Neurodegenerative Shielding by Curcumin and Its Derivatives on Brain Lesions Induced by 6-OHDA Model of Parkinson’s Disease in Albino Wistar Rats
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Study was undertaken to evaluate the neurodegenerative defending potential of curcumin (CUR), demethoxycurcumin (DMC), and bisdemethoxycurcumin (BDMC) on 6-hydroxydopamine-(6-OHDA) induced Parkinsonism model in rats. Curcuminoids were administered (60 mg/kg, body weight, per oral) for three weeks followed by unilateral injection of 6-OHDA on 22nd day (10 μg/2 μL) into the right striatum leading to extensive loss of dopaminergic cells. The behavioral observations, biochemical markers, quantification of dopamine (DA), DOPAC, and HVA followed by dopamine (D2) receptor binding assay and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, using immunohistochemistry) were evaluated using HPLC after three weeks of lesion. Pretreated animals showed significant protection against neuronal degeneration compared to lesion animals by normalizing the deranged levels of biomarkers and showed the potency in the order CUR > DMC > BDMC. The same order of effectiveness was observed in D2 receptors binding assay and TH immunohistochemistry study. We conclude that curcuminoids appear to shield progressive neuronal degeneration from increased oxidative attack in 6-OHDA-lesioned rats through its free radical scavenging mechanism, and DA, DOPAC, and HVA enhancing capabilities in the sequence of efficacy CUR > DMC > BDMC. Further, curcuminoids may have potential utility in treatment of many more oxidative stress-induced neurodegenerative disorders.

1. Introduction

Neurodegenerative disorders is a class of neurological diseases marked by extensive neuronal loss in the brain [1]. Progressive degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta region leads to the progression of Parkinson’s disease (PD). This is followed by depletion of striatum dopamine content [2]. When up to 80% of the dopamine-producing cells are damaged, and are not able to produce enough dopamine, then the motor symptoms (bradykinesia, resting tremor, rigidity, and postural disturbances) of PD appear. 6-OHDA, a potent neurotoxin, can severely damage dopaminergic neurons in substantia nigra, leading to a significant decrease in dopamine levels, followed by precise behavioral, biochemical, and pathological changes distinctive in PD. These toxic effects can be attributed to the formation of various reactive oxygen species, lipid peroxidation, depletion of reduced glutathione, and mitochondrial complex I deficits [3]. 6-OHDA-lesioned rat model has a measurable motor deficit, which can be seen by apomorphine-induced contralateral rotations [4]. Although progress has been made in the symptomatic treatment of PD since the discovery of L-dopa in the 1960s, no effective neuroprotective therapy is yet available [5]. This provoked us to evaluate the efficacy of a widely used dietary spice; turmeric-(Curcuma longa), which is considered useful in neurodegenerative disorders, both in vivo [6] and in vitro [7, 8]. Curcuminoid, a major constituent of Curcuma longa is...
a mixture of three, different chemical moieties: CUR, DMC, and BDMC [9]. Although earlier literature reveals that in various studies CUR and curcuminoids are treated as the same entity possibly because CUR constitutes the major part (almost 77%) in the mixture [10]. However, the present study was carried out with an aim to evaluate the role of other two curcuminoids, that is, DMC and BDMC in neuro-protection.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. Standard CUR, DMC, and BDMC were generous gifts from Natural Remedies, Bangalore, India. 6-OHDA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Co. Pvt. Ltd.; GSH, GPx, GR, SOD, and TBARS assay kits were purchased from Cayman chemical company, MI, USA.

2.2. Animals, Group Distribution and Treatment. Male albino Wistar rats (200–250 g), procured from the animal house of Delhi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research (DIPSAR), New Delhi, were used. Rats were housed in temperature-controlled room: 25 ± 2°C with a 12-hour light/dark cycle and 57 ± 7% relative humidity under standard hygienic conditions and had free access to fresh tap water and pelleted diet (Amrut rat feed, Pranav Agro Ltd., India). The animals were acclimatized for seven days prior to experimental use.

The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC/DIPSAR/2010-I/02) of DIPSAR, New Delhi, and experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), New Delhi, India.

