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Abstract

Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CNPs) possess a great potential as therapeutic agents due to their ability to self-regenerate by reversibly switching between two valences +3 and +4. This article reviews recent articles dealing with in vivo studies of CNPs towards Alzheimer’s disease, obesity, liver inflammation, cancer, sepsis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, acute kidney injury, radiation-induced tissue damage, hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury, retinal diseases and constipation. In vivo anti-cancer studies revealed the effectiveness of CNPs to reduce tumor growth and angiogenesis in melanoma, ovarian, breast and retinoblastoma cancer cell-induced mice, with their conjugation with folate acid, doxorubicin, CPM, or CXC receptor-4 antagonist ligand eliciting higher efficiency. After conjugation with triphenylphosphonium or magnetite nanoparticles, CNPs were shown to combat Alzheimer’s disease by reducing amyloid-β, glial fibrillary acidic protein, inflammatory and oxidative stress markers in mice. By improving muscle function and longevity, the citrate/EDTA-stabilized CNPs could ameliorate amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Also, they could effectively reduce obesity in mice by scavenging ROS and reducing adipogenesis, triglyceride synthesis, GAPDH enzyme activity, leptin and insulin levels. In CCl₄-induced rats, stress signaling pathways due to inflammatory cytokines, liver enzymes, oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum messengers could be attenuated by CNPs. Commercial CNPs showed protective effects on rats with hepatic ischemia reperfusion and peritonitis-induced hepatic/cardiac injuries by decreasing oxidative stress and hepatic/cardiac inflammation. The same CNPs could improve kidney function by diminishing renal superoxide, hyperglycemia and tubular damage in peritonitis-induced acute kidney injury in rats. Radiation-induced lung and testicular tissue damage could be alleviated in mice, with the former showing improvement in pulmonary distress and bronchoconstriction and the latter exhibiting restoration in spermatogenesis rate and spermatid/spermatocyte number. Through enhancement of gastrointestinal motility, the CNPs could alleviate constipation in both young and old rats. They could also protect rat from light-induced retinal damage by slowing down neurodegenerative process and microglial activation.
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1. **Introduction**

The generation of free radicals in excess is the primary cause of many oxidative stress related diseases in human body [1,2]. The free radicals are essential for killing viruses and bacteria and producing energy as well as activating enzymes and hormones [2]. Also, they are key endogenous species responsible for cell signal transduction pathways and cell homeostasis. The free radicals and other highly reactive oxygen or nitrogen species are naturally controlled in human body by radical scavengers called antioxidants, which play a critical role in protecting cells through enzymatic or non-enzymatic process by maintaining the intracellular equilibrium between their excessive and insufficient levels [3–5]. For example, enzymes like superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase and low molecular weight antioxidants such as vitamin C, vitamin E, and glutathione found in the cells can stabilize unwanted radicals through different mechanisms [3–5]. When free radicals produced in human body exceed certain optimum level, an imbalance between level of free radicals and antioxidants occurs, which in turn results in oxidative stress responsible for many pathological disorders including neurological disorder, cardiac dysfunction and cancer cell proliferation [6]. As most naturally occurring phytochemicals possessing antioxidant activity are poorly absorbed in the human body, there has been increasing exploration of nanoparticles’ ability to serve as antioxidant carriers [7,8].

Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CNPs) have attracted great attention in the field of nanotechnology due to their self-regenerating antioxidant properties [9]. Cerium is a lanthanide rare earth metal and in combination with oxygen it exists in two oxide forms with crystalline fluorite lattice structure [10,11]. Interestingly in the nano-form cerium oxide retains their fluoride structure creating an oxygen vacancy due to partial reduction of Ce$^{3+}$ to Ce$^{4+}$ with both oxidation states co-existing on CNPs surface [9,10]. These oxygen defects become the sites for catalytic activity which increases upon reduction of particle size [12]. The presence of mixed valence states (Ce$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$) and their ability to switch between oxidation states play a vital role in scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [9,14,15]. The antioxidant activity of CNPs for various reactive species such as superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radical, peroxyxynilte and nitric oxide have been demonstrated in both in vitro and in vivo studies with CNPs exhibiting bio-mimetic antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [15–20]. As mentioned above, oxidative stress due to excessive ROS can be associated with human pathological disorders, the CNPs can be a potential therapeutic for treatment of various acute and chronic diseases [14]. Obviously, the CNPs scavenge free radicals by adopting either enzymatic way through defense mechanisms such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, phosphatase mimetic, peroxidase and oxidase mimetic activities or non-enzymatic way through scavenging hydroxyl and nitric oxide radicals [13,15]. While many studies on CNPs are mainly focused on animal or human cells in vitro, the in vivo studies using animal model are limited. As the outcome of in vivo studies in animal model provide more comprehensive data enabling clinical translation of a drug from bench to bedside [21,22], in the present article we review in vivo studies dealing with various biological applications of CNPs published in recent years. This review highlights the potential of CNPs as a therapeutic for treatment of cancer, Alzheimer’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, obesity, deregulated inflammation in liver, hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury, peritonitis-induced sepsis, acute kidney injury, radiation-induced tissue damage, retinal diseases and constipation.

2. **Preparation, characterization and in vivo application of CNPs**

Table 1 illustrates the preparation, characterization and in vivo application of different kinds of CNPs for various human diseases. A more detailed discussion based on several recent in vivo studies are presented in the following sections.

2.1. **Anti-cancer activity**

Cancer patients are usually treated with surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, but such therapies provide only limited efficacy accompanied by side effects and risk of damage to normal tissues [8]. Exploration of nanomaterials as drug delivery and therapeutic systems has led to the first in vivo anti-tumor study using CNPs, in which Allili et al. [23] showed a decrease in tumor weight and volume in A375 melanoma cell-induced tumor mice injected intraperitoneally with 5 nm dextran-coated CNPs (0.1 mg/kg BW) every alternate day for 30 d. In addition, a significant reduction in CD31 (an endothelial cell marker for studying angiogenesis) was observed in tumor sections, implying the inhibition of vascular endothelial cell migration by injected CNPs. In another study dealing with ovarian cancer, the CNPs with size at 3–5 nm and dose at 0.1 mg/kg BW was demonstrated to reduce tumor size and mass, as well as increase CNPs accumulation in tumor and attenuate angiogenesis in A2780 ovarian carcinoma mouse model [24]. In a later study Hijaz et al. [25] conjugated folic acid (FA) with CNPs (FA-CNPs) and showed that FA-CNPs with particle size at 10 nm, zeta potential at 25 mV and Ce$^{3+}$/Ce$^{4+}$ ratio at 47.4% could effectively inhibit the growth of A2780, SKOV3 and OVCAR3 ovarian cancer cells through high cellular uptake and caspase 7/3 activation. Moreover, an administration of FA-CNPs alone or in combination with a chemotherapy drug cisplatinum (FA-CNPs/CMF) was shown to inhibit tumor growth and mitigate tumor spread (Fig. 1A–1D) as well as reduce angiogenesis and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) in A2780 induced mouse xenograft model (Fig. 11–IK), with the level of caspase-3 for different treatments following the order: FA-CNPs/CMF > CPM > FA-CNPs (Fig. 11) [28]. It is worth pointing out that FA is necessary for replication of cancer cell and thus overexpressed on tumor cell surface, but poorly expressed or absent in normal cells, making FA an excellent tumor targeting ligand [26,27]. In a later study, the CNPs conjugated
Table 1. – Preparation, characterization and in vivo biological application of CNPs used for treatment of various human diseases.

