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Abstract
Block chain has numerous benefits such as decentralization, persistency, anonymity, and auditability. Although a number of studies focus on using the block chain technology in various application aspects, there is not a specific previous study focus on the block chain technology in both technological context and business organizations through the supply chain flows. Therefore, this paper emphasizes how using the technological theories and block chain technologies through the supply chain will affect it as a whole; in addition to such adoption is very rare to be represented especially in developing countries as this part is fulfilled by our paper research. A number of 427 respondents were included in the questionnaire analysis which were collected from employees of supply chain and logistics companies. After conducting regression analysis and SEM, it was observed that reducing complexity and lowering supply chain investment costs associated with traditional supply chain transactions were some of the key drivers for block chain adoption, as well as a desire to benefit from enhanced compatibility and regulatory support. However, achieving security is considered as the main and most important driver.

Introduction
Supply chain management has become an important aspect for the increasing demand of logistics companies after having that dynamic environment and globalization. New technologies can overcome the challenges imposed due to such globalization and increasing demand of logistics services. Block chain is one of the trending technologies nowadays that could heavily help overcoming the challenges that companies are facing, meanwhile, block chain adoption is not an easy issue specially in the developing countries. In addition, few researches had discussed block chain adoption especially from the quantitative vision of the technology rather than the qualitative opinion. Therefore, this research comes to fill such gap in the literature and study block chain adoption and the factors affecting it. This research is divided into several sections, where the first one is represented in the current introduction for the research. The second section presents a review of the previous studies related to block chain adoption and the factors that could affect it. The third section presents the research methodology for the current research, while the fourth section displays the results and findings for the empirical study. Finally, a conclusion is derived for this research in the fifth section.

Literature Review
Block chain in its simplest form is a shared digital ledger which allows users in a peer-to-peer network to verify and store records. Block chain represents a new way to access and trust data communicated over the internet. Block chain transactions can include the exchange of data such as personal identification records, and assets such as ‘tokens’ and digital currency. Instead of keeping data centralized in a traditional ledger, these new digital systems use independent computers, often referred to as ‘nodes’, to record, synchronize and share individual transactions in their respective electronic ledgers.

The general idea behind supply chain management (SCM) is to manage the flow of goods, services, and information in an effective way in order to achieve high performance and decrease risks (Tan, 2001). There are billions of products being manufactured every day globally, through complex supply chains.
that extend to all parts of the world. However, there is very little knowledge of how, when and where these products were originated, manufactured, and used through their life cycle. Day by day, supply chains are getting increasingly more complex, more extended, and more global. In general, the development and implementation of block chain solutions in supply chains are still at an early stage. Thus, there are many opportunities for companies in the future when the technology is further developed (Novinsky & Kozma, 2017).

Moreover, a theoretical insight is required to better understand the underlying motivators and barriers that will lead companies, or discourage them, from adopting block chain technologies for supply chain traceability. The development and use of Block chain technology may in time constitute the biggest change to this date in how one securely stores and share data. Therefore, adapting block chain technologies creates significant implications for corporations looking to meet the demands of the future. For instance, adopting a new way of storing your data requires a different skillset than what is already present in many companies, in terms of technological expertise (Alexandre Arnolado, 2018).

All in all, the results in this paper may give an indication as to whether developing countries corporations have adopted, or intend to adopt Block chain technology, and if so, what the most important drivers for adoption are. For those countries corporations it may be of importance to look at what factors affect the adoption of a new and disruptive technology, and as a result take action (Alexandre Arnolado, 2018). Therefore, this paper will commiserates how using the new theories and block chain technologies through the supply chain will effect it as a whole; in addition to Such adaptation very rare to be represented especially in developing countries as this part will be full filled by our paper research.

Relation between T-O-E Model and Block Chain Adoption

Uncertainty exists amongst IT leaders whether block chain might be an interesting technology to adopt for their organization. There are teams in large organizations, like banks and technology consultancy firms, devoted to discovering the potentials with this technology. Startups are also experimenting with the technology to find out what its use cases are. Hence the organization’s mangers decided to have access to this knowledge, select whether they should start innovating with block chain technology or not.

In order to explain technology adoption, two models for the adoption of technological innovation are combined: The Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework (DePietro, Wiarda & Fleischer, 1990) and the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory (Rogers, 1995). Where both these models have been used extensively to predict and explain adoption of several old other technologies, explore how to innovate but none of them try to choose for specific bossiness context to adopt Block chain technology yet.

