Refractive index sensing with temperature compensation by a multimode-interference fiber-based optical frequency comb sensing cavity

RYO OE,1,2 TAKEO MINAMIKAWA,2,3,4 SHUJI TAUE,5 HIDENORI KORESAWA,1,2 TAKAHIKO MIZUNO,2,3,4 MASATOMO YAMAGIWA,2,3,4 YASUHIRO MIZUTANI,2,6 HIROTSUGU YAMAMOTO,2,7 TETSUO IWATA,2,4 AND TAKESHI YASUI2,3,4

1Graduate School of Advanced Technology and Science, Tokushima University, 2-1, Minami-Josanjima, Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan
2JST, ERATO, MINOSHIMA Intelligent Optical Synthesizer Project, 2-1, Minami-Josanjima, Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan
3Institute of Post-LED Photonics (pLED), Tokushima University, 2-1, Minami-Josanjima, Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan
4Graduate School of Technology, Industrial and Social Sciences, Tokushima University, 2-1, Minami-Josanjima, Tokushima, Tokushima 770-8506, Japan
5School of System Engineering, Kochi University of Technology, 185 Miyanokuchi, Tosayamada, Kami, Kochi 782-8502, Japan
6Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1, Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan
7Center for Optical Research and Education, Utsunomiya University, 7-1-2, Yoto, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 321-8585, Japan

*yasui.takeshi@tokushima-u.ac.jp

Abstract: We proposed a refractive index (RI) sensing method with temperature compensation by using an optical frequency comb (OFC) sensing cavity including a multimode-interference (MMI) fiber, namely, the MMI-OFC sensing cavity. The MMI-OFC sensing cavity enables simultaneous measurement of material-dependent RI and sample temperature by decoding from the comb spacing frequency shift and the wavelength shift of the OFC. We realized the simultaneous and continuous measurement of RI-related concentration of a liquid sample and its temperature with precisions of $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ RIU and 0.08 °C. The proposed method would be a useful means for the various applications based on RI sensing.
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1. Introduction

Refractive index (RI) is an important physical quantity of materials. Furthermore, RI is a useful parameter for the characterization and identification of materials, such as for the determination of the concentrations of liquid solutions [1], molecular identification [2] and biosensing [3]. The fiber-based RI sensor is a powerful means for RI measurement because of its compactness, simplicity, flexibility, noise robustness and availability in various environments and has been applied in many applications in sucrose sensing [4], gas pressure sensing [5] and biomolecule sensing [6]. In the conventional RI fiber sensors, which are based, for example, on surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [7], interference with a core-offset fiber [8] or multimode interference (MMI) [9], the change of RI is measured by a shift of transmitted optical spectrum. However, the sensitivity of the conventional RI sensing is limited due to the relatively broad bandwidth of a spectral dip (or peak) and spectral resolution of a spectrometer.
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To overcome this issue, RI fiber sensors based on radio-frequency (RF) signal measurement have been developed, in which the RI is retrieved by an RI-dependent optical beat signal generated using, for example, fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) [10], Fabry-Perot interferometers [11], and chip-scale microring resonators [12]. To obtain a high-quality optical beat signal for highly sensitive RI measurement, we recently proposed an optical-frequency comb (OFC)-based RI sensor [13,14]. The OFC [15–17] is regarded as a group of a vast number of phase-locked narrow-linewidth continuous-wave (CW) light sources with a constant frequency spacing of \( f_{\text{rep}} \) (typically, 50–100 MHz) over a broad spectral range. The OFC is widely applied for high precision spectroscopy or measurement; for instance, atomic spectroscopy [17], gas spectroscopy [18], solid spectroscopy [19], spectroscopic ellipsometry [20], strain sensing [21,22], ultrasonic-wave sensing [23], distance measurement [24,25], optical microscopy [26–29], and 3D shape measurement [30]. The OFC further has an interesting feature: a photonic RF conversion function in a fiber OFC cavity [13,14,22,23]. Our previous study [13,14] revealed that the OFC-based RI sensing can achieve an RI resolution of \( 4.88 \times 10^{-6} \) RIU and RI accuracy of \( 5.35 \times 10^{-5} \) RIU by the combination with an MMI fiber sensor incorporated in the OFC cavity, namely, an MMI-OFC sensing cavity, which has accomplished an equivalent or higher sensitivity compared to the conventional RI-sensing fiber sensor.

