Palaeoecology of the Upper Tournaisian (Mississippian) crinoidal limestones from South Belgium
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ABSTRACT. The Tournaisian stage (Lower Mississippian) is known as the golden age of crinoids. In S Belgium, Upper Tournaisian crinoidal limestones, locally called “Petit Granit”, are known in the Condroz area (Ourthe Formation) and in the Soignies area (Soignies Member) where tens of quarries expose the crinoidal facies. An isopach map shows that the Ourthe Formation thins northward and westward, probably because of synsedimentary block faulting. Despite its apparent monotonous, five crinoidal and peloidal microfacies are identified throughout the formation, and the size and preservation of the crinoid columnals vary vertically and laterally. The encrinite deposited as amalgamated tempestites that accumulated under fair-weather wave base. An estimation of the carbonate production rate was calculated and a value of c. 1200 cm²/m²/y is proposed for the Ourthe Fm. The density in individuals was lower in the Hainaut area possibly due to its deeper situation. The analysis of disarticulated crinoid columnals reveals that the crinoidal meadows were diverse with several taxa following a vertical tiering model to maximise the capture of particles from the water column. Beside the crinoids, the fauna was dominated by suspension feeders (brachiopods, byozoans, tabulate and rugose corals) adapted to a weakly-agitated environment and a relatively soft ground. Rare nektonic and benthic macrophytes (holocephalan chondrichthians, acanthoceratid cephalopods, palaechinids, and trilobites) show that the ecosystem was relatively complex despite a simple appearance.
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1. Introduction

The Mississippian (Lower Carboniferous) and particularly the Tournaisian is known as the golden age of crinoids (Kammer & Ausich, 2006). In suitable settings, the crinoids lived in vast meadows that formed the carbonate rock through the accumulation of their skeletal elements and named regional encrinites (sensu Ausich, 1997). Tournaisian encrinites are widely developed all over the world with renown examples from Ireland (Waters & Sevastopulo, 1984), N America (Ausich, 1999a,b), S China (Chen & Yao, 1993), Iran (Webster et al., 2003), etc. The expansion of crinoids during the Tournaisian is interpreted as a recovery phase following the Late Devonian extinctions, as it induced a rapid re-colonisation of the ecological niches by crinoids and then a rapid evolution (McGhee, 1996). The autoecology of crinoids is relatively well understood (Ausich & Bottjer, 1982, 2007; Ausich & Simms, 1999; Ausich et al., 1999a) in contrast to their synecology (i.e. interactions with the environment and with other organisms) despite their ability to shape their environment.

In South Belgium, Tournaisian encrinites are abundant and locally called “Petit Granit” where quarried as cut stones for building and carving purposes. Several units were – and still are – intensively quarried for these purposes: the Hastière Formation (Fm), Landelles Fm, Ourthe Fm, Flémalle Membre (Mbr) and Soignies Mbr. The most valuable and renowned are the encrinites of the Ourthe Fm in the Condroz area (central Belgium) and Soignies Mbr of the Ecaussines Fm in the Hainaut area (W Belgium) (Poty & Chevalier, 2004). Although the “Petit Granit” is relatively well known from historical and extractive points of view (e.g. Groessens, 1981, 1994), studies concerning the palaeoenvironment and the fossil assemblage of these crinoidal meadows are scarce (Hardy, 1973; Hibon, 1994).

The crinoid diversity is almost unknown in the Ourthe Fm and in the Soignies Mbr and based on old-fashion fauna list, e.g. de Koninck & Le Hon (1854) who cited only *Poteriocrinus crassus* and *Platycrinites laevis* – now *Poteriocrinus crassus* and *Platycrinites laevis*, based on very few specimens. Because modern taxonomy of crinoids is based on the calyx, it is not applicable to the Belgian encrinites as complete calyxes are extremely rare. However, the crinoid diversity can be approached by the parataxonomy of Moore & Jeffords (1968) based on stem columnal elements as it was demonstrated by Ghuchowski (2002) or Gorzelak et al. (2013).

Similarly, other organisms have been already identified from the Belgian encrinites: brachiopods (de Koninck, 1887; Demanet, 1934), conodonts (Groessens, 1971, 1973, 1975), foraminifers (Comit et al., 1991; Poty et al., 2006) and corals (Tourneur et al., 1989; Poty, 1989; Denayer et al., 2011).

2. Settings

During the Tournaisian, S Belgium was located on the southern margin of Laurussia within the intertropical area (Golonka, 2007). The Namur-Dinant Basin was a vast shelf fragmented by a differential subsidence in six sedimentation areas (Poty, 2016). The Namur sedimentation area (NSA) located directly south of the Brabant Massif and where the depth increased from north to south; the Condroz sedimentation area (CSA) which is the southern extension of the NSA and presents proximal marine facies; the Dinant sedimentation area (DSA) that is relatively deeper and influenced by the Waulsorit complex; the highly subsiding Hainaut sedimentation area (HSA) recorded >2500 m of Dinantian rocks including thick evaporitic formations; the Vesdre-Aachen sedimentation area (VASA) that is the lateral extension of the NSA; the Avesnois sedimentation area (ASA) which presents similar facies to CSA. The Figure 1 shows the location of these sedimentation areas.

In the CSA, the Upper Tournaisian is c. 140 m-thick and comprises – from the base to the top – the Yvoir Fm (crinoidal and bioclastic wackestone to packstone with chert), the Ourthe Fm (encrinite) and the Martinrive Fm (dark fine mudstone-wackestone with chert and anhydrite pseudomorphs) (Hance et al., 2001, 2006; Poty et al., 2006).

In the HSA, the crinoidal deposits reach 300 m in thickness due to the higher subsidence (Poty, 2016). The Lalaing Fm (crinoidal wackestone and dolomite) at the base of the Upper Tournaisian, overlaid with the Ecaussines Fm, comprises the Perlonjour Mbr (argillaceous wackestone) and Soignies Mbr (crinoidal packstone). The upper part comprises the Malon-Fontaine Fm divided in the Thiarmont Mbr (argillaceous wackestone) and Cognebeau Mbr (argillaceous wackestone with chert) (Groessens, 1978; Doremus & Hennebert, 1995; Poty et al., 2001).

In the VASA, the proximal equivalent of the Ourthe Fm is the Hastenrath Mbr (Poty, 2016), a 10 m-thick unit of oolitic grainstone (Vanhautees Oolithe of Kasig, 1980) including crinoidal grainstone at the base (Amler & Herbig, 2006).

In the NSA, the equivalent of the Hastenrath oolitic Mbr and Ourthe crinoidal Fm are dolomitised (Enghoul Fm equivalent to the Namur Dolostones Group) and reduced in thickness to c. 10 m. It is also partly dolomitised in the ASA where the Ourthe Fm passes to the lower part of the Grives Limestone (Hance et al., 2001).

