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Background. Changes in peripheral muscle in heart failure lead to a shift from aerobic to early anaerobic metabolism during exercise leading to ergoreflex overactivation and exaggerated hyperventilation evaluated by the VE/VCO₂ slope.

Methods. 50 patients (38 males, 59 ± 12 years) performed cardio-pulmonary exercise test with gas exchange measurement and echocardiographic evaluation before and 6 months after CRT. Results. The peak respiratory exchange (VCO₂/VO₂) ratio was significantly reduced from 1.16 ± 0.14 to 1.11 ± 0.07 (P < .05) and the time to the anaerobic threshold was increased from 153 ± 82 to 245 ± 140 seconds (P = .01). Peak VO₂, VE/VCO₂, peak circulatory power and NYHA were improved after CRT (13 ± 4 to 16 ± 5 ml/kg/min (P < .05), 45 ± 16 to 39 ± 13 (P < .01), 1805 ± 844 to 2225 ± 1171 mmHg.ml/kg/min (P < .01) and 3 ± 0.35 to 1.88 ± 0.4 (P = .01)). In addition, left ventricular ejection fraction and end-systolic volumes were improved from 24 ± 8 to 29 ± 7% (P < .01) and from 157 ± 69 to 122 ± 55 ml (P < .01).

Conclusion. We suggest that CRT leads to an increase in oxidative muscular metabolism and postponed anaerobic threshold reducing exaggerated hyperventilation during exercise.

1. Introduction

It remains unclear how cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) improves symptom status in heart failure population. CRT is one of the major treatment for patients suffering from refractory heart failure (HF) despite an optimal drug regimen [1]. Huge previous trials confirmed improvement in both symptom status (NYHA class) and remodelling parameters (left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction, mitral regurgitation, and LV end-systolic volume) [2]. In addition, objective functional parameters are improved by CRT (peak oxygen uptake, 6-minute walking test, peak workload, exercise duration) [3]. It was well confirmed that heart failure patients present an exaggerated hyperventilation characterised by an increase in the slope relating the minute ventilation to carbon dioxide production (VE/VCO₂) leading to early fatigue and breathlessness during the effort [4].

Physiopathological determinants of such an hyperventilation remain unclear. Nevertheless, an important cause of hyperpnea during effort is the enlargement of physiological dead space and ventilation-perfusion mismatch by alveolar hypoperfusion from hemodynamic dysfunction. Another determinant is the early cardiorespiratory reflex dysregulation. This was evidenced by increased peripheral and central chemosensitivity, impaired sympathovagal balance with sympathetic predominance, and depressed baroreflex circulation control [5, 6]. In addition, in heart failure population, the skeletal musculature has been extensively investigated. Muscle bulk is known to be reduced, and abnormalities of muscle function, histologic features, and metabolism have all been described [7]. In particular, both reduction in capillary density and shift from slow-twitch-type 1 muscular fibres to fast-twitch-type 2 fibres were found. It leads to depressed oxidative capacity by a reduction in mitochondrial density and increases the glycogenolytic metabolism, the carbon dioxide production, and the respiratory exchange ratio at peak of the exercise (VCO₂/VO₂) [8]. These muscular modifications are associated with an overactivation of
the peripheral ergoreflex leading to an early hyperventilation during exercise (the “muscle hypothesis”) [9].

We suggest that reduction in exaggerated hyperventilation during exercise in HF population after CRT is linked to an improvement in musculature metabolism, which results in increasing oxidative metabolism, reducing the respiratory exchange ratio at peak of the exercise, and improving the time to anaerobic threshold (AT).

2. Methods

Fifty consecutive refractory HF patients were enrolled in this single center investigation. All those patients matched the following criteria: indication for CRT implantation according to current indications (QRS duration >120 ms, LV ejection fraction <35%, NYHA symptom class II-III or IV, and optimal heart failure medical regimen) [10]. Complete echocardiographic evaluation including LV volume measurements and a cardiopulmonary exercise were realized before and 6 months after CRT implantation. Patients with history of chronic lung diseases were excluded.

2.1. Echocardiographic Measurement. Echocardiograms were loaded into a computer system (Echopac, GE), and all measurements were obtained for all patients at baseline and 6 months after implantation. Echocardiograms were analyzed by a single experienced sonographer. Sample loops were analyzed off line on an Echopac computer workstation to obtain end-diastolic (EDV) and endsystolic LV (ESV) volumes using the methods of disks. Ejection fraction was calculated as follows: (EDV − ESV)/EDV × 100%. Mitral regurgitation was evaluated according to the European Society of Echocardiography [11].

