Olive oil consumption and all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality in an adult Mediterranean population in Spain
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Objective: We assessed the association between usual olive oil consumption (OOC) and all-cause, cardiovascular (CVD) and cancer mortality in an adult population in Spain.

Materials and methods: OOC was evaluated at baseline in 1,567 participants aged 20 years and older from the Valencia Nutrition Study in Spain using validated food frequency questionnaires. During an 18-year follow-up period, 317 died, 115 due to CVD and 82 due to cancer. Cox regression models were used to estimate adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

Results: After adjusting for demographic and lifestyle factors, the OOC was associated with a lower risk of all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality. Compared to the less than once per month consumption, the consumption of up to one tablespoon per day was associated with a 9% lower risk of all-cause mortality (HR: 0.91; 95%CI: 0.68–1.22) and the consumption of 2 or more tablespoons with a 31% lower risk of all-cause mortality (HR: 0.69; 95%CI: 0.50–0.93; p-trend = 0.011). The consumption of 2 or more tablespoons per day was also associated with lower risk of mortality for CVD (HR: 0.54; 95%CI: 0.32–0.91; p-trend = 0.018) and cancer (HR: 0.49, 95%CI: 0.26–0.94; p-trend = 0.019).
Conclusion: Higher olive oil consumption was associated with lower long-term risk of all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in an adult Mediterranean population. The maximum benefit was observed for the consumption of two or more tablespoons per day.
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Introduction

Olive oil consumption (OOC) is highly prevalent in Mediterranean populations, and it has been described as the main source of dietary fat in the Mediterranean Diet (MedDiet). Some recent studies have indicated that OOC is inversely associated with the incidence of cardiovascular complications by reducing the synthesis of interleukin-6, reactive protein-C and other pro-inflammatory molecules (1). There is also evidence from previous studies that habitual OOC can reduce the incidence risk of some chronic diseases such as type 2 diabetes (2), hypertension (3), neurodegenerative diseases (4, 5), stroke or coronary diseases (6–8) some types of cancer (9–11) as well as all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality (12, 13).

In a meta-analysis published in 2014, an inverse association was reported between olive oil consumption (OOC) and the risk of cardiovascular heart diseases (CHD) or stroke (6). However, in this meta-analysis with nine studies including three case-control studies, five prospective cohort studies and one randomized controlled trial from Mediterranean countries (Greece, Italy, France and Spain), an important heterogeneity was reported, and the association was not statistically significant for coronary heart disease (6). In the EPIC cohort study of Spain, participants in the highest quartile of OOC consumption, showed a 26 and 44% reduction for all-cause and CVD mortality, respectively (12). The inverse association between OOC and mortality has also been observed in other populations within the study of dietary patterns. Atkins et al., found that older British men in the highest quartile compared with those in the lowest quartile of OOC had 46 and 37% lower risks of all-cause and CVD mortality (14). In the EPICOR Study carried out among Italian women, Bendinelli et al. found a significant reduction of 44% in fatal and non-fatal CVD in the highest quartile of OOC (7). In the randomized prevention trial PREvención con Dieta MEDiterránea (PREDIMED study), total OOC was associated with 48% reduced risk of CVD mortality although no significant associations were found for all-cause and cancer mortality (13). In the same line, a recently published study conducted in two U.S. population cohorts, the Nurses’ Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, showed that compared to those who never or rarely consumed OOC, participants with the highest OOC had a 19% lower all-cause mortality, 19% lower of CVD mortality and 17% lower cancer mortality (15).

Therefore, it seems that the evidence favoring a beneficial effect of OOC on CVD mortality has been increasing during the last few years, whereas the evidence regarding all-cause or cancer mortality is still scarce and not fully consistent, especially in Mediterranean populations. Thus, we aim to evaluate the association between OOC and all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in an adult Spanish Mediterranean population.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

Data were taken from the Valencian Nutrition Survey (VNS), a nutrition and health examination survey conducted in 1994, based on a representative sample from the Valencia Region, Spain (16). Briefly, the VNS recruited 1,811 adults aged 15 years and above between March and July 1994, in the Valencia Region (74.4% participation rate). For the present study, we excluded participants who did not complete the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and those younger than 20 years old. Thus, the final sample for this study included complete information for 1,567 participants aged 20 years and above. All participants provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethical Committees of the Hospital of San Juan and the Miguel Hernandez University (DSP.MGH.01.13.).

Olive oil and dietary assessment

Dietary intake was assessed using a semi-quantitative FFQ at baseline. This FFQ was derived from the Willet questionnaire (17), which was adapted and validated in an adult population in Spain (18). Briefly, the FFQ was validated using four 1-week dietary records in an adult population in Valencia. The validity correlation coefficients (adjusted for energy intake) ranged from 0.27 for folate intake to 0.67 for calcium intake (average 0.47), and the reproducibility correlation coefficient s ranged from
0.30 for carotene intake to 0.65 for calcium intake (average 0.40) (19); this is a similar range to other established diet questionnaires (20).

