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Abstract

Background: Hyponatremia is the most frequent electrolyte abnormality observed in post-operative pediatric patients receiving intravenous maintenance fluid therapy. If plasma sodium concentration (p-Na+) declines to levels below 125 mmol/L in < 48 h, transient or permanent brain damage may occur. There is an intense debate as to whether the administered volume (full rate vs. restricted rate of infusion) and the composition of solutions used for parenteral maintenance fluid therapy (hypotonic vs. isotonic solutions) contribute to the development of hyponatremia. So far, there is no definitive pediatric data to support a particular choice of parenteral fluid for maintenance therapy in post-surgical patients.

Methods/Design: Our prospective randomized non-blinded study will be conducted in healthy children and adolescents aged 1 to 14 years who have been operated for acute appendicitis. Patients will be randomized either to intravenous hypotonic (0.23% or 0.40% sodium chloride in glucose, respectively) or near-isotonic (0.81% sodium chloride in glucose) solution given at approximately three-fourths of the average maintenance rate. The main outcome of interest from this study is to evaluate 24 h post-operatively whether differences in p-Na+ between treatment groups are large enough to be of clinical relevance. In addition, water and electrolyte balance as well as regulatory hormones will be measured.

Discussion: This study will provide valuable information on the efficacy of hypotonic and near-isotonic fluid therapy in preventing a significant decrease in p-Na+. Finally, by means of careful electrolyte and water balance and by measuring regulatory hormones our results will also contribute to a better understanding of the physiopathology of post-operative changes in p-Na+ in a population at risk for hyponatremia.

Trial registration: The protocol for this study is registered with the current controlled trials registry; registry number: ISRCTN43896775.
Background

Hyponatremia, as defined by plasma sodium concentration (p-Na⁺) < 136 mmol/L, is the most common electrolyte abnormality in post-operative pediatric patients receiving parenteral maintenance fluid therapy [1]. Patients with hospital-acquired hyponatremia are exposed to major neurological complications if p-Na⁺ declines to ~ 120 mmol/L in < 48 h [2].

Post-operative hyponatremia has been attributed to either an excess of delivered water or a net sodium deficit [1,3-5]. A series of pediatric investigations support the notion that a hospital acquired decrease in p-Na⁺ in ill children receiving parenteral fluid therapy is the result of administering an excess of water relative to sodium, i.e., hypotonic saline solutions [6,7]. However, there is no definitive pediatric data to support a particular choice of parenteral fluid for maintenance therapy to prevent hyponatremia in either post-surgical patients or critically ill medical patients [8-10]. Therefore, data obtained by means of well-designed randomized clinical trials remains a pressing priority if uncertainties in the prescription of parenteral maintenance fluid therapy are to be resolved [11,12]. Based on previous lines of evidence, such an investigation should take into account the following facts: i) healthy kidneys are able to greatly vary the amount of water and sodium excreted to match the water and salt intake and therefore preserve the stability of the extracellular fluid compartment and maintain p-Na⁺ within the physiological range [13,14], ii) conditions such as stress (e.g., pain, fever, and surgery), hypovolemia, vomiting, nausea, anesthesia, and intra-operative bowel manipulation are known non-osmotic stimuli for the production of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) [3,15-17], and iii) increased ADH levels impair the urinary dilution capacity and as a result free water retention in excess of sodium may ensue [18,19].

Taking this information into account, prescription of parenteral fluid therapy following a surgical intervention might pose a risk for a decrease in p-Na⁺ whenever the kidneys lack the capacity to excrete an excess of water load [20,21]. Under such circumstances, hyponatremia would be the result of dilution of body solutes, i.e., an excess of water in relation to the existing sodium stores rather than to an increase in urinary sodium excretion. This suggests that after surgery, the prescription of parenteral maintenance fluid therapy should be tailored to the patient’s water and electrolyte needs [22]. Indeed, in recent years it has been recommended to prescribe half the average of daily physiological losses as a measure to prevent post-operative hyponatremia [12,23,24]. This recommendation appears to be appropriate whenever the patient’s extracellular fluid compartment is preserved and the urinary dilution capacity is suspected to be impaired by non-osmotic stimulated ADH activity [8].

