Confronting Challenges to Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* Vaccine Development
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The enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) are a diverse and genetically plastic pathologic variant (pathovar) of *E. coli* defined by their production of heat-labile (LT) and heat-stable (ST) enterotoxins. These pathogens, which came to recognition more than four decades ago in patients presenting with severe cholera-like diarrhea, are now known to cause hundreds of millions of cases of symptomatic infection annually. Children in low-middle income regions of the world lacking access to clean water and basic sanitation are disproportionately affected by ETEC. In addition to acute diarrheal morbidity, these pathogens remain a significant cause of mortality in children under the age of five years and have also been linked repeatedly to sequelae of childhood malnutrition and growth stunting. Vaccines that could prevent ETEC infections therefore remain a high priority. Despite several decades of effort, a licensed vaccine that protects against the breadth of these pathogens remains an aspirational goal, and the underlying genetic plasticity of *E. coli* has posed a fundamental challenge to development of a vaccine that can encompass the complete antigenic spectrum of ETEC. Nevertheless, novel strategies that include toxoids, a more complete understanding of ETEC molecular pathogenesis, structural details of target immunogens, and the discovery of more highly conserved antigens essential for virulence should accelerate progress and make a broadly protective vaccine feasible.

Keywords: adhesins, *Escherichia coli*, heat-labile toxin, vaccine, heat-stable toxin, diarrhea, global health, malnutrition

1 THE ETEC DISEASE BURDEN AND THE NEED FOR A VACCINE

Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) are ubiquitous pathogens in areas of the world where clean water and sanitation remain limited. Worldwide, ETEC are responsible for hundreds of millions of cases of diarrheal illness (1), disproportionately affecting young children under the age of five who have yet to become immune through prior exposure (2). ETEC remain a one of the principal causes of death due to diarrheal illness in young children in low-middle income countries (3). Although deaths from infectious diarrhea have declined over the past few decades due to introduction of oral rehydration and other measures, ETEC continue to exact a heavy toll in acute morbidity as well as associated sequelae that include malnutrition and growth stunting (4). Moreover, malnutrition
linked to ETEC is also associated with excess mortality beyond direct deaths from acute diarrheal illness (5, 6). Ideally, an ETEC vaccine would prevent both the acute illness as well as the attendant sequelae.

Notably, while ETEC are more prevalent among young children in LMICs, they are not limited to any particular age group and can be found globally. These pathogens are also a major cause of diarrheal morbidity in older children and adults of LMICs (7), and perennially the most common etiology of diarrhea in travelers to LMICs. Moreover, both sporadic cases (8) as well as multiple large scale outbreaks (9–16) are well-documented in the United States and other industrialized regions demonstrating that even where clean water and sanitation are widely available these organisms can be problematic.

Accurate estimation of ETEC disease burden is critical to future development of vaccines, as these estimates underly calculation of value assessments by the WHO and other agencies in prioritization of vaccines most likely to have a sustained impact on public health. Although, heterogeneity of disease burden estimates (1, 4, 17–19), can significantly confound cost-effectiveness estimates fundamental to setting vaccine development priorities, ETEC vaccines remain high priority targets of advisory groups including the World Health Organization (WHO) Product Development Vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) (20).

2 ETEC MOLECULAR PATHOGENESIS

2.1 Classical Paradigm for ETEC Pathogenesis

The discovery of toxin-producing *E. coli* in patients presenting with clinical cholera-like diarrheal illness (21–23) soon led to the discovery of the responsible cholera toxin-like heat-labile toxin (LT) and heat-stable (ST) enterotoxins as well as the first plasmid-encoded fimbrial antigens, known as colonization factors (CFs) (24). Early controlled human infection studies demonstrated that a plasmid-cured version of ETEC (H10407-P) was avirulent when compared its parent (H10407) provided additional validation of the importance of CFs (25). From these early discoveries a model of ETEC pathogenesis evolved in which ETEC colonized the small intestine using CFs to support the delivery of enterotoxins that drove diarrhea. Although to date, a wide variety of plasmid encoded CFs and colonization surface (CS) antigens (more than 25 to date) have been discovered, the basic archetypical model of ETEC molecular pathogenesis stood.

