Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Antigens of High Serodiagnostic Value
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Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, with several million new cases detected each year. Current methods of diagnosis are time-consuming and/or expensive or have a low level of accuracy. Therefore, new diagnostics are urgently needed to address the global tuberculosis burden and to improve control programs. Serological assays remain attractive for use in resource-limited settings because they are simple, rapid, and inexpensive and offer the possibility of detecting cases often missed by routine sputum smear microscopy. The aim of this study was to identify *M. tuberculosis* seroreactive antigens from a panel of 103 recombinant proteins selected as diagnostic candidates. Initial library screening by protein array analysis and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) identified 42 antigens with serodiagnostic potential. Among these, 25 were novel proteins. The reactive antigens demonstrated various individual sensitivities, ranging from 12% to 78% (specificities, 76 to 100%). When the antigens were analyzed in combinations, up to 93% of antibody responders could be identified among the TB patients. Selected seroreactive proteins were used to design 3 new polypeptide fusion proteins. Characterization of these antigens by multiantigen print immunoassay (MAPIA) revealed that the vast majority of the TB patients (90%) produced antibody responses. The results confirmed that due to the remarkable variation in immune recognition patterns, an optimal multiantigen cocktail should be designed to cover the heterogeneity of antibody responses and thus achieve the highest possible test sensitivity.

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and is one of the leading causes of mortality due to infectious disease worldwide (9). Nearly one-third of the world’s population is believed to be infected, with approximately 8.8 million new cases detected each year (30, 45). The World Health Organization (WHO) cites TB as the single most important fatal infection, with over 1.6 million deaths per year, the majority (95%) of which are in developing countries (45).

Because of logistical and technical shortcomings, human TB testing in most countries is limited to clinical evaluation of symptomatic individuals and screening of high-risk populations. Compounding the severity of TB is the realization that a leading cause of death among HIV-positive people is concomitant TB, accounting for about one-third of AIDS-related deaths. It is estimated that a rapid and widely available diagnostic with 85% sensitivity and 95% specificity would result in 400,000 fewer deaths each year and would greatly reduce the global health cost of TB (18).

Existing TB diagnostic methods are either too time-consuming, too complex and labor-intensive, too inaccurate, or too expensive for routine use in resource-limited settings (2, 36). For active pulmonary disease, sputum smear microscopy, culture, and/or PCR-based probes can be used to support X-ray

---

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Infectious Disease Research Institute, 1124 Columbia St., Suite 400, Seattle, WA 98104. Phone: (206) 381-0883. Fax: (206) 381-3678. E-mail: gireton@idri.org.

† Published ahead of print on 18 August 2010.
(ELISAs) (11, 38–40). Both lateral-flow and enzyme immunoassay formats have been developed and are currently available commercially, but so far none has demonstrated adequate sensitivity and specificity (7, 13, 38, 39).

In this study, we assessed a large panel of recombinant TB antigens for their serodiagnostic potential. From an initial screen of 103 recombinant proteins by protein microarray analysis and ELISA, 42 previously known and novel TB antigens were identified to elicit specific antibody responses in TB patients. Several fusion proteins comprised of tandem arrangements of the selected antigens were made and serologically characterized by ELISA and multiple-antigen print immunoadsorbents (MAPIA). The antigens identified hold promise for the development of a rapid and highly sensitive serodiagnostic test for TB.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study populations.** Serum samples from individuals who had pulmonary tuberculosis (culture and/or acid-fast bacterium [AFB] smear positive), collected prior to treatment, were obtained previously from Brazil (n = 92) (Roberto Badaro, Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Brazil) (16). Serum samples obtained in India (from sputum smear-positive patients [n = 36] and sputum smear- and culture-negative controls from an area of malaria endemicity [endemic controls [EC]] [n = 20]) were obtained from the World Health Organization (WHO) specimen bank. Samples from healthy U.S. blood donors (nomen
demical controls [NEC] [n = 46]) were obtained from Boston Biomedica (West Bridgewater, MA). In all cases, drawing of blood was carried out with informed consent and the approval of the local ethics committee in the relevant country.