Accordingly, this paper aims at filling this gap in the literature by making the first steps to defining a model tailored to the adoption of block chain technology. Moreover, in addition, this model adaptation can be used by bossiness organization mangers in various industries to review the arguments in favour and against the adoption of block chain technology in their organization. Therefore, this paper goal is to identify relevant theories for (TOE) Technology Organization Environment decide the significant importance of adopting block chain technology within their organization (Anders Tveita, 2018).

In view of that, the following new theories for the (TOE) model of block chain adoption were identified through iteratively coding data According to L’yan, 2017 as block chain experts:

**Regulatory Support: Compliance** refers to the level of conformance to the rules and regulations, in this case prescribed by the government.

**Security: referring to high level of secure data that transmit through the entire chain.** This reflects that such adaption will help and improve the business organizations performance.

For that reason, regulatory support and security considers to be very vital factors in adapting Block chain technologies. Promoting new business direction and visions will create massive changes in business ecosystems.

**Block chain Adoption and Supply Chain Management**

Supply chain is considered as one of the industries where block chain has the biggest potential to disrupt. Several Sectors use block chain in supply chain management keeps increasing as the industry
increasingly gains more awareness of the technology. Amongst other things, block chain can be used to improve contract management, improve supply chain and security and create product attribution (Gammelgaard, 2019).

Block chain’s relative benefit in supply chains through the way supply chains are managed is arguably ineffective when it comes to facilitating information sharing and coordinating the operations among involved entities (Dobrovnik, 2016). The time that must be devoted to solving a problem or untangling a specific communicative discrepancy will likely increase as the network grows.

Therefore, the main benefits of block chain in supply chain management can be classified into two major categories being either first, operational efficiencies, or second improving trust and security (Alexandre Arnolado, 2018). A block chain is not necessarily the right tool for all supply chains as the applicability depends on the level of complexity of the supply chain and the value created from increased visibility over the supply chain (Dobrovnik, 2016). While a block chain could potentially solve a large amount of supply chain challenges, one must evaluate whether the effects of block chain through adapting its technologies with regard to supply chain security.

Hence, it is important for managers to thoroughly understand their supply chain structure before considering implementing a block chain technologies solution. According to previous studies in line behind implementing a block chain can provide significant improvements and benefits to their supply chain (Gammelgaard, 2019). Highlighting on adapting such technology is not that easy, based on the several supply chain operations and processes a main challenge raise up which is the complexity referring to uncertainty that the block chain technology creates about the uses of the technology for the organization. One can reason that this is a form of technology complexity, because uncertainty leads to a lack of understanding, which leads to a more complex perception of the block chain technology (Anders Tveita, 2018).

Therefore, this paper will empathizes how using the new theories and block chain technologies through the supply chain will effect it as a whole; in addition to such adaptation is very rare to be represented especially in developing countries as this part will be full filled by our paper research. Reducing complexity and lowering supply chain investment costs associated with traditional supply chain transactions were some of the key drivers for block chain adoption, as well as a desire to benefit from enhanced security, efficiency and transparency.

Research Methodology

In order to test the research hypotheses that under this study, the research methodology adopted is based on several issues as illustrated below:

Unit of Analysis: It is the step of gathering of the data collected for the purpose of the data analysis process. It is represented in employees of supply chain and logistics companies.

Population and Sample: The target population for this research is considered as the total number of employees of supply chain and logistics companies. Since obtaining data about all members of a population is not available and very difficult (Fowler, 2013), the sampling frame for this research could not be identified and accordingly a probability sampling is not obtained. Therefore, a convenient sampling technique was used as respondents were selected from supply chain and logistics companies who accepted to respond to the questionnaire. A total number of 427 were considered in the study after excluding questionnaire with missing responses. An almost equal number of respondents were collected from each company.

Data Collection: The data collection process is handled through the development of a questionnaire that allows for the measurement of how using the technological theories and block chain technologies through the supply chain will affect it as a whole. The adoption of this data collection method was due to the need to measure the focal constructs of the model, as well as the extensive use of survey methodology in previous studies. The questionnaire is shown in the Table 1, where a total number of 18 statements were defined for the research variables. The questionnaire was adopted from the studies of Gutierrez et al. (2015), Amini (2014), and Wangui (2018).

Research Framework and Hypotheses: The proposed framework was introduced in Figure 1, where it could be observed that Security, Regulatory Support, Competitive Pressure, Compatibility, and
Complexity were considered as the independent variables, while, Adoption of Block chain is considered as the dependent variable.

