Diagnosis and surgical strategy for sacral meningeal cysts with check-valve mechanism: technical note
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Abstract

Objective There is agreement that symptomatic sacral meningeal cysts with a check-valve mechanism and/or large cysts representing space-occupying lesions should be treated surgically. This study investigated factors indicating a need for surgical intervention and surgical techniques for sacral meningeal cysts with a check-valve mechanism.

Methods In ten patients presenting with sciatica and neurological deficits, myelography, computed tomography (CT) myelography, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) detected sacral meningeal cysts with a check-valve mechanism. One patient had two primary cysts. Ten cysts were type 2 and one cyst was type 1. Nine of the ten patients had not undergone previous surgery, while the remaining case involved recurrent cyst. For the seven patients with normal (i.e., not huge or recurrent) type 2 cysts and no previous surgery (eight cysts), suture after collapse of the cyst wall was performed. For the recurrent type 2 cyst, duraplasty and suture with collapse of the cyst wall were performed to eliminate the check-valve mechanism. For the remaining type 2 cyst, a primary root was sacrificed because of the huge size of the cyst. For the type 1 cyst, the neck of the cyst was ligated.

Results In all cases, chief complaints disappeared immediately postoperatively and no deterioration of clinical symptoms has been seen after a mean follow-up of 27 months.

Conclusions The presence or absence of a check-valve mechanism is very important in determining the need for surgical intervention for sacral meningeal cysts.
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Introduction

A sacral meningeal cyst is often found incidentally, and no specific surgical method has been established for most cases [9, 14]. With large and/or sacral meningeal cysts with a check-valve mechanism, surgery must occasionally be performed [5]. Here we report ten operative cases of sacral meningeal cyst with a check-valve mechanism.

Materials and methods

Participants in this study comprised six men and four women (mean age, 42.1 years; range, 18–56 years). Myelography, computed tomography (CT) myelography, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were performed preoperatively in all the cases. CT myelography is essential to clarify the bone structure and the relationships with the cyst and the bone. Multiple cysts were observed in all cases, and myelography and CT myelography were required to identify the primary cyst. In nine cases, only one primary cyst was present (Figs. 1, 2, and 4). The
remaining case showed two primary cysts (case 9, Table 1). All primary cysts were classified using Nabors’ classification [8], which is based on the anatomical location of the cyst and the relationships to surrounding nerve roots, as follows: type 1, extradural cyst without nerve root fiber; type 2, extradural cyst with nerve root fiber; and type 3, intradural cyst. For extradural cysts without the presence of a nerve root fiber (type 1), the cyst wall was sharply incised and ligated by suturing to remove the check-valve under the microscope and prevent injury to the nerve with careful observation. For extradural cysts with nerve root fiber (type 2), the cyst wall is opened and the nerve root identified. Where the root passes through the foramen, the check-valve system can be confirmed using the Valsalva maneuver. Part of the cyst wall is then removed and sutured tightly. Especially in cases of huge cysts, care should be taken to avoid leaving space when suturing the wall. The use of continuous sutures with non-absorbable thread is preferred.

Nine cases (ten cysts) were type 2 and one case was a type 1 sacral meningeal cyst. Of the ten patients, nine had not undergone any previous surgery for cysts. The remaining patient showed recurrent cyst. In this recurrent case, cyst-subarachnoid shunt had been performed 8 years earlier, but the shunt system had started to malfunction (Fig. 3). All ten patients (11 cysts) underwent surgery. All patients had presented with sciatica, bowel sphincter dysfunction, or motor weakness of the lower extremities. In all except the type 1 cyst, the primary cyst was not symptomatic, because the primary cyst did not compress other roots in the spinal canal (Fig. 4).

Operations

For the seven patients with normal (i.e., not recurrent or huge) type 2 cyst and no previous surgery (eight cysts), suturing the cyst wall after collapse of the cyst wall was performed. For the recurrent case, duraplasty and suture after collapse of the cyst wall were performed to eliminate the check-valve mechanism of the cyst. For the remaining type 2 cyst without previous surgery, the primary root was sacrificed under meticulous monitoring because of the huge size of the cyst (Figs. 5 and 6). For the type 1 cyst, ligation of the neck of the cyst was performed (Table 1).

Results

Perioperative complications were not observed in any except the recurrent case. In that case, subcutaneous leakage of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) occurred 2 weeks after surgical intervention. After spinal drainage was performed for 4 weeks, CSF leakage disappeared completely. In all cases, the chief complaints disappeared immediately postoperatively. All cases were followed-up after the operation (range of follow-up, 9 months to 6 years), with no further recurrences identified in any cases. In the seven cases (eight cysts) of normal type 2 cyst with no previous surgeries, the check-valve mechanism was eliminated naturally (automatic elimination) after collapse of the cyst wall and suturing. Elimination of the check-valve mechanism could be confirmed when CSF began to flow naturally through the cyst.

