Intra- and Extracellular Pillars of a Unifying Framework for Homeostatic Plasticity: A Crosstalk Between Metabotropic Receptors and Extracellular Matrix
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In the face of chronic changes in incoming sensory inputs, neuronal networks are capable of maintaining stable conditions of electrical activity over prolonged periods of time by adjusting synaptic strength, to amplify or dampen incoming inputs [homeostatic synaptic plasticity (HSP)], or by altering the intrinsic excitability of individual neurons [homeostatic intrinsic plasticity (HIP)]. Emerging evidence suggests a synergistic interplay between extracellular matrix (ECM) and metabotropic receptors in both forms of homeostatic plasticity. Activation of dopaminergic, serotonergic, or glutamate metabotropic receptors stimulates intracellular signaling through calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, protein kinase A, protein kinase C, and inositol trisphosphate receptors, and induces changes in expression of ECM molecules and proteolysis of both ECM molecules (lecticans) and ECM receptors (NPR, CD44). The resulting remodeling of perisynaptic and synaptic ECM provides permissive conditions for HSP and plays an instructive role by recruiting additional signaling cascades, such as those through metabotropic glutamate receptors and integrins. The superimposition of all these signaling events determines intracellular and diffusional trafficking of ionotropic glutamate receptors, resulting in HSP and modulation of conditions for inducing Hebbian synaptic plasticity (i.e., metaplasticity). It also controls cell-surface delivery and activity of voltage- and Ca\(^{2+}\)-gated ion channels, resulting in HIP. These mechanisms may modify epileptogenesis and become a target for therapeutic interventions.
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INTRODUCTION

Homeostatic plasticity enables neurons to stabilize network activity within an optimal dynamic range over prolonged periods of time, thereby playing a fundamental neuroprotective role during pathological conditions that tend to alter function and integrity of neuronal networks. Homeostatic plasticity entails negative feedback mechanisms that can alter diverse aspects of network function: the number of shared connections, the strength of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission, the excitatory/inhibitory ratio [phenomena collectively designated as...
homeostatic synaptic plasticity (HSP) and the level of intrinsic excitability [homeostatic intrinsic plasticity (HIP)] (Silberberg et al., 2005; Hahn et al., 2019). Recent evidence suggests that many of these homeostatic mechanisms are not always active but instead are triggered by behavioral states, such as the sleep–wake rhythm, and by modulatory neurotransmitters and metabotropic receptors, such as the glutamatergic, dopaminergic, and serotonergic receptors (Tononi and Cirelli, 2014; Hengen et al., 2016; Diering et al., 2017).

In addition to extensive analyses of ion channel trafficking and intracellular signaling pathways involved in the different forms of homeostatic plasticity, several studies have revealed the importance of synaptic extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules, such as neuronal activity-regulated pentraxin (Narp) (Chang et al., 2010), and major ECM receptors such as β3 integrin (Cingolani et al., 2008; McGechie et al., 2012). More recently, the attention was drawn also to the hyaluronic-acid-based perisynaptic ECM (Korotchenko et al., 2014; Valenzuela et al., 2014), incorporating lecticans, link proteins, and tenascin-R (Ferrer-Ferrer and Dityatev, 2018). Here, we review emerging common themes linking ECM remodeling with other major mechanisms of homeostatic plasticity, which are intriguingly “clustered” around regulation of metabotropic receptors.

**mGluRs IN HOMEOSTATIC SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY**

Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) represent a prominent family of class C G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). These receptors assemble into constitutive dimers with each subunit comprising a "Venus flytrap" domain, a large extracellular N-terminal domain that contains the endogenous ligand-binding site (Pin and Bettler, 2016). Based on sequence homology, G-protein coupling, and ligand selectivity, we can distinguish three major groups of mGluRs. In neurons, group I mGluRs (mGluR1 and 5) are enriched in postsynaptic compartments where they couple to G_{q} heterotrimeric G proteins and activate phospholipase C. Group II (mGluR2 and 3) and III mGluRs (mGluR4, 6, 7, and 8) are instead localized mainly presynaptically where they couple to G_{i/0} and inhibit adenylyl cyclase (Niswender and Conn, 2010).

