WDR26 is a new partner of Axin1 in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway
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The stability of β-catenin is very important for canonical Wnt signaling. A protein complex including Axin/APC/GSK3β phosphorylates β-catenin to be degraded by ubiquitination with β-TrCP. In the recent study, we isolated WDR26, a protein that binds to Axin. Here, we found that WDR26 is a negative regulator of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, and that WDR26 affected β-catenin levels. In addition, WDR26/Axin binding is involved in the ubiquitination of β-catenin. These results suggest that WDR26 plays a negative role in β-catenin degradation in the Wnt signaling pathway.
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Wnt signaling plays multiple important roles in disease and embryogenesis [1,2]. β-Catenin is a key component in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, and its stability and localization influence Wnt activity. Cytoplasmic levels of β-catenin are kept low, in the ‘Wnt off’ state, by a degradation complex that includes Axin, APC, and GSK-3β. CK1α initially phosphorylates β-catenin at serine 45 (S45) of the N-terminal region, and GSK-3β subsequently phosphorylates β-catenin at threonine 41 (T41), serine 37 (S37), and serine 33 (S33) [3]. The phosphorylated β-catenin is recognized and ubiquitinated at lysine 19 (K19) and lysine 49 (K49) by the ubiquitin E3 ligase, β-TrCP. The ubiquitinated β-catenin is degraded by the proteasome [4]. In the ‘Wnt on’ state, the Wnt ligand binds to Frizzled receptor and the LRP complex at the cell surface, which leads to the membrane recruitment and activation of scaffold protein, Dishevelled. Activated Dishevelled inactivates the APC/Axin/GSK-3 complex in the cytoplasm, thus reducing degradation of β-catenin. The stabilized β-catenin translocates to the nucleus and associates with TCF/LEF transcription factors to activate Wnt target genes [5].

Axin1 is a scaffold protein including a multidomain that has many functions in biological signaling pathways. Axin1 contains: a regulation of G-protein signaling (RGS) domain, which binds to APC, at the N-terminus; a dishevelled and axin (DIX) domain and a protein phosphatase 2 (PP2A)-binding domain at the C-terminus; and binding domains of GSK-3β and β-catenin in the center region [6,7]. In the canonical Wnt pathway, Axin1 is a component of the β-catenin
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destruction complex that negatively controls Wnt signaling. Axin1 down-regulates the amount of cytoplasmic β-catenin, to function as a tumor suppressor gene, and several mutations of Axin1 have been identified in tumor cell lines [8,9]. In Xenopus development, Axin1 functions as a centralizing gene [10]. However, in zebrafish development, Axin1 shows dorsalizing activity in the JNK-mediated signal pathway [11]. Thus, Axin1 is a multifunctional gene and its function is dependent on binding partners.

WDR26 contains several protein-interacting domains: the lis homology domain (LisH); the C-terminal to LisH motif (CTHL) domain; and a WD40 repeat domain [12]. A previous study suggested that WDR26 contributes to the MAPK signaling pathway [13]. In a study of chemoattractive migration of leukocytes, WDR26 binds to the Gβ-gamma complex and positively controls leukocyte migration [14,15]. However, there are no reports that WDR26 is associated with the Wnt signaling pathway. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, nine glucose-induced degradation-deficient (GID) genes (GID1–GID9) were isolated [16,17]. The GID complex, which comprises GID1–GID9 except for GID6, acts as a polyubiquitination enzyme in yeast. Eight vertebrate homologs that share high similarities of domain architecture to yeast GID complex genes have been identified [12]. The following are the yeast GID complex genes and their corresponding vertebrate homologs: GID1/RanBP9; GID2/Rmnd5; GID3/UBE2H; GID4/C17ors39; GID5/ARMc8; GID7/WDR26; GID8/TWA1; and GID9/MAEA [12]. Recent studies showed that RMND5 and ARMc8 promote ubiquitination in vertebrates [18,19], but it is still unknown whether other vertebrate homologs including WDR26 are associated with the ubiquitination pathway.

Previously, WDR26 is identified as an Axin1-binding protein [20]. In the present study, we found that WDR26, with Axin1, controlled β-catenin levels to negatively regulate the expression of Wnt target genes. We also found that the binding between Axin1 and WDR26 is necessary for the ubiquitination of β-catenin. These results reveal a function of WDR26 in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway.

