Transient callosal projections of L4 neurons are eliminated for the acquisition of local connectivity
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Interhemispheric axons of the corpus callosum (CC) facilitate the higher order functions of the cerebral cortex. According to current views, callosal and non-callosal fates are determined early after a neuron’s birth, and certain populations, such as cortical layer (L) 4 excitatory neurons of the primary somatosensory (S1) barrel, project only ipsilaterally. Using a novel axonal-retrotracing strategy and GFP-targeted visualization of Rorb+ neurons, we instead demonstrate that L4 neurons develop transient interhemispheric axons. Locally restricted L4 connectivity emerges when exuberant contralateral axons are refined in an area- and layer-specific manner during postnatal development. Surgical and genetic interventions of sensory circuits demonstrate that refinement rates depend on distinct inputs from sensory-specific thalamic nuclei. Reductions in input-dependent refinement result in mature functional interhemispheric hyperconnectivity, demonstrating the plasticity and bona fide callosal potential of L4 neurons. Thus, L4 neurons discard alternative interhemispheric circuits as instructed by thalamic input. This may ensure optimal wiring.
Neurons of the cerebral cortex establish complex circuits that mediate the high cognitive functions and social behaviors of the human brain. In order to wire selectively and stereotypically during development, cortical neurons are thought to be intrinsically instructed with wiring rules that limit their connectivity. However, cortical neurons also display remarkable plasticity that enables optimization of functional circuits and alternative wiring in non-canonical scenarios, such as in the case of loss of sensory inputs or brain insults in young brains. This latter scenario requires considerable structural re-arrangement of axons. How these alternative circuits emerge is not well understood, nor is it understood why early postmitotic neurons exhibit greater plasticity upon molecular reprogramming. We investigated these questions in the context of the selection of the neuronal populations that form the corpus callosum (CC). By understanding how callosal connections are selected we can identify rules of brain wiring that will allow us to better comprehend the altered circuits that emerge when developmental mechanisms fail, such as in cases of mental retardation, bipolar disorders, schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders, or epilepsies.

The CC, the largest axonal tract in mammals, connects the two halves of the cerebral cortex and functions as an information highway that facilitates the higher-order functions of the human brain. The cerebral cortex is organized into six layers (L1–6), each roughly aggregating neurons with common molecular identities and patterns of connectivity. In adults, only a subset of cortical neurons has callosal connections. Classical studies in mice, cats, and primates, in which axonal retrotracers were injected into the cortical plate of the opposite (contralateral) hemisphere of adult animals, found that the distribution of callosally projecting neurons (CPNs) varies within the functional areas, and that in most areas, CPNs are predominantly located in L2/3 and L5, and some in L6. Very few callosal neurons are located in L1. When similar retrotracing injections were performed in developing animals, many more CPNs were found in young animals than in adult, revealing the crucial role of axonal elimination in the process of callosal selection. These injections also showed that refinement of callosal projections did not involve neuronal cell death, in contrast to what had been observed in the retina. These foundational studies in the CC, together with those in the retina and with investigations describing cortical exuberant projections to subcortical targets, identified axonal refinement as being a principal mechanism during the wiring of the central nervous system. Importantly, these experiments also determined that the increased number of CPN of developing brains was located preferentially in those layers that contain CPN in adults. Certain layers, such as L4, that contain few callosals in the adult, also showed sparse retrotracer labeling in the embryo and young postnatal individuals. Studies interrogating how these restricted CPN distributions arise and how cortical callosal and non-callosal populations emerge concluded that restrictions to neuronal connectivity are established prior to axonal extension. This led to the currently held assumption that there is an early preselection of the callosal and non-callosal subpopulations and that only axons of certain populations develop at the midline. This model of wiring necessarily restricts the diversity of possible interhemispheric maps.

Subsequent studies supported the idea that neuronal fate determinants, particularly certain transcription factors, are responsible for the early sorting of cortical neuronal types. More recent characterizations of the molecular profiles of callosal neurons reveal a complex scenario in which neurons exhibit a higher degree of heterogeneity than would be predicted by their shared projection patterns. Concurrently, several studies have shown that the initial molecular profiles of postmitotic neurons are progressively modified, suggesting that neurons incorporate additional wiring instructions during their postnatal life. A dynamic acquisition of neuronal fates provides a more comprehensive account of how cortical neurons are specified and helps explain their early plasticity, but poses unanswered questions about how and when cortical neurons commit their dendritic and axonal structures during the process of fate determination.

Herein, we investigated the numbers and area locations of exuberant CPN during development using injections of retrograde tracers to label callosal axons passing through the contralateral white matter (WM). By combining this retrograde analysis with genetic labeling of Rorb+ neurons, we demonstrate that L4 neurons, which in the adult are mostly restricted to local ipsilateral circuits, develop transient callosal axons during their normal differentiation. Mature non-callosal local connectivity is subsequently achieved through extensive postnatal refinement, which we showed depends on area- and order-specific thalamic nuclei inputs. Further, we demonstrated that the decision to eliminate an exuberant callosal axon is plastic and thus that early L4 postmitotic neurons have the potential for multiple wiring fates (ipsilateral-only versus interhemispheric).