**Antigen identification, cloning, and purification.** *M. tuberculosis* genes were selected as previously described (5). Briefly, *M. tuberculosis* genes included those previously identified by serological expression cloning and T-cell expression cloning methodologies at IDRI (23), those identified by proteomics as encoding secreted or membrane-associated proteins by two-dimensional PAGE (2D-PAGE) and mass spectrometry analysis (28; http://www.mpib-berlin.mpg.de/2D-PAGE) or as containing putative secretion signals, genes required for growth in macrophages (34), genes that were up- or downregulated in response to oxygen and carbon limitation (35), and mycobacterium-specific genes within the known immunogenic classes ESX and PE/PPE, based on Tuberculist (http://genolist.pasteur.fr/Tuberculist/index.html). All targets were subjected to N-terminal signal sequence analysis and membrane-spanning region analysis, using the SignalP (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) and TMPred (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/TMPRED_form.html) programs. Predicted proteins were chosen as those containing fewer than three transmembrane regions and a molecular mass of 6 to 8 kDa.

DNAs encoding selected *M. tuberculosis* genes were PCR amplified from *H. pylori* genomic DNA template (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). PCR primers were designed to incorporate specific restriction enzyme sites 5′ and 3′ of the gene of interest and included in the target gene for directional cloning into the expression vector pET17b or pET28a (Novagen, Madison, WI). After PCR amplification, purified PCR products were digested with restriction enzymes, ligated into pET28a by use of T4 DNA ligase (NEB), and transformed into XL10G cells (Stratagene). Recombinant plasmid DNAs were recovered from individual colonies grown on LB agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics, ligated into pET28a by use of T4 DNA ligase (NEB), and transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (Novagen, Madison, WI). Recombinant plasmids were transformed into the *Escherichia coli* BL21 derivative Rosetta(DE3) (pLysS) (Novagen). Recombinant strains were cultured overnight at 37°C in 2× yeast tryptone broth containing appropriate antibiotics, diluted 1:25 in fresh culture medium, grown to mid-log phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] of 0.5 to 0.7), and induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside). Cultures were grown for an additional 3 to 4 h after induction, harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in fresh pH 7.6 buffer, and stored at –20°C. Bacterial pellets were thawed and disrupted by sonication in 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), followed by centrifugation to fractionate the soluble and insoluble material. Recombinant His-tagged protein products were isolated under native (soluble recombinant proteins) or denaturing (8 M urea) conditions, using Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid metal-ion-affinity chromatography according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), followed by ion-exchange chromatography (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) when necessary. Protein fractions were eluted with an increasing imidazole gradient and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Affinity-purified protein fractions were combined, dialyzed against 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, concentrated using Amicon Ultra 10-kDa cutoff centrifugal filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA), and quantified using the BCA protein assay (Fierce, Rockford, IL). Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) concentration was evaluated by the Limulus amoebocyte lysate assay (Cambrex Corp., East Rutherford, NJ). All of the recombinant proteins used in this study showed residual endotoxin levels of <100 endotoxin units (EU)/μg of protein.

**Protein array serological screening.** Glass-based chips were fabricated with duplicate sets of a total of 79 recombinant *M. tuberculosis* proteins by Full Moon Biosystems, Sunnyvale, CA. Human IgG and EtabNi were included as positive controls for proteins to be included in the array, and antibody titers to verify that recombinant proteins did not elicit a background negative control. Sera were diluted 1:100 with blocking buffer and incubated with each slide at room temperature for 2 h. After washing, slides were incubated with biotin-conjugated mouse anti-human IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA), washed, and then developed with Cy5-conjugated streptavidin (Martek Biosciences, Columbia, MD). Slides were scanned at 635 nm, using GenePix Pro 6.0 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The signal intensity of binding of each antigen for each individual serum was normalized to the buffer-only spots for each individual serum to derive a fold-over-control (FOC) value. Positive TB reactivity was determined for individual proteins as a mean signal intensity of at least 3-fold above the mean for the control sera. Data tables were analyzed in MS Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

