Rice Cultivation under Drip Irrigation with Plastic Film Mulch in the Kanto Area of Japan
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Abstract A drip irrigation system with plastic mulch film was evaluated in terms of growth, yield, and water productivity (WP) using three leading paddy rice cultivars (Japonica) in the Kanto area of Japan. A cultivation with drip irrigation and plastic film mulch in the upland field (DI) and continuous flooding cultivation in a paddy field (CF) were conducted in 2015 (DI) and 2016 (DI and CF). The amounts of irrigation and total water supply (irrigation and precipitation) were 715 mm, 599 mm, and 905 mm and 1620 mm, 1379 mm, and 1687 mm for DI in 2015, DI in 2016, and CF in 2016, respectively. The percentages of irrigation for DI in 2015 and 2016 compared to those of CF were 79% and 66%, respectively. The grain yields in 2015 were higher than those in 2016 for DI. The DI in 2016 showed significantly lower grain yields compared to those of CF, representing 74% to 85% of the CF which were attributed to lower leaf area indexes in DI. There was no significant difference in WP between DI and CF, between years and among cultivars, ranging from 0.25 to 0.30 kg m⁻³, showing an offset of the reduction in irrigation water by lower yields in DI. The rice cultivation system under drip irrigation with plastic film mulch showed a large water-saving effect, no physiological damage due to water stress and a slight reduction of grain yield compared to that of the paddy field.
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1. Introduction

As human populations and economies grow, it has become evident in the last few decades that freshwater scarcity is becoming a threat to the sustainable development of human society [1]. It is, therefore, crucial to develop agronomic practices with the potential to reduce water use without reducing agricultural productivity [2]. Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a staple food for more than 50% of the world’s population, including regions of high population density and rapid growth [3]. The conventional cultivation system of rice is to flood and maintain water in the field, which requires a large amount of water because of water loss through evaporation and percolation.

Several practices are designed to reduce water use and increase water use efficiency in rice cultivation, including saturated soil culture (SSC), alternate wetting and drying (AWD), aerobic rice (AR) and drip irrigation (DI). These systems have also the possibility to reduce global warming potential (GWP), especially that from rice paddy methane emissions, which have been estimated to contribute 15%-26% of the total global anthropogenic emissions.
emissions[4].

In the SSC system, the soil is kept saturated or at slightly below saturation by furrow irrigation between raised beds, with the water level in the furrows ranging between 5 and 30 cm. Borrell et al.[5] and Tabbal et al.[6] reported that SSC saved 32% and 31% to 58% of water compared to conventional flooded systems with small yield reduction, respectively. AWD is an irrigation practice introducing unsaturated soil conditions during the growing season. Bouman and Tuong[7] reported that AWD reduced water inputs by 23% compared to that of a continuously flooded rice system. In the AR system, specially adapted input-responsive AR varieties are grown under dryland conditions with or without supplemental irrigation[8]. This system could reduce water inputs by 30%–50% with a 20%–30% reduction in yield compared to that in flood systems.

The DI system is defined as the application of water through point or line sources on or below the soil surface at a small operating pressure and a low discharge rate, resulting in partial wetting of the soil surface[9]. This system can apply water both precisely and uniformly at a high irrigation frequency compared to that of furrow and sprinkler irrigation, thus potentially increasing yield, reducing subsurface drainage, and providing better salinity control[10]. The DI system has been adopted widely in cotton cultivation[11][12]. Cetin and Bilgel[13] reported that cotton yield and water use efficiency in DI were higher than those in furrow and sprinkler irrigation.

There are also several reports on soybean cultivation using DI showing high water productivities and yields[14]-[17]. In rice, DI system has begun in India[18]-[20] and China[21]-[23], showing high WP and almost similar and/or higher grain yield compared to those of the continuous flood system. However, these trials have just begun and are not established yet. It seems that several problems would remain, including fertilization methods, proper amount and timing of water supply, optimal planting density, and proper cultivars. The growth of the rice plant and its management would also depend on the climatic and soil conditions. It is therefore important to establish water-saving and environmentally friendly cultivation systems for rice under specific climatic and soil conditions. In the Kanto area of Japan, the annual precipitation is sufficient for paddy rice cultivation (around 1500 mm), which has been established completely. However, environmentally friendly cultivation systems in rice should be evaluated properly, and established to provide protection against the changes caused by global warming, even in this area. In this experiment, we intended to assess a rice cultivation system with DI and plastic mulch films in the Kanto area of Japan for two years. We appraised this system in terms of growth, yield, and WP using leading paddy rice cultivars (Japonica) in the Kanto area of Japan.

