HCC incidence is decreasing in Korea but increasing in elderly

Early changes in biomarkers predict HBsAg response

Baveno-VII predicts decompensation in cACLD
The initial presentation of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is hepatic steatosis. The dysfunction of lipid metabolism within hepatocytes caused by genetic factors, diet, and insulin resistance causes lipid accumulation. Lipotoxicity, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and endoplasmic reticulum stress would further contribute to hepatocyte injury and death, leading to inflammation and immune dysfunction in the liver. During the healing process, the accumulation of an excessive amount of fibrosis might occur while healing. During the development of NASH and liver fibrosis, the gut-liver axis, adipose-liver axis, and renin-angiotensin system (RAS) may be dysregulated and impaired. Translocation of bacteria or its end-products entering the liver could activate hepatocytes, Kupffer cells, and hepatic stellate cells, exacerbating hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis. Bile acids regulate glucose and lipid metabolism through Farnesoid X receptors in the liver and intestine. Increased adipose tissue-derived non-esterified fatty acids would aggravate hepatic steatosis. Increased leptin also plays a role in hepatic fibrogenesis, and decreased adiponectin may contribute to hepatic insulin resistance. Moreover, dysregulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in the liver, adipose, and muscle tissues may impair lipid metabolism. In addition, the RAS may contribute to hepatic fatty acid metabolism, inflammation, and fibrosis. The treatment includes lifestyle modification, pharmacological therapy, and non-pharmacological therapy. Currently, weight reduction by lifestyle modification or surgery is the most effective therapy. However, vitamin E, pioglitazone, and obeticholic acid have also been suggested. In this review, we will introduce some new clinical trials and experimental therapies for the treatment of NASH and related fibrosis. (Clin Mol Hepatol 2023;29:77-98)
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INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most prevalent type of liver disease worldwide. NAFLD is a wide hepatic spectrum, ranging from simple steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which leads to progressive fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Fat accumulation in hepatocytes sensitizes hepatocytes to injury, leading to cell death, inflammatory cells recruitment, and activation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs). The pathogenesis of NASH and its fibrosis has been broadly investigated for decades, and the development and progression of NASH and liver fibrosis involves complex interplay of numerous determinants. Understanding of the pathogenesis of NASH and liver fibrosis is important for the diagnosis and development of treatment. Although new drugs have been developed to target liver inflammation and fibrosis in NASH, only a minority of patients achieve treatment response. Thus, there is still an urgent need to develop new therapeutic agents for NASH.

PATHOGENESIS OF NASH

Development of hepatic steatosis

Diet

High-fat diet can result in hepatic steatosis in humans. Liver fat increased by 35% in overweight non-diabetic women after a 2-week isocaloric high-fat diet (56% total energy from fat) increased by 35% in overweight non-diabetic women. The prevalence of NAFLD, and these associations were also observed in subjects with body mass index <23 kg/m². In young Finnish adults, serum fatty acid saturation index inversely associated with fatty liver. In Asian group, prolonged sitting time and decreased physical activity level were found to positively associated with the prevalence of NAFLD, and these associations were also observed in subjects with body mass index <23 kg/m². However, the detail mechanism of sedentary behavior or low physical activity leading to fatty liver remains unclear. Lower expenditure of energy or lower skeletal muscle mass might explain a possible connection between sedentary behavior and accumulation in the liver of healthy subjects. Moreover, palm oil administration in lean, healthy individuals decreased whole-body, hepatic, and adipose tissue insulin sensitivity by 25%, 15%, and 34%, respectively; increased hepatic TG and ATP content by 35% and 16%, respectively; increased hepatic gluconeogenesis by 70%; and decreased glycolysis by 20%. In young Finnish adults, serum fatty acid saturation independently predicted the 10-year risk for fatty liver and omega-6 (ω6) fatty acids inversely associated with fatty liver. A long-term hypercaloric diet, rich in saturated fatty acid (SFA), showed a marked increase in liver fat content by 50%, and ω6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) decreased fatty liver in overweight humans. However, a lipidomic analysis showed that the n-6:n-3 free fatty acids (FFAs) ratio increased in NASH livers as compared to normal livers. These studies suggested that hypercaloric diet, especially high in fat and sugar, contribute to the development of fatty liver; SFA and fructose are more detrimental, but the role of the ω6/ω3 fat ratio also remains controversial.

Physical inactivity

NAFLD patients have low level of physical activity compared to normal controls. Gerber et al. showed that the average physical activity, counted by an accelerometer of NAFLD subjects, was about 28.7 counts/minute/day. In an Asian group, prolonged sitting time and decreased physical activity level were found to positively associated with the prevalence of NAFLD, and these associations were also observed in subjects with body mass index <23 kg/m². However, the detail mechanism of sedentary behavior or low physical activity leading to fatty liver remains unclear. Lower expenditure of energy or lower skeletal muscle mass might explain a possible connection between sedentary behavior and accumulation in the liver of healthy subjects. Moreover, palm oil administration in lean, healthy individuals decreased whole-body, hepatic, and adipose tissue insulin sensitivity by 25%, 15%, and 34%, respectively; increased hepatic TG and ATP content by 35% and 16%, respectively; increased hepatic gluconeogenesis by 70%; and decreased glycolysis by 20%. In young Finnish adults, serum fatty acid saturation independently predicted the 10-year risk for fatty liver and omega-6 (ω6) fatty acids inversely associated with fatty liver. A long-term hypercaloric diet, rich in saturated fatty acid (SFA), showed a marked increase in liver fat content by 50%, and ω6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) decreased fatty liver in overweight humans. However, a lipidomic analysis showed that the n-6:n-3 free fatty acids (FFAs) ratio increased in NASH livers as compared to normal livers. These studies suggested that hypercaloric diet, especially high in fat and sugar, contribute to the development of fatty liver; SFA and fructose are more detrimental, but the role of the ω6/ω3 fat ratio also remains controversial.
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Insulin resistance

