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Abstract
Background: Infertility affects childbearing age couples all over the world. One of the important reasons for infertility is genetic factors. Our study evaluated the association between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) and azoospermia.

Methods: Multiple databases like MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane library, and China journal full-text database were used to search for relevant studies, and full-text articles involved in the evaluation of MTHFR and azoospermia. The results were evaluated using STATA 12.0. Heterogeneity analysis, sensitivity analysis, and bias analysis were also performed on the data.

Results: Thirteen related studies eventually met the inclusion criteria. Significant association between C677T polymorphism and azoospermia (relative risk [RR] = 0.94 [0.90, 0.99], I² = 60.9%, P = .002), and between A1298C polymorphism and azoospermia (RR = 0.98 [0.94, 1.02], I² = 56.3%, P = .011) was observed. Meanwhile, in subgroup analysis, Caucasians had higher risk than Mongolians in association between MTHFR and azoospermia.

Conclusion: There was association between MTHFR polymorphism and azoospermia. Caucasian populations had higher risk than Mongolian populations in association between MTHFR and azoospermia.

Abbreviations: Hcy = homocysteine, Met = methionine, MTHFR = methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase.
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1. Introduction
At present, infertility affects childbearing age couples all over the world. Statistics show that infertile couples account for about 10% to 15% of married couples, including men and women.[1,2] Despite current improvement in clinical diagnostic techniques, the causes of infertility are unknown in about half of couples.

Mutations in the gene and the level of metabolism are 2 influencing factors.[3] The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene is located in 1p36.32 and encodes a protein with 656 amino acids. MTHFR is an important enzyme in the metabolism of folic acid and homocysteine (Hcy), mediating the transformation of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolic acid into 5-methyltetrahydrofolic acid.[4,5] 5-Methyltetrahydrofolic acid provides materials for DNA methylation in cells. At the same time, it acts as a methyl donor and uses vitamin B12 as a coenzyme under the catalysis of methionine synthase to remethylate Hcy in blood to produce methionine (Met). Folic acid is an antioxidant.[6,7] If folic acid intake is reduced and there is no enough folic acid in the body to protect against oxidative stress caused by high Hcy, sperm DNA will be damaged and fertility will be affected. At present, common MTHFR polymorphisms are C677T (rs1801133) and A1298C (rs1801131).[8–10] There are several articles analyzing association between infertility and MTHFR including polymorphisms C677T and A1298C.[7–10] They are varied in research designs, recruitment methods, exclusion criteria, and methods. A meta-analysis is required to evaluate the association between infertility and MTHFR.

2. Material and methods
2.1. Ethics approval
Ethics approval was waived because this study does not involve any human participants or animals.
Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study selection.

Table 1
Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.

| Study      | Year | Language | Country | Ethnicity   | Groups   | n   |
|------------|------|----------|---------|-------------|----------|-----|
| Gava[11]   | 2010 | English  | Brazil  | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 233 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 156 |
| Gurkan[12] | 2014 | English  | Turkey  | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 134 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 137 |
| Karimian[13]| 2014| English  | Iran    | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 132 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 118 |
| Kim[14]    | 2015 | English  | Korea   | Mongolian   | Fertiles | 246 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles|  85 |
| Lee[15]    | 2006 | English  | Korea   | Mongolian   | Fertiles | 325 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 360 |
| Li[16]     | 2014 | English  | China   | Mongolian   | Fertiles | 120 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 162 |
| Mfadi[17]  | 2014 | English  | Jordan  | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 150 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 150 |
| Murto[18]  | 2014 | English  | Sweden  | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 188 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 340 |
| Najafipour[19]| 2017| English  | Iran    | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 120 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 280 |
| Park[20]   | 2005 | English  | Korea   | Mongolian   | Fertiles | 396 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 373 |
| Ucar[21]   | 2013 | English  | Turkey  | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 109 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 107 |
| Var[22]    | 2011 | English  | India   | Caucasians  | Fertiles | 230 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 206 |
| Zhou[23]   | 2007 | English  | China   | Mongolian   | Fertiles | 355 |
|            |      |          |         |             | Infertiles| 252 |
2.2. Literature search strategy

