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Abstract

When discussing about "plurality" of nouns in Turkish, it reminds /+lar/ affix after nouns (morpheme) and the subject is undervalued. Whereas, plurality and formation of plurality is not simple as it is thought as well as it is not made up of /+lar/ affix. It is because /+lar/ affix is only one of the linguistic elements in the morphological plurality used for making plural in Turkish.

Out of /+lar/ affix, /+An+/, /+Ar+/, /+(ş)Ar+/, /+GIl+/, /+GUn+/, /+ºK+/, /+lA+/, /+ºs+/, /+ºş+/, /+ºT+/, /+ºz+/ affixes have given plurality, plus, double, group, generalization, exaggeration, respect etc. to the words they are added in the historical process of Turkish. However, a major part of affixes used in plurality by morphological ways in Turkish has lost their function of plurality etc. in the historical process and combined with the base, origin, and body of their words.

The plurality in Turkish is a name of category (as it is in all world languages) and made in three ways: Morphological plurality, semantic plurality, and syntactic plurality.

In this article, information is given primarily in "generality" and "singularity" and secondarily in plurality in Turkish, ways of forming this category, and some problems in learning it.
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1. Introduction

1.1 On "Generality" and "Singularity" of Nouns in Turkish

It is possible to examine Turkish linguistic elements under to headings which are "meaningful linguistic elements" and "functionary language elements" in Turkish in a holistic view. Meaningful linguistic elements are classified as nouns and noun-derivative words [nouns, adjectives (pronouns), adverbs, verbs, and verb-derivative words (simple verbs, derived verbs, and conflate verbs)]. Functionary linguistic elements are affixes, affixes+prepositions, prepositions, and conjunctions.

Among functional linguistic elements, nouns have categories of "generality", "singularity", and "plurality" categories (Gemalmaz, 1978: 263-267; Alyılmaz, 1994: 37). When giving information related to the history and today of Turkish in some works, generally categories of generality and singularity have been neglected and plurality form has been made of introduction of some affixes and exemplification of some affixes which give plurality rather than a category. Whereas one (term sign) word/phrase has firstly a general base and its singularity and plurality forms/categories are made moving from this base.

There is no affix/form which shows/indicates general forms of noun words in Turkish (as it is in many world languages). In other words, general bases of the nouns have no mark (non-marqué (ø)) (Gemalmaz, 2010: 257).

/+ºn/ affix was used in singularity of Turkish words and this affix was either compounded with its word or gave its place to non-mark morpheme (/+ø/). Some words in historical source of Turkish (especially some words related to the names of organs and personal pronouns) indicate that.

Cengiz ALYILMAZ states in his article named “Zamir n’si Eski Bir İyelik Ekinin Kalıntısi Olabilir mi?” that nouns in Turkish has a /+ºn/morpheme showing singularity, it has transformed into non-mark (/+ø/) morpheme in time, and marks of this functional element are seen in some words:

- N consonants at the end of first and second singular personal pronouns (bi+n> bin > ben, si+n> sin > sen) is not pronominal n, in other words, archaic n of 3rd personal affix, in our opinion but /+ºn+’/ which we consider an old singularity affix used in Turkish today without mark /+ø+’/. We see morpheme at the end of first and second personal pronouns (bi+n, si+n) /+ºn+/ at the end of organ names such as aın, burun,
In double organ names, we generally see /+z+/ (diz, omuz, beniz, boynuz, göz…) and sometimes /+K+/ (bilek, dirsek, kulak, topuk, ayak, bacak…) morphemes while /+ºn+/ morpheme is used in this single organ names. The consonant z at the end of first and second plural personal pronouns (bi+z, si+z) is the /+z+/which gives plurality (Alyılmaz, 1999: 411).

As ALYILMAZ states, /+ºn/ morpheme at the end of nouns which shows singularity has given its place non-mark (/+ø/) morpheme in historical process of Turkish. More clearly, (/+ºn/) singularity category which is marked in the first periods of Turkish has become non-mark (/+ø/) in the future (and naturally today.

2. Plurality in Turkish

The word "Plurality" is defined in Turkish Dictionary published by Turkish Language Society as follows:

**Plurality:**
1. Being plural in number or meters, plural, abundance, majority, opposite of singularity. 2. Majority 3. The way in which the words express more than one existence or person, plural, togetherness. 4. Often, greatly, many times (Akalın etc., 2011: 560).

