Rain and small earthquakes maintain a slow-moving landslide in a persistent critical state
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In tectonically active mountain belts, landslides contribute significantly to erosion. Statistical analysis of regional inventories of earthquake-triggered-landslides after large earthquakes (Mw > 5.5) reveal a complex interaction between seismic shaking, landslide material, and rainfall. However, the contributions of each component have never been quantified due to a lack of in-situ data for active landslides. We exploited a 3-year geodetic and seismic dataset for a slow-moving landslide in Peru affected by local earthquakes and seasonal rainfalls. Here we show that in combination, they cause greater landslide motion than either force alone. We also show the rigidity of the landslide’s bulk clearly decreasing during Ml ≥ 5 earthquakes. The recovery is affected by rainfall and small earthquakes (MI < 3.6), which prevent the soil from healing, highlighting the importance of the timing between forcings. These new quantitative insights into the mechanics of landslides open new perspectives for the study of the mass balance of earthquakes.
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Large and shallow earthquakes (Mw > 5.5) trigger widespread landsliding in mountainous areas

Among the various mechanisms involved in landslides, the influence of seasonal precipitation has been widely studied, particularly in mountainous regions. The presence of water can significantly affect the soil's mechanical properties, leading to a decrease in shear strength and an increase in pore pressure. This results in a decrease in the material stiffness, which can be critical for the stability of slopes.

However, the exact mechanisms by which earthquakes and precipitation interact to trigger landslides are still not fully understood. Some studies have focused on the combined effect of seismic shaking and rainfall, while others have explored the role of seasonal variations in precipitation. The complexity of these interactions is further compounded by the variability in geotechnical properties, soil characteristics, and the presence of water in the soil profile.

Results

Context and experimental setup. The broad slow- to very slow-moving landslide of Maca (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1) has been identified by a clay/silt compound slide with a rupture surface of uneven curvature and thus, belongs to the soil slide category. This 60 million m³ landslide impacts a village in a rural area in the Colca Valley, southern Peru, in a very seismically active zone. This area also experiences seasonal precipitations falling entirely between December and May. The first 8–12 m of the landslide are composed of a very permeable layer from a 10,000-year-old debris avalanche, whereas the underlying material corresponds to fine lacustrine deposits with a thickness of 40 m. In the fast part of the landslide, the sliding surface is located at least 40 m down from the surface, within the lacustrine deposits.

The landslide velocity estimated over the last 10 years is about 1.5 m yr⁻¹ in its fastest zone and may reach up to 7 m yr⁻¹ during strong rainy seasons. The kinetics of the landslide is mainly driven by precipitations and the river eroding its toe, but there exists a rainfall threshold above which deformation is triggered. Regional earthquakes (Mw ≤ 6) can also accelerate its motion in a co-seismic way, followed by a period of relaxation. The landslide kinematics is therefore affected by both earthquakes and rainfall, but the mechanics of the material in the bulk of the landslide remains uncertain.

The persistence of the motion allows monitoring different physical parameters of the landslide's mechanics and their evolution with time. As a consequence, its unique situation in an area where both rainfalls and earthquakes combine, makes it of high interest to study the landslide's mechanics under seismic/rainfall forcings. The kinematics of the Maca landslide is representative of a broad range of landslides, showing typical seasonal behaviors as well as the existence of a rainfall threshold to initiate the motion30,33 and similar pattern of motions than other landslides of the valley during earthquakes34. Its characteristics are typical of landslides in lacustrine deposits, in terms of velocity, geology, thickness, and mechanisms. For all these reasons, this landslide has been intensively monitored since 2011.

In December 2015, a hut hosting a GPS and a broadband seismometer was installed on the fastest part of the landslide (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). These two instruments continuously monitor two geophysical parameters: the surface displacement of the landslide and the relative seismic velocity. Current hypotheses as to the physical processes behind this earthquake-precipitation combination triggers landslides are based only on qualitative observations. We still lack quantitative observations at the landslide-scale to confirm the mechanisms involved. In this study, we aimed to document those mechanisms using local information such as surface displacement and material rigidity, obtained from in situ measurements of a slow-moving landslide in an environment where earthquakes may be combined with seasonal differences in rainfall.