2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of Faculty of Horticulture, Chiba University in 2015 and 2016. Three rice cultivars (Oryza sativa L., Japonica type), ‘Koshihikari’, ‘Fusao tome’, and ‘Fusakogane’, were used. The experimental fields consisted of an upland field with drip irrigation and plastic film mulch (DI) in 2015 and 2016 and a paddy field with continuous flooding (CF) in 2016. In the previous season of 2015, rye was grown in the DI plot, and hairy vetch (Vicia villosa Roth) was grown in the previous season of 2016. The CF area was divided into nine concrete framed plots (a plot size was $3.0 \times 2.5$ m and 80 cm in depth) filled with the same surface soil (about 20 cm in depth) as that for DI just before the growing season of 2016. One plot of the upland and paddy fields consisted of four and two beds, with four lines covered with a black polyethylene mulch film, respectively (Figure. 1). The polyethylene mulch was removed from the paddy field at the fourth leaf stage when water was flooded, and remained for DI during the growing season. A drip tube with a flow of 0.13 L min$^{-1}$ m$^{-1}$ (Uni-Ram CN17, Sumika Agricultural Materials Ltd.) was set between two lines. Seeds were coated by a fungicide (Benomy l) before seeding. Five seeds per hill were sown by hand at 5 cm distance between hills on May 11 in 2015 and June 1 in 2016, respectively. The planting densities were 50.0 and 66.7 hills m$^{-2}$ for 2015 and 2016, respectively. A combination of N, P$_2$O$_5$, and K$_2$O was applied at a ratio of 40:40:40 kg ha$^{-1}$, respectively, before planting. Additional nitrogen fertilizer (20 kg ha$^{-1}$ as urea solution) was sprayed onto the foliage on the 78th and 71st days after sowing (DAS) in 2015 and 2016, respectively. Irrigation was performed for 1–2 h when soil moisture content monitored by a soil moisture content meter (DIK-321A, Daiki Rika Kogyo Co., Ltd., Kounosu, Japan) was below 80% and 70% during the early growing and flowering stages and below 70% and 60% during the other stages in 2015 and 2016, respectively. In CF, 4–5 cm of the irrigation water was maintained above the ground surface after the fourth leaf stage until two weeks before harvest. Weeds were removed by hand as necessary. No fungicide and pesticide were applied due to no marked symptom of diseases and insects during the growing seasons except the fungicide at seeding.

The experimental plots were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications in 2015, and a split-plot design with three replications in 2016. In 2016, the main and subplots consisted of two field treatments (ID and CF) and three cultivars, respectively. In this experiment, statistical comparisons were made between DI in 2015 and 2016, and between DI and CF in 2016.

From the fourth leaf stage, the plant height, tiller number, leaf number, and SPAD value were measured at one-week intervals, and the dry matter weights of each organ and leaf area were measured at two-week intervals.
for 10 plants. On July 28 and September 1 in 2016, the CO₂ assimilation rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance for the three uppermost full expanding leaves per treatment and cultivar were measured using a photosynthetic meter (LI-6400, LI-COR Co., Ltd., Lincoln, USA) from 1000 to 1400 h. On the same days, the actual quantum yield of photosystem II (ΔF/ΔFm') and maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) for the three uppermost full expanding leaves per treatment and cultivar were measured using a chlorophyll fluorescence meter (PAM-2000, Walz Co., Ltd., Effeltrich, Germany). The measurements of ΔF/ΔFm' and Fv/Fm were performed from 1000 to 1400 h and 2100 to 2200 h, respectively.