Results

Neurons from all layers extend transient callosal axons. We set out to investigate the selection of CPN during development using a novel strategy whereby retrograde tracers (fluorescently labeled cholera toxin B (CTB)) were injected directly into the CC of one hemisphere (Fig. 1a, b, Supplementary Methods, and Supplementary Fig. 1a–h) unlike classical experiments in which CTB was injected in the cortical plate (Supplementary Fig. 1i–l)14–17,24. Compared to the traditional injections of CTB in the cortical plate, this procedure increases the efficiency of CPN labeling by ensuring contact with most commissural axons independent of their axonal behavior in the cortical plate. It labels both CPN with many branches in the cortical plate and those with few or no branches. Control experiments supported specificity, saturation, and efficacy of the labeling in these CC injections (see Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2). CTB injections in the CC of postnatal day (P) 30 animals and analysis of the opposite hemisphere 48 h later revealed broad labeling of different cortical areas (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). It revealed area- and layer-specific CPN distributions (Fig. 1d, f, h, j) in agreement with previous reports, including very few CPN in L4 (Fig. 1h, j). This result thus supported the use of this methodological strategy for the identification of mature callosal projections. Surprisingly, when we injected P5 animals with CTB in the CC, we observed labeling of the vast majority of cortical neurons along the medial to lateral axis, and across all layers (Fig. 1c, e, g, i). Most notably, these injections identify numerous exuberant developmental projections in L4 that have not been previously reported. We then investigated CPN developmental dynamics by performing CTB injections at representative postnatal stages and at different rostro-caudal positions (Fig. 1b), thereby labeling several areas, including primary somatosensory (S1) and visual (V1) cortices (Fig. 1c–j, Supplementary Fig. 1c, d). After injections, the number of CTB+ cells was quantified as a percentage of total cell nuclei at each stage (Fig. 1k–o)35. Quantifications were made 2 or more days after the injections, and always in animals no younger than P10. This ensured that neuronal migration had ended as well as that the initial waves of glial growth and cell death in the cortical plate had concluded (see Methods). This analysis showed that the proportions of labeled CPN are highest at early stages and gradually decreases during postnatal development, following distinct...
Fig. 1 Retrotracer injections in the CC reveal transient exuberant interhemispheric projections. a, b Cartoons representing neuronal labeling upon injection of CTB in the contralateral CC (a) and positions of injections targeting the somatosensory (S) cortex and visual (V) cortex (b). c–f Coronal sections of brains injected at P5 (c, e) or P30 (d, f) in primary sensory cortices (CTB-555 shown in dark). Minor anterograde transport highlights axonal columns in P30 brains. g–j Magnifications of S1 and V1 from c to f, respectively, showing cells that were labeled upon CTB injections at P5 or P30. (Cortical layers are delineated.) k–o Quantification of the proportion of callosally projecting (CTB+) neurons out of total DAPI+ nuclei in each layer. CTB injections were made at P3, P5, P7, P14, P21, and P30. Mean ± SEM (error bars) (n = 300 cells; n = 2 sections per animal; P3, P7, P14, P21, n = 3 animals, and P5 and P30, n = 6 animals). P values: CTB/time (4, 63) = 12.87 and k = 3.773 (p < 0.0001 (k–o), two-way ANOVA (n = 27 mice): FCTB/time (4, 63) = 144.0 and F Hasan (1, 63) = 10.16 (k). FCTB/time (4, 63) = 336.9 and F Hasan (1, 63) = 11.14 (l) FCTB/time (4, 63) = 31.87, F Hasan (1, 63) = 13 (m); FCTB/time (4, 63) = 12.87 and F Hasan (1, 63) = 10.56 (n); FCTB/time (4, 63) = 30.35 and F Hasan (1, 63) = 3.773 (o)).

To evaluate if postnatal proliferation of non-neuronal cells could alter the observed refinement rates, we counted the absolute numbers of CTB+ neurons in the S1 region as delimited by the anatomical landmarks of this area (i.e., the barrels (Fig. 2a–i)). Both the contributions of each layer to interhemispheric connectivity (Fig. 2d) and the variation in the total number of CPN in each layer (Fig. 2e–i) reflected a significant decrease in callosal projections during development. Importantly, when comparing these two quantification procedures by normalizing to the respective P5 mean values, refinement rates were indistinguishable (Fig. 2j–n).

We also assessed the possible contributions of cell death to refinement rates. To do so, we performed dual sequential injections of CTB coupled to distinct fluorescent probes in the same animal at P5 and P30. In these S1 double-injected animals, we counted the fraction of cells labeled with the first fluorophore and not with the second, thus reflecting the elimination of neurons that had an early callosal projection and survive in the mature circuit (Fig. 2o, p). As with the single injections, the highest refinement rates were observed in S1L4. Further, these double injections indicated that most neurons that comprise L4 in P30 animals have eliminated a P5 callosal projection (Fig. 2o, p).

All three quantification methods showed equivalent refinement rates (Fig. 2q). However, sequential injections in the same mouse require extended animal manipulation, and while counting absolute numbers is precise, this method requires the accurate definition of the area of interest at all stages of development.
Delimiting areas, such as S2, V1, or V2, where specific cytoarchitectures only develop at later stages, can be difficult and may lead to errors in the measurements. Therefore, although quantification of CTB-labeled CPN as percentages of cell nuclei includes all cell types in the denominator, we considered this method as the most appropriate for comparing the dynamics of refinement between functional areas and layers (Fig. 1k–o).35

Injections in the CC indicated that the reductions in the number of CTB+ cells observed throughout postnatal development are the result of refinement of existing neuronal projections. For each layer within the same area (S1 or V1), final connectivity is established at different rates and terminates at different postnatal stages (Figs. 1k–o and 2d–i, p). For example, the proportion of CTB+ S1L4 neurons, which are only locally connected in the adult, presents a maximum labeling of nearly all neurons within the layer at P3, and decreases sharply and steadily during development until P21, when only a few callosal projections are left (Figs. 1l and 2f). Refinement of L2/3 neurons, on the other hand, terminates earlier, namely by the second postnatal week (Figs. 1k and 2e), resulting in a much higher proportion of CPN. CTB labeling in L5A, L5B, and L6 also indicates refinement, but this refinement concludes within the first postnatal week (Figs. 1m–o and 2g–i). Altogether, these
results show, in agreement with previous studies, that subsets of cortical neurons from all layers extend transient exuberant interhemispheric axons during development that are later refined. However, they indicate much higher numbers of early CPN and higher refinement rates than previously reported.

