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ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the effect of disclosure of Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Responsibility and Investment Opportunity Set on Company Value. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling. The study was conducted on property and real estate companies with a research period of 2014-2017. The estimation of the research model used is multiple regression analysis.

The purpose of this study is to determine whether the disclosure of Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Investment Opportunity Set and affect the Company’s Value. This research involves 5 (five) variables consisting of 1 (one) dependent variable, 4 (four) independent variables, and the dependent variable in this study is Company Value. The independent variables in this study are Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Investment Opportunity Set on Company Value.

The results of this study indicate that Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Responsibility has a significant effect on Company Value while the Investment Opportunity Set has no significant effect on company’s value.
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PRELIMINARY Research Background

The higher the stock price the higher the value of the company. The wealth of shareholders and companies is represented by the market price of shares which is a reflection of investment decisions, financing (financing), and asset management. There are various factors that affect a company's value, one of which is non-financial. Good corporate governance is one of the non-financial factors that can affect the value of the company. Good Corporate Governance (GCG) is not a new phenomenon or regulation for companies, GCG has been developing for a long time and has become more prominent since the 1997 economic crisis experienced by Indonesia. The collapse of various companies at the time, one of which was suspected by bad corporate governance (bad governance).

The growth of property and real estate companies in Indonesia from 2015 to 2018 can also be seen based on the Indonesia Residential Property Price Index graph below:
The Housing Index in Indonesia increased to 205.64 index points in the second quarter of 2018 from 204.21 index points in the first quarter of 2018. The Housing Index in Indonesia averaged 196.65 index points from 2015 to 2018, reaching an all-time high of 205.64 index points in the second quarter of 2018 and a record low of 188.65 index points in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Based on the above phenomenon it can be concluded that there are investment opportunities in the property and real estate fields that can attract investors. Investor's perception of the company's success rate is reflected through the value of the company which is closely related to its stock price. High stock prices make the value of the company also high and increase market confidence not only in the company's current performance but also in the company's future prospects (Salvatore, 2005) cited by (Uzliawati, et al. 2016).

If the company is able to create the right investment decisions, the company's assets will produce optimal performance so as to provide a positive signal for investors who will increase share prices and increase the value of the company. In general, Investment Opportunity Set (IOS) illustrates the breadth of investment opportunities or opportunities for a company, but highly dependent on the company's spending choices for the benefit of the future (Astriani, 2014).

Investment Opportunity Set (IOS) is a choice of future investment opportunities that can affect the growth of company or project assets that have a positive net present value. According to Hidayah (2015), IOS is a company value that depends on expenses determined by management in the future, which at present are investment choices that are expected to produce greater returns, Research by Sudiani and Darmayanti (2016) which analyzes the effect of profitability, liquidity, growth and investment opportunity set on firm value, the results of the research prove that IOS has a significant positive effect on firm value.

In a study conducted by Diana Istighfarin and Ni Gusti Putu Wirawati (2015), GCG with 4 proxies namely institutional ownership, the size of the independent board of commissioners, the audit committee and the Good Governance Perception Index (GGPI) obtained research results namely institutional ownership and GGPI have significant positive effect on profitability, while the size of the board of commissioners and audit committee has no significant effect on profitability.

Previous studies on the influence of corporate social responsibility and profitability on firm value were conducted by Zarlia and Salim (2014). The results of this study indicate that CSR influences company value. Rahardjo and Murdani (2016) found that CSR has a significant effect on firm value, while the research of Putri, et al. (2016) shows that corporate value is not influenced by corporate social responsibility.

Much research has been done on the effect of investment opportunity sets on firm value. Research Syardiana, et al. (2015) found that investment opportunity set had a significant positive effect on firm value. This is the same as the results of research conducted by Hidayah (2015) which shows that the investment opportunity set (IOS) has a positive and significant impact on the value of the Company.

