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ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted in humid agro ecological zone of Calabar, Nigeria, to evaluate the yield performance and the association between yield and yield related components of some capsicum genotypes which include; Bird pepper (Capsicum annum var. aviculare), Habanero pepper (Capsicum chinense), Thai pepper (Capsicum annuum var. glabriusculum), Tabasco pepper (Capsicum frutescens) and Bell pepper (Capsicum annum. Var. accuminatum). Randomized complete block design was used for the experiment, planted in all three replications. The result showed that all the genotypes of pepper used were significantly different (P = 0.05) in plant height, number of branches, fruit length, fruit breadth, number of fruit per plant and total fruit yield but no significant differences was observed in days to 50% flowering and leaf area. The cultivar Tabasco pepper gave the highest yield of 2426.66 kg/ha followed by bird pepper with a total yield of 2239.99 kg/ha while the cultivar Hebanero pepper gave yield of 1907 kg/ha. The least yield was recorded on Thai pepper with 1520Kg/ha and bell pepper gave 1680 kg/ha. The linear correlation analysis of the yield and yield related component revealed that days to 50% flowering (r = 0.613), fruit length (r = 0.392), number of branches (r = 0.913), number of fruits per plant (r = 0.422) and plant height (r = 0.424) showed positive relationship with fruit yield. The yield component with positive and significant correlation can be used as selection indices for the improvement of capsicum species.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus Capsicum also known as pepper is an annual shrub with many branches belonging to the family Solanaceae. It is one of the most important vegetables grown in Nigeria and other parts of humid and semi-arid tropics (Aliyu 2000). The crop thrives best in relatively warm climate, in well drained, sandy loam soil. Hot and dry weather is desirable for fruit ripen and drying. Pepper is used in all kinds of cookery as pungent species. It is also used in seasoning sauces, soup and other dishes. It has medicinal properties used in prevention and treatment of cold and fever (Udoh et al 2005). Pepper like other vegetable crops contributes nutritiously in nutrients that may be lacking in other food materials (Grubben 1997). Therefore the potential uses to human cover large area such as food and nutrition, medicinal value, plant based insecticides and income generation (Dagnoko et al 2013). According to Aguisiobu (2002), Kehinro and Ketiku (2004), pepper is rich sources of vitamin A and Vitamin C. The fruit can be dried, ground to powder and use as ingredient in curry powder (Dewitt and Bosland 2009).

World production of pepper is estimated at 2.4 million tons harvested from 1.65 million hectares giving an average yield of 1.4 tons/ha (FAO, 2005). In Nigeria, pepper is very important and widely cultivated in every part of the Country. But the constraint is that yield per hectare is still very low when compared with other crops. According to Idowu et al (2012) about 200 selections of pepper are in Nigeria. Therefore, there is need to improve on the yield of the available cultivars considering the yield components. The absolute capacity of pepper to produce economic yield under optimum production condition depends on the genetic constitution of the crop and the inherent physiological activities which involved in their formation, interaction of the growth environment, management practice and pest control. Yield being an important attribute in the production of pepper, is influenced by both growth and yield characters. Therefore, there is need to evaluate the magnitude and nature of the association between yield and the related yield characters. The purpose of this study therefore is to evaluate the yield performance and association between the yield and related yield components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the University of Calabar Research and Teaching farm. Calabar is located in the tropical rainforest ecological zone of Nigeria. At Latitude 4° 96’N of the equator and longitude 8° 31’E with bimodal annual rainfall ranges from 2000mm to 3000mm from April to November. Five genotypes of capsicum were obtained from the following sources: Bird pepper (Capsicum annum var. aviculare), Habanero pepper (Capsicum chinense), Thai pepper (Capsicum annuum var. glabriusculum), Tabasco pepper (Capsicum frutescens) and Bell pepper (Capsicum annum. Var. accuminatum). The experiment was conducted in humid agro ecological zone of Calabar, Nigeria, to evaluate the yield performance and the association between yield and yield related components of some capsicum genotypes which include; Bird pepper (Capsicum annum var. aviculare), Habanero pepper (Capsicum chinense), Thai pepper (Capsicum annuum var. glabriusculum), Tabasco pepper (Capsicum frutescens) and Bell pepper (Capsicum annum. Var. accuminatum).

