Physical Activity May Facilitate Diabetes Prevention in Adolescents

Amy S. Thomas, MPH1
Lori F. Greene, MS1
Jamy D. Ard, MD1
Robert A. Oster, PhD2
Betty E. Darnell, MS3
Barbara A. Gower, PhD1

OBJECTIVE — The aim of this study was to examine the association of physical activity with glucose tolerance and resting energy expenditure (REE) among adolescents.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Subjects were 32 male and female adolescents aged 12–18 years. Intravenous glucose tolerance (Kg) and REE were assessed under inpatient conditions after an overnight fast. Kg was determined as the inverse slope of time versus (ln) glucose over minutes 8–19 of an intravenous glucose tolerance test. Physical activity was assessed over 8 days using accelerometry (counts per minute).

RESULTS — In multiple linear regression analysis, Kg was positively associated with total physical activity (TPA), moderate physical activity (MPA), and 5-min bouts of MPA. Similarly, REE was positively associated with TPA, MPA, and 5-min bouts of MPA.

CONCLUSIONS — In this population, physical activity was positively related to both glucose tolerance and REE. These results suggest that moderate activity may be beneficial in the prevention of diabetes in adolescent populations both through promoting efficient glucose disposal and through increasing energy expenditure.
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Traditionally, type 2 diabetes has been considered a disease that primarily affects adults; however, in the last decade, there has been an increasing and alarming incidence of type 2 diabetes in adolescent populations. Although type 1 diabetes remains the prevailing form in teens in the U.S., the prevalence of type 2 diabetes is expected to be predominant in many ethnic groups within 10 years (1). The first large-scale, population-based study of diabetes in American youth, the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study (SEARCH) found that in 2001, 3.5% of the 10- to 19-year-old study population had type 2 diabetes (2). Furthermore, the American Diabetes Association states that one in six overweight adolescents has pre-diabetes (3). The epidemic is imminent; escalating rates of diabetes are paralleling that of the epidemic of childhood obesity (4).

There is, however, convincing evidence in adults that increased physical activity can prevent or delay the development of type 2 diabetes. Large adult prevention trials such as the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) (5) and the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Group (6) showed that intensive lifestyle interventions, including exercise, were 58% more effective in retarding progression from impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) to diabetes than the control. Remarkably, lifestyle intervention in the DPP study also resulted in 39% less incidence of diabetes than pharmacological intervention (metformin) (5). The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study (7) showed that exercise alone reduced risk of disease progression by 46%. There have been no trials in pediatric populations to evaluate progression of IGT to diabetes. Whether the results of prevention trials in adults can be extrapolated to adolescents is not clear.

Randomized, controlled clinical trials reiterate the conclusions drawn from prevention trials with physiological evidence. Exercise has been shown to enhance insulin signaling and, consequently, increase the rate of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake by GLUT 4 glucose transporter proteins (8). Independent of insulin signaling, muscle contraction also results in increased abundance and redistribution of GLUT 4 (9), the promotion of muscle mass, capillary recruitment (10), and capillary proliferation (11) in muscles and a higher proportion of insulin-sensitive muscle fiber types (12), thereby increasing overall insulin sensitivity (13). Current research suggests that exercise promotes partitioning of excessive fatty acid uptake within the muscle to triglycerides as opposed to fatty acid intermediates known to ultimately induce insulin resistance (8).

In addition to acute promotion of glucose uptake, chronic exercise may decrease the risk for type 2 diabetes via increasing energy expenditure, thereby limiting gains in fat mass. Exercise can increase energy expenditure directly related to the exercise bout, leisure-time energy expenditure (14), and resting energy expenditure (REE). The increase in REE can occur because of both an increase in skeletal muscle mass (15) and, with vigorous exercise, excess postexercise oxygen consumption for 24–48 h (16).

The purpose of this study was to examine the association of physical activity, as assessed by accelerometry, with both glucose tolerance and REE in an adolescent population. Specifically, we hypothesized that time and intensity of physical activity would be positively associated with both glucose tolerance (Kg) and REE.
Activity and diabetes are related in teens

cents taking medications known to affect body composition or physical activity (e.g., prednisone, Ritalin, or growth hormone) and diagnoses of syndromes known to affect body composition/fat distribution (e.g., Cushing’s syndrome, Down’s syndrome, type 1 and 2 diabetes, or hypothyroidism). Children with any confounding medical conditions, acute or chronic, were also excluded from participation. All current subjects of the parent study were given the opportunity to participate in this substudy.

