Identification and Functional Characterization of Inhibitor-3, a Regulatory Subunit of Protein Phosphatase 1 in Plants
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Protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) is a eukaryotic serine/threonine protein phosphatase, and mediates diverse cellular processes in animal systems via the association of a catalytic subunit (PP1c) with multiple regulatory subunits that determine the catalytic activity, the subcellular localization, and the substrate specificity. However, no regulatory subunit of PP1 has been identified in plants so far. In this study, we identified inhibitor-3 (Inh3) as a regulatory subunit of PP1 and characterized a functional role of Inh3 in Vicia faba and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). We found Inh3 as one of the proteins interacting with PP1c using a yeast two-hybrid system. Biochemical analyses demonstrated that Arabidopsis Inh3 (Atinh3) bound to PP1c via the RVxF motif of Atinh3, a consensus PP1c-binding sequence both in vitro and in vivo. Atinh3 inhibited the PP1c phosphatase activity in the nanomolar range in vitro. Atinh3 was localized in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, and it colocalized with Arabidopsis PP1c in these compartments. Disruption mutants of AtINH3 delayed the progression of early embryogenesis, arrested embryo development at the globular stage, and eventually caused embryo lethality. Furthermore, reduction of AtINH3 expression by RNA interference led to a decrease in fertility. Transformation of the lethal mutant of inh3 with wild-type AtINH3 restored the phenotype, whereas that with the AtINH3 gene having a mutation in the RVxF motif did not. These results define Inh3 as a regulatory subunit of PP1 in plants and suggest that Inh3 plays a crucial role in early embryogenesis in Arabidopsis.

Reversible protein phosphorylation, which is regulated by the activities of protein kinase and phosphatase, is an important mechanism of the posttranslational regulation of cellular and developmental processes. Protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) is a major member of the PPP family of Ser/Thr protein phosphatases (PP1, PP2A, PP2B, PP4, PP5, PP6, and PP7) and is ubiquitously distributed in higher eukaryotes (Barton et al., 1994; Cohen, 1997, 2002). In animals, PP1 has been shown to regulate diverse cellular processes, such as cell cycle progression, transcription, protein synthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, muscle contraction, and neuronal signaling (Bollen, 2001; Cohen, 2002; Ceulemans and Bollen, 2004).

Recently, we have demonstrated that PP1 functions as a positive regulator in the signaling of blue light-dependent stomatal opening in plants, a process essential for photosynthetic CO₂ fixation and transpiration (Takemiya et al., 2006; Shimazaki et al., 2007). Transient expressions of either dominant negative forms of the PP1 catalytic subunit (PP1c) or the mammalian PP1c-specific inhibitor protein in guard cells impaired blue light-specific stomatal opening. However, aside from their role in stomatal opening, little is known about the physiological function of PP1 in plant cells, although a number of pharmacological studies have suggested that PP1 or PP2A is involved in various processes, such as gene expression, phytohormone signaling, regulation of ion channels, and pathogen resistance (Smith and Walker, 1996; Luan, 2003).

PP1 consists of a PP1c and multiple distinct regulatory subunits that specify the catalytic activity, substrate specificity, and subcellular localization of the enzyme (Bollen, 2001; Cohen, 2002). PP1c is an approximately 37-kD protein and is highly conserved throughout eukaryotes, including plants. In most eukaryotes, several isoforms of PP1c with high similarities have been identified, e.g. three in Homo sapiens, at least four in Vicia faba, five in Oryza sativa, and nine in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis Genome Initiative, 2000; Kerk et al., 2002; International Rice Genome Sequencing Project, 2005; Takemiya et al., 2006). By contrast, the regulatory subunits are multiple and structurally quite different, but most of these regulatory subunits in animals possess a common consensus-binding motif for PP1c, which is referred to as the RVxF motif, with short degenerate sequences (K/R/H/N/SV/I/LX F/W/Y; Egloff et al., 1997; Zhao and Lee, 1997; Barford et al., 1998). This RVxF motif acts as a primary binding site to PP1c and also serves as an anchor for building secondary low-affinity binding sites, which...
determines the specific function of individual regulatory subunits (Wakula et al., 2003).

In animals, at least 45 genes that encode genuine or putative PP1 regulatory subunits have been identified, and this large set of regulatory subunits forms a variety of distinct holoenzymes with PP1c and enables PP1 to be involved in diverse cellular processes (Bollen, 2001; Cohen, 2002). Surprisingly, however, no regulatory subunits of PP1 have been identified in plants yet (Smith and Walker, 1996; Luan, 2003; DeLong, 2006). Therefore, it is very important to identify and characterize the regulatory subunits of PP1 to elucidate the regulatory mechanisms and physiological functions of plant PP1.

In this study, we identified inhibitor-3 (Inh3) in V. faba and Arabidopsis as a regulatory subunit for PP1. Inh3 interacted specifically with PP1c, inhibited the PP1c catalytic activity, and was localized in both the nucleus and the cytosol. We also demonstrated that Inh3 plays an essential role in embryo development.

RESULTS

Isolation of a Regulatory Subunit of PP1 by Yeast Two-Hybrid Screening

We carried out a yeast two-hybrid screen using VfPP1c-1, a PP1c expressed in V. faba, as bait (Takemiya et al., 2006), and a cDNA expression library constructed from Vicia guard cell protoplasts as prey (Emi et al., 2005). We obtained 20 positive clones from 20 x 10^6 transformants, and nine of them exhibited overlapping cDNA sequences. A full-length cDNA was obtained by inverse PCR and 3' RACE. The cDNA contained an open reading frame of 375 bp and encoded a small polypeptide of 124 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 13.8 kD (Fig. 1A). We named this novel protein V. faba PP1 Regulatory Subunit1 (VfPRS1).

