Tofacitinib Treatment Safety in Moderate to Severe Ulcerative Colitis: Comparison of Observational Population Cohort Data From the IBM MarketScan® Administrative Claims Database With Tofacitinib Trial Data
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Background: Tofacitinib is an oral, small molecule Janus kinase inhibitor for the treatment of ulcerative colitis (UC). We aimed to estimate the overall incidence of safety events in patients with UC in a real-life population cohort for comparison with the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program.

Methods: Clinical trial-like criteria were applied to an IBM MarketScan® claims database population-based cohort (n = 22,967) of patients with UC (October 2010 to September 2015) to identify a UC trial-like cohort treated with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi; n = 6366) to compare with the tofacitinib UC clinical trial cohort (n = 1157).

Results: Incidence rates (events per 100 patient-years; [95% confidence interval]) in the UC trial-like cohort were as follows: serious infections, 3.33 (2.73–4.02); opportunistic infections (OIs; excluding herpes zoster [HZ]), 1.45 (1.06–1.93); HZ, 1.77 (1.34–2.29); malignancies (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer [NMSC]), 0.63 (0.43–0.90); NMSC, 1.69 (1.35–2.10); major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), 0.51 (0.31–0.79); pulmonary embolism (PE), 0.54 (0.30–0.89); deep vein thrombosis (DVT), 1.41 (1.00–1.93); and gastrointestinal perforations, 0.31 (0.16–0.54).

Compared with the UC trial-like cohort, tofacitinib-treated patients had numerically lower incidence rates for serious infections (1.75 [1.27–2.36]), OIs (excluding HZ; 0.16 [0.04–0.42]), NMSC (0.78 [0.47–1.22]), PE (0.16 [0.04–0.41]), and DVT (0.04 [0.00–0.23]), and a higher rate for HZ (3.57 [2.84–4.43]); rates for malignancies (excluding NMSC), MACE, and gastrointestinal perforations were similar.

Conclusions: When acknowledging limitations of comparing claims data with controlled clinical trial data, incidence rates for HZ among TNFi-treated patients in the UC trial-like cohort were lower than in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial cohort; rates for serious infections, OIs, NMSC, PE, and DVT were numerically higher.
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INTRODUCTION

For patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), existing data suggest an increased prevalence of many types of infection and certain malignancies.\(^1,2\) These are important safety considerations for patients, due to immunosuppression associated with the disease itself\(^3,4\) and the immunosuppressant therapies\(^5,6\) used to treat UC, which include biologic therapies (such as tumor necrosis factor inhibitors [TNFi], anti-integrins, and interleukin-12/23 antagonists). Accordingly, there is a need for representative epidemiological data with which to compare and contrast safety outcomes from controlled clinical trials of new UC therapies, particularly in the absence of head-to-head comparative clinical studies.\(^1,2\) Contextualization of safety data for new UC therapies with safety data of therapies that represent the current standard of care (ie, TNFi) is of particular importance.

Tofacitinib is an oral, small molecule Janus kinase inhibitor for the treatment of UC. The tofacitinib UC clinical trial program reported the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in patients with moderate to severe UC in a randomized controlled setting as induction therapy\(^7,8\) (one 8-week phase 2 study [A3921063, NCT00787202] and two 8-week phase 3 studies [OCTAVE Induction 1 and 2, NCT01465763 and NCT01458951]), and as maintenance therapy (one 52-week phase 3 study for clinical responders in OCTAVE Induction 1 and 2 [OCTAVE Sustain, NCT01458574]).\(^8\) The long-term safety and efficacy of tofacitinib in patients with UC is being evaluated in an ongoing, open-label, long-term extension (OLE) study (OCTAVE Sustain, NCT01458574).\(^8\) With the exception of a higher rate of herpes zoster (HZ) infection in tofacitinib-treated patients, compared with placebo-treated patients, integrated safety analyses of tofacitinib in the OCTAVE clinical trial program suggested a safety profile similar to that reported in clinical trials of biologic therapies used to treat UC, albeit not from head-to-head studies.\(^9\)

Real-world data are important to aid in the interpretation of outcomes derived from the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program and to further characterize the safety profile of tofacitinib treatment. The IBM MarketScan\(^*\) databases are a group of administrative databases, compiled and maintained by Truven Health Analytics, that contain inpatient and outpatient claims, outpatient prescription claims, clinical utilization records, and health expenditure data from patients in the United States.\(^10\) This family of databases contains de-identified patient-level health data (medical, drug, and dental), productivity (workplace absence, short- and long-term disability and workers’ compensation), laboratory results, health risk assessments, hospital discharges, and electronic medical records. Data are contributed by large employers, managed care organizations, hospitals, electronic medical record providers, Medicare, and Medicaid.\(^11\)

In this population-based descriptive study, we utilized data from the IBM MarketScan\(^*\) claims database to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities and background incidence rates for safety events of interest (serious infections, opportunistic infections [OIs; excluding HZ], HZ, malignancies [excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer (NMSC)], NMSC, major adverse cardiovascular events [MACE], pulmonary embolism [PE], deep vein thrombosis [DVT], and gastrointestinal [GI] perforations) in a cohort of adults with moderate to severe UC in a real-world setting. Data from the MarketScan\(^*\) observational database were used to compare and contrast previously reported safety observations from the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program,\(^10\) including contextualization of tofacitinib UC clinical trial safety data vs observational safety data for TNFi therapies.

METHODS

Study Design

This was a retrospective, descriptive study of US medical claims and pharmacy records data from patients diagnosed with UC from the IBM MarketScan\(^*\) claims database for the period October 1, 2010, to September 30, 2015.

Patient Analysis

From the IBM MarketScan\(^*\) claims database, a population-based cohort of patients with UC was identified using the following selection criteria: patients were 18 years or older with a diagnosis of UC, as defined by International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision (ICD-9) code 556.X (but excluding ICD-9 code 556.4). Two separate diagnoses of UC were required at different points in time, with at least one made by a gastroenterologist. To identify patients with moderate to severe UC, eligible patients were restricted to those who were receiving or had received infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, or vedolizumab; patients who were receiving or had received azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, tacrolimus, or cyclosporine; or patients who received more than 4000 mg of prednisone-equivalent oral systemic corticosteroids in the 6 months before the start of follow-up. Patients were also required to have at least 12 months of database enrollment before the index date (defined as the latest date that a patient satisfied all eligibility criteria), with no more than a 30-day gap in health care and pharmacy coverage. The follow-up period was defined from the index date to the earliest of either the date of death, date of lost medical or pharmacy coverage, or end of the study period.

