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ABSTRACT

We analyze the properties of the broad line region (BLR) in low luminosity AGN by using HST/STIS spectra. We consider a sample of 24 nearby galaxies in which the presence of a BLR has been reported from their Palomar ground-based spectra. Following a widely used strategy, we used the [S II] doublet to subtract the contribution of the narrow emission lines to the Hα+[N II] complex and to isolate the BLR emission. Significant residuals that suggest a BLR, are present. However, the results change substantially when the [O I] doublet is used. Furthermore, the spectra are also reproduced well by just including a wing in the narrow Hα and [N II] lines, thus not requiring the presence of a BLR.

We conclude that complex structure of the narrow line region (NLR) is not captured with single-epoch measurements of luminosities and line properties in all broad lined AGN, even at high redshift. Clearly, any improvement in the physical picture of the BLR would increase confidence in this extremely powerful tool.

The purpose of our study is to gain a deeper understanding of the BLR and of its link to the central engine by exploring its properties in low luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs). While most of the observational and theoretical effort has been devoted to studying the BLR in luminous AGNs, LLAGNs can offer a different perspective. It is indeed becoming increasingly clear that LLAGNs are not simply scaled down versions of more powerful active galaxies, because at the lowest accretion levels, the mechanism of black hole feeding is likely to be substantially different.

Given the strong connection between the accretion disk and the BLR predicted by most models, we might expect that the broad lines in LLAGNs should also be a different. For example, it has been proposed that the BLR disappears in these objects (e.g., Vestergaard 2002, Laor 2003, Nicastro 2000, Elitzur & Shlosman 2006), and it is then essential to establish whether this is indeed the case and at which level of activity this occurs.

A significant improvement in our knowledge of the BLR properties in LLAGNs has been achieved with the ground-based spectroscopic study of a complete sample of 486 nearby galaxies by Ho et al. (1997), hereafter, the Palomar survey. These data are a fundamental reservoir of measurements for statistical studies of the nuclear properties of LLAGNs. However, the detection and the measurement of broad lines in the spectra of LLAGN is particularly difficult. Nonetheless, in their survey, the presence of a BLR has been reported in 46 objects, at various
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1. Introduction

The clearest signature of an active galactic nucleus (AGN) is the presence of broad emission lines in its spectrum, produced by dense clouds of ionized gas located in the broad line region (BLR). The substantial line widths and rapid variability indicate that the BLR is located very close to the central source. Therefore, the BLR represents a unique laboratory for exploring the process of accretion onto supermassive black holes (SMBH). The BLR has been intensively studied for many years, but it is far from being completely understood. Several questions concerning, for instance, the origin of the BLR clouds, their dynamics, and the way they are related to the overall properties of the AGN are still waiting for an answer.

The BLR is spatially unresolved in even the nearest AGNs, and the only information about its structure can be inferred from the properties of the broad emission lines. The most powerful method of exploring the geometry and kinematics of the BLR is the reverberation mapping technique, based on the time lag between the changes in the broad emission lines in response to the variation in the ionizing radiation (Blandford & McKee 1982). Among other results, reverberation mapping constrains the BLR size and offers the possibility of estimating the mass of the SMBH (e.g., Peterson & Wandel 2000). The relation linking the BLR radius and the AGN luminosity (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2003, Bentz et al. 2010) can then be used to estimate black hole masses from single-epoch measurements of luminosities and line profiles in all broad lined AGN, even at high redshift (Vestergaard 2002). Clearly, any improvement in the physical picture of the BLR would increase confidence in this extremely powerful tool.

The purpose of our study is to gain a deeper understanding of the BLR and of its link to the central engine by exploring its properties in low luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs). While most of the observational and theoretical effort has been devoted to studying the BLR in luminous AGNs, LLAGNs can offer a different perspective. It is indeed becoming increasingly clear that LLAGNs are not simply scaled down versions of more powerful active galaxies, because at the lowest accretion levels, the mechanism of black hole feeding is likely to be substantially different.

Given the strong connection between the accretion disk and the BLR predicted by most models, we might expect that the broad lines in LLAGNs should also be affected. For example, it has been proposed that the BLR disappears in these objects (e.g., Vestergaard 2002, Laor 2003, Nicastro 2000, Elitzur & Shlosman 2006), and it is then essential to establish whether this is indeed the case and at which level of activity this occurs.

A significant improvement in our knowledge of the BLR properties in LLAGNs has been achieved with the ground-based spectroscopic study of a complete sample of 486 nearby galaxies by Ho et al. (1997), hereafter, the Palomar survey. These data are a fundamental reservoir of measurements for statistical studies of the nuclear properties of LLAGNs. However, the detection and the measurement of broad lines in the spectra of LLAGN is particularly difficult. Nonetheless, in their survey, the presence of a BLR has been reported in 46 objects, at various
levels of confidence, of the 211 galaxies classified as Seyferts or LINERs (Ho et al. 1997c). Based mainly on these results, Wang & Zhang (2003) and Zhang et al. (2007) argue that the BLR size in LLAGNs is apparently larger than what it would have been expected given their luminosity. They suggest that this is due to a lower ionization (and/or a lower density) of the BLR clouds in these “dwarf” AGNs with respect to Seyfert 1 galaxies and QSOs.

However, the BLR properties of LLAGN obtained from observations with the STIS spectrograph onboard HST differ, often dramatically, from what is seen in ground-based spectra (see, e.g., Ho et al. 2000, Shields et al. 2000, Barth et al. 2001, de Francesco et al. 2006). This might have been expected since ground-based spectra are affected by a strong stellar continuum level and by narrow lines contamination. HST/STIS is better suited to studying faint broad emission lines, thanks to the strongly reduced aperture size that enhances the contrast between weak, broad emission lines against the bulge starlight and the narrow lines. We here analyze the available HST/STIS spectra of the galaxies for which the presence of a broad Hα emission has been reported in the Palomar survey.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we define the sample of LLAGNs studied, while in Sect. 3 we present the analysis of the HST spectra and the main observational results. The properties of the detected BLR and the comparison with the ground-based results are presented in Sects. 4 and 5. We explore the detectability of the BLR in the objects where it is not seen in Sect. 6. In Sect. 7 we discuss whether the BLR scaling relations derived for luminous AGN can also be applied to LLAGNs. In Sect. 8 the properties of the BLR in LLAGN are compared to various models that predict its disappearance at low luminosities. In Sect. 9 we provide a summary and our conclusions.

