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Abstract
Wind turbine noise is one of the major obstacles for the widespread use of wind energy. Noise tone can greatly increase the annoyance factor and the negative impact on human health. Noise annoyance caused by wind turbines has become an emerging problem in recent years, due to the rapid increase in number of wind turbines, triggered by sustainable energy goals set forward at the national and international level. Up to now, not all aspects of the generation, propagation and perception of wind turbine noise are well understood. For a modern large wind turbine, aerodynamic noise from the blades is generally considered to be the dominant noise source, provided that mechanical noise is adequately eliminated. The sources of aerodynamic noise can be divided into tonal noise, inflow turbulence noise, and airfoil self-noise. Many analytical and experimental acoustical studies performed the wind turbines. Since the wind turbine noise level analyzing by numerical methods or computational fluid dynamics (CFD) could be very challenging and time consuming, soft computing techniques are preferred. To estimate noise level of wind turbine, this paper constructed a process which simulates the wind turbine noise levels in regard to wind speed and sound frequency with adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS). This intelligent estimator is implemented using Matlab/Simulink and the performances are investigated. The simulation results presented in this paper show the effectiveness of the developed method.

Introduction
In recent years, the generations of power by wind energy are obtaining a considerable attention as an alternative to conventional fossil, coal or nuclear sources. However, wind energy also has several disadvantages, which are hindering its global use. The introduction of micro wind turbines in built-up areas has been limited due to a number of issues such as low wind speeds, high turbulence and noise issues. Noise emissions have proved to be one of the major technical barriers to the introduction of micro wind turbines within the built environment. Wind turbine has two major noise components, mechanical and aerodynamic noise. The mechanical noise became no longer critical issue because of many efforts for dropping its level. However, the noise aerodynamically generated from blades is still important issue and moreover, it is a barrier to the development of the wind turbine industry. The aerodynamic noise emitted from the wind turbine blades can be broadly classified as discrete frequency (tonal) noise and broadband noise. The tonal noise is generally low frequency and due to the disturbance in the flow caused by the movement of rotating blade. The broadband noise is higher frequency and due to various types of turbulent flow interaction with the blades.

Aerodynamic noise is one of the most serious barriers in wind energy development. To develop technologies for wind turbine noise reduction and assessment, noise needs to be predicted precisely.

Sound from wind turbines has been investigated for some years now. In article [1] the change in the noise strength due to blade flexibility of wind turbine was examined. This research showed that elastic blades decreased broadband noise because pitching motion reduced the angle of attack. The effect of turbulence on the noise emissions from a micro-scale horizontal axis wind turbine (HAWT) was carried out in [2]. The purpose of this investigation was to further understand the noise emissions from a horizontal axis micro wind turbine sited within the built environment. The first principle based numerical method for predicting the noise radiated from the rotating HAWT blades was developed and validated in [3]. The hybrid methodology was used where Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) based computational fluid dynamics (CFD) solver is used to calculate the aerodynamic noise sources. Characteristics of noise propagation from wind turbine was studied in [4] by using the integrated numerical methods based on Ray theory. An automatic measurement platform based on powerful LabVIEW was designed and...
implemented for noise assessment of Wind Turbine Generator Systems (WTGS) in [5]. Paper [6] focused on the optimization of six airfoils which are widely used on small scale wind turbines in terms of the noise emission and performance criteria. The main purpose of this optimization process was to decrease the noise emission levels while increasing the aerodynamic performance of a small scale wind turbine by adjusting the shape of the airfoil. The analysis in [7] indicated that it is very important to collect rotor speed during acoustical measurements of a small wind turbine (SWT) which is variable speed. The objective of study [8] was to locate and identify the noise sources in a wind turbine, thereby determining the relative importance of inflow noise and self-noise according to the power regulation method used. From these findings, it was concluded that different strategies may be needed for noise reduction in a wind turbine, depending on the power regulation method. Findings from interdisciplinary research linking noise measurements from small wind installations with an investigation into the effect of individual personality traits and noise perception were presented in [9]. The perception and opinions of people exposed to wind turbine noise was analyzed in [10]. Observations of audio noise in frequency range 20–20000 Hz from wind turbines were presented in [11]. Paper [12] concluded that wake–rotor interaction plays a role by causing variations in turbulent-inflow noise and dynamic stall. Annoyance, recognition and detection of noise from a single wind turbine were studied in [13] by means of a two-stage listening experiment with 50 participants with normal hearing abilities. The purpose of study [14] was to evaluate the aerodynamic noise generated from a small wind turbine. A prediction method for the estimation of the noise generated from a full-scale wind turbine rotor using wind tunnel test data measured with both a small-scale rotor was discussed in [15].

