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Abstract
Rationale: Metoprolol and amiodarone are common antiarrhythmic drugs used in clinics throughout the world. The taste and smell alterations induced by antiarrhythmic drugs remain uncommon throughout the world, with less than 10 reported cases.

Patient concerns: In this case report, we describe a case of a 73-year-old female, diagnosed with arrhythmias, was treated for metoprolol. At the third week of metoprolol treatment, the patient noticed a qualitative change in her ability to smell, also called dysosmia. After the metoprolol was tapered, her ability to smell was recovered. However, her arrhythmia was getting worse and the patient was given amiodarone. After using amiodarone for about 2 weeks, the patient felt hypogeusia, or loss of taste sensation.

Diagnoses: The patient was diagnosed as dysosmia and taste disturbance induced by the antiarrhythmic drugs.

Interventions: After noticed the side effects of the antiarrhythmic drugs, we asked the patient to abandon the drugs and have a radiofrequency ablation.

Outcomes: Her ability of smell and taste were recovered after withdrawing the antiarrhythmic drugs. Also, in the follow-up appointment, she reported no complaints of smell or taste anymore.

Lessons: These rare sensory disorders induced by anti-arrhythmic drugs were less documented in past literature. Our case report describes a patient with an arrhythmia who suffered reversible dysosmia and hypogeusia after taking metoprolol and amiodarone, respectively. We conclude that smell and taste disorders should be made aware to patients during the anti-arrhythmic treatment.

Helping to promote the safety of patients and drug compliance.

Abbreviations: CNS = central nervous system; DCG = dynamic electrocardiogram; EEG = electrocardiograph.
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1. Introduction

Antiarrhythmic drugs, including metoprolol and amiodarone, are widely used in cardiac disease. Metoprolol is a common beta1-selective adrenocceptor blocking drug mainly used for management of hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure, and various arrhythmias.[1] Similar to other beta-adrenergic blockers, the most common side effects of metoprolol include fatigue, weakness, headache, cold peripheries, and gastrointestinal reaction.[1] Metoprolol has the unique ability to enter the central nervous system (CNS) and affect its function.[2] Amiodarone is another effective antiarrhythmic drug used primarily for supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias. The adverse events of amiodarone are relatively higher than other antiarrhythmic drugs.[3] Long-term use increases the risk for side effects, including hyperthyroidism, elevated aminotransferase, pulmonary toxicity, and gastrointestinal reaction.[4]

Cranial nerve function damage has rarely been reported in the past literature. To our knowledge, it was first introduced by Vital Durand[5] that a recurrent anosmia was induced by metoprolol. Following this report, these rare but serious adverse effects have gained increased attention. The taste and smell alterations induced by antiarrhythmic drugs remain uncommon throughout the world, with less than 10 reported cases. Herein, we first report a case of reversible dysosmia and hypogeusia following the use of antiarrhythmic drugs metoprolol and amiodarone, respectively.

2. Case report

A 73-year-old female was admitted to our clinic with chest distress and palpitations. The physical examination revealed no positive findings. Electrocardiograph (EEG) and dynamic EEG (DCG) showed arrhythmias, including paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia, atrial premature beats, and ventricular premature beats. A cardiac B-mode...
ultrasound showed mild aortic valvular regurgitation and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. Coronary artery CT found stenosis of the left anterior descending artery (50%). Laboratory findings, including blood chemistry and myocardial enzymes, were normal. The patient was prescribed 100mg aspirin once a day for 2 months by another center. We decided to continue this existing treatment and add metoprolol 47.5 mg/day for her symptoms. Before our treatment, no examination for sense of smell or taste was performed, and the patient did not report any abnormalities in her ability to smell or taste.

After 2 weeks of metoprolol therapy, the patient reported decreased chest distress and palpitations. She reduced her metoprolol dose to 23.75 mg/day without reporting to the clinic her dose alteration. At the third week of metoprolol treatment, the patient noticed a smell disorder, reporting that she could not perceive the same smells as her relatives. And this disorder continued to worsen until complete anosmia. However, she continued this dosage and did not report to the clinic her new symptoms. During this period of time, the patient denied having exposure to chemicals, using other drugs, or experiencing a traumatic nose injury. Approximately 3 months after starting metoprolol, the patient returned to our clinic with the compliant of an olfactory disorder. No abnormalities were found during the endoscopic nasal examination, with normal color. No gland or turbinate hypertrophy was found. No obstruction or excess secretion was noted in the nasal passages, and the olfactory epithelium showed no signs of congestion or inflammation. It was determined that the olfactory dysfunction was an adverse effect of metoprolol. Considering her better initial symptoms and repeated DCG result, the metoprolol was tapered. The patient recovered from the olfactory dysfunction 3 weeks later, as determined by her ability to once again perceive the smell of soap. By the second month after discharging metoprolol, the patient’s ability to recognize smells was returning back to baseline (Fig. 1). Also, in the follow-up appointment, she reported no complaints of smell or taste anymore.

Nevertheless, the patient complained that her palpitations were getting worse following discontinuation of metoprolol. Dynamic electrocardiogram presented premature atrial beats and increasing paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Therefore, the patient was given amiodarone 400 mg/day for 1 week to treat her arrhythmias, and then was tapered to 200 mg/day. However, after using amiodarone for approximately 2 weeks, the patient was not able to distinguish the tastes of bitter and spicy. Considering the potential side effects of amiodarone, we asked her to abandon the drug and have a radiofrequency ablation. Thus, the patient regained her ability to differentiate tastes 2 weeks following amiodarone discontinuation (Fig. 1).

