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Construction and Application of MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry for the Detection of Haemophilus parasuis
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To construct a protein fingerprint database of Haemophilus parasuis (H. parasuis), thus improving its clinical diagnosis efficiency. A total of 15 H. parasuis standard strains were collected to establish a protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), and the effects of different culture media and culture time on the quality and identification results of the protein fingerprint were investigated. The results showed that tryptone soy agar (TSA) and tryptone soy broth (TSB) media and different incubation times had no significant effect on the characteristic peaks of the protein profiles. In addition, 18 clinical isolates were used to compare the identification results of the self-built protein fingerprint database, PCR detection, and basic database. Only one strain was identified in the original VITEK-MS system database, while the self-made protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis was 100% accurate for the detection of 18 clinical isolate strains. The protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis built by our laboratory is suitable for rapid clinical diagnosis of H. parasuis, due to its high accuracy, efficiency, and strong specificity.

1. Introduction

With the improvement of the Chinese economy, the pig industry has reached a great development, so how to produce commercial pigs in a healthy and efficient way has become the key. Haemophilus parasuis (H. parasuis) disease, also known as Glässer’s disease [1], is induced by H. parasuis, leading to the increase of body temperature, joint swelling, dyspnea, polyserositis, and arthritis in pigs [2]. It is an infectious disease with a high mortality rate, seriously endangering the health of piglets and young pigs. H. parasuis has brought great economic losses to the pig industry and seriously threatened the healthy development of this industry worldwide. H. parasuis is a Gram-negative, nonmotile, small, pleomorphic bacterium belonging to genus Haemophilus in the family Pasteurellaceae [3]. However, because it is different from other genera in this family, Dickerman et al. [4] suggested renaming H. parasuis to Glasserella parasuis (this article continues to use H. parasuis). The virulence varies considerably between serotypes. Virulent strains can particularly as secondary microorganism of pneumonia cause septicemia without polyserositis or Glässer’s disease characterized by polyserositis, pericarditis, arthritis, and meningitis [5].

Currently, detection methods for H. parasuis disease include etiological detection, serological detection [6], molecular biological detection [7], and loop-mediated isothermal amplification [8]. Traditional etiological diagnosis needs pathogen isolation and culture, biochemical identification, serological or molecular biological identification, etc. However, H. parasuis grows slowly on the culture medium with high nutritional requirements [9]. Meanwhile, the clinical pathogen isolation and culture are often easily interfered by other miscellaneous bacteria. In general, etiological detection is difficult and time-consuming in clinical. Serological detection, with complex operation, can only detect whether pigs have been infected with H. parasuis but cannot be used as a basis for diagnosis of the disease. Molecular biological detection requires complicated steps including...
were used to construct a protein using MALDI-TOF MS, so as to explore the in parasuis. H. parasuis in veterinary clinic. TOF MS technology in rapid diagnosis and identification results. In addition, 18 clinical isolates were used to compare the identity results of the self-built protein fingerprint database, PCR detection, biochemical detection, and basic database, in order to explore the application of MALDI-TOF MS technology in rapid diagnosis and identification of H. parasuis in veterinary clinic.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Strains and Sources. Escherichia coli standard strain ATCC 8739 was provided by BioMerieux, Inc. (France). Fifteen serotype standard strains of H. parasuis were purchased from the China Institute of Veterinary Drug Control. Eighteen clinical H. parasuis strains were isolated and preserved by Shanghai Animal Disease Control Center. The names and sources of all strains are shown in Table 1.

2.2. Isolation and Culture of H. parasuis. The strains were dipped with an inoculation loop under aseptic conditions and then were inoculated streaked on a chocolate plate containing 10 mg/mL NAD (Shanghai Regal Biology Technology Co., Ltd., China) and cultured at 37 °C for 48 hours. The suspicious colonies were selected for gram staining and inoculated on a tryptone soy agar (TSA, Becton Dickinson and Company, USA) plate containing 5% calf serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and 10 mg/mL NAD for culture.

2.3. Biochemical Index Determination and PCR Identification of Bacteria. The suspicious colonies were selected and inoculated into glucose, lactose, galactose, mannitol, and xylose microbiochemical identification tubes, respectively. Each tube was cultured at 37 °C for 24 hours at the final concentration of NAD of 10 μg/mL.

