INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2008, 17 million people (48% of all deaths) died from cardiovascular diseases, and mainly because of cardiac arrest.\(^1\) As per the medical statistics of North Cyprus, the primary cause of death was heart disease.\(^2\) Due to cardiac and respiratory arrest, many people face the risk of dying suddenly and unexpectedly and Heart conditions are one of the most common reasons for cardiac arrest.\(^3,4\)

Cardiac arrest is a medical emergency that, in certain situations, is potentially reversible if treated early.\(^3,5,7\) Immediate resuscitation is necessary in order to achieve conscious survival for persons who have lost airways or pulses. Applying resuscitation procedures correctly is very important in saving the life of the individual. As nurses are generally the first healthcare professionals who realize that the patient is in cardiac arrest in a hospital, they must have an adequate level of knowledge and skills in the field of CPR which is a practice based skill.\(^5,10\)

Nurses must improve this skill with training and repeated practice. For this reason, nurses must learn
this skill, especially in their first aid lectures during nursing education. This training must be repeated, as studies have shown that the current level of CPR knowledge and skills is insufficient, and that there is a significant decrease in knowledge and skill retention of skills after a while. The training must be repeated after a specific period of time. If the skills are not used frequently, they can be forgotten over a short amount of time. CPR skills need to be maintained and practiced regularly. Repeating the CPR training will prevent the loss of knowledge and skills.5-12

This study was conducted with the aim of determining the level of nursing students’ knowledge and skills with regard to CPR and evaluating the effects of CPR training.

METHODS

The study design was quasi-experimental and longitudinal. A pretest questionnaire was given before the CPR lecture in order to determine the students’ level of knowledge about the subject. The same questionnaire and a skills evaluation form were used too complete one month (posttest) and six months (retest) after the training. A total of 83 third-year students of the Department of Nursing in the Faculty of Health Sciences of Near East University in North Cyprus who were attending first aid and emergency aid lectures in the spring term of the 2009-2010 academic year participated in this study.

The data collection form was prepared in line with the literature and based on the American Heart Association’s (AHA) recommendations and International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) guidelines.4,9-13

Knowledge Assessment Form: This form was composed of 23 multiple-choice questions regarding the participants’ knowledge about CPR. In the content of the questions, there was information about ensuring that the airway was open, assessing respiration and circulation and chest compression technique. The same questionnaire was distributed before the lecture, and then one and six months afterwards. The researchers stood beside the students while these questionnaires were filled in and prevented them from helping each other. Each correct answer in the questionnaire was assigned one point, and the students were assessed with a score out of 23.

Skills Assessment Form: This form was composed of 20 steps, including the steps of CPR application. These steps include skills such as finding an appropriate position in order to ensure that the airway is open, finding an appropriate position to chest compression, applying appropriate pressure and effectiveness. The psychomotor skills of the students were assessed by two researchers (One of the instructors, who conducted the research, has a master’s degree in first aid and emergency aid nursing, and the other researcher has a certificate of basic life support) observing their application of CPR on the Resusci-Anne manikin in laboratory. The skills assessment form was applied one month (posttest) and six months (retest) after each student completed the applied training. The students were taken to the application laboratory by the researchers one by one and their performances using the CPR model were observed. Each correct skill on the application form was assigned one point, and the students were assessed using a score out of 20.

Data Analysis: In the evaluation of the findings obtained from the study, SPSS (the Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 15.0 was used. In the study, variance analysis (ANOVA) was applied to the repeated measures and paired student’s t-test was used to compare the measurements at two time points (one months and six months) after the training. The results were evaluated within the reliability range of 95% and a significance level of p<0.05.

The Institutional Review Board of Near East University approved the study. Before the study was conducted, the written permission of the Faculty Head and the verbal consent of the students were obtained.

RESULTS

The average age of eighty three students who participated in the study was 21.2 ± 1.8 (range: 19-30) Years. Of the students, 90.4% were women and had not received any training in CPR before the study. Table-I: Distribution of the correct answers provided by the students regarding CPR before and after being taught CPR.

