Assessment of the possibility of the methane to methanol transformation
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The methane to methanol conversion via esterification is an interesting method which makes it possible to eliminate the otherwise necessary phase of obtaining synthesis gas. On the basis of laboratory investigations mass balances for this process were determined. Preliminary assessment of the way of conducting the process and possibilities of practical applications of this technology was also made. It was pointed out that regardless of any possible modifications of methane to methanol conversion via esterification redundant sulfuric acid will always be produced during ester hydrolysis. Production of methanol from methane using this method can only be done when it is combined with producing other substances, which needs using H₂SO₄.

Keywords: methane, methanol, methyl bisulphate, Sankey diagram, mass balance.

INTRODUCTION

It has been recognized for a long time that a direct conversion process from methane to useful chemicals such as methanol would have many advantages over the indirect technology via synthesis gas. In 1980 methane to methanol conversion via esterification method was patented. In this method methane is oxidized to ester by means of strong acid at the presence of the catalyst. Then ester is hydrolyzed to methanol (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

methane + oxidizing agent + acid → catalyst → methyl ester + reduced oxidizing agent
methyl ester + water → methanol + acid

The reactions (1) – (3) can be combined to give the net reaction:

\[ CH₄ + H₂SO₄ + SO₃ → CH₃OSO₂H + SO₂ + H₂O \]  (1)

Several new catalyst systems have been reported which can be used for selective conversion of methane to esters: dichloro(η-2(2,2'-bipyrimidyl)) platinum(II) in 20% oleum – ester yield 73% 4, Hg(OSO₃H)₂ in 100% sulfuric acid – ester yield 43% 4, iodine and iodine compounds in 65% oleum – ester yield 92% 5.

When the reaction is carried in oleum or sulfuric acid methyl bisulphate is obtained 5:

\[ CH₃ + H₂SO₄ + SO₃ → CH₃OSO₂H + SO₂ + H₂O \]  (1)

Periana at al. suggested 4, that although it is possible to separate methyl ester from the first step reaction media by commonly practiced methods such as flashing or distillation, there is in fact no need to isolate methyl ester since water can be added to the obtained solution. The product of the hydrolysis is methanol:

\[ CH₃OSO₂H + H₂O → CH₃OH + H₂SO₄ \]  (2)

Sulfur trioxide can be regenerated by oxidizing:

\[ SO₃ + 0.5 O₂ → SO₂ \]  (3)

The reactions (1) – (3) can be combined to give the net reaction:

\[ CH₄ + 0.5 O₂ → CH₃OH \]  (4)

In this reactions (1) – (3), sulfuric acid functions as an oxygen-atom transfer reagent. The net transformation of the established step is the selective oxidation of methane to methanol with molecular oxygen. If the above described process were applied to methanol production, only methane and oxygen would have to be provided as substrates. Other reacting substances would be regenerated. According to the authors this technology would produce no waste.

The aim of this paper was preliminary assessment of the methane to methanol conversion via esterification and its possible practical applications.

EXPERIMENTAL

The investigated process consisted of two stages: methane esterification and hydrolysis of the obtained ester to methanol. Esterification was carried out at 160°C, under methane pressure of 4.5 MPa in an autoclave (volume 0.25 dm³) containing oleum (25 wt.% of SO₃). The esterification was described in detail in 6. Platinum chloride (IV) was used as the catalysts of the process. The oleum volume was varied from 0.05 – 0.2 dm³. Methyl bisulfate and carbon dioxide were obtained as products of the esterification. Methyl bisulfate was analyzed quantitatively by ¹³C NMR.

Methanol was obtained by mixing with water in two different ways. First, it was produced in the method proposed by Periana et al. 3–4 i.e. by adding water to the post-reaction solution of esterification process. Second, methyl bisulfate was educed by means of membrane distillation and later hydrolyzed. These methods were described in detail in 7, 8.

Methanol and carbon dioxide were analyzed qualitatively by gas chromatography.

The yield of the methyl bisulfate was defined by the equation:

\[ \alpha CH₃OSO₂H = \frac{n_{CH₃OSO₂H}}{n_{0,CH₄}} 100\% \]

\[ n_{CH₃OSO₂H} \] – number of methyl bisulfate moles [mol]

\[ n_{0,CH₄} \] – number of methane moles at the beginning of the reaction [mol]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The oleum volume was varied in the experiments but because of the initial methane pressure was the same, the number of methane moles was varied as well. Figure 1 shows plot of the yield of the methyl bisulfate vs. volume of the oleum and number of moles of the methane at the beginning of the process. There is no the other product – CO₂ in the Figure 1 because the selectivity of the ester...
was more than 99%. When the volume of the oleum (liquid reaction mixture) is larger the yield of the methyl bisulfate is raising. One can thing the volume of oleum suppose to be the largest.

