Expanding atmosphere models for SSS spectra of novae
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Super Soft Source (SSS) spectra are powered by nuclear burning on the surface of a white dwarf. The released energy causes a radiatively-driven wind that leads to a radially extended atmosphere around the white dwarf. Significant blue shifts in photospheric absorption lines are found in the spectra of novae during their SSS phase, being an evidence of continued mass loss in this phase. We present spherically symmetric \textsc{PHOENIX} models that account for the expansion of the ejecta. A comparison to a plane parallel, hydrostatic atmosphere model demonstrates that the mass loss can have a significant impact on the model spectra. The dynamic model yields less pronounced absorption edges, and harder X-ray spectra are the result. Therefore, lower effective temperatures are needed to explain the observed spectra. Although both types of models are yet to be fine-tuned in order to accurately determine best fit parameters, the implications on the chemical abundances are going in opposite directions. With the expanding models the requirement for strong depletion of the crucial elements that cause these edges is now avoidable.

\textsuperscript{1} Introduction

SSS are commonly accepted to be supported by nuclear fusion on the surface of a white dwarf, where the hydrogen-rich burning material is provided by accretion from a companion star (Kahabka & van den Heuvel 1997). Observed SSS spectra show the direct signatures of nuclear burning (Bremsstrahlung spectra of $\sim 10$–$30\,\text{keV}$). The white dwarf is surrounded by an optically thick atmosphere that emits in soft X-rays. In addition to the so-called persistent SSSs like Cal 83, some classical novae were observed to go through a phase of SSS emission, when their spectrum resembles that of Cal 83. This is not surprising, as it is expected that the opacity of the ejecta is changing with time, which leads to a shift of the peak of the spectral energy distribution from the optical via the UV to the soft X-rays (see e.g., Gallagher & Starrfield 1978). The X-ray emitting phase is reached when the radius of the photosphere has receded into sufficiently hot layers. This is a consequence of declining mass-loss rate which leads to a decrease of the density in the envelope. Novae have been observed with extremely bright SSS emission, e.g. V1974 Cyg by (Krautter et al. 1996), much brighter than the persistent SSSs. The brightness of nova SSS spectra makes them ideal targets for spectral analysis. In this paper we focus on the novae RS Oph and V2491 Cyg.

The X-ray gratings on board XMM-Newton and Chandra yield a spectral resolution that is two orders of magnitude higher than precursor X-ray satellites ROSAT and BeppoSax. The first X-ray grating spectrum of a nova in outburst, presented by Ness et al. (2003), yields deep absorption lines. These high quality spectra are oftentimes interpreted with the help of hydrostatic atmosphere models, e.g. (Rauch et al. 2005) and (Nelson et al. 2008). But even if, in some cases, atmosphere models yield better representation of the data than blackbody fits, a good fit alone is not a sufficient justification for the model to be realistic.

An important achievement from the grating spectrometers is the detection of line shifts in the SSS spectra of novae, as first seen by Ness et al. (2003) and illustrated by Ness et al. (2007) and Ness (2009). In hydrostatic models these line shifts are not recovered. Blue-shifting the whole synthetic spectrum with a fixed amount in order to better fit the observation does not physically represent an expanding atmosphere. The expansion is a spherical effect in which some parts of the atmosphere move towards the observer while some other parts move away. Furthermore, the expansion affects the radial extension of the atmosphere. In this paper we present expanding atmosphere models, compare them to static models, and show that the results obtained from fits to observations are significantly different.

\textsuperscript{2} Expanding atmosphere models

We present first results of new models that were computed with the general-purpose, state-of-the-art stellar atmosphere code \textsc{PHOENIX} (Hauschildt & Baron 1992, Hauschildt & Baron 1999, Hauschildt & Baron 2004). The radiation transfer equations are solved using the operator splitting method. Spherical symmetry is assumed for the atmosphere, and \textsc{NLTE} computation is based on the assumption of statistical equi...
librium. PHOENIX was used to model X-ray novae before [Petz et al. 2005]. Since 2005, the code has been improved significantly, namely, NLTE has been reimplemented with new rates and opacities, a new temperature correction method was used, broad lines are handled differently, and a new (hybrid, see below) atmosphere construction method has been implemented, based on the idea of Aufdenberg (2000). The improved code is physically more realistic and is a factor 15-45 faster. For details we refer to van Rossum (Ph.D. thesis in preparation).

