Changes in East Asian summer monsoon precipitation during the Holocene deduced from a freshwater flux reconstruction of the Changjiang (Yangtze River) based on the oxygen isotope mass balance in the northern East China Sea
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Abstract. The δ18O of seawater (δ18Ow), an indirect indicator of sea surface salinity (SSS), in the northern East China Sea (ECS) is reconstructed for the Holocene using paired analyses of Mg/Ca ratio and δ18O of planktic foraminiferal tests. According to modern observation, interannual variations in SSS during summer in the northern ECS are mainly controlled by the Changjiang (Yangtze River) discharge, which reflects summer rainfall in its drainage basin. Thus, changes in the summer SSS in the northern ECS are interpreted as reflecting variations in the East Asian summer monsoon (EASM) precipitation in the Changjiang Basin. This interpretation is confirmed by a strong relationship between the SSS in the northern ECS and the Changjiang discharge during the wet season (May–October) based on instrumental salinity records from 1951 to 2000. However, it is difficult to estimate absolute salinity values in the past with high accuracy, because the past salinity–δ18Ow regression slope, end member salinity, and δ18Ow values are not well understood. Here, we conduct δ18Ow mass-balance calculation to estimate the freshwater contribution to the surface water of the northern ECS during the last 7 kyr by assuming a simple mixing between two end members – the seawater and the Changjiang freshwater. The result indicates that there has been no gradual decreasing secular trend in the Changjiang freshwater flux from the middle Holocene to the present day, suggesting that summer insolation in the Northern Hemisphere does not regulate the EASM precipitation in the Changjiang Basin. Instead, internal feedback appears to have been more important during the Holocene. The absence of a decreasing trend in regional summer precipitation over the Changjiang Basin since the middle Holocene is contradictory to Chinese speleothems’ δ18O records, suggesting that it is not possible to explain orbital changes in Chinese speleothems’ δ18O during the Holocene by changes in summer precipitation, but that such changes are related to other factors such as changes in the moisture source.

1 Introduction

The East Asian summer monsoon (EASM) is generated by land–ocean thermal contrast between Asia and northwestern Pacific. The EASM is normally referred to as a subtropical monsoon encompassing the East Asia including eastern China, Japan, Korea and adjacent marginal seas (e.g., Ding, 2004). In paleo-monsoon studies, high-resolution records of oxygen isotope composition of speleothems from Chinese caves have been receiving wide attention (e.g., Wang et al., 2001), because speleothems can provide long and high-
resolution speleothem δ18O data and their age models, which are based on the Uranium series datings, are of high precision and high resolution. The records of the speleothem δ18O have been regarded as a proxy of the EASM precipitation or intensity (e.g., Wang, Y. J. et al., 2001; Yuan et al., 2004; Dykoski et al., 2005; Wang Y. et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2009), indicating that orbital-scale variations in the speleothem δ18O follow the summer insolation curve of the Northern Hemisphere without any obvious time lag (0.77 ± 0.45 kyr; Wang et al., 2008). Based on these speleothem records, the concept that intensity of the EASM is mainly controlled by the local summer insolation on orbital timescale, as suggested by modeling studies (Kutzbach, 1981; Kutzbach et al., 2008), has been widely accepted (e.g., Cheng et al., 2012). During the Holocene, the speleothem δ18O systematically increased by ~ 2.5% from the middle Holocene to the late Holocene, which is interpreted as reflecting the decrease in the EASM precipitation in response to the decrease in the local summer insolation (Wang et al., 2001). Recently, however, modern data analogues (Maher and Thompson, 2012) and modeling (Pausata et al., 2011) approaches have suggested that the Chinese speleothem δ18O records might not reflect the precipitation amount (amount effect), and that other climate factors such as the moisture source and/or conditions in the moisture source seemed more important. LeGrande and Schmidt (2009) pointed out that water isotopes were better interpreted in terms of regional hydrological cycle changes rather than as indicators of local climate based on a modeling result. Clemens et al. (2010) argued that winter temperature change impacted on the speleothem δ18O (meteorological precipitation under cold conditions) and the speleothem δ18O records cannot be interpreted as reflecting the EASM signal alone. Maher and Thompson (2012) revisited the interpretation of the Chinese speleothem δ18O records using mass-balance calculations and argued that the δ18O variations could not be accounted for by summer rainfall changes, rainfall seasonality, or winter cooling, but instead reflected changes in the moisture source, i.e., the Indian Ocean or Pacific. Moreover, precipitation-evaporation ratio deduced from several lake-level studies within the Changjiang (Yangtze River) Basin showed no decreasing trend or even slight increase from early-middle to late Holocene (An et al., 2000), which is inconsistent with the classical interpretation of the speleothem δ18O during the Holocene that the speleothem δ18O reflects the decrease in EASM precipitation.

Kubota et al. (2010) reported millennial-scale variations in water properties between warm and saline Kuroshio Water and cool and less saline Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW) during the Holocene based on Mg/Ca and δ18O of Globigerinoides ruber sensu stricto (s.s.) (δ18Oc) at site KY07-04-01 (hereafter site KY) in the East China Sea (ECS), and claimed that events of higher (lower) contribution of CDW corresponded to higher (lower) precipitation in Changjiang Basin and hence associated larger (smaller) discharge of the Changjiang freshwater. However, Kubota et al. (2010) only reconstructed the variations in relative contribution of the two water masses, and did not discuss the changes in the summer precipitation (or Changjiang freshwater discharge) explicitly. In this study, we calculated relative contribution of the Changjiang freshwater (fCFW) to the surface water at site KY in the northern ECS in order to conduct the quantitative reconstruction of the Changjiang discharge during the last 7 kyr. In this calculation, temporal changes in end member δ18Ow of the water masses, which may affect the δ18Ow values at the core site, were taken into consideration. Then, we estimated the summer flux of the freshwater of the Changjiang (QCFW) into the ECS by applying a modern empirical relationship between fCFW and QCFW around the core site. In addition to the data published in Kubota et al. (2010), 107 samples from the Holocene part of core KY were analyzed for both Mg/Ca and δ18Oc to improve the time resolution.

2 Oceanographical and climatological setting

The Changjiang is more than 6300 km in length and has a catchment area of 1.94 × 106 km2, and its huge drainage basin covers the greater part of South China (Fig. 1). The annual runoff of the Changjiang is attributed mainly to the rainfall in summer, not snowfall in winter (Chen et al., 1994). The year-to-year runoff of the Changjiang at Datong hydrological station, ~600 km upstream from the Changjiang river mouth, is correlated with basin-wide precipitation ($R^2 = 0.81$; Xu et al., 2010), but monthly runoff lags behind average precipitation by roughly 1 month (Jiang et al., 2010).
et al., 2007). Annual runoff at Datong is approximately $8900 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ ($2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv; Sv = $10^6$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) on average during 1961–2000 (Changjiang Water Resources Commission, 2002). The Changjiang discharge shows a remarkable seasonal cycle with the maximum in July and minimum in January. The average water discharge during the wet season (May–October) is $4.0 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv at Datong, which accounts for approximately 70% of annual total discharge (Jiang et al., 2007). The maximum and minimum discharges during the wet season were observed in 1954 ($6.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv = 160% of the average value in the past ~50 years) and 1972 ($2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv = 70% of the average value), respectively, during the time period from 1951 to 2000 (Fig. 2).

