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ABSTRACT

Objectives This study investigated the factors influencing unmet healthcare needs of people during the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic in Seoul, South Korea. The findings help to identify people who have difficulty accessing healthcare services during a pandemic situation.

Design We conducted a cross-sectional study using a proportionate quota sampling method according to five major districts, sex and age, using an online survey. We analysed the key characteristics of influencing factors of unmet healthcare needs based on the Andersen behavioural model of healthcare utilisation: predisposing factors (eg, sex, age), need factors (eg, health status, illness) and enabling factors (eg, income, efficacy belief).

Setting The questionnaire was sent via email and mobile text messages from the end of April to the beginning of May 2020 during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Participants A sample of 813 respondents was used, and the respondent information was anonymised in the analysis process.

Results For the predisposing factors, sex, age, education level and occupational cluster were associated with unmet needs for healthcare. Chronic diseases and mental health were the influencing factors as an enabling factor that exerted an influence on the unmet need for healthcare in South Korea. Women, younger persons, those with lower education and persons with white-collar jobs were more likely to experience unmet healthcare needs. In addition, the more chronic diseases people had, the more COVID-19 negatively affected them mentally; and the more people felt fear of COVID-19, the higher chances they experienced unmet healthcare needs.

Conclusion Government and policymakers are guided to draw out measures such as health communication and telemedicine to reduce the unmet healthcare needs during the pandemic and to recognise the different influencing factors.

BACKGROUND

The novel COVID-19 outbreak started from Wuhan, China in late 2019, spreading globally at a dramatically fast rate. The first confirmed COVID-19 case was reported on 20 January 2020 in South Korea. In response to the rapid spread, the Korean government raised the infectious disease crisis emergency steps from ‘warning’ to ‘alert’. The Seoul Metropolitan government commenced a ‘Social Distancing Campaign’ to contain the spread of the virus. Citizens in Seoul were recommended to avoid physical contact, refrain from going outdoors, maintain personal hygiene and wear a mask in public places. According to previous studies, social distancing measures, such as avoiding visits to crowded places and hospitals, adversely impacted people’s daily lives and led to even more negative social consequences and risks. Hospitals are avoided by the general public due to the fear of getting infected, as was evident during the SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) pandemic. Such behaviour leads to unmet healthcare needs, also referred to as unmet medical care, that is, when people cannot use healthcare services even if they want to. Unmet medical care causes deteriorating health status, and thus using healthcare services on time is critical. In particular, the experience of unmet medical care can be a serious threat to health for those with chronic diseases that require continuous medical care and treatment. However, mental health
issues, such as depression and anxiety, or unmet medical care, which get deteriorated during the pandemic, are seldom addressed in the literature. The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the factors influencing unmet healthcare needs during the COVID-19 pandemic in Seoul, South Korea. We aim to identify the people who may fail to use healthcare services and their reasons for doing so. In addition, we develop measures to reduce unmet healthcare needs in an epidemic situation.

METHODS

Model

We used the Andersen behavioural model of healthcare utilisation to analyse the factors that affect unmet healthcare needs during the COVID-19 pandemic. This model is widely used to identify the factors leading to healthcare utilisation, such as medical care, outpatient care and physician visits. The Andersen model divides factors into three categories that determine individuals’ healthcare-seeking behaviours: predisposing factors, need factors and enabling factors. The predisposing factors are typically beyond individuals’ control, for example, demographic (sex, age), social structure (education level, occupation), and health belief and attitudes. The need factors are directly related to healthcare utilisation and consist of individuals’ health status, illness and disability. Lastly, the enabling factors are those that allow individuals to use healthcare services such as family and community resources; income, type of health insurance and the number of hospitals in the community are examples of enabling factors.