From a population-based cohort of patients with UC selected from the MarketScan\(^*\) claims database, a UC trial-like cohort was established using key trial-like selection criteria similar to those used in the phase 3 tofacitinib OCTAVE clinical trials.\(^8\) The exclusion criteria applied were prior diagnosis of infection with HIV (ICD-9 codes 042, 0420-0422, 0429-0433, 0439-0440, and 0449), hepatitis B or C virus (ICD-9 codes 0702, 07020-07023, 0703, 07030-07033, 07041, 07044, 07051, 07054, and 07070-07071), diagnoses of cancer (excluding NMSC; detailed criteria for identification of malignancies are provided in the supplementary data),...
Crohn’s disease (gastroenterologist-diagnosed), prior surgery for UC, recent bowel surgery (within 6 months; identified based on ICD-9 and Current Procedural Terminology [CPT] codes), history of colectomy, solid organ transplantation, bone marrow transplantation, advanced kidney disease (ICD-9 codes 585.3, 585.4, 585.5, and 585.6), advanced liver disease (ICD-9 code 789.5), hepatic encephalopathy (ICD-9 code 572.2), or esophageal varices (ICD-9 codes 456.0, 456.1, and 456.2).

The index date was defined as the first date of prescription or administration of a new UC treatment in the pharmacy or procedure data after the population-based cohort index date, with no prior use of that therapy. The follow-up was defined from the index date to the earliest of the date of death, date of lost medical or pharmacy coverage, end of the study period, first outcome occurrence, or treatment switch or discontinuation. The baseline period was defined as the 12-month period before the index date.

New drug use exposure (ie, patients naïve to the drug or drug class before exposure) to the following categories of drugs was identified: immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, oral or subcutaneous methotrexate, oral or intravenous [IV] tacrolimus, and oral or IV cyclosporine); biologics, including TNFi (adalimumab, infliximab, and golimumab); and vedolizumab. These analyses were performed before the approval of ustekinumab or tofacitinib for the treatment of UC.13, 14 A patient could only be counted as a new user once per drug category; however, it was possible for a patient to be considered a new user for multiple drug categories (eg, a patient with new drug use exposure identified for azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, adalimumab, and vedolizumab would be included once in the immunomodulators/immunosuppressants category and once in the biologic category).

From the UC trial-like cohort, a subpopulation was selected in which patient follow-up was truncated to 12 months (post-index date) to mimic the study treatment duration from the tofacitinib maintenance study (OCTAVE Sustain).4 Follow-up for the 12-month UC trial-like cohort was the same as for the UC trial-like cohort, but it included up to a maximum of 365 days after the index date instead of up to the end of the study period.

Tofacitinib Ulcerative Colitis Clinical Trial Overall Cohort

The tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort included 1157 patients who received tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily or tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program (phase 2 and phase 3 induction studies, a phase 3 maintenance study, and an ongoing OLE study; data as of September 2018).8, 10 The majority (n = 971) of the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort received tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily.10 Incidence rates for safety events of interest in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort were calculated based on the number of unique patients with ≥1 event per 100 patient-years (PY) of exposure.

Study Outcomes and Analyses

For the UC trial-like cohort, the following safety events of interest were reported (stratified by drug exposures of interest): serious infections (viral, bacterial, and fungal), OIs (excluding HZ), malignancies (excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE (defined as myocardial infarction, stroke, or heart failure death in hospital), PE (defined as any inpatient diagnosis code of PE where the discharge disposition was that the patient had expired [died], or with subsequent administration or prescription of outpatient anticoagulant medication within 0–60 days of diagnosis code), DVT (defined as any inpatient hospitalization or outpatient diagnosis code of DVT, where [if hospitalized] the discharge disposition was that the patient had expired [died], or with subsequent outpatient administration or prescription of anticoagulant medication within 0–60 days of diagnosis code), and GI perforations. Serious infections were defined based on an inpatient primary discharge diagnosis of selected ICD-9 codes. Herpes zoster was defined as ICD-9 code 053 (inpatient discharge diagnosis code or outpatient provider evaluation and management encounter diagnosis code). Detailed criteria for identification of OIs, malignancies (excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE, PE, DVT, and GI perforations are reported in the supplementary data. Gastrointestinal perforations were identified based on either (1) an inpatient diagnosis code that contained GI perforation or (2) an inpatient diagnosis code that suggested GI perforation without specific mention of GI perforation, when coupled with a GI surgery CPT code during the same hospitalization.

Statistical Analyses

Incidence rates (patients with events per 100 PY) for safety events of interest in the UC trial-like cohort were calculated based on the number of new events divided by the sum of the duration of patient exposures from the index date to censoring date during the risk period.

Associated 2-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for incidence rates were calculated based on an assumed Poisson distribution.15, 16 For malignancies (excluding NMSC) and NMSC, age-adjusted incidence rates in the UC trial-like cohort were calculated using the 2000 US Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER)17 population by 10 years of age for the standardization.

Given the descriptive nature of this study, neither hypothesis testing nor multivariable modeling was performed. No imputation for missing data points was performed. Sensitivity analyses were conducted using alternative definitions for serious infections, NMSC, and GI perforation (see supplementary data online for alternative definitions).

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).
**Ethical Considerations**

All patient data were in compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act confidentiality requirements. The study received Institutional Review Board approval from the University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AB, USA.

**RESULTS**

**Patients and Baseline Characteristics**

Figure 1 shows the selection of patients for the UC trial-like cohort. A total of 22,967 patients with UC met the inclusion criteria for the overall population-based cohort. After application of the trial-like selection criteria, 13,163 treatment episodes were identified (ie, occurrences of patients newly initiating one or more UC therapies and meeting the selection criteria for inclusion in the trial-like cohort), and a total of 6366 unique patients contributed at least one treatment episode and were included in the UC trial-like cohort.