NAFLD is strongly associated with reduced whole body insulinsensitivity, as well as increased hepatic and adipose tissue insulin resistance. Insulin resistance can lead to hepatic fat accumulation by increasing FFA delivery to the liver, increasing de novo lipogenesis (DNL), and decreasing hepatic fatty acid oxidation. A landmark study performed by Donnelly et al. demonstrated that in NAFLD patients, about 59% liver triacylglycerol arose from NEFAs, 26.1% from DNL, and 14.9% from the diet, and that the liver demonstrated reciprocal use of adipose and dietary fatty acids. DNL was elevated in the fasting state without diurnal variation. Insulin resistance can impair the insulin suppression of lipolysis of peripheral adipose tissues, leading to increased delivery of FFAs to the liver. Insulin can stimulate sterol receptor binding protein 1-c (SREBP1c), increasing DNL in the liver. Chronic hyperinsulinemia results in the cytoplasmic localization and inactivation of Foxa2 phosphorylation in hepatocytes, thereby promoting lipid accumulation and insulin resistance in the liver.

Genetic factors

There are several gene variants associated with NAFLD and NASH. The first fatty liver gene identified by Romeo et al. is patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3). The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs738409 causes the missense sequence variation I148M, impairing the phospholipase activity and increasing hepatic fat content. Glucokinase regulatory protein (GCKR) can regulate hepatic glucose uptake and hepatic glucokinase activity, and the intronic SNP rs780094 is associated with hepatic lipid content. The SNP rs1260326 (C>T; P446L), GCKRP446L can decrease the inhibition of glucokinase, leading to increased glycolytic flux to hepatocytes, then hepatic steatosis. The rs58542926 (G>A; E167K) variant, transmembrane 6 superfamily 2 (TM6SF2), was associated with increased hepatic TG content. The inhibition of TM6SF2 in hepatocytes reduced the secretion of very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), leading to the retention of TGs. In a Taiwanese population, a variant in the immunity-related GTPase M (IRGM) gene (rs10065172 TT genotype) independently increased the odds ratio of NAFLD by 2.04 by altering hepatic lipid metabolism through the autophagy pathway. Similarly, the IRGM rs10065172 variant increased the risk for hepatic steatosis, but not for liver inflammation or fibrosis, in obese Italian children. Recently, the mechanisms underlying metabolic and genetic components of NAFLD were found to be fundamentally different in patients. The metabolic component is characterized by hepatic oversupply of sugars and lipids, while the genetic component is characterized by impaired hepatic mitochondrial function, reducing the liver’s ability to metabolize these substrates.

Epigenetic factor

Using an epigenome-wide association study in peripheral blood cells, 22 CpGs were found to be associated with hepatic fat in European participants; 19 CpGs were annotated to 18 unique genes upregulated in the liver, including DHCR24, SLC43A1, CPT1A, SREBF1, SC4MOL, and SLC9A3R1. Some alterations of intrahepatic microRNA (miRNA) have been associated with hepatic steatosis. The serum levels of miR-122 and miR-192 were upregulated in patients with simple steatosis compared to normal controls. The administration of exosomes transfected with obesity-associated miRNA induced hepatic steatosis in lean mice. miR-122 inhibition in normal mice caused increased hepatic fatty acid oxidation. Decreased miR-122-5p in the human liver was associated with impaired fatty acid usage. However, the deletion of mouse miR-122 resulted in hepatosteatosis, inflammation, and the development of tumors. The expression of miR-34 was elevated in NAFLD patients. miR-34a down-regulated autophagy in hepatocytes by targeting ATG4B and Rab-8B and suppressed mitochondrial biogenesis, leading to lipids accumulation in the liver.

Lipotoxicity

Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress

The ER is responsible for protein folding, and the accumulation of misfolded or unfolded proteins leads to stress and the activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR). There are three sensor proteins that activate UPR, namely the inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), the protein kinase R (double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase)-like ER kinases (PERK), and the activating transcription factor 6. The UPR can cause inflammation, inflammasome activation, and death of hepatocytes. Patients with NASH have been shown to be specifically associated with failure to generate X-box-binding
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protein 1 (XBP-1) protein and activation of JNK. Palmitate can induce the ER stress response, as demonstrated by the increase in C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) expression, eIF2-alpha phosphorylation, XBP-1 splicing, and JNK activation with increased expression of the BH3-only proteins PUMA and Bim. Perturbation of membrane lipid composition could promote IRE1 and PERK activation, suggesting a lipid-sensing mechanism for ER sensors to activate the UPR. NFATc1 drives hepatocyte damage and inflammation through activation of the PERK-CHOP.

