26'E | 10       | operculum  | 7.4618        |
|                          | 46°26'N  | 143°35'E | 25       | operculum  | 8.0292        |
| Bushiella (J.) granulata  | 54°06'N  | 4°48'W   | 16       | operculum  | 5.75          |
|                          | 50°21'N  | 4°10'W   | 5        | operculum  | 6.1259        |
|                          | 54°23'N  | 10°11'E  | 0        | operculum  | 6.273         |
|                          | 53°2'N   | 4°38'W   | 2        | operculum  | 6.4777        |
|                          | 66°18'N  | 33°17'E  | 23       | operculum  | 7.5029        |
|                          | 65°545N  | 38°15'E  | 90       | operculum  | 7.6015        |
| Bushiella (J.) kofiadii   | 17°30'N  | 17°30'E  | 230      | operculum  | 0.8426        |
|                          | 78°05'N  | 149°30'E | 58       | operculum  | 6.1644        |
|                          | 75°15'N  | 170°45'E | 390      | operculum  | 6.4025        |
|                          | 70°26'N  | 17°56'E  | 120      | operculum  | 6.4876        |
|                          | 75°15'N  | 171°15'E | 455      | operculum  | 6.5842        |
|                          | 69°2'N   | 33°32'E  | 280      | operculum  | 6.656         |
|                          | 78°15'N  | 15°52'W  | 165      | operculum  | 6.8077        |
|                          | 71°3'N   | 30°00'E  | 330      | operculum  | 6.8269        |
|                          | 74°3'N   | 32°30'E  | 387      | operculum  | 6.8816        |
|                          | 48°14'N  | 49°45'W  | 220      | operculum  | 6.9043        |
|                          | 81°04'N  | 53°32'E  | 422      | operculum  | 6.9188        |
|                          | 71°1'N   | 33°15'E  | 340      | operculum  | 6.9793        |
|                          | 54°00'N  | 54°47'E  | 220      | operculum  | 6.9799        |
|                          | 72°3'N   | 33°30'E  | 287      | operculum  | 7.1269        |
|                          | 81°11'N  | 75°20'E  | 124      | operculum  | 7.1478        |
|                          | 80°00'N  | 10°00'E  | 550      | operculum  | 7.1821        |
|                          | 79°1'N   | 40°30'E  | 380      | operculum  | 7.192         |
|                          | 77°03'N  | 13°24'E  | 420      | operculum  | 7.2015        |
|                          | 78°01'N  | 13°07'E  | 136      | operculum  | 7.2094        |
|                          | 78°08'N  | 73°47'E  | 426      | operculum  | 7.3719        |
|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.4159 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.4302 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.4395 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.5066 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 4.6924 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 4.9657 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 5.0475 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.1871 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.4239 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.5165 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.5376 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.5878 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.6371 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.6677 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.7273 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.8463 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.8735 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.9073 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.9256 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.9441 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.9574 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.9655 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 6.993 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.019 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.0423 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.0666 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.0847 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.0978 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.1331 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.2061 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.2083 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.2557 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.3072 |
|   |   |   |   |   | operculum | 7.3448 |

_Bushiella (J.) kofiiadii_

| 80°58’N | 80°26’E | 74 | operculum |
| 80°45’N | 69°46’E | 560 | operculum |
| 77°58’N | 71°43’E | 365 | operculum |
| 78°34’N | 110°09’E | 364 | operculum |

_Bushiella (J.) quadrangularis_

| 22°29’N | 56°40’W | 0 | operculum |
| 52°53’N | 160°09’E | 176 | operculum |
| 56°45’N | 145°50’E | 218 | operculum |
| 57°45’N | 159°55’E | 115 | operculum |
| 60°07’N | 160°46’E | 100 | operculum |
| 71°30’N | 171°54’W | 58 | operculum |
| 48°24’N | 45°59’W | 92 | operculum |
| 54°19’N | 140°22’E | 60 | operculum |
| 55°34’N | 161°18’W | 46 | operculum |
| 63°4’N | 10°50’E | 100 | operculum |
| 43°20’N | 50°15’W | 65 | operculum |
| 48°00’N | 139°46’E | 58 | operculum |
| 58°41’N | 151°09’E | 89 | operculum |
| 69°12’N | 36°10’E | 114 | operculum |
| 45°49’N | 55°34’W | 70 | operculum |
| 42°38’N | 130°45’E | 10 | operculum |
| 45°25’N | 56°13’W | 70 | operculum |
| 69°34’N | 32°12’E | 70 | operculum |
| 70°47’N | 47°15’E | 150 | operculum |
| 44°26’N | 50°50’W | 72 | operculum |
| 68°55’N | 37°37’E | 167 | operculum |
| 74°35’N | 75°26’E | 32 | operculum |
| 74°3’N | 20°1’E | 90 | operculum |
| 62°05’N | 179°19’E | 72 | operculum |
| 70°07’N | 37°12’E | 172 | operculum |
| 80°19’N | 34°33’E | 115 | operculum |
| 77°07’N | 13°37’E | 200 | operculum |
| 71°06’N | 42°40’E | 127 | operculum |
| 54°14’N | 160°29’E | 80 | operculum |
| 69°12’N | 35°47’E | 30 | operculum |
| 66°18’N | 33°17’E | 12.5 | operculum |
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Table 1 (continued).

