Recent advances in rotavirus reverse genetics and its utilization in basic research and vaccine development
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Abstract
Rotaviruses are segmented double-stranded RNA viruses with a high frequency of gene reassortment, and they are a leading cause of global diarrheal deaths in children less than 5 years old. Two-thirds of rotavirus-associated deaths occur in low-income countries. Currently, the available vaccines in developing countries have lower efficacy in children than those in developed countries. Due to added safety concerns and the high cost of current vaccines, there is a need to develop cost-effective next-generation vaccines with improved safety and efficacy. The reverse genetics system (RGS) is a powerful tool for investigating viral protein functions and developing novel vaccines. Recently, an entirely plasmid-based RGS has been developed for several rotaviruses, and this technological advancement has significantly facilitated novel rotavirus research. Here, we review the recently developed RGS platform and discuss its application in studying infection biology, gene reassortment, and development of vaccines against rotavirus disease.

Introduction
Rotaviruses (RVs) form a genus in the family Reoviridae, which is divided into two subfamilies: Sedoreovirinae and Spinareovirinae. The subfamily Sedoreovirinae has six genera, with Rotavirus as one of them. Rotaviruses were originally identified in mice and in vervet monkeys [1, 2], and later in humans [3, 4]. RV is a double-stranded RNA virus with 11 genome segments encoding six structural proteins (VP) and six non-structural proteins (NSP). Segments 1, 2, 3, and 4 code for VP1, VP2, VP3, and VP4, respectively. VP4 is proteolytically cleaved into VP5* and VP8* during viral replication. Segments 6 and 9 encode VP6 and VP7. Segment 5 encodes NSP1, while segments 7, 8, and 10 code for NSP3, NSP2, and NSP4. Segment 11 has two open reading frames that express NSP5 and NSP6, respectively. The genome segments of rotavirus are numbered according to their migration pattern in analytical gels, which can be strain-specific. For example, segment 3 in RVD strain Ch-49 encodes VP4, while segment 9 in group A rotavirus (RVA) strain Ch-2G3 encodes NSP2 [5]. The total genome size is approximately 18.5 kb with individual genome segment sizes ranging from 667 to 3302 bp in length. The RV virion structure is presented diagrammatically in Figure 1. The genus Rotavirus has nine species, also called groups or serogroups, designated as A-D and F-J [6]. The demarcation of these groups is based on nucleotide (nt) sequence differences in the gene encoding the inner capsid protein VP6 [7]. Rotaviruses belonging to groups A, B, C, and H can infect humans and animals, while those belonging to groups D, F, and G predominantly infect animals [8]. Zoonotic transmission of animal RVs, such as those from group A, to humans has been observed in the field, and these animal RVs can cause diarrhea in humans [9, 10].

RVAs are of clinical importance as they are a leading cause of acute severe gastroenteritis and life-threatening diarrhea in infants and children worldwide. In addition to causing a similar spectrum of diseases in infants and children, group B and C rotaviruses (RVB and RVC) can also infect adults [11–13]. A study conducted during the 1980s in China showed that an RVB strain caused clinical diarrhea in some adults [14, 15]. RVs are also a health concern for elderly and immunocompromised adults, although the disease is often less severe. Globally, RVs are the primary cause of death due to diarrhea in children under the age of five [16]. Based on serological assays and the nucleotide
sequences of the two outer capsid protein sequences, two major serotypes/genotypes of RVA are identified, the G serotype (based on the VP7 sequence) and the P serotype (based on the VP4 sequence), and this is the foundation of a dual classification system that has been utilized internationally for serotyping RVs. For P serotypes, nucleotide sequences are usually used, since there are not sufficient numbers of P type-specific antisera available. Currently, there are 36 G serotypes and 51 P genotypes [17, 18]. G1-G4, G9, G12, P[8], and P[4] are the predominant G and P serotypes that are involved in more than 90% of all human RV infections [19–21]. The complete genome sequence has been used to establish the most recent RVA classification system, which is based on percent nucleotide sequence identity calculations. Cutoff values are used for each segment, and a new genotype is established if the percent nucleotide sequence identity value is below the cutoff [22]. In the new nomenclature system, Gx-P[x]-Ix-Rx-Cx-Mx-Ax-Nx-Tx-Ex-Hx designates the VP7, VP4, VP6, VP1, VP2, VP3, NSP1, NSP2, NSP3, NSP4, and NSP5/6 genotype, respectively [23–25]. There are three major genomic constellations (Wa-like, DS-1-like, and AU-1-like) of RVA circulating in humans. These genomic constellations are based on the complete genome sequence similarities, excluding P and G genome sequences. The Wa-like constellation has an ancestor in common with porcine RVA, while the DS-1-like constellation has an ancestor in common with bovine RVA [22]. These are the two major RVA constellations circulating in humans. The less-common AU-1-like constellation has an ancestor in common with dog and cat rotaviruses [26].

The traditional method for studying gene functions relies on introducing mutations into the DNA sequence of an organism, selecting organisms with the desired phenotype, and then probing its genotype by comparison with the wild-type organism. This method, termed ‘forward genetics’ is tedious and cumbersome. With advancements in genome sequencing along with more-precise gene editing technologies, the ‘reverse genetics’ system (RGS) has emerged as a robust platform for studying gene functions. In the RGS, mutations are introduced into the DNA sequence of the target gene. The organism is then studied for phenotypic changes, and the genotype and phenotype can be precisely correlated [27]. In virology, RGSs have been used to generate replication-competent viruses from plasmid DNA or cDNA. RGS development is generally easier for DNA viruses than for RNA viruses because viral DNA genomes are often infectious upon transfection into suitable cell lines. The development of RGSs for segmented dsRNA viruses like RV has proven challenging, as cloning of full-length dsRNA genome-derived cDNA has been difficult in the past several decades, primarily due to the unavailability of sequence information, problems with genome stability, and the need to perform transfections with multiple plasmids [28].

A robust RGS is a powerful tool for studying host-pathogen interactions, viral protein functions, and the infection biology of the virus, including the type of cellular receptor used, replication steps, and attenuation mechanisms. In addition, the RGS can be manipulated in great detail for rational vaccine design [29–31]. Over the past several decades, advances in research on RV infection at the molecular level and vaccine development have been significantly hampered by the lack of an efficient RGS. After an investment of great effort, this barrier has recently been eliminated by several reports describing the development of successful RGSs for several rotaviruses. Here, we review some recent progress and discuss the potential and limitations of these systems for advancing molecular biology research and development of rotavirus vaccines.

