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ABSTRACT

Context. Precise determinations of the chemical composition in early B-type stars constitute fundamental observational constraints on stellar and galactochemical evolution. Carbon, in particular, is one of the most abundant metals in the Universe but analyses in early-type stars are known to show inconclusive results. Large discrepancies between analyses of different lines in C II, a failure to establish the C II ionization balance and the derivation of systematically lower abundances than from other indicators like H II regions and young FG-type stars pose long-standing problems.

Aims. We discuss improvements to the non-LTE modelling of the visual line spectrum and to the spectral analysis of early B-type stars, as well as their consequences for stellar parameter and abundance derivations. The most relevant sources of systematic uncertainties and their effects on the analysis are investigated. Consequences for the present-day carbon abundance in the solar vicinity are discussed.

Methods. We present a comprehensive and robust C II/III/IV model for non-LTE line-formation calculations based on carefully selected atomic data. The model is calibrated with high-S/N spectra of six apparently slow-rotating early B-type dwarfs and giants, which cover a wide parameter range and are randomly distributed in the solar neighbourhood. A self-consistent quantitative spectrum analysis is performed using an extensive iteration scheme to determine stellar atmospheric parameters and to select the appropriate atomic data used for the derivation of chemical abundances.

Results. We establish the carbon ionization balance for all sample stars based on a unique set of input atomic data. Consistency is achieved for all modelled carbon lines of the sample stars. Highly accurate atmospheric parameters and a homogeneous carbon abundance of log (C/H) + 12 = 8.32 ± 0.04 with reduced systematic errors are derived. Present evolution models for massive stars indicate that this value may require only a small adjustment because of the effects of rotational mixing, by < +0.05 dex per sample star. This results in a present-day stellar carbon abundance in the solar neighbourhood, which is in good agreement with recent determinations of the solar value and with the gas-phase abundance of the Orion H II region. Our finding of a homogeneous present-day carbon abundance also conforms with predictions of chemical-evolution models for the Galaxy. Moreover, the present approach allows us to constrain the effects of systematic errors on fundamental parameters and abundances. This suggests that most of the difficulties found in previous work may be related to large systematic effects in the atmospheric parameter determination and/or inaccuracies in the atomic data.
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1. Introduction

Studies of the chemical composition of early-type stars provide valuable observational constraints to our understanding of broad fields like stellar evolution, nucleosynthesis and galactochemical evolution. The accuracy of elemental abundance determinations defines the degree to which theory can be tested. However, quantitative spectroscopy relies on many model assumptions itself. A detailed understanding of the interaction between the radiation field and the plasma in the stellar atmosphere is thus required. Any weakness in the physical model limits the analysis even when high quality in observation and data reduction is achieved.

Concerning Galactochemical evolution (e.g. models of Hou et al. 2000; Chiappini et al. 2001, 2003), early-type stars are important probes for the current chemical composition of the Galaxy. They provide spatial information of elemental abundances also beyond the solar vicinity, allowing Galactic metallicity gradients to be derived (e.g. Gummersbach et al. 1998; Rolleston et al. 2000; Daflon & Cunha 2004). Abundances from young stars complement data from H II regions, allowing the current status of the nucleosynthesis of metals in the course of the cosmic cycle of matter to be constrained. Pristine abundances can be derived not only for Galactic early-type stars, but also for objects in the metal-poor environments of the Magellanic Clouds, using the present generation of large telescopes and high-resolution spectrographs (e.g. Korn et al. 2002, 2005; Rolleston et al. 2003; Hunter et al. 2007). Such investigations can be extended to more distant galaxies of the Local Group, and even beyond, by analysing intermediate-resolution spectra of early-type supergiants (e.g. Trundle et al. 2002; Urbaniaja et al. 2005ab). Moreover, CNO abundances derived from quantitative spectral analyses of massive early-type stars provide fundamental observational constraints for stellar evolution models. Basic stellar parameters and abundance patterns of the light elements resulting from mixing with nuclear-processed matter facilitates an empirical evaluation of different evolution models (e.g. Heger & Langer 2000; Maeder & Meynet 2000).
Carbon plays a special rôle among the light elements. It is a primary element created in the fundamental 3α-process and as such it provides the seed for the subsequent synthesis of all heavier elements (Burbidge et al. [1957]; Cameron [1957]). Carbon is an essential catalyst for the nucleosynthesis of H into He through the CNO cycle in massive and intermediate-mass stars. The element also constitutes the basis of all organic chemistry. Carbon abundances derived from early-type stars were the subject of numerous spectroscopic studies in the past decades, from the pioneering work of Unsöld (1932) to numerous others since then. The quality of observed data and the complexity of the model calculations and spectral analysis have been improved with great effort over these years, however some inconsistencies remained until the present. The ‘classical’ carbon problem in early-type stars addresses the extremely low abundances derived from the strong C ıı 4267 Å doublet when compared with those derived from weaker lines, as pointed out by Kane et al. (1980), referring to work by Hardorp & Scholz (1970) and Kodaira & Scholz (1970). Later on, more complications were found, such as discrepancies from both strong C ıı 4657Å/82 Å and 4267 Å multiplets, ıı 4657Å/82 Å and the weak lines, among the C ıı weak lines themselves and in abundances derived from C ıı and C ıı.

In nearby, bright and apparently slow-rotating stars it is possible to derive carbon abundances from weak lines which are less sensitive to the details of the analysis. However, studies of extragalactic or fast-rotating stars are restricted to the stronger lines because of S/N-constraints or rotational smearing. In those cases, one might be interested to analyse not only the C ıı 4657Å/82 Å and the 4267 Å multiplets, but also other strong C ıı or C ıı lines, in order to assure ionization equilibrium. A crucial step in the spectral modelling was to abandon the approximation of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) in line-formation calculations and allow for deviations (non-LTE). Several model atmospheres have been discussed in literature (Lennon [1983]; Sakhobili [1987]; Eber & Butler [1988]; Grigsby et al. [1992]; Sigut [1996]; Przybilla et al. [2001]; Lanz & Hubeny [2003, 2007]). The model atom of Eber & Butler found wide application for abundance analyses of mostly unevolved early-type stars in the solar neighborhood (e.g. Gies & Lambert [1992]; Kilian [1992]; Cunha & Lambert [1994]; Guimersbach et al. [1998]; Andrievsky et al. [1999]; Daflon et al. [1999, 2001ab]).

The C ıı 4267 Å multiplet poses a challenge to non-LTE line-formation calculations (e.g. Lambert [1993]; Sigut [1996]). Even in non-LTE studies this and the ıı 4657Å/82 Å multiplet failed to reproduce observation consistently (Grigsby et al. [1992]; Hunter et al. [2007]). Systematically lower abundances are derived than from other weaker C ıı lines (Gies & Lambert [1992], as in the LTE case. Observed trends for C ıı lines may also be poorly matched by non-LTE model calculations (Grigsby et al. [1992]). A failure to establish the C ıı/ıı ionization equilibrium in non-LTE was also reported. Differences in abundance from the ionization potential can amount up to a factor ~5–10 (Daflon et al. [2001b]; Hunter et al. [2007]). There are even more inconsistencies with published carbon abundances from early-type stars in a broader context that require other explanation, as most of the published studies avoid the lines sensitive to non-LTE effects.

A comparison of the available studies of early B-type stars indicates that present-day carbon abundances in the solar vicinity are highly inhomogeneous (even for stars within a single cluster) and largely sub-solar. This is in contrast to the findings of a uniform abundance in the gas-phase of the interstellar medium (ISM) within 1.5 kpc of the Sun (Sofia & Meyer [2001] and references therein). It cannot be understood from current stellar and Galactochemical evolution models either. Young massive stars that form out of a molecular cloud within a short timescale can be expected to show a homogeneous carbon abundance, which also coincides with that of their surrounding H II nebula. Moreover, this abundance is expected to be higher than that of objects from previous generations of star formation in their neighborhood, like young (≤ 2 Gyr) F and G stars, and that of the Sun. In reality, significant systematic differences exist (see e.g. Sofia & Meyer [2001]; Herrero [2003]), shedding doubt on the reliability of carbon abundances derived from early B-type stars.

Quantitative spectroscopic studies depend on the quality of the observational data, the physical approximations made in the modelling approach (stellar atmospheres, model atoms) and the analysis technique. The analysis procedure also involves several steps (data reduction, stellar parameter determination and abundance derivation), which are all critical for the quality of the final results. It is difficult to control systematic effects in the whole process. Therefore, the sources of discrepant results from the literature are hard to be identified.

One underestimated problem in the derivation of the chemical composition is certainly the selection of the input atomic data for non-LTE line-formation calculations (see e.g. Sigut [1996] or C ıı). Even when the model atmosphere computations reproduce the plasma conditions in the stellar photospheres realistically, use of different atomic data for the line-formation calculations can result in large systematic errors in the final abundances. The structure of atoms and therefore the atomic line spectra are governed by the laws of quantum mechanics, i.e. they are essentially independent of the environment the atoms are located in. This property can be used to calibrate model atoms for non-LTE calculations, by demanding that the model should reproduce observation reliably over the entire parameter space of relevance. In practice, this means that model atoms need to be tested for a few cases of well-established plasma conditions. The atmospheres of early B-type stars far from the Eddington limit provide such testbeds, as they can be described well by rather simple physical models. A small sample of stars, spanning the relevant range in spectral type and luminosity, is sufficient for such tests.

The present study aims to solve several inconsistencies concerning the derivation of carbon abundances in early B-type stars and to apply the new modelling and analysis technique in stars of the solar vicinity. It focuses on both i) the critical selection of appropriate atomic input data from ab-initio calculations and approximation formulae and ii) a self-consistent derivation of the atmospheric parameters of the sample stars from ionization equilibria. This detailed and reliable study allows us to derive abundances with unprecedented accuracy for a so far small but very well constrained sample of stars covering strategic points of the stellar parameter space and randomly distributed in OB associations and in the field of the solar neighbourhood.

