Sustainable Food Production Systems for Climate Change Mitigation: Indigenous Rhizobacteria for Potato Bio-fertilization in Tanzania

Becky Nancy Aloo, Ernest Rashid Mbega, and Billy Amendi Makumba

Contents

Introduction ................................................................................ 2
Materials and Methods ........................................................................... 3
    Rhizobacterial Cultures ....................................................................... 3
    Cultural, Microscopic, and Biochemical Characterization of the Rhizobacterial Cultures ... 3
    In vitro Experiments .......................................................................... 4
    The Potted Experiment ........................................................................ 5
    Statistical Analysis ............................................................................ 7
Results ............................................................................................ 7
    The Cultural, Microscopic, Biochemical, and Carbohydrate Utilization Properties of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates ................................................................. 7
    In vitro Plant Growth Promoting Abilities of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates ........... 11
    Effects of the Rhizobacterial Treatments on Potato Growth Parameters and Rhizobacterial Soils in the Screen House Experiment ....................................................... 13
Discussions ........................................................................................ 20
    Cultural, Microscopic, Biochemical, and Carbohydrate Utilization Properties of the Isolates ......................................................................................... 20
    In vitro Plant Growth-Promoting Activities of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates ........ 21
    Effects of the Rhizobacterial Treatments on Growth and Yield of Potted Potatoes ...... 22
Conclusions ....................................................................................... 24
References ........................................................................................ 24

B. N. Aloo (✉)  
Department of Sustainable Agriculture and Biodiversity Conservation, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science & Technology, Arusha, Tanzania  
Department of Biological Sciences, University of Eldoret, Eldoret, Kenya  
e-mail: aloob@nm-aist.ac.tz

E. R. Mbega  
Department of Sustainable Agriculture and Biodiversity Conservation, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science & Technology, Arusha, Tanzania  
e-mail: ernest.mbega@nm-aist.ac.tz

B. A. Makumba  
Department of Biological Sciences, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya  
e-mail: aloob@nm-aist.ac.tz

© The Author(s) 2021  
W. Leal Filho et al. (eds.), African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation,  
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42091-8_276-1
Abstract

The global rise in human population has led to the intensification of agricultural activities to meet the ever-rising food demand. The potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is a crop with the potential to tackle food security issues in developing countries due to its short growth cycle and high nutrient value. However, its cultivation is heavily dependent on artificial fertilizers for yield maximization which culminates in global warming and other environmental problems. There is need, therefore, for its alternative fertilization technologies to mitigate climate change. This study evaluated the potential of indigenous rhizobacteria for potato cropping in Tanzania. Ten potato rhizobacterial isolates belonging to Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Citrobacter, Serratia, and Enterobacter genera were obtained from a previous collection from different agro-ecological areas in Tanzania. The isolates were characterized culturally, microscopically, biochemically, and by their carbohydrate utilization patterns. Their in vitro plant growth-promoting (PGP) traits such as nitrogen fixation, solubilization of phosphates, potassium, and zinc, and production of siderophores, indole acetic acid, and gibberellic acids were then evaluated. Lastly, sterilized potato seed tubers were bacterized with the inoculants and grown in pots of sterile soil in a screen-house using untreated plants as a control experiment. The potato rhizobacterial isolates had varying characteristics and showed varying in vitro PGP activities. The screen-house experiment also showed that the rhizobacterial treatments significantly \( p < 0.05 \) enhanced different parameters associated with potato growth by up to 91% and established the potential of most of the isolates as alternative biofertilizers in potato cropping systems in Tanzania.
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Introduction

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is an important crop for food and economic security in developing countries (FAO 2008). However, its cultivation is heavily dependent on the application of synthetic fertilizers (George and Ed 2011). The general recommendations of synthetic fertilizers for this crop are 120, 123, and 149–199 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K), respectively, for an average fresh tuber yield of 30 t ha\(^{-1}\) (Manzira 2011). Nevertheless, these generous fertilizer applications do not always produce the desired results because the crop’s rooting system is too shallow for the efficient recovery of fertilizers (Hopkins et al. 2014).
Attempts to establish suitable alternative fertilization mechanisms for crops to minimize environmental impacts and mitigate climate change are quickly gathering momentum worldwide (Kumar et al. 2018). In this context, plant rhizospheres have been the center of attention worldwide for decades. Plant roots secrete nutrient-rich exudates that attract plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) that contribute to plant growth promotion (PGP) directly or indirectly, for instance, through the production of phytohormones and siderophores, solubilization of phosphates, and biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) (Kumar et al. 2018).

Biofertilizers are PGPR-cultures which are developed for use as inoculants to improve soil fertility and plant productivity (Aloo et al. 2019). The PGPR of different crops like legumes have extensively been studied as biofertilizers, but the potato rhizobacterial communities are not yet fully understood, yet they could extremely be important as alternative biofertilizers for this crop. Understanding their interactions with the potato to unravel their PGP potentials for sustainable potato cropping is equally important. It is now known that indigenous PGPRs of specific crops can make better biofertilizers because they are completely adapted and established in specific environments and can be more competitive than introduced inoculants (Sood et al. 2018). Cognizant of this, the present study was designed to explore the PGP functions of indigenous rhizobacterial strains selected from a previous study that had isolated, and identified rhizobacteria from potato rhizospheres and tubers from different agro-ecological regions in Tanzania. The selected rhizobacteria were studied culturally, microscopically, biochemically and based on their carbohydrate (CHO)-utilization patterns. Their in vitro PGP functions and effects on various growth parameters of potato in pot experiments were investigated under screen-house conditions, using un-inoculated potato plants as controls. Understanding these bacteria and their functions can enable the identification of suitable inoculants for the development of sustainable potato cropping systems in Tanzania and provide deeper insights into the overall mitigation of climate change in Africa.

**Materials and Methods**

**Rhizobacterial Cultures**

Ten rhizobacterial cultures that had previously isolated from potato rhizosphere soils growing in various regions in Tanzania and identified using their 16S rRNA gene sequences (Aloo et al. 2020) were selected for the present study. The strains, sources, and species of these rhizobacterial cultures are displayed in Table 1.

**Cultural, Microscopic, and Biochemical Characterization of the Rhizobacterial Cultures**

The morphological characterization of the rhizobacterial colonies was performed based on their sizes, shapes, colors, margins, opacity, elevation, and texture as
described by Somasegaran and Hoben (1994). The determination of Gram staining properties was performed using the 3% potassium hydroxide (KOH) string test (Pradhan 2016). Rhizobacterial smears were prepared and stained with safranin and observed under oil immersion (×100) on a fluorescence microscope (Optika B-350) to determine the cell shapes. Evidence of flagella motility was checked in a motility test medium (MTM).

