Postprandial Vascular Effects of VIAject Compared With Insulin Lispro and Regular Human Insulin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes
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OBJECTIVE — Recent studies suggested an impact of prandial insulin delivery on postprandial regulation of tissue blood flow. This study compared the effect of VIAject with human regular insulin and insulin lispro on postprandial oxidative stress and endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Fourteen patients (seven men; aged 61.5 ± 1.8 years; duration of diabetes 6.6 ± 4.6 years; A1C 7.2 ± 0.5%) received a prandial injection of VIAject, human regular insulin, and insulin lispro. At baseline and after a standard liquid meal test (Ensure Plus), the postprandial increases in asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) and nitrotyrosine levels were investigated. In addition, the postprandial effects on microvascular blood flow, skin oxygenation, and vascular elasticity were measured.

RESULTS — Treatment with VIAject resulted in a significant reduction in the peak postprandial generation of ADMA compared with human insulin and insulin lispro (VIAject −27.3 ± 22.6, human insulin 97.7 ± 24.4, and insulin lispro 66.9 ± 33.9 mmol/L, P < 0.05, respectively). The postprandial increases in nitrotyrosine levels were significantly less after VIAject than after human regular insulin (VIAject −0.22 ± 0.17 vs. human insulin 0.25 ± 0.15 μg/ml, P < 0.05), whereas nitrotyrosine after insulin lispro was in between (insulin lispro 0.09 ± 0.07 μg/ml; NS). In parallel, earlier and more pronounced increases in microvascular blood flow and skin oxygenation were obtained after VIAject compared with those after human insulin or insulin lispro (P < 0.05, respectively). All insulin formulations resulted in comparable improvements in central arterial elasticity.

CONCLUSIONS — Treatment with VIAject reduced postprandial oxidative stress and improved endothelial function compared with human regular insulin or insulin lispro.
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Type 2 diabetes is closely related to atherosclerosis and the development of cardiovascular complications such as myocardial infarction or stroke. Recent studies on cardiovascular endpoints in patients with type 2 diabetes call into question the value of A1C-focused treatments in reducing microvascular complications of diabetes (1–3). Other markers such as glucose excursions, hypoglycemia, or postprandial generation of oxidative stress may add important information for the judgment of cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes (1,2). Postprandial microvascular blood flow is under dynamic regulation and is diversely affected by changes in postprandial glucose and insulin levels (4). Increasing postprandial insulin levels stimulate microvascular blood flow by inducing the endothelial release of nitric oxide via the activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase system (5,6). In contrast, increasing blood glucose levels were shown to oppose the insulin effects on endothelial cells and to impair postprandial microvascular blood flow (7). A reduced first-phase insulin release with an augmented increase in postprandial glucose levels followed by an impairment in endothelial function and postprandial microvascular blood flow is an early feature of type 2 diabetes (4,8). These findings suggest that a physiological timing of prandial insulin release fulfills an important role not only in controlling postprandial blood glucose levels but also in maintaining normal tissue perfusion and nutrition. In addition, recent studies have shown that in insulin-treated patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, the pharmacokinetic profile of insulin formulations affects postprandial microvascular blood flow and that treatment with fast-acting insulin analogs reduces postprandial oxidative stress and restores endothelial function more effectively than treatment with human regular insulin (9–11).

VIAject is a new, ultra–fast-acting insulin formulation shown to have more rapid insulin absorption than that for human regular insulin and insulin lispro. The aim of this study was to compare the effect of prandial subcutaneous administration of insulin VIAject with prandial application of human regular insulin and insulin lispro on several markers of endothelial and microvascular function after a standardized liquid meal test in patients with type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Fifteen patients with type 2 diabetes receiving stable sulfonylurea and/or metformin treatment were recruited for study participation. Patients were excluded if they had been treated with insulin, peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor-γ agonists, glinides, or...
glucosidase inhibitors within the last 4 weeks before screening. All other concomitant treatment was kept stable during study participation. Additional exclusion criteria were evidence of major micro- or macrovascular complications and impaired cardiovascular, respiratory, hepatic, or renal function. One patient dropped out of the study because of personal time restrictions and did not receive the last insulin treatment. Fourteen patients who received all protocol-specified insulin treatments were included in the analyses.

