Nickel Effect on Pomegranate Cracking, Nutrient Concentrations, and Biochemical Parameters of Pomegranate Peel
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Abstract. A field experiment was conducted in a pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) orchard of the well-known cultivars Wonderful and Acco, located in the farm of Aristotle University. The trees were sprayed, every 15 days from flowering (April) to fruit maturation (September), with solutions containing 0, 25, 50, 100 μM Ni and 100 μM Ni + 100 μM B prepared with Ni(NO3)2·6H2O and boric acid. Leaves and fully ripe fruits were harvested, and the last harvested fruits were sampled, and analyzed. Nickel sprays were effective in controlling fruit splitting as well as Ca and Mg concentrations of fruit peels. The correlation between cracking level and Ni concentration in solution was linear and negative. Cracking percentage with 50 μM Ni was lower in ‘Wonderful’, whereas no difference was recorded between the cultivars in the remaining treatments. Leaves had the smallest Ni concentration compared with fruit peel and seeds. Calcium concentration of pomegranate peels was higher than that of control peel at 50 μM Ni in ‘Wonderful’. Concerning ‘Acco’, the treatments 25 μM Ni, 50 μM Ni, and 100 μM Ni + 100 μM B reduced Ca concentration, compared with control. ‘Wonderful’ fruit peel contained more phenolics than ‘Acco’. The treatments 25, 50, and 100 μM Ni increased significantly the flavonoid concentration of fruit peels. The antioxidant capacity ferric-reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP) was linearly increased with Ni concentration in solution in ‘Wonderful’, whereas in ‘Acco’ it decreased at 25 and 50 μM Ni. Our data indicates that improving Ni nutrition of pomegranate can potentially reduce crop loss due to cracking and modified phenol and flavonoid concentration and FRAP value of fruit peel.

Nickel is an essential nutrient element for plants (Epstein and Bloom, 2005) and the last found micronutrient which is required for nitrogen metabolism (Bhalerao et al., 2015), affecting the activity of the enzyme urease (Bai et al., 2007). Poor Ni nutrition potentially affects primary metabolism in such a way that endogenous availability of certain aminoacids, organic acids, and acetyl-CoA can potentially limit lignification (Bai et al., 2006).

Cracking or splitting of fruits is a serious physiological disorder of pomegranate which affects yield and quality of fruits (Abd El-Rhman, 2010). Fully matured fruits crack because of moisture imbalance because they are sensitive to variation in soil moisture and also to daily and high atmospheric moisture (Ahmed, 2009). Long drought period causes hardening of peel, and if this is followed by rain or heavy irrigation, pomegranate fruit forms cracks (Khalil and Aly, 2013). Cracking is controlled by maintaining soil moisture and avoiding its wide variation by application of adequate and regular irrigation (Ahmed, 2009) during fruit growth. Several cultural factors such as rootstock, irrigation, mineral nutrition, pruning, fruit thinning, and spraying with Ca, N, B, salicylic acid (Ahmed et al., 2014), and growth regulators such as GAs (Singh et al., 2003) exert much influence on pomegranate cracking control. Furthermore, various minerals affect yield and fruit quality of pomegranate (Kamal et al., 2017). Nickel-repeated foliar sprays reduced water-stage fruit splitting of pecan (Wells and Wood, 2008). The pomegranate peel also is a rich source of tannins, flavonoids, and other phenolic compounds (Li et al., 2006). Until now, Ni was not used to reduce fruit cracking of pomegranate or other fruit, except pecan (Wells and Wood, 2008).

