Mutant huntingtin activates Nrf2-responsive genes and impairs dopamine synthesis in a PC12 model of Huntington's disease
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Abstract

Background: Huntington's disease is a progressive autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disorder that is caused by a CAG repeat expansion in the HD or Huntington's disease gene. Although micro array studies on patient and animal tissue provide valuable information, the primary effect of mutant huntingtin will inevitably be masked by secondary processes in advanced stages of the disease. Thus, cell models are instrumental to study early, direct effects of mutant huntingtin. mRNA changes were studied in an inducible PC12 model of Huntington's disease, before and after aggregates became visible, to identify groups of genes that could play a role in the early pathology of Huntington's disease.

Results: Before aggregation, up-regulation of gene expression predominated, while after aggregates became visible, down-regulation and up-regulation occurred to the same extent. After aggregates became visible there was a down-regulation of dopamine biosynthesis genes accompanied by down-regulation of dopamine levels in culture, indicating the utility of this model to identify functionally relevant pathways. Furthermore, genes of the anti-oxidant Nrf2-ARE pathway were up-regulated, possibly as a protective mechanism. In parallel, we discovered alterations in genes which may result in increased oxidative stress and damage.

Conclusion: Up-regulation of gene expression may be more important in HD pathology than previously appreciated. In addition, given the pathogenic impact of oxidative stress and neuroinflammation, the Nrf2-ARE signaling pathway constitutes a new attractive therapeutic target for HD.

Published: 9 October 2008

Received: 5 May 2008
Accepted: 9 October 2008

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2199/9/84

© 2008 van Roon-Mom et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Background

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a progressive autosomal dominant neurodegenerative disease caused by a CAG repeat expansion in the coding region of the HD gene, resulting in an expansion of polyglutamines at the N-terminus of the huntingtin protein and accumulation of the mutant protein into cytoplasmic and nuclear aggregates [1]. Larger expansions result in increased aggregation and an earlier onset of pathological and clinical features [2]. The formation of aggregates is considered a hallmark of HD [3,4]. The neuropathology of HD involves a selective dysfunction and death of specific neuronal subpopulations within the central nervous system [5].

Gene expression studies on animal model material and low grade patient material have provided important information on cellular processes and pathways involved in HD pathology [6,7]. Not only is there a loss of normal huntingtin function [8], upon expansion there is a toxic gain of function resulting in a disruption of cellular functions, including transcriptional deregulation, caspase activation and aberrant proteasomal processing [9]. In addition, an increase in reactive oxygen species was found.

Furthermore, the regional pattern of transcriptional pathology in humans is in agreement with the pattern of neurodegeneration [6]. The first detectable changes in gene expression in mice carrying the first exon of mutant huntingtin coincided with the first occurrence of pathological and behavioural changes [10], again underscoring the fact that gene expression is a good reflection of ongoing changes towards pathology. Furthermore, transcriptional changes, especially in the mouse models reflecting more advanced stages of the disease, were similar to the changes seen in human HD brain [7].

Although micro array studies on patient and animal tissue provide valuable information, the primary effect of mutant huntingtin will inevitably be masked by secondary processes in advanced stages of the disease. Thus, cell models are instrumental to study early, direct effects of mutant huntingtin containing aggregates) and 5 days (when nearly all cells expressing mutant huntingtin contain aggregates) [12]. The same culture conditions were used for PC12 cells without a construct, to eliminate the effect of doxycycline treatment on gene expression.

Hybridization design

For each construct, we performed duplicate experiments with 2 independent cell lines for each construct (biological replicates). Furthermore, from each cell line, two separate RNA isolations were performed (technical replicates). RNA was harvested prior to induction (day 0), after 24 hours of induction (day 1) and after 5 days of induction. RNA was isolated with the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with on-column DNase treatment. First-strand and second-strand cDNA synthesis from total RNA was performed and biotin-modified NTPs were incorporated into the in vitro transcribed cRNA with the Illumina TotalPrep RNA Amplification Kit (Ambion, Foster City, USA). This biotin modified cRNA was hybridized to the Illumina RatRef-12 Expression BeadChip array (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and labelled with Streptavidin-Cy3.

