A Novel Grid-Forming Strategy for Voltage-Source Controlled PV Under Nearly 100% Renewable Electricity
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The demand for decarbonization calls for nearly 100% renewable electricity rising as the dominant power resource. The foregoing paradigm shifts require proactive voltage and frequency controllers for the converter-based renewable energy. This article proposed a novel grid-forming technique for voltage-source controlled photovoltaic (PV) operating in 100% renewable power systems. The proposed idea was to design a DC voltage collapse-prevention controller in conjunction with a simplified frequency and inertia controller, thereby smart capturing and releasing PV energy to achieve improvements in dynamic performance and efficiency. By setting the two-phase operation scheme, the model initiatively considers the conflict between frequency regulation and maximum power point tracking (MPPT) curve control. The preferred controls are validated using extensive simulation and experimental findings based on a semi-physical platform and a practical photovoltaic demonstration project. The proposed controller outperforms the standard PV current controller over a much larger range of weak grid situations, according to the experimental and engineering operational data. Results demonstrated the effectiveness of the presented method in applying to the future 100% renewable power system.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Growing promises to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, as well as ambitious endeavors to minimize global average temperature rise, have driven efforts to decarbonize electricity, with a special emphasis on the use of renewable energy resources (Wu et al., 2021). The climate action plan necessitates the development of a nearly 100% renewable energy system and hence focuses on meeting the operation challenge of the uncertain nature of resources, primarily wind and solar PV. With RE becoming a prominent source in the system, the inverter-based or non-synchronous interface with the grid requires a proactive control strategy undertaking voltage and frequency regulation and support. Furthermore, grid-forming resources need to be widely used to improve synchronization stability in 100% renewable systems or weak grids (Blakers et al., 2019).

There, so far, mainly exists two types of inverter-based resources, i.e., the controlled current (CC) and the controlled voltage (CV) renewable sources (Fu et al., 2021). The CC type uses a phase-locked loop (PLL) to track the voltage and frequency at the point of common coupling (PCC) (Li et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). Moreover, the CC interface often introduces voltage feed-forward (VFF) control to achieve improvement in dynamic response and harmonics suppression, which is particularly...
appropriate for connecting to a strong grid. But at high penetration of RE, i.e., weak grid conditions, the CC controller also causes wide-frequency oscillation, causing harmonic resonance and system instability (Dong et al., 2015). With grid impedance fluctuation, the use of a VFF controller led to the positive feedback of grid impedance, resulting in injecting the harmonic current into weak grids and triggering a tendency toward progressive system instability. In addition, the PLL controller negatively affects the stability of CC inverters under weak grids. Xu et al. (2019) point out that the time delay in PLL brings a negative damping phenomenon, resulting in inverter oscillation. Meanwhile, in weak grids, the PLL bandwidth causes harmonic resonance. With the increase in bandwidth, the damping of the inverter impedance in the low-frequency band deteriorated (Silwal et al., 2019). Through the introduction of improved controllers, for example, time-delay compensation (Zhang et al., 2018), impedance reshaping (Fang et al., 2018a), and adaptive gain scheme (Xu et al., 2017), mitigates the preceding concerns; the dynamic performance of the CC interface is debased. In case of large transient disturbances, some CC renewable sources lose stable equilibrium points and interface is debased. In case of large transient disturbances, some CC renewable sources lose stable equilibrium points and synchronization stability (He et al., 2020). In light of the aforementioned drawbacks, CC resources are better suited for use in strong power systems.

