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Abstract. The main pathological hallmarks in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are the presence of extracellular amyloid plaques, primarily consisting of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide, and the accumulation of paired helical filaments of hyperphosphorylated tau protein (PHF-Tau) within neurons. Since CA1 is one of the most affected regions in AD, mainly at early stages, we have performed a detailed analysis of the CA1 region from 11 AD patients (demented and clinically similar; Braak stages IV-VI) to better understand the possible relationship between the presence and distribution of different neurochemical types of Aβ plaques and PHF-Tau immunoreactive (ir) neurons. Hence, we have examined hippocampal sections in confocal microscopy images from double and triple-immunostained sections, to study labeled plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons using specific software tools. There are four main findings in the present study. First, the pyramidal layer of proximal CA1 (close to CA2) contains the smallest number of both plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons. Second, a large proportion of Aβ-ir plaques were also characterized by the presence of PHF-Tau-ir. Third, all plaques containing one of the two PHF-Tau isoforms also express the other isoform, that is, if a plaque contains PHFpS396, it also contains PHFAT8, and vice versa. Fourth, the coexpression study of both PHF-Tau isoforms in CA1 neurons revealed that most of the labeled neurons express only PHFpS396. Our findings further support the idea that AD is not a unique entity even within the same neuropathological stage, since the microanatomical/neurochemical changes that occur in the hippocampus greatly vary from one patient to another.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive brain disease and the most common cause of dementia [1]. The main pathological hallmarks in AD are the presence of extracellular amyloid plaques, primarily consisting of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide, and the accumulation within neurons of paired helical filaments of hyperphosphorylated tau protein (PHF-Tau), which are known as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) [2–4].

Symptoms in early stages of AD are impairment of declarative memory, disorientation, and loss of context [5, 6]. The main brain structures that support these functions include the medial temporal lobe, especially the hippocampal formation and adjacent cortex [7, 8]. In particular, the CA1 hippocampal region is critical in spatial orientation, learning and in different memory functions, such as the retrieval...
of remote episodic memory and the strength of established memories [8–14]. Moreover, it has been shown that CA1 is one of the most affected regions in AD, mainly at early stages [14–18]. A variety of alterations have been widely reported in CA1 from AD patients, such as a decrease in the number of neurons [19–21] and neuronal alterations, including dendritic changes in pyramidal cells [14, 22, 23].

It is becoming apparent that the accumulation of Aβ plaques does not correlate with cognitive impairments in AD patients. Indeed, a large number of individuals without any cognitive impairment accumulate Aβ plaques in their brains [24–26]. However, the presence of PHF-Tau has been related to cognitive impairment in AD [22, 27] and there is evidence that it produces changes in the microanatomy of pyramidal neurons [22] and neurodegeneration [28]. Moreover, PHF-Tau has been reported to affect, among other functions, mitochondrial activity and axonal transport, and it has been proposed to make neurons vulnerable to Aβ [29]. Nevertheless, it is still unclear how pathological forms of tau and Aβ interact during the progression of AD, and understanding the underlying mechanism responsible for their possible potentiation is critical [28, 30–32].

In the present study, we performed a detailed analysis of different layers of the CA1 region from AD patients (demented and clinically similar; Braak stages V–VI) to try to better understand the possible relationship between the presence and distribution of different neurochemical types of Aβ plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons. For this purpose, we examined hippocampal sections and generated maps of labeled elements using specific software tools in confocal microscopy images from double- and triple-immunostained sections from these patients. The aim was to generate maps of plaque location and protein co-expression patterns (Aβ and PHF-Tau) to examine possible differences in the laminar and spatial distribution of labeled elements.

We also examined location and expression pattern of neurons containing NFTs labeled with different PHF-Tau isoforms (PHFpS396 and PHFAT8). We found that although labeled plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons revealed highly variable expression patterns between patients, the pyramidal layer of the proximal portion of CA1 region (close to CA2) contained both the lowest number of plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons. Furthermore, we found that a large proportion of Aβ-ir plaques were also characterized by the presence of PHF-Tau, whereas plaques lacking Aβ (i.e., plaques stained only with PHFpS396 or PHFAT8) were unusual. Finally, the study of the expression of PHF-Tau isoforms in neurons revealed that the majority of the CA1 labeled neurons in these patients expressed only PHFpS396.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue preparation

Human brain tissue was obtained from 11 patients (postmortem delay between 2 and 5.75 h; between 76 and 95 years old at time of death) diagnosed as having AD according to neuropathological examination as stated by Braak and Braak (1991) [33] and CERAD criteria [34]. These samples were obtained from two different sources: Banc de Teixits Neurologics from Hospital Universitari Clinic de Barcelona (Dr. I. Ferrer, Servicio de Anatomía Patológica, IBIDELL-Hospital Universitario de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain) and the Banco de Tejidos Fundación CIEN (Dr. A. Rábano, Área de Neuropatología, Centro Alzheimer, Fundación Reina Sofía, Madrid, Spain), following the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and with the approval of the local Ethical Committees. Clinical data and other variables from patients were obtained from the neurologist of each patient (Table 1).

