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**Abstract.** Background/Aim: The aim of this study was to determine the value of Doppler indices and notching assessment of uterine artery between the 19th and 22nd week of gestation in the prediction of pregnancy outcome such as delivery mode, birth weight, Apgar score, afterbirth pH, fetal presentation, preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction in singleton pregnancy. Patients and Methods: This is a retrospective cohort study of Doppler ultrasound of the uterine arteries at 19-22 week of gestation in 1,472 women with singleton pregnancies. Results: Patients with bilateral high resistance-index (RI) and pulsatility-index (RI) or with the presence of a notch showed a significantly higher prevalence of small for gestational age (SGA) fetuses and intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), low Apgar Scores at the 1st and the 5th min, high c-section rate, preterm birth, breech birth, placental insufficiency and placental abruption. The presence of a notch significantly increased the prevalence of severe preeclampsia, HELLP-syndrome and oligohydramnios. Also, patients with a bilateral uterine notching had a higher c-section rate along with higher prevalence of SGA and IUGR at screening time. Conclusion: Uterine artery Doppler waveform analysis as well as the assessment of the presence of a notch in the second trimester can be used as a screening method to identify women who will thereafter develop a severe adverse outcome.
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During pregnancy, the blood supply to the uterus is enhanced and both uterine arteries are dilated to their maximum to optimize the blood flow up to 500-750 ml/min around the due date. At that time, it represents approximately 10-15% of the maternal cardiac output (1). It is in fact the result of the physiological reduction in systemic vascular resistance as well as a reduction in blood viscosity (2, 3). Abnormal uterine waveforms or the persistence of a diastolic notch beyond 24 weeks of gestation are associated with secondarily inadequate trophoblast invasion of the spiral arteries (4, 5). The inadequate uteroplacental formation has been implicated in the pathophysiology of multiple pregnancy-associated pathologies such as pre-eclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction or small for gestational age (SGA) fetuses.

A great number of Doppler studies in the last 25-30 years have confirmed the association between the increased blood flow resistance in the uterine arteries and a higher risk of the consequent development of pre-eclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction or SGA (3, 6, 7). These conditions are still major causes for perinatal maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality and affect approximately 4-10% of all pregnancies (3).

In this study, we tried to evaluate if the uterine artery Doppler waveform analysis as well as the assessment of the presence of a notch in the second trimester in unselected women with singleton pregnancies correlates with significant differences in common pregnancy outcomes as well as the development of several pathologies.

**Patients and Methods**

The Doppler ultrasound of the uterine arteries at 19-22 weeks of gestation was examined in women with singleton pregnancies. The ultrasound screening was performed in 1,472 women during a routine target scan in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the University of Cologne between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2017.

The sonographers, three experienced gynecologists with the DEGUM II qualification, performed the examination of the Doppler
of the uterine arteries between 19 and 22 weeks of pregnancy using a curvilinear probe. The uterine arteries are a measure of the uteroplacental flow and a branch of the anterior division of the internal iliac artery. Doppler examinations of this vessels were performed transabdominally. The probe was placed longitudinally in the lower lateral quadrant of the abdomen, angled medially and using color flow mapping to identify the uterine artery as it is seen crossing the external iliac artery. The sample volume was placed 1 cm downstream from this crossover point. The same process was repeated for the contralateral uterine artery (8).

A Resistance-index (RI) and pulsatility-index (PI) were calculated from each uterine artery and the presence or absence of a notch was determined. A PI or RI > 90%-Interval or the presence of any diastolic notch were defined as pathological and were compared regarding multiple adverse pregnancy outcomes with the control group (9).