Visualization of S1L4 transient callosal projections. The CTB injections indicated the existence of widespread transient callosal axons, including projections from populations that have been reported to have limited contralateral projections. However, we could not fully discard caveats in the methodology, such as, for example, possible transneuronal processing of CTB or differences in CTB uptake due to heterogeneity in myelination. Importantly, one of the most striking observations was evidence of transient callosal projections in the majority of L4 neurons. We, therefore, aimed to unequivocally demonstrate the existence of these transient callosal projections. S1L4 neurons provide an excellent experimental subpopulation for this purpose since virally all of them lose their interhemispheric projections (Fig. 1l and 2f, p). The use of a fluorescence allele that directs Cre recombinase expression in S1L4 neurons followed by quantification methods provide indistinguishable relative refinement rates than previously reported. Differences in CTB uptake due to heterogeneity in myelination.

Importantly, one of the most striking observations was evidence of transient callosal projections in the majority of L4 neurons. We, therefore, aimed to unequivocally demonstrate the existence of these transient callosal projections. S1L4 neurons provide an excellent experimental subpopulation for this purpose since virally all of them lose their interhemispheric projections (Fig. 1l and 2f, p). The use of a fluorescence allele that directs Cre recombinase expression in S1L4 neurons followed by quantification methods provide indistinguishable relative refinement rates than previously reported. Differences in CTB uptake due to heterogeneity in myelination.

results show, in agreement with previous studies, that subsets of cortical neurons from all layers extend transient exuberant interhemispheric axons during development that are later refined. However, they indicate much higher numbers of early CPN and higher refinement rates than previously reported.

Fig. 2 Three quantification methods provide indistinguishable refinement rates. a–c S1 area in an injected P30 mouse. Dots in c indicate CTB⁺ cells. d Layer distribution of CTB⁺ cells within S1 after injections at indicated stages (n = 21 mice). e–i Total number of contralateral S1 CTB⁺ cells after injections at indicated stages (n = 21 mice). n = 3 for P3, n = 6 for P5, n = 6 for P14, n = 6 for P30). P values CTB/time: **p<0.0001 (two-way ANOVA, n = 25 mice: FCTB/time (3, 22) = 29.94 (e); FCTB/time (3, 22) = 93.57 (f); FCTB/time (3, 22) = 28.11 (g); FCTB/time (3, 22) = 25.69 (h); FCTB/time (3, 22) = 63.20 (i)). j–n Comparison of refinement rates (normalized to the respective mean values in P53) (n = 25 mice total CTB⁺; n = 18 mice CTB⁺ over DAPI). (n.s.) P value CTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ = nonsignificant (two-way ANOVA (n = 25): FCTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ (3, 49) = 1.504 (j); FCTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ (3, 50) = 1.130 (k); FCTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ (3, 50) = 0.758 (l); FCTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ (3, 50) = 0.732 (m); FCTB⁺ over DAPI/total CTB⁺ (3, 50) = 0.422 (n)). S1L4 transient callosal axons fail to establish innervation. In order to understand why some axons remain callosal and others do not, we compared the branching and synaptic behavior of GFP⁺ S1L4 transient exuberant axons to callosal axons of the SL2/3 population, which are capable of integrating in the adult and can be visualized during development after IUE of a GFP plasmid at E15 (Fig. 4a), SL2/3 and S1L4 callosal axons demonstrated distinct behaviors in the contralateral cortical plate (Fig. 4a–c). While GFP⁺ SL2/3 branching is appreciable in the cortical plate after P5 (Fig. 4a, c), we never detected more than a few GFP⁺ S1L4 axons ascending into the contralateral cortical plate, and the number of these axons decreased after P10 (Fig. 4b, c). Further, sequential CTB injections in the cortical plate at P10 and in the CC at P30 detected S1L4 neurons that had callosal axons that invaded the contralateral cortical plate at P10 and were later pruned (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 1i). Thus, S1L4 callosal axons can reach contralateral targets, but unlike SL2/3 axons, they do not accumulate contralaterally. This likely explains the low frequency of S1L4 labeling by CTB injections in the developing cortical plate (Supplementary Fig. 1i–l) and why these exuberant projections had not been noted in previous studies. 13–18,25. We also found that at P10, synaptophysin clusters are absent from nearly all GFP⁺ S1L4 axons found in the contralateral cortical plate (Fig. 4e, g), but present in S1L4 ipsilateral branches (Fig. 4f, h), as well as in their P10 SL2/3 ipsilateral and contralateral counterparts (Fig. 4e–h). These results indicate that S1L4 neurons do not establish synapses in contralateral territories. Collectively, these data show that transient exuberant L4 callosal projections are capable of invading the contralateral plate but are unable to synapse and terminate innervation in this area (Fig. 4i).

Sensory thalamic input determines L4 callosal connectivity. Sensory information from the facial whiskers and the whisker pad are integrated into the SS cortex by the lemniscal and paralemniscal pathways. While S1L4 is the main target of the lemniscal pathway via the ventral postero medial nucleus (VPM) thalamocortical axons, S2L4 is mainly innervated by the medial posterior nucleus (Po). The VPM primarily conveys input from the principal trigeminal nuclei (lemniscal pathway) and the Po conveys input from the spinal trigeminal nucleus (paralemniscal pathway) (Fig. 5a). Even though these two trigemino-thalamocortical pathways share many common afferents, S1L4 and S2L4 neurons are differentially activated by tactile and nociceptive stimuli. While S1L4 neurons respond to tactile stimuli in vivo and are not activated by nociceptive input from the whisker pad, S2L4 neurons respond to both nociceptive and tactile stimuli. 3,4,11,42.
Hence, S1L4 and S2L4 neurons exhibit distinct functional integration into the cortical circuit.

CTB and IUE experiments demonstrated that S1L4 neurons do not maintain their callosal projections, but CTB injections did indicate that a fraction of S2L4 neurons preserves their interhemispheric axons (Fig. 5b, c). We therefore performed IUE to selectively target S2 in Rorb-Cre mice. Visualization of GFP+ neurons revealed that mature projections from S2L4
**Fig. 4** Transient S1L4 callosal axons do not progress to terminal innervation. 

