Correlation between P-Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) from 12-Lead ECG and Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Patients Diagnosed with Hypertension
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ABSTRACT

Background: Patients diagnosed with hypertension will deteriorate into hypertensive heart disease which is characterized by diastolic dysfunction first followed by systolic dysfunction later in the course of the disease. Diastolic dysfunction of the left ventricle causes an increase in LVEDP as well as in the dimension of the left atrium. P-Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) which is derived from 12 lead ECG could help diagnose diastolic dysfunction in centers where echocardiography is not available. The purpose of this study was to determine the correlation of PTFV1 on the 12-lead Electrocardiography with diastolic dysfunction in patients diagnosed with hypertension in the outpatient clinic of Cardiac Center Adam Malik General Hospital in Medan.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study conducted from March 2019 until August 2019. Patients with hypertension who met the inclusion criteria were examined electrocardiographically to obtain PTFV1 value. Then echocardiography examination was then performed to assess the grades of diastolic dysfunction and other parameters. Analysis of correlation between PTFV1 values and diastolic dysfunction was then conducted.

Results: From the clinical characteristics, there is no difference regarding age, sex, and risk factors between the three diastolic dysfunction groups, while echocardiography characteristic shows more reduced EF in grade III diastolic dysfunction (36.5±7.7). Significant differences in PTFV1 are found among diastolic dysfunction groups. Grade I diastolic dysfunction has PTFV1 value of 23.8 mm.ms, grade II diastolic dysfunction has PTFV1 value of 34.1 mm.ms, and grade III diastolic dysfunction has PTFV1 value of 52.1 mm.ms. Significance of p value is <0.001. There is a strong correlation between PTFV1 and diastolic dysfunction grade (r = 0.63 (P <0.001)). Cut off point of PTFV1 > 29.8 mm.ms can discriminate patients who have increased LAP with a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 71%.

Conclusions: PTFV1 is a simple screening tool which is widely available and correlate well with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with hypertension, which makes it a good alternative tool especially in areas where echocardiography is not readily available.

INTISARI

Latar belakang: Penyakit hipertensi akan berlanjut menjadi penyakit jantung hipertensi yang ditandai dengan disfungsi diastolik yang kemudian berkembangmenjadidisfungsi sistolik. Disfungsi diastolik dari ventrikel kiri akan menyebabkan peningkatan LVEDP dan dimensi dari atrium kiri. P-Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) dari EKG 12 lead dapat...
Introduction

The increasing prevalence of hypertension every year is a major problem in both developed and developing countries. It is estimated that in 2025 the percentage of hypertensive patients will increase by 24% in developed countries. Whereas in developing countries the percentage of people with hypertension increases much higher at around 80%. Indonesia is an example of a developing country with a high prevalence of hypertension. The average prevalence of hypertension throughout Indonesia is 29.8%. Hypertension will progress into hypertension heart disease which is marked by left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction before eventually deteriorates into heart failure.1,2

One of the examination that is quite sensitive for the diagnosis of hypertension heart disease is echocardiography. However, this modality is not always available, especially in remote areas. P-terminal force V1 (PTFV1) measured from a 12-lead ECG is another alternative for assessing diastolic dysfunction, this parameter is the product of the duration and depth of the negative (terminal) part of the P wave in the right precordial leads (especially lead V1). Morris and colleagues first introduced this concept, where a PTFV1 value equal to or more negative than 40 mm·ms could detect an enlarged left atrium in 211 patients with valvular heart disease. Because the structure of the left atrium will change significantly due to diastolic dysfunction, the PTFV1 measurement of a 12 lead ECG in patients with hypertension can provide information about said function.3,12 The purpose of this study is to assess the relationship between PTFV1 values from 12 lead ECGs and diastolic dysfunction parameters obtained from echocardiography in hypertensive patients in the cardiac center’s outpatient clinic of H. Adam Malik (HAM) General Hospital, Medan.

