Parental chronic pain and internalizing symptoms in offspring: the role of adolescents’ social competence – the HUNT study
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Background: A growing body of research suggests that the children of parents with chronic pain are at risk for internalizing symptoms. The mechanisms of such associations have not been as thoroughly examined. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether adolescents’ social competence mediates the association between parental chronic pain and offspring internalizing symptoms as well as whether these associations are moderated by adolescent gender.

Methods: The current study was based on cross-sectional data from the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 3), a Norwegian population-based health survey conducted in 2006–2008. The present sample comprised adolescents who had both parents participating (n=9,681). Structural equation modeling was used for the data analysis.

Results: Our results indicated that the association between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression was partly mediated by low social competence for girls (b(SE)=0.060 [0.030], P=0.043) but not for boys (b(SE)=−0.059 [0.040], P=0.146). This suggests that these associations are moderated by offspring gender.

Conclusion: The study extends the existing literature on the possible pathways between parental chronic pain and internalizing symptoms in the offspring. Identifying protective factors in the pathways between parental chronic pain and mental distress in children could guide measures that promote the wellbeing of the child and family of chronic pain sufferers.
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Introduction
In Europe, ~20% of adults live with moderate to severe chronic pain1 and a large proportion of these are parents. Research findings suggest there is substantial impact of chronic pain on the general well-being of the family.2–4 Parental physical illness affects the children’s psychological adjustment, especially with internalizing problems.5–7 Recent literature reviews emphasized the need to uncover variables that mediate or moderate the association between parental chronic pain and children’s outcomes.8,9 Identifying protective factors in the pathways between parental chronic pain and mental distress in children could guide measures to promote the well-being of the child and family.9,10

Social competence designates how well one is getting along with other people and forming close relationships,11 and it is a fundamental aspect of children’s adjustment.12 Social competence is a term that includes social skills as well as other behavioral, cognitive, and emotional traits necessary to develop and maintain adequate social relationships. The social skills construct contains different dimensions, such as perspective taking (cognitive), empathy (emotional), and cooperation and self-control.
However, in the literature, the terms social competence and social skills are often used interchangeably. Thus, in this article, we refer to studies focusing on both social competence and social skills. In previous studies, adolescent boys were found to have higher levels of social competence than girls. Cross-sectional studies focusing on the connections between children’s competence and their behavioral adjustments have reported an inverse relationship between social competence and internalizing symptoms. Furthermore, long-term associations between childhood social competence and adult mental health have been reported. According to recent studies on the developmental cascades and trajectories of social competence and internalizing behavioral adjustments, social competence antecedes the behavioral adjustment, including internalizing problems such as anxiety and depression.

Previous research suggests that the children of chronic pain patients may have social competence deficits. In a previous study, we found that concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain was associated with reduced social competence in girls, whereas maternal chronic pain was associated with higher social competence in boys. Chronic pain is associated with social isolation and withdrawal as well as by the fact that chronic pain extends over time. Parental chronic pain could substantially impact the familial and social environment. Social learning, such as parental modeling and reinforcement, constitutes one plausible mechanism for the transmission of risk from parents to offspring. Because family relationships are central for developing social competence in children, it is possible that parental chronic pain could influence levels of social competence in the offspring. Additionally, parental symptoms of anxiety and depression and confounding factors, such as socioeconomic status, increasing age, and organ-specific illness, should be taken into account because these factors are associated with both parental chronic pain and child outcomes. Because parental chronic pain is associated with internalizing symptoms in offspring, high levels of social competence could be a potential protective factor in the development of internalizing symptoms. Still, to the best of our knowledge, there are no studies investigating the potential links between parental chronic pain, adolescent offspring’s social competence, and symptoms of anxiety and depression.

