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Abstract

Xenopus is an excellent tetrapod model for studying normal and pathological motoneuron ontogeny due to its developmental morpho-physiological advantages. In mammals, the urotensin II-related peptide (UTS2B) gene is primarily expressed in motoneurons of the brainstem and the spinal cord. Here, we show that this expression pattern was conserved in Xenopus and established during the early embryonic development, starting at the early tailbud stage. In late tadpole stage, uts2b mRNA was detected both in the hindbrain and in the spinal cord. Spinal uts2b+ cells were identified as axial motoneurons. In adult, however, the uts2b expression was only detected in the hindbrain. We assessed the ability of the uts2b promoter to drive the expression of a fluorescent reporter in motoneurons by recombineering a green fluorescent protein (GFP) into a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clone containing the entire X. tropicalis uts2b locus. After injection of this construction in one-cell stage embryos, a transient GFP expression was observed in the spinal cord of about a quarter of the resulting animals from the early tailbud stage and up to juveniles. The GFP expression pattern was globally consistent with that of the endogenous uts2b in the spinal cord but no fluorescence was observed in the brainstem. A combination of histological and electrophysiological approaches was employed to further characterize the GFP+ cells in the larvae. More than 98% of the GFP+ cells expressed choline acetyltransferase, while their projections were co-localized with α-bungarotoxin labeling. When tail myotomes were injected with rhodamine dextran amine crystals, numerous double-stained GFP+ cells were observed. In addition, intracellular electrophysiological recordings of GFP+ neurons revealed locomotion-related rhythmic discharge patterns during fictive swimming. Taken
together our results provide evidence that uts2b is an appropriate driver to express reporter genes in larval motoneurons of the Xenopus spinal cord.

Introduction

Generation of transgenic lines with promoter-specific fluorescent reporter proteins has significantly advanced neurobiological research by enabling the visualization of neuronal subsets in vivo. For genetic engineering of such animals, mice have long been the most frequently used experimental vertebrate model [1]. However, organisms such as zebrafish and Xenopus have recently emerged as alternative systems and are now being widely exploited to study human neurological diseases as well as to screen for potential therapeutics [2–5]. Several features of these species make them particularly amenable for neurodevelopmental and neurophysiological investigations including their rapid development rate as free-living larvae, their transparency allowing easy visualization of internal structures and cells in live animals, and the general organization of their central nervous system (CNS) which is quite similar to other vertebrate species, including human. Moreover, high-quality and well annotated sequenced genomes exist for both species [6, 7] and many readily available genome editing technologies have been successfully adapted to them [8–11]. Xenopus, as a tetrapod, offers the added advantage of being much more closely related to mammals than the zebrafish. This proximity is especially important when studying the neural control of processes that have no genuine equivalent in fish, such as legged terrestrial locomotion [12].

For engineering useful transgenic reporter genes, a crucial step is to choose the correct sequences which will drive the fluorescent reporter in the neuronal cell type of interest. In the case of motoneurons, the regulatory sequences of several genes encoding transcription factors involved in motoneuron specification, such as HB9 (also named Mnx1), ISLET-1 (ISL1) and OLIG2, were shown to be particularly well suited, especially in zebrafish [13–15]. In Xenopus, generation of transgenic motoneuronal reporter lines using hb9 as a driver is currently in progress [16, NP, unpublished results]. Despite their great interest, all these genes have the disadvantage of also being expressed in other neurons than motoneurons, not only in the brain but also in the spinal cord. Typically, olig2 is expressed within the progenitor domain that gives rise both to motoneurons and oligodendrocytes [17]. Likewise, isl1 and hb9 are expressed in motoneurons as well as in some sensory neurons and/or interneurons [18, 19].

Urotensin II (UTS2) and urotensin II-related peptide, also known as urotensin 2B (UTS2B), are two structurally and phylogenetically related neuropeptides [20, 21] that, at least in tetrapods, are mainly expressed in motoneurons of the brainstem and the spinal cord [22–29]. UTS2 and UTS2B have been shown to be of biological importance, playing an important role in the regulation of behavior, neuroendocrine activities, and central and peripheral control of blood pressure and heart rate [30–33]. In mammals, all these effects are mediated by only one receptor known as UT, which is the reason why the proper effects of each peptide are often difficult to discriminate [21]. Even though the functional significance of their motoneuronal expression is currently poorly understood, UTS2 and UTS2B can be considered as two interesting putative spinal motoneuronal markers.