2.2. Testing Procedure and Data Collection. A symptom-limited exercise test with ventilatory expired gas analysis using a cycle ergometer with a 10 Watts/minute protocol was performed in all patients in an air conditioned room (Ergocard, Medisoft, Sorinnes, Belgium). Continuous standard 12 lead electrocardiograms, manual blood pressure measurements, and heart rate recordings were monitored at every stage. Data for oxygen consumption (VO₂), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂), minute ventilation (VE), respiratory rate (RR), and work load were collected continuously throughout the exercise. Oxygen and carbon dioxide sensors were calibrated using gases with known oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide concentrations prior to each test. Ventilatory efficiency was obtained by the linear regression slope relating VE to VCO₂ from the beginning to the peak of the effort [12]. Peak VO₂ was used as an index of exercise capacity.

The peak circulatory power was measured as an evaluation of the cardiac pumping function by the product of the peak VO₂ and the systolic blood pressure as described by Cohen-Solal et al. [13]. The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was measured by the rapport between the carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) and the oxygen consumption (VO₂). The peak RER was used in this study. The anaerobic threshold was assessed using Wasserman’s method. All exercises were realized after written agreements of patients.

2.3. Subgroup Analysis. The responders and the nonresponders to the CRT were characterized. The responder subgroup was defined as having a telesystolic LV volume reduction greater than 15% after CRT [14].

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Paired t tests were used to compare differences between parameters at baseline and after CRT. All statistical tests with a P value of <.05 were considered to be significant. Continuous variables are summarized by mean ± SD.

3. Results

3.1. Patients. Baseline characteristics of patients included are summarized in Table 1. Heart failure etiology was ischaemic in 46% and nonischaemic in 54%. Patients had severely depressed LV function with a mean LV ejection fraction of 24 ± 8%. Mean NYHA symptom class was 2, 98 ± 0,35, and mean QRS duration was 154 ± 35 ms. Mean values of peak VO₂ and VE/VCO₂ slope were 13 ± 4 ml/kg/minute and 45 ± 16. Mean maximal work load at peak of the exercise and the mean peak circulatory power were 78 ± 28 Watts and 1805 ± 744 mmHg·ml/kg/min. Mean peak RER was 0,16 ± 0,14. EDV and ESV were 205 ± 79 ml and 157 ± 69 ml, respectively. Mean mitral regurgitation grade was 1, 49 ± 1.

3.2. 6-Month Followup after CRT. At 6 months, mean values of NYHA,peak VO₂, VE/VCO₂ slope and RER at the peak were 1, 88 ± 0,4 (P < .01), 16 ± 5 ml/kg/min (P < .05), 39 ± 13 (P < .01) and 1, 11 ± 0,07 (P < .05), respectively. Mean time to anaerobic threshold was improved from 153 ± 82 to 245 ± 140 seconds (P = .01). Left ventricular remodelling parameters were significantly improved. Mean values of EDV, ESV, and ejection fraction were 175 ± 71 ml (P < .01), 122 ± 55 ml (P < .01), and 31 ± 8% (P < .01), respectively. Efficient biventricular pacing was assessed by CRT control at 6 months.

3.3. Subgroup Analysis. 23 patients (18 males, 61 ± 10 years) (46%) were included in the nonresponder subgroup. Major HF etiology was ischaemic in 56%, and mean QRS duration was 144 ± 39 ms.

In this population, NYHA symptom was improved from 2,83 ± 0,5 to 2 ± 0,34 (P < .01). Peak VO₂, Ve/VCO₂ slope, RER, and RR did not change (13 ± 2 to 15 ± 5 ml/kg/min, 46 ± 10 to 41 ± 8, 14 ± 0,14 to 1,1 ± 0,07, and 30 ± 4 to 27 ± 4/min, respectively; P > .05). Mean time to anaerobic threshold was not significantly increased from 183 ± 90 to 225 ± 122 seconds (P > .05). No significant increase in exercise duration and peak workload was found 6 months after CRT. Rest HR was decreased from 77 ± 16 bpm to 73 ± 9 bpm (P > .05), and peak circulatory power did not change from 1733 ± 480 to 2021 ± 803 mmHg·ml/kg/min (P > .05). In this subgroup, LV tele-systolic, ejection fraction
and mitral regurgitation were measured from 26 ± 7 to 27 ± 8%, from 137 ± 0.11 to 143 ± 44 ml, and from 1.06 ± 0.87 to 0.89 ± 0.96 (all P > .05).