The Changjiang supplies a huge amount of freshwater into the northern ECS. The ECS is a marginal sea bounded by the Asian continent to the northwest, the island of Taiwan to the southwest, the Ryukyu Islands to the southeast, and Kyushu and the Korean Peninsula to the northeast (Fig. 3). The continental shelf shallower than 200 m occupies more than 70% of the entire East China Sea. The Okinawa Trough, of which maximum water depth is greater than 2000 m, stretches from the southwest to northeast in the ECS along the Ryukyu Arc. The ECS connects to surrounding seas through narrow straits or gaps. The waters from the Pacific and South China Sea flow into the ECS through the Yonaguni gap and Taiwan Strait, respectively (Fig. 3). The sill depths of the Tsushima Strait, Taiwan Strait, Yonaguni gap are ~130, ~60, and deeper than 1000 m, respectively.

The Changjiang discharge accounts for ~90% of the total river discharge to the ECS (Isobe et al., 2002), and sea surface salinity (SSS) in the ECS changes drastically over a year due to the significant influence of the Changjiang discharge caused by the EASM precipitation over the drainage basin. Influence of the Changjiang freshwater on the ECS is larger than the local rainfall over the ECS (Chen et al., 1994), as is also supported by a simulation result by Delcroix and Murtugudde (2002). The Changjiang discharge, which empties into the estuary, forms CDW by mixing with saline ambient water (e.g., Ichikawa and Beardsley, 2002). The structure and pathway of CDW in the Chinese coastal area change seasonally. During winter, CDW flows southward along the Chinese coast. During summer, it has a bimodal structure consisting of a southward coastal jet and a northeastward spread, and the latter eventually reaches the Japan Sea (Mao et al., 1964; Beardsley et al., 1985; Ichikawa and Beardsley, 2002).

According to Isobe et al. (2002), nearly all of the river discharge during summer into the ECS is estimated to flow into the Japan Sea. Therefore, the contribution of the Changjiang freshwater transport by the southward coastal jet leaking to the North Pacific is presumably small. Overall, ocean currents on the ECS shelf are directed northeastward with a speed of the order of ~10 cm s$^{-1}$ (Fang et al., 1991; Katoh et al., 2000), suggesting that CDW takes 2–3 months to cross the entire shelf (roughly 700 km) from the mouth of the Changjiang to the Tsushima Strait during summer (Isobe and Matsuno, 2008). The CDW, whose thickness during summer is usually 10 to 30 m in the mid-shelf area (Lie et al., 2003;
Figure 4. Sea surface temperature (SST) (a) and sea surface salinity (SSS) and (b) in July in the ECS (Locarnini et al., 2010; Antonov et al., 2010) and core locations described in the text. Maps made with Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2014).

Figure 5. A depth versus calendar age diagram for core KY07-04-01. Solid circles represent radiocarbon age control points obtained from planktic foraminiferal tests listed in Table 1. The triangle represents datum rejected for the age model because it deviated from the trend; the bars represent a 2σ error; the thick vertical bar represents the interval corresponding to the K-Ah ash layer.

3 Material and methods

A core material of KY07-04-01 (31°38.35′ N, 128°56.64′ E; 758 m water depth), which was retrieved from the Danjo Basin, at the northern edge of the Okinawa Trough (Kubota et al., 2010) was used for Mg/Ca and δ18O analyses of planktic foraminifer. It is confirmed that CDW can reach to the core site across the shelf break during summer based on 226Ra and 228Ra measurement (Inoue et al., 2012). Inoue et al. (2012) also calculated the relative contribution of the Changjiang freshwater in this area as approximately 2–3% in July and October using salinity and 228Ra concentration data from 2008 to 2010.

Based on numerous CTD observations, the maximum sea surface temperature (SST) near the core site is 28.4°C in August, and the minimum is 17.7°C in February (Japan Oceanographic Data Center: JODC). On the other hand, SSS reaches its maximum of 34.7 in February and decreases to its minimum of 33.0 in July, where the maximum discharge of the Changjiang occurs (Fig. 4). Lower SSS during summer indicates that the river runoff due to the EASM precipitation dominates over the seasonal changes of the Kuroshio Current, which works for increasing salinity during summer, when its volume transport is highest. As is shown in Fig. 4, spatial patterns of SST and SSS during summer are characterized by lower SST and SSS in the western part and higher SST and SSS in the eastern part in the northern ECS. The Kuroshio Current penetrates the ECS and flows along the shelf break from southwest to northeast throughout a year and has a major impact on SSS in the Okinawa Trough. However, the influence of the Kuroshio Current on SSS is limited to the area where the main Kuroshio axis lies, and the freshwater discharge of the Changjiang mainly controls SSS at the studied site, as explained later in the text.

The age model of core KY was established based on planktic foraminiferal 14C (14 horizons) and K-Ah ash layer (Fig. 5), which was described in detail in Kubota et al. (2010). For isotopes and trace element analyses, approximately 30 to 40 G. ruber s.s. specimens were picked and crushed to homogenize. After being cleaned by Milli-Q water and methanol, approximately 50–70 µg of foraminiferal samples was separated and used for the oxygen isotope analysis. The δ18O of G. ruber was measured by a Finnigan MAT 252 stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer with a Kiel III carbonate device at the Mutsu Institute for Oceanography at the Japan Agency of Marine and Science and Technology (JAMSTEC) and at the University of Tokyo. The reproducibility of the measurement is better than ±0.05‰ (1σ) for δ18O as determined by replicate measurements of international standards NBS-19 (RM8544 limestone).

After the samples were cleaned by means of “reductive” cleaning protocols for Mg/Ca analysis (Boyle and Keigwin, 1985), Mg/Ca analysis was performed with a Thermo Finnigan ELEMENT 2, a high-resolution single-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (HR-ICP-MS), at Mutsu Institute for Oceanography, JAMSTEC. Isotopes of three elements (24Mg, 44Ca, 48Ca, 55Mn) were analyzed us-
Figure 6. Results of reconstructions of temporal variations in (a) Mg / Ca-derived SST, (b) δ¹⁸Oc, (c) δ¹⁸Ow of core KY07-04-01. Errors are represented as bars. Bold lines in (a) to (e) represent 300-year average. (d) Time series of regional sea-level record in the East China Sea (Saito et al., 1998). (e) Temporal changes in global mean ocean δ¹⁸Ow (Waelbroeck et al., 2002). ¹⁴C age controlling points of core KY07-04-01 are represented as triangles in the top part of the plot with 2σ errors. Brown and blue bars represent higher and lower δ¹⁸Ow intervals for core KY07-04-01 (Kubota et al., 2010).

