MITF functions as a tumor suppressor in non-small cell lung cancer beyond the canonically oncogenic role
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ABSTRACT

Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF) is a critical mediator in melanocyte differentiation and exerts oncogenic functions in melanoma progression. However, the role of MITF in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is still unknown. We found that MITF is dominantly expressed in the low-invasive CL1-0 lung adenocarcinoma cells and paired adjacent normal lung tissues. MITF expression is significantly associated with better overall survival and disease-free survival in NSCLC and serves as an independent prognostic marker. Silencing MITF promotes tumor cell migration, invasion and colony formation in lung adenocarcinoma cells. In xenograft mouse model, MITF knockdown enhances metastasis and tumorigenesis, but decreases angiogenesis in the Matrigel plug assay. Whole transcriptome profiling of the landscape of MITF regulation in lung adenocarcinoma indicates that MITF is involved in cell development, cell cycle, inflammation and WNT signaling pathways. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays revealed that MITF targets the promoters of FZD7, PTGRI and ANXA1. Moreover, silencing FZD7 reduces the invasiveness that is promoted by silencing MITF. Strikingly, MITF has significantly inverse correlations with the expression of its downstream genes in lung adenocarcinoma. In summary, we demonstrate the suppressive role of MITF in lung cancer progression, which is opposite to the canonical oncogenic function of MITF in melanoma.
INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Approximately 85% of lung cancers are non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) including adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and large cell carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma accounts for the majority of all lung cancer cases [2]. The 5-year survival rate of early stage NSCLC ranges from 41% to 90%, whereas tumor metastasis or recurrence leads to the high mortality of stage I patients after surgery [2, 3]. In addition, lymph node metastasis is also a prognostic predictor for NSCLC survival [4]. Metastasis involves oncogenic cell transformation, cell motility and invasion and angiogenesis [5, 6]. Through functional genomics studies and an isogenic metastasis cell model in CL1-0, CL1-1 and CL1-5 lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, we discovered several metastasis-related genes [7–9]. However, the detailed processes and molecular mechanisms in lung cancer metastasis are still unknown. A comprehensive understanding of the role of these novel genes in lung metastasis is critical for the development of prognostic markers and therapeutic strategies.

MITF is a leucine zipper transcription factor with a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH-Zip) structure and is essential for the differentiation and development of various cell types, including melanocytes, retinal pigment epithelium, osteoclasts and mast cells [10, 11]. Moreover, oncogenic MITF mediates melanoma progression in a “rheostat model” [12, 13]. In this model, high activity levels of MITF promote proliferation and differentiation, while low MITF levels increase invasion and metastasis and reduce proliferation [10, 13, 14]. However, a recent study indicated that melanoma cells with genetic ablation of SMAD7 exhibited a dual invasive/proliferative phenotype without suppression of MITF [15]. MITF mediates diverse melanoma phenotypes defined by distinct gene expression profiles and confers plasticity to melanoma cells [16]. Beyond being an activator, MITF can act as a repressor on the same gene promoter, such as ERB1N by recruiting FHL2 [17]. Thus, MITF is not only a survival lineage-specific transcription factor, but also regulates DNA damage repair, senescence, cell cycle, stemness, metabolism and invasion. To control the survival and invasiveness of melanoma, MITF activates the antiapoptotic gene BCL2 and the cell cycle regulators, CDK2 and CDKN1A [18–20], whereas MITF suppresses invadopodia formation by upregulating GMPR and DIAP1 expression, which influences the activities of small GTPases and cytoskeleton remodeling [13, 21]. Comprehensive transcriptome analysis reveals that MITF is involved in melanoma progression [22–24]. Although MITF has been extensively investigated in the progression of the melanocyte/melanoma lineage, few studies have demonstrated the role of MITF in lung cancer.

In this study, we identified differential expression of MITF in our lung cancer metastasis cell model by expression microarrays. The clinical relevance of MITF expression for survival in NSCLC is analyzed in patients and public databases. We manipulated MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and measured cell invasion and migration activities. The influence of MITF on tumorigenesis and angiogenesis was evaluated in a xenograft mouse model. The landscape of the MITF-regulated transcriptome was profiled by expression microarrays, and the significant regulatory network of MITF was identified by pathway analysis. We further identified several targets of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma and clarified their effects on cancer progression by utilizing in vitro and in silico analyses.

RESULTS

MITF expression is associated with better outcome in NSCLC

In our previous studies, we utilized an expression microarray to profile the gene expression of isogenic lung adenocarcinoma cell lines with different invasive abilities (Figure 1A) [25]. In a comparison of the expression profiles of low-invasive CL1-0 cells and high-invasive CL1-5 cells, we found a gene, MITF, which had 7.5-fold lower expression in CL1-5 cells than in CL1-0 cells (Supplementary Figure 1A). MITF transcript expression was 25-fold higher in CL1-0 cells than in CL1-5 cells, as confirmed by RT-PCR, and the protein expression was markedly decreased in CL1-5 cells (Figure 1B). We found that MITF expression in adjacent normal tissues was significantly higher than that in NSCLC tumors (p < 0.001, Wilcoxon matched-pairs test) (Figure 1C). Next, we enrolled 70 NSCLC patients to evaluate MITF expression (Table 1). The patients with high MITF expression had significantly better overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) than those with low MITF expression (OS, p = 0.01; DFS, p = 0.02, log-rank test) (Figure 1D, 1E). The MITF expression based dichotomy was not associated with age, sex, histology features, or clinicopathological stages (Supplementary Table 1). In univariate Cox regression analysis, the hazard ratio (HR) of MITF expression was significant (for OS, HR 0.51, 95% CI = 0.30 to 0.86, p < 0.05; for DFS, HR 0.54, 95% CI = 0.32 to 0.92, p < 0.05). In multivariate Cox regression analysis, the HR of MITF expression for OS remained significant (for OS, HR 0.52, 95% CI = 0.30 to 0.90, p < 0.05; for DFS, HR 0.61, 95% CI = 0.35 to 1.05, p =0.07) (Table 2). These data indicated that MITF was a prognostic marker for lung cancer progression. To
Figure 1. The MITF expression associated with cancer invasiveness and better outcome of NSCLC patients. (A) Heatmap of the gene expression profiles in CL1-0 and CL1-5 were presented. Each cell line was analyzed for three replicates and the significant microarray probes with 5-fold change were applied. MITF was one of the significant expressed genes. The scale was used the z-score. (B) MITF mRNA and protein level was measured by quantitative real-time PCR and immunoblot. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3) (C) The ratio of MITF expression in tumor and adjacent normal parts of NSCLC patients (n = 85). The scale is the base 10 logarithm of the ratio of MITF expression. The difference of MITF expression between the two groups was estimated by Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. (D) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis estimated the overall survival of NSCLC patients by the MITF expression. (E) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis estimated the disease-free survival of NSCLC patients by the MITF expression. The MITF expression of clinical specimen was measured by real-time RT-PCR with TaqMan probe. The p-value for survival was estimated by log-rank test.
Table 1. Clinicopathologic characteristic of NSCLC patients (n=70).

