Solutions of the Klein Gordon equation with generalized hyperbolic potential in D-dimensions
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Abstract

We solve the D-dimensional Klein-Gordon equation with a newly proposed generalized hyperbolic potential model, under the condition of equal scalar and vector potentials. The relativistic bound state energy equation has been obtained via the functional analysis method. We obtained the relativistic and non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra for different diatomic molecules. The numerical results for these diatomic molecules tend to portray inter-dimensional degeneracy symmetry. Variations of the energy eigenvalues obtained with the potential parameters have been demonstrated graphically. Our studies will find relevant applications in the areas of chemical physics and high-energy physics.

Introduction

Researchers over the years have continually sought for solutions of wave equations with potential energies both in the non-relativistic and relativistic quantum mechanical systems [1, 2]. These solutions will provide all the necessary information needed to explain the behavior of any physical system. In addition, the solutions of these wave equations are highly applicable in chemical physics and high-energy physics at higher spatial dimensions [3]. Klein-Gordon (KG) equation is a basic relativistic wave equation that is well known to describe the motion of spin zero particles [4]. Different investigations have been carried out to obtain the exact and approximate solutions of the KG equation with different potentials, via various methods including the asymptotic iteration method (AIM) [5], Nikiforov-Uvarov (NU) method [6], supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) [7], algebraic approach [8], exact and proper quantization rules [9], modified factorization method [10, 11] and others [12–25]. Many authors have studied the solutions of the D-dimensional Klein–Gordon equation with diatomic molecular potential energy models [17–25]. Analytical solutions of the KG equation and Dirac equation have been obtained for the conventional form of the Rosen-Morse (RM) potential energy model [26, 27]. Chen and his collaborators [28] studied the relationship between the D-dimensional relativistic ro-vibrational energies with applications to the Lithium diatomic molecule. In addition, RM type scalar and vector potential energy model was employed to obtain the s-wave bound state energy spectra [29]. Villalba et al [30] considered the bound state solution of a one-dimensional Cusp potential model, confined in the KG equation. The bound state solution of the KG equation with mixed vector and scalar PT potential energy with a nonzero angular momentum parameter was investigated by Xu et al [31]. Badalov et al [32] used NU to study any l-state of the KG equation, with the help of a Pekeris-like approximation scheme. In similar development, Ikot et al [33] solved the KG equation with the Hylleraas potential model and obtained its exact solution. Also, Hassanabadi and his collaborators [20] studied a combined Eckart potential and modified Hylleraas potential energy in higher dimensional KG equations using supersymmetric quantum mechanics method. Fia et al [22] investigated the bound state solution of the KG equation with an improved version of the Manning-Rosen potential model. Ortakaya [34] solved the D-dimensional KG equation and obtained the bound state energy spectrum for three
different diatomic molecules using pseudoharmonic oscillator potential model. Chen et al\cite{28} employed the improved MR potential energy in D-spatial dimensions to obtain the relativistic bound state energy equation. Also, Ikot et al\cite{35} analyzed the improved MR potential energy for arbitrary angular momentum parameter using an approximate method in D-dimensions. Xie et al\cite{36} studied the bound state solutions of the KG equation with the Morse potential energy in D-spatial dimensions. Ikot and his co-authors\cite{37} employed NU method to investigate the D-dimensional KG equation with an exponential type molecule potential model. Hyperbolic potential models have been used as the empirical mathematical models in describing various interatomic interactions for diatomic and polyatomic molecules\cite{38}. Deformed hyperbolic functions have also been studied and its non-relativistic energy spectra obtained via different methods\cite{39-44}.Most recently, Durmus\cite{45} studied the Dirac equation with equal scalar and vector hyperbolic potential function using the AIM, with the help of Greene and Aldrich approximation scheme. The author also investigated the relativistic vibrational energy spectra for various electronic states of some alkali metal diatomic molecules. Motivated by the work of Durmus\cite{45}, we propose a generalized hyperbolic potential (GHP) of the form

\[ U_{GHP}(r) = V_1 \tanh^2(\alpha r) + V_2 \coth^2(\alpha r) - V_3 \text{sech}^2(\alpha r) - V_4 \text{csch}^2(\alpha r), \]  

where \( V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 \) are potential parameters, and \( \alpha \) is the range of the potential.