This study is part of our efforts to improve on the accuracy of quantitative spectroscopy in early B-type stars (Nieva & Przybilla [2007] Paper 1; Nieva & Przybilla [2006] Paper 2) and related objects (Przybilla et al. [2003]; 2006a-c). The hybrid non-LTE approach (LTE model atmospheres + non-LTE line formation) was shown to be adequate for analyses of OB-type main sequence and giant stars in Paper 1, allowing highly consistent results to be derived. In particular, practically all measurable H and He lines from the Balmer jump to the near-IR (where available) have been reproduced with a single model for each of the

\[1\text{ Carbon experiences a slight depletion in the course of the CNO cycle, which may become observable at the stellar surface because of rotational mixing, see Sect.}\]
present sample stars, meeting a crucial boundary condition for all further analyses. Paper 2 discusses a solution to the ‘classical’ carbon problem, i.e. discrepant carbon abundances from C ii λ4267 Å and weaker lines, and also a solution to the notorious discrepancy of the prominent λ6578/82 Å and the 4267 Å multiplets. There, consistency was achieved for up to 21 C ii features of our sample stars. Those results are part of the present work, which discusses the topic in a much broader context. Here, we present for the first time a quantitative agreement of abundances from C ii and C iii lines for this kind of star. We also study C iv lines and establish the C ii/m/iv ionization equilibrium in a self-consistent way, never considered before in similar work. The linelist rises up to 40 features. In the present work we investigate the systematic errors arising due to uncertainties in the atomic data and stellar parameters and quantify the difference between LTE and non-LTE analyses, for the first time in this extent. Implications on effective temperature scales for abundance determinations are discussed. This extensive study provides us with reliable carbon abundances for a discussion in the context of galactochemical evolution.

The paper is organised as follows: Section 2 describes the model calculations including a description of the carbon model. Section 3 describes the empirical model calibration via an extensive and self-consistent iteration and the sensitivity of carbon line-formation calculations to atomic data and atmospheric parameter variations. Section 4 summarises the final results for parameters and carbon abundances of individual lines for the sample stars. In Sect. 5 we provide a comparison of our results to previous studies and the conclusions on the present-day carbon abundance in the solar neighbourhood are discussed in Sect. 6. A summary is given in Sect. 7.

2. Model calculations

The non-LTE line-formation computations for carbon follow the methodology discussed in our previous study of the hydrogen and helium spectra in early-type stars (Paper 1). A hybrid non-LTE approach is employed to solve the restricted non-LTE problem on the basis of prescribed LTE atmospheres. This technique provides an efficient way to compute realistic synthetic spectra in all cases where the atmospheric structure is close to LTE. The computational efforts can thus be concentrated on robust non-LTE line-formation calculations.

2.1. Model atmospheres and programs

The model atmospheres are computed with the ATLAS9 code (Kurucz 1993b), which assumes plane-parallel geometry, chemical homogeneity and hydrostatic, radiative and local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE). Line blanketing is realised via consideration of Opacity Distribution Functions (ODFs, Kurucz 1993a). We adopt standard solar abundances (Grevesse & Sauval 1998) in all computations, as in Paper 1. Helium abundance and microturbulence are adjusted according to the results from the quantitative analysis of the programme stars — requiring some iteration, as explained below. The model atmospheres are held fixed in the non-LTE calculations.

Non-LTE level populations and model spectra are obtained with recent versions of DETAIL and SURFACE (Giddings 1981; Butler & Giddings 1985, both updated by K. Butler). The coupled radiative transfer and statistical equilibrium equations are solved with DETAIL, employing an Accelerated Lambda Iteration scheme of Rybicki & Hummer (1991). This allows even complex ions to be treated in a realistic way. Continuous opacities due to hydrogen and helium (for actual abundances) are considered in non-LTE, line blocking is accounted for in LTE via Kurucz’ ODFs. Synthetic spectra are calculated with SURFACE, using refined line-broadening theories. Microturbulence is consistently accounted for in both steps with DETAIL and SURFACE.

Non-LTE level populations for hydrogen and He ii/ii are computed using recent model atoms by Przybilla & Butler (2004) and Przybilla (2005), respectively. The synthetic H and He ii/ii lines give excellent agreement with observations, in the optical as well as in the near-IR (Paper 1). This is a prerequisite for modelling metal lines which overlap with the (broad) hydrogen or helium features. In particular, the C ii λ6578/82 Å doublet is affected in the present case.

2.2. The C ii/iv model atom

We give a short summary of the input atomic data for the construction of the C ii/m/iv model atom in this section. A more detailed description of our motivation for choosing these atomic data will be given in Section 3.2, as this turned out to be critical for the realistic modelling of the observed spectra.

C ii. This model ion considers LS-coupled terms up to principal quantum number n = 10 and angular momentum ℓ = 9 (66 levels) explicitly in the non-LTE calculations, with all fine-structure sub-levels combined into one. Additional levels up to n = 14 are computed in LTE relative to the ground state of C iii. Level energies are adopted from Moore (1993), Sigut (1996) and Quinet (1998). The doublet and quartet spin systems are treated simultaneously. Oscillator strengths (gf-values) from three sources are considered: fine-structure data from ab-initio computations using the multiconfiguration Hartree-Fock method in the Breit-Pauli approximation of Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004) FFT04), data from application of the Breit-Pauli R-matrix method (Nahar 2002; N02a) and results obtained in the Opacity Project (OP) from the R-matrix method assuming LS-coupling (Yan, Taylor & Seaton 1987). Our primary source of gf-values is FFT04, followed by OP and N02a for the remaining transitions. Intercombination transitions are neglected because of very small oscillator strengths. Photoionizations cross-sections are adopted from the OP (Yan & Seaton 1987) for levels up to n = 9 and ℓ = 3 with a correction of the threshold frequencies to observed values and from Nahar & Pradhan (1997; NP97) for the remainder. Effective collision strengths for electron impact excitation among the lowest 16 LS-states are adopted from R-matrix computations of Wilson, Bell & Hudson (2005; 2007). An empirical increase by a factor two was applied to the 3s 3S−3p 3P data (see Sect. 4.2). Collisional excitation for transitions without detailed data are treated using the Van Regemorter (1962) approximation – in the optically allowed case – and via the semi-empirical Allen (1973) formula in the optically forbidden case. Collision strengths Ω varying between 0.01 (Δn ≥ 4) to 100 (Δn = 0) are employed, as suggested by evaluation of the detailed data from ab-initio computations of Wilson et al. (2005; 2007). Collisional ionization rates are evaluated according to the Seaton (1962) approximation.

Threshold photoionization cross-sections are adopted from OP and NP97, allowing for an empirical correction of one order of magnitude higher for the 6f 2F−6g 2G levels — corresponding to the upper levels of the C ii λ6151 and 6462 Å transitions, respectively.

C iii. This model accounts for LS-terms up to n = 7 and ℓ = 7 (70 levels) explicitly in the statistical equilibrium calculations. In a similar way to C ii, levels up to n = 14 are computed in LTE relative to the ground state of the next ionization stage. The
two spin systems (singlet and triplet) are treated simultaneously. Level energies are taken from the NIST database\(^2\) and energies for 9 of the highest levels are adopted from N02a. Two sources are considered for oscillator strengths: N02a and additional values from Eber (1987). Interecombination transitions are also implemented when their values are non-negligible \((f > 10^{-4})\). Photoionization cross-sections are taken from S. Nahar’s webpage. Maxwellian-averaged collision strengths for electron impact excitation among the lowest 24 terms are adopted from the R-matrix computations of Mitnik et al. (2003). Collisional excitation for the remaining transitions and collisional ionization are treated in analogy to the C ii ion, using appropriate gf-values and threshold photoionization cross-sections.

\(\text{C}^4\text{iv}\). \(LS\)-terms up to \(n = 10\) and \(\ell = 9\) (53 levels) are treated explicitly. Additional levels up to \(n = 14\) are computed in LTE relative to the ground state of C iv. Oscillator strengths from \textit{ab-initio} calculations using the Breit-Pauli R-matrix method (Nahar 2002b) are adopted. Photoionization cross-sections are also taken from Nahar’s webpage. Effective collision strengths for electron impact excitation of transitions among the lowest 24 fine-structure levels are taken from Aggarwal & Keenan (2004) and subsequently co-added. All remaining transitions, as well as collisional ionization, are treated in analogy to C ii.

The resulting C ii/iii model atom accounts for more than 1300 radiative and more than 5300 collisional transitions, ~200 \(LS\)-coupled energy levels and over 20000 frequency points – the latter allow the detailed resonance structure of the photoionization cross-sections to be sampled with <0.5 Å resolution. Accuracies of the atomic data can range from a typical 10-20% for \textit{ab-initio} computations to orders of magnitude for approximation formulae. Finally, Voigt profiles are adopted in the formal solution using \texttt{SURFACE}. Wavelengths and oscillator strengths of most of the observed transitions are taken from Wiese et al. (1996). For C ii \(\lambda\lambda 6151.3/5\) and 6461.9 Å the wavelengths are adopted from Kurucz & Bell (1995) and the f-values from N02a. Radiative damping parameters are calculated from OP lifetimes and coefficients for collisional broadening by electron impact are adopted from Griem (1974) for the C ii \(\lambda\lambda 4267\) Å doublet) or computed according to Cowley (1971). Detailed tabulations from quantummechanical computations for Stark broadening of several C iv transitions (Schönig 1993) are also used. The spectral lines used for abundance analysis are listed later in Table 3.

3. Simultaneous C ii/iii/iv model-atom calibration and atmospheric parameter determination

A reliable set of atomic data considered in model atoms for non-LTE calculations can be selected by demanding that the model reproduce the observations over the entire stellar parameter space of relevance. This procedure can be regarded as a ‘calibration’ and requires precise atmospheric parameters for the test sample stars. These should be free of systematic errors in order to prevent one from being mislead when optimising the selection of input atomic data. Unfortunately, the parameters of stars are \textit{a priori} not known, they also need to be inferred from observations. Consequently, a \textit{simultaneous} solution for an optimal set of input atomic data and all stellar parameters is required. These parameters should also allow the hydrogen and helium spectra to be reproduced.

