Stress of Five Heavy Metals on the Resistance of Isolates from Swine Wastewater to Four Antibiotics
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Abstract

Co-existence of heavy metals and antibiotics becomes increasingly common in environmental pollution. To investigate the stress of heavy metals on microbial resistance to antibiotics, fifty-six strains of bacteria were initially isolated from some swine water in Guangzhou city, based on their resistance to four antibiotics (cefradine, norfloxacin, amoxicillin, tetracycline) and five heavy metals (Pb2+, Cr(VI), Hg2+, Cu2+, Zn2+), a gram-negative isolate, Pseudomonas putida XX6, was selected to study the detail stress rules of heavy metals on its resistance to antibiotics. The antibiotics incidences of these isolates were in the order of norfloxacin>amoxicillin>cefradine>tetracycline, and that of P. putida XX6 was cefradine>amoxicillin>tetracycline>norfloxacin. The addition of heavy metals made all isolates’ resistance to antibiotics decrease, and Cr(VI) impacted their resistance to norfloxacin most obviously. If the concentration of heavy metals was the most important factor affecting the resistance of P. putida XX6 to the antibiotics? There was a positive correlation between the bacterial resistances to antibiotics and heavy metals of low concentrations, and the correlation turned to negative with the concentrations of heavy metals increasing. But the bacterial resistance to amoxicillin or cefradine remained irrelevant to the concentrations of Cr (VI) or Pb2+. Results showed that the combined effect of antibiotics and heavy metals could alter their individual effect on bio-toxicity as well as on the biological removal capability of pollutants.
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Introduction

The large-scale application of veterinary antibiotics in livestock industry makes swine wastewater a major source of antibiotics pollution [1]. And abuse of antibiotics (overuse or misuse) has been shown to be a major factor in emergence of bacterial resistance to antimicrobials [2]. Genetic diversity and neutral or silent changes within genes are responsible for drug resistance [3] and microbes can acquire drug resistance by gene mutation or horizontal gene transformation, which makes diseases more difficult and expensive to be diagnosed and treated [4]. Furthermore, increase of drug resistant microbes in ecosystem may lead to worldwide public health issues. The mineral feed and the corrosion of metallic installations could be major sources of heavy metals in swine wastewater [5]. Bacteria could develop stable resistance to heavy metals after long term exposure at low levels [6].

The environment complex-polluted by heavy metals and antibiotics may lead to the enrichment of resistant bacteria [7-10] through collaborative- or cross-resistance to heavy metals or co-regulation of resistance pathways [11]. Some mechanisms of heavy metal tolerance might be linked to the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and even affect the bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Therefore, co-existence of antibiotics and heavy metals may cause a more serious environmental problem due to the stress of heavy metals on the microbial resistance to antibiotics.

Heavy metal contaminants are used for selective proliferation of antibiotic resistance based on the co-selection mechanism [12]. And there were still few reports about the impacts of heavy metals on the bacterial antibiotic resistance, and the detail effect of heavy metal types and concentrations on bacterial resistance to antibiotics were significant and worth researching. In the present paper, the isolates from swine wastewater are investigated on their resistances to some antibiotics and heavy metals, and the study on one of the isolates aim to reveal the stress of heavy metals on the bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Results will draw great attention to the risk of complex pollution of heavy metals and antibiotics, and give guide for the bioremediation techniques of the contaminated sites by heavy metals and antibiotics.

Materials and Methods

Materials

- The resistant strains were isolated from some swine wastewater of a livestock husbandry in Guangzhou, China.
- The stock solutions of 100 mg/mL of Pb2+, Cr(VI), Hg2+, Cu2+ and Zn2+ were prepared by dissolving Pb (NO3)2, K2Cr2O7, HgSO4, CuSO4·5H2O, ZnSO4·7H2O in the deionized water and HgSO4 in 10% H2SO4 solution. The stock solutions were stored at 4°C and added in the basic medium to study the bacterial resistance to heavy metals.
- The stock solutions containing 640 µg/mL of tetracycline, amoxicillin, norfloxacin and cefradine were prepared by dissolving amoxicillin or cefradine or tetracycline powder in the PSB buffer, norfloxacin powder in 1 mol/L NaOH solution. These solutions were also stored at 4°C no more than 12 h. The isolates were inoculated on the Mueller–Hinton agar (Oxoid) medium containing a certain volume of the stock solutions to study their resistance.
- Four kinds of antimicrobial discs: Tetracycline (30 µg), amoxicillin
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(20 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), and cefradine (30 µg) used in the present paper were bought from Hangzhou Tianhe Microorganism Reagent Co. Ltd.

