**Shigella flexneri** Inhibits Intestinal Inflammation by Modulation of Host Sphingosine-1-Phosphate in Mice
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Infection with invasive *Shigella* species results in intestinal inflammation in humans but no symptoms in adult mice. To investigate why adult mice are resistant to invasive *shigellae*, 6~8-week-old mice were infected orally with *S. flexneri* 5a. *Shigellae* successfully colonized the small and large intestines. Mild cell death was seen but no inflammation. The infected bacteria were cleared 24 hours later. Microarray analysis of infected intestinal tissue showed that several genes that are involved with the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) signaling pathway, a lipid mediator which mediates immune responses, were altered significantly. *Shigella* infection of a human intestinal cell line modulated host S1P-related genes to reduce S1P levels. In addition, co-administration of S1P with *shigellae* could induce inflammatory responses in the gut. Here we propose that *Shigella* species have evasion mechanisms that dampen host inflammatory responses by lowering host S1P levels in the gut of adult mice.

**INTRODUCTION**

Invasive *Shigella* species cause bacillary dysentery, or shigellosis, which is identified by stomach cramps, fever, diarrhea, and bloody feces (1,2). It is common in infants in developing countries (3). Infection with *Shigella* organisms occurs primarily by the oral route, entering through the M cells of the intestinal epithelium and spreading to the basolateral side. Infection promotes inflammatory responses in the human intestine (4). In the animal model, only newborn mice to age 5 days and guinea pigs show inflammatory responses in the gut (5,6). These phenomenon are partially ascribable to crypt development producing anti-bacterial cryptdin and commensal micro flora (7). However, adult mice infected by invasive *shigellae* do not show any inflammation in the intestine, and the reason why adult mice are resistant to invasive *shigellae* remains unknown.

*Shigellae* infect macrophages, followed by pyroptosis and initiation of host inflammatory responses (8,9), but they also have evasion mechanisms to host defense. The *Shigella flexneri* effector OspI deamidates UBC13 to inhibit the inflam-
matory response (10), and the Shigella effector IcsB competitively inhibits host autophagy induction by binding inhibition of VirG and host ATG5 (11). The Tecpr1-dependent pathway is important in selective autophagy to Shigella organisms (12). Recently we suggested that Shigella infection of adult mice induces acute host cell death accompanying breakdown of host barrier and that autophagy represses inflammation (13). Therefore, autophagy controls an intrinsic host defense to bacteria by promoting epithelial cell survival in the murine gut. Intra-peritoneal (but not oral) challenge with shigellae results in diarrheaa, severe body weight loss, and inflammation in adult C57BL/6 (B6) mice that is similar to human shigellosis (14). Collectively, these results suggest that non-inflammatory host responses in the guts of adult mice after oral Shigella infection are complicated.

In this study, we investigated the host modulation mechanism of shigellae in adult mice by infecting adult B6 mice (6–8 weeks old) with a virulent Shigella strain and observing histological and gene expression changes in the gut.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and bacterial strains

C57BL/6 (B6) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Orient Bio Inc., Sungnam, Korea). All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal research center at the International Vaccine Institute (Seoul, Korea) where they received sterilized food and water ad libitum. All animal experiments described were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use committees (IACUC PN2011-002). S. flexneri 5a (M90T), IpaB4 deletion mutant S. flexneri 5a (M90TΔIpaB4), wild-type Salmonella typhimurium (UK-1), and non-invasive Shigella strains (BS176) were used.

Bacterial infection

For oral infection, each mouse was orally administered $5 \times 10^9$ bacteria. For ileal loop infection, mice were anesthetized and abdomens were surgically opened for about 10 mm. The ileum was looped with a suture about 40-mm long and then $5 \times 10^9$ bacteria and/or 200 $\mu$M sphingosine-1-phosphate (SIP; Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc., Alabaster, AL) were injected into lumen in the loop. The looped tissues were harvested and analyzed for histological changes.

Histology

Intestinal tissue was washed with PBS containing gentamicin and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 1 hour at 4°C. The tissues were dehydrated by gradually soaking them in alcohol and xylene and then embedded in paraffin. The paraffin-embedded specimens were cut into 5-μm sections, stained with H&E or Alcian blue periodic acid-Schiff (PAS; Merck, Nottingham, UK), and viewed with a digital light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). To detect green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing bacteria in the intestinal tissue, the frozen sections of ileal or colon tissues were prepared and viewed under a confocal scanning laser microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

Disease score

Histological changes of small intestine were assessed using H&E-stained samples of ileum after bacterial infection as previously reported (13). The category and parameters include host cell death (crypt, epithelium, lamina propria, and muscles), epithelium shedding, barrier integrity, inflammation, and goblet cell hyperplasia.