Figure 1. Mean air temperature and precipitation in 2015 and 2016

At maturity, 80 hills per plot were harvested to determine the grain yield and yield components, including the numbers of panicles and spikelets per panicle, percentage filled grains, and grain weight. Filled grains were defined as having a specific gravity ≥ 1.06 g cm⁻³. The unhusked grain yield and grain weight were expressed at 14% moisture content.

The meteorological data used, including mean air temperature and precipitation, were collected in Funabashi (about 10 km distance from the experimental site) by the Japan Meteorological Agency.

3. Results

3.1. Weather Conditions and the Amount of Water Supplied

The mean air temperature in 2015 was low from June to early July owing to continuous rainy days, and increased drastically in the middle of July. The high air temperature continued for one month, then decreased rapidly after the middle of August compared to that in an average year (Figure 1). In 2016, the mean air temperature change was lower with time compared to that in the average year during the growing season but was higher after September.

The precipitation in 2015 was low from May to June, and increased in early July. From the middle of July to early August, the amount of precipitation was low but increased remarkably in early September. In 2016, the precipitation was relatively higher than that in 2015 during the period from May to June. The amount of precipitation change was lower from July to early August compared to that in 2015. After the middle of August, the amount of precipitation was relatively high.

Figure 2 shows the amount of irrigation for DI in 2015 and 2016 and CF in 2016. The amount of precipitation during the growing season was 905 mm and 780 mm for 2015 and 2016, respectively. The amounts of irrigation were 715 mm, 599 mm, and 905 mm for DI in 2015, DI in 2016 and CF in 2016, respectively. The total water supply (irrigation and precipitation) for DI in 2015 and 2016 and CF in 2016 were 1620 mm, 1379 mm, and 1687 mm, respectively. The percentages of the total water supply used for DI in 2015 and 2016 compared to those used for CF were 96% and 81%, respectively. The percentages of irrigation used for DI in 2015 and 2016 compared to those used for CF were 79% and 66%, respectively.
3.2. Plant Height, Tiller Number, SPAD Value, and Leaf Area Index (LAI)

The plant height in Koshihikari was higher than those in Fusaotome and Fusakogane (Figure 3). The plant height for CF in Koshihikari was higher than those for DI. The difference between them was approximately 10–20 cm in the later growing season. The plant height reached the maximum at around 100 DAS for every treatment. The plant height in CF was also higher for Fusaotome than in DI. For the upland field in 2016, however, the plant height was similar to that in CF in the late growing season. Fusakogane showed a similar tendency to Fusaotome; the plant height in CF was higher than that in DI.

The tiller number per hill was higher for DI in 2015 compared to that in 2016 and the paddy field, especially for Koshihikari, due to the low planting density in 2015. In 2016, CF had higher values than DI for every cultivar.

The SPAD values for DI in 2016 were lower in Koshihikari, though it recovered slightly after topdressing with nitrogen (Figure 4). DI in 2016 showed similar values to those in CF in Koshihikari. DI in both years had higher values than CF in the former half of the growing season, then decreased for DI in 2016. The SPAD values for DI in 2015 were kept high throughout the growing season in Fusakogane. CF and DI in 2016 showed relatively low values in the former half of the growing season, then increased and decreased their values, respectively.
Bars indicate standard deviations.

○: upland field with drip irrigation in 2015, ●: upland field with drip irrigation in 2016 and ▲: paddy field with continuous flooding in 2016.

Figure 5. Changes with time in leaf area index (LAI).

Figure 5 shows changes in LAI for two years in the upland and paddy fields. For Koshihikari, LAI in CF was always higher than those in DI for both years. The maximum LAI was attained at 79 DAS for CF, being 6.1. In contrast, the LAI for DI in 2015 was always lower than in the other treatments. The LAIs for DI in 2016 were higher and lower than those for DI in 2015 and CF in 2016, respectively. The maximum value was attained in the later growing season at 107 DAS. Fusaotome had lower LAIs than did Koshihikari, with around 4 being the maximum value. Although the LAI for CF was higher than that for DI in the early growing season, the LAI of DI in 2016 was similar to that of CF. The LAI of DI in 2015 was lowest during the growing season. The LAI of CF in Fusakogane was higher than those of DI in the first half of the growing season. The LAI of DI in 2016 increased to a similar value to those of CF. The maximum LAI was attained at the later growing season for every treatment.