**Rotavirus non-coding regions**

There are 11 separate segments comprising the dsRNA genome of a rotavirus. Specifically, segments 1-10 each code for a single protein, while segment 11 encodes two proteins, as it contains two initiation codons located in different reading frames [32]. Each open-reading frame (ORF) has 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions (UTRs). Table 1 shows the 5’ and 3’ UTR sequences for all 11 segments of a group A rotavirus (RVA/Simian-tc/ZAF/SA11-H96/1958/
tein (PABP), which is followed by binding of eukaryotic translational machinery to benefit its own replication. In eukaryotes, the mRNA has a 5' cap and 3' poly(A) tail, and protein translation is initiated by the interaction of the 5' and 3' ends after binding of poly(A) binding protein (PABP), which is followed by binding of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4G (eIF4G). Recognition of the 5' cap structure by eIF4G is essential for initiation of translation. Intriguingly, RV RNA lacks a 3' poly(A) tail. Recent studies revealed that the poly(A) tail and its associated function have been substituted by a tetranucleotide motif at the 3' end, which acts as a translation initiator for RV protein synthesis. The N-terminal region of RV NSP3 (segment 7) binds to the tetranucleotide motif at the 3' end. The C-terminal region of NSP3 has binding domains for the translation initiation factor eIF4G. Thus, binding of the N-terminal region of NSP3 to the 3' tetranucleotide sequence together with the engagement of eIF4G with its C-terminal region facilitates the formation of circularized mRNA molecules ('panhandles', see below), which as a result, triggers initiation of viral protein translation. These data highlight that the 3'-terminal sequence of each segment is essential for the translation of RV proteins [41–46].

The 5'-terminal end starts with the dinucleotide GG, which is present in all segments of the RV dsRNA genome in all genotypes. Mutational analysis has revealed that the GG dinucleotide motif acts as a recognition signal for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and its cofactors. This base-specific recognition leads to the formation of an initiation complex, which is necessary for minus strand synthesis [47]. The UTRs at the 5' and 3' ends are believed to undergo complementary base-pairing, which drives the energy-dependent folding of the positive RNA strand into a high-order panhandle structure. In this panhandle structure, the 3'-terminal sequence is either unpaired or partially base-paired, which makes the 3' terminus of the positive RNA strand accessible to RdRp for subsequent viral RNA genome synthesis [48, 49]. In the T7-polymerase-promoter-driven cDNA reverse transcription system for RV segments, the 5' UTR can have inhibitory effects on viral protein synthesis. For RV strain SA11, such effects were observed for genome segments 3, 5-6, and 7-11. The presence of inhibitory motifs in the 5' UTR of certain genome segments has a negative impact on the rescue efficiency of recombinant RVs. Further investigations have shown that the inhibitory motifs are present in the form of a six-nucleotide-long pyrimidine-rich motif (5'-GGY(U/A)UY-3') located at the 5' terminus. Introduction of the nucleotide G upstream of the 5' UTR and replacing U with A at the fifth nucleotide position abolished the inhibitory motif, which in turn enabled rescue of infectious RVs in a helper-virus-free RGS [50].

**Rotavirus replication**

Infectious RV particles have a triple-layered capsid (TLP) lattice that encases a dsRNA genome containing 11 separate segments. The TLP consists of VP7, VP6, and VP2, which form the outer, middle, and inner layer of the capsid,
respectively. The outermost spike protein VP4 is embed-
ded within the VP7 layer and interacts deeply with the mid-
dle layer VP6 [51–54]. RV infection and replication occur
mostly in enterocytes, especially at the tips of villi [55].
Rotaviruses use glycan receptors for attachment and entry
into cells. This attachment is mediated by the receptor-bind-
ing domain of VP8*, which is derived from the VP4 spike
protein through proteolytic cleavage, either by trypsin or
another exogenous or cellular protease [51, 56]. In addition
to VP8*, this cleavage event also gives rise to VP5*.

Despite the significant progress made toward understand-
ning RV entry, identification of functional receptors has
remained elusive. Recent studies have indicated that some
animal RVA strains use sialoglycans as functional receptors
for infection. However, it is generally believed that most
animal RVAs do not seem to utilize sialic acid-containing
glycans for entry, which has also been observed with human
RVAs [57]. In addition, the role of histo-blood group anti-
gens (HBGAs) as functional receptors for RV entry is still
questionable because the majority of human RVs seem to
infect cultured cells independently of HBGAs [58] despite
the fact that in vitro binding of HBGAs by human RVAs has
been demonstrated in some studies. It is interesting to note
that various other coreceptors or cofactors for RV entry have
also been proposed, such as integrins, heat shock proteins,
and tight junction proteins [59–62].

After initial attachment, VP4 undergoes a conformational
change whereby VP5 *, hidden beneath the receptor-bind-
ing domain of VP8*, is exposed. VP8* binds to the glycan
receptor, while VP5 * interacts with coreceptors such as in-
grins and heat shock proteins [63]. Based on the observation
that stepwise blocking each of these receptors and corecep-
tors individually reduced the viral titer but did not com-
pletely prevent RV entry and replication, it has been specu-
lated that RVs may utilize a still unidentified receptor that is
more important for entry than the previously characterized
receptor/coreceptors. Alternatively, it can be envisioned that
RV may utilize multiple pathways for cell entry [64], which
warrants further investigation. Following receptor binding,
RVs can enter a cell by either clathrin-dependent or clathrin-
independent endocytosis [65, 66]. Most human and animal
RVs use clathrin-dependent endocytic pathways, while sim-
ian RVs have been shown to utilize clathrin-independent
endocytosis. Interestingly, the clathrin-independent endo-
cytosis used by simian RVs is dependent on the presence
of certain molecules on the cell surface, such as dynamin
and other small Rho GTPases. It has been suggested that
critical amino acid residues or domains of VP8* other than
the glycan receptor dictate which endocytic pathway will
be utilized by the virus [64, 67]. Following endocytosis, the
RV virion reaches early endosomes, then late endosomes,
and ultimately, mature endosomes. The low concentration of
calcium in endosomes results in a complete loss of the outer
layer of the TLP, resulting in the formation of a double-
layered particle (DLP), which is transcriptionally active [68].