Here, our aim was to test the ability of the uts2b promoter to drive the expression of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) specifically in motoneurons. Up to now, functional studies on the uts2b promoter have never been carried out in Xenopus. Moreover, sequence analyses did not reveal any conserved motif which would be involved in the motoneuronal expression of...
the uts2b gene. One significant limitation in generating transgenic reporter genes is that the regulatory elements that control the gene transcription can be scattered over large regions, therefore making their identification quite difficult and time consuming, especially when they are not conserved among species. This limitation can be overcome by placing the reporter gene into large-insert clones such as bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) that are thought to contain all the regulatory sequences driving its appropriate expression [34]. For this purpose, we recombined a GFP reporter gene into a BAC clone containing the entire X. tropicalis uts2b locus and employed a recently reported method of BAC injection into X. laevis embryo [35]. In this method, the transgene construct is delivered as a large circular DNA which does not promote its genomic integration. Yet, such large DNA constructs can be replicated and then maintained as episomes over cell divisions. It is therefore believed that the reporter gene can be only transiently transcribed. Combining various histological and electrophysiological approaches, we observed that up to a quarter of injected embryos expressed GFP in a manner consistent with endogenous uts2b expression in spinal cord, i.e. almost exclusively (up to 98%) in motoneurons. Thus, our results showed that uts2b is an appropriate driver to express reporter genes in the Xenopus spinal motoneurons.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Studies were performed on the South African clawed toad X. laevis obtained from the Centre de Ressources Biologiques Xénope in France (CNRS and University of Rennes 1; http://xenopus.univ-rennes1.fr/) and maintained at 20–22°C in aquaria exposed to a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. Embryos were obtained by breeding adult frogs after injection of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) (Chorulon) (400 units/female and 200 units/male) or after in vitro fertilization as described in [36]. Tadpoles were raised in Marc’s Modified Ringers (MMR) solution (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5). Animals were sorted according to the developmental stages outlined by Nieuwkoop and Faber [37]. All procedures were carried out in accordance with and approved by the local ethics committees: the Comité d’Ethique Cuvier du Museum National d’Histoire Naturelle (protocols # 68–019 to HT) and the Comité d’Ethique de Bordeaux en Expérimentation Animale (protocols # 3301100012-A to DLR).

Construction of the uts2b-EGFP BAC transgene

The BAC clone ALN00AAA14YB24 was obtained from a custom BAC library from X. tropicalis Adiopodoume strain genomic DNA prepared in the pEBCAC1 vector (NP, unpublished). All BAC clones from this library were end-sequenced and mapped to the X. tropicalis genome sequence version 7.1. We crossed the mapping information of the uts2b gene obtained from Xenbase (scaffold_5:5523406–5531650) and identified three BAC clones overlapping this genomic region. The BAC ALN00AAA14YB24 was the most interesting because both of its end sequences that could be unambiguously aligned on the genome. Thus ALN00AAA14YB24 contained theoretically ~ 164 kilo-base pairs (kbp) of X. tropicalis genomic DNA including the whole uts2b transcription unit (scaffold_5:5537394–5551119, Genbank: JS994624.1 and JS988846.1). We then estimated ALN00AAA14YB24 BAC DNA insert size by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis after a NotI restriction digest. A single NotI restriction fragment of around 180 +/- 10 kbp was obtained, in agreement with the theoretical expectation. Thus this BAC should contain sequences spanning ~ 136 kbp upstream and ~ 19.5 kbp downstream of the uts2b gene (Fig. 1). On account of its features, it is expected that the ALN00AAA14YB24 clone...
contains most, if not all, regulatory elements necessary to recapitulate the endogenous expression of uts2b in vivo.

The open reading frame of the uts2b gene was replaced by an enhanced GFP (EGFP).FRT-kanamycin-FRT.2polyA cassette by recombineering-based cloning strategy [38]. Two homology arms of roughly 550 bp were chosen and amplified by PCR (see S1 Table for the primer sequences) and assembled in pSKT with NcoI in the middle so that the ATG contained in the NcoI site corresponds to uts2b ATG with minor alterations of the 5'UTR (2 bases substitution upstream of the ATG). The expression cassette was inserted between the recombination arms in the NcoI pivotal site by in-fusion PCR using the In-Fusion HD Cloning kit (Clontech; see S1 Table for the primer sequences) resulting in the positioning of the EGFP ATG in place of the uts2b ATG. BAC ALN0AAA14YB24 DNA was electroporated into recombineering strain SW105 [39]. BAC DNA from resulting clones was analyzed for BAC integrity by restriction profile using EcoRI+NotI and EcoRV+NotI. A good clone was then electroporated with the recombination cassette. Kanamycin resistant recombinant clones were analyzed by restriction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117370.g001
profile for proper cassette integration. A clone satisfying the criteria was then grown on arabi-
nose to induce the Flp recombinase which led to excision of the kanamycin cassette.

