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Abstract
The seeds of ten cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) genotypes viz., AKH-09-5, AKH-2012-8, AKH-1301, AKH-1302, NH-545, AKH-9916, AKH-8828, PKV Rajat and NH-615 were sown in three replications. One set of genotypes was grown in field condition and another set was placed under rainout shelter, both were replicated thrice. The present investigation was conducted during kharif season of 2018-19 in randomized block design at the experimental field of Cotton Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola (M.S.) under non stress and water stress condition. The conducted experiment indicates the physiological response of cotton genotypes at different level of water stress. Under control condition pot culture was maintained with desired quantity of irrigation up to initiation of bolls. Water stress was imposed at initiation of bolls for 12 days for every genotype and replication wise. Second stress was imposed 12 days after first stress. Genotypes under water stress condition was sown in 90 earthen pots with five holes of 2.5 cm deep. Different morpho-physiological traits like plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, total dry matter production, root length, root: shoot dry weight ratio, relative leaf water content, proline content, total chlorophyll content, chlorophyll stability index, yield and yield contributing characters were studied to determine the effect of moisture stress on genotypes. The result indicates that genotypes under water stress condition (control condition) were more affected by moisture stress while field condition retained the regular growth habits of genotypes (except in some environmental conditions).
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Introduction
Cotton is one of the most valuable crops for providing natural fibers for the textile industry globally. China, the United States, India, Pakistan, Uzbekistan, Turkey, and Brazil are the seven largest producers of cotton worldwide, while China, the United States, the Franc Zone of Africa, Uzbekistan, Australia, and India are the five leading exporters (Sahito et al., 2015) [14]. China, the United States, and India provide most of the world’s cotton. The productivity of cotton is detrimentally affected by biotic and abiotic stresses, such as fungi, harmful insects, drought, and soil salinity. Among abiotic stresses, drought was found to be the most serious stress that reduced cotton yields significantly. In India, maximum area of cotton cultivation, particularly hot and dry region of central and south zone under rainfed condition limits productivity due to moisture stress. Irrigated cotton partially solves the problem in north India, where productivity is higher than the rainfed condition (Anon., 2016).

Drought is one of the most critical abiotic stresses that limit crop growth and productivity worldwide. Drought is considered a multidimensional stress that leads to changes in the physiological, morphological, ecological, biochemical, and molecular characteristics of plants. The symptoms of drought stress also vary with the plant species, developmental stages, growth conditions, and the environmental factors (Bhargava et al., 2013) [10]. Severe drought stress also inhibits photosynthesis of plants by causing changes in the chlorophyll content and damaging the photosynthetic apparatus (Dalton et al., 1998) [11]. The accumulation of proline in the tissues of numerous plant species is regarded as a common response to drought as well as other types of stresses (Per et al., 2017) [12]. Proline is a compatible osmolyte that controls osmotic regulation and alleviates stress in cell membranes. It also acts as a protective agent for enzymes’ function and as a free radical scavenger (Kishor et al., 2014) [13]. Insufficient soil moisture can affect developing organs especially during blooming, flowering, and fruiting stages resulting in the negative effect on plant morphological traits and yield components (Soomro et al., 2011) [15].
Material and Methodology
The experiment was carried out on the experimental field of Cotton Research Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola located at 304.415meter altitude, 20°30’ N latitude and 72°02’ longitude during Kharif season of 2018-19. Ten genotypes of G. hirsutum AKH-09-5, AKH-2012-8, AKH-1301, AKH-1302, NH-545, AKH-9916, AKH-8828, PKV Rajat and NH-615 were tested. Observations were recorded at 30, 60, 90, 120 days after sowing and at harvest.

A. Water stress condition
Pot culture was maintained with desired quantity irrigation up to initiation of bolls. Water stress was imposed at initiation of bolls for 12 days for every genotype, replication wise. Second stress was imposed 12 days after first stress. 90 earthen pots of 18x17x10 inch were maintained for water stress condition (3 pots per genotypes per replications). Five holes of 2.5 cm deep around the periphery were made in each pot and two seeds were sown per hill. After germination seedlings were thinned and maintained one plant per hill, thus there were three seedlings per pot was maintained. 120 polybags were sown as separate set for root parameter and dry matter production studies.

