Is the gap in the DS Tau disc hiding a planet?

Benedetta Veronesi1,4⋆, Enrico Ragusa2, Giuseppe Lodato1,4, Hossam Aly1,3, Christophe Pinte4,5, Daniel J. Price4, Feng Long6,7, Gregory J. Herczeg7 and Valentin Christiaens4

1Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria, 16, Milano, I-20133, Italy
2School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom
3Univ Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Ens de Lyon, CNRS, Centre de Recherche Astrophysique de Lyon UMR5574, F-69230, Saint-Genis-Laval, France
4School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Vic 3800, Australia
5Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, F-38000 Grenoble, France
6Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
7Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Yiheyuan 5, Haidian Qu, 100871 Beijing, China

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Recent mm-wavelength surveys performed with the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) have revealed protoplanetary discs characterized by rings and gaps. A possible explanation for the origin of such rings is the tidal interaction with an unseen planetary companion. The protoplanetary disc around DS Tau shows a wide gap in the ALMA observation at 1.3 mm. We construct a hydrodynamical model for the dust continuum observed by ALMA assuming the observed gap is carved by a planet between one and five Jupiter masses. We fit the shape of the radial intensity profile along the disc major axis varying the planet mass, the dust disc mass, and the evolution time of the system. The best fitting model is obtained for a planet with \( M_p = 3.5 \, M_{\text{Jup}} \) and a disc with \( M_{\text{dust}} = 9.6 \cdot 10^{-5} \, M_\odot \). Starting from this result, we also compute the expected signature of the planet in the gas kinematics, as traced by CO emission. We find that such a signature (in the form of a ‘kink’ in the channel maps) could be observed by ALMA with a velocity resolution between \( 0.2 – 0.5 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \) and a beam size between 30 and 50 mas.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Recent observations with the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) and the SPHERE instrument on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) have revealed protoplanetary discs characterized by sub-structures in their thermal and scattered light emission, including inner holes (e.g. Dutrey et al. 2008; Brown et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2011), gaps, rings (e.g. ALMA Partnership et al. 2015; Hendler et al. 2018; Fedele et al. 2018; Dipierro et al. 2018), and non-axisymmetric features such as horseshoes (e.g. Isella et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014; van der Marel et al. 2016; Canovas et al. 2016; Fedele et al. 2017; Pinilla et al. 2017; van der Marel et al. 2018; Cassassus et al. 2018; Long et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018), spirals (e.g. Muto et al. 2012; Grady et al. 2013; Garufi et al. 2013; Benisty et al. 2015; Pérez et al. 2016; Stolker et al. 2016; Benisty et al. 2017) and shadows (e.g. Garufi et al. 2014; Avenhaus et al. 2014; Benisty et al. 2017; Avenhaus et al. 2017).

The most common substructure in recent ALMA surveys are rings and gaps (e.g. Long et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018 and Bae et al. 2018). Possible explanations include dust condensation at the snowlines (e.g. Zhang et al. 2015), dead zones (e.g. Flock et al. 2015) and the presence of planets embedded in the disc (e.g. Dipierro et al. 2015, 2018; Bae et al. 2017; Dong & Fung 2017; Rosotti et al. 2016). In this work we focus in particular on the planets hypothesis.

Significant information can be extracted from the mor-
phology of gaps. The size and shape of the gap is thought to
constraint the mass of the carving planet (Kanagawa et al.
2015; Dong & Fung 2017; Dipierro & Laibe 2017), while its
position inside the disc and its mass can tell us something
about the migration history of the planet inside the disc.
Planet masses inferred from gap widths are uncertain. First,
the time evolution and initial conditions of the disc may lead
to different gap shapes and therefore inferred mass for the
gap-carving planet. Second, as pointed out also by Pinte
et al. (2019) the gap width alone cannot uniquely constrain
the planet mass because changes in the grain density (i.e.
the Stokes number) can produce the same gap width with a
different planet mass. For these reasons, estimates for planet
masses should combine gap widths with other diagnostics.

Recent studies (Pinte et al. 2018; Teague et al. 2018;
Pinte et al. 2019; Casassus & Pérez 2019; Pinte et al. 2020)
inferred the location and mass of some planets from the gas
kinematics of the discs they were embedded in. Specifically,
these studies search in different ways for velocity deviations
(localised in both space and velocity) from the unperturbed
Keplerian flow of the disc, induced by the presence of a
planet, known as “kinks” and “Doppler flips”. These revealed
giant planets in HD163216 and HD97048.

Here, we study the protoplanetary disc orbiting around
DS Tau, an M-type star (0.83M ⊙, Lodato et al. 2019) in
the Taurus star-forming region located at a distance of 159 pc
(Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). It has been observed in
ALMA Cycle 4 program (ID: 2016.1.01164.S; PI: Herczeg)
in Band 6 at 1.33 mm, at high-spatial resolution (∼ 0.12″,
corresponding to ∼ 16 au). This disc shows the widest gap
(see Fig. 1, Long et al. 2018) of the Taurus survey with a
width1 of 27 au centered at ≈ 33 au (i.e. ∼ 0.2″). Assuming
the planetary hypothesis for the origin of this gap, Lodato
et al. (2019) estimated that a planet mass of 5.6M ⊙ could
have carved the gap, under the assumption that for low vis-
cosity discs the gap width scales with the planet Hill radius
as Δ = kR H (Dodson-Robinson & Salyk 2011; Pinilla et al.
2012; Rosotti et al. 2016; Fung & Chiang 2016; Facchini
et al. 2018). Lodato et al. (2019) assumed a proportional-
ity constant k = 5.5 derived from averaging hydrodynamical
simulations results (Clarke et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2018).