This study highlights the importance of the timing between earthquakes and precipitation, together with the role of small and medium magnitude earthquakes (ML < 4.5) in landslide kinematics and the recovery process of the soil's rigidity. We point out the damage of the soil generated by strong seismic shaking and explains the long-term impact of medium to large earthquakes on landslide triggering. Our results also show how small-shaking events can affect the landslide rigidity when combined with precipitations. They will indeed prevent the recovery of the rigidity of the soil with time.

Combined effect of rainfall and earthquakes on landslide dynamics. We first focused on the surface GPS displacement and the seismic activity in rainy and dry seasons.

Over the period of study, high seismic activity was recorded, with 165 ML 3.1 to 5.5 earthquakes within a 50 km radius of the landslide. Two earthquakes significantly affected the landslide's kinematics over the period studied. The first one occurred on the 20 February 2016, during the rainy season, and the second on 15 August 2016 during the dry season. The magnitudes of these earthquakes were estimated by the Peruvian Geophysical Institute at 5.0 and 5.5, respectively, on the local magnitude scale (ML), and their sources were located at 9 km and 11 km from the landslide, respectively (Fig. 1b). The ground shaking caused by the August
2016 earthquake on the landslide was significantly more extensive than that caused by the February 2016 earthquake (approximated Peak Ground Velocity PGV_app of 5.3 cm.s\(^{-1}\) compared with 3.5 cm.s\(^{-1}\) for the February earthquake—see Methods section).

As a result of the 15 August 2016 earthquake, the Maca landslide underwent a co-seismic slip of 1 cm followed by a relaxation period that lasted 30–40 days, during which the cumulated displacement was 11 cm (Fig. 2b, red curve). These co- and post-seismic effects corroborate previous observations of the same landslide following a Mw6.0 earthquake, which also occurred during a dry season, the origin of which was located 20 km from the landslide. After the February earthquake, the Maca landslide underwent co- and post-seismic slippage of 80 cm over 5 months. This displacement is much greater than that recorded after the August earthquake even though the estimated shaking generated at the landslide’s location by the February earthquake was weaker than in August. This observation suggests that rainfall plays a major role in how an earthquake triggers/activates a landslide, and hence reveals a strong combination between the two different forcing events.

To validate this hypothesis, we must eliminate the possibility that the rain alone could have generated equivalent landslide displacements during the 2016 wet season. To do so, we focused our attention on the 2014 and 2017 rainy seasons and the displacements observed over these periods.

The 2014 rainy season was comparable to the 2016 season in terms of precipitation recorded (Fig. 3). However, seismic activity was much lower in 2014, with only 44 earthquakes with a PGV > 0.01 cm.s\(^{-1}\), compared with 99 in 2016 (0.01 cm.s\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the minimum PGV measured on the landslide among all the local earthquakes detected by the IGP network in the Colca Valley). Interestingly, the landslide displacements measured in 2014 were almost zero. Moreover, the rainfall recorded in 2017 (a year once again with much lower seismicity than 2016) was more than twice that recorded for 2016 (see Fig. 3). Nevertheless, the displacements in 2017 were only half those recorded during the 2016 rainy season. This difference in displacements between the different wet seasons can therefore be attributed to the greater seismic activity in 2016 than in 2017 or 2014 (Supplementary Fig. 10). Thus, our results represent the combined effect of earthquake shaking and precipitations on the acceleration of a slow-moving landslide, similar to what has been observed with rapid landslides triggered following large earthquakes.

These observations indicate that the combination of earthquakes and precipitations leads to greater landslide motion than either phenomenon alone. Hereafter, we take advantage of the
persistence of the slow-moving landslide movement to study the mechanism producing this combined effect.

An $M_{l}$ = 5 earthquake causes considerable damage to the unstable mass. We investigated the existence and causes of any soil alterations, based on measurements of the soil's rigidity over time thanks to ambient seismic noise correlation methods (see Methods section).

Following the $M_{l}$ 5.5 August 2016 earthquake, the surface wave velocity in the 3–8 Hz frequency range dropped co-seismically by more than 2% (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 3f). Investigation of the sensitivity of the surface waves at different frequencies and depths indicated that the 3–8 Hz range corresponds to a depth sensitivity of ~40 m (see Methods section and Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13). The variations observed are therefore located in the landslide's body.

