The Golgi-localized sphingosine-1-phosphate phosphatase is indispensable for *Leishmania major*
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Sphingosine-1-phosphate phosphatase (SPP) catalyzes the dephosphorylation of sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) into sphingosine, the reverse reaction of sphingosine kinase. In mammals, S1P acts as a potent bioactive molecule regulating cell proliferation, migration, and immunity. In *Leishmania*, S1P production is crucial for the synthesis of ethanolamine and choline phospholipids, and cell survival under stress conditions. To better understand the roles of S1P, we characterized a SPP ortholog in *Leishmania major* which displays activity towards S1P but not structurally related lipids such as ceramide-1-phosphate or lysophosphatidic acid. While this enzyme is found in the endoplasmic reticulum in mammalian cells, *L. major* SPP is localized at the Golgi apparatus. Importantly, chromosomal SPP alleles cannot be deleted from *L. major* even with the addition of a complementing episome, suggesting that endogenously expressed SPP is essential. Finally, SPP overexpression in *L. major* leads to a slower growth rate and heightened sensitivity to brefeldin A and sodium orthovanadate. Together, these results suggest that the equilibrium between S1P and sphingosine is vital for the function of Golgi apparatus in *Leishmania*.

Trypanosomatid parasites of the genus *Leishmania* are the causative agents for leishmaniasis, which can manifest from self-limiting skin ulcers to disfiguring mucocutaneous lesions and life-threatening visceral infections. Leishmaniasis is transmitted through the bite of sandflies in the tropical and subtropical regions, mostly afflicting the poor, and is classified as a neglected tropical disease. *Leishmania* parasites alternate between extracellular flagellated promastigotes in sandflies and intracellular non-flagellated amastigotes in macrophages. There is no vaccine and drugs are plagued with high toxicity and low efficacy. Given the lack of means to control leishmaniasis, there is a clear need to develop new therapies targeting those unique cellular pathways that allow *Leishmania* parasites to survive in humans and sandflies.

Sphingolipids represent a diverse class of lipids ranging from basic building blocks in sphingoid bases or ceramide to complex glycosylated forms. They are the structural components of lipid rafts, and their metabolism produces signaling molecules that modulate fundamental cellular processes. In mammals, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) interacts with G protein-coupled membrane receptors to control cell adhesion, migration, differentiation, and survival. S1P also acts as an intracellular second messenger by regulating targets such as histone deacetylases, mitochondrial prohibitin 2, and tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 2 while the dephosphorylation of S1P into sphingosine (Sph) promotes cell death or senescence. Interconversions between S1P and Sph are catalyzed by sphingosine kinase (SK), which phosphorylates Sph into S1P, and sphingosine-1-phosphate phosphatase (SPP), or other lipid phosphate phosphatase (LPP) that can hydrolyze S1P into Sph. Through the action of sphingosine-1-phosphate lyase (SPL), S1P can also be irreversibly degraded into ethanolamine phosphate and fatty aldehyde, and contribute to the biosynthesis of phosphatidylethanolamine, in addition to its signaling functions. S1P and Sph can be generated from the catabolism of sphingosylphosphorylcholine (by autotaxin) and ceramide (by ceramidases), respectively. The collective balance between S1P production and turnover constitutes a major determinant in mammalian cells’ response to heat shock and DNA damage, and the maturation of immune cells and vascular genesis.

Functions of sphingolipids in *Leishmania* seem to differ substantially from those in mammals. Serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT) is the first enzyme of de novo sphingolipid biosynthesis responsible for condensing serine
and a fatty acyl CoA (in *Leishmania*, mainly myristoyl-CoA) into 3-ketodihyrosphingosine21. *Leishmania major* mutants deficient for the catalytic subunit of SPT (spt2−) are viable and replicative despite the complete loss of sphingolipid synthesis22,23. They maintain normal detergent resistant membrane fractions but grow slower than wild type (WT) parasites and fail to differentiate into the infective metacyclic forms in stationary phase22,23. These phenotypes are similar to those of the SPL knockout mutant (spl−) which cannot break down S1P and both spt2− and spl− mutants can be fully rescued by the addition of ethanolamine to culture media, indicating that a major role of sphingoid base metabolism in *Leishmania* is to provide ethanolamine phosphate for the synthesis of ethanolamine and choline phospholipids16,24 (Fig. 1).

