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A rare case of heterotopic pregnancy: case report
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ABSTRACT

Heterotopic pregnancy is defined as the coexistence of intrauterine and extrauterine gestation. The incidence is low and estimated to be 1 in 30,000 of spontaneous pregnancies though it is becoming commoner with assisted reproductive technique. It can be a life-threatening condition and can be easily missed with the diagnosis being overlooked. We present a rare case of spontaneous heterotopic pregnancy with intrauterine gestation without cardiac activity and unruptured tubal ectopic.
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INTRODUCTION

Heterotopic pregnancy is a rare complication usually seen in populations at risk for ectopic pregnancy or those undergoing fertility treatments. It is a potentially dangerous condition occurring in only 1 in 30,000 spontaneous pregnancies. With the advent of assisted reproduction techniques (ART) and ovulation induction, the overall incidence of heterotopic pregnancy has risen to approximately 1 in 3,900 pregnancies. Other risk factors include a history of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), tubal damage, pelvic surgery, uterine Mullerian abnormalities, and prior tubal surgery. Fatal condition, rarely occurring in natural conception cycles. Most commonly, heterotopic pregnancy is diagnosed at the time of rupture when surgical management is required. Presentation is vague and 45% of patients have no symptoms. Differential diagnosis: endometritis, incomplete miscarriage, ruptured ovarian cyst, non-GYN cause (i.e., appendicitis or UTI).1

Transvaginal ultrasound is the key to diagnosing heterotopic pregnancy. However, it continues to have a low sensitivity because the diagnosis is often missed or overlooked. Therefore, the diagnosis is often delayed leading to serious consequences. Surgical inter venation plays a key role in the management of heterotopic pregnancy. The goal is to remove the ectopic pregnancy without jeopardizing the intrauterine pregnancy. Laparoscopic salpingectomy is the standard surgical approach of heterotopic pregnancy.2,3 Other management options mentioned in the literature include local injection of potassium chloride, hyperosmolar glucose, or methotrexate into the sac under ultrasound guidance followed by aspiration of the ectopic pregnancy. This paper represents case of heterotopic pregnancy with medical management as well as review of literature.

CASE REPORT

A 23-year-old female, G2P1L1A0 presents with severe abdominal pain. Have positive urine pregnancy test. Her beta HCG was 65726. Ultrasound showed a single intrauterine gestational sac measuring 16 mm with no cardiac activity and with a right tubal unruptured ectopic pregnancy with Gsac13 mm size. It is centrally anechoic with peripheral surrounding ring of tissue. Findings raise the possibility of an associated ectopic pregnancy.4 Patient hemodynamically stable. On per vaginal examination she had right fornixal tenderness present.
On TVS examination single intrauterine 5-weeks size gestational sac and rights sided unruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy present. Prob tenderness present. Patient want termination of pregnancy. So, patient admitted in obstetrics and gynecology ward. Medical management done with injection methotrexate for Tubal ectopic pregnancy and tab. misoprostol and mifepristone for termination of intrauterine pregnancy.

Determined the patient vitally stable. Medical management started with day 1 injection methotrexate (50 micro gm) IM stat and tablet mifepristone (200 mg orally). On day 3 tablet tab misoprostol 400 micro gm sublingually after 4-hour same dose of tab misoprostate repeated. On day 4 injection methotrexate (50 micro gm) stat and tablet misoprostol 400 micro gm sublingually given. vitals monitoring done. Patient was discharged on day 5 on discharge TVS finding: uterus anteverted just bulky with right side unruptured tubal ectopic pregnancy without cardiac activity and decrease Gsac size. On follow up on day 7th after discharge her beta HCG was 386.59.

In this case patient have heterotopic pregnancy and patient is hemodynamically stable with unruptured tubal ectopic. As she wants termination of pregnancy medical management was done.

CONCLUSION

Heterotopic pregnancy is an extremely rare finding; even in those patients with risk factors. clinicians should always keep heterotopic pregnancy in the differential diagnosis in a reproductive patient with abdominal pain and signs or symptoms of ectopic pregnancy. They must be alert to the fact that confirming an intra uterine pregnancy clinically or by ultrasound does not exclude the coexistence o of an ectopic pregnancy. A high index of suspicion in women is needed for early and timely diagnosis, and medical management in vitally stable patients and laparotomy or laparoscopy can result in a favorable successful obstetrical outcome with ruptured ectopic pregnancy with Intrauterine pregnancy.
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