Multi-Sensory Integration Impairment in Patients with Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy
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Paper-and-pencil-based psychometric tests are the gold standard for diagnosis of cognitive dysfunction in liver disease. However, they take time, can be affected by demographic factors, and lack ecological validity. This study explored multi-sensory integration ability to discriminate cognitive dysfunction in cirrhosis. Thirty-two healthy controls and 30 cirrhotic patients were recruited. The sensory integration test presents stimuli from two different modalities (e.g., image/sound) with a short time lag, and subjects judge which stimuli appeared first. Repetitive tests reveal the sensory integration capability. Performance in the sensory integration test, psychometric tests, and functional near-infrared spectroscopy for patients was compared to controls. Sensory integration capability, the perceptual threshold to discriminate the time gap between an image and sound stimulus, was significantly impaired in cirrhotic patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE) compared to controls ($p < 0.01$) and non-MHE patients ($p < 0.01$). Sensory integration test showed good correlation with psychometric tests (NCT-A, $r = 0.383$, $p = 0.002$; NCT-B, $r = 0.450$, $p < 0.01$; DST-F, $r = -0.322$, $p = 0.011$; DST-B, $r = -0.384$, $p = 0.002$; ACPT, $r = -0.467$, $p < 0.01$). Psychometric tests were dependent on age and education level, while the sensory integration test was not affected. The sensory integration test, where a cut-off value for the perceptual threshold was 133.3ms, recognized MHE patients at 90% sensitivity and 86.5% specificity.

Impairment of cognition is frequently seen in advanced liver disease. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE) defined as cognitive dysfunction1, reduced quality of life2, and driving impairments3. MHE increases the risk of progression to overt hepatic encephalopathy and is associated with a poor survival rate4. Early interventions for MHE patients can improve their quality of life5. Hence, early detection of MHE is clinically important.

There are various diagnostic tools for MHE. A psychometric hepatic encephalopathy score (PHES) and repeatable battery for the assessment of neuropsychological status are mostly widely used paper-and-pencil tests which assess cognitive dysfunction in MHE. Critical flicker frequency6, electroencephalogram7, and functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS)8 are also used to assess cognitive function in MHE patients. Among them, PHES is the gold standard for MHE diagnosis9. International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy and Nitrogen metabolism recommended measuring multiple cognitive domains including processing speed, working memory, verbal memory, visuospatial ability, visual memory, language, reaction time, and motor functions9. Note that PHES is focused on only two cognitive domains which are processing speed and visuospatial ability9.

On the other hand, multi-sensory integration is a multi-domain cognitive process which integrates various information from different senses. When different sensory stimuli (e.g., image and sound) arise with a very short time lag, sensory integration perceives them as the same event. If sensory integration failed, meaningful perceptual experience in a real-life environment could not be achieved. Therefore, sensory integration is crucial to participate in activities of daily living10. Recently, sensory integration capability gets the limelight in various conditions as sensitive surrogate marker to detect early cognitive impairment. For instance, sensory integration malfunctioning in elderly patients leads to severe cognitive deficits11. Autistic patients with impaired sensory integration function also showed they have worse social communication skills12. Sensory integration capability can be a powerful measure to assess multiple cognitive domains13.
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influenced by either age (\(r = 0.278, p < 0.01\); DST, \(r = -0.337, p < 0.01\); DST-F, \(r = -0.319, p < 0.05\); and DST-B, \(r = -0.278, p < 0.05\)) and education level (NCT-A, \(r = -0.523, p < 0.01\); NCT-B, \(r = -0.551, p < 0.01\); DST, \(r = 0.688, p < 0.01\); DST-F, \(r = 0.452, p < 0.01\); DST-B, \(r = 0.351, p < 0.01\); and ACPT, \(r = 0.319, p < 0.05\)). Older and less educated subjects had a weaker performance on psychometric tests. In contrast, the derived perceptual threshold from sensory integration test was not influenced by either age (\(r = 0.049, p = 0.707\)) or education level (\(r = -0.021, p = 0.869\)).

### Diagnostic performance of the sensory integration test in MHE

Perceptual threshold was significantly higher in MHE patients than healthy control and cirrhosis without MHE (Fig. 1). Perceptual threshold was 122.8 ± 9.5 ms in healthy controls, 126.8 ± 10.1 ms in cirrhotic patients with normal psychometric results, and 137.1 ± 2.9 ms in MHE patients (\(F_{2,59} = 9.514, p < 0.01\)). A two-way ANOVA showed no interaction effect between the gender and the subject group on the perceptual threshold (\(F_{3,56} = 1.015, p = 0.369\)). There was no statistically significant difference in the perceptual threshold between males and females (\(F_{3,56} = 1.525, p = 0.222\)). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of the sensory integration test to diagnose MHE patients showed the area under the ROC curve value as 0.937 (95% confidence interval: 0.877–0.996, \(p < 0.01\)). The sensory integration test with a cut-off value 133.3 ms distinguished between the patients with MHE and the other groups at 90% sensitivity and 86.5% specificity.

