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Abstract

Amid COVID-19 pandemic in Pakistan, the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan announced the closure of all public and private universities and Higher Education Institutes from mid of March 2020. The paper highlights the issues faced by the university faculty in terms of techno-stress due to a lack of pandemic preparedness. The data gathered for this research is qualitative using the exploratory methodology. The methods of the study are In-depth interviews and FGDs. Interview guide and FGD Checklist are tools employed to gather data from the faculty of sixteen Pakistani universities which is sorted thematically and illustrated through descriptive statistics. The findings of the study suggest that the global pandemic has seriously affected the higher education sector in Pakistan. The private universities and the heavily funded public universities have taken off in a bullish mode. However, the public sector universities are coping up in a bearish trend. The faculty's response also corresponds with the transition to online teaching. Besides the university's efforts to ease out learning through an online interface, the majority of the faculty is feeling overburdened with additional responsibilities about online teaching. The study highlights the gap between policymaking and the on-ground situation of the universities in terms of online readiness.
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Introduction

COVID-19 has been declared as a global pandemic by WHO a couple of months ago (WHO, 2020). This recent pandemic is majorly a health crisis; however, it has affected almost all domains of life. One of the most vulnerable sectors is education which has been forced to abruptly switching to the online mode of instruction at an unprecedented scale (Pasha, 2020). It is also believed that the intense effects of the SARs-severe acute respiratory syndrome may change the future of education forever (Rose, 2020). Universities in Pakistan are seriously facing this dilemma since they bring hundreds of students, researchers, and faculty together daily for the dissemination of knowledge and hence are exceptionally vulnerable to this disease (HEC, 2020). Many educational institutions have simply called off their exams and assessment, some have given a break to the students, some announced an early summer break, some have ceased their semesters whereas most of them are in the process of transition by shifting the entire academic system to on-line mode.

On one hand, the pandemic requires isolation and social distancing on the other people need to get back united to reinstall the halted system. Governments across the globe are stepping up their efforts in providing training and support for adaptation to this new mode of teaching. Academicians around the world are managing communication with students through virtual classrooms, social media platforms such as What's App, Google classroom, Zoom, Face book, and several online learning management systems. They are hovering around 1.5 billion students affected due to the closure of educational institutions through distance teaching (UNESCO, 2020). The South Asian universities such as those from Afghanistan, Pakistan, and India are finding themselves ill-prepared to switch to remote or online learning (Khan, Niazi, & Saif, 2020).

This Trans in education is raising inequality among students on one hand and stresses amongst faculty on the other. The development of this pandemic has led to serious implications for
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the higher education public institutions and raises particular questions (Ahmed, Allaf, & Elghazaly, 2020). The current research has taken into account one such question about the challenges faced by academia in coping with the amounting ‘techno-stress’ emerging out of online teaching and calling them out for ‘online readiness’. To counter COVID-19, several governments are using advanced surveillance technologies that are causing techno-stress among citizens (Bartoszko, 2020). Researches concerning the time spent on online teaching suggest that it is double or triple of the online on-ground teaching (Palloff & Pratt, 2007). The techno stresses faced by the academic community in online teaching are similar with a few exceptions about their field. The case adds to a new dimension of the phenomenon of techno-insecurity which means that they are feeling in the situation where online teaching is compulsory and those who do not have an understanding of educational technology and gadgets may lose it to the ones who are better acquainted with it (Tarafdar, Qiang, Ragu-Nathan, & Ragu-Nathan, 2007).

The academia from the public sector universities is yet the ‘fortunate ones’ who have their jobs secured as yet and have sought the opportunity to work from home (Pickup, 2020). However, emergent mode of online education in universities is believed to address the unprecedented challenges faced in the continuation of education. The undercurrent of anxiety in this new mode of interaction is equally stressing the faculty especially those who are striving their level best to keep up the pace with the online mode of teaching in a developing country like Pakistan where there are several infrastructure voids such as; power breakups, slow and disruptive connectivity, lack of access to fast internet and reaching out to students across the country.

Objectives
1. To find out the strategies and tools used by general and specialized universities and the response from their faculty in its adoption.
2. To study the policy recommendations of the higher education commission on the continuation of education in COVID-19 pandemic
3. To explore the issues faced by the faculty in coping up with online education.
4. To bring forth the current situation and challenges of universities.

