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SUMMARY

Ammonia is one of the most important bulk chemicals in modern society. However, the highly energy-intensive contemporary industrial production of ammonia was developed in the early 20th century and requires extensive heating of highly pressurized flammable hydrogen gas, whose global production still relies heavily on non-sustainable petroleum. The development of “sustainable” nitrogen fixation process represents a grand aspirational chemical pursuit concerning our future human well-being. Herein, we report an ultra-stable nitride-based photosensitizing semiconductor that enables efficient, sustainable, and mild photochemical nitrogen fixation. The catalyst exhibits strong chemisorption of nitrogen and enables immediate electron donation from its surface vacancy to nitrogen. In addition, it was also demonstrated that the nitride-based semiconductor possesses the potential to minimize electron-hole recombination.

INTRODUCTION

The highly efficient and low-temperature fixation of elemental nitrogen (N2) into ammonia (NH3) is a highly desirable process concerning the well-being for the entire humanity. The process utilizes and transforms extremely abundant atmospheric N2 to produce various important products such as clean fuels, fertilizers, pharmaceuticals, pesticides, explosives, dyes, bleaches, and rocket propellants (Vitousek et al., 1997). However, the stable N=N triple bond in N2 renders extreme chemical inertness. Up to now, the predominant method for N2 fixation relies heavily on the Haber-Bosch process, developed a century ago, and requires harsh reaction conditions of 500–600 °C, 20–50 MPa, and excessive flammable hydrogen (H2) gas. Such process directly consumes more than 1% of the world’s annual energy supply (Appl, 2000). Furthermore, due to the impractical energy requirement of the traditional electric water-splitting process, H2, as the necessary feedstock for current N2 fixation industries, is still predominantly produced from non-renewable fossil resources (Ogden, 1999). Although the development of photochemistry in recent years has been enabling N2 fixation at ambient conditions (Chen et al., 2018), using photoexcited electrons generated from photosensitizing semiconductor catalysts such as TiO2 (Bourgeois et al., 1988; Hirakawa et al., 2017; Hoshino, 2001; Ileperuma et al., 1990, 1993; Palmisano et al., 1988; Ranjit et al., 1996; Schrauzer and Guth, 1977; Soria et al., 1991; Zhao et al., 2014) (including its derivatives such as IIA-oxide-TiO2 ternary compound) (Hao et al., 2016; Li et al., 1983; Oshikiri et al., 2014; Rusina et al., 2001), bismuth oxide (Bai et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2015, 2016; Wang et al., 2017a, 2017b), CdS (Banerjee et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2016c; Khan et al., 1983; Khan and Rao, 1990; Liu et al., 2016; Miyama et al., 1980; Sun et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016), and carbonaceous materials (such as graphene (Lu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017), diamond (Zhu et al., 2013), and graphitic carbon nitride (Cao et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2016c, 2017; Liang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017a, 2017b)), still these catalysts either give highly limited N2 conversion rate (even with large excessive N2 flowing of >1 L·min⁻¹) or pose stability problems and undergo photo-self-decomposition during reaction (Hoshino, 2001). Such dilemma has been keeping recent N2 fixation researches from gaining further practical impact. Therefore, an innovative design that offers the solution and inspires the potential photo-fixation advancement toward a more sustainable future remains highly desirable.

