Distinct Roles of mTOR Targets S6K1 and S6K2 in Breast Cancer
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Abstract: The mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a master regulator of protein translation, metabolism, cell growth and proliferation. It forms two complexes, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2). mTORC1 is frequently deregulated in many cancers, including breast cancer, and is an important target for cancer therapy. The immunosuppressant drug rapamycin and its analogs that inhibit mTOR are currently being evaluated for their potential as anti-cancer agents, albeit with limited efficacy. mTORC1 mediates its function via its downstream targets 40S ribosomal S6 kinases (S6K) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1). There are two homologs of S6K: S6K1 and S6K2. Most of the earlier studies focused on S6K1 rather than S6K2. Because of their high degree of structural homology, it was generally believed that they behave similarly. Recent studies suggest that while they may share some functions, they may also exhibit distinct or even opposite functions. Both homologs have been implicated in breast cancer, although how they contribute to breast cancer may differ. The purpose of this review article is to compare and contrast the expression, structure, regulation and function of these two S6K homologs in breast cancer.
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1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related death among women in the United States. Multiple factors contribute to the poor survival and the severity of breast cancer. The mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), also known as the mammalian target of rapamycin, is an important target for breast cancer therapy since it is frequently deregulated in breast cancers and plays a critical role in tumorigenesis [1,2]. mTOR forms two distinct complexes with either raptor (mTORC1) or rictor (mTORC2). mTORC1 acts downstream of the Akt signaling pathway, which is deregulated in approximately 60% of breast cancers and plays critical roles in breast cancer development, progression and resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs [2–11]. mTORC1 mediates its function via its downstream targets 40S ribosomal S6 kinase (S6K) and 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) [12].

Studies addressing the highly conserved inducible phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 in somatic cells led to the discovery of S6K1 (p70S6Kα) or p70S6 kinase [13,14]. It was originally identified as the serine/threonine kinase that mediated the mitogen-inducible phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 (rpS6). However, the observation that rpS6 phosphorylation was not affected in S6K1 knockout mice led to the identification of a close homolog of S6K1, S6K2 (p70S6Kβ), which was later shown to be the major kinase mediating rpS6 phosphorylation [15–19]. S6K1 and S6K2 are encoded by RPS6KB1 on chromosome 17 and RPS6KB2 on chromosome 11, respectively (Table 1). Both genes
code for two isoforms each with the use of alternative translation start sites: p70 S6K (S6KαII) and p85 S6K (S6KαI) in the case of S6K1, and p54 S6K (S6KβII) and p56 S6K (S6KβI) for S6K2 [16,20]. The N-terminal extensions of the longer forms of both S6K1 and S6K2 harbor a functional nuclear localization signal (NLS), making them constitutively nuclear. However, the shorter isoforms represent the predominant forms for both homologs and will be referred to as S6K1 and S6K2 henceforth.

Table 1. Genes and isoforms of the 40S ribosomal S6 kinases (S6Ks).

| Gene         | Chromosome | Isoforms       | Size  |
|--------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| RPS6KB1      | 17         | S6KαI          | p85   |
|              |            | S6KαII*        | p70   |
| RPS6KB2      | 11         | S6KβI          | p56   |
|              |            | S6KβII*        | p54   |

* Predominant isoform.

Most of the earlier studies focused on p70 S6K1 or S6K1 as the downstream target of mTORC1. It was believed that due to structural similarities, S6K1 and S6K2 share redundant functions. Recent studies, however, challenge this notion [21–25]. Both homologs have been implicated in breast cancer, although they may play distinct roles [26,27]. In this review article, we briefly describe differences in structural aspects, regulation and cellular functions of these two homologs prior to discussing their distinct roles in breast cancer.

2. Structure of S6Ks

Both S6K1 and S6K2 exhibit a modular structure consisting of an N-terminal regulatory region, the kinase domain, followed by the kinase extension domain and a C-terminal regulatory region harboring the autoinhibitory/pseudosubstrate domain (Figure 1). While they share over 80% homology in the amino acid sequence of their kinase domains, as well as a high degree of similarity in the adjacent kinase extension and pseudosubstrate or autoinhibitory domains with conserved sites critical for their activation [16,17], important differences exist in the extreme N- and C-terminal regions. S6K1 possesses a C-terminal PDZ-binding domain, which promotes association with the actin cytoskeleton [28], whereas S6K2 but not S6K1 harbors a functional nuclear localization signal (NLS) and a proline-rich domain, which may promote interaction with the SH3-domain containing proteins at its C-terminus [16] (Figure 1). It is believed that these key differences between S6K1 and S6K2 will result in differential localization and binding partners and hence distinct functions for the two proteins [21].
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Figure 1. Modular structure of S6Ks. S6K1 and S6K2 share significant homology in their kinase domains. However, the shorter isoforms of both S6K1 and S6K2, which are the predominant forms, exhibit substantial divergence in the extreme N- and C-terminal regions.
3. Regulation of S6Ks

3.1. Activation of S6Ks

Growth factor- and hormone-mediated activation of receptor tyrosine kinases promotes phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) activation, which then phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) to produce phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3). This leads to the membrane recruitment and activation of pleckstrin homology domain-containing proteins such as phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1). Activation of PDK1 leads to the phosphorylation and activation of several drivers of cell survival and proliferation such as Akt, which then promotes mTORC1 activation by negatively regulating the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), a tumor suppressor complex [29] mutated in hamartomas [30]. Inhibition of the TSC allows activation of the small GTPase ras homolog enriched in brain (RHEB) [31,32], and subsequent mTORC1 activation results in downstream signaling and cap-dependent translation by phosphorylating and inhibiting the eIF4E-binding protein (4E-BP) and activating S6K (Figure 2).

Figure 2. The activation of the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR). Growth factor-mediated activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway leads to the membrane recruitment and activation of phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 (PDK1) and Akt, which then phosphorylates and inactivates the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC1/2), a negative regulator of ras homolog enriched in brain (Rheb), ultimately resulting in the activation of mTOR within complex 1. mTORC1 mediates its downstream effects primarily via the inhibition of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) and the activation of S6 kinase (S6K).

The sensitivity of S6K to the immunosuppressant drug rapamycin implied its regulation by mTOR [33–35]. Further studies suggested that the phospho-mimetic mutation of a conserved phosphorylation site in the kinase-extension domain (T389 in S6K1 and T388 in S6K2), known as the hydrophobic motif, by mTOR leads to resistance to rapamycin [36]. Raptor within the mTORC1 complex binds to the tor signaling motif (TOS), a conserved amino acid sequence found in S6K [37], and promotes its interaction with mTOR, which mediates the hydrophobic motif phosphorylation [38].
The activation of S6K is then achieved by PDK-1-mediated phosphorylation at a threonine residue in the activation loop (T229 in S6K1 and T228 in S6K2) within the kinase domain [39]. However, in order for mTORC1 and PDK1 to be able to access their target sites, a series of phosphorylation events at C-terminal serine residues first needs to occur so as to render the pseudosubstrate/autoinhibitory domain inactive and expose the activation loop, making it accessible for PDK1. The C-terminal autoinhibitory domain phosphorylations are believed to be carried out by members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family [40]. Thus, the current model of S6K activation follows that the initial phosphorylation events in the C-terminal pseudosubstrate domain expose the kinase extension and kinase domains and promote mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation followed by the activating phosphorylation by PDK1 [21,23].