3.3. Photosynthesis and Its Related Characteristics

There was no significant difference between DI and CF in CO₂ assimilation rates on July 28; although there was a trend, the values were slightly higher in CF (Table 1). No varietal differences were found in the CO₂ assimilation rates of both fields. The stomatal conductance and transpiration rates in CF were significantly higher than those in DI. Although there was no significant varietal difference among the cultivars in the stomatal conductance of DI and the transpiration rate of both fields, Fusaotome and Koshihikari had higher stomatal conductances than did Fusakogane in CF. The stomatal conductance in CF was significantly higher than that in DI. Varietal differences among the cultivars were found only in DI. The transpiration rate was also significantly higher in CF. There was no significant difference between the fields and among the cultivars with regard to the photochemical reaction measurements ΔF/Fₘ' and Fₐ/Fₘ. ΔF/Fₘ' and Fₐ/Fₘ showed around 0.65 and 0.80 for all cultivars of both fields, respectively. The leaf water potential (LWP) in CF was significantly higher than that in DI. There was no significant difference among the cultivars in LWP in both DI and CF.
### Table 1. Characteristics related with photosynthesis and leaf water potential on July 28 and September 1, 2016

| Cultivar     | CO₂ assimilation rate (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | Stomatal conductance (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | Transpiration rate (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | Actual quantum yield of PSII | Maximum quantum yield of PSII | Leaf water potential (MPa) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|              | DI                                    | CF                                   | DI                                | CF                            | DI                            | CF                          | DI                            | CF      |
| Koshihikari  | 16.6                                 | 17.8                                 | 149                               | 309a                          | 2.48                          | 3.00                        | 0.635                         | 0.678   |
|              | Fusaotome                            | 16.3                                 | 18.4                              | 141                           | 323a                          | 2.45                        | 3.51                          | 0.665   | 0.682   | 0.792 | 0.817 | -2.25 | -2.03 |
|              | Fusakogane                           | 16.9                                 | 17.8                              | 153                           | 283b                          | 2.54                        | 3.04                          | 0.657   | 0.666   | 0.805 | 0.811 | -2.23 | -2.00 |
| Significance between fields: | ns                                    | **                                   | *                                 | ns                            | ns                            | **                         |
| September 1  | Koshihikari                          | 17.7                                 | 20.1                              | 250ab                         | 352                           | 4.08a                       | 3.71b                         | 0.656   | 0.665b  | 0.792 | 0.804 | -2.38b| -2.15 |
|              | Fusaotome                           | 16.9                                 | 19.4                              | 253a                          | 354                           | 3.87b                       | 3.94a                         | 0.659   | 0.661b  | 0.793 | 0.795 | -2.31b| -2.08 |
|              | Fusakogane                          | 16.4                                 | 19.4                              | 229b                          | 326                           | 3.95ab                      | 3.61c                         | 0.659   | 0.685a  | 0.796 | 0.802 | -2.18a| -1.97 |
| Significance between fields: | **                                   | **                                   | **                               | ns                            | ns                            | ns                         |

DI and CF indicate upland field with drip irrigation and paddy field with continuous flooding, respectively.

*, ** and ns indicate 5%, 1% levels of significance and no significance, respectively.