|   | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|   | Lat°N | Long°E| Operculum |   |      |       |
| 1 | 54°52’N | 162°11’E | 78 | operculum | 7.3544 |
|   | 44°5’N  | 50°20’W  | 46 | operculum | 7.3562 |
|   | 45°00’N | 147°42’E | 0  | operculum | 7.3615 |
|   | 43°5’N  | 146°42’E | 0  | operculum | 7.3693 |
|   | 70°23’N | 64°00’E  | 158| operculum| 7.4077 |
|   | 43°44’N | 145°32’E | 13 | operculum| 7.4561 |
|   | 71°32’N | 52°14’E  | 9  | operculum| 7.4618 |
|   | 77°07’N | 156°37’E | 30 | operculum| 7.4649 |
|   | 47°09’N | 152°15’E | 15 | operculum| 7.4714 |
|   | 57°33’N | 156°37’E | 38 | operculum| 7.5108 |
|   | 79°17’N | 76°08’E  | 54 | operculum| 7.5324 |
|   | 79°17’N | 76°08’E  | 54 | operculum| 7.5324 |
|   | 73°77’N | 53°00’E  | 145| operculum| 7.5487 |
|   | 47°03’N | 56°07’W  | 70 | operculum| 7.5612 |
|   | 52°54’N | 159°27’E | 92 | operculum| 7.5941 |
|   | 78°3’N  | 50°00’E  | 230| operculum| 7.6751 |
|   | 56°11’N | 163°23’E | 14.5| operculum| 7.7053 |
|   | 75°54’N | 92°59’E  | 16 | operculum| 7.7401 |
|   | 76°00’N | 92°4’E   | 20 | operculum| 7.7401 |
|   | 57°5’N  | 162°25’E | 13 | operculum| 7.7786 |
|   | 58°58’N | 164°29’E | 53 | operculum| 7.8253 |
|   | 50°52’N | 156°40’E | 13 | operculum| 7.8324 |
|   | 69°42’N | 60°03’E  | 7  | operculum| 7.8722 |
|   | 46°44’N | 142°42’E | 35 | operculum| 7.8742 |
|   | 53°05’N | 160°03’E | 18 | operculum| 7.9267 |
|   | 69°46’N | 60°35’E  | 20 | operculum| 7.9553 |
|   | 59°33’N | 150°43’E | 5  | operculum| 7.9602 |
|   | 59°31’N | 150°34’E | 10 | operculum| 7.963  |
|   | 69°42’N | 170°16’E | 7  | operculum| 8.0051 |
|   | 53°16’N | 159°58’E | 10 | operculum| 8.0503 |
|   | 80°41’N | 78°37’E  | 32 | operculum| 8.076  |
|   | 52°57’N | 158°29’E | 6  | operculum| 8.1005 |
|   | 80°21’N | 52°16’E  | 73 | operculum| 8.1636 |
|   | 71°24’N | 156°29’W | 50.4| operculum| 8.2035 |
|   | 79°24’N | 91°13’E  | 24 | operculum| 8.493  |
|   | 78°4’N  | 104°30’E | 7  | operculum| 8.5248 |

*Bushiella (J.) quadrangularis*
Table 1 (continued).

| 1  | 2                  | 3                  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|
|    | 56°00’N            | 149°29’E           | 397| operculum | 3.0755 |
|    | 56°1’N             | 149°23’E           | 284| operculum | 3.2626 |
|    | 46°14’N            | 138°33’E           | 630| operculum | 5.5418 |
|    | 37°41’N            | 122°18’W           | 28 | operculum | 5.6473 |
|    | 54°19’N            | 140°22’E           | 60 | operculum | 6.5878 |
|    | 59°31’N            | 156°29’E           | 42 | operculum | 6.628 |
|    | 53°46’N            | 160°17’E           | 135| operculum | 6.7168 |
|    | 48°00’N            | 139°46’E           | 58 | operculum | 6.723 |
|    | 45°49’N            | 55°34’W            | 70 | operculum | 6.9073 |
|    | 52°53’N            | 159°39’E           | 125| operculum | 7.3194 |
|    | 43°44’N            | 145°32’E           | 0  | operculum | 7.3693 |
|    | 80°26’N            | 85°36’E            | 313| operculum | 7.3719 |
|    | 78°22’N            | 15°05’E            | 20 | operculum | 7.5234 |
|    | 59°33’N            | 150°43’E           | 27 | operculum | 7.5598 |
|    | 47°03’N            | 56°08’W            | 70 | operculum | 7.5612 |
|    | 53°16’N            | 159°58’E           | 19 | operculum | 7.9267 |
|    | 52°57’N            | 158°29’E           | 6  | operculum | 8.1005 |
|    | 80°21’N            | 52°16’E            | 73 | operculum | 8.1636 |

*Bushiella (J.) similis*

| 1  | 2                  | 3                  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|
|    | 42°50’N            | 132°35’E           | 0  | operculum | 6.6205 |
|    | 42°54’N            | 132°44’E           | 2  | operculum | 6.6205 |
|    | 42°39’N            | 130°45’E           | 1  | operculum | 6.7595 |
|    | 42°50’N            | 132°35’E           | 10 | operculum | 6.7777 |
|    | 42°54’N            | 132°44’E           | 8  | operculum | 6.7777 |
|    | 43°01’N            | 144°50’E           | ?  | operculum | 7.2469 |
|    | 42°39’N            | 130°45’E           | 22 | operculum | 7.2897 |
|    | 43°44’N            | 145°32’E           | 3  | operculum | 7.3693 |
|    | 43°37’N            | 146°19’E           | 6  | operculum | 7.4561 |
|    | 43°44’N            | 145°32’E           | 9  | operculum | 7.4561 |

*Neodexiospira alveolata*

| 1  | 2                  | 3                  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|
|    | 19°37’N            | 37°14’E            | 4  | operculum | 4.2598 |
|    | 17°24’S            | 177°43’E           | 2.5| operculum | 4.7144 |
|    | 25°50’N            | 80°10’W            | 0  | operculum | 4.7152 |
|    | 21°10’S            | 175°09’W           | 0  | operculum | 4.7379 |
|    | 16°45’N            | 169°32’W           | 3.5| operculum | 4.7387 |
|    | 21°10’S            | 175°09’W           | 16 | operculum | 4.7536 |
|    | 21°24’N            | 158°11’W           | 8  | operculum | 4.8093 |
|    | 34°17’N            | 136°49’E           | 1  | operculum | 5.1355 |

