INVERSE SEMIGROUPS AND SHEU’S GROUPOID FOR THE ODD DIMENSIONAL QUANTUM SPHERES

S. SUNDAR

Abstract. In this paper, we give a different proof of the fact that the odd dimensional quantum spheres are groupoid $C^*$ algebras. We show that the $C^*$ algebra $C(S_q^{2t+1})$ is generated by an inverse semigroup $T$ of partial isometries. We show that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$ associated to the inverse semigroup $T$ in [1] is exactly the same as the groupoid considered in [6].

1. Introduction

Quantization of mathematical theories is a major theme of research today. The theory of Quantum groups and Noncommutative geometry are two prime examples in this program. The theory of compact quantum groups were initiated by Woronowicz in the late eighties in [8], [9], [10]. A main example in his theory is the quantum group $SU_q(n)$ and its homogeneous spaces. One of the problems in noncommutative geometry is to understand how these groups fit under Connes’ formulation of NCG. Thus it becomes necessary to understand the $C^*$ algebra of these quantum groups.

Vaksman and Soibelman studied the irreducible representations of $C^*$ algebra of the quantum group $SU_q(n)$ in [7]. Exploiting their work, Sheu in [5] used the theory of groupoids and obtained certain composition sequences which is useful in understanding the structure of the $C^*$ algebra of $SU_q(n)$. Then in [4], the question of whether $C^*$ algebras of these quantum homogeneous spaces are in fact groupoid $C^*$ algebras was raised. In [6], an affirmative answer is given for the quantum homogeneous space $S_q^{2n-1} := SU_q(n)/SU_q(n−1)$ called the odd dimensional quantum spheres. The purpose of this paper is to give an alternative proof of the same result. We use the theory of inverse semigroups developed in [1] to reconstruct the groupoid given in [6]. We believe that the proof is constructive as the groupoid in [6] is reconstructed from a combinatorial data naturally associated to $S_q^{2n-1}$.

Now we indicate the organisation of this paper. In the next section, we recall the basics of inverse semigroups and the groupoid associated to it without proofs. We refer to [1] for proofs. In section 3, we recall the definition of the $C^*$ algebra of the odd dimensional quantum spheres.
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dimensional sphere $S_q^{2\ell+1}$ and associate a natural inverse semigroup to it. In sections 4-6, we work out the groupoid associated to the inverse semigroup and show that the groupoid is in fact naturally isomorphic to Sheu’s groupoid constructed in [6]. We end the paper by showing that the reduced $C^*$ algebra associated to the groupoid is in fact isomorphic to the $C^*$ algebra of the odd dimensional quantum sphere $S_q^{2\ell+1}$.

2. INVERSE SEMIGROUPS AND THEIR GROUPOIDS

In this section, we briefly recall the construction of the groupoid associated to an inverse semigroup. We refer to [1] for proofs and details.

**Definition 2.1.** An inverse semigroup $T$ is an associative semigroup for every $s \in T$, there exists a unique element denoted $s^*$ such that $s^*s = s^*$ and $ss^*s = s$. Then $*$ is an involution which is antimultiplicative. We say that an inverse semigroup has 0 if there exists an element 0 such that $0.s = s.0 = 0$ for every $s \in T$.

2.1. The unit space of the groupoid. Let $T$ be an inverse semigroup with 0. We denote the set of projections in $T$ by $E$ i.e. $E := \{e \in T : e = e^* = e^2\}$. Then $E$ is a commutative semigroup containing 0. Consider the set $\{0, 1\}$ as a multiplicative semigroup.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $T$ be an inverse semigroup with 0 and let $E$ be its set of projections. A character of $E$ is a nonzero map $x : E \to \{0, 1\}$ such that

1. The map $x$ is a semigroup homomorphism, and
2. $x(0) = 0$.

We denote the set of characters of $E$ by $\hat{E}_0$. The set of characters $\hat{E}_0$ is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space where the topology on $\hat{E}_0$ is the subspace topology inherited from the topology of $\{0, 1\}^E$.