Research Questions
1. What experiments have been done by universities for online teaching?
2. What is the current situation of the university and faculty for ‘online readiness’?
3. How is the faculty managing this shift?
4. What is the on-ground situation of universities for the adoption of online teaching?

Literature Review
Technology is transforming the modes of teaching and learning alongside. The use of technology for online teaching has not been fully embraced to date. The pace is slow and often caught with ambivalence and conflict within the classroom (Mitchell, Parlamis, & Claiborne, 2015). International educational institutions like Harvard and MIT had initiated such programs back in 2012 but in the developing world; it is pretty early to switch to online teaching while keeping all the stakeholders on board. Online learning can be a highly politicized term that can take several interpretations one wants to advance with. However, we are only concerned with online education which is the only resort to continue educating university students without disruption in a pandemic like COVID-19. Though, it has demanded enormous transformation in teaching and adaptation with educational technology at an unprecedented rate. There is a need to understand the difference between ‘online education’ and an ‘emergency response’ to continue educating students remotely posed by a pandemic. Online well-planned teaching is meaningful and a matter of choice and hence stands different from teaching remotely in emergencies (Hodges, Moore, Lockee, Trust, & Bond, 2020). Faculty, students, parents, University administration, and the Higher Education Commission should understand the difference between the two while assessing the teachers and their online readiness for teaching.

The drastic step of closure of all the universities in Pakistan is taken in the mid of March amid the global pandemic outbreak. Closure of education institutions is a highly-priced step that is and has to be paid by the entire society due to disruption of the academic cycle and abrupt changes in the academic calendar. Currently, two imperatives are leading the policies of the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC, 2020). Firstly to support the government in the containment of the pandemic by the closure of educational institutions and secondly minimizing the academic disruption
by moving to the online medium of instruction at the earliest since the current lockdown was initially supposed to last till May 31st (Khattak, 2020), but it is likely to be extended beyond July 15th as per the chairman of the HEC (Pakistan Today, 2020). Since no one knows how long the crisis will last. The decision for switching classes to online mode has received a lot of criticism from the masses. The critics belong to two major camps; the one who believes that university students should also be comforted with the online teaching model which as a result is affecting their mental health.

Pakistani universities have been facing massive migration from traditional face to face teaching to online teaching as of sudden closure of educational institutes since March 13th, 2020 (Latif & Sajid, 2020). The Higher Education Commission of Pakistan has introduced a concept of ‘online readiness’ (Wladis & Samuels, 2016) for ensuring the quality of online education in Pakistani universities. HEC has requested the Vice-Chancellors of all the universities to personally certify six key elements indicating the ‘online readiness of the Universities’ which comprises; University readiness, faculty readiness, course readiness, library readiness, course readiness, and lastly student readiness (HEC, 2020). Mostly public sector universities are currently striving to achieve the 1st objective; university readiness which is the first imperative of online teaching by providing a technological infrastructure able enough to support online teaching systems with the help of the administration and technical assistance. HEC has directed all the universities to conduct surveys from their respective students on checking out the sixth indicator; student readiness. This paper deals with the 2nd type of ‘online readiness, which is the faculty readiness for online teaching which has been ignored and unaddressed by most of the public sector universities.

There is a cultural assumption among the university faculty that online teaching cannot assure learning and the quality is not assured (Allen, Seaman, Lederman, & Jaschik, 2012) & (Lin, Singer, & Ha, 2010). There has been an assumption that universities with online teaching programs are merely factories making money and producing degree holders incapable of the skills required for conferment of their respective degrees (Mitchell, Parlamis, & Claiborne, 2015). However, this pandemic has not left it optional for universities and teachers to offer online programs, it is rather the need of the hour which has transformed the cultural paradigm of traditional learning. Since we have been accustomed to instructor-centered teaching in the Higher Education sector, it is assumed that face to face learning ensures learning by non-verbal cues as well which gets difficult in online teaching (Parlamis & Mitchell, 2014).

