Evolution of M82-like starburst winds revisited: 3D radiative cooling hydrodynamical simulations

C. Melioli, E. M. de Gouveia Dal Pino and F. G. Geraissate

1 IAG-Universidade de Sao Paulo, Rua do Matao 1226, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil

Accepted 18 Jan 2012

ABSTRACT

In this study we present three-dimensional radiative cooling hydrodynamical simulations of galactic winds generated particularly in M82-like starburst galaxies. We have considered intermittent winds induced by SNe explosions within super star clusters randomly distributed (in space and time) in the central region of the galaxy (within a radius $R = 150$ pc) and were able to reproduce the observed M82 wind conditions with its complex morphological outflow structure. We have found that the environmental conditions in the disk in nearly recent past are crucial to determine whether the wind will develop a large scale rich filamentary structure, as in M82 wind, or not. If a sufficiently large number of super stellar clusters is build up in a starburst mainly over a period of a few million years, then the simulations reproduce the multi-phase gas observed in M82-like winds, i.e., with filaments of sizes about 20 to 300 pc, velocities of $\sim 200 - 500$ km/s, densities in the range of $10^{-1} - 10$ cm$^{-3}$, embedded in a hot low density gas with a density smaller than $10^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a velocity of $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$. Otherwise, a "superbubble-like" wind develops, with very poor or no cold filamentary structures. Also, the numerical evolution of the SN ejecta have allowed us to obtain the abundance distribution over the first $\sim 3$ kpc extension of the wind and we have found that the SNe explosions change significantly the metallicity only of the hot, low-density wind component for which we obtained abundances $\sim 5$ to $10 Z_{\odot}$ in fair consistency with the observations. Moreover, we have found that the SN-driven wind transports to outside the disk large amounts of energy, momentum and gas, but the more massive high-density component reaches only intermediate altitudes smaller than 1.5 kpc. Therefore, no significant amounts of gas mass are lost to the IGM and the mass evolution of the galaxy is not much affected by the starburst events occurring in the nuclear region.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the interstellar medium (ISM) at galactic scales depends on the stellar feedback at the larger scales, and vice-versa. In fact, massive stars exert a profound influence over the baryonic component of the galaxy. At the same time, the collective effect of supernova (SN) explosions, stellar winds and radiation pressure may drive galactic winds (GWs; see, e.g., Mathews & Baker 1971, Heckman et al. 1994, Veilleux et al. 2005). GWs influence the multi-phase conditions of the ISM (McKee 1995) as well as the dynamical and chemical evolution of the galaxy and the thermodynamics and enrichment of the intergalactic medium (IGM; see, e.g., Heckman et al. 1994, Shapiro et al. 1994, Aguirre et al. 2001). They are particularly important for starburst (SB) galaxies whose intrinsically large star formation rate (SFR) provides the perfect environment for gas outflow to develop. There has been extensive investigation in the literature on starburst GWs (see below). Our aim here is to extend these studies exploring the evolution of these winds in the surroundings of the galaxy. We will address questions which are not yet clearly understood such as: (i) how does the feedback of the stars of the nuclear region shape the wind? (ii) how much mass is thermally driven? (iii) how are the different phases of the gas connected? (iv) what is the fraction of cold...
structures built up in the winds? (v) and how does the GW impact the chemical evolution of the galaxy? In order to try to answer to these questions, we have adopted the galaxy M82 as a reference to obtain appropriate initial conditions, although the results here presented may be applied to other GWs and outflows in general.

M82 is a starburst galaxy, located at about 3.9 Mpc away, with a disk of ~ 5 kpc radius and mass ~ 10^{10} M_⊙. Although this galaxy has been classified as an Irregular type II, several observations (Mayya et al. 2005; Barker et al. 2008; Strickland & Heckman 2009) revealed the presence of a bar (~ 1 kpc) and spiral arms (observed in near infrared). The main feature of this galaxy is a GW with filamentary structures extending up to ~ 3 kpc above the disk and an Hα cap, i.e. a large nebular surrounding feature probably associated with the wind, at a height of about 10 kpc (Devine & Bally 1999; Lehner et al. 1999; Engelbracht et al. 2006). Many studies indicate that this galaxy has experienced a tidal interaction with the spiral galaxy M81, resulting a large amount of gas that is being piped into M82’s core over the last ~ 200 Myr. The most recent and important interaction has happened ~ 2 - 5 × 10^8 yr ago, causing an intense starburst which lasted about 50 Myr with a SFR ~ 10 M_⊙/yr. Then two more subsequent starbursts occurred, and the last one (about 4-6 Myr ago) may have formed at least one of the observed largest central star clusters (Barker et al. 2008).

The SB region in the core of M82 has a diameter of ~ 1 kpc and is optically defined by portions with high surface brightness labelled A, C, D and E by O’Connell & Mangano (1978). These regions correspond to sources of X-rays, infrared and radio emission. The bipolar GW seems to be concentrated within A and C regions and is possibly being driven mostly by SNe energy injections. The SFR leads to a current supernovae rate ~ 0.1 year^{-1}. The central region has a mass of ~ 7 × 10^4 M_⊙, pressure ~ 10^{-9} dyn cm^{-2}, temperature ~ 10^4 - 10^6 K and densities ~ 10^{-4} - 10^{-3} cm^{-3} (Cottrell 1977; O’Connell & Mangano 1978; Schaaf et al. 1983; Westmoquette et al. 2003). The SNe inject mass and energy at rates of ~ 1 M_⊙ yr^{-1} and ~ 2 × 10^{52} erg/s, respectively (Strickland & Heckman 2009). Recent observations revealed that within a radius of 500 pc there are about 200 super stellar clusters (SSCs) with an average size of ~ 5.7 pc and mass (of stars) between 10^4 and 10^6 M_⊙ (Melo et al. 2005). The projected separation between each of the young SSCs is smaller than 30 pc, with a minimum value of 5 pc and a mean separation of ~ 12 pc. The density of young SSCs is very high in the M82 starburst galaxy, with a global value inferred by Melo et al. (2005) of 620 kpc^{-2}, about 6 times larger than that observed in the nuclear regions of others SB galaxies (see, e.g., NGC 253, Watson et al. 1996). The 20 brightest clusters have ages ~ 5 to 10 × 10^6 yr (McCray et al. 2003; Strickland & Heckman 2004).

The bipolar wind of M82 galaxy has a conical geometry with a total opening angle of ~ 30 degrees (Ranalli et al. 2008). It has an X-ray luminosity of ~ 10^{40} erg/s, temperatures between ~ 10 and 10^8 K (including the diffuse and warm material, dust and molecular gas) and a maximum velocity of the cold filaments (perpendicular to the disk) ~ 600 km/s.

The cold and hot gas metallicities in M82 are uncertain. Optical and infrared observations suggest for the cold gas a solar metal abundance, while the X-ray observations give an abundance for the hot gas ~ 1/3 of the solar value (Strickland & Stevens 2000).

