Scalar products of Bethe vectors in models with $\text{gl}(2|1)$ symmetry 2. Determinant representation*

A Hutsalyuk$^1$, A Liashyk$^{2,3}$, S Z Pakuliak$^{1,4}$, E Ragoucy$^{5,7}$
and N A Slavnov$^6$

1 Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow reg., Russia
2 Bogoliubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, NAS of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine
3 National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moskva, Russia
4 Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, Dubna, Moscow reg., Russia
5 Laboratoire de Physique Théorique LAPTH, CNRS and Université de Savoie, BP 110, 74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France
6 Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: hutsalyuk@gmail.com, a.liashyk@gmail.com, stanislav.pakuliak@jinr.ru,
eric.ragoucy@lapth.cnrs.fr and nslavnov@mi.ras.ru

Received 24 June 2016, revised 25 October 2016
Accepted for publication 7 November 2016
Published 20 December 2016

Abstract

We study integrable models with $\text{gl}(2|1)$ symmetry and solvable by nested algebraic Bethe ansatz. We obtain a determinant representation for scalar products of Bethe vectors, when the Bethe parameters obey some relations weaker than the Bethe equations. This representation allows us to find the norms of on-shell Bethe vectors and obtain determinant formulas for form factors of the diagonal entries of the monodromy matrix.
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1. Introduction

The present paper is a continuation of [1], where we began to study the problem of calculating scalar products of Bethe vectors in $\text{gl}(2|1)$ integrable models solvable by the algebraic Bethe ansatz. There we considered the scalar product of generic Bethe vectors and obtained a sum formula for it. By generic Bethe vectors we mean that the Bethe parameters are supposed to be generic complex numbers. In this paper we consider the case where the Bethe parameters satisfy certain conditions, closely related to the Bethe equations but less constrained. This allows us to derive a determinant representation for the scalar product.

* Dedicated to the memory of Petr Petrovich Kulish.
7 Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.
The problem of computing the scalar products is of great importance in the algebraic Bethe ansatz \cite{2–4}. This is a necessary tool for calculating form factors and correlation functions within the framework of this method. The first results in this field concerning \text{gl}(2)-based models and their \textit{q}-deformations were obtained in \cite{5–7}. This explicit representation (the Izergin–Korepin formula) was, however, rather inconvenient for applications. The next step was completed in \cite{8}, where a determinant representation for a particular case of scalar products was found. In this particular case one of the vectors remains generic, while the other becomes on-shell (that is, its Bethe parameters satisfy the Bethe equations). The determinant representation for the scalar products opened a way for studying form factors and correlation functions in the models with \text{gl}(2) symmetry and their \textit{q}-deformations \cite{9–14}. It also was found to be useful for numerical analysis of correlation functions \cite{15–18}.

The first result concerning the scalar products in the models with \text{gl}(3)-invariant \textit{R}-matrix was obtained in \cite{19} and led to the so-called Reshetikhin formula. There, an analog of the Izergin–Korepin formula for the scalar product of generic Bethe vectors and a determinant representation for the norm of the on-shell vectors were found. Similar results for the models based on a \textit{q}-deformed \text{gl}(3) were obtained in \cite{20, 21}. Recently various particular cases of scalar products were studied in \cite{22–27}. However, up until now an analog of the determinant for the scalar product between generic and on-shell Bethe vectors was not known. Thus, the \text{gl}(3) case appears to be more restrictive in this sense. Apparently, in order to obtain a compact determinant formula for the scalar product in the \text{gl}(3)-based models one should impose certain constraints on the Bethe parameters of both vectors. In particular, a determinant representation for the scalar product of \textit{on-shell} and \textit{twisted on-shell} Bethe vectors\footnote{See section 2 for the definition of \textit{twisted on-shell} Bethe vectors.} was derived in \cite{28}. Later, determinant presentations for form factors of the monodromy matrix entries were obtained in the series of papers \cite{29–32}.

In the case of models with \text{gl}(2\mid 1) symmetry we deal with an intermediate situation. Currently a determinant formula for the scalar product of generic and on-shell Bethe vectors is not known. However, we succeeded to find a determinant representation for the scalar product in the case where certain constraints are imposed for the Bethe parameters of both vectors. These constraints are much less restrictive than in the case of the \text{gl}(3)-based models. In fact, we are able to derive the determinant representation when only a part of Bethe equations for the Bethe parameters is valid. Thus, a part of Bethe parameters of both vectors is fixed, while the remaining parameters still are generic complex numbers. We call such vectors \textit{semi-on-shell} Bethe vectors. The determinant representation for the scalar product of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors in the models with \text{gl}(2\mid 1) symmetry is the main result of the present paper.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the model under consideration. There we also specify our conventions and notation. Section 3 contains the main results of the paper. There we give a determinant representation for a special scalar product of Bethe vectors and consider important particular cases. In section 4 we prove orthogonality of on-shell Bethe vectors. In section 5 we calculate form factors of diagonal entries of the monodromy matrix. Finally in section 6 we give the derivation of the determinant representation for the scalar product. The appendix contains several auxiliary lemmas allowing us to calculate certain multiple sums of rational functions.

2. Description of the model

2.1. \text{gl}(2\mid 1)-based models

The \textit{R}-matrix of \text{gl}(2\mid 1)-based models acts in the tensor product \(\mathbb{C}^{2\mid 1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2\mid 1}\), where \(\mathbb{C}^{2\mid 1}\) is the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-graded vector space with the grading \([1] = [2] = 0, [3] = 1\). Matrices acting in this space
are also graded, according to $[e_{ij}] = [i] + [j]$, where $e_{ij}$ are elementary units: $(e_{ij})_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda i} \delta_{\mu j}$.

The $R$-matrix has the form

$$ R(u, v) = 1 + g(u, v)P, \quad g(u, v) = \frac{c}{u - v}, \quad (2.1) $$

where $1$ is the identity matrix, $P$ is the graded permutation operator [33], and $c$ is a constant.

The elements of the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ are graded in the same way as the matrices $[e_{ij}]$: $[T_{ij}(u)] = [i] + [j]$. Their commutation relations are given by the $RTT$-relation

$$ R(u, v)(T(u) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes T(v)) = (1 \otimes T(v))(T(u) \otimes 1)R(u, v), \quad (2.2) $$

where the tensor products of $\mathbb{C}^2$ spaces are graded as follows:

$$ (e_{ij} \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes e_{kl}) = (-1)^{[i][j] + [k][l] + [l][i]} e_{kl} \otimes e_{ij}. \quad (2.3) $$

Equation (2.2) holds in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space of the Hamiltonian under consideration.

The $RTT$-relation (2.2) implies a set of scalar commutation relations for the monodromy matrix elements

$$ [T_{ij}(u), T_{kl}(v)] = (-1)^{[j][k] + [i][l] + [i][j]} g(u, v)[T_{ij}(v)T_{kl}(u) - T_{ij}(u)T_{kl}(v)], $$

$$ = (-1)^{[j][k] + [i][l] + [i][j]} g(u, v)[T_{ij}(u)T_{kl}(v) - T_{ij}(v)T_{kl}(u)], \quad (2.4) $$

where we introduced the graded commutator

$$ [T_{ij}(u), T_{kl}(v)] = T_{ij}(u)T_{kl}(v) - (-1)^{[i][j] + [k][l] + [l][i]} T_{kl}(v)T_{ij}(u). \quad (2.5) $$

The graded transfer matrix is defined as the supertrace of the monodromy matrix

$$ T(u) = \text{str} T(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} (-1)^{[j]} T_{ij}(u). \quad (2.6) $$

It defines an integrable system, due to the relation $[T(u), T(v)] = 0$.

2.2. Bethe vectors

Bethe vectors belong to the space in which the Hamiltonian of the model acts. They can be constructed as certain polynomials in the operators $T_{ij}$ with $i < j$ applied to the pseudovacuum vector $|0\rangle$. In this paper we do not use an explicit form of these polynomials, however, the reader can find it in [34, 35]. Dual Bethe vectors belong to the dual space, and they are polynomials in $T_{ij}$ with $i > j$ applied from the right to the dual pseudovacuum vector $|\tilde{0}\rangle$.