Baseline characteristics for the UC trial-like cohort are presented in Table 1. Baseline characteristics among patients were similar among patients initiating immunomodulators/immunosuppressants, biologics, and TNFi. Baseline characteristics for the overall MarketScan® UC population-based cohort are presented.
### TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients in the UC Trial-like Cohort, Overall and by New User Treatment Group

| Baseline Characteristic | UC Trial-like Cohorta (N = 6366) | Immunomodulators/Immunosuppressantsb (N = 2982) | Biologicsc (N = 4058) | TNFi (N = 3982) | Adalimumab (N = 2115) | Infliximab (N = 1939) | Golimumab (N = 367) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Age (years), mean (standard deviation) | 42.8 (14.6) | 43.5 (14.8) | 42.1 (14.4) | 42.1 (14.4) | 42.6 (14.0) | 41.4 (14.7) | 43.4 (14.8) |
| Gender (female), % | 48.5 | 48.7 | 48.4 | 48.5 | 47.4 | 49.0 | 47.7 |
| US Region, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| North-East | 1274 (20.0) | 581 (19.5) | 796 (19.6) | 764 (19.2) | 395 (18.7) | 496 (25.6) | 75 (20.4) |
| North-Central | 1548 (24.3) | 786 (26.4) | 940 (23.2) | 927 (23.3) | 466 (22.0) | 496 (25.6) | 63 (17.2) |
| South | 2465 (38.7) | 1091 (36.6) | 1634 (40.3) | 1619 (40.7) | 865 (40.9) | 764 (39.4) | 159 (43.3) |
| West | 973 (15.3) | 474 (15.9) | 620 (15.3) | 604 (15.2) | 348 (16.5) | 273 (14.1) | 60 (16.3) |
| Unknown | 106 (1.7) | 50 (1.7) | 68 (1.7) | 68 (1.7) | 41 (1.9) | 23 (1.2) | 10 (2.7) |
| Year of index date, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 371 (5.8) | 211 (7.1) | 169 (4.2) | 169 (4.2) | 41 (1.9) | 127 (6.5) | 1 (0.3) |
| 2012 | 1652 (26.0) | 904 (30.3) | 872 (21.5) | 872 (21.9) | 333 (15.7) | 569 (29.3) | 7 (1.9) |
| 2013 | 1428 (22.4) | 707 (23.7) | 893 (22.0) | 893 (22.4) | 520 (24.6) | 394 (20.3) | 87 (23.7) |
| 2014 | 1753 (27.5) | 702 (23.5) | 1264 (31.1) | 1252 (31.4) | 747 (35.3) | 497 (25.6) | 192 (52.3) |
| 2015 | 1162 (18.3) | 458 (15.4) | 860 (21.2) | 796 (20.0) | 474 (22.4) | 352 (18.2) | 80 (21.8) |
| Current smoker, n (%) | 216 (3.4) | 93 (3.1) | 150 (3.7) | 149 (3.7) | 66 (3.1) | 90 (4.6) | 11 (3.0) |
| Baseline glucocorticoid use, n (%) | 3145 (49.4) | 1699 (57.0) | 1793 (44.2) | 1767 (44.4) | 907 (42.9) | 895 (46.2) | 159 (43.3) |
| Baseline immunomodulator/immunosuppressant use, n (%) | 1181 (18.6) | 124 (4.2) | 1708 (42.1) | 1680 (42.2) | 874 (41.3) | 879 (45.3) | 167 (45.5) |
| Azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine | 1068 (16.8) | 116 (3.9) | 1556 (38.3) | 1530 (38.4) | 772 (36.5) | 829 (42.8) | 145 (39.5) |
| Baseline biologic use, n (%) | 494 (7.8) | 0 (0.0) | 498 (12.3) | 463 (11.6) | 454 (21.5) | 252 (13.0) | 180 (49.0) |
| Adalimumab | 142 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 145 (3.6) | 127 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 233 (12.0) | 126 (34.3) |
| Infliximab | 342 (5.4) | 0 (0.0) | 343 (8.5) | 325 (8.2) | 437 (20.7) | 0 (0.0) | 79 (21.5) |
| Golimumab | 13 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) | 13 (0.3) | 10 (0.3) | 17 (0.8) | 21 (1.1) | 0 (0.0) |
| Vedolizumab | 2 (<0.1) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (<0.1) | 5 (0.1) | 1 (<0.1) | 3 (0.2) | 1 (0.3) |
| Baseline TNFi use, n (%) | 493 (7.7) | 0 (0.0) | 497 (12.2) | 460 (11.6) | 453 (21.4) | 251 (12.9) | 179 (48.8) |
| TNFi-experienced (any prior use), n (%) | 566 (8.9) | 0 (0.0) | 570 (14.0) | 526 (13.2) | 506 (23.9) | 264 (13.6) | 194 (52.9) |
| Comorbidities, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| Metabolic syndrome | 25 (0.4) | 12 (0.4) | 17 (0.4) | 17 (0.4) | 10 (0.5) | 7 (0.4) | 2 (0.5) |
| Diabetes | 412 (6.5) | 200 (6.7) | 256 (6.3) | 253 (6.4) | 135 (6.4) | 118 (6.1) | 30 (8.2) |
| Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease | 74 (1.2) | 39 (1.3) | 49 (1.2) | 48 (1.2) | 30 (1.4) | 19 (1.0) | 3 (0.8) |
| Hypertension | 1178 (18.5) | 569 (19.1) | 726 (17.9) | 712 (17.9) | 401 (19.0) | 336 (17.3) | 61 (16.6) |
| Coronary heart disease | 192 (3.0) | 96 (3.2) | 119 (2.9) | 116 (2.9) | 63 (3.0) | 54 (2.8) | 11 (3.0) |
| Concurrent NSAID use, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| Oral NSAID (non-COX) | 932 (14.6) | 440 (14.8) | 581 (14.3) | 574 (14.4) | 324 (15.3) | 259 (13.4) | 45 (12.3) |
| Oral NSAID (COX) | 133 (2.1) | 51 (1.7) | 102 (2.5) | 102 (2.6) | 54 (2.6) | 46 (2.4) | 10 (2.7) |
| Prior medical history defined by physician diagnosis during 1-year baseline, n (%) | | | | | | | |
| OIs (excluding HZ) | 67 (1.1) | 21 (0.7) | 54 (1.3) | 54 (1.4) | 31 (1.5) | 27 (1.4) | 5 (1.4) |
Incidence Rates for Safety Events of Interest

Crude incidence rates (patients with events per 100 PY) for safety events of special interest in the population-based cohort are presented in Supplementary Figure 1.

Serious infections

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were 37 serious infection events (defined as inpatient hospitalization in the primary position) among 4420 patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 3.33 (95% CI, 2.69–4.03; 3243.4 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 1.75 (95% CI, 1.27–2.36; 2457.3 PY) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 2). There were 10 serious infection events among 420 patients receiving vedolizumab, with an incidence rate of 6.26 (95% CI, 1.29–18.20; 48.0 PY of exposure).

