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Abstract

Alpha mannosidases are enzymes with varied applications preferably used in the preparation of important compounds of food and pharmaceutical industries. The production of enzymes is influenced by medium compositions as some of the constituents of the medium directly affect the enzyme synthesis. In the present study, the components of the culture medium are optimized by classical and Taguchi method for α-mannosidase from the suspension culture of the moss *Hyophilla nymaniana* (Fleish.) Menzel. One factor at a time approach was used in the preliminary screening of factors before proceeding optimization studies. Implementation of Taguchi Design of Experiment generated 16 experimental runs based on five factors sugar, temperature, pH, rpm, and nitrogen source. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the obtained results and the optimum condition suggested by statistical calculations was validated in a verification test. The ANOVA result showed that the NH$_4$NO$_3$ contributed maximum on mannosidase production followed by temperature and RPM. Predicted results showed an enhanced mannosidase (63 %) can be achieved with pH 5.8, temperature 26 °C, RPM 120, maltose 1.5 %, and NH$_4$NO$_3$ 2 % as carbon and nitrogen source, respectively.

Introduction

α-Mannosidases (EC3.2.1.24), are an important N-glycosylation enzymes involved in both maturation and the degradation of Asn-linked oligosaccharides. These enzymes are an abundant constituent of plant hydrolytic system (Forse et al., 1989; Hossain et al., 2010). They are found in vacuoles and presumed to be involved in catabolism and turnover of N-linked glycoproteins (Pastuszak et al., 1990; Woo and Kimura; 2005). These α-mannosidase are commercially attractive due to its potential usefulness in food and pharmaceutical industries. They are used for production of fruit juices, degradation of plant material (Christgau et al., 1994) and for the manufacture of oligosaccharides (Akino et al., 1988). In addition, increased mannosidase level associated with seed germination and fruits ripening process (Chin et al., 1999). Defective α-mannosidase or deficient (AMA) activity is associated with α-mannosidosis (Sun and Wofe., 2001). In view of the involvement of the enzyme in various applications, its higher production is desirable. Recent, *in vitro* culture studies reveals the importance of bryophytes in biopharmaceuticals industries. Studies conducted on suspension culture of mosses have gain momentum as they share similarities with the protein N-glycosylation patterns with the higher plants and possess an exceptionally high rate of homologous recombination in mitotic cells. Thus, making it a highly preferred system for the humanization of the glycosylation pattern through homologous recombination (Hohe and Reski., 2002; Decker and Reski., 2008). Despite of these advantages *in vitro* studies of bryophytes are still neglected topic. Although a number of the culture studies of bryophyte taxa are administered within the past regarding to nutritional requirement (Basile, 1975), spore germination, sporeling and regeneration studies protonemal morphogenesis but only a little work has been done in cultivating bryophytes in order to make them available in bulk amount for their potential use or further bioprospecting studies till date. Although, occurrence of α-mannosidase is frequently studied in bacteria, fungi, animals, and plants. But, α-mannosidase from suspension culture of mosses is still a neglected topic. The present study, gives a
detailed description of establishment of suspension culture system for α-mannosidase production from moss *Hyophilla nymaniana*. Process parameters like sugar, temperature, pH, rpm, and nitrogen source which plays important role in effective enzyme production are optimized. First conventional one variable at a time approach (OVAT) was applied for the screening of all the factor and later Taguchi design of experiment was applied to optimize the process parameters that bring maximum enzyme production in the suspension culture system.

The conventional optimization process based on OVAT is a shotgun approach where each parameter is considered to be insensitive to the other process variables. This conventional method of medium optimization is highly cumbersome, time-consuming, requiring larger data sets or experimental trial conditions, and does not provide information of the mutual interactions between the factors' (Beg, 2003; Rao et al., 2004, Das Mohapatra; 2009). On the contrary, statistical design of experiments helps to investigate the interaction among variables with the least number of experiments (Basak et al. 2013a, b; Kennedy and Krouse 1999). The application of Taguchi methodology to optimize various process parameters in biochemical processes is well documented in literature. Taguchi’s optimization technique is a unique and powerful optimization method that helps the researchers to establish the relationship between the variables of the medium components and to optimize their concentration in a different phase (Prasad et al., 2005). Although, Taguchi DOE has been used successfully to enhance production of different biomolecules by using a different orthogonal array, but till date, no reports are available for the production of α-mannosidase by this method. In this study, the components of the medium are optimized by the conventional OFAT approach and later optimum level of each parameter was optimized by Taguchi design of experiments to maximize the enzyme production from moss *H. nymaniana*.

