Rolling and recrystallization behavior of pure zirconium and zircaloy-4
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ABSTRACT
Rolling and recrystallization of pure zirconium and zircaloy-4 have been studied comparatively in this paper. For the as-received condition, zirconium presented a recrystallized microstructure and the alloy a typical basketweave microstructure. Comparative rolling tests were performed, and reduction limit curves as a function of the rolling temperature were determined. At room temperature, pure Zr shows substantial plasticity and the alloy presents low ductility and many cracks. The ductility of both materials increases significantly with an increase in rolling temperature at the range between 300 and 500°C. In static recrystallization studies, samples of the two materials with similar recrystallized grain size were cold-rolled with a thickness reduction of 55%. During annealing, pure Zr and the alloy soften by recovery and recrystallization, however the relative contribution of recovery is lesser pronounced in the alloy, for which the recrystallization temperature increases by about 60°C.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Zirconium was isolated as a metal in 1824 and is the eighteenth most abundant element in the Earth’s crust, more abundant than several popular metals, such as copper, nickel, zinc, lead, and niobium. The major application of metallic zirconium is in the nuclear industry, due to its low thermal neutron cross-section, very good aqueous corrosion resistance and enough strength at high temperature. For nuclear applications, hafnium must be removed, due to its extremely high absorption for thermal neutrons: almost 600 times larger than that of zirconium [1,2]. Reactor-grade zirconium containing less than 100 ppm hafnium is frequently alloyed with tin, iron, chromium, and occasionally with nickel to improve mechanical properties and corrosion resistance in water and steam at high temperatures. These alloys, called zircalloys, are very often selected for fuel cladding, spacer grids and intermediate flow mixers for water cooled thermal nuclear reactors. Zircaloy-4, containing 1.20-1.70 Sn, 0.18-0.24 Fe, and 0.07-1.30 wt% Cr, is one of the most used zirconium alloys in nuclear technology [3,4,5].

Pure zirconium shows outstanding corrosion resistance to most organic and mineral acids and is resistant to most inorganic salts. Unalloyed zirconium, which contains up to 4.5% hafnium, is called commercial-grade zirconium and is a usual choice in the chemical process industries [1,6].

Fabrication of components of zirconium alloys and unalloyed zirconium used respectively in the nuclear and in the chemical industries invariably involves plastic deformation and annealing. Therefore, the knowledge of phenomena such as work-hardening, recovery and recrystallization is not only essential to the success of mechanical forming of components but also important to optimize the microstructure and properties of the finished products [1,2,7].

There is extensive literature regarding plastic deformation, annealing behavior and crystallographic texture evolution of pure zirconium [8,9,10] and zircaloy-4 [11,12,13,14,15] published independently. However, comparative studies of both materials are scarce. Comparative studies could reveal relevant influences of alloying elements. Furthermore, numerous studies about zirconium employ commercial-grade zirconium.
The main objective of the present work is to compare the behavior of reactor-grade pure zirconium and zircaloy-4 during work-hardening, recovery and recrystallization.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The starting materials were a plate of 5.5mm thickness of pure zirconium and discs of 180mm diameter and about 5.5mm thickness of zircaloy-4. The materials were reactor-grade and the chemical composition of the alloy was: 0.10% Fe; 0.11% Cr; 1.45 wt% Sn. Both materials in the as-received condition were rolled at various temperatures, between room temperature and 600°C and then annealed for ductility and equalize the microstructures. Strips of recrystallized pure zirconium and zircaloy-4 with similar grain size and dimensions were then cold rolled and annealed for static recrystallization studies. Each rolling pass was carried out alternating the strip surfaces (superior and inferior) to minimize sample curvature. No lubricant was used during rolling experiments.

The microstructures of samples were characterized by optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, hardness, electrical conductivity and crystallographic texture measurements. The metallographic sample preparation consisted of grinding (SiC abrasive paper 320, 600 and 1200 grit) and electrolytic polishing. For simultaneous polishing and etching of the samples, perchloric acid in methyl alcohol (1:10) was used, keeping the time of 20 seconds and adjusting the electric current to the sample size. Crystallographic textures of the materials in the rolled and recrystallized conditions were studied using pole figures and sections of Euler space of crystallographic orientation described by the ODF (Orientation Distribution Function), generated from these pole figures with the help of the MTEX computer program. An automatic texture goniometer coupled to a Rigaku diffractometer model DMAX-2000 was used. A copper Kα radiation was used, with an angular step of 5° and step counting time of 5 seconds. The crystallographic planes chosen to determinate the pole figures were: (002), (100) and (101). More details about the experiments and characterization of samples can be found elsewhere [19].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In section 3.1, the characterization of the starting material (as-received condition) and of the samples that had equalized microstructure is presented, whereas in section 3.2 the recrystallization kinetics after cold rolling is discussed.

