**INTRODUCTION**

microRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous 20–24 nt small non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression through binding to complementary sequences in target messenger RNAs (miRNAs), leading to translational repression and/or cleavage of target miRNAs (Ambros, 2004; He and Hannon, 2004; Bartel, 2009; Chekulaeva and Filipowicz, 2009; Childs-Lam and Zamore, 2009). While most miRNAs downregulate gene expression, there are examples of miRNA-mediated upregulation of target gene expression during cell cycle arrest, suggesting that miRNA function is complex and context dependent (Vasudevan et al., 2007; Orom et al., 2008). miRNAs have been implicated in many aspects of development and disease including cell cycle, cell differentiation, apoptosis, life span, developmental timing, stress responses, neural development and regeneration, cancers, and neurodegenerative disorders (Boehm and Slack, 2005; Bushati and Cohen, 2007; Chang et al., 2009; Ambros, 2011; Sayed and Abdellatif, 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Boulat and Horvitz, 2012; Cochella and Hobert, 2012; Saito and Saito, 2012; Zou et al., 2012, 2013). In addition, miRNAs lin-6, mir-273, and mir-71 function in asymmetric differentiation of two pairs of *C. elegans* sensory neurons, which will be discussed later (Johnston and Hobert, 2003; Chang et al., 2009b; Häsch et al., 2012). Thus, miRNAs are important factors that control neuronal development across the animal kingdom.

**FUNCTIONS OF miRNAs IN NEURAL DEVELOPMENT**

The importance of miRNAs in various aspects of neuronal development has been demonstrated in several animal models. In zebrablack, maternal-allogenic *deor* mutants, that disrupt the processing of precursor miRNAs into mature miRNAs, display deleterious effects on the development of the brain, and injection of mature miR-430 rescues the early brain patterning defects (Giraldez et al., 2005). In mice, the neuron-specific miRNA miR-124 induces neuronal differentiation by directly targeting a global repressor of alternative pre-mRNA splicing and triggering a downstream switch to neuron-specific alternative splicing (Makeyev et al., 2007). In the *Xenopus* retina, a number of cell cycle related miRNAs target *Xotx2* and *Xvsx1* in early retinal progenitor cells to inhibit bipolar cell differentiation (Decembrini et al., 2009). In *Drosophila*, miR-9a targets senseless to inhibit neuronal fate in non-sensory organ precursors (Li et al., 2006). In *C. elegans*, the miRNA lin-4 targets the LIN-14 transcription factor to inhibit netrin-mediated axon attraction (Chang et al., 2004a; Zou et al., 2012), and the miRNA let-7 contributes to a developmental decline in neuronal regeneration (Zou et al., 2013). In addition, miRNAs lin-6, mir-273, and mir-71 function in asymmetric differentiation of two pairs of *C. elegans* sensory neurons, which will be discussed later (Johnston and Hobert, 2003; Chang et al., 2009b; Häsch et al., 2012). Thus, miRNAs are important factors that control neuronal development across the animal kingdom.

**LEFT–RIGHT ASYMMETRY OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM**

Although the nervous systems of animals are largely symmetric across the left–right axis, there have been several observations of anatomical and functional brain lateralization throughout the animal kingdom. For example, zebrablack display asymmetry in the epithalamus (Snelson and Gamse, 2009; Taylor et al., 2010), mice have been shown to have paw preferences, indicating the presence of a dominant hemisphere in motor control (Signore et al., 1991; Biddle et al., 1993), and the majority of humans have language centers such as Wernicke’s and Broca’s area located in the left hemisphere of the brain (Van and Walsh, 2006). This lateralization of the nervous system is thought to be beneficial, as it allows for an increase of functional capacity (Rogers et al., 2012).
Disruption of asymmetry in the brain is seen in a number of neurodevelopmental diseases, including dyslexia, schizophrenia, autism, Alzheimer’s disease, and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; Herbert et al., 2005; Derflinger et al., 2011; neurodevelopmental diseases, including dyslexia, schizophrenia, autism, Alzheimer’s disease, and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD; Herbert et al., 2005; Derflinger et al., 2011; Renteria, 2012). In children diagnosed with ADHD, the prefrontal cortex was shown to have a loss in rightward asymmetric distribution of prefrontal cortex volume as compared to typically developing children (Shaw et al., 2009). In dysexecutive patients, the planum temporale shows alteration in asymmetry, as the right temporale appears physically larger than the left counterpart (Galaburda et al., 1983).

