Iron-Chelating Agent Can Maintain Bone Homeostasis Disrupted by Iron Overload by Upregulating Wnt/Beta-Catenin Signaling
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Background. The incidence of osteoporotic fractures is increasing. In this study, we explored the activities of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues with iron accumulation.

Methods. We established rat bipedal walking models (RBWM), and a portion of our RBWM rats were intraperitoneally injected with ferric ammonium citrate, normal saline, and deferoxamine. Bone mineral density was measured with a small animal in vivo imaging system. The protein levels of ferritin, TRAP-5B, RANKL, and OPG in serum were measured by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and Western blot were used to quantify the RNA and protein expression levels of certain regulators involved in Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues. Results. In the present study, we established a rat bipedal walking model containing 32 bipedal rats, which were randomly classified into four groups, termed as NS, FAC, FAC+NS, and FAC+DFO. Those three experimental groups with FAC injection had significantly lower bone mineral density (BMD) than the control group NS (P < 0.05). The disruption of bone homeostasis and downregulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling were also observed in the three groups with FAC injection. Moreover, after the injection of deferoxamine, those aberrations in samples with FAC injection seemed repaired as test results returning or getting close to normal ranges. Conclusion. The osteoporosis could be caused by iron overload, which reduced the bone mineral density by disrupting the homeostasis of bone formation and absorption and attenuating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues. The deferoxamine had the potential to improve the bone health by reducing the accumulation of iron and increasing the bone mass, which might be a promising therapeutic solution for osteoporosis.

1. Introduction

As population aging becomes a trend in modern society, the incidence of osteoporotic fractures is increasing. The fractures are caused by decreased bone mass, destroyed bone microstructure, and increased brittleness [1]. The risk of osteoporotic fractures is increased with age, and the incidence rate of fracture in females over 85 years old can reach 15% [2]. Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture is a common cause of lower back pain in the elderly [3]. In severe cases, it will lead to disability or death, which brings serious burden to the society and families [4]. Therefore, it is very urgent to study the pathogenesis of osteoporotic spinal fractures in elderly women, thereby improving the quality of prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of this disease.

In recent years, remarkable advances have been achieved in the exploration of causes of osteoporotic fractures in the elderly and related prevention methods [5–7]. The association between iron accumulation and osteoporotic fractures has become a subject of intense interest in this field. Previous studies have shown that iron accumulation is closely related to osteoporosis, and iron accumulation was also frequently
observed in osteoporosis patients [8–10]. Moreover, the use of iron antagonists can efficiently delay bone loss [9]. However, the underlying mechanisms regarding iron accumulation, osteoporosis, and delayed bone loss are still not fully understood.

Wnt/β-catenin is a critical regulator in bone health and bone disease [11]. The bone mass can be altered by the canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling via various pathways, which was closely associated with osteoporosis [12, 13]. Specifically, Wnt/β-catenin signaling can enhance the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) into osteoblasts, suppress osteoblast apoptosis by upregulating Osterix1 expression, and prevent the differentiation of osteoclasts by promoting osteoprotegerin (OPG) production [14]. Knockdown of β-catenin can increase the number of osteoclasts and promote bone resorption, thereby reducing bone mass [15]. However, to date, the activities of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues with iron accumulation have not been studied in patients with osteoporotic fractures. In the present study, we established rat bipedal walking models and anticipated to explore the underlying mechanism of osteoporosis induced by iron overload and the therapeutic effect of iron-chelating agent on osteoporosis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Establishment of Rat Bipedal Walking Models (RBWM). The rat bipedal walking model (RBWM) refers to rats that acquired anatomical and functional characteristics for bipedal walking after the completion of a long-term motor training program [16]. A total of 50 11-month-old female Sprague Dawley (SD) rats with weight from 37 to 44 grams were purchased from the Animal Experiment Center of the Second Military Medical University in Shanghai, China. Two weeks later, the rats were subjected to surgery. We first took the circular incisions on both sides of the upper limb of the rats and then separated the muscle fascia. The great vessels were ligated, the upper limb was amputated at the shoulder joint, and the incision was sutured after hemostasis. After the surgery, the rats were housed and observed for another two weeks.

