A Suzaku view of IGR J16393-4643
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ABSTRACT
The pulsar IGR J16393-4643 belongs to a class of highly absorbed supergiant HMXBs, characterised by very high column density of absorbing matter. We present the results of the simultaneous broad-band pulsation and spectrum analysis, from a 44 kilosec Suzaku observation of the source. The orbital intensity profile created with the SWIFT-BAT light-curve shows an indication of IGR J16393-4643 being an eclipsing system with a short eclipse semi-angle $\theta_E \sim 17^\circ$. For a supergiant companion star with a 20 R$_\odot$ radius, this implies an inclination of the orbital plane in the range of 39$^\circ$-57$^\circ$, whereas for a main sequence B star as the companion with a 10 R$_\odot$ radius, the inclination of the orbital plane is in the range of 60$^\circ$-77$^\circ$. Pulse profiles created for different energy bands, have complex morphology which shows some energy dependence and increases in pulse fraction with energy. We have also investigated broad-band spectral characteristics, for phase averaged and resolving the pulse phase into peak phase and trough phase. The phase averaged spectrum has a very high $N_H(\sim 3 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$) and is described by power-law ($\Gamma \sim 0.9$) with a high energy cut-off above 20 keV. We find a change in the spectral index in the peak phase and trough phase, implying an underlying change in the source spectrum.

Key words: X-rays: binaries - X-rays: individual: IGR J16393-4643 - X-rays: stars - neutron stars: neutron

1 INTRODUCTION
Supergiant High Mass X-ray Binaries (HMXBs) account for one third of the galactic HMXB population. The compact object has an early type supergiant star as a companion and the accretion unto the compact object occurs via stellar wind or Roche lobe overflow. They are subdivided into Roche lobe filling supergiants with short spin periods and underfilled Roche lobe supergiants with longer spin periods (Jenke et al. 2012). A majority of supergiant HMXBs are persistent sources. Some of the supergiant HMXBs, called the highly absorbed supergiant HMXBs, have very strong absorption with column density of absorbing matter $N_H \sim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$.

IGR J16393-4643 belongs to this increasing class of heavily absorbed HMXBs detected by the INTEGRAL survey of the galactic plane, that are mainly concentrated in the spiral arms. It was first discovered with the ASCA observatory during survey of the galactic plane (Sugizaki et al. 2001), and was named as AX J1639.0-4642. It has a spin period of $\sim 910$ s and a 4.2 days orbital period (Bodaghee et al. 2003, Corbet et al. 2010) and occupies a unique position near the top edge of underfilled Roche lobe supergiant systems in the Corbet diagram (Jenke et al. 2012). Due to the lack of detailed studies of such systems, IGR J16393-4643 makes an interesting candidate to study the timing and spectral properties of the short orbital period and long spin period supergiant systems.

The X-ray spectrum of the pulsar is characterised by a highly absorbed power-law with an exponential cut-off along with Fe fluorescence lines (Lutovinov et al. 2003, Bodaghee et al. 2004). The strong absorption of the order $\sim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ is an evidence of a dense circumstellar environment surrounding the pulsar. Previous observations with XMM-Newton indicates the presence of a soft excess in the spectrum which could be due to X-rays scattering by the stellar wind (Bodaghee et al. 2008).

Here we present the simultaneous broad-band pulse profiles and spectral characteristics of the pulsar IGR J16393-4643 obtained from a Suzaku observation. We also present results from the orbital intensity profile analysis of IGR J16393-4643 using SWIFT-BAT light-curves. The energy resolved pulse profiles are created for the first time for this source. The broad-band spectral characteristics are studied.
both for the phase averaged as well as resolving the pulse phase into peak phase and trough phase. These results provide valuable insights into the nature of the such underfilled Roche lobe supergiant systems.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Suzaku is the fifth Japanese X-ray astronomy satellite launched in July 2005. It consists of two sets of co-aligned scientific instruments, the X-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS), operating in the energy range 0.2-12 keV and Hard X-ray Detector (HXD), operating in the energy range 10-600 keV. The XIS consists of three front illuminated CCD detectors and one back illuminated CCD detector (Koyama et al. 2007), out of which three CCDs XIS0, XIS1 and XIS3 are currently operational. The HXD consists of silicon PIN diodes operating in energy range 10-70 keV and GSO crystal scintillators extending the energy range till 600 keV (Takahashi et al. 2007).

