The Cluster Fe$_2$Si$_{18}$ as the New Quantum Bit System
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Abstract

Multiconfiguration quantum chemical calculation of geometry and electron properties of Fe$_2$Si$_{18}$ cluster indicates on the predictable change of spin states as a function of the excitation energy beginning from ground state with the total spin $S = 4$. The charges on the two Fe atoms are quite different as well as the charge distribution on the surrounding Si atoms. Nevertheless the total dipole moment of the cluster is a monotonically decreasing function of the excitation energy and it reaches practically zero value in the first singlet state in which the cluster represents a new version of a qubit system.
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1. Introduction

Some number of bi-center clusters of the T$_2$Si$_n$ type were primarily studied for the transition metals (T = Cr, Mn) [1] and then for the transition metals T = Fe, Co, Ni [2], with a number $n$ in the range $1 \leq n \leq 8$ by the density functional theory (DFT) in the PBE approach. The geometry of small Fe$_2$Si$_n$ is characterized by large number of local minima what prevents the predictable change of different spin states with the increasing of excitation energy of a system. The property of Fe$_2$ molecule inside a large siliceous cluster seems to be more controlled in the case of its “good packing” into the cluster. The later can be achieved in the case of Fe$_2$Si$_{18}$ cluster, which electronic properties are investigated below in a framework of multireference configuration interaction approach with the singlet and double replacements into the external space. The DFT methods are not suitable enough for obtaining the correct sequence of exited states and especially for the description of a singlet state in the systems.

*Corresponding author.
The equilibrium bond length is 2.246 Å. The first excited state turns out to be with the vertical excitation energy of 0.273 eV at a bond length of the ground state.

For the subsequent calculations of the Fe₂Si₁₈ clusters a special basis set was constructed for the iron atom. The core was treated with the 6-31G(()) basis set to which three additional s, p, and d type Gaussian functions were then added. The exponents of the added functions were optimized with retaining all other functions of the 6-31G(()) basis set. Various methods (ROMP2, MCQDPT [11], and the coupled cluster method in the CR-CC(2,3) variant [12] [13] yield close values of the exponents in the optimization of the total energy for the ground state of the iron atom (term D₂); in the CR-CC(2,3) method all indices proved to be ζ(s) = 0.280829, ζ(p) = 0.120767, ζ(d) = 0.136335.

The calculation scheme looks as following. The total spin S of the Fe₂ molecule is equal to S = 4 in the ground state and it can induce some spin polarization effect on the surrounding Si atoms. We performed first UHF calculations to take into account this possibility into the consideration with a sufficiently large value of a spin projection Mₛ = 10 that suppose the presence of 8 unpaired electrons in 3d-shells of both Fe-atoms and 12 ones of spin-polarized Si atoms. The obtained UHF natural orbitals were used as the starting orbitals for the next CASSCF calculation with a single high-spin configuration with S = 10 including 20 singly occupied molecular orbitals (MOs) as active orbitals. The obtained CASSCF MOs were transformed to the canonic form with the use of the GAMESS algorithm procedure. These canonized active MOs were divided into three subsets: 6 orbitals with the lowest orbital energies, 8 orbitals resembling 3d-shell states of iron atoms and 6 orbitals with the highest orbital energies. After that three subsets were used to construct three orbital subspaces of the restricted active space self-consistent field (RASSCF) method. The maximum electronic excitation levels between subspaces were allowed to be 2, for the details see also [3]. There were imposed no restrictions on the symmetry of the cluster during the geometry optimization. The obtained electronic properties are summarized in the first Table 1 for the total spin S = 4 (ground state) and for the first singlet state, other spin states S = 3, 2, 1 are described in the supplementary information, Table A. All molecular structures were depicted by means of MacMolPlt program [14].

The most laborious part in the calculations is of course the investigation of electronic properties in the first singlet state, its description takes Q = 22065484 determinant functions compared to Q = 295795 determinant functions for the ground S = 4 state. Electronic properties are found to be well understandable, the 8 once occupied MOs are well localized on the two Fe atoms, hence all spin states with S = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0 represent the same electronic configuration. Some number of natural orbitals (NOs) with the occupation numbers n = 2, 1, 0 are represented in the Table 2(a) for the ground state and in the Table 2(b) for the singlet state.

