Constitutive modelling of the skin accounting for chronological ageing
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Abstract

A multiphasic constitutive model of the skin capturing the process of intrinsic (i.e. chronological) ageing is proposed. The structurally-motivated constitutive formulation features distinct mechanical contributions from collagen and elastin fibres. The central hypothesis underpinning this study is that the effects of ageing on the mechanical properties of the tissue are directly linked to alterations in the microstructural characteristics of the collagen and elastin networks. Constitutive parameters in the model, corresponding to different ages, are identified from published experimental data on bulge tests of human skin. The identification procedure is based on an inverse finite element method. The numerical results demonstrate that degradation of the elastin meshwork and variations in anisotropy of the collagen network are plausible mechanisms to explain ageing in terms of macroscopic tissue stiffening. Whereas alterations in elastin affect the low-modulus region of the skin stress-strain curve, those related to collagen have an impact on the linear region.
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1. Introduction

The skin acts as a biophysical interface between the human body’s internal and external environments. Due to this critical role, significant research has been undertaken to elucidate the complex processes underlying the skin’s physiology and biophysics [1–4]. Of particular relevance to the present study, is the search for plausible microstructural mechanobiological mechanisms responsible for alterations of the skin’s macroscopic mechanical properties with age.

Between 2001 and 2011, the UK population aged 65 and over increased by 0.92 million [5]. The UK population aged 85 and over increased by almost 25% (from 1.01 million to 1.25 million). By 2039, almost a quarter of the UK population will be 65 and over [6]. As the aged population continues to increase, two main issues emerge. First, the need for medical treatment tends to increase with longevity. Second, the ageing process itself results in significant degradation of the biophysical properties of skin. This leads to further, and potentially life-threatening, complications such as skin tears and associated chronic wounds [7].

The skin accounts for up to 16% of an adult’s total body weight and covers an average surface area of about $1.6 \text{ m}^2$ [8]. Given this, and the role of the skin as the prime line of defence against the external environment, it is clear that any significant alteration of mechanical properties that could compromise the structural integrity and barrier function, has the potential to cause serious detrimental health issues. Moreover, both in the UK and globally, the ageing population is rapidly becoming a significant market segment across many industrial sectors, including medical devices, consumer goods, personal care products, sports equipment and consumer electronics. It is therefore important to understand how age-related alterations of skin biophysics can be accounted for in the development of new or improved products.

In accordance with the universal principle of close integration of structure and function in biological tissues [9], the human skin features a complex multiscale structural architecture. For simplicity’s sake, the skin is often mesoscopically described as a three-layer structure made of an epidermis, dermis and hypodermis (see Fig. 1(b)) [8, 10, 11].

The dermis can be decomposed into three main layers: the papillary layer juxtaposed to the epidermis, the sub-papillary layer underneath, and the reticular layer which is connected to the underlying subcutaneous tissue. The subcutaneous tissue is the layer between the dermis and the fascia which is a band of connective tissue, primarily collagen, that attaches, stabilises, encloses, and separates muscles and other internal organs. The thickness of the subcutaneous tissue is highly variable, both intra- and inter-individually. This layer is mainly composed of adipocytes. Its role is to provide mechanical cushioning, heat generation, insulation, and as a reserve of nutrients.

Like any other organ of the human body, the skin inexorably undergoes what is termed chronological ageing, or more correctly, intrinsic ageing: a series of biochemical molecular degenerative changes occurring as the indirect result of the passage of time and progression into older age. These alterations involve decreased proliferative capacity which leads to cellular senescence and altered biosynthetic activity of skin-derived cells. Deterioration of the skin barrier function, a reduced rate of turnover of epidermal cells (e.g. keratinocytes), alteration of the biochemical and mechanical properties of the collagen network in the dermis, macroscopic stiffening, loss of elasticity and a poor vascular
network around hair bulbs and glands are manifestation of these intrinsic ageing-induced physiological changes [12]. The general consensus is that intrinsic ageing is triggered by two main mechanisms which can operate in concert: DNA damage and chromosomes telomere shortening [13–17]. An important aspect to consider is that intrinsic ageing typically occurs in combination with extrinsic ageing which is the result of external environmental and lifestyle factors, particularly exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiations from sun light [18–20], and increasingly, sunbeds [21, 22], as well as smoking [23, 24] and air pollution [24, 25]. Extrinsic ageing due to UV radiation exposure is called photoageing [19, 20].

A popular view on skin ageing is embodied by the microinflammatory model advocated by Giacomoni and Rein [17]. These authors proposed a mechanistic biochemical model of ageing which is not rooted in any mathematical formulation but is rather a descriptive qualitative model integrating information about the ageing of the extracellular matrix and that of the dermis. The model accounts for both intrinsic and extrinsic ageing effects through loss of elasticity, resilience and flexibility of the dermis, and also integrates the formation of wrinkles and the thinning of the epidermis. Ageing affects both structure and function of the skin and these alterations have important consequences for its physiology [13, 14, 26, 27], rheology [28, 29], tribology [30–32] and the likelihood of developing particular age-related skin disorders such as xerosis and pruritus [33] or skin tears [7].

As a result of biochemical changes associated with ageing, the mechanical behaviour of the skin is significantly altered through time-dependent variations in the structural and mechanical properties of its elemental constituents (e.g. proteoglycans, collagen, elastin and keratin). The effects of skin ageing become apparent in the form of skin wrinkles - particularly on the face and hands - which are often unconsciously or consciously used as criteria to evaluate age. These morphological changes of the skin surface are manifestations of complex coupled biophysical phenomena where mechanics is believed to play a critical role as in many other remodelling and morphomechanical processes [34, 35]. Unravelling the inherent complexity of the skin ageing process, firstly by identifying its
biophysical drivers, underlying factors and effects, and secondly, by gaining a mechanistic insight into their interplay, is a formidable challenge at both experimental and modelling levels. However, building upon the extensive body of work on the constitutive modelling of the skin [1–3], there are opportunities to extend existing models and develop mechanistic constitutive formulations that could first describe, and ultimately, predict ageing effects. These hypothesis-driven research tools have the potential to unveil the biophysical complexity of skin physiology as well as mechanobiological aspects associated with diseases and the ageing process.

Various phenomenological and structurally-based mechanical models have been proposed and adopted to model the skin at finite deformations. For a good coverage of the relevant literature see the monograph by Xu and Lu [36], review papers by Jor et al. [1], Li [2], Limbert [3] or book chapters by Limbert [10], Flynn [37]. Structurally-based models attempt to reflect the contributions and mutual interactions from the primary constituents of the dermal layer, i.e. collagen, elastin and ground substance. Developing these type of models is desirable as they offer the ability to link the microscopic constituent characteristics (i.e. materials and structures) to the macroscopic response of the tissue [see 38–40]. Mazza et al. [41, 42] developed a non-linear constitutive model to simulate ageing of the human face. The elasto-visco-plastic constitutive model is based on the formulation by Rubin and Bodner [43]. Mazza et al. [41, 42] extended the model of Rubin and Bodner [43] by including an ageing parameter equipped with its own time evolution equation. This ageing-driven parameter was a modulator of tissue stiffness. A four-layer model of facial skin combined with a face-like geometrical base was developed. The work highlighted the utility of the model to study the effects of skin ageing on facial appearance. Maceri et al. [44] proposed an age-dependent multiscale mechanical model for arterial walls that effectively coupled elastic nanoscale mechanisms, linked to molecular and cross-link stretching, to micro- and macroscopic structural effects. The model successfully captured the age-dependent evolution of arterial wall mechanics through alterations of the constitutive parameters including geometric characteristics of collagen fibres, cross-link stiffness of collagen fibrils and volume fraction of constituents.

