Differential Erosion and Sedimentation Process at the Longmenshan Foreland Basin, Eastern Margin of the Tibetan Plateau: Evidence From Analog Experiments
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We use analog experiments to investigate the influence of rapid filling of a foreland basin system during the development of a fold-and-thrust belt, in particular, the change of erosion–sedimentation along the strike in the Longmenshan foreland basin. A negative relationship between wedge geometries and the magnitude of erosion can be found; increased erosion results in out-of-sequence thrusting and fault reactivation in the wedge hinterland, to limit the forelandward propagation of the wedge. In contrast, increased sedimentation facilitates the forelandward propagation of the wedge. We focus on a natural example of the Longmenshan foreland basin, where a change in erosion–sedimentation along the strike during the Late Cretaceous to Cenozoic is well documented. The comparison between our model and seismic sections indicates that such along-strike variation results in a rejuvenated foreland basin restricted to the southwestern part of the western Sichuan Basin in the Cenozoic.
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INTRODUCTION

Tectonic–erosion–sedimentation interaction controls the evolution of fold-and-thrust belt and foreland basin system at different time and space scales. This process plays an important role in controlling the material energy transfer and transportation process in the basin-mountain system, leading to the dynamic equilibrium of tectonic deformation, uplift, erosion, and sedimentation (Hack, 1975; Kooi and Beaumont, 1996). In the 1980s, the thrust belt and foreland basin system model was compared to that of “a pile of sand in front of a moving bulldozer” (Davis et al., 1983), of which the mechanical and structural evolution could be attributed to the critical Coulomb wedge theory (Chapple, 1978; Davis et al., 1983; Dahlen, 1984; Dahlen et al., 1984; Dahlen and Suppe, 1988; Decelles and Mitra, 1995), e.g., the Sevier orogenic wedge, Taiwan wedge, and Canadian Rockies wedge.
Critical taper is attained when the angle between the base and surface of the wedge reaches a critical value with stable geometry and is maintained as the offsetting effects of deformation in the rear of the wedge and forward propagation in front of the wedge. When the critical taper remains, the wedge is considered to be stable (i.e., critical state). As a result, deformation is restricted to slide along the basal detachment. However, the erosion can decrease the wedge taper (i.e., subcritical state), and result in the locus of deformation shifting to the rear of the wedge in order to maintain a critical taper. Furthermore, the deformation at the rear of the wedge increases the wedge taper larger than the critical taper, causing a supercritical state and propagates forelandward over large distances to rebuild a critical taper (Decelles and Mitra, 1995).

Based on analog experiments and natural examples (e.g., Decelles and Mitra, 1995; Bonnet et al., 2007; Cruz et al., 2008; Wu and McClay, 2011; Graveleau et al., 2012 and references in), increased surface processes will transform the thrust belt and foreland basin system from a critical state to an unstable state (i.e., subcritical or supercritical state). This transformation will lead to the uplift and erosion of wedges, change in the geometry of wedges, multi-stage reactivation of faults, thermal convection, and unstable geomorphic construction processes (Willett et al., 1993; Persson et al., 2004; Hoth et al., 2006; Cruz et al., 2008; Konstantinovskaya and Malavieille, 2011). Cruz et al. (2008) suggested wedge erosion increases the density of fore-shears and controls the wedge geometry and its topographic divide migration. Fillon et al. (2013) and Erdős et al. (2015) argued the first-order control of syntectonic sedimentation on wedge geometry and thrust spacing. However, analog experiments lack quantitative studies on the material flux in the process of tectonic erosion–sedimentation and their coupling process, and therefore, quantitative comparisons between stable and unstable surface processes of the foreland basin system are still not fully understood (Malavieille, 2010; Sieniawska et al., 2010; Konstantinovskaya and Malavieille, 2011).