A recent study in the higher education sector; Peking university in China suggests that most of the faculty are feeling challenged to cope up with the sudden shift to online teaching since it requires an expansive work on lesson plans, early preparation, online curriculum development and support from the technical support teams. Continuing online solely especially in professional education programs such as Medical, Engineering, and Information Technology is however not possible (Bao, 2020). Tech-savvy faculty have adapted to online solutions comparatively more swiftly than those who still are not well versed with the modern teaching technologies and are fearful of technology. Psychosocial distress is a result of the perceived workload among university faculty especially in bureaucratic practices that leads to negative perceptions of workload among university faculty (Pace, D’Urso, Zappulla, & Pace, 2019). In a recent study carried out to study primary stress factors among online university teachers, the expert panel coincides with the cognitive dimension of work; both qualitatively and quantitatively based on the complexity of information and sustained attention span (García-González, Torrano, & García-González, 2020). The findings suggest that most of the faculty is not mentally contented with the online teaching model which as a result is affecting their mental health.

In current teaching scenario posed by COVID-19 pandemic where all the universities have called off face to face interaction and teaching, reliance on technology has amplified, effective management of ICT is the main tool of teaching to reduce techno stressors (García-González, Torrano, & García-González, 2020). Since employees have started to work more in isolation due to working online or work from home, a further burden emerges from resilience on technology that has been termed as ‘techno strain’ (Salanova, Llorens, & Cifre, 2013). Techno strain of techno-stress unveils the hidden vulnerabilities of employees in terms of efficacy related to the use of technology (Pickup, 2020). In a study carried out among university faculty on 531 teachers, it was found that the
pace of work in meeting the deadlines is attributed as a stressor among workers when the volume of work demands off working hours (Malik, Björkqvist, & Österman, 2017). In the contemporary challenge of teaching online, the magnitude of work has been doubled or even more since it solely demands technological interface.

**Methodology**

Qualitative data was gathered for this study using exploratory methodology from sixteen universities across the country. At least one senior faculty and one member engaged in administrative responsibilities from HEC recognized universities were contacted. Quaid-e-Azam University, Bahria University, Air University, COMSATS, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics University, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, University of Engineering Technology Lahore, University of Malakand, University of Peshawar, Institute of Management Sciences Peshawar, Riphah International University, Islamabad, Lahore University of Management Sciences, National University of Computer Engineering and Sciences-FAST and Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan were included in this research. The methods of research were In-depth interviews and Focus Discussion Groups. An Interview guide and FGD checklists were prepared as tools of study. Amid the corona pandemic yet keeping the research ethics of the domain intact; primary data, the IDIs (In-Depth Interviews) were conducted on phone calls by taking prior appointments. However, a Focus group discussion was carried out through a conference call. Since the methodology of the study was exploratory, universities were not stratified in advance. The data was post-stratified later on and analyzed thematically in SPSS which is illustrated through descriptive statistical analysis in the findings section and discussed later. Keeping in view the research ethics, the names of the universities were coded to ensure confidentiality.

**Findings**

Keeping in view the seriousness of the situation posed by COVID-19, on March 13th, 2020 WHO declared it as a global pandemic. In the wake of the hour, the government of Pakistan declared the closure of all education institutes immediately. However, it was nearly impossible for universities and higher education institutes of the country to cease academic activities altogether. In Pakistani universities, there are generally two semesters; Spring and Fall each year with a few exceptions where the summer semester is optional in the summer break. The spring semester started in all the universities from January and February. Some had four whereas others had eight weeks of teaching. Generally, eight weeks of teaching marks the onset of midterm examination/ assessments in universities. The findings suggest that initially some universities and their respective administration communicated their faculty to continue immediately through online teaching since it was not clear whether the universities will be closed till April 5th or will be extended. The first table is based on the status of Learning Management System, LMS in Pakistani higher education institutes. The higher education commission requested the vice chancellors of the universities to proceed with the LMS by ensuring all six key elements of the system to ensure un-interrupted learning (HEC, 2020). The data table suggest the status of LMS at the outbreak of pandemic in these sixteen universities of Pakistan.

