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Nanostructured, uncharged liquid-crystalline (LC) electrolyte molecules having bicyclohexyl and cyclic carbonate moieties have been developed for application in Li-ion batteries as quasi-solid electrolytes, which suppress leakage and combustion. Towards the development of safe and high performance Li-ion batteries, we have designed Li-ion conductive LC materials with high oxidation resistance using density functional theory (DFT) calculation. The DFT calculation suggests that a mesogen with a bicyclohexyl moiety is suitable for the high-oxidation-resistance LC electrolytes compared to a mesogen containing phenylene moieties. A tri(oxyethylene) chain introduced between the cyclic carbonate and the bicyclohexyl moiety in the core part tunes the viscosity and the miscibility with Li salts. The designed Li-ion conductive LC molecules exhibit smectic LC phases over a wide temperature range, and they are miscible with added lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) salt up to 5 : 5 in molar ratio in their smectic phases. The resulting LC mixtures with LiTFSI show oxidation resistance above 4.0 V vs. Li/ Li+ in cyclic voltammetry measurements. The enhanced oxidation resistance improves the performance of Li half-cells containing LC electrolytes.

Introduction

Intensive studies have focused on ion-transport solid and quasi-solid organic materials towards the application particularly in energy-related materials for Li-ion batteries,1–15 solar cells,16–19 and fuel cells20–24 because solid organic materials have lightweight, easy processing, and film-forming properties. These properties are advantageous for versatile large-scale applications, especially for portable and flexible electronics. Recently, ion-transport materials based on liquid crystals have attracted much attention8–10 as organic-based quasi-solid electrolytes that can reduce inherent risks associated with liquid organic electrolytes, for example, leakage, evaporation and combustion. Furthermore, solid and quasi-solid electrolytes suppress dendrite formation that causes short circuiting during charge–discharge reactions.25,26 We have designed liquid-crystalline (LC) molecules having high miscibility with ionic salts and ion conductive properties for use as quasi-solid electrolytes in dye-sensitized solar cells26 and Li-ion batteries.8–10

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of Li-ion batteries containing LC electrolytes with ionic conductivity and high oxidation resistance.
Nanosegregation between polar and non-polar mesogenic moieties provide efficient ion-conductive pathways in the LC nanostructures as well as high boiling point and desired viscosities owing to molecular interactions (Fig. 1). The viscosity and ionic conductivity of LC electrolytes can be improved with maintaining LC nanostructures through the supramolecular approaches. The tuneable quasi-solid features of these molecular assemblies with high viscosities and dynamic ion-conductive moieties afford both high durability and high performance so that LC electrolytes may be used in energy- and environmental-related applications.

Our approach here is to enhance the oxidation resistance of LC electrolytes towards high-voltage-operation Li-ion batteries. The operation voltage of Li-ion batteries is an important factor to determine specific energy and power densities. Because advanced Li-ion batteries require electrochemical stability in the potential range of 0–5.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺ for full charge–discharge of positive and negative electrode materials, improvement of the oxidation resistance of electrolytes in Li-ion batteries have been studied. Our previous molecular design for LC electrolytes in Li-ion batteries was not sufficient in terms of electrochemical stability.

In the present study, LC molecules 1 and 2 with high electrochemical stability have been designed from a point of view of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level calculated using density functional theory (DFT) (Fig. 2). With the respect to the HOMO level, the bicyclohexyl moiety is expected to be an electrochemically stable mesogenic moiety, which induces LC phases over a wide range of temperatures. The performance of Li-ion batteries using LC mixtures of uncharged molecules of 1 and 2, and added lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) salt was examined by assembling half-cells composed of Li metal and positive electrode materials (Fig. 1). Layered metal oxides were used as positive electrode materials to examine the effects of high-oxidation-resistance of LC electrolyte mixtures on the performance of Li-ion batteries (Fig. 1).