Using the functional analysis method, we investigate the approximate bound state solution of the KG equation with GHP in higher spatial dimensions. We also explore the properties of the D-dimensional relativistic and non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra for the GHP analytically and numerically for some selected diatomic molecules.

**Bound state solutions**

The Klein–Gordon equation with a scalar potential \( S(r) \) and a vector potential \( V(r) \) in D-dimensions reads\cite{46}

\[ \left[ \hbar^2 c^2 \nabla^2_D - (\mu c^2 + S(r))^2 + (E - V(r))^2 \right] \Psi(r, \Omega_D) = 0, \]  

where \( D \) represents the spatial dimensionality and \( D \geq 2 \), \( \nabla^2_D \) represents the Laplace operator in D-dimensions, \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant, \( c \) and \( E \) are the speed of light and relativistic energy of the system, respectively. Also, the wave function can be given as \( \Psi(r, \Omega_D) = r^{-\frac{D-1}{2}} u_{ij}(r) Y_{\Omega_D}(\Omega_D) \), where \( Y_{\Omega_D}(\Omega_D) \) is the generalized spherical harmonic function. Employing the eigenvalues of the generalized angular momentum operator \( L^2_{\Omega_D}(\Omega_D) \), we obtain the following second-order Schrodinger-like equation as,

\[ L^2_{\Omega_D} Y_{ij}(\Omega_D) = J(J + 2 - 2) Y_{ij}(\Omega_D), \]  

where \( J = J + \frac{1}{2}(D - 3) \), \( E_{ij} \) represents the relativistic ro-vibrational energy eigenvalues in D-dimensions, \( \nu \) and \( J \) represents the vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, respectively. For equal scalar and vector potentials, \( S(r) = V(r) \), equation (4) becomes

\[ \left[ \hbar^2 c^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + (E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2 c^4) - 2(\mu c^2 + E_{ij}) V(r) \right. \]

\[ \left. + V^2(r) - S^2(r) - \frac{K(K + 1)\hbar^2 c^2}{r^2} \right] u_{ij}(r) = 0, \]  

where \( K = J + \frac{1}{2} \) (D - 3), \( E_{ij} \) represents the relativistic ro-vibrational energy eigenvalues in D-dimensions, \( \nu \) and \( J \) represents the vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, respectively. For equal scalar and vector potentials, \( S(r) = V(r) \), equation (4) becomes

\[ \left[ \hbar^2 c^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + (E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2 c^4) - 2(\mu c^2 + E_{ij}) V(r) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{K(K + 1)\hbar^2 c^2}{r^2} \right] u_{ij}(r) = 0. \]  

Rescaling the scalar potential \( S(r) \) and vector potential \( V(r) \) under the non-relativistic limit, we adopt the Alhaidari et al\cite{47} scheme to write equation (4) as

\[ \left[ \hbar^2 c^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + (E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2 c^4) - 2 \left( \frac{\mu c^2 S(r)}{2} + E_{ij} \frac{V(r)}{2} \right) \right. \]

\[ \left. + \left( \frac{V(r)}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{S(r)}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{K(K + 1)\hbar^2 c^2}{r^2} \right] u_{ij}(r) = 0. \]  

With the equal scalar and vector potential being taken as the generalized hyperbolic potential, \( S(r) = V(r) = U_{GHP}(r) \), we obtain the following second-order Schrodinger-like equation as,
\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{(E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2 c^4)}{\hbar^2 c^2} \right] u_{ij}(r) + \left\{ \frac{(E_{ij} + \mu c^2)}{\hbar^2 c^2} \right\} (V_i \tanh^2(\alpha r) + V_j \coth^2(\alpha r))
- V_s \text{sech}^2(\alpha r) - V_c \text{csch}^2(\alpha r)) \} u_{ij}(r) = 0
\]  