Conversely, there is data supporting the view that hyponatremia might ensue due to desalination followed by the administration of intravenous replacement with hypotonic fluids [23]. It has been proposed that post-operative hyponatremia would be avoided by using isotonic saline instead of hypotonic saline for parenteral maintenance fluid therapy [11,25]. There is however no consensus as to whether or not the isotonic saline infusion rate should be restricted [11,25]. Notwithstanding this, a fall in p-Na⁺ has also been also observed in surgical patients receiving near-isotonic saline infusions [26].

Based on existing pediatric data, it appears that tailoring post-operative parenteral fluid therapy to the individual patient’s needs would be the most appropriate physiological approach, since no simple parenteral fluid therapy seems likely to be safely used in this clinical setting. Nevertheless, there is so far no study that suggests how this should be done.

At our hospital, the routine procedure for immediate post-operative parenteral maintenance fluid therapy consists of the use of near half-isotonic solution (0.40% salt solution, 70 mmol/L Na⁺ + 45 mmol/L Cl⁻ + 25 mmol/L acetate in 2.5% glucose) given at low maintenance rate, i.e., at ~¾ the average maintenance rate. The administration of sodium acetate is intended as an alternative to NaCl as a source of sodium ion in a large volume infusion. In addition, acetate is an alternative source of bicarbonate by metabolic conversion in the liver. Although in our own experience (UF and PAL, unpublished data), no patient treated in this way has experienced hyponatremia of potential clinical significance, defined as a fall in p-Na⁺ fall < 130 mmol/L [27], our routine procedure does not rely on evidence-based medicine, but on theoretical grounds. Therefore, a well designed study seems warranted to determine the parenteral fluid regimen that can effectively and accurately be prescribed to post-operative pediatric patients [28]. In addition, logic-based evidence for appropriate laboratory monitoring is also justified.

In this paper, we detail our study protocol for a prospective randomized non-blinded investigation aimed at evaluating the efficacy of hypotonic and near-isotonic saline for parenteral fluid therapy given at low maintenance rate in post-operative pediatric patients, using p-Na⁺ as a surrogate marker. Since at our Center acute appendicitis accounts for a large number of emergency surgical admissions, our investigation will be conducted in previously healthy children and adolescents who have undergone acute appendectomy for complicated appendicitis. The study will be started once the rationale behind this investigation as well as the full description of the protocol has reached the public domain. In so doing, we expect to receive comments that would eventually contribute to improve our protocol [29].
Methods

Objectives
Our primary outcome of interest is to evaluate whether the post-operative prescription of either hypotonic or near-isotonic fluid therapy given at low maintenance rate in otherwise healthy children with normal post-operative p-Na⁺ are equally effective in maintaining p-Na⁺ within the normal range. In addition, our secondary aim is to determine water and electrolyte-balance following the administration of the investigational parenteral maintenance fluids as well as to investigate known regulatory hormones involved in the homeostatic control of water balance. Finally, we will seek to determine how frequently p-Na⁺ should be monitored during the post-operative period.

Study Design
The present investigations will be set up as a prospective randomized non-blinded study. Eligible patients will be randomly assigned to receive one of the following electrolyte/glucose combinations as parenteral maintenance fluid therapies during the 24 h following surgery:

1) 0.23% sodium chloride (40 mmol/L Na⁺ + 20 mmol/L K⁺ + 60 mmol/L Cl⁻; extemporaneous solution) in 5% glucose,
2) 0.40% sodium chloride (70 mmol/L Na⁺ + 45 mmol/L Cl⁻ + 25 mmol/L acetate; B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany) in 2.5% glucose, or
3) 0.81% sodium chloride (140 mmol/L Na⁺ + 4 mmol/L K⁺ + 1 mmol/L Ca²⁺ + 1 mmol/L Mg²⁺ + 118 mmol/L Cl⁻ + 30 mmol/L acetate; Serumwerk Bernburg AG, Bernburg, Germany) in 1% glucose (the chemical symbols K⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ refer to potassium, chloride, calcium, and magnesium, respectively).

Ethical Considerations
The study protocol has been approved by the Medical Ethics Committee at Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (Protocol No. 2010/2:9). The study protocol was registered at current clinical trials registry database; http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN43896775, registry number: ISRCTN43896775.