Herpes Zoster

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 15 HZ events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74). There were 17 OI events (excluding HZ) identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.09; 95% CI, 0.63–1.74).
| Serious Infection | UC Trial-like Cohort | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                   | n/N PY               | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | n/N PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
| **Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 37/2830 1558.77 2.37 (1.67–3.27) | N/A | 35/2830 1212.12 2.89 (2.01–4.02) |
| **Any TNFi** | 107/4420 3214.46 3.33 (2.73–4.02) | N/A | 91/4420 2424.43 3.75 (3.02–4.61) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced)** | 23/964 633.08 3.63 (2.30–5.45) | N/A | 20/964 499.31 4.01 (2.45–6.19) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-naive)** | 84/3456 2581.38 3.25 (2.60–4.03) | N/A | 71/3456 1925.12 3.69 (2.84–4.65) |
| **TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 29/1635 806.31 3.60 (2.41–5.17) | N/A | 27/1635 677.01 3.99 (2.63–5.80) |
| **TNFi alone** | 60/2785 1770.03 1.78 (1.03–2.84) | N/A | 52/2785 1380.26 2.77 (1.81–4.94) |
| **Adalimumab** | 40/3456 1439.01 2.78 (1.99–3.79) | N/A | 30/3456 1120.63 2.68 (1.81–3.82) |
| **Infliximab** | 3/367 210.98 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |
| **Vedolizumab** | 3/367 210.98 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |

| OIs (Excluding HZ) | UC Trial-like Cohort | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                   | n/N PY               | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | n/N PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
| **Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 17/2830 1562.9 1.09 (0.63–1.74) | N/A | 16/2830 1216.57 1.32 (0.75–2.14) |
| **Any TNFi** | 47/4420 3243.43 1.45 (1.06–1.93) | N/A | 39/4420 2441.96 1.60 (1.14–2.18) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced)** | 13/964 2604.38 1.31 (0.90–1.82) | N/A | 11/964 503.06 2.19 (1.09–3.91) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-naive)** | 84/3456 2581.38 3.25 (2.60–4.03) | N/A | 71/3456 1925.12 3.69 (2.84–4.65) |
| **TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 11/1635 811.86 1.35 (0.68–2.42) | N/A | 10/1635 681.21 1.47 (0.70–2.70) |
| **TNFi alone** | 25/2785 1778.67 1.41 (0.91–2.07) | N/A | 19/2785 1387.63 1.37 (0.82–2.14) |
| **Adalimumab** | 16/2115 1449.15 1.10 (0.63–1.79) | N/A | 14/2115 1126.13 1.24 (0.68–2.09) |
| **Infliximab** | 3/367 47.95 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |
| **Vedolizumab** | 3/367 47.95 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |

| HZ | UC Trial-like Cohort | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort |
|----|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|     | n/N PY               | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | n/N PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
| **Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 15/2830 1564.26 0.96 (0.54–1.58) | N/A | 10/2830 1218.09 0.82 (0.39–1.51) |
| **Any TNFi** | 57/4420 3226.62 1.77 (1.34–2.29) | N/A | 46/4420 2436.91 1.89 (1.38–2.52) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced)** | 14/964 639.05 2.03 (1.08–3.48) | N/A | 11/964 503.06 2.19 (1.09–3.91) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-naive)** | 43/3456 2604.38 1.31 (0.90–1.82) | N/A | 28/3456 1938.9 1.44 (0.96–2.09) |
| **TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 10/1635 811.86 1.35 (0.68–2.42) | N/A | 10/1635 681.21 1.47 (0.70–2.70) |
| **TNFi alone** | 25/2785 1778.67 1.41 (0.91–2.07) | N/A | 19/2785 1387.63 1.37 (0.82–2.14) |
| **Adalimumab** | 16/2115 1449.15 1.10 (0.63–1.79) | N/A | 14/2115 1126.13 1.24 (0.68–2.09) |
| **Infliximab** | 3/367 47.95 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |
| **Vedolizumab** | 3/367 47.95 1.42 (0.29–4.16) | N/A | 3/367 178.75 1.68 (0.35–4.90) |

| Malignancies (Excluding NMSC) | UC Trial-like Cohort | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|                              | n/N PY               | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | n/N PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
| **Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 18/2830 3068.22 0.59 (0.35–0.93) | N/A | 9/2830 1829.82 0.49 (0.22–0.93) |
| **Any TNFi** | 31/4420 4984.56 0.63 (0.43–0.90) | N/A | 22/4420 2074.35 0.72 (0.45–1.08) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced)** | 69/964 982.91 0.61 (0.22–1.33) | N/A | 59/964 648.46 0.77 (0.25–1.80) |
| **Any TNFi (TNFi-naive)** | 25/3456 3911.65 0.64 (0.41–0.94) | N/A | 17/3456 2425.89 0.70 (0.41–1.12) |
| **TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant** | 11/1635 988.01 1.11 (0.56–1.99) | N/A | 10/1635 780.84 1.28 (0.61–2.36) |
### TABLE 2. Continued

