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Dental trainees’ mental health and intention to leave their programs during the COVID-19 pandemic
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ABSTRACT

Background. Dental trainees (dental students, graduate students, and postdoctoral residents) are at increased risk of experiencing poor mental health, which can lead to intentions to leave their program, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods. The authors invited 355 dental trainees at the University of Washington School of Dentistry to complete an 83-item questionnaire in August and September 2020. The outcome analyzed was intention to leave their programs. There were 4 self-reported predictors: anxiety, burnout, depression, and COVID-19 impact on overall mental health. The authors ran multiple variable logistic regression models to evaluate relationships between each predictor and outcome (α = .05) and reported odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs.

Results. The survey response rate was 35.5%. Overall, 12.7% of participants reported any intention to leave. In total, 22.2% and 16.7% of participants endorsed clinically significant anxiety or depression symptomatology, respectively; 28.6% reported 1 or more burnout symptoms; and 69.0% reported that COVID-19 affected their overall mental health. Participants reporting anxiety (OR, 8.87; 95% CI, 1.80 to 43.57; P = .007), depression (OR, 11.18; 95% CI, 1.84 to 67.74; P = .009), or burnout (OR, 8.14; 95% CI, 1.73 to 38.23; P = .008) were significantly more likely to report intention to leave than those not reporting mental health problems. All participants reporting that the COVID-19 pandemic impacted their mental health expressed intention to leave.

Conclusions. Poor mental health is common among dental trainees and is associated with intention to leave their program.

Practical Implications. COVID-19 has exacerbated the prevalence and consequences of poor mental health among dental students, highlighting the importance of providing wellness resources.
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Burnout can lead to poor academic performance.\textsuperscript{1,2,12} Another consequence of burnout is intention to leave dental school or actual attrition, although studies on this topic have not been conducted in dentistry since the late 1990s.\textsuperscript{13} Most concerning is the association between poor mental health and suicidal ideation, with results from 1 school indicating a 6\% prevalence of clinically significant suicidal ideation among dental students.\textsuperscript{10}

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated mental health problems among those living in the United States, especially among those aged 18 through 24 years, the age group into which most dental trainees (dental students, graduate students, and postdoctoral residents) fall.\textsuperscript{14,15} Seattle, Washington, was the first epicenter of the US pandemic, and the University of Washington (UW) was the first dental school in the United States to close its clinics in March 2020. Clinic closures introduced new uncertainties (for example, curricular, clinical, economic, career, and health). Relatedly, swift transitions to online education, paired with sweeping closures and substantial restrictions on activity in Seattle, caused major disruptions to the day-to-day lives of trainees. Other destabilizing events occurring at the same time included civil unrest after nationwide police-instigated violence targeted at Black, indigenous, and people of color and widespread political tensions leading up to the 2020 presidential election.

A study of UW dental trainees might be particularly worthwhile for determining the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on trainee mental health and other related outcomes, such as retention, especially in the context of growing concerns about dental student wellness. In this study, we administered a schoolwide survey to estimate the prevalence of mental health problems and the extent to which poor mental health and the COVID-19 pandemic were related to intention to leave dental school. Ultimately, this knowledge can be used to inform the development of relevant dental trainee wellness interventions—perhaps on a national scale—aimed at addressing unmet mental health needs, mitigating the psychological effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and reducing other adverse outcomes, such as dropout.

METHODS

Study design
We administered an anonymous survey via the web-based application Research Electronic Data Capture. Those eligible to participate were all trainees at the UW School of Dentistry, including dental students (n = 268), graduate students (n = 15), and postdoctoral residents (n = 72). Each participant was e-mailed an initial invitation to complete the survey, followed by 2 reminder e-mails. The survey was available for completion from August 19, 2020 through September 15, 2020. Participation was voluntary, and there was no incentive provided. The UW Institutional Review Board classified our study as exempt.

Survey measures
We developed an 83-item survey that included multiple-choice, checklist, and open-ended items on the following topics: intentions to leave their program, general mental health (for example, anxiety, depression, and burnout), and effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. The survey also assessed substance use, isolation from peers, use of professional support system, unmet needs (food insecurity and ability to pay bills), and demographics. We used previously validated items when available, adapting them when necessary to ensure relevance to trainees. We also created new items specifically for this investigation when no appropriate measure could be found in the literature.

