Influence of Additives on the Fatigue Life and Stiffness of Asphalt Concrete
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Abstract: Implementation of additives to the asphalt binder can enhance the overall physical properties of the modified asphalt concrete. In the present assessment, an attempt has been made to use 2% of silica fumes and 4% of fly ash class F for modification of asphalt binder in wet process. Asphalt concrete wearing course mixtures have been prepared and compacted by roller in the laboratory. The beam specimens of 400 mm length and 50 mm height and 63 mm width were extracted from the slab samples. The specimens were subjected to the four-point repeated flexural bending beam test. The flexural stiffness was calculated under three constant micro strain levels of (250, 400, and 750). The fatigue life was monitored in terms the number of load repetitions to reach the required reduction in stiffness. It was concluded that the flexural stiffness increases by (11, and 15)%, (17.7, and 63.6)%, (57.2, and 65)% when 2% of silica fumes or 4% of fly ash are implemented and the specimen’s practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively. However, the fatigue life of asphalt concrete beam specimens increases by (40, and 72.8)%, (115, and 220.6)%, (46, and 94.6)% when 2% of silica fumes or 4% of fly ash are implemented and the specimen’s practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively. It is recommended to use modified binder with fly ash and silica fumes in asphalt concrete to enhance the fatigue life and stiffness.
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Introduction

Adhikari and You, [1] used beam fatigue test to evaluate the fatigue life and flexural stiffness of the asphalt concrete beam specimens. A frequency level of 10Hz, 5Hz, and 1Hz and a constant strain levels of 400, 300, and 200 micro strains have been implemented. It was reported that fatigue life declines when the asphalt concrete beam was tested with high loading frequency and high strain level. However, the fatigue life of asphalt concrete was high at low strain level and low loading frequency. Kakade et al., [2] investigated the influence of different proportions of hydrated lime additive on the rutting behavior of bituminous mixes. The rutting behavior of lime modified, and control binders have been determined in terms of the Superpave binder rutting parameter. It was concluded that rutting behavior of asphalt concrete mixtures improved significantly after modification with lime. It was also noticed that the influence of lime addition on rutting control at hot environment was realized. Al-Mohammedawi and Mollenhauer, [3] identified the influence of active fillers such as limestone, cement, ladle slag, and silica fume on the fatigue behavior and the rheological properties of cold bitumen emulsion mastic. The investigation was accompanied by the chemical analysis for the emulsified bitumen-filler. The emulsified bitumen was mixed separately with the fillers to preparation of the mastics. Test results exhibit that the fatigue damage resistance and the rheological performance depend on the filler type and chemistry of the mixture. It was concluded that the addition of mineral fillers can significantly change the fatigue damage resistance and the rheological response of the mastic as reported by Lesueur et al., [4]. Buczy et al., [5] stated that the mineral fillers can be grouped chemically into inactive and active fillers, depending on their reactivity within the bitumen emulsion. Limestone is classified as inactive filler although it is considered as inert filler, because of its mineral composition. It is usually implemented as a regulator for the stiffness by adding it as a solid particle to the binder matrix. Underwood and Kim, [6] reported that fillers can strengthen the asphalt binder through the three main mechanisms of chemical interaction, volume filling, and particle geometry. The
volume filling and particle geometry are considered as a possible mechanical reinforcement. Khan et al., [7] assessed the influence of different fillers on some properties of asphalt concrete mixtures. Two filler types, silica fumes and marble dust were used to investigate the impact of filler / asphalt ratio on the properties of asphalt mixtures. It was concluded that the mixtures with 50% silica fume and 50% marble dust have greater stability than all the other percentages used in a Marshall mix. It has also void content of 6.8 which is within the specification. All other air voids results are out of range. All other percentages of filler have lower stability and voids which are out of range. Mixture having 50% silica & 50% marble dust has only 13.5 mm flow value which is greater than all other percentages. Ullah et al., [8] investigated two additives for partial substitution of fillers (Cement and Lime) which were used with two different types of aggregates quarries for evaluation of the best combination of both fillers and aggregates quarry to control the service life of asphalt concrete pavements. It was concluded that replacing the aggregate filler with cement and hydrated lime exhibits a positive influence on the performance of asphalt concrete. Partial Substitution of the filler has improved the rutting behavior at hot environment. At the same time, partial substitution of the filler has improved the adhesion properties as compared with that of the control mixture. The addition of different fillers such as cement, fly ash, hydrated lime, silica fume, and bag-house fines are known to increase the rutting resistance of asphalt concrete as stated by Bahia et al., [9]. Wang et al.,[10] revealed that implementation of cement with filler material in asphalt concrete mixture can improve the stripping resistance, stiffness, and strength of asphalt concrete. Cement exhibits a similar influence on that of mineral filler on the volumetric and physical properties of asphalt concrete. Sarsam, [11] concluded that the stiffness of asphalt concrete is susceptible to aging, the increase in Microstrain level leads into a remarkable decline in initial and failure stiffness’s. The stiffness is susceptible to the testing temperature and asphalt content, lower testing temperature of 5°C exhibits higher stiffness value, while higher binder content has a negative impact on the stiffness. Sarsam and AL-Lamy, [12] revealed that the Fatigue life of asphalt concrete increases with the use of fly ash and silica fumes by (111 % and 50%) while the stiffness increased by (155 % and 124 %), respectively when it was compared with the conventional mix.

