Associations between altered movement patterns during single-leg squat and muscle activity at weight-transfer initiation in individuals with anterior cruciate ligament injury
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ABSTRACT

Background: Little is known about factors contributing to the altered movement patterns observed in many individuals with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury. We addressed whether altered muscular activity is such a factor.

Methods: 16 participants with unilateral, non-reconstructed ACL rupture were scored for altered movement patterns according to Test for Substitution Patterns (TSP), which includes the single-leg squat (SLS). Surface electromyography (SEMG), was recorded in the lower extremities at initiation of weight-transfer from double-leg to single-leg stance (eyes closed), simulating the initiation of an SLS. Normalised SEMG amplitudes 200–300 ms after weight-transfer initiation were compared between injured and non-injured sides, and correlated to the TSP scores for the SLS. Peak absolute SEMG amplitudes during 5 TSP test movements were also compared between sides.

Results: At weight-transfer initiation, muscle activity was lower in the tibialis anterior, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus muscles on the injured side. Low muscle activity correlated moderately to worse TSP scores for the SLS. Normalised SEMG amplitudes 200–300 ms after weight-transfer initiation were compared between injured and non-injured sides, and correlated to the TSP scores for the SLS. Peak absolute SEMG amplitudes during 5 TSP test movements were also compared between sides.

Conclusions: The altered patterns of muscle activity at weight-transfer initiation, correlations between lower activity at movement initiation and altered movement patterns during SLS and the altered peak amplitudes during TSP movements together indicate alterations in sensorimotor control that may contribute to the observed altered movement patterns. Future studies will determine if exercises targeting muscle activity initiation should complement customary ACL injury rehabilitation.

INTRODUCTION

In many individuals with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury, altered movement patterns have been demonstrated during the performance of functional movements,1–3 and have been shown to be associated with, for example, knee osteoarthritis and injury mechanisms.4 5 Altered movement patterns, observed in non-injured individuals to a lesser extent,3 have been hypothesised to emerge from impaired sensorimotor control (the sensory, motor, and central integration and processing involved in maintaining joint

What are the new findings?

The findings indicate alterations in sensorimotor control that we suggest contribute to altered movement patterns in individuals with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury and comprise:

▪ Lower muscle activity (surface electromyography) on the injured as compared with non-injured side in the shank muscles at movement initiation of a basic weight-transfer task (the start of the single-leg squat).

▪ Correlations between lower muscle activity at movement initiation in the gluteus medius and gastrocnemius muscles and more pronounced altered movement patterns during single-leg squat assessed with the observational Test for Substitution Patterns (TSP).

▪ Altered peak amplitudes in quadriceps, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus muscles on injured as compared with non-injured sides during TSP test movements.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?

Rehabilitation targeting relearning of muscle activity initiation of muscles both proximal and distal to the knee joint might after additional investigation be advocated in addition to commonly used neuromuscular training following ACL injury.
stabilisation during movements) due to, for example, deviating muscular activity and/or on biomechanical instability.\(^2\) Alteration movement patterns are also assumed to be affected by decreased muscle force production, anticipation of instability or pain. However, the interplay between factors contributing to altered movement patterns is not well understood.

In individuals with ACL injury, inconsistent findings exist regarding patterns of muscular activity in the quadriceps and hamstrings muscles.\(^8\) In the shank, earlier onset and decreased activity in the gastrocnemius muscles have been described during gait in individuals with ACL injury.\(^8\) Both timing and degree of muscle activity have been studied,\(^11\) and Kim et al\(^11\) concluded that the magnitude of muscular activity at movement initiation during transition from double-leg to single-leg stance was more important for controlling knee and pelvic stability than activity onset in non-injured individuals. Notably, both delay of muscular activity and affected recruitment order have been interpreted as individuals. Notably, both delay of muscular activity and affected recruitment order have been interpreted as indicators of altered sensorimotor control that could affect the individual’s activity level and performance.\(^13\)–\(^16\) Also, a recent report by our group, decreased muscular activity was found to correlate to specific, unfavourable altered movement patterns during maximum knee flexion of single-leg squats (SLS) in individuals with ACL injury,\(^17\) when using an observational test of common rehabilitation movements with varying degree of difficulty.\(^17\) The Test for Substitution Patterns, TSP\(^3\) and surface electromyography (SEMG). In the present study, we compared possible overall changes in neuromuscular activity, we also (3) compared the peak absolute amplitude between the injured and non-injured sides for each muscle recorded during the weight-transfer task and during five TSP test movements of varying difficulty.\(^3\)\(^18\)