As a result of the emergence of the research gap or research gap makes researchers interested in re-examining these variables. In this study the samples taken were property and real estate companies that went public on the Indonesia Stock Exchange with a set period of time from 2014 - 2017. Based on the background of the problems above, the authors intend to conduct research and then the results will be outlined in the form of a thesis entitled...
Formulation of the problem
Based on the background that has been described, the formulation of the problem in this study are:
1. Is there any influence of GCG disclosure on company value?
2. Is there an influence of CSR disclosure on company value?
3. Is there an influence of IOS disclosure on company value?

Research purposes
The purpose of this study is to determine whether good corporate governance, corporate social responsibility, and investment opportunity set have an influence on firm value.

LITERATURE REVIEW, FRAMEWORK FOR THINKING AND HYPOTHESES
Good Corporate Governance, Corporate Social Responsibility, and the Cost of Equity

1. Good Corporate Governance

According to the Forum for Corporate Governance in Indonesia (FCGI), (2001: 2) corporate governance is defined as:
"A set of regulations governing the relationship between holders, managers (managers) of the company, creditors, government, employees, and other internal and external stakeholders relating to their rights and obligations or in other words a system that controls the company. The purpose of corporate governance is to create added value for all interested parties (stakeholders).

While the definition that is not much different was put forward by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), namely corporate governance is a system where business companies are directed and controlled. The corporate governance structure determines the distribution of rights and responsibilities between different participants in the company, such as boards, managers, shareholders and other stakeholders, and details the rules and procedures for decision making on company affairs. By doing this, it also provides a structure through which the company's goals are set, and how to achieve those goals and monitor performance. In this study, corporate governance in accordance with its internal mechanisms uses managerial ownership and institutional ownership.

2. Corporate Social Responsibility

Putri, et al. (2016) defines corporate social responsibility as the company's efforts to balance its commitments to groups and individuals in the corporate environment, including customers, other companies, employees, and investors. CSR is an idea that makes a company not only responsible in financial terms, but also towards social and environmental problems around the company so that the company can grow sustainably (Rosiana, et al. 2013).

Understanding CSR according to Johnson and Johnson, in the book Nor Hadi (2011: 46) quoted by Rahardjo and Murdani (2016) states that:
"CSR is about how companies manage the business processes to produce an overall positive impact to society". This definition basically departs from the philosophy of how to manage a company either in part or in whole has a positive impact on itself and its environment. Corporate social responsibility or Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a mechanism for an organization to voluntarily integrate environmental and social attention into its operations and interactions with stakeholders, which exceeds organizational responsibility in the field of law (Kusumadilaga, 2010).

The Corporate Social Responsibility currently disclosed in the Sustainability Report reports transparently the economic, environmental and social impacts of company activities. Openness about these matters will convince stakeholders that the company has been managed well and that the company has paid attention to the interests of investors and thus will build investor confidence (Anggraini, 2018 in Tanjung, 2019).

CSR Disclosure is the disclosure of information relating to the environment in the company's annual report. In measuring CSR Disclosure, the CSR index is used which is the relative area of disclosure of each sample company for the social disclosures it does, where the measurement instruments in the checklist that will be used in this study refer to the instrument used by Sembiring (2005), which groups CSR information into 7 categories namely: the environment, energy, health and safety of the workforce, other labor, products, community involvement, and the public. This category was adopted from the research of Hackston and Milne (1996). The seven categories are divided into 90 disclosure items. Based on Bapepam Regulation No. VIII.G.2 regarding annual reports, there are 12 items out of 90 disclosure items which are not suitable to be applied to the conditions in Indonesia. Further adjustments were made by removing the 12 disclosure items, so that a total of 78 disclosure items remained.
3. Investment Opportunity Set

To achieve company goals, managers make investment decisions that produce positive net present value. Investment opportunity set is a combination of the assets owned by the company (assets in place) and the selection of investments in the future with a positive net present value (Syardiana et al., 2015). Both will determine funding decisions in the future. According to Hidayah (2015), IOS is a company value whose amount depends on expenses determined by management in the future, which at this time are still investment choices that are expected to produce greater returns.

