Variation of Cicer Germplasm to Manganese Toxicity Tolerance
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After aluminum, manganese toxicity is the most limiting factor for crops grown in acidic soils worldwide. But overall, research on Mn toxicity is still limited. The poor acid tolerance of chickpea may be related to Mn toxicity, but there has been no previous screening of chickpea germplasm (nor in its wild Cicer relatives, *Cicer reticulatum* and *Cicer echinospermum*) for tolerance to Mn toxicity. A screening technique was developed for tolerance to Mn toxicity using three released cultivars of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L), Ambar, PBA HatTrick, and PBA Striker; one accession each of *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum*; and lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) as a Mn-tolerant check, with eight Mn concentrations of 2, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 500 µM Mn as MnSO₄ in a low-ionic-strength nutrient solution. The plants were harvested at 14 and 28 days after Mn treatments. The nutrient uptake in shoots (young, old leaves, and the rest of the shoot) and roots was investigated. The best discrimination between tolerant and intolerant *Cicer* genotypes based on relative shoot dry weight, root dry weight, total root length, and scoring of toxicity symptoms was achieved at 150 µM Mn after 14 days of growth in Mn solution. Among the chickpea cultivars, the greater relative plant growth (both shoot and root) of Ambar and PBA Striker at 100–200 µM Mn contrasted with that of PBA HatTrick, while the *C. echinospermum* accession was more tolerant to Mn toxicity than *C. reticulatum*. Manganese tolerance in both domestic cultivars and wild accessions was associated with internal tolerance to excess Mn following greater uptake of Mn and translocation of Mn from roots to shoots.
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INTRODUCTION

Manganese (Mn) toxicity is one of the important constraints limiting crop growth in acid soils worldwide. Manganese toxicity occurs because of increased Mn²⁺ concentration with increased acidity even through increased H⁺ in the root zone decreases the rate of Mn uptake by roots (Edwards and Asher, 1982). While aluminum (Al) toxicity is most common in severely acid soils, Mn is a likely growth-limiting factor in moderately–strongly acid soils, and the problem further worsens under waterlogged conditions and with environmental factors such as high soil temperature, which increases the concentration of Mn²⁺ (Foy et al., 1988; Khabaz-Saberi et al., 2010). Manganese toxicity generally occurs only at pH levels of 4.7 (CaCl₂)/5.5 (H₂O suspension) or below in well-drained soils, but in flooded and compacted soils, Mn can be toxic at a pH of 6 and
higher if the soil parent material contains sufficient total Mn (Foy et al., 1988; Fernando and Lynch, 2015).

High concentrations of Mn in plant tissues alter enzymatic activity as well as uptake, redistribution, and use of other nutrients like Ca, Fe, Mg, N, and P (Santos et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019). Manganese toxicity reduced leaf CO2 assimilation rate, stomatal conductance, and leaf pigments (chlorophylls a and b) in different plant species in various studies (Stoyanova et al., 2009; Santos et al., 2017). Excess Mn enhanced production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Santos et al., 2017), triggering oxidative stress in plant cells (Demirevska-Kepova et al., 2004), and was associated with elevated peroxidase activity and lower activities of catalase, ascorbic acid oxidase, glutathione oxidase, and cytochrome C oxidase (Hannam and Ohki, 1988).

Differential Mn tolerances among plant genotypes within a species have been reported for soybean (Glycine max), wheat (Triticum aestivum), subclover (Trifolium subterraneum), bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), rice (Oryza sativa), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) (Foy et al., 1988). The regulation of Mn uptake, translocation, and distribution are the main mechanisms of Mn toxicity tolerance. Transporters responsible for Mn acquisition and translocation and genes responsible for Mn detoxification have been identified in plant species such as Arabidopsis and rice (Tsunemitsu et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). The ability of plant species to deal with high Mn may be by (1) limiting Mn absorption by the roots, (2) retaining Mn in the roots, or (3) tolerating high Mn in the shoots (Edwards and Asher, 1982; El-Jaoual and Cox, 1998; Blamey et al., 2015). In general, Mn-tolerant germsplasms have tolerance to high internal tissue Mn, but in some species, low rates of Mn uptake and Mn retention in the root were important (Foy et al., 1988). For example, sunflower (Helianthus annuus) had high Mn uptake and internal tolerance but low root retention, whereas cassava (Manihot esculenta) had high root retention of Mn. In cowpea, Mn tolerance was associated with rapid uptake and translocation to tops (Foy et al., 1988).

Chickpea (Cicer aritinum L.) is an important pulse crop with an annual production of 11.5 million tonnes worldwide (Maesen et al., 2007; Merga and Haji, 2019). However, the yield of chickpea tends to be low and unstable, with a world average yield of 850 kg/ha (Merga and Haji, 2019), well below the estimated yield potential of 4,000 kg/ha (Singh et al., 1993; Singh and Ocampo, 1997). Part of the yield gap may be due to soil acidity constraints since the current pH (CaCl2) recommendation for chickpea cultivation is >5.5, and a surface pH of 5.0 is suitable only if subsurface pH is higher than 5.5 (Harries et al., 2005). Domestic chickpea cultivars are limited in their diversity, and traditional breeding methods have not produced cultivars with a large impact on chickpea production (Singh and Ocampo, 1997).

Hence, wild Cicer can be exploited to develop resistant cultivars against stressors including low pH (Singh and Ocampo, 1997; Berger et al., 2003). Identifying acid tolerance in existing chickpea cultivars or in wild Cicer accessions, to develop more acid-tolerant cultivars, could increase the productivity and expand chickpea cultivation worldwide on acid soils.