Results and discussion

Uncharged LC molecules 1 and 2 based on a bicyclohexyl moiety were designed and synthesized (Fig. 2a and b). Their HOMO structures were calculated using DFT in order to compare with that of the LC electrolyte with a phenyl cyclohexyl mesogen 3 (Fig. 2c). The HOMO structure of LC molecule 3 was previously studied for application to Li-ion batteries. It is localized at an oxygen atom in a phenoxy group, suggesting that this part has a relatively low oxidation resistivity (Fig. 2c). The HOMO level is reduced by the introduction of a bicyclohexyl moiety and removal of the ether oxygen. The oxidation resistance of bicyclohexyl-based LC molecules was confirmed with cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements of the LC mixture with LiTFSI at the molar ratio of 8:2 (Fig. 3). The bicyclohexyl-based molecule 1 was stable above 4.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺, while the cyclohexyl/phenyl-based LC electrolyte electrochemically decomposed at 4.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺. DFT calculation and CV measurements suggested that the a bicyclohexyl mesogen is suitable for the application in high-voltage-operation Li-ion batteries as quasi-solid electrolytes.

The LC properties of compounds 1 and 2 were examined using polarizing optical microscopy (POM), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Fig. 4). Compounds 1 and 2 showed POM textures characteristic of smectic phases (Fig. a and b). The dark POM image shown in Fig. 4a and the conoscopic image of a cross extinction (inset of Fig. 4a) suggest homeotropic alignment of the smectic phase.

![Fig. 2](a and b) Molecular structures and HOMO levels of molecules 1 and 2 in the present study. (c) Compound 3, which was a previously developed LC molecule for the application of electrolytes in Li-ion batteries was shown for reference.

![Fig. 3](Cyclic voltammograms of the LC electrolytes containing LiTFSI, recorded in the voltage region of –0.5–4.9 V vs. Li/Li⁺ for Li/1TFSI and –0.5–4.2 V vs. Li/Li⁺ for 3/LiTFSI, respectively. Enlarged curves in the high voltage region are shown at the right part. Arrows in the right part indicate the sweep direction of cyclic voltammograms.)
DSC curves show that both compounds 1 and 2 exhibit the smectic phases over a wide temperature range (Fig. 4c and d). The isotropization temperature of 1 was lower than that of 2. The XRD patterns show that lamellar structures were formed in LC states of 1 and 2 (Fig. 4e and f). The interlayer spacings of lamellar structures of 1 and 2 was calculated to be 34.3 and 49.8 Å, respectively. The calculated value of the interlayer space of 1 was similar to the molecular length estimated with molecular mechanics (MM) simulation, suggesting the formation of a monolayer structure. For compound 2, the calculated interlayer spacing was larger about twice than its modelled molecular length, similar to the case of compound 3 bearing cyclohexylphenyl and cyclic carbonate moieties that showed bilayer smectic phases. The XRD peaks around 18° suggest ordering along the short-axis direction of molecules through the interaction between bicyclohexyl moieties. For compound 1, because the distance in the short-axis direction was determined to be 4.9 Å, the LC phase was assigned to a smectic B phase.

Miscibility for the LC molecules 1 and 2 with added LiTFSI was examined with DSC and XRD (Fig. S1 and S2†). Compound 1 and LiTFSI were miscible up to a mole fraction of 0.5 for LiTFSI (Fig. 5a). The mixture of 1/LiTFSI showed smectic phases in a wide range of temperatures, including room temperature (Fig. 5a). In the case of compound 2, additional peaks were observed with the addition of LiTFSI in the XRD measurements, suggesting phase separation (Fig. S3 and S4†). The bicyclohexyl moiety bearing alkyl chains induced well-packed structures of molecule 2, which disturbed to incorporate LiTFSI due to their self-assembled ordered structures. Compound 2 and the mixture of 2/LiTFSI showed crystalline phases at room temperature (Fig. 5b). The crystal–smectic transition temperature decreased as the fraction of LiTFSI increased in the mixture.

