Trace heavy metals and harmful elements in roots and rhizomes of herbs: Screening level analysis and health risk assessment
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1. Introduction

Chinese herbal medicines (CHMs) have been applied to manage some diseases in China for 5,000 years (Lin et al., 2018). With sufficient experience in the exploration of clinical research of CHMs, many landmark achievements were promoted. The latest research shows that CHMs brings new hope for the prevention and control of the newly identified strain of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) since there is no specific drug has been discovered (Ang, Lee, Choi, Zhang, & Soo Lee, 2020; Ren, Zhang, & Wang, 2020). For example, the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China launched the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 infection, the recommended prescriptions including Jinhua Qinggan Granules, Lianhua Qingwen Capsules/Granules, Xuebijing Injection, Qingfei Paaidu Decoction, Xuanfei Baidu Granules, Huashi Baidu Formula, and others (Chu, Huang, Zhang, Huang, & Wang, 2021). With the worldwide acceptance of CHM, the global demand for CHMs is increasing. The main exporters include South Korea, Japan, Indonesia, Malaysia, and the United States etc (Lin et al., 2018). In addition to the effectiveness, the safety of CHMs is the fundamental prerequisite for the internationalization of CHMs. The issue of heavy metals and harmful elements in CHMs, which may result in health risk due to their cumulative properties and high toxicity, is one of the main safety concerns (Martín-Domingo et al., 2017).

Heavy metal is a member of elements that exhibits metallic properties and natural components of the earth's crust and the common components of diverse environmental matrices (Singh, Gautam, Mishra, & Gupta, 2011). Some heavy metals, such as iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), and zinc (Zn) are required by humans. But some others, such as cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), and mercury (Hg), are toxic and can cause serious illness (Singh, Gautam, Mishra, & Gupta, 2011). Medicinal plants are subjected to heavy metal and harmful element contamination due to both the environment (soil, water, and air) they grow and the anthropogenic sources such as mining activities, waste incineration fertilizers, and vehicle emission (Wu & Xue, 2013; Tripathy, Basak, Varghese, & Saha, 2015). It should be specially explained that due to the wide presence of heavy metals and harmful elements in roots and rhizomes of herbs, screening level analysis and health risk assessment are deserved special attention.
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in the environment, their residues in medicinal plants have been frequently reported from different origins, such as globe artichoke in Uruguay, Cetraria Islandica from the European market, and herbal teas collected in the US (Machado, Dol, Rodríguez-Arce, Cesio, & Pistón, 2016; Giordani et al., 2017; de Oliveira et al., 2018). Harris et al. (2011) examined As, Cd, Pb, and Hg contamination in 334 CHMs (126 species) and found that 34% of the samples had detectable levels of all metals and at least one kind of metal was detected in all samples. Liu et al. (2013) investigated 250 samples (50 species of commercial CHMs) and found that the levels of Cu, Cd, Pb, and Hg in some samples were above the maximum limit standard in the Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China (Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission, 2010). Li, Wang, Yang, Yu, & Tang (2018) assessed Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, and Zn in Moutan Cortex and found that samples from the mining tailing area accumulated much higher concentrations than other sites. Our previous research compared the heavy metal exceeding rate in herbal drugs from different medicament portions, the results showed that herbs from roots and rhizomes part showed a higher content than other parts (Wu & Xue, 2013). Therefore, it is necessary to analyze and evaluate the residual level of heavy metals and harmful elements in these medicinal materials.

Hazard quotient (HQ), which was provided by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (U.S. EPA, 1989), was frequently performed to estimate the potential health risks of contaminations. An HQ value below 1 indicates that the level of exposure is unlikely to cause noncancerous effects, and an HQ value exceeding 1 indicates that there is a risk of noncancerous effects. Wang’s group investigated 60 CHM samples collected from the commercial market and the results showed that the exceedance percentage of Cd was as high as 38.8% but the HQ value indicated that it did not pose a threat to human health (Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, & Li, 2019). Therefore, it is applied in this study for the examination of contamination content. Besides, 70 batches of Dioscoreae Hippophaeae Rhizoma, Allii Macrostemonis Bulbus, Sanguisorbae Radix, Sophorae Flavescentis Radix, Gentianae Radix et Rhizoma, Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma, and Asteris Radix et Rhizoma were collected from wild and cultivated origins (10 batches/species).

