Effect of Organic Nutrients and Growth Regulators on Yield and Quality of Goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis* L)
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**ABSTRACT**

An Investigation was conducted to study the effect of organic inputs and growth regulators on yield and quality of goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*) was conducted at the floricultural unit, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University during 2018. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with twelve treatments, various organic inputs and growth regulators including panchagavya @ 3%, vermiwash 1:5 dilutions, humic acid @ 0.2%, GA₃ @ 250 ppm, NAA @ 250 ppm were applied. The yield and quality characters were studied at different stages. The observations recorded viz., yield of flower stalks/ha, days to first flowering, length of flower stalks and weight of individual flower stalks. The results revealed that plants treated with NAA @ 250 ppm + panchagavya @ 3% (T₉) was observed highest flower stalk yield (1140.02 flower stalks/ha), No. of flower stalks/plant (24.48), weight of individual flower stalks, days to first flowering. Considering the overall performance, it was found that the plants treated with NAA @ 250ppm + Panchagavaya @ 3% recorded better performance with regard to growth, yield and quality characters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Goldenrod (Solidago canadensis L.) belongs to the family Asteraceae. It is native to North America. Goldenrods have small yellow clustered flowers, often seen in panicles, along a thin stick like stem. The genus Solidago comprises of about 60 to 130 species. Some species yielded a dye and the leaves of many species of goldenrod used for medicinal preparations and tea making. Goldenrod is generally used as cut flower for indoor decoration in vases and used either singly (or) with other flowers in flower bouquets. Goldenrod plants have multiple uses, from providing shelter to larvae of beneficial insects to attracting butterflies. Goldenrod plants provide nectar for migrating butterflies and bees, encouraging them to remain in the area and pollinate your crops. It is an inevitable cut flower used in indoor decorations and filler flower crop in flower arrangements. Achieving lengthy spikes with compact clusters of flowers is the vital thing in production of goldenrod.

The current research work on this crop is to improve growth and yield of flowers and enhance the flower quality using growth regulators and organic inputs. The present investigation entitled “Studies on Effect of organic nutrients and growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of goldenrod (Solidago canadensis L.)” was taken up with the following objectives.

1. To study the effect of foliar application of growth regulators viz., gibberellic acid, NAA and humic acid on growth, yield of goldenrod.
2. To study the influence of organic inputs viz., panchagavya and vermiwash on growth, yield of goldenrod.
3. To fix optimum combination of organic inputs and growth regulators for maximum growth, yield of goldenrod.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The studies on "Effect of organic nutrients and growth regulators on yield and quality of goldenrod (Solidago canadensis L.)” was carried out in the floriculture unit, Department of Horticulture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu during 2018. The goldenrod suckers were procured from southern flora flower farm in Hosur. The goldenrod plants are raised through suckers and each suckers are 15-20g weight with 45×45 cm spacing. Using randomized block design with twelve treatments and three replication. Observations were recorded at the yield parameters viz., yield of flower stalks, length of flower stalks, weight of individual flower stalks, days to first flowering. There are twelve treatment solution which are used for increase growth and yield and the treatment details are given below.

2.1 Treatment Details

| Treatment | Details                        |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| T1        | Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm       |
| T2        | Panchagavya @ 3%                |
| T3        | Vermiwash 1:5                   |
| T4        | NAA @ 250ppm                    |
| T5        | Humic acid @ 0.2 %              |
| T6        | Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm+     |
|           | Panchagavya @ 3%                |
| T7        | Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm+     |
|           | Vermiwash 1:5                   |
| T8        | Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm+     |
|           | Humic acid @ 0.2 %              |
| T9        | NAA @ 250ppm + Panchagavya @ 3%|
| T10       | NAA @ 250ppm + Vermiwash 1:5    |
| T11       | NAA @ 250ppm + Humic acid @ 0.2%|
| T12       | Control                        |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Number of Days taken for First Flowering

Among the treatments in T9 (NAA@ 250ppm + panchagavya @ 3%) recorded earlier flowering (60.45 days). In T10 (NAA@ 250ppm + vermiwash 1:5) and also the flowering was very early (61.33) when compared with control (T12) which took 70.13 days. The first flowering occurred very early within 60.54 days in plants treated with NAA @ 250 ppm and Panchagavya @ 3%. It might be due to the fact that the presence of growth promoting substances such as GA3 and Panchagavya making nutrients readily available along with presence of many PGPR’s might have lead to early flowering [1].

