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ABSTRACT

Microorganisms lives with us in our environment, touching infectious material on the surfaces by hand-mouth which causes infectious diseases and some of these diseases are rapidly spreading from person to person. These days the world facing COVID-19 pandemic disease. This article concerned with existence of results and stability analysis for a nabla discrete ABC-fractional order COVID-19. The nabla discrete ABC-fractional operator as more general and applicable in modeling of dynamical problems due to its non-singular kernel. For the existence and uniqueness theorems and Hyers-Ulam stability, we need to suppose some conditions which will play important role in the proof of our main results. At the end, an expressive example is given to provide an application for the nabla discrete ABC-fractional order COVID-19 model.

Introduction

Humans born on the earth with some active viruses and inactive bacteria. Infectious diseases caused by viruses, bacteria, fungi and arthropods. Due to these infectious diseases humans worldwide facing pandemic diseases such as HIV, COVID-19, Malaria, Influenza, Tuberculosis, Zika virus infection, Smallpox, measles, yellow fever, Cholera and Leprosy. In 2018, according to WHO 37.9 million infected and 770,000 peoples died from HIV/AIDS, three to five million peoples dying from Influenza yearly, 20,000 peoples died from dengue yearly, 770,000 peoples died from HIV/AIDS, three to five million peoples dying from dengue yearly, 405,000 peoples died from malaria. In Dec 2019 a new virous disease COVID-19 identified in Wuhan city capital of Hubei provence China. COVID-19 pandemic shocked the whole world because of economic disruption and rapidly spreading from person to person. According to WHO main source of COVID-19 viruses spreading between the peoples by close contact, sneezing, coughing and talking. Common symptoms appears in the infected person fever, fatigue, shortness of breath, cough, sense of smell lass, respiratory syndrome and pneumonia. COVID-19 spread in 188 countries on going 5.69 million peoples infected and resulting 355,000 peoples died, further details [1–3]. To understand the dynamics of these pandemic diseases, mathematical tools play a vital role in the field of biological sciences and numbers of diseases have been modeled such as HIV, Ebola virus diseases, dengue fever, measles epidemic [35–40]. In the last two decades biological models have been extensively studied. Chetterjee et al. [41] developed SEIR model for COVID-19 and studied different aspects of the model. Wen et al. [42] provided positive periodic results for the stochastic SIV model. Ivorra et al. [4] modeled special cases of diseases, infectious conditions and sanitary condition of hospitalized people and numerical results were obtained to express obtained results.

In recent years, a lot of researchers paid their attentions to the study of fractional differences, which is the generalization or extension of classical calculus. Gray and Zhang [41] introduced some basic properties of fractional difference. Miller and Ross [4] provided research studies on fractional sums and differences with the use of nabla and delta operator in the frame of Riemann–Liouville. After that, by combine efforts numbers of mathematicians have provided qualitative theories such as, existence and uniqueness of solutions (EUS), stability analysis,
oscillations, asymptotic, periodicity and multiple solutions. The usability of fractional differences are encountered in various area of applied sciences, such as, engineering, physics, computer science, chemistry, biology, signal processing, electrochemistry, viscoelasticity, fluid dynamics and image processing [5-9,12-17].

Due to non-singular, non-local properties and historical dependence of variable state researchers investigate numerous aspects fractional differences, for example, Khan et al. [20] provided fractional order HIV-TB model and analyzed existence solutions, stability results and numerical solutions. Zhang et al. [22] developed fractional order turbulent flow model and studied EUS by using fixed point theorem and illustrated the results. Khan et al. [22] studied HIV/AIDS model in sense of dynamics and image processing [5-12]. Khan et al. [24] studied existence of solutions, TB model and analyzed existence solutions, stability results and numerical results for the generalized model. Abdeljawad and Al-Mdallal [23] used the Banach contraction principle theorem to get existence results for the discrete fractional differences in sense of discrete Mittag Leffler kernel and numerical results were provided for the results illustration. Khan et al. [24] studied existence of solutions, stability for the fractional order advection diffusion model in sense of Mittag Leffler kernel and exemplified the results numerically. Abdeljawad et al. [25] studied existence solution of q-fractional difference equations with help of Krasnosel’ski’s theorem and demonstrate the results by Lotka-Volterra model. For more explanation and details about discrete Mittag-Leffler and exponential kernels we suggest to readers the recent manuscripts [26-34].

The predominant goal of this article, to obtain existence results and stability analysis of the nabla ABC-fractional COVID-19 model. Fixed point theorem use for the existence results and Hyres-Ullam technique utilize to obtain stability analysis for the nabla ABC-fractional COVID-19 model. The whole study work has been arranged as follows: Section ‘Basic definitions of nabla fractional calculus’, the basic definitions. Section ‘Model description’, model description. Section ‘Existence of solutions’, existence results. Section ‘Hyers-Ulam stability’, stability analysis. Section ‘Numerical data fitting’, numerical illustration. Section ‘Conclusion’, Conclusion.