In this paper, we present a follow-up study by modeling
the continuum emission of the protoplanetary disc orbiting
around DS Tau, focusing on the gap observed in the Taurus
survey and assuming its origin is due to a planet. By combing
3D hydrodynamical simulations of a suite of dusty protoplanetary disc models hosting one embedded proto-
planet with 3D Monte Carlo radiative transfer simulations,
we study the gap shape of DS Tau and also analyse the differ-
ent observational predictions for a planet kink detectable in
the gas kinematics. Here, we have chosen to explore the sim-
plest model that can explain the formation of the gap, that
is a single planet on a circular, non-inclined orbit, following
Occam’s razor. Other scenarios could be equally plausible
but generally involve a larger number of free parameters.
Among these, we might consider: a multiple planet system,
a planet on an eccentric (Muley et al. 2019) or inclined orbit,
a lower disc viscosity combined with a sub-Neptune mass
planet (Dong et al. 2017, 2018). Moreover, a higher reso-

Figure 1. ALMA observation at 1.3 mm of the system DS Tau,
with a beam size of 0.14″x0.1″ wide (image readapted from
(Long et al. 2018)).

2 METHODS

2.1 Dust and gas numerical simulations

We perform a suite of 3D Smoothed Particle Hydrodynam-
ics (SPH) simulations of dusty protoplanetary discs, using
the code PHANTOM (Price et al. 2018). We adopt the multi-
grain (Hutchison et al. 2018) one fluid (for St< 1, Price &
Laibe 2015; Ballalio et al. 2018) method to simulate the
dynamics and evolution of dust grains. In this algorithm,
SPH particles representing gas and dust are evolved using a
set of governing equations describing the gas-dust mixture
(Laibe & Price 2014). A dust fraction scalar is carried by the
particles and is updated according to an evolution equation.
This model is only suited for modelling small dust grains
(St< 1) since the formalism lacks the ability to represent
large grains velocity dispersion. Moreover, the formalism em-
}
The system consists of a central star of mass as in Lodato et al. (2019). The disc is located in the Taurus star-forming region at a distance from a Kurucz spectrum at 3750 K. The disc is located in Taus at a distance with an inclination with a locally isothermal viscous parameter of the dust aspect ratio at the inner radius. The parameter choice for our models is motivated by the observations of Long et al. (2018) (see Table 1). The disc model with a power-law index of the sound speed radial profile is assumed to be a power law with an exponential taper and tending from (Lodato et al. 2019) surrounded by a gas and dust disc eccentrically.

### Table 1. Model parameters.

| Parameters           | Value  |
|----------------------|--------|
| $M_\ast$ [$M_\odot$] | 0.83   |
| $T_{\text{eff}}$ [K] | 3800   |
| $d$ [pc]             | 159    |
| $i$ [°]              | 65     |
| $R_{\text{in}}$ [au] | 10     |
| $R_{\text{out}}$ [au]| 100    |
| $R_c$ [au]           | 70     |
| $p$                  | 1      |
| $q$                  | 0.25   |
| $\alpha_{\text{SS}}$| 0.005  |
| $H/R_{\text{in}}$    | 0.06   |
| $M_{\text{dust}}$ [$M_\odot$] | $4.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| $M_{\text{gas}}$ [$M_\odot$] | $4.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| $\alpha_{\text{dust}}$ [cm] | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| $\rho_g$ [g cm$^{-3}$] | $10^{-5}$ |
| $M_p$ [$M_\oplus$]   | 1, 2, 2.5, 3.5 |
| $R_p$ [au]           | 34.5   |

### 2.2 Disc models

The parameter choice for our models is motivated by the observations of Long et al. (2018) (see Table 1).

#### 2.2.1 Gas and dust

The system consists of a central star of mass $M_\ast = 0.83 M_\odot$ (Lodato et al. 2019) surrounded by a gas and dust disc extending from $R_{\text{in}} = 10$ au to $R_{\text{out}} = 100$ au and modelled as a set of $10^6$ SPH particles. The initial gas surface density profile is assumed to be a power law with an exponential taper at large radii, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_g(r) = \Sigma_c \left( \frac{r}{R_c} \right)^{-p} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{r}{R_c} \right)^{2-p} \right],$$

where $\Sigma_c$ is a normalization constant, chosen in order to match the total disc mass, $R_c = 70$ au is the radius of the exponential taper and $p = 1$. We adopt a locally isothermal equation of state $p = \rho g s^2$, with

$$c_s = c_{\text{s, ini}} \left( \frac{R}{R_{\text{in}}} \right)^{-q},$$

where $c_{\text{s, ini}}$ is the sound speed at the inner disc radius and $\rho_g$ is the gas volume density. We assume $q = 0.25$ as the power-law index of the sound speed radial profile. The disc is vertically extended by assuming a power law profile for the volume density and ensuring vertical hydrostatic equilibrium

$$\frac{H}{R} = \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{k}} = \frac{H}{R_{\text{in}}} \left( \frac{R}{R_{\text{in}}} \right)^{1/2-q},$$

where $\sqrt{k}$ is the Keplerian velocity and $H/R_{\text{in}} = 0.06$ is the aspect ratio at the reference radius $R_{\text{in}}$. We model the angular momentum transport throughout the disc using the SPH artificial viscosity (Price et al. 2018, see Sec. 2.6) with $\alpha_{\text{AV}} = 0.3$, which results in a Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) viscous parameter $\alpha_{\text{SS}} = 0.005$.