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction

The human and Xenopus WDR26 and Axin1 were amplified by RT-PCR from cDNA templates prepared from HEK 293T cells and Xenopus embryos, respectively, and were subcloned into the pRK5 and modified pCS2+ vectors. Each truncated mutant was constructed by PCR and contained the following amino acid (aa) sequences. xWDR26-1: 1–283 aa, xWDR26-2: 1–434 aa, xWDR26-3: 97–611 aa, xWDR26-4: 284–611 aa, xWDR26-5: 435–611 aa, xWDR26-ΔLisH: 1–63 aa and 97–611 aa, xWDR26-ΔCTHL: 1–96 aa and 182–611 aa, xWDR26-ΔLisH-CTHL: 1–63 aa and 182–611 aa, xAxin1-1: 1–230 aa, xAxin1-2: 1–450 aa, xAxin1-3: 1–610 aa, xAxin1-4: 231–841 aa, xAxin1-5: 451–841 aa, xAxin1-6: 611–841 aa. FLAG tags were fused to the N-terminus of hWDR26 and β-catenin, and C-terminus of β-globin, xWDR26, and its truncated constructs. MYC tags were fused to the N-terminus of β-catenin and β-catenin, and C-terminus of hAxin1. HA tag was fused to N-terminus of ubiquitin.

Embryo handling and morpholino oligonucleotides

Capped mRNA were synthesized from linearized vectors using SP6 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and T7 (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) RNA polymerase. The morpholino oligonucleotides (MO) (Gene Tools, LLC, Philomath, OR, USA) used here were previously reported [21,22] and are as follows: 5'-CGCTGCCCCTAGCTGCATGTTA-3' (xWDR26-MO). The specificity of each MO was confirmed by its ability to inhibit the translation of FLAG-tagged mRNA containing the targeted site with or without five-mismatched sequences. MO (10 ng) and FLAG-tagged mRNA (100 pg) were coinjected with β-globin-FLAG mRNA (100 pg) as a loading control into the animal poles of four-cell stage embryos, and the injected animal caps were dissected at stage 10. Lysates from the animal caps were subjected to western blotting with an anti-FLAG antibody (M2, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Morpholino oligonucleotides, mRNA, and plasmids were injected into two animal dorsal blastomeres at the eight-cell stage for observation of embryo phenotypes and RT-PCR analysis, into four animal blastomeres for western blot analysis, or into two ventral blastomeres at the four-cell stage for RT-PCR analysis. The cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were prepared as described with modifications [23]. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis: Total RNA was prepared using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) from the injected region at the gastrula or neurula stage. cDNA synthesis was carried out using Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The sequences of the primer pairs were previously reported [24–26] and as follows: xWDR26: Forward 5'-ATGGCAACCTGCTTGACTCC-3'; Reverse 5'-ACAGTACTGGCTGCAAGACG-3'; hWDR26: Forward 5'-CCGGAACCTGCCTGGCTTC-3'; Reverse 5'-TGAACATCTCATCTGACTGG-3'; xAxin1: Forward 5'-CTTCTTGAGATTCTG-3'; Reverse 5'-CAGGTCTTTGGCCAAGCT-3'. xODC: Forward 5'-AACCACAGAGGGCAGACTA TC-3'; Reverse 5'-GTGGCGATGTAGGAAATGATC-3'. Xenopus embryonic ornithine decarboxylase (xODC) was used for normalization of cDNA samples.
**Results**

**Interaction between WDR26 and Axin1**

To identify novel proteins that bind to human Axin1 (hAxin1), we previously performed a high-throughput analysis of proteins that coimmunoprecipitated with hAxin1 in HEK 293 cells using direct nanoflow liquid chromatography-coupled tandem mass spectrometry [20]. We identified human WDR26 (hWDR26) as a candidate protein that binds to hAxin1. The interaction of ectopically expressed hWDR26 with hAxin1 was confirmed in HEK 293T cells (Fig. 1A). The endogenous interaction of hWDR26 and hAxin1 was also confirmed in HEK 293T cells (Fig. 1B). Amino acid sequences of both WDR26 and Axin1 are well conserved between vertebrate homologs, and an interaction between ectopically expressed *Xenopus* WDR26 (xWDR26) with *Xenopus* Axin1 (xAxin1) was also confirmed in HEK 293T cells (Fig. 1C). To determine the region in xWDR26 responsible for binding to xAxin1, several truncated mutants of xWDR26 were examined using coimmunoprecipitation assays. We found that the N-terminal region including LisH domain was responsible for binding to xAxin1 (Fig. 1D). Conversely, xWDR26 bound to Axin1 at the central region including GSK3β-binding domain (Fig. 1E).

