Sol–gel derived hydroxyapatite coating on titanium implants: Optimization of sol–gel process and engineering the interface
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Sol–gel derived hydroxyapatite coatings on metallic implants are important to promote their osseointegration and biocompatibility. However, such coatings generally suffer from drawbacks that limit implant longevity. In this study, the sol gel process to prepare hydroxyapatite was optimized and used to deposit a hydroxyapatite layer on Ti6Al4V. Samples were pretreated by thermal oxidation, sol–gel coating, and anodization to produce titanium dioxide interlayers with various structures. The results of structural and thermal analysis have determined the optimal preparation parameters to produce monophasic and crystalline hydroxyapatite. The introduction of titanium dioxide intermediate layers produced crack-free hydroxyapatite films and promoted the adherence and integrity of the coating, where the adhesive strength was remarkably improved. Furthermore, potentiodynamic polarization tests in simulated body fluid revealed low corrosion rates and high protection property of the hydroxyapatite/titania coated samples, making these structures promising for the coatings of bone replacements.

Introduction

Titanium (Ti) and its alloys are widely used as implants to substitute damaged load-bearing bones due to their unique combination of excellent corrosion resistance, high mechanical strength, low density and high biocompatibility [1–3]. However, there are still limitations associated with implanting these types of biomaterials including their bio-inertness that can inhibit bone tissues growth, release of undesirable ions, severe adhesive wear to bone and induction of stress shielding [4–7]. In this regard, surface modification by deposition of hydroxyapatite (HA) could provide many biological benefits and minimize the limitations of Ti implants [8]. Deposition of HA could be implemented by several techniques including sol–gel method, plasma spraying, electrophoretic deposition and pulsed-laser deposition. Among these techniques, sol-gels have gained much attention over the last years due to its advantages over other coating techniques, e.g. low processing temperature, low cost, and the ability to produce pure and homogenous HA coatings [9].

Synthesis of pure HA via sol–gel method involves several stages: (1) preparation of the sol using suitable precursors, e.g. metal alkoxides or metal salts, (2) gelation where adequate polymerization takes place to form cross-linking of molecules, (3) aging that is important for purity [10, 11] and (4) drying to remove excess solvent. Finally, a heat treatment is performed to eliminate organic compounds and to achieve crystallization. To produce HA coatings, the aged sol is deposited on the substrate by either spray, spin or dip coating and treated afterwards with different drying and sintering procedures. Inaccurate preparation conditions could produce non-biocompatible contaminant phases [12], poorly crystallized HA which is unstable and could be decomposed in the body [13] or formation other calcium phosphate phases [14]. In literature, several studies have been developed to form pure HA [14–19]. However, with such a wide variety of processing conditions, selection of a specific route for HA preparation with defined characteristics is difficult. Hence, determining the optimum experimental variables for
the adopted preparation formula of HA sol is important before coating.

In spite of the biological enhancements that HA coatings could provide to Ti alloys surfaces, there are still many concerns about their use. The difference in the thermal expansion coefficient (CTE) between Ti6Al4V (8.9 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) and HA (15 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) limits the adhesive strength between them and promotes surface defects after sintering, which causes detachment and delamination under loading in the physiological environment. In addition, insufficient corrosion resistance of pure HA coatings, as reported previously [1], raises concerns for long-term reliability. To overcome these challenges, introduction of interlayers, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) in particular, has been reported as an efficient way to improve the adhesion of HA layers and improve corrosion behavior.

Many studies have been carried out to form a TiO₂ sol–gel interlayer and investigate their impact on the HA coatings. Kim et al. [20] observed an improvement in adhesion of HA layer by 60% after introduction of a TiO₂ interlayer. Balakrishnan et al. [21], found that adhesive strength could be increased from 15.8 ± 7 MPa to 40.3 ± 3 MPa after introduction of TiO₂. In addition, Azari et al. [22] and Xu et al. [23] observed in their investigations that introduction of sol–gel TiO₂ interlayers could improve the coating cohesion and eliminate delamination at the interface compared to single HA coatings. From the electrochemical perspective, Hussein and Mohammed [24] reported an enhancement in corrosion performance for the TiO₂-HA bilayer by 63% and 32% compared to uncoated and TiO₂ coated specimens, respectively.