The mechanism of RV replication and particle assembly
are still incompletely understood [36]. Rotavirus ss (+) RNA
synthesis occurs in subviral particles. The VP1 (RdRp)-VP3
(RNA capping enzyme) complex is tethered to VP2 (core
shell) at the five-fold symmetry axes of the virion [69]. Since
a polymerase complex (PC) is needed for the synthesis of
each segment, it is unknown how these segment-specific
polymerase complexes interact with each other to initiate
replication of multiple segments almost simultaneously
[70, 71]. The PC gives rise to 5’-capped positive-sense
single-stranded RNA transcripts from the negative-sense
single-stranded RNA template. (A more-detailed molecu-
lar mechanism has been described in reference 71.) These
nascent transcripts exit into the cytosol, where they cap-
ture components of the cellular translational machinery for
the synthesis of viral proteins. The viral proteins NSP2 and
NSP5 colocalize near the DLP to form an inclusion body
called a viroplasm. A highly packed supramolecular com-
plex composed of 11 positive-sense single-stranded RNAs
and VP2, VP1, and VP3 is believed to drive the synthesis
and replication of negative-strand RNA [72, 73]. In addi-
tion, the transcapsidation of RV cores by VP6, also present
in viroplasm, gives rise to DLPs. Upon the completion of
RNA genome replication, the nascent subviral particles exit
the viroplasm and enter the endoplasmic reticulum (ER),
where viral particle maturation takes place. This process is
facilitated by interactions between NSP4 and VP6, which
is inserted into the ER and acts as an intracellular recep-
tor for DLPs [74]. In the ER, the DLP transiently acquires
an envelope, which is then removed by a so far unknown
mechanism. The origin and function of transiently envelop-
ed particles remain unknown. The precise mechanism for
the physical acquisition of the VP4 protein is also unclear.
VP4 is thought to be retained as a heterotrimer (through
interaction with VP7 and NSP4) near the viroplasm and ER
membrane [75]. VP4 is then internalized in the lumen along
with VP7, which catalyzes the viral assembly process, which
may occur concomitantly with the removal of the transiently
acquired envelope [76]. Since VP4 has been found to interact
with lipid rafts after exiting from the ER, it has also been
suggested that the final assembly involving VP4 and VP7
may take place after egress from the ER [77, 78]. Historical
evidence has suggested that RV particles are released by
cell lysis, a mechanism commonly used by non-enveloped
viruses [79]. Some earlier studies showed that RV could exit
from both non-polarized and polarized cells, indicating that
mature virions (TLPs) can get released from infected cells
either by cell lysis (mostly observed in non-polarized cells)
or by budding (observed in polarized epithelial cells) [76,
80–82]. However, recent work has demonstrated that RVs
can also bud from non-polarized cells without obvious cell
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lysis [80–82]. Specifically, these studies revealed that RV hijacks the cellular actin network to promote infectious particle release. The VP4 protein was found to interact with actin filaments of the brush border in intestinal epithelial cells, leading to the formation of actin bodies. The actin bodies are thought to remodel the apical membrane and induce membrane curvature, which subsequently drives the release of rotavirus particles from infected cells [76, 83]. Further study is needed to further clarify the RV egress pathway in order to achieve better understanding of the molecular mechanism that drives the production of infectious RV particles.

**Reverse genetics systems (RGSs) for rotaviruses**

Development of a plasmid-only-based RGS for RVs took more effort than previously thought, especially after the development of a successful RGS for orthoreoviruses [84]. The major hindrance associated with the RV plasmid-only-based RGS was a lack of translation or inefficient translation of transcripts. Both in vitro-synthesized positive-sense RNA transcripts and viral transcripts from T7 transcription of viral cDNA failed to be efficiently translated when introduced into cells by transfection. Inefficient translation was often associated with cytotoxic effects in transfected cells [85]. In the absence of productive translation, non-structural proteins such as NSP1, which are antagonists of host-mediated antiviral responses, are not made in sufficient amounts to prevent innate immunity from rapidly and profoundly perturbing viral RNA transcription and protein synthesis, thereby decreasing the likelihood of virus rescue. Furthermore, sensing of viral RNA by the host innate immune system could have led to a cytotoxic T cell response, which would decrease virus replication and make the subsequent rescue inefficient [18, 86, 87]. Broadly speaking, an RV RGS system can be divided into helper-virus-dependent RGSs and plasmid-only-based RGSs.

**Helper-virus-dependent RGSs**

Prior to the development of an efficient plasmid-only-based RGS for RVs, studying the functions of viral proteins and addressing the mechanism of viral replication depended largely on gene reassortment experiments involving coinfection of the same cells with two parental RV strains. New RV reassortants were selected in a random fashion for further genotype-phenotype studies, which was labor-intensive and time-consuming [88]. In some cases, mono-gene-reassortant viruses were difficult to generate due to the different replication levels of the two parental viruses. To address these limitations and improve efficiency, a single-gene-replacement approach was developed and used to manipulate the genome and interrogate gene functions of RV. In 2006, using helper viruses, the first gene replacement platform for artificial manipulation of RV genome segments was developed [89]. This protocol was based on modifications of an RGS that was developed previously for influenza A virus [90]. Briefly, the PCR-amplified VP4 segment from simian RV strain SA11 was flanked by a T7 RNA polymerase promoter and a hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme sequence. A T7 RNA polymerase terminator was inserted downstream of the HDV ribozyme sequence. The VP4 plasmid was introduced by transfection into COS-7 cells infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus, where T7 RNA polymerase produced by vaccinia virus recombinant recognized and transcribed the plasmid DNA to make the VP4 protein. A day after transfection with the VP4 expression plasmid, COS-7 cells were infected with the RV KU strain, and the culture supernatant was then passaged in MA104 cells in the presence of two neutralizing monoclonal antibodies directed against the VP4 of the wild-type KU helper virus. This led to the successful rescue of a recombinant virus that incorporated the VP4 segment of different RV strains in the backbone of the wild-type helper virus [89]. The use of T7 RNA polymerase had an additional advantage in RV gene studies because the 5’ ends of the RV gene segments start with the nucleotide G, which is favored by T7 RNA polymerase for transcription initiation [91, 92]. In later studies, using the same platform, Komoto and colleagues expressed a recombinant RV with the backbone from a helper KU strain. The recombinant virus expressed a broadly antigenic SA11-derived VP4, which was capable of inducing antibodies that effectively neutralized different DS-1 strains. This chimeric RV expressing the VP4 gene made it possible to introduce immunodominant and universal epitopes into the VP4 segment for better vaccine design and development of diagnostic tests [93]. Although this gene replacement technology represented a significant step forward in RV genome manipulation, it was largely restricted to rescuing recombinant viruses expressing heterologous VP4 or VP7 genes, because only neutralizing antibodies targeting the two outer capsid proteins (VP4 and VP7) are able to recognize and remove the helper RV so that novel VP4- or VP7-expressing recombinant RVs can be selected. This limits its broad application for generating recombinant RVs expressing other genes that are not targets of neutralizing antibodies.