The aim of this study is to evaluate the possibility that the pomegranate fruit cracking can be controlled by foliar sprays with nickel (Ni). In addition, this experiment attempts to study Ni effects on total phenolic concentration, total flavonoids, and FRAP value of pomegranate peel and also Ni accumulation and its effects on Ca and Mg in leaves, peel, and pomegranate seeds.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted at the farm of Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece, during the period from Apr. to Sept. 2015 (lat. 40°32’04.16” N and long. 22°59’41.33” E). The altitude of the orchard is 5–25 m above the sea level. The monthly mean of minimum temperature during the experiment was 14.9 °C, whereas the maximum was 27.6 °C. The total rainfall during these months was 194.2 mm. Two Punica granatum L. (‘Wonderful’ and ‘Acco’) were included in the experiment. Their choice was based on their excellent commercial characteristics. These cultivars are grown extensively in Greece and also in other countries. However, nothing is known about their cracking tolerance of pomegranate fruits, biochemical characteristics, and antioxidant capacity under the influence of Ni concentration (0, 25, 50, and 100 μM Ni and 100 μM Ni + 100 μM B). For convenience, treatments codified as follows: Ni0, Ni25, Ni50, Ni100, and NiB100. Ni was chosen for the cracking experiment instead of Si because Ni is the last essential of elements found (Dalton et al., 1988). Ni was applied foliarly and in the soil to avoid its fixation in the negative charges of soil particles. The experiment was conducted using 6-year-old pomegranate plants grown in the experimental orchard. Orchard trees were spaced 5 × 4 m and were drip irrigated as needed throughout the growing season. The treated trees did not exhibit any invisible symptoms of Ni or other nutrient deficiencies and midsummer leaf concentration was within the sufficient range of pomegranate (Kahramanoglu and Usanmaz, 2016). The characteristics of orchard soil in 0–30 cm depth were as follows: sand (44%), clay...
Each sample (1 g) was dry ashed at 520°C for 8 h, and in each sample, 5 mL of 6N HCl was added. Total Ni (mg·kg⁻¹), Ca, and Mg were determined in fruit peel and seeds. Nickel concentration ranged from 0.05 mg·kg⁻¹ dry weight (DW) to 1.3 mg·kg⁻¹ DW. Between the cultivars, only at Ni50 a significant difference was recorded. In fact, ‘Acco’ seeds had twice greater Ni concentration than ‘Wonderful’.

Ca concentration of pomegranate peel (Fig. 3A) was higher than that of control peel at Ni50 in ‘Wonderful’. In the remaining treatments, peel Ni concentration was less in ‘Wonderful’ compared with that in control. Concerning ‘Acco’, Ca concentration was lower than control in the treatments Ni25, Ni50, and NiB100.

In the seeds of ‘Wonderful’ (Fig. 3B) and up to Ni50, a linear increase in Ca concentration was recorded. Also, with NiB100, calcium concentration was much higher than in control. In conclusion, the treatment Ni50 doubled Ca concentration of ‘Wonderful’ seeds. In ‘Acco’, Ni25, Ni50, and NiB100 reduced Ca concentration compared with that in control, whereas at Ni100, it did not differ from control.

The concentration of Ni50 increased two to three times Mg concentration of peel (Fig. 3C) compared with control, whereas

| Treatment | Ni (peel) | Ni (seeds) | Ni (leaves) | Fruit cracking | Mg (peel) | Phenols (peel) | Flavonoids (peel) | FRAP (peel) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Ni0       | 0.937     | 0.981      | 0.734       | 0.949          | 0.969     | 0.981          | 0.981          | 0.963      |
| Ni25      |           |            |             |                |           |                |                |            |
| Ni50      |           |            |             |                |           |                |                |            |
| NiB100    |           |            |             |                |           |                |                |            |

Results

Peel cracking of pomegranate fruits. The percentage of fruit cracking in both the cultivars reached a value of 80% in the control (Ni0). Spraying of plants from flowering (April) to harvest (September) every 15 d with solutions containing various concentrations of Ni resulted in a significant decrease in fruit cracking (Fig. 1). The relationship between % cracking and Ni concentration in solution was linear ($R^2 = 0.95$) (Table 1) and these parameters were negatively correlated. Fruit cracking with Ni25 was ≈70%, with Ni50 was 60%, and with Ni100 was 40%. The treatment of NiB100 did not reduce further the cracking. Cracking % with Ni50 was less in ‘Wonderful’, whereas no difference was recorded between the cultivars in the remaining treatments.

Table 1. The best linear or quadratic regression model describing the relationship that existed between Ni concentration in solution (0, 25, 50, and 100 μM Ni) and Ni levels in leaves and seeds, fruit cracking, and Ca, Mg, phenols, and flavonoids concentrations, as well as FRAP values in ‘Acco’ fruit peel.

In the fruit peel, Ni concentration ranged from 0.75 to 1.2 mg·kg⁻¹ DW. From the two cultivars at Ni25 and Ni50, ‘Wonderful’ differed significantly from ‘Acco’. However, at Ni100 and NiB100, no difference was recorded between the cultivars. Moreover, Ni concentration in seeds varied from 0.8 mg·kg⁻¹ DW to 1.3 mg·kg⁻¹ DW. Between the cultivars, only at Ni50 a significant difference was recorded. In fact, ‘Acco’ seeds had twice greater Ni concentration than ‘Wonderful’.

Nickel, Calcium, and Magnesium concentrations in leaves, fruit peel, and seeds. Nickel concentration was measured in leaves, fruit peel, and seeds (Fig. 2A–C). Leaves (Fig. 2C) had the smallest Ni concentration compared with fruit peel (Fig. 2A) and seeds (Fig. 2B). Hence, in leaves, Ni concentration ranged from 0.05 mg·kg⁻¹ dry weight (DW) to 0.18 mg·kg⁻¹ DW. In the treatment NiB100, the maximum Ni concentration of leaves was 0.05 mg·kg⁻¹ DW in ‘Wonderful’. ‘Wonderful’ had the greatest leaf Ni concentration of leaves.

