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Abstract

Accurate and consistent segmentation of infant brain MR images plays an important role in quantifying patterns of early brain development, especially in longitudinal studies. However, due to rapid maturation and myelination of brain tissues in the first year of life, the intensity contrast of gray and white matter undergoes dramatic changes. In fact, the contrast inverse around 6–8 months of age, when the white and gray matter tissues are isointense and hence exhibit the lowest contrast, posing significant challenges for segmentation algorithms. In this paper, we propose a longitudinally guided level set method to segment serial infant brain MR images acquired from 2 weeks up to 1.5 years of age, including the isointense images. At each single-time-point, the proposed method makes optimal use of T1, T2 and the diffusion-weighted images for complimentary tissue distribution information to address the difficulty caused by the low contrast. Moreover, longitudinally consistent term, which constrains the distance across the serial images within a biologically reasonable range, is employed to obtain temporally consistent segmentation results. Application of our method on 28 longitudinal infant subjects, each with 5 longitudinal scans, shows that the automated segmentations from the proposed method match the manual ground-truth with much higher Dice Ratios than other single-modality, single-time-point based methods and the longitudinal but voxel-wise based methods. The software of the proposed method is publicly available in NITRC (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/ibeat).

Introduction

The first year of life is the most dynamic phase of postnatal brain development. The brain undergoes rapid tissue growth and experiences development of a wide range of cognitive and motor functions. Accurate tissue segmentation of infant brains in the first year of life has important implications for studying normal brain development, as well as for diagnose and treatment of neurodevelopmental disorders such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and autism. Current methods are able to segment neonates (less than 3 months) and infants (over 1-year-old) with great success [1–8] (a summary can be found in [9]). In general, most existing neonatal segmentation methods were proposed for single time-point image (less than 3 months). Few algorithms take advantage of the increasing availability of longitudinal MR images, which provides important information on the evolution of brain structures. Moreover, most existing methods rely on the T2 modality for the neonates less than 3 months old [4,5,8] and T1 modality for the infants over 1-year-old [10,11], which demonstrates good contrast between white matter (WM) and gray matter (GM). However, at the middle of the first year (around 6–8 months of age), T2 and T1 modalities have lowest contrast where the WM and GM exhibit almost the same intensity level, which poses a significantly more challenging problem [12].

During the brain growth in the first year, the intensity contrast between WM and GM dramatically reverses owing to maturation and myelination. There are three distinct WM/GM contrast patterns that can be observed in images of normal development infants (in chronological order) [13]: infantile (birth), isointense, and adult-like (10 months onward). As an illustration, we show in Fig. 1 a series of longitudinal MR images for an infant scanned every 3 months, starting from the second week. From the T1 images, it can be seen that the intensity of WM is initially lower than that of GM, but becomes gradually brighter, resulting in a contrast pattern that resembles adults. The opposite trend can be observed for T2 images. At around 6–8 months of age, the WM and GM exhibit almost the same intensity level (see the third column of Fig. 1), resulting in the lowest WM/GM contrast and hence great difficulties for segmentation. Few studies have addressed the tissue segmentation problem of the isointense infant images, hindered by the insufficient contrast of the respective T1/T2 images [12].

The fractional anisotropy (FA) images from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (last row of Fig. 1) provide rich information of major fiber bundles [14], especially in the subcortical regions.
where GM and WM are hardly distinguishable in the T1/T2 images. Moreover, the WM structure remains very consistent throughout all time points, proving partly the notion that the majority of the fibers exist at birth. In this work, we employ complementary information from multiple modalities by using T1, T2 and FA images to deal with the problem of insufficient tissue contrast. Information from these images are fed into a longitudinally guided level-set-based framework for consistent segmentation of the serial infant images. The main idea of level set method is to embed a front or interface of interest as the zero level set of a function that is in accordance to the fact that global brain consistent segmentation results, we enforce a longitudinal constraint term that is in accordance to the fact that global brain development in normal children [18]. This study was approved by the ethics committee of University of North Carolina (UNC) School of Medicine. The parents were recruited during the second trimester of pregnancy from the UNC hospitals and written informed consent forms were obtained from all the parents. The presence of abnormalities on fetal ultrasound, or major medical or psychotic illness in the mother, was taken as exclusion criteria. The infants were scanned without being sedated, fed before scanning, swaddled, fitted with ear protection, and had their heads secured in a vacuum-fixation device. A physician or nurse was present during each scan; a pulse oximeter was used to monitor heart rate and oxygen saturation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement
Subjects used in this paper were part of a large study of early brain development in normal children [18]. This study was approved by the ethics committee of University of North Carolina (UNC) School of Medicine. The parents were recruited during the second trimester of pregnancy from the UNC hospitals and written informed consent forms were obtained from all the subjects. Use of T1, T2 and FA images. Let \( t \) be the age (months) of an infant at scan, and index \( i \in \{T1, T2, FA\} \) be the modality, i.e., T1, T2 and FA. Let \( I_{T1}, I_{T2}, \) and \( I_{FA} \) denote the T1, T2 and FA images at time-point \( t \). In this paper, the level set function takes negative values outside of the zero-level-set and positive values inside of the zero-level-set. Denoting the level set functions as \( \Phi_i = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \) and with the help of the Heaviside function \( H \), the regions corresponding to WM, GM, CSF and the background, i.e., \( M_i(\Phi_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are defined respectively as

