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ABSTRACT: Bacterial adhesion to mineral surfaces is an important but underappreciated process. To decipher the molecular level process and mechanism, the adhesion of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 cells to goethite was investigated using flow-cell attenuated total reflectance (ATR) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy coupled with two-dimensional correlation spectroscopy (2D-COS) analysis. The FTIR results indicate that bacterial phosphate-moieties play an important role in the formation of mono- and bidentate inner-sphere complexes, whereas carboxylic groups on cell surface only have a minor contribution to its adhesion. The 2D-COS analysis in short-term (0−120 min) and long-term (2−18 h) stages reveal that the adhesion process was in the following sequence: change in H-bonds of proteins on cell surfaces > formation of monodentate inner-sphere surface complexes > formation of outer-sphere surface complexes > transformation of protein secondary structure on cell surfaces. In addition, the adhesion of MR-1 cells on goethite was pH dependent due to pH impacts on the cell structure and the interface charge. The in situ ATR-FTIR integrated with 2D-COS analysis highlights its great potential in exploring complex surface reactions with microbes involved. These results improve our understanding of microbe−mineral interactions at the molecular level and have significant implications for a series of biogeochemical processes.

INTRODUCTION

Dissimilatory iron-reducing bacteria (DIRB), which are ubiquitous in terrestrial soils and aquatic environments, play a key role in biogeochemical cycles of iron, carbon, and other elements.1,2 Once attached to Fe-bearing minerals such as goethite, DIRB can consume these poorly soluble extracellular substrates as electron acceptors for anaerobic respiration.3−5 This process influences the fate of a wide variety of organic and inorganic contaminants associated with iron minerals.6−8 Because of the prevalence of DIRB and Fe(III) minerals in the environment, DIRB-mediated transformation of contaminants is considered one of the most promising bioremediation strategies.6,9 Mounting evidence suggests that the direct electron transfer from DIRB to Fe(III) minerals is a crucial pathway for microbial extracellular respiration.10 Proteins involved in such electron transfer, such as c-type cytochromes of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1, one of the most extensively studied DIRB, are localized in outer membranes of the DIRB.5 Thus, adhesion of the DIRB cells to Fe(III) minerals is a prerequisite for microbial mediated iron redox reactions. However, the molecular-level reaction mechanism at the DIRB−mineral interface has remained enigmatic.9,11

The lack of this essential knowledge is mainly due to the complex and heterogeneous cell surfaces of DIRB. DIRB are usually Gram-negative and their cell surface components include various biomolecules such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), membrane-bound proteins and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS).11−13 These components contain various functional groups/moieties (carboxyl, phosphoryl, amide, amino, hydroxyl) that can potentially form covalent bonds with mineral surfaces, leading to complex bacteria−mineral interactions. Recently, the interactions between intact bacterial cells and cell components with Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide surfaces were explored using attenuated total reflectance (ATR) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy.12,14,15 However, the intrinsically low resolution of ATR-FTIR may have difficulty in interpreting the interaction mechanisms at the molecular level. In addition, most of the previous studies focused on the final semiequilibrium stage rather than on the dynamic adhesion process, which indeed is a function of surface cell density.16 Nevertheless, various biomolecule−surface complexes may exist with different types of surface covalent bonds or coordination at progressive adhesion stages.
The objective of this study was to explore the molecular-scale interaction of DIRB cells on Fe(III) oxyhydroxide surfaces using online in situ ATR-FTIR spectroscopy integrated with two-dimensional correlation spectroscopy (2D-COS). 2D-COS is especially suitable for studying the chemical and biological interfacial processes due to its merits of enhanced spectral resolution used to detect subtle changes in IR spectra and its capacity to probe a specific reaction sequence upon external perturbations. The insights gained from this study should improve our knowledge of molecular-level reaction mechanisms at the microbe–mineral interface.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Materials.
All chemicals were analytical reagent grade or higher and were used without further purification. Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ) was boiled for 60 min and cooled with N₂ gas purging to remove CO₂. α-Goethite and *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 were selected as a typical Fe(III) oxyhydroxide and DIRB species, respectively, based on their ubiquitousness in the environment and high importance toward ecological systems.

