β-Aminobutyric acid increases abscisic acid accumulation and desiccation tolerance and decreases water use but fails to improve grain yield in two spring wheat cultivars under soil drying
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Abstract
A pot experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of the non-protein amino acid, β-aminobutyric acid (BABA), on the homeostasis between reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidant defence during progressive soil drying, and its relationship with the accumulation of abscisic acid (ABA), water use, grain yield, and desiccation tolerance in two spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars released in different decades and with different yields under drought. Drenching the soil with 100 μM BABA increased drought-induced ABA production, leading to a decrease in the lethal leaf water potential (ΨL) used to measure desiccation tolerance, decreased water use, and increased water use efficiency for grain (WUEg) under moderate water stress. In addition, at severe water stress levels, drenching the soil with BABA reduced ROS production, increased antioxidant enzyme activity, and reduced the oxidative damage to lipid membranes. The data suggest that the addition of BABA triggers ABA accumulation that acts as a non-hydraulic root signal, thereby closing stomata, and reducing water use at moderate stress levels, and also reduces the production of ROS and increases the antioxidant defence enzymes at severe stress levels, thus increasing the desiccation tolerance. However, BABA treatment had no effect on grain yield of wheat when water availability was limited. The results suggest that there are ways of effectively priming the pre-existing defence pathways, in addition to genetic means, to improve the desiccation tolerance and WUEg of wheat.
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Introduction
Drought is considered to be among the most severe abiotic stresses affecting plant growth and limiting crop yields (Jones and Corlett, 1992; Ozturk et al., 2002). Wheat, as one of the ‘big three’ cereal crops, often suffers water shortage during the growing season with a greater likelihood of water stress in the future because of global climate change and a decline in water
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resources for agriculture (Turner and Meyer, 2011). Crop plants have evolved a number of biochemical and physiological mechanisms to cope with temporary or terminal water shortage (Turner, 1996; Turner and Asseng, 2005; Wang et al., 2008). There are pre-existing and induced defences in plants to mediate their adaptation to stress conditions (Bray et al., 2000; Pastori and Foyer, 2002; Vallad and Robert, 2004; Jakab et al., 2005).

An early response to soil drying is the closure of stomata without changes of leaf water status through the action of drought-induced abscisic acid (ABA) which originates in the root and is transported to the shoot (Zhang and Davies, 1990; Jakab et al., 2005). This ‘early-warning’ response to soil drying is characterized as a non-hydraulic root signal (nHRS). The early response of nHRS will facilitate the reduced water loss from stomata and serve as the first defence against possible drought (Henson et al., 1989a, b; Davies et al., 1994; Fan et al., 2009). With prolonged soil water depletion, the leaf water status triggers changes in the leaf, hydraulic signals (HS), leading to physiological changes such as osmotic adjustment (Jones and Turner, 1980) and evoking antioxidant defence mechanisms (Wang et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009). With soil drying, temporary wilting (TW), evident as the drooping of leaves during the day followed by rehydration and recovery during the night, is first observed followed by permanent wilting (PW) when the leaves fail to regain turgor overnight.

Drought inevitably reduces CO₂ assimilation and increased electron transfer from photosynthetic electron carriers toward O₂, increasing the reactive oxygen species (ROS), namely the superoxide anion radical (O₂⁻), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and hydroxyl radical (·OH) (Asada, 1999). In plants, ROS are continuously produced, predominantly in chloroplasts, mitochondria, and peroxisomes (Apel and Hirt, 2004). The homeostasis of production and removal of ROS must be strictly controlled, and this balance can be disturbed by adverse abiotic stresses factors such as high light (Feild et al., 1998), drought (Wang et al., 2008), and extreme temperature (Prasad et al., 1994). Enzymatic constituents, such as superoxide dismutases (SOD), catalases (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and glutathione reductase (GR), and non-enzymatic compounds including ascorbate and glutathione are responsible for ROS scavenging (Noctor and Foyer, 1998; Apel and Hirt, 2004). The activity of antioxidant enzymes and the level of non-enzymatic compounds generally increase during abiotic stress and are correlated with enhanced cellular protection, thereby preventing oxidative damage (Khanna-Chopra and Selote, 2007). During soil drying, endogenous ABA and the water relations of the plant play crucial roles in modulating the equilibrium between ROS and antioxidant defence systems (Jiang and Zhang, 2002a, b; Srivalli et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2009) and this equilibrium between ROS and the antioxidant defence system is also associated with the induction of non-hydraulic and hydraulic signals (Wang et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009). However, at higher stress levels, the antioxidant defence system collapses and oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA occurs (Sgherri and Navari-Izzo, 1995; Apel and Hirt, 2004). Drought stress is always associated with an increase in endogenous abscisic acid (ABA), which mediates most plant responses to drought (Leung and Giraudat, 1998; Zhu, 2002). ABA is also a key compound of root-sourced signals that induce stomatal closure (Zhang and Davies, 1990). Jiang and Zhang (2002a, b) proposed that ABA is an essential mediator in triggering a drought-induced antioxidative defence response against oxidative damage in maize seedlings. Therefore, there is a linkage between ABA, root signals, and antioxidant defence in plants exposed to a water deficit.

