A Simultaneous Analytical Method for Duplex Identification of Porcine and Horse in the Meat Products by EvaGreen based Real–time PCR

Ergün Sakalar*, Seyma Özçirak Ergün, Emine Akar
Department of Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17020 Canakkale, Turkey

Abstract
A duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based assay for the detection of porcine and horse meat in sausages was designed by using EvaGreen fluorescent dye. Primers were selected from mitochondrial 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes which are powerful regions for identification of horse and porcine meat. DNA from reference samples and industrial products was successfully extracted using the GIDAGEN® Multi-Fast DNA Isolation Kit. Genomes were identified based on their specific melting peaks (Mp) which are 82.5°C and 78°C for horse and porcine, respectively. The assay used in this study allowed the detection of as little as 0.0001% level of horse meat and 0.001% level of porcine meat in the experimental admixtures. These findings indicate that EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR is a potentially sensitive, reliable, rapid and accurate assay for the detection of meat species adulterated with porcine and horse meats.
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Introduction
Meat and meat species adulteration is a sizeable problem in the food industry. The detection of meat adulteration is a major issue in the food industries (Commission Directive 2001/101/E). Product quality and origin in meat products are very important issues for consumers, vendors and government agencies. There are many reasons for the disclosure of adulterated meat products, such as health problems, unfair competition and religious beliefs (Rastogi et al., 2007).

Porcine meat is a potential source of adulteration for higher value meat, such as beef and lamb, due to the similarity in color and texture. When undeclared, porcine is an undesirable contaminant for health reasons, as well as religious reasons, because of the potential introduction of allergens, bacteria, and parasites (Asensio et al., 2008; Wissiack et al., 2003). Furthermore, mechanically recovered meats that have been used increasingly in the food industry are prone to various forms of pork adulteration (Yaakob et al., 2012).

A coordinated control plan was launched about horse meat in the European Union (EU) to constitute the proliferation of fraudulent practices in the marketing of certain foods, and the results stated the presence of unlabeled horse meat in approximately 5% of the tested products (EU/99/2013; European Commission 2013). The “horse meat scandal” did not lead to a health scandal; however, it was a good example of food fraud that triggered social concerns and mistrust of meat products which did not declare all species in the ingredient list (Cawthorn et al., 2013; Pegels et al., 2014).

There are many techniques to detect and identify the origin of species, especially in industrial meat products during the last decades. In recent years, molecular authentication methodologies based on PCR amplification have been developed, and these methods have been successfully applied for species authentication in meat products (Meyer et al., 1995; Natonek-Wisniewska et al., 2009; Stamoulis et al., 2010). Real-time PCR, especially, has become the most promising technique for rapid and practical detection of different meat species (Şakalar, 2013). The main advantages of real-time PCR are high sensitivity, high specificity, excellent efficiency, and no post-PCR steps that reduce risks of cross-contamination. Some sci-
entists (Fajardo et al., 2008; Martín et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2006) have suggested that multiplex real-time PCR combined with fluorescent dye is not applicable. The uniformity of each species-specific amplicon in conjunction with fluorophor-specific probes would make these assays amenable to multi-color multiplex detection, whereas fluorescent dye based detection would not (Elizaquivel and Aznar, 2008, Walker et al., 2004). In fact, recent research shows that the use of fluorescent dye may result in a high multiplexing index in less time, in a single reaction (Fukushima et al., 2005; Pafundo et al., 2009; Şakalar and Abasıyanık 2012) as shown by schematic determination of porcine and horse meats by EvaGreen based duplex real time PCR (Fig. 1).

In the present study, the method of EvaGreen based real-time PCR was developed to specifically detect porcine and horse DNA in simultaneous reactions using the 12S rRNA gene region of porcine and the 16S rRNA-tRNA t(Leu) gene regions of horse mt-DNA sequence and species specific primers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of meat samples**
Reference raw meat samples, horse and porcine, were purchased from local suppliers and pasteurized at 85°C for 60 min to form a model admixture as follows: The porcine (25 g) and horse (25 g) meats were homogenized by tissue & cell lab homogenizer (WT500-S1, Germany) to form 50 g binary mixture. Of this binary mixture 5 g (10%) was added to 45 g of sausage and then mixed by homogenizer. Following this stage, an admixture containing 10% porcine and horse meats was produced. As described herein, diluted admixtures (50 g) containing 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10% (wt/wt) porcine and horse meats were prepared within pasteurized (85°C for 60 min) sausages which were produced from beef, chicken, soybean protein and additive materials such as spices.