On the basis of the best results the mass balance was made. The results of the calculations are presented in Table 1. In the presented calculations the mass of H$_2$SO$_4$ stands for sulfuric acid (VI) with 100% concentration, and the mass of SO$_3$ denotes free sulfur trioxide dissolved in the acid.

In order to achieve a high yield of SO$_2$ to SO$_3$ oxidation amounting to 99.5% the content of SO$_3$ in the gas solution should be approximately 15% \(^9\). This required 147 kg of oxygen. As a result 72 kg of regenerated sulfur trioxide can be obtained. Hence, for every 100 kg of obtained methyl bisulphate not only 15 kg of methane and 34 kg of oxygen, but also 72 kg of SO$_3$ should be provided, despite the fact that sulfur trioxide obtained from SO$_2$ oxidation is returned to the reactor. It should be remembered that if sulfur trioxide is present in a reaction system, it immediately reacts with water. Apart from reactions (1) – (3), the following reaction also takes place:

\[
\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4
\]

The combined reactions (1) – (3) and (5) give the net reaction:

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 0.5 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4
\]

The claim promoted by Periana et al. \(^3, 4\) and repeated by other authors \(^5, 10^-12\) that methane to methanol conversion can be carried out as waste free technology is false. The process needs sulfur trioxide and water and its by-product is sulfuric acid.

Following that, by-product of methane esterification is sulfuric acid (reaction (6)) obtained in the amount of 0.3 kg for every 100 kg of methyl bisulphate. This amount can be disregarded bearing in mind that the total mass of sulfuric acid (100%) was 2.076 kg. Therefore, it can be assumed that the mass of H$_2$SO$_4$ will not change after esterification.

Author has confirmed that it is possible to produce methanol from methyl bisulfate by adding water to the post-reaction mixture obtained as a result of esterification. It should also be added that hydrolysis is a reversible process \(^13^-15\), which Periana et al. \(^3, 4\) failed to point out. Therefore, the correct way of writing it can be given by:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OSO}_3\text{H} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{z}} \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4
\]

Ester is hydrolyzed to alcohol in 100% if the amount of added water is such that sulfuric acid concentration amounts to 50 wt % or even less \(^7\).

A schematic diagram of methane to methanol conversion via methyl bisulphate hydrolysis in post-reaction mixture taking into account regeneration of by-products is presented in Figure 3.

Methane, oleum and catalyst are fed into the esterification reactor. The post-reaction liquid containing methyl bisulphate, oleum and the catalyst is directed to hydrolysis reactor and the gases to separation system. After separation methane is turned back to esterification reactor. Sulfur dioxides are directed to oxidation reactor. Regenerated sulfur trioxide is absorbed in concentrated sulfuric acid. In order to obtain the desired concentration of oleum (25 wt % of SO$_3$) it is necessary to add „fresh” SO$_3$. Following

| Table 1. Mass balance of the methane esterification catalyzed by PtCl$_4$, in order to obtain 100 kg of methyl bisulphate |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| CH$_4$ [kg] | SO$_3$ [kg] | H$_2$SO$_4$ [kg] | CH$_3$OSO$_3$H [kg] | SO$_2$ [kg] | CO$_2$ [kg] |
| Before esterification | 116 | 692 | 2076 | 0 | 0 |
| After esterification | 101 | 548 | 2076 | 100 | 57 | 0.07 |
hydrolysis a solution of methanol and sulfuric acid (with 50% concentration) is obtained, which is then separated by means of distillation.

The acid diluted during hydrolysis is later concentrated. In hydrolysis reactor free sulfur trioxide reacts with water, which leads to production of additional sulfuric acid. This is also the reason that only a part of concentrated acid is returned to sulfur trioxide absorption and later to esterification. The excessive acid must therefore be carried off as by-product. Unfortunately, this acid contains the catalyst, so it is contaminated.

A mass balance for the above described process of obtaining methanol from methane according to the diagram shown in Figure 3 has been made. It is presented as Sankey diagram in Figure 4.

The data used for these calculations is the same as the balance data presented in Table 1. The only difference is that the assumed mass of methanol (and not methyl bisulphate) as the final product was 100 kg. The analysis of both hydrolysis and distillation showed that their efficiency reached 100%.