Goethite was synthesized via iron nitrate hydrolysis as detailed in our previous report. The BET surface area (84.7 m²/g) was determined with ASAP2000 (Micromeritics Instrument Corp., USA). The point of zero charge (PZC) of goethite was determined to be 8.9 with a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, U.K.).

*Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 was grown aerobically from −80 °C stock to lag-log phase in a Luria–Bertani (LB) broth at 30 °C as evidenced by its OD₆₀₀ of 2.2–2.8. Then, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2100 × g for 15 min at 4 °C and washed at least three times with sterile 0.1 M NaCl solution to avoid the disturbance from residue substances from LB broth and dissolved ligands leached from MR-1 cells. Finally, the cells were resuspended in an appropriate volume of 0.1 M NaCl solution at investigated pH to avoid the disturbance from residue substances from LB broth and dissolved ligands leached from MR-1 cells. The number of scan and the rate of MR-1 were selected as a typical Fe(III) oxyhydroxide and DIRB species, respectively.

### ATR-FTIR Measurements.
ATR-FTIR measurements were performed using a Thermo-Nicolet Nexus 6700 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooled MCT detector. The IR spectra were collected using a horizontal attenuated total reflectance (HATR) cell (PIKE Tech) with a 45° ZnSe ATR crystal. A total of 1000 and 256 scans were recorded over 1800–800 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ for each spectrum of normal static and in situ flow cell ATR-FTIR, respectively.

The ATR-FTIR spectra of the MR-1 suspension samples (≥10¹⁰ cells mL⁻¹) at pH 5 to 9 were obtained by subtracting the spectrum of bacteria-free background electrolyte solutions at the same pH from the MR-1 spectrum.

In situ flow cell ATR-FTIR measurements of bacterial adhesion to goethite were conducted following our reported procedure with minor modifications. The details are provided in the Supporting Information (SI). During each experiment, the MR-1 cells in the solution were stirred with a magnetic stir bar to ensure uniform mixing, and the pH was kept constant using either NaOH or HCl. All the ATR-FTIR measurements were under nongrowth condition of MR-1 cells. The surface interactions with living cells should be worthy of further study.

The number of scan and the rate of MR-1 flow are two important factors for the flow cell ATR-FTIR analysis. Too fast flow may cause much MR-1 cells deposit on the goethite surface per unit time, resulting in the multilayer adhesion on goethite, and too long time in data collection may fail to catch the quick spectral changes. In this study, we select 256 scans, which ensure the spectral quality and do not consume too much time (2.5 min). The rate of fluid flow was set at 2 mL/min. The dimension of ZnSe ATR cell surface is about 7 cm². The cell density of fluid flow is about 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹. We assume the average size of the cell is about 1 μm². Then, for one signal collection, in the worst case, the occupied surface area of cells is 2 (mL/min) × 2.5 (min) × 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹ × 10⁻⁸ cm² = 0.05 cm², far smaller than the dimension of ZnSe ATR cell surface (7 cm²).

### 2D-COS Analysis.
After smooth and baseline correction for the IR spectra using Omnic 8.0 software, 2D-COS analysis was performed using 2D-shige software (Shigeki Morita, Japan). The sequential order of intensity change between bands v₁ and v₂ can be obtained from the sign of the synchronous correlation peak Φ (v₁, v₂) and asynchronous correlation peak Ψ (v₁, v₂) under well-established principles. Briefly, the change in the spectral intensity at band v₁ occurs prior to that at v₂ if Φ (v₁, v₂) and Ψ (v₁, v₂) have the same signs; while the order is reversed if Φ (v₁, v₂) and Ψ (v₁, v₂) have opposite signs. More detailed introduction of 2D-COS analysis is provided in the SI.