| In vivo application | Preparation/conjugation/coating | Characterization | Animal model | Dosage/administration route | Results | Protein/gene/biomarker expressions | Ref. |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Anti-cancer         | Precipitation; FA and CPM conjugated | PS=10 nm; ZP=25 mV; HS=30 nm; Ce<sup>4+</sup>/Ce<sup>+</sup>=47.4% | Ovarian cancer cell | 0.1 mg/kg CNPs or FA-CNPs; 4 mg/kg CPM; 0.1 mg/kg CNPs; 4 mg/kg CPM for FA-CNPs/CPM; IP | Tumor inhibition: FA-CNPs/CPM-CNP-FA-CNPs->CNPs; FA-CNPs/CPM and FA-CNPs reduced angiogenesis. No mice toxicity. A 44% higher tumor inhibition than PPCNPs-Ce6 without FA, with prolonged blood circulation and high tumor accumulation. No mice toxicity. | ↓CD31; ↓vimentin (EMT marker); ↑caspase-3; ↓4-HNE | [25] |
| Microemulsion; photosensitizer chlorin e6, FA, PEG and PEI conjugated (PPCNPs-Ce6/FA) | PS=3-5 nm; ZP=8.8 mV; HS (PBS)=36.1 nm; UV=404, 550, 280 nm | Breast cancer cell | Near IR radiation at 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 3 min using 600 nm laser; PPCNPs-Ce6/FA at 100 μl of 20 μM; IV | Sensitive to tumor acidic environment, target tumor, induce ROS, release DOX, reduce tumor size, prevent retinal blood vessel leakage and protect retina. | ↓CXR4 | [28] |
| Alzheimer’s disease | Reverse micelle; DSPE-PEG-FITC-TPP conjugated (AMD-CCNPs-DOX) | PS=30 nm (TEM); ZP=23.3 mV | Retinoblastoma cell | 0.1 mM; stereotoxic subicular injection | Suppress neuronal death, mitigate reactive gliosis and alleviate mitochondrial damage. Levels of both blood and brain Aβ, ROS and Aβ plaques reduced through magnetic separation of MNP’s and antioxidant activity of CNPs. | ↓GFAP astrocyte; ↓Jba-1; ↓4-HNE | [47] |
| Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis | Citrate-EDTA stabilized-EDTA conjugated (NMCs-Aβ-PEG) | HS=3.3 nm; PDI=0.176; ZP=−22.94 mV | Male and female | 20 mg/kg twice a wk for 68 wk; IV | Muscle function restored and longevity increased both in male and female mice. | [58] |
| Anti-obesity | CNPs from Sigma (Product no. 544841) | PS<~<25 nm (BET), 5–80 nm (TEM), 230 nm (DLS); PDI=0.290; ZP=−15 mV; Ce<sup>4+</sup>/Ce<sup>+</sup>=23% | 10-wk male Wistar rats (300 g) | 0.5 mg/kg twice a wk for 6 wk; IP | Effectively reduce the weight gain after 1-wk treatment. No toxicity to rats and no macrophage activation without release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. | ↓insulin, leptin, glucose and TG; ↓GAPDH; ↓Angpt2, Bmp2, Bmp4, Ddit3, Lep, Twist1 and Ldha; ↑Irs1 and Ki67 | [66] |
| Anti-inflammation | Precipitation; unconjugated | PS=4–20 nm (TEM); crystalline fluorite-like structure (TEM and XRD) | CCL<sub>2</sub>-treated rats | 0.1 mg/kg twice a wk for 2 wk (with continued CCL<sub>2</sub> treatment for 8 wk); IV | Decrease of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (50%) and portal hypertension was shown compared to CCL<sub>2</sub>-treated rats. | ↓ALT and AST; ↓CD68+, α-SMA and ↓caspase-3; ↓TNF-α, IL-1α, COX-2 and iNOS; ↑Epk, Ncf1 and Ncf2; ↑Atf3 and Hsp5 | [75] |
| Hepatic ischemia reperfusion | CNPs from US Research Nanomaterials | PS=90 nm (DLS); 10–30 nm (TEM); cubic fluorite structure (XRD) | Buprenorphine-induced 10 wk male SD rats | 0.5 mg/kg once; IV | Reduced the HIR-induced levels of ALT, LDH and leptin as well as hepatocyte necrosis and serum inflammatory markers. | ↓MDC, ↓MP-2, ↓KC/GRO, myoglobin, vWF and PAI-1 | [80] |
| In vivo application                  | Preparation/conjugation/coating | Characterization | Animal model                                      | Dosage/administration route | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Protein/gene/biomarker expressions                                                                 | Ref. |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Constipation                       | Precipitation; citrate or PAA stabilized | PS=1–3 nm; HS=10–50 nm | Carbachol-induced 3- and 24-month rats           | 1 ml of 1 mM per day for 10 days; IG | Restore the stomach and colon motor activity in both young and old rats as well as enhance the contraction/relaxation especially in old rats. Improved the survival rate, reduced systemic and hepatic oxidative stress as well as serum cytokine/chemokine levels. | ↓iNOS, ↓ROS, ↓TNF-α and IL-6, ↓ERK½, p-Stat-3, Eps and V-CAM-1 | [128] |
| Sepsis and acute hepatic kidney injury | CNPs from US Research Nanomaterials | PS=90 nm (DLS), 10–30 nm (TEM); cubic fluorite structure (XRD) | Peritonitis-induced polymicrobial insult in male 10 wk SD rats | 0.5 mg/kg once; IV | Reduced the peritonitis-induced hyperglycemia, tubular dilatation, brush border loss, renal inflammation/apoptosis and improved renal glomerular filtration rate. | ↑F-actin; ↓cystatin-C, p-Stat-3 and caspase-3; ↓KIM-1, OTP, ↓p-2 MG and VEGF-A; ↓BUN | [90] |
| Radiation-induced tissue damage    | Microemulsion (M) and wet-chemical (C); unconjugated | PS=3–5 nm (both M and C); HS=38 nm (M), 91 nm (C); ZP=−20.4 mV (M), 19.1 mV (C); Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺= 0.45 (M), 1.26 (C) | 15 Gy whole-thorax radiation induced 8–10 wk female CBA/J mice | 100 nM (low) or 10 µM (high) twice a wk for 4 wk; IP | At both doses, CNPs-C showed higher survival and radioprotective effects than CNPs-M with reduced lung injury, structural damage, collagen deposition, inflammatory scores, pulmonary distress, bronchoconstriction and vascular damage. | ↓nitrotyrosine | [110] |
|                                  | Wet-chemical; unconjugated       | PS=5–8 nm (TEM); HS=10 nm (DLS); Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺= 84.7% | 2.5, 5 or 10 Gy radiation induced 8 wk C57/B1/6J male mice | 100 nM (low dose for 2.5 and 5 Gy irradiation) or 100 µM (high dose for 10 Gy irradiation) once a wk for 4 wk; IV | Restored 2.5 and 5 Gy-induced spermatogenesis, spermatid/spermatocyte number and tissue morphology as well as DNA damage in 5 Gy-induced mice. | ↓nitrotyrosine | [96] |
| Retinal disease                   | Wet-chemical; unconjugated       | PS=3–5 nm (XPS), 25 nm (TEM), 57.7 nm (DLS); ZP=−40 mV | 2–3 wk P23H-1 rats                                   | 1 or 2 µl of 1 mM (172 or 344 ng) once per eye; IVL | Protective effects against thinning of outer nuclear layer of entire retina as well as reduction in microglial activation, retinal stress and neuronal death. | ↓8-isoprostane | [122] |
|                                  | Precipitation; FITC conjugated through APT functionalization | PS=15 nm (XRD); Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺= 26.4%; cubic fluorite structure | Light induced (1000 lx for 24 h) retinal damage in adult SD rats | 2 µl of 1 mM once; IVL | Scopotic a- and photopic b-wave amplitudes increased with 172 ng dose, while, at 344 ng, rod cell degeneration delayed and apoptosis reduced in retina. | ↓TFN-α, FGF-2, ↓Ilba-1 | [123] |