\(^4\) using the standard logarithmic scale \(\epsilon(X) = \log(X/H) + 12\)

A sample of six apparently slow-rotating B-type dwarfs and giants (spectral class B0-B2, luminosity class V-III) randomly distributed in Galactic OB associations and in the field of the solar vicinity are taken as calibration stars. The observational data consists of high-resolution spectra with broad wavelength coverage at very high-S/N (up to ~800 in the Johnson B-band), obtained with FEROS on the ESO 2.2m telescope (La Silla, Chile), see Paper 1 for details.

3.1. Extensive iteration on stellar and atomic variables

The atmospheric parameter derivation and selection of input atomic data are simultaneously performed in an extensive iteration process. When possible, we try to separate the effects of the fundamental parameters and the atomic data on the synthetic spectra – the basis for the comparison with observation – in order to achieve a better understanding of the problem. This is facilitated by boundary conditions, like the ionization balance (all ionization stages of an element are required to indicate the same abundance) or the rules and regularities of atomic physics.

The iteration is performed on effective temperature \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and surface gravity \(\log g\), as well as micro-, macroturbulent and projected rotational velocities (\(\xi, \zeta\) and \(v \sin i\), respectively), helium and carbon abundances (hereafter \(\epsilon(\text{He})\) and \(\epsilon(\text{C})\), respectively) and different sets of atomic data. Only the metallicity is fixed to a standard solar value (Grevesse & Sauval 1998), a not too critical assumption which is furthermore validated \textit{a posteriori} (Przybilla et al. 2007, in prep.). This comprises an enormous number of variables (atmospheric parameters and atomic data) in the iterative scheme summarised as a flux diagram in Fig. 1. The first step concerning the H/He spectrum is solved in Paper 1. There, the He ii/iii ionization equilibrium is the main indicator for \(T_{\text{eff}}\) (for the hotter stars), all Balmer lines for \(\log g\), the He i lines for \(\xi\) and all He lines for \(\zeta\) and \(v \sin i\). The second step, involving carbon, is required for a fine tuning of the atmospheric parameter determination since the metal lines are more sensitive to parameter variations than the H and He lines. Therefore it is possible to derive them with a better precision than only from hydrogen and helium but at the same time consistently within the error limits. Effective temperature and \(\log g\) are refined by establishing the C ii/iii/iv ionisation equilibrium in the hottest stars and the C ii/iii ionization balance in the cooler stars. The microturbulent velocity is inferred in the standard way by demanding the carbon abundances of the individual lines to be independent of equivalent width. Macroturbulent and projected rotational velocities are determined by detailed fitting of the carbon line profiles. Line fits are performed on the basis of small grids of synthetic spectra with different \(\xi\) and \(\epsilon(C)\) via \(\chi^2\)-minimisation.

Since different sets of atomic data are available we have, first of all, to intercompare them and judge their reliability to minimise the uncertainties in the \(\epsilon(C)\)-determination. Starting from an initial model atom we use our expertise for stepwise improvement, guided by a careful analysis of the atomic structure of the model ions and the reactions of the spectrum synthesis to parameter variations. Over 100 models were built until consistency with all sample stars was achieved simultaneously. Some examples of the effects from different model atom realisations on the spectrum synthesis are given in the next section.

The atmospheric parameters derived from C ionization equilibrium in Step 2 are verified by re-iterating Step 1 as a final check for consistency. Excellent agreement with the observed H and He spectra is obtained in all cases, simultaneously in the vi-
Fig. 1. Flux diagram of our extensive iterative procedure for the carbon model atom calibration and the simultaneous precise determination of stellar parameters, as applied to each programme star. \( \text{RBB/CBB}/\text{radiative/collisional bound-bound, RBB/CBF}/\text{radiative/collisional bound-free transition data, } \text{EW: equivalent width. Step 1 is discussed in Paper 1, the sensitivity of C lines to different sets of atomic data in Sect. 3.2, and the sensitivity of C to parameter variations in Sect. 3.4 of the present paper. See the text for details.} \)

By application of the procedure to all programme stars it is possible to calibrate the C \( n \)-\( iv \) model over the entire parameter range (21 500 \( \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 32 \ 000 \ K, 3.10 \leq \log g \leq 4.30, \) for dwarfs and giants), resulting in a final reference set of atomic data. Note that the high-quality spectra available to us are essential for this success. They allow us to analyse a wide variety of C lines, many of which have never been considered before in the study of early B stars. Some weak lines turned out to be highly sensitive to non-LTE effects and/or to atmospheric parameter variations, namely the C \( iv \) lines and C \( n \) \( \lambda \lambda 6151 \) and 6462 Å, which change from absorption to emission at higher temperatures (discussed in Paper 2). The reproduction of the observed trends, despite this high sensitivity, puts strong constraints on the robustness of the final model atom. Note also that the presence of lines from three C ionization stages, in hotter stars of our sample, allows \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \) to be derived from the ionization equilibrium alone, independent of other indicators. The strength of this calibration lies in the simultaneous analysis of the large number of C lines of different ionization stages in stars covering a wide parameter range. In this way we are able to constrain a final set of atomic data independent of any specific stellar atmosphere environment. This reference model can be used in further applications with a simplified iterative scheme, where the only remaining variables are the atmospheric parameters and the C abundance.

3.2. Sensitivity of carbon lines to atomic data

Reliable level populations are a prerequisite for an accurate non-LTE analysis. They can be obtained only when: i) the local temperatures and particle densities are known (i.e. the atmospheric structure); ii) the radiation field is realistic; iii) all relevant processes in the statistical equilibrium equations are taken into account; and iv) high-quality atomic data are available. In particular, i) and ii) require a realistic physical model of the stellar atmosphere (see Paper 1 for a discussion of the hybrid non-LTE approach) and an accurate atmospheric parameter determination. Points iii) and iv) are related to the model atoms for the non-LTE calculations. Shortcomings in any of i)–iv) result in increased uncertainties/errors of the analysis.

Due to the interdependency of all transitions (over 6000 in this case) and the non-local character of the radiation field, even a restricted non-LTE problem like the one investigated here is highly complex. It is impossible to quantify a priori the sensitivity of the spectral lines to variations of some of the atomic input data. Therefore, one of the few remaining reasons for the large spread of carbon abundances found in literature (see Sect. 5) may be different realisations of model atoms (levels/transition considered, atomic data, approximations). Choosing an optimum set of input atomic data is not trivial and the construction of reliable model atoms for non-LTE calculations requires a calibra-
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Fig. 2. Grotrian diagram for the C\textsc{ii} doublet and quartet spin
systems. The observed multiplets in our spectra analysed here
are identified. Levels: those marked in bold correspond to levels
discussed in Figs. 4, 5 and 6. Multiplet transitions: those marked
by thick lines are highly sensitive to variations of input data for
photoionization and collisional cross-sections and for collisional
excitation (discussed in Figs. 4, 5 and 7, respectively). The lat-
ture lines are considered in our linelist (Table 3) for abundance derivation. Those marked by dotted lines are excluded from the analysis because of contamination with telluric lines (\(\lambda\lambda6257-59, 7231-37\)) or they are too weak even at low \(T_{\text{eff}}\) (15889-91 Å). Nevertheless they are accounted for in the calculations of the level populations.

The comparison of observation with model spectra for C\textsc{iii} and C\textsc{iv}, as computed with our initial model ions, reveals little need for improvement in the studied stellar parameter
range. Both ions are relatively simple, showing (earth)alkali
electron configurations, which pose little challenge to \textit{ab-initio}
computations. However, the actual choice of radiative and col-
losional data turned out to be a critical factor for line-formation
computations. However, the actual choice of radiative and col-

Photoionization cross-sections. The strength of a spectral
line can be strongly influenced by photoionizations which may
impact level populations decisively. On the other hand, pho-

toionization rates \(R_{ji}\) depend implicitly on the level populations.
The largest contribution to the integral \(R_{ji}\) comes from frequen-
cies with large flux and large cross-section. The flux maximum
in early B stars is located longward of the Lyman jump. The
ionization of C\textsc{ii} is essentially determined by the rates from the
highly-populated ground state and the low-excitation levels. The
relative importance of the low-excitation levels may be strength-
ened in cases where the ground state ionization potential coin-
cides with that of a major opacity contributor, He\textsc{i} in the present

A comparison of total photoionization cross-sections from
OP (Yan & Seaton [1987]) and NP97 for two levels (marked in the Grotrian diagram, Fig. 2) is given in Fig. 3. The first
term, \(2p^2\ S\), is not directly involved in the formation of the C\textsc{iii}
\(\lambda\lambda4267, 6151\) and 6462 Å transitions. However, it is populated
considerably and therefore contributes to the C\textsc{iii} ionization
balance. The cross-section for photoionization from this level to
the ground state of C\textsc{iii} is small because of radiative selection
rules. Shortward of \(\sim700\) Å the cross-section rises by about
two orders of magnitude as the optically allowed channel of
photoionization to the first excited level of C\textsc{iii} opens. The overestimation of the photoionization rate from the \(2p^2\ S\) state
by adopting the total instead of partial cross-sections is however
insignificant. The contribution of wavelengths below \(\sim700\) Å to
\(R_{ji}\) is e.g. less than 1% in the example discussed below. Note the
wavelength shifts in the resonance structures of the OP and the
NP97 data. This results in different contributions of the region
between the threshold and the Lyman edge to the integral in \(R_{ji}\),
which affect the photoionization rates considerably. On the other
hand, the photoionization cross-sections for \(4f\ ^2P^0\) agree well,
except for the resonance structure at shortest wavelengths where
the stellar flux becomes negligible. Consequently, both data (and
many others for highly-excited levels) are exchangeable without
showing consequences for the spectrum synthesis computations.
Note that we adopt experimental threshold wavelengths. On the other hand, for \(2p^2\ S\) and other levels at lower excitation energy there is a non-negligible effect on the \(\lambda\lambda4267\) and 6151 Å transitions when exchanging both data, as can be seen in Fig. 4. In an extreme case, accounting for photoionization cross-sections from NP97 for all levels results in a very strong
C\textsc{iii} \(\lambda\lambda4267\) Å line. A reduction of the C abundance by up
to \(-0.8\) dex is required to fit the observed line profile in our
calibration stars with such a model atom. As a consequence of
this is that we give preference to the OP data over the cross-sections
of NP97 in our final model atom (‘model of reference’), which
helps us to reproduce observation over the entire parameter
range consistently.

