Early Enteral Nutrition Can Reduce Incidence of Postoperative Hydrocephalus in Patients with Severe Hypertensive Intracerebral Hemorrhage
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Background: Hydrocephalus secondary to hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage (HICH) dramatically affects the prognosis. Early enteral nutrition (EN) is beneficial to severe HICH patients, but the impact of early EN administration on hydrocephalus remains unknown. This study aimed to explore the predictors for hydrocephalus occurrence after HICH, with special focus on the effect of early EN application.

Material/Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 146 patients with severe HICH who underwent microsurgery between January 2014 and October 2019 in our department. Patients were divided into early EN (≤48 h) and delayed EN (>48 h) group according to the time-point of EN administration. The diagnosis of hydrocephalus was confirmed by both radiological evaluation and an Evan index method. Diagnosis confirmed within 2 weeks after HICH was identified as acute hydrocephalus, otherwise, it was considered as chronic hydrocephalus.

Results: Twenty-seven patients experienced acute hydrocephalus, while 20 patients developed chronic hydrocephalus. Low preoperative Glasgow coma scale (GCS), subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), delayed EN administration, high levels of postoperative white blood cell, neutrophil, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, C-reactive protein (CRP), and lactate dehydrogenase were positively related to the occurrence of chronic hydrocephalus (p<0.05), while only IVH was correlated with acute hydrocephalus occurrence (p<0.05). In addition, a multivariate analysis demonstrated that preoperative GCS, SAH, IVH, and early EN administration (p<0.05) were independent predictors for chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.

Conclusions: Early EN administration, SAH, IVH, and preoperative GCS were associated with the occurrence of chronic hydrocephalus in severe HICH patients. Early EN administration may inhibit the inflammatory response of brain-gut axis, which in turn reduces chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.
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Background

Hypertensive intracranial hemorrhage (HICH) is a severe complication of primary hypertension, which raises many problems, not only patient related to prognosis but also to medical care and public health burdens [1-3]. Previous studies have indicated that 58% of patients with intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) survived less than 1 year, while 2/3 of the survivors had severe disabilities [4]. Secondary hydrocephalus is one of the most common complications of HICH, and is positively associated with patient poor prognosis [5]. Although cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) shunt surgery for hydrocephalus exerts a good curative effect in most patients, various complicated relations, such as intracranial infection, blockage of shunt canal, and slit ventricle syndrome, can be disastrous [6]. Increasing data demonstrate that various factors are related to the incidence of secondary hydrocephalus, including age, Glasgow coma scale (GCS), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), decompressive craniectomy (DC) and inflammation [7-10]. Targeting these factors reduced the prevalence of secondary hydrocephalus in many patients. For instance, the CSF replacement can decrease hydrocephalus occurrence, while reducing bone flap area of DC most likely has the same effect [11]. Inflammatory response plays a pivotal role in regulation of CSF secretion, circulation, and absorption, which was widely investigated recently [8]. Preclinical experiments revealed that inflammatory factors, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and tumor growth factor β (TGF-β) in CSF and in peripheral blood, were associated with hydrocephalus [8,12]. More intriguingly, clinical application of dexamethasone in subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) patients inhibited the inflammatory response and was associated with a lower incidence of hydrocephalus [13]. These exciting clinical findings suggest that inhibition of inflammation can decrease hydrocephalus occurrence, which is important for developing novel treatment strategies for HICH patients.

Patients with HICH always experience chronic consciousness disturbance, which leads to multiple complications such as hypostatic pneumonia, deep venous thrombosis, and multiple organ dysfunctions. Moreover, HICH patients can develop a high catabolism, which results in obvious weight loss and insufficient nutrition supply [14]. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that nutrition support plays crucial roles in critical ill patients, especially in severe neurological patients. Enteral nutrition (EN) administration is considered as an optimal feeding approach for patients without gastrointestinal dysfunction [15]. EN administration not only provides enough nutrition, but also improves the protein and energy intake though prevention of stress to the gastrointestinal tract [16]. Additionally, application of EN potentially decreases bacterial translocation, reduces inflammatory response, and even regulates brain function through a brain-gut axis [17-19]. EN can markedly relieve the perioperative inflammatory responses, improve immunity, and maintain intestinal flora structure [20]. Some encouraging studies even showed that early application of EN within 48 h after injury could achieve a better curative effect [21]. In traumatic brain injury patients, increased caloric supply was associated with a decline in mortality and inhospital complications [22].