2.2 Contribution of Noncanonical Antigens to ETEC Virulence

A potential pitfall of this limited view of virulence is that it potentially constrains ETEC vaccinology around a subset of canonical antigens, the CFs and toxins. A number of recent studies suggest that this classical paradigm for ETEC molecular pathogenesis is incomplete, and that additional molecules could potentially be targeted to expand and complement the existing antigenic repertoire.

Two plasmid-encoded antigens identified in the course of studies to identify novel molecules that are either surface-expressed or secreted by ETEC, now appear to play important roles in molecular pathogenesis. Both molecules are relatively conserved within the ETEC pathovar, potentially attesting to their viability as vaccine targets and their importance for virulence. Of note, both antigens were discovered on the same CFA/I-encoding plasmid [which also encodes a copy of STh (26)] that was cured in the H10407-P strain, indicating that multiple virulence factors were eliminated with CFA/I in preparation of this isolate.

The first of these, EatA, is a member of the serine protease autotransporters of *Enterobacteriaceae* (SPATE) family. EatA (27), a close homologue of SepA, a major secreted protein discovered in *Shigella flexneri* (28), appears to function primarily to degrade MUC2 mucin (29) secreted by goblet cells of intestinal epithelia, potentially overcoming the mucin barrier to accelerate access of the bacteria to the epithelial surface, and promote successful delivery of both enterotoxins.

A second secreted molecule which appears to be exclusive to ETEC is encoded by the *etpBAC* locus of three plasmid-borne genes that result in production of a two-partner secretion system in which EtpB corresponds to an outer membrane transporter for EtpA, a secreted adhesin that is glycosylated by the EtpC glycosyltransferase (30). EtpA appears to act by serving as molecular bridge between the bacterial surface and its flagellar appendages (31) and GalNAc-containing host cell glycans including those present in mucin (32) and the human A blood group (33).

Both EtpA and the 110 kd secreted EatA passenger domain are recognized during the course of natural and experimental controlled human infections. Both proteins are immunogenic in preclinical vaccine animal studies and antibodies against these proteins impact small intestinal colonization in mice (29, 34–37), as well as bacterial adhesion and toxin delivery in vitro. Other than LT and ST, these proteins appear to be the most highly conserved virulence proteins specific to the ETEC pathovar, and are widely distributed in a global collection of strains from diverse geographic sources (38–41). In a recent analysis of a birth cohort of young children in Bangladesh followed from birth to 24 months of age, both proteins were highly associated with symptomatic infection (42). Conversely, acquisition of antibodies to either protein was associated with asymptomatic intestinal colonization. The preponderance of evidence now suggests that these more recently discovered proteins play vital roles in the molecular pathogenesis of ETEC, although additional studies will be required to examine their role as protective antigens.

A third antigen, YghJ (SslE), is encoded on the chromosome of multiple ETEC isolates immediately upstream from the type II secretion system that is responsible for export of both LT and YghJ (43). YghJ degrades intestinal mucins to promote access of bacteria to intestinal epithelial cells promoting toxin delivery (44). YghJ is highly conserved, is not specific to the ETEC pathovar, and is found in extraintestinal *E. coli* (ExPEC) as...
well as some commensal isolates, including the well characterized Nissle 1917 strain. It appears to play important roles in biofilm formation and intestinal colonization in murine models of infection, where it has also been shown to be effective as a protective antigen (45, 46).