Database searches revealed that this protein is a homolog of human Inh3 and yeast phosphatase inhibitor 1 (Ypi1), which are PP1 regulatory subunits (Zhang et al., 1998; García-Gimeno et al., 2003). The homologous proteins were found in Arabidopsis, Medicago truncatula, and O. sativa (Fig. 1A). They contained conserved regions in the middle of the sequences, where we identified two putative RVxF motifs, KVSW in Vicia, Arabidopsis, and Medicago and KVTW in rice. These proteins are conserved in eukaryotes, including fungi, protozoa, insects, nematode, vertebrates, and mammals (Fig. 1B). Therefore, the PP1c-interactive protein of VfPRS1 was renamed VfInh3.

Inh3 Specifically Interacted with PP1c Both in Vitro and in Vivo

The interaction of VfInh3 with VfPP1c-1 was revealed by the β-galactosidase activity in the yeast two-hybrid system. Strong β-galactosidase activity was observed when VfInh3 was coexpressed with VfPP1c-1, but not with VfPP2Ac-1, a PP2A catalytic subunit from Vicia (Fig. 2A). Human inhibitor-2, a known PP1 regulatory subunit in mammals, interacted with VfPP1c-1 to the same degree (Fig. 2A). To determine whether the interaction between VfInh3 and PP1c is mediated by KVSW (as RVxF motif), we substituted...
Ala for the Trp-59 in VfInh3. The resulting mutant protein of VfInh3-W59A lost the ability to bind to VfPP1c-1 (Fig. 2A).

We cloned various catalytic subunits of the PPP family of Ser/Thr protein phosphatases from Arabidopsis and investigated the interaction of these subunits with Arabidopsis Inh3 (AtInh3; At2g31305) using the yeast two-hybrid system (Fig. 2B). AtInh3 bound to all nine PP1c isoforms (Type One Protein Phosphatase [TOPP]) but did not bind to any of the other catalytic subunits (i.e. PP2A, PP4, PP5, PP6, or PP7; Fig. 2B). Substitution of Ala for Trp-43 in the RVxF motif of AtInh3 abolished the binding ability of AtInh3 to PP1cs (Fig. 2B).

The interaction between Inh3 and PP1c was further tested by in vitro pull-down assays using recombinant Inh3 and PP1c (Fig. 2C). That the molecular masses of recombinant VfInh3 (22.3 kD) and AtInh3 (19.0 kD) were higher than the predicted values of 13.8 and 10.5 kD, respectively, was considered to be due to the high hydrophilicity of the two proteins (Zhang et al., 1998). FLAG-tagged Inh3 (FLAG:VfInh3 and FLAG:AtInh3) was coprecipitated with glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged-PP1c (GST-VfPP1c-1 and GST-TOPP4) but not...
with GST alone (Fig. 2, D and E). The disruption of putative RVxF motifs in Inh3 abolished the binding between Inh3 and PP1c.

We also observed a binding between Inh3 and PP1c in vivo. Wild-type Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants were transformed with a full-length AtINH3 or AtINH3-W43A fused with 3xFLAG under a native promoter. The PP1c was coimmunoprecipitated with 3xFLAG: AtInh3 but not with 3xFLAG: AtInh3-W43A by anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 2F). Based on these results in vitro and in vivo, we concluded that Inh3 functions as a PPI regulatory subunit in plant cells.

**Inh3 Inhibited PP1c Catalytic Activities**

We investigated the effect of Inh3 on PP1c catalytic activities using a [32P]labeled myelin basic protein as a substrate. Both VfInh3 and AtInh3 strongly inhibited the dephosphorylation activities of PP1c in a concentration-dependent manner, with half-inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of 0.50 and 0.75 nM for VfInh3 and AtInh3, respectively (Fig. 3). When the same assay was performed using VfInh3-W59A and AtInh3-W43A, which lost the binding ability to PP1c (Fig. 2), the dephosphorylation activities were not greatly affected by these mutant proteins (Fig. 3). These results suggest that plant Inh3 functions as an activity modulator of PP1c.

**Inh3 Was Localized in the Nucleus and the Cytoplasm**

We next studied the subcellular localization of Inh3 by transient expression of AtInh3 fused to a green fluorescent protein (sGFP:AtINH3) in *Vicia* guard cells after particle bombardment as well as the coexpression of the nuclear localization site of cryptochrome 2 (CRY2) fused to a red fluorescent protein (CRY2-DN: DsRed; Pollmann et al., 2006). sGFP:AtInh3 was localized in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 4A).

If AtInh3 binds to PP1c in vivo, these two proteins should be colocalized. To examine this, nine PP1c isoforms of Arabidopsis were fused to mCherry (mCherry:TOPP) and transiently coexpressed with AtInh3 or AtInh3-W43A. All nine PP1c isoforms were colocalized with AtInh3 in both the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 4B). In contrast, the efficient nuclear localization of PP1c was diminished when PP1c was coexpressed with AtInh3-W43A, although AtInh3-W43A was localized in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Fig. 4C). These results support an in vivo interaction of AtInh3 with PP1c via the RVxF motif.

**Tissue-Specific Expression of Plant INH3**

Expression of *Vicia* and Arabidopsis INH3 genes was determined by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR in guard cell protoplasts, mesophyll cell protoplasts, leaves, stems, and roots. Transcripts of *VfINH3* and *AtINH3* were found in all cell/tissue types and particularly in guard cell protoplasts (Fig. 5, A and B).

We generated transgenic plants expressing the GUS reporter gene driven by the *AtINH3* promoter (the 2.2-kb region upstream from the initiator ATG). Blue staining was detected in several organs, including roots and aerial parts (Fig. 5C). In aerial parts, the staining was found in photosynthetic and reproductive organs and was particularly strong in trichomes and the veins of cotyledons and leaves (Fig. 5, D and E). In agreement with the results of the RT-PCR analysis, the staining was found in guard cells (Fig. 5F). The staining was also found in the filaments and stigma of flowers (Fig. 5G), in both the embryo proper (globular stage) and endosperm of developing seeds (Fig. 5H) and in the root tips and steles of root (Fig. 5, I and J). Finally, the staining was visible in young seedlings (Fig. 5K).

