Electrochemical Recovery of Phosphorus from Acidic Cheese Wastewater: Feasibility, Quality of Products, and Comparison with Chemical Precipitation
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ABSTRACT: The recovery of phosphorus (P) from high-strength acidic wastewater streams with high salinity and organic loads is challenging. Here, we addressed this challenge with a recently developed electrochemical approach and compared it with the chemical precipitation method via NaOH dosing. The electrochemical process recovers nearly 90% of P (\(~ 820 \text{ mg/L}\)) from cheese wastewater in 48 h at 300 mA with an energy consumption of 64.7 kWh/kg of P. With chemical precipitation, \(>86\%\) of P was removed by NaOH dosing with a normalized cost of \(1.34−1.80\) euros/kg of P. The increase in wastewater pH caused by NaOH dosing triggered the formation of calcium phosphate sludge instead of condensed solids. However, by electrochemical precipitation, the formed calcium phosphate is attached to the electrode, allowing the subsequent collection of solids from the electrode after treatment. The collected solids are characterized as amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) at 200 mA or a precipitation pH of \(\geq 9\). Otherwise, they are a mixture of ACP and hydroxyapatite. The products have sufficient P content (\(\leq 14\%\)), of which up to 85% was released within 30 min in 2% citric acid and a tiny amount of heavy metals compared to phosphate rocks. This study paves the way for applying electrochemical removal and recovery of phosphorus from acidic P-rich wastewater and offers a sustainable substitute for mined phosphorus.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Today, dairy processing is considered the largest industrial food wastewater source, especially in the European Union (E.U.). Among many others, cheese is one of the most popular dairy products. It was estimated that 1.04 and 0.61 million metric tons of cheese were produced in the E.U. and United States, respectively. Along with the production of cheese, a large amount of cheese wastewater was generated. The composition of cheese wastewater is affected by the type of cheese produced and the manufacturing process. However, in general, cheese wastewater is characterized by high salinity, a high load of organic content, and a high phosphate concentration.

Conventionally, biological processes, including aerobic and anaerobic digestion, have been applied to treat cheese wastewater. The biological process, however, mainly focuses on reducing COD or BOD and the production of biogas from cheese wastewater. Less attention has been paid to removing nutrients, although nutrients (i.e., phosphate) are removed to some extent by uncontrolled coprecipitation with sludge. Apart from the biological process, physicochemical treatment was also suggested. Prazeres and co-authors investigated the pretreatment of cheese wastewater by chemical precipitation and achieved the reduction of COD, turbidity, and nutrients to some extent.

While physicochemical treatment of cheese wastewater via dosing of alkaline or acidic chemicals is relatively simple and effective and thus has been widely studied using strong corrosive chemicals remains a major challenge for widespread implementation because of safety concerns in transporting, storing, and disposing of those corrosive chemicals.

Encouragingly, the use of electrochemical approaches opens the door for chemical-free treatment strategies. Electrocoagulation has been suggested as a robust treatment strategy for dairy wastewater. Markou et al. further studied the post-treatment of aerobically pretreated dairy wastewaters by electrochemical oxidation using dimensionally stable anodes. It achieved almost complete removal of 3700 mg/L COD in 6 h in the presence of 0.2 mol/L NaCl. A combined electro-
coagulation and electrochemical oxidation process was also suggested for the treatment of dairy wastewater. In particular, it was found that electrochemical treatment can result in the disinfection of dairy wastewater, along with the removal of organic loads.

While the treatment of dairy wastewater, including cheese wastewater, has been well-documented, most of these case studies and research efforts have been limited to properly treating waste streams without taking the recovery of nutrients into account. Moreover, there is no specific case addressing simultaneous phosphorus removal and recovery from cheese wastewater. Phosphorus is an essential nutrient element for all life forms. The use of phosphorus fertilizer plays a crucial role in guaranteeing crop yield and providing food for a growing global population. Unfortunately, our current production of phosphorus fertilizer from mined phosphate rock is not sustainable, as phosphate rock is a finite resource and only a few countries have phosphate rocks. On the contrary, the high phosphate concentration in acidic cheese wastewater can be problematic (i.e., eutrophication) if not adequately treated.

We previously developed a novel electrochemical approach for phosphorus removal and recovery. In the electrochemical system, the reduction of H₂O molecules establishes a high local pH near the cathode. The high pH then drives calcium phosphate formation and precipitation on the cathode surface. While this electrochemical system had shown good performance in the removal and recovery of phosphorus from domestic wastewater, the adoption of this system into treating domestic wastewater is limited by the high energy consumption and low calcium phosphate purity of recovered products. The low phosphate concentration with a high concentration of (bi)carbonate in domestic wastewater leads to the dominant formation of calcium carbonate. Therefore, we conclude that the electrochemical phosphorus recovery system is not suitable for treating low-strength wastewater with low phosphate but high (bi)carbonate but maybe feasible for treating high-strength phosphorus-rich wastewater, i.e., cheese wastewater.

