The distinct cognitive syndromes of Parkinson’s disease: 5 year follow-up of the CamPaIGN cohort
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Cognitive abnormalities are common in Parkinson’s disease, with important social and economic implications. Factors influencing their evolution remain unclear but are crucial to the development of targeted therapeutic strategies. We have investigated the development of cognitive impairment and dementia in Parkinson’s disease using a longitudinal approach in a population-representative incident cohort (CamPaIGN study, n = 126) and here present the 5-year follow-up data from this study. Our previous work has implicated two genetic factors in the development of cognitive dysfunction in Parkinson’s disease, namely the genes for catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT Val158Met) and microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) H1/H2. Here, we have explored the influence of these genes in our incident cohort and an additional cross-sectional prevalent cohort (n = 386), and investigated the effect of MAPT H1/H2 haplotypes on tau transcription in post-mortem brain samples from patients with Lewy body disease and controls. Seventeen percent of incident patients developed dementia over 5 years [incidence 38.7 (23.9–59.3) per 1000 person-years]. We have demonstrated that three baseline measures, namely, age >72 years, semantic fluency less than 20 words in 90 s and inability to copy an intersecting pentagons figure, are significant predictors of dementia risk, thus validating our previous findings. In combination, these factors had an odds ratio of 88 for dementia within the first 5 years from diagnosis and may reflect the syndrome of mild cognitive impairment of Parkinson’s disease. Phonemic fluency and other frontally based tasks were not associated with dementia risk. MAPT H1/H1 genotype was an independent predictor of dementia risk (odds ratio = 12.1) and the H1 versus H2 haplotype was associated with a 20% increase in transcription of 4-repeat tau in Lewy body disease brains. In contrast, COMT genotype had no effect on dementia, but a significant impact on Tower of London performance, a frontostriatally based executive task, which was dynamic, such that the ability to solve this task changed with...
disease progression. Hence, we have identified three highly informative predictors of dementia in Parkinson’s disease, which can be easily translated into the clinic, and established that MAPT H1/H1 genotype is an important risk factor with functional effects on tau transcription. Our work suggests that the dementing process in Parkinson’s disease is predictable and related to tau while frontal-executive dysfunction evolves independently with a more dopaminergic basis and better prognosis.

Keywords: Parkinson’s disease; dementia; cognitive deficits; microtubule-associated protein tau; catechol-O-methyltransferase

Abbreviations: COMT = the gene coding for catechol-O-methyltransferase; gDNA = genomic DNA; MAPT = the gene coding for microtubule-associated protein tau; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; OR = odds ratio; PIGD = postural instability and gait disturbance; PRM = Pattern Recognition Memory; SRM = Spatial Recognition Memory; TOL = Tower of London

Introduction

Although Parkinson’s disease is classically defined as a movement disorder, cognitive abnormalities including dementia are a common feature (Aarsland et al., 2003) and have a major impact on quality of life (Schrag et al., 2000), survival (Buter et al., 2008) and the need for nursing home placement (Aarsland et al., 2000), with important implications for the cost of care. An understanding of the evolution and neural basis of cognitive dysfunction in Parkinson’s disease is essential both prognostically and for the development of targeted therapeutic strategies, especially given the recent interest in the concept of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in Parkinson’s disease as a precursor of dementia (Caviness et al., 2007).

Pathological changes in Parkinson’s disease occur in a predictable sequence, with Lewy body deposition in the nigrostriatal system during the early stages and pathological changes within the cortex occurring later (Braak et al., 2002). The cognitive correlates of these changes appear to be frontostriatal executive deficits and dementia, leading to assumptions that the former evolve into the latter, and indeed executive dysfunction has been described as a key clinical component of Parkinson’s disease dementia (Dubois and Pillon, 1997; Emre et al., 2007). Our own work, however, suggests otherwise. Through longitudinal investigation of an incident population-based cohort of Parkinson’s disease patients (the CamPaIGN cohort), we have previously described two types of cognitive dysfunction in the early stages of the disease, which differ in terms of their prognosis. While frontostriatal executive deficits were indeed found to be common in early disease, they did not appear to evolve into dementia over 3.5 years of follow-up, whereas more posterior cortically based deficits did (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). In addition, there appeared to be genetic influences on these cognitive deficits. In particular, a common functional polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene (COMT Val158Met), which alters the activity of this dopamine-regulating enzyme by 40% in human prefrontal cortex (Chen et al., 2004), impacts on both performance (Foltynie et al., 2004b) and underlying frontal brain activation (Williams-Gray et al., 2007b, 2008) during executive tasks in Parkinson’s disease patients. In contrast, a commonly inverted genomic region containing the microtubule-associated protein tau gene (MAPT, H1 haplotype) was strongly associated with dementia risk over 3.5 years of follow-up in our incident cohort (Goris et al., 2007). Hence, it seems that executive deficits in Parkinson’s disease are mediated, at least in part, by variations in dopaminergic activity in frontal regions, while the dementing process is influenced by genetic variation of tau, a protein that is strongly implicated, together with alpha-synuclein, in protein aggregation during Parkinson’s disease (Galpern and Lang, 2006). However, despite apparent epidemiological and genetic differences, it remains unclear whether the executive dysfunction of Parkinson’s disease and the dementing process are truly dissociable.