**Experimental**

**Culture initiation**

Fresh unopened, mature capsules of *H. nymaniana* were taken for culture initiation. Capsules were surface sterilized with 2 % sodium hypochlorite solution (w/v) for 5 minutes, washed 3 times with sterile distilled water. Spores in the capsules were aseptically transferred in hormones free MS medium at 25 °C and with photon flux density of 18 lmol/m²/s with 16:8-h light–dark photoperiod. The young protonema cells developed from aseptic spores were taken for establishment of suspension culture.

**Cultivation of the plant in suspension culture**

A 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml MS medium was inoculated with 4 to 6 weeks old actively growing protonemal cells incubated at temperature (22 °C) on a rotary shaker set at 120 rpm for 42 days. The seed medium was composed of NH4NO3, 1,650; KNO3, 1,900; CaCl2.2H2O, 440; MgSO4.7H2O, 370; KH2PO4, 216; KI, 0.83; H3BO3, 6.2; MnSO4.4H2O, 22.3; ZnSO4.7H2O, 8.6; Na2MoO4.2H2O, 0.5; CuSO4.5H2O, 0.05; CoCl2.6H2O, 0.05; FeSO4.7H2O, 26; Na2EDTA.2H2O, 37.3; myo-inositol, 90; nicotinic acid, 1; pyridoxine HCl, 0.5; thiamine-HCl, 1; biotin, 0.01; glucose, 20,000. Cells were sub-cultured every 3 weeks at
25°C and with photon flux density of 18 lmol/m²/s with 16:8-h light–dark photoperiod. 10 mg of fast-growing cells were suspended in 50 mL medium in 250 mL conical flasks and cultured on a rotary shaker (120 rev/min) at 22 °C under illumination by fluorescent lamps using a 16:8-h light–dark photo-period.

**Extraction of crude enzyme**

The cells in the suspension culture incubated for different time intervals (7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 days) and were checked for the biomass production. Crude enzyme extraction (28-days-old culture) carried out from the suspension culture by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The cells were resuspended in phosphate buffer (10 ml) containing 10 mM beta mercaptoethanol and subjected to sonication at an amplitude of 35 % 15,000 J energy and a pulse rate of 10 s on and 10 s off for 10 min at 4 °C The cells free supernatant used as a crude enzyme to perform enzyme assay.

**α-Mannosidase Assay and Protein estimation**

The activity of mannosidase was studied using the method of Kestwal and Bhinde (2005) with some modifications. 100 µl enzyme solutions was added to 200 µl (10 mM) of the substrate (p-nitrophenyl-α-D-mannopyranoside) dissolved in 200 µl citrate buffers (100 mM, pH 4.5). The assay mixtures were incubated for 30 min and the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 ml borate buffer (0.1 M, pH 9.5). Liberated p-nitrophenol was measured spectrophotometrically at 405 nm. The total protein concentration was determined by the method of Lowry et al., 1951 using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

**Optimization of culture condition for mannosidase production**

Various process parameters that influence α-mannosidase production were evaluated using the basal MS medium. Enzyme production was carried out in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml medium with 10 mg protonemal biomass. All experiments were performed in triplicates, and the results were reported as the mean of these replications.

**Optimization of the incubation period**

For the determination of protonemal biomass, 10 mg of protonema biomass of *H. nymaniana* was inoculated in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml MS medium (pH 5.8). Each culture was incubated at temperature (22 °C) on a rotary shaker set at 120 rpm for 42 days. The sample was harvested at 7-day intervals and production of protonemal biomasses was measured. Samples were withdrawn at a 7-day interval and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were assayed for α-mannosidase activity.

**Optimization of the initial pH**

Effect of pH on production medium on mannosidase production was assessed by growing *H. nymaniana* in a medium of varying pH range (4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5 and 7.0) at 22 °C. Samples were withdrawn from
28-days-old culture to check the enzyme activity.

