Aberrant DNA methylation results in altered gene expression in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-related hepatocellular carcinomas
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Abstract

Purpose The aim of this study was to investigate DNA methylation alterations in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)-related hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs).

Methods Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis was performed using the Infinium Human Methylation 450 K BeadChip, and levels of mRNA expression were analyzed by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR.

Results Compared to 36 samples of normal control liver tissue (C), DNA methylation alterations were observed on 19,281 probes in 22 samples of cancerous tissue (T) obtained from patients showing histological features compatible with NASH in their non-cancerous liver tissue (N). Among those probes, 1396 were located within CpG islands or their shores and shelves, designed around the transcription start sites of 726 genes. In representative genes, such as DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B, both DNA hypomethylation and mRNA overexpression were observed in T samples relative to C samples, and the levels of DNA methylation and mRNA expression were inversely correlated with each other. DNA hypomethylation occurred even in N samples at the precancerous NASH stage, and this was inherited by or further strengthened in T samples. DNA hypomethylation of DCAF4L2, CKLF and UBE2C was observed in both NASH-related and viral hepatitis-related HCCs, whereas that of TRIM4, PRC1 and TUBA1B occurred in a NASH-related HCC-specific manner. DNA hypomethylation and/or mRNA overexpression of these genes was frequently associated with the necroinflammatory grade of NASH and was correlated with poorer tumor differentiation.

Conclusion DNA methylation alterations may occur under the necroinflammatory conditions characteristic of NASH and participate in NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis through aberrant expression of tumor-related genes.
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Abbreviations

C Normal control liver tissue
GO Gene ontology
HBV Hepatitis B virus
HCC Hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV Hepatitis C virus
EMT Epithelial mesenchymal transition
NAS Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease activity score
NASH Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis
N Non-cancerous liver tissue obtained from patients with NASH-related HCCs
RT Reverse transcription
TNM Tumor–node–metastasis
Introduction

Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), a hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome (Bessone et al. 2019) resulting in the development of liver cirrhosis, has shown an alarming increase in recent years. Although hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection followed by chronic hepatitis and liver cirrhosis used to be the main cause of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), there is evidence that NASH is becoming another precancerous condition for HCC (Kim and El-Serag 2019). However, the molecular background of NASH-related HCC is not yet fully understood.

It is well known that not only genomic, but also epigenomic alterations participate in carcinogenesis in various human organs (Baylin and Jones 2016; Jones et al. 2016). Epigenome alterations have also attracted a great deal of attention as the molecular basis of metabolic disorders (Keating and El-Osta 2015). In the light of these facts, it would be informative to understand the significance of DNA methylation alterations in NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis. Due to the difficulty in obtaining appropriate human samples, many previous studies of NASH-related HCC have been conducted using animal models (Borowa-Mazgaj et al. 2019). Even in studies using human clinical samples, DNA methylation status has been examined only for individual tumor-related genes (Tian et al. 2015), and studies employing genome-wide DNA methylation analysis have been very limited.

Recently, single-CpG-resolution genome-wide DNA methylation screening using the Infinium assay (Bibikova et al. 2009) has been introduced for analysis of various human tissue specimens (Tsumura et al. 2019; Makabe et al. 2019). In the context of NASH, a previous Infinium analysis using human tissue specimens has focused merely on the differences between NASH and simple steatosis (de Mello et al. 2017). Although we have also reported the results of Infinium assay using pathological tissue specimens, we focused mainly on DNA methylation profiles at the precancerous stages: such profiles in NASH were compared with those of chronic hepatitis and liver cirrhosis in patients with HBV or HCV infection, and those in patients without HCCs were compared with those of patients with HCCs (Kuramoto et al. 2017). Although a study of HCCs by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) employed the Infinium assay (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/legacy-archive/files/d794e956-9333-488d-b784-aaaf86c2e5de), the background etiology of only 3% of deposited HCC samples was “non-alcoholic fatty liver disease”. Thus, as the severity of steatosis in such samples was unclear, it was not possible to confirm whether or not such HCCs were truly derived from NASH (Ally et al. 2017). On overview of the currently available literature therefore suggests that the genome-wide DNA methylation profiles of NASH-related HCCs themselves have yet to be reported in detail.

In the present study, we employed the Infinium assay for genome-wide DNA methylation (methylome) analysis and quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR for analysis of gene expression in samples of NASH-related human HCC and identified tumor-related genes possibly participating in the development of NASH-related HCCs. We then examined in meticulous detail the correlations between DNA methylation status and the expression levels of identified genes, as well as the various clinicopathological parameters of both NASH and HCC.

Materials and methods

Patients and tissue samples

For the present analysis, we used 22 paired samples of non-cancerous liver tissue (N) and corresponding cancerous tissue (T) obtained by partial hepatectomy from 22 HCC patients whose N samples showed histological features compatible with NASH. All 22 patients were negative for both HBV surface antigen and anti-HCV antibody. NASH stage was evaluated microscopically on the basis of the histological scoring system for NASH (Kleiner et al. 2005) and the Brunt classification (Brunt et al. 1999). Histological diagnosis of HCCs was made in accordance with the World Health Organization classification (Hirohashi et al. 2000) and the tumor–node–metastasis (TNM) classification (Brierley et al. 2017). The age, sex and clinicopathological characteristics of the subjects are summarized in Supplementary Table S1A. For comparison, 36 samples of normal control liver tissue (C), obtained by partial hepatectomy from 36 patients with liver metastases of primary colorectal cancers without HBV or HCV infection, chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis or HCC, were examined. In addition, 36 samples of HCCs from patients with HBV and/or HCV infection (Supplementary Table S1B) were analyzed.

