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Background. Despite great progress in studies on Wolbachia infection in insects, the knowledge about its relations with beetle species, populations and individuals, and the effects of bacteria on these hosts is still unsatisfactory. In this review we summarize the current state of knowledge about Wolbachia occurrence and interactions with Coleopteran hosts. Methods. An intensive search of the available literature resulted in the selection of 81 publications that describe the relevant details about Wolbachia presence among beetles. These publications were then examined with respect to the distribution and taxonomy of infected hosts and diversity of Wolbachia found in beetles. Sequences of Wolbachia genes (16S rDNA, wsp and ftsZ) were used for the phylogenetic analyses.

Results. The collected publications revealed that Wolbachia has been confirmed in 197 beetle species and that the estimated average prevalence of this bacteria across beetle species is 38.3% and varies greatly across families and genera (0-88% infected members) and is much lower (c. 13%) in geographic studies. The majority of the examined and infected beetles were from Europe and East Asia. The most intensively studied have been two groups of herbivorous beetles: Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. Coleoptera harbor Wolbachia belonging to three supergroups: F found in only 3 species, and A and B found in similar numbers of beetles (including some doubly infected); however the latter two were most prevalent in different families. 59% of species with precise data were found to be totally infected. Single infections were found in 69% of species and others were doubly- or multiply-infected. Wolbachia caused numerous effects on its beetle hosts, including selective sweep with host mtDNA (found in 3% of species), cytoplasmic incompatibility (detected in c. 6% of beetles) and other effects related to reproduction or development (like male-killing, possible parthenogenesis or haplodiploidy induction, and egg development). Phylogenetic reconstructions for Wolbachia genes rejected cospeciation between these bacteria and Coleoptera, with minor exceptions found in some Hydraenidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. In contrast, horizontal transmission of
bacteria has been suspected or proven in numerous cases (e.g. among beetles sharing habitats and/or host plants). **Discussion.** The present knowledge about *Wolbachia* infection across beetle species and populations is very uneven. Even the basic data about infection status in species and frequency of infected species across genera and families is very superficial, as only c. 0.15% of all beetle species have been tested and/or examined so far. Future studies on *Wolbachia* diversity in Coleoptera should still be based on the Multi-locus Sequence Typing system and next-generation sequencing technologies will be important for uncovering *Wolbachia* relations with host evolution and ecology, as well as with other, co-occurring endosymbiotic bacteria.
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Abstract

**Background.** Despite great progress in studies on *Wolbachia* infection in insects, the knowledge about its relations with beetle species, populations and individuals, and the effects of bacteria on these hosts is still unsatisfactory. In this review we summarize the current state of knowledge about *Wolbachia* occurrence and interactions with Coleopteran hosts.

**Methods.** An intensive search of the available literature resulted in the selection of 81 publications that describe the relevant details about *Wolbachia* presence among beetles. These publications were then examined with respect to the distribution and taxonomy of infected hosts and diversity of *Wolbachia* found in beetles. Sequences of *Wolbachia* genes (*16S rDNA, wsp and ftsZ*) were used for the phylogenetic analyses.

**Results.** The collected publications revealed that *Wolbachia* has been confirmed in 197 beetle species and that the estimated average prevalence of this bacteria across beetle species is 38.3% and varies greatly across families and genera (0-88% infected members) and is much lower (c. 13%) in geographic studies. The majority of the examined and infected beetles were from Europe and East Asia. The most intensively studied have been two groups of herbivorous beetles: Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. Coleoptera harbor *Wolbachia* belonging to three supergroups: F found in only 3 species, and A and B found in similar numbers of beetles (including some doubly infected); however the latter two were most prevalent in different families. 59% of species with precise data were found to be totally infected. Single infections were found in 69% of species and others were doubly- or multiply-infected. *Wolbachia* caused
numerous effects on its beetle hosts, including selective sweep with host mtDNA (found in 3% of species), cytoplasmic incompatibility (detected in c. 6% of beetles) and other effects related to reproduction or development (like male-killing, possible parthenogenesis or haplodiploidy induction, and egg development). Phylogenetic reconstructions for \textit{Wolbachia} genes rejected cospeciation between these bacteria and Coleoptera, with minor exceptions found in some Hydraenidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. In contrast, horizontal transmission of bacteria has been suspected or proven in numerous cases (e.g. among beetles sharing habitats and/or host plants).

Discussion. The present knowledge about \textit{Wolbachia} infection across beetle species and populations is very uneven. Even the basic data about infection status in species and frequency of infected species across genera and families is very superficial, as only c. 0.15\% of all beetle species have been tested and/or examined so far. Future studies on \textit{Wolbachia} diversity in Coleoptera should still be based on the Multi-locus Sequence Typing system and next-generation sequencing technologies will be important for uncovering \textit{Wolbachia} relations with host evolution and ecology, as well as with other, co-occurring endosymbiotic bacteria.

Key words: \(\alpha\)-proteobacteria; beetles; evolution; ecology; endosymbiont; intracellular; interactions

