Intraoperative conduction mapping in complex congenital heart surgery
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Postoperative heart block is a significant problem in congenital heart surgery because of the unpredictability and variability of conduction tissue location in complex congenital heart defects. A novel technique for intraoperative conduction system mapping during complex congenital heart surgery is described.

Methods: Intraoperative conduction system mapping was performed utilizing a high-density multielectrode grid catheter to collect intracardiac electrograms on open, beating hearts during repair of complex congenital heart defects. Electrograms were interpreted by electrophysiologists, and conduction tissue location was communicated in real time to the surgeon. After localizing conduction tissue, the heart was arrested and the repair was completed taking care to avoid injury to the mapped conduction system.

Results: Two patients with complex heterotaxy syndrome underwent intraoperative conduction mapping during biventricular repair. Mapping accurately identified the location of conduction tissue thereby enabling avoidance of conduction system injury during surgery. Notably, conduction was unexpectedly found to be located inferiorly in a patient with L-looped ventricles. Successful biventricular repair was accomplished in both patients without injury to the conduction system.

Conclusions: Intraoperative conduction mapping can effectively localize the conduction system during surgery and enable the surgeon to avoid its injury. This can lower the risk of heart block requiring pacemaker in children undergoing complex congenital heart surgery. (JTCVS Techniques 2022;12:159-63)

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Heart block requiring permanent pacemaker remains a challenging problem in complex congenital heart surgery. Intraoperative EP mapping can identify conduction tissue to mitigate the risk of injury.

See Commentary on page 164.
The catheter is laid flat with the dial pointing upward; the upward-pointing D spline is marked for catheter orientation. The catheter is connected to a recording system, which also records electrocardiogram limb leads.

The heart is fibrillated, an atriotomy is made, and the heart is drained with drop suckers across the AV valves to prevent air embolism. After defibrillating, IOM commences with the surgeon placing the catheter along the endocardial surface of the empty, beating heart. IOM can also be performed via ventriculotomy. Bipolar electrograms are viewed at 100 to 200 mm/sec sweep speeds with band-pass filtering at 30 to 500 Hz and interpreted by electrophysiologists to identify the HBE (Figure 1, C and D). Three-dimensional heart models are used for reference while mapping. The HBE location is marked on the heart with a marking pen. The patient is then cooled to 28°C, and the heart is arrested to perform the repair (Video 1).

The Boston Children’s Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the protocol (No. P00036219; August 13, 2020) and publication of data. The institutional review board waived patient written consent for the publication of study data because the protocol qualified as exempt from the 45 CFR 46 requirements.

RESULTS

Two BiV repair patients with complex HTX underwent IOM. The first was a 14-year-old with HTX/polysplenia, levocardia, {S,D,D}, right-dominant CAVC, transposition of the great arteries, and pulmonary atresia who had undergone Fontan palliation. BiV repair involved Fontan take-down, CAVC repair baffling the left ventricle (LV) to aorta, and right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduit (Figure 2, A). Conduction was predicted to be inferior.

---

**Abbreviations and Acronyms**

- AVB = atrioventricular block
- BiV = biventricular
- CAVC = complete atrioventricular canal
- HBE = His bundle electrogram
- HTX = heterotaxy syndrome
- IOM = intraoperative His bundle electrogram mapping
- LV = left ventricle

