Periodic Mesoporous Organosilica Nanocubes with Ultrahigh Surface Areas for Efficient CO₂ Adsorption
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Ultrahigh surface area single-crystals of periodic mesoporous organosilica (PMOs) with uniform cubic or truncated-cubic morphology and organic/inorganic components homogeneously distributed over the whole frameworks have successfully been prepared by a sol-gel surfactant-templating method. By tuning the porous feature and polymerization degree, the surface areas of the obtained PMO nanocubes can reach as high as 2370 m²/g, which is the highest for silica-based mesoporous materials. The ultrahigh surface area of the obtained PMO single crystals is mainly resulted from abundant micropores in the mesoporous frameworks. Furthermore, the diameter of the nanocubes can also be well controlled from 150 to 600 nm. The materials show ultrahigh CO₂ adsorption capacity (up to 1.42 mmol/g at 273 K) which is much higher than other porous silica materials and comparable to some carbonaceous materials. The adsorption of CO₂ into the PMO nanocubes is mainly in physical interaction, therefore the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible and the adsorption capacity is much dependent on the surface area of the materials. Moreover, the selectivity is also very high (~11 times to N₂) towards CO₂ adsorption.

Due to the highlighting characteristics of well-defined ordered mesostructure, controlled pore size, large surface area and pore volume, varieties of the frameworks, and easy functionality, the mesoporous silica materials have attracted a great deal of attention, and have been proposed as potential platforms in various fields, such as molecular imprinting, drug delivery systems, biosensors, bio-imaging, optical devices, energy storage, low-k dielectric layers, nanocatalysis, and adsorbents for pollutes from both air and aqueous solutions¹⁻⁹. As one of the most important members of silica-based mesoporous materials, periodic mesoporous organosilicas (PMOs)¹⁰⁻¹² are the most representative organic–inorganic hybrid porous materials with the organic/inorganic components (silsesquioxane, O₁.₅SI-R-SIO₁.₅) completely and homogeneously distributed over the whole frameworks at the molecular level. By introducing adequate organic R parts homogeneously within the mesoporous frameworks, PMOs can be endowed with a variety of features¹³⁻¹⁵ such as tunable biodegradation, improved biocompatibility, varied hydrophobicity, hydrophilicity and amphiphilicity, versatile functionality, etc. Although the surface areas of PMOs are normally higher than the other silica-based mesoporous materials, the highest surface area (1880 m²/g)¹⁶ for PMOs reported previously is still much lower than other kinds of porous materials¹⁷, such as microporous carbon (2000~5000 m²/g)¹⁸⁻¹⁹ and metal/covalent-organic frameworks (MOF/COF) (3000~7000 m²/g)²⁰⁻²², etc. If the surface area of PMO materials can be improved close to that of activated carbon and MOF, this novel family of molecularly organic–inorganic hybrid nanomaterials with tunable compositions would be produced to substantially extend the application potentials of PMOs, especially in heterogeneous catalysis, pollutes adsorption and gas capture.

Among all the gaseous pollutes, CO₂ capture and separation is in urgent demand, because CO₂ is the predominant greenhouse gas as well as its separation from natural gas and syngas is indeed very important. Until now, many kinds of new materials have been promoted as the promising adsorbents, including physical absorbents,
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functional microporous or mesoporous materials, carbonaceous materials, MOFs, and chemical-looping combustion using metal oxides, hydroxides and carbonates\(^{23-24}\). It has been demonstrated that CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity is greatly dependent on both the physical adsorption (Van Der Waals interaction) and chemical sorption (the affinity interaction between CO\(_2\) and surface functional groups)\(^{25-31}\). So CO\(_2\) adsorption capacity is quite related to the accessible surface areas and the number of functional groups in adsorbents. By means of high stability and easy functionalization, PMO materials could be one of the most important CO\(_2\) adsorbents, if the surface area could well-matched with microporous carbons and MOFs.