Statistical analysis

The average expression value of all beads per probe with no background correction was obtained from BeadStudio 3.1.7. Further data analysis was performed in ‘R’ version 2.4.1. [13]. Data were normalized with the VSN method using the VSN package for ‘R’ [14]. To establish significance of differential gene expression, we used the empirical Bayes moderated t-statistics implemented in LIMMA package version 2.8.1 for ‘R’ [15] and contrasts between Q23 and Q74 were calculated per time point. Results were adjusted for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Only probes were considered where the ratio on day 0 had a P-value of >0.05 and the ratio on day1 or day...
5 had a P-value of <0.05. Microarray data discussed in this work have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and are accessible through GEO series accession number GSE10581.

Functional classification

Functional classification was performed with the web based tool DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) [16]. This objective tool uses the one-tail Fisher Exact Probability Value for gene-enrichment analysis. Significant gene ontology terms for the Biological Process (BP), Cellular Component (CC) and Molecular Function (MF) ontologies were analysed. Transcripts that showed a significant increased or decreased differential expression at 1 day and 5 days were analyzed separately against a background list of all genes present on the platform. Only categories with a P-value < 0.05 containing two or more genes were considered.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Isolated total RNA, as described above, was also used for qRT-PCR. RNA was quantified spectrometrically and integrity was checked with the Agilent 6000 Nano Assay (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA). Reverse transcription of RNA was performed with the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). First Strand cDNA Synthesis was performed with 2 μl of total RNA and 2 μl of 50× diluted cDNA template, 2 μl of 5× qPCR-ßGO LC480 Green Mastermix (Roche) (with 12.5 mM MgCl2) and primers at a final concentration of 250 nM with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 amplification cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 20 s and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. After the amplification reaction melting curve analysis was performed, starting at 60°C and increasing to 98°C with 5 acquisitions/°C. Relative mRNA levels were calculated with the efficiency-corrected Ct method with β-actin and Ywhaz as reference genes and mRNA levels on day 0 (uninduced cells) as calibrator [17].

Immunohistochemistry

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and permeabilized in 50% methanol and 1% H2O2 for 20 min. After three washes in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 (PBS-Triton), cells were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti tyrosine hydroxylase (1:2000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., USA) in PBS-Triton with 1% normal goat serum and 0.4% Thimerosal (Sigma, St. Louis, USA). Cells were subsequently incubated with rabbit anti Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and examined on a Leica TCS SL confocal microscope.

Western blotting

Whole cell extracts (25 μg per lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Roche). Blots were labelled with rabbit anti Tyrosine Hydroxylase (sc-14007, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, USA) followed by goat anti rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz) in a 1:10,000 dilution and visualized by ECL plus (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Blots were stripped by incubating in stripping buffer (100 mM β-mercapto-ethanol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) for 30 min at 55°C and subsequently labelled for rabbit anti actin antibody 1:600 (clone C4, ICN Biochemicals Inc., Costa Mesa, USA) and goat anti rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz) to check that equal amounts of protein were loaded.

High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Whole cell extracts were prepared as described in the Western blotting paragraph for HPLC analysis. Extracts from 2 independent cell lines for each construct were frozen immediately at -20°C until further analysis. Dopamine concentration was measured by HPLC technology with electron capture detection with a detection limit of 0.01 nmol/L [18]. Dopamine concentrations are represented as μmol dopamine per gram of protein extract.

Results

On day 1 after doxycycline-mediated induction of the expression constructs, when aggregates had just started to form, there were 145 of the approximately 22,500 transcripts with a significantly changed ratio between Q23 and Q74 (P < 0.05 after correction for multiple testing). Of those, 97 showed an increased expression compared to Q23 containing protein, there were 1233 transcripts differentially expressed of which 573 transcripts were up and 660 were down. Of the 145 differentially expressed transcripts at day 1, there were 51 also differentially expressed at day 5, while 8 could be expected by chance alone. The other 95 returned to control levels at day 5.

