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INTRODUCTION

Although there is no single universally agreed upon definition of cachexia, a recent consensus statement...
states that cachexia is a complex metabolic syndrome associated with underlying illness, and is characterized by the loss of muscle with or without loss of fat mass. Cachexia is seen in many medical conditions, including cancer, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, multiple sclerosis, chronic heart failure, tuberculosis, familial amyloid polyneuropathy, mercury poisoning (acrodynia) and hormonal deficiency[1,2]. Cancer cachexia is characterized by systemic inflammation, negative protein and energy balance, and an involuntary loss of lean body mass, with or without wasting of adipose tissue[3]. Clinically, cachexia is represented by significant weight loss in adults and failure to thrive in children[4], accompanied by alterations in body composition and a disturbed balance of biological systems[5-7]. Whilst the loss of skeletal muscle mass is the most obvious symptom of cancer cachexia, cardiac muscle is also depleted, though muscle of other visceral organs tend to be preserved. Though cachexia is seen in several disease states, the loss of muscle mass has been shown to occur most rapidly in cancer patients[8].

Cancer cachexia is an insidious syndrome that not only has a dramatic impact on patient quality of life, but is also associated with poor responses to chemotherapy and survival[9-11]. Indeed, cachexia occurs in the majority of terminal cancer patients and, according to Warren, is responsible for the death of 22% of cancer patients[12,13].

Current therapies focus on palliation of symptoms and the reduction of distress of patients and families rather than cure[14]. In many cases, cachexia remains a largely underestimated and untreated condition[14,15]. Approximately half of all patients with cancer experience cachexia[16,17], with the prevalence rising as high as 86% in the last 1-2 wk of life[18,19], and with 45% of patients losing more than 10% of their original body weight over the course of their disease progression[19]. Death usually occurs when there is 30% weight loss[5]. The best management strategy of cancer cachexia is to treat the underlying cancer as this will completely reverse the cachexia syndrome. Unfortunately, this remains an infrequent achievement with advanced cancers. A second option could be to counteract weight loss by increasing nutritional intake, but since in the majority of cachectic patients anorexia is only a part of the problem, nutrition as a unimodal therapy has not been able to completely reverse the wasting associated with cachexia.

In this review, we discuss the presentation, mechanisms, and current treatment options for cancer cachexia, including diet and exercise therapy to improve quality of life as well as prognosis for affected patients.

CANCER CACHEXIA AND MALIGNANT INFLAMMATION

Multiple mechanisms are involved in the development of cachexia, including anorexia, decreased physical activity, decreased secretion of host anabolic hormones, and an altered host metabolic response with abnormalities in protein, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism[20]. Due to the complex clinical findings, guidelines for the diagnosis of cachexia have just recently started to appear[21]. Even so, there is great variation in definitions, which presents problems when comparing studies and informing clinical diagnoses[21,22] (Table 1).

One proposed mechanism of cancer cachexia is that it is an integrated physiological response of substrate mobilization driven by inflammation[23]. There is an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokine activity during cancer progression[24,25], and systemic inflammation is a hallmark of cancer cachexia, indicated by the production of acute-phase response (APR) proteins such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen[26,27]. CRP is considered to be an accurate measure of the pro-inflammatory cytokine activity[28] that has been implicated in muscle wasting[29]. The APR is related to the inflammation and weight loss seen in cachexia[30,31] and the reduced quality of life and shortened survival of cachexia patients[10,32-35]. These phenomena increase muscle catabolism and transfer amino acids from muscle anabolism toward the amino acid pool required for APR protein anabolism[36,37]. It has been suggested that eicosanoids also mediate inflammation in cancer cachexia[38-40].

There is considerable evidence that signaling through cytokines and myostatin/activin pathways has a role in cancer cachexia and anorexia[41-43] (Figure 1). Numerous cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, and interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), have been postulated to play a role in the etiology of cancer cachexia[44-62]. The cytokines are transported across the blood-brain barrier where they interact with the luminal surface of brain endothelial cells causing release of substances that affect appetite[53]. Receptors of TNF-α and IL-1 are found in the hypothalamic areas of the brain, which regulate food intake. Anorexia induced by both TNF-α and IL-6 can be blocked by inhibitors of cyclooxygenase, suggesting that a prostaglandin, such as PGE2, may be the direct mediator of appetite suppression[54].

The role of TNF-α in mediating cancer cachexia is supported by evidence that intraperitoneal injection of a soluble recombinant human TNF-receptor antagonist improved food intake and weight gain in tumor-bearing rats[55]. TNF-α increases gluconeogenesis, lipolysis and proteolysis, decreases the synthesis of proteins, lipids and glycogen, induces the formation of IL-1[17], and stimulates the expression of Uncoupling proteins (UCP) 2 and UCP3 in cachetic skeletal muscle[46]. Despite the fact that TNF-α induces the symptoms of cachexia, its inhibition has not been shown to stop or to reverse cancer cachexia[46]. This indicates that though TNF-α may be involved in the development of cachexia, it is not solely responsible for the effects seen in cachectic
A conflicting study showed that IL-1 did not affect food intake or weight loss, suggesting that IL-1 has a local effect on a particular tissue or the exogenous doses of IL-1 must be larger in order to see characteristics of cachexia than weight-stable patients. Concentration levels of IL-6 increase transferrin in cancer patients only. Levels of IL-6 were observed to be higher in cachectic state and inflammatory cell response to tumor cells is the source of many cell types. It has been hypothesized that either tumor cell production is primarily from tumor or host inflammatory cells. It has been hypothesized that either tumor cell production of pro-inflammatory cytokines or the host inflammatory cells is the source of the APR proteins seen in many malignancies and in cachexia.

