DURABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE PROTOTYPE TEST RIG FOR MAIN ROTORS
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Resume
This paper presents an analysis of the strength of a prototype test rig for testing rotors of unmanned aerial vehicles. Digital design software was used for the design work that covered the creation of a virtual model of the test rig and strength analysis of its key elements. The paper discusses the test rig solutions, applied to date, of the small main rotor research. From the assumed operational parameters and structural parameters of the rotor, the main forces acting on the designed structure were determined: lifting force, reaction torque and empty mass of the test rig. Suitable actuators of the control system enabling the regulation of the total pitch and periodic pitch of the rotor, within the full range of the angle of attack, were selected for the rotor under test. The FEM (Finite Element Method) strength analysis was carried out for the proposed support structure and the correctness of the design was verified.
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1 Introduction

The development of computer technology, artificial intelligence and miniaturisation in the broadest sense of the word, make the market for autonomous vehicles and unmanned remotely controlled vehicles grow rapidly. Such vehicles are used when performing certain tasks by humans is impossible, uneconomical or too dangerous. Rescue services, the police and the military are increasingly interested in unmanned vehicles and aircraft. The smaller size of an aircraft makes it more difficult to detect, increases its manoeuvrability and reduces the costs of combat and reconnaissance missions [1].

Before a new aircraft is introduced to the market, a number of simulation and bench tests are required. Numerical analyses require advanced computational models to illustrate the operation of selected subsystems [2-3], while the bench tests require the construction of test rigs enabling stationary testing of conceptual solutions before their application in flight [4-5]. The preparation of the test bench is an extensive process requiring design of the new components and development of metering to achieve conditions as close as possible to real ones.

The introduction of a new rotor design for an aircraft requires a wide range of simulation and bench tests. Aerodynamic phenomena affecting the rotor structure, as well as forces and moments acting on it, assume a very complex system, variable in time [6]. The simulation study of rotorcraft performance using the CFD method provides approximate values of the lift force or torque applied to the rotor. However, it does not take into account dynamic deformations of rotor blades resulting from aerodynamic forces, inertia forces or the impact of the rotor control system [7] and they can significantly affect the operation of the rotor in real conditions. The paper [8] presents the application of the co-simulation method in aerodynamic calculations of a main rotor of an unmanned helicopter. The authors presented a model based on computer simulations to create a virtual laboratory for performing static and dynamic analyses. It is possible to analyse the rotor blade deformation using the FEM (Finite Element Method) and then import the resulting model into the CFD simulation, but this approach does not cover issues related to mechanical vibrations, pressure pulsations or transient flows [9]. A solution may be a coupled FEM analysis in which the fluid flow affects the mechanical deformation of the components that, in turn, changes the nature of the flow. Such analyses are, however, very time-consuming, especially as many tests are required to simulate all the major operating states of the rotor. Computer simulations allow an initial determination of rotor parameters but cannot fully replace the bench testing.
Carrying out a bench testing of main rotors is very problematic. The main difficulty is to obtain conditions similar to those that will be encountered during the real flights. The rotor installed on the test rig is not affected by aerodynamic effects of both the ground and the structure of the test rig itself [10]. This may cause a discrepancy between the results obtained and the actual performance of the structure that it will achieve in later use. Simulating horizontal flight additionally requires the rotor structure to be placed in a wind tunnel [11]. The paper [12] presents results of a tunnel test for a helicopter main rotor blade model. The blade was sectioned to optimise the angle of attack along the rotor radius. One of the main issues under consideration in the rotor design is vibration [13]. The specification of general and periodic rotor pitch control forces torsional and linear vibrations of rotor blades. In addition, blades are subjected to periodic deflection in the horizontal plane and in the plane of rotation [14]. In an aircraft, the rotor and fuselage are the two systems that interact due to mechanical vibrations and aerodynamic interference [15]. It is therefore necessary to learn exactly the characteristics of the resulting vibrations to prevent resonance from occurring. Various methods of measuring the vibration of the main rotor blades have been used in previous research. The most common is the optical method, using a set of two cameras [16] and markers need to be placed on blade surfaces. Blade deformation was also measured by means of a laser [17] or the Stereo-Cam system, which combines a camera system with laser measurement [18]. Measurement methods based on analysis of acoustic pressure changes at different locations in the blade profile were also used to study air vibrations on the rotor blade surface [19]. Loads acting on the aircraft powerplant and related with loads of the propeller blade are also investigated to develop a model of an aircraft flight envelope, which can contain data to determine the boundary conditions of this model [20].