The Longmenshan thrust belt and foreland basin system have the largest terrain gradient around the whole Tibetan Plateau. Controlled by multi-stage tectonic movements and sedimentary loading, a Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic rejuvenated foreland basin occurred at the southern segment of the western Sichuan basin, overlying the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic peripheral foreland basin across the western Sichuan basin (Liu et al., 1994, 2005; Jia et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2012). They are consistent with strong uplift and erosion that occurred at the southern segment of Longmenshan in the Cenozoic (Wang et al., 2012; Tian et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2017), as well as much larger topographic relief in the south. This indicates a significant influence of the surface process (i.e., erosion–sedimentation) on the foreland basin occurred in the late Mesozoic and Cenozoic time across the Longmenshan (Hubbard and Shaw, 2009; Wang et al., 2012). Based on analog experiments, Sun et al. (2016) argued that the along-strike topographic relief across the northern and southern Longmenshan had contributed significantly to the kinematics and deformation localization (e.g., the Xiaoeyudong tear fault) of the Longmenshan. Hubbard et al. (2010) suggested a critical taper wedge for the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt; based upon this finding, Liu et al. (2020) further showed that the interaction between the late-Cenozoic shortening and the localized fluvial erosion of the Minjiang River resulted in the Dujiangyan recess in the central Longmenshan.

In this study, several sandbox analog experiments were carried out with syntectonic erosion and sedimentation, systematically expounding the surface process in a foreland basin system. Furthermore, a comparison between the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt and the western Sichuan foreland basin system and analog results revealed that the surface process and tectonics profoundly impacted the evolution of the foreland basin system.

### MODEL SET-UP OF EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION PROCESSES

The critical-taper Coulomb wedge theory emphasizes that tectonic shortening produces a typical orogenic wedge and foreland basin system (Davis et al., 1983; Dahlen et al., 1984; Decelles and Mitra, 1995). The surface process not only results in the deposition of a large amount of eroded material in the foreland basin but also brings important changes to the structural geometry of the orogenic wedge (McClay and Whitehouse, 2004; Cruz et al., 2008; Wu and McClay, 2011). In particular, there are mutual feedback relationships between tectonics and surface processes in natural examples. Tectonic deformation, material transport, and the sedimentary model dynamically respond to the temporal and spatial extent of erosion. They jointly control the dynamic evolution process of imbricated thrust, tectonic shortening, and the aggradation and duplex structure in the orogenic wedges.

We have conducted two experiments in the tectonic modeling laboratory, which divided the surface process (i.e., erosion–sedimentation process) of the natural prototype into two independent models: erosion and sedimentation (Figure 1 and Table 1). Different lithologies have different anti-weathering abilities, and their sedimentation resulting from surface processes varies from one another. To eliminate the complications caused by lithologic dissimilarities, we used quartz sand as the experimental material in all analog models. The quartz sand we used was medium-rounded, well-sorted, and had an average grain size of 0.2–0.3 mm, a bulk density of 1.35 g cm$^{-3}$, a linear Coulomb Mohr fracture deformation function with a friction angle of 29–31°, an internal friction angle of 0.58, and a critical wedge taper angle of 10–12° (Deng et al., 2018). The quartz sand was evenly sieved into a sandbox to form an initial sand pack of 800 mm × 400 mm × 35 mm. Approximately 1 mm-thick colored quartz sand was laid in the sandbox at an interval of 7 mm vertically to ensure that the deformation process could be easily monitored and quantitated (Figure 1A).

Quartz sand has a linear Coulomb Mohr fracture deformation behavior and is nearly cohesionless. It enables sandbox models to effectively simulate brittle deformations in the upper 10 km of the crust (e.g., Davis et al., 1983; McClay and Whitehouse, 2004). The scaled coefficient of strain can be expressed by calculating the corresponding physical quantity between the analog model and the natural prototype. The strain-scaled coefficient $\sigma^s = \rho^s \cdot L^s \cdot g^s$, where $\rho^s$, $L^s$, and $g^s$ are the proportion coefficients of density,
FIGURE 1 | (A) Diagram of the experimental apparatus. (B) Interpreted fold-thrust wedge showing the parameters measured in the experiment. Note that the distance between frontal thrust and backstop is about 7.5 mm shorter than the original definition of wedge length (Dahlen et al., 1984; Dahlen, 1990). (C) Erosion models with constant erosion slope angles to represent different rates of erosion. (D) Sedimentation models with constant deposited slope angle with different area to represent different rates of sedimentation.