The primers of H. parasuis (Table 2) were used for multiplex PCR amplification. The reaction system of H. parasuis was as follows: premix 12.5 μL, DEPC water 8 μL, mixed primer 3 μL, and template 1.5 μL. The reaction conditions

| Standard strain number | Strain name | Supplier | Clinical strain number | Separation site | Source |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|--------|
| ATCC8739               | E. coli     | BioMerieux | ATCC                  | Lung           | Our laboratory |
| CVCC2661602            | A. pleuropneumoniae | IVDC | 0601                   | Lung           | Our laboratory |
| ATCC12948              | Pasteurella multocida | ATCC | 201705                 | Lung           | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3891               | H. parasuis type 1 | IVDC | Pmult                   | Lung           | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3892               | H. parasuis type 2 | IVDC | C07-020                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3893               | H. parasuis type 3 | IVDC | C07-019                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3894               | H. parasuis type 4 | IVDC | C07-016                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3895               | H. parasuis type 5 | IVDC | C07-015                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3896               | H. parasuis type 6 | IVDC | C07-014                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3897               | H. parasuis type 7 | IVDC | C07-013                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3898               | H. parasquis type 8 | IVDC | C07-012                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3899               | H. parasuis type 9 | IVDC | C07-011                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3900               | H. parasuis type 10 | IVDC | C07-010                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3901               | H. parasuis type 11 | IVDC | C07-009                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3902               | H. parasuis type 12 | IVDC | C07-008                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3903               | H. parasuis type 13 | IVDC | C07-007                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3904               | H. parasuis type 14 | IVDC | C07-006                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |
| CVCC3905               | H. parasuis type 15 | IVDC | C07-005                 | Nasal swab    | Our laboratory |

Notes: all strains were isolated and preserved in our laboratory. Abbreviations: E. coli: Escherichia coli; IVDC: China Institute of Veterinary Drug Control; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection; H. parasuis: Haemophilus parasuis; A. pleuropneumoniae: Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae.
were as follows: 95°C for 2 min, 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1.5 min, 29 cycles of amplification; extension at 72°C for 10 min.

2.4. Culture of H. parasuis in Different Culture Media. H. parasuis standard strain CVCC3892 was inoculated into TSA and tryptone soy broth (TSB), respectively, and cultured at 37°C for 48 hours. The bacteria growing in the two media were directly smeared on the target plate, covered with 1 μL α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA, BioMerieux, Inc., France) matrix solution. After completely air-dried, VITEK Mass Spectrometry (VITEK-MS, BioMerieux, France) was used to obtain the MS of the strains.

2.5. Culture of H. parasuis for Different Culture Time. H. parasuis standard strain CVCC3892 was inoculated into TSA and cultured in an incubator at 37°C for 24 hours or 48 hours. The single colony of the bacteria at the two time points was directly smeared on the target plate, covered with 1 μL CHCA matrix solution. After completely air-dried, the MS of the strains was obtained using VITEK-MS.

2.6. Self-Built Protein Fingerprint Database of H. parasuis. Fifteen standard strains of H. parasuis were inoculated with TSA and cultured at 37°C for 48 hours. A small number of single colonies were dipped with an inoculation loop, evenly smeared on the target plate, then added with 1 μL CHCA matrix solution after making double wells for each strain. The target plate was put into the machine for detection after the matrix solution was completely dried. A protein fingerprint database was constructed in line with the establishment process of VITEK MS RUO [17].

2.7. Verification of the Self-Built Protein Fingerprint Database by Clinical Strains. Eighteen H. parasuis clinical strains were inoculated into TSA, cultured at 37°C for 48 hours. The standard strain of Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae (CVCC2661602) and Pasteurella multocida (ATCC12948) was inoculated into Columbia blood plate, cultured at

---

Table 2: Primers of Haemophilus parasuis.

| Serotype | Primer sequence | Section size (bp) |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1        | F CTGTGTATAATCTATCAGCATCAGC | 180 |
|          | R GTCCACAGAATTTGGACCAATCTTCTG |  |
| 2        | F GACCTGAAATAAGGATAATGACT | 295 |
|          | R GGCACTGAATAAGGGATAATTGTACT |  |
| 3        | F CATGGTGTTTATCCTGACTTGGGC | 650 |
|          | R TCCACATGAGGCCGGGCCTTATAATGACT |  |
| 4        | F GATTTAGGATAGGCTAAGAATTAAGG | 320 |
|          | R CTTTCCAACAACAGCTCCTAAGACC |  |
| 5/12     | F CCATCATCTGAAATTCCTAAGC | 450 |
|          | R GATTCGATGATTTTGGCTAGGGAACG | 360 |
| 6        | F CTTATGCTTCTATAAGCATAGACGAC | 490 |
|          | R AGGAGGGAATCTACCTACATGAAAG | 650 |
| 7        | F GATATACCATGTTTTAGCCATATACC | 710 |
|          | R GGTGACATTATAGGGAGTAAATGC | 790 |
| 8        | F CCATCATCTTCTAAGCATGACC | 890 |
|          | R GAGGCCAAAGGATATTATCAAATG | 840 |
| 9        | F GCTGGGAAGGATAGTTAAAAAGATG | 730 |
|          | R CAAATGTTGAAACACAACAGGAGC | 550 |
| 10       | F GCACGTGTCATATCAATAAACATCTAAAGACG |  |
|          | R CCATCTCTTTAATATAGGGACTG |  |
| 11       | F GGAGGCCAAGGAGATTATCAAATG |  |
|          | R GCTTGGGAAGATAGTTAAAAAGATG |  |
| 12       | F CAATCATAATGAAACACAACAGGAGC |  |
|          | R GCTGGGAAGGATAGTTAAAAAGATG |  |
| 13       | F GCTTGGGAAGGATAGTTAAAAAGATG |  |
|          | R CAAATGTTGAAACACAACAGGAGC |  |
| 14       | F GCATCCAAAGTATACCAACAAAGCAAG |  |
|          | R CAAATGTTGAAACACAACAGGAGC |  |
| 15       | F ACAACCGTAAGTACTTATCGGAGT | 275 |
| A11      | F ACAACCGTAAGTACTTATCGGAGT |  |
|          | R TAGCCCTCTGTCATATCCCAACG |  |