However, whether application of early EN influences the hydrocephalus occurrence is unclear. To this end, our current retrospective study aimed to investigate the potential roles of early EN on hydrocephalus occurrence in severe HICH patients and to discover the underlying mechanism.

Material and Methods

Patient Population and Study Design

We retrospectively reviewed the clinical data of patients with acute ICH who underwent surgical treatments in our department between January 2014 and October 2019.

The inclusion criteria were: (1) age ≥18 years; (2) ICH was identified by CT scan in 24 h after onset; (3) Patients had hypertension history or with hypertension in 3 separate sphygmomanometer measurements during the admission period (systolic pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic pressure ≥90 mmHg) combined with evidence of end-stage organ injury [23]; (4) Patients in coma (GCS score ≤8), midline shift, large hematomas, or patients with refractory intracranial pressure received DC. Patients with a hematoma diameter ≥2 cm and GCS ≤5 require hematoma removal, and patients with acute hydrocephalus due to IVH or large intraparenchymal hematomas with mass effect associated with impaired level of consciousness (ie, GCS ≤8) may require the urgent placement of an EVD, all these operations were performed by experienced neurosurgeons [24,25], and EN administration in neurosurgical intensive unit for at least 2 weeks; and (5) GCS ≤12 postoperatively.

The exclusion criteria were: (1) ICH secondary to cerebral trauma, intracranial tumor, specific cerebrovascular diseases (intracranial ruptured aneurysms, arteriovenous malformations and moyamoya disease), abnormal brain structures or administration of anticoagulant drug; (2) EN administration was started at the time-point >7 days postoperatively; (3) Patients were identified as intracranial infection during hospitalization; and (4) Lost to follow-up or died. Patients enrolled in this study were treated in our neurosurgical intensive unit for at least 2 weeks with optimal therapy after the operation, and the nutrition therapy was adjusted daily according to the monitoring of nutritional status and metabolic requirement in each patient, especially for standard EN treatment.
The follow-up was performed from discharge to 3 months postoperatively by outpatient visit or by internet video interview. In addition, we collected blood specimens for laboratory examination from each patient at admission, every 2-3 days 2 weeks postoperatively. Extra blood tests were performed individually according to state of the illness. The values of each laboratory results were evaluated as the extremum.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analysis were performed by SPSS23.0 software. Normal testing was performed for all data before comparative analysis. The independent t test was used for data conforming to normal distribution and are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD). Nonnormally distributed data were tested by nonparametric tests and are presented as median (inter-quartile range) [M (p25, p75)]. Categorical variables were presented as percentages and were compared using the chi-square test. In addition, factors with statistically significant differences in univariate analysis were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis for analyzing the prognostic factors of hydrocephalus. A P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
Results