In this study, the predisposing factors that we examined were sex, age, education level, marital status, children (whether respondents had children) and occupational clusters. The need factors were self-rated health status, the number of chronic diseases, depression and negative impact on mental health (whether respondents’ mental health was negatively affected by COVID-19). Lastly, the enabling factors were income level, decrease in income (ie, whether respondents’ overall income decreased after COVID-19), perceived susceptibility and severity related to the COVID-19, efficacy belief to borough, fear, stigma and availability of social support (ie, whether respondents had someone they can seek help from, in case they get infected).

Data collection

In this study, we selected a cross-sectional survey design to identify the influencing factors on unmet medical care after the COVID-19 pandemic in South Korea. The survey was conducted from the end of April to the beginning of May (28 April–1 May 2020), when the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic occurred and South Korea experienced a period of high-intensity social distancing. We used a total of 460000 online panels from a reputable public opinion company called HanKook Research Company. To represent the entire population of Seoul, the survey respondents were selected by the proportionate quota random sampling method according to five major districts, sex and age. The online method for conducting the survey was adopted in consideration of the pandemic. The survey was requested by 3773 people, of which 1007 people participated in the survey, and 813 people completed the survey (completion rate: 80.7%). The survey had a margin of error of plus or minus 3.4%, p value at a 95% confidence level.

The survey Uniform Resource Locator (URL) was sent to participants via email and mobile text message, and it is designed so that survey results cannot be sent if the required items are not answered. In addition, the responses were automatically recorded in the database once completed; the respondent information remained anonymous in the analysis process.

Dependent variable

The study examined whether respondents failed to receive medical care services during the COVID-19 pandemic. The dependent variable was unmet healthcare need. We asked questions to determine whether respondents felt they needed healthcare services but did not receive them during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Independent variable

The following variables were included in the analysis for predisposing factors: sex (man=0, woman=1), age, education level (high school or below=0, graduate or higher=1), marital status (single=0, married=1), presence of children (none=0, yes=1), occupational cluster (white-collar job=0, blue-collar job or self-employed=1, economically inactive population such as housewife, retired or unemployed people=2). In terms of need factors, the following variables were included: self-rated health (bad=0, good=1), the number of chronic diseases (absence of chronic diseases=0, one chronic disease=1, two or more chronic diseases=2), depression due to COVID-19 (no=0, yes=1), and negative impact on mental health, such as anxiety or stress (whether respondents’ mental health was negatively affected by COVID-19 or not). For enabling factors, two factors related to perceived risk were included. Perceived risk refers to an individual’s belief of vulnerability to a particular risk. Perceived susceptibility refers to beliefs about the likelihood of experiencing an illness, whereas severity refers to beliefs about the seriousness or magnitude of the illness. The greater the risk an individual perceives, the more motivated he or she is to engage in protective behaviours. We also focused on situational fear related to COVID-19 that had two components. This study defines fear as the feeling that arises when the respondent or someone from the neighbourhood might be infected with the COVID-19, whereas stigma is defined as a respondent’s anxiety about getting blamed for spreading the virus. For efficacy belief to borough, respondents were asked whether they agreed to the statements for preparedness, response, and crisis management for the prevention of COVID-19 in their borough.
Severity was 3.87. Over 50% of respondents evaluated perceived susceptibility score was 2.70 and perceived the COVID-19 outbreak. For perceived risk, the average 45.3% of respondents experienced loss of income after most respondents were in the '$1675>$2512', group, and negatively affected. In terms of income and income loss, COVID-19, and 46.3% noted that their mental health was diseases. Regarding mental health, 38.6% of respondents reported that they felt they were depressed due to their efficacy belief in the regional area was low. In respect of fear and stigma to COVID-19, the average scores were 3.56 and 3.27, respectively. Most of the respondents had social support and could ask for help if infected. For healthcare utilisation, 13.4% of respondents failed to receive medical care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results of the logistic regression analysis
The logistic regression results are summarised in table 2. Sex was found to be an independent factor associated with unmet healthcare needs (OR=1.83, 95% CI 1.141 to 2.936, p=0.012). Age factor was positively associated with unmet healthcare needs, implying that an increase in the age by 1 year is associated with a 2.5% decrease in unmet healthcare needs. Education level and occupational cluster were also associated with unmet healthcare needs (OR=0.486, 95% CI 0.278 to 0.848, p=0.011; OR=0.532, 95% CI 0.308 to 0.92, p=0.024). The number of chronic diseases had a significant effect on unmet healthcare needs, when compared with the absence; the probability for unmet medical care increased with an increase in the number of chronic diseases (one chronic disease: OR=2.234, 95% CI 1.263 to 3.952, p=0.006; two or more chronic diseases: OR=2.341, 95% CI 1.081 to 5.067, p=0.031). Respondents who were negatively affected due to COVID-19 were more likely to experience unmet healthcare needs compared with those who were not (OR=2.353, 95% CI 1.284 to 4.312, p=0.006). Among enabling factors, fear was the only independent factor significantly associated with unmet healthcare needs, indicating that the probability for unmet healthcare needs increased with increased fear (OR=1.432, 95% CI 1.017 to 2.017, p=0.40).

