Comparison of Predictors of COVID-19 Vaccination Intention Between Healthcare Workers and Non-Healthcare Workers in China
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Background: Vaccination is an effective strategy to mitigate the spread of COVID-19. This study aimed to compare predictors of vaccination intention between healthcare workers (HCWs) and non-healthcare workers (non-HCWs) in China.

Methods: A web-based cross-sectional survey was conducted among HCWs and non-HCWs. Several bivariate analysis techniques, eg, crosstab with Chi-square, independent t-test and single factor ANOVA, were performed to analyze the correlation. After that, a series of multivariate binary regressions were employed to determine predictors of vaccination intention.

Results: Intention was closely and significantly related with gender, perceived vaccination knowledge, perceived importance and effectiveness of vaccine to prevent COVID-19. HCWs and non-HCWs were heterogeneous, since vaccination intention, perceived knowledge, and attitudes (eg, importance, severity, risk) toward COVID-19 or vaccine had statistically significant difference between the two groups. With comparison of predictors of vaccination intention, for HCWs, demographic factors were the major predictors of COVID-19 vaccination intention. Female HCWs and HCWs with a Master’s or higher degree were more hesitant about vaccination (P = 0.01 and P < 0.001, respectively), while HCWs had greater vaccination intention as their age increased (P = 0.02). For non-HCWs, perceived vaccination knowledge was the major predictor of COVID-19 vaccination intention (P < 0.001). Additionally, perceived importance and effectiveness of vaccine were predictors for both HCWs and non-HCWs.

Conclusion: Vaccination intention of HCWs was greater than that of non-HCWs in China. Measures should be taken to improve the vaccination rate based on the predictors of vaccination intention identified in this study. For HCWs, especially those with a high level of education or who were females, the safety and effectiveness of vaccines in use may reinforce their vaccination intention. For non-HCWs, popularization of general medical knowledge, including of vaccine-preventable diseases, may increase their vaccination intention.
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Introduction

COVID-19 (also known as novel coronavirus pneumonia) was first reported more than a year ago and now affects nearly every country in the world. The cumulative number of COVID-19 cases globally surpassed 200 million on August 5, 2021, and reached 100 million in just 6 months.1

Vaccination remains one of the most effective strategies to control epidemics. However, promoting vaccination can be a daunting challenge for local governments.2 Following the launch of a COVID-19 vaccine in December 2020, studies evaluated the
intention of the public to receive COVID-19 vaccines in various countries. In total, 69% and 55.8% of participants were willing to be vaccinated in the United States and England, respectively.\(^3\),\(^4\) A nationwide online survey reported that 28.7%, 54.6%, and 16.7% of respondents definitely intended, probably intended, and did not intend to be vaccinated against COVID-19 in China, respectively.\(^5\) Vaccination intention varied by time, region and public perception.\(^6\) Better understanding of the intention of the public to receive a COVID-19 vaccine and key predictors of this intention may help policymakers adopt measures to improve vaccination coverage.

When a new vaccine was launched, there were several predictors of vaccination, including perceptions of disease risk, vaccine safety and efficacy, and vaccination knowledge.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^11\) An Irish study reported that medical workers were reluctant to receive a seasonal flu vaccine because of doubts about its effectiveness and a lack of trust.\(^11\) Many Beijing residents were skeptical about the effectiveness of the H7N9 vaccine and did not worry about the risk of getting ill, and these were predictors of their intention to receive this vaccine.\(^12\) A survey in the United Arab Emirates showed that vaccine safety, side effects, and receiving too many injections were key predictors of childhood vaccine hesitancy.\(^13\) A study in the United States indicated that the strongest predictors of whether people received a COVID-19 vaccine were trust in the system evaluating vaccines and perception of local COVID-19 vaccination norms.\(^14\) Assessment of these factors may help develop intervention methods and policies to improve vaccination coverage. The key predictors of the intention of the public to receive a COVID-19 vaccine must be analyzed. Such information may help policymakers take steps to improve vaccination coverage.

A study in China reported that COVID-19 vaccination intention was greater among people engaged in medical-related industries than among those who were not.\(^15\) HCWs were the most reliable source of information and had the greatest positive impact on public vaccination.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^18\) HCWs were the leading advocate of immunization, and therefore their intention to receive a vaccine can improve public acceptability of vaccination, reduce reluctance about vaccination, and guide informed decisions about vaccination.\(^19\),\(^20\) For example, the public in the United States were more willing to be vaccinated if they thought their healthcare providers would be vaccinated.\(^21\) However, little was known about the differences or similarities of predictors of the intention of HCWs and non-HCWs to receive a COVID-19 vaccine.

