Localization of a bacterial group II intron-encoded protein in human cells
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Group II introns are mobile retroelements that self-splice from precursor RNAs to form ribonucleoparticles (RNP), which can invade new specific genomic DNA sites. This specificity can be reprogrammed, for insertion into any desired DNA site, making these introns useful tools for bacterial genetic engineering. However, previous studies have suggested that these elements may function inefficiently in eukaryotes. We investigated the subcellular distribution, in cultured human cells, of the protein encoded by the group II intron RmInt1 (IEP) and several mutants. We created fusions with yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and with a FLAG epitope. We found that the IEP was localized in the nucleus and nucleolus of the cells. Remarkably, it also accumulated at the periphery of the nuclear matrix. We were also able to identify spliced lariat intron RNA, which co-immunoprecipitated with the IEP, suggesting that functional RmInts RNPs can be assembled in cultured human cells.

Mobile group II introns are catalytic RNAs and mobile retroelements that were initially discovered in the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes of lower eukaryotes and plants1 and subsequently identified in bacteria and archaea2–4. It has been suggested that the spliceosome5 descends from group II introns, but these introns are absent from the protein-coding genes of the nuclear genomes of eukaryotes6–9. Nevertheless, there are several lines of evidence supporting this hypothesis. For example, the RNA-catalyzed splicing reactions are identical for group II introns and snRNAs, which also display structural and functional similarities5. It has been speculated that group II introns invaded the eukaryotic lineage at about the same time as endosymbiosis was established between an α-proteobacterium and an archaean host6. Group II introns would thus have played an important role in the evolution of several features of eukaryotic cell organization, such as the endomembrane apparatus, including the nucleus, and the development of nonsense-mediated RNA decay (NMD) and the ubiquitin system. In this scenario, group II introns evolved into more efficient spliceosome-dependent introns8,10. However, components of telomerase, vertebrate long interspersed elements (LINEs) and most nuclear introns may also be descended from group II introns8,7.

Group II introns have a conserved secondary structure consisting of six domains (DI to DVI) arranged around a central wheel11–13. Most bacterial group II introns have a multifunctional intron-encoded protein (IEP) ORF within DIV2. Most IEPs have two conserved domains: an N-terminal reverse transcriptase (RT) domain and domain X, which has been associated with RNA splicing or maturase activity. Some of these IEPs also contain a C-terminal DNA-binding and DNA endonuclease domain14. After splicing, the RNA of group II introns forms a ribonucleoprotein particle (RNP), consisting of the IEP and the excised intron RNA lariat. These RNPs can promote intron insertion into DNA target sites, by a mechanism
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involving the IEP and base-pairing of the intron RNA (exon-binding sites [EBS]) to the target DNA (intron-binding sequences [IBS])\(^\text{15}\). Group II introns have high insertion frequencies and specificities, but the redesign of several introns to insert into a desired DNA target, such as Ll.LtrB from *Lactococcus lactis*\(^\text{16}\), EcI5 from *E. coli*\(^\text{17}\) and RmInt1 from *Sinorhizobium meliloti*\(^\text{18,19}\), has been demonstrated. Group II intron-based (Ll.LtrB) gene-targeting methods have also recently been adapted for higher organisms. Integration of the Mg\(^{2+}\)-dependent group II intron into plasmid target sites in *Xenopus laevis* oocyte nuclei and *Drosophila* and *zebrafish* embryos has been shown to be promoted by RNPs\(^\text{20}\). Nevertheless, Ll.LtrB function is inefficient in eukaryotes, as it is limited by the low intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration, intron transcript NMD and translational repression\(^\text{20,22}\).

Future applications of group II introns for gene therapy and biotechnology would therefore require group II intron RNPs to enter the nucleus, to overcome these limitations. Studies of bacterial group II intron expression and localization in eukaryotic cells are therefore relevant. In yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), the *L. lactis* Ll.LtrB intron-encoded protein (LtrA) has been reported to enter the nucleus after translation, provided that an SV-40 T-antigen nuclear localization signal (NLS)\(^\text{10}\) is added. LtrA also requires human codon use optimization for expression in mammalian cells. Previous studies in HEK-293 cells reported a homogeneous nuclear localization for the LtrA IEP, whereas the IEP was found to be excluded from the nucleolus in COS-7 cells, possibly due to aggregation resulting from the high level of protein production\(^\text{22}\).