None of the patients had received preoperative treatment, and all underwent surgery at the National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. Immediately after surgical removal, tissue specimens were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen at the National Cancer Center Biobank, Tokyo, Japan, until use in research, in accordance with the Japanese Society of Pathology Guidelines for the handling of pathological tissue samples for genomic research (Kanai et al. 2018). This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of the National Cancer Center, Tokyo, Japan, and Keio University, and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All of the patients provided written informed consent prior to inclusion of their specimens in the study.
Infinium assay

High-molecular-weight DNA was extracted from fresh-frozen tissue samples using phenol–chloroform, followed by dialysis. Five hundred nanograms of genomic DNA was subjected to bisulfite treatment using an EZ DNA Methylation-Gold™ Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA methylation status at 485,577 CpG loci was examined at single-CpG resolution using the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA) (Bibikova et al. 2009). After hybridization, the specifically hybridized DNA was fluorescence labeled by a single-base extension reaction and detected using an iScan reader (Illumina) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol.

The data were then assembled using GenomeStudio methylation software (Illumina). At each CpG site, the ratio of the fluorescence signal was measured using a methylated probe relative to the sum of the methylated and unmethylated probes, i.e., the so-called β-value, which ranges from 0.00 to 1.00, reflecting the methylation level of an individual CpG site. Some of the results of the Infinium assay were used in our previous study focusing on comparison with viral hepatitis-related HCCs (Kuramoto et al. 2017) and deposited in the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, Accession number GSE89852).

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

A network topology-based analysis was conducted using the WEB-based GEne Set AnaLysis Toolkit (Webgestalt, https://www.webgestalt.org) and the network expansion method (Ashburner et al. 2000), with reference to the BioGRID 3.5 database (https://www.thebiogrid.org) and setting the number of top-ranking neighbors to 10. The generated network was investigated for enriched GO biological processes.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from all 22 paired N and T samples and 31 C samples, for which sufficient amounts of tissue were available for RNA extraction even after extraction of genomic DNA for the Infinium assay, using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). cDNA was generated from total RNA using random primers and SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Levels of expression of mRNA were determined using the PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) on the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) employing the relative standard curve method. PCR primers were designed using the Primer Designer software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK, https://www.thermofisher.com/order/genome-database/), Primer-BLAST software (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/index.cgi) or by reference to a previous paper (Li et al. 2016). The sequences of the PCR primer sets employed are shown in Supplementary Table S2. Experiments were performed in triplicate, and the mean value for the three experiments was used as the threshold cycle (Ct) value. All Ct values were normalized to that of the GAPDH gene in the same sample.

Statistics

In the Infinium assay, the call proportions \( P < 0.01 \) for detection of signals above the background for 681 probes (shown in Supplementary Table S3) in all of the examined tissue samples were less than 90%. Since such a low proportion may due to polymorphism at the probe of CpG sites, these 681 probes were excluded from subsequent analysis, as described previously (Ohara et al. 2017). Meanwhile, the missing data rate defined as the percentage of missing values across all of the tissue samples was also taken into consideration, 45 probes for which more than 10% of the data were missing being thus removed from the analysis. In addition, probes on chromosomes X and Y were removed to avoid any gender-specific methylation bias, leaving a final total of 470,429 autosomal CpG sites.

Differences in levels of DNA methylation and mRNA expression between C and T samples were examined by Welch’s t test. Correlations between mRNA expression levels or DNA methylation status in T samples on the one hand, and clinicopathological parameters on the other were tested by Fisher’s exact test. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS program version 24 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and programming language R. Differences at \( P \) values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

DNA methylation alterations in T samples relative to C samples

The Infinium assay identified 19,281 probes that exhibited aberrant DNA methylation in T samples relative to C samples \( (P < 0.01, \) Welch’s t test with Bonferroni correction, and a \( \Delta \beta_{T−C} \) value of more than 0.2 or less than \( − 0.2 \). To identify genes with alterations of DNA methylation potentially resulting in alterations of expression, among the 19,281 probes, we focused on 7256 that were located within the CpG island based on the UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/), N-Shelf (2000 bp region 5′ adjacent to N-Shore), N-Shore (2000 bp region 5′ adjacent to CpG island), S-Shore (2000 bp region 3′ adjacent to CpG island), and S-Shelf (2000 bp region 3′ adjacent to S-Shore). Among these 7256 probes, 1396 were located within the region
Table 1 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis using the 219 genes aberrantly methylated in hepatocellular carcinomas and included in Supplementary Table S4