For a better identification of peaks, the peak fittings of all IR spectra were carried out with Peakfit v.4.12 software using the second derivative fitting algorithm. The goodness of fit R factor in all of the fitted spectra was greater than 0.999.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Mineral-Free MR-1 Cells.
The physiological pH range of *Shewanella* cell is about 6–10. However, because the surface functional groups of MR-1 cells play an important role in interfacial interactions, we mainly focused on these functional groups rather than the cell metabolism. Based on the pH range of ZnSe crystal, the reactions at pH 5–9 were investigated.

The mineral-free MR-1 cells in the pH range 5–9 exhibits similar ATR-FTIR bands of absorbance, except the obvious changes in the intensity of bands in the range 1730–1710 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1). Because MR-1 lacks EPS, the ATR-FTIR spectra mainly reflects the various components on cell walls (Table 1).
Thus, the result of ATR-FTIR spectra indicates that pH does not have a significant effect on the type and distribution of functional groups on MR-1 cell surfaces, which is consistent with previous studies. The observable change in the FTIR spectra was the disappearance of bands in the range 1730–1710 cm\(^{-1}\) with increasing pH, due to the deprotonation of carboxyl groups at cell surfaces (yellow region in Figure 1). The carboxyl group was therefore suspected to be an active functional group interacting with goethite surfaces which motivates further investigation.

**MR-1 Adhesion on Goethite.** The attachment of MR-1 cells to goethite at pH 5, 7, and 9 was investigated and the spectra at each of the equilibria are shown in Figure 2. For each investigated pH, however, carboxyl groups at cell surfaces were not involved in the formation of covalent bonds at the goethite surface, as evidenced by the unchanged carboxylate COO\(^{-}\) symmetric stretch \(\nu_{s}(\text{COO}^{-})\) at 1396 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2, Figure S1). Thus, carboxyl groups may only have a minor contribution to the cell attachment. Other functional groups such as sulfhydryl group, which may be important for some microorganisms, were not anticipated to play a role in the MR-1 cell adhesion, nor where they observed in the FTIR spectra. Conversely, polysaccharide and phosphate groups in the range of 1200–900 cm\(^{-1}\) (yellow region in Figure 2, Figure S1) exhibited prominent changes upon adhesion at different pH values. This result indicates that the phosphate and polysaccharide moieties may play an import role in the interfacial interactions. The overlap of IR peaks in this range highlights the necessity for further deconvolution.

Figure 3 shows the deconvoluted IR spectra based on second derivative analysis (Figure S2), and the peak assignments are summarized in Table 1. Compared to that of mineral-free MR-1 cells (Figure S3), a prominent band at \(\sim 1045\) cm\(^{-1}\) was resolved at all pH. Because this intense peak was absent in MR-1 cells (Figure S3), its occurrence is likely associated with the chemical bonding of MR-1 cells to goethite surfaces. Consistent with previous studies, the band at 1045 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned as P–OFe stretching vibrations \(\nu(P–OFe)\). In addition to the band at 1045 cm\(^{-1}\), several new vibrations involving phosphate moieties (phosphonate, phosphoryl, etc.) were resolved including 996 \(\nu_{s}(P–(OFe)_{2})\) and 930 cm\(^{-1}\) \(\nu_{s}(P–(OFe)_{2})\). The presence of these bands clearly demonstrates that the bacterial P-moieties were involved in the formation of inner-sphere complexes with goethite surfaces, contributing significantly to the MR-1 adhesion.

**Online in Situ ATR-FTIR Study.** A major limitation in the static ATR-FTIR analysis on the final state, however, is its incapability to reflect the dynamic change of the interfacial reactions during the adhesion process. In fact, the bacterial adhesion to the goethite surface undergoes various interactions depending on the adhered cell densities of MR-1 on goethite surfaces. The interactions, in turn, may have an impact on the surface structures of MR-1 cells. To elucidate the dynamics of the adhesion process, online in situ flow cell ATR-FTIR analyses were performed at various pH values. Since the entire adhesion process exhibited a fast attachment at an initial stage (0 to \(\sim 120\) min) followed by a relatively slower one from 2 to 18 h (Figure S4), the short- and long-term surface reactions were investigated separately.