Therefore, this study compared predictors of COVID-19 vaccination intention between HCWs and non-HCWs in terms of demographics, knowledge, and attitudes. The findings of this study will help policymakers develop strategies targeted to different populations according to predictors of vaccination intention in order to improve COVID-19 vaccination coverage.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Setting**

This survey was conducted in December 2020, when a COVID-19 vaccine first became available in China. A cross-sectional survey was designed using a survey program based on a social media platform (WeChat) called “Questionnaire Star”, which provides functions equivalent to Amazon Mechanical Turk, and used a snowball sampling strategy. Respondents were divided into two groups: HCWs and non-HCWs. According to occupation category, HCWs consisted of clinicians, nurses and laboratorians, and non-HCWs consisted of administrative personnel, teaching staffs and business and service workers, etc. The investigator sent the survey link to the main contact (aged 18 years and older) via social media (Questionnaire Star app) and asked them to conduct further investigations. After clicking the link, respondents were directed to the informed consent page and then completed the questionnaire.

**Study Sample**

The sample size was calculated using the following formula: \(N = \frac{\mu^2 \sigma^2}{d^2 P(1 - P)}\), where \(\alpha = 0.01\) and \(\mu_a = 2.576\). The estimated acceptable margin of error for proportion \(d\) was 0.05. According to previous studies, the sample rate \(P = 0.15\). The formula estimated the sample size to be 338. For subgroup analyses, the final sample size was set to 820, including 410 HCWs and 410 non-HCW. After excluding invalid responses of 23 HCWs and 4 non-HCWs, 387 HCWs and 406 non-HCWs were included in the study.

**Questionnaire Development**

We determined the focus of this survey by consulting the literature and designed a draft questionnaire. The questions related to (1) demographics, including personal details such as gender, age, education level, and occurrence of cardiovascular or chronic diseases; (2) knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination including perceived
knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases; (3) attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination including perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease, effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine, severity of COVID-19, and risk of COVID-19; and (4) intention to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. The latter was assessed by asking respondents whether they would like to get the COVID-19 vaccine as soon as possible after it became available. The response options were “Accept”, “Hesitance”, and “Reject”. The reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed according to the internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient >0.70). The options for the questionnaire items were based on a 4-point scale [4 = “Strongly Agree”, 3 = “Agree”, 2 = “Disagree”, and 1 = “Strongly Disagree”]. We tried to keep the questionnaire short so that it could be completed quickly and was easy to understand.

Data Analysis
Basic descriptive statistics were used to describe all variables. As designed, Chi-square test was employed to compare demographics among different COVID-19 vaccination intentions and to compare demographics and COVID-19 vaccination intention between HCWs and non-HCWs. The single-factor ANOVA was performed to compare the mean scores of knowledge and attitude variables among different COVID-19 vaccination intentions. The independent t-test was used to compare the mean scores of knowledge and attitude variables between HCWs and non-HCWs. Then, a series of multivariate binary logistic regressions were performed to determine the effect of independent variables on our outcome variables as a dummy measure of intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine, ie, hesitance vs accept and reject vs accept in HCWs and non-HCWs groups separately. Odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were reported and used to analyze the effect of independent variables on our outcome variables. All analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Demographic information was provided in Tables 1 and 2 where the former one added the comparison of demographics among different COVID-19 vaccination intentions and the latter one did the comparison of demographics and COVID-19 vaccination intention between HCWs and non-HCWs. A total of 793 valid responses were received with 387 HCWs and 406 non-HCWs separately. The intentions to receive a COVID-19 vaccine were separated into three groups among which 450 respondents were willing to accept the vaccine, 110 to reject, and the rest 233 still hesitant.

