Two-dimensional (2D) metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) with a kagome lattice can exhibit strong electron-electron interactions, which can lead to tunable quantum phases including many exotic magnetic phases. While technological developments of 2D MOFs typically take advantage of substrates for growth, support, and electrical contacts, investigations often ignore substrates and their dramatic influence on electronic properties. Here, we show how substrates alter the correlated magnetic phases in kagome MOFs using systematic density functional theory and mean-field Hubbard calculations. We demonstrate that MOF-substrate coupling, MOF-substrate charge transfer, strain, and external electric fields are key variables, activating and deactivating magnetic phases in these materials. While we consider the example of kagome-arranged 9,10-dicyanoanthracene molecules coordinated with copper atoms, our findings should generalise to any 2D kagome material. This work offers useful predictions for tunable interaction-induced magnetism in surface-supported 2D (metal-)organic materials, opening the door to solid-state electronic and spintronic technologies based on such systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) materials with flat electronic bands, such as those with a kagome lattice, can host localised electronic wavefunctions, which can lead to strong electron-electron interactions. These strong interactions lead to diverse electronic phases such as fractional quantum Hall states, superconductivity, antiferromagnetism, and spin glasses. Such magnetic phases are critical for emerging technologies such as spintronics and magnetic information storage. Kagome lattices that can host such phases have been realised in many different systems. While inorganic systems such as herbertsmithite and FeSn are well-known examples, a class of materials of growing interest are 2D metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which combine transition metal ions with organic molecules into a self-assembled crystal. 2D MOFs have potential applications in functional electronics, leveraging the intrinsic electrical, optoelectronic, sensing, or catalytic properties of these systems, with promise for increased versatility, tunability, and affordability. For practical solid-state applications, these 2D MOFs are required to interface with substrates and solid interconnectors, either for structural support, to enable bottom-up synthesis, or as metallic contacts. However, the interplay between the various influences of the substrate and the intrinsic properties of the MOF is not well understood.

The kagome lattice is an archetypal 2D flat-band crystal structure, with a three-site basis arranged in a six-pointed star motif (Fig. 1b). Its electronic band structure has a pair of Dirac bands, similar to graphene. The frustrated geometry from the triangular three-atom basis allows for constructive wavefunction interference, which localises the electronic wavefunctions and creates an electronic flat band (in addition to the 2 Dirac bands). This localisation and narrow bandwidth enhances electronic interactions, which can lead to phenomena driven by many-body electron correlations, including magnetism (due to energy cost, from Coulomb interactions, of two electrons occupying the same site exceeding the kinetic energy). This geometry also allows kagome lattices to host exotic spin behaviour, such as spin liquids, frustrated antiferromagnetism, intrinsic Kondo resonances, and spin-density waves, which can be explored by tuning the interactions. Furthermore, as in other Dirac materials, spin–orbit coupling can lead to topological quantum spin Hall phases. The combination of geometry, topology, and interactions makes the kagome lattice a rich playground of electronic quantum phenomena.

Of the material systems which kagome lattices have been realised in, an emerging class of materials are 2D MOFs. In MOFs, organic ligands connect to transition metal atoms via coordination chemistry and form an extended crystal lattice. By using organic chemistry to alter the ligands and choosing between a large number of transition metal ions, MOFs demonstrate incredible versatility and tunability. 2D MOFs can be electrically conducting, yielding potential for applications in functional electronics. MOFs also offer room-temperature synthesis approaches, which makes them cheaper to produce than inorganic materials. Kagome MOFs have attracted much attention in the search for topological insulators. However, such searches rarely investigate interactions and the resultant magnetism, which can compete with topology.

In particular, the competing influences of the substrate to interactions in MOFs are often overlooked. Substrates support, template, and enable the bottom-up synthesis of 2D MOFs. These substrates can facilitate functionalities unseen in free-standing materials via effects such as charge doping, hybridisation, strain, symmetry breaking, rearrangement of bonds facilitating magnetism, and the Kondo effect. Substrates are sometimes deleterious, such as through excessive hybridisation or unfavourable symmetry breaking, and sometimes beneficial, such as through mediating magnetic interactions or favourable charge transfer. Clearly, the influence of...
where each Cu atom binds to 3 DCA molecules in a trigonal planar kagome-arranged DCA molecules coordinated with Cu atoms, predicted to be a topological insulator, with substitution of metal nearest-neighbour tight-binding model. DCA-Cu has been pre-clean kagome bands, meaning it is well-described by a simple description of electronic and magnetic properties of DCA-Cu, present the Hubbard Hamiltonian as a simple model, which captures the key features of the DFT calculations and allows for interpreting the results. The Hubbard model distils these complicated systems into a small number of key ingredients, including MOF-substrate coupling, while being generic to any kagome lattice on a substrate. Both DFT and Hubbard approaches consistently show that certain substrate parameters, namely the density of states, MOF-substrate charge transfer, MOF-substrate electronic hybridisation, and substrate-induced MOF tensile strain, can dramatically affect the MOF magnetic properties (Fig. 1c). Our work quantitatively isolates the effect of each of these phenomena on the system’s magnetic properties. Sensitivity to charge transfer allows switching the magnetic phases by an external electric field, which we explicitly demonstrate in DCA-Cu, suggesting possible applications in energy-efficient electric-field-controlled spintronics. Understanding how different parameters affect the magnetism in this MOF allows us to tune the electron-electron interactions and magnetic phases, a feature not offered by intrinsically magnetic systems. By developing a framework for analysing the effects of a substrate on an adsorbed 2D system, we present useful tools for the rational design of electronic devices whose functionality relies on correlation-induced quantum phenomena.