Values in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

### Table 2. Yield, yield components and water productivity

| Cultivar     | Panicle number (m⁻²) | Grain number per panicle⁻¹ | Ripening percentage (%) | 1000 grain weight (g) | Grain yield (g m⁻²) | Water productivity (kg m⁻²) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
|              | DI¹                  | DI²                      | CF                      | DI¹                  | DI²                  | CF                          | DI¹                  | DI²      | CF    | DI¹ | DI² | CF        | DI¹ | DI² | CF        | DI¹ | DI² | CF        |
| Koshihikari  | 440                  | 387                      | 414                     | 76.3a                | 82.4                | 80.7                        | 58.1b                | 60.6b        | 65.6   | 21.5b | 22.3c       | 23.3   | 468  | 378        | 479  | 0.29 | 0.25       | 0.26 |
|              | Fusaotome            | 445                      | 323                     | 371                  | 62.3b               | 69.6                        | 83.4                | 78.2a        | 77.6a   | 75.5   | 21.3b | 23.3a       | 24.1   | 472  | 392        | 530  | 0.29 | 0.26       | 0.29 |
|              | Fusakogane           | 435                      | 366                     | 388                  | 64.6ab              | 65.6                        | 69.2                | 79.9a        | 74.2a   | 73.9   | 22.3a | 22.7b       | 23.8   | 484  | 391        | 459  | 0.30 | 0.26       | 0.25 |
| Significance between years: | *                       | *                        | ns                      | *                    | *                   | ns                         |
|                |                      |                          |                          |                      |                     |                             |                      |                     | ns    | ns    | ns    | ns    |

DI¹, DI² and CF indicate upland field with drip irrigation in 2015, upland field with drip irrigation system in 2016 and paddy field with continuous flooding, respectively.

*, ns indicate 5% level of significance and no significance, respectively.

Values in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05.
On September 1, CF showed higher CO₂ assimilation rates than did DI. There was no significant difference among the cultivars in both fields. The transpiration rate was also higher in CF. Koshihikari and Fusaotome had the highest transpiration rates in DI and CF, respectively. There was no significant difference between DI and CF, and among the cultivars in ΔF/Fₘₐ′ and Fᵥ/Fₘ, being around 0.66 and 0.80, respectively. The leaf water potential (LWP) in CF was significantly higher than that in DI. Fusakogane had the highest LWP in DI, though there was no varietal difference in CF.

3.4. Yield, Yield Components, and Water Productivity (WP)

Panicle numbers of DI in 2015 were the highest among the three treatments (Table 2). DI in 2015 showed higher values than those in 2016. However, DI in 2016 showed lower values than those in CF in 2016. There was no significant difference in grain number per panicle between DI and CF in 2016, although there was a significant difference between the two years for DI, showing higher values in 2016. For the ripening percentage, there was no significant difference between two years and between DI and CF, showing relatively lower values. Grain weight in DI in 2016 was significantly higher than that in 2015. CF had higher values than did DI in 2016. The grain yields in 2015 were higher than those in 2016 for DI. In 2016, DI showed significantly lower values than did CF, representing 79%, 74%, and 85% of the CI for Koshihikari, Fusaotome, and Fusakogane, respectively. The highest value in DI was obtained by Fusakogane in 2015, showing 484 g m⁻². There were no significant differences in WP between DI and CF, between years, nor among cultivars, ranging from 0.25 to 0.30 kg m⁻³, showing an offset of the reduction in irrigation water by lower yields in DI.

4. Discussion

In this experiment, grain yields with more than 400 g m⁻² and 300 g m⁻² were obtained in 2015 and 2016 for DI respectively, which were slightly lower than that of CF in 2016. From the viewpoint of yield components, the smaller numbers of tillers in DI for the three cultivars were one of the main reasons for the lower yield in DI compared to that in CF. It was reported that the tiller numbers under lower soil moisture conditions were lower than those in the flood irrigation system[24] and those under a higher soil moisture condition[25]. Dou et al.[26] also showed that the panicle numbers in aerobic and saturated soil conditions were smaller than those in the flood irrigation system. The smaller number of tillers would also lead to lower LAIs in DI. Almost LAIs in DI were lower than those in CF during the growing seasons. Yoshida[27] reported that the crop growth rate reached a maximum at an LAI of about 6 for an erect type variety (IR8). Sinclair and Sheehy[28] suggested that a benefit of erect leaves in light interception would obtain with LAI greater than 4.2. The LAIs of DI, especially in 2015, were less than 4. This would be another reason for a relatively lower yield in DI compared to that in CF. However, this disadvantage in DI could be overcome, and it would be possible to increase LAIs near the optimum LAI by modifying the planting populations and patterns in DI, e.g., the distances between mulches and/or rows and mulch sizes, since there were still uncovered areas by leaves in DI and DI showed a higher lodging resistance than did CF.

