Structural biology is the study of the molecular arrangement and dynamics of biological macromolecules, particularly proteins. The resulting structures are then used to help explain how proteins function. This article gives the reader an insight into protein structure and the underlying chemistry and physics that is used to uncover protein structure. We start with the chemistry of amino acids and how they interact within, and between proteins, we also explore the four levels of protein structure and how proteins fold into discrete domains. We consider the thermodynamics of protein folding and why proteins misfold. We look at protein dynamics and how proteins can take on a range of conformations and states. In the second part of this review, we describe the variety of methods biochemists use to uncover the structure and properties of proteins that were described in the first part. Protein structural biology is a relatively new and exciting field that promises to provide atomic-level detail to more and more of the molecules that are fundamental to life processes.

Introduction

Proteins are one of the most important classes of molecules for life and underpin the field of biochemistry. To fully understand their role, it is essential to explore both their structure and function and this review focuses on how we uncover protein structure. To understand structure, we explore the chemical nature of amino acids which are the building blocks of proteins. We consider how interactions between amino acids help proteins fold and fluctuate as they adopt a variety of structures. Furthermore, to understand how we experimentally study protein structure, we explore fundamental concepts in physics and associated computational methods. This topic is truly interdisciplinary and in addition to biochemistry, spans the fields of biophysics, structural biology and computational biology.

We start by describing the four levels of protein structure and how a variety of protein domains and architectures exist. Proteins are biological molecules produced in living cells, and we must also consider how a long chain of amino acids that are produced from the ribosome can transition to a folded structure that is central to the protein’s function. As such, we consider protein folding thermodynamics and also what happens when proteins misfold inside a cell. We also explore other universal properties of proteins that include their ability to change their shape known as conformational change. In particular, although proteins usually exist in one dominant conformation, we discuss how proteins actually exist in a population (ensemble) of rapidly interconverting conformations that allow them to be flexible and adapt their shapes required for function. We then discuss in detail the primary techniques used to study protein structure and dynamics that have provided these insights. Given the interdisciplinary nature of this topic, along the way, we have provided some stand-alone boxes to give more details about the fundamental science behind these concepts.

Part 1: The structural properties of proteins

Proteins are one of the four major molecules that direct life that includes nucleic acids (deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), RNA), lipids (fats) and polysaccharides (sugars). All of these large ‘macromolecules’ are
carbon-based covalent compounds that use weak reversible non-covalent interactions to fold and interact with their targets, giving the molecules and their complexes distinct shapes and dynamics. Proteins are polymers of typically hundreds of amino acids joined together by peptide bonds, whereas shorter polypeptides (less than 30 amino acids) are typically referred to as peptides. Each amino acid has a common structure containing a central α carbon atom (Cα) that is joined to an amino group (−NH₂) and a carboxylic acid group (−COOH) both of which are used to form peptide bonds. What is most interesting, is that for 19 of the 20 different amino acids, the Cα group is also bonded to a different R group, giving every amino acid its unique ‘side chain’. The side chain gives the amino acid distinctive structural and chemical properties as side chains differ in size, shape, polarity, charge and hydrophobicity (Figure 1). Amino acids are also chiral and can be configured in two possible mirror images (stereoisomers) as the Cα group is bonded to four unique groups that form a chiral centre. As mirror images, stereoisomers cannot be superimposed, in the same way, your hands are mirror images and cannot be rotated to match. The two stereoisomers for each of the 19 chiral amino acids are denoted as d and l, however only the l-stereoisomer is used in nature to construct proteins (glycine has hydrogen for a side chain and is not chiral).

Once amino acids are linked together to form a polypeptide chain, the sidechains and backbone groups interact with each other through many weak interactions to include van der Waals, hydrogen bonds, electrostatic interactions as well as the hydrophobic effect to bring about a protein’s shape and target interactions (Figure 2). For example, the side chain of lysine has a long hydrocarbon chain which is non-polar, yet the end of the chain is positively charged allowing it to interact with other molecules using any of the weak interactions described above. Glutamic acid on the other hand is a similar size but carries a negative charge and has fewer potential ways of interacting. In addition to the sidechain interactions, the peptide bond carries a dipole due to the electronegative properties of the bound oxygen, allowing it to form hydrogen bonds with backbone and mainchain groups. Since there are 20 different amino acids, and they can be arranged in any order, there are a vast number of possible linear combinations and organisms have evolved tens of thousands of different proteins and peptides. Proteins do not usually exist as extended chains, and through sidechain and backbone interactions they fold in on themselves, leading to a unique shape. Each shape has a way of moving and interacting with other molecules bringing about its function. The range of shapes means proteins are extremely versatile, sometimes acting as enzymes to catalyse chemical reactions, sometimes as a type of messenger that binds to a specific partner to relay a message and other times acting as a structural scaffold within the cell (Figure 3). In contrast, DNA usually adopts the classic double-helical structure regardless of its sequence, which suits its function to store genetic information.

Since almost every function crucial to life is mediated by proteins, any changes to their structure due to damage, mutation or modification explains the cause of the disease at the molecular level. The classic example is that of haemoglobin. When an individual inherits a variant haemoglobin gene where the glutamic acid (R group is charged) at residue position six is changed to valine (R group is hydrophobic), this leads to sickle cell disease. This one amino acid difference changes the surface of haemoglobin by removing the negative charge and forming a hydrophobic ‘sticky’ patch (in the absence of oxygen), causing deoxyhaemoglobin to clump together. Since this protein is in high concentrations in red blood cells, it converts the cells from a standard disc into a sickle shape, which reduces cell lifetimes, leading to anaemia, and can result in the blockage of capillaries leading to tissue damage.

To understand protein structures in more detail, we next explore the four levels that determine their shape.

**Protein structure**

Protein structure is described at four different levels. The arrangement of amino acids in a polypeptide chain is referred to as its primary structure. Each amino acid in a polypeptide chain is referred to as a residue and the linked series of carbon, nitrogen and oxygen atoms are known as the main chain or protein backbone. The first amino group at the start of the peptide chain is known as the N-terminus, and the end with the carboxylic acid group is the C-terminus. When we count or write the residues in a polypeptide chain, we start with the N-terminus. The location of disulphide bonds that covalently link different parts of the polypeptide chain together are also considered part of the primary structure. These bonds are formed between two cysteine residues via their side chain thiol groups (−SH) and they significantly stabilise protein structures.

Protein secondary structure refers to the way the primary structure of a protein arranges itself as a result of regular hydrogen bonds forming between the backbone C = O and NH groups of each peptide bond. However, the peptide bond itself cannot rotate as it has double bond character due to resonance stabilisation (Figure 4), where the nitrogen donates its lone pair of electrons to the carbonyl carbon, pushing electrons towards the oxygen. This results in the electrons being delocalised over multiple atoms, which increases bond stability and decreases rotation (Figure 5A). Therefore, rotation can only occur about the bond between the Cα and the C = O group, (the phi (φ) angle) and the Cα and the NH group, (the psi (ψ) angle). In effect, the polypeptide backbone chain is composed of a repeating series...
Figure 1. L-Amino acids

(A) All 20 amino acids have a common structure with distinct chemical and physical properties that are determined by their R groups (side chains). Each has its own name (i.e. Alanine), three letter abbreviation (Ala) and one letter code. They are grouped according to their size, charge, polarity, and, in certain cases, by special features they impart the polypeptide backbone. Amino acids are shown as residues in short polypeptide chains with an N- and C-termini as indicated at ends. Carbon atoms do not show the letter C and are represented at bond junctions, also hydrogens attached to carbons are not shown (this representation is commonly used in organic chemistry). The polypeptide backbone is shown in black and the side chains are coloured. (B) Nonpolar residues typically have side chains that lack polar bonds and have non-polar bonds instead (i.e. they have many C–H bonds). The non-polar amino acids are hydrophobic, as they tend to cluster together to get away from water. (C) Polar amino acids are hydrophilic, meaning that their side chains interact strongly with water and each other. (D) Aromatic residues are unique in that they contain rings with alternating double bonds (tryptophan and tyrosine cannot be easily categorised as hydrophobic or hydrophilic; each has a large side chain with polar and non-polar features). (E) Charged residues are fully ionised at pH 7 and exist predominantly in their deprotonated, negatively charged form or protonated, positively charged form. In addition to side chains, the N- and C-termini of the polypeptide chain are ionised at physiological pH. (F) Glycine and Proline are shown as amino acids and are classed as special cases. Glycine has a hydrogen for a side chain and allows polypeptides to be flexible. Proline can only exist in two conformations because its side chain is directly bonded to its amino group which constrains the backbone into a narrower range of shapes.
Intermolecular interactions

Interactions between amino acid side chains help to stabilise the folded structures of proteins and allow proteins to interact with each other. These interactions can include (A) van der Waals interactions when molecules with complementary shapes approach each other. These molecules can be uncharged and only contain non-polar bonds yet at close contact, an instantaneous dipole can be induced in these non-polar bonds allowing weak electrostatic interactions between oppositely (partially) charged groups. Although an individual van der Waals force is weak, many such interactions across non-polar surfaces can allow two proteins to interact with each other. Non-polar groups can also be attracted to each other through the hydrophobic effect, which will be considered when discussing protein folding. (B) Hydrogen bonding occurs when two interacting molecules each contain dipoles (i.e. they contain polar covalent bonds), where the electrostatic attraction occurs between a partially negative N or O atom (with a lone pair of electrons) and a partially positive hydrogen atom that is covalently bound to a different N or O atom. Unlike van der Waals interactions, these bonds are not just dependent on the magnitude of the partial charges and the distance between them but also dependent on orientation of the groups involved. When the Hydrogen is linear with the covalently attached N or O and the interacting N or O (i.e. all three atoms and the lone pair of electrons appear on a line) the strength is maximal. As such, the proteins must fold and interact with other proteins using very precise geometries that satisfy this directional dependence in order to form hydrogen bonds that are strong and significant. (C) Ionic interactions (salt bridges) are attractive interactions between oppositely charged ions, since ions contain more charge than the other dipoles discussed above, they are the strongest intermolecular interaction involving charge, and (D) disulphide bonds are sulphur–sulphur covalent bonds formed by the oxidation of two cysteine residues which can be formed within a single protein chain or between two separate chains. Given these bonds are covalent, they are the strongest overall intermolecular bond, however, the bonds can be broken if a protein is exposed to reducing environments and becomes reduced.
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Figure 2. Intermolecular interactions

