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We present neutron scattering measurements on single crystals of lightly doped $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$, with $0 \leq x \leq 0.035$. These reveal the evolution of the magnetism in this prototypical doped Mott insulator from a three dimensional (3D) commensurate (C) antiferromagnetic ground state, which orders at a relatively high $T_N$, to a two dimensional (2D) incommensurate (IC) ground state with finite ranged static correlations, which appear below a relatively low effective $T_N$. At low temperatures, the 2D IC magnetism co-exists with the 3D C magnetism for doping concentrations as low as $\sim 0.0125$. We find no signal of a 3D C magnetic ground state by $x \sim 0.025$, consistent with the upper limit of $x \sim 0.02$ observed in the sister family of doped Mott insulators, $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$. The 2D IC ground states observed for $0.0125 \leq x \leq 0.035$ are diagonal, and are rotated by 45 degrees within the orthorhombic basal plane compared with those previously reported for samples with superconducting ground states: $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$, with $0.05 \leq x \leq 0.095$. We construct a phase diagram based solely on magnetic order parameter measurements, which displays much of the complexity of standard high temperature superconductivity phase diagrams discussed in the literature. Analysis of high energy-resolution inelastic neutron scattering at moderately low temperatures shows a progressive depletion of the very low energy dynamic magnetic susceptibility as $x$ increases from 0.0125 to 0.035. This low energy, dynamic susceptibility falls off with increasing temperature on a scale much higher than the effective 2D IC $T_N$ appropriate to these materials. Appreciable dynamic 2D IC magnetic fluctuations inhabit much of the “pseudogap” regime of the phase diagram.

I. INTRODUCTION

The 214 family of cuprates, $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$, and $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, are among the most studied of the high temperature superconductors (HTS) 1–3. Most of this work has focussed on $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, which has been available in large, pristine single crystal form for some time 4. Although $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ was the original HTS family to be discovered 5, its study has been greatly restricted due to the difficulty of its single crystal growth. These difficulties have now been largely overcome for relatively low doping levels: $x \leq 0.15$. While there are many similarities between the magnetic and superconducting properties of the two 214 families of HTS 6–7, there are also important differences. For example, a low temperature tetragonal phase of $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ exists for $0.05 \leq x < 0.15$ 8–10, and superconductivity is almost completely suppressed at $x = 0.125$, a phenomenon which is referred to as “the 1/8 anomaly” 11.

For HTS, the parent, undoped, compounds are Mott insulators which display three dimensional (3D), commensurate (C) antiferromagnetic (AF) ground states 12, 13. This 3D C AF ground state is remarkably sensitive to the presence of mobile, doped holes, and less sensitive to the presence of doped mobile electrons 14. For hole doping, relevant to Ba in $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$, Sr in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ and oxygen, in $YBa_2Cu_3O_6+x$, the 3D C AF ground state is very quickly destroyed 15. This occurs, for example, for $x > 0.02$ in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ 16, 17. Upon further introduction of holes, a superconducting ground state is obtained for $x \sim 0.05$ 18, 19. The superconducting $T_C$ increases with increased doping and an optimally high superconducting $T_C$ is achieved near $x \sim 0.17$ 20–22.

Two dimensional (2D) incommensurate (IC) spin structures and dynamics, exhibited by samples with hole-doping concentrations beyond those that destroy the 3D C magnetic order, have been studied in several families of HTS. Inelastic neutron scattering studies are consistent with an “hour glass” dispersion, wherein low energy spin excitations disperse out of IC wavevectors and merge or nearly-merge at the C wavevector to form a resonant spin excitation 23–30. At higher energies, the excitations disperse out from the C wavevector, before turning over near the Brillouin zone boundaries 23, 31, 32. Such a picture has been shown to be relevant even in...
the relatively low hole-doping regime of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$, where the insulating ground state is characterized by so-called “diagonal” IC spin order\textsuperscript{31}.

The resulting phase diagrams for these families of HTS materials have led some observers to conclude that magnetism and superconductivity are closely linked, as these ground states are either contiguous or almost contiguous to each other. In contrast, others have concluded these ground states compete, as each inhabits a different part of the phase diagram. From either perspective it is important that the microscopic magnetic properties be characterized and well understood across the phase diagram. Even in the underdoped, non-superconducting regime, for $x < 0.05$ in LBCO and LSCO, the magnetic phase behavior and properties change quickly with doping. This paper seeks to elucidate this evolution of magnetic properties in LBCO, using a variety of neutron scattering techniques. We specifically report on the magnetic structure and dynamics of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ for doping levels $0 \leq x \leq 0.035$, and study how the 3D C magnetism evolves into 2D IC magnetism. We construct a phase diagram for $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_3$ based solely on magnetic neutron scattering order parameter measurements and show that it possesses much of the full complexity of conventional HTS phase diagrams based on magnetic and transport measurements. Finally, using time-of-flight neutron scattering techniques, we report on low energy 2D IC spin dynamics in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ for $x \leq 0.035$. We observe the low energy dynamic susceptibility to evolve with temperature on a much higher temperature scale than that given by the effective 2D IC $T_N$ for any doping, and show that 2D IC dynamic spin correlations inhabit much of phase diagram associated with the “pseudogap” state\textsuperscript{34}.