The non-protein amino acid, β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) is a potent inducer of resistance against infection by various pathogens (Jakab et al., 2001) through the induction of salicylic acid- and/or ABA-dependent defence mechanisms (Zimmerli et al., 2000; Ton and Mauch-Mani, 2004). Recently, BABA was shown to enhance resistance to abiotic stresses, such as drought and salinity, in Arabidopsis by priming the ABA response (Jakab et al., 2005), and heat tolerance through up-regulating heat shock protein 101 expression (Zimmerli et al., 2008). The only research on BABA-induced resistance against abiotic stresses has been in Arabidopsis, not crops. In this study, the effect of BABA on desiccation tolerance and water use efficiency was evaluated in two spring wheat cultivars with different yields under drought. The hypothesis tested in this study is that BABA triggers ABA accumulation, thereby reducing stomatal conductance and water use and increasing the water use efficiency, and improves the desiccation tolerance and grain yield of wheat when water is limited through priming the drought-induced antioxidative defences.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The two spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cultivars, Gansu 96 (GS) and Longchun 8275 (LC) were selected for their similar phenological development, but different yields under drought in a previous experiment (YL Du, unpublished data). GS was released in the 1950s (referred to as ‘old’) and LC was released in the 1990s (referred to as ‘modern’) for the semiarid regions of the Loess Plateau in northwest China. Seed of both cultivars was obtained from the Institute of Crop Germplasm Resources, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Beijing, China. The experiment was conducted at the Yuzhong Experimental Station of Lanzhou University in Yuzhong County, Gansu Province (35°51’ N, 104°07’ E, altitude 1620 m) in the growing season (March–July) of 2009. The cultivars were grown under a rainout shelter (50 m long×24 m wide×5.7 m high) that was closed during rain events. Seeds were vernalized at 4 °C for 24 h, and germinated in an incubation cabinet. Eighteen seeds were sown in each of 218 plastic pots (220 mm diameter×250 mm high) containing 4.7 kg of sieved peat-based substrate [peat:perlite (v/v)=4:1, dry bulk density of 0.56 g cm⁻³ and a field water capacity (FWC) of 82%] that was sterilized by solar exposure in the rainout shelter for 2 weeks. After emergence, seedlings were thinned to 14 plants per pot in Experiments 1 and 2 and ten plants per pot in Experiment 3. Before sowing, 1.25 g N, 0.36 g P, and 0.44 g K were applied per pot so that nutrition was not limited (Cissé et al., 1996). After emergence, all plants were irrigated daily to maintain the soil at 90% of FWC. To minimize soil evaporation, the pots were covered with 15 mm of perlite.

Three days before the treatments started at 30 d after sowing (DAS), the pots of plants used for Experiments 1 and 2 were transferred from the rainout shelter to a growth chamber. The growth chamber was maintained at 22/15 °C (day/night) temperature, 50±5% relative humidity, and 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at the canopy level for a 14 h day.
\(\text{\(\beta\)-Aminobutyric acid (BABA) treatment}\)

\(\beta\)-aminobutyric acid was dissolved in water and applied as a soil drench (100 \(\mu\)M BABA soil concentration) to half of the pots 1 d prior to the imposition of the water treatments. The time of BABA treatment is considered to be day 0.

\textbf{Experiment 1: Progressive soil drying}

In Experiment 1, the effect of BABA on the physiological and biochemical responses of the two wheat cultivars was investigated when two watering treatments were imposed from 30 DAS when at the jointing stage: (i) a slow decrease in soil water content, and (ii) the maintenance of a high soil water content (control). For (i), water was withheld from half of the pots one day after the BABA treatment and the pots were not watered for the subsequent 20 d. For (ii), the pots were maintained near 90% FWC by daily watering. Soil water content (SWC) is expressed as a percentage of water available between FWC and dry soil. Thus, there were two cultivars and four treatments, with (+BABA) and without (–BABA) BABA, and with and without water stress. In each cultivar by treatment combination there were 72 pots, 12 pots were used at each of six harvests with each cultivar by treatment combination being replicated three times. Once the drying treatment was imposed, all pots were weighed daily to determine the SWC, and the stomatal conductance (gs) measured on one mature non-senescent leaf in each of the three replicate pots between 08.30h and 10.30h using a LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). The stomatal conductance for each leaf was a mean of five readings per leaf. After the imposition of the water treatments. The time of BABA treatment is considered to be day 0.