| UC Trial-like Cohort | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | SEER Age-standardized Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| n/N PY               |                                     |                                                          | n/N PY                      |                                  |
| **TNFi alone**       | 12/2785                            | 2608.39 (0.24–0.81)                                      | 44/102785                   | 1726.82 (0.81–1.06)              |
| Adalimumab           | 9/2115                             | 2210.16 (0.19–0.77)                                      | 42/62115                    | 1446.89 (0.41–0.90)              |
| Infliximab           | 20/1939                            | 2363.31 (0.85–1.31)                                      | 76/14109                    | 1356.59 (0.51–1.70)              |
| Golimumab            | 2/367                              | 320.95 (0.08–2.25)                                       | 71/2367                     | 241.92 (0.08–1.29)               |
| Vedolizumab          | 1/163                              | 73.7 (0.03–1.75)                                         | 1163                        | 72.53 (0.03–1.78)                |
| **NMSCa**            |                                     |                                                          |                             |                                  |
| Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 50/2830                        | 3035.73 (1.65–2.17)                                      | 32/2830                     | 1817.1 (1.20–2.49)               |
| Any TNFi             | 82/4420                            | 4841.87 (1.69–2.10)                                      | 57/4420                     | 3053.04 (1.41–2.42)              |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced) | 21/964                         | 969.83 (2.17–3.31)                                      | 143964                      | 642.25 (1.19–3.66)               |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-naïve) | 61/3456                            | 3872.04 (1.58–2.02)                                      | 4303456                     | 2410.79 (1.78–2.40)              |
| TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 26/1635                       | 974.19 (2.67–3.91)                                      | 2101635                     | 755.6 (1.68–4.14)                |
| TNFi alone           | 37/1939                            | 2582.00 (1.43–1.98)                                      | 272785                      | 1715.63 (1.57–2.29)              |
| Adalimumab           | 39/2115                            | 2193.85 (1.78–2.43)                                      | 282115                      | 1437.13 (1.95–2.82)              |
| Infliximab           | 33/1939                            | 237.71 (1.40–1.98)                                       | 201939                      | 1378.16 (1.45–2.92)              |
| Golimumab            | 11/367                             | 312.05 (3.53–7.61)                                       | 9/367                       | 237.8 (3.78–1.7)                 |
| Vedolizumab          | 0/163                              | 73.88 (0.00–4.99)                                        | 0/163                       | 72.54 (0.00–5.09)                |
| **MACEb**            |                                     |                                                          |                             |                                  |
| Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 18/2830                        | 2075.78 (0.87–1.37)                                      | 118230                      | 1505.38 (0.36–1.31)              |
| Any TNFi             | 20/4420                            | 3903.27 (0.51–0.79)                                      | 184420                      | 2794.76 (0.64–1.02)              |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced) | 29/964                         | 786.54 (0.25–0.92)                                      | 28964                       | 589.49 (0.34–1.23)               |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-naïve) | 18/3456                            | 316.73 (0.58–0.91)                                       | 1685456                     | 2205.27 (0.73–1.01)              |
| TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 8/1635                        | 913.44 (0.88–1.73)                                      | 8/1635                      | 748.78 (1.07–2.42)               |
| TNFi alone           | 12/2785                            | 2124.44 (0.56–0.99)                                      | 102785                      | 1581.27 (0.63–1.16)              |
| Adalimumab           | 9/2115                             | 1768.33 (0.51–0.97)                                      | 7/2115                      | 1306.27 (0.54–2.11)              |
| Infliximab           | 8/1939                             | 1870.07 (0.43–0.84)                                      | 8/1939                      | 1271 (0.63–2.71)                 |
| Golimumab            | 3/367                              | 264.93 (1.13–3.31)                                       | 3/367                       | 217.55 (1.38–2.7)                |
| Vedolizumab          | 0/163                              | 65.84 (0.00–5.60)                                        | 0/163                       | 65.43 (0.00–5.64)                |
| **PEc**              |                                     |                                                          |                             |                                  |
| Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 7/2771                        | 2040.45 (0.34–0.71)                                      | 3/2771                      | 1774.44 (0.20–0.59)              |
| Any TNFi             | 15/3125                            | 2785.25 (0.54–0.30)                                      | 143125                      | 1963.82 (0.71–0.90)              |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced) | 4/428                         | 384.58 (0.26–0.14)                                      | 4/428                       | 269.11 (0.37–0.20)               |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-naïve) | 14/2697                            | 2396.71 (0.58–0.32)                                      | 132697                      | 1694.71 (0.77–0.41)              |
| TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 1/790                       | 472.20 (0.21–0.11)                                      | 1/790                       | 378.08 (0.26–0.14)               |
| TNFi alone           | 12/2335                            | 1795.47 (0.67–1.17)                                      | 112335                      | 1319.05 (0.83–0.42)              |
| Adalimumab           | 4/1548                             | 1285.98 (0.31–0.79)                                      | 4/1548                      | 946.25 (0.32–0.90)               |
| Infliximab           | 10/1366                            | 1351.67 (0.74–0.36)                                      | 10/1366                     | 898.78 (1.11–0.53)               |
| Golimumab            | 1/211                              | 144.60 (0.69–0.36)                                       | 1/211                       | 118.79 (0.84–0.24)               |
| Vedolizumab          | 0/64                               | 24.51 (0.00–15.05)                                       | 0/64                       | 24.40 (0.00–15.02)               |
| **DVTd**             |                                     |                                                          |                             |                                  |
| Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 15/2771                        | 2036.32 (0.74–1.21)                                      | 112771                      | 1470.21 (0.75–0.37)              |
| Any TNFi             | 39/3125                            | 2769.13 (1.41–1.93)                                      | 33/3125                     | 1955.43 (1.69–2.37)              |
|                         | UC Trial-like Cohort | 12-month UC Trial-like Cohort |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | n/N                  | PY   | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | SEER Age-standardized Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | n/N | PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced) | 2/428                | 388.26 | 0.52 (0.06–1.86) | N/A | 2/428 | 269.5 | 0.74 (0.09–2.68) |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-naïve)     | 37/2697              | 2380.87 | 1.55 (1.09–2.14) | N/A | 31/2697 | 1685.93 | 1.84 (1.25–2.61) |
| TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 12/790 | 1786.67 | 1.23 (0.77–1.86) | N/A | 12/790 | 1315.4 | 1.37 (0.81–2.16) |
| TNFi alone               | 12/22335             | 186.67 | 0.52 (0.06–1.86) | N/A | 12/22335 | 1315.4 | 1.37 (0.81–2.16) |
| Adalimumab              | 15/1548              | 1280.52 | 1.17 (0.66–1.93) | N/A | 12/1548 | 941.76 | 1.27 (0.66–2.23) |
| Infliximab              | 17/1366              | 1344.56 | 1.56 (0.97–2.39) | N/A | 18/1366 | 895.16 | 2.01 (1.19–3.18) |
| Golimumab               | 3/211                | 144.05 | 2.08 (0.43–6.09) | N/A | 3/211 | 118.51 | 2.53 (0.52–7.40) |
| Vedolizumab             | 0/64                 | 24.51 | 0.00 (0.00–15.05) | N/A | 0/64 | 24.4 | 0.00 (0.00–15.12) |

