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Abstract

Oocytes are stockpiled with proteins and mRNA that are required to drive the initial mitotic divisions of embryogenesis. But are there proteins specific to meiosis whose levels must be decreased to begin embryogenesis properly? The Drosophila protein Cortex (Cort) is a female, meiosis-specific activator of the Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C), an E3 ubiquitin ligase. We performed immunoprecipitation of Cortex followed by mass spectrometry, and identified the Polo kinase inhibitor Matrimony (Mtrm) as a potential interactor with Cort. In vitro binding assays showed Mtrm and Cort can bind directly. We found Mtrm protein levels to be reduced dramatically during the oocyte-to-embryo transition, and this downregulation did not take place in cort mutant eggs, consistent with Mtrm being a substrate of APC\textsubscript{Cort}. We showed that Mtrm is subject to APC\textsubscript{Cort}-mediated proteasomal degradation and have identified a putative APC/C recognition motif in Mtrm that when mutated partially stabilized the protein in the embryo. Furthermore, overexpression of Mtrm in the early embryo caused aberrant nuclear divisions and developmental defects, and these were enhanced by decreasing levels of active Polo. These data indicate APC\textsubscript{Cort} ubiquitylates Mtrm at the oocyte-to-embryo transition, thus preventing excessive inhibition of Polo kinase activity due to Mtrm's presence.
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Introduction

The oocyte-to-embryo transition is the developmental course by which an oocyte not only switches from a meiotic to a mitotic program, but becomes fully competent to support early embryogenesis. Initially, fertilization introduces the haploid genomic content of the sperm into the egg. Egg activation, triggered by fertilization in vertebrates and independent of fertilization in insects, signals the resumption and completion of meiosis [1,2]. Following successful completion of meiosis, pronuclear fusion creates a single diploid nucleus from the individual haploid sperm and egg nuclei. The single diploid nucleus must then transition to a mitotic cell cycle within the same cytoplasm in which the meiotic divisions took place.

The oocyte-to-embryo transition can proceed normally only if the preceding events of meiosis are completed successfully. During Drosophila melanogaster oogenesis, an oocyte enters prophase I following completion of premeiotic S-phase. After homologous chromosome pairs synapse and recombine, the oocyte enters a prolonged prophase I arrest. Oocyte maturation then releases this primary arrest, allowing the oocyte to continue meiosis until its secondary arrest at metaphase I, in what is known as a stage 14 oocyte. Lastly, egg activation triggers resumption and completion of meiosis concordantly with the oocyte-to-embryo transition itself [1,2].

The switch from meiosis to mitosis is controlled by cellular proteins and structures produced during gametogenesis, with both the sperm and egg making unique contributions. The centrosome, important for proper spindle formation during mitotic divisions, is brought into the acentrosomal egg by the sperm [3]. The initial rapid divisions of a developing embryo are driven by the maternal stockpile of nutrients, mRNA, and translational machinery that are “packed” into the egg during oocyte differentiation [1]. Additionally, the egg also contains numerous meiosis-specific proteins. These meiosis-specific proteins are crucial for proper meiotic progression, but are not necessarily needed after the switch to mitosis.

There are known examples of proteins uniquely employed in meiosis that need to be removed prior to mitosis [4]. In C. elegans, the MKB-2 kinase promotes the oocyte-to-embryo transition. One target is the katanin subunit MEI-1 [5], and phosphorylation of MEI-1 by MBK-2 marks it for degradation before the completion of meiosis [6]. A gain-of-function MEI-1 protein that persists into embryogenesis often leads to a short, mispositioned mitotic spindle [7]. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae meiosis-specific protein Spo13 prevents the biorientation of sister chromatids at meiosis I,
Author Summary

Despite their many differences, the meiotic and mitotic divisions of the early embryo take place within the same cytoplasmic space. The oocyte-to-embryo transition is the process by which an oocyte, which initially undergoes meiosis, becomes “adapted” to support the rapid mitotic divisions of embryogenesis. This involves fertilization as well as the stockpiling of proteins and mRNA for the transcriptionally silent early embryo. The Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) is a large protein complex that is active during both mitosis and meiosis and is responsible for targeting certain proteins for degradation. The discovery of the existence of APC/C activators that are present only during meiosis hinted at the possibility that this complex also functions to regulate protein degradation during the oocyte-to-embryo transition. Here we study Cortex, a female- and meiosis-specific activator of the APC/C in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. We find that Cortex activity is necessary for the degradation of Matrimony, a key regulator of female meiosis in Drosophila. Matrimony itself inhibits Polo kinase, another important regulator of both mitosis and meiosis that also functions in chromosome segregation, centrosome dynamics, and cytokinesis. When excess Matrimony protein is not removed from the early embryo, developmental defects arise. Together our findings demonstrate that the precise regulation of Matrimony levels in the egg is necessary for the switch from meiosis to mitosis.