A written and verbal version of the information will be presented to the potentially eligible patient and parents before the surgical procedure by the surgeon in charge of the patient. The exact nature of the study, the implications and constraints of the protocol, the known side effects, and any risks involved in taking part will be detailed. Parents and patients will be told that all study findings will be handled in strictest confidentiality and that it extends beyond the duration of the study. It will be clearly stated that the patient and parents are free to withdraw from the study at any time for any reason without prejudice to future care, and with no obligation to give the reason for withdrawal. Written informed consent will then be obtained by means of a dated patient’s and parent’s signature and dated signature of the surgeon who presented the informed consent form. A copy of the signed informed consent will be given to the patient.

Study Population and Site
The participants will be recruited at the Department of Pediatric Surgery, Astrid Lindgren Children’s Hospital, at the Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden. Potentially eligible participants in the study will be healthy children and adolescents consecutively operated for emergency abdominal surgery due to acute appendicitis.

Our Center is an academic teaching hospital that provides care in the majority of pediatric surgical cases in the greater Stockholm area, covering a population of about 1.8 million inhabitants, which corresponds to a referral area of approximately 350,000 children. More than 300 children and adolescents are admitted for treatment of acute appendicitis every year. The date for enrolment to the clinical trial will be defined once the protocol has reached public domain. The study will take place in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, Astrid Lindgren Children’s Hospital, at the Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden.

Eligibility Criteria
In the present investigation, we arbitrarily decided not to include children aged less than 1 year of age because the functional capacity of the kidney, including glomerular filtration rate and renal concentration ability, as well as the efficiency to excrete sodium load is lower in infants than in older children [30-33]. Hence, the study population will be male and female children and adolescents aged between 1 and 14 years old whose post-operative p-Na⁺ are within the normal range (local reference range: 135 - 147 mmol/L). Children and adolescents undergoing emergency intervention for treatment of acute appendicitis either as an open or laparoscopic procedure will be considered to be potentially eligible. To qualify for randomization, the participants are required to have a complicated appendicitis, i.e., gangrenous or perforated, either with generalized or localized peritonitis of such a degree that the surgeon considers oral feeding unsuitable for at least 24 h following the surgery. Consequently, the randomization will be performed post-operatively and on the basis of intra-operative findings.

We infer that in our study population, the prescription of parenteral fluid therapy for the immediate 24 h post-operative period might be justified, and that by delaying
oral fluid therapy intake, in any particular case, will do no harm to the patient.

The exclusion criteria will be any clinical condition, such as renal disease, acute or chronic lung inflammation, pituitary or hypothalamic disease, and adrenal insufficiency that eventually can lead to electrolyte and water imbalance. In addition, any patient whose p-Na+ is < 130 mmol/L after the initiation of parenteral maintenance fluid therapy, will be withdrawn from the study and treated accordingly at the discretion of the anesthesiologist responsible for the patient’s care [34].

Whenever the occurrence of vomiting is reported or if the patient complains of symptoms attributable to symptomatic hyponatremia such as nausea, malaise, headache, restlessness, drowsiness, or decreased consciousness, p-Na+ will be immediately controlled and the event clearly documented in the medical record.

During the first 24 h post-operatively, the administration of extra fluid besides the study maintenance fluid therapy, will be not permitted with the exception of fluid administered with medication or to maintain patency of peripheral intravenous catheters.

Analysis population
Three analysis populations will be used in this study. The “intention to treat” population will consist of all subjects who have been randomized and have received one of three parenteral maintenance fluid therapies previously mentioned. The analysis will be conducted in all “intention to treat” patients who had no protocol violation(s) or deviation(s).

Patient Randomization
The study population will be allocated to each of the intervention groups using randomization in blocks. Block size will be kept secret to all study related personnel. Participants will be numbered sequentially. It is expected that after the randomization there will be no differences in the clinical or biochemical characteristics among different treatment groups at the start of post-operative parenteral fluid therapy. After randomization, the anesthesiologist and the clinical team will be informed by the surgeon to which fluid regimen arm the patient has been allocated.