Herein, ultrahigh surface area PMO single-crystals (mesostructure symmetry of group space Pm-3n) with cubic or truncated-cubic morphology and organic/inorganic components homogeneously distributed over the whole frameworks have successfully been prepared by a sol-gel method combined with surfactant-templating process. The diameter of the PMO nanomaterials can be well controlled from 150 to 600 nm. The obtained uniform PMO nanocubes exhibit ultrahigh surface areas especially that of the nanomaterial with a size of 200 nm reach up to 2370 m\(^2\)/g, which is the highest for silica-based mesoporous materials, very close to that of some MOF and carbonaceous materials. It is found that the origin of the ultrahigh surface area is mainly resulted from micropores constructed by the silanol groups in the mesoporous organosilica frameworks. The PMO materials with ultrahigh surface areas can be used as CO\(_2\) adsorbents, showing much high capacity (up to 1.42 mmol/g at 273 K and 0.97 mmol/g at 298 K) and excellent selectivity (11 times to N\(_2\) at 273 K). Since the adsorption of CO\(_2\) on the PMO nanocubes is mainly based on physical interaction, the capacity is linearly dependent on the surface area and the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible.

Results and Discussion

The ethane-bridged PMO mesoporous single-crystals\(^{32-34}\) can be synthesized via a simple sol-gel method at room temperature, by using surfactant tetracetyltrimethylammonium chloride (TTAC) as a template, bis-triethoxysilyl methane (BTSE) as a silica precursor and ammonia as the catalyst, and the synthesis recipes for the PMO nanomaterials with different sizes are listed in Table S1. The PMO nanocubes with the size of 200 nm (sample 2) was synthesized with molar ratio of 1/0.4/10.5/2470 (TTAC/BTSE/NH\(_3\)/H\(_2\)O). Typically, 0.4 g of TTAC and 1.5 mL of ammonia solution (28 wt%) were added into 60 ml of water and stirred for 30 min at ~700 rpm, before the addition of 0.1 mL of BTSE. After 20 h, the as-prepared samples were collected and extracted, then characterized with several methods. The HRSEM image (Fig. 1A) of the represent crystals with the diameter of ~200 nm shows that the crystals exhibit discrete and highly monodispered cubic morphology with six equivalent [100] faces. The TEM images (Fig. 1B–F) of the obtained PMO nanocubes along <210>, <110>, <100> directions and corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) images reveal well regular periodicity and less defect at mesoscale level. Nine well-resolved diffraction peaks can be observed in the small-angle X-ray diffraction (SA-XRD) patterns (Fig. 1G) of the PMO single-crystal nanocubes after the removal of the surfactant templates by solvent extraction of ethanol, clearly revealing the highly ordered mesostructure. The three well-resolved diffraction peaks at 1.9–2.3° are indexed as the 200, 210 and 211 reflections of simple cubic mesostructure with space group of Pm-3n,\(^{35}\) suggesting a mesoscale ordering of the nanocubes in cubic symmetry. These apparent fraction peaks at 1.9–2.3° are indexed as the 222, 320, 321, 400, 330, 420 diffractions, and the 2θ value of 3.3–4.5° can well be indexed as the 200, 210 and 211 reflections of simple cubic mesostructure with space group of Pm-3n,\(^{35}\) suggesting a mesoscale ordering of the nanocubes in cubic symmetry. These apparent peaks shown in the range of 3.3–4.5° can well be indexed as the 222, 320, 321, 400, 330, 420 diffractions, and the lattice parameter (a) is calculated to be 9.21 nm.

The nitrogen sorption isotherms of the obtained mesoporous PMO nanocubes (Fig. 1H) show that the volume of adsorbed N\(_2\) raises rapidly at relative pressure (P/P\(_0\)) less than 0.05 and increases steadily in the range of P/P\(_0\) at 0.05–0.35, indicating that the single crystals contain abundant micropores and small mesopores. Moreover, in the range of P/P\(_0\) larger than 0.95, the volume of adsorbed liquid N\(_2\) also increases sharply, which is contributed to the micropores constructed by the silanol groups in the mesoporous organosilica frameworks. The PMO materials with ultrahigh surface areas can be used as CO\(_2\) adsorbents, showing much high capacity (up to 1.42 mmol/g at 273 K and 0.97 mmol/g at 298 K) and excellent selectivity (11 times to N\(_2\) at 273 K). Since the adsorption of CO\(_2\) on the PMO nanocubes is mainly based on physical interaction, the capacity is linearly dependent on the surface area and the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible.