While S6K1 and S6K2 share the majority of conserved phosphorylation sites, they are believed to exhibit differences in their sensitivities to rapamycin and inputs from the MAPK signaling pathway. For example, in H510 lung cancer cells, which are characterized by highly active mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) signaling, S6K2 was less responsive to rapamycin but highly sensitive to MEK inhibition [41]. The MAPK-mediated C-terminal phosphorylations appear to be more critical for the activation of S6K2 than that of S6K1 [41,42]. Furthermore, leucine deprivation affected S6K1 but not S6K2 or S6 phosphorylation, suggesting the differential regulation of the two kinases.

Growth factor-mediated activation of S6K1 is followed by its rapid dephosphorylation and desensitization via the serine/threonine protein phosphatase PP2A [43–46]. In addition to the phosphorylation and activation of S6K, mTOR leads to increases in levels of the serine/threonine protein phosphatase PHLPP (pleckstrin homology domain leucine-rich repeat protein phosphatase) [47], which aids in switching off S6K activity by dephosphorylating the hydrophobic motif [48]. Thus, functional mTOR not only promotes the activation of S6K but also ensures tight regulation of its activity via dephosphorylation.

3.2. Subcellular Localization, Tissue Distribution and Protein Turnover

The presence of a nuclear localization sequence at its C-terminus suggests S6K2 but not S6K1 is nuclear, where its activation can be regulated by a pool of nuclear mTOR [49]. Several phosphorylation events have been linked to the regulation of S6K localization. For example, S6K2 has been shown to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm, which is believed to be regulated by growth factor-induced phosphorylation by protein kinase C (PKC) in its C-terminus close to its nuclear localization signal, thus inhibiting its nuclear translocation. This is believed to maintain a pool of active S6K2 in the cytoplasm [50]. Similarly, the phosphorylation of S6K1 by casein kinase 2 prevents its nuclear translocation [51], which was shown to occur upon growth factor stimulation despite the lack of a nuclear localization signal [51,52]. While these phosphorylation events do not directly correlate with the activity of S6Ks, they are believed to recruit them to specific cellular compartments or serve to bring them into the proximity of their targets, thus determining their functions. S6 kinases have also been shown to undergo tyrosine phosphorylation following their membrane recruitment via a platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR)-Src pathway [53]. However, the precise function of this phosphorylation event remains unclear.

While S6K1 and S6K2 mRNAs were ubiquitously expressed in all tissues tested, S6K2 mRNA and protein levels did not correlate with each other, prompting the authors to suggest the existence of post-transcriptional fine-tuning of tissue-specificity for S6K2 [54]. Recently, microRNA-mediated regulation of S6K2 has come into light in non-small cell lung cancers, where it was shown to be targeted by miR-193a-3p [55].

S6Ks are also regulated via protein degradation and stabilization. Both S6K1 and S6K2 have been reported to be ubiquitinated and degraded via the proteasome. While the Rocl ubiquitin ligase was shown to ubiquitinate S6K1, the identity of the ubiquitin ligase mediating the proteasomal degradation of S6K2 remains unknown [56,57]. Furthermore, S6Ks have been shown to be acetylated by p300
in vitro and the inhibition of histone deacetylase in cells increased their levels, suggesting that S6K acetylation promotes their stabilization [58].

Thus, while the core activation mechanisms are conserved between S6K1 and S6K2, there exist important differences in their sensitivities to upstream signaling pathways, localization and modes of protein turnover.

4. Cellular Functions of S6Ks

Initial studies on the physiological role of S6K came from Drosophila, which possesses a single S6K (dS6K) gene [59]. The disruption of this gene decreases the probability of survival to adulthood with a marked decrease in body size, which was associated with a decrease in cell size rather than total cell numbers. This suggests a role for dS6K in regulating cell growth in individuals that reach adulthood [59].

Similar to Drosophila, S6K1−/− mice exhibit defects such as small size, hypoinsulinemia and glucose intolerance associated with decreased pancreatic beta cell size [60]. It has been observed that there is an upregulation of S6K2 expression in tissues from S6k1 knockout mice, which compensates for the decrease in rpS6 phosphorylation in these mice [61]. Conversely, rpS6 phosphorylation is abrogated in S6K2−/− mice, suggesting that physiologically S6K2 is the principal kinase for rpS6. While S6K1/S6K2 double knockout mice exhibit perinatal lethality, S6K2−/− mice survive to adulthood with no apparent phenotype [61].

What then is the physiological role of the highly conserved rpS6 phosphorylation? The mitogen-inducible phosphorylation of rpS6 occurs at five C-terminal serine residues [62] and is mediated by several distinct kinases [63]. In vivo knock-in mouse models that harbor a non-phosphorylatable mutant of rpS6 showed small size, hypoinsulinemia, decreased beta cell size and muscle weakness—phenotypes similar to those of S6K1 knockout mice [64,65]—which is counterintuitive since S6K2 seems to be the primary kinase mediating rpS6 phosphorylation [64]. Several explanations have been put forth to resolve this discrepancy. For example, given their distinct localization patterns, a pool of rpS6 that is accessible only by S6K1 may be required for mediating cell growth. Similarly, S6K1 but not S6K2 may be activated during a particular developmental stage when rpS6 phosphorylation is required [21]. Further studies in mice deficient for rpS6 phosphorylation revealed that this highly conserved phosphorylation event was dispensable for the translation of 5′TOP mRNAs, an event long considered to depend on it [64].

Although originally identified as the kinase mediating rpS6 phosphorylation, several other cellular substrates of S6K, specifically S6K1, have since been reported that play important roles in gene transcription, protein translation, insulin resistance and cell survival. For example, S6K1 has been reported to phosphorylate and regulate components of the translation apparatus, such as eIF4B [66] and eEF2K [57], and regulators of protein synthesis, such as programmed cell death 4 (PDCD4), which inhibits the translation machinery [68]. It is believed to regulate cell survival via the phosphorylation and regulation of murine double minute 2 (MDM2) [69], a negative regulator of p53, and BAD [70], a cell death-promoting protein.

While glucose intolerance has been observed in S6K1−/− mice, it has also been shown to promote the phosphorylation and feedback inhibition of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) [71–74]. Insulin- and amino acid-mediated activation of mTOR/S6K via the PI3K pathway leads to a negative feedback loop resulting in the phosphorylation and downregulation of IRS1 by S6K1 and eventually insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. In addition to diabetes, mTOR/S6K-mediated feedback inhibition of IRS1 and by extension the oncogenic PI3K/Akt pathway poses drawbacks for cancer therapy and limits the cytotoxic effects of rapamycin-based therapeutic approaches [75]. In addition to its role in the inhibition of IRS1, S6K1 has also been implicated in the phosphorylation and negative regulation of rictor, a component of the mTORC2 complex, which mediates Akt activation, although this conclusion remains controversial [76–79].
While several studies have documented the cellular functions and substrates of S6K1, little is known about those of S6K2. Given the lack of a phenotype in S6K2−/− mice, the physiological role of S6K2 is poorly understood. Some reports suggest that it could play roles in cell proliferation and gene regulation via interaction with heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) [80], histone H3 [81] and ying-yang-1 (YY1) [82].