The set of characters can alternatively be described in terms of filters by considering its support. For a character $x$, let $A_x := \{e \in E : x(e) = 1\}$. Then $A_x$ is nonempty and has the following properties

1. $0 \notin A_x$,
2. If $e \in A_x$ and $f \geq e$ then $f \in A_x$, and
3. If $e, f \in A_x$ then $ef \in A_x$.

A nonempty subset $A$ of $E$ having properties (1), (2) and (3) is called a filter. Moreover, if $A$ is a filter then the indicator function $1_A$ is a character. Thus there is a bijection between filters and characters. A filter is called an ultra filter if it is maximal. By Zorn’s lemma ultra filters exist. Define

$$\hat{E}_\infty := \{x \in \hat{E}_0 : A_x \text{ is an ultrafilter }\}$$
and denote its closure by $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}$.

2.2. **The partial action of $T$ on $\hat{E}_0$.** The inverse semigroup $T$ acts naturally on $\hat{E}_0$ as partial homoemorphisms which we now explain. We let $T$ act on $\hat{E}_0$ on the right as follows.

For $x \in \hat{E}_0$ and $s \in T$, define $(x.s)(e) = x(es^*)$. Then

1. The map $x.s$ is a semigroup homomorphism, and
2. $(x.s)(0) = 0$.

But $x.s$ is non-zero if and only if $x(ss^*) = 1$. For $s \in T$, define the domain and range of $s$ as

$$D_s := \{ x \in \hat{E}_0 : x(ss^*) = 1 \}$$

$$R_s := \{ x \in \hat{E}_0 : x(s^*s) = 1 \}$$

Note that both $D_s$ and $R_s$ are compact and open. Moreover $s$ defines a homoemorphism from $D_s$ to $R_s$ with $s^*$ as its inverse. Also observe that $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ is invariant under the action of $T$.

2.3. **The groupoid $G_{\text{tight}}$.** Consider the transformation groupoid $\Sigma := \{(x,s) : x \in D_s \}$ with the composition and the inversion given by:

$$(x,s)(y,t) := (x, st) \text{ if } y = x.s$$

$$(x,s)^{-1} := (x.s, s^*)$$

Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\Sigma$ as $(x,s) \sim (y,t)$ if $x = y$ and if there exists an $e \in E$ such that $x \in D_e$ for which $es = et$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \Sigma / \sim$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a groupoid as the product and the inversion respects the equivalence relation $\sim$. Now we describe a topology on $\mathcal{G}$ which makes $\mathcal{G}$ into a topological groupoid.

For $s \in T$ and $U$ an open subset of $D_s$, let $\theta(s,U) := \{ [x,s] : x \in U \}$. We refer to [1] for the proof of the following two propositions. We denote $\theta(s,D_s)$ by $\theta_s$. Then $\theta_s$ is homeomorphic to $D_s$ and hence is compact, open and Hausdorff.

**Proposition 2.3.** The collection $\{ \theta(s,U) : s \in T, U \text{ open in } D_s \}$ forms a basis for a toponogon $\mathcal{G}$. The groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ with this topology is a topological groupoid whose unit space can be identified with $\hat{E}_0$. Also one has the following.

1. For $s, t \in T$, $\theta_s\theta_t = \theta_{st}$,
2. For $s \in T$, $\theta_s^{-1} = \theta_{s^*}$, and
3. The set $\{ 1_{\theta_s} : s \in T \}$ generates the $C^*$ algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G})$.

We define the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$ to be the reduction of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ to $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}$. 

3. The odd dimensional quantum spheres

Before we proceed let us fix some notations. Throughout we assume that \( q \in (0, 1) \) and \( \ell \) is a positive integer. We denote the set of non-negative integers by \( \mathbb{N} \). We let \( \mathcal{H}_\ell \) to denote the Hilbert space \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N})^2 \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \). We denote the left shift operator on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) by \( S \) and the right shift on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) by \( t \). The number operator on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \) is denoted by \( N \).