To understand the complexity of M82-like GWs many analytical and numerical two and three-dimensional studies were performed, most of which applied to M82 itself (Chevalier & Clegg 1983; Tenorio-Tagle & Munoz-Tunon 1998; Strickland & Stevens 2000; Tenorio-Tagle et al. 2003; Rodriguez-González et al. 2008b; Cooper et al. 2008; Strickland & Heckman 2009). The first authors to propose a simple analytical model of a steady and adiabatic wind for M82. The same model was later revisited by several authors considering the radiative losses of the ejected gas with simplified assumptions (Tenorio-Tagle & Munoz-Tunon 1998) performed two-dimensional simulations of a biconical wind with radiative cooling, considering accreted material from the external environment in order to determine the minimum mass of stars and gas in the SB that was needed to offset the accreted material. Strickland & Stevens (2000) and, more recently, Strickland & Heckman (2009), helped by two-dimensional axi-symmetric simulations, studied the dependence of the wind dynamics, morphology and X-ray emission with the distribution of the ISM and the star formation history in the SB regions and studied also the distribution of the matter in dense clouds. Their results indicated that GWs, although efficient at transporting large amounts of energy to outside of the galaxies, are inefficient at transporting mass. Tenorio-Tagle et al. (2003) investigated also by means of two dimensional simulations the formation of filaments in a wind driven by SNe from several star clusters, while Cooper et al. (2008) used three-dimensional (3D) simulations to study for the first time the formation of the wind in an inhomogeneous disk. They found that the presence of an inhomogeneous ISM in the disc may have an important effect on the morphology of the wind itself and on the distribution of the filaments. Finally, Rodriguez-González et al. (2008b) also by means of 3D simulations have modeled the filaments in a GW as the result of the interaction between the winds from a distribution of SSCs and derived the condition necessary for producing a radiative interaction between the cluster winds, as in M82. In general, all these numerical studies indicate a coexistence in the wind of a low density, high temperature gas which expands freely and occupies a large volume with a cooler gas (with a filamentary structure which emits Hα lines) that expands more slowly and occupies a small fraction of the total volume. These models also predict a velocity for the hot phase (T < 10^4 K and n > 10^{-4} cm^{-3}) of ~ 1000 - 2240 km/s, and for the cold phase (T < 10^4 K and n < 10^2 cm^{-3}) of ~ 600 km/s, in agreement with the observations.

In this paper these previous analyses will be extended through a detailed numerical study of a SN-driven M82-like wind in the surrounds of the ejection region of the galaxy. We perform fully three-dimensional (3D) hydrodynamical simulations with radiative cooling in a gas in collisional ionisation equilibrium (CIE). The cooling function is computed taking into account the metal abundances both in the ISM and in the SNe ejecta. As in the previous studies of Tenorio-Tagle & Munoz-Tunon (1998) and Tenorio-Tagle et al.
2 THE MODEL

2.1 Setup for an M82-like starburst wind

In our model, the gas of the disk is initially set in rotational equilibrium in the gravitational potential of the galaxy. The gravitational potential of the stars, \( \Phi_{\text{star}}(r, z) \), is assumed to be generated by a stellar distribution with a spheroidal King profile:

\[
\rho_*(r, z) = \frac{\rho_{*,0}}{[1 + (r^2 + z^2)/\omega_0^2]^{3/2}},
\]

where \( \rho_{*,0} \) is the central density of the stars, and \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{r_0^2 + z_0^2} \) = 350 pc is the core radius. With this distribution the stellar gravitational potential is:

\[
\Phi_{\text{star}}(r, z) = -\frac{GM_{\text{star}}}{\omega_0} \ln \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}/\omega_0 + \sqrt{1 + (r^2 + z^2)/\omega_0^2}}{\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}/\omega_0} \right\}
\]

where \( M_{\text{star}} = 4\pi \rho_{*,0}\omega_0^3 = 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) is the stellar mass within the radius \( \omega_0 \).

The gravitational potential of the gas disk is given by Miyamoto & Nagai model (1975), that is:

\[
\Phi_{\text{disk}}(r, z) = -\frac{GM_{\text{disk}}}{\sqrt{r^2 + (a + \sqrt{z^2 + b^2})^2}}
\]

where \( a = 222 \) pc and \( b = 75 \) pc are the radial and vertical scales of the disk, respectively, and \( M_{\text{disk}} = 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot \). In our model we have \( \Phi(r, z) = \Phi_{\text{star}}(r, z) + \Phi_{\text{disk}}(r, z) \).

We do not incorporate a dark matter halo component because we are interested in the behaviour of the wind evolving for only a short period of time (\( \sim 10 \) Myr) and within a small volume in the surroundings of the galaxy, so that the gravitational effects of a dark matter halo are negligible in this case (Strickland & Stevens 2000).

For an initially isothermal gas, the disk density distribution will therefore have the form:

\[
\rho_d(r, z) = \rho_{d,0} \exp \left[ -\Phi(r, z) - e^2\Phi(0, 0) - (1 - e^2)\Phi(0, 0) \right] / c_{T,disk}^2
\]

where \( c_{T,disk}^2 \) is the isothermal sound speed in the disk and \( e = e(z/R_{\text{rot}}) \) quantifies the fraction of rotational support of the ISM. To reduce the rotational velocity of the gas above the plane, we have assumed a simple model where the rotational support drops off exponentially with increasing height \( z \) and where the scale height for this reduction in the rotational velocity is \( z_{\text{rot}} = 5 \) kpc (see Strickland & Stevens 2004). Similarly, the distribution of the gas of the halo is assumed to be of the form:

\[
\rho_h(r, z) = \rho_{h,0} \exp \left[ -\Phi(r, z) - e^2\Phi(0, 0) - (1 - e^2)\Phi(0, 0) \right] / c_{T,halo}^2
\]

where \( c_{T,halo}^2 \) is the isothermal sound speed in the halo. We adopted a disk temperature, \( T_{\text{disk}} \sim 6.7 \times 10^4 \) K and a halo temperature \( T_{\text{halo}} \sim 6.7 \times 10^6 \) K. Therefore, in each point of the system the total density is \( \rho(r, z) = \rho_d(r, z) + \rho_h(r, z) \) and the total pressure is \( p(r, z) = \rho_d(r, z)c_{T,disk}^2 + \rho_h(r, z)c_{T,halo}^2 \). The galaxy parameters adopted in this study are presented in Table I.