### RESULTS

#### Electronic and magnetic structure of DCA-Cu

To understand the effect of real substrates on real materials, we use DCA-Cu as an illustrative example and perform systematic DFT + U calculations for free-standing DCA-Cu as well as for DCA-Cu adsorbed on different substrates (see Methods). The use of Hubbard U corrections treats the correlations between d electrons and is essential for an accurate description of magnetic moments in DCA-Cu on Ag(111). Without these corrections there are no magnetic moments when experiments show there should be. We found that the effect of U on the Cu atoms is to slightly reduce the hopping between DCA molecules, which is mediated by the Cu atoms (see Supplementary Table 1). We report results for \( U = 3 \) eV; besides the Ag(111) results, varying \( U \) within a reasonable range does not cause any qualitative changes (see
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**Fig. 1** Schematic depiction of interaction-induced magnetism in a 2D MOF and how substrates influence it. a Ball-and-stick models of DCA and Cu, the components of the MOF, and their isolated electronic structures. The copper(II) ions and DCA molecules in the MOF are not intrinsically magnetic. b Ball-and-stick model of DCA-Cu kagome MOF, where intra-MOF electron-electron Coulomb interactions induce magnetic moments. The MOF has a kagome electronic band structure derived from the DCA LUMO (non-spin-polarised schematic shown). c Ball-and-stick model of DCA-Cu MOF on a substrate and schematic band structures. The magnetism in the MOF is influenced by coupling to the substrate, charge transfer either out of or into the MOF (such as by an applied electric field), and strain, which alters the bandwidth. The strength of the magnetic moments can be enhanced by using a weakly interacting substrate, favourable electron filling of the MOF by choice of substrate work function or application of electric fields, and by applying tensile strain to the MOF such as by lattice mismatch. (HOMO: highest occupied molecular orbital. LUMO: lowest unoccupied molecular orbital).
Supplementary Fig. 1 and ref. 44. From these calculations, we determine which substrates enhance or suppress local magnetic moments in DCA-Cu and identify the contribution of charge transfer. We also address how an externally applied electric-field affects these local magnetic moments.

We first investigate the electronic structure of free-standing DCA-Cu without a substrate. For calculations without spin polarisation, the band structure of free-standing DCA-Cu matches an ideal nearest-neighbour tight-binding kagome band structure (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2a). Electronically, each Cu atom donates one electron to the DCA lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (Fig. 1a), which form the kagome bands at the Fermi energy. This integer charge transfer pins the Fermi level at the Dirac point and leaves the Cu\(^{+}\) ions in the nominally non-magnetic [Ar]3d\(^{10}\) configuration. When spin is included, electron-electron interactions lead to spin-splitting of bands and magnetisation of DCA orbitals (Fig. 2a, d). The system prefers a frustrated antiferromagnetic configuration by about 1 meV (Supplementary Table 2). This magnetisation cannot be explained by simple counting of unpaired spins as the magnetic moments are a fraction of a Bohr magneton, instead requiring electronic interactions as in the Hubbard model to partially hinder electron pairing\(^{44}\). As we show next, these interactions are altered drastically when the 2D MOF is in contact with a substrate.

**Emergent magnetism in DCA-Cu on substrates**

To elucidate the substrate’s influence on the electronic and magnetic structure of DCA-Cu, we sample a broad selection of different substrates. We choose substrates with a range of work functions and lattice constants and a mixture of metals and gapped materials (Supplementary Table 3). For metals, we consider Ag(111), Cu(111), Au(111), and Al(111), which are structurally similar but have differing work functions. We consider graphite, which is a semimetal so has a much lower density of states and can be regarded as multi-layer graphene in this context. Moreover, we consider hexagonal boron nitride (hBN), a wide band gap insulator commonly used in 2D heterostructures with potential for electronic devices, on Cu(111), a common surface for growing hBN\(^{55}\). While not a comprehensive survey of all possible substrates, this selection represents a sufficient sample size for identifying the essential trends.