Clinical and Laboratory Assessment
Upon admission from surgery and by the end of the first post-operative day, patients will be weighted (kg). In addition, height (m) will be measured and body mass index (kg/m²) calculated. Non-invasive blood pressure (mm Hg), heart rate (beats per minute), monitoring of arterial oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry, and body temperature will be recorded at regular intervals.

Blood samples will be collected immediately after the conclusion of surgery (T₀), at 6 h (T₆) and 12 h (T₁₂), and then at 24 h (T₂₄) following the surgery. The blood samples obtained at T₀ and T₂₄ will be analyzed for plasma (p) Na+, K⁺, Cl⁻, creatinine, urea, uric acid, glucose, lactate, ketones, renin, aldosterone, ADH, hemoglobin, blood gas, and for serum (s) osmolality by means of routine and quality controlled laboratory techniques. Serum Cortisol and s-TSH (thyroid-stimulating hormone) will be measured at T₀. At T₁₂, p-Na+, p-glucose, and blood gas will be monitored. The blood samples obtained at T₀ and T₁₂ will be analyzed for p-Na+. Also, at the discretion of the treating anesthesiologist, p-Na+ will be monitored at intermediate sampling if p-Na+ at T₁₂ follows a decreasing trend.

Entire urine collection from T₀ to T₂₄ will be obtained by urine bag or clean catch, as appropriate. A bladder scan will be performed whenever urinary retention is suspected. Depending on the bladder volume measured, urethra catheterization will be performed to relieve urine retention if the patient cannot void on his/her own. Adequacy of timed urine collection will be confirmed as far as possible by estimation of urinary creatinine excretion rate (mmol/kg per 24 h) [35].

In addition to measuring total urine volume, urinary sodium, potassium, and chloride excretion, we will calculate, from spot urine samples obtained at T₀ and T₂₄, the fractional excretion of sodium (FeNa), the fractional excretion of uric acid (FeUA), and the urine osmolality.

The FeNa [(u-Na+ × p-creatinine) ÷ (p-Na+ × u-creatinine) × 100] is the fraction of sodium filtered by the glomeruli that appears in the urine. The extracellular fluid compartment is regulated by changes in sodium excretion and when the extracellular fluid is contracted (e.g., intra-operative hypovolemia due to blood loss), urinary sodium can be undetectable. The FeNa is therefore a useful laboratory investigation to differentiate hypovolemic, i.e., a decrease of the effective arterial blood volume, from euvolemic state [36]. On the other hand, hypervolemic state enhances FeNa, an effect independent of the increase in urinary flow.

In the event of non-osmotic excess secretion of ADH, as it has been observed in post-operative patients, generous intake of solute-poor fluid might result in a positive water balance. If post-operative fluid prescription is however limited, as we intend in our study, the extracellular fluid compartment (and total body fluid) will not be expected to expand. Consequently, the FeNa at T₂₄ should not be significantly different compared to T₀.

Uric acid urinary excretion is regulated to changes in the effective arterial blood volume [37]. Therefore, FeUA [(u-UA × p-creatinine) ÷ (p-UA × u-creatinine) × 100] is also useful to indirectly infer the effective arterial blood volume, provided that the renal proximal tubule
is properly functioning. This and the aforementioned laboratory investigations will provide an insight into how a child’s kidneys are handling water and salt.

Urine analysis, including osmolality, will be performed by using routine and quality controlled techniques. Taken together, FeNa, FeUA, total urinary electrolyte excretion, urine osmolality, ADH, as well as p-renin and p-aldosterone activity will be interpreted in the context of the patient’s water and electrolyte balance.

Finally, serum (~3 ml), and urine samples (~15 ml), obtained at T₀ and T₂₄ will be stored -80°C in a biobank (duration of storage 10 years) for eventual future analysis.

Parenteral Fluid Therapy

Intra-operative fluid management: following our routine procedure, the volume of intra-operative fluid will be adapted to the severity of surgical trauma as follows; i) mild trauma, 5 ml/kg body weight (BW) per h, ii) moderate trauma, 7.5 ml/kg BW per h, and iii) severe trauma, 10 ml/kg BW per h. The parenteral fluid used intra-operatively, i.e., from the start of the surgery to T₀, will consist of 0.40% salt solution (70 mmol/L Na⁺ + 45 mmol/L Cl⁻ + 25 mmol/L acetate) in 2.5% glucose (B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany).

