Use of hormonal contraceptives and occurrence of pregnancy-related pelvic pain: a prospective cohort study in Norway
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Abstract

Background: Pregnancy-related pelvic pain is a common condition, and use of hormonal contraceptives before pregnancy has been proposed as a risk factor. We used data from a sub-sample of women participating in the "Norwegian Women and Cancer study" (NOWAC) to assess the association between hormonal contraceptive use and pelvic pain in pregnancy.

Methods: From a sub-group of 2078 parous women participating in the NOWAC study, information was collected from a self-instructive four-page questionnaire containing questions about lifestyle and medical conditions. We calculated odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), using unconditional logistic regression.

Results: In this study, the prevalence of pelvic pain in women was 26.5% during the first pregnancy and increased with parity. Use of hormonal contraceptives before a woman's first pregnancy was associated with an increased risk of pelvic pain in her first pregnancy (OR = 1.6; 95% confidence interval 1.2–2.2). There was no association between use of hormonal contraceptives and pelvic pain in the second or third pregnancy. Occurrence of pelvic pain in a previous pregnancy was the only factor associated with pelvic pain in subsequent pregnancies (OR = 51.1; 95% CI 32.9–79.5 in the second pregnancy and OR = 28.3; 95% CI 15.4–53.1 in the third pregnancy).

Conclusion: Use of hormonal contraceptives was associated with an increased risk of pelvic pain in a woman's first pregnancy. The most important determinant of pelvic pain in the second or third pregnancy was the history of pelvic pain in the preceding pregnancy.

Background

The first documented description of pelvic pain in pregnancy was published by Snelling in the American Journal of Medicine in 1870 [1]. However, it is believed that Hippocrates recognized the disease among pregnant women in Ancient Greece as far back as 400 BC. Pelvic pain in pregnancy is one of the many terms used to describe a collection of typical symptoms [2-5]. Other terms used in scientific literature are: low back pain [6-8], back pain [3,9-11], backache [12] and symphysial pain [10]. In Norway this condition is termed "bekkenløsning". This word incorporates pregnancy-related low back
pain and pelvic pain. The symptoms vary in strength from discomfort to complete immobilization. Several studies have indicated that pelvic pain in pregnancy is a widespread, and possibly increasing, health problem among women in industrialized countries [7,9,13-15]. The prevalence of pelvic pain in pregnancy varies between 25–50% in different studies [7-9,12]. Several risk factors for this condition have been hypothesized, such as age, parity, education, back pain before pregnancy, type of employment, body size, smoking habits, and use of hormonal contraceptives [2].

We present here results from a study of pelvic pain in pregnancy in relation to hormonal contraceptive use before the first pregnancy and between pregnancies.

Methods
Study population
The "Norwegian Women and Cancer study" (NOWAC) was implemented between 1991 and 1997. A total of 179,388 women from 30–70 years of age were invited to participate, and 102,443 agreed [16]. All women were sampled randomly from the national population register of Norway. This register records the addresses of all persons alive and residing in the country, and the dates of death or migration to and from Norway since 1960. In this register, each person is identified by a unique 11-digit national registration number. The first six digits encode information on the date of birth, and the last five digits use an algorithm to ensure a unique number, and include information on gender [17]. Health survey questionnaires were mailed to women in batches for convenience. In 1991–2, as part of NOWAC, a four-page questionnaire was mailed to a batch of 4,000 women born between 1943 and 1957 (35–49 years of age). Women who did not return the questionnaire in a few weeks were mailed a reminder with an identical letter of introduction and questionnaire. The questionnaires were returned to the Institute of Community Medicine in Tromsø, Norway.

The questionnaire assessed lifestyle characteristics, reproductive history, including number and duration of each pregnancy, prevalent diseases, and other different medical conditions, including pelvic pain during each pregnancy. We asked about the occurrence of pregnancy-related pelvic pain (Did you suffer from pelvic pain in any of your pregnancies?) and the grade or severity of the symptoms during each of the first three pregnancies (severe disability, problems with walking, painful walking, problems in doing housework, normal physical function level). We used the severity question to classify pelvic pain in the first three pregnancies. Hormonal contraceptives were introduced on the Norwegian market in 1967. Questions about hormonal contraceptive use were summary measures (as ever having used, total estimated overall duration of use, and use before first pregnancy) with detailed questions for each period of use, such as age when use began, brand used, age when use was interrupted, and duration of use. A folder with photos of almost all the 36 hormonal contraceptive brands that have ever been on the Norwegian market was enclosed with the questionnaire to help women to recall use of these drugs.

Data analysis
From the 4,000 women randomly selected for this sub-study we excluded 52 women who had emigrated, were dead at the time the questionnaire was sent, or had a severe mental handicap. Altogether 2,400 women agreed to participate in the study and returned a completed questionnaire, giving a crude response rate of 60.0 % or a corrected response rate of 60.8%. Of these, 2,188 women reported at least one pregnancy lasting six months or more.