For weak grids, especially under nearly 100% renewable electricity, the CV interface is proven to be a better solution than the CC interface. As a typical grid-forming resource, the CV types achieve power output directly by controlling the voltage vector phase and amplitude, enabling CV to be inherently synchronized with the grid without applying PLL (Yuan et al., 2009). CV resources proactively provide frequency and voltage modulation and moment of inertia if a virtual synchronous generator (VSG) is introduced (Zhong and Weiss, 2011). Furthermore, grid damping and impedance characteristics are increased without compromising CV interface dynamic performance under a lower short current ratio (SCR) (Wu et al., 2019). Therefore, the CV resources are thought to be an efficient solution and a significant component in the configuration of 100 percent renewable systems (Wang et al., 2020; Sang et al., 2022). To satisfy the CV interface requirement, renewable resources typically add energy storage equipment into their DC side to counteract energy absorption or release in the dynamic regulation process, i.e., the unpredictability of wind and solar power (Liu et al., 2021). The energy storage handles the fast-varying power generated by inertia simulation through VSG control, whereas PV implements the portions of frequency regulation and adjusts for relatively long-term power variations with sluggish dynamics. As a result, the VSG provides a better dynamic frequency response during power fluctuations (Fang et al., 2018b; Debnath et al., 2021). To manage PV and energy storage resources, the integrated development combines enhanced control methods to offer inertial and main frequency response, reactive power support, and transient stability (Zhang and Weiss, 2011; Liu et al., 2021). However, virtual synchronous control often treats the DC side as the ideal source and ignores the energy storage limitation; it is difficult to apply it directly to RE’s self-synchronous voltage source control. Moreover, because of the energy storage (ES), the traditional VSG technique is difficult to apply in a practical wind turbine and PV unit. ES increases the operation and maintenance workload after installation. The occupied space, geographical location, and machine requirements in the primary component of the original CC equipment restrict the application of ES and hence increase the potential challenges of CV sources used for wind turbines and PV (Imai et al., 2018). Therefore, a proposal for a grid-forming plan for each RE unit without the addition of energy storage is both promising and necessary. However, not much work deals with the above-mentioned relevant issues. This is mostly due to a clash between the uncertainty of RE output and the need for constant frequency support. The MPPT approach, for instance, is responsible for the output of PV arrays. The MPPT controller in the PV needs to be changed to set commands that absorb or release energy in accordance with the primary frequency control requirement. Therefore, the CV interface has the potential to cause PV DC voltage collapse, posing a threat to the PV safety and stability (Hua et al., 2017).

Inspiring by the aforementioned works, this article strived to carry out a novel grid-forming strategy for voltage-source controlled PV without adding energy storage. Thus, the proposed planning model provides a novel perspective of flexibility to operate with nearly 100% renewable electricity. The main contribution and novelty of our works settle in:

1) The article developed a DC voltage collapse-prevention controller that works in tandem with a simpler frequency
and inertia controller to capture and release PV energy intelligently. The model resolves the issue between frequency regulation and the MPPT approach by establishing the time accounting-storage strategy. The suggested control algorithm can offer adequate actual and reactive power services, as well as ensure PV DC voltage stability, under dynamic system operation and atmospheric circumstances, according to simulation findings obtained during system disruptions and rapid solar irradiation variations.

2) A CV interface and controller framework for the PV is proposed without including energy storage, combined with the proposed model established by the DC voltage collapse-prevention controller and time accounting-storage method. By offering voltage and frequency control and supporting a weak grid, the unique grid-forming technique provides a perspective of flexibility to function in nearly 100% renewable electricity and an ability to design a weak grid.

The article is organized in the manner as follows. Section 2 theoretically presents the main idea of DC voltage collapse prevention and gives the controller model. Subsequently, the CV interface and controller framework are put forward in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the simulation and
hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) experiments and practical engineering verification. Conclusions and future works are summarized in section 5.

2 CONTROL STRATEGY AND SYSTEM DESIGN

2.1 Stable Operation Area of MPPT

To clearly analyze the stable operation area of MPPT and DC voltage stability, the following assumptions are put forward:

**Assumption 1.** The load is less than the PV array’s maximum output power.

**Assumption 2.** The modulation ratio $m$ of the PV inverter is sufficient.

As shown in Figure 1, the $P-V$ curves indicate the following: 1) when $U_{dc}$ is less than the MPPT DC voltage, the PV array’s output $P_{pv}$ grows as $U_{dc}$ increases; 2) when $U_{dc}$ is greater than the MPPT DC voltage, the $P_{pv}$ declines as $U_{dc}$ increases. Assuming $P_{load}$ as the load power, $U_{dc}$ corresponds to point A or A’ in Figure 1.