Initial screening of clinical records identified 228 cases who met the inclusion criteria. Of them, 82 patients were excluded due to the exclusion criteria. As a result, a total of 146 patients with severe HICH were enrolled in the present study. The clinical characteristics of patients are summarized and compared in Tables 1 and 2. There were 87 males (59.6%) and 59 females (40.4%), with an average age of 51.7±11.4 years. The average preoperative GCS score was 6.2 (5-8); 103 patients (70.5%) underwent surgical treatment for hematoma removal, while 45 patients (34.9%) received external EVD, and 28 patients (15.0%) also received DC. Intracranial hematomas were located in various lobes in 36 patients (24.6%), basal ganglia in 81 patients (55.5%), thalamus in 15 patients (10.3%), and cerebellum in 14 patients (9.6%). Moreover, IVH was observed in 84 patients (57.5%) while SAH was found in 25 patients (17.1%). The initial nutritional status was evaluated at admission. BMI in patients was calculated as 24.1±3.5 Kg/m²; mid-arm muscle circumference was 30.1±4.5 cm, and the level of serum albumin was 42.4(39.3-47.4) g/L. The mean postoperative GCS score was 10.4 (7-14), and mRS score was 4.2±0.4. Fifty-one patients (34.9%) received early EN treatment, while 95 patients (65.1%) underwent delayed EN application, and 87 patients (59.6%) received supported parenteral nutrition (PN) administration. To assess whether EN administration achieved the treatment goals, the nutritional status was evaluated at 2 weeks after the operation and described as follows: BMI 23.0±3.0 Kg/m²; mid-arm muscle circumference was 28.6±3.9 cm and the level of serum albumin was 33.6±4.9 g/L. Moreover, 27 patients (18.5%) experienced acute hydrocephalus, while 20 patients (13.7%) developed chronic hydrocephalus, and 12 patients (8.2%) had VP shunt surgery.

Clinical variables such as sex, age, nutritional status at admission and at 2 weeks postoperatively, hematoma location, hematoma volume, different surgical treatment, duration from onset to surgery, and postoperative pulmonary infection were not correlated with acute or chronic hydrocephalus occurrence. The incidence of acute hydrocephalus in patients with administration of early EN and delayed EN were 22.1% and 11.8%, respectively, and the incidence of chronic hydrocephalus was 6.7% and 23.0%. More intriguingly, in comparison to delayed EN, early EN reduced the occurrence of chronic hydrocephalus (P=0.021), but not acute hydrocephalus (P=0.125). However, IVH was an independent predictor for both acute and chronic hydrocephalus in current study (P=0.005 and P=0.001, respectively). Moreover, both preoperative GCS (P=0.021) and SAH (P=0.028) were associated with chronic hydrocephalus occurrence, but not with acute hydrocephalus. Figure 2 shows representative cases in which early EN reduced chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.

Various laboratory parameters were also collected and comparatively analyzed (Tables 2, 3). In particular, univariate regression analysis demonstrated that high postoperative levels of lactate white blood cells (WBC), neurtrophil, neurtrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and dehydrogenase (LDH) were positively correlated with chronic hydrocephalus occurrence (P=0.011, P=0.008, P=0.004, P=0.003, and P=0.025, respectively), but not with acute hydrocephalus. These results suggested a potential role of inflammatory response in chronic hydrocephalus occurrence. A multivariate regression analysis is shown in Table 3, indicating that early EN administration (OR=0.16; P=0.022), preoperative GCS (odds ratio (OR) =0.58; P=0.011), SAH (OR=6.98; P=0.024) and IVH (OR=15.71; P=0.001) were correlated with chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.

In addition, we also explored the impact of EN administration on various laboratory parameters (Table 4). Significantly lower levels of WBC, neurtrophil, NLR, and LDH were detected in the early EN group compared to those in the delayed EN group (P=0.015, P=0.012, P=0.034, and P=0.005, respectively), suggesting that early EN reduces the systematic inflammatory response induced by intracranial hemorrhage. As for inflammatory factors, we found the use of early EN decreases the level of IL-1β and TNF-α (P=0.042 and P=0.042) (n=50). However, the inflammatory factors were not completely detected in peripheral blood, so more data are needed from future research.

Discussion

In summary, our study showed that the early EN administration could reduce the incidence of postoperative hydrocephalus in patients with severe HICH. Early EN administration, preoperative GCS, SAH, and IVH were independent predictors for chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.