Furthermore, there is little direct evidence for a mechanism by which the MAPT genotype predisposes to protein aggregation and dementia in Parkinson’s disease. Disruption in relative amounts of transcription of tau isoforms with three or four microtubule-binding domains (3- or 4-repeat tau) has been demonstrated in the tauopathies (Hutton et al., 1998, Chambers et al., 1999) and, more recently, in Parkinson’s disease (Tobin et al., 2008). Other work has suggested that MAPT H1 or H1 subhaplotypes result in an increased expression of total tau or of 4-repeat tau (Kwok et al., 2004; Rademakers et al., 2005; Caffrey et al., 2006; Myers et al., 2007), although this has not been demonstrated in Parkinson’s disease brain to date.

We now report the 5-year follow-up of our incident Parkinson’s disease cohort, validating and extending our previous findings of the clinical and genetic predictors of dementia. In addition, we have more fully investigated the basis and significance of frontostriatal task performance using both this incident group of patients and a larger cross-sectional cohort, comprising over 500 Parkinson’s disease patients in total. Finally, we have sought to explore the link between MAPT genotype, tau transcription and dementia using post-mortem Lewy body disease brain.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Subjects included an incident community-based population-representative cohort of patients with Parkinson’s disease (n = 126) (Foltynie et al., 2004a; Williams-Gray et al., 2007a), as well as prevalent patients recruited from the Parkinson’s disease Research Clinic at the Cambridge Centre for Brain Repair (n = 386). All met United Kingdom Parkinson’s Disease Society (UKPDS) Brain Bank diagnostic criteria (Gibb and Lees, 1988) and provided written consent for genetic analysis of their DNA. Approval from the Local Research Ethics Committee was obtained.
Clinical assessment

All patients underwent a comprehensive battery of clinical and neuropsychological tests as used previously (Foltynie et al., 2004a; Williams-Gray et al., 2007a) on at least one occasion. These included the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) (Fahn and Elton, 1987), the Beck Depression Inventory (Beck et al., 1961), the Schwab and England scale of functional independence (Schwab and England, 1969), the 30 item Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975), the National Adult Reading Test (NART, a measure of verbal IQ) (Nelson and O’Connell, 1978), a test of phonemic fluency for words starting with the letters F, A and S for 1 min each (Benton, 1968), a test of semantic fluency for animals in a 90 s period (Goodglass, 1972) and selected neuropsychological tests from the computerized Cambridge neuropsychological test automated battery (CANTAB) including pattern and spatial recognition memory (PRM and SRM) (Sahakian et al., 1988) and the ‘one-touch’ Tower of London (TOL) (Owen et al., 1995). In addition, patients within the incident cohort completed a pentagon copying test derived from the MMSE, scored using a 0–2 rating scale modified from Ala et al. (2001) as previously described and used (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). Patients were classified in terms of motor phenotype as ‘tremor dominant’, ‘mixed’ or ‘postural instability and gait disturbance’ (PIGD) on the basis of tremor and PIGD scores derived from the motor subsection of the UPDRS (Zettsky et al., 1985). Doses of dopaminergic medication at the time of assessment were recorded and converted to equivalent levodopa doses using the formula previously adopted (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). All clinical and neuropsychological assessments were performed with patients taking their usual medication and, if motor fluctuations were apparent, assessments were conducted in the peak ‘on’ state where possible.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples using standard phenol/chloroform techniques. Genotyping for rs4680 (COMT Val<sup>108</sup>Met) and rs9468 (tagging MAPT H1 versus H2 haplotype) was performed using an allelic discrimination assay and run on an HT7900 detection system (Applied Biosystems).

CamPaIGN follow-up

The original CamPaIGN cohort comprised 239 patients with incident Parkinsonism of whom 159 were diagnosed with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (Foltynie et al., 2004a). At 3.5 years, the idiopathic Parkinson’s disease cohort comprised 126 surviving patients in whom diagnoses had been revalidated using the UKPDS Brain Bank criteria. Attrition rates up to 3.5 years were relatively low (15% mortality, 7% lost to follow-up; see Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). Further follow-up was now conducted over a 9 month period between September 2006 and May 2007, approximately 5 years from diagnosis.

Dementia was diagnosed on the basis of a MMSE of less than or equal to 24 and fulfilment of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM)-IV criteria for dementia as previously (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). The application of DSM-IV criteria was standardized where possible. Criterion A, requiring memory impairment plus impairment of at least one other ‘higher cortical function’, was evaluated using pre-defined cut-off values on our neuropsychological test battery. These cut-offs represented a score 1 SD below the mean in age-matched control cohorts, as described in Williams-Gray et al. (2007a) 14/20 for SRM, 16/20 for PRM and 8/14 for the TOL (number correct); 25 words in 3 min for phonemic fluency and 16 words in 90 s for semantic fluency. A cut-off of <2 was used for the pentagon copying test as described previously (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). Criterion B, relating to impairment of occupational and social functioning, required a functional independence score on the Schwab and England scale of ≤60% (denoting an inability to perform certain activities of daily living), although some subjective judgement was required to determine whether this disability was attributable to cognitive rather than motor impairment. Dementia incidence was estimated using the person-years method; that is, by dividing the number of cases of dementia by the number of ‘at risk’ person-years of follow-up. For cases of incident dementia, time of dementia onset was assumed to be the midpoint of the interval between assessments (Aarsland et al., 2001; Williams-Gray et al., 2007a).