Cellular processes changed before formation of aggregates

We were particularly interested in the molecular pathways changed in the early stages of HD. Therefore, we evaluated which pathways were represented in the list of transcripts.
with differential expression at day 1. Since there were no significant categories when GO-based functional classification was performed, all significantly changed transcripts at 1 day were grouped according to their function (see Table 1). Out of the 97 up-regulated transcripts, 54 were included in the analysis while the remaining 43 transcripts were of unknown function. Out of the 48 down-regulated transcripts, 39 were analyzed and there were 9 transcripts of unknown function. The largest functional group consisted of up-regulated transcripts coding for ion channels and receptors, with an over-representation of olfactory receptors. The next two largest groups contained transcriptional and chromatin remodelling related transcripts that were both up- and down-regulated. Transcripts involved in cytoskeletal organization and cellular matrix were mostly down-regulated in the mutant cells and there seemed to be early signs of oxidative stress and impairment in vesicle trafficking.

Table 1: Functional grouping of genes that show a significant change in expression between mutant and wild-type cells (Q74-Q23) after 1 day of induction

| Genes up after 1 day of mutant huntingtin expression | Genes down after 1 day of mutant huntingtin expression |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Apoptosis-related proteins** | **Cell cycle and growth factors** |
| Gstp1 XM_579338 | Igf2 NM_031511 |
| Tnaisp8 XM_225940 | Smnd3 NM_053605 |
| **Cell cycle and growth factors** | **Cell signalling** |
| Tgfa NM_012671 | Arl6 XM_344009 |
| **Cell signalling** | Pbx1b XM_236640 |
| Flrl2a XM_234361 | Cx3c1g XM_236640 |
| Rasrlb NM_001002830 | GAP1 (sim) XM_227132 |
| Rgs2 NM_053453 | Cx43 XM_236640 |
| **Chromatin remodelling – transcription** | **Chromatin remodelling – transcription** |
| Hoxb13 XM_220905 | Smad4 NM_019275 |
| LOC362865 XM_343194 | Cbx6a XM_257630 |
| Npas1 XM_238770 | Tcf2a XM_215670 |
| Hoxb9 XM_220887 | Prickle1 XM_235609 |
| **Cytoskeleton – cellular matrix** | **Cytoskeleton – cellular matrix** |
| Mybph NM_031813 | Epb4.1a XM_226060 |
| **Electron transport – mitochondrion** | RGD1310323 NM_001008348 |
| LOC293949 XM_215248 | Add3 NM_031552 |
| **Ion channels – receptors** | Plekhh1a XM_234332 |
| Ms4a8b XM_342026 | **Electron transport – mitochondrion** |
| Ccr7 NM_199499 | Dhrs4 NM_153315 |
| Trpv6 NM_053686 | **Ion channels – receptors** |
| Oir29 XM_001000691 | Ms4a11a XM_342028 |
| Oir52 XM_00100155 | LOC501049 XM_235603 |
| Oir175a XM_001000492 | Trpv2 NM_017207 |
| **Oxidative stress** | **Oxidative stress** |
| Blvra NM_053850 | Olr552 XM_220887 |
| **RNA processing and ribosomal** | **Vesicle trafficking** |
| Ear11 XM_138902 | Sec24d XM_227625 |
| Trim21a XM_219011 | Sylt4 XM_080410 |
| **Vesicle trafficking** | **Other** |
| Eml1a XM_343109 | Tekt2 XM_235790 |
| **Other** | Chst1 XM_235718 |
| LOC499985 XM_575339 | Mtoc5 XM_342794 |
| Smyd2 XM_213972 | Ldla2 NM_031342 |
| Tpbg NM_031807 | Pigl NM_138890 |
| C4.4a NM_021759 | LOC365544 XM_340807 |
| Abhd1 XM_001008250 | Herpud1 XM_053523 |
| Ppic NM_00104215 | LOC367880 XM_579653 |
| Aak1 XM_232172 | LOC367805 XM_346086 |
| PrsX8 XM_138366 | Xylt1 XM_341912 |
| LOC367332 XM_346086 | Cyp4a10 XM_153307 |