As can be seen from the table Table-I, there was a significant increase in the percentage of correct answers one month after the training. Six months after the training, there was a significant decrease in the percentage of correct answers. The question about how to ensure that the airway was open was answered correctly by 37.3% of participants before the lecture, by 73.5% one month afterwards and by 58.1% six months after the lecture. The question about how to assess circulation was correctly
answered by 38.6% of students before the lecture, by 80.7% one month afterwards and by 45.2% of students six months after the lecture. The number of students who knew the correct compression depth for adults was 36 (43.4%) before the lecture, 71 (85.5%) one month afterwards and 43 (69.4%) six months after the lecture.

As shown in the Table-II, the average knowledge score of the students before the CPR training was 9.3 out of 23 (range: 2-17). This score increased after the CPR training (17.0; range: 13-21) and decreased six months after the training (14.9; range: 6-21). The knowledge score changed significantly over time (p<0.05). In the advanced analysis which was conducted in order to determine the source of the change, it was determined that the knowledge scores in the post test and retest were significantly higher than the pretest, and that the retest scores were significantly lower than the posttest scores (p<0.05).

| Questions about CPR                                                                 | Pretest (n: 83)* | Posttest (one month later) n:83* | Retest (six months later) n: 62** |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                                                                     | N    | %    | N    | %    | N    | %    |
| Definition of CPR                                                                   | 9    | 10.8 | 42   | 50.6 | 14   | 22.5 |
| Who can perform CPR?                                                                | 67   | 80.7 | 82   | 98.8 | 58   | 93.5 |
| The vital minutes of CPR that it is important                                       | 46   | 55.4 | 68   | 81.9 | 46   | 74.2 |
| Correct position                                                                    | 56   | 67.5 | 78   | 94.0 | 54   | 87.1 |
| How to ensure that the airway of the unconscious patient is open if there is head and neck trauma | 32   | 38.6 | 66   | 79.5 | 41   | 66.1 |
| How to ensure that the airway of the unconscious patient is open if there is no head and neck trauma | 31   | 37.3 | 61   | 73.5 | 36   | 58.1 |
| How to start CPR                                                                   | 51   | 61.4 | 75   | 90.4 | 51   | 82.3 |
| Whether or not thorax compression alone is sufficient                                | 75   | 90.4 | 67   | 80.7 | 48   | 77.4 |
| How to assess respiration                                                            | 43   | 51.8 | 71   | 85.5 | 51   | 82.3 |
| How to assess circulation                                                            | 32   | 38.6 | 67   | 80.7 | 28   | 45.2 |
| When to assess respiration and circulation?                                         | 14   | 16.9 | 32   | 38.6 | 25   | 40.3 |
| Artificial respiration methods if there is no respiration                            | 21   | 25.3 | 28   | 33.7 | 30   | 48.4 |
| Chest compression /ventilation ratio                                                | 32   | 38.6 | 78   | 94.0 | 49   | 79.0 |
| Chest compression /ventilation ratio with two rescuers (babies or children)         | 34   | 41.0 | 64   | 77.1 | 43   | 69.4 |
| Frequency of chest compression /ventilation per minute in adults                    | 17   | 20.5 | 46   | 55.4 | 42   | 67.7 |
| The position of arms and hands during chest compression                              | 28   | 33.7 | 62   | 74.7 | 34   | 54.8 |
| Compression location in adults and children                                         | 26   | 31.3 | 39   | 47.0 | 25   | 40.3 |
| Compression depth in adults                                                         | 36   | 43.4 | 71   | 85.5 | 43   | 69.4 |
| Thorax compression location in babies                                               | 20   | 24.1 | 36   | 43.4 | 31   | 50.0 |
| Compression style in babies                                                         | 23   | 27.7 | 81   | 97.6 | 52   | 83.9 |
| Duration of basic life support                                                      | 25   | 31.7 | 83   | 100.0| 43   | 69.4 |
| Properties of correct artificial respiration                                        | 18   | 21.7 | 69   | 83.1 | 34   | 54.8 |
| Starting CPR because of sudden cardiac death and properties for calling            | 58   | 69.9 | 82   | 98.8 | 55   | 88.7 |