In addition to uts2b, the ALN0AAA14YB24 clone contains the complete locus of cdc50 which encodes for an effector of EGF-mediated cell signaling shown to be required for survival of some cell types [40–42]. To avoid possible artifacts due to its overexpression, cdc50 was in-
activated in the reporter BAC through the insertion in the coding sequence of exon1 of stop co-
dons on the 3 reading frames and multimerized polyA signals, further resulting in the

**Generation of transgenic animals**

BAC DNA was purified with Nucleobond BAC100 (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and
dialyzed against microinjection buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1 mM EDTA, 30 µM sper-
mine, 70 µM spermidine, 100 mM NaCl) and stored at 4°C [35, 43]. On the day of injections,
DNA was diluted to 100 ng/µl in sterile water, and further diluted in sperm dilution buffer
(250 mM sucrose, 75 mM KCl, 0.5 mM spermidine trihydrochloride, 0.2 mM spermine tetra-
hydrochloride, pH 7.3–7.5) to the appropriate concentration (5 pg/nl) for injections. Embryos
were injected using a Microinjector 5242 (Eppendorf, Germany) at the one-cell stage with 4 nL
of the solution containing the BAC DNA.

**Synthesis of the riboprobes for in situ hybridization**

To generate the uts2b probe, a PCR fragment of 638 bp was amplified from adult X. laevis
brain and spinal cord RACE-ready cDNA (see S1 Table for the primer sequences) then sub-
cloned into pGEM-T easy (Promega, Charbonnières, France). Sense and antisense digoxigenin
(Dig)-labeled probes were synthesized from the linearized plasmid with the RNA polymerases
T7 and Sp6, respectively, using the RNA Labeling Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim,
Germany).

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization was performed as previously described [44, 45] on whole tadpoles (up to
stage 40), dissected CNS (from stages 48 to 60) or CNS sections (18 µm). To facilitate observa-
tions, whole CNS preparations were longitudinally incised with fine-tip scissors and opened up
like a book. To reveal uts2b expression, probes were detected with anti-Dig antibodies conju-
gated to alkaline phosphatase followed by a chromogenic reaction using a solution of BM Pur-
ple (Roche Diagnostics) or Fast Red (Roche Diagnostics) as substrates. Alternatively, in
particular to ascertain transcript co-localization, probes were detected with antibodies conju-
gated to horseradish peroxidase and were revealed by Tyramide Signal Amplification using
Tyramide-FITC or -TAMRA as substrates. The specificity of the uts2b probe was verified using
the sense uts2b probe as a negative control.

**Immunofluorescence**

Whole-mount fluorescent immunohistochemistry was carried out on dissected and opened
CNS (from stages 48 to 60) or on CNS sections (30 µm), after fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde
(PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3 h at room temperature followed by rinsing
three times for 10 min in PBS. Blocking of non-specific sites was performed for 2 h at room
temperature in PBS, Triton X-100 0.3%, bovine serum albumin 1% (Sigma, St. Quentin Falla-
vier, France). Sampled were then incubated with the primary antibody diluted in the same buff-
er overnight at room temperature. They were then rinsed five times 10 min in PBS, incubated
for 1 h 30 at room temperature with the fluorescently labeled secondary antibody, and washed again five times 10 min in PBS. The primary antibodies were goat anti-choline-acetyltransferase (ChAT; 1:100, Millipore) [46] and rabbit anti-GFP (1:300 dilution, Life technologies). The secondary antibodies were donkey anti-rabbit and anti-goat IgGs coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 546, respectively (1:500, Life Technologies). The specificity of the GFP antibody was verified on wild type animals, while the specificity of secondary antibodies was established by omitting the primary specific antibodies (data not shown).

\(\alpha\)-bungarotoxin staining was performed on whole-mount stage 57 tadpoles fixed in 4% PFA for 1 h at room temperature according to Ymlahi-Ouazzani et al. [47]. Animals were incubated in Alexa 594-conjugated \(\alpha\)-bungarotoxin (10 \(\mu\)g/ml; Life Technologies) overnight at 4°C, then rinsed six times 20 min in PBS, Triton X-100 0.1% at room temperature.

**Combined fluorescent in situ hybridization and immunofluorescence**

In situ hybridization was performed before immunohistochemistry as described above and revealed using FITC- or TAMRA-conjugated tyramide. After several washes in PBS, tissues were submitted to immunochemistry as described above.

