Fashionably late: Temporal regulation of HSV-1 late gene transcription
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Introduction

Herpes simplex virus type-1 (HSV-1) is an alpha herpesvirus that infects over 60% of the human population [1]. Infection results in a variety of disease manifestations, including cold sores, encephalitis, and keratitis. The HSV-1 genome contains approximately 152 kb of double-stranded DNA and includes over 80 genes [2,3], which are sequentially transcribed by cellular RNA polymerase II (Pol II) [4,5]. Recently, studies using direct RNA sequencing, long-read sequencing, and ribosome profiling have revealed the transcriptional complexity of the HSV-1 genome and demonstrate that the genome actually contains over 200 open reading frames [6–8]. Viral genes are classified into 4 groups depending on their expression kinetics, including immediate early (α), early (β), leaky-late (γ₁), and true late (γ₂) genes. HSV-1 DNA replication is a key point in the infectious cycle, as it enables γ₂ and amplifies γ₁ transcription [9,10]. Below, we discuss the studies that defined the 4 HSV-1 gene classes and examine how viral DNA replication may facilitate a switch to regulate γ₁/γ₂ gene transcription. Although the HSV-1 gene expression cascade was identified over 40 years ago, high-throughput sequencing approaches continue to reveal new insight into how each gene class is regulated [11–15].

Temporal and replication-dependent expression of HSV-1 genes

HSV-1 genes are temporally expressed during lytic infection. Taking advantage of synchronous infection during high multiplicity infection, the temporal expression of viral genes was previously characterized (Fig 1). Early studies demonstrated that α and β polypeptide levels peak between 3 to 4 and 5 to 7 hours post infection (hpi), respectively [16]. γ polypeptides are detected after 3 hpi, and levels continue to increase up to 12 hpi. More recently, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was used to measure HSV-1 mRNA levels throughout lytic infection [11]. α mRNAs are detected by 1 hpi and, in general, peak around 4 hpi. β mRNAs are detected by 2 hpi and decline after the onset of viral DNA replication (between 3 to 4 hpi). γ₁ mRNA is expressed around 2 hpi, and expression is amplified by viral DNA replication. Additionally, γ₂ mRNA is detected following the onset of DNA replication, with increasing levels observed through 16 hpi. The exact timing of the virus life cycle may vary depending on the cell type.

Further experiments were conducted to define the expression requirements of each gene class. It was found that transcription of α genes does not require de novo viral protein synthesis [16], indicating that cellular proteins and proteins brought into the cell with the infecting virion are sufficient to stimulate α gene expression. On the other hand, both β and γ protein synthesis depend on α protein expression [9,16], including the major viral transcription factor ICP4 [17]. Treatment of infected cells with viral DNA synthesis inhibitors results in the selective inhibition of γ viral gene expression [18–20]. γ₁ transcripts are expressed in the absence of viral DNA replication and levels increase in a replication-dependent manner [12]. It is possible
that this occurs because an increased number of viral genomes within the cell are available to serve as additional templates for transcription. \( \gamma_2 \) transcription, however, is dependent on viral DNA replication, and viral transcripts are not detectable in the absence of viral DNA replication. This implies that replication results in a switch to enable their transcription. Taken together, these observations indicate that the HSV-1 gene expression cascade is highly coordinated during lytic infection.

**Classification of HSV-1 late genes**

RNA-seq was used to globally classify which viral mRNAs are expressed in a replication-dependent manner by comparing expression of viral genes between HSV-1 lab strain KOS and a UL30 (HSV-1 DNA polymerase) mutant that is defective for viral DNA synthesis [12]. Twenty-two \( \gamma_1 \) mRNAs and 16 \( \gamma_2 \) mRNAs were identified as either having decreased (\( \gamma_1 \)) or negligible (\( \gamma_2 \)) expression during infection with the UL30 mutant compared to the lab strain (Table 1). These results are consistent with previous reports, reviewed in [21], with the exception that UL42 was more recently classified as a \( \gamma_1 \), rather than a \( \beta \) gene [12]. In general, \( \alpha \) genes encode proteins involved in viral transcription regulation, \( \beta \) genes encode proteins that
facilitate viral genome replication, and γ1 and γ2 genes encode factors involved in virion assembly and exit. Taken together, the regulatory cascade synthesizes viral proteins in an as needed manner during the HSV-1 infectious cycle.