The qualitative assessment for the production of organic acids was determined using the methyl red (MR) (Sambrook and Russell 2001). The catalase test was used to identify isolates that produced catalases and the citrate test was used to detect the ability of the rhizobacterial isolates to utilize citrate as the sole source of carbon and energy on Simmons citrate agar (Simmons 1926). The ability of the isolates to produce hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) was checked on sulfur indole motility (SIM) agar tubes, and the oxidative-fermentative (O-F) test medium was used to evaluate the oxidative and fermentative abilities of the isolates. The production of indole by the isolates was also performed on SIM cultures using Kovac’s reagent. Lastly, the CHO utilization patterns of the isolates were glucose, sucrose, mannitol, maltose, dextrose, lactose, fructose, dulcitol, sorbitol, trehalose, cellobiose, and ribose as described by Hugh and Leifson (1953).

### In vitro Experiments

The rhizobacterial cultures were screened for various in vitro PGP activities. Pikovskaya’s medium containing tricalcium phosphate (TCP) (Wahyudi et al. 2011) and Aleksandrov’s medium containing potassium alumino-silicate (Sindhu et al. 1999) were used to evaluate for P and K solubilization by the rhizobacterial strains, respectively. Zinc solubilization assays were performed using ZnO as the insoluble Zn source (Fasim et al. 2002). For the quantitative estimation of P, K, and Zn solubilization, the optical densities (OD) of culture supernatants from Pikoskaya’s, Alexandrov’s, and ZnO broths were determined spectrophotometrically at A$_{690}$, A$_{799}$, and A$_{399}$, respectively, using a multimode reader (Synergy HTX –

| SN | Strain code | Source | Strain identity |
|----|------------|-------|----------------|
| 1  | LUTS1      | Luteba| Klebsiella grimontii LUTS1 |
| 2  | LUTS2      | Luteba| Enterobacter tabaci LUTS2 |
| 3  | MWAKS1     | Mwakaleli| Klebsiella oxytoca MWAKS1 |
| 4  | MWAKS5     | Mwakaleli| Enterobacter asburiae MWAKS5 |
| 5  | MATS3      | Matadi| Enterobacter tabaci MATS3 |
| 6  | MPUS2      | Mpunguti| Enterobacter tabaci MPUS2 |
| 7  | KIBS5      | Kibuko| Serratia liquefaciens KIBS5 |
| 8  | MWANS4     | Mwanga| Citrobacter freundii MWANS4 |
| 9  | BUMS1      | Bumu| Enterobacter ludwigii BUMS1 |
| 10 | NGAS9      | Ngarenairobi| Serratia marcescens NGAS9 |
Biotek). The quantities of solubilized P, K, and Zn in mg L\(^{-1}\) were subsequently calculated from standard curves of KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), KCl, and ZnSO\(_4\), respectively. The halozones around the bacterial colonies on the plates of respective insoluble compounds were measured and used to compute the solubilization index (SI) for each compound using Eq. 1 (Edi-Premona et al. 1996).

\[
\text{Solubilization index (SI)} = \frac{\text{Colony Diameter (cm)} + \text{Halozone Diameter (cm)}}{\text{Colony Diameter (cm)}}
\]

The production of IAA and GA by the isolates was evaluated as previously described by Vincent (1970) and Holbrook et al. (1961), respectively. The nitrogenase activities of the isolates were checked on solid and liquid N-free media (NFM). The formation of brown or yellow colors in the NFM broth cultures indicated NH\(_3\) production, and its OD was measured spectrophotometrically at 435 nm. The concentration of NH\(_3\) was then estimated by comparing the absorbance of samples with a standard curve of ammonium sulfate in the range of 0.0–10 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Goswami et al. 2014). The siderophore production abilities of the isolates were assessed using chrome azurol S (CAS) liquid assays and agar plates as described by Schwyn and Neilands (1987). In the liquid CAS assays, the percent siderophore units (% SU) per isolate were calculated from the absorbance measurements of samples and reference solutions using Eq. 2 (Payne 1993).

\[
\% \text{Siderophore units (%SU)} = \frac{\text{Reference absorbance} - \text{Sample absorbance}}{\text{Reference absorbance}} \times 100\%
\]

For the solid CAS assays, each experiment was performed in triplicates and the diameters of the orange or yellow halozones were used to calculate the siderophores production index (SI) using Eq. 3 (Batista 2012).

\[
\text{Siderophore production index (SI)} = \frac{\text{Orange halozone (cm)}}{\text{Colony diameter (cm)}}
\]

**The Potted Experiment**

The rhizobacterial cultures were grown in 50 mL universal bottles filled with 25 mL Tryptic soy broth in a rotary shaker (200 rpm) for 16 h at 28 °C. The absorbance of the bacterial suspensions was evaluated spectrophotometrically at 600 nm using a multimode reader (Synergy HTX – Biotek), and each culture was diluted in sterile distilled water to a final concentration of 1 × 10\(^6\) CFUs mL\(^{-1}\). The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C and resuspended in 100 mL of 7% Carboxy Methyl Cellulose (CMC) solution to help bind the cells to the tubers. Potato seed tubers sourced from a nearby local market were surface-
sterilized (using 3% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min and rinsing four times in sterile distilled water). The tubers suspended in the prepared bacterial suspensions for 30 min and sown in plastic pots (20 cm wide) containing 250 g of 24-h oven-sterilized soil with pH: 7.33, electrical conductivity (EC): 207.33 μS cm\(^{-1}\), soluble salts: 0.07%, organic carbon (OC): 0.89%, organic matter (OM): 1.53%, N: 0.08%, zinc (Zn): 35.62 mg kg\(^{-1}\), P: 231.64 mg kg\(^{-1}\), K: 7.59 mg kg\(^{-1}\), iron (Fe): 1.31 mg kg\(^{-1}\), sand: 68.67%, clay + silt: 29.44% and gravel: 1.88%.

The experiment was set up in a completely randomized block design with three replicate potato pots per treatment, giving a total of 93 pots for 90 bacterized tubers (10 isolates in three triplicates) and three nonbacterized tubers. The screen house conditions were naturally maintained at 20–22 °C with a day length of 12 h and watered every 48 h using sterile distilled water (150 mL pot\(^{-1}\)).

