The Urgency of Regulation in the Case of Online Gender-Based Violence in Indonesia
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Abstract: The National women's commission 2020 Annual Notes noted a new type of violence against gender, namely cyber-based or online gender violence. These cases of violence have continued to increase over the past six years, an increase of 300% in Online Gender-Based Violence (OGBV) compared to the 2019. The OGBV case was found on various popular social media platforms. The most common incidents occurred on Facebook, where 39% of women experienced harassment. This figure is then followed by Instagram (23%), Whatsapp (14%), Snapchat (10%), Twitter (9%), and TikTok (6%). This study uses a quantitative approach. The data collection technique used was the survey data collection technique with the self-administered questionnaire method. The number of respondents are 109 respondents. The result of this study is that online gender-based violence is influenced by two factors, namely regulatory factors that are still unable to protect women in social media and social factors that have been cultured. There is a perspective that views women as having a weaker position than men. These two factors are the most significant in promoting online gender-based violence in Indonesia. Based on this study's results, the authors recommend the government immediately issue regulations regulating violence against women, especially violence in online media.
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Abstrak: Catatan Tahunan Komisi Perempuan Nasional 2020 mencatat jenis baru kekerasan terhadap gender, yaitu kekerasan gender berbasis siber atau online. Kasus kekerasan ini terus meningkat selama enam tahun terakhir; meningkat 300% dalam Kekerasan berbasis gender online (KBGO) dibandingkan tahun 2019. Kasus KBGO ditemukan di berbagai platform media sosial populer. Insiden paling umum terjadi di Facebook, di mana 39% wanita mengalami pelecehan. Angka ini kemudian diikuti oleh Instagram (23%), Whatsapp (14%), Snapchat (10%), Twitter (9%), dan TikTok (6%). Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif. Teknik pengumpulan data yang digunakan adalah teknik pengumpulan data survei dengan metode self-administered laiesioner. Jumlah responden sebanyak 109 responden. Hasil dari penelitian ini adalah kekerasan berbasis gender online dipengaruhi oleh dua faktor, yaitu faktor regulasi yang masih belum mampu melindungi perempuan di media sosial dan faktor sosial yang sudah berbudaya. Ada pandangan yang memandang perempuan memiliki posisi yang lebih lemah daripada laki-laki. Kedua faktor ini adalah yang paling signifikan dalam mempromosikan kekerasan berbasis gender online di Indonesia. Berdasarkan
hasil penelitian ini, penulis merekomendasikan pemerintah segera menerbitkan peraturan yang mengatur tentang kekerasan terhadap perempuan, khususnya kekerasan di media online.
Kata Kunci: kekerasan berbasis gender online; regulasi; Indonesia

A. Introduction

Online Gender-Based Violence is a new form of violence that is currently beginning to be reported by many victims, most of whom are women, to the National women's commission. Now, the National women's commission has already started to focus on many cases of sexual violence in the cyber world. At the end of 2017, several issues were recorded reported to the National women's commission Complaints Unit for Referral (UPR). The increasing number of reports on gender-based violence online cases has finally led the National women's commission to start issuing a new issue related to 'Online Gender-Based Violence'.

The online gender-based crime itself has different case categories in it. These categories are grouped based on the number of cases reported by the national women's commission. Cyber grooming is cyber-harassment or sending a text to hurt, frighten, threaten, interfere, hacking, illegal content, violation of privacy, malicious distribution, online defamation or insult, and defamation. The eight things above are groupings of categories that are included in Online Gender-Based Violence. From various types of Online Gender-Based Violence, the majority is considered to be more prevalent and attacking women than men; this can also be seen clearly through the differences in 'statements' between men and women. Statements made to women in cyberspace were
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more likely to attack sexual needs and, and the threat of violence given was also more gender-based, namely rape. In contrast, the majority’s statements of violence against men were limited to arguments and opinions.

The National women’s commission 2020 Annual Notes noted a new type of violence against gender, namely cyber-based or online gender violence. These violence cases have continued to increase over the past six years, an increase of 300% in Online Gender-Based Violence (OGBV) compared to the 2019. National women’s commission states that this type of violence has a pattern of violence that is increasingly complicated because it occurs in the digital realm—starting from character assassination to sexual harassment through cyberspace attacks. The impact of OGBV is the same as sexual violence in the real world; the effect is immediate and long-term on the victim. The perpetrator is challenging to detect. Indonesia’s response and legal protection are also inadequate because it is simplified in the Law on Information and Electronic Transactions.

