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Autocrine fibronectin from differentiating mesenchymal stem cells induces the neurite elongation \textit{in vitro} and promotes nerve fiber regeneration in transected spinal cord injury
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Abstract: Extracellular matrix (ECM) expression is temporally and spatially regulated during the development of stem cells. We reported previously that fibronectin (FN) secreted by bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) was deposited on the surface of gelatin sponge (GS) soon after culture. In this study, we aimed to assess the function of accumulated FN on neuronal differentiating MSCs as induced by Schwann cells (SCs) in three dimensional transwell co-culture system. The expression pattern and amount of FN of differentiating MSCs was examined by immunofluorescence, Western blot and immunoelectron microscopy. The results showed that FN accumulated inside GS scaffold, although its mRNA expression in MSCs was progressively decreased during neural induction. MSC-derived neuron-like cells showed spindle-shaped cell body and long extending processes on FN-decorated scaffold surface. However, after blocking of FN function by application of monoclonal antibodies, neuron-like cells showed flattened cell body with short and thick neurites, together with decreased expression of integrin β1. \textit{In vivo} transplantation study revealed that autocrine FN significantly facilitated endogenous nerve fiber regeneration in spinal cord transection model. Taken together, the present results showed that FN secreted by MSCs in the early stage accumulated on the GS scaffold and promoted the neurite elongation of neuronal differentiating MSCs as well as nerve fiber regeneration after spinal cord injury. This suggests that autocrine FN has a dynamic influence on MSCs in a three dimensional culture system and its potential application for treatment of traumatic spinal cord injury. © 2016 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Biomed Mater Res Part A: 104A: 1902–1911, 2016.
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INTRODUCTION
The interaction between cells and extracellular matrix (ECM) plays essential roles for cell migration, cell fate determination, forming of tissue-specific functions, tissue repair, oncogenesis, and cell death. To maintain homeostasis, the generation and degradation process of tissue specific ECM must be temporally and spatially regulated to accommodate the needs of cells during different stages and throughout their entire life. Stem cells, as early stage of all functional cells, are able to change the microenvironment through the interactions with their niche, while the niche may also support their self-renewal capability, maintain their multipotency, and facilitate differentiation in response to appropriate signals. Studies have shown that mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are able to secrete laminin (LN), fibronectin (FN), chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2 (CSPG2), collagen type I, III, and V, and various types of proteoglycans to the substrate to maintain their stemness. However, once they differentiate, the ECM contents switch to be those supportive to functional daughter cells, representing a temporal order as response to genetic changes. To utilize the principle of interaction between stem cells and ECM, and one of the strategies for biomaterial construction is to use ECM or ECM pre-coated polymers as scaffolds of stem cells to facilitate tissue-specific cell differentiation.

Given the fact that ECM molecules have comprehensive interaction during tissue genesis, use of ECM compounds for scaffold fabrication should be considered as ideal. It was reported that native cell-free ECM simulated tissue specific microenvironment due to its natural assembly. However, adding of ECM components in layers for 3D architecture construction had resulted in various cell behavior changes. These findings show that the complication of mutual interaction between cells and ECM is not well understood to date. Hence, microenvironment which captures key features of the unique chemistry, topography and physical properties of a cell’s niche—an environment that not only is tissue specific but is strikingly heterogeneous and continuously remodeled over time is now raised as 4D biology, highlighting the dynamic fashion of ECM over time.