The number of days to emergence (DTE) and flowering (DTF) per treatment was recorded and 90 days after planting (DAP), the crops were harvested and data obtained on the number of tubers, length and weight of shoots, and the average weight and size of tubers per plant. To obtain the average size of tubers per plant, the diameter of each tuber from each potato plant was measured using a measuring tape, and the diameter of tubers per plant obtained by dividing the total diameter of tubers per plant by the number of tubers from that plant. The average radius of tubers per plant was obtained by diving the average diameter by two and used to determine the potato tuber size per plant (Eq. 4).

\[ \text{Average tuber size} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \times \text{(Average radius)}^3 \quad (4) \]

The potato rhizospheric soils were evaluated for various physicochemical properties. The pH and EC of the soils were analyzed by the saturated paste method as proposed by Jackson (1973) and Chi and Wang (2010), respectively. The (%) OC in the soils was determined by the potassium dichromate (K\(_2\)Cr\(_2\)O\(_7\)) wet digestion method and the (%) OM of the samples was derived from the (%) OC using Eq. 5 (Walkley and Black 1934).

\[ \text{(% Organic Matter} = \text{(% Organic Carbon} \times 1.724 \quad (5) \]

The N content in the rhizospheric soils was determined following the micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremmer and Mulvaney 2015). The Mehlich III method was used to extract the soil P and Zn (Tran and Simard 1993), while the extraction of K was performed using the ammonium acetate method (Jackson 1973). The 1, 10-phenanthroline complex method described by Chaurasia and Gupta (2014) was used for the extraction of Fe in the samples. The quantitative estimation of P, K, Zn, and Fe in the soil extracts was performed by determining the OD spectrophotometrically at \(A_{690}\), \(A_{799}\), \(A_{399}\), and \(A_{510}\), respectively, using the multimode reader (Synergy HTX – Biotek) and subsequently calculating their concentrations from standard curves of KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), KCl, ZnSO\(_4\), and ferrous ammonium sulfate, respectively.
The potato tuber nutrient contents were evaluated per plant from single well-developed tubers. The tubers were surface-sterilized using 2% sodium hypochlorite and rinsed four times with sterile distilled water. Next, they were chopped into small pieces using a clean knife and dried in the oven (80 °C) for 5 days. Particle size reduction was performed by mechanically grinding, crushing, and milling them into fine amorphous powders. The P, Fe, and K in them were extracted using 50 mL of 2% acetic acid on 0.2 g of samples, followed by filtering (Miller 1995). The Mehlich III method was used to extract Zn in the powdered potato tuber samples (Tedesco et al. 1995). All sample extracts were subjected to quantification of P, K, Zn, and Fe by absorbance measurements using the multimode reader (Synergy HTX – Biotek), and the respective concentrations were obtained using the standard graphs as previously described for soil analysis. The analysis of total %N in potato samples was performed using the micro-Kjeldahl process (Bremmer and Mulvaney 2015), and their crude protein contents were estimated using Eq. 6 based on the assumption that N constitutes 16% of protein (AOAC 1995).

\[
\text{Crude protein content (\%)} = \frac{\text{micro-Kjeldahl N content (\%)}}{6.25}
\]

\[
(6)
\]

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the XLSTAT (Version 2.3, Adinsoft) at a 95% level of confidence. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for normality of data and multiple comparisons of variances were performed using Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA). Variables with significantly different means were subjected to post hoc analysis using Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test. Spearman’s correlation was used to evaluate relationships between potato nutrient contents, rhizosphere soil properties, and potato biometrics in the screen house experiment. The percent increase/decrease in levels of different response/dependent variables was calculated from the field experiments using Eq. 7 to assess the differences between treatment and control experiments.

\[
\frac{\text{Treatment} - \text{Control}}{\text{Treatment}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
(7)
\]

Results

The Cultural, Microscopic, Biochemical, and Carbohydrate Utilization Properties of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates

The cultural, microscopic, biochemical, and the CHO utilization properties of the potato rhizobacterial isolates are displayed in Table 2. All colonies were round in form except for \textit{E. tabaci} MPUS2 and \textit{S. liquefaciens} KIBS5 which were spreading in form and \textit{C. freundii} MWANS4 which had a rhizoid appearance. They portrayed
| LUTS2⁹ | MPUS2⁹ | MWANS4⁹ | NGAS9⁹ | KIBS5⁹ | LUTS1⁹ | MWAKS5⁹ | MATS3⁹ | MWAKS1³ | BUMS1³ |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| **Cultural characteristics** |
| *Form* | Round | Spreading | Rhizoid | Round | Spreading | Round | Round | Round | Round |
| *Color* | Cream | Cream | Yellow | Cream | White | Cream | Yellow | Yellow | Cream |
| *Elevation* | Raised | Raised | Flat | Raised | Flat | Raised | Raised | Raised | Raised |
| *Opacity* | Opaque | Transparent | Transparent | Opaque | Transparent | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque |
| *Texture* | Smooth | Smooth | Rough | Rough | Smooth | Rough | Rough | Rough | Smooth |
| *Margins* | Regular | Irregular | Undulate | Regular | Irregular | Irregular | Regular | Irregular | Regular |
| **Microscopic characteristics** |
| *Shape* | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods |
| *Gram stain* | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| *Motility* | + | + | + | – | + | + | – | + | – |
| **Biochemical properties** |
| *MR* | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | + | – | + | – |
| *Catalases* | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | – | + | ++ | ++ |
| *Indole* | + | + | + | + | + | + | – | + | + |
| *O-F* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| **Carbohydrate utilization patterns** |
| *Glucose* | + | + | + | + | + | + | – | + | + |
| *Sucrose* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| *Maltose* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| *Fructose* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| *Mannitol* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| *Lactose* | – | – | – | + | + | + | – | + | – |
| *Dextrose* | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Sugar      | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ribose    | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + |
| Trehalose | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Cellobiose| + | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + |
| Ducitol   | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Sorbitol  | - | - | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |

*a Enterobacter tabaci LUTS2  
*b Enterobacter tabaci MPUS2  
*c Citrobacter freundii MWANS4  
*d Serratia marcescens NGAS9  
*e Serratia liquefaciens KIBS5  
*f Klebsiella grimontii LUTS1  
*g Enterobacter asburiae MWAKS5  
*h Enterobacter tabaci MATS3  
*i Klebsiella oxytoxa MWAKS1  
*j Enterobacter ludwigii BUMS1  
*k Methyl Red test for organic acids  
*l Test for production of hydrogen sulfide  
*m Oxidative fermentative test
Fig. 1 (continued)
different colors, textures, and margins and most were opaque. All were rod-shaped and Gram-negative. Similarly, all strains were motile except for *S. marcescens* NGAS9, *E. asburiae* MWAKS5, and *K. oxytoca* MWAKS1 and all were MR-positive except for *C. freundii* MWANS4, *E. asburiae* MWAKS5, *K. oxytoca* MWAKS1, and *E. ludwigii* BUMS1.