| Characteristic                  | Patients No. (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Age, mean (±SD)                | 67.48±10.21      |
| Gender                         |                  |
| Male                           | 59 (84.29)       |
| Female                         | 11 (15.71)       |
| Stage                          |                  |
| I                              | 34 (48.57)       |
| II                             | 7 (10.00)        |
| III                            | 29 (41.43)       |
| Primary Tumor                  |                  |
| T1 and T2                      | 60 (85.71)       |
| T3 and T4                      | 10 (14.29)       |
| Regional Lymph Nodes           |                  |
| N0                             | 38 (54.29)       |
| N1, N2, and N3                 | 32 (45.71)       |
| Cell Type                      |                  |
| Squamous cell carcinoma        | 19 (27.14)       |
| Adenocarcinoma                 | 42 (60.00)       |
| Large cell carcinoma           | 7 (10.00)        |
| Mixed (small/large cell carcinoma) | 1 (1.43)   |
| Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma   | 1 (1.43)         |

clarify the role of MITF in other populations, we analyzed available microarray datasets by the KM-plotter [26]. The results showed that MITF expression was significantly associated with OS and progression-free survival (PFS) in lung adenocarcinoma (p-value 0.047 was for OS and 0.034 for PFS, log-rank test) (Supplementary Figure 1B, 1C). Our data suggested that MITF was an independent prognostic marker for NSCLC and might have a suppressive role in lung cancer progression.

MITF suppresses the cell migration and invasion in lung adenocarcinoma cells

Given the existent transcripts of various MITF isoforms, the expression of different MITF isoforms in CL1-0 lung adenocarcinoma cells was measured. We found that MITF-A was the most abundant isoform in lung cancer cells, and MITF-H, MITF-B and MITF-M were the lesser abundant isoforms (Figure 2A). Next, the impact of MITF on invasiveness was evaluated. shMITF was transiently expressed in low-invasive CL1-0 cells and the number of invaded cells was increased in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2B). The multiple bands detected by high-resolution Western blotting demonstrated the isoform expression of MITF. Overexpression of MITF-A in highly invasive CL1-5 lung adenocarcinoma cells reduced cell invasive ability (Figure 2C). Next, we selected three clones stably expressing shMITF by antibiotics to avoid clonal bias (Supplementary Figure 2A). The three MITF-knockdown clones showed an increase in cell migration and invasion (Figure 2D). Introducing the MITF-A expressing plasmid into MITF-silenced cells reduced the migratory and invasive abilities by 60% and 80%, respectively (Figure 2E). MITF silencing promoted the anchorage-independent colony growth of CL1-0 cells (Figure 2F). However, MITF did not appear to affect cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure 2B). Taken together, the results indicated that MITF suppressed both cell migration and invasion in lung adenocarcinoma cells.

Silencing MITF promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis but suppresses angiogenesis

To investigate whether MITF plays a critical role in lung metastasis in vivo, we modified the method
Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox’s regression analysis of the overall survival and disease-free survival prediction factors.

| Variable            | Overall survival | Disease-free survival |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                     | HR   | 95% CI | p-value | HR   | 95% CI | p-value |
| **Univariate Cox’s regression** |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Age                 |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| <60                 | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| ≥60                 | 1.01 | 0.50-2.06 | 0.98 | 0.85 | 0.43-1.68 | 0.64 |
| Gender              |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Male                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Female              | 2.12 | 1.05-4.24 | <0.05 | 1.54 | 0.77-3.08 | 0.22 |
| Stage               |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| I/II                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| III                 | 3.36 | 1.97-5.74 | <0.001 | 3.17 | 1.89-5.33 | <0.001 |
| Cell type           |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Adenocarcinoma      | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Others              | 1.18 | 0.70-1.99 | 0.54 | 1.20 | 0.71-2.03 | 0.50 |
| MITF                |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| High                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Low                 | 0.51 | 0.30-0.86 | <0.05 | 0.54 | 0.32-0.92 | <0.05 |

| Variable            | Overall survival | Disease-free survival |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                     | HR   | 95% CI | p-value | HR   | 95% CI | p-value |
| **Multivariate Cox’s regression** |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Age                 |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| <60                 | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| ≥60                 | 1.31 | 0.63-2.72 | 0.48 | 0.98 | 0.49-1.98 | 0.96 |
| Gender              |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Male                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Female              | 1.94 | 0.93-4.06 | 0.08 | 1.52 | 0.74-3.11 | 0.25 |
| Stage               |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| I/II                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| III                 | 3.57 | 2.06-6.20 | <0.001 | 3.07 | 1.81-5.23 | <0.001 |
| Cell type           |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| Adenocarcinoma      | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Others              | 1.05 | 0.61-1.83 | 0.86 | 1.10 | 0.61-1.86 | 0.82 |
| MITF                |       |         |         |       |         |         |
| High                | 1    |         | 1       | 1    |         | 1       |
| Low                 | 0.52 | 0.30-0.90 | <0.05 | 0.61 | 0.35-1.05 | 0.07 |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confident interval of hazard ratio.