**Mitochondrial dysfunction**

Increased hepatic fat would increase hepatic fat oxidation with increased mitochondrial respiration; however, decreased efficiency of respiratory chain complexes with greater mitochondrial uncoupling and leaking activity was found in patients with NAFLD. Chronic mitochondrial dysfunction in the state of lipid overload led to excessive leakage of electrons from mitochondrial respiratory complexes, leading to oxidative stress. Voltage–dependent anion channel acted as an early sensor of lipid toxicity, and its glycolgen synthase kinase 3-mediated phosphorylation status controlled outer mitochondrial membrane permeabilization in hepatocytes with fat accumulation. Exposure of hepatocytes to saturated FFAs caused mitochondrial depolarization, cytochrome c release, and increased ROS production. Furthermore, intake of SFAs can affect the composition of mitochondrial membrane and decrease the efficiency of the respiratory transport chain, resulting in increased oxidative stress and chronic liver injury. Peng et al. found that hepatic cardiolipin and ubiquinone accumulated in NAFLD and the levels of acylcarnitine increased with NASH, and proposed that increased levels of cardiolipin and ubiquinone may help to preserve mitochondrial function in early NAFLD; however, mitochondrial function eventually fails with the progression of NASH, leading to increased acylcarnitine. Moreover, SFAs increased ceramide synthesis in hepatocytes, which correlated with hepatocyte death via mitochondrial failure.

**Lysosomal dysfunction**

It has been shown that hepatic activity of lysosomal acid lipase and lysosomal acidification, which are markers of lysosomal dysfunction, are decreased in patients with NAFLD. Both steatotic- and asparagine-treated hepatocytes showed reduced lysosomal acidity and retention of lysosomal calci-
duce inflammation, fibrosis by activating non-parenchymal cells, and recruitment of immune cells.\textsuperscript{68,69} Meanwhile, apoptotic bodies can also be engulfed by stellate cells and subsequently induce HSC activation, which increases the expression of α-smooth muscle actin, transforming growth factor β (TGFβ), and collagen type I.\textsuperscript{70} Moreover, the Hh pathway was not only activated in hepatocytes, leading to macrophage recruitment and progression of inflammation,\textsuperscript{71} but it also induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions in ductular-type progenitors.\textsuperscript{72} Cholangiocytes and natural killer T cells also activated Hh-osteopontin pathway and promoted fibrogenic responses of HSCs in NASH.\textsuperscript{73,74}

Toxic fatty acids were able to directly affect Kupffer cells (KCs) and HSCs, which may contribute to the activation of inflammation and fibrosis. Palmitic acids activated toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR4 in macrophages with the induction of inflammatory signaling.\textsuperscript{75} KCs exhibited a pro-inflammatory response with elevated levels of TNFα, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-1β after treatment by palmitic acids.\textsuperscript{75} Palmitate induced ER stress and actin stress fiber formation in activated HSCs. Oleate induced the inflammatory signal and decreased cytoskeleton proteins in activated HSCs.\textsuperscript{76} Free cholesterol was increased in patients with NAFLD, and the accumulation of free cholesterol in HSCs sensitized these cells to TGFβ-induced activation, leading to exaggerated liver fibrosis in NASH.\textsuperscript{70,77}

Insulin exerts profibrogenic activity. Insulin itself induces HSC mitogenesis and collagen synthesis.\textsuperscript{78,79} However, insulin enhances the expression of smooth muscle actin-α in quiescent, but not in activated HSC through the PI3K/Akt-p70S6K pathway.\textsuperscript{70}

HSCs express PNPLA3 and membrane-bound O-acyltransferase domain-containing protein 7 (MBOAT7).\textsuperscript{81,82} Increased PNPLA3 expression reduces lipid droplet content in HSCs.\textsuperscript{81} Autophagy promotes loss of lipids in HSCs to provide energy for HSC activation.\textsuperscript{83} PNPLA3\textsuperscript{E167K} can interfere with retinol production and release of HSCs by affecting the retinyl-palmitate lipase activity, which may promote fibrosis progression.\textsuperscript{81} The MBOAT7 rs641738 T allele was associated with lower protein expression in the liver, and changes in plasma phosphatidylglycerol species were consistent with decreased MBOAT7 function.\textsuperscript{83} Hepatocyte-specific knockout of Mboat7 increased hepatic fibrosis with increased total lysophosphatidylglycerol levels,\textsuperscript{84} which could promote the initiation of HSC activation by stimulating G-protein receptor 5.\textsuperscript{85} TM6SF2\textsuperscript{E167K} was associated with higher risk of advanced fibrosis in NAFLD patients.\textsuperscript{86} Furthermore, the gene encoding for the hepatic hydroxysteroid 17-beta dehydrogenase 13 (HSD17B13) regulated hepatic phospholipids and chronic liver injury in NAFLD patients.\textsuperscript{87-89} The HSD17B13 rs72613567 variant led to the loss of enzyme function, contributing to reduced inflammation and fibrosis in the liver.\textsuperscript{89} In addition, the HSD17B13 rs72613567 variant affected retinol metabolism by reducing the activity of retinyl-palmitate lipase, mediating antifibrotic and anti-inflammation effects.\textsuperscript{90}