Except for *E. asburiae* MWAKS5, the rest of the studied isolates were catalase-positive, with some isolates like *E. ludwigii* BUMS1, *K. oxytoca* MWAKS1, *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5, and *S. marcescens* NGAS9 exhibiting strong catalase activities than the rest of the isolates. Half of the isolates exhibited H$_2$S production abilities but indole production and O-F tests were positive for all of them. *Klebsiella oxytoca* MWAKS1, *K. grimontii* LUTS1, and *S. marcescens* NGAS9 metabolized all the tested sugars. *Enterobacter ludwigii* BUMS1, *E. tabaci* MATS3, *E. asburiae* MWAKS5, *C. freundii* MWANS4, *E. tabaci* MPUS2, and *E. tabaci* LUTS2 could not metabolize lactose. Additionally, *E. tabaci* MPUS2 and *E. tabaci* MATS3 could not metabolize cellobiose, *E. tabaci* LUTS2, *E. tabaci* MPUS2, and *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5 could not metabolize sorbitol and *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5 could not metabolize dulcitol.

**In vitro** Plant Growth Promoting Abilities of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates

The results of **in vitro** solubilization of P, Zn, and K by the 10 potato rhizobacterial isolates are portrayed in Fig. 1a–f. Significant differences among the isolates were observed for ZSI in the qualitative assays and quantities of solubilized P ($p = 0.026$), Zn ($p = 0.031$), and K ($p = 0.031$) in the quantitative assays. However, no significant differences were noted for PSI ($p = 0.885$) and KSI ($p = 0.524$) in the qualitative assays. The averages of PSI, ZSI, and KSI were 3.628 ± 0.420, 1.783 ± 0.764, and 3.619 ± 3.563, respectively, while those for quantities of solubilized P, Zn, and K were 100.33 ± 19.90 mg L$^{-1}$, 67.897 ± 55.46 mg L$^{-1}$ and 62.897 ± 55.46 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively. The best P solubilizers were *E. tabaci* LUTS 2, *E. tabaci* MPUS2, and *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5 which recorded average quantities of 115.88, 112.59, and 117.43 mg L$^{-1}$ of solubilized P, respectively. Similarly, *S. marcescens* NGAS9, with average ZSI of 2.94 and *E. ludwigii* BUMS1, with an average of 130.26 mg L$^{-1}$ of solubilized Zn,
Fig. 2 (continued)
exhibited the best Zn solubilization abilities in the qualitative and quantitative assays, respectively. Only two isolates, *C. freundii* MWANS4 and *E. ludwigi* BUMS1 exhibited good K solubilization abilities in the quantitative assays by yielding an average of 112.98 and 125.26 mg L\(^{-1}\) of solubilized K, respectively.

The quantity of IAA produced by the potato isolates *in vitro* was not significantly different (*p* = 0.080) (Fig. 2a). Nevertheless, *E. tabaci* LUTS2 yielded the highest quantity of IAA (10.86 μg mL\(^{-1}\)) and the average quantity of IAA produced by all the isolates was 5.57 ± 4.51 μg mL\(^{-1}\). Interestingly, the isolates exhibited significantly different (*p* = 0.027) abilities to produce GA (Fig. 2b). The average quantity of GA produced by the isolates was however only 0.423 ± 0.420 μg mL\(^{-1}\) and the best GA producer was *E. tabaci* MATS3 with an average of 1.27 μg mL\(^{-1}\). The isolates exhibited significantly different (*p* < 0.0001) N\(_2\)-fixation abilities *in vitro* (Fig. 2c, d). The average N\(_2\) fixation zones and quantities of ammonia (NH\(_3\)) recorded for them were 1.153 ± 0.440 cm and 27.97 ± 21.09 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. *Serratia marcescens* NGAS9 which recorded an average N\(_2\) fixation zone of 1.70 cm and *E. ludwigi* BUMS1 with an average of 79.84 mg L\(^{-1}\) produced NH\(_3\) yielded the best results from the two tests used to assess for *in vitro* N\(_2\) fixation. The isolates exhibited significant differences (*p* = 0.021) with regards to the SI averages (Fig. 2e), but no significant differences (*p* = 0.584) were observed with regards to the SU averages (Fig. 2f). The average SI and SU recorded for the isolates were 2.79 ± 1.66 and 26.14 ± 18.25%, respectively. The highest average SI of 6.13 was yielded by *E. ludwigi* BUMS1 and the rest of the isolates yielded significantly lower SI averages ranging from 1.67 to 2.71.

**Effects of the Rhizobacterial Treatments on Potato Growth Parameters and Rhizobacterial Soils in the Screen House Experiment**

The effects of rhizobacterial treatments on various growth parameters related to potato growth in the screen house experiment are shown in Table 3. Although the DTE averages were not significantly different for the different treatments in this experiment (*p* = 0.960), treatment with some rhizobacteria such as *K. oxytoca* MWAKS1, *E. tabaci* LUTS2, and *E. asburiae* MWAKS5 still resulted in DTE reduction by 7.53%, 3.41%, and 7.53%, respectively. Treatment of the potato seed tubers with the various rhizobacterial isolates significantly (*p* = 0.027) improved the