| GO ID          | Biological process                                 | P      | False discovery rate | Genes aberrantly methylated in hepatocellular carcinomas and involved in the process |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0038061     | NIK/NF-κB signaling                                 | <0.001 | 3.74 E−02             | RIPK3, PSMA8, ADIPOR1, PSMD2, TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A, PSMD6                        |
| GO:0007250     | Activation of NF-κB-inducing kinase activity         | 0.001  | 9.89 E−01             | TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A                                                            |
| GO:1901222     | Regulation of NIK/NF-κB signaling                   | <0.001 | 9.89 E−01             | ADIPOR1, TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A                                                   |
| GO:0032502     | Developmental process                               | <0.001 | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PSMD2, TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A, PSMD6                                     |
| GO:0007250     | Multicellular organism development                  | 0.001  | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PSMD2, TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A, PSMD6                                     |
| GO:0048856     | Anatomical structure development                    | <0.001 | 9.89 E−01             | SEMA4F, CFT, RIPK3, SCLT1, PSMA8, ELAVL1, RBM24, NCOA6, PRAME, USP21, SALL3, SFN, HK2, HOXA10, HoxD8, APP, INPP5D, ITGA6, ITGA4, ITGA7, KRT19, LFG, MEST, ASCL2, NEDD4, NTR1, ADIPOR1, CCHCR1, POUSF1, PPP2R3A, PCDH8, PSMD2, VANG2, PTH1, PTN, RPS6K1, RRPB1, SCN8A, SFN, UBE2V2, VIM, ZNF217, USP7, CAD, THOC6, LRRK1, HDAC11, FZD6, PARD6B, ZIC5, TNFRSF10A, CDKL2, INA, SPAG6, CD44, PSMD6 |
| GO:1990000     | Amyloid fibril formation                            | <0.001 | 9.89 E−01             | RIK3, APP                                                                           |
| GO:0033209     | Tumor necrosis factor-mediated signaling pathway     | 0.001  | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PSMD2, TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A, PSMD6                                     |
| GO:0007275     | Multicellular organism development                  | 0.001  | 9.89 E−01             | SEMA4F, CFT, RIPK3, SCLT1, PSMA8, ELAVL1, RBM24, NCOA6, USP21, SALL3, SFN, HK2, HOXA10, HoxD8, APP, INPP5D, ITGA6, ITGA4, ITGA7, KRT19, LFG, MEST, ASCL2, NEDD4, NTR1, ADIPOR1, CCHCR1, POUSF1, PPP2R3A, PCDH8, PSMD2, VANG2, PTH1, PTN, RPS6K1, RRPB1, SCN8A, SFN, UBE2V2, VIM, ZNF217, USP7, CAD, THOC6, LRRK1, HDAC11, FZD6, PARD6B, ZIC5, TNFRSF10A, INA, SPAG6, CD44, PSMD6 |
| GO:0007166     | Cell surface receptor signaling pathway              | 0.001  | 9.89 E−01             | SEMA4F, CFT, RIPK3, SCLT1, PSMA8, ELAVL1, RBM24, NCOA6, USP21, SALL3, SFN, HK2, HOXA10, HoxD8, APP, INPP5D, ITGA6, ITGA4, ITGA7, KRT19, LFG, MEST, ASCL2, NEDD4, NTR1, ADIPOR1, CCHCR1, POUSF1, PPP2R3A, PCDH8, PSMD2, VANG2, PTH1, PTN, RPS6K1, RRPB1, SCN8A, SFN, UBE2V2, VIM, ZNF217, USP7, CAD, THOC6, LRRK1, HDAC11, FZD6, PARD6B, ZIC5, TNFRSF10A, INA, SPAG6, CD44, PSMD6 |
| GO:0060071     | Wnt signaling pathway, planar cell polarity pathway | 0.002  | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PSMD2, VANG2, FZD6, PSMD6                                                   |
| GO:0090175     | Regulation of establishment of planar polarity      | 0.002  | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PSMD2, VANG2, FZD6, PSMD6                                                   |
| GO:19011224    | Positive regulation of NIK/NF-κB signaling          | 0.002  | 9.89 E−01             | TRAF2, CARD14, TNFRSF10A, PSMD6                                                   |
| GO:0099968     | Negative regulation of signal transduction          | 0.002  | 9.89 E−01             | PSMA8, PRAME, MPV17L, SALL3, INPP5D, ITGA6, LFG, NEDD4, ADIPOR1, PMEPA1, PSMD2, PTH1, PTH2, TRAF2, FZD6, TNFRSF10A, CD44, PSMD6 |
| GO:0035019     | Somatic stem cell population maintenance            | 0.002  | 9.89 E−01             | ASCL2, POUSF1, VANG2, SFN, PTH1, PTH2, TRAF2, FZD6, TNFRSF10A, CD44, PSMD6      |
from the transcription start site (TSS) to 1500 bp upstream of the specific gene. Among the 726 genes for which the 1396 probes were designed, 219 genes showed a significant inverse correlation between the levels of DNA methylation and mRNA expression (Pearson’s correlation coefficient \( r < -0.25, P < 0.05 \)), based on data for cancers derived from multiple organs, i.e. HCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma and endometrial carcinoma, deposited in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov). These 219 genes are listed in Supplementary Table S4.SYBR green-based real-time quantitative PCR analysis was performed for these 24 genes (Supplementary Table S2) using the 31 C and 22 T samples. This revealed that the levels of DNA hypomethylation in T samples relative to C samples (\( P < 0.05 \)) (Table 2). This revealed that the levels of expression of mRNAs for the 219 genes shown in Supplementary Table S4 were subjected to GO enrichment analysis. The top 20 significant processes that were significant even after correction using the false discovery rate (FDR) was NIK (NF-KB [nuclear factor-kappa B] inducing kinase, also termed MAP3K14, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 14)/NF-KB signaling, which reportedly has an essential role in the immune response and carcinogenesis (Valiño-Rivas et al. 2019). Furthermore, four and two processes in Table 1 were involved in the NF-KB signaling pathway (GO:0038061, GO:0007250, GO:1901222 and GO:1901224) and in the Wnt signaling pathway (GO:00060071 and GO:0090263), respectively.

### GO enrichment analysis using aberrantly methylated genes in T samples

The 219 genes shown in Supplementary Table S4 were subjected to GO enrichment analysis. The top 20 significant biological processes are summarized in Table 1. The top process that was significant even after correction using the false discovery rate (FDR) was NIK (NF-KB induction kinase, also termed MAP3K14, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 14)/NF-KB signaling, which reportedly has an essential role in the immune response and carcinogenesis (Valiño-Rivas et al. 2019). Furthermore, four and two processes in Table 1 were involved in the NF-KB signaling pathway (GO:0038061, GO:0007250, GO:1901222 and GO:1901224) and in the Wnt signaling pathway (GO:00060071 and GO:0090263), respectively.