Randomized complete block design was used for the experiment, planted in all three replications. The result showed that all the genotypes of pepper used were significantly different (P = 0.05) in plant height, number of branches, fruit length, fruit breadth, number of fruit per plant and total fruit yield but no significant differences was observed in days to 50% flowering and leaf area. The cultivar Tabasco pepper gave the highest yield of 2426.66 kg/ha followed by bird pepper with a total yield of 2239.99 kg/ha while the cultivar Hebanero pepper gave yield of 1907 kg/ha. The least yield was recorded on Thai pepper with 1520Kg/ha and bell pepper gave 1680 kg/ha. The linear correlation analysis of the yield and yield related component revealed that days to 50% flowering (r = 0.613), fruit length (r = 0.392), number of branches (r = 0.913), number of fruits per plant (r = 0.422) and plant height (r = 0.424) showed positive relationship with fruit yield. The yield component with positive and significant correlation can be used as selection indices for the improvement of capsicum species.
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Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) Calabar. These were classified into three species; Capsicum annuum, Capsicum chinense, and Capsicum frutescens.

The land was cleared and nursery bed prepared for sowing of the seeds and this was allowed to grow for 45 days after which the plants were transplanted to the main seed beds.

The experiment was laid in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). Each genotype was sowed in 5m X 1.5m (7.5m²) plot with inter – row spacing of 75cm and intra – row spacing of 30cm respectively, maintaining two plants per stand in three replications.

All cultural practices such as weeding were carried out at three weeks after transplanting and N.P.K. fertilizer application(50KgN:30KgP:15KgK) after the first weeding operation. Data were collected on plant height, number of branches, leaf area, number of capsules / plant, capsule length, capsule breadth and total fruit yield. The data analyses were carried out using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear correlation analysis suggested by Singh and Chandhary (1980)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the field evaluation of five capsicum genotypes for yield performance and association with yield related components are presented in table 1. Combine analysis of variance showed high significant differences at P = 0.05 among the investigated capsicum genotypes considering all the evaluated yield components except days to 50% flowering and leaf area. High significant differences at P = 0.05 in plant characters within a population suggests the existence of sufficient variability upon which selection for improvement in these characters can be based (Amadi et al, 2008). The mean performances of the genotypes shows a clear indication of agronomic superiority of genotypes over others such as Tabasco pepper(Capsicum frutescens) with fruit yield of 2,426.6kg/ha and Bird pepper(Capsicum annuum) with yield of 2,239.9 kg/ha. Yield is a complex character associated with many interrelated components (Murat and Vehdettin 2004) but this could easily be estimated on the basis of the contribution of yield components and other closely associated characters of the capsicum species.

The result of the linear correlation analysis carried out between the yield components and yield are presented in table 2. The yield components: Days to 50% flowering (r=0.613), fruit length (r=0.392), leaf area (r=0.067), number of branches (r=0.913), number of fruit per plant (r=0.422), and plant height (r=0.424) showed positive relationship with fruit yield except the fruit breadth that correlated negatively with yield. These result indicated that days to 50% flowering, number of branches, fruit length, leaf area, plant height and number of fruit per plant of the pepper genotypes have positive and direct significant effect on yield. Negative and non-significant correlation was observed on fruit breadth with r = -0.395

The direct and positive association of these yield components can be used to develop an optimally reliable selection index for the improvement of fruit yield in capsicum species. This result confirmed the earlier studies by Hosamani and Shivkumar (2006), Ganeshreddet. et al (2008), and Honya (1981). Association of plant character has always been helpful as a basis of selecting desire genotypes. The result suggested that pepper yield can be increased by improving the number of branches per plant, number of fruits per plant and leaf area due to the strong association with yield. According to Islam et.al (2008), Iwo and Ekaette (2010) selection pressure is exercised for improvement of any character highly associated with yield, and it simultaneously affects a number of other correlated traits. Hence, the knowledge regarding association of character with yield provides a guideline to the breeder for making improvement through selection.