Law exists as a tool that can control people’s lives from all deviant aspects, whether it is in the form of crimes or violations. Thomas Hobbes argues that the law’s purpose is to create social order since then the order is seen as something absolute that law must make. One type of crime that is often found that disturbs social order is violence. Violence is identical to physical behavior. Besides, violence is all forms of both verbal and non-verbal behavior committed by a person or group against a person or group, causing adverse effects physically, emotionally, and psychologically to those who are their targets. Violence
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refers to behavior against the law, either in threats or actual action, and has consequences for property or physical damage or death results. Violence can be based on the social identity inherent in a person. This is because there is a feeling of superiority compared to victims who are considered weaker and helpless. During a patriarchal culture, gender becomes a social identity that makes a person experience violence.

Harassment against women online takes many forms. Southeast Asia Freedom of Expression Network (SafeNet) launched an introductory guide to the modes and types of OGBV. This guide covers a variety of online activities that count as forms of harassment. Harassment, which is commonly known, comes in harsh comments, hate speech, and sexual and physical violence threats. Another form is damage to reputation or credibility, such as creating or manipulating fake content and stealing identity and impersonation.

Besides, in the realm of non-consensual pornography, victims can receive various forms of non-consensual online content from either known people or strangers or also have their sexual photo or video content spread without consent in cases often referred to as cyber or revenge porn. Surveillance and surveillance cases such as stalking using GPS or spyware without permission are also included in OGBV. Besides, doxing or extracting and distributing personal information without consent for malicious purposes and intimidation is also a form of harassment often found.

The OGBV case was found on various popular social media platforms. The most common incidents occurred on Facebook, where 39% of women experienced harassment. This figure is then followed by Instagram (23%), Whatsapp (14%), Snapchat (10%), Twitter (9%), and TikTok (6%). Research shows that one in five girls have stopped or reduced their use of social media after such attacks, while one in ten changed how they express themselves.
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online as a form of self-protection. The most common types of attacks are abusive language and insults. Abusive language and insults occurred in 59% of respondents. Body shaming and threats of sexual violence also afflict 39% of the respondents. Attacks against ethnic minorities laden with racism as well as the LGBTIQ+ community themselves are much higher.

The novelty in this study is that the authors evaluate various factors to analyze the phenomenon of Online Gender-Based Violence. This article uses internal factors (such as individual factors) and external factors such as (social factor) to analyze the phenomenon of Online Gender-Based Violence. In addition, this article also analyzes other factors that are considered important, namely regulatory factor.

B. Sexual Violence and Online Gender-Based Violence

Sexual violence develops following the dynamics of information technology in this digital era. According to the social media users, the pre-existing forms of sexual violence can still be found, even more varied. Sexual violence in cyberspace is detrimental to the victim. In the Law on Electronic Information and Transactions (UU ITE), the right to protect personal data has been guaranteed. There is a prohibition against actions that harm the owner of personal data. However, there are no regulations that specifically protect victims of sexual violence in cyberspace, so that in many cases, the weak protection of personal data leads to sexual violence. It is not uncommon for articles in the ITE Law to be used to criminalize.

There are three factors at the root of the problem of sexual violence: first, the individual factor is the offender’s psychological aspect. Second, the social factors are more of a cultural aspect adhered to by the community, and the third
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is the regulatory factor itself. Thus, sexual violence can be prevented by changing individuals’ and communities’ mindsets through family institutions and other institutions outside the family.\textsuperscript{22}

Gender-based violence (GBV) refers to the definition of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), is direct violence against a person based on sex or gender.\textsuperscript{23} This includes acts causing physical, mental, or sexual harm or suffering, threats to such actions, coercion, and liberty elimination. Online gender-based violence (OGBV) or technology-facilitated GBVs, just like gender-based violence in the real world, must have the intention or intention of harassing victims based on gender or sexuality.\textsuperscript{24} If not, then the violence falls into general violence online.

The National women's commission has terminology for BEC cases in cyberspace with the term cyber-based Violence against Women (KtP),\textsuperscript{25} namely cybercrimes with female victims who often have contact with women's bodies which are used as pornographic objects. One form of crime often reported is the distribution of personal photos or videos on social media or pornographic websites. The following are some of the activities that can be categorized as OGBV: \textsuperscript{26}

\begin{enumerate}
\item Privacy breach
\begin{enumerate}
\item Access, use, manipulate and distribute personal data, photos, or videos, as well as personal information and content without your knowledge and your consent.
\item Doxing or extracting and disseminating someone's personal information, sometimes to provide access for other malicious purposes, such as harassment or intimidation in the real world.
\end{enumerate}
\end{enumerate}