Basic definitions of nabla fractional calculus

Definition 0.1. (See [6,9-12]) Suppose $\rho(r) = r - 1$, which is known as backward jump. Then for a function $F: N_{b} = \{a.a + 1.a + 2...\} \rightarrow R$, the left type nabla fractional sum of order $\theta > 0$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \sum_{t=r}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (r - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(z), \quad r \in N_{a+1}.$$  

Similarly, for a function $F: \mathbb{N} = \{b.b - 1.b + 2...\} \rightarrow R$, the right type nabla fractional sum of order $\theta > 0$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \sum_{t=r}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (z - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(z), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_{\theta-1}.$$  

Definition 0.2. (See [6,9-12]) Let $a < b$ in $R$, for a function $F$ defined on $N_{b}$, the left discrete nabla AB fractional difference is given in sense of Caputo by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r)}{\Gamma(\theta) \Gamma(1 - \theta)} \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (t - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(t), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

in Riemann-Liouville sense by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (t - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(t), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

Similarly, for a function $F$ defined on $R$, the right discrete nabla AB fractional difference is given in sense of Caputo by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (t - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(t), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

in Riemann-Liouville sense by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r)}{\Gamma(1 - \theta)} \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+\frac{1}{\theta}} (t - \rho(z))^{\alpha-1} F(t), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

where $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha}$ with $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} \mid_{\theta=0.1} = 1$ denotes of normalization function and $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha}$ is ML function. The notions $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F$, $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F$, $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F$ and $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F$ denotes the right and left nabla AB fractional differences in Caputo sense, right and left nabla AB fractional differences in the frame of Riemann-Liouville.

Definition 0.3. (See [6,9-12]) For $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and a function $F$ defined on $N_{b}$, the left nabla AB fractional sum is given by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = 1 - \theta F(r) + (1 - \theta) \nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

Similarly, right nabla Ab fractional for a function $F$ defined on $N_{b}$ given by

$$\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r) = 1 - \theta F(r) + (1 - \theta) \nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} F(r), \quad \theta \in (0, 1/2).$$

where $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha}$ with $\nabla_{\theta}^{\alpha} \mid_{\theta=0.1} = 1$, represent the normalization function.

Model description

In this section, we present Khan et al. [19] formulated the integer order COVID-19 model, in which S rate of susceptible, E exposed people, I infected people, A asymptomatic infected people and R recovered from disease, infected place or market $Q$. The integer order COVID-19 model is given by

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= R S(t) - \alpha S(t) \left( I(t) + \beta A(t) \right), \\
\frac{dE(t)}{dt} &= \alpha S(t) \left( I(t) + \beta A(t) \right) - \delta E(t), \\
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= (1-\phi) \delta E(t) - (\sigma + \delta + I) I(t), \\
\frac{dA(t)}{dt} &= \phi E(t) - (\sigma + \delta + I) A(t), \\
\frac{dR(t)}{dt} &= \sigma I(t) + \rho A(t) - \gamma R(t), \\
\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} &= \gamma I(t) + \tau A(t) - \eta Q(t),
\end{align*}$$

(10)
with initial conditions
\[ S(t) > 0, E(t) > 0, I(t) > 0, A(t) > 0, R(t) > 0, Q(t) > 0. \]

Where \( N \) denotes total population of people \( N = S(t) + E(t) + I(t) + A(t) + R(t) + Q(t) \). Birth rate \( \Delta \), rate of contact \( \alpha \), rate of natural mortality \( \lambda \), rate of transmission \( \beta \), period of incubation \( \delta \), period of

\[ S(t) - S(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ \Delta - \lambda S(t) - \frac{dS(t)}{N(t)} + \beta A(t) \right] - \gamma S(t) Q(t), \]

\[ E(t) - E(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ \Delta - \lambda E(t) - \frac{dE(t)}{N(t)} + \beta A(t) \right] + \gamma S(t) Q(t), \]

\[ I(t) - I(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] I(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) I(t), \]

\[ A(t) - A(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] A(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) A(t). \]

\[ R(t) - R(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] R(t) - \beta R(t), \]

\[ Q(t) - Q(0) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] Q(t) + \tau Q(t) - \eta Q(t). \]

\[ \begin{aligned}
& \text{For simplicity, we define } F_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_1^6 \text{ as follows:} \\
& F_1(t, S) = \Delta - \lambda S(t) - \frac{dS(t)}{N(t)} + \beta A(t), \\
& F_2(t, E) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) E(t), \\
& F_3(t, I) = \phi E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) I(t), \\
& F_4(t, A) = \phi E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) A(t), \\
& F_5(t, R) = \phi E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) R(t), \\
& F_6(t, Q) = \phi E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) Q(t). \\
\end{aligned} \]

**Theorem 0.4.** The kernels \( F_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) hold the Lipschitz condition and contractions. If the subsequent respective conditions \( 0 < \Omega_2 < j, j \in \mathbb{N}_1^6 \) are satisfied.