Interactions between amino acid side chains help to stabilise the folded structures of proteins and allow proteins to interact with each other. These interactions can include (A) van der Waals interactions when molecules with complementary shapes approach each other. These molecules can be uncharged and only contain non-polar bonds yet at close contact, an instantaneous dipole can be induced in these non-polar bonds allowing weak electrostatic interactions between oppositely (partially) charged groups. Although an individual van der Waals force is weak, many such interactions across non-polar surfaces can allow two proteins to interact with each other. Non-polar groups can also be attracted to each other through the hydrophobic effect, which will be considered when discussing protein folding. (B) Hydrogen bonding occurs when two interacting molecules each contain dipoles (i.e. they contain polar covalent bonds), where the electrostatic attraction occurs between a partially negative N or O atom (with a lone pair of electrons) and a partially positive hydrogen atom that is covalently bound to a different N or O atom. Unlike van der Waals interactions, these bonds are not just dependent on the magnitude of the partial charges and the distance between them but also dependent on orientation of the groups involved. When the Hydrogen is linear with the covalently attached N or O and the interacting N or O (i.e. all three atoms and the lone pair of electrons appear on a line) the strength is maximal. As such, the proteins must fold and interact with other proteins using very precise geometries that satisfy this directional dependence in order to form hydrogen bonds that are strong and significant. (C) Ionic interactions (salt bridges) are attractive interactions between oppositely charged ions, since ions contain more charge than the other dipoles discussed above, they are the strongest intermolecular interaction involving charge, and (D) disulphide bonds are sulphur–sulphur covalent bonds formed by the oxidation of two cysteine residues which can be formed within a single protein chain or between two separate chains. Given these bonds are covalent, they are the strongest overall intermolecular bond, however, the bonds can be broken if a protein is exposed to reducing environments and becomes reduced.
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Figure 3. Proteins have diverse structures and functions

Proteins are the workhorses for all living organisms and as such have an enormous range of functions that are facilitated by a range of different structures and associated dynamics. Note, the proteins shown here are not to scale and are coloured by polypeptide chain. Some proteins function to provide a structural scaffold, such as the 180 copies of envelope proteins that make up the Zika Virus outer shell which contains the RNA necessary to infect (pdb code: 5ire). Three conformations of the envelope protein are coloured differently to reveal the incredible symmetry that generates an icosahedron (20 faces) shell. Multiple copies of the monosaccharide N-acetyl glucosamine are also shown (cyan). The outer shell of the virus is shown by representing the atoms in the proteins as spheres generating a surface or space-filling representation. Some proteins function as enzymes, which catalyse chemical reactions by reducing the activation barrier that must be crossed when substrates convert into products, such as Hexokinase which catalyses the first step in glycolysis (pdb code: 2yhx). This protein has a large upper (sub)domain and a smaller lower (sub)domain which creates the active site between them where catalysis occurs. When glucose binds to the active site, the domains clamp down and the mouth of the active site closes which facilitates conversion into glucose-6-phosphate using ATP. The protein is shown with a transparent surface and only the polypeptide backbone is shown inside as a cartoon representation, with thin loops connecting \( \alpha \)-helices as spiralled tubes and \( \beta \)-strands as thick arrows, where the end of the arrow indicates the C-terminus. Many proteins function by binding to another protein, membrane or small molecule, to allow transport of molecules and to signal within and between cells in response to outside stimuli. For example, antibodies in the blood bind to foreign antigens (usually proteins from a foreign microorganism or virus) and elicit an immune response, which requires precise protein interactions to avoid interactions with self-proteins (pdb code: 1igt). Typically, these \( \beta \)-sheet rich antibodies are made up of four polypeptide chains (two long heavy chains in yellow and cyan and two shorter light chains in pink and green) that together form a stem with two flexible arms that connect to two binding sites where antigen binding occurs. The binding sites are unique for every antibody and the flexibility and dynamics of these sites allow every antibody to recognise a unique foreign molecule and attack it from multiple angles. Other examples of protein interactions include the DNA binding domain from the transcription factor Oct1 binding to DNA (pdb code: 1oct). This interaction needs to be very specific in order to only bind to the correct DNA promoter sequence so that only specific genes are turned on. The sugar–phosphate backbone of DNA is represented as a cartoon and the four DNA bases are coloured differently to highlight the unique sequence recognised by Oct1. Hormones are an important class of molecules that also rely on precise protein–protein interactions. For example, the \( \alpha \)-helical protein insulin is a small hormone that is made of up of two chains (green and cyan) held together by disulphide bonds (pdb code: 4ins). Insulin is essential for maintaining blood glucose levels by binding to the insulin receptor found on the outside of many tissues such as liver, muscle and heart cells. Insulin binding promotes the uptake of glucose in the blood after a meal and controls many different metabolic processes by changing the activity of enzymes and transporter proteins. Finally, proteins interact specifically with small molecules to transport them across membranes or to other locations in our bodies. For example, deoxy haemoglobin is a heterotetrameric protein made up of two \( \alpha \) subunit chains (green) and two \( \beta \) subunit chains (blue) that transports oxygen (pdb code: 2hhb). Each chain folds into an \( \alpha \)-helical domain that includes a ring-like haem group (pink) containing an iron atom. Oxygen binds reversibly to these iron atoms and allows this crucial gas to be transported from the lungs in the blood to other tissues in the body. Abbreviations: ATP, adenosine triphosphate; pdb, Protein Data Bank; RNA, ribonucleic acid.
between residues. It is common to see a pattern of alternating hydrophilic and hydrophobic residues in the primary structure, giving the \( \beta \)-sheets hydrophilic and hydrophobic faces.

The overall three-dimensional (3D) appearance of a protein is known as its tertiary structure and is brought about by the interactions between the side chains (R groups) and the way in which the secondary structure packs together to fold the protein. Quaternary structure refers to how multiple folded protein chains (called subunits) interact and arrange to form a larger multisubunit protein complex. Examples of the tertiary and quaternary structures were seen in some of the first proteins that had their structures solved using X-ray crystallography, as seen in Figure 3. Protein structures are often viewed as models in which the \( \beta \)-strands are represented as arrows and the \( \alpha \)-helix as a ribbon or tube. For example, haemoglobin is an \( \alpha \)-helical protein with a quaternary structure comprising four subunits, known as a tetramer. The structure can be seen in Figure 3 as a cartoon covered by a transparent molecular surface of the protein. As more proteins were solved, it became clear that there were many different protein shapes and folds, and they appeared to be organised into distinct units called protein domains. Currently, there are approximately 165000 protein structures, and their tertiary and quaternary structures are classified into groups according to two major classification systems called CATH and SCOP. We will focus on the concept of protein domains in the next section.

### Protein domains

A protein’s shape comes from the arrangement of secondary structure elements such as \( \alpha \)-helices and \( \beta \)-sheets into recognisable conformations called motifs (or super secondary structure). Motifs are short segments of a protein’s structure, and the same arrangement can be found in many different proteins. For example, the \( \beta \)-turn links \( \beta \)-strands together and consists of four consecutive residues which allow the polypeptide chain to fold back on itself by nearly 180 degrees. The \( \beta \)-\( \alpha \)-\( \beta \) motif consists of parallel \( \beta \)-strands that are connected by an \( \alpha \)-helix that crosses the two strands. Secondary structure elements and motifs are arranged in individual proteins into compact independent 3D structures called domains. Unlike motifs, domains fold independently of the rest of the full-length polypeptide chain. Larger proteins are often formed of multiple different domains linked together with each domain having a structural or functional role (Figure 6). The arrangement of secondary structure elements that describe a protein domain’s shape is called its fold. For example, a Rossmann fold has \( 2 \times \beta \)-\( \alpha \)-\( \beta \) motifs with a shared middle \( \beta \)-strand forming the domain. This particular domain is found in many larger proteins, giving it the ability to bind nucleotides. Remarkably, there are only \( \sim 2200 \) recognisable protein folds despite the vast number of amino acid combinations possible.

Another way to classify proteins is according to the four main protein ‘types’ which all correlate with characteristic sequence and structural features:

1. **Globular** (roughly spherical) and soluble proteins (for example, enzymes found in the cytoplasm)
2. **Membrane proteins** within the cell or organelle membrane (for example, receptors)
3. **Fibrous proteins** (characterised by the presence of repetitive sequence motifs, for example, collagen)
4. **Intrinsically disordered proteins** (described later in the text)
Figure 5. Protein secondary structural elements

(A) Diagram of a generic polypeptide chain. Residue side chains are denoted as R. Coloured rectangles indicate sets of six atoms that are coplanar due to the double-bond character of the peptide bond. Arrows indicate the bonds that are free to rotate with the angle of rotation about the N–C\(^\alpha\) known as phi and about the C\(^\alpha\)–C known as psi. Note that only peptide backbone bonds are labelled, in most cases the R group bond is free to rotate. (B) Line drawing of the chemical structure of the polypeptide backbone of three \(\beta\)-strands within a \(\beta\)-sheet. Hydrogen bonds between the main chain –CO and –NH groups are shown as dotted lines. Parallel sheets contain \(\beta\)-strands that run in the same direction, whereas antiparallel sheets contain \(\beta\)-strands that run in the opposite direction to its neighbour. (C) Cartoon representation (also known as a ribbon diagram) of an antiparallel \(\beta\)-sheet region from a larger protein. In this example, three \(\beta\)-strands are connected by a short loops. Arrows representing \(\beta\)-strands point towards the C-terminus by convention. The hydrogen bonds holding the sheets together are shown as dotted lines. (D) Side view of the same \(\beta\)-sheet showing the individual residue sidechains. The atoms are coloured with carbon in pink, sulphur in yellow, oxygen in red and nitrogen in blue. Note the residues on the non-polar side are mainly constructed from non-polar carbon containing residues whereas the residues on the polar side have oxygen and nitrogen atoms and are a mixture of ionic and polar sidechains. Each strand has a slight twist that can be seen in the image. (E) Stick representation of an \(\alpha\)-helix with the sequence NH\(_2\)–SGEFARICRDLSHIG–COOH. Hydrogen bonds between backbone atoms are indicated with dashed lines. The atoms are coloured with carbon in light blue, sulphur in yellow, oxygen in red and nitrogen in blue. Note the peptide bonds in an \(\alpha\)-helix all point in the same direction and are bonded to a residue four places along the chain. (F) Cartoon representation of the same \(\alpha\)-helix as seen in larger protein structures. (G) Rotated view of the \(\alpha\)-helix, side chains radiate outwards, away from the centre of the helix.
Figure 6. Motifs, Domains and Full-length proteins
(A) Secondary structure often packs into motifs. These motifs are stable easily folded arrangements but cannot exist independently.
(B) A protein domain is a conserved part of a given full-length protein sequence with a defined tertiary structure that can evolve, function and exist independently of the rest of the protein chain. Each domain forms a compact 3D structure and often can be independently stable and folded usually with a distinct function. (C) Large proteins are usually made up of several independently folded domains. The protein is represented by a straight line from the N- to C-termini with any protein domains it contains represented in boxes. The amino acid sequence is highlighted at the C-terminus and due to its low complexity of just proline (P) and alanine (A) is predicted to be disordered.

Table 1 The two principal systems for classifying protein domains

| CATH https://www.cathdb.info/ | SCOP http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| • Class: Structures are classified according to their secondary structure composition (mostly α, mostly β, mixed α/β or few secondary structures). | • Class: Structures are classified according to their secondary structure composition (mostly α, mostly β, mixed α/β or few secondary structures). |
| • Architecture: Structures are classified according to their overall shape as determined by the orientations of the secondary structures in 3D space but ignores the connectivity between them. | • Fold: Groups on the basis of the global structural features shared by the majority of their members. |
| • Topology (fold family): Structures are grouped into fold groups at this level depending on both the overall shape and connectivity of the secondary structures. | • Superfamily: The domains in a fold are grouped into superfamilies, which have at least a distant common ancestor. |
| • Homologous superfamily: This level groups together protein domains which are thought to share a common ancestor. | • Family: The domains in a superfamily are grouped into families, which have a more recent common ancestor. |

There are many other ways to classify protein domains, and two of the most commonly used systems are the CATH and Structural Classification of Proteins (SCOP) systems (Table 1). Both are hierarchical domain classification systems in which proteins are organised into different levels based on the structural and sequence similarities, and each has websites that you can explore.

