A Comparison Study of QT Dispersion in Early and Delayed Thrombolytic Therapy in Acute ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction

Authors

Muralidharan Azhakesan¹, Thanalakshmi Balachandran², Sindhu Neelakandan³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Cardiology, Kanyakumari Government Medical College Hospital
²OP Medical Officer, Dept of General Medicine, Kanyakumari Government Medical College Hospital
³Post Graduate, Dept of General Medicine, Kanyakumari Government Medical College Hospital

Abstract

Introduction: In analysis of Global burden of disease there is a shift from communicable to non-communicable disease. Ischemic heart disease causes more death and disability than any other illness in the developed world. With urbanisation, in countries with emerging economies, the prevalence of risk factors for IHD and the prevalence of IHD itself are both increasing. Obesity, Insulin resistance and Type 2 Diabetes mellitus are powerful risk factors for IHD. Population subgroups that appear to be particularly affected are men in South Asian countries, especially India and the Middle East. IHD is likely to become the most common cause of death worldwide by 2020. In United States 13 million persons have IHD and more than 7 million have sustained a Myocardial infarction.

QTc and QTd are important parameters to predict mortality in patients with Acute STEMI. Many studies have evaluated the role of QTd and risk of Ventricular Arrhythmias in Acute STEMI. In this study we try to emphasize that early thrombolytic therapy reduces QTd in Acute STEMI.

Methods: This study is conducted among 100 patients diagnosed with STEMI admitted in ICCU within 12 hrs of onset of symptoms at Kanyakumari Government medical college hospital. Patients with typical chest pain (more than 30 minutes), ST elevation >1mm in 2 or more limb leads, ST elevation >2mm in 2 or more precordial leads. No contraindications for thrombolysis were included in the study.

Patients on drugs which prolong QT like Quinidine, Procainamide, Amiodarone, Sotalol, TCA, Antihistamines, Azole Antifungals, Drugs which reduce the QT like Digitalis, Patients with congenital long QT syndrome, Patients with Electrolyte disturbances, Patients with Atrial fibrillation, Bundle branch block, Patients with Acute carditis were excluded from the study.

Patients with STEMI admitted within 12hrs of onset of symptoms were enrolled. A standard 12 lead ECG was taken with paper speed of 25mm/sec at admission. Patients were treated with thrombolytic agent (streptokinase). Patients were divided in to patients treated with early thrombolysis within 3 hrs of onset of chest pain and lyed after 3 hrs of onset of pain, ECGs are taken after thrombolysis (90mins from the beginning of thrombolysis), after 24hrs and before discharge. QT, QTc, QTd, QTdc were calculated in patients admitted with STEMI and the difference of QT parameters in patients treated with early thrombolysis and in patients thrombolysed late due to delayed presentation were analysed.

Results: In our study mean age of study subjects is 60.7 in early lysis group and 59.65 in late lysis group. Among 100 patients studied 40 patients were Diabetics, 36 patients were Hypertensives and 36 patients were smokers. Mean QT dispersion is 111ms in early lysis group and 120ms in late lysis group which is not significant statistically. Post lysis QT after 30mins of thrombolysis is 70ms in Early lysis group and 110ms.
in late lysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.007. Mean QTd on the 2nd day of thrombolysis is 60ms in early lysis group and 110ms in late thrombolysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.0001. Mean QTd at the time of discharge is 50ms in early thrombolysis group and 100ms in late thrombolysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.0001.

In our study when the TIMI score is high the QT Maximum and QTd also high with a significant P value. When the TIMI score is low The QT value is also low with a significant P value.

Conclusion: Markers of autonomic regulation of heart like QTd provides valuable information about the future course of events in a patient following acute STEMI which can be utilized to plan the future course of management in patients especially predisposed to adverse and catastrophic outcomes.

when the TIMI score is high the QT Maximum and QTd also high which predicts increased mortality QTd significantly reduces in early and successful thrombolysis than late and failed thrombolysis which in turn prevent the risk of arrhythmias.
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Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction is one of the most common diagnoses in hospitalised patients in Intensive care unit. More than half of the Acute myocardial infarction related deaths occur before the stricken individual reaches the hospital. The in-hospital mortality rate after admission for AMI has declined from 10% to about 6%. Mortality is approximately fourfold higher in elderly patients (over age 75) as compared with younger patients\(^{(1)}\). The 12 lead electrocardiogram is a pivotal diagnostic and triage tool because it is at the center of the decision pathway for management. It permits distinction of those patients presenting with ST-segment elevation from those presenting without ST-segment elevation. Serum cardiac biomarkers are obtained to distinguish Unstable angina from non ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction\(^{(17)}\).