2. The sample and the archival observations

The Palomar survey consists of optical spectroscopic observations, performed with the Palomar 5 m Hale telescope, of 486 bright (B ≤ 12.5 mag) galaxies, located in the northern sky (Filippenko & Sargent 1985, Ho et al. 1995). In these ground-based spectra, 46 galaxies show definite or probable evidence of broad Hα emission (Ho et al. 1995). We searched the Hubble Legacy Archive (HLA) for HST/STIS spectra of these sources covering the Hα line or, when not available, the Hβ region. We found data for 24 galaxies, as reported in Table 1.

When available, we combined multiple observations to remove cosmic rays and bad pixels. From the fully calibrated data
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Fig. 1. Spectroscopic diagnostic diagrams for the galaxies of the Palomar sample with reported broad lines. The red (black) dots represent galaxies with (without) available HST spectra. The solid lines are from Kewley et al. (2006) and separate star-forming galaxies, LINER, and Seyfert; in the first panel, the region between the two curves is populated by the composite galaxies.
Table 1. Log of the HST/STIS observations.

| Name       | Slit width | Grism | Obs. Date       | Obs. Id               | Exp. Time [s] | Scale [Å/pix] |
|------------|------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| NGC 0315   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 2000-06-18      | O5EE02060 to 70       | 500           | 1.11          |
| NGC 1052   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-01-02      | O57203050             | 1974          | 0.55          |
| NGC 1275   | 0.2′′      | G430L | 2000-08-18      | O62005010             | 720           | 5.05          |
| NGC 2787   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1998-12-05      | O4E002010 to 30       | 2012          | 0.55          |
| NGC 2985   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 2011-07-02      | O8IB02010 to 70       | 5322          | 0.55          |
| NGC 3031   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 1999-07-14      | O51301010             | 1000          | 0.55          |
| NGC 3227   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-01-31      | O57204040             | 1890          | 0.55          |
| NGC 3516   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2000-06-18      | O56C01020 to 40       | 2116          | 0.55          |
| NGC 3642   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2000-10-13      | O5H720030 to 40       | 864           | 0.55          |
| NGC 3982   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1998-04-11      | O4E006010 to 30       | 2997          | 0.55          |
| NGC 3998   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 2002-04-07      | O6N902010 to 40       | 520           | 1.11          |
| NGC 4036   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-03-25      | O57206030             | 2896          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4051   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2000-03-12      | O5H730030 to 40       | 864           | 1.11          |
| NGC 4143   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-03-20      | O4E009010 to 30       | 2856          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4151   | 0.1′′      | G430L | 1998-02-10      | O42303050             | 720           | 2.75          |
| NGC 4203   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-04-18      | O4E010010 to 30       | 2779          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4258   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2001-03-16      | O67104030             | 1440          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4278   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 2000-05-11      | O7107030             | 3128          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4395   | 0.2′′      | G430L | 2011-05-25      | OBGU04010 to 20       | 1386          | 0.28          |
| NGC 4450   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-01-31      | O4E016010 to 30       | 2697          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4579   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 1999-04-21      | O57208040             | 2692          | 0.55          |
| NGC 4636   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2001-04-02      | O5L020402 to 30       | 3590          | 0.55          |
| NGC 5005   | 0.2′′      | G750M | 2000-12-24      | O5H741030             | 734           | 0.55          |
| NGC 5077   | 0.1′′      | G750M | 1998-03-12      | O4D305020             | 418           | 1.11          |

Fig. 2. Comparison of HST and Palomar spectra of NGC 1052. The fluxes are in unit of \(10^{-18}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Å\(^{-1}\), while wavelengths are in Å.

we extracted the nuclear spectrum from a synthetic aperture of 0′′15 and applied the proper aperture corrections.

The HST aperture is thus 0′′2 (or even 0′′1)x0′′15, which is significantly smaller than the 2′′x4′′ aperture of the Palomar survey. This reduces the contamination of starlight and of narrow lines, favoring the detection of any broad component.
The dramatic change in our view of LLAGN when using HST spectra is described well by the comparison with the corresponding ground-based spectrum (see Fig. 2).

We explored the location of these sources in the spectroscopic diagnostic diagrams (see Fig. 1) by using the ground-based emission line ratios. According to the criteria given by Kewley et al. (2006), 16 of them are LINERs, 7 Seyfert, and one (namely NGC 1275) is an ambiguous source, since it moves from the Seyfert region in the middle panel to the LINERs region, in the righthand panel (see Table 2).

### 3. Analysis of the spectra

In this section we describe the analysis of the spectra used to assess the presence of any broad component in the Balmer lines. In ten cases, a BLR is readily visible with just a visual inspection of the spectra. This is the case for all Seyfert galaxies (with the exception of NGC 3982 and NGC 4258, which is probably not a true Seyfert, as we discuss in Sect. 8) and of five LINERs. Their spectra are shown in Fig. 3 and are analyzed in more detail toward the end of this section.

The spectra of the remaining sources require a more accurate analysis before we can conclude whether a BLR is present and, in this case, derive its properties. The method we adopted is based on the assumption that the lines of the [S II] doublet provide a good representation of the shape of the [N II] and of the H\(\alpha\) narrow line component, similar to the method used by Ho et al. (1997c). The [S II] lines are reproduced with a Gaussian profile but, when needed, two Gaussians for each line are used.

For each source, we initially attempted to reproduce the H\(\alpha\)+[N II] complex by fixing the line's shape to the one derived for the [S II] without including any broad H\(\alpha\) component by just scaling the line intensities to match the H\(\alpha\) narrow line component, similar to the method used by Ho et al. (1997c). The [N II] lines are reproduced with a Gaussian profile but, when needed, two Gaussians for each line are used.

For each source, we initially attempted to reproduce the H\(\alpha\)+[N II] complex by fixing the line's shape to the one derived for the [S II] without including any broad H\(\alpha\) component by just scaling the line intensities to match the H\(\alpha\) and [N II] lines. Significant residuals are present in the form of blue and red wings around the H\(\alpha\)+[N II] complex, apparently a clear indication of the presence of a broad H\(\alpha\) component. We then include such a component in our analysis (Fig. 4, top right panel) by adopting a skewed Gaussian shape in this case. The free parameters (the intensities of all lines and the width of the broad component)
Fig. 3. Spectra of the objects in which a broad Balmer line is readily visible with just a visual inspection.
Table 2. Parameters of the sample galaxies.

