The association of preoperative anxiety and depression with neurocognitive disorder following oncological surgery

Jing Du MSc | Matthijs Plas MD2 | Anthony R. Absalom MBChB, FRCA, MD3 | Barbara L. van Leeuwen MD, PhD2 | Geertruida H. de Bock PhD1

1Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein, Groningen, The Netherlands
2Department of Surgery, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein, Groningen, The Netherlands
3Department of Anesthesiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein, Groningen, The Netherlands

Correspondence
B.L. van Leeuwen, MD, PhD, Department of Surgery, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, HPC BA31, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.
Email: b.l.van.leeuwen@umcg.nl

Abstract

Background: The proposed underlying mechanisms of anxiety and depression, and of postoperative neurocognitive disorder (NCD), each include immune system involvement. Therefore, the aims of this study were to investigate the incidence of postoperative NCD 3 months after surgery among oncological patients undergoing surgery and to evaluate the role of preoperative anxiety and depression.

Method: A consecutive series of patients (age ≥ 18 years) undergoing surgery for the removal of solid tumors were included (n = 218). Cognitive performance was assessed preoperatively and at 3 months postoperatively. Preoperative anxiety and depression were evaluated using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

Results: NCD affected 12.3% of elderly patients (age ≥ 70 years, n = 57) at 3 months after surgery, with executive function mostly affected. By contrast, 8.4% of younger patients (age < 70 years, n = 107) were affected, with information processing speed mostly affected. Low educational attainment was a risk factor (OR, 6.0; 95% CI, 1.9–19.0) of overall NCD, whereas preoperative anxiety was associated with decline in the domain of executive function.

Conclusion: Postoperative NCD is a complication of oncological surgery for all adults instead of the elderly only. Preoperative anxiety was associated with an increased risk of executive function decline, and low educational attainment was a key factor for overall NCD.
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INTRODUCTION

The ageing society has led to rapid increases in the number of patients with cancer across all ages.1 The combination of the rise in cancer incidence, and surgery as one of the main treatments for solid tumors results in the forecast that the number of oncological patients eligible for surgery will also increase.2 The treatment phase of oncological disease is accompanied by (symptoms of) anxiety and depression, where 19% and 12.9% of patients show signs of anxiety and depression.3 Undergoing surgery, fear of cancer recurrence and...
death, and the risk of potential adverse postoperative outcomes have influence on anxiety and depression and affect quality of life negatively. An adverse outcome after surgery considered particularly relevant in (but not restricted to) the elderly is postoperative cognitive decline. This deterioration in cognitive functioning following surgery has been termed as postoperative neurocognitive disorder (NCD) recently.

Although it has been reported that postoperative NCD is a multifactorial phenomenon, there are few well-established risk factors. Accumulating evidence suggests that postoperative NCD might result from increased inflammatory activity. As both anxiety and depression are associated with increased inflammatory activity, preoperative symptoms might predispose patients for the development of postoperative NCD. In literature most studies focused on the elderly, but this subject would be interesting for both the young and the old, as younger patients tend to experience more anxiety and depression during cancer treatment but older patients are at increased risk for postoperative NCD by advancing age. Although definition, degree and duration of postoperative NCD are well explored, the influence of anxiety and depression on the development of postoperative NCD has not been investigated extensively.

We hypothesize that patients with (symptoms of) anxiety and depression have a higher risk for the development of postoperative NCD compared with patients without these symptoms. The aim of this study is to investigate the incidence of postoperative NCD 3 months after surgery among young and older patients undergoing surgery for cancer and to evaluate the role of preoperative (symptoms of) anxiety and depression.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design

This study is embedded in the prospective observational study "PICNIC-B-HAPPY" (Predicting Postoperative Outcome in Elderly Surgical Cancer Patients: Biomarkers and Handgrip Strength as Predictors of Postoperative Outcome in the Elderly), conducted at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG, Groningen, The Netherlands) from August 2014 until March 2017. The study was registered on the Dutch Clinical Trial Database (trial number NL45602.042.14), following approval by the Medical Ethics Committee of the UMCG. A consecutive series of patients aged 18 years and over, admitted to the UMCG for surgical removal of a solid tumor (including gynecological tract, digestive tract, soft tissue) were invited to participate. Patients were excluded if surgery was scheduled in less than 24 hours after inclusion or if patients had any physical condition that could potentially impede compliance with the study, such as severe visual or auditory impairment, recent history of stroke or insufficient understanding of the Dutch language. Data collection was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with local regulations, and patients’ identities were anonymized by coding data before statistical analysis.

