INTRODUCTION

The extraction of primary teeth with a local anesthetic injection may cause pain and increase anxiety in children. Anxiety and fear of pain associated with dental treatment have remained a constant over time despite advances in dental equipment, procedures, and preventive measures. Dental anxiety is a confounding problem that dentists must cope with. Psychological stress can produce effects in various physiological systems that are similar to those produced by physical changes due to the activation of two stress-response systems. These systems are the sympathetic-adrenal-medullary axis and hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis [1]. Osterink identified dental treatments and conditions that provoked anxiety. Tooth extraction is one such dental treatment [2]. Salivary alpha amylase (SAA) is one of the major protein components of saliva. It is synthesized and secreted by acinar cells. Previous studies have shown a correlation between psychological stress and SAA activity. Stress incudes significant increases in SAA [3].

Many studies have used portable devices to measure SAA levels. Such devices use a precursor colorimetric assay platform in which the color intensity of the enzymatic reaction product is measured photo metrically to determine the SAA concentration [4]. The total time needed to analyze saliva is 1 min, including 30 s to collect saliva, 10 s to transcript saliva and 20 s for the reaction time [5].

The present study aims to compare the SAA level between children who had never and had undergone tooth extraction during a tooth extraction procedure with a local anesthetic injection.

METHODS

Thirty-seven children aged 6–11 years who were to undergo the extraction of primary teeth at the Dental and Oral Educational Hospital, Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, were enrolled. The subjects were divided into two groups: One group consists of 20 children who had never undergone tooth extraction, and the other group consists of 17 children who had undergone tooth extraction. From all children, one saliva sample was collected using a portable saliva strip 10 min before (t0), 4% with epinephrine 1:100,000 (Septocaine-Septodont) was injected to the extracted tooth for 1 min, and patients’ informed consent forms were approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia. After examination and diagnosis determination, topical anesthetic with prilocaine 2% benzocaine gel was applied atmucobucal fold area closest to the extracted tooth for 1 min. Then, local anesthetic with Articaine HCl 4% with epinephrine 1:100,000 (Septocaine-Septodont) was injected through a Morita 30G dental needle using a Paroject intra ligamental syringe. The SAA level between children who had never and had undergone tooth extraction was analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

There were significant differences in SAA levels at t0 (p=0.001), t1 (p=0.018), and t2 (p=0.021) in both groups. Anxiety is a combination of behavioral and physiological reactions. SAA release is regulated by autonomic innervation, and the SAA level increases owing to acute stress.

Conclusion: Dentists should note patients’ negative dental experiences to provide more effective and less traumatic treatment.
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DISCUSSION

This study aimed to investigate the SAA level during dental treatment. The results showed significant differences in SAA levels 10 min before dental treatment, shortly after dental treatment, and 10 min after dental treatment between children who had never undergone tooth extraction and who had undergone tooth extraction \( t_0 = 0.001 \), \( t_1 = 0.018 \), and \( t_2 = 0.021 \).

A previous study showed that psychological stress and anxiety can significantly increase SAA levels [6]. A more recent study showed a correlation between the SAA level and the state-trait anxiety inventory score after watching a video about corneal transplant surgery [7]. Gygeryay stated that the SAA level can be used as a biomarker of fear and anxiety [7]. In the present study, at all three measurement times, SAA levels in children who had never undergone tooth extraction were significantly higher than those in children who had undergone tooth extraction. The results were similar to those reported by de Menezes Abreu et al. who found decreased levels of anxiety with an increase in the number of dental visits [8].

Dental anxiety has been a matter of concern for many years. It is generally accepted that dental anxiety predominantly starts during childhood. Venham studied the response of children during sequential dental visits, and found that negative behaviors decreased as the children became familiarized with the dental procedures [9].

This outcome differs from that of a previous study that found an increase in dental anxiety prevalence [10]. The difference between these two studies may lie in the difference in the methods used. The previous study used a prospective cohort study, whereas the present study used a cross-sectional study.

Hmud noted a few reasons for dental anxiety. Literatures have reported various primary factors including fear of pain, past traumatic dental experiences, and maternal anxiety. Studies have indicated that the memory of dental pain is reconstructed over time [10]. Nevertheless, not everyone develops dental anxiety after a painful dental treatment.

CONCLUSION

The present study suggests that there were differences in SAA levels between children who had never and had undergone tooth extraction and that these might lead to differences in anxiety levels. Dentist should note patients’ negative dental experiences to provide more effective and less traumatic treatment. However, a cohort study is required to confirm whether the number of dental treatments can influence the SAA level and, in turn, the anxiety level.
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