The ionic conductivity of the LC mixture with LiTFSI was measured with an alternative current impedance method using comb-shaped gold electrodes deposited on a glass substrate. The size change of semicircles in Nyquist plots obtained with alternative current impedance measurements at various temperatures is attributed to temperature dependence of the ionic conductivity of the LC mixtures (Fig. S5†). These results suggest that the ionic conductivity of the LC mixtures increases approximately linearly with increasing temperature. Fig. 6 shows the comparison of ionic conductivities of the LC mixtures of 1–3 with LiTFSI. The LC mixtures of 1 and 2 for evaluation as electrolytes in Li-ion batteries were prepared with molar fractions of 80 : 20 and 85 : 15, respectively. These mixtures showed higher ion conductivity values at room temperature than those of other mixtures with different molar composition ratios (Fig. S6†). The LC mixture of 1/LiTFSI showed comparable ionic conductivities to 3/LiTFSI (Fig. 6). For the LC mixture of 2/LiTFSI, its conductivity values were ten times smaller than those of the LC mixtures of 1/LiTFSI and 3/LiTFSI. The ionic conductivities varied with the amount of LiTFSI in the LC mixture of 1 and 2. Increase of volume of ionic moieties and flexibility by the introduction of a tri(oxyethylene) chain cancelled out the effects of the bicyclohexyl moiety decreasing ionic conductivities by the well-packed self-assembled...
structures. These results suggest that 1/LiTFSI mixtures are suitable more than 2/LiTFSI mixture as electrolytes in Li-ion batteries.

The performance of Li-ion batteries using 1/LiTFSI LC mixture was evaluated with a half-cell composed of positive active electrode materials and Li metal. Positive electrode materials were obtained by coating of the slurry containing an active material, a conductive additive and a binder, on an aluminium foil. The thickness of positive electrode materials was estimated to be 20 μm with SEM observation (Fig. S7†).

The half-cell composed of LiFePO₄ and Li metal with the LC mixture of 1 was operated at 60 and 80 °C. Low performance of the half-cells was observed at 60 °C with the current rate of 5 mA g⁻¹ (Fig. S8†). Because of stable packing of the bicyclohexyl moiety,⁴ the LC electrolyte based on 1 requires higher temperature for the operation than that for LC electrolyte of 3/LiTFSI.⁵ At 80 °C, the half-cell containing the LC mixture electrolyte of 1/LiTFSI delivered 150 mA h g⁻¹, which was close to the theoretical capacity of LiFePO₄ (170 mA h g⁻¹) (Fig. 7a). Significant decrease of the specific capacity was not observed within 30 cycles, suggesting that the 1/LiTFSI was a stable Li-ion electrolyte in the range of 3.8–2.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺. It is noteworthy that the coulombic efficiency of the half-cell containing the LC electrolyte of 1/LiTFSI was improved to ca. 95% (Fig. 7b), which is higher than that in the case using the LC electrolytes of 3/LiTFSI. These results indicate that high oxidation resistance of 1 suppressed undesired side reactions during charge–discharge reaction, resulting in high coulombic efficiency. Using the LC mixtures of 2/LiTFSI, half-cells cannot be operated because of its high viscosity and low ionic conductivity, which cause the inhibition of Li-ion transport between electrolytes and active materials.

The performance of Li half-cells containing LC electrolyte mixtures of 1/LiTFSI was evaluated using moderate- or high-voltage-operating positive electrode materials, LiCoO₂, LiNi₀.₃₃Co₀.₃₃Mn₀.₃₃O₂, LiNi₀.₅Co₀.₂Mn₀.₃O₂ and LiNi₀.₈₁₅Co₀.₁₅Al₀.₀₃₅O₂. For positive electrode materials of LiCoO₂, LiNi₀.₃₃Co₀.₃₃Mn₀.₃₃O₂, LiNi₀.₅Co₀.₂Mn₀.₃O₂, LiNi₀.₈₁₅Co₀.₁₅Al₀.₀₃₅O₂, the Li half-cells containing 1/LiTFSI exhibited a decrease in performance when using the LC electrolyte.
Conclusions

LC electrolytes with high-oxidation-resistance were designed using DFT calculation of HOMO levels. Uncharged LC molecule 1, composed of a bicyclohexyl moiety and a tri(oxyethylene) chain, was miscible with LiTFSI and the mixtures of 1/LiTFSI showed the smectic B phase in a wide range of temperatures including ambient temperature. The mesogens containing a bicyclohexyl moiety provides high-oxidation-resistance to the LC electrolyte mixtures, leading to a good cyclability and higher coulombic efficiency of a LiFePO4/LC electrolyte/Li half-cell. Moreover, the improved oxidation resistance resistivity enabled the electrochemically reversible Li-ion insertion/extraction of LiNi0.815Co0.15Al0.035O2 in the voltage range of 2.5–4.3 V vs. Li/Li+. The synthesis of LC molecules with high-oxidation-resistance based on DFT calculation opens up the possibility of using LC electrolyte materials in high-performance Li-ion batteries. Charge–discharge tests using the LC electrolytes with high oxidation resistance clarified requirements for high-voltage operation, less reactivity of active materials at intermediate valence states and low viscous feature filling gaps between particles.