* predicted
**Cellular processes changed after formation of aggregates**

Results of the GO-based functional classification of transcripts with differential expression between Q23 and Q74 expressing cells 5 days after induction, are presented in Table 2. Only functional categories that were significantly overrepresented \((P < 0.05;\) hypergeometric test) compared to the full list of features present on the array are displayed. The most significant terms for the up-regulated transcripts were in the Biological Process (BP) category: negative regulation of dendrite morphogenesis and fibroblast proliferation, for the Cellular Component (CC) category: antioxidant activity and stress fiber, and for the Molecular Function (MF) category: electron transport activity and chemokine receptor binding. The most significant terms for the down-regulated transcripts were in the BP category: regulation of heart contraction and isoprenoid biosynthesis, for the CC category: endosome membrane and actin cytoskeleton, and for the MF category: double-stranded DNA binding and SH3/SH2 adaptor activity. Apoptosis related transcripts were expressed at higher levels in the Q74 cells, as were transcripts involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and electron transporter activity, and transcripts involved in antioxidant activity. This indicates an increased mitochondrial activity and a response to oxidative stress in our cell model that was already suggested at day 1. Actin and cytoskeletal-related transcripts were mostly decreased in expression in the mutant cell line compared to the wild-type cell line and there was a large transcription regulation component in the down-regulated transcripts. Cholesterol metabolism was also significant in the group of down-regulated transcripts, which is a pathway that was found in earlier gene expression studies in HD [19].

Besides these significantly changed GO terms, a closer examination of the array data revealed 5 transcripts of the TGF beta signalling pathway significantly down-regulated after 5 days (see Table 3) with the TGF beta transducer Smad4 already down-regulated after 1 day of mutant huntingtin expression. Two out of the eight Smad proteins involved in the TGF beta signalling pathway were down-regulated as well as TGF beta1.

**Dopamine biosynthesis critically affected**

Further examination of the dopamine biosynthesis pathway by qRT-PCR revealed 5 genes involved in the dopamine biosynthesis expressed at lower levels in the mutant cell line compared to the wild-type cells (see Figure 1A).

To analyze the effect of these mRNA changes on protein level, the TH protein was examined by immunohistochemistry on cultured cells revealing a diffuse cytoplasmic localization in the cells expressing wild type and mutant huntingtin but there was a marked decrease of TH fluorescence in mutant cells (see Figure 1B). This reduction in TH was confirmed by Western blotting of cell lysates from independent isolations and a representative result is shown in Figure 1C. This decrease in TH resulted in a significant decrease in dopamine levels after 5 days in the cells expressing mutant huntingtin as measured by HPLC (see Figure 1D).

**Oxidative stress**

Many oxidative stress-related transcripts were up-regulated and several classic Nrf2 (NF-E2 related factor 2/Nfe2l2) responsive transcripts and oxidative stress related genes (see Table 3) were differentially expressed after analysis of the gene expression array.

Nrf2-responsive genes have been identified in previous studies in cultures of primary cortical astrocytes and primary neuronal cultures and were classified as genes involved in the antioxidant and detoxification response, transcription, growth, inflammation and signalling pathways [20-22]. Interestingly, most Nrf2-responsive transcripts involved in detoxification and antioxidant/reducing potential were increased in expression in the present study, while Nrf2-responsive transcripts involved in transcription, growth, inflammation and signalling pathways were not changed or expressed at lower levels in the mutant cells. Subsequently, Nrf2-responsive genes involved in antioxidant/reducing potential as well as some non-Nrf2 responsive genes involved in protection against oxidative stress were further examined by qRT-PCR analysis. This analysis included additional oxidative stress related genes that were present on the microarray but where the change in gene expression did not reach statistical significance. Increased Nrf2-responsive transcripts that have a protective role in the metabolic detoxification of reactive intermediates are shown in Figure 2A. Nrf2-responsive genes that act as antioxidants and are reducing agents that prevent oxidative reactions that were expressed at higher levels in the mutant cell line are shown in Figure 2B. While most Nrf2-responsive genes were up-regulated, Hmox1, Taldo1 and Prdx6 were down-regulated.