**a, b** Comparison of the dynamics of contralateral SL2/3 and S1L4 axons. SL2/3 axons labeled with GFP progress to terminal innervation. S1L4 contralateral axons labeled with GFP following E14 IUE in Rorb-Cre mice are unable to form contralateral columns. 

**c** Quantification of GFP axons in the contralateral plate as shown in **a, b**. Values are normalized to the mean fluorescence of SL2/3 at P30. Mean ± SEM (error bars) (n = 3 animals per stage and condition, n = 18 mice total). P value \( SL2/3/S1L4 \leq 0.000, p \) value \( Fluorescence/time \leq 0.0001 \) (two-way ANOVA (n = 18): \( F_{SL2/3/S1L4} (1, 14) = 39.19, F_{Fluorescence/time} (2, 14) = 18.96 \). P value **≤ 0.01; **** ≤ 0.0001 (post hoc with Tukey’s test). 

**d** Scheme of experiments performing sequential injections of CTB in the cortical plate and CC. The boxed area indicates the approximated location of S1 region corresponding to images shown on the right. White arrows indicate an L4 neuron of a P30 brain that pruned its P10 contralateral projection (blue only neuron); yellow arrows indicate an L2/3 neuron that reached the contralateral plate at P10 and stabilized this projection (blue and red). 

**e** Images of axons from SL2/3 or S1L4 neurons electroporated with CMV-synaptophysin-GFP (Syn-GFP; green) and pCAG-DsRed (DsRed; red) invading the contralateral plate.

**f** Ipsilateral axons extending from SL2/3 or S1L4 neurons electroporated with CMV-synaptophysin-GFP and DsRed. 

**g, h** Quantifications of the percentage of contralateral axons (g) or ipsilateral axons (h) containing synaptophysin. Mean ± SEM (error bars) (n = 100–150 axons per condition, n = 3 animals per condition). ****P value ≤ 0.001 and (n.s.) non significant (\( \chi^2 \) test).

**i** Working model of S1L4 axonal reformation during postnatal development. Scale bars represent 200 μm (**a, b**), 50 μm (**d**), and 10 μm (**e, f**). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
establish homotopic columns in the contralateral somatosensory cortex (Fig. 5d–f and Supplementary Fig. 4b, c), and showed that they are capable of branching and forming synapses in the contralateral territory (Fig. 5g) in agreement with CTB labeling. The positions of these contralateral columns are the same as the reported locations of SL2/3 callosal columns (Supplementary Fig. 4a–c)\textsuperscript{39,40}, but S2L4 CPN innervate distinct cortical layers (Fig. 5h, i). Thus, S2L4 neurons integrate into specific interhemispheric circuits that are distinct from those formed by SL2/3 neurons. Quantifications of CTB\textsuperscript{+} neurons in S1 and S2 GFP\textsuperscript{+} neurons after CC injections at P30 of Rorb-Cre-electroporated animals demonstrated that GFP\textsuperscript{+} contralateral branches originate from a neuronal subpopulation located almost exclusively in S2L4 (Fig. 5j, Supplementary Fig. 4d). Interestingly, analysis of CTB
distributions during development revealed that S1L4 and S2L4 initially follow identical kinetics of callosal reinnervation, and that mature S2L4 CPN arise from reduced reinnervation of their interhemispheric projections after P14 (Fig. 5k–m). Similar developmental dynamics of S2L4 axons were observed in IUE Rorb-Cre brains (Supplementary Fig. 4e–h). These results describe previously unreported interhemispheric connectivity of L4 neurons and demonstrate how the distinct outcomes of callosal reinnervation in S1L4 and S2L4 shape two different cortical circuits. They suggested that functional input may play an integral role in callosal L4 selection.

Each primary and secondary sensory areas of the cortex receive input from sensory organs via their first-order and higher-order thalamic nuclei. Our data revealed area-specific differences in dynamics of reinnervation, not only between S1L4 and S2L4 (Fig. 5m, Supplementary Fig. 4e–h) but also between V1 and V2 areas (Supplementary Fig. 5), and between different sensory areas (S1 vs. V1; Fig. 1k–o). On the other hand, we observed few differences in the distributions of CPN in auditory areas (A1 vs. A2; Supplementary Fig. 6), which exhibit less topographic and functional segregation in the cortex and thalamus than visual and somatosensory circuits.5,43 Together, these data strongly suggested that distinct inputs from different nuclei define the outcome of the default callosal projections extended by cortical neurons.

We decided to directly investigate the role of sensory input in CPN selection and to explore the potential for plasticity and terminal connectivity of exuberant developmental callosal projections. We first tested the effect of manipulating sensory-evoked activity. We again focused on S1L4 because it is a direct recipient of sensory information from the whiskers, and their catarization eliminates both transmission of sensory-derived activity to S1L4 and the topographic assembly of S1 circuits (Fig. 6a, b, Supplementary Fig. 7a–d).40,44 We found that unilateral catarization reduces the number of S1L2/3 CPN as a consequence of the unbalanced activity between the hemispheres as reported,40 but does not measurably affect the low number of CPN in S1L4 (Supplementary Fig. 7). In contrast, we observed that bilateral whisker catarization did not significantly affect the number of S1L2/3 CPN (Supplementary Fig. 7h), but it resulted in a marked increase in S1L4 CPN compared to control animals (20% compared to 3.5%) (Fig. 6c–e). The increase in the number of S1L4 CPN in catarized animals was the result of reduced reinnervation rates, specifically after P14 (Fig. 6e). These experiments demonstrate the importance of sensory-evoked activity in CPN selection.