Methods

This study is a cross-sectional diagnostic study conducted at the Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, outpatient clinic of HAM General Hospital Cardiac Centre. Sample collection was carried out from March 2019 to August 2019. The inclusion criteria were subjects with load pressure ≥ 140/90 or patients with normal blood pressure but routinely taking hypertension medication. Exclusion criteria were patients with heart rhythm disorders, valve disorders due to rheumatic heart disease, moderate to severe functional disorders of the mitral or aortic valve, congenital heart diseases, pericardial abnormalities, patients who could not lie flat on the bed, and patients with poor echo window. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were then electrocardiographically and echocardiographically examined by one cardiology resident assisted by a cardiology nurse according to the study protocol.

Study Protocol

All samples in this study were patients with hypertension based on history taking and clinical symptoms that came to the cardiology outpatient clinic at HAM General
Hospital. Researchers examined the patient’s medical record to see the identity and basic data, history taking, and physical examination. Basic data were taken in the form of a history of previous illnesses, history of drug use, weight, height, and risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were then given informed consent verbally and were recruited as research subjects if the patient was willing. Furthermore, for each research subject an electrocardiographic examination will be recorded using a Bionet Cardiotouch 3000 speed of 50 mm/mV and a scale of 20 mm/mV. The examination is carried out by a cardiology resident or nurse who is in charge of the cardiology outpatient clinic at HAM General Hospital and has been skilled in conducting electrocardiography examinations. P-terminal force at V1 (PTFV1) was measured by the multiplication between the duration and depth of the negative (terminal) part of the P wave in the right precordial leads (lead V1). Measurements were done manually using a 150 mm Krisbow vernier caliper micrometer (KW0600352) and a magnifying glass. The measurement results are reported in mm.ms units and will be validated by cardiologist on duty at cardiac center HAM General Hospital Medan.

Data is presented by frequency and percentage for categorical data. While numerical data is presented by mean (average) and standard deviation for normally distributed data, whereas numerical data that is not normally distributed uses median (middle value). Baseline characteristics will be compared between groups with One Way Anova or Kruskal Wallis test and post hoc with Bonferroni or Games Howell for numeric variables and Chi-Square or Fisher’s Exact Test for categorical variables. The correlation between PTFV1 values and left ventricular diastolic function was assessed by Pearson or Spearman correlation test. With ROC method, the area under the curve (AUC), the cut-off value, and the sensitivity and specificity values for the desired variables will be determined. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SPSS software version 23, p values <0.05 were determined to be statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

The total sample collected were 93 people who were then divided into three groups of diastolic dysfunction degree. The average age of the subject was 60 years, with a minimum age of 42 and a maximum of 80 years. No significant differences were found in the age of the subjects among the three diastolic dysfunction groups. In terms of sex, more male sex (75%), compared with female sex (23%) were found, no significant differences were found between diastolic dysfunction groups. There were no significant differences in risk factors between the three diastolic dysfunction groups. Research subjects had an average systolic blood pressure of 129 and a diastolic blood pressure of 77, with an average pulse rate of 77 times per minute. The medication most consumed by subjects is ACE Inhibitor with 78 users or 83 percent of the subjects. When compared between diastolic dysfunction groups, no difference was found between blood pressure, pulse rate, or classes of antihypertensive drugs consumed.
From the ECG, significant differences were found in the PTFV1 value, which was 23.8 mm.ms in grade I diastolic dysfunction, 34.1 mm.ms in grade II diastolic dysfunction, and 52.1 mm.ms in grade III diastolic dysfunction (P <0.001). From a post hoc analysis of significant groups, significant differences were found between all groups in PTFV1 parameters, either between grade I and grade II diastolic dysfunction groups, grade I and grade III diastolic dysfunction groups, or between grade II and grade III diastolic dysfunction groups. Another ECG parameter that has a significant difference between diastolic dysfunction groups is QTC. QRS duration. Presence of LVH strain and LVH voltage were not found significant among diastolic dysfunction grades.

From the echocardiography, there was a significant difference in the left ventricular ejection fraction which is lower (36.5±7.7%) in the grade III diastolic dysfunction group compared to the other two diastolic dysfunction groups. Other LV Study parameters were also found different between diastolic dysfunction groups.