Statistical analysis
We conducted a logistic regression analysis to demonstrate the factors influencing unmet healthcare needs during the COVID-19 pandemic based on Andersen’s healthcare utilisation model. After the analysis, OR was calculated and presented with a 95% CI. SAS V.9.4 statistical package program was used for data analysis.

Patient and public involvement
Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this study.

RESULTS
Descriptive statistics
The descriptive statistics of the study sample are presented in table 1. This study involved 813 respondents; men and women were equally represented in the sample. The average age was 46.0 years. In terms of education level, most respondents (79.8%) had the university and above category; 59.2% of respondents were married and 59.4% had children. When examining the occupational cluster, 41.1% of respondents were in the white-collar group, 35.4% in the economically inactive population group, and 23.5% in the blue-collar and self-employed group. Of the total respondents, 52.4% replied that their self-rated health was good, and over 60% did not have chronic diseases. Regarding mental health, 38.6% of respondents reported that they felt they were depressed due to COVID-19, and 46.3% noted that their mental health was negatively affected. In terms of income and income loss, most respondents were in the '$1675>$2512', group, and 45.3% of respondents experienced loss of income after the COVID-19 outbreak. For perceived risk, the average perceived susceptibility score was 2.70 and perceived severity was 3.87. Over 50% of respondents evaluated their efficacy belief in the regional area was low. In respect of fear and stigma to COVID-19, the average scores were 3.56 and 3.27, respectively. Most of the respondents had social support and could ask for help if infected. For healthcare utilisation, 13.4% of respondents failed to receive medical care during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Discussion
This study presents the first insight into people who may fail to use healthcare services following the COVID-19 pandemic in Seoul, South Korea by investigating the factors influencing healthcare utilisation. Our findings can guide researchers and policymakers to draw out measures to unmet healthcare needs during pandemics.

Women were more likely to experience unmet healthcare needs than men, which was consistent with previous studies in avoidance behaviours during pandemic situations. Women were more vulnerable to psychological distress during an epidemic and showed avoidance behaviour such as, for example, avoiding visiting hospitals due to psychological distress and anxiety about getting infected. During the SARS pandemic, women were more likely to show avoidance behaviours or signs of emotional distress.

Our study results showed that as age increased, the rate of unmet medical care decreased. However, the elderly tended to avoid medical services due to the awareness of high risks in the COVID-19 situation. Furthermore, the COVID-19 crisis has revealed discriminatory attitudes toward older people. However, the results of our study...
may be impacted by the Korean medical culture. Korea has a national health insurance system which covers the entire population. In this context, Korea’s medical utilisation volume is known to be the highest in the world. However, due to the situation of high uncertainty during the COVID-19 pandemic, relatively healthy young people

