Valproic acid attenuates CCR2-dependent tumor infiltration of monocyteic myeloid-derived suppressor cells, limiting tumor progression
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ABSTRACT
Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are immunosuppressive cells that promote tumor progression by inhibiting anti-tumor immunity and may be the cause of patient resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). Therefore, MDSCs are a promising target for cancer immunotherapy, especially in combination with ICIs. Previous studies have shown that the anticonvulsant drug valproic acid (VPA) has additional anti-cancer and immunoregulatory activities due to its inhibition of histone deacetylases. We have previously shown that VPA can attenuate the immunosuppressive function of differentiated MDSCs in vitro. In the present study, we utilized anti-PD-1-sensitive EL4 and anti-PD-1-resistant B16-F10 tumor-bearing mouse models and investigated the effects of VPA on MDSCs with the aim of enhancing the anti-cancer activity of an anti-PD-1 antibody. We showed that VPA could inhibit EL4 and B16-F10 tumor progression, which was dependent on the immune system. We further demonstrated that VPA down-regulated the expression of CCR2 on monocyteic (M)-MDSCs, leading to the reduced infiltration of M-MDSCs into tumors. Importantly, we demonstrated that VPA could relieve the immunosuppressive action of MDSCs on CD8+ T-cell and NK cell proliferation and enhance their activation in tumors. We also observed that the combination of VPA plus an anti-PD-1 antibody was more effective than either agent alone in both the EL4 and B16-F10 tumor models. These results suggest that VPA can effectively relieve the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by reducing tumor infiltration of MDSCs, resulting in tumor regression. Our findings also show that VPA in combination with an immunotherapeutic agent could be a potential new anti-cancer therapy.

Introduction
In recent decades, immune checkpoint blockade therapy has shown tremendous promise for the treatment of multiple cancers by boosting the existing immune response. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting programmed cell death 1 (PD-1), programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) have been approved for treating patients with multiple cancer types. However, most patients still show limited or no clinical response, which is probably due to the immunosuppressive machinery in the tumor microenvironment (TME), including the presence of immunosuppressive cells, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), tumor associated macrophages (TAMs), and regulatory T-cells (Tregs).

Numerous studies have revealed that MDSCs play important roles in the suppression of anti-tumor immunity, which can lead to tumor evasion from immune surveillance. Remarkably, several recent studies have shown that MDSCs cause patient resistance to ICI. Therefore, it is important to identify an effective therapy that depletes or modulates MDSCs. Phenotypically and morphologically, MDSCs can be classified into two subpopulations, namely monocyteic (M)-MDSCs with the phenotype CD11b^+Ly-6G^-Ly-6Chi and polymorphonuclear (PMN)-MDSCs with the phenotype CD11b^-Ly-6G^+Ly-6Chi. An ex vivo study has shown that valproic acid (VPA) inhibits the differentiation of M-MDSCs to PMN-MDSCs by inhibiting histone deacetylases (HDACs). However, many questions remain about the differences between these MDSC subsets.

Accumulating evidence indicates that epigenetic control mediated by HDAC inhibitor(s) (HDACi) plays an important role in tumor progression and even in immune regulation. Recent research has shown that in vitro, a pan-HDACi, tri-chostatin A, or suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, can robustly expand the MDSC population. Another study has shown that the class I HDACi entinostat can neutralize MDSCs and enhance the anti-tumor effect of PD-1 antibody in murine models of lung and renal cell carcinoma. In addition, Kim et al. demonstrated a similar HDACi effect in colon and breast cancer models. We have previously shown that VPA attenuates the immunosuppressive function of differentiated MDSCs in vitro. Overall, although HDACi have emerged as an important therapeutic strategy for the treatment of malignancies, the effect and mechanisms underlying the regulation of MDSCs by HDACi still remain largely unexplored.
VPA has been widely used as an antiepileptic drug in the clinic for more than fifty years and is considered to be a safe drug. Repurposing of VPA as an anti-cancer drug is now expected. In this study, we investigate the effects of VPA on MDSCs with the aim of enhancing the anti-tumor activity of ICIs. We believe that our data support the importance of targeting MDSCs, especially in combination with ICIs, and our findings further support the use of VPA in the clinic as an anti-cancer drug.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