GI Perforation⁴

|                         | n/N                  | PY | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | N/A |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----|-----------------------------------|-----|
| Any immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 4/2830 | 2092.76 | 0.19 (0.05–0.49) | N/A |
| Any TNFi                | 12/4420              | 3915.1 | 0.31 (0.16–0.54) | N/A |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-experienced) | 1/964 | 787.5 | 0.13 (0.00–0.71) | N/A |
| Any TNFi (TNFi-naïve)   | 11/3456              | 3127.6 | 0.35 (0.18–0.63) | N/A |
| TNFi + immunomodulator/immunosuppressant | 4/1635 | 915.83 | 0.44 (0.12–1.12) | N/A |
| TNFi alone              | 6/2785               | 1786.67 | 1.23 (0.77–1.86) | N/A |
| Adalimumab              | 5/2115               | 1776.07 | 0.28 (0.09–0.66) | N/A |
| Infliximab              | 7/1939               | 1873.94 | 0.37 (0.15–0.77) | N/A |
| Golimumab               | 0/367                | 265.16 | 0.00 (0.00–1.39) | N/A |
| Vedolizumab             | 0/163                | 65.84 | 0.00 (0.00–5.60) | N/A |

### Notes

- Immunomodulators/immunosuppressants included: azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, tacrolimus (oral or IV), and cyclosporine (oral or IV).
- ⁴Any inpatient discharge diagnosis code with no mention of perforation, when coupled with a GI surgery CPT code during the same hospitalization.
- ³Any inpatient or outpatient diagnosis code of DVT with administration or prescription of anticoagulant medication within 0–60 days of diagnosis code—one episode per patient.
- ²Any inpatient diagnosis code of PE with administration or prescription of anticoagulant medication within 0–60 days of diagnosis code—one episode per patient.
- ¹Any outpatients with NMSC recorded among patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate of 1.77 (95% CI, 1.34–2.29; 4841.9 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.69 (95% CI, 0.40–1.11; 2461.2 PY; 17 patients with NMSC events) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). In patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rates for malignancies (excluding NMSC) were similar for TNFi-experienced (0.61; 95% CI, 0.22–1.33) and TNFi-naïve (0.64; 95% CI, 0.41–0.94) patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was numerically higher among TNFi-experienced patients (2.20; 95% CI, 1.20–3.70) vs TNFi-naïve patients (1.66; 95% CI, 1.20–2.24).
- The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 2.49 (95% CI, 1.32–3.85) vs 1.52 (95% CI, 1.00–2.21) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate for HZ was numerically higher among TNFi-experienced patients (2.20; 95% CI, 1.20–3.70) vs TNFi-naïve patients (1.66; 95% CI, 1.20–2.24).

Abbreviations: n, number of events; N, number of treatment episodes.
immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.54–1.58). A total of 57 HZ events occurred among 4420 patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 1.77 (95% CI, 1.34–2.29; 3226.6 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 3.57 (95% CI, 2.84–4.43; 2325.1 PY; 83 patients with HZ events) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). In patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate for HZ was numerically higher among TNFi-experienced patients (2.20; 95% CI, 1.20–3.70) vs TNFi-naïve patients (1.66; 95% CI, 1.20–2.24). The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 2.49 (95% CI, 1.52–3.85) vs 1.52 (95% CI, 1.00–2.21) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–7.64) based on 48.3 PY of exposure.

Malignancies (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer)

In the UC trial-like cohort, 18 malignancies (excluding NMSC) were recorded among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.35–0.93). There were 31 malignancies (excluding NMSC) recorded among patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 0.63 (95% CI, 0.43–0.90; 4894.6 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.69 (95% CI, 0.40–1.11; 2461.2 PY; 17 patients with malignancies [excluding NMSC]) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). In patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rates for malignancies (excluding NMSC) were similar for TNFi-experienced (0.61; 95% CI, 0.22–1.33) and TNFi-naïve (0.64; 95% CI, 0.41–0.94) patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 1.11 (95% CI, 0.56–1.99) vs 0.46 (95% CI, 0.24–0.81) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 1.36 (95% CI, 0.03–7.56) based on 73.7 PY of exposure. Corresponding age-standardized rates of malignancies (excluding NMSC) in the trial-like cohort were numerically similar to the crude incidence rates.

Nonmelanoma skin cancer

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were 50 NMSC events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 1.65; 95% CI, 1.22–2.17). There were 82 NMSC events among patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 1.69 (95% CI, 1.35–2.10; 4841.9 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.78 (95% CI, 0.47–1.22; 2427.3 PY; 19 patients with NMSC events) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). In patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rates for NMSC were numerically higher among patients who had prior TNFi exposure, compared with TNFi-naïve patients (2.17 [95% CI, 1.34–3.31] vs 1.58 [95% CI, 1.21–2.02]). The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.54–5.24) was higher than for patients receiving TNFi alone (0.67; 95% CI, 0.33–1.09).

---

**Table 3.** Incidence Rates (Patients With Events per 100 PY) for Serious Infections, OIs (Excluding HZ), HZ, Malignancies (Excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE, PE, DVT, and GI Perforations in the Tofacitinib UC Clinical Trial Overall Cohort and in the UC Trial-like Cohort of the MarketScan® Analysis for Patients Receiving any TNFi, TNFi as Monotherapy, and TNFi plus Immunomodulators/Immunosuppressants

| Incidence Rates (Patients With Events per 100 PY) for Serious Infections, OIs (Excluding HZ), HZ, Malignancies (Excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE, PE, DVT, and GI Perforations in the Tofacitinib UC Clinical Trial Overall Cohort and in the UC Trial-like Cohort of the MarketScan® Analysis for Patients Receiving any TNFi, TNFi as Monotherapy, and TNFi plus Immunomodulators/Immunosuppressants | Tofacitinib UC Clinical Trial Overall Cohort | UC Trial-like Cohort | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) | Incidence Rate per 100 PY (95% CI) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Serious Infections | 1.75 (1.72–2.36) | 1.77 (1.11–2.69) | 1.57 (0.95–2.45) | 3.32 (2.73–4.02) |
| OIs (Excluding HZ) | 0.16 (0.04–0.32) | 0.16 (0.02–0.59) | 0.16 (0.02–0.59) | 1.36 (0.91–2.07) |
| HZ | 3.57 (2.84–4.39) | 4.36 (2.32–6.11) | 2.40 (1.60–3.47) | 1.77 (1.34–2.29) |
| Malignancies (Excluding NMSC) | 0.69 (0.44–1.11) | 0.96 (0.50–1.68) | 0.41 (0.21–0.80) | 0.89 (0.57–1.39) |
| NMSC | 2.04 (1.04–3.03) | 1.66 (0.02–0.58) | 0.88 (0.03–0.36) | — |
| MACE | 0.78 (0.47–1.22) | 0.24 (0.05–0.70) | 0.33 (0.09–0.84) | — |
| PE | 0.16 (0.04–0.32) | 0.16 (0.02–0.59) | 0.16 (0.02–0.59) | — |
| DVT | 0.12 (0.03–0.30) | 0.16 (0.02–0.58) | 0.08 (0.00–0.46) | — |
| GI Perforations | — | — | — | — |

In the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort, most patients (83.9%; n = 971) received tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily. Incidence rates for individual TNFi therapies and for vedolizumab are reported in Supplementary Figure 2.
immunosuppressants was 2.67 (95% CI, 1.74–3.91) vs 1.43 (95% CI, 1.01–1.98) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–4.99) based on 73.9 PY of exposure. Corresponding age-standardized rates of NMSC in the UC trial-like cohort were numerically similar to the crude incidence rates.