TABLE 1 | Summary of experimental parameters.

| Set     | Group | Rate          | Erosion surface | Sedimentation area                  | Repetitions |
|---------|-------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
|         |       | Rate          |                 |                                     | Erosion     | Sedimentation |
| Erosion | E1    | High-rate     | –               | 4°                                  | 10          | –             |
|         | E2    | Medium rate   | –               | 8°                                  | 3           | –             |
|         | E3    | Low-rate (Critical wedge taper) | – | 12°                                  | 3           | –             |
| Sedimentation | S1 | Starved (Low-rate) | – | Foredeep | – | 10 |
|         | S2    | Medium rate   | –               | Foredeep to wedge-top basins       | – | 10 |
|         | S3    | Saturated (High-rate) | – | Foredeep to wedge-top basins | – | 10 |

When the compression shortening reaches 100 mm (corresponding to 12% shortening), a critical state of the wedge is attained at the movable cylinder in the sandbox. Meanwhile, the materials on the surface of the sandbox accept different syntectonic processes according to their location, which includes erosion (E1-3 group) and sedimentation (S1-3 group) models. For each erosion model, an inclined surface line set from the forefront thrust with different angles is determined by the critical taper angle (i.e., 12° based on our quartz sands) to represent a critical state of the erosional process (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we set a smaller slope angle of 4° and 8° to...
define by Dahlen et al. (1984) and Dahlen (1990). ×
shortening to describe the evolving wedge configuration,
were systematically measured at every 10 mm of incremental
using high-resolution digital photographs taken after 1.0 mm
c. 38% for a natural thrust belt and foreland basin system.
Because of the erosion surface we set. Therefore, the wedge surface was eroded along a
tip of the frontal fault (Figure 2A and Table 2). When the
shortening was 15%, the $T_5$ fault formed, and $T_2$-$T_4$ fault
surfaces steepened. Because the wedge taper angle was exactly
$8^\circ$, with no erosion occurred on the wedge surface at that
moment. The $T_6$ fault formed when the shortening was 18%,
and the black layer was denuded up to the surface during the
second erosion process (Figure 2B). Pre-existing faults were
reactivated with a continuous compression process, resulting
in a sharp decrease in the distance between frontal thrust
and backstop (i.e., wedge length) and a gradual increase in the
wedge height, to rebuild a critical wedge taper. The $T_7$
fault and the second pop-up structure formed in the wedge
frontier with a wedge taper angle of less than $8^\circ$ when the
shortening was 25%. When the shortening was 28%, the third
erosion process caused the deepest red layer to be exposed on the surface (Figure 2C), and the wedge height decreased
sharply. Until the end, the shortening was 38%. At this end
stage, the $T_1$-$T_7$ fault surfaces at the back of the wedge
were rotated and steepened strongly. In the final stage of this
experiment, a wedge with a height of 80 mm and a length of
200 mm is attained.