**Isolation and Characterization of T-DNA Insertion Mutants of AtINH3**

Since the expression of *AtINH3* was observed preferentially in guard cells, we initially attempted to characterize the physiological function of Inh3 in guard cells using Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion lines. We obtained two T-DNA insertion lines from the SALK and SAIL collections and designated the corresponding alleles inh3-1 (SALK_044593) and inh3-2 (SAIL_806_C02), respectively. The insertions were located in the second exon of *AtINH3*, 146 and 1 bp from the corresponding alleles.
downstream of the ATG initiation codon in inh3-1 and inh3-2, respectively (Fig. 6A). A PCR-based screen using gene-specific primers and the T-DNA left border primer revealed that all the plants from the T3 or T4 generation were the heterozygous or wild-type strains, and there were no homozygous plants (Fig. 6, B and C). All the heterozygous plants were morphologically similar to the wild-type plants and did not show any impairment in stomatal responses (data not shown). Segregation analyses of >100 self-pollinated heterozygous (parent) plants revealed that there was a 2:1 ratio of T-DNA heterozygous plants to wild-type plants (Table I), suggesting that a homozygous insertion confers the lethality and/or reduced gametophytic transmission. To test whether the insertion was transmitted normally through both male and female gametophytes, we reciprocally crossed inh3-1 with the wild type and screened F1 plants for the T-DNA insertion by PCR. Transmission of the T-DNA insertion occurred through both gametophytes (Table I).

In Arabidopsis, seeds within a single silique develop at a similar rate. If the homozygous insertion in

Figure 4. Subcellular localization of plant Inh3. A, Gene constructs encoding sGFP:AtINH3 and CRY2ΔN:DsRed were cotransformed into Vicia guard cells by particle bombardment, and fluorescent images were obtained by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. The individual fluorescent image, merged image, and corresponding differential interference contrast (DIC) image are presented. Bar = 10 μm. B and C, sGFP:AtINH3 (B) or sGFP:AtINH3-W43A (C) were cotransformed with the mCherry fusion Arabidopsis PP1c (mCherry:TOPP) in Vicia guard cells.

Figure 5. Expression of plant INH3 in various tissues. A and B, Expression of VfINH3 (A) or AtINH3 (B) mRNA determined by RT-PCR in guard cell protoplasts (GCPs), mesophyll cell protoplasts (MCPs), leaves, stems, and roots from 5-week-old V. faba and Arabidopsis. Vicia ACT and Arabidopsis TUB were used as standards for A and B, respectively. C to K, Expression of AtINH3Pro::GUS in Arabidopsis transgenic plants. Results are shown for a 3-week-old whole seedling (C), cotyledon leaf (D), young leaf (E), abaxial epidermis (F), 6-week-old flower (G), developing seed (H), root tissue (I), root tip (J), and 3-d-old seedling (K). Inset in H represents the globular stage embryo removed from the developing seed. GC, guard cells; Ep, embryo proper; Es, endosperm.
**AtINH3** causes embryo lethality, the self-pollinated seeds from heterozygous **inh3** mutants would be expected to include aborted seeds. In wild-type siliques, all seeds developed normally and were green in color (Fig. 6D). However, the heterozygous lines of **inh3-1** and **inh3-2** produced 24.6% and 22.2% white or brown shriveled seeds, respectively (Table II), and the segregation ratio of normal to abnormal seeds was approximately 3:1, suggesting that these aborted seeds might have been the missing homozygous mutants. Furthermore, the mature dried seeds from wild-type plants exhibited a light brown color and were uniform in shape, whereas the seeds from heterozygous **inh3** mutants contained a number of shriveled and dark brown seeds (Supplemental Fig. S3). Taken together, these results suggest that the disruption of **AtINH3** causes embryo lethality in Arabidopsis. We thus focused our attention on the role of Inh3 in early embryogenesis.

**AtINH3, But Not AtINH3-W43A, Complemented the Embryo-Lethal Phenotype of the inh3 Mutant**

We complemented the **inh3-1** mutant to demonstrate homozygous lethality using a construct containing both the full-length **AtINH3** and the hygromycin resistance gene as a marker (Fig. 7A). We selected T1 plants that contained the heterozygous **inh3-1** T-DNA by PCR-based screen (data not shown). The complementation was tested on the hygromycin-resistant T2 plants by PCR using sense (S2) and antisense (AS2) primers, which amplified both endogenous and transgenic **AtINH3**. The individual PCR products were distinguished by digesting them at the BamHI site that was introduced in the transgene. Among the nine randomly selected hygromycin-resistant T2 plants, three did not possess the endogenous **AtINH3** (Fig. 7B, lanes 1, 4, and 7); thus, these three lines were homozygous for the **inh3-1** allele. The rest were either heterozygous **inh3-1** plants having both endogenous and transgenic **AtINH3** or wild-type plants having the transgene (Fig. 7B, lanes 3 and 8). The siliques of heterozygous **inh3-1** plants possessing the transgenic **AtINH3** produced almost no white or shriveled brown seeds, and nearly all the seeds exhibited fully developed and uniformly sized embryos (Fig. 7C). Therefore, the embryo-lethal phenotype of the homozygous **inh3-1** mutant was fully complemented by the **AtINH3** transgene.