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

For details, see the online supplementary material.

**Participants**

A total of 16 participants, 10 women, aged 19–48 years (mean 29.5, SD 9.5), volunteered to participate. All had a total, unilateral, non-reconstructed ACL rupture sustained at mean 3.6 months (2–11 months, SD 2.3) before testing, with increased sagittal laxity verified by an orthopaedic surgeon and with MRI and/or arthroscopy. Participants with knee pain in daily life or injury to the contralateral knee were not included. All participants gave written, informed consent, and the study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund, Sweden, Dnr 2010/387. For details, see online supplementary material and Trulsson et al.\(^17\)

**Settings and procedure**

First, the participants performed the five TSP test movements (see below) and then the weight-transfer task from double-leg to single-leg stance with eyes closed, three trials for each movement while the SEMG was recorded, synchronised with an electrogoniometer and a video camera.

**Test movements and TSP scoring**

The complete manual of the TSP has been described previously,\(^3\)\(^18\) and a detailed description as performed in the present study can be found in ref.\(^17\). In brief, the TSP consists of five test movements—SLS, double-leg squat, forward lunge, tip-toe standing knee flexion and body weight altering, during which 18 specific unfavourable altered movement patterns are scored using a four-point, ordinal scale (0–3). In the present study, the score for the test movement SLS was used in the calculations of correlation between altered movement patterns and initial muscle activity. In the SLS movement, the following altered movements were scored: ‘knee medial to supporting foot’, ‘pronation of foot’, ‘lateral displacement of hip–pelvis region’, ‘displacement of trunk’. The TSP score for the SLS movement could vary from 0 to a maximum of 12 and median score on the non-injured
side was 0 (minimum–maximum 0–3) and on the injured side was 2 (minimum–maximum 0–6; p=0.003). The most frequent substitution patterns in SLS were ‘knee medial to the supporting foot’ and ‘displacement of trunk’ (both present in 9/16 participants).

Weight-transfer task
During the weight-transfer task from double-leg to single-leg stance, standardised verbal and visual instructions according to the manual were given. The task was performed with eyes closed as in investigations. The participant was instructed to stand with equal weight on both legs, look at a fixed point on the opposite wall and then close the eyes. The examiner gave distinct verbal instructions to transfer weight to stand on one leg for about 2 s. Thereafter, the participant returned to two-leg stance for about 5 s before receiving a new instruction. Three consecutive trials were performed, first standing three times on the non-injured leg and then on the injured leg similarly to previous analogous investigations.

SEMGl, electrogoniometers and video camera
For details including piloting and protocol development, see online supplementary material and ref. 17. In short, the SEMG was recorded bilaterally from gluteus medius, biceps femoris (long head), quadriceps femoris vastus lateralis, tibialis anterior, medial head of gastrocnemius and the peroneus longus muscle. The Mega Win Software V.3.1 was used to digitally filter the raw SEMG signals with a band-pass filter with cut-off frequencies of 30 and 400 Hz and epochs of 125 ms to calculate the root mean square value. Surface electrodes were placed according to SENIAM recommendations. Strain gauge electrogoniometers (SG150, Biometrics, Newport, UK), were used to measure knee flexion/extension angle, and were mounted laterally on the knees. The goniometer signals were recorded on the same data logger as the SEMG signal. The video camera captured the session from the front and was synchronised with the SEMG and electrogoniometer, so that the movements could also be assessed afterwards.