**Antibody detection by ELISA.** Polysorb 96-well plates (Nunc, Rochester, NY) were coated with 50 μl of 2-μg/ml recombinant antigen in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate, pH 9.6, overnight at 4°C and then blocked for 2 h at room temperature with phosphate-buffered saline plus 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST)-1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin (BSA) on a plate shaker. Sera were diluted 1:100 in PBST-0.1% BSA in duplicate and added to each well. Plates were incubated at room temperature for 2 h with shaking and then washed with PBST with 0.1% BSA, and then horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-human IgG (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), diluted 1:10,000 in PBST with 0.1% BSA, was added to each well and incubated at room temperature for 2 h with washing. Plates were developed with peroxidase color substrate (KPL, Baltimore, MD), and the reaction was quenched by addition of 1 N H2SO4 after 15 min. The corrected optical density of each well at 450 to 570 nm was read using a VERSAmax microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).
ELISA responses were defined as optical density readings of >2-fold above the mean for the control sera, with a minimum defined optical density cutoff of 0.2.

Antigen evaluation by MAPIA. MAPIA was performed as previously described (26). Briefly, a semiautomatic microaerosolization device (LinoMat IV; Camag Scientific Inc., Wilmington, DE) was used to spray antigens at a range of concentrations (between 0.02 mg/ml and 0.1 mg/ml) through a syringe needle onto nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher & Schuell, Inc., Keene, NH) to generate parallel bands. After antigen printing, the membrane was cut into 3-mm-wide strips perpendicular to the antigen bands. The strips were blocked for 1 h with 1% nonfat milk in PBST and then incubated with individual serum samples diluted 1:50 in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. The strips were washed five times with PBST and incubated for 1 h with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-human IgG diluted 1:5,000 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The strips were washed with PBST as described above, and the human IgG antibodies bound to immobilized antigens were visualized with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate–nitroblue tetrazolium substrate (KPL). MAPIA results were scored by two independent operators who were unaware of the sample status. The appearance of any band of any intensity was read as a positive reaction.

RESULTS

M. tuberculosis protein array screening for seroreactivity. In previous work, we described the selection of a large body of M. tuberculosis antigens by use of data mining techniques to define new antigens with T-cell reactivity and vaccine potential (5). In this study, we examined the humoral immune response to these antigens by protein array analysis and ELISA to identify antigens with diagnostic potential. Throughout this study, a total of 103 recombinant M. tuberculosis proteins were produced in E. coli, with the majority achieving >95% purity according to visualization of SDS-PAGE gels (Fig. 1). We fabricated glass-based protein arrays to comprehensively analyze the diagnostic potential of a large number of M. tuberculosis proteins in a consistent and comparable fashion. A total of 79 M. tuberculosis proteins were expressed, immobilized on glass-based arrays, and tested with 32 sera from sputum-positive TB patients and with 16 NEC sera. Several proteins were recognized and bound by IgG within serum samples and could be grouped as (i) TB sensitive but lacking specificity (i.e., binding TB patient sera but also binding some NEC sera) or (ii) TB specific (i.e., binding specific patient sera but not NEC sera). A total of 28 M. tuberculosis proteins displayed TB-specific reactivity, with a mean signal intensity of at least 3-fold above the control level (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

