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Abstract

On 07 February 2021 around 10:30 hrs local time catastrophic flash flood occurred in the Dhauliganga River (a tributary of Ganga River) near Rini village at 2000m above msl (mean sea level) (Chamoli District), which killed 79 people and about 125 people are still missing. Part of the area belongs to Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve which is completely protected from human interventions. Further, on Dhauliganga River, there were two run-of-river hydroelectric power Rishiganga Small Hydro (13.2 MW) at 1975m above msl and Tapovan Vishnugad (520 MW) at 1795m above msl projects which were also severely damaged due to the devastating flash flood. More than 150 workers were also trapped in the under construction power tunnel of Tapovan Vishnugad project. Further, it is important to note that the study area belongs to highly seismically active region and Zone-V Seismic Zoning Map of India which comes under highly vulnerable category. During last thirty years the region has also witnessed about 53 earthquakes (within 100km) of magnitude ranging $M_w$ 3.2–6.6. Similarly, landslide hazard zonation map of Uttarakhand also shows that the study area belongs to very high to severe category. Initial assessment on the day of event suggested that there was a glacial burst. However, later series of remote sensing images revealed that initially there was heavy snow fall during 03-05th February 2021 in the region. Later, it has been evaluated through time series high spatial resolution remote sensing images of various satellites that a large part of a north facing triangular shaped slope (having about 660m base and about 1100m height, estimated total volume 18.1 million m$^3$ which includes rocks, snow and ice) at 5540m above msl has failed which was also supporting a small hanging glacier. This landslide and consequently massive debris flow into the Raunthi Gadhera initially blocked flow of Dhauliganga near Rini village [at 2000m above msl (mean sea level)] which later failed around 10:30 hrs on 07 February 2021 and has brought a catastrophic flash flood in the Dhauliganga river. Further, remote sensing images acquired around 10:33 hrs of 07th February 2021 revealed a large dust cloud which clearly unravels the sequence of events from high-altitude landslide, collapse of a small hanging glacier, snow avalanche to catastrophic flooding. Even after the catastrophic flash flood of 07th February 2021, an elongated lake (length about 800m, width at the front is about 100m and having depth of about 46m including freshly deposited debris and silt of about 10m, an estimated total volume of water might be $\approx 800000$ m$^3$) was created due to blocking of flow of Rishi Ganga River which still postures flash flood hazard for the downstream areas.

1. Introduction

The Himalayan mountain range and Tibetan plateau have formed as a result of the collision between the Indian Plate and Eurasian Plate which began about 50 million years ago and still continues. Due to this collision, the Himalaya is seismically highly active, rugged and fragile mountain system. Further, slopes in the higher Himalaya are generally very steep and consequently highly prone to landslides, leading to heavy loss of both life and property. Though there are many other causes of landslides but the steep slopes of Himalayan mountain is one of the major reasons of frequent landslides. Landslides induced damming and later flash floods are inevitably disasters in the Himalaya. Changes in surface and subsurface ice, especially in combination with unfavourable geological conditions, can reduce the
strength of rock and ice and destabilize slopes, thus leading to slope failures such as rock and snow avalanches (Haeberli et al., 1997; Davies et al., 2001). Observations of such slope failures in mountain regions suggest that slope stability problems in steep high-mountain faces are of major concern in view of ongoing climate changes and the hazard potential they possess (Evans & Clague, 1994; Geertsema et al., 2006; Oppikofer et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2011; Fischer et al., 2012). Sometimes, earthquake induced damming have also created similar flash floods disasters as happened in Nepal earthquakes of 2015. Satellites images of recent years (2016-17) have also revealed that there were many such incidences of slope failures in the Rishiganga valley but went unnoticed because these events did not generate devastating flash floods.

