Near infrared photoimmunotherapy targeting DLL3 for small cell lung cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background: Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) has a poor prognosis, and its treatment options are limited. Delta-like protein 3 (DLL3) is expressed specifically in SCLC and is considered a promising therapeutic target for patients with this disease. Rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T) was the first antibody-drug conjugate targeting DLL3. Although Rova-T development was unfortunately terminated, DLL3 remains an ideal target for SCLC. Near infrared photoimmunotherapy (NIR-PIT) is a new form of cancer treatment that employs an antibody-photonsensitiser conjugate followed by NIR light exposure and damage target cells specifically. In this study, we demonstrate DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT to develop a novel molecularly targeted treatment for SCLC.

Methods: The anti-DLL3 monoclonal antibody rovalpituzumab was conjugated to an IR700 photosensitiser (termed ‘rova-IR700’). SCLC cells overexpressing DLL3 as well as non-DLL3-expressing controls were incubated with rova-IR700 and then exposed to NIR-light. Next, mice with SCLC xenografts were injected with rova-IR700 and irradiated with NIR-light.

Findings: DLL3-overexpressing cells underwent immediate destruction upon NIR-light exposure, whereas the control cells remained intact. The xenograft in mice treated with rova-IR700 and NIR-light shrank markedly, whereas neither rova-IR700 injection nor NIR-light irradiation alone affected tumour size.

Interpretation: Our data suggest that targeting of DLL3 using NIR-PIT could be a novel and promising treatment for SCLC.
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1. Introduction

Small cell lung cancer (SCLC), which accounts for 15% of lung malignancies, has a poor prognosis [1]. SCLC is often discovered after it is already at an advanced, unreseetable stage, and treatment is thus limited to anticancer drug therapy. While novel tyrosine kinase inhibitors and immune checkpoint blockers are continuously being developed for non-SCLCs, treatment options for SCLCs have not advanced for 2–3 decades [2]. Delta-like protein 3 (DLL3) is a potential therapeutic target molecule for SCLC. It was originally identified as a ligand for the notch signalling pathway [3], but was more recently found to be highly expressed in SCLC and not in adult tissues [4,5]. Rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T) was the first antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) targeting DLL3. However, TAHOE (NCT03061812) and
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Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) has a poor prognosis, and its treatment options are very limited. Moreover, molecularly targeted therapy for SCLC have not yet successfully been implemented. Recent studies have revealed that DLL3 is specifically expressed in SCLC, and it is an ideal molecular target. Thus, the antibody drug conjugate targeting DLL3, rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T) has developed into the phase III clinical trials with much expectation. However, unfortunately the results of the trials have failed recently. Although Rova-T development has been discontinued, DLL3 is still a good molecular target for SCLC. Therefore, the enhancement therapies targeting DLL3 with an innovative technique are required.

Near infrared photodynamic therapy (NIR-PIT) is a new form of cancer treatment that employs an antibody photosensitiser conjugate followed with NIR-light exposure. The cytotoxicity by NIR-PIT has uniqueness of very rapid necrosis, which is totally different from other anti-cancer therapies. Recently the cell death mechanism has been clarified that the photochemical reaction induces acute molecular change of hydrophilic state into hydrophobic, resulting in cancer cell membrane damage. NIR-PIT has been under the Phase III trials with US-FDA fast track, and expected to be approved in a few years.

**2. Materials and methods**

2.1. Study design

Our research objective was to establish a new treatment for SCLC using NIR-PIT. All in vivo procedures were conducted in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Nagoya University Animal Care and Use Committee (approval #00238). The patients were consented with the use of their resected tumour samples, and informed that they could withdraw consent at any time.

2.2. Immunostaining of surgically resected SCLC/large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC)/carcinoid cell specimens

We performed immunostaining of DLL3 in the resected specimens of Japanese patients pathologically diagnosed with SCLC, LCNEC, or lung carcinoids who underwent surgery at Nagoya University Hospital between April 2004 and December 2015. Four micrometre-thick sections were produced from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumour samples and placed on glass slides. Epitope retrieval was performed using a pH 9 buffer (Epitope Retrieval Solution pH 9; Leica Biosystems, Nussloch, Germany) and a pressure cooker. We used rabbit polyclonal anti-DLL3 antibody (1:1000; Delta3/DLL3 IHC-plusTM; LS Bio, Seattle, WA, USA) and horseradish peroxidase-polymer secondary antibody (EnVision+ system HRP-labelled polymer anti-rabbit; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Colorimetric development was performed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (ImmPACT DAB Substrate; Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA) and hematoxylin. DLL3 expression was evaluated at 100 £ magnification using a brightfield microscope. Positive staining was defined as the staining of 1% or more of the tumour cell at any intensity, whether cytoplasmic or membranous, based on previous guidance [13].

