Opacity distribution functions for stellar spectra synthesis
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ABSTRACT

Context. Stellar spectra synthesis is essential for the characterization of potential planetary hosts. In addition, comprehensive stellar variability calculations with fast radiative transfer are needed to disentangle planetary transits from stellar magnetically driven variability. The planet-hunting space telescopes, such as CoRoT, Kepler, and TESS, bring vast quantities of data, rekindling the interest in fast calculations of the radiative transfer.

Aims. We revisit the opacity distribution functions (ODF) approach routinely applied to speed up stellar spectral synthesis. To achieve a considerable speedup relative to the state of the art, we further optimize the approach and search for the best ODF configuration. Furthermore, we generalize the ODF approach for fast calculations of flux in various filters often used in stellar observations.

Methods. In a parameter-sweep fashion, we generated ODF in the spectral range from UV to IR with different setups. The most accurate ODF configuration for each spectral interval was determined. We adapted the wavelength grid based on the transmission curve for calculations of the radiative fluxes through filters before performing the normal ODF procedure.

Results. Our optimum ODF configuration allows for a three-fold speedup, compared to the previously used ODF configurations. The ODF generalization to calculate fluxes through filters results in a speedup of more than two orders of magnitude.
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1. Introduction

Radiative transfer calculations over broad spectral intervals are important for modeling stellar and planetary atmospheres. One of the main challenges in these calculations is the treatment of a large number of atomic and molecular lines typically found in a stellar or planetary spectrum. Atomic and molecular lines contribute immensely to the total opacity in the solar atmosphere, and even more in stars cooler than the Sun. The lineauto that are currently employed to model and interpret the solar spectrum contain more than hundreds of millions of spectral lines (Kurucz 2005). To properly account for all of these lines, it is necessary to perform calculations on a fine wavelength grid (typically with a resolution of just a few mÅ, which results in millions of grid points). This is computationally demanding.

Fortunately, many applications only need information about the amount of energy emitted in comparatively broad spectral intervals (e.g., a few Å in width). Such applications include interpretation of solar observations with filter imagers (see Tsuneta et al. 2008; Solanki et al. 2017, and references therein), modeling solar and stellar brightness variations (Ermolli et al. 2013; Solanki et al. 2013; Yeo et al. 2016), and analysis and interpretation of low-resolution spectra in most cases. The analysis of low-resolution spectra will be even more important with the third Gaia data release in early 2021, providing hundreds of millions of low-resolution spectra (Gaia Collaboration 2016).

A straightforward approach for obtaining a low-resolution spectrum is to first calculate the spectrum at high-resolution directly accounting for all important lines, and then to convolve it with a given spectral response function (see, e.g., Fig. 1 in Riethmüller et al. 2014). An obvious disadvantage of this approach is that calculations still have to be performed on a fine wavelength grid. An alternative to the straightforward approach is the employment of opacity distribution functions (ODF, see e.g., Hubeny & Mihalas 2015). ODF accounts for the effects from an arbitrary number of spectral lines while calculations are done on a coarser wavelength grid. This is done by approximating the complex structure of opacity, although with some loss of accuracy. In order to obtain the ODF, the entire spectral domain is split into bins. All wavelength information within a bin is lost and only the bin-averaged intensity values are calculated. For that to occur, the line opacity in each of the bins is approximated by several representative values, typically a dozen or less. The total opacity in the bin is then the sum of the line opacity described by the ODF and the continuum opacity, for which the change within the bin is neglected.

In regions where local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) is valid, the opacity only depends on the wavelength, the local conditions (namely the temperature and the pressure), and on the velocity distribution in the atmosphere to some extent. In 1D calculations, the velocity distribution is quantified by the microturbulence velocity. This allows the ODF to be pre-tabulated on a grid of temperatures and pressures. Interpolation is used to get the ODF values for a specific temperature and pressure. The pre-tabulated ODF are then used for radiative transfer, and opacity calculations are not required. In the non-LTE case, opacity depends on the nonlocal radiation field making it unsuitable for such a pre-tabulation. Several non-LTE investigations (see, e.g., Sect. 6 in Werner & Dreizler 1999) made use of pre-tabulated photon cross-sections instead of opacities.