Accordingly, the research hypotheses could be formulated as follows:

$H_1$: There is a significant impact of Complexity on Adoption of Block chain

$H_2$: There is a significant impact of Compatibility on Adoption of Block chain

$H_3$: There is a significant impact of Competitive Pressure on Adoption of Block chain

$H_4$: There is a significant impact of Security on Adoption of Block chain

$H_5$: There is a significant impact of Regulatory Support on Adoption of Block chain

Research Variables Measurement: the research variables are represented in Table 1 with their measurement scale, where the dependent variable is the Adoption of Block chain. Also, the independent variables are Security, Regulatory Support, Competitive Pressure, Compatibility, and Complexity.

Table 1: Research Variables Measurement Scale

| Research Variables         | Measurement Scale                                                                 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Complexity (Gutierrez et al., 2015) | Block chain services are easy to integrate with existing processes              |
|                            | Confidence levels in block chain influence adoption decision                     |
|                            | Block chain is easy to use and manageable                                      |
| Compatibility (Amini, 2014) | The use of block chain fits the work style of the company                       |
|                            | The use of block chain is fully compatible with current business operations     |
|                            | The use of block chain will be compatible with existing hardware and software in the company |
| Competitive pressure (Amini, 2014) | Firms think that block chain has an influence on competition in their industry |
|                            | Some of our competitors have already started using block chain                   |
|                            | Block chain would allow generation of higher profits                            |
| Security (Amini, 2014)     | Degree of company’s concern with data security on the block chain               |
|                            | Degree of concern for customers with data security in block chain               |
|                            | Degree of concern about privacy in block chain                                   |
| Regulatory Support (Amini, 2014) | The laws that exist nowadays are sufficient to protect the use of block chain |
|                            | The regulations that exist nowadays are sufficient to protect the use of block chain |
|                            | There is legal protection in the use of block chain                             |
| Adoption of Block chain (Wangui, 2018) | To what extent are you familiar with block chain adoptions in supply chain management? |
|                            | To what extent have you considered block chain applications in supply chain management in your organization? |
|                            | To what extent are you likely to adopt block chain applications in supply chain management within the next 2 years? |
The following section will investigate the research hypotheses proposed above using correlation analysis and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Thus, both; SPSS and AMOS statistical packages – versions 24.

**Results and Findings**

**Data Testing**

Table 1 shows the Validity and Reliability analysis. It could be observed that the values of KMO, AVE, Cronbach’s Alpha, and Factor Loading are within the acceptance level.

**Table 2: Validity and Reliability Analysis**

| Variables          | KMO  | AVE          | Cronbach’s Alpha | Items | Factor Loading |
|--------------------|------|--------------|------------------|-------|----------------|
| Security           | .736 | 81.499%      | .885             | S1    | .775           |
|                    |      |              |                  | S2    | .821           |
|                    |      |              |                  | S3    | .849           |
| Regulatory Support | .713 | 73.637%      | .820             | RS1   | .695           |
|                    |      |              |                  | RS2   | .749           |
|                    |      |              |                  | RS3   | .765           |
| Competitive Pressure| .717 | 81.077%      | .883             | CP1   | .763           |
|                    |      |              |                  | CP2   | .867           |
|                    |      |              |                  | CP3   | .803           |
| Compatibility      | .710 | 73.024%      | .815             | Cm1   | .770           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Cm2   | .709           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Cm3   | .712           |
| Complexity         | .734 | 78.028%      | .859             | Cx1   | .781           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Cx2   | .797           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Cx3   | .763           |
| Adoption           | .727 | 79.678%      | .872             | Ad1   | .743           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Ad2   | .833           |
|                    |      |              |                  | Ad3   | .814           |

Table 2 shows the discriminant validity of the research variables, where it could be observed that all square root values of AVE are greater than the correlations between the corresponding construct and other constructs. This means that the research variables have adequate discriminant validity.

**Table 3: Discriminant Validity of the Research Variables**

|                | 1.              | 2.              | 3.              | 4.              | 5.              | 6.              |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Security       | (0.903)         |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Regulatory     | .173” (0.858)   | .000            |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Competitive    | .469” .381” (0.900) | .000         | .427            | .427            |                 |                 |
| Compatibility  | .184” .245” .336” (0.855) | .000         | .000            | .427            | .427            | .427            |
| Complexity     | .371” .283” .534” .503” (0.883) | .000         | .000            | .000            | .000            | .000            |
| Adoption       | .501” .431” .543” .393” .443” (0.893) | .000         | .000            | .000            | .000            | .000            |

**Descriptive Analysis**

Table 3 shows the Mean and Standard Deviation for Research variables. It could be observed that the mean and the frequencies of most responses are in the agreement zone, as the mean values for the
research variables: Security, Regulatory Support, Competitive Pressure, Compatibility, Complexity, and Adoption are 3.8150, 3.8970, 3.8806, 4.0749, 3.9789, and 3.9766.

