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Abstract

**Background:** Magnetotactic bacteria have long intrigued researchers because they synthesize intracellular nano-scale (40-100 nm) magnetic particles composed of Fe₃O₄, termed magnetosomes. Current research focuses on the molecular mechanisms of bacterial magnetosome formation and its practical applications in biotechnology and medicine. Practical applications of magnetosomes are based on their ferrimagnetism, nanoscale size, narrow size distribution, dispersal ability, and membrane-bound structure. However, the applications of magnetosomes have not yet been developed commercially, mainly because magnetotactic bacteria are difficult to cultivate and consistent, high yields of magnetosomes have not yet been achieved.

**Results:** We report a chemostat culture technique based on pH-stat feeding that yields a high cell density of *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* strain MSR-1 in an auto-fermentor. In a large-scale fermentor, the magnetosome yield was significantly increased by adjusting the stirring rate and airflow which regulates the level of dissolved oxygen (DO). Low concentration of sodium lactate (2.3 mmol l⁻¹) in the culture medium resulted in more rapid cell growth and higher magnetosome yield than high concentration of lactate (20 mmol l⁻¹). The optical density of *M. gryphiswaldense* cells reached 12 OD₅₆₅ nm after 36 hr culture in a 42 L fermentor. Magnetosome yield and productivity were 83.23 ± 5.36 mg l⁻¹ (dry weight) and 55.49 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively, which were 1.99 and 3.32 times higher than the corresponding values in our previous study.

**Conclusions:** Compared to previously reported methods, our culture technique with the MSR-1 strain significantly increased cell density, cell yield, and magnetosome yield in a shorter time window and thus reduced the cost of production. The cell density and magnetosome yield reported here are the highest so far achieved with a magnetotactic bacteria. Refinement of this technique will enable further increase of cell density and magnetosome yield.

Background

Magnetotactic bacteria, first described by Richard Blakemore [1], have long intrigued researchers because they synthesize intracellular nano-scale (40-100 nm) magnetic particles composed of Fe₃O₄, termed magnetosomes. The extensively studied strains of magnetotactic bacteria include *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* MSR-1, *M. magnetotacticum* MS-1, *M. magneticum* AMB-1, *Magnetooccus* sp. MC-1, and magneto-ovoid strain MO-1 [2-6]. Interestingly, a variety of higher organisms, including bees [7], algae [8], pigeons [9], eels [10], and humans [11], are also capable of synthesizing intracellular magnetite. The formation and physiological function of magnetic crystals in these organisms are not known. However, thorough understanding of bacterial magnetosome formation will serve as a model to uncover the mechanism of magnetosome formation and function in other species.

Current research focuses on the molecular mechanisms of bacterial magnetosome formation [12] and its practical applications in biotechnology and medicine [13]. Complete or partial genomes of *M. magnetotacticum* MS-1, *M. gryphiswaldense* MSR-1, *M. magneticum* AMB-1,
Magnetococcus sp. MC-1 and magneto-ovoid strain MO-1 have been published [14,15]. Functional analysis of several genes involved in magnetosome formation, e.g., *mamJ*, *mamK*, *magA* [12,16-23] have revealed the roles of membrane associated proteins in transport and biomineralization processes required for the assembly of magnetosomes.

Practical applications of magnetosomes are based on their ferrimagnetism, nanoscale size, narrow size distribution, dispersal ability, and membrane-bound structure [13]. Bacterial magnetosomes have been used experimentally as carriers of enzymes [24], antibodies [25,26] for highly sensitive immunoassay, and as efficient sorbents for isolation and purification of DNA or RNA. Artificial magnetic nanoparticles have been used as carriers for cancer diagnosis and targeted therapy in experimental animals [27-30]. Similarly, magnetic nanoparticles enclosed in biological membranes can be linked to genes or drug molecules and thus could be used as carriers of drugs for targeted therapy of tumors [31]. Several recent reports indicate that purified, sterilized magnetosomes from *M. gryphiswaldense* MSR-1 are non-toxic for mouse fibroblasts *in vitro*, and may be useful as carriers of genes, or drugs for cancer therapy or other diseases [32,33]. However, the applications of magnetosomes have not yet been developed commercially, mainly because magnetotactic bacteria are difficult to cultivate and consistent, high yields of magnetosomes have not yet been achieved [34-37].