"—", not determined; PS, particle size; HS, hydrodynamic size; ZP, zeta potential; wk, week; lx, lux.
with FA, polyethyleneimine/polyethylene glycol and chlorin e6 (photosensitizer) (PPCNPs-Ce6/FA) was intravenously injected at 20 μM/100 μl into near infrared-irradiated MCF-7/ADR breast carcinoma xenograft mice. Compared to PPCNPs-Ce6, the tumor inhibition effect was shown to rise by 44% for PPCNPs-Ce6/FA, accompanied by prolonged blood circulation, low mice toxicity and high accumulation in tumor [28]. Likewise, more recently, Gao et al. [29] conjugated a CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4)-specific antagonist (AMD11070) as tumor targeting ligand and doxorubicin (DOX) as chemotherapy drug with glycol chitosan (GC)-coated CNPs and reported their chemotherapeutic efficiency both in retinoblastoma cells (WERI-Rb-1 and Y79/GFP-luc) and Y79/GFP-luc induced genetic p107s mice. Compared to saline, DOX, DOX conjugated GC and GCCNPs, the combinatorial AMD-GCNPs-DOX was shown to more effectively suppress the tumor growth at pH 6.5 (tumor acidic environment), reduce the intraocular tumor size, prevent retinal blood vessel leakages and protect the retina layers and lens structure in mice eyes. It was postulated that AMD-GCCNPs-DOX with neutral surface charge at physiological pH can facilitate diffusion through the vitreous matrix to the retina tumor site and elicit anti-tumor effect by acquiring slight positive charge in acidic tumor environment [30].

In most anti-cancer studies, the unique feature of CNPs to exhibit antioxidant activity towards normal cells and prooxidant effects on cancer cells has been exploited. More importantly, the CNPs induce ROS formation under acidic pH in the tumor microenvironment thereby inhibiting tumor metastasis. Besides, the potential of CNPs to attenuate angiogenesis is also well documented [23–25]. Recent developments on CNPs-based nanodelivery systems for anti-tumor activity rely on a combinatorial nanoplatforms involving conjugation of CNPs with a targeting ligand [25,28,29], photosensitizer [28] and/or chemotherapeutic drug [25,29] as shown above. Both in vitro and in vivo studies demonstrated higher inhibition efficiency for ligand-, photosensitizer- and/or chemotherapeutic drug-conjugated CNPs compared to only CNPs, photosensitizer or drug treatments [25–30].

2.2. **Protection against Alzheimer’s disease**

Ever since the observation of amyloid-β (Aβ) plaques in the postmortem brains of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients, the neuropathological role of Aβ has become the major focus of AD research [31,32]. Accumulation of Aβ in the brain causes senile plaques characterized by neuronal dysfunction and death through multiple pathways [33,34].