We speculated that we could alter the terminal fate of S1L4 callosal projections by changing the sensory nucleus of origin of their thalamic innervation, and thus their attributed input type, using a genetic approach. S1 receives primary tactile information from whiskers via the VPM entering at L4, while S2 receives nociceptive information through the Po (Fig. 5a). Targeted activation of a floxed diphtherotoxin (DTA) allele using serotonin transporter (Sert) as a genetic driver for the recombinase Cre (Sert-Cre mice) results in ablation of VPM neurons (Sert-Cre; DTA mice) (Fig. 6f, g).45 This triggers plasticity in the higher-order Po neurons, which then project to S1L4 neurons in addition to S2L4, and causes them to process both tactile and nociceptive information (Fig. 6f).46 We found that this input switch led to an increase (to 20%) in the number of S1L4 CPN (Fig. 6b, i). This increase was the result of a sustained reduction in reinnervation during postnatal development (Fig. 6i) and resulted in a more S2-like pattern of reinnervation (Fig. 6i). These experiments, in which input was either obstructed or switched, together demonstrate that the information that instructs callosal reinnervation is conveyed by first-order and higher-order sensory nuclear inputs, likely in the form of intrinsic and evoked activity. These manipulations exhibit the plasticity of S1L4 exuberant callosal projections and demonstrate their capacity to integrate into the mature circuit.

**Plasticity of the early callosal fate of cortical neurons.** The plasticity we observed in S1L4 neurons suggested that there exists broad potential for terminal callosal connectivity in L4 cortical neurons. We set out to explore this possibility in the context of a drastic loss of innervation by again utilizing Sert-Cre;DTA animals and focusing on the visual cortex. Sert is expressed in visual thalamic nuclei.44,45 Histology of Sert-Cre;DTA brains showed depletion of both the first-order lateral geniculate nucleus and of the higher-order visual lateroposterior nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 8). This correlated with the extensive depletion of ascending thalamic input in V1 Sert-Cre;DTA mutants compared to wild-type (WT) (Fig. 7a–d). Analysis of the visual cortex of P30 Sert-Cre;DTA animals demonstrated neuronal death and showed that, overall, lamination and early molecular specifications (Satb2 and Ctip2 expression) are not altered, while expression of postmitotic thalamic-dependent transcription factors (Cux1 and Rorβ) is reduced (Supplementary Fig. 9). CTB injections into the CC showed that lack of visual thalamic input results in significantly increased number of CPN in all V1 layers (almost two-fold in total) compared to WT (Fig. 7e–g) or to control heterozygous mice (Supplementary Fig. 10). Notably, the largest increase was observed in L4, where 40% of V1L4 neurons showed callosal axons in mutants (a 2.8-fold increase compared to WT) (Fig. 7g). This dramatic increase likely reflects the immediate synaptic connections of L4 neurons with the thalamus. Comparisons of the developmental dynamics of callosal projections indicated that the differences between Sert-Cre;DTA and WT
Fig. 6 Sensory input determines terminal stabilization of L4 callosal projections. a, b Scheme of whisker ablation experiments. ION = infraorbital nerve, PrV = principal trigeminal nuclei, SpVi = spinal trigeminal nuclei, Po = posterior nucleus, VPM = ventral posteromedial nucleus. c, d VGlut2 staining in control (c) and bilaterally cauterized animals (d). Arrowheads demarcate barrels. Stars delineate presumptive barrel field. In red, CTB labeling. 

e Quantifications (n = 300 cells, n = 2 sections per animal, n = 3 animals per stage and condition). P valuecontrol vs. bilateral ≤0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (n = 12): Fcontrol vs. bilateral (1, 25) = 24.51).

f Scheme depicting genetic elimination of VPM. g Left and center panels show GFAP staining. Gial scars indicated by white arrowheads mark neuronal loss. At right, Nissl staining of P30 somatosensory thalamic nuclei in WT and Sert-Cre;DTA. In Sert-Cre;DTA the VPM is lost (black arrowheads).

h CTB+ in S1L4 of P30 Sert-Cre;DTA animals. i Refinement dynamics of S1L4 projections from WT and Sert-Cre;DTA (n = 300 cells, n = 2 sections per animal, n ≥ 3 animals per stage and condition) (dashed line indicates data also shown in Fig. 1l). P valueWT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤0.0001, p value%CTB+/DAPI/time ≤0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (n = 18): FWT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 46) = 59.62, F%CTB+/DAPI/time (3, 46) = 156.1). P value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ***≤0.001; ****≤0.0001 (post hoc with Tukey’s test). j Refinement dynamics of S2L4 projections from WT and S1L4 Sert-Cre;DTA (n = 300 cells, n = 2 sections per animal, n = 3 mice per stage and condition) (dashed line indicates data shown in Fig. 5m). P valueWT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤0.05, p value%CTB+/DAPI/time ≤0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (n = 24): FWT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 36) = 7.769, F%CTB+/DAPI/time (3, 36) = 99.39). P valueWT vs. P7 Sert-Cre;DTA *≤0.05, P valueSert-Cre;DTA vs. P7 Sert-Cre;DTA ##≤0.01, ###≤0.0001 (post hoc with Tukey’s test). Scale bars: 500 μm (c, d, left panels), 100 μm (c, d, right panels, and g), and 50 μm (h). Data show mean ± SEM (error bars). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
**Fig. 7** Elimination of thalamic input increases interhemispheric connectivity in the visual cortex. a–d Cortex and thalamus of WT (a, b) and Sert-Cre;DTA (c, d) after injections of CTB in V1 cortex. Dashed lines delineate thalamic nuclei (b, d). e, f CTB$^+$ neurons (red) in P30 V1 cortex after callosal injections. Scale bars: 500 μm (a, c) and 100 μm (b, d). g Quantifications of CTB$^+$ cells after CC injections in adult mice (n = 6 animal per condition) (WT data from Fig. 1). ****p value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤ 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA; post hoc with Tukey’s test). h–l Refinement dynamics in V1 (n = 300 cells, n = 2 sections per animal; n ≥ 3 mice per stage and condition). Dashed line (WT data from Fig. 1 k–o). No data from P3 or P5 Sert-Cre;DTA mice were collected because at these stages, and mutants recover poorly after the injections. P value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤ 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA (n = 33); F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 50) = 102.7 (h), F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 50) = 100.6 (i); F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 50) = 75.88 (j); F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 50) = 119.7 (j)). P value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤ 0.05, ±0.01, and ±0.001 (post hoc with Tukey’s test). m Scheme of electrophysiological recordings. n Representative in vivo current-source density (CSD) distribution of evoked neuronal activity recorded in V1/V2 after stimulation in contralateral V1. o Box plot of L4 CSD values. Central mark: median; box limits: upper and lower quartiles; whiskers: minimum and maximum values. *p value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤ 0.05 (one-way ANOVA: F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA (1, 6) = 14.4). p Representative evoked local field potential traces recorded in L4. q Mean values of peak amplitude and area (n = 5 replicates per mouse, n = 3 WT mice, and n = 4 Sert-Cre;DTA mice (including WT and Sert-Cre;DTA littermates)). ***p value WT vs. Sert-Cre;DTA ≤ 0.001 (three-way ANOVA (n = 7): F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA amplitude (1, 124) = 4.25; F$_{WT}$ vs. Sert-Cre;DTA area (1, 124) = 10.91). Data show mean ± SEM (shaded) (g, h–l). Source data provided as a Source Data file.
mice originate from early stages of development, as the number of CPN was higher in mutants at all comparable stages of the analysis (Fig. 7h–l). From P7 on, CPN refinement follows almost parallel dynamics in most layers of mutant and WT mice (Fig. 7h–l), underscoring a role for neuronal intrinsic information in CPN selection10,12. In V1L4, the differences in CPN number continue to increase after P15, possibly reflecting the effect of eye-opening and visually evoked activity in WT animals at P14 (Fig. 7i). These increases in CPN numbers show that cortical neurons are capable of sustaining and stabilizing their exuberant developmental callosal axons when thalamic input is reduced.