Overall, screening for tolerance to acidic soils has focused on Al toxicity (Hayes et al., 2012). However, screening only for Al toxicity would be incomplete for improving chickpea tolerance to acid soils without ensuring that the germplasm also expressed tolerance to Mn toxicity. Interspecific and intraspecific differences in tolerance to Mn toxicity have been previously identified among crop plants providing potential to develop cultivars adapted to Mn stress in acid soils (Foy et al., 1988; Moroni et al., 2003). Chickpeas are considered very sensitive to Mn toxicity (GRDC, 2016), but research on response of chickpeas to Mn toxicity is very limited. Moreover, there are no previous screening studies reported on chickpea germplasm for Mn toxicity tolerance. The aim of this research was to develop a protocol for screening chickpeas in solution culture for Mn toxicity, so that wild Cicer accessions and chickpea germplasm can be ranked for Mn toxicity tolerance. Our main objectives were to (a) determine the critical solution Mn level and duration of the experiment that differentiates tolerant and sensitive Cicer germplasm, (b) to identify suitable plant parameters that can identify Mn toxicity and can easily be used to rank a wide range of Cicer germplasm, and (c) to investigate how excess Mn2+ interacts with Ca2+ and Fe2+ in Cicer and the likely mechanism of tolerance to Mn excess.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Plant Material and Growth Conditions

A solution culture experiment was conducted in a growth cabinet set at 22°C and 12-h-day length. The light intensity at canopy height during the experiment as photosynthetically active radiation was around 500 µmol m−2 s−1. A split-plot experiment was designed with Mn treatments as main plots and cultivars as subplots. The experiment had five chickpea genotypes, including three released chickpea cultivars (Ambar, PBA HatTrick, and PBA Striker) and two wild Cicer species [Cicer reticulatum (AGG accession number 49936) and Cicer echinospermum (accession number 50166)]. C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum will be referred to as C. retic and C. echino throughout the manuscript. The rationale for inclusion of wild Cicer species in screening for abiotic stress tolerance traits and their collection environment were previously published (von Wettberg et al., 2018). Lupin (Lupinus angustifolius; variety Mandelup lupin) was included as a Mn-tolerant check (Blamey et al., 2015, 2017).

### Solution Composition

The ionic concentration of the solution, activity of Mn2+, and free activity of the ions were computed using a chemical speciation software, Geochem-EZ (Shaff et al., 2010). The basal nutrient solution used for this study had the following concentrations: Ca(NO3)2·4H2O, 400 µM; K2SO4, 350 µM; MgSO4·7H2O, 200 µM; NH4NO3, 100 µM; NH4H2PO4, 60 µM; 1Australian Grain Genebank (AGG) accession number 49936 is a C. reticulatum from Turkey; collection site Egil with AGG account name Egil_063, from Diyarbakir Province and Egil District; latitude: 38.2745, longitude: 40.06454, elevation: 987.68 m asl.

1. Australian Grain Genebank (AGG) accession number 49936 is a C. reticulatum from Turkey; collection site Egil with AGG account name Egil_063, from Diyarbakir Province and Egil District; latitude: 38.2745, longitude: 40.06454; elevation: 987.68 m asl.
2. AGG accession number 50166 is a C. echinospermum from Turkey; collection site Kara with AGG account name Karab_162, from Urfa Province and Siverek District; latitude: 37.82429, longitude: 39.76289; elevation: 1,266.71 m asl.
Seed Preparation and Experimental Setup

The seeds were initially scarified and then sterilized in 3% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min and thoroughly rinsed with deionized (DI) water. Seeds were germinated in wet paper towel in sealed plastic containers. Tap water was used to moisten the paper towel, and the containers were kept in dark conditions for 4 days at 22°C. The seeds were checked on alternate days for moisture and supplemented with water if needed. The germinated uniform seedlings were transferred into the lids of the experimental containers. The lids were made of 15-mm rigid white PVC foam, and strips of polyurethane foam held the seedlings into the lids of the experimental container. Six seedlings were transplanted for a genotype for a treatment, and the experiment was replicated thrice. The nutrient solution in the containers was aerated continuously. The plants were grown initially for 12 days in basal nutrient solution with 2 µM Mn (control) before adding Mn treatments. There were two harvests, 14 and 28 days after Mn treatment additions, and three plants per genotype at each harvest.

Plant and Solution Measurements

The plants were scored for Mn toxicity symptoms weekly (at 7, 14, and 22 days) after Mn treatment additions to study the Mn effect over the experiment period, using a score card developed for chickpeas ranging from 0 (no symptoms) to 5 (very severe). Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements, Fv/Fm (variable fluorescence/maximum fluorescence) values, and the performance index (PI) were recorded for chickpea plants 3 weeks after Mn treatment additions using a Hansatech Handy PEA chlorophyll fluorometer. The measurements were made using leaf clips of 4-mm diameter on fully emerged leaves that had been dark adapted for 8 min. Dark adaptation was done using lightweight leaf clips which cover a section of the leaf.

Three plants per genotype were harvested at 14 and 28 days after Mn treatments and divided into shoot and root samples. The shoot was partitioned into old leaves (bottom two to three leaves from each branch), young leaves (top two to three leaves), and the rest of the shoot, and the remnant seeds were removed when weighing the samples. Roots from one of the plants were rinsed in DI water and stored in a refrigerator (4°C) before total root length, root volume, surface area, and root diameter measurements using the WinRHIZO root scanner. The shoot and root samples were dried in an oven for 3 days at 60°C to record shoot and root dry weight. Only the plant samples from Mn treatments of 2 µM (as control) and 100 to 200 µM (as they showed greater discrimination among genotypes for toxicity symptoms and plant growth) were acid digested using 70% conc. HNO₃ in the digestion block for inductively coupled plasma (ICP) spectrometer analysis of Mn, Fe, and Ca. The following plant growth indices were calculated: relative shoot weight percentage, relative root weight percentage, relative root length, and root/shoot ratios.

Solution samples were collected during initial solution preparation and weekly at each renewal. The samples were filtered (using 0.45-µm filters) and acidified for ICP analysis of nutrients.

Statistical Analysis

Statistics was performed using the GenStat Version 18 (VSN International, United Kingdom) analytical software. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was computed using a split-plot model to assess the effect of Mn treatments and its interaction with genotypes. Manganese treatments were the main-plot factor, and genotypes were the subplot factor. Lupin data were included in computing the ANOVA. The data were log-transformed for parameters if they were not normally distributed. In such cases, log-transformed means along with back-transformed means in brackets were presented. The least significant difference, LSD (at P ≤ 0.05), was used to test for differences among the means of Mn treatments, genotypes, and their interactions. The level of significance (P values) and LSD₀.₀₅ values within and between Mn treatments were included only when the interactions (Mn treatments × genotypes) were significant.

RESULTS

Nutrient Composition of the Solution

The nutrient solutions used in 15 previous Mn screening studies for various plant species were reviewed (data presented as Supplementary File, Table A). Solutions used in Mn screening studies varied in composition of basal nutrients, Mn concentrations, and pH levels, even among studies with the same plant species. For example, the solution used by Rout et al. (2001) to study Mn toxicity in rice was fourfold more concentrated than the nutrient solutions used by Shrestha et al. (2018). In order to mimic soil solution composition, the concentrations of macronutrients used in the current experiment were diluted when compared to other Mn screening studies in rice (Shrestha et al., 2018), triticale (Quartin et al., 1998), wheat (Khabaz-Saberi et al., 2010), and cotton (Foy et al., 1995) to name a few, and the pH of 5.2 was the average of 15 other Mn screening studies reviewed.