2.3. Reagents

The water-soluble, silica-phthalocyanine derivative IRDye 700DX N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester was purchased from LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE, USA). Rovalpituzumab was obtained from Creative Biolabs (Shirley, NY, USA). All other chemicals were of reagent grade.

2.4. Cell lines

SBC3 and SBC5 cell lines, both derived from Japanese patients with SCLC, were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Resources (Osaka, Japan); 3T3 (mouse fibroblast) (ATCC Cat# CRL-1658, RRID:CCL-0594), H69 (human SCLC) (ATCC Cat# HTB-119, RRID:CVCL_1579), and H82 (human SCLC) (ATCC Cat# HTB-175, RRID:CVCL_1591) cells were obtained from the American Type...
Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). The cdk4/hTERT-immortalised normal human bronchial epithelial cell line HBEC3 was obtained from Hamon Centre collection (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Centre, Dallas, TX, USA) [14].

DLL3, green fluorescent protein (GFP), and luciferase-expressing SBC5 and 3T3 cells were established by transfecting RediFect Red-Fluc lentiviral particles (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and DLL3-GFP lentiviral particles (OriGene, Rockville, MD, USA). Balb/3T3 cells stably expressing red fluorescent protein (RFP) were established by transfection with RFP (EF1a)-puro lentiviral particles (AMS/BIO, Cambridge, MA, USA) [11, 12, 15]. Stable, high expression of DLL3, GFP, luciferase, or RFP was confirmed after performing more than 10 cell passages. We referred to DLL3, GFP, and luciferase-expressing SBC5/3T3 as SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP/3T3-DLL3-luc-GFP, and to RFP-expressing 3T3 as 3T3-RFP. H69/cisplatin (CDDP) [16] and H69/vePESid (VP) [17] were obtained from Kindai University (Dr. Nishio Kazuto), while SBC3/CDDP [18], SBC3/etoposide (ETP) [19], and SBC3/7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN38) [20] were obtained from Okayama University (Dr. Kiura Katsuyuki). Note that ‘/[drug]’ indicates resistance to that drug.

2.5. Cell culture

Cells were all cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin (100 IU/mL) and streptomycin (100 mg/mL) (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.6. Synthesis of IR700-conjugated rovalpituzumab

Rovalpituzumab (1 mg, 6.8 nmol) was incubated with IR700 NHS ester (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) (66.8 mg, 34.2 nmol, 5 mmol/L in DMSO) in 0.1 mol/L Na2HPO4 (pH 8.6) at room temperature for 1 h. The mixture was purified using a Sephadex G50 column (PD-10, GE Healthcare; Piscataway, NJ, USA). The protein concentration was determined using a Coomassie Plus protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by spectrophotometrically measuring the absorption at 595 nm (Novaspec Plus; GE Healthcare). The concentration of IR700 was measured via absorption at 689 nm using spectroscopy to confirm the number of mAb-conjugated fluorophores. The synthesis was controlled so that an average of 3 IR700 molecules were bound to a single antibody; these rovalpituzumab conjugates were obtained from Kindai University (Dr. Nishio Kazuto), while SBC3/CDDP [18], SBC3/etoposide (ETP) [19], and SBC3/7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN38) [20] were obtained from Okayama University (Dr. Kiura Katsuyuki). Note that ‘/[drug]’ indicates resistance to that drug.

2.7. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting

We performed quality control for the conjugate using SDS-PAGE as previously described [21]; diluted rovalpituzumab was used as a non-conjugated control. The fluorescent bands were measured with an Odyssey Imager (LI-COR Biosciences) using a 700 nm fluorescence channel.

Immunoblotting was performed to confirm specific binding to DLL3. For western blot analysis, lysates from transiently DLL3-overexpressing cells as well as control lysates (OriGene) were separated by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, blocked in BlockOne (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), incubated with rova-IR700, and detected via fluorescence using an Odyssey Imager (700 nm fluorescence channel).