![Fig. 1. Schematic determination of porcine and horse by EvaGreen based duplex real time PCR.](image-url)
by Meat Products Industry (Danet, Turkey). Model admixtures were produced in duplicate to confirm aforementioned assay used below (EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR). They were directly transported to the Molecular Bioengineering Research Laboratory of Çanakkale 18 Mart University. All samples were stored until the extraction of the DNA at 20°C in order to prevent the enzymatic degradation of DNA.

**DNA extraction and quantification**

DNA was extracted from 100 mg meat and sausage samples by using Multi-Fast DNA Isolation Kit (GID-AGEN®, Turkey). A microplate reader device (Infinite® 200 PRO NanoQuant, Switzerland) was used to determine the concentration of DNA in the solution. The samples were exposed to ultraviolet light at 260 and 280 nm. The ratio 260:280 was used to calculate the qualification of nucleic acids by the following formula: DNA concentration = OD_{260} × extinction coefficient (50 µg/mL) × dilution factor. After the concentration of DNA (150 µL) was measured, the DNA solutions were stored at -20°C until used.

**Detection limit**

A mix of horse and porcine DNA was adjusted to 100 ng/µL and subsequently serially diluted by 10-fold increments yielding solutions of 10, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001, and 0.00001 ng/µL for the determination of the absolute detection limit of EvaGreen based real-time PCR. The admixtures of horse and porcine meats within sausages (episode 2.1) were used for the relative detection limit of the simultaneous analytical method which is the subject of this study.

**Primer design**

Porcine (Sus scrofa) primers published by López-Andreo et al. (2005) and horse (Equus caballus) primers published by Köppel et al. (2009) were used in this study. The specificity of each primer was controlled by an algorithm called BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) of NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information). The primers used for species specific amplification of porcine genomic DNA were 5'-GAA AAA TCA TCG TTG TAC TTC AAC-3' (forward) and 5'-GGT CAA TGA ATG CGT TGT TGA T-3' (reverse). The sizes of the expected horse and porcine amplicons were 98 and 85 bp, respectively.

**Optimization of the primer concentration and EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR**

The optimization of the primer quantity in the master mix was performed by mixing primers together at several quantities between 1 and 30 pmol. We started with equal concentrations of horse and porcine primers. The ratio of primer concentrations was adjusted afterwards, so that both amplicons were produced with high efficiency in the same reaction.

The PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 20 µL containing 4 µL of EvaGreen qPCR Mix (Solis Bio Dyne, Estonia), 10 pmol of horse primers, 14 pmol of porcine primers, and 200 ng of DNA template using a CFX96 Touch™ real-time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Hercules, USA). After an initial heat denaturation step at 94°C for 10 min, 35 cycles were programmed as follows: 94°C for 15 s, 58°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s. All of the PCR reactions were applied in duplicate in two independent experiments.

At the end of each PCR, melting curve analysis tools of the CFX96 Touch™ real-time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Hercules, USA) were used to identify species specific Mp values of the amplified region of the template DNA that belong to reference meat samples. A melting curve analysis was programmed for its ramp formed from 72 to 95°C by raising 1°C in each step. The process paused for 90 s to perform a pre-melt conditioning on the first step and for 5 s for each step afterwards.

**Quantification by standard curve method**

EvaGreen based real-time PCR amplifications were examined between specific primers and DNAs extracted from admixtures containing porcine and horse meat within sausages. The standard curve was constructed by using the Cq (cycle quantification) values obtained from the corresponding DNA concentration of 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10% (wt/wt) porcine and horse to check the applicability and sensitivity of the assay and detect unspecific amplicons.

**Results and Discussion**

**Improvement of EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR**

In our study, the templates were amplified in real-time PCR followed by a melting curve analysis with EvaGreen and the CFX96 Touch™ real-time PCR Detection System (BioRad) after the horse and porcine primers were com-
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Fig. 2. Melting curve analysis of horse and porcine DNA by the simplex and simultaneous EvaGreen based real-time PCR. H: horse; P: porcine; Mp: Melt peak.

Fig. 3. Standart curve for quantification of unspecific amplicon.

Fig. 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR product M: marker; 1: porcine positive template control, 98 bp; 2, 3 & 4: samples contains horse and porcine, 85&98 bp; 5: horse positive template control, 85 bp; 6&7: negative template control for horse and porcine, respectively.

Specificity of EvaGreen based duplex real-time system

Horse and porcine primers are specifically selected to detect horse and porcine tissue in meat mixtures. The assay utilizing the porcine and horse-specific primers was cross-tested on six meat species (chicken, donkey, turkey, cattle, chicken-meatball, and sausage mix) and six plant species (soybean, starch, corn, potato, wheat, and spice). It indicated that primers specific to the species of horse and porcine showed no cross-reaction with any of the non-target species until the 34th cycle (reveals primer dimer or unspecific amplicon after 34th cycle). This leads to the conclusion that the designed primers can only specifically amplify porcine and horse DNA and exclude the DNAs of other animal and plant species. Specificity of the duplex assay was established by performing melting curve analysis. EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR assay was specific for each species investigated with slightly varying melting temperatures. In the present study, horse and porcine amplicons can easily be distinguished by Mps of horse (82.5±0.2°C) and porcine (78.0±0.3°C) due to the different lengths and GC composition of the two amplicons. Specificity of EvaGreen based duplex real-time system was confirmed by performing two independent experiments.

