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Identification and expression of genes associated with the abscission layer controlling seed shattering in Lolium perenne
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Abstract. Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) is one of the most important pasture grasses in the world. However, seed production is negatively impacted by the seed shattering (shedding) nature of this species. Recently, genes involved in the seed shattering process have been isolated and functionally characterized in several crop species. The aim of this study was to identify the genes playing critical roles in the seed shattering process in perennial ryegrass. DNA sequences of genes involved in seed shattering in the Poaceae were used to identify and isolate target genes in perennial ryegrass using a comparative genomics strategy. The candidate seed shattering genes were identified using an ‘in-house’ perennial ryegrass transcriptome database. The relative expression levels of the candidate ryegrass shattering genes were determined using RT–qPCR during different floret and seed developmental stages. Histological analysis of the abscission layer was also conducted. Homologues of seed shattering genes were identified and isolated from perennial ryegrass, and the relative gene expression results suggested that several genes, including LpqSH1 and LpSH1, might have a role in abscission layer formation during seed development. In addition, lignification of the abscission layer may play an important role in the abscission process. A genetic model for seed shattering in perennial ryegrass is suggested and may be useful for directing gene editing towards the production of a reduced-shattering ryegrass.
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Introduction

Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) is one of the most important forage grasses in the world (Wilkins 1991). Ryegrass is reported to be the most valuable plant species in New Zealand, with a $14.5 billion impact on New Zealand’s GDP in 2012, due predominantly to perennial ryegrass being a highly valued forage for the livestock industry (Nixon 2016). Consequently, the supply of high-quality perennial ryegrass seed is a significant issue for the agronomic sector. However, there are several factors affecting seed yield in this species, including poor pollination and seed set (Charlesworth 1989), impact of biotic and abiotic stresses (Hampton et al. 2016) and large harvest losses (Jensen 1976). The primary cause of harvest loss is seed shattering, i.e. the shedding of seed
before and during the harvest process (Elgersma et al. 1988). Seed shattering may lead to a seed yield loss of up to 75 % in grass species including perennial ryegrass (Simon et al. 1997). Consequently, seed shattering can result in considerable economic losses.

Seed shattering is a developmentally programed abscission event, occurring in a specific tissue, the abscission layer. Genes preventing seed shattering were generally dominant in the early progenitors of crops such as rice and sorghum (Konishi et al. 2006; Li et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2012). During domestication, non-shattering homozygous recessive mutant alleles replaced the dominant alleles as a consequence of unconscious selection over millennia (Konishi et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2012). A number of the genes responsible for the seed shattering trait have been identified and cloned from several monocot species.

In rice, two significant genes implicated in seed shattering, qSH1 and SH4, have been identified. OsqSH1 (QTL of seed shattering in chromosome 1) is reported to be the major shattering-related QTL in rice (Oryza sativa) and has the largest effect on the shattering trait, explaining 68.6 % of the total phenotypic variation in the population (Konishi et al. 2006), whereas Li et al. (2006) showed that SH4, a QTL on rice chromosome 4, explained 69 % of the total phenotypic variance relating to the seed shattering trait within an F2 population derived from a cross between O. sativa ssp. indica and the wild annual species, O. nivara. Their results showed that SH4 plays a key role in the formation of the abscission layer during the early stages of flower development. However, as the expression level of SH4 increased until the late stage of seed maturation, SH4 may also take part in the activation of the abscission process (Li et al. 2006). Based on crossing experiments with qsh1 and SH4, Onishi et al. (2007) demonstrated that qSH1 is genetically epistatic to SH4.

_Shattering Abortion 1 (OsSHAT1) gene_, which impacts on the differentiation of the seed abscission layer, plays an essential role for seed shattering in rice (Zhou et al. 2012). OsSHAT1 is an AP2 (APETALA2)-type transcription factor, a putative orthologue of AtAP2 in arabidopsis. Another AP2 gene previously reported to affect seed shattering is the wheat _Q_ gene, which has an impact on a range of characters important for domestication, such as glume shape and glume tenacity (Doebley 2006; Simons et al. 2006). Therefore, these two important cereal crops may have analogous mechanisms for the initiation of abscission layers (Zhou et al. 2012).