The EL4 and B16-F10 cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The EL4 cell line was maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (FUJIFILM Wako) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Carlsbad) and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic Mixed Stock Solution (100×) (Nacalai Tesque). The B16-F10 cell line was maintained in DMEM (FUJIFILM Wako) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic Mixed Stock Solution (100×). Cells were resuscitated and cultured according to ATCC guidelines. The B16-F10 cell line was passaged for less than 6 months after resuscitation. All cell lines were used within 1 month of thawing from early-passage (<3 passages of original vial) lots.

Mice

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Japan SLC (Shizuoka, Japan) and were used at 6–8 weeks of age. All animals were bred and maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions. All animal experimental procedures in this study were performed in accordance with the institutional guidelines for animal experiments of Osaka University (Douyaku28-8-8).

Murine tumor studies

EL4 cells (4 × 10⁵ cells/mouse) or B16-F10 cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/mouse) were injected subcutaneously into the lower right flank of C57BL/6 J mice. Five days after inoculation with EL4 cells, mice received daily intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of PBS or VPA (500 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich) until day 13. Anti-Ly-6G (clone: 1A8, 400 µg/mouse), anti-CD8α (clone: 2.43), and anti-NK1.1 (clone: PK136) antibodies (Abs) (200 µg/mouse, Bio X Cell) were injected i.p. on days 4, 7, and 10. The anti-PD-1 (clone: J43) Ab or IgG (both 200 µg/mouse, Bio X Cell) were injected i.p. on days 5, 8, and 11. Eight days after inoculation with B16-F10 cells, mice received daily i.p. injection of PBS or VPA (500 mg/kg) until day 15. Anti-Ly-6G (400 µg/mouse), anti-CD8α and anti-NK1.1 Abs (200 µg/mouse) were injected i.p. on days 7, 10 and 13. The anti-PD-1 Ab or IgG (both 200 µg/mouse, Bio X Cell) were injected i.p. on days 8, 11, and 14. The tumor volume was calculated periodically using the following formula: Tumor volume (cm³) = 0.5 × length (cm) × width (cm)².

Bone marrow, spleen, and tumor cell isolation and blood sample preparation

EL4 tumor-bearing mice were sacrificed on 14 days post tumor inoculation. Whole bone marrow (BM) and spleens were harvested from mice and processed into single cell suspensions. Cell suspensions were then treated with ammonium-chloride-potassium (ACK) lysis buffer and used for either flow cytometry analysis or FACS sorting. Tumors were harvested and cut into small pieces. Tumor pieces were digested with 0.1% collagenase type I (Funakoshi) for 30 minutes at 37°C with agitation, then ground to a single cell suspension. Dissociated tumors were strained through a 70 µm cell strainer and used for flow cytometry analysis. To prepare blood samples, mouse retro-orbital blood (75 µL) was collected and incubated in 1 mL of ACK lysis buffer on ice for 10 min, after which the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 400 × g for 5 min. Cells were then resuspended in 0.5 mL ACK lysis buffer and incubated on ice for 5 min. After centrifugation at 400 × g for 3 min, cells were resuspended in 2% FBS/PBS and used for flow cytometry analysis.

In vitro MDSC differentiation

The in vitro differentiation of BM cells into MDSCs was performed as described previously. Briefly, BM cells from C57BL/6J mice were stimulated with 40 ng/mL recombinant GM-CSF (Peprotech) for 4 days in the absence or presence of VPA or valpromide (VPM, Sigma-Aldrich) (0.25, 0.5, or 1 mM) to examine its effects on MDSC differentiation.