**Expression patterns of xWDR26 during Xenopus embryogenesis**

To assess the possible function of xWDR26 in *Xenopus* embryonic development, we first examined the temporal and spatial expression patterns of xWDR26 by RT-PCR analysis and whole-mount *in situ* hybridization (Fig. 2A, B–I). We found that the expression of xWDR26 remained at a relatively constant level until stage 15, and tended to decrease slightly after stage 18 (Fig. 2A). Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization revealed that xWDR26 was expressed broadly until the gastrula stage (data not shown). Expression of xWDR26 was gradually localized to the neural region from the late gastrula (Fig. 2B) to the early neurula stage (Fig. 2C). In the late neurula and tadpole stages, xWDR26 was strongly expressed in the anterior neural region (Fig. 2D, E). These results suggest that xWDR26 might be involved in anterior formation in *Xenopus* embryos.

**WDR26 is involved in the canonical Wnt pathway**

To determine whether WDR26 is involved in the canonical Wnt pathway, we investigated the effects of knockdown of WDR26 on canonical Wnt activity. We first validated antisense morpholino oligonucleotides for xWDR26 (xWDR26-MO) in *Xenopus* embryonic cells and siRNA for hWDR26 in cultured cells (Fig. 3). Although xWDR26-MO inhibited the translation of FLAG-tagged mRNA containing the targeted site, the translation of FLAG-tagged mRNA containing five-mismatched sequences at the targeted site was not inhibited by xWDR26-MO (Fig. 3A). This result suggests that xWDR26-MO could be targeted to knockdown specifically xWDR26. The transfection of each si-hWDR26 RNA reduced hWDR26 mRNA expression (Fig. 3B). Since the knockdown by si-WDR26-3 RNA was slightly effective rather than other siRNA, we used si-WDR26-3 RNA for the following experiments. A reduction in Wnt activity in the anterior region is necessary for head formation in *Xenopus* development.
The injection of xWDR26-MO into dorso-animals blastomeres of eight-cell embryos reduced both head formation at the tadpole stage (Fig. 4A) and the expression of several neural marker genes at the neural stage (Fig. 4B, lane 1 and lane 2). The injection of xWDR26 plasmids containing the MO-targeted site with five-mismatched sequences slightly increased the expression of several neural marker genes, except for xRX-1 (Fig. 4B, lane 1 and lane 3). These reductions of head formation and neural marker gene expression by the knockdown of xWDR26 were partially rescued by coinjection of xWDR26 plasmids (Fig. 4A, B, lane 2 and lane 4).

When Xwnt-8 mRNA is injected into the ventral sides of four-cell embryos, the target genes of Wnt signaling are induced (Fig. 4C, lane 2 and lane 3). Ventral injection of xWDR26-MO tended to...
Fig. 2. Expression of xWDR26 during Xenopus embryogenesis. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR revealed temporal expression of xWDR26. Numbers under lanes indicate developmental stages; U, unfertilized eggs. The value obtained for xWDR26 was normalized to the level of xODC (ornithine decarboxylase). The value of unfertilized eggs was set to 100 and other values were computed. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean in three experiments. Statistical significances of xWDR26/xODC between unfertilized eggs and other stages were determined by Mann–Whitney U test. $P > 0.05$ (until stage 15, and stage 26), $P < 0.01$ (after stage 18, except for stage 26). (B–I) Whole-mount in situ hybridization. (B–E) Anti-sense RNA probe of xWDR26 cDNA fragment was used. (F–I) Sense RNA probe of xWDR26 cDNA fragment was used. (B and C) Expression of xWDR26 gradually localizes to anterior neural region (B, stage 12; C, stage 15). (D and F) xWDR26 is strongly expressed in the anterior neural region (D, stage 25; F, stage 30).
increase the expression of Wnt target genes that were induced by coinjection of Xwnt-8 mRNA (Fig. 4C, lane 3 and lane 4). Coinjection of xWDR26 mRNA containing the MO-targeted site with five-mismatched sequences tended to be restituted with the increasing of Wnt target genes by xWDR26-MO (Fig. 4C, lane 5 and lane 6). The coinjection of hWDR26 mRNA showed similar results to the coinjection of xWDR26 (Fig. 4D). The knockdown of hWDR26 by siRNA did not affect the expression of a Wnt target gene, Axin2, in the ‘Wnt off’ state (Fig. 4E, lane 1 and lane 2). This suggests that small amounts of WDR26 are enough to keep the ‘Wnt off’ state in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. However, the expression of Axin2 was increased in the ‘Wnt on’ state (Fig. 4E, lane 3 and lane 4). These results suggest that WDR26 contributes to the negative regulation of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway in the ‘Wnt on’ state.

