Technical Note

Anatomical Arthroscopic Anterior Talofibular Ligament Repair and Reconstruction Using a Free Tendon
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Abstract: Arthroscopic techniques for anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) repair and reconstruction have been developed in recent years. We simultaneously performed anatomical arthroscopic ATFL repair and reconstruction using a free tendon graft. The ATFL remnant is carefully dissected only at the footprint of the superior limb of the ATFL, and a bone tunnel is created on each side of the fibula and talus. A soft suture anchor with 2 sets of threads is inserted into the fibular tunnel. One set of threads is used to grab the ATFL remnant via a lasso-loop technique, whereas the other set of threads is used to introduce the ATFL graft. The graft is first fixed with a screw in the talar tunnel. Subsequently, the ATFL remnant and the graft are tightened simultaneously by pulling the 2 sets of suture anchor threads at the fibular tunnel and are fixed with a screw. This technique provides the possible advantages of remnant preservation and promotion of load sharing by the repaired ATFL remnant and the reconstructed ATFL graft.

The anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) is the most frequently injured ligament in ankle sprains.1 The Broström technique is the most popular procedure for repairing the ruptured ATFL.2 However, because the repair’s strength depends on ATFL remnant quality, postoperative results may be poor when much time has passed after an ankle sprain, a patient is obese, and/or a high-demand athlete sustains repeated ankle sprains. A ligament reconstruction method using a free tendon is indicated in such cases.3,4 A few technical reports5-9 and short-term clinical results10-13 of arthroscopic lateral ankle ligament reconstruction have been published. Recently, remnant-preserved anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction has gained popularity because the remnant-preservation effect may be advantageous for graft remodeling, proprioceptive recovery, and clinical outcomes.14-16

We describe the technique of anatomical arthroscopic ATFL repair and reconstruction (AAARR) using a free tendon graft, which has the possible advantages of not only remnant preservation but also sharing of the ligament load by the repaired ATFL remnant and the reconstructed ATFL graft. A summary of the key steps is provided in Table 1, and a summary of the technique is shown in Video 1.

Surgical Technique

Step 1: Patient Positioning

This surgical procedure is performed with the patient in the supine position under general anesthesia. A tourniquet is placed on the proximal thigh. The foot is suspended from the distal edge of the bed, and the contralateral leg is slightly lowered to provide a wide working space (Fig 1A).8

Step 2: Graft Preparation

The autogenous gracilis tendon is harvested from the pes anserinus, and a 2- or 3-strand graft is prepared. Use of the endoscopic harvest technique is recommended to
| Key Surgical Step           | Pearls                                                                 | Pitfalls                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Creation of AM portal      | The ankle is positioned neutrally. The AM portal is created medial and  | If the AM portal is created too medially or too distally, visualization of  |
|                            | slightly proximal to the joint line.                                    | the ATFL remnant will be difficult.                                       |
| Creation of AAL portal     | The ankle is dorsiflexed to view the ATFL remnant. Before the AAL portal is | If the AAL portal is created without confirmation by the needle, the AAL  |
|                            | created, a needle is inserted into the portal site to confirm accessibility to | portal position will not be suitable for talar tunnel creation.            |
|                            | the talar footprint of the ATFL superior limb.                         |                                                                         |
| Creation of talar tunnel   | A microfracture awl is used to mark the center of the ATFL talar footprint, | A guidewire may slip at the ATFL talar footprint without marking. If the   |
|                            | and a guidewire is inserted through the AAL portal to drill the talus   | guidewire is directed too posteriorly, the neurovascular bundle is at risk  |
|                            | toward the distal end of the medial malleolus.                         | of damage. If the tunnel is deeper than 20 mm, the risk of talar penetration |
| Creation of ST portal      | The ST portal is created just below the distal end of the fibula after  | If the ST portal is created too anteriorly, the fibular tunnel direction    |
|                            | confirmation of accessibility to the fibular footprint by a needle.      | will be distal and the risk of tunnel fracture will be higher.             |
| Creation of fibular tunnel | Intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to confirm the guidewire insertion    | If the fibular tunnel is created without fluoroscopic assistance, the risks |
|                            | position and direction. The insertion point should be proximal to the   | of tunnel fracture and malposition may be higher. If the fibular tunnel is  |
|                            | articular tip and the FOT.                                              | created below the FOT, the CFL footprint may be damaged.                  |
| Suture anchor placement    | A soft suture anchor, which has 2 sets of threads, is placed at the fibular | If the suture anchor is placed inside the fibular bone, the fixation strength |
|                            | cortex behind the fibular tunnel.                                       | will be weak and the suture anchor may drop out during surgery.            |
| ATFL remnant repair        | An 18-gauge hollow needle or a suture passer penetrates the ATFL inferior | If a smaller amount of the ATFL remnant is grasped, the mechanical strength |
|                            | limb remnant to grab the ATFL remnant using the lasso-loop technique.   | of the remnant repair via a lasso loop will weaken.                       |
| Graft fixation             | The graft is fixed with a screw in the talar tunnel first. Subsequently,  | If the graft is fixed in the fibular tunnel first, the remnant and the graft |
|                            | the ATFL remnant and the ATFL graft are tightened simultaneously by      | cannot be tightened simultaneously because neither shares the load.        |
|                            | pulling the 2 sets of suture anchor threads at the fibular tunnel.      |                                                                         |