3.1 The as-received condition and microstructure equalization

In the as-received condition, pure zirconium (Zr) and zircaloy-4 (Zry-4) are monophasic (α-phase) but show completely diverse microstructures. While Zr shows equiaxed recrystallized grains, with an average diameter of about 50μm, Zry-4 displays an entangled microstructure ("basketweave microstructure"), typical of zirconium alloys quenched from the β phase (Figure 1), showing Widmannstätten plates growing from the former β/β grain boundaries [2]. Crystallographic texture determinations of both materials were performed for several different material conditions. For the as-received condition, however, a comparative study between the two materials was not possible, since Zry-4 had a grain size too large to allow statistically significantly texture measurements.
Both materials were plastically deformed and annealed to equalize their microstructures, resulting in equiaxed grains with similar average diameters, prior to the cold rolling and recrystallization experiments. For this purpose, rolling experiments and the determination of reduction limit curves without the presence of cracks as a function of temperature were conducted (see Figure 2). While pure Zr showed enough plasticity at room temperature, the alloy Zry-4 presented low ductility and many cracks. The ductility of both materials increased significantly with increasing rolling temperature above 300°C becoming virtually identical at 500°C (see Figure 2). According to the von Mises criteria, for a polycrystalline material to deform homogeneously without cracks, five independent slip systems are necessary. Metals with HCP crystalline structure in general and zirconium alloys in particular present difficulties to fulfill this requirement. Regardless of the deformation temperature, the prismatic slip is the dominant deformation mode in zirconium. New slip systems are activated when increasing the deformation temperature above 300°C. The additional deformation modes are basal and pyramidal slip at high temperature and twinning at low temperature [20].

The crystallographic texture evolution during processing for both materials is summarized in Table 1. As a general trend, it can be stated that both Zr and Zry-4 presented strong resistance to texture changes during their mechanical and thermal processing.

The crystallographic texture evolution during processing for both materials is summarized in Table 1. As a general trend, it can be stated that both pure Zr and Zry-4 presented strong resistance to texture changes during their mechanical and thermal processing. In pure Zr, the texture component near $\{11\bar{2}2\} <10\bar{1}0>$
was fully developed after about 50% thickness reduction, but was nearly unchanged thereafter, up to about 90% reduction. The texture was essentially the same up to a rolling temperature of 300ºC. After cold rolling, Zry-4 showed the predominance of a texture component near to \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\). The texture changes that occurred in Zr and in Zry-4 at the rolling temperature of 600ºC suggest the occurrence of recrystallization.

Table 1: Crystallographic texture of Zirconium and Zircaloy-4 in different conditions: as-received, rolled and annealed.

| SAMPLE CONDITION       | ZIRCONIUM TEXTURE                  | ZIRCALOY-4 TEXTURE                  |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| As-received (1.55mm)   | \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\) and near to \(\{10\bar{1}1\} \langle 1\bar{1}20\rangle\) | \(\{1\bar{1}22\}\) fiber and near to \(\{0001\} \langle 1\bar{1}20\rangle\) |
| Rolled (0.70mm)        | \(\{1\bar{1}22\}\) fiber          | \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\) and weak \(\{1\bar{1}22\}\) fiber |
| Rolled at 500ºC        | \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\) | \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\) and weak \(\{1\bar{1}22\}\) fiber |
| Annealed at 600ºC      | \(\{1\bar{1}22\} \langle 10\bar{1}0\rangle\) and near to \(\{10\bar{1}1\} \langle 1\bar{1}20\rangle\) | \(\{1\bar{1}22\}\) fiber and weak tendency to \(\{0001\} \langle 1\bar{1}20\rangle\) |

Figures 3 and 4 present the hardness increment due to plastic deformation produced by rolling in both materials at room temperature (20ºC) and 500ºC, respectively.

