Garcinia Mangostana Extract Enhances Skin Epithelialization in Rat Induced Burn Injury
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ABSTRACT

Mangosteen has several important elements for biological activities such as anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-bacterial and anti-cancer. There are γ-mangostin, α-mangostin, flavonoids, saponins, and tannins which could stimulate the collagen deposition and accelerate wound healing process. Burn injury cause deep wound in the skin and often cause cicatrix and keloid scar on the skin. However, there is limited information about role of mangosteen in skin wound healing after burn injury. Fifty 10 week-old male rats, were subjected to burn injury using automatic temperature control. Burn injury was created by direct contact of hot plate (170°C) for 15 seconds on rats’ inter scapula region. We elaborated the role of extract mangosteen in skin wound healing by applying daily gel contain with active compound derived from mangosteen. There was no significant weight change during treatment period. We observed that there was significant increase of Epidermal Growth Factors (EGF) expression in 14 as shown in immunohistochemistry and confirmed by western blot protein results. Taken together, mangosteen peel extract-mediated changes in the expression of growth factors in burned rat skins. Mangosteen peel extract might have a role in the acceleration of skin wound healing.

INTRODUCTION

Burn injury is one of devastating traumas that affected numerous organ systems. Burn injury causes more than 300,000 deaths per year globally (Branski et al., 2009). The resources needed to treat burn patients generate an immense burden on the health care system. Even though important advances have been made in reducing the complication and mortality rate in burn injury, burns management and treatments are still not optimal especially in Indonesian rural areas.

The use of plant extraction for wound was reported in many areas of Indonesia (Elfahmi et al., 2014). Harnessing herbal medicine is a promising way to reduce the financial burden of wound treatments and could promote local community economic development. Furthermore, reliable researches could support the rational use of natural ingredients as medications for burns. At present, mangosteen peel-based products are very popular in Indonesia mainly attributes to its anti-oxidant properties (Kurniawati, 2017). Xanthone is the major active compound found in mangosteen peel extract (MPE). MPE are consisted with bioactive compounds such as α-mangosteen and γ-mangostin. Alpha mangosteen is one type of xanthones that has wide biological activity such as anti-oxidant, anti-bacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergy, antimicrobial, analgesic, anticancer and cytotoxic (Chin and Kinghorn, 2008; Ibrahim et al., 2016; Kurniawati, 2017).
Another important element in MPE are γ-mangostin, flavonoids, saponins, and tannins which effects are increasing collagen deposit and accelerating wound healing (Gutiérrez-Orozco and Failla, 2013; Yoshimura et al., 2015; Waheed et al., 2015).

Burn injury affected multiple organ systems. Due to its complexity, in vitro experiments have limitations to capture this complexity nor address the pathophysiology. In the past two decades, a number of burn animal models have been developed to replicate the various aspects of burn injury; to elucidate the pathophysiology and explore potential treatment interventions. Understanding the advantages and limitations of these animal models is essential for the design and development of treatments that are clinically relevant to humans (Abdullahi et al., 2014). Among all animal models, rats are one of the most commonly used animals for skin injury models (Mitsunaga et al., 2012). Characteristic of rat’s skin has highly similarities with human skin, both has epidermis and dermis. Rats as animal models might be used to study pathophysiology of skin injuries and wound healing studies (Pandurangan et al., 2010; Suruse et al., 2011; Anitha et al., 2015; Avinash et al., 2016). Therefore, in this study, we used rat model of burn injury in vivo study to determine the efficacy of topical treatment such as MPE for burn injury.

Interaction of complex signaling molecule is found in wound healing process (Li et al., 2016). Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) is one of the signaling molecule that play role in wound healing process. EGF is a polypeptide that stimulates cell growth and differentiation. It accelerates wound healing rates through enhancing synthesis of basement membrane and extracellular matrix components, cell motility, and proliferation (Değim et al., 2011). High level of EGF is detected in earliest wound healing process. EGF expression shorten epitelization duration and reduce scarring by inhibit excessive wound contraction (Steed, 1998; Inoue et al., 1998; Svensjo et al., 2002). In addition, some studies suggested that EGF application in wound is effective as an adjuvant therapy (Gorouhi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016). Thus, it is interesting to reveal the effects of topical treatment of mangosteen peel extract to modulate EGF expression in animal burn injury models.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of MPE as a topical remedy for the burn injury treatment. In addition, our study also had explored the MPE-mediated changes in the expression of EGF burned rat skins, which might have a role in the acceleration of skin wound healing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Burn Injury automatic tools: Double plate was joined with heat to make a flat metal plate. A 10 cm square length wood was placed in the top of the plate as a handle, then automatic thermometer was integrated into double plate and heated using Gas Burner until 170°C for every treatment (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Animal: The animal experiment protocol was approved by the Ethnic Research Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran (No:11/UN6.KEP/EC/2018).

