Deformation Modes and Anisotropy of Anti-Perovskite Ti$_3$AN (A = Al, In and Tl) from First-Principle Calculations
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Abstract: Deformation modes were studied for Ti$_3$AN (A = Al, In and Tl) by applying strain to the materials using first-principle calculations. The states of the bonds changed during the deformation process, and the Ti-N bonds remained structurally stable under deformation. The elastic anisotropy, electronic structures, hardness, and minimum thermal conductivity of anti-perovskite Ti$_3$AN were investigated using the pseudo potential plane-wave method based on density functional theory. We found that the anisotropy of Ti$_3$InN was significantly larger than that of Ti$_3$AlN and Ti$_3$TlN. All three compounds were mechanically stable. The band structures of the three compounds revealed that they were conductors. The minimum thermal conductivities at high temperature in the propagation directions of [100], [110], and [111] were calculated by the acoustic wave velocity, which indicated that the thermal conductivity was also anisotropic. It is indicated that Ti$_3$InN is a good thermal barrier material.
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1. Introduction

Ceramic materials have excellent properties, such as high hardness, good high-temperature performance, corrosion resistance, and oxidation resistance [1]. Meanwhile, metal materials also have outstanding properties, including good electrical and thermal conductivity [2]. However, certain limitations in applications exist for both types of materials. A material called “cermet” has been developed to overcome these limitations. Comprehensive studies on cermet have provided a scientific and technological basis for their application [3]. Cermet has the form M$_{n+1}$AX$_n$, where M represents early transition metals, A represents A-group elements, and X is either C or N. Nowotny et al. [4] reported around one hundred mono-phase ternary nitrides and carbides, such as M$_2$AX, M$_3$AX$_2$, and M$_4$AX$_3$. In addition, advanced M$_{n+1}$AX$_n$ materials, such as M$_5$AX$_4$, M$_6$AX$_5$, and M$_7$AX$_5$, have been proven to exist in subsequent studies [5–7].

Recently, a crystal structure was characterized for the M$_3$AlX phase (M = Ti, Zr or Hf and X = C or N), which is a cubic structure with space group Pm$ar{3}m$, such as cubic Ti$_3$AlN and cubic Ti$_3$AlC [8–10]. The structure is known as an anti-perovskite [11], which is a special type of perovskite having a metallic face-centered cubic structure with C or N atoms occupying the body-centered positions. Ternary carbides or nitrides with an anti-perovskite structure possess a wide range of interesting properties due to their chemical composition [12–14]. Anti-perovskite nitrides have captivating electronic properties and can be tuned to be conductors, insulators, or semiconductors. In addition, this is a relatively unexplored branch of the perovskite family [15,16]. Sr$_3$AsN, Sr$_2$SbN,
and Sr₃BiN are semiconductors with band gaps of 0.49, 0.31, and 0.26 eV, respectively [17], and Ca₃GeN, Ca₃SnN, and Ca₃PbN are conductors [18]. The superconductivity of anti-perovskite structural compounds has been studied previously, and superconductivity has been observed for Ni₃MgC and Ni₃CdC [19,20]. In addition, some anti-perovskite structural compounds possess good mechanical properties, such as Sc₃AlC, Sc₃AlN, and Sc₃InN [4,21,22]. Researchers have shown interest in the multifarious and special properties of anti-perovskites, and the available reports clearly emphasize the need to explore such compounds.

It is useful to explore Ti-based anti-perovskite carbides and nitrides from different perspectives. Ti₃AlN was reported to have excellent properties and was predicted to be a novel damage-tolerant nitride [3]. The elements In and Tl are in the same A group as Al; thus, it is easy to assume that Ti₃InN and Ti₃TlN may manifest similar properties. Djellal Cherrad et al. [23] investigated the electronic structure and bonding properties of anti-perovskite Ti₃AN (A = Al, In, and Tl). Unfortunately, the mechanical deformation modes, hardness, and minimum thermal conductivity at high temperature are not completely clear, and this deficiency impedes further study of Ti₃AN. Hence, it is necessary to conduct further study on Ti₃AN to predict its important physical properties and to study the relationships between the various properties. This is advantageous for the use of these compounds in practical applications.

## 2. Calculations

### 2.1. Calculation Parameters

First-principle methods have been widely used to investigate material properties. In addition, these methods have shown excellent accuracy in the study of many properties for numerous materials [24]. First-principle calculations were performed with the CASTEP program based on density functional theory (DFT) [25,26]. We used the Ceperley-Alder-Perdew-Zunger (CA-PZ) method under the local density approximation (LDA) to describe the electronic exchange-correlation terms [27,28]. Interactions of electrons with the ion cores were represented by the Vanderbilt-type ultra-soft pseudopotential. The wave-function was expanded by the plane-wave basis set under periodic boundary conditions. The outer electronic configuration considered in the calculation of the atomic pseudopotentials was as follows: Ti: 3s²3p⁶3d²4s², Al: 3s²3p¹, In: 4d¹⁰5s²5p¹, Tl: 5d¹⁰6s²6p¹, and N: 2s²2p³.

