Underpinning starch biology with \textit{in vitro} studies on carbohydrate-active enzymes and biosynthetic glycomaterials
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Starch makes up more than half of the calories in the human diet and is also a valuable bulk commodity that is used across the food, brewing and distilling, medicines and renewable materials sectors. Despite its importance, our understanding of how plants make starch, and what controls the deposition of this insoluble, polymeric, liquid crystalline material, remains rather limited. Advances are hampered by the challenges inherent in analyzing enzymes that operate across the solid–liquid interface. Glyconanotechnology, in the form of glucan-coated sensor chips and metal nanoparticles, present novel opportunities to address this problem. Herein, we review recent developments aimed at the bottom-up generation and self-assembly of starch-like materials, in order to better understand which enzymes are required for starch granule biogenesis and metabolism.
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**Introduction**

Starch makes the highest calorific contribution to the human diet (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2012) and is a bulk commodity, with a global market of billions of tons per year (Ellis et al., 1998). We cannot meet the ever-increasing demand for starch-based products solely by further commitment of agricultural land to conventional starch-based crops – as well as increases in crop yield, new ways to produce modified starch-based materials are also needed (Diouf, 2009). In order to achieve this goal, the production of modified starches \textit{in planta} is an attractive alternative to post harvest modification (Jobling, 2004) – i.e., moving the diversification of starch functionality from the chemical plant into the crop plant. To this end, better understanding of the enzymes involved in starch metabolism and those used in industrial starch modification is needed.

**Starch Structure and Metabolism**

Starch granules comprise linear $\alpha$-1,4-glucans with periodic $\alpha$-1,6-branches, giving long chains capable of wrapping around each other to form double helical arrangements, which stack side by side, forming alternating layers of highly ordered liquid crystalline lamella interspersed with amorphous regions (Figure 1) (Waigh et al., 1998). This self-organizing nanostructure makes the surface of a starch granule highly resistant to enzymatic attack, requiring specialized enzymes to initiate degradation.

In order to engineer precisely defined starch structures, we need to understand the metabolic enzymes involved, insights into which are provided by continued research in the model plant...
Arabidopsis thaliana (Santelia and Zeeman, 2011). Multiple enzymes are required to create the correct morphology of the starch granule, and the specific role of individual enzyme isoforms is not well-understood (Ball and Morell, 2003; Tetlow, 2011). For example, the correct synthesis of granular starch counter-intuitively requires two degradative isoamylases (Bustos et al., 2004). Storage starch is formed in the amyleplasts of specialist storage organs, such as the grain or tuber, while transitory starch is stored in the leaf chloroplasts, as a carbon source for photosynthetic cells at night. The two plastids use different suites of enzymes to attack the starch granule (Smith et al., 2005; Smirnova et al., 2015). In the storage organs, the granule is enzymatically hydrolyzed (Radcuk et al., 2009), ultimately releasing glucose; in photosynthetic organs, the transitory starch granule is initially phosphorylated by specific glucan kinases before being broken down into short maltooligosaccharides (Fet-tke et al., 2009). There are several isoforms of each class of enzyme involved in starch synthesis and breakdown, but differences in their action are generally interpreted in terms of the expression of the gene and protein in question, rather than of the enzyme activity per se. The complication of enzymatic reactions taking place on an insoluble starch substrate accounts for these crude approximations, a situation that needs to be addressed in order to inform plant engineering/synthetic biology studies.

**Current Status on the Enzymatic Degradation of Insoluble Starch**

The enzymology of the starch granule actually takes place at a solid-liquid interface, rather than solely in the solution phase used in most experiments. This can have profound effects on the reaction; for example, the reaction rate of granule-bound starch synthase is enhanced on crystalline amylopectin (Edwards et al., 1999). There are few enzyme studies involving crystalline maltodextrins (Hejazi et al., 2009) or purified starch granules (Edner et al., 2007), but even these studies measured discreet product formation, not breakdown of the starch granule. Electron microscopy clearly shows that the initial attack on the surface alters the granule by disrupting the insoluble packing of the glucan chains (Sun and Henson, 1990), undermining endpoint kinetic assessments. Direct monitoring of purified starch granules during degradation, either using electron microscopy (Planchot et al., 1995) or synchrotron radiation (Tawil et al., 2011), provides snapshots of these processes during the degradation of the granule. Clearly such experiments provide information on structures, but not on reaction rate as such.