The FFQ included 93 food items, which comprised the ten main food groups: fruits; vegetables and legumes; dairy; eggs, fish and meat; processed foods; breads and cereals; oils and fats; sweets and beverages and other. We asked participants about their usual diet during the previous year, specifically frequency of consumption and standard portion size. For olive oil we questioned on the usual consumption of olive oil added to salads, bread, or foods (1 tablespoon). Frequency of consumption in the FFQ was measured in nine categories, ranging from “never or less than once per month” to “six or more per day.” One item was included to collect the information regarding OOC. We defined OOC using household and standard measures (tablespoon) and we classified subjects according to their frequency of consumption in three categories: never or less than once a month, consumption of ≤1 tablespoon/day, and consumption of 2 or more tablespoons/day. In our FFQ a tablespoon was equivalent to 11 grams of OOC, and the range for categories were non-consumers (0 g/day); ≤1 tablespoon/day (0.7 to ≤11g/day) and ≥2 tablespoon/day (22 to 75.0 g/day).

To estimate the adherence to a traditional MedDiet, we calculated the relative Mediterranean Diet Score (rMED) for each participant (21). This score contained nine components: fruits (including seeds and nuts), vegetables (excluding potatoes), fish, legumes, olive oil and cereals (including whole grain), meat, dairy and alcohol. We excluded olive oil from the score. In the rMED, the intake is calculated in grams for each component (except alcohol), referred to per 1000 kcals/day and divided into tertiles. For the nine categories in the rMED score, we assigned a value of 0, 1, and 2 to the first, second, and third tertiles of intake, respectively. Total meat (including processed meat) and dairy were negatively scored because these components are not representative of the MD (lower scoring for the higher intakes). Alcohol consumption was calculated as a dichotomous variable assigning 2 points for participants with moderate consumption (5–25 g/day for women and 10–50 g/day for men) and 0 points for those with higher or lower consumption. Therefore, we estimated the rMED score for each participant by adding up the points of the 9 components. The rMED ranged from 0 to 6 points (low adherence), 7–10 (medium adherence) to 11–18 points (high adherence). Finally, the information about nutrient values and energy intake were obtained from food composition tables from the United States Department of Agriculture (22) and other Spanish published sources (23).

Assessment of mortality

The information on the date and cause of death was verified through the National Death Index from the Mortality Registry in the Valencia Region and the Spanish Statistical Office during the 18-year follow-up period.

We used the version 10 of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) to code each cause of death. We created three major categories of deaths as follows: CVD (ICD-10: 100-199), cancer (ICD-10 codes: C00-D49), and all-cause mortality. All-cause mortality category included deaths from any cause.

Other variables

Information from socio-demographic characteristics, lifestyles and chronic diseases were obtained from questionnaires completed by personal interviews at baseline. The socio-demographic characteristics considered were: age (in years), sex (men, women), educational level (<primary school; ≥primary school), body mass index (BMI) measured as weight in kilograms divided by the square of measured height in meters (< 25 kg/m², 25–30 kg/m², ≥ 30 kg/m²), tobacco smoking habit (never, ex-smoker, current), and total hours of TV watching per day. The presence of pre-existing chronic diseases was also collected such as diabetes (no/yes) and high blood pressure (no/yes). Previous studies have been reported that self-reported diseases in adult population had a high level of agreement in those documented in the medical records (24, 25).

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of participants were described according to categories of OOC, using means and standard deviation for continuous variables and proportions (number) for categorical variables. Person-years at risk of each subject was estimated from the date of baseline interview to the date of death or the end of follow-up, whichever came first. We evaluated the association between OOC and mortality at 6, 12 and 18 years of follow-up (ad hoc cut-off values) to assess the potential short, medium and long-term by estimating adjusted for OOC categories adjusting for potential confounders. We used the Cox proportional hazard regression to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) of all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality using as reference the lower category of OOC (no consumption, ≤ 1 tablespoon/day and ≥ 2 tablespoon/day). The model’s proportional hazard assumption was shown to be adequate based on the scaled Schoenfeld residuals.

The multivariable Cox regression models were adjusted by potential confounders and those variables showing p < 0.20 in bivariate analysis: age (in years), sex, educational level, BMI, smoking habit, TV watching, and self-reported diseases (diabetes and hypertension).

We assessed the overall significance of OOC as a categorical variable using the likelihood ratios test (LRT). In addition, a
trend test was calculated to explore the dose-response for total OOC, considering the categorical variable as a continuous term.

