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Nonreciprocal microwave devices are ubiquitous in radar and radio communication and indispensable in the readout chains of superconducting quantum circuits. Since they commonly rely on ferrite materials requiring large magnetic fields that make them bulky and lossy, there has been signiﬁcant interest in magnetic-ﬁeld-free on-chip alternatives, such as those recently implemented using the Josephson nonlinearity. Here, we realize reconﬁgurable nonreciprocal transmission between two microwave modes using purely optomechanical interactions in a superconducting electromechanical circuit. The scheme relies on the interference in two mechanical modes that mediate coupling between the microwave cavities and requires no magnetic ﬁeld. We analyse the isolation, transmission and the noise properties of this nonreciprocal circuit. Finally, we show how quantum-limited circulators can be realized with the same principle. All-optomechanically mediated nonreciprocity demonstrated here can also be extended to directional ampliﬁers, and it forms the basis towards realizing topological states of light and sound.
on reciprocal devices, such as isolators, circulators and directional amplifiers, exhibit altered transmission characteristics if the input and output channels are interchanged. They are essential to several applications in signal processing and communication, as they protect devices from interfering signals. At the heart of any such device lies an element breaking Lorentz reciprocity symmetry for electromagnetic sources. Such elements have included ferrite materials, magneto-optical materials, optical nonlinearities, temporal modulation, chiral atomic states and physical rotation. Typically, a commercial nonreciprocal microwave apparatus exploits ferrite materials and magnetic fields, which leads to a propagation-direction-dependent phase shift for different field polarizations. A significant drawback of such devices is that they are ill-suited for sensitive superconducting circuits, since their strong magnetic fields are disruptive and require heavy shielding. In recent years, the major advances in quantum superconducting circuits, that require isolation from noise emanating from readout electronics, have led to a significant interest in nonreciprocal devices operating at the microwave frequencies that dispense with magnetic fields and can be integrated on-chip.

As an alternative to ferrite-based nonreciprocal technologies, several approaches have been pursued towards nonreciprocal microwave chip-scale devices. Firstly, the modulation in time of the parametric couplings between modes of a network can simulate rotation about an axis, creating an artificial magnetic field rendering the system nonreciprocal with respect to the ports. Secondly, phase matching of a parametric interaction can lead to nonreciprocity, since the signal only interacts with the pump when copropagating with it and not in the opposite direction. This causes travelling-wave amplification to be directional. Phase-matching-induced nonreciprocity can also occur in optomechanical systems, where parity considerations for the interacting spatial modes apply. Finally, interference in parametrically coupled multi-mode systems can be used. In these systems, nonreciprocity arises due to interference between multiple coupling pathways along with dissipation in ancillary modes. Here, dissipation is a key resource to break reciprocity, as it forms a flow of energy always leaving the system, even as input and output are interchanged. It has therefore been viewed as reservoir engineering. Following this approach, nonreciprocity has recently been demonstrated in Josephson-junctions-based microwave circuits and in a photonic-crystal-based optomechanical circuit. These realizations and theoretical proposals to achieve nonreciprocity in multi-mode systems rely on a direct, coherent coupling between the electromagnetic input and output modes. Here, in contrast, we describe a scheme to attain reconfigurable nonreciprocal transmission without a need for any direct coherent coupling between input and output modes, using purely optomechanical interactions. This scheme neither requires cavity–cavity interactions nor phonon–phonon coupling, which are necessary for the recently demonstrated optomechanical nonreciprocity in the optical domain. Two paths of transmission between the microwave modes are established, through two distinct mechanical modes. Interference between those paths with differing phases forms the basis of the nonreciprocal process. In fact, due to the finite quality factor of the intermediary mechanical modes, both conversion paths between the electromagnetic modes are partly dissipative in nature. Nonreciprocity is in this case only possible by breaking the symmetry between the two dissipative coupling pathways. We describe the mechanism in detail below, shedding some light on the essential ingredients for nonreciprocity using this approach.

