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Abstract

Mineral reactions in soils demonstrably take place on a human timescale. The weathering of silicate ‘rock-forming’ minerals releases nutrients that are essential for plant growth, including silica. This process consumes CO₂, which is ultimately derived from the atmosphere, through enhanced rock weathering. From a human perspective, the weathering process has two beneficial functions – crop nutrition and climate mitigation – through the removal of atmospheric CO₂. By considering these as a coupled process, the release of silica during weathering can be matched to what is taken from the soil by a crop (e.g. wheat). A simple analysis shows that the amount of silica that accumulates in wheat during a 4 month growing period is readily released by the weathering of pyroxene and plagioclase, minerals that commonly occur in basaltic igneous rocks. In contrast, the dissolution rate for quartz is so low that it cannot supply the silica taken up by the crop and is inert. Similarly, dissolution of clay minerals releases sufficient silica for plant uptake. Rapid weathering of silicate minerals within soils is evident from images of surfaces of grains exposed in soils for periods of 10–100 years. The evidence for silicate rock weathering as part of the soil system that sustains humanity is provided by the vegetation that we see around us.
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Lectures have been given annually since 2000 in honour of George Brown, and they relate to the very diverse topics that clay mineralogy addresses. Reflecting current interests in major issues that relate to the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs; https://sdgs.un.org), this paper focuses on weathering and how the weathering of silicate minerals (a driving force in the formation of clay minerals) in soils can contribute particularly to mitigation of hunger (SDG 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture) and to greenhouse gas removal in the context of SDG 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts.

Clay mineralogists refer back to the foundational work of George Brown, much of which was carried out in what is now Rothamsted Research, a research station that dates from 1843. Here, the importance of clay mineralogy as a component of soil science was recognized in the late 1940s when the Department of Pedology was formed (Wood, 1996). The father of geochemistry, V.M. Goldschmidt, was influential in the decision to form this department, and it is worth recalling that Goldschmidt’s work between the two world wars addressed SDG 2 through his experiments with nepheline-bearing rocks as sources of crop nutrients (Goldschmidt, 1922; Goldschmidt & Johnsson, 1922). Rothamsted’s early recognition of the importance of mineral weathering in soils was timely and highly productive, although in recent years other priorities have dominated. Given the need to address major global issues, it is now appropriate to emphasize the dynamic nature and behaviour of minerals in soils at timescales that relate to crop growth, as well as to consider how soil processes that involve mineral transformations in the presence of plant roots (Gregory, 2022) have a vital role to play in food security and climate mitigation.

This paper provides an overview of current knowledge concerning mineral reactions in soils and draws on published information to generate new insight into reaction rates in the context of the nutritional requirements of plants. It has four major sections:

1. Mineral weathering
2. Novel sources of crop nutrients
3. Removing carbon from the biosphere
4. Demonstrating that these processes take place

Mineral weathering

Wilson (2004) delivered the fourth George Brown Lecture and the associated paper is a substantial review of silicate mineral weathering, addressing biological weathering of primary rock-forming silicates and soil-hosted clay minerals. Wilson’s publication should be read before progressing further with this paper, which applies and augments much of the previous paper’s content.

It is important to reiterate that the silicate minerals that have formed at high temperatures and pressures – the majority of...
Table 1: Weathering reactions for representative rock-forming minerals, written to have kaolinite and dissolved species as products.

| Mineral       | Weathering reaction (to produce kaolinite and other species in solution) |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Forsterite    | Mg₃SiO₄ + 4H⁺ = 2Mg²⁺ + H₄SiO₄                                            |
| Enstatite     | Mg₂SiO₄ + 4H⁺ + 2H₂O = 2Mg²⁺ + 2H₂SiO₄                                    |
| Diopside      | CaMgSi₂O₆ + 4H⁺ + 2H₂O = Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ + 2H₂SiO₄                              |
| Tremolite     | CaMg₅Si₈O₂₂(OH)₂ + 14H⁺ + 8H₂O = 2Ca²⁺ + 5Mg²⁺ + 8H₄SiO₄                 |
| Biotite       | 2KFe₂Al₂Si₅O₁₄(OH)₂ + 14H⁺ + H₂O = 2K²⁺ + 6Fe⁺° + Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄ + 4H₂SiO₄ |
| Muscovite     | 2KAl₂Si₅O₁₄(OH)₂ + 2H⁺ + 3H₂O = 2K⁺ + 3Al₂Si₄O₁₀(OH)₂                   |
| Orthoclase    | 2KAl₂Si₅O₁₄(OH)₂ + 2H⁺ + 9H₂O + 2K⁺ + Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄ + 4H₂SiO₄           |
| Albite        | 2NaAlSi₄O₁₀ + 2H⁺ + 9H₂O = 2Na⁺ + Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄ + 4H₂SiO₄               |
| Anorthite     | CaAl₂Si₅O₁₄ + 2H⁺ + H₂O = Ca²⁺ + Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄                             |

Fig. 1. Gibbs free energies of reaction of representatives of the major rock-forming mineral families in the Goldich Stability Series (Goldich, 1938) based on reactions written in a form in which quartz is the product, as explained by Curtis (1976).