Flow cytometry analysis

Cells were washed and suspended in 2% FBS/PBS, blocked with a TruStain FcX (anti-mouse CD16/32) Ab (Clone 93, BioLegend), and then stained with the following Abs: allophycocyanin (APC)-labeled anti-mouse CD11b (Clone M1/70, eBioscience), Pacific Blue-labeled anti-mouse Gr-1 (Clone RB6-8C5), fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled anti-mouse Ly-6G (Clone 1A8), APC-Cy7-labeled anti-mouse Ly-6C (Clone HK1.4), PerCP-Cy5.5-labeled anti-mouse CD11b (Clone M1/70, eBioscience), and the acquired data were analyzed using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences).
MDSC suppression assay

Spleens were harvested from C57BL/6J mice, ground to release splenocytes, and then treated with ACK lysis buffer to eliminate any contaminating red blood cells. Next, the splenocytes were subjected to CD4⁺ T-cell isolation using the MojoSort magnetic cell separation system and Mouse CD4 Nanobeads (BioLegend). Isolated cells were then labeled with the proliferation dye eFluor 670 (eBioscience) and seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells/200 µL per well. All wells had been pre-coated with anti-CD3ε Ab (BioLegend) diluted with PBS to a concentration of 1 µg/mL and stored at 4°C overnight before use. MDSCs purified from the spleen of EL4 tumor-bearing mice (M-MDSC: CD11b⁺Ly-6C⁺; PMN-MDSC: CD11b⁺Ly-6C⁻Ly-6G⁻; purity > 90%; JSAN, Bay bioscience Co., Ltd., Kobe, Japan) were added at different ratios to T-cells. The anti-CD28 Ab (BioLegend) was then added to each well at a final concentration of 0.5 µg/mL. After 3 days of incubation at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, the proliferation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells was analyzed using flow cytometry.

CD⁸⁺ T-cell and NK cell proliferation assays

CD⁸⁺ T-cells or NK cells were purified from murine splenocytes by FACS sorting (JSAN). Isolated CD⁸⁺ T-cells or NK cells were loaded with eFluor 670 and then seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/200 µL per well in the presence of different concentrations of VPA (0, 0.1, and 1 mM). For CD⁸⁺ T-cell stimulation, wells were pre-coated with 1 µg/mL anti-CD3ε overnight, after which CD⁸⁺ T-cells immobilized on the anti-CD3ε antibody were stimulated in the presence of 0.5 µg/mL soluble anti-CD28. NK cells were stimulated with 500 U/mL recombinant IL-2 (BioLegend). After 4 days of incubation at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, proliferation was quantified by analyzing eFluor 670 dilution by flow cytometry.

In vitro chemotaxis assay

The cell migration assay was performed in 24-well plates with Transwell polycarbonate-permeable supports (8 mm; Corning). BM cells harvested from PBS- or VPA-treated EL4 tumor-bearing mice were stained with PE-labeled anti-mouse CD11b, FITC-labeled anti-mouse Ly-6G and APC-Cy7-labeled anti-mouse Ly-6C. Following this, 2 × 10⁶ BM cells were plated in the upper chambers, and RPMI-1640 medium (10% FBS) supplemented with CCL2/CCL7 (100 ng/mL, BioLegend) was placed in the lower chamber. After incubation for 5 hours at 37°C, the number of PMN-MDSCs (CD11b⁺Ly-6G⁺Ly-6C⁻) and M-MDSCs (CD11b⁺Ly-6G⁻Ly-6C⁺) that had migrated into the lower chamber were counted by flow cytometry. The migration index was calculated as a ratio of the number of migrated cells treated with the chemokines CCL2/CCL7 to that in non-treated wells.

Statistical analyses

Significant differences were assessed using a Student’s t test, or a one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software). p < .05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

VPA delays EL4 and B16-F10 tumor progression and reactivates tumor infiltrating immune cells