Over evolution, multicellular organisms have generated new large proteins by mixing and matching existing domains into new combinations. Since each domain has a particular function (such as binding or catalysis or gene activation), these new proteins will have a unique combination of properties depending on the domains they contain. Proteins sharing more than a few common domains are usually encoded by members of evolutionarily related genes. They therefore make up gene families that have a common ancestor and equivalent domains within the family have high-sequence conservation. These domains are called orthologues and the proteins they reside in usually play a similar role in all species. Genes for proteins that share only one or a few domains may belong to a gene superfamily. Superfamily members can have one function in common, but their sequences are otherwise unrelated. Similar domains found in different full-length proteins in the same organism are called paralogues. Often, they diverged from a common ancestor a long time ago and these domains usually only have the most essential structural and functional properties conserved. A large protein with various domains will each need to fold from the initial linear polypeptide chain, and this process is considered next.
Protein folding

A protein domain in its functional and/or assembled form is referred to as being in its native state. This state results from the amino acid side chains present on the polypeptide chain making favourable interactions with each other and stabilising the protein. However, when a protein domain is first translated by a ribosome from mRNA it exists as a linear chain of amino acids which lack structure and is referred to as being unfolded or ‘denatured’. In this state, these interactions are yet to form. If the unfolded protein domain were to randomly search through all possible conformations it could make by testing out all the possible combinations of interactions, the process of finding the native state would take longer than the age of the universe! However, most protein domains can fold spontaneously into their ‘native state’ on the order of $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-1}$ s. The process by which the unfolded protein domain gains its compact 3D native state is known as protein folding and is studied by thermodynamics and kinetics [For a reminder of the fundamentals of thermodynamics and kinetics please refer to the Essential Chemistry for Biochemists article in this series and the Thermodynamics Box (Box 1)].

Box 1 Thermodynamics Box

To understand why protein folding occurs, we must consider the field of thermodynamics that aims to understand whether any chemical reaction will occur. In other words, is it favourable for a reaction to convert its reactants into products? This will involve recappping some of the basics covered in the Essential Chemistry for Biochemists review in this series. To begin with, it is important to define a system as the reaction we are interested in (i.e. the protein folding reaction to include the unfolded and folded proteins and any solvent or solute molecules that interact with these proteins) and the surroundings as everything else in the universe that is outside the system. As biochemists, to make a prediction about a system reaction, we are interested in three system quantities called enthalpy, $H$; entropy, $S$ and Gibbs free energy, $G$ and the first and second laws of thermodynamics will help us appreciate where these quantities come from.

The first law of thermodynamics states that the total amount of energy in the universe is constant and that energy can neither be created nor destroyed, but it can be transformed from one form to another. From this law, we can start to keep track of energy, for example if heat energy is lost from a reaction as products are made then the energy of the system will go down, however the energy of the surroundings will go up as that heat energy will just transfer over. The change in heat content for a reaction is defined as $\Delta H$ and depends on the bonds that have been broken and the new bonds that have been formed during the reaction. In a reaction, whenever a bond is formed, heat energy is released to the surroundings and whenever a bond is broken heat energy is taken up by the system from the surroundings. Therefore, to calculate $\Delta H$, one must consider the sum of the broken bond energies and the sum of the formed bond energies. If more energy has been released from bond formation than the energy taken up from breaking bonds, then energy will be released and $\Delta H$ will be negative or exothermic. Conversely, if less energy has been released from bond formation than the energy taken up from breaking bonds then energy will be absorbed and $\Delta H$ will be positive or endothermic. For protein folding, the interactions involved are usually the weak non-covalent bonds we discussed earlier involving the hydrophobic effect, hydrogen bonds, van der Waals and other electrostatic interactions. When a protein folds, most often more energy is released from forming these bonds than the energy taken up from breaking any pre-existing bonds that are present in the unfolded state (i.e. $\Delta H$ is negative). However, sometimes, proteins can fold even when $\Delta H$ is positive. To appreciate why this is the case, we must also take into account the second law of thermodynamics.

The second law of thermodynamics states that the entropy of the universe always increases, in other words, for protein folding to be favourable to occur, the entropy of the universe must increase as a result of this process. Entropy is often described as disorder, which is a familiar term to most of us in a physical sense, for example, as we have seen in the main text, water molecules that surround and interact with an unfolded protein are quite ordered and constrained and it is only when proteins fold and expel these water molecules that they can leave the protein surface and move around more and essentially increase their disorder. A better way to think of entropy is to do with the number of ways energy can be distributed in a system. For example, if an object is hot, it has lots of thermal energy concentrated in one place (in the object). However, if you place that object in some cold water, heat...
always transfers to the water and heats it up as the thermal energy is dispersed and spread away from the object into the water. This happens as energy dispersal increases the number of ways that energy can be distributed. In fact, whenever there is greater movement of bonds or atoms in molecules there are more ways to distribute energy. In an exothermic reaction, energy is released to the surroundings and increases the entropy of the universe as the energy has now been dispersed. Therefore, the entropy of the universe can increase in two ways, either through an increase in entropy of the system ($\Delta S > 0$) or through dispersal of energy from the system to the surroundings ($\Delta H < 0$). The quantity of Gibbs free energy is used to keep track of the entropy change of the universe (eqn 1).

$$\Delta S_{\text{universe}} = -\frac{\Delta G}{T}$$

$\Delta S_{\text{universe}}$, Change in entropy of the universe; $\Delta G$, Change in Gibbs free energy as products are made (i.e. unfolded to folded); $T$, Temperature (in Kelvin).

When $\Delta G$ is negative, $\Delta S_{\text{universe}}$ is positive and the reaction will occur and vice versa. It is hard to keep track of entropy and enthalpy changes in the whole universe and fortunately, we can simply focus on the entropy and enthalpy change of the protein folding reaction (system only) and ignore changes in the surroundings because $\Delta G$ is also a function of the enthalpy and entropy of the system reaction (eqn 2).

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$$

$\Delta H$, Change in enthalpy as products are made from reactants (i.e. unfolded to folded); $\Delta S$, Change in entropy as products are made from reactants (i.e. unfolded to folded).

It should be noted that biochemists cannot predict $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ and must rely on experimental calorimetry measurements to determine these values. As can be seen in (eqn 2), for a protein (and its surrounding interacting water molecules) to fold spontaneously, it will have more free energy in the unfolded state and less free energy in the folded state. To represent the change in free energy of a protein ensemble, it is useful to show the reaction progress that is measured experimentally using a classical energy diagram as described in the main text.

Every spontaneous (favourable) reaction in nature results in lowering its free energy as dictated by the laws of thermodynamics. For example, the folding of protein domains is a spontaneous reaction when a negative change in Gibbs free energy ($G$) occurs, and the protein domain moves to a lower energy state. Change of Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G$) has two components that are influenced by temperature; change of enthalpy ($H$, a measure of the formed and broken bond energies in the system) and change of entropy ($S$, a measure of the change of system ‘disorder’) as seen in (eqn 2) in the Thermodynamics Box (Box 1). The driving force for protein folding is a result of hydrophobic collapse, hydrogen bond formation, electrostatic interactions and van der Waals interactions that lower the free energy. According to (eqn 2), for a negative $\Delta G$ and for protein folding to become thermodynamically favourable, the change in these interactions must result in either a favourable change in system enthalpy ($\Delta H$) and/or entropy ($\Delta S$).

When amino acids form new hydrogen bonds, van der Waals and other electrostatic interactions it results in releasing heat, while breaking these bonds with water results in absorbing heat. Therefore, the relative amount of bond formation to bond breakage in the unfolded and folded states will determine $\Delta H$. However, the basis of the hydrophobic effect (collapse) is an increase in the entropy of protein-associated water and is the most important driving force in protein folding. When a protein domain is present in its unfolded state, water molecules have to order themselves in ice-like structures around the hydrophobic groups of the polypeptide chain which forces order on the system and so has less entropy than the free water molecules. Solvent entropy is increased by the protein domain collapsing and placing the hydrophobic side chains into the middle of the protein (Figure 7A). As a result, the hydration shells around the side chains are no longer required, and these water molecules become disordered (free to sample multiple states and interactions), causing a positive change in entropy for the system ($\Delta S$). It should be noted that as a protein domain folds, the polypeptide chain loses entropy as it adopts a single dominant folded conformation (shape), however this decrease in entropy is often offset by the hydrophobic effect described above.
Figure 7. Two state folding of a small protein

(A) Hydrophobic collapse. In the compact fold (to the right), the hydrophobic amino acids (shown as black spheres) collapse towards the centre to become shielded from aqueous environment. (B) The classical view of protein folding. Diagram represents the free energy of the native and denatured ensembles of a protein under conditions where the native state is favoured as the native state has a lower free energy than the unfolded state. The free energy difference between these states ($\Delta G$) is a measure of the stability of the protein. The transition state ensemble is a population of short-lived and partially folded conformations that cannot be directly observed in experiments but must be passed through to fold and defines the activation barrier for folding ($\Delta G^\#_{\text{folding}}$) and unfolding ($\Delta G^\#_{\text{unfolding}}$).

For any given protein, several different folding pathways exist that allow the same protein to reach its native state by different routes. Experimentally we cannot distinguish these individual ‘microscopic’ pathways and can only monitor the ‘macroscopic’ changes along the reaction coordinate using spectroscopic methods (for example, by measuring the fluorescence or CD signal changes as the protein folds in real time). If we represent the associated free energy of the ‘macroscopic’ ensemble of pathways, we generate a classical energy diagram (Figure 7B) that shows the free energy of the protein as it goes from an unfolded ensemble (left) to a folded ensemble (right). Often, small protein domains of a few hundred amino acids can fold in a single step, passing through a high energy transition state ensemble. However, larger protein domains often pass through a number of intermediate states that are stable but not fully folded, before the process is complete. The classical view is useful to interpret experimental measurements however theoretical and computational studies are now working on the new view of folding that tries to understand and represent the microscopic pathways. Here, proteins are multistate objects that fold through multiple unpredictable routes and intermediate conformations. This folding is represented by a more complex funnel-shaped energy landscape in which the proteins energy and number of conformational states decreases as the protein moves down the funnel.