Short title \textit{Wolbachia} among Coleoptera: a review

Introduction

The relations between the intracellular \(\alpha\)-proteobacterium \textit{Wolbachia pipientis} Hertig 1936 (hereafter \textit{Wolbachia}) and its hosts from various groups of arthropods and nematodes have been the object of much research and numerous publications (O’Neill et al., 1992; Werren et al., 1995a). The majority of these studies have focused on verifying endosymbiotic bacteria occurrence and diversity in various hosts at different levels: i) among selected species sharing a geographic area (e.g. O’Neill et al., 1992; Werren et al., 1995a, 2000), ii) among species
inhabiting the same environment or that are ecologically-associated (e.g. Stahlhut et al., 2010), iii) among species from particular taxonomic groups (e.g. Czarnetzki et al., 2004; Lachowska et al., 2010; Sontowski et al., 2015), and iv) within populations of selected taxa (e.g. Stenberg et al., 2004; Mazur et al., 2016). Another branch of research on the relations between Wolbachia and its hosts has focused on host species phylogenetics or population genetics, which is in some cases related to population differentiation and speciation (e.g. Kubisz et al., 2012; Montagna et al., 2014). In this research Wolbachia is sometimes treated as an additional “marker” – a source of genetic data about the eco-evolutionary relations of its hosts. A third type of Wolbachia studies has concerned the direct or indirect effects of the infection on host fitness, development or survival at the individual and population levels (e.g. Weeks 2002; O’Neill 2007). Moreover, in a separate branch of research (or in conjunction with the abovementioned types of studies), Wolbachia is often examined directly, mainly with respect to strain diversity, distribution and relations with other strains or different co-existing bacteria (Baldo et al., 2007). All these branches of research have substantially extended the knowledge about the relations between the most widespread intracellular endosymbiont – Wolbachia and its various hosts. Moreover, these studies have been expanded to encompass other bacteria with similar biologies and effects on hosts (like Cardinium, Spiroplasma, Rickettsia) (Zchori-Fein & Perlman 2004; Goto et al., 2006; Duron et al., 2008); however, a great majority of studies are still conducted on Wolbachia (Zug et al., 2012). Recently, the various Wolbachia supergroups have been proposed to belong to several “Candidatus Wolbachia” species (Ramírez-Puebla et al., 2015); however, this approach has been criticized (Lindsey et al., 2016). Due to the uncertain species status of the “Candidatus Wolbachia” and because all previous studies considered these presumed different species as distant supergroups, in this review we have followed the previous Wolbachia taxonomy.

In summary, Wolbachia has been detected in 10-70% of examined hosts (Jeyaprakash & Hoy 2000; Hilgenboecker et al., 2008), depending on the geographical, ecological or taxonomical association of the selected species. Moreover, more detailed studies, at the population level, have shown that infection is not as straightforward as was assumed in the early stages of Wolbachia research. More and more species have been found to be only partially infected, e.g. in only some parts of their ranges or infection was associated with only some phylogenetic lineages (usually correlated with the distribution of mitochondrial lineages) (Clark et al., 2001; Roehrdanz et al., 2006). Furthermore, examples of multiply infected species and
individuals have been reported, which has important consequences for the understanding of some of the effects of *Wolbachia* infection (Malloch et al., 2000; Gurfield, 2016). *Wolbachia* is known to have numerous effects on its hosts, among which the most interesting and important are those that disturb host reproduction, such as cytoplasmic incompatibility, thelytokous parthenogenesis, feminization of genetic males, male-killing, increased mating success of infected males via sperm competition and the host’s complete dependence on bacteria for egg production (for reviews see Werren, 1997; Werren & O’Neill, 1997 and Stouthamer et al., 1999). Some of these effects are responsible for diversification of host populations and consequently for speciation (e.g. by the selective sweep of mtDNA or the whole genome of the infected host with the genome of bacteria; Keller et al., 2004; Mazur et al., 2016). This could be another major factor, additional to those already known, responsible for radiation of insects and particularly beetles.

There are several reviews summarizing the state of knowledge on *Wolbachia* infection among various taxonomic groups of nematodes and arthropods. Over the last years, such reviews have been prepared for the following groups: filarial nematodes (Filarioidea) (Taylor & Hoerauf, 1999; Casiraghi et al., 2001), crustaceans (Crustacea) (Cordaux et al., 2001), spiders (Araneae) (Goodacre et al., 2006; Yun et al., 2010), mites (Acari) (Chasirini et al., 2015), springtails (Collembola) (Czarnetzki et al., 2004), Heteropteran Bugs (Heteroptera) (Kikuchi et al., 2003), ants (Formicidae) (Russell, 2012), wasps (Hymenoptera: Apocrita) (Schoemaker et al., 2002) and butterflies (Lepidoptera) (Tagami et al., 2004). Surprisingly, there is no such review for beetles (Coleoptera), which are the most species rich and diversified group of organisms on Earth, which are known from most habitats, and whose members belong to all major trophic guilds of animals. Some groups of beetles have been examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection, but usually only with a limited coverage of species (e.g. weevils, Curculionidae, Lachowska et al., 2010; leaf beetles; Chrysomelidae, Clark et al., 2001, Jäckel et al., 2013; jewel beetles; Buprestidae, Sontowski et al., 2015 and minute moss beetles, Hydraenidae, Sontowski et al., 2015).

In this review we have summarized the current state of knowledge on the relations between beetles and *Wolbachia* by referring to all the abovementioned groups of research.
Moreover, we have highlighted future research directions concerning *Wolbachia* relationships with their diverse Coleopteran hosts.

**Survey Methodology**

We searched the scientific literature with Web of Knowledge databases, using the following combination of keywords linked by AND (the Boolean search term to stipulate that the record should contain this AND the next term): “*Wolbachia*” AND “Coleoptera” and “*Wolbachia*” AND “beetles”. Our final literature search for this analysis was conducted on December 22, 2017. This produced 322 results. Each result was inspected to determine whether or not it contained information on the subject matter. Articles that had no relevance (e.g. any reports that were not about *Wolbachia*-Coleoptera relations, including those that only had some references to either beetles or bacteria in the citations) were excluded. After the removal of duplicates, 65 were excluded from the remaining articles (n = 234) for not being direct reports about *Wolbachia*-Coleoptera relations, 44 were excluded because they examined other hosts and only referred to publications on Coleoptera, and 44 others were excluded because they referred to data already presented in previous publications on Coleoptera. The use of two alternative and comprehensive scientific collections should have reduced any biases. Each document was read critically for the information that it contained on *Wolbachia*-Coleoptera relations, with special reference to answering the study questions listed below. Figure 1 shows a flow diagram for the systematic review following Prisma guidelines (Moher et al., 2009).