2.2. The Control Rats and Bipedal Walking Rats Injected with Ferric Ammonium Citrate. The rat bipedal walking models were fed in separate high cages with the same batch of ordinary fodder and free intake of drinking water at the temperature of 25 ± 2°C. Subsequently, 32 RBWM rats were selected and randomly divided into a control group (n = 8) and an experimental group subjected to extra iron supplements (n = 24). Rats of the control group and the experimental groups were intraperitoneally injected with 1 ml normal saline or 0.04 g/kg ferric ammonium citrate dissolved in 1 ml normal saline three times per week for one month, respectively.

2.3. The Interventions for Rat Bipedal Walking Models by Separate Groups. The 24 RBWM rats with increased iron intake were then randomly divided into three groups with eight rats for each group. These three groups, termed as the FAC group, FAC+NS group, and FAC+DFO group, were then intraperitoneally injected with 1 ml ferric ammonium citrate (0.04 g/kg), 1 ml normal saline, and 1 ml deferoxamine (30 mg/kg) three times per week for one month, respectively. Besides, 8 control rats were defined as the NS group, intraperitoneally injected with 1 ml normal saline.

2.4. Bone Mineral Density Test by a Small Animal In Vivo Imaging System. After receiving injections for two months, the entire skeletons of the bipedal rats were scanned by GE Lunar PIXImus II. The gray values of the middle femur of both sides were used for quantitative analysis.

2.5. The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). The blood samples of the rats were taken from the postcava, and the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation (3000 r/min) for 10 min. The ferritin, TRAP-5B, RANKL, and OPG were measured using ELISA kits from R&D (MN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.6. The Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR). The rats were humanely killed when experiments finished. The mRNAs (OD 260/280 between 1.9 and 2.0) were extracted by the TRIzol Kit, and quantitative RT-PCR experiments were conducted to evaluate the abundance of target transcripts. Primers of beta-catenin (Ctnnb1), RUNX2, LRP5, and beta-actin were as follows: beta-catenin (forward strand: 5′-CACCATGCAACCACACCTCGAATT-3′, reverse strand: 5′-GCTTCCGTCTACGGTCACACC-3′), RUNX2 (forward strand: 5′-GCTGGGACCTTCACAACCT-3′, reverse strand: 5′-GCTGGGATGCCACGAGCTTA-3′), β-actin (forward strand: 5′-CGGACA CGGACAGGATTGACA-3′, reverse strand: 5′-CCAGACAAATCGCTCCACCAACTA-3′), and LRP5 (forward strand: 5′-CCTCAGGTCCCGTGTGGCC-3′, reverse strand: 5′-CAGGATGATGCAAATCGCAG-3′).

2.7. Western Blot. The Western blot was performed following the previous study [17]. Specifically, the total protein in the taken sample was extracted with the protein extraction kit and then was quantified with the BCA quantitative kit (as protocols described), and the purity of protein samples was measured by absorbancy. The protein sample was loaded into 10% or 15% of SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto nitrocellulose blotting membrane. Afterwards, the nitrocellulose membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk and incubated with the appropriate primary antibody, followed by the corresponding secondary antibody. We adopted Image Lab to analyze the strip. The relative protein expression was quantified by the ratio between target protein and β-actin optical densities. The antibodies against the following proteins were purchased for Western blot analysis: β-catenin (CST-8480, 1:1000), RUNX2, LRP5, and beta-actin (CST-12556, 1:1000), and TRAP-5B (CST-8480, 1:1000), LRP5 (ab36121, 1:1000), and RUNX2 (ab36121, 1:1000), and TRAP-5B (CST-8480, 1:1000), LRP5 (ab36121, 1:1000), and RUNX2 (ab36121, 1:1000).