However, to the best of our knowledge, the feasibility and efficiency of this system toward real cheese wastewater, which has a very high organic load (~20 g/L COD) and an acidic environment (pH <5.0), has not been assessed. It is well-known that a high level of organics and a low pH inhibit calcium phosphate precipitation. Therefore, the feasibility of electrochemically induced calcium phosphate precipitation in cheese wastewater needs to be clarified. We should also assess the property and quality of the recovered products by this electrochemical method for their potential as a substitute P source. Moreover, it is not clear if this electrochemical approach has advantages over the typically applied chemical precipitation approach. Therefore, we investigated the treatment of cheese wastewater, focusing on phosphorus removal and recovery by electrochemically induced calcium phosphate precipitation. It is worth mentioning that the proposed electrochemical approach is very different compared to the conventional coagulation process, which is based on the corrosion of sacrificial metal electrodes. We performed a systematic evaluation of the electrochemical approach regarding feasibility, efficiency, property, and quality of recovered products and compared it with conventional chemical precipitation via NaOH dosing. The results from this study were expected to advance our understanding of the feasibility and potential of electrochemical phosphorus recovery in high-strength acidic waste streams and facilitate its adoption as a promising pretreatment strategy after subsequent optimization in cell design and operation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. The titanium-based electrodes (anode and cathode coated with galvanic Pt) were provided by MAGNETO Special Anodes B.V. (Schiedam, The Netherlands). NaOH and HNO₃ were purchased from VWR (Leuven, Belgium). The commercial hydroxyapatite [HAP, Ca₉(OH)(PO₄)₂] used as a reference in solid characterizations was received from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Cheese Wastewater. The raw cheese wastewater was obtained from a local industrial wastewater treatment plant (Groningen, The Netherlands) and stored in a 4 °C refrigerator. This industrial wastewater treatment plant was built to treat industrial wastewater in this region, together with cheese wastewater. This region is famous for dairy product production in Europe. It is worth mentioning that the treatment plant operator is looking for a pretreatment technology to pretreat cheese wastewater before mixing it with other industrial waste and treatment in the activated sludge processes. Sedimentations may already occur in the buffer tank in the industrial wastewater treatment plant, and thus, the sampled wastewater has a very low suspended solid content. The analysis of total and soluble COD and P indicates that soluble species dominate the wastewater. Considering this characteristic, we reported only the soluble contents of cheese wastewater unless otherwise specified, as this can reflect the change in wastewater composition.

2.3. Experimental Setup and Design. The electrolysis setup is the same as that described previously. The electrochemical cell has an empty volume of ~1.0 L. In each test, we added 0.9 L of cheese wastewater to the electrochemical cell. The wastewater in the reactor was mixed by a peristaltic pump at a rate of 150 mL/min. The anode was a platinum (Pt)-coated titanium (Ti) mesh of Ø 8 cm. The round-shaped electrodes were perpendicularly welded to the electrodes and used for power connection. The electrochemical precipitation tests were performed under constant current mode, and the needed cell voltage was provided by a power supply (ES 030-S, Delta Electronics B.V.). The electrochemical system’s performance was studied under electric currents of 50, 100, 200, and 300 mA. All experiments were conducted at room temperature (T = 23 ± 1 °C) and were performed in triplicate, and the data are presented as the mean with the standard deviation.

2.4. Collection of Precipitates. At the end of each experiment, we removed the treated wastewater in the reactor carefully by syringe to minimize the disturbance of the precipitates on the cathode. The cathode with deposits was air-dried at room temperature for 72 h. After drying, we collected the solids by gentle scraping, washed them with Milli-Q water to remove NaCl, and then air-dried the solids again before characterizing the solids. We then cleaned the used cathode with an acidic medium (0.1 M HNO₃) and thoroughly flushed it with Milli-Q water.
We also tried to identify how much of the removed phosphorus precipitated on the cathode. In this case, the deposits on the cathode were completely dissolved in a 1 M HNO₃ solution (0.5 L), and the associated concentrations of Ca, Mg, and P were determined. The precipitates formed in the bulk wastewater were collected through vacuum filtering using paper filters and then analyzed in the same way as the precipitates on the cathode.

