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Abstract

Nowadays, the evolution of internet and use of computer systems has resulted in huge electronic transformation of data which experienced multiple problems such security, privacy and confidentiality of information. A significant progress has been made in term of improving computer systems security. However, security, privacy and confidentiality of electronic systems are potentially major problems in computer systems. In this paper, we presented a survey on intrusion detection systems (IDS) in several areas. It consist of Web Application, Cloud Environment, Internet of Things (IoT), Mobile Ad-Hoc Network (MANET), Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) and Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP).

1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, the evolution of internet and use of computer systems has resulted in huge electronic transformation of data which experienced multiple problems such security, privacy and confidentiality of information. A significant progress has been made in term of improving computer systems security. However, security, privacy and confidentiality of electronic systems are potentially major problems in computer systems. In fact, no system currently available in the world is 100% secure.

A computer network is a set of computers connected together for the purpose of sharing resources. A network attack can be perpetrated by an insider or by an outsider. In the “inside attack”, the attack is initiated by an entity inside the security perimeter, the person who has complete authorization involves in the vulnerable activities, that is, the attacker tries to access some system resources for which he is not having the authorization. It is very tough to find out this type of persons.

An "outside attack" is initiated from the outside, that is by an unauthorized or illegitimate user of the system. In the Internet, the outside attackers may be amateur pranksters or organized criminals or international terrorists or even hostile governments. A computer network consists of two components namely hardware and software. Both of these components may have their own risks and vulnerabilities.

Hardware threats are easy to detect and also it cause harm only to the device rather than the data. The Hardware threats are of four types: Physical, Electrical, Environmental and Maintenance. If the attack is in software, mainly it harms the data. Previously, only the persons with high programming skills were involved in writing of hacking programs. But now, a person who has a little knowledge of programming may become a hacker just by downloading hacking tools from the internet.

Attack scenarios. Basically, if a new signature is found on the database of signatures, then the behavior will be Vulnerabilities in most computer systems. And, it can be exploited by either non authorized or authorized users. Having said that, several tools are being designed and implemented for a variety of exploitations in diverse range of security attacks. Among these tools is the intrusion detection systems (IDS) which allow us to monitor a range of
computer systems: an information system, a network or a cloud computing. These IDS detect intrusions and defined as attempts to break the security objectives such as confidentiality, integrity and availability and nonrepudiation. considered as an attack [1, 2].

An attack may be an active or passive attack. In “Active attack”, the attacker will undergo some actions which may alter the system resources like breaking or bypassing the secured systems. Mostly it results in revealing sensitive information, modification of data or the maximum, loss of data completely. Trojan horses, viruses, worms, inserting malicious code, penetrating network data, stealing login information are some of the examples for the active attack. This type of attack is very harmful to the system. The types of active attacks are: Masquerade, Session Replay, Modification of message and Denial of service.

A “Passive attack” tries to know or to use some important information but it does not affect the system resources. In this type of attack, the attacker uses some sniffer tool and waits to capture some sensitive information which can be applied for some other attacks. Traffic analysis software, packet sniffer tools, filtering the passwords are some of the passive attacks.

Intrusion Detection can be defined as "the act of detecting actions that attempt to compromise the confidentiality, integrity or availability of a resource”. An IDS is a device or software application that monitors a network or systems for malicious activity or policy violation[3]. Any detected activity or violation is reported either to an administrator or it will be collected centrally using a Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system.

This SIEM combines all the outputs from multiple sources, and uses alarm filtering techniques to distinguish malicious activity from false alarms. Though the Firewalls and IDS both relate to network security, an IDS differs from a firewall in that a firewall looks outwardly for intrusions in order to stop them from happening. Firewall limits access between networks to prevent intrusion. IDS can be classified based on where detection takes place (network or host) and the detection method that is employed[4][5].

2. TYPE OF IDS

2.1 Anomaly Recognition

Alongside the recognition method is to deliver a profile for every gathering of the clients in framework. These profiles can be produced consequently or physically both. Gradual instructions to make these profiles are not essential if these profiles are displaying the elements precisely for every gathering of the client over the system. These sort of profiles are used as the benchmark to show ordinary client's activity. In an event that any action of the system may contrast from this given gauge, then the movement deliver a caution [6, 7].