The diameter of the PMO nanomaterials can be well controlled from 150 to 600 nm. The obtained uniform PMO nanocubes exhibit ultrahigh surface areas especially that of the nanomaterial with a size of 200 nm reach up to 2370 m\(^2\)/g, which is the highest for silica-based mesoporous materials, very close to that of some MOF and carbonaceous materials. It is found that the origin of the ultrahigh surface area is mainly resulted from micropores constructed by the silanol groups in the mesoporous organosilica frameworks. The PMO materials with ultrahigh surface areas can be used as CO\(_2\) adsorbents, showing much high capacity (up to 1.42 mmol/g at 273 K and 0.97 mmol/g at 298 K) and excellent selectivity (11 times to N\(_2\) at 273 K). Since the adsorption of CO\(_2\) on the PMO nanocubes is mainly based on physical interaction, the capacity is linearly dependent on the surface area and the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible.
As aforementioned, the surface area of the PMO materials is affected by the polymerization degree of the materials, which is relied on the synthesis condition such as the alkalinity and the ratio of reactants. In order to verify the relationship between the high surface area of the PMO materials and the polymerization degree, the amount of surfactant and ammonia were adjusted (Table S1). PMO crystals with different polymerization degree and particle sizes in a wide sub-micrometer range could be obtained while maintaining the ordered mesostructure and uniform external crystal-like morphology. For example, as the ammonia amount gradually increased, the particle sizes of the nanocubes varied from 150 to 400 nm (Fig. 2A–C), and the surface of the cubes with smaller sizes (150, 200 and 250 nm) is slightly bumpy because of the mesoporous defects. As shown in the SEM and TEM images, the obtained PMO nanocubes (samples 1, 3, 4) with different sizes such as 150, 250 and 400 nm possess well-defined cubic morphology as well as uniform particle sizes and highly ordered cubic mesostructure (Fig. 2E,F, S3, S4). At a low TTAC/BTSE ratio, the particles (samples 5, 6, 7) have truncated-cubic morphology, and the particle size can be also tuned in the range of 300~600 nm (Fig. S5). The PMO truncated-cubes are also monodispersed and discrete (Fig. 2D), with 26 faces (Fig. S6A) in three types, and also have highly ordered mesostructure. The nitrogen isotherms (Fig. 3B) of the PMO nanocubes and truncated-cubes also show type IV curves for uniform mesopores. The BET specific surface areas of the mesoporous PMO nanocubes with the size of 150, 250, 400 nm and truncated-cubes with 600 nm in size are calculated as 2220, 1960, 1670 and 1570 m²/g respectively, and these materials have similar pore size distributions (Fig. 3B inset) with small mesopores centered at about 3.7 or 3.8 nm and large mesopores at ~5.7 nm (Table 1).

Figure 1. The characterization of the typical PMO nanocubes with a size of 200 nm. FESEM (A), TEM (B–F) images, small-angle XRD patterns (G), nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (H) and the corresponding NLDFT pore size distribution plots (inset H), 29Si MAS NMR spectra (I). The HRTEM images (C–E) are viewed from (210), (110) and (100) planes, respectively. Insets (C,D) and (E) are the corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the TEM images.
Many factors could affect the surface area of materials. For example, the particle size influences the external surface area of solids, the structure parameters of the mesostructure change the mesopore and micropore surface.

Figure 2. The characterization of the PMO nanocubes with electron microscopy. FESEM images (A–D) of the PMO nanocubes and truncated-cubes with sizes of 150 nm (A), 250 nm (B), 400 nm (C) and 600 nm (D); TEM images for the PMO nanocubes with a size of 250 nm (E,F); HRSEM (G) and TEM (H,I) images of the PMO nanocubes with a size of 250 nm after hydrothermal treatment at 120 °C.

Figure 3. The characterization of the PMO nanocubes with different sizes. Small-angle XRD patterns (A), nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms (B) and the corresponding NLDFT pore size distributions (inset B) of the extracted ethane-bridged PMO materials with different particle sizes of 150 nm (black), 200 nm (red), 400 nm (green) and 600 nm (blue).
Table 1. Adsorption and structural parameters for the ethane-PMO materials prepared by using surfactant-templating sol-gel method.