The animals were randomly divided into 5 groups of 8 animals in each group. Group I served as sham control (S) and received 2 μL of 0.1% ascorbic acid in 0.9% NaCl on 22nd day. Group II animals served as lesion (L) and were treated once with 6-OHDA (10 μg/2 μL; 0.1% ascorbic acid-saline) in the striatum. Group III animals (L+C) received pretreatment with CUR (60 mg/kg body weight per oral suspended in 0.5% CMC) for three weeks followed by single injection of 6-OHDA in the striatum on the 22nd day. Group IV animals (L+DMC) received DMC (60 mg/kg/per oral suspended in 0.5% CMC) for three weeks as a pretreatment followed by 6-OHDA administration as in group III. Group V animals (L+BDMC) received BDMC (60 mg/kg/per oral suspended in 0.5% CMC) as pretreatment for three weeks followed by 6-OHDA administration as in group III. The selected dose levels were based on a pilot study (3–100 mg/kg per oral) on CUR, DMC and BDMC conducted at the Indian System of Medicine Laboratory, DIPSAR, University of Delhi, Delhi, India.

2.3. Induction of Brain Lesions by Injection of 6-OHDA. The food was withdrawn 10–12 h before the surgical procedure. The rats were anesthetized with chloral hydrate 350 mg/kg i.p. After anesthesia, the head of the rat was mounted in a stereotaxic apparatus (Inco, Ambala, India) frame. The skin was cut to expose the skull, and the periosteum (shiny membrane overlying the skull) was removed. The stereotaxic coordinates were measured accurately as anteroposterior 0.5 mm, lateral 2.5 mm, and ventral 5.0 mm relative to bregma and ventral from dura with the tooth bar set at 0 mm. All the animals in the experimental group were lesioned in right unilateral region by injecting 10 μg 6-OHDA/2 μL (in 0.1% in ascorbic acid-saline) into the right striatum through the drilled hole, with the help of a very fine Hamilton syringe (28 Gauge, Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Switzerland). After injection, the syringe was kept in place for an additional 5.0 min before being slowly removed, to prevent oozing out of the drug. The sham group was followed with same surgical procedure, but injection of 6-OHDA was replaced with 2.0 μL of 0.1% ascorbic acid-saline. Postsurgery rats were provided with the necessary postoperative care and were monitored for average weight loss and mortality for 10 days.

2.4. Behavioral Studies. The behavioral studies were started with standard optimal laboratory conditions after 21 days of surgery and were performed by an individual blind to the experiment.

2.4.1. Apomorphine-Induced Rotational Behavior Study. On 22nd day after the lesion, apomorphine was injected subcutaneously at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg (in ascorbic acid-saline) to observe neurodegenerative effect of 6-OHDA and protective effect of curcuminoids on contralateral rotations. The rotational scores were collected over a period of 5-minute interval for three times.

2.4.2. Rota Rod Study. Rota rod motor training was performed at the beginning of the experiment till the learning of each rat. The apparatus (Inco, Ambala, India) consists of a metal rod of 4 cm in diameter, 75 cm in length with 6 equally divided sections, and speed adjusted to 8 rotations/minute. Protective effect of CUR, DMC, and BDMC on motor coordination was studied in rats following a standard procedure [11].

2.4.3. Spontaneous Locomotor Study. The spontaneous locomotor activity of each animal was recorded in square arena of actophotometer (Inco, Ambala, India) individually for ten minutes [12]. Actophotometer registers of the number of times IR photobeams of light were interfered, as the rat moved inside the cage. Each rat was placed in the center of the metal cage of actophotometer and ambulatory activity was measured. The arena was cleaned with dilute alcohol and dried between trials [13] to avoid any experimental interference.

2.5. Neurochemicals Study. Animals were sacrificed and striatal tissue from lesioned sites was carefully dissected in the light of Rat Brain Atlas [14] and homogenized in phosphate buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, having 10 μL/mL) protease arrests (5 mM leupeptin, 1.5 mM aprotinin, 2 mM phenyl-ethyl-sulfonylfluoride, 3 mM pepstatin A, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM benzanamide, and 0.04%
butylated hydroxyl toluene) and centrifuged at 800 g for 5 min at 4°C to separate the nuclear debris. The supernatant was divided into two portions: minor portion was used for estimation of MDA, and the major portion of supernatant was recentrifuged to get pellet fraction and supernatant fraction. The pellet fraction was used for DA-D2 receptor binding assay and the supernatant fraction (postmitochondrial supernatant) was used as the source of other described parameters.