The complementation of the **inh3-1** embryo lethality by the **AtINH3** gene was expected to be due to restoration of the regulation of PP1c via Inh3. It is also possible that Inh3 itself has an essential role in embryogenesis that is independent of PP1c regulation. To test this alternative, we introduced **AtINH3-W43A**, which lost the ability to bind to PP1c (Fig. 2), into the **inh3-1** mutant and inspected the embryo phenotype. We randomly selected nine individual T2 lines and found that there was no homozygous mutant for **inh3-1** (data not shown) and that all these heterozygous **inh3-1**

---

**Table I. Segregation ratio of the T-DNA insertion in inh3 mutants**

| Cross                  | Insertion+ | Insertion- | Total | n  |
|------------------------|------------|------------|-------|----|
| **inh3-1** selfed      | 66.0%      | 34.0%      | 100   | 100|
| **inh3-2** selfed      | 66.7%      | 33.3%      | 108   | 108|
| **inh3-1/- × wild type** | 41.2%  | 58.8%      | 97    | 97 |
| Wild type × **inh3-1/-** | 50.0% | 50.0%      | 98    | 98 |

---

Figure 6. Functional characterization of the AtINH3 disruption mutants. A, Positions of T-DNA insertions in Arabidopsis **inh3** mutants. Boxes indicate exons, with black representing the coding sequence and gray the untranslated region. Lines represent introns. Triangles indicate the T-DNA insertion sites of **inh3-1** and **inh3-2**. Arrows represent the gene-specific primers (S1 and AS1) and the T-DNA border primers (LB1 and LB2) used for PCR-based screens. B and C, PCR-based screens of the genotypes in seedlings from heterozygous **inh3-1** (B) and **inh3-2** (C). PCR was conducted with genomic DNA using a pair of gene-specific primers (top panel) or by the combination of the T-DNA left border primer and the gene-specific primer (bottom panel). The first lane represents the results for the wild-type (WT) control. D, Morphology of seeds from self-pollinated wild-type, heterozygous **inh3-1**, and heterozygous **inh3-2** plants. The aborted seeds are marked with arrowheads. Bar = 1 mm.
plants possessing the transgenous AtINH3-W43A produced the aborted seeds (Fig. 7D). The results may support the possibility that the PP1c-Inh3 complex has an important role in early embryogenesis.

Embryo Development Was Arrested at the Globular Stage in the inh3 Mutant

We next compared the development of the wild-type plants with that of the mutants during seed maturation. Siliques on 4 to 7 d after flowering (DAF) from wild-type and heterozygous inh3-1 plants were dissected, and the cleared seeds were inspected by light microscopy. The wild-type embryos developed from the globular to the bent cotyledon stage (Table III). The majority of the embryos were in the early and late heart developmental stages at 4 DAF, the late heart and torpedo stages at 5 DAF, the torpedo stage at 6 DAF, and the bent cotyledon stage at 7 DAF. In heterozygous inh3-1 embryos, approximately 25% of the plants were arrested at the octant-dermatogen and globular stages, whereas the rest of the embryos developed similarly to the wild type (Table III). The seeds of arrested embryos displayed a white color in the early developmental stages due to the depletion of green embryos and later degenerated to show a dark brown color. Figure 8 presents images of defective embryos that appeared in the silique of heterozygous inh3-1 and normal embryos in the wild-type plants. In heterozygous inh3-1, a quarter of embryos grew much slower than the rest and remained in the eight-cell stage at 3 DAF and the globular stage at 4 DAF, whereas the wild-type embryos were in the globular stage at 3 DAF and the early heart stage at 4 DAF. Although embryo development was delayed in the mutant in these stages, embryo patterning appeared not to be largely changed. From 5 to 6 DAF, while the defective embryos continued to grow, they never developed beyond the globular stage and began to show irregular surfaces. At 7 DAF, the defective embryos stopped developing altogether.

Suppression of AtINH3 by RNA Interference Resulted in Reduced Fertility

To further elucidate the function of Inh3, we generated transgenic plants expressing AtINH3-RNA interference (RNAi) constructs. A full-length coding region of the AtINH3 was cloned in both a sense and an antisense orientation and was used for transforming Arabidopsis Col-0 plants. We obtained only three transformants, probably due to the embryo lethality in the plants. All these transformants displayed normal vegetative growth and development, but they also exhibited reduced fertility. The siliques of RNAi plants were much shorter than those of wild-type plants and produced a reduced number of seeds (Supplemental Fig. S4A). In accord with the impaired phenotypes, the AtINH3 transcript was detected in immature siliques of wild-type plants, whereas the expression levels were greatly reduced in the AtINH3-RNAi lines (Supplemental Fig. S4B).

DISCUSSION

Identification of Inh3 as a Regulatory Subunit for PP1

In animals, the function of PP1 is determined by PP1c activity through the control of regulatory sub-units. In plants, the function of PP1c is controlled by the PP1c-Inh3 complex. The results from this study suggest that the PP1c-Inh3 complex has an important role in early embryogenesis. The observation that the in situ localization of PP1c and Inh3 is similar to the expression pattern of AtINH3 supports this hypothesis. Furthermore, the suppression of AtINH3 by RNA interference resulted in reduced fertility, indicating that the AtINH3 protein is essential for normal embryonic development.

Table II. Percentages of aborted seeds in wild-type and inh3 mutants

| Allele          | Normal Seeds | Aborted Seeds | Total |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| Wild type       | 96.5%        | 3.5%          | n = 85|
| inh3-1 selfed   | 75.4%        | 24.6%         | n = 135|
| inh3-2 selfed   | 77.8%        | 22.2%         | n = 99|

