Talo-calcaneal distraction Ilizarov frame: an ergonomic method to manage intra-articular fractures of calcaneum
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ABSTRACT

Background: Principle of distraction and an indirect reduction was used to promote healing of fractures and adequate regeneration of cartilage at the subtalar joint. Aims and Objectives: The study was aimed to elaborate and develop role of Ilizarov as an alternative biological method to manage intraarticular fracture calcaneum with minimal chances of infection. Materials and Methods: Twenty-one patients were studied and the mean age of patients was 40.7 years (span: 20 to 65 years). Sanders CT classification was used to divide into type II, 11 (52.38%); type III, 7 (33.33%) and type IV, 3 (14.28%). The average follow-up was 17.2 months (range: 6 to 24 months). AOFAS scale for ankle and hindfoot was used for assessment of patients- 7 (33.33%) excellent, 7 (33.33%) good, 3 (14.28%) fair, and 4 (19.04%) poor results. The average score was 81.5 ± 12.1. The mean period of treatment was 12 weeks (10 to 14 weeks). Results: On radiological assessment, Bohler’s angle change was 19.7 ± 4.4 degrees to 33.51 ± 5.7 degrees; angle of Gissane changed from 126.4 ± 6.7 degrees preoperatively to 124.9 ± 6.9 degrees postoperatively; calcaneal height changed 40.14 ± 1.98 mm preoperatively to 43.33 ± 1.87 mm and width changed 45.09 ± 3.65 mm preoperatively to 43.09 ± 3.16 mm postoperatively and reduction mal-alignment >10 degrees in 5 patients. Superficial skin infection at wire insertion sites was the most common complication encountered in our cases. Conclusion: Our results with this ergonomic technique in a small number of 21 non-randomised cases with a mean follow-up of 17.2 months attribute towards an alternate surgical substitute to conventional open reduction techniques in the treatment of intra-articular fractures of calcaneum having lesser rate of complications. Keywords: Ilizarov; Distraction; Calcaneal fracture; Bohler’s angle; Subtalar arthritis

INTRODUCTION

Of all the fractures encountered in orthopaedics, fractures of calcaneum constitute 2% with having an intra-articular displacement in 60 to 75 out of 100 patients. The modern era of surgical revolution had devised many innovative techniques to reduce complications and improve patient outcomes but the management of fractures like calcaneum are still facing the heat of controversy regarding how to classify them? Whether to do open reduction followed by internal fixation or use hybrid techniques of indirect reduction using various external fixation methods?. Non-operative treatment has lesser complications but more chances of progressive subtalar arthritis while operative treatment has an incidence of greater anatomical recovery. Palmer and Essex Lopresti had in their studies demonstrated the need for adequate reduction of these fractures, with inadequate reduction leading to poor results. Restoration of the subtalar joint is also crucial. The per-operative and post-operative complications are cited as one reason to oppose operative treatment.
is one of the oldest surgical treatment for intra-articular fractures of oscalcis.\textsuperscript{11-13} Using Ilizarov as a distraction device at the talocalcaneal joint and reducing subtalar joint with the closed procedure could reduce the risk of complications associated with open reduction and internal fixation procedures.\textsuperscript{13,14} In this study, the clinical and radiological outcome of management of intraarticular calcaneal fractures using Ilizarov talocalcaneal frame (also known as KIR PAT’S STAC FRAME) is analysed.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This is a prospective study including 21 intra-articular oscalcis fractures in 21 patients treated from March 2018 to March 2020 with indirect reduction and talocalcaneal Ilizarov frame. There were 18 males and 3 females, with a mean age of 40.7 years (span: 20 to 65 years). No patient had history of any chronic illness like uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure. 11 fractures (52.38\%) resulted from fall from a height, 10 fractures (47.6\%) from road traffic accidents; having 3 as open fractures (Gustilo Anderson type 1), and other 5 with skin blisters due to raised compartment pressure. Plain radiographs of the ankle (anteroposterior (AP), lateral views and a Harris axial view), and computed tomography (CT) scans were gathered. Sanders CT classification system\textsuperscript{1} was used to classify fractures into 11(52.38\%) type II, 7 (33.33\%) type III, and 3 (14.28\%) type IV. Type I was excluded from the study. Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee prior to the commencement of the study.