2.5.1. Enzyme Estimations. Various biochemical enzymes, that is, MDA, GSH, GPx, GR, SOD, and CAT were estimated using spectrophotometer to establish the role of free radicals in the study.

2.5.2. DA-D2 Receptor Binding. Radio ligand receptor binding technique was employed to assay DA-D2 receptors in crude synaptic membranes of corpus striatum [15]. The incubation mixture of 1.0 mL synaptic membrane and 1.0 nM of 1 phenyl-4-3H-spiperone (18.5 ci/mmoles) in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 was incubated and filtered. The filter discs were washed with Tris buffer dried and counted in a β-scintillation counter. Scatchard analysis applied for (0.1–10 nM) concentrations of 3H-spiperone, Kd, and Bmax were calculated using linear regression analysis. The assay was run in triplicate.

2.5.3. Dopamine, DOPAC and HVA Estimation. The supernatants were purified using earlier described method [16], and samples were assayed for Dopamine, DOPAC, and HVA using HPLC with electrochemical detection as described earlier [17] using waters HPLC equipped with 2465 electrochemical detector.

2.6. Immunohistochemical Studies and Tyrosine Hydroxylase Positive Cells. 5.0 μm thick striatal tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and then heated in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6) containing triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) 0.1% (v/v). After two washes with PBS, slides were then incubated with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. After washing with PBS, tissues were incubated with blocking serum at room temperature for 1 h. Subsequently, a primary antibody diluted in blocking serum (TH 1 : 200 dilution) (Abcam ab611) was added followed by overnight incubation at 4°C in a humidified chamber. Tissue sections were washed in PBS, and incubated with biotinylated antibody, again washed with PBS and incubated with avidin-biotin complex and horseradish peroxides for 30 min. The tissue sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. TH positive cells were counted and photographed with the help of Motic microscope (Motic BA310; Causeway Bay, Hong Kong), and digital camera (Moticam 2500). Area of TH positive cell were measured [18] with the help of Digital Microscopy Software (Motic Images plus 2.0) and expressed in percentage when compare to sham group.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Analysis of each data set was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistically significant effects were further evaluated with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison tests. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.001$. Results are expressed as means ± SEM.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Behavioral Parameters. 6-OHDA was found to produce the deleterious effect on motor coordination of rats as evident by significant shortening of the time of fall from the rotating rod. All the three curcuminoids, that is, CUR (260.33), DMC (243.83), and BDMC (193.40), significantly improved motor coordination compared to lesioned, 57.16, group. However, BDMC was found to be inferior in comparison to other curcuminoids, that is, CUR and BDMC which showed similar activity (Figure 1).

Actophotometer study was carried out to evaluate the potential depression caused by 6-OHDA induced neurodegeneration, BDMC (133.16) showed no improvement in locomotor activity whereas CUR (191.66) and DMC (161.00) showed significant improvement in 6-OHDA induced dep-ression (69.00) caused by striatal neurodegeneration (Figure 1).

6-OHDA was injected in right coordinates of brain, thereby damaging nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons. Injection of D2 agonist, that is, apomorphine, increases the D2 stimulation in the left hemisphere of brain resulting in peculiar circling frequency in the lesioned animals. Effect of 6-OHDA in producing the lesion was prevented significantly by CUR and not by other two curcuminoids, that is, DMC.
and BDMC (Figure 1). It signifies that CUR is superior in preventing the damage caused by 6-OHDA compared to its other congeners.

