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Abstract

The nucleus accumbens (NAc) plays a central role in reinforcement and motivation. Around 95% of the NAc neurons are medium spiny neurons (MSNs), divided into those expressing dopamine receptor D1 (D1R) or dopamine receptor D2 (D2R). Optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs increased motivation, whereas inhibition of these neurons produced the opposite effect. Yet, it is still unclear how activation of D2-MSNs affects other local neurons/interneurons or input terminals, and how this contributes for motivation enhancement. To answer this question, in this work we combined optogenetic modulation of D2-MSNs with in loco pharmacological delivery of specific neurotransmitter antagonists in rats.

First, we showed that optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs increases motivation in a progressive ratio task. We demonstrated that this behavioural effect relies on cholinergic-dependent modulation of dopaminergic signalling of ventral tegmental area (VTA) terminals, which requires D1R and D2R signalling in the NAc. D2-MSN optogenetic activation decreased ventral pallidum (VP) activity, reducing the inhibitory tone to VTA, leading to increased dopaminergic activity. Importantly, optogenetic activation of D2-MSN terminals in the VP was sufficient to recapitulate the motivation enhancement.

In summary, our data suggests that optogenetic stimulation of NAc D2-MSNs indirectly modulates VTA dopaminergic activity, contributing for increased motivation. Moreover, both types of dopamine receptors signalling in the NAc are required in order to produce the positive behavioural effects.
Significance statement

The nucleus accumbens (NAc) is a key brain region of the reward system and is crucial for motivation. We showed that activation of NAc D2-expressing neurons enhances motivation by modulating VTA dopaminergic activity via ventral pallidum inhibition. The behavioural effect was dependent on local cholinergic-dependent dopamine release by VTA terminals that required D1 and D2 dopamine receptors in the NAc.

This study reveals for the first time how D2-MSN stimulation can modulate downstream regions and local microcircuit to increase motivation.

Introduction

Dopaminergic projections from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) have been classically described as the core of the reward circuit (Wise, 2004). Evidence in animal models and humans showed that the motivational aspects of reward processing are greatly mediated by these projections (Bailey et al., 2016; Hyman et al., 2006; Kelley and Berridge, 2002; Wise, 1998). The NAc contains 95% of medium spiny neurons (MSNs), that are typically divided into those that express dopamine receptor D1 (D1R, D1-MSNs), and those that express dopamine receptor D2 (D2R, D2-MSNs). In addition to dopaminergic inputs from the VTA, these MSNs receive dense monosynaptic glutamatergic innervation from the medial prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and amygdala (Haber, 2003). These MSNs project directly to the VTA through the direct pathway, mediated exclusively by D1-MSNs, or indirectly via the ventral pallidum (VP) (both D1- and D2-MSNs) (Kupchik et al., 2015; Lu et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2003). Additionally, MSNs are known to synapse within each other (Dobbs et al., 2016; Sesack and Pickel, 1990), maintaining GABAergic accumbal activity under a balanced control.

The remaining 5% of NAc neurons are local interneurons, that include large tonically active cholinergic interneurons (CIN), fast spiking (FS) GABAergic interneurons, low threshold spiking (LTS) interneurons (Soares-Cunha et al., 2016b), as well as less explored
subtypes, namely tyrosine hydroxylase interneurons (Ibáñez-Sandoval et al., 2015, 2010) and calretinin interneurons (Tepper and Bolam, 2004). Importantly, both cholinergic and GABAergic interneurons play a crucial role in NAc activity and response to salient stimuli and modulate reward-dependent behaviors (Lim et al., 2014; Tepper and Bolam, 2004).

In the past years, compelling data supported a role for D1-MSNs in positive reinforcement, while D2-MSNs have been mostly associated with aversion. Nonetheless, recent data emerged in opposition to this dichotomy; whereas the division of direct and indirect neurons based on the respective expression of D1R and D2R in dorsal striatum appears to be precise, in the NAc the indirect pathway contains a mixture of D1-MSNs and D2-MSNs (Kravitz et al., 2012; Lobo et al., 2010). This implies that both NAc D1- and D2-MSNs can inhibit or disinhibit thalamic activity, with clear repercussions in behavior. In agreement with this view, a previous study showed that activation of either NAc D1- or D2-MSNs is sufficient to increase motivation in a progressive ratio task (PR) (Soares-Cunha et al., 2016a). In the same direction, in the ventrolateral striatum, both D1- and D2-MSNs are activated at the trial start cue in the PR test and inhibition of either population immediately after the cue resulted in decreased motivation (Natsubori et al., 2017).

These seminal findings showed that D2-MSNs play a more pro-motivation/reward role than initially anticipated, and suggest that the prevailing notion of a functional segregation of MSNs should be reconsidered. Yet, it is still unclear how activation of D2-MSNs affects other local neurons/interneurons and downstream regions and how this contributes for motivation enhancement. Therefore, we combined optogenetic activation of NAc D2-MSNs with in loco pharmacological delivery of specific antagonists in order to identify the contribution of different NAc inputs and neuronal populations for motivational drive.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals**

Male Wistar Han rats (2-3 months old at the beginning of the tests) were used. Animals were maintained under standard laboratory conditions: 12h light/dark cycle (lights on from
8am to 8pm) and room temperature of 21±1°C, with relative humidity of 50-60%; rats were individually housed after optical fibre implantation; standard diet (4RF21, Mucedola SRL) and water were given *ad libitum*, until the beginning of the behavioural experiments, in which animals switched to food restriction to maintain 85% of initial body weight.

Behavioural manipulations occurred during the light period of the light/dark cycle. Health monitoring was performed according to FELASA guidelines (Nicklas et al., 2002). All procedures were conducted in accordance with European Regulations (European Union Directive 2010/63/EU). Animal facilities and animals’ experimenters were certified by the National regulatory entity – DGAV. All protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the ICVS and by DGAV.

**Experimental Design**

Group I of animals (*n*_D2-ChR2=10, *n*_D2-eYFP=7), that received intracranial viral injection and optical fiber placement in the NAc, performed the progressive ratio test (described below) and were sacrificed 90 minutes after the beginning of the last PR session for c-fos analysis (Fig. 1-1A).

Group II of animals (*n*_D2-ChR2=8, *n*_D2-eYFP=7), that received intracranial viral injection and hybrid cannula (optics and fluid) placement in the NAc, performed the progressive ratio test (described below) and performed two additional PR sessions with antagonist injections. On day 1, half of the animals received antagonist injection and the other half received vehicle injection. On day two, animals receiving drug on the first day received vehicle and vice versa. All animals were treated with vehicle and drug. After behavioral performance, all rats were sacrificed, and cannula placement and viral expression were confirmed (Fig. 1-1B).

Group III of animals (*n*_D2-ChR2_NAc-VP=8, *n*_D2-eYFP_NAc-VP=6), that received intracranial viral injection in the NAc and optical fiber placement in the VP, performed the progressive ratio test (described below) (Fig. 1-1C).

Group IV of animals (*n*_D2-ChR2=4) was injected with ChR2 in the NAc, and after 3 weeks to allow viral expression, *in vivo* single unit electrophysiological recordings were performed.
Behavior

Subjects and apparatus

Rats were habituated to 45 mg food pellets (F0021; BioServ), which were used as reward during the behavioral protocol, one day prior to training initiation. Behavioral sessions were performed in operant chambers (Med Associates) that contained a central, recessed magazine to provide access to 45mg food pellets (Bio-Serve), two retractable levers with cue lights located above them that were located on each side of the magazine. Chamber illumination was obtained through a 2.8W, 100mA light positioned at the top-center of the wall opposite to the magazine. The chambers were controlled by a computer equipped with the Med-PC software (Med Associates).

Progressive Ratio (PR) schedule of reinforcement

All training sessions started with illumination of the house light that remained until the end of the session. On the first training session (CRF; continuous reinforcement sessions) one lever was extended. The lever would remain extended throughout the session, and a single lever press would deliver a food pellet (maximum of 50 pellets earned within 30min). In some cases, food pellets were placed behind the lever to promote lever pressing. After successful completion of the CRF training, rats were trained to lever press on the opposite lever using the same training procedure. In the 4 following days, the side of the active lever was alternated between sessions. Then, rats were trained to lever press one time for a single food pellet in a fixed ratio (FR) schedule consisting in 50 trials in which both levers are presented, but the active lever is signaled by the illumination of the cue light above it. FR sessions began with extension of both levers (active and inactive) and illumination of the house light and the cue light over the active lever. Completion of the correct number of lever press led to a pellet delivery, retraction of the levers and the cue light turning off for a 20s ITI. Rats were trained first with one lever active and then with the opposite lever active in
separate sessions (in the same day). In a similar manner, rats were then trained using an FR4 reinforcement schedule for 4 days and a FR8 for 1 day. On the test day, rats were exposed to PR or FR experimental sessions (one session per day) according to the following schedule: day 1 – FR4; day 2 – PR (Optical stimulation); day 3 – FR4; day 4 – PR (no optical stimulation). PR sessions were identical to FR4 sessions except that the operant requirement on each trial (T) was the integer (rounded down) of $1.4^{T-1}$ lever presses, starting at 1 lever press. PR sessions ended after 15 min elapsed without completion of the response requirement in a trial.

Before the PR session, rats were connected to an opaque optical fiber, through previously implanted cannula guide placed in the NAc. At the beginning of each trial of the PR session with optical stimulation – when the retractable levers are exposed to the animal together with the cue light – animals received an optical stimulation. After basal assessment of PR (one session with optical stimulation and one session without), all animals performed 7 additional sessions (with one week interval and 1 FR4 reminder session prior to PR test) with optical stimulation and local pharmacological administration of receptors antagonist (Fig. 1-1).