We examined the influence of three different mechanisms, i.e., undrained loading, the variation of the water table, and the damage of the soil due to earthquake shaking, upon the $\Delta v/v$ in the 3–8 Hz frequency range, thanks to poroelastic models.

**Fig. 2** Comparison of landslide displacement and $\Delta v/v$ induced by earthquakes and precipitation. a) Seismicity reported by the Peruvian Geophysical Institute (IGP) for earthquakes with PGV above 0.01 cm.s$^{-1}$ (see SI) on the landslide (gray dots), and cumulative rainfall over the rainy season (solid blue line). Earthquakes strong enough to saturate the seismometer located on the landslide or where no records were available due to problems with the seismometer are indicated by black dots. For significant earthquakes, magnitudes and distances to the Maca landslide were indicated. b) Time series of the Maca GPS North cumulative displacement (red) and velocity (gray) at a 1-day sampling rate. Blue zones represent periods where the $\Delta v/v$ is under $-1.2\%$, and red zones represent periods where the $\Delta v/v$ is likely under $-1.2\%$ but gaps in the seismic data exist. c) Changes to relative seismic velocity of the material determined by comparing daily seismic noise correlograms in the 3–8 Hz frequency range (black), which have a depth sensitivity of up to 40 m (see Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13). Gaps in the $\Delta v/v$ time series correspond to missing records. The horizontal black dashed line is the surface wave velocity on the first day of our study period. The red horizontal dashed line is an empirical threshold used in the text ($-1.2\%$) under which the landslide is in a critical state. The logarithmic green curve represents the recovery function proposed by Richter et al. Boxes indicate part of the graphic that has been enlarged either in Supplementary Fig. 3 or in Fig. 4. Close-up of the years 2017 and 2018 are displayed, respectively, in the Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5.
The effect of undrained cyclic loading was estimated by modeling the liquefaction of the sliding surface, i.e., a strong reduction of the S-wave velocity near the sliding surface, between 38 and 40 m (see Supplementary Fig. 14a). Our model predicts strong dv/v variations at low frequencies, with a maximum variation of ~−3% around 3 Hz (Supplementary Fig. 14b). Our observations of the dv/v variations at different frequencies the day of the August 2016 earthquake do not correspond to the model predictions (Supplementary Fig. 14b). Indeed the observed drop is only low around 3 Hz and too high above 5 Hz to be explained by undrained loading (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figs. 14b, h).

At first sight, the possible effects of variations in water table level on the observed dv/v could be neglected as the earthquake occurred in the dry season, several months after the last precipitations, implying a lower saturation of the soil. However, to be certain, we modeled a 1-m elevation of the perched aquifer (Fig. 2c). This modeling could only explain a dv/v drop of 0.04% i.e., 2 orders of magnitude below the dv/v drop observed between 3 and 8 Hz (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 3).

The co-seismic drop in velocity can therefore be attributed predominantly or even completely to damage to the soil due to the opening of new or pre-existing cracks

Almost immediately after earthquake shaking, the dv/v was seen to recover. This recovery was clearly visible, for instance, with the August 2016 earthquake (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 3). This healing phase can be interpreted as the recompaction of the soil as fractures close, and the grains cement together, reflecting a viscoelastic response of the soil. This slow healing was also observed at laboratory-scale, where it is referred to as slow dynamics. Previous observations of the dv/v recovery after an earthquake show that it often evolves logarithmically over time. A similar pattern was also observed here, with recovery of the pre-earthquake soil state around one and a half months after the M 5.5 earthquake in August 2016 (Fig. 2c, light-green logarithmic curve and Supplementary Fig. 3). However, recovery is questionable during the wet season, as we cannot separate it from the drainage process. This becomes even more complicated when small-earthquake activity is high, as we will see in the next sections.

**Recovery during wet seasons reveals the mechanism causing the combined effect.** To better understand the combined role of water and earthquakes, we focused on the M 5.0 February 2016 earthquake, which occurred during a rainy season (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 3c).