**Optimization of incubation temperature**

The optimal temperature for the maximum production of α-manosidase was evaluated by incubation at temperature 18, 22, 26, 30, 35, 40 and 45 °C. Cultures were incubated for 28 d at the required temperatures in an incubator cum orbital shaker set at 120 rpm.

**Optimization of agitation**

The optimal agitation speed (rpm) for the production of protonemal biomass and the maximum production of α-manosidase were evaluated by using 70, 90, 110, 130, 150, 170 and 190 rpm agitation. Samples were withdrawn after 28 d of incubation at 22 °C on a rotary shaker set at 120 rpm.

**Effect of various carbon sources**

Carbon sources were screened to check their efficacy on the mannosidase enzyme production. 1% w/v of various carbon sources (dextrose, sucrose, lactose, xylose, maltose and galactose) were used to check their effect on mannosidase production.

**Effect of various nitrogen sources**

Different nitrogen sources such as ammonium chloride, ammonium nitrate, potassium nitrate, ammonium phosphate and sodium nitrate (1% w/v) were screened to check their efficacy on α-mannosidase production.

**Statistical designTaguchi Design of experiment**

Based on our previous one factor at a time experiments five critical factors, namely sucrose (%), ammonium nitrate (%), temperature (°C), medium pH and agitation (rpm) having a significant influence on protonemal biomass and enzyme production was chosen and were varied at four different levels in Taguchi design of experiments (Table 1). The selected variables were arranged into an orthogonal array (L16 orthogonal array for the representative experiments. The L-16 orthogonal experimental design along with α-manosidase production values are presented in (Table 2). Qualitek-4 software (version 17.1.0, Nutek Inc., USA) for automatic design of experiments using the approach of Taguchi methodology was used in the present part of the study.

**Table 1 Selected process parameters and respective levels in the experimental design**
| Sl. No | Factors                  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|--------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1      | Maltose % (w/v)          | 0.5     | 1       | 1.5     | 2       |
| 2      | NH$_4$NO$_3$ % (w/v)     | 0.5     | 1       | 1.5     | 2       |
| 3      | Temperature (°C)         | 22      | 24      | 26      | 28      |
| 4      | pH                       | 5.4     | 5.6     | 5.8     | 6       |
| 5      | Agitation (rpm)          | 100     | 120     | 140     | 160     |

**Table 2** L-16 Orthogonal array of Taguchi experimental design for α-mannosidase production from protonemal biomass of *H. nymaniana*

| Run | Maltose | NH$_4$NO$_3$ | Temperature | pH | Agitation | α-mannosidase (U/ml) |
|-----|---------|---------------|-------------|----|-----------|----------------------|
| 1   | L1      | L1            | L1          | L1 | L1        | 7.8                  |
| 2   | L1      | L2            | L2          | L2 | L2        | 9.2                  |
| 3   | L1      | L3            | L3          | L3 | L3        | 10.9                 |
| 4   | L1      | L4            | L4          | L4 | L4        | 8.5                  |
| 5   | L2      | L1            | L2          | L3 | L4        | 9.2                  |
| 6   | L2      | L2            | L1          | L4 | L3        | 8.5                  |
| 7   | L2      | L3            | L4          | L1 | L2        | 11.5                 |
| 8   | L2      | L4            | L3          | L2 | L1        | 4.5                  |
| 9   | L3      | L1            | L3          | L4 | L1        | 10.2                 |
| 10  | L3      | L2            | L4          | L3 | L2        | 9.5                  |
| 11  | L3      | L3            | L1          | L2 | L4        | 11.8                 |
| 12  | L3      | L4            | L2          | L1 | L3        | 12.5                 |
| 13  | L4      | L1            | L3          | L2 | L3        | 7.9                  |
| 14  | L4      | L2            | L3          | L1 | L4        | 9.4                  |
| 15  | L4      | L3            | L2          | L4 | L1        | 9.2                  |
| 16  | L4      | L4            | L1          | L3 | L2        | 7.8                  |

**Results And Discussions**
Determination of protonemal biomass at different time period

Optimum incubation period for α-mannosidase production determined by one variable-at-a-time method is depicted in Fig.1. Maximum growth of the protonemal biomass was observed in 28 days culture, thereafter no further noticeable increase in protonemal biomass observed with the increase in time period. Further, highest mannosidase activity recorded for 28 days old culture and no activity declined with the increase in culture time period.