### Table 1  Cancer cachexia

| Treatment          | Description                  | Physiologic benefit                                      | Possible mechanism                                                                 | Ref.          |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Megestrol acetate  | Active progesterone           | Improves appetite, caloric intake, nutritional status,    | Unknown; possible neuropeptide YY release                                           | [80-92]       |
|                    | derivative                   | quality of life                                          |                                                                                   |               |
| Medroxyprogesterone| Active progesterone derivative| Improves appetite, food intake                           | Decreases serotonin, IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, weight stabilization                       | [93-96]       |
| Ghrelin            | Gastric peptide hormone      | Improves lean + total body mass, hand grip, cardiac    | Growth hormone receptor secretagogue                                               | [105]         |
|                    |                              | function (CHF cachexia only)                             |                                                                                   |               |
| Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol | Cannabisoid  | MIXED                                                    | Possible endorphin receptor activation, Inhibition of prostaglandin, IL-1           | [85,106-110]  |
| Melanocortin antagonists | Adrenocorticotropic hormone antagonist | UNTESTED; prevention of anorexia, loss of lean body mass or basal energy (animal only) | Neuropeptide Y alteration or melanocortin-4 receptor antagonism | [112,113]     |
| Thalidomide        | Immunomodulatory              | Limits weight and lean body mass loss                    | Decreases TNF-α, pro-inflammatory cytokines, nuclear factor kappa B, cyclooxygenase 2, angiogenesis | [124-126]    |
| Etanercept         | Immunomodulatory              | Limits fatigue; improves adjuvant therapy adherence      | Decreases TNF effect                                                              | [127]         |
| Eicosapentaenoic acid/omega-3-fatty acids | Lipid  | MIXED; may improve weight, appetite, quality of life    | Decreases pro-inflammatory cytokines, proteolysis inducing factor                   | [129,130,133,137,140,142,146-152] |
| Rikkun-shito       | Herbal Japanese medicine      | Improves median survival with gemcitabine (pancreatic cancer); improves anorexia, GI dysmotility, muscle wasting, anxiety | Unknown                                                                          | [154,155]     |
| Corticosteroids    | Immunomodulatory              | Improves appetite and quality of life                    | Various mechanisms; Protein and muscle degradation antagonism                       | [156,157]     |
| Formoterol         | β2-adrenergic agonist         | Improves appetite and quality of life                    |                                                                                   | [170]         |
| Erythropoietin     | Glycoprotein hormone          | Improves patient’s metabolic and exercise capacity       | Decreases production of IL-6                                                     | [171-173]     |
| ACE inhibitors     | Heart medications             | Reduce wasting of muscle mass                            | Inhibit TNF-α production                                                           | [174]         |
| β-blockers         | Heart medications             | Preserved body weight, and lean and fat mass, and improved the quality of life | Normalized; Akt phosphorylation                                                   | [175]         |

IL: Interleukin; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

**CATABOLISM**

A number of factors in cancer patients are known to work in isolation. However, since there is limited variation in levels of circulating cytokines, and circulating cytokines are produced by isolated peripheral mononuclear cells, it is speculated that local production in affected tissues is more important and relevant to cachexia than systemic circulation of these factors.

Signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) is a member of the STAT family of proteins. STAT3 function as essential signal transducing effector proteins of cytokine-induced pathways that control the development, proliferation, differentiation, homeostasis of many cell types. STAT3 activation is a common feature of muscle wasting. STAT3 is activated in muscle by IL-6 and by different types of cancer and sterile sepsis. It is not certain whether the cytokine production is primarily from tumor or host inflammatory cells. It has been hypothesized that whether tumor cell production of pro-inflammatory cytokines or the host inflammatory cell response to tumor cells is the source of the APR proteins seen in many malignancies and in cachexia.
About 70% of the total energy expenditure in sedentary people arises from the REE (13%), compared to 2% of the age-matched subjects (80%).

In patients with cachexia, there is an increase in muscle protein catabolism leading to a net loss of muscle mass. This imbalance of protein synthesis and degradation is one of the most obvious aspects of metabolism disruption in cancer cachexia. It has been widely observed that the rate of muscle protein catabolism increases in cachexia, whilst anabolism of new proteins decreases, resulting in net protein breakdown (68-71).

Increased energy expenditure may also contribute to the wasting process. Resting energy expenditure (REE) is increased in the cachetic state, with futile metabolic cycling accounting for much of this increase (72). About 70% of the total energy expenditure in sedentary people arises from the REE (1). The REE in cancer patients is strongly determined by the type of tumor. For example, patients with pancreatic and colorectal cancer had increased REE compared with healthy subjects (73-74). Patients with gastric and colorectal cancer were reported to have no elevation of REE (73), though it seems that these results reflect how close the patients were to death at the time of measurement. In malnourished patients near death there is an increase in REE and in protein catabolism which could relate to the utilization of the last skeletal muscle mass (75).

Although skeletal muscle is the most important site for thermogenesis in the adult human, brown adipose tissue (BAT) is also known to have an important role in cachexia. Non-shivering thermogenesis takes place in BAT, and in a single study using autopsy samples of peri-adrenal tissue examined by light microscopy, BAT was observed in 20 of the cachectic cancer patients (80%) compared to 2 of the age-matched subjects (13%) (76).
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UCPs, related to the regulation of mitochondrial proton gradients and the production of reactive oxygen species in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue, may also play a role in the increased REE observed in cachexia (8). There are three UCPs: UCP1 found only in BAT, UCP2 found in most tissues, and UCP3 found only in BAT and skeletal muscle (77). In particular, the expression of UCP2 and UCP3, associated with energy expenditure and metabolism in skeletal muscle, is upregulated in the cachetic state, indicating involvement of these mechanisms (8). Expression levels of mRNA of UCP1 in BAT were significantly elevated over controls in mice bearing cachexia inducing tumors, while expression levels of UCP2 and -3 did not change in BAT, but were significantly increased in skeletal muscle (78). This may also be applicable to cancer patients, since UCP-3 mRNA levels are increased in muscle only when weight loss is associated with cancer. UCP-2 mRNA levels in muscle seem unaffected by cancer either with or without weight loss (79). The increase in UCP3 mRNA might enhance energy expenditure and contribute to tissue catabolism.

PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT

Megace

Megestrol acetate (MEGACE) and medroxyprogesterone (MPA) are synthetic, orally active derivatives of the naturally occurring hormone, progesterone.

MEGACE was first synthesized in England in 1963. Developed as an oral contraceptive, the agent was first tested in the treatment of breast cancer in 1967 and, was later tested for the treatment of endometrial cancer. MEGACE is currently used to improve appetite and to increase weight in cancer-associated anorexia. From September 1993, MEGACE was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in the United States for the treatment of anorexia, cachexia or unexplained weight loss in patients with AIDS. MEGACE has been found to improve appetite, caloric intake and nutritional status in several clinical trials (80-90). Recently a meta-analysis of 35 trials, comprising 3963 patients, for the effectiveness of MEGACE was conducted (91), demonstrating a benefit of MEGACE compared with placebo, particularly with regard
to appetite improvement and weight gain in cancer. Higher doses were more related to weight improvement than lower doses. Quality of life improvement in patients was seen only when comparing MEGACE vs placebo. The mechanism for the associated weight gain is mostly unknown, although MEGACE may stimulate the synthesis, transport, and release of neuropeptide Y, known to produce appetite-stimulating effects in rats.

MPA has similarly shown to increase appetite and food intake with a stabilization of body weight. There is evidence that high-dose synthetic progestins have effects on both appetite and body weight, the two clinical hallmarks most widely identified in patients with cancer anorexia and cachexia. MPA has been shown to reduce the in vitro production of serotonin and cytokines (IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α) by peripheral blood mononuclear cells of cancer patients. These findings have also been replicated in the clinical setting, with IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α levels in serum reported to be decreased in cancer patients after MEGACE or MPA treatment.