### 3 IMPACT OF GENOMICS AND IMMUNOPROTEOMICS ON ETEC VACCINOLOGY

*E. coli* in general exhibit remarkable genetic plasticity with an “open” pangenome, such that each sequenced genome results in the identification of approximately 300 unique genes (47), potentially posing a challenge to development of a broadly protective vaccine. The diversity of ETEC is further reflected in the multiple O and H serotypes that express one or both enterotoxins suggesting that the plasmids encoding ETEC virulence factors are widely distributed in an otherwise diverse population of *E. coli* (48, 49). However, the availability of hundreds of ETEC genomes has recently permitted an unprecedented ability to assess antigenic conservation across a wide variety of isolates from disparate sources (50). In addition, databases that incorporate hundreds of genomes are now easily accessible through online data visualization tools to facilitate comparison of bacterial genomes and associated metadata (51). Data for more than 1000 sequenced ETEC genomes and their associated metadata appear here: https://microreact.org/project/2ZzaHzeXbMEw9U2MAk7pK?tt=cr. Despite the extraordinary heterogeneity of ETEC genomes, a number of recent studies now suggest that successful lineages of ETEC share common virulence plasmids (41, 50, 52), and a finite number of potential surface-expressed antigenic targets restricted to ETEC (53) that might be exploited to complement canonical approaches to vaccine development (38, 40, 54).

Access to multiple genomes has also accelerated the advancement of ETEC proteome microarrays that include hundreds of antigens that can be screened with convalescent sera or following vaccination. Exploitation of these microarrays can further inform vaccine antigen selection by highlighting candidate immunogens. Arrays developed recently used reverse vaccinology pipelines to identify candidate surface antigens common to large numbers of ETEC but absent from sequenced commensal or laboratory isolates of *E. coli*. Notably, even with an open-aperture approach that encompasses many potential as well as known surface protein use of these arrays has revealed that the ETEC-specific immunoproteome is restricted to a finite number of surface antigens with a surprisingly small number of molecules that are recognized during the course of infection (42, 55, 56). As prior infection with ETEC is associated with protection, these studies can likewise highlight potential protective antigens. Indeed, using these platforms to compare responses to vaccination with the live-attenuated ETEC ACE527 vaccine to those following infection with ETEC revealed responses unique to infection that were absent following vaccination (56).

### 4 CORRELATES OF PROTECTION FOLLOWING ETEC INFECTION AND VACCINATION

#### 4.1 Immunity Following Natural and Experimental Human Infection

The incidence of ETEC diarrhea among children in LMICs peaks before 2 years of age (2) with subsequent declines thereafter such that the bulk of symptomatic infections occur in children under the age of 5 years (57). At present there is no clear mechanistic correlate of protection following ETEC infection (58–60), and studies vary with regard to the overall impact of prior infection (61, 62) or infection with strains of particular toxin/CF profiles and protection against symptomatic diarrhea with strains of the same profile (59, 63, 64). Notably, homologous re-challenge with the same strain following controlled human infection typically results in robust protection, while rechallenge with a different strain has not (65), suggesting that homotypic immunity develops following an initial ETEC infection, while heterotypic immunity may be limited.

#### 4.1.1 The Importance of Memory

Although secretory IgA directed at ETEC virulence factors is widely thought to be important, sustained protection likely requires development of effective B cell memory responses (66, 67). Studies in human volunteers further also suggest that a subset of CD4 cells, α4β7-expressing circulating antigen-specific T follicle helper cells home to gut associated lymphoid tissues to interact with B cells to elicit B memory responses (68). Here, higher levels of α4β7 expression were associated increased antigen-specific IgA B cell memory and with lower stool volumes in volunteers following challenge.

Likewise, in naturally infected Bangladeshi adults ETEC infections were associated with specific memory B cell responses to colonization factors and heat labile toxin (69). These responses were associated with development high avidity antibodies to these antigens suggesting that they may participate in neutralizing anamnestic responses.

Similar memory responses can be elicited by vaccination with the oral whole-cell killed ETEC vaccine ETVAX. Studies in human volunteers demonstrated that re-administration of the ETVAX more than one year later elicited substantial increases in antibody lymphocyte supernatant (ALS) IgA directed at CFs and LT, evidence that the vaccine induced sustained mucosal immunological memory (70). As seen with infection with wild type ETEC, vaccination with ETVAX also led to increases in circulating activated T follicular helper cells (cTfh) that correlated with vaccine-specific ALS IgA production (71), suggesting that measuring these cells could serve as an effective markers of vaccine “take” and prediction of long term mucosal memory responses.