In this section we recall a few well known facts about the \( C^* \)-algebra of the odd dimensional quantum spheres. The \( C^* \)-algebra \( C(S^{2\ell+1}_q) \) of the quantum sphere \( S^{2\ell+1}_q \) is the universal \( C^* \)-algebra generated by elements \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{\ell+1} \) satisfying the following relations (see \cite{2}):

\[
\begin{align*}
    z_iz_j &= qz_jz_i, \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq \ell + 1, \\
    z_i^*z_j &= qz_j^*z_i, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq \ell + 1, \\
    z_iz_i^* - z_i^*z_i + (1 - q^2) \sum_{k>i}^\ell z_k z_k^* &= 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1, \\
    \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} z_i z_i^* &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that for \( \ell = 0 \), the \( C^* \)-algebra \( C(S^{2\ell+1}_q) \) is the algebra of continuous functions \( C(\mathbb{T}) \) on the torus and for \( \ell = 1 \), it is \( C(SU_q(2)) \).

Let \( Y_{k,q} \) be the following operators on \( \mathcal{H}_\ell \):

\[
Y_{k,q} = \begin{cases}
    q^N \otimes \ldots \otimes q^N \otimes \sqrt{1 - q^{2N}} S^* \otimes I \otimes \ldots \otimes I, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \ell, \\
    q^N \otimes \ldots \otimes q^N \otimes I, & \text{if } k = \ell + 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(3.1)

Then \( \pi_\ell : z_k \mapsto Y_{k,q} \) gives a faithful representation of \( C(S^{2\ell+1}_q) \) on \( \mathcal{H}_\ell \) for \( q \in (0, 1) \) (see lemma 4.1 and remark 4.5, \cite{2}). We let \( Y_{k,0} \) denote the limit of the operators \( Y_{k,q} \) as \( q \) tends to zero. The formula for \( Y_{k,0} \) is again the same as that of \( Y_{k,q} \) where \( q^N \) stands for the rank one projection \( p = |e_0\rangle\langle e_0| \).

Consider the unitary operator \( U \) on \( \mathcal{H} \) defined by \( U(e_{m,z}) = e(m, z + \sum_{i=1}^k m_i) \). Define \( Z_{k,q} := UY_{k,q}U^* \) for \( q \in [0, 1] \). The representation \( z_k \mapsto Z_{k,q} \) of \( C(S^{2\ell+1}_q) \) is the one considered in \cite{5} and in \cite{6}. Let \( A_\ell(q) \) be the image of \( C(S^{2\ell+1}_q) \) under this representation i.e. \( A_\ell(q) \) is the \( C^* \) algebra generated by \( Z_{k,q} \). We refer to \cite{4} for the proof of the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** For any \( q \in (0, 1) \), one has \( A_\ell(0) = A_\ell(q) \).
From now on, we simply denote $Z_{k,0}$ by $Z_k$. Note that $Z_k$'s are in fact partial isometries. Let us introduce more notations. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $B_k(r, m, n)$ be defined as follows:

$$B_k(r, m, n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p S_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{m_k} S^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{m_k} S^{n_k} \otimes 1 \otimes t^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (m_i - n_i)} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq m, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} p S_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{m_k} S^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{m_k} S^{n_k} \otimes t^{r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (m_i - n_i)} & \text{if } k = \ell + 1. \end{cases}$$

Note the following commutation relations.

If $i < j$ then

$$B_i(r, m, n) B_j(r', m', n') = \delta_{(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1}), (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1})} \delta_{(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1})} \delta_{(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{j-1}, n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1})} B_i(r', m'', n')$$

where $m'' = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_i + m'_{i} - n_i, m'_{i+1}, \ldots, m'_{j})$.

If $i \leq \ell$ and $n_i \leq m'_i$ then

$$B_i(r, m, n) B_i(r', m', n') = \delta_{(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1}, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1})} B_i(r', m'', n')$$

where $m'' = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1}, m_i + m'_{i} - n_i, m'_{i+1}, \ldots, m'_{i})$.

If $i < \ell$ and $n_i < m'_i$ then

$$B_i(r, m, n) B_i(r', m', n') = \delta_{(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1}, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{i-1})} B_i(r', m', n'')$$

where $n'' = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{i-1}, m_i - n_i, m'_{i+1}, \ldots, n_{i})$.