AAL, accessory anterolateral; AM, anteromedial; ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament; CFL, calcaneofibular ligament; FOT, fibular obscure tubercle; ST, subtalar.
ensure a smaller scar. Tendon allograft is an alternative. The harvested tendon usually needs to be longer than 100 mm to contain a 2-strand bundle for the ATFL that is at least 20 mm long. The length is determined on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. The 2 ends form a 15-mm-long loop to facilitate attachment of the thread for graft delivery (Fig 1B). The recommended graft diameter is 4.5 to 6.0 mm. If the harvested tendon is thin and longer than 150 mm, the graft can be folded into a 3-strand bundle for the ATFL.

**Step 3: Portal Placement of Ankle Arthroscopy**

Three portals are created in a step-by-step manner: anteromedial (AM), accessory anterolateral (AAL), and subtalar (ST). The ankle is positioned neutrally. The AM portal is created medial to the anterior tibial tendon and slightly proximal to the joint line. A 30° C 2.7- or 4.0-mm-diameter arthroscope is introduced through the AM portal. The ankle is dorsiflexed to view the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) remnant. Before the AAL portal is created, a needle is inserted into the portal site to confirm accessibility to the talar attachment site of the ATFL superior limb, the AAL portal is created. The portal is usually created at a point approximately 20 mm anterior to the anteroinferior tubercle of the distal fibula, called the fibular obscure tubercle (FOT). The conventional anterolateral portal is created only if necessary for the treatment of other lesions (e.g. osteochondral lesions).
**Step 4: Tunnel Creation**

The ATFL remnant is carefully dissected to only the minimum necessary area for tunnel creation at the talar and fibular footprint of the superior limb of the ATFL using a radiofrequency probe (Fig 2B). A microfracture awl is used to mark the center of the dissected footprint, and a 2.4-mm guidewire is inserted through the AAL portal to drill the talus toward the distal end of the medial malleolus. The guidewire is then over-drilled using a drill bit with the same diameter as the graft end to create a 20-mm-deep talar tunnel (Fig 2C).

When the fibular footprint of the ATFL superior limb is not adequately viewed through the AM portal, the surgeon can try to dorsiflex the ankle, change the scope to a 70° arthroscope, or place the scope through the AAL portal. The ST portal is created just below the distal end of the fibula after a needle confirms accessibility of the fibular footprint (Fig 3A). A guidewire is inserted through the ST portal to drill the fibula. Intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to confirm the guidewire insertion position and direction. The insertion point should be proximal to the articular tip and the fibular obscure tubercle. The angle between the guidewire direction and the long axis of the fibula on the lateral view is 10° to 30°. The guidewire direction is almost on the angle bisector of the fibular end on the anteroposterior view (Fig 3C). Viewed from the AM or accessory anterolateral portal, the guidewire is over-drilled to create a 20-mm-deep fibular tunnel.

(Figs 3A, 3B, and 3C are from patient 1 whereas Figure 3D is from patient 2 because of image quality.)

---

**Fig 3.** Creation of subtalar (ST) portal and fibular tunnel (right ankle). (A) The ST portal is created just below the distal end of the fibula after confirmation of accessibility of the fibular footprint by a needle. (B) A guidewire is inserted through the ST portal. Intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to confirm the guidewire position. The insertion point should be proximal to the articular tip and the fibular obscure tubercle. The angle between the guidewire direction and the long axis of the fibula on the lateral view is 10° to 30°. (C) The guidewire direction is almost on the angle bisector of the fibular end on the anteroposterior view. (D) Viewed from the anteromedial or accessory anterolateral portal, the guidewire is over-drilled to create a 20-mm-deep fibular tunnel. (Figs 3A, 3B, and 3C are from patient 1 whereas Figure 3D is from patient 2 because of image quality.)

---

**Fig 4.** Suture anchor placement and anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) remnant repair (right ankle). (A) The surgeon penetrates from the fibular tunnel bottom to the opposite cortex with a 2.9-mm drill wire through the subtalar portal. A 2.9-mm JuggerKnot Soft Anchor, which has 2 sets of threads, is placed at the fibular cortex behind the fibular tunnel. The first set of suture anchor threads is used to grab the ATFL remnant via a lasso-loop technique. An 18-gauge hollow needle with No. 2-0 nylon thread penetrates the ATFL remnant through the accessory anterolateral or subtalar portal depending on the accessibility of the remnant. The nylon loop is retrieved through the same portal using a grasping instrument, and the needle is withdrawn. One end of the first thread set is then passed through the nylon loop, which is used to pull just the mid portion of the suture anchor through the ATFL remnant such that a suture loop is created in the ATFL remnant. (B) The free end of the first set of suture anchor threads is passed through the loop and pulled tight, creating a self-cinching lasso loop. (C) The other end of the first thread set is used to draw the lasso loop, tightening the ATFL remnant. (Figs 4A and 4C are from patient 2 whereas Fig 4B is from patient 1 because of image quality.)
Step 5: Suture Anchor Placement and ATFL Remnant Repair

