Video-supported Analysis of *Beggiatoa* Filament Growth, Breakage, and Movement
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Abstract A marine *Beggiatoa* sp. was cultured in semi-solid agar with opposing oxygen-sulfide gradients. Growth pattern, breakage of filaments for multiplication, and movement directions of *Beggiatoa* filaments in the transparent agar were investigated by time-lapse video recording. The initial doubling time of cells was 15.7±1.3 h (mean±SD) at room temperature. Filaments grew up to an average length of 1.7±0.2 mm, but filaments of up to approximately 6 mm were also present. First breakages of filaments occurred approximately 19 h after inoculation, and time-lapse movies illustrated that a parent filament could break into several daughter filaments within a few hours. In >20% of the cases, filament breakage occurred at the tip of a former loop. As filament breakage is accomplished by the presence of sacrificial cells, loop formation and the presence of sacrificial cells must coincide. We hypothesize that sacrificial cells enhance the chance of loop formation by interrupting the communication between two parts of one filament. With communication interrupted, these two parts of one filament can randomly move toward each other forming the tip of a loop at the sacrificial cell.

Introduction

The filamentous, colorless sulfur bacteria of the genus *Beggiatoa* (Thiotrichales) were named after the Italian medic and botanist F. S. Beggiato [27]. Originally, they had been described as a colorless cyanobacterium named “*Oscillatoria alba*” nearly 40 years earlier [28]. In addition to the morphological resemblance of the filamentous genera *Beggiatoa* and *Oscillatoria*, similarities in life cycle and gliding motility were found [6, 7, 12, 13, 16, 24]. The filaments often rotate as they move by gliding, and it was discussed that pores that have been found in parallel rows on the surface of the cells of *Beggiatoa* and *Oscillatoria* were involved in the rotating gliding motility by excretion of slime [8, 13]. The slime surrounds the entire filament and becomes a sheath, from which gliding filaments can also be displaced or pressed out [8, 15].

Gliding motility is used by *Beggiatoa* to track their chemical microenvironment, the interface between oxygen and sulfide [26]. They grow in many aquatic habitats, e.g., at the surface and in the suboxic zone of sulfide-rich marine and freshwater sediments [10, 21, 25], in hypersaline ponds [4], at hydrothermal vents [5, 9], at cold seeps [14], and on scleractinian corals infected by the black-band disease [20]. *Beggiatoa* can be enriched from their natural habitat in oxygen-sulfide gradient tubes [17] and sustained for several years.

In this study, cultivation in transparent agar was used in combination with time-lapse video recording to investigate the growth and multiplication of *Beggiatoa* under conditions, which mimicked essential aspects of the niche of...
this bacterium. These aspects include opposed gradients of oxygen and sulfide, and a physical substrate that allows gliding of filaments to their preferred microenvironment. The experimental approach was used to address the following questions. (1) What is the growth pattern of *Beggiatoa* filaments? (2) What is the time course of filament breakage for multiplication? (3) What are the movement directions of filaments?

**Methods**

**Sampling and Cultivation**

The 6.3-µm wide, vacuolated *Beggiatoa* sp. used for this study originated from a microbial consortium associated with the black-band disease of scleractinian corals (Florida Keys). The *Beggiatoa* filaments were enriched and kept in stable gradient cultivation for more than 6 years.

For all experiments, the *Beggiatoa* enrichment was cultured in agar gradient cultures, modified after Nelson and Jannasch [17]. These gradient cultures contained two layers of media, a layer of bottom agar medium (0.75% Bacto Agar [Difco Laboratories]) containing a high sulfide concentration overlaid by a layer of softer top agar medium (0.25%) without sulfide, which led to opposing gradients of sulfide and oxygen in the top agar. The compositions of the artificial seawater, nutrient solutions, vitamin solutions, and agar media are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The pH of the top agar was adjusted to approximately 8 with KOH. The autoclaved bottom agar medium was adjusted to 4 mmol/L Na₂S. The autoclaved top agar medium was adjusted to 4 mmol/L NaHCO₃, and 0.04% sterile vitamin solution was added. The gradients were prepared in screw-cap tubes (length 150 mm, inside diameter 14 mm). The tubes were filled with 4 mL of final bottom agar medium and 8 mL of top agar medium. The screw caps were left loose to permit exchange of the headspace gas with the atmosphere. To allow gradient development, the agar was aged for at least 2 days before inoculation. For the different experiments, *Beggiatoa* filaments were inoculated approximately 5 mm below the agar surface with a sterile Pasteur pipette. Cultures used for determination of protein content and filament length were grown at room temperature in the dark. Cultures used for time-lapse movies were kept in monochromatic red light (see below).