There have been several reports on functional and anatomical nervous system asymmetries; however there have been comparatively fewer studies on identifying the molecular mechanisms that establish lateralization. Here we narrow our focus to the function and regulation of miRNAs in the development of neuronal asymmetry. The most interesting evidence of miRNAs in vertebrate neuronal asymmetry comes from the investigation of miRNA function in neocortex development. The simplest form of asymmetry is the division of a cell to give rise to two asymmetric fates. In the case of the mouse neocortex, a progenitor cell divides asymmetrically to give rise to a progenitor cell and a neuron. asymmetric localization of the TRIM-NHL protein TRIM32 is observed in the daughter cell that becomes a neuron, while the cell lacking TRIM32 remains a progenitor (Schwamborn et al., 2009). TRIM32 increases the activity of specific miRNAs through binding of the RNAse argonaute-1 (Hammell et al., 2009; Schwamborn et al., 2009). It was further shown that the miRNA Let-7a, one of the TRIM32 targets, is required and sufficient for neuronal differentiation (Schwamborn et al., 2009).

A subset of zebrafish olfactory bulb output neurons called the mitral cells send axons asymmetrically to the right habenula, which is an asymmetric part of the brain where the higher olfactory processing center is located (Miyaska et al., 2009; Taylor et al., 2010). Several molecules are asymmetrically expressed in adult-born olfactory neurons in the ventricular–subventricular zone (V–SVZ) of the zebrafish brain. The transcription factors Myt1 and Neurogenin1 are predominantly expressed in the left V–SVZ, while DeltaA and hairy/enhancer of split-related protein (a Notch effector) are mainly expressed on the right side (Kishimoto et al., 2013). In addition, members of the miR-200 family are involved in the proper differentiation of olfactory neurons of both mice and zebrafish (Choi et al., 2008). It would be interesting to see whether the miR-200 family or other miRNAs influences the asymmetric and lateral projection of olfactory axons to the higher olfactory centers, and whether Myt1, Neurogenin1, and Delta/Notch are potential targets of miR-200.

A study found that 27 genes are differentially expressed in the embryonic human cerebral cortex, and the Lim-domain transcription factor LMO4 is more abundant in the right preispyriyan cortex than the left, and may be involved in asymmetric development of the cortex (Sun et al., 2005). The hypothesis that differential expression of these genes between left and right sides of the cortex may be regulated by miRNAs is plausible and worth further investigation.

Although there are limited reports of the involvement of miRNAs in the development of neuronal asymmetry in vertebrates, C. elegans has proved to be a powerful model organism to study lateralization of the nervous system due to its genetic amenability, as well as evidence of functional asymmetry having clear molecular correlates. In this review, we highlight two cases of the roles that miRNAs play in establishing left/right asymmetry in the C. elegans nervous system. Both involve the specification of two types of chemosensory neurons: the pair of amphid neurons, single ciliated endings (ASE) taste neurons, in which ly-6 and mir-273 miRNAs are involved, and the pair of amphid wing “C” (AWC) olfactory neurons, where mir-71 is crucial for establishment of asymmetry.

miRNAs IN TASTE NEURON ASYMMETRY

Like other animals, the C. elegans nervous system appears generally symmetric. However, the pair of taste neurons, called ASE left (ASEL) and ASE right (ASER) displays molecular and functional asymmetries. The ASE neurons are located in the nerve ring of the nematode, which is the brain equivalent in the worm. Although the neurons are derived from different cell lineages, they are anatomically symmetric in terms of cell position, morphologies, and axonal projections (White et al., 1986). The ASEL neuron, however, differentially expresses the putative chemoreceptor gcy-7, while the ASER neuron expresses another putative chemoreceptor gcy-5 (Yu et al., 1997). The pair of ASE neurons also senses different chemicals, as the ASEL neuron functions to detect sodium, while ASER senses chloride (Pierce-Shimomura et al., 2001).