Ensuring homologs segregate together [8,9]. Spo13 is actively targeted for degradation during anaphase I by the Cdc20 form of the Anaphase Promoting Complex/Cyclosome (APC/C) [10]. Interestingly, a nondegradable form of Spo13 does not result in a significant meiotic phenotype; however, overexpression of Spo13 leads to mitotic cycle defects [10,11,12]. This demonstrates the necessity of degrading a meiosis-specific protein not for proper meiotic progression, but subsequent mitotic progression.

The unique mechanisms of meiosis such as segregation of homologs in meiosis I, absence of DNA replication between divisions, and the meiotic arrests during oogenesis require either unique regulators or altered control of factors that also are used in mitosis. For example, during mitosis the mitotic cyclins are completely degraded as the cell progresses through the metaphase to anaphase transition and exits from mitosis. In contrast, the mitotic cyclins are left at an intermediate level after the metaphase to anaphase transition of meiosis I; low enough to exit from meiosis I, but high enough to prevent re-replication [13,14]. This altered control of mitotic regulators may need to be removed upon the start of embryogenesis. The APC/C inhibitor Emi2 is responsible for maintaining Cyclin B1 levels after meiosis I in mouse oocytes, but it is quickly degraded to allow for meiotic exit (though it has been shown to reestablish its levels in early embryogenesis in Xenopus) [15,16,17,18]. This illustrates how normal mitotic cell cycle regulation can be altered through the use of unique meiotic proteins.

Regulated degradation of proteins, particularly by the APC/C, plays an indispensable role in progression through the mitotic and meiotic divisions [19,20]. The APC/C ubiquitinates numerous proteins during mitosis, targeting them for degradation and promoting mitotic progression and exit. Similarly, during oogenesis proper cell cycle regulation by the APC/C is crucial in maintaining coordination between meiosis and development. The APC/C must use activator proteins (Cdc20/Fizzy and Cdh1/ Fizzy-related in mitosis) to recognize its substrates. Interestingly, meiosis-specific activators of the APC/C are known to exist in both budding [21] and fission yeast [22] in addition to sex and meiosis-specific APC/C activators in Drosophila [20,23,24]. Elucidating the function and targets of these meiosis-specific APC/C activators will give valuable insights into meiotic regulation and the transition from meiosis into mitosis.

The Drosophila protein Cort is a female, meiosis-specific activator of the APC/C [23,24,25]. It is expressed exclusively during oogenesis and is itself targeted for degradation by the APC/C soon after meiotic completion [23]. Cort is dispensable for viability, but absolutely essential for fertility. Eggs laid by cort mutant mothers arrest in metaphase II [26]. During Drosophila female meiosis, Cort and Fzy/Cdc20 both contribute to mitotic progression, whereas Fizzy-related/Cdh1 is not believed to play a role. Cort coordinates with Fzy/Cdc20 during meiosis to degrade the Cyclins [23,25], but whether it also has other substrates is unknown. Identifying additional substrates of APC/Cort will give further insight into the differential regulation of meiosis and mitosis, as well as the necessary steps to transition from oocyte to embryo.

Here we show that degradation of the female-specific protein Mtrm during meiotic completion is dependent on the activity of Cort. Furthermore, we show that this downregulation of Mtrm is crucial to the proper onset of embryogenesis.

Results

Cort Binds to the Polo Inhibitor Mtrm

To recover substrates and regulators of APC/Cort, a functional myc-tagged Cort [23] was immunoprecipitated from whole ovaries, and co-immunoprecipitated proteins were identified by mass spectrometry. In addition to isolating multiple components of the APC/C as expected [23], the Polo inhibitor Mtrm was recovered as a potential substrate/interactor (Table S1). Mtrm was identified initially in a genetic screen for dominant effects on achiasmate chromosome segregation in Drosophila oocytes [27], and it was later shown to function as a direct inhibitor of Polo kinase during meiosis I [28]. Given Mtrm’s essential role during female meiosis, we sought to explore further its relationship to Cort.

To confirm the physical interaction between Cort and Mtrm, in vitro binding assays were performed. GST-tagged Mtrm and GST alone were expressed and purified from bacteria (Figure 1B), and then incubated with in vitro translated 6xMyC-Cort produced in rabbit reticulocyte lysate. Cort strongly bound to GST-Mtrm beads, but not to GST-only beads or beads alone, consistent with the physical interaction between these two proteins being direct (Figure 1A). Moreover, in vitro translated Cortex lacking its C-terminus binds GST-Mtrm much less efficiently (Figure S1). The C-terminus of Cortex is made up mainly of its WD40 repeats [24], which are known to mediate substrate binding in other APC/C activators [29]. These data are consistent with Cortex binding Matrimony directly through its WD40 propeller.

Cort and Fzy/Cdc20 are both required for degradation of the mitotic cyclins during female meiosis [23,25], and therefore share at least a subset of their substrates. We also tested whether the interaction between Cort and Mtrm was specific, or whether Mtrm might be a target of all forms of the APC/C (or an APC/C regulator). In contrast to 6xMyC-Cort, little to no in vitro translated 6xMyCFzy/Cdc20 bound to GST-Mtrm (Figure 1A). Importantly, in vitro translated Fzy/Cdc20 could bind Cyclin A, a known substrate/interactor [30,31]. Full-length Cortex also bound Cyclin A, albeit to a lesser extent than it binds Matrimony (Figure S1).
Thus, the interaction between Cort and Mtrm is specific, suggesting regulation between these two female, meiosis-specific proteins.

