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The rapid progress of state-of-the-art carbon-based metals as a catalyst is playing a central role in the research area of chemical and materials engineering for effective visible-light-induced catalytic applications. Numerous admirable catalysts have been fabricated, but significant challenges persist to lower the cost and increase the action of catalysts. The development of carbon-based nanostructured materials (i.e., activated carbon, carbon nitride, graphite, fullerenes, carbon nanotubes, diamond, graphene, etc.) represents an admirable substitute to out-of-date catalysts. Significant efforts have been made by researchers toward the improvement of various carbon-based metal nanostructures as catalysts. Moreover, incredible development has been achieved in several fields of catalysis, such as visible-light-induced catalysis, electrochemical performance, energy storage, and conversion, etc. This review gives an overview of the up-to-date developments in the strategy of design and fabrication of carbon-based metal nanostructures as photo-catalysts by means of several methods within the green approach, including chemical synthesis, in situ growth, solution mixing, and hydrothermal approaches. Moreover, the photocatalytic effects of the resulting carbon-based nanostructure classifications are similarly deliberated relative to their eco-friendly applications, such as photocatalytic degradation of organic dye pollutants.

1. Introduction

The rapid upsurge in the world's population together with the speedy progress of different kinds of factories and industries has led to an energy crisis and environmental pollution. Amongst these, environmental pollution has become a serious concern around the globe, intimidating both industrialized and developing countries.\(^1\)\(^2\) Amongst the world's topmost eco-friendly concerns, water pollution is a foremost concern to human beings and as well as for aquatic life. Water is one of the most plentiful natural resources on Earth and covers about 70% of the Earth's surface, but only a small amount (3%) of water is in a drinkable form for human use.\(^3\) In the framework of the development of sustainable materials, carbon is the most plentiful component on the planet. Nature employs this component joined with hydrogen to deliver a source for the storage of renewable energy.\(^4\)\(^5\) Similarly, carbon-based schemes are progressively carrying out the most important part in incipient conversion technologies for renewable energy sources, such as energy storage devices, biofuels, catalysis, CO\(_2\) reduction and photocatalysis, etc.\(^6\)\(^7\) Carbon and carbon-based nanocomposites are broadly utilized in the cleansing of water, in the separation of gases, and as additives in soil. Moreover, the significance and future prospects of carbon-based nanostructured materials has been recognized in the present era by the highest and most renowned scientific awards, including the 1996 Nobel Prize in Chemistry (fullerenes), the Kavli Prize in
Nanoscale in the year 2008 (carbon nanotubes), and the Nobel Prize in Physics (graphene) in the year 2010. As a significant focus of attention in the research area of carbon-based nanostructured materials, their possible utilization has exhibited speedy progress and signifies an imperative research topic in up-to-date research reports.9,10

For a good influence, photocatalysts with considerable photon capturing nature, slow rate of recombination of photo-generated charge carriers and consumption of fast charge throughout redox reactions are the major requirement for complete degradation of organic model pollutants (dyes).11,12 The requirement of a probable photocatalyst for bulk scale and industrial requirements has led to the consideration of carbon, as it is cost-effective, eco-friendly and sustainable. Carbon has been extensively utilized in several forms in applications extending from solar devices to optical purposes, owing to its admirable physicochemical and electrochemical properties.13–17 Graphene, carbon nanotubes, conducting polymers and graphitic carbon nitride (g-C3N4) have been thoroughly explored in this field.18–20

During the past few years, the focus of research has been on heterogeneous photocatalysis, which has been investigated through the development of innovative photo-based catalysts, and reported to be effective under visible light irradiation and appropriate for organic combination.21 A special effect, called the plasmon effect, has been predicted in a new kind of effective metal nanostructured material that is effective in collecting photon energy for chemical-based fabrication, owing to their strong absorption in a wide range of the visible-light spectrum.22–26 The distinctive aspect of the plasmonic nanoparticles is their robust interface with incident photons by excitation of localized surface plasmon resonance. The photon energy of the incident photons can be increased by means of the electrons in the conduction band from the metal. The photo-based properties of the plasmon resonance depend on the size and morphology of the nanoparticles.27 This effective factor could possibly be applied for visible-light-induced photocatalysis to improve the photocatalytic performance in water splitting or degradation of organic model pollutants.28–30 In the year 2015–16, gold (Au) and AgNPs on graphene sheets displayed improved photocatalytic performance.31,32 Subsequently, metal NPs as both a photon absorber and a catalytic site act as potential materials that can be used for improved photocatalysis. This allows the coupling of photon collection and catalytic action, significantly extending the potential utilization of metal nanoparticles in photocatalysis.33 The environmental remediation applications of carbonaceous nanomaterials summarized in this review are both proactive (avoiding environmental degradation, refining public health) and retroactive (remediation, wastewater reuse, pollutant conversion).34 We start with a brief summary of carbonaceous nanomaterials and their comparable properties. We then review the foremost applications of carbon-based nanostructured materials in the field of photocatalysis (degradation of organic model pollutants).