4 Results

4.1 Mg / Ca SST

Mg / Ca analysis of G. ruber s.s. was carried out for core KY at intervals of approximately 2.5–5 cm (equivalent to approximately 30–60 years) for the time interval of 11.6 kyr BP to the present. The measured Mg / Ca values were converted to SST using an equation developed for G. ruber in the South China Sea (Hastings et al., 2001). When using this equation, the core top (pilot core for site KY) sample yields a temperature of 25.4 °C, which is close to the modern seasonally averaged temperature from June to October at a depth ranging between the surface and 30 m near the core site (i.e., 25.7 °C) (the seasonally averaged temperature data are statistically processed by JODC based on observational data from 1906 to 2003, which are available at http://jdoss1.jodc.go.jp/vpage/scalar_j.html). For core KY, the effect of preferential removal of Mg²⁺ from foraminiferal calcite on Mg / Ca values due to dissolution on the seafloor (e.g., Dekens et al., 2002, and references therein) is considered to be negligible because the water depth of the core site (758 m) is well above the modern lysocline (approximately 1600 m) in the ECS. Even if a Mg / Ca calibration for correction for the dissolution effect of the Pacific (Dekens et al., 2002) is applied to Mg / Ca values of the core top sample, the corrected temperature of 25.6 °C makes only a 0.2 °C difference from the non-corrected temperature. Thus, temperature errors derived from Mg / Ca calibration are smaller.

dicate that Mg / Ca values with oxidative cleaning methods show, on average, 0.73 °C higher values than those with reductive cleaning methods on the temperature scale. Thus, in this study, the SST values derived from Mg / Ca values via oxidative methods have 0.73 °C subtracted. Detailed discussions are described in the Supplement. A standard deviation of the differences in Mg / Ca and temperature for 36 randomly selected samples is 0.20 mmol mol⁻¹ (i.e., 0.45 °C).

The calcification depth of G. ruber s.s. is estimated to be upper 30 m of the water column based on a study of δ¹⁸O from surface and downcore sediment samples from the South China Sea (Wang, 2000). A plankton tow observation showed that G. ruber was abundant during warmer month, i.e., in June, August, and October in the vicinity of the core site in the northern ECS, which probably responded to the arrival of nutrient-rich (also less saline) CDW (Yamasaki et al., 2010). Thus, this species is suitable for reconstructing the summer freshwater discharge in the past. The surface salinity around the core site begins to decrease in June, associated with the arrival of CDW, and the contribution of CDW is mostly dispersed in November. Here, a main calcification season of G. ruber is considered to be June through October. As is explained later, this estimate is reasonable based on the temperature estimate of a core top sample.
than the Mg / Ca temperature estimation errors of ±0.45 °C stemming from heterogeneity of the samples.

Temporal variations of Mg / Ca-derived SST during the Holocene are shown in Fig. 6. During the early Holocene from 11.6 to 10 kyr BP, SST increased from ~24 to 26.5 °C. On the other hand, average SSTs in every 2 kyr from 10 to 0 kyr BP mark constant values of 25.6–25.7 °C. Thus, there is no long-term SST trend after 10 kyr BP, whereas multi-centennial- to millennial-scale variations are found in the SST record. The amplitudes of the SST variations were especially large during the interval of 5 to 3 kyr BP. SSTs were cooler than the 1σ error (0.45 °C) at 11.6–10.9, 7.0–6.9, 4.1, 3.2–3.0, 2.4–2.1, and 1.7–1.5 kyr BP, while they were warmer than the 1σ error at 10.1–9.8, 9.1–9.0, 8.0–7.9, 6.7–6.5, 6.4–6.3, 5.9–5.8, 4.9–5.1, 4.5–4.2, 3.8–3.7, 0.8–0.6, and 0.4–0.2 kyr BP. The additional Mg / Ca analyses to Kubota et al. (2010) confirmed the several cooler intervals at ~11.1, 7.0, and 1.7 kyr BP reported in their previous study.

4.2 Calculation of oxygen isotope ratio of seawater in the northern ECS

Oxygen isotope ratios of ambient seawater (δ18Ow) values (Fig. 6c) were calculated from using the following δ18O-water-temperature relationship (Shackleton, 1974) using δ18Oc and Mg / Ca SST (Fig. 6b).

\[ T = 16.9 - 4.38(\delta^{18}O_c - \delta^{18}O_w) + 0.10(\delta^{18}O_c - \delta^{18}O_w)^2 \]  

The random error in δ18Ow is estimated to be ±0.12‰ (1σ), based on error propagation from δ18Oc and Mg / Ca SST. During the Holocene, amplitudes of multi-centennial- to millennial-scale oscillations of δ18Ow at site KY are superimposed on the long-term decrease in δ18Ow from the early Holocene to present (~0.26‰). The δ18Ow values are affected by both global and regional factors. As the global sea level continued to rise until ~6 kyr BP (Fig. 6e), our δ18Ow data set contains the global signal until then. When the global ice volume effect is subtracted from δ18Ow of core KY using the mean ocean δ18Ow curve of Waebroeck et al. (2002), lower δ18Ow intervals deviating by more than 1σ (±0.12‰) from the average value were recognized at 11.2–10.6, 8.7, 4.8–4.7, 4.1–4.1, 2.9–2.8, 2.5–2.3, and 1.5–0.9 kyr BP, while higher δ18Ow intervals deviating by more than 1σ from the average were recognized at 9.3–9.2, 8.9, 8.4, 8.0–7.7, 5.9–5.6, and 0.8–0.4 kyr BP. Among these intervals, the lower δ18Ow intervals at ~8.7 (8.8 kyr BP in Kubota et al., 2010), 4.7, and 2.9 kyr BP and the higher δ18Ow intervals at 9.3, 8.4 (8.3 kyr BP in Kubota et al., 2010), 5.9 (6.0 kyr BP in Kubota et al., 2010), and 0.7–0.4 kyr BP were reported by Kubota et al. (2010). The higher δ18Ow intervals at 8.9, 8.0–7.9, 5.9–5.8, and 0.8–0.6 kyr BP coincide with warmer SST intervals described in the previous subsection, and lower δ18Ow intervals at 11.2–10.9, 4.1, and 2.4–2.3 kyr BP coincide with cooler SST events. Basically, higher δ18Ow intervals tend to coincide with warmer SST peaks, while lower δ18Ow intervals tend to coincide with cooler SST peaks on a multi-centennial to millennial timescale.