Ever since the first single-crystalline growth in 1969 (Maruska and Tietjen, 1969), nitride semiconductors, represented by gallium nitride (GaN), have attracted much research attention for their extreme stability (melting point >2,500 °C), which at the same time enables more than six magnitudes of voltage carrying...
enhancement and dissipation inhibition compared with conventional oxide semiconductors (Pearton, 1997). With those superior properties, the nitride semiconductor is believed to serve as the semiconductor of the future (Akasaki, 2002). We have demonstrated the superior performance of GaN and its derivatives to carry out highly efficient catalytic photo-water-splitting (Fan et al., 2015; Kibria et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2018). In early 2017, we reported the preliminary results about GaN as an efficient photosensitizer to conduct ruthenium (Ru)-catalyzed photochemical N2 fixation, which achieved record catalytic conversion rate (Li et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the rate per gram of catalyst was still limited. In addition, the fixation still requires the flammable and non-sustainable H2 gas as a reductant with scarce noble metal as the catalyst. We then contemplated the feasibility to eliminate the use of hydrogen by using water as reductant to conduct highly efficient GaN-catalyzed N2 fixation. Herein, we would like to report photochemical N2 fixation using only N2 and water catalyzed by GaN nanowire (NW).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
Design and Density Functional Theory Calculation
The initial donation of an electron to the ground-state N2 (N2 + e− → N2−) serves as the rate-limiting step of the entire N2 fixation into NH3 (Bauer, 1960). However, a large reduction potential of ~4.2 V (versus normal hydrogen electrode) poses significant energy barrier. As an initial design, we envisioned that such energy barrier might be easily reduced by using materials that adsorb N2 on its surface and stabilize the generated N2− intermediate (N2 (gas) + e− + material → N2− (adsorbed)). The GaN NW was then chosen for its high surface activity and stability to serve as an ideal platform to test our hypothesis. We first performed systematic density functional theory (DFT) calculations to test the N2 adsorption characteristics on GaN NW surface (Figure 1, see Supplemental Information for calculation details). The calculation showed that the N2 molecules adsorb on the pristine GaN surfaces via physisorption. However, N vacancies, which have been suggested by many reports on epitaxially grown GaN surface even without further defect engineering process (Moustakas and Molnar, 1992; Kaufmann et al., 2000; Neugebauer and van de Walle, 1996; Hautakangas et al., 2003; Newman, 1997), can potentially enable strong chemisorption of N2. Illustrated in Figure 1, for the surfaces with N vacancies marked with red dashed circles, the N2 molecule prefers to adsorb at the vacancy site. One N atom (N1) attaches to the Ga atom (Ga1) with bond length of 2.27 Å as well as Ga2 and Ga3 atoms with a shorter bond length of 2.07 Å. The other N atom (N2) approaches the Ga atom (Ga2) of the adjacent dimer across the dimer row with a bond length of 2.23 Å. Such a strong bonding between N2 and the GaN surface results in an elongated bond length of N2 from the original 1.11 to 1.28 Å. The
weakened N1-N2 bonds suggest a significant activation of N₂ molecules upon chemisorption on the GaN surface with N vacancies. Differential charge density was plotted on the right side of Figure 1 to understand the bonding mechanism between N₂ and the GaN surface. There is a significant charge accumulation (indicated by the light orange color) around the nitrogen antibonding orbital and charge reduction (indicated by the light green color) near the Ga atoms. This implies electron donation from Ga to the antibonding orbital of N₂ and an ionic-like Ga-N bonding. In addition, for the N₂ molecule itself, substantial charge reduction was found between the N1-N2 bonds, which also contributes to the reason that the N1-N2 bonds are weakened and elongated significantly. As a consequence, the charge transfer activates the N₂ molecule upon the adsorption on GaN with N vacancies. The results of Bader charge analysis (Henkelman et al., 2006; Bader, 1985) before and after the adsorption provide a quantitative description of the charge changes (see Figure S4 and Table S3). Impressively, the N₂ molecule (in Figure 1) exhibited an electronegativity of 1.1080 e, in which N₁ and N₂ atoms obtained 0.7582 e and 0.3498 e, respectively, from the substrate (see Table S3). This indicates that one electron has been donated from GaN to N₂ upon its adsorption on the N vacancy of GaN, giving the critical N₂ intermediate. With light irradiation, this intermediate should be further reduced rapidly toward NH₃ by photoexcited electrons from GaN.

**Photo-Driven Nitrogen Fixation with Gallium Nitride Nanowire**

With the positive computational results, we began to grow the GaN NW by plasma-assisted molecular beam epitaxy on commercially available Si(111) wafer. The scanning electron microscopic result in Figure 2A clearly shows the NW arrays aligning vertically to the wafer. The transmission electron microscopic (TEM) result of a single NW demonstrates its size to be approximately 50–80 nm in diameter and 800 nm in height. We also used Si and Mg doping to generate the corresponding n- and p-type GaN NWs, as those dopants can significantly vary the semiconductor’s surface Fermi level, band bending, etc. Adapted from our previous report (Kibria et al., 2013), InGaN segment was also incorporated to the GaN NWs (InGaN/GaN) to examine the catalytic conversion rate under visible light.