In model E1, which is characterized by a high-rate erosion, a
wedge taper of 13–15$^\circ$ was formed with the new $T_4$ fault when the
shortening arrived at 12% (Table 2). The first erosion was
thus conducted on the wedge surface, to significantly reduce the
wedge height to about 60 mm (Figure 3A). With the
progressive shortening, the wedge tended to rebuild a critical
wedge taper with strong reactivation of faults in the wedge
hinterland (Figure 3A), e.g., $T_1$-$T_4$. A new $T_5$ fault formed
and the wedge taper increased to $8^\circ$ when the shortening was
15%. The second erosion was carried on the wedge surface and
decreased the wedge height by 5 mm. After each 20 mm shortening, the wedge taper increased to $8^\circ$--$10^\circ$, which exceeded the
erosion surface we set initially. Thus, we performed a total of
ten repetitions of erosion in model E1 (Table 2), resulting in the
distance between frontal thrust and backstop and wedge
height to fluctuate between 100 mm and 60 mm, respectively.
Ten imbricated faults formed in the whole and thrust toward the
foreland (Figure 3D and Table 2), with a final wedge height and distance between frontal thrust and backstop of 65 mm and
120 mm respectively.

In the E3 model, the first erosion event occurred on the
wedge surface when the wedge taper angle reached approximately
12–14$^\circ$ (Figures 4A,B and Table 2). Due to the erosion surface was set to an angle of 12$^\circ$, the erosion decreased weakly the wedge

**EXPERIMENT RESULTS**

**Results of Erosion Models**

The deformation and evolution process of the model with a
medium rate are illustrated by the E2 group (Figure 2). With the movable cylinder moving forward at a constant
speed, several thrust faults were propagating forward in the
sequence. After the critical–taper angle was attained, the $T_4$ fault
formed with a 12% shortening, as a result, the wedge taper
angle reached approximately 13–15$^\circ$, larger than the erosion
surface we set. Therefore, the wedge surface was eroded along a
sloped surface of $8^\circ$ from the back of the wedge to the
tip of the frontal fault (Figure 2A and Table 2). When the
shortening was 15%, the $T_5$ fault formed, and $T_2$-$T_4$ fault
surfaces steepened. Because the wedge taper angle was exactly
$8^\circ$, with no erosion occurred on the wedge surface at that
moment. The $T_6$ fault formed when the shortening was 18%,

During the experiment, the movable cylinder moved forward
at a speed of 0.003 mm/s. The total shortening amount was
300 mm, corresponding to an overall shortening percent of
cia. 38% for a natural thrust belt and foreland basin system.
Progressive deformation of all experiments was monitored
using high-resolution digital photographs taken after 1.0 mm
increments of displacement. Using a graphic software package,
edge height and distance between frontal thrust and backstop were
systematically measured at every 10 mm of incremental
shortening to describe the evolving wedge configuration,
following the methods used by Buiter et al. (2016) and
Schreurs et al. (2016). However, it should be noted that the
distance between frontal thrust and backstop is about 7.5 mm
(i.e., 35 mm × $\tan 12^\circ$) shorter than the original wedge length
defined by Dahlen et al. (1984) and Dahlen (1990).
height and the distance between frontal thrust and backstop. With a progressive shortening, the wedge taper increased to 12–14°, thus the second and the third erosion events occurred at the 23 and 25% shortening (Figure 4B), respectively. A new T5 fault formed with the second pop-up structure in the wedge frontier, and the fourth erosion event occurred at the shortening of 28% (Figure 4C), decreasing the wedge height by about 3-5 mm. After that, the wedge taper was roughly smaller than the critical taper, we performed no erosion anymore. In the final stage of this experiment, a wedge with a height of 100 mm and a length of 300 mm is attained (Figure 4D).

Overall, controlled by the variation of the erosion trigger angle set and the wedge taper angle, the distance between frontal thrust and backstop and wedge height were negatively correlated with the erosion rate (Figure 5). High-rate erosion could strengthen the thrusting deformation within the accretionary wedge. For example, we found that more thrust faults occurred in the E1 group than in the E3 group (Table 2).

