Parallel Reaction Monitoring Mass Spectrometry for Rapid and Accurate Identification of β-Lactamases Produced by Enterobacteriaceae
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The increasing spread of drug-resistant bacterial strains presents great challenges to clinical antibacterial treatment and public health, particularly with regard to β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. A rapid and accurate detection method that can expedite precise clinical diagnostics and rational administration of antibiotics is urgently needed. Targeted proteomics, a technique involving selected reaction monitoring or multiple reaction monitoring, has been developed for detecting specific peptides. In the present study, a rapid single-colony-processing procedure combined with an improved parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) workflow based on HRAM Orbitrap MS was developed to detect carbapenemases (Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase, KPC; imipenemase, IMP; Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase, VIM; New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase, NDM; and oxacillinase, OXA), extended spectrum β-lactamases (TEM and CTX-M), and AmpC (CMY-2) produced by Enterobacteriaceae. Specific peptides were selected and validated, and their coefficients of variation and stability were evaluated. In total, 188 Enterobacteriaceae strains were screened using the workflow. Fourteen out of total 19 peptides have 100% specificity; three peptides have specificity >95% and two peptides have specificity ranged from 74∼85%. On the sensitivity, only nine peptides have 95∼100% sensitivity. The other 10 peptides have sensitivity ranged from 27∼94%. Thus, a screening method based on peptide groups was developed for the first time. Taken together, this study described a rapid extraction and detection workflow for widespread β-lactamases, including KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA, CMY, CTX-M, and TEM, using single colonies of Enterobacteriaceae strains. PRM-targeted proteomics was proven to be a promising approach for the detection of drug-resistant enzymes.
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INTRODUCTION

With the extensive clinical use of carbapenems and β-lactam antibacterial drugs, the incidences of antibiotic resistance have increased. The increasing population of multi-drug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant strains accompanied with the rapid spread of antibiotic-resistance genes have posed great challenges to clinical anti-bacterial treatment and public health. In the
list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are urgently needed released by the World Health Organization in 2017, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) and extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae, were included in the Critical group (Priority 1) (WHO, 2017). β-lactamases are a group of bacterial enzymes that can inactivate β-lactam antibiotics, resulting in the loss of antibacterial activity (Eliopoulos and Karen, 2001). β-lactamases are currently divided into four classes: A, B, C, and D according to the Ambler classification, based on their primary structure (Bush and Jacoby, 2010). Carbapenems are generally regarded as the last treatment choice for serious bacterial infections. Carbapenemases are β-lactamases with versatile hydrolytic capacities: the A and D class carbapenemases are serine-type hydrolases, such as Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC), and oxacillinase (OXA). The B class carbapenemases are metallo-hydrolases, such as New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM), imipenemase (IMP), and Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase (VIM). ESBLs belong to the class A and D β-lactamases. ESBLs such as the TEM (ampicillin resistance) and CTX-M (cefotaxime resistance) groups belong to class A β-lactamases (Pitout et al., 2005). AmpC β-lactamases such as CMY-2 belong to class C of carbapenemases (Philipon et al., 2002). Enterobacteriaceae, including Escherichia coli, K. pneumoniae, and Enterobacter cloacae, which carry several types of β-lactamases, represent a great challenge to the clinical diagnostics and treatment of various infections (Duin, 2017).

Early diagnosis and effective drug treatment are key strategies to deal with the antibiotic-resistance problem (Rodríguez-Baño et al., 2018). Thus, rapid and accurate detection methods are urgently needed in clinical practice. A short testing time and accurate diagnosis will assist in providing an appropriate antibiotic treatment in time. For the last few decades, traditional approaches for the detection of β-lactamases, such as standard disk-diffusion procedure, broth microdilution, and agar dilution, have been used, which are time-consuming and can only determine the drug-resistance property but not the β-lactamase type. Synergy testing is accurate and allow to identify the carbapenemase classes, which is the info needed for therapeutic choices. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) are very sensitive and fast and can be used also from primary samples (Dallenne et al., 2010). Whole genome sequencing, which can accurately identify the genotypes of β-lactamases, is still not practical for usual clinical application because of the high price. However, since drug-resistant enzymes are the products of regulated expression, the detection of a gene may not be correlated with the successful expression of the β-lactamases. Carba NP test based on the detection of enzyme activity (Vasoo et al., 2013) are rapid but not specific for a single type of carbapenemase. The mCIM and eCIM, phenotypic detection methods based on carbapenem inactivation methods, can detect carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa and differentiate metallo-beta-lactamases from serine carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae (Tsai et al., 2020).