---

**Codes for Universities**

| Codes for Universities | A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z | TOTAL | %age |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|-----|
| LMS- NIU               | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | 2 | 12.5% |
| LMS- PIU               | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | 4 | 25.0% |
| Indigenous             | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3%  |
| LMS NIP               | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | 9 | 56.3% |

**FACULTY'S ONLINE PRESENCE before COVID LOCKDOWN**

| Faculity's Online Presence before COVID Lockdown | TOTAL | %age |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|
| Partially                                      | 7     | 43.8% |
| Completely                                    | 1     | 6.3%  |
| Not at all                                    | 8     | 50.0% |
Table No. 1: Initial Response of DAIs to COVID-19
The immediate response of the universities across the country after the sudden closure of the universities varied in terms of sectoral division of the universities and the type of degrees they were offering. Some gave summer break, some took time for online transition, and some resumed teaching in a week while a few shifted to online teaching without any interruption. The universities offering professional degrees could not switch over to the online learning mode due to the nature of the courses. Later, as per the policy devised by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, LMS-Learning Management System was offered to the universities across Pakistan to streamline the academic process maintaining quality control. Not all the universities especially from the public sector universities could switch to this system even after ten weeks of cessation of the traditional teaching model with a few exceptions to the private universities which were already managing through LMS. Some are already striving to update their academic activities through this learning management system. Interviews from the academia have generated various responses which are organized in the current section.

Descriptive Statistics on “DAI’s RESPONSE TO PANDEMIC-COVID-19”

| Codes for Universities | A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SECTORAL DIVISION OF UNIVERSITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector          | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Private sector         | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| TOTAL                  | 12|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TYPE OF DEGREE AWARDING INSTITUTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialized            | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | N |  |  |  |
| General                | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |  |  |
| TOTAL                  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMMEDIATE RESPONSE TO HALT OF ACADEMIC ACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summer break           | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y |  |
| Transition phase       | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | N |  |
| Online                 | N | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| Resumption in a week   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uninterrupted          | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N |  |
| shift to Online teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL                  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table No. 2: Situation of Online Presence of Faculty in Universities
Majorly the response of the DAIs and their respective faculty can be divided into two cohorts; the first cohort of universities has displayed their competence in a bullish trend metaphorically which continued teaching through an immediate transition to online mode. They have experimented autonomously or as guided by the IT support teams in a couple of weeks without taking summer breaks. These universities are either from the private sector having ample resources, IT infrastructure, young and tech-savvy faculty, LMS, or alternate E-learning systems in place. In doing so, some have stressed out their faculty due to the expansive workload required for online transition, set up, and follow certain academic SOPs. They generally have multiple but smaller campuses and serve a certain upper-middle and higher class of the society. Their graduates are generally produced for the international market and result in brain drain. The status of online presence of the faculty amongst these universities is presented in table 2.

“Virtual Tools used for Online Classes”

| Codes for Universities |
|------------------------|
| A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z |
| TOOLS USED IN ONLINE TRANSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GM          | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N |  |
| MS          | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N |  |
| WA          | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y |  |  |
| GM, GC and LMS | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| ZM and LMS  | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| ZM and WA   | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| ZM and GM   | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N |  |
| ZM, WA and  | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N |  |
Discussion

Technology is pervasively used in the domain of academics throughout the world. However, in past two decades, the pace of transformation has increased. The countries and institutions which display an adaptive approach are leading in the field of academics; however, the laggards are the slow adapters. A joint report of the Euro fund and ILO based on findings and surveys from 15 European countries have synthesized the effects of ICT mobile networks and tele-work (Eurofound & ILO, 2017). The potential benefits of technology (flexibility, autonomy, cost-saving, and lack of commutation) are evident in the containment of epidemiology like COVID-19 (García-González, Torrano, & García-González, 2020). Online teaching is not an optional mode of teaching any more in Pakistan. Besides the COVID-19 pandemic that has shaken the academic world all of a sudden, several such recent events in Pakistan were already compelling us to evolve new modes of teaching for sustainable education. The virtual tools used by the universities for transition to online teaching are numerous. As informed by the faculty of the universities approached, these tools were experimented by the faculty and the IT support teams which are presented in table 3. To run the academic cycle uninterruptedly, it is the need of the hour to develop a sustainable learning model. The recent nationwide lockdown was triggered due to a natural pandemic; however, certain nationwide and local lockdowns by political parties and clerics were already compelling the universities especially in the twin cities to adapt to new teaching methodologies that could allow the universities to follow the academic calendar.