Decreased Mtrm Protein Levels After Meiosis Are Cort Dependent

Mtrm protein levels increase throughout meiosis I [32]. Interestingly, its levels are drastically reduced by the time meiosis is completed (Figure 2A; compare cort/+ stg. 14 oocyte to cort/+ activated egg). This pattern of expression mimics that of Cort, which itself is a substrate of the APC/C [23]. As with Cort, such a sharp transition in Matrimony protein levels suggests active degradation, potentially through the action of APCCort.

To test whether the decrease in Mtrm is dependent on Cort function, we compared Mtrm protein levels in cort mutant eggs to heterozygous control unfertilized eggs. Unfertilized eggs have completed meiosis, but have not initiated embryogenesis, and therefore provide the best control for cort mutant eggs. In contrast to heterozygous unfertilized eggs, activated eggs laid by homozygous cort females retained high levels of Mtrm protein, consistent with it being a substrate of APCCort (Figure 2A,B). Moreover, unfertilized eggs laid by females mutant for morula/APC2, a component of the APC/C itself, also showed elevated levels of Mtrm. This shows APC/C function is necessary to trigger the decrease in Mtrm protein (Figure 2B). Importantly, fzy/cdc20 mutant unfertilized eggs did not show elevated Mtrm levels, again illustrating Mtrm is not a general APC/C substrate (Figure 2B).

Together these data demonstrate the decrease in Mtrm protein upon meiotic completion (or during meiosis II) is dependent specifically on APCCort function. We hypothesized the relatively large pool of Mtrm present in the ovary is necessary for proper progression through meiosis, but such high levels may be detrimental in early embryogenesis.

Requirement for APC Motif in Mtrm for APCCort-Dependent Destabilization

We exploited Drosophila cell culture to study the effects of Cort on Mtrm stability, as it permits the expression of proteins in an easily manipulated system. Neither Cort nor Mtrm is expressed endogenously in Drosophila Kc167 cell culture cells, but both can be expressed transiently through transfection (Figure 3A). In a stable cell line expressing Cort, Cyclin A protein levels were decreased markedly and Cyclin B levels marginally (Figure S2A), indicating functional APCCort. The changes in mitotic Cyclin protein levels did not detectably affect cell cycle progression, however, as measured by the mitotic index (Table S2) and FACS analysis (Table S3).

If Mtrm is targeted for degradation by APCCort, levels of Mtrm protein should be reduced in the presence of Cort. Indeed, levels of a Myc-tagged Mtrm were reduced when functional Cort was
whether APC Cort's effect on Mtrm was truly the result of (Figure S2B). APC Cort affecting Mtrm levels through degradation, these cells about the observed decrease in Mtrm protein. Consistent with levels. Therefore, wild-type Cort function is required to bring nonsense mutation) [24,26,33], failed to decrease Mtrm protein Cort and Mr blot.
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was expressed, again showing the selectivity of APCCort for Mtrm translation was inhibited with cycloheximide and Mtrm protein degradation. Mtrm protein was accumulated during arrest with the proteasome inhibitor MG132, and upon release of the arrest (Figure 3D,E). Mtrm protein levels decreased rapidly in the presence of Cort. Importantly, this continued decrease was observed when a 6

importance, this continued decrease was observed in the added opportunity to target its remaining substrates for degradation. Decreasing levels of one key substrate may afford low APCCort enough activity. Mutants with low APCCort activity arrest without completing meiosis, presumably due to a failure to degrade key substrates. If Mtrm were such a substrate, we hypothesized that decreasing its levels could lead to suppression of the reduced APCCort phenotype. All alleles of cort are null [26], however mutation of Cort's dedicated transcription factor grauzone results in decreased levels of cort transcript [34] and protein [23]. Activated eggs laid by grauzone mutant females also arrest in meiosis II [just as cort eggs do] [26], thus illustrating that such low levels of APCCort cannot efficiently cause degradation of key substrates. Decreasing levels of the Mtrm substrate may be sufficient to permit progression past the meiotic arrest. Alternatively, the reduced levels of one key substrate may afford low APCCort enough opportunity to target its remaining substrates for degradation. Thus we used this sensitized background to test whether decreased mtrm permitted progression past the grauzone metaphase II arrest.

