Serum Sphingosine 1-Phosphate (S1P): A Novel Diagnostic Biomarker in Early Acute Ischemic Stroke
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Background: Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is a lipid metabolite that mediates various physiological processes, including vascular endothelial cell function, inflammation, coagulation/thrombosis, and angiogenesis. As a result, S1P may contribute to the pathogenesis of stroke.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic value of serum S1P in acute stroke.

Method: A total of 72 patients with ischemic stroke, 36 patients with hemorrhagic stroke, and 65 controls were enrolled. Serum S1P was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results: Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis demonstrated that serum S1P could discriminate ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke in both total population and subgroup analyses of samples obtained within 24 h of symptom onset (subgroup < 24h) (area under curve, AUC Total = 0.64, P = 0.017; AUC Subgroup < 24h = 0.91, P < 0.001) and controls (AUC Total = 0.62, P = 0.013; AUC Subgroup < 24h = 0.83, P < 0.001). Furthermore, S1P showed higher efficacy than high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in discriminating ischemic stroke from controls in the total population (P S1P = 0.013, P HDL-C = 0.366) and in the subgroup analysis (i.e., < 24 h; P S1P < 0.001, P HDL-C = 0.081). Additionally, lower serum S1P was associated with cervical artery plaques (P = 0.021) in controls and with dyslipidemia (P = 0.036) and milder neurological impairment evaluated by the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS, P = 0.047) in the ischemic stroke group.

Conclusions: The present study preliminarily investigated the diagnostic value of serum S1P in acute stroke. Decreased serum S1P may become a potential biomarker for early acute ischemic stroke and can indicate disease severity.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a severely disabling neurological disease that encompasses both ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes (1, 2). The pathogenesis and pathophysiological progression of both subtypes are complex and manifest some similarities and differences (1, 3, 4). To diagnose stroke, neuroimaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) play an important role in the clinical setting. Biomarkers have also been proven to contribute to diagnosis, to discriminate stroke type, and to identify patients’ risk factors, which guide treatment (5, 6). There have been an increasing number of candidate biomarkers for stroke identified in the past decade. Such candidate biomarkers include monitoring biological indicators of coagulation, excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, inflammation, blood-brain barrier dysfunction, and necrosis or apoptosis; however, none have been widely applied in clinical practice (7–9).

Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is a pleiotropic lipid mediator. Recent studies confirmed red blood cells, endothelial cells, thrombocytes, neutrophils, and macrophages to be the primary sources of S1P in the blood (10, 11). More than 50% of plasma S1P is bound to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), while about 40% is associated with albumin (12). S1P is a ligand of five G protein-coupled cell surface receptors S1PR1–S1PR5 (13). These receptors are expressed in various cells involving the immune, cardiovascular, respiratory, hepatic, and neurological systems (10, 14). Through differential binding with different receptor subtypes, S1P regulates many physiological and pathological processes, including blood flow, blood pressure, heart rate, vascular endothelial function, atherosclerosis, coagulation/thrombosis, and inflammatory responses, all of which play crucial roles in stroke pathogenesis and progression (10, 13, 15–18).

By activating S1PR1, S1P regulates the egress of lymphocytes from the lymphatic organs into the blood, further regulating the inflammatory process (19). Moreover, the S1P–S1PR1 axis may promote endothelial barrier function and protect endothelial integrity (20). S1P activation of S1PR3 promotes secretion of eNOS-derived NO, protecting cells and tissues from oxidative stress injury (21). The loss of endothelial S1P results in decreased endothelial-derived NO and higher blood pressure (17). Accordingly, fingolimod, an S1P receptor modulator, has been proven to reduce the volume of brain lesions, attenuate neurological impairment, and improve functional outcome in stroke patients by decreasing lymphatic inflammation, regulating cerebrovascular responses, and activating endothelial barrier functions (22–28). In cardiovascular diseases, serum S1P has been reported to show a strong correlation with human coronary artery disease (CAD) onset and severity (29). Another study found that serum S1P concentrations were inversely associated with atherosclerotic diseases, peripheral artery diseases (PAD), and carotid stenosis (CS) in humans (30). However, the clinical relevance of serum S1P in human stroke cases remains unclear. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to preliminarily evaluate the diagnostic value of serum S1P in acute stroke.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

In this single-center study at the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, patients who were diagnosed with stroke (both ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes) confirmed by CT and/or MRI within 72 h of symptom onset at the Department of Neurology were enrolled in this study. We excluded patients with the following conditions: acute myocardial infarction; any history of a central nervous system condition, such as Parkinson’s disease, dementia, or trauma; the presence of other prior systemic diseases, including autoimmune disorder, chronic heart disease, liver cirrhosis, uremia, malignancy, and any kind of infection. Moreover, patients in this study were all acute treatment naïve and thus had received no prior intravenous thrombosis or vascular interventional therapy. The controls were enrolled at the medical examination center of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University with no symptom or history of stroke and none of the exclusion criteria described above. Enrollment occurred from 2016 to 2019. In total, we included 72 patients with ischemic stroke, 36 patients with hemorrhagic stroke, and 65 controls. The study was approved by the local ethics committee at the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant or his/her legal guardian.

