Long-term tracking of fasting blood glucose variability and peripheral artery disease in people without diabetes
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Long-term changes of fasting blood glucose (FBG) in relation to lower-extremity peripheral artery disease (lower-extremity PAD) in people without diabetes has barely been reported. Our study aimed to investigate the association between FBG variability and the incidence of lower-extremity PAD in people without diabetes.

Research design and methods We included 7699 participants without prior lower-extremity PAD and diabetes from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study in the final analysis. At least two measurements of FBG were required during follow-up. Variability of FBG was identified using SD, coefficient of variation (CV), variability independent of the mean (VIM) and average real variability. Lower-extremity PAD was defined as an ankle brachial index <0.9, or hospitalization with a lower-extremity PAD diagnosis. Cox regression model was used to calculate HR for incidence of lower-extremity PAD and FBG variability.

Results During a median follow-up of 19.5 years, 504 (6.5%) lower-extremity PAD events were observed, 54.4% (n=274) were male, and 17.5% (n=88) were African-American. FBG variability was positively associated with incident lower-extremity PAD, with a linear relationship. HRs for CV and VIM were 1.015 (95% CI: 1.001 to 1.03; p=0.023), and 1.032 (95% CI: 1.004 to 1.06; p=0.022) for lower-extremity PAD, respectively. Participants in the lowest quartile of CV were at lower lower-extremity PAD risk compared with the highest ones (HR: 1.499, 95% CI: 1.16 to 1.938; p=0.002).

Conclusions Higher FBG variability was independently associated with increased prevalence of lower-extremity PAD in people without diabetes.

Trial registration number NCT00005131.

INTRODUCTION

Lower-extremity peripheral artery disease (lower-extremity PAD) is a severe global health problem, especially in high-income countries during the past few decades.1 Earlier studies have identified that many traditional risk factors such as hypertension (HT), diabetes and hyperlipidemia can accelerate the development of lower-extremity PAD.2–4 Our previous studies further demonstrated that new factors such as serum magnesium, cadmium and C reactive protein were associated with the incidence of lower-extremity PAD.5 6 Hyperglycemia is a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). However, less attention was paid to the role of normal blood glucose in the process of lower-extremity PAD. Recent studies showed fasting blood glucose (FBG) was associated with the risk of atherosclerosis (AS) in people without diabetes.7 8 Thus, exploring the association between FBG with lower-extremity PAD in people without diabetes may help clinicians to better understand lower-extremity PAD.

Tracking may be defined as the longitudinal stability of a certain risk factor or the predictability of a measurement early in life for values later in life, which is a more effective way to conduct studies.9 Long-term follow-up of risk factors could provide more information than just one-time measurement. High variability of FBG and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) could increase the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality.10 11 We also found that higher
FBG variability in young adulthood was associated with lower midlife hippocampal integrity and volume in our recent study. Actually, long-term changes of risk factors in people without diagnosis of diseases. Changes in insulin, blood pressure (BP) and blood lipids over time had an impact on health many years before diagnosis of diseases. Norby et al. studied the trajectories of atrial fibrillation (AF) risk factors for over 25 years, and found that patients with AF increased the prevalence of risk factors 15 years before AF diagnosis. Therefore, studying the trajectories of risk factors is very important for the prevention and treatment of diseases. So far, few studies focused on the association between long-term tracking of FBG and lower-extremity PAD.

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study is a large population-based study with an over 25-year follow-up, which is proper for us to study long-term trajectories of risk factors. Thus, we used data from ARIC to investigate the relation between FBG variability and incident lower-extremity PAD.

METHODS

Study population

A total of 15792 participants were recruited from four US communities in the ARIC study. Participants in ARIC were re-examined four times after the first screen (baseline, visit 1, 1987–1989), then followed by four examinations (visit 2 in 1990–1992, visit 3 in 1993–1995, visit 4 in 1996–1998 and visit 5 in 2011–2013). All participants provided informed consent before each visit.

The first three measurements of FBG was used to calculate FBG variability, the third visit (visit 3) was defined as baseline in the current study. We excluded those participants with prior lower-extremity PAD (from visit 1 to visit 3; n=1415) at baseline, all diabetes from visit 1 to visit 5 (n=3504), participants with just one-time measurement of FBG (n=307), those without FBG measurement at visit 3 (n=42), as well as those with missing covariates (n=166), leaving 7699 participants in the final analysis (online supplemental file 1).

