RNA editing by members of the ADAR (adenosine deaminase that acts on RNA) enzyme family involves hydrolytic deamination of adenosine to inosine within the context of a double-stranded pre-mRNA substrate. Editing of the human GluR-B transcript is catalyzed by the enzyme ADAR2 at the Q/R and R/G sites. We have established a minimal RNA substrate for editing based on the R/G site and have characterized the interaction of ADAR2 with this RNA by gel shift, kinetic, and cross-linking analyses. Gel shift analysis revealed that two complexes are formed on the RNA as protein concentration is increased; the ADAR monomers can be cross-linked to one another in an RNA-dependent fashion. We performed a detailed kinetic study of the editing reaction; the data from this study are consistent with a reaction scheme in which formation of an ADAR2-RNA ternary complex is required for efficient RNA editing and in which formation of this complex is rate determining. These observations suggest that RNA adenosine deaminases function as homodimers on their RNA substrates and may partially explain regulation of RNA editing in these systems.

Eukaryotic precursor-mRNAs (pre-mRNAs) are subject to a variety of post-transcriptional modifications that regulate gene expression. These modifications, collectively referred to as RNA processing reactions, include 5′- and 3′-end formation, RNA splicing, polyadenylation, and the recently characterized phenomenon of RNA editing (reviewed in Refs. 1–3). RNA editing involves changes in the information content of the primary transcript by the specific insertion, deletion, or chemical modification of nucleotides. This latter class of editing reactions includes the hydrolytic deamination of adenosine (A) to inosine (I) within the context of double-stranded RNA. Deamination events of this type have been demonstrated in both viral and cellular transcripts. For example, the life-cycle of the Hepatitis δ virus is regulated by an editing event in the anti-genome in which a UAG stop codon is converted to a UIG tryptophan codon (4). As well, A to I editing is involved in the regulation of a growing number of cellular factors. Tissue-specific editing of the serotonin 5-HT2C receptor results in a 10–15-fold reduction in response to serotonin agonists and thus may be involved in the regulation of cellular response to neurotransmitters (5). Recently, A to I editing has been shown to be essential for erythropoiesis in mice (6). Transcripts for subunits of the neural-specific AMPA class of glutamate-gated (GluR) ion channels undergo A to I modification at two positions, the Q/R and R/G (see Fig. 1A) editing sites, which affect the properties of the resulting channels (7–11).

Relatively little is known about the determinants for specific A to I deamination in pre-mRNA substrates. In the case of GluR-B pre-mRNAs, it has been demonstrated that editing at both the Q/R and R/G sites requires a double-stranded structure formed between sequences proximal to the editing site and downstream intron sequences (8, 9, 12, 13). Mutation of the intron sequence to abolish the double-stranded sequence at the Q/R site prevents editing and is an embryonic lethal event in mice (14). The disposition of the edited nucleotide during the editing event is unclear; in the native Q/R substrate the target adenosine is contained within a perfect duplex, which is not the case with the R/G substrate (see Fig. 1A). It is also unclear whether there are specific sequences that direct the site of RNA editing or whether the targeting is dictated by a specific spatial arrangement of double-stranded RNA.

The first RNA deaminase to be cloned, ADAR1 (adenosine deaminase that acts on RNA) (15–18) was identified originally as an activity responsible for unwinding double-stranded RNA (19–21). Subsequently, this unwinding activity was correlated with deamination of A to I within double-stranded RNA substrates (22). ADAR1 exhibited low deaminase activity with a number of specific substrates, including the Q/R site of the GluR-B pre-mRNA, but has been shown to efficiently edit the R/G site of GluR-B as well as the anti-genome of Hepatitis δ virus (4, 23–25). Screening of a rat hippocampal cDNA library with probes complementary to the deaminase domain of ADAR1 resulted in the cloning of ADAR2 deaminase (26). ADAR2a, the shorter of two human isoforms, is an ~80-kDa protein containing two N-terminal double-stranded RNA binding domains as well as a C-terminal deaminase domain (27).