**Proof.** Consider the kernel
\[ F_1(t, S) = \Delta - \lambda S(t) - \frac{dS(t)}{N(t)} + \beta A(t), \]

\[ F_2(t, E) = \frac{1 - e^{\frac{r}{s(\alpha)}}}{s(\alpha)} \left[ 1 - \phi \right] E(t) - (\sigma + \lambda) E(t). \]

Let \( S_1, S_2 \) are two functions, then we have
From (14), we find that the kernel $F_i$ is satisfying the Lipschitz condition, moreover if $0 < \Omega < 1$, then the kernel $F_i$ is contraction. Similarly, we can get for $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ and $F_6$ as follows

\[
\|F_i(t, S_i) - F_i(t, S_i')\| \leq \Omega \|S_i - S_i'\|.
\]

From (15), we find that the kernels $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ and $F_6$ is satisfying the Lipschitz condition, moreover if $0 < \Omega < 1$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ then the kernel $F_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ is contraction. By using the above kernels, one can rewrite the system (12) in the following simple form:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_i(t) &= S_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, S_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, S_i(\rho(z)) \right), \\
E_i(t) &= E_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, E_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, E_i(\rho(z)) \right), \\
I_i(t) &= I_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, I_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, I_i(\rho(z)) \right), \\
A_i(t) &= A_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, A_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, A_i(\rho(z)) \right), \\
R_i(t) &= R_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, R_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, R_i(\rho(z)) \right), \\
Q_i(t) &= Q_i(0) + \frac{(1-e)F_i(t, Q_i)}{\mathcal{F}(e)} + \frac{e}{\mathcal{F}(e)} \sum_{\rho=1}^{i} (t-\rho(z))^{-1} F_i \left( z, Q_i(\rho(z)) \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Now, we construct the subsequent recursive formula as follows:
It is interesting to note that
\[ S_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} E_i(t) \cdot 0 \cdot I(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} R_i(t) \cdot 0 \cdot Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} D_i(t). \]
(19)

\[ A_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i(t) \cdot R(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} D_i(t) \cdot Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} QD_i(t). \]

Taking the norm for both sides of (18)
\[ \|A_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|F_1(t, S_n) - F_1(t, S_n)\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \times|F_1(z, S_n) - F_1(z, S_n)|||S_{n-1} - S_{n-2}||. \]

This implies
\[ \|A_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|D_{n-1}\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \|D_{n-1}\|. \]

Similarly, we get the following results:
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|D_{n-1}\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \|D_{n-1}\|. \]

\[ \|S_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|D_{n-1}\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \|D_{n-1}\|. \]

\[ \|S_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|D_{n-1}\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \|D_{n-1}\|. \]

\[ \|S_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|D_{n-1}\| + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \|\mathcal{P}\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t-r(z))^{-\gamma} \|D_{n-1}\|. \]

By using recursive method with Eq.(20)Eq.(21), we get
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|, \]
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|, \]
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|, \]
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|, \]
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|, \]
\[ \|S_n\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|S_0\| \|P_0\|. \]

Theorem 0.5. The nabla discrete ABC fractional order system (11) has a system of solutions if the following restrictions are hold:
\[ \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|P_0\| < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. \]
(22)

Proof. From (16) and (17), we assume
Let us define \( \lambda \) as follows
\[ \lambda(t) = S(t) - S(t), \quad \lambda(t) = E(t) - E(t), \quad \lambda(t) = I(t) - I(t), \quad \lambda(t) = A(t) - A(t), \quad \lambda(t) = R(t) - R(t), \quad \lambda(t) = Q(t) - Q(t). \]

According to the norm (25)
\[ \|\lambda(t)\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|P_0\| \|S(t) - S(t)\|. \]
(26)

With help of (21), we obtain
\[ \|\lambda(t)\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right)^{n-1}. \]
(27)

From Eq. (27), we see that \( \|\lambda(t)\| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). In the same way and the previous steps, we find that \( \|\lambda(t)\| \to 0 \) for \( i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Theorem 0.6. The system (11) has a unique system of solutions if the following conditions are hold:
\[ \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|P_0\| \|S(t) - S(t)\| < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. \]
(28)