The direct detection and quantification of β-lactamases have become easier with the development of protein detection and quantitation techniques in recent years. Lateral flow immunoassay methods based on antigen-antibody reaction (Boutal et al., 2017) are limited to the types of antibodies, but actually they cover the vast majority of carbapenemases found in clinical microbiology routine, beyond being extremely fast. For the past few decades, liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) has been widely used in various fields of protein analysis, biochemical analysis, natural product analysis, and drug and food analysis among other areas (Suh et al., 2017). LC-MS/MS has gradually become one of the most popular analytical tools for protein detection. Shotgun proteomics has been used to identify wild-type and resistant strains of the pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii (Chang et al., 2013). Additionally, a capillary electrophoresis-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry bottom-up proteomics workflow has been established for the identification of OXA-48 and KPC (Fleurbaij et al., 2014). MALDI-TOF MS has been used to identify for carbapenemase detection with different analytical approaches (Hleba et al., 2021). Additionally, the bottom-up proteomics approach has also been applied to identify CTX-M ESBLs (Fleurbaij et al., 2017). Recently, targeted LC-MS/MS based on selected reaction monitoring (SRM) and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) using triple quadrupole mass analyzer, and parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) techniques using on high resolution/accurate mass has been used in β-lactamase testing. Specific peptides of A. baumannii identified via LC-MS/MS profiling have been used to classify clinical isolates (Honghui et al., 2016). Targeted high-resolution MS assays have been developed for the detection of KPC, OXA-48, NDM, and VIM enzymes (Wang et al., 2017, 2019; Foudraine et al., 2019; Strich et al., 2019). However, there are still many β-lactamases that have not been detected by targeted proteomics. Traditional SRM-MS on a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer is limited in the complex sample analysis due to the mass filtering and low resolution quadrupole, and more method development time is needed to define transitions (precursor/product ion pairs).

In our study, a system comprising a rapid sample-processing procedure combined with improved PRM using HRAM (High resolution accurate mass) Orbitrap MS was developed to detect carbapenemases (KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, and OXA), ESBLs (TEM and CTX-M), and AmpC (CMY-2) using a single colony of Enterobacteriaceae strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Culture Conditions
A total of identified and subcultured 192 Enterobacteriaceae strains were used in this study (Supplementary Table 3), including K. pneumoniae American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) BAA-2146 and 191 clinically isolated strains. The selected strains comprised 73 E. coli, 83 K. pneumoniae, 25 E. cloacae strains, five Klebsiella oxytoca, and six Citrobacter freundii. Four of these isolates were used for the test development phase, and 188 were used for the test validation phase. All the strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani agar plates at 37°C overnight. All the strains were stored in the Collection Center...
of Pathogen Microorganism of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences in China.

**Peptide Preparation**

Single colonies (diameter >2 mm) were picked using a micropipette tip or a 10 µL loop, and resuspended in 200 µL of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (MS grade; Merck, Germany), sonicated for 1 min (3 s of sonication, 6 s of rest), centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 2 min and heated at 95°C for 5 min, after which the buffer was removed using 10K Nanosep centrifugal device with Omega membrane (Pall Corporation, Port Washington, NY, United States). Ammonium bicarbonate buffer (50 mM) was added along with sequencing grade trypsin (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, United States), and the solution was microwaved in a water bath followed by heat treatment at 55°C. The peptide concentration was measured using the Pierce™ Quantitative Colorimetric Peptide Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States).