In the DSA, the Ourthe Fm passes laterally to the Waulsorit complex (Waulsort mudmounds and crinoidal wackestone of the Bayard and Leaf formations).
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As demonstrated by Hance et al. (2001), the Dinantian deposits of the Namur-Dinant Basin are arranged in third-order sequences (Fig. 2). Moreover, the Tournaisian units display a lower order cyclicity, i.e. precession cycles of c. 20 kyr (Poty, 2016). However, the sedimentary context that prevailed during the deposition of the Ourthe and Soignies encrinites hampered a clear record of these cycles.

The Ourthe Fm and its equivalents correspond to the highstand system tract (HST) of the third order sequence 3 of Hance et al. (2001, emended by Poty, 2016). The dolomitised upper beds of the formation possibly correspond to the falling-stage system tract, but its vertical extension and lateral continuity are too variable to demonstrate this assumption. Nevertheless, the Ourthe Fm is capped by a subaerial erosive (emersion) surface, and the correlative conformity at the top of the Soignies Fm is interpreted as the sequence boundary. The overlying sediments correspond to the lowstand and transgressive system tracts of the following sequence 4A of Poty (2016) (Fig. 2). The Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr both yield an impoverished rugose coral fauna including *Caninia cornucopiae*, *Caninophyllum patulum*, *Cyathaxonia cornu*, *Siphonophyllia cylindrica*, *Sychnoelasma omaliusi* and *Zaphrentites cf. crassus*, that indicates the RC3β zone of Poty et al. (2006). Note that in the proximal equivalents (Hastenrath Mbr), the coral fauna is more diverse with *Keyserlingophyllum obliquum*, *Uralinia cf. multiplex*, and the tabulate *Vaughanites flabelliformis* (Poty, 2016). Similarly the foraminifers are poorly diverse, but previous studies (Conil, 1973; Conil & Lys, 1977) noted some endothyrids and tournayellids indicating the MFZ5 (Poty et al., 2006). Conodonts are more abundant in these facies, and *Polygnatus communis carina* and *Dollymae bouckaerti*, guides of the eponymous zones, are rather common in the Ourthe Fm (Groessens 1973, 1975) and Soignies Mbr (Groessens, 1971, 1975) and allow their correlation.

Although relatively monotonous in lithology, the Ourthe Fm (i.e. “Petit Granit de l’Ourthe”) was divided into six to eight beds and sets of beds either separated by stylolites or true depositional hiatuses. As demonstrated by Hance et al. (2001), the Dinantian deposits of the Namur-Dinant Basin are arranged in third-order sequences (Fig. 2). Moreover, the Tournaisian units display a lower order cyclicity, i.e. precession cycles of c. 20 kyr (Poty, 2016). However, the sedimentary context that prevailed during the deposition of the Ourthe and Soignies encrinites hampered a clear record of these cycles.

The Ourthe Fm and its equivalents correspond to the highstand system tract (HST) of the third order sequence 3 of Hance et al. (2001, emended by Poty, 2016). The dolomitised upper beds of the formation possibly correspond to the falling-stage system tract, but its vertical extension and lateral continuity are too variable to demonstrate this assumption. Nevertheless, the Ourthe Fm is capped by a subaerial erosive (emersion) surface, and the correlative conformity at the top of the Soignies Fm is interpreted as the sequence boundary. The overlying sediments correspond to the lowstand and transgressive system tracts of the following sequence 4A of Poty (2016) (Fig. 2). The Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr both yield an impoverished rugose coral fauna including *Caninia cornucopiae*, *Caninophyllum patulum*, *Cyathaxonia cornu*, *Siphonophyllia cylindrica*, *Sychnoelasma omaliusi* and *Zaphrentites cf. crassus*, that indicates the RC3β zone of Poty et al. (2006). Note that in the proximal equivalents (Hastenrath Mbr), the coral fauna is more diverse with *Keyserlingophyllum obliquum*, *Uralinia cf. multiplex*, and the tabulate *Vaughanites flabelliformis* (Poty, 2016). Similarly the foraminifers are poorly diverse, but previous studies (Conil, 1973; Conil & Lys, 1977) noted some endothyrids and tournayellids indicating the MFZ5 (Poty et al., 2006). Conodonts are more abundant in these facies, and *Polygnatus communis carina* and *Dollymae bouckaerti*, guides of the eponymous zones, are rather common in the Ourthe Fm (Groessens 1973, 1975) and Soignies Mbr (Groessens, 1971, 1975) and allow their correlation.

Although relatively monotonous in lithology, the Ourthe Fm (i.e. “Petit Granit de l’Ourthe”) was divided into six to eight beds and sets of beds either separated by stylolites or true depositional hiatuses. As demonstrated by Hance et al. (2001), the Dinantian deposits of the Namur-Dinant Basin are arranged in third-order sequences (Fig. 2). Moreover, the Tournaisian units display a lower order cyclicity, i.e. precession cycles of c. 20 kyr (Poty, 2016). However, the sedimentary context that prevailed during the deposition of the Ourthe and Soignies encrinites hampered a clear record of these cycles.

The Ourthe Fm and its equivalents correspond to the highstand system tract (HST) of the third order sequence 3 of Hance et al. (2001, emended by Poty, 2016). The dolomitised upper beds of the formation possibly correspond to the falling-stage system tract, but its vertical extension and lateral continuity are too variable to demonstrate this assumption. Nevertheless, the Ourthe Fm is capped by a subaerial erosive (emersion) surface, and the correlative conformity at the top of the Soignies Fm is interpreted as the sequence boundary. The overlying sediments correspond to the lowstand and transgressive system tracts of the following sequence 4A of Poty (2016) (Fig. 2). The Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr both yield an impoverished rugose coral fauna including *Caninia cornucopiae*, *Caninophyllum patulum*, *Cyathaxonia cornu*, *Siphonophyllia cylindrica*, *Sychnoelasma omaliusi* and *Zaphrentites cf. crassus*, that indicates the RC3β zone of Poty et al. (2006). Note that in the proximal equivalents (Hastenrath Mbr), the coral fauna is more diverse with *Keyserlingophyllum obliquum*, *Uralinia cf. multiplex*, and the tabulate *Vaughanites flabelliformis* (Poty, 2016). Similarly the foraminifers are poorly diverse, but previous studies (Conil, 1973; Conil & Lys, 1977) noted some endothyrids and tournayellids indicating the MFZ5 (Poty et al., 2006). Conodonts are more abundant in these facies, and *Polygnatus communis carina* and *Dollymae bouckaerti*, guides of the eponymous zones, are rather common in the Ourthe Fm (Groessens 1973, 1975) and Soignies Mbr (Groessens, 1971, 1975) and allow their correlation.

Although relatively monotonous in lithology, the Ourthe Fm (i.e. “Petit Granit de l’Ourthe”) was divided into six to eight beds and sets of beds either separated by stylolites or true depositional hiatuses. As demonstrated by Hance et al. (2001), the Dinantian deposits of the Namur-Dinant Basin are arranged in third-order sequences (Fig. 2). Moreover, the Tournaisian units display a lower order cyclicity, i.e. precession cycles of c. 20 kyr (Poty, 2016). However, the sedimentary context that prevailed during the deposition of the Ourthe and Soignies encrinites hampered a clear record of these cycles.