Hydrocephalus is a common complication after HICH and can lead to neurological abnormalities. The incidence of hydrocephalus after intracerebral hemorrhage is reported as 10-20% [7,33]. In our study, the incidence was 18.5% for acute hydrocephalus and 13.7% for chronic hydrocephalus. Numerous clinical features revealed potential relationships with the prevalence of hydrocephalus in HICH patients. In general, a lower GCS score can be used for predicting hydrocephalus morbidity after ICH [34]. HICH patients with IVH displayed a higher morbidity of hydrocephalus, and IVH is an independent factor of poor prognosis [35]. DC treatment in patients influenced the CSF circulation and absorption, which in turn resulted in development of chronic hydrocephalus [36]. Our study revealed that low GCS score, SAH, and IVH were related to chronic hydrocephalus occurrence, but only IVH influenced acute hydrocephalus occurrence, which agrees with the literature. Moreover, we found that...
Table 1. The clinical characteristics of 146 patients with severe HICH.

| Variables                                | Values                        |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Variables**                            | **Values**                   |
| Age, years (SD)                          | 51.7 (11.4)                  |
| Gender (%)                               |                              |
| Male                                     | 87 (59.6)                    |
| Female                                   | 59 (40.4)                    |
| Nutritional status at admission          |                              |
| BMI, kg/m² (SD)                          | 24.1 (3.5)                   |
| Mid-arm muscle circumference, cm (SD)    | 30.1 (4.5)                   |
| Albumin, g/L (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)) | 42.4 (39.3, 47.4)            |
| SGA (%)                                  |                              |
| Well-nourished                           | 9 (6.2)                      |
| Moderately malnourished                  | 99 (67.8)                    |
| Severely malnourished                    | 38 (26.0)                    |
| Hematoma location (%)                    |                              |
| Lobes                                    | 36 (24.6)                    |
| Basal ganglia                            | 81 (55.5)                    |
| Thalamus                                 | 15 (10.3)                    |
| Cerebellum                               | 14 (9.6)                     |
| Volume of hematoma, ml (SD)              | 49.5 (23.6)                  |
| SAH (%)                                  | 25 (17.1)                    |
| IVH (%)                                  | 84 (57.5)                    |
| Preoperative GCS (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)) | 6.2 (5.8)                   |
| Surgical treatment (%)                   |                              |
| Hematoma removal                         | 103 (70.5)                   |
| DC                                       | 28 (15.0)                    |
| EVD                                      | 45 (34.9)                    |
| EN application (%)                       |                              |
| Early EN                                 | 51 (34.9)                    |
| Delayed EN                               | 95 (65.1)                    |
| Supported PN administration (%)          | 87 (59.6)                    |

**Hydrocephalus (%)**
- Acute: 27 (18.5)
- Chronic: 20 (13.7)

**Postoperative GCS (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>))**
- 10.4 (7.14)

**mRS (SD)**
- 4.2 (0.4)

**Nutritional status at two weeks postoperatively**
- BMI, kg/m² (SD): 23.0 (3.0)
- Mid-arm muscle circumference, cm (SD): 28.6 (3.9)
- Albumin, g/L (SD): 33.6 (4.9)
- SGA (%)
  - Well-nourished: 17 (11.6)
  - Moderately malnourished: 101 (69.2)
  - Severely malnourished: 28 (19.2)

**Laboratory results**
- White blood cells, 10⁹ (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 14.3 (10.5, 17.5)
- Neutrophil, 10⁹ (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 12.0 (8.4, 15.0)
- Lymphocyte, 10⁹ (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 1.2 (0.9, 1.4)
- Monocyte, 10⁹ (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 1.2 (0.8, 1.5)
- Platelet, 10⁹ (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 265.4 (174.3, 344.3)
- NLR (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 11.2 (7.1, 13.8)
- dNLR (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 2.0 (1.7, 2.2)
- MLR (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 1.0 (0.7, 1.2)
- PLR (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 236.8 (166.4, 280.3)
- CRP, mg/L (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 41.6 (10.7, 61.7)
- LDH, U/L (M (P<sub>25</sub>, P<sub>75</sub>)): 286.1 (213.8, 360.0)