A homologue of _OsqSH1_ was identified on chromosome 5 in rice and was named _OsSH5_ (Yoon et al. 2014). They reported that _OsSH5_ was highly expressed in the abscission layer in the pedicels and could enhance the

seed shattering trait, but the abscission process still required _OsqSH1_. _OsSH5_ was shown to induce another two key genes involved in seed shattering, OsSHAT1 and _OsSH4_, whose expression was located in the pedicel region of _SH5_-overexpressed lines (Yoon et al. 2014). In contrast to _qSH1_, _SH4_ and _SHAT1_, which promote abscission layer formation, _SH-H_ locates within a 34 kb region of chromosome 7 and acts as a repressor of the seed shattering process in rice (Ji et al. 2010). _SH-H_ is the only recessive shattering gene so far identified in rice.

In contrast to rice, Lin et al. (2012) suggested that seed shattering in wild sorghum (_Sorghum virgatum_) appeared to be controlled by a single gene, _SH1_, which encodes a YABBY transcription factor. They further reported that the genomic regions corresponding to _SH1_ were conserved among a number of cereals, including rice (_O. sativa_), maize (_Zea mays_), foxtail millet (_Setaria italica_) and sorghum (_S. bicolor_). Functional analysis also indicated that _SH1_ could play similar roles in the abscission process in different monocot species such as sorghum, rice and maize.

Other transcription factors have also been shown to be involved in seed shattering. The _SpWRKY_ gene, encoding a WRKY transcription factor in another wild sorghum (_S. propinquum_), may play a role in seed shattering (Tang et al. 2013). The rice liguleless gene (_OsLG1_) is reported to play a critical role in seed shattering (Ishii et al. 2013). _OsLG1_ encodes an SBP (SQUAMOSA promoter-binding protein) domain and controls laminar joint and ligule development.

In contrast to cereal crops, most forage plants, such as perennial ryegrass, are much less domesticated, and have been actively bred for fewer than 100 years (Stewart 2006). Therefore, the seed shattering trait is still retained in most of these forage species. As perennial ryegrass and cereal crops belong to the Poaceae (Jones et al. 2002), we hypothesized that homologues of the shattering genes identified in cereal crops may exist in perennial ryegrass and play similar roles in regulating the seed shattering process. In the present work, we carried out a comparative genomics approach to isolate these genes in perennial ryegrass, followed by RT–qPCR to reveal the expression profiles of the putative seed shattering genes during floret and seed development. We also conducted morphological and histological analysis of the abscission process in this species. While there were no non-shattering genotypes of perennial ryegrass available in New Zealand with which to compare the expression of the putative shattering genes, the results of this work provide useful information regarding the genetic mechanism of seed shattering in perennial ryegrass and can be used to provide targets for
functional analysis and for plant breeders developing reduced shattering lines of ryegrass.

**Materials and Methods**

Isolation of putative seed shattering genes in perennial ryegrass

Candidate target gene sequences of *SH1*, *LG1*, *SH4*, *qSH1*, *SHAT1*, *WRKY* and *Q* from different monocots were obtained from the NCBI database and published articles [see Supporting Information—Table S1]. To identify the homologues in perennial ryegrass, DNA sequences of each target gene were aligned using the Clustal X software (Version 1.83) to verify their identity, and were then used as query sequences to BLAST search the in-house perennial ryegrass transcriptome database described in Guo et al. (2017). The Neighbor-Joining (NJ) phylogenetic trees for identified perennial ryegrass homologues were constructed using Clustal X software with 1000 bootstrap replicates, using an outgroup sequence from *Ginkgo AP2* to root the tree. The NJ phylogenetic tree was visualized with MEGA 7 software.