The synthesized GaN NW was examined as the catalyst toward photochemical N₂ fixation using water in a 425-mL sealed quartz reactor under light illumination for 1 h (see Transparent Methods or Figure S1 in Supplemental Information for detail). To our delight, p-GaN NW gave 190 μmol·gₙ₋₁·h₋¹ catalytic conversion rate toward NH₃ (Figure 2B). Using the same deposition protocol, i-GaN NW gave 310 μmol·gₙ₋₁·h₋¹ rate. n-GaN NW gave an astonishing 450 μmol·gₙ₋₁·h₋¹ rate. Commercially available GaN powder gave a reduced rate of 170 μmol·gₙ₋₁·h₋¹. It was shown that the reaction did not give any product in dark. We also examined our reaction under visible light using a long-pass filter (>400 nm) applied to the light source under the same reaction conditions. It was shown that under this condition, the previously optimized n-GaN NW only gave a trace amount of product, whereas n-InGaN/GaN NW gave 340 μmol·gₙ₋₁·h₋¹ catalyst conversion rate. With the optimized catalyst in hand, we attempted to increase the NH₃ yield by prolonging the reaction time. However, the prolonged reaction time did not give significant increase in NH₃ yield (see Table S1), possibly due to the strong adsorption of NH₃ on GaN surface. We then attempted to recycle the used catalyst and repeat the reaction cycle with freshly loaded N₂ and distilled water. Surprisingly, the catalyst exhibited potent activity even after 13 consecutive cycles, with no further treatment applied to re-activate the catalyst between each cycle (Figure 2C, see also Figures S8–S10, Supplemental Information for detailed result of each cycle). The combined NH₃ yield reaches 5,700 μmol·gₙ₋₁. Providing the overall reaction Gibbs free energy change ΔG = +339 kJ/mol (Thacker et al., 1941) and the total power input E = 300 W x 3,600 s x 13, the overall energy efficiency was an astonishing NNH₃ · ΔG · E⁻¹ x 100% = 5%. To confirm the origin of nitrogen in the generated NH₃, ¹⁵N isotope labeling experiment was conducted. We first conducted the previously optimized reaction using ¹⁴N₂ (98% purity, purchased from Aldrich) instead of the normal ultra-high-purity ¹⁵N₂ with GaN grown under normal ¹⁴N₂. To our surprise, ¹⁴NH₃ was still generated as the main product (Figure 2D). It was not until the catalyst evacuated at high temperature and vacuum (>400°C under <5 x 10⁻² mbar for 12 h) before the reaction that ¹⁵NH₃ prevailed as the main product, indicating that the ¹⁴NH₃ product was mainly from the adsorption of atmospheric N₂ to the catalyst, and not from GaN decomposition. Intriguingly, this result shows an extraordinarily great adsorption tendency for N₂ on GaN NW surface, which is consistent with our initial DFT studies. To further examine the surface property of the GaN NW, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) study was conducted for the freshly synthesized catalyst sample (Figure 2E). The detection of a resonance peak at approximately 3,450 G is consistent with previous reports (Palczewska et al., 1998; Nonomura et al., 1996; Carlos et al., 1993) and therefore confirms the presence of vacancy defect. In addition, a much less significant EPR signal was obtained after treating the catalyst with N₂, indicating the interaction of the vacancy defect with N₂. Furthermore, a temperature
programmed desorption (TPD) study was conducted using freshly synthesized n-GaN NW (Figure 2F), which was placed under N2 at 45°C for 2 h before TPD. The result clearly shows evidence for N2 chemisorption of n-GaN NW surface and the accuracy of our DFT predictions. To examine the possibility for GaN decomposing, X-ray photoelectron spectrum was collected for the GaN NW before and after the reaction, showing the exact same binding energy on Ga3d band (see Figure S5), therefore indicating no decomposition in GaN.