Other transcripts, not identified as Nrf2- target genes, but important antioxidant enzymes involved in the protection against oxidative stress, that were also expressed at higher levels in the mutant cell line are shown in Figure 2C. Finally, several transcripts involved with electron transport activity were expressed at higher levels on the array in the cells expressing mutant huntingtin (see Table 3).

**Discussion**

To identify groups of genes that could play a role in the pathology of HD, we studied mRNA changes in an inducible PC12 HD model before and after aggregates became
Table 2: Functional classification analysis of genes changed significantly after 5 days of mutant huntingtin expression

| Functional Classification with genes that showed an increased expression after 5 days | Functional Classification with genes that showed a decreased expression after 5 days |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Ontology** | **Term** | **# genes** | **P value** | **Ontology** | **Term** | **# genes** | **P value** |
| BP | Negative regulation of dendrite morphogenesis | 3 | 0.004904 | BP | Regulation of heart contraction | 7 | 0.000823 |
| | Negative regulation of fibroblast proliferation | 3 | 0.004904 | | Isoprenoid biosynthesis | 4 | 0.003744 |
| | Cell adhesion | 16 | 0.009781 | | Galactose metabolism | 3 | 0.005365 |
| | Response to DNA damage stimulus | 8 | 0.011782 | | Angiogenesis | 9 | 0.007585 |
| | Positive regulation of caspase activity | 4 | 0.014506 | | Response to stress | 40 | 0.007784 |
| | Positive regulation of hydrolase activity | 4 | 0.019419 | | Neg. regulation of transcription from RNA polII promoter | 8 | 0.007866 |
| | Apoptotic nuclear changes | 3 | 0.021186 | | Cell motility | 18 | 0.008263 |
| | Mitochondrial membrane organization and biogenesis | 3 | 0.021186 | | Pos. regulation of transcription from RNA polII promoter | 10 | 0.010333 |
| | Metal ion homeostasis | 8 | 0.021801 | | Actin cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis | 10 | 0.011951 |
| | Carbohydrate biosynthesis | 6 | 0.023170 | | Blood vessel morphogenesis | 9 | 0.015755 |
| | Biopolymer metabolism | 38 | 0.024409 | | Cholesterol metabolism | 7 | 0.016064 |
| | Fibroblast proliferation | 3 | 0.026721 | | Glucose catabolism | 6 | 0.022220 |
| | Protein oligomerization | 4 | 0.035235 | | Histogenesis and organogenesis | 3 | 0.024619 |
| CC | Antioxidant activity | 5 | 0.015651 | | Regulation of neuronal synaptic plasticity | 4 | 0.029030 |
| | Stress fiber | 3 | 0.017610 | | Somitogenesis | 3 | 0.033500 |
| | Cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicle | 10 | 0.019229 | | Synaptic transmission, dopaminergic | 3 | 0.033500 |
| | Nuclear chromosome | 4 | 0.030424 | | cAMP metabolism | 4 | 0.039722 |
| MF | Electron transporter activity | 11 | 0.001023 | | Neurotransmitter transport | 6 | 0.046079 |
| | Chemokine receptor binding | 6 | 0.001069 | | Endosome membrane | 3 | 0.008890 |
| BP: Biological Process. | CC: Cellular Component. | MF: Molecular Function |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Metalloendopeptidase inhibitor activity | Actin cytoskeleton | 9 | 0.033881 |
| Selenium binding | Cell projection | 13 | 0.033985 |
| Protease inhibitor activity | Cytoplasmic membrane-bound vesicle | 12 | 0.038151 |
| GTPase activity | Mitochondrion | 24 | 0.043218 |
| Guanyl nucleotide binding | Spindle | 4 | 0.048198 |
| Zinc ion binding | Double-stranded DNA binding | 7 | 0.001838 |
| | SH3/SH2 adaptor activity | 5 | 0.004637 |
| | Oxidoreductase activity, acting on the CH-CH group of donors | 6 | 0.004683 |
| | Cell cycle regulator | 4 | 0.005046 |
| | Epidermal growth factor receptor binding | 3 | 0.023409 |
| | Protein phosphorylated amino acid binding | 3 | 0.023409 |
| | Actin binding | 10 | 0.026446 |
| | Monoamine transporter activity | 3 | 0.031878 |
| | SNAP receptor activity | 3 | 0.031878 |
| | Carbohydrate kinase activity | 4 | 0.031902 |
| | Transcriptional activator activity | 11 | 0.045287 |

