Is cerebral spinal fluid flow associated with body mass index and head circumference in healthy children? A phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging study
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and head circumference (HC) and cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) flow dynamics in the pediatric population. The prospective study included a total of 137 participants, 75 boys and 62 girls, ranging in age from 2 to 204 months. Quantitative evaluation of CSF flow was made by using phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging (PC-MRI) in the axial plane at the level of the aqueductus cerebri. Flow dynamics were recorded as peak and mean velocity (cm/s); cranial, caudal, and net volume (ml); and aqueductus cerebri area (mm²). Correlation between baseline descriptive parameters, including age, gender, BMI, and HC, and the aforementioned CSF flow dynamics were investigated.

Results: The net volume was significantly lower in girls (p = 0.002). There was no association between age and aqueduct area, mean velocity, and cranial volume. The peak velocity was remarkably higher in children (p = 0.03), whereas cranial and net volume were found to be lower in infants (p = 0.04 and p = 0.03, respectively). Notably, cases with HC below normal values had lower cranial, caudal, and net volume and aqueductus cerebri area (p = 0.01, p = 0.03, p = 0.03, and p = 0.04, respectively). There was no association between BMI and indicators of CSF flow dynamics in PC-MRI.

Conclusion: BMI and HC may be associated with CSF flow dynamics in children. A smaller HC is accompanied by a lower forward flow volume, reverse flow volume, net volume, and aqueductal diameter. These points should be remembered during the design of further trials on determinants of CSF flow in children.
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More recent studies are showing the CSF current changes made by PC-MRI in diseases such as idiopathic intracranial hypertension, neuro-Behçet disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and mucopolysaccharidoses [6–9]. In the relevant literature, the link between CSF pressure and body mass index (BMI) was examined; however, no studies were published on the relationship between CSF flow dynamics, BMI, and head circumference (HC) [10].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the relationship between CSF flow dynamics, BMI, and HC in a healthy pediatric population.

Methods

Study design

This prospective trial was implemented in the pediatric neurology department of our tertiary care center between January 2014 and December 2014. The approval of the local Institutional Review Board (2014/208) was obtained prior to the study. Parents gave written informed consent, and the study strictly adhered to the principles announced in the Helsinki Declaration.

A total of 137 participants were included in the study. To reduce the movement artifact in children, 50 mg/kg chloral hydrate was administered orally to induce sleep (n = 39). A sedative was not used in participants who cooperated (n = 98). MRI indications are headache (n = 19), epilepsy (n = 15), high fever (n = 14), dizziness (n = 13), gait disturbance (n = 13), visual disturbance (n = 12), hearing loss (n = 11), severe nausea and vomiting (n = 11), neuromotor retardation (n = 10), loss of strength in extremities (n = 10), and convulsion (n = 9), respectively. Participants did not have any systemic diseases or cranial pathologies nor were they on any medications. All MRI examinations of the day, the same hour, were made in the morning. PC-MR images were of diagnostic quality in all cases, and no cases were excluded from this study. In the neonatal age group, MRI cases in which brain pathology was detected and continuous drug users were excluded from the study.

HC was measured by passing the tape just above the participant’s eyebrows and round to the occipital pole at the back of the head [11].
Magnetic resonance imaging

All participants routinely underwent T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) on the axial plan and PC-MRI. Quantitative evaluation of CSF flow was made with 1.5 Tesla MRI (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). Images were obtained on the axial plane using standard head coil for 2D Q FLOW phase contrast MR angiography technique.

Duration of PC-MRI was approximately 5 min for every participant. Former images were obtained on midline in sagittal, coronal, and axial T1 views. Subsequently, average modulus (rephase), the magnitude of the complex difference (magnitude), and directional phase difference (phase) images were obtained on a semi-axial plane perpendicular to the cerebral aqueduct in the sagittal axis. Details of axial MRI images were as follows: TR: 31.25 ms, TE: 8.06 ms, slice thickness: 5.5 mm, NSA: 1, FOV: 16 × 10 cm, matrix: 128 × 256, and deviation angle: 10 degrees. Cardiac phase cuts were taken that varied between 14 and 30 according to the heart rate. Cardiac triggering was made prospectively with finger plethysmography. Velocity encoding (Venc) of PC-MRI was set at 20 cm/s. The cranio-caudal flow was defined as “positive,” and the caudo-cranial direction was defined as “negative.”