![Fig 1 Anomaly Recognition](image)
The inconsistency recognition frameworks may give few benefits. Towards the starting, anomaly IDS can recognize insider-assaults or record burglary effortlessly. On the off chance that, genuine client or any other person is expending the stolen-account, begins doing the activities that are outer to the ordinary profile of the client, it then delivers a caution. After that, since framework depends on redid profiles, along these lines it is troublesome for assailant to acknowledge with affirmation, what activity can be stolen out without setting-away caution. Evidently significant advantage of meddling activity is not in view of particular kind of movement that displays the notable meddling activity as inside the mark subordinate IDS [6, 7].

2.2 Misuse Recognition

Another real classification of IDS-activating is alluding as misuse recognition. The misuse recognition in Fig 3 is likewise alluded as the mark based-identification consider figure to be alerts are delivered in view of certain assault marks [8, 9].

Fig 2 Misuse Recognition

These assault marks comprise of particular activity or movement that depends on known meddling activity. The misuse discovery permits many advantages. One of them is the mark definitions which are created on known meddling activity. Furthermore, the client can manage the mark database, and investigate that misuse recognition framework is customized for meddling action [8]. Last legitimacy is that the framework is effectively learned. On the off chance the client can relate specifically to certain sort of activity over the system [9].

2.3 Location Based IDS

A. Host Based IDS

Host-based IDS Fig 4 shows the checking of framework which looks for data at nearby host or the working framework. This might be accomplished by a confounded framework which decides the correct framework call or it might be straightforward, for example, essentially inspecting framework log documents [10, 11].
Few of these methodologies may precisely hold the assaults before they may succeed, while the others just provide details regarding what has happened already. The main advantage of the host-based observing framework is the accomplishment of assault which can be analyzed. The system subordinate framework can create caution on the nearness of any prominent action; however they can't generally affirm the achievement or disappointment of these assaults [10, 11].

B. Network Based IDS

Set up of looking for unobtrusive movement at host-level, the system based-monitoring systems decide the correct bundles of nodes which are going around the system. The framework decides this movement for the known images of the informative action. Since these frameworks are watching node movement, any assault marks identified may succeed or come up short. It is typically troublesome.

A system based observing framework has preferred standpoint of organizing and seeing the assaults which are establishing around the entire system effortlessly. Seeing assaults against the whole arrangement, gives a perfect sign of range to which the system get attacked [11].

3. LITERATURE SURVEY

Barghi et al.[12] stated that when the Intrusion Detection System protects the network from the network attack, it produces a huge number of false, redundant or unimportant alerts. It is a major drawback of it. An online approach was proposed using DARPA 1999 dataset and ShahidRajaee Port Complex dataset. The results showed that the system could reduce the number of alerts by 94.32%. In some cases, it had high detection rate and also a very high false alarm rate too.
A technique is suggested by Koo et al.[13] to find out whether a webpage is malicious or benign. First the static content of webpages using a self-developed JAVA program is taken to process the signatures with regular expressions to accelerate the analyzing process, then a honeypot system to browse web pages and finally it is concluded with the type of webpage.

Friedberg et al.[14] confirmed that Advanced Persistent Threat(APT) uses different attack methods to access the unauthorized system in initial stage and then slowly spread throughout the network. This proposed approach is designed to extend any "packet-level" IDS systems to improve their results. The model is built with Search-Patterns (P), Event Classes(C), Hypothesis(H) and Rules(R)

Salama et al.[15] suggested that Web Anomaly Misuse Intrusion Detection (WAMID) framework which works with the combination of misuse and anomaly detection algorithms to detect SQL injection attack. First, in training phase, a profile is created for legitimate database behavior extracted from applying association rules on XML file containing SQL queries submitted from application to the database

With reference to Chen et al.[16], Botnet is a collection of hosts(bots) and it is controlled by a bot master through a command and control (C&C) channel. So this detection mechanism detects the attack during C&C stage, that is in advance to the botnet attack. The IRC traffic patterns in an organization network was considered for the testing. The similarity measurement and the periodic characteristics were noted down. This system can find out the malicious network traffic by normal IRC clients.

Kar et al.[17] adopted a technique for SQL injection attack which is a stealing of sensitive information from the back-end database, such as credit card numbers. They proposed an IDS-SQLiDDS(SQL Injection Detection using Query Transformation and Document Similarity) to detect various types of SQL Injection attacks. Only the portion of queries after the WHERE keyword were considered. For the testing, five honeypot web applications were developed using PHP and MySQL.