Keeping the Students Onboard; The biggest challenge in determining online readiness is to ensure the students readiness for online mode of learning by keeping the students on-board. Reaching out to the students appeared as a major challenge to the public sector universities of Pakistan which have a larger mandate and quota of students from all across the country. In some universities, it was the responsibility of the university, in others it was the students; responsibility as presented in table 4. Online teaching has been termed as a ‘grand experiment’ in comparison with face to face teaching in a
recent article (Zimmerman, 2020). However, as long as the faculty is not acquainted with the requisite skills and resultant not contented with their teaching, they can never satisfy the yearning thirst of their students. The amounting techno-stress is a major factor in the performance of teachers in pacing up with the standards required in online teaching. Varieties of teaching experiments have been carried out in the past 2-3 months by the universities and faculty to engage the students. Since there were no indigenous online teaching models in place, Google classrooms, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, Google Meet, Skype, What's App and Face book were used by the faculty members initially from two weeks and up to 2 months. Some universities have adopted LMS whereas the rest have switched to Microsoft Teams for regular online classes with a few exceptions which have given autonomy to the teachers to use whichever tool appropriate for this semester.

**Examination and Assessments:** Most of the universities had not conducted their midterm examinations by the time of closure and there was no system of online examination in place. The universities are now preparing for the online exams and assessments of the students from June-September depending upon their revised academic calendar. In most of the universities approached a, the faculty is supposed to prepare two kinds of papers; one MCQ or viva based online and the other on the regular examination system of the respective university. Some universities have allowed the faculty to give multiple chances for appearance in examination to students from remote areas. Most of the universities have directed the faculty to mark the Mid-term Exams through additional assignments. The steps taken by different universities for assessment of mid-term and final term examination are demonstrated in table 4. Multiple exam types and examining each student individually based upon their availability are again going to stress the faculty and stretch the amount of time for assessments.

### Descriptive Statistics on “Engaging and Reaching out to Students during Pandemic”

#### Codes for Universities

| A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z | TOTAL | %age |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|-----|
| OE | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| AA | N | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | 7 | 43.8% |
| UD | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 6 | 37.5% |
| AA | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| &OE | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| AA, PJ | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| & FA | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |

**OE:** Online Exam, **AA:** Additional Assignments, **UD:** Undecided, **PJ:** Project based, **FA:** Faculty’s Autonomy

**REACH OUT TO STUDENTS FROM REMOTE AREAS**

| ROS | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | 7 | 43.8% |
| Cr | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | 4 | 25.0% |
| FM | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| AS | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | N | 3 | 18.8% |
| FM & AS | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |

**ROS:** Responsibility Of Student, **Cr:** Coordinator, **FM:** Faculty Member, **AS:** Academic Section

### Modes of Final Exam

| OE | Y | N | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | N | 7 | 43.8% |
| SWD | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| UD | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y | 3 | 18.8% |
| UDD | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | 3 | 18.8% |
| FA & AA | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| OE, IS | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| & FA | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |

**OE:** Online Exam, **SWD:** Semester Wise Decision, **UD:** Undecided, **UDD:** Undisclosed, **FA:** Faculty’s Autonomy, **AA:** Additional Assignments, **IS:** Individual need based Student

**Table No. 4: Modes of Students ‘engagement and reaching out**

**Sudden Shift to Online Teaching:** The participants shared how suddenness of closure left them bewildered initially. Since the faculty was approached by the students and not all the universities’ administration offered solutions or assisted the faculty in online teaching. The predominant mode of
teaching in the universities across Pakistan was instructor-centred, face to face mode of teaching. Online teaching does not only take into account the readiness indicators of the universities in maintaining quality control, but also demands an entire paradigm shift in which the entire student community, their parents, mentors, academia, university administration, public-private partnerships especially with telecommunication industries and the state need to be an equal stakeholder and play its relevant part. The higher education commission has formulated a well-designed policy document in this regard, but the practical implementation of the policy takes both time and resources. Most of all, the willingness to overcome fear and adapt to the relevant technology will eventually ensure the online readiness. Online readiness of the faculty is generally hampered by personal factors which lead to techno-stress.