We examined the collected data on various aspects of *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera with respect to the following: the i) characteristics of the publications (to determine the scope and progress of studies on *Wolbachia*) (n=81), ii) geographic distribution of infected beetle species and populations (n=79), iii) sampling design (how many sites and individuals were examined) (n=63), iv) characteristics of the markers (genes) used for genotyping the bacteria (n=77) and their hosts (n=34), v) numbers and frequencies of species found to be infected in particular beetle families and genera (n=58), vi) supergroup prevalence in examined taxonomic groups (n=40), vii) strain distribution and diversity in populations and individuals (n=30), vii) effects of *Wolbachia* on its beetle hosts (n=39). Statistical analyses were done in Statistica 11 (Statsoft).
Finally, we downloaded from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and the Wolbachia MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/) all available sequences of Wolbachia genes found in any species of beetle. We restricted further analyses to the most widely used bacteria genes, i.e. 16S rDNA, Wolbachia surface protein gene wsp and cell division protein gene ftsZ. Because of the different lengths and spans of available sequences, the long parts of the 3’ and 5’ ends of each gene were trimmed, which resulted in alignments of length 663 bp for 16S rDNA, 355 bp for wsp and 241 bp for ftsZ. The length of the ftsZ alignment was particularly short as two different sets of primers have been used for its amplification, and its amplicons only overlapped across a relatively short part of the gene. Phylogenetic trees were only reconstructed for unique gene variants found in particular host taxa. Trees were inferred using Maximum Likelihood (ML) implemented in IQ-TREE web server http://www.iqtree.org/ (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016) under the following settings Auto selection of substitution model, ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFBoot) (Minh et al., 2013) with 10000 iterations, maximum correlation coefficient = 0.99, single branch test with use of the approximate Likelihood-Ratio Test (SH-aLRT) (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006; Guindon et al., 2010) and other default options.

The nomenclature of host taxa and their systematic positions throughout the paper follow the articles from which the data was derived.

Characterization of Wolbachia infection among Coleoptera

Publications

The final list of publications concerning data about Wolbachia infection in Coleoptera comprised 81 papers (Supplementary Table 1). The oldest articles with relevant information about Wolbachia infection in beetles were published in 1992 (Campbell et al., 1992; O’Neill et al., 1992), and the number of articles since then has increased significantly year by year (Spearman correlation = 0.817; Fig. 2). The majority of these articles (63%) concerned infection in only single beetle species, whereas 20% discussed infection in multiple species belonging to the same genus, 6% – multiple species from the same family, 6% – various species of Coleoptera et al., and a further 5% – studies on geographic groups of insects that included some, usually random species of beetles (O’Neill et al., 1992; Werren et al., 1995, 2000).
Most studies were done on Curculionidae (33) and Chrysomelidae (31), following Tenebrionidae (9), Coccinellidae (7) and Sylvanidae (3) (Supplementary Table 1). The members of all other families were investigated in only 1-2 studies. Consequently, 2.5 and 1.6 Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae species were respectively examined per article. All species of Hydraenidae and Buprestidae were included in only single articles (Sontowski et al., 2015), whereas limited numbers of species of Coccinellidae and Tenebrionidae were examined in several articles (Hurst et al., 1999; Fialho & Stevens 1996, 1997, 2000; Majerus et al., 2000; et al., Weinert et al., 2007; Elnagdy et al., 2013; Ming et al., 2015; Goodacre et al., 2015; Kageyama et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Dudek et al.; 2017). Wolbachia infection was only studied more than once in 20 species.

Sampling design

The majority of species investigated with respect to Wolbachia infection were from Europe, and a relatively high number of species were from Asia and both Americas, whereas only ten infected species were from Africa, and three from Australia-Oceania (Fig. 3). A number of publications describing Wolbachia infection in Coleoptera had similar geographic coverages (Fig. 3).

Studies were done on samples collected from an average of 5.2 sites and concerned on average 53.0 specimens, or if excluding the most widely studied families Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, 6.0 sites and 65.1 individuals (Fig. 4). For Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, these numbers were on average 4.4 and 6.0 sites, respectively, and 40.7 and 70.2 individuals, respectively (Fig. 4). The numbers of sites and individuals examined in particular groups were insignificantly different, with the exception of the numbers of examined individuals in Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae (Fig. 4).

Examined genetic markers

The most often used Wolbachia gene for studies on Coleoptera was fisZ, followed by hcpA, wsp and 16S rDNA (Fig. 5). Most studies using hcpA also used other MLST genes, including ftsZ. On the other hand, many species were only investigated with either 16S rDNA or wsp or fisZ alone. Single studies used groEL (Monochamus alternatus, Aikawa et al., 2009; Tribolium madens, Fialho & Stevens, 2000) or ITS genes (Tribolium madens, Fialho & Stevens,
So far, only five studies have used next-generation sequencing technology (Illumina or 454) to detect *Wolbachia*; two used *16S rDNA* for metabarcoding of microbiota (*Sitona obsoletus*, *Steriphus variabilis*, White et al., 2015; *Aleochara bilineata* and *Aleochara bipustulata*, Bili et al., 2016; *Hylobia abietis*, Berasategui et al., 2016; *Brontispa longissimi*, Takano et al., 2017; *Harmonia axyridis*, Dudek et al., 2017) and one used shotgun genomic sequencing (*Amara alpine*, Heintzman et al., 2014). For genotyping of hosts, 52.4% of studies utilized fragments of *COI* from mtDNA (usually a barcode fragment of this gene). Fewer studies (23.1%) analyzed rDNA (usually *ITS1* and/or *ITS2* spacers), *EF1α* (14.0%), *Wingless* (2.2%), *Histone H3* (2.2%) and microsatellites (6.1%). In *Wolbachia*-related studies, host genes have been used for several purposes like i) using host DNA as a control for genetic material quality, ii) barcoding for host species identification, iii) phylogenetics, phylogeography and population genetics, iv) estimating co-evolutionary relations between the bacteria and host, and v) detecting some of the effects of *Wolbachia* on its hosts (like linkage disequilibrium, selective sweep, cytoplasmic incompatibility).

**Taxonomic coverage**

The beetles examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection belong to 22 families (Micromalthidae, Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae, Carabidae, Staphyllinidae, Hydrophilidae, Hydraenidae, Anobiidae, Dermestidae, Buprestidae, Byturidae, Cleridae, Lampyridae, Coccinellidae, Tenebrionidae, Meloidae, Sylvanidae, Cerambycidae, Chrysomelidae, Curculionidae). In total 197 beetle species were found to harbor *Wolbachia* infection; however the distribution of infected species among families varied markedly. The highest numbers of infected beetle species were found for the Curculionidae (79 species), Chrysomelidae (51 species), Hydraenidae (14 species), Buprestidae (13 species), Coccinellidae (9 species) and Dytiscidae (8 species) (Fig. 6). In all other families only 1-3 species were reported to harbor *Wolbachia* (Supplementary Table 1). However, these numbers are biased by the low number of articles (studies) dealing with members of particular beetle families (see above).