Initial rounds of HSV-1 DNA replication are sufficient to license late viral gene expression

An important question is whether ongoing DNA replication is continuously required for γ genes to be expressed. To address this, viral DNA replication was inhibited with acyclovir at 0,

| Gene class | Gene name | Protein identity | Function                        |
|------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Leaky late (γ1) | UL18 | VP23 | Capsid proteins |
| | UL19 | VPS/ICP5 | |
| | UL35 | VP26 | |
| | UL26.5 | UL26.5 | Capsid scaffold protein |
| | UL32 | UL32 | Packaging protein |
| | UL21 | UL21 | Tegument proteins |
| | UL36 | UL36 | |
| | UL41 | VHS | |
| | UL46 | VP11/12 | |
| | UL48 | VP16 | |
| | UL49 | VP22 | |
| | US9 | US9 | |
| | US10 | US10 | |
| | UL27 | gB | Membrane glycoproteins |
| | US4 | gG | |
| | US6 | gD | |
| | US7 | gI | |
| | US8 | gE | |
| | UL45 | UL45 | Integral membrane protein |
| | UL11 | UL11 | Egress proteins |
| | UL34 | UL34 | |
| | UL42 | UL42 | DNA polymerase processivity factor |
| Late (γ2) | UL38 | VP19c | Capsid protein |
| | UL25 | UL25 | Packaging protein |
| | UL37 | UL37 | Capsid assembly proteins |
| | UL3 | UL3 | Tegument proteins |
| | UL16 | UL16 | |
| | UL47 | VP13/14 | |
| | UL51 | UL51 | |
| | US2 | US2 | |
| | US11 | Vmw21 | |
| | UL1 | gL | Membrane glycoproteins |
| | UL10 | gM | |
| | UL44 | gC | |
| | UL49A | gN | |
| | US5 | gJ | |
| | UL31 | UL31 | Egress protein |

Table 1. Classification of leaky late and late genes, along with their general functions [12].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010536.t001
2, 3, 4, or 6 hpi, and the effects on viral gene expression were determined by RNA-seq at 12 hpi [22]. If acyclovir was added before the onset of viral DNA replication (0 or 2 hpi), genome replication did not occur and γ2 mRNAs were not expressed. However, if acyclovir was added at 3 or 4 hpi, allowing for 1 to 2 rounds of viral DNA replication as measured by real-time PCR to quantify viral genome number, γ2 mRNA levels observed at 12 hpi were similar to an untreated control. These data may indicate that DNA replication is not continuously required for γ2 gene expression, and that the alterations that enable their expression occur during initial rounds of replication. It is possible that alterations in viral chromatin or the architecture of the viral genome enable this switch. However, it was recently demonstrated that although chromatin dynamics dictate the transcriptional competency during the onset of lytic infection, it does not appear to play a role in regulating the temporal expression of individual classes of viral genes [13,14].

Transcription factors associate with nascent viral DNA

Experiments conducted to identify proteins that interact with the HSV-1 genome during viral replication reveal insight into the potential mechanism through which γ gene expression is regulated. Using an adaptation of iPOND (isolation of proteins on nascent DNA), replicating viral DNA was pulse labeled with the nucleoside analog 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxycytidine (EdC) to enable subsequent tagging and purification of replicated viral DNA, followed by the identification of associated proteins by mass spectrometry [22]. In addition to the viral replication machinery, cellular Pol II and transcription regulatory proteins were enriched on EdC-labeled viral replication forks.

iPOND was also used to compare the relative enrichment of individual proteins at viral replication forks with that of nascent viral DNA post replication [22]. For this experiment, an EdC pulse followed by a chase with 2′-deoxycytidine was conducted. This enabled purification of EdC-labeled viral DNA during (pulse) or post (chase) replication. The Mediator complex and the basal transcription factors TFIIID and TATA binding protein (TBP) were more enriched on pulse-labeled viral DNA than chased. Pol II and ICP4 were equally enriched on both populations of nascent viral DNA. Additionally, factors involved in cotranscriptional RNA processing were more enriched on chased DNA. The relative enrichment of promoter-binding factors including Mediator, TBP, and TFIIID on pulse-labeled DNA; ICP4 and Pol II on pulse-labeled and chased DNA; and RNA processing factors on chased DNA may indicate that the act of DNA replication enables transcription factor binding to promoters, resulting in transcription initiation.