Protein domains fold because the native state releases water to a more disordered state (increasing entropy) and the new bonds (compared with the old bonds) usually result in heat being released, decreasing the enthalpy. Together, this causes the Gibbs free energy to decrease and makes folding spontaneous. However, just because the folded protein is lower in energy than the unfolded protein, this only indicates that the process is favourable to occur. The speed at which it occurs (the rate of the reaction) is independent of $\Delta G$ and instead is governed by the size of the barrier between the energy of the unfolded protein ensemble and the energy of the transition state ensemble (also known as the activation barrier or $\Delta G^\#$ for folding). The lower the energy of the transition state ensemble, the faster the protein folds, which can be as fast as microseconds. We still do not fully understand how to predict how a protein domain will fold, how favourable it will be and how fast it will proceed. One approach to learn the rules is to study how humans engage in a protein folding game. If you want to get involved and have fun trying to fold your own protein using your computer, please visit the ‘fold.it’ site.

Every domain within a full-length protein will usually fold independently; however, sometimes, one or more domains can misfold, and the protein sometimes gets tangled up, forming a protein aggregate, which is described next.
Figure 8. Cross-β structure of amyloid material

NMR atomic-resolution structure of an amyloid triplet fibril (right) fitted into a cryo-EM reconstruction (centre). The background image of the fibril (left) was taken using Transmission Electron Microscopy (scale bar, 50 nm). The constituent β-sheets are shown in a ribbon representation in blue; oxygen, carbon and nitrogen atoms are shown in red, grey and blue, respectively. Note that in a cross-β structure β-strands are stacked one on top of the other. Image adapted with permission from Fitzpatrick, Debelouchina, Bayro, Clare, Caporini, Bajaj, Jaroniec, Wang, Ladizhansky and Müller (2013) Atomic structure and hierarchical assembly of a cross-β amyloid fibril. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 5468–5473. Abbreviations: cryo-EM, cryogenic electron microscopy; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance.

Protein misfolding

Most of the time, folded proteins stay folded, however, under certain conditions, normally stable natively folded proteins can partially unfold and assemble into a multisubunit aggregated form known as amyloid. The formation of amyloid is associated with a range of increasingly common human disorders, including Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases as well as type II diabetes where it builds up in organs and tissues throughout the body. Different proteins can form amyloid and each is associated with its own disease. What is intriguing about amyloid is for a range of protein structures, the final amyloid material they adopt shares a remarkably similar structure.

Under an electron microscope, amyloid looks like long unbranching fibres composed of filaments that wrap around each other like threads in a rope. At the protein structural level, the filaments are made up from parallel extended β-sheets structures, known as cross-β. Individual β-strands are stacked in-register, one on top of the other, running perpendicular to the fibril axis. Sidechains protrude from the sheets, and the hydrogen bonds that hold the sheets together run along the length of the cross-β fibril. Since β-sheets are held together by peptide backbone interactions that all proteins can make, this helps explain why so many proteins can adopt this structure (Figure 8). As well as their similar structures, amyloid fibrils from different proteins all have the ability to bind histological dyes.

Amyloid assembly starts with the protein adopting a partially unfolded conformation. This state is neither fully folded nor unfolded and retains secondary structural elements such as β-sheets and α-helices. However, it loses the defined tertiary structure and tight packing of a folded protein. Experimentally, low pH, high temperature and low
Table 2 Types of motions found in proteins. These can range in timescales from hours to fractions of seconds

| Motion                                                      | Distance moved (Å) | Time taken (s) | Energy source   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Atomic or molecular vibrations                              | ~0.01 to 1        | ~10^{-15} to 10^{-11} | Thermal energy  |
| Collective motions                                          | ~0.01 to >5        | ~10^{-12} to 10^{-3}    | Thermal energy  |
| • Fast (e.g. amino acid sidechain movements such as ring flips) |                    |                 |                 |
| • Slow (e.g. domain shifts)                                 |                    |                 |                 |
| Binding induced conformational changes                      | ~0.5 to >10        | ~10^{-9} to 10^{2}    | Binding interactions |

Concentrations of denaturants are also all known to promote adoption of partially unfolded conformations. Mutations, where one amino acid is swapped for another, can also cause the partially unfolded conformation to be more easily adopted. As people age, there is also a gradual breakdown in the cell’s ability to remove occasionally misfolded proteins and explains why some amyloid diseases like early-onset Alzheimer’s are hereditary and age-related. It was initially thought that the amyloid fibrils were responsible for bringing about disease, but it is now more accepted that it is a structure called an oligomer, populated in the early stages of amyloid formation that is the most toxic entity. Oligomers are flexible and soluble existing in several forms. They bring about a toxic gain of function, and solving their structure remains one of the significant challenges of structural biology. In fact, all folded and misfolded proteins regardless of their structure, have a range of flexibility and dynamics that is central to their function and this is considered next.

**Protein dynamics**

Proteins are not static and often appear to change their initial native folded structure to allow binding or catalysis to occur. For example, the enzyme hexokinase (Figure 3) that is involved in the first step of glycolysis (the breakdown of glucose) changes conformation. This enzyme contains two (sub)domains and the active site is found between them. Interfaces between protein domains are an ideal place to create active sites as the two parts can shift relative to each other in response to what happens between them. When the substrate glucose binds to the active site region in the open conformation, the two domains change their position to ‘clamp down’ on the substrate to form a closed conformation. This conformational change allows hexokinase to position its catalytic residues around glucose. Once enclosed in the active site, the substrate is phosphorylated using a molecule of bound adenosine triphosphate (ATP), resulting in the production of glucose-6-phosphate.

Hexokinase and other proteins in general are not just limited to a few conformational states, instead proteins are better thought of as dynamic molecules undergoing exchange between states. They are continually undergoing motions where atoms vibrate, bonds wiggle and at times more significant fluctuations occur as the protein samples other possible conformations. These structural changes and dynamic motions are essential for substrate binding and many other functions. With computer simulations, we are starting to visualise the complete process in real-time, in molecular movies generated by molecular dynamic simulations. These movies highlight why folding, structure, dynamics and interactions are central to understanding protein biology. As we will see, some intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) naturally exist unfolded all of the time yet do not form amyloid and are therefore even more dynamic, having many more interactions with other biomolecules.

An interesting consequence of conformational change is that after one ligand has bound to a protein, it may change the shape of a separate binding site such that the binding affinity of another ligand at that distant site also changes. In other words, the second ligand may have a different affinity to its target protein depending on whether the first ligand is bound. This concept is known as allostery and is central to the regulation of proteins and enzymes. For example, in haemoglobin, there are four subunits, each containing a haem group that binds oxygen (Figure 3). Oxygen binding at the four haem sites does not necessarily happen simultaneously. Once the first haem binds oxygen, it introduces small changes in the structure of the corresponding protein chain (subunit). These changes nudge the neighbouring chain causing a subtle rotation into a different shape, which allows further oxygen molecules to bind more easily (Figure 9). This effect is called positive allostery as it makes the next event more likely to occur. Allostery is central to regulating metabolic pathways as enzymes at the start of the pathway can be inhibited when the levels of product rise too high via feedback inhibition. The final product usually causes a conformational change in the first (committed) step enzyme such that its substrate can no longer bind as well to its active site. This process is called negative allostery (Figure 10).

Proteins undergo many other types of motions such as internal vibrations and rotations of methyl groups and collective motions of groups of atoms such as wigwag motions of long sidechains or flipping of short peptide loops. Each of these movements is extremely important and is also often central to the protein’s function (Table 2). As the number...
Figure 9. Positive allostery in haemoglobin
This diagram illustrates the 'sequential' model of cooperativity, which suggests that oxygen binding to one subunit of haemoglobin starts a sequence of conformational changes in the other haemoglobin subunits, which increase their affinity for oxygen, and that this happens in a sequence. The binding of oxygen (blue circle) in one subunit causes a structural change in a neighbouring subunit (purple) that makes them more able to bind another oxygen molecule.

Figure 10. Feedback inhibition in metabolic pathways
The production of the metabolite E in this four-step metabolic pathway allows it to bind to the first enzyme in the pathway to turn it off, thus regulating the amount of E in the cell. When levels of E drop, the pathway will be turned back on again as the first enzyme is no longer inhibited. Frequently this feedback inhibition is caused by negative allostery that involves a change in the conformation of the active site by another molecule binding elsewhere on the enzyme.

of exchanging conformations increases, it is simply not possible to represent a protein with a single structure. Instead, one must describe them as a population of multiple interconverting conformations known as a structural ensemble. Structural ensembles are especially relevant when a protein has a large intrinsically disordered region (IDR) that has a low number of bulky hydrophobic amino acids so that in isolation it remains unfolded despite other parts of the protein being folded. Some proteins are so flexible and dynamic that they are classed as being intrinsically disordered proteins and have no defined secondary structure at all. This is a relatively recent understanding as unfolded proteins were thought to result only from conditions such as extreme heat or acidity or from severe mutations. In fact, there
Figure 11. Cartoon of the coupled folding and binding
PUMA is an intrinsically disordered protein (green) that folds on binding to the folded MCL-1 protein (white). Before binding, PUMA is modelled as an ensemble of rapidly interconverting unfolded states.

appears to be a continuum for proteins with some, where one structure dominates to others that are fully disordered and better described as a dynamic ensemble of unstructured conformations.

Over the past 20 years, there has been considerable interest in disordered proteins as approximately one-third of human proteins contain disordered regions that are 30 or more amino acid residues long. Due to their fluctuating structures disordered proteins offer many advantages for cellular function. The flexibility of a disordered protein, means that the protein can easily be accessed by enzymes such as kinases that can post-translationally modify them (in the case of a kinase enzyme this would add a phosphate group). In many cases, when a disordered protein or region binds a target, it undergoes a conformational change to a better defined structure (Figure 11). The same protein can act as a molecular hub and bind a range of molecules including small ligands, membrane surfaces or other proteins. Folding on binding does not always have to happen, and multiple binding events can simultaneously work together on a disordered protein to change its structure and dynamics. The disordered protein may need to bind several molecules before it gains a 3D shape. For the correct combination of stimuli, this will create a new specific ensemble that forms an appropriate binding site to bind the next target in a signalling cascade, leading to the correct response. It is, therefore, not surprising that disordered proteins provide a way to regulate cell signalling. In this process, signals that come from outside the cell get converted into responses inside the cell. The same disordered protein can process multiple stimuli enabling quick and flexible responses to the changing conditions that cells face. Disordered proteins are also involved in cell cycle activities, transcription and translation, cargo transport and apoptosis. Another exciting area for disordered proteins is their ability to self-assemble into multiprotein complexes and still maintain a fairly extended, non-globular shape, as would be expected for independently folded proteins. These extended conformations allow disordered proteins to become a molecular glue that has a much larger surface area for contacts between proteins and cements the complex together, as can be seen in the assembly of the yeast ribosome.

Finally, disordered proteins with ‘multivalent’ or ‘multiple interaction’ sites have been shown to engage in rapid dynamic exchanging interactions with each other that can cause liquid–liquid phase separation. In these cases, instead of forming amyloid or a defined large complex, some disordered proteins come together to form a separate liquid phase inside the cell that is enriched with these multivalent molecules. The new liquid phase that is formed is called a biomolecular condensate and allows the cell to organise and concentrate molecules involved in a given biochemical reaction just like classic membrane-bound organelles such as the mitochondria. For this reason, they are often referred to as membrane-less organelles. Some of these liquid phases can be seen directly under the microscope, such as the nucleolus and Cajol bodies and the molecules within them carry out distinct roles in the cell. Disordered proteins are
therefore extremely important in the cell and in the future will prove central to further understanding how protein structure explains function.