**Effect of Ruthenium Deposition and the Selectivity Shift**

To see if the N2 fixation efficiency can be further enhanced by incorporating the GaN NW with co-catalyst such as ruthenium nanoparticle (Ru NP), which can significantly enhance the GaN-catalyzed N2 fixation

---

**Figure 2. Photochemical N2 Fixation Results**

(A) Microscopic identification of the GaN NW catalyst; (left) Transmission Electron Microscopy Image; (right) scanning electron microscopic image; inset, catalyst slice seen by the naked eye.

(B) GaN NW with different dopants under light illumination and with >400-nm filter, See also Table S1.

(C) n-GaN catalyst recyclability test, See also Table S1.

(D) 15N-labeling experiment result before (above) and after (below) removing adsorbents on the catalyst.

(E) EPR spectrum of the freshly synthesized and N2-treated n-GaN NW catalyst, acquired with microwave power of 20 dB at room temperature.

(F) Temperature programmed desorption of N2 on n-GaN NW.
Figure 3. Photo-production of Ammonia and Hydrogen by Ru@GaN and Characterization

(A) TEM identification of Ru@GaN NW; right image shows the zoom-in region of the square area of the left image, showing Ru NP; inset, particle size distribution.

(B) N2 fixation and HER results of Ru@n-GaN catalyst with different Ru loads, See also Table S2.

(C) Control experiments without N2 and with H2, See also Table S2.

(D) Temperature-controlled selectivity change for Ru@n-GaN NW, See also Table S2.

(E) Time-resolved photoluminescence result for n-GaN NW and Ru@n-GaN NW.
under $H_2$ by generating unique metal/semiconductor interfacial Schottky junction (Li et al., 2017), the incorporation of Ru was done by impregnating GaN NWs with a dilute solution of Ru$_3$(CO)$_{12}$ followed by gradually heating under vacuum to liberate the solvent and carbonyls (see Transparent Methods and Figures S2 and S3 in Supplemental Information for experimental details). The presence of Ru NP on GaN NW surface was confirmed by TEM result (Figure 3A), as extremely small clusters of <1 nm size even with 5 wt % Ru loading (versus GaN). However, the GaN-catalyzed $N_2$ fixation by water gave vastly different results compared with our previous $N_2$ fixation by $H_2$, as the incorporation of Ru NP decreased the $N_2$ fixation efficiency, whereas the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) was greatly promoted by the generation of excessive $H_2$ with Ru load reaching 5 wt % and over versus GaN (Figure 3B). This indicated that the Ru NP, although enriched with $e^-/C_0$ by the metal/semiconductor Schottky junction, serves as the site for HER rather than $N_2$ fixation, which is less energetically favored than HER (see Figure S6 for a proposed energy diagram). This is also consistent with our knowledge that GaN-catalyzed water splitting can be promoted by the incorporation of noble metal, which serves as the site for HER by donating photoexcited $e^-/C_0$ to $H^+$ (Fan et al., 2015; Kibria et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2018). As the same Ru@GaN catalyst giving 49 $\mu$mol hydrogen in the water-splitting test (note that no $NH_3$ is detected, Figure 3C), $N_2$ fixation control experiment with 49 $\mu$mol hydrogen instead of water also gave only negligible conversion rate, indicating that $NH_3$ was unlikely to be synthesized from in situ-generated hydrogen in Ru@GaN-catalyzed $N_2$ fixation by water. To further examine this process, parallel experiments were conducted using 5 wt % Ru@GaN under elevated temperature (Figure 3D). Increased $N_2$ fixation selectivity was observed as the temperature rises, with almost complete selectivity observed when the reaction was conducted at 90°C. This indicated that the $N_2$ fixation selectivity rises with enhanced thermal motion of carrier at elevated temperature, which inhibits photoexcited $e^-/C_0$ transfer from GaN and its vacancy, the suggested $N_2$ fixation site, to Ru NP, the suggested HER site. To further examine the $e^-/C_0$ transfer from GaN to Ru, time-resolved photoluminescence spectra were recorded for n-GaN NW and Ru@n-GaN (2.44 ns) was significantly reduced compared with that of n-GaN (31.83 ns) (Figure 3E). Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) for n-GaN and Ru@n-GaN also showed a greatly reduced semicircle in Nyquist plots for Ru@n-GaN compared with n-GaN (Figure 3F). Transient photocurrent spectra for the same catalysts again showed enhanced photocurrent for Ru@n-GaN (Figure 3G). These evidences clearly show the significant carrier-transfer from GaN NW to Ru NP at room temperature. Such efficient carrier-transfer is scarce among most photosensitizing semiconductors, as carrier-recombination is common and significant, especially for predominantly studied oxide-based semiconductors (Comer et al., 2018). Infrared (IR) spectra of Ru$_3$(CO)$_{12}$@GaN and Ru$_3$(CO)$_{12}$@TiO$_2$ clearly show a higher wave number for carbonyl stretch on TiO$_2$ compared with GaN (Figure 3H, see Figure S7 for full IR spectra), indicating a stronger electron withdrawing on Ru by TiO$_2$ compared with GaN, and hence a greater tendency for carrier-recombination for Ru@TiO$_2$ compared with Ru@GaN.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have demonstrated the ability of GaN NW as a powerful heterogeneous catalyst for photocatalytic $N_2$ fixation by water. We have demonstrated that the surfaces with N vacancy of wurtzite GaN NW photocatalysts are highly reactive with $N_2$ molecules and offer unique advantages for $N_2$ photoreduction. Different from GaN-catalyzed $N_2$ fixation by $H_2$, the incorporated Ru NP decreased the efficiency of GaN-catalyzed $N_2$ fixation by water, possibly by serving as the center for HER. We also demonstrated the ability for GaN as a nitride-based semiconductor to inhibit electron-hole recombination steps. Further development of the GaN NW as a photocatalytic nitrogen fixation catalyst toward more practical and industrialized process is already underway in our laboratory.

