Tuning of the Rashba effect in Pb quantum well states via a variable Schottky barrier
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Spin-orbit interaction (SOI) in low-dimensional systems results in the fascinating property of spin-momentum locking. In a Rashba system the inversion symmetry normal to the plane of a two-dimensional (2D) electron gas is broken, generating a Fermi surface spin texture reminiscent of spin vortices of different radii which can be exploited in spin-based devices. Crucial for any application is the possibility to tune the momentum splitting through an external parameter. Here we show that in Pb quantum well states (QWS) the Rashba splitting depends on the Si substrate doping. Our results imply a doping dependence of the Schottky barrier which shifts the Si valence band relative to the QWS. A similar shift can be achieved by an external gate voltage or ultra-short laser pulses, opening up the possibility of terahertz spintronics.

The Rashba effect has been studied quantitatively on a variety of high-Z metal containing surfaces because of the accessibility of the surface states for spin- and angle-resolved photoemission (SARPES) experiments. Although several surfaces show very large spin splittings, most of them have metallic substrates and are thus not suitable for the design of a spin-based devices due to the short-circuiting via the bulk states. Recently it was found that the surface of a topological insulator can also host Rashba-type spin split states that coexist with the non-trivial states. However, the origin of these states is likely due to adsorption processes and an increased interlayer spacing, and they are not susceptible to a small electric field. One of the main reasons why it is difficult to control the Rashba effect in surface or interface states by an external electric field is that this field should act directly on the states themselves and thus fields in the order of $10^{13}$ V m$^{-1}$ are required for any substantial change.

For semiconductor heterostructures, on the other hand, the applied gate voltage indirectly affects the states via the symmetry of the confinement, and much lower voltages are required for a measurable change. Here we propose that quantum well states (QWS) in an ultra-thin metal film grown on a semiconductor may offer an alternative way to realize a system where the Rashba effect can be manipulated by a small gate voltage. Measured momentum splittings are larger than in semiconductor heterostructures, indicating that much shorter channel lengths are required to achieve a similar spin-manipulation or to make a conversion between charge and spin currents. We focus on Pb films on Si(111) samples with different doping levels as a model system, because (i) Pb is a high-Z element (Z = 82) with large atomic SOI, (ii) smooth crystalline films can be grown on Si(111) with chemically sharp and well-defined interfaces, and (iii) the electric field in the depletion layer due to the ionized donors and acceptors can be varied by a gate voltage.

Recently it was shown that QWS in thin Pb films on n-type Si(111) show a Rashba-type spin splitting which builds up throughout the whole metal layer along the growth direction as a result of competing effects between the metal-substrate and metal-vacuum interfaces. This is indicated by the absence of a strong dependence of the strength of the Rashba effect on the film thickness, and by the reversed spin helicity as compared to the surface state on Au(111). This is in contrast to other ultra-thin film systems where the spin splitting of QWS is induced by a high-Z metal substrate, or by hybridization gaps. Later the sensitivity of the Rashba parameter to the film-substrate interface was determined in Pb QWS: replacing the Pb wetting layer by a Bi layer reduces the Rashba parameter by 60%, whereas QWS in Pb films grown on a Ag reconstructed Si substrate show no measurable Rashba-type spin splitting. As will be explained below, these effects can be understood in terms of the local asymmetry of the QWS wave function with respect to Pb nuclei, which in turn is related to the particular confinement conditions. Here we will show that a similar effect can be produced by changing the donor concentration of the substrate which subsequently alters the Schottky barrier (SB) of the metal-semiconductor junction.
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Results
Figure 1(a) displays ARPES data from QWS formed in an $N = 8$ monolayers (ML) thick Pb film deposited on lightly (upper panel) and heavily (lower panel) n-doped Si substrates [henceforth Pb/h-Si(111) and Pb/l-Si(111)]. The band dispersions are very similar to those obtained for Pb films grown on a moderately n-doped Si(111) substrate [hereafter Pb/m-Si(111)]20-22. Both band dispersions feature an anomalously high effective mass ($>10 m_e$), which is due to an increased in-plane lattice constant$^{25}$. The films on both samples were measured with the COPHEE spectrometer$^{24}$ equipped with two Mott detectors, see Fig. 1(b), and were prepared by the same procedure. A change of the spin splitting is therefore directly related to the influence of the donor concentration in the Si substrate, because the metal-vacuum boundaries are the same. Figure 2 shows SARPES data from a Pb QWS grown on the lightly n-doped Si(111) measured for two energy distribution curves (EDCs) at (a) $k_z = -0.11 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and (b) $k_z = -0.24 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, see also dashed arrows in the upper panel of Fig. 1(a) which indicate the positions where the spin-resolved spectra were recorded. For the measurements at both momenta displayed in Figs. 2(c, d) the spin polarization data show typical up-down excitations in the tangential spin polarization components as expected for a Rashba system.

