Differential patterns of ophiostomatoid fungal communities associated with three sympatric Tomicus species infesting pines in south-western China, with a description of four new species
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Abstract
Bark beetles and their associated fungi, which cause forest decline and sometimes high mortality in large areas around the world, are of increasing concern in terms of forest health. Three Tomicus spp. (T. brevipilosus, T. minor and T. yunnanensis) infect branches and trunks of Pinus yunnanensis and P. kesiya in Yunnan Province, in south-western China. Tomicus spp. are well known as vectors of ophiostomatoid fungi and their co-occurrence could result in serious ecological and economic impact on local forest ecosystems. Nonetheless, knowledge about their diversity, ecology, including pathogenicity and potential economic importance is still quite rudimentary. Therefore, an extensive survey of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with these Tomicus species infesting P. yunnanensis and P. kesiya was carried out in Yunnan. Seven hundred and seventy-two strains of ophiostomatoid fungi were isolated from the adult beetles and their galleries. The strains were identified based on comparisons of multiple DNA sequences, including the nuclear ribosomal large subunit (LSU) region, the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2, together with the intervening 5.8S gene (ITS) and the partial genes of β-tubulin (TUB2), elongation...
factor 1α (TEF1-α) and calmodulin (CAL). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using maximum parsimony (MP) as well as maximum likelihood (ML). Combinations of culture features, morphological characters and temperature-dependent growth rates were also employed for species identification. Eleven species belonging to five genera were identified. These included six known species, *Esteya vermicola*, *Leptographium yunnanense*, *Ophiostoma brevipilosi*, *O. canum*, *O. minus* and *O. tingens* and four novel taxa, described as *Graphilbum anningense*, *O. aggregatum*, *Sporothrix pseudoabietina* and *S. macroconidia*. A residual strain was left unidentified as *Ophiostoma* sp. 1. The overall ophiostomatoid community was far dominated by three species, representing 87.3% of the total isolates; in decreasing order, these were *O. canum*, *O. brevipilosi* and *O. minus*. Furthermore, the ophiostomatoid community of each beetle, although harbouring a diversity of ophiostomatoid species, was differentially dominated by a single fungal species; *Ophiostoma canum* was preferentially associated with and dominated the ophiostomatoid community of *T. minor*, whereas *O. brevipilosi* and *O. minus* were exclusively associated with and dominated the ophiostomatoid communities of *T. brevipilosi* and *T. yunnanensis*, respectively. Eight additional species, representing the remaining 12.7% of the total isolates, were marginal or sporadic. These results suggested that sympatric *Tomicus* populations are dominated by distinct species showing some level of specificity or even exclusivity.
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**Introduction**

Associations between insects and microorganisms are increasingly recognised as one of the major issues in forest ecology and forest health around the world (Wingfield et al. 2016). Many bark beetles are well known as tree pests causing various levels of tree mortality and forest decline in large areas of the world, mostly in temperate areas (Jankowiak 2006, Wingfield et al. 2017). These bark beetles are well known vectors of variably pathogenic fungi, forming symbiosis-like relationships (Six 2003, Lu et al. 2009).

The pine shoot beetles, *Tomicus* Latreille (syn. *Blastophagus* Eichhoff, *Myelophilus* Eichhoff, Scolytidae, Coleoptera), are destructive insects with a range spanning the Eurasian pine forests, seriously affecting tree growth and causing a great threat to the forest ecosystems (Kirkendall et al. 2008, Lieutier et al. 2015). Currently, eight species are recorded worldwide, i.e. *T. armandii* Li and Zhang (Li et al. 2010), *T. brevipilosus* Eggers, *T. destruens* Wollaston, *T. minor* Hartig, *T. pilifer* Spessivtsev, *T. piniperta* L., *T. puellus* Reitter, and *T. yunnanensis* Kirkendall and Faccoli (Kirkendall et al. 2008). They all occur in China except *T. destruens* and five of them, viz. *T. armandii*, *T. brevipilosus*, *T. minor*, *T. pilifer* and *T. yunnanensis*, are sympatric in forests of the Yunnan Province (Li et al. 1997, 2010, Kirkendall et al. 2008; Ye 2011). *Tomicus brevipilosus*, *T. minor* and *T. yunnanensis* have overlapping geographical distribution, host range and infection periods. They aggregatey infect branches and trunks of two indigenous pines, *Pinus yunnanensis* and *P. kesiya* (Li et al. 1997, 2006, Chen et al. 2009, 2010, Lu et al. 2012, 2014), causing locally extensive tree decline or mortality (Ye and Dang 1986, Ye 1991, 2011). Since the 1980s, damage caused by these bark beetles has resulted in losses of more than 93,000 m$^3$ of pinewood (Ji et al. 2007).
Generally, two or three pine shoot beetles co-occur underneath the bark or in shoots of a single host tree, either simultaneously but with spatially isolated galleries or successively, during differential infesting peaks. Spatial and chorological differentiation would reduce competition between beetles, but their co-occurrence also could enhance cooperation (Lu et al. 2012, Chen et al. 2015). *Tomicus yunnanensis* is considered to be the most aggressive species in Yunnan, causing primary infestations of healthy *P. yunnanensis* trees and eventually tree death (Ye and Lieutier 1997, Kirkendall et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2010, 2015, Lu et al. 2014). Although *T. brevipilosus* is able to infect healthy trees, it preferably colonises trunks already infested by *T. yunnanensis* or both *T. yunnanensis* and *T. minor* (Chen et al. 2010, 2015). *Tomicus minor* is often regarded as a secondary, opportunist species infesting trees already weakened by *T. yunnanensis* or *T. brevipilosus* (Ye and Ding 1999, Lieutier et al. 2003, Chen et al. 2009).

Pine shoot beetles such as *T. piniperda*, *T. minor* and *T. destruens* are commonly associated with ophiostomatoid fungi (Masuya et al. 1999, Kim et al. 2005, Jankowiak 2006, 2008). Fifteen ophiostomatoid fungi were reported associated with *T. piniperda* in Europe (Mathiesen 1950, Lieutier et al. 1989, Gibbs and Inman 1991, Solheim and Långström 1991, Jankowiak 2006, Jankowiak and Bilański 2007) and 11 were documented in eastern Asia (Japan and Korea) (Masuya et al. 1999, Kim et al. 2005). *Ophiostoma minus* was shown to be the dominant species associated with *T. piniperda* in Europe and Japan (Mathiesen 1950, Lieutier et al. 1989, Gibbs and Inman 1991, Masuya et al. 1999, Jankowiak 2006). *Leptographium wingfieldii* was shown to be the strongest pathogenic one (Gibbs and Inman 1991) in Europe. *Tomicus minor* also infests various pines in Europe and Asia. Fifteen (Mathiesen-Käärik 1953, Masuya et al. 1999, Jankowiak 2008) and 11 (Masuya et al. 1999) ophiostomatoid species have been reported to be associated with this beetle species in Europe and Japan, respectively. *Ophiostoma canum* was recorded as a frequent/dominant species in association with *T. minor*, both in Europe and Japan (Mathiesen 1950, 1951, Rennerfelt 1950, Francke-Grosmann 1952, Masuya et al. 1999) but seems to represent a weak pathogen to *P. sylvestris* (Solheim et al. 2001). Additionally, six ophiostomatoid fungi were documented associated with *T. destruens* in Europe (Lieutier 2002, Sabbatini Peverieri et al. 2006, Ben Jamaa et al. 2007).