Fig. 1. Effect of five Ni concentrations in spray solution on pomegranate cracking (%). Averages (± SE) followed by the same letter(s) did not significantly differ with each other at $P \leq 0.05$ ($n = 5$).

Table 1. The best linear or quadratic regression model describing the relationship that existed between Ni concentration in solution (0, 25, 50, and 100 μM Ni) and Ni levels in leaves and seeds, fruit cracking, and Ca, Mg, phenols, and flavonoids concentrations, as well as FRAP values in ‘Acco’ fruit peel.
the treatment NiB100 did not differ from control.

The relationship between Ni concentration in solution and Ca and Mg in pomegranate peel was expressed with a second-degree equation with $R^2 = 0.969$ and $R^2 = 1$, respectively (Table 1).

**Total phenols, flavonoids, and FRAP value of pomegranate peel.** Total phenols in both cultivars followed a Gaussian pattern (Fig. 4A). ‘Wonderful’ contained more phenolics than ‘Aco’. Phenolic concentration at Ni25 and Ni50 was 50% to 100% higher than that of control. At Ni100, total phenolics in ‘Wonderful’ were less than those of control and equal to those of control in ‘Aco’. ‘Wonderful’ had greater phenolic concentration than ‘Aco’. Concerning total flavonoids (Fig. 4B), the treatments Ni25, Ni50, and Ni100 have increased significantly flavonoid concentration compared with control. Also, in ‘Aco’ at Ni100, flavonoid concentrations were as high as in ‘Wonderful’ at Ni25 and Ni50. At NiB100, ‘Wonderful’ had the same flavonoid concentration as that in the control, whereas ‘Aco’ had less concentration than that of control.

The antioxidant capacity (FRAP) (Fig. 4C) was linearly increased with Ni concentration in spraying solution in ‘Wonderful’, whereas in ‘Aco’, it decreased at Ni25 and Ni50 and increased in both cultivars at Ni100. In the treatment NiB100, the FRAP value of ‘Wonderful’ was greater than that of control, whereas in ‘Aco’, the FRAP value decreased. The relationship between Ni concentration in spraying solution and total phenolics, flavonoids, and FRAP value is expressed by a second-degree equation, with $R^2$ values 0.968, 0.982, and 0.963, respectively (Table 1).

**Discussion**

Fruit cracking in pomegranate causes significant crop loss every year. This occurs after fruit ripening in random shapes from the external to the internal part of fruits. Fruit cracking is not an instant burst, but a gradual process. Nonetheless, in pomegranate, the peel cracking mechanism is still unclear and there is very little data on the morphological properties that influence it (Saei et al., 2014).

Studies have shown that weakness of epidermis and formation of sclereid clusters in ground tissue cause cracking in pomegranate (Yazici et al., 2011).

Pomegranate cracking is a physiological disorder which is probably due to moisture imbalance, as this fruit is very sensitive to variation in soil moisture. Prolonged drought causes hardening of peel, and if this is followed by heavy irrigation, the pulp grows and the peel expands in a disanalogous proportion and subsequently cracks (Khalil and Aly, 2013). Pomegranate fruits split when turgor pressure generated within the growing fruit exceeds the capacity of the peel to withstand the pressure without splitting. The capacity of the peel to withstand this pressure is partly regulated by the degree of peel lignification because lignin provides rigidity to peel. The thickness and hardness of fruit peel are directly associated with fruit cracking. Daily variations in sunlight’s exposure intensity positively correlate with the daily fruit cracking in Citrus (Li, 2003). Hence, fruits growing on the shaded part of the tree had a significantly greater percentage of fruit cracking (Chen et al., 2005; Li and Chen, 2017). Although many studies have dealt with this complex phenomenon, the basic mechanism involved in pomegranate cracking remained unclear. Therefore, the present study is helpful to examine suitable control measures for pomegranate cracking using Ni sprays. Almost all soils have plenty of Ni (Wood et al., 2006). In addition, the application of Ni on soils results in strong binding to negative charges of soil particles and poor movement through the soil profile.
because there are soil chemistry issues that limit timely Ni uptake by roots (Wood and Reilly, 2007). It is for this reason that foliar application of Ni is by far the preferred approach to control pomegranate cracking. Spraying of Ni to pomegranate foliage may result in the formation of nanoparticles, which because of their small size possess unique properties. The high surface area to volume ratio of nickel nanocrystals can sometimes lead to unexpected properties (Angajala and Radhakrishnan, 2014). A particle with high surface area possesses many reaction sites and thus leads to higher chemical reactivities of Ni sprayed on pomegranate. Spraying with Ni decreased significantly pomegranate cracking. The apparent beneficial effect of foliar Ni sprays on reducing cracking losses is consistent with the fact that Ni nutrition potentially influences lignin metabolism within the immature peel of the developing fruit (Wood and Reilly, 2007).