We also estimated cumulative incidence curves for categories of OOC and all-cause mortality. All analyses were performed using the statistical software STATA, version 16. All statistical tests were two-sided, and statistical significance was established at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

The baseline characteristics of participants according to OOC are presented in Table 1. Of 1,567 subjects, 18.2% were non-consumers, 44.1% were consumers of ≤1 tablespoon/day and 37.7% were consumers of ≥2 tablespoon/day. Participants with higher OOC presented higher educational level, lower proportion of diabetes and lower consumption of TV watching.

We documented 85 deaths in the first six years of follow-up (9169.5 person-years) of which 31 were of CVD (36.4%) and 25 were of cancer (29.4%). At 12-years of follow-up (17693.7 person-years), 216 deaths were observed, 77 of which were of CVD (35.6%), and 56 of cancer (25.9%). At the 18 years of follow-up (25526.9 person-years), 317 deaths were observed; 115 of which were of CVD (36.3%) and 82 of which were of cancer (25.9%). During the 18-years of follow-up, cumulative incidence curves for all-cause mortality showed that both categories of OOC presented lower risk of mortality than the non-OOC category (Figure 1).

Olive oil consumption (OOC) showed an inverse association with all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality (Table 2). At 6 years of follow-up, compared with non-consumers of olive oil, the consumers of ≥2 tablespoon/day showed 60% lower risk of death for all-cause mortality (HR: 0.40; 95% CI: 0.22–0.74), 78% of CVD mortality, (HR: 0.22; 95% CI: 0.07–0.65), and 68% of cancer mortality (HR: 0.32; 95%CI: 0.10–0.96). Similarly, after 12 years of follow-up, we observed 41%, 65%, and 54% lower risk of all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality, respectively. At 18 years of follow-up, we also observed lower risk of all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality among consumers of ≥2 tablespoon/day. Compared to non-OOC, the consumption of ≥2 tablespoon/day, was associated with 31%, 46%, and 51% lower risk of mortality, respectively (Table 2). Significant dose-response trends were observed for categories of OOC and for all types of cause of death (p-trend < 0.05).

**Discussion**

This study shows that OOC was inversely associated with all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in a Mediterranean adult population in Spain after 18 years of follow-up. This association was significant for the OOC of two or more tablespoon/day. After the 18-years of follow-up in our study, compared with no-consumption, the consumption of two or more tablespoons per day of olive oil was associated with 31% less risk of all-cause mortality and 46% less risk of CVD mortality. In addition, the consumption of more than one tablespoon per day was also associated with a 51% less risk of cancer mortality.

The association between OOC and mortality presents some controversy. Most evidence supports an inverse association between OOC and all-cause mortality, whereas other studies have shown non-significant associations. For example, in the Greek population of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Greek) carried out during 44 months of follow-up, observed no association between OOC and all-cause mortality (26). Similarly, the PREDIMED study, which included 7,216 Spanish participants during 4.8 years of follow-up, did not observe significant associations for all-cause mortality. The findings of these two studies contrast with those described in a meta-analysis based on cohort studies, in which a significant inverse association was found between higher intakes of olive oil and risk of all-cause mortality (RR: 0.77; 95%CI: 0.71–0.84). This inverse association was similar to the observed among the Spanish population in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Spain), which reported that participants in the highest quartile of OOC had a 26% lower risk of all-cause mortality in comparison with non-consumers (12). Likewise, in our study, we observed a 31% reduction in all-cause mortality in participants who consumed two or more tablespoons per day during the 18-years of follow-up. The apparent discrepancy among results could be in part due to the different follow-up period in each study, much longer in our study.

Regarding CVD, the OOC was associated with a lower risk of CVD death in our study. We found that participants who consumed two or more tablespoons per day had 46% lower risk of CVD mortality at 18 years of follow-up. This result is similar to that found in the EPICOR study, which observed a reduction in CVD risk among Italian women in the highest quartile of OOC (HR: 0.56; 95%CI: 0.31–0.99) (7), and in the two studies in Spain. In the EPIC-Spain study was reported that subjects in the highest quartile of OOC presented a 44% lower CVD mortality risk (12), and then in the randomized controlled trial PREDIMED study, a 48% lower risk of CVD mortality (13).