We further excluded 35 women due to a lack of information on the use of hormonal contraceptives and 75 women who did not answer the question about pelvic pain. Thus, 2,078 women were included in the final analysis presented here.

We registered twin pregnancies as one pregnancy. We classified hormonal contraceptives in two ways. Firstly, all hormonal contraceptives – regardless of the mode of administration (oral or injectable), and hormonal content (combined estrogen-progestin contraceptives, and medroxyprogesterone acetate only pills or injections) – were considered together. Secondly, we classified contraceptives according to the hormonal content as progestin-only contraceptives, and combined estrogen-progestin contraceptives. We classified women as having used hormonal contraceptives before the first pregnancy, before second pregnancy (regardless if the use was only before first pregnancy, both before first pregnancy and between first and second pregnancy, or only between first and second pregnancy) and before third pregnancy (as for the second pregnancy, but also including women using contraceptives between the second and third pregnancies).

The statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical package version 8.12. Logistic regression was used for the estimation prevalence of odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Analyses were done separately for each of the three first pregnancies.

In the multivariate analysis, besides use of hormonal contraceptives before each relevant pregnancy, we included in the models the following co-variables hypothesized to be associated with pregnancy-related pelvic pain: time elapsed since relevant birth, weight of the newborn in each relevant pregnancy and age at menarche. In the
analysis of pelvic pain in the first pregnancy we also included indicator variables for potential risk factors in the multivariate regression models, namely education, height of the mother and smoking habits during the first pregnancy. However, since they did not affect the risk estimates meaningfully, they were excluded in the multivariate analysis of the second and third pregnancies.

For the analysis of the second and third pregnancies we considered the occurrence of pelvic pain in the previous pregnancy as potential determinants of pelvic pain in the following pregnancy.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the study participants**

Some characteristics of the women included in the study are shown in Table 1. The age distribution in the study population was nearly uniform, while use of hormonal contraceptives before first pregnancy decreased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who reached menarche before age 14 were more likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth. The age at first birth increased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who had their first birth before age 20 were more likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth. The weight of newborn child decreased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who had a newborn child with a weight of more than 4000 g were less likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth. The level of education increased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who had a level of education of 16 years or more were more likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth. The number of births increased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who had more than four births were more likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth. Smoking in the first pregnancy decreased with increasing age at enrolment. Women who smoked in the first pregnancy were less likely to have used hormonal contraceptives before first birth.

| Study cohort Number (%) | Used hormonal contraceptives before first birth |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age                     |                                               |
| 35–36 years             | 320 (15.4)                                    |
| 37–38 years             | 273 (13.1)                                    |
| 39–40 years             | 276 (13.3)                                    |
| 41–42 years             | 296 (14.2)                                    |
| 43–44 years             | 260 (12.5)                                    |
| 45–46 years             | 296 (14.2)                                    |
| 4949 years              | 357 (17.2)                                    |
| Age at menarche         |                                               |
| <= 12 years             | 538 (26.4)                                    |
| 13 years                | 615 (30.2)                                    |
| > = 14 years            | 882 (43.3)                                    |
| Age at first birth      |                                               |
| <= 20 years             | 503 (24.2)                                    |
| 21–25 years             | 961 (46.3)                                    |
| > = 26 years            | 614 (29.5)                                    |
| Weight of newborn child |                                               |
| -3000 g                 | 360 (18.0)                                    |
| 3001–3500 g             | 750 (37.4)                                    |
| 3501–4000 g             | 617 (30.8)                                    |
| > = 4001 g              | 276 (13.8)                                    |
| Education               |                                               |
| 7–9 years               | 428 (20.9)                                    |
| 10–12 years             | 759 (37.2)                                    |
| 13–15 years             | 517 (25.3)                                    |
| > = 16 years            | 339 (16.6)                                    |
| Years between menarche and first birth |                     |
| 0–5 years               | 215 (10.6)                                    |
| 6–10 years              | 926 (45.5)                                    |
| 11–15 years             | 628 (30.9)                                    |
| > = 16 years            | 266 (13.1)                                    |
| Number of births        |                                               |
| One                     | 307 (14.8)                                    |
| Two                     | 1044 (50.2)                                   |
| Three                   | 543 (26.1)                                    |
| > = Four                | 184 (8.9)                                     |
| Smoking in the first pregnancy |                         |
| No                      | 1396 (67.8)                                   |
| Yes                     | 611 (30.4)                                    |
hormonal contraceptives before their first pregnancy than women over 14 years of age at menarche \((p = 0.001)\). Age at first birth, years of education, and interval between menarche and first birth were directly associated with hormonal contraceptive use before first pregnancy \((p \text{ values } < \text{ or } = 0.001)\). The inverse was observed with number of births \((p < 0.001)\). Use of hormonal contraceptives before first pregnancy was unrelated with weight of the first newborn child \((p = 0.60)\). Nearly one-third of the women smoked during their first pregnancy. The proportion of hormonal contraceptive users before first pregnancy was similar among smokers and non-smokers.