According to Somwanshi et al.[18], Honeypot is nothing but a fake server that provides emulated services similar to the real services running on the actual server. So whenever attacker tries to attack actual server, attacker is redirected to this fake server and eventually gets trapped. Honeypot then gives the valuable information regarding the intruders. This paper suggested a new honeypot system. The components of the system are: i)Event Auditor to monitor the data exchange between nodes and will send to IDS. ii) IDS service with two components namely Analyzer and Alert System.

Kaur, J. et al.[19], stated that whenever the users make use of any web application, all the activities of the users are automatically appended into the web log files. This system basically concentrated on these log entries and suggested a preventive technique to protect them from. the most common attacks namely denial of service and brute force attacks. And it provides a secure platform for sharing of files. This system is capable of distinguishing the malicious and the non-malicious users.

Kour, H. et al.[20], Cross-Site Scripting attack (XSS) is a code injection attack performed to exploit the vulnerabilities existing in the web application by injecting html tag / java script functions. They presented different types of XSS attacks. This system works in two steps: First is to trace out the cross site scripting vulnerabilities in the web application. A website in php is newly created, hosted on the local host (XAMPP server) and the experiments have been performed on modern browsers (Google Chrome49, IE11, Opera15 and Firefox44.0.2) to exploit XSS vulnerabilities. The second step is to mitigate the attack.
Seeber et al. [21], suggested a new approach to form an IDS with various IDSs to detect network attacks by processing data from core network components using the properties of OpenFlow in an SDN environment. OpenFlow is capable of raising an event or update a flow counter at arrival time of a packet depending on a match or mismatch with respect to an existing or non-existing flow. If multiple Intrusion Detection System (IDSs) exist, traffic redirection is mainly based on subnets or IP addresses.

3.1 Intrusion Detection for Web Application

Web servers are considered as an important test environment for intrusion detection. The reason being is that because of their importance and universality of the HTTP protocol [8] and the number of striking vulnerabilities.

While researchers are still exploring the signature and behavior of intrusion detection approaches, there are a lot of companies who develop commercial tools to protect web application using different techniques as well. For this reason, we will present different and specific web IDS based on their detection approach.

a. Signature Approaches

The majority of signatures specific web IDS are host IDS (HIDS) in the application level. McHugh [22] and Proctor [23] adopt the principle of this approach, which is based on the use of learning techniques of known attacks and define their signatures. Once the signatures are define, A regular expression or pattern matching are used to recognize attacks in query waves. It should be noted also that the work by Vigna et al. [24] was within the scope of the intrusion detection scenarios and led to the development of an IDS called Web STAT. In the framework of STAT [12], the attacks are initially modeled in a high level language, and then automatically compiled to be used as the signature of the intrusion detection

b. Behavioral approaches

This approach does not use any internal information of the program. The reference model of behavior in these approaches can be defined by the application specifications or by conclusion of learning from the execution of the application. The approach proposed by Forest et al [25] and Hofmeyr [26]. It is based on processing the successive system calls of processes while running on external information in the program. The experiment result showed that short system call sequences generate a stable signature to model the normal behavior of a process according to its environment.

Network intrusion detection systems: Network Intrusion Detection systems (NIDS) are placed at a tactical point or points within the network to monitor the traffic on the network. It accomplishes an analysis of passing traffic on the entire subnet and matches the traffic which is passed on the subnets to the collection of known attacks. If an attack is caught or any abnormal behaviour is sensed, the alert can be sent to the administrator. Example. Snort.

Similarly, a gray box approach is based on the sequences of system calls as well. It extracts additional information from the process while using the memory. Gao et al experiment show that the presence of an attack is often happened during the arguments of system calls. Based on this proposal, Kruegel et al [27].
3.2 Intrusion Detection System in Cloud Environment

In this section, we will present different CIDS and classify them into three categories based on the intrusion detection technique used by each system. The categories are Signature based, Anomaly based and Hybrid. We have studied systems from each category and analyzed them to evaluate whether or not they meet the security requirements of cloud.

A. Signature Based Detection

N. Modi et al. [28] have integrated a signature Apriori based NIDS to Cloud. Signature Apriori takes network packets and known attack signatures as input and generates new derived rules that are updated in the Snort. Therefore, Snort is able to detect known attacks and derivative of known attacks in the Cloud. This approach improves the efficiency of Snort. However, it cannot detect unknown attacks.