Participants in the substudy were 32 African American and Caucasian adolescents between the ages of 12 and 18 years (56% female and 47% African American). Further, there were 10 Caucasian male, 8 Caucasian female, 4 African American male, and 10 African American female subjects. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Use at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. Each subject signed an assent form, and the guardian signed a consent form before enrollment.

Protocol
All data were collected during one inpatient visit to the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC) at the UAB Hospital and Clinics and one follow-up visit 8 days later. On the afternoon of admission to the GCRC, body composition was assessed using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in the Energy Metabolism Laboratory at the UAB Department of Nutrition Sciences. The morning after admission consisted of measurement of REE by indirect calorimetry, which primarily reflects glucose uptake and utilization by skeletal muscle. Glucose tolerance is the rate at which glucose declines after administration, which primarily reflects glucose uptake and utilization by skeletal muscle. Glucose tolerance was assessed because it captures several processes that affect glucose disposal, including insulin sensitivity, β-cell responsiveness, hepatic insulin extraction, insulin suppression of glucose production, vascularization of skeletal muscle, and skeletal muscle perfusion. All of these processes may be affected by aspects of physiology, metabolism, and the environment. Thus, glucose tolerance is an integrated measure of numerous processes that affect the ability to dispose of glucose.

Free-living physical activity was assessed with Computer Science and Applications ActiGraph monitors (model 7164, version 2.2, MTI Health Services, Fort Walton Beach, FL). The monitor is a small, lightweight, unidirectional accelerometer that measures vertical acceleration and deceleration. “Counts” are the summation of the accelerations measured in 1 minute, and acceleration is measured 10 times/s. Therefore, 600 measurements are summed and recorded at the end of 1 minute.

Parent and teen were given verbal and written instructions for wearing the ActiGraph, and an investigator secured the monitor above the iliac crest of the right hip with an elastic band before discharge from the GCRC. The monitor was programmed to begin collecting data at 12:00 P.M. on the day of discharge. The subject wore the activity monitor 24 h/day for 8.5 days, except when swimming and bathing. The first 12 h of data were not analyzed but regarded as a period of adaptation. The outcome variables were total body movement (counts per day), which is an indicator of the total volume of physical activity, and time (minutes per day) spent at different activity intensity categories. At the end of the collection period, counts were categorized to the following groups: 1) <1,952 counts = <2.99 METS (walking >24 min/mile) = light activity; 2) 1,953–5,724 counts = 3.0–5.99 METS (walking 15–24 min/mile) = moderate activity; 3) 5,725–9,498 counts = 6.0–8.99 METS (jogging 8–15 min/mile) = hard activity; and 4) >9,499 counts = >9.0 METS (running <8 min/mile) = very hard activity (17). Mean numbers of 5-, 10-, and 20-min bouts per day of moderate physical activity were also calculated.

Body composition
Total fat mass and fat-free mass (FFM) were determined via dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry using a Lunar Prodigy densitometer (with software version 6.10.029; GE-Lunar, Madison, WI). Subjects were scanned in the supine position with their hands placed at their sides. For the purposes of this article, we will refer to measures of fat-free soft tissue as FFM. At the GCRC, height and weight were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm and kg, respectively. A standardized stadiometer and a Scale-Tronix digital scale were used for measurements.

REE
Each adolescent fasted for at least 8 hours after an evening admission. Each participant’s resting metabolic rate was measured using a Delta Trac II Metabolic Cart (SensorMedics, Anaheim, CA) in the morning immediately upon awakening. After calibration using standard gases, the clear plastic canopy was placed over the subject’s head. After a 5-min acclimation period, respiratory gas exchange was measured for 25 min, and the average REE was calculated.

An in-house, quality control, alcohol burn test was performed quarterly on the Delta Trac instrument or whenever questions or problems arose. At all times during the project period, the instrument generated respiratory quotient values between 0.64 and 0.69, which are reflective of accurate function, as indicated in the manufacturer’s guidelines. In addition, the instrument was serviced annually by the manufacturer to assure accurate function and calibration.