We find that of the six substrates considered, only two retain local magnetic moments in DCA-Cu, as shown in Fig. 2. Our calculations indicate that these systems retain some degree of frustrated antiferromagnetic order between such local magnetic moments (albeit with some net magnetisation resembling ferrimagnetism; see Supplementary Table 2). One of them is DCA-Cu on Ag(111), in which previous experiments\(^{44}\) showed direct evidence of local magnetic moments—consistent with our calculations—via the observation of the Kondo effect (i.e., where such local magnetic moments are screened by the Ag(111) conduction electrons). The band structure of DCA-Cu on Ag(111) shows substantial distortion of the kagome bands, indicating non-negligible MOF-substrate coupling (Fig. 2b). In spite of this, magnetisation of DCA orbitals persists on Ag(111) (Fig. 2e) with stability comparable to free DCA-Cu at 1.5 meV (Supplementary Table 2). It is important to note, however, that such magnetic order might not be realised experimentally on a metal such as Ag(111) due to the aforementioned Kondo screening, whose many-body physics are not captured by our DFT approach.

The other substrate to retain magnetic moments is hBN on Cu(111). The kagome band structure does not exhibit any avoided crossings, behaving similarly to free-standing DCA-Cu (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 2b). Compared to free-standing DCA-Cu, the shifted Fermi level indicates that DCA-Cu receives electrons from the substrate, and also has local magnetic moments with greater magnitude (Fig. 2f). The magnetic moments are also more stable, with the magnetic configuration 23.9 meV lower than the non-magnetic configuration (Supplementary Table 2). Note that these configurations are constrained to using a single kagome unit cell. Our Hubbard model calculations in a supercell (next section)
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The other substrates which were considered—graphite,
Cu(111), Au(111), and Al(111)—all suppress the local magnetic
moments in DCA-Cu (Supplementary Fig. 3). This observation is
consistent with experiments of DCA-Cu on Cu(111), which also did
not find magnetic moments\(^4,47\). The avoided crossings in the
band structures indicate that Cu(111), Au(111), and Al(111) are
strongly interacting (just like Ag(111)) while graphite is weakly
interacting. The bands also clearly indicate that Au(111) fully
depopulates the DCA-Cu kagome bands, Al(111) fully populates
the DCA-Cu kagome bands, and graphite slightly reduces the
electron occupation of DCA-Cu.

This raises the important question: why do some substrates
allow magnetism in the MOF while others do not? Fig. 3 shows the
influence of charge transfer between the substrate and MOF on
the local magnetic moments on DCA. Free-standing DCA-Cu calculated by both DFT and the mean-field Hubbard model with
varying charge is also included as a control. Determining an
unambiguous charge transfer can be challenging due to electron
density partitioning effects. Therefore, we use multiple methods to
estimate plausible bounds for the charge transfer (see Methods
and Supplementary Notes). Free-standing DCA-Cu shows that

Fig. 3 Charge transfer and local magnetic moments of DCA-Cu
MOF (free-standing and on substrates) and under different
conditions, calculated using DFT + U. These local magnetic
moments lead to magnetically ordered phases (Figs. 2 and 5). Two
different charge partitioning methods set bounds for the MOF-
substrate charge transfer: Bader\(^77\) and DDEC\(^79,80\). Two other
methods (Supplementary Notes 3 and 4) give values between the
Bader and DDEC results (Supplementary Fig. 1). \(E\) refers to the
electric-field applied normal to the substrate. The grey shaded
region marks the results calculated within the mean-field Hubbard
model with a single unit cell, without a substrate, and with
interaction parameter \(U_H\) between 6t and 7t, presented for comparison with the DFT-calculated free-standing results.

indicate that more stable configurations have a larger magnetic
unit cell (if they are ordered at all). This suggests that the local
magnetic moments may be even more stable than single-cell DFT
calculations indicate. That DCA-Cu/hBN/Cu(111) has a higher local
magnetic moment than DCA-Cu/Ag(111) suggests that reduced
MOF-substrate hybridisation enhances the local magnetic
moments. However, the difference between DCA-Cu/hBN/
(Cu(111)) and free-standing DCA-Cu needs to be explained with
other variables.

To explore and rationalise the essential ingredients which lead to
the physics observed via the DFT calculations, we develop a
simplified mean-field model (Fig. 4). We model the kagome MOF
as a tight-binding lattice with nearest-neighbour hopping \(t\) and
on-site Coulomb interactions \(U_H\) as in the Hubbard model\(^56\).
In this model, electrons hop between adjacent sites due to \(t\) and are
repelled if they share a site with another electron due to \(U_H\) which
will be of the opposite spin due to the Pauli exclusion principle.
Note that the \(U_H\) used here is different from \(U\) in the DFT + U
calculations; the former describes all electronic interactions
including the DCA molecular orbitals, while the latter is a
correction due to electronic correlations in Cu d orbitals. In our
model, electrons in the substrate propagate as plane waves with
periodic energy dispersion \(\epsilon(k)\), which we derive from DFT
calculations for metal slabs. Electrons can hop between the
point-like MOF sites and the substrate with coupling amplitude \(V\),
as in the Anderson impurity model\(^56\). We can use supercells to
analyse order at larger distances than in DFT. Unlike DFT, we can
vary all the parameters independently and continuously. Con-
sidering the connection between this model and a physical
system, \(t\) is determined by bonding between molecules within
the 2D kagome system, \(V\) is determined by bonding between
the kagome MOF molecules and the substrate, and \(U_H\) is determined
primarily by the localisation of the 2D kagome MOF molecular
orbitals and their dielectric environment. This simplified model