Despite the fact that *Tomicus* spp. have caused serious losses to forest ecosystems in south-western China, there are no systematic studies of their ophiostomatoid associates but only a few sporadic reports. So far, nine ophiostomatoid species have been reported as being associated with *Tomicus* spp. in Yunnan. Six species (*Leptographium yunnanense*, *Ophiostoma ips*, *O. quercus*, *S. abietina* and *S. nebularis*) were recorded to be associated with *T. yunnanensis* (Ye et al. 2000, Zhou et al. 2000, 2013, Chang et al. 2017). Two species (*Graphilbum fragrans* and *O. tingens*) were recorded as being associated with *T. minor* (Zhou et al. 2013, Pan et al. 2017), whereas only a single species (*O. brevipilosii*) was recorded as being associated with *T. brevipilosus* (Chang et al. 2017). Amongst them, *L. yunnanense* was the first species newly described from the area (Zhou et al. 2000) and is likely the most virulent one (Liao and Ye 2004, Gao et al. 2017). Until now, the relative abundance with which these fungi occur, their host (pine and beetle) relationships, and their pathogenicity remain unknown.
The symbiosis between bark beetles and ophiostomatoid fungi enhances their pathogenicity. The fitness of bark beetle populations may depend in part on the degree of the fungal partners’ pathogenicity and the resulting weakening of the tree (Christiansen et al. 1987, Kirisits 2004, Linnakoski et al. 2012), although this has been questioned by some (Six and Wingfield 2011). Therefore, the question remains whether there is any link between the differential aggression of the pine shoot beetles and the differential virulence of their fungal associates, especially in circumstances where various beetle species co-exist.

The aim of this study was to describe the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungal communities associated with three pine shoot beetles and their galleries infesting *P. yunnanensis* and *P. kesiya* in forest ecosystems of Yunnan Province. We also analysed the degree of beetle/ophiostomatoid fungi specificity. Such studies will enable us to understand the aggressive nature of the beetles and the pathogenicity of the associated fungi and the interactions, ultimately helping to address the current situation of ceaseless outbreaks and rapid expansion of the pests.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample collection and fungus isolation**

Samples of galleries in bark and shoots and adults of *Tomicus* spp. were collected from *P. yunnanensis* and *P. kesiya* at five sites in Yunnan Province (Fig. 1, Table 1) from December 2016 to March 2017. Beetles were placed individually in sterilised Eppendorf tubes and their galleries were placed in sterile envelopes and stored at 4°C until processed within one week.

Isolations from beetles and their galleries were carried out on 2% malt extract agar (MEA: 20 g Biolab malt extract, 20 g Biolab agar and 1 000 ml deionised water) with 0.05% NaClO added, in 9-cm Petri dishes as described by Seifert et al. (1993). Hyphal tips of emerging colonies were cut and transferred to MEA plates in order to obtain pure strains. The strains were grown routinely on 2% MEA at 25 °C. Representative

| Location       | Host          | Insect vector       | longitude/latitude | altitude(m) | No. of examined samples |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Xiangyun,Yunnan| *Pinus yunnanensis* | *Tomicus yunnanensis*, *T. minor* | 25°21'25.8"N, 100°51'49"E | 2255.4 | 447 |
| Puer,Yunnan    | *P. kesiya*   | *T. brevipilosus*, *T. minor* | 22°56'36.1"N, 101°14'36.7"E | 1400.7 | 346 |
| Qujing,Yunnan  | *P. yunnanensis* | *T. yunnanensis*, *T. minor*, *T. brevipilosus* | 25°28'51"N, 103°46'32"E | 2068.2 | 102 |
| Anning,Yunnan  | *P. yunnanensis* | *T. yunnanensis*, *T. minor*, *T. brevipilosus* | 24°53'32"N, 102°24'23"E | 1939.9 | 138 |
| Yuxi, Yunnan   | *P. yunnanensis* | *T. yunnanensis*, *T. minor* | 24°18'23"N, 102°34'37"E | 1908.1 | 85 |
cultures of each morphotype were deposited in the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC, part of the National Infrastructure of Microbial Resources) and the culture collection of the Chinese Academy of Forestry (CXY) (Table 2).

**Morphology and growth studies**

Morphological characterisation of both the sexual and asexual reproduction forms was performed on 2% MEA media incubated 3–6 weeks at 25 °C in the dark. Slide cultures were made to observe all microscopic characters (sexual/asexual structures) using a BX51 OLYMPUS microscope with differential interference contrast. Fifty measurements were made of each relevant structure and the ranges were calculated. Standard
### Table 2. Representative strains of the ophiostomatoïd fungi associated with three *Tomimus* spp. in Yunnan Province, China, and three *E. vermicola* strains used in this study.

| Group | Taxon | Strain no. | Host | Location | Beetle | GenBank no. |
|-------|-------|------------|------|----------|--------|-------------|
|       |       |            |      |          |        | LSU | ITS | ITS2–| LSU | BT | EF | CAL |
| A     | *Esteya vermicola* | CFCC52625 (CXY1893) | *P. yunnanensis* | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325143 | – | MH697597 | MH1605999 | – |
|       |       | ATCC74485 | Japanese black pine | Taiwan, China | *B. xylophilus* | – | – | – | – | GQ995674 | – |
|       |       | CNU120806 | soil | Korea | saprophytic nematodes | EU627684 | – | FJ490553 | GQ995671 | – |
|       |       | CBS 115803 | oak | Czech Republic | *Scolytus intricatus* | – | – | – | – | FJ490552 | GQ995672 | – |
| B     | *Graphilbum anningense* | CFCC52631 (CXY1939) | *P. yunnanensis* | Anning | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325162 | MH555903 | MH683595 | – | – |
|       |       | CFCC52632 (CXY1940) | *P. yunnanensis* | Anning | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325164 | MH555901 | MH683596 | – | – |
|       |       | CFCC52633 (CXY1944) | *P. yunnanensis* | Anning | *T. minor* | MH325163 | MH555902 | MH683597 | – | – |
| C     | *Leptographium yunnanense* | CFCC52619 (CXY1897) | *P. kesya* | Ninger | *T. brevipilosi* | MH325138 | MH487721 | MH603933 | MH606000 | – |
|       |       | CFCC52620 (CXY1900) | *P. yunnanensis* | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325139 | MH487724 | MH603934 | MH606001 | – |
|       |       | CFCC52621 (CXY1904) | *P. yunnanensis* | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325140 | MH487726 | MH603935 | MH606003 | – |
|       |       | CFCC52622 (CXY1908) | *P. yunnanensis* | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325142 | MH487725 | MH603938 | MH606002 | – |
|       |       | CFCC52623 (CXY1917) | *P. kesya* | Puer | *T. brevipilosi* | MH325137 | MH487723 | MH603936 | MH606004 | – |
|       |       | CFCC52624 (CXY1925) | *P. yunnanensis* | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325141 | MH487722 | MH603937 | MH606005 | – |
| D     | *Ophiostoma brevipilosi* | CFCC52596 (CXY1828) | *Pinus kesya* | Puer | *T. brevipilosi* | MH325134 | MH555904 | MH619527 | – | – |
|       |       | (CXY1806) CFCC52597 | *P. kesya* | Puer | *T. brevipilosi* | MH325135 | MH555905 | MH619528 | – | – |
|       |       | CFCC52598 (CXY1808) | *P. kesya* | Puer | *T. brevipilosi* | MH325136 | MH555906 | MH619529 | – | – |
|   | Species Name | CFCC/XY | Geographic Location | locale | GenBank Accession Numbers | Notes |
|---|--------------|---------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------|
| E  | *O. canum*  | CFCC52601 (CXY1858) | Xiangyun | *T. minor* | MH325151 MH555889 MH619521 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52602 (CXY1848) | Xiangyun | *T. minor* | MH325152 MH555890 MH619522 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52603 (CXY1857) | Xiangyun | *T. minor* | MH325153 MH555891 MH619523 | – – |
| F  | *O. aggregatum* | CFCC52615 (CXY1876) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325146 MH555894 MH603927 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52616 (CXY1875) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325145 MH555893 MH603929 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52617 (CXY1874) | Xiangyun | *P. kezia* | MH325147 MH555895 MH603928 | – – |
| G  | *O. minus*  | CFCC52606 (CXY1885) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325154 MH578163 MH619524 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52607 (CXY1877) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325155 MH578164 MH619525 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52608 (CXY1881) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325156 MH578165 MH619526 | – – |
| H  | *O. tingens* | CFCC52611 (CXY1866) | Xiangyun | *T. minor* | MH325148 MH578166 MH603931 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52612 (CXY1865) | Xiangyun | *T. minor* | MH325149 MH578167 MH603932 | – – |
|   |              | CFCC52613 (CXY1868) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325150 MH578168 MH603930 | – – |
| I  | *Ophiostoma* sp. 1 | CFCC52618 (CXY1936) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325144 MH555892 MH683600 | – – |
| J  | *Sporothrix macroconidia* | CFCC52628 (CXY1894) | Xiangyun | *T. yunnanensis* | MH325157 MH555898 MH697594 | – MH592598 |
|   |              | CFCC52629 (CXY1895) | Ninger | *T. brevipilosus* | MH325158 MH555899 MH697595 | – MH592599 |
|   |              | CFCC52630 (CXY1896) | Ninger | *T. brevipilosus* | MH325159 MH555900 MH697596 | – MH592600 |
| K  | *S. pseudoabietina* | CFCC52626 (CXY1937) | Qujing | *T. minor* | MH325160 MH555896 MH683598 | – MH592601 |
|   |              | CFCC52627 (CXY1938) | Qujing | *T. minor* | MH325161 MH555897 MH683599 | – MH592602 |

Species names in bold are species newly described in this study.
CFCC: China Forestry Culture Collection Center, Beijing, China;
CXY (Culture Xingyao): Culture collection of the Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry.
Sequences missing data are indicated by [–]
deviation (SD), minimum (min) and maximum (max) measurements are presented as (min–SD) – (mean+SD) – (max).