![Fig. 2](Effects of rhizobacterial treatments on growth parameters of potted potato plants. On the X axes, 1 = Enterobacter ludwigi BUMS1, 2 = Enterobacter tabaci LUTS2, 3 = Serratia marcescens NGAS9, 4 = Enterobacter tabaci MPUS2, 5 = Citrobacter freundii MWANS, 6 = Serratia liquefaciens KIBS5, 7 = Klebsiella grimontii LUS1, 8 = Enterobacter asburiae MWAKS5, 9 = Klebsiella oxytoca MWAKS1 and 10 = Enterobacter tabaci MATS3. (a): Quantity of Gibberellic acids (b): Quantity of indole-3-acetic acid (c): Nitrogen fixation zone (d): Quantity of ammonia (e): Siderophore production index (f): Siderophore production units. Values are means of three replicates and beans with similar letters within the same chart are not significantly different (ANOVA + Tukey’s HSD; *p* < 0.05)
| Treatment | DTE<sup>a</sup> | DTF<sup>b</sup> | Tuber no<sup>c</sup> | Tuber weight (g)<sup>d</sup> | Tuber diameter (cm)<sup>e</sup> | Tuber size (cm<sup>3</sup>)<sup>f</sup> | Shoot length<sup>g</sup> | Shoot weight<sup>h</sup> |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| S1        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 36.67 (−25.44) ab | 14.67 (40.90) a | 19.93 (91.21) a | 3.87 (44.96) a | 40.08 (80.20) a | 38.00 (53.50) a | 13.26 (82.50) a |
| S2        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 43.33 (−6.16) ab | 14.33 (39.50) a | 5.39 (68.46) b | 2.57 (17.12) bcd | 9.13 (43.92) a | 37.67 (53.09) a | 18.14 (87.10) a |
| S3        | 9.333 (−7.53) a | 42.00 (−9.52) ab | 12.00 (27.75) a | 7.40 (77.03) ab | 3.53 (39.66) ab | 24.67 (79.25) a | 36.00 (50.92) a | 5.07 (54.24) a |
| S4        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 36.67 (−25.44) ab | 11.67 (25.71) a | 4.74 (64.14) b | 3.27 (34.86) abc | 18.47 (72.28) a | 46.33 (61.86) a | 6.94 (66.57) a |
| S5        | 9.67 (−3.41) a | 36.67 (−25.44) ab | 12.67 (31.57) a | 7.68 (77.86) ab | 2.33 (8.58) cd | 6.69 (23.47) a | 28.33 (37.63) a | 19.85 (88.12) a |
| S6        | 9.33 (−7.53) a | 39.33 (−19.96) ab | 12.00 (27.75) a | 5.39 (68.46) b | 2.87 (25.78) abcd | 13.43 (64.27) a | 29.00 (39.07) a | 8.75 (73.49) a |
| S7        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 34.67 (−32.68) b | 11.33 (23.48) a | 7.28 (76.65) ab | 2.67 (20.22) bcd | 12.83 (60.09) a | 28.00 (36.89) a | 3.48 (33.33) a |
| S8        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 38.00 (−21.05) ab | 10.00 (13.30) a | 2.27 (36.57) b | 2.37 (10.13) cd | 7.00 (26.86) a | 29.00 (39.07) a | 3.46 (34.83) a |
| S9        | 10.00 (0.00) a | 36.67 (−25.44) ab | 10.00 (13.30) a | 4.30 (60.47) b | 2.17 (1.84) d | 5.52 (7.25) a | 37.67 (36.14) a | 3.01 (22.92) a |
| S10       | 10.00 (0.00) a | 40.67 (−13.11) ab | 8.667 (0.00) a | 3.87 (56.07) b | 2.20 (3.18) d | 5.67 (9.70) a | 17.00 (−3.94) a | 2.19 (−5.94) a |
|        | Control | 10.667 a | 46.000 a | 8.667 a | 1.703 b | 2.133 d | 5.117 a | 17.667 a | 2.323 a |
|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| p value| 0.960   | 0.027    | 0.121    | 0.010   | 0.027   | 0.189   | 0.169   | 0.216    |         |
| Significant | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |         |

**Significance**: No Yes No Yes Yes No No No

**Values** are means of three replicates with (％) increase relative to the control in parenthesis. Means with similar letters within the same columns are not significantly different (ANOVA + Tukey’s HSD; p < 0.05)

- **a**: Number of days to emergence
- **b**: Number of days to flowering
- **c**: Average number of tubers
- **d**: Average weight of tubers
- **e**: Average diameter of tubers
- **f**: Average size of tubers calculated from the average diameters
- **g**: Average shoot lengths
- **h**: Average shoot weights
- **i**: Un-inoculated control experiment

**S1**: *Serratia marcescens* NGAS9, **S2**: *Serratia liquefaciens* KIBS5, **S3**: *Klebsiella oxytoca* MWAKS1, **S4**: *Enterobacter tabaci* MATS3, **S5**: *Enterobacter tabaci* LUTS2, **S6**: *Enterobacter asburiae* MWAKS5, **S7**: *Citrobacter freundii* MWANS4, **S8**: *Enterobacter tabaci* MPUS2, **S9**: *Klebsiella grimontii* LUTS1, **S10**: *Enterobacter ludwigii* BUMS1
DTF of treated plants in comparison to the untreated controls which recorded the highest DTF of 46. The rhizobacterial treatments reduced the average DTF of potato plants by approximately 6–33%. The DTF reduction by *C. freundii* of 32.68% was significantly higher than all other rhizobacterial treatments.

Although the number of tubers was not significantly different (*P* = 0.121) across the different rhizobacterial treatments and the un-inoculated control, the rhizobacterial treatments still resulted in crops with increased tuber yields above the control which recorded an average tuber number of 8.67. The greatest average number of tubers of 14.67 was observed from the treatment with *S. marcescens NGAS9* corresponding to a 91.21% increase relative to the control plants. Significant differences were observed for tuber weight (*p* = 0.010) and diameter (*p* = 0.027) among the different rhizobacterial treatments and the uninoculated control plants.

The highest average tuber weight of 19.93 g corresponding to a 91.21% increase above the control was recorded for potato plants from the treatment with *S. marcescens NGAS9*. This particular treatment also yielded the largest tuber diameter of 3.87 cm corresponding to a 44.96% increase over the control which recorded an average tuber diameter of 2.13 cm.

No significant differences (*p* = 0.189) were observed for tuber sizes for the different treatments and the control experiment. However, treatment with *S. marcescens NGAS9* produced an average tuber size of 40.08 cm³ corresponding to an increase of 87.23% above control treatments. The average shoot lengths of potato plants observed for different rhizobacterial treatments in this study were not significantly different (*p* = 0.169). However, all rhizobacterial treatments except for *E. ludwigii BUMS1* resulted in increased shoot lengths of the potato plants by between 36% and 54% relative to the un-inoculated controls. The treatment with *E. tabaci MATS3* gave the maximum shoot weight of 46.33 and the highest increment of 61.86% relative to the un-inoculated control. Interestingly, treatment with *E. ludwigii BUMS1*, though not significant, resulted in shoots with lower weights and lengths in comparison to the un-inoculated control. Similarly, the average shoot weights of potato plants observed for different rhizobacterial treatments in this study were not significantly different (*p* = 0.126). However, the treatments still resulted in increased shoot weights of potato plants by up to 82% relative to the control experiments where the average shoot weight was 2.32 g.