Data analysis and statistics
Data analysis
The same examiner observed and scored all participants according to the protocol. The examiner identified a trial during which the participant was stable during two-leg stance (=stood with good balance and no observable movement to support balance) at transfer from double-leg to single-leg stance. To analyse SEMG activity during the chosen trial, the Mega Win software was used to present the root mean square averaged SEMG amplitudes (microvolts, μV) on a time axis (milliseconds, ms). A baseline was identified as the moment with 0° of change in electrogoniometer measurement and with minimal changes in SEMG. The start of the weight transfer was identified as the instance when the electrogoniometer changed 1–2° of knee extension of the leg the participant was about to transfer the weight to. The mean SEMG amplitude in μV, during 100 ms, starting at 200 ms (in analogy with) after the start of the weight transfer was recorded for each muscle, each leg and each individual. To normalise the mean amplitudes during the 100 ms period, the highest amplitude during the test movements as a whole for each muscle, each leg for each individual was calculated as a ratio of the mean amplitude to the highest amplitude. These normalised values were then used in the statistical analysis.

Statistics
The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to test for differences between sides with respect to TSP scores and normalised SEMG amplitudes. Differences p≤0.05 were considered statistically significant. The non-parametric CI (95%) was calculated for the median of the paired differences. For the correlation calculations between the normalised SEMG amplitude and the results of the TSP score, the Spearman’s correlation coefficients (r_s) were used. All calculations and statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS V.11.5 and IBM SPSS Statistics V.20.0.

RESULTS
Muscular activity at movement initiation
At movement initiation, 200 ms after the identified weight transfer from double-leg to single-leg stance, three of the six muscles displayed lower SEMG activity on the injured compared with the non-injured side. The median difference in normalised amplitude between the injured and non-injured sides was 0.02 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.13; p=0.003) for tibialis anterior, 0.05 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.10; p=0.003) for gastrocnemius medialis and 0.05 (95% CI 0.00 to 0.16; p=0.034) for the peroneus longus muscle (table 1).

Correlations between SEMG and TSP scores
During the weight-transfer task, muscle activity of the gluteus medius and the gastrocnemius medialis were negatively correlated to the TSP score for the SLS movement, with r_s=−0.56, p=0.03 (95% CI −0.82 to −0.08) and r_s=−0.56, p=0.02 (95% CI −0.83 to −0.09), respectively. This indicates that the lower the activity in these muscles at weight-transfer initiation, the more pronounced is the altered movement patterns in the SLS. No other correlations between deviations in muscle activity and TSP scores for the SLS were found, either on the injured or on the non-injured side.

Peak SEMG activity during the test movements
The peak absolute SEMG amplitude in μV, recorded during the five test movements was lower for the quadriceps, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus muscles on the injured compared with the non-injured side. For the quadriceps, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus, the median differences between the injured and the non-injured sides were 80.0 (95% CI 7.0 to 137.0; p=0.013),
47.0 (95% CI 5.0 to 117.0; p=0.034) and 94.0 (95% CI −18.0 to 203.0; p=0.030), respectively. For the gluteus medius, biceps femoris and tibialis anterior muscles, no differences in peak absolute SEMG amplitude during the test movements were found (table 2).

DISCUSSION

The main findings in the present study were the (1) correlations between low SEMG activity in the gluteus medius and gastrocnemius muscles at weight-transfer initiation and more pronounced altered movement patterns during SLS on injured side, (2) altered patterns of muscle activity at weight-transfer initiation in shank muscles and altered peak amplitudes during TSP movements in quadriceps, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus muscles on injured side. Taken together, these findings indicate alterations in sensorimotor control that we suggest may contribute to the altered movement patterns observed in these individuals.