We will focus our discussion on the 214 cuprate HTS families. For the low doping levels we are considering, $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ are isostructural\textsuperscript{35,36}. At temperatures that are high relative to room temperature, these crystals display tetragonal crystal structures with space group $I4/mmm$. As the temperature is lowered, they undergo a structural phase transition to an orthorhombic structure with space group $Bnab$. This transition occurs near $\sim 308$ K for $x \sim 0.08$ in LBCO\textsuperscript{9}, and increases in temperature with decreasing doping. We will be presenting measurements on LBCO for $x \leq 0.035$ and $T \leq 300$ K. As such, our samples are orthorhombic at all temperatures measured. The lattice parameters in the orthorhombic basal plane are similar, and this has lead some to treat the orthorhombic cell as tetragonal for convenience\textsuperscript{10}. We too shall adopt this simplification. Doing so, we label the C AF wavevector as $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, L)$ and the diagonal IC ordering wavevectors, relevant for LBCO with $x < 0.05$, as having the form $(\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, \frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, L)$ and $(\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, \frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, L)$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

High quality single crystals of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ with $x = 0, 0.006, 0.0125, 0.025$ and 0.035 were grown by floating zone image furnace techniques using a four-mirror optical furnace. The growth method has been reported on previously\textsuperscript{37,38}. The resulting samples were cylindrical in shape and weighed $\sim 7$ grams each. The crystals were all grown in the same excess oxygen atmosphere resulting in a small oxygen off-stoichiometry. This oxygen off-stoichiometry could be estimated by measuring the 3D C AF phase transition in undoped $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$, as $T_N$ is known to be sensitive to the precise value of $\delta$\textsuperscript{39}. From a determination that $T_N \sim 250$ K for our $\text{La}_2\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$ single crystal, we estimate that $\delta \approx 0.004$. We expect this to be the same for all of our $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_{4+\delta}$ samples as they were grown under similar conditions. Hereafter, we will not refer to the oxygen off-stoichiometry in the crystals.

Neutron scattering measurements were performed using both time-of-flight and triple axis spectrometers. These measurements were carried out using several different cryostats, allowing access to the approximate temperature range 1.5 K to 300 K. Three sets of triple axis neutron measurements were performed at two laboratories. All measurements were performed with the horizontal scattering plane coincident with the HK0 plane of the crystal. Two of these triple axis measurements employed a constant final energy $E_f = 14.7$ meV. The first was a set of measurements at the N5 beamline of the NRU reactor at Chalk River Laboratories, which employed a collimation of [open-36'-48'-72'] using the convention of collimation between [source-monochromator, monochromator and sample, sample and analyser, analyser and detector]. The second was a set of measurements using the HB3 instrument at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which employed [48'-40'-40'-120']. Both sets of measurements employed a pyrolytic graphite filter in the scattered beam to suppress harmonic contamination, and both had an approximate energy resolution of $\sim 1$ meV. High resolution, elastic scattering measurements were also made at Chalk River using $E_f = 5.1$ meV and collimation of [open, 12', 12', 72']. Both sets of measurements performed at Chalk River employed a cooled beryllium filter for suppression of higher harmonic incident neutrons. Time-of-flight neutron scattering measurements were performed using the NG4 Disk Chopper Spectrometer (DCS)\textsuperscript{40} at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Center for Neutron Research. All DCS measurements presented here were performed using an incident neutron wavelength of 5 Å, and a corresponding energy resolution of $\sim 0.09$ meV. The measurements at DCS were performed with the HHLL plane of the crystals coincident with the horizontal plane.
Figure 1 shows the results of such order parameter measurements for $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ for $x = 0.0125, 0.025$ and $0.035$ taken on N5 and HB3. a) Reciprocal space maps in the (HK0) plane for $x = 0.0125$ taken at $3 \text{K}$ and $125 \text{K}$. The two temperature data sets have been shifted for ease of viewing. b) Order parameter measurement for $x = 0.0125$. The solid circle data are measured at the high intensity “hot spot” identified in the (HK0) map in a). The cross hatched data are collected within the ellipse of elastic scattering in a), but away from the “hot spot”. c) and d) Low-resolution, elastic scattering measurements of the 2D IC order parameters for $x = 0.025$ and $0.035$ respectively. Dashed lines serve as guides to the eye.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic Order Parameter Measurements