The Ourthe Fm and its equivalents correspond to the highstand system tract (HST) of the third order sequence 3 of Hance et al. (2001, emended by Poty, 2016). The dolomitised upper beds of the formation possibly correspond to the falling-stage system tract, but its vertical extension and lateral continuity are too variable to demonstrate this assumption. Nevertheless, the Ourthe Fm is capped by a subaerial erosive (emersion) surface, and the correlative conformity at the top of the Soignies Fm is interpreted as the sequence boundary. The overlying sediments correspond to the lowstand and transgressive system tracts of the following sequence 4A of Poty (2016) (Fig. 2). The Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr both yield an impoverished rugose coral fauna including *Caninia cornucopiae*, *Caninophyllum patulum*, *Cyathaxonia cornu*, *Siphonophyllia cylindrica*, *Sychnoelasma omaliusi* and *Zaphrentites cf. crassus*, that indicates the RC3β zone of Poty et al. (2006). Note that in the proximal equivalents (Hastenrath Mbr), the coral fauna is more diverse with *Keyserlingophyllum obliquum*, *Uralinia cf. multiplex*, and the tabulate *Vaughanites flabelliformis* (Poty, 2016). Similarly the foraminifers are poorly diverse, but previous studies (Conil, 1973; Conil & Lys, 1977) noted some endothyrids and tournayellids indicating the MFZ5 (Poty et al., 2006). Conodonts are more abundant in these facies, and *Polygnatus communis carina* and *Dollymae bouckaerti*, guides of the eponymous zones, are rather common in the Ourthe Fm (Groessens 1973, 1975) and Soignies Mbr (Groessens, 1971, 1975) and allow their correlation.

Although relatively monotonous in lithology, the Ourthe Fm (i.e. “Petit Granit de l’Ourthe”) was divided into six to eight beds and sets of beds either separated by stylolites or true depositional hiatuses.
discontinuities (Libert, 1911; Groessens, 1978). Names were given by quarrymen to each set, commonly expressing a character of the rock (colour, hardness, purity...). The base of the formation is named “Grisou” beds, a c. 6 m-thick light-coloured, hard, often dolomitised unit of little interest for quarrymen (Groessens, 1978; Hardy, 1973). The “Gris-bec” presents a whitish shade unpleasant once polished and is overlaid with the “Gros banc”, an 8-10 m-thick massive unit intensively quarried. It is generally overlaid with the “Bancs minces”, which are a succession of metre-thick beds and then with the “Dure croûte”, a decimetre-thick slightly silicified bed that can be correlated from quarry to quarry in the entire CSA. In some place of the CSA, it is overlaid with the “Bancs de 6 pieds”, which groups a variable number of thin beds. Above, lay the “Bancs bleus” and the “Bancs noirs” both presenting a darker colour making them very valuable as ornamental stone. The “Banc de déserset” – also called “Floribanc” – ends this succession with metre-thick beds below the Martinrive Fm.

Similarly, the Soignies Mbr of the Ecaussinnes Fm (i.e. “Petit Granit de Soignies”) was divided in about 20 beds sets which are, from the base to the top (Groessens, 1978; Hibo, 1994), a set of sub-metric beds overlaid with the 6.5 m-thick crinoidal grainstone of the “Gros banc”, the “Noir banc” and the “Bon 2 mètres”. The “Litée à dalles”, an argillaceous limestone with calcite geodes and the “Pas de Loup”, a bioclastic limestone yielding large Asteractinella expansa sponges are then overlaid with a 4 m-thick set of thin beds comprised of (light) bluesh packstone. Above the Soignies Mbr, the sedimentation changes to an alternation of thinly-beded, fine-grained argillaceous limestone beds and marlstone interbeds forming the Thiamont Mbr of the Malon-Fontaine Fm (“Raches” of the quarrymen, Poty et al., 2001). These facies are rather fossiliferous (crinoids, rugose corals, brachiopods, trilobites, etc.), but the crinoidal content is lower than in the Soignies Mbr. However, the boundary between the two members is transitional with progressive intercalation of argillaceous interbeds of increasing thickness upwards. The

Figure 3. Microfacies from the Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr. a: MF1 crinoidal grainstone-rudstone (Ourthe Fm, Royseux quarry, RIX-6), dominated by crinoids and some brachiopods; b: MF2, crinoidal and peloidal grainstone (Ourthe Fm, Dorinne quarry, Dor-5); c: MF3, crinoidal packstone (Soignies Mbr, Gauthier-Wincqz quarry, GW-39) with fragments of crinoids, brachiopods and bryozoans; d: MF4, bioclastic packstone-wackestone (Ourthe Fm, Royseux quarry, RIX-25) with rare brachiopod fragments, darker areas result of bioturbation; e: MF5, bioclastic mudstone (Ourthe Fm, Grispierre quarry, Ouffet, Gri-21); f: calcite pseudomorph after anhydrite in a wackestone (base of the Martinrive Fm, La Préalle quarry, Pré-1).
3. Materials and methods

Thirteen quarries exposing the Ourthe Fm have been studied in the CSA (see Fig. 1) as well as one quarry exposing the Soignies Mbr in the HSA. For each quarry, the section was logged, and two or three samples were collected from each bed for thin-sectioning (30x45 mm and 45x60 mm oriented thin sections). Additional samples were collected for macrofossils. All material was deposited in the collections of animal palaeontology of the Liège University.

Every 306 lithological sample was described following five criteria: the microfacies, the colour of the rock, the average diameter of crinoid columnals, the lumen to diameter ratio of the crinoid columnals and their erosion degree. As discussed below, it was not possible to distinguish crinoid columnals from elements of blastozoans and rhombiferans. However, their rarity in the Belgian Carboniferous pleads for anecdotal occurrences (Marcuda, 1967).

3.1. Microfacies

MF1 (Fig. 3a) is a crinoidal grainstone made of 70-80% of crinoid fragments along with dissociated brachiopod shells, fragmented ramoso broyazoons, palaechinid spines, small rugose and tabulate corals, often fragmented. Intergranular and intragrannular porosities are rare and filled by sparite. There is no preferential direction and the sorting is relatively good (elements usually >0.6 mm). Bioturbation is frequent.

MF2 (Fig. 3b) is a crinoidal and peloidal grainstone made of 70-80% of crinoid debris and (infra-)millimetric peloids. Intergranular porosity is filled by sparite. Palaechinid spines, fragmented brachiopod shells, tabulate corals and broyzoans are common. There is no preferential direction and the sorting is rather poor. Bioturbation is frequent.

MF3 (Fig. 3c) is a crinoidal packstone comprised of a fine micritic matrix (40-50%) usually rich in organic matter. Some well-preserved columnals (10-15%) and palaechinid spines, fragmented brachiopod shells, ostracods, small rugose and tabulate corals are common, in laterally limited floatstone pockets (bioturbation?). There is no preferential direction, no laminations or intergranular porosity and the sorting is poor.