**Neuronal retrograde tracing**

Animals were anesthetized in a 0.05% MS-222 water solution and transferred to a Sylgard-lined Petri dish to perform intramuscular dye injections. Spinal motoneurons were retrogradely labeled from various muscles at different critical developmental stages. Hereafter, motoneurons labeled from tail at stage 50 or 55 will be referred to as axial motoneurons, motoneurons labeled from dorsal trunk at stage 60 as thoracic dorsal motoneurons and motoneurons labeled from hindlimb buds at stage 50 and leg muscles at stage 55 or 60 as appendicular motoneurons [48–50]. First, the skin was dried before making a tiny incision to expose the muscles of interest. Crystals of fluorescent dextran amine dyes (Invitrogen) were applied intramuscularly with an insect pin. Either 3 kD rhodamine or 10 kD Alexa fluor 647 were used to be visually compatible with the GFP fluorescence wavelength in transgenic animals. Surplus dye was washed out with an excess of cold Ringer solution (75 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO\(_3\), 2 mM CaCl\(_2\), 2 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 11 mM glucose, pH 7.4). Tadpoles recovered from anesthesia in a water tank and were kept alive for a week to allow tracer migration into the motoneurons. Thereafter, spinal cords were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA for 12 h at 4°C. Neuronal retrograde tracing experiments combined with whole-mount fluorescent immunohistochemistry treatment (for GFP detection) were carried out as previously described in the immunofluorescence section. Preparations were incubated in a 20% sucrose solution (in PB 0.1%) for 24 h at 4°C, then embedded in a tissue-tek solution (VWR-Chemicals) and frozen at −45°C in isopentane. 30 \(\mu\)m cross-sections were made using a Leica Cryostat.

**Electrophysiology**

Electrophysiological recordings of spinal motor activity were made on isolated CNS preparations obtained from uts2b-GFP transgenic X. laevis tadpoles at stage 55–57. After anesthesia in 0.05% MS-222 the brainstem and the spinal cord were dissected out in a cold oxygenated Ringer solution (see composition above). Then, the isolated brainstem-spinal cord preparation was transferred to a Sylgard-lined recording chamber (volume ~2.5 mL) to be continuously superfused at a rate of ~2 mL/min in an oxygenated Ringer solution maintained at ~17°C. Spontaneous fictive locomotor activity was recorded from the 10–15th ventral roots using borosilicate glass suction electrodes (tip diameter = 100 nm; Clark GC 120F; Harvard Apparatus), filled with the Ringer solution. The recorded signal was amplified (A-M system), rectified and
integrated (time constant 100 ms; Neurolog System). Spinal GFP+ motoneurons were visualized within the whole-mount spinal cord (dorsal-side opened) using a differential interference contrast with an infrared video camera and a standard epifluorescent illumination system (FITC filter). Simultaneously to the spinal ventral root recordings, whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of GFP+ motoneurons were performed with a borosilicate glass patch-clamp electrode (pipette resistance = 5–6 MΩ; Clark GC 150TF; Harvard Apparatus) and filled with a solution containing 100 mM K-gluconate, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, 3 mM Na₂ATP, 0.5 mM NaGTP, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3. The intracellular signal was acquired using an Axoclamp 2A amplifier (Molecular Devices). All electrophysiological signals were computer-stored using a digitizer interface (Digidata 1440; Pclamp10 software; Molecular Devices) and analyzed offline using the Clampfit software (Molecular Devices).

**Image acquisition**

Samples stained by fluorescent probes and/or antibodies were acquired using laser scanning confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 510) at wavelengths of 405, 488, 543 and 633 nm. Stacks of 10 to 30 confocal images with 1–10 μm z-step intervals were generated with 10x/0.5 air and 20x/0.75 oil objectives. Final images presented in figures were obtained by orthogonal projection of entire stacks with artificial fluorescent colors using ZEN (Zeiss), Fiji [51] and Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems) softwares.

**Quantification of immunostained cells in wild type and transgenic tadpoles**

Image stacks with 2–6 μm z-step intervals were performed from 72–120 μm-thick whole-mount dissected and opened spinal cords. Quantification of cells was carried out in a domain between the 12th and 15th segments that contained only axial motoneurons. To assess the uts2b/ChAT co-localization in wild type tadpoles, cells were counted in a region of 634 × 1000 μm width (n = 1). Note that the results obtained from this single specimen were globally consistent with those provided in three other ones. For GFP/uts2b and GFP/ChAT co-localizations in transgenic tadpoles, cells were counted in regions of 317 × 1500 μm width (n = 3, for each combination). Immuno-stained cells were manually counted in every plan of the z-stack by using the collaborative bioimage informatics platform, Icy [52].

**Results**

**Expression pattern of the uts2b gene during development of wild type X. laevis**

The constitutive expression of the uts2b gene in X. laevis was investigated by carrying out in situ hybridization on whole animals, dissected and opened CNS and CNS slices at a variety of different developmental stages (from stages 10 to 55 and in adults). The first uts2b signal could be detected in the rostral spinal cord from stage 24, pre-hatching early tailbud embryos (Fig. 2A). Gradually, the staining expanded rostro-caudally along almost the entire length of the spinal cord (Fig. 2B–E) and also appeared in the hindbrain (Fig. 2E). Cells with dense uts2b labeling were located in two parallel rows in the ventral part of the spinal cord (Fig. 2E, F). In the hindbrain, uts2b+ cells were located in the same region as motor nuclei of the trigeminal nerve (V) in rhombomeric segments 2–3 and vagus/hypoglossal/accessory nerves (IX-XI) in rhombomeric segments 7–8, respectively (Fig. 2E,G) [53]. A few uts2b+ cells were still observable in the spinal cord of a small proportion of stage 66 juveniles (data not shown), but no longer in adults, whereas the uts2b gene was still strongly expressed in the hindbrain during
adulthood (data not shown). No hybridization signal was observed with the sense uts2b riboprobe (Fig. 2H).