**Plant materials**

Plant material was collected three times, providing three independent biological replicates for the gene expression study. In the first biological replicate, multiple seeds from perennial ryegrass cv. R1009 were sown in 2 L pots with fertilized soil at the University of Canterbury glasshouses (43°31′48″S, 172°37′13″E). For the first 3 months, the pots were placed outside the glasshouses for vernalization of the seeds and then moved to a glasshouse. Temperature in the glasshouse ranged from 15 °C at night to 25 °C during the day. Plant tissue samples were collected from October to December 2014, as the day length increased from 12.5 h to 15.5 h. For spike samples, whole spikes 1–2 cm in length, 4–8 cm and 10–12 cm were collected [see Supporting Information—Fig. S1]. For seed samples, the spikelets were tagged on the day of pollen shedding and then collected at different days after anthesis. For vegetative tissues, flag leaves and nodes were collected at the heading stage. Roots and leaves were collected from 7-day-old seedlings germinated in a petri dish. Each sample was collected from at least five individual plants to minimize the variation between plants. Tissue samples were immediately plunged into liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until use.

For the second biological replicate, plants of cv. Grasslands Nui were grown in a field plot located west of Christchurch (43°34′00.5″S, 172°26′45.9″E) in 2014. Whole spikelets at different days after anthesis were collected from multiple individual plants. No early stage spikes and vegetative tissues were collected for this replicate. The harvested plant material was immediately placed in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

For the third biological replicate, plants of cv. Grasslands Nui were grown at Yantai University (37°28′28″N, 121°27′27″E), China. Seeds were sown in a glasshouse in February 2015. One-month-old plants were transplanted to a field plot outside the glasshouse for vernalization. Tissue samples were collected from May to June 2015, as the day length increased from 13.5 to 14.5 h. Tissue types and developmental stages were the same as those for the first biological replicate. For each of the three biological replicates, the dissection of the abscission layer was carried out slightly differently with details shown in Supporting Information—Table S2.

**RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted from up to 100 mg of frozen samples using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and immediately stored at −20 °C. DNase I (Qiagen, Germany) was used to avoid genomic DNA contamination. Total RNA from flag leaves was isolated by using Ambion TRIZol (Invitrogen, USA) and then purified by using a Qiagen clean-up kit (Qiagen, Germany). The quality and integrity of the isolated RNA were determined by electrophoresis on 1 % (w/v) agarose gels. The concentration was assessed using a NanoDrop-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies Inc., USA).

For cDNA synthesis for the first and second biological replicates, 1 μg of total RNA, 50 U Expand Reverse Transcriptase (Roche, Germany), 50 pmoles of oligo (dT) primers and 100 pmoles of random hexamer (pdN6) primers were used in a 20 μl reverse transcription reaction. The final reaction mix was incubated at 42 °C for 1 h. For the third biological replicate, the reverse transcription reaction was carried out using cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech, Japan). The cDNA products were diluted 10-fold with Milli-Q water and stored at −20 °C.

**Reverse-transcription quantitative PCR**

The expression of the genes of interest was performed using reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT–qPCR) with a Rotor-Gene Q real-time PCR instrument (Qiagen, Germany). Specific PCR primers were designed for reference genes and target genes, using Primer Premier 6.20 [see Supporting Information—Table S3]. A reaction volume of 15 μL was used for all qPCRs containing 1 μL of 10-fold diluted cDNA, the relevant primers and home-made SYBR Green master mix (Song et al. 2012). PCR products were either directly Sanger-sequenced or cloning-sequenced to confirm their identity. At least
three technical replicates for each of the three biological replicates were carried out for each sample set. The relative expression of putative seed shattering genes was corrected using two reference genes, LpElongation Factor (LpEF) and LpGAPDH (LpGAP), and calculated using modified 2−ΔΔCt method as described in previous studies (Pfaffl 2001; Song et al. 2012).

**Isolation of the full length LpSH1 gene**

The structure of the LpSH1 gene was determined based on the result of multiple alignments of LpSH1 and its homologues in related monocot species [see Supporting Information—Fig. S2]. Primers spanning the exon boundary were designed to isolate the intron sequences [see Supporting information—Table S4].