Group I mGluRs are involved in the induction of both Hebbian and homeostatic forms of synaptic plasticity. The mechanism of activation of these receptors in the two forms of plasticity is, however, different. In Hebbian mGluR-induced long-term depression (mGluR-LTD), mGluR1/5 are activated by synaptically released glutamate; consequently, only mGluR1/5 localized in close proximity to the activated synapses will contribute to weakening of synaptic transmission in a synapse-specific manner (Oliet et al., 1997; Luscher and Huber, 2010). Conversely, in homeostatic synaptic downscaling, mGluR1/5 are activated by the immediate early gene Homer1a, which is induced in response to the increase in network activity. Rather than being synapse specific, Homer1a induction is cell wide and promotes mGluR1/5 activity in a glutamate-independent manner by disrupting the scaffold formed by the constitutively expressed long forms of Homer, which firmly anchor mGluR1/5 at perisynaptic sites (Ango et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2010). Because disruption of mGluR1/5 clusters favors constitutive activation of these receptors, Homer1a acts effectively as an endogenous mGluR1/5 allosteric modulator. It is noteworthy that, albeit different in the induction mechanism, mGluR-LTD and homeostatic synaptic downscaling eventually converge as both forms of synaptic plasticity induce tyrosine dephosphorylation of GluA2 subunits of AMPA-type glutamate receptors (AMPARs), with a consequent increase in the internalization rate of GluA2-containing AMPARs (Figure 1; Moul et al., 2006; Gladding et al., 2009; Scholz et al., 2010; Jang et al., 2015). Interestingly, these mechanisms appear to be relevant to synapse remodeling and memory consolidation during sleep because the synaptic levels of Homer1a are dramatically increased during sleep, leading to loss of synaptic mGluR5, constitutive activation of these receptors, and weakening of synapses (Diering et al., 2017).

**RECIproCAL INTERACTIONS BETWEEN EXTRACELLULAR ENVIRONMENT AND mGluRS IN HOMEOSTATIC SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY**

Functional characterization of mGluRs has focused predominantly on proteins involved in intracellular scaffolding and signaling (O’Connor et al., 2014). However, it is becoming increasingly clear that mGluRs also associate with cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) and ECM components and that these interactions play a crucial role in regulating localization and signaling of mGluRs. Recently, group III mGluRs have been shown to interact with ELFN1 [extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and fibronectin type III domain-containing 1 (Tomioka et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Dunn et al., 2018)], a member of the family of LRR CAMs, which play an essential role in specifying synaptic connectivity (de Wit and Ghosh, 2016). The interaction likely involves the glutamate-binding domains on mGluRs and the N-terminal LRR protein-interaction domain on ELFN1 (Stachniak et al., 2019). In the hippocampus and cortex, ELFN1 is found exclusively in somatostatin interneurons from where it interacts transsynaptically with presynaptic mGluR7 expressed in pyramidal neurons, thereby recruiting mGluR7 selectively at synapses between pyramidal neurons and somatostatin interneurons (Tomioka et al., 2014). The enrichment of mGluR7 is responsible for reducing neurotransmitter release probability and for endowing these synapses with their distinctive short-term facilitation properties (Sylwestrak and Ghosh, 2012). Similarly, in the retina, transsynaptic interaction between ELFN1 and mGluR6 plays an essential role in retaining mGluR6 at the synapses between rods and bipolar cells (Cao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). These observations exemplify the relevance of extracellular interactions for clustering mGluRs at synapses.