It is well known that carbon-based nanostructures have outstanding properties in respect to their use in photo-based applications, including as visible light induced capacitive materials, and in hydrogen production, CO2 reduction water splitting, photo-electrochemical reactions, organic catalysts and photo-degradation of organic dyes. The attractive attributes of carbon-based materials include low cost, environmental friendliness, good chemical and thermal stability, ease of processing, and low framework density (Table 1).

In this review article, we discuss the advantageous appearance of carbon and its several forms as a conducting support structure in photocatalysis. The existing state of this precise research area, the challenges that persist, and future prospects are also presented. Carbon-based metal nanocomposites as a photocatalyst have not been broadly studied in photocatalytic processes, such as water splitting and organic model dye pollutant degradation, owing to the enormous challenges in their development. In conclusion, we deliver some explanation on the viewpoint, perspectives and future bearings of this impressive new research field.

2. Carbon-based nanostructured materials: concepts and properties

Carbon-based nanostructured materials have been examined and in use since the second half of the previous century as a catalytic support material in an extensive variety of chemical reactions used in manufacturing industry.35 Activated carbon, graphite, and carbon black are the ones that have been used most regularly, followed in a smaller amount by glassy-based carbon, pyrolytic-based carbon and polymer-based carbon.36 Numerous advantages of carbon-based materials have been stated and their achievement has been enlightened in heterogeneous catalytic reactions, amongst which the following can be recorded: (i) chemical steadiness in both acid or basic medium, (ii) less corrosion capability, (iii) strong thermal constancy, (iv) hydrophobic behavior, (v) retrieval from the reaction mixture, and (vi) lower price.37–39

Carbon plays an important part in the Earth’s developments. It is able to form sturdy promises in diverse forms. The diamond and graphitic materials are the distinctive allotropes of carbon in nature. The C–C sp3 hybridization makes diamond the stiffest natural material, whereas graphite is a compact lubricant owing to the moveable interlamellar coupling between the sheets in the structure. During the last three decades, a lot of carbon-based nanostructures have been discovered, including fullerene, CNTs, graphene, mesoporous carbon, and so on. These carbon-based nanostructured materials display good prospects in the field of water treatment using visible light irradiation.40–42

2.1. Fullerene

Fullerene, commonly called as Buckminsterfullerene (C60), was the first revealed fullerene molecule in the carbon family. Fullerenes are now well-known owing to their notable chemical and physical properties due to their delocalized conjugated structure.43 Their structure, with a core shaped like a “bucky onion”, was self-sufficiently recognized by separate investigators in the early 1980s.44 The finding of fullerenes significantly
The typical structure of a fullerene molecule has a cage-like fused-ring structure which is similar to a soccer ball.\(^5^,\text{66}\) Fullerenes comprise upwards of 20 carbon hexagons and 12 carbon pentagons with a bond formed laterally along each polygon edge. The bond between two hexagons could be considered a “double bond” and is shorter than the bond between a hexagon and a pentagon. The bond between two hexagons can be considered a double bond. The fullerenes are insoluble in water and moderately soluble in aromatic solvents such as toluene. The chemical reactivity of \(\text{C}_{60}\) is that it can work together and interchange some bonds for sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\) hybridization states. The CNTs display fascinating thermal and electronic characteristics, which makes them a capable material for advanced energy sources.\(^5^,\text{66}\)

The inimitable chemical and physical properties of these novel forms of carbon stimulated many scientists to expect their applicable utilization. The \(\text{C}_{60}\) carbon clusters are able to work as electron acceptors, which are grounded on the conjugated polymer and fullerene offering high prospects as renewable energy sources.\(^5^,\text{66}\)

2.2. Carbon nanotubes

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are a characteristic one-dimensional structure of carbon allotrope. Since their discovery in 1991, CNTs have been a hot topic in nanostructured material research, and they have received extensive attention both at the academic and industrial level owing to their notable characteristics and potential utilization in a wide variety of application areas.\(^7^,\text{8}\) CNTs display advanced tensile strength as compared to steel and their strength arises from the sp\(^3\) bonds, which form between the specific carbon atoms. These bonds are more robust than the sp\(^2\) bonds that are initiated in the structure of diamond. At high pressure, the nanotubes are able to bond together and interchange some bonds for sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\) hybridization states. The CNTs display fascinating thermal and electronic characteristics, which makes them a capable material that can be utilized in water treatment.\(^7^3\) For example, CNTs can play a role as either semiconducting or metallic materials, and this is completely reliant on their surface structures, and they also show excellent electron conductance.\(^7^2\) This is because the electrical properties of CNTs can increase the lifetime of the photogenerated charge carriers owing to the electron transfer from the conduction band of TiO\(_2\) to the structure of carbon, which is able to act as an effective electron sink. CNTs can also advance the photocatalytic performance of the TiO\(_2\) photocatalyst by providing an improved surface area (200 to 400 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)).\(^7^3\) The contact between TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles and CNTs allows rapid electron transfer from the conducting band of TiO\(_2\) to the CNT surface owing to their lower Fermi level.\(^7^4\)