MITF targets ANXA1, FZD7 and PTGRI1, and suppresses cell invasion by regulating FZD7

MITF is an important transcription factor in cell differentiation and cancer progression, and its transcriptional targets have been investigated in previous studies [12–14, 16, 17]. However, the landscape of MITF targets in NSCLC is not fully understood. Thus, we profiled the whole transcriptome of shMITF stably expressing cells and scramble cells by expression microarrays (Figure 4A). A total of 1,190 differentially expressed genes with greater than 2-fold changes were identified and applied for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) and MetaCore version.
Figure 2. MITF promotes cell invasion and migration in lung adenocarcinoma cells. (A) The silencing efficiency of shMITF to different MITF isoforms in CL1-0 cells was measured by isoform-specific RT-PCR. Controls included RT-PCR for the common MITF exons 5-9 and GAPDH. (B) The cell invasive ability of CL1-0 cells was assayed after transiently delivering the shMITF expressing plasmids with different doses. Mock: vector transfectant; Scramble: scramble transfectant. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3) (C) The cell invasive ability of CL1-5 cells was assayed after overexpression of MITF-A with different doses. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3) (D) The cell invasive and migratory abilities of stably MITF-silenced cells were assayed by using Boyden chamber assays with and without Matrigel, respectively. *p<0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3) (E) Re-expressed MITF-A in stably MITF-silenced cells were assayed for the cell invasion and migration. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3) (F) The anchorage-independent colony formation ability of stably MITF-silenced cells was assayed. Scale bar, 100 μm. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3).
The resulting pathways were predominantly related to inflammation, development, cell signaling and cell cycle (Supplementary Tables 2, 3). We focused on several significant genes that were predicted targets of MITF and involved in those pathways. Real-time PCR and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were performed to evaluate whether MITF regulated them directly. Compared to the scramble control, in MITF-silenced cells the frizzled homolog 7 (FZD7) and leukotriene B4 12-hydroxydehydrogenase (PTGRI) expression increased 3-fold and 5-fold, respectively, whereas annexin A1 (ANXA1) expression was suppressed approximately 25-fold (Figure 4B). Additionally, VEGFC and PDGFC in MITF-silenced cells decreased to approximately 0.02 of the expression in scramble cells, which was consistent with the in vivo angiogenesis results. Moreover, MITF was able to bind to the promoters of these genes, ANXA1, FZD7 and PTGRI (Figure 4C).

Additionally, the WNT signaling pathway was of interest because of its high ranking in the pathway analysis (Supplementary Tables 2, 3). Since FZD7 is a transmembrane receptor in the WNT pathway, we further investigated whether MITF regulated cell invasion through FZD7 in lung cancer cells. In Figure 4D, silencing of MITF resulted in an increase in FZD7 protein.

**Figure 3. Knockdown of MITF increases metastasis and tumorigenesis but inhibits angiogenesis.** (A) Intravenous injection with stable shMITF-harboring and scramble CL1-0 cells to SCID mice. The lung metastases were estimated by using real-time PCR for detection of the human Alu repeats. (B) Subcutaneous injection with stable shMITF-harboring cells into the right dorsal region and scramble cells into the left dorsal region of SCID mice. The tumor volume was measured every week. The mouse number of each group is listed on the plot. *p < 0.05 was calculated by ANOVA (mean ± SD) (C) The cells mixed with Matrigel and injected subcutaneously. At 10 days, the plug was dissected and assayed the CD31 positive cells by immunochemistry. Scale Bar, 25 μm. (D) The CD31 positive cells were counted by two blinded observers. A total of 21 fields/group (3 plugs × 7 fields) were analyzed. *p<0.05 (mean ± SD).
Figure 4. The regulation landscape and the direct targets of MITF. (A) The volcano plot showed the significant MITF-altered genes with log2-fold-change. Red dot: genes with log2-fold-change ≥ 5, p < 0.05; Blue dot: genes with log2-fold-change ≤−5, p < 0.05. (B) Relative gene expressions in CL1-0 scramble cells and stably MITF-silenced cells assayed by expression microarray and real-time RT-PCR. The scramble and three stably MITF-silenced clones were assayed in triplicate. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 9). (C) MITF binding targets identified by chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. (D) MITF negatively regulated FZD7 expression assayed by immunoblot. (E) The cell invasion of CL1-0 scramble cells and stably MITF-silenced cells assayed after silencing FZD7. *p < 0.05 (mean ± SD, n = 3).
Furthermore, knockdown of FZD7 with two independent siRNAs significantly inhibited shMITF-induced invasive ability (Figure 4E). These data suggested that MITF transcriptionally regulated ANXA1, FZD7 and PTGRI and regulated cell invasion through FZD7.

**Inverse correlations of MITF and ANXA1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma**

To investigate the significance of MITF in malignancies, we analyzed The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database by OncoLnc and the pathology atlas [30, 31]. MITF is a well-known protumorigenic gene expressed in melanocytes. Indeed, MITF expression was significantly associated with poor outcome in skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) (p < 0.05, log-rank test) (Figure 5A and Supplementary Table 4). In contrast to melanoma patients, lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients with high MITF expression had longer survival time than those with low MITF expression (p < 0.05, log-rank test) (Figure 5B and Supplementary Table 5). The results demonstrated an inverse association of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma.

The distinct functions of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma attracted our interest. We hypothesized that the opposite transcriptional regulation of MITF resulted in different effects on these two malignancies. We evaluated the expression correlation of MITF and its targets between lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma by TCGA PanCancer Atlas database with cBioPortal analysis [32, 33]. We first found that ANXA1 expression was positively correlated with MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma (Spearman’s correlation = 0.35, q < 0.001) but negatively correlated with MITF in melanoma (Spearman’s correlation = −0.34, q < 0.001) (Figure 5C, 5D). Furthermore, we searched for genes that had a significant correlation with MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma or melanoma. A total of 2,160 and 2,186 genes had significant correlations with MITF in LUAD and SKCM databases, respectively (Spearman’s correlation > 0.3 or < −0.3, q-value < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure 3). After intersecting and analyzing both gene sets, we further found that most of the genes with a positive correlation with MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma had a negative correlation with MITF in melanoma (354 genes out of 446 total genes; p = 1.01E−10, Chi-square test) (Table 3). Conversely, there were 17 genes with a reverse correlation. Among these 371 genes, we found that VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 were extremely downregulated in MITF-silenced lung adenocarcinoma cells (log2-fold-change < −5, p < 0.05) (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table 6). Consistent with the TCGA database, MITF was positively correlated with ANXA1, VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 in the East Asian cohort (Figure 5E) [34]. Furthermore, the expression levels of ANXA1, VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 were significantly positively associated with the survival of lung adenocarcinoma (Supplementary Figure 4A–4D). The results suggested that MITF has opposite functions in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma, possibly by positively or negatively regulating its downstream targets.