We denote Bethe vectors and their dual vectors respectively by $B_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{u}; \vec{v})$ and $C_{\alpha, \beta}(\vec{u}; \vec{v})$. They are parameterized by two sets of complex parameters (Bethe parameters) $\vec{u} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ and $\vec{v} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ with $a, b = 0, 1, \ldots$ If the Bethe parameters are generic complex numbers, then we say that the corresponding (dual) Bethe vector is generic. If both sets $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ are empty, then $B_{0,0}(\varnothing; \varnothing) = |0\rangle$ and $C_{0,0}(\varnothing; \varnothing) = \{0\}$. The vectors $|0\rangle$ and $\{0\}$ are singular vectors for the entries of the monodromy matrix.
$$T_0(u)\langle 0 \rangle = \lambda_0(u)\langle 0 \rangle, \quad \langle 0 | T_0(u) = \lambda_0(u)\langle 0 |, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \langle 0 | T_j(u) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3,$$

(2.7)

where $\lambda_i(u)$ are some scalar functions. In the framework of the generalized model [5] considered in this paper, they remain free functional parameters. Below it will be convenient to deal with ratios of these functions

$$r_1(u) = \frac{\lambda_1(u)}{\lambda_2(u)}, \quad r_3(u) = \frac{\lambda_3(u)}{\lambda_2(u)}.$$

(2.8)

A (dual) Bethe vector becomes an eigenvector of the transfer matrix $T(w)$, if the Bethe parameters satisfy a system of Bethe equations

$$r_1(u) = \prod_{k=1}^{a} \frac{f(u_k, u_j)}{f(u_k, u)} \prod_{l=1}^{b} f(v_l, u_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a,$n

$$r_3(u) = \prod_{l=1}^{a} f(v_l, u_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b,$$

(2.9)

where we introduced the function $f(u, v)$

$$f(u, v) = 1 + g(u, v) = \frac{u - v + c}{u - v}.$$

(2.10)

We call such vectors on-shell Bethe vectors (or dual on-shell Bethe vectors). One has for them

$$\mathcal{T}(w) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{u}; \hat{v}) = \tau(w|\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{u}; \hat{v}), \quad \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{u}; \hat{v}) \mathcal{T}(w) = \tau(w|\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}(\hat{u}; \hat{v}),$$

(2.11)

where

$$\tau(w|\hat{u}, \hat{v}) = \lambda_1(w) \prod_{j=1}^{a} f(u_j, w) + \lambda_2(w) \prod_{j=1}^{a} f(w, u_j) \prod_{k=1}^{b} f(v_k, w) - \lambda_3(w) \prod_{k=1}^{b} f(v_k, w).$$

(2.12)

Apart from the usual monodromy matrix it is convenient to consider a twisted monodromy matrix $T_\kappa(u)$ [6, 10, 28, 29]. For the models with $\mathfrak{g}(2|1)$ symmetry it is defined as follows. Let $\kappa$ be a $3 \times 3$ diagonal matrix $\kappa = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3)$, where $\kappa_i$ are complex numbers. Then $T_\kappa(u) = \kappa T(u)$, where $T(u)$ is the standard monodromy matrix.

One can easily check that the twisted monodromy matrix satisfies the $RTT$-relation (2.2) with the $R$-matrix (2.1). The supertrace of the twisted monodromy matrix $T_\kappa(u) = \text{str} T_\kappa(u)$ is called the twisted transfer matrix. The eigenstates (resp. dual eigenstates) of the twisted transfer matrix are called twisted on-shell Bethe vectors (resp. twisted dual on-shell Bethe vectors). A generic (dual) Bethe vector becomes a twisted (dual) on-shell Bethe vector, if the Bethe parameters satisfy a system of twisted Bethe equations

$$r_1(u) = \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \prod_{k=1}^{a} \frac{f(u_k, u_j)}{f(u_k, u)} \prod_{l=1}^{b} f(v_l, u_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a,$n

$$r_3(u) = \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_3} \prod_{l=1}^{a} f(v_l, u_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b.$$

(2.13)
Then
\[
\begin{align*}
T_a(w)\mathbb{B}_{a,b}(\vec{u};\vec{v}) &= \tau_a(w|\vec{u},\vec{v})\mathbb{B}_{a,b}(\vec{u};\vec{v}), \\
\mathbb{C}_{a,b}(\vec{u};\vec{v}) T_a(w) &= \tau_a(w|\vec{u},\vec{v})\mathbb{C}_{a,b}(\vec{u};\vec{v}).
\end{align*}
\]
(2.14)
where the eigenvalue \( \tau_a(w|\vec{u},\vec{v}) \) is given by (2.12), in which one should replace \( \lambda_i(w) \) by \( \kappa_i\lambda_i(w) \) (see also (2.18)).

In the framework of the generalized model one can consider the Bethe parameters \( \{\vec{u},\vec{v}\} \) and the functions \( \{\tau_{(u_j)}, \tau_{(v_k)}\} \) as two types of variables [5]. The first type comes from the R-matrix, the second type comes from the monodromy matrix. In the case of generic Bethe vectors these two types of variables are independent. However, in the case of (twisted) on-shell Bethe vectors they become related by the (twisted) Bethe equations.

One can also consider an intermediate case, when only a subset of \( \{\tau_{(u_j)}, \tau_{(v_k)}\} \) is related to the Bethe parameters \( \{\vec{u},\vec{v}\} \) by a part of the Bethe equations. For instance, we can impose the first set of equations (2.9) involving the functions \( \tau_{(u_j)} \), without imposing the second set of equations for \( \tau_{(v_k)} \) (or vice versa). In the case of a concrete model this means that a part of the Bethe parameters remains free, while the other parameters become functions of them. We call a Bethe vector possessing this property a semi-on-shell Bethe vector. Thus, the semi-on-shell Bethe vectors occupy an intermediate position between generic and on-shell Bethe vectors. Below we will consider the scalar products of these vectors.

2.3. Notation

In this paper we use the same notation and conventions as in [1]. Let us recall them.

Besides the functions \( g(u,v) \) and \( f(u,v) \) described above we introduce also two functions
\[
\begin{align*}
h(u,v) &= \frac{f(u,v)}{g(u,v)} = \frac{u + v + c}{c}, \\
t(u,v) &= \frac{g(u,v)}{h(u,v)} = g(u,v) - \frac{1}{h(u,v)} = \frac{c^2}{(u-v)(u-v+c)}.
\end{align*}
\]
(2.15)
These functions possess the following obvious properties:
\[
g(u,v) = -g(v,u), \quad h(u,v+c) = \frac{1}{g(u,v)}, \quad f(u,v+c) = \frac{1}{f(v,u)}, \quad t(u,v+c) = t(v,u).
\]
(2.16)

Let us formulate now a convention on the notation. We denote sets of variables by bar: \( \bar{x} \), \( \bar{a}, \bar{v} \) etc. Individual elements of the sets are denoted by latin subscripts: \( v_j, u_k \) etc. As a rule, the number of elements in the sets is not shown explicitly in the equations, however we give these cardinalities in special comments to the formulas. The notation \( \bar{a} \pm c \) means that all the elements of the set \( \bar{a} \) are shifted by \( \pm c \): \( \bar{a} \pm c = \{u_1 \pm c, \ldots, u_n \pm c\} \). A union of sets is denoted by braces: \( \{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\} \equiv \bar{u} \cup \bar{v} \).

Subsets of variables are labeled by roman subscripts: \( \bar{a}_1, \bar{v}_1, \bar{x}_1 \) etc. A notation \( \bar{u} \Rightarrow \{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2\}\) means that the set \( \bar{u} \) is divided into two subsets \( \bar{u}_1 \) and \( \bar{u}_2 \) such that \( \{\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2\} = \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{u}_1 \cap \bar{u}_2 = \emptyset \). We assume that the elements in every subset of variables are ordered in such a way that the sequence of their subscripts is strictly increasing. We call this ordering the natural order.

In order to avoid formulas that are too cumbersome we use a shorthand notation for products of functions depending on one or two variables. Namely, if the functions \( r_k (2.8) \) or the
functions $g, f, h$ depend on a set of variables, this means that one should take the product over the corresponding set. For example,
\[
    r_{\ell}(\bar{\alpha}) = \prod_{u \in \bar{\alpha}} r_{\ell}(u) ; \quad f(u, v) = \prod_{v \in \bar{\nu}} f(u_{v}, v_{j}); \quad g(r_{\ell}, r_{\kappa}) = \prod_{v \in \bar{\nu}} \prod_{u_{v} \in \bar{\nu}_{v}} g(v_{j}, v_{k}).
\]

(2.17)

Being written in the shorthand notation the eigenvalue of the twisted transfer matrix takes the form
\[
    \tau_{\ell}(w|\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \kappa_{1}\lambda_{1}(w)f(\bar{u}, w) + \kappa_{2}\lambda_{2}(w)f(w, \bar{v})\bar{f}(\bar{v}, w) - \kappa_{3}\lambda_{3}(w)f(\bar{u}, w).
\]

(2.18)

We draw the reader’s attention to the eigenvalue $\tau_{\ell}(w|\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ which depends on the sets $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ by definition, and thus, which is not a function of one or two variables. Hence, our shorthand notation does not apply to $\tau_{\ell}(w|\bar{u}, \bar{v})$, and one does not have any product over the sets $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ in the lhs of (2.18). On the contrary, in the rhs of (2.18) we do have products of $f$-functions over the sets $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$.