Due to voltage and frequency regulation requirements, if the load grows by $\Delta P$ while $U_{dc}$ is at point A, the PV unit is required to produce additional energy to match the load. As a result, the extra power is provided by discharging the DC capacitor, and this results in a drop in $U_{dc}$. The drop in $U_{dc}$ will cause $P_{pv}$ to increase, according to the PV array’s P-V characteristics. When $P_{pv}$ equals $P_{load} + \Delta P$, $U_{dc}$ shifts from point A to point B. $U_{dc}$ is steady at operating point A, as can be shown. When the PV power supply is in this operating range, $U_{dc}$ also exhibits a generator-like feature. When the load is increased or decreased, the DC voltage can be automatically discharged or charged, allowing the system to automatically undergo transition to the new balance point and maintain voltage and frequency stability without the need for further controls. When $U_{dc}$ arrives at point A’, however, the situation is inverted. The DC capacitor discharges if the load increases by $\Delta P$, and the fall of $U_{dc}$ further reduces $P_{pv}$ due to the PV array’s P-V characteristics, exacerbating the imbalance between the PV output and the load, eventually leading to the DC bus voltage collapse phenomenon. Furthermore, the inverter is considered to have a sufficient modulation ratio to create the rated AC voltage in the preceding study. In practice, when $U_{dc}$ falls below a particular threshold, $m$ rises to 1. As $U_{dc}$ falls, the AC output voltage falls as well, posing a major threat to the PV power supply system’s safe and stable functioning. If the load is reduced by $\Delta P$ when $U_{dc}$ is at point A’, the PV array charged the capacitor, increasing $P_{pv}$ and accelerating the charging of the capacitor until $U_{dc}$ passes the MPPT point and enters the stable region. The final operating point transits from point A’ to point C and lets the capacitor overvoltage. It is obvious that point A’ is the unstable operating point.

2.2 DC Voltage Collapse-Prevention Controller

Based on previous analysis, the DC voltage crosses the MPPT point into the unstable region, causing the DC bus voltage to collapse when the PV unit is overloaded. Affected by the variation in the luminous intensity, the maximum DC voltage and MPPT points vary simultaneously. The evolving MPPT curve makes determining the maximum $P_{max}$ in time difficult, and if $P_{max}$ exceeds the MPPT maximum power point, a DC voltage collapse occurs. If the DC voltage can be regulated directly during PV overload, it is envisaged that DC voltage collapse can be prevented.

The diagram of the DC voltage collapse-prevention controller is given in the Figure 2 a follows:

The controller is a PI controller with an upper limit of 0. $U_{dcmin}$ is the reference input signal, and $\Delta P_{lim}$ is the active power increment signal. The MPPT provides the $U_{dcmin}$ command, which is the minimum MPPT voltage, as well as the minimum DC voltage at which the PV power supply can run at a steady state. The controller output is 0 when $U_{dc}$ is greater than $U_{dcmin}$, and the PV operates in a droop state. Once $U_{dc}$ falls below $U_{dcmin}$, this controller swiftly reduces the active power, bringing $U_{dc}$ back to $U_{dcmin}$. $\Delta P_{lim}$ is presented as

$$\Delta P_{lim} = k_p (U_{dc} - U_{dcmin}) + k_i \int (U_{dc} - U_{dcmin})dt.$$  (1)

The principal frequency and inertia response can be met by reserving MPPT power, according to the aforementioned DC voltage collapse-prevention controller. However, due to the photoperiodic effect and the uncertainty of solar radiation, multiple MPPT curves exist, shown as in Figure 3. As a result,
as solar radiation changes, the maximum power and lowest \( U_{dc} \) points fluctuate. This fact indicates that the DC voltage collapse-prevention controller has to set a bigger \( U_{dc\text{,\ min}} \) to prevent PV from running in the left area of P-V MPPT, resulting in more power loss. The constant DC voltage reduces the MPPT’s operation margin, increasing the probability of a PV outage. To solve the aforementioned issue, a responding scheme is put forward.