The weathering reactions summarized in Fig. 1 are written in Table 1 in a format that reports silica as a soluble product, silicic acid (H₄SiO₄), and kaolinite is the mineral product that hosts Al.

Table 1 indicates the sources to the soil solution of the major cations that are needed as plant nutrients. Olivine, pyroxene and amphiboles deliver Mg and Ca to the soil solution, micas deliver K and Mg and feldspars deliver Ca, Na (plagioclase) and K (K-feldspar). Depending on the assumption that kaolinite is the clay product, as in Table 1, decomposition involves delivery of silica to the soil solution for all but muscovite and anorthite. It is important to recognize the importance of silica for plant growth (Cornelis & Delvaux, 2016), especially in grasses and cereals, where the formation within the plant of silica phytoliths provides some protection against pests and abiotic stress (Currie & Perry, 2007). However, the role of silica in plant biology is controversial, as is discussed by Coskun et al. (2019), who develop a model in which Si plays an extracellular role against biotic and abiotic stress.

The silica content of plant shoots (dry mass) varies from 0.1 to 10.0 wt.% Si (Currie & Perry, 2007) and shows considerable variation. Hodson et al. (2005) review the Si contents of 735 species, grouped phylogenetically, and show considerable variation between phyla, with the greatest Si concentrations in specific monocots (the group of plants that includes grasses and cereals, as well as bananas). For a single plant, there can be considerable variation between various anatomical parts of the plant. For example, in rice, the grain typically contains 0.2 g Si kg⁻¹, the bran 20 g Si kg⁻¹, straw 60 g Si kg⁻¹, rice husk 110 g Si kg⁻¹ and rice joints (at the base of the grain) 160 g Si kg⁻¹ (calculated from van Soest, 2006, and rounded to equivalent significant figures). Variation of plant Si content with soil type is reported by Schnug & von Franck (1985) for wheat, barley and oats in

Al and Si solubilities are summarized in Fig. 2. Importantly, the contrasting behaviour with variation in pH is clear; at pH 6, the solubility of Al is 100,000 lower than that of silica (10 ppm Si), therefore of the order of 0.1 ppb Al. A survey of pH measurements for European soils shows that 50% of agricultural soils have pH values between ~5.6 and 7.3, and 50% of forest soils have pH values between 5.9 and 3.7, as summarized in Fig. 2. With very different mineralogies, these ranges correspond broadly to the soil pH values for similar land uses in tropical soils in Brazil (Crespo-Mendes et al., 2019).

The reactions shown in Table 1 indicate the sources to the soil solution of the major cations that are needed as plant nutrients. Olivine, pyroxene and amphiboles deliver Mg and Ca to the soil solution, micas deliver K and Mg and feldspars deliver Ca, Na (plagioclase) and K (K-feldspar). Depending on the assumption that kaolinite is the clay product, as in Table 1, decomposition involves delivery of silica to the soil solution for all but muscovite and anorthite. It is important to recognize the importance of silica for plant growth (Cornelis & Delvaux, 2016), especially in grasses and cereals, where the formation within the plant of silica phytoliths provides some protection against pests and abiotic stress (Currie & Perry, 2007). However, the role of silica in plant biology is controversial, as is discussed by Coskun et al. (2019), who develop a model in which Si plays an extracellular role against biotic and abiotic stress.

The silica content of plant shoots (dry mass) varies from 0.1 to 10.0 wt.% Si (Currie & Perry, 2007) and shows considerable variation. Hodson et al. (2005) review the Si contents of 735 species, grouped phylogenetically, and show considerable variation between phyla, with the greatest Si concentrations in specific monocots (the group of plants that includes grasses and cereals, as well as bananas). For a single plant, there can be considerable variation between various anatomical parts of the plant. For example, in rice, the grain typically contains 0.2 g Si kg⁻¹, the bran 20 g Si kg⁻¹, straw 60 g Si kg⁻¹, rice husk 110 g Si kg⁻¹ and rice joints (at the base of the grain) 160 g Si kg⁻¹ (calculated from van Soest, 2006, and rounded to equivalent significant figures). Variation of plant Si content with soil type is reported by Schnug & von Franck (1985) for wheat, barley and oats in...
Schleswig-Holstein (Germany), reporting the lowest concentrations for crops grown on podzol (2.5 g Si kg\textsuperscript{-1}), intermediate concentrations on a brown earth (5.9 g Si kg\textsuperscript{-1}) and highest concentrations on a marsh soil (11.5 g Si kg\textsuperscript{-1}).