Another example of a function depending on two sets of variables is the partition function of the six-vertex model with domain wall boundary conditions (DWPF) [5, 7]. We denote this by $K_{\nu}(u;v)$. It depends on two sets of variables $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$, the subscript indicates that $\#\bar{u} = \#\bar{v} = n$.

The function $K_{\nu}$ has the following determinant representation
\[
    K_{\nu}(\bar{u};\bar{v}) = \frac{\Delta(\bar{u})\Delta(\bar{v})}{\Delta(\bar{u})}\det t(u_{j}, v_{k}),
\]

(2.21)

where $\Delta(\bar{u})$ and $\Delta(\bar{v})$ are defined by
\[
    \Delta(\bar{u}) = \prod_{j < k} g(u_{j}, u_{k}), \quad \Delta(\bar{v}) = \prod_{j > k} g(v_{j}, v_{k}).
\]

(2.20)

It is easy to see that $K_{\nu}$ is symmetric over $\bar{u}$ and symmetric over $\bar{v}$, however $K_{\nu}(\bar{u}|\bar{v}) \neq K_{\nu}(\bar{v}|\bar{u})$.

### 2.4. Scalar products of Bethe vectors

The scalar product of Bethe vectors is defined as
\[
    S_{a,b} \equiv S_{a,b}(\bar{u}^{C};\bar{v}^{C}|\bar{u}^{B};\bar{v}^{B}) = C_{a,b}(\bar{u}^{C};\bar{v}^{C})B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^{B};\bar{v}^{B}),
\]

(2.22)

where all the Bethe parameters are a priori generic complex numbers. We have added the superscripts $C$ and $B$ to the sets $\bar{u}$, $\bar{v}$ in order to stress that the vectors $C_{a,b}$ and $B_{a,b}$ may depend on different sets of parameters.

The main result of paper [1] is a sum formula for the scalar product of generic Bethe vectors. It gives an explicit representation for $S_{a,b}$ as a sum over partitions of the Bethe parameters
\[
    S_{a,b} = \sum \frac{\Delta_{a}(\bar{u}^{C})\Delta_{b}(\bar{u}^{B})\Delta_{a}(\bar{v}^{C})\Delta_{b}(\bar{v}^{B})}{\Delta(\bar{u}^{C})\Delta(\bar{v}^{C})}\prod f(\bar{u}^{C}_{q}, \bar{v}^{C}_{q}) f(\bar{v}^{B}_{q}, \bar{u}^{B}_{q}) Z_{a-b,n}(\bar{u}^{C}_{q}, \bar{v}^{C}_{q}) Z_{b-a,n}(\bar{u}^{B}_{q}, \bar{v}^{B}_{q}).
\]

(2.22)
The partitions are independent except that \(\#u^a_i = \#u^C_i = k\) with \(k = 0, \ldots, a\), and \(\#v^B_i = \#v^C_i = n\) with \(n = 0, \ldots, b\).

The functions \(Z_{a-bL}(\bar{u}^C_E, \bar{u}^B_E | \bar{v}^C_I, \bar{v}^B_I)\) and \(Z_{b-aL}(\bar{u}^B_E, \bar{u}^C_E | \bar{v}^B_I, \bar{v}^C_I)\) are the highest coefficients [1]. By definition, they depend on sets of variables, therefore the convention on the shorthand notation is not applied to them. In contrast, we use this convention for the functions \(r_3, f, \text{and } g\). Thus, in (2.22) every such function should be understood as the product over the corresponding subset.

In the \(\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)\) case the highest coefficient has a determinant representation [1], however, we do not use it. Instead, we use two representations in terms of sums over partitions. The first representation reads

\[
Z_{a,b}(\bar{t}; \bar{x}; \bar{y}) = \sum g(\bar{\omega}_E, \bar{\omega}_I) h(\bar{\omega}_E, \bar{x}) g(\bar{\omega}_I, \bar{y}) K_{d}(\bar{\omega} | \bar{t}),
\]

(2.24)

where \(K_{d}(\bar{\omega} | \bar{t})\) is the DWPF (2.19). The sum is taken over partitions \(\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} = \bar{\omega} = [\bar{\omega}_E, \bar{\omega}_I]\) satisfying restrictions \(\#\bar{\omega}_E = a, \#\bar{\omega}_I = b\). The second representation has the following form:

\[
Z_{a,b}(\bar{t}; \bar{x}; \bar{y}) = f(\bar{y}, \bar{f})(\bar{y}, \bar{\bar{x}}) \sum g(\eta_I, \eta_E) h(\bar{t}, \eta_E) h(\bar{y}, \eta_I) K_{d}(\bar{\eta} | \bar{t}).
\]

(2.25)

Here the sum is taken over partitions \(\{\bar{t}, \bar{y} + c\} = \bar{\eta} = [\eta_I, \eta_T]\) satisfying restrictions \(\#\eta_I = a, \#\eta_E = b\).

Let us stress once more that equation (2.22) describes the scalar product in the most general case, when all the Bethe parameters are generic complex numbers. If Bethe parameters obey certain additional restrictions, then the representation (2.22) can be simplified. In particular, such a simplification occurs for semi-on-shell Bethe vectors.

3. Main results

3.1. Scalar product of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors

The main result of this paper is a determinant representation for the scalar products of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors. Consider the scalar product (2.21), where the following constraints are imposed:

\[
\begin{align*}
\prod_{k=1}^{a} f(\bar{u}^C_k, \bar{u}^E_k) \prod_{i=1}^{b} f(\bar{v}^B_i, \bar{v}^E_i), & \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \\
\prod_{k=1}^{a} f(\bar{v}^B_k, \bar{u}^E_i), & \quad k = 1, \ldots, b.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

Here \(\gamma\) is a complex parameter. If we set \(\gamma = \kappa_2/\kappa_1\), then we easily recognize the first set of equations (2.13) for the parameters \(\{\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C\}\) and the second set of equations (2.9) for the parameters \(\{\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B\}\). Thus, \(B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B)\) is a semi-on-shell Bethe vector, while \(C_{a,b}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)\) is a dual twisted semi-on-shell Bethe vector.

Let \(\bar{x} = (\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^C)\). Define an \((a + b) \times (a + b)\) matrix \(N\) with the following entries:

\[
N_{jk} = \frac{(-1)^{j-k} f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{x}_k)}{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{x}_j)} f(\bar{u}^C, \bar{x}_j) h(\bar{u}^C, \bar{x}_k) + \gamma \tau(x_j, u^C_i) h(x_j, \bar{u}^C_i), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a + b,
\]

(3.2)
and
\[
N_{a+j,k} = \frac{g(x_k, \bar{v}^B)}{g(x_k, v^C)} \left( 1 - \frac{r_3(x_k)}{f(x_k, \bar{u}^B)} \right) \\
\times \left( g(x_k, v_j^C) h(x_k, \bar{u}^B) + \frac{(-1)^{n-1} r_1(x_k) r_3(x_k)}{\varepsilon f(v_j^C, \bar{u}^C) f(v_j^B, x_k) h(v_j^B, x_k)} \right), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a + b. \tag{3.3}
\]

**Proposition 3.1.** The scalar product \( S_{a,b} \) (2.21) with constraint (3.1) has the following determinant representation
\[
S_{a,b} = \Delta_{a+b} \Delta'_a (\bar{u}^C) \Delta'_b (v^C) \det N_{a+b}, \tag{3.4}
\]
where \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) are defined in (2.20).

The proof of representation (3.4) will be given in section 6.

It follows from (2.22) that the scalar products are symmetric under the simultaneous replacement \( \bar{u} \rightarrow \bar{u}^B \) and \( v \rightarrow \bar{v}^B \). Therefore making this replacement in (3.1)–(3.4) we obtain a determinant presentation for the scalar product of another set of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors.