**DISCUSSION**

MITF is a critical transcription factor that regulates the cell cycle, cell differentiation and cancer progression. The oncogenic role of MITF in melanoma is well defined, but the function of MITF in the NSCLC is still unclear. MITF contains several isoforms generated through differential use of alternative promoters that are controlled by tissue specificity, leading to MITF isoforms having distinct N-termini with a range of 419-526 amino acids [10]. MITF-A is the largest protein isoform and regulates kidney development [35]. Except for MITF-M, all isoforms contain exon 1B1b, which facilitates MITF interaction with RAG GTPases at the lysosomal surface and controls MITF nuclear-cytoplasmic transportation [36, 37]. The expression of the shortest isoform MITF-M is limited to melanocytes and melanoma cells, but MITF-A is the major isoform expressed in our low-invasive lung adenocarcinoma cell line. MITF-A suppressed the invasive ability of CL1-5 cells in a dose-dependent manner that was distinct from the action of MITF-M in melanoma cells (Figure 2C).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequence analysis of melanoma cells indicates that MITF potentially binds between 12,000 and 100,000 genomic sites and that ~9400 of those lie within 20 kb of an annotated RefSeq gene, but genes may not obviously exhibit MITF regulation even though they have high levels of MITF occupancy at their promoter [22, 24]. We found that ANXA1, FZD7 and PTGRI were MITF direct targets in CL1-0 cells, but these genes were not regulated in melanoma 501MEL cells [22]. However, the genes ANXA1, PDGFC, VEGFC and LRRN3 were downregulated in CL1-0 shMITF-harboring cells, while they were upregulated in si-MITF melanoma 501MEL cells. In addition, they positively correlated with MITF in the LUAD TCGA database, but negatively correlated in the SKCM TCGA database. Accumulated data suggest that MITF executes tumor suppressive or oncogenic functions by switching its transcriptional role and targets in different malignancies.

ANXA1 is regarded as a proinvasive protein in melanoma and is correlated with poor outcome of lung cancer [38, 39], but it is associated with longer survival of NSCLC nonsmoking female and pancreatic ductal
Figure 5. Reversal association and correlation of MITF in clinical significance. Kaplan-Meier survival estimated the association of MITF expression and survival of patients with skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) (A) or with lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) (B) in TCGA database. The correlation of MITF and ANXA1 expression in skin cutaneous melanoma (n = 363) (C) or in lung adenocarcinoma (n = 507) (D). The TCGA PanCancer Atlas database was analyzed by cBioPortal. (E) The mRNA log2 T/N ratio of indicated genes of 89 lung adenocarcinoma patients from Taiwanese cohort and the Spearman’s correlation with MITF. q < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
but MITF-mediated inflammation involved in regulating function of PTGR1 in lung cancer progression is unclear expression in lung adenocarcinoma. The fundamental the promoter of PTGR1 and downregulates PTGR1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma. The fundamental function of PTGR1 in lung cancer progression is unclear but MITF-mediated inflammation involved in regulating PTGR1 has been proposed.

β-Catenin activates MITF expression and acts as the coactivator for MITF to drive downstream gene expression [49, 50]. Wnt3a stabilizes the MITF protein and MITF enhances WNT signaling by driving lysosome biogenesis [51]. We found that MITF is involved in the canonical WNT pathway and binds to the promoter of FZD7. Supposedly, MITF enables transcriptional repression of FZD7, which encodes a receptor accounting for signaling canonical WNT pathways [52]. GSEA indicated that WNT signaling decreased in MITF-silenced cells, but depletion of MITF compromised the increase in FZD7 expression. Downregulated FZD7 rearranges the actin cytoskeleton and strengthens cell–cell adhesion by inhibiting RhoA and activating Rac1 [53]. In agreement with that, FZD7 is upregulated in CL1-0 shMITF cells and contributes to shMITF-induced invasiveness (Figure 4E). In proliferative melanoma cells, many MITF and WNT target genes are upregulated, but in the invasive melanoma cells, they are simultaneously downregulated [54]. After depletion of MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma, cell proliferation was unaltered but tumorigenesis and metastases increased, although cell cycle and proliferation were enriched pathways. The rheostat model of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma is paradoxical, but the reciprocal regulation between MITF and WNT signaling matters.

The role of MITF in angiogenesis has been less investigated in lung adenocarcinoma. We found that the expression of the angiogenic factors VEGFC and PDGFC decreased over 60-fold in shMITF-harboring cells compared with control cells. This result corresponds to less endothelial cell formation in shMITF tumors. In contrast to their expression in lung adenocarcinoma, VEGFC and PDGFC are upregulated by silencing MITF in melanoma cells, which is in accordance with MITF low-expression promoting metastasis because angiogenesis usually accelerates metastasis [22]. However, the induction of vessel maturation and normalization accounts for the inhibition of both tumor growth and metastasis [55]. In cardiomyocytes, upregulated MITF increases VEGF production and promotes angiogenesis [56]. Moreover, the expression of VEGFC and PDGFC was associated with longer survival time of lung adenocarcinoma patients. Accordingly, MITF-mediated increase in angiogenesis in lung adenocarcinoma may be relevant to inhibition of both tumor growth and metastasis.

The transcripational landscape of MITF regulation in lung adenocarcinoma was evaluated and was associated with inflammation, development, cell cycle and WNT signaling pathways, which is consistent with previous studies [10, 57]. However, we found that MITF plays a suppressive role in lung cancer progression and is a favorable prognostic marker for overall survival in NSCLC, which is contrary to the role of MITF in melanoma. In our study, we focused on MITF-mediated

|                  | Lung Adenocarcinoma |          |          |          | p-value* |
|------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | Positive            | Negative | Total    |          |          |
| Melanoma         |                     |          |          |          |          |
| Positive         | 67                  | 17       | 84       |          | 1.01E-10 |
| Negative         | 354                 | 8        | 362      |          |          |
| Total            | 421                 | 25       | 446      |          |          |

The significant correlation were determined by q-value <0.05. Positive: Spearman’s correlation >0.3; Negative: Spearman’s correlation < -0.3. *Chi-square test was used for the statistical analyses.