**Protein Determination**

The protein content of the *Beggiatoa* enrichment was quantified by the Coomassie Brilliant Blue assay (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) of Bradford [2]. The inoculum for replicate cultures was harvested from 23 *Beggiatoa* enriched culture tubes; pooled, thoroughly mixed, and identical subsamples (100 µL) were used for inoculation of new gradient tubes. Sets of six cultures were taken after 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 days, and the top 1.5–2.5 mL agar, which contained the *Beggiatoa* enrichment, was harvested. Before protein measurement, the samples were incubated with 10% (w/v) trichloracetic acid at 90°C for 20 min [18], then cooled to 4°C overnight and centrifuged at 20,800×g for 10 min at 4°C. After the supernatant was removed, 1 mL of 0.1 mol/L NaOH was added to the pellet and heated to 55°C for 20 min. Equivalent volumes of agar from uninoculated gradient tubes were processed likewise and served as blanks; bovine plasma albumin was used as the standard (Bio-Rad). The final assay mix contained 0.4 mL standard (in 0.1 mol/L NaOH) or supernatant of samples, 0.4 mL 0.15 mol/L HCl, and 0.2 mL dye reagent

| **Table 1** Composition of artificial seawater, mineral solution, trace solution, and vitamin solution |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Artificial seawater or solution | Composition |
| Artificial seawater | 27.5 g NaCl, 10.7 g MgCl₂ · 6 H₂O, 4.1 g MgSO₄ · 7 H₂O, 0.66 g CaCl₂ · 2 H₂O, 0.02 g KCl, 1.000 mL distilled water |
| Mineral solution | 555 mg K₂HPO₄, 50 mg Na₂MoO₄, 750 mg Na₂S₂O₅, 29 mg FeCl₃ · 6 H₂O, 1.000 mL distilled water |
| Trace element solution | 5.2 g EDTA, 1.5 g FeCl₂ · 4 H₂O, 0.07 g ZnCl₂, 0.1 g MnCl₂ · 4 H₂O, 0.062 g H₃BO₃, 0.19 g CoCl₂ · 6 H₂O, 0.017 g CuCl₂ · 2 H₂O, 0.024 g NiCl₂ · 6 H₂O, 0.036 g Na₂MoO₄ · 2 H₂O, 1.000 mL distilled water |
| Vitamin stock solution | 1 mg B12, 1 mg Inositol, 1 mg Biotin, 1 mg Folic Acid, 10 mg PABA, 100 mg Nicotinic Acid, 100 mg d-Pantothenate, 200 mg Thiamine (each vitamin was dissolved in 10 mL distilled water) |

a For the final vitamin solution, 1 mL of each vitamin stock solution was added to 100 mL (final volume) of distilled water

| **Table 2** Composition of agar media |
|--------------------------------------|
| Agar mediaa | Composition |
| Bottom agar medium | 180 mL artificial seawater, 1.35 g agar |
| Top agar mediumb | 1) 240 mL artificial seawater, 4.32 g NaCl 2) 96 mL distilled water, 0.9 g agar 3) 24 mL mineral solution, 0.36 mL trace solution |

a Each medium was autoclaved  
b The three components of the top agar medium were combined after they were autoclaved
concentrate. Optical density (OD$_{595}$) of standards and samples was measured after 15 min against the blank.

Camera System

The gradient cultures were imaged from the side every 10 to 20 s with a Sony XCD-X710 digital monochrome camera (1024×768 pixels). Illumination was provided with a red 1 W Luxeon$^\text{TM}$ Star LED with collimating optics. The LED emits light in a narrow band around 625 nm that does not affect the movement of *Beggiatoa* [16]. Cultures were filmed several days and the images were collected to time-lapse movies using the computer program VideoMach (Gromada Multimedia, Cologne, Germany).

Initial Doubling Time of Cells

The doubling time of cells was determined with time-lapse movies from three independent culture tubes. Single *Beggiatoa* filaments were inoculated into new gradient tubes. The time-lapse movies were screened for images on which the *Beggiatoa* filaments glided parallel to the image plane of the camera. *Beggiatoa* filament lengths were measured on the chosen images (movie 1: $n=8$; movie 2: $n=29$; movie 3: $n=19$) with the free computer program UTHSCSA Image Tool (IT; University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, TX, USA) until the first filament breakages occurred (approximately 19 h). Filament lengths were converted into a number of standard cells (average length $=2.7 \, \mu m$ [The average cell length of sulfur-depleted *Beggiatoa*]). The number of standard cells was plotted against the time elapsed between the individual images. The functions of the exponential plots (movie 1: $r^2=0.98$; movie 2: $r^2=0.76$; movie 3: $r^2=0.88$) were used to calculate the doubling time.