Tissue blocks were fixed (4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C), cryoprotected (30% sucrose solution for at least 24 h) and deep-frozen (–80°C) for preservation. The blocks containing the hippocampus were cut with a sliding microtome (Thermo Fischer Scientific, MICROM, HM450; freeze unit, KS34, Massachusetts, USA), at –40°C, in serial coronal sections (50 μm thick) and collected in 0.1 M PB for further processing.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections were first rinsed in 0.1 M PB, pretreated in 2% H2O2 for 30 min to remove endogenous peroxidase activity, and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a solution of 3% normal goat or horse serum (for polyclonal antisera and monoclonal antibodies, respectively; Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA) and 0.25% Triton-X (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The sections were then incubated for 48 h at 4°C with mouse anti-NeuN antibody (1:2000; Chemicon; MAB377, Temecula, CA, USA). Afterwards, sections were processed with a sec-
| Patient | Gender | Age at diagnosis (y) | Disease progression (y) | Braak Stage | CERAD Stage | Co-pathology | Family history of dementia | Postmortem delay (h:m) |
|---------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Az1B    | Male   | 80                  | 10                     | VI          | C           | Microinfarctions AD | AD                    | 4:30                |
| Az2B    | Female | 70                  | 12                     | V           | C           | αSyn (amygdala) No  | No                    | 2:00                |
| Az3B    | Female | 79                  | 2                      | V           | C           | TDP43 No | AD                  | 5:30                |
| Az4B    | Female | 78                  | 9                      | IV          | B           | TDP43 AD | Dementia             | 5:30                |
| Az5B    | Female | 69                  | 14                     | V/VI        | C           | Cortical infarctions | Dementia             | 4:00                |
| Az6B    | Female | 69                  | 7                      | V           | C           | TDP43 (limbic) AD   | AD                    | 5:00                |
| Az7B    | Female | 89                  | NA                     | VI          | C           | TDP43 (amygdala) AD | AD                    | 4:15                |
| Az8B    | Female | 77                  | 8                      | VI          | C           | NA No  | 5:45                |
| Az9B    | Female | 76                  | 8                      | VI          | C           | TDP43 (limbic); AD  | AD                    | 5:00                |
| Az10B   | Female | 71                  | 9                      | V           | C           | Meningioma | MCI                  | 5:00                |
| Az11M   | Female | 82                  | 13                     | V           | NA         | NA No  | 4:00                |

Braak Stages [33]: I–II (NFTs in entorhinal cortex and closely related areas); III–IV (NFTs abundant in amygdala and hippocampus and extending slightly into the association cortex); V–VI (NFTs widely distributed throughout the neocortex and ultimately involving primary motor and sensory areas). CERAD Stages [34]: A, low density of neuritic plaques; B, intermediate density of neuritic plaques; C, high density of neuritic plaques. αSyn, alpha synuclein protein; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment. TDP43: TAR DNA-binding protein 43; BBanc de Teixits Neurologics (Hospital Universitari Clinic de Barcelona); MBanco de Tejidos Fundaci´on CIEN (Madrid); NA, data not available.

Double and triple immunofluorescence

To ascertain the possible relation between Aβ and PHF-Tau, some sections were double stained comprising anti-Aβ and different anti-PHF-Tau antibodies. Selected sections were first treated with 88% formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, No. 251364, St. Louis, MO, USA) to prepare them for plaque immunostaining, and then they were incubated in a solution containing mouse antibody anti-Aβ (clone 6F/3D; 1:50, Dako M0872, Glostrup, Denmark). These sections were also treated with anti-PHF-Tau antibodies to study tau pathology [35–37], using the following antibodies: anti-human PHF-Tau clone PHF1 pS396 (1:2000, Invitrogen Corp., 44752G Carlsbad, CA, USA) and anti-human PHF-Tau antibody clone AT8 pSer202+Thr205 (1:2000, MN1020, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). For the sake of clarity, we refer to these antibodies as: anti-PHFpS396 and anti-PHFAT8, respectively. The sections were incubated in a solution containing the following combinations of primary antibodies: anti-Aβ/anti-PHFpS396, anti-Aβ/anti-PHFAT8 and anti-PHFpS396/anti-PHFAT8. After rinsing in 0.1 M PB, sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature in different solutions containing a goat anti-rabbit antibody coupled to Alexa Fluor 594 and a goat anti-mouse coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1000; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Finally, sections were treated with Autofluorescence Eliminator Reagent (Chemicon) to reduce or eliminate lipofuscin like-autofluorescence. The sections were then washed and mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen Corp.). In addition, triple-labeled sections were used to examine the relationships between PHFpS396, PHFAT8 and plaques in the same sections. To perform this study, immunolabeled sections for both PHFpS396 and PHFAT8 were also stained with Methoxy-X04 reagent (10 mg/mL). Methoxy-X04 is a fluorescent histological marker (Xcess Biosciences Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) derivate of Congo Red which stains plaques in postmortem sections of AD brain tissue samples [38].

