Size control of Au nanoparticles from the scalable and solvent-free matrix assembly cluster source
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Abstract Nanostructured gold is an intriguing system for heterogeneous catalysis at low temperature. Its activity is related to choice of support selection, particle-support interaction, and especially the particle size. Here, we investigate the possibility of controlling the size of Au clusters (nanoparticles) in the novel Matrix Assembly Cluster Source (MACS), a solvent-free nanoparticle source with potential for scale-up to the gram level. The novelty of the MACS is the idea of making clusters by sputtering a pre-condensed matrix of metal atoms embedded in a condensed non-reactive gas, e.g., Ar. This concept, introduced in 2016, has already proved deposition rates several orders of magnitude higher than conventional cluster beam routes. Such scale-up in the cluster production rate is crucial for industrial research on nanocatalysis under realistic reaction condition. Here, we report a systematic study of how Au metal loading in the matrix affects the size distribution of clusters generated. Furthermore, the obtained dependence of cluster size on deposition time provides clear confirmation of cluster formation inside the matrix by ion irradiation, rather than by aggregation of atoms on the TEM support after deposition.
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Introduction

The present work is motivated by the fact that the active sites in heterogeneous catalysis are frequently supported nanoparticles. Moreover, by decreasing the nanoparticle size down to 1 nm, 90% of the atoms of the particle are located at the surface and thus available to catalyze a reaction (Piella et al. 2017). The effect of size on reactivity has been investigated for many different systems, both metals and oxides, including Cu (Reske et al. 2014; Fernandez et al. 2015), Ag (Boronat et al. 2014), Ru (Antares Paoli et al. 2016), Pt (Perez-Alonso et al. 2012), Pd (Chen et al. 2012), and CeO$_2$ (Sun and Xue 2013, Spadaro et al. 2015, D’Addato and Spadaro 2018). In the case of gold, it was reported in 1987 that this noble metal became catalytically active only when its size is below 5 nm (Masatake et al. 1987; Ishida et al. 2019). Many subsequent experiments investigated Au in its nanostructured form (Valden and Goodman 1998, Boyen et al. 2002). To give just one example, direct comparison...
between Au films and micelle-encapsulated nanoparticles with size below 5 nm (deposited on ITO-coated glass) evidenced activity for CO electro-oxidation by the nanoparticles (Jaramillo et al. 2003), where higher activity was found for 1.5 nm clusters than 4 nm or 6 nm ones (Roldan Cuenya et al. 2003). The literature shows that the gold nanoparticle preparation method also strongly affects the reactivity; therefore, the possibility to investigate model gold systems whose characteristics can be tuned independently of interaction with the support is desirable. Among the available techniques which generate “pre-prepared” nanoparticles, cluster beam deposition is an emerging technology able to produce films of clusters with tunable size and composition (Palmer et al. 2018; Grammatikopoulos et al. 2016; Ayodele et al. 2020). Moreover, since it does not need solvent for the generation and stabilization of the nanoparticles, it obeys the green chemistry 12 principles (Anastas and Warner 1998) and thus represents an appealing new method of preparation of model catalysts.

Extensive investigations of the catalytic activity of deposited Au clusters have explored the dependence on the size (Hvolsbæk et al. 2007) and on the cluster-support interaction. For example, in the work of Anderson et al. (Lee et al. 2004, 2005), CO oxidation on model Au catalysts in a UHV system on Al₂O₃ was investigated, observing that both CO binding and oxidation depended on the cluster size as well as the support nature. The clusters were produced by laser vaporization of gold into flowing helium. No catalytic activity was detected for Au/Al₂O₃, whereas for Au/TiO₂, the activity was notable and attributed to the reduced number of defect on the support. For Au/Al₂O₃, strong sintering was observed, due also to the absence of defects on the surface: electron-rich oxygen vacancies strongly bind Au, stabilizing the system. Alloying Au with other metals, such as Ti, can also increase the cluster-support interaction strength (Niu et al. 2018).