**Pelvic pain in pregnancy**

Pregnancy-related pelvic pain – in the first, second or third pregnancy – was reported most often in the youngest women in our study \(\text{(data not shown)}\). The proportion of women reporting pregnancy-related pelvic pain increased with the number of pregnancies \(22.9\% \text{ in the first pregnancy, } 27.4\% \text{ in the second pregnancy and } 34.0\% \text{ in the third pregnancy} \). Similar increase in the prevalence of pelvic pain with increasing number of pregnancies was observed in all age groups, irrespective of age at study enrolment \(\text{(data not shown)}\).

**Pelvic pain in the first pregnancy**

Women who reported having used hormonal contraceptives before their first pregnancy reported a higher frequency of pelvic pain in the first pregnancy as compared with non-users \(\text{(OR } = 1.6; 95\% \text{ CI } 1.2–2.1\text{)}\) \(\text{(Table 2)}\). Neither age at menarche, age at first birth, time elapsed since first birth; weight of newborn child, years of education nor smoking during the first pregnancy changed significantly the risk estimated in this analysis \(\text{(Table 2)}\).

**Pelvic pain in the second and third pregnancies**

Use of hormonal contraceptives before second and before third pregnancy was not associated with risk of pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the second or third pregnancy \(\text{(OR } = 1.0; 95\% \text{ CI } 0.7–1.5 \text{ and OR } = 1.2; 95\% \text{ CI } 0.7–1.9 \text{ respectively)}\). The only important determinant of pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the second or third pregnancy was the history of pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the preceding pregnancy \(\text{(OR } = 57.3; 95\% \text{ CI } 40.5–81.2 \text{ in second pregnancy and OR } = 31.2; 95\% \text{ CI } 19.7–49.4 \text{ in third pregnancy)}\) \(\text{(Table 3)}\). Pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the second pregnancy \(\text{(but not in the first)}\) was a strong predictor of pelvic pain in the third pregnancy \(\text{(RR } 18.0, 95\% \text{ CI } 8.2–39.6\text{)}\). Women who presented pregnancy-related pain in the first two pregnancies had an extremely high risk of also suffering from it in the third pregnancy \(\text{(RR } 232, 95\% \text{ CI } 82–658\text{)}\).

**Table 2: Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the first pregnancy**

| Characteristic                                      | Pregnancy-related pelvic pain in first pregnancy | OR (95% CI) *  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Use of hormonal contraceptives before first birth   |                                                  |               |
| No                                                  | 1.0 (ref.)                                       |               |
| Yes                                                 | 1.6 (1.2–2.1)                                    |               |
| Age at menarche                                      |                                                  |               |
| <= 12 years                                         | 1.0 (ref.)                                       |               |
| 13 years                                            | 0.9 (0.7–1.2)                                    |               |
| 14 + years                                          | 0.8 (0.6–1.1)                                    |               |
| Age at first birth                                   |                                                  |               |
| <= 20 years                                         | 1.0 (ref.)                                       |               |
| 21–25 years                                         | 1.2 (0.9–1.5)                                    |               |
| 26 + years                                          | 0.8 (0.5–1.1)                                    |               |
| Time elapsed since first birth (per 3 years)**      | 0.9 (0.8–1.0)                                    |               |
| Weight of newborn child (per 500 g)                 | 1.0 (0.95–1.1)                                   |               |
| Years of education                                  |                                                  |               |
| 7–9                                                 | 1.0 (ref)                                        |               |
| 10–12                                               | 1.0 (0.7–1.3)                                    |               |
| 13–15                                               | 0.9 (0.7–1.3)                                    |               |
| 16+                                                 | 1.1 (0.7–1.7)                                    |               |
| Smoking during first pregnancy                       |                                                  |               |
| No                                                  | 1.0 (ref)                                        |               |
| Yes                                                 | 0.9 (0.7–1.1)                                    |               |

* All variables are mutually adjusted. Analysis includes women with valid information for listed variables, i.e. 437 women with and 1424 women without pregnancy-related pelvic pain during their first pregnancy. ** Years between first birth and enrolment in the study cohort.
We found no clear association between duration of use of hormonal contraceptives before first pregnancy and pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the first pregnancy (Test for heterogeneity \( p = 0.23 \)). There was no evidence of a differential effect according to the hormonal content of the pill, i.e., progestin-only or combined estrogen-progestin pills (Test for heterogeneity \( p = 0.94 \) (Table 4)).