The drop in dv/v for this earthquake was smaller than that measured in August 2016, possibly due to the difference in shaking intensity between the two events. However, in contrast to the event of August, the dv/v continued to decrease for several weeks after the M 5.0 event. As the surface wave velocity depends on the rigidity of the soil, we interpreted the co-seismic drop observed as soil damage due to the strong shaking, just like during the August earthquake (see Supplementary Fig. 14b). The difference in dv/v behaviors in the weeks following the earthquake can be explained by a transient increase in soil density and/or decrease in rigidity due to an augmentation of the water content in the lacustrine deposit. Indeed, the damage to the different ground layers, generated by the strong shaking associated with the February earthquake could have promoted water infiltration at depth. These observations provide quantitative measurements of the damage process which was qualitatively reported in a previous study.

In addition, the movement of the landslide in itself favors the formation of cracks and fissures in the soil, which produce or amplify preferential water infiltration paths. Following water infiltration, the activity of the landslide can be self-sustained. As a result, the combined effect of earthquakes and rainfall is amplified on slow-moving landslides.

**Effect of small ground shaking on the landslide dynamics.** Several questions can be raised related to the conditions (shaking limits and rainfall amounts) activating this damage/recovery process. To address these questions, we will now focus on periods with small to moderate seismic shaking, i.e., from June to end-October of 2017 and 2018 where 121 events were recorded, all with PGV inferior to 1 cm.s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4).

During the period of observations, we noted that almost every time the dv/v dropped below a certain threshold (empirically set at ~−1.2%), the landslide entered into motion (Fig. 2c, red horizontal line), i.e., was in the “critical regime.” Once the dv/v recovered and exceeded this threshold once again, the landslide slowed down and eventually stopped, entering the “stable regime.”

Interestingly, the recovery phase, defined by the time at the end of the rainy season when the dv/v started to return to baseline, occurred later in 2018 than in 2017 (Fig. 4a, b). More precisely, in 2017, the dv/v recovered from mid-July, several months after the last precipitations, as the unstable mass dried out and its rigidity increased (Fig. 4a). In contrast, recovery in 2018 only started at the end of August, 1 month later than the previous year (Fig. 4b). This difference in dv/v recovery could neither be attributed to a difference in cumulative precipitation (Fig. 2a), nor a delay in the rainy season (both rainy seasons were almost finished by the end of April), nor due to large seismic events (the number of events with large Peak Ground Velocity (PGV) was even higher in 2017). The M 7.1 that struck the Peruvian coast in January 2018, does not appear to have caused the difference either, as it occurred at the beginning of the wet season and no co-seismic variations of the surface wave velocities were observed at the seismic station.
The only remaining difference between 2017 and 2018 is the rate of low-magnitude earthquakes, which was greater at the end of the rainy season in 2018 than in 2017. Indeed, 30 seismic events of low ground motion (PGV < 1 cm.s\(^{-1}\)) were recorded between June and the end of August 2018, compared with only 13 earthquakes in 2017 during the same period (see Fig. 4). This difference suggests that the recovery phase in 2018 was delayed due to the intense seismic activity at the end of the rainy season. Hence, while water is stored in the landslide body, even small earthquakes can alter the landslide kinematics by limiting recovery processes, and thus keeping the landslide in the critical regime.

Note that at the end of August 2018, two large earthquakes with a PGV estimated close to 1 cm.s\(^{-1}\) occurred. This PGV is higher than any previously recorded in or estimated for 2018. Nevertheless, these earthquakes had no influence on the dv/v and did not prevent the initiation of the recovery phase (Fig. 4b). At the end of August, the water content in the landslide was lower than between May and July due to sparse and low precipitation after the month of May 2018 (Fig. 2a). We therefore hypothesize that the impact of the low PGV on the landslide’s rigidity decreases when water content in the soil also drops, eventually allowing the dv/v to increase and initiation of the healing phase. Three mechanisms can be invoked to explain this small-earthquake effect. The shaking can break recent/weak bonds between the elements of the highly unconsolidated granular material (i.e., clay, siltstone, shale), which include chemical and capillary bonds.\(^{58,59}\) It can also generate fluid circulation and alter pore water pressure during the wave train, or slightly alter the pore water pressure during the wave train, or slightly alter the arrangement of unconsolidated grains. These three effects, individually or combined, can maintain the landslide in its critical state.