To better characterize the uts2b+ cells in the spinal cord, we performed single fluorescent in situ hybridization using the uts2b probe followed by immunohistochemistry labeling against ChAT, a marker of cholinergic neurons. As depicted in Fig. 3A, uts2b+ cells were restricted to the ventro-medial part of the cholinergic area in the spinal cord of stage-50 tadpoles and they were all found to express ChAT (Fig. 3B), in agreement with their putative motoneuronal nature. uts2b mRNA was visualized in a little more than half of all the ChAT+ cells analyzed.

Selective retrograde motoneuron labeling was performed with intramuscular application of fluorescent dextran amine dyes, in order to unravel which motoneuron pools expressed uts2b. Axial motoneurons were labeled from the first 10–12th tail myotomes (Fig. 4A) whereas appendicular motoneurons were labeled from posterior leg gluteus magnus and semimenbranosus muscles (Fig. 4B), both in stage 55 tadpoles. A significant proportion of the axial retrogradely labeled motoneurons appeared to contain utsb mRNA (yellow arrowheads; Fig. 4A3). In contrast, none of the retrogradely labeled appendicular motoneurons expressed utsb (Fig. 4B).

Note that some axial motoneurons retrogradely labeled did not contain uts2b mRNA (red arrowheads; Fig. 4A3) suggesting that uts2b is expressed in only a subset of these neurons.

---

**Fig 2.** uts2b mRNA is restricted to the ventral spinal cord and hindbrain in X. laevis tadpoles. Distribution of the uts2b mRNA revealed by in situ hybridization with uts2b antisense probe on whole tadpoles (A–D and H), dissected and opened CNS (E), or CNS sections (F, G) at stages 24 (A), 27 (B), 33/34 (C), 37/38 (D) 51 (E), and 55 (F, G). H. Stage 37/38 tadpole hybridized with uts2b sense probe used as negative control. A–D and H. Lateral views of tadpoles with dorsal side up and rostral to the right. E. Dorsal view of whole-mount preparation of hindbrain-spinal cord (CNS). Note that in this preparation, the more ventral structures are closer to the midline. F and G. Coronal sections at the level of the spinal cord (F) and the posterior part of brainstem (G) with dorsal up. Arrowheads point to uts2b mRNA detection in stage 24 tadpole and in F and G coronal sections. White arrows designate the region of nuclei of the trigeminal (V) nerve; asterisks denote the region of nuclei of the vagus/hypoglossal/accessory (IX–XI) nerves. r, rhombomere; V4, fourth ventricle.
Since we found that uts2b was constitutively active in cells that correspond to spinal motoneurons, uts2b promoter was a good candidate to use to drive the expression of GFP in transgenic Xenopus motoneurons. To generate uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles, we used a BAC clone that carried the whole uts2b genomic region including the upstream and downstream regions. GFP cDNA was substituted in the first exon of the coding sequence of the uts2b gene, in the BAC clone (process steps summarized in Fig. 1). To test the recombineered uts2b-GFP BAC for expression in transgenic animals, circular recombineered BAC DNA was injected into one-cell stage X. laevis embryos, as previously described by Fish et al. (2012). 24.9% of the uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles (out of the 2061 injected embryos that were still alive at stage 40 in total) exhibited the expected GFP expression pattern with a robust, extensive rostro-caudal distribution of spinal GFP+ cells (Fig. 5A) with labeling of soma (Fig. 5B) and neuronal ramifications (Fig. 5A,C). 18.4% of transgenic tadpoles showed a reduced GFP expression profile, restricted to the soma or to a smaller part of the spinal cord. In contrast, 3.3% showed only ectopic expression of GFP while 53.3% were totally devoid of fluorescence. It is worth noting that in a number of the transgenic tadpoles, the fluorescence was expressed in just one lateral half of the body (data not shown). The GFP expression was seen in spinal neurons without ambiguity, namely both in their somata and axonal projections, from stage 40, until at least stage 62–63. However, the first fluorescence signal could be detected as early as 32, but only as small dots in the rostral spinal cord. It is noteworthy that in some animals, spinal GFP+ cells could be...
Fig 4. Spinal uts2b⁺ cells of X. laevis tadpoles project to tail myotomes. Confocal image of combined fluorescent in situ hybridization of uts2b mRNA (A1, B1) and retrograde labeling of spinal axial (A2) and appendicular (B2) motoneurons in stage 55 tadpole whole-mount spinal cord preparations. A3, B3. Merged images of uts2b staining and retrograde labeling. All images display dorsal view of hemi-cords, with the rostral side up (dashed-line on the left indicates the midline). Axial motoneurons (Ax MN) were labeled with rhodamine dextran dye (RDA) injected into tail myotomes while appendicular motoneurons (Ap MN) were labeled from posterior leg muscles with Alexa Dextran 647 dye (A.D. 647; see upper scheme on the left panel). The drawing on the left panel illustrates the localization of Ax MN and developing Ap MN in larval spinal cord at stage 55. Yellow arrowheads indicate double stained cells. Red arrowheads indicate uts2b—retrograde labeled cells. sp.sgt 7–10, spinal segments 7 to 10.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117370.g004
detected until stages 65–66. Due to their ventro-medial distribution in the spinal cord (Fig. 5) and their typical morphology (i.e. multipolar soma with ventral and dorsal dendrites and an axon projecting ventrally and caudally toward the axial musculature) it is likely that the GFP+ cells of the uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles were spinal motoneurons, as compared to the previously described X. laevis tadpole motoneurons [49, 54]. Surprisingly, no GFP+ cells were detected in the hindbrain (Fig. 5B), in contrast to the endogenous uts2b (Fig. 2E, G).