Image acquisition and analysis

Sections were examined with a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser scanning system (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany). Fluorescence emission was recorded through separated channels. To analyze all plaques present in the double-stained sections containing the CA1 region, we obtained tiled image stacks recorded at 3 μm z-interval through
Fig. 1. The hippocampal formation from an AD patient. A) Nissl-stained section from an AD patient (Az6) to illustrate the hippocampal fields. Note the neuronal loss in CA1. B) Confocal images showing immunostaining for anti-Aβ and C) anti-PHFpS396, taken from a double-immunostained section to illustrate the distribution pattern of Aβ-ir plaques and PHFpS396-ir neurons, respectively. D) Merge of both channels showing both anti-Aβ and anti-PHFpS396 antibody labeling. E, F) Higher magnification of the CA1 region to show the immunostaining pattern of PHFpS396-ir neurons (E) and Aβ-ir plaques (F). DG, dentate gyrus; CA1–CA3, cornu ammonis fields; SUB, subiculum; Rad, stratum radiatum; Pyr, stratum pyramidale; Or, stratum oriens. Scale bar (in F): 20 μm in A; 25 μm in B, C, D; 50 μm in E, F.

separate channels with a 40x oil-immersion lens (Objective Plan-Apochromat 40x NA, 1.3 Oil). The number of optical planes in the confocal stacks ranged from 12 to 20 planes. In addition, individual examination of every single plaque in triple-labeled sections was performed by acquisition of image stacks at 0.5–1 μm z-interval through separate channels with the same lens described. The number of optical planes in the confocal stacks ranged from 34 to 69 planes.

Composite images to visualize the entire CA1 region analyzed were constructed combining the confocal image stacks recorded through the different channels using Zen software (ZEN 2012, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH; Fig. 1). The same software was used to obtain Z projection images (image resolution: 1024 × 1024 pixels). In addition, every single plaque was individually evaluated to assess its specific staining (PHFpS396, PHFAT8, Aβ, or Methoxy-X04) using
the same software package. The software used to compose figures was Adobe Photoshop (CS4, v 11.0, Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA).

Sections treated with antibodies against both isoforms of PHF-\textsubscript{tau} were analyzed with Imaris software (7.1.5 Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland) to estimate the number of neurons expressing PHF\textsubscript{pS396} and/or PHF\textsubscript{AT8}. Briefly, this analysis consisted of the semi-automatic segmentation of neurons by the placement of spots of 20\textmu m diameter (average size of a neuronal soma) in each immunolabeled neuron. Spots of different colors were used to mark PHF\textsubscript{pS396}, PHF\textsubscript{AT8} neurons and those expressing both markers.

**RESULTS**

For the sake of clarity, in the present study we shall use the terms “co-localization” and “co-expression” to refer to the co-labeling of staining in plaques and neurons, respectively. In the case of plaques, their staining using two or more markers was considered to be co-localized when the different labeled elements of the plaques showed a full or partial spatial overlap. In the case of neurons, co-expression of two markers refers to when the two markers were located in the same neuron.

We have mapped the expression patterns of A\textsubscript{β}-ir and PHF-\textsubscript{Tau}-ir in plaques of six AD patients (Az1–Az6) using Zen software (ZEN 2012, Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH), which provides the three-dimensional location of the labeled plaques in all acquired channels. The whole CA1 field was studied, and the examined area ranged from 1.14 to 8.8 mm\textsuperscript{2} per case. Moreover, the study of neurons containing PHF\textsubscript{pS396}-ir and PHF\textsubscript{AT8}-ir was also performed in the whole CA1 in these same AD patients using the Imaris package.

In addition, plaques were studied in sections simultaneously labeled with both anti-PHF-\textsubscript{Tau} antibodies and Methoxy-X04 staining. These triple-immunostained sections (Methoxy-X04/PHF\textsubscript{pS396}/PHF\textsubscript{AT8}) were used to study the co-localization patterns of A\textsubscript{β} and PHF-\textsubscript{Tau} in CA1 plaques, in eleven AD patients (Az1–Az11) to provide information of their co-localization within plaques.