It is interesting to see how the matrix elements \( N_{jk} \) depend on the functions \( r_1 \) and \( r_3 \). Namely, one can easily show that \( N_{jk} \) might depend on \( r_3(u_k^B) \), however, they do not depend on \( r_3(u_k^C) \) in (3.3), then \( r_3(x_k) \) is multiplied with the product \( 1/f(x_k, \bar{u}^B) \), which vanishes for \( x_k \in \bar{u}^B \). Similarly, the function \( r_1(x_k) \) always enters either with the product \( 1/g(\bar{v}^C, x_k) \) or \( 1/g(\bar{v}^C, x_k) \). Both these products vanish for \( x_k \in \bar{v}^C \), therefore the matrix elements \( N_{jk} \) might depend on \( r_1(u_k^B) \) only.

Finally, looking at (3.3) for \( k > a \) (that is, \( x_k \in \bar{v}^C \)), we see that \( N_{jk} \sim \delta_{jk} \) due to the product \( 1/g(\bar{v}^C, x_k) \). Thus, the right-lower block of the matrix \( N \) is diagonal.

### 3.2. Scalar product of twisted and usual on-shell Bethe vectors

Equation (3.4) has important particular cases. First of all, it describes a scalar product of twisted and usual on-shell Bethe vectors. Let the twist matrix be \( \kappa = \text{diag}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3) \). Then one should set \( \kappa = \kappa_2/\kappa_3 \) in (3.1) and impose two additional constraints
\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{j} & = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{b} \frac{f(v_j^B, u_k^B)}{f(v_j^C, u_k^C)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \\
\kappa_{k} & = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \prod_{l=1}^{a} f(v_l^C, u_k^C), \quad k = 1, \ldots, b. \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
\]
In this case the vector \( \bar{B}_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B) \) becomes an on-shell Bethe vector, while \( C_{a,b}(\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^B) \) becomes a twisted on-shell Bethe vector. Then their scalar product has the determinant representation (3.4), where
\[
N_{jk} = h(x_k, \bar{u}^B) \left( t(u_j^C, x_k) f(v_j^B, x_k, h(\bar{u}^B, x_k) + \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_3} t(x_k, u_j^C) h(x_k, \bar{u}^B) \right), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a. \tag{3.6}
\]
\[ N_{a+j,k} = h(x_k, \bar{u}^B) g(x_k, \bar{v}^B) \left( 1 - \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} f(x_k, \bar{u}^C) + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_3} h(x_k, \bar{v}^B) \right) g(x_k, \bar{v}^C), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b, \quad (3.7) \]

and \( k = 1, \ldots, a + b \) in both formulas.

### 3.3. Norm of the on-shell Bethe vector

The second particular case of (3.4) is the norm of the on-shell Bethe vector. For this one should set \( \bar{\kappa} = 1 \) and consider the limit \( u_j \to u_j, \quad v_j \to v_j \). Then the result has the form

\[ \|B_{a,b}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})\|^2 = \left( -1 \right)^{a+b} \prod_{j=1}^{a} f(v_j, u_j) \prod_{j=1}^{b} f(u_j, u_k) \prod_{j,k=1}^{b} g(v_j, v_k) \det \bar{N}. \quad (3.8) \]

Here \( \bar{N} \) is an \((a + b) \times (a + b)\) block-matrix. The left-upper block is

\[ \bar{N}_{jk} = \delta_{jk} \left[ c \frac{r'_j(u_k)}{r_j(u_k)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{a} 2c^2 \frac{r'(v_{\ell}, u_k)}{u_{\ell} - c^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{b} r'(v_m, u_k) \right] - \frac{2c^2}{u_j - c^2}, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, a, \quad (3.9) \]

where \( u_{jk} = u_k - u_j \) and \( r'_j(u_k) \) means the derivative of the function \( r_j(u) \) at the point \( u = u_k \).

The right-lower block is diagonal

\[ \bar{N}_{j+a,k+a} = \delta_{jk} \left[ c \frac{r'_3(v_k)}{r_3(v_k)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{a} t(v_{\ell}, u_k) \right], \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, b, \quad (3.10) \]

where \( r'_3(v_k) \) means the derivative of the function \( r_3(v) \) at the point \( v = v_k \). The antidiagonal blocks are

\[ \bar{N}_{j+a,k} = -t(v_k, u_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \quad k = 1, \ldots, b, \quad (3.11) \]

and

\[ \bar{N}_{j,k+a} = -t(v_j, u_k), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a. \quad (3.12) \]

It is easy to relate the determinant of the matrix \( \bar{N} \) with the Jacobian of the Bethe equations. Namely, let

\[ \Phi_j = \log \left( \frac{r_j(u_j)}{f(v, u_j) \prod_{k=j}^{a} f(u_k, u_j)} \right), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \quad (3.13) \]

\[ \Phi_{a+j} = \log \left( \frac{r_3(v_j)}{f(v_j, u)} \right), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b. \]

Then the Bethe equations for the sets \( u \) and \( v \) take the form

\[ \Phi_j = 2\pi i n_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, a + b, \quad (3.14) \]

where \( n_j \) are integer numbers. A straightforward calculation shows that

\[ \text{Here and below the notation } \bar{\kappa} = 1 \text{ means } \kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 1. \]
\[ \Delta_{j,k} = c \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial u_k}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a, \]
\[ \Delta_{j,a+k} = c \frac{\partial \Phi_j}{\partial v_k}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, b, \]

(3.15)

4. Orthogonality of the eigenvectors

Consider the scalar product of twisted on-shell and usual on-shell vectors. In this case the entries of the matrix \( \mathcal{N} \) are given by (3.6) and (3.7). Assume that \( \{ \tilde{u}^c, \tilde{v}^c \} \equiv \{ \tilde{u}^b, \tilde{v}^b \} \) at \( \kappa = 1 \). Then in the limit \( \kappa \to 1 \) we obtain the scalar product of two different on-shell Bethe vectors, which should be orthogonal. Let us show this.

To prove the orthogonality of on-shell Bethe vectors we introduce an \((a + b)\)-component vector \( \Omega \)

\[ \Omega_j = \frac{1}{g(u_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \prod_{k=a+j}^{a} g(u_k^c, u_k^c), \quad j = 1, \ldots, a, \]
\[ \Omega_{a+j} = \frac{1}{g(v_j^c, \tilde{v}^b)} \prod_{k=a+j}^{a} g(v_j^c, v_k^c), \quad j = 1, \ldots, b. \]

(4.1)

Due to the condition \( \{ \tilde{u}^c, \tilde{v}^c \} \equiv \{ \tilde{u}^b, \tilde{v}^b \} \), the vector \( \Omega \) has at least one non-zero component.

Using the contour integral method (see appendix A.1) one can easily calculate the following sums:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{b} f(x_j^c, x_j^c) \Omega_j = \frac{h(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} - \frac{g(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{g(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)}, \]
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{b} f(x_j^c, x_j^c) \Omega_j = \frac{h(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} - \frac{g(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{g(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)}, \]
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{b} f(x_j^c, x_j^c) \Omega_j = \frac{h(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} - \frac{g(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{g(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)}. \]

(4.2)

Using these results we obtain

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{a} \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \Omega_j = \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \left( 1 - \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \right) + \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \left( \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} - 1 \right). \]

(4.3)

and

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \Omega_{a+j} = \left( 1 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \right) \left( \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} - 1 \right) + \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} \left( \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} - 1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \right). \]

Note that if \( x_j \in \{ \tilde{u}^b, \tilde{v}^c \} \), then \( f(x_j, \tilde{u}^b) f(v_j^c, x_j^c) )^{-1} = 0, \) \((f(x_j, \tilde{u}^b) g(v_j^c, x_j^c) )^{-1} = 0, \) and \((f(\tilde{u}^b, x_j^c) f(v_j^c, x_j^c) )^{-1} = 0. \) Therefore the terms proportional to these products vanish. Thus, neglecting such the terms we find

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{a+b} \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{h(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \Omega_j = 1 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \left( \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} + \frac{f(x_j^c, x_j^c)}{f(x_j^c, \tilde{u}^b)} \right) \]

(4.4)
We see that this linear combination of rows of the matrix $N$ vanishes at $\kappa = 1$. Hence, the determinant vanishes at $\bar{\kappa} = 1$, which means that two different on-shell vectors are orthogonal.