In order to improve the single-gene-replacement system, a ‘two-hit’ strategy was developed. In this approach, two selection methods were employed. The first selection method involved the use of temperature-sensitive mutants, and the second selection method involved the use of RNA interference (RNAi). Using this ‘two-hit’ approach, recombinant RVs with chimeric NSP2 genes were generated. Briefly, the protocol involved cloning of the NSP2 segment of the RV
into a T7 RNA polymerase promoter plasmid (developed previously by Komoto and colleagues) [89] and introducing this construct by transfection into COS-7 cells that had been infected with a T7-polymerase-expressing vaccinia virus. After transfection, the first selection was introduced by infecting with a helper virus carrying a temperature-sensitive NSP2 segment and incubating at 30°C. The second selection step involved passaging of the viruses in the supernatant of the transfected COS-7 cells on genetically modified MA-104 cells that had been transduced with lentivirus incorporating a hairpin RNA targeting the NSP2 of the helper virus. This dual selection permitted the successful rescue of recombinant RVs containing segments whose encoded proteins are not targets of neutralizing antibodies. Although the “two-hit” approach has expanded the scope of the gene replacement method, it has the disadvantage of low rescue efficiency, which restricts its application [94, 95]. To address this challenge, Troupin and colleagues developed a gene segment rearrangement approach. This method resulted in the rescue of infectious RV by transfection with an exogenously rearranged RNA segment 7 [96] containing a partial head-to-tail duplication [84, 97]. The duplicated sequence occurs after the stop codon of the ORF and thus does not affect protein synthesis. Such sequence duplications are observed in a variety of RV genes, including segments 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, and 11, and have been found in natural infections in humans [97, 98] and animals [99]. They can also be reproduced in a cell culture system [100, 101]. Rearranged gene segments have been shown to be preferentially packaged over wild-type segments during the course of viral morphogenesis [97]. These studies suggested the feasibility of using a gene rearrangement to generate recombinant RVs targeting segments that contain a duplicated sequence. To do this, an exogenously rearranged genome segment of interest is introduced into cells infected with the helper virus. The homologous segment of the helper virus will be replaced by the introduced rearranged segment. Because the rearranged segment is preferentially selected for packaging into progeny virions, the selection step of blocking the incorporation of the homologous segment from helper virus into recombinant virus particles is eliminated. Previous studies on RGSs of reoviruses that are closely related to RVs demonstrated that the use of an engineered baby hamster kidney cell (BHK) line expressing T7 RNA polymerase (BHK-T7 cells) resulted in virus rescue efficiency similar to that observed in the system dependent on the use of live vaccinia virus, indicating that vaccinia virus can be eliminated from the procedure for rescuing RVs [102]. In 2016, an avian-mammalian reassortant RV was generated using a helper-virus-dependent RGS. This system, developed by Johne and colleagues [103], eliminated the use vaccinia virus as source of T7 RNA polymerase, which was instead provided by BSR5/T7 cells (synonymous with BHK-T7 cells). Transfection with transcripts derived from cDNA or single-stranded RNA in a permissive cell line was sufficient for recovering infectious double-stranded RNA viruses such as orbiviruses [104, 105]. Interestingly, this facile approach involving only transfection of cells with plasmid-derived RNAs failed to result in recovery of infectious RV [85]. Instead, the segment rearrangement approach resulted in the rescue of infectious RVs that retained heterologous segments 5–11. Subsequent studies revealed the presence of unintended, spontaneous sequence rearrangements in either the wild-type segments from the helper virus or in the exogenously rearranged genes [106]. This secondary gene arrangement complicates the characterization of the rescued RVs, and the interpretation of experimental results.

### Plasmid-only-based RGSs

Significant efforts invested in the development of an entirely plasmid-based RV RGS over the past several years led to success in 2017 when the first successful plasmid-only-based RGS was developed for simian RV strain SA11 [107]. This system involved 11 plasmids encoding cDNAs for each full-length individual segment with each RV cDNA flanked by a T7 RNA polymerase promoter and an HDV ribozyme sequence. Three additional polymerase-II-promoter-driven expression plasmids were also included in the first system. These three plasmids express the fusion-associated small transmembrane protein (FAST) of Nelson Bay virus (NBV), and the vaccinia virus capping enzyme subunits D1R and D12L, respectively.