**In Vivo Modification of Starch**

The source of starch can have a marked impact on physico-chemical properties that are important for industrial uses. For instance, cereal starch is virtually free of phosphate, while potato starch has high phosphate content, with increased viscosity and decreased crystallization, factors important in the paper industry (Blennow et al., 2003). For commercial applications, starches may need to be chemically modified – by fragmentation, oxidation, or esterification – although this is costly and potentially hazardous. Production of fit-for-purpose modified starches in planta is therefore an attractive alternative (Jobling, 2004) and has been achieved in a number of ways. For instance, the gelatinization properties of the starch can be controlled by using genetic engineering to alter the ratio of linear amylose to branched amylopectin (Visser et al., 1991; Jobling et al., 2002). Removal of the glucan water dikinase, which adds phosphate to starch chains during degradation, from potato tubers produced a starch that was resistant to degradation during storage (Lorberth et al., 1998). By replacing the plant disproportionating enzyme, which transfers glucans between chains during starch degradation, with its bacterial homolog the complex soluble heteroglycan could be essentially bypassed during transitory starch degradation (Ruzanski et al., 2013). It has also been possible to engineer a vaccine-displaying
starch by twining polysaccharides around a hydrophobic core to assemble a highly branched glucan (Dauville et al., 2010). However, it is not easy to predict outcomes at the whole plant level due to poor knowledge of individual enzyme functions (Stanley et al., 2011), coupled with compensatory mechanisms and genetic redundancy or partially overlapping enzymatic capabilities.

**In Vitro Synthesis and Modification of Starches**

A number of approaches have been investigated to produce natural and non-natural starch-like materials in vitro. To simulate the branch point of a starch granule, specific glucans could be linked synthetically using “click chemistry” producing known length chains with known positioning of the branch point (Mar-muse et al., 2005; Nepogodiev et al., 2007). Longer unbranched amylose polymers can be synthesized enzymatically in solution, either by the debranching of amylopectin with isoamylase (Harada et al., 1972) or by the extension of acceptor glucans with amyllosucrase or glucan phosphorylase (Yanase et al., 2007). Amylosucrase has been used to synthesize dendritic nanoparticles, based on a glycogen core (Putaux et al., 2006); in combination with branching enzyme, a highly branched glucan can be accessed from sucrose (Grimaud et al., 2013). Amylomaltases, which transfer glucans from one chain onto another, can also be used to generate fluorinated glucans (Tantanarat et al., 2012) and to modify starches in order to make thermoreversible gels (Kaper et al., 2005). Interestingly, phosphorylases also display some promiscuity toward the donor substrate and they have been used to prepare a range of modified glucans (O’Neill and Field, 2015). 2-Deoxy-maltoligosaccharides (Klein et al., 1982) have been synthesized from D-glucal in the presence of Pi, which can then be phosphorolyzed to synthesize 2-deoxy-α-glucose-1-phosphate. Deoxy- and fluoro-glucose moieties can also be transferred onto glycogen by glucan phosphorylase, but in low yield (Withers, 1990). Alternative sugar-1-phosphates can be utilized by glucan phosphorylase, including those derived from xylose (Nawaji et al., 2008b), mannose (Evers and Thiem, 1997), glucosamine (Nawaji et al., 2008a), N-formyl-glucosamine (Kawazoe et al., 2010), and glucuronic acid (Umegatani et al., 2012), although the products were all isolated after a single residue extension, indicating that these sugars cannot be bound in the acceptor site of the enzyme for further extension. Under certain conditions, and with careful choice of phosphorylase, further extension has been achieved to make a polyglucosamine, analogous to the α-steroisomer of chitosan (Kadokawa et al., 2015). By addition of both glucosamine and glucuronic acid onto a glycogen core, pH-responsive amphoteric hydrogels could be synthesized (Takata et al., 2015).

Plant phosphorylases, with their lack of allosteric regulation (Fukui et al., 1982), have proven more useful than the mammalian equivalent in the synthesis of long chain amylose derivatives, for instance, by extension of glucan immobilized on chitosan (Kaneko et al., 2007) or on polystyrene (Loos and Müller, 2002), or by twining polysaccharides around a hydrophobic core to assemble a macromolecular complex, such as amylose-wrapped lipid (Gelders et al., 2005).

