Highly selective enrichment of aflatoxin B₁ from edible oil using polydopamine-modified magnetic nanomaterials
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Abstract

Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is a highly toxic mycotoxin that enters the human body through the food chain and poses a serious threat to human health. In this paper, polydopamine (PDA)-coated Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs) were prepared by the co-precipitation method to enrich aflatoxin from edible oil. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and vibrating sample magnetometer were used to characterize the Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs. Using the obtained Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs as an adsorbent, a simple method for enriching AFB₁ from samples by magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE) combined with fluorescence rapid detection was developed. The effects of the ratio of Fe₃O₄ MNPs to PDA, adsorption dosage, sample volume, adsorption time, and elution time on enrichment of AFB₁ were investigated to determine the optimal experimental conditions. This method has good intraday and daytime precision.
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Practical Application: Polydopamine was used for Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles coating by the co-precipitation method. This material can be used for selective enrichment of AFB₁ in edible oil with high enrichment factor and has good intraday precision and daytime precision.

1 Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites produced by a variety of molds that can cause serious harm to human health by contaminating various foods and animal feeds (Abia et al., 2013). In recent years, aflatoxins have become one of the most important and highly toxic groups of mycotoxins; they have been frequently detected in agriculture, attracting global attention (Pietri et al., 2016). Among the aflatoxins, aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is the most toxic, and was listed as the first class of carcinogens by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (Lee et al., 2015). It is primarily produced by Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus. Many studies have shown that AFB₁, is genotoxic, carcinogenic, embryotoxic, teratogenic, and immunotoxic, (Kew, 2013). AFB₁ is widely distributed in nature and food, especially in peanuts, corn, rice, sorghum, milk, and oil (Li et al., 2018). Edible oil contaminated by AFB₁ has been widely distributed throughout the human population. This kind of pollution is difficult to remove and seriously threatens human health and safety (Dai et al., 2017). Therefore, a safe and effective strategy is need to detect and degrade AFB₁, in food.

The sample pretreatment methods currently applied to mycotoxins mainly include immunoaffinity chromatography purification (Li et al., 2006), dispersion liquid microextraction (Afzali et al., 2012), solid phase extraction (Zhao et al., 2017), solid phase microextraction (Khayoon et al., 2014), matrix solid phase dispersion extraction (Rubert et al., 2010), and QuEChER technology (Zhou et al., 2016; Koessukwiwat et al., 2014), among others. These methods are commonly used to process samples with individual or multiple related mycotoxins, but their operation is often complicated, time-consuming, and costly.

Magnetic solid phase extraction (MSPE) is a new type of sample preparation technology widely used in the detection of organic pollutants (Jiang et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2014), metal ions (Xiang et al., 2013), and biologically active substances (Xu et al., 2016). Because Fe₃O₄ submicron particles coated with polydopamine (PDA, Fe₃O₄@PDA) are magnetic, have a large surface area, strong adsorption capacity, hydrophilicity, and are easily separated, they are considered an ideal adsorption material. In this paper, the magnetic adsorbent Fe₃O₄ and its modification were prepared to enrich AFB₁ in samples using the MSPE method under the auxiliary conditions of oscillation or ultrasound. The magnetic adsorbent containing AFB₁ was separated from the sample matrix by an external magnetic field. AFB₁ eluted from the magnetic adsorbent was rapidly detected by fluorescent immunization. MSPE technology is much faster to use than traditional solid phase extraction technology in column or filtration operations. Moreover, when the contact area of the magnetic adsorbent and the target analyte in the extraction process is sufficiently large, the phase transfer of the target analyte can be completed quickly with a high extraction efficiency.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials and reagents

Ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O), ammonium acetate (NH₄Ac), sodium citrate, ethylene glycol (EG), ethyl alcohol (EtOH), acetonitrile (ACN), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), methyl alcohol (MeOH), and
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2.2 Preparation of Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs

First, 1.350 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O, 3.854 g of NH₄Ac and 0.4 g of sodium citrate were dissolved in 70 mL of EG, then stirred at 25°C for 10 min to dissolve the reactants completely. The mixed solution was heated for 1 h at 170°C, and then placed in a stainless-steel high-pressure autoclave equipped with a polytetrafluoroethylene lining. The reaction kettle was sealed in a stainless-steel high-pressure autoclave equipped with a magnetic force heating mixer was obtained from Changzhou Putian Instrument Manufacturing Co. Ltd. (Changzhou, Wuxi, China). A KQ-200KDB ultrasonic cleaner was obtained from Kunshan Ultrasonic Instrument Co. Ltd.. An S-4800HFESE scanning electron microscope was obtained from High-Technologies Corporation (Japan). A Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope was obtained from Philips Company (Netherlands). An IS410 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer was obtained from ThermoFisher. A PPMS-9 MPMS-XL vibrating sample magnetometer was obtained from Quantum Design. An ESCALAB 25 X-ray photoelectron spectroscope was obtained from Thermo Scientific (USA). A DHG-9101-1S electrothermal blowing dry box was obtained from Changzhou Putian Instrument Manufacturing Co. Ltd. (Changzhou, Wuxi, China). An FD-100 fluorescent quantitative immunoanalyzer was obtained from Shanghai Feice Biotechnology Co. Ltd..

2.3 Fluorescence rapid detection of AFB₁

One milliliter of the AFB₁-containing oil sample and the sample extract in a 1:5 ratio were added to a 10-mL centrifuge tube and placed on a shaking incubator for 8 min. After extracting, the tube was centrifuged for 2 min at 4000 rpm. Then 100 µL of the supernatant was added to 600 µL of the sample dilution solution. After mixing, 100 µL sample solution was added to the AFB₁ fluorescent quantitative rapid detection reagent strip sample hole by pipette, incubated for 8 min, and then the reagent strip was inserted into the fluorescence reader to determine the concentration of AFB₁ in the oil sample.

2.4 Enrichment and elution of AFB₁

After determining the AFB₁ concentration, 25 mL of sample solution containing 3.6 µg/L of AFB₁ was transferred into a 100 mL beaker. Then, 0.03 g of activated Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs was added, and the suspension was oscillated to facilitate the adsorption of AFB₁ onto the surface of the adsorbent. Then, the mixture was placed on a super magnet and magnetically separated into a solution and solid Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs. The concentration of AFB₁ in the separated solution was determined, then 2.0 mL of a mixture of Me₆CO/ACN/CH₂Cl₂ (1:1.2, v/v/v) was added and the mixture was subjected to ultrasonication for 10 min. After desorption, the eluent was separated by magnetic decantation and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen gas flow at room temperature. The dry residue was dissolved in 2.0 mL of 0.5 mM Triton X-100 in 15% (v/v) ACN/water and the solution was oscillated for 5 min. The final solution was evaporated to 300 µL under nitrogen and the concentration of AFB₁ was determined.

3.1 Characterization of MNPs

Electron microscopy

In the weakly alkaline solution Tris-HCl, dopamine self-polymerized and adsorbed onto the surface of the Fe₃O₄ MNPs. The magnetic nanoparticles (Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs) coated with polydopamine were obtained. The shape and size of the Fe₃O₄ MNPs and Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were visualized and characterized by TEM and SEM images. As shown in Figure 1a and b, the synthesized magnetic Fe₃O₄ MNPs were homogeneous particles that were slightly aggregated and approximately 250 nm in size. Figure 1c is the TEM diagram of dopamine-coated Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs. The individual particles were approximately 350 nm. The polymer coating formed by polydopamine adsorbed onto the surface of Fe₃O₄ MNPs was formed by hydroxyl-iron chemical interaction.