Optical stimulation was performed as follows: 473nm; frequency of 40Hz; 12.5ms pulses over 1s; 10mW at the tip of the implanted fiber.

**Constructs and virus preparation**

eYFP or hChR2(H134R)-eYFP were cloned under the control of the D2R minimal promoter region as described before (Soares-Cunha et al., 2016a; Zalocusky et al., 2016). Constructs were packaged in AAV5 serotype by the UNC Gene Therapy Center Vector Core (UNC). AAV5 vector titers were 3.7-6x10^{12} viral molecules/ml as determined by dot blot.

**Surgery and cannula implantation**

Rats were anesthetized with 75mgkg\(^{-1}\) ketamine (Imalgene, Merial) plus 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) medetomidine (Dorbene, Cymedica). Virus was unilaterally injected into the NAc;
coordinates from bregma, according to (Paxinos and Watson, 2005): +1.2mm anteroposterior (AP), +1.2mm mediolateral (ML), and -6.5mm dorsoventral (DV) (D2-ChR2 group and D2-eYFP control group). Rats that performed the PR with only optical stimulation were implanted with an optic fiber (200μm diameter) attached to a 2.5mm ferrule (Thorlabs), and rats that performed the PR test with both optical stimulation and local administration of antagonists were implanted with opto-fluid cannulas (Doric Lenses) using the injection coordinates (except for the dorsoventral: -6.4mm) that were secured to the skull using 2.4mm screws (Bilaney) and dental cement (C&B kit, Sun Medical).

For NAc terminal stimulation in the VP, virus was injected as above but rats were implanted with an optic fiber in the VP - coordinates from bregma: -0.1mm AP, +2.4mm ML, and -7mm DV (D2-ChR2 NAc-VP group and D2-eYFP NAc-VP control group). Rats were allowed to recover for two weeks before initiation of the behavioural trainings.

In vivo single-cell electrophysiology

Three weeks post-surgery, D2-ChR2 rats (n=4) were anaesthetized with urethane (1.44gkg⁻¹, Sigma). The total dose was administered in three separate intraperitoneal injections, 15min apart. Adequate anesthesia was confirmed by the lack of withdrawal responses to hindlimb pinching. A recording electrode coupled with a fiber optic patch cable (Thorlabs) was placed in the NAc (coordinates from bregma: +1.2mm AP, +1.2mm ML, and -6.0 to -7.0mm DV), using a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments) with non-traumatic ear bars (Stoeling). Other recording electrodes with fiber optic attached were placed in the VP (coordinates from bregma: 0 to -0.12mm AP, +2.3 to +2.5mm ML, and -7 to -7.6mm DV) and in the VTA (coordinates from bregma: -5.3mm AP, +0.9mm ML, and -7.5 to -8.3mm DV).

Single neuron activity was recorded extracellularly with a tungsten electrode (tip impedance 5–10 MΩ at 1kHz) and data sampling was performed using a CED Micro1401 interface and Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design). The DPSS 473nm laser system, controlled by a stimulator (Master-8, AMPI) was used for intracranial light delivery.
Optical stimulation was performed as follows: 473nm; frequency of 40Hz; 12.5ms pulses over 1s, 10mW.

Firing rate histograms were calculated for the baseline (10s before stimulation), stimulation period and after stimulation period (10s after the end of stimulation). Spike latency was determined by measuring the time between half-peak amplitude for the falling and rising edges of the unfiltered extra-cellular spike.

NAc neurons were classified according to previous descriptions (Jin et al., 2014; Vicente et al., 2016). In short, fast-spiking interneurons – putative parvalbumin-containing neurons (pFSs) – were identified as having a waveform half-width of less that 100μs and a baseline firing rate higher than 10Hz; tonically active putative cholinergic interneurons (pCINs) were identified as those with a waveform half-width bigger than 300μs. Putative MSNs (pMSNs) were identified as those with baseline firing rate lower than 5Hz and that do not met the waveform criteria for pCIN or pFS neurons.

VP GABAergic neurons were identified as those having a baseline firing rate between 0.2 Hz and 18.7 Hz (Richard et al., 2016). Other non-identified neurons (corresponding to less than 5% of recorded cells) were excluded from the analysis.

Single units in the VTA were separated into those putative dopaminergic (pDAergic) and putative GABAergic (pGABAergic). This classification was based on firing rate and waveform duration (Totah et al., 2013; Ungless et al., 2004; Ungless and Grace, 2012).

Cells presenting baseline firing rate lower than 10Hz and a waveform duration higher than 1.5ms were considered pDAergic neurons. Cells presenting baseline firing rate higher than 10Hz and waveform duration lower than 1.5ms were classified as pGABAergic. Other single units that did not fit in any classification (less than 5% of recorded cells) were excluded from the analysis.

**Immunofluorescence (IF)**

Ninety min after initiation of the PR test, rats were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (Eutasil) and were transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 4%
paraformaldehyde. Brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Coronal
vibratome sections (50μm) were incubated with mouse anti-D2R (1:500, CAT#sc-5303,
RRID: AB_668816, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); rabbit anti-c-fos (1:1000, Merck Millipore
CAT#Ab-5, RRID: AB_2314042), goat or mouse anti-GFP (1:500, Abcam CAT#ab6673,
RRID: AB_305643; or Abcam CAT#ab1218, RRID: AB_298911), mouse anti-D1R (1:100,
Novus CAT#NB110-60017, RRID: AB_905382) and goat anti-ChAT (1:750, Millipore
CAT#AB144P, RRID: AB_2079751). Appropriate secondary fluorescent antibodies were
used (1:500, Invitrogen; CAT# A-21206, RRID:AB_141708; CAT# R37119,
RRID:AB_2556547; CAT# A-21202, RRID:AB_141607; CAT# R37114, RRID:AB_2556542;
CAT# A-11055, RRID:AB_142672). Finally, all sections were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-
phenylindole (DAPI; 1mg/ml). Anti-D1R and anti-D2R antibodies were previously validated
(Basu et al., 2004; Luedtke et al., 1999; Luessen et al., 2016) (Fig. 5-1).

For each brain region, counting’s were performed in 5 distinct 50μm sections. Images
were collected and analysed by confocal microscopy (Olympus FluoViewTMFV1000). Cell
counts were normalized to the area of the brain region.

**Drugs**

All drugs were delivered 10 minutes before animals performed the PR test, through an
opto-fluid system chronically implanted in the NAc. Injections were performed using a 5μL
gastight seringe (Hamilton), attached to the implanted injection cannula of the rats through
22-gauge tubing, at a constant rate of 1μL per minute.

The drugs used in experimental procedures were: R(+) SCH-23390 hydrochloride (D1R
antagonist, 0.25μg in 0.5μL of saline, Sigma); (S)-(−)-Sulpiride (D2R antagonist, 0.2μg in
1μL, Sigma); Scopolamine Hydrobromide (mAChR antagonist, 25μg in 1μL, Sigma);
Mecamylamine hydrochloride (nAChR antagonist, 22.5μg in 1μL, Sigma); Dihydro-β-
erythroidine hydrobromide (DHβE, α4- nAChR antagonist, 0.7μg in 1μL, Tocris); CGP-55845
hydrochloride (GABA(B) receptor antagonist, 44ng in 0.5μL, Sigma); 1(S),9(R)-(−)-Bicuculine
methobromide (GABA(A) receptor antagonist, 75ng in 0.5μL, Sigma).
Statistical analysis

Normality tests were performed for all data analysed, as well as outlier analysis using Tukey’s test. Statistical analysis between two groups was made using two-tailed Student’s t-test (unpaired t-test for comparison between two groups; paired t-test for comparison within the same group). One-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used when appropriate. Bonferroni’s post hoc multiple comparisons were used for group differences determination. Statistical results are displayed in Table 1.

Results are presented as mean ± SEM. All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (v7.0) and results were considered significant for p≤0.05.
Results

Optogenetic stimulation of NAc D2-MSNs increases motivation

In order to specifically modulate the activity of nucleus accumbens (NAc) D2R-expressing neurons, we injected in the NAc of rats a construct containing channelrhodopsin (ChR2) in fusion with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) under the control of the D2R minimal promoter (pAAV-D2Rp-hChR2(H134R)-eYFP), or the control eYFP virus (pAAV-D2Rp-eYFP) (Figs. 1A-B; Fig. 1-2) (Soares-Cunha et al., 2016a; Zalocusky et al., 2016). Nearly 60% of NAc D2R-expressing neurons were successfully transfected with ChR2 or eYFP (D2R+/eYFP+ cells; Fig. 1C). In addition, only 1.5% of eYFP+ cells were D1R+; and 2% were ChAT+. Forty % of ChAT+ cells (CINs) were transfected since they express eYFP (Fig. 1-2).

Using single-cell in vivo electrophysiology, we showed that D2-MSN optical stimulation (40Hz, 40 light pulses at 12.5ms) significantly increases NAc firing rate during stimulation in comparison with baseline, and 84% of the cells return to basal activity after stimulation (Fig. 1D-F; F(2,48)=76.7, p<0.000, one-way ANOVA). 68% of recorded cells increased activity, 16% decrease and 24% did not change activity in response to stimulation. Spike latency was ~2ms (Fig. 1G).

After, animals were submitted to PR test (Fig. 1-1) to evaluate their willingness to work for a food reward, a direct measure of individual motivation. During continuous reinforcement (CRF) training, both groups increased lever pressing throughout days in a similar manner (Fig. 1H; F(1,15)=0.43, p=0.522, 2way ANOVA). Likewise, all animals increased lever pressing in the fixed-ratio (FR) schedule days in the active vs non-active lever (Fig. 1I; F(3,30)=126.8, p<0.000, 2way ANOVA).