We recently reported the expression and subcellular localization of the bacterial RmInt1 group II IEP, which lacks the endonuclease domain\(^\text{23}\), in *Arabidopsis thaliana* protoplasts\(^\text{24}\). This IEP has been shown to accumulate in the nucleolus, whereas a mutant IEP with a modified maturase domain was localized in nuclear speckles. The localization of the RmInt1 IEP in speckles in the absence of maturase activity is consistent with the hypothesis that group II introns are the ancestors of spliceosomal introns, because nuclear speckles are nuclear domains that accumulate high local concentrations of pre-mRNA splicing factors (snRNPs and SR proteins), located in the interchromatin nuclear space\(^\text{25}\).

We investigated the expression and subcellular distribution of the RmInt1 group II intron IEP in cultured human cells. We found that the wild-type RmInt1 IEP localized to the nucleus and nucleolus. Surprisingly, we also found that the IEP accumulated at the periphery of the nuclear matrix. Finally, we also observed coimmunoprecipitation of the RmInt1 spliced lariat with the IEP, suggesting that functional RNPs could be assembled in human cells.

**Results and Discussion**

**Subcellular localization of the YFP-IEP RmInt1 fusion in human cells.** We investigated the intracellular distribution of the RmInt1 protein (IEP), by fusing its coding sequence to that of the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP), under control of the human EF1α promoter (Pol II), in the pEB-YFP vector. We also generated two control vectors: pEB-YFP, encoding YFP only, and pEB-YFP DNMT3L, encoding YFP fused to the mouse *de novo* methyl transferase 3-like (DNMT3L) protein, a regulatory factor involved in DNA methylation\(^\text{26}\). In all constructs, the IEP and DNMT3L ORFs were fused to C-terminus of the YFP protein. Following the transfection of cultured human HeLa cells with these constructs, the YFP-IEP fusion protein was located in the nucleus but with some signal in the cytoplasm in 89.94% of the transfected cells. In 19.64% of the transfected cells, we also observed bright cytoplasmic foci (Fig. 1a). Remarkably, despite the localization of YFP-IEP to the nucleus, it appeared to be excluded from the nucleolus. This nucleolar exclusion was confirmed by colocalization experiments using the anti-fibrillarin antibody as a nucleolar marker (Fig. 1b). With the pEB-YFP and pEB-YFP-DNMT3L controls, preferential nuclear localization was observed for both the proteins encoded, but, by contrast to the YFP-IEP fusion, these proteins were also detected in the nucleolus (Fig. 1a). The cellular distribution of the IEP was unaffected in cells transfected with pEB-YFP-IEPΔORF, a construct that expresses the RmInt1 RNA together with the IEP, or with pEB-YFP-YYAAIEP, a construct encoding a mutated IEP with a modified maturase domain (residues Y354Y355 were replaced by alanine residues) (data not shown). Interestingly, the accumulation of the YFP-IEP fusion protein in the nucleus (74.5kDa, thus exceeding the 40kDa exclusion limit of the nuclear pore complex) suggests an active mechanism for translocation of the fusion protein through the nuclear membrane. Interestingly, LtrA, the protein encoded by the Ll.LtrB intron, requires human codon use optimization for expression in mammalian cells. Previous studies in HEK-293 cells reported a homogeneous nuclear localization for the LtrA IEP, whereas the IEP was found to be excluded from the nucleolus in COS-7 cells, possibly due to aggregation resulting from the high level of protein production\(^\text{22}\).