Although the highly sensitive RI measurement was realized based on the MMI-OFC sensing cavity, further improvements of the sensitivity and stability of RI measurement was expected if the sample temperature fluctuation was stabilized or monitored. This is because the RI of a sample is dependent on the temperature of the sample, and the instability of the fiber structure at the sensing region due to temperature fluctuations led to the instability of RI measurement with the MMI-OFC sensing cavity.

In this article, to improve the RI measurement with the MMI-OFC sensing cavity, we realized simultaneous measurement of temperature with the same configuration of MMI-OFC-sensing cavity for RI measurement by simultaneous monitoring of an optical spectral shift in the optical frequency region and a comb spacing shift in the RF region of the OFC. We confirmed the fundamental sensitivity of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity depending on sample temperature and RI-related concentration of a liquid sample. Furthermore, we demonstrated simultaneous and continuous measurement of temperature and RI-related concentration of a liquid sample.

2. Principle of operation

Figure 1 shows the configuration of an MMI-OFC sensing cavity. The MMI-OFC sensing cavity is comprised of a fiber OFC ring cavity with an intracavity MMI fiber sensor. The MMI fiber sensor is composed of a clad-less multi-mode fiber (MMF) with a pair of single-mode fibers (SMFs) at the two ends [9], and has good compatibility with fiber OFC cavities [13,14]. The intracavity MMI fiber sensor acts as a RI-dependent tunable optical bandpass filter at the multi-mode interference wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{MMF}} \). \( \lambda_{\text{MMF}} \) is given by

\[
\lambda_{\text{MMF}} = \frac{n_{\text{MMF}} m}{L_{\text{MMF}}} \left[ D_{\text{MMF}}(n_{\text{sam}}) \right]^2,
\]

where \( n_{\text{MMF}} \) is the RI of the MMF, \( L_{\text{MMF}} \) is the geometrical length of the MMF, \( n_{\text{sam}} \) is the RI of the target sample, \( D_{\text{MMF}}(n_{\text{sam}}) \) is the effective core diameter of the MMF with a function of \( n_{\text{sam}} \), and \( m \) is the interference index of the MMI fiber sensor. Thus, the optical spectrum of the OFC shows the RI-dependent shift owing to the intracavity MMI fiber sensor, namely, \( \lambda_{\text{MMF}} \) shift (\( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMF}} \)).

On the other hand, the OFC exhibits the comb-tooth-like ultradiscrete multimode spectrum with a constant frequency spacing \( f_{\text{rep}} \) given by
where \( c \) is the speed of light in vacuum, \( n \) is the group RI of the cavity fiber, and \( L \) is the geometrical length of the fiber cavity. Since \( f_{\text{rep}} \) is determined by the optical cavity length \( nL \), it is sensitive to the cavity disturbance changing \( nL \); in other words, \( f_{\text{rep}} \) can be used for quantitative analysis of a physical quantity acting as the cavity disturbance. The intracavity MMI fiber sensor will change the optical cavity length at the intracavity MMI fiber sensor and the group RI of the cavity fiber owing to the spectral shift of the OFC, leading to the RI-dependent \( f_{\text{rep}} \) shift, namely, \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \).

\( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) are shifted by a temperature change of a sample because the temperature change leads to a change of sample RI and/or changes of RI and geometrical shape in the MMI sensor fiber. Thus, by observing \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \), we consider realizing the simultaneous measurement of the RI and sample temperature as follows,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta f_{\text{rep}} \\
\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_{\text{rep}}}{\partial C} & \frac{\partial f_{\text{rep}}}{\partial T} \\
\frac{\partial \lambda_{\text{MMI}}}{\partial C} & \frac{\partial \lambda_{\text{MMI}}}{\partial T}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta C \\
\Delta T
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \Delta C \) and \( \Delta T \) are RI-related sample parameters, i.e., sample concentration and temperature, respectively. The coefficient matrix is comprised of the slope coefficients between \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} \) or \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) and \( \Delta C \) or \( \Delta T \). From Eq. (3), if the coefficient matrix is regular, one can determine \( \Delta C \) and \( \Delta T \) from the simultaneous measurement of \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} \) and \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) and the inverse matrix calculation of them.