In agreement with previous findings (Soares-Cunha et al., 2016a), D2-MSN optical stimulation (40 light pulses of 12.5ms at 40Hz) occurring at the same time as the conditioned stimulus (light above the active lever), induced a significant increase in the breakpoint of D2-ChR2 rats in comparison with D2-eYFP stimulated rats (63.6% increase; Fig. 1J; t(15)=7.7, p<0.000, unpaired t-test). All D2-ChR2 rats displayed a significant increase in the breakpoint...
in the session with optical stimulation (ON) in comparison with the session without stimulation (OFF) (Fig. 1K; 2way ANOVA post hoc p<0.000). This increase in motivation was not due to differences in the number of food pellets earned during the PR session (Fig. 1L; t(15)=1.5, p=0.1380, unpaired t-test). Stimulation occurring during the inter-trial interval (ITI) had no effect on motivation (Fig. 1M-N), proving that the positive effect of stimulation in behavior was restricted to particular stages of the test.

**Increase in motivation is dependent on NAc GABA signaling**

MSNs are GABAergic in nature and synapse within each other in the NAc (Dobbs et al., 2016). Besides, local interneurons provide an additional source of GABA that also controls MSNs activity (Fig. 2A) (Tepper et al., 2004).

To further understand the impact of GABAergic neurotransmission in the control of D2-MSN-mediated enhancement of motivation, we used hybrid cannulas, which allow dual delivery of drugs and light in the same region (Fig. 1-1; Fig. 2-1). Immediately before behavioral testing and optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs, we injected in the NAc either a GABA_A receptor antagonist (bicuculline, 75ng) or a GABA_B receptor antagonist (CGP 55845 hydrochloride, 44ng), in dosages that have been shown previously to induce a behavioral effect (Giorgetti et al., 2002; Ikeda et al., 2010; Kandov et al., 2006).

For GABA_A receptor antagonist, we found no significant effect of treatment but there was a group effect, with D2-ChR2 stimulated animals presenting increased breakpoint (Fig. 2B; 2way ANOVA; treatment effect: F(1,13)=0.1, p=0.117; group effect: F(1,13)=118.8, p<0.000). For GABA_B receptor antagonist, there was a significant effect of treatment and group (Fig. 2C; 2way ANOVA; treatment effect: F(1,13)=30.7, p<0.000; group effect: F(1,13)=193, p<0.000).

None of the GABA antagonists alters the breakpoint of control D2-eYFP animals (Fig. 2B-C), though there was a trend for increased number of lever presses with GABA_B receptor antagonist treatment (12% increase; p=0.070, 2way ANOVA post hoc). GABA_A receptor antagonist administration prior to D2-MSN stimulation did not impair the breakpoint.
enhancement (Fig. 2B; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 GABA_A antag, p=0.787, 2way ANOVA post hoc). However, administration of GABA_B receptor antagonist led to an additional increase in the breakpoint of D2-stimulated animals (15.8% increase; Fig. 2C; p<0.000, 2way ANOVA post hoc). No differences were found between groups in the number of pellets earned during the session (Fig. 2-2).

These results suggest that GABA signalling arising from MSNs or local interneurons can modulate motivational drive in a GABA_B-dependent manner.

Increase in motivation is dependent on NAc cholinergic signaling

In addition to GABAergic modulation, MSNs activity is tightly controlled by cholinergic interneurons (CIN) (Fig. 2A), which are able to control dopamine release from VTA terminals in the NAc (Cachope et al., 2012), promoting behavioral conditioning (Witten et al., 2010).

Using a similar approach as above, we injected in the NAc a combination of muscarinic (mAChR) and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) antagonists prior to PR paradigm (scopolamine, 25μg; mecamylamine, 22.5μg, respectively; dosages previously validated (Nadal et al., 2002; Perry et al., 2014; Rahman and McBride, 2002; Yee et al., 2011)). Treatment had a significant effect on behavior (Fig. 2D; F(3,39)=6.3, p=0.001, 2way ANOVA). Blockade of cholinergic signalling significantly abolished the motivation enhancement induced by optogenetic D2-MSN activation (Fig. 2D; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 mAChR+nAChR antag, p<0.000, 2way ANOVA post hoc).

Further studies using either one of the antagonists revealed that this blockage was mediated by nAChR (Fig. 2D; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 nAChR antag, 2way ANOVA post hoc, p<0.000). No differences in the number of pellets earned during the session were found (Fig. 2-2).

In the NAc, MSNs express mAChR (M1 and M4) (Yan et al., 2001) but not nAChR (Jones et al., 2001; Jones and Wonnacott, 2004). The later receptors are mainly expressed in VTA dopaminergic terminals (Hill et al., 1993) and some GABAergic interneurons (Koós and Tepper, 1999) (Fig. 2A). Tonic striatal acetylcholine is able to promote dopamine
release through beta2-subunit-containing (β2*)-nAChR receptors in VTA terminals (Rice and Cragg, 2004). Using different KO strains, Champtiaux and colleagues proposed that a combination of α6β2* and α4β2* nAChRs mediate the endogenous cholinergic modulation of dopamine release at the terminal level (Champtiaux et al., 2003). Considering this, we injected DHβE (0.7 μg; dosage validated (Löf et al., 2007)), an antagonist of α4 subunit of nAChR, in the NAc before performing the PR test. By blocking α4 receptors, we are abolishing at least 50% of dopamine release in the NAc (Champtiaux et al., 2003).

Treatment using α4 antagonist had a significant effect on behavioral performance (Fig. 2E; F(1,13)=43.0, p<0.000, 2way ANOVA). No effect in the breakpoint of control animals was found, yet, this treatment abolished the enhancement of breakpoint induced by D2-MSN stimulation (20.8% decrease; p<0.000, 2way ANOVA post hoc). No effect on the number of pellets earned during the session was found (Fig. 2-2).

These results suggest that cholinergic activation of VTA terminals is required for the observed behavioural effect of D2-MSN stimulation.

Enhancement of motivation by D2-MSN activation requires dopamine signaling through D1R and D2R

Activating α6β2* and/or α4β2* nAChRs in VTA terminals greatly enhances dopamine release in the NAc (Cachope et al., 2012; Wonnacott et al., 2000), and our previous results suggested that cholinergic modulation of VTA terminals was necessary for the observed motivation enhancement induced by D2-MSN optogenetic activation. Thus, we next tried to clarify the role of NAc dopamine receptors D1R and D2R in this process. To do so, we injected in the NAc before performance of PR test with optogenetic stimulation of D2-MSNs, R(+)-SCH-23390 hydrochloride (0.5 μg; D1R antagonist) or sulpiride (0.2 μg ; D2R antagonist) in doses that were previously shown to have a behavioural effect (Vezina et al., 1994).

Both D1R and D2R antagonist treatment had a significant effect (Fig. 2F-G; 2way ANOVA; D1R antag: F(1,13)=65.7, p<0.000; D2R antag: F(1,13)=56.8, p<0.000).
Interestingly, both antagonists caused a reduction in the breakpoint of control D2-eYFP animals (D1R antagonist: 25.1% decrease, $p=0.047$, 2way ANOVA post hoc; D2R antagonist: 26.2% decrease, $p=0.013$, 2way ANOVA post hoc).

Additionally, pharmacological inhibition of either D1R or D2R abolished the increase in motivation induced by D2-MSN optogenetic activation (D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 D1R antag: $p<0.000$, 2way ANOVA post hoc; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 D2R antag: $p<0.000$, 2way ANOVA post hoc). A reduction in the number of pellets consumed in D1R-treated D2-eYFP rats was found (Fig. 2-2, $p=0.0164$, 2way ANOVA post hoc). No significant differences in the number of pellets consumed were found in other groups.

These results suggest that the motivation improvement is dependent on both types of dopamine receptor signalling in the NAc.

Optogenetic stimulation of NAc D2-MSNs recruits the VP and the VTA

The preceding results suggested a dopamine-dependent effect of D2-MSN optogenetic activation in motivation (summarized in Fig. 2H). D2-MSNs do not directly project to VTA, but indirectly modulate VTA dopaminergic activity through the ventral pallidum (VP) (Floresco et al., 2003; Grace et al., 2007; Hjelmstad et al., 2013; Kupchik et al., 2015; Wu et al., 1996). So, we next examined the pattern of expression of c-fos, an immediate early gene used as a marker of neuronal recruitment, after the PR test in the NAc and connected regions.

Stimulated D2-ChR2 rats showed a significant increase in c-fos staining in NAc D2R-expressing neurons, when compared with stimulated control D2-eYFP rats (Fig. 3A-B; Fig. 3-1; $t(13)=12.0$, $p<0.000$, unpaired $t$-test), and when compared with the non-stimulated side ($t(7)=7.4$, $p=0.0002$, paired $t$-test). This increase in c-fos expression was also observed in NAc D1R-expressing neurons when comparing D2-ChR2 with D2-eYFP rats (Figs. 3A, 3C; $t(13)=3.7$, $p=0.0028$, unpaired $t$-test), and with the contralateral non-stimulated side ($t(7)=5.3$, $p=0.0011$, paired $t$-test).
ChAT-expressing neurons also presented increased c-fos expression when comparing D2-ChR2 with D2-eYFP rats (Figs. 3A, 3D; t(13)=5.7, p<0.000, unpaired *t*-test), or comparing with contralateral non-stimulated side (t(7)=4.0, p=0.0053, paired *t*-test).

In addition, we evaluated the number of c-fos+ cells in accumbal downstream regions: the VTA, which is innervated solely by NAc D1-MSNs (Bocklisch et al., 2013); the VP, which is directly innervated by NAc D1- and D2-MSNs (Creed et al., 2016); and the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) as a control region, since it is mainly innervated by dorsal striatum MSNs (Gerfen, 1984).