Figure 7. Complementation of the inh3-1 mutation with AtINH3 genomic DNA. A, Diagram of the AtINH3 transgene for complementation analysis. The full-length AtINH3 coding sequence (CDS) was fused with the native promoter and terminator of AtINH3 and introduced into heterozygous inh3-1 plants by gene transfer. The BamHI digestion site was inserted after the initiation codon to discriminate transgenous AtINH3-W43A from the endogenous one. Arrows show the gene-specific primers (S2 and AS2) used to amplify the genomic region of AtINH3. B, PCR-based screens of the genotypes in hygromycin-resistant T2 seedlings obtained from T1 plants having a heterozygous inh3-1 mutation. A partial AtINH3 sequence was amplified using a pair of gene-specific primers and digested with BamHI (top panel). The T-DNA insertion for the inh3-1 mutation was verified by PCR using the T-DNA left border primer and the gene-specific primer (bottom panel). The first lane represents the results for the wild-type (WT) control. C and D, Morphology of seeds from self-pollinated hygromycin-resistant T2 plants having a heterozygous inh3-1 mutation. A partial AtINH3 sequence was amplified using a pair of gene-specific primers and digested with BamHI (top panel). The T-DNA insertion for the inh3-1 mutation was verified by PCR using the T-DNA left border primer and the gene-specific primer (bottom panel). The first lane represents the results for the wild-type (WT) control. C and D, Morphology of seeds from self-pollinated hygromycin-resistant T2 plants having a heterozygous inh3-1 mutation. These transformants express the wild-type AtINH3 (C) and mutant AtINH3-W43A (D), respectively. Bar = 1 mm.
units, and a large number of regulatory subunits for PP1c have been identified (Bollen, 2001; Cohen, 2002; Ceulemans and Bollen, 2004). Similar regulatory subunits have been suggested to be present in plant cells. For example, Stubbs et al. (2001) identified the proteins that bound to PP1c by protein blot analysis in Arabidopsis suspension cells. MacKintosh et al. (1991) reported the complex formation between PP1c and unknown proteins in carrot (Daucus carota) cells. Recent database searches have identified putative Arabidopsis homologs of animal PP1 regulatory subunits (Farkas et al., 2007). However, no conclusive evidence for the existence of plant PP1 regulatory subunits has been obtained so far. In this study, we identified genes that encode PP1c-binding proteins from V. faba, and one of these genes was Inh3. Disruptions of the putative RVxF motif of KVSW in both VfInh3 and AtInh3 by substitution of Ala for Trp abolished the interactions between PP1c and Inh3 in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 2), indicating that the identical mechanisms of regulatory subunits for PP1c operate in plant cells. The results suggest that Inh3 acts as a PP1 regulatory subunit, and the mechanisms by which PP1c functions are controlled are highly conserved in eukaryotes.

Biochemical and Cell Biological Properties of Inh3

We investigated the biochemical properties of plant Inh3 and elucidated that Inh3 regulates the catalytic activity of PP1c. Human Inh3 was initially isolated by its physical interaction with PP1c, and biochemical analysis has shown that the recombinant protein is a heat-stable inhibitor protein of PP1c (Zhang et al., 1998). Subsequently, the yeast Inh3 homolog Ypi1 was identified and shown to possess an inhibitory effect on the PP1c activity (García-Gimeno et al., 2003). In accord with these findings, our results demonstrated that plant Inh3 inhibited the catalytic activity of PP1c at subnanomolar ranges (Fig. 3), and this inhibitory potency was comparable to that of human Inh3 (Zhang et al., 1998). Furthermore, the substitution of Ala for Trp in the RVxF motif of Inh3 eliminated the inhibitory action on PP1c (Fig. 3), supporting the notion that one of the roles of AtInh3 is to regulate the PP1c activity. Since the RVxF motif functions as an initial binding site for PP1c and does not affect the PP1c catalytic activity (Wakula et al., 2003), the secondary low affinity binding of AtInh3 to PP1c, which is not mediated by the RVxF motif, might bring the conformational changes of PP1c and inhibit its catalytic activity.

| Table III. Developmental progression of embryogenesis in wild-type and heterozygous inh3-1 plants |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| DAF | Octant-Dematogen Stage | Globular Stage | Early Heart Stage | Late Heart Stage | Torpedo Stage | Bent Cotyledon Stage | Total |
|------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|
| Wild type |
| 4    | –                   | 7.4%           | 59.6%            | 28.7%            | 4.3%         | –                   | n = 94 |
| 5    | –                   | 5.2%           | 15.8%            | 31.6%            | 47.4%        | –                   | n = 114 |
| 6    | –                   | 6.9%           | 3.4%             | 19.3%            | 65.5%        | 4.8%                | n = 145 |
| 7    | –                   | 5.6%           | 1.2%             | 3.1%             | 14.9%        | 75.2%               | n = 161 |
| inh3-1 |
| 4    | 4.4%                | 25.7%          | 64.0%            | 5.9%             | –            | –                   | n = 136 |
| 5    | 1.9%                | 21.7%          | 15.9%            | 25.5%            | 35.0%        | –                   | n = 157 |
| 6    | 1.0%                | 20.5%          | 3.6%             | 11.3%            | 56.4%        | 7.2%                | n = 195 |
| 7    | 0.7%                | 22.2%          | 0.7%             | 5.9%             | 19.6%        | 51.0%               | n = 153 |

Figure 8. Embryos of wild-type and inh3-1 defective mutants in various developmental stages of seeds. Each seed was obtained from immature siliques at 3 to 7 DAF. Cleared seeds were inspected by a microscope equipped with differential interference contrast optics. Bars = 30 μm.
In animals, a number of PP1 regulatory subunits that regulate PP1c activity (i.e. other than Inh3) have been identified. Heat-stable inhibitory activities toward PP1c have also been detected in the extracts of Arabidopsis and Zea mays root (Lin et al., 1998; Stubbs et al., 2001). The existence of a number of activity modulator proteins that interact with PP1c suggests that PP1c activity is strictly controlled in vivo. Some activity modulator proteins are regulated by a reversible phosphorylation. DARPP-32 and inhibitor-1, for example, inhibit the PP1c activity only when they are phosphorylated by PKA (Shenolikar and Nairn, 1991; Wang et al., 1995). Inhibitor-2 inhibits in its dephosphorylated form and activates the PP1c activity via the phosphorylation by Cdk5 and glycogen synthase kinase-3 (Woodgett and Cohen, 1984; Agarwal-Mawal and Paudel, 2001). Interestingly, the plant Inh3 identified in this study possesses several putative phosphorylation sites (data not shown). Thus, Inh3 may be regulated by a reversible phosphorylation. Further studies will be needed to elucidate the regulatory mechanism for the PP1c-Inh3 complex in plants.