Table 3. The number of genes with significantly positive or negative correlation with MITF expression in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma.
metastasis. However, while some lung cancer patients responded to chemo-/radiotherapy, there was almost no response to chemo-/radiotherapy or any therapy in metastatic melanoma patients until vemurafenib received approval for melanoma treatment [58]. Therefore, the prognosis of lung cancer patients might be determined by their responses to chemo-/radiotherapy and might be associated with stem-like phenotype/MITF-low expression [59], whereas melanoma patients’ prognosis might be determined largely by tumor growth and might be associated with differentiated state/MITF-high expression. Whether MITF regulates stemness and is associated with chemoresistance of lung cancer cells is an unanswered question. By analyzing both malignancies by microarrays and clinical datasets, we found an inverse pattern of gene expression and correlations with MITF. Although not all significantly MITF-correlated genes in clinical databases are transcriptional targets of MITF, they may be involved in the MITF regulation in some contexts. Approximately 83% of the genes that significantly correlate with MITF expression in the LUAD database have reverse correlations in SKCM (371 genes in total 446 genes) (Table 3). This finding is in agreement with genes with opposite expression in CL1-0 cells and 501MEL cells. Among these genes, ANXA1 is one of the MITF transcriptional targets. As expected, ANXA1 plays multiple roles in cancer progression similar to MITF. We hypothesize that the transition between being an activator or a repressor and the selective regulation of downstream targets enable MITF to differentially regulate diverse functions in different malignancies, and ANXA1 accounts at least in part for the diverse effects of MITF (Figure 6). Which function mediated by ANXA1 in the context of MITF regulation in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma progression, and how MITF regulates ANXA1 warrant further investigation.

Collectively, our findings provide a new insight into the role of MITF (Figure 6). Knockdown of MITF increased cell invasiveness, tumorigenesis and metastasis, but decreased angiogenesis. MITF expression was significantly associated with favorable OS and DFS in NSCLC. Depletion of MITF enhanced cell invasion and migration by increasing FZD7, while knockdown of FZD7 reduced shMITF-induced invasiveness. MITF had a positive correlation with its

---

**Figure 6. The illustration of the role of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma.** We hypothesize that the different MITF isoforms and their transcriptional regulations lead to opposite impacts on cancer progression. The dominant isoform MITF-A expresses in CL1-0 cells and inhibits cell invasion by repressing FZD7 expression. Additionally, MITF activates ANXA1, VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 in lung adenocarcinoma, but suppresses ANXA1, VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 in melanoma. The red lines indicate the “activate” and the green lines indicate the “repress”.
target ANXA1 in lung adenocarcinoma, but had an inverse correlation in melanoma. Our data suggest that MITF plays a suppressive role in lung cancer progression and serves as a prognostic marker of NSCLC. Further investigation is needed to elucidate the “rheostat model” of MITF in lung adenocarcinoma, and which transcriptional partners or modifications for MITF to shift its role in the progression of different malignancies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and tissue specimens**

A total of 70 treatment-naïve patients who underwent surgical resection and with histologically confirmed NSCLC were obtained from the Taichung Veterans General Hospital from November 1999 to August 2002. None of the patients had received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiation therapy. The clinicopathologic characteristic of them was shown in Table 1. Adjacent normal and tumor paired specimens of 85 NSCLC were obtained from another cohort. Informed consents were obtained from all patients and this investigation was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Taichung Veterans General Hospital. All lung cancer patients were staged according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging (AJCC) and the histology was performed with World Health Organization standards.

**Cell culture and transfection**

The human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, CL1-0, CL1-1, and CL1-5 in ascending order of invasive competence were kind gifts from Professor Pan-Chyr Yang (National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan) and were established in previous studies [60]. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% fetal bovine serum. Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) used in MITF (GenBank NM_000248) silencing studies was purchased from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL) [61]. The shRNA sequence targeting the human MITF gene is 5’-GCTAAAGTGATAGAAAGGCACCGCCTTAC CCAAGTAGACGATCCTTTTCTACACTTATTGC-3’ (the underline sequence matches MITF open reading frame nucleotide 94-122). A scrambled shRNA (5’-TGCTGTGGACGTGAGCGATCTGGGCGA GAATGATGTAAGGCACGCCAGATGTACTTACTC TGCCCAAGGGAGGTCCACTGCTCGGA-3’) which does not match any known mammalian gene was used as the scramble control. CL1-0 cells were transfected with shRNAs using the Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and selected with 2.5 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma, St Louis, MO) for stably MITF-silenced transfectants according to manufacturer’s instructions. The full-length human MITF-A cDNA (GenBank NM_198159) was amplified from CL1-0 cells by RT-PCR and primers (forward primer: GCCATGCAATCCGAGATCGG and reverse primer: ACAAGTGTCGCTCGTCTTCCCA) and cloned into the constitutive mammalian expression vector pEF6/V5-His TOPO (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

**Real-time RT-PCR**

The mRNA expression level of MITF was detected by qRT-PCR on ABI prism 7900 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ), performed in accordance with the manufacturer instructions. For the SYBR Green method, the MITF primers used were the following: forward primer MITF-F: 5’-CCGGCATTGGTTGCTCAGA-3’ and reverse primer MITF-R: 5’- AGACCCGTGGATGGAATTA AGG-3’ as well as the TATA box-binding protein (TBP) TBP-F: 5’-TTTCTTTCTGTCAGTCTGG-3’ and TBP-R: 5’-CAGAACCACGGCACTGA TT-3’. TBP was used as the internal control. For the TaqMan method, the sequences of customized MITF detection probes were as follows: MITF forward primer: 5’-CCGGCATTGTTGCTCAGA-3’, reverse primer: 5’-ACTTTGAATGCGAGGCTCT-3’, and the probe sequence: 5’- ACTTTGAAATGCGAGGCTCT-3’. The TBP detection probe (Assay ID: Hs00427621_m1, Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ) was used as the internal control. Other primers for MITF target genes were listed in Supplementary Table 7. All assays were performed in triplicate of three independent experiments.