Considering infection across beetle genera, the most richly infected genera were *Altica* (Chrysomelidae, 17 species), *Naupactus* (Curculionidae, 11 species), *Hydraena* (Hydraenidae, 8 species) and *Agrilus* (Buprestidae, 6 species) (Supplementary Table 1). In total, 49 genera were
found to have infected members (Supplementary Table 1, Table 1). The infection in Coleoptera was estimated at 38.3% of examined species; however, the proportion of infected species varied greatly between families and genera. At the family level the infection frequency was from 10.5% (Tenebrionidae) to 100% (Noteridae) (Goodacre et al., 2015, Sontowski et al., 2015); however when considering only families for which more than 30 species were investigated (e.g. Clark et al., 2001; Lachowska-Cierlik et al.; 2010, Rodriguer et al.; 2010a, Kondo et al.; 2011, Jäckel et al., 2013; Sontowski et al.; 2015, Kawasaki et al., 2016), infection was found in up to 63% of species (Hydraenidae) (Table 1). At lower taxonomic levels, Wolbachia was found in 25% of Diabroticite (Chrysomelidae; Clark et al., 2001), 14.3-16.7% of Bruchina (Chrysomelidae; Kondo et al., 2011), 34.8% of Scolytinae (Curculionidae, Kawasaki et al., 2016) and 16.7% of Curculionini (Toju et al., 2013). Among 54 genera in which Wolbachia infection was examined for at least 2 species, 12 genera were completely uninfected, while 6 genera were completely infected (Table 1). If considering only genera with at least 5 verified species, Wolbachia was found in 0% (Acmaeodera; Buprestidae; Sontowski et al., 2015) to 88% species (Altica, Chrysomelidae; Jäckel et al., 2013). There was only a marginally negative and insignificant correlation between the number of examined and number of infected species (R=-0.078). If considering only the most widely examined families: Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae, the difference in infection frequency between these two groups was insignificant (Z=-1.656, P=0.098). Geographic studies on Wolbachia prevalence in insects have found much lower frequencies of infection in Coleoptera species: the bacterium was found in only 10.5% of beetles from Panama and 13.5% of beetles from North America (Werren et al., 1995a, 2000).

Wolbachia diversity

Among the various beetle species, Wolbachia strains belonged to three supergroups (A, B and F). However, they occurred at very different proportions in different groups of beetles, and these differences were significant (Chi²=98.78, P=0.000). Overall, the proportion of beetle species found to be infected with Wolbachia strains belonging to supergroups A or B was similar, with approx. 12% of all species harboring either supergroup (either as single infections in different species or populations or as multiple infections within individuals) (Fig. 7), whereas supergroup F was found in only 3 beetle species: Agrilus araxenus and Lamprodila mirifica (both Buprestidae; Sontowski et al., 2015) and Rhinocyllus conicus (Curculionidae; Campbell et
In the four groups of beetles with the highest numbers of examined and infected species, the distributions of supergroups varied: in Buprestidae, a similar number of species were infected by supergroups A and B (all singly infected), with a relatively high proportion of F infected species (Sontowski et al., 2015). In contrast, in Hydraenida, supergroup A dominated over supergroup B (Sontowski et al., 2015). This was also the case in Chrysomelidae, with some species infected by both strains (Kondo et al., 2011; Jäckel et al., 2013; Kolasa et al., 2017). The most varied infections were observed in Curculionidae, with supergroup B dominating, a presence of taxa infected by both A and B supergroups, and a single species infected by F supergroup (Lachowska-Cierlik et al.; 2010, Rodriguer et al., 2010a; Kawasaki et al., 2016) (Fig. 7). Considering the frequency of infected specimens in the examined beetle species represented by the available data (N=106), 63 species were reported to be totally infected (all individuals possessed Wolbachia), whereas 43 species had this bacterium in only some individuals (if exclude Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae: 8 and 15 species, respectively) (Fig. 8). The same calculated for Chrysomelidae resulted in 17 and 10 species, respectively, and for Curculionidae in 38 and 18 species, respectively (Fig. 8). These differences between these values (between these groups of species) were significant (Chi²=72.03, P=0.000). A single Wolbachia strain was observed in 43 species (species with available data N = 62), whereas two strains were reported in 10 species (Byturus tomentosus, Malloch et al., 2000; Altica quercetorum, Jäckel et al., 2013; Callosobruchus chinensis, Okayama et al., 2016; Chelymorpha alternans, Keller et al., 2004; Crioceris quaterdecimpunctata and Crioceris quinquepunctata, Kolasa et al., 2017; Adalia bipunctata, Majerus et al., 2000; Polydrusus inustus, Kajtoch et al., 2012; Cyanapion afer and C. spencii, Kajtoch et al., 2017) and multiple infection in a further 9 species (Callosobruchus chinensis, Kondo et al., 2002; Diabrotica barberi, Roehrdanz & Levine, 2007; Conotrachelus nenuphar, Zhang et al., 2010; Pityogenes chalcographus, Arthofer et al., 2009; Xyleborus dispar and Xylosandrus germanus, Kawasaki et al., 2016) (Fig. 8). In Chrysomelidae (N=22) these numbers were 12, 5 and 5, respectively and in Curculionidae (N=37), 30, 3 and 4, respectively (Fig. 8). The numbers of single, double and multiple infected individuals in these groups of beetles differed insignificantly (Chi² ANOVA=2.364, P=0.307).