While group B RVs encode a FAST homolog [108], group A RVs lack this protein. Such fusogenic proteins have been identified in members of the genera *Aquareovirus* and *Orthoreovirus* of the family *Reoviridae* and have been shown to promote viral replication [109, 110]. The transcripts from the 11 expression plasmids may lack a 5′ cap, and thus the addition of an expression plasmid encoding the vaccinia virus capping enzyme subunits D1R and D12L was found to increase capping efficiency for viral mRNAs and, as a result, increase the rate of viral protein translation [84, 102]. All 11+3 plasmids were introduced by transfection into BHK-T7 cells, followed by inoculation of lysates onto MA-104 cells to rescue viable viruses. Although the concurrent transfection with plasmids encoding the capping enzyme and FAST protein was demonstrated to increase the rescue efficiency of RGS-derived RV, the efficiency may be variable for less-pathogenic RV strains, which are difficult to propagate in the cell culture system. Although MA-104 cells are permissive to RV infection, the host innate immune response may restrict rescue of RGS-derived virus and limit subsequent virus propagation. A follow-up study also demonstrated that the use of a plasmid encoding vaccinia virus capping
enzyme subunits may not provide an additional advantage for virus rescue as initially expected [111]. Although omitting the additional three plasmids coding for the FAST protein and the vaccinia virus capping enzymes did not prevent the successful rescue of the virus, the overall rescue efficiency was poor. Rescue efficiency of RV strain KU could be improved by using the 11 plasmids without the accessory capping enzyme plasmids when a 3-fold higher concentration of the NSP2 and NSP5 plasmids was used, and when the transfected BHK-T7 cells were co-cultured with CV-1 cells [112, 113]. An enhanced RV reverse genetics system was recently reported in which further modifications and improvements were made [114]. In addition to the 11 plasmids encoding each of the RV gene segments, this new system incorporated one additional plasmid, named C3P3-G1. This chimeric cytoplasmic capping-prone phage polymerase (C3P3-G1) plasmid encodes a chimeric protein consisting of an ASFV (African swine fever virus) capping enzyme to increase capping and stability of viral transcripts and a T7 DNA-dependent RNA polymerase from vaccinia virus to enhance viral transcription [115, 116]. The use of this fusion plasmid substantially improved the rescue efficiency of an RV-related reovirus [116]. An additional modification was made in MA-104 cells, which are routinely used in the transient transfection to produce infectious RVs. To disable the antiviral innate immune response, an engineered MA-104 cell line was isolated that stably expresses the V protein of parainfluenza virus 5, targeting STAT1 for degradation, and the N protease of bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), targeting IRF3 for degradation [114, 117]. This stable cell line in which the innate immunity was found to be significantly attenuated, is named MA104N\(^{V}\). The improved RGS for RV with the two new features described above has demonstrated a higher rescue efficiency for human and animal RVs when compared to the traditional RV RGS methods, and this should facilitate studies investigating gene functions in virus replication and pathogenesis. A schematic representation of 11+3 and 11+1 plasmid-only based RGSs is shown in Figure 2. A list of existing RGSs with their limitations and advantages is shown in Table 2.

**Using RGSs to study the infection biology of RVs**

Until the advent of RV RGSs, researchers relied heavily on the use of traditional approaches such as cell-culture-based reassortment, *in vitro* transcription, and selection of temperature-sensitive mutants to study the molecular and chemical details of RV replication [32, 95, 118]. Komoto and colleagues [119] generated a recombinant virus with mutations in the trypsin cleavage site of the VP4 segment of RV strain SA11. Rotavirus spike protein (encoded by the VP4 gene) is first synthesized as an inactive precursor. The precursor spike protein can attach to the cellular receptor but cannot mediate virus-cell fusion. Thus, the proteolytic cleavage of VP4 is essential for viral entry. The proteolytic cleavage site in the spike protein can be monobasic (containing a single basic amino acid in the critical position of the cleavage site) or multibasic (containing several basic amino acids in the critical position of the cleavage site), which has been demonstrated in viral envelope proteins of several viruses, including the HA of avian influenza A viruses [120]. The RV VP4 gene contains a monobasic cleavage site, where it is cleaved to form VP5* and VP8* [121]. Of the three positively charged arginine residues (R231, R241, and R247) in the monobasic cleavage sites of VP4, R247 is thought to play an additional role in enhancing RV infectivity, although the other two sites can also be cleaved by trypsin [122, 123]. The introduction of an additional arginine amino acid near position 247 of the VP4 gene results in the generation of a polybasic cleavage site. Monobasic cleavage sites are usually cleaved by exogenous proteases such as trypsin (in the intestine) or serine proteases similar to trypsin (in the respiratory tract). The distribution of trypsin and trypsin-like serine proteases is limited, as these are either membrane-bound or secreted in the lumen of the intestinal and respiratory tract [124, 125]. The multibasic cleavage site, however, is usually cleaved by furin-like serine proteases, which are ubiquitous in their distribution and found inside cells. The rationale behind the generation of an RV with a furin cleavage site in the spike protein was to allow the virus to undergo multiple rounds of replication without the need for exogenous trypsin, as was done previously with influenza virus [119, 126]. Using the helper virus RGS, a recombinant virus with a polybasic cleavage site in the VP4 gene was successfully recovered. In the absence of exogenous protease, this recombinant virus replicated but failed to form plaques. This suggests that the cleavage of the precursor spike protein that is necessary for viral entry was mediated by furin. It has been suggested that furin cleavage may result in intracellular retention of virions by forming a stable complex or may result in prematurely activated virions [119, 127]. However, the engineered RV with a polybasic cleavage site at position 247 of VP4 was capable of being propagated in MA104 cells in the presence of an exogenous protease such as trypsin, although the virus titers were lower than those of the wild-type RV. Although the exogenous trypsin probably cleaves the spike protein at one of the monobasic cleavage sites (R231 or R241), the lower replication titer suggests that trypsin cleavage at position 247 of VP4 may be associated with enhanced infectivity. One possible explanation for the observed lower replication titer is that untrypsinized spikes are disordered and conformationally different from
the trypsinized spikes of RV. Trypsin cleavage of VP4 is essential for the conformational changes in the spikes that are necessary for virus-cell fusion. [119, 128].

Segment 11 has two open reading frames (ORF) with an alternative ORF (a+1) encoding the NSP6 protein [129, 130]. Little is known about the function of NSP6 in RV replication, although this protein has been shown to be localized in the viroplasm and interact with NSP5 and NSP2 and that this is essential for viroplasm formation [130, 131]. Several reports have shown that NSP6 inhibits apoptotic cell death of RV-infected cells and interferes with IFN signaling [132–136]. These data appear to suggest that the NSP6 protein may promote RV replication by linking the mitochondria and viroplasm together. However, using the RGS platform, researchers have demonstrated that NSP6 is not essential for virus replication in a cell culture model [111]. The functional discrepancy of NSP6 among these studies may be reconciled by earlier observations in which several human and animal RV strains isolated from natural infections possessed a truncated NSP6 or lacked an initiation codon [137, 138]. In the light of recent experiments, the function of NSP6 in RV replication in mammalian hosts requires further investigation. The enhanced RGS discussed above should enable the function of NSP6 in RV replication and pathogenesis to be investigated.