### 2. Calculation Procedure

The accuracy of multiconfigurational method for the Si subsystem can be demonstrated for Si₂ molecule with the ground state configuration [Ne₂₁]4σ²⁴σ²⁴π²5σ²2π², term ¹Σ⁺, where [Ne₂₁] means the closed shell of the neon dimer in the Hartree-Fock approximation. The competing [Ne₂₁]4σ²⁴σ²⁴π²4 times ¹Σ⁺, [Ne₂₁]4σ²⁴σ²⁴π²2π² configurations generate the ground state terms ¹Σ⁺, ³Πu. The calculations were performed using the GAMESS (2011, 2013) program [9] in the atomic aug-cc-pVTZ basis set. The construction of molecular orbitals (MOs) for the second-order CI (SOCI) problem is carried out by the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method. The [5σ₂, 2πₚ] active space was used with averaging over two terms with the weights: 1/3 for the ¹Σ⁺ state and 2/3 for ³Πu. Based on the obtained canonized MOs we considered the SOCI type excitations from the reference space [4σ₁, 4σ₁, 5σ₂, 2πₚ] for the whole virtual space. After optimization of bond Si–Si length in accordance with the spectroscopic data [10] it is found that the term of the Si₂ ground electronic state is ¹Σ⁺, the equilibrium bond length is r(Si-Si) = 2.245Å, which is consistent with its experimental value r(Si-Si) = 2.246 Å. The first excited state turns out to be ³Πu with the vertical excitation energy of 0.273 eV at a bond length of the ground state.

The search of the two-state systems represents intriguing story in the modern investigations of a quantum computer systems. We mention here the point-like NV defect state in diamond [4] [5] and molecular-like pair of defect spin states (V₅₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋_-
Table 1. Electronic structure of Fe$_2$Si$_{18}$ cluster in the ground $S = 4$ and in the excited singlet $S = 0$ spin states. Distances in Å, dipole moment in D-units.

**S = 4**

- \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{14}) = 3.027 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{17}) = 3.029 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{15}) = 2.578 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{18}) = 2.578 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{16}) = 2.591 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{19}) = 2.591 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{8}) = 2.906 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{5}) = 2.908 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{3}) = 2.951 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{6}) = 2.952 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{4}) = 2.934 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{7}) = 2.933 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Fe}_2) = 2.817 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{8}) = 3.535 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{5}) = 3.537 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{3}) = 2.833 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{6}) = 2.834 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{4}) = 2.829 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{7}) = 2.829 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{20}) = 2.768 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{11}) = 2.768 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{9}) = 2.647 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{12}) = 2.648 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{10}) = 2.646 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{13}) = 2.645 \)

- \( E = -7725.15016 \text{ a.e. (0.059 eV)} \)
- \( |\mu| = 0.124 \text{D} \)

\( q(\text{Fe}_1) = 1.749 \), \( q(\text{Fe}_2) = 0.889 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{14}) = 0.306 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{17}) = 0.308 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{15}) = -0.432 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{18}) = -0.433 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{16}) = -0.422 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{19}) = -0.420 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{8}) = -0.003 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{5}) = -0.002 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{3}) = -0.284 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{6}) = -0.282 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{4}) = -0.298 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{7}) = -0.300 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{20}) = 0.162 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{11}) = 0.163 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{9}) = -0.175 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{12}) = -0.176 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{10}) = -0.175 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{13}) = -0.174 \)

**S = 0**

- \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{14}) = 3.008 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{17}) = 3.008 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{15}) = 2.581 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{18}) = 2.581 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{16}) = 2.588 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{19}) = 2.588 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{8}) = 2.922 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{5}) = 2.922 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{3}) = 2.974 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{6}) = 2.974 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{4}) = 2.964 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Si}_{7}) = 2.964 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_1\text{Fe}_2) = 2.845 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{8}) = 3.546 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{5}) = 3.546 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{3}) = 2.830 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{6}) = 2.830 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{4}) = 2.839 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{7}) = 2.839 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{20}) = 2.769 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{11}) = 2.769 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{9}) = 2.648 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{12}) = 2.648 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{10}) = 2.645 \), \( r(\text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{13}) = 2.645 \)

- \( E = -7725.147837 \text{ a.e. (0.059 eV)} \)
- \( |\mu| = 0.005 \text{D} \)

\( q(\text{Fe}_1) = 1.723 \), \( q(\text{Fe}_2) = 0.816 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{14}) = 0.293 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{17}) = 0.293 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{15}) = -0.415 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{18}) = -0.415 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{16}) = -0.390 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{19}) = -0.390 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{8}) = 0.032 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{5}) = 0.032 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{3}) = -0.302 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{6}) = -0.302 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{4}) = -0.294 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{7}) = -0.294 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{20}) = 0.171 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{11}) = 0.171 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{9}) = -0.161 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{12}) = -0.161 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{10}) = -0.165 \), \( q(\text{Si}_{13}) = -0.165 \)
Table 2. (a) Some natural-orbitals for different occupation numbers \( n \) in the ground state \( (S = 4) \) of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{18} \). (b) Some natural-orbitals for different occupation numbers \( n \) in the singlet state \( (S = 0) \) of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{18} \).