#### 4.1.2 The Impact of Repeated Natural Infection in Endemic Regions

In contrast to travelers, children in LMICs are repeatedly exposed to ETEC, potentially providing a strong and repetitive
antigenic stimulus following initial exposure. Repeated symptomatic infections in this population (2) make it clear that natural infection does not necessarily induce sterilizing immunity, although re-exposures in highly endemic environments may elicit anamnestic responses that sustain protection following vaccination.

4.1.3 Protection Following Vaccination
As data from cohort studies in which children were followed from birth to the age of 24 months demonstrate that symptomatic re-infection with ETEC strains expressing the same colonization factor (CF) is not common (2), most vaccines have attempted to elicit vaccine responses to CFs (72–74) and to correlate mucosal responses to the targeted antigens to protection. While precise mechanistic correlates of protection are not evident from studies to date, comparison of immune profiles generated during controlled human infection model (CHIM) studies (55) to vaccination can be instructive. Proteome microarray profiling of immune responses to the live attenuated ETEC vaccine ACE527 with or without the mucosal adjuvant dmLT (LT R192G/L211A) revealed that both ACE527 (protective efficacy, PE~27%) (75) and ACE527 + dmLT (PE~66%) (76) elicited similar responses to canonical antigens targeted in the vaccine (CS1,CS2, CS3, CS5, CS6, CFA/I, LT-B), yet failed to elicit responses to other antigens, including EtpA and flagellin, recognized following challenge with wild type ETEC, which affords almost complete protection on homologous re-challenge (55, 56). Although clinical trials of ETEC vaccines have attempted to correlate responses to colonization factors and LT with protection, the studies of ACE527 highlight difficulties inherent in attempting to mimic the complex and multifaceted responses to infection that ultimately result in protection.

4.1.4 Cytokine Responses to ETEC Infection
Controlled human infection model (CHIM) studies have provided some insight into the nature of cytokine responses related to acute ETEC infections. In volunteer studies, serum levels of IL-17 and interferon-γ increase significantly in subjects with moderate-severe diarrheal illness (77). In the gut IL-17 is produced by a variety of cell types, including Paneth cells which reside at the base of the crypts in the small intestine. Here, IL-17 plays a critical role in maintaining mucosal integrity, in promoting release of antimicrobial compounds including defensins, and in stimulating pro-inflammatory cytokines (78). Importantly, LT has been shown to induce production of IL-1β and IL-23 secretion by dendritic cells which then promote antigen-specific IL-17 and interferon-γ production in CD4+ T cells. A mixed Th1/Th2 response accompanied by robust Th17 induction and migration of gut homing α4β7-expressing lymphocytes account for the potent adjuvant activity of LT (79). The B-cell help provided by IL-17 promotes germinal center formation (80) and elicits production of secretory IgA at mucosal surfaces to neutralize the pathogen and/or its toxins. Incorporation of dmLT or other adjuvants that can recapitulate responses to the native toxin will likely be important in achieving robust and sustained mucosal protection against ETEC (81).

5 VACCINE PIPELINE
A number of candidate vaccines ETEC based on the canonical virulence paradigm have progressed to clinical investigation. Each of these is designed to target multiple CF/CS antigens ± LT (Table 1).

5.1 Cellular Vaccines Based on the Classical ETEC Pathogenesis Paradigm
All ETEC vaccines to enter clinical testing thus far are centered on the classical paradigm for ETEC virulence and attempt to interrupt intestinal colonization by engendering responses to select colonization factor (CF or CS) antigens and LT. These have taken the form of mixtures of whole cell killed (91, 92), or live-attenuated (75, 76, 93) ETEC strains engineered to express one or more CF/CS antigens. Most studies indicate that multiple CF/CS antigens would be needed to afford broad coverage in an ETEC vaccine although when combined they could cover ~3/4 of all isolates expressing the most common colonization factors (94), and 1/4 of more of the strains lack one of the established, previously characterized CF/CS antigens (54, 95–98).