ADAR2 has been shown to efficiently edit both the Q/R and R/G sites of the GluR-B transcript, and in contrast to ADAR1 it does not exhibit activity at a position within intron 11 of GluR-B (see Fig. 1A) (23, 26). These facts, together with the biochemical purification of ADAR2 along with the Q/R deaminase activity (28) strongly support the identification of ADAR2 as the cellular factor responsible for editing of the GluR-B transcript. The mechanism of action of ADAR2 on its RNA substrates is unclear. Little is known about the mechanism of RNA binding or substrate activation for the deamination event. To study the molecular basis for RNA recognition and adeno,
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sine deamination by members of the ADAR family, we have undertaken a series of studies involving hADAR2a using the R/G site of the human GluR-B pre-mRNA as a model system. We have characterized this minimal system using kinetic, gel shift, and cross-linking analyses. Interestingly, we have found that a ternary complex involving the association of two ADAR2 monomers with the RNA substrate is responsible for editing activity. Furthermore, the monomers can be cross-linked to one another in an RNA-dependent fashion, suggesting intimate association on the substrate. An analysis of RNA binding and R/G site editing using two deletion mutants indicates that association of ADAR2 with the editing site in a 1:1 complex is insufficient to yield efficient A to I editing. These results combined with previous observations suggest that editing of pre-mRNAs by members of the ADAR class of enzymes is contingent on homo- or hetero-dimerization on the RNA substrate and that this requirement is central to the regulation of editing by these enzymes.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Enzyme Overexpression and Purification—**hADAR2a, with an N-terminal FLA epitope and C-terminal His6 tag, was expressed and purified from a recombinant Pichia pastoris clone (a generous gift of W. Keller, University of Basel) as described previously (27). Following chromatography on a Macro-Prep High Q column (Bio-Rad), fractions containing ADAR2, as identified by Western analysis with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma), were pooled, mixed for 30 min with 2 ml of Ni2+ agarose (Qiagen) that had been pre-equilibrated with buffer C (200 mM NaOAc:isopropanol; 79:19:2, v/v) using cellulose-polyethyleneimine chromatography plates (J. T. Baker). The TLC plates were excised by PCR from a substrate cloned into pBS (Stratagene). Mobility of substrate and products were visualized using the phototope-horseradish peroxidase dye detection kit (Cell Signaling Technology).

**RESULTS**

**Adenosine to Inosine Editing in Model R/G RNAs—**Recombinant ADAR2 was expressed and purified to homogeneity from a P. pastoris expression system yielding ~100 μg of protein per liter of culture. Using this protein we were able to demonstrate high levels of A to I conversion, using an inosine-specific cleavage assay (29), in a 500-nucleotide RNA containing the Q/R Editing site of the human GluR-B pre-mRNA and a 78-nucleotide RNA containing the R/G editing site of the same pre-mRNA (data not shown). We focused further studies of ADAR2 mediated editing on RNAs based on the R/G site because it is smaller and simpler in structure. The strength of ADAR2 mediated editing on RNAs based on the R/G site be-

**Gel Mobility Shift Assays—**Reactions were prepared as described above for editing assays and incubated for 10 min at 30 °C before being resolved by native 5% (89:1) PAGE. The gels were run at room temperature in TBE buffer (0.089 M Tris-base, 0.089 M boric acid, 0.2 mM EDTA) for 1 h at 150 volts. Gels were dried and exposed to a Molecular Dynamics Phosphor screen that was scanned on a Molecular Dynamics Storm 860 PhosphorImager. Data were analyzed using TotalLab V1.11 software (Phoretix).