**Results of Sedimentation Models**

The evolution process of the high-rate sedimentation model is illustrated by the S3 group (Figure 6). After a critical wedge taper was attained when the shortening reached 12%, colored quartz sand was deposited at the foredeep to wedge-top basins to form a sloped surface of 12° (Figure 6A). With progressive shortening, the syntectonic depositions were deformed by thrusting and shortening to rebuild a critical wedge taper, resulting in the steepened faults in the hinterland and the increased wedge height (Figures 6B,C). A new T5 fault formed with an 18% shortening, which cut the second and third depositions, in contrast to three inactive faults (i.e., T1–3 faults) in the hinterland without cutoff the first depositions. Then a new T6 fault formed with a 23% shortening, in particular, the T5 fault was characterized by the maximum offset along the former depositions (Figure 6C). The T7 fault and second pop-ups formed when the shortening reached 30% (Figure 6D), to accommodate a piggy-back or wedge-top basin. Where the backthrust of T7 and T6 faults thrust and resulted in the early depositions deformed. The seventh and subsequent depositions took place in front of the tip of the T7 fault, rendering the distance between frontal thrust and backstop increased slowly and most of the faults in the wedge hinterland inactive (i.e., T1–6 faults). Until the end at a shortening of 38% with ten repetitions of deposition, the distance between frontal thrust and backstop and wedge height reached 500 mm and 100 mm, respectively (Figure 6D and Table 3). In the S3 group experiment, we found the dragging fold deformation preserved in the syntectonic sedimentation at the forelimb of the wedge.

In model S2, which is characterized by medium-rate sedimentation, a critical wedge taper was formed with the
new T4 fault at a shortening of 12%. We performed the first syn-sedimentation in the foredeep and wedge-top basin to increase the distance between frontal thrust and backstop to about 200 mm (Figure 7A). With a progressive shortening and sedimentation, the wedge increased the length and height to rebuild a critical wedge taper, compared with the second and third repetitions of deposition at the shortening of 15% and 18% respectively (Table 3). A new T5 fault and second pop-up formed when the shortening was 23%, subsequent with an out-of-sequence T6 fault (Figure 7C). The sixth and subsequent depositions took place in the wedge frontier (front of the T5 fault) with progressive deformation induced by the T5 fault thrusting. As a result of ten repetitions of deposition, the distance between frontal thrust and backstop and wedge height reached 350 mm and 85 mm, respectively (Figure 8 and Table 3). It should be noted that most of the faults in the wedge hinterland were inactive (i.e., T1−3 faults) as well as the T6 fault, however, the T4 fault was reactivated with increase offset in the syn-sedimentations (Figure 7D).

In the S1 model characterized by low-rate sedimentation, a critical wedge taper formed with the new T4 fault at a shortening of 12%, and the first syn-sedimentation was thus deposited to increase the distance between frontal thrust and backstop to about 200 mm (Figure 8A). A new T5 fault and second pop-up formed when the shortening was 20%, followed by the fourth to ten repetitions of deposition in the wedge frontier (front of the T5 fault). Because of repetitions of deposition, the front pop-up was deformed substantially with the T5 fault thrusting, and a new backthrust of T5 fault formed to cut the early depositions in the wedge-top basin when the shortening was 28% (Figure 8C). As a result of ten repetitions of deposition, the distance between frontal thrust and backstop and wedge height reached 350 mm and 90 mm, respectively (Figure 8D and Table 3).

By contrasting the wedge height and the distance between frontal thrust and backstop between the S1, S2, and S3 groups, we found a distinct increase in wedge height and length in the saturated syntectonic sedimentation group (S3 group) (Figure 9). Furthermore, we argued that the synsedimentary process accelerated the propagation of the wedge to the foreland but restrained faults in the hinterland (Figures 6-8), as well as reactivation and out-of-sequence thrusting of faults.