IGR J16393-4643 was observed with Suzaku during 12 March 2010 (ObsId: 404056010) with a useful exposure time of \(\sim 44\) kiloseconds over a span of about 120 kiloseconds. The observations were carried out at the ‘XIS nominal’ pointing position and the XIS were operated in ‘standard’ data mode in the ‘Normal window’ option, having a time resolution of 8 secs. For both the XIS and HXD data, we have used the filtered cleaned event files which are obtained using the pre-determined screening criteria described in the Suzaku ABC guide.

The XIS light-curves and spectra were extracted from the cleaned event files by selecting circular regions of 3' around the source centroid. The background light-curves and spectra were extracted by selecting circular regions of same size away from the source centroid. For HXD/PIN background, simulated ‘tuned’ non X-ray background event files (NXB) corresponding to the month and year of the observation was used to estimate the non X-ray background. The cosmic X-ray background was simulated as suggested by the instrument team with appropriate normalisations and response files. The response files for XIS were created using CALDB ‘20140211’ and for HXD/PIN, response files were obtained from the Suzaku Guest Observer Facility.

3 TIMING ANALYSIS

For the timing analysis of the source, we have applied barycentric corrections to the event data files using the FTOOLS task 'abarycen'. Light-curves with time resolution of 1 s and 8 s were extracted from HXD/PIN (12-50 keV) and XIS (0.3-12 keV) respectively. Figure 1 shows the light-curves binned with a time bin of 908 secs i.e at the pulsar spin period, in XIS and PIN along with the hardness ratio. The count-rate in XIS and PIN increases gradually from the start of the observation upto 100 kiloseconds by a factor of \(\sim 2\) and then decreases by a similar factor till the end of the observation (also see second and third panel in Figure 2). The hardness ratio remained constant throughout the observation.

3.1 Orbital intensity profile analysis

We have used long term light-curve of IGR J16393-4643 in 15-50 keV SWIFT-BAT energy band to estimate the orbital period of the system \(P_{\text{orb}} = 366150\) secs; consistent with Corbet et al. 2010. We then folded the XIS and PIN light-curves with the SWIFT-BAT light-curves, to investigate the orbital phase of the Suzaku observation. The minimum of the orbital intensity profile is taken as orbital phase zero, corresponding to epoch MJD: 53417.955. Figure 2 shows an indication that the system is an eclipsing binary, which was also reported previously by Corbet & Krimm 2014. The eclipse duration is short with the eclipse semi-angle \(\theta_{E} \sim 17^\circ\). The Suzaku observations were carried out roughly from orbital phase 0.55-0.99 i.e prior to going into the eclipse. As seen in Figure 2 the count-rate in XIS and PIN increases by a factor of \(\sim 2\) during orbital phase \(\sim 0.65-0.8\) and then again decreases till the end of the observation. The orbital intensity profile created with SWIFT-BAT is averaged over many orbital cycles, whereas the orbital intensity profile created with XIS and PIN show variability on sub-orbital timescales, similar to that seen in OAO 1657-415 (Pradhan et al. 2014).

3.2 Energy resolved pulse profiles

We have searched for pulsations in the light-curves by applying pulse folding and \(\chi^2\) maximization technique and the pulse period was found to be \(908.79 \pm 0.01\) secs. We then created the energy resolved pulse profiles by folding light-curves extracted in different energy bands with the pulse period. Light-curves from XIS0, XIS1 and XIS3 were added together to create the pulse profiles in XIS energy band 0.3-12 keV and sub bands within. From Figure 3 we see that the pulse profiles have a complex morphology with some energy dependance, which was also seen with XMM-Newton (Bodaghee et al. 2006) and RXTE PCA (Thompson et al. 2004), but the broad-band nature of the pulse profiles are brought out from this Suzaku observation. Due to the presence of high column density of absorbing matter, very few photons are detected below 4 keV. The epoch for creation of the pulse profiles is adjusted to make the minima appear at phase zero in the PIN 12-50 keV energy band. The pulse minima is seen to become deeper at higher energies. A phase lag is seen in the energy resolved pulse profiles in the two XIS energy bands compared to the PIN energy bands, which is shown in Figure 4 for pulse profiles created in XIS energy band 0.3-6.0 keV and 6-12 and in PIN energy band 12-50 keV.

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

4.1 Pulse phase averaged spectrum

We have performed the pulse phase averaged spectral analysis of IGR J16393-4643 using spectra from three XIS and PIN. The energy range chosen for spectral fitting was 3.0
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Figure 1. Light-curve of IGR J16393-4643 binned at the pulse period of 908 secs in 0.3-12 keV XIS energy band (top panel), 12-50 keV PIN energy band (middle panel) and the hardness ratio of the count-rates in PIN and XIS (bottom panel) are shown here.