**Engineering Insoluble Starch Surfaces for Enzymatic Analysis**

When amylose is synthesized in solution, it self-assembles into crystalline structures (Buleon et al., 2007). Using electron microscopy, this type of crystalline material can be seen after extension of glycogen particles using amylosucrase (Putaux et al., 2006) and X-ray diffraction shows that it has assembled into head-to-tail, B-type starch (Potocki-Veronese et al., 2005). Many physiologically important enzymatic reactions occur on surfaces and their analysis is far from trivial. A range of techniques have been deployed to address this point, including mass spectrometry, radioactivity, and fluorescence-based assays (Gray et al., 2013). Quartz crystal microbalance technology has also been used to monitor real-time extension of amylpectin by phosphorylase (Murakawa et al., 2007) and to provide associated kinetic information (Nishino et al., 2004). The recent development of plant oligosaccharide microarrays also enables high throughput analysis of carbohydrate-active enzymes (Pedersen et al., 2012).

While it has been possible to detect glycosylation reactions on surfaces using surface plasmon resonance (SPR), this technique has typically been deployed to assess non-catalytic lectin binding to sugars (Karamanska et al., 2008). Direct measurement of trans-glycosylation reactions on SPR sensors has been achieved (Cle et al., 2008, 2010), but the enzymes to which this technique has been applied use soluble substrates and act in solution. Any kinetic analysis has to therefore take into account the unnatural nature of the surface-immobilized substrates. Starch-active enzymes would benefit markedly from studies using immobilized substrates, which mimic the insoluble surface upon which they naturally act.

The plant phosphorylase, PHS2, can rapidly synthesize α-1,4-glucans in solution and, by immobilizing their acceptor oligosaccharide substrates, insoluble glucan surfaces could be developed (O’Neill et al., 2014). The SPR surfaces behaved in a manner dependent on the density of the immobilized glucan. When relatively dilute, the glucan surface behaved in the same way as in solution; when a denser surface was used, the material produced took on the pattern of enzyme resistance seen in natural granular starch. These results indicate that the amyloglucan polymer created by PHS2 on the high density surface formed a macromolecular architecture, which may be crystalline, rendering the surface resistant to enzymatic digestion. These surfaces could be used to assay proteins that interact with the starch granule to ascertain binding kinetics in classical SPR experiments. Starch-degrading enzymes are normally assayed in solution, which is not a good analog of their natural insoluble granule substrate. Classic enzyme kinetics cannot be utilized to study reactions on an insoluble surface and care will be needed to differentiate between the binding of soluble enzymes to the insoluble surface or the reaction itself as the rate limiting step. This new surface technology offers the prospect of a more informative assay to provide kinetic information on starch surface degradation.

While a quantifiable 2D system is relevant for kinetic analysis of starch-active enzymes, a third dimension is required to represent the true spatial arrangement of the starch granule. The uniform
shape and size and defined physical and chemical properties of gold nanoparticles make them useful materials for the study of biological interactions (Saha et al., 2012). Gold nanoparticles can be simultaneously used to display carbohydrates and to provide visual output of interactions (Marin et al., 2015), using the same photophysical effects exploited in conventional SPR studies, to give a change in color from red to purple. Glucan primers immobilized on gold nanoparticles could be extended substantially by PHS2 (O’Neill et al., 2014). The resulting nanoparticle-based glucan surfaces were subject to aging, with the glucan layer appearing to reorganize and assemble into a much more tightly packed structure over the course of ~12 h (Figure 2). This type of glyconanoparticle has potential for the analysis of enzymes that naturally act on starch granules, and may serve as models for bottom-up, in vitro synthetic biological approaches to biocompatible inorganic surfaces.