2.8. Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was performed to evaluate DLL3 expression in the cell lines. Two hundred thousand cells were seeded into 12-well plates and incubated with rova-IR700 at 10 μg/mL for 12 h at 37 °C. The medium was replaced with PBS after washing twice with the same. To avoid affecting antigen-antibody reaction with trypsin, the cells were detached from the wells by pipetting, and IR700 fluorescence was evaluated in 10,000 cells using a flow cytometer (Gallos, Beckman Coulter). To evaluate specific binding to DLL3, a block study was performed with SBC3 cells that were incubated with excess rovalpituzumab beforehand. HBEC3 cells were incubated with CD16 antibody (10 μg/mL; CD16/CD32 mAb [clone 93], Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 6 h before assessing to evaluate DLL3 expression as evidenced by blocking the Fab region of rovalpituzumab.

2.9. Fluorescence microscopy

To detect the antigen-specific localization of IR700 conjugates, observation with fluorescence microscopy was performed (A1Rsi and TIE-A1R, Nikon Instech, Tokyo, Japan). Ten thousand cells were seeded on cover glass-bottomed dishes and incubated for 6 hours. Rova-IR700 was then added to the culture medium at 10 μg/mL and incubated at 37 °C for 12 h. The cells were then washed with PBS twice. Propidium iodide (PI, 1:2,000; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Sytox Blue (1:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added to the media 30 min before observation to stain the dead cells. The cells were then exposed to NIR light (4 J/cm²) and serial microscopic images were obtained.

2.10. In vitro NIR-PIT

Two hundred thousand cells were seeded into 12-well plates and incubated with rova-IR700 at 10 μg/mL for 12 h at 37 °C. The medium was replaced with PBS after washing twice with the same. The cells were irradiated with a NIR light-emitting diode, which emits light at a wavelength of 670–710 nm (L690-66-60; Ushio-Epitex, Kyoto, Japan). The actual power density (mW/cm²) was measured with an optical power meter (PM100; Thorlabs, Newton, NJ, USA).

2.11. Cytotoxicity/phototoxicity assay

The in vitro cytotoxic effects of NIR-PIT with rova-IR700 were determined via luciferase activity as well as PI staining using flow cytometry. For luciferase activity, 200 μL of 150 μg/mL of D-luciferin-containing media (GoldBio, St Louis, MO, USA) was administered to PBS-washed cells 24 h after NIR-PIT; the cells were then analysed on a bioluminescence plate reader (Powerscan4; BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). We evaluated luciferase activity in vitro 1, 3, 6, and 24 h after NIR light exposure. With this estimation, we decided to evaluate luciferase activity in vitro 24 h after NIR-PIT. For the flow cytometric assay, cells were washed with PBS twice and dissociated at 1 h after treatment. The cells were detached from the wells by pipetting, and PI was added to the cell suspension (final concentration, 2 μg/mL) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min before performing flow cytometry. PI fluorescence in 10,000 cells was measured using FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

2.12. Animal and tumour models

All in vivo procedures were conducted in compliance with the local Animal Care and Use Committee of Nagoya University. Eight to 12-week-old female homozygote athymic nude mice were purchased from Chubu Kagaku Shizai (Nagoya, Japan). The mice were housed in temperature-controlled rooms with a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle at Division of experimental Animals of Nagoya University. The animals were maintained and bred under antigen and virus free conditions, and fed on a white-coloured food diet for at least 1 week before investigation to minimize the fluorescence from diet. The mice were anaesthetised with isoflurane before conducting any procedures. Appropriate experimental sample size was estimated from previous studies. Six million SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP cells were injected.
subcutaneously into one or both sides of the dorsum. To determine tumour volume, the greatest longitudinal diameter (length) and the greatest transverse diameter (width) were measured with an external caliper. Tumour volumes based on caliper measurements were calculated using the following formula: tumour volume = length × width² × 0.5. Tumours reaching approximately 200 mm³ in volume were selected for the experiment. Rodents with suitable tumour sizes and bioluminescence signals were selected for further investigation. The mice were sacrificed using carbon dioxide asphyxiation when tumours exceeded 15 mm in length.

2.13. In vivo bioluminescence imaging (BLI)

D-luciferin (15 mg/mL, 200 µL) was injected intraperitoneally 13 days after cell implantation for purposes of BLI, and the mice were analysed on an IVIS imaging system (Perkin Elmer) for luciferase activity. Regions of interest were identified on the tumours from which to quantify the bioluminescence.