**Ghrelin**

Ghrelin, a 28-amino-acid gastric peptide hormone, was first identified in the rat stomach in 1999 as an endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor. The functions of ghrelin include food intake regulation, gastrointestinal (GI) motility, and acid secretion in the GI tract. Many GI disorders involving infection, inflammation, and malignancy are correlated with altered ghrelin production and secretion.

Circulating levels of ghrelin are noted to be increased when human melanoma cells are implanted in nude mice. In a similar manner, circulating levels of both acyl and des-acyl ghrelin are elevated in cachectic cancer patients with gastric cancer and lung cancer. The levels of acyl-ghrelin are reported to be 50% higher in cancer patients with cachexia. These elevated levels of ghrelin could represent a counter regulatory mechanism to fight anorexia associated with tumor growth, representing an endocrine response to the so-called "ghrelin resistance" found in cancer patients. This is the rationale behind the clinical studies of high dose ghrelin as a treatment to counteract anorexia in cancer.

An experimental study showed that repeated administration of ghrelin improves cardiac structure and function and attenuates the development of cardiac cachexia in chronic heart failure, with ghrelin thought to regulate energy metabolism through growth hormone dependent and growth hormone independent mechanisms. For cancer cachexia, a phase II randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study, using an oral ghrelin mimetic was conducted. This study demonstrated an improvement in lean body mass, total body mass and hand grip strength in cachectic cancer patients.

**Cannabinoids**

Cannabinoids, which are present in marijuana, are a class of diverse chemical compounds that activate cannabinoid receptors on cells that repress neurotransmitter release in the brain. Cannabinoids have a definite effect on weight gain and, bearing this in mind, have been used to increase food intake in cancer patients. The main effective constituent of cannabis is delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), but the mechanism by which cannabinoids exert their effects has yet to be clarified. It has been postulated that they may act via endorphin receptors, through inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis, or by inhibiting IL-1 secretion. Despite high expectations for cannabinoids to be effective against cancer-related anorexia/cachexia syndrome, both of the two separate randomized clinical trials carried out by Jatoi and et al. have failed to show benefit as compared to MEGACE or placebo, respectively.

**Melanocortin antagonists**

The melanocortin-4 (MC4) receptor subtype plays a pivotal role in body weight regulation. Acute and chronic stimulation of MC4 receptors produces anorexia, weight loss, and an increase in metabolic rate, the cardinal features of disease-associated cachexia. Knock-out or antagonism of MC4 receptors in animal models of cachexia protects from anorexia and the loss of both lean and fat body mass, and it is suggested that an MC4 antagonist may be beneficial in wasting diseases, which are poorly treated by available therapies. The MC4 receptor is involved in the anorexigenic cascade leading to a decrease in neuropeptide Y and, therefore, a decrease in food intake. The use of MC4 antagonists has been proven to be effective in preventing anorexia associated with cachexia, loss of lean body mass and basal energy in animal models; however, there is no clinical data at this time. Future clinical trials are needed to prove the efficacy of this antagonist in the treatment of human cachexia.

**Thalidomide and etanercept**

TNF-α, IL-6, and IFN-γ have all been implicated in the pathogenesis of cachexia, and in cachectic tumor bearing murine models treatment with anti-TNF-α, anti-IL-6, and anti-IFN-γ antibodies can attenuate the disease process, although it cannot stop or reverse cancer cachexia. There is also some evidence that cytokines play a role in the pathogenesis of cachexia. It has been suggested that by mimicking the hypothalamic effect of excessive negative feedback signaling from leptin by persistent stimulation of anorexigenic peptides, or by inhibition of the neuropeptide Y pathway, cytokines could induce anorexia. Thus modulating cytokine expression in cancer patients may also affect cancer associated anorexia. Therapeutic strategies have been based on either blocking cytokine synthesis or their action.

Thalidomide (a-N-phthalimidoglutaramide) has complex immune-modulatory and anti-inflammatory properties. It has been shown to down-regulate the production of TNF-α and other pro-inflammatory...
cytokines in monocytes, to inhibit the transcription factor nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB), down-regulate cyclooxygenase 2, and to inhibit angiogenesis. One randomized placebo-controlled trial in patients with cancer cachexia showed that the drug was well-tolerated and effective at attenuating loss of weight and lean body mass in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer.

Etanercept, a soluble p75 tumor necrosis factor receptor: FC (TNFR: FC) fusion protein for plasma cytokines, has been used over the last decade for the treatment of immune-mediated rheumatic diseases. In a clinical pilot study, patients with several advanced malignancies treated with etanercept combined with docetaxel had less fatigue and improved tolerability to anti-tumor treatment, although etanercept alone did not show effects.

**Omega-3-Fatty acids (N-3-FA), eicosapentaenoic acid**

Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) is one of several omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids found abundantly in fish oil. Polyunsaturated fatty acids have been proposed to reduce cachexia-associated tissue wasting as well as tumor growth. EPA down-regulates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in both healthy individuals and patients with cancer. Furthermore, the effects of proteolysis inducing factor, a cachectic factor produced by cancer, are also inhibited by EPA.

Three systematic reviews have been published regarding n-3-FA. Only one of these formulated a weak recommendation of n-3-FA for patients with advanced cancer and weight loss, stating that there was fair evidence to recommend its use (recommendation grade B). The other two reviews found no clear advantages from treatment with n-3-FA. A meta-analysis by Colomer et al. contained 17 trials, and attempted to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of n-3-FA in relieving symptoms associated with the cancer cachexia syndrome. They reported that EPA improved various clinical, biochemical, and quality of life parameters after 8 wk of treatment. Dewey et al. showed that data were insufficient to determine whether oral EPA is better than placebo in their analysis of 5 trials. Comparison of EPA vs MEGACE as an appetite stimulant provided no evidence that EPA improved cachexia-related symptoms.

Mazzotta et al. systematically reviewed several databases including publications until 2006 in order to identify the clinical efficacy of EPA and Docosahexaenoic Acid (DHA), another Omega-3-fatty acid, for the management of cachexia in cancer patients. They analyzed 10 studies and 7 RCTs and found no clear advantage of either EPA or DHA on weight, lean muscle mass, symptoms, quality of life, or survival. Studies that reported statistically significant differences were found to have only a small clinical difference, not enough to justify the use of EPA or DHA alone as a treatment option. However, it does seem clear that multidimensional treatments represent the most useful approach for cachexia in advanced cancer.

Altogether, there is not enough evidence to support a net benefit from n-3-FA in treating cachexia from advanced cancer. On the other hand, adverse effects were infrequent and not severe. More research is needed not only on drugs such as eicosapentaenoic acid or other n-3-FA, but also on multimodal approaches combining drugs and non-drug interventions.