The following demographic and clinical data were collected during the first 6 h after admission: gender; age; history of conventional vascular risk factors (e.g., smoking habit, alcohol abuse, history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia); medication at sampling including the application of any anticoagulant, antiplatelet, or statin treatment; and severity of stroke based on the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). Moreover, patients with ischemic stroke were classified into large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA) or small-vessel occlusion (SAO) groups according to the TOAST classification (31) and were also divided into anterior circulation infarct (ACI) or posterior circulation infarct (POCI) groups according to neuroimaging (CT or MRI) findings.

Samples Collection

Blood samples were collected in tubes with a coagulating agent within 6 h of patients’ hospital admission. The samples were then centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min after 2 h of blood sampling to obtain serum, which was immediately frozen and stored at −80°C until further analysis. The serum was tested for the following components using the biochemical method in the Clinical Test Laboratory of the Second Hospital of Hebei Medical University: total cholesterol (mmol/L), triglyceride (mmol/L), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (mmol/L), and HDL-C (mmol/L).

S1P Measurement

Serum S1P concentrations were measured with a commercially available ELISA kit (Wuhan USCN Business Co., Ltd., Hubei, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, serum S1P, co-incubated with a solid-phase human monoclonal antibody was detected with a biotin-labeled
polyclonal antibody. A streptavidin–peroxidase conjugate was then added to bind the biotinylated antibody. After that, a tetramethylbenzidine substrate was added, and the mixture was measured at 450 nm. The concentration of S1P in the serum samples was determined by comparison to the standard curve.

**Statistical Analysis**

A Kruskal–Wallis test with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons was used to compare three or more groups, Mann–Whitney U-test was used for the comparisons between two groups, and Spearman’s rank correlation was used for the correlation analysis between indexes. We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves to evaluate discriminatory power between the ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke groups, as well as controls. The Youden index (sensitivity + specificity – 1) was calculated to determine the cutoff value, which maximizes discriminating accuracy. Furthermore, to evaluate and adjust for the influence of patients’ demographic features and underlying conditions (smoking habit, alcohol abuse, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia), univariate analysis with a general linear model was employed, setting the demographic features and underlying conditions as the covariate. Fisher’s exact test was used to test all categorical data. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 software.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Demographic and Clinical Characteristics**

Demographic and clinical characteristics of disease groups and controls are listed in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age at sampling among the three groups, while the ischemic stroke group had a higher proportion of male patients than the controls ($P = 0.036$). As for the conventional vascular risk factors (see Methods and Materials), the ischemic stroke group showed a higher proportion of patients with a smoking habit compared with the controls ($P < 0.01$), as well as a higher proportion of patients with alcohol abuse compared with both control ($P < 0.01$) and hemorrhagic stroke groups ($P = 0.025$). The hemorrhagic stroke group contained a higher proportion of patients with hypertension compared with controls ($P < 0.01$) and a higher proportion of patients with diabetes mellitus compared with both the ischemic stroke ($P = 0.014$) and control groups ($P < 0.01$).

Additionally, patients with ischemic stroke showed a higher level of total cholesterol than did either the hemorrhagic stroke.