We also performed an analysis classifying separately the 23 women that used both progestin-only and combined estrogen-progestin contraceptives. In this analysis the esti-
mates were equal as those in the Table 4 for progestin-
only and for combined estrogen-progestin contraceptives,
and the estimate for women who used both was OR = 2.0
(95% CI: 0.8–5.0). Finally, we excluded from the analysis
these 23 women, and the results were not materially dif-
f erent than those shown in Table 4.

Discussion
In our study use of hormonal contraceptives was associ-
ated with the occurrence of pelvic pain in the first preg-
nancy, but not in the second and third pregnancies.
Occurrence of pelvic pain in a previous pregnancy was
strongly associated with occurrence of the symptoms in
subsequent pregnancies.

During a fertile life, at least 20% of women experience pel-
vic pain in at least one pregnancy. The prevalence of the
symptoms increases with increasing parity [2,9,12]. Most
of the published studies on determinants of pregnancy-
related pelvic pain originate from Scandinavia, where this
condition appears to be prevalent [2,3,9,10,13,18,19].
Only a few studies have looked into hormonal contracep-
tives as a possible risk factor for pregnancy-related pelvic
pain [3,6,7,9,14]. All these studies were negative or non-
conclusive, and most of them were too small to allow any
conclusions. None of them have stratified the analysis on
parity, analysing each pregnancy separately. Our strategy
of analysing each pregnancy separately might explain why
our results deviate from earlier studies. If hormonal con-
traceptives are an explanatory biological factor in the
development of pelvic pain, it might be an advantage to
study each pregnancy separately, because of the high
recurrence of the condition in subsequent pregnancies.
Endresen [2] emphasises that both age and parity are
important explanatory variables, out of which parity is the
more powerful. She also argues that adjustment for age
would hardly be required if the data were stratified for
parity.

Östgaard et al. [11] reported that back problems inde-
pendent of pregnancy was an important factor when pre-
dicting back pain and pain intensity in a future pregnancy.
We did not have information about back pain before preg-
nancy in our study, and thus we could not take this factor
into consideration in our analyses.

The response rate in this study was around 60%, which
might be considered low. The possible problems with a
low response rate, such as selection bias, have been dis-
cussed elsewhere [20]. It is unlikely that the response rate
introduced a selection bias that might cause major prob-
lems in this study. The unique person-number given to all
Norwegian inhabitants made it possible for us to link a
sub-sample of the women in the Norwegian Women and
Cancer Study to the National Register of Education and
Fertility and compare the responses with the total invited
group in the same sub-cohort. This linkage uncovered no
selection bias except that the response rate increased with
increased education. We have slightly better educated
women in the cohort than expected according to the Nor-
wegian female population as a whole [16]. In addition, no
association between the proportion of women using hor-
monal contraceptives, parity or age at first birth was
revealed according to the response rate from an earlier
analysis within our same study cohort [21]. If ever users of
hormonal contraceptives with pelvic pain were more
likely to answer the questionnaire than never users of hor-
monal contraceptives without pelvic pain, selection bias
could have occurred. We consider this to be rather
unlikely, since a possible association between hormonal
contraceptive use and pregnancy-related pelvic pain was
not a known hypothesis in the general population at the
time of the survey. Moreover, the questions about preg-
nancy-related pelvic pain were not mentioned in the
study’s letter of introduction or in the headings of the
questionnaires used.

Differential misclassification of exposure [22] could have
resulted in either an exaggerated or underestimated effect.
However, we have no reason to believe that women with
a history of pelvic pain systematically remembered their
hormonal contraceptive use differently from women
without this condition.

Our study could have been affected by recall bias: women
might remember in more detail recent events (such as
recent use of hormonal contraceptives or pain related to a
recent pregnancy) compared to past events. Time elapsed
since first birth is correlated with the woman's birth year,
and we found a non-significant reduced risk of pregnancy-
related pelvic pain with increasing time elapsed since first
birth. However, if pelvic pain incidence is increasing,
younger women or women who gave birth for the first
time close to enrolment will be more likely to report hav-
ing suffered from the illness. Both the association between
pelvic pain and women's birth year or age have been
reported earlier [2,9].

Conclusions
Our results suggest that use of hormonal contraceptives
before the first pregnancy may cause an increased risk of
pregnancy-related pelvic pain in the first pregnancy. Preg-
nancy-related pelvic pain in a preceding pregnancy is a
very strong predictor of pelvic pain in a subsequent
pregnancy.

The association between use of hormonal contraceptives
and pelvic pain in the first pregnancy is this study was
weak, but it might be of interest in a public health per-
spective since both the prevalence of hormonal contracep-
tive use before first pregnancy, and the prevalence of pelvic pain in pregnancy are high in Scandinavia.
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