We tested whether VPA alone had an anti-tumor effect in the anti-PD-1-sensitive EL4 lymphoma and anti-PD-1-resistant B16-F10 melanoma-bearing mouse models. The induction of MDSCs and infiltration into tumors has previously been reported in both of these models. These processes play a key role in the suppression of anti-tumor T-cell activity.⁴⁻⁸,¹⁹ VPA alone delayed tumor growth in the EL4 lymphoma-bearing mice and prolonged the survival (Figures 1(a) and 6(b)). In the B16-F10 melanoma-bearing mouse model, mice that received VPA also showed a slower tumor progression and had a longer survival than those that received PBS (Figures 1(b) and 6(d)). To determine whether the inhibition of tumor growth resulting from VPA was associated with an enhanced immune response, we examined the EL4 tumor infiltrating lymphoid and...
myeloid populations. MDSCs, TAMs, and Tregs are believed to be major cell components of the immune suppressive TME. VPA caused a reduction in the level of MDSCs (CD11b⁺Gr-1⁺) in the tumor site, whereas there were no significant changes in the levels of TAMs and Tregs (Figure 2(a–c), Supplementary Figure 1a). Furthermore, we found that the administration of VPA resulted in an increase in both NK cells (CD3ε⁻NK1.1⁺) and CD8⁺ T-cells (CD3ε⁺CD8α⁺) in tumors, as well as in peripheral blood (Figure 2(d,e), Supplementary Figure 1a), although the increase in CD8⁺ T-cells was not significant. We evaluated the expression of the early activation marker CD69 in NK and CD8⁺ T cells. The number of CD69hi cells had increased in both cases (Figure 2(f)). These results indicate that reducing MDSC levels through VPA may relieve the immunosuppressive action of MDSCs on the proliferation of CD8⁺ T-cells and NK cells and enhance their reactivation in the TME.

Impaired tumor progression by VPA is anti-tumor immune cell-dependent

Next, to investigate whether the mechanism of the in vivo efficacy of VPA is anti-tumor immunity-mediated, tumor growth was assessed in mice lacking specific anti-tumor immune cell subsets. The anti-tumor effect of VPA was completely absent in mice depleted of NK cells in the EL4 tumor model, whereas CD8⁺ T-cell depletion alone had a lesser effect, indicating that NK cells are required for the full anti-tumor effect of VPA (Figure 3(a)). Although VPA could inhibit cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure 2a, c) and enhance apoptosis (Supplementary Figure 2b, d) in EL4 and B16-F10 cells in vitro, the results also suggested that the existence of anti-tumor immune cells was more important for the anti-tumor effect of VPA in vivo.

Figure 2. VPA reactivates tumor-infiltrating immune cells.

Flow cytometric analyses of EL4 tumors from PBS- or VPA-treated tumor-bearing mice 14 days after EL4 inoculation. The proportion of (a) MDSCs (CD11b⁺Gr-1⁺), (b) TAMs (CD11b⁺Ly-6C⁻Ly-6G⁻F4/80⁺), (c) Tregs (CD4⁺CD25⁺CD8α⁻), (d) CD8⁺ T-cells (CD3ε⁺CD8α⁺NK1.1⁻), and (e) NK cells (CD3ε⁻NK1.1⁺) in total live cells are represented as means ± S.E.M, pooled from two (CD8⁺T-cells, and NK cells) (n = 8–9), three (TAMs and Tregs) (n = 10–12), or four independent experiments (MDSCs) (n = 17). (⁎p < .05 by Student’s t test). (f) The proportion of CD69hi cells in CD8⁺ T-cells (CD3ε⁺CD8α⁺CD4⁻) and NK cells (CD3ε⁻NK1.1⁺) was analyzed. Data represent one experiment representative of three independent experiment. FMO, fluorescence minus one.

Figure 3. VPA impairs EL4 tumor progression via anti-tumor immune cells.