A crucial challenge for the solvent-free cluster deposition approach to match Au-based catalysts is the deposition rate, as it is conventionally limited to ~μg/h of metal (Pratontep et al. 2005). Industrial results under realistic reaction conditions demand typically ~mg/hour, and for manufacturing, rates of ~g/hour of clusters will be needed. The Matrix Assembly Cluster Source (MACS) addresses this issue of production rate (Palmer et al. 2016) and is based on a new principle for the generation of clusters: ion beam impact on a matrix of metal atoms in a non-reactive gas condensed on a cryogenically cooled support. Demonstration experiments have employed either Ar (Zhao et al. 2017) or CO₂ (Oiko et al. 2016) as the matrix gas. Clusters generated by the MACS have already been tested in the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) experiments (Co clusters) (Xu et al. 2018) and the vapor phase selective hydrogenation of 1-pentyne to 1-pentene (Pd and Pd/Au clusters) (Palmer et al. 2018), demonstrating the production potential of this new type of cluster source. In recent papers, the cluster formation and emission mechanisms were investigated in detail, optimizing the deposition rates (Spadaro et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2017; Ellis et al. 2016). The resulting cluster flux is also strongly connected with the ion beam sputtering geometry. At grazing incidence with respect to the matrix support, the primary energy from the Ar⁺ ions is released within the surface region, leading to efficient matrix sublimation around embedded clusters, which are consequently emitted and collected (Spadaro et al. 2019). Here, we report on the size of (Au) clusters produced by the MACS when exploiting such grazing ion beam incidence condition. Specifically, the cluster size is shown to be controlled by the degree of metal loading in the matrix. We also prove that cluster formation takes place in the matrix and is not due to simple metal aggregation on the TEM support after deposition.

**Experimental method**

The MACS was operated in “transmission mode,” allowing Ar⁺ ions to sputter the matrix at grazing incidence. The set-up of the source is shown elsewhere (Palmer et al. 2016). The matrix support is a Cu 1000 mesh grid, as Cu is characterized by good thermal conductivity and robustness. The support was cooled down by a continuous flow of liquid helium (T < 15 K). The vacuum chamber (base pressure 10⁻⁸ mbar) is filled with Ar (> 10⁻⁷ mbar), and at the same time, the metal of interest (Au or Ag) is evaporated onto the matrix support grid. For the experiments reported here, the chamber was filled with inert gas (Ar) at a pressure of ~10⁻⁶ mbar using a leak valve, leading to an Ar-matrix with embedded metal atoms. The metal deposition rate in the matrix was monitored by a quartz crystal microbalance placed adjacent to the support, facing the metal evaporator. The temperature of Cu mesh during the matrix formation was 9 K. Clusters are produced by subsequent high-energy Ar ion beam impact on the matrix; the ion beam energy used to sputter the matrix was 1 keV with an Ar beam current of 50 μA.

The clusters were deposited onto TEM grids, and the cluster size distribution was obtained by analyzing high-angular annular dark-field (HAADF) images from a probe.
corrected scanning transmission electron (STEM) microscope (JEOL 2100F, with a CEOS spherical aberration corrector). The HAADF images were acquired with inner and outer collection angles of 62 mrad and 164 mrad (camera length 10 cm).

Results and discussion

The morphology of the clusters was investigated with probe-corrected HAADF STEM. The size of the clusters was derived through atom counting method, using as reference the HAADF-STEM intensity of size-selected \textsubscript{Au}_{923} clusters, prepared using a magnetron sputtering cluster source (von Issendorff and Palmer 1999) with mass resolution of ±2.5%. The linear dependence of cluster intensity (after background subtraction) on cluster nuclearity is demonstrated in Wang et al. (2011) and Wang and Palmer (2012).