4.3 Estimation of relative contribution of freshwater in the northern ECS from δ18Ow

In the modern ocean, δ18Ow of the surface water tracks regional freshwater balance and water mass exchange (e.g., Jacobs et al., 1985). In most oceans, a strong correlation between δ18Ow and salinity is observed. However, the relationship between δ18Ow and salinity is restricted on a regional scale because of spatiotemporal changes in associated water masses and their end member δ18Ow values. Modeling studies involving water isotopes (δ18O and δD) tracers in the global-scale hydrological cycle have pointed out that changes in end member δ18Ow values should be taken into account to reconstruct the past salinity change (Schmidt et al., 2007; LeGrande and Schmidt, 2009). In the ECS, a 1‰ decrease in the δ18Ow of freshwater causes underestimates of the salinity by 5 units when the δ18Ow–salinity slope is kept at 0.2‰/1. Although precise reconstruction of the absolute salinity value for the past is difficult, it is possible to estimate the flux of the freshwater by a calculation that uses the δ18Ow of the end-member water masses instead of converting to the salinities. This technique is especially useful in semi-closed marginal seas such as the ECS. As described in Sect. 4.3, the end members of each water mass can be simplified in the ECS, and the contribution of freshwater can then be calculated.

As the sill depth of the Taiwan Strait is shallow, the circulation regime in the ECS highly depends on the sea-level change and associated topographic change (Uehara et al., 2002; Kao et al., 2006). Thus, in this study, the reconstruction of the Changjiang freshwater contribution and flux were conducted for the time interval of 7 kyr BP to present, when the sea level and associated geography stayed relatively the same compared to the present and the ocean surface current in the ECS should have been more or less the same as the present (Uehara et al., 2002). In modern ECS, four water masses – the Kuroshio Surface Water (KSW), Kuroshio Subsurface Water (KSSW), Changjiang Diluted Water (CDW), and Taiwan Strait Warm Water (TSW) – are prominent (Zhang et al., 2007, and references therein). KSW and KSSW originate from the Kuroshio Current, and TSW comes from the South China Sea through the Taiwan Strait. The characteristic hydrographic variables, such as temperatures and salinities, of those four water bodies are listed in Table 1 for comparison. Here, the Changjiang freshwater (CFW) is used instead of CDW for δ18Ow balance calculation at site KY because it is easier to estimate the end member of CFW than that of CDW.
Thus, the $\delta^{18}O_w$ of the surface water at site KY ($\delta^{18}O_{KY}$) can be explained by the mixing of the $\delta^{18}O_w$ including precipitation and evaporation in the ECS as expressed as follows:

$$\delta^{18}O_{CFW} \cdot f_{CFW} + \delta^{18}O_{KSW} \cdot f_{KSW} + \delta^{18}O_{KSSW} \cdot f_{KSSW} + \delta^{18}O_{TSW} \cdot f_{TSW} + \delta^{18}O_{Op} \cdot f_{P} - \delta^{18}O_{E} \cdot f_{E} = \delta^{18}O_{KY}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$f_{CFW} + f_{KSW} + f_{KSSW} + f_{TSW} + f_{P} - f_{E} = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $f$ denotes the relative contribution of each water mass. Subscripts CFW, KSW, KSSW, TSW, P, E, and KY denote the Changjiang freshwater, Kuroshio Surface Water, Kuroshio Subsurface Water, Taiwan Strait Water, precipitation over the ECS, evaporation over the ECS, and the KY site, respectively.

First, consider the effect of the precipitation and evaporation in Eq. (2). At present, precipitation over the entire ECS is $1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv from June to October, while evaporation is $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ Sv during the same season (Chen et al., 1994). Modern $\delta^{18}O_{Op}$ in the East China Sea ranges from $-6$ to $-10\%$ on based on Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) observations (IAEA, 2001). Then, $\delta^{18}O_E$ is estimated to be $-6$ to $-11\%$ for the range of the $\delta^{18}O_{Op} = -6$ to $-10\%$ based on mass-balance consideration using Eqs. (2) and (3), with values for the each end member listed in Table 1. Considering a much smaller contribution from the net precipitation flux ($f_P - f_E < 0.5\%$) than from the other factors in Eq. (3), the terms of $(\delta^{18}O_{Op} \times f_P - \delta^{18}O_{E} \times f_E)$, which are estimated to be <0.05\%, are therefore negligible in Eq. (3).

For further simplifying Eqs. (2) and (3), we assume a simple water mixing between the freshwater and seawater in the ECS as follows. KSW, KSSW, and TSW were treated together as a single end member and called Kuroshio and Taiwan Strait Water (KTW = KSW + KSSW + TSW) in this study, because modern values of $\delta^{18}O_{KSW}$, $\delta^{18}O_{KSSW}$, and $\delta^{18}O_{TSW}$ are all within approximately $0.2 \pm 0.09\%$ and show much higher values than an extremely low $\delta^{18}O_{CFW}$ value (approximately $-7\%$). For the water mixing in the ECS, we assume the following situation. KSW and KSSW flow into the ECS shelf from the northeast of Taiwan (Isobe, 2008), and TSW enters the ECS through the Taiwan Strait. CFW as the other end member increases its salinity by mixing with KTW while traveling northeastward on the shelf, finally reaching site KY.

Based on a direct measurement of the flow speeds in the Taiwan Strait, the flux of TSW $Q_{TSW}$ (Sv) is reported to be 1.4 Sv during summer (Isobe, 2008). In contrast, the flux of the KSW ($Q_{KSW}$) + KSSW ($Q_{KSSW}$) can be estimated to be 1.2 Sv by the difference in the water flux between the Taiwan Strait (1.4 Sv) and Tsushima Strait (2.6 Sv) assuming the connectivity of the volume transports between the Taiwan and Tsushima straits (Isobe, 2008). Thus, $Q_{TSW}$ : ($Q_{KSW}$ + $Q_{KSSW}$) is close to 1 : 1 during summer. A proportion of KSW and KSSW that move onto the shelf is estimated as $Q_{KSW}$ : $Q_{KSSW}$ ~ 1 : 1 (Chen and Wang, 1999). Finally, $Q_{TSW} = 2Q_{KSW} = 2Q_{KSSW}$ is obtained. An uncertainty stemming from changes in the mixing ratio will be discussed later in the next section.