To date, however, no mechanistic constitutive model for the skin has been developed that is capable of simultaneously capturing intrinsic ageing through evolution of material and structural constitutive parameters whilst being embedded in the rigorous framework of non-linear continuum mechanics. The goal of the research presented here is to develop an experimentally-based mathematical and computational model of the skin to study the interplay of its material and structural properties and their evolution as a result of the intrinsic ageing process. Plausible mechanisms associated with ageing-induced material and microstructural evolution are explored in an attempt to explain observed effects associated with ageing (e.g. macroscopic stiffening of the tissue).

The paper is organised as follows. The general structural and material properties of the skin are discussed in Sec. 2. Special focus is placed on the evolution of these characteristics with intrinsic ageing. A continuum-mechanics description of the skin is presented in Sec. 3. Sec. 4 is concerned with the formulation of hypotheses on the link between ageing and the evolution of material and structural properties and their effects on the observed macroscopic mechanical response of the skin. Experimental bulge test data [45, 46] are used in Sec. 5 to calibrate the finite element approximation of the continuum model. The proposed ageing model is then used to predict the influence of ageing on the mechanical response of the skin in Sec. 6. Sec. 7 includes a discussion of
the results and conclusions.

2. Structural and material properties of the ageing skin

2.1. Structural aspects

It is generally accepted that the bulk of the skin's response to loading is due to the dermal layer [28, 47]. The dermis, is composed of papillary, sub-papillary and reticular layers, and is comprised of a matrix of collagen, elastin, other elastic fibres and ground substance. The expression of the macroscopic mechanical properties of the skin is due to these components, their structural organisation and their mutual interactions. The ground substance is a gel-like amorphous phase mainly constituted of proteoglycans and glycoproteins (e.g. fibronectin) as well as blood and lymph-derived fluids which are involved in the transport of substances crucial to cellular and metabolic activities. Proteoglycans are composed of multiple glycosaminoglycans (i.e. mucopolysaccharides) interlaced with back bone proteins. Dermal fibroblasts produced glycosamine which is rich in hyaluronic acid and therefore plays an essential role in moisture retention.

Collagen has been found to make up approximately 66-69% of the fractional volume of the dermis [28], and approximately 34% [48] and 70-80% [49] of the wet weight and dry weight of the skin, respectively. Experiments where collagen was isolated through enzymatic treatment [50–52], conclude that collagen is responsible for the tensile strength of the skin. The papillary layer (Fig. 1(a)) is defined by the rete ridges protruding into the epidermis and contains thin collagen fibres, sensory nerve endings, cytoplasms and a rich network of blood capillaries. The sub-papillary layer - the zone below the epidermis - features similar structural and biological components to those of the papillary layer.

Besides the dominant content of types I and III collagen (respectively 80% and 15% of total collagen content), the reticular layer is innervated and vascularised, contains elastic fibres (e.g. elastin) and the dermal matrix made of cells in the interstitial space. Cells present in the reticular dermis include fibroblasts, plasma cells, macrophages and mast cells. Collagen fibres in the papillary and sub-papillary dermis are thin (because of their low aggregate content of fibrils) and sparsely distributed while reticular fibres are thick, organised in bundles and densely distributed. Fibrils are typically very long, 100 to 500 nm in diameter featuring a cross striation pattern with a 60 to 70 nm spatial periodicity. The diameter of thick collagen bundles can span 2 to 15 µm. Birefringence techniques have been used to characterise the orientation of a supramolecular organisation of collagen bundles in skin [53].

Contributing approximately 2-4% of the dry weight of skin [45], elastin fibres are highly compliant with the ability to stretch elastically to twice their original length [54]. Their diameter ranges between 1 and 3 µm. Their mechanical intertwining with the collagen network of the dermis is what gives the skin its resilience and recoil ability. This is evidenced by the correlation between degradation of elastin/abnormal collagen synthesis associated with ageing and the apparent stiffening of the dermis [27]. This aspect will be shown to be particularly important for the mechanistic model of ageing presented in Sec. 4. The diameter of elastic fibres in the dermis is inversely proportional to their proximity to the papillary layer where they tend to align perpendicular to the dermal-epidermal junction surface.
2.2. Material aspects

At a macroscopic level, the mechanical properties of the skin are anisotropic and inhomogeneous. This is due to the complex hierarchical structure and materially non-linear constituents and residual tension lines in the skin (i.e. the so-called “Langer lines”, first recognised by the Austrian anatomist Karl Langer in his seminal study [55]).

The angular distribution of collagen fibres and the non-uniform fibre geometry means that under stretch not all fibres straighten and stretch equally. This accounts for the anisotropic stiffness response when load is applied either along or across the preferential fibre direction. The magnitude of these directional effects has been the subject of several modern studies. The Youngs modulus parallel to the Langer lines was found to be greater than that perpendicular by a ratio of approximately 2.21:1 [56]. Similarly, Reihsner et al. [49] found that the degree of anisotropy differs across anatomical site, an observation also made by Langer in his original study. In addition, they found that in situ stress ranges from 0.2-1.6 N mg$^{-1}$ along the Langer lines and 0.1-1.3 N mg$^{-1}$ perpendicularly to them, with the degree of anisotropy differing between principle stress components by 0.1-0.3 N mg$^{-1}$.

When the skin is stretched, the elastin fibres are the first to take on stretch [57], indicating that this contribution is important at low strain levels. Stress-strain curves of elastin-free skin [51] show that elastin supports the entire load up to 50% strain after which the strength rapidly increases due to the collagen. The elastic modulus of elastin has been found to be around 1 MPa. This agrees with the Youngs modulus of skin at low strain. In addition, elastin is not strong enough to provide much tensile strength at higher strains [58]. Reihsner et al. [49] state that elastin is responsible for the recoiling of the skin and collagen after stress is applied. Following degradation of the elastin through the use of elastase, Oxlund et al. [51] found that the large strain response occurs sooner for a given tensile load. This seems to suggest that, in the absence of elastin, collagen fibres take on load at lower strain levels than when elastin is present. The ground substance has been shown to influence mainly the viscoelastic properties of the skin because of high-water content and complex time-dependent interstitial fluid motion. Upon removal of various macromolecules within the ground substance, Oxlund and Andreassen [50] showed that there was no effect on the mechanical response of rat skin, while Oomens et al. [59] suggests that ground substance probably only plays a major when soft tissue is subject to compression.

Fig. 2 highlights the typical strain hardening characteristics of skin subjected to uniaxial extension where each portion of the stress-strain curve can be related to the dermal constituents as described in the next paragraph.

Low modulus portion of the stress-strain curve: this is associated with the gradual straightening of crimped collagen fibres. During this stage, the greatest resistance to loading is generated by the elastin and ground substance, with collagen fibres offering very little resistance. The low modulus portion can be further divided into two phases:

Phase 1: wavy collagen fibres are still relaxed and elastin fibres take on the majority of the load.

Phase 2: collagen fibres start to uncrimp, then elongate and eventually start to bear load.
**Linear region of the stress-strain curve**: collagen fibres straighten and align with the load direction. Straightened collagen fibres strongly resist loading. This results in the rapid stiffening of the skin. The steep linear stress-strain relation is due to stretching and slippage between fibrils and molecules.

**Final yield region of the stress-strain curve**: tensile strength of collagen fibres is reached and fibres begin to sequentially break (not shown in Fig. 2).