Variability of fasting blood glucose

Blood sample was collected from participants who were asked to fast for 8 hours. Aliquots were stored at −70°C for further analyses. FBG was detected using hexokinase/glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase method. Diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as FBG level ≥126mg/dL (≥7.7mmol/L), non-fasting glucose level ≥200mg/dL (≥11.1mmol/L) or medication use, or self-reported physician diagnosis. Variability of FBG was used to investigate trajectory, which was calculated using 1) the coefficient of variation (CV); 2) the variability independent of the mean (VIM), which was calculated as 100×SD/mean β, where β is the regression coefficient based on natural logarithm of SD on natural logarithm of mean; 3) the average real variability (ARV), which was calculated as the absolute difference between successive measurements of FBG, divided by the duration between FBG measurements to create annualized ARV of FBG between all examinations. All the measurements of variability have been previously described.

Lower-extremity peripheral artery disease definition

Individual follow-up for events started from the third visit and continued when lower-extremity PAD occurred or their date of death, or the end of ARIC follow-up, whichever happened first. Lower-extremity PAD was defined as an ankle brachial index (ABI) <0.9, or a hospital discharge diagnosis of lower-extremity PAD, peripheral artery revascularization procedure or peripheral artery intervention therapy during follow-up.

ABI was defined as the ratio of the ankle systolic blood pressure (SBP) to the brachial SBP. Dinamap 1846 automated oscillometric device (Criticon, Tampa, Florida, USA) was used to measure ankle SBP at the posterior tibial artery with the participant prone, and brachial SBP in the right arm with the participant supine. We used the following International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision codes to diagnose lower-extremity PAD: 39.25 (aorto-iliac-femoral bypass), 39.29 (leg bypass surgery), 84.11 (toe amputation), 84.12 (foot amputation), 84.15 (below-knee amputation), 84.17 (above-knee amputation), 38.18 (leg endarterectomy), 440.20 (AS of native arteries of the extremities, unspecified), 440.3 (AS of bypass graft of the extremities), 440.4 (chronic total occlusion of artery of the extremities), 443.81 (peripheral angiopathy in diseases classified elsewhere), 443.9 (claudication, peripheral arterial disease not otherwise specified, peripheral angiopathy not otherwise specified, spasm of artery).

Other variables of interest

We got information of age, race, sex, smoking and drinking status, education levels and medication use of participants by self-report. SBP ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mm Hg or use of antihypertensive medication, or physician diagnosis were used to define HT. Prevalent coronary heart disease (CHD) was acquired by self-reported history of myocardial infarction, heart surgery, coronary bypass or balloon angioplasty or current medication use.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. An estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the four-variable Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation. Enzymatic method was used to measure high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and Friedewald equation was used to calculate low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C).

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of participants were described by means and proportions. Analysis of variance was used to compare baseline continuous variables and chi-square
tests for categorical variables. Tests were two-sided, and a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We modeled each measure FBG variability (SD, CV, VIM and ARV) with the lowest quartile serving as the reference. Linear trends for all continuous variables were tested through the use of restricted cubic splines. In the spline models, CV of FBG as restricted quartile splines with knots at the 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles of its distribution to provide the relationship between CV of FBG and lower-extremity PAD. Cox proportional hazard regression models were used to calculate HR and 95% CIs between FBG variability and time to lower-extremity PAD. Model 1 included adjustment for baseline age, sex, race, education levels, smoking and drinking status. Traditional lower-extremity PAD risk factors such as BMI, SBP, DBP, TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, baseline FBG and eGFR were added in model 2. Model 3 further adjusted for prevalent CHD, HT, history of stroke and use of medication.

We performed subgroup analysis stratified by key demographic and clinical subgroups of age (<60 vs ≥60 years), gender (female vs male), race (white vs black), smoking status (current vs former), kidney function (eGFR <60 vs ≥60 mL/min/1.73 m2). We also stratified the subgroup analysis by prediabetes status (yes vs no) according to baseline FBG (<100 vs 100–126 mg/dL (5.6–7.0 mmol/L)). We further used data from CVD (prevalent of CHD, HT or history of stroke) to conduct sensitivity analysis. Also, we conducted sensitivity analysis excluding participants with missing data. We used mean imputation to deal with missing data. To test for differences within the various subgroups, p values for interaction were derived from multivariable Cox regression models. All analyses were done using SPSS V.22.0 and Stata V.3.0.