ETVAX is a whole-cell inactivated mixture of ETEC strains developed at the University of Gothenburg to target major CF/CS antigens (CFA/I, CS3, CS5, CS6) and includes a novel LT toxoid LCTB in addition to the double mutant LT adjuvant, dmLT. In a randomized placebo-controlled double-blind phase 1/II dose escalation study in Bangladeshi children 6–59 months of age, the vaccine was generally safe and the majority of vaccine recipients mounted significant mucosal IgA responses against each of these antigens (73), suggesting that it could effectively prevent infection in a major target population.

ETVAX has also been studied (phase 2b) in Finnish travelers to Benin, West Africa where there was an appreciable attack rate of ETEC diarrhea, and ETEC featured prominently in (~75%) cases of severe diarrhea (>16 loose stools/24 hours). In vaccine responders the protective efficacy was ~52%, and far fewer vaccine recipients required antimicrobial treatment to mitigate severe illness suggesting that the vaccine was generally effective (20, 99).

ACE527 is an orally administered vaccine mixture of three live-attenuated strains engineered to express CFA/I and CS1-CS3, CS5, and CS6 in addition to the B subunit of heat-labile toxin (LT-B) (93, 100). Controlled human infection model studies of ACE527 demonstrated that when given alone, it failed to significantly protect against more severe forms of diarrhea upon challenge with ETEC H10407, although it did reduce colonization (75). In contrast, addition of dmLT to ACE527 resulted in marked decrease in shedding of the H10407 challenge strain commensurate with a significant increase in protective efficacy (66%) against H10407 challenge (76). Subsequent protein microarray studies undertaken to examine differences in immune responses to wild type H10407 relative to ACE527 and ACE527 adjuvanted with dmLT revealed that the vaccine with or without dmLT engendered comparable immune responses to target canonical antigens, but that immune responses to potentially protective novel antigens including the EtpA adhesin, the YghJ metalloprotease, and flagellin were...
markedly diminished or absent relative to wild type H10407 challenge (56). While ACE527 is not currently under further development, these studies importantly emphasized responses following ETEC infection that are distinct from vaccination and which may be critical for efficient protection.

A second live-attenuated platform under development by the Center for Vaccine Development (CVD) at the University of Maryland relies on heterologous presentation of ETEC antigens to cover CS1-3, CS5, CS6, CS14 and CFA/I (102). This strain has been engineered to be safe and immunogenic in phase 1 clinical studies (NCT01531530) (101). This strain has been engineered to express CFA/I and the A2 and B subunits of LT (LThA2B) (NCT01147445 oral dose escalation. NCT01739231. NCT02052934 (sl dmLT). NCT02531685. NCT02531802, NCT03729219. NCT03548064 oral, sublingual, intradermal in Bangladesh. NCT01382095. NCT01922856. NCT03404674 cssBA. NCT01644565.

### 5.2 Acellular Subunit Candidates

#### 5.2.1 Development of Toxoids

Successful delivery of ETEC ST and LT enterotoxins is the sine qua non of ETEC molecular pathogenesis. Theoretically, interruption of any step which mitigates toxin binding to the respective epithelial cell surface receptors, including toxoids, could be exploited in development of a vaccine. Indeed, toxoids form the basis for a number of licensed subunit childhood subunit vaccines against toxigenic bacterial pathogens including diphtheria, and pertussis, suggesting that this is a viable approach. Similarly, there is ample reason to hope that toxoids against both LT and ST could form the basis of a broadly protective vaccine strategy.