---

**Fig. 1** – Inhibition effect of (A–D) FA-CNPs and (E–H) FA-CNPs/CPM combination treatment on A2780 cell-induced tumor growth in mice as well as their anti-proliferation, anti-angiogenic and anti-apoptotic effects. (A–H) plots represent clinical score obtained by counting the number of tumor nodules by naked eye at (A, E) peritoneum, (B, F) bowel, (C, G) liver and (D, H) kidney. A clinical score of 0, 1, 2 and 3 for no nodule, 1 nodule, 2–5 nodules and > 5 nodules observed per organ, respectively. (I and J) plots show number of positive Ki-67 cells and CD31 cells counted from 5 high power fields in 3 different xenografts of each group, while (K) plot denote the cells with positive membrane vimentin stain and (L) plot the cells with caspase-3 staining of tumor tissue indicating cell apoptosis. Each bar represents mean of triplicate values (n = 3) with symbols *** and # denoting statistically significant values at P < 0.001 and P < 0.05, respectively, as well as “ns” non-significant values. (Adapted with permission from [25]. Copyright 2016 Hijaz et al.)
Immunization based treatments to reduce Aβ levels results in several side-effects including microhemorrhages, meningoencephalitis and vasogenic edemas accompanied by limitation in therapeutic efficacy and process controllability [35–37]. High Aβ deposits in brains can cause mitochondria dysfunction and neuronal cell death due to excessive production of ROS [38,39]. The existing mitochondria-specific antioxidants exhibit limited ROS-scavenging activity due to poor pharmacokinetic properties and irreversible activity, which requires conventional antioxidants to be administered continuously at high doses [40,41]. Several in vitro studies have shown the potential of CNPs to scavenge the Aβ-induced ROS and alleviate the neuronal toxicity [42–46]. Kwon et al. [47] synthesized triphenylphosphonium-conjugated cerium oxide nanoparticles (TPP-CNPs) and demonstrated their potential to localize in mitochondria and suppress neuronal death in 5XFAD transgenic AD disease mouse model by mitigating reactive gliosis and reversing morphological damage in mice mitochondria (Fig. 2A–2F). In a preliminary in vitro study, the TPP-CNPs with a hydrodynamic particle size of 22 nm, zeta potential of +45 mV and core size of 3 nm were shown to be effectively localized in mitochondria of both human neuroblastoma SH-SYSY cells and human epithelial carcinoma HeLa cells, with a dose-dependent rise in superoxide dismutase and catalase activities as well as Aβ-induced mitochondria ROS scavenging activity being observed in SH-SYSY cells [47]. For the in vivo study, 0.1 mM of TPP-CNPs stereotactically injected into subiculum of 5XFAD AD mice could restore the neuronal cell viability (Fig. 2A) and mitochondrial morphology from disrupted cristae and vacuolar shape (Fig. 2D–2F) [47]. Also, the TPP-CNPs were shown to lower the expressions of astrocyte (GFAP) and microglia (Iba-1) inflammatory markers in 5XFAD AD mice (Fig. 2B–2C) as well as reduce the level of 4-hydroxynonenal (Fig. 2G), an oxidative stress marker formed as a major end product of lipid peroxidation [47]. More recently, Kim et al. [32] prepared a nanoassembly of magnetite/CNPs (NMCs) by coating 3-nm CNPs on magnetite nanoparticles.
and conjugated with poly(acrylic acid), Aβ antibodies and polyethylene glycol for extracorporeal treatment of blood through capturing Aβ and scavenging ROS respectively by magnetite (magnetic separation) and CNPs (redox switching) in the core/shell assembly. By injecting NMCs at an iron equivalent dose of \(1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}\) into the extracorporeal circuit of SXFAD transgenic mice (AD mouse model) and separating the Aβ peptide bound NMCs, 71% of Aβ peptides could be removed from blood along with ROS scavenging by NMCs. Also, the Aβ level was reduced by 50% in the brain, accompanied by attenuation of glial fibrillar acidic protein expression (57%) in brain astrocytes, while the cerebral cortices showed lesser influence on Aβ plaques compared to control [32].

2.3. Amelioration of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a disease caused by oxidative and nitrative stress resulting in the damage of DNA, RNA, lipids and proteins [48–52]. About 5%–10% of patients possess an inherited and familial ALS with approximately 20% being related to inherited mutations of copper/zinc superoxide dismutase enzyme (SOD1) [53,54]. The ALS has many pathological and biochemical features similar to other neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases [55,56]. Consequently, several antioxidant therapies including edaravone, a redox active agent approved for ALS, were tested and found ineffective in clinical trials for humans with ALS [56,57]. Currently, the only approved therapy for ALS is riluzone drug which is expensive and prolongs life only for 2–3 mon [7]. In an attempt to alleviate ALS, DeCoteau et al. [58] demonstrated that twice a week intravenous injection of 100 μl of citrate-EDTA stabilized CNPs at 20 mg/kg during the onset of muscle weakness in SOD1-G93A transgenic ALS mouse model could restore the muscle function and prolong the premorbid period equally in both male and female mice. Fig. 2H–2K shows preservation of motor performance in female B6SJLF1/J (H) and male SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> (I) amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mouse models as well as Kaplan–Meier analysis of SOD1<sup>G93A</sup> mouse survival with survival interval being longer in CNPs-treated mice compared to control (J) and improvement of longevity identical in both male and female mice (K). The authors hypothesized the observed therapeutic effect of ALS treated with CNPs may be due to catalase and oxidase activity of similar citrate-EDTA stabilized CNPs observed in cell-free systems and in vitro models of ischemic oxidative stress [59,60].

2.4. Anti-obesity activity

Obesity, a worldwide pathological condition, strongly impairs the human health and oxidative stress has been demonstrated to be the underlying factor [61,62]. Two drugs approved recently by the FDA, Lorcaserin and Saxzyma, could reduce weight by suppressing appetite and increasing satiety [63,64]. However, both drugs impart considerable side effects such as insomnia, headache, teratogenicity risk and dizziness [65]. To date, there is no effective therapy to reduce excessive fat accumulation. Roccia et al. [66] investigated the potential of CNPs as a novel anti-obesity therapeutic in both in vitro and in vivo. Treatment of embryonic mouse pre-adipocyte 3T3-L1 cells with 20 and 50 μg/ml of CNPs showed a decrease in the mRNA transcription of Cebpa, Gpd1 and Lpl involved in adipogenesis, while a reduction in PPARγ level was shown only at 50 μg/ml. Also, the activity of GAPDH enzyme, which plays a key role in triglyceride synthesis, decreased following treatment with CNPs. The fluorescent staining of lipid droplets confirmed the involvement of CNPs in adipogenic pathway in reducing lipid accumulation (Fig. 3A) [66]. The same research group has previously shown that CNPs could efficiently inhibit maturation of mesenchymal stem cells towards adipocytes by reducing the production of ROS required for adipogenesis [67]. In the in vivo experiment, CNPs dose of 0.5 mg/kg administered intraperitoneally into male Wistar rats twice a week for 6 weeks could effectively reduce the weight gain after 1-week treatment (Fig. 3B) [66] through lowering the plasma levels of insulin, leptin, glucose and triglycerides (Fig. 3C–3F) as well as GAPDH activity. Also, the gene expressions Irs1 and Klf4 were upregulated, while Angpt2, Bmp2, Bmp4, Ddit3, Lep, Twist1 and Ldha downregulated following CNPs treatment. The administered CNPs were nontoxic to mice as their accumulation in spleen did not cause any tissue injury and no alteration in cytology in other organs, as well as no macrophage activation or release of pro-inflammatory cytokines [66]. Due to their antioxidant activity, CNPs can scavenge ROS thereby reducing oxidative stress required for progression of adipocyte maturation, a fundamental phenomenon responsible for obesity development [68]. Interestingly, as shown above, several key biomarkers and gene expressions of lipogenesis causing obesity were found to decrease upon CNPs treatment. More importantly, by scavenging ROS, CNPs reduced the leptin and insulin levels (key hormones stimulating lipogenesis), leading to a decline of triglyceride synthesis, GAPDH activity and lipid content [69,70].