The aim of the present assessment is to implement 2 % of silica fumes and 4 % of fly ash class F for modification of asphalt binder in wet process. The influence of such additives on flexural stiffness and fatigue life will be assessed.

**The Materials Characterization**

Materials implemented in this work are locally available and usually used for asphalt pavement construction.

**The Asphalt Cement**

Asphalt cement of 40-50 penetration grad was implemented in this work. It was obtained from AL-Nasiriya Refinery. Physical properties of asphalt binder are listed in Table 1.

**Fine and Coarse Aggregates**

Crushed coarse aggregates having a nominal maximum size of 19 mm and natural and crushed sand mixture was implemented as Fine aggregate. The aggregates were obtained from AL-Ukhaidar quarry. The aggregates were washed, then air dried and sieved into different sizes. The physical properties of aggregates are demonstrated in Table 2.

**The Mineral Filler**

The mineral filler implemented in the present investigation is the limestone dust which was obtained from Karbala governorate. The filler passes sieve No.200 (0.075mm). The physical properties of the mineral filler are presented in Table 3.

**The Fly Ash**

Fly ash of class F was implemented as an additive, it was obtained from local market. The physical properties of the additive are listed in Table 4, while the chemical composition of Fly Ash is shown in Table 5.

**The Silica Fumes**

Silica fumes was prepared as fluffy powder, it was obtained from local market; Table 6 presents its chemical composition. Table 7 shows the physical properties of the Silica fumes.

**Selection of Aggregate Gradation**

The selected aggregates gradation in the present investigation follows SCRB, [14] limitations for wearing course pavement layer with dense gradation. It has 12.5 mm nominal maximum size of aggregates. Table 8 shows the implemented aggregate gradation.
Table 1. The Physical properties of asphalt cement

| Property             | Testing condition            | ASTM, Designation No. [13] | Value | SCRB, Specifications [14] |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Penetration          | 100 gm, 25˚C, 5 seconds      | D5-06                      | 42    | 40-50                    |
| Softening Point      | (Ring and Ball)              | D36-895                    | 49    | -                        |
| Ductility            | 25˚C ,5cm/minutes            | D113-99                    | 100 + | >100                     |
| Specific Gravity     | 25˚C                         | D70                        | 1.04  | -                        |

After thin film oven test properties according to ASTM D1754-97

| Property             | Testing condition            | ASTM, Designation No.      | Value | Specifications            |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Penetration          | 100 gm, 25˚C, 5 seconds      | D5-06                      | 33    | -                        |
| Ductility of Residue | 25˚C ,5cm/mi                 | D113-99                    | 83    | -                        |

Table 2. Physical Properties of Fine and Coarse Aggregate

| Property             | Value        | ASTM, [13] Designation No. |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Coarse Aggregate     |              |                            |
| Bulk specific gravity| 2.542        | C127-01                    |
| Water absorption %   | 1.076%       | C127-01                    |
| Wear % (lose Angeles’s abrasion) | 18% | C131-03                   |
| Fine Aggregate       |              |                            |
| Bulk specific gravity| 2.558        | C128-01                    |
| Water absorption %   | 1.83%        | C128-01                    |

Table 3. The Physical Properties of limestone dust (Mineral Filler)

| Bulk specific gravity | % Passing Sieve 0.075 mm |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 2.617                 | 94                       |