M. tuberculosis antigen characterization by ELISA. To confirm the protein array results and to further test M. tuberculosis recombinant proteins not available at the time of protein array generation (n = 24), ELISA screening was performed using the same serum set used for the protein arrays. TB-positive antibody responses were observed by ELISA for 34 proteins, including 20 of the 28 antigens that were positive in the protein array screen. Antibody responses observed for 5 proteins were below the FOC cutoff of 3-fold by protein array analysis, as were those for 9 additional proteins not present on the arrays. A total of 42 proteins were found to bind antibodies in the sera of TB patients by either protein array or ELISA screening, as shown in Table 1. These included the following 17 previously described immunogenic M. tuberculosis proteins: Rv0934 (38 kDa) (17), Rv1813 (4), Rv1827 (Cfp17) (43), Rv1837 (GlcB) (14), Rv1860 (DPEP) (8), Rv1886 (Ag85b) (42), Rv1908 (KatG) (46), Rv1984 (44), Rv2031 (α-crystallin) (20), Rv2220 (GlnA1) (41), Rv2608 (PPE42) (6), Rv2873 (mpt83) (15), Rv2875 (mpt70) (32), Rv3407(29), Rv3841 (Bfrb) (33), Rv3874 (Cfp10) (10), and Rv3881 (Mtbo48) (24). They also included 25 previously uncharacterized M. tuberculosis antigens (Rv0054, Rv0164, Rv0410, Rv0455, Rv0655, Rv0831, Rv0952, Rv1009, Rv1099, Rv1240, Rv1288, Rv1410, Rv1569, Rv1789, Rv2032, Rv2450, Rv2623, Rv2866, Rv3020,
Rv3044, Rv3310, Rv3611, Rv3614, Rv3619, and Rv3628). The remainder of the recombinant antigens tested either failed to elicit significant antibody responses by this serum set or showed nonspecific binding with the control serum samples and therefore were excluded from further analysis.

The antigens eliciting specific antibody responses in the initial screen by ELISA were further characterized with a larger panel of 92 serum samples from sputum-positive TB patients from Brazil and 46 control sera. Representative ELISA results are summarized in Fig. 3. TBF10, a previously characterized fusion consisting of three proteins (Rv0379, Rv0934, and Rv3874), was used as a reference antigen (16). TBF10 elicited antibody responses in 53 of the 92 TB sera (sensitivity, 58%; specificity, 89%). The recombinant antigens demonstrated various sensitivities in ELISA, ranging from 12% to 76%, with low or no reactivity with NEC sera (specificity, 70 to 100%). Several antigens had individual sensitivities and specificities exceeding those of TBF10. These were Rv0831 (76% sensitivity and 89% specificity), Rv2875 (74% sensitivity and 91% specificity), Rv1886 (74% sensitivity and 87% specificity), and Rv2032 (70% sensitivity and 96% specificity). The Rv2608 antigen appeared to recognize a large proportion of the TB sera but had high levels of background binding (specificity, 70%). When antigen profiles of individual serum reactivities were analyzed, the combination of Rv2875, Rv2031, Rv2032, Rv0831, and TBF10 was able to elicit antibody responses in 86 of the 92 Brazilian TB samples (93% sensitivity), while 6 of 46 NEC samples (87% specificity) reacted with one or more of these antigens. The 6 remaining TB-positive samples failed to elicit antibody responses to any of the antigens or to a preparation of M. tuberculosis whole-cell lysate (data not shown).

**Design of polyprotein fusions.** Due to the heterogeneity of the antibody responses observed in TB patients, multiple antigens are necessary to increase the sensitivity of serodiagnostic tests. Based on the above ELISA antigen recognition patterns, we developed a series of fusion proteins, designated DID90A (Rv2031-Rv0934-Rv2032), DID90B (Rv2875-Rv0934-Rv2032), and DID104 (Rv0831-Rv0934-Rv2032), to assess the ability of these antigens to complement each other when arranged in tandem. The antigen fusions and individual antigen components were assessed by ELISA, using a panel of 36 sputum smear-positive samples and 20 EC samples from India, with comparison to 20 NEC sera. As shown in Fig. 4, the DID90A and DID90B fusion proteins demonstrated reactivity profiles with the Indian TB and EC samples similar to that obtained for the TBF10 antigen (61% sensitivity and 85% specificity), with the DID104 fusion performing slightly better (69% sensitivity and 85% specificity). Some differences among the individual antigens were observed within the Brazilian and Indian serum cohorts. Among the Indian TB-positive samples, Rv0831 had increased sensitivity (83%), but it also cross-reacted with the EC sera (70% sensitivity). Rv2875 (55% sensitivity and 90% specificity) and Rv2032 (53% sensitivity and 85% specificity) had slight decreases in sensitivity but similar specificities. The Rv0934 antigen exhibited similar reactivity profiles in both the Brazilian and Indian cohorts (41% sensitivity and 95% specificity), while Rv2031 was poorly recognized within the Indian serum samples.