Study procedure
This open-label study consisted of a three-arm crossover with each treatment administered in random sequence on separate study days. Regular human insulin was given at a dose of 0.10 unit/kg. To minimize risk of hypoglycemia, 90% of this dose was used for the insulin lispro administration, and 75% of the regular human insulin dose was used for VIAject administrations. Because this lower VIAject dose did not result in postprandial glucose control comparable to that with regular human insulin and lispro treatments, all subjects underwent a subsequent VIAject administration at 90% of the regular human insulin dose after the randomized sequence. This dose administration resulted in matched postprandial glucose control and forms the basis for the between-treatment comparisons presented.

For study drug administrations, patients arrived at the study site on the morning after an overnight fast for at least 8 h. An intravenous cannula for blood sampling was inserted into a large antecubital or forearm vein. Subjects remained supine for the duration of the investigations on each investigational day. Human regular insulin was injected 15 min in advance and insulin VIAject or lispro was injected immediately before the intake of a standardized liquid meal (Ensure Plus, containing 56% carbohydrates, 29% fat, and 15% protein). No other food or drinks, with the exception of small amounts of mineral water, were allowed during the investigational period of 4 h. At baseline (before liquid meal intake) and at 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min after the liquid meal, blood was taken for the measurement of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), blood glucose, and insulin. Because of time limitations, the microvascular blood flow (laser Doppler flux [LDF]), tissue oxygenation (SO2), the arterial elasticity index (augmentation index [Aix]), and nitrotyrosine measurements were only taken at baseline and at 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min thereafter.

Skin blood flow and oxygen saturation measurement
The technique of simultaneous micro-lightguide spectrophotometry and laser Doppler fluxmetry was used to measure microvascular skin blood flow and oxygenation at the lower forearm (O2C; LEA Medizintechnik, Giessen, Germany). A skin probe (LF 2; LEA Medizintechnik) was placed on the thenar surface of the left hand in between the phalanx of the thumb and the metatarsal of the forefinger, directly adjacent to the abductor pollicis muscle. LDF and tissue oxygen tension measurements (SO2) were performed at the time points given above. As shown in a previous study, this technique allows LDF measurements with a coefficient of variation of <10% and oxygen saturation measurements with a coefficient of variation of <20% (12).

Measurement of central arterial elasticity
Central arterial elasticity was measured by the technique of planimetry using a highly sensitive transducer (Sphygmo Cor; AtCor Medical, West Ryde, Australia). The central arterial waveform was derived from 20 sequential waveform records obtained from the peripheral radial artery using a validated transfer function (13). Only high-quality recordings, defined as an in-device quality index with >80% reproducibility, were included in the analysis. The augmentation pressure was obtained from the difference between the second and the first systolic shoulder of the central pressure wave curve, and the Aix was calculated as the percentage of augmentation pressure from total pulse pressure.

Laboratory measurements
All laboratory measurements were analyzed at the Institute for Clinical Research and Development (iSe, Mainz, Germany). Blood samples were centrifuged and kept at −20°C until final analysis. Plasma glucose concentrations were determined by the glucose dehydrogenase method (Super GL; RLT, Mohneese-Delecke, Germany). ADMA was determined by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay according to the manufacturer’s guidelines (ADMA ELISA; Immundiagnostik, Bensheim, Germany). Insulin and nitrotyrosine were measured by chemoluminescence assays (insulin: Invitron, Monmouth, U.K.; nitrotyrosine: Upstate Biotechnology, Charlottesville, VA). Insulin lispro was measured using a radioimmunoassay (Linco Laboratories, St. Charles, MO). A1C was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (Menarini Diagnostics, Neuss, Germany).

Statistical analysis
ADMA was chosen as the primary end point because a previous study had demonstrated a significant impact of fast-acting analogs on the generation of postprandial ADMA levels in patients with type 2 diabetes (10). A sample size of 14 patients was calculated to provide 80% power, assuming a comparable effect on the postprandial excursion of plasma ADMA levels and considering a two-sided test with a significance level of 5%.

This study was designed as a pilot study, without confirmatory sample size consideration. All measurements are presented as means ± SEM. Statistical comparison between fasting and postprandial values and between groups was performed using the Student t test (paired and unpaired as appropriate); P < 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated for each pair of variables. Peak postprandial ADMA and nitrotyrosine response was defined as the maximum increase from baseline within 120 min after the uptake of the liquid meal.

RESULTS — Seven female and seven male patients with type 2 diabetes were included in the per-protocol analysis of the study. Mean ± SD age was 61.5 ± 6.7 years, duration of diabetes was 6.6 ± 4.6 years, A1C was 7.2 ± 0.5%, and BMI was 31.0 ± 3.4 kg/m².

