HIP ARTHROSCOPY: RESIDUAL CAM DEFORMITY COMBINED WITH LOOSE BONY FRAGMENT IN HIP CAPSULE
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SUMMARY – Hip arthroscopy is a minimally invasive, effective and innovative orthopedic procedure with a relatively low rate of complications. In our patient, residual cam deformity and a bone fragment that remained in the front hip capsule after hip arthroscopy performed three years before caused thigh numbness, muscle fasciculations, and paresthesia. It was assumed that the loose bony fragment remained following burring on prior procedure. During hip flexion, neural structures were compressed and caused the mentioned symptoms. Revision hip arthroscopy was performed and the loose fragment in addition to residual cam deformity was removed. Resolution of pain and anterior thigh numbness was reported after the revision surgery.
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Introduction

Hip arthroscopy is used as a diagnostic and therapeutic method in the treatment of various intra-articular and extra-articular hip conditions. As the procedure is technically quite demanding, it is connected with a higher complication rate compared to arthroscopy of other human joints1,2. The most common causes for hip arthroscopy revision are labral tears and femoroacetabular impingement (FAI)3. There was no presentation of hip arthroscopy revision due to loose bony fragment in the anterior hip capsule.

A revision hip arthroscopy due to residual cam lesion combined with loose bony fragment in the anterior hip capsule causing limited range of motion, pain, muscle fasciculations and numbness in the thigh is reported.

Case Report

A 22-year-old man with a history of right-side hip pain was examined in February 2021. The patient underwent arthroscopic resection of cam lesion of the right hip at another institution three years before. Due to increased pain in the operated hip, multiple courses of physical therapy and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were prescribed to the patient. As the effort failed to reduce the symptoms, he became anxious and depressed. His primary care provider pre-
scribed him antidepressants and referred him to our department.

An analgesic gait was present on his arrival. The pain was getting worse after long sitting. There was no history of trauma following the surgery. On clinical examination, paresthesia over anterior thigh and fasciculation of quadriceps muscle were revealed on deep palpation over anterior capsule of the affected hip. Flexion of the hip was limited to 90° and internal rotation to 10° due to strong pain. Initial plain radiographs, anteroposterior and lateral frog view suggested residual anterolateral cam type of impingement and a small bone fragment (Fig. 1).

Computed tomography (CT) scan demonstrated findings of a sclerotic zone in the lateral part of the right femur head and a small bone fragment was noticed in the anterior part of the hip joint on three-dimensional reconstruction scan of the pelvis (Fig. 2).

Due to suspected osteoid osteoma (OO), a tumor of the femoral neck, the patient was first referred to invasive radiologist for CT guided biopsy analysis of the bone, followed by radiofrequency ablation therapy (RFA). Biopsy results excluded the presence of the OO.

Following the RFA procedure, the pain decreased for a few days, probably due to local anesthetic that was used, and thereafter the pain steadily increased. Because of the limited range of motion and residual strong pain in the anterior part of the right hip, the patient opted for revision hip arthroscopy.

The procedure was performed in October 2021 in supine position, according to the procedure described by Thaunat et al.4. The anterior and anterolateral portals with standard length arthroscope were used, without traction of the hip. After debridement of abundant fibrosis tissue in the hip joint, a small loose bone fragment in the anterior hip capsule was noticed. While using electrocautery for the loose fragment debridement, fasciculations of the quadriceps muscle occurred. Electrocautery debridement was immediately converted to debridement without electrostimulation using arthroscopic hook and shaver. Bone fragment was separated from the anterior capsule and extracted from the joint. A cam lesion was identified in the anterosu-
perior and posterolateral region of the head. The prominent head-neck cam lesion was removed with the arthroscopic burr (Fig. 3).

Considerable resolution of pain and loss of anterior thigh numbness on palpation was reported on the first day after the revision surgery. The patient was discharged on the second postoperative day with recommendation to take indomethacin 25 mg orally twice a day for 3 weeks and low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) once daily subcutaneously for 4 weeks. Moreover, the patient was advised protection from weight bearing for 4 weeks. On the first follow up, two weeks after the surgery, during hip flexion to 90°, numbness and fasciculations of the quadriceps muscle were completely absent.

At the two-month follow up, the pain subsided, as rated on the visual analog scale (VAS). On clinical examination, the FABER test was positive. On the other hand, there were no fasciculations of the quadriceps muscle, FADIR test was negative, and palpation of the thigh was painless.

**Discussion**

A bone fragment remaining in the front part of a hip after hip arthroscopy caused thigh numbness and paresthesia. The complication was resolved by revision hip arthroscopy which, in addition to cam bump resection, removed the bone fragment from the capsule. In our case report, we assume that the loose bony frag-
ment was a complication of burring on the previous procedure. During hip flexion, femoral nerve was compressed and consequently caused thigh paresthesia. One of the options we considered as a cause was heterotopic ossification (HO). In their meta-analysis of 6334 hips from 92 studies, Harris et al. report that the complication rate after hip arthroscopy was 7.5% of minor complications and 0.58% of major complications. The most common complication was associated with iatrogenic chondrolabral injury. More than 400 reoperations were performed after hip arthroscopy, of which 30% were arthroscopic. The most common cause for arthroscopic reoperation was loose body removal and lysis of adhesions. Sampson found 6.4% complication rate in 530 cases among several experienced surgeons and those reported in the literature, yet none of the complications described fits our case.