2.3. Graphene

Graphene is a single atom thick, two-dimensional (2D) carbon-based structure that has attracted significant attention in the research community. Graphene is a sheet-like structured material that is composed of a thin layer of hybridized arrangements of carbon atoms (sp\(^3\)) in the structure of a honeycomb crystal matrix.\(^7^6,\text{77},\text{78}\) This material appeared to have potential as an innovative nanostructure for a variety of interesting purposes. The sheet-like structure of graphene...
revealed special photonic, electronic, and mechanical properties, such as fast charge-carrier mobility of 250 000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at RT, good thermal conductivity of 5000 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, an exceptional conductivity of up to 6000 S cm⁻¹, and a wide and precise surface area of ~2630 m² g⁻¹.⁴⁸,⁴⁹ Moreover, the sheet-like structure of graphene makes it extremely transparent, with an absorption ability of <2.3% toward visible light, and it has a Young’s modulus of 1 TPa with a significant strength of ~130 GPa.⁷⁵ Accordingly, its inimitable structural features and excellent properties have fascinated massive research attention in both the scientific and engineering fields.

The state-of-the-art catalytic and optoelectronic properties of the sheet-like structure of graphene based on hybridization with metal nanoparticles have received consideration. This is primarily owing to the sp² characteristic of the carbon-bonds in the sheet-like structure of graphene, which permits electron delocalization.⁷⁷,⁷⁸ A graphene-sheet displays exceptional electronic conduction, and this passage of electrons through the sheet-like structure of graphene could be further enhanced by the integration of several metal nanoparticles (Fig. 2).⁷⁸,⁷⁹

Amongst several applications of graphene sheets, photocatalysis is one of the hopeful approaches to fabricate metal nanoparticle-decorated graphene nanostructures for water treatment applications.⁸⁰,⁸¹ It is recognized that heterogeneous photocatalysis is an environmentally friendly and capable method that has established rising consideration throughout the research community. In the past few decades, intriguing developments have been achieved in the development of innovative metal nanoparticle-based photocatalysts and their possible utilization in many areas, such as H₂ generation, water splitting, CO₂ reduction and eco-friendly remediation.⁸²–⁸⁵

According to the present studies, it is very clear that metal-based graphene photocatalysts play a significant role in attaining exceedingly effective photocatalysis developments. However, an excessive number of photocatalysts display low quantum yield, applicable rate of visible light and stability, which has become an important challenge in developing metal-based photocatalysts for real utilization.⁸⁶ Usefully, the amalgamation of graphene with metal nanoparticles delivers a simplistic approach to improve their performance.

2.4. Graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄)

Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) is a polymeric material that contains carbon C, nitrogen N, and some contamination of H atoms, linked by tris-triazine-based patterns. In association with the superiority of carbon materials, it has electron rich properties, primitive surface functionalities and bonding themes due to the existence of N and H atoms.⁸⁷ It is therefore observed as a probable choice to counterpart carbon-based utilization. g-C₃N₄ is not only the utmost constant allotrope of carbon nitrides under ambient atmosphere, but it also displays wide surface area properties that are striking for several applications, together with catalysis, owing to the existence of primary surface sites.⁸⁸ The idyllic g-C₃N₄ uniquely contains an assemblage of C-N bonds deprived of electron localization in the π state.⁸⁷ g-C₃N₄ is fabricated by a polycondensation process of cyanamide, which contains an insignificant amount of hydrogen in the form of primary and secondary amine groups on the terminating edges. The presence of hydrogen specifies that the actual g-C₃N₄ is moderately reduced and that a number of surface defects occur, which could be beneficial in catalysis, for instance, to increase electron delocalization on the surface, etc.⁸⁷ Furthermore, its high thermal stability allows the material to function either in liquid or gaseous surroundings and at raised temperatures potentiating its extensive utilization in heterogeneous catalysis.⁸⁷ The use of a sheet-like structure of g-C₃N₄ with a smaller band gap (E₉ ~ 2.7 eV) has attracted significant interest in recent years due to its metal-free nature,
suitable visible-light activity, stimulating electronic band structure, and high physicochemical stability. As a result, the fabrication of g-C3N4 as a photocatalyst is low-cost and up-front. Certainly, it has been stated that g-C3N4 could be a useful catalyst for several reactions, including CO2 activation, splitting, photo-reduction of CO2, purification of organic contaminants, organic catalytic synthesis, and fuel cells is more competent and operative.

Fig. 3 displays the fundamental mechanism of g-C3N4 in photocatalysis. Due to its visible-light response by means of a band gap of ~2.7 eV, the energy positions of the CB and VB are at ~1.1 and 1.6 eV via a typical hydrogen electrode. Owing to these properties of g-C3N4, the utilization of g-C3N4 in water splitting, photo-reduction of CO2, purification of organic contaminants, organic catalytic synthesis, and fuel cells is more competent and operative.