**Correlation between P Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) and diastolic dysfunction**

From the correlation analysis between PTFV1 and diastolic dysfunction grades, a strong correlation was found with R value of 0.63 (P <0.001). While from correlation analysis between PTFV1 values with each component of diastolic dysfunction, moderate correlation was found between PTFV1 values with E/A (R 0.48 P <0.001) and E/E’ (R 0.54, P <0.001), and also a weak correlation between PTFV1 values and the anteroposterior LA dimension (R 0.24, P = 0.02).

**Table 1.**

| Clinical Parameters          | Diastolic dysfunction grade I (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade II (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade III (n = 31) | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                 | 61.5±8.1                              | 59.7±8.9                               | 58.8±10.2                               | 0.491   |
| Genders:                    |                                       |                                        |                                         |         |
| Men (n (%))                 | 25 (80%)                              | 24 (77%)                               | 22 (70%)                                | 0.659   |
| Women (n (%))               | 7 (19%)                               | 7 (22%)                                | 9 (29%)                                 |         |
| Smoking(n %)                |                                       |                                        |                                         | 0.956   |
| DM (n %)                    | 10 (32%)                              | 12 (38%)                               | 9 (29%)                                 | 0.713   |
| Dislipidemia (n %)          |                                       |                                        |                                         |         |
| HT duration (years)         | 12 (7-17)                             | 11 (10-14)                             | 13 (7-17)                               | 0.737   |
| BW (kg)                     | 71.8±14.2                             | 74.3±14.6                              | 66.8±11.2                               | 0.088   |
| Height (cm)                 | 164 (143-165)                         | 153 (15-170)                           | 160 (150-170)                           | 0.239   |
| BMI                         | 27.1                                  | 27.3                                   | 25.5                                    | 0.161   |
| (kg/m2)                     | (19-34)                               | (19-32)                                | (18.9-34)                               |         |
| SBP (mmHg)                  | 130.0                                  | 128.7                                  | 130.9±11.6                              | 0.733   |
| DBP (mmHg)                  | 78.3±9.6                              | 75.8±10.2                              | 77.4±10.3                               | 0.598   |
| Heart Rate (bpm)            | 78.4±10.6                             | 75.7±11.1                              | 75.1±10.9                               | 0.447   |
| Beta blocker (n %)          | 22 (70%)                              | 20 (64%)                               | 19 (61%)                                | 0.716   |
| ACE Inhibitor (n %)         | 25 (80%)                              | 27 (87%)                               | 26 (83%)                                | 0.788   |
| CCB (n %)                   | 18 (58%)                              | 20 (64%)                               | 18 (58%)                                | 0.836   |
| Diuretic (n %)              | 18 (58%)                              | 19 (61%)                               | 16 (51%)                                | 0.672   |

**Table 2.**

| ECG Parameters | Diastolic dysfunction grade I (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade II (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade III (n = 31) | P value |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| PTFV1 (mm.ms)  | 23.8 (20.4-65.4)                      | 34.1 (21.6-65.7)                       | 52.1 (22.3-67.8)                        | <0.001  |
| PR Interval (ms)| 119 (110-135)                        | 135 (120-193)                          | 148 (114-192)                           | <0.001  |
| QRS duration (ms)| 82.4±12.7                            | 84.1±8.7                               | 99.6±19.7                              | 0.300   |
| QTc (ms)       | 386.9±38.0                            | 381.1±36.7                             | 474±37.1                               | <0.001  |
| LVH Voltage (n %) | 18 (58%)                           | 14 (45%)                              | 12 (38%)                                | 0.299   |
| LVH Strain (n %) | 17 (54%)                           | 17 (54%)                              | 21 (67%)                                | 0.396   |

**Table 3.**

| ECG Parameters | DD grade I vs DD grade II | DD grade I vs DD grade III | DD grade II vs DD grade III |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| PTFV1          | P <0.001                 | P <0.001                   | P <0.001                   |
| PR Interval    | P <0.001                 | P <0.001                   | P <0.001                   |
| QTc            | P 0.816                  | P <0.001                   | P <0.001                   |

