Title
Epigenetic regulation of HIV-1 latency by cytosine methylation.

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0jd4j0vp

Journal
PLoS pathogens, 5(6)

ISSN
1553-7374

Authors
Kauder, Steven E
Bosque, Alberto
Lindqvist, Annica
et al.

Publication Date
2009-06-26

DOI
10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495

Peer reviewed
Epigenetic Regulation of HIV-1 Latency by Cytosine Methylation

Steven E. Kauder1,2, Alberto Bosque3, Annica Lindqvist4, Vicente Planelles3, Eric Verdin1,2*

1 Gladstone Institute of Virology and Immunology, San Francisco, California, United States of America, 2 Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America, 3 Department of Pathology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States of America, 4 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Division of Clinical Microbiology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) persists in a latent state within resting CD4+ T cells of infected persons treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). This reservoir must be eliminated for the clearance of infection. Using a cDNA library screen, we have identified methyl-CpG binding domain protein 2 (MBD2) as a regulator of HIV-1 latency. Two CpG islands flank the HIV-1 transcription start site and are methylated in latently infected Jurkat cells and primary CD4+ T cells. MBD2 and histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2) are found at one of these CpG islands during latency. Inhibition of cytosine methylation with 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (aza-CdR) abrogates recruitment of MBD2 and HDAC2. Furthermore, aza-CdR potently synergizes with the NF-κB activators prostratin or TNF-α to reactivate latent HIV-1. These observations confirm that cytosine methylation and MBD2 are epigenetic regulators of HIV-1 latency. Clearance of HIV-1 from infected persons may be enhanced by inclusion of DNA methylation inhibitors, such as aza-CdR, and NF-κB activators into current antiviral therapies.

Introduction

In HIV-infected individuals, highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) dramatically reduces HIV-1 plasma titers [1–3] and decreases morbidity and mortality [4]. However, a reservoir of latent virus persists within resting CD4+ T cells [5–8] and contributes to the reemergence of viremia upon discontinuation of HAART [9–11]. Reactivation of latent HIV-1, thus rendering it susceptible to HAART, is a critical component of any strategy for HIV-1 clearance [12–14]. Transcriptional repression is an important component of HIV-1 latency, necessitating identification of cellular proteins that repress HIV-1 transcription and the testing of small molecules that inhibit these cellular proteins.

In resting CD4+ T cells, HIV-1 is maintained in a latent state by multiple factors that inhibit virus gene expression after integration into cellular DNA. In particular, several studies have highlighted the critical role of chromatin structure at the site of provirus integration in repressing provirus transcription. Sequence-specific transcription factors can recruit histone deacetylases (HDACs) and other chromatin-modifying enzymes to the provirus promoter, resulting in transcriptional repression and virus latency [15–19]. Interestingly, the mechanism by which virus escapes silencing by these sequence-specific factors in a productive infection is unknown. Additionally, resting CD4+ T cells are deficient in transcription factors essential for HIV-1 transcription [20], and latent virus can be reactivated by stimulation of T cell pathways that activate these factors [5–8]. The provirus integration site can also be a determinant of latency, either by making the provirus susceptible to transcriptional interference from cellular genes [21–24] or by suppressing virus transcription through the formation of heterochromatin [25]. Post-transcriptional mechanisms affecting the export [26] or translation [27] of HIV-1 mRNAs constitute other blocks to HIV-1 gene expression during latency.

The resting state of CD4+ T cells and the activity of HDACs are two of the best-understood characteristics of latency, but stimulation of resting CD4+ T cells or inhibition of HDACs in HIV-infected patients do not appreciably decrease the latent reservoir when combined with HAART [28–32].

The study of latently infected cells is hampered by their rarity in HIV-infected individuals and the lack of a marker for latent infection. For these reasons, we developed the J-Lat cell lines as an in vitro model of HIV-1 latency [33]. Similar to latently infected CD4+ T cells, the J-Lat cells harbor a full-length HIV-1 genome that is transcriptionally competent, is integrated within actively transcribed cellular genes, and is inhibited at the transcriptional level. Additionally, the latent provirus integrated in the J-Lat cell lines encodes the GFP gene, providing a fluorescent marker of HIV-1 transcriptional activity.

To identify novel mechanisms of HIV-1 latency, we have conducted a cDNA screen in J-Lat cells for genes that reactivate latent HIV-1. This screen identified a portion of methyl-CpG binding domain protein 2 (MBD2), a transcriptional repressor that binds methylated DNA. We found that the HIV-1 promoter is hypermethylated in J-Lat cell lines and in primary CD4+ T cells at two CpG islands surrounding the HIV-1 transcriptional start site.
Most importantly, we found that a small molecule inhibitor of DNA methylation, 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (aza-CdR), synergizes with NF-κB activators to promote a dramatic increase in virus gene expression. Aza-CdR is approved for use in humans to treat myelodysplastic syndrome [34] and may promote the reactivation of latent HIV-1 and the clearance of latently-infected cells in combination with HAART in HIV-infected patients.

Results

A genetic screen to identify novel regulators of HIV-1 latency

The J-Lat cells are clonal cell lines isolated after infection of Jurkat cells with a HIV-1 virus encoding GFP. Latently infected cells were selected that were GFP-negative at the basal state but became GFP-positive after treatment with TNF-α. Treatment of each cell line with TNF-α reactivated latent HIV-1 to a different extent, depending on the cell line (Figure 1A). To identify cellular genes that control HIV-1 latency in this system, a complementary DNA (cDNA) library was made from the Jurkat T cell line and cloned into a plasmid encoding the pBMN-CSI-T retrovirus vector, which expresses tomato fluorescent protein as a marker (Figure 1B).