As shown in Table 1, absolute plasma glucose levels and the time course of plasma glucose levels were comparable during all three kinds of insulin treatment. Because the active ingredient in VIAject is recombinant human regular insulin, human regular insulin and VIAject insulin were measured using the same insulin assay, whereas insulin lispro was measured with a lispro-specific assay, minimizing detection of endogenous insulin. Comparing VIAject with human insulin, plasma insulin levels showed a steeper increase within the first 60 min and a faster decrease from 60 min on-
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Table 1—Change from baseline in glucose, insulin, and ADMA at 10, 20, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min postprandial

| Treatment | Time (min) | Glucose (mg/dl) | Insulin (µU/ml) | ADMA (nmol/l) |
|-----------|------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| HI        | 10         | 5.4 ± 2.5      | 13.7 ± 3.6     | −3.3 ± 21.9  |
| LI        | 10         | 6.4 ± 4.7      | 6.8 ± 4.2      | −9.1 ± 18.8  |
| VJ        | 10         | 21.1 ± 4.9**†  | 20.5 ± 3.2     | 9.3 ± 30.3   |
| HI        | 20         | 19.7 ± 5.1     | 26.1 ± 5.2     | 97.7 ± 24.4  |
| LI        | 20         | 27.2 ± 9.4     | 17.1 ± 6.0     | 66.9 ± 33.9  |
| VJ        | 20         | 35.6 ± 4.7     | 42.7 ± 5.9*    | −27.3 ± 22.6**† |
| HI        | 30         | 33.6 ± 6.4     | 34.1 ± 5.7     | 27.1 ± 29.3  |
| LI        | 30         | 39.9 ± 10.5    | 21.8 ± 6.3     | −2.0 ± 35.8  |
| VJ        | 30         | 42.4 ± 7.3     | 45.1 ± 4.6     | 35.9 ± 37.6  |
| HI        | 60         | 60.8 ± 12.8    | 37.9 ± 6.1     | 14.1 ± 28.4  |
| LI        | 60         | 49.9 ± 7.4     | 24.8 ± 6.2     | 8.6 ± 38.3   |
| VJ        | 60         | 52.4 ± 10.2    | 47.2 ± 6.9     | 43.4 ± 37.1  |
| HI        | 120        | 34.6 ± 9.3     | 32.6 ± 2.8     | 3.1 ± 26.1   |
| LI        | 120        | 31.2 ± 11.6    | 16.8 ± 3.9     | −27.2 ± 34.4 |
| VJ        | 120        | 19.5 ± 8.8     | 31.3 ± 3.7     | −28.8 ± 33.9 |
| HI        | 180        | −7.3 ± 9.1     | 17.8 ± 2.4     | −18.2 ± 33.9 |
| LI        | 180        | −19.5 ± 15.3   | 1.4 ± 1.9      | −46.2 ± 27.8 |
| VJ        | 180        | −7.1 ± 9.7     | 16.0 ± 2.7     | −9.9 ± 24.6  |
| HI        | 240        | −37.9 ± 7.0    | 9.2 ± 1.3      | 48.2 ± 40.7  |
| LI        | 240        | −46.1 ± 10.8   | −4.5 ± 0.9     | −11.3 ± 33.7 |
| VJ        | 240        | −3.9 ± 6.8     | 4.8 ± 1.2*     | −94.3 ± 25.2* |

Data are means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 vs. human regular insulin. †P < 0.05 vs. insulin lispro. (Because they are measured with different assays, lispro vs. human insulin comparisons are not presented). HI, human insulin; LI, insulin lispro; VJ, VIAject.

wards. Because of the different assays used, quantitative comparisons among the insulin levels after insulin lispro and the human insulin formulations are not useful.

Postprandial plasma ADMA levels increased during treatment with human insulin and during treatment with insulin lispro (Table I). The maximal increase in plasma ADMA levels was found 20 min after the meal during human insulin and insulin lispro treatment, whereas no postprandial increase in ADMA levels was observed during VIAject treatment. Figure 1 shows the peak postprandial ADMA response (20 min postprandial) according to the three treatment conditions. The maximal increase in postprandial ADMA levels was significantly lower when VIAject was compared with human insulin but not with insulin lispro. In contrast, microvascular blood flow consistently increased during the first 60 min after the injection of VIAject (Table 2). A significantly larger increase in microvascular blood flow was found after VIAject compared with that after human regular insulin or insulin lispro during the first 60 min after injection. In parallel with microvascular skin blood flow, skin oxygenation temporarily declined after the injection of human regular insulin and insulin lispro, whereas a consistent increase in skin oxygenation could be observed after VIAject during 60 min after the test meal. Changes in skin microvascular blood flow were correlated with changes in skin oxygenation (r = 0.58, P < 0.0001).