To investigate if S1P possesses other functions in *Leishmania*, the sphingosine kinase α (SKα) gene in *L. major* was deleted to generate the ska− mutant25. Although SKα is the only recognizable SK homolog, lysate from ska− promastigotes retains the activity to phosphorylate Sph and dihydrosphingosine at 50–60% of WT-levels, suggesting that *L. major*, like animals and yeasts, possesses more than one functional SK25. The partial loss of SK activity causes accumulation of cytotoxic sphingoid bases in ska− leading to cell death in late log phase, which can be rescued through the addition of myriocin, a SPT inhibitor which blocks sphingoid base synthesis, to the media25. Ska− mutants also show increased sensitivity to heat, acidic pH, and oxidants, and severely attenuated virulence in mice. Interestingly, the virulence defects of ska− can only be reversed by the chromosomal knock-in but not episomal overexpression of SKα, implying that proper expression of this gene from its endogenous locus is essential for *L. major*25. The mechanism by which S1P impacts stress response in *Leishmania* awaits further investigation.

SPP, the enzyme catalyzing the dephosphorylation of S1P into Sph (the reverse reaction of SK), has yet to be characterized in trypanosomatids. Homologs of SPP can be found in the genomes of *Leishmania* spp, *Crithidia* spp, *Blechomonas* spp, *Endotrypanum* spp, and *Trypanosoma cruzi* but not *Trypanosoma brucei* (https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/app). In other eukaryotes including *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Homo sapiens* and *Mus musculus*, SPP has been studied in the context of their relationship to SK26–32. In these organisms, SPP is an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) localized protein. Knockouts of YSR2 and YSR3, the SPP isoforms in *S. cerevisiae*, result in accumulation of S1P and/or dihydrosphingosine-1-phosphate but little change in viability or proliferation32. Overexpression of YSR2 or YSR3 is associated with increased vulnerability to heat shock and a tendency towards arrested development at the G1 phase32. Similar results were reported in knockout studies of SPP orthologs in *A. thaliana*31. Mice deficient of SPP1 or SPP2 are also viable, with deletion of SPP1 resulting in stunted growth, malformation of the skin, and poor survivorship to adulthood in contrast to mice deficient of SPP2 which appear normal but have fewer β-cells in their pancreas compared to wild type mice33,34. In these organisms, SPPs are functionally redundant with LPPs which possess dephosphorylating activity towards lysophosphatidic acid, phosphatidic acid, ceramide-1-phosphate, as well as S1P and dihydrosphingosine-1-phosphate9,35,36. This would at least in part explain why SPP is nonessential in these organisms.

In this study, we investigated the role of a single SPP in *L. major* through attempted knockout and overexpression experiments. Our results revealed that *L. major* SPP can dephosphorylate fluorescently labeled S1P but not ceramide-1-phosphate or lysophosphatidic acid and is localized to the Golgi apparatus. Importantly,
chromosomal SPP null mutants cannot be generated even in the presence of a SPP-containing episome, suggesting that SPP needs to be expressed from its endogenous locus to fulfill its full functions. In agreement with this notion, SPP overexpression led to delayed growth and increased sensitivity to brefeldin A, which inhibits intracellular protein trafficking from the ER to the Golgi apparatus. Thus, like Skα, the expression of SPP needs to be carefully regulated to ensure *Leishmania* survival. Taken together, these observations suggest that equilibrium between SPP and Skα expression is crucial for *Leishmania*. Future work would focus on the molecular target of S1P in *Leishmania* and evaluating the potential of sphingoid base metabolism in developing novel therapeutics.

**Results**

**Identification of a functional *L. major* SPP.** A single SPP gene (Trityrydb gene ID: LmjF.32.2290; Genbank accession number: Q4Q546) was identified in the *L. major* genome with syntenic orthologs in several other trypanosomatids. The predicted *L. major* SPP protein (646 amino acids) is significantly larger than its orthologs in yeast, human, and mouse with N- and C-terminal extensions (Supplemental Fig. S1). It shows 24–27% identity to other SPPs and includes a putative active site motif “PST(S)H” at positions 296–299 (Supplemental Fig. S1). While many eukaryotes including *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Homo sapiens*, *Mus musculus*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* possess two SPP isoforms, only one putative SPP is identified in *L. major* and other trypanosomatids. To determine if *L. major* SPP possesses the expected enzymatic activity, we cloned the gene into a pMAL-c5x vector to generate a N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP)-tagged version of SPP (MBP-SPP), and the resulting pMAL-MBP-SPP plasmid was transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 cells. Western blot detected the presence of MBP-SPP (~112 kDa) in cell lysates after IPTG induction (Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. S2). Meanwhile, *E. coli* cells containing the empty pMAL-c5x vector produced free MBP (~42.5 kDa) (Fig. 2a and Supplemental Fig. S2).