Perhaps more importantly, recent work suggests that ELFN1 has not only a structural role, but it could also promote...
FIGURE 1 | Metabotropic glutamate receptors 1/5 (mGluR1/5) in long-term depression (LTD) and homeostatic synaptic plasticity (HSP). Top, in LTD, mGluR1/5 are anchored at perisynaptic sites via Homer 1b/c and activated in a synapse-specific manner by synaptically released glutamate. Activation of mGluR1/5 leads to tyrosine dephosphorylation of the GluA2 subunit of AMPARs (1), with consequent increase in AMPAR endocytosis (2). Bottom, in HSP induced by chronic increase in network activity, induction of Homer1a decouples mGluR1/5 from the synaptic signaling machinery and induce a constitutive glutamate-independent activation of mGluR1/5. Homer1a-induced mGluR1/5 signaling requires upregulation of the striatal-enriched protein tyrosine phosphatase (STEP61; 1), with consequent dephosphorylation of the GluA2 subunit of AMPARs (2) and increase in AMPAR endocytosis (3) in a non-synapse-specific manner.
α-metalloproteinase tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in the extracellular environment controls mGluRs, as exemplified above, but on the other hand, the signaling of either proteins induces similar phenotypes in mice, specifically hyperactivity and increased susceptibility to seizures (Sansig et al., 2001; Dolan and Mitchell, 2013; Tomioka et al., 2014). Crucially, the interplay between ELFN1 and mGluR7 is physiologically relevant because loss of either proteins induces similar phenotypes in mice, specifically hyperactivity and increased susceptibility to seizures (Sansig et al., 2001; Dolan and Mitchell, 2013; Tomioka et al., 2014).

The interplay between ECM and mGluRs is twofold: on the one hand, the extracellular environment controls mGluRs, as exemplified above, but on the other hand, the signaling through mGluRs modulates the extracellular environment. For instance, stimulation of group I mGluRs activates the disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs (ADAMTS)-mediated proteolytic modifications of ECM are associated with inactivity-induced homeostatic synaptic upscaling (Valenzuela et al., 2014). Using an antibody specific for a brevican fragment cleaved by the matrix metalloproteases ADAMTS4 and 5, the researchers revealed persynaptic brevican processing by these proteases. Interestingly, after induction of homeostatic plasticity in neuronal cell cultures by prolonged network inactivity, there is an increased brevican processing at inhibitory as well as excitatory synapses, corresponding to the ADAMTS4 subcellular localization. This study suggests therefore a permissive role of persynaptic ECM remodeling in removing inhibitory constrains of synaptic growth necessary for synaptic upscaling.

Which factors control the activity of ADAMTS and other extracellular proteases and hence the integrity of persynaptic ECM? Recent findings implicate dopaminergic and serotonergic neuromodulation. Activation of D1-type dopamine (DA) receptors induces proteolysis of brevican and aggrecan via ADAMTS4 and 5 specifically at excitatory synapses of rat cortical neurons (Mitlöchner et al., 2019). Pharmacological inhibition and short hairpin RNA-mediated knockdown of ADAMTS4 and 5 reduces brevican cleavage. The study further demonstrates that synaptic activity and DA neuromodulation are linked to ECM rearrangements via increased cAMP levels, NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation, and signaling via protein kinase A (PKA) and the Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII). These findings are in line with the previously reported increase in the extracellular activity of the tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) protease after activation of D1-like DA receptors via a PKA-dependent pathway (Ito et al., 2007). Strikingly, tPA may directly activate ADAMTS4 (Lemarchant et al., 2014), suggesting that at least partially elevated remodeling of persynaptic ECM may be due to tPA-ADAMTS4 processing. Previous analysis of tPA function in homeostatic plasticity had revealed a bidirectional effect of tPA on the composition of the postsynaptic density (PSD) (Jeanneret and Yepes, 2017). In inactive neurons, tPA induces phosphorylation and accumulation of pCaMKIIα in the PSD, resulting in pCaMKIIα-induced phosphorylation and synaptic recruitment of GluA1-containing AMPARs. In active neurons, tPA drives pCaMKIIα and pGluA1 dephosphorylation and subsequent removal from the PSD. These effects require active NMDARs and cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5)-induced phosphorylation of the protein phosphatase 1 (PP1). Thus, tPA, and hence ADAMTS4 and potentially other members of the ADAMTS family, may act as homeostatic regulators of the postsynaptic efficacy in a CaMKII-dependent manner. In addition, enzymatic digestion of highly sulfated forms of heparan sulfates with heparinase I was reported to induce homeostatic synaptic upscaling in association with upregulated phosphorylation of CaMKII in cultured hippocampal neurons (Korotchenko et al., 2014). This is noteworthy, as heparan sulfate proteoglycans are major components of the ECM and play key roles in misfolding, oligomerization, and fibrillation of amyloidogenic proteins, stabilization of protein aggregates, as well as for cellular uptake of proteopathic seeds during their spreading (Maiza et al., 2018).