After the convergence test, in the vector K space, we took 1200 eV as the cut-off energy for the plane-wave expansion, which was large enough to obtain fine convergence. The size of the simulation model is listed in Table 1, and we took the experimental values as the original data. According to the Monkhorst-Pack scheme [29], 8 × 8 × 8 k-point meshes were constructed in the Brillouin zone integrations. All lattice constants used for structure optimization were experimental values. The lattice model and the atomic position in the lattice were optimized by the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm to determine the structure with the lowest energy [30–33]. The tolerances for geometry optimization were as follows: total energy within 5 × 10⁻⁶ eV/atom, maximum ionic Hellmann-Feynman force within 0.01 eV/Å, maximum stress within 0.02 GPa, and maximum ionic displacement within 5 × 10⁻⁴ Å. When these conditions were achieved, convergence occurred. The deformation modes, anisotropy, elastic properties, thermal conductivity, and hardness were further analyzed on this basis.

### 2.2. Structure Properties

The lattice structure of cermet (Ti₃AN) is anti-perovskite and belongs to the space group Pm₅m, in which the A atom occupies the position (0, 0, 0) and the N atom occupies the position (0.5, 0.5, 0.5). In contrast to the ordinary perovskite structure, transition metals in the anti-perovskite structure are located at the corners of the octahedron cage [34], in other words, the Ti atom occupies the position (0, 0.5, 0.5). The lattice structure is shown in Figure 1.
The lattice parameters were obtained through the geometry optimization of the crystal structure at each degree of freedom, and the parameters matched the experimental values excellently. The elastic constants and the elastic modulus at the ground state of each crystal were calculated by linear fitting of the stress-strain curve. The bulk modulus and shear modulus were calculated using the Voigt and Reuss models, respectively [35,36].

Using the extremum principle, Hill proved that the Voigt model and Reuss model are the upper and lower bounds of elastic constants, respectively. In addition, Hill suggested that the Voigt-Reuss-Hill (VRH) approximation is closer to the experimental results.

\[
B_V = B_R = (C_{11} + 2C_{12})/3
\]

\[
G_V = (C_{11} - C_{12} + 3C_{44})/5
\]

\[
G_R = 5(C_{11} - C_{12})C_{44}/[4C_{44} + 3(C_{11} - C_{12})]
\]

The Young’s modulus \(E\) and the Poisson’s ratios \(\nu\) of the polycrystalline materials were further calculated based on the VRH values of the bulk modulus and shear modulus.

\[
E = 9BG/(3B + G)
\]

\[
\nu = (3B - 2G)/(2B + G)
\]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure Properties

First, the equilibrium lattice constants \(a(\text{Å})\) for the anti-perovskite \(\text{Ti}_3\text{AN}\) were calculated. Meanwhile, the elastic constants of \(\text{Ti}_3\text{AN}\) were also calculated. The elastic constants of a crystal characterize its response to external stresses within the elastic limit, and the elastic constants of solids provide valuable information on their mechanical and dynamical properties. In particular, they can provide information on the stability and stiffness of the material [37]. The three independent elastic constants in cubic symmetry, i.e., \(C_{11}, C_{12}\) and \(C_{44}\), were estimated by calculating the stress tensors upon applying strains to an equilibrium structure. We obtained the lattice constants, elastic constants, and elastic modulus of \(\text{Ti}_3\text{AN}\). The values are listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Calculated lattice constants $a$/$\AA$, density $\rho$/$g\cdot cm^{-3}$, elastic constants $C_{ij}$/GPa, bulk modulus $B$/GPa, shear modulus $G$/GPa, Young’s modulus $E$/GPa, Poisson’s ratio $\nu$, $B/C_{44}$ and $C_{12}$-$C_{44}$.