MF4 (Fig. 3d) is a bioclastic wackestone comprised of a micritic matrix (50-60%) relatively rich in organic matter. The dominant allochems are small crinoid and palaechinid fragments (<1 mm), fragmented brachiopod shells, ostracods, broyzoan, plurilocular foraminifers and small solitary rugose corals. There is no preferential direction but the sorting of the allochems is relatively good.

MF5 (Fig. 3e) is a bioclastic mudstone with rare fossils (small crinoid fragments, ostracods, plurilocular foraminifers, gastropods). There is no preferential direction or lamination and few bioturbations. This facies is interpreted as representing the setting of fine particles in a confined and probably restricted environment as suggested by the numerous anhydrite pseudomorphs (Fig. 3f). The marine fauna however suggest an occasional open marine influence.

3.2. Colour

The colour of the samples was estimated by comparison to a standard greyscale expressed in percent of black (Fig. 4a) on a wet freshly sawn rock surface under an artificial white light presenting a constant incidence and intensity. Hence it is a semi-quantitative, mostly descriptive data. The dark colour reflects the organic content but also the fine granulometry, but dolomitisation and the intensity of bioturbation also have an effect on the resulting colour of the limestone. It is poorly useful for palaeoenvironmental interpretation as no vertical tendency seems to appear but is locally an additional character to identify the beds and sets of beds.

3.3. Crinoid columnal diameter

Minimum, average and maximum value of the diameter of crinoid columnals were measured on each thin section (smallest diameter where columnals are obliquely cut), and presented as a solid curve and envelope.

3.4. Crinoid morphology

The ratio (D) of the lumen diameter on the columnal diameter measured on thin section (smallest diameter where columnals are obliquely cut) has a systematic meaning and serves as a diversity descriptor for each sample. Following Moore & Jeffords' (1968) parataxonomy of crinoids (see 5.3.), the ratio is in three categories (Fig. 4b): columnals with a small lumen with D <0.15, and large lumen with D >0.4, and the intermediate categories between these two values. Note that the ratio was not measured on elliptical columnals or on columnals with non-circular lumen (pentagonal and pentalobate) simply because of their rarity in thin section.

3.5. Crinoid erosion index

The columnal erosion index (CEI) is a qualitative descriptor of the average state of erosion of the crinoid columnals (Fig. 4c). CEI 1 corresponds to intact, unbroken columnals, CEI 3 corresponds to non-recognisable elements. CE2 is an intermediate category. The CEI is a good indicator of taphonomic effects with highly eroded elements suggesting higher fragmentation and abrasion that witness a longer transportation and/or reworking and/or a longer exposure on the sea floor (see discussion in 5.1.). However the CEI does not reflect the type of erosion, i.e. hydrodynamic, dissolution or bioerosion.

4. Description of the key sections

Only five sections out of the thirteen studied are presented here as the most complete and the most representative for each area. In addition, the Soignies section is described for comparison.
4.1. Royseux disused quarry (Figs 5, 6)

This disused quarry is situated on the right bank of the Hoyoux River in front of the Royseux Castle in the proximal part of the CSA. The Ourthe Fm is <20 m-thick, and the very base of the “Grisou” is not exposed. The microfacies alternates between a peloidal and crinoidal grainstone MF2, and a crinoidal grainstone MF1 up to the top of the unit where the crinoidal packstone MF3 dominates. The boundary with the Martinrive Fm – characterised by a bioclastic mudstone MF5 – is sharp. The macrofauna is rather diverse at the base of the “Gris bec” and in the “Bancs minces” with many brachiopods, micheliniid tabulate corals in flat colonies up to 15 cm-large, small solitary rugose corals (Cyathaxonia cornu, Zaphrentites cf. crassus) and straparollid gastropods. The columnal diameter varies between 0.2 and 10 mm with maxima locally observed at the top of the “Gros banc”, and at the base of the “Bancs noirs”. The colour varies between 60% and 100% black, the darkest colours characterising in the “Bancs noirs”. The CEI is relatively high throughout the formation suggesting an intense and continuous reworking of the material. Some beds display undulated boundaries (Fig. 6c) corresponding to hummocky cross-stratifications. The upper beds of the formation are intensively bioturbated.

4.2. “Trou des Chats” quarry at Dorinne (Fig. 5)

This quarry, in part backfilled, is located between Spontin and Dorinne in the southern CSA. The Ourthe Fm is c. 15 m-thick, but the base of the “Grisou” is not exposed. The MF1-2 dominate up to the top of the formation where a crinoidal packstone MF3 is observed. The columnal diameter varies from 0.2 to 5 mm with maxima in the middle of the “Grisou”, the middle of the “Bancs minces” and in the top of the “Bancs noirs”. The CEI fluctuates between the “Grisou” and the “Gros banc” and then stabilises up to the top of the formation. The colour is relatively light. The macrofauna is poor with few brachiopods and bryozoans.

4.3. Jenneret quarry (Figs 6, 7)

This active quarry is located 650 m SW of the Jenneret village in the Néblon valley in the eastern CSA. The Ourthe Fm is >32 m-thick but again the “Gris bec” and the “Grisou” are discontinuously
exposed. Throughout the formation, the microfacies varies from a crinoidal packstone MF3 to a peloidal and crinoidal grainstone MF2 or crinoidal grainstone MF1. The base of the Martinrive Fm comprises a crinoidal packstone MF3 progressively richer in Kameana and bryozoan up-section. The fauna is relatively diverse in all levels with crinoids, bryozoans, brachiopods and occasional palaechinid remains. Plurilocular foraminifers (endothyrids) appear in the “Bancs noirs”. The uppermost part of the formation is intensively bioturbated. Columnal diameter fluctuates between 0.2 and 7 mm with maxima at the top of the “Gris bec”, at the top of the “Gros banc”, at the base of the “Bancs bleus” and at the top of the “Bancs noirs”. The CEI is relatively constant throughout the formation and close to 1. The colour is light from the “Gris bec” to the “Gros banc” and then gets darker up-section, up to the Martinrive Fm.

4.4. Mont quarry (Fig. 7)

This active quarry is located 1200 m N of the Mont village, on the western side of the Ourthe valley in the eastern CSA. The Ourthe Fm is 34 m-thick but the base of the “Grisou” is not exposed. The microfacies varies from a crinoidal grainstone MF1 to a crinoidal packstone MF3. A peloidal and crinoidal grainstone MF2 characterises the top of the “Bancs minces”. The fauna is relatively poorly diverse at the base of the Ourthe Fm but it is more diverse in the “Bancs minces” and “Bancs bleus” with numerous (large) crinoid columnals, bryozoans, brachiopods and the solitary rugose coral Cyathaxonia cornu, palaechinids and gastropods are occasional. From the “Bancs noirs” upwards, the diversity decreases rapidly with disappearance of almost all macrofauna, except crinoids. The columnal diameter varies between 0.2 and 8.5 mm with maxima at the base of the “Gros banc”, in the middle of the “Bancs minces” and in the “Bancs noirs”. The CEI is stable with a minimum in the “Gros banc”. The colour is relatively dark all along the formation.