For the dust we use the same functional form of the initial surface density as for the gas (Eq. 1), assuming a dust mass of $M_{\text{dust}} = 4.8 \cdot 10^{-5} M_\odot$. In our SPH simulations the disc mass is fixed, while to fit the continuum emission we rescale it (i.e. the dust mass) as a free parameter (this is possible since the back-reaction is negligible). The dust-to-gas ratio is initially assumed constant for the whole disc extent (dust/gas = 0.01), so that the dust has the same vertical structure as the gas. After a few orbits of the planet, the dust settles down forming a layer with thickness (Dubrulle et al. 1995; Fromang & Nelson 2009)

$$H_d = H_g \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{\text{SS}}}{1 + \alpha_{\text{SS}}}}$$

where $\alpha_{\text{SS}}$ is the Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) viscous parameter, $H_g$ and $H_d$ are respectively the gas and dust disc height, St is the Stokes number (i.e. $S_t = \frac{\nu}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}}$). Weidenschilling 1977).

We perform simulations with the multigrain one-fluid method considering 11 grain sizes logarithmically spaced in a range between 1.5 μm and 6 mm with a grain size distribution $dn/da = a^{-3.5}$. In this multi-grain method, the dust and gas evolve simultaneously, allowing us to take into account the back-reaction of the dust on the gas, and to simulate different levels of coupling between the two disc components. We note that the back-reaction in our models is in principle negligible for an initial dust-to-gas ratio equal to 0.01. Additionally, even if we consider a later stage in the evolution of our system, the maximum dust-to-gas ratio is still $\sim 0.1$. In Fig. 2 we show for illustrative purposes the gas (first panel) and the 11 grains dust surface density maps of the disc model with $M_{\text{dust}} = 2 M_{\text{gas}}$ after $\approx 140$ orbits of the planet (at the initial planet location $R_p = 34.5$ au). Increasing the grain size (from top to bottom) leads to increases in the width and depth of the gap carved by the planet. Moreover, for larger dust grains the dust disc extent is smaller because of radial drift.

#### 2.2.2 Properties of the embedded planets

In each disc model we embed one planet with an initial mass of $M_p = [1, 2, 2.5, 3.5] M_\oplus$ at a radial distance from the central star of $R_p = 34.5$ au slightly more distant than the 33 au centroid of the gap to account for some migration. The planet orbit is assumed to be initially circular and coplanar. We model the planet and the central star as sink particles, free to migrate and which are able to accrete gas and dust (Bate et al. 1995). The accretion radius of each planet is the effective temperature we use in our radial transfer model, assuming the star to be radiating isotropically with a Kurucz spectrum at 3750 K.
order to open a gap as wide as the one observed in DS Tau by Long et al. (2018).

We have also attempted to simulate planets with $M_p > 5M_{\text{Jup}}$ (Lodato et al. 2019), but we do not discuss them, since upon an initial analysis the radial flux profile we obtain in these cases is too different with respect to the observations. Moreover, the planet mass derived from the gap width gives an upper limit estimate.

### 2.3 Radiative transfer and synthetic observations

We compute synthetic observations of our disc models by performing 3D radiative transfer simulations, by means of the mcfost code (Pinte et al. 2006, 2009), starting from the results of the hydrodynamical simulations. Our goal is to compute the dust continuum for Band 6 (1.3 mm, Long et al. 2018) and Band 3 (2.9 mm, Long et al. submitted) and the CO, $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O isotopologue channel maps.

The main inputs for the radiative transfer modelling are the gas and dust density structure, a model for the dust opacities and the source of luminosity. We used a Voronoi tessellation where each mcfost cell corresponds to a SPH particle. We adopted the DIANA dust model for the dust opacity (Woitke et al. 2016; Min et al. 2016), assuming a fixed dust mixture composed of 70% silicate, 30% amorphous carbonaceous. Note that the shape and the width of a gap carved by a fixed planet mass might change for different opacity values and different Stokes number (e.g. for different grain porosity, fluffiness or shape, see Pinte et al. 2019).

The expected emission maps at 1.3 mm and 2.9 mm are computed via ray-tracing, and using $10^8$ photon packets to sample the radiation field, assuming a disc inclination of $i = 65^\circ$ (Long et al. 2018). We use a passively heated model, where the source of radiation is assumed to be the central star, located at the centre of the coordinate system, with parameters described in Table 1. The full-resolution images at 1.3 mm directly produced by mcfost is then convolved with the same Gaussian beam of the observations reported in Long et al. (2018), $0.14 \times 0.1$ arcsec ($\sim 22 \times 16$ au at 159 pc).

