Remote Ischemic Preconditioning on New Onset Post-Cardiac Surgery Atrial Fibrillation: A Single-Centre Prospective Clinical Study
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Background: Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) has been shown to reduce myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury. However, its efficacy in preventing postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) remains unsettled.

Methods: A total of 97 eligible patients were prospectively randomized to receive either RIPC or sham-RIPC (control) prior to coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. RIPC was performed by applying 3 alternating cycles of a 5-min upper limb ischemia and reperfusion using a blood-pressure cuff. The primary endpoint was the incidence of POAF. Secondary endpoints included cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and H2O2 serum concentration after revascularization, and P-wave duration (PWD) on a 12-lead electrocardiogram.

Results: Twelve out of 49 RIPC patients (24.5%) and 18/48 of control patients (37.5%) developed POAF (p=0.165, χ2-test). H2O2 levels were significantly increased 30 min after revascularization in both groups compared to pre-clamping values (8.8±6 vs 25.5±2 and 8.5±5 vs 39±15.5 µM/L in the RIPC and control group, respectively; P<.001, within-group analysis). However, mean differences of H2O2 levels after reperfusion were lower in RIPC patients than in controls (P<.05). cTnT concentrations though increased between 6 and 12 h after operation in both groups, they began to fall later only in the RIPC group. PWD values were shorted in RIPC treated patients but not in controls when measured postoperatively (82±13 vs 75±11 ms, P<.01).

Conclusion: RIPC did not significantly reduce the incidence of POAF despite decreases in cTnT/H2O2 levels and PWD, indicating that not the extent of myocardial injury but the injury itself triggers the electrophysiologic mechanisms underlying the development of this arrhythmia.

© 2019 Isaac Aidonidis, by Science Repository. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) is the most frequently occurring arrhythmia during the first 2-3 days following coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. POAF may worsen the clinical outcomes by increasing the risk of embolic stroke, exacerbating acute cardiac or renal failure, and thus, prolonging hospitalization and further increasing mortality risk [1, 2]. This arrhythmia has been attributed to several factors associated with the surgical intervention per se, as well as by ischemia-reperfusion injury caused after graft opening. In this context, although the electrophysiologic substrate predisposing to POAF still remains unknown, high oxidative stress and intracellular Ca++ overload
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during early reperfusion may promote the development of early afterdepolarizations and arrhythmogenesis. Remote ischemic preconditioning (RIPC) has been proposed as a simple non-invasive procedure that limits ischemia-reperfusion myocardial injury by attenuating oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction [3, 4]. Due to controversial reports existed on the efficacy of RIPC in preventing POAF after cardiac surgery, we conducted this study to reassess the incidence of this arrhythmia in remotely conditioned patients who underwent CABG surgery [5-7].

Material and Methods

We conducted a randomized, allocation-concealed, single-blind (outcome assessors), single-site clinical trial. A total of 97 patients were evaluated between April 2017, and October 2018 for the incidence of POAF after elective on-pump CABG surgery. Following the approval of the study by the university hospital’s ethics committee, all patients were informed about their participation and signed the consent form. Participants were prospectively randomized to receive RIPC or no RIPC (control). Exclusion criteria were type II diabetes as well as history of AF or other cardiac arrhythmias requiring antiarrhythmic therapy, preoperative myocardial infarction, cardiogenic shock, or emergent CABG and/or valve surgery. Anesthesia was induced with midazolam, fentanyl, etomidate and pancuronium in a patient-adapted dosage regimen. Maintenance of deep anesthesia was achieved by sevoflurane volatile gas, propofol, and remifentanil administered with a pump. After induction of anesthesia and before skin incision, RIPC was performed by applying 3 consecutive cycles of 5 min blood-pressure cuff inflation to 200 mmHg to the upper left arm, followed by 5 min reperfusion while the cuff was deflated. In the control group, the cuff was placed around the arm but not inflated. The primary endpoint was the incidence of POAF in both groups occurring after 2-3 days postoperatively or until discharge. For this purpose, patients were equipped with a 24-h Holter ECG device (custo flash 200, Ottobrunn, Germany); basic ECG variables were determined by 12-lead standard ECGs at 50 mm paper speed. Myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury was estimated by measuring cardiac troponin T (cTnT) concentrations. For further exploration of the cardioprotective mechanisms of RIPC, in a subgroup of patients (N=25) oxidative stress was evaluated by measuring serum H$_2$O$_2$ levels and total antioxidant capacity (TAC).