| Name      | T    | D    | $\sigma_\star$ | L$_s$ | L$_{[O\ III]}$ | Ground measurements | HST measurements |
|-----------|------|------|----------------|-------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
|           | (1)  | (2)  | (3)           | (4)   | (5)           | (6)                 | (7)              | (8)              | (9)              | (10)             | (11)             | (12)             |
| NGC 0315  | L    | 65.8 | 303.7         | 41.63 | 39.43         | -13.85              | 2000             | -14.95           | <14.05           | <39.66           | –               |
| NGC 1052  | L    | 17.8 | 215.0         | 41.53 | 40.10         | -12.32              | 1950             | -13.43           | <13.72           | <38.86           | –               |
| NGC 1275  | A    | 70.1 | 258.9         | 42.86 | 41.61         | -12.05              | 2750             | -13.01           | <13.21           | <40.56           | –               |
| NGC 2878  | L    | 13.0 | 202.0         | 38.79 | 38.37         | -13.56              | 2050             | -14.26           | <13.47           | <38.83           | –               |
| NGC 2985  | L    | 22.4 | 140.8         | 39.46$^a$ | 38.69       | -13.98              | 2050             | -14.94           | <14.22           | <38.56           | –               |
| NGC 3031  | L    | 1.4  | 161.6         | 39.38 | 37.72         | -11.94              | 6500             | -13.03           | -12.08           | 38.29            | 4200             |
| NGC 3227  | S    | 20.6 | 136.0         | 41.70 | 40.68         | -11.50              | 2950             | -13.10           | -11.87           | 40.83            | 2934             |
| NGC 3516  | S    | 38.9 | 181.0         | 42.39 | 40.80         | -11.54              | 3850             | -11.48           | 41.48            | 47.83            | 4236             |
| NGC 3642  | S    | 25.7 | 85.00         | 39.84 | 38.96         | -13.89              | 1250             | -14.52           | <13.35           | 39.61            | –               |
| NGC 3982  | S    | 17.0 | 73.3          | 38.76 | 39.83         | -13.85              | 2150             | -14.10           | <13.62           | <37.92           | –               |
| NGC 4036  | L    | 21.6 | 304.6         | 41.34 | 39.62         | -12.59              | 2150             | -13.05           | -12.48           | 40.27            | 5200             |
| NGC 4051  | S    | 17.0 | 89.0          | 42.03 | 40.18         | -11.77              | 1000             | -11.91           | 40.63            | 760              | –               |
| NGC 4143  | L    | 20.7 | 174.2         | 40.03 | 38.81         | -13.39              | 2100             | -13.99           | <13.31           | 39.23            | –               |
| NGC 4151  | S    | 9.7  | 167.0         | 39.69 | 38.53         | -13.45              | 1500             | -13.96           | -12.86           | 39.19            | 7200             |
| NGC 4203  | S    | 6.8  | 148.0         | 40.89 | 38.76         | -13.09              | 1700             | -13.87           | <13.14           | <38.60           | –               |
| NGC 4278  | L    | 9.7  | 261.0         | 39.64 | 38.88         | -13.09              | 1950             | -14.32           | <14.07           | <37.98           | –               |
| NGC 4395  | S    | 3.6  | 30.0          | 39.58 | 38.35         | -12.88              | 442              | -13.38$^c$       | <12.85$^d$       | 38.34$^d$        | 786              |
| NGC 4450  | L    | 16.8 | 135.0         | 40.02 | 38.78         | -13.70              | 2300             | -13.56           | -12.83           | 39.70            | 7700             |
| NGC 4579  | L    | 16.8 | 165.0         | 41.15 | 39.42         | -13.12              | 2300             | -14.52           | -13.03           | 39.50            | 8000             |
| NGC 4636  | L    | 17.0 | 202.7         | 39.38 | 38.09         | -14.16              | 2450             | -16.32           | –                | –                | –               |
| NGC 5005  | L    | 21.3 | 172.0         | 39.94 | 39.41         | -12.69              | 1650             | -14.57           | <14.11           | <38.62           | –               |
| NGC 5077  | L    | 40.6 | 254.6         | 39.74$^b$ | 39.52       | -14.07              | 2300             | -14.40           | <14.96           | <38.33           | –               |

(1) Object name; (2) spectroscopic classification based on the diagnostic diagram of [Kewley et al. (2006)]; (3) Seyfert, L=Liners, A=Aambiguous galaxy); (3) distance of the source in Mpc from [Ho et al. (1993)]; (4) stellar velocity dispersion in $\text{km s}^{-1}$ from [Ho et al. (2009)]; (5) Logarithm of the un-absorbed nuclear X-ray luminosity in the 2–10 keV range in $\text{erg s}^{-1}$ from [Ho (2009)]; $^b$ from [Güsten et al. (2012)]; (6) $\alpha$ emission line reported depends on the assumption about the broad emission line profile. (7) Logarithm of the flux of the broad [O I] lines as templates for the shape of [N II] and Hα emission lines of the narrow Hα line. (8) Logarithm of the flux of the broad Hα emission line in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ from the observed Hα line. (9) Logarithm of the flux of the broad Hα emission line in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ from this work; for the objects marked with ‘‘ the Hα luminosities and fluxes are derived from the observed Hα line. (10) Logarithm of the flux of the broad Hα emission line in $\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$; (11) Logarithm of the luminosity of the broad Hα emission line in $\text{erg s}^{-1}$; (12) FWHM in $\text{km s}^{-1}$. Note: The upper limit measurement for the flux of broad Hα emission line reported depends on the assumption about the broad emission line profile (we here assume a gaussian profile with FWHM reported in Tab.4).
Table 3. Forbidden line widths

| Name          | [S II] | [O I] | [S II] | [O I] |
|---------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| NGC 1052      | 370    | 990   | 660    | 1310  |
| NGC 4036      | 520    | 760   | 510    | 740   |
| NGC 4143      | 420    | 810   | 840    | 1310  |
| NGC 4258      | 420    | 690   | 470    | 870   |
| NGC 3031      | 420    | 620   | 470    | 660   |
| NGC 3998      | 840    | 1280  | 1410   | 2530  |
| NGC 4203      | 320    | 470   | 580    | 1050  |
| NGC 4450      | 390    | 840   | 630    | 1000  |
| NGC 4579      | 520    | 860   | 920    | 1500  |

FWHM (in km s\(^{-1}\)) and logarithm of the flux (in erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) of the broad H\(_\alpha\) line required by the fit using as template for the narrow component the [S II] lines (column 2 and 3) and the [O I] lines (column 4 and 5).

- iii) the [N II] and H\(_\alpha\) wings required to reproduce the spectra increase the FW20 of these lines by less than 30%, and usually by less than 15%.
- iv) excluding the objects presented in Fig. 3 showing a clear BLR, the BLR flux obtained from cloning the [S II] are larger than when using the [O I] (see Table 4, where we compare the flux and width obtained in the two cases), while the widths are similar.

Another common result is the width of the broad H\(_\alpha\) component. In all cases the fitting procedure returns a value confined in a rather narrow range, i.e., 1360 < FWHM\(_{H\alpha}\) < 2890 km s\(^{-1}\). In Appendix A, we show the fit obtained applying the three methods described above.