Outcome
The outcome analyzed was intention to leave their programs, measured with an adapted item from the Physician Worklife Study.\textsuperscript{16} Response alternatives were on a Likert-type scale (not at all, slightly, moderately, likely, definitely). Data were dichotomized as no intention to leave or any intention to leave.

Predictor variables
There were 4 self-reported predictors: anxiety, depression, burnout, and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on overall mental health. Anxiety was assessed with the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7), which maps to the diagnostic criteria for generalized anxiety disorder.\textsuperscript{17}
Each item was scored from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day), and a total score was calculated by means of summing item scores. We used the standard threshold of a total score of 10 or higher to classify participants as likely meeting the criteria for generalized anxiety disorder. Depression was assessed with the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), which maps to the diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder (major depression). PHQ-9 scores are calculated like GAD-7 scores. Using the standard threshold, a PHQ-9 total score of 10 or higher was classified as suggestive of major depressive disorder. We measured burnout with a single item from the Physician Worklife Study. The item has 5 ordinal response alternatives. Consistent with previous use, we dichotomized responses as no burnout symptoms or 1 or more symptoms of burnout. Finally, using an item created for this study, we asked participants to rank how much they agreed with the statement, “COVID-19 has impacted my mental health” on a Likert-type scale (strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree). Responses were dichotomized as affecting mental health (agree, strongly agree) and not affecting mental health (strongly disagree, disagree, neutral).

Confounding and descriptive variables
We included the following variables as model confounding variables: demographic characteristics (that is, gender, race, and first-generation college student status), food insecurity, ability to pay bills, alcohol use, isolation from peers, and professional support use.

Gender had 3 categories (man, woman, or other). Race included the following categories, consistent with the US Census Bureau: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, White, or other. We regrouped all categories except Asian and White as other owing to small subgroups. First-generation college student status was a dichotomous variable (no, yes). To measure food insecurity, we used the existing 2-item screener described elsewhere (no, yes). We asked about the ability to pay bills (can pay bills in full, cannot pay bills in full) using an item from a questionnaire developed at the Pew Research Center. To measure alcohol use, we adapted the Substance Use Brief Screen, which asks about frequency of tobacco, alcohol, and marijuana use. We omitted the description of marijuana as illegal to reflect Washington state laws and altered the time frame to ask about substance use in the past 3 months. Because use of tobacco and marijuana was relatively low (4.0% and 16.9% used any amount of tobacco or any amount marijuana, respectively), we included only alcohol use in the analyses. We created a dichotomous variable called alcohol use to indicate having 4 or more alcoholic drinks on 3 or more days in the past 3 months (yes, no).

We created a new item on social support, “Do you ever feel alone or isolated from your peers?” (no, yes) and assessed use of a professional support system by means of asking respondents to select from a newly created checklist of resources that they had used while at UW (for example, counseling and treatment services, wellness coach, and personal trainer). Professional support system use was dichotomized as never used resources or used 1 or more resources.

To better describe the study population, we asked how much the COVID-19 pandemic influenced the participant’s finances, using an adapted item from the Pew Research Center survey. We also asked about the impact of civil unrest in Seattle and in the United States by means of modifying the previous question. For both items on effect of the COVID-19 pandemic, there were 3 response alternatives: no impact, minor impact, or major impact. We created a new checklist from which trainees identified the educational and technological resources they needed for remote learning but did not have access to (for example, library services, quiet work space, tutoring services, and internet access).

Data management and statistical analyses
We first summarized all data according to trainee type (for example, dental student, graduate student, or postdoctoral resident) and compared across groups using χ² test (α = .05). When there were low expected cell counts, we used Fisher exact test. Because there were no significant differences in the outcome or predictor variables across trainee type, we analyzed the data as a single group. We assessed the bivariate relationships between intention to leave the program and each predictor by means of generating unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs using logistic regression models. Then, we used multiple variable logistic regression models to evaluate the relationship between each predictor variable and intention to leave the program. These latter models adjusted for confounding variables (that is, demographics, food insecurity, ability to pay bills, alcohol use,
social support, and professional support use), and we reported confounding variable—adjusted ORs and 95% CIs. Observations with missing data were excluded from the regression analyses. Survey responses were downloaded from the web-based application Research Electronic Data Capture and imported into SPSS, Version 25 (IBM) for analyses.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics
Of the 355 trainees who received an invitation, 126 (35.5%) completed the survey. Group response rates were 36.2% for dental students (n = 97), 86.7% for graduate students (n = 13), and 23.6% for postdoctoral residents (n = 17) (Table 1). Of the dental students who responded, 38.1% were first year, 15.5% were second year, 17.5% were third year, and 26.8% were fourth year.