A quantitative analysis of the spin-resolved data using a two-step fitting routine$^{25}$ reveals a larger spin splitting between the spin-up ($\uparrow$) and spin-down ($\downarrow$) bands ($\Delta E : = E_{\uparrow}^0 (k_z) - E_{\downarrow}^0 (k_z)$) for the state with higher momentum. The corresponding fits are shown in Figs. 2(a, c) for $k_z = -0.11 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and in (b, d) for $k_z = -0.24 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. We obtain a spin splitting of $\Delta E = (-22.2 \pm 1.4) \text{ meV}$ and $(-33.8 \pm 1.4) \text{ meV}$, respectively, with fully polarized bands. The increased spin splitting with increasing momentum is in full agreement with the Rashba model$^1$ where $\Delta E \propto k_z$, and also seen in Figs. 2(g) and (h), which display raw data as spin-resolved EDCs projected onto the quantization axis at both momenta, calculated as $I_{\uparrow(\downarrow)} = \frac{1}{2} I_{\text{tot}} (1 \pm P_{\text{tan}})$ with $P_{\text{tan}} = \text{sign}(P_j) \sqrt{P_z^2 + P_j^2}$. Figures 2(e) and (f) indicate the precise parallel momentum positions where the two EDCs were measured. For $k_z = -0.11 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ the position was $(k_x, k_y) = (-0.086, 0.072) \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (Fig. 2(e)), for $k_z = -0.24 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ it was $(k_x, k_y) = (-0.227, 0.072) \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (Fig. 2(f)). The strict Rashba-type spin-momentum locking requires the spins to be quantized in the direction perpendicular to the momenta, and the observed polarization along the x-direction is thus a consequence of the non-zero $k_z$ component.

Discussion
We now discuss the mechanism leading to the increase of the Rashba constant in Pb QWS on the n-doped Si(111) with increasing donor concentration as shown in the right panel of Fig. 3(d). As demonstrated in Ref. 19 by the comparison of the Rashba effect in Pb QWS on a Pb and Bi terminated Si surface, a changed Rashba constant results from a modified charge density distribution in the Pb film which alters the local asymmetric features of the QWS wave function around the Pb cores. According to the phase accumulation model the charge density distribution of a QWS is controlled by the phase shifts at the metal-substrate and metal-vacuum interfaces$^{26}$. Because the phase shift at the vacuum side is the same for all three investigated systems, we focus in the following on the metal-substrate interface with its phase shift given by $\Phi_k \propto \sqrt{E - E_0} \Theta(E - E_0)^{13}$ where $E$ is the energy of a QWS and $E_0$ is the valence band edge of Si at $\Gamma$ and $\Theta$ is the Heaviside function. States with energies inside the gap of a substrate are truly confined, while states outside the gap can couple to substrate states leading to quantum well resonances with a considerable fraction of charge spilling into the substrate. In between these two cases the confinement, i.e. the degree of localization, changes as a function of $E_0$. The goal of the following discussion is to show that the

Figure 1 | (a) Band dispersion of a QWS in 8 ML thick Pb films on (upper panel) lightly n-doped and (lower panel) heavily n-doped Si(111) substrates as measured by ARPES. (b) Schematic drawing of our SARPES experiment.
Figure 2 | Measured spin-integrated EDCs for Pb/l-Si(111) (a) at $k_\| = -0.11 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ and at (b) $k_\| = -0.24 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ with Voigt profiles obtained from the self-consistent two-step fitting routine. (c, d) Corresponding spin polarization data and fits of the x, y and z components. Insets show the in-plane polarization vectors obtained from the two-step fit. (c, f) Parts of constant energy surfaces illustrating the measurement positions of the EDCs in reciprocal space. (g, h) Spin-resolved intensity profiles projected on the quantization axis for the two measured momenta.

