Clinical and Angiographic Features of Patients with Coronary Artery Ectasia Compared with Stenotic Coronary Artery Disease

Abstract

Background: Coronary artery ectasia (CAE) is frequently observed in patients undergoing coronary angiography for evaluation of coronary artery disease (CAD). It is not clear whether CAE is a variant of CAD or a separate disease entity.

Objective: To compare the clinical and angiographic profile of patients having coronary artery ectasia (CAE) with those having stenotic coronary artery disease (CAD).

Materials And Methods: We studied 1176 consecutive patients who had coronary angiography for various clinical indications. Of these 297 patients were excluded because of incomplete data. The remaining 879 were divided into two groups: Coronary Artery Ectasia Group (CAE Group) was found in 207 patients. These were compared with 672 patients (Stenotic CAD Group) who had coronary angiography for the same clinical indication during the same period. Stenotic CAD Group had no ectasia. CAE was defined as a vessel diameter of ≥1.5 times that of nearest normal segment. A significant stenosis was defined as a ≥50% luminal loss of the diameter.

Results: Patients with CAE were 2 years younger (56.4±9.9 years) than Stenotic CAD (58.6±9.5 years) (p<0.017). Males and females were in similar proportions in the two Groups. Diabetes was less frequent in CAE Group (36.7%) as compared to Stenotic CAD Group (48.3%) (p<0.003). Hypertension was present in similar proportions in both groups (56.5% vs. 57.7%) (p<0.774). More Arab patients were found in CAE Group (51.7%) and more Indians in Stenotic CAD Group (57.1%) (p<0.005).

Coronary ectasia was most frequent in RCA (60.7%), followed by LAD in 82 patients (39.6%) and least in LCx 73 patients (35.3%). Conversely, in stenotic CAD Group the LAD was more frequently affected (70.9%) as compared to CAE Group (60.7%) (p<0.0001). LCx had stenosis of 50.8% in Stenotic CAD Group vs. 36% (p<0.0001). RCA stenosis was observed in 75.3% in Stenotic CAD Group vs. 45.4% in CAE Group (p<0.0001).

Left main stem ectasia was observed in 15 (7.3%) patients. LAD ectasia was found in 82 (39.6%) patients. LCx ectasia was found in 73 (35.3%) patients. RCA ectasia was observed in 126 (60.7%) patients. In the CAE Group, only 32 (15.5%) patients had isolated CAE without stenosis in any coronary artery. The majority 175 (84.5%) patients had CAE in same vessels and stenosis in the same or other vessels.

Conclusion: Compared to CAD, coronary ectasia occurred in younger male patients and inversely related to diabetes. ACS is the most common presentation for patients with CAE which suggest that it is not just a benign condition. The distribution of CAE among the three coronary arteries is also different to that in CAD.
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Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Each year, an estimated 635,000 Americans have a new coronary attack (defined as first hospitalized myocardial infarction (MI) or coronary heart disease related death) and 280,000 have a recurrent attack [1]. Coronary artery ectasia (CAE) is a relatively common entity but its prevalence and relevance are not as well studied in the Middle Eastern region. In other parts of the World its prevalence varies from 1.5 to 5%
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Patients and Methods

We studied 1176 consecutive patients. Of these 297 patients were excluded because of incomplete data. The remaining 879 were divided into two groups, Coronary Artery Ectasia Group (CAE Group) was found in 207 patients. These were compared with 672 patients (Stenotic CAD Group) who had coronary angiography for the same clinical indications during the same period. Stenotic CAD Group had stenosis >50%, without ectasia.

A Proforma was used for data collection. It included history, along with information regarding risk factors of CAD, indication for coronary angiography and angiographic findings of each patient.

CAE was defined as a vessel diameter of ≥1.5 times that of nearest normal segment. A significant stenosis was defined as a ≥50% loss of the diameter. In order to study the relation between age and the two pathologies, the study population was divided in to four age groups, <40 years, 41-50 years, 51-60 years and >60 years.

Patients with entirely normal coronaries or <50% luminal narrowing were excluded unless they had ectasia.