**Herbal medicine (kampo)**

Kampo is the Japanese herbal medical practice, which is an adaptation of traditional Chinese medicine that came to Japan between the 7th and 9th centuries. Kampo has been shown to have significant clinical benefits for cachexia. Fujitsuka et al. reported that Rikkun-shito, a Kampo formula, improved anorexia, gastrointestinal dysmotility, muscle wasting, and anxiety-related behavior. Rikkun-shito improved anorexia-cachexia and prolonged survival of tumor-bearing rats in this study. Moreover, Rikkun-shito significantly prolonged median survival of pancreatic cancer patients with ascites who were treated with gemcitabine. These studies suggest that Rikkun-shito may be useful in clinical practice for cachectic cancer patients. Although the mechanisms of how the herbs demonstrate these effects are unclear and remain to be elucidated, they deserve further studies as new potential therapy agents for cancer treatment.

**Corticosteroids**

Corticosteroids are one of the most widely used appetite stimulants. In randomized controlled studies, they have been shown to improve appetite and quality of life compared with placebo. MEGACE and corticosteroids seem equally effective, although for long-term use, corticosteroids result in more serious adverse effects such as protein breakdown, insulin resistance, water retention, and adrenal suppression. Therefore, corticosteroids are not suitable for long-term use and should be used in a limited fashion, such as during the pre-terminal phase of cachexia.

**Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs**

There are four studies investigating the relationship between non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cancer cachexia. These studies demonstrated improved quality of life, performance status, inflammatory markers, weight gain and survival. Notably these reviews show that side effects of NSAIDs use were not remarkable in these reports that were evaluated.

However, two reports concluded data were insufficient for recommending the widespread use of NSAIDs in practice. This reflection arises from the large heterogeneity observed in terms of study design, number of patients, type of cancer, clinical parameters, definition of effect criteria, and the weakness of the many individual studies.

**β2-adrenergic agonists**

β2-adrenergic agonists are potent muscle growth promoters in many animal species resulting in skeletal muscle hypertrophy, and reduction of the body fat...
The wide variety of physiologic functions controlled by β-adrenergic receptors suggest that the mechanisms underlying effects on carcass composition may be extremely complex.

Formoterol is a long-acting β2 agonist approved for the management of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Formoterol exerts a selective, powerful protective action on heart and skeletal muscle by antagonizing the enhanced protein degradation, which is a characteristic of cancer cachexia. β2-agonists are also proposed to have a protective action against the apoptosis of skeletal muscle. Formoterol may be a potential therapeutic tool in pathologic states.

**Others**

Other drugs that are investigated to be used for cancer cachexia include Erythropoetin, ACE inhibitors, and β-blockers.

**Chemotherapy**

At the present time, cancer cachexia cannot be cured. However, several recent randomized trials using combinations of newer chemotherapy agents have shown promising results. Combination chemotherapy was initially assessed with low-efficacy regimens designed for symptomatic management in the palliative setting until effective regimens were discovered that were found to improve survival in the adjuvant setting. Regimens combining multiple drugs are expected to be successful. In a phase II study, the combined administration of anti-oxidants, pharmaco-nutritional support, progestagen and anti-cyclooxygenase-2 drugs, was shown to be safe and effective for cancer cachexia. Based on those results, an ongoing randomized phase III study began recruiting patients in 2005, with the aim of including more than 300 cachectic cancer patients. Findings to date reinforce the use of multi-modal therapies in the treatment of the cachexia-anorexia syndrome in cancer. Usually the response to therapy is better with early intervention during active adjuvant or palliative cancer therapy, compared to treatment when the patient has progressed to become refractory to anti-cachexia treatment. One of the challenges to undertaking “upfront” randomized trials for cachexia is that the systemic chemotherapy for cancer treatment itself can aggravate weight loss, and for anti-cachexia therapy to show benefit it has to “compete” with chemotherapy.

**Non-pharmacological treatment**

**Dietary treatment**

Since cancer cachexia differs from starvation, at the present time no single modality therapies using traditionally applied nutritional regimens has succeeded in demonstrate any efficacy in improving weight gain, including gain in lean body mass, in patients diagnosed with cancer cachexia. The average calorie deficit in a weight-losing patient is reported to be approximately 200 kcal per day in the setting of advanced cancer and 250-400 kcal/d in those patients with cancer cachexia. An average supplementation of 1 calorie/mL has not been shown to improve the nutritional status of patients receiving chemotherapy.

The average protein intake in patients with cancer cachexia is about 0.7-1.0 g/kg per day. Food energy intake needs to increase by 300-400 kcal per day and protein intake to increase by up to 50% to have an effect on anabolic resistance (recommended intake 1.0-1.5 g/kg per day). The analysis of a randomized trial found that in addition to oral nutritional support, the use of parenteral nutrition resulted in a short (6-8 wk) but significant (P < 0.001), prolongation of survival when nutritional goals were achieved. A meta-analysis of oral nutritional interventions in malnourished patients with cancer suggests that oral nutritional interventions have no effect on survival and that the effect on body weight and energy intake is inconsistent, though statistically significant improvements in some aspects of QOL may be achieved. In this study, nutritional intervention was associated with a significant increase in energy intake (430 kcal per day) and a weight gain of 1.9 kg. There was a beneficial effect on appetite and global quality of life.

**Physical exercise**

Physical exercise has been suggested as a promising countermeasure for preventing cachexia. Unfortunately, only a few studies, in both clinical and experimental settings, have been performed to define the effectiveness of exercise against cachexia.

The rationale for the use of exercise relies on the known dramatic reduction of muscle strength and endurance during cachexia. Since it is also reported that exercise increases insulin sensitivity, protein synthesis rate, and anti-oxidative enzyme activity it may lead to a suppression of the inflammatory response and enhancement of immune function. There is significant evidence that endurance exercise (e.g., a high number of repetitions performed over extended time periods against relatively low resistance) ameliorates cancer-related fatigue. A randomized trial has also reported that, in patients with advanced-stage cancer, exercise is feasible and that although fatigue is not reduced, physical performance is improved significantly. Combination of resistance and aerobic muscle training has been suggested to be incorporated into cachexia treatment programs. Exercise training is able to increase both strength and endurance in healthy conditions, depending on the type of exercise, and moreover, it has been proven to act as an excellent anabolic drive for skeletal muscle in combination with anabolic steroids or other muscle anabolic drugs.

**Future directions**

Additional directions for study in the field of cancer...
REFERENCES

1. Tisdale MJ. Mechanisms of cancer cachexia. Physiol Rev 2009; 89: 381-410 [PMID: 19342610 DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00016.2008].

2. Fearon K, Arends J, Baracos V. Understanding the mechanisms and treatment options in cancer cachexia. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2013; 10: 90-99 [PMID: 23207794 DOI: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2012.209].

3. Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, Bosaesu I, Bruera E, Fainsinger RL, Jatoi A, Loprinzi C, MacDonald N, Mantovani G, Davis M, Muscaritoli M, Ottery F, Radbruch L, Ravasco P, Walsh D, Wilcock A, Kaasa S, Baracos VE. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. Lancet Oncol 2011; 12: 489-495 [PMID: 21296615 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70218-7].

4. Evans WJ, Morley JE, Argilés JM, Bales C, Baracos V, Guttridge D, Jatoi A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Lochs H, Mantovani G, Marks D, Mitch WE, Muscaritoli M, Nand A, Dommerholt P, Rossi Fanelli F, Schambelan M, Schols A, Schuster M, Thomas D, Wolfe R, Anker SD. Cachexia: a new definition. Clin Nutr 2008; 27: 793-799 [PMID: 18718896 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2008.06.013].

5. Tisdale MJ. Cachexia in cancer patients. Nat Rev Cancer 2002; 2: 862-871 [PMID: 12415256 DOI: 10.1038/nrc927].

6. Congleton J. The pulmonary cachexia syndrome: aspects of energy balance. Proc Nutr Soc 1999; 58: 321-328 [PMID: 10466173].

7. von Haehling S, Lainscak M, Springir J, Anker SD. Cardiac cachexia: a systematic overview. Pharmacol Ther 2009; 121: 227-252 [PMID: 19061914 DOI: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2008.09.009].

8. Giordano A, Calvani M, Petillo O, Carteni M, Melone MR, Pusioso G. Skeletal muscle metabolism in physiology and in cancer disease. J Cell Biochem 2003; 90: 170-186 [PMID: 12938166 DOI: 10.1002/jcb.10601].

9. Dewys WD, Begg C, Lavin PT, Band PR, Bennett JM, Bertino JR, Cohen MH, Douglass HO, Engstrom PF, Ezdinli EZ, Horton J, Johnson GJ, Moertel CG, Oken MM, Perlia C, Rosenbaum C, Silverstein MN, Skeel RT, Sponzo RW, Tomney DC. Prognostic effect of weight loss prior to chemotherapy in cancer patients. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Med 1980; 69: 491-497 [PMID: 7424938].

10. Deans C, Wigmore SJ. Systemic inflammation, cachexia and prognosis in patients with cancer. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2005; 8: 265-269 [PMID: 15809528].

11. Tan BH, Fearon KC. Cachexia: prevalence and impact in medicine. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2008, 11: 400-407 [PMID: 18541999 DOI: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e32830ccccc].

12. Warren S. The immediate cause of death in cancer. Am J Med Sci 1932; 184: 610-613.

13. Skipworth RJ, Stewart GD, Dejong CH, Preston T, Fearon KC. Pathophysiology of cancer cachexia: much more than host-tumour interaction? Clin Nutr 2007; 26: 667-676 [PMID: 17507116 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2007.03.011].

14. Hopkinson JB, Wright DN, McDonald JW, Corner JL. The prevalence of concern about weight loss and change in eating habits in people with advanced cancer. J Pain Symptom Manage 2006; 32: 322-331 [PMID: 17000349 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2005.05.002].

15. von Haehling S, Anker SD. Cachexia as a major underestimated and unmet medical need: facts and numbers. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2010; 1: 1-5 [PMID: 21475699 DOI: 10.1007/s13539-010-0002-6].

16. Diffe GE, Kalfas K, Al-Majid S, McCarthy DO. Altered expression of skeletal muscle myosin isoforms in cancer cachexia. Am J Physiol-Cell Ph 2002; 283: C1376-C1382 [PMID: 12372798 DOI: 10.1152/ajpcell.00154.2002].

17. Tijerina AJ. The biochemical basis of metabolism in cancer cachexia. Dimens Crit Care Nurs 2004; 23: 237-243 [PMID: 15586034].

18. Teunissen SC, Wesker W, Kruitwagen C, de Haes HC, Voest E, de Graeff A. Symptom prevalence in patients with incurable cancer: a systematic review. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007; 34: 94-104 [PMID: 17590812 DOI: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2006.01.015].

19. Argilés JM. Cancer-associated malnutrition. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2005; 9 Suppl 2: S39-S50 [PMID: 16437757 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejon.2005.09.006].

20. Mantovani G, Malededu C. Cancer cachexia: medical management. Support Care Cancer 2010; 18: 1-9 [PMID: 18968225 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-009-0722-3].

21. Springer J, von Haehling S, Anker SD. The need for a standardized definition for cachexia in chronic illness. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 2006; 2: 416-417 [PMID: 16932326 DOI: 10.1038/npenmet0247].

22. Lainscak M, Filipatios GS, Gheorghiu M, Fanarow GC, Anker SD. Cachexia: Common, deadly, with an urgent need for precise definition and new therapies. Am J Cardiol 2008; 101: 8E-10E [PMID: 18514632 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.02.065].

23. Straub RH, Cutolo M, Buttigere F, Pongratz G. Energy regulation and neuroendocrine-immune control in chronic inflammatory diseases. J Intern Med 2010; 267: 543-560 [PMID: 20210843 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2010.02218.x].

24. Argilés JM, Busquets S, Toledo M, López-Soriano FJ. The role of cytokines in cancer cachexia. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care 2009; 3: 263-268 [PMID: 19713854 DOI: 10.1097/SPC.0b013e3282331d09].

25. MacDonald N, Easson AM, Mazurak VC, Daro GP, Baracos VE. Understanding and managing cancer cachexia. J Am Coll Surg 2003; 197: 143-161 [PMID: 12831935 DOI: 10.1016/S1072-7555(03)00382-X].

26. Blum D, Omlin A, Baracos VE, Solheim TS, Tan BH, Stone P, Kaasa S, Fearon K, Strasser F. Cancer cachexia: a systematic literature review of items and domains associated with involuntary weight loss in cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2011; 80: 114-144 [PMID: 21216616 DOI: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2010.10.004].

27. Deans DA, Tan BH, Wigmore SJ, Ross JA, de Beaus AC, Paterson-Brown S, Fearon KC. The influence of systemic inflammation, dietary intake and stage of disease on rate of weight loss in patients with gastro-esophageal cancer. Br J Cancer 2009; 100: 63-69 [PMID: 19127266 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604828].

28. Fearon KC, Barber MD, Falconer JS, McMillan DC, Ross JA, Preston T. Pancreatic cancer as a model: inflammatory mediators, acute-phase response, and cancer cachexia. World J Surg 1999; 23: 584-588 [PMID: 10227928].

29. Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM, Tennent GA, Gallimore JR, Kahan MC, Bellotti V, Hawkins PN, Myers RM, Smith MD, Polara A, Cobb AJ, Levy SV, Aquilina JA, Robinson CV, Sharif I, Gray GA,
Sabin CA, Jenvey MC, Kolsto SE, Thompson D, Wood SP. Targeting C-reactive protein for the treatment of cardiovascular disease. *Nature* 2006; 440: 1217-1221 [PMID: 16642000 DOI: 10.1038/nature46722]

30 Staal-van den Brekel AJ, Dentener MA, Schols AM, Buurman WA, Wouters EF. Increased resting energy expenditure and weight loss are related to a systemic inflammatory response in lung cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 1995; 13: 2600-2605 [PMID: 7595713]

31 Scott HR, McMillan DC, Crilly A, McArdele CS, Milroy R. The relationship between weight loss and interleukin 6 in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1996; 75: 1566-1570 [PMID: 8664130]

32 Blay J, Negrier S, Combeart V, Attali S, Guilhot E, Merrouche Y, Mercattello A, Ravault A, Tourani JM, Moskovitchenko JF. Serum level of interleukin 6 as a prognosis factor in metastatic rectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1992; 52: 3317-3322 [PMID: 1598980]

33 Falconer JS, Fearon KC, Ross JA, Elton R, Wigmore SJ, Garden OJ, Carter DC. Acute-phase protein response and survival duration of patients with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 1995; 75: 2077-2082 [PMID: 7553184]

34 O’Gorman P, McMillan DC, McArdele CS. Impact of weight loss, appetite, and the inflammatory response on quality of life in gastro-intestinal tract cancer patients. *Nutr Cancer* 1998; 32: 76-80 [PMID: 9919615 DOI: 10.1080/01635589809514722]

35 Barber MD, Ross JA, Fearon KC. Changes in nutritional, functional, and inflammatory markers in advanced pancreatic cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 1995; 35: 106-110 [PMID: 10693162 DOI: 10.1207/S15327914NC3502_2]

36 Reeds PJ, Fjeld CR, Jahoor F. Do the differences between the amino acid compositions of acute-phase and muscle proteins have a bearing on nitrogen loss in traumatic states? *J Nutr* 1994; 124: 906-910 [PMID: 7515956]

37 Barber MD, Fearon KC, McMillan DC, Slater C, Ross JA, Preston T. Liver protein synthetic rates are increased by oral meal feeding in weight-loss cancer patients. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2000; 279: E707-E714 [PMID: 10958040]

38 Ross JA, Fearon KC. Eicosanoid-dependent cancer cachexia and wasting. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2002; 5: 241-248 [PMID: 11953648]

39 Tisdale MJ. The ‘cancer cachectic factor’. *Support Care Cancer* 2003; 11: 73-78 [PMID: 12569034 DOI: 10.1007/s00520-002-0040-6]

40 Baracos VE, Mazurak VC, Ma DW. n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids in clinical cancer cachexia. *Cell Metab* 2010; 11: 168-176 [PMID: 1988880]

41 Zhou X, Wang JI, Lu J, Song Y, Kwak KS, Jiao Q, Rosenfeld R, Chen Q, Boone T, Simonet WS, Lacey DL, Goldberg AL, Han HQ. Reversal of cancer cachexia and muscle wasting by AcrRIBB antagonism leads to prolonged survival. *Cell* 2010; 142: 531-543 [PMID: 20727355 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.07.011]

42 Benny Klimiek ME, Aydogdu T, Link MJ, Pons M, Koniaris LG, Zimmers TA. Acute inhibition of myostatin-family proteins throughout the cancer trajectory: influence on disease incidence, appetite, and the inflammatory response on quality of life in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 2000; 88: 1217-1221 [PMID: 11962246 DOI: 10.1007/s10565-000-2172-2]

43 Murphy KT, Chee A, Gleeson BG, Naim T, Swiderski K, Koopman R, Lynch GS. Antibody-directed myostatin inhibition enhances muscle mass and function in tumor-bearing mice. *Am J Pathol* 2011; 179: 177-192 [PMID: 21097925 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2010.12.002]

44 Fearon KC, Glass DJ. Cancer cachexia: mediators, mechanisms and clinical implications. *Cancers (Basel)* 2014; 6: 1986-2011 [PMID: 25268165 DOI: 10.3390/cancers6040186]

45 Bonetto A, Aydogdu T, Jin X, Zhang Z, Ruan R, Puzis L, Koniaris LG, Zimmers TA. JAK/STAT3 pathway inhibition blocks skeletal muscle wasting downstream of IL-6 and in experimental cancer cachexia. *Cancer Res* 2006; 66: 1217-1221 [PMID: 16982424 DOI: 10.1152/ajpendo.00396.2005]

46 Donohoe CL, Ryan AM, Reynolds JV. Cancer cachexia: mechanisms and clinical implications. *AIDS Rev* 2009; 11: 69-78 [PMID: 19247357 DOI: 10.1179/204084090985286886]

47 Spitzer M, Ebrar R, Wolff HA, Ghardini BM, Wijenand J, Grade M. STAT3: A Novel Molecular Mediator of Resistance to Chemoradiotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)* 2014; 6: 1986-2011 [PMID: 25268165 DOI: 10.3390/cancers6040186]

48 Molev JF, Aamodt R, Liptak R, Hay W, Norton JA. Body mass in cancer-bearing and anorectic patients. *JPN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1987; 11: 219-222 [PMID: 3474427]
of cachexia due to cancer on whole body and skeletal muscle protein turnover. Cancer 1998; 82: 42-48 [PMID: 9428478].

Tisdale MJ. Loss of skeletal muscle in cancer: biochemical mechanisms. Front Biosci 2001; 6: D164-D174 [PMID: 11171557].

Acharyya S, Ladner KJ, Nelson LL, Dantrauer J, Reiser PJ, Swoap S, Guttridge DC. Cancer cachexia is regulated by selective targeting of skeletal muscle gene products. J Clin Invest 2004; 114: 370-378 [PMID: 15286803 DOI: 10.1172/JCI20174].

Vaughan VC, Martin P, Lewandowski PA. Cancer cachexia: impact, mechanisms and emerging treatments. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2003; 129: 219-226 [PMID: 12535993 DOI: 0.1007/s00233-002-0067-1].

Fredricks EW, Soeters PB, Wouters EF, Deerenberg JM, von Meyenfeldt MF, Saris WH. Effect of different tumor types on resting energy expenditure. Cancer Res 1991; 51: 6136-6141 [PMID: 1657379].

Falconer JS, Fearon KC, Plester CE, Ross JA, Carter DC. Cytoines, the acute-phase response, and resting energy expenditure in cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer. Ann Surg 1994; 219: 325-331 [PMID: 7512810].

Rigaud D, Hassil J, Meulemans A, Poupard AT, Boulier A. A paradoxical increase in resting energy expenditure in malnourished patients near death: the king penguin syndrome. Am J Clin Nutr 2000; 72: 355-360 [PMID: 10919927].