| Parameters   | LDA     | Ref. [23] | Expt. | LDA     | Ref. [23] | Expt. | LDA     | Ref. [23] | Expt. |
|--------------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|
| $a$, $b$, $c$| 4.050   | 4.051     | 4.112 | 4.114   | 4.116     | 4.190 | 4.122   | 4.124     | 4.191 |
| $\rho$       | 4.636   | -         | -     | 6.525   | -         | -     | 8.619   | -         | -     |
| $C_{11}$     | 239.07  | 239.94    | 196.48| 189.85  | 171.05    | 145.25| 138.44  | -         | -     |
| $C_{12}$     | 159.09  | 156.60    | 172.69| 171.05  | 180.62    | 177.32| 177.75  | -         | -     |
| $C_{44}$     | 57.63   | 57.91     | 45.62 | 49.68   | 49.08     | 62.58 | 63.76   | -         | -     |
| $B$          | 185.75  | 184.38    | 180.62| 177.32  | 177.32    | 183.16| 177.75  | -         | -     |
| $G$          | 49.78   | 50.76     | 26.75 | 25.93   | 25.93     | 60.11 | 61.79   | -         | -     |
| $E$          | 137.10  | 139.47    | 76.48 | 74.19   | 74.19     | 162.56| 166.13  | -         | -     |
| $G/B$        | 0.27    | -         | 0.15  | -       | 0.15      | -     | 0.33    | -         | -     |
| $\nu$        | 0.377   | -         | 0.43  | -       | 0.43      | -     | 0.35    | -         | -     |
| $B/C_{44}$   | 3.22    | -         | 3.96  | -       | 3.96      | -     | 2.93    | -         | -     |
| $C_{12}$-$C_{44}$ | 101.46 | -       | 127.07| -       | 127.07    | -     | 82.87   | -         | -     |

Expt.: data from experiments.

The calculated lattice constants are close to the experimental ones [8,38] with small differences, since the LDA method gives a slight underestimation on the lattice constants. In addition, the bulk modulus $B$ and shear modulus $G$ were estimated using the VRH method. For a cubic system, the mechanical stability criterion [39] is expressed as $C_{11} > 0$, $C_{44} > 0$, $C_{11} > |C_{12}|$, and $C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0$. The elastic constants given in Table 1 conform to the criterion above, which indicates that these three structures are mechanically stable. Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ reflects the volume change in the materials under uniaxial deformation. When Poisson’s ratio equals 0.25 and 0.5, it represents the upper and lower limits of the central force solid, respectively. When it is equal to 0.5, the volume does not change under elastic deformation. The Poisson’s ratios of the three compounds are between 0.25 and 0.5, their binding force belongs to the central force [40]. It can be seen that the three compounds have large Poisson’s ratios (>0.3) and not too large Young’s moduli (<300 GPa) and shear moduli. Therefore, we can expect that the three compounds have comparatively low hardness. In addition, this prediction will be confirmed according to the results in our later analyses.

According to Pugh’s criterion [40], when $G/B < 0.56$, this kind of material generally exhibits toughness, when $G/B > 0.56$, it generally exhibits brittleness. The values shown in Table 1 indicate that the three compounds are tough materials.

$B/C_{44}$ is taken as the basis for judging the lubrication performance of materials. The larger $B/C_{44}$, the better the lubrication performance [41]. Table 1 reveals that all three compounds have good lubrication performance and are all good lubricants. As is known, a lubricant requires a certain tenacity, and according to the values of $G/B$ in Table 1, all three compounds have high tenacity. As seen in Table 1, the Cauchy pressure $C_{12}$-$C_{44}$ is larger than zero. If the interatomic forces can be described by a potential that only depends on the distance between atoms and if all atoms of an unstrained crystal occupy the centers of inversion symmetry in the lattice, the Cauchy pressure vanishes, and $C_{12} = C_{44}$. This form of the potential excludes torsional, or bending, forces (present in covalent crystals) and interatomic forces, which vary with the atomic volume (present in metals) [42]. If the Cauchy pressure is positive (negative), the material is ductile (brittle).

The influence of microcracks and lattice distortions is typically considered when studying the mechanical properties of materials. Anisotropy of the elastic properties is usually the condition responsible for the formation of the two abovementioned factors. Therefore, research into elastic anisotropy is beneficial for improving the mechanical durability of materials. In the lattice of Ti$_3$AlN, metallic bonds among metal atoms have no directionality, whereas the bonds between Ti atoms and N atoms are parallel to the basis vectors of the lattice with strong directionality. In addition, this is the cause of anisotropy in the elastic modulus. Therefore, the elastic properties along the face diagonal and body diagonal may significantly differ.
Shivakumar and Ranganathan introduced the elastic anisotropy index $A^U$ [43], which is applicable to all crystals, to quantitatively study the anisotropy of a monocrystal,

$$A^U = 5G_V/G_R + B_V/B_R - 6 \geq 0,$$

(8)

where $B_V$ and $B_R$ are the bulk moduli of the Voigt and Reuss models, respectively, and $G_V$ and $G_R$ are the shear moduli of the Voigt and Reuss models, respectively. $A^U = 0$ indicates the isotropy of a monocrystal. An $A^U$ deviating from 0 results in greater anisotropy in the materials. Moreover, Chung and Buessem [44] proposed the concept of fractional anisotropy ratios, which are defined as follows:

$$A^B = (B_V - B_R)/(B_V + B_R),$$

(9)

$$A^G = (G_V - G_R)/(G_V + G_R).$$

(10)