(a) Five days after inoculation with EL4 cells, mice received daily intraperitoneal injection of PBS or VPA (500 mg/kg). Anti-CD8α and anti-NK1.1 (200 µg/mouse) Abs were injected intraperitoneally on days 4, 7, and 10. Data are means ± S.E.M, pooled from two independent experiments with n = 8 per group (⁎⁎⁎⁎p < .0001, **⁎⁎⁎⁎p < .001 by two-way ANOVA). (b) Purified CD8⁺ T-cells (CD3ε⁺CD8α⁺) were plated at a density of 10⁵ cells/wells in the presence of different concentrations of VPA (0, 0.1, 1 mM) and then stimulated with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 Abs. (c) Purified NK cells (CD3ε⁻NK1.1⁺) were plated at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/wells in the presence of different concentrations of VPA (0, 0.1, 1 mM), and then stimulated with IL-2. Both T-cell and NK cell proliferation was assessed using the eFluor 670 dilution assay and IFN-γ expression was analyzed by flow cytometry after 4 days of incubation. Data correspond to one representative experiment (n = 3).
Next, to determine whether VPA could directly stimulate anti-tumor immune cells activity, CD8+ T-cells or NK cells were stimulated with anti-CD3ε/anti-CD28 Abs or IL-2, respectively, in the presence or absence of VPA in the media. The proliferation of CD8+ T-cells and NK cells was not stimulated in the presence of VPA, and in fact a high concentration of VPA inhibited proliferation in both cell types (Figure 3(b,c)). In addition, VPA did not show any ability to enhance interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) expression in both CD8+ T-cells and NK cells (Figure 3(b,c)). These results indicate that VPA does not have a direct effect of the proliferation or IFN-γ production by CD8+ T-cells and NK cells. Taken together, these results suggest that immune cell reactivation in the TME is not directly mediated by VPA, but likely occurs through other mechanisms such as a decrease in MDSCs, thus relieving immunosuppression in the TME.

**VPA reduces infiltration of M-MDSCs in EL4 tumors**

To clarify the mechanism of the reduction of MDSCs in the TME, we examined the levels of the two subsets of MDSCs present in bone marrow (BM), spleen, blood, and tumors from PBS- or VPA-treated EL4 tumor-bearing mice. Although there were no significant decreases in M-MDSCs in the BM and spleen, the levels of M-MDSCs in the blood and tumors were significantly reduced after administration of VPA (Figure 4(a)). On the other hand, PMN-MDSCs were significantly increased in BM, but no changes were seen in spleen, blood, and tumors comparing PBS- and VPA-treated mice (Figure 4(b)). Based on these data, we conclude that VPA probably selectively inhibits the migration of M-MDSCs from the BM to the tumor site. We could not find any evidence that VPA had an effect on CD8+ T-cells and NK cells in the spleen, including their relative proportions and their CD69 expression levels (Supplementary Figure 1b-d). These data are consistent with the lack of effect of VPA on the level of MDSCs in the spleen, supporting our hypothesis that VPA relieves immunosuppression by reducing the levels of M-MDSCs in tumors.

Previous work has shown that the CCR2/CCR2 ligand axis is crucial in the migration and accumulation of monocytic cells including M-MDSCs. To examine whether CCR2 is involved in the migration of M-MDSCs into tumors, we first assessed the expression levels of CCR2 on MDSCs. As shown in Figure 4(c), CCR2 could barely be detected on PMN-MDSCs but was highly expressed in M-MDSCs. In addition, VPA down-regulated CCR2 expression on M-MDSCs in the BM,
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spleen, and in tumors on days 11 and 14 post EL4 inoculation (Figure 4(d) and Supplementary Figure 3a). Decreased CCR2 expression was also observed in the TAMs, although it is not statistically significant (Supplementary Figure 3b). Previous studies have shown that GM-CSF stimulates BM cells to differentiate into MDSCs (hereafter referred to as in vitro MDSCs).\textsuperscript{14,21} We demonstrated that VPA also decreased the proportion of CCR2\textsuperscript{+} in vitro MDSCs in a dose-dependent manner and also down-regulated Ccr2 gene expression at high concentrations, which suggests that VPA directly regulates CCR2 expression levels in MDSCs (Figure 4(e) and Supplementary Figure 4a). In addition, VPA caused an increase in histone H3 and histone H4 acetylation in MDSCs with an approximately 2.5-fold increase \textit{in vivo} (Supplementary Figure 5a, b). To clarify whether VPA regulates CCR2 via HDAC modification, we examined the effect of valpromide (VPM), an amide derivative of VPA, on CCR2 expression in MDSCs. VPM does not possess HDAC inhibitory activity (Supplementary Figure 5c, d), and thus, it is often used as a control to explore whether VPA functions through the HDAC mechanism.\textsuperscript{22} We demonstrated that VPM could not down-regulate the CCR2 expression in \textit{in vitro} MDSCs similar to VPA (Figure 4(f)), which suggested increased histone acetylation by VPA is likely responsible for the down-regulation of CCR2 expression. Both EL4 and B16-F10 tumors expressed CCL2 and CCL7, the two major CCR2 ligands (Supplementary Figure 4e). As chemotaxis is likely to be a key driver in cell mobilization, we next examined \textit{in vitro} whether CCR2 ligands are chemoattractants for M-MDSCs. It came as no surprise to us to find that PMN-MDSCs were not responsive to either CCL2 or CCL7. On the other hand, CCL2 and CCL7 were much stronger chemoattractants for M-MDSCs. Since the levels of tumor migration of M-MDSCs were found to be much higher in PBS-treated mice than in VPA-treated mice (Figure 4(g)), these data suggest that VPA induces a down-regulation of CCR2 expression which leads to an attenuation of the migration of M-MDSCs into tumors.