In order to compute the CO, $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O isotopologue channel maps in the J=2-1 transitions we perform radiative transfer simulations, assuming $T_{\text{gas}} = T_{\text{dust}}$ and that the emission is at LTE. We include in our simulations freeze-out where $T < 20$ K and photo-dissociation induced by UV radiation. We consider a velocity resolution of 0.2 kms$^{-1}$. We then convolve the obtained channel maps with a 40 mas beam ($\sim 6.5$ au at the source distance).

### 3 RESULTS

Our main goal is to reproduce the ALMA continuum image at 1.3 mm observed by Long et al. (2018), as shown in Fig. 1. For a more accurate analysis we focus on the radial intensity...
Figure 3. Radial flux intensity profile for the ALMA continuum image at 1.3 mm (see Fig. 1). In black we show the azimuthally averaged profile while in red and orange the radial cut along the disc major axis. The error is obtained as the root mean square of $\sigma$ and the 10% of the flux value $F_{10\%} = 0.1 \times F(R)$. In the left corner is reported the projected beam size along the disc major axis 0.14".

profile along the disc major axis (i.e. radial cut). Fig. 3 shows both the azimuthally averaged radial intensity profile (black lines) and the radial cut (red and orange markers). We also compute the errors by considering the quadratic sum of the standard deviation $\sigma$ and of the 10% of the flux at each radii (Long et al. 2018). The standard deviation with respect to the azimuthally averaged radial profile has been computed by deprojecting the disc on a planar surface and binning it into 40 annuli (to match the beam resolution).

3.1 Fitting the radial profiles

For a better comparison between our models and observations, and in order to reproduce the correct gap shape, we study the radial intensity profile along the disc major axis. Fig. 4 shows the radial intensity profile for the disc described in Sec 2.2.1 with a dust disc mass of $4.8 \times 10^{-5} M_{\text{Jup}}$ and an initial planet mass in the range $M_{p,0} = [1, 2, 2.5, 3, 5] M_{\text{Jup}}$. As mentioned in Sec. 2.2.2, we excluded from our analysis systems with a planet mass $> 5 M_{\text{Jup}}$, since their gap shape was too different with respect to the data (as one can already notice in the radial flux intensity profile obtained with $M_{p,0} = 5 M_{\text{Jup}}$, see Fig. 4). Lines with different colours describe the time evolution of the system (increasing from blue, $N_{\text{orb}} = 50$, to dark red, $N_{\text{orb}} = 250$) while the data are presented as black star markers. The better match between the models and the observations is found for the $M_{p,0} = 2 M_{\text{Jup}}$ case, but the flux needs to be rescaled by a constant factor. In order to fit both the $M_{p}$, the time evolution of the system, the dust disc mass (i.e. the flux intensity), we performed MC-

fost simulations considering values of gas-to-dust between 10 and 150 for all the orbit snapshots collected in Fig. 4 (keeping the gas mass constant at $M_{\text{gas}} = 0.0048$). It is important to highlight that, since the dust-to-gas ratio in our simulation is always $\ll 1$ and so the back-reaction of the dust onto the gas is negligible, it is possible to rescale $M_{\text{dust}}$, without affecting the disc dynamics.

As the system evolves, the shape of the intensity profiles shows a similar behaviour for all planet masses. After an annular gap is opened, the inner disc is progressively depleted, both in gas and dust. This is due to a combination of factors. Firstly, the tidal torques produced by the planet reduce the mass accretion rate into the inner portion of the disc (c.f. Ragusa et al. 2016). Secondly, large dust grains are trapped at the pressure maximum and are thus filtered out from the inner regions (Rice et al. 2006). Thirdly, there is some spurious evacuation of material from the inner disc, since as the surface density of the gas is reduced, we lose resolution, increasing the SPH artificial viscosity, which in turn speeds up the cavity depletion. Moreover, the increased pressure gradient at the inner boundary accelerates the radial drift in the inner regions. The depletion observed in the inner disc in our models could be explained also by the fact that in our simulation we are not considering dust growth, so we might be neglecting an opacity contribution from larger grains. Finally, we note that by increasing the planet mass, the position of the ring outside the gap moves outward, and that the system evolves, the planet accretes gas from the disc, so its mass grows with time.

In order to find the best fit model to the data, we thus perform a $\chi^2$ fit assuming as free parameters the planet mass, the scale factor for the dust density and the planet number of orbits. For this last parameter we stop at 170 orbits. After this time, especially for higher planet masses, the inner disc becomes optically thin due to the partial artificial draining of the inner disc. We show the result as a function of the disc dust mass in panels $[A,B,C,D,E]$ of Fig. 5, corresponding to different initial planet masses in the range $M_{p,0} = [1, 2, 2.5, 3, 5] M_{\text{Jup}}$. Different line colours represents different planet orbits in our simulations, from 50 (dark blue line) to 250 (dark red line). We then proceed as follows: for each planet mass we consider the best matching model in terms of minimum $\chi^2$ for the various choices of dust mass and number of orbits. We then compare the best models for different planet masses. In the bottom-right panel of Fig. 5 we plot the minimum $\chi^2$ obtained for each planet mass, as a function of the instantaneous planet mass, both with (black markers) and without (red markers, see Sec. 3.1.1) the inner region $R < 0.1 R_{\text{Hill}}$. Grey (and red) arrows connect the value of the current mass with its initial value. The best fitting model corresponds to the case with an initial planet mass $M_{p,0} = 2 M_{\text{Jup}}$, which corresponds to $3.5 \pm 1 M_{\text{Jup}}$. We estimated the mass uncertainty via bootstrapping the data. In this way we computed the $\chi^2$ on 10000 new samples taken from the measured data set itself.

