Effect of once weekly dulaglutide by baseline beta-cell function in people with type 2 diabetes in the AWARD programme
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Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists lower blood glucose in type 2 diabetes (T2D) partially through glucose-dependent stimulation of insulin secretion. The aim of this study was to investigate whether beta-cell function (as measured by HOMA2-%B) at baseline affects the glycaemic response to dulaglutide. Dulaglutide-treated patients from AWARD-1, AWARD-3 and AWARD-6 clinical studies were categorised based on their homeostatic model assessment of beta-cell function (HOMA2-%B) tertiles. Changes in glycaemic measures in response to treatment with once-weekly dulaglutide were evaluated in each HOMA2-%B tertile. Patients with low HOMA2-%B had higher baseline glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting and postprandial blood glucose, and longer duration of diabetes (P < .001, all) (mean low, middle and high tertiles with dulaglutide 1.5 mg: HOMA2-%B, 31%, 58%, 109%; HbA1c, 8.7%, 7.7%, 7.3%, respectively). At 26 weeks, the low tertile experienced larger reductions in HbA1c compared to the high tertile with dulaglutide 1.5 mg (mean; −1.55% vs. −0.98% [−16.94 vs. −10.71 mmol/mol]). Differences between low and high tertiles disappeared when adjusted for baseline HbA1c (LSM; −1.00 vs. −1.18% [−10.93 vs. −12.90 mmol/mol]). Greater decreases in fasting blood glucose and greater increases in fasting C-peptide were observed in the low tertile. Similar increases in HOMA2-%B were observed in all tertiles. Dulaglutide demonstrated clinically relevant HbA1c reduction irrespective of estimated baseline beta-cell function.

KEYWORDS
beta-cell function, dulaglutide, GLP-1 receptor agonist, type 2 diabetes

INTRODUCTION

Despite the identification of multiple defects, impaired beta-cell function remains the main mechanism to account for the development and progression of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes (T2D).1 Recognition of the central pathogenic role of beta-cell failure has relevant clinical implications. For instance, patients with lower beta-cell function may only temporarily benefit from insulin secretagogues, which increase beta-cell workload.2,3 Furthermore, treatment that could exert less stress on the beta-cell may contribute to some degree of preservation of insulin secretion over time. Moreover, therapies that reduce beta-cell workload or induce beta-cell rest may be most beneficial in individuals with T2D and prominent beta-cell impairment.3,4 In this respect, there has been growing interest in glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) as a treatment option for patients with lower beta-cell function.

GLP-1RAs primarily act by improving glucose homeostasis, enhancing glucose-dependent insulin secretion, suppressing glucagon levels and slowing gastric emptying, with initial pre-clinical...
observations also claiming potential beta-cell preservation. However, clinical data are limited. Markers for low beta-cell function, including C-peptide and islet autoantibodies, have been associated with reduced glycaemic response to GLP-1RA therapy in insulin-treated patients. Similarly, in an observational study, patients with a lower urinary C-peptide creatinine ratio were associated with reduced glycaemic response to lixisenatide. In contrast, lixisenatide improved glycaemic control irrespective of beta-cell function, as measured by the secretory units of islets in transplantation index and by homeostatic model assessment for beta-cell function, HOMA2-%B. In comparison to reduced glycaemic response to GLP-1RA therapies in patients with islet autoantibodies, in a condition frequently called latent autoimmune diabetes in the adult (LADA), characterised by impaired beta-cell function—dulaglutide appears to remain effective. However, the effect of baseline beta-cell function on glycaemic response to dulaglutide has not been systematically explored.

Dulaglutide, a once-weekly GLP-1RA approved for the treatment of T2D, has been evaluated in the Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 in Diabetes (AWARD) clinical development programme. In these clinical studies, dulaglutide significantly reduced higher baseline glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) irrespective of age, gender, ethnicity, duration of diabetes, body mass index (BMI), body weight at baseline, or HbA1c, with a greater effect observed in patients with higher baseline HbA1c.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether beta-cell function status at baseline measured by HOMA2-%B affects the glycaemic response to dulaglutide. Patients with T2D enrolled in three clinical trials from the AWARD programme (AWARD-1, AWARD-3, and AWARD-6) were included in the analysis.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

Patients from the AWARD-1, AWARD-3, and AWARD-6 studies were included in the post-hoc analysis using the available HOMA2-%B data. In the AWARD studies, key eligibility criteria included: age ≥18 years old, T2D not optimally controlled with diet and exercise and/or at least one stable dose of oral antihyperglycaemia medication, baseline HbA1c values of ≥6.5% to 7.0% to ≤10.0%, stable weight for ≥3 months prior to screening, and a BMI of 23 to 45 kg/m². Patients received dulaglutide in combination with metformin and pioglitazone (AWARD-1), as monotherapy (AWARD-3), or in combination with metformin (AWARD-6). Individual trial results comparing dulaglutide treatment to exenatide or placebo, metformin or lixilaglutide are published.