The Primary end point was to compare the distribution of CAD as well as the coexistence of ectasia and stenotic CAD. Secondary clinical end points were to compare the cardiovascular risk factors in the two groups.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS (release 17.0; SPSS, Inc; Chicago, IL) system for Windows. Continuous variables were expressed as mean±SD (Standard Deviation) while categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Risk factors and severity of coronary artery disease in patients with and without coronary artery ectasia were compared in CAE Group and Stenotic CAD Group by applying Chi square test and p values were calculated. A p value of less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

Results

There were 812(92.4%) males and 67(7.6%) females in the entire study population. Males were 195(94.2%) in CAE Group and 617(91.8%) in Stenotic CAD Group. The mean age of study population was 58±9.6 years, however patients with CAE were younger (56.4±9.9 years) than Stenotic CAD (58.6±9.5 years), (p<0.171). When divided into age groups, it was observed that majority of study patients 372(42.5%) were in the age group 51-60 years which was similar in the CAE and Stenotic CAD groups. However there were significantly less older patients (>60 years) in CAE group (29.5%), as compared to (40%), in Stenotic CAD Group (p<0.0001). Conversely there were significantly more young patients (<40 years) in CAE group, (7.7%), as compared to (2.2%) in Stenotic CAD Group (p<0.0001). Table 1 shows the ethnic origin in the study patients. There were more Arab patients in CAE Group 107(51.7%) vs 53(37.5%) in the Stenotic CAD Group (p<0.005). An inverse trend was observed from the Indian subcontinent with more patients in Stenotic CAD Group 384(57.1%) vs. 89(43%) in CAE Group (p<0.005). Diabetes was less frequent in CAE Group 76(36.7%) than in Stenotic CAD Group 325 (48.3%) (p<0.003), while hypertension was present in similar proportions in both groups 117(56.5%) vs. 388(57.7%) (p<0.774). Combined Diabetes and hypertension was present in 306(34.8%) patients in the whole study population, however this combination was less frequent in CAE group 58(28%) vs. 248(36.8%) p<0.20.

Non ST elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome (Non STE ACS) (comprising of Non ST elevation myocardial infarction and unstable angina) was the indication in majority of the study population 405(46.1%) followed by STEMI in 290(32.9%) and positive stress test in 184(21%) patients in both groups (Table 2). Isolated CAE (without stenotic CAD) was uncommon and was seen in only 32(15.5%) patients. The remaining 175(84.5%) patients had ectasia and stenosis in the same or other vessels. There were 29(90.6%) males and 3(9.4%) females in isolated CAE while 166(94.6%) males and 9(5.1%) females in CAE with stenosis. Hypertension was present in 18(56.3%) isolated CAE and 99(56.6%) CAE with stenosis. Diabetes mellitus was present in 9(28.1%) isolated CAE and 67(38.3%) CAE with coronary stenosis. Combined Hypertension and DM was present in 8(25%) isolated CAE and 50(28.6%) CAE with coronary stenosis. Dyslipidemia was present in 12(37.5%) isolated CAE and 49(28%) CAE with stenosis. Smoking was present in 16(50%) isolated CAE and 94(53.7%) CAE with stenosis.

In CAE group, single vessel CAE was observed in 124 patients (59.9%) patients, two vessel CAE was seen in 44 patients (21.3%) and three vessel CAE was found in only 39 patients (18.8%). In older patients (>60 years old) one vessel ectasia was seen in 46(63.9%) while in <60 years old patients it was present in 78(57.8%). In >60 years old two vessel ectasia was observed in
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14 (19.4%) and in <60 years old patients it was seen in 30 (22.2%). Three vessel ectasia was seen in 12 (16.7%) patients in >60 years of age and it was observed in 27 (20%) in <60 years old.

The distribution of stenosis in various coronary arteries was compared in CAE and Stenotic CAD Groups (Table 3). Overall, coronary stenosis in LAD was observed in 477 (70.9%) in Stenotic CAD Group compared to 117 (56.5%) patients in CAE Group (p < 0.0001). LCx stenosis was more frequent in Stenotic CAD Group of 342 (50.8%) vs. 54 (26.1%) in CAE Group (p < 0.0001). In RCA, stenosis was observed in 507 (75.3%) in Stenotic CAD Group vs. 94 (45.4%) to CAE Group (p < 0.0001) (Table 3).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study population.