2.14. In vivo fluorescence imaging

IR700 fluorescence images were acquired before and after therapy with a fluorescence imager (Pearl Imager, LI-COR Biosciences).

2.15. In vivo local DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT

Mice with a single-dorsum xenograft were randomised into 4 groups as follows: (1) no treatment (control); (2) only NIR light exposure (light only); (3) rova-IR700 injection without NIR light irradiation (PIT); and (4) rova-IR700 injection followed with NIR light irradiation (PIT). The mice were injected with 50 µg of rova-IR700 on day −1 (13 days after tumour cell inoculation into the mice) and irradiated with NIR light at 50 J/cm² on day 0 and again at 100 J/cm² on day 1. Mice with 2 dorsal tumours were injected with 50 µg of rova-IR700 on day −1, and the larger tumour was irradiated with NIR light at 100 J/cm² on day 0.

2.16. Statistics

Data are expressed as means ± SEM of a minimum of 3 experiments unless otherwise indicated. Statistical analyses were performed using the Prism software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). For 2-group comparisons, the Mann–Whitney test or Student’s t-test was used. For multiple-group comparisons, a 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test or Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s test was used. The cumulative probability of survival, defined as the non-achievement of a tumour diameter of 15 mm, was estimated in each group using Kaplan–Meier analyses, and the results were compared with log-rank and Wilcoxon tests. p-Values <0.05 were considered indicative of statistically significant differences.

3. Results

3.1. Immunostaining of human resected SCLC, LCNEC, and carcinoid cell surgical specimens

DLL3 is considered to express widely in neuroendocrine tumours [22], and we planned to evaluate whether DLL3 is expressed in Japanese SCLC, LCNEC, and carcinoids (other than NSCLC). Ten SCLC, 10 LCNEC, and 8 carcinoid samples were obtained and stained using the same protocol for all. Samples were evaluated by 3 medical doctors. Eight of 10 SCLCs, 5 of 10 LCNECs, and all 8 carcinoid samples were DLL3-positive (Fig. 1(a) and (b)). The DLL3 positivity rate for SCLCs was consistent with those of previous reports [13,23]. These data supported the rationale to develop DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT.

3.2. Conjugation of the anti-DLL3 mAb with IR700DX

The integrity of the rova-IR700 conjugate was confirmed after observing strong binding between the mAb and IR700, while rovalpituzumab alone had no detectable fluorescent signals on SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2(a)). Binding of rova-IR700 to the DLL3 receptor antigen was examined by immunoblotting lysates from cells transiently overexpressing DLL3 (Fig. 2(b)). During flow cytometric assays, SBC3 signals were blocked following the addition of excess rovalpituzumab; almost no signals were observed in either the human normal bronchial epithelial cell line HBE(3) (Fig. 2(c)) or 3T3 mouse fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. 1). Collectively, these results indicate that rovalpituzumab and IR700 were successfully conjugated, and that rova-IR700 could specifically bind to DLL3.

3.3. In vivo characterization of the specific binding of rova-IR700 to DLL3

To confirm the effect of DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT using optical monitoring, the SCLC cell line SBC5 as well as mouse fibroblast 3T3 cells were genetically modified to express DLL3, GFP, and luciferase (SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP and 3T3-DLL3-luc-GFP) (Supplementary Fig. 2a, b). As a non-target control of NIR-PIT, the 3T3 cell line was optically modified to express RFP (3T3-RFP) [12,24].

The fluorescent signals obtained with rova-IR700 from SBC3/SBC5 (Asian patient-derived SCLC cell lines), H69/H82 (Caucasian patient-derived SCLC cell lines), SBC3/CDDP, SBC3/ETP, SBC3/SN38, H69/CDDP, and H69/VP (the chemo-agent-resistant SCLC cell lines) were evaluated using flow cytometry. Fluorescent signals from SBC3 or SBC5 cells exhibited similar intensity as those from H69 and H82 cells (Fig. 3(a)). Moreover, chemoresistant cell lines showed almost the same signal intensity as those of their parent cells (Fig. 3(b)). These data indicated that DLL3 is widely expressed in SCLC cell lines regardless of the race of the patients of origin or previous chemotherapy treatment status.