**WDR26 affects on the stability of β-catenin**

The homolog of WDR26 in yeast, GID7, is a component of the GID complex that mediates polyubiquitination of proteins [16]. An increase in β-catenin protein in the cytoplasm is essential for canonical Wnt signaling [2]. We investigated whether WDR26 controls the amount of β-catenin protein. The expression of either human or Xenopus WDR26 reduced the amount of β-catenin protein in cultured cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A,B). The reduction of endogenous xβ-catenin protein in the cytoplasmic fraction was observed in xWDR26 mRNA-injected Xenopus embryonic cells (Fig. 5C). The injection of hWDR26 mRNA into Xenopus embryonic cells also reduced the amount of endogenous xβ-catenin protein in the cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 5D). The knockdown of hWDR26 by siRNA did not affect on the amount of endogenous hβ-catenin protein in cultured cells (Fig. 5E, lane 1 and lane 2). However, the amount of endogenous hβ-catenin protein was increased by the knockdown of hWDR26 in Wnt-stimulated cells (Fig. 5E, lane 3 and lane 4). Moreover, in Xenopus, the endogenous xβ-catenin protein tended to be upregulated by the knockdown of xWDR26 (Fig. 5F). We also confirmed that both knockdown and overexpression of WDR26 did not affect to the expression of β-catenin mRNA (Fig. S1A, B). Moreover, we investigated β-catenin stability by the cycloheximide chase assay. In results, the transfection of xWDR26 slightly promoted the degradation of β-catenin (Fig. 5G). These results suggest that WDR26 controls β-catenin protein stability in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway.

**Possible mechanisms of β-catenin degradation by WDR26**

We found that hWDR26 did not bind to hβ-catenin (Fig. 6A) although hAxin1 binds to both hWDR26 and hβ-catenin (Figs 1 and 6A and ref. [6]). This suggests that WDR26 controls the stability of β-catenin...
through binding with Axin1. To examine the binding site of WDR26 with Axin1 in detail, we made deletion constructs of xWDR26, removing either the LisH domain, the CTLH domain, or both domains (see Fig. 6B, upper). The CTLH domain-deleted construct bound to xAxin1, but the LisH domain-deleted and the LisH-CTLH domain-deleted constructs did not bind (Fig. 6B). The expression of Wnt target genes induced by ventral injection with xWnt-8 mRNA was decreased by coinjection with xWDR26 mRNA, but
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not by mRNA of the LisH domain-deleted construct (Fig. 6C). Moreover, the expression of the LisH domain-deleted WDR26 construct hardly reduces the amount of Wβ-catenin protein (Fig. 6D), suggesting that binding between WDR26 and Axin is necessary for β-catenin degradation. To confirm that WDR26 contributes to the ubiquitination of β-catenin, we investigated whether the ubiquitination of β-catenin is altered in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor, MG-132. First, we confirmed the ubiquitination of Wβ-catenin without the MG-132 treatment. Although the ubiquitination of Wβ-catenin was not increased by transfection of xWDR26 or xAxin1, both transfection of xWDR26 and xAxin1 slightly increased the ubiquitination of Wβ-catenin (Figs 6E and S2, lane 1 to lane 4). This suggests that the ubiquitinated Wβ-catenin could be immediately degraded via the ubiquitin proteasome pathway in the ‘Wnt off’ state. Under the MG-132 treatments, the ubiquitination of Wβ-catenin was only slightly increased by cotransfection of xAxin1 alone, but the cotransfection of both xWDR26 and xAxin1 highly increased the ubiquitination of Wβ-catenin (Figs 6F and S2, lane 5 to lane 8). We considered that the amount of β-catenin was reduced during 24 h before the treatments with MG-132 (see figure legends). These results suggest that WDR26 regulates the ubiquitination of β-catenin for its degradation, and that binding of WDR26 and Axin is important for this ubiquitination.