| Sample | Size (nm) | P (%) | Pm (%) | Pme/Pt | Sme (m²/g) | Sme/Pt (m²/g) |
|--------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|------------|--------------|
| 1      | 150       | 0.653 | 0.481 | 0.737  | 0.764      | 17.2         | 649          |
| 2      | 200       | 0.722 | 0.463 | 0.641  | 0.685      | 16.1         | 780          |
| 3      | 250       | 0.683 | 0.434 | 0.635  | 0.638      | 11.3         | 626          |
| 4      | 400       | 0.632 | 0.412 | 0.652  | 0.682      | 6.1          | 512          |
| 5      | 600       | 0.652 | 0.484 | 0.742  | 0.635      | 4.3          | 638          |

Table 2. Estimation of the external and mesopore surface areas of the PMO materials.

| PMO Sample | Size (nm) | P (%) | Pm (%) | Pme (%) | Pme/Pt | Vt (cm³/g) | Vme (cm³/g) | Vmic (cm³/g) | Sme (m²/g) | Sme/Pt (m²/g) |
|------------|-----------|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| 1          | 150       | 1.56  | 0.28   | 0.90    | 0.38   | 9.09       | 3.8/5.7     | 2220         | 1570       | 470          |
| 2          | 200       | 1.98  | 0.51   | 1.11    | 0.36   | 9.21       | 3.8/5.7     | 2370         | 1760       | 610          |
| 3          | 250       | 2.29  | 0.49   | 0.86    | 0.94   | 9.27       | 3.7/5.7     | 1960         | 1290       | 660          |
| 4          | 400       | 1.38  | 0.34   | 0.73    | 0.31   | 9.43       | 3.7/5.7     | 1670         | 1210       | 460          |
| 5          | 600       | 1.37  | 0.43   | 0.75    | 0.19   | 8.94       | 3.7/5.7     | 1570         | 1030       | 540          |

areas impacted by the polymerization degree. In order to reveal the dominating factor for the surface area, we estimated the external and mesopore surface area of the PMO nanocubes based on the equations (See Experimental Section). The outer surface areas of the PMO nanomaterials with the size of 150, 200 and 250 nm are calculated to be 17.2, 16.1 and 11.3 m²/g, respectively (Table 2), while that for the PMO nanomaterials with the size of 400 (6.1 m²/g) and 600 nm (4.3 m²/g) with relatively larger sizes are much lower. All the values are much smaller compared to the total surface area, so that the influence of the size on the surface area is usually ignored. The mesoporous porosity (Pme) of the PMO materials was also estimated (Table 2), and the values were compared with the total porosity (P). It is observed that the ratio of mesopore porosity to total porosity (Pme/Pt) is quite close to that of mesopore volume to the volume of complementary and primary pores (Vme/Vt) with very little difference below 5% (Table 2), indicating that the suppose and calculations are proper. Furthermore, the mesopore surface areas (512~780 m²/g) were estimated very close to the measured one (570~663 m²/g), i.e., the mesopore surface area (Sme) of sample 3 is estimated to be ~ 626 m²/g, with a deviation of only 5.5% compared to the experimental value (663 m²/g). It is found that the mesoporous surface areas of all the PMO nanomaterials are no more than one third of the total surface areas, so that the microporous surface areas (Smic) 1030~1750 m²/g play the dominant role in the total surface areas, and the factor that affect the micropore surface areas should also be the key element among all the cases which influence the total surface area of PMO materials. For the PMO cubes with the diameter of 150 and 200 nm, they are both synthesized at quite lower ammonia concentrations than other materials, and possess very high micropore surface areas (1750 and 1760 m²/g) which were very close to each other, so that they have much higher surface areas. However, the sample for the PMO cubes with the diameter of 200 nm has higher surface areas than another one because of its relatively higher mesopore surface areas (610 vs 470 m²/g). This is because the one with the diameter of 200 nm has much more perfect mesoporous crystals, which could be evidenced by the much more well-dissolved peaks of the sample (Figs 1G and 3A) and the defects (Fig. 2A) on the surfaces of the 150 nm one. Thus, for the materials with similar micropore surface areas, the ordered mesostructure would also help to enhance the specific surface area of the materials.