2. Study Area

Rishiganga valley is located in the Chamoli district, Uttarakhand, India which lies in the central Himalayas. These young mountains are structurally very fragile and are exposed to the extreme climatic conditions prevalent in the region. Rishiganga river flows in the valley and it springs from the Uttari Nanda Devi Glacier on the Nanda Devi mountain. It is also fed from the Dakshini Nanda Devi Glacier. Continuing through the Nanda Devi National Park, it flows into the Dhauliganga River near the village Rini. Raunthi Gadhera (Ronti Gad) is tributary of Rishiganga River, which later meets Dhauliganga River near Rini (Reni) village (Fig. 1). This area falls under the category of severe earthquake zone and during last thirty years the region has also witnessed about 53 earthquakes (within 100km) of magnitude ranging M_w 3.2–6.6 (Fig. 2). Similarly, landslide hazard zonation map of Uttarakhand also shows that the study area belongs to very high to severe category (Fig. 3). Moreover, large part of Rishiganga valley having very steep slopes and is covered with glacial moraine sediments and therefore due to availability of abundant loose sediments there are always possibilities of damming of streams and consequently flash floods in the event of natural dam failure.

3. Datasets Used

Pre- and post-disaster high-resolution satellite datasets used in the present study are from Planet Scope from PLANET, Indian Remote Sensing Satellite Constellation (Resourcesat-2 and Cartosat2A) from ISRO, Sentinel-2A from European Space Agency and Pleaides from CNES (Space Agency of France), these are collected from different sources. Also various images from Google earth are used to access the damage caused due to flash flood. Precipitation (snow and rain) data from Automatic Weather Station, Tapovan is obtained to get the details of precipitation of seven days (1st to 7th February 2021). Digital Elevation model, 12.5m spatial resolution ALOS-PALSAR DEM is used in RAMMS model as well as in the background of Google Earth for different calculations and estimations.

4. Methodology

High spatial resolution satellites images (Pre-post event) and later Google Earth images are used to observe the cause of the event and also to see the changes and access the damage caused by the event.
Pseudo Colour Technique is also used to see the changes observed in the affected area. The conventional PCT technique facilitates the combination of various bands in different colour schemes, such as RGB, IHS and CMY. However, instead of using the different bands of same date data, an approach developed by (A.K. Saraf, 1998) has been adopted in the present study to map the landslides / rockslide induced ground changes using available high resolution pre- and post-event datasets of Pléiades satellite. Both pre and post-event Pléiades scenes were geo-referenced using an image-to-image rectification technique. Since the available Pléiades images were in false colour composite (FCC) format and therefore first splitting of FCCs were done into their original colour components i.e. red, green and blue (RGB), the pre-event scene is kept in the red channel and the post-event scene in the green and blue channels. This has provided a change index with red depicting positive change in terms of reflectance between two dates, blue and green depicting negative change, and black and white depicting no change. The RAMMS (rapid mass movement simulation) is used to estimate flow depth and flow velocity using 12.5m spatial resolution ALOS-PALSAR DEM.

5. Observations

5.1 Triggering of the Coalescent Natural Disaster

Initially, amid 03-05th February 2021 the Dhauliganga River region received heavy snow fall (Fig. 4) which overloaded high vulnerable very steep slopes and in the morning of 07 February 2021, a north facing triangular shaped slope (Figs. 5 and 6) failed which was also supporting a small hanging glacier, this has been assessed through time series high spatial resolution remote sensing images of various satellites. This landslide and consequently massive debris flow into the Raunthi Gadhera initially blocked flow of Dhauliganga near Rini village which later failed around 10:15 hrs (local time) on 07 February 2021 and has brought a catastrophic flash flood in the Dhauliganga river. It is important to note that there is no information about the exact timing of triangular shaped slope failure due to lack of availability of regular time series high spatial resolution satellite images. However, analysis of two images from different satellites revealed that definitely just before 10:33 hrs. (local time) on 07th February 2021 a huge dust cloud in the Raunthi Gadhera has been observed and another satellite image of 10:58 hrs. On the same day that dust cloud has moved towards Rishiganga and Dhauliganga valleys (Fig. 7). In the present study, it has been further investigated to assess changes occurred before and after the 07th February 2021 flash flood event by employing (i) high resolution images of 06th February 2021 and 07th February 2021 of Pléiades satellite and (ii) a change detection pseudo colour transformation (PCT) technique developed by (A. Saraf, 1998). Later, the efficacies of the PCT for change detection have been successfully demonstrated by (A. K. Saraf et al., 2002; Sharma et al., 2018) for the purpose of damage assessments / change detection. The conventional PCT technique facilitates the combination of various bands in different colour schemes, such as RGB, IHS and CMY. However, instead of using the different bands of same date data, an approach developed by (A. Saraf, 1998) has been adopted in the present study to map the landslides / rockslide induced ground changes using available high resolution pre- and post-event datasets of Pléiades satellite. Both pre- and post-event Pléiades scenes were georeferenced
using an image-to-image rectification technique. Since the available Pléiades images were in false colour composite (FCC) format and therefore first splitting of FCCs were done into their original colour components i.e. red, green and blue (RGB), the pre-earthquake scene is kept in the red channel and the post-earthquake scene in the green and blue channels (Fig. 8). This has provided a change index with red depicting positive change in terms of reflectance between two dates, blue and green depicting negative change, and black and white depicting no change.