2.2 Energy injection

The SB activity in M82 is centred in the nuclear region with a diameter of \( \sim 500 \) pc. As described above, within this region there is a number of prominent and high surface brightness clumps containing hundreds of young massive SSCs (O’Connell et al. 1995; Melo et al. 2003). In our study we assume that the kpc-scale bipolar superwind observed in different wavelengths (Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn 1998; Stevens & Hartwell 2003; Strickland & Heckman 2004) is driven by the SN explosions occurring in the SSCs, and that the total luminosity injected into the ISM is \( \sum_{i} N_{SSC} L_i = 10^{42} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), where \( L_i \) is the luminosity of a single SSC and \( N_{SSC} \) is the total number of SSCs. We also assume that \( N_{SSC} \) ranges between a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 100 and consider an inner nuclear region within a radius \( R_{\text{IBL}}(150) \) of 150 pc where this activity takes place. We further consider that the total amount of SSCs may be formed in a star formation process aligned along 1 or 10 Myr, depending on the model. Therefore, for each cluster we assume an average luminosity \( L_i = 10^{42}N_{SSC} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and, since every SN injects \( E_0 = 10^{51} \) erg, we have \( N_{SSC} = 10^{42}/(t_5/E_0N_{SSC}) = 10^{42}/N_{SSC} \), where \( N_{SSC} \) is the total number of SNe per cluster, and where we assume that each single cluster is formed in an instantaneous burst which is characterized by SN activity occurring over a time \( t_5 = 30 \) Myr (i.e. the lifetime of the less massive SN II progenitor star) and by a SN heating efficiency \( HE = 100\% \) (Mehdali & de Gouveia Dal Pino 2004), where the heating efficiency, \( HE \), corresponds to the
fraction of the SN explosion energy that remains effectively stored in the ISM gas (as kinetic and thermal energies) and is not radiated away. Therefore, an SSC injects mass and energy at rates \( \dot{M} = \dot{M}_{ej} = 10^{42}/(E_0 N_{ssc}) \) and \( L_w = 10^{42}/N_{ssc} \), respectively, where \( M_{ej} = 16 M_\odot \) is the mean mass released by a single SN explosion. According to Marcolini et al. (2007), we also assume that each SN explosion injects 3 \( M_\odot \) of metals into the ISM. Finally, to set the clusters spatially and temporally within the core of the galaxy, we associate randomly to each \( i \)-th cluster a position \( P^i = (r_i, \phi_i) \), where \( 0 < r_i < 150 \) pc and \( 0 < \phi_i < 2\pi \), and a time \( t^i \) in the range \( 0 < t^i < 1 \) Myr or \( 0 < t^i < 10 \) Myr depending on the model.

A summary of all the parameters adopted for the intermittent wind models studied here are given in Table 2 and Figure 1 shows histograms representing the number of SN explosions during the first 10 Myr for each model.

2 We have also performed several tests with a larger resolution of 2.9 pc per cell for 2 Myr and found that the results were very similar to those with a 5.8 pc resolution per cell. For this reason, we adopted the latter scheme for the study presented here as it allowed us to save computation time without loosing information and quality in the results.

### Table 1. Parameters for the galaxy setup obtained from M82

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \( M_{star} \) | \( 2 \times 10^8 \) |
| \( M_{disk} \) | \( 2 \times 10^9 \) |
| \( \omega_0 \) | 350 |
| \( a \) | 222 |
| \( b \) | 75 |
| \( c_{s,T, disk} \) | \( 3 \times 10^5 \) |
| \( c_{s,T, halo} \) | \( 3 \times 10^5 \) |
| \( e_{rot} \) | 0.95 |
| \( z_{rot} \) | 5 |
| \( \rho_{disk, 0} \) | 20 |
| \( \rho_{halo, 0} \) | \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \) |

2.3 Numerical methodology

To simulate the evolution of the superwind driven by SN explosions in an M82-like SB galaxy we use a modified version of the numerical adaptive mesh refinement hydrodynamical code YGUAZU (Raga et al. 2000, 2002, Melioli et al. 2008, 2009) that integrates the gas-dynamic equations with the flux vector splitting algorithm of Van Leer (1982). It also includes a parametrized cooling function in the energy equation that allows the gas to cool from \( \sim 10^7 \) K to \( 10^4 \) K with errors smaller than 10% and which is calculated implicitly in each time step for each grid position. The 3D binary, hierarchical computational grid is structured with a base grid and with a number of nested grids whose resolution doubles going from one level to the next one. We have run the models with a maximum resolution of 5.8 pc per cell. For one model (SC40-01), which we take as the reference model, we have adopted five grid levels covering a box with physical dimensions of \( 1.5 \times 1.5 \times 3.0 \) kpc in the x, y and z directions, respectively, while for all the remaining models we have considered a box with physical dimensions of \( 1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \) kpc. The maximum dimensions of the computational domain for each model are also presented in Table 2. The energy associated to each SSC is injected as thermal energy in a single cell of the highest grid level of the box. In order to account for the metal abundance injected by the SN explosions into the system, we have introduced an extra separated continuity equation into the code in the form

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_s v_s) = S_z,
\]

where \( \rho_s \) is the metal density, and \( S_z \) is the SN metal source. This also allows us to easily track the metallicity evolution in the wind.

Finally, for computing the radiative cooling we employed a cooling function \( \Lambda(T) \) considering an optically thin gas in the ionization equilibrium regime, which implies

\[
\dot{L}(\rho, T) = \rho^2 \Lambda(T) Z \text{ erg cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

(McWhirter et al. 1975; Akhroevandi & Pequignot 1975; de Gouveia Dal Pino & Benz 1993), where \( Z \) is the total gas abundance computed at each time and at each point of the grid.

3 RESULTS

In this section we present the results for the different models listed in Table 2 and Figure 1, exploring a set of SSC parameters aiming mostly at the examination of the injected energy, the multiphase ISM and the chemical evolution of the system. All the
models, except the reference one (SC40-01), were evolved for 5 Myr right after the first stars in an SSC (with masses 120 $M_{\odot}$) have begun to explode, which is an appropriate time to investigate the global properties of the wind build up, from the first SN explosions up to a nearly steady state phase reached after $\sim 3.5$ Myr. The reference model, instead, was evolved for 8.5 Myr, in order to follow the gas outflow evolution up to a height of 3 kpc. The results here obtained may be directly compared with observations of GWs in order to determine, for instance, the best set of initial conditions able to drive their outflows.

Table 2 summarizes the global energy budget for all the models after the reference times $t=3.5$ and $t=5$ Myr. These energies will help us to understand the wind evolution in the discussion below.

| Model  | SSCs ($z$) | SF (Myr) | $E$/SSC (erg/s) | $M$/SSC ($M_{\odot}$/yr) | $E_{s}$ ($10^{55}$erg) | Box Size (kpc) |
|--------|------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| SC10-10| 10         | 10       | $10^{44}$      | $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$        | 3.2                    | $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ |
| SC10-01| 10         | 1        | $10^{44}$      | $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$        | 7.3                    | $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ |
| SC40-10| 40         | 10       | $2.5 \cdot 10^{40}$ | $1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$    | 1.6                    | $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ |
| SC40-01| 40         | 1        | $2.5 \cdot 10^{40}$ | $1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$    | 7.2                    | $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 3.0$ |
| SC100-10| 100        | 10      | $10^{40}$      | $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$       | 2.1                    | $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ |

This is the resulting scenario that we see in Figure 2 after 3.8 Myr when the upper part of the wind has already left the computational domain. The top-left panel shows the gas column density and we note that the only dense structures are formed along the expanding shocked shell. No filaments or clouds are formed within the wind flow and consequently the gas of the disk carried out by the wind and lost to the IGM is essentially the interstellar gas swept by the first generation of SN explosions. This is also suggested by the top-right and bottom-left diagrams of Figure 2. The low density phase of the wind, which is enriched by the metals ejected by the SNe, has a high abundance $\sim 10$ times larger than the solar abundance. Nonetheless, most of the metals remain in the nuclear region of the galaxy. In this case we also note that the thermal energy radiated by the wind comes from the external shell, characterized by a temperature of $\sim 10^{4} K$ (bottom-right panel of Figure 2).