Fig. 4 Schematic representation of our physical model of
emergent magnetism in substrate-supported 2D MOFs. The 2D
lattice is treated within the Hubbard model with nearest-neighbour
hopping \(t\) (tunable by strain) and Coulomb repulsion \(U_H\). The
substrate is modelled as having plane wave states with dispersion
\(\epsilon(k)\) (derived from ab initio calculations). Coupling between the
substrate and the lattice is assumed to be point-like hopping in real-
space with strength \(V\). The lattice sites have an on-site energy, which
alters the electron occupation of the lattice based on its alignment
with the substrate chemical potential.

local magnetic moments are maximised when the orbitals are
half-filled (one electron per orbital) and weaken rapidly away from
half-filling. This trend is corroborated on substrates. Substrates
with electron fillings closer to half-filling (Ag(111), hBN/Cu(111))
show magnetic moments, while substrates which deplete electrons
(Cu(111), Au(111)) or completely fill the bands (Al(111),
see also Supplementary Fig. 3c) do not show any magnetic
moments. Graphite, while having much weaker MOF-substrate
coupling than bare metals, also has very weak magnetic moments
due to a low electron occupation. Overall, it is clear that electron
occupation of the MOF is a significant factor in determining
whether or not magnetic moments occur in the MOF. However, it
is also clear that the magnetic moments deviate from the free-
standing results when a substrate is considered. This indicates that
variables such as MOF-substrate hybridisation and/or substrate-
induced strain also influence the magnetic moments. To analyse
these variables we turn to a simplified model.

Mean-field Hubbard model for emergent magnetism in
a kagome lattice on a metal

In this model, electrons hop between adjacent sites due to \(t\) and are
repelled if they share a site with another electron due to \(U_H\) which
will be of the opposite spin due to the Pauli exclusion principle.
Note that the \(U_H\) used here is different from \(U\) in the DFT + U
calculations; the former describes all electronic interactions
including the DCA molecular orbitals, while the latter is a
correction due to electronic correlations in Cu d orbitals. In our
model, electrons in the substrate propagate as plane waves with
periodic energy dispersion \(\epsilon(k)\), which we derive from DFT
calculations for metal slabs. Electrons can hop between the
point-like MOF sites and the substrate with coupling amplitude \(V\),
as in the Anderson impurity model\(^56\). We can use supercells to
analyse order at larger distances than in DFT. Unlike DFT, we can
vary all the parameters independently and continuously. Con-
sidering the connection between this model and a physical
system, \(t\) is determined by bonding between molecules within
the 2D kagome system, \(V\) is determined by bonding between
the kagome MOF molecules and the substrate, and \(U_H\) is determined
primarily by the localisation of the 2D kagome MOF molecular
orbitals and their dielectric environment. This simplified model
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**Fig. 4 Schematic representation of our physical model of emergent magnetism in substrate-supported 2D MOFs.** The 2D lattice is treated within the Hubbard model with nearest-neighbour hopping \(t\) (tunable by strain) and Coulomb repulsion \(U_H\). The substrate is modelled as having plane wave states with dispersion \(\epsilon(k)\) (derived from ab initio calculations). Coupling between the substrate and the lattice is assumed to be point-like hopping in real-space with strength \(V\). The lattice sites have an on-site energy, which alters the electron occupation of the lattice based on its alignment with the substrate chemical potential.

Local magnetic moments are maximised when the orbitals are half-filled (one electron per orbital) and weaken rapidly away from half-filling. This trend is corroborated on substrates. Substrates with electron fillings closer to half-filling (Ag(111), hBN/Cu(111)) show magnetic moments, while substrates which deplete electrons (Cu(111), Au(111)) or completely fill the bands (Al(111), see also Supplementary Fig. 3c) do not show any magnetic moments. Graphite, while having much weaker MOF-substrate coupling than bare metals, also has very weak magnetic moments due to a low electron occupation. Overall, it is clear that electron occupation of the MOF is a significant factor in determining whether or not magnetic moments occur in the MOF. However, it is also clear that the magnetic moments deviate from the free-standing results when a substrate is considered. This indicates that variables such as MOF-substrate hybridisation and/or substrate-induced strain also influence the magnetic moments. To analyse these variables we turn to a simplified model.
allows for capturing and exploring different magnetically ordered phases, interpreting our ab initio DFT results, and identifying general trends in parameter space.