As it can be seen in Fig. 4, the mass of water necessary for hydrolysis to be carried to the end is significant – it is 10,410 kg for every 100 kg of methanol. Sulfur trioxide is also to be found in the post-reaction solution left after esterification. During hydrolysis sulfur trioxide reacts with water. A certain amount of water is used not to dilute the acid, but quite contrary to increase its amount. The total mass of constituents after hydrolysis, which is directed to distillation is twice as large as the initial mass of esterification products, i.e. 19945 kg for every 100 kg of methanol.

This is undesirable, because an installation of a large size has to be used. Additionally, separation of methanol during distillation from such a mass of solution needs large amounts of energy. The same problems arise during concentrating sulfuric acid by means of water vaporization. After having concentrated acid to 96% (which incidentally is not necessary), its mass is 4168 kg for every 100 kg of methanol. The acid has trace amounts of contamination with the catalyst.

The analysis of the mass balance demonstrated that an addition of water to esterification post-reaction solution leads to many problems, such as: production of substantial amounts of excessive and contaminated sulfuric acid, large size of installation used for hydrolysis, distillation and acid concentration, considerable energy consumption. This kind of solution is not a favorable one. Any contact of oleum with water should be avoided in order not to produce additional sulfuric acid. An elimination of these problems is possible if methyl bisulphate is separated from esterification post-reaction solution before hydrolysis.

While investigating the possibilities of using membrane distillation it was found that at the initial stage of the process first sulfur trioxide and then methyl bisulphate are produced. Conclusions can be drawn from this fact and distillation can be carried out as a two-stage process.

A schematic diagram is presented in Figure 5. Methane and oleum containing catalyst are fed into esterification reactor. Post-reaction gases are directed to separation
Figure 4. Sankey diagram for methane to methanol conversion via methyl bisulphate hydrolysis in post-reaction mixture.
The post-reaction liquid containing methyl bisulphate, oleum and the catalyst undergoes a two-stage distillation. During the second stage of distillation methyl bisulphate is separated, which is later absorbed in water. The mass balance of the process is presented in the form of a Sankey diagram in Fig. 6.

As it can be clearly seen in Fig. 6 this is the best solution. Sulfur trioxide has no contact with water used for hydrolysis and it is absorbed in sulfuric acid. There are two advantages of this kind of solution. The mass of water necessary for hydrolysis and the mass of additional sulfur trioxide are smaller than in the earlier described methods. The mass of water is as small as only 362 kg for every 100 kg of methanol. In this case the production of redundant sulfuric acid with 50 wt % concentration is also significantly reduced – down to 612 kg, which for H₂SO₄ with 96% concentration gives 319 kg. This is owing to the fact that in this case redundant sulfuric acid is only produced in reaction (2). The amount of sulfur trioxide which must be additionally provided is 363 kg for every 100 kg of methanol. Although the application of two stage membrane distillation turned out to be the best solution, it is possible to use rectifying columns, which could give a similar effect.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The method of methyl bisulphate hydrolysis in oleum medium which was described by many authors as easy to conduct is in fact an unsuccessful one. It is necessary to separate ester from the post-reaction solution before hydrolysis and it is crucial to avoid sulfur trioxide's contact with water used for ester's hydrolysis. These conditions can be fulfilled using membrane distillation. Any other method could be used for ester separation e.g. rectification. In this method the catalyst circulates in the system together with a part of H₂SO₄ and the sulfuric acid produced as a result of reaction (2) is free from contamination and can later be used for other purposes.
Figure 6. Sankey diagram of methane to methanol conversion with two stage membrane distillation.

1 - Oxidation
2 - Separation of the gases
3 - Hydolysis
4 - H₂SO₄, H₂O, CH₃OH - 712 kg
5 - Distillation
6 - SO₃ - 1 919 kg
LPMD - Low pressure membrane distillation

- Oxidation: H₂SO₄ - 50 wt. %, 612 kg
- Separation of the gases: N₂, O₂ - 466 kg
- Hydolysis: CH₄, SO₂, CO₂ - 557 kg
- Distillation: SO₃ - 253 kg
- Oleum - 25% SO₃, catalyst 9 686 kg

10 000 kg

- H₂O - 362 kg
- CH₃OH - 100 kg
- CH₃OSO₃H - 350 kg
- CH₄ - 355 kg
- CO₂ - 0.25 kg
- SO₂ - 1 kg
- Air - 516 kg
- CH₄ - 50 kg
Regardless of any possible modifications of the above described methods redundant sulfuric acid will always be produced during ester hydrolysis. Production of methanol from methane using this method can only be done when it is combined with producing other substances, which needs using $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. The process can be applied in chemical plants manufacturing artificial fertilizers, whose production needs both methane and sulfuric acid used as raw materials to make other products.
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