For the five LINERs with a clear BLR, the situation is similar, once this broad component is taken into account. We treat NGC 4203 in detail as an example. In this source there is a well defined broad H\(_\alpha\) component, extending over \(\sim 15,000\) km s\(^{-1}\). We reproduce this component with a skewed Gaussian (the resulting parameters are given in Table A, obtained after masking the spectral region covered by the narrow [N II]+H\(_\alpha\) complex.

Table 4. Broad line parameters from different templates

| Name          | [S II] | [O I] | [S II] | [O I] |
|---------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| NGC 1052      | 2240   | -13.06| 2210   | -13.72|
| NGC 4036      | 2080   | -14.27| 1720   | -14.26|
| NGC 4143      | 2100   | -13.07| 2890   | -13.31|
| NGC 4258      | 1390   | -12.99| 1360   | -13.14|
| NGC 0315      | 2590   | -14.05|        |        |
| NGC 2787      | 2200   | -13.47|        |        |
| NGC 2985      | 1010   | -14.22|        |        |
| NGC 3642      | 1330   | -13.35|        |        |
| NGC 4278      | 2940   | -14.07|        |        |
| NGC 5005      | 2310   | -14.11|        |        |
| NGC 5077      | 1550   | -14.96|        |        |

FWHM (in km s\(^{-1}\)) and logarithm of the flux (in erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) of the broad H\(_\alpha\) line required by the fit using as template for the narrow component the [S II] lines (column 2 and 3) and the [O I] lines (column 4 and 5).

We then attempt to reproduce the remaining emission in three different ways: i) using the [S II] as template without any further broad component (Fig. 3 right panel), ii) by adding a second broad H\(_\alpha\) component (middle panel), and iii) by using the [O I] line as template (left panel). While a second broad component is clearly needed from the [S II] template, the fit obtained with the [O I] is already satisfactory. This is due to the fact that the [O I] lines are broader than the [S II] similar to the cases presented above. In the Fig. 5 we show the analysis for the four other LINERs with clear BLR. It leads to analogous results; i.e., no broad H\(_\alpha\) line (in addition to its main component) is required to reproduce the data.

In seven galaxies the [O I] line is either not covered by the HST spectrum or is too faint to be modeled accurately. In these cases we can only rely on the [S II] lines as template. In Table 5 we report FWHM and fluxes of the broad H\(_\alpha\) component. The relative fit are shown in Fig. A2. The results obtained share several aspects with those presented before. In particular an accurate fit is also obtained by just adding a broad wing in both [N II] and H\(_\alpha\) to the [S II] template. Furthermore, the widths of the broad lines are again clustered around a FWHM of \(\sim 2000\) km s\(^{-1}\), being included between \(\sim 1000\) and \(\sim 3000\) km s\(^{-1}\). For NGC 4636 the data quality is insufficient to proceed to any reliable analysis. Its spectrum is shown in Fig. 7.

In three cases (namely NGC 4151, NGC 4395, and NGC 1275, there are no medium-resolution HST spectra covering the H\(_\beta\) region, and we then used spectra including the H\(_\beta\) line. The separation between the emission lines in this spectral region is large enough that data from the low-resolution grism G430L can also be used. We adopted the same method described above, but by using the [O III] as template for the shape of the H\(_\beta\) line. This is an even less accurate assumption than the similarity of the [S II] and [N II] profiles, since [O III] is a high ionization line that might be produced in regions that are significantly different from the Balmer lines. However, this is not a significant issue since in two galaxies (namely NGC 4151 and NGC 4395), the presence of the broad H\(_\beta\) is clearly visible and its properties are not significantly affected by the deblending procedure. In the third case (i.e., the ambiguous galaxy NGC 1275), we failed to detect a broad H\(_\beta\) component.

4. The properties of the BLR in LLAGN

The results presented in the previous section indicate that there is a substantial mismatch between the profiles of the different emission lines considered. This is very likely due to a stratification in density and ionization within the NLR that causes differences in the location of the emitting region for the various lines and, consequently, differences in the lines profiles. For example, the various lines considered are associated with different critical densities, with the [S II] lines having the lowest value. Several indications for the presence of a dense, compact emitting region within the NLR, located within a radius of a few pc from the central black hole are emerging (Capetti et al. 2005, Peterson et al. 2012, Baldi et al. 2013). It can be envisaged that this region is poorly represented in the [S II] profile, while it is more prominent for the [O I], owing to its higher critical density. On the other hand, the possible presence of blue wings in the [N II] profile, with respect to [O I], might be due to an ionization stratification of the NLR.

2 The only partial exception is NGC 4143. In this source the [O I] lines are broader than the [N II] and the required additional Gaussian component is a narrow core of the line, statistically preferred to a broad wing. In this case the red end of the [N II]+H\(_\alpha\) complex should be reproduced with a further component.

3 The logarithms of critical densities, in cm\(^{-3}\) units, are \(3.2, 3.6, 6.3,\) and 4.9 for [S II]\(_{6716}, [S II]\(_{6731}, [O I]\(_{6300}, and [N II]\(_{6584},\) respectively (Appenzeller & Oestreich, 1998).
Fig. 4. Top left: spectrum of NGC 1052 modeled by adopting the same shape obtained from the Gaussian fitting of the [S II] doublet for the Hα and [N II] lines. The Hα and [N II] intensities are set by matching their respective emission peaks. The original spectrum is in black, the individual lines are in blue, the total line emission in green, the residuals in red. Top right: same as in the left panel but including a broad Hα component (red). Bottom left: same as in the top right panel, but using the [O I] doublet as template. Bottom right: same as in the bottom left panel, but including blue wings to the narrow lines instead of a BLR. Wavelengths are in Å, while fluxes are in units of $10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$.

The complex structure of the NLR is not completely captured with the approach usually followed to model the [N II]+Hα complex by using different forbidden emission lines as templates. In this situation, the properties of the BLR obtained with this procedure, including its very detection, must be treated with caution. In particular, the need for a BLR based only on the improvement in the fit when such a component is added is highly questionable: it might not imply that a broad component is really present, but it could be just the consequence of the complex NLR structure not fully represented by the templates. The similarity in the quality of the fit with the three methods presented (by using the [S II] or [O I] as templates, or adding a broad base wing to them) casts doubts on the reliability of the BLR detections.

The other indication against the reality of the broad Hα is the strong clustering of the derived values for their widths. The values derived for the LINERs considered are typically of $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$. This is intriguingly similar to the value that corresponds to the separation of the two lines of the [N II] doublet, 1650 km s$^{-1}$. Indeed, any positive residual left after the subtraction of a template will be distributed over a spectral region corresponding to the observed values. We then adopt the fluxes of the BLR obtained with the [S II] (or [O I]) template as conservative upper limits, rather than genuine detections.