2.3. Variations of skin structural and material properties with age

It is generally accepted that skin thickness decreases with age [52, 60]. Pawlaczyk et al. [26] found that there is an overall loss of 0.7-0.8 mm of thickness in older skin. It has been found that skin thickness reaches a maximum around the fourth decade for men and third decade for women after which there is a gradual decrease [48], a result similar to that established by Diridollou et al. [61] who found that after an initial increase during maturation (0-20 years), thickness remains constant to about the age of 60 followed by a decrease as follows

\[
t = -6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ [mm/year]} \times \text{age [years]} + 1.3 \text{ [mm]}. \tag{1}
\]

Moreover, the rate of decrease is more significant in female subjects. It is important to highlight that these observations on skin thickness apply to the skin as a whole composite structure as individual skin layers may follow different trends depending on whether intrinsic and extrinsic ageing effects are considered separately or assumed to be combined. After 20 years of age, across all layers, the skin thickness starts to diminish at a rate that increases with age [62]. Between 30 and 80, the unexposed skin can lose up to 50% of its thickness and this effect is accentuated in zones exposed to sunlight such as the face or neck. Overall, epidermal thickness drops by about 6.4% per decade faster in women than men. It is generally believed that the reported reduction in dermal thickness is mainly
caused by the loss of dermal collagen and elastin in elderly adults [63]. It was shown that in post-menopausal women a 1.13% per year skin thickness reduction is correlated with a 2% decrease per year in collagen content [64]. It is also accepted that skin ageing is characterised by an increase in macroscopic or apparent stiffness [26, 36, 65], although there is little agreement on the magnitude of the ground state’s Young’s modulus, or the age of onset of stiffening.

As mentioned by Xu and Lu [36], there is a sudden increase in the Young’s modulus of the skin at age 30 of around 50%, whereas others quote an increase from the age of 45. It was observed by Escoffier et al. [60] that there is an increase of around 20% after the age of 70, which is backed by the findings of Lévêque et al. [48]. Furthermore, Alexander and Cook [65] found that the stiffness of skin starts increasing from the age of 25 but noted that the variation in results increases with age. This suggests that the process of skin ageing is a highly patient specific and may explain the large variation in results reported in the literature. It was found by Escoffier et al. [60] and Lévêque et al. [48] that intrinsic skin extensibility (i.e. a standardised mean extensibility to account for varying skin thickness) decreases with age, while Alexander and Cook [65] found that intrinsic extensibility decreases by around 35% after the age of 65 which agrees with the findings of Xu and Lu [36] in that maximum skin elongation is found between ages 35 and 55. Similarly, skin elasticity (i.e. recoil ability) decreases with age [36, 60], which was similarly found by Henry et al. [66], but this may include the effects of UV radiation.

It has been widely observed that, with age, there is a decrease in the initial portion of the elongation-stress curve [49, 65, 67] which means that the onset of stiffening associated with recruitment of collagen fibres occurs at lower stretch. As elastin is primarily responsible for the low stretch response, it is clear that there must be some modification to the elastin network with age. Elastin is a highly stable protein, the biosynthesis of which remains steady for the first 40 to 50 years, after which there is a decline. Various authors [28, 49, 65, 67] attribute the decrease in the low-modulus portion to the gradual degradation of the elastin network, as well as the deposition of amorphous elastin. This statement is backed by the observation that, upon enzymatic removal of elastin from skin samples, similar effects were obtained to those of ageing.

In the linear region of the stress-strain curve (see Fig. 2), collagen fibres take on tension which results in the typical nonlinear response. It has been reported that the slope of the linear portion of the elongation-stress curve tends to increase with age [65]. This suggests an apparent stiffening of the collagen fibre network with age but not necessarily a stiffening of the collagen fibres themselves. This aspect is supported by the experimental evidence obtained by Daly and Odland [67] and Reihner et al. [49] who note that the final slope of the strain-stress curve of skin in tension remains constant with age, and that the stiffness of the collagen remains constant, possibly due to the reduction in the collagen content in the dermal layer [68, 69]. There is therefore suggestion that the alteration of the skin apparent stiffness is not due to a stiffening of the collagen fibres, but rather to an alteration in the structure of the collagen network. It was found that alterations in total skin thickness were proportional to the alterations in dermal thickness and that, due to the compacting of the dermis with age, collagen bundles tend to flatten and unravel [48]. Coupled with the loss of elastic integrity, Diridolliou et al. [61] postulate that, due to the dermal thinning, collagen fibres may tend to unfold to some extent, which could further explain the reduction in skin extensibility and the smaller low-modulus portion, as collagen is activated sooner.
Reihser et al. [49], Escoffier et al. [60], Agache et al. [70] mention that there is increased crosslinking with age, which would reduce any slippage between neighbouring fibres and stiffen up the collagen network. Anisotropy tends to increase with age suggesting that there is an increase in alignment along Langer lines with age [29, 71], or at least, a correlation between age, microstructurally-induced anisotropy and Langer lines, although Tonge et al. [45] found a decrease in overall anisotropy with age.

In summary, three factors that certainly influence biomechanical behaviour of skin with age are:

1. Increased cross-linking between collagen fibres - collagen network density increases with age as well as a decrease in fibre free length. Collagen fibres are thus more compact and appear to unravel.
2. The loss of elasticity at low strain is attributed to the destruction of the elastin network. Elastic fibres become thinner and fractionated with age. The decreased extensibility properties of skin with age are generally attributed to the loss of elastin in the upper dermis with age.
3. Water content tends to decrease with age, which alters the overall viscoelastic properties.

3. Continuum model of skin

3.1. Notation

Direct notation is adopted throughout. The scalar product of two vectors \( \mathbf{a} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) is denoted by \( \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \). The scalar product of two second-order tensors \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) is denoted by \( \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} \). The composition of two second-order tensors \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) is denoted by \( \mathbf{AB} \). The action of a second-order tensor \( \mathbf{A} \) on a vector \( \mathbf{b} \) is a vector denoted by \( \mathbf{Ab} \). The unit basis vectors in the Cartesian (standard-orthonormal) basis are \( \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\} \).

3.2. Finite strain kinematics

Consider a continuum body, here representing skin, defined in relation to the Cartesian reference frame. The reference configuration is defined as the placement of this body at time \( t = 0 \), with that region denoted by \( \Omega_0 \) and boundary \( \partial\Omega_0 \) with outward unit normal \( \mathbf{N} \). As the body deforms, this region takes on subsequent configurations. At a current time \( t \), the body occupies an arbitrary region \( \Omega \) referred to as the current configuration and current boundary surface \( \partial\Omega \) with outward unit normal \( \mathbf{n} \). For an extensive overview of continuum mechanics the reader is referred to [72, 73], among others.

It is assumed that there exists a mapping \( \chi \) such that each material point with position \( \mathbf{X} \in \Omega_0 \) uniquely maps to a spatial point with position \( \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \) at time \( t \), i.e.

\[
\mathbf{x} = \chi(\mathbf{X}, t) \quad \forall \mathbf{X} \in \Omega_0 .
\]

The motion \( \chi \) is assumed to possess continuous derivatives both in space and time. The deformation of the body can characterized by the deformation gradient \( \mathbf{F} \), i.e. the material gradient of the motion, defined by

\[
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, t) := \frac{\partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \text{Grad}\chi(\mathbf{X}, t) .
\]
The determinant of $F$ is defined by $J := \det F > 0$. The right Cauchy–Green tensor $C$, defined by $C := F^T F$, provides a stretch measure in the reference configuration. Additionally, the scalar invariants of $C$ are defined by

$$I_1(C) := \text{tr}(C) = I : C, \quad I_2(C) := \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(C)^2 - \text{tr}(C^2)), \quad I_3(C) := \det(C). \quad (2)$$

Consider now the case of transverse isotropy where the material properties depend on a single given direction (which is a defining feature of the present constitutive formulation for skin). The preferred material direction is given by $v_0$. The fabric tensor is defined by

$$A_0 := v_0 \otimes v_0.$$ An additional invariant characteristic of transverse isotropic symmetry is defined by

$$I_4(C, v_0) = v_0 \cdot Cv_0 = \lambda^2,$$ where $\lambda$ is the principal stretch along vector $v_0$ defined in the reference configuration.