To study the role of NSP1 in RV replication, a truncation of 103 amino acids was introduced in the C-terminal region of NSP1, and IFN levels in cells infected with wild-type and...
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Table 2 Existing reverse genetics system for rotaviruses

| Helper virus-dependent RGSs                      | Year | Advantages                                                                 | Limitations                                                                                      | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Helper-virus driven RGS                         | 2006 | First RGS developed for RV                                                 | Limited to segments that generate neutralizing antibodies (VP4, VP7).                          | [89]      |
| Gene rearrangement system                       | 2010 | Eliminated the need for a selection system, not limited to VP4 or VP7     | Requires exogenous gene rearrangement, low yield of progeny virus with rearranged gene segments  | [96]      |
| Two-hit system                                  | 2010 | Not limited to VP4 or VP7 segments, higher titer of recombinant progeny    | Requires temperature-sensitive mutants and RNAi for selection of helper virus                    | [94]      |
| Helper virus system with BHK-T7 cells used for transfection | 2016 | Eliminated the use of vaccinia virus as the source of T7-RNA polymerase    | Still requires temperature-sensitive helper virus for selection.                                | [103]     |

| Plasmid-only-based RGSs                         | Year | Advantages                                                                 | Limitations                                                                                      | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Entirely plasmid-based RGS (11+3 plasmid system) | 2017 | First successful entirely plasmid-based RGS for rotavirus                 | Low rescue efficiency                                                                          | [107]     |
| Entirely plasmid-based RGS (11 plasmid system)  | 2018 | Eliminated the need for additional plasmids, optimized existing plasmid-based RGS by adding plasmids coding for NSP2 and NSP5 at 3-fold higher concentration than the other plasmids. | Relatively low rescue efficiency compared to the 11+1 plasmid system | [112]     |
| Simplified 11+1 RGS system (NP868R-based RGS)   | 2019 | Eliminated the need for 3 additional plasmids by using a single additional plasmid |                                                                                                  | [164]     |
| Optimized 11+1 plasmid system (C3P3-G1 based RGS) | 2020 | Optimized for higher rescue efficiency                                    |                                                                                                  | [114]     |

mutant RVs were compared. Cells infected with the WT virus had a reduced level of IRF3, while mutant RV showed a normal amount of IRF3. A luciferase assay revealed an activated IFN-β promoter element in cells infected with mutant RV. This suggested that NSP1 is essential for subverting the host immune response by downregulating IRF3. Using RGS-derived RV with truncated NSP1, researchers were able to demonstrate that NSP1 is essential for blocking the host IFN response, which is in good agreement with previous findings [107, 139–141]. In order to study the role of NSP5 in RV replication, recombinant RVs harboring mutations in NSP5 were generated using a plasmid-based RGS. The NSP5 mutation led to impaired viroplasm formation, showing that hyperphosphorylation of NSP5 is crucial for viroplasm formation [142]. Along the same line, researchers showed that VP3 is involved in antagonizing the RNase L signaling pathway. Upon virus infection and IFN induction, RNase L signaling is activated, resulting in degradation of viral RNA and subsequent inhibition of viral replication. The virus with a non-functional VP3 protein was attenuated because of the absence of a viral virulence factor that could effectively neutralize the RNase L-associated innate restriction. VP3 mutants can be further explored as next-generation vaccine candidates for the control of RV infection in humans [143].

Efforts to understand RV entry, the first important step in the virus life cycle, have been aided by the availability of RGSs. In a mouse model, it was shown that RV infection leads to inflammation and obstruction of bile ducts, a condition known as biliary atresia. An RGS-based study revealed that arginine (R) at position 446 of the VP4 protein is essential for the viral entry process, which is consistent with previous work showing that a VP4 mutant with the arginine at this position replaced by glycine had reduced binding affinity for epithelial cells in the biliary duct and that VP4 is responsible for tropism for cholangiocytes. It has been shown recently that the spike protein (VP4) of rhesus RV can bind to heat shock protein 70 (Hsc70) present on cholangiocytes and mediate entry into these cells. The detailed mechanism, including the cellular response after VP4 attachment remains to be elucidated [144, 145].

During the short time span after its initial development, plasmid-only-based RV RGSs have been used extensively in diverse areas of RV research, including studies of the functions of RV proteins [146], the generation of RV reassortants...
[147], stable RV reporter expression systems [148], and novel vaccine platforms [149]. Thus, it can be envisioned that RGSs will be extensively employed in the rotavirus field in the future to study multiple facets of rotavirus biology that were not possible to investigate prior to the era of rotavirus RGSs.

**Generation of a reporter rotavirus using an RGS**

Reporter viruses can allow for real-time study and direct visualization of viral replication, cross-species transmission, and pathogenesis, both in vivo and in vitro [42]. The RV capsid has the potential to incorporate an additional ~1800 bp of dsRNA [150] without a substantial effect on virus replication. However, large exogenous gene segments may make RV rescue very inefficient in the RGS [151, 152]. Furthermore, the insertion of a foreign gene can disrupt the structure of the dsRNA that contains the packaging signals [153, 154]. It should be noted that the RV packaging signals have so far been identified; they are likely to reach beyond the 5' and 3' UTRs into the ORF of the segment. Despite these challenges, several RV reporters have been developed using a plasmid-only-based RGS. A RV reporter was generated by the fusion of split GFP fragments to the C-terminus of NSP1 and by inserting NanoLuc luciferase into the N-terminus of NSP1 [107]. Insertion of large (316-bp) foreign genes such as NanoLuc luciferase did not have an adverse effect on viral replication. NSP1 has been demonstrated to be dispensable for viral replication in cell culture [155–157]. Successful replication and rescue of NSP1 mutant virus using an RGS have shown that segment 5 can functionally tolerate the insertion of foreign genes [112]. Additional NSP1-based RV reporters with mCherry or EGFP inserted into the N-terminal region of the NSP1 gene were successfully rescued by leveraging the plasmid-only-based RGS [112].

Using an optimized plasmid-only-based RGS, a repertoire of recombinant RVs expressing a fluorescent reporter protein were recently generated in which the native ORF of NSP3 was replaced with ORFs encoding NSP3 fusion proteins with each of six fluorescent reporter proteins (UnaG, mKate, mRuby, TagBFP, CFP, YFP) fused to the C-terminus [158–160]. In addition to NSP1 and NSP3 segments, NSP2 was also exploited to generate an RV reporter system in a very early study. Using the dual selection method, rearranged and heterologous sequences were inserted into the 3'-UTR of the RV NSP2 gene, while a engineered internal ribosomal entry site from cricket paralysis virus was inserted into the NSP2 segment [161].