3. Fabrication methods of carbon-based metal nanocomposites

The fabrication of carbon-based graphitic-carbon nitride is performed using precursors that are reactive, nitrogen-rich and free of oxygen components. The precursors comprise pre-bonded C–N core structures, such as triazine and heptazine derivatives, most of which are unstable, difficult to obtain and highly volatile. The fabrication of single-phase sp3-hybridized carbon nitrides is a difficult task owing to their low thermodynamic stability. Unexpectedly, it appears that the defect-induced materials are much more valued than the idyllic one, particularly for catalysis, which involves surface defects. Consequently, the combination of g-C3N4 with defects is a fascinating topic, when the fabricated material is utilized in catalysis.

The pathways of condensation from cyanamide to dicyanamide and from carbon/nitrogen rich constituents are modest and good synthetic routes to produce slightly defective polymeric materials. The precursor of cyanamide is finally converted to g-C3N4 at 550 °C, as proven from the reported literature. The fabrication process can be performed either in an inert atmosphere (e.g., N2, Ar) or in an air atmosphere with no substantial variations in the bulk structure, but modifications in the product yield, grade of condensation and specifically in the surface properties can be achieved.

In recent times, two-dimensional materials, sheet-like structures of graphene, graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C3N4), transition metals and hexagonal arrangements of boron nitride have displayed admirable properties that have been extensively utilized in electronic devices, chemical sensing, energy generation and eco-friendly remediation. In particular, g-C3N4 has fascinated rigorous consideration for its potential use in photocatalysis as a metal-free polymeric semiconductor with units of tri-s-triazine. g-C3N4 is an intermediate band gap semiconductor with a visible-light response up to (~460 nm). This appropriate band gap with low-cost and simple fabrication, good chemical stability, and eco-friendly features is particularly appropriate for utilization in organic pollutant degradation, such as reduction of CO2, and organic and inorganic synthesis under visible light irradiation.

Usually, the incorporation/intercalation of metallic impurities results in supplementary binding processes, which gives the doped-system inimitable photocatalytic properties by decreasing the value of the band gap and improving the visible-light absorption. In order to introduce metal ions into the context of carbon nitrides, a salt of equivalent solubility is constantly mixed with the g-C3N4 precursor. In this manner, a metal will be doped into the g-C3N4 framework through a thermal condensation procedure (Table 2).

Separate from the doping of alkali-metals, the doping of other metals, such as Pd, Cu, Fe, W, Zr, and so forth, has also been generally applied to alter the electronic and optical properties of g-C3N4. The doping of metals could increase the absorption of light, decrease the band gap, increase the mobility of charge carriers, and extend the time period of charge carriers, which are all essential for noticeable photocatalytic performance. As a matter of fact, g-C3N4 can simply capture metal cations owing to the robust exchanges between the
cations and the negatively charged atoms of nitrogen attributed to the lone pairs of electrons on the nitrogen edges of g-C₃N₄. Noble metals, such as platinum (Pt) and palladium (Pd), have been utilized to decorate the sheet-like structure of g-C₃N₄ with enhanced transporter mobility, improved separation of electron hole pairs, and narrowing of the band gap values. This article found and reported that the electronic and optical properties of g-C₃N₄ could be effortlessly adjusted by modification with metal atoms. The reported literature specified that metal-incorporation would improve the mobility of electrons, narrowing the bandgap value, thus promoting improved absorption and photocatalytic performance under visible-light irradiation.

Metal nanoparticles (M-NPs) as photocatalysts have attracted recent attention owing to their robust visible and UV light absorption. The photonic energy is captivated by the conduction electrons from the metal and the strong electric fields nearby, and twisted by the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) behavior, which has a significant effect of the activation of the molecules on the surface of the metal nanoparticles, and simplifies chemical alteration. Several synthesis routes of organic-based compounds utilize catalysts at higher temperatures to attain advanced competences. However, it will be specifically valued to initiate these reactions by visible-light illumination at ambient temperature, which will avoid unfavorable byproducts fabricated at higher temperatures. In the

### Table 2  Metal-g-C₃N₄ based photocatalysts and their physiochemical properties, in addition to their photocatalytic performances

