Macropore Formation and Pore Morphology Characterization of Heavily Doped p-Type Porous Silicon
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Tuning the pore diameter of porous silicon films is essential for some applications such as biosensing, where the pore size can be used for filtering analytes or to control the biofunctionalization of its walls. However, macropores (>50nm) formation on p-type silicon is not yet fully controlled due to its strong dependence on resistivity. Electrochemical etching of heavily doped p-type silicon usually forms micropores (<5nm), but it has been found that bigger sizes can be achieved by adding an organic solvent to the electrolyte. In this work, we compare the results obtained when adding dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to the electrolyte as well as the effect of a post-treatment of the sample with potassium hydroxide (KOH) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) for macropore formation in p-type silicon with resistivities between 0.001 and 102 cm, achieving pore sizes from 5 to 100nm.
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Porous silicon (PS) is a nanostructured material with many applications such as optoelectronics, biomedicine or energy conversion. In optoelectronics, it is particularly useful mainly because it retains the advantages of Si technology while adding the ability of controlling the optical properties. PS has received even more interest since the demonstration that it could emit visible photoluminescence. Additionally, the ability of modifying the refractive index of the PS film as well as the possibility of fabricating layered structures have allowed the development of multiple optical interference devices operating in a wide range of the electromagnetic spectrum.

Another highly promising research field concerning porous silicon is biomedical applications. The controllable geometry, the extremely high specific surface area available and its biocompatibility and biodegradability make PS suitable for drug delivery, cancer immunotherapy, gas sensing or biodetection. It can be also easily functionalized with a wide repertoire of surface chemistries which, for instance, allows chemically attaching receptors of different analytes, e.g. proteins, antibodies and enzymes, to the internal surface of the pores. Tuning the PS properties can help achieve high sensitivity sensors or controlled release behavior when used as a drug carrier.

Within the energy conversion domain, PS has also attracted attention because of its use as a potential anode material for Li-ion batteries. The performance of Si anodes is limited by its large volume expansion during charge/discharge cycles. Introducing voids, however, allows to accommodate this expansion and shrinkage of the material, improving the capacity retention. The main efforts have been directed toward developing hollow core-shell and yolk-shell structures, but PS can enhance the anode performance in a similar way. Optimizing the amount of voids in the PS film can lead to little or no change of the total volume during cycling and prevent cracking of the structure.

PS is generated by electrochemically etching silicon (Si) in electrolytes containing hydrofluoric acid (HF). The growth of the pores consists in a combination of two chemical reactions: the direct dissolution of Si in fluoride and the oxidation of Si in the presence of oxygen and its later dissolution. Both reactions are strongly dependent on the etching conditions, both chemical and electrical. Porosity, thickness, pore diameter, pore morphology and distance between pores are some of the properties that can be tuned during fabrication. Unfortunately, not all combinations of the parameters are possible or at least different approximations must be carried out to overcome the initial limitations.

The macropore (pores with an average diameter greater than 50nm) formation mechanism on n-type Si is well known. Low-doped p-type Si wafers have also been used for the formation of macropores. However, achieving mesopores (5–50nm) and macropores in moderately-doped and heavily-doped Si is more challenging. Aqueous HF-based electrolytes (HF diluted in water and optionally surfactants such as alcohols) will yield only micropores (<5nm) and mesopores if no additional fabrication steps are introduced. In order to overcome this limitation, several approaches have been developed.

Post-fabrication pore size expansion is one way of achieving mesopores. Thermally oxidizing PS film grows a layer of silicon oxide (SiO2) of a few nanometers over and into the pore walls. Dipping the sample in HF afterwards will remove the created SiO2 layer, thus increasing the pore diameter up to half the grown layer thickness. This process can be repeated, yet doing so will reduce the pore wall thickness and jeopardize the structure stability. Alkaline mixtures such as KOH and NaOH solutions anisotropically etch Si and can also be used to expand the pores after fabrication, although this method has the same limitations as the previous one.

Alternatively, using organic electrolytes instead of aqueous ones is a good approach for obtaining meso- and macropore PS films. The combination of HF-based solutions with some surfactants, e.g., dimethylformamide and dimethylsulfoxide, facilitates the Si dissolution during anodization. This method offers more control of PS properties.