3.4. In vitro NIR-PIT effects with rova-IR700 in SCLC cell lines

Serial observation of SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP and 3T3-DLL3-luc-GFP cells was performed using a fluorescence microscope before and after NIR-PIT. After exposure to NIR light (4 J/cm²), cellular swelling, bleb formation, and death were observed (Fig. 4a). Time-lapse image analysis showed acute morphologic changes in the cell membrane as well as PI fluorescence, which indicated necrotic cell death (Supplementary Video 1a, b). Moreover, the DLL3-GFP fluorescence disappeared concomitantly with the loss of IR700 fluorescence. Most of these cellular changes were observed within 30 min after light exposure, indicating a rapid induction of necrotic cell death after NIR-PIT. No significant changes were observed in DLL3-negative 3T3-RFP cells, suggesting NIR-PIT-induced no damage on nontarget cells (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Video 2).

Next, to quantitate the effect of NIR-PIT in vitro, we performed a cytotoxicity assay 1 hour after NIR light irradiation using PI staining as well as 24 h later using luciferase activity quantitation. The proportion of dead cells (as determined by PI incorporation) increased in a light dose-dependent manner. No significant cytotoxicity was observed with NIR light exposure alone or rova-IR700 alone (Fig. 4 b). Luciferase activity showed significant decreases in relative light units from NIR-PIT-treated cells (Fig. 4c). Collectively, these experiments confirmed that NIR-PIT causes necrotic cell death in a light dose-dependent manner in vitro.

3.5. In vivo biodistribution of rova-IR700 in tumour bearing mice

To examine the distribution of rova-IR700 in vivo, we used a xenograft model in which SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP cells were introduced into the right dorsum of mice. After fifty micrograms of rova-IR700 injection, high fluorescence was observed across the entire mouse’s body; then,
the tumour was gradually visualised over the following 2 h (Fig. 5(a)). High-intensity fluorescence was observed immediately after injection and on day 1, while the highest tumour-to-background ratio was observed on days 2–3 (Fig. 5(b) and (c)). There was no other specific localization of IR700 except in the liver and bladder presumably owing to hepatic metabolism and urinary excretion. The accumulation in the tumour and liver was confirmed with ex vivo imaging. IR700 fluorescence was observed in the tumours and livers of injected mice but not in other organs, and was entirely absent in non-injected mice (Fig. 5(d)). These results indicate that rova-IR700 was distributed specifically in DLL3-expressing tumours, and that treatments 1 and 2 days after rova-IR700 administration were appropriate.

3.6. In vivo anti-tumour effect of DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT

To monitor targeted tumour cell destruction induced by NIR-PIT, BLI and fluorescence from mice with tumours in the right dorsum were examined (Fig. 6(a)). IR700 fluorescence from the tumour decreased after NIR light irradiation. Luciferase activity in the control, light-only, and rova-IR700 i.v. groups, as determined by BLI, showed a gradual increase concomitant with tumour growth. In contrast, luciferase activity gradually decreased during the 2 days after NIR-PIT (Fig. 6(b)). NIR-PIT introduced on days 0 and 1 led to significant reductions in the proportions of tumour volumes whereas neither rova-IR700 injection nor NIR light irradiation alone affected tumour size. (PIT group vs. control group at day 3: *p = 0.0212; PIT group vs. control group at day 7: *p = 0.0084; PIT group vs. light only group at day 7: *p = 0.0123; PIT group vs. light only group at day 10: *p = 0.0136; light only group vs. control group at day 3, 7, 10: p > 0.9999; i.v. only group vs. control group at day 3, 7, 10: p > 0.9999, Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post-test) (Fig. 6(c)). Quantitative relative light unit measurement showed a significant decrease in NIR-PIT-treated tumours (PIT group vs. light-only group in (b): *p = 0.0007; PIT group vs. control group at (c): *p = 0.0385, Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post-test) (Fig. 6(d)). Survival was observed up to 70 days after cell injection. Survival was significantly
prolonged in the NIR-PIT group (*p = 0.023, log-rank test) (Fig. 6(e)). The changes in the body weights of the mice were negligible throughout the experiment (Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting that the therapy does not cause general adverse effects. Next, we examined the site-specific effects of DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT in the same mice. With dual dorsal flank tumour model, IR700 fluorescence disappeared only at the treated site immediately after NIR-PIT, while the internal luminescence of the treated tumour disappeared 1 day after NIR-PIT (Fig. 6(f) and (g)). Taken together, DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT was effective against the flank tumour and successfully achieved site-specific ablation.

4. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT. In vitro assessment revealed that SCLC cell lines derived from both Caucasian and Japanese patients express DLL3. Immunostaining of resected SCLC, LCNEC, and carcinoid specimens from patients treated at our institution also confirmed that DLL3 was commonly and widely expressed. Therefore, DLL3 appears to be a promising target for anti-SCLC therapies. As such, we demonstrated the combination of NIR-PIT technology and rovalpituzumab, which was recently developed as a humanised mAb [5,25]. DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT damages DLL3 expressing target cell but not non-target cell in vitro. Upon in vivo assessment, the treatment significantly and selectively inhibited the growth of SCLC in a mouse model. DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT in a mouse model also prolonged the survivals. Moreover, since the anticancer drug Rova-T was already subjected in its first in human clinical trial [13], NIR-PIT with rovalpituzumab can easily be translatable into the clinic.

The available therapeutic options for SCLC have not changed in decades [1]. The standard first-line chemotherapy is the combination of a platinum salt with a second agent, while the only second-line chemotherapy approved by the US-FDA is topotecan; there are no approved third-line treatments [2]. Nowadays, many molecular-targeted therapies such as poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (e.g. Veliparib) [26,27], Wee1 inhibitors (e.g. AZD1775) [28,29], or enhancer of zeste homolog 1 or 2 inhibitors (e.g. Tazometostat) [30,31] have been developed against SCLC [2]; however, these fall short in terms of efficacy. Another method of targeting SCLC using mAbs for cell surface markers such as neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM), trophoblast cell-surface antigen 2 (TROP-2), epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), and DLL3 [32]. Among these, DLL3 was originally identified as an inhibitor of the tumour-suppressor
NOTCH1 in SCLC [2,33]. Recent studies revealed that the high expression of DLL3 promotes cell growth and fission as well as a reduction in apoptosis [34,35]. While DLL3 is expressed in few non-SCLCs, it is reportedly overexpressed in 82.5% of SCLCs; moreover, another study found that 31.7% of SCLC specimens were found to exhibit high DLL3 expression [36].

A recent study aimed at identifying the mechanism of NIR-PIT found that photochemical ligand reactions are critical drivers of rapid necrotic cell death [38]. This reaction converts the hydrophilic side chains of IR700 to hydrophobic variants that render the antibody-IR700 conjugates insoluble; this reduces cell membrane integrity and allows the surrounding aqueous fluid to flow in [38]. This photochemical reaction-induced cell death is therefore a new concept in cytotoxicity that demonstrates the uniqueness of NIR-PIT therapy.

There are a few concerns when using this treatment. First, NIR-PIT can only be used locally, and second, there are no established light sources that can deliver sufficient NIR light to human lungs. Approximately 70% of SCLCs are found to be ‘extensive stage’ types at the diagnosis [39], for which new treatments are required. However, there exist preclinical reports of NIR-PIT targeting lung metastases [11], or used with ADCs [40], chemotherapy [41], immune checkpoint inhibitors [42], and anti-regulatory T-cell therapies [43]; these may be effective against extensive-stage SCLC. Thus, the aforementioned concerns could be outweighed by introducing such combination therapies. Moreover, the fact that Rova-T has been used as a DLL3-targeting ADC in clinical studies indicates that the combination of NIR-PIT and Rova-T may be easily translatable into the clinic. For example, the primary tumours can be irradiated using NIR-PIT while metastatic small lesions can be treated with tesirine, the anti-cancer drug of Rova-T.

Currently, external light from light-emitting diodes, lasers [44], and optical fibres [45] are being used as light sources. When targeting inner organ lesions, irradiation via the digestive tract, arteries, or even implantable devices might become possible with or without external irradiation. There are already some reports of light sources attached to endoscopes [46] and implantable devices [47].

In conclusion, NIR-PIT targeting DLL3 to treat SCLC was very feasible and showed marked antitumour effects. As such, NIR-PIT targeting DLL3 could be a promising treatment for SCLC.
Fig. 4. Effects of NIR-PIT with rova-IR700 on SCLC cell lines in vitro.

(a) Microscopic observations before and after DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT. DLL3-expressing SCLC cells were incubated with rova-IR700 for 6 h and observed with a microscope before and after irradiation with NIR light (4 J/cm²). Necrotic cell death was observed after exposure to NIR light (20 min after NIR-PIT). Scale bars, 20 μm.