In general, IOS can be said to describe the extent of investment opportunities or opportunities for a company, but it really depends on the company's expenditure for the benefit of the future. IOS gives a positive signal about the company's growth in the future, thus increasing stock prices as an indicator of company value, if the stock price rises, the company's value will be high (Astriani, 2014). Investment Opportunity Proxy Set can be classified into several proxies.

One of them is price-based proxy. This proxy is based on the difference between assets and the market value of the stock. So this proxy is strongly influenced by market prices, price-based proxy states that the company's growth is partially expressed by stock prices, then the company that has high growth will have a higher market value than the assets owned (Putri, 2013). IOS that produces figures close to 100% ratio means the company has a high investment opportunity to manage its company so as to produce a large return to increase the company's value.

4. Company Value

Company value is a certain condition that has been achieved by a company as an illustration of public trust in the company after going through a process of activities for several years, namely since the company was founded until now. According to Husnan (2013) the value of a company or also referred to as the company's market value is the price that prospective buyers are willing to pay if the company is sold.

According to Gitosudarmo and Basri (2000) the notion of corporate value is: "The value of the company is the current value of the company and the value in the future time and money, therefore it is necessary to consider the value of time and money. Consideration of time and money is used to assess expenses or income that will be received in the future, while evaluations and decisions must be made now (present value)."

The value of the company is very important because with high company value will be followed by high shareholder prosperity. Wealth of shareholders and companies is represented by the market price of shares which is a reflection of investment decisions, funding and asset management.

Previous Research

In a study conducted by Diana Istighfarin and Ni Gusti Putu Wirawati (2015), GCG with 4 proxies namely institutional ownership, the size of the independent board of commissioners, the audit committee and the Good Governance Perception Index (CGPI) obtained research results namely institutional ownership and CGPI have significant positive effect on profitability, while the size of the board of commissioners and audit committee has no significant effect on profitability.

Previous studies on the influence of corporate social responsibility and profitability on firm value were conducted by Zarlia and Salim (2014). The results of this study indicate that CSR influences company value. Rahardjo and Mardani (2016) found that CSR has a significant effect on firm value, while the research of Putri, et al. (2016) shows that corporate value is not influenced by corporate social responsibility.

Much research has been done on the effect of investment opportunity sets on firm value. Research Syardiana, et al. (2015) found that investment opportunity set had a significant positive effect on firm value. This is the same as the results of research conducted by Hidayah (2015) which shows that the investment opportunity set (IOS) has a positive and significant impact on the value of the Company.

Research by Sudiani and Darmayanti (2016) which analyzes the effect of profitability, liquidity, growth and investment opportunity set on firm value, the results of the research prove that IOS has a significant positive effect on firm value.
RESEARCH METHODS
Population and Research Samples
The population in this study are all property and real estate companies that have been listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Data collection techniques with a purposive sampling method, the sampling is based on certain criteria. The criteria used in this study are:
1. The sample companies are property and real estate companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in the 2014-2017 period.
2. The sample companies publish financial statements for succession in the period 2014-2017.
3. The sample companies implement CSR in the 2014-2017 period.
4. The sample companies have complete variables in the financial statements for 2014-2017.

Data analysis method
Data analysis was performed using multiple linear regression analysis including the following analysis:

a. Partial Test (t test)
This test is conducted to determine whether the independent / partially independent variable has a significant influence on the dependent / dependent variable. Based on the significant basis of decision making are:
If the significance is > 0.05 then H is rejected
If significance < 0.05, H is accepted

b. Hypothesis testing
Hypothesis Test aims to predict the magnitude of the influence of the dependent variable (dependent variable) by using the independent variable (independent variable). The multiple regression equation is:

\[ Y = a + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + \varepsilon \]

Description:
\[ Y \]: Company Value
\[ a \]: Constant
\[ x_1 \]: GCG
\[ x_2 \]: CSR
\[ x_3 \]: IOS
\[ b_1-b_3 \]: Regression coefficient for each variable
\[ \varepsilon \]: error

