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ABSTRACT

We study the interaction between an accreting satellite and the Andromeda galaxy (M31) analytically and numerically, using a high-resolution \( N \)-body simulation with \( 4 \times 10^7 \) particles. For the first time, we show the self-gravitating response of the disk, the bulge, and the dark matter halo of M31 to an accreting satellite. We reproduce the stream and the shells at the east and west sides of M31 by following the evolution of the collision 4 Gyr into the future, and show that recently discovered diffuse arcs on the south side of the minor axis are the remnants of a similar collision that occurred 3–4 Gyr earlier than the stream event. The present-day integrity of the M31 disk constrains the satellite mass to be \( M \leq 5 \times 10^9 \) \( M_\odot \). The stars that were originally in the center of the satellite are now in the east shell. Therefore, observations in this region might reveal additional clues about the nature of satellite, such as the central core and any metallicity gradient.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Large spiral galaxies such as the Andromeda galaxy (M31) are believed to have formed in part from the merger of many less massive galaxies, and their halos are modeled as the debris of accreted dwarfs (e.g., Bullock & Johnston 2005). The giant stream of Ibata et al. (2001) and the star count maps of Ferguson et al. (2002) establish the complex merger origin of the M31 halo population; evidence for coherent structures now extends to \( \approx 100 \) kpc (Ibata et al. 2007). Further support comes from the spectroscopic side, e.g., the disk population of Ibata et al. (2005). Deep \( HST \) ACS photometry shows that three deep fields in the M31 halo exhibit unequivocal evidence for intermediate-age populations (Brown et al. 2006). Star count maps and radial velocities of red giant stars near M31 exhibit a giant stellar stream to the south of this galaxy, as well as giant stellar shells to the east and the west of M31’s center (Ibata et al. 2001; Ferguson et al. 2002; McConnachie et al. 2003; Ibata et al. 2004, 2005; Guhathakurta et al. 2006). Recently Ibata et al. (2007) have carried out a deep, wide-field photometric survey of the minor axis toward M33. They find a series of arclike structures parallel to the major axis of M31 toward M33, and spaced by \( \approx 10 \) kpc.

\( N \)-body simulations of the interaction between the progenitor of the giant stream and M31 suggest that the stream and shells are the tidal debris formed in the last pericentric passage of a satellite on a radial orbit (Ibata et al. 2004; Font et al. 2006; Geehan et al. 2006; Fardal et al. 2006, 2007). However, these models have always assumed a fixed potential to represent the influence of M31, and no models consider the possible effect of a live (\( N \)-body) disk. The discovery of the widespread intermediate-age halo population has raised the question of whether these stars belong to the infalling satellite or are instead disk stars that were ejected in the stream collision or an earlier interaction. Present constraints on the progenitor mass are weak; consequently we look to the present-day thickness of the disk to limit the progenitor mass. In addition, the newly discovered large-scale arcs (Ibata et al. 2007) have not yet been modeled. These issues motivate us to explore the effect of the accreting satellite using the first self-consistent, \( N \)-body model of M31 that has a disk, bulge, and dark matter halo.

2. DISK THICKNESS CONSTRAINS THE SATELLITE MASS

It has long been known that infalling satellites are likely the major source of the observed thickness of disks, and that the integrity of disks constrain the masses of such satellites (Toth & Ostriker 1992; Quinn et al. 1993; Velázquez & White 1999; Font et al. 2001; Hayashi & Chiba 2006; Gauthier et al. 2006).

We estimate the disk heating by dynamical friction, which is caused by the scattering of the disk stars into an overdense wake that trails the orbiting body, exerting a force opposite to the orbital motion. The energy input into disk stars through the interaction between disk stars and a satellite is equal to the orbital energy loss \( \Delta E \) of the satellite. If we assume that the vertical velocity dispersion of the disk stars \( \sigma_z \) is constant, the change \( \Delta \sigma_z = 2 \Delta E/M_\star \), where \( M_\star \) is the mass of the satellite and \( \Delta E \) is given by the integral of the frictional force over an orbit of the satellite within the disk. Using the Chandrasekhar (1943) expression for dynamical friction, for a thin disk, \( \Delta E \approx 4 \pi G^2 M_\star^2 \Sigma v_z^2 \), where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( \Sigma \) is the surface mass density of the disk, and \( v_z \) is the satellite velocity.