| Characteristics | IS | HS | Con | IS vs. HS | IS vs. Con | HS vs. Con |
|-----------------|----|----|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Number          | 72 | 36 | 65  | 0.393     | 0.036      | 0.412     |
| Sex (F/M)       | 23/49 | 15/21 | 33/32 | 0.791 | 0.127 | 0.109 |
| Age (years)†    | 58.7 ± 9.7 | 55.5 ± 12.4 | 56.6 ± 8.5 | <0.01 | 0.025 | 0.109 |
| Sampling duration (days)† | 1.6 ± 1.0 | 1.3 ± 1.1 | n/a | 0.164 | n/a | n/a |
| Smoking habit (Y/N) | 24/48 | 6/27 | 3/62 | 0.162 | <0.01 | 0.057 |
| Alcohol abuse (Y/N) | 21/51 | 3/30 | 5/60 | 0.025 | <0.01 | 1 |
| Clinical parameters | | | |
| History of hypertension (Y/N) | 47/25 | 29/7 | 33/32 | 0.125 | 0.118 | <0.01 |
| History of diabetes mellitus (Y/N) | 22/50 | 3/33 | 26/39 | 0.014 | 0.284 | <0.01 |
| History of dyslipidemia | 12/60 | 7/29 | 5/60 | 0.01 | 0.127 | 0.109 |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (mmol/L)† | 2.7 ± 0.8 | 2.3 ± 0.9 | 1.3 ± 0.5 | <0.01 | 0.276 | <0.01 |
| High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (mmol/L)† | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 3.5 ± 0.8 | <0.01 | 0.397 | <0.01 |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)† | 1.8 ± 1.8 | 1.6 ± 0.9 | 1.3 ± 1.1 | 1 | 1 | 0.369 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)† | 5.3 ± 0.9 | 4.2 ± 1.1 | 3.9 ± 1.1 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 1 |
| History of previous stroke (Y/N) | 17/55 | 1/35 | 0/65 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 1 |
| Cervical artery plaques (Y/N) | 72/0 | n/a | 26/18 | <0.01 | <0.01 | n/a |
| Medication at sampling | | | |
| ASP/CLO (Y/N) | 20/52 | 0/36 | 4/61 | <0.01 | 0.033 | 0.294 |
| Statins (Y/N) | 18/54 | 0/36 | 4/61 | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.294 |

*IS, ischemic stroke; HS, hemorrhagic stroke; Con, controls; ASA, aspirin; CLO, clopidogrel; n/a, not available.

Bold type indicates a significant difference.

†Mean ± standard deviation.

*Kruskal–Wallis test with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons was used to compare the demographic data or clinical parameters among three groups. Mann–Whitney U-test was used for the comparison of the demographic data or clinical parameters between two groups. Fisher’s exact test was used to test all categorical data.
(P < 0.01) or control group (P < 0.01). Although there was a similar proportion of subjects with a history of dyslipidemia among all groups, patients with either ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke showed both a higher level of LDL-C ($P_{\text{Ischemic}} < 0.01$, $P_{\text{Hemorrhagic}} < 0.01$) and lower HDL-C levels than the controls did ($P_{\text{Ischemic}} < 0.01$, $P_{\text{Hemorrhagic}} < 0.01$) at sampling. There was a higher proportion of ischemic stroke patients who had a history of previous stroke compared to hemorrhagic stroke and controls ($P_{\text{Ischemic-controls}} < 0.01$, $P_{\text{Ischemic-Hemorrhagic}} < 0.01$). In terms of medication at sampling, a higher proportion of patients with ischemic stroke were treated with aspirin/clopidogrel (ASP/CLO) or a statin compared to patients with hemorrhagic stroke ($P_{\text{ASP/CLO}} < 0.01$, $P_{\text{Statin}} < 0.01$) and controls ($P_{\text{ASP/CLO}} = 0.033$, $P_{\text{Statin}} < 0.01$).

**Serum S1P Level in Stroke**

The comparison of serum S1P concentration between stroke patients and controls is shown in Figure 1. Patients with ischemic stroke showed lower serum S1P concentration compared with hemorrhagic stroke patients [mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD): 320.2 $\pm$ 88.1 vs. 364.4 $\pm$ 106.6 ng/ml; $P = 0.037$] and controls (mean $\pm$ SD: 320.2 $\pm$ 88.1 vs. 356.7 $\pm$ 94.4 ng/ml; $P = 0.048$). Based on ROC curve analysis (Figure 2), the optimal cut-off value of serum S1P concentration for discriminating ischemic stroke patients from controls was 331.3 ng/ml, and the cutoff value to discriminate ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke was 357.8 ng/ml; the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.62 when comparing ischemic stroke patients and controls and 0.64 when comparing ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke patients, which yielded sensitivities of 61.1 and 55.6% and specificities of 61.5 and 72.2%, respectively. We further divided patients with stroke according to sampling time after symptom onset into the following three subgroups: <24 h ($n_{IS} = 23$; $n_{HS} = 23$), 24–48 h ($n_{IS} = 29$; $n_{HS} = 5$), and 48–72 h ($n_{IS} = 20$; $n_{HS} = 8$) (IS = ischemic stroke, HS = hemorrhagic stroke). The concentrations of serum S1P in the <24, 24–48, and 48–72 h subgroups were (mean $\pm$ SD) 258.9 $\pm$ 57.7, 357.0 $\pm$ 94.5, and 337.3 $\pm$ 71.0 ng/ml, respectively, as well as 397.8 $\pm$ 102.9, 358.2 $\pm$ 73.3, and 272.3 $\pm$ 84.1 ng/ml in the corresponding hemorrhagic stroke group. Next, we compared the serum S1P concentration at different sampling time points within the ischemic and hemorrhagic groups. The <24 h subgroup showed decreased serum S1P levels compared to the 24–48 h ($P < 0.001$) and 48–72 h ($P = 0.005$) subgroups in ischemic stroke patients. In hemorrhagic stroke patients, the 48–72 h subgroup showed decreased serum S1P levels compared to the <24 h subgroup ($P = 0.012$), but the serum S1P levels were not significantly different from those of the 24–48 h subgroup ($P = 0.37$). The results of serum S1P level as a diagnostic biomarker for ischemic stroke when sampled within 24 h are shown in Figure 3. Based on ROC curve analysis, the optimal cutoff value of S1P was 307.7 ng/ml for discriminating ischemic stroke from controls and 321.3 ng/ml for discriminating ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke. The AUCs for discriminating ischemic from hemorrhagic stroke and discriminating ischemic stroke from controls were 0.91 and 0.83, respectively, which yielded respective sensitivities of 82.6% and 67.8% and specificities of 91.3 and 87.0%. However, in the 24–48 and 48–72 h subgroups, there was no significant difference in serum S1P levels among groups ($P > 0.05$).