To demonstrate the MMI-OFC sensing cavity with the temperature compensated RI sensing, a custom-built ring-cavity erbium-doped fiber (EDF) laser with an intracavity MMI fiber sensor, which was mode-locked by a nonlinear polarization rotation technique, was employed as an OFC generator (the fundamental comb spacing or repetition rate, 43 MHz; the center wavelength, 1555 nm; and the spectral bandwidth, 15 nm). The intracavity MMI-fiber sensor was made with a core-less multimode fiber (MMF, the core diameter, 125 \( \mu \)m; the length, 58 mm, FG125LA, Thorlabs) spliced with single-mode fibers (SMF-28-100, Thorlabs) at each terminal. The intracavity MMI-fiber sensor was sunk in a liquid sample with a glass tube. The glass tube had an inlet port and an outlet port to externally change and stir the liquid sample. \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} \) or \( \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \) were obtained with an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA, AQ6315A, Yokogawa Electric Corp.), an RF spectrum analyzer (RFSA, E4402B, Keysight Technologies), or an RF frequency counter (53230A, Keysight Technologies).
3. Results

3.1 Temperature dependencies of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ in the MMI-OFC sensing cavity

We first investigated $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ with respect to different sample temperatures. The ethanol/water solution (ethanol concentration of 0–15% v/v with interval of 5% v/v) was used for samples with different temperatures (26.5–29.0 °C with interval of 0.5 °C). The same experiment was repeated for 3 sets of ethanol/water samples with each temperature, and their standard deviations under each of the samples’ temperatures were determined.

Figure 2(a) shows the temperature-dependent optical spectra of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity for the ethanol concentration of 0% v/v. Figure 2(b) shows the relation between sample temperature and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ with respect to different ethanol concentrations. We confirmed the temperature-dependent increase of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$. The slope coefficients between temperature and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ were determined to be 0.016 nm/°C for 0% v/v, 0.022 nm/°C for 5% v/v, 0.021 nm/°C for 10% v/v, and 0.018 nm/°C for 15% v/v. Thus, the mean slope coefficient, namely, $\partial \Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}} / \partial T$, was obtained to be 0.020 nm/°C. The accuracy of the temperature-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ was $3.9 \times 10^{-3}$ nm, which was defined by the root mean square error between the measured plots and its fitting line in Fig. 2(b).

Figure 2(c) shows the temperature-dependent RF spectra of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity for the ethanol concentration of 0% v/v. Figure 2(d) shows the relation between sample temperature and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ with respect to different ethanol concentrations. We confirmed the temperature-dependent decrease of $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$. The slope coefficients between temperature and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ were determined to be $-18.01 \text{ Hz/°C}$ for 0% v/v, $-23.63 \text{ Hz/°C}$ for 5% v/v, $-19.74 \text{ Hz/°C}$ for 10% v/v, and $-19.00 \text{ Hz/°C}$ for 15% v/v, respectively. Thus, the mean slope coefficient, namely, $\partial \Delta f_{\text{rep}} / \partial T$, was found to be $-20.46 \text{ Hz/°C}$. An opposite sign of slope coefficient between the temperature-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ was obtained. The accuracy of the temperature-dependent $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ was 1.17 Hz, which was defined by the root mean square error between the measured plots and its fitting line in Fig. 2(d).
3.2 RI-related concentration dependencies of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ in the MMI-OFC sensing cavity

We next investigated $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ with respect to material-dependent RI for which the different ethanol concentrations of the liquid sample were used. The ethanol/water solution (ethanol concentrations of 0–15% v/v with interval of 3% v/v) was used for samples with different temperatures (26.0–29.0 °C with interval of 1 °C). The same experiment was repeated for 3 sets of ethanol/water samples with each concentration, and their standard deviations were determined for each of the sample concentrations.

Figure 3(a) shows the concentration-dependent optical spectra of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity at a constant temperature of 26 °C. Figure 3(b) shows the relation between sample concentration and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$ with respect to different sample temperatures. We confirmed the concentration-dependent increase of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$. The slope coefficients between concentration and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$ were determined to be 0.029 nm%/v/v for 26.0 °C, 0.034 nm%/v/v for 27.0 °C, 0.028 nm%/v/v for 28.0 °C, and 0.035 nm%/v/v for 29.0 °C. Thus, the mean slope coefficient, namely, $\partial \Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}} / \partial C$, was obtained to be 0.0315 nm%/v/v. The accuracy of the concentration-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MM}}$ was $8.7 \times 10^{-3}$ nm, which was defined by the root mean square error between the measured plots and its fitting line in Fig. 3(b).