A key aspect that controls the availability of nutrients from minerals as they weather is the dissolution rate of the mineral. This varies greatly, reflecting factors such as the proportion of covalent bonding in the mineral structure, and is affected by factors such as soil pH. An internally consistent compilation of mineral dissolution rates is provided by Palandri & Kharaka (2004), who distinguish three reaction mechanisms: acid (where the H\textsuperscript{+}-catalysed reaction is written as in Table 1), neutral (reaction with water alone) and base (OH\textsuperscript{-}-catalysed). In most soils, the acid mechanism is appropriate, although for quartz this mechanism does not apply (Palandri & Kharaka, 2004).

Representative mineral dissolution rates corresponding to the minerals in the Goldich Stability Series (Fig. 1) are summarized in Fig. 3. These broadly follow the stability sequences shown in Fig. 1. Nepheline has been added, as this is potentially a source of K given its relatively rapid dissolution rate (seven orders of magnitude greater than K-feldspar; Manning, 2010; Bell et al., 2021). Basaltic glass has a corresponding dissolution rate of \(-3.30\) (expressed as log moles m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}; Lewis et al., 2021). The very low dissolution rate for quartz reflects its inherent stability as a completely covalently bonded mineral.

**Novel sources of crop nutrients**

Conventionally, the major crop nutrients are supplied as natural, mined, soluble salts (such as sylvite KCl, kieserite MgSO\textsubscript{4}.\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{2}O and, more recently, polyhalite K\textsubscript{2}Ca\textsubscript{2}Mg(SO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}.2H\textsubscript{2}O) or as artificial chemical fertilizers. N fertilizers are manufactured using the Haber–Bosch process, which uses methane from natural gas and atmospheric N to produce ammonia and subsequently acid, the basic feedstock for chemical P fertilizers (Manning, 2020). Minor nutrients are added to these as required or are sold separately in other products.

The chemical fertilizer supply chain to farmers is well established in the developed world (mainly in the Northern Hemisphere), where the economic structure of the agricultural sector enables the widespread use of chemical fertilizers. In the Global South, conventional chemical fertilizers can be too expensive for farmers to buy, especially for those who produce food for domestic consumption in coupled rural–urban communities rather than large-scale commodity crops destined for export, and so alternatives are needed (Manning & Theodoro, 2020). In the UK, emphasis is placed on rewarding farmers for delivering a wide range of ecosystem services that go beyond food production (Bateman & Balmford, 2018; Arnott et al. 2021; Casworth & Dodsworth, 2021), and given current interest in no-till agriculture and even rewilding (Sandom et al., 2019; Newton et al., 2021) there is scope to transfer knowledge from the Global South to the UK to provide alternatives to conventional fertilizers. To do this, soil mineralogy is a key consideration.

Although it is not a silicate, polyhalite may influence the formation of secondary minerals within soil environments, given that it is a source of K and other elements to the soil solution. This possibility has yet to be assessed, as it is a relatively new entrant to the current fertilizer market. Following the discovery of major deposits of polyhalite in Yorkshire (Kemp et al., 2016), which are currently produced from Boulby Mine, the new Woodsmith Mine is being constructed with a design production goal of 20 million tonnes year\textsuperscript{-1} (Anglo American, 2021). Its value as a fertilizer in pot trials has been reported by Molin et al. (2020), and Lewis et al. (2020) report that polyhalite releases nutrients more rapidly than conventional KCl (sylvite) fertilizers, both of which are supplied as granules.

By virtue of their wide occurrence, silicate minerals and their host rocks have long been considered as sources of crop nutrients, described in texts such as Agrogeology: The Use of Rocks for Crops (van Straaten, 2007).

Much attention has focused on the use of silicate minerals as an alternative source of K. This major nutrient is vital for crop growth and is being ‘mined’ with every harvest from agricultural soils at an unsustainable rate, especially in the Global South (Sheldrick et al., 2002; Sheldrick & Lingard, 2004; Yakovleva et al., 2021). An early review by Manning (2010) has been updated and extended by Swoboda et al. (2021); both papers comment that inconsistency between published trials prevents systematic interpretation of the published data.

Mineral sources of K are summarized in Table 2. Although naturally occurring K salts contain the greatest amounts of K,

![Log units, mole m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}](Fig. 3. Mineral dissolution rates (from Palandri & Kharaka, 2004) for representative Goldich Stability Series minerals, with the addition of nepheline.)

| Mineral | Formula | K\textsubscript{2}O (%) | Dissolution rate (log mol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|---------|---------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| K salts  |         |                  |                                  |
| Sylvinite | KCl     | 63               | 6.8                              |
| Carnalite | MgCl\textsubscript{2}.KCl.6H\textsubscript{2}O | 17 |                               |
| Polyhalite | K\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}.2CaSO\textsubscript{4}.MgSO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O | 16 |                               |
| K silicates |          |                  |                                  |
| K-feldspar | KA\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6} | 17 | 10.06 |
| Leucite | KA\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6} | 21 | 9.00 |
| Nepheline | (Na,K)Al\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6} | 15 | 2.73 |
| Muscovite | KAl\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6}.OH\textsubscript{2} | 9 | 11.85 |
| Biotite | K[Fe,Mg]\textsubscript{2}Al\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{10}.OH\textsubscript{2} | 8 | 9.84 |
and some contain additional nutrients (especially polyhalite), some K silicates have K concentrations that are similar to or greater than those of the salts. When the mineral dissolution rate is taken into account (Table 2), the rapid dissolution of sylvite is clear. Amongst the silicate minerals, the potential of nepheline as a source of K is greatest, followed by leucite, emphasizing the potential value of the feldspaths and feldspathoid-bearing rocks as sources of K.

