Molecular response of nasal mucosa to therapeutic exposure to broad-band ultraviolet radiation
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Abstract

Ultraviolet radiation (UVR) phototherapy is a promising new treatment for inflammatory airway diseases. However, the potential carcinogenic risks associated with this treatment are not well understood. UV-specific DNA photoproducts were used as biomarkers to address this issue. Radioimmunoassay was used to quantify cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) and (6–4) photoproducts in DNA purified from two milieus: nasal mucosa samples from subjects exposed to intranasal phototherapy and human airway (EpiAirway™) and human skin (EpiDerm™) tissue models. Immunohistochemistry was used to detect CPD formation and persistence in human nasal biopsies and human tissue models. In subjects exposed to broadband ultraviolet radiation, DNA damage frequencies were determined prior to as well as immediately after treatment and at increasing times post-treatment. We observed significant levels of DNA damage immediately after treatment and efficient removal of the damage within a few days. No residual damage was observed in human subjects exposed to multiple UVB treatments several weeks after the last treatment. To better understand the molecular response of the nasal epithelium to DNA damage, parallel experiments were conducted in EpiAirway and EpiDerm model systems. Repair rates in these two tissues were very similar and comparable to that observed in human skin. The data suggest that the UV-induced DNA damage response of respiratory epithelia is very similar to that of the human epidermis and that nasal mucosa is able to efficiently repair UVB induced DNA damage.
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Introduction

Phototherapy of inflammatory and immune-mediated skin diseases such as psoriasis vulgaris, atopic dermatitis and vitiligo is a well-recognized treatment option in routine dermatological practice; its efficacy has been demonstrated in several studies and therefore is recommended by the guidelines of dermatological societies all over the world [1–3]. Recently, phototherapy has been applied to treat immune-mediated mucosal diseases (oral lichen planus, oral manifestations of graft versus host disease and allergic rhinitis) and therefore may represent a promising new therapy for several manifestations of inflammatory airway disease [4–6].

Evidence strongly suggests that UV-B (285–315 nm) induced DNA photoproducts are the predominant premutagenic events responsible for the initiation of human carcinomas [7]. The two major photoproducts induced in DNA by UVB occur at sites of adjacent pyrimidine bases and include the cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer (CPD) and pyrimidine-pyrimidone (6–4) dimer [(6–4)PD]. Transition mutations arising chiefly at the 3’ base of a T-C dipyrimidine have been found in the p53 tumour suppressor gene of
-50% of human basal cell carcinomas [8] and in the ras proto-
ontocogene at a much lower rate [9]. Along with CC→TT tandem
double mutations, C→T transitions are considered the ‘signature’
mutations of UVB light. Numerous studies have shown that
UV B-induced DNA damage can increase the expression of p53 pro-
tein, a key element for cell cycle regulation [10]. The accumulation
of p53 protein induces cell cycle arrest at the G1/S boundary and
increases repair of DNA damage prior to replication. If the amount
of damage is excessive and cannot be completely removed, cells
may enter apoptosis. Apoptosis is partly determined by DNA
damage and p53 and partly by other mechanisms, such as cross-
linking of surface receptors [10].

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is the predominant DNA repair
pathway used by cells to remove bulky lesions such as pyrimidine
dimers [11]. NER consists of two subpathways, global genome
repair, which removes lesions from the entire genome and trans-
scription coupled repair, which preferentially repairs damage in
actively transcribing regions of DNA. Failure to properly repair DNA
damage results in mutations that impair cell function and eventu-
ally lead to cancer. Several mutation hotspots have been identified in
the p53 gene of non-melanoma skin cancers [12] and all have been
correlated with either UVB-induced DNA damage hotspots [13] or
CPD excision coldspots [14]. It is thought that chronic UVR con-
fers a selective advantage on cells with a dysfunctional p53 gene
and that reduced apoptosis and increased clonal expansion of
these damaged cells leads to formation of mutant p53 clusters,
actinic keratoses and, ultimately carcinomas [7, 8, 15, 16].