Figure 3(c) shows the concentration-dependent RF spectra of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity at a constant temperature of 26 °C. Figure 3(d) shows the relation between sample...
concentration and $\Delta f_{rep}$ with respect to different sample temperatures. We confirmed the concentration-dependent decrease of $\Delta f_{rep}$. The slope coefficients between concentration and $\Delta f_{rep}$ were determined to be $-3.10$ Hz/% v/v for 26.0 °C, $-2.66$ Hz/% v/v for 27.0 °C, $-3.05$ Hz/% v/v for 28.0 °C, and $-3.03$ Hz/% v/v for 29.0 °C. Thus, the mean slope coefficient, namely, $\frac{\partial f_{rep}}{\partial C}$, was obtained to be $-2.96$ Hz/% v/v. An opposite sign of the slope coefficient between the concentration-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{MMI}$ and $\Delta f_{rep}$ was confirmed again. The accuracy of the concentration-dependent $\Delta f_{rep}$ was 0.85 Hz, which was defined by the root mean square error between the measured plots and its fitting line in Fig. 3(d).

According to the results of the temperature and RI-related concentration dependencies, we can estimate the precision of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity. The precision of the temperature measurement was 0.08°C, which is estimated from Eq. (3) by applying the accuracies of $\Delta \lambda_{MMI}$ of 3.9 × 10$^{-3}$ nm and $\Delta f_{rep}$ of 1.17 Hz obtained by Figs. 2(b) and 2(d). The precision of the RI-related concentration measurements with the MMI-OFC sensing cavity was estimated to be 0.34% v/v corresponding to $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ RIU, which is estimated from Eq. (3) by applying the accuracies of $\Delta \lambda_{MMI}$ of 8.7 × 10$^{-3}$ nm and $\Delta f_{rep}$ of 0.85 Hz obtained by Figs. 3(b) and 3(d). This result indicates that the MMI-OFC sensing cavity has the potential for RI sensing with temperature compensation with high precision.

Fig. 3. Concentration-dependent optical spectral shift and RF spectral shift. (a) Optical spectral shift with respect to sample ethanol-concentration change. (b) Ethanol-concentration sensitivity of optical spectrum with respect to different sample temperature. (c) RF spectral shift with respect to ethanol-concentration change. (d) Ethanol-concentration sensitivity of RF spectrum with respect to different sample temperature.
3.3 Simultaneous measurement of temperature and concentration of a liquid sample

To highlight the proposed method, we demonstrated simultaneous measurement of temperature and RI-related concentration of an ethanol/water solution.

We first increase the temperature of a sample stepwise from 26.5 °C to 29.0 °C by a heater attached to the liquid sample tube under a constant ethanol concentration of 0% v/v. We also gently stirred the sample by flowing it in and out using a syringe after changing the temperature to achieve a uniform temperature of the sample. As shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), we clearly confirmed the temperature-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ increase and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ decrease. Then, we determined $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ by substituting the measured $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ for Eq. (3) as shown by the blue line in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). The determined $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ values well reflect their actual changes: stable concentration within 1% v/v and step-by-step increase of temperature by 0.5 °C within the deviation of below 0.3 °C from the actual change. If the temperature compensation was not performed, in which the change of sample RI was determined with only $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$, the estimation error of $\Delta C$ was degraded to 16.9% v/v by the temperature change from 26.5 °C to 29.0 °C, as shown by the green line in Fig. 4(c).

Fig. 4. Simultaneous measurement of temperature and RI-related concentration of an ethanol/water solution under the variable temperature (26.5-29.0°C) and the constant ethanol concentration (0% v/v). (a) Temporal behavior of the $\lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ shift. (b) Temporal behavior of $f_{\text{rep}}$ shift. (c) Temporal behavior of the sample concentration change estimated by using only $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ (green line) and by using both the $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ via Eq. (3) (blue line). (d) Temporal behavior...
of the sample temperature change estimated by using both the $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ and $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ via Eq. (3) (blue line). The red line in Fig. 4(d) indicates the setting temperature of the ethanol/water solution. The arrows indicate the times at which the temperature was changed. The arrow heads indicate the times at which the sample was stirred.