3.2. Biochemical Enzyme Estimation. Glutathione enzyme system was evaluated to find out the possible reason for protective effect shown by CUR and its other derivatives. 6-OHDA is known to produce free radicals. The free radical induced damage is major mechanism through which setting of Parkinson’s symptoms are evident in the model. It is substantiated by significant decrease in GSH levels (0.95) in the lesioned group compared to sham control (1.91). These levels were restored with CUR (1.65), DMC (1.36), and BDMC (1.31) treatment. However, CUR was found to be more effective than the other two curcuminoids. GPx and GR levels were also reduced with 6-OHDA and were subsequently found to increase in a manner in which GSH levels were restored (Table 1). In none of the treated groups GSH levels were found to be enhanced compared to sham group indicating that curcuminoids are not responsible for the upregulation of the enzyme rather they only prevent the degradation of the antioxidant enzymes. 6-OHDA is known to undergo nomenclature degradation to produce superoxide radicals; furthermore, it enhances the release of iron from ferritin which catalyzes the conversion of hydrogen peroxide into free hydroxyl radicals. These superoxide and hydroxyl radicals are neutralized by SOD and catalase. The level of these SOD and catalase enzymes was found to be reduced to 0.76 and 3.58, respectively, upon administration of 6-OHDA when compared to sham group. The enzyme levels were restored with the administration of CUR, DMC, and BDMC; 1.73, 1.43, and 1.28 for SOD and 6.98, 5.21, and 5.18 for catalase, respectively. Restoration of enzymes are corroborated with fall in thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) upon administration of CUR, DMC, and BDMC (19.67, 25.83, and 27.00) which was found to be enhanced upon administration of 6-OHDA (33.50) when compared to sham group (12.17).

3.3. D2 Receptor Binding Study. 6-OHDA destroys the dopaminergic cells in the substantia nigra through reactive oxygen species and quinines [19]. The degeneration of dopaminergic neurons leads to subsequent loss of dopamine of the striatum. Spiperone belongs to the butyrophenone chemical class, and it is a selective D2 receptor antagonist which competes with dopamine for binding site. Decreased levels of dopamine leads to increased binding for spiperone to D2 receptors. Scatchard analysis supports our findings as increased in 3H-spiperone binding is observed in lesioned group (660.00) compare to sham (300). Treatment with curcuminoids and CUR (405.50), DMC (469.20), and BDMC (515.00) showed significant reduction in binding of spiperone (Table 2). CUR is found to be more protective than DMC and BDMC in protecting the dopaminergic loss due to 6-OHDA, which was supported by decreased in Kd values in lesion groups indicating the increased affinity towards receptors.

3.4. Dopamine, DOPAC and HVA Estimation. The mean levels of DA, DOPAC, and HVA in the rat striatum are shown in Table 3. Rats in lesion group showed significant reduction of striatal dopamine, DOPAC, and HVA levels when compared with those of vehicle-treated rats. Curcuminoid pretreatment afforded a significant restoration in their content in the order: CUR > DMC > BDMC.

3.5. Immunohistochemical Staining. Findings with spiperone binding study were further supported by immunohistochemical staining of dopaminergic neurons in contralateral striatal region of brain by tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) antibodies. These primary TH antibodies recognize tyrosine hydroxylase enzyme as antigens, and Figures 2(a)–2(e) showed depletion in percentage staining of TH immunopositive neurons with marked damage to specific subnuclei of the substantia nigra pars compacta, with severe obliteration of their neuromelanin-laden projection neurons in lesioned as compared to sham group. Curcuminoids-pretreated groups did not show any marked reduction in percentage area of TH-immuno-positive neuron in L+C, 95%; L+DMC, 75%; and L+BDMC, 68% compared to sham group signifies the shielding of dopamine receptors/neurons by curcuminoids. Curcumin was found to be superior compared to its other derivatives in this shielding.

Ameliorative effect of CUR in apomorphine induced increase in contralateral rotations may be either due to blockage of D2 receptor by CUR or by preventing the neuronal loss of dopaminergic neurons. Ability of CUR and its other counterparts in blocking D2 receptors needs to be studied either by radiolabelling these compounds or docking these compounds in silico with D2 receptor structure.