Effects on hosts
*Wolbachia* affected beetle hosts in several ways. Linkage disequilibrium and/or selective sweep between bacteria and host genomes (usually with host mtDNA) were detected in 6 species (3% or 9% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae): 2 (4%) Chrysomelidae (*Altica lythri*, Jäckel et al., 2013; *Aphthona nigriscutis*, Roehrdanz et al., 2006) and 4 (5%) Curculionidae (*Eusomus ovulum*, Mazur et al., 2016; *Naupactus cervinus*, Rodriguero et al., 2010b, *Polydrusus inustus*, *Polydrusus pilifer*, Kajtoch et al., 2012). Cytoplasmic incompatibility was detected or suspected but unconfirmed in 12 (6% or 18% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae) Coleoptera: 6 (13%) Chrysomelidae (*Chelymorpha alternans*, Keller et al., 2004, *Diabrotica barberi*, Roehrdanz & Levine 2007, et al., *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*, Giordano et al., 1997; *Callosobruchus chinensis*, Kondo et al., 2002; *Callosobruchus analis*, Numajiri et al., 2017; *Brontispa longissima*, Takano et al., 2017), 3 (4%) of Curculionidae (*Cossomus sp.*, Zhang et al., 2010; *Hypotenemus hampei*, Mariño et al., 2017, *Xylosandrus germanus*, Kawasaki et al., 2016), 1 of Sylvanidae (*Oryzaephilus surinamensis*, Sharaf et al., 2010) and 1 of Tenebrionidae (*Tribolium confusum*, Li et al., 2016, Ming et al., 2015). Horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* was detected or suspected in 26 species of Coleoptera (13% or 39% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae) — 16 (33%) species of Chrysomelidae (several species of *Altica*, Jäckel et al., 2013, *Criocerus quaterdecimpunctata* and *Criocerus quinquepunctata*, Kolasa et al., 2017) and 10 (14%) species of Curculionidae (members of *Euwallacea*, *Xyloborus*, *Xylosandrus*, *Xyleborinus scaufussi* and *Taphrorychus bicolor*, Kawasaki et al., 2016, *Polydrusus* and *Parafoucartia squamulata*, Kajtoch et al., 2012; *Sitophilus oryzae* and *S. zaemais*, Carvalho et al., 2014). Other effects of *Wolbachia* on beetles included the following: i) transfer of bacteria genes to the autosomes of the host (so far detected only for *Monochamus alternatus*, Cerambicidae, Aikawa et al., 2009 and *Callosobruchus chinensis*, Chrysomelidae, Nikoh et al., 2008); ii) coexistence of *Wolbachia* with *Rickettsia* (*Calvia quattuordecimguttata*, *Coccidula rufa*, *Coccinella septempunctata*, *Halyzia sedecimguttata*, *Rhizobius litura*, Weinert et al., 2007; *Sitona obsoletus*, White et al., 2015; *Micromalthus debilis*, Perotti et al., 2016) in the host or with *Spiroplasma* (*Chilocorus bipustulatus*, Weinert et al., 2007; *Aleochara bipustulata*, Bili et al., 2016) or with both (*Adalia bipunctata*, Majerus et al., 2000, *Harmonia axyridis*, Dudek et al., 2017; both Chrysomelidae; *Curculio sikkimensis*, Toju & Fukatsu, 2011; *Aleochara bilineata*, Bili et al., 2016); iii) induction and reinforcement of parthenogenesis, however this effect had weak support and had other possible alternative explanations (numerous species of Naupactini, Rodriguer et
al., 2010a and Eusomus ovulum, Mazur et al., 2016; all Curculionidae; Micromalthus debilis, Perotti et al., 2016); iv) possible induction of haplodiploidy (Euwallacea interjectus, Euwallacea validus, Curculionidae, Kawasaki et al., 2016); v) male-killing (Tribolium madens, Tenebrionidae, Fialho & Stevens, 2000); vi) necessity of infection for egg development (Otiorhynchus sulcatus, Curculionidae, Son et al., 2008; Coccotreps dactyliperda, Zchori-Fein et al., 2006); vii) populations evolving towards endosymbiont loss and repeated intraspecific horizontal transfer of Wolbachia (Pityogenes chalcographus, Curculionidae, Arthofer et al., 2009), viii) fitness decline in infected beetles (Callosobruchus analis, Numajiri et al., 2017), ix) modification of sperm (Chelymorpha alternans, Clark et al., 2008), x) down-regulation of defense genes in host plants (maize in Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, Barr et al., 2010).

Phylogenetic relations

The tree reconstructed for 16S rDNA included 52 sequences from bacteria found in 45 host beetle species. This tree included three major lineages, with separate clusters of Wolbachia sequences belonging to A, B and F supergroups (Supplementary Fig. 1). F supergroup was represented by a single sequence from Rhinocyllus conicus (Curculionidae) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Sequences assigned to supergroup A (based on information available in the articles) were found to be polyphyletic. Some 16S sequences from Xylosandrus spp. and Curculio spp. (Curculionidae), or Oreina cacaliae and Galeruca tanaceti (Chrysomelidae) clustered as a sister lineage to all other A and B sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1). Overall, the diversity of 16S sequences assigned to supergroup B was much greater than those assigned to supergroup A (Supplementary Fig. 1).

The tree reconstructed for ftsZ included 131 sequences found in 114 host beetle species. The ftsZ phylogenetic tree resulted in a topology similar to that of 16S rDNA – it included groups of sequences belonging to A, B and F supergroups (Supplementary Fig. 2). Supergroup F was represented by Agrilus araxenus and Sphaerobothris aghababiani (both Buprestidae). Moreover, the supergroup B clade was divided into two clusters, among which one included a small group of sequences found in four beetle hosts: Chelymorpha alternans (Chrysomelidae), Eurymetopus fallax, Sitophilus oryzae and Conotrachelus nenuphar (all three Curculionidae) (Supplementary Fig. 2). Also in this gene, the genetic variation of sequences belonging to supergroup A was much lower, and only a few sequences were highly diverged (e.g. strains of Callosobruchus
chinensis, Chrysomelidae; Tribolium confusum, Tenebrionidae or Polydrosus pilosus, Curculionidae) (Supplementary Fig. 2). There was also one slightly distinct clade that mainly consisted of bacteria sequences found in some Hydraenidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The Wsp tree was built for 100 sequences found in 82 hosts. This network resulted in two clusters representing supergroups A and B; among the available sequences there were no representatives of supergroup F (Supplementary Fig. 3). Wsp was found to be more diverse than 16S and fisZ, as it had multiple distant lineages in both supergroups. Within supergroup B the most distant lineage originated from the only wsp sequence found in Callosobruchus analis (Chrysomelidae) (Supplementary Fig. 3). In this supergroup, two distinct clades could also be delineated: one consisting of Wolbachia sequences found in a variety of beetle hosts and the second mainly consisting of hosts from Curculionidae (Otiorhynchus singularis, Sitophilus spp.), Chrysomelidae (Callosobruchus spp., Acromis sparsa) and Byturidae (Byturus tomentosus) (Supplementary Fig. 3). Similarly, in supergroup A several distinct lineages could be delineated, consisting of Wolbachia sequences found in e.g. Ceutorhynchus obstrictus (Curculionidae), Diabrotica spp., Oreina spp. and Aphthona spp. (all Chrysomelidae) – which are all represented by several strains (Supplementary Fig. 3).

