Although labile protons that are exchanging rapidly with those of the solvent cannot be observed directly, their exchange rate constants can be determined by indirect detection of scalar-coupled neighboring nuclei. We have used heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy to measure the exchange rate constants of labile protons in the side chains of lysine and arginine residues in ubiquitin enriched in carbon-13 and nitrogen-15 at neutral pH. Exchange rate constants as fast as $40 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were thus measured. These results demonstrate that NMR spectroscopy is a powerful tool for the characterization of lysine NH$_3^+$ and arginine NH groups in proteins at physiologically relevant pH values.

Introduction

Proton-exchange rate constants provide a rich source of structural and dynamic information.$^{[1–3]}$ These rate constants can be used to study important kinetic processes such as the opening of base-pairs in nucleic acids$^{[4,5]}$ and give insight into free energies and other thermodynamic parameters that describe the stability of hydrogen-bonded secondary structures under different environmental conditions.$^{[6]}$ NMR is often the method of choice to measure proton-exchange rates at individual sites in complex systems.$^{[7–10]}$ By comparing proton-exchange rate constants measured in proteins with those observed in model systems, one can determine so-called protection factors and identify residual structures in partly denatured proteins.$^{[11,12]}$ Chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST)$^{[13–16]}$ and water relaxation$^{[17]}$ can be used to enhance image contrast in MRI. This allows one to assess pathological disorders that cause a modification of water exchange rates.

Most proton-exchange studies in proteins reported so far have been restricted to backbone amide protons. The exchange rate constants of labile protons in side chains have rarely been studied, although these surface-exposed protons often play crucial roles in inter- and intramolecular interactions. The appearance or disappearance of signals corresponding to side chain protons can thus be regarded as an indication of the formation or disruption of protein complexes.$^{[18]}$

For a small molecule containing a single exchanging proton, the maximum rate constant that can be determined by detection of $^1$H signals is a few thousand jumps per second, if the chemical shift of the exchanging proton is separated from the resonance of the solvent by a few kHz. If there are several exchanging sites, or if the proton spectrum is crowded, correlations with $^{15}$N spectra may be needed to resolve the resonances.$^{[19,20]}$ However, the efficiency of coherence transfer from labile protons to neighboring $^{15}$N nuclei [$J(^1$H,$^{15}$N) $\approx 100 \text{ Hz}$] is severely hampered when the exchange rate constants are comparable to the scalar coupling constants, that is, if $k_{ex}$ exceeds about $100 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

For intermediate exchange rate constants $k_{ex} \approx \pi J(^1$H,$^{15}$N), the doublets in the $^{15}$N spectra collapse to broad lines, which become narrower when the exchange rate constants exceed the scalar coupling constants. If this narrowing effect is incomplete, the remaining linewidth gives a measure of the exchange rate constants $k_{ex}$ (“scalar relaxation of the second kind”).$^{[21]}$ The residual widths of the collapsed lines in the $^{15}$N spectra can be reduced by proton decoupling, so that the comparison of proton-coupled and -decoupled $^{15}$N spectra gives a measure of the exchange rate constants of the attached protons.$^{[22,23]}$ However, this method is not very accurate when the $^{15}$N spectra are affected by long-range couplings $J$-($^1$H,$^{15}$N) with $n > 1$. Recently, we have introduced a method to quantify the effects of scalar relaxation caused by exchanging protons, by detecting the decay of a $^{15}$N coherence under a multiple-refocusing Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) pulse train$^{[24,25]}$ in the presence or absence of proton decoupling.$^{[24]}$ Refocusing removes the effects of long-range couplings even in the absence of proton decoupling. For the indole proton in tryptophan, we were able to detect exchange rate constants $k_{ex}$ accurately up to $10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$.$^{[26]}$ In this work, this idea is extended to the indirect detection of labile $^1$H protons in the side

[a] T. Segawa
Department of Chemistry, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule
8093 Zürich (Switzerland)