**DISCUSSION**

**Influence of Erosion and Sedimentation on Deformation**

Based on analog experiments, we argued that syntectonic erosion and sedimentation play an important influence on wedge geometry and kinematics. The syntectonic erosion process prohibited the wedge from propagating toward the foreland, the higher the erosion rate, the more intense the faults thrust in the hinterland. In other words, syntectonic erosion would enhance the uplift and consequent erosion of the deep material.
FIGURE 4 | Experiment results of low rate of erosion model (E3). (A–D) Sequential photographs showing the structural evolution of wedge.

in the hinterland (Figure 5). The lowest red layer in E1 was partly denuded up to the surface in the hinterland (Figure 3). However, in the E3 group, the lowest red layer was still buried deep in the hinterland (Figure 4). The high-rate erosion led to the multi-stage reactivation of pre-existing faults in the hinterland. Previous researches have also revealed that shallow denudation results in multi-stage reactivation and out-of-sequence thrusting of faults in the hinterland (Persson and Sokoutis, 2002; McClay and Whitehouse, 2004; Cruz et al., 2008). In general, the reactivation of pre-existing faults was positively correlated with the thrusting deformation and erosion rate and negatively correlated with the wedge height and the distance between frontal thrust and backstop.

Syntectonic sedimentation increased the mass fluxes, deposition amount, and the distance between frontal thrust and backstop (Figure 9). It prohibited faults from thrusting in the hinterland, resulting in several dormant or deep-buried faults (Figures 6, 8). Generally, pre-existing faults and related pop-up structures were buried much deeper as the mass fluxes increased. Pop-up structures were specifically developed with deeper depths and smaller fault spacings in the S1 group than that of the S3 group. Wu and McClay (2011) suggested that syntectonic sedimentation results in conspicuous stratigraphic rotation in the front of the wedge, which is also similar to the change in the thickness and deformation of syn-sedimentation strata in our models (Figures 6-8). An earlier study revealed that the synsedimentary process would steepen thrust faults (Storti and McClay, 1995; Nieuwland et al., 2000). Syntectonic erosion leads to overlying unloading in the hinterland of the wedge, which tends to concentrate strain and trigger the reactivation of dormant faults. However, the syntectonic sedimentation increases overlying loads at the foredeep of the wedge, restricts the activation of pre-existing faults, and then propagates the wedge forward (Stockmal et al., 2007; Duerto and McClay, 2009). The deposition rate would also greatly influence the development of both fore-thrust and back-thrust (Bonnet et al., 2007).

The syntectonic erosion and sedimentation in analog experiments indicated that the erosion and sedimentation processes control the wedge deformation and evolution with an interactive influence on each other. Erosion and sedimentation control the coupling of the fore-thrust at the forelimb and passive back-thrust in the hinterland, which results in multi-stage reactivation and out-of-sequence thrusting of the faults (Mugnier et al., 1997; Bonnet et al., 2007; Malavieille and Trullenque, 2009).

Volume of Erosion and Sedimentation

Syntectonic erosion and sedimentation in the fold-and-thrust belt and foreland basin system have an important influence on the deformation of the compressed wedge and structural geometry of faults. In this study, we calculated the amount of both erosion and sedimentation and their relationship to unravel the erosion and sedimentation of the basin–mountain system in nature.
These tectonics-erosion analog experiments showed that the total erosion amount increased with the erosion repetitions (Figure 10A). The maximum cumulative erosion ratio in the E1 group increased to 20–25%. However, the cumulative erosion ratio was 5–10% in the E1 and E2 groups, representing the medium and low rate of erosion, respectively. The erosion amount at every time was approximately equal (i.e., 200–300 cm$^3$) among the experiments with different erosion rates. Therefore, the differential erosion processes were controlled by erosion repetitions. Additionally, with the increased shortening, the cumulative erosion ratio increased in a step-type manner in the E1 group, but we found a linear correlation between the cumulative erosion ratio and the shortening in the other two groups (i.e., the E2 and E3).

Similarly, the total amount of sedimentation was positively correlated with the shortening and the repetitions of sedimentation in the sedimentation analog experiments (Figure 10B). The most synsedimentary quartz sand was deposited in the S3 group, with a cumulative deposition ratio of 45%. In contrast, the cumulative deposition ratios of the S1 and S2 groups were between 20–30%. Sedimentation analog experiments revealed that the amount of each repetition of syntectonic deposition was different under different sedimentation rates. For instance, the deposition volume was significantly higher in the S3 group (i.e., 300-1500 cm$^3$) than that in the S1 and S2 groups (i.e., 300-500 cm$^3$). In addition, the total deposition amount in the S1 and S2 groups increased with a positive correlation with the shortening, which was different from the S3 groups. This difference was attributed to the different wedge taper angles set in these three groups.