To further compare the GFP and endogenous uts2b expression patterns, we performed an in situ hybridization using the uts2b antisense probe combined with an anti-GFP immunostaining in the stage 50 uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles. 82.0 ± 7% of the spinal GFP+ cells (n = 3 animals, with ~ 85 GFP+ cells counted per animal) were also uts2b+ (yellow arrowheads; Fig. 6A3, B3). However, 46 ± 9% of the uts2b+ cells (n = 3 animals, with ~ 160 uts2b+ cells counted per animal) did not contain GFP (red arrowheads; Fig. 6A3, B3).

**Neurochemical and neuroanatomical characterization of the GFP+ cells in transgenic X. laevis tadpoles**

In order to examine the putative motoneuronal nature of GFP+ spinal neurons, co-localization of ChAT immuno-fluorescence was investigated in uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles exhibiting a strong fluorescence both in the spinal somata and axon projections (Fig. 7). Double immunolabeling against GFP and ChAT demonstrated that almost all spinal GFP+ neurons expressed ChAT at all developmental stages tested. Indeed, at stage 50, 98.2 ± 0.5% (n = 3 animals, ~140...
GFP+ cells counted per animal) of the GFP+ cells were ChAT+. Conversely, 45.0 ± 5.4% (n = 3 animals, ~300 ChAT+ cells counted per animal) of the ChAT+ neurons were also GFP+ (yellow arrowheads; Fig. 7A3), indicating that the uts2b-driven GFP expression was restrained to a specific subpopulation of cholinergic neurons, as reported earlier for endogenous uts2b expression. At stage 60, all spinal ventro-medial GFP+ neurons were also found to express ChAT (yellow arrowheads; Fig. 7B3). Here too, we did not observe GFP+ cells in the hindbrain (Fig. 7C).

Axonal projections of spinal GFP+ cells were further characterized in stage 57 transgenic tadpoles, using α-bungarotoxin staining to locate the neuromuscular junctions in the axial musculature. As shown in Fig. 8, α-bungarotoxin fluorescence revealed clusters of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Fig. 8B) that were co-localized with GFP+ axon terminals (Fig. 8C). Such a specific neuromuscular arrangement was, again, supportive of a motoneuronal nature for GFP+ neurons.

Selective retrograde motoneuron labeling was performed as previously described in wild-type specimens in order to unravel which motoneuron pools expressed GFP from pre- to post-metamorphic uts2b-GFP transgenic Xenopus. Axial motoneurons were labeled from the first 10–12th tail myotomes at stage 50 (Fig. 9A); thoracic dorsal motoneurons were labeled from dorsalis trunci muscles at stage 60 (Fig. 9B) whereas appendicular motoneurons were labeled
from hindlimb buds at stage 50 (Fig. 9A) and from the *gluteus magnus* and *semimenbranosus* leg muscles at stage 60 (Fig. 9C). A significant proportion of the axial motoneurons retrogradely labeled appeared to be GFP+ (white arrowheads; Fig. 9A). This demonstrated that the *uts2b*-GFP transgene was expressed in tail myotome-innervating motoneurons in pre-metamorphic stages 50–58, corroborating the above ChAT immuno-labeling patterns (Fig. 7). In contrast, none of the retrogradely labeled thoracic dorsal (Fig. 9B) or appendicular (Fig. 9A, C) motoneurons expressed GFP.