Therefore, the results above suggest that when the gas outflow is generated by only a few very massive SSCs most of the soft and/or hard X-ray emission will be detected only behind the shocked regions of the shell-like structure around the GW, provided that the reverse shock where the wind impacts the outward propagating shock is right behind the later.

Investigating carefully the features of the gas, we realize that in model SC10-10 there are two well separated gas phases. The first one associated to the internal part of the superbubble which has a density smaller than $10^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3}$, a temperature of $\sim 10^{7} \text{K}$ and a velocity between 100 and 2000 km s$^{-1}$. The other gas phase is associated to the external shell of the superbubble, with a density larger than $10^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3}$, a temperature of $\sim 10^{8} \text{K}$ and a velocity normal to the disk direction of $\sim 1500 $ km s$^{-1}$. These features can be also distinguished in Figure 5 where we have plotted the gas mass as function of its vertical velocity, for three different ranges of gas densities, and in Figure 4 where the total mass of each phase with a vertical velocity $\geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$ is plotted as function of $z$ (the height above the disk). We observe that the intermediate-phase, characterized by a density of $\sim 10^{-1}-10^{-2} \text{cm}^{-3}$, has a similar mass to the high-density phase, of $\sim 3\times10^5 M_{\odot}$. Its velocity follows the velocity distribution of the high-density phase up to $\sim 500$ km s$^{-1}$ and then, follows the distribution of the low density phase. This means that its evolution does not occur separately from the other phases. In the disk (where the velocities are smaller), this phase is related to the high density phase, while in the

### Table 2. Wind parameters used in our hydrodynamic simulations for 5 different models. For each Model:
a) Number of SSCs; b) Duration of the SF process (in Myr); c) Injected luminosity per SSC (in erg/s); d) Injected matter per SSC (in M$_{\odot}$/yr); e) Total energy injected after the first 5 Myr (in units of $10^{55}$ erg); and f) Physical dimensions of the box (in kpc).
wind (where the velocities are larger), it is connected to the low density phase. Figure 2 also highlights the presence of a new generation of accelerated shells which is represented by the peak velocities between 1200 and 1700 km s$^{-1}$. It is well separated from the high density gas, which has velocities between 50 and 900 km s$^{-1}$ and is probably distributed along the external shell pushed by the outflow. The total mass of this new generation of shells is small, because almost all the gas of the nuclear region has been already swept by the first generation of SN explosions and therefore, no filaments or structures are driven along the wind.

The results above indicate that the SN-driven gas outflow from the nuclear region of a galaxy with only a few highly massive SSCs radiates about 68% of the total energy injected by the SNe. In fact, after 3.5 Myr, when the wind has already filled completely the physical domain of our simulation, the sum of the kinetic and thermal energies of the system is $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{55}$ erg, i.e. almost twice the energy of the system at $t = 0$, corresponding to $8.5 \times 10^{54}$ erg, while the total energy injected by the SN explosions is about $2.2 \times 10^{55}$ erg. Therefore, nearly 2/3 of the SN energy is radiated away (mainly in the nuclear region and in the external shell), and the remaining 1/3 goes to the kinetic energy (20% of the total injected energy) and thermal energy (10% of the total injected energy) of the gas. This result is consistent with the evolution of the multi-phase ISM shown in Figure 2. Over the simulated period, the amount of gas with a cooling time shorter than the dynamical time, represents $\sim 60\%$ of the total gas flow and thus assuming that the SN energy is spread approximately uniformly, it is reasonable to believe that all the energy in the shells is radiated away, while the remaining energy in the low and intermediate-density gas phases increases the gas pressure and consequently, the thermal and kinetic energy of the GW.

### 3.2 Model SC10-01

The second Model (SC10-01) considers, as in the previous one, 10 SSCs with a stellar mass of $10^7$ M$_\odot$, a SNe number of $10^9$ and a mean separation of $\sim 50$ pc. However, in this case we assume that all the super clusters are formed along 1 Myr only and therefore, in contrast with the first model, every SSC develops a hot bubble surrounded by a thin dense shell at about the same epoch. The SN explosions occurring in the SSCs have sufficient energy to drive the bubble expansion at the same time that the collisions between the shells lead to the formation of an interconnected network of dense filaments permeated by tunnels containing very hot,

### Table 3. Energy budget (in units of $10^{55}$ erg) at $t=3.5$ Myr ($E_{\text{SN},3.5}$) and $t=5$ Myr ($E_{\text{SN},5}$). For each Model: a) energy injected by the SN explosions; b) total energy stored into the system; c) total kinetic energy; d) vertical (or poloidal) kinetic energy; e) total thermal energy; f) total energy lost by radiative cooling; g) fraction of the injected SN energy which is radiated away.

| Model   | $E_{\text{SN},3.5}$ | $E_{\text{SN},5}$ | $E_{\text{lost},3.5}$ | $E_{\text{tot},3.5}$ | $E_{\text{rad},3.5}$ |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SC10-10 | 2.20                | 1.10              | 1.28                   | 0.45                  | 0.20                 | 1.50               | 68                  |
| SC10-01 | 5.60                | 2.35              | 2.00                   | 0.98                  | 0.33                 | 4.10               | 73                  |
| SC40-10 | 0.86                | 1.18              | 0.98                   | 0.20                  | 0.19                 | 0.53               | 62                  |
| SC40-01 | 4.90                | 2.80              | 2.46                   | 1.50                  | 0.39                 | 2.95               | 60                  |
| SC100-10| 1.10                | 1.14              | 0.95                   | 0.10                  | 0.23                 | 0.81               | 74                  |