Because CTB injections revealed callosal hyperconnectivity in V1 of Sert-Cre;DTA animals, we tested whether this increased structural connectivity extrapolated to increased functional connectivity, particularly in L4 where we observed the largest increases in CPN. Interhemispheric-evoked activity was evaluated by measuring local field potentials using a multielectrode array inserted in the V1/V2 border area in WT and Sert-Cre;DTA animals after local stimulation of the contralateral V1 (Fig. 7m). Analysis of those responses showed changes in the current–source density (CSD) profile in Sert-Cre;DTA compared to WT mice (Fig. 7n). Analysis of the values per individual layer demonstrated increased interhemispheric response in V1L4 (Fig. 7o). Further examination of the peak amplitudes and areas demonstrated significant hyperexcitability and increased interhemispheric responses in all layers of Sert-Cre;DTA mice (Fig. 7p, q, Supplementary Fig. 11). Altogether, these data demonstrate that L4 callosal projections detected in Sert-Cre;DTA animals are able to functionally integrate into and contribute to a non-canonical network.

In sum, our experiments demonstrate both extension and refinement of L4 cortical neurons. Moreover, we demonstrate that transient callosal axons possess the potential to establish bona fide mature callosal connections. The results show that cortical L4 neurons achieve their local or long-range connectivity due to activity-dependent refinement of initial exuberant callosal projections.

**Discussion**

Neuronal wiring is a key concept in our understanding of brain functions and pathologies, as well as our own diversity. However, much remains to be elucidated regarding how networks are specified during development. Here we report a previously unrecognized developmental step that precedes the formation of mature L4 local circuitries. We demonstrate that L4 cortical neurons extend transient callosal axons that are subsequently eliminated. Importantly, we also demonstrate that these neurons, which are paradigms of locally connected neurons, are capable of remaining callosal, maturing, and forming functional connections in response to changes in thalamic input. Together these indicate that the development of these exuberant axons allows a plasticity, both structural and functional, that translates thalamic inputs into differential wiring efficiently, and that endows the cortex with potential to generate alternative circuits in non-canonical scenarios.

In addition to the early abundance of callosal projections of L4 neurons, our CTB injections in the CC identified numerous callosal neurons in all cortical layers. These callosal axons are subsequently refined to degrees and at rates that vary depending on the layer and area of the cortex. The number of these developmentally exuberant projections appear greater than those reported by earlier retrotracing injections in the cortical plate of rodents and other mammals13–17,19,20,24. However, even in these earlier studies, it was noted that more neurons were labeled by the retrotracer in those animals in which injections were performed closer to the WM than in those in which injections were limited exclusively to the gray matter18,19. These high CPN numbers do not seem to result from unspecific labeling. We assessed the selectivity and efficiency of our WM injections (see Methods and Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2, and Supplementary Methods) and found results supporting the specificity of the CTB labeling, such as lack of labeling of L2/3 neurons at P1 (Supplementary Fig. 2d), or fewer labeled L2/3 neurons at P3 than at P5 (Figs. 1k and 2e). Further, the patterns and distributions of CPN we observed in the adult are in accordance with the known connectivity10,37. Nevertheless, it is possible that our injection methodology could result in some degree of promiscuous or transneuronal labeling, or neurons could take up CTB with higher efficiencies at younger stages or depending on the degree of axonal myelination. Hence, we cannot discard that these and other unforeseen methodological issues could affect the reported refinement rates. Thus, the CTB labeling and refinement dynamics we report for layers other than L4 should be confirmed by independent methods. In contrast, the use of Rorb-Cre mice allowed us to visualize the presence of L4 transient axons, thus providing a highly reliable and independent confirmation of the results we obtained for L4 populations in our CTB experiments.

We demonstrate that the mature callosal and non-callosal fates of L4 neurons in sensory areas are accomplished through the action of thalamic input. We also show that L4 exuberant callosal axons are capable of being stabilized, which indicates an uncommitted fate of early postmitotic L4 populations. This is an important mechanistic insight because it shows that the unfolding of L4 fates progresses by discarding already primed alternative connectivity in a context-dependent manner. In this regard, we did not assess whether final adult connectivity emerges from the selective elimination of callosal axons and the concomitant stabilization of other projections, or from the elimination of failed callosal connections followed by the growth of new projections to other targets. In either case, the extension of early exuberant projections helps to explain how intrinsic or extrinsic changes can be rapidly translated into the neurons alternative wiring and why postmitotic neurons show a greater capacity for reprogramming at early stages of differentiation47,48. The identification of L4 exuberant callosal projections also indicates that these neurons possess the required genetic information to extend and guide axons contralaterally49–51.