**METABOTROPIC RECEPTOR-DRIVEN ECM REMODELING AND HOMEOSTATIC SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY**

Like TACE-induced extracellular proteolysis is important for downregulation of excitatory transmission, disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs (ADAMTS)-mediated proteolytic modifications of ECM are associated with inactivity-induced homeostatic synaptic upscaling (Valenzuela et al., 2014). Using an antibody specific for a brevican fragment cleaved by the matrix metalloproteases ADAMTS4 and 5, the researchers revealed persynaptic brevican processing by these proteases. Interestingly, after induction of homeostatic plasticity in neuronal cell cultures by prolonged network inactivity, there is an increased brevican processing at inhibitory as well as excitatory synapses, corresponding to the ADAMTS4 subcellular localization. This study suggests therefore a permissive role of persynaptic ECM remodeling in removing inhibitory constrains of synaptic growth necessary for synaptic upscaling.

Which factors control the activity of ADAMTS and other extracellular proteases and hence the integrity of persynaptic ECM? Recent findings implicate dopaminergic and serotonergic neuromodulation. Activation of D1-type dopamine (DA) receptors induces proteolysis of brevican and aggrecan via ADAMTS4 and 5 specifically at excitatory synapses of rat cortical neurons (Mitlöchner et al., 2019). Pharmacological inhibition and short hairpin RNA-mediated knockdown of ADAMTS4 and 5 reduces brevican cleavage. The study further demonstrates that synaptic activity and DA neuromodulation are linked to ECM rearrangements via increased cAMP levels, NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation, and signaling via protein kinase A (PKA) and the Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII). These findings are in line with the previously reported increase in the extracellular activity of the tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) protease after activation of D1-like DA receptors via a PKA-dependent pathway (Ito et al., 2007). Strikingly, tPA may directly activate ADAMTS4 (Lemarchant et al., 2014), suggesting that at least partially elevated remodeling of persynaptic ECM may be due to tPA-ADAMTS4 processing. Previous analysis of tPA function in homeostatic plasticity had revealed a bidirectional effect of tPA on the composition of the postsynaptic density (PSD) (Jeanneret and Yepes, 2017). In inactive neurons, tPA induces phosphorylation and accumulation of pCaMKIIα in the PSD, resulting in pCaMKIIα-induced phosphorylation and synaptic recruitment of GluA1-containing AMPARs. In active neurons, tPA drives pCaMKIIα and pGluA1 dephosphorylation and subsequent removal from the PSD. These effects require active NMDARs and cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5)-induced phosphorylation of the protein phosphatase 1 (PP1). Thus, tPA, and hence ADAMTS4 and potentially other members of the ADAMTS family, may act as homeostatic regulators of the postsynaptic efficacy in a CaMKII-dependent manner. In addition, enzymatic digestion of highly sulfated forms of heparan sulfates with heparinase I was reported to induce homeostatic synaptic upscaling in association with upregulated phosphorylation of CaMKII in cultured hippocampal neurons (Korotchenko et al., 2014). This is noteworthy, as heparan sulfate proteoglycans are major components of the ECM and play key roles in misfolding, oligomerization, and fibrillation of amyloidogenic proteins, stabilization of protein aggregates, as well as for cellular uptake of proteopathic seeds during their spreading (Maiza et al., 2018).
In contrast to DA, serotonin (5-HT) induces ECM remodeling by activating the matrix metalloproteinase 9 (Bijata et al., 2017). This study revealed a physical interaction between 5-HT7 receptors and CD44, the major receptor of the neural ECM backbone, hyaluronic acid. 5-HT7 receptor stimulation increases local matrix metalloproteinase 9 activity, which leads to CD44 cleavage and Cdc42 activation, followed by an increase in neuronal outgrowth and elongation of dendritic spines. Although there is no experimental evidence that this signaling may induce homeostatic plasticity, hyaluronic acid is known to control activity of postsynaptic L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (Kochlamazashvili et al., 2010), which have been implicated in inactivity-induced HSP (Thiagarajan et al., 2005). Indeed, enzymatic digestion of hyaluronic acid leads to epileptiform activity in vitro (Vedunova et al., 2013), and mice deficient in hyaluronic acid synthase HAS3 show epileptic seizures (Arranz et al., 2014).