The properties of potato rhizospheric soils from the screen house experiment are provided in Table 4. Significant differences (*p* < 0.05) were among the rhizobacterial treatments and the un-inoculated control for all the studied soil properties except for Fe (*p* = 0.077), P (*p* = 0.109), and pH (*p* = 0.493). The treatment with *E. tabaci MPUS2* resulted in the highest OM content of 3.56% corresponding to a 60% increment over the un-inoculated control. *Klebsiella grimontii LUTS1* also yielded the best results in terms of EC, salts, and K contents with averages of 1467.33 uS cm⁻¹, 0.51%, and 31.79 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, corresponding to increments of 48.8%, 49.7%, and 75.3%, respectively, above the un-inoculated control. Treatment of potato plants with *E. asburiae MWAKS5* also yielded significantly higher averages of OM (2.22%), OM (3.83%), and Zn...
| Treatment | OC (%) | OM (%) | pH | EC (μS cm⁻¹) | OM (%)* | Salts (%) | N (%) | P (mg kg⁻¹) | Zn (mg kg⁻¹) | K (mg kg⁻¹) | Fe (mg kg⁻¹) |
|-----------|--------|--------|----|--------------|---------|-----------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| S1        | 1.91   | 3.29 b | 8.04 | 74.20        | 0.26    | 0.16      | 345.50| 74.20       | 0.26        | 0.16       | 0.16        |
| S2        | 1.09   | 1.31   | 8.25 | 384.33       | 0.14    | 0.07      | 211.47| 54.44       | 14.14       | 13.11      | 0.86        |
| S3        | 1.61   | 1.63 b | 7.70 | 78.167       | 0.27    | 0.08      | 289.98| 59.76       | 12.08       | 1.70       | 0.26        |
| S4        | 2.04   | 3.51   | 8.12 | 459.67       | 0.16    | 0.18      | 308.78| 69.20       | 14.71       | 0.86       | 0.16        |
| S5        | 2.03   | 3.50   | 8.01 | 71.100       | 0.27    | 0.18      | 332.09| 70.41       | 13.07       | 1.489      | 0.20        |
| S6        | 2.22   | 3.83   | 8.11 | 581.00       | 0.20    | 0.19      | 337.99| 97.28       | 11.11       | 0.64       | 0.16        |
| S7        | 1.98   | 3.42   | 7.70 | 720.67       | 0.25    | 0.17      | 287.83| 77.51       | 13.50       | 0.78       | 0.16        |
| S8        | 2.07   | 3.56   | 5.97 | 75.67        | 0.26    | 0.18      | 348.87| 51.88       | 10.09       | 0.89       | 0.16        |
| S9        | 1.35   | 1.75   | 7.48 | 1467.33      | 0.51    | 0.15      | 331.44| 47.81       | 13.79       | 0.71       | 0.11        |
| S10       | 1.41   | 1.28   | 7.91 | 321.33       | 0.11    | 0.06      | 352.32| 67.10       | 16.71       | 0.50       | 0.11        |

(continued)
| Treatment | OC (%)<sup>a</sup> | OM (%)<sup>b</sup> | pH | EC (μS cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>c</sup> | Salts (%)<sup>d</sup> | N (%)<sup>e</sup> | P (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>f</sup> | Zn (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>g</sup> | K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>h</sup> | Fe (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>i</sup> |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Control<sup>j</sup> | 0.704 b | 1.214 d | 8.073 a | 751.000 ab | B 0.263 ab | 0.061 d | 21 0.248 a | 32.357 b | 7.860 c | 0.217 a |
| p value | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.493 | 0.041 | 0.040 | 0.007 | 0.109 | 0.011 | 0.001 | 0.077 |
| Significant | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |

S1: *Serratia marcescens* NGAS9, S2: *Serratia liquefaciens* KIBS5, S3: *Klebsiella oxytoca* MWAKS1, S4: *Enterobacter tabaci* MATS3, S5: *Enterobacter tabaci* LUTS2, S6: *Enterobacter asburiae* MWAKS5, S7: *Citrobacter freundii* MWANS4, S8: *Enterobacter tabaci* MPUS2, S9: *Klebsiella grimontii* LUTS1, S10: *Enterobacter ludwigi* BUMS1

Values are means of three replicates with (%) increase relative to the control in parenthesis. Means with similar letters within the same columns are not significantly different (ANOVA + Tukey’s HSD; *p* < 0.05)

<sup>a</sup>Percent organic carbon
<sup>b</sup>Percent organic matter
<sup>c</sup>Electrical conductivity (EC)
<sup>d</sup>Percent salts calculated from EC
<sup>e</sup>Percent nitrogen
<sup>f</sup>Extractable phosphorus
<sup>g</sup>Extractable zinc
<sup>h</sup>Extractable potassium
<sup>i</sup>Extractable iron
<sup>j</sup>Un-inoculated control experiment
(92.28 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to increments of 68.3%, 68.4%, and 66.7%, respectively, over the un-inoculated control.

Except for pH, EC, and salt contents, where some treatments resulted in reduced contents and others, increased contents in rhizospheric soils of the treated potato plants, the quantities of the rest of the soil properties increased as a result of the rhizobacterial treatments. Although no significant differences (\(p = 0.077\)) were noted among the potato rhizobacterial treatments and the un-inoculated control with regards to Fe contents of the rhizospheric soils, all rhizobacterial treatments resulted in increased Fe contents of between 99.0% and 99.8%. The effects of

| Table 5 Effects of rhizobacterial treatments on physicochemical properties of potato nutrient contents |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Treatment | Nitrogen (%) | Protein (%) | Phosphorus (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Potassium (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Iron (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Zinc (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| S1        | 1.05 (87.6) a | 6.55 (87.1) a | 2363.13 (93.1) a | 1051.29 (53.7) bc | 0.59 (62.7) ab | 1946.68 (91.9) cd |
| S2        | 0.91 (85.7) a | 5.69 (85.4) a | 2052.73 (92.1) a | 1341.95 (63.8) b | 1.07 (79.4) ab | 4101.05 (96.2) abc |
| S3        | 0.90 (85.4) ab | 5.62 (85.2) ab | 2028.50 (92.0) ab | 1489.33 (67.4) b | 0.95 (76.8) ab | 1553.80 (90.0) cd |
| S4        | 1.00 (86.9) a | 6.25 (86.7) a | 2256.39 (92.8) a | 2741.86 (82.3) a | 1.50 (85.3) ab | 6429.97 (97.6) a |
| S5        | 0.45 (71.1) bc | 2.81 (70.5) bc | 1012.16 (83.9) bc | 1430.58 (66.0) b | 6.63 (96.7) a | 2580.98 (94.0) bcd |
| S6        | 0.99 (86.9) a | 6.21 (86.6) a | 2240.02 (92.7) a | 1266.93 (61.6) bc | 0.52 (57.7) ab | 4130.45 (96.2) abc |
| S7        | 0.34 (61.8) c | 2.14 (61.2) c | 770.51 (78.9) c | 2327.07 (79.1) a | 1.97 (88.8) ab | 5629.40 (97.2) ab |
| S8        | 0.85 (84.7) ab | 5.27 (84.3) ab | 1901.46 (91.4) ab | 982.14 (50.5) bc | 0.57 (61.4) ab | 872.16 (82.1) cd |
| S9        | 0.20 (35.0) c | 1.22 (32.0) c | 441.12 (61.1) c | 740.97 (34.4) bc | 3.53 (93.8) ab | 1166.55 (86.6) cd |
| S10       | 0.83 (84.3) ab | 5.16 (83.9) ab | 1860.86 (91.3) ab | 947.85 (48.7) bc | 0.68 (67.7) ab | 1653.20 (90.6) cd |
| Controla  | 0.133 c | 0.833 c | 162.677 c | 486.328 c | 0.216 b | 155.947 d |
| p value   | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.050 | < 0.0001 |
| Significant | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

S1: Serratia marcescens NGAS9, S2: Serratia liquefaciens KIBS5, S3: Klebsiella oxytoca MWAKS1, S4: Enterobacter tabaci MATS3, S5: Enterobacter tabaci LUTS2, S6: Enterobacter asburiae MWAKS5, S7: Citrobacter freundii MWANS4, S8: Enterobacter tabaci MPUS2, S9: Klebsiella grimontii LUTS1, S10: Enterobacter ludwigii BUMS

Values are means of three replicates with % increase relative to the control in parenthesis. Means with similar letters within the same columns are not significantly different (ANOVA + Tukey’s HSD; \(p < 0.05\))

aUn-inoculated control experiment
different rhizobacterial treatments on the nutrient concentration in potato tubers in
the screen house experiment are provided in Table 5.