Wild-type Cort function is required to bring down levels of Mtrm, specifically in the background of a mutant with low APCCort levels. Consequently, any allele of cort with lower APCCort activity results in a reduction in Mtrm protein (Figure 3C). Additionally, reduction of Mtrm levels was not observed when a 6

We next investigated the genetic relationship between Cort and Mtrm.
Figure 3. Cort expression leads to proteasome-mediated degradation of Mtrm in cell culture. (A) Western blots showing levels of Mtrm and Cort in transfected Kc167 cells. pMT-cort and pMT-6×myc-mtrm were transfected into Kc167 cells. The form of transfected Cort is indicated above each lane. Only wild-type Cort leads to decreased levels of tagged Mtrm protein. The RH65 mutation results in a premature stop codon in Cort. Myc-Mtrm band intensity is quantified below the Myc-Mtrm panel. Band intensity is normalized to tubulin and is expressed relative to empty vector. (B and C) Cells transfected with pMT-6×myc-mtrm (lanes 1–3; lane 4 transfected with pMT-empty in place of mtrm) and the indicated form of Cort (WT, QW55, or pMT-empty) were split and subjected to both Western blot (B) and quantitative PCR (C). Myc-Mtrm band intensity is quantified as in (A). For qPCR, mtrm transcript levels are normalized to actin5c and shown relative to empty vector. (D) Western blot showing Mtrm protein levels over time. (E) Western blot showing Mtrm protein levels over time.
suppresses the *gau* phenotype, allowing further progression through the oocyte-to-embryo transition.

### Increased Mtrm Levels in the Embryo Lead to Developmental Defects

Proteins and mRNA deposited into the oocyte during oogenesis control the early embryonic divisions, but it is possible some of these proteins function in meiosis and then need to be removed. We hypothesized degradation of Mtrm at the oocyte-to-embryo transition by APC-C is a crucial step necessary to ensure proper development of the syncytial embryo. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed a transgenic mtrm using the *UAS-GAL4* system. 3×FLAG-Mtrm was overexpressed in the ovary using the maternal alpha tubulin driver, resulting in excess Mtrm being present in the early embryo (Figure S5A/B). This surplus of Mtrm caused a variety of defects in early embryogenesis, which we categorized into three phenotypes (Figure 3A–C). We observed some embryos undergoing nuclear fall out (Figure 3A). During nuclear fallout, nuclei at the surface of an embryo that have detached from their centrosomes fall back into the middle of the embryo [36]. We also found embryos that exhibited complete mitotic catastrophe (Figure 5B), showing only scattered DNA with no real spindle organization. DNA masses seemed to contain varying chromosomal content, and were usually associated with tubulin. These embryos were found with variable amounts of total DNA, some containing DNA over the entire expanse of the embryo (late arrest), while others only contained DNA in a particular section of the embryo (early arrest). Lastly, some embryos showed scattered DNA/tubulin over a portion of the embryo, whereas the rest of the embryo appeared to reach the blastoderm stage (Figure 5C). These embryos seemingly underwent an abortive/abnormal development up to the blastoderm stage. Given the centrosome’s crucial role in spindle organization and the requirement for Polo kinase for proper centrosome attachment in the early embryo [37], there are many ways these phenotypes could be obtained. In summary, these data illustrate that the downregulation of Mtrm protein following meiosis is biologically significant to early embryonic development.

The defects observed from *mtrm* overexpression likely result from low Polo kinase activity, given Mtrm’s known function as its inhibitor. If true, mutating *polo* should further exacerbate the *mtrm* overexpression phenotype. Indeed, overexpression of Mtrm in conjunction with heterozygous *polo* results in a substantially higher proportion of defective embryos (Figure 5H). Additionally, the observed defects are often more severe, with DNA completely fragmented and tubulin in almost random configurations (Figure 5G). In our hands the heterozygous *polo* mutation alone also exhibited defects similar to *mtrm* overexpression alone, but these fell primarily into one phenotypic category (Figure 5H). These data are consistent with increased Mtrm in the early embryo causing developmental defects due to excessive inhibition of Polo kinase activity (and potentially other, unknown targets).

To address the possibility that Matrimony affects proteins other than Polo, we expressed a mutant form of Matrimony deficient in Polo binding. Mtrm-T40A is unable to bind Polo, and cannot rescue chromosome nondisjunction in *mtrm/+* heterozygotes [28,38]. In contrast to wild-type Matrimony, expression of Mtrm-T40A did not cause any developmental defects (Figure 5H). Importantly, expression of both the WT and T40A transgenes is similar using the maternal alpha tubulin driver (Figure S5C). Thus, high levels of Matrimony in the early embryo cause developmental defects due to inhibition of Polo kinase activity.

### Discussion

Despite its pivotal role in development, regulation of the oocyte-to-embryo transition is poorly understood. Given the maternal stockpiles in the oocyte, mechanistic differences between meiosis and mitosis, and meiosis-specific forms of the APC/C, it is crucial to determine which proteins need to be degraded to switch...
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correctly from meiosis to mitosis. The meiosis-specific activator Cort is essential for the transition from oocyte to embryo despite Fzy/Cdc20’s presence. Cortex’s existence raised the possibility that degradation of particular meiosis-specific proteins may be necessary for the onset of embryogenesis. Here we show this to be the case: the Cort form of the APC/C is required for Mtrm’s destruction at the oocyte-to-embryo transition. Furthermore, reduced levels of Mtrm heading into embryogenesis are necessary for proper development, indicative of requirements for differential levels of the protein in meiosis and mitosis.