**FIGURE 2 | Synthesis of 3D glucan structures on the surface of gold nanoparticles.** Gold nanoparticles with an immobilized glucan on the surface were imaged with TEM after PHS2-mediated extension with two staining methods (PATAg and UA). As illustrated in the cartoon, the carbohydrate (blue) on the nanoparticles (red), increases after treatment with PHS2 and rearranges to form a thinner layer after 24 h. The schematic shows that the starting glucan (black) is extended by AtPHS2 (blue) and then rearranges by forming intra and inter-chain interactions to produce a more condensed overall structure. Before extension the gold nanoparticles (a) stained weakly with iodine (b), but after PHS2-mediated extension (c) they stained strongly (d), indicating the formation of ordered starch helices. Adapted from O’Neill et al. (2014).
Conclusion
Reflecting the global need for sustainability and a drive toward environmentally benign manufacturing practices, new ways to produce bulk commodities, such as starch, are key. In order to achieve this goal, better information about how nature handles (bio)chemistry across the liquid–solid interface is necessary. Here, we have highlighted the potential of bottom-up synthetic biology approaches to produce starch-like surfaces in a format suitable for both structural analysis and real-time kinetic assessment of enzyme action thereon. These glycanonotechnology methods will help to pave the way to a more complete understanding of natural starch metabolism and may be used to inform understanding and exploitation of the natural processes, including the recapitulation of starch production in non-starch producing organisms.

Acknowledgments
The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the UK BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Grant on Understanding and Exploiting Metabolism (MET) [BB/J004561/1] and the John Innes Foundation.

References
Ball, S. G., and Morell, M. K. (2003). From bacterial glycyanogen to starch: understanding the biogenesis of the plant starch granule. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 54, 207–233. doi:10.1146/annurev.plant.54.031902.134927
Blennow, A., Bay-Smidt, A. M., Leonardh, T., Bandsholm, O., and Madsen, M. H. (2003). Starch paste stickiness is a relevant native starch selection criterion for wet-end paper manufacturing. *Starch-Stärke* 55, 381–389. doi:10.1002/star.200300169
Buleon, A., Veronez, G., and Putaux, J. L. (2007). Self-association and crystallization of amylose. *Aust. J. Chem.* 60, 706–718. doi:10.1071/ch07168
Bustos, R., Fahy, B., Hylton, C. M., Seale, R., Nebane, N. M., Edwards, A., et al. (2004). Starch granule initiation is controlled by a heteromultimeric isoamylase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 25342–25352. doi:10.1074/jbc.M408256200
Cle, C., Gunning, A. P., Syson, K., Bowater, L., Field, R. A., and Bornemann, S. (2008). Detection of transglucosidase-catalyzed polysaccharide synthesis on a surface in real time using surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130, 1575–1577. doi:10.1021/ja077629z
Cle, C., Martin, C., Field, R. A., Kuzmic, P., and Bornemann, S. (2010). Detection of enzyme-catalyzed polysaccharide synthesis on surfaces. *Biocatal. Biotransformation* 8, 64–72. doi:10.1080/216265608021838874
Coultate, T. P. (2002). *Food: The Chemistry of its Components*. London: Royal Society of Chemistry.
Duvalière, D., Delhaye, S., Grayer, S., Slomiany, C., Moret, S. E., d’Hulst, C., et al. (2010). Engineering the chloroplast targeted malaria vaccine antigens in *Chlamydomonas* starch granules. *PLoS ONE* 5, e15424. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015424
Dion, J. (2009). FAO’s director-general on how to feed the world in 2050. *Popul. Dev. Rev.* 35, 837–839. doi:10.1111/j.1724-4509.2009.01031.x
Edner, C., Li, J., Albrecht, T., Mahlow, S., Hejazi, M., Hussain, H., et al. (2007). Glucan, water dikinase activity stimulates breakdown of starch granules by plastidial β-amylases. *Plant Physiol.* 145, 127–284. doi:10.1104/pp.107.104224
Edwards, A., Borthakur, A., Bornemann, S., Venail, J., Denyer, K., Waite, D., et al. (1999). Specificity of starch isoamylase isoforms from potato. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 266, 724–736. doi:10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00861.x
Ellis, R. P., Cochrane, M. P., Dale, M. F. B., Duffus, C. M., Lynn, A., Morrison, J. M., et al. (1999). Starch production and industrial use. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 77, 289–311. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199807)77:3<289::AID-JSA383>3.0.CO;2-D
Evers, B., and Thiem, J. (1997). Further syntheses employing phosphorylase. *Bioresour. Tech.* 5, 857–863. doi:10.1016/S0960-8986(97)00031-X
Fettke, J., Hejazi, M., Smirnova, J., Hochel, E., Stage, M., and Steup, M. (2009). Eukaryotic starch degradation: integration of plastidial and cytosolic pathways. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 2907–2922. doi:10.1093/jxb/erp054
Fukui, T., Shimomura, S., and Nakano, K. (1982). Potato and rabbit muscle phosphorylases – comparative studies on the structure, function and regulation of regulatory and non-regulatory enzymes. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 42, 129–144. doi:10.1007/BF02383507
Gelders, G. G., Goesaert, H., and Delcour, J. A. (2005). Potato phosphorylase catalyzed synthesis of amylase-lipid complexes. *Biomacromolecules* 6, 2622–2629. doi:10.1021/bm050211l
Gray, C. J., Weissborn, M. J., Eyers, C. E., and Flitsch, S. L. (2013). Enzymatic reactions on immobilised substrates. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 42, 6378–6405. doi:10.1039/C3CS60018A
Nawaji, M., Izawa, H., Kaneko, Y., and Kadokawa, I. (2008a). Enzymatic \(\alpha\)-glucosaminylolation of maltooligosaccharides catalyzed by phosphorylase. *Carbohydr. Res.* 343, 2692–2696. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2008.08.013