*Neodexiospira foraminosa*
Table 1 (continued).

|       | 2       | 3       | 4  | 5        | 6        |
|-------|---------|---------|----|----------|----------|
| **Neodexiospira lamellosa** |         |         |    |          |          |
|       | 34°05’S | 151°08’E | ?  | operculum | 5.0714   |
|       | 35°01’S | 138°30’E | ?  | operculum | 5.6582   |
| **Neodexiospira mannarensis** |         |         |    |          |          |
|       | 08°58’N | 79°55’E | 0.5| operculum | 4.522    |
|       | 22°45’N | 41°35’W | 1  | operculum | 4.797    |
|       | 22°59’S | 43°03’W | 1  | operculum | 4.8842   |
|       | 38°35’S | 58°40’W | 1  | operculum | 6.3667   |
| **Neodexiospira preacuta** |         |         |    |          |          |
|       | 19°37’N | 37°14’E | 40 | operculum | 4.2666   |
|       | 17°24’S | 177°43’E| 15 | operculum | 4.6816   |
|       | 21°10’S | 175°09’W| 3  | operculum | 4.7379   |
|       | 19°44’N | 155°05’W| 0  | operculum | 4.9549   |
| **Paradexiospora (S.) violacea** |         |         |    |          |          |
|       | 55°34’N | 161°18’W| 72 | tube      | 6.1184   |
|       | 45°20’N | 53°17’W | 88 | tube      | 6.6811   |
|       | 56°24’N | 143°22’E| 50 | tube      | 6.689    |
|       | 55°34’N | 161°18’W| 27 | tube      | 6.8248   |
|       | 45°49’N | 55°34’W | 70 | tube      | 6.9073   |
|       | 69°35’N | 31°18’E | 0  | tube      | 7.0461   |
|       | 60°35’N | 147°25’W| ?  | tube      | 7.0478   |
|       | 69°06’N | 36°03’E | 0  | tube      | 7.0764   |
|       | 62°05’N | 179°19’E| 72 | tube      | 7.0847   |
|       | 76°26’N | 25°30’E | 110| tube      | 7.0874   |
|       | 59°06’N | 163°31’E| 1  | tube      | 7.1035   |
|       | 75°30’N | 23°30’E | 70 | tube      | 7.156    |
|       | 69°06’N | 36°03’E | 55 | tube      | 7.2261   |
|       | 51°54’N | 177°24’E| 18 | tube      | 7.2348   |
|       | 59°09’N | 163°16’E| 9  | tube      | 7.4404   |
|       | 59°09’N | 163°16’E| 15 | tube      | 7.4404   |
|       | 54°45’N | 167°43’E| 0  | tube      | 7.4408   |
|       | 58°50’N | 170°22’E| 75 | tube      | 7.5034   |
|       | 50°51’N | 155°41’E| 40 | tube      | 7.5047   |
|       | 55°11’N | 165°56’E| 0  | tube      | 7.5149   |
|       | 78°22’N | 15°05’E | 25 | tube      | 7.5234   |
|       | 78°22’N | 15°05’E | 3  | tube      | 7.5725   |
|       | 55°11’N | 165°56’E| 30 | tube      | 7.6484   |
|       | 50°51’N | 155°41’E| 12 | tube      | 7.6678   |
|       | 46°47’N | 56°16’W | 55 | tube      | 7.7106   |
Table 1 (continued).
Таблица 1 (продолжение).

| 1   | 2               | 3               | 4   | 5       | 6   |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----|---------|-----|
|     |                 |                 |     |         |     |
| Paradexiospira (S.) violacea |                 |                 |     |         |     |
| 60°06’N | 165°10’E     | 3    | tube  | 7.7808 |
| 65°54’N | 169°41’W      | 48   | tube  | 7.8076 |
| 50°44’N | 156°10’E      | 14   | tube  | 7.8324 |
| 50°52’N | 156°40’E      | 10   | tube  | 7.8324 |
| 50°52’N | 156°40’E      | 15   | tube  | 7.8324 |
| 50°44’N | 156°10’E      | 3    | tube  | 7.8559 |
| 53°05’N | 160°03’E      | 18   | tube  | 7.9267 |
| 52°49’N | 158°38’E      | 10   | tube  | 8.1005 |
| 52°49’N | 158°38’E      | 12   | tube  | 8.1005 |
| 72°57’N | 55°54’E       | 25   | tube  | 8.2805 |
|      |                 |                 |     |         |     |
| Paradexiospira (S.) cancellata |                 |                 |     |         |     |
| 45°49’N | 55°34’E       | 70   | tube  | 3.8231 |
| 56°11’N | 163°23’E      | 118  | tube  | 5.4482 |
| 49°43’N | 156°16’E      | 172.5 | tube  | 6.3014 |
| 56°24’N | 143°22’E      | 76   | tube  | 6.5351 |
| 57°50’N | 162°25’E      | 120  | tube  | 6.5559 |
| 45°20’N | 53°17’W       | 88   | tube  | 6.6811 |
| 56°24’N | 143°22’E      | 50   | tube  | 6.689 |
| 59°04’N | 154°01’E      | 62   | tube  | 6.8908 |
| 57°46’N | 156°48’E      | 76   | tube  | 7.0372 |
| 62°95’N | 179°19’E      | 72   | tube  | 7.0847 |
| 61°50’N | 170°30’E      | 72   | tube  | 7.0915 |
| 59°09’N | 163°16’E      | 3    | tube  | 7.1035 |
| 46°42’N | 56°10’W       | 90   | tube  | 7.2165 |
| 69°06’N | 36°03’E       | 61   | tube  | 7.2261 |
| 59°55’N | 172°25’E      | 75   | tube  | 7.2998 |
| 60°55’N | 172°25’E      | 60   | tube  | 7.3001 |
| 59°09’N | 163°16’E      | 15   | tube  | 7.4404 |
| 54°49’N | 167°33’E      | 20   | tube  | 7.4851 |
| 66°18’N | 33°17’E       | 25   | tube  | 7.5029 |
| 47°03’N | 56°07’W       | 70   | tube  | 7.5612 |
| 78°22’N | 15°05’E       | ?    | tube  | 7.5725 |
| 66°18’N | 33°17’E       | 28   | tube  | 7.5768 |
| 53°16’N | 159°58’E      | 25   | tube  | 7.9267 |
| 69°46’N | 60°35’E       | 20   | tube  | 7.9553 |
| Paradexiospira (S.) vitrea |                 |                 |     |         |     |
| 50°41’N | 157°30’E      | 217  | tube  | 5.3666 |
| 56°06’N | 137°34’E      | 62   | tube  | 5.797  |
Table 1 (continued).
Таблица 1 (продолжение).