### Identification of cancer-related genes showing overexpression possibly due to DNA hypomethylation in T samples relative to C samples

Taking into consideration literature-based implications in the process of carcinogenesis, including but not limited to hepatocarcinogenesis, we further focused on 24 genes that showed DNA hypomethylation in T samples and these are included in Supplementary Table S4. SYBR green-based real-time quantitative PCR analysis was performed for these 24 genes (Supplementary Table S2) using the 31 C and 22 T samples. This revealed that the levels of expression of mRNAs for the DCAF4L2 (DB1 and CUL4 associated factor 4-like 2), CKLF (chemokine-like factor), TRIM4 (tripartite motif-containing 4), PRC1 (protein regulator of cytokinesis 1), UBE2C (ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 C) and TUBA1B (tubulin alpha 1b) genes were significantly increased in T samples relative to C samples (\( P < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1). Using 75 tissue samples in our own cohort, i.e., 31 C, 22 N and 22 T samples, each gene actually showed a significant inverse correlation between the level of DNA methylation and that of mRNA expression (\( r < -0.3 \) and \( P < 0.05 \) (Table 2).

Our previous study had provided evidence that DNA methylation alterations occurred even at the early and pre-cancerous stage during multistage hepatocarcinogenesis (Kuramoto et al. 2017). We then subjected these six genes to the Jonckheere–Terpstra trend test using all 80 tissue

---

**Table 1 (continued)**

| GO ID     | Biological process* | \( P \) | False discovery rate | Genes aberrantly methylated in hepatocellular carcinomas and involved in the process |
|-----------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GO:0090263 | Positive regulation of canonical Wnt signaling pathway | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | PSMA8, RNF220, PSMD2, LRRK1, PSMD6                                                          |
| GO:0031398 | Positive regulation of protein ubiquitination | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | UBE2C, PSMA8, PSMD2, DERL1, TSPYL5, PSMD6                                                  |
| GO:0001736 | Establishment of planar polarity | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | PSMA8, PSMD2, VANGL2, FZD6, PSMD6                                                          |
| GO:0007164 | Establishment of tissue polarity | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | PSMA8, PSMD2, VANGL2, FZD6, PSMD6                                                          |
| GO:0060965 | Negative regulation of gene silencing by miRNA | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | ELAVL1, POU5F1                                                                             |
| GO:0007165 | Signal transduction | 0.002   | 9.89 E–01            | SEMA4F, CFTR, RIPK3, PSMA8, NCOA6, ZDHHC17, PRAME, SH3BP1, MPV17L, SALL3, SFN, ANK1, CD274, HNRNPF, APP, INPP5D, ITGA6, ITGA4, ITGA7, KRT19, LFNG, MICB, NEDD4, NTRK1, ADIPOR1, RNF220, WSB2, MAPK13, PMEPA1, PSMD2, VANGL2, PITCH1, PTN, RPS6KA1, ARHGAP9, RASSF10, LINX1, TRAF2, VIM, ZNF217, GGCT, CARD14, DERL1, LRRK1, FZD6, SPSB2, TSPYL5, TNFRSF10A, CDLK2, CD44, PSMD6 |

*After omission of several processes related to specific organs other than the liver, e.g. establishment of body hair or bristle planar orientation (GO:0048104) and regulation of synapse structure or activity (GO:0050803), the top 20 processes are listed.
samples including N samples that showed histological features compatible with NASH and were possibly at the precancerous stage. This revealed significant ordered differences in DNA methylation status from C (n = 36) to N (n = 22) and then to T (n = 22) samples (Fig. 2), indicating that the DNA hypomethylation of these six genes in N samples relative to C samples was inherited by or further strengthened in the T samples themselves. Ordered differences in mRNA expression levels including N samples are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

We then compared the DNA methylation levels of these six genes in the T samples (n = 22) with DNA methylation data for HCCs from patients with HBV and/or HCV infection (n = 36). The DNA methylation levels for DCAF4L2, CKLF and UBE2C in T samples were not significantly different from those in viral hepatitis-related HCCs, whereas the DNA methylation levels for TRIM4, PRC1 and TUBA1B in T samples were significantly lower than those in viral hepatitis-related HCCs, indicating that DNA hypomethylation of the TRIM4, PRC1 and TUBA1B genes occurred specifically during NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis (Fig. 3).

Clinicopathological impact of DNA hypomethylation and overexpression in T samples

With respect to each of the DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B genes, 22 T samples were divided into high- and low-DNA methylation groups using DNA methylation levels at the 25th percentile as cutoff points based on the results of Infinium assay. We examined correlations between high or low DNA methylation levels in T samples on the one hand, and clinicopathological parameters of N samples (steatosis, lobular inflammation, hepatocellular ballooning, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease activity score [NAS] (Kleiner et al. 2005), necroinflammatory grade and stage based on the Brunt classification (Brunt et al. 1999)) and of T samples (tumor differentiation (Hiroyoshi et al. 2000), portal vein involvement and pathological TNM stage (Brierley et al. 2017)) on the other. Lower levels of DNA methylation on the three Infinium probes (cg02310286, cg17703554 and cg21181391) designed for the DCAF4L2 gene, those on cg08287306 designed for the CKLF gene, those on cg25591451 designed for the TRIM4 gene and those on cg13709639 designed for the TUBA1B gene were significantly correlated with a higher NAS score and an advanced necroinflammatory grade (P = 0.043) in N samples (Table 3).