X-ray diffraction of MNPs

In order to verify the Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were properly prepared in this assay, the crystal structure and phase composition
of the materials were analyzed by X-ray diffraction. As shown in Figure 2 (top), the characteristic peaks of all samples were consistent with the Fe$_3$O$_4$ standard card (JCPDS19-0629), and there were obvious diffraction peaks at $\theta = 18.3^\circ$, 30.1$^\circ$, 35.5$^\circ$, 37.1$^\circ$, 43.1$^\circ$, 53.7$^\circ$, 57.6$^\circ$, and 62.8$^\circ$, which correspond respectively with (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) crystal faces, demonstrating that the obtained products all had a spinel structure. After being wrapped with polydopamine, as shown in Figure 2 (bottom), its spectrum remained essentially unchanged, which means that the addition of polydopamine had no effect on the structure. Using the Debye-Scherrer formula (Equation 3), the particle size (D) of the magnetic nanoparticles can be estimated.

$$D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

Where: $D =$ particle diameter of the magnetic nanoparticle magnetic core, $K = 0.89$ (constant), $\lambda = 0.154056 \text{ nm}$ (incident wavelength), and $\beta$ is the half-peak width at the strongest peak (311), which is the diffraction angle. In Figure 2, $\beta = 0.00137 \text{ rad}$, $\theta = 35.5^\circ$ at the strongest peak, and the calculated magnetic core particle size is 220.44 nm, which is similar to the average particle diameter of the magnetic core measured by TEM.

The Fourier transform infrared spectra of the prepared Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs and Fe$_3$O$_4$@PDA MNPs are shown in Figure 3a. The absorption peak at 3430 cm$^{-1}$ is the stretching vibration peak of the OH functional group, and the corresponding bending vibration peak is at 1623 cm$^{-1}$. There is a strong absorption band near 575 cm$^{-1}$, which is the stretching vibration peak of the Fe-O-Fe bond and the characteristic absorption peak of Fe$_3$O$_4$ (Wei et al., 2010). The PDA spectrum shows a large relative in the area of 1500-1100 cm$^{-1}$. Absorbance, which is due to the formation of polymers, is primarily attributable to CO and CN functional groups (Si & Yang, 2011). The peak at 1507 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the presence of the N-H bending vibration; at 1435 cm$^{-1}$ is the C-C tensile vibration, and the weaker peak at 1281 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the presence of C-O tensile vibration.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** (a) SEM of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs, (b) TEM of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs, (c) TEM of Fe$_3$O$_4$@PDA MNPs.
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**Figure 2.** XRD of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs (top) and Fe$_3$O$_4$@PDA MNPs (bottom).
It has been reported that after the surface polymerization of dopamine, some absorption bands in the infrared spectrum are slightly changed (Zeng et al., 2013).

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy of MNPs**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was used to investigate the elemental composition of the surface of magnetic nanomaterials. As shown in Figure 3b, the surface of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs was mainly composed of Fe and O elements. After dopamine modification, the surface of the magnetic nanomaterials also contained N and C elements, and the intensity of the Fe element signal peak is significantly weakened. The result indicates that polydopamine was successfully coated onto the surface of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs (Chen et al., 2018).

**Vibrating sample magnetometer of MNPs**

In order to achieve rapid solid-liquid separation of magnetic nanomaterials from aqueous solution, Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs must have sufficient magnetic strength. The magnetic properties of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs were investigated by vibrating sample magnetometer. The hysteresis loop of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs is shown in Figure 4. The maximum saturation magnetization of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs was 90 and 45 emu/g, respectively. The coercivity and residual magnetization of the two MNP types were close to zero, which is characterized by paramagnetism. Compared with unmodified Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs, the saturation magnetic strength of dopamine-modified Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs was significantly weakened due to the non-magnetic polymer coating, but the maximum saturation magnetic strength

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** (A) FT-IR of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs; (B) XPS of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Hysteresis loop spectrum of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)@PDA MNPs.
of Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs was about 45 emu/g. The magnetic adsorbent can still be used in magnetic adsorption experiments.

