INTRODUCTION

Only few cases with Pierpont Syndrome have been published worldwide. We report on a 6-year-old Albanian girl with distinct clinical features, who was diagnosed using the whole-exome sequencing technique. In addition to commonly recognized traits, our patient had scoliosis, a feature reported only in one other occasion.

Pierpont syndrome is a rare multiple congenital anomaly syndrome with unknown etiology. Up till now, only few cases with Pierpont Syndrome have been reported worldwide. Highly suggestive characteristics of the syndrome constitute a distinct facial phenotype and growth and developmental delay. The facial phenotype includes a number of characteristics such as mild midfacial hypoplasia, high forehead, narrow and upward slanted palpebral fissures, high anterior hairline, broad philtrum, broad nasal ridge and tip, flat occiput, full cheeks, together with bowed upper lip and "pouting" lower lip. The confirmation of the diagnosis for the Pierpont syndrome is detection of a specific TBL1XR1 mutation on whole-exome sequencing.1-4

We report on a 6-year-old Albanian girl with moderate developmental delay, fat pads at the anteromedial aspect of the heels, difficulty in speaking, reduced hearing, deep palmar and plantar grooves, and a distinct facial phenotype.
the second toe over the third on both sides. Fetal finger and toe fat pads were present as well.

She had a short neck and a discreet pectus excavatum. There was excess skin over arms and legs, causing them to appear puffy (Figure 1A-D). She also had a scoliosis and pes equinovarus (Figure 2A and B).

Brain MRI showed relatively cortical and central hypoplasia of the brain, small frontal lobes as well as minor dilatation of the third and lateral ventricles.

3 | METHODS AND RESULTS

A total of 50 ng of genomic DNA was extracted from circulating leukocytes of the patient. The coding exons of 4813 genes, that are associated with known clinical phenotypes, was enriched by his parents. Enrichment was carried out by means of TruSight-One kit (Illumina) following the instructions from the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequent 150 nucleotide paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq via v3 chemistry (Illumina). Variant calling and mapping to hg19 was carried out through standard parameters with CLC Biomedical Genomics Workbench (Qiagen).

We identified the heterozygous de novo mutation in TBL1XR1: NM_024665.4: c.1337A > G, p. (Tyr446Cys) in the patient. The mutation was confirmed via Sanger sequencing. We did not find any other pathogenic variants.

4 | DISCUSSION

There are still ambiguities on whether Pierpont syndrome can be categorized as a distinct entity. To the hypoplastic midface, high forehead, a short, broad nose and small, persistent fetal digital pads, widely spaced teeth, fat pads or fullness anteromedial to their heels, together with a significant

FIGURE 1  A-D, Facial features of the patient: Frontal bossing with high forehead, deep-set eyes, depressed nasal bridge, flat philtrum, and everted lower lip. Widely spaced and the palate was high arched. She had curly blond hair and low-set ears. Short neck and a discreet pectus excavatum
developmental delay originally described as typical for the syndrome,\textsuperscript{1,5-7} we added multiple dysplasia of the epiphysis, congenital cataract and pes equinovarus, and confirmed scoliosis\textsuperscript{1} as a feature that has been reported in one previous case only and pes equinovarus. Therefore, not all features of the syndrome are clear as well.

Similarly, it is not clear which are the risk factors of the syndrome. For instance, one potential explanation is the advanced age of the parents which makes a new dominant mutational mechanism, resultant in a point mutation in an as yet unidentified gene. Although originally described in male patients, Burkitt and associates reported syndrome in female patient so did we. So, it is not likely that the syndrome is linked to the gender. The role of environmental factors and their modifying influence remains unclear as well. To add up to the ambiguities, there is no reported instance of a child with Pierpont syndrome being born to consanguineous parents, nor of any affected nontwin sibling pairs.

5 | CONCLUSION

Beside all uncertainty related to etiology, risk factors and even clinical features, the number of reports of patient with distinctive phenotypic characteristics and delay in development is growing. Therefore, it is very importance to stay aware of the possibility of Pierpont syndrome every time we face patient with distinctive craniofacial features, fat pads anteromedial and fetal digital pads to the heels, significant delay in neurological development and growth. Genetic testing, that should follow the suspicion is reliable to confirm the diagnosis.
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