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1. Introduction

Calculus is mineralized material on tooth surface that is covered by bacterial plaque. In general, it is the primary agent for various dental pathologies such as caries, periodontitis, and alveolar abscess [1–4]. The conditions that can affect calculus are very diverse [2, 5, 6]. Many factors like salivary flow, hydration, mineral and silicon content in food and water, and oral hygiene are known to affect the prevalence and extent of dental calculus in individuals. Clinical and experimental data also supported that urea and alkaline pH might induce calculus mineralization as well [7–9].

Besides them, the dietary pattern of specific population is one of the most serious causes of dental calculus forming [10]. Briefly, calculus forming might have been related to protein-rich food like fish or meat [7, 11, 12]. Others argued...
that carbohydrate-rich diets might promote calculus deposition [7, 13, 14]. Calculus is also facilitated by severe dental attrition that is known to be highly influenced by the type of food we eat [15–20]. Therefore, the association between specific populations’ subsistence strategy and tooth pathology has been studied in different populations [21–28]. For example, the causal relationship between carbohydrates and cavities has been discussed extensively. The more carbohydrate-rich foods they eat, the more cavities they might have [24, 29–36]. Likewise, the prevalence of calculus could be variable according to the difference in subsistence strategy and lifestyle of specific population [7]. However, the data of this situation, which could reveal information regarding the dental health and hygiene level of specific historical populations, has not been well established so far in terms of history.

The sixteenth to nineteenth century Eurasian continent is therefore an interesting topic for us. Since the wave of modernization was not yet dominated on the eastern part of continent until then, there were various population groups in this world that maintained their survival in very traditional ways. That is, the Siberian native people lived with hunting and gathering technique that had been handed down for generations. Many other people were also engaged in agriculture in Eurasian continent during the same period. Rice farming was a major production base for those Korean or Japanese people in East Asia. Russian settlers, mainly depending on wheat farming and lived relatively rudimentary, but under the clear influence of Russian Empire, also existed in Siberia. Although they existed in similar time and on the same Eurasian continent, they maintained completely different dietary and survival strategy. Since this means that the occurrence of calculus might have been quite different between them, we tried to reveal it with any scientific evidence.

2. Materials and Methods

We examined the crania of West Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period Korean people (Figure 1). All three groups were living in the sixteenth to nineteenth century. The archaeological information of Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period mummies are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1. As for the West Siberian natives, the crania have been curated by the Institute of the Problems of Northern Development Center (Tyumen, Russian Federation) [37]. The Siberian natives were hunter-gatherers. Their crania (n = 53; 30 females and 23 males) were originated from the Tatar (n = 34), Khanty (n = 7), and Nenet (n = 12) people (Table 1 and Figure 1). The total number of Siberian natives’ teeth was 820. Among them, the Tatars, a Turkic-speaking people of West Siberia, were fishermen, hunters, and pastoralists [38]. The Khanty (fishermen and hunters) settled in north-taiga or forest-tundra zones at the Middle and Lower Ob River regions [39, 40]. The Nenets, as hunters, fishermen, and reindeer herders, lived at the Arctic and Near Arctic Circle [37, 41].

Meanwhile, the Russian settler’s crania investigated in this study were consisted of 79 individuals (32 males and 47 females; total number of teeth = 1,304) (Table 1 and Figure 1). The Russian settlers’ Izyuk village was built as early as 1648 CE around the Irtysh River [37]. A cemetery was found during archaeological excavation for the site. In previous reports, the settlers buried at the cemetery migrated from Central or Northern Russia as well as Eastern Europe [37, 42]. They were engaged in wheat cultivation. Their crania were curated at the Institute of the Problems of Northern Development Center (Tyumen, Russia) [37]. The Joseon dynasty people’s crania were consisted of 90 individuals (48 males and 42 females; total number of teeth = 1,992) (Table 1 and Figure 1). They were engaged in rice cultivation. Joseon dynasty was one of the last countries to open its ports to Western countries. Therefore, our study on Joseon people’s teeth can be a good example of dental pathology in a country where sociocultural changes by industrialization were delayed until relatively recent days [30]. The crania are curated in Seoul National University College of Medicine (Seoul, South Korea).

Age and sex were estimated by methods of Buikstra and Ubelaker [43]. Age estimation was based on pubic symphysis, auricular surface, ectocranial suture closure, and level of dental attrition. The age of immature remains was estimated using dental eruption and formation and epiphyseal closure of long bones. All individuals were grouped into 4 age categories for more detailed analysis: adolescents (15–19 years), young adults (20–34 years), middle adults (35–49 years), and old adults (over 50).