For an axisymmetric thin disk, the equation of motion in the vertical direction and the Poisson equation are simply expressed as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 (\rho_z \sigma_z^2)}{\partial z^2} + \rho_z \partial_z \Phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_z \Phi = 4\pi G \rho_z,
\]

where \( \rho_z \) is the mass density of the disk and \( \Phi \) is the gravitational potential, respectively. Using these equations and assuming \( \rho_z \propto \exp(-z/z_s) \), where \( z_s \) is the scale height of the disk, we obtain the relation between \( \sigma_z \) and \( z_s \):

\[
\sigma_z^2 = 2\pi G \Sigma z_s.
\]

Inserting the equation of \( \Delta \sigma_z^2 \) into the change of the vertical velocity dispersion of the disk as \( \Delta \sigma_z^2 = 2\pi G \Sigma z_s \), we get the relation as \( M_\star = \left[ M_\odot v_z^2 \Delta z_s/(4G) \right]^{1/2} \). Using a set of parameters given by
model A of Widrow et al. (2003), finally, we obtain the critical satellite mass,

\[
M_c = 5.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot \times \left( \frac{M_d}{7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{v_{\perp}}{150 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta z_{0.5}}{0.3 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Consequently, the dynamical mass of the satellite should be smaller than this critical mass, since the disk thickness must agree with the observed thickness of M31 after the interaction of the satellite. This yields an upper limit for the total progenitor mass. Adopting for the stream [Fe/H] \approx −1 (Koch et al. 2007) and using the mass-metallicity relation of Dekel & Woo (2003) gives a lower mass limit for a progenitor stellar mass \( \approx 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot \), which agrees with the predictions of Font et al. (2006, 2008). We offer only order-of-magnitude constraints on these mass bounds, which must be refined further with better observations and fully nonlinear numerical simulations.

3. NUMERICAL MODELING OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE SATELLITE AND M31

In this section, we demonstrate an N-body simulation of the interaction between an accreting satellite and M31. We assume that the total mass of the disk is \( 7.0 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \). The density of the disk falls off approximately as the exponential with a scale length of 5.4 kpc in the radial direction, and as sech\(^2\) with a scale height of 0.3 kpc in the vertical direction. The bulge is a King (1962) model with a total mass of \( 2.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \). The dark matter halo is taken to be a lowered Evans model (Kuijken & Dubinski 1995) with a total mass of \( 3.2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot \) and a tidal radius of 80 kpc. This set of parameters corresponds to model A of Widrow et al. (2003), and provides a good match with the observational data for M31. An N-body realization of this model is done with the GalactICS code written by Kuijken & Dubinski (1995). The satellite is assumed to be a Plummer sphere with initial masses \( 10^9 M_\odot \) (model A), \( 5 \times 10^9 M_\odot \) (model B), and \( 10^{10} M_\odot \) (model C). The initial scale radius is 1 kpc. Following Fardal et al. (2007), we adopt an initial position vector and velocity vector for the standard coordinates centered on M31 of \((−34.75, 19.37, −13.99)\) kpc and \((67.34, −26.12, 13.50)\) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The evolution of the collisionless system is followed up to 5 Gyr using the parallel N-body code GADGET-2 (Springel 2005) and AFD (Mori & Umemura 2006). We adopt a tree algorithm with a tolerance parameter of \( \theta = 0.5 \) and the softening length is 50 pc for all particles. The number of particles is 7,351,266 for the disk, 2,581,897 for the bulge, and 30,750,488 for the dark matter halo. For the satellite, we use 10^5 particles for model A, 5 \times 10^5 particles for model B, and 10^6 particles for model C. The total number of particles is \( \approx 40 \) million, and the mass of a particle is \( 10^5 M_\odot \).

Figure 1 shows the results for the time sequence of stellar densities for Model A. The top panels illustrate the spatial distribution of stellar density as a function of time from −1 to −0.25 Gyr (where 0 Gyr is the present day). The bottom panels describe the future evolution of the system from the present day to 3 Gyr. In our time frame, −1 Gyr corresponds to the initial condition and the start of the simulation run. The first pericentric passage occurred about 0.8 Gyr ago. Figure 1b shows that the satellite collides almost head-on with the bulge. Then, the distribution of satellite particles is distorted and is spread out significantly, as seen in Figure 1c. A large fraction of the satellite particles acquire a high velocity relative to the center of M31. This debris expands to great distance, remaining collimated and giving rise to the southern giant stream. The apocentric passage occurred \( \approx 0.7 \) Gyr ago and the second pericentric passage is shown in Figure 1c. As seen in Figure 1d, stellar particles that initially constituted the satellite start to form a clear shell structure after the second collision with the...
disk. Moreover, a double shell system is sharply defined in Figure 1e. The system is composed of approximately constant curvature shells formed by phase wrapping (Hernquist & Quinn 1988). Then, these shells further expand and a multiple large-scale shell system is finally formed in the outer region and a dense core is formed in the inner region. The outermost large-scale shells in our simulation have a radius of $50 \, \text{kpc}$ and these structures survive at least 4 Gyr from the present day.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the map of RGB count density around M31 observed by Irwin et al. (2005) and the projected stellar density at the present day in our simulation. The satellite is entirely disrupted, and the giant stream of debris arising from the tidal destruction of the accreting satellite at the southern part of M31 is observed. The total mass of the stream given by the simulation is $1.4 \times 10^7 \, M_\odot$. This is consistent with the estimated mass $3 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$ from the observations by Ibata et al. (2001). The maximum length and width of the present-day model stream are $150$ and $50 \, \text{kpc}$, respectively, in good agreement with the observations of Ibata et al. (2007). Furthermore, the simulation reproduces the butterfly-shaped shells in the northeast and the west parts of M31. In the RGB count map of Irwin et al. (2005), the brightness of the northeast shell appears to exceed that of the west shell. The observed density contrast between the shells is 0.43 at $(\xi, \eta) = (2.0, 0.7)$ and $(-1.5, 0.7)$ with a radius of $0.5^\circ$ for the standard coordinates centered on M31. On the other hand, the simulated density contrast is 0.25, which reasonably matches the observed faint features in M31.