Oscillator strengths. Comparisons between multiple \textit{f}-values from three sources of \textit{ab-initio} computations are shown in Fig. 5 data based on \textit{i}) the multiconfiguration Hartree-Fock method in the Breit-Pauli approximation of FFT04, \textit{ii}) the Breit-
Pauil \textit{R}-matrix method of N02a and \textit{iii}) results from \textit{R}-matrix calculations assuming LS-coupling, as obtained by the Opacity
Project (Yan et al. [1987]). The primary source of \textit{f}-values is
FFT04, which should be most accurate. Our preference for the
OP over the N02a data is motivated by the good agreement of
the former with FFT04 (with two exceptions), while oscillator
strengths from N02a may show large differences for several
lines. Data from N02a is therefore adopted only in the cases
where the other sources do not provide information.
Fig. 3. Comparison of C II photoionization cross-sections from the Opacity Project (Yan & Seaton [1987]) and Nahar (1997) for 2p^2 2S and 4f^2 F^0 as a function of wavelength. See the text for a discussion.

Fig. 4. Sensitivity of line profiles of two C II transitions to variations of photoionization cross-sections. The calculations are made for three different model atoms using the same model atmosphere (as appropriate for HR 5285): our reference model atom, the reference model atom with cross-sections for 2p^2 2S from NP97, and the reference model atom with all photoionization data replaced by values from NP97. The profiles are not convolved for effects of rotation or instrumental broadening.

Collisional ionization cross-sections. Details of the collisional ionization cross-sections are important mostly for high-excitation levels (n>5). Only for these a significant amount of electrons in the Maxwell distribution are energetic enough to overcome the threshold for the reaction. We use the approximation of Seaton (1962), which is expected to be accurate to an order of magnitude at best, to evaluate the collisional ionization rates because of a lack of any data from ab-initio computations. Good agreement between the modelling and most observed C II lines is achieved (see below) when using the standard recipe of applying threshold photoionization cross-sections, except for two observed transitions. Improvements for the C II λλ 6151 and 6578 Å lines may be obtained by an empirical scaling of threshold cross-sections for the 6f^2 F^0 and 6g^2 G levels, the upper levels of the transitions. An increase by a factor 10, i.e. within the expected uncertainties, helps to reproduce observation over the entire atmospheric parameter range. The effects of scaled collision rates for those line profiles are shown in Fig. 5 as well as C II λ4267 Å, which remains practically unaffected. Larger scaling factors are empirically excluded.

Collisional excitation cross-sections. The collisional data used in a model atom will have an influence on the predicted line profiles. Accurate data from ab-initio calculations for larger sets of transitions have become available only recently. We employed effective collision strengths of Wilson et al. (2005) to construct the C II model. A later revision of part of the data (Wilson et al. 2007) had negligible influence on the predicted line profiles of almost all observable transitions, except for C II λλ 3918/20 and 6578/82 Å, see Fig. 7 for an example. The good agreement of abundances derived from these four with other transitions was broken when using the improved Wilson et al. (2007) data, requiring abundance adjustments of up to ~0.3 dex to match observation. We find that the situation may be improved for the stars of our calibration sample by increasing the effective collision strength for the 3s^2 S–3p^2 P^0 transition by an empirical factor of two, see Fig. 7. This is larger than the typical uncertainty of such ab-initio data, which amounts to an estimated 10-20%. However, a closer inspection of the energy-dependent collision strength for this transition shows that resonances dominate Ω in the region near threshold. The positions and strengths of the resonances are sensitive to the details of the atomic data calculations, in particular to the assumptions made for constructing the target. Consequently, more comprehensive ab-initio compu-
Fig. 6. Reactions of three highly non-LTE-sensitive lines to changes of collisional ionization cross-sections. The modifications are made for two energy levels which are directly involved in the formation of \(\text{C} \Pi \lambda \lambda 6151\) and 6462 \(\text{Å}\) and indirectly in \(\text{C} \Pi \lambda 4267 \text{Å}\). The calculations are made for different model atoms with specific values of the reaction cross-section at threshold and the same set of atmospheric parameters (as appropriate for \(\tau\) Sco).

Fig. 7. Effect of employing different effective collision strengths on the \(\text{C} \Pi \lambda \lambda 6578\) and 3920 \(\text{Å}\) lines (for HR 1861). Only variations of the data from \textit{ab-initio} calculations (Wilson et al. 2005, 2007) are considered.

Fig. 8. Comparison of synthetic \(\text{C} \Pi/\text{C} \III\) line profiles for two of our sample stars using different model atoms. One calculation uses our reference model atom and the other adopts standard approximation formulae for evaluating collisional rates for all transitions.

Fig. 9. Abundance differences from the analysis of \(\text{C} \Pi \lambda 4267\) and 6578 \(\text{Å}\) (highly sensitive to the input atomic data) and \(\text{C} \Pi \lambda 5145 \text{Å}\) (‘in LTE’). Displayed are results for our six sample stars, as a function of effective temperature. A comparison of our initial model atom (all radiative transition data for \(\text{C} \Pi\) from N02a, NP97) and our final model atom after the calibration is shown. See the text for details.

e.g. dropping the assumption of an energy-independent \(\Omega = 1\) for the evaluation of the Allen (1973) formula (see Sect. 2.2). In practice, simple approximations are used to describe collisional processes in most of the model atoms available for non-LTE calculations at present. One can ask what the effects on synthetic profiles will be from such a simplification. This is shown in Fig. 8 for selected lines in two of our programme stars. Notable corrections in abundance would be required to reproduce the results from our reference model, an increase in some of the lines but also a decrease in others. Our overall good agreement with
observation (Sect. 3) would be destroyed: the non-LTE sensitive lines and the ‘lines in LTE’, which are unaffected by such modifications, would indicate widely different abundances. Note that the effects vary from star to star.

We conclude the discussion on the impact of atomic data on line-formations calculations in Fig. 9. Here, we quantify the differences in abundance derived with our initial model atom (built from the available homogeneous set of atomic data, NP97/N02a) and with our model of reference (after the calibration) for the entire sample of stars. Abundances from the strong and non-LTE-sensitive C ii λ4267 and 6578 Å transitions are compared to abundances derived from the weaker C ii λ5145 Å line, which is almost insensitive to the details of the model atom (i.e. it is ‘in LTE’). The relative abundance is displayed as a function of effective temperature for each star (see Section 4 for final results). Pronounced systematic trends exist when the initial model atom is used, correlating with the strength of the lines (i.e. stronger lines show a larger sensitivity to non-LTE effects and therefore to the input atomic data). These trends and abundance differences from C ii λ4267 and 6578 Å almost vanish when our model of reference is used. The remaining small differences may be reduced even further when improved atomic data, in particular for collisions involving high-excitation levels, become available. Note, that the C ii λ6582 Å line (not displayed here) shows a trend similar to that of λ6578 Å. The whole multiplet centred on C ii λ5145 Å also behaves consistently. This kind of test has been made for all C n-iv lines for every set of input atomic data in the empirical calibration process of the model atom. The detailed study of non-LTE and LTE abundances in Sect. 4 helps to identify the calibration process of the model atom. The detailed study of departures of the level occupations impact the line source function in the transitions of interest and the ion ground states. Non-LTE are displayed in the left panel of Fig. 10 for the levels involved to non-LTE e(3) (see Section 4 for final results). Pronounced systematic trends exist when the initial model atom is used, correlating with the strength of the lines (i.e. it is ‘in LTE’). The relative abundance is displayed as a function of effective temperature for each star (see Section 4 for final results). Pronounced systematic trends exist when the initial model atom is used, correlating with the strength of the lines (i.e. stronger lines show a larger sensitivity to non-LTE effects and therefore to the input atomic data). These trends and abundance differences from C ii λ4267 and 6578 Å almost vanish when our model of reference is used. The remaining small differences may be reduced even further when improved atomic data, in particular for collisions involving high-excitation levels, become available. Note, that the C ii λ6582 Å line (not displayed here) shows a trend similar to that of λ6578 Å. The whole multiplet centred on C ii λ5145 Å also behaves consistently. This kind of test has been made for all C n-iv lines for every set of input atomic data in the empirical calibration process of the model atom. The detailed study of non-LTE and LTE abundances in Sect. 4 helps to identify the lines in LTE for the different atmospheric parameters under analysis. These may provide good starting points for further analyses when one desires to avoid non-LTE effects.

3.3. Line-formation details

A closer study of the underlying line-formation processes allows the nature of the non-LTE effects to be understood. This is shown in Fig. 10 for some representative transitions of C n/m/iv in our programme star τ Sco. Departure coefficients \( b_i = n_i^{\text{NLTE}}/n_i^{\text{LTE}} \) are displayed in the left panel of Fig. 10 for the levels involved in the transitions of interest and the ion ground states. Non-LTE departures of the level occupations impact the line source function \( S_l \). We recall that the ratio of \( S_l \) to the Planck function \( B_T \) (shown for selected transitions in the right panel of Fig. 10) is \( S_l/B_T = \frac{\exp\left(hv_{ij}/kT\right) - 1}{\exp\left(hv_{ij}/kT\right) - 1} \). \( S_l/B_T \) is determined by the ratio of the departure coefficients for the lower and upper levels \((i, j)\). An overpopulation of the upper level relative to the lower (i.e. \( S_l/B_T > 1 \)) results in non-LTE weakening of the line and may lead to emission in cases of pronounced overpopulation, while the inverse gives non-LTE strengthening.

The level populations reach detailed equilibrium values deep in the atmosphere, where large collisional rates and small mean-free paths between photon absorptions (both because of the high densities of the plasma) enforce this inner boundary condition. Double-ionized carbon is the main ionization stage at the temperatures of τ Sco - the C iii ground state is close to LTE. Single-ionized carbon is overionized at line-formation depths, therefore the levels are underpopulated relative to LTE, and C iv and the C v ground state are overpopulated.