GSK-3β plays a key role in the β-catenin degradation, and xWDR26 bound to xAxin1 at the central region including GSK3β-binding domain (Fig. 1E). Therefore, we investigated whether GSK-3β affects on the function of WDR26 and the binding between WDR26 and Axin. The lithium chloride and SB216763 are GSK-3β inhibitors, and increased the stability of β-catenin (Fig. 7A, B, lane 1 and lane 3). However xWDR26 reduced the stability of β-catenin under these treatments (Fig. 7A,B, lane 3 and lane 4). This suggests that the function of xGSK-3β would be not related with the WDR26 function for the β-catenin stability. Also, xGSK-3β did not increase and decrease the association between xWDR26 and xAxin1 (Fig. 7C). These suggest that the mechanisms of degradation of β-catenin by WDR26 would be independent from the canonical degradation mechanisms by the APC/Axin/GSK-3β complex.

Discussion

In this study, we showed WDR26 is important for degradation of β-catenin in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway. In Xenopus development, the inactivation of the Wnt signal by antagonists such as DKK-1 and Cerberus is necessary for head formation [28,30]. Expression of xWDR26 is strongly localized to the anterior neural region after the neurula stage (Fig. 2D, E). Additionally, knockdown of xWDR26 in the anterior ectodermal region inhibited head formation, including eyes and marker genes (Fig. 4A,B). Moreover, Wnt target genes were increased by the knockdown of xWDR26 (Fig. 4D). These suggest that WDR26 acts as a negative regulator of Wnt signaling during embryogenesis.

Fig. 5. Effects of WDR26 to the stability of β-catenin. (A–B) Western blotting analysis of ectopically expressed β-catenin in HEK 293T cells. The amounts of transfected plasmids (hWDR26 and xWDR26) were indicated (0, 0.5, 1.5, 5 μg per dish). GAPDH was used as a loading control. (C–D) Western blotting analysis of endogenous Wβ-catenin in Xenopus embryonic cells. The amounts of injected mRNA (xWDR26 and hWDR26) were indicated (0, 250, 1000 pg per embryo). Cytoplasmic fractions of lysates extracted at the gastrula stage were used here. (E) Western blotting analysis of endogenous hβ-catenin in HEK 293T cells. si-hWDR26-3, an siRNA against hWDR26, was transfected into HEK 293T cells. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were stimulated with Wnt3A for 6 h. Relative intensity of each western blot (upper panel) was measured by ImageJ. The ratios of IP/Input of hβ-catenin/GAPDH were calculated and graphed. The value obtained for each hβ-catenin signal was normalized to the level of hGAPDH signal. The value of unstimulated control (lane 1) was set to 100, and other values were computed (other lanes). Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean in three experiments. Statistical significances of values among indicated lanes were determined by Mann–Whitney U test. P > 0.1 (between lane 1 and lane 2); P < 0.005 (between lane 1 and lane 3). P < 0.005 (between lane 3 and lane 4). (F) Western blotting analysis of endogenous Wβ-catenin in Xenopus embryonic cells. xWDR26-MO (40 ng) was injected into animal blastomeres of eight-cell embryos, and lysates were extracted at the gastrula stage. Wβ-catenin-MO was used as a negative control. Relative intensity of each western blot (upper panel) was measured by ImageJ. The ratios of IP/Input of Wβ-catenin/GAPDH were calculated and graphed (bottom panel). The value for Control-MO-injected embryos (lane 1) was set to 100, and other values were computed (other lanes). Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean in three experiments. Statistical significances of values among indicated lanes were determined by Mann–Whitney U test. P < 0.01 (between lane 1 and lane 2), P < 0.01 (between lane 1 and lane 3), and P < 0.01 (between lane 3 and lane 4). (G) Western blotting analysis of the stability of β-catenin in HEK 293T cells. xWDR26 was transfected at 24 h after transfection of Wβ-catenin. Five hours after the transfection xWDR26, cells were stimulated with cycloheximide (CHX) (20 mg·mL⁻¹) during indicated hours. We measured relative intensity of each western blot (upper panel) by ImageJ, calculated the ratios of β-catenin/GAPDH, and graphed (bottom panel). The value for cells untreated with cycloheximide was set to 100, and other values were computed (other lanes). Solid line indicates ratios of β-catenin/GAPDH (left panels) as control. Dotted line indicates ratios of β-catenin/GAPDH with xWDR26 transfection (right panels).
The degradation of β-catenin is regulated by the complex of Axin, APC, and GSK-3β in the 'Wnt off' state. The amount of β-catenin protein was reduced by the expression of WDR26 (Fig. 5A,B), although we did not confirm direct binding of WDR26 to β-catenin (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, the deleted construct of xWDR26 that did not bind to xAxin1, did not reduce significantly either the expression of Wnt target genes or β-catenin levels (Fig. 6C,D). Moreover, the coexpression of Axin1 and WDR26 increased the ubiquitination of β-catenin (Fig. 6E). These results suggest that the degradation of β-catenin by WDR26 requires
Fig. 6. Interaction between WDR26 and Axin1 is critical for β-catenin degradation. (A) Interaction between ectopically expressed hWDR26 and hβ-catenin in HEK 293T cells. As a positive control, the binding between hAxin1 and hβ-catenin was observed. (B) Interactions among ectopically expressed xAxin1 and truncated xWDR26 constructs. A schematic of xWDR26 and truncated constructs is indicated in the upper side. Asterisks indicate original size of each construct, and several bands of high molecular weight were observed. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of early dorsal Wnt target genes (xTwn, Siamois, xNnr3). xWDR26 or xWDR26-deltaLisH mRNA (500 pg) and xWnt-8 (0.5 pg) mRNA were ventrally coinjected. The value obtained for each Wnt target gene was normalized to the level of xODC. The value of Wnt target gene/xODC of only xWnt-8-injected embryos (lane 1) was set to 100, and other values were computed. Statistical significances of values among indicated lanes were determined by Mann–Whitney U test. P < 0.01: all Wnt target genes (between lane 1 and lane 2). P > 0.1: all Wnt target genes (between lane 2 and lane 3). P < 0.01: all Wnt target genes (between lane 1 and lane 3). (D) Western blotting analysis of ectopically expressed xβ-catenin in HEK 293T cells. The amounts of transfected plasmid, xWDR26-deltaLisH, were gradually increased (0, 0.5, 1.5, 5 µg per dish). (E and F) Western blotting analysis of ubiquitinated xβ-catenin in HEK 293T cells. At 24 h after transfection, cells were untreated (E) or treated with 10 µM MG-132 for 24 h (F). The HA-Ubiquitin was cotransfected to detect ubiquitinated xβ-catenin.
binding between WDR26 and Axin1, and WDR26 is a component of the β-catenin degradation complex. Axin1 was also expressed in the anterior neural region in Xenopus embryos (data not shown and ref. [31]), suggesting that WDR26 and Axin1 regulate anterior formation through their inhibitory effects in Xenopus development. A recent report indicated that oxidative stress induces apoptosis and regulates the expression of WDR26 in cardiomyocytes. Moreover, the expression of WDR26 inhibits apoptosis induced by oxidative stress [32]. However, overexpression and activation of β-catenin induces apoptosis during carcinogenesis and development [33–35]. In melanoma cells, Axin1 acts as a mediator of apoptosis with β-catenin activation and BRAF inhibition [36]. Taken together, these facts suggest that WDR26 and Axin1 play coordinating roles for the inhibitory effects through the degradation of β-catenin in Wnt signaling pathway.