| Classification          | Characteristic                                      | Group                      | N (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Predisposing factor    | Gender                                              | Female                     | 436 (53.6) |
|                        |                                                     | Male                       | 377 (46.4) |
|                        | Age                                                 |                            | 813 (100)  |
|                        | M (SD)                                              | 46.0 (14.9)                |       |
|                        | Education level                                     | High school or below       | 164 (20.2) |
|                        |                                                     | Some college or above      | 649 (79.8) |
|                        | Marital status                                      | Presence of spouse         | 481 (59.2) |
|                        |                                                     | Absence                    | 332 (40.8) |
|                        | Children                                            | Presence of children       | 483 (59.4) |
|                        |                                                     | Absence                    | 330 (44.6) |
|                        | Occupation                                          | White-collar job           | 334 (41.1) |
|                        |                                                     | Blue-collar job or self-employed | 191 (23.5) |
|                        |                                                     | Economically inactive population | 288 (35.4) |
| Need factor            | Self-rated health                                   | Bad                        | 385 (47.4) |
|                        |                                                     | Good                       | 428 (52.6) |
|                        | The number of chronic diseases                      | Absence of chronic diseases| 549 (67.5) |
|                        |                                                     | 1 chronic disease          | 182 (22.4) |
|                        |                                                     | More than 2 chronic diseases| 82 (10.1)  |
|                        | Depression                                           | No                         | 499 (61.4) |
|                        |                                                     | Yes                        | 314 (38.6) |
|                        | Negative impact on mental health                    | No                         | 437 (53.8) |
|                        |                                                     | Yes                        | 376 (46.3) |
| Enabling factors       | Income level                                         | Less than $1675            | 73 (9.0)  |
|                        |                                                     | More than $1675, less than $2512 | 395 (48.6) |
|                        |                                                     | Above $2512 and less than $4187 | 249 (30.6) |
|                        |                                                     | Above $4187                | 96 (11.8)  |
|                        | Income less                                          | No                         | 445 (54.7) |
|                        |                                                     | Yes                        | 368 (45.3) |
|                        | Perceived susceptibility                             |                            | 813 (100)  |
|                        | M (SD)                                              | 2.70 (0.74)                |       |
|                        | Perceived vulnerability                              |                            | 813 (100)  |
|                        | M (SD)                                              | 3.87 (0.82)                |       |
|                        | Efficacy belief to borough                           | Low                        | 467 (57.4) |
|                        |                                                     | High                       | 346 (42.6) |
|                        | Fear                                                 |                            | 813 (100)  |
|                        | M (SD)                                              | 3.56 (0.74)                |       |
|                        | Stigma                                               |                            | 813 (100)  |
|                        | M (SD)                                              | 3.27 (0.82)                |       |
|                        | Social support                                       | No                         | 67 (8.2)   |
|                        |                                                     | Yes                        | 746 (91.8) |

The fees were converted into US dollars using an exchange rate of US$1=1194 Korean won (average exchange rate during September 2019–September 2020).
may have reduced availing non-essential medical services. Moreover, compared with old people, the unmet medical care of young people was associated with economic reasons or time costs, as they had to work to earn their living. In other words, however, old people suffered a double whammy, as their income is low, despite high demand of medical care.16 However, the results of our study may be impacted by the Korean medical culture. Korea has a national health insurance system which covers the entire population. In this context, Korea’s medical utilisation volume is known to be the highest in the world. However, due to the situation of high uncertainty during the COVID-19 pandemic, relatively healthy young people may have reduced availing non-essential medical services. Moreover, compared with old people, the unmet medical care of young people was associated with economic reasons or time costs, as they had to work to earn their living. In other words, young adults voluntarily underused healthcare services to avoid time cost, and old people passively did so, owing to low income or recommendations for postponement from doctors. Even though unmet medical care occurred in all age groups during the COVID-19 pandemic, it seemed that the extent of underutilisation of healthcare services among young people was greater.