Magnetic order parameter measurements of 3D Bragg peaks using triple axis spectrometers are relatively straightforward to perform, compared with the corresponding measurement of 2D Bragg signatures. This is because 3D ordered systems display Bragg spots in reciprocal space, while 2D Bragg signatures appear as rods in reciprocal space. If the strength of the elastic magnetic scattering is otherwise the same, the neutron intensity at a single $Q$ position is much larger in the 3D case as the signal from the 3D ordered state is localised at a resolution-broadened point in reciprocal space, rather than along a rod in the 2D case. Such measurements for a sample of the nominally undoped LBCO $x = 0$ yield a sharp onset to 3D C Bragg scattering at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ for $T_N = 250 \text{K}$. Similar measurements were carried out on $x = 0.006$ and $x = 0.0125$ samples.

Figure 1 shows the results of such order parameter measurements for $x = 0.0125, 0.025$, and $0.035$ LBCO samples. Figure 1 also shows high resolution elastic triple axis measurements performed with tight collimations and $5.1 \text{meV}$ neutrons for the 3D C Bragg peak near $Q = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ in the $x = 0.0125$ sample. Mesh scans in reciprocal space taken at $T = 3 \text{K}$ and $T = 125 \text{K}$ are shown in Fig. 1 a), with the two data sets artificially displaced from each other in the figure for clarity. In addition, the intensity scale of each data set has been normalised such that the peak intensity is unity. A broad, elliptical distribution of elastic scattering is observed at all temperatures below $\sim 200 \text{K}$. However, as can be seen by comparing the $T = 3 \text{K}$ map with the intensity-normalized map at $T = 125 \text{K}$ in Fig. 1 a), high intensity “hot spot” develop within the ellipse of elastic scattering for temperatures less than $\sim 150 \text{K}$. We note that the elongated nature of these peaks along the transverse direction is due to a combination of the crystal’s twinned orthorhombic structure, its mosaic, which is on the order of 1 degree, and the resolution of the spectrometer.

It is possible to follow the temperature dependence of the “hot spot” scattering and that in the weaker periphery of the ellipse. Many such measurements were made. The temperature dependence of the sum of all the Bragg scattering at high intensity hot spots and at low intensity positions within this ellipse are shown in Fig. 1 b). One can see that these two sets of temperature dependencies are the same above $\sim 150 \text{K}$, where both the hot spots and the periphery of the Bragg positions show upwards curvature as a function of decreasing temperature. Below $\sim 150 \text{K}$, the two temperature dependencies markedly depart from each other, with the intensity at the hot spot (solid circle data points) positions becoming much stronger than that at the corresponding low intensity positions (cross hatched data points). We therefore identify $T_N = 150 \text{K}$ for 3D C order in our $x = 0.0125$ single crystal sample of LBCO.

For the same $x = 0.0125$ data set at $\sim 25 \text{K}$, we observe a pronounced drop off in the intensity of the 3D C AF Bragg scattering. This can be seen in the “hot spot” order parameter of Fig. 1 b), which corresponds to the high intensity positions of the reciprocal space map shown in Fig. 1 a). As we will see, this decrease in intensity is associated with the development of co-existing 2D IC elastic scattering, which occurs with an “effective” $T_N$ of $\sim 25 \text{K}$ for $x = 0.0125$. The origin for the decomposition of the 3D C ordered state into co-existing 2D IC static order and 3D C static order is not completely clear, but has been the subject of some theoretical discussion.

Similar high-resolution elastic magnetic Bragg scattering measurements were performed on $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ with $x = 0.025$ and $0.035$, with no obvious sign of 3D C AF order in either sample. Lower-resolution elastic scattering measurements were performed using $14.7 \text{meV}$ neutrons and relatively coarse collimation, looking explicitly for 2D IC order at appropriate 2D IC diagonal wavevectors $Q = (\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, \frac{1}{2} + \delta, L)$, with $\delta \sim x$ and $L = 0$, as required for measurements within the HK0 scattering plane. The scattering at these 2D IC Bragg positions is weak even at low temperatures, as expected.
for constant-\(Q\) elastic scattering measurements of a 2D rod of scattering. Nonetheless effective 2D IC magnetic order parameters were measured and these are shown for \(x = 0.025\) and 0.035 samples in Fig. 1 c) and d) respectively. From these measurements we identify “effective” 2D \(T_N\) of \(\sim 18\) K and 23 K for \(x = 0.025\) and \(x = 0.035\), respectively.