Genomic DNA was extracted from perennial ryegrass cv. Glencar as described in Fu et al. (2017). The 25 μL PCR reaction contained 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 1.25 U of TransStart Taq DNA Polymerase (TransGen Biotech, China), 1× TransStart Taq buffer, 0.2 μM of each primer and 200 ng of genomic DNA. The reaction was incubated at 94 °C for 7 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30s, 56 °C for 30s and 72 °C for 1–2 min, depending on the amplicon length, and finally incubated at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were checked on 1 % (w/v) agarose gels. The PCR products were purified and ligated to the pMD18-T Vector (TaKaRa, Japan) and were then sequenced (Sangon Biotech, China). The full length LpSH1 gene was hand assembled using the exon regions isolated from the transcriptome database aligning with the exon regions of the intron-containing amplicons.

**Morphological and histological analysis of the abscission layer**

Spikelets at different developmental stages were collected from two cultivars, Med line 1 (supplied by PGG Wrightson, New Zealand) and Arrow (supplied by Agriseeds, New Zealand). Two cultivars were grown in pots outside at the University of Canterbury glasshouse complex from March 2017. Samples were collected in December 2017.

Spikelet samples from the two cultivars were collected at 0, 14 and 24 days after anthesis (daa) and fixed in a formalin–acetic acid–alcohol solution (formaldehyde: glacial acetic acid: absolute ethanol: H2O = 3:5:30:62). After dehydration through an ethanol/tertiary butyl alcohol series and complete infiltration with paraffin, samples were then placed in an embedding ring. Tissue samples were longitudinally sectioned to 10 μm thickness with a microtome (Model RM2165, Leica) and then stained with safranin-fast Green. The samples were protected with a cover slide and then observed under a microscope (Eclipse 80i, Nikon). A stereomicroscope was used to examine the pedicel junctions after detachment of seeds.

**Results**

**Isolation of putative seed shattering genes from perennial ryegrass**

To isolate putative seed shattering genes from perennial ryegrass, we used as candidate target gene sequences SH1, LG1, SH4, qSH1, SHAT1, WRKY and Q from different monocots as query sequences to BLAST search the in-house perennial ryegrass transcriptome database. In total, nine putative sequences were identified, including two for qSH1 and SHAT1 and one each for SH1, LG1, SH4, WRKY and Q.

After the first round identity verification of these sequences through multiple sequence alignment and BLAST searching against the NCBI database, a fragment of each of these sequences was PCR amplified for further sequencing verification. The sequences were named LpSH1, LpLG1, LpSH4, LpqSH1a, LpqSH1b, LpSHAT1a, LpSHAT1b, LpWRKY and LpQ. Accession numbers for these genes are provided in Supporting Information—Table S1. A Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using all of the newly isolated candidate gene sequences and their homologues in related monocot species. The rooted phylogenetic tree is presented in Fig. 1, demonstrating that each putative gene in perennial ryegrass groups together with its corresponding homologues in other monocot species.

LpqSH1a is a cDNA fragment of 2432 bp covering the whole coding region sequence of 1824 bp and contains fragments of both the 3′ and 5′ untranslated region (UTR) sequences. LpqSH1b is a 1113 bp fragment within the coding region of the gene. LpqSH1a and LpqSH1b belong to BEL1-like homeodomain protein 9, with both containing the key homeobox KN domain (Bürglin 2011). LpSH1 is a cDNA fragment of 848 bp covering the whole coding region and contains fragments of both the 3′ and 5′ UTR sequences. LpSH1 is a transcription factor and belongs to the YABBY family (Lin et al. 2012). LpQ is a 1825 bp fragment covering the whole coding region and contains short fragments of both the 3′ and 5′ UTR sequences. LpQ translated to a 432 amino acid protein sequence and is an AP2-like transcription factor (Simons et al. 2006). LpSH4 is a 468 bp fragment, with a deduced protein sequence of 134 amino acids from the start point of the coding region and 64 bp of the 5′ UTR sequences. LpSH4 is a transcription factor, containing a MYB3 DNA binding domain (Li et al. 2006). LpLG1 is a 1003 bp fragment, with a deduced protein sequence of 331 amino acids near the 3′ end of the coding region, and 9 bp of the 3′ UTR sequence. Its protein product is
an SBP transcription factor containing a DNA-binding domain (Yamasaki et al. 2004). LpSHAT1a and LpSHAT1b are 1167 and 890 bp fragments, respectively, within the coding region of the genes.