First we demonstrate the emergent magnetism in a free-standing kagome lattice using our Hubbard model. As shown in Fig. 5a, b, when $U_H$ is small compared to the bandwidth ($6t$) the system is paramagnetic, while the local magnetic moments have large magnitude when $U_H$ is large or $t$ is small. The local magnetic moments are maximal near half-filling ($n = 1$) with whole spins sitting on each site in an Ising-like configuration. The frustrated antiferromagnetic phase consists of intricate spin textures, as indicated in Fig. 5a, which arise due to geometric frustration from the kagome lattice and energetically favourable antiferromagnetic interactions. Some of these spin textures (iii) are periodic spin-density-wave phases, while others (ii, iv) are disordered, potentially resembling spin liquids or spin ices. Precise classification of disordered magnetic phases in the kagome lattice is beyond the scope of this study; we focus instead on the strength of individual magnetic moments. Away from half-filling the system transitions towards a ferromagnetic phase, passing an intermediate, partially polarised phase with pinned minority spins (Fig. 5a(i)). See Supplementary Fig. 4 for corresponding net magnetisation. Whether these phases have some itinerant nature or not is beyond the scope of this work and requires deeper investigation. Fundamentally, larger interactions $U_H$, smaller hopping $t$, or electron occupations $n$ closer to one per site enhance the magnetic moments. Stronger electron-electron interactions within the kagome lattice lead to less pairing of electron spins, and electron occupations closer to one per site allow more unpaired electrons.

With the substrate-free results as a baseline, we next investigate magnetism in a kagome lattice coupled to metallic substrates, specifically Ag(111), Cu(111), and graphite (Fig. 5c). Note that, in these calculations, the use of these substrates is purely for their electronic dispersion (calculated by DFT for a thin slab), while $V$ is (for now) a free parameter. Figure 5c shows the local magnetic moments of kagome lattices with different hopping $t$ coupled to different substrates with respect to the effective hybridisation...
between the substrate and the lattice. This effective hybridisation can be expressed, to first order, as $V\rho \rho$, where $\rho$ is the substrate density of states (DOS) near the Fermi level, similar to the Anderson impurity model \(^{56}\) (also see Supplementary Fig. 5). When this effective hybridisation is increased, either with larger MOF-substrate coupling $V$ or higher DOS, the local magnetic moments decrease until the system becomes paramagnetic above some critical hybridisation. Small deviations between substrates come from finer details of the band structure, such as non-uniform DOS over the kagome bandwidth. Nevertheless, this scaling brings substrates with very different DOS to similar values, indicating that it captures the main contributions—greater coupling with the substrate or higher substrate DOS reduces the local magnetic moments in the kagome lattice. It is also evident from Fig. 5c that increasing intra-kagome hopping $t$ lowers the critical hybridisation at which the system becomes paramagnetic. As the hopping between sites is proportional to the overlap between the localised orbitals and is inversely proportional to the distance between molecules, this observation points to a tantalising prospect for tuning the magnetic phases with externally induced strain, as we show in the next section.

We next investigate the influence of electron occupation on the magnetic moments, as shown in Fig. 5d. Reducing the electron occupation significantly below half-filling ($n = 1$) substantially lowers the critical coupling (the transition from magnetic to paramagnetic). The critical coupling does not decrease when $n$ is increased above half-filling until the bands are almost entirely filled, a difference which may come from particle-hole asymmetry. Figure 5d does not contain a 'spin' phase like Fig. 5b because it was obtained with a single kagome unit cell rather than a supercell for computational ease. See Supplementary Fig. 6 for the phase diagram of a free-standing single cell for a more direct comparison. Overall, electron occupation is an important variable for controlling local magnetic moments, which can be tuned by choice of substrate work function or application of electric fields (i.e., electrostatic gating), as we illustrate in the next section.

We estimate values for parameters in our mean-field Hubbard model from the DFT calculations. The hopping parameter $t$ can be obtained from the bandwidth of non-spin-polarised free-standing DCA-Cu, giving $t \approx 49.2$ meV, although this varies on substrates with different lattice constants as described in the next section. Interactions can be estimated from fitting the magnetic moments between DFT and mean-field Hubbard results. We obtained $U_t \approx 0.29 - 0.35$ eV by fitting the magnetic moments between DFT and mean-field Hubbard results for free-standing DCA-Cu (Supplementary Table 1). Electron occupation is obtained directly from DFT (Fig. 3). MOF-substrate coupling can be estimated by fitting tight-binding band structures ($U_t = 0$) with non-spin-polarised DFT band structures (Supplementary Fig. 7). While this fitting is very coarse due to simplified modelling of the substrate in our toy model, on Ag(111) and Cu(111) we obtained $V \approx 0.2 \pm 0.05$ eV. It should be emphasised that when parameters are judiciously chosen near these values, the mean-field Hubbard model can reproduce the magnetism (Supplementary Fig. 8), magnetisation densities, and band structures (Supplementary Fig. 9) obtained using DFT calculations. A good first estimate of the parameters can be obtained from simpler DFT calculations. Considering the full system only causes a modest (although noticeable) renormalisation of the parameters. We demonstrate this by comparing the spin-resolved band structures of both free-standing DCA-Cu and DCA-Cu on Ag(111) obtained using DFT and the Hubbard model in Supplementary Fig. 9. Obtaining accurate, complicated observables (e.g., spin-resolved band structure) using a small number of parameters determined by fitting relatively simple quantities (here, bandwidth or magnetisation density) demonstrates that our mean-field Hubbard model captures the essential electronic and magnetic properties of the system. These comparisons show that our simple mean-field Hubbard model fully captures the physics seen in DFT calculations. This compellingly validates and establishes the relevance of the Hubbard model to quantitatively describe the electronic and magnetic properties of the systems considered.