LT is inherently immunogenic, and most studies have demonstrated that there is a robust response to the toxin following infection. Studies of the oral whole-cell cholera vaccine combined with cholera toxin (CT) B subunit (Dukoral) in travelers demonstrated significant cross protection afforded by the antigenically similar CT B subunit against LT+ ETEC (103), providing enthusiasm for targeting LT. Subsequent studies of LT transdermal delivery via patch demonstrated efficacy in mitigating the severity and duration of diarrheal illness following controlled clinical challenge with a strain that produces both LT and ST (104). Likewise transdermal delivery of LT demonstrated some efficacy in lessening the severity of traveler’s diarrhea caused by strains that produced LT, and overall in preventing more severe forms of diarrheal illness of all causes (105). In a larger phase III study in travelers, protective efficacy (~61%) was limited to strains which produced LT only (106). Altogether, these results suggest that direct targeting of LT using some version of LT toxoid would at least provide some protection against LT-producing ETEC, although other antigens would almost certainly be required for broad protection (107).

The double mutant LT(R192G/L211A) form of LT (dmLT) (Figure 1A) retains ADP-ribosylating activity and the respective epitopes, and it has been demonstrated that toxoids against both LT and ST could form the basis of a broadly protective vaccine strategy. Indeed, toxoids form the basis for a number of licensed subunit childhood subunit vaccines against toxigenic bacterial pathogens including diphtheria, and pertussis, suggesting that this is a viable approach. Similarly, there is ample reason to hope that toxoids against both LT and ST could form the basis of a broadly protective vaccine strategy.

LT is inherently immunogenic, and most studies have demonstrated that there is a robust response to the toxin following infection. Studies of the oral whole-cell cholera vaccine combined with cholera toxin (CT) B subunit (Dukoral) in travelers demonstrated significant cross protection afforded by the antigenically similar CT B subunit against LT+ ETEC (103), providing enthusiasm for targeting LT. Subsequent studies of LT transdermal delivery via patch demonstrated efficacy in mitigating the severity and duration of diarrheal illness following controlled clinical challenge with a strain that produces both LT and ST (104). Likewise transdermal delivery of LT demonstrated some efficacy in lessening the severity of traveler’s diarrhea caused by strains that produced LT, and overall in preventing more severe forms of diarrheal illness of all causes (105). In a larger phase III study in travelers, protective efficacy (~61%) was limited to strains which produced LT only (106). Altogether, these results suggest that direct targeting of LT using some version of LT toxoid would at least provide some protection against LT-producing ETEC, although other antigens would almost certainly be required for broad protection (107).

The double mutant LT(R192G/L211A) form of LT (dmLT) (Figure 1A) retains ADP-ribosylating activity and the respective epithelial cell surface receptors, including toxoids, could be exploited in development of a vaccine. Indeed, toxoids form the basis for a number of licensed subunit childhood subunit vaccines against toxigenic bacterial pathogens including diphtheria, and pertussis, suggesting that this is a viable approach. Similarly, there is ample reason to hope that toxoids against both LT and ST could form the basis of a broadly protective vaccine strategy.

LT is inherently immunogenic, and most studies have demonstrated that there is a robust response to the toxin following infection. Studies of the oral whole-cell cholera vaccine combined with cholera toxin (CT) B subunit (Dukoral) in travelers demonstrated significant cross protection afforded by the antigenically similar CT B subunit against LT+ ETEC (103), providing enthusiasm for targeting LT. Subsequent studies of LT transdermal delivery via patch demonstrated efficacy in mitigating the severity and duration of diarrheal illness following controlled clinical challenge with a strain that produces both LT and ST (104). Likewise transdermal delivery of LT demonstrated some efficacy in lessening the severity of traveler’s diarrhea caused by strains that produced LT, and overall in preventing more severe forms of diarrheal illness of all causes (105). In a larger phase III study in travelers, protective efficacy (~61%) was limited to strains which produced LT only (106). Altogether, these results suggest that direct targeting of LT using some version of LT toxoid would at least provide some protection against LT-producing ETEC, although other antigens would almost certainly be required for broad protection (107).
immunogenicity of the parent molecule and exhibits potent mucosal adjuvant activity for co-administered antigens, making it an ideal component of ETEC vaccines (81). dmLT has proven to be safe when administered either orally (83), via sublingual route (84), or parenterally. Doses of 50-100 µg are well-tolerated orally, and elicit significant mucosal antibody responses against LT (83). Encouraging data from both preclinical and clinical studies have accelerated inclusion of dmLT in candidate vaccines, and the significantly enhanced protective efficacy observed on administration of dmLT with the live-attenuated ACE527 ETEC vaccine highlights its utility as a potent mucosal adjuvant (76).

