Different Functions of *IbRAP2.4*, a Drought-Responsive AP2/ERF Transcription Factor, in Regulating Root Development Between *Arabidopsis* and Sweetpotato
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Plant root systems are essential for the uptake of water and nutrients from soil and are positively correlated to yield in many crops including the sweetpotato, *Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam. Here, we isolated and functionally characterized *IbRAP2.4*, a novel nuclear-localized gene encoding the AP2/ERF transcription factor, from sweetpotato. *IbRAP2.4* was responsive to NaCl, PEG8000, ethylene, and Indole 3-acetic acid treatments. As revealed by electrophoretic mobility shift assay and dual luciferase assay, *IbRAP2.4* could bind to both DRE and GCC-box elements and acted as a transcription activator. *IbRAP2.4* overexpression significantly promoted lateral root formation and enhanced the drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, while it inhibited storage root formation in transgenic sweetpotato by comprehensively upregulating lignin biosynthesis pathway genes. Results suggested that *IbRAP2.4* may be a useful potential target for further molecular breeding of high yielding sweetpotato.

**Keywords:** sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam), *Arabidopsis*, AP2/ERF, lateral root, storage root, DRE element, GCC-box

**INTRODUCTION**

Plants sense a wide array of stressors in their environment, including dehydration, which adversely affect their growth and yields. Plant roots uptake water and nutrients, provide anchorage, and monitor environmental conditions in the soil. To ensure plants’ survival in changing environments, root systems develop various adaptive traits governed through a complicated mechanism. Therefore, elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying root architecture can contribute to the improvement of crop yields and stress tolerance.

Plant root systems develop from the root apical meristem initiated during embryogenesis and possibly from multiple non-root-borne adventitious root apical meristems (Motte et al., 2019). Plant root systems exhibit a wide variety of morphologies depending on the species. The model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, has a tap-root system consisting of a central primary root and lateral roots (LRs), whereas several tuber and corm crops such as sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.),
develop an adventitious root system that emerges from diverse non-root organs after the embryonic stage (Belehu et al., 2004; Guan et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2019). The sweetpotato root system includes “thick” pigmented storage roots (SRs), “thick” pigmented pencil roots (PRs), and white fibrous roots (FRs) (Wilson and Lowe, 1973; Belehu et al., 2004).

The formation of a plant root system is a strictly controlled developmental process. Accumulating investigations indicated that phytohormones, such as auxins and ethylene, and some key factors involved in hormone signaling, play an essential role in the regulation of root development (Liu et al., 2016; Qin and Huang, 2018; Yoon et al., 2020). The AP2/ERF transcriptional factors, known to play very important roles in hormonal regulation, have been reported to be involved in root architecture regulation in a diverse range of plants. For instance, the degradation of ERF13 induced by auxins could positively regulate LR development in Arabidopsis (Lv et al., 2021). OsERF2, a transcription factor required for the interplay between ethylene and ABA, negatively regulates primary root growth in rice (Xiao et al., 2016). Moreover, both OsERF3 and OsCRL5 could positively regulate the rice root response regulators (RRs) of cytokinin signaling during crown root initiation (Kitomi et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2015). The AP2 DNA-binding domain containing transcription factor family is large group of plant-specific proteins which can be classified into four major subfamilies: AP2 (APETALA2), ERF (Ethylene-Responsive-Element-Binding protein), DREB (Dehydration Responsive Element-Binding), and RAV (Related to ABI3/VP) (Nakano et al., 2006). AP2/ERF transcription factors have also been reported involving in plant (a)biotic stress responses by regulating downstream genes expression via cis-acting elements, such as dehydation responsive elements (DRE)/C-repeat element (CRT) and/or GCC box (Lee et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2019). Recently, several genes belonging to the AP2/ERF transcription factor family have been isolated from sweetpotato (Kim Y. H. et al., 2012; Li et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020). However, none is reported to regulate root development.