Although oral bioavailability of CUR is poor (>2%) but it crosses BBB due to its high lipophilic nature and low molecular weight. Due to its penetration in the brain, it has been widely explored in various neurological disorders. In a previous study [20], it was found that CUR binds and destabilizes amyloid beta plaques and has pronounced beneficial effect in the treatment of AD. Furthermore the structural similarity with BBB permeable dyes like congo red and thioflavin T [21], confirms its high penetration in brain to make it a suitable chemical compound for treatment of neurological disorders. It is due to the presence of two aromatic rings in the structure of CUR that attaches to amyloid beta plaques, and these two aromatic rings are essential for its biological activity. CUR contains two phenyl methoxy groups: DMC contains one and BDMC contains none. Since CUR is showing maximum, DMC intermediate and BDMC least activity, this suggest that phenyl methoxy group in chemical structure plays a key role in ameliorating PD. Contrary to these findings, their antimetastatic activity of CUR, DMC, and BDMC was found to be in the order of BDMC > DMC > CUR, [22] increased penetration of these compounds into brain in order of CUR > DMC > BDMC, explains higher potency of CUR in shielding brain against 6-OHDA-induced PD. Furthermore, these curcuminoid-induced restoration of dopamine levels in the brain was indirectly observed by D2 binding studies, a direct evidence
Table 1: Effect of curcumin, DMC, and BDMC on glutathione, glutathione reductase, glutathione peroxidase content, superoxide dismutase, catalase activity, and malondialdehyde (MDA) content in lesioned rats striatum by 6-OHDA.

| Groups  | Glutathione (nMol/min/mg of protein) | Glutathione reductase (nMol/min/mg of protein) | Glutathione peroxidase (nMol/min/mg of protein) | Superoxide dismutase (Unit/mg protein) | Catalase (nMol/min/mg of protein) | TBARS (MDA) (nMol/min/mg of protein) |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| S       | 1.917 ± 0.06                        | 303.3 ± 1.66                                  | 515.0 ± 3.32                                  | 2.183 ± 0.04                         | 7.717 ± 0.19                    | 12.17 ± 0.70                        |
| L       | 0.95 ± 0.07                         | 125.0 ± 2.18                                  | 158.3 ± 0.88                                  | 0.7667 ± 0.08                        | 3.583 ± 0.10                    | 33.5 ± 1.23                         |
| L+C     | 1.65 ± 0.07a                        | 246.7 ± 1.66a                                 | 343.3 ± 2.45a                                 | 1.733 ± 0.06a                        | 6.983 ± 0.18a                   | 19.67 ± 0.71a                       |
| L+DMC   | 1.367 ± 0.08a                       | 201.7 ± 2.72ab                                | 500.8 ± 1.83ab                                | 1.433 ± 0.08a                        | 5.217 ± 0.16a                   | 25.83 ± 0.94ab                       |
| L+BDMC  | 1.317 ± 0.04b+ab++                  | 203.3 ± 2.14ab                                | 360.0 ± 0.66bc                                | 1.283 ± 0.04b                       | 5.183 ± 0.14ab+                 | 27.0 ± 1.06ab                        |

Values are in mean ± SEM (n = 6). Levels of significance: \( ^a P \leq 0.001 \); \( ^b P \leq 0.01 \) compared with lesion; \( ^b+ P \leq 0.05 \) compared with L+CUR; \( ^c P \leq 0.001 \); \( ^c+ P \leq 0.05 \) compared with L+DMC.
| Parameter                          | Sham      | Lesion    | L+Curcumin | L+DMC     | L+BDMC    |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| D2 receptor binding assay         | 300 ± 8.65| 660 ± 8.85| 405.5 ± 9.39<sup>a</sup> | 469.2 ± 10.52<sup>ab</sup> | 515 ± 8.85<sup>abc</sup> |
| (pmol ³H-spiroperone bound/gm protein) |           |           |            |           |           |
| Bmax                             | 513.8 ± 10.88 | 990.7 ± 9.40 | 598.3 ± 12.22<sup>a</sup> | 765.8 ± 18.55<sup>ab</sup> | 840 ± 16.73<sup>abc</sup> |
| Kd                               | 0.85 ± 0.01  | 0.51 ± 0.01 | 0.89 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.70 ± 0.01<sup>ab</sup> | 0.66 ± 0.02<sup>ac</sup> |

Bmax: maximum number of binding sites expressed in pmoles bound/g protein; Kd: dissociation constant expressed in nM. Values are in mean ± SEM (<em>n</em> = 6). Levels of significance: <sup>a</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.001; <sup>b</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.01 compared with lesion; <sup>b+</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.01; <sup>b++</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.05 compared with L+CUR; <sup>c</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.001 and <sup>c+</sup><em>P</em> ≤ 0.05 compared with L+DMC.