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS 24 Software. The Chi² Test and one-way ANOVA were used to compare variable outcomes in the four different patient groups (control group, pathological Doppler unilaterally, bilateral pathological Doppler, uni- and bilateral presence of a notch). A p-value below 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

During a period of 10 years, 1472 women with singleton pregnancies were examined. A total of 802 (54.5%) women had normal Doppler indices, 396 (26.9%) women unilaterally abnormal PI or RI, for 151 (10.3%) women PI or RI were pathological for both uterine arteries, for 64 (4.4%) women the presence of a notch was unilaterally and for 59 women (4.0%) it was observed on both sides. Patients’ repartition is demonstrated in Table I. The study showed no significant differences in all four groups regarding maternal age as well as the development of placenta previa, polyhydramnios, amniotic infection syndrome, fetal death, CTG abnormalities, mild pre-eclampsia and shoulder dystocia. There were 16 (1.1%) cases of severe pre-eclampsia and 5 (0.3%) cases of diagnosed HELLP syndrome. There were 46 cases of placental insufficiency, 26 cases with oligohydramnios, 203 cases with SGA and 80 with intrauterine growth restriction (10). In this study, we defined as SGA neonates with a birthweight below the 10th percentile and intrauterine grow restriction as a birthweight for gestational age below the 3rd percentile. The prevalence of placental insufficiency, oligohydramnios, SGA and intrauterine grow restriction was 3.1%, 1.8%, 13.8%, 5.4% respectively. Further, we observed 8 (0.5%) cases of placental abruption. We were able to determine that patients with bilateral high PI or RI or the presence of a notch showed a significantly higher prevalence of SGA (p<0.001) and IUGR (p<0.001) at birth. Around 14.8% of the neonates born with SGA and 16.3% with IUGR presented pathological Doppler indices on both uterine arteries and 27.1% SGA children and 41.3 % with IUGR showed the presence of a notch (p<0.001). We also observed that the presence of a bilateral notch significantly increased the risk of developing SGA (64.4% vs. 26.6% with a unilateral notch/p<0.001) or IUGR (49.2% vs. 6.3% with a unilateral notch, p<0.001) (Table II, Figure 1).

Patients with a pathologically high PI or RI on both sides or with the presence of a notch also showed lower Apgar Score after the 1st and the 5th min (p<0.001). The group with a notch recovered 10 min after birth, whereas the group with bilateral pathological Doppler indices still presented lower Apgar scores. Concerning the Apgar Scores as well as acidosis at birth, the study revealed that patients with a unilateral notch had higher Apgar Score for all three scores (p<0.001) and a higher prevalence of normal afterbirth pH (64.1% vs. 45.8% with a bilateral notch, p=0.012) compared to the patients presenting a notch on both arteries (Figures 2 and 3).

Additionally, the data analysis disclosed that the patient groups with the presence of a notch and with a bilateral PI or RI >90%-Interval had a higher rate of c-section (65.8% and 54.3%, respectively, p=0.023), preterm birth before the 32 weeks of gestation (26.8% and 16.6% respectively, before 28 weeks of gestation as well as 13.8% and 7.3% between 28-32 weeks of gestation, p<0.001), breech birth (21.1% and 16.6%, respectively, p<0.001), placental insufficiency (12.2% and 4.0%, respectively, p<0.001) and placental abruption (2.4% and 1.3%, respectively, p=0.008). The prevalence of SGA (respectively 26.0% and 7.9%, p<0.001) and IUGR (17.1% and 5.3%, respectively, p<0.001) at the time of screening in these patient groups was significantly superior to the prevalence in the other groups (Figures 4 and 5).

This study also showed that the presence of a notch significantly increased the prevalence of severe preeclampsia (4% vs. 0.6-1.3% for the other patient groups, p=0.008), HELLP-syndrome (2.4% vs. 0.0-0.2% for the other groups, p=0.005) and oligohydramnios (5.7% vs. 0.7-1.5% for the other patient groups, p=0.004).