4.5. Belle-Roche quarry (Fig. 8)

The Belle-Roche quarry is located 2500 m E of Comblain-au-Pont, in the Amblève valley, in the eastern CSA. The Ourthe Fm is 47 m-thick and was studied from a core drilled in 2012 and curated at the University of Liège (Belgium). The microfacies varies from a crinoidal packstone MF3 to a peloidal and crinoidal grainstone MF1-2 up to the “Bancs noirs” which is again a crinoidal packstone MF3. The base of the Martinrive Fm is a bioclastic wackestone MF4 with bryozoans and the rugose coral Cyathaxonia cornu. The diversity is relatively low (brachiopods, crinoids, Cyathaxonia cornu) up to the top of the “Gros banc”. Bryozoan and palaechinids appear in the “Bancs minces” and disappear at the base of the “Bancs bleus”. The columnal diameter fluctuates between 0.2 and 9 mm with maxima in the middle and at the top of the “Grisou”, at the middle and at the top of the “Gros banc”, at the top of the “Bancs minces” and at the top of the “Bancs noirs”. The CEI is stable (CEI1) up to the middle of the “Bancs bleus”. The colour is relatively light below the “Bancs noirs” then peaks at 100% black.

4.6. Gauthier-Wincqz quarry at Soignies (Fig. 8)

This active quarry is located along the N55 road in Soignies in the HSA. The Soignies Mbr is 36 m-thick. The microfacies regularly varies from a crinoidal packstone MF3 to a crinoidal grainstone MF2 and a peloidal and crinoidal grainstone MF1 up to the top of the member where 40–60 cm-large and up to 15 cm-high hummocky cross-stratifications occur (Fig. 6d). The fauna is relatively diverse in the basal part of the member with abundant crinoids, bryozoans, brachiopods, numerous Cyathaxonia cornu and michelinid tabulate corals. Palaechinids and vertebrates remains are occasionally abundant in the “Grosse fine”. Michelinids disappear from the “Cul de Poupli” up to the “Pas de loup” where trilobites, gastropods, and large astereosponges occur. The upper part of the Soignies Mbr is relatively poor in macrofauna. At the base of the Thiarmont Mbr, the faunal diversity increases: crinoids, trilobites, bryozoans, productid, spiriferid, spiriferinid and strophomenid.
brachiopods, solitary rugose corals and tabulate corals (Fig. 9) are common, vertebrate remains (holocephalan dental plates) are occasional. The columnal diameter fluctuates between 0.2 to 10 mm with maxima at the base of the “Gros dur”, at the top of the “2ème belle”, at the top of the “Cul de Poupli”, at the top of the “Banc dit de 3 mètres”, at the top of the “Mauvais 2 mètres”, at the base of the “Bon 2 mètres”, at the base of the “Litée à dalles” and in the middle of the “Pas de Loup”. The CEI is close to 1 up to the base of the “Bon 2 mètres” and then has intermediate values up to the Thiarmont Mbr. The colour regularly varies between 60% and 100% black, the upper part of the Soignies Mbr is however darker than the lower part.

Figure 7. Schematic profiles of the Ourthe Fm in the Jenneret quarry and Mont quarry. Legend: MF: microfacies (see main text), CEI: crinoid erosion index, D (mm): average crinoid columnal diameter in mm. See Figure 5 for legend.
5. Results

5.1. Facies and depositional environment

The grainstone microfacies MF1-2 are largely dominant (c. 70%) in the Ourthe Fm where it is massive but reduced in thickness (e.g. Dorinne, Pont-de-Bonne, Royseux). Where the formation is thicker, the crinoidal packstone MF3 dominates in the upper part.

The bioclastic wackestone and mudstone are only observed in the basal part of the Martinrive Fm.

In the Soignies Mbr, MF1-2 alternate with MF3 in the lower two thirds of the section but MF3-4 tend to be more common in the upper third, witnessing a probable decrease of hydrodynamic conditions and deepening (Hibo, 1994), perhaps in link with a higher subsidence rate known in the HAS (Poty et al., 2001).
The grain-supported microfacies MF1-3 all display features that point to a tempestite origin: a random orientation of the grains, the poor sorting, lack of grading and dark colour. The disarticulation of the skeletal elements and the erosion of the crinoid columnals (cf. CEI) also support a multiple reworking by successive hydrodynamic events, though it can be explained by other taphonomic processes. Sedimentary structures such as hummocky cross-stratifications on top of beds and the concentration of bioturbations in some horizons also indicate that the sediment accumulated as amalgamated tempestites. The amalgamation is suggested by the absence of the grading usually observed in individual tempestites and by the homogeneity of microfacies throughout the deposits (Seilacher & Aigner, 1991; Puga-Bernabéu & Aguirre, 2017). Consequently, it is considered that the entire “Petit Granit” facies was deposited under the fair-weather wave base and within the storm wave zone.

The relatively high erosion (cf. CEI 2-3) of the columnals would suggest a transportation, but predation and bioerosion cannot be excluded, though rarely observed in thin section. At the opposite, the lack of sorting pleads for a limited transportation and is better explained by short-living high-energy conditions which are however compatible with the action of storms. With such limited transportation, the crinoid elements acted as (para-) autochtonous sedimentary particles produced and accumulated

Figure 9. Corals from the Belgian Tournaisian encrinites: a: Caninophyllum patulum, in transverse section (TS), La Préalale quarry, Chanxhe (PAULg-Pre1994/20); b: Siphonophyllia cylindrica, TS, Pont-de-Bonne quarry, (PAULg-PDB2013/29c); c: Cyathaxonida cornu, TS, Gauthier-Wincqz quarry, Soignies (PAULg-GW-91a); d: Sychnoclasta kotimniki, TS, Gauthier-Wincqz quarry, Soignies (PAULg-GW2017-11c); e: Caninia cornucopiae, transverse section, Soignies quarry (PAULg-SGN1986/24a); f: “Michelinia megastoma” in longitudinal section, growing on a Zaphrentites cf. crassus, TS, La Préalale quarry, Chanxhe (PAULg-PRE/24); g: polished slab of “Petit Granit de Soignies” with a large colony of Syringopora sp., Soignies quarry (PAULg-20180111/1); h: polished slab of “Petit Granit de Soignies” with a large colony of “Michelinia megastoma” in TS, note the holes, probably left after the decay of a soft-bodied symbiont, Soignies quarry (PAULg-20180111/2).
in the crinoidal meadow (Meyer & Meyer, 1986; Llewellyn & Messing, 1991). The disarticulation of the crinoid skeleton being mostly due to the decay of connecting tissues, the preservation of complete individual would require a rapid burial with no subsequent reworking. This constant reworking thus explains the total absence of complete specimens in the Ourthe Fm and the poor preservation of rare articulated crinoids in the Soignies Mbr (see examples in Donovan, 1991).