Sex was also estimated using dimorphic features in pelvis or skull, as these are reliable data for sex determination in osteoarchaeology. Skull’s sexually dimorphic features include mastoid process, nuchal crest, inion protuberance, zygomatic root, supraorbital ridge, frontal shape, and gonial shape [44–47]. Dimorphic features of the pelvis also include ventral arc, preauricular sulcus, greater sciotic notch, medial portion of the pubis, subpubic concavity, subpubic angle, and median ischiopubic ridge [47, 48]. The information of age and sex distributions for Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon people are summarized in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Before our examination, every tooth was cleaned with soft brush to facilitate precise observation. All individuals were examined for any signs of calculus formation. Dental calculi were evaluated macroscopically under natural light with the aid of magnifying glass. Calculus was documented by the standards of Brothwell [45] and Buikstra and Ubelaker [43]. Two methods of analysis of dental calculi were used in this study, individual count method (per individual) and tooth count method (per teeth) [49]. Per individual method is useful in studying the population prevalence of a certain dental disease, and per teeth method permits larger sample sizes for statistical analysis and facilitates the comparison of disease frequencies [49]. The prevalence of calculi was also analyzed by sex and age to see any difference between them.

In this study, package R [50] was used for statistical analysis. We compared the proportions of age or sex across each group by Pearson’s Chi-squared test [37]. The calculus rates in each group were compared by Pearson Chi-squared test.
For comparison of prevalence in case that sample number was less than 10, Fisher’s exact test was applied [37]. We used the package ggplot2 implemented in package R version 4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) to draw charts for displaying prevalence of data in each group [51].

### 3. Results and Discussion

In statistical analysis to see the homogeneity in the age proportions, we confirmed that the age groups between Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period Koreans were not differently distributed (Pearson’s Chi-squared test, \( P \) value = 0.3225 and 0.217 for Siberian natives and Russian settlers and Russian settlers and Joseon people, respectively; Fisher’s exact test, \( P \) value = 0.1507 for Siberian natives and Joseon people). Likewise, sex proportions showed no inter-group difference statistically between them (Pearson’s Chi-squared test, \( P \) value = 0.1013, 0.3297, and 0.5157 for between Siberian natives and Russian settlers, Siberian natives and Joseon people, and Russian settlers and Joseon people, respectively).

In this study, the prevalence of calculus deposition by individual decreased in the order of Joseon people (76.7%), Russian settlers (60.8%), and Siberian natives (26.4%) (Table 2). Also, in the prevalence of calculus by teeth, the

| People              | Site                      | Date                | N     | Activity and subsistence                  |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------|
| Siberian natives    |                           |                     |       |                                           |
| Tatar               | Omsk                      | 17th to 20th century| 34    | Fishers-hunters, cattle breeder, farmers to a lesser extent |
| Khanty              | Khanty-Mansi autonomous Okrug | 17th to 18th century| 7     | Fishers-hunters                           |
| Nenet               | Yamalo-Nenets autonomous Okrug | 19th to 20th century| 12    | Fishers, reindeer herders                 |
| Russian settlers    | Russian Omsk (Izyuk)      | 16th to 18th century| 79    | Agricultural (wheat)                      |
| Joseon period people| Korean South Korea        | 16th to 19th century| 90    | Agricultural (rice)                       |

For comparison of prevalence in case that sample number was less than 10, Fisher’s exact test was applied [37].
Table 2: Calculus prevalence of Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period people (per individual).

| Age   | Siberian natives | Russian settlers | Joseon people |
|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
|       | Total (n)        | Affected (n)     | Nonaffected (n) | Frequency (%) | Total (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Frequency (%) | Total | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Frequency (%) |
|       | 7                | 1                | 6              | 14.3          | 9           | 4             | 5              | 44.4          | 4     | 3             | 1              | 75.0           |
|       | 26               | 6                | 20             | 23.1          | 30          | 23            | 7              | 76.7          | 38    | 27            | 11             | 71.1           |
|       | 16               | 6                | 10             | 37.5          | 26          | 16            | 10             | 61.5          | 37    | 31            | 6              | 83.8           |
|       | 4                | 1                | 3              | 25.0          | 14          | 5             | 9              | 35.7          | 11    | 8             | 3              | 72.7           |
| Total | 53               | 14               | 39             | 26.4          | 79          | 48            | 31             | 60.8          | 90    | 69            | 21             | 76.7           |
| Age   | Total (n) | Siberian natives | Russian settlers | Joseon people |
|-------|-----------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
|       | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
| Adolescent | 121      | 2                | 119            | 16            | 122          | 116            | 21            | 95            |
|         | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
| YA     | 391       | 10               | 381            | 158           | 453          | 942            | 244           | 698           |
|        | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
| MA     | 242       | 38               | 204            | 106           | 294          | 783            | 314           | 469           |
|        | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
| OA     | 66        | 4                | 62             | 15            | 140          | 151            | 69            | 82            |
|        | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
| Total  | 820       | 54               | 766            | 1,304         | 1,009        | 1,992          | 648           | 1,344         |
|        | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) |
|        | Frequency (%) |               | Frequency (%) |               | Frequency (%) |               | Frequency (%) |               |
|        | 6.6       | 1,304            | 29.2           | 1,992         | 648          | 1,344         | 32.5         |               |