Figure 2. Scatter plot of PTFV1 and E/E’ correlation
Table 4. Echocardiography characteristics

| Echocardiography Parameters | Diastolic dysfunction grade I (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade II (n = 31) | Diastolic dysfunction grade III (n = 31) | P value |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| EF (%)                      | 55.2 ± 9.6                             | 50.3 ± 11.9                            | 36.5 ± 7.7                              | < 0.001 |
| LVEDD (cm)                  | 4.5 (3.2 - 6.7)                        | 5.0 (3.5 - 6.7)                        | 5.7 (3.2 - 6.8)                         | < 0.001 |
| LVESD (cm)                  | 3.2 (2.0 - 5.4)                        | 3.7 (2.3 - 5.7)                        | 4.7 (2.3 - 6.0)                         | < 0.001 |
| IVSD (cm)                   | 1.1 (0.7-1.7)                          | 1.1 (0.8 - 1.5)                        | 0.9 (0.6 -1.2)                          | < 0.001 |
| IVSS (cm)                   | 1.4 ± 0.8                              | 1.2 ± 0.09                             | 1.04 ± 0.2                              | < 0.001 |
| LVPWD (cm)                  | 1.2 (0.8 - 1.9)                        | 1.2 (0.8 - 1.7)                        | 1.0 (0.4 - 1.3)                         | < 0.001 |
| LVPWS (cm)                  | 1.6 (1-2.4)                            | 1.5 (1-2.2)                            | 1.3 (0.6 - 1.6)                         | 0.001   |
| LA (cm)                     | 3.4 (2.2 - 4.5)                        | 3.2 (2.6 - 4.8)                        | 4.0 (2.4 - 4.6)                         | < 0.001 |
| Ao (cm)                     | 2.31 ± 0.24                            | 2.32 ± 0.42                            | 2.37 ± 0.45                            | 0.84    |
| E/A                         | 0.79 (0.4-1.7)                         | 1.2 (0.56 - 1.9)                       | 2.5 (2.0-2.59)                          | < 0.001 |
| LAVI (ml/m2)                | 31.5 (18-42)                           | 33.6 (25.3-51.3)                       | 34.1 (26.4-38.2)                        | 0.027   |
| E/E’                        | 75 (5.4 - 15)                          | 15.6 (10.8-17.6)                       | 19.8 (13.4-25.6)                        | < 0.001 |
| E’ Septal (cm/sec)          | 6 (3-9)                                | 4 (1-8)                                | 4 (2-6)                                 | < 0.001 |
| E’ Lateral (cm/sec)         | 5 (2-15)                               | 8 (5-17)                               | 7 (5-13)                                | 0.001   |
| TR V max > 2.8 (m/s)        | 0 (0%)                                 | 6 (19%)                                | 8 (25%)                                 | 0.009   |

Table 5. Correlation between P-Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) and diastolic dysfunction

| Correlation between variables | R    | P value  |
|-------------------------------|------|----------|
| PTFV1 and diastolic dysfunction | 0.63 | P < 0.001 |
| PTFV1 and E/A                | 0.48 | P < 0.001 |
| PTFV1 and E/E’              | 0.54 | P < 0.001 |
| PTFV1 and LA dimension       | 0.24 | P = 0.02  |

Cut off value of PTFV1 to determine diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP

From the obtained data, cut off value to differentiate diastolic dysfunction without an increase in LAP (grade I), with diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP (grade II and grade III) was calculated from the ROC curve analysis. The AUC was 0.815 with a P value < 0.001. The best PTFV1 cut off point for to detect diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP is 29.8 mm.ms which has a sensitivity of 83%, specificity 71%, positive predictive value 83%, and negative predictive value 68%.

Table 6. Cut off point of PTFV1 to determine Diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP

| AUC   | P value  | Cut off of PTFV1 | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-------|----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0.815 | < 0.001  | 29.8 mm.ms       | 84%         | 71%         |

Discussion

This is a cross-sectional diagnostic study that aims to examine the relationship between P-Wave Terminal Force V1 (PTFV1) from 12-lead electrocardiography with diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive patients. Based on the characteristics of the study subjects, the average age of was 60 years, this is in accordance with previous research by Tanoue et al. The aging process is thought to play a role as a substrate in the pathophysiology of diastolic dysfunction, although it is not yet fully understood. The aging process in diastolic dysfunction is evidenced by the presence of fibrosis on histopathological examination.