Next, we evaluated the influence of demographic features and underlying conditions including gender (age was not set as a covariate, as there was no significant difference in age among groups), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, smoking habit, and alcohol abuse on the comparison of serum S1P levels among groups using a general linear model. The results showed that the above factors had no significant influence on serum S1P level comparison, despite gender ($P = 0.009$). After adjusting for gender, the results still showed a significant difference in serum S1P concentration between ischemic stroke and control groups ($P = 0.033$) and between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke groups ($P = 0.024$). In addition, we compared the accuracy of serum S1P and HDL-C in discriminating stroke from controls and different stroke types (Figures 2, 3). Decreased serum S1P and HDL-C concentrations possessed similar accuracy in discriminating ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke ($AUC_{S1P} = 0.64, P = 0.017; AUC_{HDL-C} = 0.69, P = 0.001$), while decreased serum S1P concentration manifested better accuracy in discriminating ischemic stroke from controls ($AUC_{S1P} = 0.62, P = 0.013; AUC_{HDL-C} = 0.54, P = 0.366$). Moreover, in the <24 h subgroup, we found that decreased serum S1P concentration manifested similar accuracy to decreased HDL-C concentration in discriminating ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke ($AUC_{S1P} = 0.91, P < 0.001; AUC_{HDL-C} = 0.84, P < 0.001$), while
decreased serum S1P concentration manifested superior accuracy to HDL-C in discriminating ischemic stroke from controls (AUC\textsubscript{S1P} = 0.83, P < 0.001; AUC\textsubscript{HDL-C} = 0.62, P = 0.081).

The relationship between serum S1P levels and conventional vascular risk factors in stroke patients and controls is shown in Tables 2–4. Control group subjects with cervical artery plaques had lower serum S1P levels than ones without plaques (P = 0.021). In the ischemic stroke group, patients with dyslipidemia manifested lower serum S1P levels than patients without dyslipidemia (P = 0.036). Patients with severe neurological...
disability due to stroke showed higher serum S1P levels than patients with milder disability as evaluated by the NIHSS score ($P = 0.047$). However, serum S1P level showed no significant difference in ischemic subgroups divided by either etiology ($P = 0.252$) or tomography analysis ($P = 0.101$). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in serum S1P levels for patients...
with or without a history of stroke in the ischemic stroke group ($P = 0.614$). As for the influence of medication on serum S1P concentration, patients treated with ASP/CLO or statins showed similar serum S1P concentrations to patients without medication ($P_{ASA} = 0.597$; $P_{statin} = 0.499$). The hemorrhagic stroke group showed no significant difference in serum S1P concentration between subgroups divided by clinical parameters.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we revealed that decreased serum S1P concentration could discriminate ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke and controls and that lower serum S1P levels were associated with cervical artery plaques in controls and with dyslipidemia and milder neurological impairment in ischemic stroke patients. Furthermore, in the <24h subgroup, serum S1P levels showed better sensitivity and specificity in discriminating ischemic stroke from controls than it showed for the total enrolled patient population. As for serum S1P detection, the mass spectrometry or liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was widely applied in clinical or preclinical studies with high sensitivity and accuracy. Moreover, by applying this technology, the HDL-associated S1P, LDL-associated S1P, and albumin-associated S1P could also be detected quantitatively. These were proven to play differentiating roles in different physiological processes (30, 32, 33). The methodology of serum S1P detection by ELISA has been established and employed by several studies (34–36). Moreover, in the present study, ELISA determined that the concentration of serum S1P in controls was $356.7 \pm 94.4$ ng/ml ($0.94 \pm 0.25$ nmol/ml), showing a similar range as was found in previous reports of healthy groups ($1.04 \pm 0.24$ and $0.88 \pm 0.21$ nmol/ml) using the mass spectrometry or LC-MS/MS (30, 33), which may verify the reliability of our detection system and the accuracy of our serum S1P data.