B. Time-of-Flight Elastic Neutron Scattering Measurements

The DCS time-of-flight spectrometer was used to measure reciprocal space maps of both the elastic, \(-0.09\) meV \(\leq h\omega \leq 0.09\) meV, and inelastic magnetic scattering, \(0.09\) meV \(\leq h\omega \leq \sim 0.8\) meV, from our lightly-doped LBCO samples, as a function of temperature. Time-of-flight data are shown in Figs. 2, 3, and 4. Figure 2 shows maps of the elastic scattering within the HHL scattering plane around \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, L)\) for four different dopings of \(La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4\); \(x = 0, 0.0125, 0.025, 0.035\). The top panels of Fig. 2 shows these maps taken within the ground state of the samples, at \(T = 1.5\) K. The bottom panels show the same elastic scattering HHL maps for the same four samples, but now taken at \(T = 35\) K. This is still at a low temperature, but above 25K, which is the “effective” 2D \(T_N\) for \(x = 0.0125\) and is the highest for any of these samples. The ranges of \(L\) shown were chosen for avoidance of complications due to absorption by the sample.

Three types of Bragg diffraction features can be seen in these reciprocal space maps. Two of these features are 3D C Bragg peaks of the form \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, L)\) \((L = \text{even and } L = \text{odd})\). The \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, L = \text{even})\) 3D C Bragg peaks at \(L = -2\) for \(x = 0\) and \(x = 0.0125\), are nuclear-allowed Bragg peaks. The \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, L = \text{odd})\) 3D C Bragg peak at \(L = -3\) for \(x = 0\) and \(x = 0.0125\) is magnetic in origin. Such 3D C magnetic Bragg peaks are absent at all temperatures for the \(x = 0.025\) and 0.035 samples, and for the \(x = 0\) and \(x = 0.0125\) above their 3D \(T_N\), \(\sim 250\) and 150 K respectively.

One clearly observes rods of magnetic elastic scattering of the approximate form \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, \delta, L)\) for all \(x\) except \(x = 0\). These are centred on diagonal IC wavevectors \((\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, \frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, L)\). Note that \(\delta \sim x\) is small at these low dopings. The rods of scattering are clearly distinct from the Bragg “spots” which signify 3D order. Furthermore, these rods show little or no \(L\) dependence, a fingerprint of highly-correlated 2D planes of Cu spin \(\frac{1}{2}\) magnetic moments, which are largely decoupled from each other. The only \(L\) dependence which is observed in our measurements is that associated with self-absorption of the sample in the neutron beam, due to the fact that the cylindrical axis of the crystals is not normal to the scattering plane.

Figure 2 shows that the 3D C magnetic order in the \(x = 0\) and 0.0125 samples is largely unaffected by raising the temperature from 1.5 K to 35 K. In the \(x = 0.0125\) sample, 2D IC static correlations co-exist with 3D C AF order at \(T = 1.5\) K, but no signal of the 2D IC static magnetic scattering remains by \(T = 35\) K, leaving only the 3D C AF order. In both the \(x = 0.025\) and 0.035 samples, only 2D IC static magnetic order exists within the ground state, while the 3D C AF order is absent. This is consistent with the low-resolution triple axis measurements on the \(x = 0.025\) and 0.035 samples shown in Fig. 1 c) and d), wherein the 2D IC magnetism disappears at relatively low temperatures, but above their effective 2D \(T_N\) of \(\sim 23\) K and 18 K, respectively. The appearance of the 2D rods of scattering below \(\sim 25\) K in the \(x = 0.0125\) sample correlates nicely with the suppression of its 3D C magnetic Bragg scattering shown in Fig. 1 a).

The temperature dependence of the magnetic elastic scattering in the \(x = 0.0125\) samples bears further attention as both 3D C AF Bragg peaks and 2D IC rods of magnetic scattering coexist within the ground state. We have already seen that the temperature dependence of the 3D C AF Bragg peak for \(x = 0.0125\), shown in Fig. 1 b), has a reduction in the scattered intensity below \(\sim 25\) K. The top set of panels in Fig. 3 shows the same \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, L)\) elastic reciprocal space map for \(x = 0.0125\) shown in Fig. 2, now as a function of temperature. For now, we will focus only on the top panels and will return to the bottom panels when we discuss the inelastic scattering in a later section. We clearly see the disappearance of the rod of elastic scattering as the temperature increases to \(T = 35\) K, and that the 3D C AF peak near \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, -3)\) has all but disappeared at \(T = 160\) K, above the 3D C \(T_N \sim 150\) K, indentified in Fig. 1 b) from high-resolution triple axis order parameter measurements. We note that the nuclear Bragg peak at \((\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}, -2)\) is nearly temperature independent over the range of temperature shown. This is as expected for a nuclear Bragg peak, given that all temperatures studied are well removed from the ortorhombic-tetragonal structural phase transition in this material\(^{13}\).