**Plaque distribution in CA1**

Immunostaining with anti-A\textsubscript{β} antibody revealed the presence of numerous plaques in all examined AD patients.

First, we analyzed the distribution of A\textsubscript{β}-labeled plaques in double-immunostained sections using three different combinations: A\textsubscript{β}/PHF\textsubscript{pS396}, A\textsubscript{β}/PHF\textsubscript{AT8}, and PHF\textsubscript{pS396}/PHF\textsubscript{AT8} (Fig. 1). CA1 was arbitrarily subdivided into three equal portions: proximal (closer to CA2), medial and distal (closer to the subiculum), as previously described [39]. In addition, plaques were categorized according to their position in different CA1 strata (Figs. 1A, E, 2): oriens, pyramidale, radiatum, and lacunosum-moleculare. However, the stratum lacunosum-moleculare was not further considered since we did not observe the presence of plaques in this stratum (Fig. 3). The precise location of each analyzed plaque in CA1 was determined (Fig. 2). Briefly, most plaques (41\%) were located in the medial portion of the CA1 pyramidal layer, followed by the distal CA1 portion (37\%) and proximal portion (22\%; Table 2). In addition, the pyramidal layer contained most of the plaques (84\%), in agreement with previous reports [40], while in the stratum oriens, plaques were very scarce (1\%).

**Co-localization of A\textsubscript{β} and PHF-\textsubscript{Tau} in CA1 plaques**

We characterized CA1 plaques according to their labeling with A\textsubscript{β} markers and PHF-\textsubscript{Tau} antibodies using double and triple staining.

**Co-localization of A\textsubscript{β} and PHF-\textsubscript{Tau} proteins in double-stained sections**

First, we examined the co-expression of A\textsubscript{β} with PHF\textsubscript{pS396} and A\textsubscript{β} with PHF\textsubscript{AT8}. A total of 251 plaques were examined in double-stained sections for A\textsubscript{β}/PHF\textsubscript{pS396} (Fig. 4). Most of them (93\%) were identified with anti-A\textsubscript{β}, with this percentage breaking down into 44\% displaying only A\textsubscript{β}-ir, and 49\% showing both A\textsubscript{β}-ir/PHF\textsubscript{pS396}-ir (Fig. 5A). Thus, only 7\% of plaques did not display anti-A\textsubscript{β}, and they were identified only with anti-PHF\textsubscript{pS396} (Figs. 4B, 5A).

In the case of double-stained sections for A\textsubscript{β}/PHF\textsubscript{AT8}, we analyzed a total of 183 plaques, of which 84\% were identified with anti-A\textsubscript{β} (comprising 57\% displaying only A\textsubscript{β}-ir and 27\% showing both A\textsubscript{β}-ir/PHF\textsubscript{AT8}-ir), whereas 16\% of the plaques were identified only with anti-PHF\textsubscript{AT8} (Figs. 4D, 5B).

Since we observed plaques that did not show A\textsubscript{β}-ir (Figs. 4B, 4D, 5) the next step was to analyze double-immunostained sections for both isoforms of PHF-\textsubscript{Tau}: combining PHF\textsubscript{pS396} and PHF\textsubscript{AT8} antibodies. We identified a total of 189 plaques, all of which
Fig. 2. Maps of the hippocampal formation from AD patients to illustrate plaque distribution and staining patterns in CA1. Plots are based on the analysis of double-immunostained sections for anti-Aβ and anti-PHF-Tau antibodies. Borders between hippocampal fields are indicated by lines. CA1 is marked with a rectangle. Below each section profile, CA1 is shown schematically with its corresponding subregions and layers. Green dots correspond to Aβ-ir plaques, red dots to PHF-Tau-ir plaques (either PHFpS396 or PHFAT8), and yellow dots indicate plaques expressing both Aβ and PHF-Tau proteins. A–F) Representative drawings from patients Az1, Az2, Az3, Az4, Az5 and Az6, respectively. DG, dentate gyrus; CA1–CA3, cornu ammonis fields; SUB, subiculum; Prox, proximal; Med, medial; Dist, distal; Or, stratum oriens; Pyr, stratum pyramidale; Rad, stratum radiatum. Scale bar (in F): 2000 μm.
Fig. 3. Photomicrograph of the hippocampal formation from an AD patient in a double-stained section (Nissl and anti-Aβ). A) Low-power microphotograph to illustrate the hippocampal fields. B) Higher magnification of the boxed area in A, showing the distribution of plaques by layer in CA1 (Lac-mol, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; Rad, stratum radiatum; Pyr, stratum pyramidale; Or, stratum oriens). Note that no plaques are visualized in stratum lacunosum-moleculare or in oriens. DG, dentate gyrus; CA1–CA3, cornus ammonis fields; SUB, subiculum. Scale bar: 1000 μm (in B); 860 μm (in A).