When soluble lysates from *E. coli* BL21 cells were incubated with C18 NBD-S1P, a fluorescent analog of S1P, we detected a fluorescent lipid product in the organic fraction that migrated similarly as NBD-Sph on thin layer chromatography (TLC) (Fig. 2b). This process is likely enzymatic as boiled lysates had no activity. In comparison to those from uninduced cells and cells with empty pMAL-c5x vector, the soluble lysate from IPTG induced pMAL-MBP-SPP cells generated 4–5 times more NBD-Sph (Fig. 2b,c), suggesting that *L. major* SPP can dephosphorylate S1P into Sph (Fig. 1). The background activity in uninduced cells and cells with empty pMAL-c5x vector may derive from the phosphatase activity in *E. coli* which is known to act on phosphatidic acid, lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), and S1P[25,28]. We were unable to test if *L. major* SPP can degrade dihydrophosphinosine-1-phosphate due to the lack of commercially available fluorescently tagged substrate.

To examine whether *L. major* SPP possesses activities towards similar lipid substrates such as ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) and LPA, we incubated *E. coli* lysates containing MBP-SPP or MBP with a NBD-C1P or C12 TopFluor-LPA (Fig. 3). While all the bacterial lysates had some background activities, no specific activity towards C1P or LPA was detected from MBP-SPP (Fig. 3). Thus, the dephosphorylating activity of *L. major* SPP appears to be specific for S1P.

**Episomal expression and Golgi-localization of GFP-tagged SPP in *L. major*.** To determine the localization of SPP in *L. major*, a SPP-GFP fusion gene was cloned into a high copy number expression plasmid to generate pXG1a-SPP-GFP and introduced into WT promastigotes. SPP-GFP or the C-terminal GFP-tagged SPP was detected at the expected size of ~98 kDa by western blot (Fig. 4a, WT + C14DM-GFP was used as a control for ~82 kDa[39]) (Supplemental Fig. S3). To examine if the fusion protein is active, parasite lysates were incubated with NBD-S1P followed by lipid extraction and TLC analyses of organic and aqueous phases. As shown in Fig. 4b and c, WT promastigotes possessed the ability to convert NBD-S1P to NBD-Sph. Importantly, this activity was nearly three times higher in WT + SPP-GFP promastigotes and completely lacking in boiled lysates. Thus, SPP-GFP retains the expected SPP activity in *L. major*. With fluorescence microscopy, SPP-GFP was found at a discrete spot adjacent to the kinetoplast of promastigotes resembling the location of Golgi apparatus (Fig. 4d).

**Homozygous SPP knockout cannot be generated even in the presence of episomal SPP.** To investigate the role of SPP in *L. major*, we tried to delete the chromosomal alleles of SPP using the classical method based on homologous recombination[45]. While the first SPP allele could be replaced by the BSD resistance marker gene to generate SPP+/− (the half knockout or heterozygous knockout), repeated attempts to knock out the 2nd and final allele with *HYG* resulted in either no viable cells or cells retaining the SPP gene despite showing resistance to blasticidin and hygromycin (Supplemental Figs. S4, S5 and Fig. 5). These findings suggest that SPP is required for survival in *L. major*.