| Doping element | Precursor | Synthesis | Band gap $E_g$ (eV) | Application | Improved photocatalytic performance |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Fe             | Ferric chloride (Fe) with melamine (CN) | Impregnation | 2.56 | Degradation of RhB dye | 4–5 times improved compared to bare g-C₃N₄ nano-sheets |
| Cu             | CuCl₂ (Cu) with melamine (CN) | Thermal condensation | 2.25 | Degradation of MO dye | 90.2% degradation and 19.7% degradation (1 h) |
| Ce             | Ce (SO₄)₂·4H₂O(Ce) with melamine (CN) | Annealing | 2.57 | Degradation of RhB dye | 0.015 min⁻¹/0.0073 min⁻¹ (2.1 times) |
| Co             | CoPc (Co) with melamine (CN) | Thermal condensation | 2.62 | H₂ evolution | 28 mol h⁻¹/9.5 mol h⁻¹ (3 times) |
| Eu             | Eu (NO₃)³ (Eu) with melamine (CN) | Thermal condensation | 2.41 | Degradation of MB dye | 0.0121 min⁻¹/0.0058 min⁻¹ (2.1 times) |
| Mo             | (NH₄)₂MoO₄·3Na·4H₂O (Mo) with melamine (CN) | Thermal condensation | 1.45 | CO₂ reduction | CO yield 887 mol g⁻¹ |
| Zr             | Zirconium nitrate (Zr) with urea (CN) | Thermal condensation | 2.55 | Degradation of RhB dye | 100% degradation/70% degradation (110 min) |
| Au             | Chlorauric acid [HAuCl₄·3H₂O] with melamine (CN) | Thermal polycondensation method | 2.60 | Degradation of RhB dye | 100% degradation/25% degradation (120 min) |
| Ag             | Silver nitrate (AgNO₃) with urea (CN) | Single-strain biofilm fabrication | 2.40 | Degradation of RhB and MB dye | 100% MB degradation |

**Fig. 4** Schematic displaying the decoration of the sheet-like structure of graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) with different metal nanoparticles.
past, the area of heterogeneous structure-based photocatalysis has developed rapidly with the progress of novel photocatalysts that have shown to work under visible-light irradiation. Furthermore, visible-light (sunlight) has attained much consideration, as it is a clean and plentiful source of energy. Solar light is a mixture of 5% UV light (wavelength 200–400 nm), 43% visible light (wavelength 400–800 nm), and 52% infrared (wavelength > 400 nm) energy that revealed higher performance with illumination in the solar spectrum, which is useful in photocatalysis.112

In brief, metal ions were broadly applied as dopants on a sheet-like structure of g-C3N4. Usually, the incorporation of metal ions could result in the creation of new energy levels in the band-gap, which extends the visible light response and possibly reduces the rate of recombination for electron–hole charges. Thus, several studies based on metal and/or transition metal-doped g-C3N4 have been reported (Fig. 4).

4. Role of carbon-based metal nanocomposites as catalysts under visible light irradiation

Metal nanoparticles as a catalyst normally suffer from dissolution, sintering, and agglomeration issues. To lessen their catalyst degradation and expand their catalytic action and robustness, carbon-based metal NPs as a catalyst have been extensively established.113 A series of different carbon materials, such as activated carbon, porous carbon, carbon nanotubes, graphene and graphitic-carbon nitride, could possibly be used as catalyst supports, because of their precise features including:114

(i) Immense stability in both acidic and basic media.
(ii) Large surface area and good dispersion as compared to other conventional catalyst supports (e.g., alumina, silica).
(iii) Potential to regulate the porosity and surface chemistry, and being preferred for the syntheses of metal materials and retrieval by burning of carbon/carbon-based materials.

Carbon based materials, especially carbon-based metal nanostructures, have fascinated consideration in the area of catalysis under visible-light irradiation owing to their intriguing properties and good tunability that can be modified by altering their morphology and compositions.115 Until now, many carbon-based photocatalysts with dissimilar structures and arrangements have been developed and a variety of well-designed fabrication approaches have been established.

4.1. Activated carbon (AC)

AC is a recognized highly porous carbonaceous material that is extensively utilized as an adsorbent to eliminate several organic compounds owing to its wide surface area, good physicochemical stability and simple fabrication process.116,117 Furthermore, AC can also be used as an effective support material for various metal-based nanocomposites as catalysts.118 The synergistic effects between the metal and AC can further improve the photocatalytic performance. In general, the active roles that AC can play in a variety of photocatalyst systems are as follows:

(i) The ultrahigh surface area of AC makes the composite a photocatalyst with good capability to capture organic molecules in water as well as from air.
(ii) There is a high concentration of organic compounds around the surface of the photocatalyst, which is critical for the improvement of photo-based catalytic reaction sites.
(iii) The intermediates generated after photocatalysis can be efficiently adsorbed by AC for the next degradation cycle, so that the obtainability of the photocatalyst can be further enhanced.
(iv) The combination of AC with metal nanoparticles can moderately reduce the charge recombination of the photon-generated electron hole pairs, so that the photocatalytic performance of the nanocomposite system can be enhanced.

Accordingly, AC has been considered as a prospective support material for the design and combination of novel metal-based photocatalysts, and their different properties have been fabricated through various strategies.