244 genes included in analysis.

331 genes included in analysis.
visible. GO term analysis revealed many similarities with previous human and whole animal gene expression studies indicating that this model system is useful to study pathological markers for HD [6,7,23,24]. This is the first study to show the involvement of Nrf2-responsive genes in the oxidative stress response in HD. Oxidative stress related transcripts were altered in expression, suggesting a protective response in cells expressing mutant huntingtin at an early stage of cellular pathology. Furthermore, there was a down-regulation of dopamine biosynthesis resulting in lower dopamine levels in culture. Our results further demonstrate an early impairment of transcription, the cytoskeleton, ion channels and receptors.

Since it is not feasible to study early mRNA changes in humans and it is more difficult to detect mRNA changes in early stage mouse models of HD compared to later stages [10], cell models are important to study the early pathological cellular events in HD. Although cell models are a simplified representation of the disease, it is less likely that pathological markers are masked by secondary disease processes and heterogeneous cell populations. Notably, in the present study, the majority of transcripts that changed on day 1 showed an increase in expression, which is in contrast with previous studies where it was found that most transcripts were decreased in expression at the early stages in a mouse model of HD [7,23,24]. After 5 days of mutant huntingtin expression, more transcripts were significantly changed compared to 1 day, and the ratio of transcripts that increased to transcripts that decreased in expression was approximately 1:1, which is in agreement with previous findings [7]. This suggests that up-regulation of transcription may be more important in early HD pathology than previously appreciated. The relevance of studies on mRNA level for the identification of changes in biological processes is demonstrated for the dopamine biosynthesis pathway, for which we showed that a decrease in mRNA levels leads to concomitant changes in dopamine levels.

We found early signs of oxidative stress with a differential expression of mitochondrial, electron transport and oxidative stress related genes that show a significant change with microarray analysis after mutant huntingtin expression.