*The percentages are given based on n=83  
**The percentages are given based on n=62
In Table-III, we can see that the students’ skills of the correct CPR application steps decreased one and six months after the CPR applied training. While 54.2% of the students performed the step of ensuring the correct head/neck position for opening the airway correctly one month after the applied training, only 23.3% of the students performed this step correctly after six months. While 89.2% of the students performed the step of applying the appropriate pressure for the chest compression correctly one month after the training, only 50.0% of the students performed it correctly after six months. Table-IV illustrates a comparison of the posttest and retest average skill scores of the students. It was

### Table-II: Comparison of students’ pretest, posttest and retest average knowledge scores*

| Change in knowledge score | Pretest Ave. ± (SD) | Posttest Ave. ± (SD) (one months later) | Retest Ave. ± (SD) (six months later) | Significance |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
|                           | 9.3 ± 2.9          | 17.0 ± 1.8                             | 14.9 ± 3.8                            | F**=149.624   |
|                           |                    |                                        |                                        | p= 0.000     |
|                           |                    |                                        |                                        | Mauchly’s W=0.863 |
|                           |                    |                                        |                                        | SD=2         |

* The increase in the average score does mean that the knowledge level increased.

** The F values in this table are Wilks’ lambda F values. As the significance level of Mauchly’s W is below 0.05, a multi-variety test was applied.

Table-III: Distribution of students’ correct skills regarding the application steps of CPR after CPR skill training.

| Steps for CPR skill performance in adults | Pretest (one month later) (n: 83)* | Retest (six months later) (n: 60)** |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ensuring the safety of the environment   | 70 84.3                             | 44 73.3                              |
| Controlling the conscious of patient/injured, shaking them slightly, asking them “Are you feeling ok?” | 75 90.4                           | 44 73.3                              |
| Asking for medical help                  | 71 85.5                             | 51 85.0                              |
| Lying the patient down on a hard surface | 83 100.0                            | 60 100.0                             |
| Kneeling down beside the patient         | 78 94.0                             | 55 91.7                              |
| Placing one hand on the forehead of the patient and the other one under the chin of the patient to open the airway | 45 54.2                           | 14 23.3                              |
| Tilting the head back in such a way that the mandible will be perpendicular to the floor | 43 51.8                           | 15 25.0                              |
| Determining the best spot to perform the heart massage | 73 88.0                           | 24 40.0                              |
| The correct position of the hand         | 80 96.4                             | 36 60.0                              |
| Placing the other hand correctly on the hand | 83 100.0                           | 55 60.0                              |
| Interlocking the fingers of the two hands | 83 100.0                           | 55 91.7                              |
| Applying pressure on the breast bone perpendicular to the body, by not touching the chest cavity with the fingers or bending the elbows | 54 65.1                           | 34 56.7                              |
| Applying pressure in such a way that the breast bone will go down by 5 cm | 74 89.2                           | 30 50.0                              |
| 30 compression, than two ventilations (30:2) | 83 100.0                           | 56 93.3                              |
| Applying the previous step 100 times per minute | 71 85.5                           | 38 63.3                              |
| Closing the nose of the patient using the thumb and index finger of the hand placed on the forehead if the patient is not breathing | 68 81.9                           | 45 75.0                              |
| Placing the mouth correctly while the patient is in a position where the head is backwards | 61 73.5                           | 22 36.7                              |
| ‘Two rescuers breathing for a second at a time each in a way that will help the patient’s chest to rise | 76 91.6                           | 45 75.0                              |
| Observing that the chest cavity expands when air is blown in | 68 81.9                           | 38 63.3                              |
| Continuing basic life support until the patient shows signs of life or medical help arrives | 83 100.0                           | 34 56.7                              |