To confirm that this vector mediates expression of cloned cDNAs at a level sufficient for reactivation of latent HIV-1, a positive control virus was produced that encodes NF-κB RelA, which reactivates latent HIV-1 in J-Lat cells [18]. Infection of J-Lat cell line 6.3 with the RelA-encoding virus caused a 3.5-fold increase in HIV-1 gene expression compared to a control virus that lacks an insert (Figure 1C).

The cDNA library was packaged into retroviral particles and introduced into the J-Lat 6.3 cell line via infection (Table 1). GFP-positive cells, indicative of reactivated latent HIV-1, were isolated by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). cDNA library inserts were amplified from genomic DNA obtained from these cells by
Table 1. cDNA screening.

| Library complexity | 1,000,000 independent clones |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Cells analyzed     | 15,000,000                   |
| Cells receiving cDNA insert (live gate)a | 2,100,000 (14%) |
| Cells with reactivated HIV-1 (live gate)b | 58,235 |
| Cells with cDNA insert and reactivated HIV-1c | 11,122 (19%) |
| cDNA clonesd      | 11,122                       |

aTomato-positive cells in live gate. Percentage is consistent with single-hit infection kinetics.
bGFP-positive cells in live gate. Greater number of tomato-positive cells than in live gate, indicating that GFP selection enriches for cDNAs that reactivate latent HIV-1.
cClones that potentially reactivate latent HIV-1.
dClones that contained cDNA inserts that increased HIV-1 transcription.

MDBD2 regulates HIV-1 latency and repression of methylated DNA

One clone identified in this screen, MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947}, corresponded to nucleotides 1345–1947 of the mRNA encoding the MBD2 transcriptional repressor (Figure S2). Importantly, the first ATG within this clone is in frame with the authentic MBD2 initiation codon, indicating a truncated protein corresponding to amino acids 388 to 411 of full-length MBD2 could be translated.

MBD2 is a member of the methyl-CpG binding domain family of proteins, which possess methyl-CpG binding domains (MBDs). Similar to other members of this family, MBD2 specifically binds methylated DNA and mediates transcriptional repression by recruitment of the nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylase (NuRD) complex that includes chromatin remodeling and HDAC activities [35–37].

To confirm that MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} reactivates latent HIV-1, J-Lat cells were transfected with an expression vector for this polypeptide. Transfection of J-Lat 6.3 with MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} induced a 5-fold greater reactivation of latent HIV-1 in comparison to an empty vector control (Figure 2A). Since MBD2 inhibits transcription of methylated DNA [35], the identification of a C-terminal fragment of MBD2 in our screen indicated that this fragment inhibits endogenous MBD2 function in a dominant-negative manner. Furthermore, identification of this fragment implicated full-length, endogenous MBD2 in the repression of HIV-1 transcription during latency. To establish the role of endogenous MBD2 in HIV-1 latency, J-Lat 6.3 was transfected with a pool of siRNAs corresponding to this factor. This resulted in an 80 percent reduction in the level of MBD2 mRNA compared to cells transfected with a non-targeting control siRNA pool (Figure 2B, left panel). Depletion of MBD2 resulted in a 300 percent increase in HIV-1 mRNA compared to those transfected with the control siRNA pool (Figure 2B, right panel). These data demonstrate that MBD2 participates in the repression of HIV-1 transcription during latency.

Since MBD2 inhibits transcription of methylated DNA [35], we believed the C-terminal MBD2 fragment identified in our screen might reactivate latent virus by inhibiting endogenous MBD2 function. To test MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} for this activity, we examined its effect on transcription of methylated DNA in a heterologous system. 293T cells were cotransfected with an expression vector for MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} and with another plasmid encoding GFP under the control of the CMV promoter (pEGFP-N1). This latter plasmid was either methylated in vivo (mEGFP) or left unmethylated (GFP). Plasmid methylation was confirmed by resistance to Hpa II cleavage (Figure S1B) and reduced GFP expression in transfected 293T cells (Figure 2C). Importantly, cotransfection of the MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} plasmid with methylated pEGFP-N1 increased the proportion of GFP-positive cells from 58 to 72 percent (Figure 2D, left panel). Furthermore, derepression by MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} was preferential for methylated DNA, and a similar effect was not observed with non-methylated pEGFP-N1 (Figure 2D, right panel). These results implicate MBD2 and cytosine methylation in the regulation of HIV-1 latency in the J-Lat system.

