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ABSTRACT
This study analyses the agenda setting on social media in the COVID-19 pandemic by exploiting one of the disruptive technologies, big data analytics. Our purpose is to examine whether the agenda of news organisations matches the public agenda on social media in crisis situations, and to explore the feasibility and efficacy of applying big data analytics on social media data. To this end, we used an unsupervised machine learning approach, structural topic modelling and analysed 129,965 tweets posted by UK news media and citizens during April 2, and 8, 2020. Our study reveals a wide diversity of topics in the tweets generated by both groups and finds only a small number of topics are similar, indicating different agendas set in the pandemic. Moreover, we show that citizen tweets focused more on expressing feelings and sharing personal activities while news media tweets talked more about facts and analysis on COVID-19. In addition, our results find that citizens responded more significantly to breaking news. The findings of the study contribute to the agenda setting literature and offer valuable practical implications.

1. Introduction
Since December 2019, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic has swept the world, causing significant impact on society and economy [59,81]. The impact has attracted great attention from news media: the COVID-19 pandemic has become the main topic of news in the last several months [49]. Previous research on crisis communication finds that the way people seek information on crisis, evaluate and make crisis-related decisions is highly related to the content covered by news media [5]. Indeed, news coverage is commonly considered to have a great influence on people’s perception and behaviours in crisis events such as public health emergencies [28,36].

With the fast development of the Internet and disruptive technologies, the sources from which people obtain new information have been changing [84]. Social media, such as Twitter has become an important tool for information dissemination and sharing [15]. Since the outbreak of COVID-19, the number of tweets about COVID-19 has been increasing at a strikingly rapid pace [71]. These tweets include the ones generated by citizens and also the ones posted by news organisations via their organisational Twitter accounts. Adopting Twitter for disseminating news online can help news organisations deliver COVID-19 related information to citizens in a timelier manner. Moreover, unlike traditional news media (e.g., newspaper, television), the communication functions on Twitter such as retweeting, favouriting, and commenting can further facilitate the information dissemination [48]. Furthermore, social media has been found to be able to stimulate interests around certain topics [41,76], which may help address public concerns, improve public wellbeing and facilitate the implementation of the measures introduced by government for containing the outbreak of COVID-19 [27].

According to the agenda setting theory [52], there is a strong correlation between media coverage on certain issues and the perceived importance of these issues by the public, i.e., citizens [77]. In particular, the public agenda is considered to be heavily affected by press published by news organisations [31]. Here, an agenda is a set of issues that can be ranked hierarchically according to importance. In the case of COVID-19, agenda setting can be observed regarding the COVID-19 related prominent issues across the public, media and society. Although social media has become a popular method for citizens to access information, the rise of social media is found to modify rather than eliminate the agenda setting role of news media [68]. News media are believed to still set the public agenda as social media is seen as the online versions of traditional news media and attract more public attention when it comes to online news [45]. However, although the general public and news media all create and consume social media content, their motivations for utilising
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social media are different. According to the uses and gratifications theory which addresses how individual users actively choose to consume media, individuals use social media to satisfy many of their combined needs, such as needs for information, socialisation and emotional support [78]. Unlike individual users, news organisations have adopted social media in order to draw online consumers and access a wider audience [6].

Therefore, the question arises to what extent the content posted on social media by the general public are influenced by the agenda published by news organisations on social media in crisis situations. Is the public merely responding to media concerns on social media or is it also shaping the public agenda on issues? As news media and social media both play important roles for information dissemination during crises, it becomes important and necessary to investigate and answer these questions. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study focused on these questions especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, our research aims to fill this knowledge gap by investigating whether the topics that the general public post on social media are in line with the news topics published by news organisations on social media, and assess the differences if inconsistency exists. We select Twitter as the study case in this research because it is one of the largest social media platforms, containing a huge amount of citizens’ conversation about the COVID-19 pandemic [23]. Moreover, Twitter is currently the most popular tool adopted by news organisations for content dissemination [6], making Twitter a suitable social media platform for our study.

A big challenge of analysing the social media data and answering the research questions is how to extract valuable insights from a large amount of content data. Thanks to the fast development of disruptive technologies such as big data analytics, which allows us to analyse a large amount of unstructured social media data, gaining insights and important findings in real-time, with a good level of speed and accuracy [12,43]. In particular, we utilise an unsupervised text analysis approach, Structural topic modelling (STM), and analyse 129,965 Twitter posts collected by a Python-programmed web scraper between April 2, and 8, 2020 with a focus on UK news media and citizens.