The surgeon penetrates the fibular tunnel bottom to the opposite cortex using a 2.9-mm drill wire through the ST portal. If it does not reach the opposite cortex, the drill wire is inserted anteriorly from the anterior edge of the tunnel inlet to the posterior and proximal end to penetrate the posterior cortical wall of the fibula. A 2.9-mm JuggerKnot Soft Anchor (Zimmer Biomet, Warsaw, IN), which has 2 sets of threads, is placed at the fibular cortex behind the fibular tunnel. The first set of threads is used to grasp the ATFL remnant via a lasso-loop technique. An 18-gauge hollow needle with No. 2-0 nylon thread penetrates the ATFL inferior limb remnant25,26 through the AAL portal. The second set of suture anchor threads is passed through this first thread set, creating a suture loop in the ATFL remnant (Fig 4A). The free end of the first thread set is passed through this loop and pulled tight, creating a self-cinching lasso loop (Fig 4B). The other end of the first thread set is then used to draw the lasso loop, tightening the ATFL remnant (Fig 4C).

Step 6: Tendon Graft Introduction and Fixation

The second set of suture anchor threads, which is not used to repair the ATFL remnant, is used to introduce the ATFL graft. One strand is sutured and connected to the ATFL remnant-preserved ACL remnant-preservation technique that repaired the ACL remnant-preserved ACL remissions do not repair the remnant. This means that the load does not conduct to the remnant and the remnant does not share the stress. Although one Technical Note has described an ACL remnant-preservation technique that repaired the remnant via the lasso-loop technique,14 the remnant and the graft were not simultaneously fixed. Thus, they may not share the load owing to stress shielding. Several technical reports5-9 and short-term clinical results10-13 of arthroscopic lateral ankle ligament repair and reconstruction (AAARR)
reconstruction have been published. Although the remnant-preserving technique has already been reported in 2 studies,32,33 neither study repaired the remnant. On the contrary, the ATFL remnant and graft can share the load in our procedure because they are simultaneously fixed with the screw at the fibular tunnel.

Intraoperative fluoroscopy is used to confirm the guidewire insertion position and guidewire direction to ensure the correct fibular tunnel position and safe creation of the fibular tunnel,8 respectively. Because the center of the ATFL origin on the fibula is located 3.7 mm (range, 0-6.7 mm) proximal to the FOT,19 the fibular tunnel of the ATFL superior limb should be

Fig 5. Passing pin direction in fibular tunnel (right ankle). (A) The second set of suture anchor threads is used to introduce the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) graft. One strand is sutured and connected to the fibular end of the graft, whereas the other strand is pulled for graft introduction. (B) The tendon graft is introduced from the subtalar portal into the talar tunnel by using the passing pin (Meira) and into the fibular tunnel by pulling the other strand of the second suture anchor thread. The graft is fixed with an appropriate-diameter 15-mm-long bioabsorbable interference screw in the talar tunnel first. Subsequently, the ATFL remnant and graft are tightened simultaneously by pulling the 2 sets of suture anchor threads at the fibular tunnel. (C) The ATFL remnant and graft are fixed together with another screw with the ankle in an approximately 30° to 45° plantar flexion position to avoid postoperative plantar flexion restrictions. Both sets of suture anchor threads are tied in situ by conventional tying. The ATFL graft is lying over the ATFL remnant. (Figs 5A and 5B are from patient 1 whereas Fig 5C is from patient 2 because of image quality.)

Fig 6. Technique in right ankle. (A) The anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) remnant is sutured by the first set of suture anchor threads using the lasso-loop technique. The graft is prepared for introduction through the subtalar portal. (B) The ATFL remnant is repaired and the graft is fixed with a 15-mm-long bioabsorbable interference screw simultaneously after screw fixation in the talar tunnel. The red lines indicate the first set of soft suture anchor threads; blue lines, the second set of soft suture anchor threads; and green lines, a loop thread penetrating the talar tunnel. (CFL, calcaneofibular ligament; FOT, fibular obscure tubercle.)
created proximal to the FOT. Tunnel creation distal to the FOT may damage the CFL footprint.8,19

Drawbacks of our technique include the need to dissect the footprint of the ATFL superior limb to create the anatomic bone tunnels, which may potentially weaken the remnant strength. However, the superior limb remnant can remain in place as much as possible through careful dissection of only the minimum necessary area for tunnel creation to preserve the ATFL inferior limb remnant. Thus, mechanical stabilization of the repair technique can be added to the ATFL reconstruction to some degree. The advantages and disadvantages of the technique are described in Table 2.

AAARR has the possible advantage of not only remnant preservation but also sharing of the ligament load by the repaired ATFL remnant and the reconstructed ATFL graft. Thus, ATFL strength, graft maturation, ankle proprioception, and long-term clinical results are expected to improve after AAARR.
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