The abovementioned phylogenetic reconstructions of the relations among Wolbachia strains identified on the basis of polymorphism of several genes show that there is no strict correlation between host phylogeny and bacterial strain relationships. Even in studies that covered multiple related species (e.g. those belonging to the same genus), evidence for direct inheritance of Wolbachia strains from common ancestors is restricted to Hydraenidae (Sontowski et al., 2015) and some species of Oreina (Montagna et al., 2014) or Curculio (Toju et al., 2013). In the case of Altica, the data show that cospeciation was rare and restricted to a few recently diverged species (Jäckel et al., 2013). In contrast, there are numerous examples of phylogenetically related beetle species possessing different Wolbachia strains (e.g. Lachowska et al., 2010). It is also often the case among related species that some are infected, whereas others not (Crioceris, Kubisz et al., 2012; Oreina, Montagna et al., 2014; Cyanapion, Kajtoch et al., 2017); so any assumption that the bacteria was inherited from a common ancestor would also need to consider multiple losses of infection. The latter phenomenon is probable; however, there
is no direct evidence from natural populations, at least in studies on beetles, of *Wolbachia* disappearing over time. Some exemplary studies that found *Wolbachia* present in related species, after detailed examination, rejected the idea that bacteria was inherited from a common ancestor. This was because different host species harbored unrelated stains (e.g. among weevils, Lachowska et al., 2010, Rodriguer et al., 2010a) or in cases where strains were identical or similar, the hosts were not phylogenetically close to each other (e.g. *Crioceris*, Kubisz et al., 2012). Finally, there is evermore proof of horizontal *Wolbachia* transmission via different mechanisms, such as via predators, parasitoids, common habitat or foraging on the same host plants (Huigens et al., 2004; Stahlhut et al., 2010; Caspi-Fluger et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2015; Kolasa et al., 2017). Studies on beetles have mainly provided indirect evidence of such transmissions. There are known groups of species that inhabit the same environments and share the same or very similar *Wolbachia* strains, e.g. steppic weevils from East-central Europe (Mazur et al., 2014) and bark beetles in Japan (Kawasaki et al., 2016). Recently, evidence for has also appeared for the role of host plants in bacteria spread – *Wolbachia* DNA was detected in two species of *Crioceris* leaf beetles and in their host plant – *Asparagus* spp. (Kolasa et al., 2017).

Finally, in light of the proposed “*Candidatus* Wolbachia” species, the summarized phylogenetic relations among *Wolbachia* strains infecting various beetles indicate that the taxonomic distinctiveness of supergroups is inconclusive (Ramírez-Puebla et al., 2015; Lindsey et al., 2016). First, beetles generally harbor members of supergroups A and B, and only occasionally members of supergroup F. Therefore, it is not possible to make any conclusions about broader *Wolbachia* taxonomy based only on *Wolbachia* strains found in Coleoptera. However, there are numerous examples of beetle hosts harboring both supergroups, including beetles in which some *Wolbachia* genes are of supergroup A origin, while others are of supergroup B origin; this indicates that recombination between strains belonging to different supergroups is quite frequent. This is evidence against the designation of the “*Candidatus* Wolbachia” species, at least with respect to members of supergroup A and B.

**Current gaps and future endeavors**

The present knowledge on *Wolbachia* infection across beetle species and populations is very uneven. Even the basic data about infection statuses in species and frequencies of infected species across genera and families is superficial, as there are only c. 200 beetle species known to
be infected. This means that if 38% is the average frequency of infection among beetle species, then only c. 530 species have been tested so far. This is merely c. 0.15% of the total number of beetles, which is estimated to be around 360,000 species (Farrell, 1998; Bouchard et al., 2009).

We know even less at the population level, as the majority of beetle species have only had single individuals tested for Wolbachia infection (e.g. Lachowska et al., 2010, Sontowski et al., 2015). These very basic screens have probably underestimated the number of infected species because of false-negative results obtained for species with low or local infection in populations. On the other hand, these preliminary estimates could have overestimated the real number infected beetles, as sampling in these studies was rarely random and most often focused on specific groups, e.g. on genera for which preliminary data suggested the presence of Wolbachia infection.

Indeed, an intensive search of Wolbachia infection across hundreds of beetle species from Europe suggested a lower infection rate – c. 27% to be infected (Kajtoch et al., unpublished). Also, knowledge about infection at the geographic scale is very uneven, and only Europe and Asia (basically China and Japan) have been relatively well investigated. There is a huge gap in the knowledge for African, Australian and Oceanian beetles, where a high diversity of beetles exists and probably a similar diversity of Wolbachia could be expected (e.g. compared to preliminary data available from Central and South America (Werren et al., 1995; Rodriguer et al., 2010a)).