The relationship between potential predictor variables (baseline clinical/neuropsychological scores, COMT and MAPT genotypes) and cognitive decline (change in MMSE per year) over 5 years was evaluated using the same bivariate and multivariate methods as previously (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a). Specifically, non-categorical variables were dichotomized at the median, and between-group comparisons of mean change in MMSE per year were made using Student t-tests or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate. Baseline variables or genotypes significantly associated with cognitive decline in these bivariate analyses (P < 0.05) were entered into a multivariate regression analysis using a backward stepwise method (criteria for removal of variables P > 0.10) with ‘change in MMSE per year’ as the dependent variable, the aim being to identify the most important determinants of cognitive decline. The impact of these predictors on dementia risk was subsequently explored using logistic regression analysis. Dementia status based on cumulative data collected over the 5 year follow-up period was used as the dependent variable. Variables significantly associated with cognitive decline over 5 years in bivariate analyses were selected for entry into the logistic regression model and a backward stepwise method was employed as previously.

Cross-sectional analyses

The relationship between COMT genotype and cognitive performance was explored in the whole cohort (incident and prevalent) using bivariate and multivariate analyses. The primary outcome of interest was the impact of COMT genotype on performance of the TOL, a test of planning and working memory with a predominantly frontostriatal basis (Owen et al., 1996; Dagher et al., 1999). Further to the well-established inverted U-shaped function relating prefrontal function to dopaminergic activity (Goldman-Rakic et al., 2000), we had a strong a priori hypothesis that the impact of COMT genotype would alter with disease progression. Hence, subgroup analyses were performed to examine the effect of COMT genotype on TOL score in ‘early’ versus ‘later’ disease. Subgroups were defined on the basis of disease duration by dichotomizing at the median.

Different COMT genotypes and Tower of London test performance over time

The hypothesis that different COMT genotypes would have differential effects on longitudinal changes in executive function, was investigated in the incident cohort by comparing mean change in TOL score per year over the 5 year follow-up period across genotypic groups using non--parametric (Kruskall–Wallis) tests. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 11.5.
Tau transcription in post-mortem brains

The Parkinson’s Disease Society brain bank (Imperial College, London) provided anonymous brain tissue from the frontal cortex (Brodmann’s area 46) of 61 cases with Lewy body disease (idiopathic Parkinson’s disease or dementia with Lewy bodies) and 17 controls with no histopathological evidence of neurodegenerative disease. Brain samples were flash-frozen, unfixed and maintained at −80°C. RNA was prepared using the Qiagen DNeasy kit. Samples were genotyped for the MAPT H1/H2 polymorphism (rs9468) using Taqman Assays-by-Design single nucleotide polymorphism assay C_7563752_10 on a 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). H1/H2 heterozygotes were selected for by an allele-specific real-time PCR expression assay allowing comparison of relative amounts of transcription from variant alleles in a single sample, thus controlling for differences in RNA yield.

RNA was extracted from 300 mg samples of cortical grey matter with an initial homogenization in Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) followed by column purification using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and treatment with DNAase (Roche Diagnostics) to ensure no contamination by genomic DNA (gDNA). RNA yield was determined by spectrophotometry. cDNA was synthesized using Superscript™ III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using random hexamers as primers.

Allele-specific real-time PCR analysis was conducted on cDNA from H1/H2 heterozygotes utilizing the primer/probe sets previously used by Myers et al. (2007). The primers have been designed to span exon 9, contained in all tau transcript isoforms, and exon 10, present only in 4-repeat containing transcripts. The two probes used took advantage of allele-specific sequence differences in 3-repeat and 4-repeat transcripts selectively to label these transcripts as originating from either the H1 or H2 allele. Probes were 5’ labelled with either carboxyfluorescein (FAM) or VIC.

Samples were analysed using a 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). All reactions were repeated six times and relative quantification data analysed using standard delta–delta cycle-threshold methods.

To control for potential allele-specific differences in probe binding, efficiency reactions were repeated using genomic DNA from H1/H2 heterozygotes. As the starting quantity of H1 and H2 are equal when gDNA is used, a non-zero value for delta cycle-threshold would indicate a probe-specific effect upon the amplification efficiency of the PCR reaction. In practice, we found a non-zero delta cycle-threshold (Supplementary Table 5) and, therefore, evidence for such an effect. By repeating the RT–PCR reaction with serial dilutions of genomic DNA, we constructed a standard log plot of cycle-threshold value versus relative concentration of starting material which enabled quantification of the PCR amplification efficiency for each allele independently using a standard method.

Results

A total of 126 incident and 386 prevalent patients were recruited (see Table 1 for patient details). Genotype frequencies did not differ significantly from the predictions of the Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05). Among the post-mortem samples, 17 H1/H2 heterozygotes were identified for the allele-specific transcription analysis including 10 cases with Lewy body disease and 7 controls.