2.5. Chemical Precipitation Tests. For comparison, we also investigated the feasibility of chemical precipitation on the removal and recovery of phosphorus from cheese wastewater. We added 500 mL of cheese wastewater to a 1.0 L beaker. We used a concentrated NaOH solution (10 M) to alkalify cheese wastewater from its original pH value (4.4) to pH 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, 10.0, and 11.0. We applied a magnetic stirrer to ensure efficient mixing of the wastewater during the pH adjustment process. After the wastewater pH had been increased to the desired value, the well-mixed solution was allowed to settle for 24 h. Liquid samples were taken at 0.5 and 24 h during the settlement process and analyzed in the same way as in the electrochemical method. Before the analysis, samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane filter. For the samples taken at 0.5 h, part of the samples was immediately acidified with concentrated acid (1 M HNO₃) to measure the total P, in addition to the soluble P concentration. After settling for 24 h, the sludge generated from chemical treatment was recovered by vacuum filtration using paper filters (8 μm pore size). The recovered thick sludge was air-dried at room temperature. To characterize the solid, the dried solids were washed with Milli-Q water to remove NaCl in the same way as in the electrochemical process.

2.6. Analytical Methods. We applied ICP-AES (Optima 5300 DV, PerkinElmer) to quantify the concentrations of P, Ca, and Mg and the elemental composition of completely dissolved precipitates. We measured PO₄³⁻, Cl⁻, and SO₄²⁻ by ion chromatography (Compact IC 761, Metrohm), equipped with a Metrosep A Supp 4/5 Guard pre-column, a Metrohm Metrosep A 122 Supp S (150/4.0 mm) column, and a conductivity detector. Samples for ICP-AES and IC analysis were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane filter. We analyzed the wastewater pH and conductivity by a pH meter and conductivity detector (Seven Excellence S470, Mettler Toledo). We used cuvette LCK 114 (150–1000 mg/L) to check the COD values for all samples after proper dilution. It is worth noting that samples for soluble COD analysis were filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter. We determined the concentrations of total nitrogen and ammonia in cheese wastewater using cuvette LCK 138 (1–16 mg/L) and LCK 304 (0.02–2.50 mg/L). We quantified the total organic carbon (TOC) by a TOC-LCPH analyzer equipped with an ASI-L autosampler (Shimadzu) with a 1.0 mg/L detection limit.

2.7. Characterization of Solids. We identified the phases of the collected solids by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and determined their elemental composition by ICP-AES after acid digestion. Briefly, ~0.05 g of solids was dissolved in 2 mL of 70% HNO₃ and diluted properly for subsequent ICP-AES analysis. We applied Raman to check the bond information about the recovered products. Details about the instruments can be found elsewhere.²¹,²⁹

2.8. Bioavailability. The P bioavailability of recovered solids was evaluated by the solubility of recovered solids in water and 2% citric acid solutions. This method is adapted from the standard protocols suggested by the German Agriculture Institutes.³⁰ Briefly, 0.25 g of ground solids was exposed to 25 mL of a 2% citric acid solution (25 mL) or Milli-Q water under continuous rotation at 80 rpm. After 0.5 h, the mixed solutions were filtered with a 0.45 μm membrane filter and then diluted properly for ICP-AES analysis.

2.9. Calculation. The fractions of P, Ca, and Mg in cheese wastewater as a pH function were calculated with Hydra-Medusa software.³¹ The input was based on the main composition of the wastewater. The ionic strength was calculated to be 4.0 M.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Thermodynamic Insights from Cheese Wastewater Composition. We can see from Table 1 that the wastewater has an exceptionally high salinity and a high organic load, as revealed by the very high Na and Cl contents and the high COD. The analysis of total and soluble COD and P suggests that soluble species dominate the sampled wastewater. Considering this characteristic, we reported the concentration of only most soluble species unless specified, as this is very close to the total concentration. Cheese wastewater contains 827 ± 23 mg/L P and 2173 ± 83 mg/L Ca. The P concentration in cheese wastewater is ~100 times that of the influent of domestic sewage, highlighting the recovery potential of cheese wastewater as a source of P. The Ca/P molar ratio in cheese wastewater is 2.0, which is higher than the atomic ratio of the most stable calcium phosphate (HAP, Ca/P = 1.67). This indicates that there are enough coexisting calcium ions in the wastewater to precipitate phosphorus. The wastewater also has 76.6 mg/L NH₄⁺ and 130 mg/L Mg. The low concentrations of Mg²⁺ and NH₄⁺ relative to phosphate omit the choice of removing and recovering phosphorus from cheese wastewater via struvite formation and precipitation. Therefore, it is apparent that the most suitable way to remove and recover P from cheese wastewater is by calcium phosphate precipitation.