**Electrophysiological characterization of the GFP+ cells in transgenic *X. laevis* tadpoles**

GFP+ spinal neurons were specifically targeted for patch-clamp recordings (Fig. 10A) in order to functionally characterize their electrophysiological activity during the spontaneous fictive
swimming (Fig. 10B) in in vitro isolated spinal cord preparations. All recorded GFP+ neurons (n = 17) were rhythmically active in coordination with the fictive swimming bursts of activity recorded from axial ventral motor roots (Vr). Three main intracellular discharge patterns were observed: i) some neurons (n = 5) were depolarized at the onset of the fictive swimming and fired rhythmically coupled with Vr bursts throughout the episode duration (Fig. 10B1); ii) some neurons (n = 4) stopped firing after the 3–4 first Vr bursts despite the persistence of the swimming activity, however, these neurons continued to exhibit rhythmic depolarizations in strict coordination with the following Vr bursts (Fig. 10B2); iii) the other neurons (n = 8) were hyperpolarized at the beginning of the fictive swimming episode and started to fire in coordination with the Vr rhythmic bursts with a delay after the swimming onset (Fig. 10B3). For all recorded GFP+ neurons, the membrane potential was between -53 and -68 mV. These electrophysiological results are consistent with locomotor-related firing patterns previously described in motoneurons of larval Xenopus [55] and zebrafish [56–58].

Taken together, neurochemical, anatomical and electrophysiological results demonstrate that GFP+ spinal neurons represent a heterogeneous subpopulation of axial motoneurons innervating tail muscles.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to test the ability of the regulatory sequences of the uts2b gene to drive expression of reporter genes in Xenopus motoneurons. Expression of uts2b in motoneurons of the brainstem and spinal cord has been formally demonstrated in several species including the mice [28], chicken and zebrafish (HT, unpublished results). In mice, it has been shown that a majority of spinal motoneurons simultaneously expresses uts2b and its paralog uts2 [27]. In X. laevis, Konno et al. [29] reported the occurrence of UTS2/UTS2B-immunoreactive motoneurons in the brain and spinal cord but due to the technique employed they were not able to discriminate the cells expressing specifically each peptide. Here, we provide evidence that in pre-metamorphic tadpole, spinal uts2b+ cells are motoneurons, as revealed by the fact that they express ChAT and can be retrolabeled from axial muscles. The onset of the uts2b gene expression (stage 24), which appears shortly after differentiation of the first motoneurons (up to stage 22) [59], is consistent with this view, even if at earliest stages, the motoneuronal nature of the uts2b+ cells remains to be confirmed. At later stages, uts2b mRNA was detected in retrolabeled axial motoneurons but not with appendicular motoneurons suggesting that uts2b is specifically expressed in axial motoneurons innervating tail muscles.
Fig 9. Spinal GFP\textsuperscript{*} cells of transgenic uts2b-GFP X. laevis tadpoles project to tail myotomes. Confocal image of combined immuno-labeling of spinal GFP\textsuperscript{*} neurons (A1) and retrograde labeling of spinal motoneurons (A2) in a stage 50 uts2b-GFP tadpole whole-mount spinal cord preparation. A3. Merged image obtained when GFP staining and retrograde labeling were superimposed. Dorsal view with rostral up. Only the hemi-cord is shown. The dashed-line on the right side represents the midline. Axial motoneurons (Ax MN) innervating myotomes were labeled from tail muscles with rhodamine (RDA) dextran dye whereas appendicular motoneurons (Ap MN) innervating limbs were labeled from hindlimb buds with alexa dextran 647 dye (A.D. 647; see scheme on the left panel). The drawing at the top left panel illustrates the medio-lateral localization of Ax MN and developing Ap MN in larval spinal cord at stage 50. Yellow arrowheads indicate double stained cells. Cross-section confocal image of combined immuno-labeling of spinal GFP\textsuperscript{*} neurons (B1, C1) and retrograde labeling of dorsal thoracic motoneurons (dTh MN, B2) and Ap MN, (C2) in stage 60 metamorphosing uts2b-GFP tadpole. B3, C3. Merged images obtained GFP staining and retrograde labeling were superimposed. dTh MN were labeled from dorsalis trunci muscles with RDA whereas Ap MN were labeled from groups of extensor and flexor of the hindlimb with A.D. 647 (see scheme on the left side). The cross-section drawing at the bottom left panel illustrates the dorso-ventral localization of dTh MN and Ap MN in the future adult spinal cord at stage 60. sp.sgt 7–10, spinal segments 7 to 10, Vr, ventral root. D, dorsal; L, lateral.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117370.g009
The absence of uts2b+ cells in the spinal cord of adult specimens was quite surprising since uts2b mRNA in spinal cord was detected by RT-PCR [29]. Our results indicate that uts2b expression pattern of X. laevis in adult is very similar to that found in rat. Indeed, the rat spinal cord has been shown to contain only uts2 but not uts2b mRNA [25].