Sweetpotato is a food crop cultivated in all tropical and subtropical regions, particularly in Asia, Africa, and the Pacific (El Sheihka and Ray, 2017). SRs constitute the most economically important agronomic trait in sweetpotato production; therefore, understanding the mechanisms controlling root development is crucial for high yields breeding of sweetpotato. To reveal how AP2/ERF transcription factors affected sweetpotato root development, we isolated and functionally characterized a novel nuclear-localized AP2/ERF transcription factor, *IbRAP2.4*, in sweetpotato. *IbRAP2.4* could directly bind with the DRE and GCC-box elements where it acted as a transcription activator. *IbRAP2.4* overexpression significantly promoted LR formation and enhanced drought tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana, whereas it simultaneously and substantially inhibited the formation of SRs but induced PRs in sweetpotato. The expression levels of cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR), caffeic acid/5-hydroxyferulic acid O-methyltransferase (COMT), and caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase (CCoAOMT) genes involved with lignin biosynthesis were increased in *IbRAP2.4* overexpressing transgenic sweetpotato. Functional analysis of *IbRAP2.4* regarding the regulation of root architecture provides a framework for the future breeding of high yielding sweetpotato.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plants Materials and Growth Conditions**

Sweetpotato cultivar Sushu 16 (bred by Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences) and Xushu 29 (bred by Jiangsu Xuzhou Sweetpotato Research Center), and Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0 (Col-o) were used in this study. Xushu 29 and Col-o plants were used as the wild-type (WT) to generate transgenic lines. Sweetpotato plants were propagated by cuttings (tips: top 10 cm) and grown in a growth chamber under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod at 25°C. The seeds of Arabidopsis were surface sterilized, sown on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium, and then grown in a growth chamber under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod at 22°C. Untransformed and transgenic sweetpotato plants were transplanted into the field in mid-May for evaluation of the phenotype in Nanjing, Jiangsu. For gene expression pattern analysis, sweetpotato seedlings were cultured in 1/2 Hoagland nutrient solution for 10 d and subsequently subjected to stress treatments by supplementing with 150 mM NaCl (salt stress), 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8,000 (drought stress), 100 μM ethylene, and 100 μM indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), respectively. The fourth fully expanded leaf from the top was sampled at 0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48 h after treatment. Plant tissues (leaves, stems, FRs, PRs, and SRs) collected from the 10-week-old sweetpotato plants under normal growth conditions were used for tissue-specific expression analysis. For drought stress treatment of Arabidopsis, after being sown in pots and regularly watered for 2 weeks, transgenic plants and WT were subjected to withholding of watering for 7 days followed by 1 day of recommenced watering.

**Isolation and Analysis of IbRAP2.4**

The *IbRAP2.4* gene was predicted by the Sushu 16 transcriptome database, and isolated from the cDNAs of Sushu 16 by using sequence-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1). The PCR products were then fused into the pEASY-Blunt cloning vector (TransGen, Beijing, China) for sequencing confirmed with five independent clones.

The conserved domain of the IbRAP2.4 protein was scanned by CD-search. Homologous sequences of *IbRAP2.4* were identified using the BLASTp search program of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Molecular phylogenetic analysis was constructed using the UPGMA method and MEGA 6. Sequence alignment was conducted with BioEdit. A 2.0 kb-length promoter region of *IbRAP2.4* exported from the sweetpotato genome database were analyzed by the PlantCARE.1

1http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi  
2http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/  
3https://www.megasoftware.net/  
4http://en.bio-soft.net/format/BioEdit.html  
5http://public-genomes-ngs.molgen.mpg.de/cgi-bin/hgGateway?db=ipo8at4  
6http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/
Transcriptional Activation Assay of IbRAP2.4
The full-length IbRAP2.4 coding sequence (CDS) was inserted into the pGBK7 vector to produce the fusion construct pGBK7-IbRAP2.4 (Primer pair RAPF-F/R, Supplementary Table 1). The generated plasmid pGBK7-IbRAP2.4 and the empty pGBK7 vector (negative control) were transformed into the yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) strain AH109 separately. Yeast cells grown on SD/-Trp and SD/-Trp/-His/-Ade plates were incubated at 30°C for 3–5 days.

Subcellular Localization
Subcellular localization analysis of IbRAP2.4 was determined in 3-week-old tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaves and conducted as described previously (Waadt and Kudla, 2008). The coding sequence of IbRAP2.4 was amplified and constructed into the transient expression vector pCAMBIA1305-GFP (primer pair HygF-R, Supplementary Table 1). The construct was transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 and then used to infiltrate N. benthamiana leaves. The infiltrated N. benthamiana were maintained in a growth chamber under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod at 25°C for 2 days. Samples with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining were collected for detection of fluorescent signal with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope.

