In vitro activity of omadacycline and levofloxacin against Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus saprophyticus in human urine supplemented with calcium and magnesium
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Background: Omadacycline, an aminomethylcycline, was approved in 2018 for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections and community-acquired bacterial pneumonia. In a Phase Ib study, around 34% of the absorbed dose of omadacycline was shown to be excreted in urine—an important property for urinary tract infection (UTI) treatment. Therefore, omadacycline has been studied in two Phase II trials for the treatment of uncomplicated UTIs and acute pyelonephritis. The activity of omadacycline against UTI pathogens in human urine is important to understand in this context.

Objectives: To study the in vitro activity of omadacycline against UTI pathogens in human urine supplemented with calcium and magnesium.

Methods: Omadacycline activity was compared with that of levofloxacin against the urinary pathogens Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus saprophyticus in standard medium, pooled normal human urine and neutral pH-adjusted pooled normal human urine spiked with calcium or magnesium at concentrations consistent with hypercalcaemia and hypermagnesaemia.

Results: The activities of omadacycline and levofloxacin against these urinary pathogens were lower in urine relative to standard medium; addition of Mg2+ to broth and urine had a further negative impact on omadacycline activity, whereas the addition of Ca2+ had less of an impact. Levofloxacin activity was not substantially reduced in either broth or urine by the addition of divalent cations.

Conclusions: The activity of omadacycline against UTI organisms was lower in urine relative to standard medium and was negatively impacted by magnesium. Omadacycline displayed slightly reduced activity when excess calcium was present, but, overall, the differences were <2-fold. These observations should be considered along with the pharmacokinetics of the agent for clinical context.

Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most common infections in the USA, accounting for nearly 10 million outpatient visits and 1 million emergency room visits annually, posing a substantial healthcare burden on the community, long-term care facilities and hospitals.1 Escherichia coli causes most UTIs; however, other species are associated with recurring and hospital-acquired UTIs.2 The rise of organisms containing ESBLs has prioritized the need for new agents to treat these infections.3

The aminomethylcycline omadacycline has completed clinical evaluation for the treatment of uncomplicated UTI and acute pyelonephritis;4 results are expected in late 2020.5 Omadacycline is active in vitro against UTI-causing Enterobacterales with MIC50 and MIC90 values of 1 and 2 mg/L, respectively, for E. coli and 2 and 4 mg/L, respectively, for Klebsiella spp.6 when tested under standard broth microdilution conditions (pH 7.2–7.4; 20–25 mg/L Ca2+ and 10–12.5 mg/L Mg2+). Both pH and concentration of divalent cations are known to impact the activity of antibiotics during in vitro testing and are important variables to consider when evaluating an antibiotic for activity in urine. The pH of urine can range from 5.1 to 6.87 and during infection by certain organisms, notably Proteus spp., Klebsiella pneumoniae and Staphylococcus saprophyticus, bacterial production of urease increases urinary pH.8 In
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addition, both magnesium and calcium cations play crucial roles in human physiology and their serum concentrations are tightly controlled.\textsuperscript{9,10} Patients with abnormally high levels of these cations (due to decreased renal function or diets high in magnesium, in the case of hypermagnesaemia,\textsuperscript{8} or an overactive parathyroid gland, in the case of hypercalcaemia\textsuperscript{10,11}) can excrete elevated levels of magnesium and calcium, respectively, in their urine. Whereas approximately 150 mg of magnesium\textsuperscript{12} and 100–300 mg of calcium\textsuperscript{10,11} are normally excreted daily in the urine, excretion of higher levels of these cations can be indicative of these disorders.\textsuperscript{12,13} Hypercalcaemia is defined as a serum calcium level exceeding 3.5 mmol/L,\textsuperscript{11} whereas hypermagnesaemia is defined as a serum magnesium level of greater than 1.05 mmol/L.\textsuperscript{16}