Lodato et al. (2019) estimate a planet mass of the order of 5.6 $M_{\text{Jup}}$, assuming that the gap width was $\sim 5.5 R_{\text{Hill}}$. Our best fitting model yields a smaller value, which results in a gap width $\Delta/R_{\text{Hill}} = 7.3$, which is slightly higher compared to the value obtained by Lodato et al. (2019).
Due to the possible numerical effects that accelerate the formation of a cavity (discussed in Sec. 3.1), and in order to check the robustness of our result, we repeat the fit procedure described in the previous section excluding the flux inside a radius $R < 0.1''$ (grey band in Fig. 4). This allows us to evaluate the exact portion of the disc which contains the gap and the outer ring, avoiding the possible contamination with regions that could have an evolution influenced by numerical effects. Indeed, we do expect physically to see an inner depletion due to radial drift, on larger timescales with respect to the number of orbits in our models. The results obtained are presented by red markers in the bottom-right panel of Fig. 5. We recover for the planet mass the same value found in Sec. 3. We also note that the minimum reduced $\chi^2$ for the $M_{p,0} = 1, 3, 5 M_{\text{Jup}}$ cases is slightly higher than found previously.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Dust continuum

In this Section we discuss our results starting from the dust continuum synthetic images at both 1.3 and 2.9 mm wavelengths obtained with mcfost.

4.1.1 ALMA synthetic images and gap shape

The result we obtain from our $\chi^2$ test show that a planet with a mass of $3.5 M_{\text{Jup}} \pm 1$ is the best fit in order to reproduce the gap shape. The quoted uncertainty clearly refers to the specific model that we assume and additional systematic uncertainty arises if one considers extra degrees of freedom (e.g. multiple planets, orbit eccentricity and inclination, lower viscosity). Moreover, having kept as free parameters the dust disc mass and the evolution time of our system, we also obtain that the disc should have a gas-to-dust ratio of 50 with a dust mass of $9.6 \cdot 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ (similar to what we have fixed in our SPH simulations).
Figure 5. Reduced chi squared $\chi_r^2$ as a function of the disc dust mass (A,B,C,D,E panels). In each panel we show results obtained for our models with initial planet masses $M_p,0 = 1, 2, 5, 3.5, 3.5 M_{\text{Jup}}$, while different colours correspond to the time evolution in our models, from $N_{\text{orb}} = 50$ (dark blue line) to $N_{\text{orb}} = 170$ (dark red line). Minimum reduced chi squared $\tilde{\chi}_r^2 = \min(\chi_r^2)$ (bottom-right panel) from [A,B,C,D,E] panels, as a function of the planet mass in unit of Jupiter masses. The black markers represent the results obtained considering the disc extent $-0.5'' < R < 0.5''$, while the red ones represent results obtained excluding the inner region $-0.1'' < R < 0.1''$.

The letters with the $0$ subscript represent the initial planet mass values, and are connected to the final ones by grey arrows. The value of the planet mass that minimise the $\tilde{\chi}_r^2$ is $M_p = 3.5 \pm 1 M_{\text{Jup}}$. The error has been obtained via bootstrapping the data.

Concerning the time evolution of the system, the best outcome for our modeling is reached in different time for different planet masses. This is to be expected, since more massive planets carve their gaps faster compared to smaller ones. We also note that by the end of our simulations the system has not reached a steady state configuration. However, it is important to remember that in reality such a quasi steady-state condition does not exist: the disc and planet secularly evolve due to planet migration and accretion processes (note that, often, modeling efforts assume that the planet does not migrate nor accrete, and that is why they are able to reach a quasi-steady state: this is not the approach that we have adopted here). What is important is that the number of orbits in our models is enough to avoid transients on a short time scale and that the initial conditions have washed out. This is certainly achieved in our case, as we evolve the simulation for $\gtrsim 100$ orbits.

Fig. 6 shows the comparison between the data and our modeling for the DS Tau disc for both 1.3 (first row) and 2.9 (second row) mm wavelengths. Left panels show the ALMA continuum images from Long et al. (2018) for the 1.3 mm wavelength and from Long et al. (submitted) for the 2.9 mm one. Center panels show the synthetic images, that have been computed for our best fit model (performed on the 1.3 mm case) parameters: $M_p = 3.5 M_{\text{Jup}}$, $M_{\text{dust}} = 9.6 \cdot 10^{-5} M_\odot$, and $t = 145$ orbits (discussed in Sec. 3.1). The right panels of Fig. 6 compare radial cuts of the flux intensity profile along the disc major axis obtained from the synthetic image at 1.3 and 2.9 mm (top and bottom center panel of Fig. 6) with the ALMA data (top and bottom left panel). The left (blue) and right (red) side of the radial cut correspond to the left and right side of the disc major axis. Dot markers represent the data, while the models are in dashed lines.