### 5. Form factors of diagonal elements

We define form factors of the diagonal monodromy matrix entries as matrix elements of the operators $T_{ii}(z)$ between two on-shell Bethe vectors. We use a standard method for their calculation [6, 10, 28, 29]. Let

$$Q = C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)(\text{str}T_{ii}(z) - \text{str}T(z))B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B).$$

Here $T_{ii}(z)$ is the twisted monodromy matrix and $T(z)$ is the usual monodromy matrix. We assume that $B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B)$ is an on-shell Bethe vector and $C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)$ is a dual on-shell Bethe vector. In order to stress this difference we have added a superscript $\kappa$ on the dual twisted Bethe vector. Obviously, $C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)$ turns into the usual dual on-shell Bethe vector at $\bar{\kappa} = 1$.

On the one hand

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{3}(-1)^{i}[\kappa_i - 1]C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)T_{ii}(z)B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B);$$

On the other hand

$$Q = \left[\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C) - \tau(z|\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B)\right]C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B),$$

where $\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C)$ and $\tau(z|\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B)$ respectively are the eigenvalues of the twisted and usual transfer matrices. Comparing (5.2) and (5.3) we find

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa_i}\left[\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C) - \tau(z|\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B)\right]C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B);$$

Here $C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)$ is the value of the vector $C_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)$ at $\bar{\kappa} = 1$. Since this vector is a dual on-shell vector, we obtain a form factor of $T_{ii}(z)$ in the lhs of (5.4). In the rhs of (5.4) we should distinguish between two cases. If $\{\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C\} = \{\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B\} = \{\bar{u}, \bar{v}\}$ at $\bar{\kappa} = 1$, then the derivative in (5.4) acts on $\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C)$ only, and we find

$$C_{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{v})T_{ii}(z)B_{a,b}(\bar{u}; \bar{v}) = (-1)^{i}[\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C)]^2 \frac{d}{d\kappa_i}\left[\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C)\right] \mid_{\bar{\kappa} = 1}.$$ 

Note that here we have the full derivative over $\kappa_i$. Therefore, it acts also on the Bethe parameters $\{\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C\}$, because due to the twisted Bethe equations they implicitly depend on the twist parameters: $\bar{u}^C = \bar{u}^C(\kappa)$ and $\bar{v}^C = \bar{v}^C(\kappa)$.

If $\{\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C\} \neq \{\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B\}$ at $\bar{\kappa} = 1$, then we define a universal form factor [31] of the operator $T_{ii}(z)$ as

$$\tilde{R}_{a,b}^{(\mu)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C|\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B) = \frac{C_{a,b}^{(\mu)}(\bar{u}^C; \bar{v}^C)T_{ii}(z)B_{a,b}(\bar{u}^B; \bar{v}^B)}{\tau(z|\bar{u}^C, \bar{v}^C) - \tau(z|\bar{u}^B, \bar{v}^B)}.$$
In this case, due to the orthogonality of Bethe vectors at \( \{ \bar{a}^C, \bar{v}^C \} \neq \{ \bar{a}^B, \bar{v}^B \} \), the derivative in the rhs of (5.4) acts on the scalar product only. Therefore we obtain for the universal form factor of \( T_{i}(z) \)

\[
\tilde{\theta}_{a,b}^{(i)}(\bar{a}^C, \bar{v}^C | \bar{a}^B, \bar{v}^B) = (-1)^{i} \frac{d}{d\kappa_{ij}} C_{a,b}^{(i)}(\bar{a}^C; \bar{v}^C) B_{a,b}(\bar{a}^B; \bar{v}^B) \bigg|_{\kappa=1}.
\]  

(5.7)

Thus, for the calculation of the \( T_{i}(z) \) universal form factors, it is enough to differentiate w.r.t. \( \kappa_{i} \) the scalar product of the twisted and usual on-shell vectors and then set \( \kappa = 1 \).

Suppose that \( \Omega_{p} \neq 0 \) for some \( p \in \{1, \ldots, a + b\} \) in the vector (4.1). Then we can add to the \( p \)th row of the matrix \( \mathcal{N} \) all other rows multiplied with \( \Omega_{p}^{-1} \). It is clear that after this the elements of the \( p \)th row are given by (4.5) with the common prefactor \( \Omega_{p}^{-1} \). Then to calculate the form factor of \( T_{i}(z) \) it is enough to differentiate the \( p \)th row with respect to \( \kappa_{i} \) at \( \kappa = 1 \). Hereby we should simply set \( \kappa_{i} = 1 \) everywhere else. We obtain

\[
\tilde{\theta}_{a,b}^{(i)}(\bar{a}^C, \bar{v}^C | \bar{a}^B, \bar{v}^B) = \Omega_{p}^{-1} f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^B) h(\bar{a}^B, \bar{a}^B) \Delta(\bar{a}^C) \Delta(\bar{a}^B) \Delta(\bar{v}^C) \Delta(\bar{v}^B) \det \mathcal{N}_{a+b}^{(p)}.
\]  

(5.8)

Here the entries \( \mathcal{N}_{a+b}^{(p)} \) of the matrix \( \mathcal{N} \) do not depend on \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) for all \( \neq p \). In other words, they are the same for all \( \tilde{\theta}_{a,b}^{(i)} \). More precisely, if \( \neq 1 \), then

\[
\mathcal{N}_{a+b}^{(p)} = -g(\bar{v}^B, \bar{a}^B) \left( 1 - \frac{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^C)}{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^B)} \right) I_{a+b}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a + b.
\]  

(5.10)

The \( p \)th row depends on the specific universal form factor \( \tilde{\theta}_{a,b}^{(i)} \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \):

\[
\mathcal{N}_{a+b}^{(1)} = 1 + \frac{g(\bar{v}^B, \bar{a}^B)}{g(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^C)} - \frac{f(\bar{v}^B, \bar{a}^B)}{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^B)} - \frac{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^C)}{f(\bar{v}^C, \bar{a}^B)},
\]

\[
\mathcal{N}_{a+b}^{(2)} = -1, \quad k = 1, \ldots, a + b.
\]

(5.11)

Recall that here \( p \) is an arbitrary integer from the set \( \{1, \ldots, a + b\} \) such that \( \Omega_{p} \neq 0 \). Observe, that equations (5.11) imply the vanishing of the form factors of \( \text{str} T_{i}(z) \) between different states, in accordance with the orthogonality of on-shell Bethe vectors.

### 6. Calculating the scalar product

In this section we prove proposition 3.1. Our starting point is the sum formula (2.22) for the scalar product of generic Bethe vectors.

#### 6.1. Summation over the partitions of \( \bar{a}^C \) and \( \bar{v}^B \)

Substituting (2.24) for \( Z_{a,b,n}(\bar{a}_i^B, \bar{v}_i^B | v_1^C, v_1^B) \) and (2.25) for \( Z_{a,b,n}(\bar{a}_i^B, \bar{v}_i^B | v_1^B, \bar{v}_1^B) \) into the representation (2.22) we obtain
\[ S_{\omega, \nu} = \sum_{r} r(\widehat{a}_o^\rho) r(\widehat{a}_o^\vartheta) r(\widehat{a}_c^\rho) r(\widehat{a}_c^\vartheta) f(\widehat{a}_o^\rho, \nu^\rho) f(\widehat{a}_o^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \widehat{a}_o^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \widehat{a}_o^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \widehat{a}_c^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \widehat{a}_c^\vartheta) \]

\[ \times K_{\omega - \xi}(\widehat{a}_o^\rho | \widehat{a}_o^\vartheta) K_{\xi}(\widehat{a}_c^\rho | \eta^\rho) f(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) f(\nu^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta) h(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) h(\nu^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta). \]  

(6.1)

Here we have additional summations over the partitions \( \{ \nu^\rho, \nu^\vartheta \} = \omega \Rightarrow \{ \omega_1, \omega_2 \} \) and \( \{ \nu^\rho, \nu^\vartheta \} = \nu \Rightarrow \{ \eta_1, \eta_2 \} \), such that \#\omega_1 = a - k, \#\omega_2 = n, \#\eta_1 = k, \#\eta_2 = b - n.