Two kinds of approaches are mainly needed to resolve wind turbine noise. First, the generated noise needs to be reduced and second, the extent of noise impact needs to be determined for post compensation or pre-damage reduction during wind turbine construction. To do this, we need a proper noise analysis and noise propagation method.

Noise generated from wind turbine has been predicted by integrated numerical or CFD methods. In this study is analyzed noise level of wind turbines in relation to wind speed fluctuation. Since the using of CFD for the wind turbine noise level analyzing could be very challenging and time consuming, soft computing techniques are preferred. It is attempted to estimate the sound level of wind turbines as relation of effective wind speed and sound frequency by soft computing methodology i.e. adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS). Afterwards the ANFIS performance will be compared with the other soft computing techniques like support vector regression (SVR) and artificial neural network (ANN).

ANFIS is one of the most powerful types of neural network system [16]. ANFIS shows very good learning and prediction capabilities, which makes it an efficient tool to deal with encountered uncertainties in any system. ANFIS, as a hybrid intelligent system that enhances the ability to automatically learn and adapt, was used by researchers in various engineering systems [17,18,19]. So far, there are many studies of the application of ANFIS for estimation and real-time identification of many different systems [20,21,22]. Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) is the main core of ANFIS. FIS is based on expertise expressed in terms of ‘IF–THEN’ rules and can thus be employed to predict the behavior of many uncertain systems. FIS advantage is that it does not require knowledge of the underlying physical process as a precondition for its application. Thus ANFIS integrates the FIS with a back-propagation learning algorithm of neural network.

The key goal of this investigation is to establish an ANFIS for estimation of the wind turbine noise level octave band in regard to sound frequency and wind input speed. The basic idea behind the soft computing methodology is to collect input/output data pairs and to learn the proposed network from these data. This technique gives fuzzy logic the capability to adapt the membership function parameters that best allow the associated FIS to track the given input/output data [23,24,25]. A CFD simulation is carried out to extract the training and checking data for the ANFIS network (Table S1).

### Materials and Methods

#### Noise Assessment

Wind turbines generate sound via various routes, both mechanical and aerodynamic. As the technology has advanced, wind turbines have gotten much quieter, but sound from wind turbines is still an important siting criterion. Sound emissions from wind turbine have been one of the more studied environmental impact areas in wind energy engineering. Sound levels can be measured, but, similar to other environmental concerns, the
public’s perception of the acoustic impact of wind turbines is, in part, a subjective determination.

Operating sound produced from wind turbines is considerably different in level and nature than most large scale power plants, which can be classified as industrial sources. Wind turbines are often positioned in rural or remote areas that have a corresponding ambient sound character. Furthermore, while noise may be a concern to the public living near wind turbines, much of the sound emitted from the turbines is masked by ambient or the background sounds of the wind itself.

The sound produced by wind turbines has diminished as the technology has improved. As blade airfoils have become more efficient, more of the wind energy is converted into rotational energy, and less into acoustic energy. Vibration damping and improved mechanical design have also significantly reduced noise from mechanical sources.

### Sound and Noise

Sounds are characterized by their magnitude and frequency. There can be loud low frequency sounds, soft high frequency sounds and loud sounds that include a range of frequencies. The human ear can detect a very wide range of both sound levels and frequencies, but it is more sensitive to some frequencies than others.