| Characteristics          | CAE Group N=207 | Stenotic Cad Group N=672 | p value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age mean years           | 56.4±9.9        | 58.6±9.5                 | <0.171  |
| Age Groups               |                 |                          |         |
| ≤40 Years                | 16 (7.7%)       | 15 (2.2%)                | <0.0001 |
| 41-50 Years              | 35 (16.9%)      | 110 (16.3%)              |         |
| 51-60 Years              | 95 (45.9%)      | 279 (41.5%)              |         |
| >60 Years                | 61 (29.5%)      | 269 (40%)                |         |
| Gender                   |                 |                          |         |
| Male                     | 195 (94.2%)     | 617 (91.8%)              | <0.258  |
| Female                   | 12 (5.8%)       | 55 (8.2%)                |         |
| Diabetes mellitus        | 76 (36.7%)      | 325 (48.3%)              | <0.003  |
| Hypertension             | 117 (56.5%)     | 388 (57.7%)              | <0.774  |
| DM and HTN               | 58 (28%)        | 248 (36.8%)              | <0.020  |
| Smoking                  | 110 (53.1%)     | 297 (44.1%)              | <0.023  |
| Dyslipidemia             | 61 (29.5%)      | 159 (23.6%)              | <0.09   |
| Family history of CAD    | 7 (3.4%)        | 17 (2.5%)                | <0.509  |
| Ethnic Origin            |                 |                          |         |
| Arabs                    | 107 (51.7%)     | 253 (37.6%)              | <0.005  |
| Indian Subcontinent      | 89 (43%)        | 384 (57.1%)              |         |
| Far East                 | 5 (2.4%)        | 21 (3.1%)                |         |
| Caucasians               | 6 (2.9%)        | 14 (2.1%)                |         |

CAD: Coronary Artery Disease; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; HTN: Hypertension

Table 2: Indications for coronary angiography.

| Characteristics          | CAE Group N=207 | Stenotic Cad Group N=672 | p value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Non STE ACS              | 96 (46.1%)      | 309 (45.9%)              | <0.677  |
| STE ACS                  | 66 (32.9%)      | 224 (33.3%)              | <0.097  |
| Positive stress test     | 45 (21%)        | 139 (20.7%)              | <0.819  |

STE ACS: ST Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes.

Table 3: Presence of significant coronary artery stenosis in Ectasia vs Non-ectasia groups.

| Characteristics          | CAE Group N=207 | Stenotic Cad Group N=672 | p value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| RCA                      | 94 (45.4%)      | 507 (75.3%)              | <0.0001 |
| LAD                      | 117 (56.5%)     | 477 (70.9%)              | <0.0001 |
| LCX                      | 54 (26.1%)      | 342 (50.8%)              | <0.0001 |
| LMS                      | 7 (3.4%)        | 42 (6.2%)                | <0.117  |

LAD: Left Anterior Descending; LCX: Left Circumflex; LMS: Left Main Stem; RCA: Right Coronary Artery
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In order to study the association of CAE with CAD, we studied the presence of stenotic CAD in the vessels having ectasia versus non ectatic vessels of the same patients. Left main stem ectasia was observed in 15(7.3%) patients of whom 14(93.3%) also had stenoses in other coronary arteries, only one (6.7%) having both stenosis and CAE in left main. LAD ectasia was found in 82(39.6%) patients, 65(79.3%) had stenoses in other coronary arteries while 33(40.2%) had simultaneous presence of CAD with CAE in the LAD itself. LCx ectasia was found in 73(35.3%) patients, 61(83.6%) had CAD in other coronary arteries while 14(19.2%) had simultaneous presence of CAD with ectasia in the LCx itself. RCA ectasia was observed in 126(60.7%) patients, 100(79.4%) had CAD in other coronary arteries while 47(37.3%) had simultaneous presence of CAD with ectasia in the RCA itself.