Little is known about Wolbachia diversity in beetle hosts, as the majority of studies used only single genetic markers, and often different genes were sequenced for different taxa. This precludes complex analysis of Wolbachia diversity across all tested beetle hosts. This has changed since 2006, since Baldo et al., (2006) proposed Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST), which is based on the genotyping of five housekeeping genes, usually in conjunction with wsp sequencing. MLST is and should remain a sufficient way to understand basic Wolbachia diversity. On the other hand, to fully understand Wolbachia relations among strains and supergroups (or presumed species), between Wolbachia and its hosts and especially between Wolbachia and other microorganisms, amplicon-sequencing (e.g. 16S rDNA) or genome-sequencing are needed. This could be achieved thanks to the development of next-generation sequencing technologies (NGS). Surprisingly, despite fast development of NGS in the last years, very few studies have used this technology for studying Wolbachia in beetle populations. For example, five studies sequenced 16S amplicons generated from microbiota and detected
Wolbachia (White et al., 2015; Bili et al., 2016; Berasategui et al., 2016; Takano et al., 2017; Dudek et al., 2017). The only single study that utilized shotgun sequencing was executed for other purposes and also accidentally showed Wolbachia genes in examined species (Heintzman et al., 2014). NGS is probably the best prospect for studies on Wolbachia infection and diversity, and will help to answer most current riddles and issues.

The big challenge is to understand the impact of infection on beetle biology, physiology and ecology. It is known that Wolbachia has several effects on host reproduction, but relatively few studies prove or suggest e.g. cytoplasmic incompatibility, male-killing or other effects on the development of selected beetles (Clark et al., 2001; Keller et al., 2004; Roehrdanz & Levine 2007 Sharaf et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Jäckel et al., 2013; Ming et al., 2015; Kawasaki et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Mariño et al., 2017; Numajiri et al., 2017; Takano et al., 2017). It is very probable that this bacteria has large and frequent effects on beetle reproduction and is consequently partially responsible for beetle radiation, at least in some taxonomic groups, geographic areas or habitats. Also very few studies have shown data on linkage disequilibrium and selective sweep between bacteria and host genomes (Roehrdanz et al., 2006; Rodriguero et al., 2010b; Kajtoch et al., 2012; Jäckel et al., 2013; et al. Mazur et al., 2016). These effects could also have led to the speciation of numerous beetles. Moreover, this phenomenon could have serious implications for beetle barcoding, as selective sweep is known to reduce mitochondrial diversity in its hosts and therefore could decrease the number of identified species (Hurst & Jiggins, 2005). On the other hand, cytoplasmic incompatibility can lead to the origin of highly diverged phylogenetic mitochondrial lineages within species, which would increase the number of identified taxa (Smith et al., 2012). Also here, NGS technologies will enable more sophisticated analyses of these genetic relations and their effects (e.g. by the sequencing of transcriptomes for physiological studies or by genotyping-by-sequencing for phylogenetic studies). Genotyping with NGS should also verify whether the recent assumption that different supergroups are indeed “Candidatus Wolbachia” species is correct or not (Ramirez-Puebla et al., 2015; Lindsey et al., 2016).

Only very preliminary results suggested Wolbachia was not only transmitted vertically, but that it could also have spread horizontally (Jäckel et al., 2013; Carvalho et al., 2014; Kawasaki et al., 2016; Kolasa et al., 2017; Mazur et al., 2017). In light of the general lack of
cospeciation between bacteria and beetles, horizontal transmission must be a highly underestimated phenomenon. Horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* among beetles, cannot be confirmed without considering other coexisting insects that can mediate transmission, such as predators, parasitoids or beetle prey. Moreover, other arthropods that share habitats with beetles, e.g. phoretic ticks (Hartelt et al., 2004) and nematodes (Casiraghi et al., 2001), need to be examined. Finally, host plants are promising objects of studies on *Wolbachia* transmission across beetle populations (Kolasa et al., 2017), as phloem is probably an important mediator of this bacteria’s spread across insect populations (DeLay, 2012; Li et al., 2016). Concerning transmission – another very interesting topic is the transfer of *Wolbachia* genes into host genomes (Dunning Hotopp et al., 2007; Koutsovoulos et al., 2014; Funkhouser-Jones et al., 2015). This issue has only been reported twice for beetle hosts so far (Nikoh et al., 2008; Aikawa et al., 2009). This problem could be important as if such transfers are frequent, simple testing of *Wolbachia* presence in a host based on single or even several gene sequencing could overestimate the number of truly infected species, populations or individuals.

Finally, a very interesting topic for future studies is the examination of the presence of other intracellular and symbiotic bacteria (like *Cardinium*, *Spiroplasma*, *Rickettsia*), in Coleoptera and their relations, both with the host and *Wolbachia*. So far, only three studies have found *Wolbachia* with *Rickettsia* and/or *Spiroplasma* together in beetle hosts (Majerus et al., 2000; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu 2011; White et al., 2015; Perotti et al., 2016; Bili et al., 2016; Dudek et al., 2017). Preliminary results suggest that there is some balance in the number of these bacteria, probably caused by competition within host cells (Goto et al., 2006). A recent summary of the presence of these bacteria in insects showed that *Rickettsia* has been found in single species of Micromalthidae, Staphylinidae, Buprestidae, Coccinellidae and Curculionidae (Werren et al., 1994; Lawson et al., 2001; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu 2011; White et al., 2015; Perotti et al., 2016; Bili et al., 2016), *Spiroplasma* in some species of Staphylinidae, Coccinellidae and Curculionidae (Majerus et al., 1998; Hurst et al., 1999; Tinsley & Majerus, 2006; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu 2011; Bili et al., 2016), and *Cardinium* has not been detected so far in any beetle species (Zchori-Fein et al., 2004). The coexistence of different endosymbiotic bacteria and their effects on hosts should also be investigated with NGS technologies, which are able to detect bacteria in numerous hosts (e.g. individuals) at once and estimate prevalence of bacteria in various hosts or different tissues. NGS has already been
proven to be a powerful tool for detecting undescribed bacteria (e.g. it allowed the identification of new Alphaproteobacteria in *Brontispa longissimi*; Takano et al., 2017). Different endosymbiotic bacteria could have either similar or contrasting effects on beetle species, populations and individuals and could be the greatest overlooked phenomenon in the evolution and ecology of Coleoptera.

