Sumudu Transform and Variational Iteration Method to Solve Two Point Second Order Linear Boundary Value Problems
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In this paper we propose a combined form of Sumudu Transform (ST) and the Variational Iteration Method (VIM) to solve linear two-point boundary value problems. The elegant coupling is called the Sumudu Transform Variational Iteration Method (STVIM). The results obtained are in a good agreement with the exact solution and hence this method can be regarded as a valuable tool for solving linear and nonlinear differential equations in various sciences. The proposed method has several advantages: the first one is the free choice of initial approximation with possible unknown constants, the second, is in its ability to reduce the number of computations, and finally, it avoids the round off errors and finds the solution without any linearization or discretization. Several examples confirm the reliability and efficiency of the approach, which can be used to solve other types of nonlinear boundary value problems (BVPs).
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades, many analytical approximate methods have been presented to solve two-point BVPs. Most of these problems generally occur commonly in many areas of engineering, physics, chemistry, and applied mathematics. Recently, many researchers have introduced various methods to obtain approximate solutions for nonlinear differential equations (NDEs), such as VIM, which was developed by JiHuan He for solving linear, nonlinear initial and BVPs (He, 1997; He, 1999; He, 2000).

It is worth mentioning that the origin of the VIM can be traced back to Inokuti, Sekine and Mura (Inokuti et al., 1978), but the real potential of this technique was explored by He (He, 1997; He, 1999; He, 2000). Moreover, He realized the physical significance of the VIM, its compatibility with the physical problems and applied this promising technique to a wide class of linear and nonlinear, ordinary, partial, differential equation, and so forth (Wazwaz, 2009; Salehpoor et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2012; Olayiwola et al., 2013). This technique has been presented by many authors to be a powerful mathematical tool for solving a wide range of nonlinear operator equations (Wazwaz, 2014; Khuri & Sayfy, 2015; Ghorbani & Bakherad, 2017; Khuri, & Sayfy, 2017; Ahmad, 2018). The comparison of the VIM with other methods have been applied to a wide class of functional equations; (Soori, 2016; Bildik & Deniz, 2017; Ayati & Ahmady, 2016) and the references there in. In this modification the solution is given in an infinite series usually converging to an accurate solution (Neamaty et al., 2015; Goswami & Alqahtani, 2016; Singh et al., 2017; Yun-dong & Yi-ren, 2017; Ziane & Cherif, 2018; Mohyud-Din et al., 2017).

The main thrust of the proposed method is to construct a correction functional using a general Lagrange multiplier which is chosen in a proper way such that its correction solution is improved with respect to the initial trial function. None of the researchers in VIM studies has explicitly stated this fact; in numerous cases, the integral of the correction functional is a convolution, thus manipulation of Sumudu transform ought to come into place. Hence, we will introduce Sumudu correction.
functional as an alternative and expressing the integral as a convolution. The proposed STVIM provides the solution in a rapid convergent series which may lead the solution to a closed form. In this technique, the use of Lagrange multipliers reduces the successive application of the integral operator and the cumbersome of huge computational work while still maintaining a very high level of accuracy. The proposed iterative scheme takes full advantage of VIM and preserves all the positive features of the coupled techniques. It is worth mentioning that the suggested method is applied without any linearization, discretization, and restrictive assumption and is free from round-off errors. Several examples are given to verify the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed algorithm.

The organization of this paper is as follows: the VIM, ST and the combination of ST and VIM are presented in sections 2, 3, and 4. In section 5, numerical application of the method is illustrated by three test examples to demonstrate the efficiency of the method. The conclusion is given in section 6.

II. VARIATIONAL ITERATION METHOD (VIM)

To clarify the base idea of the VIM: Consider the following nonlinear differential equation:

\[ L(u) + N(u) - f(t) = 0 \]

\[ B(u, \partial u / \partial t), \quad t \in \Gamma, \]

where L and N are a linear and nonlinear operator respectively, \( f(t) \) is a known analytical function. According to the VIM, we can construct a correction functional in the following way:

\[ \nu_{n+1}(t) = \nu_n(t) + \int_0^t \lambda(\xi)(Lu_n(\xi) + Nu_n(\xi) - f(\xi))d\xi, \quad (3) \]

where \( \lambda \) is a general Lagrange multiplier, which can be identified optimally via the variational theory, the subscript \( n \) denotes the \( n^{th} \) approximation, and \( u_n \) is considered as a restricted variation, i.e. \( \delta \nu_n = 0 \).