Gene Expression Level Analysis
Total RNA of samples was isolated by RNAprep Pure Plant kit (Polysaccharides and Polyphenolics-rich) (Tiangen, Beijing, China) and then used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA with FastKing gDNA Dispelling RT SuperMix kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). Primer pairs specific to tested genes were designed using the GenScript online tool7 and then used to quantify the expression level by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) amplification (Supplementary Table 1). qRT-PCR was performed using a SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ kit (TaKaRa) on an ABI prism 7,900 Real-Time PCR System. The sweetpotato Tublin gene was used as a reference and relative changes of gene expression was analyzed by the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Vector Construction and Plant Transformation
The full length sequence of IbRAP2.4 was cloned into the plant expression vector pCAMBIA1305 behind the CaMV35S promoter to generate CaMV35S:IbRAP2.4 construct (Primer pair ORAF-F/R, Supplementary Table 1). CaMV35S:IbRAP2.4 plasmid was transferred into Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA105 and introduced into Col-0 and Xushu 29, respectively, as previously described (Chough and Bent, 1998; Kim S. H. et al., 2012). For Arabidopsis, the first generation (T0) seeds were germinated on 1/2 MS medium with 40 mg L−1 hygromycin for screening. For sweetpotato, the transformed calli were selected on MS medium containing 400 mg L−1 cefotaxime and 25 mg L−1 hygromycin. All transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR amplification of hygromycin and qRT-PCR with specific primers (Primer pair hyg-F/R, Supplementary Table 1).

Purification of GST-IbRAP2.4 Fusion Protein and Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay
The GST:IbRAP2.4 plasmids was transformed to E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) and the recombinant protein was purified by affinity chromatography using a Glutathione Sepharose 4B column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The DRE (5′-TTGATACTACCGACATGATTGAATGAGATT-3′) and GCC-box (5′-TTCTAGAGCGCCCATCATAAGAGCCGCACT-3′) sequences used as probes were according to the findings of Lin et al. (2008). To prepare probes, oligonucleotide sets were annealed by boiling for 5 min and then labeled with [γ-32P]-ATP by adding T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (Promega, Madison, WI, United States). A 30 μL binding reactions were performed by the addition of labeled probe (0.5 μg) and purified GST-IbRAP2.4 or GST protein (10 μg) into the binding buffer (200 mM HEPES, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 20 pmol of poly dI-dC) and then allowed to proceed at room temperature for 30 min. Afterward, the sample was electrophoresed on an 8% native polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography. Competition experiments were carried out by incubating increasing amounts of unlabeled competitor probe with purified GST-IbRAP2.4 fusion protein before the addition of labeled probes.

Assay of Luciferase Activity and Chlorophyll Content
The 4 × DRE and 3 × GCC fragments were inserted to pGreen 0800-Luc vector, respectively. The reporter (4 × DRE-Luc/3 × GCC-Luc) and effector (35S:IbRAP2.4) (or empty vector) were co-transformed into Arabidopsis protoplasts via a PEG transformation method, performed as previously described (Iwata et al., 2011). Luciferase activities were measured with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System. To measure the chlorophyll content in transgenic Arabidopsis, the fourth fully expanded leaves from the top of transgenic lines and WT plants were collected for detection using a portable chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, Konica Minolta, Japan).

Statistical Analysis
Three biological replicates were performed for all experiments. Difference analysis of data presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) was performed with Student’s t-test (two-tailed analysis) using SPSS, version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, United States). Significance levels at P < 0.05, and P < 0.01 are indicated by * and **, respectively.

---

7https://www.genscript.com/tools/real-time-pcr-taqman-primer-design-tool
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RESULTS

Isolation and Characterization of IbRAP2.4

The full-length CDS of a candidate gene predicted encoding an ERF transcription factor was obtained from the Sushu 16 transcriptome database. The gene showed a complete open reading frame of 885 bp (GenBank: KX255653.1), encoding a protein of 294 amino acids (GenBank: ARS72979.1) with a molecular weight of 30.83 kDa. Analysis of the deduced amino acid residues revealed that the protein contained a typical AP2 domain (135–197) (Figure 1A). As the protein showed the highest similarity with AtRAP2.4 from Arabidopsis (At1g78080), which was classified into the DREB subfamily (Lin et al., 2008), we named it IbRAP2.4 (Figure 1A). A phylogenetic tree was constructed with the amino acid sequences of IbRAP2.4 and DREB proteins from other plants. The results revealed that IbRAP2.4 was closely related to the homologs of grape (Vitis vinifera), soybean (Glycine max), and Coffea arabica (Supplementary Figure 1).