5.2 Area and volume estimation of triangular shaped failed slope

High spatial resolution satellites images and later Google Earth images (including in background digital elevation model of the same) of Dhauliganga disaster event, it has been possible to estimate the area and volume of triangular shaped failed slope. Based on various data sets and limited field inputs, the area of triangle has been estimated equal to about 363000 m² (considering 660m base and about 1100m height of the facet). If an average thickness considered to be 50m (maximum being about 150m) (Fig. 9) thus total estimated volume would be about 18.1 million m³ (which includes rocks, snow and ice) (Fig. 10).

(A. K. Saraf, 2000; A. K. Saraf et al., 2009; Sharma et al., 2018) have evidently demonstrated that there are generally early signatures of slope failure. Any triggering factor e.g. heavy precipitation, an earthquake, anthropogenic activities etc. can fail such vulnerable slopes, as happened in the present study area (just below of Ronti Peak, the origin of Raunthi Gadhera) a triangular shaped slope could not sustain heavy and swift snow overloading. Time series satellite images undoubtedly depicts that there were early signature of slope failure (Fig. 11). Comparison of both images [(a) and (b) images of Fig. 11] clearly establishes the fact of early signs of slope failure. Here it is important that if regular monitoring would have been employed then perhaps loss of life could have been completely avoided.

5.3 Damage assessment

Rapid and colossal glacial debris flow into the Raunthi Gadhera initially blocked flow of Dhauliganga near Rini village which later failed around 10:30 hrs (local time) on 07 February 2021 and has brought a calamitous flash flood in the Dhauliganga river which killed 79 people and about 125 people are still missing. Due to heavy flow of moraine sediments, both Rishiganga and Dhauliganga rivers were blocked. However, as clearly evident in post-event satellite images that natural damming at Dhauliganga river near Rini village which broke around 10:30 hrs on the same day and has caused devastating flash flood in the Dhauliganga river (Fig. 12). Further, remote sensing images acquired around 10:33 hrs of 07th February 2021 revealed a large dust cloud (Figs. 7 and 8) which clearly unravels the sequence of events from high-altitude landslide, collapse of a small hanging glacier, snow avalanche to catastrophic flooding. Even after the catastrophic flash flood of 07th February 2021, an elongated lake (length about 800m, width at the front is about 100m and having depth of about 46m including freshly deposited debris and silt of about 10m, an estimated total volume of water might be ≈ 800000 m³) was created due to blocking of flow of Rishi Ganga River which still postures flash flood hazard for the downstream areas.
(Sattar, 2021) modelled flow depth and flow velocity with the RAMMS (rapid mass movement simulation). In this modelling approach total volume of rock and ice has estimated about 25 million m$^3$ involving 12.5m spatial resolution ALOS-PALSAR DEM. This model clearly brings insight about the flow depth and upslope entry of sediments into Rishiganga and Dhauliganga Rivers which blocked flow of water and created temporary dams (Fig. 13).