III. SUMUDU TRANSFORM (ST)

Watugula (Watugala, 1993) introduced Sumudu transform as a new integral and is defined as:

\[ F(\eta) = S(f(t)) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\eta} e^{-\frac{t}{\eta}} f(t)dt. \quad (4) \]

In this work we used the following properties of ST:

(i) \( S(nu^r) = n!u^r \).

(ii) \( S(f^{(k)}(t)) = \frac{1}{\eta^k}F(\eta) - \frac{1}{\eta^k} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta^k f^{(k)}(0) \).

where \( f^{(k)}(0) = f(0), f^{(k)}(0), k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n-1 \) are the \( k^{th} \) derivative of \( f(t) \), and \( S(f(t)) = F(\eta) \). If \( F(\eta) \) is the Sumudu transform of \( f(t) \), then \( f(t) \) is called the inverse Sumudu transform of \( F(\eta) \) and is expressed by \( f(t) = S^{-1}(F(\eta)) \), where the inverse Sumudu transform operator is \( S^{-1} \).

IV. SUMUDU TRANSFORM-VARIATIONAL ITERATION METHOD (STVIM)

In a wide range of problems that appear in the literature, the general form of Lagrange multiplier is found to be of the form:

\[ \lambda = \lambda(t - \xi). \]

In this article, we will make the assumption that \( \lambda \) is expressed in this way. In such a case, the integration is basically the convolution; hence ST is appropriate to use.

Applying ST on both sides of (3) the correction functional will be constructed in the following manner:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \int_0^t \lambda(\xi) (Lu_n(\xi) + Nu_n(\xi) - f(\xi))d\xi, \quad (7) \]

denoted as \( S \). Therefore

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \int_0^t \lambda(\xi) (Lu_n(\xi) + Nu_n(\xi) - f(\xi))d\xi, \quad (8) \]

To find the optimal value of \( \lambda = \lambda(t - \xi) \), we first take the variation with respect to \( u_n(t) \). Thus:

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_{n+1}(t)) = \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_n(t)) + \eta \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(\lambda(t)) S(Lu_n(t) + Nu_n(t) - f(t)). \quad (9) \]

and hence upon applying the variation this simplifies to
\[ S(\delta_{u,v}(t)) = S(\delta_{u}(t)) + \eta S(\lambda(t))S(\delta_{u,v}(t)). \]  

(10)

We assume that L is a linear differential operator with constant coefficients given by

\[ L(u) = a_{m}u^{(m)} + a_{m-1}u^{(m-1)} + \ldots + a_{1}u + a_{0}u, \]

where \( a_{n} \)'s are constants. It is important to note that if the coefficients contain only non-constant terms of the form \( t^{k} \), then the Sumudu variational approach is still valid. The ST of the first term of the operator L is given by

\[ S(a_{m}u^{(m)}) = \frac{a_{m}}{\eta^{m}}S(u) - \frac{a_{m}}{\eta^{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \eta^{k} u^{(k)}(0), \]

so the variation with respect to u is

\[ \delta S(a_{m}u^{(m)}) = \frac{a_{m}}{\eta^{m}}S(\delta u). \]

(13)

The other terms in the operator L, namely

\[ a_{n}u^{(n)} + \ldots + a_{1}u + a_{0}u, \]

yield similar results. Hence using (13), Eq. (10) reduces to

\[ S(\delta_{u,v}(t)) = S(\delta_{u}(t)) + \eta S(\lambda(t))(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{a_{k}}{\eta^{k}})S(\delta_{u,v}(t)). \]

(14)

The extremum condition of \( u_{v,v} \), requires that

\[ \delta_{u,v} = 0. \]

This means that the right-hand side of Eq. (14) should be set to zero. Hence, we have the stationary condition

\[ S(\lambda(t)) = -\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{a_{k}}{\eta^{k}}}. \]

(15)

Taking the Sumudu inverse of the last equation gives the optimal value of \( \lambda \). For this value of \( \lambda \), we have the following iteration formulation:

\[ S(\delta_{u,v}(t)) = S(\delta_{u}(t)) + \eta S(\lambda(t))S(\delta_{u,v}(t)). \]

(16)

**V. CASE STUDIES**

**Example I:** Consider the following linear differential equation (Opanuga et al., 2017):

\[ u^{\prime\prime} - u - 1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \]

(17)

with the boundary conditions

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = e - 1. \]

(18)

The exact solution of the problem is \( u(1) = e - 1 \). By applying the STVIM to find a solution for Eq. (17), we construct the variational iteration correction functional as the following:

\[ u_{n+1}(t) = u_{n}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(t - \xi)(u_{n}(\xi) - u_{n}(\xi) - 1)d\xi. \]