The insights concerning protein folding, structure, dynamics and interactions have all come from using a range of experimental tools, which we will now explore.

**Part 2: Approaches to study protein structure**

There are a wide number of tools available to the structural biologist to allow protein structure and dynamics to be determined. Basic spectroscopic methods such as circular dichroism (CD) or fluorescence give general information about structure, whereas high-resolution methods such as X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) can provide atomic descriptions of protein structure and dynamics. Each of these tools require pure protein, usually in the form of recombinant proteins. Today a wide variety of different biological organisms can be genetically modified to create the required protein synthetically in large quantities, which has led to huge progress in methods that can study protein structure and dynamics.

**Spectroscopy and light**

To study proteins, we use electromagnetic radiation (see Box 2, Properties of Light Box) to probe their structural and functional properties using a fundamental experimental technique called spectroscopy. Spectroscopy is the study of the interaction of electromagnetic radiation (light) with matter, in our case proteins. Several closely related events can occur depending on the amount of energy that the radiation carries. In the first example of absorption, electromagnetic radiation is captured by a protein sample, which converts the energy of the photon into internal energy. Atoms within proteins are composed of a nucleus containing neutrons, protons and dispersed electrons. Electrons, however, are not merely floating within the atom but are instead fixed within electron orbitals. There are multiple electron orbitals within an atom, and each has its an energy level associated with it. Since the energy levels of matter are quantised, only light of energy that can cause transitions from one existing energy level to another will be absorbed. The amount of energy carried by a light photon depends on wavelength. The shorter the wavelength, the higher the energy carried by a photon; hence, ultraviolet (UV) light carries more energy than visible light. When a molecule absorbs a photon of the correct energy, an electron is promoted from its ground state to an excited state. This occurs if the energy of the photon, corresponding to the energy gap between the ground state and an empty higher energy level (the excited state). After absorption, the energy is then lost to the solvent as heat (thermal energy) when the electron drops back to the ground state. An absorption spectrum measures the amount of light that passes through a sample at a variety of wavelengths. The spectrum depends on the type and arrangement of atoms in the sample and can make absorption spectra useful for identifying different molecules. In this way, absorption spectroscopy can be used to reveal some very basic information about the structure and conformational states of a protein.

**Box 2 Properties of Light Box**

Light is a type of energy. The nature of light is best explained based on the idea of wave-particle duality. This means that in certain experiments, light acts as a particle (photon) with discrete energy (quanta). In other experiments, light can also act as a wave that oscillates in the direction of travel carrying electromagnetic radiation (Figure B1). Each wave is made up of an electric field and a magnetic field that oscillate perpendicular to each other and is described by a periodic function, for example a cosine operation. These oscillations consist of successive troughs and crests in the electric and magnetic fields where the distance between two adjacent crests or troughs is called the wavelength (\(\lambda\)) which is related to the frequency of repeats within a given distance. The peaks and troughs from the electric and magnetic fields are in phase with each other and reach minima (troughs) and maxima (peaks) together. The amplitude (the height of the wave) determines how bright or dim this light is.

The visible light that can be seen by the human eye is radiation within a small portion of the electromagnetic spectrum. This spectrum also includes radio waves, microwaves, infrared (IR), (visible) light, UV, X-rays and \(\gamma\) rays, which are named according to their wavelength. Visible light has a wavelength in the 400–700 nm range (10\(^{-9}\) metres) whereas radio is within the metre to kilometre range (10\(^3\) metres). The wavelength is determined by the frequency of a wave or its rate of oscillation (how long it takes to complete one repeat) and is measured in Hertz where one Hertz is equal to one
oscillation per second. Shorter wavelengths have a higher Hertz and longer wavelengths have lower Hertz. The frequency of an electromagnetic wave is directly related to the energy of the photon with shorter wavelengths having higher energy, this means that an X-ray beam is higher energy than a radiowave. Frequency is converted into wavelength using (eqn 3):

\[ f = \frac{c}{\lambda} \] (3)

where \( f \) is the frequency (Hz), \( \lambda \) is the wavelength (m) and \( c \) is the speed of light \((3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1})\).

Besides absorption, an electromagnetic wave can also be scattered or refracted, due to its interaction with the atom resulting in a deflection from its straight path. When considering many waves scattering together as they pass through an object, this process is called diffraction and all scattered waves can be collected on a detector to form a pattern called a molecular transform. The light scattered from diffraction can be detected only at specific angles when the resulting scattered light waves interfere constructively as little or nothing will be detected when light interferes destructively. This is seen in Figure B2, if the crest of one wave lines up with the crest of another wave they are in phase and undergo constructive interference (the waves add up). If the waves are out of phase, for example the crest of one wave lines up with the trough of another wave they undergo destructive interference (the waves of equal amplitudes cancel each other out). As such, the scattered light that remains has been ‘transformed’ by constructive interference, which relies on the spacing and structural relationship of the atoms in the molecule being studied, hence the name molecular transform.

**Figures B1 and B2. Property of light and constructive interference**

(B1) A wave of light can be described by two periodic functions representing the electric and magnetic fields that are perpendicular to each other, where their amplitude changes along the x-axis. If you draw a beam of light in the form of a wave, the distance between two crests is called the wavelength. The frequency that the waves repeat themselves determines their wavelengths. For most of our text, we only show the electric component. (B2) When light waves are in phase (start at the same position within the periodic function), light interferes constructively and they add together to make a bigger wave (top panel). Light interferes destructively annihilating each other when waves are out of phase, for example when the peak of one wave is aligned with the trough of another (bottom panel).

Absorption is most commonly used to determine protein concentrations. The amount of light a protein solution absorbs is dependent on the concentration of the protein and the number and type of residues it contains. The region of the protein that absorbs electromagnetic radiation at a given wavelength is called a chromophore. There are two main chromophores in proteins; the hydrophobic aromatic side chains and the peptide bond. Let us consider the peptide bond first as this system contains the electrons responsible for the absorption of UV and infrared (IR) light. As discussed above, the peptide bond is a resonance structure where the electrons are delocalised over several atoms (Figure 4). These delocalised electrons across this bond can absorb photons in the UV light range with maximum
absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) at $\sim214$ nanometres (nm). The side chains of the tryptophan, tyrosine and phenylalanine can also act as chromophores absorbing light in the UV region with a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of $\sim280$ nm. The amount of light absorbed ($A$) in a sample will increase with the cuvette pathlength ($l$) measured in cm and the concentration of the protein ($c$) measured in molarity (M). The molar extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon$) is a measure of how strongly a chemical species or substance absorbs light at a particular wavelength, and it is a constant for each protein at a set wavelength, it has the units $\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$. These relationships define the Beer–Lambert law.

$$A = \varepsilon \cdot l \cdot c.$$  

For a given wavelength, if the extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon$) is known then by measuring the amount of light that a protein sample absorbs ($A$) and using the known pathlength ($l$), we can work out its molar concentration ($c$).

**Circular Dichroism**

Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy is a form of UV light absorption spectroscopy that is used to determine the secondary structure of proteins. To understand how the process works, we must investigate the properties of light. Each wavelength of light has associated time-dependent electric and magnetic fields that oscillate between peaks and troughs in the direction of travel. The intensity of the light (amplitude) is a measure of the relative height of the wave. Wavelength is a measurement of the distance between the peaks in metres. Light waves are said to be in phase if the peaks and troughs of the waves line up (see Box 2, Properties of Light Box). It is possible, by use of filters, to generate plane polarised light with an electric field that oscillates in just a single plane. If you are looking into the path of this light and could see it coming towards you, vertically polarised light would oscillate up and down in a single plane. If you combine in-phase horizontally polarised light with vertically polarised light, you will generate plane polarised light wave that oscillates back and forth at 45 degrees (average of the two) (Figure 12A). Something exciting happens when you combine two perpendicular plane polarised light waves of equal amplitude, but that differ in phase by a quarter as they generate circularly polarised light. The result is an electric vector that rotates either clockwise (left) or anticlockwise (right) as it propagates. In this case, if you could see the peak of the electric field as the wave came towards you, it would appear to rotate (Figure 12B). This circularly polarised light is shown as a spiral and referred to as left- and right-circular polarised light (LCP or RCP in Figure 12C). To view some excellent movies that illustrate how circularly polarised light is generated from combining plane polarised light, see the references in further reading.

If left- and right-circularly polarised light are superimposed, and after absorbance, the amplitudes are equal, the result is back to generating plane polarised light (Figure 12D, left). However, if the amplitudes are unequal because one absorbs more than the other as the light passes through a protein sample (as seen in Figure 12C), the resulting light is elliptically polarised light (Figure 12D, right). The angle made by the big axis of the ellipse with respect to the original polarisation plane is measured in degrees ($\theta$) which are the units seen on a raw CD spectrum. Since this value is usually quite small, it is often quoted in millidegrees (1/1000 of a degree). Symmetrical molecules absorb left- and right-circularly polarised light equally. Non-symmetric/chiral molecules such as proteins that contain secondary structure interact with the light and absorbed left- and right-circularly polarised components differently. Differences in the absorption of left- and right-handed circularly polarised light by the secondary structural components of a protein over a range of wavelengths give rise to a CD spectrum.

In practice, commercial CD instruments are based on modulation techniques. Firstly, linearly polarised light is passed through a monochromator which selects a single wavelength. This single-wavelength light is then passed through a modulating device called a photoelastic modulator. The modulator produces circularly polarised light that rapidly switches between left- and right-circularly polarised light which is projected on to the sample. The difference in absorption of each type of light is calculated by:

$$\Delta A = A_{\text{LCP}} - A_{\text{RCP}}$$

Where, for a given wavelength, $\Delta A$ is the difference in absorption, $A_{\text{LCP}}$ and $A_{\text{RCP}}$ are the absorption of left- and right-handed circular polarised light respectively. By taking into account the pathlength of the cell (in centimetres) used and the concentration of the molecule (in Molarity), we can arrive at molar CD ($\Delta \varepsilon$) with units degrees.$\text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$.

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta A}{\text{Molar concentration} \times \text{pathlength in cm}}$$

When working with proteins, mean residue molar CD ($\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{MR}}$) is used which reports the molar CD for individual protein residues instead of the whole protein. This allows direct comparison between proteins of different sizes. To
**Figure 12. CD spectroscopy**

Light waves can travel at any angle and through the use of a special polarising lens, light can be selected for a single plane i.e. in a vertical (represented in red) or horizontal (represented in green) plane. (A) When horizontally and vertically polarised light are combined in phase the resulting plane polarised light wave oscillates back and forth at 45 degrees (represented in blue). (B) Circularly polarised light consists of two perpendicular plane waves of equal amplitude and \( \frac{1}{4} \) of a wavelength difference in phase. At a single point in space, the circularly polarised light will trace out a circle over one period of the wave shown here as a spiral. Depending on the rotation direction, it is called left-handed (LCP) or right-handed (RCP) circularly polarised light. (C) A chiral molecule such as a protein (indicated as red box) will absorb LCP and RCP as indicated by the size of each spiral to the right of the red box. CD instrument allows the absorption of LCP and RCP circularly polarised light to be measured. (D) LCP and RCP are represented as vectors on the detector. When both LCP and RCP are absorbed the same amount (left), their combination leads to a linear (blue) vector that oscillates up and down. However, when different absorption of the LCP and RCP occurs (in this case RCP has been absorbed by the protein leading to decreased amplitude) their combination leads to elliptically polarised light. This happens as when the short vector from RCP is combined with the longer vector of LCP, the resultant rotating (blue) vector now describes an ellipse. The angle made by the big axis of the ellipse with respect to the original polarisation plane is measured in degrees (\( \theta \)). Only the electric components of light waves are shown for clarity (the magnetic component is always perpendicular to the electric component).

