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Abstract

Background Lactic acid fermentation is a strong tool to convert biodegradable wastes into food ingredients for animal husbandry. Experiments were made to evaluate if lactic acid bacteria of whey, a by-product of dairy industry, could be exploited to ferment fish offal waste (FOW) and to compare the effectiveness of whey as fermentation inoculum with that of a pure culture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*.

Results Although fermentation of FOW by whey required 5 days to complete in contrast to 3 days required for fermentation by *L. acidophilus*, removal of hazardous microorganisms like *Staphylococcus*, *Clostridium* and coliform bacteria was better in the whey-fermented fish offal (WFFO) than that of *L. acidophilus*-fermented fish offal (LAFFO). Protein and lipid contents of the wastes were almost completely recovered in both the fermented products, with WFFO showing a higher amount of free amino acid than the LAFFO. Both the fermented products were stable against the growth of mould and yeast.

Conclusion It is concluded that whey is a viable and easily available inoculum to ferment FOW and convert it to a microbiologically safe and nutrient-rich end product suitable for use as feed supplement in animal feed formulation.
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List of abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                                      |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| AA           | Acetic acid                                      |
| ANOVA        | Analysis of variance                             |
| FOW          | Fish offal waste                                 |
| LA           | Lactic acid                                      |
| LAB          | Lactic acid bacteria                             |
| LAFFO        | *Lactobacillus acidophilus*-fermented fish offal |
| LSD          | Least significant difference                     |
| PDA          | Potato dextrose agar                             |
| SPC          | Standard plate count                             |
| WFFO         | Whey-fermented fish offal                        |
| WSC          | Water-soluble carbohydrates                      |

Introduction

Fermentation is a useful biotechnological tool to recover essential nutrients contained in fish offal waste (FOW) and recycle them as feed stuff for fish (Mondal et al. 2007, 2008). It provides dual benefit: protection of environment from the risk of disposal related pollution including microbial contamination and reduction in the cost of aquaculture feed production. Fermented FOW is used as an alternative to fishmeal, the chief source of protein and most costly ingredient in aquaculture diet (Samaddar et al. 2011). Literature available on fermentation of vegetable (Bairagi et al. 2002) and animal wastes (Mondal et al. 2007, 2008) for recycling them as feed stuff indicate that there is scope to improve the fermentation technique towards reducing the time of fermentation for minimizing nutrient degradation rate and improving the quality of fermented products with economic and environmental benefits. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are widely employed...
in fermentation for animal feed production, their preservation (Chou and Weimer 1999; Driehuis et al. 2001; Nwad et al. 2008) and digestibility increment (Vidotti et al. 2002).

Milk and fermented dairy products are good source of LAB (Delves-Broughton 1990; Stiles 1996). Whey is a by-product of fermented and coagulated dairy products and is also a potential pollutant of terrestrial and aquatic environment (Goyal and Gandhi 2009; Gonzalez-Siso 1996; Marwaha and Kennedy 1988). However, whey is a potential source of LAB and is a viable inoculum for fermentation. In recent years there have been some research efforts to develop new technology to utilize whey or its constituents for other purposes so that pollution from these sources is minimized. The objective of the present study was to quantify LAB of whey and to evaluate if it could be exploited to ferment FOW successfully. Lactobacillus acidophilus is a widely applied LAB for fermentation because of its homofermentative nature rendering quick acidification along with strong anti-microbial effects against hazardous microorganisms (Weinberg and Muck 1996; Pyar et al. 2011). Therefore, fermentation ability of whey was compared with that of pure culture of L. acidophilus in the present study.

Methods

Collection of samples

Samples of FOW composed of mainly viscera of carps, were collected from the local retail fish markets in sterilized containers. The portion of guts contained in the fish offal was carefully uncoiled and gut materials were removed using clean water. Whey was collected as liquid by-product from a local dairy product farm that commercially produces yogurt from milk. The process of yogurt production in brief includes boiling of milk followed by cooling to 40 ± 2 °C temperature and addition of starter culture from previous stock of yogurt. The milk is transformed to yogurt within 5–6 h and whey is separated as liquid by-product. Initially random samples of whey were collected from three different local dairy product farms and were subjected to determine population of LAB, the key group of microorganisms to be exploited in the present study. Since quantity of LAB did not show any significant variation between the sources, we selected only one source of whey for the final study. Samples of fresh whey were collected in sterilized screw cap bottles, and were transported to the laboratory for use as inoculum in the fermentation of FOW. Cane molasses, used as a source of fermentable carbohydrate for microorganisms involved in the fermentation, was collected from the local market in sterilized containers. FOW, whey and cane molasses were transported to the laboratory in ice buckets to avoid further contamination and were subjected to microbiological analyses to determine their hygienic condition before these were used in the fermentation process. Detailed analytical methods have been described below. Only freshly collected samples of FOW, whey and cane molasses were used for fermentation.