Our previous study reported that fibronectin (FN) secreted by MSCs accumulated in a gelatin sponge (GS) scaffold due to its high affinity to gelatin—a cross link form of collagen, via its gelatin binding domain (GBD). Our finding further suggests that FN and MSCs may have a synergistic effect on angiogenesis when MSC-containing scaffold was implanted in vitro, indicating autocrine ECM deposition could affect cell behavior. Considering the formation of FN-collagen complexes is mainly attributed to hydrophobic interaction, a relatively strong binding, which means FN would be firmly anchored on gelatin surface, we further wonder whether accumulation of FN would affect the differentiation of MSCs. MSCs have the potential to differentiate into neural cells in vitro. We reported previously that by co-culturing with SCs, MSCs differentiated to neuron-like cells with expression of mature neural markers including synapse related protein in vitro. Together with the fact that FN plays an important role during nerve system development. In the present study, we aimed to determine whether FN secreted by MSCs after the early days of culture would affect the behavior of differentiating MSCs derived cells and more importantly, whether autocrine FN enriched in the implant would impact on nerve fiber regeneration in a spinal cord transection model. Our findings strongly indicate the dynamic influence of autocrine ECM on cell behavioral and functional changes during cell development and neuronal process regeneration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Isolation and culture of MSCs
MSCs were isolated as previously described from green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgenic Sprague–Dawley rats (Osaka University, Osaka, Japan) which express ubiquitously GFP in all their tissues. Briefly, one-week-old rats were sacrificed and their femurs were flushed with and then cultured in the low glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (L-DMEM; Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; TBD Co, Tianjin, China) and 4 mM L-glutamine (Life Technologies) in an incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. When the adherent cells grew to 80% confluence they were passaged (1:3) into different culture flasks. MSCs of passages 3–6 were employed for the study.

Purification of SCs. Acquisition of SCs was also performed as previous described. Five-day-old Sprague–Dawley neonatal rats were sacrificed by decapitation and cleaned by brief submersion in 70% ethanol. The sciatic nerve and brachial plexus were dissected, and placed in a sterile culture dish containing ice-cold D-Hank’s solution without calcium chloride and magnesium chloride, where they were maintained until the nerves had been harvested from all of the rats and epineurial membranes were removed under a dissecting microscope. Nerves were cut into small pieces (< 2 mm) and digested with 0.16% collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in 37°C for 15 min. Dissociated cells were plated on poly-D-lysine-coated culture dishes with some DMEM/F12 medium consisting of 10% FBS (TBD). After 30 min, an extra 2 mL of the abovementioned culture medium was added to the existing culture dishes. Cells were maintained in a cell culture incubator at 37°C, with a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere. The medium was changed every 2 days. The cells were subcultured when the culture dishes were 80% confluent, typically after 5–7 days in culture, depending on the initial SC population size, and the cell proliferation rate. Cells were purified by differential velocity adherent methods. Consistent with our previous work, the purity of the SCs used for seeding the scaffolds was 95–96%

Coculture of MSCs and SCs in 3D gelatin sponge scaffolds
The construction of 3D gelatin sponge scaffolds was done as previously described. Before seeding of cells, the scaffolds were soaked with the culture medium for 10 min and then excessive fluid was absorbed with a Waterman filter article. A transwell system (Corning, NY) was adopted for the
coculturing design. Briefly, the hanging well insert, with pore size of 0.4 μm was coated with poly-D-lysine (Sigma) 1 day prior to cell seeding. A total of 1×10^5 SCs were placed in each well of a 24-well plate, submerged by 500 μL culture medium (DMEM +10% FBS). Subsequently, a total number of 1×10^5 MSCs in 10 μL culture medium were seeded to each GS scaffold. In order to facilitate cell adhesion, scaffolds with implanted MSCs were incubated in humidified atmosphere at 37°C for 15 min in the lower chamber of the 24-well plate (1 scaffold per well) before adding in 1 mL culture medium. The upper insert was then placed inside each well separately and the 24-well plates were kept in a 37°C, 5% CO₂ and above 70% humidity incubator for culture. Two groups of cells were designed for this experiment. Three hours later, one group received FN blocking antibodies directed against gelatin binding domain was designated as the M + FNab group. Briefly, For the M + FNab group, 400 ng/mL FN blocking antibodies (gelatin binding domain, mouse origin, clone IST-10, EMD Millipore) in 20 μL culture medium were applied into each well. The other group, namely the M group, received 20 μL culture medium only. The culture medium was half-changed every 2 days for both group and FN blocking antibodies were added on daily basis for the M + FNab group. After 3, 7, or 14 days culture, the scaffolds in each group were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4), and then frozen and embedded in the optimum cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek, Zoeterwoude, The Netherlands). Each sample was cut horizontally at 30 μm thickness for regular immunofluorescence cytochemical staining (IFC) or 60 μm thickness for IFC and 3-dimensional reconstruction study (See below for details).