Figure 2. Light-curve of SWIFT BAT, XIS and PIN folded with the orbital period (P_{orb} = 366150 secs) of IGR J16393-4643. The orbital phase zero corresponds to the eclipse at epoch MJD: 53417.955

keV to 10.0 keV for XIS, since there were hardly any photons below 3.0 keV. For PIN, the energy range was chosen from 15 keV to 50 keV. The XIS spectra were rebinned by a factor of 12 upto 5 keV, by a factor of 6 from 5-7 keV and again by a factor of 12 from 7-10 keV. The PIN spectra was rebinned by a factor of 2 till 22 keV, by 8 from 22-45 keV and by a factor of 12 for the rest. The spectral fitting was done with XSPEC 12.8.1. To fit the continuum spectra, we have tried several standard continuum models used for HMXB pulsars like cut-off power-law (CUTOFFPL), power-law with high energy cut-off (HIGHECUT, White, Swank & Holt 1983), power-law with a Fermi-Dirac cut-off (FDCCUT, Tanaka 1986), and negative and positive power-law exponential (NPEX, Mihara 1995). The power-law with HIGHECUT and power-law with FDCUT spectral models provided the best fits to the phase averaged spectrum with physically acceptable parameter values and henceforth, we report results from these two spectral models.

We fitted the spectra simultaneously with all the parameters tied, except the relative normalisations of the instruments which were kept free. A 6.4 keV Fe Kα line was also found in the spectra, which was modelled by a gaussian emission line. The 7.1 keV Kβ line detected in the spectra with the XMM-Newton observation (Bodaghee et al. 2006) was marginally detected here with the 90% upper limit on the line flux as $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and equivalent width of 33 eV, consistent with the upper limits quoted for XMM-Newton observation ($6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 120 eV respectively; Bodaghee et al. 2006). The best fit parameter values of phase averaged spectrum for 90% con-
Figure 3. Energy resolved pulse profiles of IGR J16393-4643 folded with a period of 908.79 secs for XIS energy bands (left panel) and PIN energy bands (right panel). The epoch is chosen such that the pulse minima occur at phase 0.0 in the PIN 12-50 keV energy band.

Figure 4. Overlaid pulse profiles created in XIS energy band 0.3-6.0 keV and 6-12 keV and in PIN energy band 12-50 keV, shows an indication of a phase lag.

4.2 Pulse phase resolved spectrum

The energy dependence of the pulse fraction as seen in the XIS and PIN energy bands (Figure 3 and Figure 4) indicates a significant change in the spectrum with the pulse phase and we investigate the same with pulse phase resolved spectroscopy at the peak and trough of the pulse profile. The XIS and PIN spectrum were binned into two states: a peak phase around the pulse maximum (phase 0.4-0.8) and a trough phase around the pulse minima (phase 0.0-0.2 and 0.9-1.0), similar to the pulse phase definition used in Bodaghee et al. (2006). In the peak phase as well as the trough phase, the XIS spectra and PIN spectra were rebinned by the same factors used in phase averaged spectra. To study the changes in spectral parameters in the two pulse phases, we used the same models as in the phase averaged spectrum. However, width of the Fe Kα line could not be constrained in the peak phase spectra and was fixed to its phase averaged value. The best fit parameter values of the peak phase spectra and the trough phase spectra for 90% confidence limits (except for
Phase averaged spectrum of IGR J16393-4643 with the best fit models power-law with HIGHECUT (left panel) and power-law with FDCUT (right panel) are shown here along with the contribution of residuals to the phase.

Figure 5. Phase averaged spectrum of IGR J16393-4643 with the best fit models power-law with HIGHECUT (left panel) and power-law with FDCUT (right panel) are shown here along with the contribution of residuals to the $\chi^2$.

Table 1. Best fit parameter values for power-law with HIGHECUT and power-law with FDCUT models for the phase averaged spectrum as well as the peak phase and trough phase spectra. Errors quoted for $\sigma_{K\alpha}$ and equivalent width of the gaussian Fe Kα line are for $1\sigma$ confidence limits and for rest of the parameters are for 90% confidence limits.