The optimal growth temperature of the various strains was determined by placing a 5-mm (diam.) plug from an actively growing fungal colony upside down at the centre of an MEA plate. For each strain, three replicates were incubated at temperatures ranging from 5 to 35 °C at five-degree intervals, for 8 d. The diameter of each colony was measured daily. Culture characters were recorded on MEA incubated at 25 °C for 8 d and 20 d. Colour descriptions were made by reference to Rayner (1970).

**DNA extraction and sequencing**

DNA was extracted from actively growing mycelium scraped from seven-day-old cultures using sterile scalpels and transferred to 2 ml Eppendorf tubes. DNA extraction and purification were performed using the Invisorb Spin Plant Mini Kit (Invitek, Berlin, Germany), following the manufacturer’s protocols.

DNA sequences were determined for six gene regions: the nuclear ribosomal large subunit region (LSU), the internal transcribed spacer regions 1 and 2, including the intervening 5.8S gene (ITS), as well as segments of the β-tubulin (TUB2), elongation factor 1α (TEF1-α) and calmodulin (CAL) genes. DNA fragments were amplified using the primer pairs LROR/LR5 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990), ITS1/ITS4 (White et al. 1990), ITS3/LR3 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990, White et al. 1990), Bt2a/Bt2b (Glass and Donaldson 1995), EF1/EF2 (Jacobs et al. 2004) and CL1/CL2a (Zhang et al. 2015), respectively. PCR reactions were conducted in 25 μl volumes (2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1× PCR buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.2 mM of each primer and 2.5 U Taq-polymerase enzyme). PCR amplifications were carried out in a thermocycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). The reaction conditions for these six gene regions were similar to those described in the references used for primer design. PCR products were cleaned with an MSB Spin PCR apace Kit (250), following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Phylogenetic analyses**

BLAST searches for the obtained sequences were performed in NCBI GenBank and published sequences of closely related species were downloaded. Alignments of the genes were made using MAFFT 7.0 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and the E-INS-i strategy and edited manually in MEGA 5.2 (Tamura et al. 2011). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using maximum parsimony (MP) as well as maximum likelihood (ML).

ML analyses were implemented using RAxML v. 7.0.3 (Stamatakis 2006), under the GTR-GAMMA model. Support for the nodes was estimated from 1 000 bootstrap replicates. The results were subsequently exported to Figtree v.1.4.2 to visualise the trees.

MP analyses were implemented in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The most parsimonious trees were identified by a heuristic search of 1 000 random addition sequence replicates, using the tree-bisection-recognition (TBR) algorithm for branch
swapping. Branch support was assessed by 1 000 bootstrap replicates. Tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), rescaled consistency index (RC) and homoplasy index (HI) were used to evaluate the trees.

**Results**

**Fungal isolation and sequence comparisons**

Three *Tomicus* species occurred on *P. yunnanensis* and *P. kesiya* in the areas studied, either independently or concomitantly in individuals of the host trees (Fig. 1). In total, 772 strains of ophiostomatoid fungi (*Hyalorhinocladiella*-like, *Ophiostoma*, *Pesotum*-like, *Leptographium*-like and *Sporothrix*-like) were isolated from 223 adult beetles (20% of the strains) and 890 galleries (80% of the strains). Galleries or adults of *T. yunnanensis* yielded 297 strains whereas 247 strains were retrieved from galleries or adults of *T. minor* and 228 strains from galleries or adults of *T. brevipilosus* (Table 3).

The LSU sequence was used to search for preliminary affinities using the BLASTn search option in GenBank. As a result, these strains were found to be distributed over 5 genera and 11 tentative species/groups (A–K) (Table 2).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

The degrees of polymorphism of LSU, ITS, *TUB2*, *TEF1-α* and *CAL* make them variably suitable for genus or species discrimination amongst ophiostomatoid fungi. The LSU sequence is a suitable marker to infer the generic affinities (de Beer and Wingfield 2013,

**Table 3.** Strain numbers of various ophiostomatoid fungi obtained from three *Tomicus* spp. and their galleries collected in Yunnan Province.

| Group | Fungi species               | *Tomicus yunnanensis* | *T. minor* | *T. brevipilosus* | Total no. strains/samples |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| A     | *Ophiostoma brevipilosi*   | 0                     | 0          | 224               | 224                      |
| B     | *O. canum*                 | 52                    | 201        | 0                 | 253                      |
| C     | *O. minus*                | 197                   | 0          | 0                 | 197                      |
| D     | *O. tingens*              | 4                     | 26         | 0                 | 30                       |
| E     | *O. aggregatum*           | 3                     | 2          | 0                 | 5                        |
| F     | *Ophiostoma* sp. 1        | 1                     | 0          | 0                 | 1                        |
| G     | *Leptographium yunnanense*| 30                    | 0          | 2                 | 32                       |
| H     | *Esteya vernicola*         | 1                     | 0          | 0                 | 1                        |
| I     | *Sporothrix* pseudoabietina| 4                     | 15         | 0                 | 19                       |
| J     | *S. macroconidia*         | 1                     | 0          | 2                 | 3                        |
| K     | *Graphilbum* anningense    | 4                     | 3          | 0                 | 7                        |
|       | Total no. strains          | 297                   | 247        | 228               | 772                      |
|       | Total no. samples          | 455                   | 324        | 339               | 1118                     |
de Beer et al. 2013a, 2016); it allowed confirming the preliminary placement of our strains based on morphological characters (Fig. 2). The ITS region would be useful to place strains within the *Ophiostoma* s. l. complex, but the degree of polymorphism does not allow distinguishing species. Usually, *TUB2, TEF1-a* and *CAL* regions are better markers to identify and, where appropriate, to show the genetic diversity within ophiostomatoid fungi (Zipfel et al. 2006, de Beer and Wingfield 2013, de Beer et al. 2016).

On the basis of the LSU blast searches, one to six strains of each tentative species (A–K) were selected for sequencing of five additional DNA markers (ITS, ITS2-LSU, *TUB2, TEF1-a* and *CAL*) to infer more accurate identification and phylogenetic affinities. Six sequence datasets (LSU, ITS, ITS2-LSU, *TUB2, TEF1-a* and *CAL*) were generated for a total of 31 representative strains (Table 2) and the sequences were deposited in GenBank. Resulting alignments were deposited in TreeBASE (submission no: 24032). The topologies generated by the ML and MP analyses were highly concordant and the ML phylograms are presented for all the individual genes, incorporating nodal supports of both the ML and MP analyses.

The LSU dataset consisted of 109 sequences, 11 sequences obtained in this study and 98 downloaded from GenBank. The phylogenetic analyses confirmed the morphology-based placement of our strains into *Esteya, Graphilbum, Leptographium, Ophiostoma* and *Sporothrix* (Fig. 2).

Group A consisted of a single strain. LSU-based phylogenetic analysis showed this strain to be close to *E. vermicola* (Fig. 2). *TUB2* and *TEF1-a* data analysis confirmed the strain’s close affinities to *E. vermicola* (Fig. 3a, b), that could justify conspecificity.