\textbf{Experiment 2: Desiccation tolerance}

The effect of BABA treatment on the yield and yield components of the two spring wheat cultivars exposed to three levels of water availability from 30 DAS (jointing stage) was assessed. One day prior to the water treatments, 20 of the 50 pots were pretreated with (+BABA) or without (–BABA) drenching the soil with 100 \(\mu\)M BABA. Water deficits were imposed by withholding water until the SWC reached three predetermined levels of stress: (i) 10 pots were maintained near 90% FWC by daily watering after 18.00 h, but were not treated with BABA (control); (ii) 20 pots were allowed to dry until the SWC was about 55% FWC and then maintained at this water content by daily watering after 18.00 h (moderate stress, MS); and (iii) 20 pots were allowed to dry until the SWC was about 35% FWC and then watered daily after 18.00 h to maintain this water content (severe stress, SS). Each treatment and cultivar combination was replicated five times. Whole plants were harvested at physiological maturity (~110 DAS), as determined by the complete loss of green colour from the glumes (Hanft and Wych, 1982). Wheat roots were washed free of soil using a 0.4 mm screen. The plants were divided into grain, shoots (including leaves and husks) and roots, dried for 48 h at 80 °C and weighed. Data on water use were obtained by recording the added water from sowing to harvest. The following variables were calculated: Harvest index (HI)= grain dry matter/above-ground dry matter, and water use efficiency for grain (WUEg)= grain yield/water use from sowing to harvest. As soil evaporation was minimized by the covering the soil with perlite, water use is principally a measure of the rate of transpiration and WUEg is in fact transpiration efficiency for grain (TEg).

\textbf{Analysis of reactive oxygen species (ROS)}

\(O_2^-\) production was measured using nitrite formation from hydroxylamine in the presence of \(O_2^-\) (Elstner and Heupel, 1976). Leaf segments (0.5 g) were homogenized with 5 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.8) and centrifuged at 5000 g for 600 s at 4 °C. The incubation mixture contained 1 ml of 1 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride [using 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) as solvent] and 1 ml of supernatant. After incubation at 25 °C for 1200 s, 17 mM sulphanilamide and 7 mM \(\text{H}_{2}\text{SO}_4\) were added to the incubation mixture and the absorbance of the titanium-peroxide complex at 415 nm was monitored for 3 d prior to the start of soil drying. The data collected for the plants were used to infer relations between leaf RWC and SWC. Non-phytate root signals (nHRS) were judged to have been induced when there was a significant reduction in leaf gs (compared with gs in the well-watered plants) without change in leaf RWC, and to end when gs and RWC both decreased simultaneously (the onset of hydraulic signals, HS). These criteria were used to determine the SWC at which nHRS and HS were induced, compared with the well-watered plants. Temporary wilting (TW) was recorded when the leaves began to wilt during the day, but recovered overnight, while PW was recorded when the wilted leaves failed to recover overnight (Fan et al., 2008).

\textbf{Enzyme assays}

Frozen leaf segments (0.5 g) were crushed into fine powder with a mortar and pestle under liquid N\(_2\). The soluble proteins were extracted by homogenizing with 10 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM EDTA and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), and 100 µl enzyme extract. The reaction mixtures contained 1 ml of 1 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride [using 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) as solvent] and 1 ml of supernatant used for the following enzyme assays.

\textbf{Total superoxide dismutase (SOD)} (EC 1.15.1.1) activity was assayed by monitoring the inhibition of the photochemical reduction of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) according to the method of Giannopolitis and Ries (1977). The 3 ml reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 75 \(\mu\)M NBT, 13 mM methionine, 2 \(\mu\)M riboflavin, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 100 \(\mu\)l enzyme extract. The reaction mixtures were illuminated for 900 s at a light intensity of 100 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). One unit of SOD activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to cause 50% inhibition of the reduction of NBT as monitored at 560 nm.
Catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) activity was measured by the disappearance of H₂O₂ (extinction coefficient 39.4 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at 240 nm for 180 s (Aebi, 1984). The reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 10 mM H₂O₂, and 200 μl of enzyme extract in a 3 ml volume. The reaction was initiated by adding the enzyme extract.

Ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.11.1.11) activity was measured in a 1 ml reaction volume containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM ASC, 0.1 mM H₂O₂, and 200 μl of enzyme extract by following the decrease in Asp₅₀ (extinction coefficient 2.8 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for 60 s. The reaction was started by adding H₂O₂ (Amako et al., 1994).