Vertebrate β-catenin has 26 lysine residues, and each lysine position is well conserved. Recent studies indicated the presence of several ubiquitination pathways of β-catenin. β-TrCP ubiquitinates on lysine 19 and 49 of β-catenin in a phosphorylation-dependent manner, while Siah-1 ubiquitinates β-catenin on lysine 660 and 671 in the p53-dependent pathway [37,38]. Jade-1 ubiquitinates β-catenin in a similar phosphorylation-dependent manner to β-TrCP, but the ubiquitination by Jade-1 occurs in both ‘Wnt off’ and ‘Wnt on’ states [38,39]. However, it is known that ARMc8/GID5 and RMND5/GID2, predicted vertebrate homologs of the GID complex, contribute to the ubiquitination pathway. ARMc8 binds to α-catenin to target it for degradation by the proteasome [18], and RMND5 has an E3 ubiquitin-ligase activity and inhibits forebrain formation in Xenopus, like WDR26 [19]. Therefore, it is suggested that WDR26 forms a new ubiquitination pathway of β-catenin with vertebrate GID complex homologs. Further study will be needed to identify how WDR26 controls the ubiquitination of β-catenin.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.
Author contributions

HS and TN conceived and supervised the study; TG, JM, and SI performed experiments; TG and HS wrote the manuscript.