Finally, $B_{\ell+1}(r, m, n) B_{\ell+1}(r', m', n') = \delta_{nm} B_{\ell+1}(r + r', m, n')$. Also $B_i(r, m, n)^* := B_i(-r, n, m)$. It is clear from the above commutation relations that the set $T := \{0\} \cup \{B_i(r, m, n) : 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1, r \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k\}$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries.

**Proposition 3.2.** The set $T := \{0\} \cup \{B_i(r, m, n) : 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1, r \in \mathbb{Z}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k\}$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries. Moreover $T$ is generated by $\{Z_i : 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1\}$.

**Proof.** As already observed $T$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries. Let $e_i$ be the $\ell$ tuple which is 1 on the $i$th coordinate and zero elsewhere. Then $Z_k := B(0, e_k, 0)$ for $k \leq \ell$ and $Z_{\ell+1} = B_{\ell+1}(1, 0, 0)$. Thus $Z_k$'s are in $T$. Moreover,

$$0 = Z_1 Z_2$$

$$B_i(r, m, n) = Z_{m_1} Z_{m_2} \cdots Z_{m_i} Z^*_{m_1} Z^*_{m_2} \cdots Z^*_{m_i} \cdots Z^*_{m_1} \text{ if } i \leq \ell$$

$$B_{\ell+1}(r, m, n) = Z_{m_1} Z_{m_2} \cdots Z_{m_1} (Z_{r_+} Z_{r_-}) Z_{r_+} Z_{r_-} \cdots Z_{m_1}.$$ 

where $r_+$ and $r_-$ denote the positive and negative parts of $r$. Thus every element in $T$ is a word in $Z_i$'s. This completes the proof. \(\square\)
4. THE TIGHT CHARACTERS FOR THE INVERSE SEMIGROUP T

In this section, we describe the tight characters of the inverse semigroup $T$ defined in Proposition 3.2. The set of projections of $T$ is denoted by $E$ and the set of characters of $E$ by $\hat{E}_0$. Consider the one point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{ \infty \}$ of $\mathbb{N}$. We denote the projection from $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^\ell$ onto the first $r$ components by $\pi_r$.

Let $p_i(m) := B_i(0, m, m)$. Then $E := \{0\} \cup \{ p_i(m) : 1 \leq i \leq \ell + 1, m \in \mathbb{N}^k \}$. First observe that if $\Lambda$ is a subsemigroup of $E$ not containing 0 then the set

$$A_\Lambda := \{ f \in E : f \geq e \text{ for some } e \in \Lambda \}$$

is a filter.

Let $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}^\ell$ be given. Let $r$ be the least positive integer for which $k_{r+1} = \infty$. (For an $\ell$ tuple $k$, we set $k_{\ell+1} = \infty$). Define $\Lambda_k = \{ p_{r+1}(\pi_r(k), n) : n \in \mathbb{N}^\ell \}$. It is easy to see that $\Lambda_k$ is a subsemigroup of $E$ not containing 0. Then $A_{\Lambda_k}$ is a filter and thus gives rise to a character. We denote the character associated to $A_{\Lambda_k}$ by $\phi(k)$. The following lemma gives a closed formula for $\phi(k)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}^\ell$ be given. The character $\phi(k)$ is given by

$$\phi(k)(p_i(m)) := \begin{cases} 
\delta_{\pi_{i-1}(m), \pi_{i-1}(k)}[0,k_i](m_i) & \text{if } i \leq \ell \\
\delta_{m,k} & \text{if } i = \ell + 1
\end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let $r$ be the least positive integer for which $k_{r+1} = \infty$. Observe $p_i(m) \geq p_{r+1}(\pi_r(k), n)$ for some $n$ if and only if $i \leq r + 1$, $\pi_{i-1}(m) = \pi_{i-1}(k)$ and $m_i \leq k_i$. Now the proof follows. \hfill $\Box$

An immediate consequence of the above lemma is that the map $\phi : \overline{\mathbb{N}}^\ell \to \hat{E}_0$ is continuous. In the next proposition we show that the image of $\phi$ is exactly $\hat{E}_\infty$.

