Abstract

The usage of vegetation indices such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) calculated by means of remote sensing data is widely spread for describing vegetation status on large space scale. However, a big limitation of these indices is their inadequate time resolution for agricultural purposes. This limitation could be overcome by the ground-based vegetation indices that could provide an interesting tool for integrating satellite-based value. In this work, three techniques to calculate the ground-NDVI have been evaluated for sugar beet cultivated in South Italy in all its phenological phases: the NDVI1 based on hand made reflectance measurements, the NDVI2 calculated on automatically reflectance measurements and the broadband NDVIb based on Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) and global radiation measurements. The best performance was obtained by the NDVIb. Moreover, crop-microclimate-NDVI relations were investigated. In particular, the relationship between NDVI and the Leaf Area Index (LAI) was found logarithmic with a saturation of NDVI at LAI around 1.5 m² m⁻². A clear relation was found between NDVI and crop coefficient $K_c$ experimentally determined by the ratio between actual and reference measured or modelled evapotranspirations, while the relation between NDVI and crop actual evapotranspiration was very weak and not usable for practical purposes. Lastly, no relationship between the microclimate and the NDVI was found.
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1. Introduction

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is the most commonly used satellite index to evaluate the land use because it is closely correlated to: (i) the fraction of soil covered by crop, the Leaf Area Index (LAI) and land classification (Brown et al., 1993; Townshend et al., 1994; Duchemin et al., 2006 among many others), (ii) the biophysical properties and the net primary production (Prince, 1991; Goward and Huemmerich, 1992; Sellers et al., 1994) and, as recently demonstrated, (iii) the crop water status and the crop water requirements (D’Urso and Calera Belmonte, 2006; Garatuza-Payan and Watts, 2005; Samani et al., 2006; Bajwa and Voies, 2006; Duchemin et al., 2006). On the other hands, the most used method to calculate the crop water requirements (i.e. actual evapotranspiration, ET) is the so called crop coefficient ($K_c$) approach (Allen et al., 1998): $K_c$ depends on the dynamics of canopies (cover fraction, LAI, water status and greenness) like the path of NDVI along a growth season. Therefore, relationships between NDVI and $K_c$ are supposed to be useful for irrigation operational purposes (Choudhury et al., 1994; Ray and Dadlwal, 2001; Bandyopadhyay and Mallick, 2003; D’Urso and Calera Belmonte, 2006). Crop coefficients calculated using satellite collected NDVI
time series, coupled to other observations for characterizing the timing, dynamics, and distribution of phytophenological events (Azzali and Menenti, 1999) are been used to manage irrigation water. Good results are obtained, for example, by the EU project DEMETER in European countries (Osann Jochum et al., 2006) when the crop water requirement is calculated on the basis of the crop coefficient approach using satellite-based NDVI, coupled with meteorological data acquired at ground for establishing the irrigation scheduling (D’Urso and Calera Belmonte, 2006; Vuolo et al., 2006; Café et al., 2006).

NDVI time series are commonly obtained by processing the data collected by advanced high resolution satellite remote sensors. Nevertheless, satellite data at high temporal resolution are often unavailable because of the satellite time revisit, the presence of cloud cover or the high costs of images.

Then, NDVI time series can loose their temporal resolution and dynamic, making sometimes difficult their use for practical purposes in agriculture. In order to have high temporal resolution series of NDVI to a daily time-step, the useful operational scale, ground calculated indices should be used, interpolating them from a function fit to a smoothed NDVI time series (Huemerich et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2004). However, to our knowledge, few studies have been reported in international scientific literature on the accuracy of ground-based NDVI time series, seemingly due to the lack of appropriate experimental data (Wiegand and Richardson, 1990; Wang et al., 2004; González-Dugo and Mateos, 2006).

The objective of this work is to evaluate the performance of NDVI measured on ground by three different methods, for determining the LAI, the crop actual ET and the \( K_c \) of sugar beet crop, grown in a site of southern Italy, submitted to usual agronomical practices to obtain the best yield. Furthermore, relationships between the crop microclimate and these NDVI’s were also searched for. The aims of this paper are (i) to investigate about the possibility to integrate satellite-based NDVI time series with ground-based series for irrigation command area management and (ii) to improve the understanding of the crop-microclimate-NDVI relations for open field crops.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 The site and the crop