**Characterization of M. tuberculosis antigens by MAPIA.** We used MAPIA to validate the selected antigens and fusion molecules most suitable for developing rapid lateral-flow assays. MAPIA involves the immobilization of multiple antigens on nitrocellulose membranes and provides a valuable means to characterize individual recognition patterns. We previously found that the serological performance of antigens in MAPIA is a good predictor of their performance in other membrane-based assays (26). The four fusion proteins, along with the single component antigens, were evaluated by MAPIA. Figure 5 demonstrates the presence in most TB sera of IgG antibodies against several single antigens and fusion proteins. Antibody responses to at least one antigen could be detected in 27 of 30 TB-positive serum samples, while no or very weak bands were observed for the negative-control group.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been suggested that implementation of rapid serological tests would be useful in combination with other methods for diagnosis of active TB in settings where bacterial culture is not routinely available (2). However, so far, no rapid serodiagnostic test has proven reproducibly accurate, preventing widespread application of such tests. Antibody responses in TB are directed against a broad set of antigens, with remarkable patient-to-patient variation in antigen recognition (1). Even
TABLE 1. Serologic responses to *M. tuberculosis* proteins in protein array and ELISA screens

| H37Rv locus tag | Gene name | Molecular mass (kDa) | Reference for previously described immune function | Functional category | Initial target selection | Protein array FOC | ELISA antibody response |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Rv0054         | ssb       | 17.3                | 2                                                | S                   | 4                        | +                |                        |
| Rv0164         | TB18.5    | 17.7                | 10                                               | S                   | 3                        | ++               |                        |
| Rv0410         | pmkG      | 81.6                | 9                                                | S                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv0455c        | Hypothetical | 16.6              | 10                                               | S                   | 1                        | +                |                        |
| Rv0655         | mkl       | 39.3                | 3                                                | M                   | 4                        | ++               |                        |
| Rv0831c        | Hypothetical | 30.2              | 10                                               | S                   | 2                        | +++              |                        |
| Rv0934         | pstS1     | 38.2                | 17                                               | S                   | 4                        | +++              |                        |
| Rv0952         | sucD      | 31.2                | 7                                                | B                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv1099         | rpfB      | 38                  | 3                                                | M                   | 4                        | +                |                        |
| Rv1099         | Hypothetical | 34.6              | 10                                               | M                   | 3                        | ++               |                        |
| Rv1240         | mhb       | 34.3                | 7                                                | H                   | ND                       | +                |                        |
| Rv1288         | Hypothetical | 49.6              | 10                                               | B                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv1410c        | p55       | 54.7                | 3                                                | M                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv1569         | bioF1     | 40                  | 7                                                | M                   | ND                       | ++               |                        |
| Rv1789         | PPE26     | 38.6                | 6                                                | P/E                 | 3                        | ++               |                        |
| Rv1813c        | Hypothetical | 15                | 4                                                | H                   | 3                        | -                |                        |
| Rv1827         | cfp17     | 17.2                | 43                                               | EC                  | ND                       | +                |                        |
| Rv1837         | mbhS1     | 80.7                | 14                                               | M                   | ND                       | ++               |                        |
| Rv1860         | apB       | 32.7                | 8                                                | S                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv1886c        | fpbB      | 34.6                | 42                                               | 1                   | S                        | 3                | +++                   |
| Rv1908         | katG      | 80.6                | 46                                               | 0                   | M                        | ND               | +                      |
| Rv1984c        | cfp21     | 21.8                | 44                                               | 3                   | S                        | 3                | +/                    |
| Rv2031         | acr       | 16.2                | 20                                               | 0                   | S                        | 3                | -/                    |
| Rv2012         | acg       | 36.6                | 10                                               | H                   | 5                        | +++              |                        |
| Rv2220         | ghnA1     | 53.5                | 41                                               | 7                   | S                        | 1                | +++                   |
| Rv2450         | rpfE      | 17.4                | 3                                                | B                   | 4                        | -/+              |                        |
| Rv2608         | PPE42     | 59.7                | 6                                                | 6                   | P/E                       | 2                | +++                   |
| Rv2623         | TB31.7    | 31.7                | 10                                               | H                   | 4                        | +                |                        |
| Rv2866         | Hypothetical | 10.2              | 10                                               | H                   | 7                        | +                |                        |
| Rv2873         | mpt83     | 20                  | 15                                               | 3                   | S                        | 3                | +/                    |
| Rv2875         | mpt70     | 19.1                | 32                                               | 3                   | S                        | 4                | +++                   |
| Rv3020         | essS      | 9.8                 | 3                                                | P/E                 | 3                        | -/+              |                        |
| Rv3044         | fccB      | 36.9                | 3                                                | H                   | 1                        | +                |                        |
| Rv3310         | sapM      | 31.8                | 3                                                | S                   | ND                       | +                |                        |
| Rv3407         | Hypothetical | 11               | 29                                               | 0                   | B                        | 3                | -/+                   |
| Rv3611         | Hypothetical | 23.8              | 16                                               | M                   | 3                        | +                |                        |
| Rv3614         | Hypothetical | 19.8              | 10                                               | M                   | 5                        | +                |                        |
| Rv3619         | essI      | 9.8                 | 3                                                | P/E                 | 6                        | +                |                        |
| Rv3628         | ppa       | 18.3                | 7                                                | S                   | 3                        | -/+              |                        |
| Rv3841         | bfpB      | 20.4                | 33                                               | 7                   | EC                       | ND               | +                      |
| Rv3874         | cfp10     | 10.8                | 10                                               | 3                   | P/E                       | ND               | +/                    |
| Rv3881         | mbh48     | 47.6                | 24                                               | 10                  | S                        | ND               | +/                    |