CamPalGN follow-up

The cohort comprised of 126 patients following the 3.5 year assessment. The current round of follow-up was conducted at a mean (SD) time from diagnosis of 5.2 (0.4) years. Seventeen of the 126 died prior to this visit. Four withdrew consent to participate in further clinical assessment, but underwent a telephone interview and review of hospital case notes, thus allowing us to assess whether dementia was likely. One hundred and five were fully assessed, following which a further 4 were excluded, 2 due to resolution of symptoms off dopaminergic medication and 2 due to evolution of symptoms leading to a change in diagnosis, while the remaining 101 still met UKPDS Brain Bank criteria.

Dementia incidence

Figure 1 summarizes outcomes in terms of dementia status among the 122 incident Parkinson’s disease patients (following the retrospective exclusion of the four non-Parkinson’s patients identified at this 5.2 years of follow-up) at both 3.5 and 5.2 years. All were non-demented at diagnosis. Twenty-one incident dementia cases were identified cumulatively over 5.2 years of follow-up, corresponding to a dementia incidence estimate of 38.7 per 1000 person-years of observation (95% confidence intervals 23.9–59.3).

In 13 individuals with a confirmed Parkinson’s disease diagnosis, outcome in terms of dementia status could not be established beyond 3.5 years due to death. An adjustment for mortality can

Table 1 Demographic, clinical and genotypic characteristics of the Parkinson’s disease cohorts

|                | Incident | Prevalent | Combined |
|----------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| n              | 126      | 386       | 512      |
| Gender (% male)| 56       | 61        | 60       |
| Age (years)    | 69.5 (9.9) | 65.4 (10.9) | 66.2 (10.8) |
| Disease duration (years) | 0.2 (0.3) | 4.5 (5.2) | 3.5 (4.8) |
| UPDRS motor subscore | 25.5 (11.9) | 24.1 (14.8) | 24.4 (14.2) |
| MMSE           | 28.1 (1.5) | 28.1 (2.4) | 28.1 (2.2) |
| NART (verbal IQ)| 109.3 (10.1) | 112.0 (9.9) | 111.4 (9.9) |
| Dopaminergic therapy (%) | 48% | 82 | 74 |
| MAPT H1/H1: H2 carrier | 73.37 | 248:126 | 321:163 |
| COMT Val/Val: Val/Met: Met/Met | 30:53:29 | 86:198:103 | 116:251:132 |

Values are expressed as mean (SD) with the exception of gender and genotype.

a At baseline assessment.
be made to the dementia incidence estimate if it is assumed that first, a similar proportion of these 13 patients developed dementia between 3.5 and 5.2 years of follow-up assessments as in the assessed group, that is 8.3%, or one additional case; and second, the time-point of development of dementia in this individual is the mid-point of the mean interval between the 3.5 years of follow-up visit and death for the 13 deceased patients. Applying this adjustment does not alter the 5.2 years of dementia incidence estimate significantly 37.0 (25.5–61.8) per 1000 person-years, respectively.

Risk factors for cognitive decline
MMSE scores declined at a mean rate of $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ points per year over the 5.2 years (range +0.9 to −5.1). Bivariate analyses suggested that age ≥72, a non-tremor dominant motor phenotype, a UPDRS motor score greater than and equal to 25, a semantic fluency score less than 20, lower pentagon copying score (0<1≤2) and MAPT H1/H1 genotype were associated with a more rapid rate of cognitive decline ($P<0.05$, Table 2). These variables were selected for inclusion in a multivariate analysis using a backward stepwise method, which identified poor semantic fluency ($b=-0.37$, $P=0.04$), inaccurate pentagon copying ($b=-0.37$, $P=0.02$) and MAPT H1/H1 genotype ($b=-0.41$, $P=0.02$) as significant predictors of subsequent cognitive decline over 5.2 years, independently of older age ($b=-0.52$, $P=0.003$) (Supplementary Table 1).

Risk factors for dementia
MAPT genotype had a clear impact on dementia outcome over the 5.2 years of follow-up period, with all but one of the patients developing dementia carrying the H1/H1 genotype. Twenty-eight per cent (18/65) of H1/H1 individuals developed dementia, versus 3% (1/34) of H2 carriers ($P=0.003$, Fisher’s exact test). In contrast, dementia frequencies across COMT genotypic groups were similar ($P=0.12$).

Logistic regression analysis confirmed that in addition to MAPT genotype, older age and poor performance on semantic fluency and pentagon copying tests at diagnosis were significant independent predictors of dementia risk within 5.2 years (Table 3). These variables are particularly useful in terms of their predictive capacity in combination. Considering the clinical predictors alone, 8/11 patients with all three clinical risk factors developed dementia within 5.2 years of follow-up versus 1/34 of those with no such risk factors, corresponding to an odds ratio (OR) of 88 (8.962). Of the 8 patients who carried the ‘at risk’ MAPT genotype in addition to all 3 clinical risk factors, 6 developed dementia versus none of the 15 patients without any of these risk factors, corresponding to a positive predictive value of 75% and negative predictive value of 85.7% for all 4 risk factors (versus less than 4 risk factors) and a positive predictive value of 22.6% and negative predictive value of 100% for possession of at least one risk factor versus no risk factors.