Intention to Receive a COVID-19 Vaccine
As Table 1 shows, for all the respondents, the proportions of males and females were 43.3% and 56.7% among accept group respectively, 33.3% and 66.4% among reject group respectively, and 29.6% and 70.4% among hesitate group, respectively. Additionally, the Chi-square indicated that there was a statistically significant correlation between gender distribution and the distribution of intentions to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. We grouped our respondents into four groups based on their age, ie, 18–25 group, 26–35 group, 36–45 group, and above 45 group, and there was not statistically significant correlation between age distribution and the distribution of vaccination intentions. We could also tell the distribution of education status and the occurrence of cardiovascular or chronic diseases were not statistically significant correlation with vaccination intention. As the knowledge of and attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination, an F-value of 41.99 with P <0.001 indicating perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases was statistically significant correlated with intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. For the rest two, we had an F-value of 76.21 with P <0.001 for perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease and an F-value of 61.19 with P <0.001 for the perception of effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination. However, perception concerning severity and risk of COVID-19 were not statistically significant correlated with intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine.

As our topic is more on a detailed image of the differences of HCWs and non-HCWs than the overall image. We also compared the demographics and COVID-19 vaccination intention between HCWs and non-HCWs. As shown in Table 2, the proportions of respondents who wanted to receive a COVID-19 vaccine as soon as possible, did not want to receive a COVID-19 vaccine, and who were hesitant about receiving a COVID-19 vaccine were 64.6%, 14.2%, and 21.2% for HCWs, respectively, and 49.3%, 13.5%, and 37.2% for non-HCWs, respectively. A higher proportion of HCWs than non-HCWs intended to receive a COVID-19 vaccine (P < 0.001). For the differences in the perceived knowledge and attitudes of
Table 1 Comparison of Demographics, Knowledge, and Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Vaccination Among Three Groups of Intent to COVID-19 Vaccination

|                          | Total n (%) | Accept n (%) | Reject n (%) | Hesitance n (%) | χ²/F | P    |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------|------|
| 1. Demographic characteristics |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| Gender                   |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| Male                     | 301 (38.0%) | 195 (43.3%)  | 37 (33.6%)   | 69 (29.6%)      | 13.28| 0.013|
| Female                   | 492 (62.0%) | 255 (56.7%)  | 73 (66.4%)   | 164 (70.4%)     |      |      |
| Age (years)              |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| 18–25                    | 209 (26.4%) | 109 (24.2%)  | 30 (27.3%)   | 70 (30%)        | 8.74 | 0.189|
| 26–35                    | 228 (28.8%) | 122 (27.1%)  | 33 (30%)     | 73 (31.3%)      |      |      |
| 36–45                    | 268 (33.8%) | 159 (35.3%)  | 37 (33.6%)   | 72 (30.9%)      |      |      |
| Above 45                 | 88 (11.1%)  | 60 (13.3%)   | 10 (9.1%)    | 18 (7.7%)       |      |      |
| Education status         |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| College degree and lower | 126 (15.9%) | 81 (18%)     | 13 (11.8%)   | 32 (13.7%)      | 5.74 | 0.219|
| Undergraduate degree     | 483 (60.9%) | 273 (60.7%)  | 72 (65.5%)   | 138 (59.2%)     |      |      |
| Master’s degree and higher | 184 (23.2%) | 96 (21.3%)   | 25 (22.7%)   | 63 (27%)        |      |      |
| Have cardiovascular diseases or chronic diseases |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| Yes                      | 58 (7.3%)   | 38 (8.4%)    | 10 (9.1%)    | 10 (4.3%)       | 4.50 | 0.105|
| No                       | 735 (92.7%) | 412 (91.6%)  | 100 (90.9%)  | 223 (95.7%)     |      |      |
| 2. Knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| Perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases | – | 2.85±0.71 | 2.59±0.68 | 2.36±0.59 | 41.99 | < 0.001 |
| 3. Attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination |             |              |              |                 |      |      |
| Perception that COVID-19 vaccination is an important means to prevent disease | – | 3.47±0.53 | 2.85±0.74 | 3.06±0.53 | 76.21 | < 0.001 |
| Perception that the COVID-19 vaccine is effective | – | 3.32±0.54 | 2.77±0.69 | 2.96±0.51 | 61.19 | < 0.001 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a severe illness | – | 3.44±0.61 | 3.38±0.62 | 3.42±0.58 | 0.41 | 0.661 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a risk | – | 2.47±0.70 | 2.35±0.74 | 2.42±0.64 | 1.70 | 0.184 |

Respondents toward COVID-19 vaccination, perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases was higher among HCWs than among non-HCWs (P < 0.001). HCWs had more positive attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination than non-HCWs, including the perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease (P = 0.01), severity of COVID-19 (P = 0.004) and risk of COVID-19 (P < 0.001) than non-HCWs. Perceived effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine did not significantly differ between HCWs and non-HCWs (P = 0.22).