By looking at the synthetic images, the gap shape and the flux of the observation are recovered in our models at 1.3 mm and 2.9 mm. We note that in both cases we end up with a slightly higher peak flux with respect to the observation. An interesting point is that by looking at the real ALMA image at 1.3 mm the ring has a brighter spot in the lower part. This can be due to an inclination effect, though this feature is missing in our model. Also, if we consider the 2.9 mm comparison, the data show an asymmetry in the ring that seems to be more elongated in the right-end side of the major axis. This feature is also not recovered in our model.
First row: ALMA observation (left panel) and continuum mock image (center panel) of the DS Tau disc at 1.3 mm. The synthetic image has been computed for our best fit model ($M_p = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ and $M_{dust} = 9.6 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$). The Gaussian beam we take to convolve the full resolution image has been chosen to reproduce the observations reported by Long et al. (2018) and Long et al. (submitted), respectively, $0.14'' \times 0.14''$ and $0.13'' \times 0.09''$. In the 1.3 mm image we highlight in blue the left side of the major axis and in red the right side. Right panel shows the comparison between the left (blue) - right (red) side of the radial cut along the disc major axis for the data (dot marker) and the modeling (dashed line). Second row: Same as first row but for the 2.9 mm continuum image. ALMA images have been readapted from Long et al. (2018) and Long et al. (submitted). By a comparison of the synthetic images obtained for the two wavelengths, the 2.9 mm one seems more compact with respect to the other one due to radial drift (see also Fig. 2). We will discuss in Sec. 4.1.2 what we can learn from this modeling regarding the dust distribution inside the disc.

The peak of our radial profiles at both wavelengths is slightly higher with respect to the data. This can be due to different dust opacity or to the fact that in our fitting procedure we excluded the flux contribution from a region with radius $R < 0.1''$. If we consider the 1.3 mm case, for which we have reduced $\chi^2$ fitting process, the gap shape of our model reproduces the one of the data. Instead, for the 2.9 mm case, we note that the model flux is higher by a factor of 0.7 with respect to the data for the entire disc extent.

By a comparison of the synthetic images obtained for the two wavelengths, the 2.9 mm one seems more compact with respect to the other one due to radial drift (see also Fig. 2). We will discuss in Sec. 4.1.2 what we can learn from this modeling regarding the dust distribution inside the disc.

4.1.2 Dust trapping?

Having multiwavelength data for the same system allows to analyse the dust distribution inside the disc. In particular, a change in the spectral index $\alpha$ provides information about the grain size in discs (Testi et al. 2014). When dust trapping occurs, $\alpha$ is expected to be $\approx 2$, which is smaller than the value typically found in the ISM, $\alpha_{ISM} \approx 3.5 - 4.0$ (Draine 2006; Ricci et al. 2010). Our simulations do produce dust traps (see Fig. 2), such that larger grains collect in narrower rings. We can thus test whether such traps also show up as a change in the spectral index.

Since we obtained both the 1.3 and 2.9 mm synthetic images, we compute the spectral index $\alpha_{1,3,2.9\, mm}$

$$\alpha_{\nu_1,\nu_2} = \frac{\log(\nu_2 F_{\nu_2}) - \log(\nu_1 F_{\nu_1})}{\log \nu_2 - \log \nu_1},$$

with $\nu_1 = 1.3$ mm and $\nu_2 = 2.9$ mm. In Fig. 7 we show the resulting spectral index, both as a map (left panel) and as a radial cut along the disc major axis (central panel) in comparison with the $\alpha_{1,3.2,9\, mm}$ radial profile (blue line) found by Long et al. (submitted). We note that at $\approx 0.3''$ we have a spectral index $\alpha_{1,3,2.9\, mm} \approx 2$. This can be due to two different reasons: there could be dust trapping in the ring region, or the disc may be optically thick. Thus, we compute with MCFOST the disc optical depth $\tau_{\nu}$, where in each cell $\tau_{\nu}$ is computed from the center of the cell to $z = +\infty$ (and $-\infty$). Then, for each Voronoi cell of the model we sum the optical depth towards the $+z$ and the $-z$ direction. The results are displayed in the lower row of Fig. 7. In the first two panels we show the vertical cut of the map of the optical depth for each cell. In these plots SPH noise is visible, so we also compute the azimuthal and vertical average of the optical depth within concentric annuli. The results of the azimuthally and vertically averaged optical depths are shown in the right panel of Fig. 7, with a solid line for 1.3 mm and a dashed line for 2.9 mm. While the disc appears to
be generally optically thin, the ring is marginally optically thick. Thus, we cannot draw any firm conclusion on the origin of the spectral slope. Comparing our results with the one presented in Long et al. (submitted), the shape of the spectral index radial profile is similar between the model and the data. Indeed, qualitatively reproduce the features observed in the data: a local minimum and maximum, with an increase in spectral index at larger radius. The actual value of the spectral index is different between the two cases. This can be due to dust grain properties (maximum grain size, dust composition, morphology) in our model not in agreement with the real conditions of DS Tau. This results in different spectral index and different opacity in the optically thin case. Moreover, we point out that considering higher levels of porosity and fluffyness for the dust produces differences in the spectral index profile with respect to the compact case (Kataoka et al. 2014).