**Situation at Home:** Teachers shared that the situation at home often does not allow them to participate in the lessons timely. The idea of working from home is pretty new in Pakistan. People were only aware of this phenomenon relating to the software industry. Apart from that, the working ethics have neither been fully established nor followed in Pakistan. Working or teaching from home needs to be balanced to maintain a work-life balance, kind of supervision, and time management (Messenger & Gschwind, 2016) & (Xie, Ma, Zhou, & Tang, 2018). The situation at home for both faculty and student matters in determining their regularity in online classes. The findings from the IDs conducted with the faculty and administration of the universities suggest that besides all the efforts made on part of the faculty, absenteeism persisted as reflected by the attendance of students in online classes in table 5.

**Absenteeism:** Losing many students is a major disadvantage that runs the risk in online teaching. Absenteeism was the initial issue faced by the faculty in ensuring the online attendance of the students which can be resolved through LMS. The reasons are lack of connection and signals, accessibility, login issues, and voice quality. Universities where multiple modes of teaching are allowed also to ensure that their faculty keeps records of the students attending classes online and only those students can be excused who genuinely belong to the remote areas. In those cases, many universities are facilitating both the teachers and students through sharing the video lectures and course material on CDs, DVDs and USBs, but the driving factor is the students’ motivation and the efforts of the faculty in taking them on board alongside.

| Codes for Universities | A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **STUDENTS’ ATTENDANCE DURING ONLINE CLASSES** |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Between 41-60%         | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | Y |
| Between 61-80%         | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |
| Above 81%              | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |
| **TOTAL**              | 2 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| **%age**               | 12.5% | 37.5% | 50.0% | 75.0% | 25.0% |
| **FACULTY ADAPTATION TO ONLINE TEACHING** |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Partial                | N | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| Complete               | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N |
| **TOTAL**              | 4 |
| **%age**               | 25.0% |

**Table No. 5: Students’ attendance and Faculty’s Adaptation to Online Teaching**

**Amount of Work:** Almost all the universities are revising their course curriculum and contents which can be efficiently delivered online. But during the transition phase; mid of March-June, the amount of work doubled or multiplied even more due to the multiplicity of tasks as evident from universities from cohort 1. It was found in a longitudinal case study based on teaching online (discussions, emails, and assignments) that one credit or one hour of teaching face to face is comparable to 3.5 to 7 hours of teaching online classes (Lazarus, 2003). However, in universities where the classes are commencing from June and the faculty’s readiness can still not be ensured since most have not conducted training and the work strain is yet to appear. In terms of the sectoral division of the universities, the public sector faculty complained more of the amounting work load emerged out of online teaching as compared to the private sector universities. One major reason behind it was that the faculty from the private sector universities was already well equipped and well versed with the technology and paid accordingly, whereas most of the faculty from the public sector university was not using much of the audio-visual teaching aids and were already burdened with the credit hours which could be a probable reason in the lack of efficient adaptation to the online teaching.

**Work Load and Time:** Workload, unrealistic work demands, interruptions and time pressures are accounted for major work-related stressors by both Health and Safety Executive (HSE) and Charted
Faculty’s response from the FGD highlights that the unrealistic workload assigned to the faculty was stressors emerge such as emotional stress and high exhaustion levels (European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, 2019). Some universities and their administrations do not hesitate to contact their employees even after working hours which keeps the faculty stressed out throughout the day. The family lives have been seriously disturbed. The line between working hours and leisure time has got so vague that it continues to strain them. The faculty’s response from the FGD highlights that the unrealistic workload assigned to the faculty was stressing them out. In some public sector universities, the lecturers and assistant professors were assigned 14 and 12 credit hours respectively. According to those respondents, it was humanly impossible to prepare course slides and presentations for 4-5 courses in a week. In trying to do so, they complained that they were spending 12-14 hours at least per day on working from home which had taken over their family time. Table 6 is based on the on ground factors determining the online readiness of the faculty from these universities. Overlapping in both spheres consequently becomes an obstacle when one faces issues in maintaining a balance between workload family life and eventually results in a stressor (O’Laughlin & Bischoff, 2005) & (Olson-Buchanan & Boswell, 2006).