A significant increase in VTA c-fos+ cells was observed in D2-ChR2 rats in comparison to D2-eYFP stimulated rats (Figs. 3E-F; t(13)=5.3, p<0.000, unpaired *t*-test), or when comparing with contralateral side (t(7)=4.6, p=0.0024, paired *t*-test); from these, around 30% were dopaminergic neurons (t(13)=7.1, p<0.000, unpaired *t*-test). A similar increase in c-fos was observed in the VP of D2-ChR2 in comparison with D2-eYFP rats (Fig. 3H-I; t(13)=2.3, p=0.039, unpaired *t*-test). However, no significant difference in c-fos was found between stimulated and contralateral VP in D2-ChR2 rats (t(7)=1.2, p=0.258, paired *t*-test). D2-MSN accumbal stimulation did not alter c-fos expression in the SN (Fig. 3G).

Optogenetic activation of NAc-VP terminals recapitulates motivation enhancement

Next, we analyzed the activity of the VP and VTA during D2-MSN optogenetic stimulation using *in vivo* single cell electrophysiology (Fig. 4A). Concordant with a GABAergic input, NAc D2-MSN stimulation elicited an overall reduction in the firing rate of the VP (Fig. 4B; F(2,87)=10.6, p<0.000, one-way ANOVA), with an average spike latency of 5.7ms (Fig. 4-1A), consistent with the expected monosynaptic input from the NAc to VP. More than 90% of recorded neurons in the VP decreased their activity during stimulation, which normalized thereafter (Fig. 4C-D).

Conversely, in the VTA, we found a significant increase in global firing rate of putative VTA dopaminergic neurons (pDAergic) (Fig. 4E; F(2,56)=17.6, p<0.000, one-way ANOVA), with an average spike latency of 170ms (Fig. 4-1A), indicative of polysynaptic modulation.
Of these pDAergic neurons, 82.8% increased activity during stimulation (Fig. 4F-G). No significant differences were observed in the activity of putative GABAergic VTA neurons, though there was a trend for decreased activity during D2-MSN stimulation (Fig. 4E-G).

The previous data suggested an indirect modulation of VTA activity through the VP, so we decided to optogenetically stimulate D2-MSN terminals in the VP during the PR test (Fig. 4H-K). Regarding training, both groups learned in a similar manner (CRF: Fig. 4-1B; F(1,72)=0.0, p=0.856, 2way ANOVA) (FR: Fig. 4-1C; F(3,24)=180.4, p<0.000, 2way ANOVA).

Optical stimulation (40 light pulses of 12.5ms at 40Hz) of D2-MSN-VP terminals elicited a significant increase in the breakpoint of ChR2 stimulated rats in comparison with control stimulated rats (40% increase; Fig. 4I; t(11)=10.7, p<0.000, unpaired t-test). All D2-ChR2 NAc-VP rats displayed a significant increase in breakpoint in the session with optical stimulation (ON) in comparison with the OFF session (Fig. 4J; t(6)=10.2, p<0.000, paired t-test). No differences in the number of food pellets earned during the PR session was found (Fig. 4K; t(12)=1.7, p=0.112, unpaired t-test).

Discussion

Local microcircuits in combination with excitatory and inhibitory inputs from upstream regions play an important role in striatal function. Here, we show that activation of D2-MSNs during cue exposure increases willingness to work in the PR test, and that a concerted action of different neurotransmitter systems in the striatum is required for this behavioral effect (Fig. 5).

We first evaluated the impact of GABAergic transmission since GABAergic MSNs highly synapse within each other in the NAc (Dobbs et al., 2016; Sesack and Pickel, 1990), providing a weak lateral inhibitory network (feedback inhibition) (Tepper et al., 2008). This MSN-MSN reciprocal regulation mainly occurs in a GABA\(_A\) receptor mediated manner (Tunstall et al., 2002). Our results suggest that the D2-MSN-driven enhancement in motivation is not dependent on GABAergic signalling, since neither GABA\(_A\) nor GABA\(_B\)
antagonists normalized the phenotype. However, we do observe an additional increase in the breakpoint of both control and D2-MSN stimulated animals upon GABA<sub>B</sub> antagonist administration in the NAc. Such finding is likely to rely on enhanced corticostriatal glutamatergic release upon the blockade of presynaptic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. In fact, MSNs express GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors, application of exogenous GABA<sub>B</sub> agonists does not lead to any MSN electrophysiological effect (Logie et al., 2013), though it significantly supresses glutamatergic inputs onto MSNs via a pre-synaptic mechanism (Logie et al., 2013; Nisenbaum et al., 1993). Apart from classic studies showing that NAc cue-evoked firing is abolished by VTA inactivation (Yun et al., 2004), there is also evidence that cue-evoked excitations of NAc core neurons depend on mPFC glutamatergic projections, and contribute to the behavioral response to reward-predictive cues (Ishikawa et al., 2008).

Yet, it is important to refer that although sparse, GABAergic interneurons (which do not express D2R) (Tritsch and Sabatini, 2012) display highly branched dendritic and extensive axonal arborisations (English et al., 2012; Ibáñez-Sandoval et al., 2011; Kawaguchi, 1997) and are capable of exerting a powerful control over striatal excitability (feed-forward inhibition) (Tepper et al., 2008, 2004). They also express GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (Logie et al., 2013), so the blockage of this specific feed-forward inhibition might also contribute for the observed increase in motivational drive.

In addition to local GABA control, the striatum also contains CINs, which have both excitatory and inhibitory effects in striatal MSNs (Pakhotin and Bracci, 2007; Sullivan and Brake, 2003; Witten et al., 2010). In primates, CINs exhibit multiphasic responses to motivationally salient stimuli that mirror those of midbrain dopamine neurons, being important for reward-related learning (Cachope et al., 2012; Joshua et al., 2008; Kitabatake et al., 2003; Witten et al., 2010). Since 80% of CINs express D2R (Alcantara et al., 2003), one can argue that our optogenetic stimulation protocol directly activates these interneurons, enhancing acetylcholine release in the striatum. In line with this, we found an increase in ChAT⁺/c-fos⁺ neurons in stimulated animals.
In vivo selective activation of CINs is sufficient to elicit dopamine release directly in the NAc and independently of the soma, by activation of nAChRs in VTA terminals (Cachope et al., 2012; Threlfell et al., 2012). It has been suggested that these nAChR act as dynamic detectors of acetylcholine concentrations, enhancing the contrast between tonic and burst dopaminergic firing (Brunzell et al., 2009). In an elegant study using different KO strains, Champtiaux and colleagues proposed that a combination of α6β2* and α4β2* nAChRs mediate endogenous cholinergic modulation of dopamine release at the VTA terminal level (Champtiaux et al., 2003). Here, we show that α4 antagonist, DHβE, blocks D2-MSN-dependent increase in motivation, suggesting that acetylcholine-mediated dopamine release from VTA terminals is crucial for the observed behavioural effect. It is important to refer that besides CINs, the NAc may also receive cholinergic inputs from the laterodorsal tegmentum (Dautan et al., 2014), though the function of these projections remains completely unknown.

In the NAc, α4 nAChRs subunits are expressed mainly in VTA dopaminergic terminals, but also in some GABAergic fast spiking interneurons (FSI). So, the observed dampening of motivation with α4 antagonist could also depend on these interneurons. However, our data does not support this because GABA receptor antagonists did not abolish the optogenetic-induced behavioural effect.

In addition to local cholinergic control, our data suggests an indirect effect in VTA dopaminergic activity through the VP. First, c-fos analysis revealed increased recruitment of both VP and VTA regions. VP data is somehow surprising considering the GABAergic nature of accumbal-VP monosynaptic projections (Kupchik et al., 2015; Root et al., 2010). Though most studies associate c-fos expression with increased neuronal activity, at least one study has shown that activating striatal MSNs increases c-fos in the VP (Page and Everitt, 1993). Yet, rather than directly associate D2-MSN activation with this increase in c-fos in the VP, we just aim to illustrate that the VP is being differently recruited in stimulated animals. In fact, animals were sacrificed 90 min after the beginning of the PR test, so c-fos reactivity is a sum of all neuronal events that occur during the test, and do not reflect only the optogenetic activation period.
D2-MSN stimulation decreased VP firing rate, and indirectly increased VTA dopaminergic activity, with less effects in GABAergic VTA neurons, consistent with the preferential innervation of VTA dopaminergic neurons by VP inputs (Mahler et al., 2014). So, our hypothesis is that D2-MSNs reduce the tonic VP-VTA inhibitory input, contributing for enhanced dopaminergic activity, which is known to boost motivational drive (Cagniard et al., 2006; Peciña et al., 2003). In fact, it was shown that inhibition of NAc afferents to the VP or direct infusion of GABAergic agonists into the VP, selectively increased the population activity of dopamine neurons, rising NAc dopamine efflux (Floresco et al., 2003). In line with this, we showed that optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs terminals in the VP was sufficient to increase motivation. These findings are in agreement with the emerging notion that the VP is crucial for reward and motivation towards natural rewards and drugs of abuse. In fact, different subregions of the VP mediate different aspects of rewarded behavior, from motivation/incentive salience to reward prediction and consumption (Root et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2009). Yet, it is important to refer that VP is not only a relay area for indirect NAc inputs, since VP neuron responses can occur at a shorter latency than cue-elicited responses in NAc neurons (Richard et al., 2016), and that VP firing rate reflects the strength of incentive motivation (Ahrens et al., 2016).