Figure 7. First row. Map (left panel) and radial cut along disc major axis (right panel) of the spectral index $\alpha_{1.3, 2.9\text{mm}}$. Red and white contour lines in the left panel indicate the approximate size of the disc in the 1.3 (white line) and 2.9 (red line) mm images. Central panel shows the spectral index $\alpha$ obtained by Long et al. (submitted). Second row. Left and central panels: vertical section of the optical depth as a function of the radius at 1.3 mm and 2.9 mm, respectively. Right panel: azimuthal and vertical average of the optical depth as a function of radius. The solid line represents $\tau$ at 1.3 mm while the dashed line at 2.9 mm. The disc appears to be generally optically thin, but approaches $\tau = 1$ in the ring.

4.2 Is it possible to detect the planet from gas kinematic?

The ubiquity of rings and gaps in recent observations of proto-planetary discs poses one important question: if all these structures have been formed by embedded planets, why are we not able to observe them, apart from few cases such as PDS 70 (Kepler et al. 2018; Isella et al. 2019; Mesa et al. 2019)? A possible way of answering this question and understanding what is the correct origin scenarios for these structures is to study the kinematics of these systems, in particular looking for kinks.

Assuming that the observed gap is due to a planet with a mass of $M_p = 3.5 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ (see Sec 3.1), we check if it can be detected via “kinks” in the gas channel maps. Therefore, we study the kinematics to determine whether the observational capabilities of ALMA would allow us to detect such a planet at such a distance ($\approx 30$ au) from the central star. For our purpose we choose a channel velocity resolution of 0.5 km/s and an angular resolution of 40 mas. Fig. 8 shows the computed channel maps for the three CO-isotopologue, for two different channels, with $\Delta v$ equals to -2.70 and 2.70 km/s from the systemic velocity. We assure the planet is at an azimuthal position of $\phi = 225^\circ$ with respect to the refer-
The disc inclination is $i = 65^\circ$ (Long et al. 2018), the images have been convolved with a 40 mas Gaussian beam. The azimuthal planet position is $\phi = 225^\circ$ with respect to the reference case ($\phi = 0^\circ$, dashed white line). We notice that the kink produced by the planet is detectable at $-2.7$ km/s with a velocity resolution of 0.2 km/s.  

In Fig. A1 and Fig. A2 in the Appendix, we collect channels from $-4.10$ to $-1.30$ km/s and from 1.30 to 4.10 km/s. The kink appears localised both in space and in velocity in channels from $-3.5$ to $-1.9$ km/s, which could actually be due to the inside borders of the gap. As a first analysis, the main difference between the two features is that for the planet-induced kink there is an asymmetry between the left and right side of the disc, while for the geometric feature the deviation is symmetric.

To check what is the threshold needed in (spatial and velocity) resolution to be able to observe it, we perform a further analysis, varying the azimuthal angle, the angular and the velocity resolution. We also performed a test in order to determine what is the minimum mass detectable in this system according to our models ($M_p, fin = 2.3M_{\text{Jup}}$), at a distance of $\approx 30$ au from the star. The results of this test are presented in Appendix A2.

### 4.2.1 Changing the azimuthal angle

To be able to judge the robustness of our prediction of a velocity kink feature shown in Sec. 4.2, we need to investigate the dependence of this feature on the azimuthal position of the planet, the velocity resolution, and the beam size. Fig. 9 shows the channel maps for the $^{18}$O isotopologue (as best case), varying the azimuthal planet position ($\phi = 65^\circ, 90^\circ, 265^\circ, 315^\circ$ from left to right). For each azimuthal position, the first row shows the channel in which the planet kink is detectable at different $\Delta v$ with respect to the systemic one, while the second one is its symmetrical one. Taking into account also the channel maps obtained with $\phi = 225^\circ$ in Fig. 8, we highlight that the kink feature changes for different planet azimuth. Also it appears more detectable for the $225^\circ$, $265^\circ$ and $315^\circ$ cases. It is interesting to note that when the planet is closer to the disc minor axis ($\phi = 90^\circ$ or $\phi = 265^\circ$) the kink appears to be visible in a channel and in its symmetrical one.

### 4.2.2 Changing the angular resolution

We also computed channel maps for different beam size and channel velocity resolution, keeping the planet azimuth fixed at $\phi = 225$. Fig. 10 shows the results obtained with beam size of $30, 40, 50, 70$ mas. The velocity resolution is 0.2 km/s. Reaching a beam resolution of both 30,40 mas would allow...
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Figure 9. Synthetic C$^{18}$O ALMA channel maps ($J$=2-1 transitions) for our best model with $M_p = 3.5 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ (cyan dot). With respect to Fig. 8 we changed the planet azimuthal position, $\phi = [65^\circ, 90^\circ, 265^\circ, 315^\circ]$ (from left to right).

Figure 10. Channel maps for C$^{18}$O isotopologue with a velocity resolution of 0.2 km/s and a beam size of [30, 40, 50, 70] mas (from left to right). The azimuthal position of the planet is $\phi = 225^\circ$.

to clearly detect the kink at the planet location, while at 50 mas it is barely visible and at 70 mas it is not detectable.

4.2.3 Changing the velocity resolution

Fig. 11 shows channel maps obtained by changing the channel velocity resolution in the range [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5] km/s (from left to right). We kept the planet fixed at an azimuthal location of $\phi = 225^\circ$. The beam size is set to 40 mas. The kink feature is detectable with all the velocity resolutions.