**Nano LC-MS/MS**

Data-dependent analysis was performed on the Thermo Scientific Orbitrap Fusion Lumos platform coupled with an EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, United States) to build a spectral library. The digests were separated by the trap column [ReproSil-Pur 120 C18-AQ (3 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch, Germany); 20 × 0.05 mm] followed by a C18 column [ReproSil-Pur 120 C18 (1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch, Germany); 120 × 0.15 mm] at a flow rate of 600 µL/min. The solvent buffer A comprised water with 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B comprised 80% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. After sample loading, the gradient was initiated with 11% of buffer B, and then increased from 11 to 13% of buffer B for 2 min. The gradient increased up to 32% of buffer B in 16 min, and then to 42% in 7 min. Finally, the gradient was increased to 95% of buffer B in 1 min and was maintained for 4 min. The MS parameters were as follows: MS1 (Orbitrap analysis; mass range, approximately 350–2000 m/z; RF lens, 30%; AGC target, 2.0 × 10^6; maximum injection time, 50 ms) and MS2 (HCD collision energy, 30%; AGC, 5.0 × 10^4; maximum injection time, 54 ms for specific peptides or 22 ms for synthetic isotope labeled (SIL) peptides; Orbitrap resolution, 30,000 for specific peptides or 7,500 for SIL; isolation window, 1.4 Da). Data on the peptides [retention time (RT), m/z, and charge] were imported into the MS method. For data analysis, the acquired data were analyzed using Skyline 20.1.0.155 (MacCoss Lab Software, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States) (MacLean et al., 2010). The amino acid sequences of the drug-resistant enzymes downloaded from the NCBI were imported as the background library. Data-dependent acquisition (DDA) raw data were imported to build the spectra database. After the amino acid sequences of specific and SIL were inserted, the PRM data were imported and for each targeted peptide, the ratio between the peak area of the endogenous peptide and that of the SIL was calculated, and the relative concentration of targeted peptides was calculated based on the SIL with fixed quantity. Whether the CRE/ESBL enzymes were defined as positive or negative depended on the peptide when the following criteria were met: an RT similar to that of the SIL, library dot product (dotp) > 0.8 and ratio dot products (rdotp) > 0.95. Coefficients of variation (CV) were calculated, and stability of the peptides was evaluated by performing three freeze-thaw cycles of the peptides and storage of the peptides for 0, 1, 3, and 4 days in the sample holder (10°C).

**Detection of Drug-Resistant Genotypes via Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Multi-drug resistant genes were analyzed via PCR (Dallenne et al., 2010) using the GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega). The primers and parameters used are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

**RESULTS**

**Selection of Unique Peptides**

Amino acid sequences of the enzymes were downloaded from the NCBI,1 and potential peptides were evaluated using

---

1https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/refgene/
2https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastp&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome
3https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/
PeptideCutter. In view of the varying responses of peptides analyzed via MS, four strains (Table 1) were used to evaluate the ionization capabilities of peptides via DDA, and the specificity of the peptides was assessed by performing BLASTp searches. Unique peptides with high signal stability, appropriate RT, and relatively stable amino acid residues were chosen as peptides markers for KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA, CMY, CTX-M, and TEM. Val (13C5, 15N), G1y (15N), and Ala (13C3, 15N) were used to label the peptides (Table 2). Other candidate peptides detected are listed in Supplementary Table 2. The data are deposited in the PRIDE repository, accession number PXD028791.

Parallel Reaction Monitoring Assay Development

To develop a rapid method for peptide detection, a 30-min Nano LC-MS/MS method was developed, with parameters for peptide markers as shown in Table 2. Different Orbitrap resolutions for specific and SIL were used to improve identification speed, quantity and quality (Stopfer et al., 2021). Figure 1 shows the workflow of the rapid detection method for β-lactamases. The raw data were analyzed using Skyline, and the library dotp, rdotp, and R ratio values were exported. According to the library dotp, rdotp, and R ratio values obtained from the strains, the following rules were set: library dotp > 0.8, rdotp > 0.95, and RT similar to that of SIL (Figure 2). A wash procedure for 30 min was performed after each sample to avoid false-positive results caused by the carryover effect.

Optimization of Rapid Digestion Conditions

To obtain high-quality spectra while reducing the digestion time, protein solutions with trypsin were microwaved for 5, 10, and 15 min, as well as heated in a water bath at 55°C for 15, 30, and 45 min after being microwaved for 2 min separately. Peptides were collected and analyzed via Nano LC-MS/MS. The total processing time was <1 h. As shown in Supplementary Figure 1, the peptides could be detected for all digestion conditions even after being microwaved for 5 min. For most peptides, an increase in digestion time led to an increase in abundance; however, the digestion conditions had no effect on the peptides LAEAGNEIPHTHSLEGLSSSGDAVR, VQAATNSFSGVNYWLKV, IINHNLPVK, and NSFGGVNYWLVK. To ensure that all peptides were identified under optimal conditions, microwave treatment for 2 min combined with heat treatment using a 55°C water bath for 30 min was performed in subsequent experiments.