Table 2

|       | S. Oriens | S. Pyramidal | S. Radiatum | Total |
|-------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| Proximal | 0        | 113          | 25          | 138 (22%) |
| Medial  | 1         | 215          | 38          | 254 (41%) |
| Distal  | 6         | 194          | 31          | 231 (37%) |
| Total   | 7 (1%)    | 522 (84%)    | 94 (15%)    | 623 (100%) |

Data represent the number of plaques labeled with Aβ/PHF₁₅₃₉₆, Aβ/PHF₈₅₈ or PHF₁₅₃₉₆/PHF₈₅₈; see Table 3 for more details. Numbers in brackets indicate their relative percentages.

In summary, double staining analysis indicated that most of the CA1 plaques were Aβ-ir (89%), and a low percentage of plaques did not contain Aβ, while anti-PHF₁₅₃₉₆ and anti-PHF₈₅₈ antibodies were expressed in 7% and 16% of the plaques, respectively. Since sections marked with PHF₁₅₃₉₆/PHF₈₅₈ revealed 100% co-localization, it would appear that plaques visualized with either of the two PHF-Tau isoforms would co-localize with the other.

Co-localization of Aβ and PHF-Tau in triple-labeled sections

In order to confirm our results obtained with double-stained sections, triple-stained sections for Methoxy-X04/anti-PHF₁₅₃₉₆/anti-PHF₈₅₈ were analyzed (Fig. 6). As mentioned above, Methoxy-X04 staining is a good tool to visualize plaques in brain sections from AD patients [38].

Out of a total of 113 Methoxy-X04 stained plaques (Fig. 6; Table 4), 75% did not express any PHF-Tau isof orm. Yet, 25% of Methoxy-X04-labeled plaques showed triple labeling (Methoxy-X04/anti-PHF₁₅₃₉₆/anti-PHF₈₅₈), that is, they were labeled for both PHF-Tau isoforms and Aβ. These results are in line with the double labeling experiments described above.

Plaque morphology

To determine the morphological features of the stained plaques, a total of 500 plaques were examined in confocal images from double-stained (387 plaques) and triple-stained (113 plaques) sections in all cases. All of them showed labeled Aβ deposits, which could be classified into two basic types accordingly to our previous study [41]: plaques with a round, dense, darkly stained nucleus or central region that was surrounded by a slightly stained halo were classified as “cored neuritic plaques”. Those plaques without a differentiated center were considered as “non-cored neuritic plaques”. In the present study,
Fig. 4. Plaque expression patterns in double-stained hippocampal sections. Trios of confocal stack projection images taken from Aβ/PHF_pS396 double-immunostained sections (A, B, E) and from Aβ/PHF_AT8 double-immunostained sections (C, D). Plaques expressing both Aβ and PHF_pS396, as well as Aβ and PHF_AT8 are shown in A and C, respectively. Images illustrate plaques expressing only PHF_pS396 (B) and PHF_AT8 (D). A plaque which only displays anti-Aβ is shown in E. Scale bar (in E): 50 μm.
13% were identified as cored plaques, and 87% as non-cored plaques. These proportions were similar in plaques labeled only with Aβ or with both Aβ and PHF-Tau markers (χ² test, p > 0.5; Table 5).

**Distribution and co-expression of PHF_{pS396}/PHF_{AT8} in CA1 neurons**

The distribution of CA1 neurons that co-expressed PHF_{pS396} and PHF_{AT8} was examined in double-immunostained sections. A total of 5678 PHF-Tau-ir neurons were analyzed and the vast majority of them were identified as pyramidal neurons. A detailed study of every labeled neuron was performed to determine its location within the pyramidal layer of CA1 (Fig. 7). Most neurons (41%) were located in medial portions of CA1 pyramidal layer, followed by the distal (33%), and proximal portions (26%; Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

There are four main findings in the present study. First, despite the large variety of expression patterns of plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons, the pyramidal layer of proximal CA1 (close to CA2) contains the smallest number of both plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons. Second, a large proportion of Aβ-ir plaques were also characterized by the presence of PHF-Tau-ir, whereas plaques lacking Aβ-ir (i.e., plaques stained only for PHF_{pS396} or PHF_{AT8}) were unusual. Third, all plaques containing one of the two PHF-Tau isoforms also express the other isoform, that is, if a plaque contains PHF_{pS396}, it also contains PHF_{AT8}, and vice versa. Fourth, the colocalization study of both PHF-Tau isoforms in CA1 neurons revealed that the majority of the labeled neurons express only PHF_{pS396}. Moreover, the vast majority of PHF-Tau-ir neurons were identified as pyramidal cells.