**mGluRs IN HOMEOSTATIC INTRINSIC PLASTICITY**

Homeostatic adaptation of neuronal firing following prolonged changes in sensory inputs can be achieved not only by adjusting synaptic strength, to amplify or dampen incoming inputs (i.e., HSP), but also by altering intrinsic excitability (i.e., HIP). Observed initially in primary cortical cultures in response to the same pharmacological manipulations that induce HSP (Desai et al., 1999), HIP has been shown to contribute to network stability of various brain regions in vivo, often in cooperation with HSP (Debanne et al., 2019). As for HSP, both sensory deprivation and elevated network activity, as observed in status epilepticus, can induce HIP (Maffei and Turrigiano, 2008; Kirchheim et al., 2013; Kuba et al., 2015; Milshtein-Parush et al., 2017). Although the molecular mechanisms and ion channels that contribute to stabilizing intrinsic excitability vary widely according to the brain region and neuron type considered, much attention has been given to hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) and K\(^{+}\) channels. Here, we will consider the contribution of HCN channels and of a subclass of K\(^{+}\) channels, the small-conductance Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^{+}\) channels (SK channels) to HIP, and their interplay with metabotropic signaling and ECM.

**HCN Channels**

Hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated channels, whose family comprises four members (HCN1, 2, 3, and 4), are of special interest because they are activated by membrane hyperpolarization, but they mediate a mixed Na\(^{+}\) and K\(^{+}\) current (\(I_{h}\)), whose net effect is depolarizing. This means that opening (and closing) of HCN channels will counteract membrane hyperpolarization (and depolarization), thereby stabilizing membrane potential. Crucially, this negative-feedback regulation occurs also in the subthreshold range because HCN channels are partially open at voltages near the resting membrane potential (Biel et al., 2009). HCN channels play also a key role in regulating dendritic integration in CA1 hippocampal and layer V cortical pyramidal neurons. In these neurons, the dendritic density of HCN channels, and most notably of HCN1, increases dramatically along the apical dendrites with distance from the soma. As a consequence of this somato-dendritic gradient, HCN1 effectively dampens excitatory synaptic currents originating in distal apical dendrites, thus limiting their temporal summation (Stuart and Spruston, 2015).

A complex network of cell-autonomous, non-cell-autonomous, and activity-dependent mechanisms regulates distal dendritic targeting of HCN1 in pyramidal neurons. For example, the brain-specific HCN channel auxiliary subunit tetratricopeptide repeat-containing Rab8b-interacting protein (TRIP8b) supports dendritic enrichment of HCN1 via intracellular interactions (Piskorowski et al., 2011). The ECM protein Reelin provides instead a non-cell-autonomous extracellular factor for anchoring HCN1 at distal dendrites. Reelin is a large glycoprotein whose signaling is important for regulating both neuronal positioning during development and synaptic plasticity in the adult brain (Ferrer-Ferrer and Dityatev, 2018). In the adult, it is secreted by a subset of inhibitory interneurons with a non-uniform distribution across the hippocampus and the neocortex. This sets the conditions for establishing gradients of Reelin across these two brain regions. Binding of Reelin to the lipoprotein receptors, the apolipoprotein E receptor type 2 (APOER2) and the very low-density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR), on pyramidal neurons activates Src family tyrosine kinases and the cytoplasmic signaling molecule Dab1. This signaling pathway promotes Hebbian synaptic plasticity by tyrosine phosphorylation of NMDARs (Befert et al., 2005) and is required for giving the distal dendritic compartments of CA1 and layer V pyramidal neurons their molecular identity, including the enrichment in HCN1 (Kupferman et al., 2014).