Figure 3 shows the disk thickness as a function of the $1/2$ radius following the interaction, for different satellite models. The solid line and the dashed line show the initial radial profile and the resultant radial profile after 5 Gyr without the infalling satellite, respectively. A concordance of two lines confirms that our fiducial model of M31 has perfect dynamical stability during the integration time. There is no significant impact on the disk kinematics or disk thickness for models A and B. Therefore, satellites less massive than $10^7 \, M_\odot$ have a negligible effect on the disk dynamics. But it is clear that the massive satellite (model C) more effectively heats the disk than do the less massive satellites. For the inner part of the disk, $R < 10 \, \text{kpc}$, the scale height increases less than $\sim 10\%$ of its initial value for models A and B, while it increases more than $\sim 50\%$ for model C. Thus, a massive satellite is ruled out as the progenitor of the giant stream, and this result confirms the discussion in § 2.

4. DISCUSSION

In this work, we follow the approach of earlier studies (Ibata et al. 2004; Font et al. 2006; Geehan et al. 2006; Fardal et al. 2006, 2007) in simulating the infall of a massive satellite into M31. However, we add a live $N$-body disk and bulge, and this permits us to ask under what conditions this collision might eject stars from these populations into the halo and quantifies the effect of these populations on the satellite. We also follow the evolution of this encounter for 4 Gyr beyond the present. As is the case in Fardal et al. (2007), our simulations successfully reproduce the giant stream and (for a similar but much older collision) the apparent shell structures that are observed in the star count maps. The large number of test particles in our satellite affords a good comparison with observations (Koch et al. 2007). We conclude that the event responsible for the giant stream most likely has not populated the halo with ejected disk stars; hence the intermediate-age metal-rich populations observed in deep HST fields by Brown et al. (2006)
are not disk stars ejected by the stream progenitor. An ancient radial collision involving a more massive progenitor ($\geq 10^{10} M_\odot$), or a collision with a different approach angle, might have been capable of ejecting disk stars into the halo. The present-day thickness of the disk following this collision constrains the progenitor mass to be $\leq 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$.

Our simulation gives additional predictions, such as the existence of high-velocity stars in the central region of M31. Figure 4 shows that the tidal debris in the M31 central bulge has velocity $>300$ km s$^{-1}$. The particles that were originally deepest in the potential (see color coding in units of $10^{51}$ ergs) are likely, at present, to lie in the east shell. Observations in this region might reveal additional clues about the nature of satellite, such as the central core and the metallicity gradients (see Mori et al. 1997, 1999). It is also noteworthy that $>3$ Gyr after the collision, the debris more or less uniformly fills the halo. Simulations such as Font et al. (2008) may wish to consider the disk, bulge, halo, and satellite stellar populations and their effect on the long-term evolution of streams; encounters with stars in the disk and bulge may disrupt the coherence of streams and scatter the stars in a manner analogous to disk and bulge shocking of globular clusters.

A series of arclike structures discovered by Ibata et al. (2007) are similar to the large-scale shell structures shown in Figures 1f–1h. We conclude that these arcs may be the fossils of previous radial mergers several Gyr in the past (see Hernquist & Quinn 1988). Our model predicts that similar arcs could be found on the opposite side of the M31 disk, in the northwest quadrant, if in fact they are the shells of ancient radial infall collisions. Our model also offers a natural explanation for stars with $R > 100$ kpc that are observed in the M31 halo near the systemic velocity of M31 (Gilbert et al. 2006; Koch et al. 2007). Some of these stars at $R \approx 165$ kpc are found to have [Fe/H] $= -1$ by Koch et al. (2007). We propose that these stars are not a pressure-supported halo but are rather the remnants of ancient radial collisions (note that in Fig. 1, the final extent of the collision is of order 100 kpc). Future studies will examine collisions from different attack angles, stellar disks, and the fate of dust and gas.
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