In silica-based materials, the micropores are mainly formed between the Si-OH groups, or Si(-C)-OH groups for PMOs. The polymerization degree of the materials is decided by the amounts of Si(-C)-OH groups in mesoporous organosilica, which greatly influences the micropore surface area of the materials. Under basic conditions, the hydrolysis rate of silica precursors is very high while their condensation process is delayed, and usually the polymerization degree is a little larger at high alkalinity or high base concentrations. 29Si MAS-NMR spectra (Fig. 4) for the PMO nanocubes and truncated-cubes were studied, the contents of T1, T2, T3 species and the polymerization degree of the PMO nanomaterials are listed in Table 3. All the materials have very high T2 contents and possess ultralow polymerization degree compared to usual silica-based materials, thus they all have very high surface areas (>1570 m²/g). Not accidentally, the PMO nanomaterials with the size of 400 and 600 nm have slightly higher condensation degree since the ammonia concentration in their synthesis procedure is higher than the others. The PMO nanocubes with 200 nm in size and the lowest polymerization degree bear the highest surface area (~2370 m²/g), and the truncated-cubes with the size of 600 nm have the lowest surface area of ~1570 m²/g since the latter has the highest condensation degree of 83.7%. From these results, we can conclude that the surface area of PMO materials is highly related to the condensation degree and the control of the condensation degree is an effective method to obtain porous silica with ultrahigh surface area.

In order to further investigate the relationship between the polymerization degree and the pore surface areas, hydrothermal treatments of the PMO nanocubes with 250 nm in size were performed at 60, 80, 100 and 120 °C for 24 h, respectively (named as HT-t, t is the hydrothermal temperature). The SA-XRD patterns...
Figure 4. $^{29}$Si MAS-NMR spectra of the PMO nanocubes with different size. (A) 150, (B) 250, (C) 400, (D) 600 nm. Black lines show the raw data of the materials, while the red, blue, cyan, purple ones present the $T^3$, $T^2$, $T^1$ bands and fitted data, respectively.

| PMO Sample | Size (nm) | Silica Species (%) | Polymerization Degree (%) |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|            |           | $T^3$ | $T^2$ | $T^1$ |               |
| 1          | 150       | 2.6   | 46.6  | 50.8  | 82.7           |
| 2          | 200       | 2.7   | 48.7  | 48.6  | 82.0           |
| 3          | 250       | 2.7   | 47.3  | 50.0  | 82.5           |
| 4          | 400       | 2.6   | 43.8  | 53.6  | 83.7           |
| 5          | 600       | 2.1   | 44.2  | 53.2  | 83.5           |

Table 3. The content of different silica species and polymerization degree (D) of the extracted ethane-bridged PMO materials.

| No. | Materials | Surface area (m²/g) | Temperature (K) | Adsorption Capacity (mmol/g) | Ref. |
|-----|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|
| 1   | Silica Gel | 340                 | 300             | 0.41                         | 39   |
| 2   | MCM-41 / APTES | 1490          | 298             | 0.66                         | 40   |
|     | / 1030     | 303                | 0.52            |                              | 41   |
| 3   | MCM-48 APTES | 1390          | 298             | 0.80                         | 42   |
| 4   | AMS-6 / APTES | 921            | 273             | -0.80                        | 43   |
|     | / 288      | 273                | -2.2            |                              | 43   |
| 5   | SBA-15 / APTES | 775            | 318             | 0.44                         | 44   |
|     | / 49       | 318                | 1.70            |                              | 44   |
| 6   | PMO /       | 2370               | 273             | 1.42                         | Present work |

Table 4. CO₂ adsorption capacity of different types of silica materials. Note: the partial pressure for CO₂ adsorption is 1 bar in all cases.
frameworks and become inaccessible. Thus the residual the thermal process, else are sealed up by the transformed in two routes, partially integrated into the mesopores because of the framework shrinkage during hydrothermal treatment at 120 °C, the micropores totally disappear while only about one third of bonds, the polymerization degree of the mesoporous organosilica materials increases correspondingly. After the During the hydrothermal process, most of (HT-100) and finally only 460 m²/g for HT-120 (Table S4). The corresponding pore size distribution plots (Fig. S10B) show that the secondary mesopore size after the hydrothermal treatment is about 8.1 nm. At the same time, the micropore percentage of the original nanocubes gradually decrease as the temperature increases. While the mesopore surface area little change, the result is quite consistent with that reported in the literature37.