| Parameters                        | Phase averaged | Peak phase | Trough phase |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
|                                  | HIGHECUT       | FDCUT      | HIGHECUT     | FDCUT        | HIGHECUT | FDCUT |
| $N_H$ ($10^{22}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$) | 26.5 ± 0.8     | 26.2 ± 0.8 | 27.4 ± 1.2   | 27.1 ± 1.2   | 26.4 ± 1.7 | 26.0 ± 2.3 |
| Photon Index ($\Gamma$)           | 0.91 ± 0.06    | 0.86$^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$ | 0.82 ± 0.09 | 0.76$^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$ | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| $\Gamma_{norm}$ ($10^{-3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 3.8$^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ | 3.5 ± 0.5 | 3.7$^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ | 3.4$^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$ | 5.1$^{+1.6}_{-1.2}$ | 4.7$^{+1.8}_{-1.4}$ |
| Cut-off Energy ($E_C$ keV)        | 20 ± 1         | 25$^{+1}_{-0}$ | 20 ± 1       | 25$^{+2}_{-5}$ | 19$^{+6}_{-4}$ | 25$^{+4}_{-6}$ |
| Fold Energy ($E_F$ keV)           | 9 ± 1          | 5 ± 1      | 9 ± 2        | 5 ± 2        | 11$^{+5}_{-7}$ | 6 ± 3 |
| $F_{K\alpha}$ ($10^{-5}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 3.2$^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ | 3.2$^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ | 3.9 ± 1.1 | 3.9 ± 1.1 | 5.1 ± 2.0 | 5.2$^{+1.9}_{-2.1}$ |
| $\sigma_{K\alpha}$ (keV)         | 0.02$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ | 0.02$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ | -           | -           | 0.13$^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$ | 0.14$^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$ |
| Equivalent width (eV)             | 46$^{+7}_{-6}$ | 46$^{+7}_{-6}$ | 47$^{+8}_{-8}$ | 48$^{+8}_{-8}$ | 99$^{+23}_{-22}$ | 102$^{+21}_{-25}$ |
| Flux (XIS) ($0.3$-$12$ keV) ($10^{-11}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 3.6 ± 0.1 | 3.5 ± 0.1 | 4.2 ± 0.1 | 4.2 ± 0.1 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 2.5 ± 0.1 |
| Flux (PIN) ($12$-$70$ keV) ($10^{-11}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 2.6 ± 0.1 | 3.4 ± 0.2 | 3.2 ± 0.2 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.2 |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f                    | 1.18/296       | 1.18/296   | 1.03/280    | 1.05/281    | 1.10/289   | 1.09/289 |

5 DISCUSSIONS

5.1 Orbital intensity profile and inclination of the system

The first panel in Figure 3 is the orbital intensity profile created by folding the long term light-curve of IGR J16393-4643 obtained with SWIFT-BAT in energy range 15-50 keV, with the orbital period of the system (P_orb = 366150 secs). The orbital intensity profile shows a narrow eclipse around orbital phase zero with the eclipse semi-angle $\theta_E \sim 17^\circ$. Recent Chandra observation of this binary system proposed the optical counterpart to be either a supergiant O9 star with radius R~ 20 R$_{\odot}$ or a main sequence B star with radius R~ 10 R$_{\odot}$ (Rodon\o et al. 2012). The short orbital period of the binary system makes it more likely to have a supergiant O9 star as its companion than a main sequence.
B star. However, Bodaghee et al. (2012) pointed out that having a supergiant O9 star as a companion would imply a lower limit of distance of 25 kpc to the binary as compared to a distance of 12 kpc for a main sequence B star.

The supergiant HMXBs like Cen X-3, Vela X-1 and OAO 1657-415 have masses of the companion star in the range of 10 M☉ - 30 M☉ and radii in the range of 10 R☉ - 35 R☉, with a nearly circularised orbit (Rappaport & Joss 1983; Ash et al. 1999; van Kerkwijk, van Paradijs & Zuiderwijk 1995; Mason et al. 2012). So assuming a circular orbit, the separation between the binary components \( a \), can be expressed as

\[
\frac{a^3}{\pi} = \frac{G(M_c + M_{NS})P_{orb}^2}{4} (1)
\]

where \( M_{NS} \) is the mass of the neutron star which is assumed to be 1.4 M☉, \( P_{orb} = 4.24 \) days is the orbital period of the system and \( M_c \) is the mass of the supergiant companion star which is assumed to be in the range 10 M☉ - 30 M☉. For an orbital separation \( a \), we have then calculated the range of inclination \( i \) as a function of the companion star radius \( R_c \), given by Equation (2) (Rubin et al. 1996).

\[
\frac{R_c}{a} = \sqrt{\cos^2 i + \sin^2 i \sin^2 \theta_E} (2)
\]

where \( \theta_E \sim 17° \) is the observed eclipse semi-angle. The upper limit to the companion radius for a given mass is constrained by the Roche lobe radius \( R_{Roche} \), which is given by

\[
R_{Roche} = a(0.38 + 0.2\log(\frac{M_c}{M_{NS}})) (3)
\]

Similiarly, we carried out the above calculations for the companion as a main sequence B star having masses in the range of 2 M☉ - 16 M☉ and radii in the range of 5 R☉ - 25 R☉ (Habets & Heintze 1981) and assuming a circular orbit. Figure 7 is the plot of the range of inclination angles allowed for given mass of the O9 supergiant companion star and main sequence B star as a function of its radius, assuming a circular orbit.

