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Abstract

**Background and objectives:** Coronary artery disease (CAD) is associated with negative emotions of anger and stress, which are one of the nonclinical risk factors of the disease. Therefore, evaluation of the effective therapeutic models alleviating the patients’ negative emotions is of paramount importance. This study aimed to examine the effect of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on anger and stress in patients with coronary heart disease after coronary artery bypass graft (CABG).

**Methods:** This research had an A-B single-subject design. The research population encompassed all patients with coronary heart disease referring to Shahid Madani Hospital of Tabriz, Iran during the second half of 2016 for rehabilitation measures. Four patients were selected using convenience sampling. Before the interventions, the participants completed state-trait anger expression inventory (STAXI-2, Spielberger) and depression anxiety stress scale (DASS) throughout two consecutive weeks, which led to establishing two baselines. Afterwards, they received mindfulness-based stress reduction training during seven consecutive weeks and completed the questionnaires after each intervention. Percentage of recovery, percentage of non-overlapping data (PND), standard mean difference (SMD), and effect size were used to analyze the data, and eye diagram analysis was adopted to interpret the data.

**Results:** The findings indicated that the mean recovery rate for all participants was 0.84 and its effect size index was 2.7. Moreover, the mean percentage of recovery and the effect size index of mindfulness intervention on the patients’ anger were 0.18 and 1.38, respectively.

**Conclusion:** According to the results of the study, it could be concluded that mindfulness-based stress reduction is an effective intervention to regulate stress in patients suffering from coronary heart disease.
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Introduction

Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) is the main cause of death in a majority of countries (1), including Iran (2 and 3), and will be the leading cause of mortality by 2020 (4). In Iran, CAD is the first cause of death among individuals aged ≥38 years (5). This disease is associated with feelings of anger and stress. In this regard, the coronary artery bypass graft (CABG), which is a surgical procedure to restore normal blood flow to an obstructed coronary artery, imposes a lot of stress on patients (6).

Stress is defined as a physical response to external and internal stimuli. In other words, stress is a condition or an emotion in which a person perceives that requests and expectations from him are beyond his available resources, facilities, and potentials. In addition, anger is an emotional state, the severity of which varies from mild stimulation to severe anger and is accompanied with physiological changes (e.g., heart palpitations and hypertension). According to some researchers, hostility and anger are one of the non-clinical risk factors that induce coronary artery atherosclerosis (7).

A large number of studies have shown that the experience of anger increases the risk of CAD independent from risks associated with social, demographic and biological factors (8). Furthermore, numerous prospective epidemiological studies have revealed a significant relationship between anger and CAD (9). Anger is correlated with poor prognosis in patients with CAD and is considered as a risk factor for this condition (10). Research findings have confirmed the relationship between anger and the long-term and serious health risk factors, such as hypertension and cardiovascular diseases (11).

On the other hand, stress has been considered as a predictor and risk factor for CAD, and many studies have been carried out in this regard (12). Acute and sudden stresses as well as chronic stresses have negative consequences. Moreover, acute stress can lead to sudden clinical events, including a heart attack. In addition to the effects of acute and short-term stressors, the consequences of chronic stressors are considered as a risk factor for CAD as well. Some of the widely studied factors include job stress, low social support, and low socioeconomic status. The relationship between occupational stress and CAD has been assessed and confirmed in a number of studies (13). Emotion-focused coping techniques and mindfulness-based stress reduction method play a critical role in regulating the negative emotions among patients with CAD.

Mindfulness is purposeful attention to the present moment with compassion, curiosity and acceptance, which is discovering how to live at the moment pleasantly rather than worrying about the past and future (14). Mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) technique was developed by Kabat-Zinn (15). During this eight-session intervention, participants are taught to communicate with their inner and outer worlds in the moment and with non-judgmental awareness. Moreover, MBSR uses a combination of body awareness, breathing exercises, mindful yoga, mindful inspiration, fluid meditation, records of pleasant experiences, and records of unpleasant experiences. By facilitating the evaluation of the positive process, mindfulness reduces the devastating consequences of stressful conditions. It also uses dishabituation for inappropriate coping strategies to reduce the problems of stressful conditions (16). The results of a study, which aimed to determine the effects of the MBSR program on reducing anger and stress in
patients with hypertension, showed that this intervention reduced anger and stress levels in patients with hypertension, compared to the control group (17).