The ODF approach had been actively developed until the late 1980s (Labs 1951; Kurucz 1979; Anderson 1989), and a number...
of algorithms for the optimal approximation of the opacity distributions within the bins were proposed. Kurucz (1979) approximated ODF by ten values, paying special attention to the accurate sampling of the high opacity tail of the distribution. Later, Castelli & Kurucz (2004) used a modification of this approach employing 12 values for approximating opacity within the bin to synthesize spectra over a broad range of stellar fundamental parameters. Due to the increased speed of computers in recent years, ODF have not been further developed since single 1D model calculations do not require any further acceleration.

Recently, 3D magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations of solar and stellar atmospheres have reached a new degree of realism (Stein 2012; Nordlund et al. 2009; Beeck et al. 2015a,b), which led to the development of the 1.5D radiative transfer approach. In the 1.5D approach, the emerging spectra are calculated along many rays passing through a 3D MHD cube. The resulting intensity in a given direction is then calculated by averaging the intensities along multiple parallel rays, which sample the fine wavelength grid. While some applications need calculations through broadband filters.

The opacity in stellar atmospheres has a particularly complicated wavelength dependence in spectral lines; changes within a narrow wavelength interval reach up to several orders of magnitude, as illustrated in Fig. 1a. As a result, opacity calculations require a fine wavelength grid. While some applications need calculations of high-resolution spectra, low-resolution spectra are sufficient for many applications. Such low-resolution spectra can be obtained by using accelerated methods.

There are two conceptionally different methods to approximate low-resolution opacities, opacity sampling (OS) and ODF (Hubeny & Mihalas 2015). With OS, the high resolution opacity is simply resampled at a lower resolution. It is crucial that the sample preserve the original statistics of the high resolution opacity. ODF, introduced in Sect. 1, achieve a similar level of approximation, but with fewer representative opacity values. This work solely focuses on the ODF.

To calculate ODF, the high-resolution wavelength grid is divided into bins. In each bin the opacities are sorted in ascending order, as illustrated in Fig. 1b. Each bin is further divided into sub-bins and the mean value of the opacities is obtained for each of the sub-bins. For example, Fig. 1b shows one 10 Å wide bin, subdivided into ten sub-bins. Figure 1a shows the original distribution of opacities on a high-resolution grid, while Fig. 1b illustrates the ODF.

All calculations and the technical implementation presented in this paper are performed with the Non-local thermodynamic Equilibrium Spectral SYnthesis code (NESSY, Tagirov et al. 2017), which is a further development of the COrrelation for Solar Irradiance (COSI, Shapiro et al. 2010). NESSY utilizes an atmosphere model, abundances, and linelists for elements from hydrogen to zinc as input and calculates emergent spectra in the range from UV to radio. All spectra in this work are disk-integrated and as such correspond to radiative flux.

We added support for ODF in the spectral synthesis part of NESSY. In the original version, the Saha-Bolzmann equation is first used to compute the electron level populations and then opacities from them. Then the radiative transfer (RT) is solved on a fine wavelength grid. In the new version, we first synthesize ODF from the high-resolution opacity and then solve the radiative transfer equation for each of the ODF sub-bins. Fluxes from individual sub-bins are summed together to get the flux of the whole bin

\[
F_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\Delta \lambda},
\]

where \( F_i \) and \( \Delta \lambda \) are the flux from and the width of the sub-bin, respectively, while \( \Delta \lambda \) is the width of the bin. Whereas the fine wavelength grid shown in Fig. 1a has 2000 points per nm, the step-wise grid in Fig. 1b has only 10 points per nm. This results in RT calculations that are 200 times faster. We note that this is only the speedup for the spectral synthesis calculations. The RT calculations account for most of the computational time, since generating the ODF only has to be performed once for the given ODF configuration, linelist, and abundances. We therefore do not consider any speedup in the ODF generation itself. In the next section we investigate how the accuracy and speed of the ODF approximation depends on the size of the sub-bins and their distribution within the bins.