Slightly more than one-half (55.6%) of all participants were women. In terms of race, 57.1% were White, 33.3% were Asian, and 7.9% self-identified with another race category. Overall, 22.2% were first-generation college graduates, 14.3% were food insecure, and 17.5% could not pay their bills in full. Alcohol use was reported from 23.8% of participants. In total, 46.8% reported feeling isolated from peers, and 28.6% used 1 or more professional support systems. Almost 69.0% of participants reported that the COVID-19 pandemic had impacted their finances, and 61.9% reported some impact from the civil unrest. Approximately one-half of participants reported that their educational and technological needs were met. Library services and quiet work space were the 2 most common unmet educational needs.

Outcome
Overall, 12.7% of participants reported any intention to leave their programs (Table 1). This was similar across all 3 trainee types.

Predictor variables
One in 5 participants (22.2%) had GAD-7 scores suggesting that they met diagnostic criteria for generalized anxiety disorder, and 16.7% had PHQ-9 scores suggesting that they met diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder. In addition, 28.6% of participants reported 1 or more symptoms of burnout, and 69.0% reported that the COVID-19 pandemic impacted their overall mental health (Table 2).

Regression models
Because results from the unadjusted and confounding variable—adjusted models were similar, we report the latter (Table 3). Participants who were categorized as likely meeting criteria for generalized anxiety disorder were 8.87 times as likely to report intention to leave as those who were categorized as not meeting criteria (95% CI, 1.80 to 43.57; P = .007). Those who were categorized as likely meeting criteria for major depressive disorder were 11.18 times as likely to report intention to leave (95% CI, 1.84 to 67.74; P = .009) as their nondepressed counterparts. Participants endorsing burnout were 8.14 times as likely as those who did not to report intention to leave (95% CI, 1.73 to 38.32; P = .008). All participants reporting that the COVID-19 pandemic had impacted their overall mental health also reported intention to leave.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we administered a survey to dental trainees at a single dental school to assess mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic and the effects of poor mental health on intention to leave their training program. There were 2 main findings.

First, poor self-reported mental health was prevalent among survey respondents, and the COVID-19 pandemic was a likely contributor. Nearly 70% of respondents believed that the pandemic affected their mental health. One in 5 participants endorsed symptoms consistent with generalized anxiety disorder, and 17% endorsed symptoms consistent with major depression. These results are similar to estimates from a multinational survey of health care profession trainees in which dental students were overrepresented and for which the GAD-7 and PHQ-9 were also used.24 That survey was completed in August and September 2020, the same time as ours, and documented generalized anxiety disorder and major depression prevalence estimates of 23.4% and 22.9%, respectively.24 Our
Table 1. Characteristics of study population of dental students, graduate students, and postdoctoral residents at the University of Washington School of Dentistry and corresponding $P$ values from bivariate analyses ($n = 126$).