[b] Dr. F. Kateb, Dr. L. Duma, Prof. G. Bodenhausen, Dr. P. Pelupessy
École Normale Supérieure
24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris (France)
Fax: (+33) 1-44-32-33-97
E-mail: Philippe.Pelupessy@ens.fr

[c] Prof. G. Bodenhausen
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Laboratoire de Résonance Magnétique Biomoléculaire, Batochime
1015 Lausanne (Switzerland)

[d] Dr. F. Kateb
Present address: Institute of Structural Biology
Ingolstädter Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg (Germany)
chains of lysine and arginine residues in proteins. This method is not limited to the arginine and lysine side chains and can be extended to the measurement of the exchange rate constants of the backbone and side chain protons of other amino acids in proteins as well as imino protons in DNA or RNA.

Results and Discussion

Theory

We consider an isolated spin pair IS, in which spin I (usually a proton \(^1\)H) exchanges with the solvent, which is typically an aqueous buffer or plain water, while S (usually \(^15\)N) is chosen because it features a scalar coupling \(J_{IS}\). If a radio-frequency field is applied on-resonance to the I nucleus, the evolution of the \(S_I\) coherence at the time of the echoes in a multiple-refocusing CPMG pulse-train can be described in a basis of only the \(I\) (\(^1\)H) nuclei.

If a radio-frequency pulse is applied off-resonance, the Liouvillian must be expanded because it features a scalar coupling \(J\). Thus, the effect of proton exchange on the decay of the signal intensity of the \(S\) nucleus is enhanced with an increasing number of protons. Provided that \(\omega_1^{i} \gg R_i^{e}\), the ratios in Equations (4) and (5) do not depend on \(R_i^{e}\). Hence, if the scalar coupling is known, the only unknown parameter in these equations is \(k\). For the exchange rate constants measured in this work, \(k \approx k_{ex}\). When \(k_{ex}\) is small, an independent estimate of \(R_i^{e}\) is needed for an accurate determination of \(k_{ex}\).

Methodology

The pulse sequences designed to detect the exchange rate constants of the labile \(^1\)H protons of arginine and the three terminal \(^1\)H protons of lysine side chains are drawn in Figure 1.

The polarization of the neighboring \(^1\)H (for arginines) or \(^1\)H (for lysines) is converted into antiphase coherences \(2N_yC_z\) and \(2N_yC_z\) in arginine and lysine, respectively, through two successive coherence transfer steps in the manner of Inensitive Nuclei Enhanced by Polarization Transfer (INEPT)\(^{[52]}\). These antiphase coherences are then allowed to evolve under a multiple-refocusing CPMG pulse train, with or without proton decoupling (experiments B and A). For the arginine residues, the antiphase coherence \(2N_yC_z\) is transferred back to the neighboring \(^1\)H protons, after a constant-time evolution under the chemical shift of \(C\). For the lysine side chains, the coherence \(2N_yC_z\) is transferred to \(C^0\) via \(C\) and finally detected on the remote \(^1\)H protons, after a constant-time evolution under the chemical shift of \(C^0\). An additional transfer step from \(C^0\) to \(C\) is necessary in ubiquitin because the \(^1\)H/\(^1\)C correlation signals of the seven lysine residues are ill-resolved.

Discussion

Under physiological conditions, lysine \(NH_2\) and arginine \(NH\) side chain groups are positively charged. Lysines and arginines are often found in protein binding sites and, through the formation of salt bridges with carboxylate groups of glutamate and aspartate or phosphate groups of DNA and RNA, they play key roles in protein–protein interactions and protein–nucleic acid recognition. We have measured the exchange rate constants of \(^4\)H protons in arginine and of the three terminal \(^1\)H protons in lysine side chains of human ubiquitin at pH 7.45 as a function of temperature.

Representative spectra obtained with the experiments A and B of Figure 1 are shown in Figure 2. The spectra recorded with experiment B, where the protons are decoupled during the \(^1\)H refocusing period, are plotted on the right-hand side of Figure 2.