27 patients (20 males, 58 ± 14 years) (54%) were included in the responder subgroup. QRS duration at baseline was 162 ± 29 ms and an ischaemic cause was found in 37%.

NYHA symptom class was improved from 3 ± 0.3 to 1.78 ± 0.42 (P < .01). Peak HR was decreased from 85 ± 21 to 71 ± 13 bpm (P < .05). Peak VO₂, VE/VCO₂ slope, RER, RR, exercise duration, peak circulatory power, peak workload, and peak respiratory rate were improved from 14 ± 5 to 16 ± 5 ml/kg/min (P < .05), 45 ± 20 to 38 ± 15 (P < .01), 1.17 ± 0.14 to 1.11 ± 0.07 (P < .05), 31 ± 11 to 27 ± 7/min (P < .05), 409 ± 200 to 460 ± 193 seconds (P < .05), 1862 ± 907 to 2384 ± 1235 mmHg·ml/kg/min (P = .01), 78 ± 31 to 89 ± 33 watts (P < .01), and 31 ± 10 to 27 ± 7/min (P < .05). Mean time to anaerobic threshold was improved from 133 ± 5 to 261 ± 154 seconds (P = .01). In addition, LV ejection fraction, telesystolic volume, and mitral regurgitation were improved from 22 ± 8 to 34 ± 7% (P < .01), 173 ± 81 to 106 ± 59 ml (P < .01), and from grade 1,83 ± 0.94 to 1,3 ± 0.76 (P < .01), respectively.

### 4. Discussion

Reduction in the RER at peak (despite significant increase in exercise parameters) and improvement in the time to AT suggest a postponed muscular anaerobic metabolism during exercise 6 months after CRT, in particular in responder subgroup.

It was clearly confirmed that patients suffering from heart failure have muscular dysfunctions leading to an early anaerobic metabolism with a high production in carbon dioxide and a reduction in the oxygen consumption during exercise [15]. Skeletal muscle blood flow is limited in HF heart failure have muscular dysfunctions leading to an early anaerobic metabolism with a high production in carbon dioxide and a reduction in the oxygen consumption during exercise [15]. Skeletal muscle blood flow is limited in HF population probably due to a combination of low cardiac output and increased peripheral resistance as sympathetic tone overactivation and endothelial dysfunction leading to a reduction in muscular capillary density [16, 17].

### Table 1: Population characteristics before and after CRT.

|                        | Baseline       | 6-month followup | P   |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----|
| Subjects               | 50             |                  |     |
| Male                   | 38 (76%)       |                  |     |
| Female                 | 12 (24%)       |                  |     |
| Age, yrs               | 59 ± 12        |                  |     |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction, % | 24 ± 8        | 29 ± 7           | <.01|
| Etiology               |                |                  |     |
| Ischaemic              | 23 (46%)       |                  |     |
| Nonischaemic           | 27 (54%)       |                  |     |
| SBP at rest, mmHg      | 108 ± 18       | 107 ± 17         | >.05|
| SBP at peak, mmHg      | 131 ± 22       | 135 ± 28         | >.05|
| HR at rest, bpm        | 81 ± 18        | 73 ± 11          | <.05|
| HR at peak, bpm        | 116 ± 23       | 114 ± 27         | >.05|
| Peak of VO₂, ml/kg/min | 13 ± 4         | 16 ± 5           | <.05|
| VE/VCO₂ slope          | 45 ± 16        | 39 ± 13          | <.05|
| AT (seconds)           | 153 ± 82       | 245 ± 140        | .01 |
| AT VO₂                 | 10 ± 2         | 12 ± 4           | <.05|
| Peak CP, mmHg·ml/kg/min| 1805 ± 744     | 2225 ± 1071      | <.01|
| Maximal work load, Watts | 78 ± 28       | 86 ± 26          | <.05|
| Peak RER               | 1,16 ± 0,14    | 1,11 ± 0,07      | <.05|
| Peak respiratory rate, /min | 30 ± 8        | 27 ± 6           | .01 |
| Exercise duration, seconds | 406 ± 175   | 449 ± 164        | .01 |
| NYHA class             | 2,98 ± 0,35    | 1,88 ± 0,4       | <.01|
| Left ventricular end-systolic volume, ml | 157 ± 69 | 122 ± 55 | <.01|
| Left ventricular end-diastolic volume, ml | 205 ± 79 | 175 ± 71 | <.01|
| Mitral regurgitation, grade | 1,49 ± 1   | 1,12 ± 0,9       | <.05|
| QRS duration, ms       | 154 ± 35       |                  |     |
| Beta-blocker, %        | 95             |                  |     |
| Diuretic, %            | 83             |                  |     |
| Angiotensin-converting enzym inhibitor, % | 85          |                  |     |