Sound is generated by numerous mechanisms and is always associated with rapid small scale pressure fluctuations, which produce sensations in the human ear. Sound waves are characterized in terms of their wavelength ($\lambda$), frequency ($f$) and velocity ($v$), where $v$ is found from:

$$ v = f \lambda $$ (1)

The velocity of sound is a function of the medium through which it travels, and it generally travels faster in more dense mediums. The velocity of sound is about 340 m/s in air at standard pressures. Sound frequency denotes the “pitch” of the sound and, in many cases, corresponds to notes on the musical scale. An octave is a frequency range between a sound with one frequency and one with twice that frequency, a concept often used to define ranges of sound frequency values. The frequency range of human hearing is quite wide, generally ranging from about 20 to 20000 Hz (about 10 octaves). Finally, sounds experienced in daily life are usually not a single frequency, but are formed from a mixture of numerous frequencies, from numerous sources.

### Sound Power, Pressure and Intensity

It is important to distinguish between the various measures of the magnitude of sounds: sound power level and sound pressure level. The sound power or acoustic power is the sound energy constantly transferred per second from the sound source. Sound pressure is a property of sound at a given observer location and can be measured there by a single microphone.

Because of the wide range of sound pressures to which the ear responds, sound pressure is an inconvenient quantity to use in graphs and tables. In addition, the human ear does not respond linearly to the amplitude of sound pressure, and, to approximate it, the scale used to characterize the sound power or pressure would be more convenient.

### Table 2. The basic nomenclature for the CFD simulations of acoustic wave propagation.

| Quantity | Description                                      | Equation |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|----------|
| $P_0$    | atmospheric pressure                             | 101,325 Pa |
| $p$      | acoustic pressure                                |          |
| $P$      | total pressure                                   | $P = P_0 + p$ |
| $\rho$   | density                                          |          |
| $\rho_0$ | atmospheric density                              | 1.293 kg/m$^3$ |
| $s$      | condensation                                     | $s = (\rho - \rho_0)/\rho_0$ |
| $c$      | speed of sound                                   | 331 m/s |
| $u$      | particle velocity (a 3 component vector)         | $u = \{u_x, u_y, u_z\}$ |
| $\gamma$ | ratio of specific heats for air                  | 1.4      |
amplitude of sound is logarithmic. Whenever the magnitude of an acoustical quantity is given in a logarithmic form, it is said to be a level in decibels (dB) above or below a zero reference level.

Sound intensity, \( L_I \), is defined as the power of the sound per unit area, and so can be measured in W/m\(^2\), but is more commonly measured in units of decibels, as:

\[
L_I = 10 \log_{10}(I/I_0)
\]  

(2)

where the reference intensity, \( I_0 \), is often the threshold of hearing at 1000 Hz: \( I_0 = 10^{-12} \text{ W/m}^2 \).

Because audible sound consists of pressure waves, sound power is also quantifiable by its relation to a reference pressure. The sound power level of a source, \( L_{\text{win}} \), in units of decibels (dB), and is given by:

\[
L_{\text{win}} = 10 \log_{10}(P/P_0)
\]

(3)

with \( P \) equal to the sound power level in units of power density and \( P_0 \) a reference sound power (often \( P_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ N/m}^2 \)).

The sound pressure level of a sound, \( L_p \), in units of decibels (dB), is given by:

\[
L_p = 20 \log_{10}(p/p_0)
\]

(4)

with \( p \) equal to the effective sound pressure and \( p_0 \) a reference sound pressure.

**Sound from Wind Turbines**

There are four types of sound that can be generated by wind turbine operation: tonal, broadband, low frequency, and impulsive.

**Tonal sound** is defined as sound at discrete frequencies. It is caused by components such as meshing gears, non-aerodynamic instabilities interacting with a rotor blade surface, or unstable flows over holes or slits or a blunt trailing edge.

**Broadband sound** is characterized by a continuous distribution of sound pressure with frequencies greater than 100 Hz. It is often caused by the interaction of wind turbine blades with atmospheric turbulence, and also described as a characteristic “swishing” or “whooshing” sound.

**Low frequency sound** with frequencies in the range of 20 to 100 Hz is mostly associated with downwind rotors (turbines with the rotor on the downwind side of the tower). It is caused when the turbine blade encounters localized flow deficiencies due to the flow around a tower.

**Impulsive sound** is described by short acoustic impulses or thumping sounds that vary in amplitude with time. It is caused by the interaction of wind turbine blades with disturbed air flow around the tower of a downwind machine.