COMT and cognitive function
TOL scores and COMT genotypes were available for a total of 425 patients from both the incident and prevalent cohorts for cross-sectional analysis. Two hundred and eighty-seven of these were previously included in our original study implicating COMT genotype as a determinant of TOL performance in Parkinson’s...
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Table 2 Bivariate comparisons of baseline demographic, clinical and neuropsychological variables versus rate of cognitive decline over 5.2 years (change in MMSE per year) in the incident cohort, using Student t-test (two categories) or ANOVA (more than two categories)

| Variable                     | Change in MMSE/year Mean (SD) | P-value |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age                          |                               |         |
| <72                          | −0.04 (0.38)                  | 0.001   |
| ≥72                          | −0.68 (1.16)                  |         |
| Gender                       |                               |         |
| Male                         | −0.36 (0.76)                  | 0.69    |
| Female                       | −0.29 (1.02)                  |         |
| Motor phenotype a            |                               |         |
| Tremor dominant              | −0.06 (0.44)                  | 0.003   |
| Mixed/PIGD                   | −0.55 (1.07)                  |         |
| UPDRS motor score            |                               |         |
| <25                          | −0.08 (0.61)                  | 0.006   |
| ≥25                          | −0.56 (1.03)                  |         |
| Equivalent levodopa dose     |                               |         |
| 0                            | −0.32 (0.99)                  | 0.49    |
| 1–250                        | −0.46 (0.72)                  |         |
| 251–500                      | −0.53 (0.94)                  |         |
| 501–750                      | −0.03 (0.33)                  |         |
| 751–1000                     | −0.01 (0.31)                  |         |
| NART (IQ)                    |                               |         |
| <111                         | −0.37 (0.80)                  | 0.54    |
| ≥111                         | −0.26 (0.93)                  |         |
| Phonemic fluency (F-A-S)     |                               |         |
| <33                          | −0.43 (0.82)                  | 0.31    |
| ≥33                          | −0.24 (0.94)                  |         |
| Semantic fluency (animals)   |                               |         |
| <20                          | −0.69 (1.16)                  | 0.001   |
| ≥20                          | −0.03 (0.38)                  |         |
| PRM score                    |                               |         |
| <19                          | −0.55 (1.13)                  | 0.09    |
| ≥19                          | −0.19 (0.67)                  |         |
| SRM score                    |                               |         |
| <15                          | −0.54 (1.15)                  | 0.13    |
| ≥15                          | −0.22 (0.66)                  |         |
| TOL score                    |                               |         |
| <11                          | −0.37 (0.81)                  | 0.09    |
| ≥11                          | −0.12 (0.61)                  |         |
| Pentagon copying score       |                               |         |
| 0                            | −1.44 (0.87)                  | 0.003   |
| 1                            | −0.52 (1.59)                  |         |
| 2                            | −0.22 (0.67)                  |         |
| Beck depression score        |                               |         |
| <7                           | −0.23 (0.89)                  | 0.29    |
| ≥7                           | −0.42 (0.87)                  |         |
| COMT genotype                |                               |         |
| Val/Val                      | −0.55 (1.28)                  | 0.32    |
| Val/Met                      | −0.20 (0.64)                  |         |
| Met/Met                      | −0.33 (0.82)                  |         |
| MAPT genotype                |                               |         |
| H1/H1                        | −0.54 (1.02)                  | 0.0003  |
| H2 carrier (0.04)            | (0.46)                       |         |

Continuous variables are dichotomized at the median, with the exception of levodopa dose, which is stratified into five subgroups.

a Preliminary analyses suggested similar rates of cognitive decline in PIGD and mixed subgroups; hence, these were combined into a single subgroup for analysis.

disease (cohort 1) (Foltynie et al., 2004b) and so an initial analysis of COMT genotype and cognitive function confined to newly recruited individuals (n = 138, cohort 2) was performed. This confirmed that an increasing number of Met alleles had a significant negative impact on TOL score (b coefficient = −0.73, P = 0.04) after adjustment for potential confounding factors in a multivariate model (Supplementary Table 2). Repetition of this analysis with MMSE, semantic fluency, phonemic fluency, PRM and SRM as dependent variables demonstrated no significant effect of COMT genotype on any other cognitive measure.

Cohorts 1 and 2 were well matched in terms of demographic and clinical characteristics as well as COMT genotype distributions (Supplementary Table 3); hence, data from the two cohorts were combined (n = 425) prior to subgroup analysis to investigate whether the impact of genotype on TOL performance differed with disease duration. Subjects were stratified around the median disease duration of 1.6 years into ‘early’ and ‘later’ disease groups. There was a clear dissociation of the COMT–TOL relationship in ‘early’ (Pearson’s r = −0.21) versus ‘later’ disease (r = 0.10) (P = 0.001, Fisher’s test; see Fig. 2A). In ‘early’ disease, there was a significant decline in mean TOL score with an increasing number of Met alleles (P = 0.007, one-way ANOVA), whereas in ‘later’ disease, no significant relationship was found (P = 0.35).