This study demonstrates how disruptive technologies such as big data analytics can be applied for COVID-19 analysis. There are a number of interesting findings disclosed in this research. Firstly, this research fills the research gap on how the value of unstructured user-generated data can be leveraged for investigating the difference of the general public and news media’s response to crises with the use of big data analytics. It demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of using unsupervised machine learning approach, STM to gain insights from the large amount of text data on social media. Secondly, a number of topics on the COVID-19 pandemic are extracted from the STM model including four categories of the topics posted by news organisations and three categories from citizen generated tweets, demonstrating the agenda difference between the general public and news organisations. Thirdly, our study fills up the research gap by revealing that only a small number of topics are found in common in news media tweets and citizens’ tweets, and most of these common topics do not account for similar shares. This finding suggests that the agendas of news media and general public on social media platforms are not well matched during the pandemic. Fourthly, from observing the variation of the common topics’ proportions over time, we find that both news media and citizens show changing patterns of concerns on breaking news. However, the changing patterns are not the same: citizens’ response to breaking news are more significant. Apart from the academic contributions, this study offers valuable practical implications. The analysis results can be applied to guide practitioners in the news industry on the development of their social media strategies, help policy makers better understand citizens’ concerns on the COVID-19 pandemic, and facilitate the use of social media platforms on navigating people’s interests and improving public wellbeing.

2. Literature review

2.1. Social media as sources of information and support in crises

In crisis situations individuals have increased needs of information and social support. Social media serves as a tool of crisis communication in situations of high uncertainty such as pandemics, natural disasters and terrorist attacks. Crises are characterised by their unprecedented occurrence, uncertainty, lack of information and the need for sense-making [14,55,73]. Reuter et al. [63] investigate the emerging field of crisis informatics, which investigates how ICT and social media are used before, during and after emergency and crisis events. Social media use increases in a crisis [7] as people try to cope with uncertainty and deal with disaster creatively [60]. In a crisis, audiences may turn to traditional media for educational purposes and to social media for insider information and to check in with family and friends [7]. Social media may be considered a source of more reliable and unfiltered information [62], which may not be available from other sources [75].

Social media use during natural disasters can be nuanced with users also employing humour and sharing photos such as in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy [58]. Analysis of tweets on Australian floods identified various uses including sharing information and personal experiences, and expressing gratitude [69]. The role of social media in expressing gratitude and connecting communities while healing from a disaster was also addressed by Glasgow et al. [39]. There are a number of studies exploring tweets about COVID-19 noting issues such as conspiracy theories and misinformation (e.g. [23,49,71]). In the case of Zika, a comparison of tweets posted by the public and the Centre for Disease Control identified different concerns [40]. During the 2009 H1N1 epidemic twitter was mainly used to share information from credible sources, with tweeting activity peaking after major news stories [25].

2.2. The use of social media by individuals and news organisations

According to uses and gratifications theory, individuals use social media that satisfy many of their combined needs such as needs for information, socialisation and emotional support [78]. Audiences may also choose to engage with media that reinforce their current beliefs and ways of thinking [7]. Individuals as consumers tend to create their own content to satisfy social and ego-defensive needs rather than for utilitarian reasons [10]. Yoo et al. [86] examined how social influences, including social conformity and social values affect twitter use. They find that both hedonic and utilitarian features of social networking sites affect their rate of adoption, however, social influences have been overlooked. Yet, Chen [24] argues that the primary motivation of using twitter is utilitarian as it is an information-oriented social networking site.

News organisations have adopted social media to attract online consumers and increase their audience [6]. Twitter has been used for breaking up-to-date information in crisis situations, such as the London riots in 2011 [80]. The use of twitter may challenge the gatekeeping role of traditional journalism and news media [6,50]. Boersma and Graham [13] showed that journalists are increasingly using Twitter as a source of newsworthy information or to illustrate a story. Still, they are more likely to cite tweets from official sources [57]. Bane [8] remarks that traditional news organisations are more likely to use twitter to quote official sources and for opinion comments. Although evidence suggests that news media do not make use of the connective or technological capabilities of twitter to a full extent [34], Hermida [44] argues that the increasing use of social media in news communication has created new awareness systems –described as ambient journalism– that involve the always connected, digital dissemination of information from multiple sources.
2.3. Agenda setting on social media

McCombs and Shaw [52] established agenda setting research by drawing on the distinction between the press telling the readers what to think and what to think about. Agenda setting addresses the latter question. The theory assumes a strong correlation between media coverage on certain issues and the perceived importance of these issues by the public [77]. Dearing and Rogers [31] distinguish between the media agenda, the public agenda and the policy agenda. An agenda is a set of issues that can be ranked by their importance. Agenda setting is viewed as a political process which is necessary in all societies, in order to prioritise problems. Agenda setting relates to the importance of issues, while agenda framing defines what attributes of an issue are emphasised [26]. Gamson [37] described framing as a “signature matrix” that includes various condensing symbols (such as catchphrases, taglines, visual images) and reasoning devices. Twitter hashtags can be included as symbols in this signature matrix.