RESULTS
Baseline characteristics stratified by CV of FBG
Among 7699 participants included in our study, 44.7% were male, 83.1% were white and the mean±SD age was 60±5.7 years. The median follow-up was 19.5 years. Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of study population by CV levels. Participants in the highest CV quartiles were black, and had higher BMI, DBP, SBP, baseline FBG, TG, eGFR as well as LDL-C levels compared with the lowest ones. And, people in Q4 were more likely to have suffered from prevalent CHD, HT and stroke. Nevertheless, no significant differences among age, gender, TC, HDL-C and use of aspirin and statin were found.

FBG variability and lower-extremity PAD
After a medium follow-up of 19.5 years, 504 (6.5%) lower-extremity PAD events were observed, 54.4% (n=274) were male, and 17.5% (n=88) were African-American. We found that higher FBG variability was associated with increased incidence of lower-extremity PAD (table 2) (HR: 1.015, 95% CI: 1.001 to 1.03; p=0.022). Spline regression analysis further confirmed that FBG variability was positively associated with the risk of lower-extremity PAD, with a linear relationship (figure 1).

As shown in table 2, after adjusted for multiple adjustment (including age, gender, race, smoking and drinking status, education level, lipids level, BMI, SBP, DBP, baseline FBG, eGFR and prevalent CHD, HT, history of stroke and use of medication), HRs for VIM and ARV were 1.032 (95% CI: 1.004 to 1.06; p=0.023) and 1.016 (95% CI: 1.002 to 1.03; p=0.027) for lower-extremity PAD, respectively. When FBG variability was analyzed as categorical variable, we found that participants in the lowest quartile of CV were at lower lower-extremity PAD risk compared with the highest ones (HR=1.499, 95% CI: 1.16 to 1.938; p=0.002; p for trend=0.011). And similar results were observed with other measures of FBG variability including VIM and ARV (table 2, p for trends <0.05).

Subgroup and sensitivity analysis
Figure 2 summarizes the results of subgroup and sensitivity analysis in our study. When stratified by age, gender and race, the association between FBG variability and lower-extremity PAD was consistent with our previous findings (p for interactions >0.05). Also, no statistically significant interactions were found between FBG variability and smoking status, kidney dysfunction, prevalence of CHD, HT and stroke (all p for interactions >0.05).

Participants were stratified with prediabetes status (yes or no) according to baseline FBG. HRs for FBG variability (CV) and incident lower-extremity PAD were 1.017 and 1.006 (p=0.048 and 0.099). However, no statistically significant interaction was found between those with prediabetes and normoglycemia (p for interaction=0.77) (figure 2).

DISCUSSION
In our study, we found a positive association between FBG variability and lower-extremity PAD in a large population without diabetes. After multiple adjustment, there was a linear relation between FBG variability and the risk of lower-extremity PAD. Our results provided additional information on the relation of FBG and lower-extremity PAD, highlighting the importance of FBG variability in the development of lower-extremity PAD.

As previous studies demonstrated, blood glucose played a significant role in the process of AS in people with or without diabetes.24 25 The Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration investigated 237 468 participants with or without diabetes, found that FBG within normal range was positive continuous associated with the incidence of CVD. FBG lowering down to levels of at least 4.9 mmol/L could reduce CVD burden.26 As changes of risk factors over time were more reliable than just one-time measurement, more and more studies focused on the association between long-term tracking of blood glucose and CVD.27 28 Ghouse et al29 investigated 6756 participants without diabetes, found that high HbA1c variability was positively related to major adverse cardiovascular events.
Table 1  Baseline characteristics of participants according to quartiles of CV of fasting blood glucose