Results

Theoretical model. We first theoretically model our system to reveal how nonreciprocity arises. We consider two microwave modes (described by their annihilation operators \( a_1, a_2 \)) having resonance frequencies \( \omega_{a1}, \omega_{a2} \) and dissipation rates \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \), which are coupled to two mechanical modes (described by the annihilation operators \( b_1, b_2 \)) having resonance frequencies \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) and dissipation rates \( \Gamma_{m,1}, \Gamma_{m,2} \) (Fig. 1a). The radiation-pressure-type optomechanical interaction has the form

\[
\dot{g}_{0,ij} \cdot \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_j (t) = \gamma \left( \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_i \right) \quad \text{(in units where} \ h = 1), \quad \text{where} \ g_{0,ij} \text{designates the vacuum optomechanical coupling strength of the} \ i \text{th microwave mode to the} \ j \text{th mechanical mode. Four microwave tones are applied, close to each of the two lower sidebands of the two microwave modes, with detunings of} \ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = -\Delta_1 - \delta \text{ and} \ \Delta_{12} = \Delta_2 - \Omega_2 + \delta \text{ (Fig. 2c). We linearize the Hamiltonian, neglect counter-rotating terms, and write it in a rotating frame with respect to the mode frequencies (Supplementary Note 1)}
\]

\[ H = -\delta \hat{b}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_1 + \delta \hat{b}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2^\dagger \hat{b}_2 + \hat{g}_{11}(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_1 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2) + \hat{g}_{12}(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2) + g_{22}(\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{b}_2^\dagger + e^{i\phi} \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{b}_2) \]

(1)

where \( \hat{a}_i \) and \( \hat{b}_j \) are redefined to be the quantum fluctuations around the linearized mean fields. Here \( g_{0,i} = g_{0,i} \sqrt{n_{ji}} \) are the field-enhanced optomechanical coupling strengths, where \( n_{ji} \) is the contribution to the mean intracavity photon number due to the drive with detuning \( \Delta_{ij} \). Although in principle each coupling is complex, without loss of generality we can take all to be real except the one between \( \hat{a}_1 \) and \( \hat{b}_2 \) with a complex phase \( \phi \).

We start by considering frequency conversion through a single mechanical mode. Neglecting the noise terms, the field exiting the cavity \( \hat{a}_1 \) is given by \( \hat{a}_{\text{out}} = S_{21} \hat{a}_{\text{in}}^\dagger + S_{22} \hat{a}_{\text{in}} \), which defines the scattering matrix \( S_{ij} \). For a single mechanical pathway, setting \( g_{12} = g_{22} = 0 \) and \( \delta = 0 \), the scattering matrix between input and output mode becomes

\[ S_{21}(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{ex,1} \kappa_{ex,2}}{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}} \sqrt{1 + \Gamma_{m,1} \Gamma_{m,2} \frac{\omega - \omega_1}{\Gamma_{m,1} + \Gamma_{m,2}}} \]

(2)

where \( \kappa_{ex,1}, \kappa_{ex,2} \) denote the external coupling rates of the microwave modes to the feedline, and the (multiphoton) cooperativity for each mode pair is defined as \( C_{ij} = 4g_{ij}^2/(\kappa_i \kappa_j) \). Conversion occurs within the modified mechanical response over an increased bandwidth \( \Gamma_{eff,1} = \Gamma_{m,1} + C_{11} + C_{12} \). This scenario, where a mechanical oscillator mediates frequency conversion between electromagnetic modes, has recently been demonstrated with a microwave optomechanical circuit, and moreover used to create a unidirectional link between a microwave and an optical mode. Optimal conversion, limited by internal losses in the microwave cavities, reaches at resonance \( |S_{21}|^2 max = C_{ex,1}C_{ex,2}/\kappa_{e1} \kappa_{e2} \) in the limit of large cooperativities \( C_{11} = C_{22} \gg 1 \).