Before the treatments, the highly porous nanocubes have ordered mesopores and great amount of micropores in the pore walls. There are abundant =Si(-C)-OH groups on both microporous and mesoporous surfaces. During the hydrothermal process, most of =Si(-C)-OH groups on the surface are turned into =Si(-C)-O-Si(-C)= bonds, the polymerization degree of the mesoporous organosilica materials increases correspondingly. After the hydrothermal treatment at 120 °C, the micropores totally disappear while only about one third of =Si(-C)-OH groups in the untreated pristine nanocubes condenses into =Si(-C)-O-Si(-C)= groups. The micropores may be transformed in two routes, partially integrated into the mesopores because of the framework shrinkage during the thermal process, else are sealed up by the =Si(-C)-O-Si(-C)= groups as well as the arrangement of silica frameworks and become inaccessible. Thus the residual =Si(-C)-OH groups are mostly on the surface of mesopores and a small proportion in the inaccessible closed micropores in the pore walls. For mesoporous silica-based materials with a high surface area, the micropore surface area is the most important constituent part of the total surface area. Most of these materials were synthesized at a low temperature (normally < 60 °C) with ammonia as the catalyst, such as the PMO microspheres with super-microporous feature and highest surface area of 1880 m²/g before the present work16. While, the silica materials synthesized at the high temperatures always have a high polymerization degree and therefore have lower surface areas, as well as the materials post-treated at the high temperatures which is also evidenced by the results shown in the present paper. As demonstrated here, the micropores are the slits constructed by the silanol groups, and the number of the silanol groups greatly affects the micropore surface area of the silica-based materials.

The CO₂ adsorption performance of the PMO nanocubes and truncated-cubes with ultrahigh surface areas and uniform particle sizes of 150, 200, 250, 400 and 600 nm was investigated. All the isotherms (Fig. 5A) are linearly coefficient, which is quite different from the CO₂ adsorption performance on functional adsorbents (usually type I isotherms)36. At 273 K, CO₂ adsorption capacity of the PMO nanocubes with a size of 200 nm can reach up to 1.42 mmol/g, and the capacities for the PMO nanocubes with the sizes of 150, 250, 400 nm and PMO truncated-cubes with 600 nm in size are calculated to be 1.34, 1.27, 0.95, 0.90 mmol/g, respectively. The results suggest that the adsorption capacity is quite related to the BET surface area of the materials (Fig. 5B), and 0.654 M of CO₂ adsorbed on per m² of PMO surface based on the fitted linear plot. On the other side, the linear fitting of the relationship between the micropore surface area and the CO₂ adsorption capacity is found to be not proper (R is only 0.742, Fig. S11). So that the adsorption of CO₂ onto the surface of the materials is not site-selective, both the mesopore and micropore surfaces attribute to the adsorption process. The CO₂
The mesostructure of the samples was characterized using powder small-angle X-ray diffraction (SAXRD), Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu-Kα radiation) at 40 kV. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were obtained with a Hitachi S4800 microscope and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements conducted on a JEOL 2011 microscope operated at 200 kV. The nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Quanta chrome Autosorb-1MP analyzer. Before the measurements, the samples were firstly outgassed in vacuum at 120 °C for at least 12 h. The specific surface areas were calculated by the multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.25 with R > 0.999 and c > 20. The pore size distributions were plotted based on non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) method with cylinder/slit-pore mode or using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model from the adsorption branch isotherms, the total pore volumes were estimated from the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at the highest relative pressure of P/P₀ at about 0.995. The micropore surface areas and volumes were calculated by the V-t plots with the best fitting coefficient (R > 0.9999) with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.6. CO₂ adsorption was performed on a Micromeritics ASAP-2020 analyzer at certain temperature (298, 288 and 273 K). ²⁹Si CP-MAS NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 WB spectrometer. The spinning rate was 12 kHz and a total number of 20,000 scans were recorded with 6 s recycle delay for each sample. ¹³C-MAS NMR measurements were performed on Varian Infinity Plus 400 NMR spectrometer. The spinning rate was 4 kHz and a total number of 800 scans were recorded with 4 s recycle delay for each sample.

Conclusions
In summary, ethane-bridged PMO single crystals with uniform cubic and truncated-cubic morphology have successfully been synthesized by a surfactant-templating sol-gel method, via self-assembly of TTAC/BTSE in aqueous ammonia solution. The PMO crystals have highly ordered mesostructure, highly microporous feature (about 70% in total surface area), monodisperse particles with tunable uniform sizes (100–600 nm), ultrahigh surface areas (up to 2370 m²/g) and similar bimodal mesopore sizes (~3.7 and~5.7 nm). This is a big progress in attempting the extreme of the surface area of mesoporous silica, the obtained mesoporous organosilica based materials have the highest surface area yet reported, which is comparable to activated carbon and some other materials. It is found that the increase of microporous surface area plays a key role to achieve high surface area for the porous organosilica and the surface areas is highly relied on the polymerization degree of the materials. The PMO materials are used as CO₂ adsorbents and show excellent selectivity towards CO₂ and very high capacity up to ~1.42 mmol/g with very low isosteric heats (10.04–14.29 KJ/mol). Moreover, the CO₂ adsorption capacity is mainly depend on the surface area of the materials, and the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible.