(7)

Due to the presence of the centrifugal term in equation (7), we employ the Greene-Aldrich approximation scheme [48]

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{4\alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha r}}{(1 - e^{-2\alpha r})^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\sinh^2 \alpha r}.
\]

(8)

As noted in [45], the above approximation is seen to be valid only for short range potential with small potential range, \( \alpha \). This approximation tends to break down for large \( \alpha \).

Substituting equation (8) and introducing coordinate transformation of the form \( s = \tanh^2(\alpha r) \), we get

\[
s(1 - s) \frac{d^2 u_{ij}(s)}{ds^2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3s) \frac{du_{ij}(s)}{ds} + \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - s)} + \frac{Ps}{(1 - s)} + \frac{R}{s(1 - s)} \right\} u_{ij}(s) = 0,
\]

(9)

where

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{(E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2 c^4)}{4\hbar^2 \alpha^2 c^2} + \frac{(E_{ij} + \mu c^2)}{4\hbar^2 \alpha^2 c^2} (V_i - V_j) + \frac{K(K + 1)}{4},
\]

(10)

\[
P = -\left( \frac{(E_{ij} + \mu c^2)}{4\hbar^2 \alpha^2 c^2} (V_i + V_j) \right),
\]

(11)

\[
R = -\left( \frac{(E_{ij} + \mu c^2)}{4\hbar^2 \alpha^2 c^2} (V_i - V_j) + \frac{K(K + 1)}{4} \right).
\]

(12)

Also, we propose the wave function as

\[
u_{ij}(s) = s^{\lambda}(1 - s)^{\sigma} f_{ij}(s),
\]

(13)

where

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 16R} \right),
\]

(14)

\[
\sigma = \pm i\sqrt{\varepsilon + P + R}.
\]

(15)

We find that equation (9) turns into a Gauss hypergeometric-type equation of the form

\[
s(1 - s) \frac{d^2 f_{ij}(s)}{ds^2} + \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\lambda \right) - \left( 2\lambda + 2\sigma + \frac{3}{2} \right) s \right]
\times \frac{df_{ij}(s)}{ds} - \left[ (\lambda + \sigma + \frac{1}{4} - \phi)(\lambda + \sigma + \frac{1}{4} + \phi) \right] f_{ij}(s) = 0
\]

(16)

where

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} - P.
\]

(17)

The solution of equation (16) can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric function given below

\[
f_{ij}(s) = N_1 s^{\lambda}(1 - s)^{\sigma} F_1(a, b, c, s) + N_2 s^{-\lambda}
\times (1 - s)^{\sigma} F_1(1 + a - c, 1 + b - c, 2 - c, s),
\]

(18)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda &= \sigma + \frac{1}{4} - \phi, \\
b &= \lambda + \sigma + \frac{1}{4} + \phi,
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

(20)
To obtain the energy relation, we equate either equations (19) or (20) to a negative integer (say \( -\nu \)). Hence, we choose

\[ \lambda + \sigma + \frac{1}{4} - \phi = -\nu. \]  

Substituting equations (10)–(12), (14), (15) and (17) into (22), we obtain the D-dimensional relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra for the GHP in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{ij}^2 - \mu^2c^4 &= \frac{E_{ij} + \mu^2c^2}{\hbar^2c^2}(V_i + V_j) - 4\alpha^2 \\
&\times \left[ V + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16} + \frac{(E_{ij} + \mu^2c^2)}{4\hbar^2\alpha^2 c^2}(V_i + V_j) \right]^2.
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain the nonrelativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra for the GHP, we employ the following mapping: \( E_{ij} + \mu^2c^2 \to 2\mu^2c^2 \) and \( E_{ij} - \mu^2c^2 \to E_{ij} \). With these mapping we obtain

\[
E_{ij} = (V_i + V_j) - \frac{\hbar^2\alpha^2}{2\mu} \left( 2V + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{8} \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2\alpha^2}(V_i + V_j) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + (1+2K)^2 + \frac{8\mu}{\hbar^2\alpha^2}(V_2 - V_1) \right)^2. 
\]

The normalization of the wave function can be determined as shown in appendix appendix.