Cytosine methylation recruits transcriptional repressors to the HIV-1 promoter

MBD2 mediates transcriptional repression by acting as a bridge between hypermethylated CpG islands and chromatin modifying enzymes, including HDACs [42]. To test whether MBD2 is recruited to the HIV-1 provirus \textit{in vivo}, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. Chromatin from J-Lat cells was incubated with MBD2 antisera and the immunoprecipitated material analyzed by quantitative PCR for presence of HIV-1 provirus. We observed recruitment of MBD2 to CpG island 2 of the HIV-1 genome, but observed no recruitment to CpG island 1 in comparison to a negative control (Figure 4B, first panel). Treatment of J-Lat 6.3 with aza-CdR, an inhibitor of DNA methylation, caused up to a 50 percent decrease in methylation, depending on the CpG analyzed (Figure 4A and Figure S4E), demonstrating that HIV-1 DNA methylation is reversible. It should be noted that the data for PBS-treated J-Lat 6.3 in Figures 3B and 4A are from the same experiment. Importantly, MBD2 recruitment to CpG island 2 was eliminated when cytosine methylation was inhibited by treatment of the cells with aza-CdR (Figure 4B, second panel). Next, we tested for the presence of HDAC2, an MBD2 cofactor, at CpG island 2 during latency. Comparable to MBD2, HDAC2 was recruited to CpG island 2 during latency and was lost after treatment with aza-CdR.
Figure 2. MBD2 regulates HIV-1 latency and transcriptional repression. (A) Latent HIV-1 reactivation in J-Lat 6.3 cells after transfection with the indicated expression plasmids and flow cytometry. Percent GFP-positive cells after gating for tomato-positive cells is shown. Experiment was performed in triplicate and error bars represent standard deviation. (B) Transcriptional activation of latent HIV-1 in J-Lat 6.3 after transfection with a siRNA that targets MBD2. Levels of MBD2 (left panel) or HIV-1 (right panel) mRNA were determined by reverse transcription and quantitative PCR and normalized to those after transfection with a non-targeting control siRNA. Data are representative of three different experiments. Error bars indicate standard deviation of qPCR results. (C) Flow cytometry of GFP expression in 293T cells that were mock transfected, transfected with methylated pEGFP-N1 (meGFP), or with unmethylated pEGFP-N1 (GFP). Gate indicates GFP-positive cells. (D) Flow cytometry of GFP expression in 293T cells cotransfected with methylated pEGFP-N1 (left panel) or unmethylated pEGFP-N1 (right panel) and an expression vector marked by the tomato fluorescent protein. Tomato-positive cells were gated to measure GFP expression in populations that received a control vector lacking an insert or one that encodes MBD2 (left panel). Gates indicate GFP-positive cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.g002

Synergistic reactivation of latent HIV-1 by aza-CdR and NF-κB activators

The finding that methylation of CpG islands flanking the HIV-1 transcription start site can be reversed with aza-CdR suggests that aza-CdR could reactivate latent HIV-1. Aza-CdR alone, however, showed little effect in terms of mean fluorescence intensity or the proportion of GFP-positive cells (Figures 4C and 4D). As previously observed, treatment with TNF-α reactivated latent HIV-1 in only a fraction of the cell population ranging from 16 to 41 percent depending on the J-Lat cell line studied (Figure 1A). In contrast, dual treatment of latently infected J-Lat clonal cell lines (lines 6.3, 8.4, 9.2 and 15.4) with both aza-CdR and TNF-α induced a dramatic increase in HIV-1 gene expression (Figures 4C and 4D). Powerful synergy was observed when aza-CdR was used at concentrations as low as 0.5 μM in combination with TNF-α or the NF-κB activator prostratin (Figure 4E). The combination of aza-CdR and TNF-α increased HIV-1 expression 196-, 101-, 76-, and 47-fold over PBS-treated control cells (Figure 4D, upper panel and Table S1). Each of these increases was nearly 20-fold greater than the additive effect of the two reagents (Table S1). Synergistic activation of transcription was specific for the HIV-1 promoter.

Analysis of J-Lat 6.3 by RT-PCR found that aza-CdR and TNF-α synergistically activated HIV-1 transcription (Figure S6A, left panel) but had only an additive effect on transcription of IkB-α, another NF-κB-regulated gene (Figure S6A, right panel). The same result was observed for J-Lat 8.4. Aza-CdR and TNF-α synergistically activated HIV-1 transcription (Figure S6B, left panel) but had only an additive effect on transcription of IkB-α (Figure S6B, right panel). To determine if aza-CdR acts directly on HIV-1 transcription, J-Lat cells were treated with cycloheximide to inhibit expression of other factors. Cycloheximide activity was confirmed by the inhibition of GFP expression after treatment of J-Lat cells with TNF-α (Figure S6C). Under these conditions, aza-CdR still induced HIV-1 transcription (Figure S6D), indicating that aza-CdR acts directly upon the HIV-1 provirus. Synergistic reactivation of latent virus was not observed when aza-CdR was combined with the HDAC inhibitor valproic acid (VPA). Weak
synergistic reactivation was observed when aza-CdR was combined with the HDAC inhibitor suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), with an effect about two-fold greater than the additive effect of the drugs (Figure S7).

We show in four different J-Lat cell lines that near-complete reactivation of latent HIV-1 required treatment with both an NF-κB activator and an inhibitor of DNA methylation (Figure 4D, lower panel). J-Lat A2 is another clone that harbors a latent HIV-derived vector encoding only the viral promoter and Tat. In contrast to the other cell lines analyzed here, latent virus in J-Lat A2 did not require aza-CdR for full reactivation. TNF-α alone reactivated the majority of latent virus in J-Lat A2 (Figures 4D, lower panel, and 4F) [33]. These data show that treatment with a methylation inhibitor is necessary for full reactivation of some, but not all, J-Lat cell lines.

Cytosine methylation contributes to HIV-1 latency in a polyclonal cell population

To confirm that cytosine methylation is regularly associated with HIV-1 latency, a polyclonal population of latently infected Jurkat T cells was generated by infection with virus produced from the R7/E2/GFP clone. All HIV-1 proteins are expressed from this full length HIV-1 molecular clone, except Nef, which is replaced with GFP, and Env, which is suppressed by a frameshift mutation. FACS was used to separate latently infected/uninfected GFP-negative cells from productively infected GFP-positive cells (Figure 5A). To compare the infection rate of this population to that of the J-Lat cells, quantitative PCR for HIV R7/E2/GFP sequence was performed on genomic DNA from the polyclonal population 14 and 72 days post infection. The quantity of HIV-1 DNA was normalized to cellular DNA using PCR primers that...
anneal upstream of the β-actin gene. The level of HIV DNA in these cells ranged from 9- to 14-fold less than that detected in J-Lat cells, indicating a lower rate of infection (Figure 5B). Bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping of HIV-1 DNA from the productive population found hypomethylation, with no detectable methylation at most CpGs. In direct contrast, methylcytosine mapping of the latent population found hypermethylation, with the majority of CpGs methylated more than 68 percent of the time (Figure 5D and Figure S4F). In sodium bisulfite-treated DNA, cytosine was converted to thymine in greater than 99 percent of all CpN dinucleotides (N = A, T, or C), confirming efficient bisulfite conversion of non-methylated cytosines (Figure S5B).