A requirement for reduction in levels of Mtrm is illustrated by the deleterious effects of overexpression of the protein in the embryo. A crucial role for Mtrm degradation in the transition from oocyte to embryo is supported by the observation that reduction in levels of Mtrm protein can suppress the developmental block caused by low activity of Cort. In the grau mutants, levels of Cort are reduced, and the mutant oocytes arrest in meiosis. By mutating a single copy of the mtrm gene, this arrest was overcome, the eggs progressed, and several nuclear divisions occurred.

Mtrm provides key insights into how protein degradation can be regulated at the oocyte-to-embryo transition. Mtrm is not completely removed from the embryo, illustrating that its protein levels are important and degradation does not have to be an all-or-none process. In this case, APC\textsuperscript{Cort} acts as a rheostat, allowing for high levels of Mtrm in meiosis and low levels in mitosis. Consistent with this, it is interesting that stabilized forms of Mtrm (Figure 3G) present at lower levels than the overexpressed wild-type form (Figure S5A/B) did not exhibit an embryonic phenotype (unpublished data). mCherry-Mtrm also is present at levels lower than endogenous Mtrm in stage 14 oocytes, and therefore may never reach high enough levels to be able to cause the developmental defects seen with the overexpressed form of Mtrm. This offers evidence for a specific threshold of Mtrm that can be tolerated in the early embryo.

Polo kinase is a critical regulator of both mitosis and meiosis, and is conserved from yeast to humans. polo (and its orthologs) help regulate mitotic/meiotic entry, chromosome segregation, centrosome dynamics, and cytokinesis [39]. With such diverse roles during mitosis and meiosis, Polo function must be carefully regulated. Up-regulation of human Polo-like kinase (Plk1) is prevalent in many human cancers, and identifying potent inhibitors of Plk1 is the focus of much research [40]. In Drosophila, without inhibition by Mtrm during prophase of meiosis I, Polo prematurely triggers nuclear envelope breakdown (through activation of the Cdc25 phosphatase) and eventually leads to chromosome nondisjunction [28]. Mutation of polo has direct consequences on female meiotic progression as well. During Drosophila embryogenesis, expression of Scant, a hyperactive form of the Polo antagonist Greatwall kinase, leads to dissociated centrosomes from prophase nuclei [37]. Embryos homozygous for polo\textsuperscript{+} show a wide array of defects, including irregular DNA masses with disorganized spindles [35], reminiscent of our mtrm overexpression phenotype (Figure 5). These data illustrate the importance of Polo kinase in both mitosis and meiosis, and that improper regulation of its activity can have disastrous consequences on cell division.

Current evidence suggests that Mtrm regulates Polo activity during both meiosis and mitosis [28,32,37]. Our results shed light on how the oocyte/embryo might use the same protein to regulate Polo during such drastically different cell divisions. Our data indicate meiosis requires high levels of Mtrm Protein/Polo inhibition, while low levels of Mtrm are needed for early embryogenesis. This is likely a mechanism to allow for fine tuning of Polo activity during the rapid divisions of the syncytial embryo.

The results here provide an interesting biological counterpoint to a recent study on the S. cerevisiae meiosis-specific APC/C activator Amal. Previously, Amal had been known to act later in meiosis, regulating spore formation and Cdc20 degradation at meiosis II [21,41]. Okaz et al. showed APC\textsuperscript{Amal} also acts earlier in meiosis to clear out mitotic regulators (including Polo/Cdc5) during the extended meiotic prophase I. Consequently, cells lacking Amal exit prematurely from prophase I [42]. It is interesting that two meiosis-specific APC/C activators have now been tied to regulation of Polo kinase. Amal has a direct, inhibitory effect early in meiosis, whereas Cort seemingly activates Polo indirectly through degradation of Mtrm late in meiosis.

Mtrm is not likely to be the only specific substrate of Cort, and it will be exciting to search for more APC\textsuperscript{Cort} substrates in the future. It will also be interesting to examine whether Cort targets continue to follow a graded versus all-or-none pattern of degradation during the oocyte-to-embryo transition. Further study of meiosis-specific APC/C activators will give valuable insight into the distinctions between meiotic and mitotic regulation and the control of the onset of embryogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Fly Stocks

The grau\textsuperscript{RM61}, grau\textsuperscript{Q016}, cort\textsuperscript{RM65}, cort\textsuperscript{Q035} [24,26,33], mtrm\textsuperscript{Q162} [28], nod\textsuperscript{Q016} [43,44], twine\textsuperscript{Q012} [33,45], polo\textsuperscript{Q1} [37,46], and fzy\textsuperscript{Q1}, fzy\textsuperscript{Q7} [47] alleles have all been described. The UASp myc-cort transgenic lines were generated previously [23] and were driven by w\textsuperscript{+}; nanos-\textsuperscript{Q54} GAL4:VP16 [48]. The UASp\textsuperscript{3\times}\textsuperscript{FLAG-mtrm}\textsuperscript{Q027}, UASp\textsuperscript{3\times}\textsuperscript{FLAG-mtrm}\textsuperscript{Q035}, and mCherry-mtrm\textsuperscript{Q027} (driven by its genomic promoter) were generated previously [28,30], mCherry-mtrm\textsuperscript{Q162}, mCherry-mtrm\textsuperscript{Q214}, and mCherry-mtrm\textsuperscript{Q162} [24,26,33], and mCherry-mtrm\textsuperscript{Q162} were generated for this study (see below). w\textsuperscript{A}, P(mat\textsuperscript{3\times}GAL4-VP16)\textsuperscript{Q027} was obtained from Bloomington Stock Center (BL 7063). Oregon R was used as a wild-type control. Flies were maintained at 22 or 25°C [49].

