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ABSTRACT

The study aimed to investigate the impact of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) funding on, gross domestic product (GDP) and unemployment, as well as the amount of funding provided by the specialized institutions, and to identify the obstacles that these projects face in obtaining funding. The study population included the SMEs institutions in Jordan between the years 2009 and 2018. The study sample was limited to the Development and Employment Fund in Jordan as one of the most important SMEs funding institutions. Analytical and descriptive method was used to test the hypotheses, the simple regression model used to test the hypotheses of the study through the statistical model (SPSS). The most important finding of this study was the statistically significant impact of financing the SMEs on GDP and unemployment, the study model was able to show 95% of changes in economic development. The study recommended facilitating the provision of financing for SMEs and training the workers in these projects to improve their skills in managing their projects and conducting the appropriate financial analysis for the continuous evaluation of these projects to have positive effects on economic development. The researcher also recommends more analytical studies to reach more accurate results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The small and medium enterprises (SMEs) is one of the most important sources of economic development in the world because of the capacity of these enterprises to raise their productive capacity in addressing the problems of poverty and unemployment. Therefore, many countries have taken great care of these projects and provided them with assistance in various ways and in accordance with the available means. For example, SMEs account almost 90% of the world’s enterprises and employ 50%-60% of the world’s workforce, demonstrating their ability and efficiency to address the major problems facing different economies.

In Jordan, the increasing attention - both formal and private - in small projects, because in addition to their large capacity of labor, they contribute to economic growth and constitute a field for developing managerial, technical, production and marketing skills. SMEs in Jordan faced many problems that hindered their growth. The most prominent problem is the access to finance, because financing plays an important role in meeting the needs of small enterprises. In particular, the ratio of credit and financing from banks to small businesses remain small compared to the total bank credit granted, so the small enterprise sector is in need of additional sources of funding.

The importance of this study come from the importance of providing financing services for SMEs and the important for the following reasons:

1. The importance of funding economic projects in general
2. The importance of funding SMEs as a type of financing
3. The importance of funding SMEs in driving economic development
4. The importance of funding SMEs in contribution to economic growth and increased gross domestic product (GDP)
5. The importance of funding SMEs for effective role in creating new job opportunities and its contribution to combating poverty and unemployment.

Objectives:
1. Identify donors of funding SMEs in Jordan
2. Understand the concept and characteristics of funding SMEs in Jordan
3. To know of the impact of funding SMEs on Jordan’s GDP
4. To know the impact of funding SMEs on unemployment rates in Jordan
5. Identify the obstacles that SMEs face in obtaining funding.

After conducting a quick survey of SMEs in Jordan and ways of obtaining financing for these projects and their impact on the Jordanian economy overall. No clarity was found in role played by funding SMEs in supporting these projects to play a leading role in achieving economic development. The study problem was limited to the following questions:
1. What is the concept of SMEs?
2. What are the sources of funding for SMEs?
3. Are there constraints on access to finance for SMEs?
4. Is the amount of funding granted by funding SMEs institutions sufficient for it?
5. Is there an impact of funding SMEs on GDP in Jordan?
6. Is there an impact of funding SMEs on unemployment rates in Jordan?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies discussed the subject of microfinance, but different goals and results among these studies, and here are some of the most important studies that are relevant to the subject of the study:

A study of Haripriya and Thenmozhi (2016), aimed to analyze the economic impact of MFIs on India’s GDP growth, it tested the economic impact of MFIs on GDP growth in India. Data is collected for analysis through primary and secondary sources. This paper concludes that individual economic growth directly affects the country’s GDP growth rate. An article made by Okafor (2016) examined the impact of microfinance banks activities on employment generation in Nigeria. Annualized time series for twenty years covering the period 1993-2012. The result showed that microfinance banks activities had a significant positive impact on employment generation in Nigeria during the period of the study. Recommendations offered that Government should strategize an increase in microfinance deposit by even creating a special fund for micro-financing at a low-interest rate.

3. METHODOLOGY

Descriptive and analytical approach used, based on the financial data published from JCB and Development and employment fund – Jordan during the period (2009-2018), in addition to the previous literature that discussed the subject of the study. As well as statistical analysis to analyze the data collected by using the (SPSS) to test the model of the study and hypotheses.

The Study Society was the SMEs in Jordan during the period 2009-2018. The study sample was limited to SMEs that received funding from the Development and Employment Fund - Jordan during the period 2009-2018, because it is one of the largest institutions dealing with the financing of SMEs in Jordan.