Previous studies by us and other groups have used a plasmid facilitated approach to verify whether a particular gene of interest is essential[46–48]. To apply this strategy, a pXNG4-SPP plasmid was introduced into SPP+/−/− followed by attempts to delete the second genomic allele with the *HYG* resistance marker gene (Supplemental Fig. S6). If the resulting chromosomal SPP-null mutants could not lose the pXNG4-SPP plasmid via negative selection, then SPP is essential[46,47]. To our surprise, even in the presence of the complementing episome, all the clones still retained a chromosomal SPP allele, as indicated by Southern blot (Fig. 5a: flanking sequence probe; Fig. 5b: SPP coding region probe) and PCR (Supplemental Figs. S7a–c and S8). Several clones showed the expected integration of both BSD and *HYG* marker genes in addition to chromosomal SPP (+/3, +/4 and +/5).
Figure 2. Recombinant *Leishmania major* SPP exhibits activity towards NBD-S1P. (a) *E. coli* lysates containing pMAL-MBP-SPP (SPP) or pMAL-c5x vector (pMAL) were subjected to western blot analysis using an anti-MBP antibody. Wcl: whole cell lysate; Sol: soluble lysate; I: induced with IPTG. Positions of MBP-SPP (112 kDa) and MBP (42.5 kDa) were indicated. Each lane contained 5 μg of protein (based on BCA assay). (b) Soluble lysates were incubated with NBD-S1P as indicated in “Methods”. After lipid extraction, the organic (top) and aqueous (bottom) fractions were analyzed by thin layer chromatography. Pure NBD-S1P and NBD-Sph were included as references. Boiled lysate of induced pMAL-SPP (SPP-I) was included as a control. F solvent front, O origin. (c) Quantitation of the enzymatic conversion of NBD-S1P into NBD-Sph by soluble *E. coli* lysates. Error bars represent standard deviations from three experiments. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001. The full-length blots for (a) are included in Supplemental Fig. S2.
Figure 3. Recombinant *Leishmania major* SPP exhibits no specific activity towards NBD-ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) or TopFluor-lysophosphatidic acid (TF-LPA). Soluble lysates from *E. coli* were incubated with NBD-C1P (a, b) or TF-LPA (c, d) followed by lipid extraction and thin layer chromatography. In (a) and (c), top panels represent the organic phase of reactions and bottom panels represent the aqueous phase. Pure NBD-C1P, NBD-Cer, TF-LPA, and TF-MAG were included as references. Boiled lysate of induced pMAL-SPP (SPP-I) was used as a control. *F* solvent front, *O* origin. Activities on NBD-C1P and TF-LPA were quantified and plotted in (b) and (d). *p* < 0.05.
in Fig. 5, and #3 in Supplemental Figs. S7a–c and S8) and episomal SPP (high copy number, Fig. 5b), indicating gene amplification. As a technical control, we employed the same approach on the farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPPS) gene and successfully generated the chromosomal FPPS-null mutant in the presence as pXNG4-FPPS as previously described (Supplemental Figs. S7d–f and S9). Together, these findings argue that unlike other essential genes, chromosomal SPP cannot be fully complemented by episomal SPP.

**SPP overexpression leads to reduced growth and heightened sensitivity to a subset of phosphatase inhibitors.** Our previous work on L. major SKa reveals the toxic effects of sphingoid base
Because SPP overexpression may produce elevated level of sphingoid base, we examined whether SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP parasites displayed any overt growth defects. As indicated in Fig. 5b, the SPP gene copy number in SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP was much higher than WT and SPP+/− cells, which would lead to increased expression of SPP as shown in previous studies on other genes. We also examined the GFP expression level in SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP parasites by flow cytometry. Because GFP and SPP are co-expressed from the same pXNG4-SPP plasmid, the level of GFP reflects the level of SPP. As shown in Supplemental Fig. S10, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP had similar GFP levels as WT + SPP-GFP which possessed ~3 times more SPP activity than WT parasites (Fig. 4b,c). Thus, in comparison to WT and SPP+/−, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP cells have higher SPP expression levels.

As indicated in Fig. 6a, these SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP promastigotes were viable and replicative in culture but showed significant growth delay in comparison to WT and SPP+/− parasites. When inoculated at 1.0 × 10^5 cells/ml, it took SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP five days to reach a maximal density of 5.0–6.0 × 10^7 cells/ml, whereas WT and SPP+/− only needed four days to reach 7.1–8.0 × 10^7 cells/ml (Fig. 6a).

Next, because of its localization, we tested whether SPP overexpression or half knockout affected the Golgi apparatus in L. major. Brefeldin A inhibits the GDP/GTP exchange reaction on adenosine ribosylation factors, leading to blockage of intracellular vesicle formation and fragmentation of the Golgi. As shown in Fig. S10, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP had similar GFP levels as WT + SPP-GFP which possessed ~3 times more SPP activity than WT parasites (Fig. 4b,c). Thus, in comparison to WT and SPP+/−, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP cells have higher SPP expression levels.

As indicated in Fig. 6a, these SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP promastigotes were viable and replicative in culture but showed significant growth delay in comparison to WT and SPP+/− parasites. When inoculated at 1.0 × 10^5 cells/ml, it took SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP five days to reach a maximal density of 5.0–6.0 × 10^7 cells/ml, whereas WT and SPP+/− only needed four days to reach 7.1–8.0 × 10^7 cells/ml (Fig. 6a).

Next, because of its localization, we tested whether SPP overexpression or half knockout affected the Golgi apparatus in L. major. Brefeldin A inhibits the GDP/GTP exchange reaction on adenosine ribosylation factors, leading to blockage of intracellular vesicle formation and fragmentation of the Golgi. As shown in Fig. S10, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP had similar GFP levels as WT + SPP-GFP which possessed ~3 times more SPP activity than WT parasites (Fig. 4b,c). Thus, in comparison to WT and SPP+/−, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP cells have higher SPP expression levels.