Group B strains nested within the *Graphilbum* lineage in the LSU-based phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). Phylogenetic analysis based on LSU, ITS and *TUB2* concordantly showed that the group B strains formed a single, well-supported clade related to but distinct from *Gra. rectangulosporium* and *Gra. microcarpum* (Fig. 4a, b); this would warrant its recognition as a distinct, undescribed species.

Group C strains were shown to belong to the *Leptographium* lineage in the LSU-based phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). The ITS2-LSU dataset consisted of six of our own sequences and 49 reference sequences downloaded from GenBank. Within the *Leptographium* lineage, group C strains nested in the *L. lundbergii*-complex; they were related to *L. yunnanense, L. lundbergii* and *L. conjunctum* (Fig. 5a). *TUB2- and TEF1-a* based analysis confirmed their close affinities with *L. yunnanense*, although forming a slightly divergent clade (Fig. 5b, c). *TUB2* and *TEF1-a* sequences of group C strains showed some polymorphisms, which could be considered as falling within the natural diversity of *L. yunnanense*.

**Figure 2.** Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of LSU sequences, showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomius yunnanensis, T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 743 positions, including gaps.
Figure 3. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of β-tubulin A and elongation factor B sequences of *Esteya*, showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomius yunnanensis* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 320 (A), 856 (B) positions, including gaps.

Figure 4. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of ITS sequences A and β-tubulin sequences B of *Graphilbum* showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomius yunnanensis* and *T. minor* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 515 (A), 481 (B) positions, including gaps.

The six strains from groups D to I nested within the *Ophiostoma* lineage based on the LSU phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2). The ITS dataset comprised species from all lineages discovered in this study. Analysis of this dataset yielded the phylograms shown in Fig. 6. Sixteen ITS sequences generated in this study were compared with 61 sequences
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retrieved from GenBank, representing the major groups of Ophiostoma (de Beer and Wingfield 2013, Linnakoski et al. 2016).

The ITS- and TUB2-based phylogenetic inferences (Figs 6, 7a, b) showed that the strains of groups D and E nested within the O. clavatum- and O. piceae-complex (de Beer and Wingfield 2013, Yin et al. 2016, Linnakoski et al. 2016), in which they were positioned in the near vicinity of the O. brevipilosi and O. canum clades, respectively. From these results, and considering their morphological features, we concluded that the strains of groups D and E are conspecific with O. brevipilosi and O. canum, respectively.

Figure 5. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of ITS2-28S A β-tubulin B and elongation factor C sequences of Leptographium, showing fungal associates with pines infected by Tomo yunnanensis and T. brevipilosus in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 641 (A), 358 (B), 639 (C) positions, including gaps.
Figure 6. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of ITS sequences of *Ophiostoma*, showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomius yunnanensis*, *T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *.

Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 633 positions, including gaps.
Figure 7. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of β-tubulin sequences of Ophiostoma A, B, D, E and ITS sequences of O. minus-complex C showing fungal associates with pines infected by Tomius yunnanensis, T. minor and T. brevipilosus in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 455 (A), 430 (B), 541 (C), 378 (D), 423 (E) positions, including gaps.
Figure 8. Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of ITS sequences of Sporothrix, showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomicus yunnanensis*, *T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 546 positions, including gaps.
In the ITS-based phylogenetic analysis, strains of groups G and I were grouped with the *O. minus* complex (Fig. 6). ITS- and *TUB2*-based phylogenetic analyses consistently showed that group G strains formed a well-supported subclade between the North American and European subclades within the *O. minus* lineage (Fig. 7c, d). The strains of group G are therefore identified as *O. minus*. The ITS- and *TUB2*-based phylogenetic analyses consistently showed that the single strain of group I formed a branch that is related to, but distinct from the *O. minus, O. kryptum* and *O. olgensis* clades (Figs 6, 7d). Hence, this strain is interpreted as belonging to a distinct, undescribed *Ophiostoma*.

**Figure 9.** Phylograms obtained from ML analysis of β-tubulin A, C and calmodulin B, D sequences of *Sporothrix*, showing fungal associates with pines infected by *Tomus yunnanensis*, *T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* in Yunnan Province, China. Novel sequences obtained in this study are printed in bold type. Bootstrap values ≥ 70% for ML and MP are indicated above branches. Bootstrap values < 70% are indicated by the symbol *. Strains representing ex-type sequences are marked with ‘T’; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, maximum parsimony and the final alignment of 284(A), 622(B), 260(C), 675(D) positions, including gaps.
The remaining two groups (F and H) were not placed in any defined complex. Phylogenetic analyses, based on ITS and TUB2 sequences, consistently showed that the group H strains clustered in the near vicinity of the O. tingens clade whereas group F strains formed a clade related to, but distinct from the O. macrosporum and O. tingens clades (Figs 6, 7c). Thus, the strains in group H should be identified as O. tingens whereas the strains of group F represent an undescribed Ophiostoma.

Strains of groups J and K nested within the Sporothrix lineage in LSU-based phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). The phylograms resulting from the analyses of individuals are shown in Fig. 8 (ITS), Fig. 9a, c (TUB2) and Fig. 9b, d (CAL).

The ITS-based analyses showed that group K strains belonged to the S. gossypina-complex whereas the group J strains were not placed in any species complex as defined by de Beer et al. (2016) (Fig. 8). Both groups formed independent, well-supported clades in ITS-, TUB2- and CAL-based phylogenetic analyses (Figs 8, 9). It could be deduced from results of multiple phylogenies that both groups represent novel species.

Morphology and taxonomy

From a morphological perspective, strains of groups D, E and G appeared, overall, concordant with the descriptions or our own observations of reference strains, namely of O. brevipilosi, O. canum and O. minus, respectively. However, although strains of groups A, C, and H are phylogenetically close to E. vermicola, L. yunnanense and O. tingens, respectively, justifying, for the time being, conspecificity, their phenotype deviated slightly from published descriptions and/or our own observation of type material. The description of these species is extended. Strains of groups B, F, J and K revealed unique combinations of phenotypes, allowing morphological distinction from their closest phylogenetic relatives; consequently, they are described below as new species. The strain of the stand-alone group I also may represent an undescribed species; however, we refrain from describing it for the time being, waiting for more material to become available.

Taxonomy

**Esteya vermicola** J.Y. Liou, J.Y. Shih & Tzean, Mycol. Res. 103(2): 243. 1999.
MycoBank MB450702
Fig. 10

**Description.** Sexual form: unknown.

Asexual form: Hyalorhinocladiella-like. Conidiophores mononematous, micronematous; conidiophorous cells solitary, integrated, flask-shaped, with an inflated base (3.6–) 4.6–6.1 (–7.1) μm in diam., the fertile hyphoid part (9.1–) 12.2–19.0 (–22.5) × (1.4–) 1.9–3.1 (–4.7) μm, often crooked due to successive conidial development; conidia
1-celled, asymmetrically ellipsoidal in face view, concave, lunate in side view, with a layer of adhesive mucus on the concave surface, ending slightly apiculate, hyaline, smooth, (8.0–) 10–12 (–13.1) × (3.3–) 3.4–4.5 (–5.1) μm, containing an ovoid endospore-like structure.

Figure 10. A–H Morphological characters of *Esteya vermicola* A, B upper and reverse of cultures on 2% MEA 20 d after inoculation C–H conidiogenous cells with lunate conidia I–M the cuticle of a nematode attached by many lunate conidia. Scale bars: 20 μm (I, K, L); 10 μm (C–H, J, M).
**Culture characteristics.** Colonies on 2% MEA in the dark reaching 31 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 5 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Mycelium compact, somewhat floccose in the margin, white at first, gradually discoloring to greyish-green, eventually dark green. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C, growth at 5 °C and 35 °C.

**Known substrate and host.** Galleries of *Tomicus yunnanensis* in *Pinus yunnanensis*.

**Known insect vector.** *Tomicus yunnanensis*.

**Known distribution.** Yunnan Province, China.

**Specimen examined.** CHINA, Yunnan, *Tomicus yunnanensis* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Dec. 2016, HM Wang, CFCC 52625 = CXY 1893.