Taking the two comparisons concerning the severity and risk of COVID-19, first among various intentions to receive a COVID-19 vaccine and second between HCWs and non-HCWs into consideration, it might be possible that HCWs and non-HCWs were heterogeneous. Hence, it would be suitable to test the effect of independent variables on the dependent variables in HCWs and non-HCWs separately. Additionally, there were also significant differences in the proportions of demographics. The proportions of males and females were 31.8% and 68.2% among HCWs,
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respectively, and 43.8% and 56.2% among non-HCWs, respectively. Age ranged from 18 years to older than 45 years. The education level was an undergraduate degree for 68.5% of HCWs and 53.7% of non-HCWs and a Master’s or higher degree for more than 20% of both HCWs and non-HCWs. The occurrence of cardiovascular

| Table 2 Comparison of Demographics, Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Vaccination, and Vaccination Intention Between HCWs and Non-HCWs |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **1. Demographic characteristics**                  | **2. Knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination**            | **3. Attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination**       | **4. Intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine** |
| Gender                                              | Perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases | Perception that COVID-19 vaccination is an important means to prevent disease | Accept          |
| Male                                                | –                                                | –                                                | 450 (56.7%)     |
| Female                                              | 3.28 ± 0.66                                      | 3.32 ± 0.62                                      | 250 (64.6%)     |
| Age (years)                                         | 2.63 ± 0.76                                      | 3.32 ± 0.62                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |
| 18–25                                               | 2.63 ± 0.76                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 250 (64.6%)     |
| 26–35                                               | 2.49 ± 0.71                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |
| 36–45                                               | 2.49 ± 0.71                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |
| Above 45                                            | 2.49 ± 0.71                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |

Table 2 Comparison of Demographics, Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Vaccination, and Vaccination Intention Between HCWs and Non-HCWs

| Education status                                   | Perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases | Perception that COVID-19 vaccination is an important means to prevent disease | Accept          |
| College degree and lower                          | –                                                | –                                                | 450 (56.7%)     |
| Undergraduate degree                              | 3.28 ± 0.66                                      | 3.32 ± 0.62                                      | 250 (64.6%)     |
| Master’s degree and higher                        | 3.28 ± 0.66                                      | 3.32 ± 0.62                                      | 250 (64.6%)     |
| Have cardiovascular diseases or chronic diseases  | –                                                | –                                                | 450 (56.7%)     |
| Yes                                                 | 2.63 ± 0.76                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |
| No                                                  | 2.63 ± 0.76                                      | 3.21 ± 0.61                                      | 200 (49.3%)     |

Table 2 Comparison of Demographics, Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Vaccination, and Vaccination Intention Between HCWs and Non-HCWs