| 1        | 2             | 3             | 4             | 5             | 6   |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|
| 59°00'N | 142°35'E      | 62 tube      | 5.8186        |               |     |
| 43°38'N | 146°58'E      | 150 tube     | 5.9227        |               |     |
| 49°28'N | 02°31'W       | 25 tube      | 5.9652        |               |     |
| 35°25'N | 120°53'W      | 0 tube       | 6.0217        |               |     |
| 50°09'N | 155°01'E      | 242 tube     | 6.0398        |               |     |
| 49°55'N | 06°17'W       | 25 tube      | 6.0676        |               |     |
| 50°22'N | 04°09'W       | 15 tube      | 6.0751        |               |     |
| 49°55'N | 06°17'W       | 0 tube       | 6.0842        |               |     |
| 36°38'N | 121°56'W      | 0 tube       | 6.1164        |               |     |
| 50°22'N | 04°09'W       | 0 tube       | 6.1259        |               |     |
| 49°28'N | 02°31'W       | 0 tube       | 6.1738        |               |     |
| 49°53'N | 155°38'E      | 140 tube     | 6.2859        |               |     |
| 49°43'N | 156°16'E      | 172.5 tube   | 6.3014        |               |     |
| 49°51'N | 156°18'E      | 151 tube     | 6.3014        |               |     |
| 44°37'N | 124°5'W       | 0 tube       | 6.4075        |               |     |
| 54°33'N | 142°40'E      | 67 tube      | 6.6084        |               |     |
| 59°16'N | 10°36'E       | 40 tube      | 6.6115        |               |     |
| 56°24'N | 143°22'E      | 50 tube      | 6.689         |               |     |
| 42°18'N | 141°00'E      | 0 tube       | 6.7034        |               |     |
| 42°18'N | 141°00'E      | 15 tube      | 6.7343        |               |     |
| 42°27'N | 130°56'E      | 5 tube       | 6.7595        |               |     |
| 42°38'N | 130°45'E      | 5 tube       | 6.7595        |               |     |
| 48°00'N | 123°00'E      | 0 tube       | 6.7595        |               |     |
| 42°27'N | 130°56'E      | 10 tube      | 6.9256        |               |     |
| 42°38'N | 130°45'E      | 10 tube      | 6.9256        |               |     |
| 68°55'N | 37°37'E       | 167 tube     | 7.019         |               |     |
| 49°52'N | 155°56'E      | 106 tube     | 7.0434        |               |     |
| 60°35'N | 147°25'W      | ? tube       | 7.0478        |               |     |
| 69°06'N | 36°03'E       | 3 tube       | 7.0764        |               |     |
| 62°05'N | 179°19'E      | 72 tube      | 7.0847        |               |     |
| 59°09'N | 163°16'E      | 2 tube       | 7.1035        |               |     |
| 58°59'N | 154°01'E      | 36 tube      | 7.1448        |               |     |
| 71°06'N | 42°40'E       | 127.5 tube   | 7.2083        |               |     |
| 69°06'N | 36°03'E       | 55 tube      | 7.2261        |               |     |
| 50°06'N | 140°33'E      | 20 tube      | 7.2391        |               |     |
| 43°01'N | 144°50'E      | 0 tube       | 7.2469        |               |     |
Table 1 (continued).
Таблица 1 (продолжение).

| 1       | 2          | 3          | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|---------|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 53°32'N | 160°03'E  | 80         | tube| 7.2557 |
| 43°01'N | 144°50'E  | 15         | tube| 7.3171 |
| 52°50'N | 172°45'E  | 11         | tube| 7.3291 |
| 43°44'N | 145°32'E  | 3          | tube| 7.3693 |
| 72°00'N | 48°10'E   | 95         | tube| 7.44  |
| 59°09'N | 163°16'E  | 6          | tube| 7.4404 |
| 43°46'N | 145°54'E  | 26         | tube| 7.446 |
| 47°09'N | 152°15'E  | 0          | tube| 7.4598 |
| 47°09'N | 152°15'E  | 20         | tube| 7.4614 |
| 66°18'N | 33°17'E   | 25         | tube| 7.5029 |
| 50°51'N | 155°41'E  | 40         | tube| 7.5047 |
| 57°33'N | 156°37'E  | 38         | tube| 7.5108 |
| 55°11'N | 165°56'E  | 0          | tube| 7.5149 |
| 78°22'N | 15°05'E   | 25         | tube| 7.5234 |
| 73°77'N | 53°00'E   | 145        | tube| 7.5487 |
| 54°46'N | 137°14'E  | 40         | tube| 7.5501 |
| 78°22'N | 15°05'E   | 2          | tube| 7.5725 |
| 53°16'N | 159°58'E  | 1          | tube| 7.5795 |
| 55°11'N | 165°56'E  | 20         | tube| 7.6612 |
| 50°51'N | 155°41'E  | 10         | tube| 7.6678 |
| 56°11'N | 163°23'E  | 14.5       | tube| 7.7053 |
| 50°52'N | 156°40'E  | 40         | tube| 7.7069 |
| 52°57'N | 158°29'E  | 4          | tube| 7.7705 |
| 60°06'N | 165°10'E  | 3          | tube| 7.7808 |
| 65°54'N | 169°14'W  | 48         | tube| 7.8076 |
| 54°46'N | 137°14'E  | 8          | tube| 7.8133 |
| 50°52'N | 156°40'E  | 15         | tube| 7.8324 |
| 60°21'N | 167°04'E  | 2.5        | tube| 7.8738 |
| 56°06'N | 137°34'E  | 10         | tube| 7.9463 |
| 59°31'N | 150°44'E  | 0          | tube| 7.9602 |
| 59°31'N | 150°44'E  | 10         | tube| 7.963  |
| 64°21'N | 173°22'W  | 8          | tube| 8.0382 |
| 53°16'N | 159°58'E  | 10         | tube| 8.0503 |
| 64°21'N | 173°22'W  | 4          | tube| 8.0905 |
| 52°57'N | 158°29'E  | 10         | tube| 8.1005 |
| 72°57'N | 55°54'E   | 25         | tube| 8.2805 |
Table 1 (continued).
Таблица 1 (продолжение).