**Proposition 4.2.** The image of the map $\phi$ is in fact $\hat{E}_\infty$.

**Proof.** First we show that the image of $\phi$ is contained in $\hat{E}_\infty$. Let $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}^\ell$ be given and let $r$ be the least nonnegative integer for which $k_{r+1} = \infty$. Recall that

$$\Lambda_k := \{ p_{r+1}(\pi_r(k), n) : n \in \mathbb{N}^{\ell-r} \}$$

We denote $\phi(k)$ by $x$. We claim that $A_x$ is an ultrafilter. Suppose that there exists a character say $y$ such that $A_x \subset A_y$. Then we need to show that $x = y$ or $A_x = A_y$. Since $x = 1$ on $\Lambda_k$ and $A_x \subset A_y$, it follows that $y = 1$ on $\Lambda_k$. If $\pi_r(m) \neq \pi_r(k)$ then $p_{r+1}(\pi_r(m), v)$ is orthogonal to $\Lambda_k$. Hence $x$ and $y$ vanishes on $p_{r+1}(\pi_r(m), v)$. Thus $x(p_{r+1}(m)) = y(p_{r+1}(m))$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$.\hfill $\Box$
Now let \( i > r + 1 \) be given. Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}^\ell \). Choose an \( \ell \) tuple \( n \) such that \( \pi_r(n)y = \pi_r(k) \) and \( n_{r+1} > m_{r+1} \). Then \( p_i(m) \) and \( p_{r+1}(n) \) are orthogonal. But \( x = y = 1 \) on \( p_{r+1}(n) \). Thus \( x \) and \( y \) vanishes on \( p_i(m) \).

Now let \( i \leq r \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N}^\ell \). If \( m_i > k_i \) then \( p_i(m) \) is orthogonal to \( A_k \). But \( x = y = 1 \) on \( A_k \). Thus \( x \) and \( y \) vanishes on \( p_i(m) \) if \( m_i > k_i \). Now suppose \( m_i \leq k_i \). If \( \pi_{i-1}(m) \neq \pi_{i-1}(k) \) then \( p_i(m) \) is again orthogonal to \( A_k \) and thus \( x \) and \( y \) vanishes on \( p_i(m) \). Consider now the case where \( m_i \leq k_i \) and \( \pi_{i-1}(m) = \pi_{i-1}(k) \). Then \( x(p_i(m)) = 1 \) by definition and since \( A_x \subset A_y \), it follows that \( y(p_i(m)) = 1 \). Thus we have shown that \( x(p_i(m)) = y(p_i(m)) \) for every \( i \) and \( m \). Hence \( x \) is \( x \) or in otherwords \( A_x \) is an ultrafilter. This proves that \( \phi(N^\ell) \) is contained in \( \hat{E}_\infty \).

Now let us prove that \( \hat{E}_\infty \) is contained in the range of \( \phi \). Let \( x \in \hat{E}_\infty \) be given. Let \( r \) be the largest nonnegative integer for which there exists a \( \ell \) such that \( x = 1 \) on \( p_{r+1} \). Choose \( k \) such that \( \pi_r(k) = \pi_r(k') \) and \( k_{r+1} = \infty \). We claim that \( A_x \subset A_{\phi(k)} \). Then the maximality of \( A_x \) forces the equality \( x = \phi(k) \).

Let \( i \leq r + 1 \) be given. Consider an \( \ell \) tuple \( m \) such that either \( m_i > k_i \) or \( \pi_{i-1}(m) \neq \pi_{i-1}(k) \). Then \( p_i(m) \) is orthogonal to \( p_{r+1}(k') \). Hence \( x(p_i(m)) = 1 \) if either \( m_i > k_i \) or \( \pi_{i-1}(m) \neq \pi_{i-1}(k) \). Also \( x \) vanishes on \( p_i(m) \) if \( i > r + 1 \) by the choice of \( r \). Thus we have shown \( A_x^{\phi(k)} \subset A_x^{\phi(k')} \). Hence \( A_x \) is contained in \( A_{\phi(k)} \). Since \( A_x \) is maximal, it follows that \( x = \phi(k) \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.3.** The set \( \hat{E}_\infty \) is compact and \( \hat{E}_{\text{tight}} = \hat{E}_\infty \).