As indicated in Fig. 6a, these SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP promastigotes were viable and replicative in culture but showed significant growth delay in comparison to WT and SPP+/− parasites. When inoculated at 1.0 × 10^5 cells/ml, it took SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP five days to reach a maximal density of 5.0–6.0 × 10^7 cells/ml, whereas WT and SPP+/− only needed four days to reach 7.1–8.0 × 10^7 cells/ml (Fig. 6a).

Next, because of its localization, we tested whether SPP overexpression or half knockout affected the Golgi apparatus in L. major. Brefeldin A inhibits the GDP/GTP exchange reaction on adenosine ribosylation factors, leading to blockage of intracellular vesicle formation and fragmentation of the Golgi. As shown in Fig. S10, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP had similar GFP levels as WT + SPP-GFP which possessed ~3 times more SPP activity than WT parasites (Fig. 4b,c). Thus, in comparison to WT and SPP+/−, SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP cells have higher SPP expression levels.
needed to achieve the same level of inhibition for WT and SPP+/− parasites (Fig. 6b). Thus, the overexpression of SPP (a Golgi-localized protein) makes *Leishmania* hypersensitive to brefeldin A, a Golgi-disrupting chemical.

We also assessed the impact of SPP overexpression and half knockout on the synthesis of lipophosphoglycan (LPG), a large surface glycoconjugate mainly assembled in the Golgi apparatus. Western blot using an anti-LPG side chain Gal residue antibody (WIC79.3) revealed a 20–30% reduction in the LPG of SPP+/− pXNG4-SPP during log phase and stationary phase (Fig. 7), suggesting that excessive SPP negatively affected the synthesis and/or trafficking of LPG. No statistically significant difference between WT and SPP+/− parasites was detected.

Finally, we examined whether SPP overexpression or half knockout altered *L. major*’s sensitivity to general phosphatase inhibitors. Sodium orthovanadate can act as a competitive inhibitor of ATPases, alkaline and acid phosphatases, and protein-phosphotyrosine phosphatases. Sodium fluoride is a commonly used general inhibitor for protein phosphoserine and phosphothreonine phosphatases with reported inhibitory effect on mammalian SPP. As shown in Fig. 6c, SPP+/− pXNG4-SPP parasites displayed heightened growth inhibition by sodium orthovanadate, as their EC90, EC50 and EC25 (effective concentrations required to inhibit growth by 90%, 50% and 25% respectively) were 1.7–2.2 times lower than those of WT and SPP+/−. Meanwhile, we did not detect any significant difference in sensitivity to sodium fluoride (Fig. 6d), suggesting that SPP is not responsive to this inhibitor.

**Figure 6.** SPP overexpression leads to moderate growth defects and increased sensitivity to some phosphatase inhibitors. (a) Promastigotes of WT, SPP+/−, and SPP+/− + pXNG4-SPP were cultivated as described in "Methods". Culture densities were determined daily. In (b–d), log phase promastigotes were cultivated in various concentrations of brefeldin A (b), sodium orthovanadate (c), or sodium fluoride (d); culture densities were determined after 48 h; and effects on growth were measured in comparison to cells grown in the absence of inhibitors. Error bars represent standard deviations from four experiments. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

**Discussion**

Compared to SK, SPP is relatively understudied. In this report, we verified that *L. major* possesses a functional SPP that can dephosphorylate NBD-labeled S1P but not ceramide-1-phosphate or LPA (Figs. 2 and 3). Such specificity is in line with the SPPs in mammals and yeasts. Despite repeated attempts, we were unable to generate homozygous SPP knockout in *L. major*, as clones selected after two rounds of replacement showed gene or chromosomal duplications (Fig. 5 and Supplemental Fig. S5). While the episome facilitated knockout approach has allowed us and others to acquire chromosomal null mutants for several essential genes including FPPS, CEPT and DHCH1, we could not fully delete endogenous SPP even in the presence of a complementing plasmid (Fig. 5 and Supplemental Figs. S7–S9). These findings suggest that ectopically expressed SPP is not equal to endogenous SPP, even though it is catalytically active including the GFP-tagged version (Fig. 4). One possibility is that elevated SPP activity from episomal overexpression is harmful which is somewhat supported by the reduced proliferation rate of SPP-over-expressing cells (Fig. 6a). However, the growth defect is relatively modest and seems insufficient to explain our result by itself. Another possibility is that endogenously expressed SPP is
Figure 7. SPP overexpression leads to reduced expression of lipophosphoglycan (LPG). Whole cell lysates from log (day 1 and day 2) and stationary phase (day 2) promastigotes were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies against LPG (a) or α-tubulin (b). The relative level of LPG was determined from three independent experiments (c). *p < 0.05.
subjected to essential regulations at the posttranscriptional and/or posttranslational levels and such regulations require the flanking sequences of SPP which are absent in the SPP-expression plasmid.