| VARIABLES                                | TOTAL, NO. (%) ($n = 126$) | DENTAL STUDENTS, NO. (%) ($n = 97$) | GRADUATE STUDENTS, NO. (%) ($n = 12$) | POSTDOCTORAL RESIDENTS, NO. (%) ($n = 17$) | $P$ VALUE |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Gender                                   |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .35*      |
| Man                                      | 51 (40.5)                   | 41 (42.3)                           | 2 (16.7)                              | 8 (47.1)                                    | NA†       |
| Woman                                    | 70 (55.6)                   | 51 (52.6)                           | 10 (83.3)                             | 9 (52.9)                                    | NA        |
| Other                                    | 3 (2.4)                     | 3 (3.1)                             | NA                                    | NA                                          | NA        |
| Missing                                  | 2 (1.6)                     | 2 (2.1)                             | NA                                    | NA                                          | NA        |
| Race                                     |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .56*      |
| Asian                                    | 42 (33.3)                   | 32 (33.0)                           | 6 (50.0)                              | 4 (23.5)                                    | NA        |
| White                                    | 72 (57.1)                   | 56 (57.7)                           | 5 (41.7)                              | 11 (64.7)                                   | NA        |
| Other                                    | 10 (7.9)                    | 7 (7.2)                             | 1 (8.3)                               | 2 (11.8)                                    | NA        |
| Missing                                  | 2 (1.6)                     | 2 (2.1)                             | NA                                    | NA                                          | NA        |
| First-Generation College Student         |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .61*      |
| No                                       | 96 (76.2)                   | 75 (77.3)                           | 8 (66.7)                              | 13 (76.5)                                   | NA        |
| Yes                                      | 28 (22.2)                   | 20 (20.6)                           | 4 (33.3)                              | 4 (23.5)                                    | NA        |
| Missing                                  | 2 (1.6)                     | 2 (2.1)                             | NA                                    | NA                                          | NA        |
| Food Insecure                            |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .90*      |
| No                                       | 107 (84.9)                  | 83 (85.6)                           | 9 (75.0)                              | 15 (88.2)                                   | NA        |
| Yes                                      | 18 (14.3)                   | 14 (14.4)                           | 2 (16.7)                              | 2 (11.8)                                    | NA        |
| Missing                                  | 1 (0.8)                     | 1 (8.3)                             | NA                                    | NA                                          | NA        |
| Ability to Pay Bills                     |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | NA        |
| Pay bills in full                        | 104 (82.5)                  | 80 (82.5)                           | 9 (75.0)                              | 15 (88.2)                                   | .60*      |
| Cannot pay bills in full                 | 22 (17.5)                   | 17 (17.5)                           | 3 (25.0)                              | 2 (11.8)                                    | NA        |
| Alcohol Use                              |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .06*      |
| No                                       | 96 (76.2)                   | 73 (75.3)                           | 12 (100)                              | 11 (64.7)                                   | NA        |
| Yes                                      | 30 (23.8)                   | 24 (24.7)                           | NA                                    | 6 (35.3)                                    | NA        |
| Isolated From Peers                      |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .97       |
| No                                       | 67 (53.2)                   | 52 (53.6)                           | 6 (50)                                | 9 (52.9)                                    | NA        |
| Yes                                      | 59 (46.8)                   | 45 (46.4)                           | 6 (50)                                | 8 (47.1)                                    | NA        |
| Professional Support System Use          |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .88*      |
| Never used resources                     | 90 (71.4)                   | 70 (72.2)                           | 8 (66.7)                              | 12 (70.6)                                   | NA        |
| Used $\geq$ 1 resources                  | 36 (28.6)                   | 27 (27.8)                           | 4 (33.3)                              | 5 (29.4)                                    | NA        |
| COVID-19 Impact on Finances              |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .97       |
| Minor or major impact                    | 87 (69.0)                   | 67 (69.1)                           | 8 (66.7)                              | 12 (70.6)                                   | NA        |
| No impact                                | 39 (31.0)                   | 30 (30.9)                           | 4 (33.3)                              | 5 (29.4)                                    | NA        |
| Civil Unrest Impact                      |                             |                                     |                                       |                                             | .45       |
| Minor or major impact                    | 78 (61.9)                   | 58 (59.8)                           | 7 (58.3)                              | 13 (76.5)                                   | NA        |
| No impact                                | 46 (36.5)                   | 38 (39.2)                           | 4 (33.3)                              | 4 (23.5)                                    | NA        |
| Missing                                  | 2 (1.6)                     | 1 (1.0)                             | 1 (8.3)                               | NA                                          | NA        |
| Unmet Educational and Technological Needs (Yes Responses) |          |                                     |                                       |                                             | NA        |
| No unmet need                            | 64 (50.8)                   | 46 (47.4)                           | 7 (58.3)                              | 11 (64.7)                                   | .36       |
| Library services                         | 38 (30.2)                   | 36 (37.1)                           | NA                                    | 2 (11.8)                                    | .006      |

* Fisher exact test was used. † NA: Not applicable.
observed rates of anxiety and depression were lower than the 29% prevalence reported among the general US adult population during the COVID-19 pandemic, although in that study, researchers reported data from the first month of the pandemic in the United States, when the acute impact on mental health could be expected to be larger.25 The rate of likely major depression that we observed is consistent with rates reported in prepandemic studies of US dental trainees.7,8 Nearly 30% of survey participants reported burnout, which was also lower than the burnout prevalence for dental