Following the previous research into the bench testing of main rotors, the main characteristics of the test rig can be determined. Basic parameters, such as a rotor drive, should be calculated from the operational assumptions of the rotorcraft [21]. The fundamental design aspect is to achieve the minimum aerodynamic disturbance [22-23]. The design of the test rig should ensure a free flow of air that does not generate turbulence and unnecessary resistance [24]. The rotor should be installed in such a way to minimise the influence of the earth on its operation [25]. Furthermore, the stiffness of the structure should be selected so that its natural frequency does not coincide with any of the harmonic frequencies generated by the rotor or the control system [26-27].

## 2 Methodology

The article involves the construction of a test rig for testing a helicopter rotor model with variable geometry blades. The test rig consists of a three-bladed main rotor, an articulated control head, a measuring apparatus, a rotor drive system and a frame as a support structure. The design of the test rig was based on the assumed operational (Table 1) and structural (Table 2) parameters of the rotor.

To calculate the rated power that is required to drive the rotor, the rotor efficiency factor of $\eta = 0.74$ for this rotor must be taken into account. The rated power is calculated from the formula:

$$N_n = \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{T}{\delta_H A_{mr}} \right)^2,$$

where:

- $N_n$ - required motor rated power,
- $\eta$ - rotor efficiency ratio,
- $T$ - rotor load capacity,
- $\delta_H$ - air density,
- $A_{mr}$ - area of the main rotor.

For the assumed rotor operating parameters (Table 1), the motor rated power is:

$$N_n = \frac{1}{0.74} \sqrt{\frac{1471 N}{2 \cdot 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^2 \cdot 3.14 \text{ m}^2}} = 27.5 \text{ kW}.$$  

To obtain the power consumption characteristics

| Designation | Definition                      | Value          |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| $T_0$       | Outdoor temperature operating range | 287-347 K     |
| $a_0$       | Range of sound speed            | 328-347 m/s$^2$ |
| $\rho$      | Air density                     | 0.875-1.225 kg/m$^3$ |
| $\mu$       | Kinetic viscosity of air        | 14.7·10$^{-5}$ |
| $V_d$       | Maximum flight speed h = 0 km ISA | 130 km/h     |
| $V_{ne}$    | Never exceeded speed h = 0 km ISA | 150 km/h     |
| $\omega_{\max}$ | Maximum rotor speed          | 180 1/s       |
| $\omega_{\min}$ | Minimum rotor speed            | 100 1/s       |
| $T$         | Rotor load capacity             | 150 kg/1471 N |
of the rotor, it is necessary to use a measuring system with an appropriate measuring range. The power will be determined from measurements of the rotor angular velocity and transmitted torque. Its value is calculated by transforming the formula:

$$ N_e = \frac{M \cdot n}{9550} , $$

(3)

where:

- $M$ - rotor torque,
- $n$ - rotor speed.

The maximum torque achieved for the assumed operating conditions (Table 1) is respectively

$$ M = \frac{N_e \cdot 9550}{30 \cdot \text{rpm}} = \frac{27.5 \text{kW} \cdot 9550}{30 \cdot 180 \cdot \text{rpm}} = 152.7 \text{Nm} . $$

(4)

The calculated value will be taken into account during the construction of the measuring system (selection of measuring transducers) and strength calculations of the rig frame. The bench requires a motor with a power of more than 27.5 kW.

3 Result

3.1 Test rig design

The test rig was designed with the Solid Edge 2021 CAD software. The plane of rotation of the blades was assumed at a height of not less than 2.5 m to ensure that the impact of the ground on the air flow indexed by the rotor is minimised. The test rig should also be able to add ballast mass to improve its stability. For safety reasons, a rotor cover made of steel mesh was assumed. The test rig is shown in Figure 1. In the place of connection of the rotor carrying the frame and the rig supporting the structure, a six-component balance was

