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ABSTRACT

We present the largest catalogue to date of optical counterparts for HI radio-selected galaxies, Hopcat. Of the 4315 HI radio-detected sources from the HI Parkes All Sky Survey (HIPASS) catalogue, we find optical counterparts for 3618 (84%) galaxies. Of these, 1798 (42%) have confirmed optical velocities and 848 (20%) are single matches without confirmed velocities. Some galaxy matches are members of galaxy groups. From these multiple galaxy matches, 714 (16%) have confirmed optical velocities and a further 258 (6%) galaxies are without confirmed velocities. For 481 (11%), multiple galaxies are present but no single optical counterpart can be chosen and 216 (5%) have no obvious optical galaxy present. Most of these ‘blank fields’ are in crowded fields along the Galactic plane or have high extinctions.

Isolated ‘Dark galaxy’ candidates are investigated using an extinction cut of \( A_{B} \) < 1 mag and the blank fields category. Of the 3692 galaxies with an \( A_{B} \) extinction < 1 mag, only 13 are also blank fields. Of these, 12 are eliminated either with follow-up...
1 INTRODUCTION

The blind HI Parkes All Sky Survey (HIPASS), on the Parkes Radio Telescope was completed in 2000. This survey covers the whole of the southern sky up to Dec = +25°.1 The HIPASS catalogue, HICAT, represents the largest HI-selected catalogue at this time and is presented in a series of papers, this one being the third. In Paper I, Meyer et al. (2004) describe the selection procedure, global sample properties and the catalogue. In Paper II, Zwaan et al. (2004), describe the completeness and reliability of HICAT. Though the survey and catalogue are developed to be free of optical selection bias, it is nevertheless important for several scientific applications to have accompanying optical data. This paper details the process taken to find the optical counterparts for HICAT sources.

Two of the (many) motivations for the HIPASS survey are to investigate low surface brightness (LSB) and dark galaxies. For LSB galaxies, the goal is to provide a large sample sensitive to LSB galaxies previously undetected by optical methods, such as Malin 1 type objects (Bothun et al. 1987). By using an HI radio selected sample, any study of LSB galaxies will have minimal optical bias. It was originally thought that a large population of LSB galaxies would be revealed by HI surveys like HIPASS (Disney 1976), but they have not been detected in the recent surveys (e.g. Minchin et al. 2004).

At the limit of low surface brightness are “dark galaxies” with no detected optical emission. It is important to separate true dark galaxies from gas clouds which are directly associated with optical galaxies, often through tidal interactions. For the purposes of this paper we define a dark galaxy as any HI source that contains gas (and dark matter) but no detectable stars, and is sufficiently far away from other galaxies, groups or clusters such that a tidal origin can be excluded. One of the most striking examples of associated HI gas clouds is the Leo ring, a massive cloud of tidally-disrupted gas in the Leo galaxy group (Schneider et al. 1983). More recently, early studies of the HIPASS survey have detected associated gas clouds in the NGC 2442 group (Ryder et al. 2001) and in the Magellanic Cloud-Milky Way system (Kilborn et al. 2000).

There is a range of theoretical opinion about the existence of dark galaxies. Verde et al. (2002) argue that a large fraction of low-mass dark matter halos would form stable gas disks on contraction and thus not exhibit star formation, whereas Taylor & Webster (2005) conclude that HI clouds cannot exist in equilibrium with the local universe without becoming unstable to star formation.

There are few, if any, true dark galaxy detections. Some sources, initially described as dark galaxy detections, have since been identified with optical galaxies such as the prototype galaxy HI 1225+01 (re-detected here as HIPASS J1227+01) in the Virgo cluster (Giovanelli & Haynes 1989), later detected in the optical by Salzer et al. (1991). Several authors have placed upper limits on the numbers of dark galaxies in previous HI surveys. Fisher & Tully (1981) found no evidence for dark galaxies in a survey of 153 square degrees and estimated that the total mass of any dark HI clouds (107 M⊙ < MHI < 1010 M⊙) was less than 6 per cent that in normal galaxies. More recently Briggs (1990) showed that the space density of dark galaxies is less than 1 per cent that of normal galaxies for masses MHI < 109 M⊙, and the two Arecibo surveys by Zwaan et al. (1997) also failed to detect any dark galaxies in the range (107.5 M⊙ < MHI < 109 M⊙). 