We applied Hydra-Medusa to calculate the fractions of P and Ca in cheese wastewater as a function of pH (panels A and B, respectively, of Figure 1). The thermodynamic calculation suggests that the wastewater is not saturated with any minerals at a background pH of 4.4. The P in the wastewater was in the form of H₂PO₄⁻. The simulation projects the partial formation
of brucite (CaHPO₄·2H₂O) at pH ~5.0. When the solution pH is increased to >6.0, the solution becomes highly saturated with regard to HAP. Theoretically, nearly 100% of the P in cheese wastewater will be present in the form of solid HAP at pH ≥6.0; however, it is worth mentioning that such thermodynamic simulation refers to the equilibrium status and does not guarantee the formation of visible precipitates within the time frame of our tests.³² For Mg, regardless of the wastewater pH, it will not help to remove phosphate in our system. The calculation of the Mg fraction indicates that Mg is mainly present as free Mg²⁺ unless the pH is increased to >10.0, where Mg(OH)₂ appears as the dominant species (Figure 1C).

### 3.2. Chemical Precipitation

On the basis of the thermodynamic calculation, the calcium phosphate species in cheese wastewater will become highly saturated when the pH is >6.0. In our tests, we observed an apparent formation of suspended solids when cheese wastewater pH reached 6.5. At pH 7.0, the concentrations of P and Ca decreased from 827 ± 23 and 2173 ± 83 mg/L to 119 ± 4 and 1043 ± 137 mg/L, respectively, which correspond to removal efficiencies of 85.6% for P and 52.0% for Ca (Figure 2A). When the wastewater pH was increased to >8.0, >90.0% of P and >64.2% of Ca were removed. The removal of Mg strongly depends on the pH, with an only 24.6% reduction at pH 7.0, 43.5% at pH 10.0, but 77.5% at pH 11.0. The removal of Mg is mainly due to the formation and precipitation of Mg(OH)₂, which requires a pH of >10.0, according to the thermodynamic calculation (Figure 1C).

Additionally, Mg’s incorporation into the calcium phosphate lattice structure might also contribute to the removal of Mg to some extent, especially at pH ≤9.0, where Mg(OH)₂ formation is not thermodynamically favored. With regard to COD, we did not observe an apparent removal of COD (see Figure S1), which is in contrast to Parazeres’ report. They observed a COD reduction at the range of 10.2–43.7% from cheese wastewater after NaOH dosing. This difference is probably caused by the very different cheese wastewater composition. Our sampled wastewater is dominated by soluble species and has a much higher COD/P ratio (24.9) compared to that of Parazeres (1.45).

Even though NaOH dosing effectively triggers the formation of calcium phosphate in cheese wastewater, it has an apparent disadvantage. Figure 2B shows that a significant amount of sludge was generated in cheese wastewater after NaOH dosing. Moreover, the formed sludge has a poor settleability. The analysis of total P (as an indication of precipitation efficiency) and soluble P (removal efficiency) after 0.5 h indicates that although calcium phosphate precipitates formed, they did not settle (Figure S2). For example, the P removal efficiency after settling for 0.5 h was as high as 95.5% at pH 9.0, whereas the precipitation efficiency was only 58.1%. According to our observation, the sludge’s height formed in the beaker stabilized ~6 h after adjustment of the pH. After 24 h, we observed a clear sludge sedimentation (see Figure 2B). At pH ≥8.0, the generated sludge accounts for 70% of the volume of the treated wastewater. Increasing the reaction time to 1 week did not improve the settleability of the generated chemical sludge. The formation of a large amount of sludge significantly impacts the overall cost by the traditional chemical precipitation method as the formed chemical sludge needs to be appropriately treated.

The XRD characterizations of collected precipitates suggest that the crystallinity of calcium phosphate decreases with the precipitation pH (Figure 3A). At pH 7.0, the solids are a mixture of HAP and amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP). The broadened peak around a 2θ of 30° can be an indicator of the presence of ACP.³⁴ In contrast, the well-matched peaks at 2θ values of 26°, 32°, and 50° against the reference HAP spectrum indicate the formation of crystalline calcium phosphate. The solids collected at a precipitation pH of ≥9.0 are dominated by ACP, as evidenced by the lack of sharp peaks in the corresponding XRD patterns.³⁵ Compared to the solids collected at pH 7.0, the typical patterns that are assigned to HAP disappeared at pH ≥9.0. Our results are in line with the previous finding that the degree of crystallinity decreased with pH.³³,³⁵