Discussion

As compared to patients with stenotic coronary artery disease the current study reveals that patient with coronary ectasia were younger and are less likely to be diabetic. Of the four age segments, CAE was more common in the younger age group, while stenotic CAD was common in older age. This is in agreement with previous studies [10-13]. Giannoglu et al. [11] also reported more male patients having CAE. This gender difference has been reported previously [12,13] and is supposed to be due to a lower incidence of CAD in women [11]. Considering the different age segments, we found nearly three quarters of CAE to be younger than 60 years. This is different from other regions not only CAE occurs at younger age in our region in the INTERHEART study, Gehani et al. [14] reported that the age at first AMI was 51.2 ± 10.3 years, which was the youngest in the entire INTERHEART population [14]. Lam et al. [15] who reported that majority of patients with CAE were males in their sixth decade [15]. Age has been shown to constitute a significant factor that is inversely related to the presence of CAE [11]. The higher likelihood of males having CAE compared with women is generally consistent with a study in Spain in which male gender was demonstrated to be an independent factor that increased the hazard of CAE [16].

Diabetes mellitus is a well known risk factor for coronary atherosclerosis and its complications [17-19]. Several recent studies have evaluated and compared traditional cardiovascular risk factors in patients with CAE and in those with stenotic CAD. As in our study, an interesting observation is the negative correlation between diabetes and CAE (36.7% in CAE vs 48.3% in CAD) has also been reported by others. The prevalence of diabetes in patients with ectasia was found to be less frequent than that in patients with stenotic CAD [3,16].

Androulakis et al. [3] and Bermudez et al. [16] reported significant, independent and inverse association between CAE and diabetes mellitus. Diabetes mellitus is known to promote negative remodeling in the arterial wall and impairs compensatory arterial enlargement during the course of the atherosclerotic process [20]. Therefore it may be reasonable to expect such an inverse association between diabetes mellitus and CAE. This inverse relationship also suggests that the pathogenesis underlying CAE may not simply be a variant of coronary atherosclerosis.

Sayin et al. [21] postulated that CAE renders patients at higher risk of myocardial ischemia irrespective of extent of stenosis [21]. Nayamu et al. [22] reported a significant proportion of patients at presentation either had an acute myocardial infarction or a history of myocardial infarction while (49%) patients had angina. Similar to these findings Demopoulos et al. [10] reported 39% of patients to have angina or myocardial infarction [10]. We observed similar proportion of patients in CAE and Stenotic CAD group to have Non STEMI, unstable angina and STEMI. Patients with CAE without stenosis had significantly more frequent abnormal treadmill stress tests compared with those with normal coronary angiography, suggesting decrease in coronary flow reserve and microvascular dysfunction as a possible contributing factor for myocardial ischemia in CAE. Hartnell [12] and Markus [23] reported that angina is the most common presenting complaint in patients with CAE. However in our study ACS is the most common presentation in patients with CAE.

We found the distribution of CAE and stenotic CAD to be different among major coronary arteries. CAE was most frequently observed in LAD followed by RCA and LCx. Conversely stenotic CAD was most frequent in RCA followed by LAD and LCx.

CAE has a high coexistence with CAD in our study. Approximately 85% of patients with CAE also had concomitant stenosing CAD. This finding is consistent with other studies [10,23,24]. In these patients with concomitant disease, the right coronary artery is most frequently involved in the ectatic process, followed by the left anterior descending artery and the circumflex artery [10,24].

Some previous studies also found that the RCA is the most commonly involved coronary artery in patients with isolated CAE ranging from 45% to 75% (this was 45.4% in our study) [10,23]. In our study RCA stenosis was highest 75.3% followed by LAD stenosis 70.9% and LCx stenosis in 50.8%. In Demopoulos’s report involvement of the RCA by the stenotic lesion was the least compared to LAD and LCx [10]. Demopoulos’s reported that in patients with CAD coexisting with CAE, 34% of the stenotic lesions were in the vessels affected by the ectatic process, while 65% were in the non-ectatic vessels [10].

In current study, Left main stem ectasia was observed in 15 patients of whom 14(93.3%) also had stenoses in other coronary arteries, only one (6.7%) having both stenosis and CAE in left main. Previous studies have reported all three coronary vessels to be affected by CAE, but in almost 75% of patients an isolated artery was found to be ectatic [25,26]. The reason for the higher RCA predisposition to CAE is not well understood. In a small percentage of patients, CAE does not coexist with coronary stenosis [8].

Conclusion

Compared to CAD, coronary ectasia occurred in younger male patients and inversely related to diabetes. ACS is the most common presentation for patients with CAE which suggest that it is not just a benign condition. The distribution of CAE among the three coronary arteries is also different to that in CAD.
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