Bilateral uterine notching showed a higher prevalence to develop oligohydramnios (6.8% vs. 4.7% with a unilateral notch, p=0.002), placental abruption (3.4% vs. 1.6% with a unilateral notch, p=0.004), placental insufficiency (23.7% vs. 1.6% with a unilateral notch, p<0.001), severe preeclampsia (5.1% vs. 3.1% with a unilateral notch, p=0.002), HELLP

| Table I. The study population. | N (1472) | % |
|-------------------------------|---------|---|
| Normal doppler               | 802     | 54.5 |
| Unilaterally abnormal PI or RI | 396     | 26.9 |
| Bilaterally abnormal PI or RI | 151     | 10.3 |
| Unilaterally notch           | 64      | 4.3  |
| Bilaterally notch            | 59      | 4.0  |
in the other groups.

screening time was significantly superior to the prevalence (42.4% vs. 0.0% with a unilateral notch, \( p < 0.001 \)), breech birth (32.2% vs. 10.9% with a unilateral notch, \( p = 0.010 \)), as well as preterm birth before 32 weeks of gestation (45.8% vs. 9.4% with a unilateral notch before 28 weeks of gestation and 16.9% vs. 10.9% with a unilateral notch between 28-32 weeks of gestation, \( p < 0.001 \)) compared to patients presenting an unilateral notch of the uterine arteries. The analysis also revealed that patients presenting a bilateral notching had a higher c-section rate (78.0% vs. 4.6% with a unilateral notch, \( p = 0.001 \)). Finally, the prevalence of SGA (42.4% vs. 10.9% with a unilateral notch, \( p = 0.001 \)) and IUGR (32.2% vs. 3.1% with a unilateral notch, \( p < 0.001 \)) at screening time was significantly superior to the prevalence in the other groups.

Discussion

The data analysis in this study indicated that abnormal uterine Doppler indices and the presence of a notch between the 19th and 22nd weeks of gestation in normal singleton pregnancies is a risk factor of developing adverse maternal and fetal outcomes such as fetal growth restriction, SGA, severe preeclampsia, HELLP, oligohydramnios placental insufficiency and placental abruption. These findings are compatible with previous studies of uterine arteries Doppler screening and the combined screening including maternal characteristics and history (3, 5, 11-21). Although the identification of high-risk pregnancies in the second trimester could not yet improve maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality as demonstrated in several studies in the past 20 years, the administration of a daily low dose of aspirin starting before the 16th week of gestation has shown significant reduction in the prevalence of these complications (3, 11, 22-25).

Moreover, the study revealed that women presenting a bilateral uterine notching had higher maternal and fetal morbidity compared to women presenting only a unilateral uterine notching, which corroborates numerous studies researching second trimester screening methods to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes (5, 13, 26, 27).

Indeed, the pathophysiology of uterine artery notching has not been completely examined. An explanation for the presence of uterine artery notching is still discussed and different interpretations have been proposed, such as an increased compliance of the arterial wall or uterine artery endothelial cell dysfunction (13, 28, 29).

The major strengths of this retrospective study are the large number of pregnancy scans during routine second trimester screenings and the fact that it was conducted by experienced gynecologists with years of experience.

One of the challenges of the study is the inconsistent definition in literature of uterine growth restriction (in our study a weight under the 3rd percentile) and SGA (weight under the 10th percentile).
The assessment of uterine Doppler indices as a non-invasive screening method should help us identify high-risk pregnancies and adapt the clinical management toward a more patient-specific practice (26). The identification of a high-risk group of women enables to determine the best time and place for delivery and therefore reduces maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality (11, 15, 26). Also, a risk stratification recommending a closer monitoring of these pregnancies or an extended 1st trimester screening combining multiple maternal and fetal factors may not only permit the identification, but also the reduction of the prevalence of such adverse pregnancy outcomes (11, 12, 16, 19, 21, 23, 25, 30, 31).

**Conclusion**

Pathological Doppler indices on both uterine arteries meaning bilateral PI or RI > 90%-Interval or the presence of a notch between the 19th and 22th week of pregnancy represent a risk of developing severe adverse outcomes, such as preterm birth before 32 weeks of gestation, fetal growth restriction, severe pre-eclampsia, HELLP, oligohydramnios, placental insufficiency and placental abruption.

Moreover, the study revealed that especially patients with a bilateral notch showed a higher prevalence to develop these severe adverse outcomes. These indices should be used in the clinical practice to identify the high-risk women. They should be reevaluated during a third-trimester screening to improve monitoring and the clinical management and reduce maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality.
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