*As defined by Tuberculist (http://genolist.pasteur.fr/Tuberculist/index.html). 0, virulence, detoxification, adaptation; 1, lipid metabolism; 2, information pathways; 3, cell wall and cell processes; 6, PE/PPE proteins; 7, intermediary metabolism; 8, unknown; 9, regulatory proteins; 10, conserved hypothetical proteins; 16, conserved hypothetical proteins with an ortholog in *M. bovis*.

** Functional category: 1, lipid metabolism; 2, information pathways; 3, cell wall and cell processes; 6, PE/PPE proteins; 7, intermediary metabolism; 8, unknown; 9, regulatory proteins; 10, conserved hypothetical proteins; 16, conserved hypothetical proteins with an ortholog in *M. bovis*.

**Functional category: 1, lipid metabolism; 2, information pathways; 3, cell wall and cell processes; 6, PE/PPE proteins; 7, intermediary metabolism; 8, unknown; 9, regulatory proteins; 10, conserved hypothetical proteins; 16, conserved hypothetical proteins with an ortholog in *M. bovis*.

**---**: Not available.

**CE**: expression cloning; **S**: secreted proteins; **P/E**: PE, PPE, and EsX proteins; **M**: macrophage growth required; **H**: hypoxic response; **B**: other database searches.

**---**: Not available.

**CE**: expression cloning; **S**: secreted proteins; **P/E**: PE, PPE, and EsX proteins; **M**: macrophage growth required; **H**: hypoxic response; **B**: other database searches.
FIG. 3. ELISA results for recombinant *M. tuberculosis* proteins. TB+, confirmed sputum smear-positive pulmonary TB samples (n = 92) from Brazil; NEC, negative, nonendemic (U.S.) control sera (n = 46). Representative data for 24 recombinant *M. tuberculosis* antigens are shown. The median OD is represented by a horizontal line. Individual antigens are listed at the bottom of each graph, with positive samples determined as those samples giving ELISA readings 2-fold above the mean of the negative controls, with an OD$_{450}$ of $>0.2$. 
antigens were previously reported (4, 6, 8, 10, 14–17, 20, 24, 29, 32, 33, 41–44, 46), while the remaining 25 proteins were previously uncharacterized. These antigens included 16 presumptively secreted or membrane-associated antigens, 8 antigens expressed from genes required for growth in macrophages, 6 antigens induced by hypoxia, 5 antigens associated with virulence, from the PE/PPE and EsX classes, and 4 antigens from other database searches. While there was generally good concordance between the assays, with 20 of 28 proteins positive for specific TB seroreactivity, some differences were observed. Eight antigens positive by protein array (Rv0952, Rv1813, Rv1984, Rv2450, Rv3020, Rv3407, and Rv3611) showed low responses by ELISA or lacked specificity within the serum subsets; conversely, 5 proteins positive by ELISA (Rv0455, Rv0831, Rv2220, Rv2608, and Rv3044) failed to demonstrate responses above the cutoff values in protein arrays. These discrepancies may be due to variable coating efficiencies of antigens on the different immobilization surfaces or to differences between assays in calculating cutoff values.

