Tuina combined with physical therapy for spasticity of poststroke
A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract
Background: Limb spasms are a common complication of stroke. It not only affects the quality of life of stroke survivors, but also brings an economic burden. Tuina combined with physical therapy is widely used in the rehabilitation of poststroke spasticity. However, there is no supporting evidence for its efficacy and safety. This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of Tuina combined with physical therapy in the treatment of spasticity after stroke.

Methods: Literature will be collected from the following databases: China Biology Medicine (CBM), Wanfang Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP), PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science; We will include randomized controlled trials of Tuina combined with physical therapy for poststroke spasticity range from the establishment of May 1, 2021. There were no limitations to the publication time, and the language was limited to Chinese and English. The primary outcome was evaluated using the Modified Ashworth scale, and the secondary outcomes were the simplified Fugl-Meyer Assessment scale, Modified Barthel Index, Functional Independence Measurement (FIM), and Visual Analog Scale. RevMan V.5.4.1 software was used for the meta-analysis. The Cochrane Intervention System Evaluation Manual analyzes the risk of bias, and the recommended grading assessment, development and evaluation are used to assess the quality of evidence.

Ethics and dissemination: This study will be based on published systematic review studies, no ethical approval is required and the results of the study will be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal.

Systematic review registration: INPLASY2021110064.

Abbreviations: GRADE = grading of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation, MAS = modified ashworth scale, MBI = modified barthel index, PT = physical therapy, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, SR = systematic review, SFMA = simplified fugl-meyer assessment, VAS = visual analogue scale.
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1. Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of death and long-term disability worldwide.\textsuperscript{[1]} The incidence of stroke and its subcategories increased in China from 1990 to 2019, with ischemic stroke increasing the most, followed by subarachnoid and intracerebral hemorrhage.\textsuperscript{[2]} Limb spasm is a common complication after stroke, occurring in 30% to 80% of stroke survivors.\textsuperscript{[3]} Spasticity following stroke often interacts with pain, weakness, soft tissue stiffness, and joint contracture, resulting in disordered motor control and functional limitations, reduced quality of life, and an increased burden of treatment costs and caregivers.\textsuperscript{[3–5]}

The treatment goals of spastic disorder after stroke are to promote the recovery of limb motor function and correct abnormal posture to improve the independent survival ability of patients and reduce the patients’ care burden.\textsuperscript{[6]} The recovery of motor function is a complex process that depends not only on muscle tone but also on strength, endurance, sensation, and coordination.\textsuperscript{[7]} Based on the complexity of poststroke spasticity, multidisciplinary comprehensive therapies are more effective than pharmacological treatment alone,\textsuperscript{[7–9]} however, the cost burden on patients should also be considered. A United Kingdom study found that the cost of limb spasms followed by stroke is as high as that without spasm.\textsuperscript{[10]} Therefore, combining rehabilitation technology with cost-effective medical treatment
may provide the most beneficial outcomes for patients with poststroke spasms. Tuina, a key component of traditional Chinese medicine, is a passive mechanical therapy and cost-effective adjuvant therapy. Systematic evaluation shows that therapeutic massage, especially Tuina massage, is effective in improving motor function and reducing spasm in stroke survivors. In this article, physical therapy refers to the method of exercise training with bare hands or the application of equipment to restore or improve dysfunction after stroke. Physical therapy (PT) is a key treatment method for stroke rehabilitation, usually in the early stages and continuing into the chronic stage poststroke, aimed at recovering or maintaining activities of daily living (ADL).

2. Methods and analysis

The systematic review was based on the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocol (PRISMA-P). The protocol for this study was registered in the INPLASY. (ID: INPLASY2021110064).

2.1. Inclusion criteria

2.1.1. Types of study. We will only include randomized controlled clinical trials of Tuina combined with physical therapy for limb spasm after stroke without limitation of publication status, and the language will be limited to Chinese and English.

2.1.2. Types of participants. All patients must meet the WHO diagnostic criteria for stroke and be over 18 years old. Participants had symptoms of increased muscle tone in their limbs, with spasm rated as 1 to 2 according to the modified Ashworth scale. Not accompanied by other diseases that cause limb spasm. The vital signs are stable, patients with serious primary diseases such as liver, kidney, hematopoietic system and endocrine system, visual and auditory impairment, severe cognitive impairment, mental illness, who cannot cooperate with examination and rehabilitation will be excluded. There were no restrictions on gender, race, or country.

2.1.3. Types of interventions. The intervention method for the treatment group was Tuina combined with PT. In this study, the PT method mainly refers to kinesiotherapy, excluding physical factor therapy. The control group received routine rehabilitation treatments, such as medication, physical therapy, Botox injection, and acupuncture etc.