To determine the subcellular localization of IbRAP2.4, the coding region of IbRAP2.4 was fused with GFP and transiently expressed in tobacco (N. benthamiana) leaves. Confocal microscopic analysis showed that the fusion protein IbRAP2.4-GFP was specifically localized to the nuclei, suggesting that IbRAP.4 is a nuclear-localized protein (Figure 1B). Yeast transcriptional activation assay of IbRAP2.4 revealed that both the control and yeast cells harboring BD-IbRAP2.4 grew well on SD/-Trp medium. While yeast cells harboring BD-IbRAP2.4 grew well on SD/-Trp/-His/-Ade medium, the control did not (Figure 1C). These results indicated that IbRAP2.4 had transcriptional activity in yeast.

Expression Patterns of IbRAP2.4

The expression profiles of IbRAP2.4 in various tissues of sweetpotato were analyzed by qRT-PCR. IbRAP2.4 was constitutively expressed in all tested tissues, with higher expression in the pigment roots, SRs, and FRs, indicating its critical function in sweetpotato roots (Figure 2A). Characterization of cis-acting elements in the promoter region of IbRAP2.4 revealed that some stress- and phytohormone-responsive sites were involved, such as AuxRR-core, ERE, ABRE, and TC-rich repeats (Supplementary Figure 2). To further explore its potential function in response to abiotic stresses and...
hormones, the expression of *IbRAP2.4* was detected in Sushu 16 seedlings under different treatments. As shown in Figure 2B, the expression of *IbRAP2.4* was upregulated by NaCl, beginning to increase at 1 h, peaking at 6 h, and then decreasing. Under PEG8000 stress, the expression of *IbRAP2.4* was slightly induced at only 1 and 6 h, but was significantly decreased at 3 h and after 12 h. When treated with ethylene, transcription of *IbRAP2.4* was upregulated at 12 h, reached its maximum at 24 h and decreased at 48 h. For IAA treatment, after a slight increment in the first 3 h, the expression of *IbRAP2.4* decreased immediately at 6 h but was induced dramatically over the following 12 h. Taken together, these results suggest that *IbRAP2.4* is induced by salinity, dehydration, ethylene, and IAA.

**IbRAP2.4 Binds to the Dehydration Responsive Elements and GCC-Box Elements and Acts as a Transcriptional Activator**

It has been reported that amounts of AP2-domain containing proteins can bind to the dehydration responsive element (DRE, core sequence TACCGACAT) or the ethylene-responsive GCC-box (core sequence AGCCGCC) (Lin et al., 2008). To directly test the DNA-binding activity of *IbRAP2.4*, we performed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using recombinant GST-*IbRAP2.4* fusion protein and labeled DRE or GCC-box element. The results showed that GST-*IbRAP2.4* fusion protein, but not GST alone, can bind with both the DRE and GCC-box in a dosage-dependent manner (Figure 3A). Excess amounts of unlabeled probes can effectively reduce or abolish the binding of GST-*IbRAP2.4* to the labeled DRE or GCC-box element (Figure 3B). These results indicate that *IbRAP2.4* can specifically bind to both the DRE and GCC-box element *in vitro*.

To determine whether *IbRAP2.4* acts as a transcriptional activator or repressor, its transcriptional activity was examined by dual luciferase assay (Dual-Luc) in *Arabidopsis thaliana* protoplasts. The reporter plasmid contained the luciferase (LUC) gene fused to four copies of the DRE element or three copies of the GCC-box element, and the effector plasmid contained full-length CDS of *IbRAP2.4* with double copies of the 3SS promoter (Figure 3C). The luciferase activity showed a more than two-fold increment in the presence of *IbRAP2.4* protein, compared to that of the control, indicating strong activator activity of *IbRAP2.4* in transcriptional regulation of DRE-containing and GCC-box-containing gene expression in plant cells.

**Overexpression of IbRAP2.4 Promoted Lateral Root Formation and Enhanced Drought Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana**

To further characterize the function of *IbRAP2.4* in plants, we firstly generated transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* that overexpressed *IbRAP2.4*. Two independent T3 homozygous lines (OV1 and OV2) of *Arabidopsis* overexpressing *IbRAP2.4* (data not shown) with obvious phenotypes were selected as representatives. Compared with WT, the LR formation of *Arabidopsis* transgenic plants was affected by *IbRAP2.4* overexpression (Figure 4A). A notably promoted LR formation was observed in OV lines at day 12 after sowing, and the post-harvest total root production in OV lines was obviously increased owing to accelerated LR growth. In addition, OV plants displayed enlarged rosette leaves, both in length and width. The biomass of *IbRAP2.4* overexpressed plants was higher than that of the control. In contrast, overexpression of *IbRAP2.4* caused a reduction in chlorophyll content (Supplementary Figure 3).