**Results and discussion**

We consider different diatomic molecules (HCl, NiC, CO, I\(_2\)) with spectroscopic parameters as shown in table 1. These parameters were adopted from [49] and applied to equation (24) to compute the numerical values of the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energies for arbitrary quantum numbers in different dimensions, as shown in tables 2–5. We observe from the tables presented that the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energies for the selected diatomic molecules decrease as the quantum numbers (\( \nu, J \)) increase. Also, for any quantum state, there is a decrease in ro-vibrational energies as the dimension increases. This trend is consistent with the relation of energy eigenvalues and quantum numbers, as observed in [49] for the selected diatomic molecules. In addition, we observe that there exist an inter-dimensional degeneracy symmetry for the selected diatomic molecules \( (E_{ij}^{D} = E_{ij}^{D+2}) \). This implies that the nonrelativisticro-vibrational energy spectra for the GHP is invariant under a transformation of an increase in the D-dimension by two \( (D \to D + 2) \) and a decrease in the rotational quantum number by one \( (J \to J - 1) \).
Table 2. Energy spectra $E_{vj}$ (in eV) of HCl for arbitrary $v$ and $j$ quantum numbers at different dimensions with $\hbar = 1973.29$ eVÅ, $V_1 = 2$, $V_2 = 2$, $V_4 = 4$ and $V_5 = -4$.

| $v$ | $j$ | $E_{vj}^{D=3}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=4}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=5}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=6}$ |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0   | 0   | 3.992 536 516 | 3.992 363 344 | 3.992 028 341 | 3.991 547 708 |
| 0   | 1   | 3.931 049 146 | 3.931 458 722 | 3.931 469 539 | 3.930 073 901 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.931 469 539 | 3.930 073 901 | 3.928 261 090 | 3.926 017 406 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.814 025 040 | 3.813 042 230 | 3.811 398 865 | 3.809 088 223 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.811 398 865 | 3.809 088 223 | 3.806 100 428 | 3.802 422 859 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.806 100 428 | 3.802 422 859 | 3.798 040 210 | 3.792 934 558 |
| 3   | 0   | 3.635 489 793 | 3.634 113 867 | 3.631 816 322 | 3.628 590 675 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.631 816 322 | 3.628 590 675 | 3.624 472 896 | 3.619 316 441 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.624 472 896 | 3.619 316 441 | 3.613 242 314 | 3.606 189 122 |
| 3   | 0   | 3.613 242 314 | 3.606 189 122 | 3.598 138 150 | 3.589 068 449 |

Table 3. Energy spectra $E_{vj}$ (in eV) of NiC for arbitrary $v$ and $j$ quantum numbers at different dimensions with $\hbar = 1973.29$ eVÅ, $V_1 = 2$, $V_2 = 2$, $V_4 = 4$ and $V_5 = -4$.

| $v$ | $j$ | $E_{vj}^{D=3}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=4}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=5}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=6}$ |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0   | 0   | 3.990 936 343 | 3.998 925 695 | 3.998 907 833 | 3.998 882 582 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.990 941 934 | 3.990 341 934 | 3.990 170 545 | 3.990 051 877 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.973 408 571 | 3.973 355 439 | 3.973 266 777 | 3.973 142 419 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.973 266 777 | 3.973 142 419 | 3.972 982 135 | 3.972 785 627 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.972 982 135 | 3.972 785 627 | 3.972 552 536 | 3.972 282 432 |
| 3   | 1   | 3.947 880 799 | 3.947 806 425 | 3.947 682 363 | 3.947 508 452 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.947 682 363 | 3.947 508 452 | 3.947 284 466 | 3.947 010 120 |
| 3   | 1   | 3.947 284 466 | 3.947 010 120 | 3.946 685 062 | 3.946 308 875 |
| 4   | 1   | 3.946 685 062 | 3.946 308 875 | 3.945 881 084 | 3.945 401 147 |