Multivariate regression analyses confirmed a dissociation of the COMT effect on TOL performance in ‘early’ (b coefficient = −0.80, P = 0.005) and ‘later’ disease (b coefficient = 0.22, P = 0.55) (Table 4). Furthermore, overall analysis of the combined cohort revealed a significant interaction between ‘COMT Met alleles’ and ‘disease duration’ (b coefficient = 1.1, P = 0.02), further supporting the conclusion that the relationship between COMT genotype and TOL score in the whole sample was dependent on disease progression (Table 4).

In addition, of the 101 incident patients assessed at the 5.2 year visit, TOL scores were available at both baseline and follow-up in 70 individuals, the remaining patients being unable to complete the test on one or both occasions due to fatigue or difficulty comprehending the task instructions. There was a significant effect of COMT genotype on mean change in TOL score per year (Kruskall–Wallis test, P = 0.017). Specifically, performance in Met homozygotes tended to improve with disease progression, in contrast to performance in Val homozygotes or heterozygotes (Fig. 2B).

**Effect of MAPT haplotype on tau transcription**

In cases with Lewy body disease at post-mortem, there was a 20% (1.2-fold) increase in the quantity of 4-repeat containing transcript originating from the H1 versus the H2 allele (P = 0.02), which was not seen in control brains. Total tau transcription, by contrast, was not significantly different between the two alleles in either group (Table 5 and Supplementary Table 4).

**References**
The relationship between COMT genotype and executive function, as measured using TOL performance, in Parkinson’s disease is dependent on disease duration. (A) TOL scores (number of problems solved correctly on first attempt, maximum score 14) in patients with differing COMT genotypes in ‘later’ \( n = 203 \) versus ‘early’ disease \( n = 222 \). Dots and error bars represent mean \pm SEM. **Indicates a significant between group difference at the \( P < 0.005 \) level. Comparison of Pearson’s correlation coefficients \( r \) for the COMT versus TOL relationship using Fisher’s test confirmed a significant dissociation between the slopes in ‘early’ versus ‘later’ disease subgroups \( P = 0.001 \). (B) Change in TOL score per year in patients with differing COMT genotypes. TOL performance in Met homozygotes \( n = 18 \) improved with disease progression, in contrast to performance in Val homozygotes \( n = 18 \) or heterozygotes \( n = 34 \). Means, interquartile ranges and minimum and maximum values are shown. *Indicates significance at the \( P = 0.05 \) level. (C) The hypothesized inverted U-shaped curve relating working memory [a predominantly frontal executive task] performance and dopaminergic activity in the prefrontal cortex (Goldman-Rakic et al., 2000), with position on the curve being determined by both disease state and COMT genotype. Early Parkinson’s disease patients are postulated to be on the downslope of the curve with Val homozygotes being closer to the peak than Met homozygotes. As disease progresses, however, patients are expected to shift to the left. PD = Parkinson’s disease; PFC = prefrontal cortex.

Table 3 Logistic regression model with dementia outcome over the 5.2 year period from diagnosis as the dependent variable

| Variable | \( b \) coefficient | \( P \) value | OR (exp\(^b\)) | 95% CIs for OR |
|----------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| Constant | -9.57               | <0.001      | 0             | –              |
| MAPT H1/H1 genotype | 2.50               | 0.03        | 12.14         | 1.26 – 117.36  |
| Age \( \geq 72 \) | 1.57               | 0.03        | 4.81          | 1.14 – 20.23   |
| Semantic fluency <20 | 1.93               | 0.02        | 6.89          | 1.30 – 36.55   |
| Pentagon copying (0 versus 1 versus 2) | 1.02               | 0.05        | 2.78          | 1.001 – 7.73   |
| Non-TD motor phenotype | 1.37               | 0.09        | 3.93          | 0.79 – 19.57   |

Baseline variables significantly associated with cognitive decline in bivariate analyses \( P < 0.05 \), see Table 2) were entered into the model and a backward stepwise method was employed to exclude non-significant variables. Model parameters: \(-2 \text{ Log Likelihood} = 56.87, \text{ Cox and Snell} R^2 = 0.33, \text{ Chi-squared statistic} = 39.53, P < 0.001\). CI = confidence interval; non-TD = non-tremor dominant; exp\(^b\) = exponential of \( b \) coefficient.
Table 4 Multivariate regression analysis with Tower of London score as the dependent variable

| Variable                  | 'Early' PD (<1.6 years) | 'Later' PD (≥1.6 years) | All patients |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|                           | b coefficient           | P-value                  | b coefficient | P-value         |
| Constant                  | 10.15                   | 0.001                    | 5.62         | 0.14            | 11.13         | <0.001        |
| COMT Met alleles           | −0.78                   | 0.007                    | 0.28         | 0.46            | −1.92         | 0.006         |
| Gender                    | 0.65                    | 0.12                     | −0.10        | 0.99            | 0.42          | 0.33          |
| Pre-morbid IQ             | 0.08                    | <0.001                   | 0.08         | 0.004           | 0.08          | <0.001        |
| Age at assessment         | −0.11                   | <0.001                   | −0.09        | 0.006           | −0.10         | <0.001        |
| UPDRS motor score         | −0.02                   | 0.24                     | −0.22        | 0.25            | −0.02         | 0.07          |
| Equivalent levodopa dose  | −0.001                  | 0.14                     | −0.001       | 0.22            | −0.001        | 0.07          |
| Beck depression score     | −0.18                   | <0.001                   | −0.03        | 0.48            | −0.11         | <0.001        |
| Disease durationa         | –                       | –                        | –            | –               | −2.00         | 0.001         |
| COMT* disease duration    | –                       | –                        | –            | –               | 1.13          | 0.02          |
| Model statistics          |                         |                          |              |                 |               |               |
| $R^2$                     | 0.35                    | –                        | 0.17         | –               | 0.27          | –             |
| $F$                       | 14.76                   | –                        | 4.18         | –               | 14.11         | –             |
| $P$                       | <0.001                  | –                        | <0.001       | –               | <0.001        | –             |