Filament Length

In addition to the filament length determination on the movie images, which was used to calculate the doubling time of cells, filament lengths were also measured using light micrographs of *Beggiatoa* on objective slides with the computer program IT. Incubation start and inoculum were the same as for the protein determinations (see above), but samples were taken in daily intervals. The *Beggiatoa* filaments were harvested from one gradient culture per day with a Pasteur pipette. Using a stereomicroscope, care was taken to avoid damaging filaments during the harvest. Harvested filaments were put on an objective slide for taking the light micrographs of randomly chosen *Beggiatoa* filaments at 100-fold magnification ($n=42$).

FISH/DAPI

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed using published methods [1, 22] modified as follows. *Beggiatoa* filaments were taken from gradient cultures 2 days after inoculation. The filaments were fixed in 1 mL of freshly prepared paraformaldehyde (4%) for 4 h and washed two times in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) before storage in 0.5 mL of 1× PBS and 0.5 mL of 96% (v/v) ethanol. Prepared filaments were spotted on hybridization wells of non-coated microscopic slides and dried at 48°C before dehydration in a 50–80–96% (v/v) ethanol series. To each well, 9-µL hybridization buffer (35% formamide) and 1-µL Cy3-labeled EUB338-III probe [3] was added. Hybridization was carried out at 46°C for 90 min. After hybridization, the slides were rinsed and incubated with preheated washing buffer (48°C) for 15 min. Finally, the slides were carefully washed with H$_2$O$_{dist}$ and air-dried. Approximately 20 min before microscopic analysis, the slides were mounted in Citifluor-DAPI (4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole). Fluorescence micrographs were recorded with a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M microscope with apotome (545 nm excitation wavelength for FISH and 375 nm for DAPI).

Filament Gliding Speed

Measurements of gliding speed of *Beggiatoa* filaments were obtained from the same time-lapse movies that were used for the determination of the doubling time of cells. The time-lapse movies were screened for sequences in which the filament or at least tips of filaments glided parallel to the image plane of the camera. Single images ($n=757$) of the chosen movie sequences ($n=61$) were analyzed by marking the position of the filament tip image by image and saving its $x/y$ coordinates with the computer program IT. Distances between the $x/y$ coordinates from one to another image were calculated, summed-up, and divided by the total duration of each sequence.

Filament Breakage and Movement

Time-lapse movies were screened for sequences in which filament breakage was well visible. Filament lengths before and after their first three to four breakages were measured in three independent movies as described above. Further, 64 breakages were observed in seven independent time-lapse movies, and the direction of movement of the dividing filaments was determined.
Results

Growth

After the Beggiatoa enrichment was inoculated, it started growing and a thin mat of well-visible Beggiatoa filaments was formed a few millimeters below the agar surface. The mat is known to occur slightly above the oxygen–sulfide interface, and it moved upward with time because biological sulfide oxidation by the Beggiatoa enrichment rendered the sulfide and oxygen gradients steeper [11, 18]. Subsequently, the Beggiatoa filaments had to move upward to remain at the oxygen–sulfide interface.

The average total protein content of the inoculum was 0.9±0.3 µg (standard error [SE]; n=6) and increased linearly to 8.8±0.7 µg protein during the incubation time of 2 weeks (Fig. 1). For the early growth phase (up to approximately 19 h after inoculation), an initial doubling time of Beggiatoa cells was calculated with 15.7±1.3 h from three independent culture tubes at room temperature.

Filament Length Determination

The average filament length in the inoculum was 0.7±0.1 mm, which increased to 1.7±0.2 mm on day 7, and remained constant thereafter (Fig. 2a). The frequency distribution of classes of filament lengths (Fig. 2b,c) revealed that filaments of up to 2 mm dominated the gradient culture numerically both during the early and the later incubation period (day 1–5 and 6–14, respectively; Fig. 2b,c). However, filaments of 2–6 mm in length occurred also during the whole experiment.

FISH/DAPI and Light Microscopy

An overlay of FISH and DAPI images shows regions along the filament in which neither ribosomes nor DNA occurred (Fig. 3a). Such “empty” regions were also visible in ordinary light micrographs (Fig. 3b).

Filament Gliding Speed, Breakage, and Movement

The average gliding speed of the Beggiatoa filaments was 3.2±0.1 µm/s. Variation of the gliding speed over time was not observed, and the gliding speed did not depend on the position of the filaments in the gradient cultures.

