ANOSMIA AND AGEUSIA IN PATIENTS WITH COVID-19
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the prevalence and diagnostic significance of anosmia and ageusia among COVID-19 positive patients of Karachi, Pakistan.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Dr Ruth K. M. Pfau Civil Hospital, (Dow University of Health Sciences), Karachi Pakistan, from Jan 2021 to Feb 2021.

Methodology: The data were collected prospectively from 265 COVID-19 positive patients. Some patients were interviewed over the telephone, while for patient’s ease, an online Google form was also formed, facilitating the online data collection. The patient’s demographics, comorbidities, allergies, and COVID-19 associated characteristics were inquired. The statistical analysis was performed on SPSS version 23.

Results: The observed frequency of anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 patients was 49.1% & 43.8% respectively. The median time to recovery was 8-8.5 days (median) for both symptoms. We found no significant difference for gender, BMI, marital status, residential area, comorbidities and reason for long-standing breathing difficulties between patients with or without both anosmia and ageusia (p>0.05). Furthermore, most of the cigarette smokers reported none of the two symptoms (anosmia and ageusia), 24% and 25.2% of COVID-19 positive cases with smoking history were presented without anosmia and ageusia, respectively (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Loss of sense of smell and taste was reported in almost half of the studied population infected by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Therefore, screening for anosmia and ageusia must be considered while COVID-19 suspicion as an important diagnostic clue.
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INTRODUCTION

The epidemic of COVID-19 caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that emerged from East Asia, has rapidly spread to the rest of the world, causing a spectrum of symptoms, is so far responsible for >3.5 million deaths worldwide, and approximately 20,000 deaths in Pakistan. The rapid viral transmission has enabled researchers to obtain large amounts of clinical data as the traits, symptoms and disease durations varied across the globe and surprisingly contrasting compared to that reported initially in China.

The research and scientific literature also evolved with the disease progression; initially most frequently reported symptoms among inpatient COVID-19 cases were fever, cough, dyspnea, sputum production, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, diarrhea, rhinorrhea, and sore throat. Later, a number of studies from various geographical locations reported a significant gustatory and olfactory loss among COVID-19 patients. Vaira et al, from Italy conducted a study including 72 COVID-19 positive patients; they reported olfactory and gustatory alterations in >70% of the studied patients. A study from China with 214 COVID-19 patients reported anosmia in only 5.1% patients and ageusia in 5.6% of patients. In a meta-analysis including 19 studies, Tong et al, documented anosmia and ageusia as the significant COVID-19 symptoms, the reported frequency of anosmia and ageusia as per the reviewed literature was more than 50% and >40%, respectively.

The otolaryngological importance of anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 could be explained by the direct damage of the olfactory and gustatory receptors and the subsequent loss of the two senses. The effects of anosmia can be diverse and impactful; affected patients frequently reported decreased capability to identify personal hazards, such as fire, gas, obnoxious chemicals or spoiled food. At the same time, ageusia compromises the patient’s quality of life, as it is associated with loss of appetite and reduction in weight.

Although a number of studies analyzing the symptomatic profile of the COVID-19 patients have
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been carried out, there is very limited data reported from the specific studied region. Therefore, this study was designed for better understanding and management of the infection. We aimed to evaluate the prevalence and diagnostic significance of anosmia and ageusia among COVID-19 positive patients of Karachi, Pakistan.

**METHODOLOGY**

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Dr. Ruth K. M. Pfau Civil Hospital, Karachi (Dow University of Health Sciences), from January 2021 to February 2021, including a total of 265 COVID-19 positive patients selected via non-probability convenience sampling technique. The sample size was calculated using the World Health Organization (WHO) calculator for Health Studies, considering 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. As cited in the literature for sample size calculation, the prevalence of both anosmia and ageusia was 19%.8

**Inclusion Criteria**: Both male and female patients, aged 18 years or above, were included after obtaining written informed consent.

**Exclusion Criteria**: Individuals with pre-existing pathological or traumatic conditions leading to loss of sense of smell and/or taste were excluded.