The applicability and sensitivity of EvaGreen based duplex real-time PCR assay

The analytical applicability and sensitivity of EvaGreen based simultaneous real-time PCR was determined by using DNAs obtained from a mixture of porcine and horse meats. A linear relationship between the input DNA and the Cq values was determined with a regression coeffici-
ent (R²) of 0.993 (Fig. 3). Simultaneous analytical assay sensitivity was 0.0001% and 0.001% for the specific duplex detection of horse and porcine in the reference sausage, respectively (Fig. 5). The analytical assay sensitivity was confirmed by performing two independent experiments. The absolute detection limit of the simultaneous real-time PCR was 0.00001 ng/µL for porcine and horse (Figure not shown).

Quantification of unspecific and specific amplicon concentration

For the detection of unspecific amplicons, primers of horse and porcine were used in simultaneous reaction without horse and porcine DNA template. Interestingly, horse primers yielded an unspecific amplicon which has Cq: 34.4 and Mp value (81.7±0.3°C) similar to Mp of the positive control template (82.5±0.2°C). Concentration of this unspecific amplicon was quantified by the standard curve constructed with the DNA obtained from serial dilution of an admixture containing horse and porcine meat (Table 1). All amplicons and primer dimers appearing after the 34th cycle were ignored due to the possibility of interference with specific amplicons and porcine cross-reactivity of horse primers.

A non-specific signal cannot always be prevented, but its presence can be easily and reliably detected by performing melt and standard curve analysis of the PCR products. The Cq values from such assays should be scrutinized, and no meaningful quantification should be based on these data.

The temperature at which a DNA molecule melts depends on its length and sequence; therefore, if the PCR products consist of molecules of homogeneous length and sequence, a single thermal transition will be detected. On the other hand, the presence of more than one population of PCR products will be reflected as multiple thermal transitions in the fluorescence intensity. In this way, the fluorescence versus temperature is used to differentiate between specific and non-specific amplicons based on the Tm of the reaction end-products.

For decades, meat and meat products have become a trade object and possess high commercial value. Due to the increased international meat trade and high consumer demand, efforts to adulterate meat products have become widely prevalent (Hargin 1996; Siklenka 2004). We think that our research will provide a perfect support for the solution of these kinds of problems because it describes a simultaneous PCR assay for detection of horse and porcine materials in industrial meat products in a single reaction. The assay successfully developed is novel due to its ability to carry out amplification of two target genes (16S rRNA–tRNA and 12S RNA) simultaneously in a duplex format with EvaGreen based real-time PCR methods by using melting temperature discrimination (Fig. 2). As such, this unique property could be presented as a reference for the development of assays for detection and differentiation of other species, such as cattle, sheep, donkey, chicken, turkey etcetera.

EvaGreen assays are relatively easy to design and run and have better multiplexing capabilities than SYBR Green

---

**Table 1. Results of melting and standard curve**

| Mixture containing porcine and horse (%) | Cq values means and SD | Detected levels (%) | Mp values (°C) |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 10                                     | 16.22±02               | 10                  | 78±02          |
| 1                                      | 19.92±02               | 1.26                | 78±02          |
| 0.1                                    | 23.37±01               | 0.125               | 78±02          |
| 0.01                                   | 25.87±00               | 0.025               | 78±02          |
| 0.001                                  | 29.15±03               | 0.0031              | 78±02          |
| 0.0001                                 | 30.09±02               | 0.0016              | 78±02          |
| Unknown amplicons                      | 34.34±01               | 0.000309            | --             |

SD: Standard deviation.
products. Inspection programs to enforce labeling regulations on food in industrial products may make it a useful tool for inspection. The assay included primers from BoHV-1 glycoprotein C (gC) and bovine growth hormone (bGH) genes for simultaneous detection of both the targets in a single tube. 

In conclusion, we emphasize that EvaGreen based simultaneous real-time PCR is a powerful technology which is accurate, simple, economical and fast, with good sensitivity and specificity. This is achieved by maximizing amplification efficiency while ensuring specificity of the primers for each target. The potential of the described procedure to detect small amounts of porcine and horse DNA in industrial products may make it a useful tool for inspection programs to enforce labeling regulations on food products.
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