2.2 | Outcomes

The primary study outcome was the incidence of postoperative NCD 3 months after surgery in young and older patients undergoing surgery for cancer. Secondary study outcomes were the prevalence of preoperative (symptoms of) anxiety and depression in young and older patients undergoing surgery for cancer and the associations between risk factors, including preoperative anxiety and depression, with postoperative NCD 3 months after surgery.

2.3 | Definitions and data collection

Neuropsychological tests to determine performance in three cognitive domains (memory, executive function and information processing speed) were conducted at baseline (approximately 2 weeks before surgery) and 3 months after surgery. The Dutch version of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) for immediate and delayed recall, the Trail Making Test part A (TMT-A) and B (TMT-B), and Ruff’s Figural Fluency Test (RFFT) were used to determine neurocognitive performance in the domains of memory, executive function and information processing speed. The RAVLT was used as an indicator of memory and expressed as the total number of words correctly remembered during the five immediate recall trials (lowest score, 0; highest score, 75) and the total number of words remembered at the delayed recall trial (lowest score, 0; highest score, 15). The TMT-A was used as an indicator of information processing speed and expressed as the number of seconds it took to complete the TMT-A (lowest score, 0; highest score, 480). Performance on executive function was expressed as total number of the number of seconds it took to complete the TMT-B (lowest score, 0; highest score, 480) and the unique designs drawn in parts 1 to 5 (lowest score, 0; highest score, 175) of the RFFT. A dedicated nurse and a medical or neuropsychology graduate student were trained on neuropsychological test administration and relevant interview techniques by a neuropsychologist. All measures were administered and scored in a standardized manner. Postoperative NCD was studied at overall and per cognitive domain. Overall postoperative NCD was defined as a ≥25% decline in the performance scores compared with the baseline score, in at least two of the five tests. Whereas domain postoperative NCD was defined as a ≥25% decline in the performance scores in a specific domain compared with the baseline score in that domain.

Anxiety and depression symptoms were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) at baseline (approximately 2 weeks before surgery). The HADS is a 14-item screening tool that focuses on nonphysical symptoms of anxiety and depression, using 7 items for anxiety (HADS-A) and depression (HADS-D), respectively. Responses are rated from 0 to 3 points, total scores on
HADS-A and HADS-D may range from 0 to 21 points. Optimal balance between sensitivity and specificity for HADS as screening instrument is achieved most frequently at a cut-off score of ≥8 for HADS-A and HADS-D. For both subscales sensitivities and specificities are approximately 0.80.20

Patient, psychosocial, disease, and treatment details were collected prospectively from baseline. Socioeconomic status (SES) was estimated for each patient, using an area-based measure (postal codes) provided by the Dutch governmental organization Sociaal Cultureel Planbureau that assigned an overall score for income level, degree of unemployment and percentage of low education level. Accordingly, postal codes were assigned to 3 SES categories: low (fourth and fifth quintile), intermediate (third quintile), and high (first and second quintile). Independence was assessed using the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale, and frailty was assessed using the Groningen Frailty Index (GFI).21,22 Preoperative cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), whereas comorbidity was assessed using the Charlson Comorbidity Index.23,24 Tumor stage was assessed using the TNM classification system, and anesthetic risk was estimated 24 hours before surgery using the American Society for Anesthesiologist scale (ASA).25

Educational attainment was categorized into primary school or below, and higher than primary school (In the Netherlands, most children finish primary school at the age of 12). Socioeconomic status was categorized into low, intermediate and high.26 A surgical procedure with an anesthesia duration of >210 minutes was defined as major surgery.5 A history of chemotherapy or radiotherapy indicated either neoadjuvant or postoperative (within 3 months) therapy. Patients aged ≥70 years were considered as elderly and patients aged <70 years as young. Clinically relevant or literature-based cut-off scores were used to dichotomize the variables, as detailed in Appendix 1.

2.4 Data analysis and statistics

Patients with at least one complete cognitive test series (out of the maximum of five complete cognitive test series) were included in the analysis. A cognitive test series consists of baseline testing and testing at 3 months postoperatively. X² tests were performed to assess whether there were differences between included and excluded patients. Cognitive assessment scores are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). Wilcoxon-signed rank tests were used to assess changes in cognitive performance over time. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis were performed to evaluate the associations between risk factors and NCD at 3 months after surgery. Variables with P values of <.15 in univariate analyses were included in the multivariable analyses. Given the specific interest in the role of preoperative depression, HADS-D outcomes were retained in the multivariate models. A rule of at least five events per predictor variable in the multivariable analysis was applied.27 Odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were estimated and are reported. P values of <.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS, Version 23 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) and GraphPad Prism version 5.04 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

3 RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the patients included in the "PICNIC-B-HAPPY" study. Of the 218 consecutive patients enrolled in the study, 3 patients (1.4%) were excluded as they did not undergo surgery at the UMCG, and a further 19 patients (8.7%) withdrew their consent before surgery. In addition, 32 of the remaining 196 patients (16.3%) were excluded from the analysis due to no complete cognitive assessment data or death 3 months after surgery. Poor health status was the main reason leading to incomplete cognitive assessment data, especially at 3 months after surgery as patients were unable to undergo or finish the formal assessments. Data for the remaining 164 patients (75.2%) were analyzed in the current study.