Thus, changes in $\delta^{18}O_w$ at site KY ($\delta^{18}O_{KY}$) can be explained by mixing of two end members of $\delta^{18}O_w$ – CFW being one and KTW the other – as follows:

$$\delta^{18}O_{CFW} \cdot f_{CFW} + \delta^{18}O_{KSW} \cdot f_{KSW} + \delta^{18}O_{KSSW} \cdot f_{KSSW} = \delta^{18}O_{KY}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$f_{CFW} + f_{KSW} + f_{KSSW} = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where subscript CFW and KTW denote Changjiang freshwater and Kuroshio and Taiwan Strait Water, respectively.

### 4.3.1 Reconstruction of temporal changes in $\delta^{18}O_w$ of end member of the KTW

In the southern ECS, three data sets of SST and $\delta^{18}O_w$, which were calculated from Mg/Ca and $\delta^{18}O$ of *G. ruber*, are available as representing the end member of KSW, namely from cores MD01-2403 (hereafter 2403) (25.3° N, 123.2° E;
water depth of 1420 m: Lin et al., 2006), MD01-2404 (hereafter 2404) (26.4°N, 125.5°E; water depth of 1397 m: Chen et al., 2010), and A7 (126°48.7′E, 27°49.2′N; water depth of 1264 m: Sun et al., 2005) (Fig. 4). All of these sites are located under the main path of the Kuroshio Current. With the same equation (Hastings et al., 2001) to convert Mg/Ca to SST, core top measurements of Mg/Ca at 2403 and A7 yield 27.6°C and 26.6°C, respectively (Lin et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2005), which are within the present-day average temperature of 28.0±0.7°C (1σ) and 27.5±1.0°C (1σ) during summer (June to October) at a water depth ranging from the surface to 30 m at each site (JODC). Although SST of the core top sample at site 2404 is not available, average SST from 2 to 0 kyr BP is 27.2°C (Chen et al., 2010), which is within the average summer temperature of 27.8±0.7°C (1σ) around site 2404. This evidence indicates that SSTs from cores 2403, 2404, and A7 reflect the same season and depth as those at site KY.

Temporal changes in SST and δ18Ow at sites 2403, 2404, A7, and KY during the Holocene are shown in Fig. 7. Age models of these cores were established by 14C of planktic foraminifers with high enough time resolution (every ∼1–2 kyr) (Lin et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2005). The temporal changes in SSTs at sites 2403, 2404, and A7 show temperatures approximately 1–2°C higher than that of site KY during the early Holocene, and keep these differences throughout the entire Holocene (Fig. 7a). An approximately 1–2°C difference in SST between the southern ECS and northern ECS (KY) is also seen in modern SST distribution (Fig. 4). δ18Ow from cores 2403, 2404, and A7 averaged over the late Holocene (2–0 kyr BP) also shows values approximately 0.2‰ higher than those at site KY (Fig. 7b). The 0.2‰ higher value in δ18Ow corresponds to a value 1 higher on the salinity scale at present (0.2‰/1; Oba, 1990). Although a difference of 1 is approximately 0.5 larger than the value expected from modern distribution of salinity during summer (Fig. 4), a 0.5 (0.1‰) difference is within the error of δ18Ow propagated from Mg/Ca SST and δ18Oc. An approximately 0.4‰ decreasing trend from the early to middle Holocene in all of the δ18Ow records at sites 2403, 2404, and A7 is mostly attributed to the decrease in the continental ice volume.

According to Zhang et al. (2007), any of the SSTs and δ18Ow at sites 2403, 2404, and A7 could be used as the KSW end member values based on comparison with the modern spatial pattern in SST and salinity. However, on the millennial scale, the timing and amplitude of δ18Ow changes at these three sites do not seem to be similar even if the age uncertainties are taken into consideration. This is possibly due to either the combination of analytical errors, an error in δ18Ow attributable to heterogeneity of the samples, or local variability of precipitation/evaporation. In order to obtain the end member δ18Ow of the KSW and its temporal changes, the original δ18Ow data from sites 2404, 2403, and A7 are resampled every 0.01 kyr using the software “Analy-

Figure 7. Diagrams show temporal changes in (a) Mg/Ca-derived SST and (b) δ18Ow during the last 7 kyr. Gray, purple, orange, red, and green lines represent 300-year-average for 2904 (Steinke et al., 2011), 2403 (Lin et al., 2006), 2404 (Chen et al., 2010), A7 (Sun et al., 2005), and KY (this study), respectively. Original data are shown in the same colors for each core. Triangles and bars indicate age control points and errors of 2σ.
the winter monsoon wind is the major factor reducing the offset, and the intensity of the winter monsoon wind over the East China Sea is expected to have been stronger during the middle Holocene based on a multi-model analysis (Zhao and Harrison, 2011), it would be reasonable to assume that the offset has never been larger than 0.1‰. Therefore, the minimum and maximum offsets between $\delta^{18}O_{KSW}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{KSSW}$ are assumed to be 0 and 0.1‰, respectively, namely $\delta^{18}O_{KSSW} = \delta^{18}O_{KSW} + 0.05(\pm0.05)$.

Figure 8. (a) $\delta^{18}O_{w}$ of the Changjiang freshwater end member calculated from a speleothem in Heshang Cave in China (Hu et al., 2008). (b) $\delta^{18}O_{w}$ of marine cores in the northern ECS (KY07-04-01, in green) and end member of Kuroshio Taiwan Water (KTW, gray dotted line).

When $\varepsilon_{TSS} = 0.12$, $\varepsilon_{KSW} = 0.26$, and $\varepsilon_{KSSW} = 0.05$, $\varepsilon_{KTW}$ is calculated to be 0.20. On the other hand, the error stemming from changes in the mixing ratio of the water masses should be smaller than 0.26‰, because the differences among the calculated values of $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$, $\delta^{18}O_{TSS}$, $\delta^{18}O_{KSW}$, and $\delta^{18}O_{KSSW}$ are small, and this is explained as follows. The difference between $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{KSSW}$ is the same time slice is always within $\pm0.26$‰. Similarly, the difference between $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{TSS}$ in the same time slice is within $\pm0.26$‰, except for the time interval between 7 and 6.8 kyr BP, when the difference between them is about 0.3‰. Here, the value of $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$ is determined depending on the amount each water mass contributes. At 7–6.8 kyr BP, the differences would be within $\pm0.26$‰ if the contribution of TSW on KTW could be considered to be less than 90%. Considering the modern mixing ratio of the water masses described in the above equation, a contribution from TSW of 90% seems unlikely. Therefore, the error stemming from changes in the mixing ratio of the water masses can be considered to be within $\pm0.26$‰, and the possible maximum error of $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$ is $\pm0.26$‰. A fixed $\delta^{18}O_{KTW}$ value of 0.028‰ is tentatively proposed for the last 1.5 kyr because of the lack of available data in this interval for A7, 2404, and 2904.