A pre-designed proforma focusing on the study objectives was used for data collection. The questionnaire was pre-tested through a pilot study involving 30 patients. Some patients were interviewed over the telephone, while for patient’s ease, an online Google form was also formed, facilitating the online data collection. The data regarding demographics, comorbidities, allergies, symptoms, requirement of hospitalization, the requirement of oxygen support during the illness, onset of anosmia & ageusia, and duration.

The statistical analysis was performed on SPSS-23. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD while frequencies and percentages were used to display categorical variables. A chi-square test was used to determine the association of patient characteristics (categorical variables) with anosmia and ageusia, and an independent t-test was used for continuous variables. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study was commenced after obtaining ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Dow University of Health Sciences (DUHS) (Ref: IRB-1867/DUHS/Approval/2021; Dated: Jan 30, 2021). All ethical guidelines and standard operating procedures (SOPs) were followed.

**RESULTS**

It was observed that out of 265 COVID-19 patients, anosmia was reported by 49.1%, of which 14.6% reported that it started on day 1, 55.4% reported it on day 2-4, and 30% reported it on day 5 & above. The number of days [median (IQR) during which anosmia subsided was 8.5 (5.8-15) days. While, the mean duration of ageusia was 8 (6-15) days, after which the symptom subsided. It was reported by 43.8% of the enrolled patients, of which 10.3% reported that it started on day 1, on day 2-4 in 58.8% and 30.9% reported it on day 5 & above.

Table-I shows the characteristics of COVID-19 patients with and without anosmia and ageusia. There was no significant difference with respect to gender, BMI, marital status, residential area, comorbidities and reason for long-standing breathing difficulties between patients with or without both anosmia and ageusia (p>0.05). While the mean age of the patients with anosmia was comparatively low than those without anosmia, i.e. 34.3 ± 12.9 years vs. 38.43 ± 13.84 years (p=0.012). Dust allergy was significantly higher among COVID-19 patients with anosmia (p=0.013). Furthermore, most of the cigarette smokers reported none of the two symptoms (anosmia and ageusia), 24% and 25.2% of COVID-19 positive cases with smoking history were presented without anosmia and ageusia, respectively (p<0.05).

The stratification of COVID-19 characteristics for anosmia and ageusia is displayed in Table-II. It was observed that there was no significant difference in the sources of infection contraction among the patients with or without anosmia and ageusia. While the presence of other symptoms when tested positive for COVID-19 was significant among those with anosmia or ageusia (p<0.001). Most of the patients with either anosmia or ageusia reported the symptomatic onset before the PCR test. Surprisingly, the hospitalization and oxygen requirement was higher among the patients without any of the two symptoms.

**DISCUSSION**

As known, the best way to prevent and slow down viral transmission is to be well informed. With the disease progression, the presence of chemosensory dysfunction became more evident among COVID-19 patients.34 It is now recommended that the suspected COVID-19 must be inquired regarding the gustatory or
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olfactory loss together with other COVID-related symptoms, but these symptoms were comparatively more frequent among males than females (Table-II). A similar

Table-I: Relationship between patient characteristics and olfactory/gustatory loss among COVID-19 patients.

