Subepithelial Lesion in Bulb with Gastric Outlet Obstruction
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A 63-year-old man is referred to the Emergency Center with complaints of nausea, vomiting, and epigastric pain for 2 weeks. The patient occasionally mentions vomiting after meals and also complains of other symptoms such as bloating, heavy post-meal pain, and pain in the epigastric region with the vomiting. He notes that he has weight lost about 5 kg in the past three months. The pain in the epigastric area was worsened after eating, and following these symptoms, his appetite has decreased.

The patient does not give a history of any particular disease. The patient’s vital signs are stable and are as follows:
Blood pressure: 120/100 mm Hg     pulse rate: 84/min        respiratory rate: 18/min        body temperature: 36.5°C

The patient is generally pale in appearance but not icteric. The mucus was dry. In the clinical examinations, her abdomen was fatty, soft, and without distention. The patient had mild tenderness in the epigastric region, and no mass was touched. The rest of his examinations were normal.

The patient’s laboratory findings indicate metabolic alkalosis. Table 1 summarizes the most important laboratory findings of the patient:

Table 1: Laboratory findings on referral time

| Results                      | Laboratory variables |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Hemoglobin                   | 14g/dL               |
| White blood cell (WBC)       | 11000                |
| Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV)| 78.5%                |
| Creatinine                   | 2 mg/dL              |
| Urea nitrogen                | 85 mg/dL             |
| Serum Iron                   | 57µg/dL              |
| Transferin and Iron-binding Capacity (TIBC) | 346µg/dL. |
| Total bilirubin              | 1.8µg/dL             |
| Alanine transaminase (ALT)   | 23unite/L            |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) | 17unite/L   |
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What is your diagnosis?

Answer:

Submucosal lesion of the duodenal bulb with the origin of Bruner’s gland hyperplasia

According to the clinical symptoms and laboratory findings of the patient and the result of endoscopy that showed a large space lesion in the gastric outlet (Figure 1), we performed endo-ultrasonography (EUS) for the patient and found a $17 \times 23$ mm hypoechoic and pedunculated lesion with the origin of layer 2 (muscularis mucosa) in D1 region (Figure 2). A sample was taken from the lesion and sent for pathology. Based on the EUS results (sub-mucosal lesion in layer 2), differential diagnoses such as carcinoid tumor were also presented to us. But after examining the pathological report of the specimen, we found the origin of the Bruner’s glands for this lesion, which suggested hyperplasia of these glands, and the diagnosis of tumor carcinoid was rejected. Then, with the resection of the lesion, the patient’s symptoms of nausea and vomiting were also resolved.

DISCUSSION

The clinical manifestations of abdominal polypoid lesions
can vary. However, most of the affected patients have symptoms of epigastric discomfort, decrease of appetite, vomiting, and nausea.\(^1\) There are various reasons for vomiting after eating and associated digestive symptoms.

Most lesions are less than 1 cm in size,\(^2\) but in our case, it was 17 × 23 mm. Bruner’s gland hyperplasia becomes rarer as it gets further from the duodenal bulb: duodenal bulb 57% of cases, the second portion of duodenum 27%, the third portion of duodenum 7%, jejunum 2%, terminal ileum 2%, and 5% are found in the pylorus.\(^3\) Due to the origin of the disease in our case, which was determined after pathological examination and also because of its size, it worth reporting as an unusual and rare case.

In our case, because the lesion was pedunculated, intussusception of the lesion into the stomach resulted in symptoms of gastric outlet obstruction (GOO). GOO is a clinical and pathophysiological complication of the process of malignant and benign diseases that leads to mechanical GOO. Until 1970, peptic ulcer disease (PUD) was the most common cause of GOO.\(^4\) But recently, the rate has dropped for this reason.\(^5\) GOO includes obstruction within the gastric-pyloric area or inside the bulb segments and behind the duodenal bulb. Benign GOO has a variety of causes, including NSAIDs using, helicobacter pylori inflammation, chronic pancreatitis, Crohn’s disease, and anastomotic stenosis.\(^6\)

It should be noted that, generally, residual malignancy is the most common cause of GOO worldwide.\(^7\) In our case, after the pathological examination of the specimen, the diagnosis of malignancy was rejected, and Bruner’s gland hyperplasia was confirmed.
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