**Migration, invasion assays and colony formation**

Transwell culture inserts (Costar, Cambridge, MA) were used for the assessment of cell migration and extracellular matrix invasion [8]. In migration assay, 1 × 105 cells in 200 μl of serum-free RPMI were seeded on top of transwell and incubated for 8 hours. For invasion assay, the filter was coated with a thin layer of Matrigel matrix (R&D System, Minneapolis, MN). The 1 × 105 cells were seeded onto the coated matrix and incubated for 18 hours. The number of migrated and invaded cells were counted at 200 × magnification under a light microscope. To determine anchorage independent colony formation assay, six-well plates were first layered with 1ml 0.7% low-melting point agarose in PBS. In the second layer, 100 cells per well were suspended in 1 ml RPMI containing 0.35% low-melting point agarose. 1ml RPMI was covered on the second layer. The plates were incubated for 4 weeks and then washed by PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. Colonies with a diameter greater than 1mm were counted under an inverted microscope.
**Experimental metastasis assay in vivo**

A single-cell suspension containing $1 \times 106$ cells in 100 μl of PBS was injected into lateral tail vein of 6-week-old SCID mice. Mice were sacrificed after 10 weeks. The detection of human tumor cells in mouse lung was based on the human Alu element presented in mouse lung DNA extracts, which is modified from the method developed by Zijlstra et al. [27]. Genomic DNA was extracted from harvested tissues using the genomic DNA purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Primers specific for the human Alu element (reverse: 5'-CGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACT-3' and forward: 5'-GATCTGGCTACTGCAAC-3') and universal ProbeLibrary probe: #2 (Roche Diagnostics, Alameda, CA) were used to detect the human Alu repeats present in genomic DNA from mouse tissues. Each PCR contained 30 ng of genomic DNA was performed in a final volume of 20 μl with the ABI prism 7900 system (Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ). Mouse DNA was detection of with mGAPDH primers (reverse: 5'-AGCTTGTGTCACGGAAG-3' and forward: 5'-TTTGTGTTAGTGGGTGCTCG-3') and Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #9. The relative quantity of Alu against that of mouse GAPDH was defined as $\Delta C_T = -\Delta C_T \times K$, where $K$ is a constant and the experimental samples in triplicate.

**Angiogenesis and tumorigenesis in vivo**

The $4 \times 106$ cells in 200 μl PBS were subcutaneously injected into the dorsal region of SCID mice. Injected mice were examined every week for tumor appearance and tumor volumes were estimated from the length ($a$) and width ($b$) of the tumors using the formula $V = \frac{ab^2}{2}$. The $5 \times 105$ cells were mixed with 200 μl growth factor reduced Matrigel (Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA) and injected into the dorsal region of SCID mice. After 10 days, the Matrigel plug was dissected out, frozen, and fixed with acetone. Sections of the Matrigel plug (5 mm) were stained with an endothelium-specific shear DNA to lengths between 200 and 1000 bps and then incubated for 16 hours at 4°C with mouse anti-MITF monoclonal antibody, rabbit anti-Histone H3 antibody and IgG (C5, Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). Immune complexes were precipitated and the MITF-binding DNA was purified. The PCR was performed with primers flanking the putative MITF binding sites. The PCR product was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The immunoblot was performed as previously described [8]. Antibodies used for immunoblot were listed in Supplementary Table 9.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay and immunoblot**

Transcription start sites of selected MITF-regulated genes were obtained from the Transcriptional Regulatory Element Database (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgi-bin/TRED/tred.cgi?process=home). TRANSFAC Professional database (Biobase Biological Databases) was used to identify potential MITF binding sites for primer designed (Supplementary Table 8). The chromatin immunoprecipitation assay was performed according to the protocol of Upstate Biotechnology, Inc. (Lake Placid, NY). Briefly, the samples were sonicated to shear DNA to lengths between 200 and 1000 bps and then incubated for 16 hours at 4°C with mouse anti-MITF monoclonal antibody, rabbit anti-Histone H3 antibody and IgG (C5, Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). Immune complexes were precipitated and the MITF-binding DNA was purified. The PCR was performed with primers flanking the putative MITF binding sites. The PCR product was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The immunoblot was performed as previously described [8]. Antibodies used for immunoblot were listed in Supplementary Table 9.

**Statistical analysis**

Overall survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier analysis, and the difference between survival...
curves was tested by log-rank test. Each cutoff point for overall survival for definition of the high/low-MITF expression groups is listed in Supplementary Table 4 and Table 5. The univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression with covariates age, gender, cell types, stage, and MITF expression was performed to evaluate the prognostic abilities of variables. Student’s t test, and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the difference between groups for continue or categorical data, respectively. All statistical analyses were done by SPSS (IBM, Chicago, IL) and SAS 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All tests were two sided and p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Supplementary Materials and Methods

Cell culture and transfection

The human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, CL1-0, CL1-1, and CL1-5, in ascending order of invasive competence, were established in previously studies [1]. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% fetal bovine serum. Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) used in MITF (GenBank NM_000248) silencing studies was purchased from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL) [2]. The shRNA sequence targeting the human MITF gene is 5’-GCTAAAGTGATAGAAAGGCACCGCTTA CCCAAGTAAAGCAGTACCTTCTACCACTTTAG C-3’ (the underline sequence matches MITF open reading frame nucleotide 94-122). A scrambled shRNA (5’-TGCTGTTGACAGTGAGCGATCTCGCTTGGG CGAGAAGTAATGTAAGCGACACGATGTACTT ACTCTCGGAAAGGCAGGTGCCACTGCTCG GA-3’) which does not match any known mammalian gene was used as the scramble control. CL1-0 cells were transfected with shRNAs using the Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and selected with 2.5 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma, St Louis, MO) for stably MITF-silenced transfectants according to manufacturer’s instructions. The full-length human MITF-A cDNA (GenBank NM_198159) was amplified from CL1-0 cells by RT-PCR and primers (forward primer: GCCATGCAGTCCGAATCGGG and reverse primer: ACAAGTGTGCTCCGTCTCTTCCA) and cloned into the constitutive mammalian expression vector pEF6/V5-His TOPO (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

Real-time RT-PCR

The mRNA expression level of MITF was detected by qRT-PCR on ABI prism 7900 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ), performed in accordance with the manufacturer instructions. For the SYBR Green method, the MITF primers used were the following: forward primer MITF-F: 5’-CCGGCATTTGTTGCTGCTAGA-3’ and reverse primer MITF-R: 5’- AGACCCGTGGATGGAA TAAGG-3’ as well as the TATA box-binding protein (TBP) TBP-F: 5’-TTTTCTTGTGCGGATCTGCTGAC-3’ and TBP-R: 5’-CACGAACACGCGACACTGA TT-3’. TBP was used as the internal control. For the TaqMan method, the sequences of customized MITF detection probes were as follows: MITF forward primer: 5’-CCGGCATTTGTTGCTGCTAGA-3’, reverse primer: 5’-ACTTTGAATGCGAGGCTC-3’, and the probe sequence: 5’- ACTTGAAATGCAGGGCTC-3’. The TBP detection probe (Assay ID: Hs00427621_m1, Applied Biosystems, Branchburg, NJ) was used as the internal control. Other primers for MITF target genes were listed in Table S1. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Cell proliferation