Correlations between SEMG and TSP scores

The correlations between low muscle activity in gluteus medius at movement initiation and more pronounced specific altered movement patterns during SLS on the injured side (with the pattern ‘knee medial to the supporting foot’ as one of the most frequent) is in accordance with the results by Kim et al who showed that the magnitude of muscular activity in gluteus medius at movement initiation (anticipatory activation) was of relevance for the reduction of ‘knee medial to supporting foot’ and for preventing ‘lateral displacement of hip–pelvis region’ in non-injured individuals.

The correlation between low muscle activity at weight-transfer initiation in the gluteus medius and gastrocnemius muscles and the scores of altered movement patterns of SLS, suggest that the lower the initial muscle activity at transfer initiation, the more altered movement patterns or more pronounced altered movement patterns were observed later in SLS movement. These muscles play a crucial role in weight bearing, but it is also likely that additional alterations can emerge later during movement contributing to the observed altered movement patterns. Support for this was found in a recent study, where lower muscle activity in, for example, gluteus medius at maximum knee flexion during SLS correlated to a more pronounced medial knee displacement.17

Muscular activity at movement initiation and in peak SEMG activity—implications of altered sensorimotor control and possible relevance to clinical implications

In studies of patterns of muscle activity, the assessment of onset time is often used, where delayed onset has been interpreted as an indication of deficiency or

Table 1

| Muscle               | Median amplitude (Q1, Q3) non-injured side | Median amplitude (Q1, Q3) injured side | p Value | Median difference (95% CI) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Gluteus medius       | 0.17 (0.10, 0.21)                          | 0.08 (0.04, 0.18)                      | 0.056   | 0.05 (0.00 to 0.11)       |
| Biceps femoris       | 0.05 (0.03, 0.06)                          | 0.07 (0.04, 0.10)                      | 0.438   | −0.005 (−0.06 to 0.02)    |
| Quadriceps, vastus lateralis | 0.04 (0.03, 0.07)                     | 0.04 (0.01, 0.06)                      | 0.469   | 0.00 (−0.01 to 0.02)      |
| Tibialis anterior    | 0.04 (0.02, 0.12)                          | 0.01 (0.01, 0.03)                      | 0.003   | 0.02 (0.00 to 0.13)       |
| Gastrocnemius medialis | 0.09 (0.05, 0.16)                     | 0.05 (0.02, 0.11)                      | 0.003   | 0.05 (0.00 to 0.10)       |
| Peroneus longus      | 0.13 (0.05, 0.17)                          | 0.04 (0.02, 0.13)                      | 0.034   | 0.05 (0.00 to 0.16)       |

Q1, Q3= quartiles 1 (25th percentile) and 3 (75th percentile). p Value, Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Table 2

| Muscle               | Median peak amplitude (Q1, Q3) non-injured side | Median peak amplitude (Q1, Q3) injured side | p Value | Median difference 95% CI |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Gluteus medius       | 112.0 (76.8, 151.5)                           | 102.0 (79.8, 154.2)                         | 0.266   | 12.5 (−11.0 to 34.0)   |
| Biceps femoris       | 124.5 (70.8, 152.2)                           | 135.5 (98.8, 158.0)                         | 0.234   | −16.0 (−41.0 to 33.0)  |
| Quadriceps, vastus lateralis | 301.0 (230.8, 351.0)                | 220.5 (149.5, 304.0)                        | 0.013   | 80.0 (7.0 to 137.0)    |
| Tibialis anterior    | 490.5 (362.2, 608.5)                          | 441.5 (309.5, 533.0)                        | 0.079   | 78.0 (−22.0 to 153.0)  |
| Gastrocnemius medialis | 344.5 (300.0, 372.0)             | 282.5 (232.5, 347.5)                        | 0.034   | 47.0 (5.0 to 117.0)    |
| Peroneus longus      | 330.0 (241.5, 492.5)                          | 243.5 (215.8, 310.5)                        | 0.030   | 94.0 (−18.0 to 203.0)  |