5. S6 Kinases and Breast Cancer

5.1. Gene Amplification of S6Ks in Breast Cancer

The first clue regarding the involvement of S6K1 in breast cancer came from the identification that S6K1 (encoded by \( \text{RPS6KB1} \)) is localized on the chromosomal region 17q23, which is amplified in 20% of primary breast cancers [83]. cDNA microarray analyses showed that S6K1 is amplified and overexpressed in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Tissue microarray analysis of 668 primary breast tumors showed amplification of S6K1 in 8.8% of primary tumors [83]. Co-amplification of S6K1 and HER-2 located in chromosome 17q12 was associated with poor patient survival [83].

S6K2 encoded by the gene \( \text{RPS6KB2} \) was shown to be located on chromosome 11q13, which harbors several key mediators of breast cancer [84]. Perez-Tenorio et al., demonstrated that both \( \text{RPS6KB1} \) and \( \text{RPS6KB2} \) are often amplified in breast cancer tissues [84]. \( \text{RPS6KB1} \) amplification (≥4 copies) has been reported in 10.7% of breast cancers, and gene gains (≥3 copies) have been reported in 21.4% of breast cancers [84]. Furthermore, this has been associated with loco-regional recurrence [85]. While amplification of \( \text{RPS6KB2} \) is only associated with 4.3% of breast cancers, a large number of samples (21.3%) exhibit gains, suggesting that \( \text{RPS6KB2} \) gain rather than amplification is a major event in breast cancer [21]. A co-amplification of \( \text{RPS6KB2} \) and 4EBP1 has been reported, suggesting a synergy between these mTOR targets in breast cancer development and progression [86].

5.2. Expression and Localization of S6Ks in Breast Cancer

Immunohistochemical analysis demonstrated that both S6K1 and S6K2 are overexpressed in breast cancer, with S6K1 being primarily cytosolic and S6K2 predominantly nuclear in localization [87,88]. Furthermore, nuclear S6K2 correlated with staining of proliferation markers such as Ki-67 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), suggesting a role for nuclear S6K2 in breast cancer cell proliferation [87]. Additionally, nuclear accumulation of S6K2 was increased in cells at the periphery of the tumor, suggesting a unique role in breast cancer pathogenesis. However, Bostner et al., reported that high nuclear S6K1 was indicative of reduced benefits from tamoxifen treatment [89]. A recent study suggests that the subcellular distribution of S6K1 depends on the cell density and cell motility [90]. For example, at low cell density S6K1 was predominantly nuclear but it relocalized to the cytoplasm in confluent monolayers. During cell migration, S6K1 translocated to the nucleus and interacted with the transcription factor TBR2 (T-box brain protein 2). This study implicates nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of S6K1 to play an important role in the migration and invasion of breast cancer.

5.3. Function of S6Ks in Breast Cancer

5.3.1. Involvement of S6Ks in Estrogen Receptor (ER)-Positive Breast Cancer

Estrogen receptor-α (ERα)-positive breast cancers account for over half of all breast cancers and hence constitute the major subtype [91]. The canonical or genomic ER signaling is characterized by the binding of estrogen and subsequent activation of ERα, which then translocates to the nucleus and regulates its target genes by either promoting or repressing their transcription [92]. Activation of ERα is associated with its phosphorylation by several different kinases including S6K1 [93–95]. Further studies showed that S6K1 and ERα constitute a positive feed-forward loop, where the phosphorylation of ERα by S6K1 promotes its activity, which in turn promotes transcription of \( \text{RPS6KB1} \) to mediate breast cancer cell proliferation [96,97]. The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) pathway plays a critical role in
breast cancer. It was shown that knockdown/inhibition of S6K1 prevented IGF (insulin-like growth factor)-induced phosphorylation of ERα at Ser167 and transcription of ERα-regulated genes [98]. It has been reported that S6K1 mediates the phosphorylation of histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) by mitogens, recruitment of HDAC1 to the ERα promoter and increases in ERα transcription in breast cancer cells [99]. While the role and regulation of S6K1 in breast cancer have been addressed, little is known about the causes and consequences of S6K2 overexpression.

The availability of anti-estrogen therapies suggests a favorable prognosis for patients with ER-positive breast cancers. However, a substantial number of patients fail to respond to therapy [100], and the development of resistance further complicates treatment [91]. Studies in breast cancer tissues reported that RPS6KB2 gains/amplifications correlate with ER-positivity [84]. Also, distant recurrence-free survival was substantially reduced in patients with ER-positive tumors associated with RPS6KB2 gains/amplifications [84]. Furthermore, 11q13 amplifications have been intimately linked to ER-positive breast cancers [86,101–104] and constitute a high-risk subgroup of ER-positive cancers [101], suggesting that this region may play an important role in the response and resistance of breast cancer cells to death induced by anti-estrogen therapy. S6K2 but not S6K1 is frequently co-expressed with 4E-BP1, and high mRNA levels of S6K2 and/or 4E-BP1 mRNA were associated with poor prognosis and endocrine resistance in randomized Stockholm tamoxifen trials of four different cohorts of breast cancer patients [105]. Kim et al., proposed that phospho-S6K1 status is associated with poor prognosis and endocrine resistance of hormone receptor-positive breast cancers but not hormone receptor-negative breast cancers [106]. This is consistent with two other independent clinical trials demonstrating that S6K1 was associated with reduced response to tamoxifen [89]. While high levels of S6K1 correlated with markers of increased proliferation, HER2 status and cytoplasmic Akt, high levels of S6K2 correlated with low proliferation, ER status and nuclear Akt [89]. However, Ma et al., reported that although the levels of p-mTOR, p-4E-BP1 and p-S6K1 were significantly higher in breast tumor tissues compared to normal tissues, the status of phosphorylated mTOR, 4E-BP1 and S6K1 could not serve as prognostic factors for breast cancer [107].

The disease state (early versus late), node positivity, tumor size and treatment regime may influence the outcome of the biomarker studies.

Whole genome expression profiling of S6K1, S6K2 and 4E-BP1 in breast tumors also suggested distinct roles of S6K1 and S6K2 in breast cancer [26]. Knockdown of S6K2 but not S6K1 caused upregulation of genes associated with metabolism and regulation of cell cycle progression and checkpoints in ER-positive ZR751 cells. Knockdown of S6K1 caused upregulation of S6K2 and vice versa. Moreover, S6K2 knockdown caused an increase in raptor, whereas silencing of both S6K1 and S6K2 caused increases in mTOR and rictor, suggesting a compensatory cross-talk between the mTORC1 and mTORC2 complexes [26].