Fifty male Wistar rats, age 10 weeks (body weight 220±10 g) used in the present study were purchased from Biofarma Pharmaceutical Company (Bandung, Indonesia). Rats were divided into 4 groups: Control (F1), Silver sulfadiazine (SS), MPE dose 1 (FII) and dose 2 (FIII). They were kept in standard individual cages and provided with food and water ad libitum. The room temperature was kept at 24°C under a 12h light - 12h dark cycle (light on: 06:00-18:00). Environment humidity was maintained stable throughout the project.

After a week habituation process, Rats were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine via intraperitoneal injection. The hair on the dorsum, interscapula region was shaved off and cleaned to ensure the burn wounding which was induced by using hot metal plate connected to automatic temperature control. The metal plate (area 2 cm x 2 cm) was heated on 170°C. The heated metal plate was placed in the exposed skin area vertically without any additional pressure for 15 seconds to induce burn injury. The animals were placed in individual cages until wound healing completed. During the wound healing process, rats were treated with four different vehicles according to group treatment. Vehicle gels were measured and taken 1ml using pipet then vehicle was applied topically using cotton buds every morning for 14 days after burn injury induction.

Burn Injury Topical Gel Extract

Mangosteen peels: Mangosteen peels were obtained from Bogor, Purwakarta, Tasiikmalaya, and Subang (West Java, Indonesia) were identified by Drs. Joko Kusmoro, MS., a scientist in Department of Biology, the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Padjadjaran. Samples were cleaned, cut, air-dried, and finally powdered. Fruit rinds were extracted by maceration using 900 mL of 70% ethanol for 72 hours. The thick extract was freeze-dried to obtain dry extract of mangosteen peels.

The tools used for making gels extract were mortars, stampers, analytical scales (Shimadzu ATX224), glassware, petri dishes, weights, pH meters (S220 Seven Compact), refrigerated centrifuge (5702R), and microscope (Olympus CX23 LED). The material used in this study were the freeze dried mangosteen peel extract, pharmaceutical quality Na-CMC obtained from PT. Hensan Bersama Sukses. Aquades, propylenglycol, glycerol, and methyl paraben, each was obtained from PT. Brataco.

Manufacture of Extracted Gel using Na-CMC Base: The Na-CMC base is made with a concentration of 2%. Na-CMC was developed at 15 mL distilled water at 70°C (Mixture 1). Furthermore, methyl paraben was dissolved in a small amount of distilled water then added a mixture of glycercin and propylenglycol (Mixture 2). Mixture of all compound were diluted using aquades until final volume 20mL. While the extract gels were prepared in two different dose, 0.2 grams and 0.4 grams. Gel formula is presented in Table 1. Gel making is done by mixing several compounds. Na-CMC acts as gelling agent, propylenglycol and glycercin as a humectant and maintains the stability of the preparation, methyl paraben acts as a preservative, and aquades as a solvent. The gel
preparations were evaluated together with Burnazin® and Mebo® products as a positive control. The evaluation of preparations was carried out on the 0th, 7th, 14th and 28th days of each test carried out three times (triplo). Data from organoleptic test results can be seen. From the organoleptic observations, there was no change in shape, odor, and color for all samples (base Na-CMC: extract dose 0.2, extract dose 0.4, Burnazin and Mebo) from testing day 0 to day 28. The pH test results showed a change in acidic pH close to normal pH. PH test data can be seen in Supplemental Fig. 2.

Four different topical gels were made in accordance with a formula: 1) a gel with no active ingredients (vehicle gel/FI) 2) gel with silver sulfadiazine as positive control; 3) a gel that contained 0.2 mg mangosteen skin extract (FIII) and 4) a gel that contained 0.4 mg mangosteen peel extract (FIH). All gels base was consisted Na-CMC as gelling agent, methyl paraben as preservative, glycerine and propilen glycol as humectant and solubilized in water. Gel formulation was presented in Table 1.