DNA photoproducts caused by chronic exposure to sunlight are
responsible for initiating photocarcinogenesis in the skin (e.g.
basal and squamous cell carcinomas). Previous studies demon-
strate that skin cells exposed to UV slowly over a long period of
time, conditions that mimic those associated with many occupa-
tional and recreational behaviours, respond in quite unexpected
ways. We have identified cells at the dermal-epidermal boundary
that accumulate significant levels of DNA damage in chronically
irradiated mice as well as in sun-exposed human skin [17, 18].
These cells appear to be stem cells and may indeed represent pre-
initiation events in photocarcinogenesis. The persistence of DNA
damage-retaining stem cells in chronically irradiated tissues is,
thus, an indicator of the carcinogenic potential of a photothera-
peutic treatment protocol.

Because phototherapy of inflammatory airway diseases
requires several exposures to UV light, it is important to determine
if rhinophototherapy (RPT) poses any risk for carcinogenesis. As
respiratory tissues are not routinely exposed to UV radiation, risk
assessment necessarily involves comparing the biological and
molecular response of these tissues to those in a system where
UV-induced carcinogenesis is well characterized, namely human
epidermis. Recent publications have indicated that controlled
delivery of UV B phototherapy for inflammatory skin diseases is
safe and is not accompanied by a significant increase of skin car-
cinoma [19, 20]. With this in mind, our risk assessment focused on
the type of DNA damage associated with initiation in squamous
and basal cell carcinoma, that is the production and resolution of
CPDs. The formation and persistence of CPDs was monitored in
the nasal epithelium from subjects receiving single and multiple UV
exposures and compared to previously published data for human
epidermis. In addition, we quantified and compared the induction
and repair of the two major photoproducts formed after exposure
to UV, the CPD and (6–4) photoprotein, in reconstructed normal
human three-dimensional respiratory epithelium (EpiAirway) and
skin (EpiDerm) (MatTek Corp, Ashland, MA, USA).

Materials and methods

DNA damage and repair after a single UVR
exposure of human nasal mucosa

Thirty adult subjects participated in the study, which was approved by the
Central Ethics Committee in Hungary and by the Local Ethics Committee
of the University of Szeged, Hungary. All subjects gave written informed
consent before participating in the study. All subjects underwent baseline
nasal mucosa sampling using a plastic curette (Rhino-probe™, ASI,
Arlington, TX, USA) from the antero-medial aspect of the inferior
turbinate. Two weeks after baseline sampling, all subjects were exposed
to one intranasal UV treatment in both nostrils using a broadband ultravi-
olet (BB-UV) light source (Allux Medical Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA).
The light source uses a mercury xenon bulb and a series of filters to create the
light spectrum that is a combination of UVC (2.4 mW), UVB (8.2 mW) and
UVA (23.8 mW) as well as some visible light. Each nostril was treated for
3 min. using a similar technique as used for treating allergic rhinitis [4].
Immediately after exposure, nasal mucosal samples were collected from
one nostril. A second nasal cytology sample was collected from the con-
tralateral nostril 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs after UV exposure from 10 sub-
jects at each time-point (subjects were randomly assigned to one of the 3
time-points listed above). From the nasal cytology samples DNA was
extracted for RIA analysis.

DNA damage and repair after multiple UV light
exposures of human nasal mucosa

Twenty-six allergic rhinitis subjects were included in the study. The study
was approved by the Central Ethics Committee in Hungary and by the Local
Ethics Committee of the University of Szeged, Hungary. As above, all sub-
jects gave written informed consent before participating in the study. The
study was performed during the 2006 ragweed pollen season in Szeged,
Hungary. Subjects were randomized to either receive intranasal photother-
apy using the same BB-UV light source (Allux Medical Inc.) or low-dose
visible light placebo therapy for 3 weeks (3 times per week). Nasal mucosal
samples were collected from the antero-medial surface of the inferior
turbinate at the following time-points: before any exposure, immediately
after last exposure, 1 week after the last exposure and 1 month after the
last exposure. DNA was extracted from the nasal mucosal samples and
shipped to Texas for DNA damage analysis.

It has been shown that CPDs can accumulate in basal epidermal cells
after chronic irradiation of mouse and human epidermis and that this dam-
age may play an important role in carcinogenesis. With this in mind, we
examined the persistence of DNA damage in individual cells of subjects
that received multiple UV exposures. Biopsies were collected from 13
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DNA damage and repair in EpiDerm and EpiAirway exposed to UVR

The EpiAirway™ tissue (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA, USA) consists of normal, human-derived respiratory epithelial cells that were cultured to form a pseudo-stratified, highly differentiated 3-dimensional model that closely resembles the epithelial tissue of the upper respiratory tract. The EpiDerm™ tissue (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA, USA) consists of normal, human-derived epidermal keratinocytes, which have been cultured to form a multilayer, highly differentiated three-dimensional model of human epidermis; the tissue possesses all the cell layers and the ultrastructural characteristics of human epidermis.