According to Geochem-EZ analysis, the ionic strength of nutrient solutions increased with Mn treatments from 3,287 µM (basal + 2 µM Mn) to 5,000 µM (basal + 500 µM Mn) (Table 1). About 90–94% of added Mn was present as free Mn²⁺ depending on Mn treatments. At solution renewal (weekly), Mn concentrations analyzed in the solution ranged between 70 and 92% of the nominated Mn concentrations in treatments of 50–500 µM Mn.
### Scoring of Plants for Mn Toxicity Symptoms

To rate Mn toxicity symptoms in *Cicer*, the plants were scored on a 0 to 5 scale (0: no symptoms, 1: very mild, 2: mild, 3: moderate, 4: severe, and 5: very severe). The detailed scoring system and pictorial descriptions are presented in the Supplementary File, Table B. In general, there was chlorosis in young leaves, and as the Mn toxicity increased, the chlorotic areas extended inwards toward the midrib, and there were brown necrotic spots in older leaves. With Mn > 150 µM, older leaves turned brown and had rusty-colored spots, and necrotic areas enlarged and covered most of the *Cicer* leaves except for an area around the base and midrib of leaflets. At high Mn toxicity levels, the young leaves were shriveled and curled, their size was significantly reduced, and leaflets dropped from the plants. Figure 1 shows the leaf symptoms of *Cicer* plants for Mn toxicity and the relevant scores.

The *Cicer* plants grown in 2 and 25 µM Mn treatments showed no symptoms at 7, 14, and 22 days after Mn treatments (Figure 2). There was a significant increase in Mn toxicity scores with the increase in Mn levels from 50 to 500 µM (*P* < 0.0001) (Figure 2). The plants showed very mild symptoms with 50 µM Mn in all of the *Cicer* genotypes. With 100 µM Mn addition, there was differentiation among genotypes especially after 7 days of Mn addition, with PBA Striker scoring less compared to wild accessions. Similarly, with 150 µM Mn, the genotypes Ambar and PBA Striker showed mild Mn toxicity symptoms, whereas other genotypes showed moderate symptoms at 7 days after Mn treatment addition. The symptoms with 200 µM Mn were mostly moderate when scored at 7 days and increased to mostly severe symptoms at 22 days of Mn treatment. The symptoms on 7, 14, and 22 days after Mn were mostly severe and very severe at 250 and 500 µM Mn, respectively. The differentiation among genotypes was not obvious at 14 and 22 days after Mn scoring.

In general, mean scoring of genotypes across eight Mn treatments showed fewer symptoms in the PBA Striker, and the wild accessions showed more intense symptoms for Mn toxicity, and the severity increased with the increase in number of days of Mn exposure in all the genotypes. However, there was a significant correlation between scoring at 7 days and 14 days 

---

### Table 1: Mn concentrations analyzed in nutrient solutions compared with Geochem-EZ Mn concentrations and activity and ionic strength.

| Added Mn concentrations (µM) | Actual Mn solution (µM) at start of ICP analysis | Actual Mn solution (µM) at 7 days after ICP analysis | Geochem-EZ-predicted Mn solution (µM) | Geochem-EZ-predicted% as free metal | Geochem-EZ-predicted free Mn activity (µM) | Geochem-EZ-predicted ionic strength of nutrient solution (µM) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2                            | 2.01                                            | –                                               | 1.88                                        | 94.2                                   | 1.46                                        | 3.287                                           |
| 25                           | 24.8                                            | –                                               | 23.5                                        | 93.9                                   | 18.2                                        | 3.368                                           |
| 50                           | 49.5                                            | 35.5                                            | 48.5                                        | 93.7                                   | 36.2                                        | 3.455                                           |
| 100                          | 98.9                                            | 82.1                                            | 93.4                                        | 93.4                                   | 71.8                                        | 3.633                                           |
| 150                          | 147                                             | 119                                            | 139                                         | 92.9                                   | 107                                         | 3.806                                           |
| 200                          | 194                                             | 162                                            | 185                                         | 92.7                                   | 141                                         | 3.982                                           |
| 250                          | 247                                             | 217                                            | 231                                         | 92.2                                   | 174                                         | 4.153                                           |
| 500                          | 483                                             | 457                                            | 453                                         | 90.6                                   | 334                                         | 5.001                                           |

---

### Plant Growth

#### Shoot Dry Weight

At 14 days after Mn addition, there was a significant decrease in shoot dry weight (*P* < 0.001), and the interaction between treatment and genotypes was significant (*P* = 0.03). In general, among the *Cicer* genotypes, the PBA Striker had higher mean shoot dry weight while both wild *Cicer* accessions had the least shoot dry weight across all Mn treatment levels (Figure 3). The shoot dry weight at both harvests was not significantly different at 25 and 50 µM Mn compared to the control. The relative reduction in shoot dry weight in *Cicer* ranged between 21 and 42% with 100–500 µM Mn. The Mn concentrations, 100–200 µM Mn, showed better discrimination in relative shoot dry weight among the *Cicer* genotypes (Figure 3). At 100 µM Mn concentrations, the plants grown in 25 and 50 µM Mn showed no Mn toxicity symptoms, whereas the plants grown in 100, 150, and 200 µM Mn showed mild Mn toxicity symptoms, and the plants grown in 250 µM Mn showed severe Mn toxicity symptoms. The shoot dry weight at both harvests was not significantly different from the control.
Manganese, the PBA HatTrick showed the highest relative reduction of 30%. However, at 150 µM Mn, *C. retic* showed greater shoot dry weight reduction (39%) compared to *C. echino* (27%); cultivars PBA HatTrick, Ambar, and PBA Striker showed 36, 25, and 23% reductions, respectively. The reduction in shoot dry weight at the highest Mn, 500 µM, was 38% or more in Cicer genotypes. In general, lupin did not show consistent change in shoot dry weight with Mn additions.

In general, shoot dry weight at 28 days after Mn treatment additions followed a similar trend as that at 14 days; however, the interaction between Mn treatments and genotypes was not significant (*P* = 0.127). The reduction in dry weight due to Mn toxicity intensified at the second harvest, especially at high Mn treatment levels (Figure 3). The mean relative reduction in shoot dry weight at 100 µM was 22%, and at 500 µM, it increased to 72%. Mean shoot dry weight measured across eight Mn treatments for the PBA HatTrick was significantly less than that for Ambar and PBA Striker. Lupin showed 18–30% relative reduction in shoot dry weight when Mn concentrations in the solution increased from 100 to 500 µM.