### 3.3. Constitutive relations for invariant-based transversely isotropic hyperelasticity

A hyperelastic material is one for which a free energy $\psi$, defined per unit reference volume, acts as a potential for the stress. For homogeneous materials, the free energy is purely a function of the deformation gradient, i.e. $\psi = \psi(F)$. The first and second Piola–Kirchhoff stress measures, $P$ and $S$, are defined by

$$P = \frac{\partial \psi(F)}{\partial F} \quad \text{and} \quad S = 2 \frac{\partial \psi(C)}{\partial C},$$

where $P = FS$. Under the assumption of material isotropy, the dependence of the free energy on $C$ can be be expressed in terms of the $n_{\text{inv}}$ invariants of $C$. The second Piola–Kirchhoff stress is thus given by

$$S = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{inv}}} \frac{\partial \psi(C)}{\partial I_i} \frac{\partial I_i}{\partial C}. \quad (4)$$

### 3.4. Balance relations

The balance of linear momentum, in the absence of inertial forces, and the natural boundary condition are given by

$$\text{Div} P + B = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (5)$$

$$T = PN = T \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{0,N}, \quad (6)$$

where $B$ is the body force vector defined in the reference configuration and $\text{Div}$ is the material divergence operator. The Piola traction $T$ is prescribed on the Neumann part.
of the boundary $\partial \Omega_{0,N} \subset \partial \Omega_0$. Dirichlet boundary conditions on the motion $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0$ are prescribed on $\partial \Omega_{0,D}$ where $\partial \Omega_0 = \partial \Omega_{0,D} \cup \partial \Omega_{0,N}$ and $\partial \Omega_{0,D} \cap \partial \Omega_{0,N} = \emptyset$.

### 3.5. Constitutive assumptions for the dermis

Based on the experimental evidence reported in Sec. 2, it is assumed that elastin and ground substance are the main contributors to the low modulus portion of the stress-strain curve (see Fig. 2) which is largely linear and isotropic. The second region of the loading curve is dominated by the mechanical response of collagen fibres. As the collagen fibres straighten and take on load, they exponentially resist further stretch which results in a rapid nonlinear strain stiffening or locking behaviour. This behaviour is also strongly anisotropic due the inherent preferred alignment of collagen fibres along the direction of extension. As the stiffening response of the skin is dominated by the response of the collagen network, the formulation of an effective microstructurally-motivated model is essential. Hence, it is postulated that the free energy describing the overall mechanical behaviour of the dermis, assumed to be that of the skin because of the negligible contributions of the epidermis in tension, is given by

$$\Psi = \Psi_{gs} + \Psi_{elastin} + \Psi_{collagen},$$

where $\Psi_{gs}$, $\Psi_{elastin}$, and $\Psi_{collagen}$ represent the free energy contributions from the ground substance, elastin and collagen, respectively.

To capture the behaviour at low stretches, the elastin and ground substance energies are given by the following compressible neo-Hookean type free energy

$$\Psi_{gs} + \Psi_{elastin} = (\alpha_{gs} + \alpha_{elastin}) \left( I_1 - 3 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( I_3^{-\beta} - 1 \right) \right)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\bullet} = \frac{\mu_{\bullet}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\nu}{1 - 2\nu}$$

are constitutive parameters, respectively associated with the shear and volumetric response, and $\bullet$ is either gs or elastin. The shear modulus is denoted by $\mu$, and $\nu$ is the ground state Poisson’s ratio of the composite material represented by the ground substance and elastin phases. Here, the nonlinear, anisotropic and network nature of the collagen response is captured through a transversely isotropic network eight-chain model proposed by Kuhl et al. [38]. This model is based on theories related to the micromechanics of macromolecule mechanical networks [74, 75] and is a particularisation of the orthotropic eight-chain model developed by Bischoff et al. [76]. In these mechanical descriptions of macromolecular networks, long molecular chains are assumed to rearrange their conformation under the influence of random thermal fluctuations (i.e. entropic forces). Unlike polymer chains in rubber [38] which have conformations reminiscent of a random walk, biopolymer chains such as collagen assemblies feature smoothly varying curvature and are therefore considered correlated. This type of idealised molecular chains is best described using the concept of wormlike chains of Kratky and Porod [77]. This approach was successfully applied to model the mechanics of DNA molecules.
by Marko and Siggia [78]. Since then, wormlike chain models have been used to de-
scribe the structure and mechanical behaviour of collagen assemblies in the context of
skin modelling [40, 76, 79–82] and other fibrous biological soft tissues [38, 39, 83, 84].
It is important to note that, in the development of microstructurally-based constitutive
theories, these macromolecular chains could also be defined or interpreted as tropocol-
lagen molecules, collagen micro-fibrils, fibrils, fibres or fibre bundles. If considering
supra-molecular scales, it is clear that the wormlike chain energy is no longer associ-
ated with the notion of entropic elasticity and true molecular behaviour but is rather
a microstructurally-motivated macroscopic phenomenological energy that capture well
the strain-stiffening behaviour of collagenous structures. In the present approach, it is
assumed that correlated chains represent collagen fibres (Fig. 3). This assumption is
reasonable and sufficient to demonstrate how microstructural alterations of the dermis
as a function of ageing could lead to macroscopic stiffening of the dermis. In molecular
network theories, molecular chains are assumed to be made of beads connected by \( N \)
rigid links of equal length \( d \), the so-called Kuhn length [85], so that the maximum length
of a chain, the contour length, is \( L = Nd \).

The mechanics of macromolecular polymer structures is not only governed by the
mechanical properties of individual chains but also by their electromagnetic and mechanical
interactions which can take the form of covalent bonds, entanglement and physical
cross-links. These combined effects give rise to strong isotropic and anisotropic network
properties which can be implicitly and effectively captured by network models such as the
eight-chain model of Arruda and Boyce [74] or Kuhl et al. [38]. The essential assumption
underpinning these formulations is that there exists a representative microscopic unit cell
able to capture network properties. The original eight-chain model of Arruda and Boyce
[74] assumes that the unit cell is made of eight entropic chains of equal lengths con-
ected from the centre of the cell to each of its corners (Fig. 3), each equipped with their
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Figure 3: Wormlike chain assembly. The successive chain link is correlated to the chain before it. \( A \) is
the persistence length, \( r \) is the effective end-to-end length and \( L \) is the chain contour length.

The mechanics of macromolecular polymer structures is not only governed by the
mechanical properties of individual chains but also by their electromagnetic and mechanical
interactions which can take the form of covalent bonds, entanglement and physical
cross-links. These combined effects give rise to strong isotropic and anisotropic network
properties which can be implicitly and effectively captured by network models such as the
eight-chain model of Arruda and Boyce [74] or Kuhl et al. [38]. The essential assumption
underpinning these formulations is that there exists a representative microscopic unit cell
able to capture network properties. The original eight-chain model of Arruda and Boyce
[74] assumes that the unit cell is made of eight entropic chains of equal lengths con-
nected from the centre of the cell to each of its corners (Fig. 3), each equipped with their
own entropic energy $\Psi_{\text{wormlike}}$. For correlated chains, one would consider the following wormlike chain energy defined by

$$
\Psi_{\text{wormlike}} = \Psi_0 + \frac{k\theta L}{4A} \left( 2 \frac{r^2}{L^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{r}{L})} - \frac{r}{L} \right),
$$

where $L$, $A$, $r_0$, and $r$ and are respectively the contour, persistence, initial end-to-end length and the current end-to-end length of the chain (see Fig. 3), and $\Psi_0$ is the wormlike chain energy in the unperturbed state. The wormlike chain has the defining characteristic that the chain segments are correlated and exhibit a smooth curvature along the contour. This correlated form is captured by the persistence length $A$. The persistence length can be viewed as a measure of stiffness. Garikipati et al. [40] refers to it as a measurement of the degree to which a chain departs from a straight line, while Marko and Siggia [78] interpret it as the characteristic length over which a bend can be made with energy cost $k\theta$, where $k = 1.38064852 \text{ J K}^{-1}$ is the Boltzmann constant and $\theta$ the absolute temperature.