For H$_2$O$_2$ evaluation, blood samples were obtained via a catheter from the femoral artery before aortic clamping as well as 30 min and 2 h after revascularization. Determination of H$_2$O$_2$ was performed according to the procedure described by Franz et al. [8]. Briefly, standard solutions of H$_2$O$_2$ (0-1000 μM) were prepared. 10 μL of standards and samples were incubated with 200 μL of the reaction mixture consisting of HRP (25 mU), TMB and substrate solution at a ratio of 1:10:100 in exposed plates. After 15±5 min incubation, the reaction was quenched by addition of 2N HCl solution, and the absorbance was determined at 450 nm (reference: 620 or 570 nm). Serum peroxide levels were calculated relative to the absorbance in the peroxide standard curve; the results were expressed in μM of H$_2$O$_2$. In addition, TAC was examined using the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazil (DPPH). TAC reflects the capability of the plasma components to scavenge reactive species and has been used as an indicator of the overall antioxidant capacity of plasma. In the presence of hydrogen donors existing in the plasma, the free radical DPPH* is reduced to the corresponding hydrazine. The depletion of the radical is evaluated by the decrease in absorbance at 520 nm (% absorbance reduction= (Abs blank-Abs sample)/Abs blank x100 μM DPPH scavenged/ml= [(%Abs reduction/100) x50x50]/1000). Pre- and post-operatively, the duration of extracorporeal circulation, aortic cross-clamp time, LV ejection fraction (2D-ECHO), and biochemical laboratory findings were additionally determined. Basic ECG variables such as P-wave duration (PWD), PQ, QRS, QT, QTc (QT corrected for heart rate according to Bazett’s formula), and Tpeak-Tend (Tp-Te) intervals were determined pre- and post-operatively in the intensive care unit (ICU) immediately after stabilization of sinus rhythm. Tp-Te indicates the extent of transmural dispersion of ventricular repolarization as a measure of ventricular arrhythmogenicity.

Statistical Analysis

For categorical variables, descriptive statistics are summarized as frequency (%) and comparisons between the RIPC and control group were performed with a $\chi^2$ test. For continuous variables (expressed as mean±SD) differences between groups were examined with the Student’s $t$ test for independent samples, or the Mann Whitney test as appropriate (Kolmogorov-Smirnov Normality Test). Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.01 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). A $p$ value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. In case of multiple comparisons, $t$-test were followed by a Bonferroni adjustment.
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**Figure 1:** Serum cTnT levels in patients subjected to RIPC vs not treated before the surgical procedure. Troponin concentrations increased significantly between 6- and 12-hours post-surgery but decreased thereafter solely in the RIPC patients. **P<.01, ***P<.001.
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**Figure 2:** Levels of H$_2$O$_2$ increased 30 min post-reperfusion (post-rep) compared to pre-ischemia (pre-isch) values in both groups of patients, but two hours later they recover to pre-isch levels. Notably, the increases of oxidative stress were lower in the RIPC group. *P<.05, ***P<.001.
Results

Patients were randomized on a 1:1 basis. No stratification factors were used, and no block randomization was applied. On the day of surgery, patients were assigned to undergo either RIPC or no RIPC (control). Demographic as well as baseline and intraoperative characteristics are presented on Table 1. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and left atrial diameter were not significantly different between groups. Total cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) time was similar in the two groups, while cross-clamp time was longer in the RIPC group \((P<0.05)\). POAF, albeit less common in RIPC patients, remained relatively high (24.5%) when compared to the control group (37.5%) (Table 2). Pre-operatively, PWD was similar between the two groups; however, post-operatively, PWD became shorter only in patients belonging to the RIPC group \((P<0.01, \text{post-} v s \text{pre-op})\).