All the studied nutrients in the potato tubers were significantly different
\((p < 0.05)\) across the different rhizobacterial treatments and the un-inoculated
control. The greatest N, protein, and P increments (>85%) were observed for
the treatments with \(S.\) marcescens NGAS9, \(E.\) tabaci MATS3, and \(E.\) asburiae
MWAKS5. For K, \(E.\) tabaci MWATS 3 yielded the highest average of
2741.86 mg kg\(^{-1}\) corresponding to an 82.3% increment over the un-inoculated
control for which the average K content was 486.33 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Similar results were
observed for Fe quantity in potato tubers where the same treatment resulted in tubers
with an average Fe content of 6.63 mg kg\(^{-1}\) corresponding to a 96.7% increment
over the control which recorded an average Fe content of 0.126 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Interest-
ingly, the rhizobacterial treatments resulted in tubers with improved Zn content to a
great extent over the un-inoculated controls by between 90% and 97%. The highest
Zn content of 6429.97 mg kg\(^{-1}\) was recorded for the treatment with \(E.\) tabaci
MATS3, an increment of 97.6% over the control whose tubers had an average Zn
content of 155.95 mg kg\(^{-1}\).

Discussions

Cultural, Microscopic, Biochemical, and Carbohydrate Utilization
Properties of the Isolates

The rhizobacterial isolated exhibited a broad range of morphological features in
terms of their colony forms, indicating their relative diversity. All isolates were
Gram-negative, agreeing with other reports that that plant rhizospheres are predom-
nantly colonized by Gram-negative bacterial communities. For instance, in a recent
study by Mujahid et al. (2015), up to 90% of all studied rhizobacterial isolates from
crop fields, respectively, were also Gram-negative.

Only 3 out of the 10 potato rhizobacterial isolates in this study did not exhibit any
form of motility in the MTM. Rhizobacterial motility is an important property that
enables bacteria to reach the plant root exudates and flagella-driven chemotaxis is
very critical for successful root colonization (Turnbull et al. 2001). Half of the
isolates were MR-positive, indicating their ability to produce organic acids which
are important in the solubilization of inorganic P (Adeleke et al. 2017). Similarly, the
rhizobacterial isolates were all positive for catalase production and some isolates
exhibited very strong catalase activities. Catalases are enzymes that act as defense
mechanisms for bacteria to detoxify, neutralize, repair, or escape oxidative damages
and bactericidal effects of reactive oxygen species like \(H_2O_2\) (Mumtaz et al. 2017).
Except for \(K.\) oxytoca MWAKS1, all the isolates also exhibited citrate utilization
which is thought to play a significant role in competitive root colonization and
maintenance of bacteria in the rhizosphere (Turnbull et al. 2001).

Half of the potato rhizobacterial isolates exhibited \(H_2S\) production. The reduction
of sulfide and other sulfate compounds into \(H_2S\) is thought to diminish sulfur
availability in the soil for plants and is thus not a desirable trait for soil fertility (Choudhary et al. 2018). The isolates all exhibited indole-production in tryptophan-amended cultures, showing their corresponding abilities to produce tryptophanases (Das et al. 2019). Similarly, the rhizobacterial isolates were all positive for the O-F test, indicating their saccharolytic nature which is an important trait for rhizosphere colonization.

The rhizobacterial isolates exhibited varying capacities to metabolize different CHO. A number of them were capable of metabolizing all the sugars but some could not metabolize lactose, sorbitol, and dulcitol. The rhizosphere is generally a nutrient-rich microenvironment due to the presence of rhizodeposits and root exudates with different chemical compositions (Kumar et al. 2018). This can explain the diverse ability of the isolates to utilize different substrates for growth as may be provided for in their natural environments. Substrate preference may confer certain selective advantages in the rhizosphere and multisubstrate utilization may enable rhizobacteria to diversify their nutrient sources for efficient rhizosphere colonization (Zahlina et al. 2018).

**In vitro** Plant Growth-Promoting Activities of the Potato Rhizobacterial Isolates

The potato rhizobacterial isolates exhibited varying P, Zn, and K solubilization capacities. The average quantities of solubilized P ranged from 60.96 to 163.47 mg mL\(^{-1}\) which is higher compared to previously reported averages for potato rhizobacterial isolates, for example, in studies by Naqqash et al. (2016) where the averages ranged from 30.71 to 141.23 mg L\(^{-1}\). The best P solubilizers were *E. tabaci* LUTS 2, *E. tabaci* MPUS2, and *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5 with average quantities of 115.88, 112.59, and 117.43 mg L\(^{-1}\) of solubilized P, respectively. The solubilization of P is proposed to occur through acidification by organic acids and results in the production of di- and mono-basic phosphates which are the only plant-available P forms (Awais et al. 2019). The production of organic acids by the rhizobacterial strains in the present study was evidenced in the biochemical assays and can explain their P solubilization abilities and illustrate how valuable they can be in improving potato P nutrition.

*Serratia marcescens* NGAS9, with average ZSI of 2.94 and *E. ludwigii* BUMS1, with an average of 130.26 mg L\(^{-1}\) of solubilized Zn exhibited the best Zn solubilization abilities in the qualitative and quantitative assays, respectively. Although Zn is a micronutrient, its adequate supply is required for proper potato yields (Vreugdenhil 2007). Since only a small portion of Zn occurs in plant-available forms in most soils, Zn solubilizing bacteria (ZSB) such as the ones identified in the present study have the potential of improving the Zn utilization in potato grown soils (Aloo et al. 2019).