**FIGURE 1.** Intraoperative conduction mapping equipment, setup, and data acquisition. A, The Advisor HD Grid Mapping Catheter (Abbott Cardiovascular) is 105 cm in overall length and designed for percutaneous use. There is a handle and dial (arrow) to enable shaft deflection. B, Close-up view of the 4 × 4 electrode grid demonstrates 4 splines (A through D) and 4 rows (1 through 4), giving each electrode a letter-number label (eg, A3). Electrodes are equidistantly spaced 3 mm apart to create a 13 × 13 mm² grid. Wavefront propagation can be captured in orthogonal vectors (red and blue arrows). C, Operating room setup with the Advisor Grid catheter (red arrow) on the operative field. Real-time electrograms (EGMs) are collected and displayed on the recording system (green arrow) and interpreted by the staff electrophysiologist in the room. D, Real-time EGMs are collected when the catheter is placed on the endocardial surface of the beating heart. Top tracings (white) represent surface electrocardiogram signals. Blue tracings indicate D spline. Green tracings indicate C spline. Yellow tracings indicate B spline. Pink tracings indicate A spline. Each EGM represents a bipolar signal between 2 electrodes (ie, A1A2 indicates electrical signal detected between the A1 and A2 electrodes). Atrial and ventricular signals are denoted, corresponding to the P and QRS waves on the surface electrocardiogram, respectively. The His bundle electrograms (HBEs) lie between the atrial and ventricular signals. This EGM shows HBEs in the A3A4, A2A3, and B2B3 regions. Inset, diagram of the grid demonstrates how the EGMs translate to the HBE location (pink and yellow circles) and the direction of wavefront propagation (green arrow), which are determined by the electrophysiologist in real-time as the surgeon positions the catheter in the heart. Images in panels A and B are reproduced with permission of Abbott, © 2021.
IOM confirmed conduction located inferiorly and ruled out conduction superiorly (Figure 2, B and C). This enabled muscle resection superiorly to enlarge the baffle pathway. The child underwent successful BiV repair without AVB (Video 2).

The second patient was a 3-year-old with HTX/asplenia, dextrocardia, {A,L,L}, right-dominant CAVC, DORV, and pulmonary atresia who had undergone bilateral bidirectional Glens. BiV repair involved Glenn takedown, atrial switch, CAVC repair baffling the LV to aorta, and right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduit. Conduction was anticipated to be superiorly located given L-looped ventricles. However, conduction was mapped inferiorly in the canal. No HBE was identified superiorly, enabling muscle resection in this region to enlarge the LV-aorta pathway (Figure 2, D-F). Successful BiV repair was completed without AVB (Video 3).

**Figure 2.** Patient models and electrograms. A, Three-dimensional model of patient #1 (14-year-old with heterotaxy/polysplenia, levocardia, {S,D,D}, right-dominant complete atrioventricular canal (CAVC), transposition of the great arteries (TGA) and pulmonary atresia) looking through the common atrioventricular (AV) valve shows the posterior left ventricle (LV) with the aorta (Ao) arising off the anterior right ventricle (RV). Blue line indicates common AV valve annulus. Yellow line indicates ventricular septal defect crest. Yellow region indicates superior aspect of CAVC. Green region indicates inferior aspect of CAVC. B, Three-dimensional model with digital rendering of the grid catheter lying along the inferior aspect of the CAVC. C, Electrogram obtained with the mapping catheter in this position demonstrates His bundle potentials between the atrial (A) and ventricular (V) signals, confirming the presence of conduction along the inferior aspect of the CAVC. D, Three-dimensional model of patient #2 (3-year-old with heterotaxy/asplenia, dextrocardia, {A,L,L}, right-dominant CAVC, DORV, and pulmonary atresia) looking through the common AV valve shows the anterior LV with the Ao arising off the RV. The grid catheter is lying along the inferior aspect of the CAVC. E, Grid catheter lying along the superior aspect of the CAVC. F, Electrogram obtained with the mapping catheter along the superior CAVC shows His bundle potentials in this location despite L-looped ventricles.
DISCUSSION

AVB requiring permanent pacemaker remains a significant complication of complex BiV repairs, with attendant pacemaker/lead-related reinterventions, increased healthcare costs, and mortality. IOM enables avoidance of conduction tissue injury by precise localization in these patients with highly variable anatomy.

IOM has been described previously, but was limited by available catheter technology. This catheter’s grid design is favorable for IOM because orthogonal bipolar electrograms make precise alignment along wavefront propagation vectors less critical. HBE localization is quick and precise, with a median mapping time of 8 minutes. Compared with preoperative mapping, IOM offers a closer correlation of mapped and anatomic location at the time of the surgery, with the ability to mark the HBE site for reference.