Wright, Day, Howells (18) carried out a study to determine the effect of mindfulness on anger problems, reporting that MBSR treatments effectively reduced the level of anger. In a review study, the effect of MBSR treatments on the increased mental health of individuals with chronic diseases was examined, and it was found that this treatment reduced the symptoms of depression, anxiety, stress, and anger in the experimental group, compared to the control group (19).

Despite the importance of this issue, little research has been conducted on the effectiveness of this type of intervention in reducing the negative emotions of patients with CAD. In other words, previous studies did not control the effect of surgery, which is a stressful condition and adversely affects patients' emotions. Moreover, the effect of mindfulness intervention on the feelings of anger and stress among CAD patients was not considered for individuals (due to their continued need for close communication) after surgery. Furthermore, the post-surgical mental conditions of these patients have been ignored. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of MBSR technique on anger and stress among patients with CAD after CABG using a single-subject study.

**Materials and Methods**

An A-B single-subject design was used in this study. Since the mental status of the participants was continuously investigated and monitored by the researcher with regard to the existence of a surgery and its effect on emotions, such as anxiety, depression and stress for two months through using phone calls, messages and in-person visits, no other designs could be employed and the single-subject design was adopted. The statistical population of the study consisted of all patients with coronary heart disease (CHD) in Tabriz, Iran. From those patients undergoing a surgery in Shahid Madani Hospital of Tabriz, four CDA patients passing the rehabilitation period were selected using convenience sampling. Inclusion criteria were: (1) history of CABG; (2) minimum age of 20 years; (3) ability to communicate verbally; (4) ability to fill the questionnaires; (5) elementary education and higher levels; and (6) consent to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria consisted of poor general medical conditions based on the physician’s opinion during the treatment course. After selecting the participants and explaining the objectives of the research while adhering to the ethical considerations, the participants completed the State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI-2, Spielberger) and Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS) throughout two consecutive weeks prior to the interventions, which led to establishing two baselines.

After each intervention, they completed the questionnaires again weekly. Regarding the A-B design, the research variables (i.e., anxiety and stress) were measured in two phases: baseline phase (A) and experimental phase (B). In the phase A, which included two baselines, the participants filled the questionnaires within two consecutive weeks in the cardiac rehabilitation department of the hospital, which resulted in determining the levels of the concerned emotions prior to the intervention. In the phase B, the participants attended a group-training course on MBSR intervention for seven consecutive weeks in a relaxed atmosphere in the rehabilitation ward.
and completed questionnaires in the same place after each intervention. In this way, the patients’ levels of anger and stress were determined after the intervention.

The MBSR intervention was developed according to Kabat-Zinn’s (2005) standard to be implemented as an eight-week treatment program once a week in the form of 150-minute sessions. A summary of the training sessions and their contents are presented in Table 1.

| Session | Content | Daily practices in a week |
|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| First   | 1) Presenting the concepts of mindfulness and stress and their effects on life; 2) Illustrating a holiday and promoting the will to participate in meetings; 3) Eating raisins; 4) Mindful examination of body; and 5) Examining experiences | 1) Listening to CD1 2) Solving the nine-point puzzle; 3) Paying attention to inner modes |
| Second  | 1) Mindful examination of body; 2) Breathing exercises; and 3) Addressing exercises 1 and 2 | |
| Third   | 1) Mindful Yoga; 2) Breathing exercises; and 3) Checking the experiences obtained from the exercises | 1) Listening to CD1 and CD2 alternatively, 2) Breathing exercises; and 3) Recording inconvenient events |
| Fourth  | 1) Mindful Yoga; 2) Breathing and body exercises; 3) Checking experiences obtained from the exercises; 4) Practicing interactive dialogue; 5) Practicing thoughts-emotions-body senses-behavior relationships | 1) Listening to CD1 and CD2 alternatively, 2) Breathing exercises; and 3) Awareness of stress reactions |
| Fifth   | 1) Mindful meeting; 2) Mindful walking; and 3) Checking experiences | 1) Listening to the CD3 every other day and listening to CD1 and CD2 the other days; 2) Awareness of the reactions |
| Sixth   | 1) Fluid meditation; 2) Standing and mindful yoga; and 3) Checking experiences | 1) Listening to the CD3 every other day and listening to CD1 and CD2 the other days; 2) Awareness of everything that enters the body |
| Seventh | 1) Three-Minute Breathing Space (3MBS); 2) Fluid meditation; 3) Practicing decisive behavior; 4) Checking experiences | 1) Doing the exercises in the three CDs without listening to them; 2) Paying attention to nutrition |
| Eighth  | 1) Mindful examination of body; 2) Compassion meditation; 3) Checking experiences; 4) Prayer for health and alliance and the end | 1) Returning to the exercises in the three CDs and performing them on one’s wish |
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Research Instruments

**Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS)**

The DASS encompasses 21 items on the symptoms of negative emotions (depression, anxiety and stress). In this study, the stress component was used to measure the participants’ level of stress. The stress subscale includes phrases such as difficulty in relaxation, nervous tension, irritability and restlessness. Henry and Crawford (20)

Compared this instrument with two other tools in a sample of 1771 cases in the United Kingdom. They reported the Cronbach's alpha coefficients to be 0.93 for the stress scale and 0.97 for the whole scale. In the Iranian sample, a study (21) also confirmed the reliability of this scale at the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.82 for the stress subcategory.

**State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory-2 (STAXI-2, Spielberger)**

This 57-item inventory was developed by Spielberger (22) and consists of six scales, five sub-scales, and anger expression indices. Six scales are as follows: 1) trait anger scale with 15 items measuring the intensity of anger and a person's tendency to express it verbally or physically at a specified time; 2) anger strike scale with 10 items measuring individual differences in the tendency to experience anger; 3) anger expression scale with eight items measuring the frequency for feelings of anger directed verbally or aggressively toward other persons or objects in the environment; 4) anger expression-in scale with eight items measuring the frequency of the experienced, but not expressed, feeling of anger; 5) anger control–out scale with eight items measuring the frequency of controlling the outward expression of anger; and 6) anger control–in scale with eight items measuring the frequency of cases when an individual tries to control his anger through relaxing or calming down. The inventory is scored based on a four-point Likert scale from one (never ever) to four (very much). Spielberger (22) reported the validity and credibility of this inventory as acceptable; the high internal consistency among the scales and the sub-scales of anger and its positive relationship with other scales of anger and hostility reflect its acceptable validity. In addition, a study in Iran (23) confirmed the validity of this questionnaire. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was used to determine validity and its value was 0.79 for the total score of anger. To determine the validity, the correlation of each item with its relevant following score was examined and the correlation coefficients ranged from 0.29 to 0.75 (23).

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using mean, standard deviation, percentage of recovery, percentage of non-overlapping data (PND), standard mean difference (SMD), effect size, and eye diagram analysis.

Results

According to Table 2, the percentages of recovery for the participants 1-4 with regard to the anger variable were 8%, 26%, 12% and 28%, respectively, with the mean recovery rate of 18%, indicating that the rate of anger remained unchanged in the first and third participants, and the second and fourth participants’ rate of recovery was extremely low. The mean percentage of recovery in the participants was 18%, suggesting the ineffectiveness of intervention in improving
anger control among the participants. Regarding the percentage of PND, the rates of recovery were 28%, 71%, 14% and 85% respectively, which means that the treatment failed to improve the anger control in the first and third participants. However, it managed to reduce anger in average in the second and fourth participants. The mean PND for all participants was 50%, showing the low effectiveness of intervention in reducing anger among the participants. The effect size and the standard mean difference (SMD) obtained for this variable were 1.38 and 1.47, respectively, which are within a large and acceptable range. According to the results, MBSR training had a relatively desirable effect on anger of patients with CHD.