**Discussion**

Our results show that the combination of earthquakes and rainfall affects the rigidity of the Maca landslide. These observations allowed us to schematize the mechanics of a slow-moving landslide exposed to rainfall and earthquakes (Fig. 5): once the rigidity of the landslide drops below a defined threshold, deformations and displacements are triggered and the landslide enters a critical regime. Precipitations maintain the rigidity of the landslide below the threshold. In addition, in the critical regime, landslide displacement may also induce additional damage and loss of rigidity, meaning that the landslide is also partially self-sustaining. Once the rain-related water infiltration stops, the healing phase can start and landslide displacement will come to an end until the next forcing capable of triggering displacements (see Fig. 5 for a summary).

Our results also show how small-shaking events (PGV < 1 cm.s\(^{-1}\) corresponding mainly to MI < 4.5 in this region) can alter landslide rigidity and hence, its kinematic behavior over time. Indeed, small events combined with precipitation can maintain the low rigidity, preventing the unstable mass from recovering. Possible causes of this effect include prevention of the creation and/or breaking of existing chemical and/or capillary bonds between the elements of the highly unconsolidated granular material\(^{58,59}\), rearranging the grains, and/or increasing the pore pressure. To summarize, the landslide remains in a critical regime for longer than if small earthquakes had not occurred, as its rigidity stays below a certain critical threshold. In this context, the density of earthquake occurrence is a key parameter determining whether the landslide remains in a critical state.

The result of this study not only confirm previous observations relating to the combined effect of rainfall and earthquakes on either slow-moving landslides\(^{60}\), rapid landslides\(^{17}\) or rockfall...
Finally, this study also highlighted the importance of considering landslides, and consequently on the mass balance of earthquakes. This observation underlines the importance of maintaining the unstable mass in a critical regime and enhancing content that prevents the landslide from recovering its rigidity, to its geology, velocity, thickness, and mechanisms\(^35\).

Even though these observations were obtained on a single landslide owing to the uniqueness of the site in terms of monitoring techniques and to the difficulty to maintain such monitoring over the years, previous measurement at this site showed the representativeness of the Maca landslide in terms of kinematics during earthquakes\(^34\) and seasonal rainfall forcings\(^24,28–30\).

The Maca landslide is also similar to other landslides with regard to its geology, velocity, thickness, and mechanisms\(^35–39\). Furthermore, the processes highlighted here (damage and healing) are observed at large scale\(^47,48\) and in all types of materials in laboratory experiments\(^53,64\). For these reasons, the mechanisms highlighted here are not specific to the Maca landslide but provide important information on the earthquake/rainfall combination on landslides in general. We should note, however, that quantitative differences should certainly exist between different landslides with different geology, thickness, and pore saturation. These results help to better understand landslide forcing mechanisms and the strong combination between earthquakes and precipitations. This analysis has considerable implications both for landslide prediction and for the long-term impact of earthquakes on landscape evolution. The findings presented here support the idea that medium-intensity earthquakes (\(M_I < 5.5\)) can also accelerate landslides, and that their impact will be greater when precipitations are recorded before or just after the event. These observations also highlight the strong impact of cumulated small earthquakes (\(M_I 3.2–3.6\)) combined with a high water content that prevents the landslide from recovering its rigidity, maintaining the unstable mass in a critical regime and enhancing displacements. This observation underlines the importance of the temporality between precipitations and earthquakes on triggering landslides, and consequently on the mass balance of earthquakes. Finally, this study also highlighted the importance of considering smaller earthquakes and slow-moving landslides when determining the mass balance of mountain building.

**Methods**

**GPS processing.** Fifty-five continuous GPS (cGPS) recordings were processed from various networks in the Andean region, South America and Nazca Plate (see Supplementary Fig. 7 in Supplementary Information). Thirty-three stations were installed and are maintained in Southern Peru and Northern Chile as part of the following projects: Integrated Plate Boundary (IPoC, www.ipoc-network.org), LIA-MB (www.lia-mb.net), CANTO (www.tectonics.caltech.edu), CSN (www.csn.uchile.cl), and CAP (www.unavco.org). The remaining stations are part of the International GNSS Service (IGS, www.igs.org) global network. Data were processed using the double-difference method in GAMIT 10.6\(^65\), choosing the ionosphere-free combination and setting the ambiguities to integer values. Precise orbits from IGS, precise EOPs from the IERS bulletin B, IGS tables describing the phase center of the antennas, FES2004 ocean-tidal loading corrections, and atmospheric loading corrections (tidal and non-tidal) were used. One tropospheric Zenith delay parameter was estimated every 2 h, and one pair of horizontal tropospheric gradients were determined per 24-h session using Vienna Mapping Functions (VMF)\(^9\). These estimates allow the tropospheric delay to be mapped in the perpendicular direction, with a priori ZHD derived from pressure and temperature values from VMF grids. The daily solutions for the position time series were obtained using PYACS software\(^67\), mapped first onto the ITRF 2008 reference frame\(^48\) and then onto the South American plate, using a fixed pole\(^69,70\).