First of all, we demonstrate how the size of the clusters created in the matrix can be controlled by varying the metal loading in the matrix, that is, the ratio of metal atoms to Ar atoms. In Fig. 1 are shown the HAADF STEM images of Au clusters deposited from the MACS with four different matrix concentrations.

The deposition time was 120 s for all the depositions, while the matrix temperature was kept again at 9 K. It is clear from Fig. 1 that the number of deposited clusters decreases when the metal loading increases, while at the same time, the cluster size increases. The corresponding histograms of size distribution are shown in Fig. 2.

The peak in the cluster size distribution, Fig. 2, shifts from 50 to 200, 500, and 1500 atoms/cluster (corresponding to cluster size of less than 1 nm, 2 nm, 3 nm, and 4 nm, respectively) for metal loadings of 0.5, 1, 2.1, and 2.8 at. %, respectively. As the Au concentration in the matrix increases, the cluster size distribution also becomes somewhat broader.

This cluster size and cluster deposition rate are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of metal loading.

The trends observed in Figs. 2 and 3 are similar to the behavior of Ag clusters generated by the MACS with the same sputtering geometry and...
similar matrix conditions (Zhao et al. 2017). For both metals, i.e., Au and Ag, the cluster size is strongly influenced by the matrix metal concentration. A monotonic increase in cluster size is observed as the metal loading in the matrix increases while the cluster deposition rate (particles/s) decreases. The similar tendency in generation of Au and Ag clusters (Zhao et al. 2017) is satisfying because it demonstrates the universality of the MACS method for the production of clusters with different materials but also its ability to control the cluster size, obtained in the sub-5 nm regime, with matrix loadings. The absolute rate of cluster deposition can at the same time be controlled by other MACS parameters, notably the ion beam sputtering current and energy (Spadaro et al. 2019).

Finally, a critic of the cluster beam deposition method may ask “How can you be sure the clusters are formed prior to deposition and not by aggregation of atoms on the support”? Figure 4 is set out to show that the clusters analyzed in the HAADF-STEM were indeed formed inside the matrix prior to deposition on the TEM grid, as also indicated theoretically (Spadaro et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2017). HAADF STEM images were acquired from a series of cluster samples deposited for different times. In this case, the cluster material was Ag, which is our standard test material (Spadaro et al. 2019; Zhao et al. 2017; Oiko et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2020). Figure 4 shows HAADF-STEM images and corresponding size distributions after deposition of clusters for 60 s and 5 min, while keeping the matrix temperature at 9 K. If the clusters were formed by aggregation of atoms deposited on the TEM film, then their size distribution would be expected to change substantially with increasing deposition time.

However, the cluster size distributions in Fig. 4 a and b are very similar. In both graphs, the distribution peaks at around 1500 atoms/cluster. In fact, the tail of the distribution at large size grows slightly as the deposition time increases, but this is attributed to clusters landing on top of each other with increasing cluster density on the support. This consistent size distribution confirms that the clusters emerge from the matrix before landing on the surface.

Conclusions

Several of our papers clearly demonstrate the scale-up of the MACS deposition rate toward and beyond the mg/h level. In this paper, we show that the size of Au clusters generated by the MACS can be tuned by the metal concentration in the MACS matrix. Cluster sizes ranging between 50 and 1500 atoms (0.9–4 nm) are obtained for a metal loading range of 0.5–2.8 at. %. The results confirm the type of behavior originally observed for Ag clusters and demonstrate the universality of the MACS method for solvent-free production of metal nanoparticles below 5 nm in size for catalysis studies, including specifically the topical Au system. Furthermore, we confirmed, by cluster deposition for different durations, that the cluster is not formed by atomic diffusion on the TEM support but in the MACS matrix itself. The method should allow the precise deposition of various clusters, both elemental and alloy, on different types of support, both planar and powder, for diverse catalytic studies with cluster deposition rate in the mg to the gram per hour scale. The next step will be the investigation of alloyed or core-shell nanoparticles by loading the matrix with different metals.
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