We next describe the nonreciprocal transmission of the full system with both mechanical modes. We consider the ratio of transmission amplitudes given by

\[ S_{12}(\omega) = g_{12} \chi_2(\omega) / (g_{22} + g_{12} \chi_1(\omega) / g_{22}) \]

(3)

\[ S_{12}(\omega) = g_{12} \chi_2(\omega) / (g_{22} + g_{12} \chi_1(\omega) / g_{22}) e^{i\phi} \]

with the mechanical susceptibilities defined as \( \chi_i(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_{m,i}}{2} - i(\delta + \omega) \) and \( \chi_i^*(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_{m,i}}{2} + i(\delta - \omega) \). Conversion is nonreciprocal if the above expression has a magnitude that differs from 1. If \( S_{21} \) and \( S_{12} \) differ only by a phase, it can be eliminated by a redefinition of either \( \hat{a}_1 \) or \( \hat{a}_2 \). Upon a change in conversion direction, the phase \( \phi \) of the coherent coupling (between the microwave and mechanical mode) is
when backward transmission corresponds to two symmetric pathways resulting from purely difference between numerator and denominator. This situation on resonance (mechanical modes by setting \(\delta = 0\), the system becomes reciprocal in one direction. Specifically, for two mechanical modes with identical decay rates (\(\Gamma_{0,m} = \Gamma_{m,2} = \Gamma_{m,0}\) and symmetric couplings \(g_{11}g_{22} = g_{12}g_{21}\)), we find that transmission from ports 2 to 1 vanishes on resonance if

\[
\frac{\Gamma_{m,1}}{2\delta} = \tan \frac{\phi}{2}.
\]

(Fig. 1c), by cancelling the two terms in the numerator of Eq. (3). In general, there is always a frequency \(\omega\) for which \(|g_{11}S_{11}(\omega)|g_{22} = |g_{12}S_{12}(\omega)|g_{22}\), such that the phase \(\phi\) can be tuned to cancel transmission in one direction. Specifically, for two mechanical modes with identical decay rates (\(\Gamma_{0,m} = \Gamma_{m,2} = \Gamma_{m,0}\) and symmetric couplings \(g_{11}g_{22} = g_{12}g_{21}\)), we find that transmission from ports 2 to 1 vanishes on resonance if

\[
\frac{\Gamma_{m,1}}{2\delta} = \tan \frac{\phi}{2}.
\]

The corresponding terms of the denominator will have a different relative phase, and the signal will add constructively instead, in the forward direction (Fig. 1b). The device thus acts as an isolator from \(\hat{a}_1\) to \(\hat{a}_2\), realized without relying on the Josephson nonlinearity\(^35, 36\). We now describe the conditions to minimize insertion loss of the isolator in the forward direction. Still considering the symmetric case, the cooperativity is set to be the same for all modes (\(C_j = C\)). For a given separation \(\delta\), transmission on resonance (\(\omega = 0\)) in the isolating direction has the maximum

\[
|S_{21}|^2_{\text{max}} = \frac{\kappa_{11}\kappa_{22}}{\kappa_{12}\kappa_{21}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\delta^2}\right)
\]

for a cooperativity \(C = 1/2 + 2\delta^2/\Gamma_{m,c}^2\). As in the case for a single mechanical pathway in Eq. (2), for large cooperativity, the isolator can reach an insertion loss only limited by the internal losses of the microwave cavities.