An initially constructed phylogenetic tree showed that LpqSH1a and LpqSH1b grouped together with OsqSH1 in rice. The newly isolated OsSH5 gene is highly homologous to OsqSH1, and both genes are reported to play a role in abscission layer formation and differentiation (Yoon et al. 2014). Therefore, the realigned phylogenetic tree, after adding the OsSH5 gene, indicates that LpqSH1b (hereafter renamed LpSH5) is indeed homologous to OsSH5.

In the phylogenetic tree, LpSHAT1a clustered to the group of SHAT1 with other monocot species, suggesting that LpSHAT1a is homologous to SHAT1. However, LpSHAT1b clustered together with two expressed sequence tags from perennial ryegrass, which had a close relationship with LpQ, so LpSHAT1b was ruled out of the gene list for expression study.

Relative expression of candidate seed shattering genes in perennial ryegrass

To reveal the expression profiles of the newly isolated putative seed shattering regulatory genes, a series of tissue samples including spikes and seeds at different developmental stages, as well as vegetative tissues, were used for RT–qPCR analysis. The expression profiles of eight putative seed shattering regulatory genes are shown in Fig. 2 for the first biological replicate, while those for the second and the third biological replicates are presented in Supporting Information—Figs S3 and S4.

Similar expression profiles were detected for LpqSH1 and LpSH5 (Fig. 2A and B). At early spike/spikelet developmental stages, these two genes expressed at a high level in spikes of 1–2 cm long, then their expression level declined as spikes developed further. Relatively high expression levels were also detected in early and late seed developmental stages, and in the node (Fig. 2A and B). Strong expression in the node is particularly evident in the third replicate [see Supporting Information—Fig. S4].

LpSH4 showed an increased expression in the late seed developmental stages in the three biological replicates (Fig. 2C, see Supporting Information—Figs S3 and S4). LpSH1 was highly expressed post anthesis, in the first biological replicate, from early stages of seed development to the mature seed (Fig. 2E). During spike development, the relative expression level of LpSH1 was low, but increased markedly around 0 daa, being around 1400-fold higher than the baseline expression. Another increase in expression occurred from 10 to 15 daa, being about 3-fold higher than that at 5 daa (Fig. 2E). A final increase in expression occurred at 20 daa, being 1.5-fold higher than 15 daa, which was 6000-fold higher than the baseline expression (Fig. 2E).
At the early spike/spikelet developmental stages, \( \text{LpLG1} \) had an extremely high expression level compared with its expression during seed development. The expression level of \( \text{LpLG1} \) was also elevated in seedling leaves, but only very low expression was detected in roots and nodes (Fig. 2F). While samples at early spike/spikelet developmental stages were not included in the second biological replicate, a similar expression profile for \( \text{LpLG1} \) was shown for the third biological replicate [Supporting Information—Fig. S4].

\( \text{LpWRKY} \) was highly expressed in flag leaves (Fig. 2G, see Supporting Information—Fig. S4) and at mid-seed developmental stages [see Supporting Information—Figs S3 and S4].

From the overall relative expression patterns across the three biological replicates, the expression pattern
of LpSH1 presented the most interesting changes (Fig. 3, see Supporting Information—Figs S5 and S6). The transcript level of LpSH1 increased during spike/seed development. At the early stages of abscission layer development, LpSH1 expression was low compared with several of the other genes. However, at later stages, LpSH1 expression was much greater than that of the other candidate genes (Fig. 3, see Supporting Information—Figs S5 and S6).