These massive encrinites made of disarticulated crinoids are similar to other region-covering crinoidal limestones such as the Burlington Limestone (lowermost Viséan of Missouri and Iowa) or the Sadler Ranch Fm (Lower to Middle Devonian of Nevada). As they share similar depositional and taphonomic characters, they are all referred to Burlington-type encrinites (Auschich et al., 1999b) or regional encrinites (Auschich, 1997).

5.2. Thickness and carbonated production

In order to interpret the lateral variations in thickness of the Ourthe Fm, punctual thicknesses were compiled from the literature (Libert, 1911; Groessens, 1978), from a regional study (ISSeP, 1994) and measurements in the field (see Appendix 1). The thickness, measured in metres, is considered as an elevation (ISSeP, 1994) and measurements in the field (see Appendix 1).

As presented on Figure 10, the thickness of the Ourthe Fm increases eastwards with a maximal value in the Bocq valley (SW CSA). The thickness decreases northwards where the equivalent of the Ourthe Fm in the Namur Dolostone Group is 11 m-thick in the Marche-les-Dames quarry (Pothy, 2016). This reduction is probably related to the more proximal position on the platform and the gradual passage to the oolithic Hastenrath Mbr that deposited in more dynamic conditions above the fair-weather wave base. In the SE region, the isoplines are stopped because the Ourthe Fm passes gradually to the Leffe Fm and to the Waulsortian facies in the transitional area between the CSA and the DSA. The lack of data between the Hoyoux and Bocq valleys in the CSA is explained by a lack of outcrops in this region where the “Petit Granit” was very scarcely quarried (Libert, 1911). The shape of the isopach map is therefore more speculative in this zone.

If the top of the formation is taken as a reference, it would indicate a gentle slope of the ramp c. 4° eastwards. In the central CSA (Les Avins), the slope is more abrupt with a local increase to 20°. It suggests the local development of a shoal in this area. Note that the two preferential directions in the isoplines are N10°E and N100°E. These directions are parallel to the boundaries of faulted blocks defined during the Famenian by Thorez & Dreesen (1986) and identified in the Carboniferous by Poty (1997) and Poty & Delcuelle (2011). The E-W thickness gradient is thought to be linked to a different subsidence and accommodation of the eastern CSA compared to the central CSA. However, it cannot be excluded that differences in thickness resulted from local differences in carbonate production. The carbonate production of the crinoidal meadows is here provided by two distinct approaches.

The first approach is based on geological settings. The extension of the CSA covered by the Ourthe Fm estimated by the geological map (de Béthune, 1954) is c. 600 km². Hence an area of 1200 km² if a reduction of 50% after folding-thrusting is taken into account (Hance et al., 1999). The thickness map gives a variation of the thickness between 15 to 45 metres i.e. a constant average slope for the ramp of 4°. A total volume of sediment of 540 km³ is estimated for the Ourthe Fm in the CSA. The duration of deposition of the Ourthe Fm is unknown but can be approached by comparison with the Landelies Fm, a lower Tournaisian crinoidal limestone deposited in similar settings (Pothy 2016) and also interpreted as a highstand system track (HST). It is thus considered that the duration of the deposit is similar and is approximately equal to 560 kyr (28 cycles of 20,000 years; Pothy, 2016). It results an average carbonated production rate of 1580 cm³/m².y. Moreover, taking into account the dominance of grainstone facies where the crinoid elements represent 75% of the whole rock, the estimated crinoidal production is 1200 cm³/m².y. This rate may seem very high compared to those calculated for the Mesozoic crinoidal limestones (c. 360 cm³/m².y; David & Leroux, 2000) or those measured in recent crinoid communities (c. 20 cm³/m².y; Messing et al., 2007). Crinoidal meadows were presumably denser during the Tournaisian due to a higher diversity of the crinoids and more partitioned ecosystem at this time (Simms, 1999; Kammer & Ausich, 2006).

The second approach is based on an ecological hypothesis: despite the lack of data regarding the carbonated production of
crinoids during the Tournaisian, it is possible to extrapolate a columnal production rate (cm³/y) only related to the columnal diameter. Published data on Mesozoic crinoids (David & Leroux, 2000) and Recent crinoids (Messing et al., 2007) show that columnal diameter plotted against production rate can be expressed by an exponential function (Appendix 2). If this equation is applied to each diameter proportion measured for each quarry, an average columnal production rate of 90 cm³/y in the Ourthe Fm and 105 cm³/y in the Soignies Mbr is obtained.

Moreover, the columnid density in the meadow was less than 20 individuals per square metre after Baumliller & Rome (1998) and David & Leroux (2000). At the opposite, a population density should have been higher than 10 ind/m² to produce the observed volume of columnals (David & Leroux, 2000). Thus an average density of individuals of 15 ind/m² is assessed as an intermediate value compared to the few data available in the literature. Hence this value multiplied by the columnal production rate calculated above, a carbonated production rate of 1350 cm³/columnal/m²·y is obtained. The rate is relatively similar to the one computed from geological hypothesis. Both approached based on completely independent hypothesis yield similar conclusions.

5.3. Parataxonomy

Only two species (Poteriocrinites crassus and Platycrinites laevis) were cited by de Koninck & Le Hon (1854) from the Belgian Upper Tournaisian encrinoids. Specific identification is not possible as the taxonomy of Crinoidea is based on the characters of the calyx. Though, the calyxes are extremely rare in the Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr due to complete disarticulation in this highly hydrodynamic environment, as often the case (Brett et al., 1991, Ausich & Sevastopulo, 1994). The diversity can nevertheless be estimated from disarticulated elements as demonstrated by Thuy et al. (2014) for ophiurids, Thompson & Denayer (2017) for palaeochinids and by Donovan & Sevastopulo (1985) for crinoids. Even in disarticulated state, the crinoids present some characters: size, lumen to columnal diameter ratio, lumen shape, morphology of the columnal and occurrence of cirri. To infer the taxonomic diversity of crinoids in the Belgian example, these characters are sufficient and the parataxonomy developed by Moore & Jeffords (1968) on the columns observed in thin sections was used. Measured columnal diameters display a polymodal distribution (Fig. 11) pointing to the biodiversity of the Tournaisian crinoidal meadows in Belgium.

It has to be noted that there is a poor correlation between the morphology of the columnals and the crown of crinoids – with few exceptions such as Gilbertsocrinus, Camptocrinus and some Platicrinitidae (Ausich, written communication, April 2018). Moreover a single taxon produces more than one type of columnal (e.g. columns, cirri, rhizoids and arms) whereas several species not taxonomically related may produce similar columnals. Consequently the correlation attempted here is highly hypothetical and unresolved and only used as an approach of the fossil diversity.