To an extent traditional news media have lost some of their power in setting the public agenda since the public can access information from multiple sources, including social media: the media agenda cannot be equated with the public agenda. However, it is believed that traditional news media (i.e., print and broadcast) still set the public agenda as it is their online versions that attract more public attention when it comes to online news [45]. Arguably, the rise of social media has modified rather than eliminated the agenda setting role of traditional media [68].

There is some extant research comparing agenda setting in traditional and social media. For instance, Meraz [53] analysed hyperlinking to question whether the social media agenda on blogs is set by traditional media. Sayre et al. [68] analysed agenda setting on traditional, online and social media in the case of Proposition 8 in California. However, it is still unclear how news media affect the general public agenda setting on social media platforms. With the increasing engagement of both the news media and the general public on social media, it becomes important to investigate the agenda setting role of news media organisations on social media platforms. Moreover, in crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, when social media are a main channel for information dissemination and public communication, it becomes essential to clarify how news media affect the general public agenda setting via social media platforms. This would help us develop a better understanding on how both news media and social media can be applied to deal with crises. This study aims to fill the research gap by answering this question.

2.4. Disruptive technologies in social media analysis

Disruptive technologies are crucial for social science studies relating to COVID-19, as they are able to provide efficient, effective and low-cost analysis. Pioneer studies have applied disruptive technologies such as deep learning [2] to process and analyse data and conclude important findings on COVID-19 infection [3,9]. A framework using disruptive technologies for COVID-19 analysis is developed in Abdel-Basset et al. (2020)’s research. Nine disruptive technologies are discussed including artificial intelligence (AI), industry 4.0, Internet of Things (IoT), Internet of Medical Things (IoMT), big data, virtual reality (VR), Drone technology and Autonomous Robots, 5 G, and blockchain. This study provides an idea on how different organisations can benefit from the application of these disruptive technologies for fast decision making and reduction of the negative impact of COVID-19. For example, it is considered that two disruptive technologies visualisation and analytics play an essential role in the effective development and presentation of complex work in healthcare applications [20]. Additionally, another disruptive technology, computational intelligence has been widely adopted in medical research [19].

As one of the disruptive technologies for COVID-19 related analysis [4], big data analytics enables agenda research using social media data [67,85]. A review of the contribution of big data analytics in social media research identifies a range of text mining techniques including natural language processing, sentiment analysis, and social network analysis [38]. Using sentiment analysis for example, integrating sentiment analysis and other novel disruptive technologies can facilitate studies in different disciplines, especially in social media analysis [22,47,82]. Such disruptive techniques are pertinent to agenda setting and agenda framing research as they can help rank the relative importance of issues, identify symbols used to frame agendas and model the relation between different issues and different actors posting on social media. There is a wide range of topic modelling techniques, such as Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), have been used to analyse user-generated content online. The most relevant study is on tracking disease outbreaks using twitter data and LDA [56]. In this research, we choose STM [66] which is similar to LDA but has the advantage of allowing incorporating the metadata of data sample to explain topical prevalence [17,65]. This approach has been seen in analysing customer online reviews [42,46].

3. Data and methods

Using unsupervised text analysis methods, this study examines the Twitter posts related to the COVID-19 pandemic in order to assess the differences in the discussion topics between news organisations and citizens on social media. A unified framework of extracting topics from rich social media data (e.g., Twitter posts) is presented in Fig. 1, which summaries the data collection and analysis process carried out in our study.

3.1. Data acquisition and preparation

We choose UK as a test case which is of both theoretical and practical importance. The news industry in the UK are well developed and most news organisations have organisational social media accounts. A number of studies [6,54,80] have looked into how news organisations used Twitter for promoting political events in the context of UK. Moreover, extant literature on the theory of agenda setting have focused on the politics in the UK setting, e.g., [29]. We crawl all COVID-19 related posts generated from UK accounts from Twitter, we set our test period from April 2, to April 8, 2020. This is a good methodological choice because the aim of the research is to examine whether news organisations and
citizens have different interests and concerns towards the pandemic, and how they respond to breaking news. The duration of one week is sufficient to detect the differences if exists due to the tremendous number of posts, and is able to capture the response to breaking news due to the time effect of breaking news. The duration is also widely adopted by similar studies, such as [33,60,61]. Moreover, at the chosen time period there was a strong sense of emergence about the pandemic and two pieces of breaking news happened, making the collected dataset useful to study crisis situations while later the pandemic had become the new normal [16].