**Root Dry Weight**

The interaction of root dry weight measured at 14 days after Mn additions was significant between Mn treatment and genotypes (*P* = 0.01), and the relative reduction in root dry weight due to Mn toxicity was greater than shoot dry weight in Cicer genotypes (Figures 3, 4). The mean reduction in root dry weights at 250 and 500 µM Mn was 20% higher than that in shoot dry weight.
However, there was a strong positive correlation between shoot and root dry weight measured at 14 days after Mn treatments ($r = 0.829$). Relative shoot dry weight and root dry weight of wild *C. retic* accession and the domestic cultivar PBA HatTrick were less than that for other genotypes, especially at 150 µM and above. At 100 µM Mn, the reduction in root dry weight ranged between 13% in *C.echino* and 33% in PBA HatTrick, whereas at 200 µM Mn, PBA Striker showed comparatively low root weight reduction of 26% compared with other genotypes. The reduction in root dry weight was high in *C. retic*, ranging between 58 and 68%, in Mn concentrations of 150 µM and above. Among the domestic cultivars, PBA HatTrick was affected more by Mn toxicity than were Ambar and PBA Striker at levels of 100 and 200 µM with 10–20% more root dry weight reduction. However, at high Mn levels, 250 and 500 µM, there was less differentiation among the *Cicer* genotypes. The mean root dry weight of eight Mn levels for PBA HatTrick was significantly lower than that for other domestic cultivars, while wild accession *C. retic* had the lowest mean root weight among the *Cicer* genotypes. Lupin showed inconsistent responses to Mn additions (Figure 4).

At the second harvest, there was a 15% greater reduction in relative root dry weight of *Cicer* genotypes than at 14 days at 150 µM Mn and above. However, there was no significant interaction between Mn treatments and genotypes ($P = 0.265$). Similar to shoot dry weight, at 25 and 50 µM, the mean root dry weight was not significantly different from control at both harvests. With the increase in Mn concentrations from 100 to 500 µM, the mean reduction in root dry weight increased from 29 to 80% (Figure 4). Lupin showed around 50% root dry weight reduction at 500 µM Mn. There was a significant correlation between root dry weight at the first and second harvests ($r = 0.82$) and also between shoot and root dry weight at the second harvest ($r = 0.88$). At both harvests, *C.echino* had higher root/shoot ratio than other *Cicer* genotypes (data not presented).
**Total Root Length**

The total root length measured after 14 days of Mn treatments responded to the interaction between Mn and genotypes \( (P = 0.04) \). The mean root length across Mn treatments for genotypes Ambar and PBA Striker was significantly greater than that of other genotypes, and wild *C. retic* accession had the least root length. With Mn increase from 2 to 500 \( \mu \)M in solutions, the decline in total root length ranged between 10 and 64% in *Cicer* genotypes (Figure 4). At 100 \( \mu \)M Mn, PBA HatTrick showed higher reduction in total root length, and at 150 \( \mu \)M Mn, the decline was greater in PBA HatTrick (51%) and *C. retic* (54%) than in other genotypes. The relative reduction in root length was less in *C. echino* at 150–250 \( \mu \)M Mn than in *C. retic* (Figure 4).

Similar to root weight, the reduction in total root length was greater at the second harvest than at the first harvest, especially at 150 \( \mu \)M Mn and above (Figure 4). The interaction between Mn treatments and genotypes was significant \( (P = 0.000) \) for total root length at the second harvest. At 100 and 150 \( \mu \)M Mn, *C. retic* showed significant root length reduction compared with other *Cicer* genotypes; at 150 \( \mu \)M Mn, *C. retic* had 32, 28, and 23% greater relative root length reduction than genotypes PBA Striker, Ambar, and *C. echino*, respectively. At 250 and 500 \( \mu \)M Mn, the relative reduction in root length was more than 70 and 75%, respectively, in all the *Cicer* genotypes, while lupin showed only 25% reduction in root length at 500 \( \mu \)M Mn.

**Chlorophyll Fluorescence Measurements**

The mean variable fluorescence/maximum fluorescence (Fv/Fm) values of *Cicer* genotypes for 150 \( \mu \)M Mn and above were significantly lower than that of the control (Figure 5). However, the interaction between Mn treatments and *Cicer* genotypes for Fv/Fm measured at 3 weeks after Mn treatments was not significant \( (P = 0.242) \). The highest Mn treatment, 500 \( \mu \)M, reduced Fv/Fm measurements in *Cicer* genotypes by only 22%. The chlorophyll fluorescence measurements as Fv/Fm were negatively correlated with Mn toxicity scores at 7 days \( (r = -0.79) \), 14 days \( (r = -0.72) \), and 22 days \( (r = -0.72) \).

PI measurements were more sensitive to Mn toxicity than were Fv/Fm values in *Cicer* genotypes with a significant reduction in mean values of PI for Mn treatments 50 \( \mu \)M and above (Figure 5). There was 45% reduction in PI at 50 \( \mu \)M Mn and up to 94% at 500 \( \mu \)M Mn. However, there was no significant interaction between Mn treatments and genotypes \( (P = 0.2819) \). Similar to Fv/Fm, there were significant negative correlations
between PI values and plant scores at 7 days after Mn (-0.73), 14 days \((r = -0.75)\), and 22 days \((r = -0.750)\).

**Ion Concentrations in Leaves and Roots**

**Mn Concentrations and Uptake**

Manganese concentrations in young leaves increased with an increase in Mn solution concentrations (Table 2); among the genotypes, the Mn increase in wild *Cicer* accessions and PBA Striker was higher than that in Ambar and PBA HatTrick at both harvests when compared to the control. Among the genotypes, PBA Striker and *C.echino* had significantly higher mean Mn concentration in young leaves at the first harvest compared with other *Cicer* genotypes \((P \leq 0.05)\); however, there was no significant difference in young leaf Mn concentrations among the *Cicer* genotypes at the second harvest.

The old leaves had higher Mn concentrations than had the young leaves, and Mn concentrations increased significantly with an increase in Mn treatment levels \((P \leq 0.05)\); Table 2). Among the *Cicer* genotypes, *C.retic* had significantly lower Mn concentration in the old leaves at both harvests compared with the others. The mean increase in Mn concentrations with treatments of 100–200 \(\mu\)M Mn when compared to the control was lower in PBA HatTrick and *C.retic* than in others. The old/young leaves ratios of Mn concentrations were lower in *C.retic* at both harvests (data not shown). Lupin had significantly higher mean Mn concentrations in leaf tissues compared with *Cicer* genotypes.