SIP regulates a wide array of biological functions in endothelial cells, including mediation of pro-inflammatory responses and maintenance of barrier function and integrity (13). Intercranial atherosclerotic disease is a highly prevalent cause of stroke (37). The central feature of that is a dysfunctional vascular endothelial barrier, which leads to increased vascular permeability, which in turn causes the decreased concentration of serum S1P, as the endothelium is an important serum S1P source (38, 39). Decreased S1P levels will further promote endothelial inflammation by upregulating pro-inflammatory endothelial markers such as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), inducing reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton and regulating endothelial adherent junctions, eventually exacerbating the damage to the endothelial cell barrier (40, 41). Furthermore, with the occurrence of stroke, hypoxic ischemia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction, excitotoxicity, and oxidative stress damage further aggravate the destruction of vascular endothelial cells, leading to impaired function and integrity of the endothelial barrier (37, 42). Therefore, under pathological conditions, disturbed homeostasis of serum S1P levels and S1P signaling dysfunction will promote the process of adverse vascular events such as stroke. Accordingly, fingolimod, an S1P analog, was proven to attenuate neurological impairment not only in the animal model but also in patients with acute stroke, through regulating endothelial adherent junctions, cerebrovascular responses, and blood–brain barrier functions by functional activation of S1P signaling (22, 26).

In addition, S1P is believed to play a pivotal role in regulating lymphocyte egress from the thymus or secondary lymphoid organs to circulation, the major factor of which is the S1P gradient. S1P levels are lower in intracellular and interstitial fluid, whereas S1P is enriched within the blood and lymph. Also, disruption of the S1P gradient results in lymphopenia due to a defect in lymphocyte egress (43). In the present study, decreased serum S1P may lead to lymphopenia through the aforementioned mechanisms, the effect of which was similar to the immunomodulation of fingolimod in stroke patients. The latter was proven to efficiently reduce neurological disability in ischemic stroke patients by redistributing lymphocytes from the circulation to secondary lymphoid organs, which subsequently causes lymphopenia (22, 23, 25). Moreover, a recent report further showed that a certain period of lymphopenia (>24h) is an efficient measure against ischemic stroke (44). In our study, the significantly declined level of serum S1P was especially found within 24h of symptom onset for the ischemic stroke patients. Based on the above, the lymphopenia caused by decreased serum S1P in the ischemic stroke patients revealed in this study may be weak and may not be sustained. Additionally, S1P signaling was also shown to be associated with other immunological processes involving T-cell activation status, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-mediated inflammation, and dendritic cell differentiation (45). Therefore, the relationship between the serum S1P level and its role in immunomodulation and post-stroke immunosuppression needs to be investigated further by *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies.

In the present study, we found that decreased serum S1P levels were associated with ischemic stroke, which was similar to previous findings that PAD and CS are associated with decreased serum S1P concentrations (30). In addition, we found that serum S1P concentration also decreased in patients with ischemic stroke compared to patients with hemorrhagic stroke. This may be due to the different pathological processes of these two types of stroke and differential medical treatment. After a hemorrhagic stroke, the injured vascular system promptly activates thrombin within a few hours (4), independent of serum S1P secretion (46). The activated thrombin and platelets themselves as well as the NF-κB signaling pathway, upregulated by the protease-activated receptor (PAR), may indirectly elevate the serum S1P levels (46). Of note, fingolimod treatment was shown to lead to an obvious amelioration of brain tissue loss in both intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage models and patients through reducing lymphocytic inflammation, preserving the blood–brain barrier, and limiting brain edema by functional activation of S1P signaling (24, 27, 28).