Shellock FG, Riedinger MS, Fishbein MC. Brown adipose tissue in cancer patients: possible cause of cancer-induced cachexia. J Canc Res Clin Oncol 1986; 111: 82-85 [PMID: 3949854].

Quailliotine-Mann D, Agwu DE, Ellenburg MD, McCall CE, Qualliotine-Mann D. Megestrol acetate stimulates food and water intake in the rat: effects on regional hypothalamic neuropeptide Y concentrations. Eur J Pharmacol 1995; 268 (Pt 2): 291-303 [PMID: 8558646].

Kojima M, Hosoda H, Date Y, Nakazato M, Matsuoh K, Kangawa K. Ghrelin is a growth-hormone-releasing acylated peptide from stomach. Nature 1999; 402: 656-660 [PMID: 10604470 DOI: 10.1038/45230].

Costa AM, Spence KT, Plata-Salamán CR, ffrench-Mullen JM. Residual Ca2+ channel current modulation by megestrol acetate via a G-protein alpha s-subunit in rat hypothalamic neurons. J Physiol 1995; 487 (Pt 1): 291-303 [PMID: 8558646].

Kojima M, Hosoda H, Date Y, Nakazato M, Matsuoh K, Kangawa K. Ghrelin is a growth-hormone-releasing acylated peptide from stomach. Nature 1999; 402: 656-660 [PMID: 10604470 DOI: 10.1038/45230].

Cheung CK, Wu JC. Role of ghrelin in the pathophysiology of gastrointestinal disease. Gut Liver 2013; 7: 505-512 [PMID: 24073306 DOI: 10.5009/gnl.2013.7.5.505].

Hanada T, Toshimi K, Date Y, Kajimura N, Tsukada T, Hayashi Y, Kangawa K, Nakazato M. Upregulation of ghrelin expression in cancer of the digestive organs. Cancer Res 2004; 63: 459-465 [PMID: 14618187].

Kerem M, Ferahkose Z, Yilmaz UT, Pasagolu H, Ofluoglu E, Bedirli A, Salman B, Sahin TT, Akin M. Adipokines and ghrelin in gastric cancer patients. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 3633-3641 [PMID: 18595130].

Takahashi M, Terashima M, Takagane A, Oyama K, Fujihara W, Wakabayashi G. Ghrelin and leptin levels in cachectic patients with cancer of the digestive organs. Int J Clin Oncol 2009; 14: 315-320 [PMID: 19705240 DOI: 10.1007/s10147-008-0856-1].

Shimizu Y, Nagaya N, Isobe T, Imazu M, Okumura H, Hosoda H, Kojima M, Kangawa K, Kohno N. Increased plasma ghrelin level in lung cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9: 774-778 [PMID: 12576449].

Karapanagiotou EM, Polyzos A, Dilana KD, Gratsias I, Boura P, Gkiosos I, Syrigos KN. Increased serum levels of ghrelin at diagnostics mediate body weight loss in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. Lung Cancer 2009; 66: 393-398 [PMID: 19928206 DOI: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2009.02.006].

Garcia JM, Garcia-Touza M, Hijazi RA, Taffet G, Epner D, Mann D, Smith RG, Cunningham GR, Marcelli M. Active ghrelin levels and active to total ghrelin ratio in cancer-induced cachexia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005; 90: 2920-2926 [PMID: 15713718 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2004-1788].

Nagaya N, Kojima M, Kangawa K. Ghrelin, a novel growth hor-
mone-releasing peptide, in the treatment of cardiopulmonary-associated cachexia. *Intern Med* 2006; 45: 127-134 [PMID: 16508225]

106 **Inui A.** Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome: current issues in research and management. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2002; 52: 72-91 [PMID: 11929007]

107 **Gorter RW.** Cancer cachexia and cannabinoids. *Forsch Komplemen
tarmedart* 1999; 6 Suppl 3: 21-22 [PMID: 10575285]

108 **Mitchelson F.** Pharmacological agents affecting emesis. A review (Part II). *Drugs* 1992; 43: 443-463 [PMID: 1377113]

109 **Jatoi A, Windschitl HE, Loprinzi CL, Sloan JA, Dakhl SR, Mail
liaard JA, Purandekwa S, Kardinal CG, Fitch TR, Kroek JE, Novotny PJ, Christensen B. Dronabinol versus megestrol acetate versus combination therapy for cancer-associated anorexia: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; 20: 567-573 [PMID: 11786587]

110 **Strasser F, Luften D, Possinger K, Ernst G, Ruhtaller T, Meissner W, Ko YD, Schnelle M, Reif M, Cerny T. Comparison of orally administered cannabis extract and delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in treating patients with cancer-related anorexia-cachexia syndrome: a multicenter, phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial from the Cannabis-in-Cachexia-Study Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; 24: 3394-3400 [PMID: 16849753 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.05.1847]

111 **Marks DL, Butler AA, Turner R, Brookhart G, Cone RD. Differential role of melanocortin receptor subtypes in cachexia. *Endo
crinology* 2003; 144: 1513-1523 [PMID: 12639936 DOI: 10.1210/en.2002-22109]

112 **Scarlatt JM, Marks DL.** The use of melanocortin antagonists in cachexia of chronic disease. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2005; 14: 1233-1239 [PMID: 16185165 DOI: 10.1517/13543784.14.10.1233]

113 **DeBoer MD, Marks DL.** Therapy insight: Use of melanocortin antagonists in the treatment of cachexia in chronic disease. *Nat Pract Endocr Nutr Metab* 2006; 2: 459-466 [PMID: 16932335 DOI: 10.1038/ncpenmet0221]

114 **Offen A, Defeo-Jones D, Beyer M, Martinez D, Kiefer D, Vuocolo G, Wölfe A, Socher SH. Tumors secreting human TNF/cachectin induce cachexia in mice. *Cell* 1987; 50: 555-563 [PMID: 3607879]

115 **Langstein HN, Doherty GM, Fraker DL, Bu
er CM, Norton JA. The roles of gamma-interferon and tumor necrosis factor alpha in cachexia of chronic disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85: 1743-1747 [PMID: 8412588]

116 **Colomer R, Moreno-Nogueira JM, García-Luna PP, García-Péris P, García-de-Lorenzo A, Zarazaga A, Quecedo L, del Llano J, Usán L, Casimiro C. N-3 fatty acids, cancer and cachexia: a systematic review of the literature. *Br J Nutr* 2007; 97: 823-831 [PMID: 17408522 DOI: 10.1017/S000711450765795X]