Table No. 6: Factors determining the Faculty’s Readiness for Online Readiness

| Code for Universities | A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| JC                    | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | Y | 5 | 31.3% |
| PM & JC               | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | Y | 3 | 18.8% |
| PI, PM & WFH          | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| DR, FTH & JC          | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| PM, TSA, SJ & JC      | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |
| SJ & JC               | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | 2 | 12.5% |
| PM, JC & TSA          | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | 2 | 12.5% |
| JC & TSA              | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | 1 | 6.3% |

**Governance;** The data gathered from IDIs with the administration suggests that they considered online learning as an efficient tool of governance and can ensure transparency. Alongside, some university administrators complained that even now, not all the faculty is ready to perform their duties efficiently. It was gathered that most of the faculty was not intentionally reluctant in using technology but they had issues in coping up. Dr. Sarah Pickup in her recent article published in April (2020) cites that in the United Kingdom, above half a million employees are caught with absenteeism since they complain of work-related anxiety, stress, and depression (ASD). Many of them have presented the students’ concerns as excuses for not teaching online or have complained of the non-availability of the internet and gadgets. But they except that the issues about governance can be completely solved once there is transparency in the system. Neither students nor teachers can manipulate the system once LMS gets fully functional.

**Fear of Technology, Lack of facilities & Lock Down;** A study by Mitchell et al., (2015) suggests that resistance in faculty adaptation to online teaching includes personal factors such as cultural assumptions and values, fear (of the unknown, loss, failure), fear of disruption of interpersonal relationships and concerns about the external impact of change (Self & Schraeder, 2009)& (Yukl, 2006). The most evident and generic issues faced and reported by the faculty are that some are devoid of the android phones and laptops, internet connection, power disruption, camera and voice quality, camera consciousness, fear of technology, fear of making mistakes and being ridiculed, even harassment and humiliation from the students end, overburden due to multiplication of work, lack of
observance of office hours and working ethics from the administration. Also, due to the sudden closure of universities and vacation of campuses, most of the faculty have left for their hometowns. Later, the imposition of lockdown in the country worsened the situation of inter and intra city travelling and many faculty members got stuck.

**Employment Insecurity:** There is another factor which is evident from the current surveys conducted by the universities amongst their students. A large number of students are not interested to continue with online education and want their current and next semesters frozen. The lack of online readiness from the students is also stressing the faculty since it may cause a heavy burden on the finances of the universities and put the jobs of the faculty at stake eventually. Also, the fear of performing inefficiently in the ‘new normal’ online mode, appeared to be the dominant factor raising employment insecurity in the faculty specially from the private sector universities, contractual and Tenure Tracked staff in both public and private sector universities. The following table is based on the empirical findings on factors determining online readiness of the faculty.

**Parental pressure on the feasibility of online teaching** is another factor that has serious implications. Besides understanding that online teaching is the need of the hour in pandemic circumstances, not an imposition made by the university otherwise, they are not ready to accept this idea. The major complaint that they present is that students do not have internet connectivity or tools. Keeping this concern in view, neither the university nor the faculty can put such a huge investment to support the students. Both students and faculty need to arrange it through their means. The higher education commission should also bring forth such student and parental alerts about online education initiatives to make them realize the importance of staying connected through online education and one never knows the implications and span of COVID-19 and its stretch.

**A consequence of lack of control over technology:** Some faculty members have faced serious implications of online teaching while experimenting with Zoom in terms of sexual harassment and character assassination. In one recent case, a faculty member reported he was facilitated by one of the coordinators in running an online teaching session. After a while, one of the students ran a porn video in the middle which could not be stopped by him since neither he was a participant, host nor also, he had any control over the activity. A pure academic activity resulted in mere humiliation and ridicule by the students. The coordinator when inquired refused to share the identity of that student. Such incidents can pose long term implications on the psychosocial health of the faculty. Apart from this, many have complained to generalized anxiety and Obsessive Compulsive Disorders OCDs due to the recent transition to online learning. These cases are not much, but they are often used as scapegoats by some faculty members who are not ready to take the challenge of online teaching. Not only this, but also prolonged working in isolation that lacks face to face interaction which is a leading psycho-social risk for the university faculty is frequently reported (Dolan, 2011), (Yick, Patrick, & Costin, 2005) & (Fouche, 2006).