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Intraarticular calcaneal fracture Type II, III, and IV

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Sanders Type I
2. Patients with bilateral oscalcis fractures
3. Patients with concomitant fractures in the same or other limb or spine
4. Open fractures other than Gustilo Anderson Type 1.
5. Patients with severe lacerated wounds

**Patient management and the operative technique**

Patient with acute injuries was treated immediately after obtaining radiographs and CT scan; however, patients approaching with blisters and severe swelling were managed conservatively to wait for subsidence of swelling (considering the possibility for open reduction in the scenario of un attained satisfactory reduction).

Patients were managed by using the idea from the technique described by Kir Pat et al.\textsuperscript{14} Patients were operated on plain table without-on-the-table traction and pulley (Figure 1. A); manual reduction and techniques of indirect reduction were employed using tools like olive wires, k wires, and Steinmann pins followed by distraction using progressive Ilizarov construct.

In first step, two olive wires were placed in talus medially and laterally parallel to the subtalar joint in the coronal plane. The first olive wire is directed from anterolateral to the posteromedial direction to avoid malleolar/deltoid capture, the second olive wire is directed anteromedial to posterolateral orientation or parallel to first at the base of Talar neck (Figure 1. A). In second step, half ring attached anteriorly and wire tensioned up to 90 kg (Figure 1. B). Third step comprises putting Ilizarov wires in calcaneum, which may also include mitigation of elevated tuberosity and tendoachilles by using Steinmann pin/K-wire (Figure 2. D) and manipulation of any impacted fragments by using K-wire as a joystick. However, in a standard case without much elevated tuberosity or any impacted fragment we used 3 wires; first and second one to correct varus, which were placed nearly parallel to talar wires and perpendicular to calcaneal length in axial view in anterior half and posterior half of calcaneum respectively (Figure 1.C), and the last one placed in same transverse plane but posterosuperior to first one and anterosuperior to second one, forming a rough isosceles triangle as seen from lateral side (Figure 1.D), this third wire helps to maintain reduction of sustentacluli and appear more arched in radiographs (Figure 3.D and 3.E). In next step, these 3 wires now were attached to another half ring, which can be
attached to previously applied half ring through small rods. In the final step, subtalar distraction was applied under image intensifier (Figure 1.E and 2.E). The reduction was then confirmed using lateral, axial and broden's views taking maximum care to avoid any misinterpretation due to superimposition by rings. Since Ilizarov is a customizable implant, other permutations and combinations can be tried according to fracture geometry using distraction technique for example calcaneocuboid joint reduction can be addressed using more wires in metatarsals too. Considering the limitations of this technique, special attention should be given to the posterior facet of subtalar joint which is quite unforgiving owing to large number of forces converging on it and open reduction as a backup must be kept ready.

**Follow-up**

Limb elevation and active toe movements, active ankle and knee movements were immediately started as tolerated by patients. Mean admission time was 3 days during which intravenous antibiotics were given. Wire site dressing was done on alternate days using normal saline and local antiseptic and taught to patients for home-based care. Toe touch weight bearing with walker support started within the first week and partial weight-bearing on toes as tolerated by patients within 3 weeks. Clinical and radiological follow-up was done every 3 weeks in the first 3 months then every 3 months till last follow up. Radiological assessment (using lateral and axial views) and clinical assessment comparing pre and post-operative Bohler’s angles; Gissane angles; calcaneal height and width; Varus in calcaneum and subtalar movements. AOFAS scale for ankle and hindfoot used for functional assessment at final follow up. Mean follow-up duration was 17.2 months (range, 6 to 24 months).