\[
M_1 = H(\phi_1)H(\phi_2)H(\phi_3), \quad M_2 = (1 - H(\phi_1))H(\phi_2)H(\phi_3), \quad M_3 = (1 - H(\phi_2))H(\phi_1)H(\phi_3), \quad M_4 = 1 - H(\phi_3),
\]

as illustrated in left part of Fig. 3(a). The data fitting energy using both local intensity distribution fitting [22] and population-atlas prior \( P_i \) is first defined as,

\[
E_{data}(\Phi_i) = \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \int (f_\ell(x) - K_i(x - y) \log(P_i(y)p_{\ell}(I_i(y))))M_i(\Phi_i(y))dydx \quad (1)
\]

where \( x \) (or \( y \)) is a voxel in the image domain, \( K_i \) is a Gaussian kernel (with scale \( \sigma \)) to control the size of the local region [23–26],

Overview of the Proposed Method
The proposed method utilizes multi-modality information, a cortical thickness constraint, and a longitudinal constraint to derive an accurate and consistent segmentation of serial infant brain MR images. An overview of the proposed framework is shown in Fig. 2. The framework consists of two steps: (1) robust segmentation of each time-point image based on coupled level sets [22]; and (2) iterative 4D registration and segmentation. In the following subsections, we will discuss each component in detail.

Multi-modality Data Fitting Term
To robustly segment each time-point image, we make optimal use of T1, T2 and FA images. Let \( t = \{0, 3, 6,...\} \) be the age (months) of an infant at scan, and index \( i \in \{T1, T2, FA\} \) be the modality, i.e., T1, T2 and FA. Let \( I_{T1}, I_{T2}, \) and \( I_{FA} \) denote the T1, T2 and FA images at time-point \( t \). In this paper, the level set function takes negative values outside of the zero-level-set and positive values inside of the zero-level-set. Denoting the level set functions as \( \Phi_i = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \) and with the help of the Heaviside function \( H \), the regions corresponding to WM, GM, CSF and the background, i.e., \( M_i(\Phi_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are defined respectively as

\[
M_1 = H(\phi_1)H(\phi_2)H(\phi_3), \quad M_2 = (1 - H(\phi_1))H(\phi_2)H(\phi_3), \quad M_3 = (1 - H(\phi_2))H(\phi_1)H(\phi_3), \quad M_4 = 1 - H(\phi_3),
\]

as illustrated in left part of Fig. 3(a). The data fitting energy using both local intensity distribution fitting [22] and population-atlas prior \( P_i \) is first defined as,

\[
E_{data}(\Phi_i) = \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \int (f_\ell(x) - K_i(x - y) \log(P_i(y)p_{\ell}(I_i(y))))M_i(\Phi_i(y))dydx \quad (1)
\]

where \( x \) (or \( y \)) is a voxel in the image domain, \( K_i \) is a Gaussian kernel (with scale \( \sigma \)) to control the size of the local region [23–26],
and \( p_{i,t}(\tilde{I}(y)) \) is the probability density of \( \tilde{I}(y) = (I_{t,1}(y), I_{t,2}(y), I_{t,FA}(y))^T \) for the tissue class \( i \). We use the atlas proposed in [10], which was constructed from a unique dataset including 95 normal infant subjects with age from 2 weeks to 2 years old. To take advantage of information given by different imaging modalities (T1, T2 and FA), we represent the distribution of

![Figure 2. The proposed framework for segmentation of serial infant brain MR images.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044596.g002)