Patients included and excluded from the analysis were comparable in health status (Table 1). Of the 164 included patients, most had education to a level higher than primary school (87.1%), but also had low SES (72.5%) and high rates of comorbidities (73.8%). More than half of the included patients either had a body mass index exceeding 25 kg/m² (63.8%) or underwent invasive surgery (69.5%). To the elderly group, 57 patients were assigned with a median age of 75
### TABLE 1 Patient, psychosocial, disease, and treatment details (n = 218)

| Risk factors | Included (n = 164) | Excluded* (n = 54) | p** |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----|
| **Patient and psychosocial characteristics** |                  |                    |     |
| Age (years) |                   |                    |     |
| <70 | 65.2 (107) | 58.1 (25) | .388 |
| ≥70 | 34.8 (57) | 41.9 (18) |       |
| Gender |                   |                    |     |
| Female | 46.3 (76) | 34.9 (15) | .178 |
| Male | 53.7 (88) | 65.1 (28) |       |
| Educational level |                   |                    |     |
| Primary school or lower | 12.9 (21) | 12.9 (4) | .998 |
| Higher than primary school | 87.1 (142) | 87.1 (27) |       |
| Social Economic Statusa |                   |                    |     |
| Low (7-10) | 72.5 (116) | 64.8 (35) | .284 |
| Intermediate or high (1-6) | 27.5 (44) | 35.2 (19) |       |
| Living situation |                   |                    |     |
| Lives independently with others | 76.7 (125) | 74.3 (26) | .762 |
| Lives alone | 23.3 (38) | 25.7 (9) |       |
| Instrumental Activities of Daily Livingb |                   |                    |     |
| ≥8 | 83.9 (135) | 75.0 (21) | .255 |
| <8 | 16.1 (26) | 25.0 (7) |       |
| Body Mass Indexa |                   |                    |     |
| Normal (<25) | 36.3 (58) | 21.4 (6) | .127 |
| Overweight (≥25) | 63.8 (102) | 78.6 (22) |       |
| Groningen Frailty Indicator |                   |                    |     |
| <4 | 79.3 (130) | 78.8 (26) | .951 |
| ≥4 | 20.7 (34) | 21.2 (7) |       |
| Mini-Mental State Examination |                   |                    |     |
| ≤26 | 6.1 (10) | 12.9 (4) | .245 |
| >26 | 93.9 (154) | 87.1 (27) |       |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index |                   |                    |     |
| ≤2 | 26.2 (43) | 18.2 (6) | .330 |
| >2 | 73.8 (121) | 81.8 (27) |       |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Anxietyc |                   |                    |     |
| No (≤7) | 84.6 (137) | 77.4 (24) | .327 |
| Mild or moderate (8-14) | 15.4 (25) | 22.6 (7) |       |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Depressionc |                   |                    |     |
| No (≤7) | 88.3 (143) | 87.1 (27) | .769 |
| Mild or moderate (8-14) | 11.7 (19) | 12.9 (4) |       |
| **Disease and treatment characteristics** |                  |                    |     |
| Tumor stagec |                   |                    |     |
| Benign, 0, I, or II | 43.8 (71) | 35.3 (12) | .360 |
| III or IV | 56.2 (91) | 64.7 (22) |       |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification |                   |                    |     |
| <3 | 79.9 (131) | 67.7 (21) | .135 |
| ≥3 | 20.1 (33) | 32.3 (10) |       |
| Invasive surgery |                   |                    |     |
| No | 30.5 (50) | 25.0 (8) | .534 |
| Yes | 69.5 (114) | 75.0 (24) |       |
| Major surgery |                   |                    |     |
| No | 40.9 (67) | 53.3 (16) | .204 |
| Yes | 59.1 (97) | 46.7 (14) |       |

*Patients were excluded if they had all the five tests incomplete or withdrew their informed consent. In the excluded group, basic characteristics were missing due to withdrawal of informed consent before the first assessment.

**P values were derived from χ2 tests or Fisher’s exact tests. A surgical procedure with an anesthesia duration of > 210 min was defined as major surgery.