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Hypothesis Testing and Discussion
The statistical t test basically shows how far partially the influence of an independent variable is in explaining the variation of the dependent variable (Ghozali, 2005). Here are the results of the SSSS from the t test presented.
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|
|       | B                           | Std. Error                | Beta |      |
| 1     | (Constant)                  | 94,063                    | 35,693 | 2,63 | .012 |
|       | KM                          | 123,64                    | 65,683 | -315 | 1,88 | .067 |
|       | KI                          | -57,628                   | 43,556 | -204 | 1,32 | .194 |
|       | CSR                         | .031                      | .010  | .418 | 2.97 | .005 |
|       | IOS                         | 8,724                     | 16,776| .076 | .520 | .606 |

a. Dependent Variable: NP

Source: data processed with SPSS 23

From the table above, it can be seen that t count is -1.882 for KM, -1.323 for KI, 2.971 for CSR and 0.520 for IOS. Then also obtained t table 0.68067 (2-tailed test). And it can be concluded:

1. For the KM variable that is T Count < T Table (1.882 > 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between KM and NP. So from this case it can be concluded that KM partially has a significant effect on NP on Property and Real Estate Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2017.

2. For the variable KI namely T Calculate < T Table (1.323 > 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between KI and NP. So from this case it can be concluded that KI partially has a significant effect on NPs on Property and Real Estate Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2017.

3. For CSR variables, namely T Count < T Table (2.971 > 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between CSR and NP. So from this case it can be concluded that CSR partially has a significant influence on NPs on Property and Real Estate Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2017.

4. For IOS variables, namely T Count < T Table (0.520 > 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between IOS and NP. So from this case it can be concluded that IOS partially has a significant effect on the NP on Property and Real Estate Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2014-2017.

Discussion

1. The effect of GCG on NP

From the above analysis it can be concluded that T Calculate > T Table (1.882 > 0.68067) means that partially significant influence between KM with NP and T Calculate < T Table (1.323 > 0.68067) means partially significant influence between KI and NP. The results are the same as Dian Istighfaria and Ni Gusti Putu Wiranti's research (2015) by getting the results of institutional ownership affect profitability.

2. The influence of CSR on NP

From the above analysis it can be concluded that T Calculate > T Table (2.971 > 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between CSR and NP. The results are the same as Zarlia and Salim's (2014) research with the results that there is a significant influence on the disclosure of
Corporate Social Responsibility on Company Value.

3. **Effect of IOS on COE**

   From the above analysis it can be concluded that the T Count <T Table (0.520> 0.68067) means that partially a significant influence between IOS and NP. These results are different from the research of Syardia, et all (2015) and Hidayah (2015) with the result that there is no significant effect of IOS disclosure on Company Value.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Conclusion**

From the results of statistical tests, the following conclusions are obtained:

1. Multiple Regression Testing is done to see the effect of the independent variable with the dependent variable. The results of the multiple regression equation obtained are:

   \[ Y = 94,063 - 123,649 X1 - 57,628 X2 + 0.031 X3 + 8.724 X4 + E \]

   The regression equation shows a negative relationship between KM and NP and KI against NP. A negative relationship means that the movement of KM and KI is not going in the same direction, when KM and KI increase, resulting in a decrease in NP and vice versa.

   There is a positive relationship between CSR on NP and IOS on NP. A positive relationship means the movement of CSR and IOS is not going in the same direction, when CSR and IOS have increased resulting in a decrease in NP and vice versa.

2. The coefficient of determination test results obtained by the value of R Square = 0.385. This shows that 38.5 NP variables are influenced by independent variables namely KM, IC, CSR and IOS. While the rest is explained by other factors.

**Suggestion**

Some suggestions that can be put forward in the results of this study are due to imperfections of research conducted by the author, the authors provide suggestions that are expected to gain knowledge from this research, as follows:

1. Need further research to be able to find out more things to influence NP in addition to KI, KM, CSR and IOS.

2. Research time should be made long, so that it can provide a better picture. Because the results are likely to be different when using different periods.
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