**Tunable control over emergent magnetism: strain and electric fields**

We have shown that the strength of the local magnetic moments in 2D MOFs depends strongly on the molecule-molecule hopping $t$ and the electron occupation in MOFs. Here, we demonstrate with DFT how we can exploit this knowledge for tunable external control over the magnetism in a substrate-supported 2D MOF. First, the mean-field Hubbard model showed that decreasing molecule-molecule hopping $t$ increases the local magnetic moments. Hopping is proportional to orbital overlap, which is reduced by increasing the intermolecular distance and lattice constant, that is, by applying tensile strain. We consider in-plane strain here, which affects in-plane hopping $t$. Applying strain out-of-plane might be used to tune $V$ (which would depend on molecule-substrate overlap), although is beyond the scope of this work. In-plane strain in a 2D MOF can be introduced by either lattice mismatch with the substrate or by mechanically straining the MOF-substrate system.

Using DFT, we consider how in-plane strain affects $t$ and local magnetic moments for free-standing DCA-Cu in Fig. 6a. As expected, tensile strain reduces the bandwidth and hopping parameter $t$, increasing the local magnetic moments. For example, 2% tensile strain reduces $t$ in free-standing DCA-Cu from 49 to 43 meV and increases the average magnetic moment from 0.26 to 0.36$\mu_B$. Conversely, compressive strain increases $t$ and causes the system to become paramagnetic.

We illustrate how externally applied in-plane strain can be used to activate magnetism in a substrate-supported MOF where magnetism would not otherwise be present. Consider a graphite substrate, which, in its equilibrium state exerts compressive strain on DCA-Cu due to lattice mismatch. Owing to this substrate-induced compressive strain, DCA-Cu on graphite has considerably weaker local magnetic moments than in its free-standing state (Fig. 3). If we apply tensile strain, e.g., 2.7%, to the DCA-Cu/graphite system the local magnetic moments double (Fig. 6b). This demonstrates that strain from either lattice mismatch or external means is an important factor in tailoring the local magnetic moments in 2D MOFs.

The results presented earlier showed that the strength of the local magnetic moments depended strongly on the electron occupation of DCA. This electron occupation can be altered by charge transfer to or from the substrate, either by choice of a substrate with a suitable work function or by applying an external electric field. By considering two different substrates, namely Cu(111) and hBN on Cu(111), we illustrate how electric fields can alter the magnetic moments.