Intravenous glucose tolerance test
At approximately 7:00 A.M., after subjects had fasted for 12 h, flexible intravenous catheters were placed in the antecubital spaces of both arms. At time “zero,” glucose (300 mg/kg) was administered intravenously. At minute 20 after glucose administration, subjects received a 5-min infusion of insulin (0.02 unit/kg). Blood samples were collected at −30, −15, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 28, 30, 33, 40, 50, 60, 70, and 240 min relative to glucose injection. Sera subsequently were analyzed for glucose and insulin, and values were entered into the MINMOD computer program (version 3.0, Richard N. Bergman) for determination of the insulin sensitivity index (SI) and the acute insulin response to glucose. Acute insulin response to glucose is the integrated incremental area under the curve for insulin during the first 10 min of the test. The average of the −30- and −15-min glucose and insulin values was used for determination of basal glucose and insulin concentrations. Intravenous glucose tolerance (Kg, percent per minute) was determined from the inverse slope of the regression line of time (minutes) versus ln glucose (milligrams per deciliter) from minute 8 through minute 19 of the test. A higher number implies higher (“better”) glucose tolerance. Intravenous glucose tolerance is the rate at which glucose declines after administration, which primarily reflects glucose uptake and utilization by skeletal muscle.
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Table 1—Baseline demographic and metabolic characteristics: all subjects combined and by ethnic and sex groups

|                         | Total population | Caucasian | African American | Male | Female |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------|--------|
| n                       | 32               | 18        | 14               | 14   | 18     |
| Age (years)             | 16.0 ± 1.6       | 16.1 ± 1.3| 15.9 ± 2.0       | 15.9 ± 1.5 | 16.1 ± 1.8 |
| Tanner stage            | 4.8 ± 0.5        | 4.8 ± 0.5 | 4.9 ± 0.3        | 4.9 ± 0.4 | 4.8 ± 0.5 |
| Weight-for-height percentile | 66.2 ± 32.1   | 57.4 ± 37.1| 77.3 ± 20.4     | 60.1 ± 31.0 | 70.8 ± 33.0 |
| Total fat mass (kg)     | 23.4 ± 15.5      | 22.2 ± 15.8| 24.9 ± 15.6     | 15.8 ± 15.0 | 29.3 ± 13.5 |
| % Fat                   | 29.5 ± 14.2      | 30.0 ± 13.7| 28.9 ± 15.4     | 19.7 ± 12.4 | 37.5 ± 10.1 |
| Total FFM (kg)          | 45.7 ± 12.1      | 45.6 ± 10.5| 45.8 ± 14.3     | 54.1 ± 8.7 | 39.2 ± 10.3 |
| REE (kcal/day)          | 1,565 ± 253      | 1,602 ± 309| 1,524 ± 171     | 1,688 ± 283 | 1,471 ± 185 |
| $S_1$ ($\times 10^{-3}$, min$^{-1}$/mU/ml) | 3.41 ± 1.87 | 3.46 ± 2.15 | 3.36 ± 1.49 | 3.77 ± 2.12 | 3.12 ± 1.65 |
| $K_g$ (%/min)           | 2.15 ± 1.21      | 1.83 ± 0.87 | 2.57 ± 1.47     | 1.63 ± 0.67 | 2.56 ± 1.38 |

Data are means ± SD. *Significantly different between male and female subjects ($P < 0.05$).

Assay of glucose and insulin
Analyses were performed in the Core Laboratory of the GCRC and the Clinical Nutrition Research Center at UAB. Glucose was measured in 10 μL of sera using an Ektachem DT II System (Johnson & Johnson Clinical Diagnostics). Insulin was assayed in duplicate 100-μL aliquots with Linco Research Products (St. Charles, MO) reagents. In the Core Laboratory, this assay has a sensitivity of 3.35 mU/mL, a mean intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) of 3.49%, and a mean interassay CV of 5.57%. Commercial quality control sera of low, medium, and high insulin concentration are included in every assay to monitor variation over time.

Statistical methods
Descriptive statistics were computed for the physical activity variables, REE, metabolic variables, and demographic variables. $K_g$ and $S_1$ values were log transformed (using a log$_{10}$ scale) to follow an approximate normal distribution. Differences between ethnic groups and sex were examined (separately) using two-group tests or the two-group test for unequal variances as appropriate. Relationships between physical activity variables and $K_g$ were examined using Pearson correlation analysis. Multiple linear regression models were developed for predicting $K_g$ and REE. For the $K_g$ model, the independent variables were total physical activity (TPA), very hard physical activity (VHPA), hard physical activity (HPA), moderate physical activity (MPA), and 5-min bouts of MPA, each used in a separate model; covariates used in all models were race, sex, and total fat mass. Although race and fat mass are not significant in the model, both are known determinants of glucose metabolism and therefore $K_g$. We elected a priori to include both. For the REE model, independent variables were TPA, VHPA, HPA, MPA, and 5-min bouts of MPA, each used in a separate model; covariates used in all models were race, sex, and total fat mass. Race was a determinant of total activity counts and was significantly higher in African American teens than in Caucasian teens. Race was not a determinant of minutes spent in TPA, MPA, HPA, or VHPA.