Table 1. Continued

| VARIABLES            | TOTAL, NO. (%) (n = 126) | DENTAL STUDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 97) | GRADUATE STUDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 12) | POSTDOCTORAL RESIDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 17) | P VALUE |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Quiet work space     | 35 (27.8)                | 28 (29.8)                         | 4 (33.3)                           | 3 (17.6)                               | .61*    |
| Tutoring services    | 21 (16.7)                | 20 (20.6)                         | 1 (8.3)                            | NA                                     | .07*    |
| Internet access      | 12 (9.5)                 | 9 (9.3)                           | 1 (8.3)                            | 2 (11.8)                               | .87*    |
| Desktop computer     | 10 (7.9)                 | 6 (6.2)                           | 1 (8.3)                            | 3 (17.6)                               | .14*    |
| Other (unspecified)  | 7 (5.6)                  | 5 (5.2)                           | NA                                 | 2 (11.8)                               | .35*    |
| Video or audio hardware | 6 (4.8)              | 3 (3.1)                           | 1 (8.3)                            | 2 (11.8)                               | .18*    |
| Wi-Fi                | 3 (2.4)                  | 2 (2.1)                           | NA                                 | NA                                     | .30*    |
| Laptop computer      | 3 (2.4)                  | 1 (1.0)                           | 1 (8.3)                            | 1 (5.9)                                | .13*    |

Table 2. Distribution of outcome and predictor variables for participating dental students, graduate students, and postdoctoral residents at the University of Washington School of Dentistry (n = 126).

| VARIABLE                        | TOTAL, NO. (%) (n = 126) | DENTAL STUDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 97) | GRADUATE STUDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 12) | POSTDOCTORAL RESIDENTS, NO. (%) (n = 17) | P VALUE |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Outcome                         |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        |         |
| Intention to leave              |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        |         |
| No intention to leave           | 110 (87.3)               | 86 (88.7)                         | 9 (75.0)                           | 15 (88.2)                               | NA†     |
| Any intention to leave          | 16 (12.7)                | 11 (11.3)                         | 3 (25.0)                           | 2 (11.8)                               |         |
| Predictor                       |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        |         |
| Anxiety                         |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        |         |
| No                              | 97 (77.0)                | 76 (78.4)                         | 9 (75.0)                           | 12 (70.6)                               | NA      |
| Yes                             | 28 (22.2)                | 20 (20.6)                         | 3 (25.0)                           | 5 (29.4)                                | NA      |
| Missing                         | 1 (0.8)                  | 1 (1.0)                           | NA                                 | NA                                     | NA      |
| Depression                      |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        | .17*    |
| No                              | 101 (80.2)               | 82 (84.5)                         | 7 (58.3)                           | 12 (70.6)                               | NA      |
| Yes                             | 21 (16.7)                | 14 (14.4)                         | 4 (33.3)                           | 3 (17.6)                                | NA      |
| Missing                         | 4 (3.2)                  | 1 (1.0)                           | 1 (8.3)                            | 2 (11.8)                                | NA      |
| Burnout                         |                          |                                   |                                    |                                        | .31*    |
| No symptoms                     | 90 (71.4)                | 67 (69.1)                         | 11 (91.7)                          | 12 (70.6)                               | NA      |
| ≥ 1 symptoms                    | 36 (28.6)                | 30 (30.9)                         | 1 (8.3)                            | 5 (29.4)                                | NA      |
| COVID-19 has impacted my mental health |         |                                   |                                    |                                        | .44     |
| Agree or strongly agree         | 87 (69.0)                | 65 (67.0)                         | 8 (66.7)                           | 14 (82.4)                               | NA      |
| Neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree | 39 (31.0) | 32 (33.0)                         | 4 (33.3)                           | 3 (17.6)                                | NA      |

* Fisher exact test was used. † NA: Not applicable.
and medical students reported previously.\textsuperscript{10,11} A possible explanation is that survey respondents might have been biased toward better mental health than those who did not respond.