The values reported in Table 2 are strictly valid only for the FWHM quoted in Table 4, i.e., $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$. We then also explored the dependence of this limit on the BLR width, by repeating the fit procedure with the FWHM fixed at various values, ranging from 3000 to 30,000 km s$^{-1}$. We find that the limit on the BLR flux has a complex relation with its width, but with some common feature from source to source. Despite the increasing width, the limit initially decreases because when the broad line wings exceed the width of the [N II]+Hα complex, the BLR would become readily visible even for relatively low intensities. For higher values, FWHM $\sim 4,000$ - 15,000, it increases almost linearly. However, for FWHM $\geq 15,000$ km s$^{-1}$, the spectral gradient becomes too small to separate any BLR from the continuum and the BLR flux sharply increases. We return to this issue in Sect. 6.

For the LINERs in which the BLR is instead visible, there is a similar effect. There is not a disappearance of the whole BLR, but only of its relatively narrow core (again with FWHM $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$) superposed on a broad Hα base.

The HST spectra of these objects have already been presented and analyzed (NGC 3031, Devereux et al. 2003; NGC 3998, De Francesco et al. 2006; NGC 4203, Shields et al. 2000; NGC 4450, Ho et al. 2000; NGC 4579, Barth et al. 2001; but see also Walsh et al. 2008; Rice et al. 2006; Shields et al. Article number, page 7 of 16
Spectrum of a LINER with a clear BLR (NGC 4203) reproduced with a skewed Gaussian (red curve). The residual [N II]+Hα complex is modeled with 3 different methods: by cloning the [S II] doublet (left), by including a second broad Hα component (middle), or by using the [O I] doublet (right). The original spectrum is in black, the individual lines are in blue, the total line emission in green, the residuals in red. Wavelengths are in Å, while fluxes are in units of $10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$.

These authors used slightly different fitting strategies to deblend the broad line emission: for example [Ho et al. 2000] and [Shields et al. 2000] reproduce the narrow the [N II]+Hα complex with a synthetic [S II] profile. They interpret the residual of the fit as a combination of a “normal” broad line (with a width of $\sim 2000$ km s$^{-1}$, similar to those observed from the ground) superposed on a double-peaked emission with high velocity wings. As explained above, we argue that the “normal” BLR is actually due to the mismatch between the lines in the [N II]+Hα complex and the templates used. While this affects the BLR profiles, the BLR fluxes they obtained are similar to ours.

In Table 2 we report fluxes (or upper limits) and widths (in case of detections) obtained for the broad Balmer lines.

5. Comparison with ground-based measurements

In Fig. 8 we compare the BLR fluxes measured from HST and ground-based spectra. We confirm the presence in the HST spectra of a broad Balmer line emission in all Seyferts but one. Their
HST line fluxes, ranging from \( \sim 10^{-13} \) to \( \sim 10^{-11} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), are all very similar to the ground-based measurements, typically differing by less than a factor of 1.5. The only exception is NGC 3982, the Seyfert galaxy with the largest absorbing column density in X-rays (\( N_H \sim 4.3 \times 10^{23} \) cm\(^{-2}\)). Akylas & Georgantopoulos (2009) of the sample. Most likely, the lack of a BLR is due to nuclear obscuration. From the point of view of broad lines widths, in the righthand panel of Fig. 8 we compare the FWHM of the broad H\(\alpha\) emission line obtained from the HST and ground-based spectra. For the Seyferts, the differences are all less than 500 km s\(^{-1}\), with the only exception of NGC 4151. However, for this object, we show the width of the H\(\beta\) line, properly corrected. Nonetheless, inspection of the Palomar spectrum shows that in this object the H\(\beta\) is indeed significantly broader than the H\(\alpha\) and in good agreement with the HST measurement.

4 We convert the H\(\beta\) luminosity and width tabulated in this catalog to H\(\alpha\) luminosity using \( L(H\alpha) = 3.5 \times L(H\beta) \) and \( \text{FWHM}(H\beta) = 1.07 \times \text{FWHM}(H\alpha)^{0.03} \). Greene & Ho (2005).

The situation for the LINERs is quite different. We initially concentrate on the five objects with a clear BLR. First of all their BLR are generally of lower fluxes than in Seyferts, from \( \sim 10^{-13} \) to \( \sim 10^{-12} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The spread of the ratios between HST and ground measurements for the detected sources is much larger, ranging from 0.3 to 20. All HST measurements of the FWHM are in strongly excess with respect to the ground-based data. While the ground-based data indicate widths between 1500 and 3000 km s\(^{-1}\), much broader lines are seen in the HST data with FWHM reaching 8000 km s\(^{-1}\). As explained above, we argue that the ground-based BLR detections are spurious. The genuine broad emission lines in these sources (as previously noted by Ho et al. 2000 for NGC 4203, Shields et al. 2000 for NGC 4450, and de Francesco et al. 2006 for NGC 3998) are not visible in ground-based spectra, owing to the higher continuum and narrow line fluxes.

In the galaxies without a clear BLR, the ground-based measurements are usually higher than the flux obtained from the HST data, by a factor of up to 10. Particularly instructive is the comparison between the broad H\(\alpha\) line derived from the ground-
based data of NGC 1052, shown in Fig. 9, that indicates that the ground-based BLR is inconsistent with the data, regardless of the modeling scheme, since it exceeds the whole flux of the [N II] + Hα complex.

6. On the detectability of BLR in LLAGN

In most of the LINERs we considered, the presence of a BLR is not readily visible and it is not required by the analysis of their spectra. Is the BLR really missing, or are they just too faint to be detected?

To answer to this question in Fig. 10 we compare the broad Hα luminosity with two estimators of the AGN power, i.e., the luminosity of the [O III] narrow line and the unabsorbed nuclear X-ray luminosity in the 2-10 keV band, see Table 1. A strong trend is clearly present in all comparisons. This might have been expected since both the NLR and the BLR are photoionized by the AGN continuum, that is estimated well by its X-ray. The LINERs smoothly extend the relation seen in more powerful AGN, representing the low-luminosity end of the distributions in all diagrams. The median ratio between the BLR and the X-ray luminosities measured in the ten objects with a clear BLR is $L_{BLR}/L_X \sim 0.08$ (and $L_{BLR}/L_{[O III]} \sim 3$). All ten data points fall within a factor of 10 of the median value (and within a factor of three considering the relation linking $L_{BLR}$ and $L_{[O III]}$). We then predict the BLR luminosity in the objects apparently without broad lines by assuming that it follows these multwavelength trends.