E:emperical Section
Materials Preparation. Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and bis-triethoxysilylethane (BTSE) from Meryer, other reagents were purchased from Guoyao Chemical Company. All reagents were used as received. The ethane-bridged PMO single crystals were synthesized by a surfactant-templating sol-gel method in ammonia solution with TTAC as the structure-directing agent at room temperature. The reactant compositions for the synthesis of TTAC templated ethane-bridged PMO materials were listed in Table S1. Typically, the PMO nanocubes with a size of 200 or 250 nm were synthesized as followed, 0.4 g of TTAC and 1.5 mL (or 2 mL) of ammonia solution (28 wt% aqueous solution) were added into 60 ml of deionized water and stirred for 30 min (a rate at ~700 rpm) before the addition of 0.1 mL of BTSE. The as-prepared samples were collected by centrifugation for 20 h, and later on extracted with 3:97 HCl/ethanol at 60 °C for 6–12 h for twice prior to characterizations. The 250-nm PMO nanocubes were also hydrothermal treated at 60 to 120 °C for 24 h before the separation.

Characterization. The mesostructure of the samples was characterized using powder small-angle X-ray diffraction (SAXRD, Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu-Kα radiation) at 40 kV. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were obtained with a Hitachi S4800 microscope and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements conducted on a JEOL 2011 microscope operated at 200 kV. The nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Quanta chrome Autosorb-1MP analyzer. Before the measurements, the samples were firstly outgassed in vacuum at 120 °C for at least 12 h. The specific surface areas were calculated by the multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.25 with R > 0.999 and c > 20. The pore size distributions were plotted based on non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) method with cylinder/slit-pore mode or using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model from the adsorption branch isotherms, the total pore volumes were estimated from the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at the highest relative pressure of P/P₀ at about 0.995. The micropore surface areas and volumes were calculated by the V-t plots with the best fitting coefficient (R > 0.9999) with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.6. CO₂ adsorption was performed on a Micromeritics ASAP-2020 analyzer at certain temperature (298, 288 and 273 K). ²⁹Si CP-MAS NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 WB spectrometer. The spinning rate was 12 kHz and a total number of 20,000 scans were recorded with 6 s recycle delay for each sample. ¹³C-MAS NMR measurements were performed on Varian Infinity Plus 400 NMR spectrometer. The spinning rate was 4 kHz and a total number of 800 scans were recorded with 4 s recycle delay for each sample. The spinning rate was 12 kHz and a total number of 20,000 scans were recorded with 6 s recycle delay for each sample.

Characterization. The mesostructure of the samples was characterized using powder small-angle X-ray diffraction (SAXRD), Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu-Kα radiation) at 40 kV. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were obtained with a Hitachi S4800 microscope and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements conducted on a JEOL 2011 microscope operated at 200 kV. The nitrogen sorption isotherms were measured at 77 K with a Quanta chrome Autosorb-1MP analyzer. Before the measurements, the samples were firstly outgassed in vacuum at 120 °C for at least 12 h. The specific surface areas were calculated by the multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.25 with R > 0.999 and c > 20. The pore size distributions were plotted based on non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) method with cylinder/slit-pore mode or using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) model from the adsorption branch isotherms, the total pore volumes were estimated from the amount of nitrogen adsorbed at the highest relative pressure of P/P₀ at about 0.995. The micropore surface areas and volumes were calculated by the V-t plots with the best fitting coefficient (R > 0.9999) with P/P₀ in the range of 0.05–0.6. CO₂ adsorption was performed on a Micromeritics ASAP-2020 analyzer at certain temperature (298, 288 and 273 K). ²⁹Si CP-MAS NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 WB spectrometer. The spinning rate was 12 kHz and a total number of 20,000 scans were recorded with 6 s recycle delay for each sample. ¹³C-MAS NMR measurements were performed on Varian Infinity Plus 400 NMR spectrometer. The spinning rate was 4 kHz and a total number of 800 scans were recorded with 4 s recycle delay for each sample.