The fact that L. major SPP is indispensable makes it distinct from its orthologs in mammals, yeasts and Arabidopsis (SPP is not essential in those eukaryotes)29–32. It is possible that S1P accumulation from SPP knockout is deleterious to L. major promastigotes. Previous studies on L. major SKa suggests that the cellular level of S1P must be carefully controlled for parasite survival in mice, as only the SKa chromosomal knock-in but not episomal overexpression restores the virulence of *ska−* mutants25. On the other hand, SKa overexpression (which should result in S1P accumulation in the cytoplasm) does not cause any detectable defects in L. major promastigotes25. So it is possible that the dephosphorylation of S1P into Sph in specific cellular locations is required to avoid toxicity.

Interestingly, L. major SPP is localized in the Golgi apparatus (Fig. 4d) as opposed to ER as observed for its orthologs in mammals, yeasts and Arabidopsis29,31,32, suggesting that its functions extend beyond bulk lipid synthesis. In *Leishmania*, the other two enzymes directly involved in S1P metabolism, SKa and SPL, are located in the cytoplasm and ER, respectively (Fig. 1)16,25. One possibility is that cytoplasmic S1P (produced by SKa) is transported to different cellular compartments to fulfill distinct functions: it can be used to drive ethanolamine phospholipid synthesis in the ER (mediated by SPL), to regulate the function of Golgi apparatus (mediated by SPP), or stay in the cytoplasm to control stress response via unknown mechanisms. Based on this study, the local concentration of S1P or the ratio of S1P:Sph is likely crucial for maintaining Golgi's function as a platform for the assembly of macromolecules. While we did not detect any defects in the SPP+/− promastigotes (half knockout), overexpression of SPP led to heightened sensitivity to brefeldin A, a blocker of ER-Golgi vesicular trafficking, and sodium orthovanadate, a general phosphatase inhibitor (Fig. 6). SPP overexpression also resulted in slower growth rate and reduced synthesis of LPG (Fig. 7), a major glycolipid and virulence factor in *Leishmania*. Future studies will identify the molecular targets of S1P in various organelles and reveal the underlying mechanism of why the SPP expression level is vital.

In mammals, yeasts and Arabidopsis, the catalytic activity of SPP overlaps with those of LPP9,35,36. Human cells possess two isoforms of LPP, with LPP2 in the plasma membrane and early endosomes and LPP3 in the plasma membrane and the Golgi apparatus66,67. Both human LPPs can dephosphorylate S1P, and chemical or genetic depletion of LPP3 can block the production of vesicles from the Golgi4,16,56,57. Genetic knockdown of LPP2 results in delay of cells reaching S-phase, whereas LPP2 overexpression leads to premature entry into the S-phase27. L. major has at least one LPP homolog (from https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/app, gen ID: LmjF.19.1350), raising questions of whether this enzyme can recognize S1P/dihydropinosamine-1-phosphate as substrates and how its regulation is regulated. Given the fact that SPP is essential, it appears LPP alone is insufficient to fully substitute SPP.

In summary, our characterization of SPP along with previous work on SKa indicate that the balance between S1P and Sph is crucial for the function of Golgi apparatus in *Leishmania*. Thus, in addition to providing ethanolamine phosphate for lipid synthesis (mediated by SPL), sphingoid base metabolism regulates vital processes to ensure the viability and fitness of *Leishmania* parasites. Future mechanistic studies will inform the development of novel therapeutics targeting sphingoid base metabolism.

**Methods**

**Materials.** Omega(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl)-D-erythro-sphingosine-1-phosphate (C18 NBD-S1P), Omega(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl) (2S,3R,4E)-2-aminoctadec-4-ene-1,3-diol (C18 NBD-Sph), 1-[12-(4-dipyrromethenoborobon difluo)butanoyl]amino)dodecanoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphate (C12 TopFluor-LPA), 1-[11-(dipyrromethenoborobon difluo)undecanoyl]n-ac-glycerol (C11 TopFluor-MAG), and N-[6-[2-(7-nitro-2-1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl)aminohexanoyl]-D-erythro-sphingosine (C6 NBD-Cer) were purchased from Echelon Biosciences. 500 μM stocks of NBD-S1P, NBD-C1P, and TopFluor LPA were prepared in methanol and stored at −20 °C. Oligonucleotide primers (summarized in Supplementary Table S1) were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies and all other materials were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific unless otherwise specified.