Figure 2. Model SC10-10: color-scale map of the gas column density (top-left panel), the metal column density (top-right), the total weighted abundance, (i.e. $\sum_{y=0}^{y_{\text{max}}} \rho_{\text{z}}(x, z) / \sum_{y=0}^{y_{\text{max}}} \rho(x, z)$, where $\rho_{\text{z}}(x, z)$ and $\rho(x, z)$ are the metal and total gas density in the space coordinates $x, y$, respectively) (bottom-left) and the total emission (bottom-right) at a time $t=3.8$ Myr. The column density is shown in units of cm$^{-2}$, the abundance is normalized by the solar abundance and the emissivity is in erg s$^{-1}$. All the quantities are expressed in log-scale. In this model, the SSCs have a mass of $10^7$ M$_\odot$, a SNe number of $10^9$ and are formed in a starburst process of 10 Myr.
tenuous ISM. In fact the SNRs generated by the SN explosions propagate into an ambient medium with a density $\geq 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and enter in the radiative phase in a time shorter than $3 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$ (McCray 1987), that is, at radii smaller than $\sim 20 \text{ pc}$ (Melioli et al. 2006). Therefore, their external shells are expected to become very dense ($n_{sh}/n \geq 10$) and cold ($T \leq 10^3 \text{ K}$) before interacting with each other. Collisions among them lead to the formation of very dense filaments (up to 1000 times denser than the hot gas) and dense surfaces (up to 100 times denser than the hot gas) in times between $10^5$ and $10^6 \text{ yr}$ according to our simulation. R-T and K-H instabilities are also able to fragment these structures and produce new filaments, in a process that can continue during all the SN activity, until the average gas density of the SB is $\geq 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (see e.g., McCray 1987 and Melioli et al. 2006). In spite of the initial formation of filaments and dense structures, the superbubble resulting from the interaction of the individual SSC bubbles evolves as in Model SC10-10 and when also the external shell fragments due to R-T and K-H instabilities, the hot gas is able to escape from the galaxy without carrying very large amounts of metals or disk gas. Nonetheless, Figure 3 which maps the column density edge-on distributions of each gas phase in different velocity ranges, clearly shows that a bunch of filaments and a large amount of metals launched together with the hot and low density gas (top-left and top-right panels of Figure 3) and consequently, a large fraction of energy is radiated away by the filaments themselves.

3.3 Model SC40-10

Now we are going to analyse the results of our simulations considering a SB region with intermittent injection of mass and energy from a larger number of SSCs (40) having a stellar mass of $2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_\odot$, a SNe number of $2.5 \times 10^3$ and a mean separation of $\sim 40 \text{ pc}$. In this case we assume, as in Model SC10-10, that the SSCs are formed over a time of 10 Myr (Model SC40-10).

The resulting gas outflow is very similar to the one obtained in Model SC10-10 but it has an amount of filaments up to a height $\sim 600 \text{ pc}$. Also in this case, despite the high metal abundance of the wind flow ($\sim 10 \text{ Z}_\odot$), most of the metals ejected by the SNe remain in the disk, and only a small fraction is transported to outside of the system together with a small amount of metals disk gas. Nonetheless, Figure 4 which maps the column density edge-on distributions of each gas phase in different velocity ranges, clearly shows that a bunch of filaments and a large amount of metals launched together with the hot and low density gas (top-left and top-right panels of Figure 4) and consequently, a large fraction of energy is radiated away by the filaments themselves.

![Figure 3](image1.png)

**Figure 3.** Model SC10-10: Gas mass distribution as function of the vertical velocity for three different phases of the gas at $t=3.8$ Myr. Solid line: gas with number density larger than $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; dashed line: gas with number density between $10^{-2}$ and $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; dotted-dashed line: gas with number density smaller than $10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The velocity is expressed in $\text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the mass is in units of solar mass (in log-scale). In this model the SSCs were formed over a period of 10 Myr.
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**Figure 4.** Model SC10-10: Total gas mass distribution as function of $z$ (height above the disk) at three different times: $t=1.5$ Myr (dashed line), $t=2.5$ Myr (dotted-dashed line) and $t=3.8$ Myr (solid line). Top-left panel: gas with number density larger than $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; top-right panel: gas with number density between $10^{-2}$ and $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; bottom-left panel: gas with number density smaller than $10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Bottom-right panel: comparison between the total gas mass at $t=3.8$ Myr in the high (solid line), intermediate (dashed line) and low (dotted-dashed line) density phases of the gas. Mass is expressed in $\text{ M}_\odot$ (log-scale) and $z$ is in kpc.
dense, cold gas phase shows a peak between velocities of 600 and 800 km s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a total gas mass of about 3000 $M_\odot$ in accelerated filaments in the nuclear region. At the same time, both the intermediate and low density gas are accelerated up to velocities of 2000 and 2300 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, and the intermediate (warm)-phase velocity distribution overlaps with that of the denser (cold)-phase until 800 km s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to the maximum velocity of the filaments.

To understand better the multi-phase gas distribution, as before, we have analyzed the edge-on column density of each gas phase, which shows that in the first 500 pc the cold (high density), warm (intermediate density), and hot (low density) gas phases are completely mixed up. Above 500 pc, instead, the phases are decoupled, and no clouds or filaments are observed together with the low density launched flow.

In this model the energy radiated away is about 75% of the total energy injected by the SNe. After 5 Myr the sum of the kinetic and thermal energies of the system is $\sim 1.25 \times 10^{55}$ erg, while the total injected energy is $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{55}$ erg. This means that about 3/4 of the SN energy is lost by radiative cooling, while the remaining 1/4 goes into the kinetic energy (15% of the total injected energy) and thermal energy (10% of the total injected energy) of the gas. Also in this case, the energy distribution is consistent with the composition of the multi-phase ISM. After 4 Myr, the amount of gas in the intermediate and high density phase represents $\sim 65\%$ of the total gas flow, but we note that earlier this fraction was larger than 80%. This means that the energy was radiated away with high efficiency and proportionally to the total amount of gas with density larger than 0.01 cm$^{-3}$.

3.4 SC40-01 - the reference Model

The fourth Model (SC40-01) considers 40 SSCs (as in Model SC40-10) with a stellar mass of $2.5 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$, a SNe number of $2.5 \times 10^4$, a mean separation of $\sim 40$ pc, but with the formation of the SCCs over 1 Myr only. This model, which we denominate our Reference Model, due to the larger energy power injected (see Table 3) is able to produce and launch a multi-phase wind to greater distances. For this reason, we have run this simulation in a larger box domain with physical dimensions of $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 3$ kpc.

As described in Model SC-10-01, the larger number of SCCs and the shorter time (1 Myr) at which they begin to be active (which lead to a larger injected energy power), have created the conditions to produce an environment with rich filamentary structure and with a thermal pressure large enough to drive both the low and high density phases of the wind very quickly to large distances. In a few Myr, a multi-phase ISM is launched in the IGM and a GW with a large amount of dense structures embedded in a hot low density gas develops. Figure 5 shows this situation. Above the disk, at $z \gg 300$ pc, the edge-on column density distribution shows the presence of a network of elongated filaments in the direction of the rarefied flow. Due to their high density (in spite of their low abundance), the filaments carry with them a large amount of metals and therefore, in this case, represent an efficient mechanism to transport the chemical species ejected by the SN explosions above the disk. Also, the metal abundance in the wind is large, between 5 and 10 $Z_\odot$, and these values are in agreement with those obtained in previous studies of M82 wind (Strickland & Heckman 2009). The launched multi-phase medium radiates energy at all heights (see Figure 6 bottom-right panel) and thus may be observed both in X and radio wavelengths.