Interest in nepheline and micas as alternative sources of K for crop nutrition dates back formally to Goldschmidt’s work in the 1920s. Work at the Norwegian State Raw Material Laboratory in the 1920s used materials from the North Cape nepheline syenite (Gautneb & Bakken, 1995) and compared the ability of biotite, nepheline and K-feldspar to deliver K for crop growth (Goldschmidt, 1922; Goldschmidt & Johnsson, 1922; Bakken et al., 1997, 2000), and a related product is now available commercially (SoilFeed Silva, Sibelco; www.sibelco.com). These trials, carried out separately over a period of 100 years, show consistently that some biotite-bearing rocks deliver K as effectively as KCl (Bakken et al., 1997), as also reported by Manning et al. (2017). Nepheline-bearing rocks also were able to deliver K for plant growth. In all of these studies, K-feldspar is regarded as very poor in terms of it being a source of K for plant growth. Given the similarity in dissolution rates between K-feldspar and micas (Table 2), the difference in their ability to deliver K reflects their weathering behaviour (Bell et al., 2021). In a sheet silicate, K is located in the interlayer site and so becomes accessible during weathering, which produces vermiculite, a mineral with a high cation-exchange capacity. The formation of vermiculite from phlogopite (the Mg end-member of the biotites) was observed in short (12 week) plant growth (pot) experiments reported by Mohammed et al. (2014). In contrast, the framework structure of the feldspars is less conducive to weathering.

Although most studies of weathering of K-feldspar have shown no link between K-feldspar and K availability for plant growth, this observation is in part due to the design of the experiments, which generally use a natural soil in which feldspars and other K-bearing silicates may occur naturally. In experiments using a high-purity silica sand (99% quartz) and no silicate mineral other than the treatment, Manning et al. (2017) were able to show that K was available for plant growth in experiments with added syenite that contained microcline as the only mineral host of K. Thus, if there is no alternative, it seems that a plant can derive K from a feldspar (Manning et al., 2017).

The role of soil microbial communities in the weathering of K silicate minerals has long been recognized, and this has been reviewed recently by Sattar et al. (2019). Mechanisms include microbial production of organic acids that reduce pH, thus enhancing mineral dissolution directly or indirectly through chelation of Al and Si. Key communities include the rhizobacteria, fungal Aspergillus species and nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Baptista et al. (2022) used molecular techniques to show that communities associated with K-feldspar from soils were dominated by Actinobacteria, and within this phylum the Actinomycetales were dominant. Scanning electron microscopy studies of feldspars from soils with known periods of exposure show clear corrosion of K-feldspar and the occurrence (Fig. 4) within the cavities thus formed of fungal filaments, microbial cells and soil protozoa (in this case, testate amoebae, which have a silica shell, or ‘test’; Manning & Baptista, 2017). Overall, the results of carefully designed plant growth experiments combined with observations from feldspars collected from soils demonstrate that despite the very low dissolution rate measured in sterile lab experiments, feldspars evidently do weather, and plants are able to derive K from these framework silicates.

The use of nepheline (and other) feldspathoid-bearing rocks as alternatives to conventional sources of K has considerable potential to relieve deficiencies in many parts of the world where fertilizers are expensive. In East Africa, nepheline syenites occur along the East African Rift; their potential in Malawi (where fertilizer supply is a major problem) has been highlighted by Chiwona et al. (2020). Phonolites are used as sources of K in Brazil.

![Fig. 4. Surfaces of corroded feldspars (Manning & Baptista, 2017). (a) From soil in Aberdeen, ~100 years of exposure; note testate amoeba (arrow). (b) From weathered syenite, Triunfo, Pernambuco, Brazil, unknown exposure; note dividing bacterial cells (arrow).](https://doi.org/10.1180/clm.2022.17 Published online by Cambridge University Press)
Removing carbon from the biosphere

A simple interpretation of the carbon cycle (Ciais et al., 2013) shows that the combined soil–plant system exchanges with the atmosphere ∼120 Pg C year−1, compared with 90 Pg C year−1 for exchange between the atmosphere and the ocean. As the atmospheric pool is ∼730 Pg C, all of the C in the C atmosphere appears to pass through the coupled plant–soil system in ∼6 years. Interventions disrupting this biological cycle by using soil processes to remove C from organic forms accessible to biological degradation offer scope for a ‘quick win’ in mitigating climate change through atmospheric CO2 accumulation.