### Steps were taken by DAIs for Transition to Online Teaching in Pandemic COVID-19

| Codes for Universities | A | B | C | E | F | H | I | K | L | M | P | Q | R | U | W | Z |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CR                     | N | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| CCC                   | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y |
| CARA                  | Y | Y | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| PS                    | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| UCM                   | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| SR                    | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| FT                    | N | Y | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| ST                    | N | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N |
| TCT                   | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | y | N |
| PL                    | N | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| FA                    | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | Y | N |
| SOC-IS                | N | N | N | N | N | N | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |

*CR-Curriculum Revision, CCC-Change in Course Contents, CARA- Curriculum Approval from Relevant Authority, PS- Preparation of Slides, UCM-Uploading Course Material, SR-Students’ Registration, FT- Faculty Training, ST-Student Training, TCT- Training Core Teams, PL- Peer Learning, FA- Faculty’s Autonomy, SOC-IT-Strengthening On Campus Infra Structure*
Pandemic Preparedness and Techno Stress among................Zeeshan, Chaudhry & Khan

Table No. 7: Steps taken by DAIs for Online Teaching

Conclusion

Online teaching in Pakistan other than the virtual and distance education model is altogether a new concept and has led to multiple experiments during the transition phase. It has appeared as an entire shift in the traditional edu-cultural paradigm in Pakistan to evolve. It is still the transition phase whereby the faculty, students, and their parents are trying to understand its logic and dynamics. The halt and transition in the academic process have served as a wakeup call to the higher education sector of Pakistan and exposed a lack of 'emergency preparedness'. However, to cope up with this emergency, several measures have been taken by the higher educational institutes. The steps taken by different universities in transition to online teaching are presented in table 7. Not all the universities have uniformly followed all the steps yet most are striving to keep pace with the guidelines devised by the higher education institutes. Quality of instruction is taken into account while designing models for online teaching which is generally absent in cases where it has been shifted in an emergency. An investment in the ecosystem of learner's support us required for effective online learning (Hodges, Moore, Lockee, Trust, & Bond, 2020). Not just the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, but also the Ministry of Information Technology and PEMRA needs to be taken on board for effective policy, planning and execution of online teaching in Pakistan. The Higher Education Commission of Pakistan has devised several policies to direct the universities to switch to the Learning Management System and developed certain yardsticks to ensure quality control in online teaching. However, the existing ground realities and experiences are pretty different. There are lots of gaps between policy and on-ground situation of the universities in terms of online readiness to cope with the pandemic. This paper is an attempt to highlight how the gaps in policy and current practice are resultantly stressing out of the faculty. Also, there is a need to lay off the fears of the faculty that is not tech-savvy, to build their confidence and let go of their hesitation in the adaptation of the technology. Motivation from the university administration will serve as a driving force to let go of the techno stresses and develop online readiness.

Recommendations

- The current climate of pre- and during COVID-19 suggests that there is a need to identify risks and mitigation strategies for the emotional and mental wellbeing of the faculty members for sustainable teaching interventions.
- The faculty needs to be counselled -as opposed to mere administrative directives- this will not only serve as a motivator for faculty but will also bring them onboard. This will go a long way in preparing them for ‘online readiness’ to correspond in any pandemic situation. Also, learning and observing the work ethics and working hours by faculty and administration will help both to stay sound mentally and psychologically and will never lead to techno-stress.
- The universities should consider opening regular FM channels which will need a one-time investment but their studios; both audio and visual can be later used for recording online lectures specializing in facilitating the visiting faculty and the faculty which is not tech-savvy indeed. Also, the quality of the lectures will be improved.
- Considering that once all the courses are taught and mentored through online mode once for 2 years (in case of a traditional masters program) and 1 year (for an MS/MPhil program), the course material, the entire course work will be ready with the department and the university for that very program which can be used in any such emergency circumstances such as natural disasters, any incident of political unrest in the country or health issue of the relevant faculty. These programs can be used in distance learning as well and can eventually serve in revenue generation of the universities as well.
- In classrooms that are well equipped audio-visually, recordings of the lectures can be done for future use. The universities will organically learn the significance of recording lectures which will eventually serve as soft audio-visual course files for future use. The model of virtual universities can be adopted and this pandemic leading to online teaching leaves us with some food for thought for the future. The resources will eventually be reduced by up to 50%.
- Universities following the model of a the-school initiative of the government can evolve a parallel model for themselves or can connect to them at another time for general courses. Also, back in 1992, Pakistan Television presented with ETV- a satellite channel that covered
transmission in over 30 countries and was later closed due to financial liability can be reactivated for online universities teaching for its outreach to distant local and international coverage.
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