T. Alharkan and P. Martin [29] have proposed an Intrusion Detection System as a Service (IDSaaS), which enables consumers to protect their virtual machines against internal and external attacks in public clouds. IDSaaS is a network and signature based IDS, and it targets the Infrastructure-as-a-Service level of the cloud. It is on demand, elastic, portable, controllable by the cloud consumer and available through the pay-per-use cost model of the cloud.

A. Khaldi et al. [30] have proposed a framework based on secure mobile agents (Bee-Gent Mobile agent) for detecting distributed intrusions and repairing the vulnerabilities in hybrid cloud. The operating of this framework is divided into three successive phases: those are Detect distributed attacks, Evaluate the attacks risks, and Repair attacks.

Manthira Moorthy Set al. [31] has proposed security architecture for cloud, in which a virtual host based intrusion detection system was placed between router and Cloud host. The developed IDS consists of three components namely: Event Auditor, IDS service (combination of analyze system and Alert system) and CIDD (Cloud Intrusion Detection Data Sets). The analyzer system examines the content of packet against the cloud intrusion datasets signatures stored in CIDD by means of pattern matching.

J.K. Khatri and G. Khilar [32] have proposed an architecture which provides implementation of Sericata IDS as network IDS in the backend of Cloud environment. The aim of Sericata IDS is to secure the virtualized servers on hypervisors in the cloud platform from attackers and various threats. The main function of Sericata IDS in the network is capturing of all coming packets from external users and destined to virtualized servers, analyzing these packets and finally sending alert if a packet is matching one of rules stored into Sericata configuration file.

B. Anomaly Based Detection

S. Gupta and P. Kumar [33] have proposed an approach to detect malicious program executions at client VM’s in Cloud environment, with the use of a new technique of Immediate System Call signature detection. In this approach, for every unique System Call (user program or system program), the list of all Immediate System Calls following it is identified, and created from its normal execution logs, and such signatures are stored and then used as baseline for anomalous program detection.

N. Pandeeswari and G. Kumar [34] have proposed an anomaly detection system at the hypervisor layer named Hypervisor Detector. It uses a hybrid algorithm which is a mixture of Fuzzy C-Means clustering algorithm and Artificial Neural Network (FCM-ANN) to improve the accuracy of intrusion detection system. The general procedure of
FCMANN approach has the following three phases. In the first phase, a fuzzy clustering technique is used to divide the large dataset into small clusters or training subsets.

B. Muthukumar B. and P.K. Rajendran [35] have proposed an Intelligent Intrusion Detection System for Private Cloud Environment to satisfy the security and the performance issues of cloud computing. The proposed IDS combine combining hardware and an application to detect intrusion. The software component is implemented within virtualized servers such web server to detect intrusions, without influencing the performance of the servers. The hardware component is used to store intrusions traces and parameters of the IDS.

S. Sangeetha et al. [36] has proposed a Signature based Semantic Intrusion Detection System on Cloud, which concentrates on the application level to detect application specific attacks. Those attacks aim to compromise the system by exploiting vulnerabilities of the protocols of the application layer such as HTTP, FTP etc.

B. Al–Shadaifat [37] et al. have proposed an anomaly intrusion detection model to deal with attacks and security violations in cloud environment. The proposed approach consists of Hopefield Artificial Network and Simulating Annealing as aggregator. The framework for anomaly IDS is divided into three stages: Dataset Grouping, Hopfield Artificial Neural Network (HANN) and Simulating Annealing aggregator.

C. Hybird Based Detection
N. Modi et al. [38] has proposed a hybrid-network intrusion detection system (H-NIDS) deployed on each host machine, to detect internal and external network attacks in Cloud Computing environment. The architecture of proposed H-NIDS consists of mainly seven successive modules; Packet capture, Signature based detection, Anomaly detection, Score function, Alert system and Central log. Signature based detection module uses Snort and signature Apriori algorithm, which generates derived attack rules, thereby, Snorts can detect known attacks and derivative attacks

P. Ghosh et al. [39] has proposed an Intrusion Detection System for protecting the Cloud environment against intrusions, based on the collaboration of multithreaded Network Intrusion Detection System (NIDS) and Host Intrusion Detection System (HIDS). The multithreaded NDIS is placed at the bottleneck position of the Cloud, to monitor the requests send by the Cloud users.