**Spinal cord injury and transplantation**

To prepare the spinal cord injury model, adult female Sprague-Dawley rats (220–250 g, supplied by the Experimental Animal Center of Sun Yat-sen University, China) were used. The animals were anesthetized with 1% pentobarbital sodium (40 mg/kg, i.p.). A laminectomy was carried out to expose the T9 and T10 spinal cord segments, and the dura was cut vertically with a pair of microforceps and microscissors. A pair of angled microscissors were used to expose the T9 and T10 spinal cord segments, and the details). The slices were then fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 1 h at 4°C and postfixed with 1% osmic acid for 1 h. Scaffolds were dehydrated through graded ethanol and embedded in an epon mixture overnight, followed by polymerization for 48 h at 60°C. Ultrathin sections were cut with an ultramicrotome (Reichert E, Co, Vienna, Austria) and examined under a transmission electron microscope (Philips CM 10, Eindhoven, Holland).

**Immunofluorescence cytochemical staining**

For *in vitro* studies, samples were immunofluorescently stained for FN (Polyclonal IgG from Rabbit, EMD Millipore), Laminin (LN, Boster, Wuhan, China), Vitronectin (VN, Boster), and NG2 (a chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, EMD Millipore) Neurofilament-150 (NF, Sigma), Intergrin-β1 (EMD Millipore), β-III tubulin (Sigma). For *in vivo* study, rats were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde and their spinal cord were dissected, embedded in OCT and horizontally sectioned into 30-μm-thick slices. Primary antibodies including those targeting against FN (Polyclonal IgG from Rabbit, EMD millipore), NF (Sigma) and growth associated protein-43 (GAP-43, Sigma) were used for *in vivo* study. After blocking with 10% goat serum, the respective primary antibodies were used along with Cy3, DyLight™405-tagged goat IgG or DyLight™649-tagged goat IgG as the secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Hoechst33342 was used for counterstaining of nucleus as necessary. The sections were observed and imaged under the confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany). For 3D reconstruction, Z stack scanning was first performed, followed by image processing with Zen 2012 software (Carl Zeiss).

**Transmission electron microscopy**

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scaffolds in the M group after 14 days culture were fixed with 4% PFA for 1 h, followed by vibratome sectioning. Each tissue slice was cut at 100 μm thickness. Tissue slices were placed in 25% sucrose plus 10% glycerol solution for 4 h before freezing and thawing with liquid nitrogen. Slices were blocked by 5% BSA for 1 h and incubated with FN antibody (Polyclonal IgG from Rabbit, EMD Millipore) for 12 h at 4°C and then with 1.6 nm gold particle labeled secondary antibody for 2 h in room temperature. An 8 min silver enhancement staining was carried out after rinsing 3 times in TBS. The slices were then fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 1 h at 4°C and postfixed with 1% osmic acid for 1 h. Scaffolds were dehydrated through graded ethanol and embedded in an epon mixture overnight, followed by polymerization for 48 h at 60°C. Ultrathin sections were cut with an ultramicrotome (Reichert E, Co, Vienna, Austria) and examined under a transmission electron microscope (Philips CM 10, Eindhoven, Holland).

**Scanning electron microscopy**

The cells on the scaffolds in either the M or M + FNab groups after 14 days culture were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For SEM, scaffolds were firstly washed 3 times with PBS, fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight, dehydrated with a series of graded ethanol, and then freeze dried for 2 days. The dried samples were coated with gold and examined under a scanning electron microscope (Philips XL30 FEG).

**Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction analysis**

For total RNA extraction, samples (n = 4) from the M + S group at either 3, 7, or 14 days after coculture were washed
twice with PBS. Then, 1 mL of Trizol (Invitrogen) was added. After adding 200 μL of chloroform and shaking vigorously for 15 s, the mixture was left in ice for 5 min. The mixture was next centrifuged for 15 min at 12,000 rpm at 4°C. After the supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube, an equal amount of isopropyl alcohol was added, and the mixture was left at 220°C for 10 min. At 4°C the mixture was centrifuged again for 15 min at 12,000 rpm. The supernatant was removed and washed with 1 mL of 75% ethanol. The solution was centrifuged once again for 10 min in 12,000 rpm at 4°C, and the supernatant was removed. RNA pellet was dried at room temperature for 10–20 min. It was dissolved into DEPC-water and left for 10 min at 55–60°C.

PrimeScriptTMRT reagent (Takara, Japan) was used for cDNA synthesis following the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, using each synthesized cDNA as a mould, PCR by using Prime Script II High Fidelity Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) Kit (Takara) was performed with the FN primers with Forward strand: 5'-GGCTCAATCCAAATGCCTCTAC-3' and Reverse strand: 5'-CCCTCTGGTAAGGCCAGTCAG-3', while β-actin with Forward strand: 5'-AGAGGGAAATCGTGCGTGAC-3' and Reverse strand: 5'-AGAGGTCTTACGGATGTCAACG-3' as loading reference. A total of 30 cycles were carried out. After this, electrophoresis was performed on the DNA fragments synthesized by PCR using 2% agarose gel on 0.5 × TBE buffer.
under 100 V. It was stained with SYBR green and observed under a U.V. illuminator (Syngene, UK).

**Electrophoresis and Western blot**

The amount of FN in each group was determined by electrophoresis and Western blot analysis. At different time points (3, 7, and 14 days for FN) in culture, 3 scaffolds from each group were rinsed 3 times in warm D-Hank’s solution to remove the residual culture medium, and then cut into small pieces with a pair of eye scissors. These small pieces were immersed in 100 μL lysis buffer containing 1 μL proteinase inhibitor cocktails for 20 min on ice and dissociated by an ultrasonic homogenizer to dissolve intracellular and extracellular proteins. The lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. After a Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad), equal amounts of the protein suspension were loaded on a 10% polyacrylamide gel, separated by gel electrophoresis, and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, MA). After blocking with 10% non-fat milk in TBST (25 mMTris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 h, membranes were incubated with the according primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. After washes with PBS plus 0.1% Tween-20, membranes were incubated with the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 2 h. Finally, membranes were washed again and the bands were detected with an ECL Western blot substrate kit (Pierce, IL).

**Morphological quantification and statistical analysis**

For quantification of the percentages of positive cells *in vitro*, one in every ten of the whole series of transverse sections from each scaffold was selected. After being immunofluorescently stained with respective markers, five counting frames at the magnification of 10× or 40× were randomly chosen for each of the sections. The percentage of β-III tubulin or NF positive cells was calculated by counting total number of β-III tubulin or NF positive cells, and dividing the result by total number of GFP positive cells selected.

For quantification of neuronal process length *in vitro*, cells in 45 counting frames under at 40× objective (approximately 360–400 cells) were counted. The 3D reconstruction and neuronal process length measurement were carried out by Imaris 3.0 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland).

To assess innervation of the injury/graft site of spinal cord, Image-Pro Plus software (MediaCybernetics, Silver Spring, MD) was used as described previously. One in every ten spinal cord longitudinal sections from each animal
was processed. Five randomized $0.7 \times 0.5 \text{ mm}^2$ areas from the rostral interface between the host tissue and the implant, the central area of the implant and the caudal interface between the host tissue and the implant for each of the sections were scrutinized. NF positive never fibers were converted to an area of interest (AOI) and pixel area of each AOI was automatically calculated by the software.