2.5. Alleviation of liver inflammation

Deregulated inflammation is an important step involved in many pathological processes including vascular, metabolic and neurological diseases [71]. The liver diseases are not an exception as the transformation of acute inflammation to chronic inflammation results in extracellular matrix remodeling, cirrhosis and ultimately liver failure [72]. The inflammatory response can be alleviated by a passive process of progressive dilution of cell mediators involved in inflammatory response such as cytokines and chemokines [73]. More recently, a great attention has been directed towards reducing inflammatory response by specialized pro-resolving mediators [74]. One major drawback of the commonly used natural antioxidants is that they have the tendency to be quickly oxidized. However, the CNPs can act as self-regenerating catalyst and exhibit superior antioxidant effects in decreasing inflammatory response. Oro et al. [75] synthesized spherical CNPs with a particle size 4–20 nm by chemical precipitation method and evaluated their hepatoprotective effects on CCl<sub>4</sub>-induced liver biopsies in rats. The CNPs intravenously injected at 0.1 mg/kg twice per week for 2 weeks (with CCl<sub>4</sub> treatment continued for
8 weeks) were predominantly accumulated in rat liver with subcellular localization in the intracellular space of liver parenchyma and lysosomes. Compared to CCl4-treated rats, a reduction in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (50%) and portal hypertension, as well as in activities of alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) was observed in rats receiving CNPs (Table 2). Also, the infiltrated CD68-positive cells and viable cells by TUNEL assay as well as alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) and caspase-3 expressions could be significantly decreased in hepatic tissue of CCl4-treated rats injected with CNPs [49]. Furthermore, a marked reduction in mRNA expression of inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, COX-2 and iNOS), oxidative messengers (Epx, Ncf1 and Ncf2) and endoplasmic reticulum messengers (Atf3 and Hspa5) related to stress signaling pathways was observed (Table 3). The CNPs also showed inhibitory effects on ROS generation in HepG2 cells treated with H2O2. The above attenuation of several biomarkers by CNPs are associated with gene expressions related to pro-inflammatory cytokines, cell differentiation and vasoactive mediators. Most of the potential mechanisms are mediated by the unique potential of CNPs to reduce ROS during oxidative stress for long duration after administration without being consumed [9]. Moreover, the injected CNPs inhibits oxidative stress and ROS-mediated endoplasmic reticulum stress thereby reducing hepatic fat accumulation and portal hypertension [75].

2.6. Protective effect on hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury

Hepatic ischemia reperfusion (HIR) injury is a health problem associated with liver transplantation and graft failure [76]. It involves temporary stoppage of blood flow to whole or part of liver by sudden reperfusion leading to disruption of normal homeostatic mechanisms and generation of free radicals [77]. Excess ROS levels have been shown to trigger a series of molecular events resulting in hepatocellular damage and death [78]. The antioxidants generally used for liver-related diseases have problems of targeting liver and thus requiring multiple administration at large doses [79]. Manne et al. [80] evaluated the protective effect of CNPs on HIR injury by injecting intravenously 0.5 mg/kg of 10–30 nm spherical CNPs into Sprague Dawley (SD) rats 1 h prior to inducing hepatic ischemia in left lateral and median lobes. Rats injected with CNPs were shown to decrease the HIR-induced levels of alanine aminotransaminase and lactate dehydrogenase in serum (Fig. 3G–3H) accompanied by a significant decrease in HIR-induced hepatic injury score (Fig. 3I),
hepatocyte necrosis and several serum inflammatory markers including macrophage derived chemokine, macrophage inflammatory protein-2, KC/GRO, myoglobin and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 [80]. Previous studies have demonstrated the involvement of neutrophils and lymphocytes in HIR-induced cell death, with the major chemoattractants being growth regulated oncogene and macrophage inflammatory protein 2 for the former and macrophage derived chemokine for the latter [81–83]. As shown above, the injected CNPs were shown to decrease levels of these inflammatory mediators. In addition, the HIR-induced increase in leptin level was reduced while the growth hormone level elevated.

2.7. Attenuation of peritonitis-induced sepsis and acute kidney injury

Intra-abdominal infection (IAI) or peritonitis, caused by the presence of infectious agents/microorganisms or their toxic metabolites in the peritoneal space, is of great concern due to high mortality rates [84,85]. The exudate in the peritoneal cavity can induce severe microvascular leak, leading to hypovolemia and hypotension and eventually organ dysfunction [85]. The IAI can also lead to acute kidney injury (AKI) for subsequent renal tubular cell death and kidney failure [86]. Despite the vast advancement in medical science, the therapy for sepsis still remains a challenge because of its complex pathophysiology and rapid progression. The IAI-induced AKI is caused by many factors including ischemia-reperfusion injury, hypoperfusion, endothelial dysfunction, coagulation effects, cytokine storm and apoptosis [84]. However, most studies have shown the oxidative stress-mediated systemic inflammatory response to be the most dominant factor responsible for IAI-induced AKI [87–89]. Thus, it is necessary to find a therapy capable of alleviating oxidative stress caused by ROS. Manne et al. [90] investigated the therapeutic potential of CNPs for the treatment of peritonitis-induced polymicrobial insult in SD rats. A single dose by intravenous injection of CNPs at 0.5 mg/kg to peritonitis-induced SD rats were shown to improve the survival rate (~75%) (Fig. 4A), restore core body temperature, reduce systemic and hepatic oxidative stress (Fig 4B), and diminish serum cytokine/chemokine levels. More importantly, a rise in peritonitis-induced hepatic superoxide production (Fig. 4C), iNOS expression and systemic ROS levels was further reduced following CNPs treatment. Also, the CNPs treatment could decrease the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 which in turn reduced the infiltration of macrophages into the liver (Fig. 4D). For the damage caused by severe peritonitis in heart, the CNPs treatment diminished expressions of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) (Fig. 4E), mitogen-activated protein kinase-Stat-3 signaling (p-Stat-3) (Fig 4F), endothelial P-selectin and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 [90]. Thus, the CNPs could be used for treatment of peritonitis-induced hepatic injury by modulating the hepatic and cardiac inflammatory responses.