4.3.2 Reconstruction of temporal changes in $\delta^{18}O_{w}$ of the freshwater end member

To obtain the time-series data set of the end member $\delta^{18}O_{CTFW}$ for the Holocene, we utilized the Chinese speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ to derive the $\delta^{18}O$ of the freshwater; these data can be calculated using the calcite equilibrium equation of O’Neil et al. (1969) (expressed as Eq. 1). For the Holocene, four data sets of speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ from three caves are currently available in or near the Changjiang Basin.
Among these data, we choose the speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ from Heshang Cave, which is located in Qingjiang Valley, in the middle reaches of the Changjiang (30°27′N, 110°25′E; 294 m elevation). As the summer monsoon with its abundant precipitation results in isotopic signatures that are closer to the values typically observed in summer precipitation of the lower and middle reaches (Müller et al., 2012), precipitation $\delta^{18}O$ at Heshang Cave can be regarded as reflecting the average value of the precipitation $\delta^{18}O$ within the catchment of the Changjiang. The geological and climatological settings around this cave are well studied, and drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ in this cave responds rapidly (lag of less than 1 month) to changes in rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ (Johnson et al., 2006). The mean annual precipitation is 1460 mm, with approximately 80% of the annual rainfall occurring during the summer monsoon months of June–August (Johnson et al., 2006). Since the summer precipitation amount accounts for 80% of the annual total precipitation, amount-weighted annual mean drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ ($-7.4 \%$e) at this cave site (Johnson et al., 2006) shows a value similar to the average $\delta^{18}O$ of rainfall from June to August: $-7.8 \%$e. Also, the drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ ($-7.4 \%$e) is close to modern wet season (May to October) $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$ at the Changjiang river mouth ($-7.4$ to $-5.8 \%$e; Zhang et al., 1990). This is because contribution of the heavy $\delta^{18}O$ of the rainfall in other months ($-5.5 \%$e on average) (November to April) to the annual rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ is very small. Because the recharge of the water into this cave is rapid and humidity of the cave is higher than 95% throughout the year, evaporation does not alter the $\delta^{18}O$ of rainfall before it precipitates as speleothems in the modern setting (Hu et al., 2008). Moreover, the speleothem calcites in this cave are considered to have been precipitated under equilibrium conditions as the signature of the kinetic effect is lacking (Hu et al., 2008).

Because the speleothem in this cave provides a continuous speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ record since 9.5 kyr BP (Hu et al., 2008), temporal changes in $\delta^{18}O$ of drip water can be calculated if the cave temperature is known. Johnson et al. (2006) reported the modern annual average cave temperature of 17.1°C. On the other hand, Shi et al. (1993) claimed that deviations of the annual temperature from present-day values during the middle Holocene were $+2^\circ$C in the Changjiang Basin based mainly on pollen analyses. Since the annual surface air temperature is considered to have reached its maximum during the middle Holocene (Shi et al., 1993), a $+2^\circ$C change would be the maximum estimate for the cave temperature change throughout the Holocene. Although a $+2^\circ$C increase in cave temperature leads to a $\sim0.5 \%$e increase in the estimate of drip-water $\delta^{18}O$, the impact of a temperature change of this magnitude on the estimate of $f_{\text{CFW}}$ was less than 0.15% and hence very small. Assuming that the cave temperature has been constant at 17.1°C through the last 7 kyr, temporal changes in $\delta^{18}O$ of the drip water, which is assumed to have been equal to $\delta^{18}O$ of the rainfall that mainly reflects the summer season, are obtained (Fig. 8). The $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$ shows a distinct long-term increasing trend (1.5%) since $\sim5$ kyr BP, whereas millennial-scale variations are less prominent. Another speleothem record from Sanbao Cave (110°26′E, 31°40′N; 1900 m above sea level) and Dongge Cave (25°17′N, 108°05′E; 680 m above sea level) also has a long-term increasing trend from the middle to late Holocene with a magnitude of approximately $-1.5 \%$e, which is similar to that of the Heshang record. However, their absolute values are different from the Heshang record due to the altitude or continental effect combined with temperature difference in calcite precipitation (Dansgaard, 1964). The increasing trend of $1.5 \%$e in drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ or a $1.0 \%$e increase in drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ with a $+2^\circ$C temperature increase is a robust feature in the Changjiang Basin.

4.3.3 Reconstructed contribution of the Changjiang freshwater

The $f_{\text{CFW}}$, which was calculated using Eqs. (4) and (5), has varied between 5 and 0% on submillennial to millennial scale since 7 kyr BP (Fig. 9). A propagated error for $f_{\text{CFW}}$ is calculated using values of 0.26% for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{KTW}}$, 0.12%e
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for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{KY}}$, and 0.46‰ for $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$, and is derived from the estimate of the ±2 °C error in air temperature.

The decreasing trend from the middle to late Holocene, which is a characteristic feature of the Chinese sipleothem $\delta^{18}$O records, is absent in our $f_{\text{CFW}}$ record. As to submillennial- to millennial-scale variations, higher $f_{\text{CFW}}$ values are recognized at 4.7, 4.1, 3.6, 2.9, 2.3, and 1.5–1.0 kyr BP, while lower $f_{\text{CFW}}$ values are recognized at 6.3, 5.8–5.4, 4.4, 3.9, 3.3, 2.6, 1.6, and 0.7 kyr BP. Although amplitude of the centennial- to millennial-scale variations in the sipleothem $\delta^{18}$O is approximately 1‰ at the maximum, a 1‰ difference in the end member $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$ leads to only a 0.3‰ difference in $f_{\text{CFW}}$. Thus short-term changes in the end member $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$ do not alter the reconstructed results of $f_{\text{CFW}}$. Fig. 9 shows the result of $f_{\text{CFW}}$ calculated by assuming a monotonous decrease in air temperature of 2°C since 7 kyr BP, together with a case in which $f_{\text{CFW}}$ is calculated at the fixed cave temperature (17.1 °C). The result indicates no prominent long-term trend from the middle Holocene, suggesting that the cause of the absence of a long-term trend in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{w}}$ at the KY site is not related to changes in the end member $\delta^{18}O_{\text{CFW}}$ but to the absence of a long-term trend in the Changjiang freshwater flux.

4.4 Flux estimation of the Changjiang freshwater

In order to estimate the past flux of the Changjiang freshwater ($Q_{\text{CFW}}$) into the ECS, the modern relationship between $Q_{\text{CFW}}$ and $f_{\text{CFW}}$ at site KY was examined. Subsequently, the past $Q_{\text{CFW}}$ during the Holocene was estimated by applying the modern $Q_{\text{CFW}}$ versus $f_{\text{CFW}}$ relationship at the core site. Reconstruction of the absolute salinity value is not necessary when applying this method, and this method allows one to avoid introducing additional errors caused by the process of salinity reconstruction. The modern $f_{\text{CFW}}$ at site KY can be estimated by using the observational salinity data and water mass-balance calculations described in Eqs. (6) and (7).