After receipt, the tissues were transferred to 6-well culture plates and fed with either AIR-100-ASY or EPI-100-ASY supplied culture medium (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA, USA). Tissues were re-fed the following day. After 2 days, when growth was at an optimum, UV exposure experiments were performed. Two different types of experiments were performed: one set of experiments was designed to address the repair of UV-specific photoproducts in the two tissues and the other set to explore the depth of penetration of DNA damage induced by different light sources in the two tissue types.

For the DNA repair experiments EpiAirway tissues were exposed to UV light (100 J/m² and 1000 J/m²). EpiDerm tissues were exposed to 3 doses: 100 J/m², an adjusted dose of 258 J/m² (to account for shielding by stratum corneum) and 1000 J/m² dose. The tissue was harvested at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hrs after exposure. The entire tissue was separated from the support material and harvested for DNA isolation using standard techniques. Each experiment was performed in duplicate. An unirradiated control was harvested also for each dose.

DNA damage induction frequencies equivalent to those produced by the unmodified BB-UV light source determined from preliminary MatTek experiments. For example, the CPD induction rate in DNA by UVC is 5.9-fold greater than of the BB-UV light source; hence the UVC doses were adjusted by this factor. Tissues were harvested immediately after exposure. The tissue ‘disc’ was bisected using scissors and half was ‘snap frozen’ in 0.5 mL TE buffer in liquid nitrogen. The other half was inserted into a histology cassette, placed in 10% formalin overnight and transferred to TE buffer the following day. DNA for both the repair and penetration experiments was isolated for RIA analysis and slides were prepared for immunohistochemistry of CPDs.

DNA damage penetration in EpiDerm and EpiAirway tissues exposed to UVR

EpiAirway and EpiDerm tissues were exposed to three different doses from each of 4 different light sources; including (1) a narrow-band germicidal UVC source consisting of 5 Philips Sterilamp G8T5 emitting predominantly 254 nm radiation (NB-UVC), (2) a UVB source consisting of 4 Philips TL-01 100W lamps filtered through cellulose acetate (Kodak, Kodak) emitting narrow band 313 nm radiation (NB-UVB), (3) the broadband UV light source provided by Allux Medical (BB-UV) and (4) the BB-UV light source from which the UVB had been filtered out, resulting in a broadband-UV spectrum (BB-UVC). Dose rates were measured using an IL1400A radiometer coupled to appropriate probes for each light source (International Light, Place). Probes included NS 254 #11306 for UVC, SCS 280 #11174 for UVB and WBS320 #19118/ONDS1 #27218/T #25347 for the BB-UV light source. Doses were adjusted to reflect CPD

Immunohistochemistry

Human nasal biopsies were fixed in 10% formalin, paraffin-embedded, and 4 μm sections were cut from each. Sections were extracted from paraffin blocks by incubation at 60°C for 30 min. followed by 2 immersions in xylene for 5 min. each, re-hydrated by 5 min. incubations in 100%, 95% and 70% EtOH and rinsed in ddH₂O. The slides were then placed immediately into 3.0% H₂O₂ for 10 min. at 23°C then washed in running ddH₂O. Following denaturation in 0.1 N NaOH/70% ETOH for 3 min. at 23°C the slides were dehydrated for 1 min. each in 70%, 90% and 100% EtOH. The slides were washed 3 times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 5 min. each and incubated with proteinase K (10 μg/ml in PBS) at 37°C for 10 min. After 3 washes for 5 min. each in PBS sections were incubated with 5% horse (blocking) serum for 30 min. at 23°C and incubated overnight at 4°C with monoclonal antibody specific for CPDs (clone KTM53, Kamiya Bionmedica, Seattle, WA, USA) diluted 1:10,000 in PBS. After primary antibody incubation, the slides were washed 3 times for 5 min. in PBS at 23°C and incubated with biotin-conjugated horse anti-mouse IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) diluted 1:500. The slides were then washed 3 times for 3 min. each in PBS, incubated in Vector Elite ABC™
peroxidase for 30 min. and washed 3 times for 3 min. in PBS at 23°C. The slides were incubated in a diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution prepared from a DNA Substrate Kit for Peroxidase (Vector Cat. Nr. SK-4100) until optimal staining in the positive control was observed (30–40 sec.). After rinsing in ddH2O the slides were counterstained in haematoxylin for 10–15 sec., washed in ddH2O, rinsed in PBS for 30 sec., rinsed again in ddH2O and then dehydrated through graded EtOH as above (i.e. 70%, 95% and 100%).