Screening of different culture parameters affecting enzyme production

Various physical parameters such as culture period, temperature, pH, agitation speed and nutritional parameters such as carbon and nitrogen sources were optimized using classical method and later the optimum level of each parameter was further optimized by Taguchi orthogonal array to predict the more accurate levels of each component on the basis of interaction studies.

Optimization of initial pH of culture medium

The pH of the culture medium influences biomass and enzyme production. Higher α-mannosidase, production was observed at pH 5.5. Production of α-mannosidase slowly declines after pH 6 (Fig. 2).

Optimization of incubation temperature

The production of α-mannosidase at different temperature is depicted in fig.3. Figure 3 reveals highest mannosidase activity at 26 °C. The enzyme activity declined slowly with the increase in temperature.

Effect of agitation

The α-manosidase activity improved with an increase in agitation speed up to 120 rpm and further increase in agitation gave no noticeable improvement in it. Highest activity of the enzyme noticed in cultures incubated at 120 rpm (fig. 4). Decrease in enzyme activity may be due to damage to protonemal biomass.

Effect of different carbon sources

Most potent carbon sources were selected by one factor at a time method. Almost every carbon sources tested, enhanced the mannosidase production, except xylose. Maltose exhibited higher mannosidase yield (5.8 U/ml) followed by dextrose (4.8 U/ml) as shown in Fig.5.

Effect of different nitrogen sources

Screening of the various nitrogen sources tested at 1% w/v level in the production medium revealed that most of the inorganic sources significantly enhanced the mannosidase production. Ammonium nitrate acted as a best nitrogen source with maximum activity of 8.2 U/ml followed by sodium nitrate Fig.6.
Evaluation of the factors affecting mannosidase productivity

On the basis of the experiment carried out various process parameters were selected and respective levels in the experimental design were considered. Sixteen different trial conditions were used for the analysis of suspension culture parameters and identifying optimum levels of proposed factors (Fig. 7). The averages of mannosidase activity for the different trials (experimental) together with the predicted activity are shown in table 3. Optimization was done on the basis of the results of these 16 experimental trials. Moreover, after optimizing all these production parameters in 16 trial conditions it has been analyzed that different factors affect the enzyme production at different levels. The favorable levels of different factors can be evaluated from the value of severity index (SI). The SI value gives idea about the interaction between two factors which may help us in understanding of overall process of analysis. The SI value of 100 % indicates a 90 ° angle between the lines versus 0 % SI for parallel lines. The estimated interaction severity index of the factors under study is given in Table 3.

After the consideration of SI it has been observed that the pH and RPM has maximum effect on α-mannosidase production while temperature and RPM has the minimum. Rest of the factors has intermediate effect on enzyme production. Different factors at their best levels and their respective contributions are mentioned in table 4.

Table 3 Estimated interactions between the factors for mannosidase production

| Sl. No | Interacting Factor Pairs (Order based on SI) | Columns | Severity Index (SI %) | Col | Optimum |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----|---------|
| 1      | pH × RPM                                    | 4×5     | 64.20                 | 1   | [2,1]   |
| 2      | Temperature × pH                            | 3×4     | 37.68                 | 7   | [3,2]   |
| 3      | Maltose × pH                                | 1×4     | 35.79                 | 5   | [2,2]   |
| 4      | NH₄NO₃ × pH                                | 2×4     | 30.06                 | 6   | [4,2]   |
| 5      | Maltose × NH₄NO₃                           | 1×2     | 18.25                 | 3   | [2,4]   |
| 6      | Maltose × RPM                               | 1×5     | 14.90                 | 4   | [2,1]   |
| 7      | NH₄NO₃ × RPM                               | 2×5     | 14.55                 | 7   | [4,1]   |
| 8      | NH₄NO₃ × Temperature                        | 2×3     | 8.13                  | 1   | [4,3]   |
| 9      | Maltose × Temperature                       | 1×3     | 2.63                  | 2   | [2,3]   |
| 10     | Temperature × RPM                           | 3×4     | 0.94                  | 6   | [3,1]   |
Table 4 Four different levels of factors selected for enzyme production and their contribution