3.2 Number of Flower Stalks per Plant

The treatment with application of T9 (NAA@ 250ppm + panchagavya @ 3%) recorded the highest number of flower stalks per plant (24.48) which was on par with treatment T10 (NAA@ 250ppm + Vermiwash1:5) that recorded 23.71
Table 1. Effect of organic nutrients and growth regulators on yield and quality of goldenrod

| Treatment Details                                         | Days to first flowering | Number of flower stalks/plant | Length of flower stalk(cm) | Weight of individual flower stalk(g) | Yield of flower stalks/ha ('000nos) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T₁-Gibberellic acid @ 300ppm                            | 66.61                   | 19.09                          | 39.09                       | 9.37                                 | 930.09                            |
| T₂-Panchagavaya 3 %                                      | 69.25                   | 16.78                          | 33.87                       | 8.35                                 | 840.12                            |
| T₃-Vermiwash 1:5                                         | 68.37                   | 17.55                          | 35.61                       | 8.69                                 | 870.11                            |
| T₄-NAA @250ppm                                           | 63.97                   | 21.40                          | 44.31                       | 10.39                                | 1020.06                           |
| T₅-Humic acid @ 0.2 %                                    | 67.49                   | 18.32                          | 37.35                       | 9.03                                 | 900.10                            |
| T₆-Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm + Panchagavaya @ 3 %        | 62.21                   | 22.94                          | 47.79                       | 11.07                                | 1080.04                           |
| T₇-Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm + Vermiwash 1:5             | 64.85                   | 20.63                          | 42.57                       | 10.05                                | 990.07                            |
| T₈-Gibberellic acid @ 250ppm + Humic acid @ 0.2 %        | 65.73                   | 19.86                          | 40.83                       | 9.71                                 | 960.08                            |
| T₉-NAA @ 250ppm+ Panchagavaya @ 3 %                      | 60.45                   | 24.48                          | 51.27                       | 11.75                                | 1140.02                           |
| T₁₀-NAA @ 250ppm + Vermiwash 1:5                         | 61.33                   | 23.71                          | 49.53                       | 11.41                                | 1110.03                           |
| T₁₁-NAA @ 250ppm+ Humic acid @ 0.2 %                    | 63.09                   | 22.17                          | 46.05                       | 10.73                                | 1050.05                           |
| T₁₂-Control                                              | 70.13                   | 16.01                          | 32.13                       | 8.01                                 | 810.13                            |
| SE(d)                                                    | 0.34                    | 0.28                           | 0.77                        | 0.07                                 | 14.89                             |
| CD(0.05)                                                 | 0.68                    | 0.57                           | 1.54                        | 0.14                                 | 29.79                             |
stems per plant. This was followed by the treatment T11 (NAA @ 250ppm + humic acid @ 0.2%) which recorded 22.17 number of flower stalks per plant. The control recorded the least number of (16.01) flower stalks per plant which was on par with T2 (panchagavya @ 3%) with 16.78 flower stalks/plant. This increase might be due to increase photosynthesis efficiency with enhancing carbohydrate fixation in NAA treated plants. These results were in accordance with findings of Ravidas et al. [2] and Maurya and Nagda [3] in gladiolus.

3.3 Flower Stalk Length

The plants that received the application of T9 (NAA @ 250ppm + panchagavya @ 3%) recorded the highest stalk length of 51.27 cm at the time of harvest and this was followed by the treatment T10 (NAA@ 250ppm + Vermiwash1:5) recorded the stalk length of 49.53 cm. The control (T13) recorded a stalk length of 32.13 cm at the time of harvest. The least stalk length of 33.87 cm was recorded in T3 (Panchagavya @ 3%) was on par with T3 (Vermiwash 1:5) which recorded 35.61 cm. Sharma et al. [4] revealed that application of NAA @100ppm recorded maximum number of cormels / plant (39.4), maximum weight (33.6g) and size (4.78 cm) in gladiolus.

3.4 Weight of Individual Flower Stalk

The plants treated with T9 (NAA @ 250ppm + Panchagavya @ 3%) recorded the highest individual flower stalk weight (11.75 g). This was on par with T10 (NAA @ 250ppm + Vermiwash 1:5) which recorded 11.41 g. The next best treatment was T8 (NAA @ 250ppm +humic acid @ 0.2%) with 10.73 g, which was on par with T4 (NAA @ 250ppm) which recorded 10.39 g. The treatment T12 (control) recorded the lowest individual flower stalk weight (8.01 g). The results were in agreement with findings of Thamaraiselvi et al. [5] who found increased flower weight in treatment containing combination of panchagavya in rose, Somasundaram et al. [1], Lourduraj et al. [6] in rice, Djanaguiraman et al. (2005) in tomato.

3.5 Yield of Flower Stalks per Hectare

The treatments T9 (NAA @ 250ppm + Panchagavya @ 3%) produced the highest yield of flower stalks per hectare (108004 flower stalks ha⁻¹) which was followed by T10 (NAA @ 250ppm + Vermiwash 1:5) registered (111003 flower stalks ha⁻¹) and it was on par with T11 (NAA @ 250ppm + Humic acid @ 0.2%) and T4 (NAA @ 250ppm) which recorded (105005 and 102006 flower stalks ha⁻¹). The lowest yield of flower stalks per hectare (81013 flower stalks ha⁻¹) was recorded in T12 (control). This increase is due to auxin which stimulated availability of food materials and carbohydrate supply which ultimately effects the flower production. Such response due to NAA application was reported previously by Dutta et al. [7,8], Kumar and Ugherja [9] in chrysanthemum. Pandya [10] in marigold; Maurya and Nagda [3] in gladiolus.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study treatment combination T9 (NAA @ 250 ppm + Panchagavya @ 3 %) recorded the highest flower stalks yield, No. of flower stalks/plant, flower stalk length, weight of individual flower stalk, yield of flower stalks/ha, No.of days taken for first flowering.
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