All insulin formulations improved arterial elasticity as given by a decrease in the Aix with no differences observed among the insulin formulations.

CONCLUSIONS — Type 2 diabetes is associated with an increase in microand macrovascular complications. There is increasing evidence that postprandial metabolism including the generation of postprandial oxidative stress might predict vascular risk to a greater extent than A1C or fasting glucose values (14,15). Besides its role in the regulation of glucose metabolism, insulin has been shown to mediate important vascular effects by stimulating the endothelial secretion of nitric oxide (5,16). Recent studies suggest that the pharmacokinetic profile of subcutaneous insulin absorption might have an impact on the generation of postprandial oxidative stress and the development of endothelial dysfunction (9—11,17). Insulin may directly affect the generation or degradation of ADMA, and insulin resistance is associated with increased ADMA levels (18,19). ADMA has been identified as the major endogenous inhibitor of the physiological nitric oxide synthase system (20). Elevated ADMA levels cause endothelial nitric oxide synthase uncoupling, a mechanism that leads to decreased nitric oxide availability. A study by Fard et al.
Figure 2—Peak postprandial change in plasma nitrotyrosine levels *P < 0.05 vs. VIAject. HI, human insulin; LI, insulin lispro; VJ, VIAject.

Table 2—Change from baseline in nitrotyrosine, skin blood flow (LDF), skin oxygen tension (SO2), and pulse wave index (Aix) at 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min postprandial

| Treatment | Time (min) | Nitrotyrosine (µg/ml) | LDF (AU) | SO2 (%) | Aix (%) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| HI        | 30        | 0.25 ± 0.15           | -2.2 ± 2.5 | -2.1 ± 1.1 | -3.9 ± 1.4 |
| LI        | 30        | 0.09 ± 0.07           | -5.0 ± 4.5 | -2.4 ± 1.6 | -1.1 ± 1.6 |
| VJ        | 30        | -0.26 ± 0.17*         | 4.1 ± 2.4**† | 2.5 ± 2.3**† | -3.0 ± 2.2 |
| HI        | 60        | 0.22 ± 0.17           | 1.2 ± 3.1  | -1.9 ± 1.6 | -5.0 ± 1.1 |
| LI        | 60        | -0.05 ± 0.16          | 1.9 ± 7.6  | -2.5 ± 1.9 | -3.5 ± 1.4 |
| VJ        | 60        | -0.03 ± 0.20          | 7.1 ± 5.7  | 2.7 ± 2.4**† | -3.5 ± 1.8 |
| HI        | 120       | 0.22 ± 0.23           | 5.4 ± 2.2  | 0.4 ± 1.2  | -2.6 ± 1.8 |
| LI        | 120       | 0.16 ± 0.18           | 0.5 ± 3.5  | 1.4 ± 1.7  | -0.3 ± 1.7 |
| VJ        | 120       | 0.00 ± 0.22           | 3.1 ± 5.0  | 0.6 ± 2.4  | -2.8 ± 1.5 |
| HI        | 180       | 0.06 ± 0.24           | 4.8 ± 2.9  | 0.8 ± 1.5  | -0.9 ± 1.3 |
| LI        | 180       | -0.06 ± 0.22          | -1.2 ± 7.3 | -0.6 ± 2.1 | 2.6 ± 1.7 |
| VJ        | 180       | -0.16 ± 0.17          | 4.5 ± 7.6  | -0.8 ± 2.2 | 1.6 ± 2.4 |
| HI        | 240       | 0.16 ± 0.24           | 1.1 ± 3.3  | 1.2 ± 1.2  | -0.4 ± 1.2 |
| LI        | 240       | -0.07 ± 0.23          | 1.4 ± 6.7  | -1.2 ± 1.4 | 1.1 ± 1.2 |
| VJ        | 240       | -0.26 ± 0.18          | 9.1 ± 9.4  | -0.8 ± 1.8 | 0.8 ± 2.2 |

Data are means ± SEM. *P < 0.05 vs. human regular insulin. †P < 0.05 vs. insulin lispro. AU, arbitrary units; HI, human insulin; LI, insulin lispro; VJ, VIAject.
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tion reflects the effects that would be seen with chronic dosing. Further studies with larger patient populations and longer observational periods are necessary to ascertain the clinical impact of the results obtained in this short-term mechanistic study. The study did not include a healthy control group. Therefore, no conclusion can be made as to what degree the insulin treatments were able to normalize postprandial oxidative stress and endothelial function in type 2 diabetic patients.
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