On the basis of the results of quantitative RT-PCR analysis, 22 T samples were divided into high- and low-expression groups using mRNA expression levels at the 75th percentile as cutoff points. Correlations between high or low levels of mRNA expression in T samples on the one hand and clinicopathological parameters of N and T samples on the other were examined. Higher expression of the DCAF4L2 and CKLF genes was significantly correlated with a higher NAS score and an advanced necroinflammatory grade (P = 0.043) in N samples (Table 4).

To identify DNA methylation alterations possibly resulting in alterations of expression, we next focused on Infinium probe CpG sites located near TSS and in CpG islands and their shores and shelves, which play an important role in

![Fig. 1 Scatterplot of the levels of mRNA expression (a) and DNA methylation (b) of the DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B genes in samples of normal control liver tissue (C) and tumor tissue (T). a mRNA expression levels were determined by SYBR green-based real-time quantitative PCR analysis. b DNA methylation levels were determined by Infinium assay. The Infinium probe ID for each gene is shown in parentheses. Levels of mRNA expression for all six genes were significantly increased in T samples (n = 22) relative to C samples (n = 31), whereas levels of DNA methylation on all ten probes designed for the six genes were significantly decreased in T samples (n = 22) relative to C samples (n = 36)](image)
expression regulation (Jones 2012). Four out of 20 signaling pathways including the top 3 pathways, in which possible alterations of expression occurred due to changes in DNA methylation, were related to NF-KB (Table 1). Since inflammatory cytokines can disturb the DNA methylation status of specific genes (Rokavec et al., 2016), it is feasible that expression alterations due to changes in the DNA methylation of NF-KB-related genes occur during the inflammatory process of NASH, thus promoting NF-KB signaling-related cell proliferation, tumorigenesis and epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Kaltschmidt et al., 2019). In addition, Table 1 suggests that participation of the Wnt signaling pathway (Wands and Kim 2014) in NASH-related carcinogenesis may be at least partly attributable to expression alterations resulting from changes in DNA methylation. To further reveal the significance of these signaling pathways, mRNA expression of the representative genes, i.e., PSMD6 (Islam et al., 2018), TNFRSF10A (Santonocito et al., 2013; Etemadi et al., 2015), VANGLE2 (Acedo et al., 2019) and LRRK1 (Lv et al., 2018), which were involved in the NF-KB signaling and/or Wnt signaling pathways shown in Table 1, was examined by real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Significantly reduced mRNA expression of the four examined genes was observed in T samples relative to C samples (Supplementary Figure S3), further highlighting the significance of the NF-KB and Wnt signaling pathways during NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis.

In addition to silencing of tumor-suppressor genes by DNA hypermethylation, overexpression of oncogenic genes due to DNA hypomethylation would play a potentially important role in tumorigenesis (Good et al., 2018).

Considering that genes overexpressed in tumors may become potential therapeutic targets, we focused especially on genes that might become overexpressed by DNA hypomethylation. We pre-selected candidate genes that would potentially show an inverse correlation between DNA methylation and mRNA expression using TCGA multi-organ cancer data. This strategy may be promising to find candidate genes among a broad spectrum of cancers, but would potentially create a bias in revealing significant alterations during hepatocarcinogenesis: genes regulated by DNA methylation only in organs other than the liver and/or HCC may be included in the 24 examined genes. This may be the reason why a significant inverse correlation was revealed by our real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis in only 6 of the 24 examined genes.

With regard to DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B, we were able to confirm DNA hypomethylation, overexpression and an inverse correlation between DNA methylation and mRNA expression using our own C, N and T samples (n = 75) (Table 2). When we examined the correlation between DNA methylation level and mRNA expression in each of the C, N and T samples separately,

**Table 2** Genes showing significant inverse correlations between the levels of mRNA expression and DNA methylation in all of the 75 tissue samples, normal control liver tissue samples (n = 31) and n = 36 for mRNA expression and DNA methylation quantification, respectively.

| Gene      | Average mRNA expression levels (GAPDH, mean ± SD) (n = 75) | Probe IDa | Chromosome Positionb | Average DNA methylation levels (mean ± SD) (n = 80) | Correlation coefficient (n = 75) | Pc |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----|
| **DCAF4L2** | 0.112 ± 0.345                                              | cg02310286 8 | 88,886,432 0.735 ± 0.259 | -0.613 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
|           |                                                             | cg17703554 8 | 88,886,339 0.682 ± 0.220 | -0.563 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
|           |                                                             | cg21181391 8 | 88,886,411 0.682 ± 0.231 | -0.576 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
| **CKLF**  | 0.602 ± 0.547                                              | cg08287306 16 | 66,585,924 0.298 ± 0.103 | -0.386 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
|           |                                                             | cg08793208 16 | 66,585,449 0.405 ± 0.132 | -0.307 0.007                                           | < 0.001                        |
| **TRIM4** | 1.178 ± 1.100                                              | cg02756107 7 | 99,517,460 0.415 ± 0.139 | -0.588 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
|           |                                                             | cg25591451 7 | 99,517,509 0.416 ± 0.157 | -0.597 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
| **PRC1**  | 0.060 ± 0.126                                              | cg01407062 15 | 91,538,575 0.539 ± 0.201 | -0.486 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |
| **UBE2C** | 0.335 ± 0.568                                              | cg19197419 20 | 44,441,612 0.283 ± 0.126 | -0.336 0.003                                           | < 0.001                        |
| **TUBA1B** | 0.016 ± 0.013                                              | cg13709639 12 | 49,526,004 0.485 ± 0.192 | -0.397 < 0.001                                         | < 0.001                        |