Other scientists suggested anodization rather than sol–gel coating as the anodization process is an effective way to create various morphologies of TiO₂ on Ti surfaces with precise control of the film thickness. Roest et al. [25] have successfully created rough TiO₂ layers via anodization and observed improvement in bonding strength on the HA coating. This improvement is not only limiting the difference of thermal expansion coefficient between Ti and HA, but the roughness of the TiO₂ could increase the wettability of the HA sol and subsequently improve the adhesive strength of HA layer based on mechanical interlocking. In similar studies, nanotube structures of TiO₂ interlayers have been prepared by anodization using fluoride-containing electrolyte. Ji et al. [26], Robertson et al. [27] and Kang et al. [28] reported in their investigations that introducing this type of layer could remarkably improve the adhesion, electrochemical properties and contribute to producing a crack-free HA coating. Thermal oxidation has been also considered to form TiO₂ as an interlayer. Production of these types of layers, and their benefits on the HA coatings, have been well reviewed previously by Baddar et al. [29]. While the previously mentioned studied have experimented with different methods of TiO₂ interlayer formation, the effect on hydroxyapatite coatings has not been compared systematically and the optimal method has not yet been identified.

In the present study, TiO₂ intermediate layers prepared by various methods such as sol–gel, anodization, and thermal oxidation, were compared. The microstructure, thickness, surface roughness and morphology of these layers were investigated. Furthermore, critical parameters of the HA sol preparation, including pH value of sol, aging time and sintering temperature have been investigated. The optimal HA sol was deposited on pure and TiO₂ treated Ti6Al4V alloy substrates. The microstructure of these samples were evaluated and the bonding strength, wettability to simulated body fluid (SBF) and corrosion resistance have been investigated.

**Results and discussion**

**Hydroxyapatite powders prepared by sol–gel process**

An ethanol-based sol–gel process was used to prepare hydroxyapatite powders. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the HA powder prepared with different aging times and pH levels and their phase compositions are shown in Fig. 1. As can be observed, aging the sol for 24 h at pH of 5.9 based on the formula adopted in this study was sufficient to produce crystalline HA (JCPDS card No. 9–0432, SG P63/m) and there was no sign of other crystalline calcium phosphate phases. The hydrolyzed Ca sol (possibly in form of Ca(OEt)ₓ(NO₃)₂₋ₓ) interacted with the P sol (generally in form of P(OEt)₃₋ₓ(OH)ₓ) to form Ca-O-P bonds during aging as demonstrated in the following reaction [30, 31]:

\[
P(OEt)₃₋ₓ(OH)ₓ + Ca(OEt)ₓ(NO₃)₂₋ₓ → (OEt)ₓ(NO₃)₂₋ₓ + Ca − O − HPO(OEt)₃₋ₓ + H₂O + C₂H₅OH
\]

(1)

However, presence of calcium oxide (CaO, SG Fm3m) diffraction lines at 37.38 and 53.9° were also detected, especially for samples with shorter aging times. Here, a lack of Ca-O-P linkage formation occurs due to incomplete reaction, which results in formation of the CaO phase [32]. The presence of this phase is undesirable, since it is not biocompatible and could degrade shortly after implantation due to its high solubility in body fluid [23]. In addition, the decomposition of this compound increases the susceptibility of cracks formation by dissolving and releasing of gases that could produce non-stoichiometric HA layer. Furthermore, CaO is a strong base compound with serious cytotoxic effect, which could cause severe inflammation upon its dissolving in the body fluid [33]. Therefore, a reduction the quantity of CaO in the HA structure is important to maintain its biocompatibility. As demonstrated in Fig. 1, increasing the
aging time at pH 5.9 eliminates the presence of the CaO phase within the detection limit of XRD (here around 1%). However, increasing the aging time in solutions with pH 7.6 was more efficient at reducing the presence of the CaO phase, until reaching 99.4% purity of HA at 72 h of aging time. This suggests that these parameters are optimal to produce nearly monophasic HA. Increasing the aging time up to 72 h is important to complete the polymerization and condensation reactions in the gel and removing any undesirable phases, while increasing the pH of solution up to 7.6 could accelerate the achievement of pure HA as described previously [16]. It is worth mentioning that aging more than 72 h is not recommended as the HA could be decomposed to tricalcium phosphate (TCP) and CaO due to the extra removal of structural water [34]. The XRD pattern of TiO₂ prepared by sol–gel, shown in Fig. S-1 (supporting information), indicated a high compositional purity of TiO₂ with a mixture of rutile (JCPDS # 21-1276) and anatase (JCPDS # 21-1272) crystalline structure.

