Radiologic Complete Response In Lung Adenocarcinoma With Symptomatic Brain Metastasis After Systematic Therapy: A Case Study
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Abstract: Patients diagnosed as lung adenocarcinoma with brain metastasis usually result in poor prognosis with limited survival time. Palliative systematic therapy has emerged as the primary choice for non-small cell lung cancer patients with brain metastasis harboring wild-type drive genes. However, the objective response rate and long-term survival for patients treated with this therapy remained unsatisfied. Herein, we present a case with lung adenocarcinoma accompanied with symptomatic brain metastasis who achieved radiologic complete response after receiving combined therapy including stereotactic body radiation therapy, anti-angiogenesis, and chemotherapy. He has achieved a duration of disease-free survival of thirty-six months, and is still in extension.
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Introduction

Lung adenocarcinoma has emerged as the most common subtype of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), which has been the leading cause of cancer-related mortalities worldwide. There were only approximately 2% of patients diagnosed with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma surviving over five years without the administration of immunotherapy. Brain metastasis was one of the most common events at the time of diagnosis in patients with NSCLC, which usually resulted in poorer prognosis and extremely significant mortalities compared to patients without brain metastasis. The median overall survival time for NSCLC patients with brain metastasis, those absent from any treatment, or those who received glucocorticoid treatment or whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) was merely one month, two months, or five months, respectively. In addition, the efficacy of cytotoxic agents was significantly limited because of poor penetration of the blood–brain barrier. Hence, it still remains challenging to prolong the survival time in patients with lung adenocarcinoma accompanied with brain metastasis.

Systematic therapy is recommended as a primary choice for NSCLC patients with brain metastasis according to National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines. As one of the effective strategies to control brain disease and release symptoms, brain radiation therapy including WBRT, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), and stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) played a significant role in the management of brain metastasis. However, a high recurrence rate of brain disease and the radiation dose constraint of brain tissue made it difficult to receive a satisfactory...
outcome. What is worse, brain metastasis was deemed to be unresponsive to chemotherapeutic agents or macromolecular ones because of obstruction of the blood–brain barrier. In recent decades, combined treatment of platinum-based double-agent regimens and antiangiogenesis with bevacizumab was recommended as the primary choice for metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC patients without sensitive gene mutations. It was reported that the addition of bevacizumab significantly contributed to the extension of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) time according to the results of clinical trials ECOG 4599 and BEYOND. However, neither of the studies recruited NSCLC patients with brain metastasis, let alone symptomatic brain disease. In recent years, several prospective research studies with small sample size and retrospective ones were conducted to evaluate the safety and efficacy profile of the addition of bevacizumab in NSCLC patients with brain metastasis, the results of which revealed that chemotherapy plus bevacizumab might be more effective for NSCLC patients with brain metastasis, along with similar risk of developing cerebral hemorrhage, independent of bevacizumab. However, because of the limited size of samples, single-armed design, and retrospective investigation of the existing proof, convincing evidence from a randomized, controlled, prospective trial might still be necessary to sustain the application value of bevacizumab in such patients.

Herein, based on the integrated medical record, superior compliance, and preferable efficacy, we report a patient with lung adenocarcinoma accompanied with symptomatic brain metastasis achieved radiologic complete response after receiving combined therapy including stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT), anti-angiogenesis, and chemotherapy. He has achieved a duration of disease-free survival time of thirty-six months, and is still in extension.

Case Presentation

A 54-year-old man was admitted to our institution on June 25th, 2016 with a four-day history of weakness in the right upper limb. The patient had a smoking history of thirty-six years with fifteen to twenty cigarettes a day. Otherwise, he denied any other medical or family history. According to the findings of cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), two lesions in the brain with surrounding edema were detected in the parietal lobe and occipital lobe, separately (Figure 1, A1/A2). Subsequent chest computed tomography (CT) showed a thoracic mass in the right upper lung (Figure 2, A1), with enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes suggesting metastases (Figure 2, A2). Based on the biopsy findings