Since the RV core has 12 fivefold axes, ideally, each segment, along with its polymerase complex, takes one designated space. This theory supports the idea that the RV core has the capacity to accommodate an extra segment and its associated polymerase complex [69, 162]. It has also been shown that the RV core can package an extra 1800 bp as part of the viral genome [150]. Using an RGS-based approach, recent studies showed that segment 7, encoding NSP3, could tolerate a 774-bp insertion without substantially compromising RG replication in vitro [114, 163]. Specifically, researchers were able to expand the RV genome by replacing the ORF of segment 7 with an NSP3 fusion protein in which a fluorescent reporter protein had been inserted into the C-terminus of NSP3. The 2A peptide, which cleaves a protein fused on either side of it into two separate proteins, was placed between NSP3 and the reporter protein, allowing them to be produced simultaneously. In light of the fact that the NSP3 segment is transcribed more efficiently than the other segments, NSP3 has emerged as an attractive segment for developing a sensitive reporter system to study RV biology [164]. This has been impressively demonstrated with an NSP3-mRuby recombinant SA11 reporter virus to study the underlying mechanism of abnormal calcium signaling during the course of RV infection [160].

**Generation of reassortant RVs using an RGS**

The segmented nature of the RV genome makes it possible for genome reassortment to occur when two viruses of different origins coinfect a cell, contributing to the genetic diversity of group A RVs. Such reassortment can occur within or between host species, leading to the emergence of novel strains and posing a challenge to the effectiveness of vaccines used in the field [10, 22, 165–167]. There is limited information on gene assortment and packaging of RVs, although some biophysical studies have started to shed light on this problem [73]. In RVs, synthesis of dsRNA occurs after assortment and packaging of each of the 11 segments. Compared to some other segmented viruses, RV assortment and packaging are poorly understood. Based on in silico experiments, it is presumed that assortment and packaging of RV segments do not occur segment by segment but rather through the formation of supramolecular complexes formed by interactions of all 11 segments, which are then encapsidated by the core-shell protein VP2. The interactions of all ss(+) RNA segments to form the supramolecular complex are probably guided by the recognition of a presumed high-order RNA structure at 3'-terminal region, as observed in in silico analysis of RVA RNA [168], and it was shown that disruption of this interaction inhibited viral infectivity [169]. Further in vitro studies need to be carried out to confirm the results of the in silico experiments. Phylogenetic analysis of the VP1 segment, which codes for the RNA polymerase, have divided RV groups into two clades: clade A, with groups A, C, D, and F, and clade B, with groups B, G, and H.
There is limited opportunity for reassortment between members of different groups, whereas clades within a group have high frequencies of genetic reassortment. Reassortant progeny viruses may have fitness advantage, whereas the progeny viruses with incomplete segment or gene combinations are not viable. Fitness costs may increase in progeny viruses derived from more genetically distant parenteral strains. Fitness costs due to reassortment may lead to attenuation of viruses that can be further explored as vaccine candidates.

The traditional system of generating a reassortant virus was labor-intensive and time-consuming. Recent RGSs for RVs have decreased the time and effort required to generate desirable reassortant viruses from months to weeks. Thus, RGSs are highly suitable for rapid generation of vaccine candidates in cases of emergence of novel RV strains of clinical significance. An RV RGS has been successfully used to generate human RV reassortants. Using a helper virus system, recombinant RVs containing NSP2 from Wa-like or AU-1-like strains in an SA11 backbone were generated. Similarly, an avian-mammalian reassortant virus with VP4 from chicken RVA strain 02V0002G3 in an SA11 backbone was generated, but the rescue of a bovine-VP4/SA11 recombinant virus failed. After the successful generation of an 11+3 plasmid-only-based RGS, numerous reassortant RVs have been generated using this platform. For instance, a replication-competent VP6-KU/SA11 recombinant RV was generated in 2017, and a chicken-mammalian reassortant virus, previously developed using a helper virus, was rescued using this system as well. In addition, a panel of reassortant viruses with VP4 from bovine, bat, porcine, and pheasant RVs in an SA11 backbone were successfully rescued. However, rescue of reassortant Turkey VP4/SA11 and human (Wa) RVA VP4/SA11 remained unsuccessful. In addition, efforts to generate an RGS for avian strain 02V002G3 and to generate mono-reassortant avian-mammalian RV for each segment had limited success using the 11+3 RGS platform. Nevertheless, replication-competent reassortant viruses containing exogenous VP3 and VP4 segments were generated using an 11+3 RGS. Similar bottlenecks were also observed in generating SA11 reassortant RVs with VP4, VP7, or both VP4 and VP7 from African human RVA strains. These outer capsid protein sequences (VP4 and VP7) were from African human RVA strains GR10924/99, Moz308 (DS-1 like genotype constellation), and Moz60a (Wa-like constellation), respectively. Interestingly, either the VP4 or VP7 segment alone from one of the above three strains was reassorted into the genetic SA11 backbone successfully. However, efforts to generate recombinant RV with VP4 and VP7 segments in combination with one of these three strains in SA11 backbone were not successful.

Using the enhanced RGS (11+1 plasmids), researchers successfully rescued a murine-like RV designated as rD6/2-like. This rD6/2-like RV possessed segment 4 from simian RV strain RRV, segments 1 and 10 from SA11, and the remaining segments from the murine EW strain. This platform was also used to generate bovine-human recombinant RV, with VP4 from the bovine UK strain in a human CDC-9 backbone. This was remarkable, as such recombinants were hard to rescue using an earlier RGS. Using 11 plasmids, a panel of 11 reassortant viruses, each having a single gene segment from human strain KU in the backbone of SA11, were generated. In addition to monogenic reassortant RVs, triple gene reassortant viruses (VP1, VP2, VP3) and multiple VP7 reassortant viruses belonging to genotypes G1-G4, G9, and G12 were also produced. Diverse RVs harboring genetic segments from different species, generated from forced reverse genetics experiments as discussed above, can be utilized to study the replication fitness, host range restriction, and pathogenesis of RVs.