*Four missing data

†Three missing data

‡Two missing data

Table 2 shows the results of the neuropsychological tests at baseline and at 3 months postoperatively. Overall, there was statistically significant improvement in cognitive function in 87 patients (53.0% [95% CI, 45.4-60.6]). However, 16 patients (9.8% [95% CI, 5.3-14.4]) suffered from postoperative NCD at 3 months (Figure 2), including 7 in the elderly group (12.3% [95% CI, 3.8-20.8]) and 9 in the young group (8.4% [95% CI, 3.1-13.7]). In the elderly group, 31.6% (95% CI, 19.5-43.7) encountered decline in the domain of executive function, while in the young group, 13.1% (95% CI, 6.7-19.5) experienced decline in the domain of information processing speed. Incidences of neurocognitive change (disorder and improvement) from baseline to 3 months postoperatively are shown in Appendix 2.

The results of logistic regression analysis for overall postoperative NCD are shown in Table 3. Low education attainment (OR, 6.1 [95% CI, 1.4-26.0]) was identified as a risk factor, while tumor stage III/IV (OR, 0.3 [95% CI, 0.1-0.9]) was identified as an apparent protective factor of postoperative NCD. Despite the expectations, a preoperative HADS-A or HADS-D score > 7, was not associated with NCD 3 months after surgery.

The risk factors for postoperative NCD at the domain level are shown in Table 4. For the memory domain, an ASA score ≥ 3 (OR, 3.7 [95% CI, 1.1-12.5]) was identified as a risk factor, while tumor stage III/IV (OR, 0.3 [95% CI, 0.1-0.9]) was identified as an apparent protective factor. In the executive function domain, age ≥ 70 (OR, 2.5 [95% CI, 1.1-6.1]), education to primary school level or below (OR,
4.2 [95% CI, 1.5-12.3]), and HADS-A score > 7 (OR, 3.4 [95% CI, 1.1-10.9]) were risk factors, while major surgery (OR, 0.3 [95% CI, 0.1-0.7]) was a protective factor. Again, a preoperative HADS-D score > 7 was not associated with postoperative NCD in specific domains.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, 12% of patients aged ≥ 70 experienced NCD at 3 months after surgery, compared with 8% of those in patients aged < 70. Their affected domains were different in each group, with executive functioning most frequently affected in the elderly group (32%) and information processing speed most frequently affected in the young group (13%). Patients with lower educational attainment were at greater risk of postoperative NCD than those with higher educational attainment, whereas preoperative self-reported anxiety was associated with decline at executive function domain.

4.1 | Incidence of postoperative NCD and the domains most commonly affected

The finding that 12% of patients experienced postoperative NCD in the elderly group is consistent with the results of previous studies in elderly populations, which have shown that the incidence of NCD varies from 9.9% to 16% after noncardiac surgery.5,28,29 Only two studies have investigated the incidence of NCD in young adults (age < 65 years), and these reported incidences of 5.7% and 6.4%.7,13 The slightly higher incidence in our study might reflect the slightly older population, the longer mean anaesthesia duration, and relatively invasive surgical procedures, which has been associated with a higher risk of NCD.7,13 Furthermore, the differences in neuropsychological tests, the definitions of NCD and the study populations themselves might have affected the incidence of postoperative NCD.

The domain most vulnerable to decline was executive function, while memory function was least affected. This is consistent with previous findings among elderly patients with cancer.5 This distinction between cognitive domains supports the hypothesis that specific brain areas might respond differently to the perioperative inflammatory response.30 It was also notable that the incidence of decline in executive function was twice as high in the elderly group than in the young group. This might be due to the increased susceptibility and reactivity to inflammatory mediators of the areas associated with executive function in the aged brain, which in turn, exacerbated the neuroinflammatory response.31,32

4.2 | Preoperative (symptoms of) anxiety and depression

Preoperative anxiety was a risk factor of decline in executive function, controversially to what was hypothesized, preoperative depression was not associated with NCD 3 months after surgery in our adult population with cancer. It is possible that patients with symptoms of depression were less motivated to participate in the cognitive assessment in this study, thereby confounding the results. Supporting this theory, the incidence of self-reported depression was only 11.6%, which is much lower than the reported 27% in a meta-analysis of data for patients screened by self-report instruments during treatment for cancer.33 The prevalence (15.2%) of anxiety in patients preoperatively in the current study lays in line with literature as on average 19% of patients show levels of anxiety in the clinical range during oncological treatment.3 However, younger patients experienced more (symptoms of) anxiety when compared with older patients. Studies point out that age is inversely related to emotional distress, and that younger patients tend to experience higher levels of anxiety due to a larger disruption of social and

| TABLE 2 Cognitive assessment scores per test (n = 164) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Domain                         | Baseline median (interquartile range) | 3 mo follow-up median (interquartile range) | P   |
| Memory                         |                               |                               |     |
| RAVLT immediate recall         | 35.5 (26.0-44.0)           | 41.0 (33.0-52.0)           | <.001|
| RAVLT delayed recall           | 7 (4-9)                    | 9 (6-12)                    | <.001|
| Information processing speed    |                               |                               |     |
| TMT-A                          | 39.1 (29.8-53.6)           | 36.8 (28.5-50.1)           | .021 |
| Executive function             |                               |                               |     |
| RFFT                           | 65.0 (47.5-84.5)           | 78.0 (58.0-100.0)          | <.001|
| TMT-B                           | 92.7 (66.6-128.8)          | 80.0 (60.1-117.5)          | .003 |