Recently, we described a novel culture method for high-yield growth and magnetosome production of *M. gryphiswaldense* [38], but large-scale cultivation requires further refinement of nutrient control and other culture conditions. Here we report a chemostat culture technique by pH-stat feeding, leading to rapid cell growth and maximized magnetosome formation by *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* strain MSR-1 at low dissolved oxygen concentration and carbon source limitation. pH-stat feeding is a feeding strategy based on a pH feedback control. The substrate feeds into the system in response to the change in pH of the culture. This technique allows the concentrations of carbon, nitrogen, and iron sources to be easily controlled at constant levels and scaled up for large-scale preparation of magnetosomes. Moreover, it provides a useful guideline for resolving the problem of difficult cultivation of some micro-aerobic microorganisms.

**Results**

**Optimal shaking conditions for flask cultures**

Experiments investigating the effects of medium components on MSR-1 cell growth in shake-flasks indicated that mineral elixir, but not vitamin elixir, is essential for culture [39]. Sodium lactate was determined to be the best carbon source (data not shown), at a maximum concentration of 20 mmol l⁻¹ (Figure 1a). NH₄Cl was better than NaNO₃ as the nitrogen source (Figure 1b).

**Chemostat culture**

Optimized conditions for shake-flask culture are not directly applicable to large scale cultivation in fermentor. To investigate the effects of sodium lactate and NH₄Cl concentrations on MSR-1 cell growth and magnetosome formation, we developed a “chemostat culture” technique based on pH-stat feeding during the cultivation process, to control concentrations of carbon, nitrogen, and iron sources at a constant level. The feed solution contained (per liter) 4.2 g ferric citrate, 129 g sodium lactate, 52.6 g lactic acid, and 54.9 g NH₄Cl; these concentrations were determined in preliminary experiments.

**Figure 1** Effects of carbon source and nitrogen source concentration on growth of *M. gryphiswaldense*. a: effect of sodium lactate concentration on cell growth. b: effects of NH₄Cl and NaNO₃ concentration on cell growth. All experiments are repeated three times independently and for each time three parallel samples were used to generate the average.
were achieved by pH-stat feeding and adjusting initial sodium lactate in the cultivation medium. The growth rate was higher at a low concentration of sodium lactate (2.3 mmol l⁻¹) than at a high concentration (20 mmol l⁻¹) (Figure 2). We also studied the effects of C/N ratios 2/1, 4/1, and 6/1 on cell growth at a constant sodium lactate concentration (2.3 mmol l⁻¹) in a fermentor, by regulating the concentration of NH₄Cl. A C/N ratio of 4/1 was used in subsequent experiments as no significant influence on cell density and growth rate was observed with changes in this parameter.

**Optimal dissolved oxygen concentration (DO)**

Since high density cultures yield increased levels of products, we investigated the role of oxygen in cell density in cultures. As for all magnetotactic bacteria, oxygen increased MSR-1 cell density but reduced or blocked magnetosome formation [34-36]. Thus, there is a conflict between cell growth and magnetosome formation. As shown in Figure 3 cell density of MSR-1 depended on oxygen concentration in the medium during cultivation (Figure 3a, b). This is one of the reasons why high cell density and large magnetosome yield are so difficult to achieve simultaneously with magnetotactic bacteria.

Air flow rate and stirring rate, which affect DO, were experimentally optimized for chemostat culture. In order to maintain the low DO necessary for magnetosome formation, air flow and stirring rate were initially set at 0.3 l min⁻¹ and 100 r min⁻¹, respectively [38]. Under these conditions, the DO became undetectable, and the cell density was only 0.26 OD₅₆₅ nm at 4 h of incubation (Figure 3a). In order to accelerate cell growth, the stirring rate was increased by 40 r min⁻¹ at 14, 20, 28, and 40 h, successively; however, the DO remained at zero. Finally, the cell density reached 6.5 OD₅₆₅ nm after 54 hr (Figure 3a). Formation of magnetosomes in the cells began one hour after the DO became undetectable, and continued along with cell growth until the end of cultivation. The dry weight and productivity of the magnetosomes were 40.0 mg l⁻¹ and 17.5 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively. Although the growth rate and magnetosome yield under these conditions were similar relative to previous results [38], it was clear that growth rate was slow at initial growth phase, resulting from the low DO. We therefore tried to enhance DO in the initial growth phase in order to accelerate growth and shorten the cultivation period.