This study was carried out at a site of southern Italy (Capitanata plain) in 2006, 2007 and 2008 during three experimental field campaigns planned for the national research project AQUATER. The data here presented were acquired in two private farms (“Forte” during 2006 and “De Lucretis” during 2007 and 2008), on a very large field (more than 5 hectares) of autumnal sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.). The irrigation water was supplied by the “Consorzio per la Bonifica della Capitanata (Foggia)”, by aspersion method, following the local crop management aimed at maximising yield. The climate is “accentuated thermomediterranean” (Unesco-FAO classification), with temperatures below 0 °C in the winter and above 40 °C in the summer. Annual rainfall (mean 550 mm) is mostly concentrated during the winter months and reference evapotranspiration (ET\(_0\)) exceeds 5 mm day\(^{-1}\) in summer (Fig. 1). LAI was measured at random georeferenced points within each field by using a Li-Cor LAI2000 (Li-Cor, USA) that measures the blue light (320-490 nm)
transmitted through the canopy in 5 concentric cones (with 148° field of view). Randomization procedure was done splitting each field into three plots: for each plot a point into the middle was georeferenced. Starting from this point, moving to the left and right, 12 measurements of LAI for each georeferenced point were carried out at a distance among them of about 10 meters and 30 meters in length and width respectively (Fig. 2). Six values of LAI for each field were obtained by this randomization procedure.

2.2 The NDVI indices, the evapotranspiration and the microclimate

The chlorophyll strongly absorbs the red (R) wavelength of the electromagnetic spectrum for use in photosynthesis, on the other hand, leaf cells have also evolved to scatter solar radiation in the near-infrared (NIR) spectrum region. The NDVI is calculated, using these properties of vegetation, as follow (Rouse et al., 1974):

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{R}}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}} + \rho_{\text{R}}} \tag{1}
\]

where \(\rho\) can be digital counts, at-satellite radiance, top of the atmosphere apparent reflectances, land-leaving surface radiance, surface reflectances or hemispherical spectral albedos (Huete et al., 1999). In this work, the NDVI has been estimated by applying three different approaches.

The first one (NDVI₁) is calculated by the previous Eq. (1) using the hand-held MSR5 multispectral radiometer (Cropscan INC., USA). This instrument measures both incoming and reflected radiation over five optical bands including the first five ones of Thematic Mapper sensors onboard the successive Landsat missions. Two of these bands are centred on red (0.63-0.69 \(\mu\)m) and near-infrared (0.76-0.99 \(\mu\)m) wavelengths. When this sensor was used, measurements were made along two transects (North-South and East-West), on 4 points every 10 m around the centre of the plot, on weekly basis, at solar noon. For every point, 8 repetitions have been carried out. The second NDVI (NDVI₂) is calculated using always the Eq. (1) by measuring the reflectances by means of the automatic multispectral radiometer SKR1850 (Skye Instruments Ltd, UK). It has four channel light sensors for measuring incoming and outgoing radiation. For the calculation of NDVI₂ the optical bands were centred on 0.661 \(\mu\)m and 0.830 \(\mu\)m wavelengths for red and near-infrared respectively. The values of these radiations have been acquired continuously by a datalogger CR10X (Campbell Sci, UK), with 10 s intervals and averaging time of 1 hour. It was installed 1.5 m above the crop.

Huemmerich et al. (1999) replaced the red domain with Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) and the near-infrared with an optical infrared domain in order to use the upward and downward PAR and global radiation sensors measurements. Thus, another spectral index can be used, it is the broadband NDVI (NDVI₃) calculated as:

\[
\text{NDVI₃} = \frac{\rho_{\text{OIR}} - \rho_{\text{AR}}}{\rho_{\text{OIR}} + \rho_{\text{AR}}} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{PAR}}\) is PAR reflectance, i.e. the ratio of reflected and incoming PAR measured by downward and upward quantum sensors respectively.
\[ \rho_{\text{PAR}} = \frac{\text{PAR}_t}{\text{PAR}_i} \quad (3) \]

and \( \rho_{\text{OIR}} \) is the reflectance of optical infrared radiance (irradiance value between the difference of global radiation and PAR), calculated as

\[ \rho_{\text{OIR}} = \frac{R_{g_t} - \text{PAR}_t}{R_{g_i} - \text{PAR}_i} \quad (4) \]

where \( R_{g_i} \) and \( R_{g_t} \) are incoming and reflected global radiation respectively. In this case PAR and \( R_{g_t} \) both reflected and incident, were measured continuously with sensors SKP210 and SKS1100 (Skye Ins., UK) respectively, by a datalogger CR10X (Campbell Sci., UK).

The measurements of reflectance used to calculate NDVI\(_2\) and NDVI\(_b\) were carried out at the centre of each plot where the meteorological and micrometeorological variables were monitored (Fig. 3).