Table 4. The Physical Properties of Fly Ash

| Sieve size (mm) | % passing | Specific gravity | Specific surface area (m²/kg) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| 0.075           | 98        | 2.645           | 650                          |

Table 5. The Chemical Composition of Fly Ash

| Chemical composition | Percent | ASTM C-618, [13] Requirement (%) |
|----------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| SiO₂                 | 61.95   | ..........                        |
| Fe₂O₃                | 2.67    | ..........                        |
| Al₂O₃                | 28.82   | ..........                        |
| CaO                  | 0.88    | ..........                        |
| MgO                  | 0.34    | 5.0 max                         |
| Na₂O                 | 0.26    | 1.5 max                         |
| Loss on ignition     | 0.86    | 6.0 max                         |
### Table 6. The Chemical Components of Silica Fumes

| Chemical Composition | Percent |
|----------------------|---------|
| SiO₂                 | 99.1    |
| Fe₂O₃                | 35.0 P.P.M |
| Al₂O₃                | <0.035  |
| TiO₂                 | <0.006  |
| CaO₂                 | 0.03    |
| MgO                  | 52.0 P.P.M |
| SO₃                  | <0.07   |
| Loss on ignition     | 0.7     |

### Table 7. The Physical Properties of Silica Fumes

| Sieve size (mm) | PH value | Density (kg/m³) | Specific surface area (m²/kg) |
|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 100 % Passing sieve of 0.075 mm | 4.5 | 2.6455 | 200000 |

### Table 8. The Combined Gradation Implemented for Wearing Course as per SCRB, 2003[14]

| Sieve size (mm) | 19 | 12.5 | 9.5 | 4.75 | 2.36 | 0.3 | 0.75 |
|-----------------|----|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|
| Implemented gradation | 100 | 95   | 83  | 59   | 43   | 13  | 7    |
| SCRB, [14] limitations | 100 | 90-100 | 76-90 | 44-74 | 28-58 | 5-12 | 4-10 |

### Preparation of Modified Asphalt Cement

Modified asphalt binder is prepared by implementing the wet process technique. In this process, asphalt cement was heated to 150 °C. The fly ash or silica fumes were added in powder form using various percentages of each additive. The mixture was blended in a mixer at a blending speed of 1300 rpm and the mixing temperatures of 160 °C was maintained for 20 minutes to enable the possible chemical and physical bonding of the components. The optimum percentages of fly ash and Silica fumes are (4 and 2) % by weight of binder respectively. Details of the mixing procedure and selection of the optimum percentages could be found in Sarsam and Al-Lamy, [12].

### Preparation of Asphalt Concrete Mixture and Specimens

The fine and coarse aggregates were combined with the mineral filler to meet the specified gradation for wearing course. The combined aggregates were then heated to 160 °C. The asphalt cement or the modified asphalt binder was heated to 150 °C so that it can produce a kinematic viscosity of (170±20) centistokes as recommended by SCRB, [14]. Then, the asphalt cement binder was added to the heated combined aggregates mixture to achieve the desired amount and mixed thoroughly for two minutes until all aggregate particles were coated with the binder. The mixture had practiced a short-term ageing process for 4 hours at temperature of 135 °C according to AASHTO R-30, [15]. The optimum binder content of 4.9% was implemented. The optimum binder percentage was determined based on Marshall trial mixes using various asphalt percentages. Details of obtaining the optimum binder content could be found in Sarsam and Al-Lamy, [16]. The short-term aged mixtures were casted in a slab mold of (40 x 30 x 6) cm and subjected to roller compaction to the target bulk density for each binder type according to EN12697-33, [17]. The applied static load was 5 kN while the number of load passes depended on the asphalt type in mixture and was determined based on trial-and-error process. Details of the compaction process could be referred to Sarsam, [11]. The compaction temperature was maintained to 150 °C. Slab samples were left to cool overnight. Beam specimens of 63±2 mm width and 50±2 mm high, and 400 mm length were obtained from the compacted slab sample using the Diamond-saw.
The total number of beam specimens obtained was twelve, while the number of casted slabs was three.