Regardless of how it compares with estimates reported in other studies, the prevalence of anxiety and depression we observed is a cause for concern. At least 1 in 5 trainees had clinically significant psychological symptoms that are known to be distressing and may interfere with everyday functioning. In addition, people can experience distress and interference resulting from anxiety or depressive symptoms even if symptoms are not severe enough to meet diagnostic criteria for a psychiatric disorder. In this way, that nearly 70% of participants endorsed an impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health is cause for concern.

Second, there was a relationship between poor mental health and intention to leave the program. Nearly 13% of participants in our study reported any dropout intentions. Although dropout intentions do not necessarily result in actual attrition, our observed prevalence of dropout intention was consistent with rates reported in a 1997 UK study, which ranged from 8.4% through 16.8%.\textsuperscript{13} Marôco and colleagues\textsuperscript{26} reported burnout as a predictor of dropout intention in their study of predoctoral university students.

Applying these findings to our study, the COVID-19 pandemic may compound the effects of poor mental health—to which dental trainees are predisposed owing to the rigor and intensity of training—that most likely is a function of the additional uncertainties regarding academic and clinical training, as well as future employment and income prospects. For instance, in a study of dental and dental hygiene students at 1 US dental school, researchers documented changes in future career plans because of the COVID-19 pandemic among nearly 12% of those surveyed.\textsuperscript{27} To explain the somewhat paradoxical finding that younger adults have experienced worse mental health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic than adults in older age groups, other researchers have suggested that the reason is that younger adults have encountered greater disruptions in major life events.\textsuperscript{14,28} In addition to this disruption, younger adults may have an underdeveloped repertoire of coping skills due to more limited life experience. Thus, younger adults, and especially those such as dental trainees who are already experiencing considerable stress, represent a vulnerable group.

Our data suggest that attention should be paid to dental trainees’ mental health. There are several interventional approaches that could help address the unmet mental health care needs of dental trainees. The first is to make professional resources available and to remove barriers to use. More than 70% of participants had never used resources such as counseling services or psychological care. Accessing resources can be a challenge for dental students with inflexible schedules, which reinforces the need to make such resources available outside of training hours and in close physical proximity to the school to reduce the related barrier of time-consuming commutes. Some students may have co-payments or deductibles, which highlights the need for dental schools to eliminate cost-related barriers to care for trainees. In addition, owing to the embarrassment and stigma associated with accessing services and potential concerns about confidentiality, especially among health science students, services may need to be provided outside of the physical dental school space.\textsuperscript{29}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{PREDICTOR} & \textbf{INTENTION TO LEAVE THE PROGRAM} & \textbf{Odds Ratio} & \textbf{95\% CI} & \textbf{P Value} & \textbf{Adjusted Odds Ratio}\textsuperscript{*} & \textbf{95\% CI} & \textbf{P Value} \\
\hline
Anxiety & & 6.09 & 2.02 to 18.38 & .001 & 8.87 & 1.80 to 43.57 & .007 \\
Depression & & 5.11 & 1.64 to 15.93 & .005 & 11.18 & 1.84 to 67.74 & .009 \\
Burnout & & 7.48 & 2.37 to 23.56 & .001 & 8.14 & 1.73 to 38.23 & .008 \\
COVID-19 Has Impacted Mental Health & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Logistic regression models predicting intention to leave the program for dental trainees at the University of Washington School of Dentistry (n = 126).}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{*} Multiple variable logistic regression adjusted for gender, race, first-generation college student status, food insecurity, ability to pay bills, alcohol use, isolation from peers, and professional support use. \textsuperscript{†} Unable to derive odds ratio or adjusted odds ratio owing to no variation on outcome. \textsuperscript{‡} NA: Not applicable.
Another approach to addressing unmet mental health care needs among dental trainees is to provide comprehensive wellness programming to all trainees. Such a process should include screening and referrals for clinical depression and anxiety, monitoring for burnout, and ensuring that students are engaged with school.\textsuperscript{26,30} Trainee engagement could be enhanced with opportunities for extracurricular social activities to address isolation (46.8% of survey respondents reported feeling isolated from their peers), service learning and public health outreach, and research.\textsuperscript{31,32} Universal wellness programming that teaches trainees evidence-based strategies (for example, cognitive behavioral techniques) for stress management may be helpful, especially if delivered early in training and reinforced regularly.\textsuperscript{33,34} Wellness initiatives might also include the universal, consistent provision of formal opportunities for trainees to convey acute financial or educational and technological needs, such as library or tutoring services, internet access, or hardware needs, all of which were among the most common unmet needs for survey participants during the COVID-19 pandemic.