The unusual and essential role of dissipation in this nonreciprocal scheme is also apparent in the analysis of the bandwidth of the isolation. Although the frequency conversion through a single mechanical mode has a bandwidth \(\Gamma_{\text{eff}}\) (Eq. (2)), caused by the optomechanical damping of the pumps on the lower sidebands, the nonreciprocal bandwidth is set by the intrinsic mechanical damping rates. Examination of Eq. (3) reveals that nonreciprocity originates from the interference of two mechanical susceptibilities of widths \(\Gamma_{m,0}\). One can conclude that the intrinsic mechanical dissipation, which takes energy out of the system regardless of the transmission direction, is an essential ingredient for the nonreciprocal behaviour reported here, as discussed previously\(^35, 34\). In contrast, optomechanical damping works symmetrically between input and output modes. By increasing the coupling rates, using higher pump powers, the overall conversion bandwidth increases, while the nonreciprocal bandwidth stays unchanged.

**Experimental realization.** We experimentally realize this nonreciprocal scheme using a superconducting circuit optomechanical system in which mechanical motion is capacitively coupled to a multimode microwave circuit\(^41\). The circuit, schematically shown in Fig. 2a, supports two electromagnetic modes with resonance frequencies (\(\omega_{1,1}, \omega_{1,2}\)) = 2\(\pi\) · (4.1, 5.2) GHz and energy decay rates (\(\kappa_{1,1}, \kappa_{1,2}\) = 2\(\pi\) · (0.2, 3.4) MHz, both of them coupled to the same vacuum-gap capacitor. We utilize the fundamental and second order radially symmetric (0, 2) modes of the capacitor’s mechanically compliant top plate\(^43\) (Fig. 2b, d) with resonance frequencies (\(\Omega_{1,1}, \Omega_{1,2}\) = 2\(\pi\) · (6.5, 10.9) MHz, intrinsic energy decay rates (\(\Gamma_{2,1}, \Gamma_{2,2}\) = 2\(\pi\) · (30, 10) Hz and optomechanical vacuum coupling strengths (\(g_{0,11}, g_{0,12}\) = 2\(\pi\) · (91, 12) Hz, respectively (with \(g_{0,11} \approx g_{0,21}\) and \(g_{0,12} \approx g_{0,22}\), i.e. the two microwave cavities are symmetrically coupled to the mechanical modes). The device is placed at the mixing chamber
Fig. 2 Implementation of a superconducting microwave circuit optomechanical device for nonreciprocity. a A superconducting circuit featuring two electromagnetic modes in the microwave domain is capacitively coupled to a mechanical element (a vacuum-gap capacitor, dashed rectangle) and inductively coupled to a microstrip feedline. The end of the feedline is grounded and the circuit is measured in reflection. b Scanning electron micrograph of the drum-head-type vacuum gap capacitor (dashed rectangle in a) with a gap distance below 50 nm, made from aluminium on a sapphire substrate. The scale bar indicates 2 μm. c Frequency domain schematic of the microwave pump setup to achieve nonreciprocal mode conversion. Microwave pumps (red bars) are placed at the lower motional sidebands—corresponding to the two mechanical modes—of both microwave resonances (dashed purple lines). The pumps are detuned from the exact sideband condition by $\pm \delta = \pm 2\pi \times 18 \text{ kHz}$, creating two optomechanically induced transparency windows detuned by $2\delta$ from the microwave resonance frequencies (denoted by $\omega_{c,1}$ and $\omega_{c,2}$, vertical dashed lines). The phase $\phi_p$ of one the pumps is tuned. The propagation of an incoming signal (with frequency $\omega_{s,1}$ or $\omega_{s,2}$, solid grey bars) in the forward and backward direction depends on this phase and nonreciprocal microwave transmission can be achieved. d Finite-element simulation of the displacement of the fundamental (0, 1) and second order radially symmetric (0, 2) mechanical modes (with measured resonant frequencies $\Omega_{1}/2\pi = 6.5 \text{ MHz}$ and $\Omega_{2}/2\pi = 10.9 \text{ MHz}$, respectively) which are exploited as intermediary dissipative modes to achieve nonreciprocal microwave conversion.