Considering cancer mortality, the majority of the studies have reported non-statistically significant associations (12, 13). As far as we know, this is the first study that reported a significant inverse association between OOC and cancer mortality in a Mediterranean country. At 18 years of follow-up, we observed that those who consumed two or more tablespoons per day had 51% lower risk of cancer mortality. Although there is no evidence regarding this association, previous reviews have suggested that OOC could reduce the risk of some specific
TABLE 1  Socio-demographic and lifestyle characteristics according to olive oil consumption among participants aged 20 years and above of the Valencia Nutrition Study in Spain (n = 1567).

| Olive oil consumption | Total | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | \( p^a \) |
|-----------------------|-------|------|----------|----------|---------|
| Participants, n (%)   | 1567 (100.0) | 285 (18.2) | 691 (44.1) | 591 (37.7) | |
| Sex, n (%)            |       |      |          |          |         |
| Men                   | 718 (45.8) | 125 (43.9) | 334 (48.3) | 259 (43.8) | 0.21    |
| Women                 | 849 (54.2) | 160 (56.1) | 357 (51.7) | 332 (56.2) |         |
| Age, mean (SD)        | 45.9 (18.0) | 47.6 (18.8) | 45.4 (18.3) | 45.7 (17.4) | 0.20    |
| Education Level, n (%)|       |      |          |          |         |
| < Primary school      | 714 (45.6) | 158 (55.4) | 304 (44.0) | 252 (42.6) | < 0.001 |
| ≥ Primary school      | 853 (54.4) | 127 (44.6) | 387 (56.0) | 339 (57.4) |         |
| Body Mass Index Kg/m^2, n (%) | | | | | |
| < 25 kg/m^2           | 650 (41.7) | 101 (35.7) | 289 (41.9) | 260 (44.3) |         |
| 25-30 kg/m^2          | 623 (40.0) | 116 (41.0) | 272 (39.5) | 235 (40.0) |         |
| ≥ 30 kg/m^2           | 286 (18.3) | 66 (23.3) | 128 (18.6) | 92 (15.7)  | 0.05    |
| Smoking Status, n (%) |       |      |          |          |         |
| Never                 | 775 (49.5) | 149 (52.3) | 339 (49.1) | 287 (48.6) |         |
| Ex-smoker             | 262 (16.7) | 42 (14.7) | 110 (15.9) | 110 (18.6) |         |
| Current               | 530 (33.8) | 94 (33.0) | 242 (35.0) | 194 (32.8) | 0.51    |
| Diabetes \(^b\), n (%)| 121 (7.7)  | 34 (11.9)  | 44 (6.4)  | 43 (7.3)   | 0.01    |
| Hypertension \(^b\), n (%) | 280 (17.9) | 57 (20.0)  | 121 (17.5) | 102 (17.3) | 0.58    |
| TV watching, hours/day, mean (SD) | 2.5 (1.8)  | 2.8 (1.9)  | 2.4 (1.8)  | 2.5 (1.7)  | 0.02    |
| rMED, mean (SD)       | 7.2 (2.4)  | 7.2 (2.4)  | 7.3 (2.5)  | 7.2 (2.5)  | 0.68    |

VNS, Valencia Nutrition Survey; SD, Standard Deviation; BMI, Body Mass Index; rMED, relative Mediterranean Dietary index; ts: Tablespoon.

\(^a\) P-value (\( p \)) from chi-square test (categorical variables) and ANOVA (continuous variables).

\(^b\) Self-reported diabetes (no/yes) and hypertension (no/yes).

FIGURE 1  Cumulative incidence curves of death after 18 years of follow-up, according to total olive oil consumption for all-cause mortality in participants from the Valencia Nutritional Survey in Spain.
TABLE 2  Associations between level of olive oil consumption and all-cause, cardiovascular disease and cancer mortality among participants of Valencia Nutrition Survey in Spain (n = 1567).