Let suppose that the constraints (3.1) are fulfilled. Then taking the products of (3.1) with respect to the corresponding subsets we obtain

\[ r(\widehat{a}_o^\rho) = \beta^{a-k} f(\widehat{a}_o^\rho, \nu^\rho), \]

\[ r(\nu^\rho) = f(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho). \]  

(6.2)

Substituting these expressions into (6.1) we arrive at

\[ S_{\omega, \nu} = \sum_{r} \beta^{a-k} r(\widehat{a}_o^\rho) r(\widehat{a}_o^\vartheta) r(\nu^\rho) r(\nu^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \widehat{a}_o^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \widehat{a}_o^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \widehat{a}_c^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \widehat{a}_c^\vartheta) \]

\[ \times h(\widehat{a}_o^\rho, \nu^\rho) h(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) \left[ f(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) K_{\omega - \xi}(\nu^\rho | \nu^\vartheta) K_{\xi}(\nu^\rho | \nu^\rho) \right] \cdot \left[ g(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) g(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) \right]. \]

(6.3)

Here in the second line we have allocated with square brackets the terms depending on the subsets of \( \nu^\rho \) and the subsets of \( \nu^\vartheta \) respectively. The sum over partitions \( \nu^\rho \Rightarrow \{ \nu_1^\rho, \nu_2^\rho \} \) can be computed via (A.12):

\[ \sum K_{\omega - \xi}(\nu_1^\rho | \nu_2^\rho) K_{\xi}(\nu_1^\rho | \nu_2^\rho) = (-1)^n g(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_2^\rho). \]  

(6.4)

The sum over partitions \( \nu^\vartheta \Rightarrow \{ \nu_1^\vartheta, \nu_2^\vartheta \} \) can be computed via (A.11):

\[ \sum g(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_1^\rho) g(\nu_2^\rho, \nu_2^\rho) = (-1)^n g(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_2^\rho). \]  

(6.5)

Substituting these results into (6.3) we arrive at

\[ S_{\omega, \nu} = \sum \beta^{a-k} (-1)^n r(\nu^\rho) r(\nu^\vartheta) g(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) g(\nu^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta) h(\nu^\rho, \nu^\rho) h(\nu^\vartheta, \nu^\vartheta) \]

\[ \times g(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_1^\rho) g(\nu_2^\rho, \nu_2^\rho) h(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_1^\rho) h(\nu_2^\rho, \nu_2^\rho) \cdot K_{\omega - \xi}(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_2^\rho) K_{\xi}(\nu_1^\rho, \nu_2^\rho). \]

(6.6)

We recall that in this formula

\#\nu_1^\rho = k, \quad \#\nu_2^\rho = a - k, \quad \#\nu_1^\vartheta = n, \quad \#\nu_2^\vartheta = b - n.

(6.7)

6.2. Partial summation over the partitions of \( \nu^\rho \) and \( \nu^\vartheta \)

In order to go further we specify the subsets in (6.6) as follows

\[ \text{...} \]
The cardinalities of the introduced sub-subsets are \( u_i = \# u_i B_j \) and \( v_i = \# v_i C_j \) for \( j = i, ii, iii, iv \). It is easy to see that

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} &= \sum_{n} \sum_{k} f(u_k, a_k^B) f(v_n, a_n^C) g(v_n, c_k^C) g(v_k, c_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) \\
&\times g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) g(v_k, v_n^C) \frac{f(u_k, a_k^B)}{f(v_n, a_n^C)} \frac{f(v_n, a_n^C)}{f(u_k, a_k^B)} \\
&\times h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B)
\end{align*}
\]  

Equation (6.6) takes the form

\[
S_{a,b} = \sum_{v_i} \sum_{v_n} f(u_k, a_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B)
\]  

Due to (6.8) we have \( u_i = n_i = v_i = n_i \). Observe that these new subsets are different from the subsets used, for example, in (2.22). We use however the same notation, as we deal with sums over partitions, and therefore it does not matter how we denote the separate terms in these sums.

Then using (2.16) we recast (6.9) in a partly factorized form

\[
S_{a,b} = (-1)^b \sum_{a_k^B, a_k^B} f(u_k, a_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B)
\]  

Here the partitions of the sets \( a_k^B \) and \( v_n^C \) are explicitly shown by the superscripts of the sum.

The functions \( G_{a_k^B}, L_{a_k^B}^{(a_k^B)} \), and \( L_{a_k^B}^{(a_k^B)} \) in turn given as sums over partitions into sub-subsets. We have for \( G_{a_k^B} \)

\[
G_{a_k^B}(v_n^C) = \sum_{v_n^C = \sum_{v_n^C} f(u_k, a_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) h(u_k, u_k^B) g(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C) h(v_k, v_n^C)
\]  

For the two other functions we have
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(\nu)}(\{\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}\} | \bar{u}^C) = \sum_{\bar{u}^C_{\mu} \rightarrow \{\bar{u}^C_{i_1} \}} K_{\delta}(\{\bar{u}^B_{i_1} - c, v^C_{i_1}, \bar{u}^B_{\mu}\} | \bar{u}^C) \times \mathcal{Z}^{\mu - k}(-1)^{\bar{u}^C} \frac{r_{\delta}(\bar{u}^B_{i_1})}{f(\bar{v}^C_{i_1}, \bar{u}^B_{i_1})} f(\bar{u}^C_{\mu}, \bar{u}^B_{\mu}) f(v^C_{i_1}, \bar{u}^B_{i_1}),
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\bar{b}}^{(\nu)}(v^C_{\mu} | \bar{u}^B) = \sum_{\nu_1 \rightarrow (v^C_{1}, v^C_{\mu})} (-1)^{\bar{u}^C} \frac{r_{\delta}(v^C_{1})}{f(\bar{v}^C_{1}, \bar{u}^B)}. \tag{6.15}\]

We would like to stress that passing from (6.9)–(6.11) we did not make any transforms. One can check that substituting (6.12), (6.14), and (6.15) into (6.11) we turn back to (6.9).

The sums over partitions in (6.12), (6.14) and (6.15) can be easily calculated. The most simple is the sum (6.15)

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\bar{b}}^{(\nu)}(v^C_{\mu} | \bar{u}^B) = \prod_{\nu_1 \in \mathcal{N}} \left(1 - \frac{r_{\delta}(v^C_{1})}{f(\bar{v}^C_{1}, \bar{u}^B)}\right). \tag{6.16}\]

If we introduce

\[
\varphi(z) = 1 - \frac{r_{\delta}(z)}{f(z, \bar{u}^B)}, \tag{6.17}\]

and extend our convention on the shorthand notation to this function, then

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\bar{b}}^{(\nu)}(v^C_{\mu} | \bar{u}^B) = \varphi(v^C_{\mu}). \tag{6.18}\]

The sum (6.12) also is quite simple, because actually this is the Laplace expansion of the determinant of the sum of two matrices (see appendix A.2.1 for more details). Indeed, it is enough to present (see (A.10))

\[
g(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}) = \Delta_n(v^C_{i_1}) \Delta'_n(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}) \det_{n_1} g(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}), \tag{6.19}\]

and we immediately recognize the Laplace formula in (6.12). Thus,

\[
G_n(\bar{u}^B_{i_1} | v^C_{i_1}) = \Delta_n(v^C_{i_1}) \Delta'_n(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}) \det_{n_1} g(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}) + H(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}), \tag{6.20}\]

where

\[
H(\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}) = \frac{r_{\delta}(v^C_{i_1})}{\mathcal{Z}^{\mu} h(v^C_{i_1}, \bar{u}^B_{i_1})} \tag{6.21}\]

Finally, the sum (6.14) can be computed via lemma A.3. Namely, if we set in (A.13):

\[
m = a, \tilde{\xi} = \bar{u}^C, \tilde{w} = \{\bar{u}^B_{i_1}, v^C_{i_1}\} \text{ and } C_1(w) = -\frac{r_{\delta}(w)}{f(v^C_{i_1}, w)}, \quad C_2(w) = \mathcal{Z}, \tag{6.22}\]
then we obtain equation (6.14). Indeed, in this case one has \( C(t_f^C) = 0 \) due to the product 
\( 1/(\bar{v}^C) \) in (6.22). Hence, we automatically have \( \bar{v}_f^C \subset \bar{w}_g \), otherwise the corresponding
contribution to the sum vanishes. This means that when splitting the set \( \bar{w} = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \) into two subsets we actually should consider only the partitions of the set \( \bar{u}_B^B \) into \( \bar{u}_B^B \) and \( \bar{u}_B^B \), as we have in (6.14). We obtain

\[
L_a^{(u)}(\bar{w}|\bar{u}^C) = \Delta'_a(\bar{u}^C)\Delta_a(\bar{w}) \det \left( \mathcal{M}(u_f^f, w_k) \right),
\]

(6.23)

with

\[
\mathcal{M}(u_f^f, w_k) = (-1)^{(a+k)} \frac{\rho_f(w_k)}{f(\bar{v}^C, w_k)} f(u_f^f, w_k) h(\bar{u}^C, w_k) + \varkappa(w_k, u_f^f) h(w_k, \bar{u}^C),
\]

(6.24)

and \( \bar{w} = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \).