Fermentation process

Fermentation of FOW was carried out in 1 l Erlenmeyer flasks, each containing 400 g of fermentation mixture. The mixture contained 80 % of chopped fish offal, 15 % of cane molasses and 5 % of fermentation inoculum containing either freshly collected whey or actively growing culture [10⁹ colony forming units (CFU)/ml] of L. acidophilus (NCIM 2903) procured from the National Collection of Industrial Microorganisms (NCIM), National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India. The fermentation technique was standardized following the method described by Hammouni et al. (1998). Biochemical compositions of fish offal waste (FOW), cane molasses and fresh whey were determined before these were added to the mixture. The mixture was also subjected to biochemical analyses before the incubation was started. All analyses were made following standard analytical methods described below. The fermentation mixture (mean moisture level = 70 %) was incubated at 35 °C. Several replicates were maintained for each of the two fermentation mixture. Every day one replicate from each group was opened and pH, water soluble carbohydrates (WSC) and quantity of lactic acid (LA) and acetic acid (AA) produced in the fermentation mixture were determined. Determinations were continued till the mixture attained a pH value in between 4.0 and 4.2, after which the fermentation was discontinued. The entire experiment was repeated thrice.

The fermented products were subjected to microbiological and biochemical analyses. Stability of the dry fermented products against growth of mould and yeast was also checked and compared with that of the dried FOW. Detailed analytical methods are described below.

Analytical methods

Microbiological analyses

Determinations of standard plate count (SPC), population of LAB, yeast and hazardous microorganisms such as total and faecal coliform, Staphylococcus and Clostridium were made in the fresh FOW, fresh whey, cane molasses as well as in the two fermented products, whey-fermented fish offal (WFFO) and L. acidophilus-fermented fish offal.
(LAFFO). Ten grams of each sample was blended with 90 ml of saline water (NaCl, 0.85 % w/v), diluted serially (10-folds), plated with 1 ml of the suspension in appropriate media using pour plating technique (Cappuccino and Sherman 2007) and incubated at the appropriate temperature. The colonies that appeared on the selected plates after incubation were enumerated as CFU/g or ml of sample. Samples were incubated in Plate count agar (Standard methods agar) (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) at 30 °C for 2–3 days for enumeration of SPC, in potato dextrose agar (PDA) (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) acidified by LA solution to pH 3.5 at 30 °C for 72 h for enumeration of yeast, in Lactobacillus MRS agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) at 30 °C for 48 h for enumeration of LAB, Lactobacillus selection agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) at 30 °C for 48 h for enumeration of Lactobacillus sp., in MacConkey broth (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) supplemented with agar, at 37 °C for 24 h for enumeration of total coliform bacteria and again in the same media at 44 °C for 24 h for determination of faecal coliform bacteria. For enumeration of Staphylococcus, the samples were incubated in mannitol salt agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) at 37 °C for 24 h. Yellow colonies were enumerated and checked by Gram stain and catalase reactions. For enumeration of Clostridium colonies, the samples were heated at 80 °C for 10 min, immediately followed by cooling on ice water. The cooled samples were serially diluted, plated and incubated at 44 °C for 24 h in reinforced clostridial agar (HiMedia, Mumbai, India).

Biochemical analyses

The samples were diluted with water (1:10) for determination of pH in a direct reading digital pH meter. WSC was determined by modified spectrophotometric method described by Murphy et al. (2007). For determination of LA and AA, samples were diluted with water (1:10), homogenized and filtered through Whatman-2 filter paper. The filtrate was centrifuged at 14,400g for 15 min and the supernatant was further filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filter. LA in the filtrate was determined by the method of McHugh et al. (2006) in an HPLC system (Waters) using a 4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm, column (ZORBAX Rx-C18; Agilent Technologies), a Waters 2996 photodiode array UV detector, programmed at 210 nm and a mixture of acetonitrile:buffer (3:97) as the mobile phase with a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The buffer used was 25 mM KH2PO4 (adjusted to pH 2.5 by H3PO4). AA in the filtrate was determined in the same HPLC system, but 50 mM KH2PO4 (adjusted to pH 2.5 by H3PO4) buffer alone was used as the mobile phase with a flow rate of 1 ml/min (Dremetsika et al. 2005). Retention time of the standard LA and AA was found, respectively, at 2.2 and 2.9 min (Fig. 1). The quantity of both LA and AA in the samples was determined using Empower software associated with the HPLC system.