The trade-off between 3D C AF and 2D IC static magnetism shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 1 b) is similar to that reported for \(La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4\) at similar doping levels\(^2\). It implies that the 3D C AF structure forms as the temperature is lowered, but that part of this structure is unstable to the formation of 2D IC order below the 2D effective \(T_N\) of 25 K in the \(x = 0.0125\) sample. While it is not easy to compare the integrated intensity of the 2D rod scattering to the 3D C AF Bragg scattering, it is straightforward to estimate the reduction of the 3D C AF Bragg peak from saturation shown below \(\sim 25\) K in Fig. 1 b). This shows that for \(x = 0.0125\) the 2D IC static order accounts for 20% of the elastic magnetic scattering in the ground state. As suggested for \(La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4\), this fraction presumably grows with \(x\) until it accounts for 100% of the static elastic magnetic
scattering in the ground state for \(x \geq 0.02^{18}\). As previously noted, several theoretical suggestions have been put forward as to the origin of the decomposition of the 3D C to the co-existence of 3D C static order with 2D IC static order, as shown in Fig. 1 b) and Fig. 3\textsuperscript{41,42}.

The HHL reciprocal space maps around \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, L)\), shown in Fig. 2, all cover the same range in \((HH)\). Note that the \(L\) ranges shown differ due to the fact that the self absorption for a given position in the (HHL) plane differs for the four crystals. It is clear that at \(T = 1.5\) K (the top panels in Fig. 2) the rod of magnetic scattering broadens in the (HH) direction progressively with increasing doping from \(x = 0.0125\) to 0.035. This is due to the fact that 2D IC static order is expected to change its incommensuration with doping, and the expected dependence is roughly \(\delta \sim x\) in the diagonal IC wavevector \((\frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, \frac{1}{2} \pm \delta, L)\)\textsuperscript{44,45}.

We can explicitly examine the lineshape associated with the 2D IC rods of magnetic scattering and estimate both the \(\delta\) vs \(x\) dependence in the ground state, and the finite-range of the in-plane spin correlations within the 2D IC structure. In Fig. 4, we show cuts in the (HH) direction through the reciprocal space maps displayed in the top row of Fig. 2 appropriate to \(T = 1.5\) K.
FIG. 4. Cuts through the elastic magnetic scattering are shown for x = 0.0125, 0.025, and 0.035. Data sets have been normalized to their own maximum intensity for the purposes of qualitative comparison. Solid lines are fits to the data as discussed in the text. a) 3D C structural and 2D IC magnetic peaks are shown in x = 0.0125 at T = 1.5 K. The 2D IC for this doping integrated the data over the [-3.6,-3.2], [-2.7,-2.2],[-1.6,-1.2],[-0.9,-0.65] ranges in L, so as to avoid contributions from 3D C peaks. The 3D C structural peak corresponds to L = -4, and employed a -4.1 \leq L \leq -3.9 integration. b) 2D IC peaks in x = 0.025 and 0.035 using 0 \leq L \leq 1.9 integration for both samples. This range avoids contributions from nuclear Bragg peaks and is minimally affected by self absorption. Inset: incommensuration \delta as a function of doping as determined from fits of the data. Error bars represent one standard deviation.

K. For the x = 0.0125, 0.025, and 0.035 data sets, the cuts are taken so as to pick out only the 2D IC rod scattering; that is they sample data between the nuclear allowed \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{L} = \text{even})\) 3D Bragg peaks for all samples, as well as avoiding the 3D C magnetic Bragg peaks at \((\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{L} = \text{odd})\) for the x = 0.0125 sample. For comparison, we also have a cut through the L = -4 structural Bragg peak in the x = 0.0125 sample as a measure of the instrumental resolution.

These cuts are shown in Fig. 4 a) and b). The x = 0.0125 3D C data set is clearly much narrower in (HH) than that of any of the other three data sets, which exhibit 2D diagonal IC order. The three 2D IC data sets were fit phenomenologically to a functional form of two squared-Lorentzians with identical widths and amplitudes, but centered at different HH positions. Previous studies of such quasi-two dimensional correlations also employed Lorentzian-squared lineshapes to describe the IC elastic scattering. Initially, these data were fit with the sum of two Lorentzians-squared lineshapes wherein their widths were allowed to vary with x. However, the resulting variation of the width with x was small, and the fits were redone using a common width for the Lorentzian-squared lineshapes in all fits.