In general, level populations in C ii depart most from detailed equilibrium in the low-excitation states and approach LTE values gradually with increasing excitation energy, as collisions facilitate coupling with the C iii ground state. Therefore, most of the non-LTE-sensitive transitions in C ii have upper levels that are overpopulated relative to the lower level, such that the lines experience slight \( \lambda 3920 \) to notable weakening \( \lambda 4267 \) relative to LTE and may even turn into emission \( \lambda 6151, 6462 \) Å. The λλ 6578/82 Å doublet experiences non-LTE strengthening for lower effective temperatures, while in hotter objects like τ Sco the lines are found to be close to LTE. The other observable C ii lines arise in the quartet spin system. They are weaker (C ii λ5145 Å is the strongest), i.e. they are formed deeper in the atmosphere, and their formation involves high-excitation levels which are coupled collisionally at these depths, such that these lines are essentially in LTE. Note that the behaviour of \( S_l/B_T \) for \( \lambda 4267 \) Å is in good agreement with the findings of Sigut (1996). On the other hand, notable differences exist for the λλ 6578/82 Å doublet in particular at higher \( T_{\text{eff}} \). The reasons for this will be discussed in the next section (but see also Fig. 13).

The C ii transitions can also experience both, non-LTE weakening (like the strong λλ 4417 Å and non-LTE strengthening (like the strong triplet 4647-4651 Å). The C iv doublet λλ 5801/12, which becomes observable only in our hottest stars, shows a pronounced non-LTE strengthening.

3.4. Sensitivity of \( \varepsilon(C) \) to atmospheric parameter variations

Spectral lines of carbon, like many other metal lines, can react sensitively to variations of the stellar atmospheric parameters. This property provides us with a powerful tool for the atmospheric parameter and abundance determination, using our iteration scheme. This is a non-trivial and crucial step in the analysis, which must be performed carefully in order to avoid systematic error. We investigate consequences of systematically biased atmospheric parameters on carbon line profiles and the derived abundances in the following §.

The offsets for the parameters (in effective temperature \( \Delta T_{\text{eff}} = -2000 \) K, surface gravity \( \log g = +0.2 \) dex and microturbulent velocity \( \varepsilon = +5 \) km s\(^{-1}\) are representative for systematic discrepancies between our final values and those from previous studies (and also among previous studies). Note that they are much larger than our statistical uncertainties. Such discrepancies may be caused by several factors, among others: 1) photometric effective temperature calibrations based on model atmospheres with insufficient line blanketing, 2) spectroscopic ionization equilibria based on predictions of incomplete model atoms, 3) the assumption of LTE for the computation of Balmer line profiles, which may cause a systematic overestimate of \( \log g \) by up to 0.2 dex (see Paper 1).

Figure 11 shows the sensitivity of selected C n/m/iv lines in two giants of our sample to variations of \( T_{\text{eff}} \), \( \log g \) and \( \varepsilon \). The profiles accounting for these variations are compared with those computed with our final atmospheric parameters and an averaged carbon abundance of \( \varepsilon(C) = 8.32 \). The sensitivity to atmospheric variations differs from line to line.

For the hotter stars, the C iv and – when strong enough – the C ii λ6151 Å multiplets are ideal indicators for both \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \log g \), while the rest of the lines are mostly sensitive to changes in
selected carbon lines in grey lines on the right-hand panel correspond to Fig. 11.

Fig. 10. Departure coefficients $b_i$ and ratio of line source-function $S_l$ to Planck function $B_i$ at line centre as a function of $\tau_{Ross}$ for selected carbon lines in ρ Sco. The spectral lines are encoded by the different line styles indicating the line-formation depths. Thin grey lines on the right-hand panel correspond to $S_l = B_i$.

Table 1. Systematic uncertainties in carbon abundances (in dex, relative to our final results, Table 3) caused by atmospheric parameter variations and the assumption of LTE for the line-formation calculations.

|       | HR 3055  | $\Delta T_{\text{eff}}$ | $\Delta \log g$ | $\Delta \xi$ | LTE |
|-------|----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----|
| C II  |         | -0.33                  | -0.11          | -0.16       | -0.40 |
|       | 4267.2   | -0.30                  | -0.10          | 0.00        | 0.00 |
|       | 5133.3   | -0.40                  | -0.05          | 0.00        | 0.00 |
|       | 5143.4   | -0.32                  | -0.09          | -0.02       | 0.00 |
|       | 5145.2   | -0.30                  | -0.08          | 0.00        | 0.00 |
|       | 5151.1   | -0.33                  | -0.13          | 0.00        | 0.00 |
|       | 5662.5   | -0.40                  | -0.15          | -0.10       | -0.01 |
|       | 6578.0   | -0.30                  | +0.02          | +0.05       | +0.03 |
| C III |         | +0.21                  | +0.06          | -0.04       | +0.08 |
|       | 4056.1   | +0.28                  | +0.09          | -0.03       | +0.25 |
|       | 4162.9   | +0.35                  | +0.15          | -0.08       | +0.07 |
|       | 4186.9   | +0.22                  | +0.07          | -0.03       | +0.22 |
|       | 4663.5   | +0.26                  | +0.08          | -0.08       | +0.35 |
|       | 5669.5   | +0.16                  | +0.01          | 0.00        | 0.00 |
| C IV  |         | +0.31                  | +0.46          | -0.03       | +0.39 |
|       | 5801.3   | +0.16                  | +0.46          | -0.03       | +0.39 |
|       | 5811.9   | +0.16                  | +0.46          | -0.03       | +0.39 |

Fig. 11. Sensitivity of selected C II/III lines to atmospheric parameter variations in two giants: HR 3055 (hotter, B0III) and HR 3468 (cooler, B1.5III). Our solution corresponds to the final parameters from Table 2 and a constant value of $\varepsilon$(C) for all lines. The parameter offsets are typical for statistical and systematic uncertainties from published values. The theoretical spectra are convolved with a rotational profile for $v \sin i = 20$ km s$^{-1}$. Our solution establishes the ionization equilibrium. The star HR 3468 is too cool to show C IV lines.

Our solution establishes the ionization equilibrium. The star HR 3468 is too cool to show C IV lines. The response to variations in $\log g$ can be amplified for C II λ 4267 and 6151 Å multiplets are highly sensitive to variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi$, the rest of the lines are mostly sensitive to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and the strong C II lines also to changes in $\xi$. For the cooler stars, the C II λ 4267 and 6151 Å multiplets are highly sensitive to variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi$, the rest of the lines are mostly sensitive to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and the strong lines also to $\xi$.

The $T_{\text{eff}}$ and the strong C II lines also to changes in $\xi$. For the cooler stars, the C II λ 4267 and 6151 Å multiplets are highly sensitive to variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi$, the rest of the lines are mostly sensitive to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and the strong lines also to $\xi$.

The response to variations in $\log g$ can be amplified for C II λ 4267 and 6151 Å multiplets are highly sensitive to variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi$, the rest of the lines are mostly sensitive to $T_{\text{eff}}$ and the strong lines also to $\xi$.
Non-LTE effects strengthen the Balmer line wings in particular at higher temperatures (Paper 1).

For HR 3055 we have quantified the systematic effects exemplified in Fig.11 (note that C ii λ4647 Å is blended and C ii λ4615 Å is too weak in this case) by deriving non-LTE carbon abundances for the modified values of $T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$ and $\xi$ and comparing them to our solution for individual lines. This is summarised in Table 1 and visualised in Fig. 12. Table 1 also shows extremely sensitive to changes in $T_{\text{eff}}$. The C ii/iv ionization balance is also never established for a variation of these parameters (abundance changes down to −0.40 dex for C ii and up to +0.35 dex for C iv when compared to our solution). An expected reduction of the abundances from strong lines is obtained for an increased microturbulence. Note that the systematic variations of carbon abundance with $\xi$ for some lines are significant considering the high accuracy we are aiming at, despite smaller effects in general than for $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ variations.

The solutions for the modified atmospheric parameters are characterised by a large scatter of C abundances from individual lines (the statistical 1-$\sigma$ uncertainties increase by up to ~0.4 dex). Note that variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ show by far the largest effects.

We conclude that the use of only few spectral lines from one ionization stage for C abundance determinations – which is common practice in the literature – may have serious implications on the final accuracy. Possible systematic discrepancies, as indicated here, may remain unrecognised. Moreover, the opportunity to improve on the atmospheric parameter determination by establishing the highly parameter-sensitive ionization balance may be missed in such cases. This high sensitivity of the carbon lines to atmospheric parameter variations can be used as an important tool for precise quantitative spectral analyses of this kind of star when applying the calibrated model atom in the future.

Some lines are practically unaffected by non-LTE effects, as indicated by Table 1 (see also Sect. 5.2). This implies that they are almost insensitive to any reasonable choice of model atom. On the other hand, they are highly sensitive to the choice of atmospheric parameters. This property is highly useful for the model atom calibration because it helps to disentangle effects due to non-LTE from those due to inaccuracies in the stellar parameters, facilitating a reduction of systematic errors. Typical systematic uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters can have a similar or even larger impact on the carbon abundance determination than a neglect of non-LTE effects.

4. Results

Accurate atmospheric parameters and carbon abundances are derived from line-profile fitting by $\chi^2$ minimisation, which puts tighter constraints than matching only equivalent widths. These are summarised in Table 2 for our six programme stars (as obtained from our iterative process, Fig. 11). The uncertainties of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and log $g$ are estimated from the extremely sensitive carbon ionization equilibrium. They are lower for hotter stars because of the additional restrictions imposed by the presence of lines from three ionization stages. For velocities, we provide the 1-$\sigma$-uncertainties from the analysis of the entire line ensemble. Projected rotational velocity and (radial-tangential) macroturbulence (Gray 1992, p. 407ff.) were simultaneously derived allowing for small line-to-line variations in order to obtain an optimum fit (see Ryans et al. 2002 for the case of B supergiants).

We should emphasise that the final set of atmospheric parameters as derived from the C ii/iv ionization balance is in agreement with those from our previous quantitative analyses of these stars. In particular, a simultaneous match is achieved for i) the H and He lines in the visual and (where available) in the near-IR, including the He ii/iv ionization equilibrium in the hotter stars (Paper 1, also the source of helium abundance $\gamma$) and n) the spectral energy distributions from the UV to the near IR (Paper 2). A similar degree of consistency is typically not obtained in comparable studies of early-type stars.