Abbreviations: RAVLT, Rey’s Auditory Verbal Learning Test; RFFT, Ruff’s Figural Fluency Test; TMT-A, Trail Making Test part A; TMT-B, Trail Making Test part B. All P values were derived from Wilcoxon singed rank tests paired (P < .05 was considered significant).

FIGURE 2 Cognitive decline at 3 months postoperatively. Data show the results of neurocognitive disorder overall and at the domain level as incidence (%) (95% confidence interval) [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
## TABLE 3 Logistic regression analysis for neurocognitive disorder by 3 mo postoperatively (n = 164)

| Risk factors                              | Univariable (n = 16) | Multivariable (n = 16) |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                                           | OR (95% CI)          | P                      | OR (95% CI)          | P                      |
| **Patient and psychosocial characteristics** |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Age, y                                     |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| <70                                       | 1                    | .397                   | 1                    | .397                   |
| ≥70                                       | 1.6 (0.6-4.5)        | 1                      | 1.6 (0.6-4.5)        | 1                      |
| Gender                                     |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Female                                     | 1                    | .754                   | 1                    | .754                   |
| Male                                       | 1.2 (0.4-3.3)        | 1                      | 1.2 (0.4-3.3)        | 1                      |
| Educational levela                        |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Primary school or lower                   |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Higher than primary school                | 6.0 (1.9-19.0)       | .003                   | 6.0 (1.8-20.1)       | .003                   |
| Social Economic Statusd                   |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Low (7-10)                                | 6.6 (0.8-51.3)       | .073                   | 6.6 (0.8-51.3)       | .073                   |
| Intermediate or high (1-6)                | 1                    | 1                      | 1                    | 1                      |
| Living situation                          |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Lives alone                               | 2.8 (1.0-8.1)        | .059                   | 2.8 (1.0-8.1)        | .059                   |
| Lives independently with others           | 1                    | 1                      | 1                    | 1                      |
| Instrumental activities of daily livingc  |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| ≥8                                        | 1                    | .790                   | 1                    | .790                   |
| <8                                        | 0.8 (0.2-3.8)        | 1                      | 0.8 (0.2-3.8)        | 1                      |
| Body mass indexd                          |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Normal (=25)                              | 1                    | .277                   | 1                    | .277                   |
| Overweight (≥25)                          | 0.6 (0.2-1.6)        | 1                      | 0.6 (0.2-1.6)        | 1                      |
| Groningen frailty indicator               |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| <4                                        | 1                    | .875                   | 1                    | .875                   |
| ≥4                                        | 0.9 (0.2-3.4)        | 1                      | 0.9 (0.2-3.4)        | 1                      |
| Mini-mental state examination             |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| ≤26                                       | 1                    | .593                   | 1                    | .593                   |
| >26                                       | 1.8 (0.2-16.7)       | 1                      | 1.8 (0.2-16.7)       | 1                      |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index                |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| ≤2                                        | 1                    | .880                   | 1                    | .880                   |
| >2                                        | 1.1 (0.3-3.6)        | 1                      | 1.1 (0.3-3.6)        | 1                      |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Anxietyb |              |                        |                      |                        |
| No (≤7)                                   | 1                    | .262                   | 1                    | .262                   |
| Mild or moderate (8-14)                   | 2.0 (0.6-6.9)        | 1                      | 2.0 (0.6-6.9)        | 1                      |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Depressionb |        |                        |                      |                        |
| No (≤7)                                   | 1                    | .891                   | 1                    | .891                   |
| Mild or moderate (8-14)                   | 1.1 (0.2-5.4)        | 1                      | 1.1 (0.2-5.4)        | 1                      |
| Disease and treatment characteristics     |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Tumor stageb                              |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| Benign, 0, I, or II                      | 1                    | .038                   | 1                    | .038                   |
| III or IV                                 | 0.3 (0.1-0.9)        | 1                      | 0.3 (0.1-0.9)        | 1                      |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification | |                        |                      |                        |
| < 3                                       | 1                    | .567                   | 1                    | .567                   |
| ≥3                                        | 0.6 (0.1-3.0)        | 1                      | 0.6 (0.1-3.0)        | 1                      |
| Invasive surgery                          |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| No                                        | 1                    | .971                   | 1                    | .971                   |
| Yes                                       | 1.0 (0.3-3.1)        | 1                      | 1.0 (0.3-3.1)        | 1                      |
| Major surgery                             |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| No                                        | 1                    | .796                   | 1                    | .796                   |
| Yes                                       | 1.2 (0.4-3.3)        | 1                      | 1.2 (0.4-3.3)        | 1                      |
| History of chemo/radiotherapy*            |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| No                                        | 1                    | .983                   | 1                    | .983                   |
| Yes                                       | 1.0 (0.3-2.8)        | 1                      | 1.0 (0.3-2.8)        | 1                      |
| Postoperative delirium                    |                      |                        |                      |                        |
| No                                        | 1                    | n.a.                   | 1                    | n.a.                   |
| Yes                                       |                       |                        |                       |                        |