Reproducibility and Stability Tests

The reproducibility of applications of the peptide markers was evaluated using four strains that were positive for KPC, IMP, VIM, NDM, OXA, CMY, CTX-M, and TEM based on the CV of six replicates in 1 day and in 3 different days (Table 3). The CVs of endogenous contents were determined using SIL (Table 2). The CVs of 16 peptides were <30%. While the CV of INHNLPVK wasn’t acquired as signal miss in some of the samples. No carry-over effects were observed for any of the selected peptides.

Stability is an important property that should be evaluated in method development. The SIL were added to the peptide solutions of E. coli DH5α that did not contain β-lactamases. The peptide stability after three freeze-thaw cycles and stability in the sample holder were measured separately. Six replicates were performed for all experiments. As shown in Supplementary Figure 2, the contents of DGDELLLDTAWGAK and VGYIELDLNSGK decreased significantly after three freeze-thaw cycles (<80%), whereas the contents of LIAQLGPGGVTAFAR and APLILVTYFTQPQPK decreased slightly (approximately 80–90%). These results suggest that repeated freezing and thawing of these four peptides should be avoided during use. Regarding stability in the sample holder for 0, 1, 3, and 4 days, the content of DGDELLLDTAWGAK decreased significantly (70%) in 1 day, and down to 6% on the fourth day (Supplementary Figure 3). The content of VGYIELDLNSGK decreased significantly (64%) on the third day, whereas the content of APLILVTYFTQPQPK reduced to approximately 82% on the fourth day. The results suggest that these peptides should be held for short durations in the sample holder.

Method Validation

After the preliminary evaluation of the rapid detection method, blinded testing of 188 clinical strains was conducted. Strains of E. coli, K. pneumoniae, E. cloacae strains, K. oxytoca, and C. freundii were included in the assays. As shown in Table 4, all the β-lactamases tested were detected both via LC-MS/MS and PCR. Most of the peptide markers for the β-lactamases showed 100% specificity except for LDEGVVYHTSFEENVNGGVVPK (IMP, 85%), TEPTLNTAIPGDPR (CTX-M-1 group and 9 group, 97%), APLILVTYFTQPQPK (CTX-M-1 group, 99%), SDLVYNPIAEK

### Table 1 | β-lactamase information of isolates used in method development.

| Name                  | KPC | NDM | VIM | IMP | OXA | TEM | CMY | CTX-M |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC BAA-2146 (Kwon et al., 2016) | -   | +   | -   | -   | +   | +   | +    | +     |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae 1705a |   | +   | -   | -   | +   | +   | -    | +     |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae 17-R66a |   | -   | -   | +   | -   | +   | -    | +     |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae 17-R42a |   | -   | +   | +   | -   | -   | +    | -     |

*Measured by PCR and DNA sequencing.
KPC, Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase; IMP, imipenemase; VIM, Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase; NDM, New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase; OXA, oxacillinase; CTX-M, β-lactamase against cefotaxime.
**TABLE 2** | Selected target peptides for the rapid detection of multi-drug resistance enzymes.