Discussion

Due to advances in MRI, sacral meningeal cysts are increasingly being incidentally [10, 13, 15]. Most of the time, sacral meningeal cysts do not have a typical presentation [11], and operable cases are rare. In most cases presenting with multiple cysts, surgery is indicated for only one of the cysts [4]. The most important task in the case of multiple cysts is identification of the primary cyst by myelography and CT myelography [12, 15]. In the primary cyst, contrast medium does not flow into the

Fig. 1  CT myelography in case 8 (prone position, caudal view) reveals the primary cyst (arrow). Contrast medium shows only weak filling in the primary cyst

Fig. 2  MR myelography in case 8 (MRM) showing multiple sacral meningeal cysts. However, the primary cyst cannot be identified from MRM
cyst immediately after injection into the subarachnoid space. CT myelography reveals only a weak filling of contrast medium into the primary cyst, and also confirms differences in the density of contrast medium among cysts in the case of multiple cysts or from the degree of contrast filling in the subarachnoid space. The primary cyst is the one with the check-valve mechanism [6]. Because pressure within the cyst is greater than that in the subarachnoid space, contrast medium does not flow easily into the cyst. The check-valve mechanism is responsible for the one-way flow of CSF from the caudal sac to the cyst, which is a good indication for surgery [6]. Primary and secondary cysts can thus be confirmed on the basis of differences in the density of contrast medium. In our experience, most cysts showing a check-valve mechanism are type 2 cysts.

Previous reports have mentioned the use of various operative methods for cysts with check-valve mechanisms [1–4, 6, 7, 12]. The operative method used in this case involves performing a cyst-subarachnoid shunt, suturing after collapse of the cyst wall, and plugging of a piece of muscle tissue in the region from where the root penetrates the cyst. However, the shunt might become occluded over time, and the plugging with muscle may lead to inflammatory changes at the root, resulting in unfavorable outcomes. The main aim of performing this operation is to eliminate the check-valve mechanism, which develops in the area where the root arises from the caudal sac towards the cyst. Our experience shows that it is possible to confirm elimination of the check-valve mechanism intraoperatively. The cyst should be opened and the origin of the nerve root confirmed before collapsing and suturing the cyst wall (Fig. 7). The position of the check-valve mechanism should then be confirmed. The Valsalva method is helpful to identify this point (Fig. 8). Many variations in CSF inflow pattern into the cyst can be seen due to the check-valve mechanism. A jet flow through the leakage point can be observed in some cases, while other cases may show no CSF flow during the Valsalva

| Case | Age (years) | Sex | Primary | Type | Main symptoms | Check-valve | Operations | Follow-up (years) |
|------|-------------|-----|---------|------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| 1    | 40          | M   | Rt. S2  | 1    | Rt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia | +           | Ligation of neck of the cyst | 16               |
| 2    | 52          | M   | Rt. S2  | 2    | Rt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia | +           | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 15               |
| 3    | 18          | M   | Rt. S2  | 2    | Rt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia | +           | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 15               |
| 4    | 44          | M   | Lt. S3  | 2    | Lt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia, coccydynia | +       | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 12               |
| 5    | 53          | F   | Rt. S3  | 2    | Rt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia, coccydynia | +           | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 12               |
| 6    | 42          | F   | Lt. S2  | 2    | Lt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia, coccydynia | +           | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 10               |
| 7    | 56          | M   | Rt. S2  | 2    | Rt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia, sphincter-bowel dysfunction, weakness of right gastrocnemius muscle | +       | Suture after collapse of cyst wall, elimination of check-valve with duraplasty | 7                |
| 8    | 33          | M   | Lt. S2  | 2    | Lt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia | +           | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 7                |
| 9    | 54          | F   | Lt. S2  | 2    | bilateral sciatica, leg hypesthesia, sphincter-bowel dysfunction, weakness of right FHL | +       | Suture after collapse of cyst wall | 7                |
| 10   | 29          | F   | Lt. S3  | 2    | Lt. sciatica, leg hypesthesia | +           | Sacrifice of Lt. S3 root | 6                |

Table 1 Summary of patients. Case 7 involved recurrent type 2 cyst. Case 9 showed two primary type 2 cysts. Case 10 is a huge type 2 cyst.