From the ROC curve of PTFV1 and diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP

From this study, the duration of hypertension in all groups was more than 10 years. However, there were no significant differences in the duration of hypertension between the diastolic dysfunction groups. Hypertension with a duration of > 5 years has been shown to cause extensive left ventricular remodeling which will eventually increase the stiffness of the left ventricle, thereby affecting the diastolic function.

From the ECG parameters, significant differences were found in the PTFV1 value, where in grade I diastolic dysfunction group, the value was 23.8 mm.ms, in grade II...
diastolic dysfunction it was 34.1 mm.ms, and in grade III diastolic dysfunction it was 52.1 mm.ms (P <0.001). In a previous study by Boles UA, et al who investigated PTFV1 in patients with and without diastolic dysfunction, echocardiography parameters for diastolic dysfunction were found to be statistically significant in patients with increased PTFV1 above 40 mm.ms. Another study by Tanoue et al found a significant deterioration in E/A parameters in patients with PTFV1 ≥ 40 mm.ms. (0.94 vs 0.85, P = 0.007). The pathogenesis of hypertension is related to the occurrence of diastolic dysfunction with increased end-diastolic left ventricular pressure. These changes will eventually be transmitted to the left atrium which then undergoes continuous stretching and scarring. In another explanation, atrial changes mainly occur secondary to the pressure transmitted to the atrial wall from increased resistance in the initial diastolic filling phase. Furthermore, the left atrium which undergoes remodeling and geometrical changes will inhibit the propagation of electrical impulses, which then resulted in voltage conduction time augmentation time along with left posterior rotation of the P wave vector. This is what causes an increase in PTFV1.

From this study, a strong correlation was found between PTFV1 and diastolic dysfunction, with an R value of 0.63 (P <0.001). While from correlation analysis between PTFV1 with each component of diastolic dysfunction, a moderate correlation was found, and also a weak correlation between PTFV1 values and the anteroposterior LA dimension (R 0.24, P = 0.02). PTFV1 itself is known to have a correlation with LA size and LA structure changes. The first study to explain this was the study by Morris, et al. Which found a significant correlation between increased PTFV1 and the size of the left atrium in patients with mitral valve lesions. The correlation strength obtained by Morris, et al is R = 0.39. PTFV1 in this study also has a moderate correlation with E/E' which is a marker of LAP, with a value of R = 0.54, and through ROC curve analysis, PTFV1 ≥ 29.8 mm.ms can discriminate diastolic dysfunction with increased LAP (LAP) (grade II and grade III) from the one without increased LAP (grade I), the said cutoff value has a sensitivity of 83%, and a specificity of 71%, which is good. Previous research by Preminda A.N. et al regarding the relationship between PTFV1 values and LAP in post MI patients also showed a strong correlation between PTFV1 and an increase in LAP with R value of 0.78. In that study patients with PTFV1 ≥ 30 mm.ms were found to have increased LAP (> 12 mmHg), and in patients with PTFV1 <30 mm.ms LAP tend to be normal. Another study by Jin L. et al also found that PTFV1 ≥ 40 mm.ms had a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 40% to discriminate against patients with increased LAP.

**Study Limitation**

This study is a single center experience so it is expected that further study can be done in multiple center in order to increase the reliability of research results. This study also has a data distribution that is not normally distributed so there are some extreme values that can affect the results of the study.

This study was a cross-sectional design, so no follow-up was done. Several studies of PTFV1 encountered relationships between PTFV1 and the incidence of cerebral infarction, atrial fibrillation, and sudden cardiac death. Therefore, a prospective study investigating the relationship between PTFV1 and the prognosis of patients with hypertension should be strongly considered.

**Conclusion**

PTFV1 obtained from 12 lead ECG has a correlation with the grade of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, E/A, E/E' value, and LA Dimension in patients with hypertensive heart disease. The cut-off point of PTFV1 ≥ 29.8 mm.ms has a sensitivity of 84% and a specificity of 71% which is considered good in determining the presence of impaired diastolic function with increased LAP. PTFV1 values is a simple screening tool, which is widely available and correlate well with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, hence the potential usage in areas where echocardiography is not readily available.
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