Data from dulaglutide-treated patients (1.5 mg [and 0.75 mg as presented in the supporting information for this article]) in these 3 studies were pooled by dose, and the overall population was categorised into 3 groups based on baseline HOMA2-%B low, middle, and high tertiles. Baseline characteristics and changes at week 26 in glycaemic measures were analysed. Variables analysed included HbA1c, fasting C-peptide, fasting insulin, fasting blood glucose (FBG), self-monitoring plasma glucose (SMPG) values including daily mean two-hour postprandial glucose (PPG) and daily mean two-hour excursion. SMPG excursion was calculated by subtracting the two-hour SMPG value from the pre-meal SMPG value. Homeostatic model assessment for beta-cell function, HOMA2-%B, and insulin resistance, HOMA2-IR, indices were calculated on the basis of the updated version of the HOMA model.

2.2 | Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed on the intent-to-treat population. Baseline characteristics for the integrated population were categorised by dulaglutide dose and HOMA2-%B tertile. Outcome measures at 26 weeks were compared among baseline HOMA2-%B tertiles without adjusting the other variables. In addition, the ANalysis of COVAriance (ANCOVA) model with Last Observation Carried Forward (LOCF) was used to analyse changes in HbA1c to adjust for baseline differences in HbA1c. LOCF was applied to all the efficacy endpoints at 26 weeks. The covariates of the ANCOVA model are: Baseline, Pooled Country, Treatment, Tertile, Tertile*Treatment. Data are presented as mean (unadjusted), least squares mean (LSM; adjusted for HbA1c changes) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

3 | RESULTS

Baseline characteristics for patients treated with dulaglutide 1.5 mg are presented in Table 1 (results for dulaglutide 0.75 mg are presented in Table S2). Overall, 1346 patients were included in this analysis (dulaglutide 1.5 mg, n = 817; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, n = 529). Age was similar in all HOMA2-%B tertiles. The low tertile had the longest duration of diabetes, highest baseline HbA1c, FBG and PPG, and lowest body weight and BMI (P < .001, low vs. other tertiles).

3.1 | Change in HbA1c

At 26 weeks, unadjusted reductions in HbA1c with dulaglutide 1.5 mg were significant in all tertiles (Figure 1A), with a significantly greater decrease observed in the low tertile compared to the other tertiles. When adjusted for baseline HbA1c differences (ANCOVA with LOCF analysis), HbA1c reductions among the tertiles were similar (dulaglutide 1.5 mg, n = 817; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, n = 529). Age was similar in all HOMA2-%B tertiles. The low tertile had the longest duration of diabetes, highest baseline HbA1c, FBG and PPG, and lowest body weight and BMI (P < .001, low vs. other tertiles).

3.2 | Change in FBG, fasting C-peptide, HOMA2-%B, fasting serum insulin and HOMA2-IR

At 26 weeks, reductions in FBG were significant in patients who received dulaglutide 1.5 mg in all tertiles (Figure 1C). A significantly greater decrease in FBG was observed in the low tertile compared to
Data presented as mean ± SD or n (%).