![Figure 3: Variation of hardness with rolling deformation at room temperature for pure zirconium and zircaloy-4.](image-url)
The increase in ductility for an increasing deformation temperature observed in Figures 2 to 4 has three main causes: increase in the number of slip systems, the occurrence of dislocation cross-slip and the usual increase in dislocation mobility. In addition, Zry-4 showed higher strain hardening than pure zirconium. The effect of tin, lowering the zirconium stacking fault energy \[21,22\], may have contributed to this behavior. The thickness reductions and annealing conditions adopted for the two materials (625°C-1hr for pure Zr and 725°C-1hr for Zry-4) yielded strips of the same thickness (1.55mm) and with a similar average diameter (10µm) of equiaxed recrystallized grains.

3.2 Static recovery and recrystallization

For the static recrystallization studies, samples of the two materials with equalized microstructures and average grain size of approximately 10µm in average diameter were cold rolled to a thickness reduction of 55%. The cold rolled strips were then annealed for one hour between 450 e 600°C. The isochronous softening curves of these samples are shown in Figure 5.

The two curves in Figure 5 show that the softening curve of the alloy is displaced to higher temperatures compared to pure zirconium. Also, the hardness drop of pure zirconium is less pronounced. In Figure 6, the softening curves of both materials and their respective derivative curves are also presented. The derivative curve of Zry-4 is narrower in comparison with pure Zr and its minimum, i.e., the maximum softening rate, is shifted to higher temperatures by about 60°C.
Figure 6: Isochronous softening curves (hardness versus annealing temperature) for pure zirconium and zircaloy-4 with the respective derivative curves.

The higher resistance to recrystallization found in the alloy is not surprising and can be deduced from the results of literature by comparing existing studies for pure zirconium [8-10] with those on zircaloy-4 [11,12,13,14,15]. On the other hand, a more precise comparison between the two materials was not possible from the literature analysis, since the initial microstructures and the deformation and annealing parameters used in those works were very dissimilar.

The behavior of the curves in Figures 5 and 6 suggests that, while pure zirconium softens by recovery and recrystallization, the relative contribution of recovery is less pronounced in the alloy. The interaction of solute atoms with dislocations, making difficult their rearrangement during annealing, explains the lower contribution of the recovery processes in the softening of the alloy. On the other hand, the interaction of solute atoms and precipitates with migrating grain boundaries explains the need of greater thermal activation for the occurrence of recrystallization in the alloy.

Subsequently, recrystallization textures were also studied. One-hour annealing at 550 and 575°C after cold rolling was enough to cause static recrystallization in both materials, pure Zr and Zry-4. The crystallographic orientation of the basal planes, however, remained stable (see Table 1). At 600°C, a change in this direction was observed in Zry-4, tending to {0002} <1100>, while in pure zirconium basal planes remain stable. Zirconium and alloys have a very stable texture, and recrystallization is not enough to change it. Finally, the texture evolution during mechanical and thermal processing observed in this work is in reasonable agreement with the literature about zirconium alloy crystallographic texture [16,23,24].

4. CONCLUSIONS
The following conclusions can be drawn:

• Pure Zr and Zry-4 in the as-received condition show a monophasic (α-phase) microstructure, but with completely different features. Pure Zr displays a microstructure of equiaxed recrystallized grains, while the alloy Zry-4 displays a basketweave microstructure, typical of zirconium alloys quenched from the β phase.

• At room temperature, pure Zr shows appropriate plasticity, but the alloy has low ductility. Above 300°C, the ductility of both materials increases significantly with an increase in rolling temperatures up to 500°C. This increase in ductility has three main causes: enhanced number of slip systems, the occurrence of cross-slip and increase in the mobility of dislocations.

• During annealing after cold-rolling with a thickness reduction of 55%, Zr and Zry-4 softens by recovery and recrystallization, but the relative contribution of recovery is less pronounced for the alloy. The interaction of solute atoms with dislocations explains the smaller contribution of the recovery processes to the softening of the alloy. The Zry-4 recrystallization temperature increases by about 60°C. The interaction of solute atoms and precipitates with migrating grain boundaries explains the need of greater thermal activation for the occurrence of recrystallization in the alloy.
The cold rolled texture of both materials shows the predominant component $\{122\} \langle 10\overline{1}0\rangle$. After recrystallization, the crystallographic orientation of the basal planes, however, remains stable. After annealing at 600°C, in Zry-4 the texture changes to $\{0002\} <1100>$, while in pure zirconium basal planes orientations remain stable.
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