In order to collect appropriate sample data from the Twitter platform, we firstly defined a keywords list which specifies which Twitter postings are relevant. More specifically, we included texts such as “covid”, “corona”, “coronavirus” and COVID-19 related hashtags in the list, and specified the country setting as “GB” since we focus on UK related posts and time duration as one week, from April 2, to April 8, 2020. By developing a Python-based web scraper, a total of 129,965 tweets were collected with the specification defined in the keywords list. The collected data were in JSON format and were converted into CSV files for analysis. The data columns include tweet generation time, text content, hashtags, URLs, source, retweet count, favourites count, follower count, user screen name, location, language, etc.

Next, we removed user IDs to preserve privacy and anonymity. This process is in line with the ethical framework for big data analysis research [21]. We then cleaned the dataset by removing retweets, leaving us 34,352 tweets for the study. It is worth noting that the removed retweets are the ones that have exactly duplicate content. If people retweet a post but add content to it (e.g., expressing their attitude), then we do not consider it as a retweet and it was not removed from the dataset. There are two reasons we remove retweets: firstly, this study focuses on the textual content of tweets with the objective of identifying the differences in the discussion content between news organisations and citizens. Second, as Twitter bots have been frequently and widely used by companies, organisations and individuals to enable automatic retweet actions [32], removing retweets can eliminate the effects of Twitter bots and capture the true discussion of news organisations and citizens. We then separated the dataset by extracting tweets posted by news organisations. As suggested by a prior study [6], eight UK’s most popular news organisations are chosen in the study, which are BBC News, BBC Breaking News, BBC World, Daily Mirror, Guardian, Independent, Telegraph, and The Times. This step gives us 9,373 tweets posted by eight UK news media accounts and 24,979 tweets from citizens. We define the news media tweets dataset as Dataset 1, and the citizen generated tweets dataset as Dataset 2.

The final step of the data acquisition and preparation is text processing, including normalisation, stemming and character removing which are consistent with similar processing efforts applied to topic extraction research, such as [11,42]. More specifically, words in the column of tweets text content were normalised by transforming all letters to lower case in order to obtain a more uniform form and reduce the size of the vocabulary. Then, the words were stemmed by removing affixes, followed by the character removing step where stopwords, numbers and punctuation are removed. Finally, non-English tweets were filtered out from both datasets. We used the tidytext Package [79] in R programming language to automate the process.

3.2. Model setup

Topic models, as one stream of unsupervised text analysis methods have been found suitable for analysing user-generated content, such as customer online reviews [17]. The methods examine the co-occurrence relationship among words and output the collections of words with high probability of co-occurrence, i.e., the topics. We choose STM [66] among other approaches in this method category because of its advantage of allowing incorporating the metadata of data sample to explain topical prevalence [17,65], for example, in our case metadata refer to the information associated with Twitter posts, e.g., tweets created time, favourites count, source, etc. The key process of STM can be summarized as follows [66].

We apply STM to all the tweets collected for the study. In other words, we view the whole set of the tweets as a document. In STM, a document is defined as a mixture over topics, meaning that a document is composed of multiple topics [66]. Thus, the whole set of the tweets is a mixture over topics, and a topic is a mixture over words where each word has a probability of belonging to a topic. The STM is a hierarchical model in which a document’s prevalence of each topic (denoted by $d(\theta_d)$) is drawn from a logistic-normal distribution whose mean is a function of document covariates $X_d$:

$$\theta_d \sim \text{LogisticNormal}(X_d, \Sigma).$$

Then, given the topic-prevalence vector, one specific topic, $z_{dn}$ is associated with the position which needs to be filled through the following process:

$$z_{dn} \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta_d).$$

Next, the words of each document $w_{dn}$ are assigned to the topics:

$$w_{dn} \sim \text{Multinomial}(\beta_{dz}).$$

where $\beta_{dz}$ is the probability of choosing vocabulary word $w$ to fill a position in document $d$ given topic $z$. The $stm$ package [64] in R is used to set up the model for our analysis where tweets text content are inputs as documents, prevalence function is set as follows.

$$\text{prevalence} \sim \text{favourites count + \text{tweets created time}},$$

where $s$ is the smooth function of time, and $\text{favourites count}$ is one of the topical prevalence covariates indicating how many likes a tweet had been received. It is worth noting that the covariate is replaced by other metadata items (e.g., source, follower count) when checking the robustness of the results. As the results are nearly the same, we only report the results under the setting of $\text{favourites count}$ for simplicity.