Dissociation constants were determined from data obtained in at least three separate experiments by quantifying the fractions of free RNA (θ), RNA bound in the ES complex 1 (θ1), and RNA bound in the ESE complex 2 (θ2), and simultaneously fitting equations 2, 3, and 4 (Microsoft EXCEL). Because the gel shift analysis does not differentiate between substrate and product and the reaction proceeds quickly, it is assumed that θ is equivalent to EP and that ESE is equivalent to EPE in the equations below. Because ADAR2 is in large excess of the RNA substrate, E is approximately equal to the total amount of enzyme added to each reaction.

\[
K_{d1} \quad E + S \rightleftharpoons ES \quad E + S \rightleftharpoons ESE \quad (Eq. 1)
\]

\[
\theta = \frac{K_{d1}K_{d2}[S]}{[E]} + \frac{K_{d1}[S]}{[E]} \quad (Eq. 2)
\]

\[
\theta_{1} = \frac{K_{d2}[E]}{[E] + K_{d2}K_{d2}[E]} \quad (Eq. 3)
\]

\[
\theta_{2} = \frac{1 + K_{d2}[E]}{[E] + K_{d2}K_{d2}[E]} \quad (Eq. 4)
\]

**Protein-Protein Cross-linking—**Binding reactions (10 μl) containing 300 nM ADAR2, 20 nM Hepes pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, and varying amounts of R/G RNA were set up on ice. The reactions were allowed to equilibrate for 15 min before cross-linking was initiated by the addition of 2 μl of 45 mM dimethyl suberimidate hydrochloride (DMS; Pierce). After 4 h at room temperature the reactions were quenched by the addition of 2 μl of 1 M glycine. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE (8%; 29:1). The gel was then transferred to polyvinylidine fluoride membrane (Bio-Rad). Western blotting was performed using anti-FLAG antibody, and products were visualized using the phototope-horseradish peroxidase detection kit (Cell Signaling Technology).
conditions to investigate which complex was responsible for ADAR2 activity. Using a native gel analysis, all of the RNA probe could be shifted into an RNA-protein complex (ES; Fig. 2A) at low concentrations of protein and this in turn could be shifted into a higher order complex (ESE; Fig. 2A). The equilibrium dissociation constants for these two complexes were determined to be 4 and 21 nM, respectively. The total amount of editing observed with low and high concentrations of ADAR2 was similar over long time courses (24 h; ~85%). This result suggests that formation of the ESE complex does not inhibit R/G site editing. To investigate the mechanism of editing more closely, we carried out a detailed kinetic analysis of the reaction.

**Kinetics of R/G Site Editing**—We performed a kinetic analysis of the R/G editing event by determining initial rates of editing under conditions in which either substrate or ADAR2 concentration was varied. Intriguingly, we were unable to observe substrate saturation of the editing reaction. Under conditions in which the concentration of ADAR2 was held constant and substrate concentration was increased, the initial rate increased until the substrate concentration was roughly half of the protein concentration, and then it decreased (Fig. 3A). This observed inhibition is consistent with a mechanistic scheme in which formation of the ES complex is not sufficient for editing. Instead, efficient editing requires formation of the ESE complex; excess substrate sequesters ADAR2 in the inactive ES complex. If the ES complex was competent for editing, one would expect saturation at increased substrate concentrations (if the conversion of ES to EP was rate-limiting) or a linear dependence of rate on substrate concentration (if formation of ES was rate-limiting); neither was observed, consistent with the formation of ESE being required for editing.

In order to investigate the editing reaction further, we held the RNA concentration constant and varied the concentration of ADAR2. The initial rate increased over the entire range of enzyme concentrations tested, and a plot of initial rate versus enzyme concentration was linear (Fig. 3B). This suggests that the concentration of ESE in the reaction does not reach equilibrium before it is converted to product and that the rate-determining step of editing involves enzyme association with the ES complex.

**RNA-dependent Cross-linking of ADAR2**—We investigated the nature of the higher order RNA-ADAR2 complex by performing cross-linking experiments with the bifunctional amine-reactive reagent DMS. ADAR2 was incubated with various concentrations of R/G site RNA followed by cross-linking with DMS and analysis by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. These experiments showed the formation of an RNA-dependent protein-protein cross-link with a molecular weight consistent with that of an ADAR2 dimer (Fig. 4). The cross-link was not sensitive to nuclease P1 treatment after cross-linking, indicating that it represents a protein-protein interaction (data not shown). The efficiency of cross-linking was dependent on RNA concentration (Fig. 4, lanes 4–6); the observed cross-link diminished at the highest RNA concentrations tested consistent with ADAR2 being sequestered in the 1:1 ES complex.