The actual evapotranspiration of the crop was measured by the eddy covariance method (EC) (Kaimal and Finnigan, 1994). A three-dimensional sonic anemometer (USA-1, Metek, Germany) was used coupled with an open-path sensor for the fast acquisition of water vapor concentration (LI-7500, Li-Cor, USA). The sensors were connected to an industrial computer and acquired by software (MeteoFlux, Servizi Territorio S.r.l., Cinisello B. (Mi), Italy).

Since the objective of this study was to evaluate the performance of NDVI indices in any climate and crop conditions along the whole growing season, in order to evaluate the most complete time series, in case of failure of the EC technique, the model shown in Katerji and Rana (2008) was used for filling the gaps. In this case ET is equal to

\[ ET = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\Delta \Delta + \rho c_p D/\rho_a}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + \rho_e/\rho_a)} \quad (5) \]

where \( \Delta = R_n - G \) is the available energy (W m\(^{-2}\)), \( \rho \) is the air density (kg m\(^{-3}\)), \( \Delta \) is the slope of the saturation pressure deficit versus tempera-
ture function (kPa C\(^{-1}\)), \(\gamma\) is the psychrometric constant (kPa C\(^{-1}\)), \(c_p\) is the specific heat of moist air (J kg\(^{-1}\) C\(^{-1}\)), \(D\) is the vapor pressure deficit of the air (kPa), \(r_c\) is the bulk canopy resistance (s m\(^{-1}\)) and \(r_a\) is the aerodynamic resistance (s m\(^{-1}\)), \(\lambda\) is the latent heat of evaporation (J kg\(^{-1}\)). The aerodynamic resistance \(r_a\) was calculated between the top of the crop and a reference point \(z\) sited in the boundary layer above the canopy, following Perrier (1975a; 1975b), as a function of wind speed \(u\) (m s\(^{-1}\)) measured 2 m above the crop. All the variables were measured directly above the crop, by commercial sensors after accurate calibration in laboratory.

For calculating ET in the Eq. (5), the canopy resistance \(r_c\) has to be previously determined. In the present work, the hourly variation of \(r_c\) is simulated starting from a relationship taking into account the associated effects of solar radiation, air vapour pressure deficit and wind speed. Katerji and Perrier (1983) proposed to simulate the resistance \(r_c\) by the following relation:

\[
\frac{r_c}{r_a} = a \frac{r^*}{r_a} + b
\]  

(6)

where \(a\) and \(b\) are empirical calibration coefficients which requires experimental determination (Katerji and Rana, 2008). For sugar beet the coefficient “\(a\)” and “\(b\)” were 0.45 and 4.49 respectively (Ferrara et al., 2008). \(r^*\) (s m\(^{-1}\)) is given by:

\[
r^* = \frac{\Delta + \gamma}{\Delta \gamma} \frac{\rho c_p D}{A}
\]  

(7)

This resistance \(r^*\) can be considered as a “climatic” resistance, because it depends only on weather variables. This model has been used to calculate ET for different species (alfalfa, rice, grass, lettuce, sweet sorghum, sunflower, grain sorghum, soybean, clementine orchard, sloping grassland) as reported by Katerji and Rana (2006). The daily values of ET were calculated, considering in this direct method (index “\(d\)” the sum of hourly values in the time interval between 8 a.m. and 6 p.m.:

\[
ET_d = \sum_{h=8}^{18} ET
\]  

(8)

The crop coefficient \(K_c\) for sugar beet was calculated as:

\[
K_c = \frac{ET_d}{ET_0}
\]  

(9)

In this relation the daily reference evapotranspiration \(ET_0\) was calculated by cumulating the hourly values of ET estimated by the model (5), with the coefficients \(a = 0.16\) and \(b = 0\) corresponding to those of a well water short grass (Rana et al., 1994; Katerji and Rana, 2006). The \(ET_0\) was not estimated by the Penman-Monteith given in FAO56 (Allen et al., 1998), since Katerji and Rana (2006) demonstrated that in Apulia sites this equation does not work correctly. The same kind of sensors used for measuring agrometeorological variables on the sugar beet were also used to measure the meteorological variables for calculating \(ET_0\), in this case the sensors were placed above a reference grass in an agrometeorological station few kilometers far from the experimental fields.

In all cases, for the micrometeorological measurement of variables and fluxes the fetch in all the directions was large enough for being well below the adjusted internal crop boundary layer. The measurements were carried out from 14 April to 15 May 2006, from 12 April to 16 June 2007, from 25 January to 10 April 2008, therefore all the phenological phases of sugar beet were covered from sowing to harvest.