The BLR widths can be instead derived from the virial formula, by adopting the scaling relations of more powerful AGN and the BLR luminosity just derived. We find that such BLR could not be detected in any source, because of the extremely high line widths whose median is of $\sim 30,000$ km s$^{-1}$.

We also consider another possibility, i.e., that the BLR radius has the same value, $R_{BLR} \sim 1,000r_\odot$, shown by the 5 LINERs with a clear BLR. This simply corresponds to widths similar to those observed and we adopt the average value of 6500 km s$^{-1}$.

In this case the BLR should be readily visible in 5 of the 12 LINERs considered. In order to account for the observed scatter in the ratios used to estimate the BLR luminosity, we reduced conservatively all the predicted BLR fluxes by a factor of 10 for those derived from the $L_{BLR}/L_X$ ratio and by a factor of 3 for those estimated from the $L_{BLR}/L_{[O III]}$ ratio. The BLR should be still detected in three objects, namely NGC 1052, NGC 4278, and NGC 4636, according to both estimates, see Fig. 11.

7. The BLR scaling relations in LLAGN

Reverberation studies of AGN revealed that the BLR properties are strongly linked to those of the AGN and of the central black hole. Time lag measurements between changes in the continuum and broad lines lead to estimates of the BLR radius, $R_{BLR}$. The SMBH mass can thus be derived from the virial formula, relating the SMBH mass with the BLR width and its radius in the form $M_{BH} = f G^{-1} \text{FWHM}^2 R_{BLR}$. The factor $f$ is empirically determined to match the SMBH masses derived with the reverberation technique and the values obtained from the measurements of stellar velocity dispersions $\sigma_*$ (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000, Gebhardt et al. 2000). Furthermore, reverberation mapping measurements show a close connection between the BLR radius and the AGN continuum luminosity ($R_{BLR} \sim 33.65L_{[O III]}^{0.533}$). It days, where $L_{[O III]}$ is the luminosity at 5100 Å in units of $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Bentz et al. 2013).

For LLAGN the situation is somewhat different. In fact, the reduced contribution of the active nucleus, with respect to more powerful AGN, enables us to estimate their black hole mass from measurements of the stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma_*$.

...
AGN. This is clearly shown by the strong stellar absorption features visible in their blue HST spectra (see, e.g., Sarzi et al. 2005). Therefore, their optical continuum luminosity cannot be used to test the validity of the scaling relations obtained for more powerful AGNs in LLAGN.

Fig. 11. The blue curve represents a synthetic BLR with flux derived from the X-ray flux and a width of 6500 km s\(^{-1}\), conservatively reduced by a factor 10 to explore its detectability in the HST spectrum for NGC 1052 (left), NGC 4278 (center), and NGC 4636 (right). For the red curve the normalization is derived from the [O III] flux, in this case reduced by a factor of 3.

by Benz et al. we can derive the law linking the BLR radius to the \(H\alpha\) luminosity; i.e., \(R_{BLR} = 15.7 \frac{L_{H\alpha}}{M_{BH}}^{0.46}\) lt-days. This relation is reported in Fig. 12 as a dashed-dotted line.

Fig. 12. BLR radius (in light days) against \(H\alpha\) luminosity (in erg s\(^{-1}\) units). The dashed lines represent BLR radius-luminosity relations derived from the law linking \(R_{BLR}\) with the continuum luminosity (Bentz et al. 2013). The green circles are LINERs, the red squares Seyferts. The arrows point to the reverberation mapping measurement of \(R_{BLR}\), when available (Bentz et al. 2013).

Nonetheless, having estimated the SMBH mass we can invert the virial formula and use it to estimate the BLR radius:

\[
R_{BLR} = 5.12 \times 10^{-6} M_{BH} \times (f \times \text{FWHM}_2) \text{ lt-days}
\]

In Fig. 12 we compare the BLR radius with its luminosity for the ten LLAGNs with a detected BLR. The BLR radius is typically \(~10\) lt-days, but it reaches for a value of \(~100\) lt-days NGC 3998.

For a comparison with the more powerful AGN, we can rely on the strong relation between \(L_{3500}\) and \(H\alpha\) emission line luminosity (Greene & Ho 2005); i.e., \(L_{3500,2}\) \(\approx 5.25 L_{H\alpha,157}^{1.35} (10^{11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ units})\), which in this case includes both the broad and narrow \(H\alpha\) emission. By combining this relation with that derived

\[M_{BH} \approx 1.35 \times 10^{9} \mu_{\odot} M_{\odot}, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_{\star,200} \approx 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ units}.
\]

\[M_{BH} \geq 10^{7} M_{\odot} - 10^{9} M_{\odot}.\]

8. Comparison with BLR theoretical models.

Several models predict that for accretion rate or bolometric luminosity below a threshold limit, the BLR and the standard torus cease to exist. We consider the predictions of three such models in detail and compare them with our results. According to Nicastru (2000) the BLR forms from disk instabilities in correspondence of the transition radius from density- to radiation-dominated regions. For bolometric luminosities lower than \(L_{bol} < 0.024 (M_{BH}/M_{\odot})^{-1/2} L_{Edd}\), this radius is smaller than the last stable orbit and the BLR cannot be present.

According to Laor (2003), the BLR clouds are disrupted when the velocity of BLR clouds exceeds \(\Delta v > 25000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). For the BLR radius-luminosity relation, at low luminosity the BLR shrinks and \(\Delta v\) exceeds this threshold, and the BLR cannot form. This occurs at a luminosity of \(L_{bol} < 10^{41.6} (M_{BH}/10^{6} M_{\odot})^{2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\).

In the scenario of Balmaverde & Capetti (2018), the torus and the BLR are smoothly connected, with the BLR formed by an outflow of ionized gas from the accretion disk that extends outward until the dust sublimation radius, the inner boundary of the dusty and clumpy torus. The column density required for the formation of the BLR and the torus are achieved only for high Eddington ratios and both the BLR and the torus disappear when the bolometric luminosity falls below \(L_{bol} < 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\).

These models predict different dependencies on the bolometric luminosity and the black hole mass (or equivalently on Eddington luminosity), below which a BLR cannot form, but all agree that BLR cannot exist in object with bolometric luminosity lower than \(L_{bol} < 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) and black hole mass higher than \(M_{BH} \geq 10^{7} M_{\odot} - 10^{9} M_{\odot}\). All these models fail to predict the presence of a BLR in the two least luminous LINERs with a clear BLR. This confirms on different grounds that
the scaling relations between BLR and AGN (implicitly assumed by all the models) do not properly predict the properties of broad emission lines in LINERs.