Figure 3 | Measured spin-integrated EDC for Pb/h-Si(111) (a) at $k_\| = -0.24 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (a) with Voigt intensity profiles obtained from the two-step fit. (b) Corresponding polarization data and fits of the x, y and z components. The dashed lines are fits performed with $\Delta E = -33.8$ meV. (c) Measured k-dependent energy splittings and linear fits (lines) to obtain $\alpha_{RB}$ for Pb/h-Si(111) and Pb/l-Si(111), respectively. (d) Measured $\alpha_{RB}$ vs. doping concentration (left panel) of the heavily p-doped Si(111) and (right panel) of the differently n-doped Si(111) substrates.
Figure 4 | (a,b) Energy diagrams of Pb/Si within the interface dipole model (not to scale) as a function of N\textsubscript{D} (upper panels), and capacitor-dipole model (lower panels). (c) Pb/Si in a capacitor to illustrate how \( E_{\text{ext}} \) is applied to the system (upper panel). Calculated Rashba constant of Pb/Si as a function of applied external E-field (lower panel). (d) Influence of \( E_{\text{ext}} \) on the energetic position of the Si valence band edge. (e) Electric field in the parallel plate capacitor as a function of (reversed) gate voltage. (f) Charge density plots of QWS in Pb/Si with (solid line) and without (dashed line) \( E_{\text{ext}} \) at \( k_{\parallel} = 0.25 \ \text{Å}^{-1} \). (g) Sketch of a spin-FET device operated with a gate voltage \( U_{G} \) or by excitation with femto-second IR pulses (upper panel). Spin precession of \( \pi \) for electrons propagating along the x-direction in Pb films on l-Si(111) and h-Si(111) (lower panels).
energetic distance between the QWS and $E_0$ is sensitive to the Schottky barrier ($\Phi_{SB}$) and hence to the donor concentration because both quantities are related via: $\Phi_{SB}^0 + E_0 = E_g$ where $E_g$ is the energy gap of Si(111).

Our explanation is based on the interface dipole model for Schottky barrier formation, which takes the interaction of the metal and the substrate into account\(^2\). In this model the formation of polar Pb-Si bonds at the interface results in a charge transfer and the establishment of an interface dipole that directly contributes to the SB\(^3\):

$$\Phi_{SB}^0 = \Phi_M - \chi_S + eV_{int}. \quad (1)$$

Here $\Phi_M$ is the metal work function, $\chi_S$ is the electron affinity of the semiconductor, and $V_{int}$ is the dipole induced voltage drop at the interface. We will argue that the size of $V_{int}$ is not only determined by the interface chemistry, but also by the doping concentration and the type of dopant (donor or acceptor).

The upper panel of Fig. 4(a) shows the energy band diagram of Pb/Si deduced from measuring the peak widths of QWSs and QWRs as suggested in Ref. 13, and from core-level shifts of the Si 2p spectrum in the interface region that revealed an accumulation of negative charge at Si atoms when the Pb-Si interface is formed. This is in-line with the predictions of the polarity of the Pb-Si bond using the Miedema electronegativity scale and with the study of Si 2p core-level shifts of Pb on n- and p-type Si that both revealed a shift toward lower binding energies\(^3\). According to Koopman’s initial state model this means that the electric field generated by the interface dipole ($E_{Pb-Si}$) points from Pb to Si independent of the type of dopant. The lower panel of Fig. 4(a) illustrates our model which explains the influence of the donor concentration on the band edge of Si(111). It consists of the aforementioned interface dipole placed in a parallel plate capacitor (PC) that generates an electric field of strength\(^2\)

$$E_{PC} = 2eN_D(U_B + U_G)/\varepsilon_0, \quad \text{at the interface due to the space charge in the depletion layer and hence depends on the density of ionized donors (N_D). U_B is the built-in potential across the Schottky barrier, U_G is the gate voltage (for the moment U_G = 0 V) and } \varepsilon = 1.19 \text{ the dielectric constant of Si. For Pb in contact with n-type (p-type) Si the polarity of the capacitor is such that the positive (negative) space charge is on the semiconductor side, while the negative (positive) charge of equal size is balanced on the Pb side. Hence the direction of the electric field of the dipole and of the capacitor are } \text{anti-parallel (parallel) to each other for n-type (p-type) Si. Now, increasing the donor concentration increases the electric field in the capacitor and lowers V_{int} due to the screening of the interface dipole. Consequently, the SB decreases and the band edge of Si shifts to higher binding energies.}