References

1 Logan CY and Nusse R (2004) The Wnt signaling pathway in development and disease. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 20, 781–810.
2 Clevers H (2006) Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. Cell 127, 469–480.
3 Liu C, Li Y, Semenov M, Han C, Baeg GH, Tan Y, Zhang Z, Lin X and He X (2002) Control of beta-catenin phosphorylation/degradation by a dual-kinase mechanism. Cell 108, 837–847.
4 Winer IS, Bommer GT, Gonik N and Fearon ER (2006) Lysine residues Lys-19 and Lys-49 of beta-catenin regulate its levels and function in T cell factor transcriptional activation and neoplastic transformation. J Biol Chem 281, 26181–26187.
5 Bienz M and Clevers H (2000) Linking colorectal cancer to Wnt signaling. Cell 103, 311–320.
6 Ikeda S, Kishida S, Yamamoto H, Murai H, Koyama S and Kikuchi A (1998) Axin, a negative regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway, forms a complex with GSK-3beta and beta-catenin and promotes GSK-3beta-dependent phosphorylation of beta-catenin. EMBO J 17, 1371–1384.
7 Kishida S, Yamamoto H, Ikeda S, Kishida M, Sakamoto I, Koyama S and Kikuchi A (1998) Axin, a negative regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway, directly interacts with adenomatous polyposis coli and regulates the stabilization of beta-catenin. J Biol Chem 273, 10823–10826.
8 Hart MJ, de los Santos R, Albert IN, Rubinfeld Band Polakis P (1998) Downregulation of beta-catenin by human Axin and its association with the APC tumor suppressor, beta-catenin and GSK3 beta. Curr Biol 8, 573–581.
9 Satoh S, Daigo Y, Furukawa Y, Kato T, Miwa N, Nishiwaki T, Kawasoe T, Ishiguro H, Fujita M, Tokino T et al. (2000) AXIN1 mutations in hepatocellular carcinomas, and growth suppression in cancer cells by virus-mediated transfer of AXIN1. Nat Genet 24, 245–250.
10 Itoh K, Krupnik VE and Sokol SY (1998) Axis determination in Xenopus involves biochemical interactions of axin, glycogen synthase kinase 3 and beta-catenin. Curr Biol 8, 591–594.
11 Rui Y, Xu Z, Xiong B, Cao Y, Lin S, Zhang M, Chan SC, Luo W, Han Y, Lu Z et al. (2007) A beta-catenin-independent dorsalization pathway activated by Axin/
JNK signaling and antagonized by aida. Dev Cell 13, 268–282.
12 Francis O, Han F and Adams JC (2013) Molecular phylogeny of a RING E3 ubiquitin ligase, conserved in eukaryotic cells and dominated by homologous components, the muskelin/RanBPM/CTLH complex. PLoS One 8, e75217.
13 Zhu Y, Wang Y, Xia C, Li D, Li Y, Zeng W, Yuan W, Liu H, Zhu C, Wu X et al. (2004) WDR26: a novel Gbeta-like protein, suppresses MAPK signaling pathway. J Cell Biochem 93, 579–587.
14 Sun Z, Tang X, Lin F and Chen S (2011) The WD40 repeat protein WDR26 binds Gbeta1 and promotes Gbeta1-dependent signal transduction and leukocyte migration. J Biol Chem 286, 43902–43912.
15 Runne C and Chen S (2013) WD40-repeat proteins control the flow of Gbeta signaling for directional cell migration. Cell Adh Migr 7, 214–218.
16 Santt O, Pfirrmann T, Braun B, Juretschke J, Kimmig P, Scheel H, Hofmann K, Thumm M and Wolf DH (2008) The yeast GID complex, a novel ubiquitin ligase (E3) involved in the regulation of carbohydrate metabolism. Mol Biol Cell 19, 3323–3333.
17 Menssen R, Schweiggert J, Schreiner J, Kusevic D, Reuther J, Braun B and Wolf DH (2012) Exploring the topology of the Gid complex, the E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in catabolite-induced degradation of gluconeogenic enzymes. J Biol Chem 287, 25602–25614.
18 Suzuki T, Ueda A, Kobayashi N, Yang J, Tomaru K, Yamamoto M, Takeno M and Ishigatsubo Y (2008) Proteasome-dependent degradation of alpha-catenin is regulated by interaction with ARM8alpha. Biochem J 411, 581–591.
19 Pfirrmann T, Villavicencio-Lorini P, Subudhi AK, Menssen R, Wolf DH and Hollemann T (2015) RMND5 from Xenopus laevis is an E3 ubiquitin-ligase and functions in early embryonic forebrain development. PLoS One 10, e0120342.
20 Iemura SI and Natsume T (2012) One-by-one analysis of signal transduction from activin receptor to JNK signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109, 19344–19349.
21 Goto T, Fukui A, Shibuya H, Keller R and Asashima M (2010) Xenopus furry contributes to release of microRNA gene silencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107, 19344–19349.
22 Goto T, Sato A, Adachi S, Iemura S, Natsume T and Shibuya H (2013) IQGAP1 protein regulates nuclear localization of beta-catenin via importin-beta5 protein in Wnt signaling. J Biol Chem 288, 36351–36360.
23 Shimizu K and Gurdon JB (1999) A quantitative analysis of signal transduction from activin receptor to nucleus and its relevance to morphogen gradient interpretation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96, 6791–6796.
24 Satoh K, Ohnishi J, Sato A, Takeyama M, Iemura S, Natsume T and Shibuya H (2007) Nemo-like kinase-myocyte enhancer factor 2A signaling regulates anterior formation in Xenopus development. Mol Cell Biol 27, 7623–7630.