The overall aim of the present study was to explore the role of social competence in the associations between parental chronic pain and psychological symptoms in the offspring. First, we aimed to describe self-reported social competence in children whose mothers, fathers, both or neither parents had chronic pain. Next, we examined whether adolescents’ social competence mediated the association between parental chronic pain and offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression while adjusting for potential confounders. Finally, because adolescent boys were previously found to have higher levels of social competence than girls, we examined whether the offspring’s sex moderated these relationships.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and procedures**

The current study uses data from a large population-based survey, The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 3), which is a survey of the entire adolescent and adult population in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, central Norway. Data were collected from 2006 to 2008 and all inhabitants aged 13 years and older were invited to participate. In the adolescent cohort (Young-HUNT 3), 13- to 19-year-old participants were included. The adolescents completed a comprehensive, self-administered questionnaire about their health and lifestyle during a school lesson. Participants aged 20 or more were invited to participate in the adult cohort, HUNT 3. Parental and adolescent data were merged, through the Norwegian family register, using the 11-digit personal number by which every citizen in Norway is registered. The design was cross-sectional. The HUNT three study is described in more detail.
in previous publications\textsuperscript{45,46} and an English translation of the questionnaire is available at http://www.ntnu.edu/hunt/data/que.

Participants

In the HUNT 3 study, there were 8,200 adolescents (78\% of those invited in Young-HUNT) and 50,839 adults (attendance rate of 54\%). The present sample consisted of adolescents in Young-HUNT who had both parents participating in the adult HUNT study (n=3,436). Due to low attendance, participants who were 12 (n=19), 19 (n=157), and 20 (n=33) were excluded from the analyses. As a result, the sample consisted of 3,227 adolescents aged 13–18 years, divided into four groups according to their parental chronic pain statuses; 608 (20\%) had mothers with chronic pain (M-group), 495 (16\%) had fathers with chronic pain (F-group), and 230 (7\%) had both parents who reported chronic pain (MF-group). The reference group consisted of 1,740 (57\%) adolescents who had parents without chronic pain. There were 154 adolescents who had missing data on parental chronic pain items. For girls (n=1,594), the mean age (SD) was 15.7 (1.67) years and, for boys (n=1,633), it was 15.8 (1.62) years. The number of girls and boys in each group according to parental chronic pain is presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. In total, the present study included information from 9,681 individuals (adolescents, mothers, and fathers). A more detailed description of the sample is provided in Kaasbøll et al.\textsuperscript{7}

Measures

Parental variables

Parental chronic pain was measured by combining the item “Do you have physical pain now that has lasted more than 6 months?” (“No”/”Yes”) with a pain-rating scale, including six response categories for the item “How strong has your physical pain been during the last 4 weeks?”, ranging from no pain (0) to very strong pain (5). The Verbal Rating Scale (VRS) is a commonly used pain-rating scale recommended as a global measurement of the pain severity.\textsuperscript{47} A cutoff point was set at the midpoint of the scale (no to mild vs moderate to very severe pain) because this has been useful in identifying people with clinically significant pain.\textsuperscript{48-51} Therefore, the combination of reporting pain lasting more than 6 months and moderate/severe/very severe pain during the past month made the case for ascertaining parental chronic pain. Maternal chronic pain was defined as pain of moderate to severe intensity lasting for more than 6 months (n=838). The control group with no chronic pain included mothers who reported low levels of pain intensity that has lasted more than 6 months.

Table 1 Girls’ social competence, anxiety, and depression and parental anxiety and depression, according to parental chronic pain status

|                         | Girls of mothers with chronic pain | Girls of fathers with chronic pain | Girls of mothers and fathers with chronic pain | Reference\textsuperscript{c} |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| n                       | Mean SD                           | Mean SD                           | Mean SD                                       |                             |
| Girls’ social competence\textsuperscript{a} | 291 3.73 0.79                     | 255 3.76 0.82                     | 106 3.55 0.98                                 | 851 3.79 0.76              |
| Girls’ anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{b} | 291 1.62 0.63                     | 259 1.64 0.62                     | 106 1.78 0.64                                 | 858 1.58 0.51              |
| Maternal anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{c} | 287 1.68 0.33                     | 247 1.62 0.31                     | 106 1.70 0.36                                 | 852 1.60 0.28              |
| Paternal anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{d} | 286 1.81 0.31                     | 258 1.67 0.35                     | 106 1.69 0.29                                 | 854 1.58 0.30              |

Notes: ‘Resilience Scale for Adolescents, range 1–5, high score represents high levels of social competence. ‘Hopkins Symptom Checklist, range 1–4, high levels represents high levels of symptoms. ‘Cohort of Norway Mental Health Index (CONOR-MHI), range, 1–4, high levels represents high levels of symptoms. ‘Reference group; children whose parents do not have chronic pain.