A large quantity of carbon lines is analysed for the first time, giving consistent abundances for all of them. The excellent quality of the observed spectra combined with our improved analysis technique allow us to achieve such precise results. The 1-$\sigma$ uncertainties from the line-to-line scatter are typically of the order 0.05–0.10 dex. We estimate the systematic uncertainties due to remaining errors in atmospheric parameters and atomic data

\footnote{Even larger offsets in $T_{\text{eff}}$ are found with respect to the literature, up to $\Delta T_{\text{eff}} \approx -4000$ K, see Section 5.}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure12.png}
\caption{Sensitivity of carbon abundances to stellar parameter variations: $T_{\text{eff}}$ (upper panel), log $g$ (mid panel) and macroturbulent velocity (lower panel). The offsets of the parameters are displayed in the lower left part of each panel. A large spread in abundance from individual lines of the three ionization stages results, in particular for variations of $T_{\text{eff}}$ (upper panel). Note also the implications of using only few lines of one ionization stage for abundance determinations in the presence of systematic errors in the atmospheric parameters. The grey bands correspond to 1-$\sigma$-uncertainties of the stellar carbon abundance in our solution (summarised in Table 2).}
\end{figure}
to be of the order 0.10–0.15 dex, taking Table 1 and our experiences from Sect. 1.2 as a guideline. Details on the analysis of individual lines can be found in Table 3. This summarises line identifications, level designations, log gf values, excitation potential of the lower level, ξλ, and for each star equivalent widths Wλ and the derived non-LTE and LTE abundances. Note that we analyse as many lines as possible per star, excluding only features with strong blends by other chemical species. This helps us to understand the behaviour of each line and the quality of our modelling at different temperatures and gravities (see the previous section). Transitions involving autoionizing states are not accounted for explicitly in the spectrum synthesis (however, they are considered via resonances in the photoionization cross-sections). These are lines like C ii λλ 4075 (a blend with O iv), 4318 (a blend with Si iv), 4374.3, 4375.1, 4376.6, 4411.2/S and 4627.4 Å, which have sometimes been used for abundance determinations in previous studies.

The high quality of our line fits to the observed spectrum of τ Sco is demonstrated in Fig. 17 available online, for almost all the analysed transitions. Similar information for the other programme stars can be found in Figs. 18–22 in the online version. Of central importance is that the abundances derived from the individual lines show a small scatter in each sample star. Good fits to individual lines can almost always be obtained, however this does not imply consistency in the entire analysis. An example are the abundances from Fig. 12 which were also derived from high-quality line fits, but which show inconsistencies, expressed as a large line-to-line scatter, nonetheless. Another example from many other studies are discrepant lines that are excluded from the analysis in order to reduce the statistical uncertainties. The present work improves on this because the underlying physics is solved in a more consistent way.

We find a single exception to our overall good line fits: the doublet C ii λλ 6462 Å in HR 1861: LTE calculations are not able to reproduce them even qualitatively.

The data from Table 3 are visualised in Fig. 13 where abundances are shown as a function of Wλ. Excellent consistency is found in the case of the non-LTE analysis while the quality of the results is considerably degraded in LTE. Note that the subset of the strong lines provides non-LTE abundances that are in good agreement with those derived from the entire sample of lines. This proves that our reference model atom is suited well for analyses of low-S/N spectra and fast-rotating stars, where only the strongest lines are measurable and where such a consistency check is not feasible. Note also that the non-LTE effects differ from line to line and also from star to star.

As the carbon abundances presented here are derived via line-profile fitting of our synthetic spectra, they do not depend on equivalent width measurements. However, careful determinations of Wλ via direct integration, cross-checked by Voigt-profile fitting, were performed to allow a visualisation like in Fig. 13.

Table 2. Stellar parameters and carbon abundances of the programme stars.

| Star | HD | HR | HD | HR | HD | HR | HD | HR |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| τ Sco | 149438 & 3055 & 1861 & 2928 & 5285 & 3468 |
| log g (cgs) | 3.95 ± 0.05 & 3.75 ± 0.05 & 3.05 ± 0.05 & 3.05 ± 0.05 & 3.05 ± 0.05 & 3.05 ± 0.05 |
| ξ (km s⁻¹) | 8 ± 1 & 3 ± 1 & 3 ± 1 & 3 ± 1 & 3 ± 1 & 3 ± 1 |
| v sin i (km s⁻¹) | 29 ± 4 & 12 ± 1 & 14 ± 1 & 18 ± 1 & 11 ± 2 |
| ζ (km s⁻¹) | 37 ± 8 & 20 ± 2 & 20 ± 2 & 20 ± 2 & 20 ± 2 |
| y (by number) | 0.089 ± 0.01 & 0.089 ± 0.01 & 0.089 ± 0.01 & 0.089 ± 0.01 & 0.089 ± 0.01 & 0.089 ± 0.01 |
| et(C) (dex) | 8.33 ± 0.08 & 8.33 ± 0.08 & 8.33 ± 0.08 & 8.27 ± 0.07 & 8.29 ± 0.05 & 8.37 ± 0.10 |
| # C lines | 32 & 19 & 30 & 22 & 22 & 19 |
| Ion | $\lambda$ (Å) | $l - u$ | log $gf$ | $V_r$ (eV) | $r$ Sco NLTE LTE | HR 3055 NLTE LTE | HR 1861 NLTE LTE | HR 2928 NLTE LTE | HR 5283 NLTE LTE | HR 3468 NLTE LTE |
|-----|---------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C iv | 3$\chi^2 - 3\chi^2 P^0$ | $-0.19^{d}$ | 37.55 | 13 8.34 8.59 | 53 8.45 8.84 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Cu | $3p^3P - 4s^3S$ | $-0.53^{d}$ | 16 33 | bl | bl | bl | bl | 90 8.21 8.04 | bl | bl |
| 3919.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3920.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4267.9/2 | $3d^2 D - 4f^2 F^0$ | $0.56^{c}/0.74^{c}$ | 18.04 | 102 8.45 7.88 | 113 8.46 8.06 | 135 8.34 7.64 | 161 8.25 7.59 | 220 8.26 7.98 | 230 8.33 7.92 | ... |
| 5133.0/3 | $(^{3}P)^3s^3 P^0 - (^{3}P)^3p^3 P$ | $-0.21^{l}/-0.18^{l}$ | 20.70 | 19 8.29 8.28 | 19 8.34 8.34 | 52 8.41 8.41 | 42 8.27 8.27 | 52 8.30 8.30 | 71 8.35 8.33 | ... |
| 5137.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5139.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5143.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5145.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5151.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5648.1 | $(^{3}P)^3s^3 P^0 - (^{3}P)^3p^3 S$ | $-0.42^{l}$ | 19.70 | 6 8.27 8.27 | 18 8.44 8.45 | 11 8.39 8.37 | 19 8.38 8.36 | 16 8.34 8.29 | 21 8.34 8.36 | 31 8.34 8.29 |
| 5662.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5678.0 | $3s^2 S - 3p^2 P^0$ | $-0.03^{s}$ | 14.45 | 50 8.02 8.01 | 66 8.24 8.24 | 138 8.20 8.51 | 115 8.20 8.37 | 150 8.27 8.77 | 230 8.40 8.84 | ... |
| 5682.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6779.9 | $(^{3}P)^3s^3 P^0 - (^{3}P)^3p^4 D$ | $0.02^{s}$ | 20.70 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 6780.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6781.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6800.7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6151.3/5 | $4d^2 D - 6f^2 F^0$ | $-0.15^{l}/0.02^{l}$ | 20.84 | - 8.29 XX | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 6461.9 | $(^{3}P)^2 F^0 - 6g^2 G$ | $0.42^{s}$ | 20.95 | - 18 8.39 XX | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 4056.1 | $4d^1 P - 5f^1 F$ | $0.27^{s}$ | 40.20 | 33 8.44 8.46 | 45 8.28 8.36 | 10 8.36 8.36 | 6 8.27 8.19 | ... | ... | ... |
| 4152.5 | $(^{3}P)^3 P^3 D - 5f^3 P^0$ | $-0.11^{c}$ | 40.06 | 28 8.37 8.54 | 7 8.37 8.37 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 4162.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4186.9 | $4f^3 F - 5g^1 G$ | $0.92^{s}$ | 40.01 | 67 8.57 8.41 | 92 8.34 8.41 | 20 8.32 8.24 | 15 8.24 8.20 | ... | ... | ... |
| 4515.8 | $4p^1 P - 5s^3 S$ | $-0.28^{s}$ | 39.40 | 18 8.21 8.24 | 4 8.40 8.40 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 4516.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4647.4 | $3s^3 S - 3p^3 P$ | $0.07^{e}$ | 29.53 | 188 8.30 8.90 | 89 8.29 8.79 | 68 8.26 8.25 | 54 8.24 8.84 | ... | ... | ... |
| 4650.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4651.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4663.5 | $(^{3}P)^3 P^3 P^0 - (^{3}P)^3 p^3 P$ | $-0.61^{b}$ | 38.22 | 13 8.17 8.44 | 18 8.27 8.49 | 5 8.37 8.40 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 4665.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5253.6 | $(^{3}P)^3 p^3 P^0 - (^{3}P)^3 p^3 S$ | $-0.71^{s}$ | 38.22 | 9 8.29 8.39 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 5272.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5695.9 | $3p^1 P - 3d^1 D$ | $0.02^{a}$ | 32.10 | 82 8.22 8.29 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 6731.0 | $(^{3}P)^3 P^3 D - (^{3}P)^3 p^3 D$ | $-0.29^{a}$ | 38.22 | 11 8.37 8.18 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 6744.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8500.3 | $3s^3 P - 3p^1 P$ | $-0.48^{b}$ | 30.64 | 84 8.21 8.59 | 145 8.40 8.65 | bl | bl | ... | ... | ... |
| 5801.3 | $3s^2 S - 3s^2 P^0$ | $-0.19^{d}$ | 37.55 | 13 8.34 8.59 | 53 8.45 8.84 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 5811.9 | $3s^2 S - 3s^2 P^0$ | $-0.49^{d}$ | 37.55 | 12 8.34 8.59 | 34 8.45 8.84 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

bl: blend with other metal line; ...: too weak or absent; XX: LTE does not reproduce the emission; 
log $gf$-values in formal solution: Wiese et al. (1996, uncertainties within: 3% A, 10% B, 25% C) and Nahar (2002a, uncertainties within 10-25% N)
Comparisons between both methods can give discrepancies up to 10-20% in the worst case, mostly for weak lines in spectral regions where the continuum is difficult to be set, the S/N is slightly degraded or there are partial blends with other lines.