Even though numerous studies have reported the formation of macropores on heavily-doped p-type Si, there is a lack of analysis for certain ranges of resistivities. In this work, we compare some of these approaches in p-type silicon with resistivities between 0.001 and 102 cm.

Experimental

PS was prepared by electrochemically etching p-type Si wafers (boron doped, <100> oriented) with different resistivities: 0.001–0.005Ω·cm, 0.01–0.02Ω·cm and 9–10Ω·cm, all three purchased from MicroChemicals GmbH (Germany). Aqueous electrolytes were prepared with Etanol (EtOH) supplied by Scharlab S.L. (Spain) and hydrofluoric acid (HF) (48% solution in water) purchased from BASF (Germany). For organic electrolytes, N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) and Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) from Scharlab S.L. (Spain) were employed. In the cases in which alkaline solutions were used, potassium hydroxide (KOH), obtained from Panreac S.L. (Spain), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH), from Scharlab S.L. (Spain), were mixed in different concentrations with deionized water (DIW) produced in house with a Millipore water purification system.
For cleaning purposes, all samples were pretreated for 30 min in a 3:1 volumetric mixture of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and hydrogen Peroxide (H₂O₂), both purchased from BASF (Germany), for removing organic residues off the substrate. Afterward, they were dipped into a solution of <5% HF for 30 s in order to eliminate the native oxide layer.

Electrochemical etching of Si was performed under galvanostatic conditions using a custom-made vertical cell in which a Pt electrode worked as cathode and the Si itself as anode. Different aqueous and organic solutions were used as electrolytes. An Agilent E3648A current generator was used, remotely controlled by a LabVIEW-based program.

After anodization, some samples were partially oxidized in an additional step with the aim of increasing pore size. A PVA Tepla 200 (PVA Tepla, Germany) microwave plasma was used during 20 min (1.5 mbar, O₂ flow, 400 W) in order to grow a thin layer of SiO₂.

All PS films were characterized after fabrication. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were obtained using both a Hitachi S-4500 SEM and a Zeiss Ultra 55 microscope. The average pore diameter and pore interspacing were statistically determined with ImageJ processing software.²⁷ Reflectance scope. The average pore diameter and pore interspacing were statistically determined with ImageJ processing software.²⁷ Reflectance measurements demonstrate this effect (Figure 2). The spectrum of the oxidized PS layer shifts toward shorter wavelengths with respect to the non-oxidized PS due to the substitution of part of the Si by SiO₂, which has a lower refractive index. When the oxide layer is removed by dipping the sample in HF, the spectrum once again shifts toward shorter wavelengths as a result of the dissolution of the SiO₂, thus increasing the porosity. ATR-FTIR measurements have also been carried out to characterize changes in the composition. Most PS films display an absorbance profile similar to the one in Figure 3a.²⁸ Stretching modes of SiH₄ (x = 1, 2, 3) have overlapping peaks at 2115, 2090 and 2141 cm⁻¹, respectively. SiH₂ scissor mode can be seen at 915 cm⁻¹ and the deformation modes of SiHx at 615, 622 and 655 cm⁻¹.

**Results**

The results of the pore morphology dependence with p-type Si wafer resistivity using only aqueous electrolytes and no additional treatment are summarized in Table I. In Table II the effects of using an organic electrolyte are shown for the case of p-type Si wafers with resistivities of 0.01–0.02 Ω cm. Organic electrolytes show equivalent effects in the rest of p-type Si wafers used for this work, following similar trends to the ones presented in Table I. At last, in Table III we present the result of applying additional steps after fabrication for increasing the pore diameter.

In this work we only present results of PS samples fabricated in the safe range of the parameters, meaning that all samples are structurally stable. PS films can be obtained beyond that range, up to the electropolishing current, but these layers might collapse due to their extremely high porosities and are therefore omitted here.