| Olive oil consumption | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | P-value<sup>a</sup> | P-trend<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------------|------|----------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Follow-up at 6 years** | | | | | |
| All-cause (n,%), | None | 285 (18.2) | 691 (44.1) | 591 (37.7) | | |
| Deaths, n | 27 | 40 | 18 | | | |
| Person-years | 1632.9 | 4044.7 | 3491.9 | | | |
| HR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Age and sex adjusted | 1.00 | 0.65 (0.40–1.05) | 0.36 (0.20–0.65) | 0.003 < 0.001 | |
| Multivariable<sup>c</sup> | 1.00 | 0.69 (0.42–1.16) | 0.40 (0.22–0.74) | 0.010 0.003 | |
| CVD (n,%), deaths, n | 270 (17.8) | 665 (44.0) | 578 (38.2) | | |
| Person-years | 1581.9 | 3966.9 | 3453.4 | | | |
| HR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Age and sex adjusted | 1.00 | 0.45 (0.21–0.98) | 0.20 (0.07–0.58) | 0.002 < 0.001 | |
| Multivariable<sup>c</sup> | 1.00 | 0.45 (0.19–1.06) | 0.22 (0.07–0.65) | 0.007 0.002 | |
| Cancer (n,%), deaths, n | 267 (17.7) | 662 (43.9) | 578 (38.4) | | |
| Person-years | 1578.0 | 3941.6 | 3454.9 | | | |
| **Follow-up at 12 years** | | | | | |
| All-cause (n,%), | None | 285 (18.2) | 691 (44.1) | 591 (37.7) | | |
| Deaths, n | 50 | 103 | 63 | | | |
| Person-years | 3107.0 | 7787.3 | 6799.3 | | | |
| HR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Age and sex adjusted | 1.00 | 0.85 (0.55–1.19) | 0.58 (0.40–0.84) | 0.008 0.002 | |
| Multivariable<sup>c</sup> | 1.00 | 0.92 (0.65–1.30) | 0.59 (0.40–0.87) | 0.001 0.004 | |
| CVD (n,%), deaths, n | 255 (17.9) | 627 (43.1) | 546 (38.2) | | |
| Person-years | 2923.9 | 7352.6 | 6476.7 | | | |
| HR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Age and sex adjusted | 1.00 | 0.72 (0.42–1.24) | 0.37 (0.20–0.70) | 0.005 0.001 | |
| Multivariable<sup>c</sup> | 1.00 | 0.73 (0.41–1.30) | 0.34 (0.17–0.66) | 0.003 0.001 | |
| Cancer (n,%), deaths, n | 247 (17.6) | 617 (43.8) | 543 (38.6) | | |
| Person-years | 2879.7 | 7253.0 | 6448.7 | | | |
| **Follow-up at 18 years** | | | | | |
| All-cause (n,%), | None | 285 (18.2) | 691 (44.1) | 591 (37.7) | | |
| Deaths, n | 73 | 141 | 103 | | | |
| Person-years | 4462.9 | 11216.9 | 9847.4 | | | |
| HR (95% CI) | | | | | |
| Age and sex adjusted | 1.00 | 0.97 (0.49–1.91) | 0.46 (0.21–0.99) | 0.033 0.025 | |
| Multivariable<sup>c</sup> | 1.00 | 1.08 (0.54–2.16) | 0.52 (0.23–1.14) | 0.057 0.059 | |

(Continued)
types of cancer such as breast cancer and upper digestive and respiratory tract neoplasm (27, 28). In a recently published study based on two United States population cohort studies (15), a lower mortality was reported for all-causes, CVD and cancer, thus providing evidence of a potential protective effect of OOC in other non-Mediterranean populations. In any case, more research would be needed to confirm these results in other populations and to explore the effect of OOC by sex and other variables such as smoking and BMI, although we did not observe changes in the estimates other than the loss of significance and some instability due to the low statistical power of subgroup analyses (data not shown).

Previous studies have proposed different mechanisms by which OOC might reduce the risk of mortality. Olive oil is a rich source of MUFA’s, vitamin E and several phenolic compounds including oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol, and it has been described biological properties of these compounds including vasodilator, hypoglycemic, anti-hypertensive, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects (29, 30). Hydroxytyrosol has been shown to reduce the formation of atherosclerotic plaques, which increase the risk of coronary heart diseases (30). In addition, hydroxytyrosol promotes the induction of angiogenic genes in hypoxic MCF-7 cells which delays cancer progression (31). Moreover, oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol have been shown to have a protective effect against oxidative damage and inflammatory activity, which are related with diseases such as cancer, diabetes mellitus type 2 and metabolic syndrome (29, 31–33). Thus, olive oil contains several compounds that might have a beneficial effect on health, not only in association with all-cause mortality but also with CVD and cancer mortality.

The current study has several limitations that need to be mentioned. Firstly, we only collected one dietary measurement at baseline, and we were not able to control the changes in OOC during the follow-up. However, as with other consumptions like coffee, OOC might be a dietary habit less susceptible to changes over time (34, 35). In this sense, previous studies have suggested that diet is a habit that remains stable over time and thus, diet assessed at baseline in cohort studies with adult populations might still be a valid method to explore long-term effects on the risk of non-communicable diseases (36, 37). On the other hand, if OOC had changed over time, some non-differential misclassification could be expected, although the bias, if any, would be toward the null, thus reinforcing our findings. Secondly, we did not differentiate between olive oil and extra virgin olive oil consumption, but a previous study showed that most studies do not differentiate between the more widely consumed, “plain” olive oil, and extra-virgin olive oil (28). Lastly, a limitation of this study may relate to the measurement errors from dietary assessment. In our study, OOC was measured as oil added to foods such as salads, bread or foods, without taking into account the oil used in cooking or contained in ready to eat meals. Thus, the total OOC was probably underestimated, although this misclassification should be essentially non-differential.

Strengths of the present study include the well-defined and representative Spanish Mediterranean population, from which we collected information about food intake, socioeconomic characteristics, and lifestyles, using standardized and validated questionnaires. In addition, this study had a long follow-up period that allowed us to detect short and long-term significant associations. Finally, we collected the information regarding OOC before the outcome occurred, thus any misclassification would be non-differential, and could lead to an underestimation of the effects observed in the association between olive oil and mortality, thus reinforcing our results.