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS11.0. Data were reported as means ± standard deviations (SD). The data were analyzed using Student’s t test. The significant level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

FN deposition on GS surface

To demonstrate the specific adherent property of FN to gelatin material, MSCs inside a scaffold and SCs were co-cultured in a transwell system for 7 days. Under SEM, the topology feature of the GS surface changed dramatically with MSCs residing inside [Fig. 1(A)], compared with GS without MSCs seeding [Fig. 1(B)]. ECM accumulation was significant in GS with the MSCs group, as evidenced by the rough surface [inset in Fig. 1(A)], while the surface of GS without MSCs was smooth [inset in Fig. 1(B)]. IFC staining was then carried out to detect the immunofluorescence signal emitted from different ECM components. As shown in Figure 1(C), only FN adhering to the surface of GS formed thread-like structures with emitted fluorescence and were deposited on the outer layer in a cross section of a gelatin sponge fiber. Remarkably, neither VN [Supporting Information Fig. 1(A)] nor NG2 [Supporting Information Fig. 1(B)] adhered onto GS. To better evaluate the extent of FN accumulation, FN blocking antibodies were applied to prevent the deposition of FN. Three dimensional reconstruction of IFC staining image shows abundant FN deposition on GS surface [Fig. 1(D)]; however, such a distribution pattern was attenuated after application of FN blocking antibodies against gelatin binding domain [Fig. 1(E)].

Accumulation of FN secreted by MSCs in the scaffolds was examined at both mRNA and protein levels. RT-PCR showed that FN mRNA levels in MSCs decreased with the passage of time [Fig. 1(F)]; conversely, the expression of FN protein within the scaffold material was progressively increased [Fig. 1(G)].
FN facilitates the attachment of cell process through binding with integrin β1

TEM showed direct attachment of cell processes to FN. Silver enhanced nano-gold labeled FN showed thread like structures that were highly electron dense, on which the cell membrane formed direct attachment, suggesting FN may facilitate cell adhesion [Fig. 2(A)]. The FN fibers varied in diameter and length indicating the variation in assembling pattern of FN molecules. Interestingly, the cell processes followed the contours of FN [asterisks in Fig. 2(B)].

To further determine if cell attachment to FN was mediated by integrin β1, cell containing scaffolds were cultured for 7 or 14 days with or without FN antibody treatment [Fig. 2(C–F)]. In agreement with our previous SCs induced neuronal differentiation result, MSCs differentiated into neuron-like cells with long extending NF positive processes, closely adherent to FN decorated gelatin scaffolds. The processes increased in length when cultured for 14 days [Fig. 2(D1–D5)]. These cell processes appeared to co-express integrin β1 [Fig. 2(D3)]. However, when cultured in medium with added FN antibody, MSCs differentiated into NF positive neuron-like cells with short processes in 7 or 14 days cultures [Fig. 2(E,F)]. The processes lacked integrin β1 expression suggesting that it plays an important role FN induced cell attachment.

Autocrine FN promotes cell process elongation

Three dimensional images were used to quantify the neuronal differentiation percentage and process length with/without blocking with FN antibody. Neuronal processes grew longer with increase in duration in culture [Fig. 3(A–C)]. Elongation of neuronal processes became less obvious following FN blockade with its antibody to eliminate their binding to gelatin surface [Fig. 3(D–F)]. FN antibody treatment did not affect neuronal differentiation of MSCs, but it decreased elongation of the processes [Fig. 3(G)].

Moreover, FN also changed the external morphology of MSC-derived neuron-like cell as shown by SEM, which revealed the external neuron-like cell morphology on 3D gelatin sponge scaffolds. After 14 days culture, most MSC-derived cells exhibited a spindle shaped cell body [Fig. 3(H)] with projection of slender and long processes by adhering to the surface of gelatin scaffold [arrows in Fig. 3(H)]. Following FN antibody treatment, MSC-derived cells showed a flattened cell body [asterisks in Fig. 3(I)], and shorter and thicker processes [arrows in Fig. 3(I)].