\textsuperscript{22} Pavan, “Internet Intermediaries and Online Gender-Based Violence.”
\textsuperscript{23} Sonia Núñez Puente, Diana Fernández Romero, and Susana Vázquez Cupeiro, “Online Feminist Practice, Participatory Activism and Public Policies against Gender-Based Violence in Spain,” \textit{Feminist Theory} 18, no. 3 (2017): 299–321, https://doi.org/10.1177/1464700117721881.
\textsuperscript{24} Emma Kavanagh, Chelsea Litchfield, and Jaquelyn Osborne, “Sporting Women and Social Media: Sexualization, Misogyny, and Gender-Based Violence in Online Spaces,” \textit{International Journal of Sport Communication} 12, no. 4 (2019): 552–72, https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsc2019-0079.
\textsuperscript{25} KtP = Kekerasan terhadap Perempuan.
\textsuperscript{26} Jatmiko, Syukron, and Mekarsari, “Covid-19, Harassment and Social Media: A Study of Gender-Based Violence Facilitated by Technology During the Pandemic.”
b. Supervision and monitoring
   1) Monitor, track and supervise online or offline activities using
      spyware or other technology without consent,
   2) Using GPS or other geo-locator to track the movement of the target,
   3) Stalking or stalking.

c. Damage to reputation/credibility
   1) Creating and sharing wrong personal data,
   2) Manipulate or create fake content,
   3) Stealing identity and impersonation,
   4) Spread personal information to damage someone’s reputation,
   5) Making offensive, disparaging, or other false comments or posts to
      tarnish someone’s reputation (including defamation).

d. Harassment (which can be accompanied by offline harassment)
   1) Online harassment, repeated harassment through unwanted
      messages, attention, and contact,
   2) Direct threats of sexual or physical violence,
   3) Rude comments,
   4) Hate speech and posts on social media targeting specific gender or
      sexuality,
   5) Incitement to physical violence,
   6) Online content that depicts women as sexual objects,
   7) The use of indecent images to demean women,
   8) Abusing, humiliating women for expressing non-normative views.

e. Direct threats and violence
   1) Trafficking of women through the use of technology, including the
      selection and preparation of victims (planned sexual violence),
   2) Sexual blackmail,
   3) Theft of identity, money, or property
   4) Impersonation or impersonation was resulting in physical attacks.

f. Attacks targeted at specific communities
   1) Hacking websites, social media, or email of organizations and
      communities with malicious intent
2) Supervision and monitoring of the activities of members of the community/organization,
3) Direct threats of violence against members of the community/organization,
4) Mobbing, particularly when selecting targets for intimidation or harassment by a group of people, rather than individuals,
5) Disclosure of anonymized information, such as the address of the shelter.
6) Each OGBV victim or survivor experiences different impacts. Victims and survivors of OGBV may experience the following things:27

- Psychological Disadvantages. Victims/survivors experience depression, anxiety, and fear. There is also a certain point where some victims/survivors express suicidal thoughts due to their dangers.
- Social Alienation. Victims/survivors withdraw from public life, including family and friends. This was especially true of women whose photos and videos were distributed without their consent who felt publicly humiliated and ridiculed.
- Economic Loss. The victims/survivors become unemployed and lose their income.
- Limited Mobility. Victims/survivors lose the ability to move freely and participate in online and offline spaces.
- Self-Censorship. Out of fear of further victimization and losing confidence in the security of using digital technology, removing oneself from the internet has different implications beyond self-censorship, such as cutting off access to information, electronic services, and social or professional communication.

Apart from the impact on individuals, the main consequence of online gender-based violence is creating a society in which women no longer feel safe online and offline.28 Criminal provisions governing information technology
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containing immoral elements are regulated in the Law on Information and Electronic Transactions in Article 27 paragraph 1.29

C. Research Framework and Methodology

This type of research conducted by the author is a quantitative approach. The data collection technique used was the survey data collection technique with the self-administered questionnaire method, namely the questionnaire distribution method directly to the respondent, even beforehand giving directions and preliminary information about the questionnaire filling process. To distribute the questionnaire, the researcher went straight to the respondent who was following the research object. The population of this study was female Indonesian citizens. Distribution questionnaires that we conducted, as many as 109 questionnaires were returned and completed by the respondents. Furthermore, we analyzed the questionnaire results using the SmartPLS 3.0 software. The hypothesis in this study is described in Figure 1.

The research hypothesis is: 1) Regulation factors have a significant influence on online gender-based violence in Indonesia, 2) Individual factors have a significant influence on online gender-based violence in Indonesia, 3) Social factors have a significant influence on online gender-based violence in Indonesia.