**Subcellular distribution of the FLAG-tagged RmInt1 IEP in human cells.** For confirmation of the subcellular distribution of the RmInt1 IEP, and to check that there were no limitations associated with the use of YFP-fusion proteins, we then analyzed the subcellular distribution of FLAG-tagged IEP in cultured HeLa cells. We added a FLAG epitope to the N-terminus of the RmInt1 IEP and expressed the tagged-protein in the mammalian expression plasmid pCEP4, with expression under the control of the CMV (Pol II) promoter. As controls, we also generated N-terminal FLAG versions of mutated forms of the RmInt1 IEP. Following transfection, we found that the FLAG-tagged IEP was located in the nucleus, mostly in the nucleolus, but also at the periphery of the nuclear matrix, in 90% of the transfected cells (Fig. 2a). In the remaining 10% of the cells, the staining formed foci throughout the cell. Similar results were obtained with pCEP4flagIEPΔORF, in which the IEP was co-expressed with the RmInt1 ribozyme (Fig. 2b). The nucleolar localization of the FLAG-tagged IEP was further confirmed by colocalization
Figure 1. Subcellular distribution of the YFP-IEP RmInt1 fusion in HeLa cells. (a) Fluorescence and bright-field (BF) microscopy of transfected HeLa HA cells. Localization of the YFP-IEP fusion protein in yellow (YFP). DAPI (blue) was used to stain nuclear DNA, and merged images are shown in the right column. Schematic diagrams of the constructs are shown to the right of the micrographs. The domains of the IEP are indicated as follows: in pink, the reverse transcriptase; in green, the maturase and, in yellow, the C-terminal domain. (b) Colocalization experiments using an anti-fibrillarin antibody (red) as a nucleolar marker (Fibrillarin); The YFP-IEP fusion protein is shown in yellow (YFP); DAPI (blue) was used to stain nuclear DNA, and merged images are shown on the right. A diagram of the construct is shown to the right of the micrograph.
experiments with an anti-fibrillarin antibody as a nucleolar marker. Thus, YFP seems to be primarily responsible for the exclusion of the IEP from the nucleolus. Furthermore, we speculate that localization to the periphery of the nuclear matrix may result from the direct association of the IEP with the inner matrix.

**Figure 2. Subcellular distribution of FLAG-tagged IEP in HeLa cells.** Immunofluorescence and bright-field (BF) microscopy of HeLa HA cells transfected with the constructs shown on the right. The domains of the IEP are indicated as follows: in pink, the reverse transcriptase; in green, the maturase and, in yellow, the C-terminal domain. Immunolocalization of FLAG-IEP, in green (Flag column). The nucleolus, detected with anti-fibrillarin antibody, is shown in red. A merged image of FLAG-IEP and the nucleolus is shown (merge column). DAPI (blue) was used to stain nuclear DNA. The numbers in the panels indicate the percentage of transfected cells displaying the corresponding fluorescence pattern. White arrowheads indicate signal surrounding the nuclear matrix.
nuclear membrane components or the nuclear lamina. The latter is absent from plant cells, potentially accounting for the distribution observed in Arabidopsis protoplasts, in which the RmInt1 IEP was also found in the nucleolus\(^4\), but not at the periphery of the nucleus.

We then investigated whether a specific region of the RmInt1 IEP was responsible for the observed localization to the nucleus and nucleolus, by tagging the maturase and RT segments of the IEP with a FLAG epitope. We found that 100% of the HeLa cells transfected with the construct encoding the FLAG-RT fusion (pCEP4flagRT) displayed cytoplasmic staining, with only a very weak signal in the nucleus and nucleolus (Fig. 2c), and no staining at the periphery of the nucleus. Furthermore, almost all the HeLa cells transfected with the construct encoding the FLAG-maturase fusion (pCEP4flagMat) displayed IEP accumulation in the cytoplasm, with a large number of cytoplasmic foci and no apparent signal in the nucleus or nucleolus (Fig. 2d). Overall, these results suggest that neither domain is itself sufficient to target the RmInt1 IEP to the nucleus. These findings contrast with those of protoplast studies, in which the maturase domain conferred localization to the nucleus, but not to the nucleolus. Thus, in mammalian cells, a complete functional protein seems to be required for the localization of the IEP to the nucleus.