**Note.** *Esteya vermicola* is known only from an asexual, *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like state producing lunate and bacilliform conidia (Liou et al. 1999, Kubátová et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2009, 2014) that we also observed in various strains of *E. vermicola* with a different origin (Taiwan, Korea, Czech Republic). Our strain was identified as *E. vermicola* based on phylogenetic inferences and morphological characters. However, our strain differed from previous descriptions (Liou et al. 1999) in having only lunate conidia *in vitro*. The size of the lunate conidia of our strains (mostly 10 - 12 × 3.4 - 4.5 μm) was similar to that reported for *E. vermicola*, viz. 9.9–11.9 × 3.4–4.5 μm vs 8.2–11.1 × 3.5–3.7 μm (Taiwan, Liou et al. 1999), 9.3–12.4 × 3.0–3.2 μm (Czech Republic, Kubátová et al. 2000), 7.7–12.1 × 3.0–3.8 μm (Korea, Wang et al. 2009) or 8.7–11.9 × 3.0–3.6 μm (Brazil, Wang et al. 2014).

This is the first report of *E. vermicola* from continental China. The species was originally isolated from Japanese black pine infected by the pinewood nematode *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, in Taiwan (Liou et al. 1999). Since then, its distribution range has been extended to Japan and Korea, Europe (Czech Republic, Italy) and both North (USA) and South America (Brazil) (Liou et al. 1999, Kubátová et al. 2000, Wang et al. 2009, 2014, Li et al. 2018). This species is associated with various vectors, including the pinewood nematode, *Oxoplatythus quadridentatus* and the bark beetle *Scolytus intricatus*. It was isolated also from wooden packaging material infested by *Bursaphelenchus rainulfi*.

**Graphilbum anningense** H. Wang, Q. Lu & Z. Zhang, sp. n.

MycoBank MB828884

Fig. 11

**Etymology.** ‘anningense’ (Latin), referring to the type locality.

**Type.** CHINA, Yunnan, *Tomicus yunnanensis* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Apr. 2017, HM Wang, holotype CXY 1939, culture ex-holotype CFCC 52631 = CXY 1939.

**Description.** Sexual form: unknown.

Asexual forms: *Pesotum*-like and *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like. *Pesotum*-like conidiophores abundant on 2% MEA, macronematous, synnematous, (150–) 210–293 (–336) μm long including conidiogenous apparatus, the base dark brown, slightly widened, (6.7–)
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7.9–18.8 (–29.0) μm wide anchored in the media by brown rhizoid-like hyphae, the apex slightly enlarging, fan-shaped; *conidiogenous cells* hyaline, thin-walled, aseptate, (15.3–) 21.0–35.5 (–42) × (0.7–) 1.1–1.9 (–2.3) μm; *conidia* 1-celled, clavate, ellipsoid to ovoid with truncate base and rounded apex, hyaline, smooth, (3.1–) 3.6–6.3 (–9.7) × (1.4–) 1.6–2.2 (–2.5) μm.

*Hyalorhinocladiella*-like: *conidiogenous cells* macronematous or semi-macronematous, mononematous, hyaline, simple or loosely branched, thin-walled, aseptate, (4.5–) 10.8–29.0 (–47) × (1.5–) 1.7–2.3 (–2.6) μm; *conidia* hyaline, clavate to ellipsoid, with obtuse ends, 1-celled, aseptate, smooth, (3.7–) 4.5–6.4 (–9.0) × (1.4–) 1.7–2.3 (–2.9) μm.

**Culture characteristics.** Colonies on 2% MEA in the dark reaching 90 mm in diam. in 6 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 19.5 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Mycelium superficial to flocculose or floccose, hyaline; reverse hyaline to pale yellowish. Optimal growth temperature 30 °C, slow growth at 40 °C, no growth at 5 °C.

**Known substrate and hosts.** Galleries of *Tomicus yunnanensis* and *T. minor* in *Pinus yunnanensis*.

**Known insect vectors.** *Tomicus yunnanensis*, *T. minor*.

**Known distribution.** Yunnan Province, China.

**Additional specimens examined.** CHINA, Yunnan, *Tomicus yunnanensis*, *T. minor* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Apr. 2017, HM Wang, CFCC 52632 = CXY 1940, CFCC 52633 = CXY 1944.
Note. *Graphilbum anningense* is characterised by a *Pesotum*-like and a *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like asexual state. It is phylogenetically closely related to *Gra. rectangulosporium*. However, *Gra. rectangulosporium* produced a sexual state in vitro (Ohtaka et al. 2006) which has not been observed in *Gra. anningense*. Other morphologically similar species include *Gra. fragrans, Gra. crescericum, Gra. kesiyae* and *Gra. puerense*. *Graphilbum kesiyae* and *Gra. puerense* also produce a *Pesotum*-like and a *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like asexual state. *Graphilbum anningense* and *Gra. kesiyae* differ by the size of their synnemata, whose length ranges do not overlap, viz. 210–293 μm and 112.5–173 μm long (Harrington et al. 2001), respectively. They also differ by their optimal growth temperature, respectively 30°C and 25°C. The synnemata of *Gra. puerense*, 206–357 μm long (Chang et al. 2017), are marginally longer than those of *Gra. anningense*. *Graphilbum fragrans* and *Gra. crescericum* produce only a *Leptographium*-like and/or a *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like asexual state in vitro (Harrington et al. 2001, Chang et al. 2017).

*Graphilbum anningense* was isolated from galleries of *T. yunnanensis* and *T. minor* infesting *P. yunnanensis*. Previously, *Gra. fragrans* had been reported from *T. yunnanensis* infesting *P. yunnanensis* and from *Pissodes* spp. infesting *Tsuga dumosa* and *P. armandii* in China (Paciura et al. 2010, Zhou et al. 2013). *Graphilbum kesiyae* and *Gra. puerense* were isolated from galleries of *Polygraphus aterrimus, Po. szemaoensis* and *Ips acuminatus* infesting *P. kesiya* (Chang et al. 2017). Although the geographic distribution of these four *Graphilbum* species overlaps, their hosts and vectors are nevertheless, as far as it is known, different (Chang et al. 2017).

**Leptographium yunnanense** X.D. Zhou, K. Jacobs, M.J. Wingf. & M. Morelet, *Mycoscience* 41(6): 576. 2000.
MycoBank MB 466542
Fig. 12

Description. Sexual form: unknown.

Asexual form: *Leptographium*-like. *Conidiophores* occurring singly or in groups of up to three, arising from the superficial mycelium, erect, macronematous, mononematous, (93.5–) 159–412 (–544) μm long, without rhizoid-like structures; *stipes* simple, cylindrical, not constricted at septa, 1-6-septate, pale olivaceous at the base, (12–) 19.0–128 (–245) × (3.3–) 4.1–6.1 (–7.3) μm; *conidiogenous apparatus* (33.0–) 65.5–119.5 (–168.0) μm long (high), with 2 to 3 series of cylindrical branches; *primary branches* hyaline to pale olivaceous, smooth, cylindrical, 2–3 septate, (11.5–) 18.2–37.7 (–56.0) μm long and (3.0–) 3.7–5.9 (–7.7) μm wide; *secondary branches* hyaline, 0–2 septate, (10.3–) 14.5–30.0 (–50.1) μm long, (2.8–) 3.4–5.5 (–7.3) μm wide; *conidiogenous cells* discrete, 2–3 per branch, cylindrical, (10.2–) 13.2–29.6 (–57.4) × (2.2–) 2.9–3.9 (–4.4) μm; *conidia* 1-celled, oblong to obovoid with truncate bases, hyaline, (5.8–) 7.0–10.4 (–13.0) × (2.9–) 3.6–5.3 (–6.4) μm.
Culture characteristics. Colonies on 2% MEA medium fast growing in the dark, reaching 76 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 20 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Hyphae submerged in agar with aerial mycelium, greenish-olivaceous to olivaceous, smooth, straight; reverse hyphae umber-brown to dark olivaceous. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C, slow growth at 5 °C and 30 °C.

Known substrate and hosts. Tomicus yunnanensis and its galleries in Pinus yunnanensis, galleries of T. brevipilosus in P. kesiya.

Known insect vectors. Tomicus brevipilosus, T. yunnanensis.

Known distribution. Yunnan Province, China.