B. Non stress condition
Ten genotypes of Gossypium hirsutum replicated thrice at spacing of 60cmx30cm in field condition.

Result and Discussion
Effect of moisture stress on morpho-physiological characters
The result of conducted experiment revealed that the moisture stress imposed on G. hirsutum genotypes reduced both the growth and the yield significantly by means of affecting morpho-physiological characters of cotton genotypes. Plant height, number of leaves and leaf area was less under control (water stress) condition after the imposition of water stress in all genotypes than genotypes in field condition. Moisture stress severely restricted cotton growth and development, in terms of affecting plant height, leaf dry weight, stem dry weight, leaf area index, node number and root development (Loka et al.,2011) [6]. When compared with genotypes of field condition, genotypes of stressed condition were significantly less in total dry matter production. As water stress imposed to the genotypes, plant lead to reduction in the number of leaves and the growth which resulted in the reduction in leaf area and total dry matter of plants.
Relative leaf water content (RLWC) was maintained during initial growth stages of crop under both conditions but after imposition of water stress to the set of pot culture RWG starts to decline gradually during later stages up to the harvest. Ananthi et al. (2013) [1] reported that, significant decrease in RLWC under moisture stressed condition is due to reduced absorption of water from the soil and inability to control water loss through the stomata.
Both root length and root: shoot ratio were less in stressed condition as compared to genotypes of field condition. Zhang et al. (2017) [8] stated that, root growth rates are commonly employed for estimating crop yield losses in cotton crop. Insufficient soil moisture restricts root growth and development and consequently impairs functioning of the aerial parts.
Number of monopodial and sympodial branches were also reduced in stressed condition which significantly affected the number of bolls and ultimately seed cotton yield. Near about all genotypes were significantly affected by moisture stress under pot culture where few genotypes like AKH-9916, AKH-8828, AKH-1301 and AKH-1302 respond better than the other genotypes for different individual characters. Drought stress causes a wide range of adverse effects on physiological traits as well as productivity of cotton crop (Fang et al.,2015) [2].

Effect of moisture stress on biochemical characters
Proline content was observed to be increased in pot culture (stressed condition) in response to moisture stress. Proline is the amino acid which is produced by plant to resist the drought stress/ abiotic stress. When genotypes of controlled condition were imposed by water stress, plants started synthesis of proline in response to stress. Therefore, the content of proline was less in genotypes of field condition (non-stress). Iqbal et al. (2016) [3] have reported that the accumulation of proline in drought-tolerant and drought-sensitive cultivars has revealed the significance of this osmolyte. Total chlorophyll content was lowered by pot culture during later stages of plant growth due to insufficient water content in plant. Zhang et al. (2017) [8] stated that, stomata closing in response to moisture stress results in a reduction in leaf photosynthetic capacity resulting in chloroplast dehydration and decreased CO₂ diffusion into the leaf. Chlorophyll stability index (CSI %) was found higher in the genotypes which were affected little by water stress. Sampathkumar et al. (2014) [7] stated that, a higher CSI helps the plants to withstand moisture stress through better availability of chlorophyll. The sufficient moisture level in the plant root zone might be the reason for higher CSI.