Studies have shown that the pH of urine can affect antibiotic efficacy, with tetracyclines displaying improved activity at lower pH (pH 5.0) compared with higher pH (pH 8.0) and with levofloxacin showing improved activity at higher pH compared with lower pH.\textsuperscript{15} Previous results for omadacycline indicated that MIC values of this drug were several-fold higher at pH 5.0 relative to standard pH when tested by broth microdilution in CAMHB medium for Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis and E. coli, but were unaffected at higher pH.\textsuperscript{16} In contrast, there was little impact observed on the activity of omadacycline (MIC values within 2-fold) against E. coli and S. saprophyticus when the medium was supplemented with up to twice the calcium and magnesium relative to standard medium [25 mg/L (0.62 mmol) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and 12.5 mg/L (0.51 mmol) Mg\textsuperscript{2+}].\textsuperscript{16} It is also known that tetracyclines can form complexes with divalent cations,\textsuperscript{17} which inhibits their activity against bacteria;\textsuperscript{17,18} supplementation of the medium with 50 mg/L calcium and 25 mg/L magnesium increased tetracycline MIC values by 2–32-fold for E. coli, Acinetobacter spp. and Pseudomonas spp.\textsuperscript{19}

As pH and divalent cations have been shown to affect the activity of omadacycline in a medium, it is important to understand how these parameters can impact omadacycline in urine, as these variables are known to fluctuate even in healthy individuals.\textsuperscript{20} For example, it has been observed that in women, urine pH decreases with age—as does Mg\textsuperscript{2+} excretion in urine—and calcium excretion increases, whereas these trends are much less evident in men.\textsuperscript{20}

In this study, the inhibitory activities of omadacycline and levofloxacin were evaluated in CAMHB medium, urine and pH-adjusted urine, both with and without cation supplementation at concentrations consistent with hypercalcaemia and hypermagnesaemia.

Materials and methods

Clinical isolates were collected between 2010 and 2012 from adult patients (≥18 years of age) with UTIs. The isolates were selected to reflect a range of resistance phenotypes. The activities of omadacycline and levofloxacin were evaluated against non-duplicate, non-consecutive clinical isolates of E. coli (n = 3), K. pneumoniae (n = 3) and S. saprophyticus (n = 3) from the Micromyx repository, the National Collection of Type Cultures (NCTC; Salisbury, UK) and ATCC (Manassas, VA), as shown in Table 1. In addition to E. coli ATCC 25922, S. aureus ATCC 29213 was included for quality control purposes during the testing of S. saprophyticus. Omadacycline powder was provided by Paratek; levofloxacin was obtained from Sigma. Working stocks of omadacycline and levofloxacin were made in water at 40× the highest test concentration.\textsuperscript{21} Concentration ranges used spanned relevant quality control ranges and breakpoints as established for each test compound.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Broth microdilution assays were performed per CLSI guidelines\textsuperscript{21,22} in CAMHB as standard medium (pH 7.3) or using pooled normal human urine (pH 6.2; Innovative Research, Lot No. IR10007P-22502; pooled from two or more donors) and pH-adjusted (pH 7.2) pooled urine with and without added calcium (4 mmol/L Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or 44.39 mg/L CaCl\textsubscript{2}) or magnesium (4 mmol/L Mg\textsuperscript{2+} or 813.2 mg/L MgCl\textsubscript{2}·6H\textsubscript{2}O) as the medium. MIC values were within CLSI quality control ranges under standard conditions.\textsuperscript{21} MIC values were read as the lowest concentration where the button of bacterial growth at the bottom of the well test was no longer visible.

Results and discussion

The MIC values of omadacycline and levofloxacin in standard medium, urine and pH-adjusted urine, with and without supplemental calcium and magnesium, are shown in Table 1. Also shown is the fold-change in MIC value of each drug for each condition.

In CAMHB, omadacycline had MIC values of 0.5–2 and 0.25–0.5 mg/L for E. coli/K. pneumoniae and S. saprophyticus, respectively. MIC values of omadacycline in both urine and pH-adjusted urine were 2- to 8-fold higher for E. coli/K. pneumoniae, but were similar for S. saprophyticus. When CAMHB was supplemented with magnesium, MIC values of omadacycline were 4- to 8-fold higher for E. coli/K. pneumoniae and 2- to 4-fold higher for S. saprophyticus compared with standard CAMHB. MIC values in calcium-supplemented CAMHB were within 2-fold of those observed in standard CAMHB. When omadacycline activity was evaluated in either urine preparation supplemented with magnesium, MIC values were up to 4-fold higher for E. coli/K. pneumoniae.