5.3.2. Involvement of S6Ks in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer

S6K1 has also been associated with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Estrogen-related receptor-α (ERRα), a member of the orphan nuclear receptor family, is closely related to ERα and plays an important role in cellular metabolism [108]. While ERRα has been associated with endocrine resistance, ERRα level could also predict tamoxifen sensitivity in TNBC [109]. TNBC cells express high levels of ERRα, and it was shown to negatively regulate S6K1 expression by directly binding to its promoter [110]. Knockdown/inhibition of ERRα enhanced S6K1 level and sensitized cells to rapamycin or S6K1 inhibitor to inhibit cell proliferation, migration, invasion and metastasis, and decreased the expression of the pro-survival proteins survivin and myeloid cell leukemia 1 (Mcl-1). PF-4708671, a pharmacological inhibitor of S6K1, inhibited cell migration in a highly metastatic variant of MDA-MB-231 cells [111]. In addition, miRNA-15/16 was shown to inhibit cell proliferation, induce apoptosis and inhibit epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) in TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells by targeting RPS6KB1 through binding to its 3′-UTR [112]. The miRNAs miR-96, miR-557 and miR-3182 were also shown to downregulate S6K1 by targeting the 3′-UTR of S6K1 mRNA [113].
Although the involvement of S6K2 in breast cancer metastasis has not been studied yet, S6K2 was shown to be a direct target of miR-193a-3p, which suppresses lung metastasis; downregulation of S6K2 by miR-193a-3p was shown to be a potential mechanism by which miR-193a-3p inhibited migration, invasion and EMT in non-small cell lung cancer [55]. On the other hand, upregulation of S6K2 was implicated in mediating the effects of miR-1273g-3p on cell proliferation, migration and invasion of colorectal cancer cells [114]. Based on analysis of the TCGA dataset, S6K2 but not S6K1 was overexpressed in both ER-positive and TNBC breast tumor tissues [115]. Moreover, overexpression of S6K2 in TNBC cells attenuated cell death by apoptosis [115].

5.3.3. Regulation of Apoptosis by S6Ks

Both S6K1 and S6K2 have been implicated in regulating cell death by apoptosis. While S6K1 inhibitor PF-4708671 alone did not influence the levels of anti-apoptotic proteins, it decreased Mcl-1 and survivin levels when MCF-7 cells were deprived of glucose [116] or treated in combination with tamoxifen [117]. PF-4708671 in combination with Bcl-2 inhibitor ABT263 also decreased survivin levels but increased Mcl-1 levels in BT474 cells [118]. In this study, the effect of S6K1 knockdown on the levels of anti-apoptotic proteins was not examined. Although PF-4708671 is specific towards S6K1 at low concentrations, it could inhibit MSK1, AMPK, RSK as well as S6K2 at high concentrations [119]. Moreover, PF-4708671 could phosphorylate S6K1 at Thr229 and Thr389, resulting in its activation [119].

We have shown that knockdown of S6K2 alone but not S6K1 sensitized cells to apoptotic stimuli by altering the ratio of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins [27,120]. We made an important observation that S6K2 cooperates with Akt in mediating breast cancer cell survival [27]. While knockdown of S6K1 caused activation of Akt and inhibited cell death by apoptosis, knockdown of S6K2 decreased Akt activation and increased cell death by TNF and TRAIL by enhancing the cleavage of the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein Bid [27]. S6K2 could also promote breast cancer cell survival via an Akt-independent but JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase)-dependent pathway [120]. Knockdown of S6K2 decreased both Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 in T47D cells. While S6K2 appears to regulate Bcl-xl via the tumor suppressor PDCD4 [121], it positively regulated Mcl-1 via a JNK-dependent but GSK3-independent pathway [120].

5.3.4. The Involvement of Long and Short S6K Isoforms in Breast Cancer

There are controversies regarding which isoform of S6K1 is involved in breast cancer. It has been reported that the short kinase-inactive splice variants of S6K1 contribute to breast cancer, whereas the long p85/p70 S6K1 form causes tumor suppression [122]. The short isoforms were overexpressed in breast cancer cells and tissues and exhibited their oncogenic properties partly by causing activation of mTORC1 and increases in 4E-BP1 phosphorylation, cap-dependent translation and Mcl-1 levels. A recent study showed that the long p85 S6K1 but not p70 S6K1 or p56 S6K2 is secreted from cancer cells and can enter surrounding cells to promote breast cancer cell growth, migration and invasion [123]. Moreover, injection of recombinant p85 S6K1 in mice enhanced tumorigenesis and lung metastasis in an MDA-MB-231 tumor xenograft [123].

6. Conclusions

Given the role of mTOR in promoting protein translation, cell growth and proliferation, it is an attractive target for cancer therapy [124]. However, S6K1 and S6K2, the downstream targets of mTORC1, carry out distinct functions. While S6K1 has a more prominent role in regulating cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis, S6K2 appears to have a greater impact on cell death regulation. Rapamycin and its analogs that inhibit mTORC1 are being evaluated for their clinical potential in several cancers, including breast cancer [125,126]. Furthermore, due to the association between RPS6KB1 amplifications and cancer, there has been considerable interest in the development of inhibitors of S6K1, such as LYS6K2 [127] and PF-4708671 [119]. However, Ly2584702 tosylate, an inhibitor of S6K1 that is not an analog of rapamycin, was ineffective as a single agent [128] and was not well tolerated when administered in combination with everolimus and erlotinib [129] in phase I clinical
trials. The therapeutic efficacy of mTORC1 and S6K1 inhibitors is also thwarted due to the existence of a negative feedback loop between PI3K/Akt and mTOR/S6K1 signaling [75]. Persistent inhibition of S6K1 leads to the activation of PI3K/Akt, allowing survival of cancer cells [130–133]. Inhibition of mTORC1 can also cause a compensatory activation of the MAPK pathway [134]. Phosphorylation of Grb10, a substrate for mTORC1, leads to feedback inhibition of both the PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways [135,136]. These observations dampen the enthusiasm for mTORC1- and S6K1-inhibitor-based approaches in cancer therapy. Currently, combinatorial approaches using dual-specificity inhibitors of PI3K/Akt and mTOR are being evaluated [137]. Furthermore, the observation that S6K1−/− mice are characterized by small size and exhibit hypoinsulinemia suggests that targeting S6K1 for cancer therapy may be associated with significant side effects [60]. The normal development and the lack of an apparent phenotype in S6K2−/− mice suggests that it is a potential target in the treatment of endometrial [138], gastric [139] and breast cancers [84,87,88], which have been shown to have RPS6KB2 amplification or elevated S6K2 expression. Moreover, knockdown of S6K2 resulted in inhibition rather than activation of Akt in breast cancer cells [27]. Thus, there should be an emphasis on the development of S6K2-specific inhibitors. Even though the kinase domains of S6K1 and S6K2 are similar, the unique amino acids at the ATP binding pocket of S6K1 (Tyr) and S6K2 (Cys) may allow development of S6K2-specific inhibitors [26]. Further mechanistic studies dissecting the function of S6K1 and S6K2 at various stages and types of breast cancer are needed in order to properly exploit these two homologs for breast cancer therapy.
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Abbreviations

- 4E-BP1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-binding protein 1
- EMT Epithelial–mesenchymal transition
- ERα Estrogen receptor-α
- ERRα Estrogen-related receptor-α
- HDAC Histone deacetylase
- hnRNP Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein
- IGF Insulin-like growth factor
- IRS1 Insulin receptor substrate 1
- MAPK Mitogen-activated protein kinase
- Mcl-1 Myeloid cell leukemia 1
- MDM2 Murine double minute 2
- MEK Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase
- mTOR Mechanistic target of rapamycin
- NLS Nuclear localization signal
- PDCD4 Programmed cell death 4
- PDK1 3-Phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1
- PDZ Post synaptic density protein; PSD95, Drosophila disc large tumor suppressor;
- PHLPPL Pleckstrin homology domain leucine-rich repeat protein phosphatase
- PI3K Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
- PKC Protein kinase C
- S6K 40S ribosomal S6 kinase
- TNBC Triple-negative breast cancer
- TOS Tor signaling motif
- TSC Tuberous sclerosis complex
- YY1 Ying-yang-1
References