**Distribution and expression patterns of CA1 plaques**

The analysis of plaques in CA1 showed that, independently of their labeling with Aβ, PHF_{pS396} or PHF_{AT8} antibodies, there were fewer plaques in the proximal portion, that is, closer to CA2. Furthermore,
most plaques were localized in the stratum pyramidale, followed by the stratum radiatum, while they were sparse in the stratum oriens and absent in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare.

In both mouse models of AD and in AD patients, dendrites in contact with Aβ-ir plaques have been found to suffer alterations in dendritic spine number and morphology [22, 23, 42–44], indicating specific alterations related to Aβ [45]. Moreover, it has also been reported that Aβ-ir plaque presence alters synaptic transmission [14, 42–44, 46, 47], and impairs both the glutamatergic and the GABAergic systems [41, 48].

Since in the human CA1 the basal and apical dendritic arbors of pyramidal cells are mostly localized in the stratum pyramidale and Aβ-ir plaques are found mainly in the stratum pyramidale (followed by the stratum radiatum), synaptic alterations may affect both the apical and basal dendritic arbors of the CA1 pyramidal cells.
Table 4
Number of CA1 labeled plaques, per case, analyzed in triple-stained sections for Methoxy-X04, anti-PHF_pS396, and anti-PHF_AT8. Numbers in brackets represent the relative percentages of labeled plaques

| Patient | Methoxy-X04/PHF_pS396-ir | Methoxy-X04/PHF_AT8-ir | Methoxy-X04/PHF_pS396-ir/PHF_AT8-ir | Methoxy_X04 | Total plaques |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Az1     | 3                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 7            | 10           |
| Az2     | 3                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 4            | 7            |
| Az3     | 4                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 5            | 9            |
| Az4     | 2                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 11           | 13           |
| Az5     | 9                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 3            | 12           |
| Az6     | 1                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 8            | 9            |
| Az7     | 2                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 6            | 8            |
| Az8     | 2                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 8            | 10           |
| Az9     | 0                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 17           | 17           |
| Az10    | 2                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 9            | 11           |
| Az11    | 0                        | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 7            | 7            |
| Total   | 28 (25%)                 | 0                      | 0                                  | 0           | 85 (75%)     | 113          |

Table 5
Number of CA1 examined plaques according to labelling (in double- and triple-stained sections) and morphology as cored and non-cored neuritic plaques. Numbers in brackets represent the relative percentages of plaques

| Staining | Labeling | Cored | Non-cored |
|----------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Aβ/PHF_pS396 | Aβ-ir/PHF_pS396-ir | 15 (12.2%) | 108 (87.8%) |
| Aβ-ir     | 11 (10%) | 100 (90%) |
| Aβ/PHF_AT8 | Aβ-ir/PHF_AT8-ir | 6 (12.2%) | 43 (87.8%) |
| Aβ-ir     | 14 (13.5%) | 90 (86.5%) |
| Methoxy-X04/PHF_AT8 | Methoxy-X04/PHF_pS396-ir | 4 (14.3%) | 24 (85.7%) |
| Methoxy-X04/PHF_AT8-ir | Methoxy-X04 | 11 (12.9%) | 74 (87.1%) |
| Total     | 61 (12.2%) | 439 (87.8%) |

Double-immunostaining (anti-Aβ/anti-PHF_pS396 and anti-Aβ/anti-PHF_AT8) and triple-immunostaining (Methoxy-X04/anti-PHF_pS396/anti-PHF_AT8) showed a variety of co-expression patterns: we observed plaques labeled only with Aβ, with both Aβ and PHF-Tau or only with PHF-Tau antibodies. Whether these different types of plaques differentially affect the alterations of synaptic circuits is currently not known.

It has been proposed that plaques pass through different stages [49], from diffuse plaques to neuritic plaques, accumulating fibrillar processes, which would generate toxicity [50]. During this process, Aβ plaques may incorporate PHF-Tau elements through a variety of mechanisms. However, the two morphological types of plaques found in the present study—cored and non-cored plaques (see [41])—were found either labeled only with Aβ, or with both Aβ and PHF-Tau markers.

In AD patients, it has been reported that microglia are activated as a result of the inflammatory response where it is the presence of Aβ that triggers their activation [51–54]. Activated microglia seem to have two functional consequences: initially, Aβ clearance by uptake [55], and later, propagation of Aβ and PHF-Tau via exosomal mechanisms [56–58]. Therefore, microglia internalize not only Aβ, but also PHF-Tau [58]. Moreover, in a model of microglial depletion, the level of PHF-Tau was reduced [58], indicating that the over-activation of microglia may promote tau phosphorylation [53]. It follows that both proteins would be released to the brain parenchyma, facilitating Aβ grouping as well as the incorporation of PHF-Tau elements.