Hypomethylation or hypermethylation of genes involved in the wound-healing process in NAFLD could be used to distinguish between patients with mild fibrosis from those with severe fibrosis in NAFLD. Hypomethylation at specific CpGs within TGFβ1 and PDGF, and hypomethylation at specific CpGs within peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) α and PPARδ in patients with mild fibrosis, were found.\textsuperscript{91}

**ORGAN-ORGAN INTERACTION LEADING TO PROGRESSION OF NASH AND ITS FIBROSIS**

**Gut-liver axis**

Compared with healthy adults, patients with NAFLD had a higher proportion of Firmicutes in the intestine, and the relative numbers of Bacteroidetes, Enterobacteriaceae, and Ruminococcaceae families were reduced.\textsuperscript{92,93} Dysbiosis may disturb gut barriers, and bacteria and its products from the gut, such as endotoxin and cytokines, that promote inflammation, could enter the liver through blood, and activate the immune response in the liver and increase liver inflammation and fibrosis.\textsuperscript{94,95} Compared with healthy people, patients with NAFLD had dysbiosis and increased intestinal permeability, and patients with steatohepatitis were observed to have endotoxemia.\textsuperscript{96,97} Low-dose endotoxin stimulations were able to produce steatohepatitis in obese mice.\textsuperscript{98} Conversely, blocking the signals caused by the immune system to recognize bacteria and its products effectively improved the severity of steatohepatitis.\textsuperscript{99,100} Bacterial products and translocated lipopolysaccharide stimulated the hepatic innate immune system through TLR4 signaling, predominantly on HSCs and KCs.\textsuperscript{101} TLR4-mediated stimulation of HSCs led to HSC activation and KC activation.\textsuperscript{102} In turn, KCs produced TGFβ, stimu-
lating fibrogenesis, and the proinflammatory cytokines, propagating hepatic inflammation. KCs also produced reactive oxygen species, leading to the generation of other reactive nitrogen species and local tissue damage. Mice fed with high-fat diet could convert choline into trimethylamine, reduce the bioavailability of choline, and produce a phenomenon similar to choline-deficient diet, leading to decreased excretion of VLDL from liver cells and increased liver fat accumulation. Intestinal dysbiosis would increase the deoxoycholic acid:chenodeoxycholic acid ratio, reduce the activation of farnesoid X receptor (FXR) signaling in the liver, reduce insulin sensitivity, increase glycogen and lipogenesis, and reduce fatty acid oxidation in the liver. At the same time, gut dysbiosis also inhibited FXR, reduced the secretion of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 15/19, leading to fatty liver. SCFAs, such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate, are the products of bacterial fermentation of carbohydrate in the gut. SCFAs in the intestine enter the liver through the portal vein, and acetate and propionate are precursors for fatty acid synthesis and gluconeogenesis, promoting liver fat accumulation. Furthermore, SCFAs bind to G protein-coupled receptors of intestinal neuroendocrine L cells to secrete peptide YY and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), which promote nutrient absorption and liver fat generation. Butyrate may activate the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway in the liver, leading to the inhibition of oxidative stress and inflammation, upregulation of fatty acid oxidation, downregulation of fat synthesis genes, and reduced hepatosteatosis. Interestingly, patients with NAFLD and severe hepatic fibrosis had more acetate in the stool, while those with milder severity of NAFLD had more SCFAs in butyrate and propionate. Moreover, the concentration of ethanol in the blood of patients with NAFLD increased, and the bacteria Proteobacteria, which could produce ethanol, also tended to increase in patients with steatohepatitis. Ethanol destroys the tight binding protein of the intestinal wall, increases the intestinal permeability, and increases the endotoxin entering blood and the liver, leading to liver inflammation.

**Adipose tissue-liver axis**

Adipose tissues secrete adiponectin, leptin, and some proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNFα, which would influence the liver. Adiponectin binds to adiponectin receptors 1 and 2, respectively activates AMPK and PPAR-alpha pathways in the liver, and stimulate glucose use and fatty acid oxidation. Adiponectin also increases carnitine palmitoyltransferase I activity, enhances hepatic fatty acid oxidation, and decreases the activities of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and fatty acid synthase. However, adiponectin produced mainly from white adipose tissue is decreased in NASH patients. When obesity develops, leptin secreted from white fatty tissue is increased to inhibit appetite and increase fatty acid oxidation. However, in obese individuals, leptin resistance develops, and the increased leptin would exert proinflammatory activity. The serum leptin levels are positively associated with the severity of liver inflammation and fibrosis. Leptin augments the endothelin-1-induced contraction of HSCs. Adipocytes also secrete TNFα, which can increase insulin resistance and have pro-inflammatory effects. TNFα increased the gene expression of Mcp1, Tgfb1, and Timp1 in hepatocytes, and the Tnf knockout improved glucose tolerance and significantly reduced the prevalence of hepatic steatosis and fibrosis in mice, indicating that TNFα plays a role in the development and progression of NASH. IL-6 can be secreted from adipocytes, which can then increase the macrophage infiltration of adipose tissue. IL-6 infusion induces hepatic insulin resistance through increased adipose tissue lipolysis. These data suggest that IL-6 is involved in the pathogenesis of hepatic insulin resistance.