Until recently, heat-stable toxins were not considered to be viable candidates for toxoid development for a number of reasons. First both versions of heat-stable toxin found in strains that infect humans, ST-H and ST-P, are small (19 and 18 amino acids, respectively) poorly immunogenic peptides (Figure 1B). In addition, both molecules bind to guanylate cyclase C (GC-C) on the surface of enterocytes where they act as molecular mimics for the peptides guanylin and uroguanylin which normally regulate fluid homeostasis in the human gastrointestinal tract. Indeed, the FDA-approved drug linaclotide, a close relative of ST-H, differs from the core region of the toxin by only a single amino acid (112), and is designed to exploit this mimicry in the treatment of chronic constipation and irritable bowel syndrome. Therefore, there was a legitimate concern that engendering antibodies against ST peptides would cross react with the endogenous peptide ligands and interfere with fluid homeostasis. This concern was substantiated in studies in which antibodies raised against STh or STp conjugates cross-reacted with both endogenous peptides (113, 114).

Detailed screening of a library of STh amino acid substitutions has however delineated mutations within STh such as A14T (Figure 1C) that exhibit substantially reduced toxicity and induce ST neutralizing antibodies (113, 115–117) which do not cross react appreciably with either of the endogenous GC-C agonist peptides. Similarly, presentation of STh (A14T) on the surface of self-assembling nanoparticles elicited robust ST neutralizing antibodies without substantial cross reaction with either guanylin or uroguanylin (118).

The promise of toxoids to afford protection is further highlighted by studies in which copies of mutant ST were genetically fused to LTA (R192G/L211A) and single copy of LT. Immunization of pregnant pigs with this construct adjuvanted with dmLT yielded substantial protection against diarrhea in suckling pigs following challenge with an ST-producing strain (85).

In summary, the current evidence suggests that both toxins could be effectively targeted to engender neutralizing antibody responses, interrupting a critical step in ETEC molecular pathogenesis. These collective advancements in development of toxoids for both ST and LT provide strong impetus for pursuing their development as protective immunogens.

5.2.2 Development of CF and CS Subunit Vaccines

Elegant structural studies of CF and CS biogenesis have informed the development of protective antigenic subunits (119). The most advanced of these, based on the donor strand complemented (dsc) tip adhesin structure of CFA/I, dscCfaE, was used previously to generate bovine immune colostral IgG antibodies that afforded robust passive protection against subsequent challenge with H10407 (120). This tip adhesin complex was subsequently tested for immunogenicity when delivered transcutaneously with mutant LT (R192G) via patch in human volunteers (87). Although these studies indicate that additional effort will be required for optimal delivery of the fimbrial tip adhesin complex (121), recent studies of
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dscCfaE genetically fused in a chimeric complex with the A2 subunit of cholera toxin and the B subunit of LT (dscCfaEsCTA2/LTB) resulted in substantial protection in an Aotus nancymae model of infection, following intradermal vaccination with mL(T(R192G)) (89).

Similar preclinical studies of CS6, an antigen present in ~ 1/5 of ETEC strains have been ongoing. CS6 is comprised of two major subunits CsaA and CsbB, and early results with recombinant CsaBA of CsaAB heterodimers suggest that it is possible to generate neutralizing antibodies to CS6 (88). A phase 1 safety and immunogenicity study of CsaBA + dmLT administered IM has been completed although data on protective efficacy is currently pending. Interestingly, however administration of hyperimmune bovine IgG against recombinant CS6 did not afford significant protection against moderate to severe diarrhea upon challenge with a well-characterized CS6-producing strain B7A (122).