Different erosion and sedimentation processes in the thrust belt and foreland basin systems have different mass fluxes. The mass flux in the high-rate erosion (i.e., E1 group) is approximately twice than that in the medium-to-low-rate erosion (i.e., E2-E3 groups). Similarly, the mass flux in the saturated deposition model (i.e., S1 group) is also twice to four-time than that of the starvation and transitional deposition models (i.e., S2-S3 groups). The critical-taper Coulomb wedge theory emphasizes that the erosion and sedimentation process can reach a critical state of the matter exchange (Dahlen and Suppe, 1988; Willett et al., 1993). However, both the erosion volume in each repetition of erosion and the cumulative erosion ratio of the low-rate erosion process (i.e., E3 group) was smaller than the deposition volume of the saturated sedimentation process (i.e., S3) (Figure 10). Therefore, we concluded that there must be a huge quantity of matter and energy from the deep lithosphere to maintain a critical state of the mate exchange in the thrust and foreland basin system (Konstantinovskaia and Malavieille, 2005; Vanderhaeghe, 2012; Jamieson and Beaumont, 2013).
Comparison of the Natural Example of Longmenshan Fold-and-Thrust Belt

At the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau (Figure 11), the peripheral foreland basin and rejuvenated foreland basin were formed in the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic and Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic, respectively (Burchfiel et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2012). During the Late Triassic to Early Jurassic, the Songpan Ganzi fold belt was thrust upon the western margin of the Yangtze Plate and accommodated the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt composed of the Maowen-Wenchuan ductile shear zone, Beichuan-Yingxiu Fault, and Anxian-Guanxian Fault (Figure 11A). Therefore, approximately 1–2 km of wedge-shaped molasse was deposited in the western Sichuan foreland basin, such as the Upper Triassic Xujiahe Formation and Lower Jurassic Baitianba Formation (Figure 11C). Many calcareous and quartzitic synorogenic
conglomerates revealed a high rate of erosion and unroofing process of the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt (Cui et al., 1991; Deng et al., 2012). The Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic eastward extrusion process of the Tibetan Plateau caused the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt to rejuvenate, leading to an increased erosion (Wang et al., 2012; Tian et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2016). The outcrops of Precambrian basements, such as the Pengguan and the Baoxing complexes, indicate a strong uplift and erosion process at the southern and middle segments of the Longmenshan (Wang et al., 2012; Tian et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2017). Approximately 1–2 km of molasse was deposited in the Longmenshan foreland basin (Figure 11A). The Upper Cretaceous Jiaguan and Guankou formations and Eocene Mingshan Formation are characterized by wedge-shaped conglomerates, as a result of unroofing of the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt (Li and Ji, 1993; Gou, 2001).

Based on river sediment load data and denudation rates, Liu-Zeng et al. (2011) argued that the erosion of the Longmenshan margin has approached a steady state. It is consistent with a nearly constant surface slope and fault-related fold geometry along the Longmenshan described by Liu et al. (2020), to argue a critically-tapered thrust wedge along the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau. Combined with the critical taper wedge model, Hubbard et al. (2010) suggested that crustal shortening, structural relief, and topography are strongly correlated in the Longmenshan, controlled by the mechanical strengths of rock and fault, and detachment. The Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt has attained a steady state since the Pliocene or the latest Miocene. Although erosion rates deduced from cosmogenic $^{10}$Be, and low-temperature thermochronology and topography are similar about 0.2-0.8 mm/yr (e.g., Liu-Zeng et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2019), two-phase rapid exhumation occurred in the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt, beginning around 30 Ma (with a rate of 0.8 mm/yr) and about 10 Ma (0.4 mm/yr) respectively (Wang et al., 2012). It indicates an exhumation steady state (Willett and Brandon, 2002) occurred in the Late Miocene, as well as a steady state wedge of the Longmenshan.