This article designed a two-phase operation scheme for CV PV sources: the start-up phase and the frequency regulation phase.

**Start-up phase:** After reaching the maximum power point, the controller enters the MPPT state first, starts the MPPT algorithm, and records the power and DC voltage.

**Frequency regulation (FM) phase:** The PV seamlessly switches from the MPPT state to FM state and closes the MPPT at the same time. After leaving the maximum power with a specified reserve \( k \), i.e., generally 10 percent of the maximum PV power, the PV begins to participate in primary FM. The FM power is superimposed on the power command once it enters the steady state, and the output power is limited to the maximum PV power. PV uses the set modulation coefficient to calculate the primary FM power. The output active power is the sum of the specified power value after backup and the primary FM’s steady-state power, shown in Figure 4A. When the FM power surpasses the reserved PV FM interval in the FM process, the PV operates at the maximum power of the MPPT point. The MPPT curve is refreshed every 500 ms. When MPPT point falls below 20% of the rated power, PV departs the FM mode.

### 2.3 Grid-forming Strategy for Voltage-Source Controlled PV

To clearly illustrate the main controller of the CV-PV, the overall schematic is shown in Figure 4A. Frequency and reactive controller, double loop and PWM module and power calculation, electrical measurement, and transformation module are the four basic components. The overall schematic controller takes advantage of the aforementioned technique paired with the proposed DC voltage collapse prevention by using a typical double closed-loop structure (Sun et al., 2021), \( P/f \) and \( Q/V \) droop management (Awal et al., 2020), and virtual rotor motion equations (Yuan et al., 2009). Figure 4B depicts the suggested frequency controller. A switcher in Figure 4B is designed to flexibly switch different frequency control modes. The \( P_{\text{ref}} \) in virtual rotor motion equations is the algebraic calculation of \( \Delta P_{\text{lim}} \), output active power \( P \) and \( P_r \). When it switches to button 1, the CV-PV operates in the FM mode. In this mode, \( P_r \) is combined with \( P_{pv} \) and droop power \( P_{\text{droop}} \). Moreover, \( P_{pv} \) is the sum of \( k \times P_N \), i.e., power reservation of frequency regulation and MPPT power \( P_{\text{app}} \). The incremental conductance algorithm (INC) (Liu et al., 2008) can be used to calculate the MPPT power \( P_{\text{mppt}} \) for a PV. The frequency and inertia-supporting ability of CV-PV is met in this FM mode by power reservation through \( k \times P_N \), \( P_N \) is the rated power of CV-PV, and \( k \) is set to be 10%. To set an acceptable FM dead zone to prevent needless movement, the absolute value and comparison module are employed. To be noted, it causes electricity and energy loss during the FM mode, resulting in lowering the earnings of generation owners. Therefore, we put forward a switcher. When in button 2, \( P_r \) equals to \( P_{\text{mppt}} \), i.e., close to the MPPT power. Furthermore, \( U_{\text{mppt}} \) is chosen to be 5–10 V bigger than the minimum DC voltage point from the MPPT curves, which is extremely close to the MPPT point, to improve the reliability of the proposed technique and provide an extra inertia energy source in this mode. The CV-PV does not engage in frequency control in this mode, but it does supply inertia and acts as a CV source to keep voltage and frequency stability in weak grids. The proposed schematic controller enhances the

![Figure 4B](image_url)
economy of CV-PV. Moreover, in the transient process, the controller still employing the original CC low-voltage ride-through (LVRT) algorithm, i.e., the controller switches back to the CC mode during the fault period and changes back to CV control after the transient period is finished. Buraimoh et al. (2021) contains the transient controller strategy.