Skin thickness is an active factor in blocking rain penetration to innermost tissues and reducing the cracking force (Saei et al., 2014). The data does not allow us to conclude anything regarding the effect of Ni on skin thickness. Thus, another experiment should be conducted to elucidate this point.

According to dos Reis et al. (2011), Ni concentration of fruits decreased as Ni rates increased. The cracking rates decreased also with application of salicylic acid, magnesium sulfate, chelated zinc, boric acid, calcium chloride (Ahmed et al., 2014), boron and GA3 (Singh et al., 2003), and paclitaxel (El-Khawaga, 2007), which can increase cell wall plasticity and promotion of cell extension. The cracking rate could also decrease significantly, by spraying with K, Ca, B, and Zn because Zn, B, and K contents of peel increased the thickness of the peel (Li and Chen, 2017).

The pomegranate fruit peel contained all minerals (Rowayshed et al., 2013). In the presence of Ni, the concentration of mineral nutrients in plant organs may increase, decrease, or stay constant (Ros et al., 1990). One of the probable mechanisms for decreasing the uptake of macro and micronutrients relies on competition for common binding sites because of the compatible ionic radius of Ni with other cations.

Foliar application of Ni affected the concentration of Ca and Mg in pomegranate peel and seeds, an aspect very important in controlling fruit cracking, because of their role as constituents of cell walls. Ca participates in modifying the metabolism of the cell wall and has interactive effects in cell wall composition and important physiological functions, such as the maintenance of stability of cell wall, and enzyme activity. The formation of tissue cell walls was attributed to the stabilization of membrane systems by the formation of Ca–Mg pectates, which increased rigidity of middle lamella and cell wall. Also, Ca and Mg are responsible for strengthening the bonds between epidermal and other fruit cells, resulting in greater strength and low cracking of pomegranate fruits. Previous studies (Saei et al., 2014) on biomechanical behavior of plant tissue illustrate that its higher calcium concentration changes plant tissues’ biomechanical behavior, making them harder and more brittle and less sensitive to cracking. A relationship exists between the biomechanical behavior of pomegranate fruit skin and its calcium concentration. The calcium effect can be explained by the formation of a calcium bridge between groups of pectin chains. The treatment NiB100 containing also B reduced significantly cracking. Boron plays an important role in the stabilization of membrane systems, making them more resistant to calcium and calcium-induced cracking.
role in the extension of plant cell walls through its association with cell wall pectin (Kaneko et al., 1997). The role of B is also known in plant metabolism including many physiological aspects such as nucleic acid metabolism, building and translocation of carbohydrates, cell wall synthesis, and membrane functions which have an indirect influence on osmotic pressure within plant cells, and decrease of pomegranate cracking (Prativa et al., 2013). Furthermore, B is responsible for increasing the elasticity of cell membranes and prevents the breakdown of vegetative tissues. Thus, the decrease in cracking might be the result of borate bridging with cell wall constituents giving elastic response to it, as advocated by Singh et al. (2002).

The antioxidant capacities of phenolic compounds in pomegranate were studied (ElFalleh et al., 2011). Exposure of plants to Ni sprays has been shown to increase the activities of SOD, POD, GR, and GOPx enzymes to enhance the activation of other antioxidants, and hence leading to scavenging of ROS (Gomes et al., 2006). Nickel’s indirect influence on the shikimic acid pathway also was an important implication in that this pathway influences production of certain plant hormones and integrity of structural components such as formation of lignin, phenolics, tannins, and similar compounds that indirectly affect tree performance and orchard productivity (Wood and Reilly, 2007). High Ni concentrations led to a significant increase in electrolytic leakage, reflecting the metal-induced enhancement of membrane permeability (Gajewska et al., 2012). Ni foliar sprays increased in most of the cases the level of phenols, flavonoids, and FRAP value, indicating their possible involvement in reducing cracking percentage. Antioxidant properties of pomegranate peel have been reported (Reddy et al., 2007). The main antioxidant compound in pomegranate juice is hydrolyzable tannins (Gil et al., 2000). The antioxidant activity is higher in commercial juices than in regular ones, which is due to the presence of pomegranate rind tannins. In conclusion, Ni spray reduced pomegranate peel cracking possibly by increasing the level of phenolics, tannin, FRAP value, and Ca and Mg concentration in peels. Our results indicate that foliar application of Ni can potentially reduce the severity of pomegranate cracking.
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