Repeated Flexural Bending Beam Test

The four-point repeated flexural bending beam test was implemented according to AASHTO T321, [18] to identify the impact of additives on the fatigue life and flexural stiffness of asphalt concrete beam specimens at intermediate pavement operating temperature of 20 °C and under constant strain level. During the flexural fatigue test, the asphalt concrete beam is subjected to repeated four-point loading. The load frequency is usually set 5 Hz, and the vertical deformation caused by the loading is detected at the center of the beam. A repeated haversine (sinusoidal) load is applied to the two inner clamps on the asphalt concrete beam specimen while the outer clamps are providing a reaction load. This setup of the beam specimen produces a constant bending moment over the central portion of the beam (between the two inside clamps). The asphalt concrete beam specimens were subjected to a repeated load at a constant strain level. Three different Microstrain levels of 250, 400, and 750 were tried to simulate various modes of loading in the field.

Discussion of Test Results

Influence of Additives on Flexural Stiffness of Asphalt Concrete

As demonstrated in Figure 1, implementation of additives exhibits positive influence on the flexural stiffness regardless of the microstrain level implemented. However, as the strain level increases, the flexural stiffness declines regardless of the additives implemented. The flexural stiffness increases by (11, and 15) %, (17.7, and 63.6) %, (57.2, and 65) % when 2% of silica fumes or 4 % of fly ash are implemented and the specimen's practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively. On the other hand, when the strain level decline from 250 to 400 and 750, the flexural stiffness declines by (60.3, and 71.4), (70.3, and 79.7), (60.7, and 80) for control, modified mixture with 2 % silica fumes and modified mixture with 4 % fly ash respectively. Similar findings were reported by Bahia et al., [9] and Sarsam, [11].

Influence of Additives on the Fatigue Life of Asphalt Concrete

Figure 2 exhibit the impact of additives on fatigue life of asphalt concrete beam specimens. It can be noted that implementation of additives exhibits positive influence on the fatigue life of asphalt concrete regardless of the microstrain level implemented. However, as the strain level increases, the fatigue life declines regardless of the additives implemented. The fatigue life increases by (40, and 72.8) %, (115, and 220.6) %, (46, and 94.6) % when 2% of silica fumes or 4 % of fly ash are implemented and the specimen's practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively. On the other hand, when the level of strain decline from 250 to 400 and 750, the fatigue life declines by (83.2, and 96.5), (75.2, and 96.6), (72.3, and 97) for control, modified mixture with 2 % silica fumes and modified mixture with 4 % fly ash respectively. Such behavior exhibit an agreement with the work reported by Sarsam and AL-Lamy, [12].

![Figure 1. Influence of Additives on Flexural Stiffness](image-url)
Influence of Additives on Fatigue Life

Figure 2. Influence of Additives on Fatigue Life

Table 9. Deterioration Parameters of Asphalt Concrete

| Mixture Type      | Slope | Mathematical Model          | R²  |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Control           | -0.326| \( Y = 5663.5 \times X^{-0.326} \) | 0.985|
| 2% Silica Fumes   | -0.322| \( Y = 6473.7 \times X^{-0.322} \) | 0.999|
| 4% Fly Ash        | -0.313| \( Y = 6546.4 \times X^{-0.313} \) | 0.995|

Influence of Additives on Rate of Deterioration of Asphalt Concrete

Figure 3 demonstrate the influence of additives on the rate of deterioration in the fatigue life of asphalt concrete beam specimens, it can be noticed that the fatigue life increases after the implementation of additives. It can be noted that the fatigue life increased after implementing silica fumes and fly ash as additives respectively. Moreover, implication of additives exhibits...
a gentle deterioration in fatigue life of asphalt concrete beam specimens as compared with control mixture. Table 9 shows that the slope declines from 0.326 for control mixture to 0.322 and 0.313 for silica fumes and fly ash treated mixtures respectively.

Conclusion

Based on the materials implemented and the limitations of testing program, the following conclusions may be addressed.

1. The flexural stiffness increases by (11, and 15) %, (17.7, and 63.6) %, (57.2, and 65) % when 2% of silica fumes or 4 % of fly ash are implemented and the specimen’s practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively.

2. The fatigue life of asphalt concrete increases by (40, and 72.8) %, (115, and 220.6) %, (46, and 94.6) % when 2% of silica fumes or 4 % of fly ash are implemented and the specimen’s practices 750, 400, and 250 microstrain levels respectively.

3. Implication of additives exhibits a gentle deterioration in the fatigue life of asphalt concrete as compared with control mixture. The slope declines from 0.326 for control mixture to 0.313 and 0.322 for fly ash and silica fumes treated mixtures respectively.

4. It is recommended to use modified binder with fly ash and silica fumes in asphalt concrete to enhance the fatigue life and stiffness.
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