### Table 2: Logistic regression results for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on unmet healthcare needs

| Effect                        | OR       | 95% Wald confidence limits | Pr >χ² |
|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|--------|
| **Predisposing factor**       |          |                          |        |
| Sex (ref. man)                | Woman    | 1.83                      | 1.141  | 2.936  | 0.012  |
| Age                           |          | 0.975                     | 0.953  | 0.997  | 0.028  |
| Education level (ref. high school or below) | Some college and above | 0.486 | 0.278 | 0.848 | 0.011 |
| Marital status (ref. no)      | Yes      | 1.178                     | 0.588  | 2.359  | 0.644  |
| Presence of children (ref. no) | Yes      | 1.004                     | 0.459  | 2.196  | 0.992  |
| Occupational cluster (ref. white-collar job) | Blue-collar job or self-employed | 0.653 | 0.35  | 1.217 | 0.180 |
|                                | Economically inactive population | 0.532 | 0.308 | 0.92  | 0.024  |
| **Need factor**               |          |                          |        |
| Self-rated health (ref. bad)  | Good     | 1.578                     | 0.992  | 2.509  | 0.054  |
| The number of chronic diseases (ref. absence of chronic diseases) | 1 chronic disease | 2.234 | 1.263 | 3.952 | 0.006 |
|                               | 2 or more chronic diseases | 2.341 | 1.081 | 5.067 | 0.031 |
| Depression (ref. no)          | Yes      | 1.042                     | 0.583  | 1.861  | 0.890  |
| Negative impact on mental health (ref. no) | Yes | 2.353 | 1.284 | 4.312 | 0.006 |
| **Enabling factor**           |          |                          |        |
| Income level (ref. less than $1675) | Above $1675 and less than $2512 | 0.665 | 0.298 | 1.484 | 0.319 |
|                               | Above $2512 and less than $4187 | 0.973 | 0.417 | 2.271 | 0.950 |
|                               | Above $4187 | 0.487 | 0.17  | 1.394 | 0.180 |
| Income loss (ref. no)         | Yes      | 1.047                     | 0.666  | 1.646  | 0.843  |
| Perceived susceptibility      |          | 1.178                     | 0.869  | 1.598  | 0.291  |
| Perceived severity            |          | 1.218                     | 0.889  | 1.669  | 0.219  |
| Efficacy belief to borough (ref. low) | High | 1.358 | 0.864 | 2.136 | 0.185 |
| Fear                          |          | 1.432                     | 1.017  | 2.017  | 0.040  |
| Stigma                        |          | 0.818                     | 0.617  | 1.085  | 0.163  |
| Social support (ref. no)      | Yes      | 0.675                     | 0.334  | 1.365  | 0.274  |
Additionally, the study results regarding the education level were consistent with previous studies indicating the association between education level and unmet medical care.\textsuperscript{15-19} Cumulative studies indicate that education level has disproportionate influences on healthcare utilisation, where education is generally related to health behaviour or attitudes.\textsuperscript{18, 19} In other words, people with lower education levels were more likely to experience unmet healthcare needs due to limited knowledge and illiteracy. Illiteracy was especially positively associated with mortality and transmissibility, likely contributing to lower access to medical care as well as decreased awareness and adoption of interventions proposed by public health officials, which increases the risk of infection and poor clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{17, 20}

Regarding occupational clusters, economically inactive populations, such as homemakers and retired or unemployed people, experienced unmet medical care less frequently than white-collar workers. This is possible since the economically inactive populations had more time to use healthcare services than white-collar people who were unable to go to clinics or hospitals due to time costs.