Analysis of the full length LpSH1 gene

For further analysis of the LpSH1 gene as a potential target for gene editing, we isolated its Open Reading Frame (ORF) and two untranslated regions from the ryegrass transcriptome database and amplified its intron sequences from genomic DNA. The full-length sequence was verified using TA-cloning and Sanger sequencing [see Supporting Information—Table S5]. The LpSH1 ORF contains five introns and six exons. The lengths of the five introns are about 2427, 722, 1792, 98 and 436 bp, respectively. The structure of the LpSH1 gene is shown in Fig. 4.

The length of the LpSH1 coding region is 564 bp, encoding a polypeptide of 187 amino acids. The protein sequence contains two conserved domains, including a C2C2 zinc finger domain from amino acid positions 11–47 and a helix-loop-helix YABBY domain between amino acid positions 108 and 156 (Fig. 5) (Lin et al. 2012). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the result of multiple alignments of the amino acid sequence of LpSH1 and its homologues from related monocot species, showing LpSH1 is closely related to other YAB2 subfamily members [see Supporting Information—Fig. S7]. This suggests that LpSH1 belongs to the YAB2 subfamily. The amino acid sequence of LpSH1 has 93 % identity with the predicted protein HvYABBY (NCBI accession No. BAJ89435.1) and 95 % identity with TaYABBY2 (NCBI accession No. ABW80974). The two conserved domains have high similarity within the YAB2 subfamily of monocot species (Fig. 5).

Morphological and histological analysis of abscission layer

A broken layer can be seen in the spikelet at the base of the floret/seed in cv. Med line 1 (Fig. 6A and B), where seed shattering occurred. This corresponds to the histological analysis of the abscission layer (Fig. 6C). Anatomical investigation of longitudinal sections from different stages of floret/seed indicates that the abscission layer was already present prior to flowering in cv. Med line 1 and cv. Arrow (Fig. 7). The lignin in the abscission layer was stained red by safranin and no change in lignin deposition was observed after flowering in either cultivar. The abscission layer is located in the rachilla below insertion of the floret. The dispersal unit is the floret attached to the distal rachilla internode (Fig. 7). The cells of the abscission layer are smaller than the parenchyma cells in the rachilla and the cells are located around the vascular bundle. The cell walls in the outer area stained more intensely than walls of the cells of the abscission layer in the central area. Dissolution of the abscission layer starts from a notch at the epidermal side.

Discussion

Comparative phylogenetic analyses have been reported as an efficient strategy to isolate homologous genes and
to determine their indicative functions in target species, particularly for species with little genomic information, as is the case for perennial ryegrass (Jones et al. 2002; Morrell et al. 2012; Song et al. 2012). By using a perennial ryegrass transcriptome database and a comparative genomics approach, we isolated eight genes from perennial ryegrass which represent all the previously reported seed shattering genes identified from monocot species.
including rice, wheat and sorghum. This suggests that perennial ryegrass has a high degree of synteny with these species in terms of genetic control of the seed shattering trait, and that at least some of the candidate genes may potentially share functional similarity to those in rice and other monocot crops. A similar strategy was used by Patterson et al. (2016) attempting to identify mutations in qSH1 in Digitaria exilis (fonio), an orphan grain crop in Africa, in which seed shattering is also a serious concern.

Histological analysis in the present study revealed that the location and timing of formation of the abscission layer in perennial ryegrass is the same as that in rice and wild rye (Xie et al. 2017; Yoon et al. 2017), suggesting that the lignification of the abscission layer in perennial ryegrass plays an important role in the seed shattering trait.

Marked differences in the expression profiles of the putative seed shattering regulatory genes were observed in the current work. For instance, the putative LpLG1 expressed during panicle development in perennial ryegrass which is similar in timing to the expression of OsLG1 in rice. OsLG1 plays a role in panicle architecture, seed shattering and pollination (Ishii et al. 2013). This suggests that LpLG1 may have a similar role in ryegrass panicle structure impacting both seed shattering and pollination. If the panicle structure of ryegrass changed to a spreading panicle, the pollination rate could potentially be increased.