---

**TABLE 2 (Continued)**

| Olive oil consumption | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | P-valuea | P-trendb |
|-----------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| deaths, n             | 31   | 53      | 31      |         |         |
| person-years          | 4089.9 | 10415.7 | 9122.7  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.70 (0.45–1.09) | 0.48 (0.29–0.80) | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.49–1.26) | 0.54 (0.32–0.91) | 0.058 | 0.018 |
| Cancer (n,%)          | 231 (17.3) | 590 (44.3) | 511 (38.4) |         |         |
| deaths, n             | 19   | 48      | 23      |         |         |
| person-years          | 3986.5 | 10262.9 | 9010.6  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.90 (0.52–1.57) | 0.53 (0.29–0.98) | 0.061 | 0.030 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.96 (0.54–1.69) | 0.49 (0.26–0.94) | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| Multivariable 4       | 1.00 |         |         |         |         |

a P-value from likelihood ratio test.
b P-value from p-trend test.

---

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Olive oil consumption | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | P-valuea | P-trendb |
|-----------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| deaths, n             | 31   | 53      | 31      |         |         |
| person-years          | 4089.9 | 10415.7 | 9122.7  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.70 (0.45–1.09) | 0.48 (0.29–0.80) | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.49–1.26) | 0.54 (0.32–0.91) | 0.058 | 0.018 |
| Cancer (n,%)          | 231 (17.3) | 590 (44.3) | 511 (38.4) |         |         |
| deaths, n             | 19   | 48      | 23      |         |         |
| person-years          | 3986.5 | 10262.9 | 9010.6  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.90 (0.52–1.57) | 0.53 (0.29–0.98) | 0.061 | 0.030 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.96 (0.54–1.69) | 0.49 (0.26–0.94) | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| Multivariable 4       | 1.00 |         |         |         |         |

---

Ω Olive oil consumption

**TABLE 2 (Continued)**

| Olive oil consumption | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | P-valuea | P-trendb |
|-----------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| deaths, n             | 31   | 53      | 31      |         |         |
| person-years          | 4089.9 | 10415.7 | 9122.7  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.70 (0.45–1.09) | 0.48 (0.29–0.80) | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.49–1.26) | 0.54 (0.32–0.91) | 0.058 | 0.018 |
| Cancer (n,%)          | 231 (17.3) | 590 (44.3) | 511 (38.4) |         |         |
| deaths, n             | 19   | 48      | 23      |         |         |
| person-years          | 3986.5 | 10262.9 | 9010.6  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.90 (0.52–1.57) | 0.53 (0.29–0.98) | 0.061 | 0.030 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.96 (0.54–1.69) | 0.49 (0.26–0.94) | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| Multivariable 4       | 1.00 |         |         |         |         |

a P-value from likelihood ratio test.
b P-value from p-trend test.

---

Ω Olive oil consumption

**TABLE 2 (Continued)**

| Olive oil consumption | None | ≤1ts/day | ≥2ts/day | P-valuea | P-trendb |
|-----------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| deaths, n             | 31   | 53      | 31      |         |         |
| person-years          | 4089.9 | 10415.7 | 9122.7  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.70 (0.45–1.09) | 0.48 (0.29–0.80) | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.89 (0.49–1.26) | 0.54 (0.32–0.91) | 0.058 | 0.018 |
| Cancer (n,%)          | 231 (17.3) | 590 (44.3) | 511 (38.4) |         |         |
| deaths, n             | 19   | 48      | 23      |         |         |
| person-years          | 3986.5 | 10262.9 | 9010.6  |         |         |
| HR (95% CI)           | 1.00 | 0.90 (0.52–1.57) | 0.53 (0.29–0.98) | 0.061 | 0.030 |
| Age and sex adjusted  | 1.00 | 0.96 (0.54–1.69) | 0.49 (0.26–0.94) | 0.025 | 0.019 |
| Multivariable 4       | 1.00 |         |         |         |         |
Conclusion

In summary, the present study provides evidence favoring that OOC may be associated with lower all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality in adult Spanish population after a long follow-up period in adult Mediterranean population. Compared with non-consumption, the consumption of 2 or more tablespoon of olive oil per day may reduce the all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality by more than 30%, 40% and 50%, respectively. However, further prospective studies would be warranted to confirm the beneficial effect of OOC and their different types, to make more precise recommendations on olive oil as a component in a healthy food pattern.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethical approval for the study was given by the Local Ethical Committee of the Hospital of San Juan and the Miguel Hernandez University, Alicante, Spain (DSP.MGH.01.13.). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

LT-C and JV conducted the statistical analyses and drafted the article. All authors revised the article critically for important intellectual content and approved the final version to be published.