During surgery, appropriate amount of intravenous isotonic fluid blouses will be administered whenever hypovolemia is suspected to ensure adequate intravascular volume and oxygen delivery. The duration of anesthesia and all intra-operative inputs will be documented in the medical record. In addition, we will have free and open access to data concerning patient’s pre-operative intravenous fluid therapy.

Post-operative fluid management: the fluid needs for parenteral therapy will be estimated based on metabolic requirements of the body, i.e., expenditure of energy, as described by Holliday and Segar where daily caloric expenditure is equal to 100 cal/kg BW up to 10 kg, 1000 cal + 50 cal/kg BW from 11 to 20 kg, and 1500 cal + 20 cal/kg BW over 20 kg [22]. Under normal conditions, the average needs of water for replacement of usual insensible and urinary water losses is directly related to the metabolic rate, and when expressed in milliliters, equals the estimated daily energy expenditure in calories [22]. Depending on age, the amount of water that accounts for insensible water losses varies from 45 to 59 ml/100 cal per 24 h, while the replacement of urinary water losses will depend on the renal solute load, i.e., the total daily excretion of solutes, and the concentration of these solutes in the urine [22]. Holliday and Segar estimated that a solute load of 40 mosmol/100 cal per 24 h, a figure expected in the instance of rational parenteral fluid therapy, would require ~60 ml of water to be excreted, i.e., 60 ml/100 cal per 24 h. This figure was calculated at a urine osmolality of 600 mosmol/kg H₂O, which was considered the maximum to safely be expected from patients receiving parenteral fluid therapy under normal conditions [22].

Since prescription of intravenous fluid therapy given at full maintenance rate may be potentially dangerous when renal water excretion is limited by ADH excess, parenteral fluid therapy will be given at a lower rate than the recommended average maintenance rate [8]. Consequently, and, in accordance with our local care guidelines for parenteral fluid therapy following surgical procedures, ~¾ of the average maintenance rate will be prescribed for the first 24 h post-operatively, i.e., from T₀ to T₂₄. Table 1 relates body weight to ~¾ of the average maintenance allowances for hourly periods.

Ongoing post-operative losses, e.g., nasogastric drainage following abdominal surgery, will be replaced ml for ml with Ringer’s lactate solution.

Below, we describe an example of the likely change in p-Na⁺ that would result at T₂₄ in a previously healthy 10 year-old-boy who met the inclusion criteria to participate in the study. We presuppose that our hypothetical case has been randomized to be treated with 0.23% salt solution in 5% glucose.

Table 1 24 h post-operative fluid volume at ~¾ of average maintenance rate according to Holliday-Segar formula

| Weight (kg) | ml/kg/24 h |
|------------|------------|
| 10         | 80         |
| 11         | 76         |
| 12         | 73         |
| 13         | 71         |
| 14         | 69         |
| 15         | 67         |
| 16         | 65         |
| 17         | 64         |
| 18         | 62         |
| 19         | 61         |
| 20         | 60         |
| 22         | 56         |
| 24         | 53         |
| 26         | 51         |
| 28         | 49         |
| 30         | 47         |
| 35         | 43         |
| 40         | 40         |
| 45         | 38         |
| 50         | 36         |
| 55         | 35         |
| 60         | 33         |
| 65         | 32         |
| 70         | 31         |
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that, similar to patients we expect will participate in our study, his kidneys are capable of generating concentrated urine in response to non-osmotic stimulated ADH activity. Since in the immediate post-operative period a child with intact urinary concentration ability may achieve urine osmolality of more than 700 mosmol/kg H₂O as a result of elevated ADH levels [38], in our example we arbitrarily set the urine osmolality at 900 mosmol/kg H₂O.

In this hypothetical case we take into account all the patient’s inputs during the 24 h period following surgery, i.e., total volume of water, Na⁺, K⁺, and Cl⁻. Each element is analyzed separately and it is supposed that all the infused Na⁺, K⁺, and Cl⁻ represent the renal solute load that eventually will be excreted in the urine [39]. Thus, one mmol of each of these elements equals one mosmol. Since glucose is metabolized to carbon dioxide and water, it does not contribute to renal solute load in our example. Similarly, in solutions containing acetate, this anion is metabolized in the liver and does not contribute to renal solute load.