Table 2 Boys’ social competence, anxiety, and depression and parental anxiety and depression, according to parental chronic pain status

|                         | Boys of mothers with chronic pain | Boys of fathers with chronic pain | Boys of mothers and fathers with chronic pain | Reference\textsuperscript{e} |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| n                       | Mean SD                           | Mean SD                           | Mean SD                                       |                             |
| Boys’ social competence\textsuperscript{a} | 302 4.03 0.67                     | 222 3.91 0.81                     | 120 4.05 0.76                                 | 840 3.91 0.78              |
| Boys’ anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{b} | 302 4.03 0.67                     | 222 3.91 0.81                     | 120 4.05 0.76                                 | 840 3.91 0.78              |
| Maternal anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{c} | 306 1.68 0.32                     | 228 1.62 0.35                     | 119 1.77 0.38                                 | 861 1.58 0.24              |
| Paternal anxiety/depression\textsuperscript{d} | 307 1.60 0.33                     | 229 1.67 0.34                     | 124 1.66 0.32                                 | 861 1.58 0.29              |

Notes: ‘Resilience Scale for Adolescents, range 1–5, high score represents high levels of social competence. ‘Hopkins Symptom Checklist, range 1–4, high levels represents high levels of symptoms. ‘Cohort of Norway Mental Health Index (CONOR-MHI), range, 1–4, high levels represents high levels of symptoms. ‘Reference group; children whose parents do not have chronic pain.
(n=290), and mothers who reported pain of less than 6 months duration of either moderate to severe levels of pain intensity (n=334), or low pain intensity (n=1,519). Paternal chronic pain was defined as pain of moderate to severe intensity lasting for more than 6 months (n=725). The control group with no chronic pain included fathers who reported low levels of pain intensity that has lasted more than 6 months (n=323), and fathers who reported pain of less than 6 months’ duration of either moderate to severe levels of pain intensity (n=327) or low pain intensity (n=1,640). Parental symptoms of anxiety and depression were measured by the Cohort of Norway Mental Health Index (CONOR-MHI), which includes seven questions on various aspects of mental distress. The CONOR-MHI are partly modified from the General Health Questionnaire-GHQ52 and the Hopkins Symptom Check List (HSCL).53 The CONOR-MHI items include “Have you, in the last 2 weeks, felt “Nervous and unsettled”, “Troubled by anxiety”, “Secure and calm”, “Irritable”, “Happy and optimistic”, “Sad/depressed”, or “Lonely”?” Each question has four answer categories, ranging from “No” (1) to “Very” (4). The scale has demonstrated good psychometric properties in large population surveys,54,55 and a factor analysis suggested that it measures a factor of the mental health status, including both anxiety and depression.55 In the current study, the Cronbach’s α for maternal and paternal CONOR-MHI were 0.84 and 0.82, respectively.

The socioeconomic status was measured by the highest level of education attained for the parents, which was obtained from the National Education Database (NUDB). For the current analyses, data from 2008 were used. Educational attainments were classified into the following three levels: compulsory education (<10 years), upper secondary education (10–12 years), and higher-level (tertiary) education (≥13 years). The self-reported organ-specific diseases included the following: myocardial infarction (heart attack); angina pectoris (chest pain); other heart disease; stroke/brain hemorrhage; kidney disease; asthma; chronic bronchitis; emphysema or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; diabetes; cancer; and epilepsy. Responses to these questions were dichotomized into no or at least one disease.

Adolescent variables
Social competence was measured by one subscale from the Resilience Scale for Adolescents (READ),56 which consists of the following four items: “I make others feel comfortable around me”; “I easily find new friends”; “I am good at talking to new people”; and “I always find something fun to talk about”. The responses ranged from totally agree (1) to totally disagree (5). Social competence represents one of the five dimensions of READ. The READ has been shown to possess adequate psychometric properties.17,57,66 In the present study, Cronbach’s α for the social competence scale was 0.83 for girls and 0.81 for boys.

The adolescents’ symptoms of anxiety and depression were measured by the SCL-5, which is based on a short version of the HSCL (SCL-25).61 The SCL-5 includes five items addressing how the adolescents felt in the previous 14 days, with responses ranging from not bothered (1) to very bothered (4). Anxiety problems included “Constantly afraid and anxious,” “Felt tense or uneasy,” and “Worried too much about various things”. Depressive problems included “Felt hopelessness when you think of the future” and “Felt dejected or sad”. This measurement has been demonstrated to be reliable in previous studies.61 Cronbach’s α for the SCL-5 scale was 0.82 in the current study.