The Latin name, medical part, and prescribed daily dosage in all samples were listed in Table 1. Each sample consisted of a bulk sample of 2 kg dry weight of the medicinal part of the plant. All samples were stored at −18 °C before analysis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

The standard solutions (100 μg/mL) were acquired from the National Institute of Metrology (Beijing, China) and were diluted to appropriate concentrations before use. Nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide of trace metal grade were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The certified reference material of Ginseng (GBW10027C (GBS-18)) was provided by the Institute of Geophysical and Geochemical Exploration (Langfang, China).

2.2. Instruments

A microwave digestion system (MARS6, CEM, USA) was used for sample preparation. The concentrations of Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu were identified using an Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS, ICAP Qc, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Ultra-high-quality water (18.2 MΩ/cm) was produced by a Milli-Q advantage, Merck Millipore (Shanghai, China).

### Fig. 1. Trace heavy metals and harmful elements in roots and rhizomes of herbs: Screening level analysis and health risk assessment.

**2.3. Sample collection**

A total of 650 batches of the sample representing 20 species of root and rhizome herbs were collected in 2018 from e-commerce companies, commercial herbal markets, and drugstores for the examination of contamination content. Besides, 70 batches of Dioscoreae Hippophaeae Rhizoma, Allii Macrostemonis Bulbus, Sanguisorbae Radix, Sophorae Flavescentis Radix, Gentianae Radix et Rhizoma, Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma, and Asteris Radix et Rhizoma were collected from wild and cultivated origins (10 batches/species).

The Latin name, medical part, and prescribed daily dosage in Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2020 edition, Volume I) of the 20 species of CHMs are listed in Table 1. Each sample consisted of a bulk sample of 2 kg dry weight of the medicinal part of the plant. All samples were stored at −18 °C before analysis.

### 2.4. Quality assurance

All containers were soaked overnight in 10% HNO₃ and then rinsed with ultra-high-quality water prior to use. The certified reference material (GBW10027Ginseng), analyzed as samples with each batch, was used to verify the accuracy and precision of the analytical method. LODs were calculated by the equation LOD = 3σ/s, where σ is the standard deviation of 10 successive blank signals and s is the slope of the calibration curve.

### 2.5. Health risk assessment

Chronic hazard index estimates based on non-cancer hazard quotient (HQ) (U.S. EPA, 1989) and in dietary and non-dietary exposure estimates based on ingestion exposure estimates (U.S. EPA, 2019), which recommended by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) for potential human health risk assessment, is also a widely used model for medicinal plant exposure routes (Li, Wang, Yang, Yu, & Tang, 2018; Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, & Li, 2019; Nan, et al., 2020). Therefore, it is applied in this study for
The overall potential noncancerous risk was assessed by calculating the sum of all the HQ values of Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu. The total hazard quotient (HQ) = \( HQ_{\text{Pb}} + HQ_{\text{Cd}} + HQ_{\text{As}} + HQ_{\text{Hg}} + HQ_{\text{Cu}} \). The total HQ below 1 means there is no overall potential noncancerous risk, whereas the total HQ greater than 1 indicates that the exposed population may experience health risks.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Method validation

The linearity, limits of detection (LOD), accuracy, and precision of the method were listed in Table 2. The LODs of Cu, Cd, Pb, Hg, and As were 0.1, 0.01, 0.2, 0.002, and 0.05 ng/mL, respectively. Good linearity was obtained with correlation coefficients \( r > 0.9950 \). The certified reference material of Ginseng (GBW10027) was analyzed to check the accuracy of the method and the results agreed with the certified values. Precision studies were taken by five replicates of the certified reference material and the results showed that the recoveries ranged from 83.3% to 103.0% and the RSDs ranged from 3.2% to 8.9%.