aProbe ID for the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina)
bNational Center for Biotechnology Information database (Genome Built 37)
cP values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant
Fig. 3 DNA methylation levels of the DCAF4L2 (a), CKLF (b), TRIM4 (c), PRC1 (d), UBE2C (e) and TUBA1B (f) genes in samples of viral hepatitis-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (viral-T) (n = 36) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-related HCC (NASH-T) (n = 22). Levels of DNA methylation for the DCAF4L2, CKLF and UBE2C genes in NASH-T samples were not significantly different from those in viral-T samples, whereas levels of DNA methylation for the TRIM4, PRC1 and TUBA1B genes in NASH-T samples were significantly lower than those in viral-T samples, indicating that DNA hypomethylation of the TRIM4, PRC1 and TUBA1B genes had occurred specifically during NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis. 
P values of < 0.05 are underlined

inverse correlations of DCAF4L2 and TRIM4 were confirmed even in T samples alone, but were not revealed in N samples alone (Supplementary Table S5). This may be attributable to the decrease in the number of samples of each of C, N and T, compared to Table 2 which joined all 75 samples. Moreover, it may be difficult to demonstrate an inverse correlation unless analyzed with control C samples.

Even though significant alterations of mRNA expression from C to N and then to T samples were observed for the CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B genes based on the Jonckheere–Terpstra trend test, alterations in N samples relative to C samples were more obvious in DNA methylation (Fig. 2) than in mRNA expression (Supplementary Figure S1), indicating that DNA methylation alterations precede and then may induce mRNA expression alterations in the precancerous N stages.

Although DNA hypomethylation and overexpression of TRIM4 have been reported in neural tube defects (Zhang et al. 2019), no previous studies have yet revealed regulation of expression by DNA methylation of the DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B genes. However, in addition to the TCGA data, the inverse correlation between DNA methylation and mRNA expression levels in our own cohort suggests the possibility of epigenetic regulation of these genes, although further confirmation using demethylating agents in vitro will be necessary.

Although overexpression of DCAF4L2 has been observed in human colonic cancers (Wang et al. 2016), its association with HCCs regardless of their etiology (viral hepatitis, heavy alcohol consumption or NASH) has never been reported. Our data clearly revealed that overexpression of DCAF4L2 is a common feature of NASH-related HCCs and viral hepatitis-related HCCs (Fig. 3). Since both DNA hypomethylation and overexpression of DCAF4L2 were significantly correlated with clinicopathological parameters of N samples reflecting the severity of inflammation (NAS score and necroinflammatory grade), it appears that DCAF4L2 abnormalities can be induced by the inflammatory process during the precancerous NASH stage. DNA hypomethylation occurring at the precancerous NASH stage (N samples) was clearly inherited by HCCs (T samples) (Fig. 2), suggesting that precancerous hepatocytes showing DCAF4L2 overexpression might gain a growth advantage and show clonal expansion. DCAF4L2 is a member of the E3 ligase complex and reportedly mediates degradation of PPM1B, which negatively regulates NF-κB signaling (Angers et al. 2006). Introduction of DCAF4L2 in cancer cell lines has been shown to promote EMT, and this is considered attributable to activation of NF-κB signaling (Wang et al. 2016). DCAF4L2 overexpression and other abnormalities of NF-κB signaling shown in Table 1 may be mutually complementary for development and progression of NASH-related HCCs, and DCAF4L2 may therefore be a candidate therapeutic target in HCCs.

In non-cancerous liver tissue, CKLF overexpression was significantly correlated with clinicopathological parameters reflecting the severity of inflammation (Table 4). Since CKLF is a chemokine (Liu et al. 2018), we cannot completely rule out the possibility that CKLF is secreted by inflammatory cells. On the other hand, DNA hypomethylation was clearly strengthened in T samples, which generally contain fewer inflammatory cells than N samples (Fig. 2). It has been previously reported that malignant cells, such as ovarian cancer cells, secrete CKLF and thus promote the proliferation of cancer cells in an autocrine or paracrine manner (Liu et al. 2018), suggesting that HCC cells themselves might also overexpress CKLF. Furthermore, the levels of CKLF gene DNA methylation in NASH-related HCCs were the same as those in viral hepatitis-related HCCs (Fig. 3), indicating that CKLF could be a potential target in HCCs regardless of etiology. In addition, although association of CKLF abnormalities with HCCs has not been reported, a high level of CKLF expression in HCC tissues was just reported at the time when this manuscript was in the process of preparation (Zhu et al. 2019). CKLF is a functional ligand for CCR4 (CC chemokine receptor 4) and reportedly activates the NF-κB signaling pathway in lung epithelial cells (Li et al. 2014). This analogous role in such epithelial cells again highlights the significance of the NF-κB signaling pathway.

Tripartite motif (TRIM) family proteins, most of which have E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, have various functions in cellular processes such as apoptosis and autophagy, and show both oncogenic and tumor-suppressive activities (Hatakeyama 2017). With regard to TRIM4, recent studies have revealed that it induces mitochondrial aggregation, increases the level of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species in the presence of H₂O₂ (Tomar et al. 2015) and participates in antiviral innate immunity (Yan et al. 2014). Although an association of TRIM4 with carcinogenesis has not been clarified in any organ, our data clearly revealed that NASH-related HCC-specific DNA hypomethylation (Fig. 3), which occurred even at the precancerous NASH stage (in N samples) and was further strengthened in T samples (Fig. 2), is associated with overexpression of TRIM4. Interestingly, as shown in Supplementary Figure S2, TRIM4 appears
Table 3 Correlations between DNA methylation levels, defined as low or high using the 25th percentile methylation levels as cutoff values, for the DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1,UBE2C and TUBA1B genes and the clinicopathological parameters of patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-related hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs)