| | Total n (%) | HCWs n (%) | Non-HCWs n (%) | χ²/t | P |
|---|-------------|------------|----------------|------|---|
| 1. Demographic characteristics                  |             |              |            |     |   |
| Gender                                           |             |              |            |     |   |
| Male                                             | 301 (38.0%) | 123 (31.8%)  | 178 (43.8%) |    |   |
| Female                                           | 492 (62.0%) | 264 (68.2%)  | 228 (56.2%) |    |   |
| Age (years)                                      |             |              |            |     |   |
| 18–25                                            | 209 (26.4%) | 118 (30.5%)  | 91 (22.4%)  | 10.59 | 0.014 |
| 26–35                                            | 228 (28.8%) | 108 (27.9%)  | 120 (29.6%) |    |   |
| 36–45                                            | 268 (33.8%) | 129 (33.3%)  | 139 (34.2%) |    |   |
| Above 45                                         | 88 (11.1%)  | 32 (8.3%)    | 56 (13.8%)  |    |   |
| Education status                                 |             |              |            |     |   |
| College degree and lower                         | 126 (15.9%) | 40 (10.3%)   | 86 (21.2%)  | 23.10 | 0.001 |
| Undergraduate degree                             | 483 (60.9%) | 265 (68.5%)  | 218 (53.7%) |    |   |
| Master’s degree and higher                       | 184 (23.2%) | 82 (21.2%)   | 102 (25.1%) |    |   |
| Have cardiovascular diseases or chronic diseases |             |              |            |     |   |
| Yes                                               | 58 (7.3%)   | 26 (6.7%)    | 32 (7.9%)   | 0.40 | 0.31 |
| No                                                | 735 (92.7%) | 361 (93.3%)  | 374 (92.1%) |    |   |
| 2. Knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination              |             |              |            |     |   |
| Perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases | 2.63 ± 0.66 | 2.49 ± 0.71 | 7.70 | < 0.001 |
| 3. Attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination          |             |              |            |     |   |
| Perception that COVID-19 vaccination is an important means to prevent disease | 3.32 ± 0.62 | 3.21 ± 0.61 | 2.50 | 0.01 |
| Perception that the COVID-19 vaccine is effective | 3.17 ± 0.61 | 3.12 ± 0.58 | 1.24 | 0.22 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a severe illness     | 3.49 ± 0.61 | 3.36 ± 0.59 | −2.89 | 0.004 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a risk               | 2.58 ± 0.66 | 2.30 ± 0.69 | 5.90 | < 0.001 |
| 4. Intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine       |             |              |            |     |   |
| Accept                                            | 450 (56.7%) | 250 (64.6%)  | 200 (49.3%) | 25.55 | < 0.001 |
| Reject                                            | 110 (13.9%) | 55 (14.2%)   | 55 (13.5%)  |    |   |
| Hesitance                                         | 233 (29.4%) | 82 (21.2%)   | 151 (37.2%) |    |   |
or chronic diseases did not significantly differ between HCWs and non-HCWs.

Comparison of the Predictors of COVID-19 Vaccination Intention

A series of multivariate binary logistic regressions were used to evaluate predictors of vaccination intention (Table 3). (1) The different predictors: For HCWs, demographic factors (gender, education level and age) were major predictors of COVID-19 vaccination intention. Female HCWs were more hesitant about receiving a COVID-19 vaccine than male HCWs (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.12–0.68, P = 0.01). HCWs with a Master’s or higher degree were more hesitant about receiving a COVID-19 vaccine (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 0.08, 95% CI = 0.02–0.38, P < 0.001). HCWs with higher vaccination intention as their age increased (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 10.65, 95% CI = 1.49–76.18, P = 0.02). For non-HCWs, perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination was the major predictor of COVID-19 vaccination intention. Non-HCWs with good perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases were 2.35 times more likely to intend to be vaccinated than their counterparts (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 2.35, 95% CI = 1.60–3.45, P <0.001). (2) The similar predictors: Attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination were a predictor of COVID-19 vaccination intention for both HCWs and non-HCWs. Perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 6.24, 95% CI = 2.49–15.60, P < 0.001; Reject vs Accept: OR = 2.39, 95% CI = 1.20–4.74, P = 0.01) and effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 3.37, 95% CI = 1.32–8.57, P = 0.01; Reject vs Accept: OR = 2.48, 95% CI = 1.19–5.16, P = 0.02) were predictors of positive vaccination intention for HCWs. Similarly, perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease (Hesitance vs Accept: OR = 2.11, 95% CI = 1.22–3.64, P = 0.01; Reject vs Accept: OR = 5.83, 95% CI = 2.33–14.58, P < 0.001) and effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine (Reject vs Accept: OR = 2.29, 95% CI = 0.96–5.47, P = 0.03) were predictors of positive vaccination intention for non-HCWs. Meanwhile, perceived severity of COVID-19 and risk of COVID-19 did not predict the intention of HCWs or non-HCWs to receive a COVID-19 vaccine.

Discussion

Following the successful development of COVID-19 vaccines, studies had investigated acceptance of these vaccines. In this study, we compared predictors of COVID-19 vaccination intention between HCWs and non-HCWs in terms of demographics, knowledge, and attitudes. In total, 387 HCWs and 406 non-HCWs were included in our study. A higher proportion of HCWs than non-HCWs intended to receive a COVID-19 vaccine (P < 0.001). Perceived knowledge of HCWs, including ancillary HCWs (such as nurses and laboratory technicians), about COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases was significantly better than that of non-HCWs (P < 0.001). A study from the United States found that knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination differed between clinical decision-makers (such as doctors) and the general public. Interestingly, ancillary HCWs in China appeared to have better perception of knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination relative to the public than their American peers. In addition, the mean scores (± SD) of positive attitudes were higher among HCWs than among non-HCWs, including perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease (P = 0.01), severity of COVID-19 (P = 0.004) and risk of COVID-19 (P < 0.001), except for perception of vaccine effectiveness (P = 0.22). This was consistent with a previous study about the knowledge of HCWs and their attitudes toward the influenza vaccine in Jordan.