D. Result and Discussion

Hypothesis testing between variables, namely exogenous variables to endogenous variables (γ) and endogenous variables to exogenous variables (β) is done with the bootstrap resampling method after knowing the validity and reliability of the data. The test statistic used is the t statistic or the t-test. The comparative t value in this study was obtained from t-table. The test was declared significant if the T-statistic was> 1.96 and the value of P values <0.05.30 Hypothesis testing is done by looking at the output path coefficient of the bootstrap resampling results can be seen in Figure 2

29 Sahat Maruli Tua Situmeang and Ira Maulia Nurkusumah, “Kajian Hukum Kekerasan Berbasis Gender Online Dihubungkan dengan Tujuan Pemidanaan dalam Perspektif Negara Hukum Pancasila,” Res Nullius Law Journal 3, no. 2 (2021): 162–77, https://doi.org/10.34010/rnlj.v3i2.5100.
30 Siswoyo Haryono, Metode SEM untuk Penelitian Manajemen AMOS Lisrel PLS (Jakarta: Luxima Metro Media, 2017).
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The Figure 2 shows the results of the research hypothesis test. The results that appear in this image are explained further below.

a. Hypothesis 1 Test Results

The first hypothesis test in this study are

Ha: Regulation factors has a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence in Indonesia

Ho: Regulation factors has not a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence in Indonesia

Table 1

| Hypothesis | Original Sample | Sample Mean | T Statistics | P Values | Result |
|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Regulation factors -> online gender-based violence | 0.320 | 0.326 | 2.277 | 0.023 | Supported |

Table 1 shows that the regulation factor significantly influences the number of online gender-based violence in Indonesia. This supports the phenomenon that many gender-observing stakeholders have urged the Indonesian government to pass the Draft Law on the Elimination of Sexual Violence (RUU PKS).  

According to data submitted by the Indonesia National women's commission, as of October 2020, there have been 659 complaints of gender-based violence in cyberspace, an increase of 200% compared to the number of complaints in the 2019 is 281 cases. The minimal legal process for cases of sexual violence shows that the substance of the law does not recognize several acts of sexual violence and only includes a limited definition. Although there are already several laws and regulations that have been used as a reference for cases of sexual violence, such as the ITE Law, the PKDRT Law, the PTPPO Law, and the Child Protection Law, all of them have a limited scope of protection.

---

31 RUU PKS = Rancangan Undang-Undang Penghapusan Kekerasan Seksual.
32 PKDRT = Penghapusan Kekerasan Dalam Rumah Tangga
33 PTPPO = Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang
Therefore, the Draft Law on the Elimination of Sexual Violence (RUU PKS) is also considered crucial as the basis for the OGBV.34

The Bill on the Elimination of Sexual Violence was formed based on the absence of a legal regulation in Indonesia that guarantees specific protection for cases of sexual violence. The Bill on the Elimination of Sexual Violence responds to the emergency of sexual violence and improves its handling. It is more humane and dignified (which is a universal principle of all religions). The People’s Representative Council’s decision to kick the Draft Law on the Elimination of Sexual Violence (RUU PKS) from the 2020 priority national legislative process was for reasons of difficult discussion, increasingly characterizing that the law-making process became a political arena full of interests. It also illustrates the law’s excessive political determination in the formation of rules in the parliamentary. The political process in the People’s Representative Council is indeed a common thing because the representative system in Indonesia also uses a party system that is sure to carry interests. Especially in a positivistic framework, the law is a crystallization of interacting and even competing political wills. Or put, the law created by the People’s Representative Council is a political product.

The absence and delay of legal umbrella priorities protecting victims of sexual violence is an act of neglect and violates women’s constitutional rights as citizens guaranteed by law. If negligence, carelessness, violations, whether intentional or not, continue to be committed, then the state can be said to have acted unconstitutional, not guaranteeing freedom for women as citizens to be away from fear and discrimination.

