Infection Masquerading as Recurrence of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: A Cautionary Tale
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Abstract

We present a case of a 59-year-old male undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy for his pancreatic adenocarcinoma post-surgical resection. He had an acute rise in carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 level, which raised suspicion of metastatic disease. Instead, the patient was diagnosed to have a liver abscess, the treatment of which brought the CA 19-9 level back to normal. Unfortunately, although CA 19-9 is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved tumor marker for pancreatic cancer, it is also elevated in several benign conditions, causing fear of cancer and unnecessary diagnostic workup. Hence, caution is necessary for interpreting the significance of its elevation.

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths in the United States. More than 2000 studies have analyzed various biomarkers for improving the detection and monitoring of pancreatic cancer [1]. Cancer antigen (CA) 19-9 is the most extensively studied and only validated tumor marker for pancreatic cancer and is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [2-3]. In pancreatic cancer, CA 19-9 overuse as a diagnostic tool in symptomatic patients to assess tumor stage and resectability, as a biomarker of prognosis following resection, to assess chemotherapy response, and as a predictor of postoperative response is common [4]. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines recommend CA 19-9 testing at the time of diagnosis and for post-treatment surveillance. NCCN also emphasizes that elevated CA 19-9 post-treatment does not always indicate cancer recurrence and that elevated levels may be due to benign conditions. The American Society of Clinical Oncology does not recommend its use for the above as there is no validation of standardized cut-off values, except for CA 19-9 levels >37 used in diagnosis [4-5].

The CA 19-9 has poor sensitivity, false negatives in Lewis blood group negative phenotype (5%-10%), high false positives in the presence of obstructive jaundice, and non-specific expression in several benign diseases limits its role as a screening tool in cancer detection [2]. In symptomatic patients, it has a sensitivity of 79%-81% and specificity of 82%-90% for diagnosing pancreatic cancer and a very low positive predictive value (PPV) of 0.5%-0.9% [2]. The CA 19-9 has no role in screening asymptomatic patients. Kim et al. found that only four Patients, among 1,063 patients with elevated CA 19-9 had pancreatic cancer in a screening study of asymptomatic patients [2]. Satake et al. found only four pancreatic cancers among 18 asymptomatic patients with elevated CA 19-9 levels [2]. Chang et al. have identified only two patients with pancreatic cancer among 385 asymptomatic patients with elevated CA 19-9 [2]. PPV is 0.5% in asymptomatic patients, so screening with CA 19-9 has no clinical utility [2]. CA 19-9 has a higher predictive value for diagnosing pancreatic cancer in patients who present with a pancreatic mass [2]. CA 19-9 levels are predominantly used in practice to assess the prognosis after neoadjuvant therapy with or without resection and to predict postoperative response [2]. In resectable disease, a decrease in CA 19-9 postoperatively is indicative of more prolonged survival. A decrease in CA 19-9 after treatment may signify better response and prolonged survival in advanced disease. In the case presented here, the marker was acutely elevated eight months after the surgery during the fifth cycle of chemotherapy, raising suspicion for recurrence of malignancy. Instead, it was because of an infection, and it dropped down appropriately with the treatment.

Case Presentation

A 59-year-old male with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and a baseline CA 19-9 of 241 IU/mL, underwent a pancreaticoduodenectomy. The pathology demonstrated a 2.8 cm moderately differentiated pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma extending to the peripancreatic soft tissue. Margins were negative with no lymphovascular or perineural invasion. One lymph node of the 23 removed was positive; surgically, the
staging was pT2N1. The patient was started on adjuvant gemcitabine and capecitabine. A CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis before adjuvant therapy did not show any evidence of metastatic disease, and CA 19-9 measured 22.2 IU/mL. After three months of treatment, repeated staging CT did not reveal any metastatic disease, despite the interval increase in CA 19-9, increasing to 42.8 IU/mL. Thus, the patient continued with his last three months of adjuvant treatment.

At the start of the last cycle (fifth cycle) of adjuvant treatment, his CA 19-9 had increased to 158.7 IU/mL, which raised the suspicion of metastasis. However, during his last cycle, the patient developed a fever and presented to the emergency room (ER) after completing five cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy.

A CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis demonstrated a new 4.4 cm x 3.6 cm mass involving segment 4 of his liver along with other lesions involving segment 4B. Despite the rise in the CA 19-9, this appeared to be more consistent with a liver abscess than with new metastatic disease. An ultrasound-guided biopsy for diagnosis provided tissue for pathology that showed necroinflammatory debris with degenerative liver tissue without any evidence of metastatic disease. Culture from the biopsy was positive for Escherichia coli. As a result, the patient’s last cycle of chemotherapy was not given. He was started on IV piperacillin and tazobactam (extended release) with a 3.375 g in 100 mL infused 25 mL/h. After completing the antibiotics, the CA 19-9 decreased to 31.0 IU/mL, and a repeat CT of the abdomen and pelvis showed near-complete resolution of his liver abscess. The time course of the patient’s CA 19-9 level is shown in Figure 1.

![FIGURE 1: CA 19-9 levels during various stages of treatment.](image)

Discussion

Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) is a sialylated Lewis blood-group antigen first described by Koprowoski et al. in 1979 using a mouse monoclonal antibody (1116NS 19-9) in a colorectal cancer cell line [2]. Disialyl Lewis-a is normally expressed on the epithelial cells of the digestive organs, and it helps in immune surveillance. Epigenetic silencing of sialyl transferase during the early stages of carcinogenesis leads to abnormal synthesis and accumulation of sialyl Lewis-a, commonly referred to as CA 19-9 [2, 6]. In locally advanced cancers, it is postulated that tumor-related hypoxia triggers transcription of several glycogenes resulting in excessive CA 19-9 [7].