**Limitations of Study**

Owing to the limited experimental conditions and equipment, we were unable to test the direct growth of GaN NW inside the inner wall of large-scale reaction vessel. This experiment can bring the reported $N_2$ photo-fixation closer to industrialization, which is an appealing and highly interesting examination if successfully conducted.
METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.06.032.
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FIGURE S1. Experimental setup for 120 mL quartz chamber (above) and 425 mL quartz chamber (below), Related to Figure 2B-C.
FIGURE S2. A snapshot of GC-TCD peaks during gas sample measurement, showing H₂, N₂ peaks and their retention time (smaller O₂ peak in between was not identified by the instrument), Related to Figure 3B-D.

FIGURE S3. H₂ calibration curve (y = H₂ amount in μmol; x = GC peak area), Related to Figure 3B-D.
FIGURE S4. Geometric and electronic properties of N₂ adsorption on GaN (10\overline{1}0)-wurtzite with N vacancy. Left, top (upper) and side (bottom) view of the fully relaxed atomic structures of GaN (10\overline{1}0)-wurtzite. The position of N vacancy is marked with red dashed circle. Right, top (upper) and side (bottom) view of the fully relaxed atomic structures and differential charge density of N₂ adsorption on GaN (10\overline{1}0)-wurtzite with N vacancy. CA indicates charge accumulation while CR represents charge reduction. Isosurface contours of electron density differences are drawn at ±0.002 e/Å³. Related to Figure 1.
FIGURE S5. XPS Ga$^{3d}$ spectra of the GaN NW before and after N$_2$ photo-pixation, Related to Figure 2B.
FIGURE S6. Proposed energy diagram for the N$_2$ fixation vs water-splitting reactivity of Ru@GaN. The electron transfer from Ru to N$_2$ is less energetically favored compared with to H$_2$O. Related to Figure 3.
FIGURE S7. IR spectrum of Ru$_3$(CO)$_{12}$ deposited on TiO$_2$ (above) and GaN (below), Related to Figure 3H.
FIGURE S8. TEM image of GaN NW (left) and Ru@GaN NW (right) after photo-reaction, Related to Figure 2B-C and Figure 3B-D.