The genera Elytrocrinus and Ilematerisema and representatives of the Flucticharacidae and Exciosisciidae are all characterised by circular columnal with a small circular lumen (Fig. 12a). Elytrocrinus and Ilematerisema have a lumen larger than those observed here. In the present material, the columnals having a diameter between 0.3 and 1 mm possibly correspond to remains of Flucticharacidae, whereas columns 1 to 2.5 mm in diameter possibly correspond to Exciosisciidae.

The members of the Rhodocrinidae and Exciosisciidae families display circular columnals with a middle-sized circular lumen (Fig. 12b). The Rhodocrinidae are easily identified by their small columnal diameter (<1 mm), whereas the Exciosisciidae have a larger columnal diameter (>1 mm, Fig. 11). The genera Dierocalipter, Rhysocamax, Stiberostaurus and Graphosterigma, as well as members of the Cyclomischidae family have circular columnals with a large circular lumen (Fig. 12d). Dierocalipter and Rhysocamax have a smaller diameter than Stiberostaurus and Graphosterigma. The Cyclomischidae could present a broad range of columnal diameters.

Members of the Platyicrinidae family display elliptical columnals with a middle-sized circular lumen. The latter are rather abundant in the Soignies Mbr (Fig. 12e, g, h, j).

The genera Gilbertsocrinus and Floricyclus both display circular columnals with a pentalobate lumen (Fig. 12c, k) but Floricyclus is rejected because of its convex profile which has not been observed on the macroscopic samples collected in the field.
Pentagonal columnals with a circular lumen (Fig. 12f) occur in thin sections but are usually badly preserved and their identification is uneasy. After Donovan (written communication, February 2017) this kind of columnal could possibly belong to *Fascicrinus* sp. However, the genus *Fascicrinus* is limited to the Late Ordovician (Webster, 2003).

Despite their abundance during the Mississippian of N America, blastoids seem to have been less common in Europe during the Tournaisian (Waters, 1990). Some occurrences are known in the late Tournaisian of Tournai (Marcuda, 1967), but none are known with certainty from the “Petit Granit”. As stated before, crinoid calyces are extremely rare in these facies, hence their diversity is only approached by the columnal-based parataxonomy. As there are no striking differences between dissociated blastoid, thomibiferan and crinoid columnals, the presence of the first two cannot be proven or rejected. Nevertheless, it would not be surprising to find groups of blastoid living amongst crinoids as described in N America (Waters & Sevastopolu, 1984; Ausich, 1999a, b).

5.4. Faunal assemblage

Most of the crinoid species identified in the Ourthe Fm and Soignies Mbr (Fig. 12a-l) have already been identified in some others Tournaisian encrinites, notably in the N America (i.e. Moore & Jeffords, 1968; Ausich, 1999a, b), in the British Isles (Waters & Sevastopolu, 1984; Lane et al., 2001) and in China (Lane et al., 1997; Webster et al., 2009). This widespread distribution reflects the fact that crinoids were generalists and took advantage of the appropriate living conditions of the environment during the Tournaisian. Some other suspension-feeder organisms have been closely associated to crinoids since the Lower Paleozoic (Ausich & Bottjer, 2007): sponges, corals, bryozoans, brachiopods and other echinoderms frequently occupied the lower tier of the environment. Following the observations in the field and in thin sections, here is proposed a distribution of these organisms.

The tier 1 (0 → +5 cm): palaechinids, straparollid gastropods (Fig. 13g), trilobites (*Phillipsia* sp., Fig. 13k) (Hahn & Hahn, 1988) and holothurids comprised the vagile part. Palaechinids (Fig. 12m,
n) are well-represented in the Ourthe Fm and could be one of the principal predators of crinoids as they are presently (Baumiller et al., 2008). The sessile guild comprised brachiopods (*Leptagonia* sp. (Fig. 13j), productids s.l., spiriferids s.l., *Undispirifer*, *Mesochorispira konincki* (Fig. 13e-f)), rugose corals (*Cyathaxonia cornu* (Fig. 9c), *Caninia cornucopiae* (Fig. 9e), *Caninophyllum patulum* (Fig. 9a), *Siphonophyllia cylindrica* (Fig. 9b), *Zaphrentites cf. crassus* (Fig. 9f), *Sychnoelasma konincki* (Fig. 9d)), tabulate corals (micheliniids, syringoporids (Fig. 9g-h)), bryozoans (Fig. 12c) and sponges (*Asteractinella expansa* (Fig. 13h-i)).

Based on the proportions of columnals of each morphology described above and with the hypothetic assumption that they are associated to the different taxa as suggested by parataxonomy (see 5.3.), a tiering model can be proposed for the Belgian Tournaisian encrinites (Fig. 14). In comparison with the tiering model proposed by Ausich & Bottjer (1982, 2007), the *Flucticharacidae*, the *Rhodocrinitidae*, *Dierocalipter* and *Rhysocamax* lived in the tier 2 (+5 → +20 cm), the *Excesiodiscidae* and the *Platycrinitidae* probably occupied the tier 3 (+20 → +50 cm). Then the tier 4 (+50 → +100 cm) was probably occupied by long-stemmed crinoids, such as *Stiberostaurus*, *Graphosterigma* and the *Cyclomischidae*.

As suggested by the proportion of measured diameter of columnals, the proportions of individuals is approximately constant from one quarry to the other: 55-65% of the crinoid community occupied the tier 2, 20-30% occupied the tier 3 and finally 10-15% of the crinoids occupied the tier 4.

The nekton was mainly comprised of holoecephalan and hybodontid chondrychthians whose teeth are common in the Tournaisian encrinite (Fig. 13a-c). *Actinoceratoid cephalopods (Rayonoceras?*, Fig. 13d) were occasional dwellers of the crinoid meadow. A great amount of bioturbation is present throughout the Soignies Mbr and the Ourthe Fm, but these endobenthic traces have not been identified and studied.

The environment was clearly dominated by the suspension feeders but the occurrence of nektonic and benthic macrophages witnesses the complexity of the ecosystem of the crinoidal meadows despite the crinoid dominance.

6. Discussions

Judging from the columnal morphologies, all the species and genera of crinoids that comprised the crinoidal meadow in the Ourthe Fm were already present at the top of the Yvoir Fm, generally characterised by a peloidal and crinoidal grainstone (MF2). The great amount of pellets at the top of the Yvoir Fm could be attributed to a relative abundance of macrophages in the crinoidal meadow, preventing or diluting the accumulation of columnals. At the transition between the Yvoir and the Ourthe formations the rate of columnal production increased exponentially, enabling the deposit of a truly massive crinoidal limestone. The passage from the Yvoir Fm (TST) to the Ourthe Fm (HST) also recorded an increase in hydrodynamic settings that also explain the drastic decrease of peloidal content.

Peaks in the curve of maximum columnal diameter are correlated throughout the CSA (Figs 5, 7, 8), in the “Bancs minces”, top of “Gris Bane” and in the “Bancs noirs”. Those maxima can be explained by hydrodynamic effects but it has to be noted there is no correlation with the columnal erosion index (CEI).