Type: Nabors’ classification (Nabors MW et al. 1988). Rt. right; Lt. left; FHL flexor hallucis longus
maneuver. The check-valve mechanism was eliminated naturally when sutured the collapsed cyst wall in all cases except those involving reoperation or huge cyst. Because the cyst was treated by suturing after collapsing the cyst wall from which the root arises, the check-valve mechanism was thought to be eliminated naturally. Importantly, suturing after collapse of the cyst wall should be performed “like a nerve root plasty” (Fig. 9). Furthermore, it is necessary to evaluate whether the root of a large cyst can be sacrificed under meticulous monitoring. In addition, suture after collapse of the cyst wall is difficult in

Fig. 5  a, b T2-weighted MRI in case 10 revealing a huge presacral mass (11×10×9 cm). The huge sacral meningeal huge enters the presacral space through the left S2 and S3 foramina

Fig. 6 CT myelography in case 10 showing the huge cyst (supine position, caudal view). The left S2 root is compressed and the S3 root is continuous with the cyst interior

Fig. 7 Schematic image of a type 2 cyst. After opening the cyst, the root is identified (arrow)

Fig. 8 Schematic image of the “check-valve mechanism” (arrow). During the operation, the “check-valve mechanism” should be detected using the Valsalva method

Fig. 9 Schematic image of the suture with collapse of the cyst wall, as in “nerve root plasty”
re-operated cases, and the method of eliminating the check-valve mechanism directly using artificial GORE-TEX appears to warrant investigation (Fig. 10).

Conclusions

Generally, the check-valve mechanism is eliminated naturally after suturing and collapse of the cyst wall. However, duraplasty must be performed in recurrent cases, when the check-valve mechanism has not been eliminated and surgical removal is required to establish communication with the caudal sac. The presence or absence of a check-valve mechanism is very important in determining whether surgical intervention for the sacral meningeal cyst is necessary.

Conflicts of interest None.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Bartels RH, van Overbeeke JJ (1997) Lumbar cerebrospinal fluid drainage for symptomatic sacral nerve root cysts: an adjuvant diagnostic procedure and/or alternative treatment? Technical case report. Neurosurgery 40:861–864, discussion 864–865
2. Caspar W, Papavero L, Nabhan A, Loew C, Ahlhelm F (2003) Microsurgical excision of symptomatic sacral perineural cysts: a study of 15 cases. Surg Neurol 59:101–105, discussion 105–106
3. Doi H, Sakurai S, Ida M, Sora S, Asamoto S, Sugiyama H (1999) A case of sacral meningeal cyst with Marfan syndrome. No Shinkei Geka 27:847–850
4. Doi H, Toyota I, Matsumoto K, Imai S, Sekimizu M (1995) Occult intrasacral meningocele with tethered cord—case report. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 35:321–324
5. Guo D, Shu K, Chen R, Ke C, Zhu Y, Lei T (2007) Microsurgical treatment of symptomatic sacral perineural cysts. Neurosurgery 60:1059–1065, discussion 1065–1056
6. Iwasaki Y, Yamauchi R, Akino M, Isu T, Abe H, Tashiro K, Kemotsu O (1992) Sacral nerve root cysts manifesting as localized unilateral perineal pain—case report. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 32:96–99
7. Mummaneni PV, Pitts LH, McCormack BM, Corroo JM, Weinstein PR (2000) Microsurgical treatment of symptomatic sacral Tarlov cysts. Neurosurgery 47:74–78, discussion 78–79
8. Nabors MW, Pait TG, Byrd EB, Karim NO, Davis DO, Kobrine AJ, Rizzoli HV (1988) Updated assessment and current classification of spinal meningeal cysts. J Neurosurg 68:366–377
9. Prevedello DM, Tatsui CE, Koerbel A, Grande CV, Cordeiro JG, Araujo JC (2005) Ventral extradural spinal meningeal cyst causing cord compression: neurosurgical treatment. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 63:855–858
10. Ramsbacher J, Schilling AM, Wolf KJ, Brock M (1997) Magnetic resonance myelography (MRM) as a spinal examination technique. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 139:1080–1084
11. Schreiber F, Haddad B (1951) Lumbar and sacral cysts causing pain. J Neurosurg 8:504–509
12. Tanaka M, Nakahara S, Ito Y, Nakanishi K, Sugimoto Y, Ikuma H, Ozaki T (2006) Surgical results of sacral perineural (Tarlov) cysts. Acta Med Okayama 60:65–70
13. Tarlov IM (1970) Spinal perineural and meningeal cysts. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 33:833–843
14. Tiel RL, Harkey HL (2008) Microsurgical treatment of symptomatic sacral perineural cysts. Neurosurgery 63:E376, author reply E376
15. Voyadzis JM, Bhargava P, Henderson FC (2001) Tarlov cysts: a study of 10 cases with review of the literature. J Neurosurg 95:25–32