The Raman characterization also supports the shift of the calcium phosphate phase as a function of precipitation pH. While all Raman spectra show characteristic vibration P–O bonds, including the leading ν₁(P-O) stretching that lies between 950 and 960 cm⁻¹ (Figure 3B), there is a definite shift in the exact position of the ν₁(P-O) stretching (Figure 3C). At pH 7.0, the ν₁(P-O) stretching occurs at 961 cm⁻¹, very close to the reference spectrum of HAP. However, at pH 9.0 and 11.0, the ν₁(P-O) stretching occurs at 951 cm⁻¹ (Figure 3C). It is well documented that the ν₁(P-O) stretching of HAP typically occurs around 960 cm⁻¹, whereas for ACP, it appears...
Therefore, the observed frequency change of the $v_1(PO_4)$ mode can serve as an identifier of the crystalline nature of calcium phosphate precipitates collected at low pH and the amorphous nature of solids collected at high pH ($\geq 9.0$). In conclusion, Raman and XRD characterizations confirm the presence of HAP at a low precipitation pH ($\leq 8.0$), while at all pH values, the dominant phase is amorphous calcium phosphate.
3.3. Electrochemical Precipitation. We first demonstrated that electrochemically induced calcium phosphate precipitation in cheese wastewater is possible, although the wastewater has an acidic environment (pH 4.4) and contains many organic species. As shown in Figure 4, by electrochemical treatment, cheese wastewater becomes relatively clean and transparent. Moreover, the cathode is covered by deposits. The P, Ca, and Mg concentrations decreased gradually over the electrochemical treatment at 200 mA or 40 A/m². The removal efficiencies of all ions were >90% after treatment for 96 h. As a result of the removal of ions, we observed precipitates on the cathode and some at the bottom of the electrochemical reactor.

In the electrochemical treatment process, the overall pH of the wastewater increased from a minimum of 4.5 to a maximum of 5.7, although at the beginning, there is a slight decrease in pH from 4.5 to 4.1 (Figure 4). On the basis of the thermodynamic calculation, cheese wastewater is already saturated with both HAP and brushite at pH ~6.0. Therefore, homogeneous calcium phosphate precipitation might have occurred as the electrochemical treatment proceeded.

While the slight increase in pH indeed induces homogeneous calcium phosphate precipitation in cheese wastewater, most of the removed calcium and phosphate precipitate on the cathode surface. The solids recovered from the cathode accounted for 92.0% and 94.2% of the removed P and Ca, respectively. For Mg, the rate of recovery from the cathode is as high as 98.4%. This again confirms that the pH close to the cathode is much higher than the bulk solution’s pH. Indeed, Zhang et al. reported an increase in the substrate–solution interface pH to 7.8, while the bulk solution pH is 4.5 at a current density of 30 A/m². On the basis of the thermodynamic calculation (Figure 1) and the results of chemical precipitation (Figure 2), such an increase in pH will result in calcium phosphate formation. Therefore, the removal of phosphate is mainly due to its precipitation with calcium ions on the cathode surface, which has a cathodically created local high-pH environment during electrolysis of the cheese water.

Compared to conventional chemical precipitation (see Figure 2), there is an apparent COD removal in the electrochemical process. After treatment for 96 h, 29.7% of COD was removed (Figure 4). The difference between the chemical process and the electrochemical process points out that the main COD removal pathway is probably not the coprecipitation of organics with calcium phosphate. The likely COD removal mechanism in the electrochemical process is the anode-mediated formation of chlorine and associated reactive chlorine species like hypochlorite, which results in the reduction of COD. However, it is worth mentioning that toxic chlorinated organic byproducts may be formed. After being processed for electrochemical phosphate recovery, the treated cheese wastewater will be diluted >100-fold with other industrial wastewaters. It is hypothesized that the follow-up biological process will be able to handle the potential present chlorinated byproducts. This hypothesis has to be verified in an additional study.

3.4. Effects of Current. Figure 5 presents the influence of current (density) on electrochemical removal of P, Ca, and Mg from cheese wastewater. We can see from Figure 5 that the removal percentage of all ions increased with the increase in current (density) and electrolysis time. Specifically, the system accomplished a maximum P removal of 34.2 ± 0.8% at 50 mA (10 A/m²) after treatment for 96 h. This number was
increased to 65.2 ± 2.9% at 100 mA, 92.5 ± 0.2% at 200 mA, and 92.7 ± 0.3% at 300 mA. The current-dependent removal of P occurs because the local cathode pH is regulated by the current, as experimentally verified by Zhang et al. with an in situ pH microsensor.10 Although the final removal efficiency of P at 200 and 300 mA is similar, P’s removal at 300 mA is much faster; 88.7% of P was removed in 48 h. Therefore, we can decrease the retention time by increasing the current when needed. Nonetheless, the kinetics of electrochemical P removal (heterogeneous) is much lower than that of homogeneous chemical precipitation, which is typically completed in just 0.5 h. The kinetics of the electrochemical approach is limited by the applied current density and the cathode area. While we can increase the removal rate by increasing the current, this will lead to substantial energy consumption. Therefore, it seems the most feasible way to increase the removal rate is by increasing the cathode area. We are working on designing a new type of electrochemical cell that allows us to use tubular stainless steel as the cathode. We hope to improve the low kinetics of the electrochemical approach with this new design.