AT: anaerobic threshold, CP: circulatory power, HR: heart rate, Ms: milliseconds, NYHA: New York Heart Association, RER: respiratory exchange ratio, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, VCO₂: carbon dioxide production, VE: minute ventilation, VO₂: oxygen consumption, and yrs: years.
There is also evidence of a reduced percentage of slow-twitch-type 1 fibres with high oxidative enzyme content and an increased percentage of type 2B fibres with high glycolytic capacity. In addition, previous trials confirmed a reduction in oxidative enzyme activity and in mitochondrial density and a phosphocreatine depletion leading to lactate accumulation. In response to metabolic distress in exercising muscle an exaggerated ergoreflex activation was found. This leads to excessive reflex sympathetic tone. Large previous trials confirmed an important ergoreflex overactivation in heart population correlated with exaggerated hyperventilation during exercise \[18, 19\]. This model represents the “muscle hypothesis.”

In our investigation, the ventilatory response evaluated by the linear regression slope relating the minute ventilation to the carbon dioxide production was significantly improved in particular in the responder subgroup as previously described \[20\]. In addition, heart rate at rest and peak respiratory rate were reduced after CRT implantation, in particular in the responder subgroup, suggesting a reduction in sympathetic tone. 95% of patients were treated with beta blockers before and after CRT without increase during followup. Heart rate reduction was previously described in a study by Wasserman et al. after CRT \(P < .001\) compared with baseline in the CRT-on group and \(P < .01\) in the CRT-off group \[21\]. The effects of long-term beta blockade were demonstrated in heart failure population with a reduction in both ventilatory parameters (peak minute ventilation, peak carbon dioxide production, and respiration rate) and haemodynamic parameters (LV ejection fraction, heart rate and blood pressure) \[22\].

In addition, left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction were improved as well as the peak circulatory power. This simple haemodynamic noninvasive parameter was described to be a close approach of the “cardiac power” (production of both the cardiac output and the main blood pressure) for the evaluation of the cardiac pumping function. The peak CP was measured by the product of the peak VO2 and the SBP at peak of the exercise as described previously. It was confirmed that the peak CP is a strong prognostic marker in heart failure population. It incorporates arteriovenous difference, heart rate, stroke volume, and blood pressure responses at peak of the exercise \[13\]. Haemodynamic condition was clearly improved after CRT implantation, in particular for the responder subgroup.

In the nonresponder subgroup, no significant improvement was found in the haemodynamic parameters (LV ejection fraction, peak circulatory power) associated with a nonsignificant improvement in both exercise capacity and ventilatory response. In addition, in this population no significant decrease in peak RER and in time to AT was found, suggesting a persistent early muscular anaerobic metabolism.

We suggest that CRT leads to an improvement in peripheral blood flow by better haemodynamic conditions. It could lead to a shift from fast-twitch-type 2B fibres to slow-twitch-type 1 fibres with an increase in oxidative metabolism, in mitochondrial density, and in oxygen consumption and in reduction in carbon dioxide production resulting in a postponed AT and in lower peak RER.

Muscular biopsies with mitochondrial density, oxidative enzymes, and capillary density measurements are needed to confirm our data. We could correlate biopsy results with ventilatory response and time to AT after CRT. The lack of histology support was the main limitation in our investigation. In addition, this prospective study was not a randomized control trial.

5. Conclusion

CRT improves haemodynamic condition and exercise capacity and reduces the ventilatory response during effort. In addition, CRT decreases the peak respiratory exchange ratio suggesting the possibility of increased efficiency of energy production in skeletal muscle with less anaerobic metabolism by a shift from a glycolytic to an oxidative metabolism. Link between the improvement in the peak RER, the ventilatory data, and the haemodynamic parameters suggests a reduction in the sympathetic tone explained by a decrease in the ergoreflex activity. But, large further studies are needed to confirm our data.
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