(19)

Next, by applying ST, we have:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_{n}(t)) + \int_{0}^{t} \lambda(t - \xi)(u_{n}(\xi) - u_{n}(\xi) - 1)d\xi. \]

(20)

or equivalently, by applying the convolution property, we get:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_{n}(t)) + \eta S(\lambda)S(u_{n}(t) - u_{n}(t) - 1). \]

(21)

Applying the variation on the Eq. (21) with respect to \( u_{n}(t) \), we get:

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta u_{n}}S(u_{n+1}(t)) = \frac{\delta}{\delta u_{n}}S(u_{n}(t)) + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_{n}} \eta S(\lambda) \times \]

\[ \left( (\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} - 1)S(u_{n}(t) - \frac{u_{n}(0)}{\eta} - \frac{u_{n}(0)}{\eta} - 1). \right) \]

(22)

By simplifying Eq. (22), we get:

\[ S(\delta_{u_{n+1}}(t)) = S(\delta_{u}(t)) + \eta S(\lambda)(\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} - 1)S(\delta_{u_{n}}), \]

(23)

The extremum condition of \( u_{n+1}(t) \) requires that \( \delta_{u_{n+1}}(t) = 0 \), then

\[ S(\delta_{u}(t) + S(\lambda)(\frac{1}{\eta^{2}} - 1)) = 0, \]

(24)

Applying the inverse of ST, we get:

\[ \lambda(t) = -\sinh(t). \]

(25)

Substituting Eq. (25) into Eq. (20), we get:
\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) - \eta S(\sinh(t)) S(u'_n(t) - u_n(t) - 1). \]

Applying the inverse of ST, we have

\[ u_n(t) = A \sinh(t) + \cosh(t) - 1. \]

By using the boundary condition \( u(1) = e - 1 \), then \( A = 1 \).

So \( u_n(1) = e' - 1 \), which is the exact solution for our problem.

**Example II:** Consider the following linear differential equation (Khuri, & Sayfy, 2012):

\[ u'' + u + t = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad \text{with boundary conditions} \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \]

The exact solution of the problem is \( u(t) = \csc(1) \sin(t) - t \). By applying the STVIM to find a solution for Eq. (29), we construct the variational iteration correction functional as the following:

\[ u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t) + \int_0^t \lambda(t - \xi)(u'_n(\xi) + u_n(\xi) + t) d\xi. \]

Next, by applying ST, we have:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \int_0^t \lambda(t - \xi)(u'_n(\xi) + u_n(\xi) + t) d\xi. \]

or equivalently, by applying the convolution property, we get:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + S(\lambda) * (u'_n + u_n + t), \]

\[ = S(u_n(t)) + \eta S(\lambda) S(u'_n + u_n + t), \]

\[ = S(u_n(t)) + \eta S(\lambda) (\frac{1}{\eta^2} + 1) S(u_n(t)) \]

\[ - \frac{u_n(0)}{\eta^2} - \frac{u'_n(0)}{\eta} + \eta. \]

Applying the variation on the Eq. (33) with respect to \( u_n(t) \), we get:

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_{n+1}(t)) = \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_n(t)) + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} \eta S(\lambda) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\eta^2} + 1) S(u_n(t)) - \frac{u_n(0)}{\eta^2} - \frac{u'_n(0)}{\eta} + \eta. \]

By simplifying Eq. (34), we get:

\[ S(\delta u_{n+1}(t)) = S(\delta u_n(t)) + \eta S(\lambda) (1 + \frac{1}{\eta^2}). \]

The extremum condition of \( u_{n+1}(t) \) requires that \( \delta u_{n+1}(t) = 0 \), then

\[ S(\delta u_n(t)) (1 + \frac{1}{\eta^2}) = 0, \]

\[ S(\lambda) = - \frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + 1}. \]

Applying the inverse of ST, we get:

\[ \lambda(t) = - \sin(t). \]

Substituting Eq. (37) into Eq. (32), we get:

\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \int_0^t \lambda(t - \xi)(u'_n(\xi) + u_n(\xi) + t) d\xi. \]

\[ = S(u_n(t)) + \eta S(\sin(t)) (u'_n + u_n + t). \]

Suppose that \( u_n(t) = u(0) + u'(0)t \), since \( u(0) = 0 \) and \( u'(0) = A \), then

\[ S(u_n(t)) = S(u_n(t)) - \eta S(\sin(t)) S(u'_n(t) + u_n(t) + 1), \]

\[ = S(A + t) - \eta S(\sin(t)) S(A + t). \]

Applying the inverse of ST, we have

\[ u_n(t) = (A + 1) \sin(t) - t. \]

By using the boundary condition \( u(1) = 0 \), then \( A = \csc(1) - 1 \). So \( u_n(1) = \csc(1) \sin(t) - t \), which is the exact solution for our problem.