---

do this the mean residual concentration (Molarity multiplied by number of of amino acids) is used in place of the Molarity in the above equation, essentially treating the protein as a solution of its free amino acids.

Although \( \Delta \varepsilon \) and \( \Delta A \) are the easiest units for scientists to understand (simple differences in absorbances), CD signals are usually expressed as the degree of ellipticity, \( \theta \) (often reported in millidegrees), which is defined as the tangent of the ratio of the minor to major elliptical axis (Figure 12). It is however relatively easy to convert \( \Delta A \) into \( \theta \) by use of the following equation:

\[
\theta \text{ in degrees} = 32.982 \times \Delta A
\]
Figure 13. Characteristic CD spectra

CD spectroscopy can be used to estimate the secondary structural content of a protein. Each secondary structural type has a characteristic spectrum. α-helical proteins like Insulin (blue) have a double hump spectrum with peaks at negative bands at 222 and 208 nm and a positive band at 193 nm. Proteins with well-defined antiparallel β-sheets like Immunoglobulins (red) have negative bands at 218 nm and positive bands at 195 nm. Disordered proteins such as the micro-exon gene 14 (green) have very low signal above 210 nm and negative bands near 195 nm.

It is also standard practice for research papers to convert ΔA or θ into a value called mean residue molar ellipticity, [θ]_MR, which takes into account the dependence on concentration, pathlength and controls for the number of residues in the protein (as mentioned above for Δε_MR). The historical units of [θ]_MR are millidegrees.cm².dm mol⁻¹ and are equivalent to millidegrees.M⁻¹.m⁻¹ (which explains the factor of 100 in the equation below that converts pathlength units from centimetres into metres).

\[
[\theta]_{MR} = \frac{100 \times \theta \text{ in millidegrees}}{\text{Molar concentration} \times \text{number of residues} \times \text{pathlenth in cm}}
\]

CD spectroscopy is well suited to proteins as the peptide bonds that dictate secondary structure are optically active. Different secondary structures types absorb left- and right-circularly polarised light to different amounts meaning α-helix and β-sheets have different Far UV-CD spectra with recognisable shapes (Figure 13). An α-helical protein, for example, will have a positive peak at ~190 nm and negative peaks at 222 and 208 nm giving a characteristic double-humped spectrum in the far UV wavelength range (between 180 and 260 nm). These spectra can be compared to reference spectra that exist for proteins that are 100% α-helix, β-sheet or random coils (Figure 13), as well as more complex libraries of protein with mixed structures. A mathematical process known as deconvolution can then be used to work out the relative fractions of each secondary structural type by summing different combinations of these reference spectra. Another common use of CD with proteins uses the absorbance of the side chains of Phe, Tyr and Trp in the near UV wavelength range (250–350 nm) to give limited information about the tertiary structure of a protein. The absorption of the side chains tells us how well the secondary structure elements are packed together as well as indicating interactions with ligands that bind to the protein surface.

CD is widely used to see if proteins are folded following purification and before attempting more involved techniques such as X-ray crystallography. CD gives detailed information about a protein's secondary structure, but it does not tell us about the precise 3D structure, and for that, we need more complex methods such as X-ray crystallography, NMR and cryo-EM.

X-ray crystallography

Although CD spectroscopy indicates the secondary and tertiary structure of a protein in solution, it does not provide structural detail at the atomic level. X-ray crystallography however reveals the accurate structure of biomolecules held within crystals. X-rays are used as they have a wavelength that closely approximates the length of covalent bonds. This means they are ideal for resolving atoms separated by these distances. Modern crystallography methods are usually performed at cryogenic temperatures allowing large (between 2 and 100 nm) complex structures to be determined.
Protein crystals are made up of a repeating array of unit cells that contain one or more copies of a protein. When these crystals are exposed to X-rays, the light changes its path and those diffracted X-rays that undergo constructive interference are measured on a detector and are called reflections. Experiments are repeated for multiple orientations of the crystal and all measured reflections are combined to create a full set of data to be analysed by a computer to generate a protein structure.

One of the most important structures determined was that of the ribosome and led to the award of the Nobel Prize in 2009 (Chemistry) to Ada Yonath alongside Venkatraman Ramakrishnan and Thomas A. Steitz. Such discoveries and innovation have transformed our understanding of biology by allowing access to the atomic detail of biomolecules.

The hanging drop vapour diffusion method is a common method used to form crystals. The process begins by using a highly concentrated pure protein in a buffered solution. The protein sample is suspended as a drop over a liquid reservoir of buffer in a sealed container. The drop contains a lower concentration of buffer components than the reservoir. Equilibrium between the drop and the reservoir is achieved by the water vapour leaving the drop and moving to the reservoir. The movement of water between the drop and the reservoir increases the concentration of the protein until it becomes supersaturated and starts to form a crystal.

Crystals are a highly ordered arrangement of individual protein molecules. When an X-ray beam is focussed on a protein crystal, the electric component of the electromagnetic X-ray waves interacts with the atom's electron clouds surrounding the nuclei of the atoms leading to diffraction (Figure 14). The diffracted X-rays generate spots (also called reflections) on a detector (digital camera) that have an intensity. The spots are the result of reflections of the crystal at a certain angle ($2\theta$) relative to the original beam according to the geometric laws of constructive interference in crystals first described by Bragg (see Box 3, Bragg's Law box). The waves that generated the measured reflections can be represented as a periodic cosine wave by: $y = A\cos(x + \theta)$. $A$ is the amplitude, which can be calculated from the intensities of the diffraction spots (square root of the intensities) and $\theta$, which is the phase of the wave and unfortunately cannot be recorded. Due to the flat nature of the detector, only a subset of diffraction spots are recorded at any given X-ray-to-crystal angle. Therefore, the experiment is repeated with the crystal rotated to multiple different orientations which allow the angle of the incoming X-rays to change with respect to the crystal providing new reflections. After all diffraction patterns are recorded, for any given protein, a dataset of spots is collated that corresponds to many of the possible constructive interference diffraction events for the crystal. Unlike visible light microscopy, there is no lens to refocus these rays and we need the mathematical power of a computer to convert the X-ray data into electron density which allows us to form an image of the protein. X-ray crystallography thus requires four main components: an X-ray source, a protein crystal, a detector and a computer.

Box 3 Bragg's Law Box

Bragg determined that constructive interference (see Box 2, Properties of Light Box) between diffracted X-rays will only occur for certain values of $d$ and $\theta$ when the term $2d\sin\theta$ is an integer multiple of the x-ray wavelength $\lambda$, (i.e. $1\lambda$, $2\lambda$, $3\lambda$, ...). The equation is formally written $n\lambda = 2d\sin\theta$, where $n$ is an integer.
where $d$ is the distance between parallel planes and $\theta$ is the angle of approach between the incoming X-rays and the crystal (Figure B3 and Figure 14). This means that a reflection will only be recorded for certain incoming X-ray-to-crystal angles when the equivalent repeating electrons in a protein crystal are found on a set of planes with the appropriate interplanar distance. For example, when X-rays with a wavelength of 1.54 Ångstroms ($1.54 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$) hit a protein crystal such that $\theta$ is 45 degrees, only electrons that repeat on planes separated by 1.1, 2.2 or 3.3 Ångstroms will contribute to a recorded reflection. That is why the crystal is rotated as it allows different values of $\theta$ to be used in the experiment and thus generates information about repeating electrons found on different Bragg planes. The smaller the interplanar spacing $d$, the larger the X-ray-to-crystal angle will be for strong diffraction to occur, which means a higher resolution structure that has more details from electrons spaced closer together need crystals that diffract to higher angles. Crystallographers can determine which set of planes are responsible for a given reflection (i.e. what value of $h$, $k$ and $l$ they have) by the relative position of the spot on the detector, furthermore, from the spot intensities, they can determine how many electrons lie on these planes.

Ordered crystals act to amplify diffraction and convert a very weak scattering that would result from one protein molecule into a strong diffraction pattern described by Bragg’s Law (see Box 3, Bragg’s Law box). The intensity of each spot in a diffraction pattern from a crystal is determined by how many electrons lie on a particular set of imaginary parallel planes called Bragg planes that cross through the crystal. Each set of planes is named by having a unique integer value for the letters $h$, $k$ and $l$, which describe the spacing and direction of these planes in three dimensions. There are billions of copies of protein molecules in a crystal which are arranged in a regular lattice, which means that the parallel Bragg planes cross the same place in the protein for every copy. For any given set of planes, this allows all copies of the protein to contribute to the same given diffraction event through constructive interference, increasing the signal of the spots on the detector.

Ultimately, it is the electron density around all the protein atoms in a crystal that scatters X-rays to form the diffraction patterns. The electron density and diffraction patterns are converted between each other by a mathematical operation called a Fourier Transform (Figure 15). To convert a set of reflections into an electron density map, we need the amplitudes of each reflection as well as the phase. The amplitude is measured as the square root of the spot intensity;

![Figure B3. Bragg’s Law](image-url)
Figure 15. Fourier Transformation

The Fourier mathematical operation sums the contributions of several simple functions with different frequencies, amplitudes and phases (on left) to make a complicated function (on right). Simple functions could be used to describe each reflection in a diffraction pattern or each electron position in a protein crystal. Complicated functions generated after transforming a set of reflections or set of electrons could be a complete electron density map or a complete diffraction pattern respectively. To get sufficient signal from a crystal, Bragg’s law must be obeyed, which is only satisfied for certain diffraction events that limits the number of reflections to be Fourier transformed after a diffraction experiment. In this example, all waves are in phase but most waves representing reflections are usually out of phase with each other, meaning they would not all start at the same point on the curve and their phases would need to be estimated in order to solve the phase problem. NMR also uses the Fourier transformation to convert a complicated FID generated from multiple atoms into a series of simple functions with different frequencies and amplitudes.

however the phase is unknown. It is also possible to back-calculate an estimate of the amplitude and phase of possible diffraction peaks from the known electron density (atomic positions).