The basic medium was prepared by dissolving 3 g of beef extract, 10 g of peptone, 5 g of sodium chloride and 20 g of agar in 1 L deionized water, and the terminal pH ranged from 7.0 to 7.2.

The density of the bacterial suspension used in this research was about 10^8 cfu/mL.

**Methods**

**Isolation of the strains:** Antibiotic resistant strains were obtained by the method of ten-fold serial dilution and screened by inoculating onto Mueller–Hinton agar media added with 1.0 µg/mL of antibiotics (tetracycline or amoxicillin or norfloxacin or cefradine) and heavy metals (10 µg/mL of Pb^{2+} or Cr(VI) or Hg^{2+} or Cu^{2+} or Zn^{2+}). After an incubation of 24 h at 37°C, morphological observations were recorded and biochemical tests were done on bacterial isolates.

**Determination of the tolerance to heavy metals and antibiotics:** Five stock solutions containing Pb^{2+}, Cr(VI), Hg^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} were added into the basic medium and the final concentrations of each heavy metal were modulated in the range of 0 to 15 mg/mL, and the final concentrations of each antibiotic were modulated in the range of 0 to 10 µg/mL. 0.1 ml of bacterial suspension was spread onto the basic medium with heavy metal and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) with these five heavy metals and these four antibiotics were determined by the agar dilution method, and the control strain was Escherichia coli K-12. The concentration range of heavy metals for the following cross-resistance experiments was determined based on the MICs.

**Cross-resistance experiments:** The bacterial cross-resistances to heavy metals and antibiotics were determined by a modified Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method. The bacterial susceptibility to these four antibiotics was assessed by the disk diffusion method, according to the guidelines from the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), using sensi-disks (Becton–Dickinson) on Mueller–Hinton agar (Oxoid) plates. Based on the results of MIC tests, different volume of the stock solutions were added into the sterilized Mueller–Hinton agar medium at 60°C to get the testing medium containing heavy metal and the terminal concentration of the heavy metal in these plates were 0, 0.01, 0.2, 1, 5, 10, 15 mg/mL, respectively. 0.1 mL bacterial suspension was spread on the media and then three pieces of same antibiotic disc were placed onto the plates. After a culture period of 24 h at 37°C, the influences of heavy metals types and concentrations on the bacterial antibiotic resistance were concluded according to the inhibition zone diameters and the bacterial growth.

**Statistical analysis:** Statistical evaluation of the data was conducted using SPSS Version 17.0 (SPSS), where any statistical probability equal to or less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

**The dominant strains and their resistance**

A total of 56 antibiotic resistant bacteria were isolated from the swine wastewater, and the ratio between gram positive bacteria and gram negative bacteria was 3:4. The incidence of antibiotic resistance bacteria was shown in Figure 1. The bacterial resistance to these antibiotics from strong to weak was in the order as: norfloxacin, amoxicillin, cefradine and tetracycline, which was consistent with the antibacterial spectrum of each antibiotic and the bacterial characteristics.

The incidences of the cross-resistant bacteria to antibiotic and heavy metal were shown in Figure 2. Based on Figure 1 and Figure 2, it could be noted that the number of strains with resistance to both antibiotic and heavy metal decreased by 8.93%-71.42% with the addition of heavy metals. More than 50% isolates could resist to the combination of amoxicillin and Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+}, norfloxacin and Hg^{2+}, tetracycline and Zn^{2+} or Cu^{2+}. The addition of Cr(VI) decreased the incidence of norfloxacin resistant strains from 85.71% to 14.29%. The bacterial resistance to cefradine was significantly inhibited by the addition of Pb^{2+} and Cr(VI), which could be due to the high toxicity of some heavy metals or the increased inhibitory effects from the combination of heavy metals and antibiotics.