The evolution of the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt is characterized by multi-stage thrusting and denudation, indicated by syn-sedimentations and low-temperature thermochronology (Li and Ji, 1993; Gou, 2001; Wang et al., 2012). In particular, the crustal thickness, fault geometry, and kinematics, and exhumation pattern all show along-strike variation in the Longmenshan at the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau (e.g., Hubbard et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2019). Which resulted in the kinematic and deformation more complicated than that of our experiments. It should be noted that the most distinct difference is along-strike topography and relief in the Longmenshan, probably as result of thrusting and surface processes in Cenozoic. Based on models of a critical taper wedge, Hubbard et al. (2010) argued that a much steeper

![Figure 7](image-url) | Experiment results of medium-rate sedimentation model (S2). (A–D) Sequential photographs showing the structural evolution of wedge.
FIGURE 8 | Experiment results of Staved (low-rate) sedimentation model (S1). (A–D) Sequential photographs showing the structural evolution of wedge.

FIGURE 9 | Plot of geometries of accretionary wedge versus shortening in three sedimentation models.

topography in the southern segment of the Longmenshan is related to the localization of detachment within lower to middle Triassic evaporite sequence in the Longmenshan foreland basin. We found such a detachment deformation and related thickening strata are widespread in seismic sections (Figures 11C,D).

The along-strike variation of erosion–sedimentation in the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt has caused the southern and middle segments to be eroded more intensively than the north segment. Therefore, a Precambrian basement outcrops at the southern segment, and the lower Paleozoic strata outcrop
to the north. The rejuvenated foreland basin developed in southwestern Sichuan could also be identified from the analog experiments. Similar to the syntectonic sedimentation at the foredeep of the wedge, the foredeep of the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt was filled with upper Cretaceous and Cenozoic depositions, causing thrust faults to become laterally gentler from north to south. For example, thrust faults in the Xiongpo and Lihuashan anticlines (Figure 11D) were gentler than those in the Hewan and Tongzhi anticlines (Figure 11B). Meanwhile, the back thrusting was more intensive in the southwest of the Sichuan basin than in the north. For example, typical back-thrusts are widespread in the Lianhuashan and Longquanshan anticline. In response to the differential erosion–sedimentation, we could find detached deformation, bulk shortening, and thickening in the Middle–Lower Triassic gypsum and mudstone at the central and northern segment of the foreland basin (Figures 11C, D).

CONCLUSION

Syntectonic erosion analog experiments indicated that the erosion decreased with increasing erosion surface among different groups (erosion surface of $4^\circ$, $8^\circ$, and $12^\circ$ corresponding to S1, S2, and S3, respectively). The syntectonic erosion process concentrated deformation in the hinterland of the thrust belt, reactivated pre-existing faults, and weakened the propagation of the thrust toward the foreland. Syntectonic sedimentation analog experiments revealed a strong restriction of the deposition load, thereby stopping fault activities. Therefore, the syntectonic
sedimentation process helped the wedge propagate toward the foreland. The saturated deposition (S3), in particular, resulted in a significant increase in wedge height and length. In particular, the comparison between the erosion and deposition volume revealed that both a single erosion volume and the cumulative erosion ratio of the erosion process were much smaller than the deposition volume of the saturated sedimentation process.

A rejuvenated foreland basin controlled by the along-strike variation of erosion and sedimentation in the Longmenshan fold-and-thrust belt was developed in the southwestern part of the Sichuan Basin in the Late Cretaceous to Cenozoic times. We further found thrusts with lower dip-angle and stronger back thrusting are widespread in the southwestern parts of the basin than that in the northern part.
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