Statistical analyses

Two-sample independent t-tests using the R Statistical Package ver. 2.7.1 (http://www.r-project.org/) were used to determine significant differences between data. Exponential decay curves and linear regressions were generated using SigmaPlot ver. 10 (Systat, San Jose, CA, USA).

Results

DNA damage and repair after single exposure of the nasal mucosa to UV light

In order to understand the nucleotide repair efficiency in human respiratory epithelia exposed to UVR, nasal cytology samples were taken from UV exposed tissues (single exposure) prior to, immediately after the treatment (T0) and at 24, 48 and 72 hrs later (Fig. 1). As expected, baseline CPD and (6–4)PD levels were very similar for all of the subjects and were not significantly above the RIA background levels (i.e. measured in the absence of sample DNA). Immediately after UV exposure (T0), CPD and (6–4)PD frequencies were measured in all subjects and were significantly greater than baseline levels (P < 0.0001). The large standard deviations immediately after exposure reflect the significant inter-individual variation for damage induction. It is evident that both CPD and (6–4)PD repair is efficient in the respiratory epithelium with 60–70% of the original damage (T0) removed by 24 hrs post-treatment (P < 0.001) and near background levels 72 hrs (P < 0.0003) after UV exposure. The 24, 48 and 72 hrs CPD and (6–4)PD frequencies showed no significant deviation from baseline.

DNA damage and repair after multiple UV light exposures of human nasal mucosa

The current phototherapy treatment protocol for allergic rhinitis requires 9 UV treatments of the nasal mucosa during 3 consecutive weeks (3 exposures per week). DNA damage and repair of nasal epithelium was evaluated in nasal cytology samples from symptomatic allergic rhinitis patients at baseline (no UVR exposure), immediately after the final (9th) treatment (P < 0.001) and near background levels 72 hrs (P < 0.0003) after UV exposure. The 24, 48 and 72 hrs CPD and (6–4)PD frequencies showed no significant deviation from baseline.
measured in patients receiving the UV irradiation treatment \( (P < 0.0001) \). As above, significant variation was observed in the amount of DNA damage immediately after treatment and is reflected in the large error bar associated with this group when averaged together. Whereas about half of the treated patients (i.e. 7 of 13) displayed damage levels ranging from approximately 700 to 1300 CPDs × 10⁶ bases DNA, the remainder showed significantly lower levels ranging from 300 to less than 100 CPDs × 10⁶ bases DNA, CPD frequencies were below the limit of sensitivity of the RIA in placebo-treated patients. At 1 and 4 weeks after the last treatment, DNA damage frequencies had returned to the original baseline level in both the treated and untreated groups. A pairwise comparison of all of the data showed no significant differences except for T₀.

Samples biopsied 2 months after the last treatment showed no morphological changes that could be attributed to the UV exposure (not shown). Both placebo-treated and UVR-treated subjects exhibited similar histological changes, most probably associated with the chronic inflammatory process associated with allergic rhinitis of the nasal mucosa. CPD positive cells were not detected in the tissue samples from subjects exposed to multiple UVR treatments or in placebo-treated subjects (Fig. 3B and C). As a positive control, EpiAirway tissue exposed to UVB and processed immediately after irradiation was used. A strong positive staining was detected (Fig. 3A).

**Biologic response of EpiDerm and Ep-Airway to UV radiation**

We conducted a comparative analysis of 3-dimensional reconstructed respiratory epithelium (EpiAirway) and epidermis (EpiDerm) to better understand similarities and differences between these tissues with regard to their biological response to UVR, particularly DNA damage induction and repair. An experiment was performed to quantify the rates of CPD induction in these tissues using various UV radiation sources (data not shown). Tissues were exposed to increasing doses from the NB-UVC and NB-UVB radiation sources and from the BB-UV source with and without the UVB component removed (BB-UVC). Doses were adjusted to yield approximately equivalent levels of DNA damage from each light source in purified DNA. In all cases significantly more damage was induced in the EpiAirway compared to the EpiDerm by the same dose of UVR due to shielding by the stratum corneum in the EpiDerm tissues.