**Molecular constructs.** The open reading frame (ORF) of L. major SPP (tritrydb: LmjF.32.2290; Genbank accession number: Q4Q546) was amplified via PCR using primers #915 and #472 from L. major genomic DNA and cloned into pMAM-c5x (purchased from New England Biolabs) or pXNG442 vectors to generate pMAL-LmjF.32.2290 or pXNG4-LmjF.32.2290. Primers #916 and #505 were used to amplify a modified SPP gene for MBP-SPP or pXNG4-SPP, respectively. To acquire knockout mutants, the UTR-BSO or pUC18′HYG vector was cloned into a pUC18 vector before genes conferring blasticidin or hygromycin resistance were inserted between the other two enzymes directly involved in S1P metabolism, SKa and SPL, are located in the cytoplasm and ER, respectively (Fig. 1)16,25. One possibility is that cytoplasmic S1P (produced by SKa) is transported to different cellular compartments to fulfill distinct functions: it can be used to drive ethanolamine phospholipid synthesis in the ER (mediated by SPL), to regulate the function of Golgi apparatus (mediated by SPP), or stay in the cytoplasm to control stress response via unknown mechanisms. Based on this study, the local concentration of S1P or the ratio of S1P:Sph is likely crucial for maintaining Golgi's function as a platform for the assembly of macromolecules. While we did not detect any defects in the SPP+/− promastigotes (half knockout), overexpression of SPP led to heightened sensitivity to brefeldin A, a blocker of ER-Golgi vesicular trafficking, and sodium orthovanadate, a general phosphatase inhibitor (Fig. 6). SPP overexpression also resulted in slower growth rate and reduced synthesis of LPG (Fig. 7), a major glycolipid and virulence factor in *Leishmania*. Future studies will identify the molecular targets of S1P in various organelles and reveal the underlying mechanism of why the SPP expression level is vital.

In mammals, yeasts and Arabidopsis, the catalytic activity of SPP overlaps with those of LPP9,35,36. Human cells possess two isoforms of LPP, with LPP2 in the plasma membrane and early endosomes and LPP3 in the plasma membrane and the Golgi apparatus66,67. Both human LPPs can dephosphorylate S1P, and chemical or genetic depletion of LPP3 can block the production of vesicles from the Golgi4,16,56,57. Genetic knockdown of LPP2 results in delay of cells reaching S-phase, whereas LPP2 overexpression leads to premature entry into the S-phase27. L. major has at least one LPP homolog (from https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/app, gen ID: LmjF.19.1350), raising questions of whether this enzyme can recognize S1P/dihydropinosamine-1-phosphate as substrates and how its regulation is regulated. Given the fact that SPP is essential, it appears LPP alone is insufficient to fully substitute SPP.

In summary, our characterization of SPP along with previous work on SKa indicate that the balance between S1P and Sph is crucial for the function of Golgi apparatus in *Leishmania*. Thus, in addition to providing ethanolamine phosphate for lipid synthesis (mediated by SPL), sphingoid base metabolism regulates vital processes to ensure the viability and fitness of *Leishmania* parasites. Future mechanistic studies will inform the development of novel therapeutics targeting sphingoid base metabolism.

**Leishmania culture and genetic manipulation.** *Leishmania* major Friedelin V1 (MHOM/IL/80/FN) promastigotes were cultivated at 27 °C in M199 media supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum and other additives as described previously38. To monitor growth, culture densities were determined daily using a Neubauer hemocytometer. To measure sensitivity to phosphatase inhibitors, log phase promastigotes were inoculated in complete M199 media at 2.0 × 105 cells/ml in the absence or presence of brefeldin A (0–400 μM), sodium orthovanadate (0–250 μM), or sodium fluoride (0–100 mM); and culture densities were determined after 48 h.
To delete the first chromosomal SPP allele, a DNA fragment conferring resistance to blasticidin was obtained by restriction enzyme digestion of pUC18-5′ UTR-BSD-3′ UTR SPP and introduced into L. major wild type (WT) promastigotes via electroporation. The resulting SPP+/− parasites were confirmed by Southern blot using probes corresponding to the open reading frame and an upstream flanking sequence of SPP. To delete the second SPP allele, a DNA fragment conferring resistance to hygromycin (derived from pUC18-5′ UTR-HYG-3′ UTR SPP) was introduced into SPP+/− parasites and transfectants showing resistance to blasticidin and hygromycin were analyzed by Southern blot.