![Figure 3. (a) Longitudinal guided level-sets segmentation. The evolutions of \( \phi_{1,t}(0) \) and \( \phi_{2,t}(0) \) are not only influenced by the information from the current image but is also adaptively constrained by longitudinal information from the image at another time-point \( t \), weighted by \( \zeta(t) \), where \( \phi_{1,t}(0) \), \( \phi_{2,t}(0) \) and \( \phi_{3,t}(0) \) are the interfaces between WM/GM, GM/CSF, and CSF/Background, respectively. (b) The weight parameters \( \zeta(t) \) for the image at each time-point (the last time point is 12 months).](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044596.g003)
\[ \tilde{I}(y) \text{ as a multivariate normal (or Gaussian) distribution with mean } \mu \text{ and covariance matrix } \Sigma, \]

\[ p_n(\tilde{I}(y)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \det(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{I}(y) - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(\tilde{I}(y) - \mu)\right) \tag{2} \]

Cortical Thickness Constraint Term

As pointed out in [27,28], the variation of regional cortical thickness is smooth. This observation can be used to constrain surface evolution. To utilize this information, we designed a coupled surface model to constrain the distance of zero level surfaces of \( \phi_{1,t} \) and \( \phi_{2,t} \) within a predefined range \([d, D]\), where \(0 < d < D\). For simplicity of notation, we let \( \phi_{l,t}(l) \) denote the level

Figure 4. From top to bottom show the segmentation results of CoupledLS(T1+T2) [22], CoupledLS(T1+T2+FA) [22], LongLS(T1+T2) [17], the proposed method and manual ground truth. The original images are shown in Fig. 1. The blue circles mark the obvious difference between the results given by CoupledLS(T1+T2) and CoupledLS(T1+T2+FA), while the red circles mark the obvious difference between the results given by LongLS(T1+T2) and Proposed(T1+T2+FA).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044596.g004

Figure 5. The average Dice ratios of different methods on 28 subjects. The proposed method achieves significant (\(p < 0.01\)) higher Dice ratio than other methods.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044596.g005
sets $\phi_w$. As illustrated in the left part of Fig. 3(a), the zero-level-sets of $\phi_{1,1}$ and $\phi_{2,1}$, i.e., $\phi_{1,1}(0)$ and $\phi_{2,1}(0)$, indicate the interfaces of WM-GM and GM-CSF, respectively. As the WM is surrounded by the GM, $\phi_{1,1}(0)$ should be interior to $\phi_{2,1}(0)$ and should fall between the level sets of $\phi_{2,1}(d)$ and $\phi_{2,1}(D)$ for the thickness to be reasonable. Based on this observation, we define a new cortical thickness constraint term [17] for $\phi_{1,1}$,

$$E_{dist}(\phi_{1,1}) = [1 - (H(\phi_{2,1} - d) - H(\phi_{2,1} - D))]$$

$$[(H(\phi_{2,1} - d) - H(\phi_{1,1}))^2 + (H(\phi_{2,1} - D) - H(\phi_{1,1}))^2]$$

In a similar way, we can define a cortical thickness constraint term [17] for $\phi_{2,1}$,

$$E_{dist}(\phi_{2,1}) = [1 - (H(\phi_{1,1} + D) - H(\phi_{1,1} + d))]$$

$$[(H(\phi_{1,1} + d) - H(\phi_{2,1}))^2 + (H(\phi_{1,1} + D) - H(\phi_{2,1}))^2]$$

Therefore, we can define the following energy functional for initial segmentation for each time-point image.

$$E(\Phi_t) = E_{data}(\Phi_t) + \alpha(E_{dist}(\phi_{1,1}) + E_{dist}(\phi_{2,1})) + \nu E_{smooth}(\Phi_t)$$

where $E_{smooth}(\Phi_t) = \int \|VH(\phi_{1,1}(x)) + VH(\phi_{2,1}(x)) + VH(\phi_{2,1}(x))\|dx$ is the length regularization term to maintain a smooth contour/surface during evolution; $\alpha$ and $\nu$ are the blending parameters. The energy (5) can only deal with a single time-point image, and thus cannot benefit from the longitudinal data. In the following, we will propose a longitudinally guided level sets for consistent segmentation.