For Ca, we observed a removal trend similar to that of P at different currents. This is logical, as the removal of Ca is directly linked to P by electrochemically induced calcium phosphate precipitation. The removal of Mg is also highly dependent on the current. This is explained by the fact that the removal of Mg is due to the formation and precipitation of Mg(OH)2.13,25 The formation of hydroxide ions is regulated by the electric charge passed. This charge is determined by the applied current and electrolysis time. The higher the current density, the higher the local concentration of hydroxide ions. Therefore, the removal rate of Mg increased with an increase in current density and electrolysis time. The maximum removal of Mg in our tests was ~95%, which was accomplished in 96 h at 300 mA.

With regard to Raman characterization, we observed a shift in the ν1(PO4) stretching (Figure 6A,B) similar to that we found in the case of chemical precipitation. At 50 and 100 mA, the frequency of the ν1(PO4) stretching occurs at ~961 cm−1. However, at 200 mA, it happens at 951 cm−1. Such a shift can be an indicator of the change in crystallinity of calcium phosphate solids.37

Consistently, the XRD spectrum of the solids collected under different currents also supports the finding that the degree of crystallinity of calcium phosphate decreased with an increase in current (Figure 6C). This follows the findings for chemical precipitation, in which a higher precipitation pH results in more ACP formation. The current regulates the production of hydroxide ions at the cathode. The higher the current, the larger the amount of hydroxide ions produced. However, it is worth mentioning that a conventionally high current density or a high pH is believed to promote the formation of crystalline species. The inverse effects we observed are probably connected to the cheese wastewater’s unique characteristics compared to synthetic solutions and other low-strength waste streams (i.e., domestic sewage). According to Figure 5, the removal of Mg strongly depends on the applied current. Ferguson and McCarty reported that the coprecipitation of Mg with calcium phosphate severely inhibits the transfer of ACP to HAP because Mg2+ competes with chemically similar but larger Ca2+ for structural sites.41 The significant removal of Mg (>90%) at 200 and 300 mA may affect ACP’s evolution to crystalline calcium phosphate. However, the removal rate of Mg is below 50% at 50 and 100 mA, allowing the partial formation of crystalline species. As a result, we observed a decrease in crystallinity with an increase in current.

We also noticed an intense bond near 1076 cm−1 in the Raman spectra (Figure 6B). This band is probably linked to the symmetric ν1(CO3) stretching mode of the carbonate molecule.56 However, such ν1(CO3) carbonate bond stretching was not found in chemical precipitation (Figure 3C). The observed new stretching bond suggests that there might be calcium carbonate coprecipitation in the electrochemical system. The involvement of carbonate may come from CO2, which is produced from the mineralization of organic compounds in cheese wastewater by electrochemical treatment (direct anode oxidation or hypochlorite oxidation55). We can see from Figure 6B that the relative intensity of ν1(CO3) to ν1(PO4) stretching increased with an increase in current, which indicates the increased level of formation of CaCO3 with an increase in current. This is the same as the removal of COD as a function of current. The current determines the degree of COD conversion, so as the formation of CO2, which
subsequently interacts with the electrochemically produced hydroxide ions at the cathode, being converted to HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$, and then reacts with calcium ions, forming CaCO$_3$.

The involvement of carbonate precipitation was also evidenced by the Ca/P removal molar ratio. In the case of chemical precipitation, regardless of the precipitation pH, the removed Ca/P atomic ratio is 1.45 ± 0.04, below the theoretical highest Ca/P atomic ratio (1.67 for HAP). However, in the electrochemical system, the removed Ca/P molar ratio is 1.99 ± 0.15. This is probably due to the formation and precipitation of CaCO$_3$ that removes extra Ca and thus results in a higher Ca/P removal ratio. On the basis of Raman characterization and the associated Ca/P ratios, we firmly assume the formation of CaCO$_3$ in electrochemical treatment of cheese wastewater, in addition to calcium phosphate. Nonetheless, the solids recovered in cheese wastewater are still dominated by calcium phosphate rather than CaCO$_3$ that we have seen in the electrochemical treatment of domestic sewage.