**PMN-MDSCs are not vital for the anti-tumor effect of VPA**

Next, we investigated whether VPA directly affects the immunosuppressive activity of MDSCs. We isolated both M-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs from the spleens of treated mice (Figure 5(a)) and then combined them in a 1:1 ratio with eFluor 670-labeled CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cells, which were then stimulated with anti-CD3\textsubscript{\varepsilon} and anti-CD28 Abs. T-cell proliferation was much higher when T-cells were co-cultured with PMN-MDSCs derived from VPA-treated mice but there was no difference in T-cell proliferation following co-culture with M-MDSCs derived from PBS-treated mice. This suggests that PMN-MDSCs are not vital for the anti-tumor effect of VPA.

---

**Figure 5.** VPA attenuates the immunosuppressive activity of MDSCs \textit{in vivo}. (a) Spleens were harvested from PBS- or VPA-treated EL4 tumor-bearing mice on day 14. M-MDSCs (CD11b\textsuperscript{+}Ly-6C\textsuperscript{hi}) and PMN-MDSCs (CD11b\textsuperscript{+}Ly-6C\textsuperscript{int}) were isolated by FACS sorting (> 90% purity). (b) Isolated PMN-MDSCs or M-MDSCs were combined in a 1:1 ratio with eFluor 670-labeled CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cells, followed by stimulation with anti-CD3\textsubscript{\varepsilon} and anti-CD28 Abs. After three days of incubation, the proliferation of CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cells was analyzed using flow cytometry. N.C., negative control (without anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation). The data shows one experiment representative of two independent experiments. (c and d) EL4 tumor-bearing mice were treated daily with PBS or VPA (500 mg/kg) from day 5 to day 14, in combination with treatment with an anti-Ly-6G Ab or IgG (400 µg/mouse) on days 4, 7, and 10. B16-F10 tumor-bearing mice were treated daily with PBS or VPA (500 mg/kg) from day 8 to day 16, in combination with an anti-Ly-6G Ab or IgG (400 µg/mouse) on days 7, 10, and 13. (c) EL4 and (d) B16-F10 tumor volumes were calculated periodically as shown. The tumor volumes are shown as means ± S.E.M., pooled from two independent experiments (n = 7–10) (**p < .001, ****p < .0001 by two-way ANOVA).
from VPA-treated mice, suggesting that VPA can attenuate the immunosuppressive activity of PMN-MDSCs, but has little effect on that of M-MDSCs (Figure 5(b)). Several genes that are responsible for the immunosuppressive function of PMN-MDSCs have been reported, including Arg1, Cox2, Nos2, Cebpβ, and Ptges.23 We have previously shown that VPA significantly down-regulates Arg1 expression but had no effect of Nos2 expression levels in in vitro MDSCs.16 In this study, we further found that the levels of Ptges were significantly down-regulated by VPA but there were no significant changes in the levels of Cox2 and Cebpβ (Supplementary Figure 4b-d). Those results suggest that VPA not only impairs the migration of M-MDSCs to tumors but also attenuates the immunosuppressive function of PMN-MDSCs, contributing to the reactivation of CD8+ T-cells and NK cells.