**Substrate Deletion Analysis**—RNA substrates with specific deletions were synthesized to determine which parts of the 78-nucleotide substrate were necessary for both binding and editing by ADAR2. A 58-nucleotide RNA substrate was synthesized that had a region distal to the hairpin loop removed and placed the target adenosine at the 5’-end of the substrate, and a 54-nucleotide RNA substrate was synthesized that had a region proximal to the hairpin loop removed (Fig. 5). Binding studies (Fig. 5A) revealed that the 58-nucleotide RNA could form two complexes with ADAR2, although the affinity of the protein for this RNA was ~7-fold less than that observed for the formation of complexes with the 78-nucleotide RNA. Only one complex was formed upon incubation of ADAR2 with the 54-nucleotide RNA; the dissociation constant for formation of this complex was ~18-fold greater than that observed for formation of the analogous complex on the 78-nucleotide substrate. Initial editing rates of both the 58- and 54-nucleotide RNAs, determined using ADAR2 concentrations that shifted 50% of the RNAs into the ES complex, were ~100-fold slower than those observed using the 78-nucleotide RNA (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that portions of both deleted regions are important for binding of the R/G site by ADAR2 and confirm that formation of the ES complex involves RNA binding in the immediate vicinity of the editing site; furthermore, a substrate severely compromised in its ability to form the ESE complex is edited inefficiently.
DISCUSSION

We have carried out a detailed kinetic study of RNA editing by a member of the ADAR enzyme class using an RNA that closely resembles the R/G editing site in the GluR-B pre-mRNA. Substrate inhibition of editing, as determined by measurement of initial rates, is consistent with a kinetic model (Scheme A) in which the formation of a ternary enzyme-substrate complex (ESE) is required for efficient editing. As substrate concentration increases, free enzyme is sequestered in the ES complex and is unavailable to form the active ESE complex. Under this scheme,

$$E + S \rightarrow ES \rightarrow ESE \rightarrow EPE$$

**Scheme A**

The linear dependence of initial rate on enzyme concentration suggests that ES rapidly reaches equilibrium and that formation of the ESE complex is rate-limiting. When the concentration of enzyme is much higher than substrate, the initial rate ($V_0$) is given by Equation 5; the linear dependence of initial rate on total enzyme concentration is clear under conditions where [E] is much greater than K_s. Finally, we found a strong correlation between our kinetic analysis and Scheme A; we calculated the rate constant ($k_1$) for formation of ESE under conditions of excess substrate or excess enzyme and obtained very similar values of $0.20 \pm 0.04 \text{ pM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $0.21 \pm 0.01 \text{ pM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, respectively.

It is possible that the association of a second ADAR2 protein with the RNA is independent of the first binding event and that the second event represents binding of the target adenosine to the enzyme active site with no participation of the other monomer. Several pieces of evidence argue against these suggestions. First, chemical and enzymatic footprinting of both ADAR2 complexes have shown that the first binding event corresponds to protection of the editing site with an extended footprint observed at higher protein concentrations (31). Second, we deleted distal regions of the R/G substrate, preserving the sequence proximal to the editing site, and observed a dramatic reduction in editing efficiency. Thus, initial binding of ADAR2 corresponds to protein-RNA association in the vicinity of the editing site, but the editing reaction itself requires association of a second ADAR2 monomer with the RNA substrate. It is unclear whether the chemistry of deamination is associated with the active site of the first or second monomer.