To generate chromosomal-null SPP mutants in the presence of episomal genes, SPP+/− parasites were transfected with pXNG4-SPP, followed by attempts to delete the second chromosomal SPP allele using a DNA fragment conferring resistance to hygromycin. To study the localization of SPP, L. major WT promastigotes were transfected with pX63HYG-LPG2-HA and pXG1a-SPP-GFP cells. Generation of FPPS transgenic lines was performed as previously described. Transfectants were selected and maintained using blasticidin (10 µg/ml, Corning), hygromycin (50 µg/ml, InvivoGen), G418 (100 µg/ml, Corning), puromycin (20 µg/ml, MP Biomedicals), or nourseothricin (100 µg/ml, Gold Biotechnology) as needed. Genetic manipulations of L. major cells were validated by Southern blot or PCR as previously described.

**SPP activity assay.** *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells containing pMAL-SPP or pMAL-c5x were cultivated in LB media in the presence of 100 µg/ml of ampicillin at 37 °C until reaching an OD600 of 0.6–0.8. Cells were then induced with 0.3 mM of IPTG at room temperature for 4–8 h and collected by centrifugation. Bacterial pellets were resuspended in 200 mM of Tris–HCl (pH 7.0), 200 mM of NaCl, 1 mM of EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mM of dithiothreitol, and 1 x protease inhibitors (Roche), followed by sonication at 4 °C (3 × 30 s with 1 min in between). The resulting whole cell lysates were centrifuged at 14,000×g for 20 min at 4 °C to generate soluble lysates. Protein concentrations were determined using a BCA assay kit (Roche). Aliquots of whole cell lysates and soluble lysates were processed for activity assay as described below. Heat inactivated soluble lysate was prepared by heating lysate at 100 °C for 7 min.

The SPP activity assay was developed based on a previous report. Briefly, 500 µl of soluble lysate containing ~1 mg of protein was incubated in the presence of 5 µM of NBD-SIP, NBD-CIP, or TopFluor LPA at 37 °C for 1 h. Samples were then subjected to Bligh-Dyer extraction to separate organic and aqueous fractions which were then induced with 0.3 mM of chloroform:methanol (1:2). These fractions were loaded on alumina-backed silica gel plates and analyzed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using a mobile phase of 1-butanol:glacial acetic acid:water 3:1:1. Plates were air dried, and signals were detected with a Bio-Rad Chemidoc MP Imager. Activities were quantified based on the amount of product, the amount of protein and the length of time.

To detect SPP activity in *Leishmania*, promastigotes of WT or WT + pXG1a-SPP-GFP were cultivated to 8–9 × 10⁶ cells/ml and collected by centrifugation. Parasite lysates were prepared and SPP activity was determined as described above for bacterial samples.

**Fluorescence microscopy and western blot.** Promastigotes of WT + pX63-LPG2-HA + pXG1a-SPP-GFP were grown to 4–5 × 10⁶ cells/ml, collected by centrifugation and washed with 1 X PBS before fixation with 3.75% formalin and mounted onto poly-lysine-treated coverslips. Cells were then processed for immunofluorescence microscopy as previously described using a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody (Sigma, 1:700) followed by a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Texas Red (Life Technologies, 1:700). After staining with 3 µg/ml of HOESCHT 33342, cells were examined using an Olympus DX51 fluorescence microscope. The overlap between fluorescence microscopy as previously described using a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody (Sigma, 1:700) followed by a goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Texas Red (Life Technologies, 1:700) and the Genbank (accession number: Q4Q546). All data and materials from this study may be obtained from the corresponding author upon written request with the stipulation that they will be used for research purpose only and any work derived from the data and materials will cite the source.

Received: 4 June 2022; Accepted: 12 September 2022
Published online: 26 September 2022

Statistical analyses. Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were repeated 3–4 times and data are represented as means ± standard deviations. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student’s t test (for two groups) or one-way ANOVA (for three or more groups) using Sigmaplot 13.0 (Systat Software Inc, San Jose, CA). *P* values indicating statistical significance were grouped into values of < 0.05 (*), < 0.01 (**), and < 0.001 (***).

**Data availability** The DNA/protein sequences of *L. major* SPP analyzed during the current study are available in the Tritrypdb repository (https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/app, gene ID: LmjF.32.2290) and the Genbank (accession number: Q4Q546). All data and materials from this study may be obtained from the corresponding author upon written request with the stipulation that they will be used for research purpose only and any work derived from the data and materials will cite the source.
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