| Variables                  | With Anosmia | Without Anosmia | p-value | With Ageusia | Without Ageusia | p-value |
|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (years); Mean ± SD     | 34.3±12.9    | 38.4±13.8       | 0.012*  | 38±13.8      | 35±13.0         | 0.148   |
| Age group (years)          |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| 18-45                      | 108(83.1)    | 99(73.3)        | 0.131   | 18(15.5)     | 24(16.1)        | 0.282   |
| 46-60                      | 17(13.1)     | 25(18.5)        |         | 68(58.6)     | 78(52.3)        | 0.309   |
| >60                        | 5(3.8)       | 11(8.1)         |         | 4(3.4)       | 12(8.1)         |         |
| Gender                     |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| Male                       | 73(56.2)     | 73(54.1)        | 0.734   | 48(41.1)     | 71(47.7)        |         |
| Female                     | 57(43.8)     | 62(45.9)        |         | 68(58.6)     | 78(52.3)        |         |
| BMI (kg/m²); Mean ± SD     | 25.8±5.1     | 25.5±4.6        | 0.658   | 25.5±4.6     | 25.3±3.6        | 0.202   |
| BMI Groups                 |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| <18                        | 6(4.6)       | 5(3.7)          | 0.915   | 5(4.3)       | 6(4.0)          | 0.210   |
| 18-24.99                   | 44(33.8)     | 50(37.0)        |         | 33(28.4)     | 61(40.9)        |         |
| 25-29.99                   | 60(46.2)     | 62(45.9)        |         | 59(50.9)     | 63(42.3)        |         |
| ≥30                        | 20(15.4)     | 18(13.3)        |         | 19(16.4)     | 19(12.8)        |         |
| Marital Status             |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| Single                     | 36(24.3)     | 33(27.3)        | 0.39    | 28(25.1)     | 40(34.0)        | 0.805   |
| Married                    | 75(67.7)     | 86(72.7)        |         | 69(71.1)     | 94(69.6)        |         |
| Occupation                 |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| Student                    | 10(7.7)      | 5(3.7)          | 0.009   | 8(6.9)       | 7(4.7)          | 0.142   |
| Employed                   | 40(30.8)     | 47(34.8)        |         | 35(30.2)     | 52(34.9)        |         |
| Unemployed                 | 3(2.3)       | 8(5.9)          |         | 5(4.3)       | 6(4.0)          |         |
| Business                   | 2(1.5)       | 14(10.4)        |         | 2(1.7)       | 14(9.4)         |         |
| Housewife                  | 15(11.5)     | 19(14.1)        |         | 14(12.1)     | 20(13.4)        |         |
| Healthcare Professional    | 59(45.4)     | 41(30.4)        |         | 51(44)       | 49(32.9)        |         |
| Others                     | 1(0.8)       | 1(0.7)          |         | 1(0.9)       | 1(0.7)          |         |
| Area of Living in Karachi  |              |                 |         |              |                 | 0.873   |
| East                       | 40(30.8)     | 35(25.9)        | 0.389   | 35(30.2)     | 40(26.8)        |         |
| West                       | 10(7.7)      | 15(11.1)        |         | 12(10.3)     | 13(8.7)         |         |
| South                      | 27(20.8)     | 17(12.6)        |         | 21(18.1)     | 23(15.4)        |         |
| Malir                      | 7(5.4)       | 13(9.6)         |         | 6(5.2)       | 14(9.4)         |         |
| Korangi                    | 3(2.3)       | 4(3.0)          |         | 3(2.6)       | 4(2.7)          |         |
| Cigarette Smoker           | 13(11.7)     | 29(24.0)        | 0.015   | 8(8.2)       | 34(25.2)        | 0.001   |
| Comorbidity                |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| Diabetes                   | 12(9.2)      | 14(10.4)        | 0.755   | 10(8.6)      | 16(10.7)        | 0.565   |
| Hypertension               | 12(9.2)      | 16(11.9)        | 0.488   | 9(7.8)       | 19(12.8)        | 0.190   |
| Asthma                     | 6(4.6)       | 8(5.9)          | 0.634   | 4(3.4)       | 10(6.7)         | 0.239   |
| Heart Disease              | 4(3.1)       | 8(5.9)          | 0.265   | 4(3.4)       | 8(5.4)          | 0.456   |
| Others                     | 5(3.8)       | 4(3.0)          | 0.692   | 3(2.6)       | 6(4.0)          | 0.521   |
| Allergy                    |              |                 |         |              |                 |         |
| Dust allergy               | 48(36.9)     | 31(23.0)        | 0.013*  | 37(31.9)     | 42(28.2)        | 0.513   |
| Smoke allergy              | 15(11.5)     | 17(12.6)        | 0.792   | 14(12.1)     | 18(12.1)        | 0.998   |
| Insecticides allergy       | 11(8.5)      | 10(7.4)         | 0.751   | 9(7.8)       | 12(8.1)         | 0.930   |
| Strong smell allergy       | 20(15.4)     | 17(12.6)        | 0.512   | 17(14.7)     | 20(13.4)        | 0.774   |
| Others                     | 3(2.3)       | 5(3.7)          | 0.507   | 2(1.7)       | 6(4.0)          | 0.277   |
| Reason for long-standing difficulty in breathing | | | | | | |
| Deviated Nasal Septum     | 15(11.5)     | 8(5.9)          | 0.310   | 9(7.8)       | 14(9.4)         | 0.407   |
| Nasal Polypse              | 3(2.3)       | 4(3.0)          |         | 1(0.9)       | 6(4.0)          |         |
| Both                       | 4(3.1)       | 2(1.3)          |         | 3(2.6)       | 3(2.0)          |         |
| None                       | 108(85.1)    | 121(89.6)       |         | 103(88.8)    | 126(84.6)       |         |