These ratios were used to evaluate the degree of anisotropy of the bulk modulus and the shear modulus. $A^B = 0$ and $A^G = 0$ indicate that the materials are isotropic. Meanwhile, $A^B = 1$ and $A^G = 1$ indicate the greatest possible elastic anisotropy. $A^E$ was defined as the Young’s anisotropic factor, and the formula is as follows:

$$A^E = (E_V - E_R)/(E_V + E_R).$$

(11)

All of the anisotropy values of Ti$_3$AN are listed in Table 2. The calculated results show that all anisotropy indices $A^U$ of the three compounds are larger than 0, which indicates the anisotropy of the elastic properties, and the degree of anisotropy in Ti$_3$InN is significantly larger compared with that in Ti$_3$AlN and Ti$_3$TIN. The Young’s modulus and shear modulus of Ti$_3$AN are all anisotropy.

| Species  | $A^U$ | $A^E$ | $A^G$ | $A^B$ | $E_{[001]}$ | $E_{[110]}$ | $E_{[111]}$ | $T_{[001]}$ | $T_{[110]}$ | $T_{[111]}$ |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Ti$_3$AlN | 0.162 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 0     | 111.9       | 142.5       | 152.9       | 57.6        | 54.6        | 53.9        |
| Ti$_3$InN | 2.515 | 0.192 | 0.201 | 0     | 34.9        | 76.3        | 108.5       | 45.6        | 33.7        | 31.6        |
| Ti$_3$TIN | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0     | 154.6       | 164.5       | 167.2       | 62.4        | 61.6        | 61.5        |

To observe the change in elastic modulus of each cell in different crystal orientations, the Young’s modulus of Ti$_3$AN in full space is shown in Figure 2. In addition, the calculation formula is as follows [45]:

$$E^{-1} = S_{11} - 2(S_{11} - S_{12} - S_{44}/2)(l_1^2l_2^2 + l_2^2l_3^2 + l_3^2l_1^2).$$

(12)

Here, $S_{ij}$ is the elastic compliance coefficient; Ti$_3$AN has three independent elastic compliances, which are $S_{11} = S_{22} = S_{33}$, $S_{44} = S_{55} = S_{66}$, and $S_{12} = S_{13} = S_{23}$, and the rest are zero. In addition, $l_1$, $l_2$, and $l_3$ are the direction cosines ($l_1 = \sin \theta \cos \phi$, $l_2 = \sin \theta \sin \phi$, and $l_3 = \cos \theta$), where a larger ($l_1^2l_2^2 + l_2^2l_3^2 + l_3^2l_1^2$) leads to a larger $E$. However, the shear modulus is determined by two factors. One is the force-exerting plane, and the other is the force-exerting direction. The latter has infinite possibility on the plane. Therefore, the shear modulus cannot be plotted in 3D space. However, the torsion modulus can be plotted, which is the average shear modulus over all possible directions. Figure 2 shows the torsion modulus [46], and the calculation formulas are as follows:

$$T^{-1} = S_{44} + 4[(S_{11} - S_{12}) - S_{44}/2](l_1^2l_2^2 + l_2^2l_3^2 + l_3^2l_1^2),$$

(13)

The calculated values of $E$ and $T$ in the direction of [100], [110], and [111] are listed in Table 2.

In Figure 2, the plots of the Young’s modulus and torsion modulus of Ti$_3$AlN, Ti$_3$InN, and Ti$_3$TIN are remarkably different in full space. For Ti$_3$AlN, the plot of the Young’s modulus is similar to a cube and shows the minimum Young’s modulus in the [100] direction, followed by the [110] and [111] directions. The plot of the torsion modulus of Ti$_3$AlN looks like an octahedron, indicating that Ti$_3$AlN...
has a minimum torsion modulus in the [111] direction, followed by the [110] and [100] directions. For Ti$_3$InN, the diagrams are distinct. It is obvious that Ti$_3$InN has a maximum Young’s modulus and minimum torsion modulus in the [111] direction, followed by the values in the [110] and [100] direction, respectively. For Ti$_3$TlN, the Young’s modulus and torsion modulus have relatively similar diagrams, which indicates slight anisotropy. In general, the anisotropy of Ti$_3$AN increases in the order of Ti$_3$TlN→Ti$_3$AlN→Ti$_3$InN.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Young’s and torsion modulus of Ti$_3$AN in full space. (A = Al, In and Tl).