The peaks in these spectra are more intense than those of experiment A, shown on the left, which were obtained without proton decoupling, since exchange-induced decay (“scalar relaxation”) is only effective in case A. All the arginine and six of the seven lysine cross peaks could be identified (the intensity of K27 was too weak to be exploited).

The exchange rate constants thus measured are given in Table 1 and are plotted on a logarithmic scale against the inverse temperature in Figure 3 for arginines and in Figure 4 for lysines. The linear fits indicate that the exchange constants obey the Arrhenius equation:

\[ k_{ex} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \]
Determination of Exchange Rate Constants

In Figure 3 the exchange rate constants of the $^{15}$N proton in acetylarginine extrapolated from the measurements by Liepinsh and Otting$^{33}$ at lower pH are also plotted as a function of temperature (marked by crosses). The exchange rate constants of residues R42, R72, and R74 are close to these values, while for R54 the rate constants are approximately three times lower. As shown in Figure 5, this is probably due to the fact that the $^{15}$N proton of R54 is less exposed to the solvent and/or because this residue is embedded in a negatively charged environment, which decreases the efficiency of the predominantly OH$^-$-catalyzed exchange mechanism. For the exchange rate constant of R54 at 275 K, the approximation $k \approx k_{ex}$ might not be valid. The same measurement for a sample of ubiquitin at pH 4.5 resulted in $k = 3.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is an upper value for the contribution of $R_5$.

The exchange rate constant of the $^{14}$N prostons of K6 is much faster than the others. This residue is very exposed to the solvent, and the neighboring residues are positively charged. The other residues are either less exposed or are embedded in a less positively charged environment. The lowest exchange rate constant is measured for K29, for which evidence exists that ion pairing may occur with D21.$^{38}$

The intrinsic exchange rate constant of these protons, as can be extrapolated from the measurements of Liepinsh and Otting$^{33}$ in acetyl-lysine at 305 K and pH 7.45, should be about 7000 s$^{-1}$. The fact that the exchange rate constants in Table 1 are much higher at this temperature is most probably due to the phosphate buffer, which has been shown to catalyze the exchange of the $^{15}$N protons in lysine (but not the exchange of the $^{15}$N protons in arginine). Indeed, the addition of 20 mM phosphate buffer leads to an in-
crease in the exchange rate constant from 4000 to 14 000 s⁻¹ at pH 7 and 309 K.[33]

Conclusions

The exchange rate constants of the H^N protons in the side chains of the four arginine residues and the H^N protons in six of the seven lysine residues in human ubiquitin have been determined as a function of temperature over a range between 275 and 305 K. The exchange rate constants vary between about 25 and 2000 s⁻¹ for the H^N protons in arginines, and between about 700 and 40 000 s⁻¹ for the H^N protons in lysines.

The activation energies in the Arrhenius sense were found to lie in the range 27–75 kJ mol⁻¹. The ability to measure such parameters at a physiologically relevant pH should allow one to obtain deeper insight into interactions between proteins (folded or unfolded) and to map out the contact interfaces in complexes made up of proteins and other macromolecules.

Table 1. Exchange rate constants of the H^N protons of the arginine and of the terminal H^N protons of the lysine residues in human ubiquitin.*

| T [K] | 275   | 280   | 290   | 300   | 305   |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| k_42  | [s⁻¹] | 112 ± 35 | 455 ± 138 | 540 ± 135 | 1250 ± 152 |
| k_44  | [s⁻¹] | 24 ± 2 | 46 ± 3 | 117 ± 5 | 312 ± 18 | 490 ± 35 |
| k_29  | [s⁻¹] | 66 ± 6 | 114 ± 7 | 387 ± 29 | 1005 ± 47 | 1710 ± 52 |
| k_29  | [s⁻¹] | 78 ± 1 | 136 ± 2 | 409 ± 10 | 1188 ± 18 | 1856 ± 21 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 6.63 ± 0.69 | 9.39 ± 0.64 | 15.13 ± 1.49 | 35.19 ± 3.90 | 39.93 ± 3.90 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 1.08 ± 0.24 | 1.46 ± 0.19 | 3.91 ± 0.31 | 11.14 ± 0.59 | 16.24 ± 0.90 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 0.41 | 0.75 ± 0.24 | 1.69 ± 0.32 | 4.75 ± 1.24 | 7.77 ± 2.12 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 0.70 ± 0.23 | 1.75 ± 0.24 | 3.69 ± 0.32 | 8.22 ± 0.50 | 15.80 ± 1.10 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 1.20 ± 0.11 | 1.59 ± 0.10 | 4.87 ± 0.29 | 11.53 ± 0.49 | 17.63 ± 0.83 |
| k_64  | [10^10 s⁻¹] | 0.68 ± 0.14 | 1.31 ± 0.13 | 3.53 ± 0.21 | 9.50 ± 0.36 | 14.82 ± 0.59 |