In order to incorporate such chain models into an invariant-based constitutive framework it is necessary to relate the individual chain stretch to the overall or macroscopic deformation. To that end, the principle of affinity is invoked so that the macroscopic and unit cell principal directions are identical. Due to the symmetry of the chain structure, the stretch of each chain can be found as a function of the principle stretches. In Fig. 4 a unit cell arrangement of dimensions $a \times a \times b$ is depicted. For the case of anisotropy $a \neq b$. Additionally, the unit cell is characterised by the unit vector $v_0$ that corresponds to the (local) preferred orientation of the collagen network. The undeformed end-to-end length of each of the individual chains is given by

$$
r_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{a^2 + 2b^2}.
$$

The deformed end-to-end length $r$ can be expressed using the invariants defined in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) by

$$
r = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{I_4 a^2 + (I_1 - I_4)b^2}.
$$

The invariants $I_1$, $I_3$ and $I_4$ are characteristic of the macroscopic deformations of the polymer. It is assumed that the microscopic stretch along the direction $v_0$ is captured by the macroscopic term $I_4$. This effectively couples the microscopic and macroscopic length scales giving rise to a multiscale model. The relative stretch of a collagen fibre is defined by $\lambda_r := r/r_0$.

The final term of Eq. (7) is further decomposed into the additive form as

$$
\Psi_{\text{collagen}} = \Psi_{\text{chain}} + \Psi_{\text{repulsive}},
$$

where $\Psi_{\text{chain}}$ reflects the effective assembly of the eight chain energies, i.e. $\Psi_{\text{chain}} := \gamma_{\text{chain}} \Psi_{\text{wormlike}}$ and $\Psi_{\text{repulsive}}$ is a repulsive energy that ensures the initial configuration is stress free and that the chain does not collapse. $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ denotes the chain density per unit cell. Sáez et al. [84] interprets $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ as the number of molecules within a collagen fibril, while Bischoff et al. [76] describes it as the collagen fibre density which in the
context of collagen, this corresponds to the number of fibres within a bundle. It follows that

\[
\Psi_{\text{chain}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{chain}} k L \theta}{4 A} \left( 2 \frac{r^2}{L^2} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{L}} - \frac{r}{L} \right),
\]

\[
\Psi_{\text{repulsion}} = -\frac{\gamma_{\text{chain}} k \theta}{4 A} \left( \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{4 r_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{r}{L}} - \frac{1}{4 r_0} \right) \left( \ln \left( L^2 \right) - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left( \frac{I_1^2}{2} \right) \right).
\]

All length quantities have been normalised by the link length $L$ which is the length of a single link along the wormlike chain assembly. A description of the constitutive parameters of $\Psi_{\text{collagen}}$ is given in Table 1.

Following Eq. (4), the generic expression of the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor in terms of the deformation invariants is given by

$$
P = \left( \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{gs}}}{\partial I_1} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{elastin}}}{\partial I_1} \right) F + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{chain}}}{\partial I_4} F (v_0 \otimes v_0) + I_3 \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{bulk}}}{\partial I_3} F^{-T}.
$$

### 3.6. Weak form of the governing relations

The weak form of the governing and the accompanying Neumann boundary condition in Eq. (5)–(6) is essential for establishing the approximate solution using the finite element method (FEM). Multiplying Eq. (5) by an arbitrary vector-valued motion $\delta u$ and integrating by parts the result over the reference configuration $\Omega_0$ yields the expression
of the residual for the equilibrium equation as

$$\int_{\Omega_0} \text{Grad} \delta u : P \, dV - \int_{\Omega_0} \delta u \cdot B \, dV - \int_{\partial \Omega_0 \cdot N} \delta u \cdot T \, dA = 0. \quad (11)$$

**4. Microstructurally-based mechanistic modelling of ageing**

The central hypothesis underpinning this study is that the effects of ageing on mechanical properties of the tissue are directly linked to alterations in the microstructural characteristics of the collagen and elastin networks. In this section, some of the constitutive parameters introduced in Sec. 3 are motivated as plausible mechanistic descriptions of the intrinsic ageing process as evidenced by experimental observations (see Table 2). It is worthy to point out that, in reality, the material and structural effects of ageing are likely to be coupled and would therefore lead to a dependency between constitutive parameters. For sake of simplicity, and in the absence of relevant experimental data, this interplay is not accounted for here. The effect of intrinsic ageing on the ground substance manifests itself as a more pronounced viscoelastic response with age. As the constitutive model for the ground substance only capture the elastic response it is assumed that ageing does not affect the ground substance related parameters in Eq. (8).

| Constituent        | Ageing effect                          | Parameter   |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| Ground substance   | Increased water content results in more pronounced viscoelasticity | n/a         |
| Elastin            | Loss of elasticity                      | $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ |
|                    | Destruction of elastin network          | $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ |
| Collagen           | Loss of mature collagen                 | $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ |
|                    | Increased crosslinking                  | $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ |
|                    | Flattening and unravelling of collagen network | $a, b$     |
|                    | Alterations in anisotropy               | $a, b$     |

Table 2: Description of the constitutive parameters the evolution of which is associated with ageing.
The elastin network is observed to degrade with age. The loss of elastic integrity would logically lead to a reduced contribution from the elastin component to the composite strain energy function (Eq. (7)) of the constitutive model. One approach could have been to introduce a volume fraction for elastin, ground substance and collagen. Degradation of the elastin network would have then been modelled as a reduction in volume fraction of elastin. Here, instead of using the latter approach, the value of $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ is assumed to decrease with age. It should be noted again that elastin is a highly-stable protein which undergoes little turnover before the age of 40. Thus $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ would remain constant for the first four decades.

Within the current model, there is no natural parameter that explicitly describes the level of collagen crosslinking at any point in time. It is believed that the increased crosslinking with age is one factor responsible for the increased stiffness of the skin. Through increasing $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$, it is expected that a stiffer response will be elicited. Although $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ describes the number of fibres within a bundle, it represents the closest link between the observed increase in crosslinking with age and the model. It would be expected that a decrease in collagen density would contribute to a decrease in the overall stiffness of the skin as the collagen network loses integrity. Thus it is reasonable to assume that a decrease in $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ with age could describe a loss of collagen. It is impossible to decode the complex interplay between these two factors.

As discussed previously, the slope of the linear region of the stress-strain curve for skin, as a composite structure, remains constant with age but the onset of strain hardening occurs for lower strains. This suggests that there might be a mechanism that simply alters the structural characteristics of the collagen network and not the mechanical properties of collagen microfibrils. In their unloaded states collagen fibres are crimped. It is believed that this conformational state is due to the presence of highly cross-linked elastic fibres mainly elastin fibres [87] - and is also the result of active tensions exerted by fibroblasts. Any reduction in fibroblast density in the collagen dermal network would have an effect on these active forces and on the rest state of collagen fibres. Such a reduction would tend to relax the residual strain/tension in the dermis. This would make collagen fibres less crimped and therefore their apparent length would be closer to their fully taut length. Thus, when the skin is macroscopically loaded in tension, the dermal tissue will not exhibit a very pronounced toe region corresponding to the progressive uncrimping of collagen fibres but will rather reach the stiffer linear region much quicker. The corollary of this observation is that for a given applied macroscopic strain to the skin, the response of the intrinsically-aged dermal collagen network will be stiffer. With age, due to dermal flattening and the loss of elastic recoil, the collagen fibres are observed to uncrimp [27]. In the chain network structure of the model, this is captured by adjustments to the end-to-end length of the collagen fibres through the parameters $a$ and $b$. By increasing $a$ and $b$ there will be a reduction in the range of strain of the low modulus portion prior to the onset of the collagen response at lower stretches. Thus by increasing $a$ and $b$ the model should be capable of capturing the stiffening response. Additionally, the anisotropic response of the skin is observed to change with age. Tonge et al. [46] reports a loss of anisotropy through observations of the bulge test. As the model developed here will be compared to the bulge tests at various ages, it is this behaviour that is intended to be captured. By reducing the ratio of $a/b$, either by increasing $b$ or decreasing $a$ or a combination of both, this should be obtained. Undeniably, there is a reduction in the thickness of the skin with age. Such an observation is backed by numerous sources in
the literature [52, 60, 61], as mentioned in the previous section, but there is still much debate over the exact relationship between age and skin thinning. The dermal thickness changes with age which will be captured directly in the geometry.