To reveal whether *IbRAP2.4* contributed to the tolerance of drought stress, both the transgenic lines and WT grown in pots for 2 weeks were treated with drought. No obvious difference was observed between WT and OV5 under normal conditions, whereas the WT plants exhibited increased sensitivity to drought stress compared with the overexpressed-*IbRAP2.4* transgenic lines (Figure 4B). After re-watering for 1 day, plants of transgenic *Arabidopsis* lines OV1 and OV2 only displayed slight wilting and successfully recovered, while most of the WT nearly died with their leaves turned yellow and were shrunken in size. All
these results illustrate that overexpressed *IbRAP2.4* promoted LR formation and enhanced drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis*.

**Overexpression of IbRAP2.4 Affected Root Formation in Sweetpotato**

To confirm the role of *IbRAP2.4* in sweetpotato, it was transformed into Xushu 29, a variety known to be suitable for transformation, to generate overexpression lines. A total of 12 transgenic lines were obtained and confirmed by PCR (data not shown) and qRT-PCR (Figure 5A). Three transgenic lines (L1 to L3) exhibiting high *IbRAP2.4* expression were selected as representatives (OE1 to OE3) for further characterization. The transgenic lines and WT plants showed no differences in response to drought stress (data not shown). However, after the plants were harvested from the field, obvious phenotypic
FIGURE 5 | Overexpression of IbRAP2.4 in sweetpotato. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of sweetpotato transgenic lines overexpressing IbRAP2.4 (L1 to L12). (B) Reduced number of storage roots (SRs) but increased number of pencil roots (PRs) produced by representative transgenic lines (OE1 to OE3). (C) Expression of genes involved in lignin biosynthesis. Data represent mean ± SD of three biological replicates. The asterisks represent significant differences from the WT at *P < 0.05 or **P < 0.01.

changes were observed in the mature storage roots in IbRAP2.4 overexpression transgenic lines compared to the WT (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure 4). Under field conditions, WT produced 3–5 storage roots per plant, whereas the number of SRs in OE lines was notably reduced. In contrast, more PRs were produced by OE lines, ranging from 4.43 (in L3) to 5.29 (in L2); this was far superior to the WT (0.57).

As PRs are a type of lignified thick root, it was speculated that lignin biosynthesis in transgenic sweetpotato might be impacted by overexpressing IbRAP2.4. The expression of key enzyme genes involved in lignin biosynthesis, including phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H), cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD), cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR), caffeic acid/5-hydroxyferulic acid O-methyltransferase (COMT), and caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase (CCoAOMT), was analyzed by qRT-PCR using 5-week-old seedlings (Figure 5C). Compared to the WT, the three OE lines showed 2.88- to 4.12-fold changes in the expression of COMT. A slight up-regulation with 1.53- to 3.43-fold changes was also observed in the expression of CCR in transgenic lines. These results indicate that overexpression of IbRAP2.4 inhibited SR formation by activating the expression of genes involved in the lignin biosynthesis pathway.

DISCUSSION

We identified the IbRAP2.4 gene as a novel regulator of root development. The IbRAP2.4 protein shares high similarity with Arabidopsis RAP2.4 which belongs to the DREB subfamily of AP2/ERF family (Figure 1). Many members of the AP2/ERF family are known to bind to either the DRE element or the ethylene-responsive GCC-box, while others bind to both of the two cis-elements (Phukan et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2019). In accordance with Arabidopsis RAP2.4 (Lin et al., 2008), IbRAP2.4 can bind to both the DRE and GCC-box cis-elements, and acts as a transcription activator (Figure 3). DREBs have been extensively examined under abiotic stress, where they respond to and positively regulated drought, cold, salt, and heat tolerance by regulating stress-responsive genes (Xie et al., 2019). Drought- and salt-inducible Arabidopsis RAP2.4 is reported to regulate multiple developmental processes and drought stress tolerance (Lin et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2020). In this study, IbRAP2.4 expression was highly detected in sweetpotato roots (Figure 2A), and induced by treatments of salinity, dehydration, ethylene, and IAA (Figure 2B). In addition to the enhanced drought tolerance in IbRAP2.4 OVs, overexpression of IbRAP2.4 in Arabidopsis and sweetpotato displayed promoted LRs formation and increased
PRs respectively (Figures 4, 5), illustrating the regulatory role of IbRAP2.4 in plant root development.