Figure 1 Cranial MRI presentations during the whole treatment (from June 26th, 2016 to May 23rd, 2019). (A1/A2) Cranial MRI images on June 26th, 2016. (B1/B2) Cranial MRI images on September 9th, 2016. (C1/C2) Cranial MRI images on October 15th, 2016. (D1/D2) Cranial MRI images on January 6th, 2017. (E1/E2) Cranial MRI images on February 27th, 2017. (F1/F2) Cranial MRI images on May 19th, 2017. (G1/G2) Cranial MRI images on July 1st, 2017. (H1/H2) Cranial MRI images on September 23rd, 2017. (I1/I2) Cranial MRI images on January 6th, 2018. (J1/J2) Cranial MRI images on March 29th, 2018. (K1/K2) Cranial MRI images on June 1st, 2018. (L1/L2) Cranial MRI images on August 20th, 2018. (M1/M2) Cranial MRI images on October 19th, 2018. (N1/N2) Cranial MRI images on December 27th, 2018. (O1/O2) Cranial MRI images on May 23rd, 2019.
from transthoracic needle pneumocentesis (Figure 3), the patient was finally diagnosed as lung adenocarcinoma with metastases on the mediastinal lymph nodes and brain, suggesting metastatic disease, according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system, seventh edition.\textsuperscript{10} Drive genes tested with the NGS panel were detected as wild types for potential mutations of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), ROS proto-oncogene 1 (ROS1), V-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS), neuroblastoma RAS viral oncogene homolog (NRAS), RET proto-oncogene (RET), V-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF), receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-2 (ERBB2), RAC-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase (AKT1), discoidin domain receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (DDR2), fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1), MNNG HOS transforming gene (MET), phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase (PIK3CA), and mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MAP2K1).

With the definite diagnosis made, the patient received SBRT for occupations in the brain because of the related symptoms on July 8th, 2016. After that, he received four cycles of first-line combined therapy (bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg plus pemetrexed 500 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} day 1 plus cisplatin 75 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} day 1, every twenty-one days) in our hospital from July 11th, 2016 to November 10th, 2016. During the whole administration of the first-line combined treatment, the patient suffered adverse events including neutropenia at grade 1,
leukocytopenia at grade 2, and fatigue at grade 1 (National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria, version 4.03). However, any discontinuation or interruption of the treatment was not executed because of the tolerable toxicities. The efficacy assessment with chest CT and cranial MRI was evaluated as partial response (PR) (Figure 1, B1/B2; Figure 2, B1/B2). From then on, the patient received another 31 months of maintenance therapy (bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg plus pemetrexed 500 mg/m² day 1, every twenty-one days) until June 28th, 2019. During the whole period of maintenance therapy, he received imaging review regularly every one to two months (cranial MRI in Figure 1, from C1/C2 to P1/P2; chest CT in Figure 2, from C1/C2 to X1/X2). During the first follow-up on September 9th, 2016, the primary tumor in the right upper lobe was found empty (Figure 2, B1), the status of which sustained to the seventeenth follow-up on August 20th, 2018 (Figure 2, Q1). On October 28th, 2018, the chest CT scan revealed an emerging streak, high-density mass in the original location in the right upper lung (Figure 2, R1). After further general imageological examination, there was no other emerging occupation discovered. In addition, the shrunken lesions in the brain and mediastinum still remained stable (Figure 1, N1/N2; Figure 2, R2). Based on that, positron emission tomography–computed tomography (PET-CT) was conducted on June 28th, 2019 to detect the activity of residual lesions in the brain and mediastinum. As a result, there was no obvious β-2-[¹⁸F]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) uptake detected for the suspicious lesions in the lung, brain, or mediastinum, suggesting residual scars (Figure 4). The last maintenance treatment before the submission of the present report was administered on June 26th, 2019. After that, we suggested the patient should suspend the maintenance treatment because of the absence of active tumors detected by PET-CT, and the potential toxicities in the liver, kidney, or marrow. However, he insisted on receiving further maintenance therapy regularly because of the free medicine policy of bevacizumab and pemetrexed (costs of the two drugs were gratuitous after established cycles of treatment). The variations of tumor markers including CEA, SCC, CA72-4, and CA19-9 during the whole treatment are presented in Figure 5. During the administration of pemetrexed and bevacizumab as maintenance therapy, a slight skin rash on the chest at grade 1 was observed. With the symptomatic treatment of glucocorticoid, the symptom of rash was relieved, without any reduction of drugs or discontinuation of treatment. The latest follow-up was conducted on June 26th, 2019 for the present report, without any symptoms or adverse events discovered then. The patient diagnosed as metastatic NSCLC with symptomatic brain metastases has achieved radiologic complete response, with disease-free survival time of thirty-six months, and is still in extension.

**Discussion**

The present case report presented the long-term survival (thirty-six months and still in extension) achieved by a NSCLC patient with symptomatic brain metastases after the combined treatment of SBRT, anti-angiogenesis, and platinum-based chemotherapy. Because of the integrated medical record, superior compliance, and preferable efficacy, we reported the representative case to provide some inspiration for clinicians, as well as confidence for selected patients.