The increased dopaminergic signals arising from the VTA act mainly (not exclusively since some interneurons also express dopamine receptors) on MSNs either by activating D1R or D2R. Local administration of either D1R or D2R antagonists decreases motivation in control animals, and also abolished D2-MSN-induced positive effects in motivation, indicating a synergistic effect of both MSNs populations. In this perspective, it is important to refer that blockade of D2R would be expected to enhance activity of D2-MSNs since D2Rs are coupled to inhibitory G-proteins (Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011). Yet, one has to bear in mind that D2R antagonists can also act in D2 auto-receptors in VTA terminals, disinhibiting presynaptic control of dopamine release (Anzalone et al., 2012).

Interestingly, D2-MSN optogenetic activation during cue exposure also indirectly recruited D1-MSNs, as assessed by an increase in the number of D1+/c-fos+ cells in the NAc.
upon stimulation. Considering the proposed role for D1R-expressing neurons in reinforcement (Kravitz et al., 2012; Lobo et al., 2010), this activation probably also contributes for the behavioral output.

In summary, we show that NAc D2-MSN optogenetic activation enhances motivation through enhanced VTA-driven dopaminergic signalling. The behavioural effect was dependent on both D1R and D2R signalling in the NAc, suggesting that a coordinated action between these two striatal populations is needed to increase motivational levels.

References

Ahrens AM, Meyer PJ, Ferguson LM, Robinson TE, Aldridge JW (2016) Neural Activity in the Ventral Pallidum Encodes Variation in the Incentive Value of a Reward Cue. J Neurosci 36:7957–7970.

Alcantara AA, Chen V, Herring BE, Mendenhall JM, Berlanga ML (2003) Localization of dopamine D2 receptors on cholinergic interneurons of the dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens of the rat. Brain Res 986:22–29.

Anzalone A, Lizardi-Ortiz JE, Ramos M, De Mei C, Hopf FW, Iaccarino C, Halbout B, Jacobsen J, Kinoshita C, Welter M, Caron MG, Bonci A, Sulzer D, Borrelli E (2012) Dual Control of Dopamine Synthesis and Release by Presynaptic and Postsynaptic Dopamine D2 Receptors. J Neurosci 32:9023–9034.

Bailey MR, Simpson EH, Balsam PD (2016) Neural substrates underlying effort, time, and risk-based decision making in motivated behavior. Neurobiol Learn Mem 133:233–256.

Basu S, Sarkar C, Chakraborty D, Nagy J, Mitra RB, Dasgupta PS, Mukhopadhyay D (2004) Ablation of Peripheral Dopaminergic Nerves Stimulates Malignant Tumor Growth by Inducing Vascular Permeability Factor/Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-Mediated Angiogenesis. Cancer Res 64:5551–5555.

Beaulieu J-M, Gainetdinov RR (2011) The physiology, signaling, and pharmacology of dopamine receptors. Pharmacol Rev 63:182–217.

Bocklisch C, Pascoli V, Wong JCY, House DRC, Yvon C, de Roo M, Tan KR, Lüscher C (2013) Cocaine disinhibits dopamine neurons by potentiation of GABA transmission in the ventral tegmental area. Science 341:1521–1525.

Brunzell DH, Boschen KE, Hendrick ES, Beardsley PM, McIntosh JM (2009) α-Conotoxin MII-Sensitive Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors in the Nucleus Accumbens Shell Regulate Progressive Ratio Responding Maintained by Nicotine. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:665–673.

Cachope R, Mateo Y, Mathur BN, Irving J, Wang H-L, Morales M, Lovering DM, Cheer JF (2012) Selective Activation of Cholinergic Interneurons Enhances Accumbal Phasic Dopamine Release: Setting the Tone for Reward Processing. Cell Rep 2:33–41.

Cagniard B, Balsam PD, Brunner D, Zhuang X (2006) Mice with chronically elevated dopamine exhibit enhanced motivation, but not learning, for a food reward. Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol 31:1362–1370.

Champtiaux N, Gott C, Cordero-Erausquin M, David DJ, Przybylski C, Léna C, Clementi F, Moretti M, Rossi FM, Le Novère N, McIntosh JM, Gardier AM, Changeux J-P (2003) Subunit composition of functional nicotinic receptors in dopaminergic neurons investigated with knock-out mice. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 23:7820–7829.
Creed M, Ntamati NR, Chandra R, Lobo MK, Lüscher C (2016) Convergence of Reinforcing and Anhedonic Cocaine Effects in the Ventral Pallidum. Neuron 92:214–226.

Dautan D, Huerta-Ocampo I, Witten IB, Deisseroth K, Bolam JP, Gerdjikov T, Mena-Segovia J (2014) A major external source of cholinergic innervation of the striatum and nucleus accumbens originates in the brainstem. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 34:4509–4518.

Dobbs LK, Kaplan AR, Lemos JC, Matsui A, Rubinstein M, Alvarez VA (2016) Dopamine Regulation of Lateral Inhibition between Striatal Neurons Gates the Stimulant Actions of Cocaine. Neuron 90:1100–1113.

English DF, Ibanez-Sandoval O, Stark E, Tcucuapetla F, Buzsáki G, Deisseroth K, Tepper JM, Koos T (2012) GABAergic circuits mediate the reinforcement-related signals of striatal cholinergic interneurons. Nat Neurosci 15:123–130.

Floresco SB, West AR, Ash B, Moore H, Grace AA (2003) Afferent modulation of dopamine neuron firing differentially regulates tonic and phasic dopamine transmission. Nat Neurosci 6:968–973.

Gerfen CR (1984) The neostriatal mosaic: compartmentalization of corticostriatal input and striatonigral output systems. Nature 311:461–464.

Giorgetti M, Hotsenpiller G, Froestl W, Wolf ME (2002) In vivo modulation of ventral tegmental area dopamine and glutamate efflux by local GABAB receptors is altered after repeated amphetamine treatment. Neuroscience 109:585–595.

Grace AA, Floresco SB, Goto Y, Lodge DJ (2007) Regulation of firing of dopaminergic neurons and control of goal-directed behaviors. Trends Neurosci 30:220–227.

Haber SN (2003) The primate basal ganglia: parallel and integrative networks. J Chem Neuroanat 26:317–330.

Hill JA, Zoli M, Bourgeois JP, Changeux JP (1993) Immunocytochemical localization of a neuronal nicotinic receptor: the beta 2-subunit. J Neurosci 13:1551–1568.

Hjelmstad GO, Xia Y, Margolis EB, Fields HL (2013) Opioid Modulation of Ventral Pallidal Afferents to Ventral Tegmental Area Neurons. J Neurosci 33:6454–6459.

Hyman SE, Malenka RC, Nestler EJ (2006) NEURAL MECHANISMS OF ADDICTION: The Role of Reward-Related Learning and Memory. Annu Rev Neurosci 29:565–598.

Ibáñez-Sandoval O, Tcucuapetla F, Unal B, Shah F, Koós T, Tepper JM (2011) A novel functionally distinct subtype of striatal neuropeptide Y interneuron. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 31:16757–16769.

Ibáñez-Sandoval O, Tcucuapetla F, Unal B, Shah F, Koós T, Tepper JM (2010) Electrophysiological and morphological characteristics and synaptic connectivity of tyrosine hydroxylase-expressing neurons in adult mouse striatum. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 30:6999–7016.

Ibáñez-Sandoval O, Xenias HS, Tepper JM, Koós T (2015) Dopaminergic and cholinergic modulation of striatal tyrosine hydroxylase interneurons. Neuropharmacology 95:468–476.

Ikeda H, Kotani A, Koshikawa N, Cools AR (2010) Differential role of GABAA and GABAB receptors in two distinct output stations of the rat striatum: studies on the substantia nigra pars reticulata and the globus pallidus. Neuroscience 167:31–39.

Ishikawa A, Ambrogetti F, Nicola SM, Fields HL (2008) Dorsomedial Prefrontal Cortex Contribution to Behavioral and Nucleus Accumbens Neuronal Responses to Incentive Cues. J Neurosci 28:5088–5098.

Jin X, Tcucuapetla F, Costa RM (2014) Basal ganglia subcircuits distinctively encode the parsing and concatenation of action sequences. Nat Neurosci 17:423–430.

Jones IW, Bolam JP, Wonnacott S (2001) Presynaptic localisation of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor β2 subunit immunoreactivity in rat nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurones. J Comp Neurol 439:235–247.

Jones IW, Wonnacott S (2004) Precise Localization of a7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors on Glutamatergic Axon Terminals in the Rat Ventral Tegmental Area. J Neurosci 24:11244–11252.

Joshua M, Adler A, Mitelman R, Vaadia E, Bergman H (2008) Midbrain dopaminergic neurons and striatal cholinergic interneurons encode the difference between reward and aversive events at different epochs of probabilistic classical conditioning trials. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 28:11673–11684.

Kandov Y, Israel Y, Kest A, Dostova I, Verasammy J, Bernal SY, Kasselman L, Bodnar RJ (2006) GABA receptor subtype antagonists in the nucleus accumbens shell and ventral tegmental area differentially alter feeding responses induced by deprivation, glucoprivation and lipoprivation in rats. Brain Res 1082:86–97.

Kawaguchi Y (1997) Neostriatal cell subtypes and their functional roles. Neurosci Res 27:1–8.
Kelley AE, Berridge KC (2002) The Neuroscience of Natural Rewards: Relevance to Addictive Drugs. J Neurosci 22:3306–3311.

Kitabatake Y, Hikida T, Watanabe D, Pastan I, Nakanishi S (2003) Impairment of reward-related learning by cholinergic cell ablation in the striatum. Proc Natl Acad Sci 100:7965–7970.

Koós T, Tepper JM (1999) Inhibitory control of neostriatal projection neurons by GABAergic interneurons. Nat Neurosci 2:467–472.

Kravitz AV, Tye LD, Kreitzer AC (2012) Distinct roles for direct and indirect pathway striatal neurons in reinforcement. Nat Neurosci 15:816–818.