Our finding of a reduction of the SB with increasing $N_D$ is consistent with previous results\(^3\). As already pointed out the increased energetic distance between the QWS and $E_0$ influences the confinement of the QWS via the metal-substrate phase shift such that the QWS is more strongly localized in the Pb film, i.e. less charge spills into the substrate. This affects the local charge densities of the QWS wave function with respect to the Pb cores which determine the spin splitting\(^3\). We now show how the charge density distribution in the Pb film changes as a function of the energetic position of the valence band edge using DFT calculations. These calculations were performed on a 10 ML Pb film on Si(111) with the in-plane lattice constant of the Pb film commensurate with that of Si(111) and with Pb/Si placed into a parallel plate capacitor producing external electric fields ($E_{ext}$) of various strengths with the positive bias at the Si side, see upper panel of Fig. 4(c). The DFT calculations reveal that increasing $E_{ext}$ from 0 to 210 MVm\(^{-1}\) shifts the Si valence band edge by $\approx$ 90 meV to higher binding energies, see Fig. 4(d), and simultaneously the calculated Rashba constant increases by $\approx$ 12%, see lower panel of Fig. 4(c). Notice that here $E_0$ shifts in the same direction as in the interface dipole model when the donor concentration is increased. As already speculated the increased Rashba constant is a result of an increased localization of the state in the Pb film, which is apparent from Fig. 4(l) where we show charge density distributions producing a $\mathcal{E}_R$ of 0.078 eVÅ at $E_{ext} = 0$ MVm\(^{-1}\) and 0.087 eVÅ at 210 MVm\(^{-1}\), respectively. This interpretation is also consistent with our results obtained from Pb QWS on heavily p-doped Si(111) where we find the smallest Rashba constant among the studied systems, see left panel of Fig. 3(d) and SOM.

Having shown the sensitivity of the Rashba constant in Pb QWS to the donor concentration we now discuss the possibility to use Pb/Si as a candidate for future spintronic applications. Assuming ballistic spin transport through the Rashba channel we deduce the length ($L$) at which the injected spin - a coherent superposition of the two orthogonal Rashba spinors - precess by an angle of $\pi$ while propagating along $x$, using $L = \pi/(2k_0)$ where $2k_0 = 2m^*\mathcal{E}_R\hbar^2/\hbar^2$ is the characteristic Rashba-type momentum splitting deduced from the experiment. For electrons in Pb/l-Si(111) we obtained $L_1 = 1.71$ nm from $k_{0,j} = 0.092$ Å\(^{-1}\) and in Pb/h-Si(111) $L_2 = 1.08$ nm from $k_{0,h} = 0.146$ Å\(^{-1}\), see lower panels of Fig. 4(g). Such a difference in spin precession length can for example be used to design a spin-field effect transistor (spin-FET). For a spin-FET made of Pb/l-Si(111) with a fixed channel length of only 1.08 nm this means that changing the voltage drop at the interface by increasing the dipole screening via $E_{PC} = \sqrt{U_B + U_G}$ it is possible to go from a low ($\Delta \varphi \approx \pi/2$) to a high conducting state ($\Delta \varphi = \pi$) by ramping $U_G$ from 0 to $-12.8$ V as simulated in Fig. 4(e).