3. Optimal ODF for spectral synthesis

An optimal ODF setup for spectral synthesis is a compromise between the speed of the computations and the accuracy of the
result, which also depends on the specific task. In this section, we analyze how the resulting accuracy depends on the size and the distribution of the sub-bins. As an example of this, we used the FALC atmospheric structure of the quiet Sun from Fontenla et al. (2006). The molecular and atomic linelists used in NESSY were taken from Shapiro et al. (2010). To analyze the performance of ODF, we used the flux computed with various ODF setups (i.e., on a coarse wavelength grid, hereafter ODF spectra). Then we took the flux computed on a fine wavelength grid and averaged it within each ODF bin (hereafter detailed spectra). Such a detailed spectrum represents the case where the line opacity is taken into account without any approximation. We then compared the ODF and detailed spectra to study the performance of various ODF configurations.

3.1. Mean opacity

To illustrate the power of the ODF approach, we started by comparing its performance to a straightforward method of employing mean opacities (i.e., ODF using only one sub-bin). We performed these calculations for two bin sizes, 10 Å and 100 Å. Figure 2 illustrates that calculations using mean opacities cannot adequately reproduce the spectrum for bin sizes greater than the high-resolution grid (with a resolution of 200 points per Å). In particular, they lead to the underestimation of the intensity by up to an order of magnitude in the UV part of the spectrum. This can be explained by the wide range of opacity values within the bins (see Fig. 1a) and the mean value which is skewed by the extreme values in the sample. A large number of photons escape the atmosphere at wavelengths where the opacity is low. When opacity is replaced there with the higher mean opacity, it becomes more difficult for photons to escape. The emergent flux thereby decreases (in other words, averaging closes “opacity windows”).

Figure 2 clearly indicates that a better solution than the mean opacity is needed. This can be achieved by sorting the opacity within each bin, splitting the bin into several sub-bins, and then individually averaging the values within each of the sub-bins. This procedure prevents very high opacity values from skewing the averages of other sub-bins, as it groups opacity together with similar values.

3.2. Uniform sub-bins

In this section, we investigate the effect of the number of sub-bins has on the accuracy of the spectrum calculations. We first consider the case of sub-bins of uniform width.

Calculations with different numbers of sub-bins are presented in Fig. 3. As expected, an increase in the number of sub-bins improves the accuracy of spectral synthesis, but this comes at the cost of increased computational time. Comparing the brown and violet lines in Fig. 3, we can see that the introduction of only one additional sub-bin (i.e., splitting the bin into two sub-bins) significantly improves the accuracy of the calculations. Additionally, the continuum only spectra (black line) illustrate the total effect of spectral lines on the emergent spectrum. The simultaneous comparison between the continuum, ODF, and detailed spectra calculations shows how well the ODF approach accounts for the effect of spectral lines. It is worth noting that the continuum spectrum provides a better approximation for the emergent spectrum than calculations with one to five uniform sub-bins longward of about 6000 Å. Furthermore, including lines with a suboptimal ODF configuration might be worse than not treating lines at all. It is interesting to note that the black curve has been inverted around unity (continuum-only computations overestimate the emergent flux, while uniform ODF typically underestimate it). The uniform ODF in general allow adequate treatment of the large number of spectral lines in the UV, where lines completely dominate the continuum, forming the UV line haze. At the same time, the accuracy of the calculations remains low longward of about 5000 Å compared to the continuum only. Interestingly, more than five uniform sub-bins are needed to reach better accuracy as opposed to neglecting lines completely (i.e., taking only continuum opacity into account). The reason for such behavior is the small number of spectral lines longward of 5000 Å. In most bins, only a small fraction of wavelengths have opacity with values much higher than that of the continuum. Only those opacities can noticeably affect the intensity. If the width of the last sub-bin (i.e., the sub-bin containing the greatest opacity values) is larger than this fraction of high opacities, the calculation becomes erroneous. The very high values skew the mean opacity of that sub-bin toward larger values, which results in inaccuracy in the intensity calculations. Another way to understand this is to consider a hypothetical case where one line in the bin is significantly stronger than all other lines. If the width of the last sub-bin is larger than the width of this line, the mean opacity in this sub-bin will be skewed toward larger values, thus introducing an error, which then increases with the size of the last sub-bin. We note that the same effect, albeit amplified by the
fact that it appears over the whole bin and not only in the last sub-bin, causes inaccuracy in the calculations using only mean opacity values (see Sect. 3.1). The solution is to consider non-uniform sub-bins and pay special attention to the relative size of the last sub-bin.