**Discussion**

Using organic electrolytes in p-type Si with resistivities of 0.01–0.02 Ω cm offers a wider range of possibilities when designing PS layers with different pore diameters and/or morphologies. Pore sizes can be tuned from the micropore to near the macropore region and their cross-sectional shape can present secondary branches, when using DMF, or smooth walls, in the case of DMSO. The distance between pores can also be controlled, being smaller when using DMSO instead of DMF. However, extremely narrow spacing might compromise the structural stability.

The higher the resistivity, the lower the pore density, and for this reason pores tend to be bigger in lowly-doped p-type Si. In our case, for p-type Si wafers with resistivities of 9–10 Ω cm we expect to achieve PS films in the macropore region, such as in Ref. 25. Unfortunately, galvanostatic conditions for electrochemically etching those samples are beyond the performance of the equipment used in this work and can therefore not be experimentally proven.

No macropore PS film was achieved for any combination of the etching parameters when using aqueous electrolytes. Pore diameter can be increased reducing HF concentration but this implies thin walls and a slow vertical growth, leading to sponge-like layers. PS films created this way are structurally weak and only a small range of porosities can be achieved.

SiO₂ removal increases pore size but in a small percentage. FTIR reflectance measurements demonstrate this effect (Figure 2). The spectrum of the oxidized PS layer shifts toward shorter wavelengths with respect to the non-oxidized PS due to the substitution of part of the Si by SiO₂, which has a lower refractive index. When the oxide layer is removed by dipping the sample in HF, the spectrum once again shifts toward shorter wavelengths as a result of the dissolution of the SiO₂, thus increasing the porosity. ATR-FTIR measurements have also been carried out to characterize changes in the composition. Most PS films display an absorbance profile similar to the one in Figure 3a.²⁸ Stretching modes of SiH₄ (x = 1, 2, 3) have overlapping peaks at 2115, 2090 and 2141 cm⁻¹, respectively. SiH₂ scissor mode can be seen at 915 cm⁻¹ and the deformation modes of SiH₄ at 615, 622 and 666 cm⁻¹, overlapping Si-Si absorbance peak of crystalline Si. Alternatively, the bulk Si-O-Si mode can be seen at 1108 cm⁻¹. The first

**Table I. Influence of resistivity on pore morphology.**

| HF concentration | Resistivity of electrolyte | Current range for PS formation | Pore shape - surface | Pore shape - section | Etch rate | Spacing between pores |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|
| 0.01–0.02 Ω cm  | 37.5%                     | <750mA/cm²                     | Holes               | Secondary branches only | -250nm/s, I = 400mA/cm², T = 30s | ~20nm     |
| 9–10 Ω cm       | 37.5%                     | <300mA/cm²                     | Holes               | Secondary branches only | -80nm/s, I = 150mA/cm², T = 310s | ~30nm     |
|                  | 33%                       | <150mA/cm²                     | Holes               | Secondary branches only | ~40nm/s, I = 70mA/cm², T = 310s | ~40nm     |

**Table II. Influence of organic electrolytes on pore morphology for resistivities of 0.01–0.02 Ω cm.**

| Surfactant | DMF | DMF | DMSO |
|------------|-----|-----|------|
|            | 8%  | 4.5%| 4.5% |
| HF concentration | 500Ω · cm | <25mA/cm² | 2400Ω · cm |
| Resistivity of electrolyte | 500Ω · cm | <18mA/cm² | 2800Ω · cm |
| Current range for PS formation | Holes | Holes | Holes |
| Pore shape - surface | Secondary branches only | Secondary branches only | Secondary branches only |
| Pore shape - section | ~25nm | ~30nm | ~50nm |
| Pore diameter | ~220nm/s, I = 22mA/cm², T = 90s | ~80nm/s, I = 11mA/cm², T = 90s | ~50nm/s, I = 11mA/cm², T = 90s |
| Etch rate | ~40nm | ~20nm | ~10nm |
Table III. Influence on pore morphology of different treatments performed after fabrication.