Proximate compositions were determined by AOAC methods (Helrich 1990). Samples were oven dried at 55–60 °C for 18–20 h before analysis. Moisture was determined by oven drying at 105 °C for 24 h; crude protein (nitrogen × 6.25) was determined by Kjeldahl method after acid hydrolysis; lipid was extracted by petroleum ether (boiling point 40–60 °C) for 7–8 h in a Soxhlet apparatus followed by determination of lipid gravimetrically; crude fibre was determined as loss on ignition of dried lipid-free residues after digestion with 1.25 % H2SO4 and 1.25 % NaOH and ash was determined by combustion at 550 °C in a muffle furnace till a constant weight was achieved. Nitrogen-free extract (NFE) was calculated by taking the sum of values for crude protein, crude fibre, crude lipid, moisture and ash and subtracting this from 100. Free amino acid was determined by the method of Moore and Stein (1948) using ninhydrin reagent dissolved in methyl cellosolve (2-methoxyethanol).

Aerobic stability against mould and yeast

The stability of the fermented products and dried FOW against growth of mould and yeast was checked by a short experiment. Ten grams of dry sample, fermented product or FOW was taken in a plate of 90 mm diameter, spread in a very thin layer and kept in open air or covered by a lid in the laboratory. Two control sets, one containing PDA and another containing PDA acidified by LA to pH 3.5 (acidified PDA) in similar plates, were also maintained. The temperature and humidity were recorded during the experiments. Samples of the fermented products, dried FOW and the control media were taken out from the respective plates after 1 h and 5 days of incubation, diluted serially (1:10) in saline water (NaCl, 0.85 % w/v), plated following spread plating technique and incubated for 3 days at 30 °C separately in two media: one containing PDA and another containing acidified PDA to grow a mixture of mould and yeast (M&Y) and only yeast (Y), respectively. CFU were enumerated in the plates after 3 days.

Statistical analyses

Single factor analysis of variance followed by least significant difference test (Gomez and Gomez 1984) were employed to test significant difference in pH, WSC, LA and AA of the two fermentation mixtures between initial and terminal days of fermentation as well as between the two final fermented products (WFFO and LAFFO). Significant differences in biochemical compositions between
dried WFFO and LAFFO as well as between pre-fermentation mixture and the final product of each category (WFFO and LAFFO) were also determined.

Results and discussion

Microbiological status

SPC and population of LAB, yeast and hazardous microorganisms (total and faecal coliform bacteria, *Staphylococcus* and *Clostridium*) determined in fresh FOW, fresh whey, WFFO and LAFFO have been presented in Table 1. A high degree of contamination of hazardous microorganisms was found in both fresh FOW and fresh whey. FOW appeared as a more dangerous pollutant than whey because of containing relatively higher amount of faecal coliform bacteria, *Staphylococcus* and *Clostridium*. The presence of these microorganisms in drinking water or food is of great concern for public health (Prescott et al. 2002). However, both the wastes contained a small amount of yeast and moderate to high amount of LAB. Preliminary experiments to ferment FOW without addition of any inoculum indicated that the population of LAB present in the FOW grew to a maximum of up to 6.61 ± 0.5 log CFU/g during fermentation, which alone was inadequate to carry out fermentation to a desirable end point. However, addition of an inoculum like whey [with high amount of LAB (8.73 ± 0.14 log CFU/ml)] to FOW prompted the steady growth of LAB resulting in quick completion of the fermentation process. Preliminary investigation also indicated that a significant proportion of LAB of the whey was represented by *Lactobacillus* sp. (5.36 ± 0.08 log CFU/ml) rendering it a viable inoculum for fermentation. In both treatments, LAB became the dominant microorganisms at the end of fermentation, which was an indication of a successful fermentation process (Faid et al. 1994, 1997; Fig. 1 Chromatograms of standard 0.1 % lactic acid (LA) and 1.0 % acetic acid (AA) solutions
Acid-tolerant yeast also grew in the medium (3.39–4.40 log CFU/g in the middle of fermentation), but failed to inhibit the growth of LAB (7.97–8.23 log CFU/g in the middle of fermentation) in the WFFO group. The results of the present study indicate that fermentation by whey or *L. acidophilus* can substantially reduce the population of *Staphylococcus*, *Clostridium* and coliform bacteria, with the latter being totally removed from the WFFO and faecal coliform removed from the LAFFO. Increase in the population of LAB and production of organic acids create a low pH during fermentation, which probably resulted in conditions unfavourable to the above hazardous microorganisms (Nwad et al. 2008; El Jalila et al. 2001).