Next, we decide on the number of topics $K$ which is an important parameter of STM and helps to achieve substantive interpretation of the outcomes of the modelling [51]. Using function searchK from the $stm$ and $farr$ [79] packages in R, we evaluate the models trained on a sparse matrix in parallel with a range of different values of $K$, i.e., from 5 to 30. Based on the trained models, we compute a number of model fitting indicators including the semantic coherence of the topics, held-out likelihood and residuals. By comparing the indicators, $K = 10$ is selected for both datasets. With $K = 10$, we have semantic coherence locally maximised at $-164$, held-out likelihood maximised at $-7.34$ and residuals at a relatively low value at $32.0$.

4. Results and discussion

In this section, we present the results of topic extraction from the STM model, analyse the different motivations news organisations and citizens use social media by assessing the topic differences, and investigate whether news organisations influenced citizens’ discussion in the COVID-19 crisis from the angle of agenda setting theory [31].

Our topic modelling approach is quite successful at identifying distinct and internally coherent topics from tweets content, and the obtained topics indicate both news media and citizens talked about a wide range of topics. Table 1 and 2 summarise the results. The second and third columns are the outputs of our STM model, showing the topic proportions and top seven words for each topic. The top words are the ones that have the highest probability of appearing in the topic but least probability in other topics. The first column are the topic labels assigned by two researchers in social science based on the top words, close reading of tweets examples in each topic and two studies on Covid-19 public discussion [74,83]. The topics are grouped into different
### Table 1: Topic summary - news media tweets.

| Topic Label | Topic Category | Topic Proportions | Top Words |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Topic 1: COVID-19 update | 10.8% | die, will, death, hospit, live, find, warn | say, test, Trump, video, claim, like, post |
| Topic 2: International covid news | 10.6% | nhs, face, mirroredceleb | two, year, number, keep |
| Topic 3: Government strategies | 10.2% | nhs, worker, help, call, support, | |
| Topic 4: Boris covid condition | 9.7% | people, bori, Johnson, care, | |
| Topic 5: Societal support | 9.8% | nurses, crisis, world, health, | need, patient, doctor |
| Topic 6: Thank workers | 15.3% | nurses, work, thank, support, staff, worker, nurses | |
| Topic 7: Death news | 11.7% | home, how, can, safe, man, toll, make | |
| Topic 8: Queen’s speech | 9.1% | home, govern, nurses, queen, watch, now, labour | |
| Topic 9: Wishes for Boris | 13.8% | borisjohnson, hope, keep, safe, | time, worker, intense |
| Topic 10: Safety advice | 12.3% | help, staff, take, first, country, rule, virus | |
| Topic 11: Case number update | 10.2% | lockdown, time, look, stayhomesaysaf, mor, unit, quarantin | |

### Table 2: Topic summary - citizen generated tweets.

| Topic Label | Topic Category | Topic Proportions | Top Words |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Topic 1: Covid testing | 6.1% | day, today, week, isolation, sing, garden, amazonprime | |
| Topic 2: Family and friends | 9.6% | love, take, famili, friend, away, long, lost | |
| Topic 3: Healthcare workers | 9.8% | nhs, work, support, staff, worker, nurses | |
| Topic 4: Boris covid condition | 9.7% | people, bori, Johnson, care, | |
| Topic 5: Societal support | 9.5% | nurses, crisis, world, health, | need, patient, doctor |
| Topic 6: Thank workers | 15.3% | nurses, work, thank, support, staff, worker, nurses | |
| Topic 7: Death news | 11.7% | home, how, can, safe, man, toll, make | |
| Topic 8: Queen’s speech | 9.1% | home, govern, nurses, queen, watch, now, labour | |
| Topic 9: Wishes for Boris | 13.8% | borisjohnson, hope, keep, safe, | time, worker, intense |
| Topic 10: Safety advice | 12.3% | help, staff, take, first, country, rule, virus | |

4.1. Prevalent topics from news organisations

As shown in Table 1, news organisations posted tweets across a wide diversity of topics between April 2, and 8, 2020, covering four categories: COVID-19 update, Advice and measures, Breaking news and Society efforts. The percentage of each topic category is presented in Fig. 2. From the topic proportions (see the second column of Table 1), all topics account for similar share, indicating that news organisations pay generally equal attention to COVID-19 related news.