Preoperative cTnT serum concentrations were within normal limits (<50 ng/L). As expected, serum troponin concentrations significantly increased up to 12 h after operation in both groups, whereas they started and continued to fall between 12 and 72 h post-surgery only in the RIPC group (Figure 1). CRP was significantly elevated after surgery in both groups, while urea levels decreased postoperatively, albeit no significant difference was noted in the control group (Figure 2, \(P<0.001\)). Thirty minutes post-reperfusion \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) levels were significantly higher in the control \((39±15.6 \mu \text{M/L})\), while in the RIPC group, the increase \((98.1±28.1 \mu \text{M/L})\) was relative higher \((P<0.001)\), despite similar reductions when compared to the pre-clamping values. In the control group \((N=15)\), total antioxidant capacity (TAC) estimated by means of %absorbance reduction, was decreased from 26±7.8% during pre-clamping to 18.9±7.4% 30 min post reperfusion \((P=0.05)\), and then progressively increased to 24.4±11.2% 2 h post reperfusion \((P=0.66 \text{ vs pre-ischemia levels})\) (Figure 3). In the RIPC group \((N=10)\), TAC pre-clamping levels decreased from 30.9±2.7 to 24.4±3.9% 30 min post reperfusion \((P<0.001)\), and then progressively increased to 28.7±4.6% 2 h after revascularization \((P=0.121 \text{ vs pre-ischemia values})\).

Table 1: Baseline and clinical data.

| Demographics and comorbidities | Control (N=48) | RIPC (N=49) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Age (years)                   | 63.9±9.1      | 65.4±8.5    |
| Sex (male)                    | 39 (81%)      | 42 (86%)    |
| Arterial hypertension         | 30 (62.5%)    | 27 (55%)    |
| **Preoperative medication**   |               |             |
| \(\beta\)-blockers            | 29 (60%)      | 37 (75.5%)  |
| Digoxin                       | 0             | 3           |
| ACE inhibitors/ARBs           | 20 (42%)      | 18 (37%)    |
| Eplerenone                    | 2             | 11          |
| Spironolactone                | 0             | 2           |
| **Echocardiography**          |               |             |
| LVEF (%)                      | 44.2±10.6     | 47.86±11    |
| Left atrial diameter (mm)     | 38.6±3.7      | 39.7±5.8    |
| **Intraoperative data**       |               |             |
| CABG x II                     | 3 (6.3%)      | 5 (10.2%)   |
| CABG x III                    | 33 (68.7%)    | 35 (71.5%)  |
| CABG x IV                     | 12 (25%)      | 9 (18.3%)   |
| Valve replacement+CABG        | 2             | 3           |
| Aortic cross-clamp time (min) | 63.7±25       | 68.5±18.5   |
| Total CPB time (min)          | 98.1±28.1     | 102.4±28.4  |

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; II, III, IV indicate the number of grafts; CPB, cardio-pulmonary bypass. \(^*P<0.05\) (control vs RIPC).
The major finding of this study was that patients undergoing RIPC did not show a significantly lower incidence of POAF, compared to nonconditioned patients. Reduced oxidative stress, cTnT levels, and shorter PWD in conditioned patients, seemingly were unable to exert a valuable arrhythmia-preventing effect. POAF has clinically been established as a spontaneously self-terminated arrhythmia, which however may increase the risk of early complications after cardiac surgery. Although this arrhythmia appears during the initial postoperative period, it can trigger a cascade of molecular mechanisms inducing electrical remodeling and persistency of arrhythmia even in later stages after bypass operation [9, 10]. For this reason, many efforts have been undertaken to prevent or convert it especially in patients with compromised left ventricular function. RIPC has been proposed as a simple, easily implemented, and costless noninvasive intervention limiting myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury via complex cellular pathways, thereby enhancing cell survival [11-13]. Although RIPC has been shown to reduce myocardial infarct size, its efficacy against POAF is still questionable [14]. Slagvold et al. observed 36% lower incidence of POAF in RIPC patients with no differences in plasma concentrations of cTnT or CK-MB between treated and nontreated groups [7].