Reactivation of latent HIV-1 was also examined in this population. After approximately two months, the proportion of cells with active HIV-1 remained stable at 0.65% (Figure 5C). Cells were then treated with TNF-α, aza-CdR, or TNF-α plus aza-CdR. TNF-α reactivated latent HIV-1, with a 1.5-fold greater proportion of cells with active virus (Figure 5E). Importantly, latent HIV-1 was also reactivated by aza-CdR alone, with a two-fold greater proportion of cells with active virus (Figure 5D). These observations indicate that, after infection of Jurkat cells in vitro, a subset of latently infected cells exists that can be reactivated solely by inhibition of DNA methylation.

**HIV-1 latency is associated with cytosine methylation in primary cells**

The similarities of J-Lat cells to latently infected CD4+ T cells have established the utility of this experimental system for identifying and characterizing mechanisms of HIV-1 latency. However, because J-Lat cells divide autonomously and possess other aberrations associated with cellular transformation, cytosine methylation was analyzed in a recently developed primary cell model of latency [43]. In this system, naïve CD4+ T cells are purified from uninfected donors and activated under conditions that drive them to become memory cells with either a Th1, Th2, or non-polarized (NP) phenotype [44]. These differentiated cells are then infected with HIV-1 and viral expression is monitored. The phenotype of NP cells generated *ex vivo* (Figure S8) closely resembles that of central memory CD4+ T cells found *in vivo*, which persist for years in secondary lymphoid organs and can differentiate into effector memory CD4+ T cells [45]. A high rate of HIV-1 latency is observed in NP memory CD4+ T cells [43].

To determine if HIV-1 latency is associated with cytosine methylation in primary CD4+ T cells, bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping was performed on CD4+ T cells activated under NP, Th1, and Th2 polarizing conditions and infected with HIV-1. Cells were infected with virus produced from the DHIV virus clone [46], in which CpG island 2 is conserved. Five days post-infection, p24<sup>gag</sup> was detected in all three subsets (Figure 6A). At this early time point, the HIV-1 CpG island in the NP and Th1 populations was hypomethylated, with most CpGs methylated only 0 or 10 percent of the time, respectively (Figure 6D and Figure S4G). Significant methylation was detected in Th2 cells, with most CpGs methylated 33 percent of the time (Figure 6D and Figure S4G). Two weeks post-infection, NP cells had returned to a quiescent state and HIV-1 gene expression, as measured by intracellular p24<sup>gag</sup> expression, was low (Figure 6C, left panel). However, stimulation with antibodies against CD3 and CD28 dramatically increased HIV-1 gene expression, indicating a large population of latently infected cells (Figure 6C, right panel). Importantly, CpG island methylation in latently infected NP cells was greater than in productively infected NP cells, with the majority of CpGs methylated 67 percent of the time (Figure 6E, S3D, and S4G). In sodium bisulfite-treated DNA, cytosine was converted to thymine in greater than 98 percent of all CpN dinucleotides (N = A, T, or C), confirming efficient bisulfite conversion of non-methylated cytosines (Figure S5C). These data confirm that T cell quiescence is associated with methylation of HIV-1 CpG islands and latency in memory CD4+ T cells.

**Discussion**

Here, we describe a novel, phenotype-based screen to identify cellular proteins that control HIV-1 latency. This screen identified the transcriptional repressor MBD2 and led to the discovery that the latent HIV-1 provirus is hypermethylated in an *in vitro* model for HIV-1 latency and in primary lymphocytes latently infected with HIV-1. Based on these observations, we designed and tested a novel strategy for reactivation of latent HIV-1 using the synergistic activities of an inhibitor of cytosine methylation and activators of NF-κB signaling.