### 4D Level Set Segmentation

The first year of life is the most dynamic phase of postnatal brain development. However, major sulci and gyri in the adult brain are already present since birth and are retained during early brain development [16,29–31]. Therefore, we can include a longitudinal constraint term or temporal consistency term to better guide the segmentation. For each time-point $t$, by using 4D registration algorithm, segmentation results from other time points $\tau(\tau \neq t)$ can be registered into the space of the image at the current time-point $t$. The level set functions $\phi_{1,1}$ and $\phi_{2,1}$ can be similarly warped based on the same deformation fields. Let the warped version of $\phi_{1,1}$ and $\phi_{2,1}$ be $\phi_{1,t}$ and $\phi_{2,t}$, respectively. The distance
between the zero-level-surface of \( w_{1,t} \) (or \( w_{2,t} \)) and \( w_{L1,t} \) (or \( w_{L2,t} \)) is constrained in a certain range. As illustrated in Fig. 3(a), the evolutions of \( \phi_{1,t} \) and \( \phi_{2,t} \) are not only influenced by the information from the current image but is also adaptively constrained by longitudinal information from another time-point \( \tau \) image weighted by \( \zeta(\tau) \). This longitudinal constraint serves to better guide tissue segmentation, and also ensures that the segmented cortical surfaces of the serial infant images of the same subject are consistent with each other.

Similar to Eqs. (3) and (4), we can also constrain the distance between the zero level surfaces of \( \phi_{1,t} \) (or \( \phi_{2,t} \)) and \( \phi_{L1,t} \) (or \( \phi_{L2,t} \)). Here, we first consider \( \phi_{1,t} \). Since the cortex is under rapid development in the first two years and registration across the time-points is not exact, we need to allow the displacement between zero level surfaces of \( \phi_{1,t} \) (or \( \phi_{2,t} \)) and \( \phi_{L1,t} \) (or \( \phi_{L2,t} \)). Let the allowed longitudinal range of variation be \([d_1, D_1]\) with \( d_1 < 0 \) and \( D_1 > 0 \), we constrain the zero level sets \( \phi_{1,t}(0) \) to fall between the level sets \( \phi_{L1,t}(d_1) \) and \( \phi_{L1,t}(D_1) \). We can define the longitudinal constraint term as,
and year 2, this energy will be the same as the energy proposed in (CoupledLS) [22]; if energy functional will be the same as the coupled level sets modalities.
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12 months). Guided by images with higher contrast (e.g., 2 weeks and images with lower contrast (e.g., 3, 6, 9 months) can hence be influenced by its neighboring time-point images. The last time-point image usually has good contrast (see Fig. 1), therefore, its influence will be propagated further and has a greater impact on guiding the segmentation of other time-points. Segmentation of images with lower contrast (e.g., 3, 6, 9 months) can hence be guided by images with higher contrast (e.g., 2 weeks and 12 months).

Similarly, for $\phi_{2,j}$, the longitudinal constraint term can be defined as,

$$E_{\text{long}}(\phi_{2,j}) = \sum_{i \neq j} \zeta(i)[1 - (H(\phi^L_{2,i} - d_i) - H(\phi^L_{1,i} - D_i))]]$$

$$[(H(\phi^L_{2,i} - d_i) - H(\phi_{1,i}))^2 + (H(\phi^L_{2,i} - D_i) - H(\phi_{1,i}))^2]$$

Finally, we can define the longitudinally guided level-sets energy, which combines local information from T1, T2 and FA images, cortical thickness constraint term, and longitudinal constraint term, as

$$F(\Phi) = \sum_i E_{\text{data}}(\Phi_i) + \alpha (E_{\text{dist}}(\phi_{1,i}) + E_{\text{dist}}(\phi_{2,i})) + \beta (E_{\text{long}}(\phi_{1,i}) + E_{\text{long}}(\phi_{2,i})) + \nu E_{\text{smooth}}(\Phi_i)$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \cdots, \Phi_{t+1})$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\nu$ are the blending parameters. It is worth noting that, without FA image, if $\beta = 0$, the energy functional will be the same as the coupled level sets (CoupledLS) [22]; if $\beta \neq 0$ and only two time-points, e.g., year 0 and year 2, this energy will be the same as the energy proposed in [17]. Therefore, $F(\Phi)$ can be considered as a general framework for infant brain MR image segmentation, which actually can deal with single/multiple time-points, and single/multiple image modalities.