Over the past few years, there have been many studies investigating the molecular mechanism on how ASE asymmetry is established. Intriguingly, the first step of breaking symmetry of the taste neurons occurs several divisions before the ASEL and ASER neurons are born, during the early embryonic stage (Poole and Hobert, 2006). In the ASEL lineage, a pair of redundant T-box transcription factors TBX-37/38 are transiently expressed six cell divisions before the birth of ASE (Good et al., 2004; Figure 1). These transcription factors work to “prime” a miRNA called ly-6, which promotes the ASE cell fate. This is achieved by binding of TBX-37/38 to a downstream primer element of ly-6 and results in physical opening of the ly-6 chromatin (Cochella and Hobert, 2012b). The priming event is then “remembered” several cell divisions later in the ASEL mother cell. The open chromatin status of ly-6 allows for the CHE-1 zinc finger transcription factor to bind to an upstream booster element of the ly-6 locus (Cochella and Hobert, 2012b). This induces “boosting” of ly-6 expression levels in the ASE neuron. The “prime and boost” model is essential for establishing ASE asymmetry.

In the ASER lineage, a Delta/Notch signal in the ASE precursor cell causes the T-box transcription factors TBX-37/38 to be repressed (Good et al., 2004; Prens, 2005). Therefore, the ly-6 “priming” event does not occur in the ASER lineage, allowing the ly-6 chromatin to remain in a compact form (Cochella and Hobert, 2012b). This in turn leads to the inability of the CHE-1 transcription factor to physically bind the upstream element of ly-6, and no boosting of the miRNA expression levels occurs in ASER. Overall, this causes asymmetric distribution of the ly-6 miRNA in the ASEL neuron. The ly-6 miRNA functions in a double negative feedback loop to control the asymmetry of ASEL/R neurons (Johnston et al., 2012a).
FIGURE 1 | Priming and boosting model of ASE asymmetry. ASEL: (1) The first step of promoting the ASEL cell fate occurs six cell divisions before the postmitotic ASEL is born. A transient pair of T-box transcription factors TBX-37/38 acts on the downstream primer element of lsy-6 miRNA to open up the chromatin. (2) The priming event allows boosting of lsy-6 miRNA levels by the CHE-1 transcription factor in the ASEL mother cell, leading to high levels of the miRNA in the postmitotic ASEL neuron. (3) The lsy-6 miRNA directly inhibits the ASER promoting transcription factor COG-1, and allows the ASEL promoting transcription factor DIE-1 to be expressed. (4) DIE-1 then activates ASEL effector genes and represses the ASER cell fate. ASER: (1) Notch is expressed in the ASER precursor and inhibits the lsy-6 priming event. Therefore, the lsy-6 miRNA expression level cannot be boosted as the chromatin remains compact. (2) Consequently, in the postmitotic ASER neuron, the COG-1 transcription factor is expressed, which activates another miRNA, mir-273. (3) mir-273 directly inhibits the ASEL promoting transcription factor DIE-1, and therefore promotes the ASER fate.

In the ASEL neuron, lsy-6 directly represses an ASER promoting transcription factor COG-1, through physical binding of complementary bases in the cog-1 3′UTR (Johnston and Hobert, 2003). Repression of COG-1 allows for yet another transcription factor DIE-1 to be expressed, which is the output of the feedback loop. DIE-1 then activates ASEL effector genes and suppresses ASER effector genes (Johnston et al., 2005; Figure 1).