The sources of sounds emitted from operating wind turbines can be divided into two categories:

1) Mechanical sounds, from the interaction of turbine components, and

2) Aerodynamic sounds, produced by the flow of air over the blades.
Mechanical sounds originate from the relative motion of mechanical components and the dynamic response among them. Sources of such sounds include:

1. Gearbox
2. Generator
3. Yaw Drives
4. Cooling Fans
5. Auxiliary Equipment (e.g., hydraulics)

Since the emitted sound is associated with the rotation of mechanical and electrical equipment, it tends to be tonal, although it may have a broadband component. For example, pure tones can be emitted at the rotational frequencies of shafts and generators, and the meshing frequencies of the gears.

In addition, the hub, rotor, and tower may act as loudspeakers, transmitting the mechanical sound and radiating it. The transmission path of the sound can be air-borne or structure-borne. Air-borne means that the sound is directly propagated from the component surface or interior into the air. Structure-borne sound is transmitted along other structural components before it is radiated into the air.

Aerodynamic broadband sound is typically the largest component of wind turbine acoustic emissions. It originates from the flow of air around the blades. Aerodynamic sound generally increases with rotor speed. The various aerodynamic sound generation mechanisms have to be considered. They are divided into three groups:

1. **Low Frequency Sound**: Sound in the low frequency part of the sound spectrum is generated when the rotating blade encounters localized flow deficiencies due to the flow around a tower, wind speed changes, or wakes shed from other blades.

2. **Inflow Turbulence Sound**: Depends on the amount of atmospheric turbulence. The atmospheric turbulence results in local force or local pressure fluctuations around the blade.

3. **Airfoil Self Noise**: This group includes the sound generated by the air flow right along the surface of the airfoil. This type of sound is typically of a broadband.

### Input parameters

As a data-driven model, the ability of the ANFIS to make reasonable estimations is mostly dependent on input parameter selection. Adequate consideration of the factors controlling the system studied is therefore crucial to developing a reliable network. Dataset are created according to the experiments. For two-input dataset the input parameters (sound frequency and wind speed) are collected to be defined as input for the learning technique. For the experiments, 70% of the data was used to train samples and the subsequent 30% served to test samples. A summary of the statistical properties of the wind turbine noise is provided in Table 1.

The wind turbine accosting modeling is performed in ANSYS solver by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) procedure. Figure 1 shows the overview of the CFD acoustic simulation.

The main part of the algorithm is acoustic source prediction where CFD uses acoustic wave equations to predict and to compute acoustic wave’s propagation. The basic nomenclature for the simulations is shown in Table 2 as follows.

First important equation to mention is for acoustic pressure:

\[ p = P_0 \cdot s \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From equilibrium analysis we can get Euler’s equation which determines the acceleration of a particle of fluid:

\[ \rho_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla p = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The Euler’s equation can be used to derive the acoustic wave equation. After calculations the preceding equation becomes

\[ \nabla^2 p = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)
This is the linear acoustic wave equation where

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{P_r}{P_0}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

is the speed of sound in air.

**Adaptive neuro-fuzzy application**

Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) is the main core of ANFIS. FIS is based on expertise expressed in terms of ‘IF–THEN’ rules and can thus be employed to predict the behavior of many uncertain systems. FIS advantage is that it does not require knowledge of the underlying physical process as a precondition for its application. Thus ANFIS integrates the fuzzy inference system with a backpropagation learning algorithm of neural network. The basic structure of a FIS consists of three conceptual components: a rule base, which contains a selection of fuzzy rules; a database, which defines the membership functions (MFs) used in the fuzzy rules; and a reasoning mechanism, which performs the inference procedure upon the rules and the given facts to derive a reasonable output or conclusion. These intelligent systems combine knowledge, technique and methodologies from various sources. They possess human-like expertise within a specific domain – adapt themselves and learn to do better in changing environments. In ANFIS, neural networks recognize patterns, and help adaptation to environments. ANFIS is tuned with a back propagation algorithm based on the collection of input-output data.

ANFIS model will be established in this study to estimate the sound level of wind turbines in relation to input effective wind speed and sound frequency. Training and checking data for the ANFIS network is extracted from the CFD analysis and simulations of wind turbines. With a proper training scheme and fine filtered data-sets, ANFIS is capable to estimate wind turbine noise quite accurately since it learns from training data. This measurement-free architecture also makes it immediately available for operation once they are trained.