Kupchik YM, Brown RM, Heinsbroek JA, Lobo MK, Schwartz DJ, Kalivas PW (2015) Coding the direct/indirect pathways by D1 and D2 receptors is not valid for accumbens projections. Nat Neurosci advance online publication.

Lim SAO, Kang UJ, McGehee DS (2014) Striatal cholinergic interneuron regulation and circuit effects. Front Synaptic Neurosci 6.

Lobo MK, Covington HE, Chaudhury D, Friedman AK, Sun H, Damez-Werno D, Dietz DM, Zaman S, Koo JW, Kennedy PJ, Mouzon E, Mogri M, Neve RL, Deisseroth K, Han M-H, Nestler EJ (2010) Cell Type–Specific Loss of BDNF Signaling Mimics Optogenetic Control of Cocaine Reward. Science 330:385–390.

Löf E, Olausson P, deBeijczy A, Stomberg R, McIntosh JM, Taylor JR, Söderpalm B (2007) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the ventral tegmental area mediate the dopamine activating and reinforcing properties of ethanol cues. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 195:333–343.

Logie C, Bagetta V, Bracci E (2013) Presynaptic Control of Corticostriatal Synapses by Endogenous GABA. J Neurosci 33:15425–15431.

Lu XY, Ghasemzadeh MB, Kalivas PW (1998) Expression of D1 receptor, D2 receptor, substance P and enkephalin messenger RNAs in the neurons projecting from the nucleus accumbens. Neuroscience 82:767–780.

Luedtke RR, Griffin SA, Conroy SS, Jin X, Pinto A, Sesack SR (1999) Immunoblot and immunohistochemical analysis of monoamine neuronal tyrosine hydroxylase specific for the rat D1a and D1b dopamine receptor subtypes. J Neuroimmunol 101:170–187.

Luessen DJ, Hinshaw TP, Sun H, Howlett AC, Marrs G, McCool BA, Chen R (2016) RGS2 modulates the activity and internalization of dopamine D2 receptors in neuroblastoma N2A cells. Neuropharmacology 110:297–307.

Mahler SV, Vazey EM, Beckley JT, Keister CR, McGlinchey EM, Kaufling J, Wilson SP, Deisseroth K, Woodward JJ, Aston-Jones G (2014) Designer receptors show role for ventral pallidum input to ventral tegmental area in cocaine seeking. Nat Neurosci 17:577–585.

Nadal R, Armario A, Janak P (2002) Positive relationship between activity in a novel environment and operant ethanol self-administration in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 162:333–338.

Natsubori A, Tsutsui-Kimura I, Nishida H, Bouchehioua Y, Sekiya H, Uchigashima M, Watanabe M, de Kerchove d’Exaerde A, Mimura M, Takata N, Tanaka KF (2017) Ventrolateral Striatal Medium Spiny Neurons Positively Regulate Food-Incentive, Goal-Directed Behavior Independently of D1 and D2 Selectivity. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 37:2723–2733.

Nicklas W, Baneux P, Boot R, Decelle T, Deeny AA, Fumanelli M, Illgen-Wilcke B, FELASA (2010) Cell Type–Specific Loss of BDNF Signaling Mimics Optogenetic Control of Cocaine Reward. Science 330:385–390.

Nisenbaum ES, Berger TW, Grace AA (1993) Depression of glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic responses in striatal spiny neurons by stimulation of presynaptic GABAB receptors. Synap N Y N 14:221–242.

Page KJ, Everitt BJ (1993) Transsynaptic induction of c-fos in basal forebrain, diencephalic and midbrain neurons following AMPA-induced activation of the dorsal and ventral striatum. Exp Brain Res 93:399–411.

Pakhotin P, Bracci E (2007) Cholinergic interneurons control the excitatory input to the striatum. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 27:391–400.

Paxinos G, Watson C (2005) The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Elsevier Academic Press.

Peciña S, Cagniard B, Berridge KC, Aldridge JW, Zhuang X (2003) Hyperdopaminergic mutant mice have higher “wanting” but not “liking” for sweet rewards. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci 23:9395–9402.

Perry ML, Pratt WE, Baldo BA (2014) Overlapping striatal sites mediate scopolamine-induced feeding suppression and mu-opioid-mediated hyperphagia in the rat. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 231:919–928.
Rahman S, McBride WJ (2002) Involvement of GABA and cholinergic receptors in the nucleus accumbens on feedback control of somatodendritic dopamine release in the ventral tegmental area. J Neurochem 80:646–654.

Rice ME, Cragg SJ (2004) Nicotine amplifies reward-related dopamine signals in striatum. Nat Neurosci 7:583–584.

Richard JM, Ambroggi F, Janak PH, Fields HL (2016) Ventral Pallidum Neurons Encode Incentive Value and Promote Cue-Elicted Instrumental Actions. Neuron 90:1165–1173.

Root DH, Fabbricatore AT, Ma S, Barker DJ, West MO (2010) Rapid phasic activity of ventral pallidal neurons during cocaine self-administration. Synap N Y N 64:704–713.

Root DH, Melendez RI, Zaborszky L, Napier TC (2015) The ventral pallidum: Subregion-specific functional anatomy and roles in motivated behaviors. Prog Neurobiol 130:29–70.

Sesack SR, Pickel VM (1990) In the rat medial nucleus accumbens, hippocampal and catecholaminergic terminals converge on spiny neurons and are in apposition to each other. Brain Res 527:266–279.

Smith KS, Tindell AJ, Aldridge JW, Berridge KC (2009) Ventral pallidum roles in reward and motivation. Behav Brain Res 196:155–167.

Soares-Cunha C, Coimbra B, David-Pereira A, Borges S, Pinto L, Costa P, Sousa N, Rodrigues AJ (2016a) Activation of D2 dopamine receptor-expressing neurons in the nucleus accumbens increases motivation. Nat Commun 7:11829.

Soares-Cunha C, Coimbra B, Sousa N, Rodrigues AJ (2016b) Reappraising striatal D1- and D2-neurons in reward and aversion. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 68:370–386.

Sullivan RM, Brake WG (2003) What the rodent prefrontal cortex can teach us about attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the critical role of early developmental events on prefrontal function. Behav Brain Res 146:43–55.

Tepper JM, Bolam JP (2004) Functional diversity and specificity of neostriatal interneurons. Curr Opin Neurobiol 14:685–692.

Tepper JM, Koo's T, Wilson CJ (2004) GABAergic microcircuits in the neostriatum. Trends Neurosci 27:662–669.

Tepper JM, Wilson CJ, Koo's T (2008) Feedforward and feedback inhibition in neostriatal GABAergic spiny neurons. Brain Res Rev 58:272–281.

Threlfell S, Lalíc T, Platt NJ, Jennings KA, Deisseroth K, Cragg SJ (2012) Striatal dopamine release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. Neuron 75:58–64.

Totah NKB, Kim Y, Moghaddam B (2013) Distinct prestimulus and poststimulus activation of VTA neurons correlates with stimulus detection. J Neurophysiol 110:75–85.

Tritsch NX, Sabatini BL (2012) Dopaminergic modulation of synaptic transmission in cortex and striatum. Neuron 76:33–50.

Tunstall MJ, Oorschot DE, Kean A, Wickens JR (2002) Inhibitory interactions between spiny projection neurons in the rat striatum. J Neurophysiol 88:1263–1269.

Ungless MA, Grace AA (2012) Are you or aren't you? Challenges associated with physiologically identifying dopamine neurons. Trends Neurosci 35:422–430.

Ungless MA, Magill PJ, Bolam JP (2004) Uniform inhibition of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area by aversive stimuli. Science 303:1681–1684.

Vezina P, Blanc G, Glowinski J, Tassin JP (1994) Blockade of D-1 dopamine receptors in the medial prefrontal cortex produces delayed effects on pre- and postsynaptic indices of dopamine function in the nucleus accumbens. Synap N Y N 16:104–112.

Vicente AM, Galvão-Ferreira P, Tecuapetla F, Costa RM (2016) Direct and indirect dorsolateral striatum pathways reinforce different action strategies. Curr Biol CB 26:R267-269.

Wise RA (2004) Dopamine, learning and motivation. Nat Rev Neurosci 5:483–494.

Wise RA (1998) Drug-activation of brain reward pathways. Drug Alcohol Depend 51:13–22.

Witten IB, Lin S-C, Brodsky M, Prakash R, Diester I, Anikeeva P, Gradinaru V, Ramakrishnan C, Deisseroth K (2010) Cholinergic interneurons control local circuit activity and cocaine conditioning. Science 330:1677–1681.

Wonnacott S, Kaiser S, Mogg A, Soliakov L, Jones IW (2000) Presynaptic nicotinic receptors mediating dopamine release in the rat striatum. Eur J Pharmacol 393:51–58.

Wu M, Hrycyszyn AW, Brudzynski SM (1996) Subpallidal outputs to the nucleus accumbens and the ventral tegmental area: anatomical and electrophysiological studies. Brain Res 740:151–161.

Yan Z, Flores-Hernandez J, Surmeier DJ (2001) Coordinated expression of muscarinic receptor messenger RNAs in striatal medium spiny neurons. Neuroscience 103:1017–1024.
Yee J, Famous KR, Hopkins TJ, McMullen MC, Pierce RC, Schmidt HD (2011) Muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens core and shell contribute to cocaine priming-induced reinstatement of drug seeking. Eur J Pharmacol 650:596–604.

Yun IA, Wakabayashi KT, Fields HL, Nicola SM (2004) The Ventral Tegmental Area Is Required for the Behavioral and Nucleus Accumbens Neuronal Firing Responses to Incentive Cues. J Neurosci 24:2923–2933.