Earlier, we showed that DCA-Cu on Cu(111) does not have any magnetism due to its low electron occupation. However, when we apply an electric field of 0.5 eV A$^{-1}$ towards the substrate, the direction of charge transfer is reversed and DCA-Cu undergoes a magnetic phase transition as shown in Fig. 6c, with local magnetic moments similar to DCA-Cu on Ag(111) (Fig. 3). While DCA-Cu favours a ferromagnetic configuration over a frustrated antiferromagnetic configuration in this case (by 0.4 meV; and by 1.4 meV over paramagnetism), this may be due to substrate-mediated coupling of local magnetic moments (Supplementary Note 1). Conversely, we can also use electric fields to drive a transition towards paramagnetism. By applying a sufficiently strong electric field to DCA-Cu/hBN/Cu(111) in the opposite direction, the MOF is depleted of charge (Fig. 3) and the local magnetic moments vanish. These results are remarkable because electric fields can be easily applied in a transistor device and do not rely on altering...
intrinsic material parameters. The electric field allows for an external control knob that tunes the local magnetisation and magnetic moment ordering, opening the doors for control of magnetic phases in 2D kagome materials by electrostatic gating.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results, using DFT and a mean-field Hubbard model, show that substrate-induced electron occupation, strain, and hybridisation control the emergent magnetism in strongly correlated 2D MOFs. We found magnetism in several systems: free-standing DCA-Cu, DCA-Cu on Ag(111), DCA-Cu on hBN on Cu(111), DCA-Cu on graphite with tensile strain, and DCA-Cu on Cu(111) with an electric field. While we have used DCA-Cu as an illustrative example in our DFT calculations, the consistency between DFT and our more general Hubbard model indicates that our results can be generalised to other kagome MOFs. By choice of the MOF metals and ligands, of the substrate, and of external controls, it should be possible to explore more of the phase space than considered in this work. For example, changing the components of the MOF will change hopping parameter $t$ (e.g., with longer ligands, or tuning metal-ligand coordination strength via choice of functional groups and metal atoms) and on-site interaction $U$ (e.g., with more localised orbitals). The intrinsic electron occupation of the MOF can be altered by electrochemical doping. These parameters can be calculated with a free-standing DFT calculation, which is far cheaper than a calculation involving the substrate. The effect of a substrate can then be included in a simple effective model, at least at a qualitative level. The renormalisation of free-standing parameters when a substrate is introduced makes quantitative predictions more challenging, especially in highly sensitive regions of a parameter space. However, such models might yield quantitative results when considering a supercell (as opposed to a primitive unit cell), or...
when estimating parameters within a higher level of theory. This would involve fitting the parameters to quantities or observables in a small-scale ab initio calculation, then using those parameters in a larger scale calculation with the effective model. The effective model could be our mean-field Hubbard model, an extension to the Hubbard model (e.g., spin–orbit coupling, longer range interactions), or the Hubbard model with a more advanced treatment of correlations (e.g., dynamical mean-field theory). Such modelling allows for easily exploring a wide range of chemical motifs.

As we have shown, the substrate influences the emergent magnetism in 2D MOFs by strain, charge transfer, and hybridisation. Consequently, a substrate can be chosen to provide the desired strain (by lattice mismatch) and charge transfer (estimated by work function differences). Some layered substrates, such as hBN on Cu(111), exhibit long-range modulation of the work function due to a Moiré pattern, which could result in spatial modulation of the electron occupation and magnetic phase. For substrates with strong hybridisation with MOFs, it may be possible to reduce the coupling strength by adding decoupling functional groups to the MOF ligands (e.g., ref. 61). By understanding such trends, we can rapidly narrow the search space of candidate substrates, aiding rational design.

We have also shown that we can adjust the emergent magnetism by external controls such as electric fields and mechanical strain. Electrostatic gating could be used to change the charge transfer, potentially allowing for switchable magnetic phases. Our results indicate that an electron occupation change of approximately 1 electron per unit cell, or $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^{-2}$, is needed to change the magnetic phases. If we consider a 10 nm thick parallel-plate capacitor with hBN as a dielectric with a dielectric constant of 3.76, we get a switching voltage of 12 V and, for a 10 by 10 nm device, a switching energy of 24 eV. These voltages are experimentally feasible and the energies are very low. If higher electric fields or capacitances are required, electrolytic gates could be employed. Applied strain, such as from flexible, piezoelectric, or thermally expanding substrates, can also activate or suppress magnetic phases. These controls could be used to induce magnetism in otherwise non-magnetic MOFs or to dynamically switch magnetism on and off.

It is worth pointing out that our calculations capture electron correlations at the mean-field level. The mean-field approximation allows for exploring magnetic phases in the kagome lattice. However, effects such as the Kondo effect or Mott transition are not captured in our calculations. Higher levels of theory, such as dynamical mean-field theory, could capture these effects and reveal further interesting physics. An accurate description of many-body effects such as the Kondo effect would be important for quantitative comparisons with experiments, including magnetic order or suppression of the latter, beyond the prediction and corroboration of local magnetic moments. Our DFT and mean-field results suggest some degree of magnetic order on substrates that include metals (e.g., Ag(111)), where Kondo-screening of local magnetic moments can actually prevent such magnetic order. Regardless, our approach is useful for predicting the presence of local magnetic moments, a necessary ingredient for the Kondo effect.

We made a few simplifications in constructing our Hubbard model. Next-nearest-neighbour hopping was ignored in our Hubbard model because for DCA-Cu this is negligible (Supplementary Fig. 2). For simplicity we regarded only on-site Coulomb interactions in the Hubbard model, and although inter-site Coulomb interactions can slightly alter the phase space, it is generally possible to replace inter-site interactions with an effective on-site interaction. Given that spin–orbit coupling (SOC) for DCA-Cu is very small, we did not consider SOC in our calculations. Note that when SOC becomes significant (e.g., in systems with heavier elements), it can give rise to effects such as noncollinear magnetism and topological insulator phases. Our DFT calculations also showed some minor changes to the substrate due to the presence of the MOF beyond what we included in the Hubbard model (e.g., graphite in Supplementary Fig. 7), which may lead to differences in the chosen magnetic ordering due to substrate-mediated exchange coupling. These limitations notwithstanding, our mean-field Hubbard model is adequate for interpreting our DFT results and identifying general trends in phase space.