The adolescent’s cohabitation status was classified as “living with one parent” or “living with both parents”.

Statistical analyses
In the HUNT study, short forms of established measurement scales were used to reduce potential respondent fatigue. However, the validity of the original scales may not apply to shortened scales. Therefore, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA; the measurement model) was conducted prior to testing the hypothesized structural mediation models. To explore sex differences, we estimated multi-group models distinguishing between girls and boys. Scalar measurement invariance was tested (unstandardized factor loadings were held invariant across girls and boys) to ensure the comparability of the girls’ and boys’ scores on the latent variables. Cronbach’s α was used to test the reliability and internal consistency of the variables.

To examine the adolescents’ levels of social competence, one-way ANOVA with the Hochberg post hoc correction was used. Independent samples t-test was used to investigate the differences between girls and boys in the different groups according to the parental chronic pain status. Cohen’s d was used as a measure of the effect size.

Two structural models of direct and indirect associations between parental chronic pain and offspring anxiety/depression were tested (see Figures 1–3). When estimating the indirect paths in the mediation models, we followed recommended procedures with 1,000 bootstrap samples62 to avoid assuming normally distributed data and obtain unbiased values. Multi-group mediation models were used to test moderated mediation. The ratio of sample size to the number of parameters estimated was 1,529/141=10.84 for girls and 1,544/141=10.95 for boys, indicating that
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Figure 2 Adolescent girls’ social competence as a mediator concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and the girls’ symptoms of anxiety and depression mediated by adolescent social competence presented with standardized coefficients and \( P \)-values.

Note: All estimates are adjusted for girls' age and parental symptoms of anxiety and depression.

Abbreviations: CONOR, Cohort of Norway Mental Health Index; SCL, Symptom Check List; READ, Resilience Scale for Adolescents.
Figure 3 Adolescent boys' social competence as a mediator concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and the boys' symptoms of anxiety and depression mediated by adolescent social competence presented with standardized coefficients and P-values.

Note: All factor loadings P<0.001

Note: All estimates are adjusted for the boys' age and parental symptoms of anxiety and depression.
the sample size is sufficient for the analyses conducted.\textsuperscript{63} Notably, we tested a mediation model, based on existing theories and empirical studies, which is in line with suggestions by Hayes.\textsuperscript{64} Due to the cross-sectional design of the present study, we did not test the causal relationships, and the results indicate associations between parental and child factors that could be bidirectional.

Although the tested models were not nested, decomposable fit indices were reported for the measurement and path models. Several fit indices were examined. A non-significant chi-square statistic, CFI- and TLI-values \(>0.95\), and RMSEA-values \(<0.06\) indicate a good model fit.\textsuperscript{65} The models were estimated with the weighted least square parameter with the mean and variance adjustment estimator (WLSMV) due to the categorical and non-normal nature of the indicators.

We adjusted for adolescent age and parental symptoms of anxiety and depression in all paths because these factors were weakly, but significantly, associated with the dependent variable. Parental education, parental organ-specific illness and the child cohabitation status were not significantly associated with our dependent variable, and we did not adjust for these variables. The sample size in the present study was large. However, the magnitude of effects is expected to be small because most participants are healthy in community samples. There were low rates of missing values in the data set (54.8\%). All missing values were handled by the full information maximum likelihood procedure (FIML) in Mplus. Two-sided \(P\)-values \(<0.05\) were considered statistically significant. Structural equation modeling was done using Mplus 7.11\textsuperscript{66} and other analyses were conducted in SPSS.

**Ethics**

Written consent to participate in the HUNT study was provided by all participants and by the parents of children younger than age 16. The present study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki principles and was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics (REK; reference number 4.2008.664) and by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD).

**Results**

The mean values (SD) of adolescents’ social competence, symptoms of anxiety and depression, and parental levels of anxiety and depression symptoms are presented in Tables 1 and 2, according to the parental chronic pain status, for girls and boys. Further characteristics of the target sample, such as the parental age, education, organ-specific illnesses, cohabitation status, and the child’s symptoms of anxiety and depression (SCL-5), were described in a previous publication.\textsuperscript{7} In summary, parental chronic pain was associated with higher levels of parental symptoms of anxiety and depression, lower levels of education, organ-specific illness, paternal older age, and dissolved family structure.