Previous to HIPASS, several blind HI surveys that identify optical counterparts have been carried out, such as the Arecibo HI Sky Survey, AHISS, (Sorar 1994; 66 sources), the Slice Survey (Spitzak & Schneider 1998; 75 sources) and the Arecibo Dual-Beam Survey, ADBS, (Rosenberg & Schneider 2000; 265 sources). However HICAT is much larger, containing 4315 HI radio detected objects and covers the whole southern sky from 300 to 12700 km s⁻¹.

Newly catalogued galaxies in the HIPASS Bright Galaxy Catalogue (BGC, Koribalski et al. 2004), are described in Ryan-Weber et al. (2002). Of the 1000 galaxies, 939 have optical counterparts, 4 are high velocity clouds and 57 were deemed to be obscured by dust or confused with stars having galactic latitudes |b| < 10°. To date all previous HIPASS based studies have concluded that there are no dark galaxies or invisible HI clouds not gravitationally bound to any stellar system present. In using our HICAT optical catalogue, HOPCAT, which incorporates the complete Hipass catalogue, a more comprehensive search for isolated dark galaxies in the southern sky is carried out.

In Section 2 we describe the method we use to identify the optical counterparts for the HICAT sources, discuss the input data, how the images are analysed and the process taken to calibrate the magnitudes in the B, R & I bands. In Section 3 we introduce the HIPASS optical catalogue, HOPCAT. Section 4 lists and analyses the results of the matching process, investigates the optical properties and candidate dark galaxies. A summary of our work is given in Section 5.

2 SELECTION OF OPTICAL COUNTERPARTS

The position of each HI source is used to find the matching optical image. Since HICAT covers a velocity range from 300 to 12700 km s⁻¹, finding an optical counterpart, especially those with small peak flux density and at the limits of the survey, poses a variety of challenges.

In the following sections we describe the preliminary investigations undertaken into finding the best method to optically match the HI radio sources. We detail the image analysis, steps taken to minimize the various problems that arise in matching extended objects and calibrate the resulting magnitudes. We also review what methods and resources are required to cross-check velocities in the matching process and describe the actual matching process in detail.

2.1 Preliminary Investigations

In our preliminary work to identify the best method to find the optical counterparts, we make use of the both the SuperCOSMOS image and data catalogues (Hambly et al. 2001a, b & c). The SuperCOSMOS data catalogue (hereafter SuperCOS data) contains results from image analysis originally optimized for faint star/galaxy classification. This causes

---

1 A northern extension to +25° has also been observed and the resulting catalogue is in preparation.
problems in the recognition of bright extended objects such as the HIPASS galaxies.

When each image from the SuperCOMOS image catalogue (hereafter SuperCOS images) is analysed, ellipses are produced that represent an area around each object above a particular sky intensity for that particular plate. The integrated flux and hence the isophotal magnitude is calculated using these ellipses. However, in the case of the SuperCOS data’s ellipses (hereafter SuperCOS ellipses), multiple ellipses can be produced for a single object, especially for extended ones, that segment the area and result in incorrect magnitudes. A different image analysis approach is needed.

2.2 Optical Image Data

Using the results from the preliminary investigations above, the SuperCOS image is optimal for our purposes. The scanned SuperCOS images have a 10-mm (0.67 arcsec) resolution (Hambly et al. 2001b). Each image is required to cover a 7 arcmin radius centred on the HICAT position to allow for any possible uncertainties in the original coordinates. Using the original positions of the 4315 HI detections from HICAT, $15 \times 15$ arcmin $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ band SuperCOS images, each centred on the HICAT position are obtained. Independent analysis of each image needs to overcome any segmentation and photometry problems we encounter using the SuperCOS ellipses.