For a supergiant companion star radius of 20 R☉, the inclination of the orbital plane of the binary system is in the range 39° - 57°. For a main sequence B star of radius 10 R☉, the inclination of the orbital plane of the binary system is in the range 60° - 77°.

5.2 Energy dependent pulse profiles

Figure 3 shows the complex double peaked pulse profiles of IGR J16394-4643 in the Suzaku energy sub-bands. The pulse fraction increases with energy, from ∼ 33% in XIS 0.3 - 12 keV energy band to ∼ 65% in PIN 12 - 50 keV energy band, which is also seen in many other X-ray pulsars (White, Swank & Holt 1983; Frontera & Dal Fiume 1989; Nagase 1989). There is a narrow dip present in the pulse profiles at pulse phase ∼ 0.35, which was also seen in pulse profiles created with RXTE PCA (Thompson et al. 2008) and XMM-Newton (Bodaghee et al. 2006). This narrow dip...
exists even at higher energies as seen in Figure[3] and Figure[4] for XIS and PIN energy bands. Narrow high energy dips in the pulse profiles are seen in other X-ray pulsars like GX 1+4 (Naik, Paul & Callanan 2005), EXO 2030+375 (Naik et al. 2013), A 0535+262 (Frontera et al. 1998) and are associated with the intrinsic beaming pattern of the source. From Figure,[4] we see a phase lag of the soft photons with respect to the hard photons in the energy resolved pulse profiles. Such soft phase lags have been observed in millisecond X-ray pulsars (Cui, Morgan & Titarchuk 1998) and are attributed to the circumstellar environment around the pulsar. The phase averaged spectrum is described by a power-law with spectral index $\Gamma \sim 0.9$ and a high energy cut-off above 20 keV. The presence of the soft excess as detected from the XMM-Newton observation (Bodaghee et al. 2006) could not be confirmed in the Suzaku observation. Due to limited statistical quality of this Suzaku observation, it is difficult to make an in-depth pulse phase resolved spectral analysis.

Instead, the pulse profile is broadly resolved into peak phase and trough phase and pulse phase dependance of the spectral properties are studied in these two phase-bins (Figure[6]). While the column density is similar in both the pulse phases, there is a change in the continuum spectral parameters which is observed for both the spectral models in Table 1. The spectrum is softer at the trough phase and harder at the peak phase, which may be due the additional softer photons near the off pulse regions. Alternately, this may also imply a deeper and more direct view into the emission region along the magnetic axis at the pulse peak as would be for the case for a fan beam kind of emission pattern (Pravdo et al. 1973). The cut-off parameters (fold energy $E_F$ and cut-off energy $E_C$) for both power-law with HIGHECUT and power-law with FDCUT spectral models however, remain constant in the two pulse phases. The Fe Kα line is present in both the phases, with the equivalent width higher in trough phase than in peak phase. In contrast with the results obtained in Bodaghee et al. (2006) with the XMM-Newton, which operated in the limited energy band of 0.3-10 keV, there is an underlying change in the source spectrum as a function of pulse phase which is brought out in this broad-band Suzaku observation.

IGR J16393-4643 makes an interesting candidate for detailed pulse phase resolved spectroscopy with future X-ray missions with broad-band energy coverage. Such in-depth analysis will help in better understanding of the accretion geometry and beaming pattern of these underfilled Roche lobe systems.

5.3 Phase resolved spectral characteristics

From phase averaged spectral characteristics of IGR J16393-4643 (Table 1 and Figure[5]), we find very high line of sight column density of absorbing matter $\sim 3 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. Such high column density of absorbing matter has been found in previous studies of this system by RXTE-PCA (Thompson et al. 2006) and by XMM-Newton (Bodaghee et al. 2006) and is attributed to the circumstellar environment around the pulsar. The phase averaged spectrum is described by a power-law with spectral index $\Gamma \sim 0.9$ and a high energy cut-off above 20 keV. The presence of the soft excess as detected from the XMM-Newton observation (Bodaghee et al. 2006) could not be confirmed in the Suzaku observation. Due to limited statistical quality of this Suzaku observation, it is difficult to make an in-depth pulse phase resolved spectral analysis.
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