We then investigated the effect of other mutations, affecting either RT or maturase activity, on the distribution of the RmInt1 IEP. We first analyzed the localization of a FLAG-IEP with an amino-acid substitution in the RT catalytic domain (YADD→YAHH [pCEP4flagYAHH]), resulting in a loss of RT activity, with the retention of about 80% of wild-type levels of splicing activity\(^27\). In 90% of the transfected cells, the distribution of the mutant IEP was identical to that of the wild-type IEP (Fig. 2e). In the remaining 10% of the cells, foci of staining were observed throughout the cell (data not shown). In transfected cells (Fig. 2f) expressing an IEP with an amino-acid substitution in the maturase domain (Y354Y355→AA) that abolished intron RNA splicing (pCEP4flagYAA), the mutant IEP displayed strong localization to the periphery of the nucleus in 70% of the cells. In addition, 54% of these cells also displayed nuclear staining foci (Fig. 2f1). The IEP was not found in the nucleolus in any of the transfected cells. These results strongly suggest that nucleolar localization is linked to the maturase activity of the IEP or that the Y354Y355 amino acids are part of the signature responsible for targeting the IEP to the nucleolus.

Expression of RmInt1ΔORF and IEP protein in human cells. We studied the transcription of RmInt1 ΔORF (RmInt1 intron deleted from position 611 to 1,759), by transfecting HeLa cells with pCEP4flagIEPΔORF or cotransferring them with pCEP4flagIEP and pCEP4ΔORF (Fig. 3a), and then subjecting the cells to selection on hygromycin for 10 days. RNA was then isolated and analyzed by RT-PCR (see Materials and Methods). The PCR products (Fig. 3b) were resolved by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels. In both samples, amplification products of the expected size (~330 bp) were observed. These amplification products were not detected in samples to which no reverse transcriptase was added (RT-). For confirmation of the identity of the amplification products, the bands were excised from the gel, and the amplicons were cloned and analyzed by DNA sequencing. This analysis confirmed that the amplification products corresponded to the expected amplified fragments of the RmInt1 RNA. Thus, the RmInt1-derived intron ΔORF was expressed in the transfecting cells.

RmInt1 protein detection analyses were also carried out by immunoprecipitation in HeLa cells transfected with pCEP4flagIEP and selected on hygromycin for 10 days. Western blotting of the immunoprecipitated material with the anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Fig. 3c) revealed the presence of a 51.3kDa band, corresponding to the expected size of the FLAG-IEP fusion. These results confirm that the RmInt1 IEP was produced in human cells.

IEP and spliced RmInt1 RNA are co-immunoprecipitated. For confirmation of the physical association of the RmInt1 IEP with the spliced RNA of the RmInt1 intron, HeLa cells were transfected with pCEP4 or cotransfected with pCEP4flagIEP plus pCEP4ΔORF, or pCEP4flagIEP plus pCEP4ΔIV (catalytic triad GTT is replaced by CGA in domain V of the RmInt1 ribozyme). Cell extracts were obtained and subjected to immunoprecipitation with the anti-FLAG M2 antibody fused to agarose beads, followed by western blotting, which demonstrated the presence of IEP in all samples, except those harboring pCEP4 alone (data not shown). The immunoprecipitated fractions were then used as a template for RT-PCR experiments, for detection of the spliced intron RNA. Amplification products were subjected to electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels (Fig. 4). Various bands were detected on the gel, and those of the expected size were isolated, cloned and sequenced. Only the immunoprecipitated material from samples cotransfected with pCEP4flagIEP plus pCEP4ΔORF contained the lariat (two of six clones) and circular (one of six clones) forms of the intron RNA. These data suggest that the IEP and intron RNA are associated and may be able to form a mature RNP.

Conclusions

The group II intron RmInt1 IEP can be expressed under the control of a Pol II promoter, without codon optimization or a foreign nuclear localization signal, in human cells. It localizes to the nucleus and nucleolus in these cells, as well as the periphery of the nuclear matrix, where it may be associated with the nuclear lamina, which is absent in plant cells where the IEP was not located at the periphery of the nucleus\(^4\). In A. thaliana protoplasts the full-length IEP was found exclusively in the nucleolus, whereas the maturase domain alone targeted to nuclear speckles\(^4\). In human cells the maturase is not
sufficient to target the RmInt1 IEP to the nucleus and a complete functional protein seems to be required. Nevertheless, our results strongly suggest that nucleolar localization in human cells is linked to the maturase activity of the IEP or that it contains amino acids that are part of the signature responsible for targeting the IEP to the nucleolus.