Analysis of magnetic resonance images

ARGUS is an image analysis program that evaluates ventricular volume, blood, and CSF flows quantitatively in cine mode. This program measures average forward and reverse flows and average and maximum flow velocities in major and minor vessels and in aqueducts with a regular flow. As seen in Figs. 1 and 2, images obtained via FLASH through in-plane and in-plane sequences were transferred to an ARGUS image analysis program (ARGUS, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) on a Leonardo Workstation (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). Phase, rephase, and magnitude images were obtained in semi-axial and sagittal planes (Figs. 3 and 4). Initially, CSF flow was visually evaluated in all participants. For phase and magnitude images, flow with a high signal intensity was observed in a cerebral aqueduct in phase and magnitude views, whereas caudal flow had low signal intensity. Because contrast is more prominent for the CSF flow in aqueduct in phase and magnitude images, regions of interest (ROIs) were placed in a circular shape for each section (Fig. 5). On semi-axial images that occupy the whole aqueduct, velocity (cm/s) and flow (ml/s) values and a velocity–flow curve were obtained (Figs. 1 and 2), and average CSF flow was calculated.

Participants that required anesthesia underwent PC-MRI under sedo-analgesia, whereas no additional preparations were carried out for participants that did not require anesthesia. Instructions were given to avoid deep inspiration or expiration during imaging.
Eddy flows resulted in distortion of gradient profile and adversely affected the accuracy of encoded images. To minimize the impact of eddy flows, ROIs should be maintained as small as possible [12]. In aqueducts with a small diameter, the likelihood of error increases due to noise and poor contrast.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of our data was made with Statistical Package for Social Sciences program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), version 17.0. Absolute values were considered for rates. The peak velocity, mean velocity cranial volume, caudal volume, net volume passing through aqueductus cerebri in a cardiac cycle, peak velocity parameters and average aqueductus cerebri area were compared according to age, gender, HC, and BMI groups. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median-interquartile range. The normal distribution of quantitative variables was evaluated using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Kruskal–Wallis test was used to determine whether there was a significant difference between the mean scores of two dependent groups of one or more dependent variables. The variance analysis was used to test hypotheses about whether the difference between two or more group averages is meaningful. The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to test whether the values obtained for two independent groups differed significantly from each other. The median values of the groups were compared. Spearman rank correlation test was used to evaluate the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two continuous variables. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

Our series consisted of 62 girls (45.3%) and 75 boys (54.7%) with an average age of 68.8 months (range: 3-202). Three groups were constituted with respect to the age of participants: group 1 ($n = 26$) aged 1 to 12 months, group 2 ($n = 79$) aged 13 to 120 months, and group 3 ($n = 32$) aged 121 to 202 months. The average ages in groups 1 to 3 were 9.6 ± 2.3, 52.8 ± 34.0, and 156.3 ± 21 months, respectively.

Table 1 demonstrates the peak velocity ($5.47 ± 2.84$ cm/sn), mean velocity ($0.75 ± 0.63$ cm/sn), mean forward flow volume ($0.015 ± 0.013$ ml), mean backward (reverse) flow volume ($0.011 ± 0.017$), mean net flow volume ($0.0086 ± 0.0013$ ml), and mean aqueductal area ($1.96 ± 0.95$ mm$^2$) in PC-MRI.

Comparison of two of these aforementioned variables in males and females yielded that mean net flow volume was less in females ($p = 0.002$). There were no significant differences between the two genders regarding the peak velocity, mean velocity, mean forward flow volume, mean backward (reverse) flow volume, and mean aqueductal area.