#### 3.2. Heavy metal and harmful element contents in CHM

The concentrations of Cu, Pb, As, Cd, and Hg in CHMs were shown in Fig. 1 and the min, max, mean, and median concentrations were summarized in Table 3. Based on Chinese Pharmacopoeia (Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission, 2020), the maximum limits of Cu, Pb, As, Cd, and Hg some CHMs are 20, 5, 2, 1, and 0.2 mg/kg, respectively, such as in Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma. Although the maximum limits in the other kinds of CHMs have not yet been officialized by the Chinese Pharmacopoeia Edition 2020, they were stipulated in its exposure drafts. In this work, the detected content of the five elements in 20 types of root and rhizome herbs were compared with the maximum limits in Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma.

Fig. 2 showed that the concentrations of Hg, As, and Cu in most CHMs were lower than the maximum limit in Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma, but Cd and Pb in some CHMs exceeded the maximum limit. Fig. 2A showed that the concentrations of Hg found in all CHMs were almost less than 0.2 mg/kg. Fig. 2B showed that the concentrations of Cd in Curcumae Rhizoma (No. 7) and Drynariae Rhizoma (No. 9) were significantly higher than that in other CHMs, with the corresponding average concentrations of 1.2 mg/kg and 1.4 mg/kg, respectively (Table 3). Fig. 2C showed that some individual samples in Pulsatillae Radix (No. 2), Gentianae Radix et Rhizoma (No. 12), Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma (No. 18), and Asteris Radix et Rhizoma (No. 20) accumulated higher As concentrations than the maximum limit. It is interesting to note that the concentrations of Pb had a wide variation in the analyzed CHMs (Fig. 2D). On one hand, Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma (No. 18) accumulated the highest concentration of Pb with the range of 1.5–26.5 mg/kg and the average concentration of 12.4 mg/kg which is 2.5 times above the maximum limit.
The average contamination levels of Cu, Pb, As, Cd, and Hg were shown in Fig. 2F. The results showed that the overall average concentrations of Cu were the highest, the second was Pb, followed by As and Cd, and the least was Hg. The average concentrations of Hg were the range of 0.3–5 mg/kg, except of the analyzed CHMs were contaminated with Pb concentration in 1.4 mg/kg) were above the maximum limit for Cd (1 mg/kg). Most samples of Radix Gentiana (No. 12) also had a higher exceedance percentage (22.2%) of As (0.6%). The results indicated that contamination levels of Pb in the analyzed CHMs were generally higher than Cd, As, Cu, and Hg.

It is worth reminding that the six exceedance samples of Cu were all from Gentianae Radix et Rhizoma (No. 12), which reconcile the results of Fig. 2E, indicating it may accumulate higher content of Cu relative to other CHMs. In addition to Cu, Gentianae Radix et Rhizoma (No. 12) also had a higher exceedance percentage (22.2%) of As than the other CHMs. It was remarkable that half of the samples contaminated with Cd in Curcumae Rhizoma (No. 7) and Drynariae Rhizoma (No. 9) exceeded the Chinese limit standard, with the value of 50.0% and 61.1%, respectively. On the contrary, very rare samples were observed with Hg concentrations exceeded the Chinese limit standard, thus only four batches in 720 batches. As mentioned above and shown in Fig. 2, the concentrations of Pb vary from sample to sample. Therefore, the exceedance percentage of Pb was also widespread over the CHMs species with the value from 0% to 67.5%. Considering there were nine species of CHMs exceeded 10%, with the value of 14.1% (102 batches). While the exceedance percentages of Cd, As, Cu, and Hg were only 6.0%, 3.2%, 0.8%, and 0.6%. The results indicated that contamination levels of Pb in the analyzed CHMs were generally higher than Cd, As, Cu, and Hg.