Ambikavathi C et.al [40] has developed an Intelligent Intrusion Detection System (I-IDS) to improve the security of virtual machine (VM), which is the base for cloud computing model. The proposed model works at virtualization layer, it improves security of VM by creating VM profiling, packet flow monitoring and conducting centralized periodic automated vulnerability scans for infected VMs.

S. Singh et al. [41] have proposed a novel Collaborative IDS (CIDS) framework for cloud, to defend network accessible Cloud resources and services from various threats and attacks. The proposed NIDS is integrated in each cloud cluster, and a correlation Unit (CU) provides collaboration between all cluster NIDSs, is placed in any one cluster. Bully election algorithm is used to elect one best cluster for placement of CU on the basis of workload. The hybrid NIDS use Snort to detect the known stealthy attacks using signature matching, and to detect unknown attacks, anomaly detection system (ADS) is built using Decision Tree Classifier and Support Vector Machine (SVM).
3.3 Intrusion Detection in Internet of Things

A. IDS with Higher Interaction Ability Values

Tim Bass suggested a holistic cross-platform approach for detecting unauthorized access in the whole cyberspace should involve evaluating inferences from multi-perspectives. For this reason, the Interaction Ability was first proposed by Shaiek et al. [42] as a critical parameter in a deployment metric of an IDS, was used to rank the level of the holistic detection intelligence of the reviewed IDSs. It provides a multi-perspective view of the IDSs interaction with the following TCP/IP suite’s four network service layers: Network Interface, Internet, Transport, and Application layers. Moreover, the TCP/IP layers can be mapped to functionally similar ZigBee WSN standards (e.g. Physical, 802.15.4 MAC, Network, and Application) and as an encapsulation or otherwise in 6LoWPAN [43].

At the beginning of 2011, the ideology of IDSs began to change as the research began to not target individual or related components, but the whole IoT. In one of these experiments, Liu et al., applied the mechanisms of artificial immune systems to IDSs3 in the IoT [44].

More recently, there has been a proposal for Computational Intelligence (CI) based systems which are adaptable and react to new situations by applying reasoning without relying on users [45]. Examples are artificial neural networks, evolutionary computation, artificial immune systems, swarm intelligence, and fuzzy logic. Using a three tier architecture for monitoring, applying computational intelligence, and reporting intrusions, the IDS tracks the IP addresses of the source messages and stores it against their network or system patterns.

Another approach used by Kafle et al., addressed the issue of integrating non IP networks by assigning unique identifiers to every object [46]. The ID-based communication in heterogeneous networks named the Identity Sublayer was embedded in the transmission layer for better real-time performance than traditional IDS. Quite recently, in 2014 Jun et al. developed a Complex Event Processing (CEP) engine for real-time pattern detection amongst the different components in the IoT. It was benchmarked against an IDS that first stores, and then matches the data with a rule. They found that their approach was more CPU intensive, but consumed less memory. Effectively it proved better real-time performance [47].

B. IDS with Lower Interaction Ability Values

The Internet (Network) layer is an ideal place for a holistic approach to a rule based detection engine because the lower layers depend on the hardware, and are less abstracted. The following is a review of two different IDSs operating at the network layer. The first work utilizes the traditional TCP/IP suite (Batalla & Krawiec) [48] and the second experiment uses the TCP/IP suite with 6LoWPAN.

Kasinathan et al.[49][50]. Batalla & Krawiec [48] propose a type of service orientated architecture embedded in the TCP/IP Internet layer to enable object communication irrespective of their hardware or software platforms. An important technique utilized involved registration of services and objects in order to search and deliver the information related to them. It avoided overload by using hierarchical designated routers to filter only necessary information to the parent node.

Another promising DoS detection framework for IoT intrusion detection and security integrated was an open source IDS named Suricata modified for a IPv6 over lowpower personal area network (6LoWPAN). The 6LoWPAN protocol provides IPv6 identity to objects that otherwise don’t have an IP based protocol [49]. A large part of this work was the packet analysis that was integrated into the IEEE 802.15.4 network layer. The DoS test showed
promise. A follow-up of Kasinathan’s demonstration was to modify the originally open source code to integrate an advanced event monitoring system [50].

3.4 Intrusion Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN)

In [51], classifying is made as intrusion type, intruder type, detection techniques, source of the collected data, analyzing location of the collected data, usage frequency and this classifying is the most comprehensive in the literature. In a network, intruder type is grouped into two categories. These categories are internal intruder (selfish or malicious node) and external intruder (an outside attacker trying to reach the system).