Patients with chronic diseases were more likely to experience unmet medical care than those without, which was consistent with previous studies conducted during pandemics—influenza A (H1N1), SARS and COVID-19.\textsuperscript{8, 21, 22} Further, the COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the spectrum of cancer care, including delayed diagnoses and treatment together with halting clinical trials in the USA.\textsuperscript{23} Chronic patients suffering from cancer and respiratory diseases are more susceptible to viruses due to reduced immune function; clinical health risks, such as admission rate and infection rate, of patient suffering chronic disease increase during pandemics.\textsuperscript{8, 21, 22} The rapid transmission of the virus and limited knowledge about it result in an increase in risk perception, such as depression, distress or anxiety.\textsuperscript{23} Due to the increased risk perception, chronic patients may avoid visiting hospitals and prefer to avoid exposure to the disease, contributing to unmet healthcare needs.

We also found that people whose mental health (such as anxiety or stress) was negatively influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic experienced unmet medical care compared with others. This was also evident during the H1N1 crisis where those who were worried and emotionally distressed due to the outbreak were more likely to adopt avoidance behaviours such as, for example, avoiding outdoors, crowded places and hospitals.\textsuperscript{2} Those who were mentally distressed during COVID-19 were more likely to take precautionary measures against the viruses, and so they chose to not receive healthcare services on time. A recent publication has emphasised the importance of psychological disaster preparedness\textsuperscript{25} during such times.

The result of this study regarding the relationship between fear and unmet healthcare needs was consistent with previous studies during SARS, H1N1 pandemic.\textsuperscript{2, 3, 15} People who reported fears about contracting the virus or increase the number of infections nearby were more likely to avoid visiting hospitals due to fear of getting infected. Also, people who were worried about their family members contracting the virus from them were more likely to avoid hospitals.\textsuperscript{2} Therefore, people with fear display precautionary behaviour, avoiding hospitals, contributing to unmet medical care.

In the short term, minimising face-to-face treatment is necessary to reduce exposure to the COVID-19 infection. However, receiving treatment is more beneficial than not receiving treatment. Hence, it is necessary to inform the public, including patients, that facilities for treatment are safe from COVID-19.\textsuperscript{26} At the same time, it may be helpful to use a non-face-to-face approach. At present, telemedicine has been banned in principle, except in exceptional circumstances. There is a need to officially allow telemedicine for people with chronic diseases. Initially, it needs to be introduced in a limited way for facilitating medication regimen or for a check-up, following up and consultation. However, as the COVID-19 pandemic is prolonged, proxy prescriptions were temporarily allowed only for persons in self-quarantine and suffering from chronic diseases. It began providing care services using Internet of Things and Artificial Intelligence for the disabled and the elderly in nursing homes not for medical treatment from the end of 2020.

This study has a few limitations. First, the results of this study were based on self-reported data of respondents, which leaves room for measurement error and self-reported biases. Second, the study used the online panels due to the consideration of the pandemic, this might have caused a selection bias possibility. Third, since the study selected a cross-sectional design at the beginning of the pandemic, it is important to be careful with interpretation when comparing the results of other studies dealing with unmet healthcare needs during the pandemic. Despite the above limitations, this study aimed to demonstrate factors influencing unmet healthcare needs amid the COVID-19 pandemic, and we hope that this study will help countries or researchers grappling with healthcare utilisation amid COVID-19 pandemic situations.

We used a total of 460 000 online panels to represent the entire population of Seoul and survey respondents were selected by the proportionate quota random sampling method according to five major districts, sex and age. The online method for conducting the survey was adopted in consideration of the pandemic. The survey was requested by 5773 people, of which 1007 people participated in the survey, and 813 people completed the survey (completion rate: 80.7%). With regard to the socioeconomic characteristics, the study sample represented the characteristics of Seoul well. The survey had a margin of error of plus or minus 3.4%, p value at a 95% confidence level.

This study contributes to the existing literature in the following way. We examined the unmet healthcare needs during the COVID-19 pandemic for the entire population of Seoul, the capital of Korea. According to a recent study, older adults were the most severely affected group in Belgium, France, Italy, Spain and the UK, particularly
those living in nursing homes. Therefore, future research will be necessary to study unmet healthcare needs for the elderly who are admitted to long-term care facilities in major Asian countries other than Europe.
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