Q1, Q3= quartiles 1 (25th percentile) and 3 (75th percentile). p Value, Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
decreased sensorimotor control.11–13 Similarly, lower amplitudes in the gastrocnemius muscles, also found in the present study, have previously been found in individuals with ACL injury.7 Although the same assessments were not used, the lower amplitude at weight-transfer initiation in distal muscles in the present study might be put into the context of the results of Dingelen et al.,16 describing delayed onset in the gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior muscles when comparing individuals with ACL injury to controls performing a weight-transfer task. However, no differences were found by Dingelen et al in the peroneus longus muscle. The relevance of distal muscle activity to ACL injury and associations between the peroneus longus muscle and ACL injury.8 Although the same assessments were not used, the lower amplitude at weight-transfer initiation in distal muscles in the present study might be put into the context of the results of Dingelen et al.,16 describing delayed onset in the gastrocnemius and tibialis anterior muscles when comparing individuals with ACL injury to controls performing a weight-transfer task. However, no differences were found by Dingelen et al in the peroneus longus muscle. The relevance of distal muscle activity to ACL injury and associations between the peroneus longus muscle and ACL injury.8

**Limitations**

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting the present results. To be able to compare SEMG amplitudes between individuals or muscles, a normalisation calculation is often performed, using maximal voluntary contraction (MVC). Owing to the relatively short time since initial ACL injury, we made the judgement that there was the risk that the strain during MVCs could endanger the injured knee and cause a new knee injury and/or subsequent transient pain also affecting the assessment. We chose therefore to use peak absolute amplitudes for each muscle for the same leg produced during the TSP test movements for normalisation calculations.

The use of comparison between left and right sides can constitute a possible limitation. But, since there are considerable interindividual variations in the performance of the same movement, it is difficult to deduce whether altered muscle activity underlies altered movement patterns unless the patient is his/her own control. Also, side-to-side differences were not found for SEMG temporal measures among non-injured controls, for example, medial gastrocnemius during single-leg hop, a more strenuous activity than the one performed here.15 26 Also, no differences between sides were found in onset times in controls performing an almost identical task as in the present study, except for in the gluteus maximus muscle.16 It is further known that deficits in proprioception and postural control are evident also in the non-injured side after ACL injury.43 If this is the case in this study, it is possible that even more pronounced differences would be found if data from the present study could be compared with the data of non-injured controls. Another issue is that the order of testing was not randomised. This could result in a learning effect where the task on the injured side, performed after the non-injured side, was easier. If this was the case, a randomisation of the test order most likely would result in even more pronounced differences between sides.

The present study was a first step towards understanding associations between muscle activity at movement initiation and altered movement patterns. More studies are needed to further the understanding and to establish clinical guidelines. However, the physiological differences between sides in our study do exist, and it cannot be excluded that they could affect function and/or be of potential diagnostic value in ACL rehabilitation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Altered patterns in muscular activity shown as lower amplitude at weight-transfer initiation in shank muscles with good results 15 years after initial injury in individuals with ACL injury by Zätterström et al.,35–38 and favourable results have been obtained also with perturbation training eliciting dynamic joint stabilisation by muscular co-activation of the lower extremity.30
during weight transfer but also as lower peak absolute amplitude partly in other muscles (the quadriceps, gastrocnemius and peroneus longus muscles) during five TSP test movements suggest alterations in sensorimotor control. This is further supported by correlations between lower muscle activity at weight transfer in gluteus medius and gastrocnemius muscles and altered movement patterns. It is suggested that the alterations in sensorimotor control found here may contribute to altered movement patterns, but further investigations of contributing factors are warranted. All in all, future studies will show whether exercises targeting relearning of motor control strategies and aberrant muscle activity initiation in muscles both proximal and distal to the knee joint should be advocated as a complement to customary ACL injury rehabilitation.
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