### 3.3. Exceedance number/percentage of heavy metals and harmful elements in CHMs

The concentrations of Cu, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb in 720 batches of the sample representing 20 species of CHMs were compared with the Chinese limit standard. Exceedance numbers and percentages of Cu, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb in the analyzed CHMs were summarized in Table 4. In aggregate, the exceedance percentage of Pb exceeded 10%, with the value of 14.1% (102 batches). While the exceedance percentages of Cd, As, Cu, and Hg were only 6.0%, 3.2%, 0.8%, and 0.6%. The results indicated that contamination levels of Pb in the analyzed CHMs were generally higher than Cd, As, Cu, and Hg. Non-cancer risk assessment based hazard quotient (HQ), which was set by the US Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA, 1992; U.S. EPA, 2019), have also been used for medical plant exposure routes (Li, Wang, Yang, Yu, & Tang, 2018; Wang, Wang, Li, & Li, 2019; Nan et al., 2020), therefore it is also applied in this study. The total HQ was...
the mathematical sum of Cu, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb. HQ values and the total HQs of Cu, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb via consuming of the analyzed CHMs were calculated and listed in Table 5.

Fig. 3A showed that the main components of total HQ were As and Pb. On the whole, the order of the HQ levels of heavy metals in the analyzed CHMs was found to be As > Pb > Cd > Cu > Hg (Fig. 3A). Table 5 showed that Hg and Cu had lower HQ levels with the range of 0.001–0.037 and 0.015–0.074, respectively, indicating their contamination in the CHMs poses a negligible threat to human health. Hg had lower HQs due to their low contamination levels in CHMs. It was worth noting that although the concentrations of Cu were the highest (Fig. 2F), they pose less risk to human health because Cu is one of the elements required by humans and have a relatively larger RfD value (Singh, Gautam, Mishra, Gupta, 2011). And besides, the HQs of Cd were in the range of 0.003–0.201, which indicated it also poses little threat to human health. Pb ranked fourth with the HQ range of 0.004–0.565. Considering it has overall higher concentrations and exceedance percentage (14.1%), the concern should be paid for contamination of Pb in CHMs. Last but not least, As has the highest HQ level with the value from 0.014 to 0.957. Considering the adverse health effects through high As intake, special attention should be paid to As contamination in CHMs. Fig. 3B showed that almost all of the total HQs were less than 1 except Pulsatillae Radix (No. 2) and Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma (No. 18), with the value of 1.543 and 1.235, respectively. The result suggests that consuming raw materials of the two CHMs may cause potential non-carcinogenic concerns.

One point should be noted that these results do not consider the dissolution rates of heavy metal in the decoctions. Considering the transfer rates of Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu in the reference were all less than 35% (Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, & Li, 2019; Zuo et al., 2020), the total HQ of Pulsatillae Radix (No. 2) and Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma (No.18) may be less than 0.5. Therefore, considering the transfer rates of heavy metal in the decoctions, the risk may be much lower.

3.5. Comparison with previous study

The literatures published in recent decades about heavy metal determination and health risk assessment in Chinese medical plants were summarized in Table 6. It showed that although there

---

**Fig. 2.** Concentrations of average concentrations of five elements in 20 species of CHM. Red dashed lines are the Chinese maximum limit (Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition) of Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu in Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma.
has been some research focused on the heavy metal contamination in CHMs, there are still some limitations. Firstly, heavy metal contamination in CHMs did not get much international attention like in food and the environment since there was only a few literatures have been published (Li, Song et al., 2018; Zheng, Wang, Yuan, & Sun, 2020; Rai, Lee, Zhang, Tsang, & Kim, 2019). Secondly, few of the literature took large scale investigation, which would not be enough to reflect the real contamination level of CHMs since heavy metal accumulation source is complex and varies with environment and CHM species. For example, although Harris et al (Harris et al., 2011) covered 126 species of CHM, the average batch of each species was less than 3. The latest research imported 10 245 samples of CHM from various certified pharmaceutical factories and divided them into seventeen subgroups of medicinal parts. Their results show that some types of CHM exhibited a high-degree risk of Pb, Cd, As, and Hg, and the calculated HQ or total HQ of Pb, Cd, As, and Hg in some CHM above 1 (Yang, Chien, Chao, Huang, & Chen, 2021).