To test the proposed approach and calculate the PI and inertia parameters, Fu et al. (2021) introduced a small signal stability model. This is a summary of our earlier grid-forming controller work. The approach for detailed modeling can be found in the corresponding article. Figure 5 shows the model’s main parameters and the model findings, i.e., characteristic root and eigenvalue curves.

3 CASE STUDY AND ANALYSIS

Three scenarios, based on a semi-physical platform and a practical photovoltaic demonstration project, are used to validate the suggested technique through comprehensive simulation and experimental data. The first example is an RT-LAB-based PV station simulation in Hubei, China. The purpose of this case was to analyze the controller’s validity and dynamic characteristics for a PV station, as well as to optimize the parameters of these units under various circumstances. The PV station’s hardware in-the-loop (HIL) tests with a CV-PV controller are then carried out in Case 2. As shown in the following figure, the EMT Hardware Solver (eHS) circuit of this PV is constructed in the RT-LAB platform, and the control component is accomplished by using a realistic DSP digital controller of SG500MX-V6, i.e., a 500 kW PV from Sungrow Company. The dynamic frequency response and voltage supporting findings are presented to illustrate the differences between the prior and optimal strategies for improving the PV’s operation characteristics and resilience. The recording data and construction information of an actual PV engineering project are provided in Case 3. This project is the power side construction part of the 100% renewable energy.
demonstration project in Suizhou, Hubei Province, China. About 32 MW PV will be updated and reformed to CV-PV. The findings of this study have already been implemented in engineering practice. The engineering data’s detailed results demonstrated the practicality of the proposed solution in a large-scale renewable project. In all cases, the CV-PV controller’s stability and dependability validated the proposed strategy.

3.1 Case 1

An independent grid simulation model consisting of CV-PV is developed in RT-LAB/Simulink to verify the effectiveness of the controller technique, as shown in Figure 6. The parameters of this controller are given in the following Table 1. This test is a stand-alone system that consists of three parts: the grid part, the equivalent transmission line, and the tested equipment, of which

1) The grid part is built with the ideal voltage source model, and the power disturbance and fault ride-through configuration are completed by directly scaling the voltage source.

2) The analogous transmission line is designed inductively, with inductance used to represent the transformer and other equipment in the transmission line above the equipment under test, and the short-circuit ratio is set to 1.5.

3) The proposed CV-PV inverter is used in the test equipment. Its dynamic characteristics of transient and steady response are used to determine the effectiveness of the control strategy.

The simulation timer is set to 5 sec. The initial local load is \( P_L = 400 \text{ kW} \) and \( Q_L = 20 \text{ kVar} \); the load is increased to \( P_L = 420 \text{ kW} \) and \( Q_L = 25 \text{ kVar} \) at 1 s and returns to the initial value at 2 s; the load is reduced to \( P_L = 380 \text{ kW} \) and \( Q_L = 10 \text{ kVar} \) at 3 s and returns to the initial value at 4 s; the load is reduced to \( P_L = 380 \text{ kW} \) and \( Q_L = 10 \text{ kVar} \) at 3 s and returns.

3.1.1 Case 1 Simulation Results

Figure 7A shows how the virtual proposed control approach compares to the traditional droop control strategy in terms of dynamic response curves of frequency under unexpected load changes. Low-voltage ride-through (LVRT) testing is performed on the voltage source inverter, in which the fault ride-through mimics balanced and unbalanced tests under 20% voltage dips. The inverter’s steady-state working condition is set to 100% \( P_n \) for the duration of the test. Figure 7B shows the simulation results.

In Figure 7C, the comparison of the traditional CC controller, VSG with energy storage, and proposed CV-PV in frequency...
The dynamic response is given. The setting of the simulation condition is the same as in Figure 7A, i.e., 20 kW active power disturbance is introduced. The results revealed that the proposed controller has a good behavior as traditional VSG with energy storage. As displayed in Figure 7, the system state does not lose stability in both power disturbance and transient periods. Obviously, the simulation results revealed that compared to the droop control, the control algorithm described in this research has a smoother frequency control impact, and its inertia features save time for future frequency regulation.