The EpiAirway tissues were also used to investigate the depth of penetration of different UV sources. The unfiltered, full-spectrum BB-UV light (Allux Medical) closely resembled the NB-UVB pattern in its depth of penetration (Fig. 4). Likewise, the NB-UVC closely resembled the BB-UV light source (from which the UVB emission had been blocked). It is evident that the UVB component of the BB-UV light source is responsible for inducing DNA damage in all cell layers of the tissue. UVC radiation from either the germicidal light source or emitted exclusively from the BB-UV light source damaged only those cells on the surface. The UVA component of the BB-UV light source does not induce measurable CPD levels in tissues [23, 24]. These data are consistent with previous results showing that longer wavelength UV radiation (i.e. UVB and UVA) penetrates deeper and damages human skin DNA greater than UVC.
The data reported here using samples obtained from subjects exposed to single or multiple UV treatments suggest that the human respiratory epithelium can efficiently remove lesions in DNA resulting from exposure to UV radiation. To further examine this question, the rates of NER in EpiAirway and EpiDerm were compared. Doses were adjusted to account for shielding by the stratum corneum. In Fig. 5, the kinetics for CPD and (6–4)PD repair are shown in EpiDerm (closed circles) and EpiAirway tissues (open circles). The number of CPDs and (6–4)PDs per megabase DNA are shown in Fig. 5A and 5B, respectively. These values normalized to the T0 value for each photoproduct in each tissue are shown in Fig. 5C (CPDs) and 5D (6–4)PDs. It is evident that both photoproducts are efficiently repaired in both engineered tissue systems. There appears to be a slight lag in NER of CPDs in the EpiAirway, which may reflect the greater amount of damage induced. Exponential decay curves were generated for each of the normalized curves. The curves for the (6–4)PD (Fig. 5D) were nearly identical for the two tissues with correlations of determination (r²) of 0.9717 and 0.9780 for Epi-Airway and EpiDerm, respectively. A slight difference in the CPD repair curves (Fig. 5C) was observed. The r² values for the Epi-Airway and EpiDerm curves were 0.9110 and 0.8943, respectively; the 95% confidence intervals for the curves showed significant overlap. Thus we conclude that NER of CPDs and (6–4)PDs was comparable in the EpiAirway and the EpiDerm systems.

Discussion

Although UV light has been applied in other locations besides the skin (nasal mucosa, oral mucosa), limited data exist about the capacity of tissues other than the epidermis to repair UV-induced DNA damage. Studies performed in bronchial fibroblasts and epithelial cells showed similar DNA repair as in human skin fibroblasts, suggesting that DNA repair mechanisms are equally efficient in all cell types [25]. As demonstrated in a recent pilot study, nasal epithelial cells are capable of repairing UV-induced DNA damage in allergic rhinitis patients receiving intranasal phototherapy [26]. A limitation of this latter study was the use of the Comet assay to assess DNA damage, a technique that cannot distinguish between strand breaks associated with CPDs (and their removal) and those associated with the oxidative stress that is a characteristic of inflamed nasal mucosa in allergic rhinitis.

The goal of the present study was to assess the capacity of airway mucosa to repair UV-induced DNA damage and compare the biological response of a tissue that is not normally exposed to UV radiation (i.e. the human respiratory epithelium) with a well-studied system that is exposed to high levels of UV radiation (i.e. human skin). We focused on the early events in the carcinogenic process, namely DNA damage induction and repair, for this comparison. We believe that if the DNA damage and repair responses in the respiratory epithelium and human epidermis are similar, then the extensive knowledge base available for assessing the
risks of UV light in human skin can be used to evaluate the risks associated with phototherapy of the nasal epithelium.