Further, there were two run-of-river hydroelectric power Rishiganga Small Hydro (13.2 MW) at 1975m above msl and Tapovan Vishnugad (520 MW) at 1795m above msl projects which were also severely damaged due to the devastating flash flood (Figs. 14, 15 and 16). More than 150 workers were trapped in the under-construction power tunnel (Fig. 15) of Tapovan Vishnugad project. A visual comparison of images and field photographs shown in Figs. 14 and 15 emphatically brings the extensive damages caused by the flash flood which were caused in few minutes. The damage to all kind of structures along the Rishiganga-Dhauliganga Rivers runs beyond these project sites. In fact, any structure along these rivers were completely destroyed within few minutes time even beyond Vishnuprayag town.

5.4 Size and volume estimation of Rini newly formed lake

As discussed earlier that immediate consequent of the catastrophic flash flood of 07$^{th}$ February 2021, an elongated lake has formed due to blocking of flow of Rishiganga River. This water impoundment can be seen very clearly on the Planet (Skysat-4) satellite image of 23$^{rd}$ February 2021 (Fig. 17) (NRSC, 2021b).

The Planet (Skysat-4) image of 23$^{rd}$ February 2021 (Fig. 17) evidently shows the elongated shape of the lake. Therefore, it is easy to map the size of lake (length about 880m, width at the front is about 103m). The average width of the lake can be estimated to about 59m and therefore the area of the lake would be about 25,000 m$^2$. Further, based on limited field data, the average depth of the lake can be taken about 36m (excluding freshly deposited debris and silt of about 10m), an estimated total volume of water in lake might be $\approx$ 900000 m$^3$.

In order to evaluate accurate volume of the lake, freely available ALOS-PALSAR Global DEM of 12.5m spatial resolution of the area and dam simulation technique in Geographic Information Systems (ArcView GIS and Profile Extractor extension) have been employed. However, the above analysis does not generate the same elongated shape of the lake as depicted in the Planet (Skysat-4) image of 23$^{rd}$ February 2021 (Fig. 17). Since the area of study is located in the highly rugged terrain and perhaps input DEM is not representing the true terrain conditions. Further, it also might be due the shadow conditions of the area during stereo image acquisition of ALOS-PALSAR. It may be noted that ALOS-PALSAR Global DEM has been generated using stereo images and photogrammetric techniques. Better and high spatial resolution DEM in future can bring true shape of the newly formed lake through Dam Simulation technique.

5.5 Past event of September-October 2016 in the area and futuristic scenarios

(Shrestha et al. 2021) have discussed ice avalanche breakoff near to triangular shaped rockslide area of 07$^{th}$ February 2021. September-October 2016 time series images clearly reveals such ice avalanche
breakoff which brought moraine deposits along Raunthi Gadhera (Fig. 18). Fig. 18(c) image of 07th October 2017 further conveys evidences of breakoff of ice and rocks and bringing additional sediments in the Raunthi Gadhera, which visibly specifies that there were continuous such activities in that area. Later, the same area (just east of September-October 2016 area) (Fig. 18) broke and caused coalescent natural disaster of 07th February 2021.

It is stimulating to note that the ice avalanche breakoff / rockslide moraine sediments do not stay long in the Raunthi Gadhera. Time series images shown by (GAPHAZ, 2021) (Fig. 19) emphatically shows that in 3-4 years’ time, the monsoon rains in the area can flush out such sediments. Such rapid flushing of sediments from the streams also indicates that the sediments deposited due to the 07th February 2021 events will also flush out soon. However, comparatively the latest event has deposited more sediments not only in the Raunthi Gadhera, but also in along Rishiganga and Dhauliganga Rivers. Inevitable heavy rains in the coming Monsoon seasons will be flushing out the newly deposited debris from these streams which may become source of various other problems in the downstream areas. Further, latest images of the triangular slope failure area of 07th February 2021 evidently specifies about the future disaster due to failure of ice covered slopes (Fig. 20). Clear cracks top of ice / snow covered surface indicate about repeating of such events originating from the same area in future.