### 3.2. Electronic Structures

To understand the electronic structure, the energy band structure of Ti$_3$AlN, Ti$_3$InN, and Ti$_3$TlN were calculated. The band structures of Ti$_3$AN are depicted in Figure 3. The red dotted lines represent the Fermi energy levels. The characteristics of the electrons near the Fermi surface primarily determine the properties of the materials. As shown in Figure 3, the energy band structures of the three compounds are very similar. In these band structures, which have no energy gaps, the excitation of energy bands from the valence band to the conduction band occurs across the Fermi level, indicating the existence of free electrons. Therefore, all three compounds have metal-like conductive properties.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Energy band structures of Ti$_3$AlN, Ti$_3$InN, and Ti$_3$TlN.
When the elastic deformation reaches its limit, a turning point appears in the tensile curve, which variation from the elastic region to the unstable region and finally fracture as the strain increases. 

3.3. Deformation Modes

We calculated the tensile stress-strain curve in the [001], [110], and [111] crystal orientations to study the deformation mechanism of Ti$_3$AN. With tensile loading, the materials usually experience a variation from the elastic region to the unstable region and finally fracture as the strain increases. When the elastic deformation reaches its limit, a turning point appears in the tensile curve, which means that the atoms break away from the pull of adjacent atoms and spontaneously slide from the...
Thus, we can conclude that Ti3AlN possesses the best ideal strength among the three compounds. The three compounds show striking similarities when compressed along the [001] crystal orientation. Ti3AlN presents the maximal tensile strength along the [001], [110], and [111] directions, and Ti3AlN has a relatively broader elastic region in the [001] crystal orientation compared with Ti3InN and Ti3TlN. Notably, the Ti3AlN samples in the [001] orientation show a variation of Ti3AN in different crystal orientations. (The smooth lines represent the tension and the minus sign represents the magnitude of the compressive stress).

Figure 5. The diagram of tension and compression.

Figure 6A shows the tension and compression stress-strain curves in the [001], [110], and [111] crystal orientations for Ti3AN. Notably, the Ti3AN samples in the [001] orientation show a variation from the elastic region to the unstable region and then fracture. The maximal tensile stresses, defined as the ideal tensile strength, equal 33.12 GPa, 30.37 GPa, and 29.12 GPa in the [001] crystal orientation for Ti3AlN, Ti3InN, and Ti3TlN, corresponding to a strain of 32%, 30%, and 30%, respectively. Ti3AlN presents the maximal tensile strength along the [001], [110], and [111] directions, and Ti3AlN has a relatively broader elastic region in the [001] crystal orientation compared with Ti3InN and Ti3TlN. Thus, we can conclude that Ti3AlN possesses the best ideal strength among the three compounds.

Figure 6. Stress-strain curves of tension and compression. (A) The tension and compression stress-strain curves in the [001], [110], and [111] crystal orientations for Ti3AN; (B) The anisotropy in the stress-strain variation of Ti3AN in different crystal orientations. (The smooth lines represent the tension and the lines with symbols represent the compression and the minus sign represents the magnitude of the compressive stress).

To achieve an increase in the compressive strain, the compressive stress was increased continuously. The three compounds show striking similarities when compressed along the [001] crystal orientation.
However, the curves show differences when the compounds are compressed along the [110] and [111] crystal orientation. $\text{Ti}_3\text{InN}$ shows the most distinct shape under tension and compression.

Figure 6B shows the anisotropy in the stress-strain variation of $\text{Ti}_3\text{AN}$ in different crystal orientations. The pattern shows differences in the shape of the curve, which means that the three compounds have different performances under tensile stress in the [001], [110], and [111] crystal orientations. In addition, the three compounds show the best mechanical performance when stretched along the [111] crystal orientation. The order is $\tau_{[111]} > \tau_{[110]} > \tau_{[001]}$. We suppose the cause of this behavior is the stretching along the [111] crystal orientation elongating more bonds. However, when we apply pressure to the three compounds in the three crystal orientations, the compression performance in the [111] orientation is relatively poor. In the [111] crystal orientation, the same compression can cause greater deformation. As is known, crystal slippage often occurs in the most densely packed crystal orientation, and as [111] is the most densely packed crystal orientation, this may be the reason for the poor performance in the [111] orientation under compression.

To produce the same strain, the pressure should be much greater than the tension, and the pressure tends to increase with an increase in strain. The chemical bonds in these compounds are stable, and there is a certain distance between the atoms in the compounds. Compressing the distance is more difficult than stretching the distance, which may be the cause of the phenomenon.