Based on previous studies, SHAT1, SH4, qSH1 and SH5 are involved in abscission layer formation and differentiation in rice (Konishi et al. 2006; Li et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2012; Yoon et al. 2014). More recently, it was demonstrated that a KNOX protein, OSH15, interacted independently with qSH1 and SH5 to induce seed shattering in rice by enhancing abscission layer differentiation (Yoon et al. 2017). The expression levels of qSH1 and SH5 were not changed in an osh15 mutant, but the expression of SH4 was reduced significantly, suggesting that qSH1 and SH5 play a role upstream of SH4 (Yoon et al. 2017). Our RT–qPCR results showed that when the length of the spike was only 1–2 cm, LpqSH1 had a higher transcript level than the other candidate genes, whereas LpSH4 and LpSHAT1 expression increased as the spike elongated from 1–2 to 4–8 cm. This indicates that LpqSH1 possibly also plays a role upstream of LpSH4 and LpSHAT1 in perennial ryegrass. In addition, qSH1 is likely to play a key role in grain/seed abscission in both monocots and eudicots (Zhang et al. 2013), and we suggest that this includes LpqSH1.

A complex of OSH15–SH5 in rice was indicated to suppress lignin deposition through direct repression of the lignin biosynthesis gene, CAD2, which functions in the last step of monolignol biosynthesis (Yoon et al. 2017). In addition, Yoon et al. (2017) demonstrated that internode development was controlled by OSH15, and our results show that both LpqSH1 and LpSH5 expressed highly in the node. As OSH15 was identified in 2017, the homologue of OSH15 in ryegrass was not included in this study. However, as both LpqSH1 and LpSH5 were identified as expressing at early stage spikelet and node formation, we suggest that a homologue of OSH15 might also exist in perennial ryegrass and have a similar role in the abscission process. Clearly, lignin deposition plays
an important role in abscission layer formation in perennial ryegrass.

The expression of *LpSH4* increased as the seed matured, suggesting that this gene has a role in activation of the abscission process in ryegrass. Both Li et al. (2006) and Lin et al. (2007) suggest that *OsSH4* takes part in the degradation of the cell wall in the abscission layer. Additionally, *GL4*, an orthologue of *SH4*, was demonstrated to be a key gene with pleiotropic effects controlling seed shattering and grain size in African rice (Wu et al. 2017). Introggression lines were generated by using repetitive backcross progeny derived from a cross between wild rice W1411 (*O. barthii*) and cultivated rice IRGC102305 (*O. glaberrima*) with IRGC102305 as the recurrent parent (Wu et al. 2017). One introgression line (GIL25) displayed longer and larger seed size, with longer epidermal cells on the outer and inner glumes than that of cultivated rice. Also, GIL25 displayed a complete abscission layer, instead of a deficiency in the abscission layer near the vascular bundle as seen in the cultivated IRGC102305 (Wu et al. 2017). Yan et al. (2015) identified that a promoter (pSH4) upstream of *SH4* and

---

**Figure 7.** Histological analysis of abscission layer. (A–F) Images of longitudinal sections across the abscission layer at 0 (A and D), 14 (B and E), 24 (C and F) days after anthesis for perennial ryegrass cv. Arrow (A–C) and cv. Med line 1 (D–F), respectively. Sections were stained with safranin-fast green, and the abscission layer is indicated by arrows.
its multiple cis-acting elements, related to its tissue-specific expression. Of them, the abscisic acid response elements involved in the abscisic acid (ABA) hormone signal pathways were identified. They suggested that pSH4 is most likely associated with the formation and activity of the abscission layer, which depends on the presence of ABA (Yan et al. 2015). Taken together, LpSH4 probably has an important role in regulating plant cell wall hydrolytic enzymes.

Several candidate genes involved in cell wall degradation have been studied, such as polygalacturonase, cellulase, OsCel9D and XIs (xylanase inhibitors) in wild rye (E. sibiricus) (Zhao et al. 2017) and OsCel9D and OsXTH8 in rice (Nunes et al. 2014). Of these genes, OsCel9D and OsXTH8 were shown to play an important role in the process of growth and development, such as cell elongation (Rose et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2006). These structural genes are probably regulated by SH4 (which is a MYB transcription factor), and this could explain why a SNP mutation in GL4 in cultivated African rice causes smaller grain size and loss of seed shattering.