### 3. Results and discussion

The EC technique failed for about 33% of the measurements due to power supply breaks and equipment failure, so, to cover this period the ET was calculated by the model above described. The good agreement between the modelled and measured ET is shown in Figure 4 where a comparison at daily time scale is made. Moreover, details about the performance of the presented model are given in Ferrara et al. (2008).

In order to compare the three considered indices in the same experimental conditions, the values of NDVI\(_1\), NDVI\(_2\) and NDVI\(_b\) measured at noon (12:00 solar local time) in selected clear days are shown in Table 1, together with the standard deviation (SD). From this table it is clear that the mean values of the three indices are very close in each considered day, but the standard deviations are very different. Actually, the SD for NDVI\(_1\) is mainly due to the spatial variability of the measurements along the tran-
sects, while the SD for NDVI\textsubscript{2} and NDVI\textsubscript{b} are the ones recorded by the datalogger and due to the time variability of the measurements carried out by fixed above crop sensors for one hour every 10 seconds. Anyway, for these two last indices in this given climatic condition (clear days), the NDVI\textsubscript{b} showed the lowest SD (ranging from 0.01 to 0.03) while the NDVI\textsubscript{2} showed the worst SD (0.01 ÷ 0.12). The problem of the temporal variability of NDVI measured at ground seems to be important either for the NDVI calculated from canopy reflectance (NDVI\textsubscript{1} and NDVI\textsubscript{2}, Duchemin et al., 2006) or for the broadband NDVI\textsubscript{b} (Wang et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the variability of NDVI is strongly dependent on the climatic conditions, seemingly due to the cloud cover of the sky. In fact, the NDVI\textsubscript{2} and NDVI\textsubscript{b} assume highly variable values during cloud cover days and, above all, for rainy days (Table 2). Actually, on the other hands, the path of NDVI along the day shows a daily trend both for clear, partly cloudy and cloudy days (Wang et al., 2004), as shown in Figure 5 for NDVI\textsubscript{2} and NDVI\textsubscript{b}, the indices automatically acquired in continuous. This trend could be either linked to sun angle effects or leaf angle modification due to water stress; however, no data are available to investigate these two hypothetic causes. However, the variation of both indices around noon (1100 ÷ 1400) is small on clear days.

Since the LAI of sugar beet was measured at weekly/biweekly time scale, in order to obtain a clear relationship between LAI and NDVI we compared the observations of all the three years together, selecting the values of available NDVIs at noon for the days with measurements of LAI. The NDVI shows a logarithmic response to LAI (Fig. 6), in close agreement with results obtained using satellite-based NDVI by Baret et al. (1989), Richardson et al. (1992) and more recently by Duchemin et al. (2006). The comparison in Figure 6 clearly

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure.png}
\caption{Comparison between daily values of actual ET modelled by the presented model and ET directly measured in the field by eddy covariance or aerodynamic method on sugar beet during 2007, when LAI ≥ 2 (data reported in Ferrara et al., 2008).}
\end{figure}

| Day         | NDVI\textsubscript{1} | SD\textsubscript{1} | NDVI\textsubscript{2} | SD\textsubscript{2} | NDVI\textsubscript{b} | SD\textsubscript{b} |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 20/01/2007  | 0.01                   | 0.16                 | 0.10                   | 0.09                 | 0.08                  | 0.01                |
| 25/01/2007  | 0.32                   | 0.22                 | 0.35                   | 0.12                 | 0.28                  | 0.01                |
| 13/03/2007  | 0.50                   | 0.23                 | 0.55                   | 0.09                 | 0.44                  | 0.01                |
| 12/04/2007  | 0.80                   | 0.21                 | 0.77                   | 0.02                 | 0.65                  | 0.03                |
| 21/05/2007  | 0.80                   | 0.18                 | 0.80                   | 0.01                 | 0.82                  | 0.01                |
| 21/06/2007  | 0.85                   | 0.22                 | 0.85                   | 0.04                 | 0.80                  | 0.01                |

| 2007 Min - Max | 2008 Min - Max |
|----------------|----------------|
| NDVI\textsubscript{2} | NDVI\textsubscript{b} | NDVI\textsubscript{2} | NDVI\textsubscript{b} |
| Clear days     | 0.01 – 0.12     | 0.01 – 0.06      | 0.11 – 0.17   | 0.01 – 0.04             |
| Cloudy days    | 0.09 – 0.40     | 0.01 – 0.05      | 0.18 – 0.45   | 0.01 – 0.05             |
| Rainy days     | 0.05 – 0.70     | 0.05 – 0.10      | 0.17 – 0.58   | 0.05 – 0.10             |
shows the NDVI saturation at LAI values greater than 1.5. These results are in agreement with those found by Gonzàles-Dugo and Mateos (2006) using field radiometry on sugar beet grown in Spain. In fact, these authors found similar relationship between LAI and NDVI, and NDVI saturation at LAI values of 2 m²/m² for sugar beet and 1.5 m²/m² for cotton.