As the finite (HH) width to the Lorentzian-squared lineshape represents a finite (inverse) correlation length, we conclude that the 2D IC static order is short ranged in \(La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4\), with a correlation length of \(\sim 20\)Å. Over this doping range and to within our resolution, this correlation length is independent of doping. The diagonal IC wavevector \(\delta\) can then be extracted from this analysis, and this is shown as a function of x at T = 1.5 K in the inset to Fig. 4 a). We observe a linear relationship \(\delta \sim x\) for x = 0.125, 0.025, and 0.035, which extrapolates back through zero at x = 0. This conclusion is somewhat different from that reached in previous studies of \(La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4\), wherein a linear \(\delta \sim x\) relationship was also found for sufficiently large x, but \(\delta\) was \(\sim\) independent of x for very low concentrations < 0.02, which also displayed 3D C AF order.

C. Time-of-flight Inelastic Scattering Measurements and Dynamic Susceptibility

The DCS time-of-flight instrument allows the simultaneous measurement of elastic neutron scattering and inelastic neutron scattering. Reciprocal space maps of the inelastic scattering can also be constructed, similar to the elastic scattering data presented in Figs. 2 and 3. The relatively low incident energy, \(E_i\), employed in these measurements restricts the accessible inelastic scattering to less than \(\sim 1\) meV energy transfer, although the magnetic excitations in this system are known to exist to significantly higher energy\(^{31}\). We have plotted inelastic scattering for the x = 0.0125 sample as a function of temperature in the bottom panels of Fig. 3. A comparison between this magnetic inelastic scattering from the 0.0125, 0.025, and 0.035 samples, all at T = 35 K, is shown in the top panel of Fig. 5.

The bottom panels of Fig. 3 show reciprocal space maps of the inelastic scattering from the x = 0.0125 sample, integrated in energy from 0.1 meV to 1 meV, and as a function of temperature between T = 1.5 K and T = 300 K. This integrated inelastic magnetic scattering can be compared directly to the same reciprocal space maps of the elastic scattering around \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \text{L})\) wavevectors as shown in the top panels for Fig. 3. On this relatively low energy scale, we observe an interesting trend wherein little inelastic scattering is observed at T = 1.5 K, although both the 2D IC elastic rod of magnetic scattering and the 3D C AF Bragg peaks are strong. As the elastic rod of 2D IC scattering for the x = 0.0125 sample...
fades in intensity above \( T = 15 \) K, the inelastic scattering becomes clearly evident. Above the 2D effective \( T_N \sim 23 \) K, only the 2D IC inelastic scattering and the 3D C elastic magnetic scattering remain. The intensity of the 2D IC inelastic scattering is prevalent out to 160 K, but has clearly faded at the highest temperature measured, \( T = 300 \) K. The lower panels of Fig. 3 show 2D IC dynamic spin fluctuations in the \( x = 0.0125 \) sample are present well above the effective 2D \( T_N \sim 23 \) K, and only completely disappear above the temperature characteristic of the 3D C \( T_N \sim 150 \) K, in this sample.

The evolution of the low energy inelastic magnetic scattering and the corresponding imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility as a function of doping is shown in Fig. 5. The top panel of Fig. 5 a) shows the inelastic scattering at \( T = 35 \) K for each of the \( x = 0.0125, 0.025 \) and 0.035 samples. There data has been integrated in \( L \) using \(-3.5 < L < -1\) for \( x = 0.0125 \) and \( 0 < L < 1.9 \) in \( x = 0.025 \) and 0.035. The reason for this choice of \( L \) integration is that these regimes avoid complications due to self absorption that arise as the sample is rotated in the beam. This data is plotted in an energy vs. \((\text{HH})\) wavevector map, over the approximate range in energy from 0.15 meV to 0.8 meV. A temperature of 35 K was chosen for this comparison as it is sufficiently low to approximate the ground state, while high enough such that appreciable magnetic inelastic intensity is evident in all samples. We note that there is little magnetic inelastic scattering evident at \( T = 1.5 \) K. We take advantage of this and use the \( T = 1.5 \) K data sets as a measure of the inelastic background for our samples. This will be important in isolating the dynamic magnetic susceptibility from our inelastic scattering data.