Autocrine FN increases nerve fiber regeneration in vivo

Scaffolds containing MSCs with or without FN blocking antibody treatment for 14 days of culture were transplanted into the injured spinal cord for 4 weeks. NF positive nerve fibers (red), which are bulky and of varying lengths, were observed in the M group (A). These regenerating nerve fibers grow preferentially toward the implant (A1). NF positive fibers are common and are readily identified in the center of the implant (A2). When implanted with FN blocking antibodies treated scaffold, NF positive nerve fibers (B) are uncommon and often in disarrays (B1). They are rarely seen in the center (B2) of the implant in the M+FNab group when compared with the same area (A2) of the M group. Autocrine FN was detected at 4 weeks after implantation, as indicated by the presence of many thread-like fluorescent short fibers in the injury/graft site of spinal cord (C). Nerve fibers attached to FN fibers are common (yellow, arrows in D), with some of them being GAP-43 positive, suggesting robust nerve fiber regeneration in the FN enriched area (C,D). MSC-derived neuron-like cells (red, asterisk of E) can be found inside the implant, surrounded by a significant group of GFP positive donor cells expressing FN (blue). There are a few NF positive nerve fibers (red, arrowheads in E) near the donor cells (GFP positive, in E). FN accumulation in the extracellular space is observed inside the implant (arrows in E). Histogram chart shows a prominent increase of innervation at the rostral interface between the host tissue and implant, the center of the implant and the caudal interface between the host tissue and implant in the M group, compared with the M+FNab group. Asterisks in (F) indicate p<0.05 (n=8, Student t-test). Scale bars: 1 mm in (A) and (B); 200 μm in (C); 20 μm in (D); 50 μm in (E); 10 μm in inset of (E).
regenerating nerve fibers was observed in the epicenter of M group [Fig. 4(A2)], compared with the M + FNab group [Fig. 4(B2)]. The overall innervation of the rostral interface, the epicenter and the caudal interface of the implants was significantly higher in M group than in M + FNab group [Fig. 4(F)]. We reported previously that deposited FN was detected on GS scaffold at least one week after implantation in the spinal cord.21 In this study over a protracted time period in the spinal cord, autocrine FN was detected at 4 weeks after implantation. This is evidenced by the existence of many thread-like fluorescent short nerve fibers (red) in the injury/graft site [Fig. 4(C)] notably in the M group. Many profiles of nerve fibers were adherent to the FN fibers [Fig. 4(C)], and very strikingly some of them were GAP-43 positive, suggesting robust nerve fiber regeneration in the FN enriched area [Fig. 4(C,D)]. Consistent with our previous finding,36 the neuronal differentiation of MSCs decreased drastically 4 weeks after their transplantation into the spinal cord tissue. The percentage of NF positive neuron-like cells was 5.74 ± 2.25% for the M group and 6.68 ± 3.22% for the M + FNab group (p > 0.05, n = 8, Student’s t-test). Majority of the GFP positive donor cells were found to express FN that accumulated in the extracellular spaces [Fig. 4(E)], and which would induce NF positive host nerve fiber growth [Fig. 4(E)]. Figure 4(F) shows a more substantial increase of innervation area in the rostral and caudal interfaces between the host tissue and the injury/graft site as well as the center of the injury/graft site of spinal cord in the M group, when compared with the M + FNab group.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies demonstrated that SCs were able to induce neural differentiation of MSCs,29,37 probably due to their remarkable capacity of cytokines secreting such as brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF),38 which were considered to be effective trophic factors for neural induction of MSCs.28,39 Compared with our previous 2D study,29 MSCs on a gelatin sponge scaffold resulted in more neuronal differentiation under the SCs induction. We attribute this increase to the benefit of 3D culture system40–42 and also the soft nature of gelatin,43 which was deemed to be more comparable to the elastic nature of the CNS tissue44 and hence could be neurogenic.45 With the differentiation of MSCs into neuron-like cells, the expression of FN was concomitantly and progressively decreased. This may be a possible result of switching of the cell type from mesodermal to ectodermal due to a decreased expression of mesoderm gene in cells undergoing neural differentiation.46,47 Although RT-PCR showed an obvious reduction in FN expression, the amount of FN protein within the scaffold increased with time. This observation was consistent with our previous finding that gelatin could accumulate FN21 due to their binding via the GBD domain.22 Since this binding is relatively strong as it even resists normal detergents,23 almost the entire surface of gelatin sheet was covered by FN fibrils. Although MSCs are able to secrete matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) such as MMP2 that may degrade ECM including FN and migrate away,48,49 we believe in the present study the accumulation effect had overridden the degradation effect as indicated by the fact that a broad FN-coated surface was gradually formed.