QTc and QTd are important parameters to predict mortality in patients with Acute STEMI. Many studies have evaluated the role QTd and risk of Ventricular Arrhythmias in Acute STEMI. In this study we try to emphasize that early thrombolytic therapy reduces QTd in Acute STEMI.

Aims and Objectives

- To calculate the QT,QTc ,QTd,QTdc in patients admitted with STEMI and to analyse the difference of QT parameters in patients treated with early thrombolysis and in patients thrombolysed late due to delayed presentation
- To evaluate whether there is reduction in QT interval and QTd after early and successful thrombolysis which in turn reduces the risk of arrhythmias

Materials and Methods

Study population

This study is conducted among 100 patients diagnosed with STEMI admitted in ICCU within 12 hrs of onset of symptoms at Kanyakumari Government medical college hospital.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients with typical chest pain (more than 30 minutes)
- ST elevation >1mm in 2 or more limb leads, ST elevation >2mm in 2 or more precordial leads
- No contraindications for thrombolysis

Exclusion criteria

- Patients on drugs which prolong QT like Quinidine, Procainamide, Amiodarone, Sotalol
- TCA, Antihistamines astemizole, Azole Antifungals
- Drugs which reduce the QT like Digitalis
- Patients with congenital long QT syndrome
- Patients with Electrolyte disturbances
- Patients with Atrial fibrillation, Bundle branch block
- Patients with Acute carditis
Methodology

- Patients with STEMI admitted within 12hrs of onset of symptoms were enrolled
- A standard 12 lead ECG was taken with paper speed of 25mm/sec at admission
- Patients were treated with thrombolytic agent (streptokinase)
- Patients were divided into patients treated with early thrombolysis within 3hrs of onset of chest pain and lysed after 3hrs of onset of pain
- ECGs to be taken after thrombolysis (90mins from the beginning of thrombolysis), after 24hrs and before discharge.
- Successful thrombolysis is defined as ST segment resolution >50% of the initial elevation
- The QT interval should be measured in all 12 leads
- The interval from the first deflection of QRS complex to the point of T wave to the isoelectric TP baseline
- The \textit{maximum slope intercept method} is used to define the end of the T wave Bazett’s formula: \( QT_C = QT / \sqrt{RR} \)

Framingham formula: \( QT_C = QT + 0.154 \ (1 - RR) \)
Bazett’s formula is the most commonly used due to its simplicity. It over-corrects at heart rates > 100 bpm and under-corrects at heart rates < 60 bpm, but provides an adequate correction for heart rates ranging from 60 – 100 bpm.

- At heart rates outside of the 60 – 100 bpm range, the Framingham corrections are more accurate and used instead.
- Patients are followed up for a period of 1 month for mortality and morbidity
- Interested patients are subjected to angiographic evaluation.

Data collection

- A detailed medical history and clinical examination will be done.
- Each patient will be assessed with TIMI score and Killip classification

Investigations:
Serum Electrolytes, CK-MB, 12 lead ECG, Echocardiogram

Results

Table 1: Age and sex distribution of Cases

|          | ≤30yrs | 31-40yrs | 41-50yrs | 51-60yrs | 61-70yrs | >70yrs | Total |
|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|
| male     | 1      | 3        | 10       | 26       | 17       | 8      | 65    |
| female   | 0      | 0        | 4        | 8        | 16       | 7      | 35    |
| ≤3hrs    | 0      | 1        | 3        | 15       | 17       | 4      | 40    |
| >3.1hrs  | 1      | 2        | 11       | 19       | 16       | 11     | 60    |

Out of 100 cases thrombolysed 65 were males and 40 patients come under ≤3hrs group and 35 were females. Out of 100 cases thrombolysed 60 patients come under >3hrs group.

Table 2: Mean age of cases in Early and delayed thrombolysis group

| Group Statistics | TIMWIN_G | N  | Mean | Std. Deviation | P value |
|------------------|----------|----|------|---------------|---------|
| AGE              | <3       | 40 | 60.70| 8.95          | 0.636   |
|                  | >3.1     | 60 | 59.65| 11.93         |         |

There is no significant difference in Mean age of patients in early and delayed thrombolysis group.
Table 3: Risk factors for myocardial infarction:

| Risk factors | <3 | >3.1 | Total |
|--------------|----|------|-------|
| DM           | No | 24   | 36    | 60   |
|              | Yes| 16   | 24    | 40   |
| SHT          | No | 22   | 42    | 64   |
|              | Yes| 18   | 18    | 36   |
| SMOKING      | No | 28   | 36    | 64   |
|              | Yes| 12   | 24    | 36   |

In our study among 100 patients studied 40 patients were Diabetics, 36 patients were Hypertensives and 36 patients were smokers.