Nawaji, M., Izawa, H., Kaneko, Y., and Kadokawa, I. (2008b). Enzymatic synthesis of \(\alpha\)-D-xylosylated maltooiosaccharides by phosphorylase-catalyzed xylosylation. *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* 27, 214–222. doi:10.1080/07328300802105340

Nepogodiev, S. A., Dedola, S., Marmuse, L., de Oliveira, M. T., and Field, R. A. (2007). Synthesis of triazole-linked starch fragments. *Carbohydr. Res.* 342, 529–540. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2006.09.026

Nishino, H., Murakawa, A., Mori, T., and Okahata, Y. (2004). Kinetic studies of AMP-dependent phosphorylase of amylopectin catalyzed by phosphorylase b on a 27 MHz quartz-crystal microbalance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126, 14752–14757. doi:10.1021/ja04582k

O’Neill, E. C., and Field, R. A. (2015). Enzymatic synthesis using glycoside phosphorylases. *Carbohydr. Res.* 403, 23–37. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2014.06.010

O’Neill, E. C., Rashid, A. M., Stevenson, C. E. M., Hetru, A.-C., Gunning, A. P., Rejzek, M., et al. (2014). Sugar-coated sensor chip and nanoparticle surfaces for the in vitro enzymatic synthesis of starch-like materials. *Chem. Sci.* 5, 341–350. doi:10.1039/c3sc51829a

Pedersen, H. L., Fangel, J. U., McCleary, B., Ruzanski, C., Rydahl, M. G., Ralet, M.-C., et al. (2012). Versatile high-resolution oligosaccharide microarrays for plant glycobiology and cell wall research. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 39429–39438. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.396598

Planchoit, V., Colonna, P., Gallant, D. J., and Bouchet, B. (1995). Extensive degradation of native starch granules by alpha-amylase from *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *J. Cereal Sci.* 21, 163–171. doi:10.1016/0733-5210(95)90032-2

Potocki-Veronese, G., Putaux, J.-L., Dupeyret, D., Albenne, C., Remaud-Simeon, M., Monsan, P., et al. (2005). Amylose synthesized in vitro by amylosucrase: morphology, structure, and properties. *Biomacromolecules* 6, 1000–1011. doi:10.1021/bm049126g

Putaux, J. L., Potocki-Veronese, G., Remaud-Simeon, M., and Buleon, A. (2006). \(\alpha\)-D-Glucan-based dendritic nanoparticles prepared by in vitro enzymatic chain extension of glycogen. *Biomacromolecules* 7, 1720–1728. doi:10.1021/bm050988v

Radchuk, V. V., Borisjuk, L., Sreenivasulu, N., Merx, K., Mock, H.-P., Rolletschek, H., et al. (2009). Spatiotemporal profiling of starch biosynthesis and degradation in the developing barley grain. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 190–204. doi:10.1104/pp.108.133520

Ruzanski, C., Smirnova, J., Rejzek, M., Cockburn, D., Pedersen, H. L., Pike, M., et al. (2013). A bacterial glucanotransferase can replace the complex maltose chain extension of glycogen. *E. coli.* *Mole. Gen. Genet.* 287, 39429–39438. doi:10.1021/bm050988v