Fig. 3 Experimental demonstration of nonreciprocity. a–c Power transmission between modes 1 and 2 as a function of probe detuning, shown in both directions for pump phases $\phi_p = -0.8\pi$, 0, 0.8π radians (respectively a–c). Isolation of more than 20 dB in the forward c and backward a directions is demonstrated, as well as reciprocal behaviour b. d The ratio of transmission $|S_{21}|^2/|S_{12}|^2$, representing a measure of nonreciprocity, is shown as a function of pump phase $\phi_p$ and probe detuning. Two regions of nonreciprocity develop, with isolation in each direction. The system is reconfigurable as the direction of isolation can be swapped by taking $\phi_p \rightarrow -\phi_p$. e Theoretical ratio of transmission from Eq. (3), calculated with independently estimated experimental parameters. The theoretical model includes effectively lowered cooperativities for the mechanical mode $b_1$ due to cross-damping (optomechanical damping of the lower frequency mechanical mode by the pump on the sideband of the higher frequency mechanical mode) acting as an extra loss channel.
of a dilution refrigerator at 200 mK and all four incoming pump tones are heavily filtered and attenuated to eliminate Johnson and phase noise (details are published elsewhere\(^\text{44}\)). We establish a parametric coupling between the two electromagnetic and the two mechanical modes by introducing four microwave pumps with frequencies slightly detuned from the lower motional sidebands of the resonators, as shown in Fig. 2c and as discussed above. An injected probe signal \(\phi_{\text{in}}(\omega_2)\) around the lower (higher) frequency microwave mode is then measured in reflection using a vector network analyser.

Frequency conversion in both directions, \(|S_{21}(\omega)|^2\) and \(|S_{22}(\omega)|^2\), are measured and compared in Fig. 3a-c. The powers of the four pumps are chosen such that the associated individual cooperativities are given by \(C_{11} = 520\), \(C_{21} = 450\), \(C_{12} = 1350\) and \(C_{22} = 1280\). The detuning from the lower motional sidebands is set to \(\delta = 2\pi \times 18\) kHz. By pumping both cavities on the lower sideband associated with the same mechanical mode, a signal injected on resonance with one of the modes will be frequency converted to the other mode. This process can add negligible noise, when operating with sufficiently high cooperativity, as demonstrated recently\(^\text{40}\). In the experiment, the four drive tones are all phase-locked and the phase of one tone \(\phi_p\) is varied continuously from \(-\pi\) to \(\pi\). The pump phase is linked to the coupling phase \(\phi\) by a constant offset, in our case \(\phi_{\text{p}} \approx \phi + \pi\). Between the two transmission peaks corresponding to each mechanical mode, a region of nonreciprocity develops, depending on the relative phase \(\phi_{\text{p}}\).

The amount of reciprocity that occurs in this process is quantified and measured by the ratio of forward to backward conversion \(|S_{21}/S_{12}|^2\). Figure 3d shows this quantity as a function of probe detuning and the relative pump phase. Isolation of more than 20 dB is demonstrated in each direction in a reconfigurable manner, i.e. the direction of isolation can be switched by taking \(\phi_{\text{p}} \rightarrow -\phi_{\text{p}}\), as expected from Eq. (4). The ideal theoretical model, which takes into account \(\Gamma_{\text{m,1}} \neq \Gamma_{\text{m,2}}\), predicts that the bandwidth of the region of nonreciprocity is commensurate with the arithmetic average of the bare mechanical dissipation rates, \(\sim 2\pi \times 20\) Hz. However, given the significantly larger coupling strength of the fundamental mechanical mode compared to the second order mode, and that \(\kappa_2/\Omega_{1,2}\) is not negligible, the pump detuned by \(\Omega_2 - \delta\) from the microwave mode \(\delta_2\) introduces considerable cross-damping (i.e. resolved sideband cooling) for the fundamental mode.