A. Anomaly Detection Approaches in WSN

According to [52] anomalies of WSN can be grouped as Network Anomalies, Node Anomalies, Data Anomalies and Other Anomalies. Additional to types of WSN anomalies, approaches detecting WSN anomalies is important too. These approaches are used to implement an IDS in WSN as a detecting solution and they can be combined with each other. These approaches can be sorted as statistical based, artificial immune system based, machine learning based, data mining based and game theory based.

In A Game-Theoretic Framework for Robust Optimal Intrusion Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks -2014, it is claimed that instead of approaches using heuristic and adhoc solutions, there is an increase to use analytical approaches for security issues in WSN. Hence authors propose a nonzerosum discounted robust stochastic game framework to analyze intrusion detection problem in WSN. Game’s parameters are modelled by features of WSN and it’s environment [53]

In Anomaly Detection and Localization in UWB Wireless Sensor Networks -2013, author has been proposed an anomaly detection solution specifically designed for the ultrawideband (UWB) technology. In the paper, it is described that UWB is a key solution to serve low power consumption while wireless connectivity. To identify intrusions, a rule based approach is accepted and performance of the proposed algorithm is studied by simulations. The algorithm proposed in the paper, uses a round-based (There are particular phases.) approach towards cluster structure and rule based anomaly detection. The test results shown in paper point out a successful detection accuracy [54].

In Applying Data Mining Techniques to Intrusion Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks-2013, it is proposed that the application using data mining approaches for intrusion detection system in wireless sensor network and proposed system can perform both anomaly detection technique and misuse detection technique. The IDS consists of a Central Agent and several Local Agents, which are placed on the sensors and carry out intrusion detection activities. Data mining approach is used on each agents (Local Agents, Central Agents). The test results show that high detection accuracy is obtained while keeping an acceptable, but not negligible false positives rate [55].

B. Misuse Detection Approaches in WSN

It is also known as signature based IDS and is successful to detect known attacks. It’s drawback is that it can not detect new unknown attacks or attacks having not predefined rules. Using misuse detection technique is a complex task for WSN because of constraints of WSN. For instance, keeping signatures of attacks is very difficult and is less effective. In the literature it is seen that a few studies use misuse detection technique and they propose watchdog approach and mobile agent approach [56].
In Intrusion Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Watchdog Based Clonal Selection Algorithm - 2013, the watchdog approach is used to detect whether a node has abnormal behavior while forwarding data. All nodes in the WSN is responsible for monitoring the neighbors and transferring the information about behaviour. Misbehaviour of nodes affect performance of WSN negatively. With using watchdog based clonal selection algorithm it is aimed that detect malicious and selfish nodes of WSN [57].

C. Hybrid Detection Approaches in WSN

In [56], it is described that, some specification based solutions have been proposed and the main drawback of this solution is that the development of protocol specifications is created by human. Security protocols of WSN is defined by administrator manually. Author describes this approach with three techniques and hybrid detection is involved in this classification as third subtitle

In Novel Hybrid Intrusion Detection System For Clustered Wireless Sensor Network - 2011, it is aimed that combining anomaly detection based and misuse (signature) based approaches in order to achieve a more accurate intrusion detection system. The anomaly detection uses a distributed learning algorithm for the training of a SVM to solve the two-class problem (distinguish between normal and anomalous activities). The goal of this study is described as to save the energy [58]

3.5 Intrusion Detection in Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks (MANET)

J Martin and S. Shanmugavel in 2006 developed a secure routing approach called Resiliency Oriented Secure (ROS) which include the detection phase in routing to detect the malicious node [59]. To detect the malicious node, they employed a number of updates field in the routing table and set some threshold value for it. Whenever any node receives a routing packet that has an update in its routing table, it increments the number of update field by one. When the count values crosses the threshold values it triggers alarm signal.

R. Ranjana and M. Rajaram in the year 2007 proposed a model which does not perform any change in underlying protocol and used additional security component to detect fabrication attack, resource consumption attack and packet dropping attack [20]. Using an extended architecture, IDSX [60] is a cluster-based solution and it acts as a second line of defense. Any IDS solution could be implemented by individual nodes. The solution IDSX is compatible with any IDS solution acting as the first line of defense. The IDSX hardly produced any false positives according to the simulation results. This is because it forms a consensus of the responses from different individual IDS solutions implemented in the nodes. Anomaly-based intrusion detection schemes could be implemented as the first line of defense. The IDSX works within preset boundaries.