Single filaments that were inoculated into new gradient tubes, started to break after 17.0 (movie 1), 23.5 (movie 2), and 15.6 h (movie 3; Fig. 4a–c). The next three to four filament breaks occurred during the following 3–16 h of incubation. Filament breakage can be roughly grouped into three types: (1) breakage of a stretched filament, (2) breakage at the tip of a filament loop, and (3) breakage at the position where formerly the tip of a loop was located (Fig. 5a–c). Furthermore, some of the breakages occur via separation of one or a few end cells, where a hormogonium may have been produced, and sometimes it was observed that two parts of formerly one filament continued to share their slime sheath for approximately 1 min before separation.

Discussion

The increase of the total protein content of the Beggiatoa enrichment was linear for as long as 14 days because growth was most probably limited by the sulfide flux in gradient cultures (Fig. 1). This argumentation is based on a study of Nelson et al. [18] who also initiated the growth of marine Beggiatoa by inoculation of replicate gradient culture tubes to investigate the linear increase of protein content. In parallel to the protein determination, these authors measured also microsensor profiles of sulfide in the gradient tubes, and found that the linear increase of Beggiatoa biomass was accompanied by an essentially constant sulfide flux. Thus, they concluded that sulfide availability limited growth. In addition, the protein determination of Nelson et al. [18] revealed that linear growth occurred only after an initial period of exponential biomass increase (cell doubling time of 11 h), because sulfide was not limiting during the initial growth phase. During our experiments, an initial exponential growth of Beggiatoa was not resolved by measuring the total protein content probably owing to the time schedule of sampling. However,
we determined the initial cell doubling time of *Beggiatoa* in separate gradient tubes, which were inoculated with only one filament. Here, the competition between several filaments for sulfide was very unlikely, and exponential growth must have been given during the initial growth phase. However, the initial doubling time which we calculated for *Beggiatoa* cells (15.7±1.3 h) must be seen as a potential that is valid only until sulfide becomes limiting.

The average filament length in the inoculum was remarkably short (Fig. 2a) and was most likely the result of mechanical disruption of *Beggiatoa* filaments during the thorough mixing of the inoculum before inoculation. This procedure was essential to obtain identical subsamples for the inoculation of replicate culture tubes. However, filament disruption through mixing did not impair the overall viability of the *Beggiatoa* filaments, as indicated by the immediate growth of the cultures after inoculation. The filament length determination on objective slides clearly documented that the *Beggiatoa* filaments grew up to an average length that remained constant (approximately 1.7 mm). Further, the frequency distribution of classes of filament lengths (Fig. 2b,c) revealed that filaments of up to 2 mm in length were equally common during the early and the later incubation period, and that filaments of 2–6 mm in length occurred with low frequency during the whole experiment. The growth of the *Beggiatoa* culture was thus not achieved by ever growing filaments, but by alternating filament elongation and breakage that controlled the average filament length. The constant average filament length could possibly be an invariable trait of the investigated *Beggiatoa* sp., or it could be influenced by environmental conditions, e.g., by the availability of sulfide, or the physical structure of the habitat. Field studies in the sediments of a brackish fjord (Limfjorden, Denmark) revealed that the average filament length of *Beggiatoa* spp.

---

**Figure 2** (a) Average *Beggiatoa* filament length (mm) over time (d). Day 0 = inoculum. Error bars indicate SE (n=42). (b, c) Frequency (%) of *Beggiatoa* filament lengths in classes of 1 mm, averaged for day 1–5 (n=210; b) and for day 6–14 (n=378; c)

---

**Figure 3** (a) *Beggiatoa* filaments hybridized with the universal Bacteria probe EUB338-III (FISH) and counterstained with DAPI, showing regions along the filament that neither hybridized to the FISH probe, nor were stained with DAPI. (b) Light micrograph of *Beggiatoa* filaments, showing an “empty” region where a cell lysed. Bars, 10 µm
was positively correlated with the filament width [10]. In this sediment, *Beggiatoa* spp. with filament widths that were comparable to the *Beggiatoa* sp. investigated by us, had an average filament length of 1.0 mm and were thus considerably shorter than the average filament length of 1.7 mm we determined. According to Jørgensen [10], the grain size of sediments has an impact on the distribution of *Beggiatoa*. Perhaps, it is also possible that growth of filament length in soft agar was enhanced compared to more compact sediments in which the interstitials could be space-limiting.