This cross-damping, measured separately to be \(\Gamma_{\text{m,1}}^{\text{cross}} \approx 2\pi \times 20\) kHz at the relevant pump powers, widens the bandwidth of nonreciprocal behaviour by over two orders of magnitude and effectively cools the mechanical oscillator. It also acts as a loss in the frequency conversion process and therefore effectively lowers the cooperativities to \((C_{11}, C_{22}) \approx (0.78, 0.68)\). This lowered cooperativity accounts for the overall \(\sim 10\) dB loss in the forward direction. This limitation can be overcome in a future design by increasing the sideband resolution with decreased \(\kappa_i\) or utilising the fundamental modes of two distinct mechanical elements with similar coupling strengths. To compare the experiment to the theory we use a model that takes into account the cross-damping and an increased effective mechanical dissipation of the fundamental mode. The model is compared to the experimental data in Fig. 3e, showing good qualitative agreement.

**Noise properties.** From a technological standpoint, it does not suffice for an isolator to have the required transmission properties; since its purpose is to protect the input from any noise propagating in the backward direction, the isolator’s own noise emission is relevant. We, therefore, return to the theoretical description of the ideal symmetric case and derive the noise
that it decreases with increasing cooperativity.

Note that for the circulator the noise is symmetric for all the cavities, and overcoupled cavities of energy decay rates \( \Gamma \) cooperativities \( N \).

In an intuitive picture, the circuit acts as a circulator that routes noise from the output port to the mechanical thermal bath and in turn the mechanical noise to the input port. We demonstrate experimentally the noise asymmetry by detecting the output spectra at each microwave mode while the device isolates the mode \( \hat{a}_1 \) from \( \hat{a}_2 \) by more than 25 dB (Fig. 4b). The cooperativities are here set to \( (C_{11}, C_{21}, C_{12}, C_{22}) = (20.0, 14.2, 106.89) \) with a cross-damping \( \Gamma^{\text{cross}} \approx 2\pi \times 2.6 \) kHz, in order to optimize the circuit for a lower insertion loss and increase the noise visibility. As there is additional cooling from the off-resonant pump on mode \( b_1 \), we expect noise from \( b_2 \) to dominate.

**Quantum-limited circulator.** There exists a way to circumvent the mechanical noise entirely: introducing one extra microwave mode \( \hat{a}_3 \), we can realize a circulator, where instead of mechanical oscillators, but directed to the other port, with two advantages. First, the bandwidth of nonreciprocity is not limited to the mechanical dissipation rate but instead increases with \( C \) until reaching the ultimate limit given by the cavity linewidth (Fig. 5b, c). Second, the mechanical noise emission is symmetrically spread between the three microwave cavities. Since there are now two independent loops, two phases matter; we choose the phases associated to the couplings \( g_{21} \) and \( g_{12} \) to dominate.

**Discussion**

In conclusion, we described and experimentally demonstrated a new scheme for reconfigurable nonreciprocal transmission in the microwave domain using a superconducting optomechanical circuit. This scheme is based purely on optomechanical couplings, thus it alleviates the need for coherent microwave cavity–cavity (or direct phonon–phonon) interactions, and significantly facilitates the experimental realization, in contrast to recently
used approaches of optomechanical nonreciprocity in the optical domain. Nonreciprocity arises due to interference in the two mechanical modes, which mediate the microwave cavity–cavity coupling. This interference also manifests itself in the asymmetric noise output of the circuit. This scheme can be readily extended to implement quantum-limited phase-preserving and phase-sensitive directional amplifiers. Moreover, an additional microwave mode enables quantum-limited microwave circulators on-chip with large bandwidth, limited only by the energy decay rate of the microwave modes. Finally, the presented scheme can be generalized to an array, and thus can form the basis to create topological phases of light and sound or topologically protected chiral amplifying states in arrays of electromechanical circuits, without requiring cavity–cavity or phonon–phonon mode hopping interactions.

Data availability. The code and data used to produce the plots within this paper are available at http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.816171. All other data used in this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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