| Post-treatment | NaOH | Oxidation | KOH |
|----------------|------|-----------|-----|
| Resistivity of wafer | 0.001–0.005Ω·cm | 0.01–0.02Ω·cm | 0.01–0.02Ω·cm |
| HF concentration | 37.5% | 17% | 17% |
| Resistivity of the electrolyte | 1Ω·cm | 1Ω·cm | 1Ω·cm |
| Current range for PS formation | <750mA/cm² | <130mA/cm² | <130mA/cm² |
| Pore shape - surface | Holes | Holes | Holes |
| Pore shape - section | Secondary branches only | Secondary branches only | Secondary branches only |
| Pore diameter | ~100nm | ~25nm | ~25nm |
| Etch rate | ~450nm/s, I = 730mA/cm², T = 30s | ~8nm/s, I = 6mA/cm², T = 100s | ~8nm/s, I = 6mA/cm², T = 120s |
| Spacing between pores | ~20nm | ~60nm |

Figure 1. FESEM images of electrochemically etched p-type Si 0.01–0.02Ω·cm. (a) HF:DIW:DMF 1:1:4, I = 22mA/cm², T = 90s; (b) HF:DIW:DMF 1:1:9, I = 11mA/cm², T = 90s and (c) HF:DIW:DMSO 1:1:9, I = 11mA/cm², T = 90s.

evidence of partially oxidized PS (Figure 3b) is the intensity decrease of SiHₓ stretching modes and their shift to 2160, 2199 and 2254cm⁻¹. A broad band near the existing Si-O-Si mode grows with a maximum at 1050cm⁻¹. Furthermore, SiH₂ scissor and SiHₓ deformation modes are partially diminished.

Alkaline etching of the samples also increases slightly the pore size. Both SiO₂ removal and alkaline etching yield similar results except for the distance between pores, which increases in the case of the PS films etched with a KOH solution (Figure 4). This effect is caused by the deposition of the dissolved Si on the surface, obstructing some pores, and could be reduced if agitation is added. As depicted in the FTIR reflectance measurements (Figure 5), the shift of the spectrum is comparable to that observed for the oxidized PS. This provides an approximation of the porosity increase achieved with this method.

As for heavily doped p-type Si wafers with resistivities such as 0.001–0.005Ω·cm, a high HF concentration causes a fast dissolution of the Si, since the fluoride (F⁻) quickly finds a free charge (h⁺) that triggers the reaction. This causes the formation of micropores with a high pore density. However, this fast etch rate soon leads to a poor F⁻ replacement at the pore tip, which causes an increase of the pore diameter. As a result, a PS film with a bad vertical uniformity is achieved,

Figure 2. FTIR reflectance spectra of electrochemically etched p-type Si 0.01–0.02Ω·cm, HF:EtOH 1:2, I = 6mA/cm², T = 100s (blue line); after being oxidized for 20min (red line) and after being dipped in HF for removing SiO₂ layer (yellow line).

Figure 3. ATR-FTIR absorbance spectra of electrochemically etched p-type Si 0.01–0.02Ω·cm, HF:EtOH 1:2, I = 6mA/cm², T = 100s, (a) freshly etched and (b) oxidized for 20min.

Figure 4. FESEM images of electrochemically etched p-type Si 0.01–0.02Ω·cm. (a) HF:EtOH 1:2, I = 6mA/cm², T = 100s, oxidized for 20min and dipped in HF and (b) HF:EtOH 1:2, I = 6mA/cm², T = 120s, etched with 45mg of KOH in 100ml of DIW for 5min.
An easy way of doing this is etching with 45mg of KOH in 100ml of DIW for 5min (red line).

with pores increasing their size x10 along the thickness of the layer. We can take advantage of that effect for obtaining macropores by removing the top section of the layer. An easy way of doing this is etching with 1M NaOH for a few seconds (see Figure 6). Figure 7 shows the reflectance spectrum shift as a result of the porosity increase after the removal of the microporous layer on top.

Conclusions

Pore morphology and fabrication properties for PS formed in heavily doped p-type Si (100) have been presented. The effect of organic electrolytes such as DMF and DMSO and alkaline etchants e.g. KOH and NaOH has been reported. The use of organic electrolytes on Si wafers with resistivities of 0.01–0.02 cm has proven the increase of the average pore diameter to up to 40nm. On the other hand, pore sizes of around 100nm can be achieved on wafers with resistivities of 0.001–0.005 cm.
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