Lateral roots in IbRAP2.4-overexpression Arabidopsis lines exhibited promoted formation. The whole process of LR development in Arabidopsis has been well established and auxins play essential roles in each developmental phase (Du and Scheres, 2018). AP2/ERF transcription factors were found to be involved in auxin-regulated LR development. The auxin-regulated PUCHI gene, encoding an AP2/ERF transcription factor, is required for a coordinated pattern of cell division during LR formation in Arabidopsis (Hirota et al., 2007). ERF109-mediated cross-talk of JA signaling and auxins regulate LR formation by directly activating auxin biosynthesis genes (Cai et al., 2014). Recently, Lv et al. (2021) reported that ERF13 acts as a negative regulator of LR development that is degraded upon auxin perception through an MPK14-dependent pathway. Although the expression of IbRAP2.4 in sweetpotato was induced by the active auxin IAA (Figure 2B), further confirmation is needed as to whether IbRAP2.4-controlled LR development depends on an auxin-regulated pathway.

Arabidopsis lines expressing CaMV35S:IbRAP2.4 showed improved drought tolerance as compared to WT (Figure 4B). Previous studies have implicated several Arabidopsis AP2/ERF family genes in drought stress tolerance but with different mechanisms. For instance, transgenic alfalfa (Medicago sativa) overexpressing WXPI with increased cuticular wax accumulation on leaf surfaces shows enhanced drought tolerance (Zhang et al., 2005, 2007). Whereas overexpression of OsERF71 changes the root structure of plants through modification of its cell wall, thereby enhancing rice tolerance to drought stress (Lee et al., 2016). A direct correlation between root architecture and resistance to water deficit has been shown in many crop plants. Root-specific overexpression of three OsNACs (OsNAC5, OsNAC59, and OsNAC510) notably enlarges roots, which in turn enhances drought tolerance (Jeong et al., 2010, 2013; Redillas et al., 2012). In addition, overexpression of DEEPER ROOTING1 confers a drought avoidance capacity by altering rice root architecture (Uga et al., 2013). LRs increase the contact area of roots with soil particles and thereby aid water and nutrient absorption from the soil (Ahmed et al., 2016). A previous study also elucidates that higher LR density can potentially enhance drought tolerance for plants (Placido et al., 2020). Therefore, we speculated that the enhanced drought tolerance in overexpressed IbRAP2.4 transgenic Arabidopsis may be a result of its promoted LR development. Furthermore, IbRAP2.4-overexpression sweetpotato lines did not show a significant phenotype for drought tolerance, which may be explained by the different regulatory mechanisms of root system formation between Arabidopsis and sweetpotato.

Sweetpotato yield and quality are dependent upon SR development during plant growth. Therefore, much of the research on sweetpotato focuses on the mechanisms underlying SR formation and development. The sweetpotato plant initially produces colorless FRs, with some of these subsequently acquiring pigmentation and undergoing “thickening” growth to form PRs that ultimately develop into SRs. Recently, a number of genes related to SR formation have been identified in sweetpotato, such as: SRD1, which is essential for the initiation and development of tuberous roots by influencing auxin synthesis (Noh et al., 2010); expansion gene IbEXP1, which affects the weight and number of SRs (Noh et al., 2013); and IbNAC083, a core regulator of SR swelling initiation (He et al., 2021). Results of overexpressed IbRAP2.4 transgenic sweetpotato suggested a role for the AP2/ERF transcription factor in SR development (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 4). Lignification of the middle column inhibits the conversion of adventitious roots to SRs (Belehu et al., 2004), and transcriptomic analysis validated the down-regulation of lignin biosynthesis and up-regulation of starch biosynthesis related genes at an early stage of SR formation (Firon et al., 2013). Consistent with these conclusions, inhibited SR formation in IbRAP2.4-overexpression sweetpotato lines was caused by elevated root lignification levels with activated expression of genes involved in the lignin biosynthesis pathway.