Glutathione reductase (GR, EC 1.6.4.2) activity was determined by following the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm (extinction coefficient 6.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for 180 s in 1 ml of an assay mixture containing 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.15 mM NADPH, 2 mM Na₂EDTA, 0.5 mM oxidized glutathione (GSSG), and 150 μl of enzyme extract. The reaction was initiated by adding NADPH. Corrections were made for the background absorbance at 340 nm, without NADPH (Schäedle and Bassham, 1977).

Lipid peroxidation

The level of lipid peroxidation was determined by the content of malondialdehyde (MDA) in the leaf segment homogenates, prepared in 10 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) containing 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) as described by Zhao et al. (1994). The mixture was heated in a water bath at 100 °C for 90 s and then quickly cooled in an ice bath. After centrifugation at 4000 g for 90 s, the absorbance of the supernatant was recorded at 532, 600, and 450 nm. The MDA content was calculated by its absorbance (Zhao et al., 1994) and expressed as nmol MDA g⁻¹ dry weight.

Abscisic acid (ABA) extraction, purification, and quantification

The methods for extraction and purification of ABA were modified from those described by Bollmark et al. (1988) and He (1993). The leaf samples were ground in liquid N₂ using a mortar and pestle, extracted with ice-cold 80% methanol (v/v) containing 1 mM butylated hydroxytoluence to avoid oxidation, and then stored overnight at 4 °C. The extracts were then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 90 s at 4 °C. The residues were suspended in the same ice-cold extraction solution and stored at 4 °C for 1 h, and then centrifuged again at 10 000 g for 90 s at 4 °C. The supernatants were combined and passed through Chromospe C18 columns (C₁₈ Sep-Park Cartridge, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), prewashed with 10 ml of 100% and 5 ml of 80% methanol, respectively. The efflux was collected and dried by evaporation with nitrogen. The residues were dissolved in 1.6 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 and 0.1% (w/v) gelatin (pH 7.5) for analysis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

The mouse monoclonal antigen and antibody against ABA and the immunoglobulin G–horse radish peroxidase (IgG-HRP) used in ELISA were produced at the Phytohormones Research Institute, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China (He, 1993). The method for quantification of ABA by ELISA has been described previously (Yang et al., 2001). The specificity of the monoclonal antibody was confirmed and the possibility of other non-specific inhibitors was excluded in previous studies (Wu et al., 1988; Yang et al., 2001).

Statistical analysis

The values of leaf gs and leaf RWC were expressed relative to the control plants. Other data are presented as means of three replicate samples in Experiment 1, six replicate samples in Experiment 2 and five replicate samples in Experiment 3. All measured variables were examined by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean comparisons were made by Fisher’s protected least significant difference (LSD) at P < 0.05 significance level. All data analyses were conducted using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute., 2001).

The relative values of gs and RWC equal:

\[ \frac{1}{A} \times (SWC - Ci) \quad \text{if} \quad SWC \leq Ci \]  

where \( A \) is the slope of the linear equation (1b) and \( Ci \) is the threshold of \( SWC \) at which the measured traits started to diverge, i.e. increase or decrease, from 1. To estimate \( A \) and \( Ci \) in the linear-plateau model (equation 1), PROC NLIN of PC SAS was employed. The coefficient of determination (\( r^2 \)) was calculated for each curve as 1–SSE/CSS, where SSE is the residual sum of squares and CSS is the corrected total sum of squares. Statistical separations between different plant physiological processes were from comparisons of coefficients in equation 1b at P < 0.05 (Liu et al., 2003).

Results

\( \beta \)-Aminobutyric acid (BABA) enhanced drought-induced ABA accumulation, reduced water use, and increased desiccation tolerance

Table 1 shows the time in days after treatment began and the soil water content at the six harvest times for the two cultivars and two BABA treatments. Harvest 2 (H2) occurred earlier in LC than in GS, while H4 was earlier and at a higher SWC in GS than LC (Table 1). BABA treatment significantly (P < 0.05) decreased the rate of soil drying so that while both temporary wilting (TW, harvest 5), and permanent wilting (PW, harvest 6), occurred at the same SWC, this SWC was one day later in the BABA treatment in both cultivars (Table 1).
Drenching with BABA significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased ABA accumulation in both cultivars, especially at H2 ($P < 0.05$) when SWC decreased below 62% and 67% in GS and LC, respectively (Table 1), and was higher in GS than in LC (Fig. 1A, 1B), but with the further decrease in SWC there was no difference between BABA treatments after H3. These results indicate that BABA enhances drought-induced ABA accumulation in wheat. The increase in ABA with soil drying was associated with a decrease in stomatal conductance (Fig. 1C). Crucially, ABA increased from 25 nmol g$^{-1}$ DW to 40 nmol g$^{-1}$ DW, inducing a decrease in stomatal conductance of 72 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 1C) before RWC decreased. This suggests that ABA was acting as a non-hydraulic root-sourced signal (nHRS). ABA in the leaf continued to increase as the soil dried (Fig. 1A, 1B) and RWC began to decrease, indicating that it may also have played a role in reducing stomatal conductance as RWC decreased (Fig. 1C).