In soils, emphasis is placed on soil organic matter, especially given its importance for ‘soil health’; high soil organic matter contents are associated with high levels of soil microbial activity and invertebrate biodiversity – all involving ‘churn’ that turns organic carbon into respired CO2. Such soils support high levels of plant diversity and productivity. At COP 21 in Paris (2015), the concept of ‘4 per mille’ was launched (https://www.4p1000.org), with the aim of increasing soil carbon stocks by 0.4% (4‰) annually as a means of mitigating climate change. However, although it is clearly desirable to increase the amount of soil organic matter, it is always unstable and, through decomposition, ultimately will release CO2 back into the atmosphere. Indeed, Berthelin et al. (2022) point out that it may be ‘practically impossible to add sufficient amounts of crop residues to soils to have a lasting, non-negligible effect on climate change’.

The ability of soil processes to mineralize organic carbon (i.e. to remove carbon from the biological carbon cycle) has long been recognized, as discussed in a previous review (Manning, 2008). Using pioneering C and O stable isotope studies, the formation of pedogenic carbonate minerals, predominantly calcite, was demonstrated to involve inputs of carbon that had passed through silicate minerals also takes place on a human timescale. This represents net removal of atmospheric CO2, as these rocks were never calcined artificially. The rate of pedogenic carbonate formation in an urban soil was measured by Washbourne et al. (2015), who showed that over a period of 18 months the equivalent of 85 tonnes of CO2 were removed annually per hectare of land, increasing soil C by 20 tonnes C ha−1 year−1. This compares with the removal of 3.63 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 through the establishment of new woodlands (Cantarello et al., 2011).

Although urban soils can be highly reactive by virtue of the crushed concrete that some sites contain, carbonation here simply accounts for the CO2 lost stoichiometrically when limestone is calcined to produce Portland cement. But carbonation of natural silicate minerals also takes place on a human timescale. Weathering of basaltic rocks is known to produce pedogenic calcite in natural soil-forming processes (e.g. Kalin et al., 1997; Srivastava et al., 2002), and this represents net removal of atmospheric CO2 as these rocks were never calcined artificially. The rate of pedogenic carbonate formation in artificial mixtures of compost and basaltic rock powders has been measured in a trial at Barrasford Quarry, Northumberland (UK), and correspondence to the removal of 4.8 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 (Manning et al., 2013), a quarter of the rate of removal observed for urban soils that contain crushed concrete. This still exceeds what can be achieved from tree planting and aboveground growth.

Use of crushed basaltic igneous rocks (and Ca-rich artificial silicate materials such as slags) to remove atmospheric CO2 through the process of enhanced rock weathering (ERW) is becoming of increasing interest, especially following publications by Beerling et al. (2018, 2020). In this process, natural weathering of mafic igneous rocks consumes CO2, generating alkalinity that is reflected by an increased carbonate (HCO3-) content of the soil configurations (Jorat et al., 2020), which demonstrates the formation of pedogenic carbonates in soil formulations that may be used in the construction of green infrastructure.

Pedogenic carbonate formation is a natural soil process that can be rapid. Urban soils often contain artificial calcium silicate minerals (and portlandite; Ca(OH)2) derived from the crushing of concrete during demolition. They also contain glassy phases, which probably have similar dissolution rates to basaltic glass (Lewis et al., 2021). Although as much concrete as possible is recycled as a secondary aggregate, the fine-grained products of crushing often remain on site and form part of the soil at a demolition site. Under these conditions, provided there is a source of carbonate (from the decomposition of organic inputs), calcite forms as a pedogenic urban soil carbonate mineral. The rate of formation of pedogenic carbonates in an urban soil was measured by Washbourne et al. (2015), who showed that over a period of 18 months the equivalent of 85 tonnes of CO2 were removed annually per hectare of land, increasing soil C by 20 tonnes C ha−1 year−1. This compares with the removal of 3.63 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 through the establishment of new woodlands (Cantarello et al., 2011).

Although urban soils can be highly reactive by virtue of the crushed concrete that some sites contain, carbonation here simply accounts for the CO2 lost stoichiometrically when limestone is calcined to produce Portland cement. But carbonation of natural silicate minerals also takes place on a human timescale. Weathering of basaltic rocks is known to produce pedogenic calcite in natural soil-forming processes (e.g. Kalin et al., 1997; Srivastava et al., 2002), and this represents net removal of atmospheric CO2, as these rocks were never calcined artificially. The rate of pedogenic carbonate formation in artificial mixtures of compost and basaltic rock powders has been measured in a trial at Barrasford Quarry, Northumberland (UK), and corresponds to the removal of 4.8 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 (Manning et al., 2013), a quarter of the rate of removal observed for urban soils that contain crushed concrete. This still exceeds what can be achieved from tree planting and aboveground growth.