The AP2/ERF transcription factors were reported to be involved in lignin biosynthesis regulation by directly binding to DRE element or GCC-boxes in the promoters of the lignin biosynthetic genes. OsERF71 was found to control lignin biosynthesis in roots by directly binding to the promoter of OsCCR1, which contains a GCC core cis-element (Lee et al., 2016). EjERF39 could transactivate the promoter of the lignin biosynthetic gene Ej4CL1 by recognizing the DRE element (Zhang et al., 2020). In the current study, an enhanced expression level of lignin biosynthetic genes was observed in OE lines, especially CCR and COMT (Figure 5C). The bioinformatic analysis of the cis elements in the promoter region of CCR revealed two DRE core elements to be present at the promoter of CCR (Supplementary Figure 2), suggesting the possibility that IbRAP2.4 promoted lignin biosynthesis during SR formation by recognizing and directly binding to the DRE element within the promoter of CCR.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, KX255653.1 and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, ARS72979.1.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XB and YY conceived and designed the research. XB, HK, QZ, SL, and PM performed the experiments. XB, S-SK, ZJ, and YX analyzed the data. PZ and YY interpreted the data. XB, PZ, and YY wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant Nos. 2019YFD1001300 and 2019YFD1001302), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.820450/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

Ahmed, M. A., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kaestner, A., and Carminati, A. (2016). Measurements of water uptake of maize roots: the key factor of lateral roots. Plant Soil 398, 59–77. doi: 10.1007/s11104-015-2639-6

Belteku, T., Hammes, P. S., and Robbertse, P. J. (2004). The origin and structure of adventitious roots in sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas). Aust. J. Bot. 52:551. doi: 10.1071/BT033152

Cai, X.-T., Xu, P., Zhao, P.-X., Liu, R., Yu, L.-H., and Xiang, C.-B. (2014). Arabidopsis ERF109 mediates cross-talk between jasmonic acid and auxin biosynthesis during lateral root formation. Nat. Commun. 5:5833. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6883

Clough, S. J., and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 16, 735–743. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1998.00343.x

Du, Y., and Scheres, B. (2018). Lateral root formation and the multiple roles of auxin. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 155–167. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers223

El Sheikh, A. F., and Ray, R. C. (2017). Potential impacts of bioprocessing of sweet potato: review. Crit. Rev. Food. Sci. Nutr. 57, 455–471. doi: 10.1080/10408389.2016.940909

Firon, N., LaBonte, D., Villordon, A., Kfir, Y., Solis, J., Lapis, E., et al. (2013). Transcriptional profiling of sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas) roots indicates down-regulation of lignin biosynthesis and up-regulation of starch biosynthesis at an early stage of storage root formation. BMC Genomics. 14:460. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-460

Guo, L., Tayengwa, R., Cheng, Z., Peer, W. A., Murphy, A. S., and Zhao, M. (2019). Auxin regulates adventitious root formation in tomato cuttings. BMC Plant Biol. 19:435. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-0202-9

He, S., Wang, H., Hao, X., Wu, Y., Bian, X., Yin, M., et al. (2021). Dynamic network biomarker analysis discovers IbNAC083 in the initiation and regulation of sweet potato root tuberization. Plant J. 108, 793–813. doi: 10.1111/tpj.15478

Hirot a, A., Kato, T., Fukahi, H., Aida, M., and Tasaka, M. (2007). The Auxin-regulated AP2/EREBP gene PUCHI is required for morphogenesis in the early lateral root primordium of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 19, 2156–2168. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.050674

Iwata, Y., Lee, M.-H., and Koizumi, N. (2011). Analysis of a transcription factor using transient assay in Arabidopsis protoplasts. Methods Mol. Biol. 754, 107–117. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-154-3_6

Jeong, J. S., Kim, Y. S., Baek, K. H., Jung, H., Ha, S.-H., Do Choi, Y., et al. (2016). Down-regulation of Cameron enhances root formation and grain yield in rice under field drought conditions. Plant Cell. 18, 42–57. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssm004

Lin, R.-C., Park, H.-J., and Wang, H.-Y. (2008). Role of Arabidopsis RAP2.4 in regulating light- and ethylene-mediated developmental processes and drought stress tolerance. Mol. Plant. 1, 42–57. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssm004

Lv, G., Gao, S., Tian, H., Wu, W., Robert, H. S., and Ding, Z. (2016). Local transcriptional control of YUCCA regulates auxin promoted root-growth inhibition in response to aluminum stress in Arabidopsis. PloS Genet. 12:e1006360. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006360

Nakano, T., Suzuki, K., Fujimura, T., and Shinshi, H. (2006). Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol. 140, 411–432. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.073783