These are quite practical and feasible enough considering the nature of ad hoc networks. Nevertheless, some of these may also be considered as the limiting constraints. The proposed two-step approach also would make the task of intrusion detection expensive in terms of energy and resource consumption.

In [61], a solution called eSOM is described using the concept of unsupervised learning in Artificial Neural Networks using Self-Organizing Maps. The technique used a data structure called U-matrix which is used to represent data classes. These regions represent malicious information and are watermarked using the Block-Wise method. The regions representing the benign data class are marked using the Lattice method. When a new attack is initiated it causes changes in the pixel values. The Watermarking technique and eSOM can together identify if any pixel has been modified and this makes it very
sensitive towards detecting intrusions. The authors claim that the eSOM is 80% efficient and remains consistent even with variations in mobility. Using eSOM, the IDS would be trained in regular time periods. This takes a toll on the energy efficiency of the algorithm and results in additional overhead. Nevertheless, the proposed intrusion detection engine has not been used on various routing protocols for the detection of various types of attacks.

Ningrinla marching and Raja Datta elaborated "collaborative technique for Intrusion detection in MANET" [62]. They proposed two intrusion detection techniques for mobile ad-hoc networks, which use collaborative efforts of nodes in a neighborhood to detect a malicious node in that neighborhood. The first technique is proposed for detection of malicious nodes in a neighborhood of nodes in which each pair of nodes in the neighborhood are within radio range of each other and such a neighborhood of nodes is known as a clique.

Pasquale Donadio, Antonio Cimmino and Giorgio Ventre proposed a Grid based Intrusion Detection System (G-IDS) that employs the basic principles of the Grid computing and apply them to the intrusion detection mechanisms, in order to define a new process capable to protect networks characterized by the constantly changing of the topology [63]. They used a distributed traffic analyzer that acting in real-time feedback sharing the results between the neighboring nodes of the network.

S. Madhavi and Dr. Tai Roon Kim [64] proposed a mobile Intrusion Detection System for multi-hop ad-hoc wireless network in their work. They define the monitor node which detects misbehaving node. They also presented the algorithm for detecting the packet dropping and packet delaying attack.

A leader election model for IDS in MANET based on the Vicky, Clarke and Groves (VCG) model was proposed [65]. The model requires every node to be as honest as possible and leaders are selected in a way which results in optimal resource utilization. For participating honestly in the election process, leaders are positively rewarded. A higher effective lifetime of the nodes was achieved by balancing the resource consumption amongst the nodes.

Another approach to the IDS has been proposed in [66] and it is called HIDS. This technique is based on reputation or trust or honesty values of the mobile nodes. Depending on its behavior, the trust value of a node is dynamically increased or decreased. If a node behaves normally, it is positively rewarded; malicious activity results in negative rewards for that node. The trust on a node is recalculated based on the rewards that it has earned, and its current honesty rate.

Radi Otrok et al. [67] evoke the problem of increasing the effectiveness of an intrusion detection system (IDS) for a cluster of nodes in ad hoc networks. To reduce the performance overhead of the IDS, a leader node is usually elected to perform the intrusion detection service on behalf of the whole cluster. To increase the effectiveness of an IDS in MANET, they introduce a unified framework that is able to balance the resource consumption among all the nodes and thus increase the overall lifetime of a cluster by electing truthfully and efficiently.

S. Sen et al. proposed a "grammatical evolution approach to intrusion detection on mobile ad hoc networks" [68]. They employ artificial intelligence based learning technique to explore design space. The grammatical evolution technique inspired by natural evolution is used to detect known attacks on MANETs such as DOS attacks.
and route disruption attacks. Intrusion detection programs are evolved for each attack and distributed to each node.

A hybrid solution described in [69] combines the Watchdog and Pathrater scheme has been proposed by Marti et al. and SCAN [70]. Nevertheless, neither SCAN nor Watchdog and Path-raters address the mobility issue that well. Also, this hybrid solution suffers from the same problems. There are no fixed nodes which can behave as umpires. There must be some kind of a leader election model that runs in every node to select the Umpire nodes.