BMI – body mass index; CRP – C-reactive protein; DC – decompression craniectomy; dNLR – derived NLR; EN – enteral nutrition; EVD – extraventricular drainage; GCS – Glasgow coma scale; IVH – intraventricular hemorrhage; LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; MLR – monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; mRS – Modified Rankin Scale; NLR – neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR – platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; PN – parenteral nutrition; SAH – subarachnoid hemorrhage; SD – standard deviation; SGA – subjective global assessment.
### Table 2. The comparative analysis of patients with hydrocephalus.

| Variables                              | Acute hydrocephalus (27 vs 119) | Chronic hydrocephalus (20 vs 99) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                        | Yes (n=27)                       | No (n=119)                       | Yes (n=20)                       | No (n=99)                       |
|                                        | P value                          | P value                          | P value                          | P value                          |
| Age, years (SD)                        | 51.3 (11.3)                      | 51.7 (11.5)                      | 50.0 (9.4)                       | 52.1 (11.9)                      |
| Gender, male (%)                       | 15 (55.6)                        | 72 (60.5)                        | 12 (60.0)                        | 60 (60.6)                        |
| Nutritional status at admission        |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| BMI, kg/m² (SD)                        | 24.7 (3.9)                       | 24.0 (3.5)                       | 23.4 (4.0)                       | 24.1 (3.3)                       |
| Mid-arm muscle circumference, cm (SD)  | 30.8 (4.1)                       | 30.0 (4.6)                       | 29.3 (4.6)                       | 30.4 (4.5)                       |
| Albumin, g/L (P_{25}, P_{75})          | 40.7 (35.9, 46.8)                | 42.8 (39.6, 47.9)                | 40.1 (37.4, 45.3)                | 43.3 (40.1, 48.2)                |
| SGA (%)                                | 0.834                            | 0.688                            | 0.121                            | 0.347                            |
| Well-nourished                         | 2 (7.4)                          | 7 (5.9)                          | 2 (10.0)                         | 5 (5.1)                          |
| Moderately malnourished                | 17 (63.0)                        | 82 (68.9)                        | 13 (65.0)                        | 69 (69.7)                        |
| Severely malnourished                  | 8 (29.6)                         | 30 (25.2)                        | 5 (25.0)                         | 25 (25.2)                        |
| Volume of hematoma, ml (SD)            | 45.0 (25.1)                      | 50.5 (23.1)                      | 54.3 (27.0)                      | 49.8 (22.1)                      |
| SAH (%)                                | 8 (29.6)                         | 17 (14.3)                        | 6 (30.0)                         | 11 (11.1)                        |
| IVH (%)                                | 22 (81.5)                        | 62 (52.1)                        | 0.005*                           | 17 (85.0)                        |
| Preoperative GCS (P_{25}, P_{75})      | 5.9 (5, 8)                       | 6.2 (5, 8)                       | 5.5 (4, 7)                       | 6.5 (5, 8)                       |
| Surgical treatment (%)                 | 0.121                            | 0.347                            | 0.021*                           | 0.021*                           |
| Hematoma removal                       | 15 (55.6)                        | 88 (73.9)                        | 16 (80.0)                        | 72 (72.7)                        |
| DC                                     | 6 (22.2)                         | 22 (18.5)                        | 2 (10.0)                         | 20 (20.2)                        |
| EVD                                    | 13 (48.1)                        | 32 (26.9)                        | 3 (15.0)                         | 29 (29.2)                        |
| EN application (%)                     | 0.125                            | 0.021*                           |                                  |                                  |
| Early EN                               | 6 (11.8)                         | 45 (88.2)                        | 3 (6.7)                          | 42 (93.3)                        |
| Delayed EN                             | 21 (22.1)                        | 74 (77.9)                        | 17 (23.0)                        | 57 (77.0)                        |