4.2. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs)

CNTs are distinctive one-dimensional (1D) carbon nanostructures that exhibit the features of wide surface area, fascinating optical and electronic properties, inimitable physicochemical properties, and immense aspect ratio. Based on their special features, CNTs are potential candidates for the design and preparation of innovative photocatalysts.119 Several literature studies have been carried out on the strategy of preparing CNT-based metal nanocomposites as a catalyst, owing to the simple fabrication process and comparatively low-cost.120 Overall, CNTs primarily act as a support for several semiconductors to form composite photocatalysts. CNTs have attracted significant consideration owing to their distinct structure and high mechanical strength, which makes them potential candidates for innovative composite materials. They can be either semiconducting, semi-metallic or metallic, reliant on the helicity and the diameter of the tube structure.121 According to their shape and structure, CNTs are able to conduct electricity owing to the delocalization of the electrons of the pi bond. In addition, researchers have shown that CNTs are effective adsorbents owing to their bulky precise surface area, hollow and layered structures and the existence of pi bond electrons on the surface. Above and beyond that, more active sites could be formed on the nanotubes. Therefore, CNTs could be used as a useful material for the successful degradation of organic dyes using a CNT-based metal nanocomposite.122

When CNT-based metal nanostructures are irradiated with photons that have energy equivalent to or higher than the value of the band gap energy, the incident photons excite the valence band (VB) electrons across the conduction band (CB), which leaves holes behind in the valence band. Accordingly, there must be at least two reactions existing at the same time: (a) oxidation from photogenerated holes, and (b) reduction from photogenerated electrons.123 The degradation rate could be improved by suppressing the rate of recombination of electron–hole pairs, which prevents particle accumulation and improves...
the adsorption capacity, as this is a significant progression in photocatalysis. In order to expand the photocatalytic efficiency, numerous procedures have been explored. These include:

(i) Improving the surface area of the used metal decoration on the CNT surface.
(ii) Creation of defects in the structures to induce space-chargerecombination and consequently lessen the charge recombination rate.
(iii) Variation in the CNT with metal nanostructures to prevent agglomeration.

4.3. Graphene

Graphene is a broadly considered two-dimensional (2D) carbon-based material with excessive potential for plentiful utilization. Primarily, graphene has an inimitable layered structure containing an sp² bonded arrangement of carbon atoms in a hexagonal lattice, and the one-atom-thick carbon layer structure bestows it with desirable characteristics, such as admirable mechanical properties, captivating heat and electron conductivity, broad surface area, and robust physicochemical stability. The state-of-the-art catalytic and photon-based properties of the sheet-like structure of graphene based on hybridization with metal nanoparticles have attracted consideration. This is primarily owing to the sp² hybridization structure of the carbon, which permits electron delocalization. Moreover, graphene is a semimetal with a minor degree of overlap between the VB and CB which makes it a potential candidate for application in photocatalysis.

Metals, like Au, Ag, Pd and Pt and combinations of these metals or with other carbon-based structures have also been evaluated for probable visible light-induced applications. The noble metal Au and Ag nanoparticles have fascinated intense consideration because of the possibility to advance their photocatalytic performance under visible light illumination, which provides an additional electron trap and suppresses the rate of recombination by increasing the interfacial charge transfer. Consequently, it has been determined that Au and Ag nanoparticles are capable of capturing and scattering photons with a comparatively high excitation cross-section in the visible light region. Subsequently, metal NPs are appropriate for improving the photo-based properties of carbon-based materials, such as graphene, which can be effectively used for photocatalysis.

Table 3 lists the performances of newly fabricated novel plasmonic nanocomposite with sheets of graphene as a support material. Several studies have preferred different kinds of organic pollutant dyes because the degradation procedure can be observed merely through the changes in the photoabsorption of the degrading solution.

4.4. Graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄)

Recently, in the development of catalysts, graphitic-carbon nitride has become a novel research topic. Unlike out-of-date metal semiconductors, g-C₃N₄ is a polymeric semiconductor that contains carbon and nitrogen elements and has a graphite-like structure.
like sheet/layered structure.\textsuperscript{132} This unique structure gives g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} fascinating properties, such as a narrow bandgap, good thermal stability, and facile fabrication, all of which make it a potential candidate for the creation of highly effective photocatalysts.\textsuperscript{133} Wang \textit{et al.} initially employed this material for the visible-light photocatalytic production of H\textsubscript{2}, and many g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}-based nanocomposites have been established.\textsuperscript{134} Zhang \textit{et al.} described a facile and low-cost fabrication process to expand the photocatalytic degradation performance of g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}. They utilized sacrificial templates to produce pores inside the g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} to increase its surface area and porosity, so that the mass transfer capability and photocatalytic performance of the engineered g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} could be further upgraded.\textsuperscript{135}

Overall, it is recognized that the idyllic bandgap value of a nanocomposite must be \textasciitilde 2.0 eV, for which it is possible to harvest sufficient visible light to create the necessary electrons and holes with strong driving forces for photocatalytic reactions.\textsuperscript{136,137} However, the bandgap value of g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} is \textasciitilde 2.7 eV, which makes it only able to absorb solar light at wavelengths below 460 nm. Consequently, in order to further improve the photon harvesting capability of g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}, numerous band-gap approaches, together with atom-level and molecular-level doping, have been broadly attempted to attain improved photocatalytic performance (Fig. 5).\textsuperscript{138,139}