Conclusions
In summary, ethane-bridged PMO single crystals with uniform cubic and truncated-cubic morphology have successfully been synthesized by a surfactant-templating sol-gel method, via self-assembly of TTAC/BTSE in aqueous ammonia solution. The PMO crystals have highly ordered mesostructure, highly microporous feature (about 70% in total surface area), monodisperse particles with tunable uniform sizes (100–600 nm), ultrahigh surface areas (up to 2370 m²/g) and similar bimodal mesopore sizes (~3.7 and~5.7 nm). This is a big progress in attempting the extreme of the surface area of mesoporous silica, the obtained mesoporous organosilica based materials have the highest surface area yet reported, which is comparable to activated carbon and some other materials. It is found that the increase of microporous surface area plays a key role to achieve high surface area for the porous organosilica and the surface areas is highly relied on the polymerization degree of the materials. The PMO materials are used as CO₂ adsorbents and show excellent selectivity towards CO₂ and very high capacity up to ~1.42 mmol/g with very low isosteric heats (10.04–14.29 KJ/mol). Moreover, the CO₂ adsorption capacity is mainly depend on the surface area of the materials, and the adsorption-desorption process is highly reversible.

With ordered mesoporous structure, relatively smaller particle sizes, and the easily-functionalized organic groups embedded in the pore walls, the PMO single crystals may find new applications in many other fields, such as catalysis, separation, bio-imaging and drug delivery systems.
Calculations. The external surface area can be calculated following equation (1).

\[
S_e \approx \frac{6X^2}{\pi X^2 (1 - P_t) \rho}
\]  

(1)

In Eq. (1), the opened pores on the outer surface are not excluded. X is the size of nanocubes and the symbol \( \rho \) denotes the framework density of the material, in the case of ethane-bridged organosilica, \( \rho = 1.6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \) was mostly used. \( P_t \) is the total porosity calculated as followed:

\[
P_t \approx \frac{V_P}{V_P + \frac{1}{\rho}}
\]

(2)

\( V_P \) in Eq. (2) is the volume of complementary. The primary pores was evaluated by excluding the secondary and textural mesopores from the total pore volume, and calculated based on Eq. (3) in two routes, in which \( V_P, V_{me}, V_{mic} \) and \( V_m \) are the total, mesoporous, microporous and inter-particle volume of the samples, respectively.

\[
V_P = V_{me} + V_{mic} = V_t - V_m
\]

(3)

The estimated mesoporous porosity \( (P_{me}) \) and surface area \( (S_{me}') \) were estimated based on Eq. (4) and (5).

\[
P_{me} \approx \frac{4\pi}{a^2} \left[ 6 \left( \frac{D_A}{2} \right)^3 + 2 \left( \frac{D_B}{2} \right)^3 \right]
\]

(4)

\[
S_{me}' \approx \frac{4\pi}{\rho(1 - P_t)a^2} \left[ 6 \left( \frac{D_A}{2} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{D_B}{2} \right)^2 \right] - 12\pi \left( 6D_A\sqrt{D_A^2 - D_E^2} + 2D_B\sqrt{D_B^2 - D_E^2} \right)
\]

(5)

\( D_A \) and \( D_B \) are the diameters of A-cages and B-cages defined at the maximum of the pore size distributions calculated by NLDFT method as listed in Table 1. \( D_E \) is the entrance size of the cage-like pores, which was estimated to be 1.6 nm in all cases. The unit cell parameter \( (a) \) was calculated based on equation (6), where \( d_{210} \) is the d-spacing along <210> axis calculated based on Bragg equation.

\[
a = \sqrt[3]{d_{210}}
\]

(6)

The unit cell parameter, \( d_{210} \) and the total porosity \( P_t \) have also been listed in Table 1, and the other parameters \( (S_e, P_{me}, S_{me}') \) in Table 2.

The isosteric heat of CO2 adsorption \( (Q_s) \) on periodic mesoporous organosilica materials, calculated using the Clauysius Clapeyron equation (Eq. 7) and the adsorption data at different temperatures.

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P_1}{P_2} \right) = \frac{Q_s}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1} \right)
\]

(7)
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