Changes in biochemical parameters

Changes observed in the level of pH, WSC, LA and AA contents during fermentation have been presented in Fig. 2.
Table 2 Biochemical composition of the FOW, pre-fermentation mixture and the fermented products (WFFO, LAFFO)

| Parameters (percent dry matter) | FOW    | Pre-fermentation mixture | WFFO   | LAFFO   |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| Crude protein                  | 40.1 ± 0.23 | 32.32 ± 0.27a         | 30.67 ± 0.48b | 31.08 ± 0.13b |
| Crude lipid                    | 27.09 ± 0.92 | 21.29 ± 0.19a         | 21.69 ± 0.35a | 21.09 ± 0.7a  |
| Crude fibre                    | 1.85 ± 0.11  | 1.78 ± 0.06a          | 1.39 ± 0.21b  | 1.62 ± 0.04b  |
| Moisture                       | 11.02 ± 0.27 | 9.68 ± 0.12a         | 10.86 ± 0.33b | 10.65 ± 0.43b |
| Ash                            | 2.84 ± 0.13  | 3 ± 0.09a            | 2.93 ± 0.21b  | 2.95 ± 0.14a  |
| Nitrogen-free extract          | 17.08 ± 0.96 | 31.92 ± 0.17a        | 32.61 ± 0.02b | 32.59 ± 0.37b |
| Free amino acid                | 0.17 ± 0.03  | 0.24 ± 0.02a         | 1.13 ± 0.26b  | 0.52 ± 0.05c  |
| Lactic acid (LA)               | 0.1 ± 0.03   | 0.19 ± 0.88a        | 20.97 ± 0.69b | 16.08 ± 0.15c |
| Acetic acid (AA)               | 1.1 ± 0.06   | 1.12 ± 0.04a        | 4.91 ± 0.04b  | 4.01 ± 0.05c  |

abc Dissimilar superscripts in a row indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Table 3 Mould and yeast population (log CFU/g) in the fresh and fermented waste

| Treatments | After 1 h exposure to air | After 5 days of incubation |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|            | Mixture of mould and yeast | Yeast         | Mixture of mould and yeast | Yeast         |
| Control    | 0.60 ± 0.03              | ND            | 4.49 ± 0.1        | 1.53 ± 0.06   |
| Fresh fish | ND                       | ND            | 3.00 ± 0.07        | 0.30 ± 0.12   |
| WFFO       | ND                       | ND            | ND                  | ND            |
| LAFFO      | ND                       | ND            | ND                  | ND            |

On dry matter basis. ND not detectable

Fermentation was terminated after the 3rd day in the LAFFO when the mixture attained a pH value of 4.15 ± 0.04. However, production of LA and AA was relatively less and the pH value was significantly higher (4.35 ± 0.04) on the 3rd day in WFFO as compared to LAFFO. Therefore, the fermentation was continued till the pH attained a value of 4.12 ± 0.01 on the 5th day. The desired level of pH indicative of completion of fermentation is 4.0–4.2. Within this pH range, the fermented products are safe from spoilage and fit for use as feedstuff for animals (Lee et al. 2004; Yashoda et al. 2001). LA and AA are the major metabolites responsible for reduction of pH in the fermentation mixture. In the present study, LA was generated in higher quantity than AA in both the fermentation mixtures because of the capacity of LAB to produce LA as the major metabolite (Piard and Desmazeaud 1991). Kung and Shaver (2001) also observed that LA was generated in higher quantity than AA in the silage fermentation process. LA appeared to be primarily responsible for the drop of silage pH, because acidity of LA was stronger than that of other organic acids generated during the silage fermentation process. L. acidophilus is homofermentative in nature and produced LA as the only organic acid, thereby resulting in the fast and efficient reduction of pH on the 3rd day of fermentation in the present study (Prescott et al. 2002; Weinberg and Muck 1996). However, in the WFFO group adequate amount of LA was not produced to reduce pH to the desired level on the 3rd day of fermentation. Fermentation of milk to yogurt formation is carried out principally by homofermentative LAB (Moreira et al. 2000; Ng et al. 2011), and whey being a by-product of this production system is also expected to carry same homofermentative group of bacteria. But unlike active pure culture of L. acidophilus, the metabolic activity of LAB present in the whey was not strong enough to produce an adequate quantity of LA required to reduce pH to the desired level within 3 days of fermentation. When fermentation was extended for two more days in the WFFO group, an adequate quantity of LA accumulated and pH was reduced to the desired level. Since AA was also detected in both the fermentation mixtures, the presence of some heterofermentative LAB or other AA-producing microorganism in these mixtures could not be ruled out. The probable source of these microorganisms was either fish offal or molasses. The accumulation of AA in relatively higher amount in WFFO as compared to LAFFO is probably helpful for its better protection against spoilage under aerobic condition (Filya 2003; Kung et al. 2003). There was no significant difference in WSC content between the two fermentation groups till the 3rd day of fermentation, indicating that the carbohydrate utilization rate was the same in both the groups. However, the WSC level in WFFO decreased to a level lower than that found on the 3rd day in the LAFFO mixture when fermentation was continued up to day 5.