There were 3 main study limitations. First, we had a relatively low survey response rate that limits internal generalizability. We sent 3 survey invitation reminders but offered no incentives for participation. Incentivizing participants could increase response rates in future studies. Our sample may be biased toward healthier students, based on lower rates of anxiety and depression in our sample compared with studies of the general US adult population during the COVID-19 pandemic. Second, we focused on a single dental school, which limits external generalizability. UW is in Seattle, one of the first US cities affected substantially by the pandemic. A national study of dental schools would generate more generalizable and representative data on US dental trainee mental health. Third, our primary outcome was intention to leave the program, which, as stated previously, does not necessarily translate to attrition. At the time the study was conducted, no trainees had left the program since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. Other outcomes, such as academic performance, satisfaction with work-life balance, and suicidal ideation are equally important to evaluate in the context of the consequences of poor mental health.

CONCLUSIONS
We found that poor mental health was common among surveyed dental trainees, which may have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Poor mental health was associated with dropout intentions. These findings support concerted efforts to promote wellness among dental trainees as a way to address unmet mental health care needs and prevent adverse outcomes associated with poor mental health.

Dr. Chi is a professor and the Lloyd and Kay Chapman chair for Oral Health, Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. Address correspondence to Dr. Chi at Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington School of Dentistry, Box 357476, Seattle, WA 98195, e-mail dchi@uw.edu.

Dr. Randall is an acting assistant professor, Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

Ms. Hill is a graduate research assistant, Department of Oral Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

Disclosure. None of the authors reported any disclosures.

Preparation of this manuscript was supported in part by grant K23DE028806 from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research to Dr. Randall.

The authors would like to thank the trainees at the University of Washington School of Dentistry for taking time to complete the wellness survey. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Ellen Maclachlan and Ms. Kimia Imani for editorial assistance.

References

1. Alahem AM, van der Molen HT, Alaujan AH, Schmidt HG, Zamakhshary MH. Stress amongst dental students: a systematic review. Eur J Dent Educ. 2011;15(1):8-18.
2. Elani HW, Allison PJ, Kumar RA, Mancini L, Lambrou A, Bedos C. A systematic review of stress in dental students. J Dent Educ. 2014;78(2):226-242.
3. Boyles JD, Ahmed B. Does student debt affect dental students’ and dentists’ stress levels? Br Dent J. 2017;223(8):601-606.
4. Elani HW, Bedos C, Allison PJ. Sources of stress in Canadian dental students: a prospective mixed methods study. J Dent Educ. 2013;77(11):1488-1497.
5. Polychronopoulou A, Divaris K. Dental students’ perceived sources of stress: a multi-country study. J Dent Educ. 2009;73(5):631-639.
6. Basudan S, Binazran N, Alhassan A. Depression, anxiety and stress in dental students. Int J Med Educ. 2017;8:179-186.
7. Harrison PL, Shaddox LM, Garvan CW, Behar-Hornstein LS. Wellness among dental students: an institutional study. J Dent Educ. 2016;80(9):1119-1125.
8. Lerman AR, Yamamoto KK, Taylor GW, Saeed SG. High depressive symptom prevalence in dental students associated with lifestyle and well-being characteristics. J Dent Educ. 2020;84(7):771-780.
9. American Dental Education Association (ADEA). Data analysis & research: dental school seniors. Accessed January 13, 2021. http://www.adea.org/data/seniors
10. Dreib GR, Braun S, Carrico C, Kinser P, Laskin D, Golob Deeb J. Burnout, depression and suicidal ideation in dental and dental hygiene students. Eur J Dent Educ. 2018;22(1):e70-e74.
11. Gorter R, Freeman R, Hammen S, Murtomaa H, Blinkhorn A, Humphris G. Psychological stress and health in undergraduate dental students: fifth year outcomes compared with first year baseline results from five European dental schools. Eur J Dent Educ. 2008;12(2):61-68.
12. Silverstein ST, Kritz-Silverstein D. A longitudinal study of stress in first-year dental students. J Dent Educ. 2015;79(8):836-848.