The SExtractor image analysis package (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) is used to analyse each SuperCOS image and an SExtractor ellipse catalogue (hereafter SEx ellipses) is produced containing ellipses for each object within the image using the method described in Section 2.1. For the majority of the SuperCOS images, we use SExtractor’s default analysis parameters that are optimised for extended and bright objects and that require a higher contrast to detect an object. However, for some objects, the ellipse also includes foreground stars. Therefore a second set of SExtractor parameters, that are more likely to break up detections into smaller objects and that require a much lower contrast to detect fainter objects, are used. A total of 91 images using the second set of parameters are included for the final SEx ellipses.

The magnitudes included in our catalogue are based on SExtractor’s MAG_AUTO defined as ‘Kron-like elliptical aperture magnitudes’ (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The magnitude measurements are calculated from the SEx ellipses produced by analysing the $B_j$ band images only and are uncalibrated. Our calibrations of the SExtractor $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ magnitudes, using the SuperCOS data’s zero point calibration, are described in Section 2.4.2.

2.3 Optical spectroscopic Data

Accurately matching the HI radio detections with their optical counterparts requires a verification method. Using the velocity measurements from HICAT is one way to cross-check the validity of the optical match. Two available resources for velocity cross-checking are NED and the 6dF Galaxy Survey (Wakamatsu et al. 2003; 6dFGS; http://www.mso.anu.edu.au/6dFGS/).

NED is an extragalactic database that draws information from catalogues, surveys and the literature. NED is updated every 2-3 months which poses a problem for our work as some HIPASS velocities are not included. To ensure that we are not cross-checking HIPASS velocities against HIPASS and other HI detections, the source of all the NED velocities are checked and only those from optical or high-resolution HI radio observations are included. Since the NED data is taken from various sources, which may vary in quality, a second source of optical velocities is needed.

The 6dFGS, an on-going survey of the complete southern sky, is a uniform, high quality dataset that includes redshift measurements. Though 6dFGS is not complete, the sky coverage to date is very useful for our purposes as possible optical counterparts to HIPASS sources are included in their observing list. The data we use is not publicly available but are obtained directly from the 6dFGS team and is the latest available at the time. For 6dFGS sky coverage, see Fig. 1.

2.4 Magnitude Calibration

The SuperCOS data allows for magnitudes to be calculated as galaxies regardless of whether they are classified as a star or a galaxy (Hambly et al. 2001b). This would have been ideal for our purposes, however we are not able to use this data as discussed in Section 2.1.

Instead, we use SuperCOS images analysed using the SExtractor image analysis package. This enables us to choose parameters to calculate the area for the SEx ellipses that represents the total galaxy area and hence determine reliable magnitudes. However, this raises problems...
since the SExtractor magnitudes are not calibrated. To calibrate these magnitudes we use the relationship between SuperCOS data’s calibrated and SExtractor’s uncalibrated magnitudes.

2.4.1 Plate to plate differences

On examination of the images, we find there are variations in the background levels from plate to plate. We use the relationship between the SuperCOS data’s calibrated magnitudes and SExtractor’s uncalibrated magnitudes to find the zero-point calibration values. The SuperCOS data’s magnitude RMS (zero-point corrected) for Hopcat’s magnitude limit are $\sigma_{B_j}=0.08$, $\sigma_{R}=0.04$ and $\sigma_{I}=0.08$ mag (Hambly et al. 2001b). For each image the apparent magnitude of every object is plotted and by fitting and using the values from the best line of fit for each plot, a magnitude calibration value is determined, see Fig. 2. The magnitude range of the SuperCOS and SExtracted data has been limited for the line of best fit determination to exclude extremely faint or bright galaxies that may distort the results.