As shown in Table 2, comparison of variables in the three age groups showed that group 2 had the highest peak velocity ($p = 0.027$). However, group 1 had lower mean forward flow volume than group 2 ($p = 0.039$) and group 3 ($p = 0.039$) and also lower mean net volume than group 2 ($p = 0.029$) and group 3 ($p = 0.029$). In contrast, no remarkable difference was detected between group 2 and group 3 with respect to the parameters under investigation.

Two groups were constituted with respect to HC, and with HC < 3% were compared with having normal (≥3%) HC (Table 3). It turned out that mean forward flow volume ($p = 0.008$), mean reverse flow volume ($p = 0.026$), mean net volume ($p = 0.032$), and aqueductal area ($p = 0.038$) were lower in with HC < 3%.

**Table 1** A comparative overview of phase contrast MRI parameters in females and males

| Gender       | Peak velocity (cm/sn) | Mean velocity (cm/sn) | Forward flow volume (ml) | Reverse flow volume (ml) | Net volume (ml) | Mean aqueductal area (mm$^2$) |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Male ($n = 75$) | 5.67 ± 2.91           | 0.86 ± 0.74           | 0.017 ± 0.014            | 0.012 ± 0.018            | 0.011 ± 0.017*  | 1.99 ± 1.00                   |
| Female ($n = 62$) | 5.23 ± 2.76           | 0.62 ± 0.43           | 0.010 ± 0.012            | 0.010 ± 0.015            | 0.005 ± 0.006*  | 1.93 ± 0.90                   |
| Total ($n = 137$) | 5.47 ± 2.84           | 0.75 ± 0.63           | 0.015 ± 0.013            | 0.011 ± 0.017            | 0.008 ± 0.013   | 1.96 ± 0.95                   |

*Statistically significant; $p = 0.002$
Table 2 Phase contrast MRI parameters in various age groups

| Group | Peak velocity (cm/sn) | Mean velocity (cm/sn) | Forward flow volume (ml) | Reverse flow volume (ml) | Net volume (ml) | Mean aqueductal area (mm²) |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1 (age: 1-12 months, n = 26) | 4.90 ± 2.87* | 0.66 ± 0.81 | 0.010 ± 0.008* | 0.010 ± 0.019 | 0.004 ± 0.004 | 1.87 ± 0.97 |
| 2 (age: 13-120 months, n = 79) | 5.99 ± 2.97* | 0.76 ± 0.60 | 0.016 ± 0.011* | 0.012 ± 0.017 | 0.009 ± 0.016 | 1.95 ± 0.86 |
| 3 (age: 121-202 months, n = 2) | 4.66 ± 2.20* | 0.80 ± 0.54 | 0.018 ± 0.019* | 0.010 ± 0.012 | 0.009 ± 0.010 | 2.06 ± 1.16 |

*Statistically significant; p < 0.05
ǂStatistically significant; p = 0.032
§Statistically significant; p = 0.027
Statistically significant; p = 0.039
Statistically significant; p = 0.029

Six groups were constituted according to BMI as follows: group 1 (≤ 5%), group 2 (6-25%), group 3 (26-50%), group 4 (51-75%), group 5 (76-95%), and group 6 (> 95%). No noteworthy differences were seen between any of these groups regarding PC-MRI parameters under investigation (Table 4).

Discussion

MRI has provided further insights and a better understanding of CSF flow dynamics. Velocity, flow, and other time-dependent variables of CSF flow may vary due to anatomy, size of vessels, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, jugular venous blood flow, cranial tissue compliance, and respiration [13, 14]. PC-MRI is a technique that provides qualitative and quantitative evaluation of mobile fluids and has become one of the most important techniques used in the investigation of CSF flow in recent years [1]. Because contrast material and X-ray are not required, PC-MRI can easily be used in children. Elimination of the need for anesthesia in younger children is another advantage offered by PC-MRI [1]. Despite the popularization of high-resolution imaging modalities, errors may still occur, especially for time-dependent variables of CSF flow. Velocity, flow, and other flow-related parameters may be essential. As suggested in the recent literature, we made use of prospective cardiac triggering, even if it has a longer duration of the measurement [19].