In general, 1200 mm to 1500 mm of irrigation is required for a paddy field during the growing season in Japan. It was reported that a direct seeding cultivation method needed more than 1200 mm of irrigation for a paddy field in Chiba Prefecture[31]. In this experiment, the amounts of irrigation were 715 mm, 599 mm, and 905 mm for DI in 2015, DI in 2016, and CF in 2016, respectively, which were very lower than the general values in Japan and those of a previous report from Chiba Prefecture. The paddy field used in this experiment was made of concrete flamed blocks. The water percolation from the bottom and sides of the concrete flames to the soil must be less than that in ordinary paddy fields. There is a possibility that the amount of irrigation could be underestimated for CF in 2016. Therefore, this cultivation method could use approximately 50% less irrigation than the ordinary cultivation method for a paddy field in Japan. Otherwise, there was no difference in WP between CF and DI in 2016. The reasons for this insignificance might be caused by the underestimation of irrigation for CF due to less water percolation to the ground, the large amount of precipitation in both years, and the relatively lower yield in DI than that in CF. Kamoshita et al.[32] reported that the WP in CF and the non-flooded condition with transplanting varied from 0.077 to 0.117 kg m⁻³ and 0.147 to 0.183 kg m⁻³, respectively in Tokyo, the Kanto area of Japan. The WP values obtained in this experiment were higher than their records, showing the advantages of DI in
terms of WP compared to other non-flooded or flooded conditions in the Kanto area of Japan. Tuong et al.[33] summarized the WP of rice in Asia. The most frequently recorded WP was from 0.2 to 0.4 kg m$^{-3}$, including India, with local varieties on light soils and a deep groundwater table, while higher values of more than 1.0 kg m$^{-3}$ were recorded in China with hybrid rice cultivars on clay soil and a shallow groundwater table. As a comparison with their review of WPs, the WP values obtained in the Kanto area of Japan, including those from both the study of Kamoshita and this experiment, might be underestimated because of the large amount of precipitation in spite of a relatively high yield.

Some experiments showed higher grain yields in AWD using transplanted rice and high-yielding cultivars, including a hybrid[34] in a ground cover rice production system (GCRPS) using transplanting[35], which applied more than twice as much nitrogen as this experiment. In contrast, the grain yields in DI obtained in this experiment using direct seeding are comparable with the other water-saving cultivations reported by Ramulu et al.[36] in aerobic cultivation with DI, Jabran et al.[37] using mulching, and Rao et al.[38] in a system of rice intensification. Luo[39] reported that water-saving and drought-tolerant rice varieties have been bred in China; otherwise, this cultivation system shows that it is possible to obtain comparable yields using not specific drought-tolerant cultivars, but commercial cultivars. The used cultivars in this experiment are not particularly drought tolerant. Koshihikari has been the most popular cultivar for paddy fields in Japan for the past four decades, and Fusaotome and Fusakogane are also popular. This cultivation system showed the potential for such commercial paddy cultivars to obtain similar yields to those observed under paddy field conditions in addition to saving a significant amount of water. Therefore, genotypes with suitable traits could be found for this cultivation system, further high yields and water productivities would be expected.

This cultivation system has other possibilities, including no need to bring a soil surface to a level as a paddy field, and easy management for cultivation. Frequent liquid fertigation via drip tubes would be also effective in sterile soil conditions such as marginal areas of deserts, where the soil doesn't have a preservation ability of nutrients and water. In addition, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, including those of CH$_4$, N$_2$O, and CO$_2$ is one of these potentials. Fawibe et al.[40] reported that GHG emissions in DI was 2.2% in CF. Therefore, this cultivation system is an effective system for not only saving water but also preventing global warming.

5. Conclusions

The rice cultivation system under drip irrigation with plastic film mulch showed a large water-saving effect, no physiological damage due to water stress and a slight reduction of grain yield compared to that of the paddy field. This cultivation system must be one of the promising systems for saving water and preventing global warming, although there is room for improving cultivation methods including planting density.
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