| Characteristics | Q1 (CV% ≤2.8) | Q2 (2.8–4.42) | Q3 (4.42–6.4) | Q4 (>6.4) | P value |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| N               | 1917           | 1913          | 1922          | 1927      |         |
| Age, years      | 60.18±5.67     | 60.08±5.68    | 60.04±5.71    | 60.35±5.7 | 0.353   |
| Gender          |                |               |               |           | 0.124   |
| Female          | 1035 (54%)     | 1081 (55.9%)  | 1099 (57.2%)  | 1040 (54%)|         |
| Male            | 882 (46%)      | 852 (44.1%)   | 823 (42.8%)   | 887 (46%) |         |
| Race            |                |               |               |           | <0.0001 |
| White           | 1681 (87.7%)   | 1662 (86%)    | 1591 (82.8%)  | 1463 (75.9%)|       |
| Black           | 236 (12.3%)    | 271 (14%)     | 331 (17.2%)   | 464 (24.1%)|         |

Smoking status
- Current
  - Q1: 320 (26.8%)
  - Q2: 317 (23.3%)
  - Q3: 338 (24.1%)
  - Q4: 410 (28.1%)
  - P value: <0.0001
- Former
  - Q1: 790 (32.8%)
  - Q2: 777 (33.6%)
  - Q3: 770 (33%)
  - Q4: 790 (33.2%)
  - P value: 0.854

Drinking status
- Current
  - Q1: 1129 (58.9%)
  - Q2: 1086 (56.2%)
  - Q3: 1103 (57.4%)
  - Q4: 996 (51.7%)
  - P value: <0.0001
- Former
  - Q1: 376 (19.6%)
  - Q2: 379 (19.6%)
  - Q3: 374 (19.5%)
  - Q4: 447 (23.2%)
  - P value: 0.003

Education level
- 1 (basic)
  - Q1: 287 (15%)
  - Q2: 304 (15.7%)
  - Q3: 321 (16.7%)
  - Q4: 405 (21%)
  - P value: <0.0001
- 2 (intermediate)
  - Q1: 821 (42.8%)
  - Q2: 819 (42.4%)
  - Q3: 769 (40%)
  - Q4: 685 (35.5%)
  - P value: <0.0001
- 3 (advanced)
  - Q1: 769 (40.1%)
  - Q2: 765 (39.6%)
  - Q3: 781 (40.6%)
  - Q4: 685 (35.5%)
  - P value: <0.0001

BMI, kg/m²
- Q1: 27.16±4.54
- Q2: 27.07±4.62
- Q3: 27.39±4.83
- Q4: 28.34±5.6
- P value: <0.0001

SBP, mm Hg
- Q1: 121.62±18.11
- Q2: 122.29±18.35
- Q3: 121.71±18.47
- Q4: 125.41±19.85
- P value: <0.0001

DBP, mm Hg
- Q1: 70.73±10.11
- Q2: 71.04±9.99
- Q3: 71.13±10.16
- Q4: 72.2±10.72
- P value: <0.0001

FBG, mg/dL
- Q1: 98.05±8.15
- Q2: 97.17±9.08
- Q3: 97.54±10.11
- Q4: 107.6±19.23
- P value: <0.0001

eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m²
- Q1: 68.03±16.2
- Q2: 67.91±15.86
- Q3: 69.05±10.53
- Q4: 70.8±19.23
- P value: <0.0001

TC, mmol/L
- Q1: 5.35±0.95
- Q2: 5.35±0.92
- Q3: 5.39±0.96
- Q4: 5.36±0.99
- P value: 0.616

HDL-C, mmol/L
- Q1: 1.38±0.46
- Q2: 1.4±0.48
- Q3: 1.4±0.46
- Q4: 1.39±0.51
- P value: 0.413

LDL-C, mmol/L
- Q1: 3.26±0.91
- Q2: 3.23±0.91
- Q3: 3.32±0.92
- Q4: 3.21±1.03
- P value: 0.003

TG, mmol/L
- Q1: 1.5±0.79
- Q2: 1.51±0.82
- Q3: 1.45±0.75
- Q4: 1.58±1.09
- P value: <0.0001

Prevalent HT
- Q1: 583 (30.4%)
- Q2: 666 (34.5%)
- Q3: 643 (33.5%)
- Q4: 832 (43.2%)
- P value: <0.0001

Prevalent CHD
- Q1: 116 (6.1%)
- Q2: 117 (6.1%)
- Q3: 109 (5.7%)
- Q4: 253 (13.1%)
- P value: <0.0001