**Parental chronic pain and social competence in girls and boys**

In the general sample, one-way ANOVA indicated that there were significant main effects of parental chronic pain on social competence for girls (\(F [3,1499]=3.12, P=0.025\)) and boys (\(F [3,1499]=2.79, P=0.040\)). Post hoc analyses using the Hochberg post hoc correction for significance indicated that, for girls, the average score on self-reported social competence was significantly lower among girls in the MF-group (mean difference \(-0.24, P=0.019\)) compared with girls in the reference group. For boys, post hoc tests identified no significant group differences.

Independent samples \(t\)-test indicated that girls reported significantly lower scores than boys on social competence in the M-group: \(t(561)=-5.10, P<0.001\) (Cohen’s \(d=-0.41\)), MF-group: \(t(198)=-4.22, P<0.001\) (Cohen’s \(d=-0.57\)) and reference group: \(t(1,689)=-3.19, P=0.001\) (Cohen’s \(d=-0.16\)). Although not significant, there was a tendency toward lower levels of social competence for girls in the F-group \(t(475)=-1.92, P=0.056\) (Cohen’s \(d=-0.18\)) compared with boys.

**Measurement model**

Except for a significant chi-squared test, which was expected due to the large sample size, the fit indices for the model were good (\(\chi^2 [513, N=3,227]=3,735, P<0.001;\) CFI=0.945; TLI=0.946; RMSEA=0.062). Furthermore, indicating an acceptable model fit, the factor loadings were satisfactory and statistically significant (\(P<0.05\)) for all indicators, as shown in Table S1. Correlations between variables are shown in Table 3.

**Parental chronic pain and the offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression**

An initial path model showed that there were no significant associations between parental chronic pain and symptoms of anxiety and depression among children in the M- and F-groups. However, concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain was significantly associated with symptoms of anxiety and depression in adolescent offspring. Therefore, we analyzed a new model with only the paths associating the MF-
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Furthermore, when including the indirect paths, fit indices were acceptable to good ($\chi^2$ [597, N=3,073]=3,692, $P<0.001$; CFI=0.945; TLI=0.945; RMSEA=0.058). For both girls and boys, the direct paths from concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain to adolescent symptoms of anxiety/depression were still significant when including social competence as a potential mediator (Figures 2 and 3). Furthermore, the results showed that adolescent social competence was significantly associated with adolescent anxiety/depression for both sexes. However, parental chronic pain was significantly associated with offspring social competence for girls but not for boys. In fact, for girls, the indirect path from parental chronic pain to offspring symptoms of anxiety and depression via offspring social competence was significant ($b$ [95% CI]=0.060 [0.002, 0.115], $P=0.043$), whereas this indirect path was not significant for boys ($b$ [95% CI]=−0.059 [−0.145, 0.018], $P=0.146$). The direct effects were not significantly different for girls and boys ($b$ [95% CI]=−0.038 [−0.302, 0.205], $P=0.769$), whereas the indirect effect was significantly different ($b$ [95% CI]=0.118 [0.020, 0.211], $P=0.015$). This indicates that social competence partially mediated the association between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and the offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression for girls, but not for boys. The magnitude of the direct effect between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and boys’ anxiety and depression increases and becomes significant with the inclusion of boys’ social competence in the model. Further, parental chronic pain is positively (although non-significantly) related to high levels of social competence in boys.

**Table 3** Correlations between variables (standard errors in parentheses), girls below the diagonal and boys above the diagonal

| Variables* | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Child age         | –   | 0.169 (0.029) | 0.003 (0.028)** | 0.085 (0.048)** | −0.020 (0.028)** | −0.047 (0.028)** |
| 2. Child symptoms of anxiety and depression (SCL-5)# | 0.228 (0.028) | –   | 0.382 (0.028) | 0.107 (0.056)** | 0.083 (0.034) | 0.134 (0.034) |
| 3. Child social competence| 0.087 (0.027) | 0.322 (0.028) | –   | −0.086 (0.052)** | 0.057 (0.031) | 0.018 (0.032)** |
| 4. Concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain | 0.041 (0.046)** | 0.160 (0.053) | 0.067 (0.031) | –   | 0.258 (0.051) | 0.227 (0.050) |
| 5. Maternal symptoms of anxiety and depression (CONOR-MHI)* | −0.041 (0.028)** | 0.094 (0.032) | 0.067 (0.031) | 0.107 (0.053) | –   | 0.224 (0.032) |
| 6. Paternal symptoms of anxiety and depression (CONOR-MHI) | −0.041 (0.028)** | 0.199 (0.031) | 0.102 (0.030) | 0.153 (0.054) | 0.253 (0.029) | –   |