Crude protein (CP), crude lipid (CL), moisture and NFE of fresh whey and cane molasses (% wet weight basis) were, respectively, 2.13, 1.96, 90.17, 5.74 and 2.86, 1.37, 14.0, 78.14. Proximate compositions of FOW, pre-fermented mixture and the two fermented products of fish offal (WFFO and LAFFO) have been presented in Table 2. Significant variations were observed in the proximate composition between the pre-fermentation mixture and the fermented products, except in crude lipid and ash content. Crude protein level reduced significantly in both the
fermented products, as compared to the pre-fermented mixture, but reduction was restricted only to 1.24 % (in LAFFO) and 1.65 % (in WFFO). Free amino acid level significantly increased both in WFFO and LAFFO as compared to the pre-fermentation mixture. Free amino acid level of WFFO was significantly higher than that of LAFFO. Crude protein level in the fermented products decreased from the pre-fermentation mixture, probably due to utilization of a part of the protein by microorganisms as nutrient source in their metabolism. Extracellular proteolytic activity of the fermenting microflora might be responsible for the breakdown of a part of the protein resulting in the reduction of crude protein and increase of free amino acid level in the fermented products (Savijoki et al. 2006; Haq and Mukhtar 2006). However, it increases digestibility of the fermented products when these are used as feed ingredient. Excess free amino acids can also act as potential chemo-attractants in feed (Ramirez-Ramirez et al. 2008; Lian et al. 2005). Crude fibre level was also significantly reduced in WFFO as compared to pre-fermented product probably due to cellulolytic microbial action during fermentation (Ghosh et al. 2004). Based on the free amino acid and crude fibre level, WFFO appears to be a better digestible protein source as compared to LAFFO and comparable to fishmeal, though the latter contains a higher level of crude protein (Kaviraj et al. 2012).

Aerobic stability

There was no growth of mould and yeast in the samples, when these were incubated in plates covered by a lid. However, in plates exposed to open air (temperature 26–29 °C and humidity 68–72 %), both mould and yeast grew profusely in the control and moderately in fresh FOW, but failed to grow on the fermented products (Table 3). Aerobic spoilage not only reduces nutritional value of the fermented product, but also increases the risk of proliferation of potential pathogenic or otherwise undesirable microorganisms (Driehuis and Oude Elferink 2000). Yeast serves as an initiator of spoilage through oxidation of preserving acids and increase in pH of the medium, which in turn help mould to grow (Woolford 1990; Pahlow et al. 2003). Such growth of yeast and mould reduces feed value and palatability of the fermented products and produces negative effect on animal health (Oude Elferink et al. 2000). The shelf value of the fermented products also depends to a large extent on WSC content left after fermentation, which can act as the substrate for growth of mould when exposed to air. Accumulation of LA and AA in sufficient quantities in the fermented products (Table 2) can create antagonistic effects on the growth of yeast and mould (Moon 1983), rendering aerobic stability to the products.

Conclusions

It is concluded from the present study that whey, an easily available by-product of milk industry, is a good source of LAB with significant proportion of Lactobacillus sp., which renders it a viable inoculum to ferment FOW. Fermentation of FOW by whey results in marginal loss of crude protein and almost no loss of crude lipid in the fermented product. The WFFO is also microbiologically safe, low in fibre content and rich in free amino acid level and is therefore suitable for use as feed supplement in animal feed formulation.
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