Specimens examined. CHINA, Yunnan, adults of Tomicus yunnanensis and their galleries in Pinus yunnanensis, Tomicus brevipilosus galleries in P. kesiya. Apr. 2017, HM Wang, CFCC 52619 = CXY 1897, CFCC 52620 = CXY 1900, CFCC 52621 = CXY 1904, CFCC 52622 = CXY 1908, CFCC 52623 = CXY 1917, CFCC 52624 = CXY 1925.
Note. The sole reproductive structure formed on MEA in *L. yunnanense* is a *Leptographium*-like state. Our strains were identified as *L. yunnanense*, based on phylogenetic evidence and secondarily, on morphological features. However, our strains slightly deviated from *L. yunnanense* in having longer conidiophores, mainly 159–412 μm *vs* mostly 74–227 (–233) μm (Zhou et al. 2000) or 80–240 μm (Yamaoka et al. 2008). Furthermore, our strains grew faster than reported for the species, 76 mm *vs* 44 mm in 8 days at 25 °C (Zhou et al. 2000).

Although our strains were slightly genetically and morphologically divergent, we are of the opinion that they enter into the current *L. yunnanense* species concept (e.g. *sensu* Zhou et al. 2000). Yamaoka et al. (2008) showed the genetic diversity of *L. yunnanense* in Yunnan to be higher than in other places, that which is confirmed by the present study.

*Leptographium yunnanense* was originally described from Yunnan Province with only an asexual state (Zhou et al. 2000). Subsequently, mating of strains from different origins (Thailand, China and Japan) yielded the sexual state, which is formed by neckless ascocarps and cucullate ascospores (Yamaoka et al. 2008).

*Leptographium yunnanense* was the third most abundant species associated with *T. yunnanensis* in our study. A few strains also were isolated from *T. brevipilosus* infesting *P. kesiya* and none from *T. minor*.

**Ophiostoma aggregatum** H. Wang, Q. Lu & Z. Zhang, sp. n.
MycoBank MB828885
Fig. 13

Etymology. ‘*aggregatum*’ (Latin), reflects to the conidiophores aggregated in clusters.

**Type.** CHINA, Yunnan, from *Tomicus minor* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Dec. 2016, HM Wang, holotype CXY 1876, culture ex-holotype CFCC 52615 = CXY 1876.

**Description.** Sexual form: unknown.

Asexual form: *Leptographium*-like. *Conidiophores* macronematous, mononematous, gathered in groups up to 5, (28.5–) 34–45.5 (–52) μm long; *stipes* cylindrical, 1–2 septate, not constricted at septa, umber-brown to dark olivaceous, (6.3–) 7.3–14.5 (–18) μm long × (2.2–) 3.1–4.6 (–5.8) μm wide. *Conidiogenous apparatus* (22–) 26.5–31 (–34) μm long, with 2–3 series of cylindrical branches; primary branches olivaceous, smooth, cylindrical all over, (5.9–) 7.2–13.5 (–20.5) × (3–) 3.3–4.2 (–4.6) μm; *conidiogenous cells* discrete, 2–3 per branch, aseptate, cylindrical, hyaline to pale umber, (5.8) 7.2–12.1 (–18.5) × (2.1–) 2.8–4.0 (–4.7) μm; *conidia* 1-celled, globose, elliptical with truncate bases, hyaline to pale umber, (4.0–) 4.8–5.9 (–6.3) × (3.1–) 4.0–5.0 (–5.6) μm.

**Culture characteristics.** Colonies on 2% MEA fast growing in the dark, reaching 90 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 13 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Hyphae submerged and aerial, umber-brown to dark olivaceous, floculose or floccose; reverse hyphae umber-brown to dark olivaceous. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C, able to grow at 5 °C and 30 °C. No growth at 35 °C.

**Known substrate and hosts.** Galleries of *Tomicus yunnanensis* and *T. minor* in *Pinus yunnanensis*. 
Known insect vectors. *Tomicus minor, T. yunnanensis.*

Known distribution. Yunnan Province, China.

Additional specimens examined. CHINA, Yunnan, from *Tomicus yunnanensis* and *T. minor* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Dec. 2016, Apr. 2017, HM Wang, CFCC 52616 = CXY 1875, CFCC 52617 = CXY 1874.

Note. *Ophiostoma aggregatum* produced a single asexual, *Leptographium*-like state *in vitro*. This species is phylogenetically closely related to *O. macrosporum*, *O. tingens*,...
O. floccosum, O. tapionis and O. piliferum in LSU-, ITS- and TUB2-based phylogenetic inferences. Ophiostoma aggregatum and O. tingens are shown to be sympatric in Yunnan pine forest; both taxa were isolated from galleries and adults of T. minor and T. yunnanensis infesting P. yunnanensis (Table 2). Ophiostoma tingens was also reported from T. minor infesting P. yunnanensis in Yunnan (Pan et al. 2017).

Ophiostoma aggregatum and O. tingens differ in their asexual state. Ophiostoma aggregatum only produces a Leptographium-like state. Inversely, the asexual states of O. tingens are variable. Our strains produced a Pesotum-like and a Sporothrix-like state whereas previously, Francke-Grosmann (1952) and de Beer et al. (2013b) reported a Hyalorhinocladiella- to Raffaelea-like state in European strains. The origin of this variability and its importance for taxonomy is uncertain.

Ophiostoma macrosporum, O. floccosum, O. tapionis and O. piliferum also differ from O. aggregatum by their asexual state. Ophiostoma macrosporum and O. floccosum produce a Pesotum-like asexual state, O. tapionis a Hyalorhinocladiella-like state and O. piliferum produces a Sporothrix-like state (Francke-Grosmann 1952, Upadhyay 1981, Yamaoka et al. 2004, Linnakoski et al. 2008).

Ophiostoma macrosporum and O. tingens were both originally described in Trichosporium as T. tingens var. macrosporum and T. tingens (Lagerberg 1927, Francke-Grosmann 1952). Batra (1967) transferred these two species into Ambrosiella. It is only recently that the morphological characteristics were found to agree with those of Ophiostoma (de Beer et al. 2013b). Ophiostoma macrosporum has been reported from various Pinus spp. (including P. sylvestris) infected by Ips acuminatus in Europe (Francke-Grosmann 1952, Batra 1967).

**Ophiostoma tingens** (Lagerb. & Melin) Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., Svensk Skogs- vårdsförening Tidskr. 25:233. 1927.

MycoBank: MB801091
Fig. 14

**Description.** Sexual form: unknown.

Asexual forms: Pesotum-like and Sporothrix-like. Pesotum-like: conidiophores macroconidematous, synnematous; synnemata simple, anchored into the substrate by brown rhizoid-like hyphae, (333–) 344–584 (–684) μm long including conidiogenous apparatus, the base dark brown, slightly widened, (16.7–) 17–50.5 (–65.5) μm wide, the apex cream-coloured or pale brown, slightly widening; conidia hyaline, globose to elliptical, 1-celled, smooth, (2.7–) 3.6–7.2 (–8.0) × (2.8–) 4.3–6.1 (–7.0) μm.

Sporothrix-like: conidiophores semi-macroconidematous, mononematous, hyaline, simple or loosely branched, smooth, bearing terminal denticulate conidiogenous cells (8.3–) 15.6–30.0 (–42.5) × (1.1–) 1.7–3.1 (–4.7) μm; conidia hyaline, globose to elliptical, obovoid with pointed bases and rounded apices, 1-celled, smooth, (2.6–) 4.0–6.8 (–8.7) × (2.2–) 3.5–5.5 (–7.4) μm.
Culture characteristics. Colonies on 2% MEA medium slow growing in the dark, reaching 39 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 5 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin anomalous. Hyphae appressed to flocculose, black; reverse hyphae also black. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C, no growth at 5 °C and 30 °C.

Known substrate and hosts. Galleries of *Tomicus yunnanensis* and *T. minor* in *Pinus yunnanensis*.

Known insect vectors. *Tomicus yunnanensis*, *T. minor*.

Known distribution. Yunnan Province, China; Europe.

Specimens examined. CHINA, Yunnan, from *Tomicus minor* and *T. yunnanensis* galleries in *Pinus yunnanensis*, Feb. 2017, Nov. 2016, HM Wang, CFCC 52611 = CXY 1866, CFCC 52612 = CXY 1865, CFCC 52613 = CXY 1868.