**Proof.** The proof follows from the fact that \( \phi \) is continuous, \( \overline{N^\ell} \) is compact and from Proposition 4.2. \( \square \)

Now define an equivalence relation on \( \overline{N^\ell} \) as follows:

\[
k \sim k' \text{ if there exists } r \geq 0 \text{ such that } \pi_r(k) = \pi_r(k') \text{ and } k_{r+1} = k'_{r+1}.
\]

We show that \( \hat{E}_{\text{tight}} \) is homeomorphic to the quotient space \( \overline{N^\ell} / \sim \) in the next proposition.

**Proposition 4.4.** The map \( \phi : \overline{N^\ell} \to \hat{E}_{\text{tight}} \) factors through the quotient \( \overline{N^\ell} / \sim \to \hat{E}_{\text{tight}} \). Also the maps \( \hat{\phi} : \overline{N^\ell} / \sim \to \hat{E}_{\text{tight}} \) is a homeomorphism.

**Proof.** It is clear from the definition and from Lemma 4.1 that \( \phi \) factors through the quotient to give a map \( \hat{\phi} \). Since \( \phi \) is continuous, it follows that \( \hat{\phi} \) is continuous. We now show that \( \hat{\phi} \) is one-one.

Let \( k, k' \) be such that \( \phi(k) = \phi(k') \). Let \( r_k \) (resp. \( r'_{k'} \)) be the least nonnegative integer for which \( k_{r_k+1} = \infty \) (resp. \( k'_{r'_{k'}+1} = \infty \)). Then \( r_k \) is the largest integer for which there exists an \( m \) such that \( \phi(k) \) is 1 on \( p_{r_k+1}(m) \). Thus \( r_k = r'_{k'} \). Moreover \( \phi(k) \) is 1 on \( p_{r_k+1}(\pi_{r_k}(k), u) \). Thus \( \phi_{k'} \) is 1 on \( p_{r_k+1}(\pi_{r_k}(k), u) \). Now Lemma 4.1 implies that \( \pi_{r_k}(k) = \pi_{r_k}(k') \). Hence \( k \sim k' \). This proves that \( \hat{\phi} \) is one-one.
Now Proposition 4.2 implies that $\tilde{\phi}$ is onto. As $\mathbb{N}^\ell/\sim$ is compact and $\hat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ is Hausdorff, it follows that $\tilde{\phi}$ is in fact a homeomorphism. This completes the proof. □

5. Sheu’s Groupoid

In this section, we recall the groupoid for the odd dimensional quantum spheres $S^{2\ell+1}_q$ described in [6]. Consider the transformation groupoid $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \mathbb{Z}^{\ell})$ where $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$ by translation. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the restriction of the transformation groupoid to $\mathbb{N}^\ell$. Define

$$\Sigma := \{(z, x, w) \in \mathcal{F} : w_i = \infty \Rightarrow x_{i+1} = \cdots = x_\ell = 0 \text{ and } z = - \sum_{j=1}^i x_j\}$$

Then $\Sigma$ is an open subgroupoid of $\mathcal{F}$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\Sigma$ as follows:

$$(z, x, w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{i-1}, \infty, \cdots) \sim (z, x, w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{i-1}, \infty, \infty, \cdots, \infty).$$

Let $\mathcal{G} := \Sigma / \sim$. Then the multiplication and the inversion on $\Sigma$ factors through the equivalence relation making $\mathcal{G}$ into a groupoid. When $\mathcal{G}$ is given the quotient topology, it becomes a topological groupoid which is the groupoid described in [6].

6. The Groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$ of the Inverse Semigroup $T$

In this section, we show that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$ of the inverse semigroup $T$ is isomorphic with Sheu’s groupoid described in the previous section. For an $\ell$ tuple $m$, we set $m_{\ell+1} = \infty$. We define a map $\psi : \Sigma \to \mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$ as follows:

Let $(z, x, w) \in \Sigma$ be given. Let $r$ be the least nonnegative integer for which $w_{r+1} = \infty$. Then $\psi$ on $(z, x, w)$ is given by

$$\psi(z, x, w) := [(\phi(w), B_{r+1}(t, m, n))]$$

where $t, m, n$ are given by $t := z + \sum_{j=1}^r x_j$, $m := (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_r, |x_{r+1}|, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and $n := (x_1 + w_1, x_2 + w_2, \cdots, x_r + w_r, x_{r+1} + |x_{r+1}|, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Observe that $\psi$ is well defined as $\pi_r(w) = \pi_r(m)$ and $w_{r+1} = \infty$.