The magnetic inelastic scattering, expressed as \( S(Q, h\omega, T) \) is the product of two terms; the Bose population factor which maintains detailed balance, and the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility \( \chi'' \). \( \chi'' \) is an odd function of energy and characterizes the capacity of the system to absorb energy, thereby creating spin excitations at a particular wavevector and energy. The inelastic magnetic scattering, \( S(Q, h\omega, T) \) is then related to the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility through:

\[
S(Q, h\omega, T) = [n(h\omega, T) + 1] \times \chi''(Q, h\omega, T) \tag{1}
\]

where \([n(h\omega, T) + 1]\) is the Bose population factor:

\[
[n(h\omega, T) + 1] = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{h\omega}{k_BT}}} \tag{2}
\]

At \( T = 35 \) K and for energies \( \leq 1 \) meV, the Bose population factor, \([n(h\omega, T) + 1]\), is sufficiently strong that the overall neutron scattering signal, \( S(Q, h\omega) \) can be easily distinguished from background for all concentrations. One can isolate \( S(Q, h\omega) \) with an appropriate subtraction and divide through by the Bose factor to give the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility, \( \chi'' \). This is what is shown in the b) panels of Fig. 5 for \( x = 0.0125, 0.025, \) and 0.035, listed from left to right. The a) panels of Fig. 5 show the corresponding \( S(Q, h\omega) \). Focussing on \( \chi''(Q, h\omega) \) in the b) panels of Fig. 5, we see a suppression of \( \chi''(Q, h\omega) \) at low energies. This suppression increases with doping between 0.0125 and 0.035. In Fig. 6 we show cuts of \( \chi''(Q, h\omega) \) made by integrating the data in Fig. 5 b) in \((\text{HH})\) around \( 0.48 \leq (\text{HH}) \leq 0.52 \) and over the relevant \( L \)-range so as to capture all the dynamic magnetic susceptibility in this low energy regime.  

**FIG. 5.** Inelastic scattering for \( x = 0.0125, 0.025 \) and 0.035 at \( T = 35 \) K. All data sets employed a \( T=1.5 \) K data set as background. Panels a) and b) show energy-\( (\text{HH}) \) wavevector maps for \( S(Q, h\omega) \) (panel a)) and \( \chi''(Q, h\omega) \) (panel b)). These data sets employed \(-3.5 < L < -1\) integration for \( x = 0.0125 \) and \( 0 < L < 1.9 \) integrations for \( x = 0.025 \) and 0.035. \( \chi''(Q, h\omega) \) is related to \( S(Q, h\omega) \) through Eqs. 1 and 2.
The energy dependence of $\chi''(Q, \hbar \omega)$ integrated over the HH width of the rod of scattering shown in Fig. 5 b), as described in the text. The dashed line shows a fit to a phenomenological model, Eq. 3, describing this energy dependence.

In both the $x = 0.0125$ and 0.035 cases, $\chi''(Q, \hbar \omega)$ clearly decreases monotonically with increasing temperature over this relatively low energy range. The intriguing behavior seen in the bottom panels of Fig. 3 for the $x = 0.0125$ sample, wherein the 2D IC inelastic intensity appears to have a temperature dependence complementary to that of the 2D IC elastic scattering, can be understood as a consequence of the temperature dependence of the Bose factor, $|n(\omega) + 1|$.

To better understand $\chi''(Q, \hbar \omega, T)$ quantitatively, we integrated the $\chi''(Q, \hbar \omega)$ data shown in Figs. 7 and 8 in energy between 0.2 and 0.8 meV. This was then fit to a Gaussian lineshape centred on HH=\((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\) with a linear background. The integrated intensity of the Gaussian gives $\chi''(Q \sim (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), L), 0.2meV \leq \hbar \omega \leq 0.8meV)$, which is plotted as a function of temperature on a semi-log scale in Fig. 9 for both $x = 0.0125$ and 0.035 samples. The signals from the $x = 0.0125$ and 0.035 samples have been approximately normalized at low temperatures. The values of $T_N(2DIC)$ for $x = 0.0125$ (25K) and 0.035 (15K) as well as $T_N(3DC)$ for $x = 0.0125$ (150K) and $x = 0$ (250K) are indicated for reference as dashed lines in Fig. 9.
D. Magnetic Phase Diagram

We summarize our elastic and inelastic magnetic neutron scattering measurements on relatively lightly-doped $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ in the phase diagram shown in Fig. 10. It displays three sets of points which represent phase transition temperatures appropriate to 3D C AF order (red circles), 2D diagonal IC static order (yellow triangles), and 2D parallel IC order (blue circles). The latter set of phase transitions occur for concentrations with superconducting ground states for $x \geq 0.05$, and comes from our earlier neutron results on magnetic order parameter measurements$^6,49$.