Note: Neurocognitive disorder was defined as a score drop of ≥25% on ≥2 of five tests. Depression and factors with a P value of <.15 in univariable analysis were included in the multivariable model. P values <.05 were considered significant. Bold values are considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

*Variables that were nonsignificant in a multivariable model.

aOne missing data.

bTwo missing data.

cThree missing data.

dFour missing data.

eNine missing data.
| Risk factors                              | Memory (n = 19) | Information processing speed (n = 26) | Executive function (n = 33) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                          | Univariable     | Multivariable                        | Univariable                 | Multivariable               | Univariable                  | Multivariable               |
|                                          | OR (95% CI)     | P                                    | OR (95% CI)                 | P                            | OR (95% CI)                  | P                            |
| Patient and psychosocial characteristics |                 |                                      |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Age, y                                   |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| <70                                      | 1.2 (0.4-3.2)   | .729                                 | 1.8 (0.8-4.2)               | .179                         | 2.8 (1.3-6.1)               | .010                         |
| ≥70                                      | 1               |                                       |                             |                              | 2.5 (1.1-6.1)               | .037                         |
| Gender                                   |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Female                                   | 1               |                                       |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Male                                     | 1.3 (0.5-3.4)   | .620                                 | 0.8 (0.4-1.9)               | .680                         | 1.4 (0.6-3.1)               | .394                         |
| Educational levela                       |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Primary school or lower                  | 2.3 (0.7-8.0)   | .180                                 | 0.9 (0.2-3.3)               | .881                         | 4.7 (1.8-12.3)              | .002                         |
| Higher than primary school               | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Social Economic Statusd                  |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Low (7-10)                               | 3.5 (0.8-15.8)  | .105*                                | 1.0 (0.4-2.5)               | .923                         | 2.4 (0.9-6.7)               | .091*                        |
| Intermediate or high (1-6)               | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Living situationa                       |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Lives alone                              | 1.3 (0.4-3.8)   | .680                                 | 0.7 (0.3-2.1)               | .568                         | 2.3 (1.0-5.2)               | .054*                        |
| Lives independently with others          | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Instrumental Activities of Daily Livingc |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| ≤8                                       | 1               |                                       |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| >8                                       | 1.0 (0.3-3.8)   | .969                                 | 1.0 (0.3-3.1)               | .971                         | 1.5 (0.6-4.1)               | .378                         |
| Body Mass Indexd                        |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Normal (<25)                             | 1.8 (0.6-5.3)   | .281                                 | 0.5 (0.2-1.2)               | .140*                        | 0.7 (0.3-1.6)               | .409                         |
| Overweight (≥25)                        | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Groningen Frailty Indicator              |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| <4                                       | 1.5 (0.5-4.4)   | .506                                 | 0.6 (0.2-2.0)               | .447                         | 1.9 (0.8-4.6)               | .139*                        |
| ≥4                                       | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Mini-Mental State Examination            |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| ≤26                                      | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| >26                                      | 1.5 (0.2-13.3)  | .733                                 | 0.6 (0.1-5.3)               | .680                         | 5.6 (1.4-22.3)              | .014*                        |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index               |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| ≤2                                       | 3.4 (0.7-15.3)  | .114*                                | 1.0 (0.4-2.5)               | .962                         | 1.8 (0.7-4.7)               | .236                         |
| >2                                       | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Anxietyb |     |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| No (≥7)                                  | 1.6 (0.5-5.2)   | .468                                 | 1.0 (0.3-3.2)               | .982                         | 2.1 (0.8-5.4)               | .122                         |
| Mild or moderate (8-14)                  | 1.5 (0.4-5.9)   | .538                                 | 1.5 (0.4-6.7)               | .559                         | 2.0 (0.7-5.7)               | .203                         |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Depressionb |     |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| No (≥7)                                  | 1               |                                       | 0.6 (0.1-2.7)               | .483                         | 1.2 (0.3-4.3)               | .745                         |
| Mild or moderate (8-14)                  | 1               |                                       |                             |                              |                             |                              |
| Disease and treatment characteristics    |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Tumor stageb                             |                 |                                      |                              |                              |                             |                              |
| Benign, 0, I, or II                     | 0.3 (0.1-0.9)   | .027                                 | 0.3 (0.1-0.9)               | .035                         | 0.8 (0.3-1.8)               | .529                         |
| III or IV                                | 1               |                                       |                             |                              | 1.1 (0.5-2.4)               | .828                         |