Experimental section

Materials

All the chemicals were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan), Sigma-Aldrich Corporation. (St Louis, MO, USA), Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan), and used without further purification. Synthetic procedures of intermediates for LC electrolyte molecules, 2-(2-(2-(trans-trans)-4’-pentyl-[1,1’-bi(cyclohexan)-4’yl]ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-ol and 6-(trans-trans-4’-pentyl-bicyclohexyl)[hexyl-1,3-dioxolane-2,2-dimethyl are described in the ESL†

Synthesis of LC electrolyte molecules

Synthesis of 4-(13-(trans-trans)-4’-pentyl-[1,1’-bi(cyclohexan)-4’-yl)-2,5,8,11-tetraoxatridecyl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (1). To a mixture of 2-(2-(2-(trans)-4’-pentyl-[1,1’-bi(cyclohexan)-4’yl]ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-ol (0.423 g, 1.03 mmol), tetrabutylammonium hydrogensulfate (0.041 g, 0.416 mmol) and sodium hydroxide (0.504 g, 12.6 mmol) was added 2-(chloromethyl)oxirane (3 mL) at 0 °C, and the suspension was stirred for 3 h at 55 °C. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered. The filtrate was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting product was dissolved in 20 mL CH2Cl2 and tribromoacetic acid (1.48 g, 4.99 mmol) was added. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Then, 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-7-undecene (DBU) (1.59 g, 10.4 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for additional 2 h at room temperature. Saturated aq. NaHCO3 was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with CH2Cl2. The organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO3 aq. and brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (elucent: dichloromethane/ethyl acetate = 80/20 and hexane/ethyl acetate = 30/70 (v/v)) to afford 1 as a white solid (0.235 g, 0.458 mmol, 45% in 3 steps).
(400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.84–4.78 (m, 1H), 4.51–4.40 (m, 2H), 3.78–3.68 (m, 4H), 3.67–3.61 (m, 8H), 3.58–3.56 (m, 2H), 3.48 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.75–1.67 (m, 8H), 1.46 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.33–1.18 (m, 7H), 1.16–1.09 (m, 3H), 0.98–0.79 (m, 13H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 154.9, 75.0, 71.3, 70.6, 70.6, 70.2, 70.0, 69.5, 66.3, 43.4, 43.3, 37.9, 37.4, 37.0, 34.8, 33.6, 33.6, 32.2, 30.1, 29.9, 26.6, 22.7, 14.1. MS [MALDI-TOF]: calculated for [M + Na]⁺, 535.36; found: 535.26. Elemental analysis (calculated) (%) for C₁₃H₂₀O₇: C, 67.93; H, 10.22; found: C, 67.74; H, 10.27.

Synthesis of 6-(trans,trans-4’-pentyl-bicyclohexyl)hexyl-1,3-dioxolane-2-one (2). A solution of 6-(trans,trans-4’-pentyl-bicyclohexyl)hexyl-1,3-dioxolane-2,2-dimethyl (0.92 g, 2.19 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonic acid (1 mg) in THF was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, then washed with brine. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The crude residue was dissolved diethyl carbonate (20 mL) without further purification. Potassium fluoride (40% on alumina, 0.50 g) was added to the reaction solution. The reaction solution was refluxed for 9 h under an argon atmosphere. After evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane-ethyl acetate (85 : 15 (v/v)) as the eluent to afford the compound as white solid (0.50 g, 56% yield). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.70 (qd, J = 7.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 8.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.63–1.75 (m, 10H), 1.22–1.51 (m, 13H), 1.11–1.16 (m, 6H), 0.79–0.98 (m, 14H). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.05, 69.36, 43.48, 37.92, 37.86, 37.47, 37.39, 33.89, 33.66, 33.22, 30.09, 30.06, 29.65, 29.55, 29.15, 26.76, 26.66, 24.36, 22.70, 14.10. MS [MALDI-TOF]: calculated for [M + Na]⁺, 429.33; found: 429.06. Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₃H₁₈O₃: C, 76.79; H, 11.40; found: C, 76.74; H, 11.64.