The top three topics with the highest proportions are Safety advice (12.3%), Death news (11.7%) and International covid news (10.6%), accounting for 34.6% of all tweets. The Safety advice topic contains tweets about suggestions to people on how to stay safe during the pandemic. For example,

Quote: How to make sure your post is as safe as possible during coronavirus lockdown [from Daily Mirror]

The topic of Death news focuses on the news update about celebrities and workers death, which is reflected in the top words, such as “die”, “death” and tweets examples. The topic International covid news relates to the news around the world, for example, the measures introduced by other countries, speech by other countries’ leaders. The remaining seven topics with a proportion ranging from 8.5% to 10.1% are about the update of case numbers, situation of frontline healthcare workers, UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson’s COVID-19 condition, the Queen’s speech on COVID-19, societal and industry actions and rules as well as the approaches for slowing the spread of the virus. Among these topics, UK Prime Minister Boris Johnson’s COVID-19 condition and the Queen’s speech on COVID-19 are grouped into the Breaking news category. As noted by Vis [80] that Twitter is a useful tool for breaking up to date news in emergencies, the identification of these two topics confirms this conclusion and shows UK news organisations used Twitter during the test period when there was high demand for news updates.

4.2. Prevalent topics from citizens

In terms of the citizen generated tweets, the identified topics are organised into three categories: Express feelings, Discussion of COVID-related issues and Sharing activities as shown in Table 2. Unlike the topics identified from news media tweets, these topics account for unequal shares with the top two topics Thank workers and Wishes for Boris take almost one third of all tweets.

The largest topic Thank workers accounting for 15.3% of all tweets, primarily relates to people’s appreciation and thankfulness feelings for healthcare and community workers. As the study period of April 2, to April 8, 2020 was a critical week for the UK in terms of dealing with the
pandemic, the results suggest that people use Twitter to support workers who are at the frontline and to keep up morale. This finding is in line with earlier studies that suggested the importance of sharing gratitude on social media platforms following disasters and emergencies [39,69]. The second largest topic, Wishes for UK PM Boris Johnson, accounts for 13.8% of all tweets comprises wishing for Boris to recover soon, suggesting the public were sharing a common emotional response. The third largest topic Social distance concerns about the social distancing measures introduced by government (10.2%). By close reading of tweet examples in this topic, it is found that people also talked about their own experience on social distancing apart from generally discussing the issues around the topic. Similar to our findings, Australian users were found sharing personal experience of natural disasters (i.e., floods) on Twitter [69]. Our finding further supports the conclusions of extant literature on the motivation of citizens use social media during crisis.

Besides these three topics, people expressed their feelings on caring about their families and friends (9.6%) and shared the activities they did at home during the lockdown (7.9%). Tweets examples for these five topics are presented as follows.

(Thank workers): Thanks to @thurrockcouncil #WasteCollection crews for their services, #ThankYou #YourAreOurHeroes #COVID-19 #coronavirus #WestThurrock #Grays #Thurrock #StayHomeSaveLives (Wishes for Boris): Sending best wishes to our Prime Minister @BorisJohnson @10DowningStreet I hope you have a full and speedy recovery. The country is behind you and you’re in the care of our amazing @NHSuk All the best from Cornwall Sir, rest up and get better! #Covid19 #BorisJohnson (Social distance): I did not have to worry this morning, #socialdistancing #Roundwoodpark #covid_19 #onelplus7pro #nhs @ Roundwood Park (Family and friends): I love video calls too but I am such a hugger I am really missing that physical contact with friends and family #shuvon-shuvoff #kindness #hugging #coronavirus #StayHomeSaveLives (Activities at home): Finally did my gardening so much of it #gardening #home #living alone #lockdown #coronavirus #summer #plants #mine

The rest of the tweets focused on the discussion of COVID-19 related topics, such as the impact of the pandemic on sports (9.9%), introduced quarantine and lockdown measures (9.7%), methods for slowing the virus spread (9.4%), government approaches and strategies (8.1%), and virus testing (6.1%). These topics are generally consistent with other studies on identifying concerns of Tweeters [1]. The percentages of tweets that each topic category covers are depicted in Fig. 3.