It should be noted that, for the sake of simplicity, we have chosen to take for granted that in this hypothetical case the urine osmolality would be fixed during the 24 h period following surgery. This, and the fact we only consider the patient’s input of water and electrolyte, might confine the accuracy in our predicting p-Na⁺ at T24. Therefore, in our study, the patient’s expected p-Na⁺ at T₂₄ will be calculated by computing actual water and electrolyte balance during the first 24 h post-operatively as follows:

\[
\text{Na}^+ = \frac{[\text{Na}^+ _i \times \text{TBW} + \Delta (\text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+)]}{(\text{TBW} + \Delta \text{TBW})}
\]

where the subscripts i and f represent initial and final states, respectively. This equation states that the initial volume × initial concentration = final volume × final concentration. Since we assume that in our hypothetical case there will be no change in total body sodium content by the end of the first postoperative 24 h, the p-Na⁺ᵢ is now the original sodium content divided by TBWᵢ. To determine the final p-Na⁺ᵢ we will be expected to be 136.5 mmol/L (i.e., p-Na⁺ᵢ = 18 L × 140 mmol/L ÷ 18,457 L).

If we replace 0.23% sodium chloride parenteral fluid therapy with either 0.40% sodium chloride or 0.81% sodium chloride, the expected p-Na⁺ᵢ would be 136.4 mmol/L and 138.2 mmol/L, respectively.

**Sample size calculation**

The expected sample size required in our study is based on the calculation for equality applied to the primary outcome variable p-Na⁺, measured at T₂₄ as mmol/L.

In the previous section we estimated that the largest expected fall in p-Na⁺ in our illustrative case would be 3.6 mmol/L. Consequently, if we apply this figure to a patient whose p-Na⁺ at T₀ is 135 mmol/L, the expected
p-Na\(^+\) at T\(_{24}\) after giving 0.4% sodium chloride would be 131.4 mmol/L, a plasma level which may not have clinical significance [27]. However, we are compelled to speculate that this figure may not be exact because in our illustrative case we dealt with hypothetical data that may not necessary mirror the clinical setting. Hence, our estimate has to be verified by means of water and electrolyte balance measurement \textit{in vivo}. Taken this uncertainty into account, we arbitrarily decided that the equality margin will be set at 5 mmol/L. This value will capture our estimate, but, most importantly, in patients whose p-Na\(^+\) at T\(_0\) is 135 mmol/L, it would allow us to identify those patients who are at risk for developing hyponatremia of potential clinical significance [27]. Thus, a difference between treatment groups greater than this margin will be considered as clinically relevant. Consequently, a difference smaller than 5 mmol/L will be considered as clinically less relevant. Sample size was calculated assuming a standard deviation (SD) of 5 mmol/L, a significance level of 0.05, and a power of 80% to detect a difference larger than the equality margin of 5 mmol/L. Hence, the number of patients per study arm needed to fulfill the power goal of the study will be 17 patients.

**Data analysis**

All statistical analyses will be described in detail in the statistical analysis plan. Data will be presented using descriptive statistics, i.e., mean and SD for continuous variables, and frequency and proportions for categorical variables. The efficacy variable will be evaluated using ANCOVA, intervention (parenteral maintenance fluid therapy) as a fixed factor and baseline levels as a covariate in the model. The corresponding 95% confidence intervals for each group and the difference between groups will also be presented. In addition, graphs will be used in order to facilitate the interpretation of the results regarding the equality margins to be used in the present study.

Missing data will be replaced using a conservative approach, which will be specified in the statistical analysis plan. All tests will be two-sided and performed at the significance level of 0.05.

**Discussion**

Post-operative symptomatic hyponatremia in patients receiving intravenous maintenance fluid therapy may be associated with severe cerebral dysfunction and high mortality [44]. Our study intends therefore to address a question that might have important clinical implications. To put our study question into perspective, we summarize the results of the most relevant pediatric studies published so far on this topic.