| Postoperative GCS (P_{25}, P_{75})     | 9.7 (8, 13)                      | 10.5 (7, 14)                     | 9.5 (6.3, 12.8)                  | 10.8 (7, 14)                     |
| mRS (SD)                               | 4.2 (0.4)                        | 4.2 (0.4)                        | 4.1 (0.3)                        | 4.2 (0.4)                        |
| Nutritional status at two weeks        |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| postoperatively                        |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |
| BMI, kg/m² (SD)                        | 23.4 (3.2)                       | 23.0 (2.9)                       | 22.6 (3.3)                       | 23.0 (2.8)                       |
| Mid-arm muscle circumference, cm (SD)  | 29.4 (3.8)                       | 28.4 (3.9)                       | 28.3 (4.3)                       | 28.4 (3.9)                       |
| Albumin, g/L (SD)                      | 32.8 (4.0)                       | 33.8 (5.1)                       | 34.8 (6.1)                       | 33.6 (4.9)                       |
| SGA (%)                                | 0.180                            | 0.63*                            | 0.364                            |                                  |
| Well-nourished                         | 5 (18.5)                         | 9 (7.6)                          | 2 (10.0)                         | 7 (7.1)                          |
| Moderately malnourished                | 18 (66.7)                        | 83 (69.7)                        | 15 (75.0)                        | 68 (68.7)                        |
| Severely malnourished                  | 4 (14.8)                         | 27 (22.7)                        | 3 (15.0)                         | 24 (24.2)                        |
early application of EN contributed to chronic hydrocephalus occurrence, which was a result of increased intracranial pressure (ICP). Elevated ICP was associated with reduced enteral feeding tolerance, which might explain the lower use of early EN in patients with hydrocephalus. In addition, the systematic inflammation was also highly involved in hydrocephalus generation and development. Preclinical experiments indicated the inflammatory response mediated by Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling pathway, dysfunctions of gut microbiota affect various neurological diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease, infantile autism, depressive disorder, epilepsy, cerebral stroke, and brain injury [44]. However, the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Gut microbiota dysfunction might influence the transportation of T cells toward the brain, which in turn affects the inflammatory response and occurrence, which was a result of increased intracranial pressure (ICP). Elevated ICP was associated with reduced enteral feeding tolerance, which might explain the lower use of early EN in patients with hydrocephalus. In addition, the systematic inflammation was also highly involved in hydrocephalus generation and development. Preclinical experiments indicated the inflammatory response mediated by Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling pathway, dysfunctions of gut microbiota affect various neurological diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease, infantile autism, depressive disorder, epilepsy, cerebral stroke, and brain injury [44]. However, the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Gut microbiota dysfunction might influence the transportation of T cells toward the brain, which in turn affects the inflammatory response and
Figure 2. Representative CT images from HICH patients. The patients with severe HICH underwent microsurgery for hematoma removal followed decompression craniectomy received early EN (A, B) and delayed EN (C, D) treatment, respectively. CT images were acquired at admission (A, C) and at 3 months (B, D) postoperatively. Patient with early EN did not develop hydrocephalus, while patient with delayed EN developed chronic hydrocephalus during follow-up. (Adobe Photoshop CC, 14.0, Adobe).
Moreover, gut microbiota dysfunction leads to short-chain fatty acid productive anomaly, which triggers immunological change through the regulation of microglia function [45]. EN administration, especially early application of EN, is usually performed in severe neurological patients, including severe HICH patients. Application of EN treatment protects the gastrointestinal tract from stress and injury, regulates gut microbiota, and decreases bacterial translocation. Increasing data reveal that providing early EN, rather than delayed EN, to critically ill patients reduces inflammation and improves patient prognosis [16,18,46,47]. Early enteral nutrition provides benefits