3.3. Non-uniform sub-bins

In this section, we expand upon the analysis in Sect. 3.2 by considering non-uniform sub-bins. We note that non-uniform sub-bins have already been employed by Kurucz et al. (1974) and more recently by Castelli & Kurucz (2004), who used an improved version of ODF with 12 sub-bins. They used the following relative widths of the sub-bins in Eq. (1): 1/10 for the first nine sub-bins (i.e., sub-bins with the lowest opacity values) and 1/20, 1/30, and 1/60 for the 10th, 11th, and 12th sub-bin respectively, which have the highest opacity values. For our sub-bin combinations, we also used an ascending order of opacity.

Figure 4 shows the ratio of the spectra computed for three different sub-bin distributions to the detailed spectrum. The last sub-bin is kept at 12.5% of the total bin size. One of the sub-bin distributions (red line in Fig. 4) attributes all the remaining 87.5% of opacities to a single sub-bin, while the other two (orange and green) split them between two additional sub-bins in two different ways. We can see that all three sub-bin distributions return roughly the same accuracy longward of 4500 Å, even the distribution with two sub-bins. This is in line with the discussion in Sect. 3.2. The last sub-bin is able to account for all strong lines whose opacity should not be mixed with the opacities of the other lines. At the same time, the opacity of the remaining weak lines affects the intensity linearly to the first order so that it can be averaged without losing accuracy. All in all, just two sub-bins (albeit of different sizes) are sufficient to achieve an accuracy of 95% longward 4500 Å. This finding is very important for modeling stellar brightness variations since they are often observed in the visible and infrared parts of the spectrum, for instance the Kepler telescope measures brightness longward of 4000 Å.

In all cases, the accuracy significantly drops in the UV part of the spectrum, where the last sub-bin alone is insufficient to account for the larger range of opacities affecting intensity in a non-linear way. The worst performance of the considered sub-bin distributions is between 2000 and 3000 Å.

In Fig. 5 we compared a non-uniform distribution with four sub-bins to uniform distributions with six and more sub-bins. The last sub-bin of the non-uniform distribution is the same size as the sub-bins of the uniform distribution with 10 sub-bins. Because of this the non-uniform distribution results in a high accuracy with fewer sub-bins longward of 4200 Å. Shortward of 4200 Å, the non-uniform sub-bin distribution is not as accurate. The superior performance of the non-uniform sub-binning in the visible and infrared is promising, and below we study it more closely.