The relative leaf gs and RWC in drought-affected plants with or without BABA treatment were plotted as function of time (Fig. 2A, 2B). Leaf RWC decreased earlier in GS than LC and the addition of BABA did not influence this in both cultivars. However, the leaf gs decreased earlier in LC than GS and the addition of BABA decreased the stomatal conductance at any one time (Fig. 2A). The SWC thresholds of leaf gs and RWC, relative to the well-watered controls, were determined by linear-plateau functions (equation 1; Fig. 3A, 3B). When SWC dropped below a threshold value, gs and RWC decreased linearly. The SWC thresholds for gs were 62.6, 70.7, 69.1, and 76.9% (Fig. 3A) and for leaf RWC were 48.5, 49.5, 39.4, and 39.8% (Fig. 3B), in GS–BABA, GS +BABA, LC–BABA, and LC +BABA (Fig. 3C), respectively. The SWC threshold range over which gs decreased but RWC was unchanged, the indication of nHRS activity, was 29.7% for LC (69.1–39.4%), much greater than the 14.1% for GS (62.6–48.5%) (Fig. 3C). Drenching the soil with BABA significantly broadened the SWC threshold range in both cultivars to 21.2% (70.7–49.5%) in GS and to 37.1% (76.9–39.8%) in LC (Fig. 3C).

When the relationship between leaf gs and RWC was plotted, it was clear that gs decreased by about 20% in GS and by about 50% in LC without any change in RWC whether the soil was drenched with BABA or not (Fig. 4); this result indicates that gs is governed by ABA rather than leaf RWC during the nHRS range. When the leaf RWC significantly decreased, gs was positively correlated with leaf RWC ($P < 0.001$), but there was no difference in slope when drenched or not with BABA (Fig. 4). This suggests that BABA does not influence the sensitivity of the stomata to leaf water status.

The lethal $\Psi$ of GS (–2.3 MPa) was higher than that of LC (–3.0 MPa), indicating that GS was more sensitive to foliar
dehydration (Fig. 5). Treatment with BABA significantly reduced the lethal \( \Psi \), compared with no BABA treatment; the lethal \( \Psi \) of GS and LC with BABA treatment were \(-2.5\) and \(-3.1\) MPa, respectively (Fig. 5). As lethal \( \Psi \) was used as an indicator of desiccation tolerance, these results show that BABA enhanced the desiccation tolerance in response to soil drying.

\( \beta \)-Aminobutyric acid (BABA) reduced the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and lipid membrane peroxide levels

\( O_2^- \) and \( H_2O_2 \) contents of the leaves were higher in GS than LC and increased linearly as the soil dried to about 50% SWC and then increased significantly at SWC values below this (Fig. 6A, 6B). Lipid membrane peroxide, as measured by MDA content (Fig. 6C), was always higher in GS than in LC during SWC depletion, but unlike \( O_2^- \) and \( H_2O_2 \) it did not increase until the SWC was below 50%. Drenching the soil with BABA decreased the drought-induced \( O_2^- \), \( H_2O_2 \), and MDA accumulation when SWC fell below 50% in both cultivars (Fig. 6). The plants in the BABA treatment had significantly reduced MDA content at severe stress levels, thereby reducing the oxidative damage to membranes.

\( \beta \)-Aminobutyric acid (BABA) increased antioxidant enzyme activities

The accumulation of drought-induced antioxidant enzymes are shown in Fig. 7. At SWC above about 50%, there were no significant differences in SOD (Fig. 7A), CAT (Fig. 7B), and GR (Fig. 7D) activities between the two cultivars, regardless of whether drenched with BABA or not. The activity of SOD, CAT, and GR increased linearly as the soil dried to about 50% SWC and then increased markedly at lower SWCs in both cultivars.
Fig. 4. Relationship between leaf relative water content (RWC, % controls) and stomatal conductance (gs, % controls) in two spring wheat cultivars, Gansu 96 (A) and Longchun 8275 (B) with (+, open symbols) and without (−, closed symbols) 100 µM β-aminobutyric acid (BABA). The linear regressions \( y=ax+b \) were fitted separately to data in which leaf RWC was unchanged (circles, solid line) and data in which RWC decreased (triangles, dotted line).