Patients with symptomatic brain metastasis were always accompanied with poor prognosis, especially in those harboring wild-type sensitive drive genes. Definitive therapy for brain disease played a significant role during the whole administration according to NCCN guidelines. In selected NSCLC patients with limited brain metastasis, local treatment strategies such as SBRT and surgical resection were recommended as the standard choice before systematic treatment. Even so, the median OS for NSCLC patients with metastatic brain disease, who had benefited from systematic therapy including local treatment, was only 19.7 months. The patient in the present case was eligible for local treatment of brain metastases because of the tumor-related weakness in the right upper lobe.
limb and limited disease in the brain. He received SBRT with our suggestion. Although the results of the drive genes test did not provide a targeted approach for him, the efficacy of combined therapy including bevacizumab, pemetrexed, and cisplatin seemed significantly effective. With the systematic treatment, he achieved a PR in the first imageological evaluation on September 9th, 2016, and then a duration of disease-free survival of thirty-six months, which was much longer than that in the ECOG 4599 (median OS for bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin, 12.3 months) and BEYOND (median OS for bevacizumab/paclitaxel/carboplatin, 24.3 months) trials. Meanwhile, maintenance therapy in the present case may also play a significant role during the whole administration. Data from the AVAPERL study, which used bevacizumab/pemetrexed as maintenance therapy, showed a 3.7-month increase (7.4 months versus 3.7 months) on PFS compared to bevacizumab alone. However, the priority of the maintenance therapy on the benefit of PFS seems limited, whether with single-agent regimens or combined regimens. Therefore, it might not be appropriate to ascribe the significantly advantageous survival to the maintenance therapy in the present case. In recent years, there were several research studies conducted to evaluate the predicted value of the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) on clinical efficacy of chemotherapy in patients with lung cancer. Accordingly, the NLR was supposed to be well connected with outcomes and response to chemotherapy in patients with lung cancer. Specifically, a lower NLR seemed to be associated with better prognosis in patients with NSCLC, as well as a higher response rate to treatment. Although the cut-off level of the NLR remained discrepant, NLR of 5 was deemed a consensual value in the several reported research studies. In the present report, the NLR was 2.42 at the diagnosis of the disease, which varied from 2.12 to 2.80 stably during the whole treatment. It was revealed that the NLR might be available as a predictive factor of clinical efficacy from the combined treatment as well in the present case. In addition, tumor markers including CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, and SCC varied in the normal range most of the time during the whole therapy for the present patient, which came to be another feature. It has been reported that high levels of tumor markers including CEA and CA125 at baseline were correlated with worse survival in stage III–IV NSCLC patients. It was revealed that tumor cells which were unable to secrete tumor-related protein, or stimulated the secretion function of normal cells, might be more indolent compared to active ones. That might be stood as another potential reason to explain the advantageous prognosis of the present case.

There are also several limitations in the present case. First of all, the histological features at the time of radiologic complete response were not obtained. Although imageological results of chest CT, cranial MRI, and PET-CT suggested complete remission of the previous lesions without any evidence of active tumors, pathologic

Figure 4 PET-CT presentations on June 28th, 2019. (A) Residual scar in the lung without FDG uptake. (B) Residual scar in the mediastinum without FDG uptake. (C) Residual scar in the brain without FDG uptake. (D) Residual scar in the brain without FDG uptake.
findings still remained as the standard of the definite evaluation. We tried to suggest to the patient conducting complete resection of the imageological mass in the lung and mediastinum. However, he refused to receive the active operation. Furthermore, the drive gene panel used in the present case only included fourteen common genes, which may result in controversy of potential rare mutations of genes. In addition, the CT and MRI images presented in the present report were not completely consistently among each visit. Because of the bustling arrangement of CT scans every day, we could not guarantee that CT scans on each visit be conducted in the same machine, except for clinical trials. The different choices of the machines might lead to a potential, minor diversity in each examination. In addition, the deviation of position, including the head and body in each visit, might lead to another discrepancy for a patient. We understand that the consistency of images is very important. We have tried our best to fix it but failed. Finally, the leading limitation comes from the nature of a case report, the results of which need further identification in clinical practice and basic experiments.

Even so, based on the integrated medical record and preferable efficacy of the present case, we suggest that systematic administration including actively local treatment might be necessary for selected patients with metastatic disease, especially in patients with potential benign prognostic factors, such as wild-type drive gene, negative tumor markers, and lower NLR status. With active management, select patients with metastatic disease might be able to obtain a result of remission of cancer.
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