### Table 1. Matched galaxy magnitude calibration line of best fit values. The slope (m) and intercept (c) are used to calculate the Hopcat calibrated magnitudes.

| Band | m   | $\sigma_m$ | c    | $\sigma_c$ |
|------|-----|------------|------|------------|
| $B_j$ | 1.018 | 0.0002 | -0.438 | 0.0019 |
| $R$   | 0.887 | 0.0019 | 2.2 | 0.01 |
| $I$   | 0.926 | 0.0013 | 2.02 | 0.011 |

2.4.2 Matched Galaxies $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ Magnitude Calibration

Once the zero-point calibrations for the SuperCOS images are made, we further investigate the calibration for large galaxies by using magnitudes for optically matched galaxies only. We use the relationship between the calibrated SuperCOS data and the zero-point calibrated SExtractor magnitudes to determine calibrated magnitudes. The SuperCOS data is obtained using the ‘parent’ image deblend parameter to minimise the segmentation problem as previously discussed in Section 2.1. Parent image deblends minimise the number of SuperCOS ellipses calculated for a single object. However, on plotting the SuperCOS data and zero-point calibrated SExtractor magnitudes, we find outliers due to the segmentation problem. We remove these outliers and a line of best fit is determined, Fig. 3. Using the slope and intercept from the line of best fit and the zero-point calibrated $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ magnitudes are calculated.

The SuperCOS data calculates the $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ magnitudes from analysis of $B_j$, $R$ & $I$ images independently, hence the resulting SuperCOS ellipses for each band vary. However Hopcat’s SExtractor magnitudes are calculated using SEx ellipses from $B_j$ band images only. Using the resulting $B_j$ based SEx ellipses, the $R$ & $I$ magnitudes are calculated using SExtractor analysis of $R$ & $I$ images. As the area of the $B_j$ based SEx ellipses are generally larger than those of the $R$ & $I$ images, the resulting $R$ & $I$ magnitudes will be slightly biased.

To check our final calibration we compare the Hopcat calibrated magnitudes with published magnitudes from NED and we find a standard deviation of $\sigma = 0.6$ mag. This is smaller than the overall spread from our calibration calculations.
3 THE OPTICAL HICAT CATALOGUE, HOPCAT

Once the optical matches are agreed upon, the data for the Optical HICAT catalogue, HOPCAT, are collated. Calibration work is carried out and columns are added from calculations and information such as SuperCOSMOS plate numbers, galactic coordinate, $E(B-V)$ extinctions, galaxy names and morphology. For a full description of HOPCAT’s columns see Table 4.

The raw data from the interactive visual matching process, ADRIC, produce uncalibrated magnitudes. As discussed in Section 2.4 we use the relationship between the calibrated SuperCOS data and the uncalibrated SExtractor analysed image magnitudes for magnitude calibration. The HOPCAT calibrated magnitudes are based on SExtractor’s MAG_AUTO as described in Section 2.2.

The calculated columns are; optical positions in h:m:s format, HICAT-HOPCAT position separation $\Delta$ and axis ratio with the position separation and axis ratio calculated using the following equations:

$$\Delta = 2 \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{dec}}}{2}\right) + \cos (\text{dec}_{\text{radio}}) \cos (\text{dec}_{\text{opt}}) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ra}}}{2}\right)}$$  (1)

$$\text{axis ratio} = \frac{\text{semi major axis}}{\text{semi minor axis}}$$  (2)

where $\Delta_{\text{dec}}=\text{dec}_{\text{radio}}-\text{dec}_{\text{optical}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{ra}}=\text{ra}_{\text{radio}}-\text{ra}_{\text{optical}}$.

Added columns are SuperCOSMOS image plate numbers, galactic coordinates with $E(B-V)$ extinction values (Schlegel et al. 1998), and previously published optical galaxy names and morphologies (NED) for the matched galaxies.