The “empty regions” along the filament that were detected with a combination of FISH and DAPI staining and with light micrographs (Fig. 3a,b) showed regions where one or a few cells have lysed. It is known that *Beggiatoa* filament breakage is accomplished by formation of sacrificial cells (necridia) at various points within the filament. Upon dying, the sacrificial cells lyse, dividing the filament into daughter filaments. This process has been schematically illustrated by Strohl and Larkin [24] and is comparable with filament breakage in the filamentous cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria* [12]. The mechanism that controls the formation of necridia in *Beggiatoa* is still unclear. However, time-lapse movies provided examples of the time course of filament breakage and the position at which the filaments break (Fig. 4a–c). It took approximately 19 h after inoculation before first filament breakages occurred. The paradox that optimal growth conditions lead to cell death is plausible because the breakage into daughter filaments facilitates multiplication. Further, the time-lapse movies illustrate that a filament may not only break once into two daughter filaments, but a couple of times within a short time period so that several daughter filaments can occur within a few hours. An explanation for this could be the relatively simultaneous induction of the death of several sacrificial cells inducing the multiple breakage of the filament.

---

**Figure 4** First filament breakages observed after inoculation. Time after inoculation (h) and filament length (mm) were taken from movies 1(a), 2(b), and 3(c). Arrows with continuous lines show filament breakage (black filaments); arrows with dashed lines show filament growth (gray filaments).
The time-lapse movies allowed also the observation of gliding directions in breaking filaments. The following patterns were observed: (1) If the filament breakage occurred in a stretched filament, the two parts of one filament usually glided in the same direction before the breakage and away from each other after the breakage (Fig. 5a; corresponding movie can be found in the supplementary material). (2) If the filament breakage occurred at the tip of a loop, or at the position where formerly the tip of a loop was located, the two parts of one filament moved toward each other before the breakage, whereby the loop was formed, and away from each other after the breakage (Fig. 5b,c; corresponding movies can be found in the supplementary material). In >20% the filaments broke at the tip of a former loop. If breakage would occur at random positions, only a value of 0.16% would be expected (given for a filament of average length with 630 cells). Thus, breakage occurs predominantly at the tip of former loops.

The gliding speed of the *Beggiatoa* sp. was 3.2±0.1 µm/s and thus in the range of other *Beggiatoa* spp. from various habitats (i.e., 1–8 µm/s [23]). Gliding motility of *Beggiatoa* is often accompanied by flexing, bending, or rotation of the filaments [23]. *Beggiatoa* filaments can reverse the direction of movement in response to increasing oxygen concentrations [15]. This phobic response to oxygen is observed whenever either a filament tip or a filament loop glides into a stable microgradient of increasing oxygen concentration. In many cases, only a part of the filament responds, which leads to the formation of sharp bends or loops within the filament. According to Møller et al. [15], this partial response facilitates the positioning of filaments at the oxygen–sulfide interface, the microhabitat of *Beggiatoa*. The biochemical nature of the cellular response that controls the direction of movement of filaments is not known until now. Further, a possible mechanism of communication between cells, which could mediate the biochemical control of loop formation, has not been identified. However, beside a proposed biochemical mechanism that controls the movement directions of *Beggiatoa*, the observed co-occurrence between loop formation and filament breakage could be also explained by an interruption of communication, e.g., because of a sacrificial cell. In this case, two parts of one filament, which share one common slime sheath, could act independently of each other, which increases the probability of opposite directions of movement. If the movement direction is toward each other, a loop will be formed. After loop formation, filament breakage can occur when the two independently acting parts of one filament, both change their gliding direction, and move away from each other. An additional explanation for the co-occurrence of loop formation and filament breakage could be that loops were formed at random positions and that the strong mechanical forces at the tip of the loop led to necridia at this position, and that, finally, filament breakage occurred predominantly at positions with sacrificial cells. Also in this case, it is unlikely that the two parts of one filament separated by a
sacrificial cell can communicate with each other. Thus, we hypothesis that the occurrence of sacrificial cells enhances the probability of loop formation.

Video-supported analysis of Beggiatoa in combination with culture techniques in transparent agar represents a powerful method for side view observations of filament growth, breakage, and movement. This method has the advantage that the Beggiatoa can be observed exactly in their typical habitat of opposite gradients of oxygen from above and sulfide from below, which is an addition to the top view images of Beggiatoa that were possible in the sediment [Dunker R (2005) Microsensor studies on a Beggiatoa mat under changing oxygen concentrations. MSc thesis, University of Bremen, Germany]. Further studies should combine the video-supported analysis with microsensor measurements in gradient cultures [11, 19]. The correlation of the exact position of the filaments to the vertical gradients of oxygen and sulfide could lead to further insight into the mechanism that enables the filaments to respond to the chemical parameters of their microenvironment.
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