1 μL CHCA matrix solution. After completely air-dried, the MS of the strains was obtained using VITEK-MS.
37°C for 24 hours. A small number of single colonies were taken using inoculation loop, respectively, evenly smeared on the target plate, then added with 1 μL CHCA matrix solution. Finally, the target plate was put into the machine for detection after the matrix solution was completely dried. The operation was performed according to the identification process of VITEK MS RUO.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of Different Media on Protein Mass Spectra. The mass spectra of *H. parasuis* standard strain CVCC3892 inoculated in TSA and TSB media were compared. The result showed no significant difference in the characteristic peaks between the two (Figure 1), indicating that the culture medium had no significant effect on the characteristic peaks of the protein mass spectra.

3.2. Effect of Different Culture Time on Protein Mass Spectra. The mass spectra of *H. parasuis* standard strain CVCC3892 inoculated in TSA for 24 h and 48 h were compared. The result showed no significant difference in the characteristic peaks between the two (Figure 2).

3.3. Construction of Protein Fingerprint Database of 15 Standard Strains. According to the establishment process of VITEK MS RUO [17], protein mass spectra of 15 standard strains of *H. parasuis* were obtained. Forty characteristic protein peaks were ultimately retained, with at least 80% strains of each mass peak possessed 40 characteristic peaks (Figures 3(a)–3(c)). The weight of peaks was determined in accordance with the number of matches (horizontal rows) and the number of reserved characteristic peaks (vertical rows). In this study, the matching number of non-database-building bacteria was 18, and the characteristic peak was 40, so the weight of each peak was 33. Subsequently, all the number codes in super spectrum were changed to 33 in order to complete the establishment and activation of the self-built database.

3.4. Comparison of Identification Results between the Clinical Strain System Database and the Self-Built Database. The VITEK-MS original system database was used to identify 18 clinical *H. parasuis* isolates stored in the laboratory. The results showed that only one strain could be identified to genus *Pasteurella*, and the other strains could not be identified (Table 3).

Eighteen clinical strains isolated and identified in our laboratory were used to verify the mass spectral database of *H. parasuis*. The mass spectra of *H. parasuis* standard strain CVCC3892 inoculated in different media were compared. The result showed no significant difference in the characteristic peaks between the two (Figure 1). The operation was performed according to the identification process of VITEK MS RUO.

Figure 1: Comparison of protein mass spectra of *Haemophilus parasuis* in different media.
Figure 2: Comparison of protein mass spectra of *Haemophilus parasuis* for different culture time.

Figure 3: Characteristic peaks of protein mass spectrum of *Haemophilus parasuis* standard strains. (a) Flow chart of the establishment of protein mass spectrum database; (b) screening methods of 40 characteristic protein peaks; (c) mass spectrum of 40 characteristic peaks.
parasuis. Besides, Pasteurella multocida and Bordetella were applied for the verification of specificity of the mass spectral database (Table 3). The results showed that all the clinical strains could be detected, and the coincidence rate with the super mass spectrum was between 89.1% and 99.9%. All of Pasteurella multocida, Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae, and Bordetella did not match with the new protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis, indicating that this new database was of strong heterogeneousness.