Furthermore, a reduction in the incidence of POAF after RIPC has been achieved even in patients undergoing CABG surgery using the anti-preconditioning anesthetic drug propofol [15]. In fact, these findings limit the impact of ischemia-reperfusion injury and the role of intraoperatively given anesthetics in the pathogenesis of POAF occurring several days after the operative procedure. Increased oxidative stress after myocardial ischemia and particularly upon reperfusion, has been reported to promote electrophysiologic remodeling associated with increased late sodium current and intracellular Ca++ gradients, which could be potentially arrhythmogenic through the formation of early or late afterdepolarizations [16]. This partially explains why treatment with ranolazine, a late sodium channel blocker, markedly reduced the incidence of POAF after CABG surgery [17]. The fact that a substantial number of post-cardiac-surgery patients develop AF but not ventricular tachyarrhythmias would link to mechanisms arising from an intraoperatively mediated atrial injury. In this line, however, although controversial results exist regarding the incidence of POAF between on-pump and off-pump coronary bypass surgeries, a number of studies suggest that patients undergoing off-pump bypass surgery also often developed POAF [18]. In addition, given that all patients undergoing CABG surgery were subjected to almost the same interventions, including similar cross-clamp times and procedure-related atrial traumatization, but only approximately 1/3 of those showed POAF, it can be assumed that the operative procedure per se is unlikely to be the main responsible factor for the appearance of this arrhythmia. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that other factors, independent from the surgical procedure, are prevailing involved in POAF.

In this respect, it seems possible that not the size of reperfusion injury but the injury itself is responsible for the appearance of LV diastolic dysfunction, that presumably results in elevating left atrial pressures and atrial wall stretch [19]. The latter has been shown to shorten atrial action potential duration and refractoriness, thereby predisposing to reentry [20, 21]. On the other hand, increased late sodium current and alterations in mitochondrial membrane potential due to ischemia-reperfusion induced oxidative stress can result in elevation of intracellular Ca++, generating early afterdepolarizations triggered activity which may also be conducive to reentrant excitability [22]. Collectively, the effects of RIPC on gating of atrial cell membrane channels promoting abnormal automaticity and abbreviation of refractoriness after cardiac surgery remain obscure. Notwithstanding, the observation that ranolazine given preoperatively during sinus rhythm prevented POAF suggests that the blockade of late sodium plays an important role in the initiation of this arrhythmia [17]. Moreover, an additional use-dependent atrial-selective blockade of fast sodium channels with ranolazine that possibly prolonged post-repolarization refractoriness during AF, may unmask also reentry involvement in POAF [23].

Furthermore, the effects of preconditioning on gap-junctional conduction delay in ischemic atrial muscle has also been considered and could further clarify the relationship between preconditioning,
conduction, and atrial arrhythmogenesis after surgery. Accordingly, Zhu et al. demonstrated a less extensive gap-junctional conduction delay in preconditioned ischemic ventricle [24]. This might be attributed to a decreased cytosolic Ca++ accumulation as a result of preconditioning [22, 25]. In clinical settings, Passman et al. used PWD >110 ms as a measure of atrial conduction delay to identify patients being at higher risk for the development of POAF [26].

Limitations of Study

The lack of evaluating previous parahypoxic substrate that possibly increased the vulnerability to POAF in patients of the two groups, obviously limited the efficacy of remote ischemic preconditioning. Furthermore, a possible interference of propofol with preconditioning cannot be excluded, though propofol was used in all patients. Despite the relatively small number of patients in the study, as well as the limited number of blood samples for assessing oxidative stress and total antioxidant capacity, our findings demonstrated clear differences between patients receiving or not receiving RIPC treatment.

Conclusion

Despite the limitations of this study, our findings show that preconditioning mediated myocardial protection after ischemia and reperfusion, does not necessarily means arrhythmia protection. The present findings confirm data stemming from other studies demonstrating the inefficiency of RIPC in reducing episodes of POAF after cardiac surgeries. Most studies reported an incidence of POAF >25% of patients receiving RIPC, a percentage close to that observed in non-RIPC patients. Reduced serum cTnT and oxidative stress after coronary revascularization surgery did not help explain distinct electrophysiologic alterations in atria that could facilitate the occurrence of this arrhythmia. Experimental research is necessary to detect the time course of such alterations, e.g., atrial propagation time, refractory period, and early or delayed afterdepolarizations, during the first critical postoperative days at which POAF often occurs.
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