| Sl. No | Factors   | Level Description | Optimum Level | Contribution |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1      | Maltose   | 1.5               | 2             | 1.033        |
| 2      | NH$_4$NO$_3$ | 2.0           | 4             | 2.076        |
| 3      | pH        | 5.8               | 3             | 0.976        |
| 4      | Temperature | 26 °C           | 3             | 1.151        |
| 5      | RPM       | 120               | 3             | 1.135        |
|        | Total contribution from all factors |               |               | 6.370        |
|        | Current grand average of performance |               |               | 8.298        |
|        | Expected result at optimum condition |               |               | 14.669       |

Optimum conditions and validation of experiments based on ANOVA

The significance and percentage contribution of factors on α-mannosidase production variation has been determined from ANOVA (Analysis of variance). From the calculated ratios (F) of all selected parameters, it was noticed that all factors and their interactions considered in the experimental design were statistically significant at 95% confidence limit, indicating that nearly all the variability of experimental data for α-mannosidase production can be explained in terms of significant effects. ANOVA reports along with the percentage of contribution of each factor are shown in Table 5. Results indicated that NH$_4$NO$_3$ contributed the maximum impact (39.6 %) on mannosidase activity followed by temperature (19.9 %) and pH (16.09 %), RPM (11.46 %) and maltose (11 %). Significant contribution of each factor in α-mannosidase activity is depicted in Fig. 8. Individually each factor influenced the enzyme activity at a certain level. However, this significant factor gives maximum yield when these factors act collectively, which may be due to the interactive effect of different factors. The α-mannosidase activity estimated statistically showed the 13.6 U/ml of enzymatic activity and there was around 63 % increase in the production level after optimization of the culture parameters through Taguchi DOE method (Fig. 9)

Table 5 Analysis of variance of the factors in Step 1 using average of results
| S. No | Factors          | DOF (f) | Sum of Square | Variance | F ratio | Pure sum | Percent P% |
|-------|------------------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|----------|------------|
| 1     | Maltose          | 3       | 15.504        | 5.168    | 505.014 | 73.644   | 11.354     |
| 2     | NH₄NO₃          | 3       | 53.564        | 17.854   | 25.707  | 254.422  | 39.612     |
| 3     | Temperature      | 3       | 27.078        | 9.026    | 75.031  | 128.616  | 19.947     |
| 4     | pH               | 3       | 21.773        | 7.257    | 25.395  | 103.42   | 16.009     |
| 5     | RPM              | 3       | 15.647        | 5.214    | 51.962  | 74.32    | 11.460     |
| Error |                  | 16      | 1.122         | 0.07     |         |          | 1.618%     |
| Total |                  | 31      | 134.695       |          |         |          | 100%       |

Discussion

α-mannosidase production

Bryophytes have emerged as a promising biopharming tool for the assembly of complex biopharmaceuticals. Literature data reveals the assembly production of plant-based production systems is gaining importance over the last years. Today different plant systems are being explored to successfully grow them in axenic culture conditions so as to satisfy the demand of the biopharmaceutical industry. Although attempts are being made for the successful establishment of suspension culture from different bryophytes species only a few very few Atrichum undulatum (Ono et al., 1987), peat moss Sphagnum imbrications (Kajita et al., 1987) are attempted for the establishment of cell suspension culture from mosses. However, attempts for the establishment of a suspension culture system in mosses have gain momentum with the successful establishment of bioreactor based suspension culture of moss Physcomitrella patens (Decker and Reski., 2007; Paul and Ma., 2011., Paul et al., 2013) for the production of biopharmaceuticals. Within the the present study, we endeavor to establishment the suspension culture of the moss H. nymaniana by optimizing the culture parameters by conventional and statistical methods.