1. Dey, N.; De, P.; Leyland-Jones, B. PI3K-AKT-mTOR inhibitors in breast cancers: From tumor cell signaling to clinical trials. Pharmacol. Ther. 2017, 175, 91–106. [CrossRef]

2. Guerrero-Zotano, A.; Mayer, I.A.; Arteaga, C.L. PI3K/AKT/mTOR: Role in breast cancer progression, drug resistance, and treatment. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2016, 35, 515–524. [CrossRef]

3. Castaneda, C.A.; Cortes-Funes, H.; Gomez, H.L.; Ciruelos, E.M. The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT signaling pathway in breast cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2010, 29, 751–759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Dillon, R.L.; Muller, W.J. Distinct biological roles for the akt family in mammary tumor progression. Cancer Res. 2010, 70, 4260–4264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Wickenden, J.A.; Watson, C.J. Key signalling nodes in mammary gland development and cancer. Signalling downstream of PI3 kinase in mammary epithelium: A play in 3 Akts. Breast Cancer Res. 2010, 12, 202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Di Cosimo, S.; Baselga, J. Management of breast cancer with targeted agents: Importance of heterogeneity. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2010, 7, 139–147. [CrossRef]

7. Sanchez-Munoz, A.; Perez-Ruiz, E.; Jimenez, B.; Ribelles, N.; Marquez, A.; Garcia-Rios, I.; Alba Conejo, E. Targeted therapy of metastatic breast cancer. Clin. Transl. Oncol. 2009, 11, 643–650. [CrossRef]

8. Ghayad, S.E.; Cohen, P.A. Inhibitors of the PI3K/mTOR pathway: New hope for breast cancer patients. Recent Pat. Anticancer Drug Discov. 2010, 5, 29–57. [CrossRef]

9. Basu, A. Molecular targets of breast cancer: AKTing in concert. Breast Cancer 2008, 2, 11–16.

10. Araki, K.; Miyoshi, Y. Mechanism of resistance to endocrine therapy in breast cancer: The important role of PI3K/Akt/mTOR in estrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer 2018, 25, 392–401. [CrossRef]

11. Sharma, V.R.; Gupta, G.K.; Sharma, A.K.; Batra, N.; Sharma, D.K.; Joshi, A.; Sharma, A.K. PI3K/Akt/mTOR Intracellular Pathway and Breast Cancer: Factors, Mechanism and Regulation. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2017, 23, 1633–1638. [CrossRef]

12. Mita, M.M.; Mita, A.; Rowinsky, E.K. Mammalian target of rapamycin: A new molecular target for breast cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2003, 95, 126–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Jeno, P.; Ballou, L.M.; Novak-Hofer, I.; Thomas, G. Identification and characterization of a mitogen-activated S6 kinase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1988, 85, 406–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Nemenoff, R.A.; Price, D.J.; Mendelsohn, M.J.; Carter, E.A.; Avruch, J. An S6 kinase activated during liver regeneration is related to the insulin-stimulated S6 kinase in H4 hepatoma cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1988, 263, 19455–19460. [PubMed]

15. Koh, H.; Lee, J.; Koh, H.; Kim, D.; Yun, Y.H.; Kim, J.W.; Choi, H.S.; Chung, J. Cloning and characterization of a nuclear S6 kinase, S6 kinase-related kinase (SRK); a novel nuclear target of Akt. Oncogene 1999, 18, 5115–5119. [CrossRef]

16. Gout, I.; Minami, T.; Hara, K.; Tsujishita, Y.; Filonenko, V.; Waterfield, M.D.; Yonezawa, K. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel p70 S6 kinase, p70 S6 kinase beta containing a proline-rich region. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 30061–30064. [CrossRef]

17. Lee-Fruman, K.K.; Kuo, C.J.; Lippincott, J.; Terada, N.; Blenis, J. Characterization of S6K2, a novel kinase homologous to S6K1. Oncogene 1999, 18, 5108–5114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Saitoh, M.; ten Dijke, P.; Miyazono, K.; Ichijo, H. Cloning and characterization of p70(S6K beta) defines a novel family of p70 S6 kinases. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1998, 253, 470–476. [CrossRef]

19. Shima, H.; Pende, M.; Chen, Y.; Fumagalli, S.; Thomas, G.; Kozma, S.C. Disruption of the p70(s6k)/p85(s6k) gene reveals a small mouse phenotype and a new functional S6 kinase. EMBO J. 1998, 17, 6649–6659. [CrossRef]

20. Grover, J.R.; Banerjee, P.; Balasubramanyam, A.; Cofer, P.J.; Price, D.J.; Avruch, J.; Woodgett, J.R. Cloning and expression of two human p70 S6 kinase polypeptides differing only at their amino termini. Mol. Cell Biol. 1991, 11, 5541–5550. [CrossRef]