2.1.4. Types of outcome measures

2.1.4.1. Main outcome. The primary outcome will be evaluated by the Modified Ashworth scale, which is commonly used to assess the severity of spasmelic hypertonia in clinical.

2.1.4.2. Secondary outcome. A simplified Fugl-Meyer motor assessment was used to assess motor function. The visual analog scale was used for the assessment of limb pain. Activities of daily living were measured using the modified Barthel index. Functional independence measurement (FIM) was used to evaluate the independence level of stroke rehabilitation patients.

2.2. Exclusion criteria

- Case reports, reviews, observational studies, animal experiments, quasi-randomized controlled trials.
- The full text of the articles is not available or data is incomplete.
- Duplicate published studies.

2.3. Search methods

2.3.1. Electronic searches. We will search for articles from the China Biology Medicine (CBM), Wanfang Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP), PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science. We will also consult the bibliography, and the articles will be searched from their inception to May 1, 2021. The language of the articles was Chinese and English. The specific search strategy for PubMed is presented in Table 1.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

2.4.1. Selection of study. All the retrieved articles were managed using EndNote X9. Two researchers screened the literature according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria independently after reading the title and abstract, then remaining the eligible articles and deleting the texts that did not meet the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, further confirmation was obtained by reading the full text. If 2 researchers disagree about the inclusion of the paper, the third researcher will make the judgment. The filtering process is illustrated in Figure 1.

2.4.2. Data extraction. Data were independently extracted from the included studies by 2 researchers. The extracted data included the first author, publication year, sample size, method of treatment, outcome indicators, and adverse events. If the
information in some articles is incomplete, the author will be contacted to ensure the accuracy of the information.

2.5. Assessment of risk of bias
Two researchers will use the Cochrane Collaboration bias risk assessment tool to assess the risk of bias independently. The risks in the following areas of deviation will be assessed: random sequence generation, assignment concealment, blinded subjects and therapists, blinded evaluators, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reports, and other deviations. The evaluation results were divided into low, high, and uncertain risks. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third researcher.

2.6. Assessment of heterogeneity
Heterogeneity was tested for all the included studies using $I^2$ statistics. There was no obvious heterogeneity when the $I^2$ value was $<50\%$. Otherwise, if the result of $I^2$ was greater than 50\%, we considered that there was obvious heterogeneity and conducted subgroup and sensitivity analyses to explore the source of heterogeneity.

2.7. Assessment of reporting bias
If more than 10 trials met the study criteria, funnel plots were drawn and analyzed using Review Manager software (version 5.3), and potential publication bias was assessed using funnel plots.
2.8. Data synthesis

Review Manager 5.3 provided by the Cochrane Collaborative Network will be used for the meta-analysis. Dichotomous results will be analyzed using relative risk, and continuous results will be analyzed using mean difference or standardized mean difference with a 95% confidence interval. Based on the heterogeneity, we choose different effect models. When the statistical heterogeneity was low (I² $>$ 1, or $I^2 < 50$), we used the fixed-effects model to combine the data; otherwise, a random effects model was used. However, if this cannot be performed, we will conduct a descriptive analysis.

2.9. Subgroup analysis

To assess the heterogeneity of this study, we performed a subgroup analysis based on the following factors: sex, age, type of stroke, type of Tuina or physical therapy, spasm parts, control group, time of treatment, and course of treatment.

2.10. Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the robustness and reliability of the pooled results. Studies with high risk of bias were excluded. The meta-analysis will be repeated, when significant statistical heterogeneity is present to assess the quality and robustness based on sample size and insufficient data.

2.11. Grading the quality of evidence

In order to evaluate the quality of evidence, we used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system. The evaluation mainly included the following aspects: heterogeneity, imprecision, publication bias, indirectness, and risk of bias. The outcomes are ranked as “high”, “moderate”, “low”, and “very low”.

2.12. Ethics and dissemination

As this study will be based on published systematic review studies, no ethical approval is required and the results of the study will be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines.

3. Discussion

Spasticity is the main motor disorder after stroke, and poses major challenges to treatment and patient care. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop cost-effective treatments. Tuina combined with physical therapy can effectively reduce limb spasms and improve motor function in patients after stroke. The combination of passive and active therapies plays a role in improving the treatment effect and maximizing self-efficacy. There is still a lack of high-quality evidence to demonstrating that Tuina combined with PT is effective in treating poststroke spasticity. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effectiveness and safety of Tuias combined with PT, using a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trial data. We hope that the results of this study will help establish a better treatment for poststroke spasm and provide reliable evidence for its wide application.
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