*The percentages are given based on n=83,  **The percentages are given based on n=60
Table-IV: Comparison of students’ posttest and retest average skill scores.

|                      | Posttest (one month later) Ave. ± (SD) | Retest (six months later) Ave. ± (SD) | Significance |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Change in skill score| 18.4 ± 2.26                            | 13.8 ± 3.08                          | t=9.639, SD=2 p= 0.000 |

determined that the average application score was 18.4 out of 21 one month after the CPR skill training (range: 9-21) and 13.8 after six months (range: 4-19). The skill score changed significantly over time. In the retest, the average skill score was significantly lower than in the posttest (p<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

As nurses are generally the first healthcare professionals to realize that a patient is in cardiac arrest in a hospital, they must have an adequate knowledge of CPR and the relevant skills.5,7, Previous studies have emphasized that CPR knowledge and skills reduce over time when they are not repeated and that the level of knowledge and skills of nurses who practice constantly is better than those who do not.5,9,12,14 CPR is a skill which is based on practice. In the study that Yildirim and Celik (2008) conducted on intensive care nurses, it was determined that the knowledge scores of nurses who apply CPR frequently are higher.14 Studies which have revealed the need for doctors and nurses to know and apply CPR effectively have indicated very low success rates.5,7,14,16

When we look at the results of our study, it can be seen that the number of students who correctly answered the question about the compression depth in adults was not very high before the CPR training (43.4%). However, the increase in the percentage of correct answers to this question one month after the training (85.5%) and the percentage of students who applied the correct technique (89.2%) attracted our attention. Six months later, the percentage of correct answers (69.4%) and correct applications (50%) decreased (Tables-I & III). In addition, studies have documented that the depth of the chest compressions of those who applied CPR was less successful than the other CPR steps.5,9,14,16

In a study by Yildirim and Celik. (2008), it was found that there were significant differences between the average scores that the nurses achieved on the CPR test depending on when they received CPR training14 The nurses’ knowledge may have lapsed after a specific period. Ensuring the sustainability of this knowledge is important for correct and reliable CPR skills. In the study by Celik (2008), the necessity of a review of CPR knowledge and skills every six to 12 months was emphasized. In the same study, it was determined that there was a significant decrease in the knowledge preservation level 10 weeks after the resuscitation course.16 Other studies have also determined that knowledge and skill levels decrease over time. Repeating the training periodically will prevent nurses from forgetting the knowledge and skills they have learnt.8,10,13,16 In our study, it was determined that the knowledge and skill levels of the nurses had decreased significantly six months after the CPR training (p<0.05).

In another study, it was found that the average scores of the nurses who had received basic life support training less than six months beforehand were higher than the average scores of the nurses who had received this training more than six months prior to the study.14 In hospitals, nurses are generally the health team members who see and treat the patients first. The effectiveness and timeliness of the nurses’ response is very important for keeping the patient alive until other healthcare professionals arrive. As nurses are with the patients 24 hours a day, and as they are the ones who may realize that there has been a change in one of the patients, they must have sufficient knowledge and skills in this area. An early response can save the life of a patient. The study by Marzooq and Lyneham (2009) showed that nurses wait for their institution to offer basic life support training, instead of considering it as their responsibility to seek it out.7 Thus, schools and institutions have an important role in terms of planning CPR training.

**Recommendations:** In nursing training, the theoretical and skill training should be repeated every six months, even after graduation. There should be more focus on ensuring sustainability of this training during education. The timing of nursing students training needs to be re-considered, since they are likely to require basic life support skills before they reach the third year.

**CONCLUSION**

Theoretical information and practiced CPR had a positive impact on nurses’ level of CPR knowledge and practical skills in the following month.
However, a significant decrease in the information preservation level and the correct application of the steps of CPR was observed six months after the training. It is important that the steps of CPR are performed correctly.
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