3.5. Energy Consumption. While a higher current density and a longer treatment time will undoubtedly result in a higher removal efficiency, the associated energy consumption is also increased. To determine the best conditions for the energy-efficient removal of P, we plotted the amount of removed P as a function of energy consumption (Figure 7) by multiplying the applied current, the recorded cell voltage, and electrolysis time. Because of the very high conductivity (~200 mS/cm) of cheese wastewater, the increase in the current did not affect the cell voltage that much. The cell voltages were 2.81, 2.93, 3.05, and 3.12 V at 50, 100, 200, and 300 mA, respectively (Table S1).

Figure 7 shows that this system is more energy-efficient when operated at a higher current. For example, when the energy consumption is around 20 W h, 436 ± 36 mg of P is removed at 300 mA, whereas this value is 253 ± 7 mg at 50 mA and 373 ± 18 mg at 100 mA. Considering the removal efficiency, energy consumption, and kinetics, the best working condition seems to be 300 mA. In such a situation, a satisfying removal efficiency (88.9%) was achieved in a durable retention time (48 h) at a reasonable energy consumption (44.9 W h). Given this process will be applied as a pretreatment step, the remaining 11.1% P in cheese wastewater can be mixed with other industrial wastewater and used as nutrients to support the growth of bacteria in the biological process. The normalized specific energy consumption for the recovery of P from cheese wastewater is 64.7 kWh/kg of P or 5.8 euros/kg of P assuming the price of electricity is 0.09 euro/kWh. While
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**Figure 6.** (A and B) Raman spectra and (C) XRD patterns of electrochemically precipitated solids collected at 50 mA (10 A/m$^2$), 100 mA (20 A/m$^2$), and 200 mA (40 A/m$^2$).
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**Figure 7.** Absolute removal of P as a function of current and electrolysis time. The energy consumption is calculated by multiplying the recorded cell voltage, applied current, and electrolysis time.
this electricity consumption cannot be fully justified by the recovered phosphate (1−2 euros/kg of P), the added value of electrochemical treatment (i.e., reduced COD and disinfection), which is not addressed in this study, may justify the adoption of the electrochemical approach. In addition, if we consider the potential increase in the price of P fertilizer, as a result of the decrease in the quality and quantity of phosphate rock reserves, electrochemical recovery of phosphate from cheese wastewater as a pretreatment step added to an industrial wastewater treatment plant could hold promise.

With regard to the electricity consumption and chemical cost, the values of the electrochemical approach (5.7−7.7 euros/kg of P) are already higher than those of the traditional chemical precipitation approach (1.34−1.80 euros/kg of P) even if we only consider the electricity consumption and chemical dosing for electrochemical and chemical methods, respectively (Tables S1 and S2). Moreover, although it is too early to calculate the CAPEX at proof of the feasibility stage, the CAPEX of the electrochemical approach is likely much higher than that of chemical precipitation. Therefore, we need to improve the cell configuration to maximize phosphate removal while minimizing investment costs and energy consumption. It was previously demonstrated that the in situ production of acid and base with a bipolar membrane electrolysis cell is 40% cheaper than purchasing commercial chemicals.42 On top of CAPEX and OPEX, we should also consider the unique advantages of the electrochemical approach, i.e., no requirement of adjustment of pH or dosing chemicals, producing recyclable solids instead of chemical sludge. Collectively, the electrochemical method has several advantages over chemical precipitation and could be a solution for some waste streams, i.e., cheese wastewater.

3.6. Can the Recovered Solids Work as Fertilizer?

Table 2 presents the main element composition of the recovered solids in both electrochemical and chemical precipitation. Overall, there is no significant difference with regard to P contents between chemical and electrochemical precipitation. In electrochemical systems, the P content of recovered solids was between 9.4% and 13.4% and decreased with current (density). In chemical precipitation, the P content decreased from 12.3% at pH 7.0 to 11.0% at pH 11.0.

Remarkably, although the amount of P in the recovered solids decreased with precipitation pH or the applied current, the P content is still higher than that of the incinerated sludge ash in Germany (9%).43 and comparable to that of the high-grade phosphate rock (13%).44 It is worth mentioning that our solids were dried at room temperature (23 ± 1 °C). The solids lost approximately 10% of their weight when dried at 105 °C in the oven. When this is taken into account, our solids have a P content of ≤14.9% and comply with the requirement of the fertilizer industry (>11%).45 This P content is already close to the P content of calcium phosphate granules recovered from black water in a UASB reactor after the removal of 29% organic components by incineration.46 The P content of our recovered solids will be even higher if incineration is applied. However, in light of the satisfied P contents, the energy-intensive incineration process is not required.