Transgenic Lines

To construct the mtrm\textsuperscript{FL} constructs driven by the genomic mtrm promoter, the following fragments were generated by PCR from a wild-type mtrm construct and pFPV-mCherry (a gift from the Susan
were recovered. The Stowers Molecular Biology facility made the point using the Stratagene QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. The Stowers Molecular Biology facility deleted the 3’UTR-AviII, AviII-mCherry-Pal1, Pal1-mtrm + 3’UTR-XhoI. The Stratagene QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit. The Stowers Molecular Biology facility made the point mutations using the Stratagene QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit.

The insert was digested and ligated into pCasP4R-attB, and the sequence verified. The pCasP4R-attB-mtrm constructs were injected into y.w; attP40 embryos, and integrations into the attP40 site were recovered.

IP-Mass Spec

Whole ovaries were dissected from 100 to 200 fattened females containing the UAS-myc-cort transgene being driven by nano-GAl4. Ovary protein extracts were made by homogenizing in homogenization buffer (25 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 0.4 M NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol, complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors, 1 tablet/10 ml [Roche]). 110 μl Protein G magnetic bead slurry was coupled (and/or crosslinked using dimethylimelimidazole [Sigma]) to 27.5 μl anti-Myc [9E10] antibody or mouse random IgG. Whole ovary extract was split evenly and incubated with the anti-Myc or random IgG beads for 3 h at 4°C. Beads were then washed in IP buffer (25 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors, 1 tablet/10 ml [Roche]) once, IP buffer + 0.5 M NaCl once, then washed in IP buffer four more times. Bound proteins were eluted in sample buffer. Immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and silver stained. Bands were cut from the silver stained gel and reduced, alkylated, and digested with trypsin. The resulting peptides were extracted and the volume reduced to 15 μl. The digestion extracts were analyzed by HPLC/tandem mass spectrometer using a Waters NanoAcquity UPLC system and a ThermoFisher LTQ linear ion trap mass spectrometer operated in a data-dependent manner. Tandem mass spectra were extracted by Extract_MSn. Charge state deconvolution and deisotoping were not performed. All MS/MS samples were analyzed using Mascot (Matrix Science, London, UK; version 2.4.0). Mascot was set up to search the reSeq_by_lec2_042413 database (27,878 entries) assuming the digestion enzyme trypsin. Mascot was searched with a fragment ion mass tolerance of 1.00 Da and a parent ion tolerance of 3.0 Da. Iodoacetamide derivative of cysteine was specified in Mascot as a fixed modification. Oxidation of methionine was specified in Mascot as a variable modification. Scaffold (version Scaffold_4.0.5, Proteome Software Inc., Portland, OR) was used to validate MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications. Peptide identifications were accepted if they could be established at greater than 95.0% probability by the Peptide Prophet algorithm [50] and contained at least two identified peptides. Protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm [51]. Proteins that contained similar peptides and could not be differentiated based on MS/MS analysis alone were grouped to satisfy the principles of parsimony.

Westerns/Immunoblots

Whole ovaries and staged egg chambers were hand dissected from fattened females and homogenized in NP-40 lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitors, 1 tablet/10 ml [Roche]). Unfertilized eggs were obtained by mating virgin females of the indicated genotype to sterile trw[amf] males and collecting for 2 h (or O/N in the case of nr females). The eggs were then dechorionated in 50% bleach and homogenized in NP-40 lysis buffer. Protein lysates were spun at 14,000 RPMs for 15 min at 4°C, and supernatant was used as protein sample. Equal protein amount was loaded on 10% SDS-PAGE gels as determined with Bradford reagent [BioRad]. Protein was transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore).

Antibodies used in this study were guinea pig anti-Mtrm (1:1,000) [28], mouse anti-CyclA (1:50) [Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank], mouse anti-CyclB (1:50) [Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank], rat anti-tubulin (yol1/34 and y1/2) (1:400–1:1,000) (Novus Biologicals), guinea pig anti-Cort (1:2,000) [23], and mouse anti-Myc 9E10 (1:400–1:1,000) (Covance). Mouse anti-RFP 3F5 (Chromotek) (1:500) was used to detect mCherry. Secondary antibodies used were Peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse, Peroxidase-conjugated anti-guinea pig, and Alkaline Phosphatase-conjugated anti-rat (1:10,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch).