We next increase the sample concentration stepwise from 0% v/v to 15% v/v by adding ethanol with a pipette under a constant temperature (26.0 °C). We also gently stirred the sample by flowing it in and out using a syringe after the ethanol application to achieve a uniform sample concentration. As shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), we clearly confirmed the concentration-dependent $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ increase and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ decrease. Then, we determined $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ by substituting the measured $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ for Eq. (3) as shown by the blue line in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d). The determined $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ values again well reflect their actual changes. The measurement stability was approximately 1% v/v in the concentration estimation and below 0.2 °C in the temperature estimation. Importantly, even in the fixed temperature condition, the temperature instability due to the limited control capability of the sample temperature can be compensated by using the proposed method.

![Fig. 5. Simultaneous measurement of temperature and RI-related concentration of an ethanol/water solution under the constant temperature (26.0°C) and the variable ethanol concentration (0-15% v/v). (a) Temporal behavior of the $\lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ shift. (b) Temporal behavior of $f_{\text{rep}}$ shift. (c) Temporal behavior of the estimated sample concentration change (blue line). The red line in Fig. 5(c) indicates the actual concentration of the ethanol/water solution. (d) Temporal behavior of the estimated sample temperature change. The arrows indicate the times](image)
at which the ethanol was applied. The arrow heads indicate the times at which the sample was
stirred.

We finally changed both the concentration and temperature of a sample. The protocol of
the experiment is as follows:

(1) The ethanol concentration and temperature were initially set to 0% v/v and 27.0 °C,
respectively.

(2) The ethanol concentration and temperature were simultaneously increased by 2.5%
v/v and 0.5 °C, respectively.

(3) The ethanol concentration and temperature were simultaneously increased by 2.5%
v/v and decreased by 0.5 °C, respectively.

(4) The ethanol concentration and temperature were simultaneously increased by 2.5%
v/v and 1.0 °C, respectively.

(5) The ethanol concentration and temperature were simultaneously increased by 2.5%
v/v and decreased by 0.5 °C, respectively.

These changes were sensitively reflected in $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$, as shown in Figs. 6(a) and
6(b), respectively. By using Eq. (3), $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ were determined, as shown by the blue line in
Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). Both $\Delta C$ and $\Delta T$ could be determined with reasonable precisions even
with the simultaneous changes in sample temperature and concentration. These results
indicated the feasibility of the MMI-OFC sensing cavity for the temperature-compensated RI
sensing or the simultaneous measurement of the material-depended RI and the sample
temperature.
4. Discussion

In the proposed MMI-OFC sensing cavity, the RI sensing with temperature compensation was realized by observing the optical spectral shift $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and the RF spectral shift $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$. Our finding is that the material-dependent RI and the sample temperature can change both the $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$, although with different slope coefficients. First, we discuss the detection mechanism to corroborate the regularity of the slope coefficient matrix in Eq. (3). The concentration of the sample can change the RI of the sample. The temperature of the sample can change not only the RI of the sample via the thermo-optic effect but also the RI of the MMF, the length of the MMF and the diameter of the MMF via the thermo-optic effect or the thermal expansion effect. Thus, the partial derivatives of $\lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ against concentration and temperature are given by,
In contrast, as shown in Eq. (2), the repetition frequency \( f_{rep} \) is a function of cavity parameters, including the geometrical length of the fiber cavity, which would be modulated by the diameter and the length of the MMF, and the RI of the fiber cavity. The repetition frequency \( f_{rep} \) is also a function of the RI of the sample because the RI-dependent wavelength shift of the MMI fiber sensor must change the optical length of the cavity via the wavelength dispersion of the cavity fiber. In the same manner as the interference wavelength \( \lambda_{MFI} \), the partial derivatives of \( f_{rep} \) against concentration and temperature are given by,

\[
\frac{\partial f_{rep}}{\partial C} = \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial f_{rep}}{\partial T} = \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dT} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{MFI}} \frac{dn_{MFI}}{dT} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dL_{MFI}} \frac{dL_{MFI}}{dT} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dD_{MFI}} \frac{dD_{MFI}}{dT}.
\]