We compared predictors of intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine between HCWs and non-HCWs. Female HCWs tended to be more hesitant about receiving a COVID-19 vaccine than male HCWs (P = 0.01). A similar study of HCWs in Saudi Arabia showed that the intention of men to be vaccinated is nearly 1.5 times higher than that of women. This may be because females were more concerned about vaccines and diseases than males and thus were more concerned about the safety and effectiveness of vaccines. HCWs were more willing to receive a COVID-19 vaccine as their age increased. Similarly, a study in France reported that older age correlated with COVID-19 vaccination intention.

Surprisingly, perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination was a predictor of vaccination intention for non-HCWs (P < 0.001), but not for HCWs. The more perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases that non-HCWs had, the more likely they were to intend to be vaccinated. Consistently, a previous study reported that lack of knowledge about vaccine-preventable diseases was a key factor responsible for the decline of vaccine coverage among the general public. Thus, increasing medical general knowledge (such as of vaccine-preventable diseases) was
Table 3 Comparison of Predictors of COVID-19 Vaccination Intention Between HCWs and Non-HCWs

|                               | Healthcare Workers (HCWs) | Non-Healthcare Workers (Non-HCWs) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                               | Intent to Be Vaccinated: | Intent to Be Vaccinated:         |
|                               | Hesitance vs Accept       | Reject vs Accept                 |
|                               | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P |
| 1. Demographic characteristic |                           |                                |
| Gender                        |                           |                                |
| Male                          | 1 (ref)                   | –                               | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – |
| Female                        | 0.28 (0.12, 0.68)         | 0.01                            | 0.55 (0.25, 1.23) | 0.15 | 0.85 (0.52, 1.39) | 0.52 | 1.01 (0.48, 2.12) | 0.97 |
| Age (years)                   |                           |                                |
| 18–25                         | 1.16 (0.51, 2.67)         | 0.72                            | 0.54 (0.23, 1.28) | 0.16 | 1.06 (0.55, 2.04) | 0.87 | 1.75 (0.59, 5.21) | 0.31 |
| 26–35                         | 2.37 (0.93, 6.03)         | 0.07                            | 1.36 (0.50, 3.75) | 0.55 | 0.74 (0.39, 1.39) | 0.35 | 1.20 (0.48, 3.03) | 0.69 |
| 36–45                         | 10.65 (1.49, 76.18)       | 0.02                            | 1.13 (0.27, 4.63) | 0.87 | 1.60 (0.66, 3.85) | 0.30 | 2.45 (0.57, 10.47) | 0.23 |
| Above 45                      |                           |                                |
| College degree and lower’     | 1 (ref)                   | –                               | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – |
| Undergraduate degree           | 0.28 (0.07, 1.12)         | 0.07                            | 0.60 (0.20, 1.78) | 0.36 | 0.87 (0.44, 1.71) | 0.68 | 0.51 (0.16, 1.57) | 0.24 |
| Master’s degree and higher     | 0.08 (0.02, 0.38)         | <0.001                          | 0.43 (0.12, 1.56) | 0.20 | 0.52 (0.23, 1.16) | 0.11 | 0.41 (0.10, 1.64) | 0.21 |
| Have cardiovascular diseases or chronic diseases | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – | 1 (ref) | – |
| Yes                           | 0.87 (0.09, 9.68)         | 0.91                            | 1.98 (0.50, 7.90) | 0.36 | 0.61 (0.25, 1.51) | 0.29 | 1.19 (0.34, 4.15) | 0.79 |
| No                            |                           |                                |
| Perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases | 1.79 (0.98, 3.30) | 0.06 | 1.44 (0.77, 2.68) | 0.26 | 2.35 (1.60, 3.45) | <0.001 | 0.93 (0.53, 1.61) | 0.79 |
| Perception that COVID-19 vaccine is effective | 6.24 (2.49, 15.60) | <0.001 | 2.39 (1.20, 4.74) | 0.01 | 2.11 (1.22, 3.64) | 0.01 | 5.83 (2.33, 14.58) | <0.001 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a severe illness | 3.37 (1.32, 8.57) | 0.01 | 2.48 (1.19, 5.16) | 0.02 | 1.19 (0.69, 2.05) | 0.53 | 2.29 (0.96, 5.47) | 0.03 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is a risk | 1.08 (0.61, 1.90) | 0.80 | 1.21 (0.68, 2.17) | 0.52 | 0.88 (0.59, 1.33) | 0.55 | 0.59 (0.32, 1.07) | 0.08 |
| Perception that COVID-19 is an important means to prevent disease | 0.74 (0.43, 1.25) | 0.25 | 0.96 (0.57, 1.63) | 0.89 | 1.05 (0.73, 1.52) | 0.78 | 1.62 (0.96, 2.75) | 0.07 |
an effective measure to increase COVID-19 vaccine uptake among non-HCWs.

However, perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine-preventable diseases did not predict the vaccination intention of HCWs. HCWs who were more highly educated (especially those with a Master’s or higher degree) were more hesitant about receiving a COVID-19 vaccine (P < 0.001). Highly educated HCWs with more professional medical knowledge were more concerned about the vaccine development process. They were aware that the development of human vaccines can take years or even decades and involves animal testing, multi-phase clinical trials, new drug applications, and real-world studies. By contrast, COVID-19 vaccines have been developed and mass vaccination campaigns have been rolled out at incredible speed. This accelerated research process raises doubts in HCWs about the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines. A study by Barry et al reported that HCWs were 60% less willing to accept COVID-19 vaccines if they were hastily administered without evidence-based testing. A recent study from the United States provided a detailed explanation of COVID-19 vaccine development, which drew attention from the medical community and may reduce doubts about COVID-19 vaccines among HCWs. As CBS News reported

We have a lot more work to do to get HCWs to take the vaccine. Simply making it available is not enough. We have to take a more precise, targeted approach to reach different segments of population to overcome hesitancy.

As early as October, 2020, Sarah Kreps et al used the hypothetical vaccine method to survey vaccine preference in the United States and showed that vaccine efficacy was the most important factor associated with intention to receive COVID-19 vaccines. Our survey confirmed that perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease (P < 0.001) and effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine (P < 0.05) were predictors of COVID-19 vaccine intention among HCWs and non-HCWs. Meanwhile, perceived severity of COVID-19 and risk of COVID-19 did not predict the intention of HCWs or non-HCWs to receive a COVID-19 vaccine. This was consistent with Faasse et al findings in an Australian sample that perceived risk and severity of infection were only marginally associated with intentions to get a vaccine. Predictors of intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine were complex, and cultural, social, and political differences between countries should be considered during the vaccination decision-making process. For example, a study from the United States showed that the strongest predictors of vaccination intention were trust in the system evaluating vaccines and local COVID-19 vaccination norms. This was different from the findings of the current study, which was conducted in China, where the public had a high degree of trust in the government.

This study had some limitations. Firstly, the data were collected through an electronic, rather than face-to-face questionnaire, and the questionnaire was completed on a voluntary basis. This may have resulted in sampling bias and uncontrollable situations during completion of the questionnaire. Due to the relatively small sample size, the results should be considered preliminary. Secondly, the survey was performed at a specific point in time during the pandemic, and the results may change as vaccines control the epidemic.

**Conclusion**

In China, intention to receive a COVID-19 vaccine was higher among HCWs than among non-HCWs. In addition, HCWs had better perceived knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination and more positive attitudes toward COVID-19 vaccination, including perceptions about the importance of COVID-19 vaccination to prevent disease, severity and risk of COVID-19 than non-HCWs. Measures should be taken to improve the vaccination rate based on the predictors of vaccination intention identified in this study. For HCWs, the hesitancy of highly educated or female HCWs about vaccination must be addressed. They may be concerned about the safety and effectiveness of rapidly developed vaccines based on their professional medical knowledge. For non-HCWs, Popularization of medical general knowledge, such as of vaccine-preventable diseases, may improve the intention of non-HCWs to receive a COVID-19 vaccine.
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