|   | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|   | **Paradexiospora (S.) vitrea** |       |       |       |       |       |
|   | 79°24'N | 91°13'E | 24    | tube  | 8.493 |       |
|   | **Spirorbis tridentatus** |       |       |       |       |       |
|   | 79°34'N | 10°595'E | 44    | tube  | 7.3089 |       |
|   | 42°39'N | 130°47'E | 0     | operculum | 6.7595 |       |
|   | 42°39'N | 130°47'E | 4     | operculum | 6.7595 |       |
|   | 47°08'N | 130°47'E | 0.5   | operculum | 6.8488 |       |
|   | 47°08'N | 130°47'E | 0     | operculum | 6.8488 |       |
|   | 47°08'N | 130°47'E | 3     | operculum | 6.8488 |       |
|   | 47°08'N | 130°47'E | 0     | operculum | 6.8488 |       |
|   | 47°08'N | 130°47'E | 4     | operculum | 6.8488 |       |
|   | 42°27'N | 130°56'E | 7     | operculum | 6.9256 |       |
|   | 42°27'N | 130°56'E | 17    | operculum | 7.2897 |       |
|   | 43°27'N | 145°54'E | 9     | operculum | 7.4561 |       |
|   | 33°145'N | 118°10'W | 1     | operculum | 5.6744 |       |
|   | 36°19'S  | 174°48'E | 0     | operculum | 5.5975 |       |
|   | **Neodexiospira brasiliensis** |       |       |       |       |       |
|   | 33°05'N | 138°50'E | 20    | operculum | 5.0568 |       |
|   | 43°12'N | 140°51'E | 0     | operculum | 6.3087 |       |
|   | 42°20'N | 141°02'E | 0     | operculum | 6.7034 |       |
|   | 33°56'S  | 25°37'E | 0     | operculum | 5.0501 |       |
|   | 33°54'S  | 18°29'E | 0     | operculum | 5.7905 |       |
|   | 11°25'N | 162°22'E | 0     | operculum | 4.4933 |       |
|   | 30°23'N | 88°54'W  | 1     | operculum | 4.8049 |       |
|   | 19°39'N | 37°14'E | 1     | operculum | 4.2598 |       |
|   | 50°49'N | 01°07'W | 1     | operculum | 6.3038 |       |
|   | 27°37'S  | 48°33'W | 1     | operculum | 4.7628 |       |
|   | 19°07'S  | 146°51'E | ?   | operculum | 4.5635 |       |
|   | 43°09'S  | 147°51'E | ?   | operculum | 5.7379 |       |
|   | 33°57'S  | 151°10'E | ?   | operculum | 5.0714 |       |
|   | **Neodexiospira formosa** |       |       |       |       |       |
|   | 22°29'N | 56°40'W  | 0     | operculum | 4.6924 |       |
|   | 24°46'N | 48°08'W  | 0     | operculum | 4.7735 |       |
|   | 39°07'N | 70°24'W | 0     | operculum | 5.8926 |       |
|   | 03°22'S  | 39°58'E | 0.5   | operculum | 4.6705 |       |
|   | **09°41'N** | 80°00'E | 1     | operculum | 4.522 |       |
|   | **08°00'N** | 79°49'E | 1     | operculum | 4.3906 |       |
|   | **34°01'N** | 76°05'W | 0     | operculum | 4.7193 |       |
|   | **12°10'N** | 68°18'W | 1     | operculum | 4.4731 |       |
|   | **33°51'S** | 151°17'E | 0   | operculum | 5.0714 |       |
|   | 1  | 2                    | 3  | 4  | 5                | 6                |
|---|----|----------------------|----|----|------------------|------------------|
|   | Neodexiospira kayi |                      |    |    |                  |                  |
| 1 | 03°22'S | 39°58'E              | 2  | operculum | 4.6705 |                  |
|   | 08°00'N | 79°49'E              | 1  | operculum | 4.3906 |                  |
|   | 29°43’N | 47°44'E              | 0  | operculum | 5.048  |                  |
| 2 | 42°38’N | 130°45'E             | 10 | operculum | 6.9256 |                  |
|   | 36°38’N | 121°56’W             | 0  | operculum | 6.1164 |                  |
|   | 33°24’N | 118°21’W             | 0  | operculum | 5.6744 |                  |
|   | 21°08’S | 176°09’W             | 1  | operculum | 4.7376 |                  |
|   | 21°08’S | 176°09’W             | 7  | operculum | 4.7427 |                  |
|   | 44°38’N | 33°33’E              | 3  | operculum | 6.4392 |                  |
|   | 44°50’N | 34°59’E              | 4  | operculum | 6.4288 |                  |
|   | 44°50’N | 34°59’E              | 12 | operculum | 6.6237 |                  |
|   | 33°51’S | 151°17’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.0714 |                  |
|   | 36°03’S | 136°42’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.5984 |                  |
|   | 14°41’S | 145°27’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.6827 |                  |
|   | 19°07’S | 146°51’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.5635 |                  |
|   | 23°31’S | 152°05’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.8571 |                  |
|   | 27°30’S | 153°24’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.8485 |                  |
|   | 30°02’S | 153°12’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.9201 |                  |
|   | 30°02’S | 152°04’E             | 0  | operculum | 4.9201 |                  |
|   | 35°12’S | 150°41’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.1145 |                  |
|   | 37°16’S | 150°03’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.3425 |                  |
|   | 38°29’S | 145.18’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.629  |                  |
|   | 40°58’S | 145°44’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.7052 |                  |
|   | 43°09’S | 147°51’E             | 0  | operculum | 5.7379 |                  |
|   | 43°12’N | 140°51’E             | 0  | operculum | 6.3087 |                  |
|   | 42°20’N | 41°02’E              | 0  | operculum | 6.2105 |                  |
|   | 32°38’N | 16°55’W              | 0  | operculum | 5.1635 |                  |
|   | 32°38’N | 16°55’W              | 15 | operculum | 5.1528 |                  |
|   | 25°43’N | 79°18’W              | 1  | operculum | 4.7152 |                  |
|   | 10°30’N | 61°02’W              | 1  | operculum | 4.7185 |                  |
|   | 50°21’N | 4°10’W               | 12 | operculum | 6.0751 |                  |
|   | 49°55’N | 06°19’W              | 5  | operculum | 6.0842 |                  |
|   | 49°55’N | 06°19’W              | 20 | operculum | 6.0676 |                  |
|   | 53°09’N | 09°07’W              | 0  | operculum | 6.1176 |                  |
|   | 48°43’N | 04°00’W              | 0  | operculum | 6.0992 |                  |