The electrons density distribution is a basic calculation quantity and can be obtained from calculations based on DFT. The electron density difference can be derived from the electrons density distribution. The electron density difference can express the redistribution of electrons after the construction of a system with atoms. The bonding situation can be obtained intuitively from the electron density difference. In addition, the electron density difference can further explain the tensile deformation mechanism of materials in the angle of electron transfer. The computational formula is as follows [50]:

$$\Delta \rho = \rho(\text{Ti}_3\text{AN}) - [\rho(\text{Ti}) + \rho(A) + \rho(N)],$$

where $\rho(\text{Ti}_3\text{AN})$ is the electron density of the compound system, $\rho(\text{Ti})$, $\rho(A)$ and $\rho(N)$ represent the electron density of Ti atoms, A-group atoms and N atoms in the free state, respectively.

Figure 7A illustrates the electron density difference in $\text{Ti}_3\text{AlN}$ in slice along the (200) crystal plane stretched along [001]. We notice that the Ti-Ti bonds undergo slight changes. In contrast, the electron density distribution of the Ti-N bonds undergoes a dramatic change. Stretching in the [001] crystal orientation strengthens the effect of electron transfer by the Ti-N bonds in the (200) crystal plane, either along the direction parallel to the stretching direction or along the direction perpendicular to the stretching direction. For the Ti-N bonds along the stretching direction, the electrons tends to be away from the Ti atoms, while for the Ti-N bonds perpendicular to the stretching direction, the electrons tends to be close to the N atoms.

Figure 7B illustrates the electron density difference of $\text{Ti}_3\text{AlN}$ in slice along the (110) plane stretched along [110] in order to illustrate the electron density arrangement. The Ti-Al bonds undergo slight changes, while the Ti-N bonds changed greatly. Stretching strengthens electron transfer in the transverse direction, and the electrons tend to keep close to the N atoms in the direction perpendicular to the stretching.

The electron density difference of tensile-deformed $\text{Ti}_3\text{AlN}$ in slice along the (110) plane stretched along [111] is presented in Figure 7C to illustrate the electron density arrangement. We can clearly notice the deformation of the cell under tensile strain. In addition, electrons transfer along the Ti-Al bonds in the stretching direction is strengthened, while electrons transfer in the perpendicular direction is weakened. Ti-N bonds maintain their toughness during the tensile stress process.
Figure 7. Electron density difference of Ti$_3$AlN: (A) stretched along [001] in the slice of (200); (B) stretched along [110] in the slice of (110); (C) stretched along [111] in the slice of (110).

Figure 8 shows the electron density difference of Ti$_3$AlN under compression, where (A) is the slice of (200) compressed along [001]; (B) is the slice of (110) compressed along [110]; and (C) is the slice of (110) compressed along [111]. In Figure 8A, in the direction perpendicular to compression, the electrons tend to stay away from the Ti atoms. In Figure 8B, in the direction parallel to compression, the electrons tend to gather near the N and Ti atoms, but in the direction perpendicular to compression, the electrons tend to be away from the Ti atoms. When compressed along [111], the Ti-N bonds are greatly changed, and the electrons tend to stay away from the Al and Ti atoms in the direction parallel to the compression and be close to the N atoms in the direction perpendicular to the compression, as shown in Figure 8C.

Figure 8. Electron density difference of Ti$_3$AlN: (A) compressed along [001] in the slice of (200); (B) compressed along [110] in the slice of (110); (C) compressed along [111] in the slice of (110).
By analyzing the changes in the bonds during the deformation process, taking the same standard scale for both tension and compression, we find that when the same strain is obtained, the electron density difference changes immensely under compression. This may explain why compression requires much greater stress than tension. The features are the same for Ti$_3$InN and Ti$_3$TlN under tensile deformation, which is not presented here for brevity.

3.4. Hardness

Hardness is an important physical quantity for characterizing the resistance of solid materials to elastic and plastic deformation. In general, materials with greater hardness have better wear resistance. In addition, materials with low hardness may act as lubricants. In other words, hardness is an important indicator of the wear resistance of materials. Due to Ti$_3$AN simultaneously containing metallic bonding, ionic bonding, and covalent bonding, we can obtain the hardness of Ti$_3$AN by the following formulas [51–53]:

$$H_v = \left[ \prod_{\mu} \left( \frac{H_{v\mu}}{n_{\mu}} \right)^{m_{\mu}} \right]^{1/\sum n_{\mu}},$$

$$H_{v\mu} (GPa) = 740 P_{\mu} \left( \frac{V_{b\mu}}{V_{\mu}} \right)^{-5/3},$$

$$V_{b\mu} = \frac{(d_{\mu})^3}{\sum \left[ \left( \frac{d_{\mu}}{V_{\mu}} \right)^3 N_{b\mu} \right]},$$

in which $H_v$ is the hardness, $H_{v\mu}$ is the hardness of the $\mu$ bond, $P_{\mu}$ is the calculated Mulliken population, $V_{b\mu}$ is the volume of the $\mu$ bond, $d_{\mu}$ is the bond length of the $\mu$ bond, $n_{\mu}$ is the number of $\mu$ bonds.