| Peptide           | Enzyme     | Genotype<sup>a</sup> | Labeled site | Charge  | m/z (unlabeled) | RT (min) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|----------|
| AAVPADWAVGDK      | KPC        | 1<sup>−</sup>95      | GLY(15N)     | 2       | 600.3064        | 15.4     |
| SQQQAGLDTTPR      | KPC        | 1<sup>−</sup>95 except for 13, 45, 59 | GLY(15N) | 2       | 713.8861        | 16.7     |
| LVVPSHEVGDASLLK   | IMP-1      | 1, 5, 7, 10, 28, 30, 34, 40, 42, 43, 52, 55, 60, 61, 66, 70, 73, 76, 77, 79, 81, 85, 88 | GLY(15N) | 2       | 825.9567        | 16.1     |
| VQATNSFGVNYWLK    | IMP-1      | 1, 3, 6, 10, 25, 30, 34, 40, 42, 52, 55, 60, 61, 66, 70, 76<sup>−</sup>80, 88 | GLY(15N) | 2       | 906.9676        | 22.9     |
| NSFGGVNYWLK       | IMP-4      | 4, 26, 38, 59, 89    | GLY(15N)     | 2       | 692.3564        | 22.6     |
| LDEGVYVHSTSFEENVGWGVPK | IMP | 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 15, 25, 28, 29, 34, 38, 51, 52, 60, 61, 62, 59, 70, 79, 81, 82, 85 | GLY(15N) | 3       | 821.0727        | 22.2     |
| LAAEGNEPTSHSLEGSSGDAVR | VIM | 1, 4, 5, etc. | GLY(15N) | 3       | 847.0805        | 16.3     |
| DGDELLLDTAWGWK    | VIM        | All except for 1, 13, 17, 21, 29, 60, 65, 78, 87 | GLY(15N) | 3       | 808.9120        | 26.7     |
| AFGAAFPK          | NDM        | 1<sup>−</sup>31     | GLY(15N)     | 2       | 404.7212        | 14.6     |
| NNGLTEAWLESSLKL   | OXA-1 family | 1, 4, 31, 47, 224, 320, 392, 534, 675(oxa-1 family) | GLY(15N) | 2       | 781.3965        | 22.3     |
| IIINHNLPVK        | OXA-1 family | 1, 4, 31, 47, 224, 320, 392, 534, 675(oxa-1 family) | VAL (13C5, 15N) | 3       | 349.8818        | 8.8      |
| ADIANNHPTQOTTLFELGVSJK | CMY-2 family | 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, etc. | GLY(15N) | 2       | 1185.108        | 19.5     |
| TLQQGIALAQSR      | CMY-2 family | 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, etc. | GLY(15N) | 3       | 429.2454        | 14.2     |
| QLTGHALGETQR      | CTX-M-9 group | 9, 13, 14, 17, 21, 19, 24, 65, 81, etc.  | GLY(15N) | 2       | 741.3834        | 15.1     |
| TEPTLNTAIPQGDPR   | CTX-M       | All ctx-m genotypes except for 10, 13, 19, 23, 38, 42, 52, 54, 58, 62, 74, 87, 93, 99, 117, 126, 144, 147, 151, 155, 157, 168, 204, 219, 212, 221 | GLY(15N) | 2       | 741.3834        | 15.1     |
| LIAQLQSGPGGVTAFAR | CTX-M-9 group | 9, 13, 14, 16, 21, 17, 19, 81, etc. | GLY(15N) | 2       | 764.4357        | 20.8     |
| APLILVTVFTQGPQPK  | CTX-M-1 group | 1, 3, 10, 12, 15, etc. | Ala (13C3, 15N) | 2       | 858.4902        | 26.8     |
| SDLVNYNPAEAK      | CTX-M-1 group | 1, 2, 5, 12, 15, etc. | Ala (13C3, 15N) | 2       | 681.8486        | 16.1     |
| VGYIELDLNSGK      | TEM         | All except for 60, 139, 178, 210 | GLY(15N) | 2       | 654.3457        | 19.56    |

<sup>a</sup> All the genotype-matching results are based on data obtained from the NCBI ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE GENE database. With an increase in data, the results will change. m/z, mass to charge ratio; RT, retention time.

---

**FIGURE 1** | Workflow for the detection of β-lactamases.

(CTX-M-1 group, 95%), and VGYIELDLNSGK (TEM, 74%). Peptide markers for KPC(AAVPADWAVGDK), IMP-1, VIM-1, and NDM showed 100% sensitivity. However, the positive sensitivities for SQQQAGLNDTPIR(KPC), NSFGGVNYWLK(IMP-4), LDEGVYVHSTSFEENVGWGVPK (IMP), NNGLTEAWLESSLKL(OXA-1), II NHNLVPK(OXA-1), ADIANNHPTQOTTLFELGVSJK(CMY-2), TLQQGIALAQSR(CMY-2), QLTGHALGETQR(CTX-M-9).
group), LIAQLGGPGGVTAFA(CTX-M-9 group), TEPTLN TAIPGDPR(CTX-M-9 group, CTX-M-1 group partial), APLLIVYTQPSQPK(CTX-M-1 group), SDLVNYNPIAEK (CTX-M-1 group partial), and VGYIELDLN(LSGK(TEM) were 98% (49/50), 58% (14/24), 92% (24/26), 78% (14/18), 78% (14/18), 27% (6/22), 82% (18/22), 39% (27/70), 81% (57/70), 98% (116/118), 98% (57/58), 76% (44/58), and 74% (67/90), respectively. Peptide values were calculated using the labeled peptides that are listed in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