As the phase information of the reflections cannot be measured, we do not have the complete mathematical description of these functions and therefore we cannot apply a Fourier Transform to them to get to the electron density. This is known as the phase problem. One way to solve this is called Molecular Replacement, where we use a model protein structure (previously determined) that we assume is very similar to the unknown structure for which we have a set of measured diffraction intensities. A computer program tries all possible positions and orientations of this model in the unknown crystal to find a match between the measured diffraction pattern and the pattern predicted for each model orientation being tested (calculated by a Fourier Transformation). When the correct orientation has been found, we assume the model has crystallised in the unknown crystal with this orientation and borrow the phase values generated from this model for the unknown phases. With these phases solved by molecular replacement and the experimentally observed amplitudes, an initial map of the unknown structure is now possible by Fourier transformation.

Using features of the initial electron density map, we start to build an atomic model by threading the known polypeptide chain sequence into the density. Once we have built an initial model, we can calculate all the theoretical reflections and phases that would result from the model structure being in the crystal. This is done in a computer by applying a Fourier transform to a series of simple functions that represent the electron positions in that crystal. We use these new theoretical phases and combine them with the experimental intensities to apply another Fourier transform that creates a new and improved electron density map. The phases improve because the original phases were based on an estimate from a similar structure (using molecular replacement), however, now we can build into the map and define solvent molecules, bound ligands and avoid building into a density that appears to be noise. Thus, applying a Fourier Transform to this model will give a new set of wave functions with more accurate phases than the starting set of reflections. The process of model building, calculating new phases, creating an improved electron density map and making an improved model, which overall, is the process of refinement, continues until no further improvements can be made. At this stage of the refinement, different electron density maps are calculated that allow crystallographers to see any mistakes made in the model that are not consistent with the experimental reflections, locate missing atoms and make adjustments to the final structure. In Figure 16, where the final electron density map has been calculated, there is clear evidence of the peptide backbone and sidechains and the primary amino acid sequence is easily fitted into this map.

Once the structure has been fully refined, the x, y, z coordinates of each of the atoms within it are shared with other scientists by depositing them into a global database called the Protein Data Bank (PDB). X-ray crystallography finds the structure of proteins fixed in the crystal lattices. The crystals can contain 20–80% solvent, and protein molecules are generally observed to be in the active state as has been demonstrated for many enzymes. However, structures tend to represent a snapshot of the protein, like taking a photo of an object, and some dynamic information is missing. We
Figure 16. An electron density map

Electron density map can be calculated using the information from the intensities of experimental reflections combined with the best possible phases. A model (shown as sticks and balls) can be built into this electron density (sticks). Post-refinement electron density is from human synaptotagmin 1 C2B domain.

will next review the process of studying the structure of proteins using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), which studies proteins completely free in solution.

NMR

NMR can reveal the structure and dynamics of biomolecules in solution, which is how they exist inside cells. In fact, NMR has been used to directly study proteins in the cell, even if they are unfolded. We will see that NMR can be used to reveal all the atomic positions within proteins and how these move and change in real-time when interacting with other molecules such as other proteins or drugs. Such information can tell the structural biologist how a protein can exert its function inside the cell. With this information, we can better understand how proteins lose function in disease, how to engineer them to be more effective or how to design drugs to alter their behaviour.

NMR is based on the observation that certain atomic nuclei have a property called a spin magnetic moment. A common analogy is that each nucleus behaves as if it were a tiny bar magnet pointing in a particular direction. Only magnetically active atoms with a spin value of $\frac{1}{2}$ can be observed by NMR, for example, $^1$H, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C. A typical protein sample at millimolar concentrations will contain $10^{17}$ molecules and therefore $10^{17}$ copies of each given atom (Figure 17). The 'bar magnets’ that represent many identical copies of these atoms point in all directions and on an average, they cancel out any net magnetic moment/dipole. However, something special happens when this sample is placed in an NMR superconducting magnet, as the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei are now exposed to a very strong external magnetic field ($B_0$). After a short time (few seconds), equilibrium is reached and the bar magnets start to rotate (or precess) around the external field all at the same resonance (or Larmor) frequency, although at a range of different angles, like a large collection of spinning tops that are tilted to various degrees from the ground (second column in Figure 17). However, instead of cancelling out, there are now slightly more in an orientation parallel to the external magnetic field ($B_0$). This occurs as this orientation has the lowest energy with the external magnetic field ($B_0$). This slight bias within the group produces on average, a slight net upward magnetic moment, which we call bulk magnetisation. At equilibrium this magnetisation is stationary pointing along the z-axis, and no net magnetisation is present in the x–y plane and the spins are out of phase and do not precess together in synchrony.

When a very short, yet carefully chosen length, radiofrequency (RF) electrical pulse is applied through a wire coil close to the sample but wrapping around the x-axis, it generates a weak varying magnetic field ($B_1$) that is perpendicular to $B_0$. This transverse RF magnetic field tips the bulk magnetisation away from the vertical axis exactly into
Figure 17. How bulk magnetisation is generated and manipulated for multiple copies of the same atom

A given atom in a protein is represented as many vectors (with different directions) as there will be many copies in the sample (bottom panel). The individual vectors average (or sum) to generate a bulk magnetisation vector (thick black line) with properties that represent all of these identical atoms (top panel). Before an external magnetic field ($B_0$) is applied, individual vectors point in all directions and no bulk magnetisation vector is present (left). However, after a $B_0$ field is applied (grey arrow in bottom panel) the sample generates a net magnetisation along the magnetic field direction (the z-axis) which can be represented by a bulk magnetisation vector (thick black arrow in top panel). When a short RF-Pulse (along the x-axis) has been applied, the bulk magnetisation is nudged into the x–y plane and immediately afterwards starts to rotate about the z-axis in a corkscrew motion at its Larmor frequency (chemical shift) as it returns back to its equilibrium position. The x-component of the rotating bulk magnetisation following the pulse is measured by the spectrometer’s coil as a decaying oscillating electric field called an FID. The RF-pulse is effective as it generates a short-lived oscillating $B_1$ magnetic field in the coil, along the x-axis, which is at the same Larmor frequency of the nuclei under study, allowing it to rotate magnetisation toward the x–y plane. This is similar to effectively pushing a child on a swing, one constant push (constant $B_1$) is not as effective as pushing with the natural frequency of the swing (oscillating $B_1$). This vector model only really applies to spins that are not ‘coupled’ to another spin and for a deeper understanding of NMR, we would need to consider the subatomic quantum realm, where conventional/familiar, classical physics, does not apply and is beyond the scope of this text.
Figure 18. An $^1$H FID for a protein and its Fourier Transform

The FID on the left is the sum of FIDs for each different Hydrogen nucleus in the protein. Fourier transformation of this FID creates a set of component frequencies (seen as a peak for each individual FID). Conversion of Larmor frequency (Hz) into chemical shift (ppm) as seen in the 1D $^1$H NMR spectrum of a protein allows for values to be independent of the magnet strength used. Each peak represents the hydrogen atoms connected to different carbons or nitrogens in the protein. The chemical shifts are different because the $^1$H nuclei all experience slightly different magnetic environments based on their chemical group and position in the protein and thus their bulk magnetisation vectors rotate at slightly different frequencies. Hydrogens found in common chemical groups (in amides, aromatics, aliphatics, methyl etc.) are indicated above the spectrum. The well-dispersed peaks between 6 and 10 ppm in the backbone amide region indicate that the protein is well folded. It is common to make a higher dimensional spectrum such as the 2D spectrum that plots the chemical shift values for pairs of atoms connected by a covalent bond to better resolve the overlapping signals. Abbreviation: 2D, two dimensional.

Independent of the $B_0$ magnetic field used for a given NMR spectrometer. For a protein, when using an RF-pulse designed to only perturb hydrogens, there could be as many as 1000 $^1$H nuclei within the combined amino acids.

Each $^1$H atom in a protein is surrounded by a unique chemical environment from electrons in nearby atoms in the biomolecule that leads to a slightly different Larmor frequency compared with other $^1$H atoms. These nearby electrons have the effect of shielding the nuclei from the full strength of the external $B_0$ magnetic field, which in turn affects its rate of precession (Larmor frequency). For example, if electrons are pulled away from a hydrogen, the Larmor frequency for that hydrogen is shifted downfield as the atom is less shielded, which causes the chemical shift to increase. This is seen for amide hydrogens which are attached to nitrogen, an electronegative atom (Figure 18).

Although studying one nucleus from one atom in a protein can be informative, in order to study all of them, we must know which chemical shift belongs to which atom in the protein. This requires a series of experiments that measure multiple different types of magnetically active nuclei ($^1$H, $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N) on recombinant proteins that have incorporated $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N isotopes. With these labelled proteins, we can determine how atoms are connected together using experiments that are designed to transfer bulk magnetisation from one atom to another through ‘coupled’ or connecting bonds in the protein, ultimately telling us the chemical shift of every atom.
A simple H-N two dimensional (2D) spectrum can be recorded on a recombinant protein that has incorporated the relatively inexpensive $^{15}$N isotope. After our assignment experiments described above, we can label each peak in this spectrum as an amino acid according to the chemical shift value for its backbone amide nitrogen and hydrogen. This is very useful as it provides a unique “fingerprint” identification of the protein in an experiment that takes less than 30 min to run (Figure 19). The simple H-N 2D spectrum is incredibly powerful, as it is an excellent check on the condition of a protein, before embarking on lengthy experiments (such as structure determination). It can tell you if the protein is folded, by checking if the peaks are well dispersed in the spectrum and not simply concentrated in the middle of the spectrum (between 7.8 and 8.8 ppm), which would indicate an unfolded or intrinsically disordered protein. It can tell you if the protein is aggregated by examining the shape of the peaks if they are spread out and broadened then that could indicate some form of self-association. It can also indicate if parts of your protein are dynamic as usually these peaks are missing in the spectrum. Crucially, H-N 2D spectra are frequently used to look at interactions with other proteins, ligands or drugs. Binding partners often are unlabelled (contain the natural $^{12}$C and $^{14}$N isotopes) to ensure they will not contribute to the spectra. However, when they are added to a labelled protein, we can quickly tell which of its amino acids are involved in binding, as these peaks will shift due to the new environment created by the binding partner. This allows us to map the binding surface on to the protein and estimate the strength of binding by titrating the binding partner into the protein and recording a series of 2D spectra to follow the peak positions.

To gain the full 3D structure of a protein, we need to assign all atoms to chemical shift values. This information is then used to determine which atoms are close together in space (not through bonds) through a variation of NMR experiment called Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (NOESY) experiment. In this experiment, the transfer of magnetisation from one hydrogen atom to another nearby hydrogen atom in 3D space is recorded. The size or strength of the bulk magnetisation vector after the transfer has occurred in a NOESY experiment tells us how close that atom was to the nearby atom. After identifying all possible Nuclear Overhauser Effects (NOEs) for the protein, we produce a series of atom–atom distances that connect the polypeptide to itself and help define its fold. We use a computer to
Figure 20. Cryo-EM process

Image processing outline illustrated with data from the small pore-forming toxin lysenin. To capture the initial images, protein samples are transferred onto a copper mesh grid coated with a perforated carbon film. The sample is then flash frozen in ethane at $-190^\circ$C, causing the water to vitrify and capturing the proteins in random orientations within the holes of the carbon film. A beam of electrons is then used to capture a faint trace image of the protein. The computer determines what is protein and what is background. Similar images of the protein in the same orientation are placed into the groups. Using thousands of similar images of the protein, the computer generates a high-resolution 2D image by averaging all the faint images. A 3D image is then calculated by working out how the 2D images relate to each other producing an electron density map from which the structure is then determined.