5. Numerical simulations of the bulge test

5.1. Details of the finite element implementation

The highly-nonlinear system of governing relations (11) are solved using the finite element method. The system of equations is linearised using a global Newton–Raphson procedure. The finite element library AceGen [88, 89] is used to implement the solution scheme. An adaptive incremental loading scheme is employed to ensure convergence of the numerical scheme.

5.2. Parameter identification

In the literature, multiple mechanical skin tests have been proposed to characterise the skin response to loading. The variety of skin tests and different methods to perform them, as well as the natural skin variation that exists through factors such as ethnicity, gender, age and anatomical site has resulted in a broad and varying characterisation of skin behaviour. In general, in vivo, in both physiological and supra-physiological conditions, the skin exhibits material nonlinearity, anisotropy, viscoelasticity and near incompressibility and can also sustain large deformations [3]. However, elicitation and relevance of these characteristics is highly variable. Although there are many experimental techniques to characterise certain aspects of skin mechanics [1], bulge tests are considered here. Performed in vitro, the bulge test applies a positive pressure to the underside of an excised skin sample. The skin sample is fixed at a specified diameter aperture which allows for “bulge-like” deformation of the sample under pressure. Deformations are measured and linked to the state of stress so that constitutive parameters can be identified [45, 46]. The choice of the bulge experiment as a test-bed for the constitutive and computational models developed here is motivated by the following factors:

- As the experiments are performed in vitro, the boundary conditions of the numerical model are simpler to define and impose.
- The large deformations induced in the physical tests ensure that the various components of the constitutive model would contribute. At small deformations the elastin and ground substance will assume the dominant role, and ultimately, as macroscopic strains increase, the collagen fibres will become active and dictate the majority of the response at large deformations.
- The specimens were taken from the back of the patients, thus minimising any photoageing effects such as those observed on sun-exposed regions (e.g. the face).
- The experimental results published by Tonge et al. [45, 46] provided a broad range of kinematic measurements with which to compare the models.
Crucially, for the present study, the experiments by Tonge et al. [45, 46] were conducted on skin samples harvested from donors featuring a broad range of ages. This allows the correlation between age and mechanical properties (i.e. constitutive parameters) to be studied.

The procedure for the bulge test follows that detailed in [45] where $10 \times 10$ cm skin specimens were procured from the back torso of donors, ages ranging from 43 to 83 years. After excision, the adipose tissue was removed and tissue thicknesses measured. The thickness, gender, age and anatomical site of the samples are listed in Table 3. Thickness was measured at the centre of each edge and an average taken. This average was used as the uniform thickness of the sample in the numerical model.

| Age | Gender | Site           | Thickness [mm] |
|-----|--------|----------------|----------------|
| 43  | Male   | Lower back     | 4.86           |
| 44  | Male   | Lower back     | 4.38           |
| 59  | Female | Unknown        | 5.18           |
| 61  | Male   | Left upper back| 2.01           |
| 62  | Female | Unknown        | 2.95           |
| 83  | Male   | Unknown        | 2.43           |

Table 3: Donor and specimen information from Tonge et al. [45].

The specimens were glued to a 7.5 cm diameter ring. The coordinate system for the samples was set such that the $y$-axis corresponded to the vertical body axis and the $x$-axis to the horizontal body axis, as shown in Fig. 5. Fibre and perpendicular directions can thus be defined by the angle $\Phi$ from the horizontal axis. Controlling for relative humidity and temperature, samples were inflated through a controlled applied pressure, with a maximum pressure of 5.516 kPa. Upon inflation the skin samples deformed to an elliptical dome. The dimensions of the ellipse are used to determine the dominant fibre direction with $\Phi$ defined accordingly.

In this part of the study, the objective is to use a finite element updating technique [1, 90, 91] to identify the constitutive parameters of the transversely isotropic eight-chain model of the skin for various ages. Fig. 6 shows the discretisation of the skin sample. The skin thickness is set to the dermal thicknesses as specified in Table 3. The geometry is discretised using 8-noded hexahedral trilinear elements, with 5 elements through the thickness. Through a mesh sensitivity study, it was found that 46,416 nodes ensured a sufficiently converged solution. The nodes on the upper surface outside the bulge diameter of 7.5 cm and the outer edges are held fixed. The pressure loading condition is applied to the bottom surface. As proposed in the previous Section, only the original network dimensions $a$ and $b$, $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ are assumed to be variable. The rest of the parameters are assumed age invariant and are given by $L = 2.125$, $A = 1.82$, $\gamma_{gs} = 100$ Pa, $\beta = 4.5$, $\theta = 310$ K. Furthermore, only the tests for male specimens are simulated in order to exclude the influence of variations between genders. The parameters at each age are found through an inverse numerical procedure.
Figure 5: Body axes: the $y$-axis corresponds to the vertical body axis and the $x$-axis to the horizontal body axis. Recreated from Tonge et al. [46].

Figure 6: Finite element mesh used for the bulge tests.

In Fig. 7, the profile of the skin obtained from the finite element simulation of the bulge test on the 44 years-old male specimen is given at monotonously-increasing pressure loading from 34.37 Pa to 5.52 kPa. In each figure, the profiles are given along and perpendicular to the fibre direction $v_0$ as illustrated by the arrows in each subfigure.

The bulge specimen undergoes a rapid initial displacement while the stress state is still within the low modulus portion of the stress-strain curve. This can be seen in the plot of the pressure versus the in-plane stretch where a small increment in pressure induces a large change in stretch (Fig. 8). During this phase, the elastin and ground substance contributions dominate the mechanical response and offer little resistance to inflation.
The divergence of the pressure-stretch lines indicates the activation of the anisotropic collagen network. In terms of the material model, the collagen energy contribution is no longer negligible as the end-to-end chain length $r$ sustains significant stretch and approaches the contour length $L$. For the age 44 specimen, above 400 Pa further stretch parallel to the fibre direction no longer occurs whereas perpendicular stretch continues to increase with pressure. This results in the response shown in Fig. 7(c) where at 502 Pa the profiles along the perpendicular and parallel directions differ. Mechanical anisotropy is amplified with increased pressure as the perpendicular stretch increases. As the specimen is deformed, exponentially more pressure is needed to attain further deformation which is characterised by the locking type limit for many biological soft tissues and engineered polymers. In Fig. 7(d) and Fig. 7(e) displacement at high pressure occurs laterally in the perpendicular fibre direction.

The 8-chain model is now used to simulate the stretch behaviour of the skin at increasing pressure at increasing age, and the results summarised in Fig. 8. The stretch is measured parallel and perpendicular to the dominant fibre direction. In general, the stretch behaviour with increasing age is reasonably captured. The fit at age 43 is notably poor which suggests that there is an additional directional dependence not captured by the model or a missing constituent contribution (i.e. an incomplete constitutive model), or experimental error.