|   | Neodexiospira pseudocorugata |                      |    |    |                  |                  |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|----|----|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 48°43’N                     | 04°00’W              | 0  | operculum | 6.0992 |                  |
Table 1 (continued).

| Subset | Species (incubation type) | N  | 1       | 2       | 3       | 5       | 6       |
|--------|---------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|        | Neodexiospira pseudocorugata |    |         |         |         |         |         |
|        | 49°11’N                  | 4.80060 |   |         |         |         |         |
|        | 23°41’S                  | 5.52796 |   |         |         |         |         |
|        | 33°56’S                  | 5.98453 |   |         |         |         |         |

Table 2. Results of Tukey HSD test for 10 spirorbin species.

| Species (incubation type) | N  | 1       | 2       | 3       |
|---------------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|
| Neodexiospira pseudocorugata | 35 | 5.52796 | 5.52796 |         |
| N. brasiliensis (opercular) | 25 | 5.98453 |         |         |
| B. similis (opercular)    | 20 |         | 6.74617 |         |
| P. cancellata (tube)      | 24 |         | 6.97104 |         |
| N. alveolata (opercular)  | 11 |         | 7.00704 |         |
| B. kofiadii (opercular)   | 24 |         | 7.06628 |         |
| P. vitrea (tube)          | 75 |         | 7.11541 |         |
| B. quadrangularis (opercular) | 72 |         | 7.22555 |         |
| P. violacea (tube)        | 35 |         | 7.41715 |         |

Table 3. The list of morphological characters used in the phylogenetic analysis.

| Characters | Character states |
|------------|-----------------|
| 1          | 2               |
| 1. Incubation | 1 — embryo string attached by a filament to inside of tube, 2 — embryos adhering to tube, 3 — embryos attached to body, 4 — embryo in cup formed by invagination of operculum, 5 — embryos in cuticular cup outside of operculum, 6 — free in tube, 7 — no incubation |
| 2. Tube white opaque | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 3. Tube white porcellanous | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 4. Tube semitransparent porcellanous | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 5. Tube transparent vitreous | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 6. Tube uncoiled | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 7. Tube coiling dextral | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 8. Tube coiling sinistral | no — 0, yes — 1 |
| 9. Number of thoracic chaetigers | 3 — 3, 4 — 4, 5 — 5, about 7 — 7 |
|   |   |
|---|---|
|10.| Thoracic membrane length to end of thorax, no apron — 0, up to 8th abdominal chaetiger on convex side — 1, to end of thorax forming apron — 3 |
|11.| Thoracic membrane margins free — 0, fused — 1 |
|12.| Opercular position in radiolar crown — 0, outside of radiolar crown — 1 |
|13.| Crystalline patches present in thorax no — 0, yes — 1 |
|14.| Crystalline patches absent no — 0, yes — 1 |
|15.| Fin & blade collar chaetae absent — 0, present — 1 |
|16.| Cross-striation of collar chaetae present no — 0, yes — 1 |
|17.| Cross-striation of collar chaetae absent no — 0, yes — 1 |
|18.| Typical geniculate collar chaetae absent — 0, present — 1 |
|19.| Strong geniculate collar chaeta absent — 0, present — 1 |
|20.| Sickle chaetae of 3rd chaetiger no — 0, yes — 1 |
|21.| Simple chaetae of 3rd chaetiger no — 0, yes — 1 |
|22.| Abdominal chaetae usual — 0, brush-type — 1, wide — 2 |
|23.| Abdominal hooked chaeta on all chaetigers no — 0, yes — 1 |
|24.| Abdominal hooked chaeta on last chaetigers no — 0, yes — 1 |
|25.| Abdominal hooked chaeta absent no — 0, yes — 1 |
|26.| Anterior fang of thoracic uncini blunt no — 0, yes — 1 |
|27.| Anterior fang of thoracic uncini pointed no — 0, yes — 1 |
|28.| Anterior peg of thoracic uncini trifurcate no — 0, yes — 1 |
|29.| Anterior fang of thoracic uncini laterally pointed no — 0, yes — 1 |
|30.| Anterior peg of thoracic uncini fluted no — 0, yes — 1 |
|31.| Number teeth rows at largest thoracic uncini narrow 1-3 — 0, median 3-5 — 1, wide 4-8 — 2, very wide 6 and more, up to 15 — 3 |
|32.| Abdominal uncini distribution fairly symmetrical — 0, asymmetrical — 1 |
|33.| Larval abdominal glands absent no — 0, yes — 1 |
|34.| Larval abdominal present no — 0, yes — 1 |
|35.| Larval thoracic glands present no — 0, yes — 1 |
test whether significant differences exist among average oxygen contents in habitats of tube- and operculum-incubating spirorbids.