Cells from each clonal line were seeded onto 96-well plates (3×103 cells/well). After culturing for various durations, cell proliferation was evaluated by thiazolyl bluetetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Chemicon, Temecula, CA). Briefly, 10 μl of the MTT solution (5mg/mL) was added to each well, the cells were cultured for another 4 hours at 37°C, and 100 μl of DMSO was added to each well and mixed vigorously to solubilize colored crystals produced within the cells. The absorbance at 570 nm (630 nm as the reference) was measured by using a multi-well scanning spectrophotometer Victor3 (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, MA). Experiments were performed three times in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Overall survival curves were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier analysis, and the difference between survival curves was tested by log-rank test. Each cutoff point for overall survival for definition of the high/low-MITF expression groups is listed in Supplementary Table 7 and Table 8. The univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression with covariates age, gender, cell types, stage, and MITF expression was performed to evaluate the prognostic abilities of variables. Student’s t test, and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the difference between groups for continue or categorical data, respectively. All statistical analyses were done by SPSS (IBM, Chicago, IL) and SAS 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All tests were two sided and p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. MITF expression in an invasive cell line model and associated with survival in lung adenocarcinoma. (A) The relative MITF expression in low-invasive CL1-0 and high-invasive CL1-5 cells evaluated by expression microarray. (B, C) The survival curve of NSCLC was estimated with array probe of MITF (226066_at) by Kaplan-Meier plotter (https://kmplot.com/). The Hazard Ratio (HR) and p-value in the upper plots were estimated by univariate analysis and log-rank test, respectively. Lower panel listed the HR and p-value estimated by multivariate Cox’s regression analysis.
Supplementary Figure 2. The stable MITF-knockdown clones were assayed the cell proliferation. (A) Stable-expressed shMITF in CL1-0 cells were established in culture with puromycin. (B) The cell proliferation was analyzed by MTT assay at indicated time points.
Supplementary Figure 3. The step-wise selection of Table 3. The spearman’s correlations of MITF expression with 20,126 genes were sorted by cBioportal from the LUAD and SKCM TCGA datasets. The correlations with q<0.05 and r>0.3 or <-0.3 were filtered and intersected by the two datasets. Only 75 genes had the same expression directions along with MITF expression in LUAD and SKCM datasets. In contrast, 371 genes showed inverse correlations. The final data was presented in Table 3.
Supplementary Figure 4. The correlation of overall survival and ANXA1, VEGFC, PDGFC and LRRN3 expressions in lung adenocarcinoma by Kaplan-Meier analysis. The available microarray databases of lung adenocarcinoma analyzed by Kaplan-Meier plotter (https://kmplot.com/). The probe (A) ANXA1 (201012_at), (B) VEGFC (209946_at), (C) PDGFC (218718_at) and (D) LRRN3 (209840_s_at). The p-value was estimated by log-rank test. Univariate Cox’s regression generated the hazard ratio.
**Supplementary Tables**

**Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of 70 NSCLC patients according to MITF expression.**

| Variables                     | High MITF expression | Low MITF expression | p-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (±SD)               | 69.07±9.29           | 65.88±10.95         | 0.19†   |
| Gender                        |                      |                     |         |
| Male                          | 32 (91.43)           | 27 (77.14)          | 0.19‡   |
| Female                        | 3 (8.57)             | 8 (22.86)           |         |
| Stage                         |                      |                     | 0.33‡   |
| I and II                      | 23 (65.71)           | 18 (51.43)          |         |
| III                           | 12 (34.29)           | 17 (48.57)          |         |
| Primary Tumor                 |                      |                     | 1.00‡   |
| T1 and T2                     | 30 (85.71)           | 30 (85.71)          |         |
| T3 and T4                     | 5 (14.29)            | 5 (14.29)           |         |
| Regional Lymph Nodes          |                      |                     | 0.23‡   |
| N0                            | 22 (62.86)           | 16 (45.71)          |         |
| N1, N2, and N3                | 13 (37.14)           | 19 (54.29)          |         |
| Cell Type                     |                      |                     | 0.74‡   |
| Adenocarcinoma                | 20 (57.14)           | 22 (62.86)          |         |
| Squamous cell carcinoma       | 11 (31.43)           | 8 (22.86)           |         |
| Large cell carcinoma          | 3 (8.57)             | 4 (11.43)           |         |
| Mixed (small/large cell carcinoma) | 0 (0.00) | 1 (2.86)           |         |
| Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma  | 1 (2.86)             | 0 (0.00)            |         |

†T test
‡Fisher’s exact test

**Supplementary Table 2. The enriched pathways in MITF-silenced cells by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA).**

| Hallmark pathways               | Size | ES   | NES  | NOM p-value | FDR q-value |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| **Down-regulation**             |      |      |      |             |             |
| Bile acid metabolism            | 109  | -0.56| -1.45| 0.024       | 0.41        |
| IL2/STAT5 signaling             | 193  | -0.50| -1.40| 0.008       | 0.32        |
| Wnt Beta-catenin signaling      | 41   | -0.61| -1.38| 0.061       | 0.25        |
| Cholesterol homeostasis         | 71   | -0.55| -1.35| 0.061       | 0.24        |
| Myogenesis                      | 196  | -0.47| -1.34| 0.016       | 0.21        |
| **Upregulation**                |      |      |      |             |             |
| Interferon-alpha response       | 92   | 0.74 | 1.81 | 0           | 0           |
| E2F targets                     | 190  | 0.56 | 1.51 | 0.002       | 0.07        |
| Interferon-gamma response       | 194  | 0.54 | 1.45 | 0.006       | 0.09        |
| Mitotic spindle                 | 198  | 0.50 | 1.33 | 0.022       | 0.25        |
| G2M checkpoint                  | 185  | 0.49 | 1.29 | 0.054       | 0.32        |
Supplementary Table 3. Top 10 significantly enriched pathways of MITF by MetaCore analysis.