Proof. We consider that there is another system of solutions \( S'(t), E'(t), I'(t), A'(t), R'(t), Q'(t) \) for the system (11), then we have
\[ \|S - S'\| \leq \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|P_0\| \|S(t) - S(t)\| \]
(29)

Making use of (29), we get
\[ \|S - S'\| \left( \left( \frac{1-e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} + \frac{e}{\|\mathcal{P}\|} \right) \|P_0\| \right) \|S(t) - S(t)\| < 0. \]
(30)

Eq.(30) is valid if and only if
\[ \|S - S'\| = 0. \]

This implies
\[ S(t) = S(t). \]

Repeating the same procedure with \( E(t), I(t), A(t), R(t), Q(t) \) we obtain
$E(t) = E^*(t), I(t) = I^*(t), A(t) = A^*(t), R(t) = R^*(t), Q(t) = Q^*(t)$.

This proves that the system (11) has a unique system of solutions.

**Hyers-Ulam stability**

**Definition 0.7.** The integral Eqs. (16) is Hyers-Ulam stability if there exists non-negative constants $\Delta_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$ satisfying:

For every $\alpha_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}_0^4$, if

$$\|S(t) - F_1(z, S(z))\| \leq \alpha_1,$$
$$\|E(t) - F_2(z, E(z))\| \leq \alpha_2,$$

(31)

---

**Table 1**

Shows the values of parameters given in Eq. (11). The time units is taken in years [18,19].

| Parameter | Description | Value | Reference |
|-----------|-------------|-------|-----------|
| $\Delta$ | Birth rate | $\lambda N$ | [19] |
| $\lambda$ | Natural mortality rate | $\frac{1}{76.79 \times 365}$ | [18,19] |
| $\sigma$ | Contact rate | 0.05 | [19] |
| $\beta$ | Transmissibility multiple | 0.02 | [19] |
| $\gamma$ | Disease Transmission coefficient | 0.000001231 | [19] |
| $\phi$ | The proportion of asymptomatic infection | 0.1243 | [19] |
| $\delta$ | Incubation period | 0.00047876 | [19] |
| $\mu$ | Incubation period | 0.005 | [19] |
| $\rho$ | Removal or recovery rate of I | 0.09871 | [19] |
| $\sigma$ | Removal or recovery rate of $A$ | 0.854302 | [19] |
| $\kappa$ | Contribution of the virus to $Q$ by $I$ | 0.0003998 | [19] |
| $\tau$ | Contribution of the virus to $Q$ by $A$ | 0.001 | [19] |
| $\eta$ | Contribution of the virus to $Q$ | 0.01 | [19] |

---

**Fig. 1.** The dynamics of corona virus model with different fractional order $\alpha$. 

---
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there exist $S'(t), E'(t), I'(t), A'(t), R'(t)$ and $Q'(t)$ are satisfying

$$
S'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_1(t, S)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_1(z, S)(z),
$$

$$
E'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_2(t, E)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_2(z, E)(z),
$$

$$
I'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_3(t, I)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_3(z, I)(z),
$$

$$
A'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_4(t, A)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_4(z, A)(z),
$$

$$
R'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_5(t, R)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_5(z, R)(z),
$$

$$
Q'(t) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)F_6(t, Q)}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} F_6(z, Q)(z),
$$

such that

$$
\|S - S'\| \leq \alpha_1 \Delta t, \quad \|E - E'\| \leq \alpha_2 \Delta t, \quad \|I - I'\| \leq \alpha_3 \Delta t, \quad \|A - A'\| \leq \alpha_4 \Delta t, \quad \|R - R'\| \leq \alpha_5 \Delta t, \quad \|Q - Q'\| \leq \alpha_6 \Delta t.
$$

\[\text{(33)}\]

\[\text{Theorem 0.8.} \quad \text{The nabla discrete ABC fractional order COVID-19 model (11) is Hyers-Ulam stable.}
\]

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{By Def. 0.7 and Eq.(16), consider (S(t), E(t), I(t), A(t), R(t), Q(t)) be the fact solution of (16) and (S'(t), E'(t), I'(t), A'(t), R'(t), Q'(t)) be an approximate solution satisfying (33). Then, we have}
\]

$$
\|S - S'\| \leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\|F_1(t, S) - F_1(t, S')\|}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (t-\rho(z))^{-\gamma} \|F_1(z, S) - F_1(z, S')\|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)\|S - S'\|}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \Omega \|S - S'\|
$$

$$
= \left( \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\mathcal{H}(z)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}(z)\Gamma(z)} \right) \Omega \|S - S'\|.
$$

\[\text{(34)}\]
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