In this work, 720 batches of samples representing 20 species of root and rhizome herbs (the average batch of each species is 36) from different sources were collected for comprehensively investigating Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu content. The research results also confirmed that heavy metals and harmful elements content varies with CHM species and consuming some of CHM may pose a potential risk to human health.

4. Conclusion

This work investigated the contamination levels of Pb, Cd, As, Hg, and Cu in 20 species of CHMs with a large scale of samples and the results were used for assessment of potential risks to human health. The results showed that: (1) the concentrations of heavy metals had a wide variation and the order of the concentration levels of heavy metals in the analyzed 20 CHMs was Cu > Pb > As > Cd > Hg; (2) exceedance percentages of Pb in some CHMs was high, which exceeded the maximum limit in the Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma (Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition). The calculated HQ or total HQ of Pb, Cd, As, and Hg in some CHM above 1 (Yang, Chien, Chao, Huang, & Chen, 2021).

Table 4
Exceedance number/percentage of Cu, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb in CHMs compared with maximum limit in Ginseng Radix et Rhizoma (Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition).

| No. of CHMs | Batches | Cu | As | Cd | Hg | Pb |
|-------------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1           | 36      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 2           | 33      | 0 (0) | 5 (15.1%) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.0%) | 11 (33.3%) |
| 3           | 38      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 4           | 40      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 5 (12.5%) |
| 5           | 37      | 0 (0) | 1 (2.7%) | 1 (2.7%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 6           | 36      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (5.6%) |
| 7           | 36      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 18 (50.0%) | 0 (0) | 3 (8.3%) |
| 8           | 35      | 0 (0) | 1 (2.9%) | 2 (5.7%) | 1 (2.9%) | 4 (11.4%) |
| 9           | 36      | 0 (0) | 1 (2.8%) | 22 (61.1%) | 0 (0) | 3 (8.3%) |
| 10          | 17      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 11          | 41      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 4 (9.8%) |
| 12          | 36      | 6 (16.7%) | 8 (22.2%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 13 (36.1%) |
| 13          | 31      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.0%) | 4 (12.9%) |
| 14          | 64      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (3.2%) |
| 15          | 35      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 (5.7%) |
| 16          | 30      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.3%) | 5 (16.7%) |
| 17          | 22      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| 18          | 40      | 0 (0) | 5 (12.5%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 27 (76.5%) |
| 19          | 42      | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 5 (11.9%) |
| 20          | 36      | 0 (0) | 2 (5.6%) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 10 (27.8%) |
| Total       | 720     | 6 (0.8%) | 22 (3.2%) | 43 (6.0%) | 4 (0.6%) | 102 (14.1%) |

Table 5
Hazard quotient (HQ) of Cu, Pb, As, Cd, Hg and their total HQ.

| No. of CHMs | Cu | Pb | As | Cd | Hg | Total HQ |
|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----------|
| 1           | 0.074 | 0.150 | 0.478 | 0.024 | 0.010 | 0.742 |
| 2           | 0.063 | 0.410 | 0.957 | 0.072 | 0.037 | 1.543 |
| 3           | 0.029 | 0.045 | 0.096 | 0.043 | 0.003 | 0.217 |
| 4           | 0.036 | 0.096 | 0.159 | 0.048 | 0.009 | 0.350 |
| 5           | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.159 | 0.072 | 0.006 | 0.283 |
| 6           | 0.036 | 0.109 | 0.239 | 0.024 | 0.004 | 0.413 |
| 7           | 0.023 | 0.090 | 0.144 | 0.172 | 0.002 | 0.432 |
| 8           | 0.045 | 0.109 | 0.372 | 0.048 | 0.011 | 0.588 |
| 9           | 0.015 | 0.090 | 0.287 | 0.201 | 0.014 | 0.608 |
| 10          | 0.024 | 0.004 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.059 |
| 11          | 0.017 | 0.107 | 0.144 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.275 |
| 12          | 0.027 | 0.120 | 0.415 | 0.029 | 0.003 | 0.595 |
| 13          | 0.022 | 0.107 | 0.144 | 0.014 | 0.024 | 0.313 |
| 14          | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.014 | 0.002 | 0.100 |
| 15          | 0.016 | 0.036 | 0.053 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.110 |
| 16          | 0.020 | 0.104 | 0.191 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.331 |
| 17          | 0.043 | 0.016 | 0.144 | 0.029 | 0.003 | 0.235 |
| 18          | 0.031 | 0.565 | 0.585 | 0.048 | 0.004 | 1.235 |
| 19          | 0.015 | 0.096 | 0.196 | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.234 |
| 20          | 0.051 | 0.205 | 0.532 | 0.048 | 0.003 | 0.845 |
20 CHMs were generally far higher than the other four elements; (3) consuming of *Pulsatillae Radix* (total HQ = 1.543) and *Clematidis Radix et Rhizoma* (total HQ = 1.235) may cause potential non-carcinogenic concern based on HQ calculation and the main components of total HQ were As and Pb. It should be specially explained that the results only reflect the heavy metal levels in the analyzed CHMs and cannot be extrapolated to predict all contaminant levels of CHMs. We do hope our findings can offer a reference for future research on the establishment of safeguards to both the Chinese government and other importing countries.