### 4.3. Comparison of topics between news media tweets and citizen tweets

An interesting finding is that the tweets posted by news organisations are all about reporting facts and analysis on COVID-19 related issues; however, a large proportion of citizen generated tweets focus on personal feeling expression and sharing personal activities. As shown in Fig. 3, people posted tweets to express their feelings quite often, and liked to share their activities during the lockdown, accounting for 38.7% and 7.9% respectively of all tweets. Moreover, discussing COVID-19 related topics which takes the majority percentage (i.e., 53.4%), suggesting that Twitter is still seen as an information medium [24]. However, these discussions are widely based on personal own experience rather than logical analysis such as news media generated tweets. This is reflected in both top words, such as “walk”, “street”, “live”, “watch”, “look”, “morn[ing]”, “feel”, and a substantial number of tweets examples, such as the tweet quotation we presented in previous section on the topic Social distance. This finding shows that the role of Twitter in the COVID-19 pandemic is to address both emotional and information needs, which confirms the conclusions of previous study [78]. The discussion on the issues such as lockdown measures and methods for slowing spread can be seen as an attempt of collective sensemaking [72].

A small number of similar topics occurring in news media tweets and citizen tweets are found in our analysis, and are highlighted using star marks in Table 1 and 2. According to agenda setting theory discussed in Section 2, citizens respond to the news ranked highly in the media agenda but they may not allocate the same level of attention. Moreover, the media agenda could modified by social media activity: citizens may also frame some of the topics according to their personal experience [26]. For instance, discussion on quarantine measures and social distancing spills into the discussion of activities at home. In addition, most of these common topics do not account for similar shares. More specifically, the two topics - Healthcare workers and Societal support in news media tweets are corresponding to the topic Thank workers in citizen generated tweets. The former two topics account for 19.3% in total of all news media tweets while the latter accounts for 15.3% of all citizen generated tweets. The changes in the prevalence of the three topics are shown in Fig. 4: the topic prevalences were all fluctuated during the test period.

The other common topic, Boris covid condition identified from news media tweets corresponds to the topic Wishes for Boris in citizen generated tweets. Boris covid condition takes 9.7% share while the share that Wishes for Boris takes is much higher, i.e., 13.8%. Fig. 5 plots the topic trend over the test period, and shows the topic proportion changes are different: the topic proportion for news media tweets fluctuated during the week while citizens’ topic proportion increases dramatically in the later days of the week. The case of the H1N1 outbreak also showed a great increase in public’s tweeting activity after major news stories [25]. The last common topic, Methods for slowing spread accounts for similar share in both types of tweets. The topic proportion for news media tweets is 8.7% and for citizen generated tweets is 9.4%. Furthermore, the trend of this topic is relatively flat during the test period as shown in Fig. 5. This finding suggests that although news organisations and citizens have similar concerns on certain topics, they give these topics different levels of attention and the trends in these topics are different.

### 4.4. Different response to breaking news

The variation over time in the prevalence of the identified two common topics Boris covid condition/Wishes for Boris and Methods for slowing spread are plotted and presented in Fig. 5. The proportions of the topic Methods for slow spreading are relatively flat over time for both news media tweets and citizen generated tweets, reflecting stable interests on the topic. However, when there is breaking news such as when UK PM Boris Johnson was infected with coronavirus, both news media and citizens show changing patterns of concern on this topic. The proportion of the both topics trended up and down over time, reflecting that both news media and people have an attention on the breaking news and the attention changes when there is a change in Boris’s COVID-19 infection condition.

Further, citizens’ response to the breaking news are more significant.
As shown in Fig. 5(b), an increase in the topic proportion is observed when Boris was admitted to hospital. The topic proportion reached its highest level (i.e., nearly 25% of all tweets) when Boris was moved to ICU, and then started trending downwards. It is interesting to see that the tweets posted by news organisations on this topic does not show similar pattern. Instead, the topic proportion is not observed increasing much when the key events happened (see Fig. 5(a)). This finding might indicate that news organisations treated this news as facts, while for the public there was an emotional response and need for social support with the feeling of the country in crisis.
Unlike Boris-related tweets, the other topic belonging to the Breaking news category, Queen’s speech did not raise much attention from citizens. The number of related tweets is too small to form a topic. One example from citizen-generated tweets relating to this topic is:

Quote: Has the Queen found or funded a cure? Bought PPE or ventilators for #NHS or set aside a palace for #Coronavirus patients? No....

This tweet is categorised by our model as a discussion around COVID-19. On the contrary, when looking at the news media tweets examples under the Queen’s speech topic, we find that these tweets focus more on disseminating the information about when and how the Queen will give a speech, and use Twitter to drive the traffic to their websites by including the links of the news webpage. An example is listed below.