2.8. Statistical Analysis. The statistical analyses were implemented in SPSS 12.0. The two-sample and multiscample comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA tests. The Pearson correlation test and linear regression analysis were performed.
used to measure the correlation between two sets of data. The P value below 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Reduced Bone Mineral Density in Ferric Ammonium Citrate-Induced Rats. The 32 female RBWM rats were randomly divided into a control group (NS, n = 8) and an experimental group (n = 32) with normal saline and FAC intraperitoneal injection for one month, respectively. Subsequently, the experimental group was divided into three subgroups with 8 samples for each. The rats from the three subgroups were then intraperitoneally injected with ferric ammonium citrate (FAC), normal saline (FAC+NS), and deferoxamine (FAC+DFO) for the following month, respectively. After these two months, the bone mineral densities (BMD) of these rats were measured by a small animal imaging system. We found that the FAC, FAC+NS, and FAC+DFO groups had significantly lower BMD than the control group NS (Figure 1, P < 0.05). Moreover, the FAC group had the lowest BMD, followed by the FAC+NS and FAC+DFO groups. Notably, the BMD in the FAC+DFO group was higher than that in the other two groups with FAC injection (Figure 1, P < 0.05). These results indicated that the high ferric ammonium citrate could significantly reduce the BMD in rats, and deferoxamine could relieve the bone loss in rat models induced by high ferric ammonium citrate.

3.2. Iron Overload Is Closely Associated with Reduced Bone Mineral Density. To further investigate the association between ferric ammonium citrate and reduced BMD, we quantified the ferritin in the serum of the rats. Consistently, the FAC and FAC+NS groups had higher levels of ferritin as compared with the NS group (Figure 2(a), P < 0.05). However, the FAC+DFO group was found to exhibit significantly lower levels of ferritin than the FAC and FAC+NS groups, suggesting that deferoxamine could efficiently reduce the ferritin levels in serum. Furthermore, the ferritin levels and BMD were observed to be negatively correlated (Figure 2(b)), suggesting that the reduced BMD was caused by iron overload.

3.3. The Homeostasis of Bone Formation and Resorption Is Disrupted by Iron Overload. To explore whether the bone homeostasis was disrupted in the rats with iron overload, we measured the levels of protein markers for bone formation and resorption by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Specifically, the markers of bone formation, RANKL (Receptor Activator for Nuclear Factor-κ B Ligand) and OPG (osteoprotegerin), were significantly downregulated in the FAC+DFO group compared to the FAC+NS group (Figure 3(a), P < 0.05). Moreover, compared to the other two groups with FAC injection, the FAC+DFO group had increased expression levels of RANKL and OPG upon the injection of deferoxamine (Figures 3(a) and 3(b), P < 0.05). In contrast, the bone resorption marker, TRAP-5B, was dramatically upregulated in the FAC and FAC+NS groups, but its abundance dropped to the normal range in the FAC+DFO group (Figure 3(c), P < 0.05). The ratio of RANKL to OPG is greater than 1 in the FAC group, suggesting that the bone homeostasis was disrupted in the rats with iron overload. Compared to the FAC (1.016) and FAC+NS (0.912) groups, the FAC+DFO group had a decreased ratio of RANKL to OPG (0.874) upon the injection of deferoxamine (Figure 3(c), P < 0.05), suggesting that the injection of deferoxamine could activate bone formation. These results suggested that the homeostasis of bone formation and resorption was disrupted by the iron overload, which could be relieved with the injection of deferoxamine.

3.4. Iron Overload Disrupts Bone Homeostasis via Downregulating Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling. As the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is a key component involved in bone formation, we then investigated the variation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the high ferric ammonium citrate-induced rats. Remarkably, the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) experiments revealed that RNA expression levels of β-catenin, RUNX2, and LRP5 were downregulated in the bone tissues of the FAC and FAC+NS groups, while the downregulations were relieved in those of the FAC+DFO group by the injection of deferoxamine (Figure 4, P < 0.05). To further confirm the attenuated activity of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the high ferric ammonium citrate-induced rats at protein level, we randomly selected three rats from each group and quantified their protein levels by Western blot. Specifically, the protein levels of β-catenin, RUNX2, and LRP5 exhibited similar expression patterns with the corresponding mRNAs (Figure 5). These results indicated that the bone formation could be attenuated...
Figure 2: The relative abundance of ferritin and its correlation with BMD in serum. (a) The relative abundance of ferritin and statistical significance in the four groups. (b) The correlation between abundance of ferritin and BMD. The dashed line was fitted by linear regression analysis based on the ferritin abundance and BMD. The asterisk (*), pound sign (#), and ampersand (&) indicated the statistical significance of the comparisons for FAC vs. NS, FAC+NS vs. FAC, and FAC+DFO vs. FAC, respectively. One symbol refers to $P < 0.05$, two symbols refer to $P < 0.01$, and three symbols refer to $P < 0.001$.