**Figure 2:** Photomicrographs of TH immunoreactivity on substantia nigra tissue sections in representative rats of various groups. Rats receiving 6-OHDA intrastriatal injection (B.2) exhibited significant loss of dopaminergic neurons when compared to sham group rats (B.1). Pretreatment with 60 mg/kg curcumin (B.3), BMC (B.4), and BDMC (B.5) for 21 days in 6-OHDA lesioned rats showed less loss of dopaminergic neurons with curcumin group showing maximum protection followed by DMC and BDMC. Scale bar = 100 μm.
of measuring the dopamine level in brain homogenates with chromatographic/immunological methods will give authenticity to the radioligand binding study. HPLC estimation of dopamine levels in homogenates of substantia nigra region shows that CUR is more potent in restoring the dopamine levels and other metabolites compared to its other counterparts.

Formation of Lewy bodies is a hallmark of Parkinson-induced brain lesion as seen in the histopathological examination of brains substantia nigra region, absence of Lewy bodies in 6-OHDA-induced Parkinson’s model exhibits a lacunae in the models being used therefore study of these compounds in MPTP-induced model is also solicited which is clinically more relevant [23]. Ability of individual curcuminoids in crossing BBB will further support our findings that CUR is more potent than DMC and BDMC. Since curcuminoids have shown promising effect improving 6-OHDA-induced brain lesions, a regenerative potential of these compounds can be studied to make them more suitable for therapeutic use rather than of mere prognostic importance. Apart from induced neuronal death through ROS, 6-OHDA is also known to induce apoptosis by activation of apoptotic genes and caspase enzymes. Ability of these curcuminoids in inhibiting apoptotic genes and caspase enzymes need to be explored out individually [24]. Moreover, ability of CUR and its analogs to bind to dopamine receptors as agonist is another mechanism for which a study is solicited to corroborate this hypothesis. However, CUR, DMC, and BDMC have shown a prognostic beneficial effect in preventing the neurodegeneration. A study to demonstrate the therapeutic benefits of these analogs is further required.

4. Conclusion

6-OHDA induces Parkinson’s-like symptoms confirmed by behavioral, histopathological, and D2 receptor binding studies. Curcuminoids, that is, curcumin, demethoxycurcumin and bisdemethoxycurcumin were found to shield the neurodegeneration caused by 6-OHDA. All these curcuminoids are known to elicit the protective effect due to their antioxidant properties, and CUR is found to be significantly more potent than DMC and BDMC. Investigation of other possible mechanisms of curcuminoids apart from their antioxidant potential is solicited.

Table 3: Effect of CUR, DMC, and BDMC on striatal dopamine, DOPAC, and HVA levels (mg/g of brain tissue) in rats lesioned by 6-OHDA.

|                | Dopamine (DA) | 3,4-Dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) | Homovanillic acid (HVA) |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sham           | 13.33 ± 0.64 | 1.88 ± 0.07                             | 6.37 ± 0.15            |
| Lesion         | 2.66 ± 0.41  | 0.45 ± 0.05                             | 1.63 ± 0.17            |
| L+CUR          | 10.33 ± 0.42  | 1.35 ± 0.07a                            | 5.23 ± 0.18a           |
| L+DMC          | 8.0 ± 0.55ab  | 1.08 ± 0.06b                          | 4.12 ± 0.14ab          |
| L+BDMC         | 6.66 ± 0.52ab | 1.00 ± 0.08abc                          | 3.87 ± 0.11abc         |

Values are in mean ± SEM (n = 6), Levels of significance: aP ≤ 0.001; bP ≤ 0.01 compared with lesion; bP ≤ 0.001; bP ≤ 0.01 compared with L+CUR; cP ≤ 0.001; cP ≤ 0.01 compared with L+DMC.

Abbreviations

DMC: De-methoxycurcumin
BDMC: Bis-de-methoxycurcumin
DA: Dopamine
6-OHDA: 6-Hydroxydopamine
MDA: Malondialdehyde
GSH: Glutathione
GPx: Glutathione peroxidase
GR: Glutathione reductase
SOD: Superoxide dismutase
TH: Thyroxine hydroxylase
CMC: Carboxymethyl cellulose
PD: Parkinson’s disease.
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