| Clinico-pathological parameters | Number of patients | DCAF4L2 (cg02310286) | DCAF4L2 (cg17703554) | DCAF4L2 (cg21181391) | CKLF (cg08287306) | CKLF (cg08793208) | TRIM4 (cg02756107) | TRIM4 (cg25591451) | PRC1 (cg01407062) | UBE2C (cg19197419) | TUBA1B (cg13709639) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Histological findings in non-cancerous liver tissue | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Steatosis (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5–33 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 |
| 33–66 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0.689 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 | 3 | 12 | 0.316 | 2 | 13 | 0.132 |
| >66 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lobular inflammation (foci/field) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <2 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 0.055 | 3 | 14 | 0.548 | 2 | 15 | 0.055 | 3 | 14 | 0.548 | 5 | 12 | 0.290 | 3 | 14 | 0.548 | 3 | 14 | 0.548 | 13 | 100.0 | 14 | 0.548 |
| 2–4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Many | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Ballooning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Few | 19 | 3 | 16 | 0.117 | 3 | 16 | 0.117 | 3 | 16 | 0.117 | 4 | 15 | 1.000 | 3 | 15 | 1.000 | 3 | 16 | 0.117 | 15 | 1.000 | 16 | 1.000 |
| Many | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3–5 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 5 | 15 | 1.000 | 4 | 16 | 0.411 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 4 | 16 | 0.411 | 16 | 0.411 |
| 6–7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Necroinflammatory grade | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mild to moderate | 20 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 5 | 15 | 1.000 | 4 | 16 | 0.411 | 3 | 17 | 0.043 | 16 | 0.411 | 16 | 0.411 |
| Severe | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Brunt stage | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.630 | 1 | 4 | 1.000 | 1 | 4 | 0.886 | 1 | 4 | 1.000 | 0 | 5 | 0.254 | 1 | 4 | 0.886 | 3 | 0.886 | 3 | 0.886 |
| 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 0.043 | 3 | 7 | 0.043 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 4 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Clinicopathological characteristics of HCCs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Differentiation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Well | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.157 | 2 | 2 | 0.222 | 1 | 3 | 0.529 | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | 0 | 4 | 0.108 | 2 | 2 | 0.222 | 2 | 2 | 0.222 | 1 | 3 |
| Moderate | 13 | 5 | 8 | 0.630 | 1 | 4 | 1.000 | 1 | 4 | 0.886 | 1 | 4 | 1.000 | 0 | 5 | 0.254 | 1 | 4 | 0.886 | 3 | 0.886 | 3 | 0.886 |
| Poorly | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.043 | 3 | 7 | 0.043 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 4 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 | 2 | 8 | 1.000 |

---

a: Significance level of the association is presented as p-value (p < 0.05).
to be important only in the transitional stage from C to T, but not during further progression to poorly differentiated HCC. Taken together, the data suggest that the significance of TRIM4 in NASH-related carcinogenesis may be worth closer scrutiny.

A microtubule-associated protein, PRC1, helps to sequester the β-catenin destruction complex consisting of Axin, APC, GSK3 and CK1 at the microtubule, stabilizing the cytoplasmic β-catenin and acting as a Wnt signaling activator (Chen et al. 2017). Even though epigenetic regulation of PRC1 expression in human cancers has not been reported, overexpression of PRC1 has been described in many cancers, such as urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder (Su et al. 2019) and adenocarcinoma of the colon (Subramaniyan et al. 2016). In HCCs, enhanced expression of PRC1 is reportedly associated with early recurrence and poorer prognosis in patients with HCCs (Chen et al. 2016). These findings appear to be consistent with the overexpression we observed in the present samples of moderately to poorly differentiated HCCs (Table 4).

UBE2C is another integral component of the ubiquitin proteasome and partners the anaphase-promoting complex (Jin et al. 2019). The oncogenic significance of UBE2C has been clearly demonstrated in UBE2C-transgenic mice, which are prone to develop both spontaneous and carcinogen-induced tumors with chromosome aneuploidy (Jin et al. 2019). UBE2C overexpression has already been reported in many cancer types, such as lung (Jin et al. 2019) and kidney (Wei et al. 2019) cancers. UBE2C overexpression in moderately to poorly differentiated HCCs in the present study (Table 4) was consistent with previous studies demonstrating a correlation with clinicopathological aggressiveness and poorer prognosis of HCCs (Xiong et al. 2019; Ieta et al. 2007). Although the NASH-related etiology of HCCs showing overexpression of UBE2C has never been addressed in previous reports, the present study clearly demonstrated UBE2C DNA hypomethylation in both precancerous NASH and NASH-related HCCs (Fig. 2).

Dynamic α-β tubulin heterodimerization modulates microtubule remodeling, possibly influencing cytoskeletal rearrangement, cell migration and motility, as well as the cell cycle in cancers (Hohmann and Dehghani 2019). Although the relationship of TUBA1B to human cancers has been poorly documented, one previous immunohistochemical study has demonstrated that higher expression of TUBA1B was a significant predictor of overall survival in HCC patients (Lu et al. 2013). In addition, TUBA1B knockout in HCC cell lines was shown to inhibit cell proliferation and increase sensitivity to the antimicrotubule agent, paclitaxel (Lu et al. 2013). Although this previous study did not address the etiology of HCCs with TUBA1B overexpression, the present study clearly revealed that alterations of TUBA1B gene DNA methylation had already occurred at

