AN ACCURACY-ENHANCED STEMMING ALGORITHM FOR ARABIC INFORMATION RETRIEVAL
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Abstract: This paper provides a method for indexing and retrieving Arabic texts, based on natural language processing. Our approach exploits the notion of template in word stemming and replaces the words by their stems. This technique has proven to be effective, since it has returned significant relevant retrieval results by decreasing silence during the retrieval phase. Series of experiments have been conducted to test the performance of the proposed algorithm ESAIR (Enhanced Stemmer for Arabic Information Retrieval). The results obtained indicate that the algorithm extracts the exact root with an accuracy rate up to 96% and hence, improving information retrieval.
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1. Introduction

The accessible electronic documents in websites constitute a field of documentary research that is extensively growing [1]. According to the web father, these documents are intended to be decoded by humans rather than being data that can be automatically analysed [2]. The challenge is to automatically extract the information contained in these documents which are written in natural language, since "the power of the natural language creates an obstacle to its use for data processing" [3]. Nowadays, various languages are successfully processed. However, indexing Arabic language documents remains a big challenge towards its integration in the information technology, given its power and its wealth. Automatic indexing of Arabic documents raises major problems [4, 5]:

- the problem of ambiguity caused by the absence of vowels, which requires complex morphological rules [6]; and
- the problem of inflected forms recognition, because Arabic derivational morphology is productive [7].
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The objectives for this treatment are:

- the intelligent recognition of various word forms, without using a dictionary of inflected forms, i.e. without knowing these words in advance;
- the reduction of ambiguity as much as possible;
- the identification of the information contained in any text and its representation by means of indexes, in a restricted space with regard to the space where these documents are stored [8];
- the reduction of response time.

Information retrieval systems represent, store, and organize documents in such a way that they can localise a set of relevant documents satisfying the needs of a user as expressed in a query [9, 10, 11].

2. Analysis Approach

To fully understand a text, it is required to perform a complete linguistic analysis. The linguistic techniques allow for a better understanding of the indexed documents. However, such methods require powerful natural language processing algorithms and considerable processing time.

The suitable technique used for information retrieval and machine translation is stemming. This technique consists of removing the last characters of words (considered as describing word flexions). Some stemmers use complete morphological knowledge (suffixes, prefixes). Instead of indexing a text by words, we can index it by the corresponding stems.

The main difficulty of a retrieval system is to establish a correspondence between the information requested by a user and that contained in documents. To accomplish this, the generally used method is matching words in the query with those representing the contents of documents as shown in Fig. 1. Considering this mechanism, based on a simple comparison of strings, information retrieval systems confront the problem linked to the complexity of the natural language. It concerns the possibility offered by the natural language to supply various expressions with the same concept. A relevant document can contain terms which differ from those of the query, though semantically close. A solution to tackle this problem is to turn to natural language processing.

The aim of introducing linguistic knowledge in information retrieval is to enter more robust and more pertinent descriptors than simple strings [12].

![Fig. 1 Words stemming and query matching.](image-url)
Our algorithm ESAIR (Enhanced Stemmer for Arabic Information Retrieval) decomposes words into morphemes [13, 14], without necessarily considering grammatical links between them. It proceeds as follows:

- normalization step: it transforms the document into a format that is more easily tractable [15]. This stage is a delicate step, due to the fact that Arabic is an inflected language and is productive;

- lexical analysis step: it allows verifying (a) if an item belongs to the language, and (b) the compatibility between the various constituents of the word. The morphological analyser works with the help of lexical dictionaries [dictionaries of roots (triliterals), templates (the number of patterns in Arabic is finite), stop words, grammatical words, and specific words];

- indexing step: it generates the indexes by grouping the words together based on their stems.

To verify whether a word belongs to Arabic lexemes (with the exception of the proper nouns, some common nouns, and grammatical words), we need to extract its root and the corresponding template. According to this method, the proposed work is based on three main steps: cutting, search for templates and roots, and index generation.