The FTIR spectra of the HA powder synthesized under different conditions are presented in Fig. 2. The bands at 962, 1044 and 1091 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the stretching mode of phosphate group, whereas the bands at 569 and 602 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the bending vibration mode of O–P–O linkage in the phosphate group [35]. The peaks at 630 and 3567 cm⁻¹ were attributed to the vibrational modes of the hydroxyl group, which indicated the crystalline nature of HA [36]. The broad band in the range of 3300–3600 cm⁻¹ and the peak at 1638 cm⁻¹ are related to O–H group stretch vibration of absorbed water. The band at 1384 cm⁻¹ and low intensity peak at 875 cm⁻¹ represent asymmetric stretching of the C–O bond of the carbonate group (CO₃²⁻) indicating that carbonate substitution had occurred at a certain level. Carbonate incorporation is a common phenomenon during biological apatite formation and considered preferential in the human bone due to its excellent bioactivity and osteoinductivity [37]. However, the peak at 876 cm⁻¹ could also indicate the presence of acidic phosphate groups (HPO₄²⁻) in the system due to the stretching vibration of P-OH linkage [38, 39]. Nevertheless, no other HPO₄²⁻ species were detected in the spectra. No significant changes in the shapes and intensities of the peaks were observed for any of the samples, which indicates that varying the studied synthesis condition does not change the
asymmetric vibration modes of the main functional groups \( \text{PO}_4^{3-}, \text{OH}^- \). This conclusion is in agreement with the observations of XRD analysis.

The thermal behavior of the HA dried gel prepared under optimal conditions (72 h, pH 7.6) based on DSC/TG analysis is shown in Fig. 3. Two stages of low and high sintering temperatures are detected. The endothermic peak at 60 °C points to the evaporation of absorbed water. The exothermic peaks at 99 and 185 °C associated with losing 13% weight are attributed to the decomposition of organics and nitrates. The last endothermic peak at 580 °C with associated 40% weight loss of indicates the HA phase crystallization. After 580 °C, slight and constant weight loss and no thermal behavior were indicated. A total weight loss of 44% due to the evaporation of absorbed water, decomposition and burning of organics and nitrates and crystallization is observed in the process. Hence, the DSC/TG analysis indicates that 600 °C is an optimum sintering temperature to decompose organics and nitrates substances and produce crystalline HA.

Based on the XRD, FTIR and DSC/TG results, the aging time of 72 h, 7.9 pH value and sintering temperature of 600 °C have been determined as the optimum parameters to prepare HA coatings according to the used sol.

**TiO\(_2\)** **Layers on Ti6Al4V**

Figure 4 shows the SEM micrographs of the surface of the Ti6Al4V alloy and the TiO\(_2\) layers formed on it via various methods. The thickness, surface roughness and wettability of the TiO\(_2\) treated and the untreated samples are indicated in Table 1.
The thermal oxidation process produced a uniform TiO₂ layer [Fig. 4(b)], no cracks appeared in the structure of this layer. A slight improvement in surface roughness (64.7%) and wettability (11.7%) was observed after introduction of this layer. On other hand, the presence and distribution of cracks for the TiO₂ formed by sol–gel coating could be observed from Fig. 4(c). These cracks are formed due to the mechanical constraints occurring during the heat treatment process due to the difference in the thermal expansion coefficients of TiO₂ (11.8 × 10⁻⁶ K⁻¹) and Ti6Al4V surface. However, these cracks could be beneficial, as the HA coating could penetrate these cracks and subsequently improve the adhesion strength of the HA layer based on mechanical interlocking theory as reported previously by Wen et al. [40]. This layer has the highest thickness compared to other produced layers while its surface roughness and wettability increased by 147% and 18.2%, respectively, compared to polished Ti alloy. Anodization with H₂SO₄ electrolyte produced a micro porous and highly rough structure of TiO₂ layer, while the anodization of Ti alloy with fluoride-containing electrolyte produced a rough and nanotube structure of TiO₂ layer. The micro-pores are possibly created due to exceeding the dielectric potential limit of the oxide and generating sparks with very high temperatures resulting in local melting processes. The presence of micro-pores, nano-pores, and the rough TiO₂ structure could improve the quality of the HA coating by HA penetration, providing better adhesion and bonding strength according to mechanical interlocking. The T3 samples showed the highest surface roughness and wettability compared to the other TiO₂ layers, which promises good wetting and bonding.