**Renin-angiotensin system (RAS)**

Hypertensive patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD on baseline RAS blockers had less advanced hepatic fibrosis. Recently, a large retrospective study showed that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers...
were associated with lower risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhotic complications in patients with NAFLD. These data suggested a beneficial effect of RAS blockers in NAFLD. Transgenic hypertensive rats overexpressing the mouse renin gene with elevated levels of tissue angiotensin II developed hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and fibrosis. The mice lacking the renin gene fed with high-fat diet had decreased liver fat. Aliskiren, a direct renin inhibitor, reduced hepatic steatosis in high-fat diet-fed mice and fibrosis in mice fed with methionine-choline-deficient diet. When renin or prorenin binds to the (pro)renin receptor (PRR), in addition to increasing the production and role of angiotensin (ANG II dependent pathway), it activates TGFβ, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), fibronectin, and collagen I independently from Ang II (ANG II independent pathway). Our group found that PRR contributed to liver fibrosis and HSC activation, and its down-regulation attenuated liver fibrosis through inactivation of the ERK/TGFβ1/Smad3 pathway. These results indicate that renin and prorenin can directly activate renin (pro) receptor-related intracellular signaling pathways, including ERK, TGFβ, cyclooxygenase2, fibronectin, collagen I, and PAI-1 independently of angiotensin II to induce fibrosis. Moreover, Ren et al. used N-acetylgalactosamine modified antisense oligonucleotides to suppress PRR expression in hepatocytes of high-fat diet-fed C57BL/6 mice, and found that PRR inhibition reduced acetyl-CoA carboxylase and pyruvate desorption hydrogenase protein expression. This change reprogrammed liver lipid metabolism, resulting in reduced lipid synthesis and increased fatty acid oxidation. As a result, liver PRR suppression attenuated diet-induced obesity and fatty liver. The proposed pathogenesis that is involved from steatosis to fibrosis in patients with NAFLD is shown in Figure 1.

**PROGRESSION OF NASH TO HCC**

NASH is now the most common risk factor for HCC in the United States. The potential pathways linking NASH to HCC include chronic inflammation of the liver, alternations in 
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immune response, lipid metabolism and gut microbiome, and genetic factors. Enhanced IL-6 and TNF production during NAFLD cause hepatic inflammation and activation of the oncogenic transcription factor STAT3. ER stress contributes to NASH-driven hepatic tumorigenesis via TNFR1. The hepatic oxidative DNA damage was increased in patients with NASH who developed HCC. The unconventional prefoldin RPBS interactor-induced DNA damage in hepatocytes triggered inflammation via T helper 17 lymphocytes and interleukin 17A, contributing to NASH and HCC development. Furthermore, NAFLD caused a selective loss of intrahepatic CD4(+) but not CD8(+) T lymphocytes, which led to accelerated hepatocarcinogenesis. Neutrophil infiltration was characterized in NASH-HCC and can exist in both tumor promoting and suppressing states. Fatty acid accumulation increased junctional protein associated with coronary artery disease, leading to the activation of Yes-associated protein 1 and tumor growth. Dysregulated mammalian target of rapamycin stimulated sphingolipid and glycerophospholipid synthesis, leading to steatosis and HCC. In NASH-driven HCC, metabolic reprogramming mediated by the downregulation of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 enables HCC cells to escape lipotoxicity and promotes hepatocarcinogenesis. MicroRNA-21 can promote hepatic lipid accumulation and cancer progression by interacting with the shHbp1-p53-Srebpc pathway. The intestinal dysbiosis, gut permeability changes, and lipopolysaccharides translocation to the liver in NASH may increase secretion of the epiregulin growth factor, which triggers tumor hepatocyte proliferation. Moreover, carriage of the PNPLA3 rs738409 C>G polymorphism is associated with a greater risk of NASH-HCC.

**TREATMENT FOR NASH**

**Non-pharmacological therapy**

**Lifestyle modification**

Lifestyle changes by eating less and exercising more to achieve weight loss remain the cornerstone of clinical care. Hypocaloric diet with a reduction of body weight decreased total body fat, visceral fat, and intrahepatic lipid content. Some existing guidelines suggest restriction of energy by 1,200–1,500 kcal/day or a reduction of 500–1,000 kcal/day to achieve weight loss. Weight reduction is beneficial for both non-obese (3–10%) and obese patients (≥0%). Other dietary compositions that may be beneficial for NAFLD includes omega-3 PUFA and coffee. Omega-3 PUFA has been shown to increase insulin sensitivity and ameliorate steatohepatitis in experimental studies. One meta-analysis involving nine studies with 355 patients showed decreased liver fat in patients with PUFA treatment. Coffee is not only associated with a reduced risk of NAFLD but also decreased risk of liver fibrosis among patients with NAFLD. Regular exercise helps to enhance the effects of diet modifications. Physical activity with a target at least 150 min/week of moderate-intensity or 75–150 min/week of vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise is suggested. Both aerobic and resistance exercises reduce the hepatic fat content. In addition, the intensity of exercise may be more important than the duration or total volume. In conclusion, lifestyle interventions to promote weight loss, which include both diet and exercise, are proven therapeutic strategies to improve fatty liver disease.

