1. Introduction

From its conception, Wikipedia has attracted much interest from researchers in different fields (Medelyan et al., 2008), and it is particularly attractive for Natural Language Processing: It contains hundreds of millions of words of text, reasonably edited, as well as information that enriches this text and can be exploited for NLP purposes (from categories labeling each document to boxes with semi-structured facts about the world). Moreover, its license allows for the use and redistribution of the texts, provided the derived works keep the same GNU Free Documentation License (FDL).¹

We built a trilingual Wikicorpus with two goals in mind: (1) to build a large, freely available corpus enriched with linguistic information, and (2) to explore the induction of multilingual lexical semantic resources. Wikipedia is a suitable source for texts for both goals, as it has comparable material in different languages. The resulting corpus is by no means balanced (containing only encyclopedic texts), but for our purposes the benefits largely outweigh the drawbacks.

The result of our project is a trilingual corpus (Catalan, Spanish, English) containing over 750 million words, automatically extracted, segmented, lemmatized, part-of-speech tagged, and sense disambiguated with FreeLing (Atserias et al., 2006). It is available for download under FDL at http://www.lsi.upc.edu/~nlp, in both tagged and raw text versions. The information in the corpus is complementary to that obtained in related work (Zaragoza et al., 2007; Atserias et al., 2009), and the inclusion of Spanish new (the cited work concerned the English and Catalan Wikipedias, respectively).

To our knowledge, ours is the largest automatically sense-tagged corpus: In its present version, it contains over 750 million words (see Section 3.1.). While much noise can be expected for the WSD output, we believe that the noise will be overweighted by the magnitude of the dataset, so the results of automatic sense assignment are useful for analyzing, evaluating, and developing WSD methods and lexica, as well as for other tasks in NLP and computational linguistics, ranging from Information Extraction to the investigation of linguistic phenomena.

Moreover, two by-products of the project are of use for the NLP community: An open source Java-based parser for Wikipedia pages developed for the construction of the corpus, and the integration of the WSD algorithm UKB (Agirre and Soroa, 2009) in FreeLing.

2. Method

The method consists of three main subprocesses: filtering, text extraction, and linguistic processing. Figure 2. summarizes the process, and the rest of this section provides some details about it.

2.1. Filtering

Not all Wikipedia pages are suitable for corpus construction, for instance redirection pages and disambiguation pages, which typically contain long lists and little text. After some experimentation, we found the best filtering criterion to be to exclude pages without a category² (rather than, e.g., the number of in- and out-links). These are typically redirection pages or poorly edited pages.

2.2. Text extraction

The articles, in Mediawiki markup format³, were accessed using the Java-based Wikipedia Library, JWPL (Zesch et al., 2008). For further processing, we had to extract raw text from the articles. The text output produced by JWPL, however, contained too much noise for its inclusion in a corpus (brackets, file names, etc.). Most alternative parsers⁴ convert the markup format to HTML, PDF, or XML, and to adapt them would have required a considerable amount of

¹http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/ffd.html

²Wikipedia pages are assigned one category by human editors, who must not adhere to a preexisting category inventory.

³http://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/MediaWiki

⁴http://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Alternative_parsers
Table 1: Size of the corpora created in the project. The number of lines roughly corresponds to the number of words in the articles. The last column indicates the average number of tokens per document.

| Language | Number of lines | Tokens/document |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Catalan  | 49,862,548      | 344.44          |
| English  | 118,737,910     | 447.4           |
| Spanish  | 611,100,547     | 455.4           |

and that only words present in the Spanish WordNet (in this fragment, regular) are assigned a sense.

3.2. WikiNet

As mentioned in the introduction, the goal that set us working on the Wikipedia corpus was the construction of a general purpose multilingual lexical semantic resource. Using large-scale knowledge bases, such as WordNet (Fellbaum, 1998), has become a usual, often necessary, practice for most current Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems. Even now, building large and rich enough knowledge bases for broad-coverage semantic processing takes a great deal of expensive manual effort involving large research groups during long periods of development. For example, in more than ten years of manual construction (from 1995 to 2006, that is from version 1.5 to 3.0), WordNet grew from 103,445 to 235,402 semantic relations. This fact has severely hampered the state-of-the-art of current Natural Language Processing (NLP) applications. In fact, hundreds of person-years have been invested in the development of wordnets for various languages (Vossen, 1998), but the data in these resources seems not to be rich enough to support advanced concept-based NLP applications directly. It seems that applications will not scale up to working in open domains without more detailed and rich general-purpose (and also domain-specific) linguistic knowledge built by automatic means.