Multiple electronic databases including MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane library, and China journal full-text database were searched from January 2000 to September 2019 using combinations of the following key terms: MTHFR and azoospermia. The Mesh words included methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (NADPH2), azoospermia, and nonobstructive. There was no language restriction. Title and abstract were initially reviewed and the references were also examined (G-XT and LJ). Two authors assessed possibly related articles independently complying inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. If there is disagreement between 2 researchers, a third author will help to solve it.

2.3. Study selection

Studies were included if:

(1) They were randomized trials or case-control studies.
(2) They analyzed the association between MTHFR and azoospermia.
(3) The details of MTHFR polymorphism were reported.

Studies were excluded if:

(1) Patients did not have azoospermia.
(2) Data were limited or insufficient.
(3) They were duplicate publications.

2.4. Data extraction and quality assessment

The full texts of the articles were read carefully and the characteristics from each study were extracted using a predetermined form. Data about base number in C677T and A1298C among azoospermia patients were extracted as main outcome. The data extracted from these studies included the first author’s name, year of publication, country, ethnicity, sample size (infertile/fertile subjects).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Crude relative risks (RRs) with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to assess the strength of the association between MTHFR polymorphism and azoospermia. Cochran $X^2$ based $Q$-statistic and $I^2$ test were performed to assess heterogeneity in the combined studies. Generally, $I^2$ values $<25\%$ correspond to no or little heterogeneity, values 25% to 50% correspond to moderate heterogeneity, and values $>50\%$
correspond to strong heterogeneity between studies. Random-effects and fixed-effect summary measures were calculated as inverse-variance-weighted average of the log odds ratio. The results of random-effects summary were reported in the text because it takes into account the variation between studies. Sources of heterogeneity were investigated through a hierarchical meta-analysis based on ethnicity and sample size. Ethnicity was defined as Mongolian and Caucasian. Publication bias is presented through a funnel chart. Sensitivity analysis was performed by removing a study from the population and then reanalyzing the remaining studies. Analysis was performed using STATA software version 12.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). All P values were used for double-sided analysis and a P value of .05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Search process

The electronic search yielded a total number of 1281 articles. After thorough reading, 850 papers met the preliminary criteria. After further screening, 837 articles were excluded due to study design, insufficient data, and type of the articles. Finally, 13 papers[11–23] were selected for analysis and all the articles were randomized controlled trial. Figure 1 is a flowchart of identification, inclusion and exclusion, reflecting the search process, and the reasons for exclusion. In the process, we followed preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyse Checklist.[24]

3.2. Characteristics of included studies

Detailed characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1. All these studies were published from 2000 to 2019. The sample size ranged from 216 to 685. Totally 2738 patients were in the fertile group, and 2726 patients were in the infertile group.

3.3. Results of quality assessment

The Cochrane bias risk tool was used to evaluate the risk of bias in the 13 trials in which 1 trial showed selection bias, 1 showed performance bias, and 1 trial showed attrition bias. The detailed results of the quality assessment are listed in Figs. 2 and 3.

3.4. Association between C677T polymorphism and azoospermia

To analyze the association between C677T polymorphism and azoospermia, we performed a meta-analysis to calculate the
overall RRs using the random effects model in Caucasian populations and Mongolian populations based on heterogeneity analysis. The risk of azoospermia associated with the C allele was 0.94-fold that of the T allele (Fig. 4, RR = 0.94 [0.90, 0.99], $I^2 = 60.9\%$, $P = .002$).

### 3.5. Association between A1298C polymorphism and azoospermia

Similarly, a meta-analysis of association between A1298C polymorphism and azoospermia was performed. Both Caucasian and Mongolian populations were analyzed by the random effects model. The results are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 5.