Notes: *All correlations are statistically significant ($P<0.05$), except if otherwise indicated by superscript indicators (ns=non-significant). #Hopkins Symptom Checklist, range 1–4, high levels represents high levels of symptoms. *Resilience Scale for Adolescents, range 1–5, high scores represent high levels of social competence. ^Cohort of Norway Mental Health Index (CONOR-MHI), range, 1–4, high levels represent high levels of symptoms.

Discussion

In the present study, we explored the role of social competence in the associations between parental chronic pain and offspring internalizing symptoms. The most prominent finding was that the association between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and the offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression was partially mediated by low social competence for girls, but not for boys. For both girls and boys, there was a direct effect of concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain on the offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression. Furthermore, low levels of social competence were associated with increased scores for anxiety and depressive symptoms for both sexes. Chronic pain in only one parent (mother or father) was not significantly associated with the offspring’s levels of social competence or symptoms of anxiety and depression.

Parental chronic pain and symptoms of anxiety and depression in offspring

As reported in Kaasbøll et al., the results indicate an association between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic...
pain and symptoms of anxiety and depression for both girls and boys. Furthermore, chronic pain in one parent was not associated with symptoms of anxiety and depression and social competence in the offspring. Although this topic was not directly investigated in the present study, we speculate that the presence of one healthy parent may buffer the adverse effect of chronic pain in the family. One might expect that the overall burden in the families in which both parents had chronic pain of moderate to high intensity is higher than in families where only one parent suffers from chronic pain. It is possible that the presence of one healthy parent may compensate for the potential strain created by chronic pain in the other parent, making the child less vulnerable to distress. Findings from an adjacent research area (ie, parental depression) indicate that a healthy father may attenuate the adverse effects of maternal depression on child health by increasing his role as a caretaker and by providing additional support to the child’s mother.67–70 Further research is needed to disentangle such potential mechanisms.

Parental chronic pain and social competence in offspring

In line with previous studies,16,17 we found that boys in all groups designed according to parental chronic pain status reported higher levels of social competence compared with girls. In this study, girls in the MF-group had lower levels of social competence compared with girls in the reference group, whereas no significant differences were found for boys. In contrast to previous studies indicating that maternal or paternal chronic pain was associated with reduced levels of social competence,26,28 our results indicated that having one parent with chronic pain was not associated with reduced levels of social competence in the offspring. The discrepancy in results could be explained by the use of parent/teacher report vs self-report; pre-adolescents vs adolescents as a target group; clinical vs population sample, and one vs two parents with chronic pain as exposure variables in the previous26,28 and current studies, respectively.

Associations between adolescents’ social competence and symptoms of anxiety and depression

As reported by numerous studies,16–18 we found that lower levels of social competence were strongly associated with increasing symptoms of anxiety and depression for both girls and boys. This may indicate that social competence can contribute to the development of such symptoms, but it is also possible that offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression may cause reduced levels of social competence. Adolescents with higher levels of internalizing symptoms may have poorer social competence because of their mood, apathy, or a negative attribution style.71 Moreover, the relationship between social competence and internalizing problems could be the result of a reciprocal process.22

Social competence as a mediator for girls

The results indicated that low social competence partly mediated the association between concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain and symptoms of anxiety and depression for girls, but not for boys. The potential pathway of concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain that reduced the levels of social competence and symptoms of anxiety and depression in girls may be influenced by several mechanisms. First, genetic factors22 and gene–environment interactions42,72 may influence the levels of social competence and symptoms of anxiety and depression in the children of parents with chronic pain.