In conclusion, we have shown how choice of substrate controls interaction-induced magnetism in metal-organic frameworks. MOF-substrate coupling, electron occupation of the MOF, and MOF bandwidth are all critical factors for interaction-induced magnetism. These can be tuned by choice of substrate (with density of states, lattice mismatch, and work function) or by external controls such as strain or electric field. The use of electric fields to control magnetism opens the door for electric-field-controlled 2D solid-state devices for spintronics and quantum information technologies. While our DFT results model DCA-Cu specifically, our Hubbard results are generalisable to any kagome lattice on a substrate. MOFs (as well as purely organic materials like covalent organic frameworks) benefit from synthesis protocols via supramolecular chemistry, leveraging the versatile toolbox of organic chemistry for tailoring atomic-scale 2D material structures. We envision experiments where correlated-electron phases of 2D flat-band organic and metal-organic materials—including the magnetic phases predicted in this work as well as metal-to-Mott–insulator quantum phase transitions—can be observed via electron transport measurements, optical and photoelectron spectroscopies (including angle-resolved), and scanning probe microscopy techniques (including spin-resolved). Insights gained here on the physics of substrate-induced magnetism in MOFs can be expected to aid in the rational design of MOFs with exotic properties in realistic device setups.

**METHODS**

**Density functional theory calculations**

Our density functional theory (DFT) calculations for the 2D MOF DCA-Cu on various substrates were performed using Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP). The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional under the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) was used to describe exchange-correlation effects. Projector augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials were used to describe core electrons. A semi-empirical potential developed by Grimme (DFT-D3) was used to describe van der Waals (vdW) forces. For an accurate description of electron correlations in d electrons, we used Dudarev’s implementation of DFT + $U^{\text{eff}}$. Our density functional theory calculations also showed some minor changes to the substrate due to the presence of the MOF beyond what we included in the Hubbard model (e.g., graphite in Supplementary Fig. 7), which may lead to differences in the chosen magnetic ordering due to substrate-mediated exchange coupling. These limitations notwithstanding, our mean-field Hubbard model is adequate for interpreting our DFT results and identifying general trends in phase space.

**Visualisation of atomic positions** was performed using VESTA. Atomic structures are shown in Supplementary Fig. 10.
Mean-field Hubbard model

Our model Hamiltonian for the MOF-substrate system is

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_\text{fl} + \hat{H}_\text{sub} + \hat{H}_\text{couple}. $$

(1)

The first two terms are for the kagome lattice, describing nearest-neighbour hopping and on-site Coulomb interactions respectively, defined as

$$\hat{H}_0 = -t \sum_{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} c_{\alpha, \sigma}^\dagger c_{\beta, \sigma} + \varepsilon_i, $$

(2)

$$\hat{H}_\text{fl} = U_{\text{fl}} \sum_i n_{i, \uparrow} n_{i, \downarrow} + U_{\text{fl}} (\hat{n}_{i, \uparrow} \hat{n}_{i, \downarrow} - \langle \hat{n}_{i, \uparrow} \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{i, \downarrow} \rangle), $$

(3)

where \(t\) is the hopping constant, \(\varepsilon\) is the on-site energy, \(U_{\text{fl}}\) is the Coulomb interaction, \(c_{\alpha}^\dagger (c_{\alpha})\) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin \(\sigma\) at site \(i\), and \(\hat{n}_{i, \sigma} = \hat{c}_{i, \sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i, \sigma}\) is the density operator. The Coulomb interactions are solved using the Hartree-mean field approximation. The substrate is described by the term

$$\hat{H}_\text{sub} = \sum_{k, \alpha} e_k \hat{\psi}_{k, \alpha}^\dagger \hat{\psi}_{k, \alpha}, $$

(4)

where \(\hat{\psi}_{k, \alpha}^\dagger\) creates an electron with spin \(\sigma\) and momentum \(k\) as a plane wave in substrate band \(\alpha\) with dispersion \(e_k(k)\). We derive the substrate dispersions from DFT calculations on a substrate slab. The coupling between the substrate and kagome lattice is given by

$$\hat{H}_\text{couple} = \sum_{i, \alpha} \left( \sum_{\sigma} \hat{c}_{\alpha, \sigma}^\dagger \hat{\psi}_{i, \sigma} + \hat{\psi}_{i, \sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\alpha, \sigma} \right), $$

(5)

where \(\hat{\psi}_{i, \sigma}\) is the coupling constant and \(\hat{c}_{\alpha, \sigma}\) creates a localised electron in substrate band \(\alpha\) at position \(r\), and \(r\) is the position of kagome lattice site \(i\). We solve this model with a substrate (1) self-consistently in the grand canonical ensemble. To get a fixed number of electrons in the kagome lattice, we adjust the relative energy of the kagome lattice and the substrate until the approximately correct electron number is obtained. Further details of the Hamiltonian are in Supplementary Note 5.
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