The modern $f_{\text{CFW}}$ at site KY can be estimated by using the observational salinity data and water mass-balance calculation described in Eqs. (6) and (7).

$$S_{\text{KTW}} \cdot Q_{\text{KTW}} = S_{\text{KY}} (Q_{\text{CFW}} + Q_{\text{KTW}}) \quad (8)$$

$$Q_{\text{CFW}}/Q_{\text{KTW}} = f_{\text{CFW}}/f_{\text{KTW}} \quad (9)$$

First, for $Q_{\text{CFW}}$, modern observational data of the Changjiang freshwater discharge at Datong hydrological station during the wet season (May–October) were collected for 50 years, from 1951 to 2000 (Fang et al., 2011). Here, $S$ was defined as the salinity averaged from June through October, taking into consideration of a 1-month lag in arrival of the Changjiang freshwater at site KY. For $S_{\text{KY}}$, the original data set of the salinity around site KY (within a 1° grid box of 30–31° N and 127–128° E), which was obtained by the Nansen bottle water sampler and mechanical bathythermographs (MBT), expendable bathythermographs (XBT), and conductivity–temperature–depth profilers (CTD), from 1951 to 2000, was downloaded from an online archive (JODC). The original salinity data at a water depth of 0 to 30 m were averaged from July through August for each year, because the salinity data were not continuous in other months and were biased towards July and August. Furthermore, salinity data do not exist for the years 1957 and 1961 in the JODC archive. In addition, the salinity averaged from June through October is 0.25 higher than the July–August salinity based on the monthly averaged salinity data (JODC), and therefore 0.25 is added to the July–August data set for each year.

As to $S_{\text{KTW}}$, salinity observation has been sparse both in the Taiwan Strait and the southern ECS in the last 50 years. Therefore, a fixed value of 34.38 for $S_{\text{KTW}}$ was tentatively applied. This value is considered to be acceptable, because according to standard deviations of surface salinity data, interannual changes in salinity are small in the Taiwan Strait (Kalnay et al., 1996) and the southern ECS (JODC).

The interannual correlation between the Changjiang discharge and $f_{\text{CFW}}$ was poor ($R^2 = 0.16$, not shown), probably due to the ~2–3-year residence time for the waters in the East China Sea (Nozaki et al., 1991). By contrast, a strong correlation between 5-year-mean $S_{\text{KY}}$ and $f_{\text{CFW}}$ was found (Fig. 10a and b). This is reasonable considering that a flood–drought cycle for the Changjiang shows 5.09-year cycllicity (Jiang et al., 2006). The 5-year-mean salinity data for period 1986–1990 was deviated from the regression line and shows lower values than that was expected from the Changjiang discharge during this period (Fig. 10). The lowering of the salinity in this period might be attributed to (1) the increase in precipitation around the core site or (2) the decrease in salinity in the Kuroshio Waters and/or TSW. Based on the examination of the observational salinity data within a grid of 24–25° N, 122–123° E downloaded from JODC data archive, salinity for the Kuroshio Water in 1989–1990 showed ~0.3 deviations from the average value. Therefore, it is likely that the exceptionally low salinity at site KY is attributed to the decrease in salinity of the KTW end member. Since the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{w}}$ of the KTW end member is controlled by local precipitation–evaporation balance, the lower salinity might be due to higher precipitation in the Kuroshio water area. The data for period 1996–2000 are omitted due to a larger annual variability ($\sigma^2 = 1.72$) than other periods ($\sigma^2 = 0.1–0.2$), although they were in good agreement with a regression line. With the data for the periods 1986–1990 and 1996–2000 omitted, a clear positive relationship ($R^2 = 0.95$) between $Q_{\text{CFW}}$ and $f_{\text{CFW}}$ was obtained (Line 1: $Q_{\text{CFW}} \times 10^{-2} \text{ Sv} = 0.25 f_{\text{CFW}} (\%) + 3.52$). Even if the data during 1986–1990 are included, a robust relationship still exists ($R^2 = 0.58$), but the slope of the regression line becomes smaller (Line 2: $Q_{\text{CFW}} \times 10^{-2} \text{ Sv} = 0.21 f_{\text{CFW}} (\%) + 3.54$). The y intercept of the regression lines is not zero but points to 3.5 ($\times 10^{-2} \text{ Sv}$), suggesting that the discharge cannot be detected at this core site when the Changjiang discharge in the wet season is lower than 3.5 $\times 10^{-2} \text{ Sv}$. Although the sensitivity of salinity to the variations in the Changjiang freshwater flux...
5 Discussion

5.1 Absence of decreasing trend and regional dissimilarity of the Holocene optimum precipitation

The stronger boreal summer insolation in the Northern Hemisphere in the early/middle Holocene compared to today is believed to have increased EASM precipitation through the enhancement of the land–ocean thermal contrast (e.g., An et al., 2000). Simulation studies generally support this idea in areas under the influence of the Indian monsoon and South Asian monsoon (e.g., Liu et al., 2003; Kutzbach et al., 2008). However, a simulated precipitation result using a fully coupled ocean–atmosphere model depicts a different response of precipitation to the insolation change from region to region in the EASM areas. For example, the regions near the east coast of China in the Changjiang Basin receive less precipitation, while central, western, and southernmost China receives more precipitation during the early Holocene than today (Liu et al., 2003). A recent transient simulation study for the Holocene points out the complexity of the response of the Asian summer monsoon system to the Holocene insolation change (Jin et al., 2014). For example, the northern (northern China, southern Mongolia) and southern areas of the EASM (southwestern and southern China) have higher precipitation in the early to middle Holocene than today, while the central and eastern areas of the EASM (middle–lower reaches of the Changjiang Basin, Korea, and Japan) have less precipitation. Thus, a different precipitation response to the insolation change could be the one of the features of the EASM. Jin et al. (2014) explains that this dissimilarity is attributed to internal feedbacks within climate system, such as the air–sea in-
teractions associated with the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and shift of the Intertropical Convergence Zone.