Funding

The VNS study was supported by a grant from the Dirección General de Salud Pública, Generalitat Valenciana 1994 and the Fondo Investigacion Sanitaria (FIS 00/0985). This study has also received support from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III FEDER funds (FIS PI13/00654), CIBER of Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), CB06/02/0013 and ISABIAL.

Acknowledgments

We thank the VNS participants for their valuable contribution to this research. We appreciate the English revision of the manuscript made by Jessica Gorlin.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Schwingshackl L, Christoph M, Hoffmann G. Effects of olive oil on markers of inflammation and endothelial Function - A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. (2015) 7:7651–75. doi: 10.3390/nu7095356
2. Schwingshackl L, Lampousi A-M, Portillo MP, Romaguera D, Hoffmann G, Boeiro H. Olive oil in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies and intervention trials. Nutr Diabetes. (2017) 7:e262. doi: 10.1038/nutd.2017.12
3. Massaro M, Scoditti E, Carluccio MA, Calabriso N, Santarpino G, Verri T, et al. Effects of olive oil on blood pressure: Epidemiological, clinical, and mechanistic evidence. Nutrients. (2020) 12:E1548. doi: 10.3390/nu12061548
4. Tsolaki M, Lazarou E, Korouzi M, Petridou N, Tabakis I, Lazarou I, et al. A randomized clinical trial of greek high phenolic early harvest extra virgin olive oil in mild cognitive impairment: The MICOIL pilot study. J Alzheimers Dis. (2020) 78:801–17. doi: 10.3233/JAD-200405
5. Kosta-Tsolaki M, Koutouza E, Katoups GK, Myrelis PG, Chatzithoma MA, Pantazaki A. Alternative anti-infective/anti-inflammatory therapeutic options for fighting Alzheimer’s disease. In: Atta-ur-Rahman, Choudhary MI editors. Frontiers in Anti-Infective Drug Discovery. Sharjah: Bentham Science (2017). p. 1–153.
6. Martinez-González MA, Dominguez LJ, Delgado-Rodriguez M. Olive oil consumption and risk of CHD and/or stroke: A meta-analysis of case-control cohort and intervention studies. Br J Nutr. (2014) 112:248–59. doi: 10.1017/S0007114514000713
7. Bendinelli B, Musala G, Saieva C, Salvini S, Calonico C, Sacerdote C, et al. Fruit, vegetables, and olive oil and risk of coronary heart disease in Italian women. The EPICOR Study. Am J Clin Nutr. (2011) 93:275–83. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.000521
8. Kontogianni MD, Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohoou C, Pitsavos C, Zampelas A, Stefanadis C. The impact of olive oil consumption pattern on the risk of acute coronary syndromes: The CARDIO2000 case-control study. Clin Cardiol. (2007) 30:125–9. doi: 10.1002/clc.20043
9. Farinetti A, Zurlò V, Manenti A, Coppi F, Mattioli AV. Mediterranean diet and colorectal cancer: A systematic review. Nutrition. (2017) 43–44:83–8. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2017.06.008
10. Braakhuis AJ, Campion P, Bishop KS. Reducing breast cancer recurrence: The role of dietary polyphenolics. *Nutrients*. (2016) 8:5547. doi: 10.3390/nu8090547

11. Toteda G, Lupinacci S, Vizza D, Bononfiglio R, Perri E, Bononfiglio M, et al. High doses of hydroxytyrosol induce apoptosis in papillary and follicular thyroid cancer cells. *J Endocrinol Invest*. (2017) 40:153–62. doi: 10.1007/s40618-016-0557-2

12. Buckland G, Mayén AL, Agudo A, Travier N, Navarro C, Huerta JM, et al. Olive oil intake and mortality within the Spanish population (EPIC-Spain). *Am J Clin Nutr*. (2012) 96:142–9. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.24216

13. Guasch-Ferré M, Hu FB, Martínez-González MA, Fitó M, Bulló M, Estruch R, et al. Olive oil intake and risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in the PREDIMED Study. *BMC Med*. (2014) 12:78. doi: 10.1186/1714-7015-12-78

14. Atkins JL, Whincup PH, Morris RW, Lennon LT, Papacosta O, Wanneethee SG. Dietary patterns and the risk of CVD and all-cause mortality in older British men. *Br J Nutr*. (2016) 116:1246–55. doi: 10.1017/S0007114516003147

15. Guasch-Ferré M, Li Y, Willett WC, Sun Q, Sampson L, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. Consumption of olive oil and risk of total and cause-specific mortality among U.S. adults. *Am J Cardiol*. (2022) 79:101–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.10.041

16. Quiles J, Vioque J. Prevalence of obesity in the Valencian community. *Med Clin*. (1998) 110:319.

17. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Bain C, Witschi J, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol*. (1985) 122:51–65. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114086

18. Vioque J, González L. Validity of a food frequency questionnaire (preliminary results). *Eur J Cancer Prev*. (1991) 1:19–20. doi: 10.1097/00008469-199110001-00029