The intron RNA can also be expressed under the control of a Pol II promoter, in cis or in trans, without affecting the localization of the IEP. Furthermore, the RmInt1 RNA can also splice in human

Figure 3. Detection of RmInt1 intron RNA and IEP in HeLa cells. (a) Diagram of the plasmids used in this study: each plasmid is a derivative of the pCEP4 episomal vector. The domains of the IEP are indicated as follows: in pink, the reverse transcriptase; in green, the maturase and, in yellow, the C-terminal domain. The positions of the primers used for cDNA synthesis (PE1), and for PCR amplification (ε1 and PE1) are also shown. (b) Detection of ΔORF RmInt1 in vivo by reverse transcription and PCR. PCR was carried out with (+) and without (−) prior reverse transcription (RT), with RNA from HeLa cells harboring pCEP4flagIEPΔORF or pCEP4ΔORF. The RT-PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel. M: molecular weight marker. (c) IEP protein detection in HeLa cells by SDS-PAGE and western blotting. Whole-cell extract (input) or immunoprecipitated (elution) products from cells harboring pCEP4flagIEP.
cells, generating intron lariat and circular forms that are co-immunoprecipitated with the IEP, probably forming mature RNPs. Note that we have detected spliced forms of RmInt1 in protoplasts, but all of them were derived from a wide range of processed forms, rather than from full-length intron lariat. Our results suggest that RmInt1 may be less subject to the limitations reported for group II intron LlLtrB in eukaryotes, including suboptimal codon usage, low Mg\textsuperscript{2+} concentration, translational repression and the NMD of intron RNA. RmInt1 may therefore be a group II intron suitable for use in gene targeting in higher organisms.

Methods

Strains and human cell lines. *Escherichia coli* DH5α was used for cloning and the maintenance of recombinant plasmids. It was grown overnight at 37 °C on Luria-Bertani (LB) medium. Antibiotics were added to the medium, when required, at the following concentrations: 200 \( \mu \)g/ml for ampicillin, and 10 \( \mu \)g/ml for tetracycline. Human HeLa-HA cells were maintained in an incubator (37 °C at a 7% CO\textsubscript{2} level) and grown in minimum essential medium (GlutaMAX\textsuperscript{TM} supplement) (GIBCO-Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO-Invitrogen), 1 x non-essential amino acids (GIBCO-Invitrogen). Cells were passaged by standard trypsin treatment, with a 0.05% stock solution (GIBCO-Invitrogen). The identity of the cell line was confirmed by STR analyses (Lorgen, Granada). We also used a PCR-based method (Minerva), to carry out routine tests for the absence of *Mycoplasma* spp.

Plasmid constructs. pEB-YFP-D3L, encoding the human DNMT3L protein\textsuperscript{26}, was digested with BamHI and NotI, to excise the DNMT3L coding sequence and obtain the pEB-YFP plasmid. We inserted the IEP sequence amplified from pKGEMA4 with the YFP-IEP-5\textsuperscript{′} (5′-ACGGATCCACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCACTTCGGAAAGTA-3′) and YFP-IEP-3\textsuperscript{′} (5′-ACGCGGCCGCTCAGGTAAACGTGTTCGTTCCGA-3′) primers into this plasmid\textsuperscript{27}. The IEP was cloned from the second codon (without the ATG) to generate the pEB-YFP-IEP construct.
Similarly, pEB-YFP-IEPΔORF, a construct carrying the RmInt1 intron with a deletion from position 611 to 1,759, flanked by exon sequences -20/+5 and inserted into a BamHI site just upstream from the IEP coding sequence28, was cloned after amplification with the YFP-IEP-5′ and YFP-ΔORF-3′ (5′-ACGCGGCGGCTAGGCCCAGGCTGATAG-3′) primers, from the pKGEM-A4 plasmid. Finally, a sequence encoding an IEP with an YY→AA substitution in the mature domain (RmlInt1 residues 354–355) was inserted to the pEB-YFP vector, using the BamHI and NotI sites, after amplification from pKG2.5-A354A355 with the YFP-IEP-5′ and YFP-IEP-3′ primers29.