Image from Savva (2019) A beginner’s guide to cryogenic electron microscopy. Biochemist 41, 46–52.

find the fold that is consistent with all of these measured distances by doing a series of molecular dynamics simulations which is repeated approximately 100 times. With enough NOE restraints, most of the simulations will ‘converge’ on an ensemble of equivalent and similar low energy structures that all ‘fit’ with the distance restraints used.

Protein NMR spectroscopy is powerful as once an ensemble of structures is determined, further experiments are performed that detail the dynamics of each atom and the bonds they form. NMR thus gives information about how the protein moves in solution and combined with additional molecular dynamics techniques (Table 3), it is possible to estimate its conformational ensemble. NMR dynamics experiments can also be performed on assigned proteins which do not have an NMR structure determined, and the results simply mapped on to a model determined previously making the process quicker. As such, NMR can quickly provide a wealth of information as soon as protein has been purified. NMR is an essential tool as protein motions are central to function inside the cell as we saw when we considered how proteins fold and their associated dynamics.

Cryo-EM

One of the drawbacks of X-ray crystallography is the need for a crystal to produce the diffraction patterns and a drawback of NMR is there is a limitation on the size of the protein that can be studied. In the 1970s, Nigel Unwin was trying to determine the shape of a protein called bacteriorhodopsin. Unable to produce a crystal of the molecule,
Table 3 Other methods used to study protein structure and their interactions

| Scientific concept | What does it tell you?                                                                 | How long does it take and how many samples can be analysed? |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Small Angle X-Ray Scattering (SAXS) | Analysing the intensities at different X-ray to sample (small angles provides a distance distribution function which gives the frequencies of all possible intramolecular distances in a protein. From this you can model the overall protein shape and generate a simple protein envelope. Since samples are in solution you can easily detect dynamics, binding and conformational changes. The data also allow you to calculate a radius of gyration (the distance the mass is spread). | The data can be recorded relatively quickly in 1 day and only requires well matched buffer solutions to subtract any scattering contribution from buffer. Some facilities can now analyse multiple samples within a 96-well plate but most commonly only one sample can be measured at a time. |
| Isothermal Titration Calorimetry | It tells you how well the molecule binds and the enthalpy, entropy and free energy of the interaction. | One titration for one interaction takes approximately 2 h. |
| Native Mass Spectrometry | The molecular weight of proteins and complexes can be determined in the gas phase. It can be used to tell you through changes in mass, if the protein has bound to another molecule, for example, a metal ion or drug. | Each spectrum can be acquired in a few seconds so many samples can be measured in a day but data analysis can take many more hours. |
| General Fluorescence | Different fluorophores absorb and emit light at different wavelengths dependent on their local environment. For example, the molecule 8-anoilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid (ANS) is an extensively utilised fluorescent probe for the characterisation of proteins and binding sites as it only fluoresces when bound to hydrophobic patches on a protein. | The process is very rapid, occurring within milliseconds. By use of multiwell plate readers, many hundreds of measurements can be recorded within a few minutes. |
| Differential Scanning Fluorimetry | It tells you the temperature at which half the protein is unfolded, also known as the Tm. If you add a drug molecule to the protein the Tm increases and this can tell you how well it binds. | You can measure 96 samples in just 1 h. It is very popular for screening many binding partners and buffer conditions. |
| Intrinsic Tryptophan Fluorescence | As the peak wavelength of light emitted is dependent on the environment around the amino acid side chain, fluorescence can be used as a very sensitive measurement of the conformational state of individual tryptophan residues. If the emitted light is nearer to 300 nm then the Tryptophan is in a non-polar environment or if it is nearer to 350 nm, it is in an aqueous polar environment. | Like with general fluorescence, the process is very rapid. Typically, emission spectra can be acquired in less than a minute, meaning many samples can be analysed quickly. |
| Chemical Denaturation followed by Intrinsic Tryptophan Fluorescence | The data are plotted and produces a denaturation curve that tells you the concentration of denaturant where half of the protein is unfolded. The slope of the transition also tells you how sensitive the protein is to the denaturant. Together these values allow you to calculate a free energy change for unfolding, which is an absolute measure of the protein's stability. If drugs or ligands are also included in a separate experiment, then a binding constant can be calculated. Proteins can also be suddenly induced to fold or unfold where the change in fluorescence can be measured in real time to understand protein folding kinetics. | These assays are typically run in a 96-well plate, using small volumes and low concentrations of proteins. A titration of a whole plate can take approximately 6 h and takes more data analysis than Differential Scanning Fluorimetry but gives more accurate and quantitative data. Protein unfolding kinetics can also be performed in a plate but direct folding kinetics usually requires a stopped-flow spectrometer and is lower throughput. |
### Table 3 Other methods used to study protein structure and their interactions (Continued)

| Scientific concept | What does it tell you? | How long does it take and how many samples can be analysed? |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer** | Can be used as a molecular ruler to determine how close two molecules are together. One protein is tagged with a donor fluorophore and the second is tagged with an acceptor fluorophore. If they are within a few nanometres, then energy transfer occurs. It is used to measure dynamics and protein interactions. | Acquiring data for FRET is rapid once the proteins are labelled. However, attaching fluorescent probes to a protein can take many hours or days to achieve. |

### Protein Computational Biology

**Computational Biology** can be used to predict structure and dynamics of proteins. Many powerful algorithms have been developed that consider chemical properties of the amino acid sequence to characterise proteins. Homology modelling uses a sequence of a protein with an unknown structure with a known structure that is usually in a related family (see SCOP and CATH) to model the unknown structure. This method is an active area of research. Another important area is molecular dynamics simulations which apply to proteins the rules in chemistry and physics that govern how molecules behave in aqueous environments. System-wide analysis of proteins uses an organism’s proteome, which is all of its protein sequences determined from genome sequencing.

These methods generate several important protein databases of predicted structures, interactions and evolutionary relationships that generate hypotheses that are testable in the lab. Molecular dynamics generate movies of protein motions that provide new information about how proteins behave that could not be seen using traditional experimental techniques. A new field of systems biology, tries to combine all information available about proteins in an organism to simulate how they all work together in a cell, or complete organism, to carry out life functions.

Many of the databases make predictions about proteins automatically and the information is readily available to anybody. For example, the database UniProt has a comprehensive, high-quality and freely accessible resource of protein sequence and functional information. Molecular dynamics simulations can take many days to run and analyse and we are currently limited to viewing only microseconds of motions. Systems biology approaches are also very time-consuming at this time. Computational methods however, can save a lot of time and effort as computers are cheap yet powerful tools to use in combination with experimental methods.

### Electron Microscopy

Electron microscopy (EM) was used to gain the structural outline of this protein, demonstrating how it can move protons across a membrane. Improvements in the methodology enabled Richard Henderson in 1990 to determine the first atomic-resolution images of bacteriorhodopsin using newly developed cryo-EM methods. The development of cryo-EM by Jacques Dubochet, Joachim Frank and Richard Henderson led to the Nobel Prize in 2017. It opened the door to the structural determination and functional understanding of very large complex protein structures without the need for crystallisation. Such is the progress and quality of cryo-EM images that the images now rival those of X-ray crystallography, with all the additional advantages for easier sample preparation.

Transmission EM (TEM) operates on the same basic principles as a light microscope but uses a beam of electrons to examine the structures of cells and tissues. The electron beam has a wavelength of approximately $10^{-10}$ m (about the size of an atom) meaning TEM can reveal the internal structure of cells that cannot be seen by the longer wavelength used in light microscopy. The incoming and outgoing lenses of a light microscope are replaced by a series of coil-shaped electromagnetic lenses through which the electron beam travels to produce magnified images. Only parts of the beam are transmitted through the sample depending on their thickness and electron transparency. A final lens then refocusses this and projects an image of the sample onto a camera detector. To help improve the contrast of the very thin samples, heavy metal stains are often used to bind the proteins and stop the transmission of the electrons. The image then shows regions of the specimen where the electron transmission has been prevented. Biological molecules such as individual proteins and complexes are not compatible with the high vacuum needed for TEM as the high energy electrons burn the protein and evaporate the water that surrounds them.

Cryo-EM uses the same principle as TEM but cools the samples to cryogenic temperatures and embeds them in an environment of vitreous ice, allowing protein and protein complexes to be studied. To do this an aqueous protein sample solution is applied to a grid-mesh and plunge-frozen in liquid ethane. The process is so quick that the water molecules do not have time to arrange into a crystalline lattice. In this ‘vitrified’ sample, the water is disordered but the 3D structure of the biomolecules in the sample is retained. Stains are not needed here as the surrounding...
buffer allows for enough contrast to observe the specimen, to improve contrast multiple images are taken instead. Randomly orientated proteins are struck by the electron beam, producing a faint image on the detector. A computer then decides what is a faint molecular image of the proteins and what is the background. Similar images are then placed (grouped) together. Thousands of similar images are averaged by the computer to generate high signal to noise 2D images (Figure 20) that are used to clean-up the dataset from contamination and other junk particles. Software is then used to calculate how all the good molecular images relate to each other and generates a high-resolution 3D image or density map. The amino acid chain is then threaded into this map in a similar process to X-ray crystallography. Cryo-EM offers a significant advantage in that through the direct acquisition of the images, the specimen can be statistically analysed allowing for the reconstruction of the structural information and different conformations can be determined in the same sample. It is also possible to control the chemical environment, which in turn allows for effective examination of different functional states of different types of molecules. The final major advantage of cryo-EM is that large intact complexes can be studied allowing the 3D structure of ribosomes, proteins and viruses, almost to the atomic scale.

Cryo-EM of proteins and their complexes promises to revolutionise structural biology as many life processes depend on large dynamic macromolecular assemblies, however like all the methods described here there are some naunces. For example, it can be difficult to prepare a grid that has a well-represented number of orientations as sometimes the proteins will preferentially align towards the hydrophobic air–water interface, on occasions the proteins will denature, and screening multiple grids with different conditions can be expensive. Nevertheless, datasets sufficient for high-resolution structures can be recorded in just a few hours or overnight and the amount of protein required is much less than X-ray crystallography or NMR, and the samples do not have to be as pure, all of which helps balance the cost of this incredibly powerful technique.

Other methods
There are a vast range of other methods that are also used to study protein structure and their interactions, many of which can be performed in just one day and yield complementary information to the techniques mentioned above. Table 3 gives an overview of some of the more common methods and their applications.

Concluding comments
Within this essay, we have explored proteins through the eyes of a structural biologist. We have considered the following areas:

- The structural organisation of proteins and their range of shapes and conformations.
- What influences the thermodynamics of protein folding.
- How proteins’ ability to change their shape enables them to bind new partners as well potentially misfold and aggregate, bringing about disease.
- How to experimentally determine protein structures and their interactions at the molecular level.

We hope this will inspire readers to view some of the suggested resources which provide more detail on uncovering protein structure.
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