The enrolled patients were prospectively followed for symptom duration and cessation of anosmia and ageusia. It was observed that more than 40% of the enrolled patients had anosmia and ageusia (Table-III). There were no significant gender-based differences between patients with and without anosmia and ageu-

Korean study on 3,191 patients observed anosmia among 15.3% and ageusia in 15.7% of patients, with a significant prevalence among females. A local study from Swat reported that out of 100 COVID-19 patients, 43.75% had anosmia, and 31.25% had ageusia.
The patients first reported anosmia on day 3 (median), and recovery time was 8.5 days (Table-III). In contrast, ageusia subsided in 8 days (Table-III). In contrast, AlAni and Acharya reported a mean recovery time from both anosmia and ageusia as 6.89 ± 3.0 days, with complete resolution among all patients within 3-12 days. Another study reports recovery from anosmia and ageusia in 7 days (median); they also reported that the young age group displayed a tendency for the symptomatic onset of anosmia and its persistence, which is also indicated in our study. Patients between 18-45 years of age frequently reported anosmia and ageusia compared to any other studied age group.

A notable finding of our study was that majority of the COVID-19 positive patients with anosmia or ageusia did not require hospitalization and oxygen support. Of the 16 patients who required oxygen support, only 3 of them reported anosmia and four reported ageusia. Furthermore, out of 130 patients reporting anosmia, only six required hospitalizations and of 116 patients with ageusia, only five were hospitalized. These findings were not statistically significant but could be used for future research with larger and more diverse sample sizes. We found higher frequencies of these symptoms among less severe patients with mild to moderate COVID-19.

Table-II: COVID-19 characteristics among patients with or without olfactory/gustatory loss.