3.1. Hypotheses

The hypotheses of the study stems from the problem and the study questions as follows:

H₁: There is no statistically significant impact of funding SMEs on Jordan’s GDP
H₂: There is no statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on unemployment rates in Jordan.

3.1.1. Model

Figure 1 shows the study Descriptive Model, which includes the dependent variable; financing small and medium enterprises, and the independent factors, which are unemployment and the gross domestic product.
SMEs in Jordan represent more than 90% of all establishments operating in different economic sectors. They absorb about 60% of the labor force and contribute approximately 50% of the GDP. It is noteworthy that the percentage of small and medium-sized enterprises is 98% of the size of projects in Jordan and employs about 33% of the total workforce (Al-Khasib, 2019).

SMEs are considered an essential source of increasing production capacity and contribute effectively to tackling the problems of poverty and unemployment. Many countries, including Jordan, have given increasing attention to this type of project. They are working to integrate and harmonize the general structures of industrial development because they use simple production methods. These projects also attract small savings and are characterized as high capital turnover, making them the nucleus of large projects (CGAB, 2018).

Funding (SMEs): It is a type of funding that is based on the principle of providing financial services to low-income people to help them engage in productive activities, thus finding a way out of financial distress and appearing in society as productive and effective agents. According to the CGAP website, 2019; it is a type of financing that is based on the principle of providing financial services to low-income people to help them engage in productive activities, thus finding a way out of financial distress and appearing in society as productive and effective agents.

The concept of microfinance or microcredit began in 1970 when social activists discussed the issue of small amounts of capital needed to help them engage in productive activities, thus finding a way out of financial distress and appearing in society as productive and effective agents. According to ACCION International, a leading non-profit microcredit company, SMEs is defined as “banking and/or financial services targeted at low- and middle-income businesses or households, including credit.”

Given the international scope, SMEs funding support enables economically disadvantaged individuals to access the small-scale capital they need to start a business that can generate income. Institutions offering SMEs funding or microcredit are known as MFIs or MFI s. These providers can be non-profit organizations but also commercial banks or other financial institutions (grantspace.org, 2019).
SMEs in the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan represent about 99.6% of the total economic projects operating in various economic sectors. Micro-enterprises constitute 91.5% of the total of these projects. About 80% of these projects are commercial and service projects, about 15% are industrial projects, and 5% are in the agricultural sector (The Development and Employment Fund, Jordan, 2018).

Estimates in some studies indicate that the SMEs sector contributes to:
1. Improving GDP
2. Creating jobs in the private sector
3. Increase industrial and agricultural exports
4. Support the public budget and the banking system
5. Enhancing food security and improving many indicators of social development.

Statistics for the financing of SMEs by sector and by governorates in Jordan during (2009-2018) (Table 1).

Table 2 shows that funding is concentrated in tow governorates, respectively Amman (17%) and Irbid (13%). The other governorates between 8% and 2%.

SMEs financial constraints: Many SMEs face many obstacles that hinder them from achieving their economic and social objectives.

Table 1: Loans financed by the development and employment fund by sector during the period 2009-2018

| Sector                  | Funding  | Ratio (%) |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Agricultural            | 152,300  | 0.1       |
| Industrial Agricultural | 1,284,305| 0.8       |
| Industrial              | 10,626,902| 6.3      |
| Household               | 7,616,133| 4.5       |
| Services                | 18,358,136| 10.9     |
| Tourist                 | 8,908,980 | 5.3       |
| Household               | 36,500   | 0.0       |
| Empowerment of rural women | 18,544,011| 11.0     |
| Student loans           | 4,303,690 | 2.6       |
| Trade                   | 98,268,877 | 58.5     |
| Total                   | 168,099,836 | 100.0   |

Source: Prepared by researcher based on data from development and employment fund.

Table 2: Loans financed by the development and employment fund by governorate during the period 2009-2018

| Governorate | Total           | Ratio (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Capital     | 55,945,491      | 17        |
| Irbid       | 44,935,555      | 13        |
| Zarqa       | 20,492,853      | 6         |
| Balqa       | 21,213,218      | 6         |
| Ma’an       | 28,399,180      | 9         |
| Karak       | 29,244,770      | 9         |
| Tafileh     | 28,034,945      | 8         |
| Mafraq      | 27,486,836      | 8         |
| Madaba      | 33,519,814      | 10        |
| Ajloun      | 21,837,398      | 7         |
| Jerash      | 13,782,231      | 4         |
| Aqaba       | 8,252,778       | 2         |
| Total       | 333,145,072     | 1.00      |

Source: Prepared by researcher based on data from development and employment fund.