21. Fenton, T.R.; Gout, I.T. Functions and regulation of the 70kDa ribosomal S6 kinases. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2011, 43, 47–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Ismail, H.M. Downstream the mTOR: S6 Kinases between Divergence and Redundancy. J. Biochem. Pharmacol. Res. 2013, 1, 94–105.
23. Pardo, O.E.; Seckl, M.J. S6K2: The Neglected S6 Kinase Family Member. Front. Oncol. 2013, 3, 191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Sever, N.I.; Cengiz Sahin, S. S6K2 promises an important therapeutic potential for cancer. Future Oncol. 2019, 15, 95–102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Tavares, M.R.; Pavan, I.C.; Amaral, C.L.; Meneguello, L.; Lucchesi, A.D.; Simabuco, F.M. The S6K protein family in health and disease. Life Sci. 2015, 131, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Karlsson, E.; Magic, I.; Bostner, J.; Dyrag, C.; Lysholm, F.; Hallbeck, A.L.; Stal, O.; Lundstrom, P. Revealing Different Roles of the mTOR-Targets S6K1 and S6K2 in Breast Cancer by Expression Profiling and Structural Analysis. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, e0145013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Sridharan, S.; Basu, A. S6 kinase 2 promotes breast cancer cell survival via Akt. Cancer Res. 2011, 71, 2590–2599. [CrossRef]
28. Burnett, P.E.; Blackshaw, S.; Lai, M.M.; Qureshi, I.A.; Burnett, A.F.; Sabatini, D.M.; Snyder, S.H. Neurabin is a synaptic protein linking p70 S6 kinase and the neuronal cytoskeleton. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998, 95, 8351–8356. [CrossRef]
29. Sabatini, D.M. mTOR and cancer: Insights into a complex relationship. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2006, 6, 729–734. [CrossRef]
30. Borkowska, J.; Schwartz, R.A.; Kotulska, K.; Jozwiak, S. Tuberous sclerosis complex: Tumors and tumorigenesis. Int. J. Dermatol. 2011, 50, 13–20. [CrossRef]
31. Garami, A.; Zwartkruis, F.J.; Nobukuni, T.; Joaquín, M.; Roccio, M.; Hafen, E.; Bos, J.L.; Thomas, G. Insulin activation of Rheb, a mediator of mTOR/S6K4/E-BP signaling, is inhibited by TSC1 and 2. Mol. Cell 2003, 11, 1457–1466. [CrossRef]
32. Castro, A.F.; Rehbn, J.F.; Clark, G.J.; Quilliam, L.A. Rheb binds tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2) and promotes S6 kinase activation in a rapamycin- and farnesylation-dependent manner. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 32493–32496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
33. Chung, J.; Kuo, C.J.; Crabtree, G.R.; Blenis, J. Rapamycin-FKBP specifically blocks growth-dependent activation of and signaling by the 70 kd S6 protein kinases. Cell 1992, 69, 1227–1236. [CrossRef]
34. Kuo, C.J.; Chung, J.; Fiorentino, D.F.; Flanagan, W.M.; Blenis, J.; Crabtree, G.R. Rapamycin selectively inhibits interleukin-2 activation of the p70 S6 kinase. Nature 1992, 358, 70–73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Price, D.J.; Grove, J.R.; Calvo, V.; Avruch, J.; Bierer, B.E. Rapamycin-induced inhibition of the 70-kilodalton S6 protein kinase. Science 1992, 257, 973–977. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Weng, Q.P.; Kozlowski, M.; Belham, C.; Zhang, A.; Comb, M.J.; Avruch, J. Regulation of the p70 S6 kinase by phosphorylation in vivo. Analysis using site-specific anti-phosphopeptide antibodies. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 16621–16629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Schalm, S.S.; Blenis, J. Identification of a conserved motif required for mTOR signaling. Curr. Biol. 2002, 12, 632–639. [CrossRef]
38. Yonezawa, K.; Tokunaga, C.; Oshiro, N.; Yoshino, K. Raptor, a binding partner of target of rapamycin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2004, 313, 437–441. [CrossRef]
39. Mora, A.; Komander, D.; van Aalten, D.M.; Alessi, D.R. PDK1, the master regulator of AGC kinase signal transduction. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2004, 15, 161–170. [CrossRef]
40. Mukhopadhyay, N.K.; Price, D.J.; Kyriakis, J.M.; Pelech, S.; Sanghera, J.; Avruch, J. An array of insulin-activated, proline-directed serine/threonine protein kinases phosphorylate the p70 S6 kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 1992, 267, 3325–3335.
41. Pardo, O.E.; Arcano, A.; Salerno, G.; Tetley, T.D.; Valovka, T.; Gout, J.; Seckl, M.J. Novel cross talk between MEK and S6K2 in FGF-2 induced proliferation of SCLC cells. Oncogene 2001, 20, 7658–7667. [CrossRef]
42. Martin, K.A.; Schalm, S.S.; Romanelli, A.; Keon, K.L.; Blenis, J. Ribosomal S6 kinase 2 inhibition by a potent C-terminal repressor domain is relieved by mitogen-activated protein-extracellular signal-regulated kinase-regulated phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 276, 7892–7898. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Bettoun, D.J.; Buck, D.W., 2nd; Lu, J.; Khalifa, B.; Chin, W.W.; Nagpal, S. A vitamin D receptor-Ser/Thr phosphatase-p70 S6 kinase complex and modulation of its enzymatic activities by the ligand. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 24847–24850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Petritsch, C.; Beug, H.; Balmain, A.; Oft, M. TGF-beta inhibits p70 S6 kinase via protein phosphatase 2A to induce G1 arrest. Genes Dev. 2000, 14, 3093–3101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Peterson, R.T.; Desai, B.N.; Hardwick, J.S.; Schreiber, S.L. Protein phosphatase 2A interacts with the 70-kDa S6 kinase and is activated by inhibition of FKBP12-rapamycin-associated protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1999, 96, 4438–4442. [CrossRef]

46. Parrott, L.A.; Templeton, D.J. Osmotic stress inhibits p70/S6 kinase through activation of a protein phosphatase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999, 274, 24731–24736. [CrossRef]

47. Liu, J.; Stevens, P.D.; Gao, T. mTOR-dependent regulation of PHLP expression controls the rapamycin sensitivity in cancer cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, 286, 6510–6520. [CrossRef]

48. Liu, J.; Stevens, P.D.; Li, X.; Schmidt, M.D.; Gao, T. PHLP-mediated dephosphorylation of S6K1 inhibits protein translation and cell growth. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 2011, 31, 4917–4927. [CrossRef]

49. Park, I.H.; Bachmann, R.; Shirazi, H.; Chen, J. Regulation of ribosomal S6 kinase 2 by mammalian target of rapamycin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 31423–31429. [CrossRef]

50. Valovka, T.; Verdir, F.; Cramer, R.; Zhyvoloup, A.; Fenton, T.; Rehbolz, H.; Wang, M.L.; Gzhegotsky, M.; et al. Nuclear export of S6K1 II is regulated by protein kinase CK2 phosphorylation at Ser-17. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006, 281, 31188–31201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Panasyuk, G.; Nemazanyy, I.; Zhyvoloup, A.; Bretnet, M.; Litchfield, D.W.; Filonenko, V.; Gout, I.T. The ubiquitination of ribosomal S6 kinases is independent from the mitogen-induced phosphorylation/activation of the kinase. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2009, 41, 828–833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Rosner, M.; Hengstschlager, M. The RSK family of kinases: Emerging roles in cellular signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2008, 9, 747–758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Rebholz, H.; Panasyuk, G.; Fenton, T.; Nemazanyy, I.; Valovka, T.; Flajolet, M.; Stephens, L.; West, A.; Gout, I.T. Receptor association and tyrosine phosphorylation of S6 kinases. *Science* 1999, 285, 1216–1219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Fenton, T.; Gwalter, J.; Ericsson, J.; Gout, I.T. Histone acetyltransferases interact with and acetylate p70 ribosomal S6 kinases in vitro and in vivo. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2010, 42, 359–366. [CrossRef]

55. Nardella, C.; Lunardi, A.; Fedele, G.; Colobessi, J.G.; Alimonti, A.; Kozma, S.C.; Thomas, G.; Loda, M.; Pandolfi, P.P. Differentiation of S6K2 dictates tissue-specific requirement for S6K1 in mediating aberrant mTORC1 signaling and tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2011, 71, 3669–3675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Wang, M.L.; Panasyuk, G.; Gwalter, J.; Nemazanyy, I.; Valovka, T.; Flajolet, M.; Ronnstrand, L.; Stephens, L.; Klumperman, J.; D’Afflitti, J.; et al. MicroRNA-193a-3p and -5p suppress the metastasis of human non-small-cell lung cancer by downregulating the ERBB4/PIK3R3/mTOR/S6K2 signaling pathway. *Oncogene* 2015, 34, 413–423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Gwalter, J.; Wang, M.L.; Gout, I. The ubiquitination of ribosomal S6 kinases is independent from the mitogen-induced phosphorylation/activation of the kinase. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2004, 36, 382–387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Wang, M.L.; Panasyuk, G.; Gwalter, J.; Nemazanyy, I.; Fenton, T.; Filonenko, V.; Gout, I.T. Regulation of ribosomal protein S6 kinases by ubiquitination. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 369, 382–387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Fenton, T.R.; Gwalter, J.; Ericsson, J.; Gout, I.T. Histone acetyltransferases interact with and acetylate p70 ribosomal S6 kinases in vitro and in vivo. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2010, 42, 359–366. [CrossRef]