---

**Table 2 Design parameters of the rotor**

| Parameter                                           | Value          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Range of motion on longitudinal control             | +/-7 deg       |
| Range of motion on transverse control               | +/-4 deg       |
| Range of motion on overall pitch control            | +/-12 mm       |
| Distance of the vertical pivot joint from the axis of rotation | 50 mm          |
| Distance of the horizontal pivot joint from the axis of rotation | 78 mm          |
| Angle of advance control                            | 30 deg         |
| Fluctuation compensation                            | 0.3            |
| Type of deflection damper                           | Elastomer      |
| Damping characteristics                             | Linear         |
| Range of blade angle of attack values at 0.7 R      | 0-20 deg       |
| Rotor disc fill factor                              | 0.0668         |
| Assumed value of the rotor boundary losses          | 0.96%          |
| Mechanical losses                                   | 0.85%          |
| Rotor Efficiency Ratio                              | 0.74           |

---

**Figure 1 Test rig for the main rotor**
The correctness of the rig design was confirmed by an FEM analysis of its most important elements. For this purpose, the model was simplified. Hinged restraints were provided at the vibration isolator mounting points. Appropriate boundary conditions were given for the support points of the construction. As there are no lateral forces acting on the structure, the whole movement is blocked at one base support. The remaining supports are allowed to move in the horizontal plane. All the supports can rotate about the three axes of the coordinate system. The holes have been given connections with the tool for creating bolted joints. A zero internal friction connection is assumed. The structure was loaded with the lifting force generated by the rotor (1500 N), rotor reaction.

3.2 FEM strength analysis

The strength analysis was carried out using the NX Nastran tool, built into the Solid Edge 2021 software.
loading the structure was 10.57 Nm. The torsional stress on the bolt was 8 MPa. Both torsional and shear stresses in the bolted connection did not exceed the allowable values.

3.3 Structure optimisation

Due to the high stresses in the base of the structure, it was decided to modify the design to limit the maximum stress values. The surface area of the brackets attaching the base to the central column was increased and steel washers were used at the vibration isolator mounting points in Figure 10. Following these design changes, the model was re-analysed for strength.

The modifications allowed for a significant reduction in stresses in the areas of connection of the base brackets with the central column of the rig. The maximum stress in this area did not exceed 19.73 MPa, see Figure 11. The use of a steel washer at the mounting point of the vibration isolation bases caused the stresses to dissipate and be transferred from the welded joint of the sleeve to the lower part of the profile. The maximum stress at this point was reduced to 60.22 MPa, see Figure 12.
Figure 7 Area of the highest concentrated stress in the material

Figure 8 Stress distribution at the bolted connections

Figure 9 Areas of the concentrated stress in the lower part of the base

Figure 10 Structure modifications
of stresses occurred at the point of collapse of the grip part. The maximum stress at this point was 38.87 MPa (Figure 14).

At the point of fixing the vibration isolator to the base, the maximum stress was 53.86 MPa (Figure 15). The stresses were uniformly distributed, at no place exceeding the permissible stresses.

In the places where the angled stiffening bars are fixed to the stand structure, the maximum stresses are in the range of 15÷19 MPa (Figure 16). The stress concentration occurs in the places of welded joints and bolted joints, but the stresses do not exceed the permissible values.

4 Discussion

The designed structure of the rig is correct in terms of the static strength. The design modifications resulted in a more uniform distribution of stresses at critical points of the structure and a reduction in their maximum values. In none of the cases considered did the stresses...
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Dynamics, as well as the high-resolution rotor blade and fuselage aerodynamics. External measurement technologies can be used to calculate noise emissions and localise noise sources, as well as to visualise the global flow field and individual vortex structures. However, for the whirlwind field testing it is necessary to analyse the aerodynamic flow overlay of the designed rig in the flow direction as stated in source [6] and in line with the sample calculation according to source [12].

5 Conclusions

Results of this proposal proved the validation of the test rig computer design for testing a helicopter rotor with a variable rotor blade geometry. The proposed
design was based on the most used version of 3 blade main rotor. The computer analysis output also proved to be suitable for the two and four blade main rotors with a variable geometry system. The test rig prototype was also designed for UAV and gyrocopters. The application use of Solid Edge 2021 CAD or NX Siemens PLM Software is sufficient mainly in the initial phase of research or of modelling any prototype design. This software helped to discover the possible critical points on the constructions that could be affected by vibrations. The authors’ future research will lead to modelling of an aerodynamic cover for the test rig and furthermore to developing suitable conditions for measurement of selected variables.
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