Prevalent stroke
- Q1: 33 (1.7%)
- Q2: 41 (2.1%)
- Q3: 59 (3.1%)
- Q4: 65 (3.4%)
- P value: 0.003

Anti-HT medicine use
- Q1: 548 (28.6%)
- Q2: 584 (30.2%)
- Q3: 613 (31.9%)
- Q4: 815 (42.3%)
- P value: <0.0001

Aspirin use
- Q1: 1020 (53.2%)
- Q2: 1033 (53.4%)
- Q3: 1031 (53.6%)
- Q4: 1044 (54.2%)
- P value: 0.941

Statin use
- Q1: 22 (1.1%)
- Q2: 14 (0.7%)
- Q3: 20 (1%)
- Q4: 30 (1.6%)
- P value: 0.103

Values are mean±SD or number (%).

BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CV, coefficient of variation; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HT, hypertension; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

and all-cause mortality. Echouffo-Tcheugui et al demonstrated that greater variability of FBG was associated with increased mortality risk. However, few studies have considered the long-term glycemic variability of FBG variability in relation to lower-extremity PAD, especially in people within normal FBG range. To expand the findings of previous studies, we examined the influence of glycemic variability among participants without diabetes. In the current study, we used three methods to assess long-term FBG variability. Consistent with previous studies, our results further demonstrated that higher glycemic variability was positively related to incident lower-extremity PAD in people without diabetes, with a linear relationship (p for trend <0.05). Individuals with a higher variability of FBG tended to be black, with higher baseline FBG, BP and BMI levels as well as higher prevalence of CVDs. Thus, stability of FBG was especially important in these participants. Results of our study strongly underscored
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Table 2  The association between FBG variability and lower-extremity PAD

| Model 1                      | Model 2                      | Model 3                      |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                              | HR (95% CI)                  | P value                      | HR (95% CI)                  | P value                      | HR (95% CI)                  | P value                      |
| **FBG variability as continuous variable** |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| *Per 1 SD increase*          | 1.016 (1.01 to 1.021)        | <0.0001                      | 1.013 (1.007 to 1.019)       | <0.0001                      | 1.007 (1.001 to 1.013)       | 0.028                        |
| *Per 1 CV increase†*         | 1.068 (1.051 to 1.08)        | <0.0001                      | 1.03 (1.016 to 1.043)        | <0.0001                      | 1.015 (1.001 to 1.03)        | 0.022                        |
| VIM                          | 1.039 (1.011 to 1.06)        | 0.006                        | 1.037 (1.01 to 1.066)        | 0.007                        | 1.032 (1.004 to 1.06)        | 0.023                        |
| ARV                          | 1.007 (1.004 to 1.01)        | <0.0001                      | 1.007 (1.003 to 1.01)        | <0.0001                      | 1.016 (1.002 to 1.03)        | 0.027                        |
| **FBG variability as categorical variable** |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| SD                           |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Q1                           | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Q2                           | 1.194 (0.918 to 1.552)       | 0.186                        | 1.189 (0.914 to 1.546)       | 0.196                        | 1.183 (0.909 to 1.538)       | 0.211                        |
| Q3                           | 1.097 (0.84 to 1.432)        | 0.497                        | 1.088 (0.833 to 1.42)        | 0.536                        | 1.062 (0.813 to 1.387)       | 0.657                        |
| Q4                           | 1.709 (1.334 to 2.19)        | <0.0001                      | 1.625 (1.265 to 2.087)       | <0.0001                      | 1.441 (1.118 to 1.857)       | 0.005                        |
| P for trend                  | <0.0001                      |                              | <0.0001                      |                              | 0.013                        |                              |
| CV                           |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Q1                           | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Q2                           | 1.351 (1.041 to 1.753)       | 0.024                        | 1.353 (1.043 to 1.757)       | 0.023                        | 1.331 (1.025 to 1.728)       | 0.032                        |
| Q3                           | 1.153 (0.881 to 1.51)        | 0.3                          | 1.142 (0.872 to 1.497)       | 0.333                        | 1.119 (0.854 to 1.466)       | 0.416                        |
| Q4                           | 1.746 (1.357 to 2.24)        | <0.0001                      | 1.682 (1.305 to 2.168)       | <0.0001                      | 1.499 (1.16 to 1.938)        | 0.002                        |
| P for trend                  | <0.0001                      |                              |                              |                              | 0.011                        |                              |
| VIM                          |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Q1                           | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Q2                           | 1.309 (1.014 to 1.69)        | 0.039                        | 1.291 (1.0 to 1.667)         | 0.05                         | 1.268 (0.982 to 1.638)       | 0.069                        |
| Q3                           | 1.27 (0.982 to 1.643)        | 0.069                        | 1.27 (0.99 to 1.656)         | 0.06                         | 1.261 (0.974 to 1.632)       | 0.078                        |
| Q4                           | 1.361 (1.051 to 1.762)       | 0.019                        | 1.361 (1.059 to 1.778)       | 0.017                        | 1.322 (1.02 to 1.714)        | 0.035                        |
| P for trend                  | <0.0001                      |                              |                              |                              | 0.01                         |                              |
| ARV                          |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |                              |
| Q1                           | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Q2                           | 1.049 (0.802 to 1.373)       | 0.728                        | 1.027 (1.043 to 1.757)       | 0.845                        | 1.009 (0.771 to 1.321)       | 0.948                        |
| Q3                           | 1.333 (1.036 to 1.715)       | 0.025                        | 1.325 (0.872 to 1.497)       | 0.029                        | 1.298 (1.008 to 1.672)       | 0.043                        |
| Q4                           | 1.665 (1.299 to 2.136)       | <0.0001                      | 1.493 (1.305 to 2.168)       | 0.002                        | 1.419 (1.097 to 1.835)       | 0.008                        |
| P for trend                  | <0.0001                      |                              | <0.0001                      |                              | 0.003                        |                              |