In the proposed algorithm [71] the researcher's aims to use one of the danger theory intrusion detection algorithms, namely, the dendritic cell algorithm (DCA) to detect the sleep deprivation attack over MANET. DCA is plugged in a proposed mobile dendritic cell algorithm called MOCA which represented through a designed MDCA architecture. Each node in MANET should protect itself from danger locally without using mobile agents. At the beginning, the algorithm controls each entered packet's ID in the memory. If that packet ID found in the detected list, this indicates it comes from an attacker detected before, the algorithm rejects the packet directly, deletes its information from the routing table and sends an alarm message for the second time for that packet TD.

In the reference [72][73] the researchers elaborated a dynamic hybrid approach based on the artificial bee colony (ABC) and negative selection (NS) algorithms, named BeeID, for intrusion detection in AOOV-based MANETs. The approach designed of three phases: training, detection, and updating. In the training phase, a niching artificial bee colony algorithm, called NicheNABC, runs a negative selection algorithm multiple times to generate a set of mature negative detectors to cover the nonself space. In the detection phase, mature negative detectors are employed to discriminate between normal and malicious network activities. In the updating phase, the set of mature negative detectors is updated by one of two techniques of partial updating or total updating.

3.6 Intrusion Detection in Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP)

The VoIP security issues and solutions are increasingly important for the success of VoIP services, especially in the domain of intrusions and intrusion detections. In targeting an effective, flexible and holistc approach to VoIP security management. we propose the use of a suitable mobile agent system in an integrated framework which can be applied specifically to VoIP as well as to modem network management in general.

IP-based transmissions are inherently unsecured. Therefore, VoIP applications would face security threats inherited from IP networks. A comprehensive survey of Internet intrusions can be Sound in [74]. In this paper, the author classifies the Internet infrastructure attacks into Sour categories: DNS hacking, routing table poisoning, packet mistreatment and DoS, and discusses the impact of these kinds of intrusions on the Internet.

Furthermore, the development of the intelligent network using SS7 (Signaling System No.7) provides greater flexibility to the network through the introduction of new services It, however, increases its vulnerability to the misuse of those services because certain services allow users access to management information. Free phone service is an example. Mobile technology also impacts telephone security [75]. The above attacks would also affect VoIP users because VoIP networks involve traditional telephone equipment.
VoIP relies on various protocols to address different aspects of a "call". IP telephony-related protocols are not initially designed with security as a prime design goal. Although some of these protocols have added security features in their recent versions, security mechanisms are not secure enough or are still impractical. This section discusses the security characteristics of the VoIP standards that are currently used in building VoIP systems including SIGTRAN [76], H.323, Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), and Megaco [77].

SIP covers only signaling aspects. The media stream confidentiality is not treated by the standard. For signaling path, the security mechanisms have been developed to secure both SIP header and SIP message body. The mechanisms can be classified into end-to-end and hop-to-hop protection [78]. End-to-end protection are realized by SIP authentication using digest authentication (e.g. HTTP digest) and SIP message body encryption using SIMIME (Secure Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension).

Another recent proposal for securing the media part of a Megaco network is the use of Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP) [79]. The SRTP is designed to provide confidentiality and authentication for RTP as well as RTCP by integrity checks and encryption. However, it could not prevent DoS attack. The nature of DoS attack is the volume of packets it creates towards an unwitting target; whether those packets are signed by the server, or are encrypted with the wrong key, is not relevant for the attack [80] level security mechanism, such as Transport Layer Security (TLS) [78]. Recent Internet draft shows call flows demonstrating the use of TLS and SMIME in SIP [81].

4. Conclusion

Intrusion Detection can be defined as "the act of detecting actions that attempt to compromise the confidentiality, integrity or availability of a resource". An IDS is a device or software application that monitors a network or systems for malicious activity or policy violation[3]. Any detected activity or violation is reported either to an administrator or it will be collected centrally using a Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system.

In the Internet, the outside attackers may be amateur pranksters or organized criminals or international terrorists or even hostile governments. A computer network consists of two components namely hardware and software. Both of these components may have their own risks and vulnerabilities. In this paper, we have surveyed various types of Intrusion Detection Model in different cases. This paper presented intrusion detection systems (IDS) in several areas. It consist of Web Application, Cloud Environment, Internet of Things (IoT), Mobile Ad-Hoc Network (MANET), Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) and Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP). We have found that IDS is a significant part in security system of the networks.
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