#### Table 2

| Polymorphism | Subgroup | C vs T | | | CC vs CT/TT | | | TT vs CT/CC | | |
|--------------|----------|------|---|---|------|---|---|------|---|
| MTHFR (C677T) | Total | 0.94 | .002 | 60.90% | 0.87 | .025 | 48.60% | 1.31 | .066 | 40.10% |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Caucasian | 0.95 | .005 | 65.30% | 0.89 | .029 | 55.10% | 1.52 | .074 | 38.30% |
| Mongolian | 0.93 | .054 | 57.00% | 0.82 | .3 | 18.00% | 1.19 | .083 | 51.50% |
| MTHFR (A1298C) | Total | 0.98 | .011 | 56.30% | 0.98 | .009 | 57.50% | 1.16 | .286 | 16.50% |
| Ethnicity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Caucasian | 1.01 | .008 | 65.50% | 1.06 | .008 | 65.70% | 1.08 | .164 | 34.60% |
| Mongolian | 0.96 | .511 | 0.00% | 0.92 | .604 | 0.00% | 1.37 | .582 | 0.00% |

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis.

### Figure 5.

Forest plots of association between A1298C polymorphism and azoospermia.
model. The risk of azoospermia in A Allele was 0.98-fold that of the C allele (Fig. 5, RR = 0.98 [0.94, 1.02], I² = 56.3%, P = .011).

The genetic heterogeneity of C677T polymorphism was assessed based on additive, dominant, and recessive models. The heterogeneity results of these 3 models are shown in Table 2. Significant heterogeneity was observed in these studies. In the additive model (C vs T), the dominant model (CC vs CT + TT), and the recessive model (TT vs CT + CC), heterogeneity was
observed in 13 selected studies (additive Model: $P = .002$ and $I^2 = 60.9\%$; dominant model: $P = .025$, $I^2 = 48.6\%$; recessive model: $P = .066$, $I^2 = 40.1\%$). A1298C polymorphism was also assessed by additive, dominant, and recessive models as well as additive models (A vs C), dominant models (CC vs CT + TT), and recessive models (TT vs CT/CT). Genetic heterogeneity. CC, heterogeneity was observed (additive model: $P = .011$, $I^2 = 56.3\%$; dominant model: $P = .009$, $I^2 = 57.5\%$; recessive model: $P = .286$, $I^2 = 16.5\%$).

In view of significant heterogeneity and to seek for its potential sources, we performed a panel of subgroup analyses on ethnicities in Fig. 6. When studies were stratified for ethnicity, significant risks of C677T were found among Caucasians (RR = 0.95 [0.90, 1.01], $P = .005$, $I^2 = 65.3\%$) while no difference was observed in the Mongolian population. Similarly, A1298C was analyzed according to ethnicity, and significant difference was present in the Caucasian population (RR = 1.01 [0.94, 1.09], $P = .008$; $I^2 = 65.5\%$) while no difference was present in the Mongolian population.

3.6. Results of sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the robustness of the meta-analysis results. Pooled RRs from different populations
were not affected by the removal of one study in the C677T association analysis, which supported the stability of the meta-analysis (Fig. 7).

A funnel plot was performed to assess publication bias (Fig. 8). In general, the funnel plots for both C677T and A1298C were symmetrically inverted funnels. These results indicated no significant publication bias in the meta-analysis. The above proves that our research conclusion is stable and reliable.