In pH-adjusted urine supplemented with magnesium, omadacycline MIC values were 8- to 16-fold higher for S. saprophyticus. Calcium modestly impacted omadacycline activity in both urine preparations, with MIC values identical or within 2-fold of those observed in unsupplemented urine. Given that FDA-approved breakpoints of omadacycline for Enterobacteriales (K. pneumoniae and Enterobacter cloacae only) are ≤4 mg/L for susceptibility, it is likely that omadacycline would largely lack activity against many of these organisms in urine.

In CAMHB, levofloxacin demonstrated MIC values of ≤0.03–0.5 mg/L for all susceptible organisms and MIC values of 8–32 mg/L for carbapenem- or fluoroquinolone-resistant strains. Levofloxacin MIC values for E. coli/K. pneumoniae were higher in urine and pH-adjusted urine than in CAMHB (≤0.03–1 mg/L for susceptible isolates and ≤32 mg/L for carbapenem- or fluoroquinolone-resistant strains). Fluoroquinolone-susceptible isolates remained susceptible under the various testing conditions in this study, likely a factor in their efficacy in treating infections caused by these organisms. When medium or urine was supplemented with magnesium or calcium, these values were typically within 2-fold of those for unsupplemented medium or urine.

In summary, the in vitro activity of omadacycline and levofloxacin against UTI organisms was lower in urine (including pH-adjusted urine) relative to standard medium. The addition of 4 mmol/L magnesium commonly had a negative impact on the activity of omadacycline, though the degree of this impact was typically 2- to 4-fold for omadacycline and ≤2-fold for levofloxacin. Omadacycline and levofloxacin displayed slightly reduced activity in the presence of excess calcium, but, overall, the observed differences were ≤2-fold. These results indicate there may be a threshold of magnesium concentration to impact activity, as a previous
| Organism | MIC (and fold-change in MIC) of omadacycline in cation-supplemented media | MIC (and fold-change in MIC) of levofloxacin in cation-supplemented media |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | CAMHB (pH 7.3) | urine (pH 6.2) | pH-adjusted urine<sup>a</sup> (pH 7.2) | CAMHB (pH 7.3) | urine (pH 6.2) | pH-adjusted urine<sup>a</sup> (pH 7.2) |
|          | cation supplements | cation supplements | cation supplements | cation supplements | cation supplements | cation supplements |
| E. coli  | 0.5 | 4 (8) | 1 (2) | 0.5 | 2 (4) | 1 (2) | ≤0.03 | ≤0.03 (1) | ≤0.03 (1) | 0.25 | 0.25 (1) | 0.25 (1) | ≤0.03 | ≤0.03 (1) | ≤0.03 (1) | ND | ND | ND |
| ATCC 25922 | 0.5 | 2 (4) | 1 (2) | 2 | 8 (4) | 4 (2) | ≤0.03 | ≤0.03 (1) | ≤0.03 (1) | 0.25 | 0.25 (1) | 0.25 (1) | ≤0.03 | ≤0.03 (1) | ≤0.03 (1) | ND | ND | ND |
| E. coli  | 2 | 16 (8) | 4 (2) | >16 | >16 (NA) | >16 (NA) | 8 | 16 (2) | 8 (1) | 32 | >32 (>1) | 32 (1) | >32 | >32 (NA) | >32 (NA) | >32 | >32 (NA) | >32 (NA) |
| NCTC 13351 (ESBL) | 2 | 16 (8) | 4 (2) | >16 | >16 (NA) | >16 (NA) | 8 | 16 (2) | 8 (1) | 32 | >32 (>1) | 32 (1) | >32 | >32 (NA) | >32 (NA) | >32 | >32 (NA) | >32 (NA) |
| K. pneumoniae MMX 6100 (fluoroquinolone resistant) | 1 | 4 (4) | 1 (1) | 8 | 16 (2) | 8 (1) | 4 | 8 (2) | 4 (1) | 32 | >32 (>1) | 32 (1) | 0.06 | 0.12 (2) | 0.12 (2) | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 (1) |
| K. pneumoniae MMX 6268 (carbapenem resistant) | 2 | 8 (4) | 2 (1) | >16 | >16 (NA) | >16 (NA) | 16 | >16 (NA) | 8 (0.5) | 0.12 | 0.12 (2) | 0.12 (2) | 0.25 | 0.5 (2) | 0.5 (2) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| K. pneumoniae MMX 6119 | 2 | 8 (4) | 4 (2) | >16 | >16 (NA) | >16 (NA) | 8 | >16 (2) | 8 (1) | 32 | >32 (>1) | 32 (1) | 0.12 | 0.25 (2) | 0.25 (2) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| K. pneumoniae MMX 6272 (fluoroquinolone resistant) | 2 | 8 (4) | 4 (2) | >16 | >16 (NA) | >16 (NA) | 8 | >16 (2) | 8 (1) | 32 | >32 (>1) | 32 (1) | 0.12 | 0.25 (2) | 0.25 (2) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| S. saprophyticus MMX 6512 | 0.25 | 1 (4) | 0.12 (0.5) | 0.5 | 1 (2) | 0.5 (1) | 0.12 | 2 (16) | ND | 0.12 | 0.12 (2) | 0.12 (2) | 0.5 (1) | 2 (1) | 0.5 (1) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| S. saprophyticus MMX 6198 | 0.25 | 1 (4) | 0.25 (1) | 0.5 | 1 (2) | 1 (2) | 0.25 | 2 (8) | 0.25 (1) | 0.25 | 0.25 (1) | 0.25 (1) | 1 | 2 (2) | 1 (2) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| S. saprophyticus MMX 6617 | 0.25 | 1 (2) | 0.25 (0.5) | 1 | 1 (1) | 1 (1) | 0.25 | 2 (8) | 0.5 (2) | 0.25 | 0.25 (1) | 0.25 (1) | 2 | 2 (1) | 1 (2) | 2 | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| S. aureus ATCC 29213 | 0.25 | 1 (4) | 0.25 (1) | 0.5 | 1 (2) | 1 (2) | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.25 | 0.25 (0.5) | 0.25 (0.5) | 0.5 | 1 (2) | 0.5 (1) |