6. Conclusions

On 07 February 2021 around 10:30 hrs local time catastrophic flash flood has occurred in the Dhauliganga River near Rini village, which killed 79 people and 125 people are still missing. The event was so impulsive and calamitous that it not only caused heavy loss of human lives, but had also torn human bodies. So far 35 pieces of human bodies have been found in the power tunnel of Tapovan Hydro Power Project.

Various satellites time series images have not only brought insight about devastating coalescent disaster of 07th February 2021 in Rishiganga and Dhauliganga Rivers and surroundings but also allowed to reconstruct the sequence of events. Initially, there was a failure of triangular slope of ice, snow and rocks, which brought massive moraine sediments in the river valleys. Subsequently, these sediments blocked the flow of both Rishiganga and Dhauliganga Rivers and created temporary dams. However, due to relatively heavy discharge in the Dhauliganga River, the natural dam which was created due to deposition of fresh loose sediments could not sustain long and failed within few minutes. Consequently, this natural dam failure not only brought flash flood in the downstream areas but also carried heavy load of sediments, which destroyed two hydropower projects and caused heavy loss to human life.

The present study has once again emphasized the importance of high resolution time series satellites images and application of GIS to assess the damages and to estimate various parameters associated with slope failures, lake formation etc. Additionally, the 07th February 2021 event has brought attention to monitor such vulnerable areas of Himalaya regularly to minimize at least loss of human lives in future. Availability of relatively high resolution satellites images on systematic basis has now allowed to monitor
such vulnerable areas. Further, automatic / semi-automatic change detection algorithms / software tools can be developed to detect even miniscule changes in the vulnerable areas and provide long-term / short-term forecast of similar events.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Depicts landslide / rockslide origin and flash flood path along the Raunthi Gadhera, Rishiganga and Dhauliganga Rivers (Source: Shrestha et. al., 2021). Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 2

Earthquakes occurred (within 100km) in the region during 1990-2021. Pink coloured filled circle depicts Chamoli Earthquake of 29 March 1999, 6.6 Mw. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 3

Landslide Hazard Zonation Map of Uttarakhand (Source: Pant & Pande, 2012). Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 4

Total precipitation (snow and rain) occurred in the region. Data recorded at Automatic Weather Station, Tapovan)
Figure 5

Pleiades image of 09th February 2021 [Source: Pleiades images of the Uttarakhand disaster, (2021)]
Figure 6

Three dimensional perspective view of rockslide / landslide area based on Pléiades image of 09th February 2021 (Source: NRSC, 2021)
Figure 7

Satellites images of 07th February 2021 Left image (a) acquired at 10:33 hrs (local time) and at 10:58 hrs later right image (b) has been acquired. A comparison between (a) and (b) clearly deciphers the movement of dust cloud towards downstream valleys which also indicates that perhaps dust particles were moist and heavy and in the absence of wind settled naturally (Source: Planet, 2021)
Figure 8

Pre-event Pléiades image of 06th February 2021 (a); Pléiades image of 07th February 2021, just after rockslide event (b); Pseudo Colour Transformed (PCT) image of Band 3 (c) depicts changes (in red colour) occurred between 06-07th February 2021 images. White areas decipher no changes, Cyan coloured areas in PCT image (c) are due differential shadows between images (a) and (b). Dust clouds in (c) along Raunthi Gadhera can be seen very evidently in red colour.