The heavy metals in the recovered solids are negligible. The solids recovered under 50 mA contain the largest amount of heavy metals but still at a level lower than regulation limits.47 The heavy metals present at most were iron (Fe, 1188 ± 173 mg/kg of dried solids), followed by aluminum (Al, 321 ± 90 mg/kg) and zinc (Zn, 256 ± 19 mg/kg). The dried solids also

| applied current (mA) | precipitation pH |
|----------------------|------------------|
| 50 SD | 100 SD | 200 SD | 300 SD | 400 SD | 500 SD | 600 SD | 700 SD | 800 SD | 900 SD | 1000 SD |
| wt % P | 13.4 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| g/kg P | 134 | 2 | 119 | 102 | 94 | 87 | 80 | 73 | 66 | 60 | 53 |
| Ca | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Mg | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Fe | 1188 | 1050 | 948 | 846 | 749 | 654 | 577 | 505 | 433 | 360 | 297 |
| Al | 321 | 287 | 254 | 221 | 189 | 157 | 125 | 103 | 81 | 60 | 39 |
| Zn | 256 | 227 | 200 | 173 | 150 | 127 | 105 | 83 | 62 | 41 | 20 |
| Mn | 39 | 33 | 27 | 22 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Cr | 7.9 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Cu | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cd | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| As | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ni | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Cd | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| As | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Ni | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

Table 2. P and Main Element Composition of Recovered Solids and Comparison with Sewage Sludge Ash, Phosphate Rock, and the Requirements of the Fertilizer Industry®

SD, standard deviation; na, not available/not applicable.
have a tiny amount of manganese (Mn, 37 ± 1 mg/kg) and chromium (Cr, 9 ± 1 mg/kg). Toxic metals Cd and As, which are often found in phosphate rock at high levels, were not detected (normalized detection limit in our case: As, 10 mg/kg; Cd, 2 mg/kg). Similarly, Fe, Al, Zn, Mn, and Cr were also detected in the case of chemical precipitation, but all at very low levels. The total amount of heavy metals present in the solids from chemical precipitation is <1.5 g/kg.

The significantly low level of contamination of heavy metals originates from the “clean” nature of the wastewater. The wastewater is generated from the cheese production process and was not polluted by human waste or industrial waste, which otherwise would bring heavy metals into these solids. This also reminds us of the importance of directly recovering phosphorus from cheese wastewater before it is mixed with human or industrial waste.

The bioavailability test reveals the high availability of P in the recovered solids from both chemical and electrochemical precipitation processes (Table S3). In distilled water, the release of P is <0.3%. However, >80% of total P was released after exposure for 0.5 h to 2% citric acid solutions. This value is slightly lower than commercial ACP (95.3%) but much higher than the P released from commercial HAP (44.5%) and is also higher than the P bioavailability of solids recovered from black water by UASB reactors (35%) or the P availability of many other products recovered from waste streams (37.1–67.9%). Therefore, the recovered solids can be recycled as a safe raw material for producing P fertilizer without incineration or applied directly as P fertilizer.

4. CONCLUSION

Cheese wastewater is a promising source for phosphorus recovery. Although it has an acidic matrix and a high level of organics, we demonstrated that through a simple electrochemical treatment, we could recover phosphate from cheese wastewater in the form of recyclable calcium phosphate without dosing external chemicals. This is of particular interest in on-site treatment, where a modular electrochemical system can be introduced. Consequently, the transport and storage of corrosive acidic or alkaline chemicals are not required. The recovered calcium phosphate solids contain a high level of P of ≤13%, which is higher than the fertilizer industry’s requirement. Because of the acidic pH, the products recovered from cheese wastewater have a low percentage of CaCO₃.

Moreover, because of the “clean” nature of cheese wastewater, the products have a low risk of heavy metal contamination. Therefore, it complies with almost all regulation limits regarding the recycling of solids as raw material for producing phosphorus fertilizer or other value-added phosphorus products (i.e., flame retardants). It could even be used as a natural phosphorus fertilizer. On top of phosphorus recovery, the electrochemical treatment has some other benefits, such as removing color, turbidity, and COD to a certain extent, and even the disinfection of cheese wastewater, as reported elsewhere. Collectively, our study suggests the electrochemical approach can be a good pretreatment strategy for treating high-strength waste streams, i.e., cheese wastewater, providing the energy consumption and cell design can be optimized in the future study.
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