60. Montagne, J.; Stewart, M.J.; Stocker, H.; Hafen, E.; Kozma, S.C.; Thomas, G. Drosophila S6 kinase: A regulator of cell size and glucose homeostasis. *Genes Dev.* 2005, 19, 2199–2211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
65. Ruvinsky, I.; Katz, M.; Dreazen, A.; Gielchinsky, Y.; Saada, A.; Freedman, N.; Mishani, E.; Zimmerman, G.; Kasir, J.; Meyuhas, O. Mouse deficient in ribosomal protein S6 phosphorylation suffer from muscle weakness that reflects a growth defect and energy deficit. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e5618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Raught, B.; Peiretti, F.; Gingras, A.C.; Livingstone, M.; Shahbazian, D.; Mayeur, G.L.; Polakiewicz, R.D.; Sonenberg, N.; Hershey, J.W. Phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4B Ser422 is modulated by S6 kinases. EMBO J. 2004, 23, 1761–1769. [CrossRef]

67. Wang, X.; Li, W.; Williams, M.; Terada, N.; Alessi, D.R.; Proud, C.G. Regulation of elongation factor 2 kinase by p90(RSK1) and p70 S6 kinase. EMBO J. 2001, 20, 4370–4379. [CrossRef]

68. Dorrello, N.V.; Peschiaroli, A.; Guardavaccaro, D.; Colburn, N.H.; Sherman, N.E.; Pagano, M. S6K1- and betaTRCP-mediated degradation of PDCD4 promotes protein translation and cell growth. Science 2006, 314, 467–471. [CrossRef]

69. Harrington, L.S.; Findlay, G.M.; Gray, A.; Tolkacheva, T.; Rehbolz, H.; Barnett, J.; Leslie, N.R.; Cheng, S.; Shepherd, P.R.; et al. The TSC1-2 tumor suppressor controls insulin-PI3K signaling via regulation of IRS proteins. J. Cell. Biol. 2004, 166, 213–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Zhang, J.; Gao, Z.; Yin, J.; Quon, M.J.; Ye, J. S6K directly phosphorylates IRS-1 on Ser-270 to promote insulin resistance in response to TNF-(alpha) signaling through IKK2. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 35375–35382. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Shah, O.J.; Wang, Z.; Hunter, T. Inappropriate activation of the TSC/Rheb/mTOR/S6K cassette induces IRS1/2 depletion, insulin resistance, and cell survival deficiencies. Curr. Biol. 2004, 14, 1650–1656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Treins, C.; Warne, P.H.; Magnuson, M.A.; Pendle, M.; Downward, J. Rictor is a novel target of p70 S6 kinase-1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2009, 29, 1048–1062. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Ismail, H.M.; Myronova, O.; Tsuchiya, Y.; Niewiarowski, A.; Tsaneva, I.; Gout, I. Identification of the general transcription factor Yin Yang 1 as a novel and specific binding partner for S6 kinase 2. Cell Signal. 2013, 25, 1054–1063. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Barlund, M.; Forozan, F.; Kononen, J.; Bubendorf, L.; Chen, Y.; Bittner, M.L.; Torhorst, J.; Haas, P.; Bucher, C.; Sauter, G.; et al. Detecting activation of ribosomal protein S6 kinase by complementary DNA and tissue microarray analysis. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2000, 92, 1252–1259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
84. Perez-Tenorio, G.; Karlsson, E.; Waltersson, M.A.; Olsson, B.; Holmlund, B.; Nordenskjold, B.; Fornander, T.; Skoog, L.; Stal, O. Clinical potential of the mTOR targets S6K1 and S6K2 in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat* 2011, 128, 713–723. [CrossRef]

85. Van der Hage, J.A.; van den Broek, L.J.; Legrand, C.; Claehsen, P.C.; Bosch, C.J.; Robanus-Maandag, E.C.; van de Velde, C.J.; van de Vijver, M.J. Overexpression of P70 S6 kinase protein is associated with increased risk of locoregional recurrence in node-negative premenopausal early breast cancer patients. *Br. J. Cancer* 2004, 90, 1543–1550. [CrossRef]

86. Karlsson, E.; Waltersson, M.A.; Bostner, J.; Perez-Tenorio, G.; Olsson, B.; Hallbeck, A.L.; Stal, O. High-resolution genomic analysis of the 11q13 amplicon in breast cancers identifies synergy with 8p12 amplification, involving the mTOR targets S6K2 and 4EBP1. *Genes Chromosom. Cancer* 2011, 50, 775–787. [CrossRef]

87. Lyzogubov, V.; Khozhaenko, Y.; Usenko, V.; Antonjuk, S.; Ovcharenko, G.; Tikhonkova, I.; Filonenko, V. Immunohistochemical analysis of Ki-67, PCNA and S6K1/2 expression in human breast cancer. *Exp. Oncol.* 2005, 27, 141–144.

88. Filonenko, V.V.; Tytarenko, R.; Azatjan, S.K.; Savinska, L.O.; Gaydar, Y.A.; Gout, I.T.; Usenko, V.S.; Lyzogubov, V.V. Immunohistochemical analysis of S6K1 and S6K2 localization in human breast tumors. *Exp. Oncol.* 2004, 26, 294–299.

89. Bostner, J.; Karlsson, E.; Eding, C.B.; Perez-Tenorio, G.; Franzen, H.; Konstantinell, A.; Fornander, T.; Nordenskjold, B.; Stal, O. S6 kinase signaling: Tamoxifen response and prognostic indication in two breast cancer cohorts. *Endocr.-Related Cancer* 2015, 22, 331–343. [CrossRef]

90. Kosach, V.; Shkarina, K.; Kravchenko, A.; Tereshchenko, Y.; Kovalchuk, E.; Skoroda, L.; Krotevych, M.; Khouruzhenko, A. Nucleocytoplasmic distribution of S6K1 depends on the density and motility of MCF-7 cells in vitro. *F1000Res* 2018, 7, 1332. [CrossRef]

91. Ali, S.; Coombes, R.C. Estrogen receptor alpha in human breast cancer: Occurrence and significance. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* 2000, 5, 271–281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

92. Manavathi, B.; Dey, O.; Gajulapalli, V.N.; Bhatia, R.S.; Bugide, S.; Kumar, R. Derailed estrogen signaling and breast cancer: An authentic couple. *Endocr. Rev.* 2013, 34, 1–32. [CrossRef]

93. Yamnik, R.L.; Digilova, A.; Davis, D.C.; Brodt, Z.N.; Murphy, C.J.; Holz, M.K. S6 kinase 1 regulates estrogen receptor alpha in control of breast cancer cell proliferation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2009, 284, 6361–6369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Weitsman, G.E.; Li, L.; Skliris, G.P.; Davie, J.R.; Ung, K.; Niu, Y.; Curtis-Snell, L.; Tomes, L.; Watson, P.H.; Murphy, L.C. Estrogen receptor-alpha phosphorylated at Ser118 is present at the promoters of estrogen-regulated genes and is not altered due to HER-2 overexpression. *Cancer Res.* 2006, 66, 10162–10170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. Guo, J.P.; Shu, S.K.; Esposito, N.N.; Coppola, D.; Koemen, J.M.; Cheng, J.Q. IKKepsilon phosphorylation of estrogen receptor alpha Ser-167 and contribution to tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010, 285, 3676–3684. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Maruani, D.M.; Spiegel, T.N.; Harris, E.N.; Shachter, A.S.; Unger, H.A.; Herrero-Gonzalez, S.; Holz, M.K. Estrogenic regulation of S6K1 expression creates a positive regulatory loop in control of breast cancer cell proliferation. *Oncogene* 2012, 31, 5073–5080. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Holz, M.K. The role of S6K1 in ER-positive breast cancer. *Cell Cycle* 2012, 11, 3159–3165. [CrossRef]

98. Becker, M.A.; Ibrahim, Y.H.; Cui, X.; Lee, A.V.; Yee, D. The IGF pathway regulates ERalpha through a S6K1-dependent mechanism in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2011, 25, 516–528. [CrossRef]

99. Citro, S.; Miccolo, C.; Meloni, L.; Chiocca, S. PI3K/mTOR mediate mitogen-dependent HDAC1 phosphorylation in breast cancer: A novel regulation of estrogen receptor expression. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2015, 7, 132–142. [CrossRef]

100. Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group. Systemic treatment of early breast cancer by hormonal, cytotoxic, or immune therapy. 133 randomised trials involving 31,000 recurrences and 24,000 deaths among 75,000 women. *Lancet* 1992, 339, 1–15.