**RESULTS**

AOFAS scale for ankle and hindfoot was used for clinical assessment. There were 7 (33.33%) excellent, 7 (33.33%) good, 3 (14.28%) regular/fair, 4(19.04%) poor (Table 1). Seven patients were showing signs of subtalar arthritis radiologically and 3 of them calcaneocuboid joint arthritis as well. No arthritic changes were noted in the ankle joint at last follow-up. 2 patients who developed reflex sympathetic dystrophy treated with physiotherapy and oral medications showed an improvement, later on, 7 patients had residual oedema of the foot. Superficial wire site and tract infection diagnosed in 16 of 21 cases and treated with local antiseptic and local antibiotics without any case of deep infection. Eight patients complained of mild pain around the ankle and subtalar joint and 7 patients had residual heel pain, requiring silicone heel support for shoes and some minor adjustments in shoes but till follow-up, all patients returned to work. Range of motion of the subtalar joint as compared to the normal side was more than 75% in 9 (42.8%) while, in the 9 (42.8%), it was 25-75% and remaining three (14.28%) had <25%. Ankle joint in all cases showed no signs of restriction of movement.

Comparing means as well as using t-test showed a significant increase in Bohler’s angle in postoperative values compared to its preoperative values (p<0.0001); similarly angle of Gissane showed a significant increase (p<0.0001) compared to its preoperative values using same tests. The preoperative

---

**Figure 2:** (A), (B), (C) & (D) Immediate postoperative pictures showing talocalcaneal frame (KIR PAT’S FRAME), three lizarov wires in calcaneum and 2 olive wires in talus using two half rings to build the assembly; Figure 3 (E) lateral radiograph of the same patient depicting assembly and reduction of fracture with subtalar distraction

**Figure 3:** (A) showing step 1 with two olive wires placed in talus medially and laterally parallel to subtler joint; Figure 2. (B) second step showing half ring attached anteriorly; Figure 2. (C) wires in anterior and posterior half of calcaneum perpendicular to its axis maintaining varus as well as width of calcaneum; Figure 2. (D) lateral diagrammatic representation showing placement of wires in a hypothetical calcaneum fracture forming rough isosceles triangle; Figure 2. (E) formation of final frame by attaching two half rings and giving distraction at talocalcaneal joint
and postoperative values of Bohler’s angle showed a significant difference in all fracture types i.e., TYPE II and TYPE III, it is p<0.0001 and in TYPE IV showing p<0.0008. Calcaneal width decrease was not significant (p=0.06) but calcaneal height increase was statistically significant (p<0.0001), compared to preoperative values. Chi-square tests were done to identify the statistical correlation between clinical results denoted by AOFAS score and development of subtalar arthritis which showed p<0.0001 which showed it is significant (as in our tests p<0.05 is taken as significant); similarly, tests showed the correlation between fracture type (Sanders's classification) and subtalar arthritis as significant (p<0.0001).

**DISCUSSION**

The eternal debate regarding modalities of calcaneal fracture treatment persists. In our study, we tried to analyze the results of our principle of short segment capsuloligamentotaxis applying it to specific joints like subtalar or calcaneocuboid, while leaving the adjacent joints free allowing early return to function, with minimal disturbance of soft tissues or devascularization of bone.13 The intrinsic elasticity of the frame favors early union in cancellous bone, far earlier than static frames using plates and screws. Ligamentotaxis is now a well-documented procedure in orthopedics useful in many intra-articular fractures like distal femur, proximal tibia, distal tibia and distal radius.16-20