The data show very similar repair kinetics of both photoproducts (CPDs and 6–4 PDs) in human skin and respiratory airway using three-dimensional reconstructed normal human tissue models. EpiAirway and EpiDerm have been previously used in genotoxicity experiments and are considered good and reliable models to predict in vivo behaviour of these tissues after different insults or therapeutic interventions [27, 28]. In order to compare our data with other systems (e.g. human skin and cell culture), we calculated the half-lives ($T_{1/2}$) for each type of damage in each tissue using the exponential decay curves shown in Fig. 5C and D. We determined that the $T_{1/2}$ for (6–4)PD repair was 4 hrs for both tissues and 10.3 and 17.9 hrs for CPD repair in the EpiDerm and EpiAirway tissues, respectively. This difference in the $T_{1/2}$ for CPDs was not statistically significant. By comparison, the half-life for CPD and (6–4)PD removal in human skin in situ is 33.3 and 2.3 hrs, respectively, with significant levels remaining 7 days post-irradiation [29]; in cultured human keratinocytes (HaCaT) the $T_{1/2}$ for CPDs is 11–13 hrs at doses similar to those used in the current study [30] and < 3 hrs for the (6–4)PD (unpublished observation); in normal human fibroblasts (i.e. GM637 and HS27) the $T_{1/2}$ values for the excision of CPDs and (6–4)PDs are ~2 and 6 hrs, respectively [31]. These repair rates closely approximate those measured in the EpiDerm and EpiAirway tissues. Hence, the rate of NER of the two major photoproducts highly implicated as the mutagenic precursors of the UVB signature mutations associated with basal and squamous cell carcinoma [i.e. the CPD and (6–4) PD] are essentially identical in human respiratory epithelia and epidermal tissues. Significant levels of DNA damage were not evident in respiratory epithelia at 7 days and 1 month after a 9-dose regimen of UV light delivered to the nasal mucosa.

Significant amounts of DNA damage accumulate in a small number of epidermal cells after chronic exposure to carcinogens (i.e. UVR and benzo[a]pyrene diol epoxide) [17, 18, 32–34]. The observation that certain basal cells retain high levels of DNA damage for several weeks after UV exposure indicates that these cells are non-cycling. Indeed, the behaviour of these cells is very similar to the slowly cycling, carcinogen-retaining basal cells found in the central regions of the epidermal proliferative unit, which
Morris and Potten suggest are stem cells [33, 34]. It is probable that these DNA damage-retaining cells play a significant role in generating the pre-neoplastic clones that ultimately lead to tumour formation.

The limitation of the RIA technique, which was used in the single and multiple exposure experiments, is that it measures damage in DNA extracted from a pool of cells containing varying levels of damage. Although the technique is very specific with a high sensitivity, it may not detect CPD-retaining single cells occurring at very low density in the epithelium. Therefore, nasal mucosa samples were also stained for CPDs, a technique which can detect this type of DNA damage in single cells and allow us to determine if damage is accumulating in certain cells as shown in human epidermis. No CPD-retaining cells were observed in human respiratory epithelial biopsies from patients that had undergone phototherapy of the nasal mucosa (multiple exposures). Moreover, because RPT is commercially available in Hungary (Rhinolight Ltd, Szeged, Hungary), five of the subjects had received a total of two

Fig. 5 Nucleotide excision repair kinetics in 3D reconstructed normal human nasal epithelial and epidermal tissues. CPD and (6–4)PD repair are shown in EpiDerm (●●●) and EpiAirway (○○○) artificial tissues. The upper panels show the frequencies of CPDs (A) and (6–4)PDs (B) at increasing times post-irradiation as lesions per megabase DNA. Directly below each panel the frequencies have been normalized to the amount of damage measured at T0 and are expressed as the percentage of CPDs (C) and (6–4)PDs (D) remaining at increasing times post-irradiation with a single sublethal dose of UVB. Exponential decay curves for each data set are shown. Standard errors of the mean were calculated from standard deviations using a total of 8 data points from duplicate tissues.
or more courses of intranasal phototherapy. The light source used to treat this condition has an emission spectrum comparable to the BB-UVB light source used in the current study. Therefore, the absence of CPD-retaining cells in nasal mucosa suggests that nasal epithelium is capable of efficient repair in all of the exposed cells after chronic exposure to UVR.

In conclusion, our results show that human nasal mucosa is capable of efficient repair of UV-induced DNA damage and suggest that treatment regimens similar in duration with those used for the treatment of allergic rhinitis may be useful for other immune-mediated or inflammatory diseases of the airways, such as nasal poly or chronic rhinosinusitis.
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