The reconstructed Changjiang freshwater flux in this study shows that there has been no long-term decreasing trend from the middle Holocene that is instead apparent in the Chinese speleothem δ¹⁸O (e.g., Wang et al., 2005). On the other hand, An et al. (2000) argued that the temporal–spatial pattern of the EASM precipitation was asynchronous across China and it could be explained by the southward retreat of the monsoon front during the Holocene. Their study also inferred that the EASM precipitation peak in the middle and lower reaches of the Changjiang occurred at 8–5 kyr BP, based on a climate model simulation, pollen counts, and lake-level records. However, the high precipitation peak that is expected at 8–5 kyr BP is not evident in the compiled lake-level records in the middle and lower reaches of the Changjiang, and the lake levels seem to have increased slightly from the middle Holocene (An et al., 2000). Therefore, at least, neither our Changjiang flux record nor the compiled lake-level record supports the view that the EASM precipitation has reduced since the middle Holocene. Moreover, the lack of a decreasing trend in both our Changjiang flux records and the compiled lake-level records from the middle and lower reaches of the Changjiang by An et al. (2000) further suggests that the regional summer precipitation intensity is not the main controlling factor of the Chinese speleothem δ¹⁸O. As is suggested by other authors, the changes in winter temperature (Clemens et al., 2010) or variations in synoptic circulation patterns (Tan, 2007; Maher, 2008; Maher and Thompson, 2012) could produce the gradual increasing secular trend in the Chinese speleothem δ¹⁸O. Maher and Thompson (2012) examined the possible factors that control the orbital-scale variations in the Chinese speleothem δ¹⁸O, and concluded that changes in the moisture source are most likely. They argued that the moisture contribution has shifted from more Indian Ocean source (less Pacific source) to less Indian Ocean source (more Pacific source) from the middle Holocene, which is attributed to the changes in the atmospheric circulation pattern driven by precessional forcing. However, there is a small discrepancy between our Changjiang flux record and the compiled regional lake-level record — that is, our Changjiang flux record does not have an increasing trend from the middle Holocene, which is suggested by the compiled regional lake-level record. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the number of the lake-level records that were used for the compilation by An et al. (2000) may not be enough to capture the average of the precipitation–evaporation balance over the entire Changjiang Basin and part of the lake-level record may reflect local effect such as changes in hydrological connection with local rivers or the impact of human activities.

On the other hand, there is evidence that suggests a decline in EASM precipitation from the early/middle Holocene to the present day in northern China based on the compiled lake-level record (An et al., 2000) and oxygen and carbon isotopes from lake sediments and peat bogs (Zhang et al., 2011). However, there is heterogeneity of the temporal precipitation pattern even in northern China during the Holocene. For example, a reconstruction of paleo-rainfall using a loess/paleosol sequence at Duowa, at the north-western margin of the present-day summer monsoon limit, based on a magnetic climofunction, suggests the enhancement in EASM precipitation from the middle Holocene to the present (Maher and Hu, 2006).

Thus, the spatially heterogeneous precipitation pattern over China is an important characteristic during the Holocene. Furthermore, the insolation does not regulate the regional EASM precipitation over the Changjiang Basin during the Holocene, and instead the internal feedback seems to be a more important mechanism. However, this might be the case only for specific periods, such as the Holocene, when the amplitude of the insolation forcing is below a threshold and not enough to regulate the EASM precipitation (Nakagawa et al., 2008). The precession cycle (19–23 kyr) is predominantly found in the quantitative summer precipitation record from Lake Biwa, central Japan, based on a pollen record for the last 400 kyr, with some exceptions during marine isotope stage (MIS) 1–3 and 10–12, when the eccentricity values were below 0.024 (Nakagawa et al., 2008). During these eccentricity minima periods, the insolation control over the EASM decreased in importance relative to the internal feedback mechanism and/or the glacial–interglacial global climate background change (Nakagawa et al., 2008).

5.2 Millennial-scale variations in Changjiang freshwater discharge since 7 kyr BP

Although there is uncertainty in the reconstructed Changjiang freshwater discharge, submillennial- to millennial-scale variability is marginally visible. The reconstructed freshwater flux since 7 kyr BP shows that the maximum discharge was 4.9 × 10⁻² Sv around 4.7 kyr BP.

The increase of 0.9 × 10⁻² Sv relative to the mean value of 4.0 × 10⁻² Sv for the period from 1951 to 2000 is equivalent to the 39 occurrences of high discharge in the 1954 case (6.3 × 10⁻² Sv) per 300 years if all of the increase in the discharge is attributed to extremely high discharge events. That is, it is 13 times per 100 years, which is ~4 times higher than the last 100 years, where extreme high discharge events exceeding 2σ (> 5.3 × 10⁻² Sv) occurred 3 times.

In modern climatology, a linkage of the floods/droughts with ENSO has been investigated in the EASM-dominated region (e.g., Chang and King, 1994; Dilley and Heyman, 1995; Lan et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2006). The most pronounced low-level anomalous anticyclone over the western North Pacific, persisting from the El Niño mature winter to the subsequent summer, plays a crucial role in the El Niño–EASM teleconnection (Wang et al., 2000, 2008; Chang et al., 2000; Zhou et al., 2009). As to the Yellow River (northern China), a high probability of low discharge follows the
occurrence of El Niño, while flood events follow the occurrences of La Niña (Lan et al., 2002). The teleconnections between flood/drought events and ENSO were also investigated in the Changjiang (Jiang et al., 2006; Zhang, Q. et al., 2006; Zhang, L. et al., 2007). In short, in the decaying phase of El Niño, the precipitation anomaly in the following summer exhibits a “southern (Changjiang) flood and northern (Yellow River) drought” pattern over eastern China (Huang and Huang, 2012). When El Niño occurs, the rainfall intensifies in subsequent summer along the Changjiang Basin in accordance with the southwestward displacement of the western North Pacific subtropical high (Liu et al., 2008). The seasonal march of the monsoon front from south to north is one of the prominent features of the EASM. The rainy season starts at 20° N in mid-May and moves to 40° N in mid-July (Tao and Chen, 1987). Interannual variations in summer precipitation in the Changjiang Basin are mostly controlled by the migration speed and/or timing of the monsoon front in the EASM region. The submillennial- to millennial-scale variations in the Changjiang freshwater discharge could have been related to ENSO-like variability through displacement of the western North Pacific anticyclone as inferred from interannual variations (Liu et al., 2008).

6 Summary

We estimated the relative contribution of the Changjiang freshwater in the northern ECS during the Holocene by applying the two-end member mixing model and by considering temporal changes in end member δ18Ow of KTW and CFW. We examined the instrumental salinity data in a grid of 31°–32°N, 128°–129°E over the past 50 years (1951–2000), and demonstrated that a robust relationship exists between the relative contribution of Changjiang freshwater (fCFW) around a core site in the northern ECS and the Changjiang freshwater discharge (QCFW). The flux of the Changjiang freshwater during the Holocene was reconstructed using this empirical relationship between fCFW and QCFW. The reconstructed QCFW reveals that there has been no long-term decreasing trend in the Changjiang freshwater discharge since the middle Holocene, indicating that there has been no significant change in EASM precipitation over the Changjiang Basin since this time. This result suggests that temporal changes in regional summer precipitation over the Changjiang Basin during the Holocene did not respond to the orbitally driven summer insolation changes in the Northern Hemisphere.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/cp-11-265-2015-supplement.
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