Second, the girls’ reduced levels of social competence as well as increased levels of internalizing symptoms may be the result of observational learning16,73 because social isolation and emotional symptoms are a major problem for individuals with chronic pain.2,30–32 Additionally, parental chronic pain and the frequent comorbid depression is often accompanied by the decreased emotional availability of the parent. This may directly and indirectly affect the quality of family relationships through factors such as poorer parent–child relationships and dysfunctional parenting.74 These factors are all associated with lower levels of social competence in the offspring.12

Third, girls in the MF-group may be more likely than boys to take on care responsibilities in the home, and previous studies indicate that the experience of being a young carer may be more troublesome for girls than for boys.75,76 In a study that explored worries and problems of young carers,75 girls reported that relationships with peers were a source of anxiety, especially concerning problems of falling out with friends and worries about not having friends. Furthermore, it is possible that the sex differences in caregiving roles and responsibilities in the home may increase the impact of conditioning and modeling processes for parental pain-related behavior. In addition, it has been suggested that girls, compared with boys, are more sensitive of interpersonal difficulties, including parental stress, chronic illness, and peer rejection, which makes them more susceptible to emotional difficulties.6,77–79
Fifth, during adolescence, family interactions change to meet the developmental needs of the adolescents for independence and individuation.80 Friends, rather than parents, become increasingly important.78,81 It is possible that the individuation and separating processes are more demanding for girls than for boys when both parents suffer from chronic pain. Additionally, the increased focus of adolescent girls on relationships may contribute to worries about social approval, abandonment, and the status of their friendships, which may influence their emotional well-being.77 Further research could benefit from investigating girls’ and boys’ caregiving responsibilities and levels of sensitivity toward their parents’ pain.

Lastly, in the current study, the magnitude of the direct effect between parental pain and boys’ anxiety and depression increased and became significant with the inclusion of boys’ social competence in the model. Although not significant, parental chronic pain was positively related to high levels of social competence in boys. One possible explanation could be that social competence is a suppressor variable among boys. Evans and de Souza82 suggested that maternal chronic pain may enhance both growth and adversity in many families. Despite its initial counter-intuitiveness, researchers have suggested that high levels of social competence might be both adaptive and maladaptive83,84 in that individuals might be too attentive to the needs of others and thereby overrule their own needs. As social competence is a main element of many prevention/intervention programs,85,86 it is essential to nuance the role of social competence and potential sex differences in the context of children as carers.

Limitations and strengths
A major limitation of the present study was the cross-sectional design, which implies an inability to infer causality. Although this also applies for correlational longitudinal studies, they may indicate causality to a stronger degree. Given solid theory and argument, Hayes64 argues for the possibility for examining mediation even with cross-sectional data. Based on the theory and results of previous empirical studies, we assumed that social competence could be affected by both parental chronic pain and offspring’s symptoms of anxiety and depression, which is in line with the recommendations by Kline57 and Hayes64 on performing mediation analysis on cross-sectional data. As all variables in the present study were measured simultaneously, it is not possible to demonstrate temporal precedence. All findings obtained in the present study need to be confirmed in future prospective studies, preferably using longitudinal data with more study periods.88,89 However, the results from this study can serve as a basis for constructs worthy of further investigation for a broader understanding of mechanisms involved in the families of chronic pain sufferers. The current study was based solely on adolescent self-reports of social competence. Further studies could benefit from including observational or multi-informant designs. Another limitation is that the adolescents who did not have both parents participating in the HUNT3 study were not included in the main analysis. However, the effect sizes of these differences between groups have previously been reported to be small.90

In this study, the most important potential source of information bias is a misclassification of the categorical and dichotomous measures. The case ascertainment of the exposure variable in the present study (ie, parental chronic pain) was based on a combination of two items, including the use of a cutoff level. This approach could introduce misclassification (ie, parents that suffer from chronic pain are classified as pain-free parents and vice versa). However, this type of case ascertainment was previously demonstrated to be a reliable measure of chronic pain that identifies clinical cases of chronic pain and excludes cases that arise from minor accidents.48–51 Furthermore, in the present study, the control group (defined as no chronic pain) comprised individuals that reported low or moderate to severe levels of pain intensity of less than 6 months’ duration, or low levels of pain intensity lasting for more than 6 months. Therefore, the inclusion criteria for the control group might have contributed a slight underestimation of the associations in the present study, as the control group includes individuals reporting pain of low intensity. However, the inconsistency in the definition of chronic pain in the epidemiological literature91 call for further exploration of parental chronic pain of mild vs high intensity and the associations with the adolescent’s adjustment.