We also show extended regions on the phase diagram where 2D dynamic IC magnetism is observed. As seen in Fig. 9, this dynamic 2D IC magnetism gradually fades with increasing temperature and does not display an obvious phase transition. This dynamic 2D IC magnetism occupies the same general region of the HTS phase diagram associated with the “pseudogap phase”. The pseudogap phase has been ascribed to several differ-
ground state spin correlations within the orthorhombic basal plane of these samples are finite and elastic. However, most importantly, the spin correlation lengths between orthorhombic planes have gone to \( \approx \) zero resulting in distinct rods of magnetic scattering; that is the layers are decoupled. This reduction in magnetic dimensionality from 3D to 2D, on its own, would be expected to strongly suppress any ordering transition in such a layered system, and indeed this is what is observed. It is an interesting observation that the C spin structure within the orthorhombic plane leads to a 3D structure while the IC spin structure within the orthorhombic plane displays a 2D ground state.

Finally, although it was first observed some time ago in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4\)\(^{44,46}\) and more recently in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4\)\(^6\), it bears repeating that the quantum critical point between non-superconducting and superconducting ground states in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4\) , near \( x \sim 0.05\), is coincident with the rotation in the 2D IC spin structure from diagonal to parallel. This also provides strong evidence for an intimate connection between the 2D magnetism and the superconducting properties in these HTS systems.

\[\text{FIG. 9. Temperature dependence of the wavevector and low energy (0.2 meV} \leq \hbar \omega \leq 0.8 \text{ meV)} \int \chi''(Q, \omega) \text{ for } x = 0.0125 \text{ and 0.035 is shown as a function of temperature on a semi-log scale. Dashed lines show the 2D IC (for } x = 0.035, 0.0125) \text{ and 3D C (for } x = 0.0125 \text{ and 0) magnetic ordering temperatures. The } x = 0.0125 \text{ and 0.035 data sets have been normalized at low temperatures. Both data sets have employed their 1.5K data set as a background. The temperature scale for the evolution of this low energy dynamic magnetism greatly exceeds the relevant 2D IC magnetic ordering temperatures.}\]

\[\text{IV. CONCLUSIONS}\]

We have carried out extensive neutron scattering measurements on the static and low energy, dynamic commensurate (C) and incommensurate (IC) magnetism in lightly-doped \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) (LBCO). We have shown the two dimensional (2D) IC static order to be characterized by the appearance of rods of elastic, diagonal IC scattering with long but finite correlation lengths within the basal plane, and essentially zero correlation length along \( \text{L}\). Moreover, below the 2D IC effective ordering temperature, \( T_{N(2DIC)}\), these rods are elastic on the energy scale of 0.1 meV, which is ~ 1 K or less. We can understand the suppression of the 2D IC effective ordering temperature relative to the 3D C ordering temperatures displayed by nearby concentrations as a consequence of the reduction in magnetic dimensionality, rather than being due to proximity to a competing superconducting ground state.

A phase diagram based solely on magnetic order parameter measurements, and constructed using 3D C long range order as well as effective 2D IC static magnetic order transitions for all LBCO samples with \( x \leq 0.125\) is shown to display much of the same complexity as that corresponding to standard phase diagrams relevant to high temperature superconductivity. This stresses the strong correlation between magnetism and the exotic charge correlation physics, including superconductivity itself, in this family of high temperature superconductors. Our measurements at low temperatures show a systematic suppression of the low energy dynamic sus-
FIG. 10. Magnetic phase diagram for $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ as determined by magnetic order parameter measurements on $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ crystals with $x \leq 0.125$. 3D static C magnetic order gives way to 2D static (on the time scale of high energy resolution neutron measurements) diagonal IC order for $x \geq 0.02$, with a co-existence between the two at low temperatures for smaller values of $x$. At an $x \sim 0.05$ quantum critical point, the 2D IC ordering wavevector rotates from diagonal to parallel, relative to the pseudo-tetragonal axes, and this is coincident with the onset of a superconducting ground state. Dynamic 2D IC fluctuations persist to temperatures much higher than those characterizing the onset of static 2D order. These fade continuously with increasing temperature and inhabit much of the phase diagram associated with the “pseudogap” phase.

ceptibility as a function of increasing doping within the lightly-doped regime $x \leq 0.035$, presaging the appearance of superconducting ground states for $x \geq 0.05$.

All samples studied in this paper, other than $x = 0$, display 2D diagonal IC static magnetism at low temperatures within their ground states. Interestingly, we find that the corresponding dynamic IC magnetism exists both at low temperatures as well as on a much higher temperature scale, comparable to nearby 3D C ordering temperatures. The temperature dependence of this dynamic IC magnetism does not change quickly with doping at these low dopings. This 2D dynamic IC magnetism inhabits much of the phase diagram associated with pseudo-gap physics, and there appears to be no characteristic transition temperature associated with these fluctuations; rather their temperature evolution is characteristic of crossover phenomena.
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