In addition to the genes discussed earlier, SH1, which was first identified in sorghum, was shown to be a key gene in the abscission process. No abscission layer was observed in the sh1 mutants, suggesting that SvSH1 has a role in abscission layer formation (Lin et al. 2012). In addition, the orthologues of SH1 in rice (OsSH1) and in maize (ZmSH1-1 and ZmSH1-5.1+ZmSH1-5.2) were identified from conserved collinearity of genomic regions, and Lin et al. (2012) suggested that SH1 for seed shattering was under parallel selection during the domestication process in crops. However, an expression analysis of SH1 at different stages of abscission layer development was not performed in either sorghum or rice (Lin et al. 2012). In our work, the expression of LpSH1 was shown to increase after anthesis, and the relative expression level was markedly greater than that of other candidate genes during the seed development stage, after which the expression level slightly reduced. This suggests that LpSH1 may have a role in activating the abscission process in perennial ryegrass.

The phylogenetic analysis of amino acid sequences of LpSH1 and other homologues suggests that SH1 is a YABBY-like gene, belonging to the YAB2 subfamily. This gene family generally regulates complex patterning and growth decisions relating to lateral organ development (Bowman 2000), but also functions in regulating the differentiation of cells in some tissues (Toriba et al. 2007). SH1 in sorghum, rice and maize could play a role in the formation of the abscission layer (Lin et al. 2012). Other YABBY genes have also been illustrated to have unique functions, for instance, fasciated controls carpel number during flower/fruit development in tomato (Cong et al. 2008); Drooping Leaf regulates midrib formation in the leaves and carpel specification in the flowers of rice (Ohmori et al. 2008) and OsYAB1 plays a role in meristem development and maintenance of stamens and carpels in rice (Jang et al. 2004). YABBY genes contain DNA-binding domains known to maintain the expression of genes related to cell wall biosynthesis and lignin deposition (Tang et al. 2013). Additionally, a YABBY transcription factor, ObSH3, is required for abscission layer development during African rice domestication (Lv et al. 2018). Combining previous studies and our gene expression study, we suggest that LpSH1 plays a critical role in the seed shattering process from abscission layer formation to abscission activation in ryegrass.

Based on the earlier discussion, we propose a genetic model for seed shattering in perennial ryegrass (Fig. 8). We suggest that the interaction of LpqSH1 with the KNOX protein OSH15 (Yoon et al. 2017) occurs upstream of LpSH4 and LpSHAT1. LpSH4 and LpSHAT1 induce the differentiation of the abscission layer in perennial ryegrass. Meanwhile, LpSH1 could also positively maintain abscission layer formation. It is likely that LpSH1 continues to have a role during the initiation of abscission, probably

![Figure 8. Genetic model for seed shattering in perennial ryegrass.](https://academic.oup.com/aobpla)
by regulating the genes related to lignin deposition (Tang et al. 2013). SH5 interacting with a KNOX protein (Yoon et al. 2017) could repress lignin biosynthesis and thus inhibit lignin deposition in perennial ryegrass, leading to seed shattering. Additionally, LpSH5 would maintain LpSH4 expression leading to degradation of the cell wall to promote abscission through cell wall hydrolytic enzymes. Additionally, SH4 might have a role in determining grain size and node development (Wu et al. 2017).

In conclusion, by using a comparative genomics strategy in combination with a transcriptome data set, we have successfully isolated from perennial ryegrass eight putative seed shattering regulatory genes previously linked to seed shattering in other monocot crop species including rice, sorghum and wheat. Quantitative expression profiles during the shattering time course revealed several genes, particularly LpSH1, which could be playing important roles in regulating the seed shattering process in perennial ryegrass. Results of the current work provide useful information relating to the genetic control of seed shattering in ryegrass and provide targets for selection of mutants from TILLING populations or, more directly, for gene editing to reduce seed shattering.
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