The catalogue contains one line per HOPCAT entry. The full version of HOPCAT will be made available in Synergy, the on-line version of the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. A searchable version of the catalogue is available on-line at:

http://HIPASS.aus-vo.org

This database is searchable in a number of ways, including by position and velocity. Returned parameters can be individually selected, along with any of the image products, including detection spectra, on-sky moment maps and position-velocity moment maps. The format of the returned catalogue data can also be chosen, with both HTML and plain text available.

4 RESULTS

Starting with the HI radio detections from HICAT and using SuperCOSMOS images, SExtractor image analysis, and published and 6dFGS velocities for match confirmation, we find optical counterparts for 84% of the HI radio source objects. We use multiple match categories to identify not only various kinds of matches but the reliability level of the resulting magnitudes. In this section we detail the optically matched galaxy results, analyse the resulting parameters, discuss the optical properties and possible candidates for dark galaxies.


4.1 Matched galaxy results

Optical counterparts for 3618 are identified from the 4315 HiCat HI radio sources, including good guesses. Of these 3618 optical counterparts, 972 images contain multiple possible matches, but the best galaxy match is chosen. It is possible that for the multiple velocity matches, the original HiCat velocity could be the average velocity for the whole group. A breakdown of the various categories is listed in Table 3 and a plot of the various categories for the whole southern sky are displayed in Fig. 6. It can be seen that, like the sky-plot in Paper I, clear structure is apparent. Also it should be noted that most of the blank fields are in the galactic plane.

Investigating the results from the matching process can of great interest and can be used to validate our matching process. The relationship between the HI Radio Integrated Flux and the $B_j$ Apparent Magnitude illustrates whether there is any correlation between the HI radio and optical detections. Fig. 7 shows the correlation between these two independent variables. There is a clear trend that shows, the lower the optical magnitude, the larger the HI radio flux.

When comparing the different match categories, the good guesses tend to reside in the lower left-hand quadrant of the magnitude-flux relationship compared to the velocity matches, with mean values of velocity matched magnitudes=13.8 mag and good guess magnitudes=14.9 mag. The lower mean magnitude for the good guess categories is an expected trend as the smaller the flux, the fainter the magnitude and hence optical detections and velocity measurements become more difficult.

When we compare our results with the next largest HIPASS based study, the HIPASS Bright Galaxy Catalogue (BGC, Koribalski et al. 2004), several HiCat optical matches disagree with those from the BGC. Some differences are due to the choice of different galaxies from the same galaxy group. In HiCat members of a galaxy group are denoted by match categories 10, 11, 12 40, 41, and 42. Other differences in the choice of optical counterparts are due to the different methods used in choosing the matching optical galaxy. As explained in detail in Section 2.5 our method involves the visual identification of galaxies using SuperCOS images, SExtractor image analysis of the images to ascertain the galaxy’s properties and position, and NED and 6dFGS velocities for cross-checking with HIPASS velocities to validate the optical match. In the case of the BGC however, the optical counterparts were chosen using velocity and position values from NED with some ATCA high resolution HI follow-up observations.

4.2 HI Radio-Optical Position and Velocity Separations

The separations between the HiCat HI radio and optical positions for various match categories are shown in Figs. 8, 9, 10 and 11. The RMS position differences for the velocity matches are $\sigma_{\text{HIPCAT}_{RA}}=0.98$ and $\sigma_{\text{HIPCAT}_{Dec}}=0.94$ arcmin. In Paper II (Zwaan et al. 2004) fake synthetic point sources are produced to estimate position uncertainty of $\sigma_{\text{HIPASS}_{RA}}=0.78$ and $\sigma_{\text{HIPASS}_{Dec}}=0.54$ arcmin. When comparing the Paper II (Fig. 9) HiCat-synthetic (point) source separation plots with our Figs. 8, 9, 10 and 11, there is clearly a higher position separation. The difference between our results and Paper II’s is $\sigma_{RA}=0.59$ and $\sigma_{Dec}=0.77$ arcmin. We estimate this difference according to:

$$\sigma^2_{RA} = \sigma^2_{\text{HIPCAT}_{RA}} - \sigma^2_{\text{HIPASS}_{RA}}$$