Immunochemistry: At day fourteen after burn injury, animals were sacrificed with an inhalation anesthesia. Burn injury skin samples were fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% (lot no. 158127, Sigma Aldrich, Merck KGA, Darmstadt, Germany) in 4°C overnight. The sample were embedded in paraffin and cut into 5μm section with microtome (Leica, Leica Biosystem Nussloch Gmbh, Wetzlar, Germany). Skin slice stained with HE (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Histological slices were examined using light microscope (Zeiss Apotome 2, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Two section per animal were used for analysis. For immunochemistry, slices were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in a graded alcohol series. Retrieval using Dako target retrieval solution (DakoCytomation, Denmark) for 20 min. Tris buffered saline (TBS) were used to washing specimen. Slices were incubated with 5% Bovine serum antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) followed by primary antibody treatment, EGF concentration 1:200 (Ab 77851, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in 4°C overnight. Slices washed with TBS 3 times 5 minutes each. Incubation with secondary antibody (1:200) and streptavidin biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex, dilution 1:200 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) was added to the section. Hematoxylin was used for counterstaining.

HE staining: The skin sample was fixed in 10% formalin. Epidermal structures of burn injury skin were examined by Hematoxylin Eosin staining (HE) staining. After routine processing, the skin sample was imbedded in paraffin wax. Four-μM-thick slices were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for evaluation with light microscope.

Western blot: Western blot protocol was adopted from pratiwi et al. (2018). Skin tissues were solubilized with 500 μl lysis buffer (50mM PBS, 5mM EDTA, 0.4% Triton X and protease inhibitors). Protein concentration of each sample was measured using Lowry method. The protein concentration was adjusted to 5μg/μl and sample was mixed with 2x lysis buffer solution (1:1) containing 250 mM Tris-HCl, 6% SDS, 50μg /μl bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol and 10% β-mercaptoethanol. Sample was heated to 95°C for 5 min. 15 μl sample was used for sample electrophoresis with 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Sample protein were blotted into nitrocellulose membrane and blocked with 2.5% skim milk in Phosphate buffered saline (PBST) for 2 hours at room temperature. Incubation of primary antibody EGF (Abcam, Cambridge UK) in Bovine Serum Albumin was using concentration 1:500 overnight in 4°C. The secondary antibodies used were HRP conjugate anti mouse and anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotech. Dallas, USA). Immunoblotted proteins were visualized with chemiluminescence reagent ECL (Li-cor Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Band density was measured using ImageJ (NIH) software. Data were analyzed by ANOVA and Tukey post hoc test. Statistically significance were considered with P<0.05.

RESULTS

Wound healing: The burned area on the first day did not differ macroscopically among all groups (Fig. 1A). These results are comparable with Hematoxylin Eosin staining preparation results (Fig. 2A-D). No epithelialization was detected in first day after burn injury. The differences in healing rate become prominent on day 14, in which MPE treated group showed reduction in wound area greater than control group (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, MPE dose 1 group and MPE dose 2 showed different healing pattern compared with silver sulfadiazine (SS) group (Fig. 1A-B). In SS group, the decrustation was almost completed on day 14, where in MPE dose 1 and dose 2 group the scab was still covering the major part of the wound. Histology results showed, on day 14, epithelialization percentage was highest in MPE dose 2 group and lowest in control group as described in Fig. 2H and Fig. 2E continuously. No observable differences were seen between MPE dose 1 treated group (Fig. 2H) and SS treated group (Fig. 2F) group in epithelization percentage on 14th day of injury.

EGF Immunochemistry: Immunohistochemistry was performed to determine the expression of EGF in skin injury tissue as seen in Fig. 3. On day 1 and day 14, expression of EGF was higher in MPE dose 1 and dose 2 groups than control group. For SS group, the level of EGF expression from IHC was not in accordance with western blot assay. From IHC (Fig. 3B), the SS group showed relatively similar level of EGF compared to control group.

EGF protein expression: Western blot analysis of EGF protein level on day 14 were demonstrated in Fig. 4. EGF was up regulated in SS, Mangosteen peel extract (MPE) dose 1 and dose 2 groups compared to control group. EGF expression in MPE dose 1, 2 and SS relatively higher compared with control group as shown in representative Figure (Fig. 4A) and densitometric calculation using Image J showed that EGF protein level was increased nearly 3,5 folds (Fig. 4B).
Fig. 1: Macroscopic image of burn wound on day 1 (Figure 1 A-D) and day 14 (E-H). On Day 1, the wound characteristics between negative control (F1; A), Silver Sulfadiazine (SS; B), dose 1 (FII; C) and dose 2 (FIII; D) were relatively similar. On Day 14, negative control (E) wound showed redness and exudate, whereas in dose 2 (H) there was scab formation and wound size reduction. Silver Sulfadiazine group (F) showed complete decrustation.

Fig. 2: Microscopic image (400x magnification) of burn wound on day 1 (A-D) and day 14 (E-H) with hematoxylin and eosin staining. On Day 1, there were complete loss of epidermis and partial loss of dermis in negative control (F1; A), Silver Sulfadiazine (SS; B), dose 1 (FII; C) and dose 2 (FIII; D) group which indicate second degree burn. On Day 14, negative control group (E) showed incomplete reepithelization. On the other hand, Silver Sulfadiazine (F), and dose 2 (H) showed complete reepithelization. Arrow heads represent Epidermis-dermis junction.