Manganese concentrations in roots increased with an increase in Mn treatment levels \((P \leq 0.05)\), and there was a significant cultivar effect. In contrast to Mn concentrations in shoot and leaf tissues, *C.echino* accession had a lower mean root Mn concentration than *C.retic* at both harvests, and PBA Striker had lower Mn concentrations in roots than Ambar (Table 3).

The mean shoot Mn content of *C.retic* was significantly lower than that of other genotypes; however, the root Mn uptake of *C.retic* was not different from others. At 100–200 \(\mu\)M, the relative increase in Mn uptake (both shoot and root) due to Mn treatments was higher in PBA Striker and *C.echino* than in others (Supplementary File, Table C). The mean shoot/root Mn ratios at 14 days after Mn treatments of genotypes PBA Striker and *C.echino* accession were higher than those of other *Cicer* genotypes. However, at 28 days, shoot/root Mn ratios of *C.retic* was lower than that of other genotypes (Table 3).

**Ca Concentrations and Uptake**

Calcium concentrations in young leaves at both harvests decreased with the increase in Mn solution concentrations \((P \leq 0.05)\); however, the interaction between treatment and cultivar was not significant (Table 4). Among the *Cicer* genotypes, *C.echino* accession and PBA HatTrick had high and low mean Ca concentrations in young leaves, respectively. The mean percentage reduction of Ca concentrations across the five *Cicer* genotypes measured in young leaves at the first harvest in Mn treatments 100, 150, and 200 \(\mu\)M Mn were 29, 38, and 40%, respectively, when compared to control. At the second harvest, the mean reduction in Ca concentrations was 8% more than that at the first harvest.

The Ca concentration in root tissues of *Cicer* genotypes at both harvests was not affected by the increase in Mn concentrations in solutions \((P \geq 0.05)\) (Table 4). Among the genotypes, the mean Ca concentrations in roots of wild *C.retic* and *C.echino* accessions were lower than those in chickpea cultivars at the first harvest; the mean root Ca concentrations in *C.retic* and *C.echino* were 18 and 16% less than that in PBA Striker, respectively.

The shoot Ca uptake declined significantly with increasing Mn solution in *Cicer* genotypes \((P \leq 0.05)\); the wild *C.retic* accession showed a significant mean reduction of shoot Ca (63%), and its
TABLE 2 | Log-transformed Mn concentrations in young and old leaves of Cicer genotypes and lupin grown in 2, 100, 150, and 200 µM Mn at 14 and 28 days after Mn treatments [back-transformed means (mg/kg) presented in brackets].

| Log$_{10}$ transformed Mn concentrations at 14 days after Mn treatment | Young leaves | Old leaves |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Mn levels (µM) | 2 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 2 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| Ambar | 2.18 (153) | 3.31 (2,080) | 3.39 (2,450) | 3.51 (3,238) | 2.33 (214) | 3.61 (4,092) | 3.71 (5,081) | 3.75 (5,597) |
| HatTrick | 2.17 (151) | 3.33 (2,147) | 3.43 (2,675) | 3.53 (3,413) | 2.36 (230) | 3.58 (3,793) | 3.53 (3,936) | 3.68 (4,875) |
| Striker | 2.20 (160) | 3.38 (2,427) | 3.50 (3,152) | 3.38 (4,278) | 2.32 (210) | 3.60 (3,962) | 3.62 (4,197) | 3.73 (5,432) |
| C.retic | 2.06 (117) | 3.31 (2,064) | 3.41 (2,577) | 3.31 (3,331) | 2.20 (159) | 3.60 (3,962) | 3.62 (4,197) | 3.73 (5,432) |
| C.echino | 2.07 (117) | 3.36 (2,282) | 3.36 (3,262) | 3.51 (4,192) | 2.25 (179) | 3.56 (3,614) | 3.76 (5,780) | 3.75 (5,636) |
| Lupin | 2.61 (412) | 3.69 (2,991) | 3.83 (6,850) | 3.84 (8,061) | 2.69 (490) | 3.81 (6,441) | 3.95 (6,768) | 3.95 (6,487) |

$P$ values LSD$_{0.05}$

| Mn treatment | 0.000 | 0.13 | 0.000 | 0.13 |
| Genotypes | 0.000 | 0.05 | 0.000 | – |
| Mn * genotypes | 0.21 | – | 0.008 | within Mn: 0.07, between Mn: 0.07 |

$P$ values LSD$_{0.05}$

| Mn levels (µM) | 2 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 2 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| Ambar | 2.27 (187) | 3.40 (2,498) | 3.44 (2,753) | 3.47 (2,982) | 2.61 (413) | 3.62 (4,217) | 3.76 (6,768) | 3.81 (6,531) |
| HatTrick | 2.26 (183) | 3.28 (1,922) | 3.39 (2,460) | 3.59 (3,907) | 2.51 (326) | 3.56 (3,664) | 2.51 (4,064) | 3.81 (6,427) |
| Striker | 2.22 (165) | 3.30 (1,985) | 3.43 (2,721) | 3.59 (3,881) | 2.46 (288) | 3.58 (3,793) | 2.46 (4,081) | 3.74 (5,496) |
| C.retic | 2.16 (145) | 3.31 (2,059) | 3.45 (2,861) | 3.53 (3,442) | 2.42 (264) | 3.31 (2,070) | 2.42 (2,454) | 3.55 (3,540) |
| C.echino | 2.13 (136) | 3.31 (2,036) | 3.48 (3,049) | 3.53 (3,417) | 2.34 (221) | 3.56 (3,801) | 2.34 (3,802) | 3.86 (7,328) |
| Lupin | 2.72 (529) | 3.66 (4,641) | 3.80 (6,394) | 3.95 (9,908) | 2.82 (654) | 3.84 (6,998) | 4.03 (6,998) | 4.19 (15,523) |

$P$ values LSD$_{0.05}$

| Mn treatment | 0.000 | 0.18 | 0.000 | 0.13 |
| Genotypes | 0.000 | 0.06 | 0.000 | 0.08 |
| Mn * genotypes | 0.08 | – | 0.27 | – |

DISCUSSION

The screening of Cicer plants for Mn toxicity was not previously reported, and depending on the plant criterion used, concentrations of 50–100 µM Mn were toxic. The median toxic concentration of Mn required to reduce plant growth was 46 µM from studies of a range of species (Kopittke et al., 2010).
Chickpeas were more sensitive to Mn toxicity than other species like sunflower (Blamey et al., 2015), triticale (Quartin et al., 1998), oats (Clark, 1983), narrow-leaved and white lupin (Blamey et al., 2015), and rice (Rout et al., 2001) where a Mn concentration of 100 µM had no effect on plant growth. On the other hand, chickpeas had a similar level of tolerance to rapeseed genotypes (Brassica napus and Brassica rapa) (Moroni et al., 2003) and was more tolerant to Mn toxicity than barley (Williams and Vlamis, 1957) and cowpea and soybean (Blamey et al., 2017), which makes chickpeas suitable for cultivation in moderately acidic soils with soil solution Mn levels <100 µM. To differentiate among Cicer genotypes (both domestic cultivars and wild species) in tolerance to Mn toxicity, 100–200 µM Mn was identified in this study.