25 Goto T, Sato A, Shimizu M, Adachi S, Satoh K, Iemura S, Natsume T and Shibuya H (2013) IQGAP1 functions as a modulator of dishevelled nuclear localization in Wnt signaling. PLoS One 8, e60865.

26 Shimizu M, Goto T, Sato A and Shibuya H (2013) WNK4 is an essential effector of anterior formation in FGF signaling. Genes Cells 18, 442–449.

27 Harland RM (1991) In situ hybridization: an improved whole-mount method for Xenopus embryos. Methods Cell Biol 36, 685–695.

28 Glinka A, Wu W, Onichtchouk D, Blumenstock C and Niehrs C (1997) Head induction by simultaneous repression of Bmp and Wnt signalling in Xenopus. Nature 389, 517–519.

29 Shibata M, Ono H, Hikasa H, Shinga J and Taira M (2000) Xenopus crescent encoding a Frizzled-like domain is expressed in the Spemann organizer and pronephros. Mech Dev 96, 243–246.

30 Semenov MV, Tamai K, Brott BK, Kühl M, Sokol S and He X (2001) Head inducer Dickkopf-1 is a ligand for Wnt coreceptor LRP6. Curr Biol 11, 951–961.

31 Hedgepeth CM, Deardorff MA and Klein PS (1999) Xenopus axin interacts with glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta and is expressed in the anterior midbrain. Mech Dev 80, 147–151.

32 Feng Y, Zhang C, Luo Q, Wei X, Jiang B, Zhu H, Zhang L, Jiang L, Liu M and Xiao X (2012) A novel WD-repeat protein, WDR26, inhibits apoptosis of cardiomyocytes induced by oxidative stress. Free Radic Res 46, 777–784.

33 Ahmed Y, Hayashi S, Levine A and Wieschaus E (1998) Regulation of armadillo by a Drosophila APC inhibits neuronal apoptosis during retinal development. Cell 93, 1171–1182.

34 Wong MH, Rubinfeld B and Gordon JI (1998) Effects of forced expression of an NH2-terminal truncated beta-Catenin on mouse intestinal epithelial homeostasis. J Cell Biol 141, 765–777.

35 Damalas A, Ben-Ze’ev A, Simcha I, Shtutman M, Leal JF, Zhurinsky J, Geiger B and Oren M (1999) Excess beta-catenin promotes accumulation of transcriptionally active p53. EMBO J 18, 3054–3063.

36 Biechele TL, Kulikauskas RM, Toroni RA, Lucero OM, Swift RD, James RG, Robin NC, Dawson DW, Moon RT and Chien AJ (2012) Wnt/beta-catenin signaling and AXIN1 regulate apoptosis triggered by inhibition of the mutant kinase BRAFV600E in human melanoma. Sci Signal 5, ra3.

37 Liu J, Stevens J, Rote CA, Yost HJ, Hu Y, Neufeld KL, White RL and Matsunami N (2001) Siah-1 mediates a novel beta-catenin degradation pathway linking p53 to the adenomatous polyposis coli protein. Mol Cell 7, 927–936.

38 Tauriello DV and Maurice MM (2010) The various roles of ubiquitin in Wnt pathway regulation. Cell Cycle 9, 3700–3709.

39 Chitalia VC, Foy RL, Bacschmid MM, Zeng L, Panchenko MV, Zhou MI, Bharti A, Seldin DC, Lecker SH, Dominguez I et al. (2008) Jade-1 inhibits Wnt signalling by ubiquitylating beta-catenin and mediates Wnt pathway inhibition by pVHL. Nat Cell Biol 10, 1208–1216.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Fig. S1. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of hβ-catenin in HEK 293T cells. (B) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of xβ-catenin in Xenopus embryonic cells.
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