| Parameter                        | Low (3.5%-43.9%) (N = 293) | Middle (44.2%-73.9%) (N = 288) | High (74.4%-258.4%) (N = 236) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| HOMA2-%B (%)                     | 30.5 ± 9.5                  | 58.1 ± 8.3                      | 108.8 ± 34.5                 |
| Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L    | 11.2 ± 2.7                  | 8.7 ± 1.8                       | 7.0 ± 1.3                    |
| Fasting C-peptide, nmol/L        | 0.7 ± 0.3                   | 0.9 ± 0.4                       | 1.3 ± 0.5                    |
| Fasting serum insulin, pmol/L    | 64.8 ± 41.5                 | 112.2 ± 90.1                    | 147.3 ± 87.8                 |
| HbA1c, %                         | 8.7 ± 1.1                   | 7.7 ± 0.8                       | 7.3 ± 0.7                    |
| Hba1c, mmol/mol                  | 71.1 ± 12.0                 | 60.7 ± 8.7                      | 56.5 ± 7.7                   |
| Duration of diabetes, years      | 8.0 ± 5.9                   | 5.6 ± 5.2                       | 4.8 ± 3.9                    |
| Weight, kg                       | 90.9 ± 18.7                 | 94.9 ± 18.8                     | 98.2 ± 19.1                  |
| BMI, kg/m²                       | 31.9 ± 5.1                  | 33.5 ± 5.2                      | 35.1 ± 5.4                   |
| HOMA2-IR                         | 2.1 ± 1.2                   | 2.6 ± 2.4                       | 3.3 ± 1.5                    |
| Daily pre-meal mean (SMPG), mmol/L| 10.8 ± 2.7                  | 8.7 ± 1.8                       | 7.8 ± 1.4                    |
| Daily 2-h PP meal mean (SMPG), mmol/L| 12.5 ± 3.0                  | 10.4 ± 2.1                      | 9.4 ± 1.9                    |
| Daily 2-h excursion mean (SMPG), mmol/L| 1.7 ± 1.4                  | 1.7 ± 1.2                       | 1.6 ± 1.4                    |
| Sex, female, n (%)               | 134 (45.7)                  | 151 (52.4)                      | 128 (54.2)                   |
| Age, years                       | 55.6 ± 9.5                  | 57.0 ± 10.0                     | 55.5 ± 9.6                   |
| Previous OAM treatment at screening, n (%) | 24 (8.2) | 51 (17.7) | 36 (15.3) |
| No OAM                           | 187 (63.8)                  | 173 (60.1)                      | 132 (55.9)                   |
| 1 OAM                            | 82 (28.0)                   | 64 (22.2)                       | 68 (28.8)                    |

The lowest baseline fasting C-peptide levels were observed in the low HOMA2-%B tertile (Table 1), the increase in fasting C-peptide levels was numerically greater in patients with low baseline HOMA2-%B at 26 weeks (Figure 1D). Similar results were observed in patients treated with dulaglutide 0.75 mg (Table S2 and Figure S1D).

At 26 weeks, significant increases in HOMA2-%B were similar in all baseline HOMA2-%B tertiles (mean [95% CI] increase: 37.5% [34.1, 40.9], 42.8% [38.4, 47.3] and 39.2% [33.4, 45.0] for low, middle, and high HOMA2-%B tertiles, respectively) (Figure 1E). In patients treated with dulaglutide 0.75 mg, significant increases in HOMA2-%B were similar in all groups (Figure S1E).

At 26 weeks, similar and significant increases in fasting serum insulin were observed in all groups, both with dulaglutide 1.5 mg (Table S1) and dulaglutide 0.75 mg (Table S3). Changes in HOMA2-IR were not significant in any tertile treated with dulaglutide 1.5 mg or dulaglutide 0.75 mg (Tables S1 and S3, respectively).

3.3 | Changes in pre-meal glucose, 2-hour PPG and 2-hour SMPG

At 26 weeks, reductions in mean pre-meal glucose and mean 2-hour PPG were significant in patients who received dulaglutide in all tertiles. The greatest decrease was observed in patients in the low tertile for both mean pre-meal glucose and mean two-hour PPG (Table S1). At 26 weeks, reductions in the 2-hour glucose excursions were significant in patients who received dulaglutide in all tertiles, with no differences observed between tertiles. Similar reductions in SMPG parameters were observed in patients treated with dulaglutide 0.75 mg (Table S3).

4 | DISCUSSION

This post-hoc analysis investigated the efficacy of once-weekly dulaglutide by baseline beta-cell function measured by HOMA2-%B in patients with T2D. Overall, when adjusted for baseline HbA1c, dulaglutide treatment resulted in similar, clinically meaningful decreases in HbA1c irrespective of beta-cell function: the glycaemic improvement achieved by dulaglutide was similar between tertiles of HOMA2-%B.

In our cohort, more severe baseline beta-cell impairment was associated with higher baseline HbA1c, FBG and PPG, and longer duration of diabetes. These are all characteristics of the concomitant beta-cell function, and glycaemic deterioration typically observed in patients with higher baseline HbA1c in contrast, elevated insulin resistance and obesity was more common in patients with less impaired beta-cell function, indicating that patients may have reached the glycaemic threshold of diabetes with a relatively better beta-cell function.