Zalocusky KA, Ramakrishnan C, Lerner TN, Davidson TJ, Knutson B, Deisseroth K (2016) Nucleus accumbens D2R cells signal prior outcomes and control risky decision-making. Nature 531:642–646.

Zhou L, Furuta T, Kaneko T (2003) Chemical organization of projection neurons in the rat accumbens nucleus and olfactory tubercle. Neuroscience 120:783–798.
Fig. 1. Optical stimulation of NAc D2-MSNs increases motivation. A, AAV5-D2-ChR2(H134R)-eYFP (D2-ChR2 group) or AAV5-D2-eYFP (D2-eYFP group) was unilaterally injected in the NAc of Wistar han rats. An hybrid cannula was placed in the NAc to allow simultaneous delivery of fluids and optical stimulation. B, Expression of eYFP was confirmed by YFP immunostaining; scale bar=500μm; numbers represent distance to bregma in mm. C, Representative immunostaining for D2R and eYFP in the NAc of an animal injected with AAV5-D2-ChR2(H134R)-eYFP; scale bar=100μm, inset scale bar=50 μm. More than 50% of D2-MSNs were transfected (n=6 animals/group). D, Upon D2-MSN optical stimulation (12.5ms light pulses at 40Hz, during 1s), 60% of cells increased activity, 16% decreased and 24% did not respond in comparison with baseline (n=25 cells from 4 rats). E, Time histogram of NAc electrophysiological single units in response to optical stimulus (average of 25 cells; blue stripe corresponds to laser stimulation); E', example of a ChR2 neuron that responds to each pulse of stimulation; right: example of a representative MSN waveform. F, Increase in NAc average firing rate during optogenetic stimulation of D2-MSNs. G, Spike latency in response to D2-MSN optical stimulation. H, Continuous reinforcement (CRF) training sessions of the PR test. I, Fixed ratio (FR) training sessions of the PR test. J, Optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs during cue exposure strongly enhanced breakpoint. K, All animals increase breakpoint in the session with D2-MSN stimulation (ON) versus OFF session. L, Number of pellets consumed in the PR session with stimulation was similar between groups. nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10. Error bars denote SEM. ***p<0.001. See extended data – Fig. 1-1, 1-2.

Fig. 2. Effects of different antagonists in motivation. A, Simplified schematic representation of NAc microcircuit. Left: The NAc receives cortical (PFC) glutamatergic inputs and VTA dopaminergic inputs. NAc D1- and D2-MSNs send GABAergic projections to ventral pallidum (VP), which in turn projects back to the NAc (not represented) and to VTA (amongst other regions). Besides MSNs, the NAc contains cholinergic interneurons (CIN) and GABAergic interneurons of different natures, including fast spiking interneurons (FSI), which tightly regulate striatal activity. Right: expression of different neurotransmitter receptors in striatal neurons and terminals. Of relevance to mention that CINs also express dopamine receptor D2R, and can stimulate dopamine release from VTA terminals mainly in...
a α4β2*νAChR or α6β2*νAchR-dependent manner. Activation of D2R autoreceptors located in VTA terminals also controls dopamine release. iGluR: ionotropic glutamate receptors; mGluR: metabotropic glutamate receptors; νAChR: nicotinic (ionotropic) cholinergic receptors; M1/M4: muscarinic (metabotropic) cholinergic receptors. B-G, Effects of different receptor antagonists in behavior. Rats were injected in the NAc with a specific antagonist immediately before the PR test with D2-MSN optogenetic activation. B, GABA_A receptor antagonist did not alter breakpoint of control D2-eYFP animals, nor of D2-ChR2-stimulated animals. C, GABA_B receptor antagonist did not alter breakpoint of control animals, but it further increased the breakpoint of D2-ChR2 stimulated animals. D, Injection of mAChR + nAChR antagonist combination abolished the increased breakpoint of D2-ChR2 stimulated animals. This effect is mediated mainly by nAChR since mecamylamine per se normalized breakpoint. E, Local administration of α4-νAChR antagonist blocked the effect of D2-MSN optogenetic activation. F, D1R antagonist decreases the breakpoint of control D2-eYFP animals. In addition, the breakpoint enhancement induced by optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs was completely abolished by this treatment. G, D2R antagonist originated a similar effect as D1R antagonist. H, Summary of the effects of different antagonists in the breakpoint of stimulated D2-eYFP and D2-ChR2 animals. (nD2-eYFP =7; nD2-ChR2=8). Error bars denote SEM. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, *** p<0.001, # p<0.001 and ∗∗∗ p<0.001 and refers to the comparison D2-eYFP treated vs D2-ChR2 treated. See extended data – Fig. 2-1, 2-2.

Fig. 3. Effect of optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs in the NAc and downstream targets. A, Representative immunostaining c-fos and D2R, D1R or ChAT in the NAc; scale bar=80μm; (nD2-ChR2=8; nD2-eYFP=7). B, Counting of D2R⁺ and c-fos⁺ cells in the NAc. D2-MSN stimulation recruits more D2⁺ neurons in comparison to non-stimulated side (contralateral). Stimulated D2-ChR2 animals present increased number of D2⁺/c-fos⁺ neurons in comparison to stimulated D2-eYFP animals (control group). C, Counting of D1R⁺ and c-fos⁺ cells in the NAc, showing an increase in D1⁺/c-fos⁺ in stimulated vs contralateral side (or vs D2-eYFP-stimulated animals). D, Counting of ChAT⁺ and c-fos⁺ cells in the NAc, showing an increase in ChAT⁺/c-fos⁺ in stimulated vs contralateral side (or vs D2-eYFP-stimulated animals). E, Representative immunostaining for TH and c-fos in the VTA (scale bar=100μm) and F, respective quantification of positive cells. D2-MSN stimulation increases the number of TH⁺ neurons in the VTA. G, SN c-fos⁺ cells counting showing no effect of stimulation. H, Representative immunostaining for c-fos in the VP (scale bar=500μm, inset=100μm) and I, Stimulated D2-ChR2 animals present increased c-fos staining in the VP comparison to control D2-eYFP animals; interestingly, no significant differences were found between
stimulated vs non-stimulated side. Error bars denote SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

**SNc:** substantia nigra pars compacta. See extended data – Fig. 3-1.

**Fig. 4.** Activation of D2-MSN terminals in the ventral pallidum (VP) increases motivation. **A,** Schematic representation of the *in vivo* single-cell electrophysiological recordings with optogenetic manipulation of NAc D2-MSN cell bodies. **B,** NAc D2-MSN optical stimulation (40 Hz, 12.5 ms pulses for 1s) decrease net firing rate of VP neurons. **C,** 93.3% of VP cells decrease firing rate and 6.7% did not respond to stimulation (n=30 cells/4 rats). **D,** Time histogram showing the number of events in the VP before, during and after a 40Hz stimulus of NAc D2-MSNs. **E,** D2-MSN optical stimulation increase the net firing rate of putative dopaminergic (pDAergic) neurons of the VTA, with no significant changes in the net firing rate of putative GABAergic neurons (pGABAergic) (n\textsubscript{pDAergic}=29 cells/4 rats; n\textsubscript{pGABAergic}=5 cells/4 rats). **F,** 82.8% of pDAergic cells increased firing rate in response to stimulation; most of cells returned to baseline activity after the stimulus. pGABAergic neurons presented a majority of inhibitory responses to D2-MSN stimulation. **G,** Time histogram showing the number of events in the VTA before, during and after a 40Hz stimulus of NAc D2-MSNs. **H,** Strategy used for optogenetic stimulation of D2-MSN terminals in the VP (D2-ChR2 NAc-VP group). **I,** Optogenetic activation of D2-MSN-VP terminals during cue exposure strongly enhanced breakpoint. **J,** All animals increase breakpoint in the session with stimulation (ON) vs non-stimulation session (OFF). **K,** Number of pellets consumed in the PR session was similar between groups. (n\textsubscript{D2-eYFP NAc-VP}=6; n\textsubscript{D2-ChR2 NAc-VP}=8). Error bars denote SEM. *** p<0.001. See extended data – Fig. 4-1.

**Fig. 5.** Proposed model for D2-MSN optogenetic activation effects in NAc microcircuit. NAc D2-MSNs send GABAergic projections to VP neurons, which in turn provide a tonic inhibitory input to the VTA. (1) Optogenetic activation of D2-MSNs reduces VP net activity (2), reducing VP-to-VTA inhibitory tone (3). This triggers an increase in VTA dopaminergic activity (4). These VTA dopaminergic signals require D1R and D2R signalling in the NAc (5, 5'). Interestingly, cholinergic-dependent control of VTA dopaminergic terminals in the NAc (via \(\alpha_4\)-nAChR) is essential for this process (6). (7) Optical stimulation can also be activating D2-expressing cholinergic interneurons (CIN) that strongly influence dopamine release and shape behavior.
**Legends to Extended Data**

**Fig. 1-1.** Experimental design. 

**A**, Animals from Group I were subjected to stereotaxic surgeries for injection of D2-ChR2 or D2-eYFP and optic fiber placement in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), and let to recover from surgery for 2 weeks; after recovering, animals performed the progressive ratio (PR) task. On the PR session day, animals were sacrificed 90 minutes after the beginning of the session for c-fos analysis and immunofluorescence analysis. 

**B**, Animals from Group II were subjected to the same protocol as Group I; one week after performing behavior in naïve conditions, animals were injected in the NAc on one day with the drug and on the other day with vehicle (counterbalanced within groups for treatment between the two test days) before PR performance. This test was repeated for all drugs with one week of interval between treatments. 

**C**, Animals from Group III were subjected to stereotaxic surgeries for injection of D2-ChR2 or D2-eYFP in the NAc and optic fiber placement in the ventral pallidum (VP), and performed the PR task as above. 