The CA 19-9 is a serum tumor marker for gastrointestinal malignancies, especially of the pancreas and biliary tract. It can also rise in several benign diseases of the hepatobiliary tree, lung, kidney, endocrine, and connective tissue as shown in Table 1. The mechanism of elevated CA 19-9 levels in benign conditions is associated with inflammation.
The CA 19-9 is acutely elevated secondary to a liver abscess in the case presented here, and it normalized with treatment. Inflammation in the biliary tree can induce increased CA 19-9. Similar to this case, there have been additional reports of elevated CA 19-9 with liver abscess [8-9]; CA 19-9 can also be used as a marker to assess response after treatment with antimicrobials in patients with liver abscesses. Case reports from the past describe that elevations in CA 19-9 >40 U/mL are uncommon in benign conditions [5]. However, few benign conditions involving the liver, pancreas, and biliary tract have shown extremely high CA 19-9 levels.

Conclusions
The CA 19-9 has relatively high sensitivity and specificity for symptomatic pancreatic cancer. It plays a vital role as a prognostic and predictive marker of treatment response. Nevertheless, nonspecific elevation in several malignant and benign conditions confounds its interpretation, further confounded by false negatives in Lewis negative genotype. Fluctuations in CA 19-9 levels need a thorough evaluation of the complete clinical picture. Any uncertainty about CA 19-9 levels may require imaging and tissue sampling as demonstrated in this case.

Additional Information

Disclosures
Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References
1. Court CM, Ankeny JS, Hou S, Tseng HR, Tomlinson JS: Improving pancreatic cancer diagnosis using circulating tumor cells: prospects for staging and single-cell analysis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2015, 15:1491-1504. 10.1586/14737159.2015.1091311
2. Ballehaninna UK, Chamberlain RS: Serum CA 19-9 as a biomarker for pancreatic cancer - a comprehensive review. Indian J Surg Oncol. 2011, 2:88-100. 10.1007/s13193-011-0042-1
3. Wu E, Zhou S, Bhat K, Ma Q: CA 19-9 and pancreatic cancer. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol. 2013, 11:53-55.
4. Morris-Stiff G, Taylor MA: Ca19-9 and pancreatic cancer: is it really that good?. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2012, 3:88-89. 10.3978/j.issn.2078-6891.2012.016
5. Lee T, Teng TZ, Shelat VG: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 - tumor marker: past, present, and future. World J Gastrointest Surg. 2020, 12:466-490. 10.4240/wjgs.v12.i12.468
6. Ballehaninna UK, Chamberlain RS: The clinical utility of serum CA 19-9 in the diagnosis, prognosis and management of pancreatic adenocarcinoma: an evidence based appraisal. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2012, 3:105-
7. Passerini R, Cassatella MC, Boveri S, et al.: The pitfalls of CA19-9: routine testing and comparison of two automated immunoassays in a reference oncology center. Am J Clin Pathol. 2012, 138:281-287.
10.1309/AJCPOPNLCLCYR07H

8. Yoshino Y, Seo K, Koga I, Matsuraga N, Kitazawa T, Takamori Y, Ota Y: Liver abscess with a markedly high level of carbohydrate antigen 19-9. Gastroenterol Res. 2012, 5:205-207. 10.4021/gr475w

9. Giannaris M, Dourakis SP, Alexopoulou A, Archimandritis AJ: Markedly elevated CA 19-9 in the pus and the serum of a patient with pyogenic liver abscess. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2006, 40:657. 10.1097/00004836-200608000-00026

10. Marcouizos G, Ignatiadou E, Papanikolaou GE, Ziegas D, Fatouros M: Highly elevated serum levels of CA 19-9 in cholelithiasis: a case report. Cases J. 2009, 2:6662. 10.4076/1757-1626-2-6662

11. Pagouzzi M, Oneto M, Marroni P, Barone D, Conio M, Aste H, Pugliese V: CA 19-9 and CA 50 in benign and malignant pancreatic and biliary diseases. Cancer. 1988, 61:2100-2108.

12. Harsha HC, Kandasamy K, Ranganathan P, et al.: A compendium of potential biomarkers of pancreatic cancer. PLOS Med. 2009, 7:1000046. 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000046

13. Bedi MM, Gandhi MD, Jacob G, Lehka V, Venugopal A, Ramesh H: CA 19-9 to differentiate benign and malignant masses in chronic pancreatitis: is there any benefit?. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2009, 28:24-27. 10.1007/s12664-009-0005-4

14. Ulla Rocha JL, Alvarez Sanchez MV, Paz Esquete I, et al.: Evaluation of the bilio-pancreatic region using endoscopic ultrasonography in patients referred with and without abdominal pain and CA 19-9 serum level elevation. J Pancreas. 2007, 8:191-197.

15. Kim HR, Lee CH, Kim YW, Han SK, Shim YS, Yim JJ: Increased CA 19-9 level in patients without malignant disease. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2009, 47:750-754. 10.1515/CCLM.2009.152

16. Ventrucci M, Pozzato P, Cipolla A, Uomo G: Persistent elevation of serum CA 19-9 with no evidence of malignant disease. Dig Liver Dis. 2009, 41:357-363. 10.1016/j.dld.2008.04.002