In the ASER neuron, lsy-6 expression is relatively low, and cannot effectively repress the COG-1 transcription factor. This allows activation of another miRNA, mir-273, which displays...
complementarity to the 3′ UTR of the previously described die-7 output (Chang et al., 2004b). mir-273 therefore represses die-1, resulting in the de-repression of the ASE fate (Figure 1). Mutating any of the factors involved results in a loss of asymmetry, with both cells adopting either the ASE or ASER cell fate.

miRNAs in Olfactory Neuron Asymmetry

Like the ASE neurons, the C. elegans AWC olfactory neurons are bilaterally symmetrical at the morphological level. However, AWC left (AWCL) and right (AWCR) neurons express different odorant receptors and sense different odors (Figure 2; Troemel et al., 1999). The AWC\textsuperscript{r} neuron expresses the odorant receptor gene str-2 and specifically senses the odor butanone; while the contralateral AWC\textsuperscript{L} neuron expresses the odorant receptor gene srsx-3 and specifically senses the odor pentane-2,4-dione (Figure 2; Troemel et al., 1999; Ves and Bargmann, 2001; Bauer Huang et al., 2007). In wild-type animals, only one of the AWC neurons expresses str-2. AWC asymmetry is stochastic and coordinated, so that 50% of the worms in a population express AWC\textsuperscript{r} neuron while the other 50% express AWC\textsuperscript{L} neuron. This transcriptional bias of str-2 expression is higher in the AWC\textsuperscript{L} cell than in the AWC\textsuperscript{r} cell. This transcriptional bias of str-2 is not dependent on nsy-4 and nsy-5 (Chuang et al., 2007). In addition, intercellular calcium signaling between AWCs and non-AWC neurons via a NSY-5 gap junction-dependent neural network coordinates precise AWC asymmetry (Schumacher et al., 2012).

One of the important questions on AWC asymmetry is how the calcium signaling pathway is downregulated by nsy-4 and nsy-5 in the AWC\textsuperscript{r} cell. A recent study showed that the miRNA mir-71 acts downstream of nsy-4 and nsy-5 to promote AWC\textsuperscript{L} cell in a cell autonomous manner through inhibiting the expression of the calcium signaling adaptor protein gene tir-1 (Figure 2; Hsieh et al., 2012). The TIR-1/Sarm1 adaptor protein assembles a calcium-signaling complex to cell-autonomously specify the default AWC\textsuperscript{r} identity (Chuang and Bargmann, 2005). Thus downregulation of tir-1 expression by mir-71 is an efficient mechanism to inhibit calcium signaling in the cell becoming AWC\textsuperscript{L}.

mir-71 is regulated at both transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels in AWC (Hsieh et al., 2012). At the transcriptional level, the expression level of mir-71 is higher in the AWC\textsuperscript{L} cell than in the AWC\textsuperscript{r} cell. This transcriptional bias of mir-71 is not dependent on nsy-4 or nsy-5; thus, the mechanisms that regulate differential expression of mir-71 in the two AWC cells are yet to be elucidated. At the post-transcriptional level, the stability of mature mir-71 is dependent on nsy-4 and nsy-5. The C. elegans 5′ → 3′ exoribonuclease XRN-2 has been shown to be involved in degradation of mature miRNAs (Charterjee and Grosshans, 2009). It is possible that nsy-4 and nsy-5 may antagonize the XRN-2-mediated miRNA turnover pathway to increase the level of mature mir-71. However, RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown of xrn-2 did not cause a defect in AWC asymmetry, suggesting that the stability of mature mir-71 may be independent of xrn-2. In support of this idea, not all miRNAs accumulate in xrn-2 RNAi worms (Charterjee and Grosshans, 2009), suggesting the existence of alternative miRNA turnover pathways that may be inhibited by nsy-4 and nsy-5.

PERSPECTIVES

Understanding the molecular mechanisms involved in establishing left-right asymmetry in the C. elegans nervous system can lay the groundwork for identifying the processes used in higher organisms, as the methods used may be evolutionarily conserved. Because of the highly conserved nature of miRNAs, insights into how they are involved to control asymmetric fates will help facilitate our understanding in vertebrate neuronal asymmetry. The involvement of miRNAs in asymmetry may also be reflective of the principles those small non-coding RNAs use in directing other neurodevelopmental processes.
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