**Results**

Phylogenetic analysis

The heuristic searches yielded 8 equally parsimonious trees of 106 steps, with a consistency index (CI) of 0.425 (CI excluding uninformative characters is 0.419) and homoplasy index 0.575 (HI excluding uninformative characters is 0.581). The strict consensus of 8 trees (Fig. 1) shows a reasonably good resolution. Pileolarini is paraphyletic and includes Spirorbini. There is a polytomy in the Pileolarini-Spirorbini clade. An uncertainty in the positions of Amplicaria results in polytomy within this clade on the consensus tree. Romanchiellini is monophyletic and Paralaeospirini is its sister taxon. The consensus tree shows a dichotomy between Romanchiellini-Paralaeospirini and Pileolarini-Spirorbini clades. The positions of Crozetospira and Neomicrorbis are uncertain, resulting in unresolved polytomy. Januini is monophyletic and is located close to the tree base.

Brooding characters were optimized on all eight trees to infer the direction of their evolution.
Fig. 1. Strict consensus of 8 equally parsimonious trees of spirorbin genera showing the transformations in the character 1 (type of incubation). Three transitions from tube incubation to opercular incubation (6 → 5, 6 → 2, 6 → 3, 6 → 4) and one reversal to tube incubation (4 → 1) took place in the evolution of the group.

Fig. 1. Строгий консенсус 8 одинаково парсимонных деревьев родов спирорбин, показывающий трансформацию признака 1 (тип инкубации). Два перехода от трубочной к оперкулярной инкубации (6 → 5, 6 → 2, 6 → 3, 6 → 4) один обратный переход к трубочной инкубации (4 → 1) имели место в эволюции группы.

tion (Fig. 1). Character state = brooding free in tube is the most primitive mode and other brooding types originated from it. Two independent transitions from tube to opercular brooding occurred in the history of the group. One reversal is found in transition from the operculum brooding to the tube brooding with embryos attached to the body by a posterior filament.

Distribution in relation to water oxygen content

Comparison of distribution of all opercular and tube brooders combined showed that tube brooders inhabit waters with higher dissolved oxygen concentration (ANOVA, \( F = 63.94, P < 0.0001 \)). The similar results were obtained when distribution of the three genera (Neodexiospira, Bushiella, and Paradexiospira) was analysed independently (ANOVA, \( F = 106.87, P < 0.001 \)). The Tukey HSD test revealed two significantly different (\( P < 0.05 \)) homogeneous subsets of oxygen concentrations. The first subset included species of operculum-brooding genus Neodexiospira, and the second contained operculum-brooding Bushiella and tube-brooding Paradexiospira. More detailed multiple comparisons of 10 individual species (species with insufficient number of records were omitted) using ANOVA (\( F = 27.217, P < 0.001 \)) and Tukey HSD test showed three homogeneous subsets (Table 3). The first and second subsets consisted of operculum-brooders, while the third subset consisted of a mixture of both brooding types.

Discussion

The results of this study provide several important findings. First, the phylogenetic analysis of morphological characters confirmed the evolutionary schemes based on comparative morphology data (e.g., Gee, 1964; Thorp, 1975;
Knight-Jones, Thorp, 1984; Nishi, 1993) suggesting that opercular brooding is apomorphic and evolved from tube brooding. The results suggest that the two types of opercular brooding chambers (januin and pileolariin) originated independently from tube brooding. Brood chambers of Januini apparently originated early in the evolution of the group, whereas those of Pileolariini are very advanced and appeared much later. Such evolutionary scenario is well corroborated by observations that pileolariin brood chambers are structurally more complex and exhibit a wide range of forms (e.g., Thorp, 1975). The most doubtful result of the study is the reversal from the opercular brooding in Pileolariini to the tube brooding in Spirorbini.

The conclusion of evolutionary novelty of opercular brooding also agrees with those of another phylogenetic analysis of spirorbins (Macdonald, 2003). However, results of Macdonald (2003) suggest that the opercular brooding arose only once within the spirorbin clade, despite the dissimilar morphology that is exhibited by the two major opercular-brooding tribes. According to Macdonald (2003), the januin brood chamber represents a simplification of a more complex form of pileolariin one. From the evolution of development point of view, it is unclear how such evolutionary transformation could proceed, because pileolariin brooding opercula are not only more complex than, but are also very different morphogenetically from the januine operculum.

Both this study and Macdonald (2003) present preliminary, cladistics-based hypotheses of the evolution of brooding in Spirorbinae. Combined analyses of molecular and morphological data are clearly needed to resolve both the relationships within Spirorbinae as well as to address the evolution of brooding in this group. Despite the limitations of both phylogenetic analyses, these studies present an important step towards an understanding of the historical component in evolution of spirorbin brooding. However, even the best phylogeny provides little information on the mechanisms that drive evolutionary transitions in these characters.