For the past few decades, β-lactam antibiotics have been one of the first-choice drugs for the treatment of several serious infectious diseases caused by Gram-negative bacteria (Bush and Bradford, 2016). However, the increasing rate of drug-resistance in bacteria has far exceeded the rate of development of new antibiotics at present. The spread of β-lactamases is mainly attributed to the presence of β-lactamase genes on plasmids, and the unreasonable use of antibiotics. Therefore, a timely detection of the type of β-lactamases will promote appropriate clinical antibiotic choice and inhibit the spread of drug-resistant genes (Iovleva and Doi, 2017). With the development of HRAM Orbitrap MS and supporting quantitative methods, the application of detection approaches based on specific peptides has gradually received attention. Initially, shotgun proteomics was used for β-lactamase detection and the proteins were detected in a single run; however, this depends on the database and results in a poor accuracy (Fleurbaaij et al., 2017). Subsequently, quantitative proteomics methods such as SRM and MRM have been applied to β-lactamases analysis, and detection based on specific peptides has proved to be feasible (Wang et al., 2017). Using LC-MS/MS to detect β-lactamases is a more direct approach than using PCR or disk-diffusion methods, and it is less hindered by multiple problems such as false-positive results. However, for peptide detection, the preparation procedure of peptides must be optimized to reduce the time taken.

In our study, we developed a rapid preparation procedure based on a single colony, thereby omitting the amplification process. Isolating bacteria using agar plates is the first step for isolating organisms from all types of clinical samples. As long as colonies are acquired, the identification process may be initiated. The procedures involving the reduction and alkylation of sulfhydryl groups were also removed as they do not significantly affect the digestion of targeted peptides. Moreover, a simplified Filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) method was used to ensure digestion efficiency. Previous studies have confirmed that microwave treatment for short periods can effectively digest the targeted peptides (Strich et al., 2019). In our study, we tested...
PCR, polymerase chain reaction; MS, mass spectrometry.

**TABLE 3** | Intensity ratios and coefficients of variation of peptides.

| Enzyme | Peptide | CV (%) in 1 day | CV (%) in 3 different day |
|--------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|
| KPC    | AAAPADWAVGDK | 23 | 25 |
| KPC    | SQQQAGLLDTPIR | 12 | 12 |
| IMP-1  | LWPSHSEVGDASLLK | 8 | 11 |
| IMP-1  | VQATNSFSGVNYWLVK | 10 | 12 |
| IMP-4  | NDFGSVNYWLVK | 9 | 20 |
| IMP    | LDEGYVYHTSFEENVGWVVPK | 9/14 | 9/20 |
| VIM    | LAEAGNEIP'THESLEGLLSSGDAVR | 5 | 8 |
| VIM    | DGDELLDIAWAGK | 1 | 2 |
| NDM    | AFGAARPK | 14/27 | 23/10 |
| OXA-1 family | NINHTEAWLESSLK | 6/21 | 5/17 |
| OXA-1 family | INNHNLV PK | * | * |
| CMY-2 family | ADIANNHPVTQOTLFELESGSVK | 15 | 17 |
| CMY-2 family | TLQGIALAQSR | 13 | 14 |
| CTX-M-9 group | QLTLHGLAOETQ | 11 | 15 |
| CTX-M    | TEPTLNTAIPGDPR | 6/6/17 | 9/13/9 |
| CTX-M-9 group | LIACLGGPGGVTARAF | 15/16 | 14/24 |
| CTX-M-1 group | APLLVTFPTOPPK | 37/72 | 33/57 |
| CTX-M-1 group | SDLVNVNPAEK | 39/42 | 32/38 |
| TEM     | VQYIELDLNSGK | 3/6/13 | 11/5/4 |

CV, coefficient of variation; *IINHNLPVK was not detected in both samples.

different digestion conditions for our selected peptides. Results showed that all the targeted peptides could be detected even after microwave treatment for only 5 min, which can greatly reduce the digestion time. For the LC-MS/MS procedure, a 30 min LC method combined with a PRM targeted method was used to identify the peptides. PRM can enable identification of multiple peptides in a high resolution and high mass accuracy mode (Navin, 2015). In contrast with a previous PRM detection method, we used a lower resolution for labeled peptides and higher resolution for targeted peptides to reduce the scanning time and improve the MS/MS quality as the concentration of SIL was high (Stopfer et al., 2021). By optimizing the detection methods, we have obtained a series of peptides with varying properties. Overall, a 30–60 min preparation procedure, a 30 min LC-MS/MS procedure and a 10 min data processing were determined for the detection of β-lactamases.