Table 4. Energy spectra $E_{vj}$ (in eV) of CO for arbitrary $v$ and $j$ quantum numbers at different dimensions with $\hbar = 1973.29$ eVÅ, $V_1 = 2$, $V_2 = 2$, $V_4 = 4$ and $V_5 = -4$.

| $v$ | $j$ | $E_{vj}^{D=3}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=4}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=5}$ | $E_{vj}^{D=6}$ |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0   | 0   | 3.998 389 228 | 3.998 369 374 | 3.998 336 020 | 3.998 288 766 |
| 1   | 0   | 3.959 303 049 | 3.952 434 611 | 3.952 344 290 | 3.952 204 702 |
| 1   | 1   | 3.959 303 049 | 3.952 434 611 | 3.952 344 290 | 3.952 204 702 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.959 730 692 | 3.959 631 668 | 3.959 466 381 | 3.959 234 459 |
| 1   | 0   | 3.959 466 381 | 3.959 234 459 | 3.958 935 382 | 3.958 658 482 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.958 935 382 | 3.958 658 482 | 3.958 132 944 | 3.957 627 808 |
| 3   | 0   | 3.921 072 156 | 3.920 933 547 | 3.920 702 294 | 3.920 378 038 |
| 1   | 0   | 3.920 702 294 | 3.920 378 038 | 3.919 960 277 | 3.919 448 368 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.919 960 277 | 3.918 448 368 | 3.918 041 526 | 3.918 138 822 |
| 3   | 0   | 3.918 448 368 | 3.918 041 526 | 3.917 339 193 | 3.916 441 430 |
| 4   | 0   | 3.869 527 441 | 3.869 349 248 | 3.869 052 028 | 3.868 635 438 |
| 1   | 0   | 3.869 052 028 | 3.868 635 438 | 3.868 098 994 | 3.867 442 076 |
| 2   | 0   | 3.868 098 994 | 3.867 442 076 | 3.866 663 929 | 3.865 763 658 |
| 3   | 0   | 3.866 663 929 | 3.865 763 658 | 3.864 740 239 | 3.863 592 508 |
| 4   | 0   | 3.864 740 239 | 3.863 592 508 | 3.862 319 173 | 3.860 918 810 |
Furthermore, we represent equation $(24)$ in 3-dimensions as follows (where $K \equiv J$ in 3-dimensions)

$$E_{ij} = (V_i + V_2) - \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2\mu} \left(2v + 1\right) - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2 \alpha^2} (V_i + V_2)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{(1 + 2J)^2 + \frac{8\mu}{\hbar^2 \alpha^2} (V_2 - V_4)} \right)^2.$$  

(25)

For $V_2 = V_4 = 0$, the generalized hyperbolic potential of equation $(1)$ reduces to

$$U(r) = V_i \tanh^2 (\alpha r) - V_j \sech^2 (\alpha r)$$

(26)
and its corresponding nonrelativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra is obtained as

\[
E_{vl} = V_l - \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2\mu} \left( 2\nu + J + \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2 \alpha^2} (V_1 + V_3) \right]^2
\]  (27)

The result in equation (27) is very consistent with [45, 50]. This result's accuracy have been tested by calculating the ro-vibrational energy spectra of the equation (27) numerically for different quantum states and various potential range, \(\alpha\). We have compared our result with other results obtained using different methods such as AIM [45] and algebraic method [50], as shown in table 6.