The ANFIS network inputs are: input wind speed at 10 m height and sound frequency. There were two membership functions on each input. In this study bell-shaped membership functions were chosen with maximum equal to 1 and minimum equal to 0. Fuzzy logic toolbox in MATLAB was used for the entire process of training and evaluation of fuzzy inference system. Figure 2 shows an ANFIS structure with two inputs.

In this work, the first-order Sugeno model with two inputs and fuzzy IF-THEN rules of Takagi and Sugeno’s type is used:

$$\text{if } x \text{ is } A \text{ and } y \text{ is } C \text{ then } f_1 = p_1 x + q_1 y + r$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The first layer consists of input variables membership functions (MFs). This layer just supplies the input values to the next layer. In the first layer every node is an adaptive node with a node function

$$O = \mu(x),$$

where \( \mu(x) \) are MFs.

In this study, bell-shaped MFs (17) with maximum equal to 1 and minimum equal to 0 is chosen

$$f(x; a, b, c) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x-c}{a}\right)^{2b}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
where the bell-shaped function depends on three parameters $a$, $b$, and $c$. The parameter $b$ is usually positive. The parameter $c$ located the center of the curve as it is shown in Figure 3.

The second layer (membership layer) checks for the weights of each MFs. It receives the input values from the 1st layer and acts as MFs to represent the fuzzy sets of the respective input variables. Every node in the second layer is non-adaptive and this layer multiplies the incoming signals and sends the product out like

$$w_i = \mu(x_i) \times \mu(x_{i+1}) \quad (11)$$

Each node output represents the firing strength of a rule or weight.

The third layer is called the rule layer. Each node (each neuron) in this layer performs the pre-condition matching of the fuzzy rules, i.e. they compute the activation level of each rule, the number of layers being equal to the number of fuzzy rules. Each node of these layers calculates the weights which are normalized. The third layer is also non-adaptive and every node calculates the ratio of the rule’s firing strength to the sum of all rules’ firing strengths like

$$w_i = \frac{w_i}{w_1 + w_2} \quad (12)$$

$i = 1, 2.$

The outputs of this layer are called normalized firing strenghts or normalized weights.

The fourth layer is called the defuzzification layer and it provides the output values resulting from the inference of rules. Every node in the fourth layer is an adaptive node with node function

$$O_i^f = w_i \times f = \frac{w_i \times f}{\sum_i w_i} \quad (13)$$

where $\{p, q, r\}$ is the parameter set and in this layer is referred to as consequent parameters.

The fifth layer is called the output layer which sums up all the inputs coming from the fourth layer and transforms the fuzzy classification results into a crisp (binary). The output represents estimated noise level of the wind turbine. The single node in the fifth layer is not adaptive and this node computes the overall output as the summation of all incoming signals

$$O_5^f = \sum_i w_i \times f = \sum_i \frac{w_i \times f}{\sum_i w_i} \quad (14)$$

The hybrid learning algorithms were applied to identify the parameters in the ANFIS architectures. In the forward pass of the hybrid learning algorithm, functional signals go forward until Layer 4 and the consequent parameters are indentified by the least squares estimate. In the backward pass, the error rates propagate backwards and the premise parameters are updated by the gradient descent.

### Results

The ANFIS network for wind turbine noise assessment is shown in Figure 3. There are two inputs: effective wind speed (m/s) and sound frequency (Hz). Two membership functions are used for each input (InputMF) as it shows in Figure 4 in the second layer of the ANFIS structure.

The two fuzzy membership functions for each input before training procedure are shown in Figure 5. Since there are two membership functions for each input, there are four fuzzy rules as it shown in Figure 4 in layer 3.

Fuzzy rules are defined according to the extracted training data for CFD analysis. The training data is shown in Figure 6 where the sound power level of the wind turbine is shown in relation to

### Table 3. Performance criteria.

| Criteria                  | Calculation                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Root mean squared error (RMSE) | $RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} (d_i - y_i)^2} \quad (15)$ |
| Correlation coefficient (R) | $R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_T} d_i y_i}{\sqrt{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} d_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} y_i^2 \right)}} \quad (16)$ |
wind speed and sound frequency. After training procedure the average testing error of the ANFIS network is 5.2.