Bacteria count (CFU)

To assess the numbers of bacteria from intestinal tissues of non-infected and Shigella-infected mice, tissues were extensively washed in PBS with gentamicin (50 $\mu$g/ml) to remove luminal and simply attached bacteria. Tissues were homogenized and plated onto TSB agar plates containing streptomycin since the M90T strain is streptomycin resistant. After overnight culture at 37°C, colonies were counted. For in vitro colony-forming unit (CFU) counts, a Caco-2 cell line originated from human intestinal epithelium was seeded at $5 \times 10^5$/well in a 6-well plate. The next day Shigella strains were infected at 100 MOI (multiplicity of infection) in antibiotic-free culture medium for 1 hour. After extensively washing with culture media containing antibiotics to remove non-infected bacteria, Caco-2 cells were harvested at the indicated time point to evaluate CFU.

Real time PCR and gene chip analysis

For real time PCR analysis, total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and cDNA was synthesized by Superscript II reverse transcriptase with oligo(dT) primer (Invitrogen). Then, gene expression quantification was performed using an ABI PRISM sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). The levels of mRNA ex-
expression were displayed as the expression units of each target gene relative to the expression units of GAPDH. Murine Ppap2a (208 bp, forward: CTTACGACCATTGCTCCAGT, reverse: CGTGTGGATGCTTCTCTCT), murine Sgpl1 (169 bp, forward: CTGGACCAACCATTGTGTAT, reverse: TCTCACCGAA- GTGCATCAAG), human S1P lyase (HS1PL, 244 bp, forward: CTGGAGCACCCATTTGATTT, reverse: TCTCACCGAA- GTGCATCAAG), human S1P phosphatase 2 (hS1PP2, 164 bp, TTCCTCTGGTTGCTCACG, reverse: CACAAAGGTGTAG-GCAGA), human S1P phosphatase 1 (hS1PP1, 188 bp, forward: TATGGGGATCTCTCATAGG, reverse: TATGATGGA-GAAACCAACCA), human sphingosine kinase 2 (hsK2, 200 bp, forward: TGGGCAATGTGTTGTAAGAAGC, reverse: CAGTCGAGGGCATCTAGG), human sphingosine kinase 1 (hsK1, 227 bp, forward: ACCCATGACCTGTGTC, reverse: CAGGTGTCTGGAAACCAC), For gene chip analysis, total RNA was amplified and purified using the Ambion Illumina RNA amplification kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) to yield biotinylated cRNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In total, 750 ng of labeled cRNA samples were hybridized to MouseRef-8 V2.0 expression bead array for 16–18 hours at 58°C, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Array signal detection was carried out using Amersham fluorolink streptavidin-Cy3 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK) as described in the bead array manual. Arrays were scanned with an Illumina bead array reader confocal scanner according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RESULTS

Shigellae invade inside tissue and evoke host responses in the gut without inflammation

To investigate host responses in adult mice, 6–8-week-old B6 mice were challenged orally with M90T (5×10^9 cfu), a wild type invasive strain of S. flexneri 5a. Consistent with results of a previous study (13), acute epithelial cell death followed by epithelial barrier breakage could be observed 1 hour after M90T infection in the terminal ileum of the small intestine. The damaged epithelium had mostly recovered 24 hours later (Fig. 1A). There was no inflammatory sign despite loss of barrier functions and entry of shigellae and commensal bacteria in the lumen. Infection with T3SS mutant strain M90TΔipab4 had produced no histological changes. Degranulation of secretory granules in the Paneth cells of crypt was seen from 1 to 3 hours after infection (Fig. 1B). To ensure that M90T infected by the route colonized, and not simply adhered to the small intestine, we tracked down GFP-expressing M90T in the small intestine. Of note, M90T-GFP bacteria were found on the epithelium and even deep within the crypts (Fig. 1C). We observed similar findings in the colon. There was only mild epithelial tissue damage 3 hours after oral M90T infection in the ascending and descending colon but no inflammation (Fig. 2A). Bacterial colonization was successful as indicated by detection of M90T-GFP in the epithelium and lamina propria of the colon (Fig. 2B). Shigellae were detected in the colon and cecum 3 hours after infection and were completely cleared 24 hours later (Fig. 2C). These results indicate that shigellae successfully invade and induce host responses in the murine gut only during the acute phase and do not cause inflammation.

Shigellae modulate host molecular expression in the gut

To investigate the reason why Shigella infection results in loss of

![Figure 1](image_url)
Regulation of Host S1P by Shigellae
Young-In Kim, et al.