A potential pitfall inherent in the adhesin subunit approach is that more than 25 distinct CF/CS antigens have been identified to date, complicating the path to a broadly protective multivalent vaccine. Although it remains to be seen to what degree broad-based protection can be achieved with a limited number of antigens, there is significant cross immunologic reactivity of class 5 fimbrial tip adhesins (72, 123, 124).

Development of a multiepitope fusion antigen (MEFA) that incorporates epitopes from multiple antigens represents an attempt to overcome valency thresholds that would be required to achieve broad protection. The most recent iteration of the MEFA platform incorporates ST-LT fusion toxoid molecules combining both anti-adhesion and toxoid approaches (125). Notably, this latest iteration of MEFA was protective in a porcine challenge model suggesting that the toxoid induces effective neutralizing antibodies.

### 5.2.3 Non-Canonical Antigen Subunits

A potential complementary approach to achieving broad coverage against a diverse population of ETEC would be to target other surface-expressed “non-canonical” antigens (i.e., other than the CF/CS antigens and toxins) that could be targeted to mitigate effective toxin delivery. Expanded genomic, immunoproteomic, molecular pathogenesis, as well as clinical investigation efforts have highlighted several antigens including the EtpA adhesin, the EtaA mucinase, and the YghJ (SsLE) metalloprotease that have not traditionally been targets for ETEC vaccines.

The relative conservation of each of these antigens within the ETEC pathovar, their immunogenicity during natural (42) and experimental human infections (55), and molecular pathogenesis studies all suggest that these antigens, which have not been targets of ETEC vaccines to date, play important roles in ETEC infection. Nevertheless, additional effort will be needed to accelerate their implementation in future iterations of ETEC vaccines.

Interestingly, although these antigens have demonstrated some efficacy in animal models of infection (29, 37), it is not currently known whether any of these molecules (all of which are secreted from ETEC) would have been retained in whole cell vaccines such as ETVAX which have reached advanced stages of development. Notably, genes responsible for secretion of EtpA were inadvertently deleted in construction of ACE527, likely in engineering of the parent strains to remove toxin genes (93, 100), and each of the resulting vaccine strains were immotile potentially impacting efficacy of relative to challenge with wild type bacteria (56).

### 6 CHALLENGES FOR DEVELOPMENT

The Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals of the WHO has published “Preferred Product Characteristics” to guide development of ETEC vaccines designed for target populations in LMICs (126). In this context, a vaccine that is affordable and that significantly reduces mortality and morbidity associated with moderate to severe diarrhea among children under the age of 5 years in LMICs is the primary aspirational goal.

A number of challenges present barriers to achieving this goal. These include lack of broadly applicable testing to assess disease burden, particularly in remote areas where incidence may be quite high; lack of clear correlates of protection; as well as animal models that do not completely recapitulate human disease necessitating a reliance on controlled human infection models (CHIM) (127) testing for accurate prioritization and down-selection of vaccine candidates.

Affordability will undoubtedly play an essential role in guiding global vaccine value assessments for vaccine prioritization. The complex nature of ETEC and the underlying genetic plasticity of *E. coli* could impede progress toward development toward a broadly protective vaccine suitable for deployment to LMICs.

The extraordinary CF/CS structural and antigenic diversity poses a substantial challenge to vaccine approaches exclusively centered on these antigens. Antibodies that recognize several closely related CF adhesin molecules may have functional activity restricted to a single antigen (123), highlighting difficulties inherent to practical development of a vaccine solely based on CFs.

Additional effort will therefore be needed to define complementary strategies. Advanced development of hybrid toxoids that protect against ST and LT, a more complete understanding of ETEC molecular pathogenesis that intensifies focus on more highly conserved virulence features, particularly those which play a role in development of more severe forms of illness (42), and elucidation of the cellular events underlying ETECs relationship to sequelae including stunting and malnutrition may be needed to inform the construction of a truly affordable and broadly protective vaccine.
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