### Table 1: Thickness, surface roughness and contact angle of bare and TiO₂ treated Ti alloy.

| Properties       | Ti6Al4V | T1   | T2   | T3   | T4   |
|------------------|---------|------|------|------|------|
| Thickness (nm)   |         | 138±14 | 216±19 | 108±8 | 174±13 |
| Surface roughness (nm) | 51±13 | 84±19 | 126±23 | 187±47 | 152±32 |
| Contact angle (°) | 73±1    | 68±2  | 63±3  | 57±2  | 59±3  |

**Hydroxyapatite layers on Ti6Al4V and TiO₂/Ti6Al4V**

SEM images of the HA layers directly deposited on Ti6Al4V substrate after heat treatment is shown in Fig. 5(a). Micro-cracks were distributed in the HA layer, which is again mainly due to the mismatch in the thermal expansion coefficients. The difference in the thermal expansion coefficient of Ti6Al4V and HA induces residual tensile stress on the HA layer during the sintering process leading to crack formation. Figure 5(b) and (c) show the surface morphology of the HA coating after soaking in SBF for 21 days at various magnifications. The morphology of the coating surface shows a precipitation of white particles, which indicate formation of apatite on the pure HA layers. XRD analysis was performed to this sample and only HA and Ti phases were observed proving that precipitated layer is apatite and confirming the good bioactivity of the HA coating in SBF.

The SEM images of sol–gel HA structure coated on TiO₂ interlayers are shown in Fig. 6. The thicknesses of the HA layers are ranging from 3 to 5 µm and are entirely covering the surfaces as no TiO₂ was detected. Contrary to the many cracks that appeared in the HA coating of untreated Ti6Al4V [compare Fig. 5(a)], the introduction of the TiO₂ interlayer by thermal oxidation showed only a limited number of cracks on the HA film [Fig. 6(a)], and no cracks were apparent in any of the other samples. Hence, the role of the TiO₂ interlayer in reducing the thermal expansion coefficient difference between the Ti alloy and HA layer, as well as enhancement of mechanical interlocking of the film and substrate, is demonstrated. Furthermore, the outer surface of the HA layer shows a rough structure, especially
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**Figure 5:** SEM micrographs of: (a) HA film surface structures and (b), (c) after soaking in SBF for 21 days.
for HAT3 and HAT4. This roughness could be formed during the sintering temperature due to the decomposition of organic materials. The rough structure has higher specific surface area for interlocking of the coating material, which is expected to provide more susceptible to the natural remodeling processes and accelerates the fixation of the coated implant with surrounding bones.

The wettability test based on contact angle measurements with SBF was conducted on the applied coatings to evaluate their ability to interact favorably with the biological environment as presented in Table 2 and Fig. S-2 (supporting information).

Hence, when compared to the wettability of coated samples, the uncoated surface of the Ti alloy exhibits a higher hydrophobic nature, as it shows the highest contact angle of 73° ± 1°. On the other hand, all HA coated samples showed hydrophilic nature. Introduction of the TiO2 interlayers slightly improved the hydrophilicity of the HA coating, as a decrease in contact angle was noticed. It has been suggested that hydrophilic surfaces have better cell adhesion compared to the surfaces with hydrophobic nature [41]. In addition, the hydrophilic nature of coatings improves the hydroxyapatite formation and growth due to the enhancement of ion exchange behavior from body fluid.

**Figure 6:** SEM observations of HA coatings in samples (a) HAT1, (b) HAT2, (c) HAT3, (d) HAT4.

**TABLE 2:** Contact angle and adhesive strength of HA coatings on TiO2 treated and bare Ti alloy.

| Properties               | Ti6Al4V | HA   | HAT1 | HAT2    | HAT3    | HAT4 |
|--------------------------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Adhesive strength (MPa)  |         | 14.31 ± 1.6 | 17.11 ± 2.2 | 23.40 ± 2.5 *# | 25.23 ± 2.7 *# | 21.73 ± 2.4 *# |
| Contact angle (°)        | 73 ± 1 *## | 56 ± 2 | 49 ± 2 * | 45 ± 3 *# | 41 ± 2 *## | 43 ± 2 *## |

Statistically significant differences were indicated as *p < 0.01 compared with HA coating and *p < 0.05, *##p < 0.01 compared with HAT1 coating.
Accordingly, surface pre-treatment by formation of TiO₂ interlayer are increasing the SBF interaction area with the outer HA coating leading to the acceleration of the osseointegration, i.e., the structural connection between the HA coated implant and living bone.