13. Drummond JR, Daguid R. Student drop-out from UK dental schools. Br Dent J. 1997;182(9):347-349.

14. Czeisler MÈ, Lane RI, Petrosky E, et al. Mental health, substance use, and suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic: United States, June 24-30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(32):1049-1057.

15. Ettman CK, Cohen OH, Abdalla SM, Galea S. Prevalence of depression symptoms in US adults before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. JADA 152(7):534-536.

16. Williams ES, Konrad TR, Linzer M, et al; For the SGIM Career Satisfaction Study Group. Refining the measurement of physician job satisfaction: results from the Physician Worklife Survey. Med Care. 1999;37(11):1140-1154.

17. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(10):1092-1097.

18. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2002;17(8):648-653.

19. McMurray JE, Linzer M, Konrad TR, Douglas J, Shugerman R, Nelson K; and for the SGIM Career Satisfaction Study Group. Revalidating the measurement of physician job satisfaction: results from the Physician Worklife Survey. Med Care. 1999;37(11):1140-1154.

20. Dolan ED, Mohr D, Lempa M, et al. Using a single item to measure burnout in primary care staff: a psychometric evaluation. J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(5):582-587.

21. Radanidt NE, Corbridge T, Johnson DB, Kim AS, Scott JM, Coldwell SE. Validation of a two-item food security screening tool in a dental setting. J Dent Child (Chic). 2018;85(3):114-119.

22. Keeter S. People financially affected by COVID-19 outbreak are experiencing more psychological distress than others. Pew Research Center. Fact Tank. March 20, 2020. Accessed January 13, 2021. http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/03/30/people-financially-affected-by-covid-19-outbreak-are-experiencing-more-psychological-distress-than-others/

23. McNeely J, Strauss SM, Sains R, et al. A brief patient self-administered substance use screening tool for primary care: two-site validation study of the Substance Use Brief Screen (SUBS). Am J Med. 2015;128(7):784.e784-e19.

24. Bashir TF, Hassan S, Maqbool A, et al. The psychological impact analysis of novel COVID-19 pandemic in health sciences students: a global survey. Eur J Dent. 2020;14(suppl 1):S91-S96.

25. Kampfen F, Kohler IV, Ciancio A, Bruine de Bruijn W, Maurer J, Kohler HP. Predictors of mental health during the Covid-19 pandemic in the US: role of economic concerns, health worries and social distancing. PLoS One. 2020;15(11):e0241895.

26. Marocco J, Assunção H, Harju-Laukkainen H, et al. Predictors of academic efficacy and dropout intention in university students: can engagement suppress burnout? PLoS One. 2020;15(12):e0239816.

27. García DT, Akinkugbe AA, Mosavel M, Smith CS, Brickhouse TH. COVID-19 and dental and dental hygiene students’ career plans. JDR Clin Trans Res. 2021;6(2):153-160.

28. Wallas C. The surprising mental toll of COVID. Scientific American. December 1, 2020. Accessed January 13, 2021. http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/the-surprising-mental-toll-of-covid/

29. Tong G. World Mental Health Day 2018, but how aware are medical students? Adv Med Educ Pract. 2019;10:247-249.

30. Scholz M, Neumann C, Ropohl A, Paulsen F, Burger PHM. Risk factors for mental disorders develop early in German students of dentistry. Ann Anat. 2016;208:204-207.

31. Czeisler MÈ, Lane RI, Petrosky E, et al. Mental health, substance use, and suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 pandemic: United States, June 24-30, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(32):1049-1057.

32. Alzahem AM, Van der Molen HT, Alaujan AH, De Boer BJ. Stress management in dental students: a systematic review. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2014;5:167-176.

33. Malley S, Stewart T, Howard KM. Research enrichment: evaluation of structured research in the curriculum for dental medicine students as part of the vertical and horizontal integration of biomedical training and discovery. BMC Med Educ. 2009;9:139.

34. Brickhouse TH. COVID-19 and dental and dental hygiene students’ career plans. JDR Clin Trans Res. 2021;6(2):153-160.

35. Colley JM, Harris M, Hellyer P, Radford DR. Teaching stress management in undergraduate dental education: are we doing enough? Br Dent J. 2018;224(6):405-407.