A retrospective single-center study reported on the incidence and clinical consequences of post-operative hyponatremia in children [45]. Out of 145 pediatric patients who met the criteria for further analysis, 16 children were identified as having had p-Na\(^+\) < 130 mmol/L during the 24 h following the surgical procedure. In the post-operative period, the incidence of hyponatremia was similar between patients receiving hypotonic and isotonic fluid therapy. None of them experienced neurological sequelae due to hyponatremia. According to the data presented in this study, and by excluding one patient because of pseudohyponatremia, it seems that in 14 of the 15 patients the rate of infusion of parenteral fluid therapy given over the 24 h following the surgical procedure was almost equal \((n = 8)\), equivalent \((n = 4)\), or higher \((n = 2)\) than the average maintenance fluid therapy that would otherwise be recommended to healthy children under normal clinical conditions [22]. This observation suggests that the volume and not the composition of intravenous solutions used for parenteral fluid therapy might have accounted for the development of post-operative hyponatremia.

One study, including medical and surgical patients followed from 12 to 24 h after admission, found that the prescription of 0.18% sodium chloride in 5% glucose (30 mmol/L Na\(^+\)) was associated with a significant fall in p-Na\(^+\) when compared with patients who received isotonic saline (0.9% sodium chloride, 154 mmol/L Na\(^+\)) [6]. The incidence of hyponatremia was not reported. Full maintenance parenteral fluid therapy was found to produce a greater but not significant fall in p-Na\(^+\) than the fluid therapy administered at a restricted rate.

A separate investigation, also designed as a randomized controlled study, conducted in critically ill medical and surgical patients, showed that at 24 h of starting full maintenance parenteral fluid therapy the fall in p-Na\(^+\), often to mild hyponatremic levels, was higher in patients receiving fluids with a sodium content < 100 mmol/L compared with patients receiving intravenous solutions containing 140 mmol/L Na\(^+\) [7].

Although the aforementioned investigations were designed to provide the most reliable form of scientific evidence, they have some limitations that weaken the power of the studies, e.g., study population heterogeneity, wide age-range groups, no water and electrolyte balance, no measurement of regulatory hormones, no standardization of fluid therapy given intra-operatively, and short follow-up. Still, these investigations are important since they indicate that a hospital-acquired decrease of p-Na\(^+\) in patients receiving parenteral maintenance fluid therapy may be avoidable.

A recent randomized trial comparing multiple post-operative intravenous maintenance fluid treatment groups determined the risk of hyponatremia in patients aged between 6 months and 15 years admitted for either
elective or emergency surgery [46]. The authors observed that 24 h after surgery, regardless of fluid rate, i.e., either 100% or 50% maintenance fluid rate, there was no difference in the incidence of hyponatremia between patients who received 0.9% sodium chloride and 0.45% sodium chloride. On multiple linear regression analysis, hypotonic fluid, but not rate, significantly influenced the change in p-Na+ from induction of anesthesia to 24 h after surgery. It is important to observe, that at this time-point after surgery, no patient in either treatment arm group experienced a fall in p-Na+ below 130 mmol/L. This study did not report on both the total intravenous volume prescribed after surgery and urinary production, making therefore difficult to analyze the role of water and electrolyte balance on p-Na+ values at 24 h after surgery.

Finally, in a previous prospective study investigating the incidence of post-operative hyponatremia in pediatric patients who uniformly received hypotonic parenteral fluid therapy at full maintenance rate [47], the prevalence of hyponatremia, defined as p-Na+ < 136 mmol/L, was 21% and 31% at 12 h and at 24 h following surgery, respectively. Of note, no patient experienced a decrease in p-Na+ below 130 mmol/L, suggesting that the inclusion of an hypotonic saline administration arm in future clinical trials is a feasible option [48].

In the present paper we describe the objectives of our study and the design to achieve them. Since no consensus has been reached on post-operative fluid management, the results of this investigation will contribute to the evidence base for effective pediatric prescription of parenteral maintenance fluid therapy in otherwise healthy children and adolescents undergoing acute surgery. Although our investigation will be conducted in a homogeneous cohort of patients, we speculate that our results would be of clinical importance, not only in post-appendectomy children and adolescents but also in other post-operative scenarios.
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