MMX, Micromyx; NA, not applicable (fold-change could not be determined due to lack of a defined MIC with unsupplemented broth or urine); ND, not determined (growth of test organism could not be determined due to cloudiness of medium and lack of a button of bacterial growth).

<sup>a</sup>PH-adjusted urine became cloudy post-incubation; MIC values were read as the lowest concentration where the button of bacterial growth at the bottom of the test well was no longer visible.

<sup>b</sup>Test medium became cloudy after supplementation with calcium, but was clear after subsequent filter sterilization prior to testing.

<sup>c</sup>CLSI QC ranges are shown in parentheses.
study\textsuperscript{16} showed that concentrations of magnesium and calcium within 2-fold of standard medium concentrations had little effect on omadacycline activity. In the present study, at much higher magnesium and calcium concentrations, there were observable impacts. The clinical relevance of these findings should be considered in the context of the pharmacokinetics of the agent. In a Phase Ib study of omadacycline in female patients with cystitis, where omadacycline was administered 200 mg IV or 300–400 mg orally q12h on Day 1 followed by 300–450 mg orally q24h, the steady-state urine concentrations compared favourably with MIC values of omadacycline for UTI organisms. The mean steady-state urine concentrations (measured over 24 h on Day 5) across the dosing regimens ranged from 17.94 to 48.12 mg/L.\textsuperscript{5} These urine concentrations exceed the MIC\textsubscript{90} for most UTI pathogens,\textsuperscript{6} however, the MIC increases in urine for omadacycline observed here are consistent with the findings of the two Phase II studies of this drug in UTI, where microbiological responses were generally lower than the comparators despite comparable levels of clinical success.\textsuperscript{5}
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