The initial stages of plaque formation have been proposed to involve the appearance of plaques without an associated inflammatory response, since in late phases activated microglia and reactive astrocytes are located close to plaques [51]. Hence, the presence of plaques expressing only Aβ may indicate an initial plaque stage, while plaques expressing also either PHF_pS396 or PHF_AT8 may be representative of an intermediate stage integrated in a dynamic process [59], which would depend on the presence of NFTs and, perhaps, on the local inflammatory response.

**PHF_Tau-ir neurons: expression patterns and relationship with plaques**

The most frequent PHF_Tau marker found in the CA1 pyramidal neurons from AD patients was PHF_pS396 (over 90%), as previously reported in late stages of AD [45, 60, 61], which matches with the diagnoses of the cases analyzed (IV–VI on the Braak scale). It should be noted that it is still unclear if the specific phosphorylation site of PHF_Tau could...
Fig. 7. Analysis of the distribution and expression of PHF-Tau-ir neurons using Imaris software. A) Confocal microscopy image showing a double-immunostained section for PHFpS396 (green) and PHFAT8 (red) antibodies, in CA1 region from patient Az4. The entire CA1 region can be visualized. B) Spots are assigned to each neuron, easily visualized when confocal channels are turned off. C) The rectangle is a higher magnification of the region marked by the arrowheads in B. The different spot colors correspond to PHFpS396-ir neurons (green), PHFAT8-ir neurons (red), and coexpressing neurons (yellow). Scale bar: 200 μm (in B); 50 μm in (C).

Table 6

|          | PHFpS396-ir | PHFAT8-ir | PHFpS396-ir/PHFAT8-ir | Total   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------|
| Proximal | 843         | 134       | 521                   | 1498 (26%) |
| Medial   | 1416        | 189       | 715                   | 2320 (41%) |
| Distal   | 1357        | 108       | 395                   | 1860 (33%) |
|          | 3616 (64%)  | 431 (8%)  | 1631 (28%)            | 5678 (100%) |

Expression of PHFAT8 has been proposed to occur in the early stages, while PHFpS396-ir corresponds to later stages (e.g., [60, 61]). Nevertheless, other authors have proposed that expression of PHFpS396 occurs in the early stages and has a dynamic pattern of expression over the course of the disease, while PHFAT8 appears in advanced stages [62].

Moreover, the role of PHF-Tau proteins in dendritic pathology is also open to debate. For example, it has
been proposed that PHF-Tau protein—depending on its conformation and its phosphorylation site—may provide neuronal protection mechanisms to maintain the functionality of the neurons [32, 63, 64]. Thus, the presence of PHF-Tau within the somatodendritic compartment of pyramidal neurons does not necessarily induce cell death or even toxicity [45, 64, 65]. In a previous study on the possible alterations of dendritic spines in pyramidal cells from AD patients [23], a remarkable loss of dendritic spines from pyramidal cells containing NFTs was found. Since pyramidal neurons represent the principal building blocks of the cerebral cortex, and dendritic spines are the main postsynaptic elements of cortical excitatory synapses and are fundamental structures in memory, learning, and cognition, these alterations may constitute an important early event in the pathogenesis of AD. Pyramidal cells in the so-called putative ‘pre-tangle’ stage, had unchanged dendritic trees, whereas in the presence of well-developed NFTs, dendritic spine loss was obvious [23]. In cases with an intermediate state of neurofibrillary pathology, the loss of
Possible functional implications of CA1 alterations

It is well established that normal CA1 function is critical for the processing of spatial information, new environment activity, learning and memory [8, 10, 13, 14, 71, 72].

The CA1 region is highly connected to other hippocampal regions, as well as to numerous cortical and subcortical areas. In particular, CA1 is strongly connected with the entorhinal cortex (EC), which is related to memory functions [11, 13, 14, 73]. CA1 projects to deep EC layers [74] and it receives afferents from layer II through the performant pathway (or trisynaptic circuit) and from layer III of the EC by a direct monosynaptic pathway.

Proximal and distal CA1 regions have been related to spatial and context representation [75] and they establish multiple connections, both with cortico-subcortical regions and specific hippocampal regions, as well as within CA1 itself [76]. Lesions in proximal CA1 produce impairment in
memory recovery tasks [77], which would be in line with clinical symptoms of early stages of AD where episodic memory is affected [78]. We found that the pyramidal layer of proximal CA1 (close to CA2) contains the smallest number of both plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons, but the whole CA1 of these patients and other hippocampal fields and brain regions showed numerous plaques and NFTs.