FIGURE S9. XPS full-spectrum of Ru@GaN NW after reaction, Related to Figure 3B-D.
FIGURE S10. XRD spectrum of Ru@GaN NW after photo-reaction, Related to Figure 3B-D.
TABLE S1. Results of the photo-driven N\textsubscript{2} fixation with GaN NW, Related to Figure 2B-C.

| Entry | Catalyst\textsuperscript{1} | NH\textsubscript{3} yield (μmol·g\textsubscript{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹) |
|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | p-GaN NW                    | 190                                             |
| 2     | i-GaN NW                    | 310                                             |
| 3     | n-GaN NW                    | 450                                             |
| 4     | GaN Powder                  | 170                                             |
| 5     | Si(111) Wafer               | not detected                                    |
| 6     | n-GaN\textsuperscript{2}    | Not detected                                    |
| 7     | n-GaN\textsuperscript{3}    | Not detected                                    |
| 8     | n-InGaN/GaN\textsuperscript{3} | 340                        |
| 9     | n-GaN\textsuperscript{4}    | 491                                             |
| 10    | n-GaN (2\textsuperscript{nd} cycle) | 462             |
| 11    | n-GaN (3\textsuperscript{rd} cycle) | 453             |
| 12    | n-GaN (4\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 453             |
| 13    | n-GaN (5\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 450             |
| 14    | n-GaN (6\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 446             |
| 15    | n-GaN (7\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 438             |
| 16    | n-GaN (8\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 444             |
| 17    | n-GaN (9\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 433             |
| 18    | n-GaN (10\textsuperscript{th} cycle) | 429             |
| 19    | n-GaN (11\textsuperscript{st} cycle) | 430             |
| 20    | n-GaN (12\textsuperscript{nd} cycle) | 425             |
| 21    | n-GaN (13\textsuperscript{rd} cycle) | 420             |

\textsuperscript{1}: Reaction condition: 425 mL quartz chamber, 16 mmol N\textsubscript{2}, 1.5 mL H\textsubscript{2}O, react for 1 h.
\textsuperscript{2}: Reaction was conducted without N\textsubscript{2}.
\textsuperscript{3}: > 400 nm long pass light filter was applied to the light source.
\textsuperscript{4}: Reaction was conducted for 12 h.
TABLE S2. Results of the photo-driven simultaneous N\(_2\) fixation and water-splitting with Ru@GaN NW, Related to Figure 3B-D.

| Entry | Catalyst\(^1\) | NH\(_3\) yield (\(\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\)) | H\(_2\) yield (\(\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\)) | O\(_2\) yield (\(\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\)) |
|-------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | 5\%Ru@p-GaN NW | 39                                              | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 2     | 5\%Ru@i-GaN NW | 121                                             | 71                                              | 90                                              |
| 3     | 5\%Ru@n-GaN NW | 182                                             | 283                                             | 230                                             |
| 4     | n-GaN NW        | 452                                             | trace                                           | trace                                           |
| 5     | GaN Powder      | 171                                             | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 6     | Si(111) Wafer   | not detected                                    | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 7     | 5\%Ru@Si(111) Wafer | not detected                              | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 8     | 5\%Ru@n-GaN NW\(^2\) | not detected                              | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 9     | 5\%Ru@n-InGaN NW\(^2\) | 86                                      | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 10    | 2.5\%Ru@n-GaN NW | 101                                             | not detected                                    | not detected                                    |
| 11    | 10\%Ru@n-GaN NW | 38                                              | 132                                             | 80                                              |
| 13    | 5\%Ru@n-GaN NW\(^3\) | 290                                  | 99                                              | 240                                             |
| 14    | 5\%Ru@n-GaN NW\(^4\) | 468                                  | trace                                           | trace                                           |