| Table 2. Mean, Standard Deviation, Percentage of Recovery, PND, PND Mean, SMD, and effect Size of Anger in the Participants |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| First Participant | Second Participant | Third Participant | Fourth Participant |
| Baseline 1 | 114 | 159 | 103 | 155 |
| Baseline 2 | 132 | 134 | 96 | 142 |
| Mean | 123 | 146.5 | 99.5 | 148.5 |
| SD | 12.72 | 17.67 | 4.94 | 9.19 |
| Treatment session 1 | 121 | 151 | 98 | 163 |
| Treatment session 2 | 117 | 142 | 96 | 130 |
| Treatment session 3 | 114 | 106 | 100 | 109 |
| Treatment session 4 | 112 | 94 | 104 | 125 |
| Treatment session 5 | 119 | 104 | 98 | 117 |
| Treatment session 6 | 117 | 101 | 91 | 118 |
| Treatment session 7 | 113 | 108 | 87 | 106 |
| Mean | 116.1 | 115.1 | 96.2 | 124 |
| SD | 3.18 | 22.03 | 5.67 | 19.11 |
| Treatment efficiency | 8.13 | 26.27 | 12.5 | 28.6 |
| Percentage of non-overlapping data (PND) | 28.5 | 71.4 | 14.2 | 85.7 |
| Mean of treatment efficiency | 18.87 | | |
| Mean PND | 49.95 | | |
| Baseline Mean | 129.3 | | |
| SD Base line | 11.13 | | |
| Treatment Mean | 112.85 | | |
| Treatment SD | 12.52 | | |
| Effect size | 1.38 | | |
| SMD | 1.47 | | |

In addition to the above indices, the eye diagram analysis was used to examine the variation of anger during the intervention sessions (Figure 1). Based on Figure 1, the trend line declined for the participants 2 and 4, indicating a decrease in the level of anger. However, the trend line for the first and third participants was not downward. According to the results, the intervention had no satisfactory effect on all the participants even though moderate variations occurred during the intervention for the two cases.
results of eye diagram analysis, consistent with single-subject indices, showed a relative decrease in anger for some cases as a result of MBSR training program. In sum, the findings of various indices for the efficacy of the present intervention suggested that despite the desirability of the effect size and standard mean difference, the other three main indices (namely percentage of recovery, PND and eye diagram analysis) indicated low effectiveness of the intervention in reducing anger.
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In Table 3, the percentages of recovery for the participants 1 to 4 regarding the stress variable were 84%, 58%, 100% and 93%, respectively. Accordingly, the rate of stress reduction and recovery was extremely high for the participants 1, 3 and 4, and moderate for the second participant. The mean recovery rate of all participants was 84%, showing the high efficacy of intervention in reducing the stress of the concerned patients. With regard to the PND percentage, the percentages of recovery were 71%, 57%, 85% and 85%, respectively, showing the lower efficiency of the treatment for reducing stress in the second participant and its moderate efficacy for the other participants. The mean rate of recovery for the participants was 75%, showing the moderate effectiveness of the intervention to reduce the stress among the participants.

The effect size and the standard mean difference (SMD) for this variable were 2.7 and 6.18, respectively, both of which are within the large and desirable range of variation. In addition, the findings from these two indices indicated the relatively high effectiveness of MBSR training in reducing stress in patients with CHD.

In addition to the abovementioned indices, the eye diagram analysis was used to examine the variation of stress during the intervention sessions (Figure 2). Based on this figure, the trend line declined for the participants, presenting a decrease in the level of stress. As shown in the figure, the overall slope of the variation trend was relatively steep, which reflected the significant variation in the variable under consideration. This finding, in line with the aforementioned indices, suggested the effectiveness of the MBSR training program intervention in reducing stress among the concerned patients. In short, the concerned indices showed the high desirable efficacy of the present intervention in reducing stress based on the MBSR program in patients with CHD.

Figure 1. Eye Diagram Analysis of Anger Variations
Table 3. Mean, Standard Deviation, Percentage of Recovery, PND, PND Mean, SMD, and Effect Size of Stress in the Participants