Fortunately, during the last years the research community has devised a large set of innovative methods and tools for large-scale automatic acquisition of lexical knowledge from structured and unstructured corpora (Lin, 1998; Mihalcea and Moldovan, 2001; Agirre and Martínez, 2001; McCarthy, 2001; Agirre and de la Calle, 2004; Cuadros et al., 2005; Cuadros and Rigau, 2008). Obviously, all these semantic resources have been acquired using a very different set of processes, tools and corpora, resulting on a different set of new semantic relations between synsets. In fact, each semantic resource has different volume and accuracy figures when evaluated in a common and controlled framework (Cuadros and Rigau, 2007). The Wikipedia corpora allow us to test different methods for the automatic acquisition of semantic knowledge (a) at a large scale, (b) on a multilingual dimension.

5Currently, the version of WordNet that is integrated in FreeLing (the one we use) is 1.6.

6Symmetric relations are counted only once.
A first attempt at creating a large semantic multilingual resource from the Wikipedia corpus is WikiNet (Reese, 2009). WikiNet has been obtained by automatically inducing synset-synset relations through its representation as a semantic space, using SemanticVectors (Widdows and Ferraro, 2008). The resource aims at being a sort of open source WordNet, automatically induced from a corpus. Because words are sense disambiguated, and because the senses in different languages are mapped through EuroWordNet’s InterLingual Index (ILI), such a resource is truly multilingual. However, in its present version WikiNet is not yet mature for use, as the overlap in the relations across languages is very small (see Table 2).7

4. Conclusion and future work

We have presented a trilingual corpus with Catalan, Spanish, and English texts from Wikipedia. Overall, it contains over 750 million words. The textual corpus has been automatically acquired, segmented, lemmatized, part-of-speech tagged, and sense disambiguated, and is available for use by any person under the same license as the Wikipedia itself. Moreover, two by-products of the project are of use for the NLP community: An open source Java-based parser for Wikipedia pages developed for the construction of the corpus, and the integration of the WSD algorithm UKB in FreeLing.

We are currently working on optimizing some aspects of the process (most notably, the Java parser and some of the parameters of FreeLing) and including the whole English and Spanish Wikipedias, not only a fragment. We also plan to derive more accurate and larger WikiNets from the complete versions of the multilingual corpora obtained from Wikipedia, with a view to compare methods for the acquisition of semantic knowledge and to develop usable, open-source semantic resources.

We have argued that the Wikicorpus is useful for the construction of massive and multilingual lexical resources, as words are implicitly “aligned” at the sense level, through the WordNet InterLingual Index. An initial attempt at building such a resource, the WikiNet, has been presented in this article. As mentioned in Section 1., we believe that the noise introduced by the automatic sense labeling will be overweighted by the magnitude of the dataset, so the results of automatic sense assignment can indeed be used for the construction of lexical resources and other purposes; however, this remains to be tested, and indeed evaluation is the first and most pressing issue that should be addressed in future work.

Future work should also address the relationships between the three corpora, profiting from the fact that they are largely parallel. Currently, the documents in the three corpora are not aligned in any way. It would be very useful for NLP purposes if they were aligned at page level, which involves identifying corresponding pages with differing lengths (as we have shown in Table 1, on average, pages in the Catalan Wikipedia are shorter than their Spanish and

---

7WikiNet was constructed with a preliminary version of the Wikicorpus, containing roughly the same amount of data for Catalan and Spanish as the present version, but only 180 million words for English.
English counterparts), as well as pages with no equivalent in the other languages. This type of alignment could be exploited for applications such as Machine Translation, or cross-lingual summarization.

Another type of alignment that would be very useful is alignment at the level of categories. If categories were aligned cross-lingually, the corpora could be used for the automatic induction (or expansion) of ontologies and thesauri, again with a multilingual dimension, which could help distinguish universal properties of the induced resources from accidental or language-dependent ones (Zesch and Gurevych, 2007). Currently, the corpus does not contain information about the category assigned to each document. Including this piece of information remains also for future work.
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