**Landslide velocity computation.** To reduce noise when computing the velocity of the landslide at the location of the Maca GPS station, the displacement was averaged over a 5-day moving window. However, to reduce the smoothing effect and provide more realistic velocities after the August 2016 earthquake, the velocity was not smoothed for the 2 days following the earthquake.

**Seismic data and ambient seismic noise processing.** A Noemax © seismic station was used for this study. It has three components and a natural frequency of 4.5 Hz. This seismometer includes an electronically-compensated instrumental correction allowing a relatively flat response between 0.1 Hz and about 50 Hz.

The ambient noise in the frequency bands studied in Maca was mostly caused by vehicles on the main road located near the landslide, the river at the toe of the landslide and wind. These sources of noise can be considered stable in time only when the 24-h correlations are averaged, computed over each day as explained hereafter.

Single station cross-correlation was performed on the three possible pairs of components\(^51\), i.e., North-East, vertical-East, and Vertical-North. To do so, hour-long records were spectrally whitened between 0.2 and 35 Hz to equalize the frequency content of the ambient noise\(^52\). The seismic signal was then normalized in amplitude using the clipping method such that the signal-to-noise ratio did not exceed three times its standard deviation. The main objective of this step was to attenuate the statistical weight of large events, such as earthquakes, compared with other events making up the ambient noise. The hour-long records were then correlated for the three possible pairs of components separately.

The next step was to filter the correlograms to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio by applying a Singular Value Decomposition-based Wiener filter\(^53\). The parameters used for this filter were \(K = 7\), \(L = 7\), the filter orders applied to the vertical and horizontal dimensions, respectively, and the number of first singular values was \(N = 30\). Finally, correlations were averaged over each day in order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio and to eliminate \(dv/v\) sub-daily variations due to source variations during the day. However, even though the clipping reduces the impact of earthquakes on the ambient noise correlation, they will slightly modify hourly correlations and thus daily correlations by acting as noise sources that are not constant with time. The direct consequences will be a decorrelation of the daily correlations with regard to the reference during the stretching step and thus an increase of 30%, on average, of the \(dv/v\) uncertainties compared with days with less seismicity.

Daily correlations were then compared with each other to track relative velocity changes using the stretching method\(^72,73\) applied to each component pair separately over the frequency band 3–8 Hz. The reference value for each stretch was obtained by averaging daily cross-correlation over the whole period of study. STretched parts of the coda were selected between \([-14\Delta t\,22\Delta t]\) and \([22\Delta t\,14\Delta t]\) seconds, i.e., \([-2.8\,0.4]\) and \([0.4\,2.8]\) seconds in the 3–8 Hz frequency band, to remove the autocorrelation of the noise source which has a duration \(\Delta t = 1/\text{f}\). Here, we removed \(2 \times \Delta t\) to be certain that the source noise is not used in the stretching step (Supplementary Fig. 8). Consequently, analysis mainly focused on...
scattering of surface waves (Rayleigh and possibly Love waves) in the strongly heterogeneous medium.

To decrease the signal-to-noise ratio, the dv/v was averaged over the three directional correlation pairs. The absolute error of dv/v was estimated from the correlation coefficient obtained by the stretching method.4

Surface wave depth sensitivity. As surface waves are mainly composed of shear waves (S waves), active seismic acquisitions on the landslide were used to measure the velocity of the S waves. The surface wave inversion technique was applied in the Geopsy software (http://www.geopsy.org) with signals generated by dropping a mass in bulk and a height of 2 m using a tripod (see Supplementary Fig. 11). The model obtained by inversion gave a first layer of ~8–12 m with S waves traveling at around 110 m/s. Below, lies another layer composed of lacustrine deposits, where the S-wave velocity was close to 350 m/s (see supplementary Note 2 for further details).