101. Curtis, C.; Shah, S.P.; Chin, S.F.; Turschivili, G.; Rueda, O.M.; Dunning, M.J.; Speed, D.; Lynch, A.G.; Samarajiva, S.; Yuan, Y.; et al. The genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel subgroups. *Nature* 2012, 486, 346–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
102. Lambrechts, D.; Truong, T.; Justenhoven, C.; Humphreys, M.K.; Wang, J.; Hopper, J.L.; Dite, G.S.; Apicella, C.; Southey, M.C.; Schmidt, M.K.; et al. 11q13 is a susceptibility locus for hormone receptor positive breast cancer. *Hum. Mutat.* **2012**, *33*, 1123–1132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

103. Loo, L.W.; Grove, D.I.; Williams, E.M.; Neal, C.L.; Cousens, L.A.; Schubert, E.L.; Holcomb, I.N.; Massa, H.F.; Glogovac, J.; Li, C.I.; et al. Array comparative genomic hybridization analysis of genomic alterations in breast cancer subtypes. *Cancer Res.* **2004**, *64*, 8541–8549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Fantl, V.; Richards, M.A.; Smith, R.; Lammie, G.A.; Johnstone, G.; Allen, D.; Gregory, W.; Peters, G.; Dickson, C.; Barnes, D.M. Gene amplification on chromosome band 11q13 and oestrogen receptor status in breast cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer* **1990**, *26*, 423–429. [CrossRef]

105. Manna, S.; Bostner, J.; Sun, Y.; Miller, L.D.; Alayev, A.; Schwartz, N.S.; Lager, E.; Fornander, T.; Nordenskjold, B.; Karlsson, E.; Perez-Tenorio, G.; Amin, R.; Bostner, J.; Skoog, L.; Fornander, T.; Sgroi, D.C.; Nordenskjold, B.; Holz, M.K. ERRalpha regulates the growth of triple-negative breast cancer cells via S6K1-dependent mechanism. *Signal. Transduct. Target Ther.* **2017**, *2*, 12. [CrossRef]

106. Kim, E.K.; Kim, H.A.; Koh, J.S.; Kim, M.S.; Kim, K.I.; Lee, J.I.; Moon, N.M.; Ko, E.; Noh, W.C. Phosphorylated S6K1 is a possible marker for endocrine therapy resistance in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **2011**, *126*, 93–99. [CrossRef]

107. Ma, B.L.; Shan, M.H.; Sun, G.; Ren, G.H.; Dong, C.; Yao, X.; Zhou, M. Immunohistochemical analysis of phosphorylated mammalian target of rapamycin and its downstream signaling components in invasive breast cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2015**, *12*, 5246–5254. [CrossRef]

108. Ranhotra, H.S. The estrogen-related receptors in metabolism and cancer: Newer insights. *J. Recept. Signal. Transduct. Res.* **2018**, *38*, 95–100. [CrossRef]

109. Berman, A.Y.; Manna, S.; Schwartz, N.S.; Katz, Y.E.; Sun, Y.; Behrmann, C.A.; Yu, J.J.; Plas, D.R.; Alayev, A.; Holz, M.K. ERRalpha is a marker of tamoxifen response and survival in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2016**, *22*, 1421–1431. [CrossRef]

110. Janaki Ramaiah, M.; Lavanya, A.; Honarpisheh, M.; Zarea, M.; Bhadra, U.; Bhadra, M.P. MiR-15 targets p70S6 kinase 1 and controls cell proliferation in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. *Gene* **2014**, *552*, 255–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Razaviyan, J.; Hadavi, R.; Tavakoli, R.; Kamani, F.; Paknejad, M.; Mohammadi-Yeganeh, S. Expression of miRNAs Targeting mTOR and S6K1 Genes of mTOR Signaling Pathway Including miR-96, miR-557, and miR-3182 in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *186*, 1074–1089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

112. Li, M.; Qian, X.; Zhu, M.; Li, A.; Fang, M.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, J. miR1273g3p promotes proliferation, migration and invasion of LoVo cells via cannabinoid receptor 1 through activation of ERBB4/PIK3R3/mTOR/S6K2 signaling pathway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2018**, *17*, 4619–4626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Sridharan, S.; Xuan, Z.; Basu, A. Ribosomal S6 Kinase 2 Promotes Survival of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells to Apoptotic Stimuli. *Cancer Studies Therap.* **2019**, *4*, 1–6.

114. Choi, H.N.; Jin, H.O.; Kim, J.H.; Hong, S.E.; Kim, H.A.; Kim, E.K.; Lee, J.K.; Park, I.C.; Noh, W.C. Inhibition of S6K1 enhances glucose deprivation-induced cell death via downregulation of anti-apoptotic proteins in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2013**, *432*, 123–128. [CrossRef]

115. Hong, S.E.; Kim, E.K.; Jin, H.O.; Kim, H.A.; Lee, J.K.; Koh, J.S.; Seol, H.; Kim, J.I.; Park, I.C.; Noh, W.C. S6K1 inhibition enhances tamoxifen-induced cell death in MCF-7 cells through translational inhibition of Mcl-1 and survivin. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* **2013**, *29*, 273–282. [CrossRef]

116. Park, J.A.; Jin, H.O.; Lee, H.N.; Kim, J.H.; Park, I.C.; Noh, W.C.; Chang, Y.H.; Hong, Y.J.; Kim, K.C.; Lee, J.K. S6K1 inhibition enhances the apoptotic cell death of breast cancer cells in response to Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibition by the downregulation of survivin. *Oncol. Lett.* **2015**, *10*, 829–834. [CrossRef]
138. Lyzogubov, V.V.; Lytvyn, D.I.; Dudchenko, T.M.; Lubchenko, N.V.; Pogrybniy, P.V.; Nespryadko, S.V.; Vinnitska, A.B.; Usenko, V.S.; Gout, I.T.; Filonenko, V.V. Immunohistochemical analysis of S6K1 and S6K2 expression in endometrial adenocarcinomas. *Exp. Oncol.* 2004, 26, 287–293.

139. Yoshida, S.; Matsumoto, K.; Arao, T.; Taniguchi, H.; Goto, I.; Hanafusa, T.; Nishio, K.; Yamada, Y. Gene amplification of ribosomal protein S6 kinase-1 and -2 in gastric cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2013, 33, 469–475.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).