Hyperpolarization-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated channel expression is also under the control of neuronal activity both in vitro (Brager and Johnston, 2007; Shin and Chetkovich, 2007; Arimitsu et al., 2009; Gasselin et al., 2015; Shim et al., 2016; Schanzenbacher et al., 2018) and in vivo. Indeed, whisker trimming, to induce sensory deprivation in the barrel cortex, causes a decrease in HCN channel density in the distal region of the apical dendrites of layer V pyramidal neurons (Breton and Stuart, 2009). The network-activity-dependent regulation is bidirectional as pharmacological treatments that increase and decrease network activity up- and downregulate HCN activity, respectively. These adaptations are homeostatic because HCN channels actively oppose excitatory drive. Interestingly, they also play an essential metaplastic role as they counterbalance the complementary changes in synaptic strength that take place following HSP, thus ensuring that the propensity to induce Hebbian long-term potentiation (LTP) does not vary following chronic changes in network activity (Gasselin et al., 2015).

In hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons, more proximal apical dendrites are innervated by the Schaffer collateral pathway from CA3 pyramidal neurons, while distal apical dendrites are contacted by the perforant pathway from the entorhinal cortex (Megas et al., 2001). The localization of HCN1 in distal dendrites of CA1 neurons requires the activity of the perforant pathway and opening of NMDARs with subsequent elevation.
of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and activation of CaMKII (Shin and Chetkovich, 2007). Conversely, activation of group I mGluRs at the Schaffer collateral and downstream activation of PKC downregulates HCN channels (Brager and Johnston, 2007). It is therefore plausible that a differential balance between NMDAR and mGluR1/5 signaling at the Schaffer collateral and perforant pathway synapses (Xu et al., 2010) may contribute to distal HCN enrichment.

As opposed to the situation in pyramidal neurons, HCN channels are uniformly distributed on the dendrites of cerebellar Purkinje cells (Angelo et al., 2007). Furthermore, neuronal activity affects the expression of HCN channels in Purkinje cells and pyramidal neurons in opposite directions. In Purkinje cells, chronic activity deprivation upregulates, rather than downregulating, HCN channels, thus decreasing the excitability of these neurons. Because Purkinje cells are inhibitory, these adaptations have a net homeostatic effect on network function. It is worth noting that HIP in Purkinje cells is initiated by glutamate-independent activation of mGluR1 (Shim et al., 2016), similarly to what happens for HSP in cortical neurons (Hu et al., 2010). Hence, constitutive group I mGluR signaling is important for the induction of both HSP and HIP and may change dramatically neuronal network function and stability. For example, in CA3 hippocampal pyramidal neurons, transient pharmacological stimulation of group I mGluRs appears to switch mGluR1 into a constitutive active state with consequent changes in multiple intrinsic ion conductances [including suppression of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent K\textsuperscript{+} current mediating the slow afterhyperpolarization (sI\textsubscript{AHP}) and activation of a voltage-gated cationic, TRPC-like current (I\textsubscript{mGluR(V)})], which have an overall epileptogenic effect in the hippocampus (Bianchi et al., 2009, 2012; Young et al., 2013).

**SK Channels**

Small-conductance Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated K\textsuperscript{+} channels, whose family comprises four members (SK1–4), are voltage-independent K\textsuperscript{+} channels broadly expressed in the brain (Stocker and Pedarzani, 2000; Pedarzani and Stocker, 2008; Gymnopoulos et al., 2014). Low concentrations (in the submicromolar range) of mGluR1 and mGluR5 in Purkinje cells and pyramidal neurons in opposite directions. In Purkinje cells, chronic activity deprivation upregulates, rather than downregulating, HCN channels, thus decreasing the excitability of these neurons. Because Purkinje cells are inhibitory, these adaptations have a net homeostatic effect on network function. It is worth noting that HIP in Purkinje cells is initiated by glutamate-independent activation of mGluR1 (Shim et al., 2016), similarly to what happens for HSP in cortical neurons (Hu et al., 2010). Hence, constitutive group I mGluR signaling is important for the induction of both HSP and HIP and may change dramatically neuronal network function and stability. For example, in CA3 hippocampal pyramidal neurons, transient pharmacological stimulation of group I mGluRs appears to switch mGluR1 into a constitutive active state with consequent changes in multiple intrinsic ion conductances [including suppression of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent K\textsuperscript{+} current mediating the slow afterhyperpolarization (sI\textsubscript{AHP}) and activation of a voltage-gated cationic, TRPC-like current (I\textsubscript{mGluR(V)})], which have an overall epileptogenic effect in the hippocampus (Bianchi et al., 2009, 2012; Young et al., 2013).