Figure 7 shows membership functions after training procedure for each input. These functions belong to the layer 4 (OutputMF) of the ANFIS structure (Figure 3).

The final decision surfaces after training procedure of the ANFIS networks are shown in Figure 8.

The sound power level of wind turbines as function of the wind speed and sound frequency is implemented in MATLAB Simulink block diagram as it shown in Figure 9. It can be seen for sound frequency 5000 Hz and wind speed of 8 m/s at 10 m height, the block diagram determines sound power level of the turbine 91.7dBA. Figure 10 shows fuzzy inference system in action for these two inputs where can be seen results for input sound frequency of 5000 Hz and wind speed of 8 m/s at 10 m height.

Performance criteria
To evaluate the performance of the ANFIS model against other soft computing techniques, SVM and ANN, several measures were used. The root mean squared error (RMSE) served to evaluate the differences between the expected and actual values. Meanwhile, the correctness of the forecast models and coefficient of (R) was determined. The parameters are calculated as indicated in Table 3, where \( n \) is the total number of test data, \( d_i \) is experimental value and \( y_i \) is forecast value.

Performance analysis
Radial basis function (RBF) was applied as the Kernel function for wind noise level prediction by SVR methodology in this study. The three parameters associated to RBF Kernels are \( C, \varepsilon \) and \( \gamma \). SVM model accuracy is principally dependent on model parameter selection. In our scheme, a default value of \( \gamma = 0.1 \) seemed to perform well. To select user-defined parameters (i.e. \( C, d \) and \( g \)), a large number of trials were carried out with different combinations of \( C \) and \( d \) for radial basis function kernel. Table 4 provides the optimal values of user-defined parameters for this dataset with RBF kernel-based SVR. Also in Table 4 can be seen ANN and ANFIS parameters.

To evaluate the performance of the ANFIS method, experiments were conducted to determine the relative significance of each independent parameter (inputs) on the noise level (output). The root mean squared error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R) served to evaluate the differences between the expected and actual values for ANFIS. Table 5 compares the ANFIS model with the SVR and ANN models. The results in Table 5 show that the ANFIS has the most significant effect on wind turbine noise level for various inputs. The average RMSE = 5.81 is for ANFIS, compared to average RMSE = 5.84 for the SVR and RMSE = 5.91 for the ANN adopted in the wind turbine model in the training phase. In testing phase, RMSE = 5.2 is for ANFIS, RMSE = 5.59 is for SVR and RMSE = 5.78 is for ANN. It is clear that ANFIS method outperforms other soft computing techniques.

Conclusions
Wind turbine is favored renewable and sustainable energy. Noise emission is one of the major concerns in wind turbine industry and especially for small scale wind turbines, which are mostly erected to the urban areas; the concern is turning into a problem. The effect of wind turbine noise in human health, especially for medium and long periods of exposure has been the object of various studies. Noise levels can be measured, but, similar to other environmental attentions, the public’s perception of the noise impact of wind turbines is in part a subjective determination.

The impact of the effective wind speed and sound frequency on the wind turbine noise is investigated in the paper. This paper presents an ANFIS technique for the wind turbine noise level predictions. In this study was analyzed noise level of wind turbines in relation to wind speed and sound frequency by the adaptive neuro-fuzzy methodology. A Simulink model was developed in MATLAB with the ANFIS network for the wind turbine sound power level estimation. Simulations were run in MATLAB and the results were observed on the corresponding output blocks. The main advantages of the ANFIS scheme are: computationally efficient, well-adaptable with optimization and adaptive techniques. ANFIS can also be used with systems handling more complex parameters. Another advantage of ANFIS is its speed of operation, which is much faster than in other control strategies; the tedious task of training membership functions is done in ANFIS. The performance of the ANFIS approach was compared against the results provided by SVR and ANN obtaining interesting improvements in the prediction system. ANFIS is better than SVR and ANN in terms of root mean square error and coefficient error. From the results it can be concluded that ANFIS method can predict wind turbine noise level with higher estimation accuracy and shorter computation time.

Supporting Information
Table S1 Measured wind turbine noise as training and checking data for ANFIS network.
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