Effect of moisture stress on yield and yield attributes
Accelerated abscission of fruits and leaves in drought-stressed cotton crop could be associated with final yield reduction (Pettrigrew, 2004). Number of bolls per plants were reduced in genotypes of stressed condition as a result of reduced plant growth and water content of plant. Number of bolls were higher in non-stress condition (field condition) due to the sufficient fulfillment of water requirement of plants which resulted in higher seed cotton yield than the water stressed genotypes. Water stress affected directly on the yield of crop when occurred during the later stages of growth. Reduced or improper boll formation in stress genotypes lead to reduction in test weight of seeds. Genotypes which were sown on field without moisture stress produced better seed cotton yield than the water stressed plants. Genotypes which gave better physiological response under stress condition (AKH-9916, AKH-8828, AKH-1301 and AKH-1302) also produced higher seed cotton yield than other stressed genotypes. These genotypes also performed better in field condition than other. In cotton, the sensitivity to drought stress during flowering and boll development has been well established and insufficient soil water at this stage lead to a reduced plant height, number of fruiting branches, boll shedding, developed bolls and seed cotton yield (Loka et al., 2012) [5].
Conclusion
In the given investigation, genotypes were tested under water stress and non-stress condition to determine the effect of moisture stress on cotton genotypes (G. hirsutum). Water stress imposed on pot culture affected both vegetative and reproductive growth of genotypes due to the necessity of water at every stage of crop growth. Generally, stress symptoms are mostly observed in the leaves of plants showing loss of turgor, drooping, wilting, etiolation, yellowing, and premature downfall. Stress occurrence during vegetative and flowering stages of crop may lead to decreased yield of crop. Seed cotton yield was also reduced in moisture stressed condition, where genotypes of field condition were influenced with normal growth and yield.

References
1. Ananthi K, Vijayaraghavan H, Karuppaiya M, Anand T. Insight Botany. 2013; 3(1):1-5.
2. Fang Y, Xiong L. General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. Mol Life Sci. 2015; 72:673–689.
3. Iqbal MJ, Maqsood Y, Abdin ZU, Manzoor A, Hassan M, Jamil A. SSR markers associated with Proline in
drought tolerant wheat germplasm. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 2016; 178:1042-1052.
4. Loka DA. Effect of water-deficit stress on cotton during reproductive development. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark, 2012.
5. Loka DM, Derrick M, Oosterhuis DM, Ritchie GL. Water-deficit stress in cotton. In Stress Physiology in Cotton (Oosterhuis, D.M., eds), Number Seven The Cotton Foundation Book Series. National Cotton Council of America, 2011, 37–72.
6. Pettigrew WT. Physiological consequences of moisture deficit stress in cotton. Crop Sci. 2004; 44:1265–72. https://doi.org/10.2135/crops ci2004.1265.
7. Sampathkumar T, Pandian BJ, Jayakumar P, Manickasundaram P. Expl Agric. 2014; 50(3):407-425.
8. Zhang H, Khan A, Tan DKY, Luo H. Rational water and nitrogen management improves root growth, increases yield and maintains water use efficiency of cotton under mulch drip irrigation. Front Plant Sci. 2017; 8:912. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00912.
9. Zhang H, Li D, Zhou Z, Zahoor R, Chen B, Meng Y. Soil water and salt affect cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) photosynthesis, yield and fiber quality in coastal saline soil. Agric Water Manage. 2017; 187:112–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/J agwat.03.019.
10. Bhargava S, Sawant K. Drought stress adaptation: Metabolic adjustment and regulation of gene expression. Plant Breed. 2013; 132:21–32.
11. Dalton DA, Joyner SL, Becana M, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Chatfield JM. Antioxidant defenses in the peripheral cell layers of legume root nodules. Plant Physiol. 1998; 116:37–43.
12. Per TS, Khan NA, Reddy PS, Masood A, Hasanuzzaman M, Khan MIR et al. Approaches in modeling proline metabolism in plants for salt and drought tolerance: Phytohoromes, mineral nutrients and transgenics. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2017; 115:126–140.
13. Kishor KPB, Sreenivasulu N. Is proline accumulation per se correlated with stress tolerance or is proline homeostasis a more critical issue. Plant Cell Environ. 2014; 37:300–311.
14. Sahito A, Baloch ZA, Mahar A, Otho SA, Kalhoro SA, Ali A et al. Effect of water stress on the growth and yield of cotton crop (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Am. J Plant Sci. 2015; 6:1027–1039.
15. Soomro MH, Markhand GS, Soomro BA. Screening pakistani cotton for drought tolerance. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2011; 44(1):383–388.