![Figure 8](image)

Figure 9

Area and volume estimation of triangular shaped failed slope based on analysis of high spatial resolution 10 February 2021 Pléiades DEM and Copernicus 30m DEM of 2013. Both along slope and across slope profiles depicts maximum depth about 150m (Source: Pléiades images of the Uttarakhand disaster, 2021)

![Figure 9](image)
Figure 10

Dimensions of triangular shaped failed slope as estimated employing Google Earth datasets (both high resolution image and digital elevation model in the background). Across slope topographic profile (red coloured line with 660m width as annotation). The topographic profile (bottom figure) depicts depth of the failed slope before the event.
Figure 11

Sentinel-2A image of 06th February 2021 (a) Google Earth image of 10th February 2021 (b) Evidently a detachment crack can be seen in the left image (marked with red arrow), just one day before the event. Further, thick snow on 6th February can also been seen in image (a) Comparison of both the images clearly demonstrates once again that there are early signatures of slope failure.
Figure 12

Google Earth image of 10th February 2021 brings remnant of broken natural dam which can be realized clearly across Dhauliganga River. As can be seen that heavy and abrupt moraine sediments (initially Rishiganga brought dark grey sediments as can been seen on both banks of Rishiganga River and later it brought light grey sediments) were brought by the Rishiganga which initially blocked the flow of Dhauliganga. However, due to heavy flow in the Rishiganga the temporary natural dam could not sustain and has broken quickly causing devastating flash flood in the downstream stretch of Rishiganga. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 13

Reconstruction of flow depth and flow velocity with the RAMMS model, for an assumed 25 million m$^3$ (20 million m$^3$ of rock and 5 million m$^3$ of ice) rock and ice avalanche (Source: Sattar, 2021). Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 14
Pre- and post-event Google Earth images of Rishiganga Hydro Power Project (13.2 MW) clearly shows complete washout of the operational hydro power project due to devastating flash flood of 07th February 2021. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

![Pre- and post-event Google Earth images of Rishiganga Hydro Power Project](image1)

**Figure 15**

Pre- and post-event Google Earth images of Tapovan Vishnugad Hydro Power Project (520 MW) clearly shows severe damage of under construction hydro power project due to devastating flash flood of 07th February 2021. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

![Pre- and post-event Google Earth images of Tapovan Vishnugad Hydro Power Project](image2)

**Figure 16**
Before and after flash flood conditions of Tapovan Vishnugad Hydro Power Project (520 MW) of clearly shows severe damage of under construction hydro power project due to devastating flash flood of 07th February 2021 (Source: Before http://webtag.ae/rithwik/view/front/modules_/portfolio/data/27/hURCWLUssu3q.JPG After - https://static.toiimg.com/thumb/msid-80738861,width-1200,height-900,resizemode-4/.jpg)

**Figure 17**

Planet (Skysat-4) image of 23rd February 2021 depicts newly formed lake on Rishiganga River near Rini Village (NRSC, 2021b). Large and sudden supply of moraine debris due to rockslide / landslide blocked Rishiganga River on 07th February 2021. It is important to note that the lake was formed on 07th February 2021 during the flash flood event, however, due to complete shadow conditions in the area, the lake was not clearly seen till 23rd February 2021 (Source: NRSC, 2021b)

**Figure 18**
The ice avalanche breakoff between 19th September and 9th October 2016 (solid red outline) with the area covered by resulting moraine deposits along the Raunthi Gadhera (dotted pink line) and flow surface (dotted yellow line). The green outline shows the present rockslide scarp (after Shrestha et al., 2021). Image (c) of 07th October 2017 further reveals that there were continuous activities of breaking off of rocks and additional deposition of sediments in the Raunthi Gadhera valley (Source: Shrestha et al. 2021).

Figure 19

Moraine deposits of the September-October 2016 ice avalanche from the neighbouring glacier. The 2020 pre 07th February 2021 event Sentinel-2 image (d) indicates normal flow of the river, similar to Google Earth image of 2014 (a). The debris-covered ice, visible in the (b) and central panel (c) from 2016 and 2017, seems to have disappeared in the year 2020, much before the 2021 avalanche (GAPAZ, 2021).

Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 20

Google Earth images of 07th October 2017 (a) and 10th February 2021 (b). Developments of cracks can be seen (blue ellipse) emphatically indicating imminent possibilities of slope failures which has really
happened on 07th February 2021 (also seen in Fig. 11). The red ellipse are now indicating about future slope failures which may be triggered due to heavy snow / rains as happened in the neighbouring areas during February 2021 which brought devastating coalescent disaster in the downstream areas. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.