The nature of these obstacles varies according to the nature of the project, the activity it exercises and the country in which it operates (Qandah, 2009).

1. The need for funding from others where it is difficult to rely on self-financing
2. The high cost of financing compared to the rate of return on the project, which affects the competition with larger projects
3. Insufficient funding, bad product and marketing
4. Complex government procedures and high tax rates
5. Lack of clear and specific government legislation and policies to support and organize small enterprises
6. Poor management and lack of plans, strategies and sound organizational structures to ensure their growth and sustainability.

GDP: GDP is very important for every country. It reflects the health of the country’s economy and has a great impact on individuals, the stock market and currencies. The change in the economy of a given country means a change in the returns of the elements of production and therefore the change in the volume of investment, if the change is negative, it will push the economy into a recession.

GDP is a measure of national income and the output of a country’s economy over a given period of time. The definition of GDP is based on the total market value of all goods and services produced within the country within a specified time period (usually one year). The valuation process also includes the total value added at each stage of production intermediate goods and finished goods, (Kira, 2013).

There are several ways of calculating GDP, as the expenditure way and the income method (Al Habib and Alkoli, 2011), (Al-Afeef, Al-Qudah, 2015).

\[ \text{GDP} = C + I + G + (X-M) \] (4)

Where is:
C: Total consumption
I: It is the investment
G: It’s the total government spending
(X-M): is the difference between exports and imports.

The researcher relied on the indicators of GDP through the statistical publications issued by the Central Bank of Jordan and as shown in Table 3.

Unemployment: The simple concept of unemployment is the presence of a large number of unemployed people in society.

But the economic – statistical unemployment concept is more specific and has a number of conditions which unemployment rates are calculated under it. These conditions are:
1. He/she must be able to work physically and mentally
2. He/she must be willing and ready to work
3. He/she must be constantly looking for work.

Unemployment is divided into three types depending on the factors that are related to them: (Al-Issa and Qataf, 2006)
• Cyclical unemployment: caused by cyclical fluctuations in total demand and total production
• Fractional unemployment: It is linked to temporary factors due to changes in the labor force and the labor market such as the movement of workers
• Structural unemployment: it comes from the incompatibility between the qualifications and skills of workers and their workplace.

The effects of unemployment: Societies and governments are concerned about the problem of unemployment because it is a social cost due to its negative effects. These effects include the waste of productive resources, the high rates of crime among the unemployed, the deviant and immoral behavior, and the high incidence of mental illness among them, (Mazharul and John, 2012).

The Table 4 shows that more beneficiary sectors of finance in job creation is the commercial sector with (about) 57%.

The Table 5 shows that the most governorate beneficiary provinces of financing in the creation of jobs is capital with 15.8%.

4. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND TESTING OF HYPOTHESES

After extracting the values of all the independent variables and the dependent variable; statistical analysis software (SPSS) will be used to determine the impact of both (financing SMEs) on the (GDP and unemployment). The results were as follows in Table 6.

Table 6 shows the correlation between the study variables, this is to make sure that there’s no strong relationship between each of the independent variables, so the researcher continued the analysis process.

Table 7 shows the explanatory power of the model through adjusted R square, which is about 95%, this means that 95% of the changes in GDP and unemployment are due to financing SMEs, and 5% of the changes due to other factors.

Table 8 shows that the level of significant is (0.00), <5%. This means that the model is acceptable.

Table 9 shows the results of the t-test which shows the effect of the independent variables (fund) on the dependent variable (GDP, unemployment).

Table 3: Jordanian gross domestic products 2008-2017

| Year | GDP at current market prices million JD* | (Yearly %) GDP growth |
|------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2008 | 15593.4                                | 7.23                  |
| 2009 | 16912.2                                | 5.48                  |
| 2010 | 18762                                  | 2.31                  |
| 2011 | 20476.6                                | 2.59                  |
| 2012 | 21965.5                                | 2.65                  |
| 2013 | 23851.6                                | 2.83                  |
| 2014 | 25437.1                                | 3.10                  |
| 2015 | 26637.4                                | 2.39                  |
| 2016 | 27444.8                                | 2.00                  |
| 2017 | 28448.5                                | 1.97                  |