2.1 Cutting

To resolve the ambiguity, (Aljlayl and Frieder) show that light stemming (an approach based on the extraction of prefixes and suffixes) significantly outperforms approaches based on root detection in the field of information retrieval [16].

Cutting a word requires the extraction of its various parts (prefix, root, and suffix). The principles of cutting are as follows:

Cutting the word in (proclitic+ base 1+ enclitic) entails locating all the proclitics and enclitics that appear in the word.

**Base 1** is generally a root containing prefixes, infixes, and suffixes that could be systematized as follows: (prefix+ base 2+ suffix);

**Base 2** could be cut into a root and a template, i.e. finding out a pattern amongst the entries stored in the dictionary of templates.

**Example** The word: "أَسْتَخْرَجُهَا" [ASSATOKHRJIANIHA] could be decomposed into "أَسْتَخْرَجُهَا", where the proclitic= "أَسْتَ", base 1 = "تَخْرَج", and the enclitic= "ها".

Furthermore, base 1: "تَخْرَج" could also be decomposed into "تَخْرَج"+"ان", where the prefix="تُ", base 2="خرج", and suffix="ان".

1) Recognition of proclitics and enclitics

a) Search for proclitics and enclitics

The list of proclitics and enclitics of the Arabic language is limited. We can use the list proposed by Darwish [17], some of which were used for stemming by Chen and Habash [18, 19]. Tab. 1 shows the list of proclitics and enclitics.
Tab. 1 List of proclitics and enclitics.

| Proclitics | ال | فل | كال | اس | ك | به | ما | في | كم | في | ك| بال | كال | اس | كل | ك | به | ما | في | كم | في | ك |
|------------|---|----|-----|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| Enclitics  | نا | ك| كم | هم | هم | هما | هما | هم | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كم | هم | هما | هما | كm| enclitics in a list. It also requires a verification of compatibility between proclitics and enclitics that appear in the word to retain only compatible couples.

b) Test of compatibility

After the extraction of the proclitic P and the enclitic E from the word under analysis, we combine these two sub-strings into one single string C (C= P+ E). In order to have a correct decomposition, this string must not appear in the table of incompatibilities [20]. If the string C appears in the table, this implies that the decomposition is erroneous.

The table of incompatibilities includes the incompatible proclitics and enclitics merged in one single string as mentioned in Tab. 2.

Tab. 2 Sample of incompatibilities table.

| String |
|--------|
| نا     |
| كن     |
| كنن   |
| فكتابهما |

Example

The word "فكتابهما" [FAKITABOHOMA] is decomposed into the proclitic= "فـ" and the enclitic="هما". The combination of the proclitic and the enclitic generates the string C="فهما". This string does not appear in the table of incompatibilities and therefore the decomposition is accepted.

c) Principle of analysis

Relying on the word cutting and compatibility operations, we must cancel the decomposition if it is erroneous. If correct, it is stored. Other new decompositions will be processed, and compared with the stored ones. A reliable decomposition is then, obtained.

2) Recognition of prefixes and suffixes

The principle at this stage is technically the same as the previous one, except for the incompatibilities table which is of prefixes and suffixes.
2.2 Search for templates and roots

1) Search for the template

For a given word X, a template I corresponds to that word if:

- the length of the template is equal to that of the word, and
- all the letters corresponding to the infix positions in the dictionary of templates are in the same positions in the word X as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The example below elucidates how the process operates:

The Processing of the word = ‘صالح’ [SALIH] requires the inspection of all the records which have the same length as that word until it encounters the template ‘فاعل’ [FAAIL]. The corresponding field (infix positions) is ‘2’. The letter ‘ا’ is in the position 2 in the word ‘صالح’. Thus, it is practically the best template.

2) Search for the root

After determining the template, root extraction limits itself to the removal of all letters corresponding to infix positions in the word intended to be decomposed.