(b) Target cell (SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP) and non-target cell-expressing RFP (3T3-RFP, mouse fibroblasts) were co-cultured with rova-IR700 for 6 h in the same dish and observed with a microscope before and after NIR light irradiation (4 J/cm²). Selective targeted cell death was detected with Sytox Blue dead cell staining, while 3T3-RFP cells remain unchanged after exposure to NIR light (20 min after PIT). Scale bars, 20 μm.

(c) Membrane damage after DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT was measured by counting dead cells stained with propidium iodide, which increased in a light-dose dependent manner. Data are means ± SEMs (n = 3, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 [t-test]).

(d) Luciferase activity in SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP and 3T3-DLL3-luc-GFP cells was measured in RLU, which decreased in a NIR light dose-dependent manner. Data are means ± SEMs (n = 3, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 [t-test]). GFP, green fluorescent protein; NIR, near infrared; PIT, photoimmunotherapy; RFP, red fluorescent protein; RLU, relative light unit; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.
Fig. 5. In vivo biodistribution of rova-IR700.
(a) Representative images before and after intravenous injection of rova-IR700 (fluorescence imager, 700 nm). The signal intensity on the tumour was increased up to 1 day post-injection and then gradually decreased until it was no longer detectable 7 days after injection. Signals in the bladder were observed at 0.5 h after the injection, and liver accumulation was sustained through 1 day after injection. Scale bars, 20 mm.

(b) Fluorescence intensity measurement of the tumour and liver. Signal accumulation in the tumour was observed at 1 day after the injection. Data are means ± SEMs (n=3).

(c) The target-to-background ratio of the tumour and liver are indicated. Higher accumulation was observed in the tumour than in the liver 2–3 days after injection. Data are means ± SEMs (n=3).

(d) Ex vivo fluorescence imaging at 1 day after rova-IR700 injection. Specific accumulation in the tumour was observed in the injected mice. Tumours also showed bioluminescence (tu, tumour; he, heart; lu, lung; li, liver; pa, pancreas; sp, spleen; ki, kidney; st, stomach; in, intestine; bl, bladder). Scale bars, 20 mm.
**Fig. 6.** In vivo anti-tumour effect of DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT.

(a) DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT regimen involving rova-IR700 injection and NIR light exposure is shown in a line. (b) In vivo fluorescence and the BLI of tumour-bearing mice. In the NIR-PIT group, fluorescence and luminescence was decreased after irradiation with NIR light. Scale bars, 20 mm.

c) Tumour volume (mm³) is shown in the left graph while the ratio is shown in the right (before NIR-PIT = 1). Prior to NIR-PIT, tumours were almost the same size. NIR-PIT introduced on days 0 and 1 led to significant reductions in the tumour volume ratio whereas neither rova-IR700 injection nor NIR light irradiation alone affected tumour size (n ≥ 7 in each group). PIT group vs. control group at day 3: *p = 0.0212; PIT group vs. control group at day 7: *p = 0.0084; PIT group vs. light only group at day 7: *p = 0.0123; PIT group vs. light only group at day 10: *p = 0.0136; light only group vs. control group at day 3, 7, 10: *p > 0.9999; i.v. only group vs. control group at day 3, 7, 10: *p > 0.9999, Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post-test.

d) Quantitative RLU showed a significant decrease in DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT-treated tumours (n = 5 in each group) (PIT group vs. light-only group in (b): *p = 0.0007; PIT group vs. control group at (c): *p = 0.0385, Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post-test).

e) DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT led to prolonged survival in tumour-bearing mice (n ≥ 7 in each group) (*p = 0.023, log-rank test).

(f) DLL3-targeted NIR-PIT regimen of SBC5-DLL3-luc-GFP xenografts in the dual-dorsal tumour mouse model.

(g) In vivo fluorescence and BLI of xenografts in the dual-dorsal tumour model. One day after rova-IR700 administration, only the tumour on the right was irradiated with NIR light. Fluorescence and luminescence were diminished only in the right tumour but not in the left. The site-specific effect in vivo was successfully performed. BLI, bioluminescence imaging; GFP, green fluorescent protein; NIR, near infrared; PIT, photoimmunotherapy; RLU, relative light unit. Scale bars, 20 mm.
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