Gel filtration and glycerol gradient sedimentation experiments performed with another member of the ADAR family of enzymes, ADAR1, indicated that ADAR1 exists as a monomer in solution (32). Hough and Bass (33) reported that partially purified *Xenopus* ADAR1 formed complexes of ~215 kDa.
(consistent with dimer formation) but behaved as monomers when fully purified. The presence of RNA in the partially purified protein preparation would explain these results. Cross-linking experiments with the bifunctional reagent DMS show that there is an intimate association between ADAR2 monomers on the R/G RNA and also that this association is RNA-dependent (Fig. 4).

Comparison of the ADAR class of enzymes with related enzymes suggests that dimerization may be conserved as a functional requirement. Relatively little is known regarding the catalysis of A to I deamination within the context of double-stranded RNA, but it has been assumed that the chemical mechanism is related to that of the adenosine and cytidine nucleotidases (34). In both classes of enzymes an active site zinc, coordinated by cysteine or histidine residues, serves to activate water for attack on the purine or pyrimidine base. Sequence comparisons show relatively little homology between the ADAR family active sites and those of other deaminases with the exception of the presence, identity, and relative arrangement of the putative zinc coordinating signature residues; mutagenesis of these residues in ADAR1 supports their roles in catalysis. Interestingly, the identity and arrangement of the signature residues in the ADAR family is more reminiscent of the cytidine deaminases than of the adenosine deaminases. In the cytidine deaminases, zinc is coordinated by His, Cys, and Cys whereas coordination in the adenosine deaminases involves three Cys residues. Additionally, both the cytidine deaminases and ADAR enzymes contain the His-Ala-Glu triad; the acid residue likely acts as a proton donor and acceptor during the course of catalysis. The cytidine deaminases function as monomers, but many cytidine deaminases including Escherichia coli cytidine deaminase (35), the T4 bacteriophage cytidine deaminase (36), and the apoB mRNA editing deaminase (37) function as dimeric or polymeric species. The x-ray structure of the E. coli cytidine deaminase dimer shows that the active site is partially formed by contributions from each monomer (35).

Recently, a class of tRNA-specific adenosine deaminases has been characterized by Gerber and Keller (38, 39). Members of the ADAT (adenosine deaminases that act on tRNA) enzyme family share sequence homology in editing domains with both the cytidine deaminases and members of the ADAR family; it has been suggested that ADAT enzymes evolved into the ADAR class by the acquisition of double-stranded RNA binding domains. The fact that tad2p (ADAT2) and tad3p (ADAT3) form heterodimers supports the observation that ADAR2 functions as a dimeric species.

The enzymatic activity of the RNA-dependent protein kinase PKR has been shown to depend on dimer formation, although the mechanism of dimerization is the subject of controversy (40). The sequence of PKR includes two N-terminal double-stranded RNA binding domains and a C-terminal catalytic domain and is thus reminiscent of the domain structure of ADAR2. Future experiments with ADAR2 will involve the localization of the dimerization interface within the protein sequence.

Dimerization of ADAR2 on RNA may influence the editing activity of the enzyme in several ways. For example, the binding of a second ADAR2 monomer on its substrate may effect a conformational change in one or both monomers that is required for editing. Results from tryptophan quenching experiments are consistent with ADAR2 undergoing a conformational change upon the binding of RNA (41). An additional piece of evidence supporting the need for a conformational change in ADAR2 before editing is the observation that a potent inhibitor of adenosine deaminase, coformycin ($K_d = 10^{-15}$ M), does not inhibit the activity of members of the ADAR family (15). Recently, Nishikura and coworkers (42) have demonstrated that the mixing of ADAR3 with either ADAR1 or ADAR2 attenuated the editing activity of the latter two enzymes, whereas mixing ADAR1 and ADAR2 lowered the site selectivity of the A to I editing activity; in light of the data reported here, we interpret these observations to indicate that members of the ADAR family can form hetero- as well as homodimers on RNA substrates.

The requirement of ADAR dimerization for editing as well as...
the modulation of activity by heterodimer formation are no doubt intimately involved in the regulation of the activity of these enzymes, including the site-specificity of editing.
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