Model 1 adjusted for age, race, gender, smoking and drinking status, education level.
Model 2 further adjusted for BMI, SBP, DBP, TC, TG, HDL, LDL, baseline FBG and eGFR.
Model 3 further adjusted for prevalent CHD, stroke and HT, and medication use.
*One unit increase in SD corresponds to 0.7% increment of PAD risk.
†One unit increase in CV corresponds to 1.5% increment of PAD risk.
ARV, average real variability; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CV, coefficient of variation; DBP, blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HT, hypertension; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PAD, peripheral artery disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; VIM, variability independent of the mean.

the importance of maintaining blood glucose at stable levels throughout the life course.

In a large population (>3.6 million), Sayji et al concluded that lower-extremity PAD prevalence increased significantly with age. Subgroups analyses in our study demonstrated that the relation was obvious in both young and older, male and female, as well as white and black. We further stratified people with prediabetes status according to baseline FBG levels. However, results from subgroup analyses were similar among participants with or without prediabetes (p=0.77 for interaction). Previous studies showed that CVD risk was significantly increased in prediabetes people. A systematic review conducted by Ford et al showed that impaired fasting glucose (IFG) was associated with increased risk for CVD. However, there were only 3029 prediabetes participants in this study, and most of these previous studies were based on just one-time measurement of FBG. Indeed,
compared with previous studies, our present study investigated long-term FBG variability (instead of just baseline FBG measurement) and incident lower-extremity PAD. Preiss et al investigated 6447 males from the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study, and followed for 15 years, found that higher FPG in people without diabetes was not associated with long-term risk of cardiovascular events. This population was similar to our included participants, and their results were broadly consistent with our own. Moreover, ARIC had one of the longest follow-ups of any studies, representing one of the most powerful prospective studies.

Current cardiovascular risk models differ in the end points and risk factors they consider in their development. The 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk recommended pooled cohort equation to assess 10-year CVD risk. DM status instead of glucose level was included in this risk prediction model. However, two widely used risk models (QRISK2 and 5-year Framingham Risk Score) considered blood glucose levels in their prediction models. A few studies demonstrated that prediabetes as well as glucose levels within the normoglycemic range were independently associated with CVD and ischemic stroke. Our findings further showed that FBG changes among normal range were associated with lower-extremity PAD. Fasting plasma glucose may help in identifying apparently healthy persons with early metabolic abnormalities before progression to prediabetes, and should be considered in CV risk prediction model.