Next, we depleted PMN-MDSCs in vivo using anti-Ly-6G Ab to assess which subset of MDSCs is more important in the antitumor effect of VPA in the EL4 tumor-bearing mouse model. The data in supplementary figure 6 show that PMN-MDSCs could be totally depleted from the blood and tumor after administration of the anti-Ly-6G Ab. In the PBS groups, depletion of PMN-MDSCs failed to inhibit tumor progression, similar to the IgG control. In addition, the anti-tumor effect of VPA was the same in both the anti-Ly-6G Ab and IgG groups (Figure 5(c)). Similar results were obtained in the B16-F10 tumor-bearing mouse model (Supplementary Figure 6b and Figure 5(d)). These results demonstrate that PMN-MDSCs are not vital for enhancing tumor growth in these two tumor models, suggesting that the anti-tumor effect of VPA is dependent on the impaired tumor infiltration of M-MDSCs rather than on PMN-MDSCs.

**VPA enhances the response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy**

Growing evidence suggests that resistance to ICI is directly mediated by the suppressive activity of infiltrating myeloid cells in various tumors.24 Therefore, we reasoned that combining VPA with an ICI could further reduce tumor growth and may overcome resistance to ICIs. In anti-PD-1-sensitive
EL4 tumor-bearing mice, both VPA and an anti-PD-1 Ab impaired tumor progression equally, whereas the combination of VPA plus anti-PD-1 therapy showed an enhanced anti-tumor effect compared to either VPA or the anti-PD-1 Ab alone (Figure 6(a)). In line with previous reports,\textsuperscript{25,26} the anti-PD-1 Ab alone exerted a poor anti-tumor effect in the anti-PD-1-resistant B16-F10 tumor-bearing mice. In contrast, a significant inhibition of tumor growth was seen in mice with the combination of VPA plus anti-PD-1 Ab compared to mice treated with anti-PD-1 Ab (Figure 6(c)).

We further examined the survival outcome for the VPA and anti-PD-1 antibody combination. We observed a significant increase in survival for the combination treatment in both EL4 and B16-F10 tumor models (Figure 6(b,d)). Furthermore, we found that the combination effect of VPA and anti-PD-1 immunotherapy resulted in a significant increase in tumor infiltrating CD8\(^+\) T cells (Supplementary Figure 7a, c). These results suggest that the anti-tumor effect induced by anti-PD-1 is improved by combination with VPA, which is associated with the increased antitumor immune response. Moreover, VPA and the anti-PD-1 Ab alone, or in combination, were well-tolerated, with no significant decreases in body weight being observed (Supplementary Figure 7b, d). These results suggest that VPA could be an attractive combination agent for ICI treatments.

**Discussion**

Among the four classes of HDACs, VPA preferentially inhibits class I HDACs (HDAC1, 2, 3, and 8).\textsuperscript{27} Although VPA has been reported to directly inhibit tumor cell proliferation and attenuate tumor immunogenicity via HDAC inhibition,\textsuperscript{28,29} the immune regulation ability of VPA in the TME has rarely been addressed. In this study, we clearly showed that VPA could effectively relieve the immunosuppressive TME through a reduction in tumor-infiltrating M-MDSCs, leading to a reduction of tumor burden (Figure 6(e)).

It has been reported that MDSCs are recruited to tumor tissues from the BM or blood by chemokines produced by the tumor tissue, playing pivotal roles in inhibiting anti-tumor immunity.\textsuperscript{20,30} It appears that the therapeutic strategy of inhibiting recruitment of MDSCs into tumor tissues could be effective. Distinct chemokine axes regulate the trafficking of M-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs into the tumor site. In our EL4 tumor model, VPA down-regulated CCR2 expression in the M-MDSCs, leading to reduced tumor-infiltration of M-MDSCs, which suggests the possible use of VPA in types of cancers that secrete high levels of CCR2 ligands such as CCL2 and CCL7. At this point, VPA showed a similar effect to CCR2 inhibitors. A recent study showed CCR2 antagonist CCX872 could impede invasion of MDSCs into tumors and enhance an anti-PD-1 effect to improve the survival of resistant murine gliomas.\textsuperscript{32} However, VPA has targets other than CCR2, hence VPA might be better than CCR2 inhibitors for cancer therapy.