HIV-1 latency is likely to be a multifactorial process and a number of different mechanisms have been proposed to account for the establishment and the maintenance of the latent phenotype [13,14,20]. NF-κB signaling reactivates latent HIV [47–50], but data reported here and elsewhere [16,51,52] indicate that a significant proportion of latent HIV-1 remains silent when NF-κB is activated in the J-Lat clones or other cells. We show here that inhibiting provirus methylation leads to an almost complete reactivation of latent HIV-1 in the J-Lat cell lines when combined with activators of NF-κB. These data are consistent with the model that sequence-specific transcription factors and cytosine methylation cooperate to maintain HIV-1 latency. In the latent state, HDAC1 is recruited to the HIV-1 promoter by several sequencespecific factors including NF-κB p50 [18], CBF-1 [19], and Yin-Yang 1 [15]. Additionally, in microglial cells CTIP-2 has been shown to recruit HDAC1 to the HIV-1 promoter [53]. Our new observations demonstrate that MBD2 is also recruited to the latent HIV-1 promoter via the second CpG island (Figure 7A). We propose that MBD2 silences transcription by recruitment of the
Figure 5. Cytosine methylation maintains HIV-1 latency in polyclonal Jurkat T cells. (A) Flow cytometry of Jurkat T cells infected with HIV-1 R7/E^2/2/GFP clone. Gates indicate GFP-positive (productively infected) and GFP-negative (latently infected and uninfected) cells. (B) Quantitative PCR to measure HIV-1 DNA in infected Jurkat cells. For polyclonal cell populations, days after infection are indicated. For J-Lat clones, cell line is indicated. Levels of HIV-1 DNA were normalized to cellular DNA. Y-axis indicates fold over uninfected Jurkat negative control. Experiment was performed in triplicate and error bars indicate standard deviation. (C) HIV-1 expression over time in a polyclonal population of latently infected and uninfected Jurkat T cells. GFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry. The time point at which cells were treated with aza-CdR plus TNF-α is indicated on the x-axis. (D) Bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping of HIV-1 CpG islands in polyclonal Jurkat T cells that are latently infected (GFP-negative, upper panel) or productively infected (GFP-positive, lower panel). Asterisks indicate cytosines with a statistically significant greater level of methylation in the GFP-negative population. (E) Latent HIV-1 reactivation in a polyclonal population of latently infected and uninfected Jurkat T cells treated with aza-CdR, TNF-α, or aza-CdR plus TNF-α. HIV-1 expression was measured by flow cytometry for GFP, and the percentage of cells that express GFP is displayed. Error bars indicate standard deviation of three experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.g005
Figure 6. Cytosine methylation is associated with HIV-1 latency in primary CD4+ T cells. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of HIV-1 gene expression in CD4+ T cells productively infected under non-polarizing, Th1, or Th2 conditions. HIV-1 was detected by intracellular staining for p24<sup>gag</sup>. Gates indicate gag-positive cells, and the percentage of positive cells is indicated. (B,C) Flow cytometric analysis of HIV-1 gene expression in mock infected or latently infected CD4+ T cells under non-polarizing conditions, either at the basal state or after reactivation with antibodies against CD3 and CD28. HIV-1 was detected by intracellular staining for p24<sup>gag</sup>. Gates indicate gag-positive cells, and the percentage of gag-positive cells is indicated. (D) Bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping of HIV-1 CpG island 2 in actively infected CD4+ T cells infected under non-polarizing, Th1, or Th2 conditions. (E) Bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping of HIV-1 CpG island 2 in latently infected CD4+ T cells stimulated under non-polarizing conditions.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.g006
This is supported by our finding that another component of NuRD, HDAC2, is also recruited to hypermethylated CpG island 2 during latency. NF-κB activation relieves one component of the transcriptional block, causing decreased CBF-1 [19] and NF-κB p50 homodimer recruitment to the HIV-1 promoter, as well as increased binding of the NF-κB RelA activator [18] (Figure 7B). Inhibition of cytosine methylation relieves another component of the transcriptional block, causing decreased MBD2 and HDAC2 recruitment to HIV-1 CpG island 2 (Figure 7C). The combination of NF-κB activation and methylation inhibitors eliminates both transcriptional blocks, causing a synergistic increase in HIV-1 transcription and reactivating virus in the majority of cells (Figure 7D).

In polyclonal Jurkat cells, the magnitude of HIV-1 reactivation appeared to be smaller than for the J-Lat clones. This was not, however, because TNF-α or aza-CdR were ineffective, but because of the small proportion of latently infected cells in this population compared to the J-Lat cells, each of which harbor a provirus. To ensure no more than one provirus per cell, they were infected at a low multiplicity that left approximately 90 percent of the cells uninfected. Quantitative PCR for HIV DNA demonstrated the small proportion of infected cells in this population compared to the J-Lat clones. Virus reactivation by TNF-α and aza-CdR is highly significant, but is somewhat obscured by the large background of GFP-negative uninfected cells.

The role of epigenetic mechanisms in suppression of HIV-1 transcription during latency has not been fully addressed to date. Sequence-specific transcription factors contribute to latency by recruiting HDACs and other repressors to the virus promoter. These findings present a paradox, however, because latent virus can be of wild-type nucleotide sequence [33], and yet transcription is suppressed. Here, we present evidence that HIV-1 latency is also maintained at the epigenetic level by the methylation of provirus DNA and recruitment of MBD2. This protein brings transcriptional repressors to methylated DNA, and the MBD2\textsubscript{1345–1947} fragment isolated from the screen may reactivate latent HIV-1 by disrupting the interaction of MBD2 with an interaction partner. Importantly, after each round of DNA replication, cytosine methylation is faithfully reproduced in a process that is directed by previously methylated DNA [54]. Thus, identical DNA sequences can be either active or silenced depending on their methylation status. Our and previous findings suggest that both HDACs and cytosine methylation contribute to HIV-1 latency, in agreement with a growing body of evidence demonstrating cooperation between these two gene silencing mechanisms [55,56].

The rarity of latently infected cells and the lack of a marker for latent HIV-1 infection necessitate the use of in vitro model systems for detailed studies of this process. Transformed cells such as the Jurkat line may show aberrant DNA methylation patterns at specific loci [57], possibly complicating analyses of cytosine methylation and HIV-1 latency. However, when Jurkat cells are infected with HIV-1 the proportion of cells that become latently

from the HIV-1 promoter, resulting in decreased HDAC recruitment and partial reactivation of latent virus. Increased RelA (p65) recruitment has also been reported. Effects upon Yin-Yang 1 recruitment are unknown. (C) Treatment with aza-CdR decreases methylation of HIV-1 CpG islands, leading to a loss of MBD2 from the HIV-1 promoter. Latent virus is partially reactivated by removal of the methylation block. (D) Potent, synergistic reactivation of latent virus when NF-κB activation is combined with aza-CdR treatment. This occurs via loss of NF-κB-responsive transcriptional repressors and MBD2 from the HIV-1 promoter.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.g007

Figure 7. Molecular mechanisms of HIV-1 latency: Role of cytosine methylation. The first 821 nucleotides of the HIV-1 genome are shown, with genome position indicated relative to transcriptional start site. The virus promoter is comprised of the U3, R, and US regions. CpG island 2 is indicated by vertical lines, and filled circles on top of lines indicate methyl groups. Arrow indicates HIV-1 transcriptional start site. (A) Transcriptional repression during HIV-1 latency. Sequence-specific factors recruit HDAC1. CpG island 2 is methylated and bound by MBD2, which mediates transcriptional repression by recruitment of NuRD. (B) NF-κB activation triggers loss of p50 homodimers and CBF-1

