Is there still a place for thrombectomy?
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Malignancy is known to be a prothrombotic condition, and some antitumor drugs may amplify the hypercoagulable tendency. We present a female patient with cancer, who developed acute coronary syndrome (ACS) due to occlusive intracoronary thrombus without underlying atherosclerosis.

A 59-year-old woman with metastatic breast cancer diagnosed 2 years ago, paraneoplastic syndrome and steroid diabetes was admitted to our institution due to chest discomfort. The patient was previously treated with chemotherapy (trastuzumab and methylprednisolone). The last course was administered 4 months ago. The antithrombotic treatment with enoxaparin had been discontinued 3 weeks before, when an intensive rehabilitation program for cerebellar syndrome was initiated. Based on ST-segment depression in V4–V6 leads in ECG and elevated troponin T up to 2923 ng/ml (UNL < 14), non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) was diagnosed. Coronary angiography did not show any vessel wall irregularities. However, occlusive thrombus in the distal segment of the right coronary artery (RCA) was visualized, with distal TIMI 1 flow (Figures 1 A, B). 5000 IU of unfractionated heparin was administered, manual thrombectomy was performed (Figure 1 C) and the TIMI 3 flow was restored (Figure 1 D). After successful thrombus evacuation there was no RCA stenosis on angiography. Thus, neither balloon angioplasty nor stenting was attempted. Echocardiography revealed akinesis of the inferior wall, modestly impaired left ventricular systolic function with ejection fraction of 50% and moderate mitral regurgitation secondary to perforation of the posterior mitral leaflet (probably old), which was decided to be left for conservative treatment. Antithrombotic treatment with 75 mg of clopidogrel and 1 mg/kg o.d. of enoxaparin was prescribed indefinitely.

Breast cancer may itself induce a hypercoagulatory state, which can subsequently lead to thrombus formation within the venous system, pulmonary circulation, and more rarely within different arteries. Antithrombotic prophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) is recommended, and its withdrawal for any reason may exacerbate the hypercoagulable tendency.

The role of thrombectomy as an adjunctive tool for primary percutaneous coronary intervention after ambivalent results of the four main randomized trials [see insightful comments of Musiałek [1] on the limitations of these studies] remains uncertain. Surprisingly, neither thrombus burden nor the coronary flow before interventional treatment had any significant impact on the outcome in the TASTE trial [2]. However, the vast majority of the patients included in these trials had underlying atherosclerosis, in contrast to our patient.

Secondly, an intact artery after successful thrombectomy does not need balloon angioplasty or stent implantation, unless relevant intraluminal abnormality is seen. As suggested by Souteyrand et al., deferred two-step treatment of ACS with optical coherence tomography (OCT) guidance may lead to stenting abstention in more than 30% of cases after large thrombus removal with thrombectomy [3]. Thrombus regression under optimal pharmacotherapy has also been confirmed by other OCT studies [4]. Stenting by itself may lead to thrombosis. Although the causal relation between malignancy and stent thrombosis is not statistically proven, several reports of such a complication have been published [5]. Furthermore, even in the era of drug-eluting stents, possible restenosis should be taken into account. Finally, stent implantation imposes a temporary regimen of double antiplatelet therapy. Combination of such pharmacotherapy with LMWH may not be the optimal option, as it increases the bleeding risk.

In conclusion, recent ESC guidelines have downgraded the thrombectomy recommendations for STEMI.
patients to class IIb (or class IIa for stent thrombosis). However, in selected NSTEMI cases this therapy must not be forgotten, and not only as an adjunctive therapy, but even as a sole interventional tool.
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