High adhesion strength of HA coatings is an important property affecting the durability and longevity of the Ti implants, especially in load-bearing conditions. Hence, the introduction of TiO₂ as intermediary layer represents an excellent practical solution to achieve higher adhesion by improving the morphological properties of the outer layer and to reduce the strain in the coating/substrate interface that could occur during the calcination process. The adhesive bond strengths of the HA layers to the Ti alloy surfaces are given in Table 2 as function of the intermediate layer type and shown in Fig. S-3 (supporting information). The minimum bonding strength is noted in the HA coating (14.3 ± 1.6 MPa) on the untreated Ti6Al4V. The failure mode is observed to be mostly an adhesive fracture due to the cracks presented in this coating that could cause a delamination at the interface. Similar values of adhesion strength for the pure HA coating were reported previously [21, 27].

Formation of TiO₂ interlayer improved the adhesion. The thermal oxidation of Ti substrate has slightly improved the adhesion of HA coating. The adhesive fracture mode is still dominant, but a minor part of this coating has shown a cohesive failure. However, this improvement is statistically insignificant. Meanwhile, the anodized and sol–gel TiO₂ interlayers have shown significant improvement of adhesion strength of about 63.6% for HAT2, 76.2% for HAT3 and 51.7% for HAT4 compared to the coating on the untreated Ti6Al4V. The failure type of the coating in these samples was a mixed mode of adhesive and cohesive fracture, where delamination of coating (referring to the adhesive failure mode) and islands of residual coating materials on both sides of post and substrate surface were detected. The change of failure mode in the bilayer coatings could be associated with alteration of adhesive failure location, whereas the single HA layers fail through HA/substrate interface, while the bilayer coatings could fail only through the interface of HA layer and TiO₂ interlayers, as reported previously [26, 43–45]. In this context, performing other experimental technique, such as nanoindentation and nanoscratch tests, would be beneficial to provide better understanding of the failure mechanisms of these layers [46–49]. The improvement of adhesion strength of multilayer coatings is attributed to the low morphological defects of the outer HA layer, as well as the possible enhancement of mechanical interlocking by the HA film and the substrate surface due to the increased roughness of TiO₂ structures. In addition, elemental intermixing and diffusion of calcium and phosphorous from the HA layer and titanium ions from the substrate into the TiO₂ interlayer during heat treatment, as demonstrated previously [20, 50], suggest the formation of chemical bonds at the TiO₂/substrate and HA/TiO₂ interfaces that could promote the adhesion of the bilayer. In summary, the results signify that the presence of TiO₂ interlayer improves the attachment HA coatings to the substrate surface making them more reliable in the load-bearing applications.

Corrosion of the Ti6Al4V implants leads to the release of metal ions into the body, specifically Al³⁺ and V⁵⁺, that could cause allergic reactions when they interact with the surrounding tissues [9]. In addition, corrosion could deteriorate the mechanical properties of the implant surface [2]. Enhancing the anticorrosion property of Ti6Al4V implant is a requirement to be successfully applied in biomedical field, hence, the current work has investigated the influence of pure and substituted HA coatings on the corrosion resistance of titanium alloy in simulated body fluid. Figure 7 illustrates the polarization curves of the uncoated and coated substrates.

The electrochemical parameters for the uncoated and coated Ti6Al4V alloy are presented in Table 3. The corrosion potential values correlates with the thermodynamic aspect of the test, which describes the tendency of the coated substrate to corrode, while the value of the corrosion current density correlates with the kinetic aspect which indicates the corrosion rate of the coated specimen.

Generally, low Icorr and nobler Ecorr values indicate low corrosion rate and better corrosion protection efficiency [51]. As expected, the uncoated alloy showed high Icorr value and low Ecorr value proving its low corrosion resistance towards the solution in comparison to the coated samples. Application of HA coating reduced the Icorr and shifted Ecorr to nobler values, which implies better chemical stability of the coated substrate against SBF solution. It has been indicated that the surface modification of the Ti6Al4V alloy improves its corrosion behavior due to the
of HA/TiO2 bilayer coatings due to the presence of TiO2 interlayers. These observations indicate the high protective property the bilayer coatings were remarkably shifted toward nobler values to a significant extent. In addition, the corrosion potentials of further improved the corrosion resistance of the coated samples.