To date we have observed decreased AVB rates, with 13% experiencing AVB despite IOM. We have found conduction in an unexpected location (based on ventricular looping) in nearly 20% of patients, demonstrating the difficulty in predicting conduction tissue course by anatomical principles alone. Some of our failures were early; that is, preceding technical refinements (eg, marking the endocardial surface after IOM and gaining familiarity with catheter manipulation). Although IOM helps localize conduction, improvements to the resolution of IOM are still needed.

There is the potential for air embolism if open, beating-heart bypass is conducted improperly. Notably, other surgical procedures involve open, beating-heart bypass, including LV apical ventricular assist device implantation. By keeping the beating heart fully decompressed we have not observed any embolic phenomena and believe this technique can be employed safely.

CONCLUSIONS

The novel application of modern high-density mapping to the long-standing challenge of postoperative AVB in congenital heart disease shows promise in early applications. Larger studies are underway to establish efficacy in reducing postoperative AVB. Future work is critical to optimize technology for intraoperative use.

Webcast

You can watch a Webcast of this AATS meeting presentation by going to: https://aats.blob.core.windows.net/media/21%20AM/AM21_C04/AM21_C04_02.mp4.
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Discussion
Presenter: Dr Eric Norton Feins

Dr Carl L. Backer (Lexington, Ky). Congratulations, Dr Feins, on a very nice presentation. This is really a beautiful presentation of what I would consider a very innovative technique. I especially enjoyed the overlay of the surgical video and the 3-dimensional models, which was very informative. Having heard Professor Anderson talk about the “eye of faith” being used to define the location of the conducting system, this appears to be an advance that will be very important. I have a couple of questions for you. My first is: How much additional time does this add to the operation?

Dr Eric Norton Feins (Boston, Mass). It’s not very much time. The median time in the patients we’ve mapped has been about 8 minutes. There is some variation, but it is roughly 8 minutes.

Dr Backer. That’s great. How do you avoid an air embolus with the beating heart, and have you had any issues with air embolus?

Dr Feins. That’s a great question. And that was obviously among our primary concerns as we started in this effort. We take a lot of caution and care during the operation to eliminate that risk. The way we do it is: Once we’re on beating heart bypass, we fibrillate the heart, at which time we then open the atrium and completely empty out the heart. We have suction catheters across the atrioventricular valves to ensure that they’re not competent; therefore, the ventricles cannot pressurize. We also typically have a root vent in the ascending aorta, which has been very effective for us. We have not had any issues related to air embolism in any of our patients.

Dr Backer. Have you had any mistakes? In other words, you’ve mapped and then felt that the atrioventricular node was in a certain location and then when you finish the case, the patient was in heart block?

Dr Feins. Well, I have to say we have not had 100% success. We certainly have seen improvement in the technique as we’ve done this more and more. We are observing a decrease in the rate of heart block in the complex patients that we’re mapping and we’re still early in the experience. So we’ll have to be collecting the data to assess the improvement in that.

We haven’t had situations where we thought conduction was superior and it turned out to be inferior or conversely. What we have found is that we may identify it inferiorly and while it’s not completely perfect, we may know it’s in a certain area and we may still have injury to the conduction even if we are trying to avoid it. I think that speaks to the need to further improve upon and evolve the technology in terms of its spatial and temporal resolution.

Dr Backer. Will this ever become standard of care for intraoperative or congenital heart procedures?

Dr Feins. I think it will. We have a very low rate of heart block in congenital heart surgery as a whole, but that doesn’t mean it’s zero. I think as the technology evolves and improves and the workflow within the operating room is made very smooth, there’s no reason to suggest that we wouldn’t want to be doing this in all patients that we operate on.

Dr Backer. Thank you very much. Great presentation.

Dr Feins. Thank you.