---

### Table 1. Basic characteristics on demographic variables and psychometric test results in healthy controls, cirrhotic patients, and MHE patients.

| Demographic variables | Healthy controls | Cirrhotic patients | MHE patients | \(p^1\) | \(p^2\) | \(p^3\) |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Number (male/female) | 32(17/15)       | 20(13/7)          | 10(6/4)     | —       | —       | —       |
| Age (years)          | 55.3 ± 4.9      | 56.6 ± 6.6        | 55.0 ± 8.0  | 0.701   | 0.886   | 0.495   |
| Education level (years) | 11.9 ± 3.7 | 11.8 ± 3.6        | 9.5 ± 3.0   | 0.165   | 0.064   | 0.109   |
| Perceptual threshold of multi-sensory integration (ms) | 122.8 ± 9.5 | 126.8 ± 10.1 | 137.1 ± 2.9 | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.004   |

Basic characteristics of the subjects based on demographic and psychometric results were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc analysis (Table 1). There were no statistical differences in age and education level among the healthy controls, cirrhotic patients (i.e., cirrhotic patients without MHE), and MHE patients (i.e., cirrhotic patients with MHE). Most psychometric tests, such as number connection test-A (NCT-A), number connection test-B (NCT-B), digit symbol test (DST), digit span test-forward (DST-F), digit span test-backward (DST-B), and auditory continuous performance test (ACPT), showed abnormal findings only in MHE patients, not in healthy controls or cirrhotic patients without MHE.

### Results

#### Basic characteristics of demographic/psychometric results.

Basic characteristics of the subjects based on demographic and psychometric results were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc analysis (Table 1). There were no statistical differences in age and education level among the healthy controls, cirrhotic patients, and MHE patients. Values are mean ± SD. NCT-A: number connection test-A; NCT-B: number connection test-B; DST: digit symbol test; DST-F: digit span test-forward; DST-B: digit span test-backward; and ACPT: auditory continuous performance test. ANOVA analysis, healthy controls v. cirrhotic patients v. MHE patients. Post-hoc analysis, healthy controls v. MHE patients. Post-hoc analysis, cirrhotic patients v. MHE patients.

---

However, there is no study whether capability of sensory integration is impaired in liver cirrhosis and MHE patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study applied “sensory integration” concept for the assessment of cognitive function in cirrhosis. Sensory integration test in MHE can add more multifaceted information and give comprehensive insight to understand pathophysiology of MHE\(^\text{14}\). This study explored sensory integration ability to discriminate cognitive dysfunction in cirrhosis.
Correlation between sensory integration test and neurophysiological test. Oxygenated hemoglobin (oxyHb) change level was assessed by fNIRS at frontal area (Table 3). Among the fNIRS 16-channels, significant group differences were found in channel 7, channel 10, and channel 13. OxyHb level was significantly lower in MHE patients than both healthy controls and cirrhotic patients.

Discussion

The present study showed that perceptual threshold of multi-sensory integration can discriminate MHE from cirrhosis patients. The sensory integration test was strongly correlated with conventional psychometric tests. This finding reflects that multi-sensory integration is a higher-order cognitive function including multiple cognitive domains such as processing speed, working memory, verbal memory, visual memory, language, reaction time, and motor functions. Hence, the sensory integration test can be a valid measure to assess multiple cognitive domains.

Moreover, sensory integration test had several advantages compared to conventional psychometric tests. First, it lessens the influence from demographic factors. Our results clearly showed that the sensory integration test is not affected by subject's gender, age, or education level. Contrary to this, conventional psychometric tests are susceptible to demographic factors such as age or education level. These findings corroborate those of other studies suggesting that older people have psychometric decline caused by diminished regional brain volume, myelin integrity, and cortical thickness. Association between psychometric results and education level is also well-known. Second, the sensory integration test takes less than five minutes to complete. Note that most

| Demographic factors         | Threshold of sensory integration |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Age (years)                | 0.049                           |
| Education (years)          | -0.021                          |

| Psychometric tests          | Threshold of sensory integration |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| NCT-A (time to completion)  | 0.383                           |
| NCT-A (number of errors)    | 0.104                           |
| NCT-B (time to completion)  | 0.450                           |
| NCT-B (number of errors)    | 0.333                           |
| DST (number of correct answers) | -0.226   |
| DST-F (number of correct answers) | -0.322   |
| DST-B (number of correct answers) | -0.384   |
| ACPT (number of correct answers) | -0.150   |
| ACPT (reaction time, s)     | 0.467                           |