3.2 Case 2

In this scenario, HIL tests using grid-connected CV-PV are carried out. In Figure 8A, the controller circuit and the eHS model of the primary hardware element of the 500 kW PV are shown, respectively. Two 500 kW PVs comprise a 1 MVA generation unit, as shown in Figure 8A, and power is transferred between them via a boosting transformer with split winding. The circulation current between CV-PV is generally limited due to the high impedance between split windings, especially when using the virtual impedance technique. The HIL experiments are carried out using this practical unit, and its platform is depicted in Figure 8B. The inverter control section of the DSP control board is primarily responsible for the output control signal. The metering board is primarily in charge of communicating with the touch screen. The transmission of trigger signals is handled by PWM and MPPT controllers. Operators can send power scheduling instructions, low penetration strategy coefficients, and key FM and inertia parameters using the parameter setting interface. To test the

![Figure 9](https://www.frontiersin.org) | HIL test results of CV-PV. (A) active power control from 0.1 to 0.8 p.u., (B) MPPT control test from 200 to 1000 radiation, (C) and (D) LVRT and HVRT test under 0.5 p.u. of rated power from 0.2 to 1.3 p.u. of rated voltage, (E) frequency droop test, and (F) inertial test.
dynamic response of this controller, a series of severity abnormal conditions are introduced and chosen to check the power disturbances and fault ride-through capabilities. The set of tests is depicted in Table 2, and the results are shown in Figure 9. Clearly, the experimental findings support the effectiveness of the controller’s algorithm.

The active power of the CV-PV unit can be flexibly controlled, and the reaction time is less than 5 ms, indicating a quick control accuracy, as shown in Figures 9A,B. Based on the results in Figures 9C,D, the controller’s transient behaviors are compatible with the original CC-PV (d). Moreover, in Figures 10E,F, the simulation results clearly illustrate that the frequency and inertia characteristics have an influence on the controller’s output. The HIL test verifies the proposed controller’s validity and benefits.

3.3 Case 3
A demonstration project is introduced here to verify the validity of the grid-forming strategy. The hybrid renewable station which consists of wind and solar energy is located in the middle of Hubei province, China. The capacity of permanent magnet direct-drive wind is 41.6 MW, while the rated photovoltaic is about 50 MW. Considering the economic and construction aspects, about 60% of PV units are upgraded as voltage-source by utilizing the same CV-PV controller in Case 2. The technical transformation enables the CV-PV to achieve the following functions, especially including the droop and inertia control mode, PQ control mode, seamless switching between them, and provision of reactive voltage support.

Several frequency disturbances are exerted on the secondary windings of the current transformer. The PV responses and its inner MPPT control results are shown in Figure 10. For instance, the switching time in Figure 10C is approximately 102 ms, and the circulation current between two CV-PV is nearly 0. In Figure 10F, the frequency response and its inner power reservation results are present. According to the process of dynamic response, the effectiveness and correctness of the proposed algorithm in a real engineering project are tested.

4 CONCLUSION
This work introduced a unique grid-forming CV-PV controller that can be used to establish a nearly 100% renewable electricity system without the need for energy storage on the DC side. Under dynamic system operation and atmospheric conditions, the
proposed control algorithm provides acceptable actual and reactive power services, as well as PV DC voltage stability. Based on a semi-physical platform and a practical photovoltaic demonstration project, the major conclusions include the following:

1) To intelligently capture and release PV energy, the study built a DC voltage collapse-prevention controller that worked in combination with a simpler frequency and inertia controller. The experimental findings based on a semi-physical platform and a realistic DSP digital controller confirmed that the controller has high dynamic performance and stability.

2) With the suggested model built by the time accounting-storage approach, the CV interface and controller framework for PVs are proposed without integrating energy storage. The model anticipated the conflict between frequency regulation and curve control using the MPPT. The outcomes of the demonstration project attested to the method’s efficacy.

Admittedly, concerning the proposed planning model, a few aspects can be enriched, such as the reduction of reserved power and the consideration of the supportability of transient reactive power.
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