4. Discussion

Infertility often has a great impact on families and society. Surveys show that the divorce rate of infertile couples is 2.2 times that of the normal population, which has become an important medical and social issue.\[25,26\] The causes of infertility are very complex and any factors affecting ovulation, fertilization, and implantation can cause disease. The causes of infertility vary widely around the world. It is reported that the proportion of unknown causes of female infertility in Asia, Africa, and developed countries is 31%, 16%, and 40% respectively, fallopian tube factors account for 39%, 85%, and 36%, respectively, ovulation factors account for 34%, 26%, and 33%, respectively, and endometriosis accounts for 10%, 1%, and 6%, respectively.\[27–29\]

Because the mutation of MTHFR gene-related site lead to a decrease of MTHFR activities and the increase of plasma Hcy concentration.\[30\] On one hand, for pregnant women, it can lead to blood hypercoagulability, risk of placental thrombosis, placental embolism, insufficient maternal and fetal circulation, which can lead to abortion, fetal growth restriction, and placental abruption. On the other hand, high concentration of Hcy can damage the structure and function of vascular endothelial cells, thus triggering pregnancy-induced hypertension.\[31,32\] In addition, mutations in MTHFR-related sites affect the metabolism, DNA methylation of pyrimidine, purine and nucleic acid, which lead to inadequate methylation of DNA and protein, and it is also one of the causes of abortion and restricted fetal growth.

In the analysis of the association between C677T polymorphism and azoospermia, the results of this research showed that the risk of azoospermia associated with the C allele was 0.98-fold that of the T allele. Moreover, we found that Caucasian populations had higher risk than Mongolian populations. Liu reported that MTHFR C677T gene polymorphism is associated with male infertility. CT and TT genotypes of MTHFR C677T gene may be susceptible genes for male infertility, T allele may be a risk factor for male infertility, and T allele may be associated with malformed spermatozoa. The risk of azoospermia in A allele was 0.98-fold that of the C allele. The relationship of A1298C polymorphism with azoospermia was statistically significant. Yang stated that MTHFR A1298C polymorphism may be a potential risk factor for male infertility.

In the subgroup analysis, both in C677T and A1298C, the association between MTHFR and azoospermia was significant among Caucasian population while in the Mongolian population, the association was insignificant. The results in different ethnicities are consistent with Li report.\[16\]

In conclusion, the results showed that Caucasian populations had higher risk than Mongolian populations in MTHFR and azoospermia. However, this article had some limitations. Firstly, the comparison in different age areas was not considered, which could be evaluated in further research. Secondly, more single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were not included and diverse SNPs could be evaluated in the future.

However, some limitations existed in this article. Firstly, the comparison in different age areas was not considered, which could be evaluated in the further research. Secondly, the more SNP was not included and diverse SNPs could be evaluated in the future.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the results showed that Caucasian populations had higher risk than Mongolian populations in MTHFR and azoospermia.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Guang-Xing Tan, Lin Jiang, Gang-Qin Li, Kuan Bai.

Data curation: Guang-Xing Tan, Lin Jiang, Gang-Qin Li, Kuan Bai.

Formal analysis: Guang-Xing Tan, Lin Jiang, Gang-Qin Li, Kuan Bai.

Writing – original draft: Guang-Xing Tan, Lin Jiang.

Writing – review & editing: Guang-Xing Tan, Gang-Qin Li, Kuan Bai.

References

[1] Simoni M, et al. Screening for deletions of the Y chromosome involving the DAZ (deleted in AZoosperma) gene in azoospermia and severe oligozoospermia. Fertil Steril 1997;67:542–7.

[2] Layman LC, et al. FSH beta gene mutations in a female with partial breast development and a male sibling with normal puberty and azoospermia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002;87:3702–7.

[3] Tung JY, et al. Novel missense mutations of the Deleted-in-AZoospermia-Like (DAZL) gene in infertile women and men. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2006;4:1–6.

[4] Irie S, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms of the PRDM9 (MESETZ) gene in patients with nonobstructive azoospermia. J Androl 2009;30:426–31.

[5] Sasagawa I, et al. CAG repeat length analysis and mutation screening of the androgen receptor gene in Japanese men with idiopathic azoospermia. J Androl 2001;22:804–8.

[6] Wu Q-F, et al. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase T1 gene and susceptibility to idiopathicazoospermia or oligozoospermia in northwestern China. Asian J Androl 2010;12:266–70.