Different prescribed medications were considered to be another influential factor for the discrepancy in serum S1P
concentrations. Aspirin and statins are taken by many patients with ischemic stroke, and less frequently by patients with hemorrhagic stroke or controls. Previous studies showed that aspirin could block the PAR-1-stimulated release of S1P in platelets ex vivo (47), while statins have been reported to cause no significant increase in plasma S1P (48). However, in the present study, the serum concentration of S1P showed no significant difference between patients with aspirin or statin treatment and patients without treatment. Moreover, the decreased serum S1P level in the sample taken within 24 h after symptom onset from ischemic stroke patients who were almost without any medication showed more power to discriminate ischemic stroke from hemorrhagic stroke, as well as from controls, than it showed in the total patient population. Taken together, based on our study and previously published work, it is unclear whether aspirin and statins are major determinants of serum S1P concentration, and this needs to be clarified in future studies (30).

CT and MRI have been widely applied in the clinical setting for decades to diagnose stroke. However, for patients with early acute or hyperacute stroke, the diagnostic accuracy of CT for ischemic stroke is <30%; although the diagnostic accuracy of MRI is ~70–90%, it has the disadvantages of a long inspection time, comparatively high cost, and lack of widespread application (49). Therefore, serum S1P, which shows higher sensitivity and specificity to identify ischemic stroke, especially within 24 h of symptom onset, appears to be a potential auxiliary indicator for early ischemic stroke diagnosis. Of note, more than 50% of plasma S1P is bound to HDL-C. This may raise doubts as to whether HDL-C and S1P worked independently or whether HDL-C worked through S1P. In the present study, we revealed differential discrimination of these factors among stroke patients and controls, which indicates the independent but synergistic action of these two markers.

In the control group, we found that decreased serum S1P concentration was associated with cervical artery plaques. In ischemic stroke, lower serum S1P concentration was associated with dyslipidemia, which suggests that abnormal levels of serum S1P contribute to abnormal lipid metabolism and further promote the formation of plaques and atherosclerosis (13). Additionally, in the ischemic stroke group, patients with severe neurological disability showed higher serum S1P level than patients with a milder disability as evaluated by the NIHSS score. Severe neurological disability may result from extensive cerebral infarction caused by blockage of the intracranial aorta, which may lead to a compensatory increase in serum S1P to regulate factors such as coagulation and platelet action that lead to thrombosis (50). Further study with larger cohorts is needed to confirm this and to determine the exact mechanism, requiring investigation in related in vivo and ex vivo studies.

Overall, our study still has some limitations. First, the sample number of both patients and controls was limited, which makes it difficult to conduct comprehensive statistical analysis among subgroups and make determined conclusions. Second, we lack long-term follow-up for individual patients. The lack of long-term follow-up prevents us from monitoring the fluctuations of serum S1P levels with disease progression, as well as treatment, and thus limited our ability to determine the predictive value of serum S1P for disease prognosis. Third, serum S1P was detected by ELISA rather than the more sensitive mass spectrometry or LC–MS/MS technology, and detailed analysis of the level of HDL-associated S1P, LDL-associated S1P, and albumin-associated S1P was lacking. Fourth, this study lacked sufficient data obtained from neuroimaging (CT/MRI) and further analysis of its association with serum S1P level. Therefore, the conclusions of the present study should be considered with caution. Further longitudinal studies with a greater number of patients, employing more sensitive and accurate detection technology, with adequate neuroimaging data are needed to confirm the present study's findings.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present study preliminarily investigated the diagnostic value of serum S1P in acute stroke. Decrease in serum S1P may become a potential biomarker for early acute ischemic stroke and can indicate disease severity.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Research Ethics Committee of the second hospital of Hebei Medical University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JL and KS contributed to the conception and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, statistical analysis, and drafting and revision of the manuscript. YC contributed to the conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, and revision of the manuscript. MM contributed to the acquisition of data and revision of the manuscript. LG and GT contributed to study supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

This study was supported by the Youth Science and Technology Project of the medical commission of Hebei province of China (Grant No. 20170543) and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 2019M650594).
REFERENCES