Studies investigating human Inh3 by immunostaining and the GFP fusion system have shown that Inh3 is localized in the nucleoli and the centrosomes (Huang et al., 2005) and further identified two basic clusters in the N terminus (RKKR) and the C terminus (HRKGRRR) of human Inh3 that function as a nuclear localization signal and a nucleolar targeting signal, respectively. In contrast, AtInh3 does not appear to have a basic cluster acting as a nucleolar targeting signal and has only the putative nuclear localization signal from amino acid residues 37 to 60 as predicted by the PredictNLS program (Cokol et al., 2000). In accord with this, this study suggested that AtInh3 was localized in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 4A), and this localization pattern of AtInh3 was consistent with that of yeast Ypi1 (Pedelini et al., 2007). Furthermore, the PP1c isoforms were colocalized with AtInh3 in all these compartments, but the nuclear localization of PP1c was eliminated when the PP1cs were coexpressed with mutant AtInh3-W43A (Fig. 4, B and C). This result can be simply interpreted as indicating that AtInh3 plays an essential role in targeting the PP1c to the nucleus, as suggested for Ypi1 (Bharucha et al., 2008). However, the possibility cannot be ruled out that AtInh3 stabilizes the PP1c by forming the AtInh3-PP1c complex and that the complex efficiently accumulates in the nucleus.

Functional Role of Inh3 in Plants

Inh3 was initially discovered as an inhibitor of PP1c catalytic activity in humans (Zhang et al., 1998) and was later shown to inhibit the same activity in yeast (García-Gimeno et al., 2003); however, the precise functions of Inh3 in these organisms have remained unclear. Therefore, we attempted to elucidate the function of Inh3 in plant cells. We found that the self-pollinated seeds from heterozygous inh3 mutants with T-DNA insertion were aborted at a rate of 25% in their immature siliques, and no homozygous plants were obtained (Fig. 6D; Table II). The aborted seeds produced embryos that remained at an earlier developmental stage. The majority of these seeds were arrested at the globular stage, whereas the wild-type seeds developed from the early heart to the bent cotyledon stage in a siliquae (Table III; Fig. 8). Furthermore, RNAi-mediated suppression of AtINH3 gene expression inhibited the seed maturation (Supplemental Fig. S4). Thus, the disruption of the AtINH3 gene in plant cells appeared to cause embryo lethality. In support of these results, transformation of the heterozygous inh3 mutant by the wild-type AtINH3 gene rescued the embryo lethality phenotype (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these results indicate that the plant Inh3 plays a crucial role in early embryogenesis. We note here that Inh3 may exert its function as a regulatory subunit of PP1, but direct evidence will be required to confirm this.

Molecular Mechanisms of the Function of Inh3 in Embryogenesis

An important finding in our study was that the lethal phenotype of the inh3 mutant was rescued by wild-type AtINH3, but not by the mutant AtINH3-W43A, which lost its ability to bind and inhibit the PP1c. This result suggests that Inh3 exerts its function by binding to PP1c in embryogenesis, and it is also possible that the PP1c catalytic activity may be regulated by Inh3 via the binding. We tried to measure the PP1c activity in the wild-type and inh3 mutant embryos, but we could not determine the phosphatase activities because of the scarcity of mutant embryo preparations. We also identified the isoforms of Arabidopsis PP1c expressed during early embryogenesis and found that eight of nine PP1c isoforms were expressed in young siliques (Supplemental Fig. S5). This made it difficult to identify the functional role of specific isoforms of PP1c in embryogenesis. Further studies will be needed to determine the regulatory role of Inh3 in embryogenesis.

What, then, is the role of the PP1c-Inh3 complex in early embryogenesis? Both the inh3 mutant and the wild-type showed similar early embryo pattern formation, but then embryo development in the mutant was retarded relative to that in the wild type, and the former ultimately ceased at the globular stage (Table III; Fig. 8). This inh3 embryo showed a phenotype similar to that of the mutant, i.e. a defect in the control of cell division and the cell cycle, similar to that observed in rpr1a (Brukhin et al., 2005). In fact, PP1 and/or PP2A have been proposed to play a role in mitosis in several plant species based on studies using pharmacological methods. In alfalfa (Medicago sativa) suspension cells, endothall, an inhibitor of PP1 and PP2A, increased the appearance of hypercondensed early and late prophase chromosomes that could not enter metaphase (Ayaydin et al., 2000). Treatment of
tobacco \((\text{Nicotiana tabacum})\) suspension cells with a similar inhibitor, okadaic acid, resulted in a similar chromosome hypercondensation and blockade of the cell cycle at G2 phase (Zhang et al., 1992). Therefore, the PP1c-Inh3 complex might regulate the chromatin state and mitotic progression.

Furthermore, recent characterizations of yeast Yp1 led us to speculate that AtInh3 plays a role in the regulation of mitosis. Deletion of \(\text{YPII}\) is lethal in yeast, and conditional suppression of \(\text{YPII}\) results in the inhibition of cell growth of mid-mitosis (García-Gimeno et al., 2003; Pedelini et al., 2007). Moreover, Yp1 has been shown to interact with Sds22, a PP1 regulatory subunit required for mitosis, and to form a ternary complex with PP1c (Pedelini et al., 2007; Bharucha et al., 2008). Since the suppression of Yp1 mimics the phenotype caused by the inactivation of Sds22, Yp1 and Sds22 are expected to function cooperatively in regulating the PP1c activity (Pedelini et al., 2007). Considering the similar biochemical properties of Inh3 among eukaryotes, it is conceivable that plant Inh3 may regulate the function of PP1c required for mitosis. Identification of the substrate and the binding proteins of the PP1c-Inh3 complex will be helpful for our understanding of the function of PP1 in plant embryogenesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

Plants of *Vicia faba* ‘Ryosai Issun’ were cultured hydroponically in a greenhouse as described previously (Shimazaki et al., 1992). Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants (ecotype Col) were grown from seeds at 22°C ± 3°C with a photoperiod of 14 h. T-DNA insertion lines SALK_044903 (inh3-1) and SAIL_406_C02 (inh3-2) were obtained from the SALK collection via the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC) at Ohio State University and from the SAIL collection (Sessions et al., 2002; Alonso et al., 2003). Information on the insertional mutant was obtained from the SIGnAL website at http://signal.salk.edu.