NuRD complex or other factors. This is supported by our finding that another component of NuRD, HDAC2, is also recruited to hypermethylated CpG island 2 during latency. NF-κB activation relieves one component of the transcriptional block, causing decreased CBF-1 [19] and NF-κB p50 homodimer recruitment to the HIV-1 promoter, as well as increased binding of the NF-κB RelA activator [18] (Figure 7B). Inhibition of cytosine methylation relieves another component of the transcriptional block, causing decreased MBD2 and HDAC2 recruitment to HIV-1 CpG island 2 (Figure 7C). The combination of NF-κB activation and methylation inhibitors eliminates both transcriptional blocks, causing a synergistic increase in HIV-1 transcription and reactivating virus in the majority of cells (Figure 7D).
infected vs. productively infected is small, suggesting that transformation does not result in the indiscriminate methylation and repression of HIV-1. Furthermore, high-resolution analysis of cytosine methylation in primary and transformed cells has found less aberrant methylation of CpG island promoters in transformed cells than had been previously hypothesized based on candidate gene studies [58]. Importantly, we confirmed the association between HIV-1 latency and cytosine methylation in a primary cell model of HIV-1 latency.

The findings reported here, based upon a a full-length HIV-1 with wild type LTR and Tat sequences, add to previous studies that have used mutated forms of the HIV-1 promoter to describe a role for methylation of HIV-1 DNA in latency [59–61]. One report, however, has described latent HIV-1-derived vectors, or “minigenomes,” that lack all virus genes except for Tat. J-Lat clone A2 harbors exactly such a minigenome. Importantly, these latent minigenomes are not methylated [62] and are almost fully reactivated by TNF-α treatment, unlike the full-length genome [33]. Apparently, screens to isolate latently infected clones produced very different results when mini- instead of full-length genomes were used. For the minigenomes, removal of virus genes and repositioning of Tat out of its normal genomic context are likely to have altered transcriptional control. This alteration may have influenced the type of latently infected cell recovered from the screen. The screens that produced the J-Lat cells also selected for mechanisms that silence HIV-1 within several days after infection. Other screens for cells that silence HIV-1 at later time points have identified additional silencing mechanisms [19].

Our results indicate that cytosine methylation can be an important component of HIV-1 latency. In the case of the full-length J-Lat clones, a high degree of cytosine methylation is detected during latency. In the case of minigenomes such as J-Lat A2 or that characterized by Pion et al, the persistent lack of methylation may permit efficient reactivation by TNF-α alone. Pion et al also describe a lack of cytosine methylation in latently infected PBMCs, but the large proportion of productively infected cells in the analyzed population complicates this assay.

Novel approaches are required to reactivate latent HIV-1 in infected persons. Therapies that interfere with cytosine methylation are attractive candidates to reactivate suppressed virus and purge the latent HIV-1 reservoir. In uninfected human subjects, aza-CdR causes decreased CpG island methylation and reactivation of a silenced gene [63,64]. In HIV-infected individuals, a similar decrease in methylation should be attainable and could reactivate latent virus. Furthermore, mechanisms by which aza-CdR induces hypomethylation are well understood [65,66] and this pharmaceutical is approved for use in humans. Aza-CdR acts directly upon the HIV-1 provirus, because it reactivates HIV-1 transcription in the presence of cycloheximide. HIV-1 was reactivated to a lesser extent in this experiment, and this could result from cellular toxicity or inhibition of an indirect component to reactivation. Any indirect component to HIV-1 reactivation would not, however, make aza-CdR any less effective a drug for reactivation of latent HIV-1 in humans. Aza-CdR synergizes with prostratin, a phorbol ester that triggers reactivation of latent HIV-1 in the absence of T cell activation and inhibits de novo virus infection [67]. Thus, the combination of aza-CdR and prostratin may reactivate latent HIV-1 while minimizing additional HIV-1 infection and side effects associated with T cell activation [29]. Therefore, the inclusion of cytosine methylation inhibitors in antiretroviral therapy could represent a significant step toward elimination of the latent HIV-1 reservoir and clearance of virus from infected patients.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and drug treatment

Jurkat and J-Lat cells were cultured in RPMI (Invitrogen) with 5% FBS (Gemini Bio-Products) and 5% Fetalplex (Gemini Bio-Products). For analysis of virus reactivation by flow cytometry, aza-CdR (Sigma) and TNF-α (Biosource) treatments were for 24 h, after which medium was replaced. Reactivation was assayed after an additional 48 h. For ChIP and bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping, cells were treated for 30 h with aza-CdR. For cycloheximide experiments, cells were treated for 24 hours, either with or without 40 ng/ml cycloheximide.

Plasmid and cDNA library generation

20 μg of pEFGP-N1 (Clontech) was methylated at CpGs with M.Ssp I (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA was purified and subjected to a second round of methyltransferase. To generate pBMN-CSI-T, the multiple cloning site (MCS) and GFP gene from pBMN-I-GFP (Addgene plasmid 1736) were replaced with the MCS from pDNR-LIB (Clontech) and the tomato fluorescent protein. Also, the human cytomegalovirus (hCMV) immediate early promoter was inserted upstream of the MCS. For production of RelA-expressing retrovirus, RelA was cloned from pCMV4(hind), kindly provided by W. Greene, into a version of pBMN-CSI-T lacking the hCMV promoter. MBD21345–1947 was cloned into pBMN-CSI-T as part of cDNA library generation. The cDNA library was generated using the Creator SMART cDNA Library Construction Kit (Clontech) with oligo(dT)-purified (Quickprep mRNA Purification Kit, Amersham) RNA isolated (TRZol, Invitrogen) from Jurkat T cells. Amplified cDNAs were cloned into pBMN-CSI-T and electroporated into E. coli strain DH5α. The library was amplified 240,000-fold by plating of bacteria on solid medium, and DNA was extracted from aliquots (Plasmid Maxi Kit, Qiagen).