3.4. Best combination of four and ten sub-bins

In the previous two sections we showed that different wavelength domains require vastly different sub-bining to achieve high accuracy. We also demonstrated that uniform sub-bins worked relatively well in the UV, while non-uniform sub-bins were better for the IR. To investigate this further, we calculated the ODF spectra for all possible combinations of four sub-bins in the range from 1000 Å to 9000 Å with 10 Å bins. For this, we considered 28 possible locations of sub-bin borders within each bin. The number 28 was chosen as a good trade-off between the number of sub-bins that have to be considered: 23 possible locations of sub-bin borders from 4% to 92% of the bin size (with a uniform step of 4% of the bin size) and five additional borders at 94%, 96%, 97%, 98%, and 99% of the bin size. Each selection of three borders from the 28 possible values corresponds to one sub-binning so that there are \( \frac{28}{3} = 3276 \) possible sub-bin combinations. We calculated fluxes for each of them and searched for the best sub-bin combination depending on the wavelength. Results are presented in Fig. 6. The upper panel shows the best sub-bin distribution for each of the 10 Å bins. When reading the upper panel, for example, a column around 3500 Å can be interpreted as follows: the first sub-bin starts at the beginning of the bin and extends to up to 35%, the second ends at 60%, the third ends at 80%, and the fourth ends at the end of the bin. Since the opacity found in each bin is sorted by its value, the colors also tell which opacity values fall in a sub-bin. The 35th percentile of opacity falls in the first sub-bin, opacity values from the 35th to the 60th percentile fall in the second sub-bin, and so forth.

![Fig. 4. Ratio of ODF-based spectra using non-uniform bins to the detailed one. Legend shows sub-bin widths in parts of the bin size for each colored curve. The averaged value of opacity in the sub-bins increases from left to right. The black curve is calculated with continuum opacity only, inverted to allow for a better comparison. Bin size is 10 Å. Convolved with a Gaussian, \( \sigma = 30 \) Å.](image1)

![Fig. 5. Ratio of ODF based spectra to the detailed one. The colored curves are for uniform sub-bins (see legend for the description), while the black curve shows the result of using just four non-uniform sub-bins. Bin size is 10 Å. Convolved with a Gaussian, \( \sigma = 30 \) Å.](image2)
It confirms our previous findings that the UV part of the spectra requires approximately uniform sub-bins, while a small last sub-bin works best longward of ~4500 Å.

Various model atmospheres differ in structure and it is not obvious whether an optimal sub-bin combination found for one structure would lead to adequate results for another. To test this, we considered two atmosphere models by Fontenla et al. (2006), FALC (model of the quiet sun) and FALP (model of the faculae). An optimal sub-bin combination that was found for FALC was then used to calculate the ODF spectra for FALP. The lower panel of Fig. 6 shows how the FALC optimal sub-bin combination performs on the FALP atmosphere. With a few exceptions around strong lines, the ODF reproduce the detailed spectral computations even better, mainly due to the weaker spectral lines in the FALP model.

The middle and lower panels in Fig. 6 together show that using three times fewer sub-bins than in the recent setup by Kurucz, we can achieve the same accuracy longward of 4500 Å. The accuracy of the 4-bin setup below 4500 Å is somewhat lower than but still comparable to that of Kurucz. Such optimized ODF are very valuable for applications where time is the main constraint. It is interesting to note that our simple test suggests that the choice of the sub-bins can be frozen for typical solar atmospheres. Subsequently, the optimization only needs to be done once and tested for a few extreme atmospheres that may appear in a solar MHD simulation. After that, sub-bins can be applied unchanged for solar applications (with the possible exception of sunspots, which we have not been tested so far).

Next we address applications where very high accuracy and some acceleration are important. This is achieved by increasing the number of sub-bins to ten. More importantly, having 2.5 times more sub-bins than we had in the first case should bring considerably better accuracy. To make the number of possible sub-bin combinations suitable for the computing power at hand, we split the bin more finely than before. Possible sub-bin borders are now set at 4%, 10%, 17%, 24%, 31%, 38%, 45%, 52%, 59%, 66%, 73%, 80%, 84%, 88%, 92%, 96%, 98%, and 99%. This results in \( \binom{18}{9} = 48620 \) possible sub-bin combinations. To reduce the computation time we only considered a narrow wavelength range, namely from 3000 Å to 3600 Å. We chose this range because it is one of the trickiest spectral domains for ODF (see, e.g., Fig. 7).