Use of crushed basaltic igneous rocks (and Ca-rich artificial silicate materials such as slags) to remove atmospheric CO2 through the process of enhanced rock weathering (ERW) is becoming of increasing interest, especially following publications by Beerling et al. (2018, 2020). In this process, natural weathering of mafic igneous rocks consumes CO2, generating alkalinity that is reflected by an increased carbonate (HCO3-) content of the soil configurations (Jorat et al., 2020), which demonstrates the formation of pedogenic carbonates in soil formulations that may be used in the construction of green infrastructure.

Pedogenic carbonate formation is a natural soil process that can be rapid. Urban soils often contain artificial calcium silicate minerals (and portlandite; Ca(OH)2) derived from the crushing of concrete during demolition. They also contain glassy phases, which probably have similar dissolution rates to basaltic glass (Lewis et al., 2021). Although as much concrete as possible is recycled as a secondary aggregate, the fine-grained products of crushing often remain on site and form part of the soil at a demolition site. Under these conditions, provided there is a source of carbonate (from the decomposition of organic inputs), calcite forms as a pedogenic urban soil carbonate mineral. The rate of formation of pedogenic carbonates in an urban soil was measured by Washbourne et al. (2015), who showed that over a period of 18 months the equivalent of 85 tonnes of CO2 were removed annually per hectare of land, increasing soil C by 20 tonnes C ha−1 year−1. This compares with the removal of 3.63 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 through the establishment of new woodlands (Cantarello et al., 2011).

Although urban soils can be highly reactive by virtue of the crushed concrete that some sites contain, carbonation here simply accounts for the CO2 lost stoichiometrically when limestone is calcined to produce Portland cement. But carbonation of natural silicate minerals also takes place on a human timescale. Weathering of basaltic rocks is known to produce pedogenic calcite in natural soil-forming processes (e.g. Kalin et al., 1997; Srivastava et al., 2002), and this represents net removal of atmospheric CO2, as these rocks were never calcined artificially. The rate of pedogenic carbonate formation in artificial mixtures of compost and basaltic rock powders has been measured in a trial at Barrasford Quarry, Northumberland (UK), and corresponds to the removal of 4.8 tonnes C ha−1 year−1 (Manning et al., 2013), a quarter of the rate of removal observed for urban soils that contain crushed concrete. This still exceeds what can be achieved from tree planting and aboveground growth.

Use of crushed basaltic igneous rocks (and Ca-rich artificial silicate materials such as slags) to remove atmospheric CO2 through the process of enhanced rock weathering (ERW) is becoming of increasing interest, especially following publications by Beerling et al. (2018, 2020). In this process, natural weathering of mafic igneous rocks consumes CO2, generating alkalinity that is reflected by an increased carbonate (HCO3-) content of the soil configurations (Jorat et al., 2020), which demonstrates the formation of pedogenic carbonates in soil formulations that may be used in the construction of green infrastructure.
solution, some of which then drains into aquifers and some into surface-water systems and eventually the ocean. The first measurements of an increase in bicarbonate in waters draining through a weathering basalt in Iceland were presented by Moulton et al. (2000). Measurement of the bicarbonate content of streams and groundwater basins for bare and wooded areas showed that up to 12 kg C ha\(^{-1}\) was produced annually as bicarbonate (60 kg bicarbonate) and exported via through-drainage. At a global scale, Desert et al. (2003) estimate a flux to the ocean of 4.08 × 10\(^{12}\) mol CO\(_2\) year\(^{-1}\), equivalent to 0.05 Pg C, which is tiny in comparison with the value of 120 Pg C in annual exchange between the atmosphere and the plant–soil system. What also needs to be taken into account is the currently unknown flux to groundwater, where bicarbonate is the dominant anion (e.g. Bottrell et al., 2019).

The calculation of CO\(_2\) removal through ERW is discussed in detail by Hartmann et al. (2013), involving use of the Steinour formula (Renforth, 2019). A simplified version of this formula is given as:

\[
\text{ERW}_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{44}{100} \left( \frac{\text{CaO}}{56} + \frac{\text{MgO}}{40} + \frac{\text{Na}_2\text{O}}{62} + \frac{\text{K}_2\text{O}}{94} - \frac{\text{SO}_3}{80} - \frac{\text{P}_2\text{O}_5}{142} \right) \times 10^3 \times 1.5 \\
\]

(1)

In this simplification, it is assumed that all of the chemical components are available for weathering – and so it gives a maximum value for ERW. The terms relating to S and P correct for Ca in particular that is combined with sulfate or phosphate in minerals such as gypsum, anhydrite or apatite. The coefficient given a value of 1.5 relates to the molar ratio of CO\(_2\) to divalent cation sequestered during enhanced weathering and is taken as a conservative global average (Renforth, 2019).