High-ionic-strength solutions will alter the outcomes of Mn toxicity studies as the high concentrations of nutrients will decrease Mn availability due to formation of complexes with Mn (Kopittke et al., 2010). The ionic concentration of the solution used in the present study was relatively low (ranging between 3,000 and 5,000 µM), compared to most of the Mn toxicity screening studies that commonly used Hoagland solution (26,000 µM). Actual Mn concentrations in solutions analyzed at day 1 and solution renewal (day 7) of the treatment additions were very close to required Mn treatments in the present study. Furthermore, the Geochem-EZ analysis of nutrient solution confirmed more than 90% availability of Mn in solutions with minimal loss of Mn$^{2+}$ due to formation of complexes with metals and ligands. Similarly, using Geochem-EZ, we calculated that in Mn screening studies by Andrew and Hegarty (1969) and Rout et al. (2001), both with around 23,000 µM ionic concentration (for 182 and 142 µM Mn treatments, respectively), 86% of Mn$^{2+}$ was available as a free metal for plant uptake, and in Quartin et al. (1998), with an ionic concentration of 7,000 µM (200 µM Mn), 90% of Mn$^{2+}$ was available as a free metal for plant uptake. The ionic strength of the solution in this study reflects the soil solution concentration of a highly weathered Oxisol from Queensland, which is around 5,000 µM in soil extracts (Kopittke et al., 2010). The solution pH of 5.2 was maintained for the present study, which was appropriate for assessing Mn toxicity, but not as acidic as Al toxicity studies where usually a pH of <4.5 is used (Kopittke et al., 2011).
TABLE 4 | Ca concentrations (mg/kg) in young leaves and roots of Cicer genotypes and lupin grown in solutions at 2, 100, 150, and 200 µM Mn for 14 and 28 days after Mn treatments.
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| TABLE 4 | Ca concentrations (mg/kg) at 14 days after Mn treatment |

| Mn levels (µM) | Young leaves | Root |
|----------------|--------------|------|
|                | 2            | 100  | 150  | 200  | 2    | 100  | 150  | 200  |
| Ambar          | 9,533        | 5,933 | 5,000 | 4,767 | 4,466 | 4,366 | 4,433 | 4,066 |
| HatTrick       | 7,600        | 5,866 | 5,166 | 4,700 | 4,100 | 4,266 | 4,466 | 3,900 |
| Striker        | 9,266        | 7,067 | 5,833 | 6,067 | 5,000 | 4,133 | 4,166 | 3,833 |
| C.retic        | 9,433        | 6,433 | 5,266 | 5,000 | 4,133 | 3,433 | 3,666 | 3,566 |
| C.echino       | 9,433        | 6,833 | 6,400 | 6,533 | 4,233 | 3,533 | 3,833 | 3,466 |
| Lupin          | 18,666       | 14,000| 12,500| 11,000| 4,533 | 4,666 | 4,600 | 4,133 |

P values LSD<sub>0.05</sub>

| Manganese Toxicity in Cicer Species |
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| TABLE 4 | P values LSD<sub>0.05</sub> |

| Mn levels (µM) | P values | LSD<sub>0.05</sub> |
|----------------|----------|-------------------|
|                | Mn treatment | 0.004 | 1.829 |
|                | Genotypes | 0.000 | 840 |
|                | Mn * genotypes | 0.20 | – |

Ca concentrations (mg/kg) at 28 days after Mn treatment

| Manganese Toxicity in Cicer Species |
|-------------------------------------|
| TABLE 4 | Ca concentrations (mg/kg) at 28 days after Mn treatment |

| Mn levels (µM) | Young leaves | Root |
|----------------|--------------|------|
|                | 2            | 100  | 150  | 200  | 2    | 100  | 150  | 200  |
| Ambar          | 10,300       | 6,866 | 5,533 | 3,866 | 4,500 | 4,233 | 4,800 | 4,100 |
| HatTrick       | 8,467        | 5,233 | 4,366 | 4,833 | 3,966 | 4,200 | 4,500 | 4,100 |
| Striker        | 8,950        | 6,000 | 4,933 | 5,400 | 4,500 | 4,100 | 4,733 | 4,100 |
| C.retic        | 9,800        | 5,733 | 5,466 | 4,866 | 4,433 | 4,500 | 4,000 | 3,800 |
| C.echino       | 9,767        | 6,000 | 6,100 | 4,600 | 4,533 | 4,100 | 3,933 | 3,500 |
| Lupin          | 23,333       | 13,500| 13,000| 12,500| 4,766 | 3,966 | 2,783 | 3,933 |

P values LSD<sub>0.05</sub>

| Manganese Toxicity in Cicer Species |
|-------------------------------------|
| TABLE 4 | P values LSD<sub>0.05</sub> |

| Mn levels (µM) | P values | LSD<sub>0.05</sub> |
|----------------|----------|-------------------|
|                | Mn treatment | 0.0001 | 1,281 |
|                | Genotypes | 0.000 | 800 |
|                | Mn * genotypes | 0.000 | within Mn: 1,801, between Mn: 1,815 |

Additions of Ca, Fe, Al, Si, and NH₄⁺ to the growth medium have been shown to ameliorate Mn toxicity in plants (Foy et al., 1988). For instance, silicon addition decreased Mn toxicity symptoms in soybean and cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) and improved plant growth by preventing localized accumulation of Mn in leaves (Shi et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2019), and an increase in Fe supply in the solution ameliorated Mn toxicity in sunflower and soybean by decreasing Mn uptake and translocation (Blamey et al., 2019). The nutrient solution used in this study had no Si, and other nutrients were at low concentrations, making Mn in this solution more toxic than if Si was added. In studies by Rout et al. (2001) and Quartin et al. (1998), 86 and 92% of Ca²⁺ from 4,000 and 1,000 µM in solutions were available for plants according to Geochem-EZ, that is, 3,600 and 600 µM more Ca²⁺, respectively, compared with the present study.