The Plan International survey shows that these women expect various changes to combat this problem, from education on OGBV to legal and government interference and the importance of reporting mechanisms through social media platforms. Facebook and Instagram themselves say they monitor abuse reports and use a program to search for bullying content. Twitter also says it uses technology to stop abusive content, although a study reports that the tool developed by Twitter is ineffective at stopping abuse.35

34 Prameswari, Hehanussa, and Salamor, “Kekerasan Berbasis Gender di Media Sosial.”
35 Fitria Cita Dirna, “Pengaruh Media Sosial 'Instagram' di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 terhadap Kekerasan Berbasis Gender Online,” *Jurnal Wanita dan Keluarga* 2, no. 2 (2021): 75–88, https://doi.org/10.22146/jwk.3617.
b. Hypothesis 2 Test Results

The second hypothesis test in this study are:

Ha: Individual factors has a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence di Indonesia

Ho: Individual factors has not a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence di Indonesia

Table 2

| Hypothesis | Original Sample | Sample Mean | T Statistics | P Values | Result |
|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Individual factors -> Online gender-based violence | 0.052 | 0.051 | 0.492 | 0.623 | Rejected |

Table 2 shows that individual factors do not significantly affect the number of online gender-based violence in Indonesia. This phenomenon indicates that online gender-based violence does not originate from individuals or individuals but is more influenced by external factors. These external factors include regulatory factors, social factors, environmental factors, or even society's mindsight in general, which are cultured and considered commonplace. This cultural mindset is also closely related to how society views and views the inequality between men and women (gender bias).

Theories regarding gender are dominated by a tradition of violence perpetrated by men. The assumption about gender bias implicitly states that most violent perpetrators are primarily men. Gender-biased violence says that men have a greater chance of committing violence against women. This can be seen in several studies that reveal that men must have a higher status than women who are their partners.
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36 James B. Worthen and Paula Varnado-Sullivan, “Gender Bias in Attributions of Responsibility for Abuse,” *Journal of Family Violence* 20, no. 5 (2005): 305–11, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-005-6606-5.

37 Debra Umberson et al, “Domestic Violence, Personal Control, and Gender,” *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 60, no. 2 (1998): 442–52, https://doi.org/10.2307/353860.
c. Hypothesis 3 Test Results

The third hypothesis test in this study are:

Ha: Social factors has a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence di Indonesia

Ho: Social factors has not a significant significant influence on online gender-based violence di Indonesia

| Hypothesis | Original Sample | Sample Mean | T Statistics | P Values | Result |
|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| Social factors -> Online gender-based violence | 0.429 | 0.431 | 2.698 | 0.007 | Supported |

Table 3 shows that social factors significantly influence the number of online gender-based violence in Indonesia. This social influence is closely related to the community perspective. Online-based violence is sometimes motivated by issues of gender equality because of the solid patriarchal culture of the people. Radical feminists claim that the patriarchal system is what causes women to be suppressed. The partition system is a system that has a powerful, dominant, hierarchical, and competitive character.  

If gender bias always occurs in society, it can be predicted that online-based violence will happen in the community. Conversely, if gender bias does not appear in society, it can be expected to affect the reduction or absence of online-based violence in the community.

E. Conclusion

Online gender-based violence is influenced by two factors, namely regulatory factors that are still unable to protect women in social media and social factors that have been cultured, that there is a perspective that views
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38 Sari Ratih Pembayan and Rini Lestari, "Perii, Aku Aborsi Pranikah," Indigenous Jurnal Ilmiah Psikologi 12, no. 2 (2010): 137–47, https://doi.org/10.23917/indigenous.v0i0.4752.
women as having a weaker position than men. These two factors are the most significant in promoting online gender-based violence in Indonesia.

The study’s recommendation is to revise the ITE Law so that victims of sexual violence in cyberspace can receive protection and perpetrators cannot criminalize victims. Sexual violence in cyberspace is a part of sexual violence in general, a particular law is needed to regulate the elimination of sexual violence. So, there is a solid legal basis for preventing and dealing with acts of sexual violence, including sexual violence in cyberspace while protecting the victim. Besides, the Law on the Elimination of Sexual Violence’s ratification is one solution to create strict rules for perpetrators of online-based sexual harassment in Indonesia. In reducing cases of online gender-based violence, responsive regulations are needed to protect victims.

The existence of the Law on the Elimination of Sexual Violence is a form of community need for a responsive law. Phillipe Nonet and Philip Selznick expressed their view that responsive Law is a facilitator or means of responding to social needs and aspirations. This means that three aspects represent this opinion: 1) in the manufacturing process, it absorbs the widest possible ambitions. 2) the reflection of the Law’s content is to describe the general will and aspirations of the community. 3) The content’s scope is detailed, regulates matters clearly, and is sufficiently clear not to be interpreted unilaterally.

In this context, responsive Law will characterize two things: 1) the Law must be functionally pragmatic, purposeful, and rational. 2) the goal is to set a standard for criticism of what is working; the goal is the norm of criticism. These two characteristics must be translated and used as standards for binding regulations and must be obeyed by all Indonesian people.
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