Electrochemical measurements

Preparation of LC mixture with LiTFSI. The Li-ion conductive LC electrolyte mixtures were prepared by dissolving the LC molecules and LiTFSI with appropriate ratios in THF followed slow evaporation of the solvent at 80 °C under reduced pressure.

Ion-conductivity measurements

Ionic conductivities were measured by the alternating current impedance method with an impedance/gain-phase analyser (Solartron 1260, Hampshire, UK). The LC mixtures with LiTFSI were mounted on comb-shaped gold electrodes, which was covered with a glass plate with SiO₂ microparticle spacers (16 μm). Cole–Cole plots were obtained with an applied voltage of 0.3 V in the frequency range from 100 Hz to 1 MHz under temperature control with a hot stage. Ion conductivities were calculated to be the product of 1/R (Ω⁻¹) times cell constants (cm⁻¹) for comb-shaped gold electrodes, which were calibrated with the HΙ033L 84 μs cm⁻¹ conductivity standard obtained from Hanna Instruments.

Cyclic voltammetry

CR2032-type coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox using a stainless-steel plate (SUS316L) as the working electrode and Li metal as the counter and reference electrodes. A porous polypropylene film with 25 μm thickness was used as a separator, which was filled with the LC electrolyte mixtures. The electrolytes were spread on the separators prior to the assembly of the cells. The assembled cells were placed in an oven at 120 °C for 15 min to allow the separators to soak up the LC electrolyte mixtures. CV curves were recorded with a Biologic VMP3 multichannel potentiostat (Vaucanson, France) within the potential range of −0.5–4.9 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at a scan rate of 0.025 mV s⁻¹ at 60 or 80 °C.

Charge–discharge experiments

The powder of LiFePO₄ (SLFP-P730, Tianjin STL Energy Technology Co., Ltd., Tianjin, China) as the active material, carbon black (Super P-Li, Imerys Graphite & Carbon, Bironico, Switzerland) as a conductive additive, and poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF#1300, Kureha Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) as a binder were mixed with in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone with an ultrasonic homogenizer (UH-50, SMT Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to obtain the slurry of the electrode materials. The weight ratio of the solid materials was 65 : 30 : 5. The slurry of other positive electrode materials, LiCoO₂ (EQ-Lib-LCO, MTI Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan), LiNi₀.₃₃Co₀.₃₃Mn₀.₃₃O₂ (EQ-Lib-LNCM111, MTI Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan), LiNi₀.₃₃Co₀.₃₃Mn₀.₃₃O₂ (EQ-Lib-LNCM523, MTI Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan), and LiNi₀.₈₁₅Co₀.₀₁₅Al₀.₃₁₅O₂ (EQ-Lib-LNCA810, MTI Cooperation, Tokyo, Japan) were obtained in the similar manner with weight ratios of 60, 30, and 10, respectively. The positive electrodes were prepared by spreading the slurries containing active materials onto an aluminium foil as a current collector, then dried at 100 °C in a vacuum oven over 90 min. CR2032-type coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box using prepared electrodes as a working electrode, Li metal as negative and reference electrodes, and a porous polypropylene film with 25 μm thickness infiltrated with the LC electrolyte mixture as a separator. Galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of the electrode materials were recorded with a Hokuto Denko galvanostat in the appropriate potential ranges. The specific current and capacity were calculated on the basis of the weight of the active materials.

Characterization

The phase-transition behaviour of the LC compounds was observed with DSC (DSC204 Phoenix calorimeter, NETZSCH Gerätebau GmbH, Selb, Germany) with ramp rates of 10 K min⁻¹, and POM (BXS1, Olympus Co., Tokyo, Japan, with a heating stage (LTS 350, Linkam Scientific Instruments, Ltd., UK)). XRD patterns were recorded with a RINT-2500 diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) using a Cu Kα source. HOMO levels of molecules were calculated by DFT using Wavefunction Spartan 2010 software. Ground-state geometries were optimised at the Becke’s three-parameter hybrid function using the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) level with the 6-31G(d) basis set. For the estimation of molecular lengths, the molecules were minimised to their ground states with MM under a universal force field.
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