One case requires further discussion: the C ii \( \lambda \lambda 6578/82 \) Å doublet in \( \tau \) Sco. The remaining problems may be an artifact from the data reduction. The location of the red wing of H\( \alpha \) coincides with a bad column of the CCD of FEROS (the spectral orders are oriented along columns in this spectrograph). A perfect correction for this cannot be provided. Different wavelengths are affected, depending on the radial velocity shifts of the object. Note that the region around C ii \( \lambda \lambda 6578/82 \) Å doublet has been normalised relative to the local continuum in Fig. [17].

Finally, even when non-LTE-insensitive lines are considered in the analysis, it is mandatory to verify that the ionization balance has been established. This is in order to avoid systematic errors in the atmospheric parameters (in particular \( T_{\text{eff}} \)) and therefore in abundance. It is recommended that such lines in LTE be used for abundance analyses when an optimised model atom is not available and the S/N of the observed spectra is high enough to measure them. Table 3 may act as a guideline to identify appropriate transitions, depending on atmospheric parameters.

5. Comparison with previous work

We concentrate here on the main sources of systematic uncertainties that can bias abundance analyses, as identified in Sects. 5.2 & 5.3: atomic data, i.e. different model atoms, and stellar parameters, i.e. in particular \( T_{\text{eff}} \) scales. A more comprehensive comparison is too complex to be performed because of the large number of variables involved, which in some cases are not even documented. This includes numerous factors related to observation (e.g. quality of the analysed spectra, continuum rectification, equivalent width measurements, line blends), model atmospheres (e.g. codes, abundance standards and linelists considered for line blanketing) and line-formation calculations (e.g. handling of line blocking, oscillator strengths, line broadening). A comparison of our results on carbon abundances in the solar neighbourhood with previous studies will be made in an extra section, because of the wider implications.

5.1. Predictions from different non-LTE model atoms

We have computed non-LTE line profiles based on the present model atom of reference and the Eber & Butler (1988) model and have compared them with Sigut’s (1996) non-LTE data and our LTE results in Fig. [14]. All models are calculated for the same set of atmospheric parameters and carbon abundances. Note that only one fundamental difference exists: our computations account for non-LTE populations for hydrogen while Sigut assumes LTE. This is one of the reasons why Sigut (1996) found problems in reproducing observed trends for the C ii \( \lambda \lambda 6578/82 \) Å doublet at \( T_{\text{eff}} > 25\,000 \) K. H\( \alpha \) was assumed to be formed in LTE in that work.

We obtain consistent abundances from the application of our model atom of reference to observations for the C ii \( \lambda 4267 \) transition, as shown in Sect. 3. Consequently, the good agreement with Sigut’s predictions indicates that his model atom is also highly useful for abundance determinations from this line. Note that Sigut compares his results (for \( \xi(C) = 8.55 \)) only qualitatively with observed equivalent widths from different sources, using stellar parameters derived in one of that studies, or from a photometric \( T_{\text{eff}} \)-calibration. A reduction of carbon abundance and an improved determination of atmospheric parameters as proposed in the present work may bring observation in much better agreement with his predictions (his Fig. 1). In the region \( \sim 22\,000 \) K \( \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 28\,000 \) K good agreement is also found with the predictions of the EB88 model atom. However, this model predicts too large equivalent widths and therefore lower abundances outside this region.

Our analysis gives abundances from the \( \lambda \lambda 6578/82 \) Å doublet consistent with those from other lines (\( \tau \) Sco may be an exception, as discussed above). The trends predicted with our model atom of reference differ from the three other model calculations. Sigut’s and EB88’s non-LTE predictions agree qualitatively up to \( T_{\text{eff}} \sim 22\,000 \) K, Sigut’s model indicating larger and EB88 smaller equivalent widths, respectively. However, our model shows non-LTE strengthening throughout, approaching LTE at the high \( T_{\text{eff}} \)-limit, while the two other models imply pronounced non-LTE weakening at \( T_{\text{eff}} \geq 25\,000 \) K. Note that this doublet experiences significant non-LTE effects only when the lines are strong, e.g. for high values of carbon abundance such as those discussed in Fig. [14]. The non-LTE effects are abundance-dependent, they are reduced with decreasing abundance. Finally, the predicted equivalent widths for the widely used C ii multiplet including \( \lambda 5145 \) Å are practically independent of the model assumptions. The lines are close to LTE. Any inconsistencies of carbon abundances based on this multiplet have to be related to other effects, but not by the choice of the model atom. This multiplet, of the quartet spin system, was not analysed by Sigut.
Kilian (1992) are also displayed. Spectroscopic temperatures according to (Napiwotzki et al. 1993). Photometric indices are computed values derived from photometric calibrations:

5.2. Effective temperatures

Abundance determinations can be systematically biased even in when a realistic non-LTE model atom is available. The atmospheric parameters need to be derived accurately as well. We have quantified the effects of inappropriately chosen atmospheric parameters in Sect. 3.4. Largely discrepant abundances are found from different ionization stages in particular for inaccurate effective temperatures. We were confronted with this from the beginning of our work, when adopting effective temperatures derived from common photometric calibrations. It was not possible to establish the ionization equilibrium of C\textsc{ii}/\textsc{iii} even for the lines in LTE in that case. This motivated us to use an iterative approach to constrain all variables that are involved in the analysis simultaneously and in a self-consistent way.

The final values for $T_{\text{eff}}$ are compared with other derivations in Fig. 15. The comparison concentrates on widely used photometric $T_{\text{eff}}$-calibrations, which provide a fast determination of atmospheric parameters, allowing the analysis of larger samples of stars to be performed. This includes methods using broad-band Johnson photometry, like the reddening-free $Q$ index (Daflon et al. 1999; Lyubimkov et al. 2002 LRRL) and $T_{\text{eff}}(u-b)$ (Napiwotzki et al. 1993). Photometric indices are computed from SIMBAD data. Spectroscopic temperatures according to Kilian (1992) are also displayed.

Spectroscopic $T_{\text{eff}}$-determinations by Kilian (1992) are also shown in Fig. 15. The approach is conceptually the most similar to the present study despite considerable progress made over the past 15 years and, as a consequence, gives the closest agreement with our values (except for the cool giant).

These comparisons show that the effective temperatures derived from available photometric calibrations in early B-type stars should be considered only as estimates. If adopted as final temperatures, one should be aware of the potential systematic effects on the abundance determination (see Sect. 3.4). Instead, these could be used as starting points for further refinements via well-understood spectroscopic indicators. This is in order to bring the model into agreement with all available indicators simultaneously: e.g. multiple H and He lines and metal ionization equilibria, as in the present approach. All the atmospheric parameters could then be tightly constrained. Such a step is essential if the 1σ-uncertainties in the abundance analysis are required to be smaller than ~0.3 dex.

6. The stellar present-day C abundance in the solar neighbourhood

Early-type stars can act as tracers for the present-day chemical composition in the solar neighbourhood. The present small sample of stars is suited to address this topic, as the objects are randomly distributed over nearby OB associations (three stars in Ori OB1 and Sco-Cen, see Table 2) and the field (the other stars). They are located at distances shorter than ~1 kpc from the Sun and at galactocentric distances within up to ~500 pc difference with respect to the location of the Sun. The most recent solar values are also indicated. The binsize of the histograms is related to the statistical uncertainty of each sample. Our sample is not large enough for a statistical comparison, therefore a column with a thickness of our uncertainty is displayed. The programme stars coincide with six objects from Kilian (1992): her abundances show a much larger spread for these. See the text for details.
results from selected previous studies of early B-type dwarf and giant stars in non-LTE, as shown in Fig. 16.

Non-LTE analyses based on the EB88 model atom, using different linelists, find systematically higher abundances than previous LTE studies. However, a large spread in abundance is still present in each sample. Our results can be directly compared with those of the purely spectroscopic study of Kilian (1992). A mean $\epsilon(C) = 8.19 \pm 0.12$ is derived from the same six stars when adopting her results. This comparison is highly important as it shows that a self-consistent analysis, like the one presented here, may drastically reduce the statistical scatter and may also imply a considerable systematic shift of the mean abundance. Similar to Kilian, Gummersbach et al. (1998) not shown in Fig. 16) also performed a consistent spectroscopic analysis of five stars in the solar vicinity as part of their Galactic abundance gradient study. Their results also indicate systematically lower abundances and a considerable spread.

Examples of non-LTE analyses for larger samples of stars based on accurate temperatures derived from photometric calibrations are also displayed in Fig. 16. Gies & Lambert (1992) and Cunha & Lambert (1994) derived $T$_eff from calibrations based on Strömgren photometry and non-LTE abundances from the C$\nu$ multiplet around $\lambda$5145 Å and where possible from C$\nu$, $\lambda$5648/62 Å. Both multiplets originate in the quartet spin system and they are almost unaffected by non-LTE effects. For the comparison we have excluded the five supergiant stars of the sample of Gies & Lambert (1992). Gies & Lambert (1992) two stars in common with the present sample, indicating systematically lower abundances: for HR 2928 $\Delta \epsilon(C) \approx -0.3$ dex and for HR 1861 $\Delta \epsilon(C) \approx -0.2$ dex (from the same lines). They also consider the doublet C$\nu$, $\lambda$6578/82 Å for several stars of their sample, but obtain even lower abundances compared to the lines from the quartet spin system (by approximately another $-0.3$ dex). Cunha & Lambert (1994) have only one star in common with us, HR 1861. They found a similar abundance for this star as Gies & Lambert (1992). More recently, Daffon et al. (1999, 2001a) derived temperatures from their calibration of the Johnson Q-parameter and non-LTE abundances from the C$\nu$, multiplet around $\lambda$5145 Å.