| Variables | With Anosmia | Without Anosmia | p-value | With Ageusia | Without Ageusia | p-value |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| Ways of Infection Contracted | | | | | | |
| Contact with a COVID-19 Infection | 66(50.8) | 62(45.9) | 0.673 | 64(55.2) | 64(43.0) | 0.076 |
| Travel History | 5(3.8) | 8(5.9) | | 2(1.7) | 11(7.4) | |
| Other | 11(8.5) | 9(6.7) | | 8(6.9) | 12(8.1) | |
| Unknown | 48(36.9) | 56(41.5) | | 42(36.2) | 62(41.6) | |
| Symptoms When Tested Positive for COVID-19 | | | | | | |
| Yes | 126(96.9) | 12(83.0) | <0.001* | 113(97.4) | 125(83.9) | <0.001* |
| No | 4(3.1) | 23(17.0) | 0.014 | 9(80.2) | 90(60.4) | 0.001 |
| Fever | 99(76.2) | 84(62.2) | | 93(80.2) | 90(60.4) | |
| Dry Cough | 54(41.5) | 51(37.8) | | 54(46.6) | 51(34.2) | 0.042 |
| Sore Throat | 58(44.6) | 49(36.3) | 0.168 | 54(46.6) | 53(35.6) | 0.171 |
| Nasal Congestion | 42(32.3) | 20(14.8) | 0.001 | 33(28.4) | 29(19.5) | 0.087 |
| Headache | 61(46.9) | 49(36.3) | 0.079 | 61(52.6) | 49(32.9) | 0.001 |
| Chills | 19(14.6) | 17(12.7) | 0.060 | 19(16.4) | 10(6.7) | 0.012 |
| Fatigue | 69(53.1) | 54(40.9) | 0.033* | 62(53.4) | 61(40.9) | 0.043 |
| Diarrhea | 35(26.9) | 31(23.0) | 0.456 | 32(27.6) | 34(22.8) | 0.373 |
| When Symptoms Started | | | | | | |
| Before PCR Test | 88(67.7) | 77(57.0) | 0.015 | 80(69) | 85(57.0) | <0.001* |
| After PCR Test | 11(8.5) | 12(8.9) | | 10(8.6) | 13(8.7) | |
| Do not Know | 19(14.6) | 14(10.4) | | 19(16.4) | 14(9.4) | |
| Not Applicable | 12(9.2) | 32(23.7) | | 7(6.0) | 37(24.8) | |
| Isolated or Time Spent During The Illness | | | | | | |
| Home Isolation | 124(95.4) | 121(89.6) | 0.362 | 111(95.7) | 134(89.9) | 0.120 |
| Isolation Ward | 4(3.1) | 9(6.7) | | 5(4.3) | 8(5.4) | |
| High Dependency Unit | 1 (0.8) | 2(1.5) | | - | 3(2.0) | |
| Intensive Care Unit | 1 (0.8) | 3(2.2) | | - | 4(2.7) | |
| Ever Required Oxygen Support During The Illness | 3(2.7) | 13(10.7) | 0.016 | 4(3.1) | 12(8.9) | 0.158 |
| Hospitalization Required | 6(4.6) | 14(10.4) | 0.076 | 5(3.8) | 15(10.1) | 0.078 |

Table-III: COVID-19 patients with or without olfactory & gustatory loss (n=265).

| Variables | n (%) |
|-----------|-------|
| Loss of Sense of Smell (LSS) | 130(49.1) |
| Complete LSS (n=130) | 94(72.3) |
| LSS started on which day of illness | | |
| median (IQR) | 3(2–5) |
| Day 1 | 19(14.6) |
| Day 2-4 | 72(55.4) |
| Day 5 & above | 39(30) |
| LSS subsided (days); median (IQR) | 8.5 (5.8-15) |
| Loss of Sense of Taste (LST) | 116(43.8) |
| Complete LST (n=116) | 66(56.9) |
| Element of LST (n=97) | | |
| Sweet | 61(62.9) |
| Salt | 80(82.5) |
| Bitter | 61(62.9) |
| Sour | 60(61.9) |
| LST started on which day of illness, (n=97) | | |
| median (IQR) | 4(2–5) |
| Day 1 | 10(10.3) |
| Day 2-4 | 57(58.8) |
| Day 5 & above | 30(30.9) |
| LST subsided (days), (n=97); median (IQR) | 8(6–15) |
Lastly, studies report a significant recent travel history among COVID patients with anosmia and ageusia ($p<0.05$). However, contradictory to these outcomes, we observed no significant effect of travel history on the presence or absence of anosmia and ageusia among COVID-19 patients. Though the study provided sufficient knowledge on the two recognized symptoms of COVID-19, their onset, pattern, cessation, and contributing factors, certain limitations need to be addressed.
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LIMITATION OF STUDY

The research was based on a small sample size. We did not classify the disease based on severity, as the symptomatic profile also varies with disease severity.

CONCLUSION

Anosmia and ageusia were reported in almost half of the studied population infected by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. These symptoms being prevalent, can also help early detection of the infection and isolation of the individual to prevent the spreading of the infection.
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