It has been well established that gene expression can be modulated by chromatin remodeling.\textsuperscript{35} Although histone acetylation usually increases gene transcription, previous research has also shown that in some cases histone acetylation can suppress gene transcription.\textsuperscript{34} In our study, down-regulation of CCR2 expression could be correlated with the acetylation status of histone. Hence, direct activation of a CCR2 repressor protein through its acetylation may result in down-regulation of CCR2 expression.

Alternatively, previous studies have shown that VPA has the ability to induce apoptosis in a number of different cell types, including tumor cells and immune cells, by regulating apoptosis related genes through HDAC inhibition.\textsuperscript{35,36} Thus, the possibility that VPA is more cytotoxic to CCR2\(^+\) M-MDSCs still remains, and further research is warranted. On the other hand, although CCR2 expression on the TAMs also decreased slightly, there were no significant changes in TAMs found in the tumor site. In addition to the CCL2/CCR2 axis, several other tumor derived factors attract circulating monocytes to the tumor site and differentiate into TAMs, such as chemokines CSF1, CCL5, CXCL12, and CX3CL1.\textsuperscript{37} VPA might up-regulate these factors, resulting in no significant change in the levels of TAMs at the tumor site.

In other tumors such as breast cancer HM1\textsuperscript{38} and prostate cancer TRAMP-C1 models,\textsuperscript{30} PMN-MDSCs have been reported to play a key role in tumor growth. In our EL4 and B16-F10 tumor models, the depletion of PMN-MDSCs failed to inhibit tumor growth, which may be related to the relatively small number of PMN-MDSCs in these two tumor models. In a previous study, we found that VPA could inhibit HDAC2 expression and thereby prevent differentiation of M-MDSCs into PMN-MDSCs. Therefore, although the cancer-promoting effect of PMN-MDSCs are not the same in different tumor-bearing mouse models, VPA could also exert its anti-cancer effect by inhibiting the differentiation of MDSCs even in those tumors rich in PMN-MDSCs.

Even though VPA is currently being tested alone, or in combination, with other agents in clinical studies in cancer,\textsuperscript{39,40} the effects and molecular mechanism of VPA, especially in immune cells, are largely unknown. Therefore, it is essential to understand the mechanism of action of VPA in order to improve its effectiveness and ensure its safety. Our results have uncovered that the molecular mechanism of tumor growth inhibition by VPA is immune cell-mediated, arising from reduced tumor-infiltration of M-MDSCs. Furthermore, our findings clearly show that VPA in combination with an immunotherapy could be a potential new anti-tumor therapy that acts by neutralizing MDSC function, although further research is required to verify this hypothesis. Compared with combination therapies such as anti-CTLA-4/anti-PD-1 Abs that target T-cells alone, a strategy that targets both T-cells and immunosuppressive myeloid cells could further improve response rates and therapeutic effects. Depletion of MDSCs using Gr-1 or Ly-6G/C antibodies, although successful in mice models, has limited utility in the clinic. To the best of our knowledge, there are currently no clinically applicable approaches to mitigate MDSC-mediated immune escape. Our findings are notable in suggesting the safety of VPA and its combination with ICI might target patient MDSCs through a different mechanism attenuating M-MDSC recruitment into tumors. These results have direct potential clinical implications in designing rational combination treatments for clinical use.
Abbreviations

CTLA-4 Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4
HDACs Histone deacetylases
HDACi HDAC inhibitor(s)
ICIs Immune checkpoint inhibitors
M Monocytic
MDSCs Myeloid-derived suppressor cells
PD-1 Programmed cell death 1
PD-L1 Programmed cell death-ligand 1
PMN Polymorphonuclear
TAMs Tumor associated macrophages
TME Tumor microenvironment
Tregs Regulatory T-cells
VPA Valproic acid
VPM Valproamide
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