Let us introduce the following notation. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$ and $0 \leq r \leq \ell$, let $A_{r+1}(m, n) := S^{m_1}pS^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S^{m_r}pS^{n_r} \otimes S^{m_{r+1}}S^{n_{r+1}} \otimes 1$. We consider $A_{r+1}(m, n)$ as an operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^\ell)$.

**Proposition 6.1.** The map $\psi$ is continuous and $\psi$ factors through the equivalence relation $\sim$. Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be the induced map from $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is in fact a groupoid isomorphism.

**Proof.** First we show that $\psi$ factors through the equivalence relation. Let $(z, x, w) \sim (z, x, w')$ and let $r$ (resp. $r'$) be the least nonnegative integer for which $w_{r+1} = \infty$ (resp...
Suppose that \( w_{r+1} = \infty \). By definition \( r = r' \) and \( \pi_r(w) = \pi_r(w') \). Then by Proposition [44] it follows that \( \phi(w) = \phi(w') \). Since the definition of \( \psi \) involves only the first \( r \) components of \( w \), \( \psi(z, x, w) = \psi(z, x, w') \). This proves that \( \tilde{\psi} \) is well defined.

The map \( \tilde{\psi} \) is one-one. Suppose that \( \psi(z, x, w) = \psi(z', x', w') \). Again let \( r \) and \( r' \) be the least nonnegative integer for which \( w_{r+1} \) and \( w'_{r+1} \) are both \( \infty \). Then \( r \) is the largest integer for which there exists an \( m \) such that \( \phi(w) = 1 \) on \( p_{r+1}(m) \). Since \( \phi(w) = \phi(w') \), we have \( r = r' \) and \( \pi_r(w) = \pi_r(w') \). As \( \psi(z, x, w) = \psi(z', x', w') \), it follows that there exists a projector on \( e \) such that \( \phi(w)(e) = 1 \) and \( e(t^z \otimes A_{r+1}(m, n)) = e(t^z \otimes A_{r+1}(m', n')) \). But \( \phi(w)(e) = 1 \) implies that \( e \geq p_{r+1}(\pi_r(w), 0) \). Hence we can choose \( e \) to be \( p_{r+1}(\pi_r(w), 0) \). Thus it follows that \( z = z' \) and \( A_{r+1}(m, n) = A_{r+1}(m', n') \). Thus \( m = m' \) and \( n = n' \) which in turn implies \( x_i = x'_i \) for \( i \leq r + 1 \). Since \( (z, x, w) \in \Sigma \), it follows that \( x_i = x'_i = 0 \) for \( i \geq r + 2 \). Thus we have shown that \( (z, x, w) \sim (z', x', w') \). Hence \( \tilde{\psi} \) is one-one.

The map \( \tilde{\psi} \) is onto. First note that if \( a - b = c - d \) then there exists a projection \( e = S^a S^b S^c S^d \) such that \( e S^a S^b = e S^c S^d \). Hence in the definition of \( \psi \) we can change the \( r+1 \)th components of \( m \) and \( n \) such that \( n_{r+1} - m_{r+1} = x_{r+1} \). Let \([ (\phi(w), B_i(s, m, n)) \] be an element in \( G_{right} \). Let \( r \) be the first nonnegative integer for which \( w_{r+1} = \infty \). Then \( i \leq r + 1 \). By premultiplying by \( p_{r+1}(\pi_r(w), 0) \) we can assume that \( i = r + 1 \) and \( m \) is such that \( \pi_r(w) = \pi_r(m) \). Now if \( r \leq \ell - 1 \) then for \( z = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}(m_j - n_j) \), \( x \) such that \( \pi_{r+1}(x) = \pi_{r+1}(n) - \pi_{r+1}(m) \) and \( x_i = 0 \) for \( i \geq r + 2 \), \( \psi(z, x, w) = \{(\phi(w), B_{r+1}(s, m, n))\} \). If \( r = \ell \) then with \( z = s + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}(m_j - n_j) \) and \( x_j = n_j - m_j \) one has \( \psi(z, x, w) = \{(\phi(w), B_{\ell+1}(s, m, n))\} \). This proves that \( \tilde{\psi} \) is onto.