Several mechanisms may be involved in the observed relation between glycemic variability and the increased risk of lower-extremity PAD. To start with, previous studies have shown that fluctuation of glycemia could trigger endothelial dysfunction, and cause oxidative stress. Also, ‘glycemia swings’ may enhance activation of inflammatory cytokines. Previous study also illustrated glycemic oscillations could cause apoptosis of pancreatic β-cells. In addition, the ‘blood glucose memory’ may play an important role in patients with high FBG variability in the process of lower-extremity PAD. Lastly, participants with high variability of FBG tended to have increased prevalence of traditional risk factors for lower-extremity PAD, including older, higher baseline FBG, BP and BMI levels. Future studies are needed to elucidate the exact mechanisms underlying the association between glycemic variability and lower-extremity PAD.

Our study had several strengths. To start with, participants included in our study were from the ARIC study. The ARIC study is a well-designed prospective study with long-term follow-up in a large population, giving us the ability to observe health trends over 25 years. Second,
our study included several measures of long-term FBG variability, such as CV, VIM and ARV. In addition, to our knowledge, this was the first study to demonstrate the relationship between FBG variability and lower-extremity PAD. Our findings underlined that long-term chronic changes of glycemia in people without diabetes caused damage to vascular system among people without diabetes, especially those at high risk for lower-extremity PAD. Targeting these upstream risk factors could prevent lower-extremity PAD. Our findings were useful to better understand the pathogenesis of lower-extremity PAD and develop preventive strategies. Third, risk prediction models may be improved by including fasting glucose as a continuous risk factor, rather than diagnosis of diabetes.

A few limitations should be mentioned in the study. First of all, our study was not a random clinical trial. We used data from the ARIC study, so our findings needed to be confirmed in future studies. Second, ABI was measured in only a randomly sample of participants at visits 3, 4 and 5. ABI was measured in only one leg at visits 3 and 4. Measurements of ABI in only one leg may not be able to fully diagnose lower-extremity PAD. Third, there were only three measurements of FBG in our study, our results may be can only be extrapolated to other populations without diabetes with the same number of measurements. Furthermore, we did not investigate the relationship between changes of HbA1c (a good indicator reflecting FBG variability) over time with lower-extremity PAD in the current study. As HbA1c was only measured at visits 2 and 5 in a small sample of the ARIC participants, it was difficult for us to investigate the relationship between HbA1c variability and lower-extremity PAD. Lastly, this was an observational study, although several other major risk factors were adjusted, we cannot eliminate the possibility of residual confounding.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, our study suggested that greater FBG variability was associated with an increased risk of lower-extremity PAD among people without diabetes. Glycemic change in people without diabetes should be emphasized in those with high lower-extremity PAD risk. Future clinical and basic studies are needed to confirm our findings and elucidate the exact mechanisms underlying the relationship between glycemic variability and lower-extremity PAD.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their contributions.

**Contributors** XTS, CZ, XDZ and XXL: research idea and study design. XTS, HMZ, ZYX, XDZ: data analysis/interpretation. XDZ, XZB, XTS, DYY: statistical analysis. XTS, ZZ, YG and XDZ: manuscript drafting. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript writing or revision, and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.: 81870195) to XXL, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no.: 81600206) to XDZ.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Obtained.

**Ethics approval** All participants gave written informed consent prior data collection. The ARIC investigators have full ethical approval from the NIH.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. Data, may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available. The ARIC data used for this analysis are publicly available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
Cardiovascular and Metabolic Risk

15 Petruski-Ilieva N, Viera AJ, Shimo D, et al. Longitudinal patterns of change in systolic blood pressure and incidence of cardiovascular disease: the Atherosclerosis risk in Communities study. Hypertension 2016;67:1150–6.

16 Ullmer H, Kellner C, Diem G, et al. Long-term tracking of cardiovascular risk factors among men and women in a large population-based health system: the Vorarlberg Health Monitoring & Promotion Programme. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1004–13.

17 Norby FL, Soliman EZ, Chen LY, et al. Trajectories of cardiovascular risk factors and incidence of atrial fibrillation over a 25-year follow-up: the ARIC study (atherosclerosis risk in communities). Circulation 2016;134:599–610.

18 investigators TA. The Atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study: design and objectives. The ARIC Investigators. Am J Epidemiol 1989;129:687–702.

19 Muntner P, Whittle J, Lynch AL, et al. Visit-to-Visit variability of blood pressure and coronary heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and mortality: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2015;163:329–38.