An alternative assessment of the ability of crushed rock to remove atmospheric CO\(_2\) through the formation of calcium and/or magnesium carbonates can be simply described through the following reactions:

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- = \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \\
\]

(2)

\[
\text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- = \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2 \\
\]

(3)

Although there is widespread evidence for the formation of calcium carbonates in natural soils, the occurrence of magnesium carbonates appears to be restricted to Mg-rich locations, such as very specific mine wastes and other hosts of Mg silicate minerals. In these environments, a wide range of Mg carbonate minerals has been reported (e.g. Hamilton et al., 2016; McCutcheon et al., 2016).

The amount of CO\(_2\) removed through the carbonation of calcium (or magnesium, using appropriate relative atomic masses) can be estimated simply using the stoichiometry of the following reaction:

\[
\text{CaO} + \text{CO}_2 = \text{CaCO}_3\text{CO}_2(\text{kg tonne}^{-1}) = 10 \times (44/56) \times \text{CaO (wt.%) (4) }
\]

In practice, the potential amounts of CO\(_2\) that can be removed could be estimated using a chemical analysis of the crushed rock or other material. If the focus involves basaltic igneous rocks (Beerling et al., 2020), the amounts of S and P are very low (typically <0.5% as oxides) and can be regarded as negligible (especially given the lack of certainty over the value of the final coefficient in Equation 1; Renforth, 2019). The calculation of ERW gives a maximum estimate of CO\(_2\) removal (typically 230 kg tonne\(^{-1}\) for a dolerite), and the calculation of carbonation, based on the formation of calcite alone, gives a much lower value for CO\(_2\) removal (typically 70 kg tonne\(^{-1}\) for a dolerite). These values relate to complete reaction of the crushed rock, but little is known about the amount of time needed to achieve this, as it depends on many factors, including grain size (Kolosz et al., 2019).

In the absence of other information, it is often assumed that the production of crushed rocks suitable for use in ERW will use great quantities of energy. However, the production of crushed-rock aggregates necessarily is a very energy-efficient and competitive industry, as costs have to be kept low for construction markets. Carbon life-cycle analysis (Lefebvre et al., 2019) has shown that the amount of carbon used at the site of production of a crushed basalt, assuming fossil fuels as the primary energy source, is 5.4 kg CO\(_2\) tonne\(^{-1}\). The dominant carbon ‘cost’ in using crushed rocks is the cost of transport to the location where they are to be spread on a soil. Nevertheless, Lefebvre et al. (2019) concluded that these materials could be transported for 1000 km before the carbon cost outweighed the carbon benefit. It is important to note that many quarries use permanently installed crushing plants that take electric power from the grid, offering the possibility of significant reductions to the carbon cost of production through the use of power generated from non-fossil fuel-dependent sources.

**Demonstrating that these processes take place**

The evidence that ‘rock-forming’ minerals, apart from quartz, are unstable in soils is widespread and has long been known and documented (e.g. Goldich, 1938). Evidence to support the benefits of the addition of minerals to soils to achieve either enhanced crop growth or artificially induced CO\(_2\) removal is much more difficult to obtain.

In the context of the supply of crop nutrients, the effect of an added treatment is conventionally measured using agronomic trials in which a yield–response curve is determined (e.g. Buczko et al., 2018). This demonstrates the increase in yield as a consequence of the addition of a fertilizer, and it often shows that the response levels off above a specific application rate.

Trials using crushed rock or single minerals have been carried out, with contrasting results. For example, Mohammed et al. (2014) show that applications of biotite and nepheline syenite both acted as sources of K for plant growth, whereas an application of microcline did not. Kelland et al. (2020) report enhanced growth of sorghum in column experiments as a consequence of application to a UK soil of basaltic rock dust providing P and K, with increased plant uptake of Si. In contrast, Ramezanian et al. (2013) show that the application of a volcanic rock dust had no effect on plant growth or nutrient status using wheat. In studies in temperate climates that show little or no such effect and, critically, are not designed to control for soil mineralogy, the use of natural soils can cause problems. Although their chemical and mineralogical compositions may be determined, it is not possible to eliminate confounding effects that arise because alternative sources of the nutrient(s) of interest may occur naturally as...
natural minerals (especially micas and clays with relatively high cation-exchange capacities) within the soil. Attempts to overcome this include the use of ‘soils’ prepared from very well-known minerals; Manning et al. (2017) used high-purity silica sand (>99% quartz) in experiments to test the growth of leeks with the application of feldspar, nepheline and mica (phlogopite), taking great care to ensure that the only source of K was the treatment. In contrast, addressing tropical conditions, experiments carried out using oxisols (in which silicate minerals are inherently unstable) typically show benefits for crop growth due to the addition of silicate minerals and rocks (e.g. Ramos et al., 2020, 2022).