Fig. 5. The effect of treatment with β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) on the lethal leaf water potential of two spring wheat cultivars, Gansu 96 (GS) and Longchun 8725 (LC) treated with (open bars) and without (solid bars) 100 µM BABA. Values are means ±1 standard error of the mean (n = 6).

Fig. 6. Changes with soil water content (% field water capacity, FWC) in (A), production rate of reactive oxygen species (\( O_2^- \)); (B) hydrogen peroxide concentration (\( H_2O_2 \)), and (C) malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration in leaves of two spring wheat cultivars, Gansu 96 (GS) and Longchun 8725 (LC), treated with (+) and without (−) 100 µM β-aminobutyric acid (BABA). Values are means ±1 standard error of the mean (n = 3).

With soil drying β-aminobutyric acid (BABA) decreased water use without affecting grain yield

Drought stress significantly decreased root dry weight, aboveground dry weight, and grain yield in both cultivars (Table 2), but drenching with BABA had no effect on these parameters in either cultivar. Consistent with its reportedly higher drought resistance, the grain yield of the modern cultivar LC was significantly higher than that of the older cultivar GS under moderate drought stress (55% FWC), but there were no significant

(Fig. 7A, 7B, 7D). The APX activity (Fig. 7C) was unchanged until the SWC decreased below 50% and then increased markedly. At severe water deficits below 50% SWC, the BABA-treated plants had higher levels of all four enzymes and tended to be higher in LC than GS (Fig. 7).
difference in both well-watered (90% FWC) and severe drought stress (35% FWC). The harvest index (HI) of the modern cultivar, LC, was significantly higher than that of the older cultivar, GS, in all three water treatments. The 1000-kernel weight (TKW) of LC was markedly higher than that of GS, but water shortage had no effect on kernel size. Water shortage reduced the grain number per pot in both cultivars, but to compensate for the smaller kernels in GS, there were more kernels produced in GS than LC at all three levels of water stress. Treatment with BABA had no effect on any of the components of grain yield (Table 2).

The two soil drying treatments decreased water use significantly in both cultivars, while BABA application reduced water use significantly at the moderate stress level (55% FWC) (Table 2). The WUEG or TEG was greater in LC than GS at all levels of water availability and increased with moderate stress (55% FWC), but not severe stress (35% FWC), in both cultivars.

Table 2. Root dry weight (g pot−1), above-ground dry weight (g pot−1), grain number (pot−1), 1000-kernel weight (TKW, g), grain yield (g pot−1), harvest index (HI), water use (kg pot−1) and water use efficiency for grain (WUEG, grain yield g kg−1 water use) of two spring wheat cultivars, Gansu 96 (GS) and Longchun 8725 (LC) given three different water treatments [maintained about 90% field water capacity (FWC); maintained about 55% FWC, and maintained at 35% FWC] and treated with (+) or without (−) 100 µM β-aminobutyric acid (BABA). Values are means ±1 standard error of the mean (n = 3).

| Cultivar | Water treatment | Chemical treatment | Root dry weight (g pot−1) | Above-ground dry weight (g pot−1) | Grain number (pot−1) | TKW (g) | Grain yield (g pot−1) | HI | Water use (kg pot−1) | WUEG (g kg−1 water use) |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------------------|----|----------------------|----------------------------|
| GS       | 90%             | Control           | 4.34                      | 113.78                           | 1617.4               | 25.03  | 40.48                 | 0.36| 38.0                 | 1.07                        |
|          | 55%             | –BABA             | 3.61                      | 76.73                            | 1088.0               | 25.97  | 28.25                 | 0.36| 24.2                 | 1.17                        |
|          |                 | +BABA             | 3.62                      | 79.12                            | 1089.2               | 26.26  | 28.57                 | 0.36| 22.9                 | 1.25                        |
|          | 35%             | –BABA             | 3.13                      | 49.52                            | 703.0                | 26.03  | 18.29                 | 0.37| 20.4                 | 0.90                        |
|          |                 | +BABA             | 3.14                      | 51.06                            | 708.0                | 25.40  | 17.98                 | 0.35| 20.5                 | 0.88                        |
| LC       | 90%             | Control           | 3.74                      | 102.03                           | 1267.6               | 32.14  | 40.73                 | 0.40| 32.8                 | 1.24                        |
|          | 55%             | –BABA             | 3.35                      | 76.99                            | 921.4                | 34.39  | 31.68                 | 0.41| 22.6                 | 1.40                        |
|          |                 | +BABA             | 3.39                      | 76.24                            | 926.8                | 34.10  | 31.56                 | 0.41| 21.5                 | 1.47                        |
|          | 35%             | –BABA             | 2.87                      | 46.47                            | 557.8                | 33.39  | 18.60                 | 0.40| 18.7                 | 1.00                        |
|          |                 | +BABA             | 2.90                      | 47.85                            | 553.0                | 33.10  | 18.30                 | 0.38| 19.1                 | 0.96                        |
| LSD0.05  |                 |                   | 0.21                      | 4.18                            | 53.5                 | 1.14   | 1.40                  | 0.03| 0.96                 | 0.05                        |