Manganese toxicity in plants generally occurs as leaf chlorosis and crinkling symptoms; however, genetics, environmental conditions (such as light exposure and temperature), and Mn levels affect the type and extent of the symptoms (Fernando and Lynch, 2015), and the necrotic margins may be due to accumulation of excess Mn oxides and phenols in the cell wall (Horiguchi, 1987). Even though the wild C. echinospermum accession showed better tolerance to Mn toxicity than did C. reticulatum in terms of relative plant growth, they scored the same for Mn toxicity symptoms. The wild accessions were the first to show symptoms of Mn toxicity. Cultivars PBA Striker and Ambar had better relative plant growth compared with PBA HatTrick, and they scored lower for Mn toxicity symptoms. Scoring of visual symptoms could be helpful in diagnosis of Mn toxicity differences among domesticated chickpea cultivars. However, scoring alone was not a satisfactory parameter to rank wild accessions for Mn tolerance as the extent of the symptom severity was not closely related to plant growth response in wild accessions. Moreover, the toxicity symptoms increased with the duration of plant exposure to Mn treatments in all the genotypes, making it necessary to score on a fixed day after treatment to be a reliable parameter for ranking genotypes.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements have been used to assess the function of photosystem II of photosynthesis under Mn toxicity since the structural and functional integrity of chloroplasts is damaged by excess Mn (Hajiboland and Hasani, 2007). However, in the present study, Fv/Fm ratios measured at
### TABLE 5 | Fe concentrations (mg/kg) in young leaves and roots of Cicer genotypes and lupin grown in solutions at 2, 100, 150, and 200 µM Mn for 14 and 28 days after Mn treatments.

#### Fe concentrations (mg/kg) at 14 days after Mn treatment

| Mn levels (µM) | Young leaves | Root |
|----------------|--------------|------|
|                | 2            | 100  | 150  | 200  | 2    | 100  | 150  | 200  |
| Ambar          | 146          | 104  | 80   | 84   | 2,400 | 3,200 | 3,400 | 3,833 |
| HatTrick       | 143          | 99   | 102  | 89   | 1,900 | 2,666 | 3,233 | 3,700 |
| Striker        | 116          | 108  | 107  | 88   | 2,066 | 2,400 | 2,900 | 2,400 |
| C.retic       | 136          | 123  | 122  | 103  | 2,866 | 4,100 | 3,900 | 4,133 |
| C.echino      | 129          | 143  | 145  | 95   | 1,833 | 2,300 | 2,466 | 2,500 |
| Lupin          | 280          | 226  | 235  | 123  | 1,160 | 2,033 | 2,233 | 2,600 |

P values LSD

- Mn treatment: 0.13 – 0.21 –
- Genotypes: 0.001 24
- Mn * genotypes: 0.02 within Mn: 48, between Mn: 65

#### Fe concentrations (mg/kg) at 28 days after Mn treatment

| Mn levels (µM) | Young leaves | Root |
|----------------|--------------|------|
|                | 2            | 100  | 150  | 200  | 2    | 100  | 150  | 200  |
| Ambar          | 151          | 112  | 97   | 102  | 2,300 | 4,633 | 4,866 | 4,800 |
| HatTrick       | 134          | 133  | 100  | 120  | 2,000 | 3,366 | 3,466 | 3,266 |
| Striker        | 140          | 115  | 106  | 102  | 2,100 | 3,233 | 3,600 | 3,400 |
| C.retic       | 157          | 153  | 153  | 124  | 2,400 | 4,400 | 3,800 | 4,033 |
| C.echino      | 128          | 220  | 190  | 180  | 1,866 | 3,600 | 3,533 | 2,966 |
| Lupin          | 205          | 170  | 128  | 170  | 1,800 | 1,933 | 1,046 | 1,800 |

P values LSD

- Mn treatment: 0.90 – 0.01 769
- Genotypes: 0.001 33
- Mn * genotypes: 0.33

#### 21 days after Mn addition declined only at 150 µM Mn and did not discriminate among genotypes as well as visual scoring of intensity of symptoms or plant biomass measurements. Similarly, periodical measurements of Fv/Fm ratios did not predict the appearance of Mn toxicity symptoms in olive (Olea europaea) leaves (Chatzistathis et al., 2011), and Fv/Fm ratios with Mn treatments of 50 and 100 µM did not show any inhibition in rice and sunflower (Hajiboland and Hasani, 2007). In this study, the inhibition in plant growth measurements due to excess Mn was not reflected in the chlorophyll fluorescence response of Cicer, which suggests that growth and dry matter production in response to Mn toxicity are not determined by direct inhibition of photosynthesis but rather by other factors like oxidative damage and metabolic disturbances (Li et al., 2019), requiring further investigation in Cicer.

The present methodology of growing seedlings for several days before starting Mn screening was followed in similar works with soybean (Santos et al., 2017), ryegrass (Inostroza-Blancheteau et al., 2017), wheat (Khabaz-Saberi et al., 2010), and rice (Dziwornu et al., 2018). Also, the duration of the experiment that exposed plants to Mn²⁺ is critically important in developing a rapid screening protocol. Genotypic differences in relative plant growth were evident at 100–150 µM Mn, after 14 days of Mn treatments. Among all of the Mn treatments, 150 µM Mn showed the best discrimination into tolerant and intolerant genotypes with respect to relative shoot and root growth measured after 14 days of Mn treatments. At 14 days, the domestic genotypes Ambar, PBA Striker, and C. echinospermum were more tolerant than PBA HatTrick and C. reticulatum. The relative plant growth (shoot and root) after 14 days in 150 µM Mn solution for genotypes Ambar (79%), PBA Striker (75%) and C. echinospermum (71%) were significantly higher than that for PBA HatTrick (59%) and C. reticulatum (53%).