117 **Moses AW, Slater C, Preston T, Barber MD, Fearon KC.** Reduced total energy expenditure and physical activity in cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer can be modulated by an energy and protein dense oral supplement enriched with n-3 fatty acids. *Br J Cancer* 2004; 90: 996-1002 [PMID: 14997196 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601620]

118 **Barber MD, Ross JA, Preston T, Shenkin A, Fearon KC.** Fish oil-enriched nutritional supplement attenuates progression of the acute-phase response in weight-losing patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *J Nutr* 1999; 129: 1120-1125 [PMID: 10336075]

119 **Barber MD, Ross JA, Voss AG, Tisdale MJ, Fearon KC.** The effect of an oral nutritional supplement enriched with fish oil on weight-loss in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1999; 81: 80-86 [PMID: 10487616 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6609654]

120 **Barber MD, McMillan DC, Preston T, Ross JA, Fearon KC.** Metabolic response to feeding in weight-losing pancreatic cancer patients and its modulation by a fish-oil-enriched nutritional supplement. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2000; 98: 389-399 [PMID: 10731472]

121 **Barber MD, Fearon KC.** Tolerance and incorporation of a high-dose eicosapentaenoic acid diester emulsion by patients with pancreatic cancer. *Lipids* 2001; 36: 347-351 [PMID: 11383684]

122 **Bruera E, Strasser F, Palmer JL, Willey J, Calder K, Amoyette G, Baracos V.** Effect of fish oil on appetite and other symptoms in patients with advanced cancer and anorexia/cachexia: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; 21: 129-134 [PMID: 12506181]

123 **Burns CP, Halabi S, Clannon GH, Hars V, Wagner BA, Hohl RJ, Lerner E, Kirshner JJ, Vinciguerra V, Paskett E.** Phase I clinical study of fish oil fatty acid capsules for patients with cancer cachexia: cancer and leukemia group B study 9473. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999; 5: 3942-3947 [PMID: 10632323]

124 **Burns CP, Halabi S, Clannon G, Kaplan E, Hohl RJ, Atkins JN, Schwartz MA, Wagner BA, Paskett E.** Phase II study of high-dose fish oil capsules for patients with cancer-related cachexia. *Cancer* 2004; 101: 370-378 [PMID: 15241836 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.20362]
Aoyagi T et al. Update in cancer cachexia

10.1016/j.ejca.2010.11.018

1. Introduction

2. Cachexia in Cancer Patients

3. Nutritional Management of Cachexia

4. Therapeutic Approaches to Cachexia

5. Conclusion

References

WGOJ | www.wjgnet.com

April 15, 2015 | Volume 7 | Issue 4 |
ventions in malnourished patients with cancer: a systematic review
Baldwin C

Aerythropoietin treatment for patients with malignant disease: Efficacy nutritional intervention in addition to cyclooxygenase and
Lundholm K

and neck cancer patients: part I. Diagnosis, impact on quality of life
Hayes DN, Zeisel S, Shores C. Cancer cachexia syndrome in head
Couch M

cachexia: traditional therapies and novel molecular mechanism-
Hastings S, Exterman M, Balducci L, Dalton K, Bepler G. Cancer
Kumar NB

sensitization and oxidative stress.
Macciò A, Madeddu C, Gramignano G, Lusso Mantovani G

218-226 [PMID: 22122893 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejcc.2011.10.001]

Kanzaki M, Soda K, Gin PT, Kai T, Konisid F, Kawakami M. Erythropoetin attenuates catabolic events and decreases production of interleukin-6, a cachexia-inducing cytokine. *Cytokine* 2005; 32: 234-239 [PMID: 16338141 DOI: 10.1016/j.cyto.2005.10.002]

Sanders PM, Russell ST, Tisdale MJ. Angiotensin II directly induces muscle protein catabolism through the ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway and may play a role in cancer cachexia. *Br J Cancer* 2005; 93: 425-434 [PMID: 16052213 DOI: 10.1038/sj bj.6602725]

Springer J, Tschirmer A, Haghkiia A, von Haehling S, Lal H, Grzesiak A, Kaschina E, Palus S, Pötsch M, von Websky K, Hocher B, Latouche C, Jaisser F, Morawietz L, Coats AJ, Beadle J, Argiles JM, M, Kopp-Schneider A, Essig M, Bachert P, Kauczor HU, Hildebrandt W. Morphology, metabolism, microcirculation, and strength of skeletal muscles in cancer-related cachexia. *Acta Oncol* 2009; 48: 116-124 [PMID: 18607877 DOI: 10.1080/02841860802130001]

Aulinio P, Berardi E, Cardillo VM, Rizzuto E, Periconi B, Rannia C, Padula F, Spugnini EP, Baldi A, Faiola F, Adamo S, Coletti D. Molecular, cellular and physiological characterization of the cancer cachexia-inducing C26 colon carcinoma in mouse. *BM C Cancer* 2010; 10: 363 [PMID: 20615237 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-10-363]

Radbuch L, Elnser F, Trottenberg P, Strasser F, Kearon C. Clinical practice guidelines on cancer cachexia in advanced cancer patients. Aachen: Department of Palliative Medicine/ European Palliative Care Research Collaborative, 2010

Ardies CM. Exercise, cachexia, and cancer therapy: a molecular rationale. *Nutr Cancer* 2002; 42: 143-157 [PMID: 12416253 DOI: 10.1207/s15327914nc422_1]

al-Majid S, DO. Cancer-induced fatigue and skeletal muscle wasting: the role of exercise. *Biol Res Nurs* 2001; 2: 186-197 [PMID: 11547540]

Oldervoll LM. Loge JH, Lydersen S, Paltiel H, Asp MB, Nygaard UV, Oredalen E, Frantzen TL, Hjermstad MJ, Haugen DF, Paulsen Ø, Kaasa S. Physical exercise for cancer patients with advanced disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Onco logist* 2011; 16: 1649-1657 [PMID: 21948693 DOI: 10.1634/the-oncologist.2011-0133]

Argilés JM. Busquets S, López-Soriano FJ, Costelli P, Penna F. Are there any benefits of exercise training in cancer cachexia? *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2012; 138: 73-76 [PMID: 22565649 DOI: 10.1007/s13539-012-0067-5]

Asgaard P. Making muscles "stronger": exercise, nutrition, drugs. *J Musculoskeletal Neuronal Interact* 2004; 4: 165-174 [PMID: 15615199]

Bossola M, Muscaritoli M, Costelli P, Grieco G, Bonelli G, Pacelli F, Fanelli FR, Dogliotto GB, Baccino FM. Increased muscle protein turnover activity correlates with disease severity in gastric cancer patients. *Ann Surg* 2003; 237: 384-389 [PMID: 10.1097/00000658-20030300-00013]

P- Reviewer: Chai J, Kralij M, Toth EA S-Editor: Qi Y L- Editor: A E- Editor: Lu YJ