**Surgery**

Bariatric surgery provides sustained and durable weight loss and improving obesity-related diseases. Currently, the most commonly performed bariatric procedures are laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Two meta-analyses showed that bariatric surgery resulted in a biopsy-confirmed resolution of steatosis (56–66%), inflammation (45–50%), ballooning degeneration (49–76%), and fibrosis (25–40%), as well as reduction of NAFLD activity score (NAS). A higher rate of improvement in steatosis and hepatic fibrosis was observed in Asian countries compared to non-Asian countries. In addition, bariatric surgery was associated with decreased progression of NAFLD to cirrhosis and reduced risks of any cancer and obesity-related cancer in NAFLD patients with severe obesity, particularly in cirrhotic patients. However, new or worsening cases of NAFLD were found in 12% of patients after bariatric surgery. Bariatric surgery was associated with a significantly lower risk of incident major adverse liver outcomes (2.3% vs. 9.6% at 10 years) and major adverse cardiovascular events (8.5% vs. 15.7% at 10 years), as compared with non-surgical management.

**Endoscopic therapy**

Endoscopic bariatric therapies, including intragastric bal-
loons (IGB), endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty (ESG), duodenal mucosal resurfacing (DMR), and duodenal-Jejunal bypass linner (DJBL), were recently introduced as less invasive modalities to treat obesity and metabolic comorbidities. In a meta-analysis, improvement in steatosis and NAS were seen in 79.2% and 83.5% of patients receiving IGB, respectively. Improvement of fibrosis for 1.5 stage by MR elastography was seen in 50% of patients with NAFLD after IGB placement. ESG reduced the body weight by up to 15% and improved hepatic steatosis and fibrosis at 2 years of follow-up in obese patients with NAFLD. Studies for efficacy and safety of ESG (NCT03426111; NCT04653311) and the comparison of ESG vs. LSG (NCT04060368) in patients with NASH are ongoing. DMR has been shown to reduce alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and fibrosis-4 scores in patients with diabetes mellitus. Recently, an observational study of 32 obese patients with diabetes mellitus who underwent DJBL showed improved non-invasive markers of steatosis and NASH, but not fibrosis. The role of DJBL on NAFLD needs to be further evaluated.

**Fecal microbiota transplantation**

Some studies have suggested that fecal transplantation helps ameliorate steatohepatitis. A randomized controlled trial (RCT) using allogenic fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) from lean vegan donors for patients with NAFLD through duodenal infusion found that there was no significant improvement in NAS, steatosis, and fibrosis scores. However, they observed a trend of improving necro-inflammatory scores and beneficial changes in hepatic gene expression and plasma metabolites involved in inflammation and lipid metabolism following allogenic FMT. Another RCT using allogenic FMT via endoscopic duodenal infusion in patients with NAFLD found that FMT did not improve insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis but reduced small intestinal permeability at 6 months of follow-up.

**Pharmacological therapy**

The pharmacological agents predominantly target the following four mechanisms: 1) hepatic fat accumulation; 2) oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis; 3) gut-liver axis, including bile acids, gut microbiomes, and metabolic endotoxemia; and 4) hepatic fibrosis. The agents targeting different pathways are described below, and those with promising results are summarized in Table 1.

**Agents targeting hepatic fat accumulation**

Pioglitazone, a PPAR γ agonist, improved hepatic steatosis, inflammation, and hepatocellular ballooning. Similar effects were found in Asian NASH patients. The phase 3 RESOLVE-IT trial, Elafibranor, a dual PPAR α/δ agonist, failed to achieve NASH resolution. Pemafibrate, a selective PPAR α modulator, did not decrease liver fat but caused a significant reduction in fibrosis for 6.2% of magnetic resonance elastography-based liver stiffness. Lanifibranor, a pan-PPAR agonist, significantly decreased the steatosis-activity-fibrosis activity score for at least 2 points in 55% of the patients at 24 weeks. GLP-1 agonists increase insulin secretion, inhibit glucagon secretion, delay gastric emptying, and decrease appetite. NASH resolution was observed in 39% of patients who received liraglutide for 48 weeks and in 59% of patients who received semaglutide for 72 weeks. However, fibrosis improvement was insignificant in both studies.

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors increase the urinary excretion of glucose. A meta-analysis of 10 RCTs showed that SGLT2 inhibitors can reduce aminotransferases and hepatic fat.

FGF19 and FGF21 are endocrines that regulate energy homeostasis. Aldafermin, a FGF19 analogue, led to reductions of liver fat content and a trend toward fibrosis improvement. Pegbelfermin and efruxifermin are long-acting, recombinant analogues of human FGF21, and both have shown effects of reducing liver fat.

Two phase IIa trials investigated the effects of acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase (ACC) inhibitor monotherapy (PF-05221304) and combination with a diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2 (DGAT2) inhibitor (PF-06865571). Both PF-05221304 monotherapy and co-administration with PF-06865571 reduced liver fat content.

Stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase 1 (SCD-1) is a key enzyme that catalyzes the biosynthesis of monounsaturated fatty acids. A phase IIb trial (ARREST trial) showed that aramchol (a liver-targeted SCD-1 inhibitor) 600 mg did not cause a significant reduction in liver fat content. Nevertheless, the observed change in liver histology and biochemical improvement suggests a potential role of aramchol in treating NASH and fibrosis.

Thyroid hormone receptor-β (THR-β) is predominantly ex-
| Type of drug | Mechanism of action | Drug name | Study design | Study outcome | Reference |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-----------|
| PPAR agonist | PPAR-γ: ↑ insulin sensitivity modulates adipose tissue distribution  
PPAR-α: ↑ fatty acid β-oxidation  
PPAR-δ: anti-inflammatory | Pioglitazone  
(PPAR-γ agonist) | RCT; NASH, prediabetes/DM  
Pioglitazone vs. placebo | ↓ ALT/AST  
↓ Steatosis, ballooning necrosis, and inflammation  
Fibrosis not improved | 188 |
|             |                     | Pioglitazone vs. placebo |              | ↓ ALT/GGT  
Histology improvement, including liver injury and fibrosis | 189 |
|             |                     | Pemafibrate  
(SPPARα) | Phase II RCT; NAFLD and ↑ ALT  
Pemafibrate vs. placebo | ↓ ALT  
↓ Liver stiffness  
No significant change of liver fat | 192 |
|             |                     | Lanifibranor  
(Pan-PPAR agonist) | Phase IIb RCT; NASH (SAF-A ≥3)  
Lanifibranor vs. placebo | ↓ SAF-A score ≥2 points in lanifibranor 1,200 mg group | 193 |
| GLP-1 agonist | ↑ Insulin secretion  
↓ Glucagon secretion  
↓ Gastric emptying  
↓ Appetite | Liraglutide | Phase II RCT; NASH, obesity  
Liraglutide vs. placebo | ↑ Resolution of NASH without worsening of fibrosis  
No difference in fibrosis improvement | 194 |
|             |                     | Semaglutide | RCT, phase II; NASH (F1–F3 fibrosis)  
Semaglutide vs. placebo | ↑ Resolution of NASH without worsening of fibrosis in semaglutide 0.4 mg group  
No difference in fibrosis improvement | 195 |
| SGLT2 inhibitor | ↑ Urinary excretion of glucose | SGLT2 inhibitors | Meta-analysis of 10 RCTs; NAFLD, DM  
SGLT2 inhibitor vs. other antidiabetic drugs | ↓ ALT/AST  
Liver fat content, visceral fat, and subcutaneous fat areas | 196 |
| FGF-19 analogue | ↓ Bile acids synthesis  
↓ Hepatic gluconeogenesis  
↓ DNL  
↓ Fatty acid oxidation | Aldafermin | Phase II RCT; NASH (NAS ≥4, F2–F3 fibrosis, and liver fat content ≥8%)  
Aldafermin vs. placebo | ↓ ALT/AST  
Liver fat fraction on MRI-PDFF | 197 |
| FGF-21 analogue | ↓ Hepatic gluconeogenesis  
↑ Insulin sensitivity  
↑ Energy expenditure  
↑ Mitochondria beta-oxidation in hepatocytes | Pegbelfermin | Phase IIa RCT; NASH (F1–F3 fibrosis, and liver fat content ≥10%), obesity  
Pegbelfermin vs. placebo | ↓ Liver fat fraction on MRI-PDFF | 198 |
|             |                     | Efruxifermin | Phase IIa RCT; NASH  
Efruxifermin vs. placebo | ↓ Liver fat fraction on MRI-PDFF | 199 |
| Type of drug                        | Mechanism of action                        | Drug name             | Study design                      | Study outcome                                      | Reference |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitor   | ↓ DNL                                      | PF-05221304           | 2 phase IIa RCTs; NAFLD/NASH      | PF-05221304 monotherapy; ↓Liver fat on MRI-PDFF, but ↑TG | [200]     |
|                                    | ↑ Fatty acid oxidation                     |                       | PF-05221304 monotherapy vs. placebo |                                                    |           |
| Diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2   | ↓ Synthesis of fatty acids into TGs        | PF-06865571           | PF-05221304 and PF-06865571 co-administration vs. placebo | Co-administration therapy; ↓ liver fat and mitigated ACC inhibitor-mediated effect on TG |           |
| inhibitor                          |                                            |                       |                                   |                                                    |           |
| Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 inhibitor| ↓ DNL                                      | Aramchol              | Phase IIb RCT; NASH Aramchol vs. placebo | ↓ Liver fat content in aramchol 600 mg group, but not significant | [201]     |
|                                    |                                            |                       |                                   |                                                    |           |
| Selective thyroid hormone receptor-β agonist | ↓ LDL, cholesterol, and TG ↑ Fatty acid oxidation | Resmetirom | Phase II RCT; NASH (F1–F3 fibrosis, and liver fat content ≥10%) Resmetirom vs. placebo | ↓ Liver fat on MRI-PDFF | [202]     |
|                                    |                                            |                       |                                   |                                                    |           |
| Anti-oxidant                       | Anti-oxidative stress                      | Vitamin E             | RCT; NASH, no DM Vitamin E vs. pioglitazone vs. placebo | ↓ ALT/AST for both vitamin E and pioglitazone groups NASH improvement in vitamin E group, but not in pioglitazone group Fibrosis not improved in vitamin E and pioglitazone groups | [204]     |
|                                    |                                            |                       |                                   |                                                    |           |
| Bile acid analogue                 | Anti-inflammation                          | Berberine ursodeoxycholate | Phase II RCT; NAFLD, DM Berberine ursodeoxycholate vs. placebo | ↓ Liverfat content on MRI-PDFF | [206]     |
|                                    | ↓ DNL                                      | Obeticholic acid      | Phase III RCT; NASH (NAS ≥4, F2–F3 fibrosis or F1 with ≥1 accompanying comorbidity) Obeticholic acid vs. placebo | ↓ Fibrosis No significant resolution of NASH | [209]     |
|                                    | ↑ Fatty acid β-oxidation                   | (FXR agonist)         |                                   |                                                    |           |
|                                    | ↑ Cholesterol excretion                    |                       |                                   |                                                    |           |

NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; PPAR, proliferator-activated receptor; SPPARMa, selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α modulator; RCT, randomized controlled trial; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; SAF-A, steatosis-activity-fibrosis activity; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; SGLT2, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; DM, diabetes mellitus; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; DNL, de novo lipogenesis; NAS, NAFLD activity score; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PDFF, proton density fat fraction; TG, triglyceride; ACC, acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase; LDL, low density lipoprotein; FXR, farnesoid X receptor.
pressed in the hepatocytes. Resmetirom, a selective THR-β agonist, significantly reduced more than 30% of hepatic fat after 12 and 36 weeks of treatment in patients with NASH in phase II trial.202

Agents targeting oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis
Vitamin E, an antioxidative agent, demonstrated benefits on hepatic decompensation and transplant-free survival in patient with NASH.203 The PIVENS study, which compared the effects of vitamin E, pioglitazone, and placebo in NASH patients without diabetes, showed that vitamin E (800 international units/day), but not pioglitazone, significantly improved NASH.204

Apoptosis signaling kinase 1 (ASK1) promotes apoptosis, inflammation, and fibrosis in the liver. However, selonsertib, an ASK1 inhibitor, failed to improve fibrosis in NASH patients with bridging fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis.205

Berberine ursodeoxycholate is an ionic salt of berberine and ursodeoxycholic acid. It reduced 4.8% of liver fat and improved glycemic control as well as liver enzymes in patients with NASH and diabetes.206

Agents targeting gut-liver axis
In a phase IIb study, obeticholic acid (OCA), a FXR agonist, improved liver histology in 21% of NASH patients.207 In patients with NASH and diabetes, OCA demonstrated the effects of increasing insulin sensitivity and reducing markers of liver inflammation as well as fibrosis.208 In the interim analysis of a phase III trial, both 10-mg and 25-mg doses of OCA improved fibrosis (18% and 23%, respectively), but the NASH resolution endpoint was not met.209 This study is ongoing to assess the clinical outcomes.

Agents targeting liver fibrosis
Caspase is a protease that is associated with apoptosis and inflammation in the liver. However, emricasan, a pan-caspase inhibitor, did not improve fibrosis or resolution of NASH.210 Besides, for patients with NASH-related cirrhosis and severe portal hypertension, emricasan did not improve hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) or liver-related outcomes.211

In a phase IIb CENTAUR trial, a 2-year study, cenicriviroc, a dual C-C chemokine receptor types 2 and 5 antagonist, achieved ≥1-stage of fibrosis improvement without worsen-
ing of NASH after 1 year of treatment compared to placebo (20% vs. 10%). And a great proportion (60%) of the patients who achieved fibrosis response at the first year maintained fibrosis reduction at the second year. The long-term impact of cenicriviroc on fibrosis needs to be further investigated.

Belapectin, a galectin-3 inhibitor, did not significantly reduce HVPG or fibrosis in patients with NASH, cirrhosis, and portal hypertension; however, in a subgroup of patients without esophageal varices, belapectin reduced HVPG as well as the development of esophageal varices.

Information about the ongoing phase III clinical trials of promising drugs on phase II studies are listed in Table 2.

**Combination therapy**

NAFLD is a multifactorial disease, and combining therapies with different targets may have synergistic effects. Clofexor (FXR agonist) plus firsocostat (ACC inhibitor) led to improvements in NASH activity compared to placebo, or single agent in patients with bridging fibrosis and cirrhosis. Semaglutide with firsocostat and/or clofexor showed greater improvements in liver steatosis and liver biochemistry compared to semaglutide alone. Combining ACC inhibitors and DGAT2 inhibitors reduced liver fat content and mitigated the side effect of elevated serum TGs.

**PERSPECTIVES**

As understanding of mechanisms of NASH and its fibrosis increases, more therapies will be introduced and tested in clinical trials. The pathogenesis of NASH and fibrosis is complex; therefore, it would be difficult to treat the disease using just one therapy. Combination therapy is the focus in the future development of treatment. Furthermore, better care of extra-hepatic complications of NASH, novel biomarkers for diagnosis, risk stratification and treatment responses, and more clinical trials in Asian groups should also be well researched and developed.
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