1. Obvianegbe B, Nguyen-Huyhn MN. Stroke epidemiology: advancing our understanding of disease mechanism and therapy. Neurotherapeutics. (2011) 8:319–29. doi: 10.1007/s13311-011-0053-1
2. Guo YW, Li PX, Guo QL, Shang XK, Yan D, Du SY, et al. Pathophysiology and biomarkers in acute ischemic stroke - a review. Trop J Pharm Res. (2013) 12:1097–105. doi: 10.4314/tjpr.v12i6.35
3. Deb P, Sharma S, Hassan KM. Pathophysiologic mechanisms of acute ischemic stroke: an overview with emphasis on therapeutic significance beyond thrombolysis. Pathophysiology. (2010) 17:197–218. doi: 10.1016/j.pathophys.2009.12.001
4. Aronowski J, Zhao XR. Molecular pathophysiology of cerebral hemorrhage secondary brain injury. Stroke. (2011) 42:1781–86. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.596718
5. Lovblad KO, Altrichter S, Mendes Pereira V, Vargas M, Marcos Gonzalez A, Saenger AK, Christenson RH. Stroke biomarkers: progress and challenges. Curr Med Chem. (2020) 27:2979–93. doi: 10.2174/092986732666619030813372
6. Sanna MG, Liao J, Jo E, Alfonso C, Ahn MY, Peterson MS, et al. Sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors: structure, signaling, and influence. Int J Mol Sci. (2018) 19:847–72. doi: 10.3390/ijms19041840
7. Danesh J. Plasma fibrinogen level and the risk of major cardiovascular diseases and nonvascular mortality: an individual participant meta-analysis. JAMA. (2003) 290:2484–90. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.22.2484-a
8. Maceyka M, Harikumar KB, Milstien S, Spiegel S. Sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors: a mutual link between sphingolipids and cell death pathways. Trends Cell Biol. (2000) 10:75–86. doi: 10.1016/S0962-8924(00)58050-6
9. Aoki S, Yatomi Y, Ohta M, Osada M, Kazama F, Satoh K, et al. Sphingosine-1-phosphate-related metabolism in the blood vessel. J Biochem. (2005) 138:47–55. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvi100
10. Fu Y, Zhang N, Ren L, Yan Y, Sun N, Li YJ, et al. Fingolimod for the treatment of intracerebral hemorrhage: a 2-arm proof-of-concept study. JAMA Neurol. (2014) 71:1092–101. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.1065
11. Murata N, Sato K, Kon J, Tomura H, Okajima F. Quantitative measurement of sphingosine-1-phosphate by radioreceptor-binding assay. Anal Biochem. (2000) 282:115–20. doi: 10.1006/abio.2000.4580
12. Kawabori M, Kacimi R, Karliner JS, Yenari MA. Sphingolipids regulate blood flow and pressure. J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2014) 71:1092–101. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.1065
13. Kawabori M, Kacimi R, Karliner JS, Yenari MA. Sphingolipids regulate blood flow and pressure. J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2014) 71:1092–101. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.1065
14. Sanna MG, Liao J, Jo E, Alfonso C, Ahn MY, Peterson MS, et al. Sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors: structure, signaling, and influence. Int J Mol Sci. (2018) 19:847–72. doi: 10.3390/ijms19041840
15. Winkler MS, Geffken M, von Lucadou MT, Young TR, et al. Predicting obstructive coronary artery disease with serum sphingosine-1-phosphate. Am Heart J. (2003) 146:62–8. doi: 10.1067/ahj.2003.030118-2
16. Soltau I, Mudersbach E, Geissen M, Schwedhelm E, Winkler MS, Geffken M, et al. Serum sphingosine-1-phosphate concentrations are inversely associated with atherosclerotic diseases in humans. PLoS ONE. (2016) 11:e0163802. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163802
17. Adams JP Jr., Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Bailer J, Love BB, Gordon D, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Stroke. (1993) 24:35–45. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.24.1.35
18. Polzin A, Piayda K, Keul P, Dannenberg L, Mohring A, Graler M, et al. Plasma sphingosine-1-phosphate concentrations are associated with systolic heart failure in patients with ischemic heart disease. J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2017) 110:35–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ymcie.2017.07.004
19. Baum G, Winkler M, Moritz E, Muller T, Schwarz M, Geffken M, von Lucadou MT, Young TR, et al. Predicting obstructive coronary artery disease with serum sphingosine-1-phosphate. Am Heart J. (2003) 146:62–8. doi: 10.1067/ahj.2003.030118-2
20. Boogert CJ, El Jamaal A, Briload S, Mebarek S, Boutet MA, Garraud T, et al. Involvement of sphingosine kinase/sphingosine-1-phosphate metabolic pathway in spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. (2014) 71:1092–101. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.1065
21. Nofer JR, van der Giet M, Tolle M, Wolinska I, Lipinski KA, Baba HA, et al. HDL induces NO-dependent vasorelaxation via the lysosphingolipid receptor S1P(3). J Clin Invest. (2004) 113:569–81. doi: 10.1172/JCI200418004
22. Wang Z, Kawkaboni M, Houkin K. FTY720 (Fingolimod) ameliorates brain injury through multiple mechanisms and is a strong candidate for stroke treatment. Curr Med Chem. (2020) 27:2979–93. doi: 10.2174/092986732666619030813372
23. Zhu Z, Fu Y, Tian D, Sun N, Han W, Chang G, et al. Combination of the immune modulator fingolimod with alteplase in acute ischemic stroke: a pilot trial. Circulation. (2015) 132:1104–12. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.016371
24. Fu Y, Hao J, Zhang N, Ren L, Sun N, Li YJ, et al. Fingolimod enhances the efficacy of delayed alteplase administration in acute ischemic stroke by promoting anterograde reperfusion and retrograde collateral flow. Ann Neurol. (2018) 84:717–28. doi: 10.1002/ana.25352
25. Xu H, Pelligrino DA, Paisan Rathke C, Testai FD. Protective role of fingolimod (FTY720) in rats subjected to subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Neuroinflammation. (2015) 12:16. doi: 10.1186/s12974-015-0234-7
26. Hasegawa Y, Uekawa K, Kawano T, Suzuki H, Kim-Mitsuyma S. Blockage of central sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor does not abolish the protective effect of FTY720 in early brain injury after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage. Curr Drug Deliv. (2017) 14:861–6. doi: 10.2174/1567201813666160907094401
27. Thuy AV, Reimann CM, Hemdan NYA, Graler MH. Sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors: structure, signaling, and influence. Int J Mol Sci. (2018) 19:472–86. doi: 10.3390/ijms19041840
28. Watson L, Tullius K, Marks SD, Holt RC, Pilkington C, Beresford MW. Increased serum concentration of sphingosine-1-phosphate in juvenile-onset systemic lupus erythematosus. J Clin Immunol. (2012) 32:1019–25. doi: 10.1007/s10875-012-9710-3
29. Aslan M, Kirac E, Kaya S, Ozcan E, Salim O, Kupesiz OA. Decreased serum levels of sphingomyelins and ceramides in sickle cell disease patients. Lipids. (2018) 53:313–22. doi: 10.1007/s11745-017-4016-5
30. Polzin A, Piayda K, Keul P, Dannenberg L, Mohring A, Graler M, et al. Plasma sphingosine-1-phosphate concentrations are associated with systolic heart failure in patients with ischemic heart disease. J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2017) 110:35–7. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2017.07.004
40. Herrington W, Lacey B, Sherliker P, Armitage J, Lewington S. Epidemiology of atherosclerosis and the potential to reduce the global burden of atherothrombotic disease. *Circ Res.* (2016) 118:535–46. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.307611