Dulaglutide treatment resulted in greater decreases in HbA1c in patients with low baseline HOMA2-%B and high baseline HbA1c concentration. This was anticipated since greater reductions in HbA1c are typically observed in patients with higher baseline HbA1c. When adjusting for baseline HbA1c, these differences disappeared and the overall treatment response to dulaglutide in terms of glycaemic control was similar between all HOMA2-%B tertiles.
HOMA2-%B increased to a similar extent in all tertiles, indicating that beta-cell function improved regardless of baseline beta-cell function. Higher absolute, and even greater relative, increases in fasting C-peptide from baseline were observed in patients with low HOMA2-%B. At baseline, these patients also had markedly lower C-peptide concentration, and a proportionally larger increase with dulaglutide therapy. Beta-cell function doubled in this group due to the simultaneous drop in fasting glucose concentration and increased fasting plasma insulin. It was notable that while the relative increase from baseline in HOMA2-%B was greater in the low tertile, the actual HOMA2-%B achieved at 26 weeks was still lower compared to the high tertile.

Similar improvements in beta-cell function with dulaglutide were also reported under fasting conditions, as well as after a standardised test meal. While the mechanism of improved beta-cell function with GLP-1RAs is not fully understood, their stimulation of insulin biosynthesis, excretion, and improvements in glucose sensitivity of beta-cells, may play an important role.

Overall, the glycaemic response to dulaglutide is maintained regardless of differences in baseline beta-cell function. Conflicting results have been reported as to whether or not beta-cell function affects the glycaemic response to GLP-1RAs. A recent study which also used HOMA2-%B measurements showed similar reductions in HbA1c with once-daily lixisenatide in patients with low HOMA2-%B
as those with less deteriorated beta-cell function, suggesting the presence of sufficient beta-cell function that could still respond to stimulation by GLP-1RAs. Nonetheless, the absolute residual beta-cell capacity may be key in determining the response to GLP-1RAs. In the Predicting Response to Incretin Based Agents (PRIBA) study, reduced glycaemic responses to liрагlutide, exenatide twice daily, and exenatide once weekly, were previously observed in insulin-treated patients with low beta-cell function and severe insulin deficiency. Obviously, those patients in the PRIBA study had considerably higher baseline HbA1c (9.7%), BMI (39.7 kg/m²), lower beta-cell function, severe insulin deficiency (i.e. fasting C-peptide ≤0.25 nmol/L), and were frequently on insulin treatment, in comparison to the patients in the current study. Indeed, patients with a longer duration of diabetes, on higher insulin doses, and with a lower beta-cell function, were less likely to switch from insulin to exenatide therapy. In contrast to the effects with the GLP-1RAs examined in the PRIBA study, dulaglutide efficacy was maintained with a longer duration of diabetes, or in the presence of the islet autoantibodies characteristic of LADA. Consistent to this, a study showing a significantly less marked glycaemic response to liрагlutide was associated with low postprandial urinary C-peptide creatinine ratio. In summary, therapeutic benefits can still be achieved if the remaining beta-cell function is sufficient to support the effects of GLP-1RAs. GLP-1RAs may also exert favourable effects through direct or indirect insulin-induced suppression of glucagon, which may account for persistent efficacy even in advanced stages of the disease.

One limitation of this study is the post-hoc nature of the analysis and the lack of a placebo or active comparator. HOMA2-%B indicates beta-cell function only in fasting conditions and is a weak indicator for dynamic beta-cell capabilities. Despite the relatively large sample size, the duration of the studies in the current analysis was limited to 6 months, which may not represent the effects of long-term use of dulaglutide. Finally, it is worth noting that even the lowest tertile retained sufficient beta-cell function irrespective of long duration of diabetes, which may not reflect the disease characteristics typically observed in the general population of T2D with similar duration. Therefore, studies with special patient populations with even more severe beta-cell dysfunction are needed to explore this further.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that treatment with once-weekly dulaglutide improves glycaemic control regardless of baseline beta-cell function, suggesting it can be a valid treatment option for patients with T2D at different stages of severity. It is also noted that some level of residual beta-cell function is necessary to maintain the glycaemic efficacy of GLP-1RAs.
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