Excluding the response of the age 43 test, the identified parameters shown in Table 4 are in agreement with the hypotheses advocated in Sec. 2. Note, $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ does not vary monotonically with age, with the same values obtained for age 44 and 83. This suggests...
that $\gamma_{\text{chain}}$ is not age dependent. The difference in the initial end-to-end lengths as dictated by the increased values of $a$ and $b$ allows for the difference in maximum stretches observed in the experiments. Additionally the ratio between the two changes with age with $a : b = 4.313 : 1, 2.84 : 1, 2.836 : 1$ at age 44, 61 and 83 respectively. This reduction, especially between age 44 and 61 is indicative of the expected reduction in anisotropy. The value of $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ decreases almost linearly with age, with an approximate reduction of $100 \text{ N m}^{-2}$ every five years.

| Parameter | Age 43 | Age 44 | Age 61 | Age 83 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $a$       | 3.58   | 3.45   | 3.55   | 3.65   |
| $b$       | 0.5    | 0.8    | 1.25   | 1.287  |
| $\gamma_{\text{chain}}(\times 10^{22})$ | 6 m$^{-3}$ | 0.856 m$^{-3}$ | 0.0856 m$^{-3}$ | 0.856 m$^{-3}$ |
| $\alpha_{\text{elastin}}$ | 1000 N m$^{-2}$ | 1300 N m$^{-2}$ | 1000 N m$^{-2}$ | 500 N m$^{-2}$ |

Table 4: Wormlike 8-chain model parameter values for the age 43, 44, 61 and 83 bulge test.
6. Validation of ageing model

The factors discussed in the previous sections provide a means by which the skin constitutive model (and its associated finite element model replicating bulge tests) can capture ageing effects in a continuous sense through the modification of a few selected parameters. Using the $a$ and $b$ parameter values as found from the age 44, 61 and 83 fits, general ageing trends were established. It is clear that a mere three data points is insufficient in order to conclusively determine an ageing trend, but they are adequate for the current proof of concept. Shape-preserving fitting algorithms in Matlab (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) were used to find a continuous evolution of $a$ and $b$ as shown in Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 9(b). As expected, there is a general increase in both parameters with age. $a$ evolves almost linearly with age whereas $b$ undergoes a large increase between age 44 and 61 followed by a plateau. This suggests that between age 44 and 61 there is a more significant loss of anisotropy due to a realignment or redistribution of the collagen fibres as a network as compared to later in life.

![Figure 9: Network dimension and skin thickness trends with age as found through bulge test fits.](image)

The skin thickness data as presented in Table 3 was used to determine a linear equation for the skin thickness as a function of age. From Fig. 9(c) it can observed that a very rough fit has been established due to highly irregular data. The linear fit is sufficient.
and is given by

\[ t = -0.0047 \text{[mm/year]} \times \text{age [years]} + 0.59 \text{[mm]}. \]  

(12)

The overall decrease in skin thickness is consistent with experimental values found in the literature. With reference to Eq. (1), the gradient of the proposed relation is comparable. The difference in the y-intercept value is due to Eq. (1) accounting for thinning from the age of 60 while Eq. (12) does not account for the fact that thinning does not occur earlier in life.

With the established trends, bulge test simulations were run at 5 year increments. Table 5 contains the adjustments to the parameters of interest. As mentioned, \( \alpha_{\text{elastin}} \) decreases from 1300 N/m\(^2\) at age 44 by 100 N/m\(^2\) every 5 years.

| Age | \( a \) | \( b \) | \( \alpha_{\text{elastin}} \) |
|-----|------|------|----------------|
| 49  | 3.483| 0.984| 1200           |
| 54  | 3.513| 1.14 | 1100           |
| 59  | 3.54 | 1.236| 1000           |
| 64  | 3.564| 1.26 | 900            |
| 69  | 3.587| 1.273| 800            |
| 74  | 3.613| 1.282| 700            |
| 79  | 3.634| 1.287| 600            |
| 84  | 3.654| 1.288| 500            |
| 89  | 3.671| 1.285| 400            |

Table 5: Modification of age dependent model parameters.

In Fig. 10 the simulated evolution of the stretch behaviour with age is given, and compared to the experimental data at ages 44, 61 and 83. As expected, the general ageing-induced trend is captured as the skin stiffens with age. The maximum stretch obtained drops significantly between ages 44 and 59, with less significant drops thereafter. This is to be expected primarily due to the trend in parameter \( b \) as given in Fig. 10(b), where there is an initial sharp increase followed by a plateau. The convergence of the stretch values between ages 59 and 89 is due to the initial end-to-end length \( r_0 \) approaching the contour length \( L \). As \( r_0 \) increases with age, the amount of allowable macroscopic stretch is reduced.

The contour plots of the displacement components for the age 44 specimen is displayed in Fig. 11(I), with the fibre direction along the \( x \)-axis. A comparison is given with the experimental results obtained by Tonge et al. [46]. As expected, the contour profiles for each displacement component are comparable and the overall behaviour of the simulations matches the experimental results. The age 44 simulations accurately capture the displacement along the \( x \)-axis Fig. 11(Ia), but the displacements along the \( y \)- and \( z \)-axes Fig. 11(IIa-Ic) are slightly overestimated by approximately 0.5 mm and 2 mm, respectively. This overestimation arises from a constituent contribution not captured in the model. It is also possible that the effect of the rig set-up is not appropriately
Figure 15: Pressure vs in-plane stretch simulations at increasing age according to proposed
modification of age dependent model parameters. Left: Parallel stretch. Right: Perpendicular stretch.

As mentioned, increments. Table 5 contains the adjustments to the parameters of interest.

With the established trends, bulge test simulations were run at 5 year increments. Table 5 contains the adjustments to the parameters of interest.

Figure 16: Displacement comparison. Left: Experimental data, age 44. Right: 8-chain simulation, age 44.

Table 5:

| Parameters | Age 44 | Age 61 | Age 83 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pressure (kPa) | 1300 | 900 | 500 |
| X component of displacement | 3.671 | 3.564 | 3.483 |
| Y component of displacement | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Z component of displacement | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |

Figure 17: Pressure vs in-plane stretch simulations at increasing age according to proposed
modification of age dependent model parameters. Left: Parallel stretch. Right: Perpendicular stretch.

captured in the simulation. The guard ring may introduce a compressive force through the thickness that would limit the extent of the deformation.

Figure 18: Displacement comparison. Left: Experimental data, age 83. Right: 8-chain simulation, age 84.

Table 5:

| Parameters | Age 44 | Age 61 | Age 83 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Pressure (kPa) | 1200 | 800 | 400 |
| X component of displacement | 3.654 | 3.587 | 3.564 |
| Y component of displacement | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Z component of displacement | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |

Figure 11: Plots of deformed profile for the bulge test coloured by the various components of the
displacement [mm]. The simulations are compared to the experimental results of Tonge et al. [46] for
various different ages (I–III).

Fig. 11(I–III) show the simulated contour profile of the bulge test for samples according to the proposed “ageing” of the various parameters. These profiles are compared to experimental results at approximately the same age, as extracted from the data obtained by Tonge et al. [46]. It is important to note that in the experimental specimens the Langer lines were not aligned with the body axes. The Langer lines were oriented at 64° and ~24.6° degrees to the x-axis for the age 61 and 83 specimens, respectively, as indicated in the figures. This alteration in the orientation is reflected in the simulated
results.

The profile comparison between the age 64 simulated and age 61 experimental results are very similar to those of age 44. The alteration in the fibre orientation is captured quite satisfactorily, with the resulting axial asymmetry in the components of displacement along the x- and y-axes represented accurately. The z component of displacement in the out-of-plane direction is acceptably captured, although there seems to be an overestimation in the level of anisotropy in the simulated results. In terms of the magnitude of the displacements, the y-axis components of the age 61 experiment and age 64 simulation are similar, but the simulations overestimate the other two components. This is not too surprising considering the unsatisfactory fit with the pressure-stretch data at age 61 as shown in Fig. 10.