\(^1\): Reaction condition: 425 mL quartz chamber, 16 mmol N\(_2\), 1.5 mL H\(_2\)O, react for 1 h.
\(^2\): > 400 nm long pass light filter was applied to the light source.
\(^3\): Reaction was conducted at 50 °C.
\(^4\): Reaction was conducted at 90 °C.
TABLE S3. Geometry parameters, Bader charge analysis of N$_2$ adsorption on pristine m-plane of GaN nanowires and m-plane of GaN nanowires with N vacancy compared to N$_2$ in its isolated gas phase, Related to Figure 1.

| Species                        | Bond length (Å) | Bader Charge Variation (e) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
|                               | N1-N2 Ga1-N3/N4 | N$_1$ N$_2$ Total         |
| N$_2$                         | 1.11 -         | - 0 0                     |
| Pristine m-plane of GaN      | 1.11 1.91      | 0 0 0                     |
| m-plane of GaN with N vacancy| 1.25 2.09      | 0.2447 0.7400 0.9847      |
|                               | 1.28 2.01      | 0.7582 0.3498 1.1080      |
Transparent Methods

1. Growth of GaN and InGaN/GaN Nanowires.

The catalyst-free GaN and InGaN/GaN nanowires are grown on a Si (111) wafer using radio frequency plasma-assisted molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) in nitrogen rich conditions. The Si substrates were cleaned in clean room by absolute methanol, acetone, and essentially hydrofluoric acid prior of loading into the MBE system. Growth conditions: temperature ~750 °C, nitrogen flow rate 1 sccm, forward plasma power ~400 W. The as-synthesized nanowires can be doped with tetravalent (Si\(^{4+}\)) or divalent (Mg\(^{2+}\)) ions for making n- and p- type semiconductors, respectively. The doping density is controlled by tuning the effusion cell temperatures of Ge Mg and In. For n-type doping, the Ge effusion cell temperature is 1100 °C. For p-type doping, the Mg effusion cell temperature is 265 °C. For the incorporation of indium, the indium effusion cell temperature is varied from 705 °C to 795 °C. The electron and hole concentrations for the Ge-doped n-type and Mg-doped p-type GaN NWs were estimated to be on the order of \(n = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and \(p = 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), respectively. Other growth parameters were kept constant.

2. The deposition of Ru co-catalyst.

Ruthenium loading was conducted via the impregnation of as-synthesized GaN NWs (0.35 mg) with a solution of Ru\(_3\)(CO)\(_{12}\) in dry THF (1.5 mL), followed by the complete loss of carbonyl groups in vacuum under a temperature program of 2 °C min\(^{-1}\) up to 200 °C, held for one hour, then 1 °C min\(^{-1}\) up to 350 °C, held for two hours and then cooled to ambient temperature. The Ru content was further confirmed by using the XPS measurement before and after the decomposition process.

3. Photo-driven N\(_2\) fixation.

A slice of freshly-prepared GaN catalyst (equivalent to 0.35 mg GaN) was placed at the bottom of an airtight quartz reactor equipped with a vacuum hose (a holder was used to anchor the catalyst
and keep it under the light, see Figure S1 in Supplementary Information) with 1.5 mL distilled water added. The reactor (vol. 425 mL) was then frozen by liquid nitrogen, pumped down by oil pump, and thawed by warm water bath (Freeze-Pump-Thaw degassing, repeated for 5 cycles). 16 mmol of Ultra-High-Purity (UHP, 99.999 %) grade N₂ was then flushed into the reactor. The reactor was then partially submerged in a 25 °C chiller and illuminated by a 300w full-arch Xe lamp for 1 h. After illumination, the presence of ammonia was confirmed by both GC-MS and salicylate test using the reaction mixture in the reactor followed by colorimetric quantification using UV-Vis spectroscopy¹.