Table 2. Associations of sensory integration test with conventional psychometric tests NCT-A: number connection test-A; NCT-B: number connection test-B; DST: digit symbol test; DST-F: digit span test-forward; DST-B: digit span test-backward; and ACPT: auditory continuous performance test.
four weeks of starting the study, a history of neurological/psychiatric diseases, and a history of brain surgery. Additional information from relatives or nursing staffs. None of the subjects abused drugs or drank alcohol heavily.

daily behavior rhythm was assessed using a medical history interview by a medical doctor, where necessary, with

symptoms, were excluded from this study in order to eliminate other confounding factors of cognitive dysfunction. The

sleep complaints, daytime somnolence, depression, anxiety, alexithymia, loss of appetite, or gastrointestinal symp-

dimes10. This is an important finding since the sensory integration test could overcome limitations of conven-

tional psychometric tests which lack ecological validity.

In summary, the present study provides preliminary evidence that the sensory integration test is an alternative
test to detecting cognitive dysfunction in cirrhotic patients. ROC curve analysis suggested 133.3 ms as the cut-off
value of the sensory integration test to discriminate cognitive dysfunction by a good area under the ROC curve
value. This finding points to the potential of the sensory integration test as a new screening tool to diagnose MHE
patients.

There are some limitations in our study. First, although the sensory integration test discriminated MHE
patients from healthy controls, clinical norm data has not been validated for use as a diagnostic tool. Second, it
is not yet fully understood how exact MHE-related pathophysiological mechanisms are associated with the
impaired sensory integration function. Clinical implications and relations between cognitive dysfunction and
sensory integration impairment will be examined in the near future.

Despite these shortcomings, the current study presented the potential of the sensory integration test as a
simple yet sensitive tool to diagnose cognitive impairment in cirrhosis irrespective of gender, age, and education
level. Until now, most conventional psychometric tests only focused on processing speed and visuospatial ability.12
This is the first study investigating multi-sensory integration impairment in MHE. The sensory integration test is
beneficial not only because the low cost and fast speed of sensory integration test makes it attractive and feasible
for wide implementation in a clinical setting, but also because it might help overcome the limitations of many
conventional psychometric tests.

**Methods**

**Study design.** This study was performed as a case-control study. We recruited 32 healthy controls (17
males/15 females) and 30 cirrhotic patients (19 males/11 females) in a single tertiary center. The individual in this
manuscript has given written informed consent to publish these case details. A written informed consent form
was obtained from each subject after explaining the experimental procedure. This study was approved by the
institutional review board of the Hanyang University according to the Declaration of Helsinki (HYUH-2013-08-
017-002). The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the Harvard
Dataverse with the DOI: 10.7910/DVN/53W4LE.

**Inclusion criteria.** Healthy controls were recruited from a health promotion center. A healthy control was
defined after a routine health check-up program. Cirrhotic patients were selected among outpatients from the
department of hepatology. Cirrhosis was diagnosed when liver surface nodularity was confirmed by imaging
modality or decompensated signs (varix, ascites, or bacterial peritonitis) were observed. Routine laboratory tests,
a physical examination, and medical history interview were done by a medical doctor with 17 years of experience.

**Exclusion criteria.** Cirrhotic patients who suffered from a disturbance in daily behavior rhythm, such as
sleep complaints, daytime somnolence, depression, anxiety, alexithymia, loss of appetite, or gastrointestinal symp-
toms, were excluded from this study in order to eliminate other confounding factors of cognitive dysfunction. The
daily behavior rhythm was assessed using a medical history interview by a medical doctor, where necessary, with
additional information from relatives or nursing staffs. None of the subjects abused drugs or drank alcohol heav-
ily within four weeks of starting the study. Other exclusion criteria were a history of psychoactive drug use within
four weeks of starting the study, a history of neurological/psychiatric diseases, and a history of brain surgery.

**Psychometric tests.** A total of six psychometric tests were administered to the enrolled subjects: (i) NCT-A
for assessing both processing speed and motor functions13; (ii) NCT-B for language13; (iii) DST for visual memory15;
(iv) DST-F and (v) DST-B for verbal memory and working memory respectively15; and (vi) ACPT for reaction time.20

Two unbiased medical doctors with 17 and 20 years of experience were employed for this study and they
used the psychometric tests to diagnose cirrhotic patients as having MHE (MHE patients) or not having MHE
(cirrhotic patients). MHE was diagnosed if at least two psychometric test results exceed 2SDs from those in an
age-matched control group.21 From the 30 cirrhotic patients, 10 patients (six males/four females) were diagnosed
as MHE and 20 (13 males/seven females) were diagnosed as cirrhotic patients based on the 2SDs rule.