Demonstrating carbon capture presents even more challenges. Ideally, a measured increase in soil inorganic carbon can be used to demonstrate that carbonation has taken place and that pedogenic carbonates have formed as a consequence of a treatment. For ERW, ideally the loss of the applied rock, as it is removed by weathering, or changes in bicarbonate in solution should be measured as evidence that the process is taking place. But both are much easier said than done, especially as soil is an open system, with downward migration of solutes and particulates.

Washbourne et al. (2015) measured an increase in soil inorganic carbon by taking soil samples located using precise GPS positioning after an interval of 18 months. In the same study, trial pits to 3 m depth were dug, confirming reports from Renforth et al. (2009) that inorganic carbon, as carbonate, is greatest in the upper 1 m of a soil profile. In a much larger study of 20 brownfield sites, Jorat et al. (2020) showed that it was not possible to observe consistently an increase in soil inorganic carbon, reflecting the lack of information on a site since demolition (especially the timing of demolition and subsequent interventions) and variable vertical distributions of soil carbon throughout the profile. Evidence that pedogenic carbonates had formed, however, was obtained from C and O stable isotope analysis.

An alternative approach is to use crop growth, given that the roots of a crop such as wheat extend to in excess of 1 m depth (Fan et al., 2016) and can reach depths of 2 m (Thorup-Kristensen et al., 2009), accessing in the order of 30,000–40,000 tonnes of soil ha\(^{-1}\). A growing crop thus samples a deep soil profile. Given that a crop such as wheat contains silica (Dodson et al., 2011; Parr & Sullivan, 2011), the production of biomass can be taken as an indication of the rate of release of silica from the soil. This silica is most likely to be derived from the weathering of silicate minerals within the soil, although wheat (and other plants) produces opaline silica phytoliths or phytolith-occluded carbon that can accumulate in soil, providing an alternative source (Parr & Sullivan, 2011). Assuming production over a period of 4 months of a benchmark value of 9 tonnes ha\(^{-1}\) (Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, n.d.) of wheat straw containing 2.5% SiO\(_2\) (Dodson et al., 2011) in a monomineralic soil to 16.8 cm depth (the maximum depth for 50% of the root system; Fan et al., 2016), Figure 6 compares the amount of silica removed by wheat with predicted yields for various minerals based on their dissolution rate.

Figure 6 needs careful interpretation. It shows results for two reaction mechanisms summarized by Palandri & Kharaka (2004). The acid mechanism involves protons in the dissolution reaction and is regarded as appropriate in laboratory experiments for pH 1.3–4.0, while the neutral mechanism is appropriate for pH 5.6–8.2 (Hellman, 1994). In Fig. 6, the acid mechanism release rates have, for internal consistency, used the mineral dissolution rates extrapolated to pH 0, which is clearly impossible in soils. In a general case, where soil pH is not known, the release rates calculated for the neutral dissolution mechanism (open symbols in Fig. 6) may be more appropriate. In reality, the presence of organic acids in soils and the association of biological components of the soil with corroded surfaces indicate that the acid mechanism is likely to occur at higher pH values than assumed for the abiological dissolution processes summarized by Palandri & Kharaka (2004), and so the true release rates could lie between those calculated for the neutral mechanism and those calculated for the acid mechanism.

From Fig. 6, the key observation is that quartz is unable to provide the silica required for crop growth. For minerals typically present in a dolerite or basalt (the plagioclase labradorite and the pyroxene augite), the plagioclase, if fine-grained, is able to react (assuming the neutral mechanism) sufficiently rapidly during a growing season to supply the silica required by wheat. Both react sufficiently rapidly according to the acid dissolution mechanism to provide the silica that the crop removes. Wollastonite reacts sufficiently rapidly for both the acid and neutral dissolution
mechanisms, explaining its effective use as a source of Si for sugar cane production (Savant et al., 1999).

For comparison, a similar approach can be taken for the clay minerals reflecting their reactivity within soils (Bell et al., 2021; Fig. 7), again considering quartz of a similar grain size. Kaolinite, smectite and clinohlore are all capable hypothetically of delivering the Si required by wheat over a wide range of particle sizes, and illite is capable of doing so for finer fractions. Quartz dissolution is unable to deliver the required Si even for a grain size of 0.001 mm (1 μm).

Conclusions

Drawing from a wide range of published work, it is clear that silicate mineral stabilities in soils are highly variable and are influenced by soil biological processes. The development of a soil capable of supporting plant life – and so supporting all life on land – is inherently driven by processes at the interface between the biosphere and the geosphere. The two systems work together.

The stability of minerals is governed by chemical thermodynamics, with a considerable range in dissolution rates depending on the reaction mechanisms and crystal structures of various silicate minerals, especially the primary rock-forming minerals. The natural diversity of the geosphere has influenced the evolution of the biosphere over geological time. Natural selection – a biological process that is underpinned by random genetic mutation – has enabled organisms to evolve to give the highly diverse system that supports human life today. We are faced with the challenge of how the world will support a population of 9 billion people. We can only address this through a proper understanding of soil security.
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