**HEPARAN SULFATE PROTEOGLYCANS IN AXONAL EXCITABILITY**

Similar to dendritic, also axonal excitability is under the control of ECM molecules, which accumulate at the axon initial segment (AIS). Among these molecules are tenascin-R and heparan sulfate proteoglycans of glypican and syndecan subfamilies. Acute treatment of hippocampal slices with heparinase I results in impaired LTP due to a reduction in axonal excitability (Minge et al., 2017). Our recent findings demonstrate elevated CaMKII activity 24 h after intrahippocampal heparinase I injection in vivo, which is accompanied by reduced axonal excitability and impaired context discrimination in fear conditioning paradigms (Mironov et al., 2018). These effects appear to be mediated by CaMKII because cotreatment with heparinase I and the CaMKII inhibitor AIP fully rescues neuronal excitability and context discrimination and because the increase in CaMKII expression at the AIS is accompanied by changes in accumulation of ankyrin G. In summary, these data suggest that the CaMKII signaling cascade activated by ECM remodeling is essential for both HSP and the control of axonal excitability. So far, no specific GPCRs have been implicated in the mechanisms linking heparan sulfates to CaMKII. Still, heparan sulfates are known to modulate presentation of diverse positively charged ligands to GPCRs. For instance, they stabilize the formation of chemokine dimers and higher order chemokine oligomers that are required for binding to the G-protein-coupled chemokine receptors. One of these, CXCR4, is activated by chemokine C-X-C motif ligand CXCL12 bound to heparan sulfates (Thakar et al., 2017) and is known to regulate CaMKII activity (Hu et al., 2017).

**HOMEOSTATIC PLASTICITY AND METAPLASTICITY**

Synaptic and intrinsic homeostatic responses may cooperate with each other to maintain constant conditions for the induction of Hebbian-type synaptic plasticity. A good example is the aforementioned homeostatic regulation of HCN channels. Downscaling of excitatory synaptic currents following chronic
β increases signaling through LTP (Gasselin et al., 2015). The increased propensity of CA1 excitatory synapses to undergo homeostatic upregulation of HCN activity counteracts, however, because of the reduced initial synaptic strength. Concomitant network hyperactivity would favor subsequent induction of LTP by theta-burst stimulation because of increased afterburst-channel activity. These changes promote synaptic plasticity (Song et al., 2019). These changes are counteracted by modulation of intrinsic excitability through metabotropic receptors
d
d (2) downstream signaling networks (including calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII), protein kinase A (PKA), protein kinase C (PKC), and inositol trisphosphate receptors (IP3Rs)), (3) This results in activation of extracellular proteinases [tumor necrosis factor-a-converting enzyme (TACE), disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 4/5 (ADAMTS4/5), matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9)], which (4) may process ECM molecules (lecticans) or (5) ECM receptors (NPR, CD44), enabling synaptic modifications (not shown) as well as (6) signaling back through modulation of GPCRs (group III mGluR and (7) additional intracellular signaling events. (8) Inactivity increases cell surface expression and signaling through major extracellular matrix (ECM) receptors, β3 integrins. (9) Activity stimulates secretion of Narp and its coaggregation with GluAs on interneurons. (10) Intracellular signaling cascades are converging on regulation of trafficking of GluAs [homeostatic synaptic plasticity (HSP)] or voltage- and Ca2+-gated ion channels (HIP).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have highlighted emerging evidence suggesting a synergistic interplay between metabotropic receptors and ECM in regulating homeostatic plasticity. Activation of metabotropic receptors for glutamate, DA, and serotonin can initiate intracellular signaling pathways through tyrosine and serine kinases that culminate in the proteolytic cleavage of ECM molecules and ECM receptors. This structural remodeling of the extracellular environment provides either permissive or instructive conditions for HSP and HIP by regulating trafficking of synaptic and extrasynaptic ion channels, respectively. Furthermore, ECM proteins can also affect directly localization and signaling of metabotropic receptors. Although the experimental evidence is still scant, we propose that the superimposition of these reciprocal signaling pathways between intracellular and extracellular environments provides a robust and dynamic regulatory system for multiple forms of homeostatic plasticity (Figure 2).
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