The initial potential for callosal connectivity of L4 neurons is in line with recent reports proposing that the early molecular fate of neurons becomes gradually restricted during differentiation, rather than being fixed at birth30,33,34,52 and challenge the idea that the subsets of non-callosal cortical neurons are established prior to axonal extension. They are also in accordance with earlier interpretations that suggested that axonal elimination of exuberant CPN enables a variety of circuits while minimizing the necessity to encode information early in development14,15,18,19,21,22,25. Molecularily, transcription factors such as Brn1/2, which inhibits Rorb and several L4 characteristics in L2/353, or Foxg1, required for interhemispheric connections54, might be involved in the maturation of L4 neurons as callosal or ipsilateral-only connected neurons in specific sensory circuits (see also Supplementary Discussion).

The mechanisms described here provide a novel understanding of how L4 connectivity and mature fate is achieved and broaden our perspective of how the brain wires. They help explain how non-canonical circuits can emerge in the context of neurodevelopmental diseases or, as a consequence of abnormal extracortical circuits, impaired sensory integration19,40,55–57, early damage of sensory organs58, or defects in neuromodulatory signals during development59,60, and suggest new therapeutic possibilities based on this cortical exuberance and plasticity.
CBT injections for retrograde labeling. Retrograde labeling from the CC was performed by injections of CBT subunit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 or 647 (Invitrogen C-34776 and C-34778, Thermo Fisher Scientific). An ultrasound guided back-scatter microscopy and injection guidance system (Vevo 770, VisualSonics, Toronto) was used to inject into the CC, close to the midline in P3, P5, and P7 animals. The earliest time to clearly visualize the CC was P3 for the rostral somatosensory areas, and P5 for caudal visual areas. Stereotaxic coordinates were also used to inject animals for all stages. For this, animals were anesthetized through the surgical procedure with isoflurane/oxygen and placed on a stereotaxic apparatus (Harvard Apparatus, UK). The injections were made directly into the CC at an angle (45° for ultrasounds and CBT injections, CTB particles (0.5% in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) were injected with a Drummond Nanocject II Auto-Nanoliter Injector using 30 mm glass micropipettes (Drummond Scientific Co. 3000025A and 3000203G/X). To minimize damage, injections were made progressively at 23 nl per injection pulse with a maximum frequency of one pulse per second (hence 23 nl s⁻¹) to avoid mounding, injections were made progressively at 23 nl per injection pulse with a maximum frequency of one pulse per second (hence 23 nl s⁻¹) to avoid mounding and minimizing damage, injections were made progressively at 23 nl per injection pulse with a maximum frequency of one pulse per second (hence 23 nl s⁻¹) to avoid mounding and minimizing damage. The total volume for each stage was experimentally determined as 230 nl (P1 – P7), 460 nl (P14), or 575 nl (P21 – Adult). Coordinates (mm) in the antero-posterior (AP), medio-lateral (ML), and dorso-ventral (DV) axes were determined from Bregma. For the analysis of somatosensory CPN, coordinates were: for P1 (−1.1 AP; +0.4 ML and −0.9 DV); for P3 – P5 (−1.2 AP; −0.4 ML and −1.3 DV); for P7 (−1.2 AP; +0.5 ML and −1.6 DV); for P14 (−1.4 AP; +0.7 ML and −1.8 DV); for P21–Adult (−1.4 AP; +0.7 ML and −2 DV). For the analysis of visual and auditory CPN coordinates were: for P7 (−2 AP; +0.5 ML and −1.2 DV); for P14 (−2.4 AP; +0.7 ML and −1.4 DV); for P21–Adult (−2.4 AP; +0.7 ML and −1.5 DV). The accuracy of the cortex labeling from P10 mice is validated by the total number of CBT particles that were used for the first injection, or vice versa. Quantiﬁcations were performed by three different observers. Quantiﬁcations were performed in the hemisphere contralateral to the site of injection. This ensures that all neurons that are labeled with CBT possess at the moment of injection at least one interhemispheric projection in the contralateral territory, regardless of the growth or injury status of these projections. To facilitate the equivalent quantiﬁcation of CBT-labeled cells at different developmental stages, quantiﬁcations were performed at P10 following injections at P3, P5, and P7, and 2 days post surgery following injections at P14, P21, and P30. This allowed proper cortical lamination and avoids potential differences in cell migration or from early waves of cell migration. Quantiﬁcations were performed by converting pixel intensity into binary values and using the second probe among randomly selected neurons labeled with the probe of interest. Quantiﬁcations of absolute numbers of CTB-labeled neurons, we counted the total number of CTB neurons in the S1 region over the area of interest as described in detail in the Supplementary Methods (CTB injections). The minimum threshold and maximum threshold signals. The threshold for background noise was determined using regions outside of electroporated areas. The maximum threshold signal was set by ensuring that no pixels were saturated. This avoids an artifactual increase in low signals in order to ensure linearity. Quantiﬁcations were performed by converting pixel intensity into binary values. In utero electroporation. Plasmids were pCAG-GFP, pCALNL-GFP (LoxP-Stop-LoxP-GFP, flanked-GFP), pCALNL-DsRed (LoxP-Stop-LoxP-DsRed; boxer & DsRed), and pCAG-Cre (Addgene plasmid #11350, #13770, #13767, #13775 gifts from Connie Cepko). CMV-synaptophysin-GFP plasmid was obtained from Addgene (plasmid #27235). For IUE, timed pregnant mice were anesthetized with isoflurane/oxygen, and a solution containing a mixture of the described plasmids at a concentration of 1 µg µl⁻¹ each was injected into the embryo’s lateral ventricle under a 30 g pulled glass micropipette. Five voltage pulses (38 mV, 50 ms) were applied using external paddles oriented in order to target S1, S2, or auditory cortex. After birth, pups were anesthetized with carprofen (5 mg kg⁻¹ bodyweight), or by induction of hypothermia, and fixed by intracardiac perfusion with ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4, and at the specified developmental stage. Constructions of single neurons were performed for electroporating pCALNL-GFP (1 µg µl⁻¹) and a low concentration of pCAG-Cre (20 ng µl⁻¹) to label neurons sparsely.