To summarise, with this more detailed analysis in which we vary both the beam and velocity resolution we found that a planet kink in this system would be detectable using a beam of [30, 40] mas (barely visible with 50 mas), and velocity resolution between 0.1 and 0.5 km/s. A more detailed study about the probability of detecting or not a planet de-
Figure 11. Channel maps for $^{13}$CO isotopologue with a velocity resolution of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.5 km/s (from left to right). The azimuthal position of the planet is $\phi = 225^\circ$. The beam resolution is 40 mas.

Depending on its azimuthal position would be necessary. Moreover, also if a kink is not detectable, having access to gas kinematics data could be useful to map the height of the two side of the CO-isotopologue layer in the disc and check if there are some discrepancies due to the presence of a planet (Pinte et al. 2018).

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we modeled the gap shape observed by Long et al. (2018) and Long et al. (2020, submitted) around the DS Tau star. Assuming that a planet has carved the gap, we performed 3D dust and gas Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics and radiative transfer simulations of protoplanetary discs with one embedded planet, considering different values for the planet mass. By comparing the simulated dust gap/ring morphology with observations, we derive a best-fit planet mass and compare the result with simple analytical calculations (Lodato et al. 2019). We also studied the gas kinematics in order to check if a kink produced by the embedded planet would be visible in the channel maps.

The basic result of our modeling is that the planet we expect to be responsible for the gap observed in DS Tau should have a mass of $M_p = 3.5 \pm 1.0 M_Jup$. We recall that this confidence interval should be interpreted in the light of the chosen one-planet model. For future developments, it would be interesting to gradually add other degrees of freedom in order to explore other formation scenarios. To reach this result, we performed a $\chi^2$ test comparing the major axis radial profile of the data with the ones obtained by the different planet mass models. To match the correct flux, we also performed different radiative transfer models varying the gas-to-dust ratio from our initial reference case (i.e. 100) in order to take into account different dust disc masses. The outcome of this fitting procedure is that the dust mass required to produce the observed ALMA images is of $9.6 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$. Another interesting point to be noted is that in order to recover the correct gap shape, it is necessary to study the system in its time evolution. Indeed, as time increases, the inner disc is gradually depleted, paving the way for a cavity to originate at a later stage (see Sec. 3.1 for a discussion about possible numerical effects that might affect the dust depletion in the inner disc).

Starting from this result, we then computed the CO, $^{13}$CO and $^{18}$O isotopologue channel maps. We found that a planet with 3.5 Jupiter masses, with a channel width of 0.3/0.5 km/s and a beam size of 70 mas, would be barely detectable in the gas kinematics through the kink feature. Instead, by assuming a slightly higher (0.2 km/s) velocity resolution, and by choosing a slightly smaller (i.e. 40 or 50 mas) beam size the kink appears to be visible. Moreover, we showed that changing the azimuthal position of the planet results in different kink signatures.
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APPENDIX A: CO-ISOTOPOLOGUES CHANNEL MAPS

A1 Channels for $v_{res} = 0.2$ km/s and with beam resolution 40 mas

Fig. A1 and Fig. A2 shows velocity channels for the model with a 3.5 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ planet (represented with the outer cyan dot). The velocity resolution is 0.2 km/s, for channels going from
-4.10 to -1.30 km/s and from 1.30 to 4.10 km/s. The beam resolution is 40 mas.

### A2 Minimum detectable planet mass test: $M_p = 2.3\ M_{\text{Jup}}$

We present here the channel maps computed for a planet with a mass of $2.3\ M_{\text{Jup}}$. We perform this test to check what is the minimum planet mass detectable by ALMA at the distance of $\approx 30$ au from the star, according to our models. As reference case, we use the best observational parameters we found in Sec. 4.2: an angular resolution of 40 mas, an azimuthal planet position of $\phi = 225^\circ$ and a velocity resolution of $v_{\text{res}} = 0.2\ km\ s^{-1}$. Fig. A3 shows the three CO-isotopologue channel map (CO left column, $^{13}$CO center column, $^{18}$O right column), for two different channels, with $\Delta v$ equals to -2.7 (top row) and 2.7 (bottom row) km/s from the systemic velocity. The channel maps we recover for this planet are very similar to the one presented in Sec. 4.2. The kink should be detectable also in this case in the channel with $\Delta v = -2.7\ km/s$.

This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LaTeX file prepared by the author.
Figure A1. Channel maps for C$^{18}$O isotopologue with a velocity resolution of 0.2 km/s, from -4.10 to -1.30 km/s. The azimuthal position of the planet is $\phi = 225^\circ$. The beam resolution is 40 mas.

Figure A2. Channel maps for C$^{18}$O isotopologue with a velocity resolution of 0.2 km/s, from 1.30 to 4.10 km/s. The azimuthal position of the planet is $\phi = 225^\circ$. The beam resolution is 40 mas.
Figure A3. Channel maps obtained for a planet with mass $M_p = 2.3 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$. Columns show the $^{13}\text{CO}$ (left column), $\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$ (center column), CO (right column) isotopologue channel maps with a velocity resolution of 0.2 km/s, $\phi = 225^\circ$ and with a beam resolution of 40 mas. The velocity channel of the first row is the one in which the kink is visible ($\Delta v = -2.7 \, \text{km/s}$), while the second row shows its opposite ($\Delta v = 2.7 \, \text{km/s}$).