The ATR-FTIR spectra clearly reveal two pronounced changes upon the adhesion of MR-1 cells in the pH range 5–9 (Figure 4). The first one was in the region 1200–900 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak intensities varied significantly at different pH and time values (Figure 4), indicating that bacterial P-moieties are involved in multiple interactions with goethite surfaces during the adhesion process.

Previous studies on orthophosphate adsorption to Fe(III) oxyhydroxide conclude that pH and surface loading have a
great impact on PO₄ surface configuration.²⁸,²⁹ PO₄ forms a monodentate monoprotonate surface complex at high pH values and low PO₄ loadings,²⁹ characterized by the bands at 1080 (υₐₛ(PO₂) ), 1050 (υₐₕ(PO₂) ), and 950 cm⁻¹ (υ(P—OFe) or υ(P—OH)). The decrease in pH and increase in PO₄ loading induces the formation of an additional bridging bidentate surface complex, resulting in the bands at 1120 (υ(P═O)), 1006 (υₐₛ(P—(OFe)₂), 982 υ(P—OH)), and 925 cm⁻¹ (υ(π(—(OFe)₂)).

Different from the above-mentioned studies, which only involved orthophosphate species, the exterior of bacterial cells contains various phosphate species and phosphoryl groups. Our deconvoluted ATR-FTIR spectra resolved bands for both monodentate and bridging bidentate surface complexes in short-term (Figure S5) and long-term (Figure 3) reactions for pH values 5–9. Notably, a nonuniform increase in band intensity was observed, for example, υ(P—OFe) at 1450 cm⁻¹ vs υₐₛ(PO₂) at 1084 cm⁻¹, suggesting different reaction sequences for various surface complexes.

The second pronounced change was observed in the 1800–1500 cm⁻¹ region, including vibrations of bacterial proteins amide I and II at about 1640 and 1545 cm⁻¹, respectively (Figure 4). The amide I mainly represents the C═O stretching vibrations of peptide groups, and the amide II is ascribed to the bending vibrations of N—H and C—N in the amide. Because of the high sensitivity of amide I to the protein secondary structure (α-helices, β-sheets, turns, and disordered structures),³² the ratio in the peak intensity of amide I: amide II is a good indicator to reflect the change in protein secondary structures.¹¹,³³ In this study, this amide I: amide II ratio varied substantially in the pH range 5–9 at an initial stage (Figure S6), and then converged with the increasing adhesion time, which was probably due to multilayer adhesion of MR-1 cells. This observation strongly suggests that surficial proteins of MR-1 interacted with goethite surfaces, which consequently led to the alteration of their structural conformation.

Unfortunately, the online in situ ATR-FTIR analysis can identify neither these highly overlapped protein secondary structures nor the reaction sequence of various surface
complexes during the adhesion process, which necessitates data interpretation using 2D-COS analysis.

**2D-COS Analysis.** For a complicated system such as the bacteria–mineral interface, molecules with different origins may have overlapping vibrational peaks which cannot be distinguished with deconvolution. Fortunately, these overlapping peaks may have different responses to the external perturbations during the dynamic adhesion process. Such a delicate but important difference in response to interaction sequences of MR-1 cells on goethite can be better understood with 2D-COS analysis.

**Figure 5** shows the synchronous and asynchronous 2D correlation contour maps of IR spectra at pH 5. All autopeaks along the diagonal were positive in synchronous maps (Figure 5A,C). The cross peaks in synchronous maps reflect the bands with coupled, or related origins, and the positive sign suggests the same direction of change in band intensity. The result reveals that major IR peaks underwent simultaneous changes during the adhesion process.

Notably, synchronous maps in 2D-COS provide more detailed information about protein structural change than normal ATR-FTIR. For example, the cross peaks correlated to other cross peaks, indicating that the intermolecular and intramolecular interactions changes the protein secondary structure of cell surface and breaks the intrinsic H-bonds within protein biomolecules. Then, phosphate groups on each cell surface form monodentate inner-sphere surface complexes on goethite. Subsequently, outer-sphere surface complexes can also form via electrostatic interactions between the negative bacterial deprotonated phosphate groups, carboxyl groups, and positive protonated goethite surfaces. With an increase in adhesion time, the protein secondary structure can gradually transform from β-sheet to α-helix and random coil. Finally, additional bridging bidentate surface complexes may form with an increase in cell loading.