In subgroups of patients with ‘early’ ($n=201$) and ‘later’ disease ($n=149$) and in the combined cohort ($n=350$) with the inclusion of an interaction term to investigate whether the relationship between COMT Met alleles and TOL varies with disease duration (75 out of 425 patients were excluded from these analyses due to incomplete clinical data sets).

*a Categorical variable: ‘early’ versus ‘later’ disease; PD = Parkinson’s disease.

Discussion

Dementia is arguably one of the most distressing aspects of Parkinson’s disease for the patient and carer and this study confirms that it is common, affecting 17% of our cohort within the first 5 years from diagnosis. This dementia incidence figure (38.7 per 1000 person-years) is approximately four times that estimated by the Medical Research Council (MRC) Cognitive Function and Ageing Study for the general UK population at a comparable age (10.3 per 1000 person-years at ages 70–74 years) (Matthews and Brayne, 2005). Previously, we reported that follow-up of an incident population-based Parkinson’s disease cohort over 3.5 years identified four key factors, measurable at follow-up of an incident population-based Parkinson’s disease (Matthews and Brayne, 2005). Previously, we reported that follow-up of an incident population-based Parkinson’s disease cohort over 3.5 years identified four key factors, measurable at diagnosis, which were associated with increased rate of cognitive decline; namely older age (≥72), poor semantic fluency (<20 animals in 90 s), inability to accurately copy an intersecting pentagons figure (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a) and the MAPT H1/H1 genotype (Goris et al., 2007). Importantly, we have now confirmed that these factors are associated with increased dementia risk at the 5.2 years of follow-up time-point. The first three of these factors are readily measurable within just a few minutes in the outpatient clinic and are extremely informative in their own right, with an estimated OR of 88. MAPT genotype, however, was found to be the strongest independent predictor of dementia (OR 12.1), and indeed all but one of those developing dementia carried the H1/H1 genotype. This work provides evidence that this MAPT H1 variant is the most important genetic factor contributing to Parkinson’s disease dementia identified to date. Furthermore, we have shown for the first time that the H1 haplotype is associated with an increase in 4-repeat tau in brains with Lewy body disease, indicating that the MAPT association with dementia in Parkinson’s disease may relate to changes in tau transcription.

Dementia incidence in this Parkinson’s disease cohort is lower than estimates from previous prevalent studies (summarized in Williams-Gray et al., 2007a), which is not unexpected given that our study is the first to use an incident cohort. Nonetheless, it is possible that our figure is underestimated due to mortality. Although our mortality adjusted dementia incidence figure is not significantly different from our unadjusted figure, this adjustment relies on the assumption that individuals dement at the same rate in surviving and non-surviving groups, which may be invalid. In particular, some authors have suggested that dementia is associated with a higher mortality rate in Parkinson’s disease (Louis et al., 1997). However, a longitudinal study following 250 prevalent Parkinson’s disease patients over 5 years found no significant difference in survival between demented and non-demented groups (Nussbaum et al., 1998).

Until we obtain post-mortem data, we cannot exclude the possibility that some of our dementia cases represent co-existing Alzheimer’s disease, a condition in which tau pathology is well known to play a central role. However, the majority of studies have failed to find an association between the MAPT H1 haplotype and Alzheimer’s disease risk (Russ et al., 2001; Green et al., 2002; Mukherjee et al., 2007; Abraham et al., 2009). Although one study has reported an association between a subhaplotype of MAPT H1 with Alzheimer’s disease (Myers et al., 2005), this association was relatively weak with the at risk haplotype occurring in only 13.91% of patients compared with 8.51% of controls. Hence, it seems unlikely that a degree of misdiagnosis among our Parkinson’s disease dementia cases could account for the observed MAPT association.