[a] pH 7.45. [b] The signal intensities in experiment B were too weak to provide a reliable value for the exchange rate constant. [c] log k_ex = 2.62 ± 0.73, (i.e., 80 s⁻¹ < k_ex < 2240 s⁻¹).
Table 2. Activation energies ($E_a$) and the pre-exponential factors ($A$) [see Eq. (6)] of the H$^+$ protons of the four arginine and the H$^{15}$-protons of six lysine residues in human ubiquitin at pH 7.45.

| Residue | $\ln(A)$ | $E_a$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] |
|---------|----------|----------------------|
| R42     | 3.25 ± 3.5 | 64.3 ± 8.6          |
| R54     | 3.00 ± 0.8  | 68.1 ± 1.9          |
| R72     | 3.72 ± 0.6  | 75.6 ± 1.5          |
| R74     | 3.65 ± 0.2  | 73.5 ± 0.4          |
| K6      | 4.18 ± 2.1  | 27.1 ± 0.9          |
| K11     | 6.71 ± 2.4  | 36.2 ± 1.0          |
| K29     | 7.90 ± 4.4  | 40.2 ± 17.6         |
| K33     | 6.33 ± 2.8  | 34.5 ± 1.1          |
| K48     | 6.48 ± 1.4  | 35.4 ± 0.6          |
| K63     | 6.92 ± 1.8  | 36.9 ± 0.7          |

Experimental Section

The proton-exchange rate constants of arginine and lysine side chains were measured in a sample of U-[13C, 15N]-labeled human ubiquitin (0.8 mM), obtained from VLI Research, Inc., at pH 7.45 (at 294 K) in a phosphate buffer (50 mM). The experiments were carried out on a Bruker Avance spectrometer at 14 T (600 MHz for H$^1$) fitted with a triple-channel indirect detection probe with three orthogonal gradient coils at temperatures ranging from 275 K to 305 K. At each temperature, the experiments were repeated three to five times with different numbers of π-pulses in the CPMG pulse trains ($n = 2$ to 16) and different pulse intervals (2$t\tau$ = 1.75 to 10.6 ms). The total experimental time for one pair of 2D spectra (A and B) varied between 3 h (at high temperatures) and 6 h (at low temperatures). At 275 K, the rotational correlation time of ubiquitin is about 9 ns, which corresponds to a protein of about 17 kDa at room temperature. The experimental time could be reduced (or the accessible size of the protein could be increased) by using cryogenically cooled probes. The assignments were taken from Cornilescu et al.[37] The peak intensities were determined by use of the nmrPipe/nlinLS package.[38] From the A/B ratios between the signal intensities in experiments A (without proton decoupling) and B (with proton decoupling) the exchange rate constants were fitted to Equation (1) with the aid of a home-written Mathematica program. The scalar coupling constants ($J_{i}^{\alpha}$) measured in a sample of ubiquitin at pH 4.5 were: 93.5 Hz (R42, R54, R72), 93.9 Hz (R74), 94.3 Hz (R42, R54, R72), 94.2 Hz (R74), and 74 Hz for the lysine residues. A small correction was applied to account for the presence of 10% HDO in the solvent.[39]
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