**Example III:** Consider the following linear differential equation (Chun & Sakhthivel, 2010):

\[ u'' - u = \cos(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \]

with the boundary conditions
\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0. \]  \hfill (42)

The theoretical solution is
\[ u(1) = -3 \cosh(1) + 3 \sinh(1) + \cos(1) + 2 e^t + \frac{3 \cosh(1) + 3 \sinh(1) - \cos(1) - 2}{4 \sinh(1)} e^{-t} - \frac{\cos(t)}{2}. \]

By applying the STVIM to find a solution for Eq. (41), we construct the variational iteration correction functional as the following:
\[ u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t) + \int_0^t \lambda(t-\xi)(u'_n(\xi) - u_n(\xi) - \cos(\xi)) d\xi. \]  \hfill (43)

Next, by applying ST, we have:
\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + S\left(\lambda(t) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta^2} - 1\right) S(u_n(t)) \right). \]  \hfill (44)

or equivalently, by applying the convolution property, we get:
\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \eta S(\lambda) S(u_n'(t)) - \frac{u_n(0)}{\eta} - \frac{u_n'(0)}{\eta} - S(\cos(t)) S(u_n(t)). \]  \hfill (45)

Applying the variation on the Eq. (45) with respect to \( u_n(t) \), we get:
\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_{n+1}(t)) \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} S(u_n(t)) + \frac{\delta}{\delta u_n} \eta S(\lambda) \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta} - 1\right) S(u_n(t)) = \frac{u_n(0)}{\eta^2} - \frac{u_n'(0)}{\eta} - S(\cos(t)) S(u_n(t)). \]  \hfill (46)

By simplifying Eq. (46), we get:
\[ S(\delta u_{n+1}(t)) = S(\delta u_n(t)) + \eta S(\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} - 1\right) S(\delta u_n(t)), \]  \hfill (47)

or equivalently:
\[ S(\delta u_{n+1}(t)) = S(\delta u_n(t)) \left(1 + \eta S(\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} - 1\right)\right). \]

The extremum condition of \( u_{n+1}(t) \) requires that
\[ \delta u_{n+1}(t) = 0, \]  \hfill (48)

then
\[ S(\delta u_n(t)) \left(1 + \eta S(\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} - 1\right)\right) = 0, \]  \hfill (49)

Applying the inverse of ST, we get:
\[ \lambda(t) = -\sinh(t). \]  \hfill (50)

Substituting Eq. (49) into Eq. (44), we get:
\[ S(u_{n+1}(t)) = S(u_n(t)) + \eta S(\sinh(t)) S(u_n'(t) - u_n(t) - \cos(t)). \]  \hfill (51)

By using the boundary condition \( u(1) = 1 \), then:
\[ A = e^{-t} (\lambda(0) + e^{4e^{t+1}} + e^{t+2} - 3e^{2+1}) \frac{2}{(1 + e^t)}. \]

Substituting the value of \( A \) into (52), the obtained results were found to be very close to the theoretical solution with some truncation error:
\[ u(t) = \frac{3 \cosh(t) - \cos(t) + 2e^t}{4 \sinh(1)} + \frac{3 \cosh(1) + 3 \sinh(1) - \cos(1) - 2}{4 \sinh(1)} e^{-t} - \frac{\cos(t)}{2}. \]

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, STVIM has been efficiently applied for solving two-point boundary value problems to give rapid convergent successive approximations without any linearization, discretization or restrictive assumptions that may change the physical behaviour of the problem and absorb the positive features of the coupled techniques. Hence, one important feature of the proposed approach is that the Sumudu correction functional is introduced that enables the integral to be expressed, in many instances in the form of a convolution. ST will make the variational problems easier to tackle, particularly in finding the general Lagrange multiplier. Furthermore, the STVIM method
facilitates the computational work and makes the solution converges rapidly to the exact solution. For nonlinear problems where the exact solution does not exist, only a few numbers of approximations are required for numerical purpose. In all the cases considered, we have obtained excellent performances that may lead to a promising approach in solving the nonlinear two-point BVPs and could find wide applications.
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