The word ‘مفاتيح’ [MAFATIH] has as template ‘مفاعيل’ [MAFAIIL]. The aforementioned template corresponds to the code ‘135’ in the infix positions column as shown in Fig. 2.

The Subtraction of the letter ‘م’ from position 1 in the word ‘مفاتيح’ [MAFATIH], and the letter ‘ا’, from position 3, as well as the letter ‘ي’ from position 5, yields ‘فتح’ [FTH]. Consequently, the correct root of the word ‘مفاتيح’ [MAFATIH], which is the root ‘فتح’ [FTH] is attained.

It is worth mentioning that there are more steps, which can be introduced if necessary: treatment of compatibility between proclitics / prefixes, enclitics / suffixes, and disambiguation in case there are various interpretations.
2.3 Index generation

Descriptors can be text words, stems, concepts and, more rarely, N-grams, or still contexts (the case of “Latent Semantic Indexing” or methods based on Correspondence Analysis). The models using words can fit the English language (few flexions, few homographs), but they turn out to be largely insufficient in other languages (particularly for agglutinative languages as Arabic). We can then use the stems for better performances. An advanced linguistic analysis is necessary to resolve certain ambiguities [21].

The aim of the morphological level is to reduce the number of analysed words by stemming, and to remove stop words to lighten the index, and to reduce silence (formula 1) in information retrieval [22].

$$\text{Silence} = \frac{\text{non retrieved relevant documents}}{\text{relevant documents}}$$

3. Illustration

In this section, the results obtained through the adopted approach are discussed in detail: ESAIR analyses and converts any query into elements of the indexing language whenever a user formulates a request. ESAIR compares the query elements with those of the compiled documents, determines the degree of similarity, and then selects the elements that have the highest degree.

The advantage of ESAIR is the decrease of silence during retrieval. Even words not mentioned in a query can appear in retrieval results.

Consider the following text with 162 words:

Tab. 3 shows the text after stop words removal. It contains 72 words that represent 44% of the original text.
Tab. 3 Text after stop words removal.

| بالصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق | يصدق |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| الصدق | يحرى | حديث | زمان | نحوه | مكان | قولا | يحرف | أفراء | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث | حديث |
| الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة | الكثيرة |
| الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم | الأدم |
| الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله | الله |

Tab. 4 shows the text after applying the previous treatment steps on each word. The second text contains 43 words that represent 26% of the original text.

Tab. 4 Text after applying ESAIR.

| فعل | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| شهيد | جنة | حلي | كذب | إتباع | فعل | مكان | دعوة | فتك | حلي | كذب | إتباع | فعل | مكان | دعوة | فتك | حلي | كذب | إتباع | فعل | مكان | دعوة | فتك | حلي | كذب | إتباع | فعل | مكان | دعوة | فتك | حلي | كذب | إتباع | فعل |
| الرجل | الذي | يكتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب | كتب |

In the following example, we wanted to include as many words as possible that have a same root and treat it in comparison with another one with completely different words.

The index size decrease is proportional to the text. If the text contains a variety of terms derived from the same root, as in Text 1:

Text 1: [KATABA ALKATIBO FI MAKTABIHI BILKATIBATI KOUlla ALKOUTOUBI GHAIIRA ALMAKTOUBATI FI ALMAKATABATI].

The index 1 evolves as follows: Index 1: [KTB], and the reduction leans towards 98%, as shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 Text 1 vs. Index 1.
If the text does not contain two words with a common root or base, as in text 2:

Tقدم الدولة الجزائرية حاليا تحفيزات كبرى للنهوض بالبحث العلمي في الجزائر [TOKADIMO ADAWLATO ALJAZIRYATO HALIEN TAHFIZET KABIRA LINOHOIDI BILBAHTI ALILMI FI ALJAZAIR]

The index 2 evolves as follows: Index 2: [KDM, DWL, HFZ, NHD, BHT, ALM, ALJAZAIR], and the reduction leans towards 0%, as shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 Text 2 vs. Index 2.