As a linguistic term, "Plurality" is defined in a similar way by the authorities of the matter and it is given place in studies conducted under the headings of "plurality", "plural", "plural suffix", "plurality suffix":

**Plural:** (Osm. cemi, Fr. pluriel, İng. plural, Alm. plural, mehrzahl, Derl. çokluk): The way of expressing multiple existences with certain suffixes or words: Evler, elmlar, ordular, evimiz, evlerimiz, biz, onlar etc.(Hatiboğlu, 1982: 36).

**Plural suffix:** Plural suffix which comes just after the noun bases and bodies do not establish a relationship between words. It only affects its word or phrase and it is separated from the other inflectional suffixes in this way. It shows plurality at the end of countable words and exaggeration at the end of non-countable words: evler, çocuklar, sular, soğuklar etc. It may fulfill the functions such as community, family, level, respect, and similarity by developing from them: babamlar, Ahmetler, onlar, yüzler, Atatürkler, Mustafa Kemaller etc.(Demir ve Yılmaz, 2010: 206).

**Plurality (Plural):** There is number indication in all languages. Number is an information which we see in relationships of existence-existence and existence-action. The state of plurality of the existences is obtained by plurality inflection of them. Plurality inflection of nouns is not an association and inflection of compounding the phrase in other words. It is an noun inflection showing that the existence is multiple. Thus, plurality inflection elements function like derivational elements. The words which state the quantity of the existences are numerals. Nouns and pronouns of Turkish are in singularity-uncertain plurality relationship; there is no double or triple plurality or numbers in Turkish. It is morpheme stating existence or personal plurality and plurality inflection in this way; there is only innumerable plurality in Turkish as many other languages. Plurality inflection has been made with morpheme which has become suffix (Karaağaç, 2013: 253-254).

**Plurality:** Turkish which is a head-final language has tried and developed borrowing many plural suffix as well as generalization adjectives and numerals (For example: bir, iki, üç... birçok, bütün, her, çok, hiçbir ... etc.). It is seen that only /+lAr+/ continues to function (Gemalmaz, 2010: 257).

**Plural suffix:** (Alm. Pluralsuffix, pluralendung, pluralzeichlen, Fr. désinence du pluriel, suffix du pluriel; İng. plural ending; Osm. Cemi lâhikası): Special suffixes used to express existences of the same type in nouns and pronouns: ağac+ lar, çiçek+l er, oda+l ar, bey+l er...(Korkmaz, 1992: 39).

**Plurality category / Plural noun:** Grammar category expressing the numbers in nouns in Turkish is called singularity and plurality. Noun bases and stems expressing a single object is defined with singular noun term. Noun bases and stems expressing more than one object is called plural noun (Üstünova, 2008: 384). As can be understood from definitions and statements made, plurality is presented as "nouns state which is opposite to the singularity and linguistic element expressing multiple state". Statements and definitions made for plurality is correct but enough. That is because plurality in Turkish is a name of category as all world languages. Suffixes/morphemes is not plurality itself but linguistic elements used in expression/formation of plurality. It is possible to examine plurality category of the nouns in three groups by considering historical development of Turkish (Gemalmaz, 1992: 112; Zeynalov, 1993: 106-116; Alyılmaz, 1994: 37-42; Alyılmaz, 1998: 27-30; Kerimoğlu, 2008: 140-155; Banguoğlu, 2004: 322-323; İlhan, 2009: 94-95; Alyılmaz, 2011: 107-109; Daşdemir, 2014: 62-65):

1. Formation of plurality in morphologic way / Formation of plurality with suffixes
2. Formation of plurality in semantic way / Formation of plurality with the words containing plurality in them
3. Formation of plurality in syntactic way / Formation of plurality in syntax

2.1 Formation of Plurality in Morphologic Way / Formation of Plurality with Suffixes

Formation of plurality in morphologic way is made by addition plural suffixes to word bases. Thus, this formation of plurality is called "formation of plurality with suffixes".

Suffixes used in formation of plurality in the historical process of Turkish are:\n\[+/+An+/i, /+Ar+/i, /+(ğ)Ar+/i, /+Gİll+/i, /+GУn+/i, /+ºK+/i, /+lA+/i, /+lAr+/i, /+ºs+/i, /+ºş+/i, /+ºT+/i, /+ºz+/i\]

These suffixes stating that the term signs (of words/phrases) are multiple also express double, group, generalization, exaggeration, respect etc. However, a major part of suffixes out of /+lAr+/ have merged and compounded with the word bases to which they are added in the historical process of Turkish.