The hybrid atmosphere consists of a hydrostatic base with an expanding envelope on top. The hydrostatics are parametrised in the classic way by \( \log(g), r_0 \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \). Here, \( r_0 \) is the outer radius of the static core. For the expanding envelope, a velocity field is defined, and from the continuity equation for a constant mass loss rate, \( \dot{M} \), a density profile follows. The velocity fields assumed in the models presented here are described by the beta-law (Lamers & Cassinelli 1999).

\[
v(r \geq r_0) = v_\infty (1 - r_0/r)^\beta.
\]  

(1)

The additional parameters that describe the expanding envelope are the terminal velocity \( v_\infty \) and the exponent \( \beta \).

In this paper we present the first results of the models, based on which more refined models can be calculated. As a start, we assumed solar abundances of the elements H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Al, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe (yielding roughly 7500 independent levels with 150,000 transitions, all calculated in NLTE). We emphasise that the models presented in this paper have not been fine tuned, i.e., the full parameter space has not been explored yet.

### 3 Results

In Fig. 1 we illustrate the effect of the mass loss parameter on the model spectrum. By increasing the mass-loss rate the ionisation edges become weaker and thus the spectra become harder. As a consequence, dynamic models that are fitted to observed spectra typically yield a lower effective temperature than static models.

In Fig. 2, we show the observation of RS Oph that was taken on day 39.7 after outburst (for an observation log we refer to Ness 2009 and references therein). A small grid of models was calculated with a terminal velocity of \( v_\infty = 1200 \) km/s, according to the blue-shifts observed for this object (Ness et al. 2007). We achieve the best agreement with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 5.5 \cdot 10^5 \) K, \( \log g = 8.4 \), a wind mass loss rate of \( \dot{M} = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-8} \) M\(_\odot\)/yr, \( R_{\text{eff}} = 7900 \) km, and \( N_\text{H} = 4.8 \cdot 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\).

Our interstellar extinction model is based on absorption cross sections in the X-ray domain compiled by Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992) for 17 astrophysically important species. Specifically, the effective extinction curve was calculated with Balucinska-Church & McCammon’s code, assuming solar abundances. The total extinction is then proportional to the hydrogen column density. We would like to point out that, owing to the large interstellar column density, the soft part of the spectrum is significantly underestimated when fitting a model to an absorbed spectrum. In the top panel of Fig. 2 it can be seen that the emission that is produced by the source (thus before traversing through the interstellar medium), peaks at much longer wavelengths than the observed spectrum (bottom panel in grey shadings; see also Fig. 1 in Ness 2009). Since the ultimate goal is to reproduce the original (unabsorbed) spectrum (note that the interstellar extinction has no physical relation to the object we try to model), reproduction of the soft tail is at least as important as the rest of the spectrum. Since the soft tail is primarily determined by the interstellar extinction, this leads to the conclusion that \( N_\text{H} \) cannot be treated as a free parameter in the fitting procedure. Instead, \( N_\text{H} \) must be determined to reproduce the soft tail. This restriction in free parameter space grievously limits the possibilities in finding a good fit. Accounting for this effect, especially, hydrostatic models turn out to poorly fit the observations (see for example the bottom panel in Fig. 3). The usual goodness criteria (e.g., \( \chi^2 \)), determined from fitting a model to the absorbed spectrum only yield a description of how well the data, especially the brightest parts of the spectrum, are reproduced. But that does not necessarily indicate whether the physical description of the object is realistic or not. We therefore fit the parameter \( N_\text{H} \) by eye, considering both the absorbed and unabsorbed fit quality.