In Vitro Binding Assays

In vitro binding assays using purified GST-Mtrm were done essentially as described [52], with some adjustments. mtrm cDNA (LD47919) was cloned into pGEX6p-1 (GE Healthcare) for expression of GST-Mtrm. 6xHis-cort, 6xHis-cort WD40, and 6xHis-myc-cort cDNAs were cloned into pOT2. cortWD40 encodes the first 444 nucleotides of the cort ORF, followed by a stop codon (TGA). In vitro transcription/translation was done using the TnT T7 Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 5 μl of the in vitro translation reaction was added to beads in 500 μl IP buffer [52] and rotated for 2 h at 4°C. Beads were washed 3x in IP buffer, and bound proteins were eluted with 40 μl 2× sample buffer. 10 μl was analyzed by Coomasie to check levels of GST-tagged proteins, and 25 μl was analyzed by SDS-PAGE/Western blotting.

Cell Culture, Transfection, qPCR, and Cell Cycle Analysis

Kc167 Drosophila cell culture cells were maintained at 25°C in Schneider’s serum media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma) and 50 μg/ml Pen/Strep. Kc167 Drosophila cell culture cells were maintained at 25°C in Schneider’s serum media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma) and 50 μg/ml Pen/Strep. pMT-6xHis-mtrm and pMT-cort were generated by cloning the respective constructs into pMT-puro under control of the metallothionine promoter. Kc167 cells were transfected with the indicated constructs using Cellfectin II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 48 h after transfection, protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM CuSO4 for 1–3 d. After expression was induced with 0.5 mM CuSO4 for 1–3 d. After induction, the Cortex stable line was generated by transfecting Kc167 cells with pMT-Cort as above, and selecting for stable transfectants with puromycin (5 μg/ml) over multiple passages for ~3 wk.

For quantitative PCR, transfected Kc cells from a T25 (5 ml) flask were resuspended in 2 ml of 1×PBS. 1.2 ml was used to make protein extract as described and subjected to immunoblotting. 800 μl was used to isolate total RNA for absolute qPCR. Primers against mtrm were used to measure transgene expression, and primers against act5C were used for normalization. Quantitative PCR was performed using PerfeCTa SYBR Green FastMix (Quanta BioSciences) and analyzed on 7300 qPCR system software (Applied Biosystems).

For cell cycle analysis, the Cortex stable line or Kc cells alone were grown with or without CuSO4 for 1 or 2 d. For proteasome inhibition, MG132 was added to 25 μM 8 h before cells were to be fixed. Kc cells were first washed in 5 ml 1×PBS and resuspended in 500 μl PBS. Cells were then transferred into
4.5 ml ice cold 70% ethanol and rotated for 2 h at 4°C. Fixed cells were kept at −20°C until used for cell cycle analysis. Cells were pelleted at 2000 RPMs for 5 min and washed 2 × in 3 ml PBS (once in PBS, spun 2000 RPMs for 10 min). Cells were resuspended in 500 ul PBS containing 50 μg/ml propidium iodide as 1:50 Triton X-100 and 100 μg/ml RNase A, and rotated O/N at 4°C. Cells were then filtered and run on a FACScan 1 system (BD), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software.

Mtrm in Vivo Degradation Time Course

Kc167 cells in T75 flasks were transfected as above with pMT×myc-mtrm and either pMT-cort or pMT-empty vector. 40 h after transfection, CuSO4 was added to the medium at a final concentration of 0.5 mM. At the same time, MG132 (EMD Chemicals) was added to the media to 25 μM. After 8 h of induction/treatment, cells were washed twice with serum media to remove MG132 and CuSO4 and then resuspended in 7 ml serum media. 700 μl of resuspended cells were added to 5 ml fresh media in T25 flasks containing 100 uM cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) with or without MG132 (25 μM). Cells were allowed to grow for the indicated amounts of time, and then were harvested for protein extraction/Western blotting as above.

Nondisjunction Assays

Nondisjunction assays were carried out as in Bonner et al. [38].

Embryo Collection and Immunofluorescence

Females were allowed to lay eggs for 2 h (Figure 4) or 1–2 h with 3 h aging (Figure 5). Eggs were prepared for immunofluorescence as described [23]. Kc167 cells were prepared for immunofluorescence essentially as described [33], using concavalin-A coated slides and 4% formaldehyde as a fixative.

Propidium iodide (Figure 5) or DAPI (Figure 4) was used to stain DNA and anti-alpha tubulin (DM1A)-FITC (1:250) or anti-alpha tubulin (yol 1/34) 1:500 was used to visualize microtubules. Anti-gamma-tubulin (GTU-88; Sigma-Aldrich) was used at 1:500 to visualize gamma-tubulin at the spindle poles. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (B) Mitotically dividing embryo from an ovar female. Centrosomes are readily detected by the presence of gamma-tubulin at their poles. Scale bar represents 50 μm. When appropriate, secondary antibodies used were Alexa-488 anti-rat and Alexa-568 anti-mouse (1:1,000; Life Technologies).