### Table 6
Comparison with previous studies on heavy metal determination and health risk assessment of CHMs.

| CHM species                              | Batches | Average batch | Sample source                  | Heavy metals                  | Risk assessment | Main results                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | References |
|------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 126                                      | 334     | 2.7           | Cultivated and wild           | As, Cd, Cr, Pb, and Hg       | Yes            | 99% of samples are likely to be of negligible concern; more research and monitoring of Cd and Cr are advised                                                                 | Harris et al., 2011 |
| 50                                       | 250     | 5             | Commercial                    | Cu, Cd, As, Pb, and Hg       | No             | heavy metal contents were found at different levels; the level of As did not exceed the Chinese standard, whereas Cu, Cd, Pb, and Hg were above permitted levels in some samples                                                                 | Liu et al., 2013 |
| *Moutan Cortex*                          | 90      | 15            | Six typical sites             | Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, and Zn       | Yes            | HQ in the hillside near the copper tailings were higher; more attention should be paid to the planting area near the mining tailing                                                                 | Li, Wang, Yang, Yu, & Tang, 2018 |
| 60                                       | 60      | 1             | Market in Kunming, Yunnan Province, China | Cu, Cd, Pb, As, Hg, and Zn | Yes            | Cd pollution was relatively high, followed by Hg; HQ results for Cd and Hg showed that the CHMs did not pose a threat to human health. the content of Cd, Pb, and Hg exceeded the limit standards in some CHMs; potential health risks could occur by taking these CHMs     | Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, & Li, 2019 |
| 6                                        | 60      | 10            | Market in Xi'an, Shaanxi, China | Pb, Cd, Hg, and Cu           | Yes            | Cd pollution was relatively high, followed by Hg; HQ results for Cd and Hg showed that the CHMs did not pose a threat to human health. the content of Cd, Pb, and Hg exceeded the limit standards in some CHMs; potential health risks could occur by taking these CHMs     | Nan et al., 2020 |
| 279                                      | 10–245  | 3–181         | Certified pharmaceutical factories in different provinces of China | Pb, Cd, As, and Hg           | Yes            | Five types of CHM (*Cibotii rhizoma* et al.) exhibited high-degree risk of Pb contamination. Three types possessed high-degree risk of As contamination. Six types displayed high-degree risk of Cd contamination. *Tonicodendri resina* has high-degree risk of Hg contamination. Six types may have non-carcinogenic health risks. | Yang et al., 2021 |
| 20                                       | 720     | 36            | Wild, indicated cultivation regions, commercial herbal markets, drugstore, and e-commerce companies | Cu, Cd, As, Pb, and Hg       | Yes            | Exceedance ratios of Pb in the 20 CHMs were 14.1%; consuming raw materials of *Pulsatillae radix* and *Clematidis radix et rhizoma* may pose a potential risk to human health                                                               | This work |
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