Note. Our strains of *O. tingens* were identified based on phylogenetic affinities and morphological features. (cf. above under note for *O. aggregatum*)

Figure 14. Morphological characters of *Ophiostoma tingens* **A, B** upper and reverse of cultures on 2% MEA 20 d after inoculation **C–G** conidiogenous cells of *Sporothrix*-like asexual state and conidia **H–J** conidiogenous cells of *Pesotum*-like macronematal asexual state and conidia. Scale bars: 10 μm (**C–H**); 50 μm (**I, J**).
Ophiostoma tingens has been reported from sapwood of various Pinus spp. (including P. sylvestris) infested by T. minor, T. piniperda and Ips sexdentatus in Europe (Francke-Grosmann 1952, Batra 1967, Jankowiak 2008). The species was recorded in Yunnan Province in China in 2017, associated with T. minor infesting P. yunnanensis (Pan et al. 2017).

**Sporothrix macroconidia** H. Wang, Q. Lu & Z. Zhang, sp. n.
MycoBank: MB828886
Fig. 15

**Etymology.** ‘macroconidia’ (Latin), referring to the large conidia of this fungus.

**Type.** CHINA, Yunnan, from Tomicus yunnanensis galleries in Pinus yunnanensis, Dec. 2016, collected by HM Wang, holotype CXY 1894, culture ex-holotype CFCC 52628 = CXY 1894.

**Description.** Sexual form: unknown.
Asexual form: Sporothrix-like. Conidiophores semi-macronematous, mononematous; conidiogenous cells hyaline, simple or loosely branched, thin-walled, aseptate, bearing denticles forming a rachis (4.1–) 11.0–24.5 (–36.5) × (1.4–) 2.1–3.4 (–4.9) μm; conidia hyaline, cylindrical, ellipsoid to ovoid, 1-celled, smooth, (3.6–) 4.8–7.4 (–9.9) × (2.5–) 3.2–4.9 (–9.9) μm, solitarily or aggregating in slimy masses.

**Culture characteristics.** Colonies on 2% MEA medium slow growing in the dark, reaching 34 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 5 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Hyphae appressed to flocculose, white; reverse hyaline to pale yellowish. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C, little growth at 5 °C and 35 °C.

**Known substrates and hosts.** Galleries of Tomicus yunnanensis and T. brevipilosus in Pinus yunnanensis and P. kesiya.

**Known insect vectors.** Tomicus yunnanensis, T. brevipilosus.

**Known distribution.** Yunnan Province, China.

**Additional specimens examined.** CHINA, Yunnan, from Tomicus brevipilosus galleries in Pinus kesiya, Dec. 2016, Jan. 2017, HM Wang, CFCC 52629 = CXY 1895, CFCC 52630 = CXY 1896.

**Note.** Sporothrix macroconidia is closely related to O. valdivianum, S. braganentina, S. bruneoeviolacea and S. fumea in phylogenetic analyses inferred from LSU, ITS, TUB2 and CAL DNA sequence data. It differs from these species by its conidia, which are larger than those of the other four species, mostly 4.8–7.4 × 3.2–4.9 μm and 4–6 × 2 μm in O. valdivianum (Butin and Aquilar 1984), 4–6 × 2–2.5 μm in S. braganentina (Pfenning and Oberwinkler 1993), 3–7 × 1.5–3 μm in S. bruneoeviolacea (Madrid et al. 2010) and 1.5–2.0 × 0.5–1.0 μm in S. fumea (Nkuekam et al. 2012). In addition, a sexual state was observed in vitro for O. valdivianum, S. braganentina and S. fumea, which was not observed in S. macroconidia and S. bruneoeviolacea.

Sporothrix macroconidia was found associated with T. yunnanensis infesting P. yunnanensis and with T. brevipilosus infesting P. kesiya. The other four similar species have
very different ecology and known geographic distributions. *Sporothrix fumea* was isolated from *Eucalyptus cloeziana* infested by *Phoracantha* beetles in South Africa (Nkue-kam et al. 2012), whereas *O. valdivianum*, *S. bragantina* and *S. brunneoviolacea* were obtained from soil or *Nothofagus* in Europe and South America (Butin and Aquilar 1984, Pfenning 1993, Madrid et al. 2010).

*Sporothrix pseudoabietina* H. Wang, Q. Lu & Z. Zhang, sp. n.
MycoBank: MB828887
Fig. 16

**Etymology.** ‘pseudoabietina’ (Latin), referring to the phylogenetic affinities to *S. abietina.*

**Type.** CHINA, Yunnan, from *T. minor* galleries in *P. yunnanensis*, Apr. 2017, HM Wang, holotype CXY 1937, culture ex-holotype CFCC 52626 = CXY 1937.

**Description.** Sexual form perithecial: on 2% MEA, *perithecia* superficial or partially immersed, with a globose base extending into a cylindrical neck, often terminated by ostiolar hyphae; bases (85–) 110–152 (–168) μm diam., black, the outer layer with dark brown hyphal ornamentation; apical neck mild to dark brown at the
base, pale brown to pale yellow or hyaline toward the apex, straight or slightly curved, (172–) 560–985 (–1039) μm long, (37–) 41–62 (–78) μm wide at the base, (9.3–) 12.5–17.5 (–20) μm wide at the apex; ostiolar hyphae numerous, hyaline, divergent, (19.5–) 21.5–38.0 (–43) μm long; asci not seen; ascospores hyaline, 1-celled, orange-shaped in lateral view, ellipsoid in face view, circular in polar view, (2.9 –) 3.4–4.4 (–5.3) × (0.8–) 1.0–1.5 (–1.9) μm, without mucilaginous sheath.

Asexual form: Sporothrix-like. Conidiophores semi-macronematous to mononematous; conidiogenous cells hyaline, simple or loosely branched, smooth, bearing denticles disposed in a dense rachis (16.0–) 20.5–30.5 (–34.5) × (1.2–) 1.6–2.0 (–2.3) μm; conidia 1-celled, clavate, ellipsoid to ovoid, hyaline, (3.0–) 4.0–7.0 (–9.0) × (1.0–) 1.1–3.1 (–4.8) μm.

**Culture characteristics.** Colonies on 2% MEA slow growing in the dark, reaching 23 mm in diam. in 8 days at 25 °C, growth rate up to 2.5 mm/day at the fastest; colony margin smooth. Hyphae appressed to flocculose or floccose, white; reverse hyaline to pale yellowish. Optimal growth temperature 25 °C; very slow growth at 35 °C; no growth at 5 °C.

**Known substrate and hosts.** Galleries of Tomicus yunnanensis and T. minor in Pinus yunnanensis.

**Known insect vectors.** Tomicus yunnanensis, T. minor.
Known distribution. Yunnan Province, China.

Additional specimen examined. CHINA, Yunnan, Tomicus minor galleries in Pinus yunnanensis, Apr. 2017, HM Wang, CFCC 52627 = CXY 1938.

Note. Sporothrix pseudoabietina is characterised by a perithecial sexual form and a Sporothrix-like asexual state. Multiple phylogenetic inferences (LSU, ITS, TUB2 and CAL) showed that S. pseudoabietina belonged to the S. gossypina complex, in which it is closely related to S. abietina. However, it can be distinguished from this species, based on both morphological and physiological features. The conidia of S. pseudoabietina (4.0–7.0 × 1.1–3.1 μm) are wider than those of S. abietina (4–7.5 × 1–2 μm) (Marmolejo and Butin 1990). Perithecia are known from S. abietina but only on natural substrates and not in vitro on artificial media, contrary to those from S. pseudoabietina. The perithecial neck in S. pseudoabietina is much longer than that of S. abietina, viz. mostly 560–985 μm and 450–650 μm, respectively. Ostiolar hyphae of S. abietina and S. pseudoabietina also differ in number, numerous vs 7–10 and size, mostly 13–19 μm and in S. pseudoabietina 21.5–38.0 μm (Fig. 11c, d). In addition, no growth of S. abietina was observed at 35 °C, but S. pseudoabietina can grow at 35 °C.

The hosts and geographic distributions of S. pseudoabietina and S. abietina are also very different. Sporothrix pseudoabietina was found associated with T. minor and T. yunnanensis infecting P. yunnanensis, whereas S. abietina was reported from Abies vejari attacked by Pseudohylesinus sp. in Mexico (Marmolejo and Butin 1990).