The user's query is processed through all the indexing stages, including those of morphological analysis. Then, the query entries are indexed in a list that it is compared with document indexes as in the example:

Text 1: (يساهم المعلم بشكل مباشر في بناء الأجيال) [YOSSAHIMO ALMOALIMO BICHAKLIN MOBACHIR FI BINAI ALAJIALI]

Text 2: (أقام المدير حفلا على شرف المعلمات المتقاعدات) [AKAMA ALMODIRO HAFLEN ALA CHARAFI ALMOALIMATI ALMOTAKAIDATI]

Text 3: (زار التلاميذ والمعلمان مركزا للبحث العلمي) [ZARA ATALAMIDO WA ALMOALIMONA MARKAZEN LILBAHTI ALILMI]

Query: (معلم) [MOALIM]

If matching is verbatim, no text is found (0/3), contrariwise with ESAIR, the three texts (3/3) are obtained, since the stemming of words (المعلم، المعلمات، المعلمون) [ALMOALIMO, ALMOALIMATO, ALMOALIMONA] gives the same word (معلم) [MOALIM].

Considering the previous text (Tab. 3), a set of 49 other texts, and the query = "الصدق في " النقول" [ASSIDKO FI ALKAOULI], we deduce: the number of relevant documents retrieved is 9 out of 12, the number of reported documents, given as an answer, is 14 documents. Therefore, Precision = (9/14) = 0.64, Recall = (9/12) = 0.75, and Silence = (3/12) = 0.25. The accuracy calculated on the previous text is: (69/72) = 0.958.

4. Experiments and Results

Experiments are performed by executing ESAIR on randomly selected documents of Essex Arabic Summaries Corpus (EASC). EASC is Arabic natural language resources developed at the University of Essex, United Kingdom. It contains 153 articles taken from "Alwatan" and "Alrai" newspapers, which covered different subjects: education, science and technology, finance, health, politics, religion, and sports. Each document contains an average of 389 words, with 59548 words in the corpus. We manually extracted word roots for purposes of comparison with ESAIR's results. A set of 25 queries, with their relevance judgments created to search for particular information, was used to evaluate the proposed method.

In Tab. 5, we provide a comparison measured in average precision and recall between ESAIR and no stemming method.
Tab. 5 Average precision and recall.

| Stemmer   | Precision | Recall  |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| ESAIR     | 0.5732    | 0.6916  |
| NoStem    | 0.4328    | 0.4152  |

The results clearly suggest that the proposed algorithm outperforms the no stemming method. This suggests that stemming has a substantial effect on information retrieval for highly inflected languages such as Arabic. Fig. 5 shows precision at 11 recall points for ESAIR and no stemming method.

Fig. 5 11 point precision for ESAIR and NoStem.

After manually reviewing all resulting stems, accuracy for each document was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\sum \text{correctly stemmed words}}{\text{total number of valid words}}
\]  

(2)

The results obtained indicate that the algorithm extracts the exact root with an accuracy rate up to 96% and hence, improving information retrieval.

5. Conclusion

This work tested a method based on the linguistic notion of template to information retrieval. It allowed us to index texts with small size indexes, representing the relevant information, and to analyse the query and match it with these indexes. This approach does not require a prior knowledge of words and can establish relations between words without the user's specification. It also, ensures substantial decrease of silence.

The algorithm was tested, using thousands of Arabic words taken from EASC corpus of 153 articles from “Alwatan” and “Alrai” newspapers. Human expert judgments were used to evaluate the results. The algorithm extracted the proper roots with a 96% accuracy. Stemming results in significant improvements in retrieval effectiveness of Arabic information retrieval systems with a precision= 0.5732. The difference in the performance between the proposed approach and the no stemming method is statistically significant.
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