The map \( \tilde{\psi} \) is continuous. Let \((z^n, x^n, w^n)\) be a sequence in \( \Sigma \) converging to \((z, x, w) \in \Sigma \). Let \( r \) be the least nonnegative integer for which \( w_{r+1} = \infty \). Then eventually \((z^n, x^n, \pi_r(w^n))\) coincides with \((z, x, \pi_r(w))\). Suppose that \( \theta(s, U) \) is an open set containing \( \psi(z, x, w) \). Without loss of generality we can assume that \( s := t^z \otimes A_{r+1}(m, n) \) where \( m, n \) are defined as in the definition of \( \psi \). Since \( U \) is an open set containing \( \phi(w) \) and as \( \phi \) is continuous, it follows that \( \phi(w^n) \in U \) eventually. Let \( r_n \) be the least nonnegative integer for which \( w_{r_n+1} = \infty \). Then \( r_n \geq r \). Let \( m^n \) and \( n^n \) be as in the definition of \( \psi \) for \((z, x, w^n)\). If \( e_n := p_{r_n+1}(m^n) \), then \( \phi(w^n) \in D_e \) and \( e_n(t^z \otimes A_{r+1}(m^n, n^n)) = e_n(t^z \otimes A_{r+1}(m, n)) \) eventually. Thus eventually \( \psi(z^n, x^n, w^n) = [(\phi(w^n), s)] \in \theta(s, U) \). This proves that \( \tilde{\psi} \) is continuous.

We leave it to the reader to check that \( \tilde{\psi} \) is a homeomorphism and it is in fact a groupoid homomorphism. This completes the proof.
7. ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN $C(S_{q}^{2\ell+1})$ AND $C_{\text{red}}^{*}(\mathcal{G})$

We complete the discussion by showing that $C(S_{q}^{2\ell+1})$ is isomorphic to the reduced $C^*$ algebra of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is the Sheu’s groupoid considered in Section 5. We also identify $\mathcal{G}$ with $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}$. Let $r$ denote the range map and let $\mathcal{G}^0 := r^{-1}(\phi(0))$. Then $\mathcal{G}^0 := \{(z,x,0) : x_i \geq 0\}$. Thus $L^2(\mathcal{G}^0)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the representation $\pi$ of $C_{\text{red}}^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ on $L^2(\mathcal{G}^0)$ defined by:

$$(\pi(f)\xi)(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{G}^0} f(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1)\xi(\gamma_1)$$

The representation $\pi$ is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(\delta_0)$ given in [3] and it is faithful since the largest open set which is also invariant for which $\delta_0(U) = 0$ is the empty set. (Refer to [3] for more details.)

Let $\Sigma_{\text{fin}} \subset \Sigma$ be the finite part i.e. $\Sigma_{\text{fin}} := \{(z,x,w) : w_i < \infty\}$. Then $\Sigma_{\text{fin}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{G}$. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell + 1$, denote the set $\theta_{Z_k^*}$ by $\theta_k$. Now it is easily verifiable that $\theta_k \cap \Sigma_{\text{fin}} := \{(-1, e_k, (0,0,\cdots,0,w_k,\cdots,w_\ell)\}$ where $e_k$ is the $\ell$ tuple which is 1 at the $k$th place and zero elsewhere. From this observation it is easy to show that $\pi(1_{\theta_k}) = Z_k^*$. Since $1_{\theta_k}$ generate the $C^*$ algebra $C_{\text{red}}^{*}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}})$, it follows that $C(S_{q}^{2\ell+1})$ is isomorphic to $C_{\text{red}}^{*}(\mathcal{G})$.
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