Fig. 3: Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC). A) Microscopic image (400x) of wound on day 1 (AA-D) and day 14 (AE-H). Arrowheads represent EGF protein. B) EGF expression on day 1 and 14 were higher in dose 2 group than negative control and SS group. IHC expression was counted using histoscope (Figure Data is expressed as average with standard error of mean (n=3 per group).

Fig. 4: Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) expression on day 14 by western blot. EGF expression was significantly higher in SS, dose 1 (FII) and dose 2 (FIII) group than control group (F1). Data is expressed as average with standard error of mean. * P<0.05.
The present study demonstrated that mangosteen peel extract (MPE) increased the healing rate of second degree burn on rat’s skin at interscapular area of dorsal skin. We observed the progress in wound healing at different time points throughout the two weeks following treatment. Interestingly, higher efficacy was observed in MPE-treated group than Silver Sulfadiazine treated group. This result might be attributed to antioxidant, anti-bacterial and inflammatory modulator properties of MPE (Chen et al., 2018).

Antioxidant properties of natural product accelerate wound healing process by scavenging free radicals from the inflamed site (El-Ferjani et al., 2016). Free radicals might impair the healing process by damaging cellular organelles and aggravating inflammation. Cui et al. (2010) showed that α-mangostin and γ-mangostin which were isolated from mangosteen, exhibited strong ability to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) in dose-dependent manner. In addition, previous study showed that α-mangostin could neutralize superoxide anion (O$_2^-$), singlet oxygen (\(^1\)O$_2$) and peroxynitrite anion (ONOO$^-$) in a concentration-dependent manner (Pedrazachaverri et al., 2009). Therefore, antioxidant properties in MPE presumably control inflammation on burned skin and as a result, reepithelization of epidermis can be accelerated.

Sivaranjani et al. (2017) have showed the rapid killing efficacy of α-mangostin on S. epidermidis in vitro. This antimicrobial effects of the extracts are important to prevent microbial infections on the wound area. Therefore, extract of MPE can partially control growth of pathogens over the skin (Chah et al., 2006; Sivaranjani et al., 2017). In addition, other study had shown effect of antibiofilm activity of α-mangostin against S. aureus including the ferocious methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) (Phuong et al., 2017). The results showed that α-mangostin was effective to disrupt the biofilms and killed the biofilm embedded bacteria. Therefore, antimicrobial effect of MPE might play a role in burn healing process.

The upregulation in the expression of Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) in MPE-treated wound is also fascinating to be explored. Our finding showed that EGF protein expression in MPE treated rats was higher compared with control group (Fig. 4). Although the mechanism of how the MPE could fasten the wound healing process remain unclear, the benefit of MPE as burn remedy possibly might be explained by these modulating effects. (Gonzales et al., 2016). In vitro and in vivo studies showed that EGF enhanced fibroblast and keratinocyte expansion (Choi et al., 2008; Stoll et al., 2012). EGF signal is important to promote migration of keratinocytes at wound margins and also from nearby viable hair follicles and sweat glands (Wenczak et al., 1992). EGF also upregulated the expression of keratin.
epiregulin and loricrin (Hashimoto et al., 1994; Choi et al., 2008; Stoll et al., 2012). Furthermore, previous studies showed that topical administration of recombinant EGF could accelerate reepithelialization, wound contraction and collagen deposition (Kwon et al., 2006). In China, human recombinant EGF and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) topical administration of these growth factors has been proven to shorten the wound healing duration (Shen et al., 2017). Faster wound healing process in MPE treated group possibly due to increase in EGF expression levels. The results of the study support the development of MPE as a new therapeutic remedy for the treatment of burn wounds. However, there are some limitations which needed to be addressed in the future study. The mechanics of wound-healing between rats and human are not completely similar. In rats, wound contraction is the primary healing mechanism as opposed to reepithelialization seen in human skin (Wong et al., 2011). This is because rodents like rats and mice, have apanniculus carnosus muscle in subcutaneous area which can facilitate wound contraction and collagen formation (Dorsett-Martin, 2004; Wong et al., 2011).

Taken together, the topical application of MPE could induce more rapid wound healing than negative control (FI group) and might have comparable efficacy with silver sulfadiazine treatment. This might be partially explained by MPE-induced EGF expression. Further clinical and molecular studies are needed to determine the exact mechanisms involved in the burn wound healing effects of MPE.
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