The population number and bond length of Ti$_3$AN were calculated, and the values are listed in Table 3. In addition, the calculated hardness of the three compounds is also listed in Table 3. Ti$_3$InN has the lowest hardness compared with Ti$_3$AlN and Ti$_3$TlN, which is 6.87 GPa. By analyzing the bonds in the Ti$_3$AN lattice, the bond length, the population and the bond volume of the Ti-N bonds, no significant difference was found in the three compounds. When examining the values of the metallic bonds, we can clearly see that the bond lengths, populations, and bond volumes are similar, except for the population of the Ti-Ti bonds in Ti$_3$InN. The population of the metal-metal bond in Ti$_3$InN is obviously low compared with the ones in Ti$_3$AlN and Ti$_3$TlN, which is 0.33. We suppose this phenomenon to be the reason for the anomalously low bond hardness of the Ti-Ti bonds in Ti$_3$InN, which leads to a reduction in the hardness of Ti$_3$InN. In other words, the high bond population of Ti$_3$AN suggests high hardness. The calculated hardness is 10.73 GPa and 11.14 GPa for Ti$_3$AlN and Ti$_3$TlN, respectively. We can see that the calculated results for Ti$_3$AlC are in agreement with the experimental data, and Ti$_3$AlC has similar lattice structure and bonds with Ti$_3$AN, thus, our calculations and hardness formulas for Ti$_3$AN are reliable.

| Species  | Bond | Nb | Length | Population | Vb  | Hvb  | Hv    | Hv$^{expt.}$ |
|----------|------|----|--------|------------|-----|------|-------|-------------|
| Ti$_3$AlN | Ti-N | 3  | 2.025  | 0.51       | 5.78| 20.26| 10.73 | -           |
|          | Ti-Al| 3  | 2.864  | 0.81       | 16.36| 5.69 |        |             |
| Ti$_3$InN | Ti-N | 3  | 2.057  | 0.60       | 6.06| 22.03| 6.87  | -           |
|          | Ti-Ti| 3  | 2.909  | 0.33       | 17.15| 2.14 |        |             |
| Ti$_3$TlN | Ti-N | 3  | 2.061  | 0.61       | 6.10| 22.18| 11.14 | -           |
|          | Ti-Ti| 3  | 2.915  | 0.87       | 17.25| 5.59 |        |             |
| Ti$_3$AlC | Ti-C | 3  | 2.052  | 0.62       | 6.02| 17.02| 11.27 | 7.8–12.5 [54] |
|          | Ti-Al| 3  | 2.902  | 0.84       | 23.04| 5.52 |        |             |
3.5. Anisotropy of the Minimum Thermal Conductivity

Lattice vibrations determine many physical properties of a crystal. In addition, lattice vibrations can be reflected by the phonon system. Acoustic waves are key physical quantities with significant function in studying the thermal conductivity of a material. We calculated the wave speed of the transverse and longitudinal acoustic waves for Ti₃AN in the [100], [110], and [111] crystal orientations. Table 4 shows the results, and the calculation formulas are as follows [55]:

\[
v_{l}[100] = \sqrt{C_{11}/\rho}, \quad v_{t1}[010] = v_{t2}[001] = \sqrt{C_{44}/\rho};
\]

\[
v_{l}[110] = \sqrt{(C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{44})/2\rho}, \quad v_{l}[1\overline{1}0] = \sqrt{(C_{11} - C_{12})/2\rho},
\]

\[
v_{t2}[001] = \sqrt{C_{44}/\rho}; \quad v_{l}[111] = \sqrt{(C_{11} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{44})/3\rho},
\]

\[
v_{t1}[1\overline{1}2] = v_{t2} = \sqrt{(C_{11} - C_{12} + C_{44})/3\rho}.
\]

where \(C_{ij}\) is the elastic constant and \(\rho\) is the density. For a cubic crystal system, there are two dispersion curves between \(\Gamma[000]\) and \(X[100]\) in the phonon spectrum, which are correlated to a non-degenerate longitudinal acoustic branch and a doubly degenerate transverse acoustic branch, respectively [55]. Therefore, the two transverse acoustic waves along \([100]\) have the same wave speed. The acoustic wave in \([111]\) is similar to that in \([100]\). In \([110]\), all of the phonon dispersion curves are in the non-degenerate state, and thus the two transverse acoustic waves propagate at different wave speeds. The distributions of the two transverse acoustic waves in the phonon spectrum significantly differ. The calculated results of CaO are also shown in Table 4, and the calculated wave speed is in good agreement with experimental values, indicating that the calculation method is reliable.