Despite these limitations, the present study investigated a large population sample, including independent information from children and both their mother and father, which allowed for an examination of the unique contribution of maternal, paternal, and concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain vs parents without chronic pain. The large sample size also made it feasible to control for possible effects of pain and psychosocial factors associated with chronic pain, including parental symptoms of anxiety and depression. Another advantage of the present study was the employment of SEM, which made it possible to evaluate the measurement error and test the significance of indirect paths using bootstrapping instead of relying on the somewhat outdated Baron and Kenny approach, which is low in power.92 Furthermore, we tested for gender differences, which adds to the research literature.
Clinical and scientific implications

Despite several studies linking parental chronic pain to outcomes in offspring, very few have examined the potential pathways behind this link. The present study is a starting point for understanding the mechanisms involved in the relationship between parental chronic pain and child outcomes. Our findings show that social competence is a potential pathway from parental pain to internalizing problems in adolescent offspring. Knowledge about the potential maladaptive functioning in offspring, such as of the reduced levels of social competence and internalizing symptoms, is important because the family environment is central in the treatment of chronic pain.95 Despite the cross-sectional design of the study, our findings indicate that a possible suggestion for future work may be to explore intervention strategies designed to increase social competence, especially among girls who have two parents with chronic pain. For parents and health-care practitioners, promoting children’s social competence could be beneficial and may possibly protect against later symptoms of anxiety and depression.18,22 Further research should aim to identify protective factors for internalizing symptoms for girls as well as for boys who are exposed to concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain.

Conclusion

The present study addresses gaps in the existent literature by examining links between parental chronic pain, child social competence, and symptoms of anxiety and depression in a large population-based study using latent variable methods. The study provides new information about the role of social competence in the relationship between parental chronic pain and symptoms of anxiety and depression in the offspring, indicating that social competence in girls is compromised in the presence of concurrent maternal and paternal chronic pain, which could be a risk factor for internalizing symptoms.
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Supplementary materials

Table S1  Measurement model presented with standardized factor loadings and standard errors

|                                | Girls β     | S.E. | Boys β     | S.E. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------|------------|------|
| **Adolescent observed variables** |             |      |            |      |
| Anxiety/depression → Afraid/anxious | 0.747       | 0.020| 0.761      | 0.019|
| Anxiety/depression → Tense/uneasy   | 0.768       | 0.017| 0.688      | 0.018|
| Anxiety/depression → Hopelessness   | 0.748       | 0.018| 0.714      | 0.017|
| Anxiety/depression → Dejected/sad   | 0.788       | 0.015| 0.825      | 0.017|
| Anxiety/depression → Worry too much | 0.807       | 0.014| 0.826      | 0.017|
| Social competence → Make others comfortable | 0.771       | 0.013| 0.739      | 0.014|
| Social competence → Make friends   | 0.852       | 0.011| 0.831      | 0.011|
| Social competence → Talk to new people | 0.819       | 0.011| 0.783      | 0.012|
| Social competence → Talk easily    | 0.703       | 0.014| 0.723      | 0.014|
| **Parental observed variables** |             |      |            |      |
| Mental distress (M) → Calm         | 0.917       | 0.008| 0.904      | 0.008|
| Mental distress (M) → Happy/optimistic | −0.899   | 0.008| −0.879     | 0.008|
| Mental distress (M) → Nervous/uneasy | 0.791       | 0.013| 0.748      | 0.015|
| Mental distress (M) → Anxious      | 0.847       | 0.016| 0.770      | 0.018|
| Mental distress (M) → Irritable    | 0.581       | 0.019| 0.531      | 0.018|
| Mental distress (M) → Down/depressed | 0.839     | 0.012| 0.817      | 0.012|
| Mental distress (M) → Lonely       | 0.770       | 0.018| 0.718      | 0.019|
| Mental distress (F) → Calm         | 0.892       | 0.008| 0.859      | 0.008|
| Mental distress (F) → Happy/optimistic | −0.866    | 0.009| −0.820     | 0.008|
| Mental distress (F) → Nervous/uneasy | 0.779       | 0.013| 0.788      | 0.013|
| Mental distress (F) → Anxious      | 0.793       | 0.017| 0.799      | 0.014|
| Mental distress (F) → Irritable    | 0.606       | 0.017| 0.622      | 0.017|
| Mental distress (F) → Down/depressed | 0.826     | 0.013| 0.828      | 0.012|
| Mental distress (F) → Lonely       | 0.683       | 0.019| 0.694      | 0.019|

Notes: *All loadings were statistically significant (P<.001). †M=mothers, F=fathers.