In our technique, we leveraged the ligaments spanning talus and calcaneum to achieve maximum subtalar and calcaneocuboid reduction and avoiding impingement of fracture fragments mainly the tibiocalcaneal part of the deltoid ligament, the talocalcaneal interosseous ligament (TCIL); cervical, anterior, lateral, medial and posterior talocalcaneal ligaments.11 Many recent studies which compared operative and non-operative or minimally invasive modalities of treatment for calcaneal fractures indicated comparable functional results with a lesser rate of infections in non-operative / minimally invasive treatment procedures.21-23 In our study there was no instance of deep infection, all the reported ones were superficial and healed with local treatment. However open reduction and internal fixation of calcaneum may be associated with a high rate of infection up to 25%,24 Zwipp et al in 7.9% cases.25 Distraction and dynamization along with ankle motion lead to fewer incidences of contracture, stiffness of ankle and subtalar joint. Distraction also helps in healing of cartilaginous surface at involved joint hence fewer chances of developing subtalar arthritis.26 Subtalar arthritis may result from cartilage damage at time of injury due to irreversible high energy impact as depicted by Boreli et al.27 Zwipp et al reported subtalar arthritis in >50% cases and calcaneocuboid joint arthritis in >25% cases.28 In this study, radiological follow-up showed subtalar joint arthritis changes in 7 (33.33%) of cases in correlation with Sanders classification, 3 of type IV, 3 of type III, 1 of type II showed these subtalar arthritic changes; 3 out of 7 showed both calcaneocuboid and subtalar arthritis.

Early movement and early partial weight-bearing didn’t seem to adversely affect the patient outcome as observed by Hyer et al.29 Kienast et al.30 also shown that had protective effect against disuse osteoporosis and helpful in healing fat pad desensitization thus preventing chronic heel pain as documented by Houghton et al.31 The early movement also helped in reducing the incidence of calcaneofibular impingement. Only-thin wire reduction technique prevented any incidence of Sural nerve injury or any iatrogenic neurovascular bundle injury. However, the study has certain drawbacks like - not following the strict three-point distraction technique on which it is loosely based; difficulty in raising the central fragment in Sanders III and Sanders IV (as there is no mechanism to allow ligament-taxis there) ; difficulty in assessing the reduction intraoperatively (Broden’s view to see all aspects of posterior facet could not be taken easily due to superimposed rings); post-operative collapse could not be factored in, intra and inter observer discrepancies along with lack of standardization of radiographs; a small sample of cases; lesser time of follow-up to study talocalcaneal joint arthritis and lack of control group. Therefore further improvement in technique like using radiolucent rings and going for open reductions by intraoperatively changing decision especially in cases of Sanders type IV fractures which had shown poor results with this technique; secondly, comparative long term studies are required to

---

**Table 1: Clinico-radiological results according to type of fracture**

| Sanders’ classification | Clinical results | Arthritis of subtalar joint | Arthritis of calcaneo-cuboid joint |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                         | Excellent (90-100) | Good (80-89) | Regular (70-79) | Poor (<69) |                         |                           |
| II                     | 11 (52.38%)       | 5 (45.45%)  | 5 (45.4%)  | 0         | 1 (9.09%) | 1 (9.09%)               |
| III                    | 7 (33.33%)        | 2 (28.5%)   | 2 (28.5%)  | 2 (28.5%) | 1 (14.28%) | 3 (42.8%)               |
| IV                     | 3 (14.28%)        | 0           | 1 (33.33%) | 2 (66.6%) | 3 (100%)  | 1 (33.3%)               |
| Total                  | 21                | 7 (33.3%)   | 7 (33.3%)  | 3 (14.28%)| 4 (19.04%)| 7 (33.3%)               | 3 (14.28%)               |
reach conclusive evidence of the utility of this technique in treating intra-articular calcaneal fractures.

**CONCLUSION**

To conclude we can say that this subtalar joint spanning Ilizarov frame is a useful device in treating intra-articular calcaneal fractures helping patients in gaining an early range of motion at foot and ankle joints, early weight-bearing with reduced incidence of subtalar arthritis especially in Sanders type II and type III. Ilizarov system is just a method like any other method, intended to provide stable retention of an accurately reduced fracture. However, the system will produce bad results in an inadequately reduced or improperly aligned fracture. Unlike internal fixations which are committed once the final stitch is applied, Ilizarov is a little more versatile, minor adjustments can be done in the outpatients’ department, while a revisit to the operation theatre can even allow major adjustments without opening up the fracture site. This liberty does not however allow us to frame an improperly reduced fracture pattern.
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