**The testing strain and its MICs**

Since the bacterial resistance varied with strain and its growth status, to study the bacterial resistance to antibiotic and heavy metal simultaneously, one of the 56 strains, identified as Pseudomonas putida XX6, was focused on in the present paper due to its strong resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals.

The MICs of Pb^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+} and Cr(VI) were 10 mg/mL, while that of Hg^{2+} was 5 mg/mL; MICs of the antibiotics were 5 µg/mL for amoxicillin, 4 µg/mL for norfloxacin, 6.25 µg/mL for cefradine and 5...
µg/mL for tetracycline. And the resistance to these four antibiotics was in the order of cefradine > amoxicillin > tetracycline > norfloxacin.

### Discussion

The pollution of heavy metals from industrial and mining activities attracts extensive attention worldwide and intense arguments on its persistence and bio-toxicity. Abuse of antibiotics in livestock husbandry to prevent and cure diseases has resulted in significant amount of antibiotics being exported into the natural environment, which eventually becomes a potential threat to the public health. Co-existence of heavy metals and antibiotics has become a universal phenomenon in the polluted and natural environment. In the present paper, among the 56 isolates from the swine wastewater, the ratio of gram negative to gram positive bacteria was about 4:3, which was almost similar to the clinical data of isolates. In the last few decades, continuous studies were conducted on resistant microorganisms and there was a wide variety of sites where lived the antibiotic resistant microorganisms [8,13-16].

By statistical analysis on the strain numbers resistant to each antibiotic, the general resistance of the isolates from some swine wastewater to these four antibiotics was in the order of norfloxacin > amoxicillin > cefradine > tetracycline. While Matyar et al. [11] studied the resistance of 236 Gram-negative bacteria isolates (from seawater, sediment and shrimps in the industrially polluted Iskenderun Bay) to 16 different antibiotics and 5 heavy metals and found the incidence of resistance to ampicillin was the biggest, while that of cephalin was the least. Vaseeharan et al. [17] also found that the isolates from shrimp culture hatcheries and ponds in India showed high degree of resistance to ampicillin and there also was a tendency towards a high frequency of ampicillin resistance among all the heavy metals resistant isolates. So the habitat and the microbial population were the main effect factors on the resistant characteristics. The addition of Cr (VI) reduced the incidence of norfloxacin resistance strains from 85.71% to 14.29%. More than 50% of these isolates could resist to amoxicillin and Zn2+ or Cu2+, norfloxacin and Hg2+, tetracycline and Zn2+ or Cu2+ simultaneously, while the bacterial resistance to cefradine decreased significantly with the concentration of Pb2+ and Cr (VI) increasing, which could be explained as the lowered or heightened toxicity of the co-existence of heavy metals and antibiotics. Akiyama and Savin [18] also found that the antibiotics resistance levels in surface water could be affected by other pollutants including heavy metal in effluent, but the detailed mechanisms remained unclear and there were few studies focusing on the incidence of antibiotic and heavy metal resistance in bacteria.

Since the antibiotic resistance of gram-negative bacteria has got more attention than the gram-positive bacteria, especially in hospital settings [19], to reveal the detailed relationship between antibiotics resistance and heavy metals resistance, a gram-negative bacterium, *Pseudomonas putida* XX6, was selected and studied. There was a positive correlation between the bacterial resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals of lower concentrations, while the correlation...
turned to negative with the concentration of heavy metals increasing, which applied to most combinations of antibiotics and heavy metals. And there were still few combinations that showed no significant relationship between the bacterial resistance to the antibiotics and the concentrations of the heavy metals, such as amoxicillin or cefradine and Cr(VI) or Pb\(^{2+}\) of different concentrations. It had been proved that although some heavy metals of trace level is mandatory for the bacterial growth, overloaded heavy metals could affect the growth of bacteria by restraining the activity of protein or enzyme [20]. In addition, mercury had been proved more toxic than cobalt, zinc and lead in terms of weight [21]. Abskharon et al. [22] also found that total protein content of *E. coli* ASU3 decreased and the induction of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, peroxidase and ascorbate peroxidase increased with the copper concentration increasing, and the bacterial toxicity order was Cr(VI)>Cu\(^{2+}\)>Co\(^{2+}\)>Pb\(^{2+}\)>Ni\(^{2+}\)>Cr\(^{3+}\)>Gd\(^{3+}\)>Zn\(^{2+}\). And the resistance of *Pseudomonas putida* XX6 to the four antibiotics and five heavy metals might be synergistic or antagonistic, which depended on the type and concentration of the co-existed heavy metal.