In all Cicer genotypes, relative root dry weight was more sensitive than relative shoot weight at Mn levels ≥150 µM at both harvests. Previous studies suggested that shoot injury due to excess Mn indirectly affects root growth, but more pronounced root growth reduction than shoot growth was reported for other plant species like wheat (Khabaz-Saberi et al., 2010). In contrast, in soybean 300 µM Mn did not affect root growth but reduced shoot dry matter significantly (Santos et al., 2017) and similarly in triticale (Quartin et al., 1998) and perennial ryegrass.
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Manganese toxicity in plants can prevent uptake and translocation of other essential elements like Ca, Mg, Fe, and P (Blamey et al., 2015; Alejandro et al., 2020). In this experiment, tolerant genotypes PBA Striker and C. echinospermum accession had high shoot/root Mn concentrations, indicating the increase in translocation of Mn from roots to shoots to a greater extent than that in sensitive C. reticulatum, while the shoot/root Mn accumulation or uptake per plant was significantly higher in tolerant genotypes PBA Striker and C. echinospermum than in other Cicer genotypes at first harvest. The Mn$^{2+}$ taken up by roots is translocated to various shoot tissues; therefore, identification of long-distance transport regulation in Cicer could greatly improve the understanding of Mn tolerance mechanisms.

Manganese toxicity in plants can prevent uptake and translocation of other essential elements like Ca, Mg, Fe, and P (Blamey et al., 2015; Alejandro et al., 2020). In this experiment, Mn toxicity depressed Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations in shoots of Cicer sp., suggesting competition between these two cations for specific absorption and translocation. Indeed, most of the Mn$^{2+}$ transporters are non-specific and are able to transport other divalent cations like Ca$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ (Alejandro et al., 2020). A similar decrease in Ca concentrations due to Mn toxicity was seen in barley plants (Alam et al., 2001). Even though there was a decrease in Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations due to excess Mn in the solutions, the Ca concentrations in Cicer were adequate (Reuter and Robinson, 1997).

Excess Mn can sometimes produce symptoms that resemble Fe deficiency. Moreover, the addition of Fe alleviated Mn toxicity in beans and sunflower (Foy et al., 1988; Blamey et al., 2019). Among soybean cultivars, there were contrasting response of plant Fe to excess Mn due to genotypic differences within soybean which influence the expression of Mn toxicity symptoms (Izaguirre-Mayoral and Sinclair, 2005). In the present experiment, wild C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum accessions accumulated more Fe in shoots even under Mn toxicity, even though they showed more intense Mn toxicity symptoms compared with the Cicer cultivars.

Mean Mn concentrations of Cicer genotypes in control solution were between 120 and 300 mg/kg depending on plant tissue analyzed, and in 200 µM Mn solution, Mn concentrations were 3,500, 5,000, and 6,300 mg/kg in young leaves, old leaves, and roots, respectively. Lupin had Mn concentrations of around 6,000 to 7,000 mg/kg in young shoots and roots; however, old leaves had >10,000 mg/kg at 200 µM Mn. At a similar solution concentration of 200 µM Mn, canola (B. napus L.) contained an Mn concentration of 3,500 mg/kg (Moroni et al., 2003), similar to that of chickpeas, while soybean contained only 886 mg/kg in shoots (Lavres Junior et al., 2010). By comparison, clover contained up to 2,050 mg/kg in shoots and 7,481 mg/kg Mn in roots when grown at 355 µM Mn (Rosas et al., 2007).

In the present study, better Mn toxicity tolerance in cv. PBA Striker was associated with greater uptake and transport of Mn from roots to shoots than were sensitive C. reticulatum accession and cv. PBA HatTrick. Also, PBA Striker had higher shoot Ca and Mn concentrations and uptake compared with C. reticulatum, although it had reduced Fe concentrations in young leaves compared to sensitive wild C. reticulatum accession. Among the wild accessions, C. echinospermum, which showed better Mn toxicity tolerance, had higher shoot Mn concentrations compared with the C. reticulatum accession. Therefore, in Cicer genotypes, tolerant genotypes accumulated more Mn in shoots, suggesting that internal sequestration in shoots and tolerance to excess Mn are the main mechanisms of tolerance. Manganese is known to complex with organic compounds in less active plant cells or organelles like vacuoles, endoplasmic reticulum (Lidon et al., 2004; Millaleo et al., 2010; Li et al., 2019), and cell walls or is complexed by organic acids in different leaf tissues (Alejandro et al., 2020). In this respect, Cicer is similar to Mn-tolerant wheat (Khabaz-Saberi et al., 2010), rapeseed (Moroni et al., 2003), and maize genotypes (Stoyanova et al., 2009).

In spite of chickpea being a significant pulse crop and acidity being a major limitation for expanding its production areas, there is limited research on Mn toxicity in chickpea. The protocol developed here can be used to screen a wide range of released cultivars of chickpea and wild Cicer germplasm accessions to identify Mn-tolerant genotypes. Confirmation of Mn toxicity tolerance in soils is needed, but screening in soils in the field has proved unreliable due to the range of soil factors that alter soil Mn$^{2+}$ concentrations, the level of mycorrhizal association in roots, and root rhizosphere effects (Nogueira and Cardoso, 2003; Moroni et al., 2009). The mycorrhizal association may alleviate Mn toxicity if the association is effective (Nogueira and Cardoso, 2003; Nogueira et al., 2004). Further research on linking phenotypic Mn toxicity tolerance responses with genomic responses may produce molecular markers for screening programs for Mn toxicity tolerance in Cicer. As Cicer was found to accumulate and tolerate high Mn under stress, identifying the key transporter genes responsible would increase our understanding of how they acquire, transport, and tolerate excess Mn.

CONCLUSION

Among the current prominent chickpea cultivars in Australia, PBA Striker was more tolerant than PBA HatTrick, suggesting that there is genotypic variation in Mn toxicity tolerance among chickpea cultivars currently grown in Australia. Moreover, neither of the accessions screened from C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum showed greater Mn toxicity tolerance than domestic cultivar PBA Striker. The limitations in both shoot and root dry matter in Mn-sensitive genotypes can be used as a selection criterion to screen genotypes for Mn toxicity, and the best discrimination into tolerant and intolerant Cicer
genotypes was achieved at 100–200 μM Mn grown in Mn treatments for 14 days. Therefore, 150 μM Mn and 14 days of growth in low-ionic-strength nutrient solution at pH 5.2 are proposed for future large-scale screening of Cicer germplasm. The scoring of symptoms at 7 and 14 days was useful in domestic cultivars; however, in wild accessions, scoring did not distinguish among genotypes as well as differences in their dry weights. Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were not a useful selection criterion for Mn toxicity differentiation among genotypes in this experiment. Manganese toxicity effects were not related to induced Ca or Fe deficiency even though increasing Mn had a detrimental effect on Ca concentrations and uptake. Manganese tolerance in Cicer genotypes was associated with greater uptake of Mn and translocation of Mn from roots to shoots, suggesting that their internal tolerance to excess Mn was the main mechanism of Mn toxicity tolerance.
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