Discussion

In this study, 772 strains of ophiostomatoid fungi were isolated from galleries and adults of three pine shoot beetles, T. brevipilosus, T. minor and T. yunnanensis, inhabiting P. yunnanensis and P. kesiya in forests in Yunnan Province, south-western China. Multiple phylogenetic analyses and morphological features allowed the identification of 11 species from 5 genera. Six species corresponded to known taxa (E. vermicola, L. yunnanense, O. brevipilosi, O. canum, O. minus and O. tingens), whereas four species are proposed as new, Gra. anningense, O. aggregatum, S. pseudoabietina and S. macrocondia. A single strain remained unnamed.

The global ophiostomatoid fungal communities, associated with these three Tomicus species in pine forest, were dominated by far by three species, which are, in decreasing order of isolates, O. canum, O. brevipilosi and O. minus. Furthermore, these three ophiostomatoid species are not equally associated with the three Tomicus species but show variable degrees of preference or specificity.

Overall, O. canum was the most frequently isolated species in our study (253 out of the 772 strains). It was preferably (79.4% of the O. canum strains) isolated from galleries and adults of T. minor, infesting both P. yunnanensis and P. kesiya (Table 3) and dominated the ophiostomatoid community associated with this beetle (81.4%, 201 strains of O. canum out of 247 strains in the community, Table 3).

This is the first report of this species in China. It was previously reported in eastern Asia but only in Japan (Masuya et al. 1999). Ophiostoma canum was also shown to be
the dominant species associated with *T. minor*, both in Europe and Japan (Masuya et al. 1999, Jankowiak 2008). In addition, this species was found in association with other bark beetles in Finland and Russia, e.g. *Hylastes brunneus*, *Hylurgops palliatus*, *Ips typographus*, *Pityogenes chalcographus* and *Trypodendron lineatum* (Linnakoski et al. 2010). The close association between *O. canum* and *T. minor* appears stable over an extensive geographical distribution and tree host range, indicating likely intimate relationships.

**Ophiostoma brevipilosi** represented the second most frequently isolated species in our survey (224 out of 772 strains), occurring exclusively in galleries and adults of *T. brevipilosus*, dominating this beetle’s ophiostomatoid community (98.2%, 224 strains of *O. brevipilosi* out of 228 strains in the community, Table 3). The occurrence or fitness of *O. brevipilosi* is therefore strongly linked to the presence of *T. brevipilosus*.

**Ophiostoma brevipilosi** was described originally from Yunnan, based on six strains, all isolated from *T. brevipilosus* (Chang et al. 2017). It belongs to the recently defined *O. clavatum* complex (Linnakoski et al. 2016). It is only known from this area of south-western China.

**Ophiostoma minus** was the third most frequently isolated species overall (197 strains out of 772), occurring exclusively in galleries and adults of *T. yunnanensis* infesting *P. yunnanensis*, dominating this beetle ophiostomatoid community (66.3%, 197 strains of *O. minus* out of 297 strains in the community, Table 3).

**Ophiostoma minus** was first reported as a blue-stain agent in Europe (Munch 1907), is a widely distributed species, also recorded from North America and East Asia (Japan and China) (Hedgcock 1906, Gorton and Webber 2000, Gorton et al. 2004, Lu et al. 2009, Linnakoski et al. 2010). It infests various pines and is transported by various bark beetles. This species was predominantly associated with *T. piniperda* in Europe (Jankowiak 2006) and Japan (Masuya et al. 1999) and with the southern pine beetle, *Dendroctonus frontalis*, in the southern states of the USA (Klepzig 1998, Gorton and Webber 2000, Gorton et al. 2004).

**Ophiostoma minus** was deemed to have two allopatric populations, *viz.* a North American and a Eurasian population (Gorton et al. 2004). In ITS/TUB2 phylogenetic inferences, the North American and Eurasian populations of *O. minus* were resolved as two closely related clades (Gorton et al. 2004, Lu et al. 2009). ITS and TUB2-based phylogenetic inferences (Fig. 7c, d) also resolved our strains as a third distinct clade, which could thus be interpreted as a third allopatric population. The question of translating these populations into a Linnaean taxonomic rank, however, remains open.

**Tomicus yunnanensis** galleries and adult beetles harboured the highest diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi; ten of the 11 species identified were isolated from galleries and adults of this beetle. Three species were exclusively found with this beetle (*O. minus*, *E. vermicola*, *Ophiostoma* sp. 1). By comparison, galleries and adults of *T. minor* and of *T. brevipilosus* yielded less species; five species were isolated from *T. minor*, none of which was associated exclusively with this beetle and three species from *T. brevipilosus*, of which one was exclusive, *O. brevipilosi*. Five species are shared by both *T. yunnanensis* and *T. minor* and two species by both *T. yunnanensis* and *T. brevipilosus*, but none by *T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* and also none by all three pine shoot beetles (Table 3, Fig. 17).
The ectosymbiosis between bark beetles and fungi is widespread and diverse. Some fungi are highly specific and associated with a single beetle species, forming a ‘species-specific association’ (Six and Paine 1999, Six 2012), while others can be associated with many vectors (Kostovcik et al. 2014). The species-specific associations include, for instance, *Ips typographus* and *Endoconidiophora polonica*, *I. cembrae* and *End. laricicola* (Harrington et al. 2002) or *I. subelongatus* and *End. fujisensis* (Marin et al. 2005, Meng et al. 2015). The present study showed that species-specific associations might occur with various sympatric beetles that share the same niche. The association of *T. brevipilosus* and *O. brevipilosi* seems to be species-specific in the pine forest of Yunnan, where both taxa are, so far, endemic. In the pine forest of Yunnan, the Chinese ‘population’ of *O. minus* is also specifically associated with *T. yunnanensis*, whereas the two other *O. minus* ‘populations’ are associated, at least preferably, with *Dendroctonus frontalis* and *T. piniperda* (Gorton et al. 2004, Jankowiak 2006). The genetically distinct ‘populations’ might originate from both

---

**Figure 17.** Venn diagram showing overlaps of the ophiostomatoid fungal communities associated with three pine shoot beetles.
the allopatric distribution and vector specificity and both factors could support recognition of three distinct taxa. In the pine forest of Yunnan, the association of *O. canum* with *T. minor* is preferential but not exclusive.

Up to now, no data have been provided proving the pathogenicity of these ophiostomatoid species to both indigenous pines, except for *L. yunnanense* (Liao and Ye 2004, Gao et al. 2017). Pathogenicity tests have been done by artificial inoculation of the dominant species into seedlings of the two pines. The results preliminarily showed that the virulence of *O. minus* and *O. brevipilosii* was significantly stronger than that of *O. canum*. This is similar to the relative aggressive nature of the three *Tomicus* species. Thus, we suspect there might be some link between beetle aggression and fungus virulence (Christiansen et al. 1987, Kirisits 2004).

**Conclusions**

This study provides evidence for the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with *T. yunnanensis*, *T. minor* and *T. brevipilosus* in Yunnan pine forest in south-western China. Eleven species were identified, of which four were new to science. The diversity is the highest in the galleries and adults of *T. yunnanensis* and the poorest in the galleries and adults of *T. brevipilosus*.

Three species, namely *O. brevipilosii*, *O. canum* and *O. minus*, dominate the ophiostomatoid communities; each is associated predominantly with one species of *Tomicus*, namely *T. brevipilosus*, *T. minor* and *T. yunnanensis*, respectively. In this regard, this study has revealed differential associations between beetles living sympatrically, concomitantly or sequentially, in the same ecological niche, which indicates a certain level of specificity of the relationships between the fungi and the beetles. However, the parameters behind these (partial) species-specific relationships remain unknown.

Increased study of the biodiversity, biogeography and ecology of ophiostomatoid fungi in China, in particular of those associated with *Tomicus* spp., would facilitate comparison with well-known species associated with other *Tomicus* spp. in other neighbouring or distant geographical areas, e.g. in European countries, Japan and Korea and allow a better understanding of the occurrence and mechanisms behind the outbreak of infections, enabling the development of effective management methods to alleviate the subsequent plant losses.
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