If we look at the velocity distribution of the different gas phases (Figure 7), we note that gas at high density with velocities between 150 and 800 km s$^{-1}$ has mass almost 10 times larger than in previous models. This means that the dense (cold)-phase is being launched from the SB region along with the hot phase in the wind. This trend is confirmed by the multi-phase evolution shown in Figure 5. The dense, intermediate and low density gas phases are completely superimposed, each one with its own velocity. In particular, the high velocity clumps and fragments are spread and embedded all over the wind. The total mass of the high density phase in the flow is $\sim 4 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$, while the gas of intermediate density has a mass of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$, and the gas of low density has $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ $M_\odot$ (Figure 5). The high density gas in the wind is therefore, $\sim 2/3$ of the total GW mass, while only 8% of the GW mass is due to the hot and high-velocity, low density gas. Due to the large fraction of high density gas in the flow, most of the injected energy is lost by radiative cooling. After 5 Myr the total energy of the system is $2.7 \times 10^{55}$ erg, while the total injected SN energy is $7.2 \times 10^{55}$ erg. Since the initial energy is $8.8 \times 10^{54}$ erg, this implies that the energy radiated away by radiative cooling is $\sim 5.35 \times 10^{55}$ erg, that is, $\sim 74\%$ of the injected energy.

As the main features of the GW in M82 seem to be sim-

![Figure 5. Model SC10-01: map of the gas column density for different density and velocity ranges at a time of 3 Myr. Top-left panel: $n \geq 0.5$ cm$^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$; top-right panel: $0.01 \leq n \leq 0.5$ cm$^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$; bottom-left panel: $n \leq 0.01$ cm$^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$; bottom-right panel: $n \geq 0.5$ cm$^{-3}$ and $v \geq 300$ km s$^{-1}$. The column density is expressed in cm$^{-2}$. The "white" portions in each panel represent regions with total column density smaller than $8 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$.](image)
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Figure 6. Model SC40-01: the same as in Fig. 2, at t=3.5 Myr, except that here we consider 40 SSCs forming over 1 Myr with a mass of $2.5 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot$ and a SN number of $2.5 \times 10^4$.

Figure 7. Model SC40-01: the same as in Fig. 3, except that here we consider 40 SSCs forming over 1 Myr with a mass of $2.5 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot$ and a SN number of $2.5 \times 10^4$.

Figure 8. Model SC100-10: the same as in Fig. 4, except that here we consider 100 SSCs forming over 10 Myr with a mass of $10^6 \, M_\odot$, a SNe number of $10^4$, and a mean separation of $\sim 25$ pc. In this case, as in the models SC10-10, SC10-01 and SC-40-10, the numerical simulation was performed in a box domain with dimensions $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ kpc.

The results are similar to those of model SC40-01. The

ilar to those obtained in this Reference Model (Sc40-01), we can investigate in more detail the physical conditions of the energized gas in this model. Figure 10 depicts the face-on gas density, temperature, pressure and vertical-velocity distributions at an earlier time t=2.5 Myr, at a height of 50 pc above the disk where the coexistence of different phases is better highlighted. A straightforward feature in this figure is the presence of multi-phase structures with high temperature ($T \gtrsim 10^7$ K), pressure ($p/k \sim 4 \times 10^7$) and density ($n \sim 5 \times 10^2 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$) coexisting with an underlying high velocity ($v \sim 2000 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$), low density ($n \lesssim 10^{-2} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$) wind. These values are similar to those inferred from recent observations (see, e.g., Westmoquette et al. 2009a,b). Moreover, looking at the vertical velocity distribution, we see that the filaments produced in the core move in the wind with a velocity between $\sim 100$ and $800 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, while the gas with high thermal pressure that drives the superwind moves in different streams and channels with a typical velocity of $\sim 2000 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. Considering that the escape velocity of the M82 wind is $\sim 400 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ (e.g., Strickland & Heckman 2009), we can conclude that only the low density gas and a little fraction of the filaments may really escape from the galaxy.

Finally, in Figure 11 we show the average abundances for each gas-phase of the flow. The faster and smaller density phase, with a velocity between 500 and $2000 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, is characterized by a high metal abundance, $\sim$ ten times larger than the solar abundance. On the other hand, the slower and denser phase, with a velocity between 100 and $800 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, representing $\sim 70\%$ of the total flow mass, has a metal abundance between 1 and 4 times the solar value.

3.5 Model SC100-10

The last Model (SC100-10) considers 100 SSCs built up over 10 Myr with a stellar mass of $10^6 \, M_\odot$, a SNe number of $10^4$, and a mean separation of $\sim 25$ pc. In this case, as in the models SC10-10, SC10-01 and SC-40-10, the numerical simulation was performed in a box domain with dimensions $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ kpc.

We have examined several cuts along and in the normal directions of the flow which are not presented here.
larger number of SSCs combined with a smaller power injected per SSC (which are formed for longer time) generates an ambient which is rich in filaments and with a high thermal pressure that accelerates the filaments and clouds to high velocities together with the hot wind flow. Also in this case the multi-phase ambient with dense structures embedded in the hot low density gas provides alone 70% of the total flow mass (Figure 12).

Figure 8. Model SC40-01: map of the gas column density for different density and velocity ranges, at a time of 4.5 Myr. Top-left panel: $n \geq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; top-right panel: $0.01 \leq n \leq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; bottom-left panel: $n \leq 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; bottom-right panel: $n \geq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The column density is expressed in cm$^{-2}$.

Figure 9. Model SC40-01: the same as in Fig. 4 except that here we consider 40 SSCs built up over 1 Myr with a mass of $2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ and a SN number of $2.5 \times 10^4$ at a time of 1.5 Myr (dashed line), 3.3 Myr (dotted-dashed line) and $t=4$ Myr (solid line).

Figure 10. Model SC40-01: Color-scale map of the face-on M82 gas density (top-left panel), temperature (top-right), vertical-velocity (bottom-left) and pressure (bottom-right) distributions, at a height of 50 pc above the disk and at a time $t=2.5$ Myr. The density is shown in units of g cm$^{-3}$, the temperature in K, the pressure in dyne cm$^{-2}$ and the velocity in km s$^{-1}$.
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Figure 11. Model SC40-01: map of the average metal abundance for different density and velocity ranges, at a time of 4.5 Myr. Top-left panel: $n \geq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; top-right panel: $0.01 \leq n \leq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; bottom-left panel: $n \leq 0.01 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; bottom-right panel: $n \geq 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $v \geq 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The abundance is expressed in unit of solar abundance.

The main difference with regard to the previous model (SC40-01) is the process of clump formation. In model SC40-01, after 2 Myr the filamentary structure has a total mass of $\sim 6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, while after 5 Myr it decreases to $\sim 3.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. In model SC100-10, on the other hand, the filamentary mass increases with time from $6 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ at $t = 2$ Myr to $\sim 2.3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ at 5 Myr. Around 4 Myr the total mass of the filaments is about the same in both models, but in model SC40-01, a large amount is quickly produced and then partially ablated by the wind flow, while in the second case (model SC100-10), the filaments are produced more slowly as the superbubbles expand and collide. This different evolution of the denser gas phase affects the radiative cooling too. For model SC100-10, after 5 Myr the total energy of the system is $1.3 \times 10^{55} \text{ erg}$, while the injected SN energy is $2.1 \times 10^{55} \text{ erg}$. This means that the energy radiated away is $\sim 1.65 \times 10^{55} \text{ erg}$, or $\sim 80\%$ of the total injected energy, therefore, a little larger than that in model SC40-01.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have investigated the formation of galactic winds (GWs) driven by SNe explosions, with particular focus on the M82 galaxy.