* Jordanian Dinar=0.71$. Source: Central Bank of Jordan, 2019

Table 4: Employment opportunities from loans financed by the development and employment fund by sector during the period 2009-2018

| Sector                        | Number of jobs | Ratio (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Agricultural                 | 99             | 0.19      |
| Industrial agricultural      | 289            | 0.56      |
| Industrial                   | 2843           | 5.49      |
| Household                    | 2162           | 4.17      |
| Services                     | 5103           | 9.85      |
| tourist                      | 2618           | 5.05      |
| Household                    | 18             | 0.03      |
| Empowerment of rural women   | 9347           | 18.04     |
| Student loans                | 4              | 0.01      |
| Trade                        | 29333          | 56.61     |
| Total                        | 51816          | 100.00    |

Source: Prepared by researcher based on data from development and employment fund

Table 5: Employment opportunities from loans funded by development and employment fund by governorates 2009-2018

| Governorate | Total | Ratio (%) |
|------------|-------|-----------|
| Capital    | 16303 | 15.88     |
| Irbid      | 14311 | 13.94     |
| Zarqa      | 7225  | 7.04      |
| Balqa      | 7086  | 6.90      |
| Ma’an      | 7128  | 6.94      |
| Karak      | 9201  | 8.96      |
| Tafileh    | 7434  | 7.24      |
| Mafraq     | 9200  | 8.96      |
| Madaba     | 9173  | 8.93      |
| Ajloun     | 7541  | 7.34      |
| Jerash     | 5149  | 5.01      |
| Aqaba      | 2927  | 2.85      |

Source: Prepared by researcher based on data from development and employment fund

Table 6: Correlations

| GDP    | Unemployment |
|--------|--------------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1.000   | 0.765   |
| GDP    | 0.765    | 1.000   |

Table 7: Model summary

| Model | R   | R square | Adjusted R square | Sig, F change |
|-------|-----|----------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1     | 0.976| 0.953    | 0.950             | 0.000         |

Predictors: (Constant), unemployment, GDP. Dependent Variable: Fund

Table 8: ANOVA

| Model | Df  | Mean square | F     | Sig. |
|-------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Regression | 2   | 6.1E+015    | 357.263 | 0.000* |
| Residual   | 37  | 3.0E+014    | 8.129E+012 |      |
| Total      | 39  | 6.4E+015    |       |      |

*Predictors: (Constant), unemployment, GDP. *Dependent variable: Fund

Table 9: Results of evaluating the model

| B     | Std. error | Beta | t     | Sig. |
|-------|------------|------|-------|------|
| −2E+007| 2986736.721|      | −6.978| 0.000|
| 1078.369| 166.765    | 0.358| 6.466 | 0.000|
| 2489.237| 204.338    | 0.675| 12.182| 0.000|

*Dependent variable: Fund. Source: Based on the results of the analysis 2019
The 1st null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant impact of funding SMEs on Jordan’s GDP. Table 9 shows a statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on GDP in Jordan. Where the calculated value of T (6.466) and the level of significance (0.000) which is less than the value ($\alpha = 0.05$). This means that the 1st Null hypothesis is rejection.

The 2nd null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on unemployment rates in Jordan. Table 9 shows a statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on unemployment in Jordan. Where the calculated value of T (12.182) and the level of significance (0.000) which is less than the value ($\alpha = 0.05$). This means that the 2nd null hypothesis is rejection.

5. CONCLUSION

This study was aimed to investigate the impact of SMEs funding on, GDP and unemployment, as well as the amount of funding provided by the specialized institutions, and to identify the obstacles that these projects face in obtaining funding, so the study shows that there is a statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on GDP in Jordan, and also there is a positive relationship between funding SMEs and GDP. The other important result was there is a statistically significant impact of the funding SMEs on unemployment in Jordan and also there is a positive relationship between financing SMEs and unemployment. The explanatory power of the model through adjusted R square, was 95%, this means that 95% of the changes in GDP and unemployment are due to financing SMEs, and 5% of the changes due to other factors.

Based on the study results, the researcher recommends the following:

1. The need to strengthen the role of SME funding institutions to provide financial support for SMEs projects in order to achieve economic development and create new jobs opportunities in Jordan.
2. Simplifying the procedures for obtaining and accelerating financing by reducing the required guarantees and ensuring the project’s guarantees and continuous follow-up of the project.
3. Expanding the base of beneficiaries of the loans, especially in the provinces and remote areas to contribute to the development of villages.
4. The importance of working on the rehabilitation and training of workers in small and medium-sized enterprises because most of the failed projects are illustrated by the inability to manage.
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