Preliminary screening of nutrients and physical factors for statistical optimization

The nutrient medium plays a big role in enzyme production and biomass formation within the biological production system. Several reports are available showing an enhanced enzyme production under optimized medium conditions (Suzuki et al., 1976; Prakasham et al., 2005). Various physical parameters such as culture period, temperature, pH, agitation speed, and nutritional parameters like carbon and nitrogen sources were optimized using the classical method. Incubation temperature plays a pivotal role in enzyme and biomass production. The optimum temperature and pH for enzyme production usually
vary from one organism to a different (Banargee and Bhattacharya, 1992; Kumar and Takagi, 1999). Based upon this concept, the role of incubation temperature and pH on α-mannosidase production by protonema culture of *H. nymaniana* was studied at different temperatures such as 18, 22, 26, 28 and 30, °C and pH (4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5 and 7.0). The outmost enzyme production of α-mannosidase was observed at a temperature of 26 °C pH and 5. Production of α-mannosidase slowly declines after pH 6.

Agitation speed has an influential role within the growth of protonemal cells of *H. nymaniana* and enzyme production. The activity of the enzyme improved considerably with a rise in agitation speed up to 120 rpm while an extra rise in RPM didn’t show any any noticeable change in enzyme activity as well as biomass production. A decrease in enzyme activities probably could be due to the disruption of the protonemal tissues at high agitation. Although in contrast to the present Decker and Reski (2007) recorded a rather a higher agitation speed of 150 rpm within the culture of *Physcomitrella patens* for biomass production. Further, among all the supplementary carbon sources (1% w/v), maltose has been found to be the best source for mannosidase enzyme production. Out of the various t nitrogen sources tested above NH4NO3 supported the outmost enzyme production (8.2 U/ml). This result was supported by the previous finding of alpha-mannosidase production from *Penicillium oxalicum* KUB-SN2-1 where yeast extract and NH4NO3 showed maximum enzyme activity Chantron et al., 2012.

**Taguchi Design of experiment**

Initial screening of the culture parameters affecting enzyme production was conducted by the OFAT method later all factors were optimized that control the enzyme production process by the Taguchi DOE method. This Taguchi approach of DOE involves a easy methodology that selects the best conditions for the enzyme production. Various statistical methods are for the optimization of production of the enzyme in various studies (Azin et al., 2007, Adnani et al., 2010, Shehata and Abeer, 2014, Aishwarya et al., 2017), but to our knowledge, no investigation has been reported to explain the sequential optimization process of the various factors for the production of the enzyme from the moss *H. nymaniana*. The enzyme production before optimization, was 8.3 U/ml, and an increase of 2 fold enzyme production was achieved after the optimization process by the Taguchi DOE method. The anticipated maximum mannosidase enzyme production was estimated to be 14.66 U/ml. Experiments conducted in triplicates to validate the experimental design, in optimized conditions, revealed 13.6 U/ml α-mannosidase activity. This experimental value (14.26 U/ml) is in good agreement there upon of the anticipated the value that validates the model design.

**Optimization and validation**

Three independent experiments were conducted for validation of the anticipated results under optimized conditions. In this model, the experimental mannosidase activity of 14.26 U/ml was obtained which correlated to the predicted activity (14.66 U/ml) confirming the rationality of the model. This is 2 fold higher than that obtained before optimization. Thus, an overall 2 fold increase in mannosidase activity was observed after optimization.
Conclusion

α-mannosidases are important N-glycosylation enzymes utilized in the pharmaceutical industry therefore its production during a large scale is of paramount importance. Till now various literature conveys the production of α-mannosidase from different animal and plant sources. But no report for the production of the enzyme from moss suspension culture reported till date. Within the present study, both classical and statistical methods were used to evaluate the effect of the variables for the increased production of α-mannosidase from moss *H. nymaniana*. Taguchi experimental design with L16 orthogonal array was proved to be completely unique and effective method for enhancing α-mannosidase production. It has been observed that NH$_4$NO$_3$ features a maximum effect on enzyme production while maltose and RPM have minimum effect on the suspension culture of moss *H. nymaniana*. The α-mannosidase activity estimated statistically showed the 13.6 U/ml of enzymatic activity and there was a 2-fold increase within the production level after optimization of the culture parameters through the Taguchi DOE method.
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Comparison of enzyme activity before and after optimization process
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