Whisker cauterization. P1 pups were anesthetized with ice and underwent complete cauterization of their mystacial whiskers and follicles on one or both sides of the face (Thermal Cautery Unit, Geier Medical Technologies). No animal that underwent this procedure exhibited any sign of whisker regrowth throughout the course of the experiment. Animals were then returned to their mother and allowed to develop normally.

Histology and immunohistochemistry. Fifty micrometers free-floating brain cryosections were used for immunohistochemistry or mounted for Nissl. For Nissl staining, mounted sections were dehydrated with ascending grades of alcohol and cleared with xylene using 0.1% Cresyl Violet (Sigma-Aldrich C3402) Acetate solution. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A11202), rabbit anti-NeuN (Abcam, ab6357); mouse monoclonal anti-NeuN (Chemicon MAB377 clone A60), guinea pig anti-VGLUT2 (Millipore AB2251-1), mouse monoclonal anti-Satb2 (Abcam, ab51502), rat monoclonal anti-Ctip2 (Abcam, ab18465), rabbit polyclonal anti-Cux1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-13024), and mouse monoclonal anti-human Rotb (Persives Peptides and Antibodies, pp-NN977-000); and secondary antibodies used were goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (Life Technologies, catalog #A1-1034), goat anti-guinea-pig Alexa 647 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog #A21450), goat anti-rat Alexa 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog #A-11007), and goat anti-rabbit Alexa 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog #A-11037). Nuclei were stained with 0.4’-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (D9542 Sigma).

Confocal imaging and quantification. Confocal microscopy was performed with a TCS-SP5 or TCS-SSP (Leica) Laser Scanning System on Leica DM8 microscopes. Sections (50 µm) were obtained by taking 0.5 µm serial optical sections with LAS AF v1.8 software (Leica) and TileScan images with Leica LAS AF software. Images of synaptophysin were acquired using a 1024 × 1024 scan format with a 63× objective. First, randomized red axons were identified in the region of interest and then the presence or absence of synaptophysin-GFP was identified. Quantification of CBT+ cells was performed on single confocal images from z-stacks using DAPI and CBT staining. In each layer, the proportions of CBT+ cells were calculated among randomly selected cell nuclei in the defined cortical columns. Data are provided as the percentage of CBT+ cells among nuclei in the defined cortical columns. For quantifications of absolute numbers of CBT-labeled neurons, we counted the total number of CBT neurons per layer in the S1 region as delimited by the barrel anatomical landmarks. Data are provided as absolute numbers. To obtain refinement rates after the sequential injections of CBT coupled to two distinct fluorescence probes in the same mice, we calculated the fraction of cells labeled by the second probe among neurons selected independently from the first injection, or vice versa. Quantiﬁcations were performed by three different observers. Quantiﬁcations were performed in the hemisphere contralateral to the site of injection. This ensures that all neurons that are labeled with CBT possess at the moment of injection at least one interhemispheric projection in the contralateral territory, regardless of the growth or injury status of these projections. To facilitate the equivalent quantiﬁcation of CBT-labeled cells at different developmental stages, quantiﬁcations were performed at P10 following injections at P3, P5, and P7, and 2 days post surgery following injections at P14, P21, and P30. This allowed proper cortical lamination and avoids potential differences in cell migration or from early waves of cell migration. To identify the locations of functional areas and regions in the adult we used the atlas of Paxinos70. To localize and delimit the equivalent areas in the developing brain we used the Developing Brain in 3D (http://dalessx-nexum-map.org). Additional coordinates for cortical areas can be found in the Supplementary Methods.

For acquisition and quantiﬁcations of ﬂuorescence signal39,40, detectors were set to ensure linearity and equivalent conditions between all samples by determining the minimum and maximum threshold signals. The threshold for background noise was determined using regions outside of electroporated areas. The maximum threshold signal was set by ensuring that no pixels were saturated. This avoids an artifactual increase in low signals in order to ensure linearity. Quantiﬁcations were performed by converting pixel intensity into binary values.
placed in the neck as a reference electrode. The linear array of the multielectrode covered the entire cortical column (~800 µm). Impedances were checked for each channel separately and found to be in the range of 0.32–0.47 MΩ. The electrodes were slowly inserted into the tissue. Recordings were acquired ~20 min after the desired electrode position was acquired. The signals were pre-amplified, digitized at 10 kHz, recorded and stored with an AC amplifier (Multichannel Systems). Evoked responses were obtained for a range of stimulation intensities (0.2–1.2 mA), and peak amplitude and area of local field potentials (LFPs) were measured. One-dimensional CSD signals were calculated from the second spatial derivative of LFP (100 µm resolution). Smoothing was only applied to CSD signals for visualization purposes. Tissue conductivity was considered isotropic. Stripes in the background CSD due to impedance and offset differences between sites were clearly separated from the relevant CSD responses. CSD mean values of layer 4 (50 ms range) were calculated 60 ms after stimulus. Data analysis was performed using routines written in MATLAB 10 (MathWorks). Arbitrary values from 1 to 1 were assigned to express CSD signals as µV mm⁻² in the heat map.

Statistical analysis. There was no specific statistical method to determine sample size. Sample size was determined to be adequate based on the magnitude and consistency of measurable differences between groups. In principle, data were only excluded for failed injections. Experiments were reliably reproduced. Each experimental condition was carried out with a minimum of three biological replicates, a minimum of two coronal sections from each brain, and a minimum total number of 300 cells. Investigators were not blinded to mouse genotypes.

Data availability The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information files. The source data underlying main Figs. 1–7, and Supplementary Figs. 1–11, are provided as a Source Data file and also available at: https://osf.io/m56by/
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