Saha, K., Agasti, S. S., Kim, C., Li, X., and Rotello, V. M. (2012). Gold nanoparticles in chemical and biological sensing. *Chem. Rev.* 112, 2739–2779. doi:10.1021/cr200117b

Santelia, D., and Zeeman, S. C. (2011). Progress in *Arabidopsis* starch research and potential biotechnological applications. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 22, 271–280. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2010.11.014

Smirnova, J., Fernie, A. R., and Steup, M. (2015). “Starch degradation,” in *Starch: Metabolism and Structure*, ed. Y. Nakamura (Springer), 239–290. doi:10.1007/978-4-311-55495-0

Smith, A. M., Zeeman, S. C., and Smith, S. M. (2005). Starch degradation. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 56, 73–98. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144257

Stanley, D., Rejzek, M., Naested, H., Smedley, M., Otero, S., Fahy, B., et al. (2011). The role of \(\alpha\)-glucosidase in germinating barley grains. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 932–943. doi:10.1104/pp.110.168328

Sun, Z., and Henson, C. A. (1990). Degradation of native starch granules by barley \(\alpha\)-glucosidases. *Plant Physiol.* 94, 320–327. doi:10.1104/pp.94.1.320

Takata, Y., Yamamoto, K., and Kadokawa, J. (2015). Preparation of \(\beta\)-responsive amphoteric Glycogen hydrogels by \(\alpha\)-glucan phosphorylase-catalyzed successive enzymatic reactions. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 216, 1415–1420. doi:10.1002/macp.201500151

Tananararat, K., Rejzek, M., O’Neill, E., Ruzanski, C., Hill, L., Fairhurst, S. A., et al. (2012). An expedient enzymatic route to isomeric 2-, 3- and 6-monoDeoxy-monofluoro-malto-derivatives. *Carbohydr. Res.* 358, 12–18. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2012.05.026

Tawil, G., Jamme, F., Refregiers, M., Vikso-Nielsen, A., Colonna, P., and Buleon, A. (2011). In situ tracking of enzymatic breakdown of starch granules by synchrotron UV fluorescence microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 83, 989–993. doi:10211/ ac1027512

Tietlov, I. J. (2011). Starch biosynthesis in developing seeds. *Seed Sci. Res.* 21, 5–32. doi:10.1017/S0960225110000292

U.S. Department of Agriculture, A.R.S. (2012). “Energy intakes: Percentages of energy from protein, carbohydrate, fat, and alcohol, by gender and age,” in *What We Eat in America (NHANES)*. 2009–2010. Available at: [http://www.ars.usda.gov/main_site/main.htm?modecode=80–40–05–30](http://www.ars.usda.gov/main_site/main.htm?modecode=80–40–05–30)

Umeugatani, Y., Izawa, H., Nawaji, M., Yamamoto, K., Kubo, A., Yanase, M., et al. (2012). Enzymatic \(\alpha\)-glucoronolysis of maltooligosaccharides using \(\alpha\)-glucuronic acid 1-phosphate as glycosid donor catalyzed by a thermostable phosphorylase from *Aquifex aeolicus* VF5. *Carbohydr. Res.* 350, 81–85. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2011.12.022

Vissers, R. G. F., Sornhorst, I., Kuipers, G. J., Ruys, N. J., Feenstra, W. J., and Jacobsen, E. (1991). Inhibition of the expression of the gene for granule-bound starch synthase in potato by antisense constructs. *Molec. Gen. Genet.* 225, 289–296. doi:10.1007/bf0269861

Waigh, T. A., Perry, P., Riekel, C., Gidley, M. J., and Donald, A. M. (1998). Chiral side-chain liquid-crystalline polymeric properties of starch. *Macromolecules* 31, 7980–7984. doi:10.1021/ma971859c

Withers, S. G. (1990). The enzymic synthesis and N.M.R. characterisation of specifically deoxygenated and fluorinated glycogenes. *Carbohydr. Res.* 197, 61–73. doi:10.1016/0008-6215(90)84130-m

Yanase, M., Takaha, T., and Kuriki, T. (2007). Developing and engineering enzymes for manufacturing amylose. *J. Appl. Glycosci.* 54, 125–131. doi:10.5458/jag.54.125

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.