Table 4: Descriptive Analysis for the Research Variables

|         | N | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Frequency |
|---------|---|-------|----------------|-----------|
|         |   | 1     | 2              | 3         | 4        | 5        |
| Security| 427| 3.8150| .66499         | 0         | 0        | 141      | 224      | 62       |
| Regulatory Support | 427| 3.8970| .56806         | 0         | 0        | 93       | 285      | 49       |
| Competitive Pressure| 427| 3.8806| .63709         | 0         | 0        | 115      | 248      | 64       |
| Compatibility| 427| 4.0749| .61209         | 0         | 0        | 65       | 265      | 97       |
| Complexity| 427| 3.9789| .71669         | 0         | 0        | 114      | 208      | 105      |
| Adoption| 427| 3.9766| .67094         | 0         | 0        | 101      | 235      | 91       |

Testing the Research Hypotheses

Table 4 shows the regression model fitted for the effect of Independent Variables, Security, Regulatory Support, Competitive Pressure, Compatibility, and Complexity on Adoption. It could be noted that there is a significant positive effect of the Independent Variables on Adoption as the regression coefficients are 0.297, 0.271, 0.209, 0.201, and 0.101 respectively and P-values are less than 0.000. Moreover, the R square is 0.473 which means 47.3% of the variation of the Adoption can be explained by the independent variables together. The research variables importance with respect to Adoption could be ranked as follows: firstly, Security with a Standardized Coefficients of 0.294, secondly, Regulatory Support with a Standardized Coefficients of 0.229, thirdly, Competitive Pressure with a Standardized Coefficients of 0.198, fourthly, Compatibility with a Standardized Coefficients of 0.183, fifthly, Complexity with a Standardized Coefficients of 0.108.

Table 5: Regression Model of Independent Variables on Adoption

| Model            | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients | t     | Sig. | R Square |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------|------|----------|
| (Constant)       | -241                       | .227                      | -1.060| .290 |          |
| Security         | .297                       | .041                      | .294  | 7.254| .000     |
| Regulatory Support | .271                     | .046                      | .229  | 5.911| .000     |
| Competitive Pressure | .209                 | .049                      | .198  | 4.221| .000     |
| Compatibility    | .201                       | .042                      | .183  | 4.789| .000     |
| Complexity       | .101                       | .040                      | .108  | 2.500| .013     |

Adoption

Table 5 shows the SEM analysis of the effect of Independent Variables, Security, Regulatory Support, Competitive Pressure, Compatibility, and Complexity on Adoption. It could be observed that there is a significant positive effect of the Independent Variables on Adoption as the regression Estimates are 0.204, 0.249, 0.189, 0.198, and 0.130 respectively and P-values are less than 0.000. Moreover, the R square is 0.523 which means 52.3% of the variation of the Adoption can be explained by the independent variables together.

Table 6: SEM Analysis of the Effect of Independent Variables on Adoption

|         | Estimate | P | R²  |
|---------|----------|---|-----|
| Adoption| Security | 0.204 | *** |
| Adoption| Regulatory Support | 0.249 | *** |
| Adoption| Competitive Pressure | 0.189 | *** |
| Adoption| Compatibility | 0.198 | *** |
| Adoption| Complexity | 0.130 | .006 |

The model fit indices are presented in Table 8 which could be observed that are all within their acceptable levels. The SEM model conducted for the effect of Independent Variables on Adoption is illustrated in Figure 1.
Table 7: The Model Fit Indices

| CMIN/DF | RMSEA | CFI  | GFI  | AGFI  |
|---------|-------|------|------|-------|
| 1.073   | .013  | 0.998| 0.969| 0.956 |

Figure 2: SEM Analysis of the Effect of Independent Variables on Adoption

Conclusion

This research provides an insight to understand the significant dimensions of block chain adoption, where the dimensions of security, regulatory support, competitive pressure, compatibility and complexity are found to have a significant effect on block chain adoption. It was observed that Security comes as the most important factor for block chain adoption, as the corresponding standardized coefficient is the highest (0.294). The second important factor for block chain adoption is regulatory support, with a standardized coefficient of 0.229. The third one is the competitive pressure, with a standardized coefficient of 0.198.

It should also be noticed that all the dimensions under study were found to have a significant effect on block chain adoption, indicating that all the research variables are considered important for the block chain adoption.
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