In Fig. 3 the results for the more recent nova V2491 Cyg (2008) are presented, where we compare with an XMM-Newton observation taken on day 49.7 after outburst (grey shadings). In the top panel, the best model that has so far been found from a small grid of models with \( v_\infty = 4800 \) km/s is shown, yielding the parameters \( T_{\text{eff}} = 6.0 \cdot 10^5 \) K,
Fig. 2  Comparison of a first cut PHOENIX model spectrum with the observation of RS Oph. The binwidth is 0.025 Å. In the top panel, the observed spectrum is corrected for interstellar absorption, yielding the non-absorbed spectrum. The interstellar transmission coefficient is shown as dashed line. In the bottom panel, the model was corrected for absorption. The continuum slope is reproduced by the model longwards of 14 Å. At shorter wavelengths the flux is dominated by sources other than the SSS atmosphere, e.g. emission from the shock. These contributions are observed already before the SSS phase starts and are not included in the model.

log $g = 8.6$, a wind mass loss rate of $\dot{M} = 2 \cdot 10^{-8} M_\odot/yr$, $R_{\text{eff}} = 5300 \text{ km}$, and $N_H = 2.8 \cdot 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Good agreement between observation and model is found, and it can be expected to yet improve significantly when non-solar abundances are used and the atmospheric structure is fine-tuned to the observation. In the actual comparison in Fig. 3 many lines do not yet accurately match their observed strengths and the continuum is too faint for shorter wavelengths. The latter point may, apart from the model that lacks tuning, be attributed to non-atmospheric X-ray sources that are not included in the model. In the bottom panel, the same observation is shown in comparison to a plane parallel and static PHOENIX model with no mass loss that has been tuned to a similar degree as the expanding model. The temperature in the static model is significantly higher, which is necessary to compensate the lack of hard emission shortwards of the N VII and O VII absorption edges at 18.6 Å and 16.8 Å, respectively. As a consequence, a smaller effective radius is needed. Note that the obtained bolometric luminosities are the same, which is primarily a result of the constant $N_H$ obtained for both fits.

But more interesting are the different implications for abundances. For example, in the hydrostatic model the N VII edge at 18.6 Å is too strong, and also the N VII line at 24.8 Å and the N VI line at 28.8 Å, leading to the interpretation that the N abundance must be subsolar. In contrast, in the expanding model the N VII edge at 18.6 Å is very weak, the N VI edge at 22.5 Å is too weak and also the N VII line at 24.8 Å and the N VI line at 28.8 Å, so that according to the new type of models the N abundance should become supersolar. These discrepancies must be examined in detail with the help of fine-tuned models, which are not yet available at the time of writing.

4 Discussion and Conclusions

The comparison between the hydrostatic and the new dynamic model in Fig. 3 demonstrates the essence of treating the mass-loss in the model atmosphere. Obviously, the model spectra are sensitive to the details of the atmospheric structure. Comparing observations to models that account for the mass-loss can lead to very different conclusions than comparison with static atmospheres. Since the continued mass-loss is undeniably confirmed by the observations, this calls for caution with the interpretation of nova X-ray spectra using static atmospheres. For example, the conclusion from fine tuning of a static atmosphere model to observa-
Fig. 3 XMM-Newton RGS spectrum of V2491 Cyg (2008). The binwidth is 0.02 Å. Two different models are shown (red curves), a radially extended model with mass loss (top panel) and a white dwarf (compact, hydrostatic) model (bottom panel). No good fit could be found with white dwarf models. The best temperature is much higher and the radius much smaller than for the model with mass loss. One important difference is the absence of strong ionisation edges in the dynamical model, like at 25.3 Å, 18.6 Å and 16.8 Å. Note that the models use identical (solar) abundances but give very different implications on being either under- or overabundant (see the discussion in the text).

The models presented in this paper all use solar abundances. In the SSS phase the observed radiation originates from much deeper regions than in earlier stages after the outburst. The material in those regions is a mixture of dredged-up material from the white dwarf, accreted material from the companion, and reaccreted ejecta of the initial outburst. Therefore, the chemical composition that is “seen” at this stage could be significantly different from other stages. Presently, there are no detailed theoretical and observational constraints on this mixture. Although solar abundances might be unrealistic, it is still a good standard set to start with. Detailed abundance analysis with both static and dynamic models will be needed in order to draw definitive conclusions. This must be performed with simultaneous fine-tuning of the atmospheric structure, a task that is scheduled for the near future.

The preliminary models presented in this paper are a promising start. More sophisticated and better fine-tuned models will increase the accuracy of the parameters and the reproduction of the observed spectra.
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