Chart: 1

In the present study group, the number of various myocardial infarction studied in both early and delayed thrombolysis group were almost similar.

Table 4: Mean QT Maximum and QTD dispersion in early thrombolysis group

|                  | Mean | Std. Deviation | P value |
|------------------|------|----------------|---------|
| QT MAXIMUM       | PRELYSIS | 0.50 | 0.04 | <0.0001 |
|                  | POSLYSIS | 0.45 | 0.03 |         |
| QT MAXIMUM       | PRELYSIS | 0.50 | 0.04 | <0.0001 |
|                  | DAY2     | 0.43 | 0.06 |         |
| QT MAXIMUM       | PRELYSIS | 0.50 | 0.04 | <0.0001 |
|                  | AT DISCHARGE | 0.43 | 0.02 |         |
| QT DISPERSION    | PRELYSIS | 0.11 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|                  | POSLYSIS | 0.07 | 0.02 |         |
| QT DISPERSION    | PRELYSIS | 0.11 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|                  | DAY2     | 0.06 | 0.02 |         |
| QT DISPERSION    | PRELYSIS | 0.11 | 0.02 | <0.0001 |
|                  | AT DISCHARGE | 0.05 | 0.02 |         |

In early thrombolysis group, QT maximum and QTD both were significantly reduced with the P value of <.0001 on the day of thrombolysis, 2\textsuperscript{nd} day and on discharge.
**Chart 2:** Mean QT maximum in Early thrombolysis group

Mean QT Maximum is significantly lower after thrombolysis, on 2\textsuperscript{nd} day and at discharge in early thrombolysis group.

**Chart 3:** Mean QTd in Early thrombolysis group

Mean QT dispersion is significantly lower after thrombolysis, on 2\textsuperscript{nd} day and at discharge in early thrombolysis group.
Table: 5 Mean QT maximum and QTd in late thrombolysis group

|                  | Mean | Std. Deviation | P value |
|------------------|------|----------------|---------|
| QT MAXIMUM       |      |                |         |
| PRE LYSIS        | 0.51 | 0.04           | 0.006   |
| POS LYSIS        | 0.49 | 0.04           |         |
| QT MAXIMUM       |      |                |         |
| PRELYSIS         | 0.51 | 0.04           | <0.0001 |
| DAY2             | 0.49 | 0.03           |         |
| QT MAXIMUM       |      |                |         |
| PRE LYSIS        | 0.51 | 0.04           | 0.039   |
| AT DISCHARGE     | 0.50 | 0.03           |         |
| QT DISPERSION    |      |                |         |
| PRELYSIS         | 0.12 | 0.05           | 0.866   |
| POS LYSIS        | 0.11 | 0.11           |         |
| QT DISPERSION    |      |                |         |
| PRELYSIS         | 0.12 | 0.05           | 0.254   |
| DAY2             | 0.11 | 0.06           |         |
| QT DISPERSION    |      |                |         |
| PRELYSIS         | 0.12 | 0.05           | 0.005   |
| AT DISCHARGE     | 0.10 | 0.02           |         |

QT maximum is significantly reduced in late thrombolysis group on the day of lysis, 2nd day and at discharge. The Mean QTd is not significantly reduced on the day of thrombolysis and on the second day but significantly reduced at discharge.

Chart 4: Mean QT Maximum in late thrombolysis group

QT maximum is significantly reduced in late thrombolysis group on the day of lysis, 2nd day and at discharge.
The Mean QTd is not reduced on the day of thrombolysis and on the second day but significantly reduced at discharge.

**Table 6:** Comparison of QT maximum and QTd in Early and Late thrombolysis group

| TIMING   | PRE_QT | PRE_QTD | POS_QT | POS_QTD | DAY2_QT | DAY2_QTD | DIS_QT | DIS_QTD |
|----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|---------|----------|--------|---------|
|          | <3 hours | >3.1 hours | <3 hours | >3.1 hours | <3 hours | >3.1 hours | <3 hours | >3.1 hours |
| Mean     | 0.50    | 0.51    | 0.11   | 0.12    | 0.45    | 0.49     | 0.07   | 0.07    |
| Std. Deviation | 0.04    | 0.04    | 0.02   | 0.05    | 0.03    | 0.04     | 0.02   | 0.10    |
| P value  | 0.481   | 0.296   | <0.0001| 0.007   | <0.0001 | <0.0001  | <0.0001| <0.0001 |