We noted that aqueductus area was significantly less in children with a smaller HC. In children with HC < 5%, it can be expected that cerebral aqueduct is smaller, as with the other cranial structures. Various results have been reported in publications focusing on CSF flow dynamics [20, 21]. Unal et al. reported that, in adults and adolescents, forward flow volume, reverse flow volume, and net volume were 0.016 ± 0.008, 0.026 ± 0.012, and 0.010 ± 0.007, respectively [17]. They noted no difference regarding flow-related parameters among age groups. However, we found that forward flow volume and net volume were decreased significantly in children younger than 1 year. No difference was detected among age groups with respect to reverse flow volume. In conjunction with the publication by Unal et al., we came across no difference between boys and girls with respect to forward flow volume, reverse flow volume, and net volume [17]. In a study with PC-MRI in healthy children, the cranial, caudal, and net volume values were determined to be 0.018 ± 0.016, 0.014 ± 0.022, and 0.010 ± 0.016 ml, respectively, in boys and 0.011 ± 0.001, 0.011 ± 0.018, and 0.004 ± 0.003 ml,

Table 3 Phase contrast MRI parameters in children with head circumference (HC) < 3% and HC between 3-95%

| Group | Peak velocity (cm/sn) | Mean velocity (cm/sn) | Forward flow volume (ml) | Reverse flow volume (ml) | Net volume (ml) | Mean aqueductal area (mm²) |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1 (HC < 3%, n = 25) | 4.75 ± 3.47 | 0.74 ± 0.72 | 0.011 ± 0.012* | 0.011 ± 0.027* | 0.005 ± 0.008 | 1.62 ± 0.70 |
| 2 (HC between 3-95%, n = 112) | 5.63 ± 2.67 | 0.75 ± 0.61 | 0.016 ± 0.013* | 0.011 ± 0.013* | 0.009 ± 0.014 | 2.04 ± 0.99 |

HC head circumference
*Statistically significant; p = 0.008
Statistically significant; p = 0.026
Statistically significant; p = 0.032, 0.038
Statistically significant; p = 0.003
respectively, in girls [16]. The cranial and net volume values were found to be higher in boys. However, in this study, the relationship of CSF flow parameters with BMI and head circumference was not evaluated. We detected that mean net volume was decreased in girls.

In adults, peak velocity is reported to range between 1.5 and 12.7 cm/sn [15, 17]. Iskandar et al. analyzed peak velocities of 10 children (age range: 3-16 months) at the level of the foramen magnum and found a mean velocity of 6.9 cm/sn [22]. Furthermore, they detected a decrease in peak velocity with advancement of age. Our results indicated that there was no difference between group 1 (age: 1-12 months) and group 2 (age: 13-120 months). However, the decrease in peak velocity with the advancement of age was obvious when group 2 and group 3 (age: 121-202 months) were compared.

There were no differences in CSF flow parameters in various age and gender groups. In the present study, peak velocities were found to be faster in obese cases. However, the number of obese children in the study was small (n = 7), so further trials on obese children are warranted to achieve clues on the link between BMI and CSF flow.

No difference was observed in terms of mean and peak velocities between children with HC < 3% and children with HC between 3% and 95%. Owing to the fact that there were no children with HC > 95%, no conclusions can be drawn for children with macrocephaly.

Limitations of the present study include small sample size, the variability of CSF flow dynamics, and data limited to the experience of a single institution. Moreover, it was proposed that an accurate assessment necessitates an aqueductal diameter of 1.5 mm² [23]. Even though the mean diameter was 1.96 mm², some of our cases had aqueductal area smaller than 1.5 mm². Finally, the probable influence of anesthesia on the measuring method in children necessitating anesthesia is neglected in our study.

**Conclusion**

To conclude, BMI and HC may be associated with CSF flow dynamics in children. A smaller HC is accompanied with a lower forward flow volume, reverse flow volume, net volume, and aqueductal diameter. These points should be remembered during the design of further trials on determinants of CSF flow in children.
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