To effectively minimize this energy with respect to $\Phi$, we can rewrite it as,

$$\begin{cases}
F_1(\Phi_{1,i}) = E_{\text{data}} + \alpha E_{\text{dist}}(\phi_{1,i}) + \beta E_{\text{long}}(\phi_{1,i}) + \nu \int |\nabla H(\phi_{1,i}(x))| dx, \\
F_2(\Phi_{2,i}) = E_{\text{data}} + \alpha E_{\text{dist}}(\phi_{2,i}) + \beta E_{\text{long}}(\phi_{2,i}) + \nu \int |\nabla H(\phi_{2,i}(x))| dx.
\end{cases}$$

The energy function $F_1(\phi_{1,i})$ and $F_2(\phi_{2,i})$ with respect to $\phi_{1,i}$, $\phi_{2,i}$, and $\phi_{3,i}$ can be easily minimized by using calculus of variations.

The iterative procedure is summarized in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1 4D Multi-modality Image Segmentation Using Level Sets**

Initial segmentation by applying level-set based segmentations on each time-points (Eq. (5));

while convergence criteria is not met do

Building 4D correspondences across time-points by using 4D registration [32] based on the segmentation results;

Longitudinal segmentation: update $\phi_{1,i}$, $\phi_{2,i}$, and $\phi_{3,i}$ using constraints from neighboring time-points by minimization of energy (Eq. (3)).

end while

**Results**

To validate our proposed method, we apply it to a group of 28 infants, each scanned at 5 time points: 2 weeks, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months (or older than 12 months). In our experiments, we set the allowable cortical thickness to $[1, 6.5]$mm, the allowable longitudinal constraint range to $[-1.5, 1.5]$mm, $\nu = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.25$, and $\beta = 0.5$. The functions $\delta$ and $H$ are regularized as in [33]. The level set functions are reinitialized as the signed distance functions at every iteration by using the fast marching method [34]. To measure the overlap rate between the two segmentations $A$ and $B$, we employ the Dice ratio ($\text{DR}$), defined as $\text{DR}(A,B) = 2|A \cap B|/(|A| + |B|)$. DR ranges from 0 to 1, corresponding to the worst and the best agreement between labels of two segmentations.

**Importance of FA Information**

To demonstrate the benefit of incorporating the FA image in the proposed method, we first compare the performance of the CoupledLS [22] for cases when only T1 and T2 images are used and when T1, T2, and FA images are used. These are referred to

Figure 10. Left: Scatterplots of TBV, cortical GM and WM volumes by age at scan. Right: Scatterplots of cortical GM and WM volumes in terms of percentage of the total brain volume. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044596.g010
as CoupledLS(T1+T2) and CoupledLS(T1+T2+FA), respectively. Fig. 4 shows the segmentation results for a randomly selected subject with the original images shown in Fig. 1. The manual segmentations, performed by the trained neuroanatomist, are shown in the last row. The boundaries of neonatal images at the isointense stage are quite fuzzy. The neuroanatomist will use the aligned last time-point (e.g., 1.5-years-old) image as a reference to delineate the boundaries. It can be clearly seen that the CoupledLS(T1+T2+FA) (the second row) yields more accurate results than CoupledLS(T1+T2) (the first row). For images acquired from the 6-month-olds, the image contrast is quite low. As a result, without information from the FA images, CoupledLS(T1+T2) cannot obtain an accurate result (see the blue circled region). Next, we compared the proposed method with the method proposed in [17] LongLS(T1+T2) in which T1 and T2 images are used. The results are shown in the third and fourth rows, respectively. It can be clearly seen that the proposed method using T1+T2+FA yields more accurate results, e.g., in the areas marked by the red circles. The mean and standard deviation of DR values of the WM, GM and CSF segmentations of all 28 subjects are shown in Fig. 5. It can be observed that both the CoupledLS and the proposed method achieve much higher accuracy with the help of the FA image.

Coupled Level Sets (3D) vs the Proposed Method (4D)

To demonstrate the advantages of the proposed method in terms of consistency, in this section, we make comparisons between the CoupledLS [22] working only on a single time-point image and the proposed method working on longitudinal images. For fair comparison, we utilize T1+T1+FA images for both CoupledLS and the proposed method. Since CoupledLS works on the 3D image individually, the temporal consistency cannot be guaranteed. The 3D rendering of the WM/GM and GM/CSF surfaces are shown in Fig. 6. From the zoomed views (the bottom four rows), it can be seen that the CoupledLS cannot achieve consistent results for serial infant images, while the results of the proposed method are much more consistent. The average DR values on all 28 subjects are also shown in Fig. 5, again demonstrating the advantage of the proposed method.