In a more general sense, the combination of the possibility to tune the Rashba effect via a gate voltage and the relatively large splitting make Pb QWS on Si an ideal system to study spin–transport effects, such as a varying spin accumulation due to the spin Hall effect\(^3\). In this respect it is important to note that an alternative way to vary the spin-splitting may be achieved by optical means – thereby combining spin-based with electro-optical technologies. Recently it has been demonstrated that it is possible to change the SB and correspondingly $E_0$ in Pb/Si using ultra-short light pulses\(^3\). This would allow for a study of the time needed to build up or change the spin accumulation and indirectly the spin coherence time. Returning to our device example above, consider a spin-FET in the non-conducting state which can be optically driven. Illuminating the device with fs-pulses leads to a shift of $E_0$ such that $k_0$ changes and the conducting state is reached. For high-speed applications, the FET should respond quickly to variations of the trigger pulse. In Pb/Si a complete built-up of the $E_0$ shift is reached within 100 fs and equilibrium is recovered after 600 fs - the non-conducting state. This yields a switching frequency in the terahertz (THz) regime.

Our results demonstrate how the Rashba-type spin splitting of QWS in ultra-thin Pb films can be tuned effectively via the donor concentration of the Si(111) substrate. We conjecture that the energetic position of the Si valence band edge is the decisive factor for this effect. Our results provide the first direct evidence of a doping dependent Schottky barrier. A similar shift of the VB maximum can also be achieved by other means, opening up the possibility to fine-tune the Rashba-type momentum splitting in metallic QWS via a gate voltage or by excitation with ultra-short laser pulses.

Methods

The ultra-thin Pb films were prepared in three steps consisting of (i) cleaning of the substrate by flashing above $T = 1300$ K to obtain the Si(111) (―7 × 7) reconstruction, (ii) deposition of $3$ ML of Pb from a Knudsen cell and subsequent annealing at 600 K for 60 sec. to form the dense ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{5})$R30(α) surface reconstruction\(^3\), and (iii) deposition of thin crystalline Pb films at $T = 80$ K. The films on both substrates were prepared by depositing the same amount of Pb with a rate of $\approx 0.3$ ML/min. The room temperature substrate resistance $R$ and donor concentrations $N_D$ are for Pb/h-Si(111): $R = 4$ Ωcm, $N_D = 1.14 \times 10^{12}$ cm\(^{-2}\), for Pb/h-Si(111): $R = 53$ Ωcm, $N_D = 8.38 \times 10^{12}$ cm\(^{-2}\) and for Pb/l-Si(111): $R = 95$ Ωcm, $N_D = 4.65 \times 10^{13}$ cm\(^{-2}\). All measurements were performed with the CCOPEE end-station at the Swiss Light Source of the Paul-Scherrer-Institute\(^3\) at a base pressure below $3 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. The sample temperature during the measurements was 80 K. The energy and angular
resolution of our spin-resolved (spin-integrated) ARPES measurements were set to 100 (25) meV and γ = 0.75° (0.5°) in respect of the low efficiency of Mott-scattering. In all measurements a photon energy of 24 eV was used because of the optimal photoemission cross-section for Pb QWSs.

The ARPES spectrometer is equipped with two orthogonally mounted Mott detectors operated at an acceleration voltage of 40 kV + y (see Fig. 1(b)). Each Mott detector measures asymmetries of the photoelectron current backscattered elastically off a polycrystalline gold foil, defined as A\_i = (I\_R - I\_L)(I\_R + I\_L), with I\_L being the intensity measured in either right (R) or left (L) detector. The orthogonal arrangement of the two Mott detectors allows to measure asymmetries in A\_x, A\_y and A\_z directions of the Mott coordinate system (x,y,z). Three-dimensional spin polarization data is then obtained by dividing asymmetries by the Sherman function (S = 0.968), and by applying a rotation matrix (T) in order to transform the spin polarization components from the Mott reference frame into the sample coordinate system: P\_i(E\_F) = T(S\_A(E\_F)) with i ∈ {x,y,z}.

We employed density functional theory in the local density approximation using the full-potential linearized augmented-plane-wave method in thin film geometry (for a program description see www.flapw.de). This allows us to extract the structure, consisting of a ten layer Pb film placed commensurately on six layers of Si(111) substrate with a H-terminated backside, to an external electric field. This field is generated by effective condenser plates put in the vacuum at a distance of 5.3 Å above and below the film structure. To simulate the Si-doping, we charge only the condenser plate below the Si and add the screening charge to the Si substrate.
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