20 Mena L, Pintos S, Queipo NV, et al. A reliable index for the prognostic significance of blood pressure variability. J Hypertens 2005;23:505–11.

21 Bangalore S, Fayyad R, Laskey R, et al. Body-Weight fluctuations and outcomes in coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2017;376:1332–40.

22 Weatherley BD, Chambliss LE, Heiss G, et al. The reliability of the Ankle-brachial index in the Atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study and the NHLBI family heart study (FHS). BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2006;6:7.

23 Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, et al. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:461–70.

24 Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Sarwar N, Gao P, et al. Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. Lancet 2010;375:2215–22.

25 Selvin E, Steffen MW, Zhu H, et al. Glycated hemoglobin, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in nondiabetic adults. N Engl J Med 2010;362:800–11.

26 Lawes CMM, Parag V, Bennett DA, et al. Blood glucose and risk of cardiovascular disease in the Asia Pacific region. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2836–42.

27 Hirakawa Y, Arima H, Zoungas S, et al. Impact of visit-to-visit glycemic variability on the risks of macrovascular and microvascular events and all-cause mortality in type 2 diabetes: the advance trial. Diabetes Care 2014;37:2359–65.

28 Bancks MP, Carnethon MR, Jacobs DR, et al. Fasting glucose variability in young adulthood and cognitive function in middle age: the coronary artery risk development in young adults (CARDIA) study. Diabetes Care 2018;41:2579–85.

29 Savij V, Rockman CB, Skolnick AH, et al. Association between advanced age and vascular disease in different arterial territories: a population database of over 3.6 million subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:1736–43.

30 Hubbard D, Colantonio LD, Tanner RM, et al. Prediabetes and risk for cardiovascular disease by hypertension status in black adults: the Jackson heart study. Diabetes Care 2019;42:2322–9.

31 Vissicen D, Witte DR, Brunner EJ, et al. Risk of cardiovascular disease and death in individuals with prediabetes defined by different criteria: the Whitehall II study. Diabetes Care 2018;41:999–906.

32 Ford ES, Zhao G, Li C. Pre-Diabetes and the risk for cardiovascular disease: a systematic review of the evidence. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1310–7.

33 Preiss D, Welsh P, Murray HM, et al. Fasting plasma glucose in non-diabetic participants and the risk for incident cardiovascular events, diabetes, and mortality: results from WOSCOPS 15-year follow-up. Eur Heart J 2010;31:1230–6.

34 Goff DC, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American heart association Task force on practice guidelines. Circulation 2014;129:S49–73.

35 Khandji MY, Bicalho VVS, van Waardhuizen CN, et al. Cardiovascular risk assessment: a systematic review of guidelines. Ann Intern Med 2016;165:713–22.

36 Sung J, Song Y-M, Ebrahim S, et al. Fasting blood glucose and the risk of stroke and myocardial infarction. Circulation 2009;119:812–9.

37 Eelen G, de Zeeuw P, Trops L, et al. Endothelial cell metabolism. Physiol Rev 2018;98:3–56.

38 Brownlee M, Hirsch IB. Glycemic variability: a hemoglobin A1c-independent risk factor for diabetic complications. JAMA 2006;295:1707–8.

39 Ihnat MA, Thorpe JE, Kamat CD, et al. Reactive oxygen species mediate a cellular ‘memory’ of high glucose stress signalling. Diabetologia 2009;52:952–61.

40 Ceriello A, Esposito K, Piconi L, et al. Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes 2006;57:1349–54.

41 Esposito K, Nappo F, Marfella R, et al. Inflammatory cytokine concentrations are acutely increased by hyperglycemia in humans: role of oxidative stress. Circulation 2002;106:2067–72.

42 Horváth EM, Benko R, Kiss L, et al. Rapid ‘glycaemic swings’ induce nitrosative stress, activate poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase and impair endothelial function in a rat model of diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 2009;52:952–61.

43 Del Guerra S, Grupillo M, Masini M, et al. Gliclazide protects human islet beta-cells from apoptosis induced by intermittent high glucose. Diabetologia 2008;51:310–6.

44 Keating ST, El-Osta A. Glycemic memories and the epigenetic component of diabetic nephropathy. Curr Diab Rep 2013;13:574–81.