It shall be benefit to present the suffixes used in morphological plurality and some words made in this way to the attention:

2.1.1 /+An+/i which is an old plural suffix has lost its function by today and merged with the bases and stems of word to which they are added. Their examples are not much: Turan, eren, bodun, örtün (flames), kırkın (handmaiden, slaves), oğlan, kızan (children), köken, özen (essence, ore, ores, sap), yegren (gazelle, gazelles)…

2.1.2 /+Ar+/i ve /+şAr+/i which is one of the archaic plural suffixes of Turkey and used in clan names [Avar, Tatar, Av(ş)ar, Ögur (< ok+ur), Kazar (> Hazar)] is used in numerals of distributive numeral adjective today: ikişer, üçer, dörder, beşer…

2.1.3 /+Gİll+/i suffix provides plurality and generalization to the words to which they are added. Examples: İzgil, Çigil (<Çiøgil < Çik+gil), turunçgil, leylekgil(ler), üçgül, dörtgül, babamgil, dayımgil…

2.1.4 /+GУn+/i suffix provides plurality and generalization to the words to which they are added. Examples: Taygun (valiant, sons such as foal), iniygün (small brothers), kelingün (brides), kadnagun (brothers-in-law), alkgun / alkugun (all, every), üçegün (three)…

2.1.5 /+ºK+/i suffix gives plurality, generalization, and double to which they are added. Examples: Sogdak, Çik, Kazak, Kumuk (< kumı+k < komı+k < kobi+k), çobik (trashes), talak / talah (branches; tree, willow), kemak (sands, sand, beach), ayak, bacak, bilek, parmak, böbrek, dirsek, kulak, topuk, yanak, taşak, aşık (ankle bone)…

2.1.6 /+lA+/i is an archaic plural suffix. Their examples are not much: Atlı (horses), tatla (tastes), tıla (dogs), ısla (works), aşla (meals), kısla (winters), bilgele (wises) (Poppe, 2008: 101-102; Ata, 2009: 89-99).

Use of /+lA+/i morpheme in plurality are seen in some Turkish dialects (Karacai- Balkar Turkish and Turkmen Turkish [Zeynalov, 1993: 108]) and Anatolian dialects (Aydın dialect, Uşak dialect, Kütahya dialect, Edirne dialect, Kırklareli dialect, Çanakkale dialect…). Examples: Deligannılā (youngsters), gençlı (youths), gıl (girls), memnekêlê (memnekêle).
(countries), bábālāmız (fathers), (Uşak Ağzı; Gülsevin, 2002: 168; Kırklareli Ağzı; Günşen, 2008: 41).

2.1.7 /+lAr+/ /+lAr+/ is a plural suffix having been used since the oldest written sources of Turkish. There are different opinions related to /+lAr+/ plural suffix. A part of researchers state that this suffix is made of personal pronoun of ol and /+l/ suffix, some believe that it is made of composition of /+l+/ and /+Ar+/, and a part believes that it is made of composition of /+l+Ar+/ and /+r+/ (Deny, 1937: 291-295; Emre, 1943: 199-207; Kösearif, 1948: 13-15; Sinor, 1952: 203-230; Caferoğlu, 1984: 140; Alyılmaz, 1994: 39; İsina, 1997: 113-118; Tekin, 2003: 183-195; Üştünova, 2008: 384; Buran, 2008: 153-159; İlhan, 2009: 57-99; Ersoy, 2012: 250-259).

/+lAr+/ plural suffix is used in Turkish dialects as /+TAr+/, /+TOr+/, /+lOr+/, /+nAr+/, /+nOr+/, /+zAr+/ (Zeynalov, 1993: 107).

+lAr+/ suffix also expresses generality, togetherness, respect, exaggeration: elmler, arımatlar, kurtlar, kuşlar, okullar, kitaplar, dergiler, beylər, paşalar, günler, aylar, yıllar, yıldız (planets, stars), yıldızlar (planets, stars), diş, kaş, genis, gunes… Examples: 2.1.8 /+ºs+/, /+ºs+/ /+ºs+/ suffixes provides plurality and generality to the words to which they are added. Examples: Kengeres, kengeres,турдуş (times), arkış (caravan), bagış (vines), bağış / bagış (wises, wizards), tirkış (caravan), yış (forest), kayas / kayaş (stones, rocks), ağış (fortune, fortunes), diş, kaş, geniş, güneş… Examples: 2.1.9 /+ºT+/ /+ºT+/ frequently seen in Ancient Turkish Language Period generally state plurality and generalization: tarkat (tarkans), oğlūt (children), apat / apt (sisters mothers), bayagut (riches, assets), alpagut (valiant, hero, brave), yilpagut (yilvaliant, -heroes, assets), alpagut (valiant, hero, brave), urungut (director,admin,chairman,chief,head), sengüt (generals), beget (sirs), tigit (tigins, princes), erat / erat (males, soldiers, valiants), yığit (valiants, brave), suğt (sadness, distress), yaşt, boyut, eşit, karşıt, bört (< börjt: worms, bugs), kanat, kütut (slaves, serfs), süt, Sakait (>İskit), Türküt, Tangut, Bayat, Bugut, Öngüt / Öngüt, Soğut (Sogut < Suk+u), Mançud (<Mançu+u), Teleüt…