| Pathway                                                                 | FDR q-value  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Gamma-secretase proteolytic targets                                    | 2.376E-05    |
| Angiotensin II/ AGTR1 signaling via p38, ERK and PI3K                 | 1.145E-04    |
| Regulation of Beta-catenin activity                                    | 1.815E-04    |
| Role of heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) family in transcriptional silencing | 1.815E-04    |
| Gamma-Secretase regulation of cell development                          | 1.815E-04    |
| Angiotensin II/ AGTR1 signaling via JAK/STAT                           | 5.292E-04    |
| MAPK-mediated proliferation                                            | 6.296E-04    |
| Alpha-2 adrenergic receptor regulation of ion channels                 | 6.296E-04    |
| Melanocyte development and pigmentation                                | 8.655E-04    |
| Thromboxane A2 signaling pathway                                        | 8.983E-04    |

Supplementary Table 4. Prognostic capability of MITF on the overall survival of melanoma patients from TCGA SKCM dataset.

| Variable | Log-Rank P value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 10%      | 0.337            | 1.232 (0.805-1.884)   |
| 20%      | 0.442            | 1.139 (0.818-1.586)   |
| 30%      | 0.442            | 1.122 (0.837-1.504)   |
| 40%      | 0.194            | 1.200 (0.911-1.58)    |
| 50%      | 0.017 *          | 1.390 (1.062-1.819)   |
| 60%      | 0.058            | 1.298 (0.991-1.699)   |
| 70%      | 0.034 *          | 1.362 (1.024-1.812)   |
| 80%      | 0.215            | 1.228 (0.888-1.698)   |
| 90%      | 0.115            | 1.400 (0.921-2.127)   |

*P-value > 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplementary Table 5. Prognostic capability of MITF on the overall survival of lung adenocarcinoma patients from TCGA LUAD dataset.

| Variable | Log-Rank P value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 10%      | 0.228            | 0.730 (0.437-1.220)   |
| 20%      | 0.357            | 0.836 (0.570-1.225)   |
| 30%      | 0.039*           | 0.707 (0.508-0.984)   |
| 40%      | 0.251            | 0.838 (0.620-1.133)   |
| 50%      | 0.790            | 0.961 (0.718-1.286)   |
| 60%      | 0.863            | 0.974 (0.726-1.309)   |
| 70%      | 0.884            | 0.977 (0.713-1.339)   |
| 80%      | 0.783            | 0.951 (0.665-1.360)   |
| 90%      | 0.630            | 0.894 (0.567-1.410)   |

*P-value > 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Supplementary Table 6. The expression of MITF downstream genes in lung adenocarcinoma and melanoma.

| Gene | LUAD q-value | SKCM q-value | CL1-0 p-value | 501MEL -fold change p-value |
|------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| ANXA1 | 0.35         | 4.81E-15     | -0.34         | 5.79E-10                    | 1.66  | 1.26E-06 | 1.98  | 2.16E-05 |
| VEGFC | 0.31         | 2.27E-11     | -0.41         | 1.59E-14                    | -5.86 | 1.84E-05 | 0.93  | 0.35     |
| PDGFC | 0.40         | 7.61E-19     | -0.48         | 1.91E-20                    | -5.31 | 1.66E-06 | 1.46  | 0.02     |
| LRRN3 | 0.34         | 3.24E-14     | -0.34         | 2.27E-10                    | -7.15 | 4.81E-06 | 3.10  | 2.91E-13 |

* Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were obtained from TCGA database by cBioPortal analysis. LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma. SKCM: skin cutaneous melanoma.

§ Expression was log2 fold-change compared to control.

# Fold-change of gene expressions was obtained from siMITF and siLuc treated 501MEL human melanoma cells [3].

Supplementary Table 7. Primer sequences for real-time RT-PCR and MITF isoform-specific RT-PCR.

| Gene | Primer sequence |
|------|-----------------|
| **MITF targeting genes** | |
| ANXA1 | F: TTCAATACCACATCCTACCACCAGAA <br> R: CTCCAGGTTCCAGAAGTCTTTGTTCA |
| FZD7 | F: CGCCTCTGTTCGTCTACTCTT <br> R: TTCTCCAGTTTCGCTTTG |
| PTGR1 | F: TCAGGAGCTTCCGATGGAA <br> R: CCTCTAAGACCCATTTCAGCAAGT |
| **MITF 3'-primers** | |
| MITF-com | R: GATCAATCAAGGTTTCCCAGGAGCAG |
| MITF-exon9 | R: CGGGGACAGCTGAGGAAAGGAG |
| GAPDH | F: GAAGGAGTGGTTCGGAGT <br> R: GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC |
### Supplementary Table 8. Primers for chromatin immunoprecipitation assay of MITF.

| Gene target* (Reference GenBank ID) | Primer sequence | Location# | Amplified length |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| ANXA1 (NM_000700)                 | F: TTAAGTATGTCCTAAAGTCACA | -441→-419 | 338             |
|                                    | R: TCAGCTATGTCAAAAACAA    | -123→-104 |                 |
| FZD7-1 (NM_003507)                | F: CTGGTTTCTAAGACGCATTA  | -1792→-1773 | 201         |
|                                    | R: TTGGGGAGACTGGTTCTA    | -1609→-1592 |          |
| FZD7-2                            | F: AGCCCTTTGCAGTCCCTAAC  | -1237→-1220 | 207           |
|                                    | R: GGAAAAACCTTTTACACCTGAA| -1012→-1031 |             |
| PTGR1 (NM_012212)                 | F: CCTGCCTTTGGACCCCTTC  | -420→-403 | 289            |
|                                    | R: GGAAATCTGAGTGCGTTTGTG | -152→-132 |                |
| GAPDH (NM_002046)                 | F: CATTCCCCATCTCTAGTCGT  | -385→-367 | 233            |
|                                    | R: CTACTTTCTCCCCGCTTTTT | -172→-153 |                 |

*The reference GenBank ID shows in the parenthesis.
#Nucleotide positions are numbered relative to the start site of transcription.

### Supplementary Table 9. Antibodies for immunoblots.

| Antibody   | Clone | Dilution | Manufacturer | Cat No. |
|------------|-------|----------|--------------|---------|
| Anti-MITF  | C17   | 1:100    | Santa Cruz   | SC-11002|
| Anti-MITF  | C5    | 1:1000   | Calbiochem   | OP126L  |
| Anti-FZD7  |       | 1:500    | GeneTex      | GTX64603|
| Anti-α-tubulin | DM1A | 1:5000   | Abcam        | ab7291  |
| Anti-V5    |       | 1:5000   | Invitrogen   | R960-25 |