In the 3D GS culture system, catabolic process of FN is apparently different from in vivo situation, where existence of FN is highly regulated by gene from manufacturing to degradation.50,51 However, the system provided a unique platform for exploring the promising prospects of MSCs in tissue engineering field. Although there are many reports showing the neuronal differentiation of MSCs,24–28 less attention has been paid to neurite elongation, which is the first step for neuron maturation, along with formation of synaptic contacts and neural network. The present results did not provide sufficient evidence to conclude that FN can increase neuronal differentiation of MSCs. However, we did observe that FN had impact on the neurite outgrowth from MSC-derived neuron-like cells, probably through combination with its receptor β1 integrin. FN is known to act as a ligand for at least 11 different integrin heterodimers,52 supporting adhesion of numerous cell types and also neurite outgrowth from developing peripheral nervous system and central nervous system (CNS) neurons.33,53,54 While in the adult animals, peripheral nerve injury could up-regulate FN expression.55,56 The integrin β1 is expressed on regenerating axons 55,57 as suggested by in vitro neurite prolongation from dorsal root ganglion neurons on FN substrate.58 In CNS, integrin β1 is expressed by neurons during development and mature neurons in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex.59 During the maturation of brain neurons, integrin β1 expression is down-regulated60 to lower but still detectable level.61 The temporally regulated expression pattern of integrin ensures correct migration, differentiation and network formation of neurons during the maturation process. Consistent with these findings, we show here that NF positive neural processes adhered to FN-decorated scaffold through integrin β1 receptors and more importantly, blocking the cell-binding domain of FN resulted in decrease of integrin β1. Antibodies directed against the gelatin binding fragment of fibronectin inhibited fibronectin deposition on GS and subsequently, integrin binding by fibronectin and possible downstream signal transduction events would also be influenced.62 Classic synaptogenesis is evident by the occurrence of neurites which appeared to make synaptic-like contacts as well as the formation of pre-synaptic component and the inducible post-synaptic component with their associated proteins, it is therefore suggested that the mutual contact of neurites is the structural basis for synapse formation.63 Separately, a drastic shortening in length of neurites was observed on the gelatin sponge scaffold without FN matrix support. It is conceivable that this would result in a significant reduction in post-synaptic protein expression; hence, formation of fewer synaptic contacts. Moreover, decreased expression of integrin β1 could directly reduce synapse density and maturation,64,65 which resulted in a lesser neural network formation. It is well established
that growing neuronal processes remain stable if they form synapses with the targets and receive trophic factors retrogradely transported from the post-synaptic component. The significantly increased amount and the growth pattern of regenerating nerve fibers in the current study suggests that autocrine FN, deposited on the surface of G5, may facilitate nerve regeneration through providing a permissive matrix.

CONCLUSION

This study assessed the influence of FN on the neurite outgrowth from MSC-derived neuron-like cells and differentiating MSCs in the gelatin sponge scaffold. Our results suggest that collagen-origin substrate, gelatin material, can accumulate FN beginning from the stem cell phase of MSCs, till specifically differentiated phase which could affect profoundly cell process elongation. The data further indicate that adhesion of ECM onto the surface of scaffold would have a significant influence on cell behavior during the development of stem cells as well as nerve fiber regeneration when introduced into a spinal cord injury model. All this should be taken into consideration when designing a specific biomaterial scaffold for tissue engineering construction.
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