Quote: Coronavirus: Queen to address nation in Sunday broadcast https://t.co/m1Uw4cNrtv [from BBC News]

This finding is in line with a number of studies, such as [18] which suggest that using social media to drive more traffic to their online site is one of the main reasons news organisations engage with Twitter. Furthermore, this finding extends earlier studies on agenda setting [15] which assume topics receiving a lot of media coverage would be more likely to migrate from the media to the public agenda: we show this may not be the case on social media. The issues discussed on social media are much broader, allowing for more expansive discussion of different attributes of a topic [35]. Therefore, the tweets generated by citizens show a dramatic response to the Boris breaking news but not to the news of Queen’s speech.

5. Conclusion

This research provides useful insights into the difference of topic contents generated by citizens and news organisations about the COVID-19 pandemic on social media. We explore how disruptive technology can help with the research by utilising an unsupervised text analysis approach, STM to analyse Twitter posts generated by citizens and news organisations respectively in the context of UK. Important findings are identified in this research which exhibits significant contributions on both theoretical and practical implications. Firstly, this study demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of using unsupervised machine learning approach, structural topic modelling to gain insights from the large amount of text data on social media. In particular, the procedures and methodologies that are introduced and developed in this research fill the research gap of how the value of unstructured user-generated content can be leveraged for investigating the difference of the general public and news media’s response to crises.

Secondly, in line with other studies of Twitter post content analysis (e.g., [1]), a number of topics on the COVID-19 pandemic are extracted from the STM model. Four categories are found for the tweets posted by news organisations which are COVID-19 update, Advice and measures, Breaking news and Society efforts. In terms of citizen generated tweets, three categories covering Express feelings, Discussion and Sharing activities are identified. From these categories and topic labels, we show that tweets posted by news organisations are all about reporting facts or analysis on COVID-19 related issues, while a large proportion of citizen generated tweets focus on personal feeling expression and sharing personal activities. This finding provides a new evidence on the debate of whether social media are used by the general public for emotional needs or information needs in crises [39].

Thirdly, the research findings help to clarify the effect of news media on public agenda setting via social media platforms in the pandemic. Previous studies received conflicted conclusion on the relationship between news media agenda and public agenda on social media platforms. According to the agenda setting theory, news media coverage on certain issues are expected to affect the perceived importance of these issues by the public [77]. However, studies based on the uses and gratifications theory indicate that individuals and news media have different motivations when using social media [78]. Our study helps to clarify the conclusion and fills the research gap by revealing that only a small number of topics are found common in news media tweets and citizens’ tweets, and most of these common topics do not account for similar shares. This finding suggests that the agendas of news media and general public on social media platforms are not well matched during the pandemic. This finding provides new evidence for both researchers and practitioners to understand how the rise of social media affects the news media’s influence on the public’s agenda setting during crises.

Fourthly, from observing the variation of the common topics’ proportions over time, we find that both news media and citizens show changing patterns of concerns on breaking news. However, the changing patterns are not the same, with citizens’ response to breaking news more significant. This finding may indicate that comparing with news media, citizens are more sensitive to key events and the proportion of their posts on relevant topics increases faster on social media. This partially supports previous findings on tweeting activity peaking after major news stories [25].

The findings of this study contribute to the theoretical literature on exploring disruptive technology on COVID-19 analysis. The study applies unsupervised machine learning approach in textural data analysis and reveals the interest difference between news media and citizens as well as the way that news organisations use social media in the COVID-19 crisis. They can also be applied to guide practitioners in the news industry on the development of their social media strategies, help policy makers better understand citizens’ concerns on the COVID-19 pandemic, and facilitate the use of social media platforms on navigating people’s interests and improving public wellbeing. Despite its contributions, this study also has limitations that should be addressed in future research. The Twitter data we applied in this research are from a short period, it could be beneficial if a whole picture of the public and news media’s response during the whole pandemic period can be captured. Future study could examine longer time period to investigate the changes in the society response to the pandemic, how the agenda setting behaviours of citizens and news organisations change over time. In addition, the citizen tweets analysed in the study contains tweets posted by other organisations except the news organisations. We did not exclude the tweets posted by other organisations because they help to form a complete picture of how the public respond to the pandemic. It is difficult to distinguish which posts are from organisations considering a large number of organisations are small and operated by individual people and may be used for personal purposes [6]. However, looking into the tweets from organisations such as NHS may generate more interesting insights. Also, because this research is focused on Twitter posts written in English in the context of UK, future study could extend the study to more countries and examine the specific language use in tweets which may provide additional insights. Finally, investigating the effect of news media on citizens’ discussion is an interesting direction and is left for future work.
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