In our opinion, beetles are still an insufficiently examined group of *Wolbachia* hosts, especially considering their systematic and ecological diversity. All issues in studies on *Wolbachia* in Coleoptera are generally the same as in other hosts of these bacteria, or *vice versa*; there is no issue that has been or is being studied on *Wolbachia* infection in other (non-beetle) hosts that could not also be examined in beetle hosts. And the extraordinary diversity of beetles (with respect to their diverse systematics at various taxonomic levels, complex phylogenetic relations and extensive ecological relations with each other and numerous other species) makes this group an excellent target for *Wolbachia* studies. The presented summary about *Wolbachia* infection in beetles shows that despite numerous studies, there are still many issues that need to be investigated. We hope that this systematic review will facilitate various future studies on *Wolbachia* infection among beetles.
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Table 1

Image of share of *Wolbachia* infected species among families and genera of examined beetles.

Table 1: Share of *Wolbachia* infected species among families and genera of examined beetles. Only taxonomic groups for which at least two species were tested are presented.
| family          | N of examined | % of infected | genus            | N of examined | % of infected | genus     | N of examined | % of infected |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Buprestidae     | 61            | 23.0          | Archarius        | 6             | 16.7          | Ilybius   | 2             | 0.0           |
| Chrysomelidae   | 84            | 45.2          | Atrichonotus     | 2             | 50.0          | Julodis   | 2             | 0.0           |
| Curculionidae   | 137           | 41.6          | Barypeithes      | 9             | 11.0          | Koreoculio| 2             | 50.0          |
| Dytiscidae      | 36            | 16.7          | Brachysomus      | 4             | 0.0           | Laccophilus| 2             | 0.0           |
| Gyrinidae       | 3             | 33.3          | Buprestis        | 3             | 0.0           | Limnebius | 7             | 28.6          |
| Haliplidae      | 2             | 50.0          | Byturus          | 3             | 33.0          | Longitarsus| 3             | 100.0         |
| Hydreaenidae    | 27            | 63.0          | Callosbruchus    | 3             | 33.3          | Meliboeus | 2             | 0.0           |
| Hydrophilidae   | 12            | 16.7          | Callosobruchus   | 7             | 33.0          | Naupactus | 16            | 69.0          |
| Noteridae       | 2             | 100.0         | Capnodis         | 3             | 33.3          | Neoglanis | 2             | 0.0           |
| Tenebrionidae   | 11            | 9.1           | Charidotella     | 2             | 50.0          | Ochthebius| 12            | 41.7          |
| subfamily       | N of examined | % of infected | Chrysobothris    | 3             | 33.3          | Oreina    | 5             | 80.0          |
| Bruchinae       | 24            | 16.7          | Criocerus        | 5             | 40.0          | Otiorhynchus| 4             | 50.0          |
| Galerucinae     | 12            | 25.0          | Curculio         | 23            | 17.4          | Pantomorus| 3             | 100.0         |
| Curculionidae   | 36            | 16.7          | Cyanapion        | 6             | 50.0          | Polydrosus| 4             | 75.0          |
| Scolytinae      | 23            | 34.8          | Deronectes       | 11            | 45.4          | Rhantus   | 2             | 0.0           |
| genus           | N of examined | % of infected | Diabrotica       | 12            | 25.0          | Rhinusa   | 3             | 33.3          |
| Acalyyma        | 2             | 100.0         | Dorytomus        | 3             | 67.0          | Sciaphobus| 2             | 50.0          |
| Acmaeodera      | 5             | 0.0           | Eurymetopus      | 2             | 100.0         | Sitophilus| 3             | 100.0         |
| Acmaeoderella   | 4             | 0.0           | Gyris            | 3             | 33.0          | Sphenoptera| 11            | 9.1           |
| Agabus          | 6             | 16.7          | Halipus          | 3             | 33.0          | Sthroposoma| 3             | 67.0          |
| Agrilus         | 34            | 17.6          | Heloprhorus      | 3             | 0.0           | Trachypteris| 2             | 0.0           |
| Altica          | 16            | 88.0          | Hydraena         | 24            | 33.3          | Trachys   | 6             | 16.7          |
| Anthaxia        | 6             | 16.7          | Hydroporus       | 5             | 0.0           | Tribolium | 8             | 12.5          |
| Aramigus        | 3             | 100.0         | Hygrots          | 5             | 20.0          |         |         |              |
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Prisma flow-diagram for literature on Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations included in this study.

Figure 1: Prisma flow-diagram (see Moher et al., 2009) for literature on Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations included in this study.
Figure 1 Prisma flow-diagram (see Moher et al., 2009) for literature included in this study. Flowdiagram for literature on Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations included in this study.
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Image of change in the number of publications considering *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera.

Figure 2: Change in the number of publications considering *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera.
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Image of number of publications that described *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera and number of infected beetle species.

Figure 3: Number of publications that described *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera and number of infected beetle species. Both are shown with respect to the zoogeography of the examined hosts (from which continent the host was collected).
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Image of numbers of sites and numbers of individuals of beetles examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection.

Figure 4: Numbers of sites and numbers of individuals of beetles examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection. P – Man-Whitney test p-values.
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Image of shares of *Wolbachia* genes used in studies on *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera.

Figure 5: Shares of *Wolbachia* genes used in studies on *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera.
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Image of shares of *Wolbachia* infected beetle species across the examined families ofColeoptera.

Figure 6: Shares of *Wolbachia* infected beetle species across the examined families of Coleoptera. The numbers presented after the family names indicate the number of infected species.
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Image of shares of beetles infected by *Wolbachia* supergroups (A, B, F).

Figure 7: Shares of beetles infected by *Wolbachia* supergroups (A, B, F). [Beetle photographs are from ICONOGRAPHIA COLEOPTERORUM POLONIAE (© Copyright by Prof. Lech Borowiec)]
Image of diversity of *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera with respect to shares of infected individuals within species and numbers of strains found in beetles.

Figure 8: Diversity of *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera with respect to shares of infected individuals within species and numbers of strains found in beetles. [Beetle photographs are from ICONOGRAPHIA COLEOPTERORUM POLONIAE (© Copyright by Prof. Lech Borowiec)]