The authors obtained written consent from the patient to describe her illness and publish this case report. Ethics committee approval is not included, as it is commonly accepted that case reports do not require such approval. In our work, we did not use patient data that would allow identifying her. The patient agreed to the diagnostic tests and treatments used to manage her medical conditions.

3. Discussion

Metoprolol and amiodarone have been wildly reported owing to their protective effects of the cardiovascular system. They can efficiently improve the morbidity and mortality of patients suffering from cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure, and various arrhythmias. In addition to common side effects of pulmonary or cardiac of beta blockers, metoprolol was more likely to cause CNS side effects through its higher concentrations in the brain.

Dysosmia, or smell disorder, is a rare side effect of metoprolol. Meanwhile, adverse events caused by amiodarone including lung toxicity and thyroid dysfunction were mainly related to the dosage and duration. Although dysosmia has been reported as an adverse side effect of amiodarone, hypogeusia or taste disorder of amiodarone was rarely mentioned in the past.

Since first introduced by Vital Durand in 1985, dysosmia and hypogeusia were subsequently reported as side effects of other cardiovascular drugs, including conversion enzyme (angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)) inhibitors, calcium antagonist, and other drugs.
tastes receptors are activated by H⁺ and Na⁺ channel, receptors for the 5 basic tastes (sweet, sour, bitter, salty, and umami) may be regulated by different systems. The sour and salty tastes receptors are activated by H⁺ and Na⁺ channel, respectively, and the bitter, sweet, and umami tastes receptors are regulated by G-protein coupled receptor molecules with second messenger systems. Hence, the exact mechanism and the dosage needed to cause clinical symptoms need to be elucidated in future studies.

Generally, smell and taste disturbance are not considered life-threatening side effects. They are often ignored by patients, families, and even medical staff. However, such disturbances can severely impair physical or mental health and eventually affect a patient’s quality of life. Dysosmia and anosmia decrease the patient’s ability to detect natural gas, spoiled food, and smoke. It is noteworthy that a significant number of deaths caused by accidental gas poisonings were due to the inability to smell. Hypogeusia may reduce a patient’s appetite and food intake, which leads to weight loss and even depression. Moreover, hypogeusia may lead to decrease compliance with drug regimens. Owing to lack of objective diagnostic standards and effective treatments, smell and taste disturbances caused by drugs might not be observed in a timely manner. This can result in irreversible nerve dysfunction and worse impact on the patient’s quality of life.

In conclusion, the incidence of dysosmia or hypogeusia induced by cardiovascular drugs is rare. Here, we report on a patient who suffered from adverse events involving smell and taste following treatment with metoprolol and amiodarone. Considering the detrimental effects of dysosmia and dysgeusia with the long-term use of cardiovascular drugs, clinicians should not only be aware of the common side effects of the drug but also known rare side effects including smell and taste disorders. Besides, earlier detection would prevent irreversible damage to the patient and provide better drug compliance during their antiarrhythmic treatment.
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**Table 1**

| Drugs           | Ref.                              | Year | Symptoms                       | Drug duration | Outcome |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Captopril       | Vlasses and Ferguson[13]           | 1979 | Taste disorder                 | 1 mo          | Recovered|
| Nifedipine      | Levenon and Kennedy[21]           | 1965 | Case 1: Smell and taste disorder | 13 mo         | Recovered|
| Metoprolol      | Vital Durand[2]                   | 1985 | Case 2: Smell disorder         | 4 d           | Recovered|
| Dilatazem       | Berman[14]                        | 1985 | Smell and taste disorder       | 2 mo          | Recovered|
| Felodipine      | Warner et al.[15]                 | 1968 | Smell disorder                 | /             |         |
| Losartan        | Schlienger et al.[16]             | 1996 | Taste disorder                 | 8 wks         | Recovered|
| Losartan        | Heringa and van Puijenbroek[17]   | 1998 | Taste disorder                 | 1 wk          | Recovered|
| Losartan        | Ohkoshi and Shogi[17]             | 2002 | Taste disorder                 | /             | Recovered|
| Eprosartan      | Castells et al.[18]               | 2002 | Taste disorder                 | 3 wks         | Recovered|
| Nifedipine      | Khanouli[19]                      | 2003 | Smell disorder                 | 4 mo          |         |
| Candesartan     | Chen et al[20]                    | 2004 | Taste disorder                 | 13 mo         | Recovered|
| Digitalis       | Ishimaru and Yokogawa[21]         | 2006 | Smell and taste disorder       | 10 y          | Partially recover |
| Amiodarone      | Maruyama et al.[21]               | 2007 | Smell disorder                 | 3 y           | Partially recover |
| Amlodipine      | Sadatsiam and Jhaq[21]            | 2007 | Taste disorder                 | 6 y           | Recovered |
| Losartan        | Finn et al.[22]                   | 2008 | Taste disorder                 | /             |         |
| Acetazoalamide  | Briggs[10]                        | 2009 | Taste disorder                 | 6 y           | Recovered |
| Ramipril        | Tuccori et al.[21]                | 2011 | Taste disorder                 | /             |         |
| Lacidipine      | Lulic and Kovic[23]               | 2011 | Smell disorder                 | /             |         |
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