5. Fundamentals of carbon-based nanostructures in photocatalysis

The carbon-based nano-structures have captivating properties, which is attributed to the recognition of several novel skills in the recent past.\textsuperscript{140} One of the utmost significant properties that makes them striking for photocatalytic utilization is their excellent stability in both acidic and basic conditions. This property makes their recovery easy and they can be recycled. This also makes them ecologically benign and perfect for utilization as a support material for catalysts. The integration of metal nanoparticles into carbon-based supports for photocatalysts serves dual purposes. On the one hand, it reduces the charge recombination rate of electron hole pairs, and on the other hand, it delivers a hydrophobic environment on the surface of the photocatalyst for localizing reactants close to the active sites.\textsuperscript{142} Amongst several carbon-based nanostructures, carbon nanotubes, graphene and graphitic-carbon nitride have exhibited good catalytic effectiveness. This is primarily ascribed to their wide-ranging specific surface areas and high electronic movement.\textsuperscript{141} Graphene and carbon nanotubes have been extensively examined for the production of metal nano-composites as photocatalysts. The exceptional optical, electrical, and mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes could possibly expand the photo response of semiconductor photocatalysts.

5.1. Activated carbon as a support material for photocatalysis

Generally, alumina, zeolite, clays, silica, and activated carbon are used as a support material for photocatalysts. Among these, activated carbon has a porous amorphous structure by means of permeability in the macro and microporous regime.\textsuperscript{146}

Some major necessities for a photocatalyst support are:

(i) Chemical interaction with the photocatalyst without affecting the photoactivity.

(ii) Strong photostability.

(iii) Strong chemical stability.

(iv) Economical and physical robustness.

Activated carbon as a support material plays an important role in the photocatalytic mechanism, due to the interaction between the support and the photocatalyst. The activated carbon is inert in nature, low-cost, and can be mass-produced by facile methods, making it an attractive finding with great profitable potential.\textsuperscript{140,141}

5.2. Graphene as a support material for photocatalysis

Graphene has captivated the entire world with its fascinating properties since its discovery in 2004 by the great scientist Geim and coworkers.\textsuperscript{142} By electronic means, graphene is a semi-metal with a zero band-gap owing to the closely connected conduction band and valence band in the Brillouin zone, along with the sp\textsuperscript{2} hybridized carbon network. Graphene exhibits the highest carrier mobility at room temperature, as well as high optical absorptivity (2.3%), good thermal conductivity, and immense mechanical strength.\textsuperscript{143} These features make graphene sheets a potential photocatalyst support. The broad contact interface and strong interaction between the photocatalyst and graphene will permit effective transfer of electrons in photocatalytic composite materials. Remarkably, graphene can be made into an n-type or p-type semiconductor through functionalization. The essential mechanism of metal-graphene supported photocatalysis is based on the inimitable properties of the 2D sheet-like structure of graphene. Its chemical, physical, and mechanical properties deliver ideal performance for any catalytic reaction.\textsuperscript{143,144} The photocatalytic utilization of a few layers of graphene is of increasing consideration in present research. Aside from its large surface area, the immense adsorption capacity and better biocompatibility of graphene make it an ideal support material for anchoring metal nanoparticles and use in photocatalysis.\textsuperscript{145} By means of the deposition of metal nanoparticles onto the surface of a sheet-like structure of graphene, attractive properties arise owing to exchanges among the specific components, which have been oppressed for photocatalytic applications.\textsuperscript{141,145} The sheet-like structure of graphene has received considerable consideration in photocatalysis in comparison to other carbon-based materials. These photocatalysis systems can achieve the photo-degradation of ecologically destructive organic dyes, hydrogen evolution, and the photo-synthesis of suitable chemicals.\textsuperscript{146} The basic mechanisms of semiconductor-based photocatalysis include photochemical progression of visible-light absorption, separation, electron–hole pair generation, and free charge carrier-induced redox reactions.\textsuperscript{147}

The photocatalytic degradation of colorful and colorless organic effluents or toxins occurs as soon as the catalytic metal nanoparticles are irradiated with photons whose photonic
energy is equal to or greater than the band gap energy. An electron (e–cb) is energized from the valence band (VB) to the conduction band (CB), which takes off a hole (h+vb). The energized electrons and holes relocate to the surface of an alternative state. The charge recombination rate is regularly hindered by scavenging species or a carbon-based material, which could effectively trap the electrons or holes. Accordingly, more crystalline nanostructured materials with fewer defects usually minimize the trapping states and charge recombination sites, which results in enhanced effectiveness in the utilization of photoinduced transporters for the predicted photo-reactions. For effective and improved photocatalytic competence, the electron–hole pairs ought to be well separated and the charges must be moved quickly beyond the surface or interface to confine the rate of recombination. Graphene has a network of π–π conjugation and its unexpected conducting nature has proved it to be a competent electron acceptor. Metal nanoparticles have a tendency to absorb light and become excited. The energized electrons at the interface could possibly be moved to the sheet-like structure of graphene, which is stabilized by the network of conjugation and decreases the rate of recombination for improved photocatalytic performance.