All the data on carbon abundances from early-type stars summarised above was derived from interpretation of observation, which may be affected by many sources of systematic error (see Sect. B). These data are interpreted in turn to test models of massive star evolution and the chemical evolution of the Galaxy, which are anchor points for studies of stellar and galactochemical evolution in general. Any misinterpretation in the first step in this chain may have severe consequences for our understanding of the whole picture. In this context, it is important to investigate the conclusions drawn from a diagram like Fig. 16.

Previous non-LTE studies all show a broad range of carbon abundances in the solar neighbourhood, spanning a factor of $\sim 10$ in total. Current models of stellar evolution and chemical evolution of the Galaxy do not provide a physical explanation to the large range shown in Fig. 10 for this kind of star located in the solar vicinity. If this extended distribution were real, its physical interpretation may require to account for the following physical processes. i) Mechanisms that alter the atmospheric structure, such as such as strong magnetic fields or diffusion/radiative levitation processes acting on short timescales and independent of atmospheric parameters and evolutionary age. These are so far discussed only for a few cases, well motivated by observational evidence. A common occurrence of these processes would imply that the basic assumption of homogeneity of the atmosphere may be invalid and as a consequence the modelling techniques applied so far may be inadequate. ii) Extremely efficient depletion mechanisms in the course of stellar evolution, by one to two orders of magnitude larger than currently predicted (e.g. Meynet & Maeder 2003). Already on the main sequence mixing with matter from the stellar core would require a higher efficiency than currently predicted for convection during the first dredge-up. Eventually, also enrichment mechanisms may be required which contradict nucleosynthesis (the 3$\alpha$-process is not active in OB dwarfs and giants, carbon is instead depleted by the CNO cycle). iii) An enormous chemical inhomogeneity of the present-day interstellar material in the solar neighbourhood out of which the stars have been formed. This would require the possibility to change abundances practically instantly even within single clusters by amounts that are otherwise attributed to the past $\sim 12$ Gyr of Galactochemical evolution (e.g. Chiappini et al. 2003).

Concerning the chemical inhomogeneity, non-uniform abundance distributions as a result of galactochemical evolution are also discussed in literature. E.g., Oey (2003) indicates a scatter of 0.13 dex in oxygen abundance for a population at solar metallicity, which is in reasonable agreement with previous observational constraints. However, this is an upper limit, as homogeneity processes were neglected entirely, such that the true scatter can be expected to be much lower.

The present work, in combination with Paper 1 and 2, avoids these fundamental problems. It implies homogeneous abundances after improving the modelling and the analysis methodology, bringing all model aspects into agreement with observation at once, which was not achieved so far.

1. It conforms with the finding of a uniform gas-phase carbon abundance in the ISM (out to distances of 1.5 kpc, e.g. Sofia & Meyer 2001 and references therein), with the systematic offset between the two values being a consequence of dust formation in the ISM. The uncertainties of the ISM abundance (see Table 4) are determined by the accuracy to which the oscillator strengths of the resonance lines in the UV are known, and should not exceed more than $\sim 10\%$.

2. It also agrees with Galactochemical evolution models, which predict homogeneous abundances in the solar neighbourhood (e.g. Chiappini et al. 2003). A variety of hydrodynamic processes should keep the ISM chemically well-mixed on small time-scales (Edmunds 1975; Roy & Kunth 1995). The variation due to the Galactic abundance gradient should amount to up to $\sim 0.04-0.08$ dex kpc$^{-1}$, which is of the order of the uncertainty found here (one kpc is the maximum Galactocentric distance sampled in our comparison).

---

Table 4. Carbon abundances of different objects in the solar vicinity

| Objects                      | $\epsilon(C)$ | Source                  |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| B stars (pristine value)     | 8.35±0.05     | present work            |
| B stars                      | 8.25±0.08     | Herrero (2003)          |
| Orion H\textsc{ii} (gas)    | 8.42±0.02     | Esteban et al. (2004)   |
| Orion H\textsc{ii} (gas+dust)| 8.52±0.02     | Esteban et al. (2004)   |
| young F and G stars          | 8.55±0.10     | Sofia & Meyer (2001)    |
| ISM                          | 8.15±0.06     | Sofia & Meyer (2001)    |
| Sun                          | 8.39±0.05     | Asplund et al. (2005)   |
| Sun                          | 8.52±0.06     | Grevesse & Sauval (1998) |

7 When considering also LTE results (e.g. Kane et al. 1980) the whole abundance range rises up to 1.6 dex (a factor of 40).
iii) Another aspect concerns the notably sub-solar abundances from early-type stars found so far (e.g. Herrero 2003 with respect to the old solar standard, Grevesse & Sauval 1998) – see Table 4. The present findings remedy the situation, in particular if accounting for the recently revised solar carbon abundance (Asplund et al. 2005 see also Table 4).

iv) Finally, rotationally-induced mixing with CN-processed material from the core may change the atmospheric composition. Theory predicts a depletion of carbon by ~0.03 dex for a star of 20 M⊙ with initial rotational velocity 300 km s⁻¹ evolving from the zero-age Main Sequence to the end of the Main Sequence stage, reaching ~0.15 dex in the supergiant stage (e.g. Meynet & Maeder 2005). The presence of a magnetic field may amplify rotational mixing (Maeder & Meynet 2005), however the effects on abundances are not expected to exceed a factor ~2. As absolute rotational velocities of stars can be measured only for a few exceptionally cases, this topic can be addressed comprehensively only by a statistical approach. Our sample of stars is not large enough for this. We note however, that we do not find a significant trend of carbon abundances with evolutionary age for these apparently slow-rotating stars (only τ Sco is suggested to be a real slow rotator, Donati et al. 2006). The abundances derived may not correspond to the pristine values nonetheless, but it is unlikely that all the stars are very fast rotators seen pole-on. Small corrections by up to ~+0.05 dex per star (depending on evolution stage) are predicted by theory for objects of average rotation. We estimate a correction of +0.03 dex to the average value of our sample (considering a small increase of the uncertainty), in order to derive an average pristine abundance of ε(C) = 8.35 ± 0.05.

The average pristine carbon abundance from early B-type stars in the solar neighbourhood is compared with other indicators in Table 4. The value is not only in agreement with the revised solar abundance but also with the gas-phase abundance derived for the Orion nebula. The finding of a uniform abundance matches with a homogeneous ISM abundance. A disagreement is found only with abundances from young F & G-type stars. This may be resolved when hydrodynamic 3D-analyses of late-type stars become routine, as the discrepancy is similar to the difference between the old and the revised solar standard, which is the result of an improved analysis. The conclusion of Sofia & Meyer (2001) that B-type stars are not reliable proxies for present-day abundances in the solar neighbourhood may need a revision in view of the present results.

Despite the small sample size analysed so far, our accurate results indicate that the sub-solar average value and the large scatter of C abundances in early-type stars found in previous non-LTE studies could be mostly a consequence of systematic uncertainties. These may be easily introduced by the choice of inappropriate atomic data and/or stellar parameters, as we have shown. However, a confirmation of our findings from analysis of a larger sample of stars is required, also for other elements.

7. Summary and Conclusions

The motivation of this work was the solution of a long-standing problem in stellar astrophysics: the reliable determination of carbon abundances from early-type stars. For this purpose we constructed a sophisticated C m/ν model atom for non-LTE line-formation calculations based on input atomic data that were carefully selected by an empirical calibration process. This was performed through an extensive iteration scheme that not only allowed us to constrain the input atomic data but also simultaneously the atmospheric parameters of our calibration stars, the basis for all further studies. The calibration sample consists of six bright and apparently slow-rotating early B-type stars in the solar neighbourhood, with high-S/N, high-resolution spectra and a broad wavelength coverage.

The self-consistent analysis provides atmospheric parameters with unprecedented accuracy and with reduced systematic error: for effective temperature the uncertainties are as low as ~1% and for surface gravity ~10%. The atmospheric parameters derived from the carbon ionization equilibria are highly consistent with our previous spectroscopic analyses: results from a non-LTE study of H and He in the visual and in the near-IR, including the establishment of the H/He ionization equilibrium in the hotter stars (Paper 1), and the reproduction of the spectral energy distributions from the UV to the near-IR (Paper 2).

All C lines considered, from up to three ionization stages, indicate similar abundances. The linelist includes 40 transitions suitable for analysis over a wide wavelength range. In particular, the strongest features, of highest importance for extragalactic applications, are consistently modelled. The statistical 1σ-uncertainties of the C abundance in each star are of the order ~0.05-0.10 dex. We estimate the systematic 1σ uncertainties to be ~0.10-0.15 dex. The average carbon abundance from C m/ν in the sample stars is highly uniform, ε(C) = 8.32±0.04.

These results of unprecedented precision provide important constraints for stellar evolution and the chemical evolution of the Galaxy, despite the small sample size: i) They suggest that carbon depletion due to rotational mixing in the course of stellar evolution is small for stars without excessive initial angular momentum (by <0.05 dex) up to the giant stage, in agreement with theoretical predictions. ii) In consequence they suggest that the present-day carbon abundance in the solar neighbourhood is higher and much more homogenous than indicated by previous work on early-type stars. This is consistent with the uniform abundance found in studies of the ISM and with predictions from models of the chemical evolution of the Galaxy. Moreover, our re-evaluated stellar value is in agreement with the gas-phase abundance derived for the Orion H Ρ region and the recently revised solar abundance.

This work shows that one should not underestimate the importance of a careful choice of input atomic data for non-LTE analyses. Not only accurate data for radiative transitions are required but also for collisional transitions. The carbon abundance analysis also turned out to react highly sensitively to the choice of atmospheric parameters, in particular to effective temperatures. If not accounted for properly, both factors may result in systematic errors in the interpretation of observed spectra, which cannot be reduced by statistics, i.e. an increase of the sample size of stars to be analysed. Detailed comparisons and calibrations of models with observed spectra are of highest importance, as these constitute the only empirical constraints for quantitative analyses. Only then can stellar and Galactochemical evolution models be verified in a meaningful way, and the studies be extended to stars in other galaxies.
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**Fig. 19.** As Fig. 18 but for HR 1861.
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Fig. 21. As Fig. 18 but for HR 5285.
Fig. 22. As Fig. 18 but for HR 3468.