### 6.3. Final summation over the partitions of \( \bar{u}^B \) and \( \bar{v}^C \)

Let \( \bar{x} = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \). Consider a partition of \( \bar{x} \) into subsets \( \bar{x}_I \) and \( \bar{x}_II \). Let \( \bar{x}_I = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \) and \( \bar{x}_II = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \). Then (6.11) can be written in a relatively compact form

\[
S_{a,b} = (-1)^b \sum_{\bar{x} = \{ \bar{x}_I, \bar{x}_II \}} h(\bar{x}_I, \bar{u}^B) g(\bar{v}^C, \bar{x}_I) \varphi(\bar{x}_I) g(\bar{x}_II, \bar{x}_II)
\]

\[
\times \Delta_a(\bar{r}_I^C) \Delta'(\bar{u}_I^B) \det \left( g(\bar{u}_I^B, v_C^C) \right) + H(\bar{u}_I^B, v_C^C))
\]

\[
\times \Delta'_a(\bar{u}^C) \Delta_a(\bar{x}_II) \det(\mathcal{M}(u_f^f, x_{II}))
\]

(6.25)

Here we have used \( \varphi(\bar{u}_I^B) = 1 \).

Our goal is to reduce (6.25) to an equation of the following type:

\[
\sum_{\bar{x} = \{ \bar{x}_I, \bar{x}_II \}} g(\bar{x}_I, \bar{x}_I) \Delta_a(\bar{x}_I) \Delta_a(\bar{x}_II) \det(B_I(\bar{x}_II)) = \Delta_{a+b}(\bar{x}) \det \begin{pmatrix} A_I(\bar{x}_I) & - & - \\ - & B_J(\bar{x}_II) & - \end{pmatrix}
\]

(6.26)

Here in the rhs we have a matrix consisting of two parts: the entries in the first \( a \) rows are \( A_J(\bar{x}_II) \), while in the remaining rows one has \( B_J(\bar{x}_II) \).

Looking at (6.25) we see that we can set \( A_I(\bar{x}_II) = \mathcal{M}(u_f^f, \bar{x}_II) \). We also have a product \( g(\bar{x}_I, \bar{x}_II) \). The products \( h(\bar{x}_I, \bar{u}^B) \), \( g(\bar{v}^C, \bar{x}_II) \), and \( \varphi(\bar{x}_II) \) can be easily absorbed into the determinant of the matrix \( B_J(\bar{x}_II) \). It remains to construct this matrix \( B_J(\bar{x}_II) \).

Consider a function \( F_b(\bar{z}|v_C^C) \) depending on \( b \) variables \( \bar{z} \) and \( b \) variables \( v_C^C \)

\[
F_b(\bar{z}|v_C^C) = \Delta_b(\bar{z}) \Delta_b(v_C^C) \det(B_b(\bar{z}))
\]

(6.27)

where

\[
B_b(\bar{z}) = \frac{g(\bar{z}, v_C^C) + H(\bar{z}, v_C^C)}{g(\bar{z}, v_C^C)}.
\]

(6.28)

Obviously, \( F_b(\bar{z}|v_C^C) \) is a symmetric function of \( \bar{z} \) and a symmetric function of \( v_C^C \). Let \( \bar{z} = \bar{x}_II = \{ \bar{u}_B^B, \bar{v}_C^C \} \). Due to the symmetry of \( F_b(\bar{z}|v_C^C) \) we can say that the parameters \( \bar{u}_B^B \)
correspond to the first \( n_1 \) columns of the matrix \( B_z(z_k) \), i.e. \( z_k = u^B_{k_1} \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, n_1 \). Then in the remaining columns we should set \( \delta_{k} = \frac{1}{g(v^C_k,v^C_{k_0})} \), \( k = 1, \ldots, b - n_1 \). It is easy to see that in these last columns

\[
B_z(v^C_k) = \delta_{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{b} \frac{1}{g(v^C_k,v^C_{\ell})} C_{\ell}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, b - n_1,
\]

(6.29)

where \( \delta_{k} = 1 \) if \( v^C_k = v^C_{k_0} \), and \( \delta_{k} = 0 \) otherwise. Thus, the determinant reduces to the determinant of the matrix of the size \( n_1 \times n_1 \). Simple calculation shows that

\[
F_{\ell}([u^B_{k_1},v^C_{k_0}]) |v^C) = \frac{\Delta_n(v^C_k)\Delta'_n(u^B_k)}{g(u^B_k,v^C_k)} \det_{n_1} \left\{ g(u^B_k,v^C_k) + H(u^B_k,v^C_k) \right\},
\]

(6.30)

which is exactly the expression in (6.25). Thus, we recast (6.25) as follows:

\[
S_{a,b} = (-1)^h \Delta'_n(v^C_k)\Delta'_n(u^B_k) \sum_{\ell=1}^{b} \Delta_\ell(x_\ell) g(x_\ell,x_{k_0}) \Delta_\ell(x_{k_0}) \times \det_a \left\{ (\gamma^B_{k_1},x_1) \right\} \det_a \left\{ (\gamma^B_{k_1},x_1) \right\}.
\]

(6.31)

It remains to use (6.26) and we end up with

\[
S_{a,b} = \Delta'_n(v^C_k)\Delta'_n(u^B_k) \Delta_{a+b}(\xi) \det_{a+b} \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{M}(u^C_{k_1},x_1) & & & \\
& \mathcal{M}(u^C_{k_1},x_1) & & \\
& & \mathcal{M}(u^C_{k_1},x_1) & \\
& & & \mathcal{M}(u^C_{k_1},x_1)
\end{array} \right\}
\]

(6.32)

where \( \xi = \{ a^B, v^C \} \). Substituting here \( \mathcal{M}(u^C_{k_1},x_1) \) and \( B_z(x_k) \) we arrive at the statement of proposition 3.1.

7. Conclusion

In this paper we obtained a determinant representation for the scalar product of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors in models with \( \mathfrak{gl}(2|1) \) symmetry. When focussing on on-shell (twisted) Bethe vectors, this representation provides determinant formulas for the form factors of diagonal entries \( T_{ii}(u) \) of the monodromy matrix. From this result, using the zero modes method [31], one can obtain similar formulas for the form factors of all operators \( T_{ij}(u) \). This will be the subject of our forthcoming publication.

It is interesting to compare determinant representations for the scalar products in the models described by different algebras. In the models with \( \mathfrak{gl}(2|1) \) symmetry a determinant formula exists, if one of the vectors is on-shell [8]. Hereby, the second one remains a generic Bethe vector. The case of \( \mathfrak{gl}(3) \)-invariant \( R \)-matrix is more restrictive. Up to now, a determinant representation is known only for the scalar product between on-shell vector and twisted on-shell vector [28]. At the same time, in the models with \( \mathfrak{gl}(2|1) \) symmetry it is enough to deal with semi-on-shell Bethe vectors, while in the \( \mathfrak{gl}(1|1) \) case a determinant formula for the scalar product exists in the case of generic Bethe vectors [1]. Thus, the case of superalgebras looks less restrictive. Therefore, it is possible that determinant formulas for the scalar products of Bethe vectors can be found in superalgebras of higher rank.

Our results can be applied to the models with \( \mathfrak{gl}(1|2) \) as well. In particular, in order to obtain a determinant representation for the scalar product of \( \mathfrak{gl}(1|2) \) semi-on-shell Bethe vectors it
is enough to make the replacements $\tilde{u}^{C,B} \leftrightarrow \tilde{v}^{C,B}$, $a \leftrightarrow b$, and $r_1 \leftrightarrow r_3$ in the formulas for the scalar product of $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ semi-on-shell Bethe vectors. This is obtained from an isomorphism between the Yangians of $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(1|2)$ superalgebras [34]. Obviously going from $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(1|2)$ amounts to change gradation from $([1], [2], [3]) = (0, 0, 1)$ to $([1], [2], [3]) = (1, 0, 0)$. However, the change of gradation does not provide the isomorphism, so that what occurs for the last $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ gradation $([1], [2], [3]) = (0, 1, 0)$ remains to be checked. Due to the more symmetric presentation in this later case, it could shed some light on the apparition of semi-on-shell Bethe vectors in the case of superalgebras

Knowing compact determinant representations for form factors of the monodromy matrix entries we can immediately find form factors of local operators in models for which the solution of the quantum inverse scattering problem is known [37–39]. In particular, our results have direct relation to the well known t-J model [40] which plays an important role in condensed matter physics [40, 41]. For special values of the coupling constants the t-J model was studied using the Bethe ansatz in various papers (see e.g. [42–46] and references therein). Formulas for scalar product of Bethe vectors have been computed in e.g. [47], but they involve sums of determinants and as such are difficult to handle, in particular in the thermodynamic limit. The single determinant formulas obtained in the present paper look more manageable, and can be directly used for calculating the form factors and the correlation functions of this model.