| Nrf2 responsive genes | Gene name | Accession# | Ratio day 0 | Ratio day 1 | Ratio day 5 | P value day 0 | P value day 1 | P value day 5 |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Detoxification        | Nqo1      | NM_017000  | -0.153161338| 0.09509419  | 0.9313329   | 0.833712475  | 0.927082425  | 0.000223519   |
|                       | Gsta4     | XM_217195  | -0.275666227| 0.314801025 | 0.41313618  | 0.253015701  | 0.120614239  | 0.006347434   |
|                       | Gstp2     | NM_138974  | 0.382499361 | 0.418277366 | 1.459294326 | 0.30465786   | 0.189513652  | 2.28E-07      |
| Antioxidant/reducing Potential | Gclc | NM_012815  | 0.050889992 | 0.064489288 | 0.553224444 | 0.935159305  | 0.921933889  | 0.00468569    |
|                       | Txnrd1    | NM_031614  | 0.043006071 | 0.068186563 | 0.416963883 | 0.927638988  | 0.85978218  | 0.000494611   |
|                       | Prdx6     | NM_053576  | 0.529393334 | -0.578113241| -0.680873497| 0.084587704  | 0.038158555  | 0.000314061   |
|                       | Taldo1    | NM_031811  | 0.009418008 | 0.032634451 | -0.23774271 | 0.986167462  | 0.947870016  | 0.026154523   |
|                       | Mel       | NM_012600  | -0.000528861| 0.013155505 | 0.269261838 | 0.998825938  | 0.98787689  | 0.021535996   |
| TGF beta signalling pathway | Bambi | NM_139082  | -0.039617538| -0.026338592| -0.864880442| 0.977785277  | 0.99018964  | 0.00336128    |
|                       | Smad4     | NM_019275  | -0.203348936| -0.40795009 | -0.373068895| 0.356782817  | 0.006017924  | 0.003298649   |
|                       | Map3k1    | NM_053887  | -0.299367815| -0.358857343| -0.690634303| 0.55056724   | 0.382654757  | 0.004166232   |
|                       | Tgfb1     | NM_021578  | -0.126020083| -0.16871098 | -0.366913617| 0.684930689  | 0.490885028  | 0.004166232   |
|                       | Madh7 (smad7) | NM_030858 | -0.264074403| -0.230874139| -0.588447764| 0.720614387  | 0.784042896  | 0.049139592   |
| Oxidative stress      | Epas1     | NM_032090  | -0.270174359| -0.478814403| -1.093139357| 0.688153639  | 0.259648489  | 0.000173915   |
|                       | Frd1      | XM_574642  | 0.131002147 | 1.066324378 | 0.387371459 | 0.560992815  | 0.35516774  | 0.000497262   |
|                       | Mx3       | NM_053968  | 0.41501471  | 0.340566393 | 1.552629091 | 0.456685437  | 0.588328185  | 4.64E-06      |
|                       | Gpx1      | NM_030826  | 0.118639332 | 0.007736138 | 0.307368335 | 0.5834878    | 0.99168688  | 0.02399689     |
| Electron transport activity | Nqo1 | NM_017000  | 0.153161338 | 0.09509419  | 0.9313329   | 0.833712475  | 0.927082425  | 0.000223519   |
|                       | Cyb561    | XM_221030  | -0.028644328| -0.034319208| -0.617267222| 0.977304962  | 0.978641469  | 0.003179802   |
|                       | Cxcl10    | NM_139089  | 0.11398034  | 0.222659258 | 1.175083864 | 0.855674156  | 0.616443814  | 1.06E-06      |
|                       | Phgdh     | NM_031620  | -0.019442985| 0.127790463 | 0.212753822 | 0.963270227  | 0.538218599  | 0.044174848   |
|                       | Akr1b4    | NM_012498  | -0.211752133| 0.205849841 | 0.441397541 | 0.661683708  | 0.680925818  | 0.037660927   |

▲: increased expression; ▼: decreased expression; underscore means P value < 0.05
Figure 1 (see legend on next page)
Figure 1 (see previous page)
Down regulation of the dopamine biosynthesis pathway in the PC12 model of Huntington’s disease. A: qRT-PCR was performed on samples from Q23 and Q74 cells for 0, 1 and 5 days. All values are expressed as relative mRNA levels compared to expression levels of uninduced cells. SPR: Sepiapterin reductase, GCH1: GTP cyclohydroxylase 1, TH: Tyrosine hydroxylase, DDC: Dopa decarboxylase, DBH: Dopamine β-hydroxylase. * Significant differences between the day 1 and 5 days; **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.005, ****p < 0.0005 student’s t-test. Significant differences between Q23 on day 1 and Q74 on day 1; + P < 0.05, ++P < 0.005 student’s t-test. B: Confocal microscopic images of Q23 and Q74 PC12 cells after 5 days of induction. Green represents huntingtin-GFP and red represents TH immunohistochemistry. In Q23 cells, both huntingtin and TH show a diffuse staining, while in Q74 cells, large aggregates are visible and a clear reduction of TH fluorescence. Scale bar = 25 μm. C: Western blot analysis on whole cell lysates of Q23 and Q74 cells with TH and β-actin as loading control. A clear reduction in TH level can be seen in the 5 day Q74 samples. D: HPLC measurements in whole cell lysates of Q23 and Q74 cells after 0, 1 and 5 days of huntingtin expression show a significant reduction in dopamine levels in the 5 day Q74 samples. (* P < 0.05, student’s t-test).