Major adverse cardiovascular events

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were 18 MACE events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.51–1.37). There were 20 MACE events among patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 0.51 (95% CI, 0.31–0.79; 3903.3 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.28 (95% CI, 0.11–0.59; 2459.3 PY; seven patients with MACE events) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). Among patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate for MACE in patients with prior TNFi exposure was 0.25 (95% CI, 0.03–0.92) vs 0.58 (95% CI, 0.34–0.91) for TNFi-naïve patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.38–1.73) vs 0.56 (95% CI, 0.29–0.99) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–5.60) based on 65.8 PY of exposure.

Pulmonary embolism

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were seven PE events identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.14–0.71). There were 15 PE events among patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 0.54 (95% CI, 0.30–0.89; 2785.3 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.16 (95% CI, 0.04–0.41; 2468.6 PY; four patients with PE events) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). Among patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate for PE in patients with prior TNFi exposure was 0.26 (95% CI, 0.01–1.43; based on one PE event) vs 0.58 (95% CI, 0.32–0.98) for TNFi-naïve patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 0.21 (95% CI, 0.01–1.17) vs 0.67 (95% CI, 0.35–1.17) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–15.05) based on 24.5 PY of exposure.

Deep vein thrombosis

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were 15 DVT events identified among 2771 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.41–1.21). There were 39 DVT events among patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 1.41 (95% CI, 1.00–1.93; 2769.1 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.04 (95% CI, 0.00–0.23; 2472.6 PY; one patient with DVT event) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). Among patients receiving any TNFi, the incidence rate for DVT in patients with prior TNFi exposure was 0.52 (95% CI, 0.06–1.86) vs 1.55 (1.09–2.14) for TNFi-naïve patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 2.57 (95% CI, 1.33–4.49) vs 1.23 (95% CI, 0.77–1.86) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–15.05) based on 24.5 PY of exposure.

Gastrointestinal perforations

In the UC trial-like cohort, there were four GI perforations identified among 2830 patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants (incidence rate, 0.19; 95% CI, 0.05–0.49). There were 12 GI perforations among 4420 patients receiving any TNFi, with an incidence rate of 0.31 (95% CI, 0.16–0.54; 3915.1 PY) in the UC trial-like cohort, compared with 0.12 (95% CI, 0.03–0.36; 2465.0 PY; three patients with GI perforations) in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort (Table 3). Among patients receiving TNFi, the incidence rate for GI perforations in patients with prior TNFi exposure was 0.13 (95% CI, 0.00–0.71) vs 0.35 (95% CI, 0.18–0.63) for TNFi-naïve patients. The incidence rate for patients receiving TNFi in combination with immunomodulators/immunosuppressants was 0.44 (95% CI, 0.12–1.12) and 0.28 (95% CI, 0.10–0.61) for patients receiving TNFi alone. The incidence rate for patients receiving vedolizumab was 0.00 (95% CI, 0.00–5.60) based on 65.8 PY of exposure.

Impact of Duration of Exposure on Outcomes

For most outcomes and categories of prior treatment exposure, incidence rates were numerically slightly higher when limiting the follow-up time to 1 year (ie, in the 12-month UC trial-like cohort), compared with using all of the follow-up time (ie, in the UC trial-like cohort; Table 2). However, 95% CIs typically overlapped when comparing the UC trial-like cohort and the 12-month UC trial-like cohort. Figure 2 shows incidence rates for safety events of special interest in patients receiving any TNFi in the MarketScan® 12-month UC trial-like cohort alongside incidence rates in the 12-month tofacitinib maintenance study (OCTAVE Sustain). In general, the same pattern was observed for the comparison of the uncensored UC trial-like cohort vs the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort, with a numerically higher rate of serious infections and OIs (excluding HZ) in the 12-month UC trial-like cohort, a numerically higher rate of HZ in the tofacitinib maintenance cohort, and similar rates for malignancies (excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE, PE, DVT, and GI perforations.

DISCUSSION

This large retrospective cohort study of adult patients from the IBM MarketScan® database generated important contextualizing information regarding the epidemiological
Impact of Duration of Exposure on Outcomes

Safety profile of therapies for the treatment of moderate to severe UC, including immunomodulators/immunosuppressants and biologic therapies. The large cohort size provided sufficient statistical power to generate robust incidence rates for a number of safety outcomes relevant to advanced treatments of moderate to severe UC, allowing for qualitative comparability with the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program. Consideration of these findings alongside available data for tofacitinib and biologic therapies used to treat UC (ie, infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, and vedolizumab) revealed similarities in terms of the overall incidence rates for malignancies. The incidence rate for serious infections in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program was numerically lower than that observed in the observational cohorts for patients with UC studied in this analysis. Conversely, the rate of HZ in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program was approximately twice as high as that observed in the observational cohort.

Data from the MarketScan® UC trial-like observational cohort allowed for contextualization of safety data from the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program vs TNFi therapies or immunomodulators/immunosuppressants used to treat UC. The incidence rate for HZ among patients receiving any TNFi in the UC trial-like cohort was lower than the corresponding incidence rate in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program. Conversely, incidence rates for serious infections, OIs (excluding HZ), NMSC, and DVT were numerically higher among patients receiving any TNFi in the UC trial-like cohort vs patients receiving tofacitinib in the UC clinical trial program. These findings are generally consistent with comparisons of clinical trial data for tofacitinib and TNFi therapies, in which safety with tofacitinib seemed similar to that observed with TNFi therapies, with the exception of increased HZ incidence rate. Similar to TNFi therapies, the incidence rate for HZ was numerically lower, and the incidence rates for other safety outcomes were numerically higher, among patients receiving immunomodulators/immunosuppressants and biologic therapies.