[7] Sen S, et al. Susceptibility of gr/gr rearrangements to azoospermia or oligozoospermia is dependent on DAZ and CDY1 gene copy deletions. J Assist Reprod Genet 2015;32:1333–41.

[8] Jiang L, et al. CFTR gene mutations and polymorphism are associated with non-obstructive azoospermia: from case-control study. Gene 2017;626:282–9.

[9] Aarabi M, et al. Deletion and testicular expression of DAZ (deleted in azoospermia) gene in patients with non-obstructive azoospermia. Iran J Public Health 2009;38:17–23.

[10] Zong Y, Zhu J, Wei C. Clinical study on the relationship between gene mutation of androgen receptor and azoospermia as well as oligozoosperma. Chinese J Fam Plan 2009;17:225–6.

[11] Gava MM, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms are related to male infertility in Brazilian men. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 2011;15:153–7.

[12] Gurkan H, et al. The relationship between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase c.677TT genotype and oligozoospermia in infertile male patients living in the Trakya region of Turkey. Andrologia 2015;47:1068–74.

[13] Karaman M, Colagar AH. Association of C677T transition of the human methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene with male infertility. Reprod Fertil Dev 2016;28:785–94.
[14] Kim SY, Lim JW, Kim JW, et al. Association between genetic polymorphisms in folate-related enzyme genes and infertile men with non-obstructive azoospermia. Syst Biol Reprod Med 2015;61:286–92.
[15] Lee H, et al. Association study of four polymorphisms in three folate-related enzyme genes with non-obstructive male infertility. Hum Reprod 2006;21:3162–70.
[16] Li XY, et al. Association between methionine synthase reductase A66G polymorphism and primary infertility in Chinese males. Genet Mol Res 2015;14:3491-500.
[17] Mfady DS, et al. Associations of variants in MTHFR and MTRR genes with male infertility in the Jordanian population. Gene 2014;536:40-4.
[18] Murto T, et al. Folic acid supplementation and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene variations in relation to in vitro fertilization pregnancy outcome. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2015;94:65–71.
[19] Najafipour R, et al. Effect of B9 and B12 vitamin intake on semen parameters and fertility of men with MTHFR polymorphisms. Andrology 2017;5:704–10.
[20] Park JH, et al. MTHFR C677T polymorphism associates with unexplained infertile male factors. J Assist Reprod Genet 2005;22:361–8.
[21] Ucar VB, et al. Is methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene A1298C polymorphism related with varicocele risk? Andrologia 2015;47:42–6.
[22] Vani GT, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism is not associated with male infertility in a South Indian population. Andrologia 2012;44:252–9.
[23] Zhou-Cun A, Yuan Y, Si-Zhong Z. Single nucleotide polymorphism C677T in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene might be a genetic risk factor for infertility for Chinese men with azoospermia or severe oligo–azoospermia. Asian J Androl 2007;9:57–62.
[24] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA Statement - preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. J Chin Integr Med 2009;7:889–96.
[25] He WB, et al. Genetic risk factors in infertile men with severe oligozoospermia and azoospermia. Hum Reprod 2002;17:13. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11756355/.
[26] Tsujimura A, et al. Susceptibility gene for non-obstructive azoospermia located near HLA-DR and -DQ loci in the HLA class II region. Hum Genet 2002;110:192–7.
[27] Pinaneto JM, et al. Somatic cytogenetic and azoospermia factor gene microdeletion studies in fertile men. Braz J Med Biol Res 2006;39:555–61.
[28] Sakugawa N, et al. LMTK2 and PARP-2 gene polymorphism and azoospermia secondary to meiotic arrest. J Assist Reprod Genet 2009;26:545–52.
[29] Sato H, et al. Polymorphic alleles of the human MEI1 gene are associated with human azoospermia by meiotic arrest. J Hum Genet 2006;51:533–40.
[30] Gu Ai-Hua, et al. Association of XRCC1 gene polymorphisms with idiopathic azoospermia in a Chinese population. Asian J Androl 2010;9:781–6.