It can also be seen from the photograph that the Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were dispersed in water to form a uniform suspension. Under the action of an external magnetic field, the Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were separated from the water and gathered around the magnet, and the solution was transparent. When the applied magnetic field was removed, the Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were evenly dispersed in the water. This process was repeated to demonstrate that the prepared Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were superparamagnetic.

### 3.2. Optimization of the MSPE procedure

In order to study the effect of different ratios of Fe₃O₄ MNPs and PDA on their AFB₁ extraction efficiency, Fe₃O₄@PDA MNPs were prepared with different ratios (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, 1:5). As shown in Figure 5a, the most effective ratio was 1:3; excessive
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**Figure 5.** (a) Line chart of the effect of different ratios of Fe₃O₄ MNPs and PDA on enrichment; (b) Line chart of the effect of adsorbent dosage on enrichment effect; (c) Histogram of the effect of sample volume on enrichment; (d) Line chart of the effect of adsorption time on enrichment; (e) Line chart of the effect of elution time on enrichment.
thickness of the PDA coating reduced the magnetic properties of the material and impact the enrichment effect.

To determine the effect of adsorbent amount on the extraction efficiency of AFB\textsubscript{1}, different amounts of adsorbent Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@PDA MNPs (0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05 g) were added to 25 mL of 3.60 µg/L AFB\textsubscript{1} extract. In Figure 5b, the concentration increased with the amount of the magnetic adsorbent until reaching 0.3 g, and then remained unchanged. The large specific surface area of the nanosorbent may explain the low mass of adsorbent required. Therefore, we chose 0.03 g as the best adsorbent amount for subsequent experiments.

To evaluate the possibility of enriching low concentrations of AFB\textsubscript{1} from large volumes of sample, 5 mL of 3.60 µg/L AFB\textsubscript{1} extract was diluted to 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, or 50 mL. Figure 5c indicates that a quantitative recovery was available at 25 mL. As previously described, the final amount of analyte was 300 µL. Therefore, the theoretical enrichment factor was 84, which verifies the feasibility of determining AFB\textsubscript{1} at different concentrations.

The remaining experimental conditions were kept unchanged, and the shaking adsorption times tested were 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, and 90 min. As show in Figure 5d, adsorption efficiency was maximized when the adsorption time was 60 min and remained unchanged over longer adsorption times. To shorten the experiment time, the optimal adsorption time was 60 min. Similarly, from Figure 5e, when the elution times tested were 5, 10, 15, and 20 min in the ultrasound system, the highest analytical effect of the elution time was obtained at 10 min. After the time exceeded 10 min, the elution efficiency began to decrease; thus, 10 min was chosen as the best resolution time.

### 3.3. Method validation

The accuracy of determining the AFB\textsubscript{1} concentration of the method was evaluated by preparing standards of different concentrations of AFB\textsubscript{1}. Table 1 summarizes the results of intraday precision analysis. Table 2 summarizes the results of daytime precision analysis. The RSDs are 2.39% ~ 2.48% and 1.56% ~ 2.57%, respectively. Intraday and daytime changes indicate that the method has better accuracy. As shown in Table 1, a good recovery rate was in the range of 98.17% ~ 101.67%.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, polydopamine-coated magnetic nanomaterials Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@PDA MNPs which have a high affinity for aflatoxins were prepared, forming simple and effective magnetic solid particles that can be extracted from large-volume liquid samples. Using Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@PDA MNPs as an adsorbent, the microbial aflatoxin AFB\textsubscript{1} in edible oil samples was analyzed by fluorescence immunoassay, and the enrichment effect and optimal experimental conditions were determined. The enrichment factor was 84. The ratio of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} MNPs to PDA was 1:3, the amount of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@PDA MNPs was 0.03 g, the sample volume was 25 mL, the adsorption time was 60 min, and the elution time was 10 min. The method has good intraday precision and daytime precision. The RSDs are 2.39% ~ 2.48% and 1.56% ~ 2.57%, respectively, and the recovery rate is in the range of 98.17% ~ 101.67%.
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