It was shown in [32] that form factors local operators of $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$-based models can be related to the form factors of the monodromy matrix entries even without the use of the quantum inverse scattering problem. Most probably this relationship exists in the $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ case as well. We are planning to study this question in our further publications.
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Appendix. Summation of rational functions

A.1. Single sums

Here we give an example of the derivation of identities (4.2).

Consider a contour integral

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z| = R} \frac{dz}{z^k} \prod_{l=1}^b \frac{z - v_l^B}{z - v_l^A}.$$  

Taking the residue at infinity we find that $I = -1$. On the other hand, this integral is equal to the sum of the residues within the integration contour. Hence,

---

10 For more information on gradations of $\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)$ and relation to algebraic Bethe ansatz, see e.g. [36].
\[ -1 = \prod_{l=1}^{b} \frac{x_k - v_l^B}{x_k - v_l^C} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{1}{x_k - v_j^C} \prod_{l=1, l \neq j}^{b} (v_j^C - v_l^C). \]  

(A.2)

Rewriting everything in terms of the function \( g \) we obtain

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{b} g(x_k, v_j^C) \Omega_{i-\gamma} = \frac{g(x_k, v_j^C)}{g(x_k, v_j^B)} - 1. \]  

(A.3)

This is one of the identities in (4.2). All the other identities can be proved exactly in the same way.

A.2. Multiple sums

A.2.1. Laplace formula. Let \( \# \bar{u} = \# \bar{v} = n \). Let \( A \) and \( B \) be \( n \times n \) matrices whose matrix elements are indexed by the parameters \( u_l \) and \( v_j \); \( A_{\bar{u}} = A(u_j, v_k) \) and \( B_{\bar{v}} = B(u_j, v_k) \). The Laplace formula gives an expression of \( \text{det}(A + B) \) in terms of \( \text{det} A \) and \( \text{det} B \):

\[ \text{det}(A(u_j, v_k) + B(u_j, v_k)) = \sum (-1)^{|P|} \text{det}(A(u_j, v_k)) \text{det}(B(u_j, v_k)). \]  

(A.4)

The sum is taken over partitions \( \bar{u} \Rightarrow \{ \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 \} \) and \( \bar{v} \Rightarrow \{ \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \} \) with the restriction \( \# \bar{u}_1 = \# \bar{v}_1 \). Recall that according to our convention the elements of every subset are ordered in the natural order. \( [P] \) denotes the parity of the permutation mapping the union \( \{ \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 \} \) into the naturally ordered set \( \bar{u} \). The notation \( [P] \) has an analogous meaning. Equivalently, one can say that \( [P] + [P'] \) is the parity of the permutation mapping the sequence of the subscripts of the union \( \{ \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 \} \) into the sequence of the subscripts of the union \( \{ \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \} \).

Let us introduce two functions

\[ A(\bar{u}|\bar{v}) = \Delta_n(\bar{u}) \Delta'_n(\bar{v}) \text{det} A(u_j, v_k) \quad \text{and} \quad B(\bar{u}|\bar{v}) = \Delta_n(\bar{u}) \Delta'_n(\bar{v}) \text{det} B(u_j, v_k). \]  

(A.5)

These functions depend on two sets of variables \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \). They are symmetric over \( \bar{u} \) and symmetric over \( \bar{v} \). Then equation (A.4) can be written in the following form:

\[ \Delta_n(\bar{u}) \Delta'_n(\bar{v}) \text{det}(A(u_j, v_k) + B(u_j, v_k)) = \sum A(\bar{u}_1|\bar{v}_1) B(\bar{u}_1|\bar{v}_1) g(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_1) g(\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_1). \]  

(A.6)

Indeed, multiplying (A.4) with \( \Delta_n(\bar{u}) \Delta'_n(\bar{v}) \) and using obvious relations

\[ \Delta_n(\bar{u}) = (-1)^{|P|} \Delta_n(\bar{u}_1) \Delta_{n-1}(\bar{u}_2) g(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_1), \]

\[ \Delta'_n(\bar{v}) = (-1)^{|P'|} \Delta'_n(\bar{v}_1) \Delta'_{n-1}(\bar{v}_2) g(\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_1), \]  

(A.7)

we arrive at (A.6).

In section 6 we use (A.6) in the particular case of Cauchy determinants. For completeness, we recall that for arbitrary complex \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) with \( \# \bar{u} = \# \bar{v} = n \) the Cauchy determinant is defined as

\[ C_n = \text{det} \left( \frac{1}{u_j - v_k} \right). \]  

(A.8)

It has an explicit presentation in terms of double products

\[ C_n = \frac{1}{\prod_{k \neq j \neq k} (u_j - u_k)(v_k - v_j) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (u_j - v_k)}. \]  

(A.9)
From this we immediately obtain
\[ g(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \Delta_m(\bar{u}) \Delta'_m(\bar{v}) \det(g(u_j, v_k)), \quad \frac{1}{h(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} = \Delta_m(\bar{u}) \Delta'_m(\bar{v}) \det \left( \frac{1}{h(u_j, v_k)} \right). \] (A.10)

A.2.2. Other sums over partitions. In the core of the proof, we use different equalities, that were proven elsewhere. We recall them in the present appendix.

**Lemma A.1.** Let \( \bar{w}, \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) be sets of complex variables with \( \#\bar{u} = m_1, \#\bar{v} = m_2, \) and \( \#\bar{w} = m_1 + m_2 \). Then
\[
\sum g(\bar{w}_1, \bar{u}) g(\bar{w}_2, \bar{v}) g(\bar{w}_1, \bar{v}_1) = \frac{g(\bar{w}, \bar{u}) g(\bar{w}, \bar{v})}{g(\bar{u}, \bar{v})},
\] (A.11)
where the sum is taken with respect to all partitions of the set \( \bar{w} \) into subsets \( \bar{w}_1 \) and \( \bar{w}_2 \) with \( \#\bar{w}_1 = m_1 \) and \( \#\bar{w}_2 = m_2 \).

The proof of this lemma is given in [48].

**Lemma A.2.** Let \( \bar{w}, \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) be sets of complex variables with \( \#\bar{u} = m_1, \#\bar{v} = m_2, \) and \( \#\bar{w} = m_1 + m_2 \). Then
\[
\sum K_m(\bar{w}_1|\bar{u}) K_m(\bar{v}|\bar{w}_2) f(\bar{w}_1, \bar{v}_1) = (-1)^m f(\bar{w}, \bar{u}) K_{m_1+m_2}(\bar{u}-c, \bar{v}|\bar{w}).
\] (A.12)
The sum is taken with respect to all partitions of the set \( \bar{w} \) into subsets \( \bar{w}_1 \) and \( \bar{w}_2 \) with \( \#\bar{w}_1 = m_1 \) and \( \#\bar{w}_2 = m_2 \).

The proof of this lemma is given in [49].

**Lemma A.3.** Let \( \bar{w} \) and \( \bar{\xi} \) be two sets of generic complex numbers with \( \#\bar{w} = \#\bar{\xi} = m \). Let also \( C_1(\bar{w}) \) and \( C_2(\bar{w}) \) be two arbitrary functions of a complex variable \( w \). Let us extend our convention on the shorthand notation to the products of these functions. Then
\[
\sum K_m(\bar{w}_1 - c, \bar{w}_2|\bar{\xi}) f(\bar{\xi}, \bar{w}_1) f(\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2) C_1(\bar{w}_1) C_2(\bar{w}_2)
= \Delta'_m(\bar{\xi}) \Delta_m(\bar{w}) \det \left( C_2(w_k) r(w_k, \xi_j) h(w_k, \xi_j) + (-1)^m C_1(w_k) r(\xi_j, w_k) h(\xi_j, w_k) \right). \] (A.13)
Here the sum is taken over all possible partitions of the set \( \bar{w} \) into subsets \( \bar{w}_1 \) and \( \bar{w}_2 \).

The proof of this lemma is given in [28].
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