| Hemodynamic responses | Healthy controls | Cirrhotic patients | MHE patients | P† | P§ | P§ |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---|---|---|
| Channel 7 (OxyHb level) | −0.1 ± 1.3 | −0.3 ± 1.4 | −1.5 ± 1.0 | 0.011 | 0.003 | 0.021 |
| Channel 10 (OxyHb level) | −0.2 ± 1.5 | −0.2 ± 1.8 | −1.7 ± 1.1 | 0.034 | 0.013 | 0.022 |
| Channel 13 (OxyHb level) | 0.1 ± 1.5 | −0.4 ± 1.1 | −1.5 ± 1.1 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.043 |

Table 3. Hemodynamic responses in fNIRS channel 7, 10, 13. Values are mean ± SD. †ANOVA analysis, healthy
controls v. cirrhotic patients v. MHE patients. §Post-hoc analysis, healthy controls v. MHE patients. §Post-hoc
analysis, cirrhotic patients v. MHE patients.
Sensory integration test. To evaluate a subject's sensory integration function, the sensory integration test was developed based on a previous cognitive neuroscience study22. The sensory integration test presents a movie clip of a single clap of two hands which consists of two different sensory modalities such as image and sound (Fig. 2). The stimulus-onset asynchrony (SOA) between the image and sound varied with 10 different time interval conditions such as ±320 ms, ±240 ms, ±160 ms, ±80 ms, and ±40 ms; note that ‘+’ means “image-first” and ‘−’ for “sound-first”. Subjects responded to a total of 80 questions where each condition randomly presented eight times.

In each question, the subject judges whether the image or the sound stimulus appeared first in the movie clip. The responses were plotted in an S-shaped logistic regression curve as the “image-first” response proportion of the SOA. As a result of the sensory integration test, we defined the perceptual threshold as the smallest time lag that a subject can reliably notice between asynchronous image and sound stimulus. The perceptual threshold was calculated from half of the estimated SOA values between the 25% and 75% marks on the curve. Note that the perceptual threshold means a just-noticeable difference. A smaller perceptual threshold indicates an intact sensory integration capability, whereas a bigger threshold indicates an impaired capability (Fig. 3).

Functional near-infrared spectroscopy. The fNIRS measures the prefrontal hemodynamic responses during the sensory integration test in order to examine the underlying neurophysiological mechanisms in MHE14. It is a non-invasive and on-the-spot functional neuroimaging technique that allows detection of prefrontal brain activity by using near-infrared light. Many studies have used fNIRS due to its convenience and portability23.

The 16-channel Spectratech OEG-16 device was used in this study. Each subject's hemodynamic changes in the prefrontal area were recorded using a sampling rate of 650 ms. The recorded data were converted to oxyHb and deoxygenated hemoglobin (deoxyHb) change level by applying a modified Beer-Lambert law24. A pre-process method (e.g., zero-phase filters with a cut-off frequency of 0.01–0.09 Hz) was employed via MATLAB to remove physiological noise such as heartbeat, breathing, and eye blinking25. Decreased oxyHb activation is related to the onset of disease symptoms26. Therefore, oxyHb change level could be a potential measure of MHE. The 10 s baseline measurement for fNIRS was conducted before the sensory integration test. The oxyHb change level was calculated by the formula \(\frac{M_n - M_{bs}}{SD_{bs}}\). \(M_n\) and \(M_{bs}\) denote the mean of observed oxyHb level during the sensory integration test and the first 10 s baseline, respectively. \(SD_{bs}\) is the standard deviation of the first 10 s baseline.

Figure 2. A movie clip of a single clap of two hands which consists of image and sound stimulus. Asynchrony exists between the image and sound stimulus with 10 different time interval conditions. The above figure is one of the sound-first conditions which means a clap sound plays before a clap image.

Figure 3. Difference in the perceptual threshold. A smaller perceptual threshold from the sensory integration test indicates that a subject can reliably notice asynchrony between image and sound stimulus based on an intact sensory integration capability. A bigger threshold represents that a subject cannot distinguish asynchrony due to an impaired capability.
Procedure. The experimental tests were conducted in a quiet room with normal lighting. All subjects performed a training session for sensory integration test with immediate error feedback. The subjects continued the training session until six consecutive correct answers were given. After a training session, subjects continued the sensory integration test without error feedback. Note that subjects wore NIRs to assess oxyHb change level.

Statistical analysis. Well-known statistical methods were applied to examine the feasibility and the validity of the sensory integration test as a diagnostic tool for MHE by using IBM SPSS Statistics 21. A one-way ANOVA was applied to show the feasibility of the sensory integration test and ANOVAs was conducted to examine the effect of the gender and the subject group on the sensory integration test. Correlation analysis between conventional psychometric tests and the sensory integration test was employed for validity analysis. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was also plotted to examine the validity of the sensory integration test.
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