Figure 3: The serum expression levels of RANKL, OPG, and TRAP-5B. ELISA measured the serum expression levels of the two bone formation markers, RANKL (a) and OPG (b), and the bone resorption marker, TRAP-5B (c), which were compared between the four groups of rat bipedal walking models (RBWM). The asterisk (*), pound sign (#), and ampersand (&) indicated the statistical significance of the comparisons for FAC vs. NS, FAC+NS vs. FAC, and FAC+DFO vs. FAC, respectively. One symbol refers to $P < 0.05$, two symbols refer to $P < 0.01$, and three symbols refer to $P < 0.001$. 
by the iron overload via downregulating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues.

4. Discussion

Osteoporotic fracture is the most common result of osteoporosis, a condition where the bones become more fragile due to bone deterioration or low bone mass [18]. However, the underlying mechanism behind iron overload in osteoporosis has not been fully understood. In the present study, we established a rat bipedal walking model of 32 rats and randomly classified them into four groups, termed as NS, FAC, FAC+NS, and FAC+DFO. Phenotypically, the three groups receiving FAC injection had significantly lower BMD than the control group NS ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, the BMD in the FAC+DFO group was higher than that in the other two groups, indicating that the high ferric ammonium citrate could significantly reduce the BMD in rats, and deferoxamine could relieve the bone loss. Synergistic protection of bone vasculature and bone mass by deferoxamine has been reported in osteoporotic mice [19]. Consistently, ferritin was accumulated in the serum of all groups with FAC injection, but the amount of the ferritin was significantly lower in the FAC+DFO group than the two other FAC groups. Furthermore, the ferritin levels and BMD were observed to be negatively correlated with each other, suggesting that the reduced BMD was caused by iron overload. In accordance with this finding, a negative association between serum ferritin and BMD has also been observed in the elderly [20]. An association between excess iron and osteoporosis as well as fracture has been shown which is attributed to the detrimental effect of iron on bone. Iron overload decreases osteoplastic activity and facilitates osteoplastic differentiation and bone resorption; furthermore, iron inhibits differentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal cells and bone remodeling, through induction of ferritin [21, 22].
Further exploration of the disruption in bone homeostasis by iron overload revealed that the markers of bone formation, RANKL and OPG, and the bone resorption marker, TRAP-5B, were dysregulated in the serum of rats with FAC treatment. RANKL, OPG, and TRAP-5B were bone turnover markers and widely used for the diagnosis of osteoporosis [23, 24]. Consistently, the expression of these three proteins was observed to be close to the normal range in the FAC +DFO group, further suggesting that deferoxamine could protect the bones from absorption induced by iron overload to some extent.

In terms of the molecular mechanism, we investigated the variation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, which was a critical regulator in osteoblast differentiation [25] and osteoclast

Figure 5: The protein expression levels of β-catenin, RUNX2, and LRP5 among the four groups of rat bipedal walking model (RBWM) bone tissues. (a) The Western blot images for β-catenin, RUNX2, and LRP5, which were performed in triplicate. (b) The relative intensities of β-catenin, RUNX2, and LRP5, which were estimated by Image Lab.
apoptosis [26]. Remarkably, both RNA and protein expression levels of the following three core members in Wnt/β-catenin signaling, β-catenin [27], RUNX2 [28], and LRPS [29], were downregulated in the bone tissues of the FAC and FAC+NS groups, while the downregulations were attenuated in those of the FAC+DFO group upon the injection of deferoxamine. Collectively, these results indicated that the bone loss by iron overload could be relieved by deferoxamine via upregulating Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues.

In conclusion, the osteoporosis could be caused by iron overload, which reduced the bone mineral density by disrupting the homeostasis of bone formation and absorption and attenuating the Wnt/β-catenin signaling in bone tissues. The deferoxamine had the potential to improve the bone health by reducing the accumulation of iron and increasing the bone mass, which might be a promising therapeutic solution for osteoporosis.
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