2.1.10 /+ºz+/ /+ºz+/ which is an archaic plural suffix and seen in organs and clans in first and second personal pronouns gives plurality, generalization, and double to which they are added. Examples: Ediz, Oguz (< ok+uz), Kırızg (< kırk+ız), yabız (bad, badness), agrız, diz, göz, köğüz (> chest), omuz, beniz, boynuz, biz, siz, ikiz, üçüz, dördüz, beşiz… 2.2 Formation of Plurality in Semantic Way / Formation of Plurality with the Words Containing Plurality in Them Some (Turkish or quote) words in stem or base form in Turkish have abundance, vastness, quantity, and group. Use of such words during transmission/conveyance of information/message between transmitter and receiver by semantic way is called formation of plurality. Example: Tümen (ten thousand), telim (many), üküş (many), köp (many), çok, ula, yüce, buykü, sü (army), ordu, goose (army), böyük (company, troop), takım, mana, tabur, yış (forest), orman, tokoy (forest), taluy (ocean; sea), deniz, sür, toplum, düzine, aşılı, bol…

2.3 Formation of Plurality in Syntactic Way / Formation of Plurality in Syntax The most frequently used method in historical process of Turkish is undoubtedly is syntactic method. In this method, possessive construction and adjective clause which have plurality meaning, repetitive groups, handiadyoin etc: Ada tuda (danger, calamity), sü çerig (Army soldier), yagábız yablak (bad, very bad), eb bark (home), el uluș (foreigner, public, everybody), ed tavar (Wealth goods; Wealth state), sü çerig (Army soldier), yagábız yablak (Enemy, evil; Enmity), ters yavlak ayig (contrary, bad, dirty), tapug udug agir ayag (Respect, wonderment, worship), ydig sarşig (Bad smell, odor, filthy), neñ neñ (many, existence), kucak kucak, sepet sepet, avuç avuç, katar katar, deste deste, yıyın yııın, akın akım, oğul uşak, pek çok, açik açik, apaçık, upuzun, dépelerin, sapsan, וד, dupduru, kıpkırmızı, sırlıslımlar, bambaşka, yemyesil, koskocaman; büyük göç, pek çok insan, iki bin sekiz yüz yetmiş beş kişi, otuz beş bin koyun, yirmin bin inek…

3. Some Recommendations on Plurality Category and Teaching in Turkish When discussing formation of plurality (as mentioned above), "formation of plurality in morphological way / formation of plurality with suffixes" comes to mind. Plurality category is presented under headings of "plural suffix", "plurality affix" etc. in studies conducted and published in relation with the subject (in linguistic books, term dictionaries etc.).
Whereas, plurality is not the name of a suffix or suffixes but the category. For this reason:

- Plurality must be thought in the related departments and classes at every stage of education starting from primary school.
- Since plurality is not thought as category but only formation of plurality in morphologic way, the formation methods frequently used in plurality in Turkish (formation of plurality in semantic and syntactic method) are avoided and not known. At today’s point, a major part of linguistic elements (suffixes) in historical process of Turkish has lost its functions, merged with the bases and stems of the words, and naturally only /+lAr+/ plurality suffix stands out.
- When formation of plurality in morphological way in Turkish, /+lAr+/ morphemes and functions are stated. The works and course books related with the subject must include suffixes stating abundance, vastness, community, generalization, double etc. (/+An+/, /+Ar+/, /+(ş)Ar+/, /+GIl+/, /+rGuN+/, /+rK+/, /+A+/, /+s+/, /+şt+/, /+z+/) and the functions of these suffixes; meronymy must be thought to target population. Human mind firstly perceives "whole" and then realize parts and details. The order of perception -if there is no specific condition- the starting point of meronymy shall be "whole". Establishing correct relationship between the parts becomes possible by merging these parts and deliberately recognize the whole. Meronymy is closely related with the teaching of the language which holds various wholes consisting of parts (Karahan, 2011: 303).

It is imperative to show meronymy for Turkish which is the most characteristic example of agglutinative languages in terms of structure and to teach functions between meaningful and functionary linguistic elements in terms of linguistic and language teaching.
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