The current density reduction of the bilayer coating is partially attributed to the low electrical conductivity of the TiO2 layer, which acts as a second barrier to prevent ion penetration from SBF into the underlying substrate. The reduced surface defects of the HA/TiO2 coatings prevented the corrosive solution from penetrating the coating layer and reaching the substrate surface. The thickness of TiO2 interlayer is an important factor which decrease the electric field and consequently reduce the electrical flux that finally results in lower Icorr values. Hence, the HAT2 and HAT4 samples with higher interlayer thickness compared to the other TiO2 interlayer showed better corrosion resistance. In spite of the high interlayer thickness of the HAT1 coated samples, the cracks in the outer layer of these specimens could result in less corrosion resistance compared to other pretreated alloys. The current density reduction of the bilayer coating is partially attributed to the low electrical conductivity of the TiO2 layer, which is hindering the transfer of charge carriers through the sample/electrolyte interface. In conclusion, the bilayer coatings significantly improve corrosion resistance and offer promising electrochemical properties for implant applications.

However, introduction of TiO2 interlayers has eliminated the morphological defects and improved the adhesive strength up to 76.2%. Results of the potentiodynamic polarization tests exhibit that the corrosion resistance is significantly improved for samples containing TiO2 and that it is mainly affected by the thickness of the TiO2 interlayer. It was clearly shown in this work that the inclusion of a TiO2 interlayer to HA coated Ti6Al4V implants is very promising, since the improved adhesion of the HA layers to the substrate benefits from the modified morphology and an improved corrosion resistance. However, challenges still remain in the application of HA based multilayer in orthopedics due to its possible degradation in long-term implantations. Advances in research investigating long-term protection and stability of HA bilayers would give a better indication of the success of these coatings in orthopedic applications.

Conclusion

The present study has attempted to optimize the preparation process of hydroxyapatite coating and investigated the impact of the TiO2 intermediate layers with different morphologies on the HA coating using different analytical methods. XRD analysis showed that aging the HA sol up to 72 h and adjusting its pH value to 7.6 play a vital role in production of single-phase HA, as well as elimination of non-biocompatible contaminants. In addition, the DSC/TG analysis indicated that 600 °C is an optimum sintering temperature to decompose organics and nitrates substances and produce crystalline HA. HA coating applied directly on the Ti6Al4V substrate has shown a cracked structure. The thickness of TiO2 interlayer is an important factor which decrease the electric field and consequently reduce the electrical flux that finally results in lower Icorr values. Hence, the HAT2 and HAT4 samples with higher interlayer thickness compared to the other TiO2 interlayer showed better corrosion resistance. In spite of the high interlayer thickness of the HAT1 coated samples, the cracks in the outer layer of these specimens could result in less corrosion resistance compared to other pretreated alloys. The current density reduction of the bilayer coating is partially attributed to the low electrical conductivity of the TiO2 layer, which is hindering the transfer of charge carriers through the sample/electrolyte interface. In conclusion, the bilayer coatings significantly improve corrosion resistance and offer promising electrochemical properties for implant applications.

Methodology

Preparation of Ti6Al4V substrate

The Ti6Al4V rod (obtained from Horst Zu Jeddeloh, Germany) was cut into disk-shaped substrates (15 mm diameter, 5 mm thickness), then ground with SiC paper (1200 grit) to remove contaminations and macro defects, until a shiny appearance was achieved. Subsequently the samples were ultrasonically cleaned with acetone, ethanol and deionized water for 15 min each, and finally dried and stored in a desiccator for further use.

Formation of TiO2 interlayer

TiO2 by thermal oxidation (T1)

The TiO2 interlayer produced by thermal oxidation was achieved by heating the Ti6Al4V samples at 450 °C with heating rate of 10 °C/min and held for 3 h. The samples were left to cool to room temperature.