41. Yanagida K, Liu CH, Faraco G, Galvani S, Smith HK, Burg N, et al. Size-selective opening of the blood-brain barrier by targeting endothelial sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2017) 114:4531–36. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1618659114

42. Hu X, De Silva TM, Chen J, Faraci FM. Cerebral vascular disease and neurovascular injury in ischemic stroke. *Circ Res.* (2017) 120:449–71. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308427

43. Schwab SR, Pereira JP, Matloubian M, Xu Y, Huang Y, Cyster JG. Lymphocyte sequestration through S1P lyase inhibition and disruption of S1P gradients. *Science.* (2005) 309:1735–9. doi: 10.1126/science.1113640

44. Brait VH, Tarrason G, Gavalda A, Godessart N, Planas AM. Selective sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1 agonist is protective against ischemia/reperfusion in mice. *Stroke.* (2016) 47:3053–6. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015371

45. Tsai HC, Han MH. Sphingosine-1-Phosphate (S1P) and S1P signaling pathway: therapeutic targets in autoimmunity and inflammation. *Drugs.* (2016) 76:1067–79. doi: 10.1007/s40265-016-0603-2

46. Rauch BH. Sphingosine 1-phosphate as a link between blood coagulation and inflammation. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2014) 34:185–96. doi: 10.1159/000362994

47. Ulrych T, Bohm A, Polzin A, Daum G, Nusing RM, Geisslinger G, et al. Release of sphingosine-1-phosphate from human platelets is dependent on thromboxane formation. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2011) 9:790–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04194.x

48. Sugiuara T, Dohi Y, Yamashita S, Ohde N, Ito S, Iwaki S, et al. Analytical evaluation of plasma serotonin and sphingosine 1-phosphate and their clinical assessment in early atherosclerosis. *Coron Artery Dis.* (2012) 23:234–8. doi: 10.1097/MCA.0b013e328351abba

49. Hall C, Lang E. Evidence-based emergency medicine. Is MRI more accurate than CT in patients with suspected acute stroke? *Ann Emerg Med.* (2011) 58:99–100. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2010.10.014

50. Tauseef M, Kini V, Knezevic N, Brannan M, Ramchandaran R, Fyrst H, et al. Activation of sphingosine kinase-1 reverses the increase in lung vascular permeability through sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor signaling in endothelial cells. *Circ Res.* (2008) 103:1164–214. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000338501.84810.51

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Liu, Sugimoto, Cao, Mori, Guo and Tan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.