Except for a few isolates, the K solubilization abilities of the potato rhizobacterial isolates followed similar trends to P and Zn solubilization abilities. Two isolates *C. freundii* MWANS4 and *E. ludwigii* BUMS1 particularly showed good K
solubilization abilities in the quantitative assays by yielding averages of 112.98 and 125.26 mg L\(^{-1}\) of solubilized K, respectively. Evidence suggests that about 98% of K occurs in soils in fixed forms and only about 2% is available in plant-accessible forms (Meena et al. 2018). As such, efficient KSB such as the ones identified in the present study can significantly enhance potato K nutrition.

All the potato rhizobacterial isolates produced IAA in tryptophan-amended culture media similar to reports by Naqqash et al. (2016). Indole-3-acetic acid is a rhizobacterial PGP hormone that is important for the proliferation of lateral roots and root hairs and enhancement of plant mineral nutrients uptake (Kumar et al. 2018). The average IAA quantity produced by the isolates in the present study was 5.57 ± 4.51 μg mL\(^{-1}\). In a recent study by Jadoon et al. (2019) in Pakistan, lower IAA average quantities of only 2.09 μg mL\(^{-1}\) were reported but geographical differences could explain this variation. The isolates generally produced lesser quantities of GA with an average of only 0.423 ± 0.420 μg mL\(^{-1}\). The best GA producer was *E. tabaci* MATS3 with an average of 1.27 μg mL\(^{-1}\). Unlike IAA, reports on rhizobacterial GA production are scanty (Amar et al. 2013), yet GA production is one of the rhizobacterial PGP mechanisms (Aloo et al. 2019).

The average N\(_2\)-fixation zones and quantities of NH\(_3\) were 1.153 ± 0.440 cm and 27.97 ± 21.09 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. *Serratia marcescens* NGAS9, with an average N\(_2\) fixation zone of 1.70 cm and *E. ludwigii* BUMS1, with an average NH\(_3\) of 79.84 mg L\(^{-1}\) yielded the best results in this assay. The diazotrophic abilities of the potato rhizobacteria established in the present investigation indicate the critical role they could be playing in the potato rhizosphere. Although diazotrophy is a common trait in legume symbioses, nitrogenase genes are present in diverse bacterial taxa (Gyaneshwar et al. 2011). Such traits can be optimized and exploited to promote N nutrition in nonlegumes such as the potato using the diazotrophic strains identified in the present study.

The potato rhizobacterial isolates were all capable of producing siderophores which are important metabolites with a high affinity for binding Fe and promoting its availability to plants (Mhlongo et al. 2018). Interestingly, the present isolates showed higher siderophore production abilities than has been reported in other studies for potato rhizobacteria. For instance, the average SU obtained for the isolates in the present investigation was 26.14 ± 18.25% while in studies by Pathak et al. (2019), potato rhizobacteria produced lower SU means (< 11.97%). Very few potato rhizobacteria have been associated with the siderophore production trait (Aloo et al. 2019), and these siderophore-producing rhizobacterial isolates are important candidates for potato biofertilization.

**Effects of the Rhizobacterial Treatments on Growth and Yield of Potted Potatoes**

The present study also evaluated the effects of indigenous rhizobacterial treatments on various growth parameters of potted potato under screen house conditions. The results showed that most of the rhizobacterial treatments reduced the DTE and DTF
of the potato plants by up to 7.35% and 32.68%, respectively, relative to the un-inoculated controls. Increased germination rates and seedling vigor in plants following inoculation with beneficial rhizobacterial strains are advanced to occur as a result of phytohormone production that enhances growth by stimulating root elongation and development (Ahemad and Kibret 2014).

Except for the number and weight of tubers in the present study, the rest of the potato growth parameters were not significantly different across the treatments and the control treatment. Nevertheless, the rhizobacterial treatments still resulted in increased growth attributes of the plant. For instance, the potato shoot weights were increased by 22–88% upon rhizobacterial inoculation. Such results can also be attributed to the stimulation of root development and nutrient uptake by rhizobacterial PGP hormones (Kumar et al. 2018), whose production was also established for the present rhizobacterial inocula. Contrary to the expectation, *E. ludwigii* BUMS resulted in average potato shoot length and weight that were less than those of the un-inoculated control by 3.94% and 5.94%, respectively. The failure of rhizobacterial inocula to produce the desired results during *in planta* investigations is probably due to the inabilitys to establish themselves in the rhizosphere (Istifadah et al. 2018).

The potato rhizospheric soils were also greatly influenced by the rhizobacterial treatments. Most treatments resulted in reduced pH levels relative to the control and increased N, P, K, Zn, and Fe contents in the potato rhizospheres, signifying rhizosphere acidification which is commonly associated with the solubilization of nutrients in the soil. The increased availability of N and P in the rhizospheric soils may be attributed to N₂ fixation and P solubilization by the rhizobacterial inocula as advanced by Sood et al. (2018). The Fe contents in the potato rhizospheric soils increased by up to 99.7% relative to the un-inoculated control following rhizobacterial inoculation, signifying the excellent Fe-mobilization abilities by the rhizobacterial inocula. The soil OC and OM contents also increased significantly for most of the treatments relative to the un-inoculated control.

The present study established that most of the rhizobacterial treatments resulted in tubers with increased nutrient contents, demonstrating improved nutrient uptake and accumulation by the treated plants. This can mostly be attributed to the multitrait inoculants used to treat the potato plants. For instance, the increased uptake and accumulation of N and P may have been due to increased fractions of the minerals in the rhizospheric soils mediated by the rhizobacterial treatments through N₂ fixation and P solubilization, respectively, as similarly observed in wheat by Sood et al. (2018). The inoculation of seed potato tubers with *S. marcescens* NGAS9, *S. liquefaciens* KIBS5, and *E. asburiae* MWAKS5 resulted in tubers with significantly higher N and protein contents, a clear indication of their efficient diazotrophic roles. Interestingly, the treatment of potato seed tubers with *C. freundii* MWANS4 and *K. grimontii* LUTS1, despite exhibiting N₂ fixation abilities in the *in vitro* studies, did not lead to significant increments on the average concentration of N and protein in the potato tubers relative to the un-inoculated control, probably due to the inability to establish adequately themselves in the potato rhizosphere.
Conclusions

The study establishes the importance of indigenous rhizobacterial communities in the biofertilization of potato which can be exploited for its sustainable cultivation. The selected potato rhizobacterial isolates demonstrated efficient N$_2$-fixing, P-solubilizing, and IAA, siderophores producing abilities. All these characteristics are important PGP traits and have been found effective in positively improving the growth of potted potato plants under screen house conditions. In sustainable crop production, the focus should not only be on increasing crop productivity but also the nutritional value of the food produced for food security. Apart from improving the potato growth parameters relative to the control, the rhizobacterial treatments also enhanced nutrient availability in the rhizospheric soils and improved the potato tuber nutrient contents. The studied isolates are, therefore, potential candidates in future field applications and sustainable cropping of potato in Tanzania.
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