From this model, it was possible to study the Rayleigh wave sensitivity as a function of depth and frequency using the gpdc package available in the Geopsy software. Perturbation of the Rayleigh wave velocity observed at the surface was examined by imposing a decrease of 14% of the shear wave velocity over a 50-cm layer at different depths to the reference model (Supplementary Fig. 13 and supplementary Note 3). The surface waves filtered between 3 and 8 Hz were estimated to be sensitive to depths down to 40 m, i.e., within the unstable mass of the soil.

Velocity of P and S waves as a function of saturation. In order to model the impact of the variation of the water table height upon the surface wave velocities, we used the Biot-Gassmann equations45 as done in other studies46,75,76. These equations give the velocity of P and S waves as a function of the porosity and the fluid saturation. The velocity of the lacustrine deposits previously obtained thanks to the geophysical survey (see SI), i.e., Vp = 1900 m.s⁻¹ and Vs = 350 m.s⁻¹, were used as fitting values to set the equations parameters (the porosity and the solidification coefficient) and calibrate them when the soil is completely saturated. As no values of the bulk and shear modulus were obtained on the landslide, we used as input the bulk and shear modulus of the feldspar-plagioclase, one of the main minerals present in the landslide, i.e., Ks = 75.6 MPa and Gs = 25.6 GPa.78. We fit the P- and S-wave velocities by choosing a porosity of the soil of 35% and a compaction coefficient of 120. The gpdc package available in the Geopsy software is again used to estimate the Rayleigh wave velocity variations as a function of the fluid saturation or undrained loading (see Supplementary Fig. 14b and the supplementary Note 4) or when a water table at 10-m depth experiences a 1-m variation. This last model predicts a variation of around 0.04% between 3 and 8 Hz. The sign of the variations will depend on the direction of the water table variation.

Earthquake ground motion approximation. The seismic shaking intensity was estimated by computing the PGV, which is one indicator of seismic ground motion. The PGV can be computed directly from the signal measured by the seismometer installed on the landslide. However, a large number of earthquakes with magnitudes ranging between M13.5 and M17 saturated the signal registered by the seismometer. Consequently, the PGV was not always accessible, in particular for the largest and/or nearest earthquakes. For those events, the PGV was computed from Ground Motion Prediction Equations (GMPE). However, no GMPE was available for Peru alone79 and most GMPEs are based on moment magnitude, whereas the magnitudes given by the Peruvian Geophysical Institute correspond to moment magnitudes80. Then the gpdc package available in the Geopsy software is used to estimate the Rayleigh wave velocity variations as a function of the fluid saturation or undrained loading (see Supplementary Fig. 14b and the supplementary Note 4) or when a water table at 10-m depth experiences a 1-m variation. This last model predicts a variation of around 0.04% between 3 and 8 Hz. The sign of the variations will depend on the direction of the water table variation.

Meteorological data. Daily precipitations, daily minimum and maximum temperatures were recorded at the SENAMHI meteorological station in Madrigal, 5 km west of the Maca landslide and at the same elevation. Precipitations, at the valley scale, are homogeneous24 so that meteorological data collected in Madrigal are representative of those at the landslide location. However, although precipitations are known to be similar, no specific studies have compared the temperature between Madrigal and Maca. As the two villages are at the same elevation (3200 m asl), the Madrigal temperature was used as a proxy for the temperature in Maca.

Effective precipitation. For this study, the effective precipitation was defined as the daily cumulated precipitation minus evapotranspiration (ETₑ) that combines two parameters: transpiration and evaporation. The ETₑ was computed in several ways. Due to the limited number of meteorological parameters available, the Hargreaves method82 was used as it requires only simple meteorological data, such as daily precipitation, daily minimum and maximum temperature.33 This method has also been demonstrated to be globally valid25,26. We used a method described in a crop–evapotranspiration guideline24, using the temperature and precipitation information measured in Madrigal. ETₑ was then multiplied by a factor, Kc, with a value of 0.3 (corresponding to a soil without much vegetation) to obtain the crop evapotranspiration under standard conditions, ETₑ.

Data availability
Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability
Methods are illustrated through text and figure captions and codes are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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