RGSs for production of next-generation RV vaccines for humans and animals

Rotaviruses are important gastrointestinal pathogens, causing 125,000–200,000 deaths annually in children less than 5 years old. Various forms of vaccines are either licensed and in use or in the developmental phase. The use of three live attenuated vaccines in infants and children has substantially reduced RV-associated child mortality across the globe. The Asia-Pacific countries that account for the majority of deaths due to RV infections have 20-30% lower vaccine efficacy than developed countries, which provides a strong justification for developing more efficacious vaccines for reducing disease mortality and morbidity in the developing world. The efficacy and safety of existing vaccines are of concern, and thus continuous investment in understanding the mechanism of protection and generation of novel RV vaccine with desirable features is of utmost importance. Of the currently available human RV vaccines, four are monovalent (Rotarix, Rotavac, Rotavin, Lanzhou), and two are pentavalent (RotaTeq and RotaSiIL), with one new monovalent vaccine, RV3-BB, undergoing a phase II clinical trial. In children, the initial infection does not provide sterile immunity to reinfection, although it limits the clinical severity of subsequent infections. A monovalent vaccine relies on the production of a homotypic immune response after the initial administration and a heterotypic response after repeated administration. Heterotypic protection could be due to non-neutralizing antibodies, especially antibodies against VP6, VP2, NSP2, and NSP4. Such non-neutralizing antibodies could still be pivotal in...
eliciting protection against the disease [188–190]. Current live attenuated RV vaccines depend on natural attenuation, with the mechanisms of attenuation being largely unknown. Two major vaccines licensed for international use have their own caveats. There is limited information on protection against heterotypic strains by Rotarix and RotaTeq. Rotarix is a monovalent vaccine containing the human RV strain G1P[8]. RotaTeq is a pentavalent vaccine that incorporates five mono-reassortant rotaviruses of genotypes, G1, G2, G3, G4, and P[8] from human strains in a bovine WC3 rotavirus backbone. The bovine strain used as the backbone for the generation of the attenuated human reassortant virus confers no broad protection and has limited replication capacity in humans [191]. The currently available RV vaccines are live attenuated strains, and as such, various underlying causes such as the presence of maternal antibodies, environmental enteric dysfunction, and malnutrition can lead to decreased vaccine efficacy [178, 179, 192]. Administration of live RV vaccines has been associated with intussusceptions, and these vaccines should not be administered to immunocompromised or prematurely born children [193]. In addition, live attenuated vaccines carry the risk of reassortment with wild-type human RVs [61]. Thus the combination of all these factors calls for the development of next-generation RV vaccines, including non-replicating RV vaccines that can be administered parenterally [194]. RGSs have been used successfully to develop non-replicating or attenuated vaccines for influenza virus [195]. Multiple approaches have been used for the generation of attenuated influenza virus vaccines, including introduction of mutations in the cleavage site of haemagglutinin (HA segment) [196], truncations in NS1, which is essential for counteracting the innate immune response, deoptimizing codons, generation of pseudotype viruses, and generation of reassortants by rearranging the viral genome [195]. All of these approaches can be applied to RV vaccine design using the enhanced RV RGS.

In developed countries, there has been in increase in the incidence of norovirus-associated diarrhea in children [197]. Recently, using a plasmid-based RV RGS, two separate proteins (NSP3 and a fluorescent reporter protein) were synthesized by replacing the native ORF of segment 7 with an ORF encoding an NSP3-GFP fusion protein [163]. Thus, the use of an RGS has opened the possibility of using RVs as expression vectors for development of bivalent vaccines for enteric viruses. The RGS-based platform will be pivotal in the development of better vaccine candidates, as it allows the experimental conditions to be tightly regulated [152, 198, 199]. RGSs allow for the desired combination of various gene segments, simultaneous mutations in various gene segments, reassortments of different strains, and generation of mutants with a blunted IFN response, which together will help to advance the development of next-generation RV vaccines [107].

Rotavirus can infect a wide variety of livestock and companion animals [200]. Zoonotic transmission of RVs is reported occasionally, and this poses a significant risk to humans, as vaccines may not be protective against infection by novel zoonotic strains [10, 201]. RV infection is associated with economic loss to the livestock industry due to a decline in production and increased treatment costs. Group A and B rotaviruses primarily infect calves and lambs [202–204]. Group A, B, and C RVs are frequently associated with diarrhea in piglets [203, 205], although group H RVs have also been detected in recent years [206, 207]. Group D, F, and G RVs are exclusively associated with avian species, with group A being most prevalent in poultry [8]. Group A RVs are a leading cause of diarrhea in young foals. Rotavirus vaccines are available for cattle, pigs, and equines. Rotavirus vaccines for animal use are mainly protective against group A RV strains, as the vaccine’s composition is largely based on group A RV strains [208]. Since RV strains from other groups are also clinically important and afflict animals, there is a demand in the field for broadly protective RV vaccines. Almost all RV vaccines for animals are live attenuated viruses, and thus the propagation of seed virus to high titers is essential. Since non-group-A rotavirus strains are hard to propagate to high titers, this represents a major obstacle for the development of a multivalent RV vaccine. The RV vaccines currently available for animal use rely on the immunization of pregnant animals. Thus, protection of newborns is dependent on maternal antibody transfer, and this mechanism can vary depending on the route of administration, health status of the mother, and previous exposure of the mother to RV. The advent of plasmid-only-based RGSs for RVs will enable rational design of animal RV vaccines such as subunit vaccines, eliminating the need to propagate non-group-A RV [9, 206, 208, 209].

Conclusion

Before the advent of entirely plasmid-based RGSs for RVs, efforts to study the phenotype-genotype relationship of RVs primarily depended on the traditional approach involving the introduction of mutations into the genome and evaluation of protein function in an expression system, which is time-consuming and labor-intensive, and the genotype-phenotype correlation can be ambiguous. Utilization of an entirely plasmid-based RV RGS will enable rapid interrogation of viral gene functions in great detail. Despite extensive studies on virus structure, replication, packaging signals, segment assortment, many key steps and underlying mechanisms of RV replication are poorly understood. Knowledge gaps remain in certain areas such as heterotypic immunity to infection, correlates of protection, factors affecting viral spread, mechanism of selective packaging, and host range...
restriction. On the other hand, although the currently available vaccines have significantly reduced mortality in the developed world, vaccine efficacy, safety, and cost still pose a significant challenge to developing countries. Considering that more than two-thirds of the RV-associated child mortality occurs in developing countries and the least developed countries, next-generationRV vaccines with increased safety, efficacy, and reduced cost are necessary, and these benefits also apply to rotavirus vaccines for livestock and poultry. The recent success of plasmid-only-based RGSs has paved the way to develop next-generation RV vaccines. A platform similar to those used to develop improved vaccines for other segmented viruses such as influenza should be applied to RVs. There is little doubt that cost-effective RV vaccines with higher safety and desirable efficacy will emerge from the RGS platform, which will be used eventually to protect humans and agricultural animals from RV infections.
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