Kowalczyk et al. report a complication rate of 4.0%, having reviewed 66 studies. Notably, in more than 10 cases, there was a bony fragment causing FAI.

Nakano et al. in their meta-analysis of 36761 hips report that 1221 complications occurred during or after hip arthroscopy, yielding an overall complication rate of 3.3% with major complication rate of 0.16%. The most commonly reported complication was neurapraxia, followed by iatrogenic chondrolabral injury. In

Fig. 3. Hip arthroscopy procedure intraoperative photos: (a) residual cam deformity before debridement; (b) debrided cam deformity; (c) intracapsular loose bony fragment before mobilization; (d) mobilized loose bony fragment before extraction.
addition, 2.9% of complications were due to loose or foreign fragments.

Randelli et al. reviewed 300 cases of treated by hip arthroscopy and found five cases of HO (1.6%). Byrd and Jones found HO of the articular capsule in one of 207 patients having undergone arthroscopic procedure. Prophylaxis against HO in patients who underwent hip arthroscopy can come in a variety of forms; however, the most common is NSAID therapy. RFA can also be used. Considering that, our patient was administered NSAIDs. Beckman et al. prospectively evaluated the effect of NSAIDs in preventing HO after hip arthroscopy and found that the incidence of HO in cases in which patient did not receive NSAID prophylaxis was 25% (23/92), compared to 5.6% (11/196) of cases in which patient received NSAIDs. The authors conclude that routine NSAID prevention reduces but does not limit the incidence of HO in patients undergoing hip arthroscopy. As known from medical history, our patient did not receive NSAID prophylaxis after the primary hip arthroscopy procedure.

Nerve injury during hip arthroscopy is a well-documented complication. Most of them are minor complications. Previous literature suggests a wide range of incidence from 0.5% to 5% [12]. In their prospective study, Kern et al. report that 13% of 100 patients had a nerve injury. Specific nerve injury included the pudendal, lateral femoral cutaneous, sciatic and superficial peroneal nerve [8,13-15]. All of the cases resolved spontaneously. We found no case in the literature with 3-year postoperative numbness. Nerve injuries are mostly associated with traction time during the procedure. Telleria et al. studied the prevalence of sciatic nerve injury during hip arthroscopy using intraoperative nerve monitoring in 60 patients. They found intraoperative nerve deficit in 58% of patients and postoperative clinically evident sciatic nerve injury in 7% of patients. The average traction time of 32 minutes was responsible for the onset of sciatic nerve injury [16]. Nevertheless, when the portals are placed more medially, there is a risk for the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve and its branches to be injured [17,18]. At a venture, only one femoral palsy has been reported in the literature [19].

Several studies have shown that FAI (in 74.8%) and insufficient resection are the main reasons for revision arthroscopy of the hip [17,20,21]. The patient presented in this report had residual cam deformity that might contributed to the limited range of motion and pain on hip flexion. However, we are not aware that cam deformity can cause paresthesia and numbness of the thigh, as in our case. Being in the hip for the second time, the remaining cam deformity was removed, and one could speculate that resection decreased paresthesia and numbness as well.

Limitations of this study include the lack of electromyoneurography confirmation of the femoral nerve irritation and lack of follow up magnetic resonance imaging scan that would visualize the relationship between the bone fragment and femoral nerve. However, considering the patient’s symptoms prior to revision hip arthroscopy, intraoperative quadriceps muscle fasciculations occurring when electrocautery was used for the loose fragment debridement and loss of neurological symptoms following revision hip arthroscopy, we are convinced that the femoral nerve irritation was the cause of problems in the patient.

Conclusion

When performing hip arthroscopy, a surgeon has to be careful to remove bone fragments completely from the patient’s body, i.e., not to leave them within or outside the joint capsule, as they can irritate the nearby nerve. In case of paresthesia in the front part of a thigh following hip arthroscopy, one should seek for the remaining bone fragment that might cause nerve irritation. Revision hip arthroscopy can provide a solution for patients with symptomatic residual cam deformity and/or unexplainable paresthesia of the thigh.
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Sažetak

ARTROSKOPIJA KUKA: OSTATNA CAM DEFORMACIJA U KOMBINACIJI S LABAVIM KOŠTANIM FRAGMENTOM U ČAHURI KUKA

T. Čengić, D. Jurković, H. Hajsek, T. Smoljanović, L. Novosel, K. Rotim i D. Delimar

Artroskopija kuka je minimalno invazivni, učinkovit i inovativni ortopedski zahvat s niskim rizikom od komplikacija. Kod našeg bolesnika ostatna cam lezija i fragment kosti koji je zaostao u prednjem dijelu čahure kuka nakon artroskopije kuka izvedene tri godine ranije izazvao je utrnulost natkoljenice, fascikulacije mišića i parestezije. Pretpostavljamo da je koštani ulomak zaostao kao komplikacija brušenja kosti u prethodnom zahvatu. Prilikom fleksije kuka komprimirao je živčane strukture i posljedično izazivao spomenute simptome. Komplikacija je riješena revizijskom artroskopijom i uklanjanjem slobodnog fragmenta. Nakon revizijske operacije došlo je do smanjenja boli i prestanka utrnulosti prednjeg dijela bedra u našeg bolesnika.

Ključne riječi: Artroskopija kuka; Artroskopija; Lezija cam; Slobodni fragment; Utrnulost bedra; Fascikulacije mišića; Parestezije