Comparison of distribution in several selected operculum- and tube-brooding spirorbins relative to the dissolved oxygen concentration provided the second finding of this study. The results of this comparison partly support the idea that evolution of opercular brooding in spirorbids is related to the oxygen limitation experienced by tube brooders in poorly oxygenated waters. On average, tube brooders inhabit waters with higher oxygen content that operculum brooders do. That opercular brooders inhabit a wider range of oxygen concentrations suggests that they might be less restricted by oxygen content in their distribution.

However, the results do not allow any definite conclusion about validity of Harris (1972) hypothesis. Because of large interspecific variability and the large scale of this investigation, comparison of spirorbin distributions is not the best way to test the hypothesis. More importantly, the original formulation of the hypothesis is self-contradictory, thus not lending itself well to rigorous testing. Harris (1972) suggested that embryos of tube incubating species should have a low oxygen demand, whereas those of operculum incubating species should have high oxygen demand. However, at the same time, the hypothesis predicts that tube incubators (with low oxygen demand) species should inhabit highly-oxygenated waters, whereas operculum incubators (with high oxygen demand) should be found in low-oxygenated waters.

Oxygen demands referred to by Harris (1972) may be defined as oxygen consumption rates by embryos. These rates may differ between tube and operculum incubators if measured at the same temperature. However, oxygen consumption rates are temperature-dependent. An increase of oxygen consumption with increasing temperature has been well documented in marine invertebrates (e.g., Sedova, 2000: echinoderms; Pilditch, Grant, 1999: molluscs; Chen, Kou, 1996: crustaceans; Kupriyanova, Havannah, 2005; Fritzsche, von Oertzen, 1995: polychaetes). At the same time, dissolved oxygen concentration declines with increasing water temperature. The combined effect of the increase in respiration rate and decrease of avail-
able dissolved oxygen may result in oxygen limitation for embryos of both tube and opercular incubating species with increasing temperature even if the original oxygen consumption rates are the same.

Further studies are required to compare oxygen consumption rates by embryos of tube- and opercular-incubating spirorbins and to quantify the effect of temperature on oxygen consumption in both. However, such data may not be sufficient to explain the selective advantage of operculum brooding over tube brooding in low-oxygenated waters. An implicit assumption of this hypothesis is that the gradient between the ambient water oxygen concentration and that inside brooding structures is higher for tube incubators than for operculum incubators. This seems unlikely given the small size of spirorbins. Small size is believed to be adaptive for brooders because it helps to overcome oxygen limitation due to constraints in oxygen diffusion in packed embryos (Chaffe, Strathmann, 1984; Strathmann, Strathmann, 1985; Cohen, Strathmann, 1996). Besides, this suggestion does not take into account any active parental role in brood ventilation.

In addition to passively holding embryos in brooding structures, some invertebrates show active brood care (Dick et al., 1998, 2002; Fernandez et al., 2000). A direct link exists between active brood care and oxygen provision in marine invertebrates, since oxygen limitation has been reported for many taxa. For example, amphipods _Crangonyx pseudograci-lis_ Bousfield, 1985 show a highly responsive form of active brood care involving ventilation of the brood pouch and the “cycling” of eggs therein. Both increased temperature and decreased oxygen concentration result in increased levels of brooding behaviour in this species. Females of amphipod _Apherusa jurinei_ (H. Milne Edwards, 1830) show higher levels of brood care under lowered oxygen conditions, thus serving the changing metabolic demand of the brood (Dick et al., 1998, 2002). Female brachyuran crabs show an active brooding behaviour that helps to provide oxygen to the embryo mass (Fernandez et al., 2000). The simple physiological constraint of oxygen provision in marine invertebrates may have important ecological and evolutionary consequences (Baeza, Fernandez, 2002).

The interaction of embryonic development with temperature and dissolved oxygen regime allows us to re-formulate the hypothesis of the role that oxygen limitation plays in evolution of brooding in spirorbins. As temperature increases and dissolved oxygen concentration decreases, because of an increase in oxygen consumption by embryos, more active parental care is required to meet those demands. Brood ventilation is likely to be more energetically expensive for tube (internal) incubators than for opercular (external) incubators. Thus, the constraints that are imposed on tube incubation in warmer waters are related to the increased energetic costs of parental brood ventilation. Removal of the brood from inside the tube and its positioning in operculum at the end of feeding radioles is an adaptation that allows spirorbins to decrease costs of active parental care in warm-water habitats.

A disadvantage of spirorbin opercular brooding is that fecundity is limited by space in the operculum. However, a lowered number of embryos per brood and potential higher susceptibility to predation may be offset by higher developmental rates of embryos in warm waters. Hess (1993) suggested that extended development of brooded spirorbin embryos can serve as size-related constraint on brooding. Larger egg masses often take longer to develop and oxygen limitation increases development time. Good oxygenation allows larger numbers of eggs per clutch without a significant increase in development time; therefore, larger broods are more beneficial in highly-oxygenated temperate waters, as the example of tube-incubating spirorbins. If opercular brooding provides better oxygenation and is a cheaper ventilation method in oxygen-deficient warm waters, it should also offer the advantage of shorter development time. Therefore, in warmer waters opercular brooding would allow producing more broods per reproductive period, thus increasing total number of offspring and balancing the
effects of lowered fecundity per brood. Total fecundity may be even higher as reproductive period is extended in low latitudes.

The hypothesis proposed here can be tested by measuring the oxygen consumption rates by spirorbin embryos and by tube- and opercular-incubating adults at various temperatures. Studies of effects of temperature and oxygen concentration on development time in spirorbitns would allow testing whether lowered fecundity per brood in operculum incubators is indeed balanced by shorter generation time and increased number of broods per reproductive season.
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