(a)

| No. | Occupation Number | Natural-Orbitals | Natural-Orbitals |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 143 | 1.997            | NO #143          | NO #143          |
| 151 | 1.012            | NO #151          | NO #151          |
| 154 | 1.000            | NO #154          | NO #154          |
| 162 | 0.005            | NO #162          | NO #162          |

(b)

| No. | Occupation Number | Natural-Orbitals | Natural-Orbitals |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 143 | 1.999            | NO #143          | NO #143          |
| 154 | 0.993            | NO #154          | NO #154          |
| 155 | 0.950            | NO #155          | NO #155          |
| 162 | 0.004            | NO #162          | NO #162          |

Table 3. Dipole moments (d) in Debye u., Mulliken charge difference \( \Delta q \) for the first and second Fe atoms, transition energy \( \Delta E \) (eV) for the different spin states of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Si}_{18} \) cluster.

| Spin number | \(|d| \) (D) | \( \Delta q \) (e) | \( \Delta E \) (eV) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| S = 0       | 0.005       | 0.907             | 0.059             |
| S = 1       | 0.012       | 0.900             | 0.056             |
| S = 2       | 0.033       | 0.886             | 0.048             |
| S = 3       | 0.059       | 0.883             | 0.033             |
| S = 4       | 0.124       | 0.860             | 0.000             |

We conclude: 1) the excitation energy between neighboring spin states is a decreasing function of the transition energy, 2) the charge difference \( \Delta q \) is nearly stable, 3) the total dipole moment is a drastically decreasing function of the transition energy \( \Delta E \). The last observation is most importance and it can be understood as following. The large positive charge on one Fe-atom, it denoted by number 1 in the Table 1, induces relative large negative charges on the neighboring Si atoms, as it represented in the Table 1. The second Fe-atom has a noticeable less charge and induces smaller negative charges on the surrounding Si-atoms. The resulting dipole moment, which is independent on the method of the charge definition, becomes relative small. The dipole moment in the singlet state is so small and it can be taken practically as zero considering inescapable uncertainties in the calculations. The sequence of the spin states is the same as for the \( \text{Fe}_2 \) molecule in the DFT (B3P86) approach [15], but the tendency in the energy level intervals is quite different, we have the decreasing level spacing with the increase of the energy that corresponds to free Fe-atom data.

The symmetric charge distribution is unstable, there arise some kind of “up and down states” in the sense of the charge distribution in the degenerate electronic state. This conclusion can be checked by the measurement of Mössbauer spectra on the two Fe-atoms. Only the stable electronic structures were described above, the problem
of their transformations is to be discussed separately.
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### Supplementary Information

| Table A | Electronic properties of Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{18} cluster in the spin S = 3, 2, 1 states. The total energy E (a.u.), the excitation energy ΔE (eV), dipole moments d (D), equilibrium distances (Å) (numbering of atoms is the same as in the Table 1). |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| S = 3  | E = −7725.148819 ΔE = 0.033                                  |
| E = −7725.148235 ΔE = 0.048                                  |
| E = −7725.147953 ΔE = 0.056                                  |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{14}) = 3.030, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{14}) = 3.021, |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{15}) = 2.579, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{15}) = 2.579, |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{16}) = 2.590, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{16}) = 2.590,     |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{17}) = 2.913, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{17}) = 2.914,     |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{18}) = 2.962, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{18}) = 2.962,     |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{19}) = 2.947, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{19}) = 2.946,     |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Fe\textsubscript{2}) = 2.827,                                                  |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{20}) = 3.539, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{20}) = 3.539,   |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{21}) = 2.834, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{21}) = 2.834,   |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{22}) = 2.831, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{22}) = 2.831,   |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{23}) = 2.769, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{23}) = 2.769,   |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{24}) = 2.647, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{24}) = 2.647,   |
| r(Fe\textsubscript{1}Si\textsubscript{25}) = 2.645, r(Fe\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{25}) = 2.645     |
| q(Fe\textsubscript{1}) = 1.738, q(Fe\textsubscript{2}) = 0.855,                                     |
| q(Si\textsubscript{14}) = 0.301, q(Si\textsubscript{17}) = 0.302,                                   |
| q(Si\textsubscript{15}) = −0.424, q(Si\textsubscript{18}) = −0.429,                                |
| q(Si\textsubscript{16}) = −0.423, q(Si\textsubscript{19}) = −0.422,                                |
| q(Si\textsubscript{10}) = 0.012, q(Si\textsubscript{5}) = 0.012,                                   |
| q(Si\textsubscript{3}) = −0.289, q(Si\textsubscript{6}) = −0.288,                                  |
| q(Si\textsubscript{4}) = −0.298, q(Si\textsubscript{7}) = −0.299,                                 |
| q(Si\textsubscript{20}) = 0.166, q(Si\textsubscript{11}) = 0.167,                                  |
| q(Si\textsubscript{9}) = −0.169, q(Si\textsubscript{12}) = −0.170,                                 |
| q(Si\textsubscript{10}) = −0.170, q(Si\textsubscript{13}) = −0.169                                |
| □ = 0.059D                                                                                         |
| □ = 0.033D                                                                                         |
| □ = 0.012D                                                                                         |
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