Table 4. Anisotropy acoustic wave speed of Ti₃AN (km·s⁻¹).

| Species     | \([100]\) | \([110]\) | \([111]\) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|             | \(v_{t1}[010], v_{t2}[001]\) | \(v_{l}[100]\) | \(v_{t1}[1\overline{1}0]\) | \(v_{t2}[001]\) | \(v_{l}[110]\) | \(v_{t1}[1\overline{1}2], v_{t2}\) | \(v_{l}[111]\) |
| Ti₃AN       | 3.28      | 7.38      | 2.94      | 3.53      | 7.44      | 3.15      | 7.53      |
| Ti₃InN      | 2.02      | 5.76      | 1.35      | 2.64      | 5.94      | 1.88      | 6.08      |
| Ti₃TIN      | 2.64      | 5.53      | 2.57      | 2.69      | 5.54      | 2.61      | 5.56      |
| CaO/cal     | 4.70      | 8.10      | 7.03      | 4.70      | 7.94      | 4.88      | 7.89      |
| CaO/exp [56] | 4.94      | 8.21      | 7.02      | 4.94      | 8.19      | 4.96      | 8.18      |

The acoustic wave speed closely relates to the thermal conductivity of a material. At high temperature, the thermal conductivity decreases with increasing temperature [55]. Therefore, determining the minimum value of the thermal conductivity at high temperature is important for exploring the application of materials under extreme conditions. We calculated the thermal conductivity of polycrystalline Ti₃AN by the Clark model and Cahill model [55,57].

\[
\text{Clark Model} : \quad K_{min} = 0.87k_B M_u^{-2/3} \rho^{1/2} E^{1/6},
\]

\[
\text{Cahill Model} : \quad K_{min} = k_B \rho^{2/3} \left( v_T + 2v_T' \right) / 2.48,
\]

\[
v_T' = \sqrt{G/\rho}, \quad v_T = \sqrt{(B + 4G/3)/\rho}.
\]

where \(E\) is the Young’s modulus, \(\rho\) is the density, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, \(M_u = [M/(n N_A)]\) is the average mass for an atom in the lattice, \(M\) is the molar mass of the molecule, \(n\) is the number...
We calculated the lower limit of the lattice thermal conductivity based on the two models, and the experimental data in Table 5, which supplies the safeguard for the following studies.

Thus, we can determine the degree of anisotropy in the thermal conductivity by comparing the different lattice orientations. The alternative formula is as follows [57]:

\[
K_{min} = k_B p^{2/3}(v_t + v_{11} + v_{12})/2.48.
\]  

In this paper, we calculated the minimum thermal conductivity of the three compounds in the [100], [110], and [111] direction. The detailed results are presented in Table 6.

The difference in the wave speed of the lattice vibration. In the formula, \( v_t \) and \( v_{11} \) correspond to the acoustic wave speeds along the crystal orientation. Therefore, we can calculate the thermal conductivity of the lattice in different lattice orientations. The alternative formula is as follows [57]:

\[
K_{min} = k_B p^{2/3}(v_t + v_{11} + v_{12})/2.48.
\]  

In contrast to the Clark model, the Cahill model is based on the wave speed of the lattice vibration. In the formula, \( v_t \) and \( v_{11} \) correspond to the acoustic wave speeds along the crystal orientation. Therefore, we can calculate the thermal conductivity of the lattice in different lattice orientations. The alternative formula is as follows [57]:

\[
K_{min} = k_B p^{2/3}(v_t + v_{11} + v_{12})/2.48.
\]  

4. Conclusions

The elastic anisotropy, electronic structures, hardness, and minimum thermal conductivity of antiperovskite Ti₃AlN, Ti₃InN and Ti₃TIN were investigated by the pseudo potential plane-wave method based on DFT. All of the compounds are elastic anisotropic. The anisotropy of Ti₃InN is significantly larger than that of Ti₃AlN and Ti₃TIN, and in the order of Ti₃TIN < Ti₃AlN < Ti₃InN.
In the process of deformation, the states of the bonds changed by a certain degree. Ti₃AlN has relatively good mechanical properties. Shown by the population analysis, Ti-N bonds are the strongest bonds, and the strength of the Ti-N bonds is responsible for the structural stability of the three compounds.

The electronic structures of the three compounds show that there are no band gaps between the conduction and the valence band, indicating that the three compounds exhibit metallicity in the ground state, which is a typical metallic feature. The density of states of all valence orbital electrons of the Ti atoms, A group elements, and N atoms overlapped near the Fermi energy level, revealing that the three compounds show a mixture of metallicity, covalency, and ionicity.

The minimum thermal conductivity at high temperature is very small and decreases in the sequence of Ti₃AlN → Ti₃TiN → Ti₃InN. These materials can be used as heat-insulator materials.
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