Therefore, the discriminant equation of the coefficient matrix of Eq. (3) can be expressed with Eqs. (4)-(7) as follows,

\[
D = \frac{df_{rep}}{dC} \frac{d\lambda_{MFI}}{dT} \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \left[ \left( \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{d\lambda_{MFI}}{dT} - \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{MFI}} \frac{d\lambda_{MFI}}{dT} \right) \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \right] \frac{d\lambda_{MFI}}{dT} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \left[ \left( \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dL_{MFI}}{dT} - \frac{df_{rep}}{dL_{MFI}} \frac{dL_{MFI}}{dT} \right) \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \right] \frac{dL_{MFI}}{dT} + \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \left[ \left( \frac{df_{rep}}{dn_{sam}} \frac{dD_{MFI}}{dT} - \frac{df_{rep}}{dD_{MFI}} \frac{dD_{MFI}}{dT} \right) \frac{dn_{sam}}{dC} \right] \frac{dD_{MFI}}{dT}.
\]

According to the discriminant equation of the coefficient matrix, the importance of the detection mechanism is the presence of the temperature dependent changes of the MMI fiber sensor, i.e., the RI, the geometrical length and the diameter of the MMF. Since our results indicated that the discriminant equation is not 0, in other words, the slope coefficient matrix is regular, the temperature dependent MMF change was effectively utilized for the simultaneous measurement of the RI sensing with temperature compensation using the MMI-OFC sensing cavity.

We also discuss the validity of the slope coefficients of the \( \Delta \lambda_{MFI} \) and the \( \Delta f_{rep} \). These slope coefficients are determined by the concentration-dependent and temperature-dependent change of sample RI \( n_{sam} \). In general, it is known that the water/ethanol mixture shows a concentration-dependent RI change on the order of \( 5 \times 10^{-4} \) (RIU/% v/v) [31] and temperature-dependent RI change on the order of \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) (RIU/°C) [32]. Therefore, these RI changes are comparable to each other, as shown in our results. In our previous research, the RI sensitivity of the MMI sensor used in this experiment was 130.3 nm/RIU [13]. From these values, the concentration-dependent and temperature-dependent \( \Delta \lambda_{MFI} \) slopes are estimated as 0.061 nm/% v/v and 0.013 nm/°C, which are in reasonable agreement with the experimental data. An RI sensitivity of \( -6.19 \times 10^{3} \) Hz/RIU was determined by RI-dependent \( \Delta \lambda_{MFI} \) and the wavelength dispersion of the cavity fiber. Therefore, a concentration-dependent \( \Delta f_{rep} \) with
slope coefficient of $-2.90 \text{ Hz%/v/v}$ was estimated, which is comparable to the experimental value ($= -2.96 \text{ Hz%/v/v}$). In the same manner, a temperature-dependent $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ with slope coefficient of $-10.72 \text{ Hz/°C}$ is calculated. This theoretical value was slightly different from the experimental value ($= -20.46 \text{ Hz/°C}$), this might be due to the presence of the temperature-dependent shape change of the MMI fiber sensor. Further study is required to evaluate the temperature effect on the MMI fiber sensor for the $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ measurement, which will clarify the mechanism of the refractive index sensing with temperature compensation for more detail.

The limitation of this study is the accuracy of the temperature measurement. Although the effect of the temperature-compensated RI measurement was demonstrated in this study, the precision of the temperature measurement was still limited to 0.08 °C. The accuracy of the temperature measurement was also limited by the variation of the slope coefficients as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. To improve the accuracy of the temperature measurement, one possibility is to employ an all polarization-maintaining (PM) fiber-based OFC sensing cavity, which might be stable against environmental perturbations owing to the insensitive property of the PM fiber against the environmental perturbations. Although further studies are required for the practical application, we believe that our findings of the simultaneous measurement capability of temperature and RI-related concentration will improve the RI sensing with the MMI-OFC sensing cavity.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we proposed an RI sensing method with temperature compensation by using an MMI-OFC sensing cavity. We provided a proof-of-principle demonstration of the proposed method by the simultaneous measurement of temperature- and concentration-dependent spectral shifts of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{MMI}}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{rep}}$ slopes, realizing the simultaneous measurement of temperature and concentration of a liquid sample. We expected that the RI sensing with temperature compensation based on the MMI-OFC sensing cavity will be an effective way to precisely characterize and identify materials in various fields and opens a new aspect of OFC-based instrumentations.
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