The two soil drying treatments decreased water use significantly in both cultivars, while BABA application reduced water use significantly at the moderate stress level (55% FWC) (Table 2). The WUEG or TEG was greater in LC than GS at all levels of water availability and increased with moderate stress (55% FWC), but not severe stress (35% FWC), in both cultivars.

Fig. 7. Changes with soil water content (% field water capacity, FWC) on the activity of the antioxidant enzymes: (A) superoxide dismutase (SOD), (B) catalase (CAT), (C) ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and (D) glutathione reductase (GR) in leaves of two spring wheat cultivars, Gansu 96 (GS) and Longchun 8725 (LC), treated with (+) and without (−) 100 µM β-aminobutyric acid (BABA). Values are means ±1 standard error of the mean (n = 3).
Propose that the threshold range of nHRS was associated with percentage reduction in biomass. This led Wang affected by BABA, WUEG (as soil evaporation was minimized, it was really TEg) was higher at the moderate stress level after the soil was drenched with BABA than in the control treatment in both cultivars of wheat. Previous research has shown that BABA induced abiotic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis through priming ABA- and/or SA-dependent responses (Jakab et al., 2005). ABA plays an important role in ‘root-to-shoot’ communication (Zhang and Davies, 1990) and water stress signal transduction (Jiang and Zhang, 2002a, b) in plants. The earlier and faster ABA accumulation in response to soil drying (Fig. 1A, 1B) after drenching the soil with BABA, that is, BABA-primed ABA accumulation, triggered stomatal closure (Fig. 1C), thus leading to partial stomatal closure at a higher SWC in both wheat cultivars (Fig. 3A, 3C; Table 1). One of the interesting findings is that such a small drought-induced increase of endogenous ABA in the leaves of wheat triggered stomata closure. Considering the small increases of ABA concentration induced by BABA treatment (Fig. 1), the results suggest that the BABA increased the sensitivity of stomata to ABA; the high sensitivity of stomata to small changes in ABA concentration were also found in Phaseolus vulgaris L. (Trejo and Davies, 1991), but not previously reported in wheat. However, addition of BABA to the soil did not alter the SWC at which the leaf RWC declined (Fig. 3B), thereby broadening the soil water threshold range of nHRS from 14.1% and 29.7% FWC in GS and LC without BABA to 21.2% and 37.1% FWC, respectively, after the drenching with BABA (Fig. 3C). Previous research showed that the threshold range of nHRS at the different times of release of spring wheat cultivars (Xiong et al., 2006a; Fan et al., 2008), winter wheat cultivars (Wang et al., 2008), and the different ploidy levels of wheat (Xiong et al., 2006b) were significantly correlated with increased desiccation tolerance (lower lethal ΨL), a higher WUEg, and a smaller percentage reduction in biomass. This led Wang et al. (2008) to propose that the threshold range of nHRs was associated with increased drought resistance in the present study, the enhancement of desiccation tolerance and WUEg was associated with a wider threshold range of nHRS with BABA treatment. However, BABA did not affect the grain yield in both the moderate and severe stress treatments. As grain yield with limited water is the true measure of drought resistance in grain crops, by this measure BABA, does not appear to increase drought resistance.