The authors of the same group demonstrated the potential of CNPs to scavenge ROS and attenuate polymicrobial insult-induced increase in inflammatory mediators and AKI [91]. Commercially available CNPs with a particle size of 10–40 nm injected one time at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg could reduce the effects of peritonitis-induced tubular dilatation and brush border loss in SD rats accompanied by an increase in F-actin (Fig. 4G) as well as decline in levels of renal superoxide (Fig. 4H), serum cystatin-C, p-Stat-3 (Fig 4I) and caspase-3. Also, the CNPs treatment caused a decrease in the levels of serum kidney injury molecule-1, osteopontin, β–2 microglobulin and vascular endothelial growth factor-A, blood urea nitrogen, serum potassium and sodium, along with attenuating peritonitis-induced hyperglycemia [91]. Furthermore, by scavenging ROS, the injected CNPs could attenuate peritonitis-induced AKI through decreasing caspase-3 activity and F-actin level, diminishing the tubular damage and biomarkers of renal injury and improving kidney function.
2.8. Mitigation of radiation-induced tissue damage

Exposure to ionizing radiation can cause substantial damage to cells at the molecular level, resulting in long term detrimental effects on gene expression [92–94]. Of the various tissues, the lung tissue is the most sensitive and vulnerable to ionizing radiation [95]. The efficiency of natural antioxidant to combat the radiation-induced oxidative stress are usually dependent upon the degree of ionizing radiation. Moreover, the cancer patients exposed to high levels of ionizing radiation for killing cancer cells have also become victims of losing their normal cells [96]. While primary radiation-induced injury results in direct damage to cells, the secondary effects are associated with increasing ROS/RNA levels and oxidative damage to DNA [97]. The supplementation with several exogenous antioxidants, also named as radio-protectants, such as vitamin E, carotene, amifostine and curcumin are often limited by a number of factors such as low solubility, shelf-life and permeability through biological membrane barriers [98–101]. However, the recent use of nanomaterials alone or in combination with traditional radio-protectants has overcome these problems as a higher in vivo cytoprotection was observed compared to the traditional radio-protectants [96]. Some in vitro reports have demonstrated the efficacy of CNPs to protect biological tissues against radiation-induced damage [102–106]. In addition, the in vivo application of CNPs for radiation-induced pneumonitis and lung injury have been reported by few earlier studies [107–109]. More recently, Xu et al. [110] evaluated the radio-protective effects of CNPs on lethal dose radiation-induced lung injury in CBA/J mice. The animals were initially exposed to 15 Gy whole-thorax radiation, followed by intraperitoneal injection of CNPs prepared by microemulsion (CNPs-M) or wet chemical method (CNPs-C) 2 h post-irradiation at high and low doses (10 μM and 100 nM) twice a week for 4 weeks. At the end of 160 d, 90% of the irradiated mice treated with the high dose (10 μM) of CNPs-C survived compared to only 30% for low CNPs dose (100 nM) and 10% for control treatment (without CNPs). However, a much lower survival of 40% at both high and low doses was shown for CNPs-M, probably due to poor pharmacokinetics, higher agglomeration and lower surface Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺ ratio as compared to CNPs-C. Multiple lung functional parameters recorded by flow-ventilated whole-body plethysmography for high dose of CNPs-C treatment revealed significant radioprotective effect on lung injury, accompanied by a reduction in structural damage and collagen deposition as evident by histological examination. More importantly, the high-dose CNPs-C treatment also caused a decline in the radiation-induced enhanced pause value and mitigation of pulmonary distress and bronchoconstriction [110]. It is worth pointing out that “enhanced pause” is a key indicator of pulmonary inflammation, airway resistance, chronic airway inflammation and airway hyper-reactivity.

Table 3. CNPs reduce inflammatory gene over expression and messenger gene expression in the liver of control and CCl₄-treated rats (Adapted with permission from [75]. Copyright 2015 European Association for the Study of the Liver).

| Inflammation | Control (n = 10) | CCl₄-treated rats | Vehicle (n = 15) | CNPs (n = 10) |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| IL-1β       | 1.02 ± 0.08     | 61.6 ± 10.5***   | 33.7 ± 4.7*#   |
| IL-6        | 1.29 ± 0.32     | 1174 ± 246***    | 647 ± 133*     |
| IL-10       | 1.09 ± 0.15     | 91.5 ± 21.1***   | 54.4 ± 11.1*   |
| TNF-α       | 1.06 ± 0.12     | 60.4 ± 11.4***   | 19.7 ± 5.1@    |
| iNOS        | 1.04 ± 0.12     | 1139 ± 287***    | 141 ± 56@      |
| COX-2       | 1.14 ± 0.17     | 121.2 ± 28.3***  | 31.1 ± 5.1@    |
| Macrophage phenotype |          |                  |                |              |
| MRC2        | 1.06 ± 0.13     | 10.9 ± 2.2***    | 6.49 ± 1.29*   |
| CD163       | 1.01 ± 0.05     | 1.09 ± 0.10      | 0.85 ± 0.17    |
| Arg1        | 1.03 ± 0.08     | 1.33 ± 0.16      | 1.78 ± 0.23*   |
| Cell growth and differentiation |          |                  |                |              |
| VEGF        | 1.02 ± 0.07     | 0.75 ± 0.07      | 0.87 ± 0.09    |
| Apelin      | 1.15 ± 0.24     | 1.60 ± 0.26      | 1.13 ± 0.26    |
| PPARy       | 1.04 ± 0.11     | 0.63 ± 0.07*     | 1.19 ± 0.19@   |
| Vasoactive activity |        |                  |                |              |
| ET-1        | 1.05 ± 0.11     | 14.8 ± 2.9***    | 6.91 ± 1.98*   |
| eNOS        | 1.04 ± 0.09     | 1.56 ± 0.14      | 1.47 ± 0.24    |
| COX-1       | 1.06 ± 0.15     | 2.77 ± 0.38**    | 2.72 ± 0.55*   |
| Oxidative messenger |      |                  |                |              |
| Epox        | –               | –1.34**          | –1.42@         |
| Ncf1        | –               | 5.21**           | 3.40**         |
| Ncf2        | –               | 6.43**           | 3.57**         |
| Endoplasmic reticulum messenger |      |                  |                |              |
| Atf3        | –               | 52.0*            | 19.8*#         |
| Hspa5       | –               | 2.64*            | 1.37#          |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 compared with control group and #P < 0.05 and P*P < 0.01 compared with vehicle group. Vehicle, saline solution containing 0.8 mM tetramethylammonium hydroxide solution. CCl₄ treatment, initial 5 min CCl₄ inhalation by rats and CCl₄ treatment continued after CNPs administration. CNPs administration, 0.1 mg/kg body weight administered twice every week for 2 week.
In another study, the effectiveness of CNPs with small particle size (5–8 nm) and high Ce^{3+} proportion (84.7%) was investigated for protection against radiation-induced cell death in germ cells from C57BL/6J male mice [96]. Upon irradiation of scrotal region at 2.5, 5 or 10 Gy intensity and post-irradiation injection of CNPs at 100 nM (2.5 and 5 Gy irradiated mice) and 100 μM (10 Gy irradiated mice) through tail vein once every week for 1 month, no significant toxicity to major organs such as heart, brain, kidney, liver and spleen was observed. However, the analysis of sperm and testicular tissue samples revealed severe damage to spermatogenesis and alteration in tissue morphology for 5 and 10 Gy irradiated mice. In addition, the number of spermatids and spermatocyte/sertoli cells were reduced for mice exposed to 10 Gy irradiation. Interestingly, both 2.5 and 5 Gy-irradiated as well as CNPs-injected mice groups could exhibit normal spermatogenesis rate and substantially increase the number of spermatids and spermatocytes, with the tissue morphology being restored to normal (Fig. 4J–4N). For the CNPs effect on tissue oxidative stress, the level of oxidative stress marker nitrotyrosine was found to decrease by 13% in mice irradiated with 5 Gy intensity (Fig. 4O), indicating the role of CNPs as an antioxidant in reducing the oxidative stress in tissues [96]. To further study the direct effects of irradiation, the authors determined CNPs' protection against DNA damage. The DNA damage shown for mice irradiated with 5 and 10 Gy could be significantly reversed for 5 Gy-irradiated mice (11%) compared to the mice without CNPs treatment, while a more DNA damage caused by 10 Gy irradiation could not be restored by CNPs (Fig. 4P). Possibly, a rise in CNPs dose and/or frequency of administration may provide a better protection.