(Continues)
TABLE 4  (Continued)

| Risk factors                                      | Memory (n = 19) | Information processing speed (n = 26) | Executive function (n = 33) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                                   | Univariable     | Multivariable                        | Univariable                 | Multivariable |
|                                                   | OR (95% CI)     | P                                    | OR (95% CI)                 | P            | OR (95% CI)     | P                                    | OR (95% CI) | P            |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification                  |                 |                                      |                             |              |                 |                                      |              |              |
| <3                                                | 1               | .006                                 | 1                           | .009*          | 1               | .457                  |
| ≥3                                                | 4.3 (1.5-11.9)  | .006                                 | 1                           | .009*          | 1               | .457                  |
| Invasive surgery                                  |                 |                                      |                             |              |                 |                                      |              |              |
| No                                                | 2.7 (0.7-9.7)   | .130*                                | 1                           | .635          | 1               | .959                  |
| Yes                                               | 1               |                                      | 1                           | .635          | 1               |                                      |              |              |
| Major surgery                                     |                 |                                      |                             |              |                 |                                      |              |              |
| No                                                | 2.8 (0.9-9.0)   | .077*                                | 1                           | .447          | 1               | 0.013                  |
| Yes                                               | 1               |                                      | 1                           | .447          | 1               | 0.013                  |
| History of chemo/radiotherapy<sup>a</sup>         |                 |                                      |                             |              |                 |                                      |              |              |
| No                                                | 1.8 (0.7-4.8)   | .241                                | 1                           | .532          | 1               | 0.013                  |
| Yes                                               | 1               |                                      | 1                           | .532          | 1               | 0.013                  |
| Postoperative delirium                            |                 |                                      |                             |              |                 |                                      |              |              |
| No                                                | 2.6 (0.5-13.8)  | .271                                | 1                           | .680          | 1               | 1.1 (0.2-5.7)          |
| Yes                                               | 1               |                                      | 1                           | .680          | 1               | 1.1 (0.2-5.7)          |

Note: Decline in a cognitive domain was defined as a decline of ≥25% on ≥1 test in that specific domain. Depression and factors with a P value of < .15 in the univariable analysis were included in a multivariable model. P values < .05 were considered significant. Bold values in the table indicate those considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup>One missing data.
<sup>b</sup>Two missing data.
<sup>c</sup>Three missing data.
<sup>d</sup>Four missing data.
<sup>e</sup>Nine missing data.
<sup>f</sup>These variables were nonsignificant in a multivariable model.
4.4 | Strengths and limitations

There are several strengths of this study. First, the cohort was prospectively designed, and a research team was trained to conduct the tests in a standardized manner to avoid subjective bias in the delivery of the neuropsychological tests. Second, patient needs were prioritized when conducting the study, aiming to achieve a consecutive series of patients and to minimize dropout. When an additional visit to the hospital was a burden, assessments were completed at patients’ places of residence. Third, our deep investigation of NCD at the domain level in a wide age group, and not merely among the elderly, contributes to a greater understanding of the incidence of postoperative NCD and the domains of cognition that are affected. However, certain limitations of the present study should also be noted. The study was conducted in a tertiary referral center, which introduced selection bias. Patients referred to this hospital generally undergo more complex surgical procedures compared with the wider population who undergo surgery for cancer. In the study cohort, individuals with relatively worse health statuses also had a higher chance of being excluded. Meanwhile, patients with symptoms of depression were less motivated to participate which might limit the ability to assess the association between depression and postoperative NCD. There were 12% of the included patients unable to complete the follow-up cognitive assessments at 3 months, and this dropout rate is comparable to that in other studies on this topic. However, given that the excluded patients had a relatively worse health status and given that patients with impaired cognitive statuses are more likely to be lost to follow-up, there is good reason to believe that the true incidence of NCD was even higher than that reported. It should also be noted that the failure to include a healthy control group prevented from accounting for a learning effect and might blur the true effect of surgery on cognitive change over time. However, a learning effect should cause postoperative cognitive performance to improve from baseline, reducing the chance of detecting NCD. This is yet another factor indicating that the true incidence of postoperative NCD could be even higher than we estimated.