3.5. Comparison of Identification Efficiency between Clinical Strain System Database and Traditional Identification Methods. Eighteen clinical isolates of H. parasuis were all identified using the self-built protein mass spectra database, with identification accuracy of 100%. A total of 16 isolates were identified using the traditional PCR method, with an identification efficiency of 88.9%. Meanwhile, after isolation and culture of the strains, the efficiency of microscopic examination was 94.4% (Table 4).

| Strain number | Strain name | Source | VITEK-MS original identification result | Self-built protein mass spectrum identification result | Accuracy |
|---------------|-------------|--------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| ATCC8739      | E. coli     | Merieux| E. coli / 78.9%                         | /                                                     | 78.9%    |
| CVCC2661602   | A. pleuropneumoniae | IVDC | A. pleuropneumoniae / 99.9% | /                                                     | 99.9%    |
| ATCC12948     | Pasteurella multocida | ATCC | Pasteurella / 95.0%                   | /                                                     | 95.0%    |
| GONGJIANPEI   | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | Lignieresi/pleuropneumoniae | 87.4%    |
| 0601          | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | Bordetella bronchiseptica/parapertussis | 99.9%    |
| 201705        | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | Pasteurella multocida | 99.0%    |
| Pmult         | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | Pasteurella multocida | 89.1%    |
| C07-020       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | Pasteurella multocida | 99.9%    |
| C07-019       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-016       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-015       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-014       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-013       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-012       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-011       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-010       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-009       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-008       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-007       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-006       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |
| C07-005       | H. parasuis | Isolated in laboratory | /                       | H. parasuis | 99.9%    |

Notes: "/" means no detection; "-" means undetected. Abbreviations: IVDC: China Institute of Veterinary Drug Control; ATCC: American Type Culture Collection; E. coli: Escherichia coli; H. parasuis: Haemophilus parasuis; A. pleuropneumoniae: Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae.
Table 4: Comparison of clinical strain identification efficiency.

| Bacteria      | Number of strain identified by self-built database (n) | Number of strain identified by PCR (n) | Number of strain identified by microscopic examination (n) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| H. parasuis   | 18                                                     | 16                                    | 17                                                      |
| Efficiency    | 100%                                                   | 88.9%                                 | 94.4%                                                   |

4. Discussion

H. parasuis is an important pathogen posing severe infections in pigs. H. parasuis disease is concentrated in piglets in the nursing stage and is easily secondary to immune suppression diseases (such as porcine blue ear disease or porcine circovirus disease) in pigs [18, 19]. This disease causes huge economic losses to the pig industry worldwide. Therefore, a rapid and accurate identification method is of great significance for the prevention and treatment of H. Parasuis disease.

Previous studies have revealed that MALDI-TOF MS technology is applied to the identification of foodborne yeasts and yeast-like fungi [20], filamentous fungi [21], mycobacteria [22], Nocardia [23], and Porphyromonas [24]. Kuhnt et al. [25] used MALDI-TOF MS to identify Pasteurellaceae species and subspecies from 250 species in an efficient and simple way. Moreno et al.’s research [26] also used MALDI-TOF MS to identify animal H. parasuis. In addition, Yu et al. [27] identified 16 different proteins from H. parasuis by using MALDI-TOF MS. In our study, 15 serotypes H. parasuis standard strains were used to construct the protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis by MALDI-TOF MS, and the effects of different culture media and time on the identification results were studied. Meanwhile, 18 clinical isolates were used to compare the identification results of self-built database, PCR detection, and basic database. The results indicated that this protein fingerprint database of H. parasuis using the MALDI-TOF MS method was very stable, and culture media and time had no significant effect on it. Its accuracy and stability were similar to the traditional molecular biological detection method, but with faster detection speed.

In the process of the MALDI-TOF MS for detection of H. parasuis, TSA and TS media were selected for the optimization of culture conditions, and H. parasuis was cultured for 24 h and 48 h, respectively. The results confirmed that both have no significant effect on the results of protein spectrum identification, indicating that H. parasuis requires a wide range of growth conditions. However, from the mass spectrum, there are slight differences in the intensity of characteristic peaks and the number and intensity of other small peaks in the mass spectrum of H. parasuis under different growth conditions. Studies have demonstrated that the mass spectrum after MALDI-TOF MS detection of vibrio cultured in different media is inconsistent [28], which was consistent with our results. This indicates that the growth condition of H. parasuis was less demanding and its growth state was consistent at different time points.

In the validation of MALDI-TOF MS detection for H. parasuis, before using the new protein fingerprint database, VITEK-MS was used to identify H. parasuis isolated strains after PCR. And the result showed that only one strain could be identified to genus Pasteurella, and the other strains could not be identified. Therefore, the new-built protein fingerprint database is necessary for routine testing, which can immensely improve the identification efficacy of H. parasuis.

In summary, compared with the traditional detection methods, the protein fingerprint database constructed by MALDI-TOF MS in this experiment for the detection of H. parasuis is easier to operate, economical, rapid, and more accurate. Therefore, it can be used as an effective tool for the detection of H. parasuis.
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