Importantly, this study clearly demonstrates that early deficits on frontostriatally based tasks are not related to subsequent dementia risk. The dissociation between semantic and phonemic fluency in terms of predicting dementia is a crucial finding in this respect, indicating that it is the semantic, temporal lobe component of the fluency task which is predictive of cognitive decline rather than the frontally based strategic retrieval common to both fluency tasks (Henry and Crawford, 2004). Furthermore, we have
shown that frontally based planning and working memory deficits (as assessed using the TOL task) are influenced by a common genetically determined variation in COMT activity, an effect presumably mediated through modulation of cortical dopamine levels (Slifstein et al., 2008). In contrast, deficits on tasks with a more temporal and parietal lobe basis, which do evolve into later occurring dementia, are not affected by COMT genotype, and furthermore COMT had no impact on dementia risk in our longitudinal analysis. Hence, it seems that this more posterior cortically based dementing process has a non-dopaminergic aetiology. Importantly, we have established for the first time that ‘frontal executive’ and ‘posterior cortical’ cognitive syndromes in Parkinson’s disease are dissociable in terms of both their genetic basis and relationship to dementia (Fig. 3). This has implications for the definition of the MCI of Parkinson’s disease (Caviness et al., 2007). In particular, it does not seem appropriate to label all mild cognitive deficits in Parkinson’s disease as MCI, but this may be better defined in terms of the posterior cortically based deficits which herald dementia. In keeping with this, a recent study using a novel Parkinson’s disease Cognitive Rating Scale (PD–CRS) in cognitively intact, cognitively impaired and demented Parkinson’s disease groups has shown that Parkinson’s disease dementia is characterized by the addition of cortical dysfunction upon fronto-subcortically based deficits (Pagonabarraga et al., 2008). Given the apparent importance of posterior cortically based deficits in the MCI and dementia of Parkinson’s disease, it is crucial that instruments selected to evaluate cognition in this disease in future clinical trials adequately probe posterior cortical function (Kulisevsky and Pagonabarraga, 2009).

The mechanism underlying the association between MAPT, increased 4-repeat tau expression and dementia in Parkinson’s disease remains speculative given that neuropathological data are not yet available for the majority of the CamPaIGN cohort. However, our hypothesis that protein aggregation, and in particular cortical Lewy body formation, is central to this association is supported by a number of lines of evidence. First, clinicopathological studies demonstrate an association between cortical Lewy body deposition and the development of dementia in Parkinson’s disease (Aarsland et al., 2005). Second, tau and alpha-synuclein are known to co-localize within Lewy bodies in Parkinson’s disease brains (Ishizawa et al., 2003). Third, tau and alpha-synuclein have been shown to interact and fibrillize synergistically in vitro (Giaison et al., 2003). Of course, other proteins may well be involved in the dementing process. A role for β-amyloidosis has been postulated, and certainly positron emission tomography (PET) studies have reported increased cortical uptake of the β-amyloid binding radioligand 11C-pittsburgh compound B (PIB) in dementia with Lewy bodies relative to controls (Rowe et al., 2007; Edison et al., 2008; Gomperts et al., 2008). In Parkinson’s disease dementia, however, raised cortical uptake of 11C-PIB is an infrequent finding (Edison et al., 2008; Gomperts et al., 2008; Maetzler et al., 2008). The role of non-dopaminergic neurotransmitter deficits should also be considered. In particular, the cholinergic system has been heavily implicated in the dementia of Parkinson’s disease, with functional PET studies reporting an even greater cholinergic deficit in cortical areas in Parkinson’s disease dementia than in Alzheimer’s disease of similar severity (Bohnen et al., 2003). Furthermore, direct comparison of

Figure 3  Schematic representation of hypothesized aetiological pathways leading to cognitive dysfunction in early Parkinson’s disease and their relationship to the development of dementia 5 years later. ‘Frontal executive’ impairments in early disease appear to be a consequence of a hyperdopaminergic state in the prefrontal cortex, which is in turn modulated by COMT genotype and dopaminergic medication. These deficits are not associated with subsequent global cognitive decline and dementia over 5 years of follow-up. In contrast, it seems that early deficits on more posterior cortically based cognitive tasks, which do evolve into subsequent dementia, do not have a dopaminergic basis. Rather, this work supports the hypothesis that they reflect Lewy body deposition in posterior cortical areas, which is in turn influenced by MAPT genotype and the ageing process; PD = Parkinson’s disease.
The distinct cognitive syndromes of PD

The main strength of this study lies in the nature of the cohorts. Our cross-sectional Parkinson’s disease cohort with genotypic and detailed cognitive profiles is, to our knowledge, the largest of its kind to date. The incident cohort is a community-based population-representative sample of patients, in whom the diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease has been validated at two separate time-points to maximize diagnostic accuracy (Williams-Gray et al., 2007a), and thus represents a particularly valuable resource for monitoring the evolution of cognitive syndromes in typical idiopathic Parkinson’s disease in the community. Limitations of the study include the unavoidable problem of attrition of the incident cohort over time, and the potential confounding effect of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, although these were taken by only a minority of our patients (6% of the incident cohort at 5.2 years, and 1% of the prevalent cohort), and their impact on cognitive performance appears to be very modest (Emre et al., 2004).

In conclusion, our studies suggest that frontotriatal executive deficits and the dementia of Parkinson’s disease are dissociable in terms of both their aetiology and clinical course. Executive deficits on the TOL task are influenced by COMT genotype as a function of disease duration, through a presumed effect of this genetic variant on prefrontal dopamine levels; but neither executive deficits nor COMT genotype predict progression to dementia. Rather, the dementing process is heralded by posterior cortically based cognitive deficits, and is heavily dependent on MAPT H1-H2 genotype, which, in turn, appears to influence the ratio of 4-: 3-repeat tau isoforms in the brain in Parkinson’s disease, thus supporting the hypothesis that protein aggregation in cortical areas plays a key role in dementia evolution.
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