Fig. 4 – (A) Attenuation of peritonitis-induced mortality in rats by CNPs, (B) decrease in ROS levels, (C) restoration of peritonitis-induced hepatic inflammatory damage, (D) attenuation of peritonitis-induced liver monocyte/macrophage infiltration, (E) protection against peritonitis-induced cardiac inflammation as shown by the levels of phosphorylated p-ERK1/2 and (F) p-Stat-3 relative to GAPDH, (G) alleviation of peritonitis-induced loss of F-actin measured using rhodamine phalloidin staining of kidney sections, (H) reduction in peritonitis-induced renal superoxide levels measured using dihydroethidium staining of kidney sections, (I) mitigation of peritonitis-induced renal inflammation as shown by decreasing levels of phosphorylated p-Stat-3 protein relative to total Stat-3. (J–N) plots illustrate (L, N) preservation of spermatid and spermatocyte in (K, M) spermatogenesis-affected mice irradiated with radiation doses 2.5 and 5.0 Gy compared to non-irradiated mice (J), while (O, P) plots show significant protection against (O) oxidative stress and (P) sperm DNA damage by CNPs for mice irradiated with 5 Gy radiation dose as shown by nitrotyrosine level and number of TUNEL positive cells, respectively. The symbols *, # and @ in (A–I) plots represent significantly different values at P < 0.05 compared to control group, CNPs and PER group, respectively. (Adapted with permission from [90] copyright 2015 Society of Critical Care Medicine and Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc, [91] copyright 2015 Manne et al., and [96] copyright 2018 The Royal Society of Chemistry.)
against direct radiation induced DNA damage. Apparently the CNPs being an antioxidant could reduce the oxidative stress and DNA damage, thereby protecting testicular tissue through crossing the blood-testis barrier owing to their small size (3–5 nm) and protein corona composition on CNPs surface.

2.9. Protection against retinal diseases

Ocular oxidative stress, which leads to cellular dysfunction, senescence and death, has been linked to age-related macular degeneration, diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma [111–114]. Several published reports have demonstrated that CNPs can be a potent antioxidant for reducing oxidative stress, protecting photoreceptor cells from degeneration and possessing anti-angiogenic effects in rodent models [115–119]. The bare CNPs could cross the blood retinal barrier to protect photoreceptor neurons in the tubby mouse (photoreceptor degeneration model) [116]. Also, the intravitreally injected CNPs were rapidly taken up by retinal cells within 1 h, with about 50% of CNPs being retained in retina even after 1 y without causing any short- or long-term retinal toxicity [120,121]. In another study, Wong et al. [122] used an autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa adRP model P23H-1 rats to elucidate the cellular mechanism and duration of catalytic activity of CNPs in preventing photoreceptor cell degeneration. Retinitis pigmentosa is a heterogeneous group of disorders that cause rod and cone photoreceptor cell degeneration resulting in blindness [93]. By injecting a single dose of 172 ng (1 μl of 1 mM CNPs) per eye of P23H-1 rats, a 180% and 133% rise in scotopic a-wave amplitude and photopic b-wave amplitude, respectively, was shown over a wide range of flash intensities from −1.5 to 1.5 log cd s/m² at Day 24 after injection (Fig. 5A and B) [122]. Administration of 344 ng (2 μl of 1 mM CNPs) CNPs per eye delayed rod cell degeneration through reduction of apoptosis in P23H-1 rats by 46%, 56%, 21% and 24% at Day 3, 7, 14 and 21 after injection, respectively (Fig. 5C). With the same CNPs dose (344 ng), a 30% reduction in 8-isoprostanate (an oxidative stress biomarker) was observed in the retinas of CNPs-injected rats compared to saline injected control rats at Day 14 after injection (Fig. 5D) [122].

In a later study, Fiorani et al. [123] demonstrated the potential of CNPs to reduce microglial activation and their migration toward outer nuclear layer in rats. The cubic-phase fluoroite-type CNPs with a particle size at 15 nm and Ce³⁺ at 26.4% were synthesized by precipitation method and conjugated with fluoresecine isothiocyanate through functionalization using amino-propyl-trimethoxysilane for analyzing their biodistribution in the retina. Compared to untreated rats, a protection against thinning of outer nuclear layer of entire retina was observed in albino SD rats when injected intravitreally with 2 μl of 1 mM CNPs in 0.9% NaCl 3 week before exposing them to light intensity at 1000 lx for 24 h (Fig. 5E). Also, the reduction of thinning area called “hot spots” in retina was observed in CNPs-treated rats (Fig. 5F). The microglial activation observed in light-induced retinal-damaged rats was reduced in CNPs-treated rats through reduction of the activated microglia marker iba1-positive cells (Fig. 5G). Moreover, the upregulation of retinal stress factors such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) observed in light-induced retinal-damaged rats could be mitigated in CNPs-treated rats. It is worth pointing out that TNF-α is a pro-inflammatory cytokine involved in several neurological diseases including multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer, ischemia and retinal neurodegeneration [123].