The biological resistance mechanism towards heavy metal or antibiotics has been studied for several decades. Based on the complete genome sequencing of *Cupriavidus* sp. strain BIS7 and BLAST, a number of proteins involved in heavy-metal resistance had been identified, such as CzcE [involved in Cd(II), Zn(II), and Co(III) resistance] and ZntA [P-type ATPase involved in Zn(II), Cd(II), Tl(I), and Pb(II) resistance] [23]. Though the bacterial resistance to heavy metals is considered to be similar to that of antibiotics, and the resistant genes are always located in some mobile elements such as plasmid, there are still lack of detailed explanations on the mechanism of cross resistance to heavy metals and antibiotics. Both contaminants could activate some antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT), which are helpful to overcome oxidative stress and help bacteria to survive under pressure [24,25]. Apart from inducing proteins, efflux pumps might also be responsible for the cross-resistance between heavy metals and antibiotics [26], which explains why the antibiotic resistance can be strengthened by some heavy metals of certain concentrations. Other reasons for collaborative resistance might result from some unknown chemical reactions between heavy metals and antibiotics or the decomposed product of antibiotics; heavy metals might modify the target site of action to induce its affinity with antibiotics. As complexion of heavy metals and antibiotics occurred, the overall combination had decreased toxicity to bacteria, giving rise to co-resistance. According to Zhang et al. [27], when heavy metal and antibiotic reacted to form a by-product of lower toxicity, bacterial resistance would appear stronger; however, the higher the toxicity of the by-product was, the weaker the bacterial resistance was. The degree of complexion varied with the heavy metals concentration, which might be due to the microbial cross-resistance to heavy metals and antibiotics. Some heavy metals at lower concentrations could cause dysfunction in some proteins such as some metallothionein-like protein, which did not enhance resistance but instead, it caused resistance losing. It
was deduced that the heavy metals might damage enzyme by toxic reaction with antibiotics. The production of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) enhanced with the heavy metals concentration increasing, the balance between ROS and the antioxidant enzymes was broken and the excess ROS was likely to reduce the effect of toxicity by oxidation of antibiotics or their derivatives [25]. In conclusion, all the chemical reaction, genetic expression, induced enzyme and change of pathway would strengthen or weaken the resistance to the heavy metals and antibiotics. Co-existence of heavy metal and antibiotic could change the bacterial resistance and even might increase the environmental risk, which based on the type and concentration of the stress factors.

Conclusion
A total of 56 bacterial strains were isolated from some swine wastewater, and the incidence of these 56 bacteria’s resistance to antibiotics was in the order of norfloxacin > amoxicillin > cefradine > tetrazycline. The number of resistant strains to both antibiotic and heavy metal decreased by 8.93%-71.42% due to the addition of heavy metals. Cr (VI) affected the bacterial resistance to norfloxacin most obviously. *Pseudomonas putida* XX6, one of the isolates, could resist the four antibiotics in the descending order was cefradine > amoxicillin = tetracycline > norfloxacin. The heavy metal concentration is a dominant factor impacting on the strains’ resistance to antibiotics. There was a positive correlation between the bacterial resistance to antibiotics and lower concentrations of heavy metals (no more than 0.01 mg/mL of Pb<sup>2+</sup> or Cu<sup>2+</sup> or Zn<sup>2+</sup>, 0.2 mg/mL of Cr(VI) or Hg<sup>2+</sup>), while the correlation turned to negative with the concentration of heavy metals increasing, which applied to most combinations of antibiotics and heavy metals. It can be concluded that bioremediation and public health risk of antibiotics would be affected by the heavy metals.
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