This difference might be accounted for by the difference in the objects being compared, i.e. synthetic point sources compared with our extended objects. One reason for this uncertainty is the difference between the central position for the HI radio and optical detected objects. Optically detected galaxies tend to be symmetric with a central peak luminosity whereas HI detections are more likely to be asymmetric.

| Table 2. Match choice parameters for the optical counterparts for the HiCat HI detections |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Match Category Number | First Digit Description |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Velocity Match (60†, 61†, 62†) | Single velocity match with chosen SEx ellipse |
| Good Guess (50†, 51†, 52†) | No velocity for SEx ellipse choice |
| Multi Velocity Match (40†, 41†, 42†) | Only significant galaxy in the field |
| Blank Field (30) | Galaxy chosen using widths, flux or position (educated guess) |
| Multi Good Guess (10†, 11†, 12†) | Multiple galaxies within the field with: |
| No Guess (20) | > 1 matching velocity |
| | ≥ 2 group members Choose good/best galaxy including merging galaxies |
| | (see Fig. 5) |
| | No apparent galaxies in field |
| | No match |
| | No ellipse chosen |
| | No similar velocities and there are multiple galaxies in field |
| | Multiple galaxies within field with no velocity matches |
| | ≥ 2 group members Choose good/best galaxy Include merging galaxies |

† First digit is the category of the galaxy match. Second digit is the quality of the resulting magnitude value: 0 = good photometry and segmentation; 1 = poor photometry ; 2 = poor segmentation.
Table 3. Optical matching results. For category definitions, see Table 2.

| Match Category          | Category Number | Number of objects from HICAT | Category Percentage of HICAT |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Velocity Match          | 60, 61, 62      | 1798                         | 42                           |
| Good Guess              | 50, 51, 52      | 848                          | 20                           |
| Multi Velocity Match    | 40, 41, 42      | 714                          | 16                           |
| Blank Field             | 30              | 216                          | 5                            |
| No Guess                | 20              | 481                          | 11                           |
| Multi Good Guess        | 10, 11, 12      | 258                          | 6                            |

Figure 6. Sky plot of all optically matched galaxies. The insert panel shows the various match categories for all the optical matches. For a full description of the match categories see Table 2.

and the peak flux density may not be central. This difference in symmetry and position for a single object’s peak flux density and luminosity detected positions may cause the larger separation.

Fig. 8 shows the velocity matches that are verified by independent published velocities, Fig. 9 the good guesses, Fig. 10 the multiple velocity matches and Fig. 11 the multiple good guess matches. Note that there are fewer good guesses in the upper limits of the integrated flux. This is understandable since the high flux galaxies are more likely to have previously observed bright optical counterparts (Fig. 7) with measured velocities. This means the larger $\sigma$ for the good guesses is expected as this category matches mainly the fainter galaxies. We can deduce from the similar spread in the velocity matched and good guess plots that the good guesses are indeed acceptable optical counterparts for the HICAT HI radio sources.

The separation between HI radio and optical positions for the other two match categories, multiple velocity and multiple good guess matches, are shown in Figs. 10 and 11.
respectively. For Fig. 10, there is a larger spread for multiple velocity matches position separations than for the velocity matches. These matches are from images that contain multiple galaxies with similar velocities. The galaxy with the closest independent published velocity value is chosen, although the original HI detection position could be either the highest or the total velocity of the whole group. This effect may distort the position separation where we use velocity for matching. We note that the good guess multiple galaxy matches also have a larger $\sigma$ than the good guess matches (Fig. 11). We have high resolution 21cm radio observations for 40 of these velocity and good guess multiple galaxy matches and more observations are planned. From these extra observations we will be able to determine statistically the quality of these match categories.