In the cerebral cortex of AD patients, it has been found that there is a reduction in the number of perisomatic GABAergic terminals in neurons in contact with Aβ plaques [41], as well as a decrease in the number of synapses (both excitatory and inhibitory) within plaques (see [79] and references contained therein). Thus, it seems clear that the presence of plaques leads to a local loss of synapses. In addition, the phosphotau labelling dystrophic neurites around plaques is normally formed in axons [80]. These plaques are present mainly in the pyramidal cell layer of CA1, which receives axons from multiple regions, as well as from classical CA3 pyramidal cells (via Shaeffer collaterals), including entorhinal cortex, submammillary body, nucleus reuniens of the thalamus, and septum among others (reviewed in [81]). It is therefore likely that these phosphotau labeled axons were originated from these regions. However, whether axons of different anatomical origin are more affected than others is not known. Therefore, multiple neuronal circuits are affected and, due to the variability of the pathological features of each patient (see below), it is not possible to draw up a general pattern of functional alterations.

Finally, superficial CA1 pyramidal neurons are activated during tasks where the environment needs to be related to stored information and other features of the surroundings, while deep CA1 pyramidal neurons provide information about the environment in terms of mapping [72]. Our data show that most plaques are located in the CA1 pyramidal layer, as well as the PHF-Tau-ir neurons are found in both the superficial and deep CA1 pyramidal cell layer. Thus, both plaques and NFTs occupy critical regions in terms of functionality, affecting the synaptic connectivity which supports spatial skills. Since the first symptoms of patients in the early stages of AD are loss of context and spatial orientation, deep CA1 could be one of the first affected areas of the hippocampus during the onset of the disease, whereas—in the late stages—both superficial and deep CA1 pyramidal cells are affected as shown in the present study.

Inter-individual variability

Our results indicate a huge variability in AD patients regarding the content and chemical characteristics of plaques and NFTs. Since all the AD patients analyzed in the present study correspond to late stages of the disease (Braak stages IV–VI; see Table 1) and they suffer from severe cognitive deficits, the high variability in the neurochemical types and distribution of plaques that we observed indicates a high degree of inter-individual differences. Thus, our findings further support the idea that AD is not a unique entity even within the same neuropathological stage, since the microanatomical/neurochemical changes that occur in the hippocampus greatly vary from one patient to another (see also [45]).

It has been reported that symptoms may differ between AD patients despite their neuropathological findings being similar [27]. Given that plaque load and cognitive functions are poorly correlated, together with the fact that the expression of PHF-Tau has been strongly linked to cognitive impairment [82], it may be that dementia is primarily related to the presence of PHF-Tau [21, 23], and secondly to its interaction with Aβ protein. Thus, it seems that when these proteins act together, the symptoms become apparent due to severe neuronal damage. Variability found between patients cannot be attributed to their AD stages, since all of them were diagnosed as Braak stages IV–VI.

We would like to point out that the morphological alterations observed in human pathological brain tissue are difficult to interpret, due to the high interindividual variability (regarding factors such as sex, age, medical treatment, etc.) that can affect brain structure. In addition, the disease course of AD is highly variable, and neuropathological changes are not homogenous. This is the case both among patients and in different brain regions of the same patient [41, 45]. Since we have analyzed the hippocampus of few patients with different pathological and clinical characteristics, the data obtained in the present study cannot be generalized to all AD patients or other cortical areas. Thus, the present study represents a further step towards addressing the topic of heterogeneity in tau and Aβ pathology, but it would be necessary to confirm our observations, both in a larger number of individuals and in additional cortical areas. The observed differences could be linked to structural variances associated with cognitive reserve [83–85] or related to the number of years since disease diagno-
sis. It has been proposed that cognitive reserve allows the brain to tolerate pathological elements without visible clinical symptoms, by using compensatory mechanisms of protection or via pre-existing cognitive approaches (so called ‘cognitive scheme’; [86, 87]), which could serve as protection against neuronal degeneration [85]. Hence, this could be related to the observed differences in the expression pattern of plaques and PHF-Tau-ir neurons between patients. Five of the analyzed cases showed TDP-43 (a pathological condition of a DNA binding protein), which has been shown to be a comorbidity factor that may also contribute to dementia in AD [88, 89]. Moreover, α-synuclein (a misfolded protein which forms pathological aggregations in neurodegenerative disorders such as Parkinson’s disease) was found in two cases. Since different proteinopathies coexist in some patients, it is uncertain whether AD is an independent pathological process or the concurrent pathological proteins influence each other [90]. This coexistence of proteinopathies can therefore also contribute to the diversity of plaque types found in the present study. For example, one of the cases (Az9) displayed markers for both TDP-43 and α-synuclein, and in this particular case, plaques were identified by Aβ markers (Methoxy-X04 staining) but not by anti-PHF-Tau markers.
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