When the stirring rate and initial air flow were increased to 200 r min⁻¹ and 2.0 l min⁻¹, respectively, the DO decreased relatively slowly and became undetectable at 20 hr whereas the growth rate increased greatly. The cell density reached 2.4 OD₅₆₅ nm units at this point. However, similar to the foregoing results, no magnetosomes were observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in this phase. Magnetosome formation occurred in all periods of growth only if DO was undetectable after 1 or 2 hr incubation (Figure 4). We subsequently decreased air flow to 4, 8, or 16 l min⁻¹ at 24, 32, or 42 h, although the DO level remained undetectable. The resulting cell density and magnetosome yield were 12.5 OD₅₆₅ nm and 60.0 mg l⁻¹ (dry weight) at 48 h, respectively (Figure 3b). The productivity was 30.0 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹. In view of these results, we increased the DO level by increasing the air flow and stirring rate during the initial growth phase, in order to enhance growth rate and magnetosome yield. However, no magnetosomes were formed in the cells until the DO level decreased to undetectable.

Due to the microaerobic character of MSR-1, initial air flow and stirring rate were maintained at 1 l min⁻¹ and 200 r min⁻¹ in order to decrease the DO during the initial growth phase of the culture for further experiments. To increase the DO in the late culture phase, air flow and stirring rate were adjusted to 2 l min⁻¹ at 20 hr and 300 r min⁻¹ at 28 hr, respectively. Under these conditions, cells grew more rapidly; DO became undetectable at 12 h, and cell density reached 12.3 OD₅₆₅ nm within 36 h (Figure 3c). Concentrations of sodium lactate and ferric citrate were controlled between 3-6 mmol l⁻¹ and 70-110 μmol l⁻¹, respectively, throughout the course of cultivation (Figure 3d). Resulting magnetosome yield and productivity were 83.23 ± 5.36 mg l⁻¹ and 55.49 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively. These values are the highest so far reported, and are 1.99 and 3.32 times higher, respectively, than those achieved in our previous study [38].

**Figure 2** Effect of sodium lactate concentration on cell growth of *M. gryphiswaldense* during cultivation in a 7.5 l fermentor. The experiment was carried out in Na-lactate medium as described previously [37]. The initial Na-lactate concentrations in the medium were adjusted to 20 mmol l⁻¹ and 2.3 mmol l⁻¹ respectively. The concentrations of Na-lactate could be auto-controlled at a constant level during the cultivation process using the “chemostat culture” technique based on pH-stat feeding. All experiments are repeated three times independently to prove it is reproducible.
Since Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the major component of magnetosomes, we investigated the effect of ferric citrate concentration in the medium on the growth of MSR-1 and magnetosome formation in shake-flasks and fermentor. No significant effect was observed on cell growth rate in the concentration range of 20-500 μmol l$^{-1}$. Rapid ferric ion uptake occurred in the log phase of cell growth, but not in the lag phase or the stationary phase, as shown by the absorption rate during large scale culture in fermentor (Figure 4). This result suggests that dynamic cell growth is necessary for uptake of ferric ion and magnetosome formation. We adjusted the ferric citrate concentration in the feed flask and controlled it at a constant level (~100 μmol l$^{-1}$) throughout the course of experiment (Figure 3d). A total of 9.0 g of ferric citrate was fed to the 42 L fermentor containing 28.4 L of medium, and 8.1 g was assimilated into cells, for an uptake of 89.6%.

**Ferric ion uptake**

Since Fe$_3$O$_4$ is the major component of magnetosomes, we investigated the effect of ferric citrate concentration in the medium on the growth of MSR-1 and magnetosome formation in shake-flasks and fermentor. No significant effect was observed on cell growth rate in the concentration range of 20-500 μmol l$^{-1}$. Rapid ferric ion uptake occurred in the log phase of cell growth, but not in the lag phase or the stationary phase, as shown by the absorption rate during large scale culture in fermentor (Figure 4). This result suggests that dynamic cell growth is necessary for uptake of ferric ion and magnetosome formation. We adjusted the ferric citrate concentration in the feed flask and controlled it at a constant level (~100 μmol l$^{-1}$) throughout the course of experiment (Figure 3d). A total of 9.0 g of ferric citrate was fed to the 42 L fermentor containing 28.4 L of medium, and 8.1 g was assimilated into cells, for an uptake of 89.6%.