FIGURE 2. Incidence rates (patients with events per 100 PY) for serious infections, OIs (excluding HZ), HZ, malignancies (excluding NMSC), NMSC, MACE, PE, DVT, and GI perforations in the tofacitinib UC maintenance cohort (ie, data from the 52-week OCTAVE Sustain study) and the 12-month UC trial-like cohort of the MarketScan® analysis for patients receiving any TNFi.
immunosuppressants in the UC trial-like cohort vs patients receiving tofacitinib in the UC clinical trial program.

Tofacitinib has been associated with increased risk of HZ; however, most HZ cases are noncomplicated, mild to moderate in severity, and manageable with standard antiviral therapy. Furthermore, most patients with HZ during the tofacitinib clinical trials were able to continue tofacitinib treatment.

Safety events of interest and corresponding incidence rates varied according to patients’ prior medication exposure. Patients previously exposed to TNFi therapies generally had higher incidence rates for most outcomes, compared with TNFi-naïve patients. Incidence rates for safety events of special interest were numerically slightly higher in the 12-month UC trial-like cohort—where follow-up time was limited to 12 months to mimic clinical trial conditions—than in the UC trial-like cohort. This may indicate a time-dependent risk for these events or may be due to physicians choosing not to continue therapy in patients who experience an event while using a particular treatment. It is also possible that greater systemic inflammation, which may coincide with new treatment initiation, was associated with higher incidence rates for some events. Similarly, concomitant therapies, including corticosteroids, may be used more frequently and at higher doses around the time of starting a new therapy. Sensitivity analyses showed that the specific definitions used for serious infections, NMSC, and GI perforations had an impact on the number of events identified and corresponding incidence rates, with the variability most noticeable for alternative definitions of serious infections.

Venous thromboembolism (DVT and PE) has been identified to be an important potential risk of treatment with tofacitinib. In the MarketScan® UC trial-like cohort, the incidence rate for DVT and PE in patients receiving any TNFi was numerically higher than that observed in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort. In the tofacitinib UC clinical trial overall cohort, one patient had DVT and four had PE. All patients had received a predominant dose of tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily (average daily dose ≥15 mg) and had risk factors for venous thromboembolism alongside UC.

A strength of this analysis is the large number of patients included in the UC trial-like cohort that provided sufficient statistical power to generate robust incidence rate estimates for the various outcomes assessed. A key limitation of this analysis is that the algorithm for identifying UC was not validated by review of medical records, and thus misclassification of the disease was possible. However, the selection criteria for the UC trial-like cohort required patients to have received biologic or immunosuppressant UC therapies or high-dose corticosteroids, decreasing the likelihood of misclassification.

Patients’ lifetime medical history before enrollment was not available, which may also have contributed to misclassification of baseline covariates. Safety outcomes in this analysis were classified based on diagnosis codes and not the gold standard of medical record review, which may have resulted in possible misclassification. However, evidence on the agreement of diagnosis codes with medical records has demonstrated generally high agreement, with positive predictive values of 75%–95%. Although validation of diagnosis codes with medical records has not to our knowledge been performed in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, multiple retrospective studies have utilized the IBM MarketScan® claims database to identify cohorts of patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

A limitation of the analyses of MACE, malignancies (excluding NMSC), NMSC, OIs, and GI perforations was that the definitions of these events used in the MarketScan® analysis were based on diagnosis codes, whereas in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program, they were adjudicated by a blinded review committee. Thus, differences in rates of these events between the cohorts should be interpreted cautiously. A limitation relating to the identification of MACE was that the definition employed did not capture deaths that occurred outside of a hospital setting. In addition, as only in-hospital deaths were captured in the MarketScan® database, we did not evaluate mortality as a safety outcome of interest, as it would likely have been underestimated.

A further limitation is that claims data lack detail regarding disease severity and rely on filled prescriptions as evidence of drug consumption. Patients electing to participate in a clinical trial may have somewhat more active disease, which is why we created the UC trial-like cohort of new UC therapy initiators to reflect this circumstance for comparability. The potential for a healthy volunteer bias is also a concern for the generalizability of trial results, in that patients who elected to participate in the trial may have fewer comorbidities than real-world patients, for example. This concern is borne out by comparing the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes, which were more prevalent in the population-based cohort, compared with the UC trial-like cohort.

Furthermore, health plan data do not capture medications acquired over the counter or as free samples. These data also reflect only one insured patient population, in this case commercially insured patients. For the analyses performed based on new drug use exposure, though we evaluated incidence rates among patients taking any TNFi alone and with concomitant immunomodulators/TNFi, we did not evaluate concomitant steroid use.

There were also limited data available for patients using vedolizumab, representing few PY of exposure, compared with other therapies, and this analysis predated the approval of ustekinumab or tofacitinib for the treatment of UC. When comparing data from the MarketScan® UC trial-like cohort with data from the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program, it should be acknowledged that the tofacitinib UC clinical trial program was a multinational trial, and there may be variations in the background regional incidence and fidelity of case ascertainment for certain conditions (eg, NMSC, outpatient DVT). Finally, these analyses were descriptive, and no hypothesis testing or multivariable modeling was performed.
further studies are required to test hypotheses generated by these analyses.

A limitation of the venous thromboembolic event data is that outpatient DVT events in a clinical trial program are probably underascertained, and this can potentially lead to an underestimation of the DVT rate in comparison to MarketScan®, a population-based cohort, or most other data sources where outpatient events are probably more completely captured. This will make DVT rates lower than in MarketScan®.

In conclusion, despite the aforementioned limitations, the characterization of safety in the IBM MarketScan® claims database demonstrated that observed incidence rates for safety events of interest in the observational cohorts varied depending on the specific definition used to identify them. Follow-up time also had an effect on observed incidence rates, with higher rates observed for safety events of interest when limiting follow-up time to 12 months (ie, to mimic follow-up time typical in the UC maintenance clinical trials). These population-based data were used as the basis for safety characterization and comparison of current and investigational therapeutic agents for the treatment of moderate to severe UC. Rates of HZ observed among TNFi-treated patients in the population-based cohort of patients with UC were lower than in the tofacitinib UC clinical trial cohort; rates of serious infections, OIs (excluding HZ), NMSC, and DVT were numerically higher in the population-based cohort. Rates of malignancies (excluding NMSC), MACE, PE, and GI perforations were numerically similar among TNFi-treated patients in the population-based cohort and the tofacitinib UC clinical trial cohort.
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