Several driving processes of GWs have been proposed over the last years, including thermal pressure due to supernova heating (Larson 1974; Dekel & Silk 1986; Mac Low & Ferrara 1999; D'Ercole & Brighenti 1999; Strickland & Stevens 2000; Melioli et al. 2008, 2009; Hill et al. 2012), radiation pressure on dust grains (Martin 2005; Murray et al. 2005; Nath & Silk 2009), cosmic ray pressure (Breitschwerdt et al. 1991; Everett et al. 2008; Uhlig et al. 2012) and supersonic turbulence (Scannapieco & Brüggen 2010). In most of these processes, SNe are the main source of energy injection which is then reprocessed. In the particular case of SB galaxies, where the SFR is high, we expect that the SN energy dominates over all the other processes and therefore, in the present study we have only considered the role that SN shock fronts play on the evolution of the ISM (at...
small scales) and on the GW evolution (at large scales). In the central SB of M82 there is a large number of super stellar clusters (SSCs) which are permeated by a complex distribution of gas with different densities, pressures and temperatures. As expected, most of our 3D radiative cooling hydrodynamical numerical simulations generated outflows with a complex morphology which captured the main features of an M82-like wind, i.e., a reach cold outflows with a complex morphology which captured the main features of an M82-like wind, i.e., a reach cold wind surrounding by a thin, dense shell. This is consistent with the results found by [Tenorio-Tagle et al. (2003)] and [Rodríguez-González et al. (2008a,b)]. In both cases a GW develops, but with distinct morphology. In the first case we have “filamentary-like” GWs, as observed in M82 and also in models SC10-01, SC40-01 and SC100-10, while in the second case we have “supperbubble-like” GWs where the superbubble expands until its external super-shell fragments allowing the hot gas to flow into the IGM and where the only structures are those generated by the fragmentation of the super-shell, as in models SC10-10 and SC40-10. We note that, regardless of the total power injected which is the same for all models (10^{42} erg/s), the spatial and temporal distribution of the SSCs within the SB region and thus the first million years of SNe activity (Figure 1), are determinant factors to allow the formation of a rich filamentary structure in the wind. If the SSCs form fast enough and if they are in a sufficiently large number then, several SN shells evolve overall the region and can interact nearly simultaneously, building up a rich filamentary structure which is dragged by the wind.

Considering the discussion above, we may try to establish the physical conditions which are necessary to form a multi-phase ISM and an M82-like GW. We have found that a larger amount of clumps and filaments is mainly generated during the first interactions of the SNe superbubbles when their volume filling factor is $ff \leq 1$ and a large fraction of unperturbed gas is still in the nuclear region. Therefore, it is the number of active SSCs before $ff$ becomes $ff=1$ that determines whether the wind will be a “filamentary” or a “supperbubble-like” wind. Our results indicate that $ff$ becomes equal to unity at $t=1$.3 Myr for model SC10-10, at $t=0.6$ Myr for model SC10-01, at $t=1$.3 Myr for model SC40-10, at $t=0.65$ Myr for model SC40-01, and at $t=1.9$ Myr for model SC100-10. At these times, the number of active SSCs is 3 for SC10-10, 7 for SC10-01, 4 for SC40-10, 26 for SC40-01 and 23 for SC100-10. This means that the larger the number of SSCs formed before $ff$ becomes $ff=1$, the more complex and rich the wind filamentary structure will be. When the number of active SSCs is $\geq 7-10$ and $ff \leq 1$ (which corresponds to a mean separation between simultaneously active SSCs $\leq 80$ pc), a multiphase environment is built up in the central region of the galaxy favouring the formation of a filamentary-like wind, as in M82.

The resulting filaments have extensions between $\sim 50$ and 500 pc, thickness of $\sim 30$ pc, densities of about 10^{4} K, pressures between $10^{-11}$ and $10^{-12}$ dyne cm$^{-2}$ and velocities between $100$ and 800 km/s. Outside of the disk, because the wind has a velocity $\sim 2200$ km/s, they are impacted by the hot gas with relative velocities of 1500-1800 km/s and therefore, the temperature may increase up to 10^{4} K. Just a little fraction of these filaments escapes from the galaxy and, based on the results of this work, this corresponds to only $\sim 10\%$ of the gas in the high density phase, that is, about $5 \times 10^{3}$ M$_{\odot}$. This result suggests that although the wind can be efficient to inject energy into the halo, it seems to be less efficient to inject disc mass (see also Section 4.4).

We can conclude that the environmental conditions at the base will determine the main large-scale features of the GW morphology and particularly, whether filaments and a multi-phase environment will develop or not in the wind. If a
large number of SSCs form before the SNe driven hot superbubbles fill out the entire nuclear volume of the galaxy, then an M82-like wind, with a rich filamentary structure, develops. On the other hand, if only a few, highly massive SSCs form in the SB region then the SNe will drive a superbubble-like wind with no filaments and with all the phases of the gas (high, intermediate and low density) well separated from each other.

The results above also suggest that the features of the wind mainly depend on the recent star formation history of the starburst rather than all earlier starburst episodes which occurred before \( \sim 50 \) Myr. In fact, although many observations indicate that intense episodes of star formation occurred in M82 also about 100 Myr ago (see, e.g., de Grijs et al. 2001), the simulations indicate that the present wind energy and morphology are essentially due to the recent activity occurring along few Myr of the younger SSCs lifetime. Remnants of fossil star formation activity had been swept long ago by the strong power driven by previous SNe explosions and are possibly in the outer regions of the wind or have already evaporated. For this reason, it is sufficient to consider only the last \( \sim 10 \) Myrs of the starburst lifetime in order to assess the main features of the large scale wind structure in the galaxy surrounds, as in the models discussed here.

\[ HE \sim 100\% \] (where, as remarked in Section 2.2, HE corresponds to the ratio between the kinetic plus thermal energies stored in the gas and the energy injected by the SN explosions, Melioli & de Gouveia Dal Pino 2004). This is because the radiative losses are important only if \( t_{\text{cool}} \ll t_{\text{int}} \) (where \( t_{\text{cool}} \) and \( t_{\text{int}} \) are the gas radiative cooling time and the interaction time of the supernova remnants, respectively) and in the case of single SSC the SNe occur so close in space and time that this relation is almost never fulfilled. But what fraction of the total energy of the superbubbles goes to drive bulk gas motions and generate a large scale wind? In all the models, we have found that the energy lost by radiative cooling is proportional to the ratio between the mass of the high-density gas component and the total mass of the GW. Since this value ranges between 70% and 82%, we verify that only a fraction between 20% and 30% of the SN energy goes into the kinetic energy of the gas to drive the GW (Figure 13). Therefore, in contrast to the results obtained for the formation of a multi-phase environment, the fraction of energy available for the build up of the GW is about the same for all the models independent on the temporal and space distribution of the SSCs and on the SNe power injection during the first Myr.

The injected energy that is not radiated away provides most of the kinetic energy to the gas in the high-density phase (filaments and clouds) and the thermal energy to the gas in the intermediate and low-density phases. These energies are spatially distributed as depicted in Figure 14 for model SC40-01.