Drought, salt, and cold stress all induce the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals (Apel and Hirt, 2004), leading to oxidative stress in plant tissues. ROS, especially hydrogen peroxide, acts as a signal in guard cells (McAinsh et al., 1996). Jiang and Zhang, (2002a, b) found that ABA could trigger ROS accumulation in maize seedlings. In the present study, the levels of ROS significantly increased with ABA accumulation in two wheat cultivars, both with or without BABA treatment; and the modern cultivar, LC, had lower ROS levels than that of old cultivar, GS (Fig. 6). This result is similar to that observed previously in three winter wheat cultivars (Wang et al., 2008) and three spring wheat cultivars (Fan et al., 2009). In addition, when water shortage became more severe, the ROS accumulation of plants with BABA treatment was lower than that without BABA treatment (Fig. 6A, 6B). However, the oxidative damage to lipid membranes only occurred when the soil water was almost depleted, not when the ROS began to increase at moderate levels of water depletion (Fig. 6C). The data suggest that the antioxidant enzymes SOD, CAT, and GR, that all increased in concert with ROS (Fig. 7), may have mitigated the effects of the ROS. SOD catalyses the dismutation of O2- to H2O2, while CAT, APX, and GR are responsible for the removal of H2O2 (Apel and Hirt, 2004). The MDA content in the modern cultivar was lower than that of the old cultivar, and drenching with BABA reduced the MDA content when the soil was very dry and the plants under severe stress (Fig. 6C). While there was no significant differences between the cultivars in the levels of SOD, CAT, APX, and GR at moderate stress levels, the antioxidant enzymes may have played a role in mitigating the effects of ROS that were higher in the old than in the modern cultivar, and may be a part explanation for the reduced level of membrane damage at severe water shortage when the soil was drenched with BABA (Fig. 6C). Alternatively, BABA reduced the level of ROS in the leaves which may also be a reason for the reduced lipid damage, as expressed by MDA concentration, at severe water shortage (Fig. 6C). These results are similar to those observed in winter wheat and spring wheat subjected to soil drying (Wang et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2009). Recently, BABA has been shown to alter the stress-related gene expression of Arabidopsis under salt, drought (Jakab et al., 2005), and heat stress (Zimmerli et al., 2008). These results in wheat suggested that the enhancement the activities of antioxidant enzymes may have been associated with the up-regulation of these antioxidant-enzyme genes.

Previous research has shown that tree seedlings (Tyree et al., 2003) and wheat (Xiong et al., 2006a) with a lower lethal ΨL had higher desiccation tolerance. In the present study, the addition of BABA significantly decreased (more negative) the lethal ΨL of both cultivars of wheat (Fig. 5). In addition, drenching with BABA decreased water use and improved the WUEg, but did not increase the grain yield under moderate water stress conditions (Table 2). Thus, the BABA treatment increased the desiccation tolerance of the wheat, but this did not influence its drought resistance, defined as yield under water-limited conditions.

The results in the present study show that BABA had no effect on dry matter accumulation and partitioning, and therefore grain yield, in both wheat cultivars when the soil was dried (Table 2) despite the increase in ABA production, stomatal closure, reduced water use, increased desiccation tolerance, and anti-oxidative defence at the leaf level. This is surprising in light of the observations of Travaglia et al. (2010) who found that, in a water-limited environment, spraying 300 µg g⁻¹ ABA directly on to the leaves of wheat increased the grain yield in the field. One possible explanation for the lack of an effect on grain yield is that BABA did not increase the level of ABA in the leaf to a high enough concentration to affect the yield. The ABA concentration in the leaf was only increased by about 20% by drenching the soil with BABA, possibly because of the metabolism of BABA by soil microbes after drenching, even though the soil in the present study was sterilized by solarization. As far as is known there are no reports of the fate...
of BABA in the soil and it would be interesting to see whether increased grain yields in water-limited environments could be generated by more frequent or higher concentrations of drenching with BABA, or by exogenous application directly to the leaves.

Abiotic stress, especially by salinity and drought, are the primary causes of crop losses worldwide, causing average yield losses of more than 50% for major crops (Bray et al., 2000). The yield loss is exacerbated by the predicted reduction in available arable land and available water resources in the future. Recently, the integration of new technologies, including traditional trait-based breeding with molecular breeding, have been used to breed crop cultivars with a higher yield potential and greater stress tolerance, thereby accelerating the rates of genetic gain (Reynolds et al., 2009). Jakab et al. (2005) proposed that priming the existing defence mechanisms, rather than manipulation of the genome, is another way of increasing the stress tolerance of crops. BABA-induced resistance through priming stress-tolerance mechanisms has been proposed as a way of generating crop varieties with an enhanced defensive capacity against biotic and abiotic stresses (Ton et al., 2005).

Beckers and Conrath (2007) showed that BABA can be used to prime crops against biotic stresses. Foliar application of BABA has already successfully primed grapevines (Vitis vinifera L.) against Plasmopara viticola (downy mildew) (Reuveni L.) against already successfully primed grapevines (Vitis vinifera L.) against Monosporascus cannonballus (Cohen, 2002). In the present study, BABA effectively primed pre-existing defence pathways that reduced water use and increased the desiccation tolerance and WUEg of wheat. There are several application methods for priming plants with BABA, such as soil drenching, foliar spraying, and stem injection (Cohen, 2002). Future work should determine which combination of application methods and intervals between treatments is the most effective and cost-effective in increasing yields under water-limited conditions. In addition, the present results in this study open the possibility of the future use of BABA in agriculture, as long as the cost of BABA is not too high. Its use will not be harmful to the environment because BABA, as an organic compound, can be degraded by microorganisms.
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