|                              | First Participant | Second Participant | Third Participant | Fourth Participant |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Baseline 1**               | 24                | 36                 | 10                | 16                |
| **Baseline 2**               | 28                | 41                 | 8                 | 14                |
| **Mean**                     | 26                | 38.5               | 9                 | 15                |
| **SD**                       | 82/2              | 3.53               | 1.4               | 1.4               |
| **Treatment session 1**      | 24                | 42                 | 8                 | 16                |
| **Treatment session 2**      | 26                | 40                 | 2                 | 10                |
| **Treatment session 3**      | 16                | 42                 | 4                 | 10                |
| **Treatment session 4**      | 22                | 26                 | 4                 | 8                 |
| **Treatment session 5**      | 12                | 20                 | 0                 | 2                 |
| **Treatment session 6**      | 12                | 18                 | 1                 | 2                 |
| **Treatment session 7**      | 4                 | 16                 | 0                 | 1                 |
| **Mean**                     | 16.5              | 29.1               | 2.7               | 7                 |
| **SD**                       | 7.89              | 11.82              | 2.87              | 5.56              |
| **Treatment efficiency**     | 84.6              | 58.4               | 100               | 93                |
| **Percentage of non-overlapping data (PND)** | 71.4              | 57.1               | 85.7              | 85.7              |
| **Mean of treatment efficiency** | 84               |                    |                   |                   |
| **Mean PND**                 | 74.9              |                    |                   |                   |
| **Baseline Mean**            | 22.1              |                    |                   |                   |
| **SD Baseline**              | 2.28              |                    |                   |                   |
| **Treatment Mean**           | 7.99              |                    |                   |                   |
| **Treatment SD**             | 7.03              |                    |                   |                   |
| **Effect size**              | 2.70              |                    |                   |                   |
| **SMD**                      | 6.18              |                    |                   |                   |
Figure 2. Eye Diagram Analysis of Stress Variations
Discussion

Concerning the first hypothesis of the study, the findings showed that MBSR training led to a relative reduction of anger in CHD patients. In this regard, our findings are consistent with the results obtained by Boelemier et al. (8) and Wright’s et al. (18). Anger is one of the emotional factors that play a role in heart disease, as reported by a large number of studies. It has been suggested that increasing anger in the body and its lack of expression leads to an increase in blood pressure and lack of experiencing anger and its suppression results in lipid deposits and a decrease in cardiac function (24). This issue has been extensively explored in studies on the personality type A. According to these studies, among the factors that affect heart disease are two components of anger and hostility (2).

However, it seems that mere experience of anger does not lead to cardiac diseases and it is the non-expressed and internalized anger that might lead to heart diseases. Through increasing awareness of emotions in the first step, clarifying and distinguishing different emotions in the second step, and increasing the expression of emotions in the third step, MBSR provides the grounds for releasing emotions. This can play a key role in reducing symptoms in patients with heart diseases. Experiencing anger along with compassion and away from judgment strengthens mental functions and regulates moods. On the other hand, the experience of anger with mindfulness makes it possible to use more effective strategies instead of ineffective coping strategies for interpersonal relationships and life problems.

Regarding the second hypothesis of the present study, the results showed that the MBSR program reduced stress in the participants, which is in congruence with the results obtained by Goyal et al. (26), Richardson et al (27), and Parswani, Sharma, and Iyengar (28). Mindfulness training reduces psychological distress, improves mental, physical, emotional and spiritual well-being (29-43), and decreases physical symptoms (40-35). It could be stated that frequent use of various techniques during treatment sessions improves and reduces stress in individuals with CHD. In fact, the physiological hyper-excitation induced in stressful situations enhances the levels of epinephrine and norepinephrine hormones as well as the heart rate of individuals. This response might increase stress and blood pressure along with heart rate.

To explain the results, it could be expressed that the MBSR provides the grounds for increasing the level of self-esteem, and attention and compassion bring stress relief. Those experiencing high levels of stress spend a lot of time on daily life activities but not on taking care of themselves. Through mindfulness training sessions, participants learn to treat themselves in a different way and spend more time for themselves instead of stressful activities. Stress is a kind of extra burden, which is always accompanied with psychological difficulties. Psychological stress causes tension in smooth and skeletal muscles in the body, both of which increase the blood pressure and extra burden on the heart. MBSR reduces stress in CHD patients through decreasing such burdens by self-love and self-compassion mechanisms, admission and experience rather than avoidance, and mood adjustment.
Conclusion
According to the results of the current research, it could be concluded that MBSR is an effective method for reducing negative emotions in CAD patients. MBSR can use emotional adjustment processes to decrease negative emotions of anger and stress in patients with CAD. Accordingly, MBSR can be used as one of the most basic therapies along with medication to improve cardiac function and to regulate the emotions of patients with CAD in hospitals and cardiology clinics. Some of the major drawbacks of the present study were its single-subject design and small sample size, which limited the generalizability of the results. Therefore, it is suggested that the topic of the current research be regarded for CHD patients by considering different demographic characteristics, and eliminating personal traits and controlling them. It is also recommended that the effects of other therapies, such as medication, be controlled in future studies.
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