For KPC, AAAPADWAVGDK and SQQQAGLLDTPIR were selected as peptides markers (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2); LAEGLAGVNGQ, LTILGSALAAPQR, and APIVLLAYTR were previously analyzed using Agilent 6540 Q-TOF (Wang et al., 2017). NALVPWSPISEK was detected for the first time but was not selected as a marker owing to its low dotp values. Both of the sensitivity and specificity of AAAPADWAVGDK was 100% as it exists in all the genotypes of blaKPC, indicating the possibility of becoming a peptide marker for KPC. But for SQQQAGLLDTPIR, the sensitivity was lower as the absence of blaKPC−45, and blaKPC−59. This study shows the first successful detection of IMP via LC-MS/MS. The results proved that LVVPSHSEVGDASLLK, VQATNSFSGVNYWLVK, and NSFGGVNYWLVK could effectively be used to identify IMP and distinguish the subtypes of blaIMP−1 and blaIMP−4. LDEGYVYHTSFEENVGWVVPK showed lower specificity for IMP detection. And for NSFGGVNYWLVK, it is inexplicable that the discrepant results were verified to be blaIMP−4 positive.
while the Skyline map fragments irons of the discrepant results was different from the positive ones. This is the first time this phenomenon has been observed, and it may be related to the fragmentation of the peptide. Notably, LVVPHSEAGDASLLK (IMP-4), whose A was replaced by V in IMP-1 (Δ14Da), was also detected and could be separated via high-resolution MS. LAAEIGEPHTSHSLEGSSGDVR and DGDELLIDTAWGAK were used to detect VIM-1, and both of them showed a better subtype coverage. However, as the lack of blavIM-1 strains collected, only 2 blavIM-1 positive strains were identified in 188 strains. With the continuous collection of blavIM-1 positive strains, the effectiveness of detection by peptide markers can be verified better in the future. AFGAAFPK was selected as the marker as it exists in all NDM subtypes currently listed by the NCBI, and was also detected via the MRM targeted method (Wang et al., 2019). When we re-analyzed the results of the targeted proteomics experiment, we found that unified screening rules might not have been suitable for all peptides. For many peptides such as LDGYVHTSFEEVNGWGVFPK (IMP), DGDELLIDTAWGAK (VIM-1), and TEPTLNTAIPGDPR (CTX-M-1 group partial), a rdotp value > 0.95 (Foudraine et al., 2019). Further studies are required to identify specific peptides for one group or the entire blaCTX-M group.

The dopt is a measure of similarity between the fragment ratio of the endogenous and library peptide. The rdotp is a measure of similarity between the fragment ratio of the endogenous and SIL peptide. The criterion for judging positivity by a previous study was rdotp value > 0.95 (Foudraine et al., 2019). Overall, directly identifying peptides via LC-MS/MS provides a new approach to detect β-lactamases. Our results showed the great potential of the rapid extraction and detection method in the detection of β-lactamases. Started by picking the colony, peptides were obtained by rapid ultrasonic lysis and rapid digestion (even in a microwave oven for 5 min), and then results were acquired by rapid LC-MS/MS analysis (30 min) and data processing. The application of targeted proteomics using single bacterial colonies and even blood samples in the future, will enable early clinical diagnostics and early treatment. However, there are several problems that need to be addressed. For example, at present, drug-resistant enzymes are named and classified based on gene sequence; however, the protein sequence is more decisive in determining function. Additionally, there is a lack of protein subtypes and classification based on amino acid sequences. Therefore, it is difficult to identify specific peptides for one group or one subtype. Variants are ubiquitous in β-lactamase enzymes such as blaCTX-M. The separation of variants with a similar m/z and RT through regular LC-MS/MS remains a large challenge.

In conclusion, the rapid and accurate identification of β-lactamases is of great significance to clinical diagnostics and treatment. This study describes a rapid extraction and detection workflow for widespread β-lactamases, including KPC, IMP,
VIM, NDM, OXA, CMY, CTX-M, and TEM using single colonies of Enterobacteriaceae strains. PRM targeted proteomics was proven to be a promising approach for the detection of drug-resistant enzymes.
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