TiO2 by sol–gel coating (T2)

To achieve the TiO2 sol, tetrabutylorthotitanate (Ti(OCH2CH2CH2CH3)4; 98%, Merck) and diethanolamine (HN(CH2CH2OH)2; 99.5%, Merck) were dissolved in ethanol. Due to the high reactivity of tetrabutylorthotitanate, introduction of ethanol could induce immediate precipitations.

| Properties | Ti6Al4V | HA | HAT1 | HAT2 | HAT3 | HAT4 |
|------------|--------|----|------|------|------|------|
| Ecorr (V)  | -0.384 | -0.271 | -0.151 | -0.116 | -0.093 | -0.133 |
| Icorr (A cm⁻²) | 9.04×10⁻² | 1.18×10⁻⁷ | 5.91×10⁻⁸ | 9.97×10⁻⁹ | 2.56×10⁻⁶ | 1.82×10⁻⁶ |
| CR (mm/y)  | 2.07×10⁻² | 2.70×10⁻³ | 1.35×10⁻³ | 2.28×10⁻⁴ | 5.84×10⁻⁴ | 4.15×10⁻⁴ |
Therefore, diethanolamine is initially mixed with ethanol to stabilize the hydrolysis process, then followed by tetrabutylorthotitanate addition. After 2 h of vigorous stirring, a mixture of ethanol and water was added dropwise, the resultant solution stirred for 2 h and aged for 24 h. The molar ratio of the used components were: Ti(OC₄H₉)₄:C₆H₅OH: NH(C₂H₄OH)₂:H₂O = 1:26.5:1:1 [53]. Dip coating of the samples was conducted in the TiO₂ sol at a withdrawal rate of 8 cm/min followed by heat treatment at 450 °C for 1 h in air. Part of this sol was used for preparation of powdered TiO₂ by initially drying at 80 °C for 12 h and sintering at 600 °C for 1 h.

**TiO₂ by anodization (T3, T4)**

The anodization process of the cleaned Ti6Al4V samples was done to produce TiO₂ layer as outlined in literature [27, 54, 55]. Briefly, the samples work as anodes while graphite rods were used as cathodes. Both electrodes were suspended in different electrolyte solutions applying two individual anodization processes. Firstly, sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 95%, Merck) as the electrolyte was used and a 50 V potential was applied for 5 min to produce TiO₂ layers with rough structure. Secondly, an electrolyte consisting of 90% ethylene glycol (HOCH₂CH₂OH; 99.8%, VWR), 9% DI water, 1% hydrofluoric acid (HF, 40%, Merck), and 0.25 wt% ammonium fluoride (NH₄F; 98% pure, Merck) was used with a potential of 60 V for 60 min to produce TiO₂ layers with micro-/nano-roughness structure. At the end of the processes, all anodized specimens were rinsed gently with DI water to remove excess electrolyte, then dried and stored in a desiccator for further investigations. The samples treated with fluoride-free and fluoride-containing electrolyte were coded as T3 and T4, respectively.

**Preparation and deposition of HA sol**

Triethyl phosphate ((C₃H₇O)₃P, 98% pure, Alfa Aesar) was initially mixed with ethanol and secondly by addition of DI water for hydrolysis under vigorous stirring for 24 h in a sealed glass container. In this solution the molar ratios were H₂O:(C₂H₅O)₅P = 4:1. 2 M of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O; 99% pure, Merck) diluted in ethanol for 24 h was added dropwise into the hydrolyzed phosphate sol in stoichiometric amounts to achieve a ratio of Ca/P = 1.67. The transparency of the sol was retained during the whole mixing process, indicating a homogeneous solution containing a dissolved complex without any precipitate. After the mixing process, 2.5 and 5 vol% of ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH; 32%, Merck) was added dropwise to the mixed solution which resulted in a pH increase up to 5.9 and 7.6, respectively. The mixed sol was continuously agitated for additional 2 h and then aged for 24, 48 and 72 h in static mode at room temperature. Part of these sols were used for preparation of powdered HA by initially drying at 80 °C for 12 h. The resulting gel was grounded by mortar and pestle into fine powder and subjected to a heat treatment at 600 °C (heating ramp: 5 °C/min, dwell time: 60 min, cool down rate: 10 °C/min). The prepared HA sol was deposited on the uncoated and TiO₂ precoated Ti6Al4V via dip coating at withdrawal speed of 8 cm/min. Afterwards, the samples were dried at 80 °C for 12 h and heat treated at 600 °C for 1 h resulting in the samples HA (without TiO₂) and HAT1–HAT4 (with respective to the TiO₂ interlayer).