### Table 4 (continued)

| Gene       | cg probes | Value   |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| DCAF4L2    | cg02310286 | Low 10  |
| DCAF4L2    | cg17703554 | High 4  |
| DCAF4L2    | cg21181391 | Low 10  |
| CKLF       | cg08287306 | Low 10  |
| CKLF       | cg08793208 | Low 10  |
| TRIM4      | cg02756107 | Low 10  |
| TRIM4      | cg25594196 | High 4  |
| TRIM4      | cg02501557 | Low 10  |
| PRC1       | cg01407062 | Low 10  |
| UBE2C      | cg19197419 | Low 10  |
| TUBA1B     | cg13709639 | Low 10  |

*According to Kleiner et al. (2005).
*According to the Burt classification (Burt et al. 1999).
*According to the World Health Organization classification (Hihoud et al. 2000).
*According to the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) classification (Brierley et al. 2017).
Table 4 Correlations between mRNA expression levels, defined as low or high using 75th percentile methylation levels as cutoff values, for the DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B genes and clinicopathological parameters of patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis-related hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs)

| Clinicopathological parameters | Number of patients | DCAF4L2 | CKLF | TRIM4 | PRC1 | UBE2C | TUBA1B |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------|-------|------|-------|--------|
|                              | High   | Low   | P     | High  | Low  | P     | High  | Low  | P     | High | Low  | P     | High  | Low  | P     | High  | Low  | P     | High  | Low  | P     | High  | Low  | P     |
| Steatosis (%)a                | 5–33   | 15    | 3     | 0.316 | 2    | 1    | 0.132 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 | 0.689 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 |
|                              | 33–66  | 6     | 1     | 0.613 | 2    | 1    | 0.082 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 | 0.689 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 |
|                              | >66    | 1     | 1     | 0     | 1    | 0    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     |
| Lobular inflammation (foci/field)a | <2    | 17    | 2     | 0.557 | 3    | 1    | 0.117 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 5     | 1    | 0.290 | 4     | 1    | 1.000 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 | 0.548 | 3     | 1    | 1.000 |
|                              | 2–4    | 5     | 3     | 2     | 0.447 | 2    | 3    | 1     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     | 0     | 1    | 1     |
| Ballooninga                   | Few    | 19    | 3     | 16    | 0.117 | 3    | 16   | 0.117 | 5     | 14   | 1.000 | 5     | 14   | 1.000 | 4     | 15   | 1.000 | 4     | 15   | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|                              | Many   | 3     | 2     | 1     | 0     | 3    | 0    | 0     | 3     | 3    | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     | 1     | 2     |
| Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity scorea | 3–5   | 20    | 3     | 17    | 0.043 | 3    | 17   | 0.043 | 5     | 15   | 1.000 | 5     | 15   | 1.000 | 4     | 16   | 0.411 | 4     | 16   | 0.411 |
|                              | 6–7    | 2     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 2    | 0    | 0     | 2     | 0    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Necroinflammatory gradeb      | Mild to moderate | 20    | 3     | 17    | 0.043 | 3    | 17   | 0.043 | 5     | 15   | 1.000 | 5     | 15   | 1.000 | 4     | 16   | 0.411 | 4     | 16   | 0.411 |
|                              | Severe | 2     | 2     | 0     | 2     | 0    | 0    | 2     | 0    | 2    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| Brunt stagec                  | 1      | 5     | 0     | 5     | 0.630 | 1    | 4    | 1.000 | 1     | 4    | 0.886 | 1     | 4    | 0.886 | 1     | 4    | 0.368 | 1     | 4    | 0.886 |
|                              | 2      | 10    | 3     | 7     | 0.783 | 3    | 7    | 0.886 | 2     | 8    | 1.000 | 2     | 8    | 1.000 | 1     | 9    | 0.624 | 1     | 9    | 0.624 |
|                              | 3      | 5     | 2     | 3     | 0.323 | 1    | 4    | 0.323 | 1     | 4    | 0.323 | 3     | 2    | 0.323 | 3     | 2    | 0.323 | 3     | 2    | 0.323 |
|                              | 4      | 2     | 0     | 2     | 0     | 2    | 1    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 0     | 2     | 1     | 2     |
| Clinicopathological characteristics of HCCs | Differentiationd | Well | 4     | 0     | 4     | 0.783 | 0    | 4    | 0.323 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 |
|                              |        | 1     | 9     | 0.323 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 1     | 3    | 1.000 |
|                              | Moderate | 13    | 4     | 9     | 0.783 | 0    | 4    | 0.029 | 0     | 4    | 0.108 | 0     | 4    | 0.108 | 0     | 4    | 0.108 | 1     | 3    | 1.000 |
|                              | Poorly  | 5     | 1     | 4     | 0     | 5    | 3     | 2     | 3     | 2     | 1     | 4     | 1     | 4     | 1     | 4     | 1     | 4     | 1     | 4     |
| Portal vein invasion         | Negative| 10    | 1     | 9     | 0.323 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 3     | 7    | 0.624 | 2     | 8    | 1.000 |
The precancerous NASH stage (Fig. 2) and in a NASH-specific manner (Fig. 3). Whether or not the level of TUBA1B expression can become a predictor of sensitivity to antimitotubule agents is an issue for further investigation in NASH-related HCCs.

In this study, genome-wide DNA methylation analysis using tissue samples of HCCs that were clearly derived from the precancerous background of NASH identified important tumor-related genes showing DNA hypomethylation and overexpression, such as DCAF4L2, CKLF, TRIM4, PRC1, UBE2C and TUBA1B. Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis using human clinical samples is a powerful tool for identifying tumor-related genes with significant expression alterations. Although it remains to be investigated whether these gene products can become therapeutic targets for NASH-related HCCs, it is clear that DNA methylation alterations occurring under the necroinflammatory conditions of NASH are inherited by or strengthened in NASH-related HCCs and participate in NASH-related hepatocarcinogenesis through aberrant expression of tumor-related genes.
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