These results suggest that the average temperature of the hot gas will be given by:

\[ T \sim 2 \frac{\epsilon_h E_{\text{SN}}}{3 n_{\text{int}} k} \]

where \( \epsilon_h \) is the fraction of the total SNe energy stored in the gas as thermal energy and \( n_{\text{int}} \) is the total number density of the intermediate and low-density gas components.

Similarly, the average velocity of the filaments will be given by:

\[ v_f \sim \sqrt{\frac{2 \epsilon_k E_{\text{SN}}}{m_h}} \]

where \( \epsilon_k \) is the fraction of the SNe total energy stored in the gas as kinetic energy, and \( m_h \) is the total mass of the high-density gas.

With the results of the Reference Model (SC40-01) presented in Figure 14 we obtain an average temperature for the low-density gas \( T \sim 10^7 \) K and an average velocity for the high-density gas \( v_f \sim 500 \) km s\(^{-1}\), where we have considered \( \epsilon_h \sim 5\% \) and \( \epsilon_k \sim 18\% \) (see Figure 20). This is in agreement with Chevalier & Clegg (1985) who predicted that for a thermalization efficiency of about 10% the wind fluid should have a temperature in a range between \( 10^7 \) and \( 10^8 \) K even if it had been slightly mass loaded with cold ambient gas. We note that although the wind model of Chevalier & Clegg (1985) is adiabatic, these authors introduced two parameters in their model (\( \alpha \) and \( \beta \)) in order to incorporate the effects of radiative cooling both from the SN ejecta and dense gas, so that a comparison of their model with the present ones is appropriate. However, as pointed out by Strickland & Heckman (2009), such temperatures are hotter than the usually observed warm ionized, soft X-ray emitting plasma in GWs. Thus hard X-ray observations are still required in order to confirm or not the predictions of the models.

Based on the results above of the energy distribution during the wind evolution, we can conclude that an M82-like GW could be generated in two steps. The first one can be characterized by the formation of superbubbles due to SNe activity in each SSC with a SN heating efficiency HE \( \sim 100\% \) (Melioli & de Gouveia Dal Pino 2004). The second step is characterized by large scale interactions of the superbubble shells with a HE (including the thermal and the kinetic energy contributions) of \( \sim 20\% \) only. In this scenario, about 80% of the SN energy is radiated away mainly by the denser structures embedded in the GW.
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Based on the results above of the energy distribution during the wind evolution, we can conclude that an M82-like GW could be generated in two steps. The first one can be characterized by the formation of superbubbles due to SNe activity in each SSC with a SN heating efficiency HE \( \sim 100\% \) (Melioli & de Gouveia Dal Pino 2004). The second step is characterized by large scale interactions of the superbubble shells with a HE (including the thermal and the kinetic energy contributions) of \( \sim 20\% \) only. In this scenario, about 80% of the SN energy is radiated away mainly by the denser structures embedded in the GW.
Figure 13. SN energy distribution for the different models studied here after a time of 5 Myr. $\epsilon_k$: fraction of the total SNe energy stored in the gas as vertical (or poloidal) kinetic energy; $\epsilon_{th}$: fraction of the total SNe energy stored in the gas as thermal energy; $\epsilon_{\Lambda}$: fraction of the total SNe energy lost by radiative cooling.

density phase $\bar{Z}_h \sim 1.2 Z_\odot$, while for the low-density phase we have $\bar{Z}_l \sim 4.5 Z_\odot$. Each gas phase regulates the chemical evolution of the different parts of the system. The high and intermediate-density phases, with their filaments and clumps, are unable to escape from the galaxy and are thus responsible for retaining in it an important fraction of the metals ejected by the SN explosions. In fact the total amount of ejected metals after 8 Myr is $\sim 2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, but the amount which escapes from our physical domain is only $\sim 8 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ and the remaining portion is retained in and around the galactic disk. The total mass of metals in the high and intermediate-density phases are, respectively, $M_h \times \bar{Z}_h$ and $M_i \times \bar{Z}_i$, that is, $\sim 4 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ of metals for each phase, which represents $\sim 2/3$ of the metals stored in the galaxy.

Based on the results above, we can conclude that the SNe explosions in an M82-like SB galaxy change significantly the metallicity only of the low-density wind component. In our simulations this component has a resulting metal abundance between 5 and 10 $Z_\odot$. The injected metals by the SNe do not affect significantly the metallicity of the high and intermediate density phases of the wind, a result which is in contrast to what occurs, for instance, in the clouds built up in galactic fountains (Melioli et al. 2008, 2009).

4.4 Mass loss

Our results suggest that during the early phases of expansion, the GW transports to above the disk a large amount of energy, momentum and gas, but at the same time the high-density component (of clouds and filaments) is able to reach only intermediate altitudes and does not escape from the system. As a consequence, no significant amount of gas mass is lost in the IGM, except for an important fraction of metals and SN ejecta that flows along with the high velocity-low density gas in the GW. In our Reference Model (SC40-01), for instance, the GW reaches a nearly steady state evolution.
after 4-5 Myr and until that moment the mass lost by the system is about 0.6 M⊙ yr⁻¹ only. In fact, as stressed in the previous Section (Sec. 4.3), the only gas phase which is able to flow freely into the IGM is the low-density phase. However, due to its very low-density, the total gas mass in this phase represents only 5% of the total mass of the GW (see Figure [9]). We note that this value is only slightly smaller than that indicated by Strickland & Heckman (2009).

Based on the results above, we can conclude that the mass evolution of the galaxy is not substantially affected by the SB events observed in the core of M82.

5 SUMMARY

The main conclusions of this work can be summarized as follows:

(i) The environmental conditions at the base will determine the main large-scale features of the GW morphology. If a sufficiently large number of super stellar clusters (SSCs) is build up before the SNe driven hot superbubbles fill out the entire nuclear volume of the galaxy, then an M82-like wind, with a rich filamentary structure, develops. In quantitative terms, a multiphase wind is build up, as in M82, if the number of SSCs is ≥ 7-10 while ff is still ff ≤ 1.

(ii) About 80% of the SN energy is radiated away mainly by the high density structures which are formed from the fragmentation of the superbubble shells (see e.g., Model SC40-01).

(iii) The SNe explosions in an M82-like galaxy change significantly the metallicity only of the low-density wind component. In our simulations this component has a resulting metal abundance between 5 and 10 solar abundance (i.e., ~ 0.5 to 1 Z⊙). Also according to our results, about 1/3 of the total mass in metals is in the low-density component and therefore, this is the only fraction that can be removed to the IGM.

(iv) During the early phases of expansion, the GW transports to outside the disk large amounts of energy, momentum and gas, but the more massive high-density component (which is composed of clouds and filaments) is able to reach only intermediate altitudes (typically smaller than 1.5 kpc) and therefore, does not escape from the system. In consequence, no significant amounts of gas mass are lost to the IGM and the mass evolution of the galaxy is not much affected either by the starburst events occurring in the nuclear region.
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