During the Tournaisian, S Belgium is located at a latitude of 15°S (Golonka, 2007) within the hurricane area (Yao et al., 2016). The crinoidal meadows were regularly swept by tropical storms and cyclically by hurricanes of higher intensity. Indeed high fragmentation of skeletons, rounded fragments of shells and hummocky cross-
stratification in the Ourthe Fm indicate the deposition during storm events, as explained above. Except these periods of intense agitation, the environment was a weakly-agitated system with a seafloor relatively loose as suggested by brachiopods with a large lifting surface (Spiriferides, Leptagonia) enabling them to float on the sediment surface, branched bryozoans (Hyphasmoporidae) and root-shaped holdfasts of crinoids. Contrary to Hardy (1973) who placed the Tournaisian crinoidal meadow beneath storm wave base, the deposition of the Ourthe Fm is thought to have taken place between the fair-weather wave base and the storm wave base. Similar interpretations were provided for other Burlington-type crinoidal deposits in NW Europe (Waters & Sevastopulo, 1984; Webster et al., 2003) and N America (Ausich, 1999b).

Slight microfacies variations are explained by hydrodynamic changes on the deposition area due to cyclic rise and fall of sea level (possibly 4th order, Poty, 2016). A slight decrease of sea level would have indeed increased the hydrodynamics and washed out peloids, leaving a greater concentration of columnals.

As often observed in encrinites (e.g. David & Leroux, 2000 and references within), the deposition area were covered with megadunes larger than high and moving during hydrodynamic events. As small particles accumulated in the depressions, the vertical succession of crinoidal grainstone and packstone observed in the sections could be interpreted as the vertical succession of dunes and interdunes deposits. Nevertheless the regularity of beds in the CSA and their lateral continuity along several kilometres do not confirm this interpretation.

At the top of the Ourthe Fm, a major fall of the sea level in the CSA induced a change of the microfacies to a crinoidal packstone. The boundary between the Ourthe and Martinrive formations is divided into vertical tiers, and the presence of non-suspension feeder organisms, above and under the crinoidal meadow, is documented. The crinoids and associated suspension feeders formed an assemblage similar to those described from other encrinites, notably in the N America, in the British Isles and in China (e.g. Ausich, 1999a; Waters & Sevastopulo, 1984; Webster et al., 2009) in similar settings of the Burlington-type region-covering crinoidal deposits (Ausich et al., 1999b). The recurrent storm and hurricane events regularly annihilated the crinoidal meadows and produced vast crinoidal gravel and sands deposited as amalgamated tempestites. The meadows finally disappeared from the Condroz and Hainaut sedimentation areas as the result of a change in the sedimentation following the emersion at the sequence boundary. Moreover, the detailed analysis of this falsely simple succession demonstrates the recovery of the marine environment after the collapse of the reefal ecosystem at the end of the Devonian (Ausich & Kammer, 2013; Sallan et al., 2011).

7. Conclusions

Despite the monotonous appearance, the Tournaisian crinoidal meadows of S Belgium were not a poor and monotonous environment but a complex and well-organized community of organisms. The dominant suspension feeder organisms were divided into vertical tiers, and the presence of non-suspension feeder organisms, above and under the crinoidal meadow, is documented. The crinoids and associated suspension feeders formed an assemblage similar to those described from other encrinites, notably in the N America, in the British Isles and in China (e.g. Ausich, 1999a; Waters & Sevastopulo, 1984; Webster et al., 2009) in similar settings of the Burlington-type region-covering crinoidal deposits (Ausich et al., 1999b). The recurrent storm and hurricane events regularly annihilated the crinoidal meadows and produced vast crinoidal gravel and sands deposited as amalgamated tempestites. The meadows finally disappeared from the Condroz and Hainaut sedimentation areas as the result of a change in the sedimentation following the emersion at the sequence boundary. Moreover, the detailed analysis of this falsely simple succession demonstrates the recovery of the marine environment after the collapse of the reefal ecosystem at the end of the Devonian (Ausich & Kammer, 2013; Sallan et al., 2011).
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Figure 14. Reconstruction of the “Petit Granit” community based on estimated density and tiering of crinoids and other fauna in the Ourthe Fm. Legend: 1: long-stemmed crinoids (possibly Stiberostraus, Graphostoerigma or Cycloniscidae); 2: short-stemmed crinoid (possibly Flucticharacidae, Rhodocristinidae, Dierococelipiter or Rhysocamau); 3: productid brachiopod; 4: reticulate bryozoan (Fenestellia); 5: straparolid gastropod; 6: coiled crinoid (Plyctinurinidae); 7: holocelphalan chondrichthian; 8: orthoconic actinoceratoid (Rayonnoceras ?); 9: small solitary rugose coral (Cryptorhachia cornucornia); 10: ramose bryozoan (rhomboports); 11: large solitary rugose coral (Caninophyllum patulum); 12: intermediate-size crinoid (possibly Ecesiosididae or Gilbertoceramus); 13: palaechid; 14: phillipsid trilobite; 15: massive tabulate coral (michelinid); 16: spiriferid brachiopod; 17: burrow.
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Appendix 1. Thickness of the Ourthe Fm (field measurement and published data) in each locality.

| Location                                | Thickness (m) |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|
| **Libert, 1911; Groessens, 1978**       |               |
| Triffoy                                 | 16.0          |
| Surroyseux                              | 21.9          |
| Vierset-Burse                           | 18.0          |
| Fond de Leval                           | 28.0          |
| Petit-Avin                              | 29.0          |
| Chanxhe – Poulseur                      | 28.5          |
| Chanxhe                                 | 32.5          |
| Florzée                                 | 33.0          |
| Lizen                                   | 33.0          |
| Vien                                    | 34.5          |
| Anthisnes                               | 34.0          |
| Ogné                                    | 32.0          |
| Correux                                 | 31.0          |
| **ISSep, 1994 (unpublished report)**    |               |
| Spontin                                 | 13.3          |
| Seneffe                                 | 26.3          |
| Porcheresse                             | 17.5          |
| Pailhe                                  | 23.0          |
| Malihoux                                | 20.1          |
| Braibant                                | 17.0          |
| Anthisnes                               | 36.0          |
| Halleux                                 | 35.0          |
| Rouvreux                                | 40.0          |
| **Measured (this work)**                |               |
| Dorinne                                 | 15.0          |
| Vivaqua                                 | 19.0          |
| Herboth                                 | 19.2          |
| Royseux IX                              | 19.0          |
| Les Ornais                              | 20.0          |
| Sprimont                                | 31.0          |
| Rondia                                  | 31.0          |
| Jenneret                                | 33.0          |
| Mercier                                 | 34.0          |
| Gripierres                              | 35.0          |
| Moris                                   | 36.0          |
| La Préalle                              | 38.0          |
| Belle-Roche                             | 47.0          |

Appendix 2. Equation and regression curve for the calculation of productivity.

\[
\text{rate} = 0.372 \times (e^{0.504 \times \text{diameter}})
\]