5.3. Graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C3N4) as a support material for photocatalysis

Graphitic-carbon nitride (g-C3N4) is a potential material for better photocatalytic utilization as a metal-free eco-friendly benign catalyst. g-C3N4 is a graphite analogue and exists as a constant allotrope of carbon nitride under ambient conditions. The constant structure of g-C3N4 comprises periodically associated tris-s-triazine units and the structure of g-C3N4 is very comparable to the network of graphitic nitride, where a few carbon atoms are substituted by atoms of nitrogen. The sp² hybridized C and N atoms induce π conjugation in the planes of the graphitic structure. The basic electronic properties of this material are considerably influenced by the nitrogen lone pair and assigned mainly to the valence band. The carbon p₂ orbital contributes to the conduction band. g-C3N4 materials are known for their high rigidity, low-friction coefficient, and chemical inertness. Its stability, even at 600 °C, in air, is attractive for utilization in catalysis under extreme conditions. g-C3N4 has a tunable band-gap of 1.8–2.7 eV, which permits the harvesting of visible light at wavelengths of 460–698 nm, representing 13–49% of solar energy. The band-gap of g-C3N4 could possibly be reduced by doping with metallic elements. Metal decoration onto the sheet-like structure of g-C3N4 could possibly lower the band gap in the range of 2.67–1.58 eV by fine-tuning the electronic structure of g-C3N4 during synthesis. The light harvesting capability of carbon nitride could thus be meaningfully altered by incorporation of a minute amount of metal ions at the edges of the g-C3N4 nanosheets.

6. Conclusions and future perspectives

Carbon-based nanostructured materials have been broadly utilized as a support material for catalysis. First of all, this review highlighted the adaptability of carbon, as well as activated carbon, graphite, fullerenes, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), diamond, graphene (GN), and graphitic carbon nitride (g-C3N4) etc., with each nanostructured material offering inimitable characteristics and advantages. In addition, we highlighted their exceptional utilization in the research area of catalysis under visible-light irradiation, and their recent use in emerging diverse carbon-based catalysts, such as atom-doped carbon, carbon-based hybrids, catalysts supported on carbon, and so on. These innovative carbon-based catalysts present high
catalytic activity in chemical, electro, or photocatalysis, and consequently they are abundantly established in several catalysis fields, as well as chemical synthesis, gas or oil desulfurization, biosensors, energy storage (e.g., batteries and supercapacitors) and conversion (e.g., fuel cells and solar cells), and organism-based photodegradation, etc.

Though noteworthy developments have been achieved in the fabrication and utilization of carbon-based catalysts, there are still some challenges:

(i) Immense performance of carbon catalysts is vastly anticipated and remains an extensive challenge for the scientific community.

(ii) The catalysis mechanisms ought to be clarified for further enhancement of the catalytic performance.

(iii) Reasonable approaches for fabrication, manufacturing scalability, and commercial feasibility are desirable.

(iv) Novel methods using green and sustainable carbon-based catalysts with broader utilization must be established.

The recent progress in the combination of metal-carbonaceous material-based nanocomposites has mainly focused on the fabrication methods. The synergistic effects amongst the metal nanoparticles and carbonaceous nanostructures have led to their use in a variety of novel applications, extending from water treatment processes to the renewable energy sector. Several tasks need to be considered before actual industrial applications. In particular, the extensive production of carbon-based metal nanostructures with improved and uniform quality is still required. For the fabrication of carbon-based metal nanostructures concerning eco-friendly applications, carbonaceous material-based sheets as a support material have great potential as an electron acceptor with a decrease in the rate of charge recombination. Consequently, carbon-based metal nanostructures are of utmost importance for the degradation of various kinds of colorful and colorless harmful pollutants under visible light irradiation. This updated review could be helpful for better understanding the various properties related to carbon-based metal nanostructures, principally in photocatalysis or for water treatment applications.

These points are predicted to lead to the development of new applications:

(i) Abundant capacity to discover novel procedures for the facile fabrication of carbon-based sheet-like structures in increased amounts, which is essential to be cost-effective and ecologically friendly.

(ii) Proper understanding of the synergistic effect will definitely improve the potential utilization of carbon-based metal nanocomposites in several fields, together with biosensing and catalysis.

(iii) The precise fabrication of carbon-based metal nanocomposites, with precise shape, size, and crystallinity, which will not only avoid the accumulation of sheet like structures of carbonaceous materials, but also offer admirable patterns for doping/decoration with metal nanoparticles with improved photocatalytic utilization.

(iv) The combination and synergetic effects of these carbon-based metal nanostructures will significantly progress the photocatalytic degradation of organic dye pollutants under visible-light irradiation.

(v) Consequently, in the future, carbon-based metal nanostructures with inimitable properties and features will be fabricated and may resolve several waste-water treatment and eco-friendly related problems as well as energy matters.

This review article delivers a wide-ranging up-to-date analysis of the area, and will be supportive in manipulative innovative water treatment approaches by fabricating novel carbon-based metal nanostructures as a photocatalyst.
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