4.5 | Clinical implications and future perspectives

Clinicians and family members need to be aware of this increased vulnerability among patients with low educational attainment and preoperative anxiety symptoms and must be more vigilant for NCD in this population. Given that postoperative NCD also appears to occur at a high incidence among younger adult patients, researchers should investigate this phenomenon among patients of all ages in the future. A larger patient cohort from primary or secondary care will be needed to study the effect of psychosocial factors, specifically preoperative depression, on postoperative outcomes in the future. For a better understanding of pathophysiology, associations with inflammatory mediators, preoperative anxiety and depression and postoperative NCD should be explored, as the proposed underlying mechanisms involve the immune system.
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APPENDIX 1: COMPONENTS OF THE PICNIC-B-HAPPY STUDY

| Test                                      | Short name. | Purpose                                                                 | Cut-off value for adverse results | Score Range |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Socioeconomic status                      | SES         | A combined score estimated for each four-digit postal code area, based on income level, degree of unemployment and percentage of low education level by the "Sociaal Cultureel Planbureau" | High (first and second quintile); Intermediate (third quintile); Low (fourth and fifth quintile) |             |
| Groningen Frailty Indicator                | GFI         | A 15-item screening instrument measures the loss of functions and resources in four domains: physical, cognitive, social, and psychological to determine the level of frailty | ≥4                               | 0-15        |
| Instrumental activities of daily living   | IADL        | A questionnaire regarding eight items needed to perform independently to maintain independence in the community | <8                               | 0-8         |
| Body mass index                           | BMI         | A measure of body fat based on height and weight                         | ≥25                              | N.A.        |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Anxiety | HADS-A     | A questionnaire using seven items to identify anxiety                   | >7                               | 0-21        |
| Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Depression | HADS-D     | A questionnaire using seven items to identify depression                 | >7                               | 0-21        |
| Mini Mental State Examination             | MMSE        | A test consisting of 11 questions to assess cognitive function           | ≤26                              | 0-30        |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index                | CCI         | A scale predicts the 1-year mortality for a patient who may have a range of comorbid conditions | ≥2                               | 1, 2, 3, or 6 for each condition |
| American Society for Anesthesiologist scale | ASA         | To quantify preoperative physical status and estimate anaesthetic risk   | ≥3                               | 1-5         |
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PICNIC-B-Happy study, Predicting postoperative outcome in elderly surgical cancer patients: Biomarkers and handgrip strength as predictors of postoperative outcome in the elderly.
APPENDIX 2: INCIDENCE OF NEUROCOGNITIVE CHANGE FROM BASELINE TO 3 MONTHS POSTOPERATIVELY

|                              | All (n = 158) | Elderly group (age ≥ 65 y; n = 89) | Young group (Age < 65 y; n = 69) |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                              | n            | Incidence (%) (95% CI)            | n                              | Incidence (%) (95% CI)            | n        | Incidence (%) (95% CI) |
| **Neurocognitive disorder**  |              |                                   |                                |                                  |          |                        |
| Overall                      | 16           | 10.1 (5.9-15.9)                   | 10                              | 11.2 (5.5-19.7)                  | 6        | 8.7 (3.3-18.0)         |
| Memory                       | 17           | 10.8 (6.4-16.7)                   | 8                               | 9.0 (4.0-16.9)                   | 9        | 13.0 (6.1-23.3)        |
| Information processing speed | 26           | 16.5 (11.0-23.2)                  | 15                              | 16.9 (9.8-26.3)                  | 11       | 15.9 (8.2-26.7)        |
| Executive function           | 30           | 19.0 (13.2-26.0)                  | 22                              | 24.7 (16.2-35.0)                 | 8        | 11.6 (5.1-21.6)        |
| **Neurocognitive improvement** |              |                                   |                                |                                  |          |                        |
| Overall                      | 86           | 54.4 (46.3-62.4)                  | 54                              | 60.7 (49.8-70.9)                 | 32       | 46.4 (34.3-58.8)       |
| Memory                       | 93           | 58.9 (50.8-66.6)                  | 57                              | 64.0 (53.2-73.9)                 | 36       | 52.2 (39.8-64.4)       |
| Information processing speed | 35           | 22.2 (15.9-29.4)                  | 18                              | 20.2 (12.4-30.1)                 | 17       | 24.6 (15.1-36.5)       |
| Executive function           | 82           | 51.9 (43.8-59.9)                  | 45                              | 50.6 (39.8-61.3)                 | 37       | 53.6 (41.2-65.7)       |

Postoperative neurocognitive disorder/improvement was defined as a postoperative disorder/improvement of ≥25% on ≥2 of 5 tests compared with the preoperative baseline assessment. Disorder/improvement on a specific domain was defined as a decline/improvement of ≥25% on ≥1 test in that specific domain.