Late gene promoters and transcription factor binding

Transcription reporters have been used to map key regions of HSV-1 γ2 gene promoters that are necessary for replication-dependent transcription (Fig 2). The region immediately upstream from the transcription start site and another region downstream in the 5′ untranslated region of the gene are responsible for replication-dependent expression of representative γ2 genes [23–26]. This region contains a TATA box, initiator (Inr) element, and downstream activation sequence (DAS), which help to facilitate TBP and TFIIID binding to γ2 gene promoters in an ICP4-dependent manner [12,13,27–29]. The structure of γ1 genes are less well understood, but representative promoters contain SP1 binding sites, a TATA box, and an Inr element [30]. It is likely that the difference between the cis elements of γ1 and γ2 promoters contributes to the differences in their dependence on DNA replication.

To better understand the mechanisms behind HSV-1 gene expression, chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) was used to map TFIIID, TBP, and Pol II
binding to viral DNA before and after replication [12]. Acyclovir was also used to investigate transcription factor binding in the absence of viral DNA replication. The binding of TBP and TFIID subunit TAF1, 2 general transcription factors that recruit Pol II to $\gamma_2$ promoters, was enabled by viral DNA replication. TBP binds to the TATA box sequence and TAF1 was enriched near the Inr element of $\gamma_2$ viral genes after the onset of viral DNA replication. In the absence of DNA replication, Pol II was deficient on the promoters and bodies of $\gamma_2$ genes [12,15]. A single genome duplication event was sufficient to recruit TBP, TAF1, and Pol II to $\gamma_2$ genes[12], consistent with previous findings [22]. Additionally, continuous ICP4 expression is required for $\gamma$ gene expression [13]. These data suggest that DNA replication induces a change to the viral genome architecture, thus increasing the availability of silent $\gamma_2$ gene promoters to general transcription factors resulting in robust transcription.

Using ChIP-Seq, it was found that prior to DNA replication, ICP4 coats the HSV-1 genome at a high density [13]. Following replication, ICP4 is less abundant on the genome, as an increasing number of genomes may compete for the limited amount of ICP4 within the cell. How changes to ICP4 binding to viral DNA before and after the onset of replication facilitate $\gamma_2$ gene transcription is not completely understood. One possibility is that ICP4 recruits Mediator to $\gamma_2$ genes before the onset of viral DNA replication in a form that may inhibit transcription (Fig 2). In support of this model, Mediator containing the kinase domain has been found to copurify with ICP4 [31]. In addition, in the EdC pulse-chase studies described above, Med12 and Med13 subunits of the Mediator complex associated with replicated viral DNA decreased substantially with increasing time after EdC labeling [22]. These subunits are part of the kinase domain, which blocks Mediator interaction with Pol II to inhibit transcription initiation. Replication may induce a change in the form of Mediator associated with viral DNA enabling a transcriptional switch.

Remaining questions

The coupling of HSV-1 $\gamma_2$ gene expression to DNA replication is an intriguing concept with several remaining questions. Interestingly, other viruses such as the T4 bacteriophage also
couple the expression of late genes to DNA replication [32]. Although unlike HSV-1, continuous DNA replication is necessary. It is currently unknown why initial rounds of DNA replication enable the expression of HSV-1 $\gamma_2$ genes and what specific alterations to the viral genome allow this to occur. As mentioned above, there is likely an alteration to the genome architecture following viral DNA replication that enables a distinct change in the transcriptional competence of the genome. Understanding the details of this change will further define how $\gamma_2$ gene expression is enabled. It remains to be determined how the abundance of ICP4 or other forms of viral chromatin on the genome contribute to this switch or if other modifications of the genome, such as the repair of nicks and gaps or the formation of recombination intermediates, are involved. While Fig 2 is a proposed model of how $\gamma_2$ genes are regulated, it does not rule out the involvement of additional factors or alternate mechanisms. Another interesting observation is that during reactivation from latency, $\gamma_2$ genes can be expressed independent of both prerequisite viral proteins and DNA replication [33,34]. It is unknown what contributes to these differences, but understanding the regulation of HSV-1 gene expression during latency and reactivation could potentially provide insight into factors that contribute to the regulation of $\gamma_2$ genes. While there is significant progress made toward understanding the coupling of HSV-1 $\gamma$ gene expression with viral DNA replication, there are several remaining paths for future investigation.
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