The age 84 simulations were orientated at $-24.6^\circ$ to the positive x-axis in order to replicate the age 83 experimental contour plots. There is sufficient agreement in the general profile of the simulated results when compared to the experimental data. The only discrepancy lies in the component along the x-axis of the experimental data as shown in Fig. 11(IIIa), where the lack of symmetry suggests a possible defect in the skin specimen, such as a non-uniform skin thickness or an irregularity on a constituent level, such as an inconsistent collagen distribution or dispersion. Despite this, the displacements along the x- and y-axes of the age 84 simulated results are comparable to the experimental results, but there is again a minor overestimation in the z-axis component.

7. Discussion

The impact of ageing on the mechanical response of human skin was investigated using a microstructurally-motivated constitutive continuum description featuring distinct phases representing the ground substance, elastin and collagen. The ground substance and elastin contributions were modelled by an isotropic, coupled, and compressible neo-Hookean free energy. For collagen, the primary load-bearing constituent of skin in tension, a wormlike eight-chain model motivated by the fibrous and intertwined nature of the collagen network was selected. This approach captures well the inherent nonlinearity and anisotropy of the skin under finite deformations.

A subset of the constitutive parameters whose age-dependent variation has the most physically justified influence on the response was identified. The parameters controlled age-related structural changes such as loss of integrity within the elastin network, dermal thinning and unravelling of the collagen network. The continuum model was then used to predict the response of bulge tests which, compared to uniaxial extension tests, provide a richer set of deformations and stress states to evaluate the model performance. The proposed constitutive model was found to adequately capture the mechanical response.

By experimentally-informed modification to the constitutive parameters the ageing process was successfully replicated. The reduction in stiffness at low stretches (low modulus portion) through degradation of the elastin network was well captured through modification of the elastin free energy. Results show that degradation of the elastin meshwork and variations in anisotropy of the collagen network are plausible mechanisms to explain ageing in terms of macroscopic tissue stiffening. Whereas alterations in elastin affect the low-strain region of the skin stress-strain curve, those related to collagen have an impact on its (large strain) linear region.
As with any modelling study, and despite the ability of the proposed constitutive model to capture ageing effects, some limitations can be identified and form the basis for future improvements. In order to incorporate an age-based modification to the model microstructural constitutive parameters, experimental bulge test data was used at ages 44, 61 and 83. At only three sample points, this does not represent an adequate range of data with which to make a conclusive parameter fit. Therefore, for a more comprehensive ageing model to be developed, it would be necessary to include data from a statistically significant number of specimens over a large range of age groups. Additionally, experimental samples would need to be controlled for several factors so as to limit variability, or at least, to characterise it so it could be accounted for in the modelling. Of significant importance would be control over anatomical site. Not only should skin from the same site of the patients be tested, but also controlled for segregating the respective influence of intrinsic ageing and photoageing.

The model does not explicitly account for crosslinking within the collagen network, and therefore, cannot provide a mechanistic description of this effect. Crosslinking prevents slippage between fibres and accordingly contributes toward the stiffness elicited by the collagen network under stretch [92]. With age, crosslinking has been observed to increase which has often been attributed as a possible source of the increase in macroscopic stiffness [93]. Here, more complex chemomechanobiological processes are at play and increase in crosslinking might rather be a by-product of UV radiation exposure and glycation associated with diabetes [27] rather than the consequence of intrinsic ageing per se. Modifications of crosslinking properties are implicitly captured in the eight-chain model. For a more explicit description of fibre-to-fibre and matrix-to-fibre mechanical/physical interactions, other approaches are possible. One could use dedicated tensor invariants [94, 95] that segregate deformation modes associated with such interactions or one could use multiscale mechanistic micromechanical constitutive models that explicitly describe these interactions [96–98].

The mechanical response of the collagen meshwork was captured using an eight-chain entropic model based on a wormlike chain energy. This type of model is rooted in statistical mechanics where the assumption is that long chain macromolecular networks can take a very large number of possible conformations. This assumption might be questionable as it is unclear whether the highly-bonded and hierarchical structure of collagen assemblies allows degrees of freedom for all states to be sampled in an entropy-dominated regime. Moreover, the wormlike chain is assumed to represent an individual fibre or a bundle of collagen fibres. At that length scale, it is expected that enthalpic effects would be dominant over entropic effects. However, energetic elasticity models can also model the typical strain hardening behaviour of biological soft tissues by uncoiling of crimps [99]. Other types of microstructurally-motivated strain energy function for the individual chains of the unit cell could also be used [100, 101].

The skin was modelled here as single homogeneous layer as this was supported by the reasonable assumption that the dermal layer is the main mechanical contributor of the skin under states of tension. In order to develop a more realistic model it would be relevant to incorporate a multi-layer model with realistic structural geometries [3, 30].

It is worth highlighting that, with age, although the skin undergoes drastic alterations of its own biophysical properties, particularly mechanical properties, distinct ageing processes occur in other body tissues, structures and organs. They have the potential to alter the mechanical environment experienced by the skin. For example, thinning/atro-
phy of adipose and muscular tissues [93], or even bone resorption, are factors that can modify the complex mechanobiology and associated residual strains of the skin as an organ covering the entire body. This is consistent with observations that the magnitude and directions of Langer lines [55] vary with age. These aspects were not directly accounted for in the present constitutive model and could explain the inability to obtain an excellent fit between experimental and model data for the inflation tests eliciting the skin response along the main fibre direction (Fig. 9). Also, with age, the skin tends to lose its in-plane isotropy [29] because of the strong mechanical effects introduced by dermal collagen realignment arising in combination with collagen cross-linking and density alteration.

In intrinsic skin ageing, the dermal-epidermal junction (DEJ) which is a 0.5-1 µm thick three-dimensional interlocking wavy interface basement membrane [102] connecting the dermis to the epidermis, tends to flatten out [103–107]. This reduction in the amplitude of the papillae which are the protrusions of the dermis into the epidermis (see Fig. 1), is also accompanied by a decrease in their density (unit per surface area) [104, 105]. This alters the mechanical interactions between the epidermis and dermis resulting in decreased resistance to shear deformation at the DEJ. In turn, this is likely to modulate the macroscopic mechanical response of the skin as evidenced by the increasing frailty of skin with age [7]. Besides these important structural effects, the flattening of the DEJ has important metabolic consequences as it reduces the surface area for nutritional exchange and metabolic by-products evacuation between the dermis and epidermis. As a result, epidermal cell turnover is slowed down and free radicals accumulate.

At this stage, the model does not account for ageing-triggered enzymatic degradation of elastic fibres, abnormal collagen deposition and remodelling. At the sub-cellular and cellular levels, there exists a variety of enzymes and cytokines that are active in the ageing of the skin’s primary constituents. Intrinsic ageing is accompanied by a reduction of the fibroblast population [108] which induces a decrease in collagen production and is associated with an increased build-up of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) [109]. MMP are enzymes that can cleave elastic fibre molecules [109, 110] and are believed to play an active role in degrading important structural proteins in the skin such as collagen, elastin, fibromectin and laminin. Disruption of the homeostatic state between activation and inhibition of MMPs is a key ingredient in the pathophysiology of intrinsic skin ageing and photoageing by controlling the degradation of collagen and elastin in the skin. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known to play a crucial part in driving the ageing process. Such chemical species could form the building blocks of mixture type models [111–114] or models based on open-system thermodynamics [86, 115].

The number of processes, their interplay, and the scales at which these occur make deconstructing skin ageing a very challenging task at both the experimental and modelling levels. Additionally, the effects of intrinsic ageing are generally compounded by the influence of extrinsic ageing (e.g. photoageing), which only serves to add complexity to the problem. In summary, much work remains to be done to develop a continuum description of skin capable of incorporating the full ageing process. These aspects are currently being implemented in a new chemomechanobiological constitutive model which will be the subject of subsequent publications.
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