In Sect. 5 we showed that the LINERs smoothly extend the relations that, in more powerful AGN, link the nuclear and the BLR power, representing the low end of the luminosity distributions. However, the LINERs of our sample are not simply scale-downed versions of Seyfert galaxies. In Fig. 14 we show the distributions of the Eddington ratios for the two types of AGN. We apply a bolometric correction to the nuclear X-ray luminosities that, in more powerful AGN, link the nuclear and the scale-downed versions of Seyfert galaxies. In Fig. 14, we show the distributions. However, the LINERs of our sample are not simply scale-downed versions of Seyfert galaxies. Indeed, the HST spectrum shows that the [S II]/Hα and [O I]/Hα ratios are higher that what is found in the Palomar data. In particular, we measure [O I]/Hα=0.96, which locates NGC 4258 well into the LINERs region, regardless of its [O III]/Hβ ratio

Various models predict that below a given threshold in Eddington ratio (Lbol/Ledd \leq 0.01), the radiatively efficient accretion disk (geometrically thin and optically thick), which is typical of powerful AGNs, changes its structure into an inner hot and radiatively inefficient flow (optically thin and geometrically thick), possibly an advection-dominated accretion flow, ADAF, (e.g., Narayan & Yi 1995) while only at large radii does the standard disk survive. Application of an ADAF model to the Seyfert low luminosity AGNs suggests a transition radius (between the inner ADAF and the outer disk) on the order of R_{BLR} \sim 100 - 1000 r_s. (Ho 2008) to explain the absence of the so-called “blue bump”, the excess in the UV continuum typical of Seyferts galaxies. From a theoretical point of view, the hot corona is truncated at the radius where the ADAF solutions ceases to exist. Following Czerny et al. (2004), the truncation radius is given by

R_{BLR} = 2.0 \left( F^{-1} \right)^{-0.5} a_0^{0.8} \beta^{-1.08} r_s

(model A in Czerny et al. 2004 where \alpha is the viscosity parameter, and F = L/m is the accretion radiative efficiency, function of model parameters and of the accretion rate). However, taking the process of evaporation of the cold disk in the ADAF into account, and assuming a two-temperature model of the corona ("Evaporation model C" in Czerny et al. 2004), one obtains a different prescription for the transition radius:

R_{BLR} = 19.5 \left( F^{-1} \right)^{-0.5} a_0^{0.8} \beta^{-1.08} r_s

where \beta is the ratio of the gas pressure to the total (gas+magnetic) pressure.

In Fig 13 we report the dependence of the transition radius on the Eddington ratio predicted by the two models and compare it with the estimated BLR radii for LINERs. We find the R_{BLR} values in LINERs are clustered in the range 500 - 2000 r_s. This is much lower than the truncation radii predicted by the pure ADAF model, but they are comparable to the values of the transition radii obtained from the evaporation model.

This supports earlier suggestions (e.g., Czerny et al. 2004 that the broad line clouds do not form (or cannot survive) within the hot part of the accretion flow, i.e., below the transition radius. Conversely, the BLR appears to naturally form in the presence of a thin (and relatively "cold") accretion disk, which, in the case of LINERs, represents the outer portion of the disk structure.

This offers an explanation for the discrepancies found for LINERs behavior with respect to more powerful AGN and the violation of the BLR scaling relations. Indeed the BLR radii estimated for the LINERs from such relations would be \sim 0.3 and 3 lt-days (i.e., between \sim 30 and 250 r_s for a 10^5 M_{\odot} black hole), well below the values obtained for the transition radii of the hot corona. We suggest that the BLR radius in these objects is not set by the AGN luminosity but from the presence of an inner forbidden region.

It is also interesting to estimate the dust sublimation radius. This is given by R_{sst} \sim 1.3 \times 10^5 pc, assuming a sublimation temperature of 1500 K (Barvainis 1983). For the LINERs considered here we obtain R_{sst} \sim 0.4 - 10 lt-days (and this must be considered as an upper limit, since our optical luminosities might be overestimated). These values are well within the ADAF region and much less than the BLR radii. This suggests that the structure of circum-nuclear tori in these sources (if at all present) differ profoundly from those seen in more powerful AGN. The likely absence of a standard Seyfert-like torus in low luminosity AGNs is also confirmed by high resolution MIR observations (Mason et al. 2012). The authors suggest that LLAGN may have a dust-to-gas ratio lower than most Seyfert galaxies, consistent with a disk wind scenario, in which at low Eddington ratio, the torus may be optically thin or may contain fewer clouds than a standard torus.

9. Summary and conclusions

We explored the properties of the BLR in low luminosity AGNs by using archival HST/STIS spectra. We considered the objects in which the presence of broad lines has been reported from their Palomar ground-based spectra. High spatial resolution data, such as those obtained with HST, are essential to achieving reliable measurement of the properties of the BLR, especially for low luminosity AGN. Indeed the smaller aperture of HST, which is more than \sim 100 times smaller than the aperture of the Palomar survey, allows us to decrease the stellar continuum and reduce the narrow line contamination.

In the HST archive we found data for 16 LINERs, 7 Seyferts, and 1 ambiguous galaxy. We separated the broad line from the Ha+[N II] narrow line complex by applying the shape of the de-blended lines profile of the [S II] doublet and by scaling the line intensity in order to match the Ha+[N II] peaks.

We confirm the presence of broad line emission in all but one Seyfert: the HST line widths are similar to those derived from the Palomar observations, while the fluxes typically differ by less than a factor 1.5 from the ground-based data. The only exception is NGC 3982, a source with a highly absorbed nucleus in the X-ray, where the BLR is most likely obscured.
The discrepancies between HST and ground-based measurements are instead remarkable for LINERs. Only in five LINERs is a BLR readily visible in the HST spectra. However, there is a large spread between the HST and ground-based data, with the fluxes differing by a factor ranging from 0.3 to 20; furthermore, the HST measurements of the line widths (between 4200 and 8000 km s$^{-1}$) are substantially larger than the ground-based FWHM (with all widths in the range 1500 - 3000 km s$^{-1}$).

Conversely, we do not find convincing evidence of a BLR in the remaining 14 sources. A BLR must generally be included to obtain a good fit to their spectra when using the [S II] lines as template for the [N II] and the narrow component of the Hα. However, if we instead use the [O I] lines we obtain rather different results, because the [O I] lines are broader than the [S II] lines. Furthermore, the spectra are also reproduced well without any BLR but by just adding a blue wing to the [N II] and narrow Hα lines.