Voxelwise EM Method (4D) vs the Proposed Method (4D)

In this section, we make comparison with the method proposed in [12], which was the first method proposed for the segmentation of serial infant brain MR images. This method is a voxelwise Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm utilizing subject-specific prior atlases adaptively adjusted by the longitudinal constraints between time-points. Intrinsically, it cannot provide smooth and closed contours/surfaces as final segmentation outcome and cannot guarantee longitudinally consistent segmentation results, although temporal consistency was considered (see Fig. 7). Fig. 8 presents typical segmentation results on a randomly selected subject. Compared with the results of the voxelwise EM, the results of the proposed method are much more consistent with the ground truth, as indicated by the red marks. Fig. 7 presents the surfaces derived by these two methods. It can be clearly seen from the lower zoomed views that the proposed method achieves much more consistent results than the voxelwise EM method. The average DR values are shown in Fig. 9, again demonstrating the advantage of the proposed method in terms of accuracy.

Volume Analysis

The proposed segmentation method has been validated on 28 subjects. The GM and WM volumes are plotted on the left of Fig. 10. The GM volume increased by 151%, and the WM 55% in the first year of life. The total brain volume (TBV), which was calculated by combining total GM and WM, increased by 115% in the first year of life, while only 11% in the following half year. The volumes, when normalized by TBV, can be used to reflect growth relative to the full brain. As shown in the right of Fig. 10, the percentage of GM increased significantly in the first year, while the WM percentage decreased. Both of them remained relatively constant in the following half year. Our findings are in agreement with a previous study [11].

Discussion

In this paper, we have presented a longitudinally guided level set method for segmentation of serial infant brain MR images. Combined information from T1, T2 and FA images are utilized by the proposed method. 4D constraint is introduced to ensure consistency across time points. The proposed method has been tested on 28 subjects and high overlap ratio was obtained in comparison to manual segmentations. Extensive comparisons with voxel-based methods and non-4D methods also demonstrate the advantages of the proposed method in terms of accuracy and consistency. The source code and software of the proposed method have been released in NITRC (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/ibeat). Up to now, there have been more than 190 downloads, after it was released in December, 2011.

The rapid growth of the total brain volume in the first year of life reveals that this is a critical period of brain development. This may be a period of developmental vulnerability, but may also be a period of therapeutic interventions with the greatest positive effect. Meanwhile, the relatively faster growing trend of GM compared with WM growth suggests that early growth is dominated primarily by GM. The findings are consistent with a previous study [11].

In this paper, the FA image, which provides better WM contrast [14], has been utilized to guide image segmentation, especially for the 6-8 month old image. The most related work was presented in [17], in which the focus of segmentation was on neonatal (less than 2-month-old) images. That method only utilizes T1-weighted follow-up images for segmentation of T2-weighted neonatal images. The current work extends the previous work [17] in two ways. First, in this paper, we propose a 4D segmentation framework that focuses on the segmentation of the serial infant images, from 2 weeks up to 1.5 years of age. Second, images from multiple modalities (T1, T2 and FA) are utilized in this framework for the accurate segmentation, especially for images of the 6-month-olds, which has lowest brain tissue contrast.

The cortical thicknesses range [\(d \vec{D}\)] from post-mortem data in adults are in the range of 1.3–4.5 mm [35–37]. Although, to the best of our knowledge, there are currently no studies measuring the physical cortical thickness in first year of infant brain, we conservatively set the acceptable range [\(d \vec{D}\)] as 1–6.5 mm. For the longitudinal range of variation [\(d_1 \vec{D}_1\)], since the cortex is under rapid development in the first two years and registration across the time-points is not exact, we need to allow the displacement between the WM/GM (GM/CSF) boundaries of current time-point and the warped boundary from the other time-points. In this paper, we tested the displacement from 0 mm to 3 mm. We observed an inverted, flat bottomed U-shaped curve for the Dice ratio with a peak at \(\sim 1.5\) mm. Therefore, we set the longitudinal range of variation [\(d_1 \vec{D}_1\)] as \([-1.5,1.5]\) mm. The other weighting parameters \(\alpha\), \(\beta\) and \(\nu\) were set based on the similar strategy.

There are many options for 4D registration methods, however, since the main focus of this paper is on segmentation, comparison of the different registration methods is out of scope of the current.
paper. In this paper, we adopt a 4D HAMMER [32] registration method. In our future work, different registration methods can be evaluated.
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