The seroreactive TB antigens were analyzed for responses to a larger panel of TB serum samples from sputum smear-positive patients and to NEC sera to further reduce the antigen complexity down to those most useful at diagnosing active TB. The antigens demonstrated various individual sensitivities, ranging from 12% to 78%, with generally low background binding (specificity, ~76 to 100%). Typically, antigens with low sensitivities had high specificities (for Rv1860, 12% sensitivity and 100% specificity; for Rv3874, 16% sensitivity and 100% specificity).
specificity), while increasing sensitivity resulted in decreased specificity (for Rv2608, 78% sensitivity and 76% specificity; for Rv1886, 74% sensitivity and 87% specificity). Based on additive responses among individual serum samples, Rv0934, Rv3874, Rv2875, Rv2031, Rv2032, and Rv0831 defined the minimal subset of antigens necessary to provide the greatest overall sensitivity. When these seroreactive antigens were analyzed in combinations, 93% of antibody responders could be identified among the TB patients. A number of the antigens described (Rv0455, Rv3619, Rv3310, Rv1410, and Rv1240) had redundant patterns of reactivity with other antigens and therefore did not increase the overall sensitivity.

The generation of fusion proteins has been used as a means to reduce the cost and complexity of antigen cocktails in rapid lateral-flow formats and to increase sensitivity and specificity (16, 37). We generated a series of related fusion proteins and tested them by ELISA, along with the individual antigen components. The three new fusions demonstrated similar sensitivities and specificities with a serum panel from India and were comparable to the previously reported reference antigen TBF10 (16). Some differences in ELISA reactivity were observed between the NEC sera from the United States and the EC sera from India, with slight increases in reactivity noted for all fusions and individual proteins with the endemic controls (Fig. 4). The Indian endemic control samples obtained were defined as TB sputum smear and culture negative, though the presence of latent TB or other mycobacterial infection was not assessed and cannot be ruled out as the basis of the reactivity difference. Note that few of the proteins described in this study are considered M. tuberculosis specific and that they may have regions of homology with environmental mycobacteria. Nevertheless, we observed good discrimination between the Indian TB-positive serum set and the EC serum set.

The remarkable variation in immune recognition patterns for TB requires multiantigen cocktails to cover the heterogeneous antibody responses and thus achieve the highest possible test sensitivity. MAPIA using the fusion antigens and selected individual components also demonstrated that the vast majority of the TB patients (90%) produced antibody responses to one or more antigens, with a combination of 6 proteins (Rv0831, Rv2031, Rv2032, Rv2875, Rv0934, and Rv3874) needed for the greatest sensitivity. Refinement of antigen cocktails and/or the production of fusion molecules comprised of antigens described herein may lead to improved sensitivity and specificity for the development of a rapid, accurate, and inexpensive point-of-care diagnostic test. Studies to achieve this goal are in progress.
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