Plasmid transfection, cell infection, and screening

J-Lat cells were transfected by electroporation using Kit R and program O-28 (Amaxa Biosystems). HIV-1 reactivation was assayed by flow cytometry four days post-transfection. HIV-1 R7/E +/GFP pseudotyped with the vesicular stomatitis virus G (VSV-G) protein was produced by cotransfecting 293T cells with pEV1335 and a plasmid expressing VSV-G (pEV-1335) and a plasmid encoding VSV-G by the calcium phosphate method. Supernatant was harvested 48 h post-transfection and frozen at −80°C in aliquots. Aliquots were thawed, diluted 1:160, and used to infect Jurkat T cells overnight at a multiplicity of 0.1 infectious units per cell with 2 ml supernatant per 1 million cells. Three days post-infection, GFP-negative and -positive cell populations were isolated by FACS. Retrovirus pseudotyped with VSV-G was produced as described previously [68] by cotransfection of Phoenix-ampho cells with pBMN-CSI-T or plasmids derived thereof and a plasmid encoding VSV-G. Supernatant was harvested 48 h post-transfection and J-Lat cells were infected overnight at a ratio of 250,000 cells to 2 ml supernatant at centrifugation at 2500 rpm for the first 1.5 h. 293T cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate method. Cells were cotransfected with a plasmid encoding the tomato fluorescent protein and either methylated or unmethylated pEFGP-N1, and the tomato-positive population was analyzed for GFP expression. For measurement of J-Lat activation, cells were infected with undiluted virus and analyzed by flow cytometry 2 days post-infection. For cDNA screening, cells were infected at a multiplicity of 0.15 infectious units per cell. GFP-positive cells were purified by FACS two days post-infection, cultured for two days, and genomic DNA was isolated (DNeasy Tissue Kit, Qiagen). The cDNA inserts were amplified from genomic DNA by PCR using
Flow cytometry and FACS

Cell fluorescence was measured with the FACSCalibur or LSRII (BD Biosciences). Cell sorting was performed with the FACS Vantage DiVa (BD Biosciences). To phenotype CD4+T cells, they were stained with the following mAbs: phycoerythrin-conjugated (PE)-anti-CD4, TC-anti-CD45RA, or PE-anti-CXCR4 (Caltag). Flow cytometry and sorting data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Treestar) or Cellquest (BD Biosciences), in the case of primary cells. Analysis was restricted to the live population, as defined by the forward versus side scatter profile. FlowJo transforms fluorescence plots to a linear scale at the origin, permitting intelligible display of cells with low fluorescence.

To assess intracellular p24 expression, cells were fixed and permeabilized with Citofix/Cytopermb (BD Biosciences). Cells were washed with Perm/Wash buffer (BD Biosciences) and were stained with anti-p24 antibody (AG3.0). Cells were washed with Perm/Wash Buffer and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 goat antimouse IgG (H+L) in 100 μl of Perm/Wash buffer. Cells were washed with Perm/Wash buffer and samples were analyzed by flow cytometry. HIV-1 p24-positive gates were set by comparison with uninfected cells treated in parallel.

Transfection of siRNAs, reverse transcription, and quantitative PCR

J-Lat cells were transfected with siRNAs corresponding to the MBD2 mRNA or non-targeting control siRNAs (siGENOME SMARTpool or siCONTROL pool, Dharmacon) by electroporation using Kit R and program O-28 (Ammax Biosystems). Two days after transfection of siRNAs, RNA was isolated from cells with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), treated with DNAse I (Promega), and first strand cDNA was synthesized with reverse transcriptase (Superscript II, Invitrogen) using a dT16 primer. Quantitative PCR was performed with the 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) and the 2× Hot Sybr real time PCR kit (Molecular Cloning Laboratories). Negative control DNA was evaluated by a two-tailed, two sample Student’s t-test with a null hypothesis of no increase in GFP expression. In bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping experiments, at least nine independent clones of sodium bisulfite-treated HIV-1 DNA were analyzed from each sample. For J-Lat cell lines in the latent state (Figure 3B) and CD4+ T cells (Figures 6D and E), a one-tailed, single sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with a null hypothesis of no methylation. For J-Lat 6.3 treated with either aza-CdR or a PBS control (Figure 4A), a one-tailed, two-sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with a null hypothesis of no decrease in methylation after aza-CdR treatment. For sorted populations of GFP-negative and -positive Jurkat T cells (Figure 5B), a one-tailed, two sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with the null hypothesis that the GFP-positive population did not have less methylation.