The result using ten sub-bins are plotted in Fig. 7. The upper panel shows the optimal sub-bin combination for each bin. Compared to the upper panel of Fig. 6 it is seemingly random. The reason is the large number of sub-bin distributions considered for each bin, and typically there is more than one possible sub-bin combination that allows high accuracy. This is further confirmed in the lower panel. The blue line shows the performance of the single unique sub-bin combination, that is one sub-bin combination for all bins, with the lowest cumulative least squares error in all bins. The boundaries of the sub-bins was kept fixed at

---

**Fig. 6.** Results of the optimal sub-bin combination search with four sub-bins. Top panel: optimal configuration of four 10 Å bins as a function of wavelength for the FALC atmosphere model. The color-coding shows how sub-bins are distributed relative to the bin length (see text for details). Middle panel: ratio of ODF to detailed spectra using the best combination of sub-bins for each bin from the upper panel (blue). For comparison we also show accuracy using Kurucz sub-bins (orange). Bottom panel: ratio of ODF to detailed spectra using the best sub-bins for each bin from the upper panel applied to the FALP atmosphere model (blue) and using Kurucz sub-bins on the FALP atmosphere model (orange). The values of the ratio in the middle and bottom panels greater than one were mirrored over the abscissa y = 1.
4%, 10%, 17%, 38%, 52%, 66%, 80%, 84%, and 88%. The single unique sub-bin combination with the lowest cumulative least squares error in all bins performs better than Kurucz standard sub-bins plotted in green. This shows that our method of optimizing ODF can be tuned to provide very high accuracy but still provide a 20% speedup.

3.5. Different bin sizes

In previous sections we investigated how to speedup calculations by decreasing the number of sub-bins per bin to either four or ten sub-bins. Another possible approach is to keep a fixed number of sub-bins per bin but increase the bin size, thus the number of bins for a given wavelength range, although at the cost of lower spectral resolution. ODF performance using Kurucz sub-bins with different bin sizes is presented in Fig. 8.

Above ≈5200 Å, the accuracy of the calculations barely depends on the bin size. This is important for applications that deal with instruments observing in that regime, like Kepler or TESS, as it allows us to use broad bins. Below 5000 Å, however, an increase in the size of the bins leads to poorer accuracy. This is because the large number of UV lines cannot be sufficiently treated by such ODF. Knowing the behavior of ODF in larger bins is useful for calculations of spectra in, for example, the Kepler band. Treating such broadbands will be considered in the next section.

3.6. Fluxes through filters

Broadband filter systems (e.g., UBV photometric system) have been a staple of stellar astronomy for a long time. The interest in accurate calculations of fluxes through filters is being rekindled by the advent of planetary hunting missions like Kepler, TESS, PLATO, and others. In this section, we introduce a more efficient method for computing fluxes through filters employing ODF.

The filter is characterized by the transmission curve, which describes the fraction of the transmitted spectral flux as a function of wavelength. The direct way of calculating flux in a given filter is to use the detailed opacity, calculate the detailed flux \( \mathcal{F}_\lambda \), then multiply it with the transmission curve \( C_\lambda \), and finally integrate over wavelengths:

\[
\mathcal{F} = \int_{\lambda_L}^{\lambda_R} F_\lambda C_\lambda \, d\lambda,
\]

where the integral runs over the filter passband spanning from \( \lambda_L \) to \( \lambda_R \).

The high-resolution opacity must be computed to find \( F_\lambda \) so that such a direct approach has exactly the same disadvantages as calculating the detailed spectra. At the same time the application of the ODF is not straightforward since we cannot account for the filter wavelength dependence within the bin. In principle, this can be circumvented by making bins sufficiently small, which is not optimal because of the increase in computational time.