**D**, Animals from Group IV were subjected to the same NAc surgery and were used for in vivo single cell electrophysiological recordings in the NAc, VP, and VTA.

**Fig. 1-2.** Confirmation of optic fiber location and expression specificity of Group I. 

**A**, Optic fiber placement for D2-eYFP (grey) and D2-ChR2 (blue) rats (n\textsubscript{D2-eYFP}=7; n\textsubscript{D2-ChR2}=10). 

**B**, Number of D2R\textsuperscript{+} and eYFP\textsuperscript{+} cells per area as evaluated by immunofluorescence. Almost all of eYFP\textsuperscript{+} cells are also D2R\textsuperscript{+}, confirming the specificity of the construct. 

**C**, Number of D1R\textsuperscript{+} and eYFP\textsuperscript{+} cells per area. 

**D**, Number of ChAT\textsuperscript{+} and eYFP\textsuperscript{+} cells. Only a few D1R\textsuperscript{+} and ChAT\textsuperscript{+} cells express the construct (n\textsubscript{D2-eYFP}=6; n\textsubscript{D2-ChR2}=6). Error bars denote SEM.

**Fig. 2-1.** Representative image of viral infection extent and cannula entry site (numbers represent distance to bregma; scale bar=1mm); optic fiber placement for D2-eYFP (grey) and D2-ChR2 (blue) rats of Group II (n\textsubscript{D2-eYFP}=7; n\textsubscript{D2-ChR2}=8).

**Fig. 2-2.** Number of pellets consumed during the PR session with optical stimulation with previous administration of different antagonists. 

**A**, GABA\textsubscript{A} receptor antagonist (bicuculline, 75ng). 

**B**, GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor antagonist (GCP-55845, 44ng). 

**C**, mAChR antagonist (scopolamine, 25μg) + nAChR antagonist (mecamylamine, 22.5μg). 

**D**, α4-nAChR antagonist (DHβE, 0.7μg). 

**E**, D1R antagonist (SCH-23390, 0.25μg). 

**F**, D2R antagonist (sulpiride, 0.2μg). Error bars denote SEM. *p<0.05.
Fig. 3-1. Immunofluorescence against GFP and dopamine receptor D1 or dopamine receptor D2 in D2-EGFP reporter strain. A, Representative image of a section of a D2-GFP animal labelled with anti-GFP and anti-dopamine receptor D2 (scale bar=50μm). B, Representative image of a section of a D2-GFP animal labelled with anti-GFP and anti-dopamine receptor D1 (scale bar=50μm). C, Respective quantification of immunofluorescence. 54.4% of total cells were GFP+, in agreement with half of the NAc cells being D2-MSNs. Of those GFP+ cells, 83% were D2R+ and 17% D2R−; whereas most (73%) of these cells were D1R−. Error bars denote SEM.

Fig. 4.1 Additional data from optogenetic activation experiments. A, Spike latency in the VP and VTA neurons in response to NAc D2-MSN optogenetic stimulation. VP neurons present reduced spike latency to fire, consistent with a monosynaptic input from D2-MSNs, whereas VTA neurons present spike latencies indicative of polysynaptic modulation. B-C, CRF and FR learning curves of D2-eYFP and D2-ChR2 NAc-VP animals.
| Figure | Data Structure | Sample size | Type of Test | Statistics |
|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 1F     | Normal distribution | 23 cells from 4 rats | one-way ANOVA | F(2,48)=74.7, p<0.000 |
| 1H     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | 2way ANOVA | F(1,13)=0.43, p=0.322 |
| 1I     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | 2way ANOVA | F(3,30)=124.8, p<0.000 |
| 1J     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | Unpaired t-test, tailed | t(13)=7.7, p<0.000 |
| 1K     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | 2way ANOVA | Laser effect: F(1,13)=47.3, p<0.000 Group effect: F(1,13)=7.9, p<0.000 Bonferroni post test: D2-ChR2 ON vs D2-ChR2 OFF: p<0.001 |
| 1L     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | t(13)=1.3, p=0.1380 |
| 1M     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | t(13)=0.7, p=0.4719 |
| 1N     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP=7; nD2-ChR2=10 | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | t(13)=1.0, p=0.3124 |
| 2B     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP veh=7; nD2-ChR2 veh=8 | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: F(1,13)=0.1, p=0.117 Group effect: F(1,13)=118.8, p<0.000 Bonferroni post test: D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 GABA(A) antag: p=0.787 |
| 2C     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP veh=7; nD2-ChR2 veh=8 | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: F(1,13)=30.7, p<0.000 Group effect: F(1,13)=193, p<0.000 Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP GABA(B) antag: p=0.07 D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 GABA(B) antag: p<0.000 |
| 2D     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP veh=7; nD2-ChR2 veh=8; nD2-eYFP mAChR+nAChR antag=7; nD2-ChR2 mAChR+nAChR antag=8; nD2-eYFP nAChR antag=7; nD2-ChR2 nAChR antag=8 | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: F(3,39)=4.3, p=0.001 Bonferroni post test: D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 mAChR+nAChR antag: p<0.000 D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 nAChR antag: p<0.000 |
| 2E     | Normal distribution | nD2-eYFP veh=7; nD2-ChR2 veh=8; nD2-eYFP α4- AChR antag=7; nD2-ChR2 α4- AChR antag=8 | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: F(1,13)=43.0, p<0.000 Bonferroni post test: D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 α4 antag: p<0.000 |
| 2F | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}}=7$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP D1R antag}}=7$; $n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-ChR2 D1R antag}}=8$ | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: D1R antag: F(1,13)=43.7, p<0.000; Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP D1R antag: p=0.047; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 D1R antag: p<0.000 |
| 2G | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}}=7$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP D2R antag}}=7$; $n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-ChR2 D2R antag}}=8$ | 2way ANOVA | Treatment effect: D2R antag: F(1,13)=34.8, p<0.000; Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP D2R antag: p=0.013; D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 D2R antag: p<0.000 |
| 3B | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=7.4, p=0.0002 |
| 3B | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$ | Paired t-test, two tailed | D2-ChR2 vs D2-eYFP rats: t(13)=3.7, p<0.000 |
| 3C | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=3.3, p=0.0011 |
| 3D | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | D2-ChR2 vs D2-eYFP rats: t(13)=3.7, p<0.000 |
| 3D | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$ | Paired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=4.0, p=0.0033 |
| 3F | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | D2-ChR2 vs D2-eYFP rats: t(13)=3.3, p<0.000 |
| 3F | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$ | Paired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=4.4, p=0.0024 |
| 3G | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | D2-ChR2 vs D2-eYFP rats: t(13)=3.3, p=0.0418 |
| 3G | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$ | Paired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=0.1, p=0.9099 |
| 3I | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$; $n_{\text{D2-eYFP}}=7$ | Unpaired t-test, two tailed | D2-ChR2 vs D2-eYFP rats: t(13)=2.3, p=0.039 |
| 3I | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2}}=8$ | Paired t-test, two tailed | Stimulated vs contralateral side: t(7)=1.2, p=0.238 |
| 4B | Normal distribution | 30 cells from 4 rats | one-way ANOVA | F(2,87)=10.4, p<0.000 |
| 4E | Normal distribution | 29 pDAergic cells from 4 rats; 3 pGABAergic cells from 4 rats | one-way ANOVA | pDAergic: F(2,34)=17.4, p<0.000; pGABAergic: F(2,8)=2.7, p=0.1343 |
| 4I | Normal distribution | $n_{\text{D2-ChR2 NAAC-xp}}=8$, $n_{\text{D2-eYFP NAAC-xp}}=4$ | Unpaired t-test, | t(11)=10.7, p<0.000 |
|   | Distribution | Two Tailed |
|---|-------------|------------|
| **4J** | Normal distribution | Paired t-test, two tailed |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 NAc-VP}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP NAc-VP}} = 4 \) | \( t(4) = 10.2, p < 0.000 \) |
| **4K** | Normal distribution | Unpaired t-test, two tailed |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 NAc-VP}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP NAc-VP}} = 4 \) | \( t(12) = 1.7, p = 0.112 \) |
| **2-2A** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP GABA antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 GABA antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP antag: \( p = 0.7334 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 antag: \( p = 0.9332 \) |
| **2-2B** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP GABA antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 GABA antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP antag: \( p = 0.9994 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 antag: \( p = 0.9883 \) |
| **2-2C** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP mAChR+nAChR antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 mAChR+nAChR antag}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 mAChR antag}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 nAChR antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP mAChR+nAChR antag: \( p = 0.9994 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 mAChR+nAChR antag: \( p = 0.9883 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 nAChR antag: \( p = 0.9187 \) |
| **2-2D** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP D2R antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 D2R antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP antag: \( p = 0.7023 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 antag: \( p = 0.0842 \) |
| **2-2E** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP D1R antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 D1R antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP antag: \( p = 0.0842 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 antag: \( p = 0.0842 \) |
| **2-2F** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP veh}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP D2R antag}} = 7 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 veh}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 D2R antag}} = 8 \) | Bonferroni post test: D2-eYFP vehicle vs D2-eYFP antag: \( p = 0.0842 \) D2-ChR2 vehicle vs D2-ChR2 antag: \( p = 0.0842 \) |
| **4-1B** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 NAc-VP}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP NAc-VP}} = 6 \) | Group effect: \( F(1,72) = 0.0, p = 0.856 \) Day of training effect: \( F(3,24) = 180.4, p < 0.000 \) |
| **4-1C** | Normal distribution | 2-way ANOVA |
|  | \( n_{\text{D2-ChR2 NAc-VP}} = 8 \), \( n_{\text{D2-eYFP NAc-VP}} = 6 \) | Day of training effect: \( F(3,24) = 180.4, p < 0.000 \) |