CONCLUSION

Field performance of the evaluated pepper genotypes revealed significant variation in yield among the genotypes. Most of the yield related traits correlated positively with yield such as number of branches, number of fruits per plants, and fruit length. The positive association of some of the components with yield indicated possible use of these characters as reliable selection indices when considering these traits in pepper improvement.

| TABLE 1: MEAN YIELD VALUES OF THE FIVE PEPPER GENOT |
|---------------------------------------------|
| GENOTYPES       | DAYS TO 50% FLOWERING | PLANT HEIGHT (CM) | NO OF BRANCHES | LEAF AREA (cm²) | FRUIT LENGTH (cm) | FRUIT BREATH (cm) | NO OF FRUIT PER PLANT | FRUIT YIELD kg/ha |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Bird pepper     | 35.3                   | 17.11             | 11.6           | 23.4            | 2.05              | 0.65              | 75                   | 2239.99           |
| Thai pepper     | 26.8                   | 14.30             | 6.5            | 23.6            | 2.65              | 1.18              | 42                   | 1520.00           |
| Bell pepper     | 23.9                   | 12.20             | 6.2            | 25.8            | 7.24              | 4.54              | 36                   | 1680.00           |
| Tabasco pepper  | 28.4                   | 15.25             | 21.8           | 27.8            | 9.04              | 1.65              | 40                   | 2426.60           |
| Hebanero pepper | 26.2                   | 18.72             | 10.3           | 28.2            | 2.76              | 3.05              | 44                   | 1906.66           |
| Mean            | 28.12                  | 15.436            | 11.08          | 27.76           | 4.75              | 2.21              | 47.4                 | 1954.65           |
| SEM             | 1.73                   | 0.95              | 2.91           | 2.45            | 1.27              | 0.63              | 3.30                 | 168.93            |
| LSD (P = 0.05)  | NS                     | 2.38              | 4.39           | NS              | 1.19              | 2.15              | 2.65                 | 32.272            |
TABLE 2: CORRELATION MATRIX BETWEEN YIELD COMPONENTS AND FRUIT YIELD

|                | DAYS TO 50% FLOWERING | DAYS TO 50% FLOWERING | FRUIT BREADTH | FRUIT BREADTH | FRUIT LENGTH | FRUIT LENGTH | LEAF AREA | LEAF AREA | NO OF BRANCHES | NO OF BRANCHES | NO OF FRUIT PER PLANT | NO OF FRUIT PER PLANT | PLANT HEIGTH | PLANT HEIGTH | YIELD | YIELD |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| DAYS TO 50%   | 1.000**                |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| FLOWERING     |                        | -0.786                 | 1.000**       | -0.403        | 0.405*       | 0.403        | -0.356    | 0.320     | 0.948          | -0.634          | -0.585                   | -0.290                   | 0.057        | 1.000**     |       |       |
| FRUIT BREADTH |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| FRUIT LENGTH  |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| LEAF AREA     |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| NO OF BRANCHES|                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| NO OF FRUIT   |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| PER PLANT     |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| PLANT HEIGTH  |                        |                        |               |               |              |              |           |           |                 |                 |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |
| YIELD         | 0.613*                 | -0.395                 | 0.329*        | 0.067         | 0.913**      | 0.422*       | 0.424*    | 1.000**    |                         |                         |                         |                         |             |             |       |       |

Keys: *significant at 5% level; **significant at 1% level.
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