For the optically matched galaxies, velocity matches (6’s), multiple velocity matches (4’s), good guesses (5’s) and multiple good guesses (1’s), any HI radio-optical galaxy separation greater than 7.5 arcmin are not considered a reliable match. Matches beyond this limit, corresponding to 0.6 per cent of the velocity matches, we use with caution.

When confirming the Hicat velocities using published and 6dFGS velocities, some velocity difference cut-off is needed where galaxies with velocities greater than the cut-off are not considered a velocity confirmed optical match. Fig. 12 shows the distribution of galaxies that have velocity matched confirmation. From this we have determined the cut off value for confirmed velocity matches as 400 km s$^{-1}$ corresponding to 5$\sigma$. The majority of velocity confirmed optical matches have a velocity difference of $< 100$ km s$^{-1}$.

4.3 Candidate Dark Galaxies

As discussed in Section 1 the Hicat data may reveal whether isolated dark galaxy exist or not. From our matching process 216 are classed as blank fields. These fields contain no visible optical galaxies. The selection criteria used to search for dark
galaxy candidates are extinction cut-off and the blank field category. 

**HOPCAT** contains $E(B - V)$ extinction values but as we are using $B_{1}$ images, a correction must be applied, $A_{B_{1}} = 4.035 \times E(B - V)$ (Schlegel et al., 1998). We compare the $A_{B_{1}}$ extinction distribution for all the **HOPCAT** galaxies and the blank field category (Fig. 13), and take an $A_{B_{1}}$ extinction cut at 1 mag as, beyond this extinction, optically faint galaxies will be dust obscured. A total of 3692 galaxies have an $A_{B_{1}}$ extinction $< 1$ mag, with only 13 galaxies also in the blank field category. From these, 11 are found to be in over-crowded fields. One object, HIPASSJ1351-47, on close inspection does have a faint optical counterpart. This object is listed in Banks et al. (1999) as a new galaxy in the Centaurus A group. The final dark galaxy candidate is not a real HI detection. As described in Zwaan et al. (2004), many **HICAT** detections have been re-observed with the Parkes Narrow band system to assess the reliability of **HICAT**. Marginal sources confirmed as false detections were removed from the catalogue, however not all sources were re-observed. This final dark galaxy candidate, HIPASSJ1946-48, has recently been confirmed as a false detection.

Our conclusion is that from the 4315 HI radio detections in **HICAT**, of which 3692 galaxies have an $A_{B_{1}}$ extinction $< 1$ mag, no isolated dark galaxies have been found.

### 4.4 Mass-to-light ratio.

We investigate the mass-to-light ratio using the HI mass and $B_{1}$ band luminosity ratio for optically matched **HICAT** HI radio sources. The original $B_{1}$ apparent magnitudes are extinction corrected and have an $A_{B_{1}}$ extinction $< 1$ mag (Fig. 14). The majority of these galaxies have mass-to-light ratios less than $5 \text{M}_{\odot}/\text{L}_{\odot}$. The number of galaxies rapidly decreases with increasing mass-to-light ratio up to $13 \text{M}_{\odot}/\text{L}_{\odot}$. The one galaxy with an higher mass-to-light ratio, HIPASSJ1227+01 at $24.5 \text{M}_{\odot}/\text{L}_{\odot}$, is a LSB galaxy where the image analysis process is not able to calculate a SEx ellipse that represents the total area of the galaxy, hence the luminosity is underestimated. This object, was first discovered by Giovanelli & Haynes (1989) and has a measured mass-to-light ratio of $10.3 \text{M}_{\text{HI}}/\text{L}_{\text{B}}$ in Salzer et al. (1991).
In particular, users are reminded of the uncertainties associated with the various 2 digit match categories. These define the choice of the optical match; velocity match (6’s), good guess (5’s) etc and the quality of the match; good quality match (0), poor photometry (1), and poor segmentation (2). Users are also encouraged to be familiar with the full processing of Hipass data (Barnes et al. 2001; Meyer et al. 2004).
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