**Yeast Two-Hybrid Screen**

The yeast two-hybrid screen was performed using the MATCHMAKER Two-Hybrid System 2 (CLONTECH). The full-length *VfPP1c-1* was fused in-frame to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain in the bait plasmid pAS2-1 (CLONTECH). A cDNA library was constructed from *Vicia* guard cell protoplasts into the phagemid vector pAd-GAL4-2.1 (Stratagene) as described previously (Emi et al., 2005). Yeast strain Y190 was transformed with the bait construct and subsequently with the cDNA library phagemid constructs. Positive transformants (His+ and LacZ+) were selected in the presence of 25 μg 3-aminoatrazole to eliminate false-positive results. Phagemid vectors containing the cDNA clones were recovered by back transformation into *Escherichia coli* strain JM109.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Full-length amino acid sequences were used for phylogenetic analyses. Sequence alignment was performed using the ClustalW program with default parameters (gap opening penalty, 10.00; gap extension penalty, 0.20; delay divergent cutoff, 30%; Supplemental Fig. S1). Phylogenetic analysis was done using MEGA software, version 4, with the neighbor-joining method (Tamura et al., 2007). All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the data set. The number of bootstrap replicates was 1,000.

**Determination of Full-Length *VfINH3***

3’ RACE was done using a GeneRacer kit (Invitrogen) with two primers (5’-TCTCGTGAAAGATGGCAGCTTGGA-3’ and 5’-TGAAGCTTGGCC-GAGCAGTACTGGT-3’). Full-length *VfINH3* was obtained by inverse PCR with circular cDNA prepared from the first-strand cDNA using T4 polynucleotide kinase and T4 RNA ligase (Takara). The first PCR was carried out with the primers 5’-CCAGGACACGTCTGTTAATGATTG-3’ and 5’-CTCCATC- GAACATTTCCAC-3’, and the nested PCR was performed with the primers 5’-CCAGGACACGTCTGTTAATGATTG-3’ and 5’-AGTGCCATCTTCTTC-CAGGAC-3’. The resulting PCR products were subcloned into a pCR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). Sequences were determined from both strands of the cDNA (ABI PRISM 3100; Applied Biosystems).

**Quantitative β-Galactosidase Assay**

The bait and prey constructs were prepared using pAS2-1 and pACT2 (CLONTECH) and transformed into the yeast strain Y190. The β-galactosidase liquid culture assay was carried out using o-nitrophenyl β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) as a substrate. Briefly, overnight cultures grown at 30°C in synthetic complete media lacking Trp and Leu were centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 s, and the resulting pellet was washed with Z buffer (pH 7.0) containing 60 mM Na3HPO4, 40 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM KCl, and 1 mM MgSO4. The samples were resuspended in Z buffer and incubated for 5 min at 28°C. Thirty-two microliters of chloroform and 56 μL of 0.1% (w/v) SDS were added to 800 μL of the samples and further incubated for 5 min at 28°C. The assays were started by adding ONPG 160 μL of 0.4% (w/v) ONPG, and the reaction was performed for 120 min at 28°C. The reactions were stopped by adding 400 μL of 1 M Na2CO3. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min, and the OD600 of the supernatant was measured.

**Point Mutation of the VfINH3 and AtINH3 Amino Acid Sequences**

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using a QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The primer sets used were 5’-AGAAG-AAGAAGGTCCTCGGGCAGAAAGATGGCAGCTTGGA-3’ and 5’-CCACAGT-GCCATCTTCCCGCACACTTCTCCTCTCT-3’ for *VfINH3*-W59A, and 5’-GGA-AGAAAGAAAGTTCAAGCGAAAGATGGCAGCTTGGA-3’ and 5’-CTCACTGTCACCCATCTTCCCGTAAAGCTCTCTTTCCTCC-3’ for *AtINH3*-W45A.

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant Inh3 in *E. coli***

Full-length *VfINH3* and *AtINH3* were fused to a polyhistidine tag and thrombin cleavage sequence and subcloned into pTLAG-MAC expression vector (Sigma-Aldrich). *E. coli* strain BL21 was used as the host for protein expression. Cell growth and induction conditions were as described previously (Zhang et al., 1998). Cells were harvested and resuspended in 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.4), 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 200 μM leupeptin and then disrupted by sonication. The lysate obtained by sonication was heated at 95°C for 20 min and centrifuged at 10,000g for 30 min. The supernatant was mixed with His-Select Nickel Affinity Gel (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The nickel affinity gel was washed three times with extraction buffer and once with 1× PBS buffer. To remove the polyhistidine tag from Inh3, thrombin (Genentech Healthcare) was added and incubated for 16 h at room temperature. The suspension was centrifuged at 10,000g for 1 min, and the supernatant was saved. The recombinant protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assays using BSA as a standard (Bradford, 1976).

**Preparation of Recombinant PP1c and Determination of PP1c Activity**

Full-length VfPP1c-1 and TOPP4 were subcloned into pGEV-ZT (GE Healthcare), and the recombinant proteins were prepared as described previously (Takemiya et al., 2006). Ser/thr phosphorylation activity was assayed using [32P]labeled myelin basic protein (MyBP) as a substrate according to the manufacturer’s protocol (New England Biolabs). The assay buffer contained...
50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 0.1 mM NaEDTA, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% (v/v) Brij 35, 10 mM [32P]MyBP, purified PPIc (0.25 ng), and various concentrations of Inh3 with a molar ratio of 1.0:074 to 7,400 (PPIc:Inh3). PPIc was preincubated with Inh3 for 30 min on ice, followed by the incubation for 5 min at 30°C, and the reaction was started by adding [32P]labeled MyBP. The final reaction volume was 50 μL. The reaction was terminated by adding cold trichloroacetic acid after 10 min, and the amount of [32P]phosphate released was measured in the supernatant obtained by centrifugation. All assays were performed within the linear ranges of activities (~20% substrate dephosphorylation).