4. N₂ fixation by Ru@GaN.

A slice of freshly-prepared Ru@GaN catalyst (equivalent to 0.35 mg GaN) was placed directly at the bottom of an airtight quartz reactor containing 1.5 mL distilled water. The reactor (vol. 425 mL) was evacuated completely (Freeze-Pump-Thaw degassing, repeated for 5 cycles) before 16 mmol of Ultra-High-Purity (UHP, 99.999 %) grade N₂ was injected. The reactor was then partially submerged in a 10 °C chiller and illuminated by a 300w full-arch Xe lamp for 1 h. After illumination, the gas phase of the reactor was directly injected into gas chromatography (GC) equipped with a thermal conductivity analyzer to identify the gas product. The presence of ammonia was confirmed by both GC-MS and salicylate test using the reaction mixture in the reactor followed by colorimetric quantification using UV-Vis spectroscopy¹.

4. General Characterization.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded using Zeiss Nvision equipped with InLens detector at 5 kV. High resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained using FEI Titan HB at accelerating voltage of 200 kV and 100 pA beam current. The UV-vis absorption spectra were measured with a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was
performed on an ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with a monochromated X-ray source (Al Kαhν= 1486.6 eV). The energy scale of the spectrometer was calibrated using Au 4f7/2, Cu 2p3/2, and Ag 3d5/2 peak positions. The standard deviation for the binding energy (BE) values was 0.1 eV. Gas Chromatography (GC) was measured using Shimazu GC-8A Gas Chromatography. Infrared (IR) Spectrum was measured directly using powder sample by Bruker ALPHA FT-IR Spectrometer. NMR spectrum obtained in the isotope labeling experiment was measured by Bruker Ascend™ 500MHz using solvent suppression mode for 20000 scans. The identification of $^{15}$NH$_3$ and $^{14}$NH$_3$ was according to their different splitting pattern and coupling constant. The EPR study was conducted on Bruker Elexsys 580 FT/CW EPR Console.

5. Density functional theory calculation

Density functional theory calculations were performed using the generalized gradient approximation for the exchange-correlation potential, the projector augmented wave method and a plane-wave basis set as implemented in the Vienna ab-initio simulation package. The energy cut-off for the plane-wave basis was set to 600 eV for all relaxation, energy and electronic properties calculations. Two k-meshes of 3×3×1 and 4×4×1 and were adopted to relax and static calculations, separately, and the mesh density of k points was kept fixed when performing related calculations with primitive cells. In optimizing the system geometry, van der Waals (vdW) interactions were considered by the vdW-DF level with the optB86 exchange functional (optB86-vdW). For the calculation of N$_2$ adsorption on the GaN surface, six layers of Ga and N atoms and a 5 × 3 supercell were used. The molecule was put on one side of the slab with two different kinds of pseudo hydrogen atoms passivating the dangling bonds at the bottom of the slab. A dipole correction was made to get rid of the spurious interaction owing to the presence of non-equivalent surfaces. Calculations were performed with applying spin-polarization. All atoms except the bottom three layers were fully relaxed until the net force per atom was less than 0.001 eV·Å$^{-1}$.
Differential Charge Density (DCD) was adopted to qualitatively evaluate the charge density difference $\Delta \rho(r)$, which can be defined as follows,

$$\Delta \rho(r) = \rho_{ads+sub}(r) - \rho_{ads}(r) - \rho_{sub}(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\rho_{ads+sub}(r)$ represents the total charge density of the fully relaxed configuration of $N_2$ adsorption on GaN surface. $\rho_{ads}(r)$ and $\rho_{sub}(r)$ are the total electronic charge densities of the separated $N_2$ and GaN surfaces, respectively, which maintain the same geometrical structures as in the relaxed $N_2$ adsorption system. Accordingly, a positive or negative sign $\Delta \rho(r)$ can be used as an indication of whether the charges at that site are increasing or depleting.

6. Quantum efficiency calculation

Quantum efficiency is calculated based on the number of ammonia molecule generated and the photon absorbed per hour. Due to the presence of water on GaN surface, the reflection co-efficient of GaN, water, and the total number of photons between 200-365nm need to be considered. Based on the assumption in our previous study\textsuperscript{11}, the corresponding photon number on the size of our catalyst (approx. 2.8 cm$^2$) equals $1 \times 10^{18}$ s$^{-1}$. Therefore, the estimated quantum efficiency $\text{QE} \approx 10\%$.
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