This is likely due to a stratification in density and ionization within the NLR that causes differences in the location of the emitting region for the various lines and, consequently, differences in the lines profiles. For example, the existence of a compact and dense emitting region, located within a radius of a few pc from the central black hole, is supported by various studies. This region is poorly represented in the [S II] profile owing to the low critical density associated with this transition.

We conclude that complex structure of the NLR is not captured with the technique of spectral fitting based on the narrow line templates that it does not return, in general, robust constraints on the properties of the BLR in these low luminosity AGN.

For the ten galaxies in which instead a BLR is clearly detected, we estimated its radius by assuming the dominance of gravitational motions, i.e., by applying the virial formula, knowing the value of the $\sigma$-derived black hole mass and the broad line width. The resulting BLR radii in the five LINERs are clustered around ∼ 1,000 Schwarzschild radii (i.e., $\sim$ 3 - 100 light days). These values are significantly higher, by a factor of ∼ 10 to 100, than the extrapolation to low luminosities of the scaling relations linking radio and luminosity of the BLR.

Our preferred interpretation to account for this inconsistency relies on the change in the accretion disk structure at low luminosities. LINERs differ from Seyfert for their lower accretion rates, causing the formation of an inner region dominated by an advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF). The location of the transition radius between the ADAF region and the outer thin disk predicted by the evaporation model compares favorably with the estimated BLR radii for LINERs. This confirms earlier predictions that the BLR cannot coexist with the hot inner region and that they form (or survive) only in the presence of a thin accretion disk. As a result, LINERs do not obey the scaling relation defined by more powerful AGN.

The structure of circumnuclear tori, if at all present in these sources, must also differ profoundly from those seen in more powerful AGN since the estimated dust sublimation radii are smaller than the BLR size.

The distribution of the ratio between bolometric luminosity (estimated as $\sim$ 16 $L_X$) and the Eddington luminosity for LINERs (green) and Seyferts (red). The empty regions of the histograms represent objects with undetected BLR.

The discrepancies between HST and ground-based measurements are instead remarkable for LINERs. Only in five LINERs is a BLR readily visible in the HST spectra. However, there is a large spread between the HST and ground-based data, with the fluxes differing by a factor ranging from 0.3 to 20; furthermore, the HST measurements of the line widths (between 4200 and 8000 km s$^{-1}$) are substantially larger than the ground-based FWHM (with all widths in the range 1500 - 3000 km s$^{-1}$).

Conversely, we do not find convincing evidence of a BLR in the remaining 14 sources. A BLR must generally be included to obtain a good fit to their spectra when using the [S II] lines as template for the [N II] and the narrow component of the Hα. However, if we instead use the [O I] lines we obtain rather different results, because the [O I] lines are broader than the [S II] lines. Furthermore, the spectra are also reproduced well without any BLR but by just adding a blue wing to the [N II] and narrow Hα lines.

This is likely due to a stratification in density and ionization within the NLR that causes differences in the location of the emitting region for the various lines and, consequently, differences in the lines profiles. For example, the existence of a compact and dense emitting region, located within a radius of a few pc from the central black hole, is supported by various studies. This region is poorly represented in the [S II] profile owing to the low critical density associated with this transition.

We conclude that complex structure of the NLR is not captured with the technique of spectral fitting based on the narrow line templates that it does not return, in general, robust constraints on the properties of the BLR in these low luminosity AGN.

For the ten galaxies in which instead a BLR is clearly detected, we estimated its radius by assuming the dominance of gravitational motions, i.e., by applying the virial formula, knowing the value of the $\sigma$-derived black hole mass and the broad line width. The resulting BLR radii in the five LINERs are clustered around ∼ 1,000 Schwarzschild radii (i.e., $\sim$ 3 - 100 light days). These values are significantly higher, by a factor of ∼ 10 to 100, than the extrapolation to low luminosities of the scaling relations linking radio and luminosity of the BLR.

Our preferred interpretation to account for this inconsistency relies on the change in the accretion disk structure at low luminosities. LINERs differ from Seyfert for their lower accretion rates, causing the formation of an inner region dominated by an advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF). The location of the transition radius between the ADAF region and the outer thin disk predicted by the evaporation model compares favorably with the estimated BLR radii for LINERs. This confirms earlier predictions that the BLR cannot coexist with the hot inner region and that they form (or survive) only in the presence of a thin accretion disk. As a result, LINERs do not obey the scaling relation defined by more powerful AGN.

The structure of circumnuclear tori, if at all present in these sources, must also differ profoundly from those seen in more powerful AGN since the estimated dust sublimation radii are smaller than the BLR size.
An interesting result of this study is that, despite the differences in BLR structure between LINERs and Seyfert, there is a continuity between the relations between BLR luminosity and AGN power for the two groups. This implies that the BLR covering factor is similar for the two classes of AGN. Nonetheless, a BLR is not readily visible in most LINERs. We used the relations linking the BLR and the AGN power to predict the broad line luminosity, hence whether the BLR in these objects should instead be seen. We find that the BLRs could not be detected if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM, predicted if their widths follow the scaling relation of more powerful AGN, because of the extremely large FWHM.
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Appendix A: Spectral modeling

In this Appendix we report the result of modeling the objects where the BLR is not clearly visible in their spectra. For four galaxies, the spectra cover the [O I] spectral region, and these lines are bright enough to allow us to use them as templates. In these cases, we modeled the spectra with three different methods 1) by using the [O I] lines as templates and including a broad Hα component, 2) by using the [O I] template but adding a wing to the [N II] and narrow Hα lines and 3) by using the [S II] lines as template and including a BLR.

For the eight remaining galaxies, the spectra do not cover the [O I] spectral region or these lines are not bright enough to be used as templates. In these cases we modeled the spectra with two different methods by using the [S II] lines as templates and 1) including a broad Hα component or 2) adding a wing to the [N II] and narrow Hα lines.
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Fig. A.1. Modeling of the spectra of 4 objects without a readily visible BLR modeled with 3 different methods: by using the [O I] lines as templates and including a broad HÎ± component (left column), again with the [O I] template but adding a wing to the [N II] and narrow HÎ± lines (center column), by using as template the [S II] lines and including a BLR (right column). The original spectrum is in black, the contribution of the individual narrow lines in blue (their sum is in green), and in red are the residuals. The BLR component is in red.
Fig. A.2. Analysis of the spectra of 8 objects without a clear BLR and not covering the [O I] region (or with faint [O I] lines). Each spectrum is modeled with 2 methods, always using the [S II] lines as templates and (left) including a broad H$\alpha$ component (right) or adding a wing to the [N II] and narrow H$\alpha$ lines. The original spectrum is in black, the contribution of the individual narrow lines in blue (their sum is in green), and the residuals in red. In red we also show either the BLR component or the wings’ contribution.