### Table 3. Multivariate regression analysis of predictors for chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.

| Variables              | OR   | 95% CI        | P value |
|------------------------|------|---------------|---------|
| SAH                    | 6.98 | 1.30-37.56    | 0.024*  |
| IVH                    | 15.71| 2.94-84.12    | 0.001*  |
| Early EN               | 0.16 | 0.03-0.77     | 0.022*  |
| Preoperative GCS       | 0.58 | 0.38-0.88     | 0.011*  |
| WBC (10^9)             | 1.01 | 0.23-4.49     | 0.991   |
| Neutrophil (10^9)      | 1.02 | 0.18-5.81     | 0.982   |
| NLR                    | 1.01 | 0.77-1.33     | 0.923   |
| CRP (mg/L)             | 1.01 | 0.99-1.02     | 0.294   |
| LDH (U/L)              | 1.01 | 0.99-1.01     | 0.132   |

CRP – C-reactive protein; EN – enteral nutrition; GCS – Glasgow coma scale; IVH – intraventricular hemorrhage; LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; NLR – neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; SAH – subarachnoid hemorrhage; WBC – white blood cells. * p<0.05.

### Table 4. The relationship between EN application and postoperative laboratory examinations of systematic inflammation reaction.

| Variables                      | Early-EN | Delayed-EN | P value |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Acute hydrocephalus (%)        | 6 (11.8) | 21 (22.1)  | 0.125   |
| Chronic hydrocephalus (%)      | 3 (5.9)  | 17 (17.9)  | 0.044*  |
| White blood cells, 10^9 (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) | 12.9 (9.2, 16.6) | 15.1 (11.2, 19.0) | 0.015* |
| Neutrophil, 10^9 (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) | 10.7 (7.0, 14.0) | 12.7 (9.1, 15.2) | 0.012* |
| Lymphocyte, 10^9 (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) | 1.2 (0.8, 1.4) | 1.2 (0.9, 1.5) | 0.895   |
| Monocyte, 10^9 (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) | 1.1 (0.8, 1.5) | 1.2 (0.8, 1.5) | 0.385   |
| NLR, (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))      | 9.62 (6.5, 11.9) | 12.1 (7.7, 15.1) | 0.034* |
| dNLR, (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))     | 2.0 (1.8, 2.2) | 2.0 (1.8, 2.2) | 0.607   |
| MLR, (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))      | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 1.0 (0.8, 1.2) | 0.607   |
| PLR, (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))      | 229.9 (165.5, 276.2) | 240.6 (166.7, 283.5) | 0.566   |
| CRP, (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))      | 35.2 (10.1, 6.4) | 45.1 (12.5, 65.1) | 0.244   |
| Platelet, 10^9 (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) | 258.5 (157, 348) | 269.1 (184, 343) | 0.504   |
| LDH, U/L (M (P_{25}, P_{75}))  | 260.9 (185, 302) | 299.6 (238, 361) | 0.005*  |
| IL-1β, pg/ml (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) (n=50) | 5.7 (2.5, 7.5) | 7.0 (4.5, 11.0) | 0.042*  |
| IL-6, pg/ml (M (P_{25}, P_{75})) (n=50) | 70.3 (36.5, 101.1) | 88.2 (40.6, 129.7) | 0.599   |
| TNF-α, pg/ml (SD) (n=50)       | 8.3 (2.3) | 10.5 (3.8) | 0.042*  |

CRP – C-reactive protein; dNLR – derived NLR; EN – enteral nutrition; IL – interleukin; LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; MLR – monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio; NLR – neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR – platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; SD – standard deviation; TNF – Tumor Necrosis Factor. * p<0.05.
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this study was relatively small due to the rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria. Secondly, EN administration in each patient was normalized in accordance to the standard procedure and the individual nutrition targets. Finally, the inflammatory factors were not completely detected in peripheral blood and in CSF. To fill this gap, a randomized, controlled, multicenter clinical trial is needed.

Conclusions

Early EN application, SAH, IVH and preoperative GCS are associated with the prevalence of chronic hydrocephalus in severe HICH patients. Early EN administration inhibited the inflammatory response of the brain-gut axis, which in turn reduced chronic hydrocephalus occurrence.
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