Accession Numbers

The FlyBase accession numbers for genes discussed in this paper are cortex (FBgn0000351), grananne (FBgn0001135), marriage (FBgn0010431), polo (FBgn0003124), and fizzy (FBgn0001086).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 In vitro binding assays with Cyclin A and CortexWD40. (A) Western blot showing in vitro translated Myc-tagged Fzy/Cdc20 stably binds to GST-CycA. Myc-Cortex also binds, but somewhat less efficiently. Myc-CortexWD40 (AA 1–148 of Cortex) is impaired in its ability to bind GST-Mtrm. Glutathione beads alone serve as a negative control. Quantification indicates Myc-Fzy binds to GST-CycA 155 × better than to GST-Mtrm. 6×Myc-Cortex (full length) binds GST-Mtrm 5.8× better than 6×Myc-CortexWD40. About 60% of each pellet sample was subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting (remaining pellet sample was used for B). Right side of panel shows 1% of total input of in vitro translated 6×MycCort, 6×MycFzy/Cdc20, and 6×Myc-CortWD40. Blot was probed with anti-Myc (9E10) antibody. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot. (B) Coomassie stain of purified proteins used in binding assay. 20% of the final washed pellet was subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the gel. (TIF)

Figure S2 Levels of cell cycle proteins in cell culture system. (A) A cell line with a stable cort gene shows decreased Cyclin protein levels. Western blots comparing levels of indicated proteins in a cort stable line and cells transfected with pMT-gFP instead. Both populations were also transfected with pMT-6×myc-mtrm. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot. (B) Expression of myc-tagged Fizzy/Cdc20 does not decrease myc-tagged Mtrm levels. Amount of plasmid used to transfect cells is indicated above each lane. Cells were also transfected with equal amounts of pMT-6×myc-mtrm (except last lane). The asterisks indicate nonspecific bands. Both Myc-Fzy and Myc-Mtrm were detected using anti-myc antibodies. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot. (TIF)

Figure S3 gran; mtrm126/+ spindles are meiotic in structure. (A) An egg laid by a granQ026/RM61; mtrm126/+ female is shown. A free centrosome (presumably deposited by the sperm) is indicated by the arrow. Although the free centrosome shows the presence of both alpha-and gamma-tubulin, the spindles contained in the egg are not enriched for gamma-tubulin at their poles. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (B) Mitotically dividing embryo from an Orm female. Centrosomes are readily detected by the presence of gamma-tubulin at the spindle poles. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (TIF)

Figure S4 Cort is not restored in granQ026/RM61; mtrm126/+ mutants. The partial suppression of the gran phenotype in granQ026/RM61; mtrm126/+ activated eggs is not due to restoration of Cort protein. Western blot showing presence of Cort in gran/Cyo ovaries but not gran or gran; mtrm126/+ ovaries. Cortex levels are also not restored in granQ026/RM61; mtrm126/+ fertilized eggs. The asterisk indicates a nonspecific band. Ovary and fertilized egg panels are from two separate blots. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot. (TIF)

Figure S5 Comparison of Mtrm protein levels from various transgenic lines. (A) Western blot showing protein amounts from the indicated genotypes. (UAS) 3×FLAG-Mtrm is seen at higher levels than stabilized mCherry-Mtrm (expressed from the endogenous mtrm promoter) in both stage 14 oocytes and activated, fertilized eggs (collected for 1 h and left to develop for 1 h in A). Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot, (B) Activated eggs were collected for 30 min and left to develop for 2 or 3 h. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the side of the blot, Stg. 14 s and activated eggs are from two different blots. (C) Activated eggs were collected aged as indicated. Molecular weight markers are indicated at the side of the blot. Stg. 14 s and activated eggs are from two different blots. (TIF)

Table S1 Immunoprecipitation of Cortex identifies APC/C components and Matrimony. Data summarizing three independent IP/mass spec experiments are shown. The number of total spectra identified that immunoprecipitated/CIP’ed with Cortex is indicated. The number of peptides identified in the negative control is shown in parentheses. In experiments 1 and 2, random mouse IgG was used as a negative control. Experiment 3 used anti-myc antibody in a strain not expressing 6×Myc-Cortex (OrR) as a control. *: Number of spectra indicated were searched
for in Mascot and analyzed by Scaffold (see Materials and Methods for more details).

Table S2 Quantification of mitotic index in the Cortex stable line. Cells were induced (or not) with 0.5 mM CuSO4. Total cells were counted using DAPI, and mitotic cells were counted using anti-phospho histone H3.

Table S3 Analysis of Cortex stable line by FACS. The stable Cortex cell line or Kc167 cells were incubated with or without CuSO4 and cell cycle progression was analyzed by FACS (after 1 or 2 d of treatment). Cells are predominantly in G2, as is typical of Kc cells [56]. No significant cell cycle arrest is induced by ectopic expression of Cortex. A significant arrest in G2 was detected when MG132 was added to the medium for 8 h.

Table S4 Mtrm-4A and L21A are competent to rescue chromosome nondisjunction in mtrm/+ heterozygotes. Both mCherry-Mtrm-L21A and 4A can rescue nondisjunction caused by heterozygous deletion of mtrm.
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