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Objectives: This study assessed the need to develop a public health informatics (PHI) introductory course and determine contents of such a course.

Methods: Community assessments employing focus group interviews and an online survey were utilized to determine course need and content.

Results: Results revealed a need to provide PHI training to graduate public health students and suggested broad course content requirements. Results indicated lack of awareness of libraries and librarians as sources of public health information.

Conclusions: A graduate PHI course was developed and delivered. Additionally, implementation of a subject guide increased the library's profile.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of public health informatics (PHI) cannot be understated. Public health professionals apply a population-based approach to a range of health care issues and rely on data, policies, and technology to respond to health crises and emergencies [1]. The ability to access “credible and relevant information” is considered essential to the public health workforce’s job planning and decision making [2]. Highlighting the importance of PHI, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that “a possession of informatics competencies...even for a basic understanding of public health informatics is beneficial” [3].

In Mississippi, where 56% of residents are located in rural areas and 79 out of 82 counties are classified as primary care service shortage areas [4, 5], public health professionals play a key role in maintaining the health status of the population. Nevertheless, the current health care system may not be prepared for the information age [6] at a time when continued effectiveness of the public health workforce is increasingly tied to the accurate and timely use of data, information, and technology from varied sources [7].

The main objectives for this study were verifying the need for a PHI course and determining course content through identification of knowledge gaps between learning and practice. This study follows the framework of collaboration between librarians and members of the public health workforce [8] with an attempt to establish a PHI introductory course targeting graduate-level library science and public health students—the future public health workforce.

METHODS

This study was a collaboration between the School of Library and Information Science (SLIS) and the...
Department of Public Health (DPH) at the University of Southern Mississippi (USM). Employing community assessment, the team collected data with consent through: (1) holding focus groups to explore the need to offer a PHI introductory course and (2) administering a survey to determine PHI needs in actual practice.

Modeling a prior PHI training [9], the team used focus groups and recruited participants through email lists, a flyer, and class announcements. Focus group questions were designed to explore participants’ understanding of PHI, informatics use in classes, and content expectations for a potential PHI course.

A single month-long online survey using the Qualtrics® software was administered to members of two professional health associations: the Mississippi Rural Health Association (MRHA) and the Mississippi Public Health Association (MPHA). The instrument leveraged the knowledge of prior informatics competency surveys [10, 11] and collected information mainly in three areas: professional profile, usage of key public health information resources, and utilization of data, information, and technology. The leaderships of the two associations encouraged the effort by notifying their membership of this survey.

All focus group sessions were audio recorded and transcribed. Using standard qualitative research procedure [12], the team then organized all data into conceptual themes. Both the survey process and the descriptive statistical analyses of the responses were performed using Qualtrics.

RESULTS

Focus groups

Ultimately, seven DPH faculty members who specialized in biostatistics, epidemiology, health policy and administration, and health education attended one focus group session, while eight students participated over two sessions. The study had three focus group themes: the perception of PHI, the need for a course, and the potential content of the course. Analysis showed that faculty members did not share a common understanding of PHI, while master’s of public health (MPH) students had little awareness of it. Additionally, faculty members were concerned about students’ lack of informatics skills and emphasized the need to familiarize students with databases, health data, and technology. When probed, the students concurred that they had limited PHI knowledge. The results indicated that students needed informatics preparation for their graduate studies.

Survey results

As for the survey of public health professionals, 101 respondents initiated the survey, about 15.5% of a membership pool of 650. The professional profiles showed a wide distribution of public health professions including physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, dieticians, health educators, epidemiologists, biostatisticians, environmentalists, social workers, administrators, researchers, policy analysts, and others. In terms of job locations, the largest group worked at the state level (n=40/95, 42%), while others were distributed across regional, county, local, and even national and international levels. Additionally, the vast majority of the respondents had more than 5 years of public health work experience (n=74/94, 79%).

Probing where professionals located information indicated that most used intranet websites, databases, asking a colleague, or search engines. Many also indicated journals, conference proceedings, and webinars. However, only 5% of the respondents indicated librarians as a source of public health information (Table 1). Additionally, although most respondents seemed familiar with national and state-level health agency websites such as that of the CDC, only a few, (n=8, 9%), were aware of the resources available at the library.

In terms of what types of information and tools they used, the professionals noted that they used a

| Work-related information                           | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Intranet website and databases                     | 65        | 71%        |
| Ask a colleague or a friend                        | 56        | 61%        |
| Search engine or Google                            | 54        | 59%        |
| Look for information yourself                      | 52        | 57%        |
| Professional journals                              | 38        | 41%        |
| Emailing lists                                     | 32        | 35%        |
| Conference proceedings                             | 29        | 32%        |
| Professional webinar                               | 26        | 28%        |
| Personal/departmental subscription                 | 14        | 15%        |
| Other (legal counsel, PubMed, manuals, news, experts, local agencies) | 8 | 9% |
| Contact a librarian or an information specialist   | 5         | 5%         |

Table 1  
Respondents’ means of locating work-related information (n=92)
diverse set of tools including, but not limited to, CDC Wonder, geographic information system (GIS), statistical, surveillance, economic, and data visualization tools to collect and disseminate health statistics, health status indicators, consumer health information, medical research, drug information, federal or state legislation, funding sources, policy, regulatory updates, and other information. The professionals also believed that utilizing modern information technology is important in promoting public health.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the study and adoption of the framework of collaboration between librarians and the public health workforce [8], the SILS at USM has developed and offered a PHI course to both graduate public health and library science students. The course presents diverse content based on working professionals’ information needs and includes modules that feature an overview of health informatics, data, health information technology, e-health, evidence-based practice, health information resources, personalized medicine and genomics, consumer health informatics, and informatics careers. To further enhance the profile of libraries and librarians as public health resources, a PHI subject guide incorporating Mississippi health characteristics has also been incorporated into USM’s library website and serves as a supplementary course resource. Additionally, the guide has been registered with the Medical Library Association’s Educational Clearinghouse.
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