19. Vioque J, Weinbrenner T, Aesens L, Casteil A, Young J, Fletcher A. Plasma concentrations of carotenoids and vitamin C are better correlated with dietary intake in normal weight than overweight and obese elderly subjects. *Br J Nutr*. (2007) 97:977–86. doi: 10.1017/S0007114507659017

20. Willett WC. *Nutritional epidemiology*, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press (1998). doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195122978.001.0001

21. Buckland G, Gonzalez CA, Agudo A, Vilardell M, Berenguer A, Amiano P, et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet and risk of coronary heart disease in the Spanish EPIC Cohort Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. (2008) 170:1518–29. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwp282

22. United States Department of Agriculture ARS [USDA]. USDA Nutrient Data Laboratory. *USDA national nutrient database for standard reference*, Release 21. Washington, DC: USDA (2008).

23. Palma I, Farran A, Cantos D. *Tablas de Composición de Alimentos Por Medidas Caseras de Consumo Habitual En España*, Centre d’Ensenyament Superior de Nutrició i Diètecta (CESNID). Primera. Madrid: Mc Graw-Hill Interamericana (2008).

24. Bush TL, Miller SR, Golden AL, Hale WE. Self-report and medical record report agreement of selected medical conditions in the elderly. *Am J Public Health*. (1989) 79:1554–6. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.79.11.1554

25. Harlow SD, Linet MS. Agreement between questionnaire data and medical records. The evidence for accuracy of recall. *Am J Epidemiol*. (1989) 129:233–48. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115139

26. Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulou D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med*. (2003) 348:2599–608. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa025039

27. Pelucchi C, Bosetti C, Negri E, Lipworth L, La Vecchia C. Olive oil and cancer risk: An update of epidemiological findings through 2010. *Curr Pharm Des*. (2011) 17:805–12. doi: 10.2174/138161211795428920

28. Puailtopoulo T, Kost I, Haidopoulos D, Dimopoulos M, Panagiotakos DB. Olive oil intake is inversely related to cancer prevalence: A systematic review and a meta-analysis of 13,800 patients and 23,340 controls in 19 observational studies. *Lipids Health Dis*. (2011) 10:127. doi: 10.1186/1476-511X-10-127

29. Buciantini M, Leri M, Nardello P, Casamonti F, Stefanis M. Olive polyphenols: Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. (2021) 10:1044. doi: 10.3390/antiox10071044

30. Tuck KL, Hayball P. Major phenolic compounds in olive oil: Metabolism and health effects. *J Nutr Biochem*. (2002) 13:636–44. doi: 10.1016/s0955-2863(02)00229-2

31. Caláhoorra J, Martínez-Lara E, Granadino-Roldán JM, Martí JM, Catuaco A, Blanco S, et al. Crossover study on the effects of hydroxytyrosol, a major olive oil phenol, and HIF-1 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Sci Rep*. (2020) 10:6361. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-63417-6

32. Eftendakis P, Illyodromitis EK, Mikros E, Papachristodoulou A, Dages N, Skalsoussis A, et al. Effects of the olive tree leaf constituents on myocardial oxidative damage and atherosclerosis. *Planta Med*. (2015) 81:648–54. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1546017

33. Fernández-Prieto A, Bermúdez-Orua A, Millán-Linares MDC, Fernández-Bolabao J, Espejo-Calvo JA, Rodríguez-Gutiérrez G. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activity of hydroxytyrosol and 3,4-dihydroxyphenyglycol purified from table olive effluents. *Foods*. (2021) 10:227. doi: 10.3390/foods10020227

34. Torres-Collado L, García-de-la-Hera M, Navarrete-Muñoz EM, Notario-Barandiaran I, González-Palacios S, Zorrilla O, et al. Coffee consumption and mortality from all causes of death, cardiovascular disease and cancer in an elderly Spanish population. *Eur J Nutr*. (2018) 58:2439–48. doi: 10.1007/s00394-018-1796-9

35. Torres-Collado L, Compañ-Gubucio LM, González-Palacios S, Notario-Barandiaran I, Oncina-Cánovas A, Vioque J, et al. Coffee consumption and all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality in an adult Mediterranean population. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:1241. doi: 10.3390/nu13041241

36. Newby PK, Weismayer C, Akesson A, Tucker KL, Wolf A. Long-term stability of food patterns identified by use of factor analysis among Swedish women. *J Nutr*. (2006) 136:626–33. doi: 10.1093/jn/136.3.626

37. Jankovic N, Steppel MT, Kampman E, de Groot LC, Boshuizen HC, Soedamah-Muthu SS, et al. Stability of dietary patterns assessed with reduced rank regression; the Zutphen Elderly Study. *Nutr J*. (2014) 13:30. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-13-30