**Figure 3** Effect of dissolved oxygen concentration (DO) on cell growth of *M. gryphiswaldense* during large scale culture in a 42 L fermentor. a: Cell growth and DO under initial airflow and stirring rate 0.3 l min$^{-1}$ and 100 r min$^{-1}$, respectively; stirring rate was increased by 40 r min$^{-1}$ at 14, 20, 28, and 40 hr, successively. b: Cell growth and DO under initial airflow and stirring rate 2.0 l min$^{-1}$ and 200 r min$^{-1}$; airflow was increased to 4, 8, 16 l min$^{-1}$ at at 24, 32, or 42 hr. c: Cell growth and DO under initial airflow and stirring rate 1.0 l min$^{-1}$ and 200 r min$^{-1}$; airflow and stirring rate were adjusted to 2 l min$^{-1}$ at 20 hr and 300 r min$^{-1}$ at 28 hr, respectively. d: relationships among concentration of sodium lactate (lac), ferric citrate (Fe$^{3+}$), and cell growth. All experiments are repeated three times independently to prove it is reproducible.

**Figure 4** The absorption curve of ferric ion during cultivation in a 7.5 L fermentor. 200 μmol l$^{-1}$ was added into initial medium. All experiments are repeated three times independently to prove they are reproducible.
efficiency of ~90%. The theoretical Fe₃O₄ yield in magnetosomes in this case should be 65.76 mg l⁻¹ (no combined H₂O) or 73.60 mg l⁻¹ (contains 10% of combined H₂O); the practical magnetosome yield was 83.23 ± 5.36 mg l⁻¹ (dried using a vacuum freeze drier) or 75.96 ± 4.99 mg l⁻¹ (dried at 105°C for 24 hrs). These results also indicate that a large amount of the ferric iron taken up is associated with magnetosome formation after the DO became undetectable. Electron micrographs of cells in large scale culture in various phases show chain arrangements of magnetosomes after DO became undetectable for 1-2 hr (Figure 5), consistent with the findings of Staniland et al. [40].

Discussion
Several groups have investigated magnetosome formation in large scale cultures of *M. magneticum* AMB-1 (including recombinant forms) and *M. gryphiswaldense* MSR-1 [34,35,41,42] and through improvement of culture conditions, the magnetosome yield has increased progressively from 4.7 mg l⁻¹ (or 2.4 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹) to 41.7 mg l⁻¹ (or 16.7 mg l⁻¹ day⁻¹). Control of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the medium within a low and narrow range (<0.2 ppm [41], 0.25 mbar [36], 2-7 μmol l⁻¹ [40] (equivalent to 1.7-6.0 mbar; 1 bar = 10⁵ pa) is essential for magnetosome formation. Therefore, amplification for large-scale cultivation will require precise electrodes for measurement of DO. The oxygen electrodes presently used in large fermentors are not sufficiently sensitive for culture of magnetotactic bacteria. To resolve the paradoxical situation that the cell growth requires higher DO whereas magnetosome formation requires low DO below the detectable range of regular oxygen electrode, DO was controlled to optimal level using the change in cell growth rate [38]. In this study, DO was controlled at undetectable level for magnetosome formation whereas cell growth improvement has been further refined by adjusting stirring rate and air flow under chemostat culture conditions.

Low concentrations of nutrients in medium, special carbon source were the other key limiting factors that affect cell density of all magnetotactic bacterial cultures. Up to now, just only several organic acids were used as carbon source for cultivation of MS-1, AMB-1 and MSR-1, whereas NaHCO₃ for MC-1 and MO-1. Our results show that it is important to keep the sodium lactate concentration low for rapid growth of MSR-1, and to maintain low DO for magnetosome formation in cells. Since controlling sodium lactate at a low level is difficult in sizeable scale-up, specific feeding strategies and feeding parameters needs to be adopted for autofermentors in the laboratory. However, this approach is

![Figure 5](http://www.microbialcellfactories.com/content/9/1/99)
challenging in large-scale industrial bacterial cultivation because of differences in the types of fermentors and the difficulty in regulating the carbon source required to maintain cultures under such conditions. This problem was overcome in our study by using chemostat culture technology with pH-stat feeding and we achieved a high density of MSR-1 cells in a 42 L auto-fermenter and this fundamental research lays a basic foundation for the establishment of much larger scale production of magnetosome in fermentation industry.