No h, S. A., Lee, H.-S., Huh, E. J., Huh, G. H., Paek, K.-H., Shin, J. S., et al. (2010). SRD1 is involved in the auxin-mediated initial thickening growth of storage root by enhancing proliferation of metaxylem and cambium cells in sweetpotato (Ipomoea batatas). J. Exp. Bot. 61, 1337–1349. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp399

No h, S. A., Lee, H.-S., Kim, Y.-S., Paek, K.-H., Shin, J. S., and Bae, J. M. (2013). Down-regulation of the IbEXP1 gene enhanced storage root development in sweetpotato. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 129–142. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers236

Placid o, D. F., Sandhu, J., Sato, S. I., Nersesian, N., Quach, T., Clemente, T. E., et al. (2020). The LATERAL ROOT DENSITY gene regulates root growth during water stress in wheat. Plant Biotechnol. J. 18, 1955–1968. doi: 10.1111/pbi.13355

Qin, H., and Huang, R. (2018). Auxin controlled by ethylene steers root development. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19:3656. doi: 10.3390/ijms19113656
Redillas, M. C. F. R., Jeong, J. S., Kim, Y. S., Jung, H., Bang, S. W., Choi, Y. D., et al. (2012). The overexpression of OsNAC9 alters the root architecture of rice plants enhancing drought resistance and grain yield under field conditions. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 10, 792–805. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2012.00697.x

Uga, Y., Sugimoto, K., Ogawa, S., Rane, J., Ishitani, M., Haru, N., et al. (2013). Control of root system architecture by DEEPER ROOTING 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1097–1102. doi: 10.1038/ng.2725

Waadt, R., and Kudla, J. (2008). In planta visualization of protein interactions using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). *CSH Protoc.* 2008:rot4995. doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot4995

Wei, K., Ruan, L., Wang, L., and Cheng, H. (2019). Auxin-induced adventitious root formation in nodal cuttings of *Camellia sinensis*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:4817. doi: 10.3390/ijms20194817

Wilson, L. A., and Lowe, S. B. (1973). The anatomy of the root system in west indian sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) cultivars. *Ann. Bot.* 37, 633–643. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aob.a084729

Xia, Z., Nolan, T. M., Jiang, H., and Yin, Y. (2019). AP2/ERF transcription factor regulatory networks in hormone and abiotic stress responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:228. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00228

Yang, S. U., Kim, H., Kim, R. J., Kim, J., and Suh, M. C. (2020). AP2/DREB transcription factor RAP2.4 activates cuticular wax biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* leaves under drought. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:895. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00895

Yoon, J., Cho, L.-H., Yang, W., Pasriga, R., Wu, Y., Hong, W.-I., et al. (2020). Homeobox transcription factor OsZHD2 promotes root meristem activity in rice by inducing ethylene biosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 5348–5364. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa209

Yu, Y., Kim, H. S., Ma, P., Jia, Z., Guo, X., Xie, Y., et al. (2020). A novel ethylene-responsive factor IbERF4 from sweetpotato negatively regulates abiotic stress. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* 14, 397–406. doi: 10.1007/s11816-020-00612-x

Zhang, J., Yin, X.-R., Li, H., Xu, M., Zhang, M.-X., Li, S.-J., et al. (2020). Ethylene response FACTOR39-MYB8 complex regulates low-temperature-induced lignification of loquat fruit. *J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 3172–3184. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa085

Zhang, J.-Y., Broeckling, C. D., Blancaflor, E. B., Sledge, M. K., Sumner, L. W., and Wang, Z.-Y. (2005). Overexpression of WXP1, a putative *Medicago truncatula* AP2 domain-containing transcription factor gene, increases cuticular wax accumulation and enhances drought tolerance in transgenic alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Plant J.* 42, 689–707. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02405.x

Zhang, J.-Y., Broeckling, C. D., Sumner, L. W., and Wang, Z.-Y. (2007). Heterologous expression of two *Medicago truncatula* putative ERF transcription factor genes, WXP1 and WXP2, in *Arabidopsis* led to increased leaf wax accumulation and improved drought tolerance, but differential response in freezing tolerance. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 64, 265–278. doi: 10.1007/s11103-007-9150-2

Zhao, Y., Cheng, S., Song, Y., Huang, Y., Zhou, S., Liu, X., et al. (2015). The interaction between rice ERF3 and WOX11 promotes crown root development by regulating gene expression involved in cytokinin signaling. *Plant Cell.* 27, 2469–2483. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00227

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Bian, Kim, Kwak, Zhang, Liu, Ma, Jia, Xie, Zhang and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.