In Vitro Pull-Down Assay

Pull-down assays were carried out using recombinant PPIc and Inh3. One microgram of GST fusion proteins (GST/VIPPc-1 and GST/TOPP4) was allowed to bind to glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 4°C with gentle shaking. The beads were incubated with E. coli lysate (20 μg protein) containing FLAG:VfInh3, FLAG:VfInh3-W59A, FLAG:AtInh3, or FLAG:AtInh3-W43A for 1 h at 4°C. The proteins on beads were solubilized and subjected to SDS-PAGE on 12.5% acrylamide gel. The proteins were immunodetected by anti-GST polyclonal (GE Healthcare) or anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich) monoclonal antibodies.

Com Immunoprecipitation

The AtINH3 promoter region (2,151 bp) including the start codon was amplified by PCR from Arabidopsis genomic DNA using the primer pair 5'--CCAAGCTTAAACAGAAGATTACTTTAC--3' and 5'--GGGATTGCCACACAGAC--3'. The fragment was cloned into the HindIII and BamHI sites of pCAMBIA1300 binary vector (CAMBIA) with the nopaline synthase terminator. Full-length AtINH3 or AtINH3-W43A cDNA was also amplified using the primers 5'--CCCAAGCTTATGGACACACGAACAGG--3' and 5'--GATGCGATCCCCATCTGAGATTTCTTCCAAAATTC--3'. The fragment was subcloned into the HindIII and BamHI site of pCAMBIA1300 binary vector (CAMBIA) using the nopaline synthase terminator. The 14-3-3 protein was immunodetected by anti-GST polyclonal (GE Healthcare) or anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich) monoclonal antibodies.

Complementation Assay

The AtINH3 promoter region (2,148 bp) including the start codon was amplified by PCR from Arabidopsis genomic DNA using the primers 5'--CCCAAGCTTAAACAGAAGATTACTTTAC--3' and 5'--GGGATTGCCACACAGAC--3'. The fragment was subcloned into the HindIII and Ncol sites of the pCAMBIA1303 vector (CAMBIA), leading to a fusion between the AtINH3 promoter and GUS. The construct was transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants using Agrobacterium-mediated methods, and the resulting transformants were selected on agar plates with hygromycin. The GUS assay was done for homozygous T3 plants. The plant materials were incubated with GUS staining solution containing 100 μM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 10 μM EDTA, 0.5 μM potassium ferricyanide, 0.5 μM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100, and 0.5 mg mL−1 X-Gluc (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide) for 6 h at 37°C. Stained samples were fixed in the solution containing 85% (v/v) ethanol and 15% (v/v) acetic acid for 2 h at room temperature.

Microscopy Observation of Arabidopsis Embryos

Wild-type and heterozygous mutant siliques were fixed in 90% (v/v) ethanol and 10% (v/v) acetic acid overnight, washed with a graded ethanol series (90%, 70%, 50%, and 30% ethanol) for 20 min each, and cleared in a derivative of Hoyer's solution (chloral hydrate/glycerol/water, 8 g:1 mL:2 v/v) for 2 to 24 h at room temperature. Cleared seeds were observed using Digital Eclipse C1 (Nikon) equipped with differential interference contrast optics.

DNA Isolation and Genotype Analysis

Leaves were homogenized in extraction buffer containing 0.1 M Tris, 2 M NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, and 2% (w/v) cetyltrimethylammonium bromide and incubated at 60°C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 10,000g for 5 min, the supernatant was mixed with chloroform and centrifuged again. The DNA in the aqueous phase was precipitated with 2-propanol, washed with 70% (v/v) ethanol, and used for PCR. Genotype analyses of inh3-1 and inh3-2 were conducted by PCR using the gene-specific primers S1 (5'--ATGGTCTGAGATTCTAAGAG--3') and S2 (5'--GGTCACTGAGATTCTAAGG--3') and the T-DNA border primers LBI (5'--GGTCACTGAGATTCTAAGG--3') and LBI (5'--GGTCACTGAGATTCTAAGG--3') for complementation lines, a pair of gene-specific primers, S2 (5'--GGTCACTGAGATTCTAAGG--3') and AS2 (5'--GGTCACTGAGATTCTAAGG--3') were used for PCR, and the resulting products were digested with BamHI.

Construction of Silencing Plasmids

For complementation of inh3-1, a 3,463-bp fragment of AtINH3 was amplified from Arabidopsis genomic DNA. First, a 2,151-bp fragment corresponding to the promoter region of AtINH3 was amplified using the
primes 5’-CCCAACGTTAAGAACGAAAAATAAACATTTACC-3’ and 5’-GGGTGATCATGACGCGTTTATTCTGCACAAAG-3’, digested with HindIII and BamHI, and subcloned into pCAMBIA1300 binary vector (CAMBIA). The remaining 1.315-bp fragment including the coding sequence and the 3’-untranslated region of AllNH3 was amplified using the primers 5’-GGGTGATCATGACGCGTTTATTCTGCACAAAG-3’ and 5’-GGGTGATCATGACGCGTTTATTCTGCACAAAG-3’ and subcloned in both the sense and antisense directions into a pKANNIBAL vector (Wesley et al., 2001). The RNAi cassette was digested with BamHI and introduced into a pZIP211 binary vector with a CaMV35S promoter and the nopaline synthase terminator. The construct was transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants, and the transgenic plants were identified by kanamycin selection.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers At2g31305 (AtINH3), At3g09170 (MtINH3), At3g09180 (VfINH3), At4g02590 (OsINH3a), At5g62810 (OsINH3b), and ABN09808 (MtINH3).
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