More than 80% of ferric ion absorption rate occurred in the log phase of cell growth and this correlated with magnetosome formation after the DO became undetectable (Figure 4, 5b-e). These data suggest that Fe\(^{3+}\) was likely transferred into cells as an electron acceptor for magnetosome biosynthesis to compensate oxygen insufficiency. It is well known that oxygen usually serves as a terminal electron acceptor, than Fe\(^{3+/2+}\) couple, and resulting reduced tendency to donate electrons, but O\(_2\) has a high reduction potential (\(E^0\) of +0.82 volts (V), H\(_2\)O has a reduced tendency to donate electrons, but O\(_2\) has a high tendency to accept electrons. The reduction potential of the Fe\(^{3+/2+}\) couple is +0.2 V (pH 7) whereas +0.76 V (pH 2) [43]. Hence, under conditions where oxygen is absent, Fe\(^{3+}\) can function as an electron acceptor. In previous studies with AMB-1, growth with nitrate has been reported to result in higher yields of magnetosomes [44] which was further increased by lowering the nitrate level in chemostat cultures by pH-stat feeding [45]. Similar to earlier results, our study showed that cells preferred to use NO\(_3^-/NO_2^-\) couple (+0.42 V) as an electron acceptor, than Fe\(^{3+/2+}\) couple, and resulting in magnetosomes yields decrease.

**Conclusions**

Compared to previously reported methods, our culture technique with the MSR-1 strain significantly increased cell density, cell yield, and magnetosome yield in a shorter time window and thus reduced the cost of production. This offers two advantages that allow easy upscaling of the process for industrial fermentors: (i) the concentrations of carbon, nitrogen, and iron source in the medium can be auto-controlled at a constant level by pH-stat feeding, leading to ease of manipulation and eliminating the possibility of nutrient exhaustion during the culture process; (ii) mass production of magnetosomes by MSR-1 in a large-scale fermentor can be achieved by solely adjusting the stirring rate and airflow as observed in our DO data (Figure 3). DO is the major factor affecting growth rate in these culture systems and we were able to control this parameter without using highly sensitive DO electrodes as described in our earlier study [38]. The cell density and magnetosome yield reported here are the highest so far achieved with a magnetotactic bacteria. Refinement of this technique will enable further increase of cell density and magnetosome yield.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strain**

*Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense* MSR-1 (DSM6361) was purchased from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH.

**Medium preparation**

Flask culture was carried out in Na-lactate medium as described previously [38]. All medium components except K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) were dissolved in 5.4 L or 27 L distilled water in a 7.5 L or 42 L fermentor, respectively, and then sterilized for 30 min at 121°C. K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) was dissolved in 200 ml or 2 L distilled water, and then sterilized separately for 30 min at 121°C. Sterilized K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) solution was pumped into the fermentor before inoculation.

**Preparation of seed culture**

A single colony of MSR-1 from Na-lactate medium agar plates was transferred to a tube containing 10 ml Na-lactate medium and grown with 100 r min\(^{-1}\) orbital shaking at 30°C for 24 hr. Ten ml of this culture was inoculated into 90 ml fresh Na-lactate medium in a 250 ml bottle and incubated under the same conditions. This was used as the initial seed culture. A volume of 900 ml fresh medium in 3000 ml shaking flasks was inoculated with 10% (vol/vol) of initial seed culture and grown under the same conditions. On larger scales, 10% (vol/vol) of seed culture was inoculated into the fermentor for subsequent experiments.

**Growth conditions**

Temperature and pH were controlled at 30°C and 6.8 during cultivation. pH was adjusted by nutrient solutions (containing 4.2 g ferric citrate, 129 g sodium lactate, 52.6 g lactic acid, and 54.9 g NH\(_4\)Cl per liter). Initial air flow and stirring rate were controlled at 0.5 l min\(^{-1}\) and 200 r min\(^{-1}\), respectively, in the 7.5 L fermentor.

**Cell density and cell dry weight**

Cell growth (optical density) was measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 565 nm. One OD\(_{565}\) nm unit corresponds to 0.3 g l\(^{-1}\) dry cell weight. Magnetosomes were collected and purified as described previously [33], dried using a vacuum freeze drier (Kinetics, EZ550Q) or at 105°C for 24 hr, and weighed.

**Iron concentration**

Aliquots of 1.0 ml of batch culture were centrifuged at 7000 g for 1 min. The supernatant was used for ferric ion or sodium lactate estimation. Ferric ion concentration was
Lactic acid concentration

The concentration of lactic acid in the supernatant was analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Waters 510 system, USA) with Aminex HPX-87 H Organic Acid Analysis Column (Bio-Rad, USA), using a Waters 2414 Refractive Index Detector. The column temperature was 65°C; detector temperature was 45°C. A solution of 5 mmol 1⁻¹ H₂SO₄ was used as mobile phase at 0.6 ml min⁻¹ flow rate.

Transmission electron microscopy

Cells in the pellets were rinsed three times, suspended in distilled water, adsorbed onto a 300-mesh carbon-coated copper grid, and viewed directly by transmission electron microscope (Philips Tecnai F 30) at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV for recording magnetosomes.
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