Table 3: Calculus prevalence of Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period people (per teeth).
Table 4: Statistical analysis of dental calculus prevalence between Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon people (Chi-squared test; \( P \) value).

|                | Siberian natives | Russian settlers | Joseon people |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Siberian natives | \( ^a \) 0.002175** | \( ^a \) < 2.2e-16**** | \( ^a \) 9.669e-10**** |
| Russian settlers | \( ^a \) 1.159e-08 **** | \( ^a \) 0.03859* |                |
| Joseon people   | \( ^a \) 0.03859* | \( ^a \) 9.669e-10**** |                |

*Chi-squared test.

While there are many factors involved in the generation of calculus, diets have long been a part of major interest in related research [11, 13]. Joseon people and Russian settlers in this study must have had a nutrition based primarily on agricultural crops (mainly rice or wheat) with some meat, while Siberian natives mostly relied on animal products for their nutrition. The result of our study to compare agricultural (Joseon and Russian) and hunter-gatherer (native Siberians) populations suggests that an increase in carbohydrate intake might have had an important role in calculus formation in sixteenth to eighteenth century Eurasian continent.

As for the analysis of calculus rates by age, as seen in Figure 2, the calculus prevalence per teeth generally increases as age increases in all Siberian native, Russian settlers, and Joseon period groups. This phenomenon is particularly noticeable in the process of aging from adolescence to middle adult. However, we also note that the prevalence of calculus per teeth, which continued to increase until the middle adult, has been observed to decrease in old adult (Figure 2). We conjecture that this might have been caused by the effect of antemortem tooth loss in old age on dental calculus. This increasing pattern as age increases was not remarkable in the calculus prevalence per individual.

As for the analysis of calculus rates by sex, the prevalence of calculus deposition in males was noteworthy in all three groups (Table 5). In Siberian natives, calculus was found in 47 out of 422 male teeth (11.1%) while 7 out of 398 female teeth (1.8%). The calculus prevalence was statistically different between both sexes (Chi-squared test, \( P = 1.3586e-07 \)). In case of Russian settler’s teeth, we found 141 calculi out of 565 male teeth (25.0%) and 154 calculi out of 739 female teeth (20.8%). The difference was not statistically significant (Chi-squared test, \( P = 0.09028 \)) (Table 5). In case of Joseon people, calculus was observed in 392 out of 1,112 male teeth (35.3%), while 256 out of 880 female teeth (29.1%). The difference in calculus frequency between sex was statistically confirmed (Chi-squared test, \( P = 0.00415 \)) (Table 5).

As mentioned above, in anthropological studies on past populations, it has been proposed that diets or subsistence strategy had impact on the prevalence of dental calculus [7, 10-20]. Such calculus-related studies have been also performed on modern people [2]. For instance, Gaare et al.’s report [52] on twentieth century student groups from Norway and Indonesia, for which variables like age, sex, and...
students showed significant higher dental calculus rates. According to the study, Indonesian students understand the impacts of diets or subsistence strategy on dental background (e.g., dietary habit) represented higher calculus rate than the other Norwegians [52]. The authors also speculated that diets can be the most significant causing factor in the formation of dental calculus considering that the Norwegian individual with Asian cultural background might be caused by their characteristics in diets, drinking waters, or eating habits [52]. This preceding studies on archaeological and modern populations are certainly helpful in interpreting results from the current report.