We also set \(V_1 = V_3 = 0\) to have hyperbolic Rosen-Morse potential from the GHP in the form

\[
U(r) = V_2 \coth^2(\alpha r) - V_4 \csch^2(\alpha r)
\]  (28)

The non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra of the hyperbolic Rosen-Morse potential is obtained to be

\[
E_{vl} = V_2 - \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2\mu} \left[ 2\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right] \\
\times \left( 1 + \sqrt{(1 + 2J)^2 + \frac{8\mu}{\hbar^2 \alpha^2} (V_2 - V_4)} \right)^2
\]  (29)
We also plot the graphs of the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energies with respect to the potential range, dimensions, rotational and vibrational quantum numbers, potential parameters, as shown in figures 1–8, respectively. From figures 1–4 respectively, it is seen that there is a monotonic decrease in the non-relativistic energies as $\alpha$, $D$, $J$, and $v$ increases for the selected diatomic molecules. Figures 5 and 6 show the increase in $E_{vJ}$ as the potential parameters $V_1$ and $V_2$ increases, respectively. In figures 7 and 8, the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energies increases to a peak value and later decreased as the potential parameters $V_5$ and $V_4$ increases, respectively. In addition, we considered the variation of $E_{vJ}$ with spatial dimension $D$ for various quantum states of HCl molecule as shown in figure 9. As the spatial dimension increases, the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy $E_{vJ}$ decreases slowly and later decreases in a monotonic manner. Figure 10 shows a sharp decrease in $E_{vJ}$ as the vibrational quantum number increases for different spatial dimensions of HCl molecule.

**Conclusion**

In our study, we solve the D-dimensional Klein–Gordon (KE) equation with our newly proposed generalized hyperbolic potential (GHP) model using the functional analysis method. By employing the Greene-Aldrich-like approximation scheme, we obtain an expression for the D-dimensional relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra.
for the GHP. Also, this expression was reduced to the non-relativistic case by employing the necessary mapping scheme. Numerical results for the D-dimensional non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra were obtained for different diatomic molecules (HCl, NiC, CO, I₂), for arbitrary quantum numbers. Special cases were obtained where our results agree with the results obtained in the literature. Our results for different diatomic molecules show inter-dimensional degeneracy symmetry as the dimensions increase and the rotational quantum number decreases. Different plots of non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra versus the GHP parameters were also analyzed and discussed. These plots show a monotonic decrease in the energy eigenvalues as the potential parameters increase for the diatomic molecules considered. A specific consideration was given to HCl molecule, as the variation of its non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy eigenvalues with both D-spatial dimension and vibrational quantum numbers, respectively, were discussed.
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Appendix A

\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} |U(r)|^2 \, dr = 1 \quad \text{(A.1)} \]

\[ \frac{N_s^2}{2\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2\lambda - 1} \left( 1 - s \right)^{2\sigma - 1} \left[ \text{$_2F_1$} \left( -n, n + \frac{1}{2} + 2(\lambda + \sigma); 2\lambda + \frac{1}{2}; s \right) \right]^2 \, ds = 1, \quad s = \tanh^2(\alpha r) \quad \text{(A.2)} \]

\[ p_n^{(A,B)}(1 - 2\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(n + 1 + A)}{n!\Gamma(1 + A)} \text{$_2F_1$} \left( -n, n + A + B + 1; A + 1; x \right) \quad \text{(A.3)} \]
The standard integral is given as [32]

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \left( \frac{1 - x}{2} \right)^{a-1} \left( \frac{1 + x}{2} \right)^{b} [P_n^{(a,b)}(x)]^2 \, dx = \frac{2\Gamma(a + n + 1)\Gamma(b + n + 1)}{n!a!\Gamma(a + b + n + 1)} \tag{A.5}
\]
Thus, the normalization constant can be found as

\[ N_{nl} = \left( \frac{2\alpha \Gamma\left( 2\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma\left( 2\sigma + r + \frac{1}{2} + n \right) \Gamma\left( n + r + 2\lambda \right)}{n! \Gamma\left( r + 2\lambda \right) \Gamma\left( r + n + 2\lambda \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(A.6)
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