A way around this is to incorporate the effect of the transmission function into the wavelength grid before the ODF procedure is applied. Namely, by transforming the wavelength grid using the following substitution:

\[
\tilde{\lambda}(\lambda') = \int_{\lambda_L}^{\lambda'} C_\lambda \, d\lambda.
\]

Flux \( \mathcal{F} \) is then obtained by the integration over the new wavelength grid as

\[
\mathcal{F} = \int_{\tilde{\lambda}_L}^{\tilde{\lambda}_R} F_{\tilde{\lambda}} \, d\tilde{\lambda},
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda}_L = \tilde{\lambda}_L \) and \( \tilde{\lambda}_R = \lambda(\lambda_R) = \int_{\lambda_L}^{\lambda_R} C_\lambda \, d\lambda \).

The described wavelength transformation is schematically illustrated in Fig. 9. The height and width of each column represent the flux value and the corresponding wavelength range, respectively. Our method boils down to multiplying the column width with the corresponding value of the transmission curve (as in Eq. (4)), instead of multiplying the height (as in Eq. (2)). The main advantage of Eq. (4) is that, in contrast to Eq. (2), it does not explicitly contain the transmission curve, and consequently the ODF approach can be applied.

In our investigation, we applied a filter ODF approach to the exemplary case of the Strömgren \( b \) filter. The filter transmission curve is plotted in Fig. 10 and has its effective central wavelength at 4666 Å with FWHM 156 Å. For numerical reasons we only considered wavelengths where the filter transmission curve is above 1%, which is the interval from 4506 Å to
4836 Å, 330 Å in width. We treat the entire 4506–4836 Å range as one bin and search for the optimal configuration of sub-bins. The results are presented in Fig. 11. One can see that a very high level of accuracy is achievable with only four sub-bins. The best performing sub-bin distribution splits the bin at 80%, 92%, and 97% of bin size. We note that the accuracy of the filter ODF approach should be compared to the total contribution of lines (see Fig. 10), which, according to our calculations, stands at ≈15%.

The speedup we achieve by using four sub-bins compared to calculations using detailed opacity with a resolution of 80 points per Å is 330 × 80/4 ≈ 6600. To calculate the Strömgren b flux with standard Kurucz ODF setup, one needs 33 bins with 12 sub-bins, which results in 396 frequencies. Consequently, our approach gives us a speedup of roughly 100 times.

We note that in the ODF approach, the Planck function change within a bin is neglected. In the case of a relatively narrow Strömgren b filter, such a change can be neglected and thus the entire passband can be treated as one bin. This is not the case for broader filters used in planetary-hunting missions for instance. The application of the ODF approach on such filters will be considered in a forthcoming publication.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have studied the optimal ODF setup for fast and reliable spectral synthesis. We find that the main factor determining the accuracy longward of 4500 Å is the width of the last sub-bin (i.e., the sub-bin representing the strongest opacities within a given wavelength bin), at least for solar spectra. This important finding implies that two sub-bins return reasonably accurate results at these wavelengths for the Sun.

Furthermore, the importance of smaller last sub-bins allowed us to optimize the ODF for the cases where speed of calculations is the main concern. A speedup of three times compared to the standard method by Kurucz was achieved by using four non-uniform sub-bins. In addition to the speedup, our method matches the accuracy of Kurucz longward of 4500 Å and maintains a comparable level of accuracy shortward.

For cases where accuracy is the main concern, such as shortward of 4500 Å, we find that 10 non-uniform sub-bins are enough to reach a considerably higher level of accuracy compared to the standard sub-bins by Kurucz. That is two sub-bins fewer for calculations with the model of the quiet Sun atmosphere.

We also generalized our method for calculations of fluxes through filters typically used for stellar observations. The main idea is to scale the wavelength grid of the detailed opacity by the chosen filter transmission curve before the ODF procedure is applied to the changed grid. It is interesting to note that detailed opacity values are not modified in any way, but the grid over which these opacities are averaged is changed. As an example, this procedure allows the whole Strömgren b filter to be accurately represented by using only 4 sub-bins. So instead of having many bins for the whole filter which contain 12 sub-bins each, we achieve the same result with just four, resulting in a speedup of about two orders of magnitude. The accuracy achieved is better than 0.1%.
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