The constructs encoding the FLAG-tagged versions of the RmlInt1 IEP were generated from the pCEP4 expression vector (Invitrogen) and contained the bacterial RmlInt1 group II intron-encoded protein (IEP) sequence. The final expression vectors were obtained in the pICG20 vector, with a two-step-PCR, in which the first step was carried out with a Flag-LacZ-F oligomer (5′-GACTAAGAACGACGTAGATATAAGAAGATGACATCGATCATACAGGATGACGTACAAGGATCCCTGCTTTCGACGAGGCAC-3′) and a LacZ-R oligomer (5′-CAATTAAAGCCAGTGGGAAACA-3′), and with the IEG-5′ (5′-GAGCTACTGAGCTAGTATATCGACAG-3′) and flag-CAT-R (5′-CTTGTCATCGTCATCCCTGTTAATCGATGACTCTTTATAACCCAAGCTGCTGCTTGGATACCTTAAAAGCTGAGTGGC-3′) primers. In the second step, we combined the two PCR products and performed a third PCR with the LacZ-R and IEG-5′ oligonucleotides. The resulting PCR products were inserted into pBluescript for sequencing, and then transferred to pICG digested with SpeI and BamHI, to obtain the pICGflag plasmid. We introduced the Flag epitope sequence into this plasmid, downstream from the YFP21 promoter. We used pKGEM-A4 as a template, for PCR amplification of the IEP sequence with the IEP-BamHI-R (5′-ACGGATCTGGATGTTGATATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAA-3′) and IEP-BamHI-F (5′-GAGCTACTGAGCTAGTATATCGACAG-3′) primers. Then we obtained the necessary amplification to introduce an additional nucleotide to generate an in-frame N-terminal fusion to the Flag epitope. This fragment was inserted into the pICGflag vector to generate pICGflagIEP. The pICGflagIEPΔORF plasmid was generated in a similar manner, with the IEP-BamHI-F and ΔORF-BamHI-R (5′-ACGGATCTGGATGTTGATATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAA-3′) primers. The constructs for the expression and subcellular localization of the RmlInt1 IEP based on pCEP4 were as follows. Using the FwIEPNotI (5′-CAATTAAAGCCAGTGGGAAACA-3′) and RvIEPNotI (5′-CTTGTCATCGTCATCCCTGTTAATCGATGACTCTTTATAACCCAAGCTGCTGCTTGGATACCTTAAAAGCTGAGTGGC-3′) primers, with pICGflagIEP as the template, we obtained the pICGflagIEPΔORF plasmid. This fragment was cloned in the pGEMT Easy vector system, to generate pGEMflagIEP. This plasmid was digested with NotI, and the flagIEP fusion and the FlagYYAA fusion were inserted into pCEP4 digested with the NotI and HI sites of pCEP4. pCEPdV is a mutant with a BamHI digestion site in the second intron 5′-ACGGATCTGGATGTTGATATTACAAGGATGACGATGACAA-3′), from pICGflagIEP, used as a template. The FlagYYAA fusion was obtained by two-step PCR, in which the YY→AA substitution was introduced into pCEP4 flagRT with the same enzyme, to replace the IEP sequence with the YY→AA substitution. The YY→AA substitution (RmlInt1 residues 354–355) was obtained in a similar way, with the YY→AA substitution.

The mature domain was also cloned in the pGEMT Easy vector system, to obtain pGEMflagIEP. The mature domain was excised from this plasmid by BamHI and inserted into pCEP4 flagIEP digested with the same enzyme, to produce pCEP4 flagIEPΔORF. Similarly, the pCEP4 flagIEPΔORF vector and pCEP4 flagRT (reverse transcriptase domain) were obtained with the primers FwIPEnotI and RvIEPnotI (5′-CAATTAAAGCCAGTGGGAAACA-3′) for YYAA. These fragments were inserted into the pICGflagRT vector for YYAA. The YY→AA substitution was produced by inserting a PCR fragment containing YY→AA substitution with primers Reverse→ΔORF-BamHI and Forward→ΔORF-NotI between the NotI and BamHI sites of pCEP4. pCEP4Δv is a mutant with a deflection of a splicing derivative of pCEP4ΔORF, in which the catalytic triad GTT is replaced by CGA in domain V of the RmlInt1 ribozyme28.