The oxidative stress related transcripts. The oxidative stress response became more obvious after 5 days where transcripts of the Nrf2-ARE pathway were expressed at higher levels in the cells expressing mutant huntingtin. Genes containing the antioxidant response element (ARE) can be activated by Nrf2 in response to oxidative stress [25] in what is called the antioxidant response pathway [26].

Although there has been extensive evidence for a role of oxidative stress early in HD pathogenesis [27] and an age-dependent induction of compensatory mechanisms in response to oxidative stress has been found in the R6/2 mouse model of HD [28], this is the first study implicating Nrf2-responsive genes. While the majority of Nrf2-dependent transcripts increased in expression after the induction of mutant huntingtin, there were two transcripts that showed a validated decreased expression. Thus, only a subset of Nrf2 responsive genes is altered, possibly due to a different combination of transcription factor binding sites [29].

Mitochondrial dysfunction possibly contributes to this oxidative stress, since this is thought to lead to decreased production of ATP and an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS), ultimately leading to cell damage and cell death [30]. A defect in mitochondrial complexes as well as an increase in oxidative damage products has been found in previous studies [28,31,32] and is in agreement with our findings of an increase in transcripts involved in electron transport activity and oxidative stress response in cells expressing mutant huntingtin.

We also found that the DNA repair gene Transaldolase1, which promotes DNA stability and repair [33], was expressed at lower levels in mutant cells compared to wild-type cells in the current study. This is in agreement with increased DNA oxidative damage products that have been found in post mortem HD brain tissue [34,35].

That activation of the Nrf2 pathway can ameliorate the effects of oxidative stress was shown when induction of Nrf2-mediated transcription could protect cells from mitochondrial complex II inhibition [36] and defects in complex II have been implicated in HD [34,37,38]. Indeed, a study using an in vitro model of PD showed that activation of the Nrf2-ARE pathway protected against 6-hydroxydopamine neurotoxicity [39].

Conclusion
Combined micro array studies thus provide ample evidence for the induction of a protective oxidative stress response in cells expressing mutant huntingtin in an early stage of the pathology [27]. This response may ultimately be insufficient to protect cells from oxidative damage, contributing to the massive cell death seen in HD brains. Oxidative stress is thought to play a role in the pathogenesis of several other neurodegenerative diseases [40] and Nrf2 has been implicated in the pathology of Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease. Given the pathogenic impact of oxidative stress and neuroinflammation, the Nrf2-ARE signalling pathway is an attractive therapeutic target for neurodegenerative diseases and warrants further studies.
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Nrf2-responsive genes and oxidative stress related genes were examined by quantitative real-time PCR on samples from cells expressing wild type (Q23) and mutant (Q74) huntingtin for 0, 1 and 5 days. All values are expressed as relative mRNA levels compared to expression levels on day 0 (uninduced cells) with β-actin and Ywhaz as reference genes. A: Nrf2 and Nrf2-responsive genes involved in detoxification. Nrf2: NF-E2 related factor 2, NQO1: NAD(P)H dehydrogenase quinone 1, GSTA4: glutathione S-transferase alpha 4, GSTP2: glutathione S-transferase pi2. B: Nrf2-responsive genes involved in antioxidant/reducing potential. CAT: catalase, GCLC: the catalytic subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase, GCLM: the modifier subunit of glutamate-cysteine ligase, HMOX1: heme oxygenase 1, TALDO1: transaldolase 1, FTL1: ferritin light chain, Mel1: malic enzyme 1. C: Non-Nrf2 responsive genes involved in protection against oxidative stress. GPX1: glutathione peroxidase, SOD1: superoxide dismutase 1, MT3: metallothionein 3. * Represents significant differences between the day 1 and day 5 time points (\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.005 \), ***\( p < 0.0005 \) student’s t-test). + Represents significant differences.
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