To explore the issues of dealing with acute and chronic toxicity of CNPs in the eyes, Cai et al. [124] assessed the CNPs toxicity at 7 h and at 3, 7, 15 and 30 d after injection into P30 wild-type C57BL/6j mice by evaluating their retinal structure, retinal function, photoreceptor-specific mRNA gene expression and protein level, as well as inflammatory response. Intravitreal injection of different doses of CNPs (17.2, 51.6, 172, 516 and 1720 ng per eye) did not cause any abnormality in size, cornea clarity, iris response to light and eyeball movement, with no significant difference in layer number and outer nuclear thickness of retina compared to control. Also, no significant changes in full-field electroretinography amplitudes were shown among CNPs-treated mice groups at all doses and time-points tested. The CNPs injected even at a high dose (1720 ng) did not cause any increase in rhodopsin, M-opsin and S-opsin protein levels as well as caspase-3 expression, implying that the retinal function in terms of rods and cones response to light stimulation remains unaltered. Compared to higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and migration inhibitory factor) and cellular infiltration found in lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in mice, the un.injected, saline-injected and CNPs-injected mice did not cause any significant alteration in the levels of these cytokines with no cell infiltration into the vitreous portion of eyes. It is well-known that acute and chronic inflammation can cause increased vascular permeability and neovascularization. Mice injected with different CNPs doses (17.2–1720 ng) and saline could maintain the normal patterns of blood veins, fundus appearance and vascular structure at all time-points (17 h and 3–30 d) when compared to vldlr−/− mice with typical neovascularization [124]. In an earlier study, Wong et al. [120] demonstrated that a size of 3–5 nm CNPs synthesized by wet chemistry method could be distributed mostly in the retina tissue (17.89 ng/mg), followed by lens tissue (1.13 ng/mg) and eycuep tissue (0.83 ng/mg), with 90% of intravitreally injected CNPs being retained in the eye even after 120 d without causing any acute (9 d after injection) or chronic (60 and 120 d) cytotoxic effects in the retina.

The protective effects of CNPs towards light-induced retinal damage in rats are mainly due to delaying of neurodegenerative process through reducing oxidative stress, microglia activation and 8-isoprostanate as well as retinal stress factors such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2). Obviously, the CNPs due to their nanocrystalline structure and switching ability of cerium between two oxidation states (Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺) can exhibit self-regenerating antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic activities to protect retina from light-induced damage in rats. Moreover, the CNPs can also cross the blood-retinal barrier to protect the neurons from light-induced damage without causing any short or long-term toxicity to retina of rat eye.
2.10. Prevention of constipation through enhancement of gastrointestinal motility

Constipation is a common syndrome occurring for one of every 3 or 4 adults either regularly or occasionally and the frequency increases dramatically after age 65 [125,126]. It is also a major risk factor for colorectal cancer due to high level of carcinogenic metabolites and their prolonged contact with intestinal mucosa [127,128]. Yefimenko et al. [128] investigated the antioxidative prebiotic effect of CNPs to alleviate constipation by intragastrically injecting 3-mon and 24-mon rats with 1 mM/ml CNPs once every day for 10 d. Evaluation of spontaneous and carbachol-stimulated gastrointestinal tract motor activity by ballonographic method and the characterization of motor function by index of motor activity (IMA) revealed respectively an enhancement of IMA by 9.3% and 8.2% in young rats and 19.8% and 14.5% in old rats, with the old rats being two-fold more effective than the young rats. Similarly, the IMA in colon increased by 13.4% and 14.3% in young and old rats, respectively, compared to the control. The restoration of motor activity in old rats regained the IMA values similar to that found in young rats [128]. In addition, the smooth muscle contractive activity studied by tensiometric method using hyperpottassium isotonic solution (HP) and acetylcholine (AC) as stimulants demonstrated a rise in the amplitude of contraction by 32.1% in the stomach of old rats, accompanied by an increase by >122% in the phase and tonic components, compared to that in young rats. Also, the rate of development of phase contraction rose in HP-stimulated old rats by 138.8%, while the rate of phase relaxation increased by 128.1%. On the other hand, the CNPs did not elicit any influence on AC-stimulated contractions in the stomach of young rats. However, the rate of phase relaxation increased by 90% in the stomach of AC-stimulated old rats [128]. Thus, the injected CNPs increased the spontaneous and stimulated motility of stomach and colon in rats of both age with a higher rise in amplitude as well as phase contraction/relaxation being
observed in old rats. Based on the outcomes, it may be concluded that the CNPs-based laxatives can be produced in the future.

3. Tissue deposition and toxicological effects

Biodistribution is a critical parameter commonly evaluated for understanding the toxicological effects of nanoparticles. Hirst et al. [17] performed a comprehensive in vivo analysis of the biological distribution of CNPs administered intravenously or intraperitoneally at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg once a week for 5 weeks and found the greatest deposition of CNPs in spleen, followed by liver, lung and kidney. However, the deposition of CNPs did not elicit any significant toxicity. Instead, they exhibited antioxidant activity in liver of CCl4-induced mice. In a later study, Das et al. [129] also observed the deposition of CNPs in spleen and liver of mice without causing significant adverse effects even after intravenously injecting a high CNPs dose at 5 mg/kg. Nevertheless, compared to the control (14.48 U/ml), the ALT level (24.39 U/ml) increased with the histopathological examination of liver showing swollen hepatocytes, which may be due to the high agglomeration of deposited CNPs and increased phosphatase activity [130]. A relatively larger accumulation of CNPs in spleen and liver may be due to the removal of nanoparticles from circulation through phagocytosis by reticuloendothelial system (RES) and their immune macrophage/kupffer cells [129,131]. Many reports are also unequivocal to demonstrate that the physical properties of nanoparticles may not be the only factor to determine the biodistribution of CNPs. For instance, Yokel et al. [132] observed no significant difference in biodistribution of CNPs with different particle sizes (5, 30 and 264 nm). Conversely, the CNPs with similar size and surface charge were reported to exhibit a different trend in terms of tissue accumulation, liver/spleen indices and clearance in mice [129]. Thus, more studies are necessary to elucidate the fate of CNPs following administration in vivo.

4. Conclusion and future perspective

This review article reveals the versatility of CNPs with unique redox property capable of exhibiting antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antiangiogenic effects through alleviating oxidative stress associated with many pathological disorders. Although the CNPs in the bare form can exhibit potential biological activity, novel strategies to improve their pharmacokinetics and targeting capabilities still need to be explored. This can be achieved by synthesizing CNPs using bio-directed methods with small particle size, tailored surface properties, biocompatible coating and ligand conjugation. It is worth pointing out that bare CNPs tend to agglomerate under physiological condition and thereby cause reduction in biological activity. Also, the nanoparticle agglomerates may cause toxicity by accumulating in target organs, leading to organ failure and/or production of cytotoxic cytokines. Care should also be taken to prevent interference of biocompatible polymer coating or ligand conjugation with redox chemistry of CNPs and free radical permeation. The biocompatibility of CNPs can be further improved by adopting green synthesis method using natural phytochemicals and non-toxic stabilizers. Such green methods can be cost-effective and yield CNPs with enhanced biological activity through synergistic effect with the conjugated phytochemicals. In vivo studies should also focus on advanced techniques to improve delivery efficiency to the target organs/tissues, comparison of CNP’s efficiency with a positive control drug, evaluation of biological effects by both single dose and multiple doses, determination of biodistribution of CNPs in different organs, and assessment of toxicological issue. Most importantly, some more detailed studies are warranted to elucidate the mechanism of biological activity to establish structure–activity relationship.
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