**Immunocytochemistry.** For subcellular localization of the RmlInt1 FLAG-tagged IEP, HeLa cells were plated at a density of 10^5 cells per well, in a six-well tissue culture plate. The following day, cells were transfected with 1 μg of purified DNA (Midiprep Plasmid DNA kit, QIAGEN), 3 μl of Xtreme 9 Transfection Reagent (Roche Applied Science) and 97 μl of room temperature (RT) warmed OptiMEM (Gibco, 31985-054) per well. The wells were treated with trypsin 24 h after transfection and the contents of each well were split into four samples, which were plated on sterile glass cover slips in 24-well tissue
culture plates. The growth medium was removed 48 h after transfection, and the cells were fixed by incubation with 4% paraformaldehyde in 1 × PBS for 10 min. For analyses of the subcellular distribution of the YFP-IEP fusion proteins, HeLa cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells per well, in four-well Chamber Lab-Tek II slides (Thermo Scientific Nunc). The following day, cells were transfected with 0.5 μg of purified plasmid DNA (Midiprep Plasmid DNA Kit, QIAGEN), in the presence of 3 μl of X-treme 9 Transfection Reagent. The growth medium was removed 24 h after transfection, and the cells were fixed by incubation for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1 × PBS. In both cases, the cells were permeabilized by incubation with 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1 × PBS for 10 min, and nonspecific sites were saturated by incubation for 30 min with blocking buffer (10% goat serum [Abcam], 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium azide in 1 × PBS). Cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C in hybridization solution (1% goat serum, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium azide in 1 × PBS) with a mouse anti-FLAG (1:500, F1804 Sigma-Aldrich), and/or rabbit anti-fibrillarin (Abcam). The next day the cells washed three times with 1% donkey serum in 1X PBS were incubated with secondary antibodies for 30 min. The following secondary antibodies were then added, in the same hybridization solution: Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti-mouse (1:1000 Life Technologies), and Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit (1:1000 Life Technologies) antibodies. The intrinsic fluorescent signal of the YFP-IEP proteins was used to determine their location within the cell. Then, cells were washed twice with 1% donkey serum in 1X PBS. For FLAG-tagged IEP, cells were mounted on a slide with Slowf lado® Gold Antifade Reagent and DAPI (Life Technologies). For YFP-IEP proteins, cells were mounted in Vectashield, with DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Analysis of YFP-IEP fusion protein was performed on 159 transfected cells. For FLAG-tagged IEP protein 100 cells were analyzed. Images were captured with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. Finally, these images were processed with Zen 2009 Light Edition Software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging).

**Protein and RNA levels and Western blot analysis.** For analyses of protein and RNA levels, we plated 5 × 10⁵ HeLa HA cells in 100 mm dishes. The following day, the cells in each dish were transfected with 1 μg of plasmid DNA, prepared with a Midiprep Plasmid DNA Kit (QIAGEN), in the presence of X-treme 9 Transfection Reagent (Roche). Hygromycin (200 μg/ml) was added to the culture medium 48 h after transfection, and the medium was replaced every other day. After 10 days of selection on hygromycin, the growth medium was removed from the cells. The cells were rinsed with 1 × PBS, collected with a cell scraper and spun at 2000 g during 5 min at 4 °C. RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-PCR was performed as previously described. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 μg of total RNA, 25 pmol of the PE1 specific primer (5'-TCTCTGCAGGCTGTACATG-3'; complementary to nt 461–nt 477 sequence from the 5” end of RmInt1) and superscript II RNase H- reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), as indicated in the manufacturer's protocol. We used 1 μl of this reaction as a template for PCR, with 15 pmol of the PE1 primer and 15 pmol of the ε1 primer (5’-GTGACGGTCCCGATG-3'; complementary to the nt 147–nt 164 sequence from the 5” end of RmInt1). The PCR cycles were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 55 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s. A final extension was then carried out at 72 °C for 10 min. The RT-PCR products (20 μl) were resolved by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel. The DNA fragments of the expected size were isolated from the gel with the Illustra™ GFX™ PCR DNA and gel band purification kit (GE Healthcare) and the samples were sequenced.
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