**Characterization**

X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD, SEIFERT-FPM URD6 diffractometer) was performed on the HA powder to investigate the crystalline structure and phase composition. The device operated in symmetrical (θ–2θ) Bragg–Brentano mode and was equipped with a sealed X-ray tube with Cu anode (producing characteristic X-radiation with the wavelengths λ(Cu-Kα1) = 1.54059 Å and λ(Cu-Kα2) = 1.54443 Å). The diffracted beam passed a standard graphite monochromator removing unwanted radiation components before being registered by (0D) proportional counter. The sample was analyzed as a thin layer on a zero background holder [510] cut Si single crystal. Phase quantification was performed by Rietveld refinement method of XRD data collected over 2θ range 20°–80°.

Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR, Bruker Tensor II) was utilized to identify the functional groups of the HA powders using KBr pellet technique. The spectrum was collected in wavenumber range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ with a scanning resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and 16 scans at room temperature.

Thermal behavior of the HA dried gel was studied using differential scanning calorimetry analysis and thermogravimetric analysis (DSC/TG, NETZSCH). These investigations were performed in a temperature range from 25 to 800 °C with a scanning rate of 10 °C/min in alumina crucibles under atmospheric conditions.

Contact angle measurement using Kruss DSA25E goniometer was performed to estimate the wettability of the pretreated samples to DI water. Wetting of HA layers by SBF with a pH of 7.4 and at 37 °C with a drop volume of 2 μL was done. A lower contact angle could indicate the higher adhesion properties of the surface. The results are presented as mean ± standard deviation from ten measurements.

The surface morphology of the TiO₂ precoated Ti6Al4V samples and HA coated samples (with and without TiO₂) were investigated by scanning electron microscope (SEM, Phenom G2, Thermo Scientific). The thickness of TiO₂ and HA/TiO₂ layers was measured using non-contact film thickness measurement system (TF–C–UVIS–SR, StellarNet, USA) with 220–110 nm of wavelength.
and resolution lower than 2.5 nm. The thickness measurements were carried out in range of 5 nm-20 µm and the results were presented as mean ± standard deviation from five measurements. While the average surface roughness of the TiO2 and HA/TiO2 bilayer samples were measured by surface profiler using a KLA-TENCOR.

The adhesive strength of the HA and HA/TiO2 bilayer coatings on the Ti6Al4V surface was evaluated based on ASTM-C633 recommendations [56]. Briefly, the coated samples have been glued with epoxy resin to the surfaces of two titanium rods followed by curing at 150 °C for 1 h. A standard tensile test using a universal testing machine of model (WDW-200KN) at constant load speed rate of 1 mm/s was carried out. The bonding strength was determined as the maximum stress required to peel-off the coating layers, the data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 5). Statistical analysis was performed between different groups by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. P-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

In vitro experiment to assess the apatite formation ability of the HA coating was performed by immersing the HA coated Ti6Al4V sample in SBF at 37 °C. The SBF has been prepared according to instructions of Kukubo and Takadama [57] to obtain a solution with ion concentrations nearly equal to those of human blood plasma. After immersion, the SBF was refreshed every 72 h. After 21 days of incubation, the samples were taken out of SBF, rinsed gently with DI water, and then dried in oven at 50 °C for 24 h. SEM was used to observe the morphology of the precipitates.

Potentiodynamic polarization measurements were carried out using a potentiostat (VSP, Bio-Logic Science Instruments) for evaluation of corrosion behavior. Each measurement was performed in SBF at 37 °C using the conventional three electrode configuration, with the sample as the working electrode, a platinum mesh as the counter and a saturated Ag/AgCl as the reference. The open circuit potential (OCP) was measured for 30 min and subsequently the potentiodynamic polarization was conducted in a potential range of ±250 mV vs. Ecorr at scan rate of 0.1 mV/sec. The corrosion parameters were determined from the received polarization curves based on the Tafel extrapolation method. The corrosion rate (CR) of the tested samples obtained from the corrosion current density (Icorr) was calculated based on Eq. 2 [58]:

$$\text{CR} \left[ \text{mm/year} \right] = 22.8 \left( \frac{\text{mm} \, \ast \, \text{cm}^2}{y \, \ast \, 10^{-3} \, A} \right) \times \left( \text{I}_{\text{corr}} \right) \left[ \text{10}^{-3} \, \text{A/cm}^2 \right]$$
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