Similarly, the adhesion of MR-1 cells to goethite under neutral (pH 7, Figure S8) and alkaline (pH 9, Figure S9) conditions was also investigated using 2D-COS analysis. According to the sign of 2D-COS (Table S4), at pH 7, the order of band changes followed $\nu_1(COO^-)$ ($1396$ cm$^{-1}$), $N-H$ bending of amide II ($1540$ cm$^{-1}$) $> \nu_3(P=Oe)$ ($1045$ cm$^{-1}$). With an increase in adhesion time, the protein secondary structure of the bacteria transformed from random coil ($1644$ cm$^{-1}$) to α-helix ($1654$ cm$^{-1}$), and the sequential order became α-helix ($1654$ cm$^{-1}$) $> \nu_3(COO^-)$ ($1394$ cm$^{-1}$), $N-H$ bending of amide II ($1540$ cm$^{-1}$) $> \nu_2(P=Oe)$ ($1045$ cm$^{-1}$), $\nu_3(P=Oe)$ ($1083$ cm$^{-1}$) $> \nu_3(COO^-)$ ($1394$ cm$^{-1}$) (Table S5). At pH 9, the sign of 2D-COS (Table S6) suggested the sequential order in band change similar to that at pH 7 for short-term-adhesion, indicating the same initial interaction mechanisms. On the contrary, sequential order for long-term adhesion at pH 9 was in stark contrast with that at pH 7 (Table S7): $\nu_3(COO^-)$ ($1396$ cm$^{-1}$) $> \nu_3(P=Oe)$ ($1080$ cm$^{-1}$) $> N-H$ bending of amide II ($1540$ cm$^{-1}$).

The 2D-COS analysis suggests that the adhesion of MR-1 cells on goethite is highly pH dependent. The primary reason for such pH dependence is that the surface structure of bacterial cells is a function of pH. Some biomolecules, such as LPS and proteins at the cell surface and outer membrane, are likely to aggregate via intramolecular H-bonds under acidic conditions. Elevated pH could break the H-bonds and free the macromolecules. As a result, several initially unavailable functional groups on the proteins and LPS, such as phosphate, amino, and carboxylic acid groups, are readily available for surface reactions. Thus, the reaction order at high pH was different from that at low pH.

In addition, pH has an impact on electrostatic interactions between MR-1 cells and goethite. The point of zero charge
(PZC) of goethite is 8.9, suggesting goethite is positively charged under acidic and neutral conditions. On the other hand, MR-1 is negatively charged due to its functional groups. The strong electrostatic attractions between goethite and MR-1 cells at low pH should decrease as pH increases. Therefore, the change in pH greatly influences the strength of electrostatic interaction, H-bonding, and the amount of attached cells.

**Environmental Significance.** Our results provide new insights into the molecular-level reaction mechanism at the DIRB-mineral interface, which impacts a series of biogeochemical processes. First of all, surface proteins on MR-1 cells trigger reactions at the microbe–mineral interface. The pH controls the protein secondary structure and aggregation, leading to different reaction sequences and mechanisms. In addition, the pH also determines the affinity of MR-1 cells to goethite surface due to the electrostatic effects. Thus, pH is particularly important in evaluating the biological reactivity and transformation of Fe(III) minerals with attached pollutants.

Our work also demonstrates that the P-moieties on an MR-1 cell surface contribute to its adhesion via various inner-sphere complexes, which are a function of the bacterial cell density. Thus, the coexisting anions, such as free phosphate, silicate, may compete, block the access of, and even displace the bacteria on mineral surfaces. Such a phenomenon has been observed on inhibited bioreduction of lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH), and highly impacts the fate and transport of contaminants adsorbed to minerals. Considering the great variability in the binding strength of various P-moieties in surface complexation, the strength of the anion effect is quite different, which makes the adhesion process of crucial importance in the environment.
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