Statistical analyses

The effect of MBD21345–1947 upon GFP expression (Figure 2A) was evaluated by a two-tailed, two sample Student’s t-test with a null hypothesis of no effect. Reactivation of latent HIV-1 (Figure 5D) was evaluated with a one-tailed, two sample Student’s t-test with a null hypothesis of no increase in GFP expression. In bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping experiments, at least nine independent clones of sodium bisulfite-treated HIV-1 DNA were analyzed from each sample. For J-Lat cell lines in the latent state (Figure 3B) and CD4+ T cells (Figures 6D and E), a one-tailed, single sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with a null hypothesis of no methylation. For J-Lat 6.3 treated with either aza-CdR or a PBS control (Figure 4A), a one-tailed, two-sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with a null hypothesis of no decrease in methylation after aza-CdR treatment. For sorted populations of GFP-negative and -positive Jurkat T cells (Figure 5B), a one-tailed, two sample Student’s t-test was performed for each CpG with the null hypothesis that the GFP-positive population did not have less methylation.
The purity of the population was always higher than 95%. Naïve T did not have any effect on viral reactivation. IL-2 at a ratio of 1 bead per cell. The integrase inhibitor 118-D-24 coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 for 72 h in the presence of...seven days after infection, cells were reactivated with beads (Dynal/Invitrogen) as previously described [44]. Seven days after stimulation, cells were infected by spinoculation. Seven days after infection, cells were reactivated with beads coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 for 72 h in the presence of IL-2 at a ratio of 1 bead per cell. The integrase inhibitor 118-D-24 did not have any effect on viral reactivation.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Recovery and analysis of MB2D fragment. (A) Products of PCR amplification using template DNA from J-Lat 6.3 cells. Cells were infected with indicated viruses. For GFP+, DNA was isolated from GFP-positive cells 4 days post-infection with the cDNA library. The PCR product corresponding to MB2D2145-1947 is indicated. (B) Electrophoresis of unmethylated or methylated pEGFP-N1 after incubation with restriction enzyme Msp I (methylation-insensitive) or Hpa II (methylation-sensitive). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s001 (1.53 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Genetic structure of cloned MB2D fragment. Genetic structure of MB2D2145-1947 clone recovered from screen and full-length MB2D mRNA. MB2D2145-1947 was cloned into pBMN-CSI-T as part of cDNA library generation. Dashed lines indicate portion of MB2D mRNA cloned into pBMN-CSI-T. Open inverted triangles indicate predicted translation initiation codons. Closed inverted triangles indicate translation stop codons. GR, glycine-arginine repeat region; MBD, methyl-binding domain; TR, transcriptional repression domain. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s002 (0.22 MB TIF)

Figure S3 Cpg islands flanking the HIV-1 transcriptional start site. Nucleotide sequence of first 1000 bases of HIV-1 strain HXB2 provirus. Locations of Cpg islands 1 and 2 are indicated and highlighted in yellow. Methylation status of Cpgs in bold was determined with bisulfite-mediated methylcytosine mapping. The U5, R, and U3 regions of the HIV-1 promoter are indicated. Translation initiation site of Gag polyprotein is indicated. Only Cpg island 2 is conserved in HIV-1 strain NL4-3. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s003 (1.33 MB TIF)

Figure S4 HIV-1 Cpg islands are methylated during latency. Level of HIV-1 cytosine methylation in J-Lat cell line (A) 6.3, (B) 8.4, (C) 9.2, or (D) 15.4. Data points represent the frequency of methylation detected for each Cpg within the analyzed region. (E) Frequency of HIV-1 cytosine methylation in J-Lat 6.3 treated with aza-CdR or PBS as a control. (F) Frequency of HIV-1 cytosine methylation in purified GFP-negative and -positive populations after infection of cells with HIV-1 R7/E-GFP clone. (G) Frequency of HIV-1 cytosine methylation in latently infected nonpolarized CD4+ T cells or productively infected Th1, Th2, or nonpolarized CD4+ T cells. Data points correspond to the frequency of methylation detected for each Cpg within the analyzed region. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s004 (1.13 MB TIF)

Figure S5 Sodium bisulfit e conversion is highly efficient. Mean percentage of cytosine-to-thymine conversion in non-CpG dinucleotides by sodium bisulfite treatment of (A) J-Lat cells, (B) Jurkat cells infected with HIV-1 R7/E-GFP clone, or (C) CD4+ T cells. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (D) Results of bisulfit e-mediated methylcytosine mapping of HIV-1 Cpg island 2 for additional clones of latently infected CD4+ T cells stimulated under non-polarizing conditions. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s005 (0.62 MB TIF)

Figure S6 Synergistic activation of transcription is specific for the HIV-1 promoter. Steady-state mRNA levels were measured in (A) J-Lat 8.4 or (B) J-Lat 9.2. Quantity of HIV-1 (left panel) and IKB (right panel) mRNA was determined by reverse transcription and quantitative PCR after indicated treatments. Values are normalized to the PBS control. Error bars indicate standard deviation of quantitative PCR results. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of GFP expression in J-Lat cells after treatment with TNF-α, either in the presence or absence of cycloheximide. Histograms indicate GFP fluorescence. Gates indicate GFP-positive cells. (D) Levels of HIV-1 (right panel) mRNA were determined by reverse transcription and quantitative PCR and normalized to cyclophilin mRNA. Cells were treated with aza-CdR, either in the presence or absence of cycloheximide. Error bars indicate standard deviation of qPCR results. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s006 (0.79 MB TIF)

Figure S7 Aza-CdR and HDAC inhibitors do not synergistically reactivate latent HIV-1. Latent HIV-1 reactivation in the indicated J-Lat cell lines treated with aza-CdR, VPA, aza-CdR plus VPA, SAHA, or aza-CdR plus SAHA. GFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry and normalized to control cells treated with DMSO. Experiments were performed in triplicate and error bars indicate standard deviation. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s007 (0.32 MB TIF)

Figure S8 Phenotypic analysis of CD4+ T cells. Flow cytometric analysis of two donors, (A) and (B), is shown. Expression of CD4 (helper T cell), CD45RA (naïve T cell), CD69 (early activation), and CD25 (late activation) markers was determined after isolation and stimulation of naive T cells. Grey histogram represents cells incubated with a non-fluorescent isotype control antibody. Data are representative of those from five different donors. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s008 (0.72 MB TIF)

Table S1 Reactivation of latent HIV-1. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000495.s009 (0.04 MB DOC)
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