There is a significant reduction in QT Maximum in early lysis group than late lysis group on the day of thrombolysis, on the 2nd day and at discharge. There is a significant reduction in QTd in early lysis group than late lysis group on the day of thrombolysis, on the 2nd day and at discharge.
**Chart 6:** Comparison of QT maximum in Early and Late thrombolysis group:

QT Maximum is significantly lower in Early and successful thrombolysis than late thrombolysis

**Chart 7:** Comparison of QTd in early and late thrombolysis group:

QTd is significantly lower in early and successful thrombolysis group than late thrombolysis group.
Table 7: Correlation of QT Maximum, QTd with TIMI risk score

|                | Correlation Coefficient | P value | N  |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------|----|
| PRE_QT         | 0.06                    | 0.524   | 100 |
| PRE_QTD        | 0.08                    | 0.440   | 100 |
| POS_QT         | 0.38                    | <0.0001 | 100 |
| POS_QTD        | 0.28                    | 0.005   | 100 |
| DAY2_QT        | 0.23                    | 0.025   | 100 |
| DAY2_QTD       | 0.25                    | 0.011   | 100 |
| DIS_QT         | 0.37                    | <0.0001 | 100 |
| DIS_QTD        | 0.47                    | <0.0001 | 100 |

In all the cases studied, the QT maximum and QTd increases significantly as the TIMI score increases.

Discussion

Acute myocardial infarction represents one end of the spectrum of Coronary artery disease. About 50% of the deaths due to acute myocardial infarction occurs within 1 hour of the event and mainly attributable to arrhythmias. Qt dispersion has been suggested as one marker of automatic tone of the heart. QT dispersion reflects differences in the local myocardial repolarisation and hence the electrophysiological environment. Clinical interest in QTd on the surface ECG is based on the observation that regional heterogeneity of action potential in adjacent cardiac muscle tissue can initiate and sustain ventricular arrhythmias especially in vulnerable myocardium like that in Ischemic heart disease. Previous studies have proven that successful reperfusion decreases the QT dispersion and hence incidence of ventricular arrhythmias. So QT dispersion is a simple non invasive tool to assess the risk of arrhythmias after Acute myocardial infarction.

Our study compares the QTd in early and late thrombolysis group and the risk of arrhythmias. In our study mean age of study subjects is 60.7 in early lysis group and 59.65 in late lysis group. Among 100 patients studied 40 patients were Diabetics, 36 patients were Hypertensives and 36 patients were smokers.

Mean QT dispersion is 111ms in early lysis group and 120ms in late lysis group which is not significant statistically.

Post lysis QT after 30mins of thrombolysis is 70ms in Early lysis group and 110ms in late lysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.007

Mean QTd on the 2nd day of thrombolysis is 60ms in early lysis group and 110ms in late thrombolysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.0001.

Mean QTd at the time of discharge is 50ms in early thrombolysis group and 100ms in late thrombolysis group which is statistically significant with the P value of 0.0001.

Conclusions of study of Paventi S et al 1999 was QT dispersion increased during acute MI. (2) The values were higher in the early hours, but decreased after thrombolytic therapy. (3) Greater QT dispersion is associated with severe ventricular arrhythmias

TEAM-2 Study Investigators have done a study on Reduction in QT interval dispersion by successful thrombolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction. They studied 244 patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) who were thrombolysed at an average of 2.6 hours after symptom onset.

There were significant differences in QTd (96 +/- 31, 88 +/- 25, 60 +/- 22, and 52 +/- 19 milliseconds; P < or = .0001 among TIMI perfusion grades 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In our study also the QTd is significantly lower after early and successful thrombolysis group with mean QTd prelysis was 110ms,70ms,60ms,50ms in Prelysis, after 30mins of lysis and on 2nd day and at discharge respectively. In late lysis group The mean QTd was 120ms, 110ms, 110ms and
100ms in Prelysis, after 30mins of thrombolysis, on 2nd day and at discharge.

In our study we also observed that when the TIMI score is high the QT Maximum and QTd also high with a significant P value. When the TIMI score is low The QT value is also low with a significant P value.

**Limitations of the Study**

1. Sample size was small so further studies with bigger sample size has to be done to further verify the results.
2. A limitation of QT interval assessment is that it is not always measurable in every lead or may be difficult to measure with precision in certain leads.

**Conclusion**

Markers of autonomic regulation of heart like QTd provides valuable information about the future course of events in a patient following acute STEMI which can be utilized to plan the future course of management in patients especially predisposed to adverse and catastrophic outcomes. When the TIMI score is high the QT Maximum and QTd also high which predicts increased mortality. QTd significantly reduces in early and successful thrombolysis than late and failed thrombolysis which in turn prevent the risk of arrhythmias.
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