The X. laevis uts2b expression pattern suggests the presence, in its promoter, of regulatory elements that are able to drive motoneuron-specific expression. Since BAC technology makes it possible to generate transgenes even when the promoter region of a gene is unknown, which was the case here, we decided to generate transgenic tadpoles expressing the EGFP reporter from a BAC containing the entire X. tropicalis uts2b gene including more than 130 kbp of upstream and almost 20 kbp of downstream regulatory elements. BAC transgenesis has been successfully used for little over a decade in mouse [38], and recently has been more readily applied to other species such as zebrafish [60]. In Xenopus, trials of BAC transgenesis have been reported in only two studies so far [35, 61]. We opted for the method developed by Fish et al. [35] due to its simplicity and high efficiency. Up to 25% of the uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles globally exhibited the expected pattern of fluorescence in our case, admittedly somewhat lower than that reported in the original publication (i.e. 60%) but nonetheless a very acceptable rate. The delay between the first uts2b signal (stage 24) and the first GFP fluorescence signal (stage 32) may correspond to the time needed to complete both translation and then maturation of GFP [62].

Some other discrepancies were nevertheless observed between the GFP and endogenous uts2b expression patterns. No fluorescence was expressed in the hindbrain, suggesting that...
some of the regulatory elements of the X. tropicalis uts2b gene, crucial for its expression in the brainstem, were not present in the BAC, or not functional in X. laevis cells. At the spinal cord level, many uts2b+ cells expressed GFP. However, we also observed a number of uts2b+ cells that did not express GFP, as well as some GFP+ cells that did not express uts2b. The uts2b+/GFP− cells could be cells lacking the uts2b-GFP BAC DNA, or containing only a very small number of copies. Alternatively, these uts2b+/GFP− cells could represent newly differentiated neurons in which GFP had not the time to accumulate, since motoneurogenesis continues to be active during a large part of the larval period (up to stage 52) [63]. The occurrence of GFP+/uts2b− cells might potentially reflect temporal variations in uts2b expression in motoneurons, i.e. motoneurons that had temporarily ceased to express uts2b but still contained GFP. However, the fact that all spinal GFP+ cells of our uts2b-GFP transgenic tadpoles were motoneurons is supported by several lines of evidence: i) they were exclusively located in the ventral horns of the spinal cord; ii) they were almost all ChAT+; iii) they could be stained by retrograde labeling from axial muscles; iv) they exhibited locomotion-related rhythmic discharges during spontaneous fictive swimming episodes and v) the axon terminals co-localized with α-bungarotoxin. However, as mentioned previously for uts2b in wild-type, only a fraction of the motoneurons appeared to express GFP. Retrograde labeling reveals that these neurons correspond to axial motoneurons, which innervate tail muscles, but not to appendicular or thoracic motoneurons. In this respect, the GFP expression pattern is perfectly consistent with endogenous uts2b expression in spinal cord of wild-type tadpoles. The fact that very few spinal GFP+ cells could be identified in early post-metamorphic juveniles also supports this view, since axial motoneurons elimination starts during metamorphosis as the tail regresses [64–66]. The higher number of spinal GFP+ cells in transgenic juveniles compared to that of uts2b+ cells in wild-type ones is probably due to the higher stability of EGFP [67].

We used a transgenesis method in which the BAC DNA is injected into one-cell stage embryos as a circular DNA molecule with no mechanism to mediate genomic integration [35]. It is therefore believed that the injected DNA can be only transiently transcribed unless it is replicated in a similar fashion as the nuclear DNA. Since BAC DNA can contain a large amount of genomic DNA, it is more efficiently replicated [35]. In their original study using a pax6-GFP BAC, Fish et al. [35] actually reported loss of transgene expression around stage 50 in most of the injected embryos. In the present study, we observed that in most transgenic tadpoles, GFP was still significantly expressed up until stage 62–63 and, as mentioned above, GFP+ cells could be even observed in stage 66 juveniles. Thus, the decrease in GFP expression observed during metamorphosis may not be due to any BAC loss but, rather, reflects the endogenous expression pattern of uts2b. Maintenance of the transgene expression for such a long time may be explained by BAC DNA episomal replication and a particular stability of the intracellular environment, perhaps related to the post-mitotic state of motoneurons [68].

**Conclusion**

In this study, we successfully demonstrated that uts2b is an appropriate driver to express reporter genes in a particular subpopulation of *Xenopus* tadpole spinal motoneurons. The transgenic uts2b-GFP tadpoles we generated constitute a potentially valuable model for further studying the spinal motoneurons, both in the physiological and pathological context, and for screening candidate therapeutic molecules modulating motoneuron survival, although the latter would require further development of stable transgenic lines. In the meantime, transient transgenesis using the uts2b-GFP BAC could represent an excellent tool to identify regulatory elements involved in the motoneuronal expression of the uts2b gene.
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