Considering the lower variability of the broadband NDVI with respect to NDVI₂, to investigate the relationships between NDVI and evapotranspiration, the NDVIₚ has been used. In particular, the seasonal courses of daily actual evapotranspiration and the NDVIₚ measured at noon are plotted together in Figure 7. The measurements of 2007 and 2008 are put together in order to cover the whole growing cycle with all the phenological phases. The ET values ranged between 0.5 mm/d, when the crop is in early stage or the daily solar radiation is very low, to 6-7 mm/d when the crop is fully developed. The NDVIₚ seems to follow the path of daily ET; however, a regression between these two variables does not show a significant relationship ($r^2 < 0.3$), even if only the very clear days were selected. During 2008 a close sequence of violent storms in the period 20 February - 10 March (Day Of The Year 51-70) strongly damaged the sugar beet, causing a decreasing of green leaf area. This decreasing seems to be detected by the NDVIₚ.

The result of the comparison between the NDVIₚ at noon (the same as the previous figure) and the crop coefficient $K_c$ is shown in Figure 8, also in this case the measurements of 2007 and 2008 are put together. Here the $K_c$ seems to be much more related to the NDVIₚ, in fact, the regression between these two variable gives a significant linear relationship, as clearly shown by the Figure 9 ($r^2 = 0.60$, $\alpha = 0.05$).

An analysis on relationships between NDVI and microclimate was performed. In particular,
a dependence on air temperature ($T_{air}$) was investigated, considering also a possible time lag between variation in temperature and NDVI. By using the daily NDVI measured at noon and the mean daily $T_{air}$, the cross correlation analysis between these two variables demonstrated that there was no correlation between them, also shifting one respect the other for many days. Only a direct effect of rain on failure of NDVI measurements was observed, while no relationship with net radiation was found.

4. Conclusions

Three techniques to calculate the ground-NDVI have been evaluated in this work, for sugar beet in all its phenological phases. The first one, $NDVI_1$, is based on hand made reflectance measurements, the second one, $NDVI_2$, on automatically reflectance measurements and the third one, the broadband NDVI$_b$, on PAR and global radiation measurements. The NDVI$_1$ is difficult to be used in routine because it needs many hand made measurements inside the vegetation in order to take into account the spatial variability of the vegetation structure (LAI, height, soil covering). NDVI$_2$ and NDVI$_b$ give the same
values, but the last one is affected by lower variability with respect the first one. Furthermore, NDVI_b can be determined using standard commercial solar sensors, usually found in agrometeorological stations, thus it is preferable for routinely acquired observations.

The NDVI satellite-derived and the NDVI ground-based are not directly comparable due to the difference in atmospheric influence. However, the relationship between LAI and NDVI by ground-based measurements shows a pattern comparable to the LAI vs satellite-derived NDVI. Moreover, the ground-based LAI vs NDVI relationship could be very useful to couple modelling and remote sensing approaches through forcing and assimilation procedure, also when satellite data are not available. Nevertheless, due to saturation, the use of NDVI for estimation of LAI is in poor or weak accuracy for well developed soil covering crops.

A well established relation has been found between NDVI and crop coefficient $K_c$ experimentally determined by the ratio between actual and reference measured or modelled evapotranspirations. While the relation between NDVI and crop actual evapotranspiration is very weak and not usable for practical purposes.

The absence of an evident relationship between the microclimate and the NDVI needs to be further investigated in order to be sure that it is not due to instrumental troubles. Longer datasets are needed to be sure that our results are not completely in contrast with the relation between air temperature and NDVI found by Wang et al. (2004) on a forest.

The development of more sophisticated sensors and the refinement of image analysis techniques should overcome the time resolution limitation of satellite data. Recently, the new satellite constellation (4 satellites) of the German society ‘RapidEye’ is acquiring multispectral remote sensing images for agricultural applications (www.rapideye.de). They provide low cost images, with a spatial resolution of 5 m and an high time resolution, with the peculiarity to collect data in the “red-edge” spectral band which is more sensitive to chlorophyll changes. This new technology allows the development of new and more accurate vegetation index. In this optics, the ground data are fundamental information to calibrate and validate the remote sensing indices.
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