4. Conclusion

This study on the prevalence of dental calculus is conducted on three different populations in the sixteenth to nineteenth century Eurasian continent: Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon period Korean people. All these groups maintained different diet strategies as wheat- and rice-farming agriculturalists and hunter-gatherers. In brief, Joseon period Koreans were living in rice-cultivating society of East Asia. Russian settlers were based primarily on wheat as a major crop with meats. Siberian natives mostly relied on animal products as hunter-gatherers. The current study demonstrated a significant difference of calculus formation between those three groups with different diets or subsistence strategy in Eurasian continent. Higher prevalence of dental calculus was observed in agriculturalist Joseon Koreans and Russian settlers, but Siberian natives exhibited relatively lower frequency of dental calculus. The results of this study enable us to consider the meaning of association between diets, subsistence strategy, and dental calculus in different populations in history once again.

Data Availability

The datasets used in the current study are available from corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019S1A5C2A01083578); by the Education and Research Encouragement Fund of Seoul National University Hospital (2022), Korea; and by the State Task (program XII.186.4, project No. AAAA-A17-11705400143-4 of Tyumen Scientific Center SB RAS).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary 1. Supplementary Table 1. Proportion of age in Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon people.

Supplementary 2. Supplementary Table 2. Proportion of sex in Siberian natives, Russian settlers, and Joseon people.

References

[1] S. W. Hillson, *Dental Anthropology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[2] A. R. Lieverse, D. W. Link, V. I. Bazaliiskiy, O. I. Gorjunova, and A. W. Weber, “Dental health indicators of hunter-gatherer adaptation and cultural change in Siberia's cis-Baikal,” *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, vol. 134, no. 3, pp. 323–339, 2007.

[3] J. R. Lukacs, “Dental paleopathology: methods for reconstructing dietary patterns,” in *Reconstruction of Life from the Skeleton*, pp. 261–286, Wiley-Liss, New York, 1989.

[4] I. D. Mandel, “Calculation formation and prevention: an overview,” *Compendium*, vol. 8, pp. S235–S241, 1987.

[5] C. S. Larsen, *Bioarchaeology: Interpreting Behavior from the Human Skeleton*, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 2012.

[6] D. Ortner, *Identification of Pathological Conditions in Human Skeletal Remains*, Academic Press, Orlando, 2003.

[7] T. Delgado-Darias, J. Velasco-Vázquez, M. Armay-de-la-Rosa, E. Martín-Rodríguez, and E. González-Reimers, “Calculation, periodontal disease and tooth decay among the prehispanic population from Gran Canaria,” *Journal of Archaeological Science*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 323–339, 2007.

[8] S. R. Epstein, I. D. Mandel, and I. W. Scopp, “Salivary composition and calculus formation in patients undergoing hemodialysis,” *Journal of Periodontology*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 336–338, 1980.

[9] L. Wong, “Plaque mineralization in vitro,” *The New Zealand Dental Journal*, vol. 94, no. 415, pp. 15–18, 1998.

[10] R. Forshaw, “Dental indicators of ancient dietary patterns: dental analysis in archaeology,” *British Dental Journal*, vol. 216, no. 9, pp. 529–535, 2014.

[11] A. R. Lieverse, “Diet and the etiology of dental calculus,” *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 219–232, 1999.

### Table 5: Prevalence of calculus per teeth by sex (Chi-squared test).

|                | Male | Female |
|----------------|------|--------|
|                | Affected (n) | Non-affected (n) | Frequency (%) | Affected (n) | Nonaffected (n) | Frequency (%) | P value |
| Siberian natives | 47   | 375    | 11.1         | 7            | 391            | 1.8           | a1.358e-07**** |
| Russian settlers | 141  | 424    | 25.0         | 154          | 585            | 20.8          | a 0.09028     |
| Joseon people   | 392  | 720    | 35.3         | 256          | 624            | 29.1          | a 0.00415**   |

aChi-squared test.
[47] B. S. Walter, S. N. DeWitte, and R. C. Redfern, “Sex differentials in caries frequencies in medieval London,” *Archives of Oral Biology*, vol. 63, pp. 32–39, 2016.

[48] T. W. Phenice, ”A newly developed visual method of sexing the os pubis,” *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 297–301, 1969.

[49] S. Beckett and N. C. Lovell, “Dental disease evidence for agricultural intensification in the Nubian C-group,” *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 223–239, 1994.

[50] R Core Team, “R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing,” R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2017, https://www.R-project.org/.

[51] H. Wickham, *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.

[52] D. Gaare, G. Rølla, and F. van der Ouderaa, “Comparison of the rate of formation of supragingival calculus in an Asian and European population,” in *Recent advances in the study of dental calculus*, pp. 97–103, IRL Press, Oxford, 1989.