Multiple linear regression analysis indicated significant, independent associations between $K_g$ and TPA ($P = 0.026$) (Fig. 1), MPA ($P = 0.031$), and 5-min bouts of MPA ($P = 0.035$). HPA and VHPA did not make significant contributions to $K_g$ ($P = 0.717$ and $P = 0.830$, respectively) (data not shown).

A positive, independent relationship was observed between REE and TPA ($P = 0.016$) (Fig. 2), MPA ($P = 0.032$), HPA ($P = 0.040$), and 5-min bouts of MPA ($P = 0.011$). VHPA was not indepen-
CONCLUSIONS — The aim of this study was to examine the association of physical activity with $K_g$ and REE in an adolescent sample. In this study, physical activity was positively associated with both $K_g$ and REE. The relationship between physical activity and carbohydrate metabolism is well established in adult populations; however, this research provides new information in teenaged populations and may be important as obesity-related diseases, such as diabetes, expand into this age-group. Further research is needed to determine whether moderate physical activity can decrease the risk for obesity and glucose intolerance in this population.

The significant differences in percent body fat, FFM, and REE between male and female subjects in this study verify findings of previous research in an adolescent population (18). We did not see REE differences between ethnicities in this study. Similarly, our previous research in young children indicated that REE was similar in African American and Caucasian children (19). Other literature showed that Caucasian teens as a group had higher REE than African American teens, but when analyzed for sex, Caucasian boys had lower REE than African American boys, whereas the opposite was true for girls (18). It is possible that the reported ethnic difference in REE is sex-specific and that it develops during adolescence.

Assessment of $K_g$ in an adolescent population is a novel undertaking. In this study, $K_g$ was higher in girls than in boys, independent of body composition, race, and physical activity. Sex differences in aspects of glucose metabolism have been attributed to an estrogenic hormonal environment. Estrogen stimulates skeletal muscle glucose uptake (20), which is reported to be greater in women than in men (21). This study suggests that sex differences in glucose metabolism are apparent in adolescence.

The study sample engaged in $\approx 40$ min of physical activity per day, the majority spent in a moderate level of physical activity. Less than 5 min/day were spent in HPA and $<1$ min/day in VHPA. This cohort engaged in few bouts of HPA or VHPA, as shown by the mean bouts per day $<1$. Others have shown that boys and girls in grades 1–12 exhibited few bouts of vigorous physical activity (22). In our study sample, male subjects engaged in significantly more physical activity than female subjects, also consistent with other literature reports (23). When we compared the TPA of Caucasians and African Americans, there were no statistically significant differences. Other literature reports also suggested greater similarities in physical activity among ethnic groups than sex groups, although African American adolescent populations were less active than Caucasian (24).

We saw a significant association between $K_g$ and TPA (Fig. 1), MPA, and 5-min bouts of MPA. Exercise is a major mediator of glucose transport activity in muscle, and this occurs through an increase in the maximal velocity of transport (13). Another major mechanism that increases glucose uptake through exercise is the translocation of glucose transporter proteins from an intracellular compartment to the surface of the cell. Because exercise affects glucose transport through several mechanisms, we do not know the exact mechanism responsible for the relationship observed in this study between physical activity and $K_g$. The absence of
relationships in this study of $K_c$ with HPA and VHPA may have been due to the minimal amounts of these activities performed by this study sample.

Our results indicate that, as TPA (Fig. 2), MPA, and HPA increased, an adolescent’s mass-specific REE increased. Data from adults also indicate that exercise can increase REE, adjusted for FFM (16). Our observation of a positive association between physical activity and REE suggests that promotion of movement, such as leisure-time activity and planned exercise, among adolescents may be a particularly useful means of combating obesity within this age-group. However, further research is needed to determine whether an intervention to increase physical activity will likewise increase REE.

Strengths of the study include robust measures of $K_c$ and time spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity. Limitations include a relatively small sample size ($n = 32$), which may have limited our ability to detect racial differences among outcomes of interest. In particular, the small number of African American male subjects may have constrained the outcomes further. A limitation of this and all cross-sectional studies is that causality cannot be inferred from statistical relationships. Further research is needed to determine whether a physical activity intervention is associated with changes in REE and $K_c$. In addition, the ActiGraph may underestimate sedentary and light intensity physical activity.

In summary, adolescents in this study engaged primarily in MPA, with very little HPA or VHPA. Physical activity was significantly associated with $K_c$ and REE. Longitudinal studies with a larger population are needed to determine whether an increase in physical activity results in increases in REE and $K_c$ and, if so, to examine the relevant mechanisms involved. Likewise, further research is needed to determine whether consistent physical activity increases REE and limits obesity in the adolescent population.
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