**IMMUNE NETWORK Vol. 14, No. 2: 100-106, April, 2014**

**Figure 2.** *S. flexneri* evade colon epithelium without inflammation. (A) H&E staining of ascending and descending colon orally infected with M90T after 3 and 24 h (n=5 per group). (B) M90T-GFP in the epithelium and lamina propria of the colon 3 h after oral infection (n=3 per group). (C) CFU of M90T in the colon and cecum 1, 3, and 24 h after infection. Graphs show mean±SEM (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post test, ***p<0.0001).

**Figure 3.** *S. flexneri* modulate host gene expression. (A) Microarray analysis of ileal tissue uninfected or infected with M90T (1 or 16 h after infection) and T3SS mutant M90TΔipaB4 (1 h). (B) Representative gene expression of ileal tissue infected with M90T (1 h after infection) regarding lipid metabolism. (C) mRNA expression of Spgl1 and Ppap2a of ileal tissue 1 h after oral infection with M90T or wild-type *Salmonella typhimurium* (UK-1).

of gut epithelial barrier but does not induce inflammation, we compared gene expression profiles of non-infected and M90T-infected ileum of the small intestine. In contrast with the uninfected mice and those infected with the T3SS mutant strain M90TΔipaB4, many genes were dramatically changed (Fig. 3A). S1P-related genes such as sphingosine phosphate lyase 1 (Sgpl1) and phosphatidic acid phosphatase 2a (Ppap2a) were up-regulated from the M90T-infected group (Fig. 3B). These findings were confirmed by real time RT-PCR of M90T-infected ileal homogenates. To interpret Shigella-specific modulation of host gene expression, wild-type *S. typhimurium* (UK-1) was used. Sgpl1 expression was up-regulated in both *Shigella*- and *Salmonella*-infected tissues; however, Ppap2a was up-regulated only in M90T-infected tissues (Fig. 3C). These results suggested that oral *Shigella* infection could modulate host gene expression, especially the S1P-related genes.

**Shigellae down-regulate S1P in host cells**

SIP is well known to induce immune responses by inducing lymphocyte egress from lymphoid organs (15). We hypothesized that *shigellae* may have the ability to modulate host SIP levels to suppress inflammation. To investigate this hypothesis, we used the Caco-2 cell line, a human colorectal epithelial cell line, and infected the cells with non-invasive or wild type *Shigella* strains. To validate bacterial infection, bacterial CFU were measured. At 2 hours after infection, *Shigella* organisms were amplified within the host cells (Fig. 4A). SIP
lyase (S1PL) and S1P phosphatase (S1PP) break down the phosphate of S1P, while sphingosine kinase (SK) produces S1P from sphingosine (Fig. 4B). The mRNA expression of S1PL, S1PP1, and S1PP2 increased in Shigella-infected Caco-2 cells 3 hours after infection while SK2 levels decreased. There was no significant change of SK1 (Fig. 4C). This suggests that Shigella organisms down-regulate host S1P levels, leading to suppression of inflammation.

Shigella evade the host immune system by S1P depravation in local tissues

To confirm that down-regulation of S1P by shigellae suppressed inflammation, we examined murine ileum following M90T infection with co-administration of exogenous S1P. To maintain local high concentrations of S1P, murine ileum were looped and then injected with M90T and S1P. When injected with M90T only, there was massive cell death but no inflammation. However, when M90T were injected together with S1P, severe inflammation resulted with shortened villi, loss of crypt, thickened serosa, leucocyte infiltration, and loss of tissue integrity observed 1 hour after injection (Fig. 5A and 5B). Similar results were seen 24 hours after infection (Fig. 5A and 5C), suggesting that Shigella organisms actively regulate host S1P levels to inhibit inflammatory responses.

**DISCUSSION**

*Shigella* species infect human colonic epithelium, resulting in severe inflammatory colitis and shigellosis (16,17). In our study in adult mice, a large number of *Shigella* organisms did not induce shigellosis. We found that shigellae could not enter the murine colonic epithelium via M cell translocation and then passively flow away without intrusion of the intestinal barrier. Recently, we reported finding clear evidence of aggressive intrinsic host defense in adult mice. After infected and bystander host cells were sacrificed, there was rapid renewal of epithelium (13). We found the host autophagy process was crucial for anti-bacterial defense and regulation of unnecessary inflammation. In the current study, we identified an active mechanism of enteric pathogens to evade host immune responses by modulation of host machinery.

S1P, which is made by phosphorylation catalyzed by sphingosine kinases, is activated by G protein-coupled S1P receptors (18). S1P has many crucial effects on regulation of the immune system, including inflammation (19). S1P causes lymphocytes to exit lymphoid organs to enter the circulation...
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Figure 5. S. flexneri-provoked inflammation in the gut of adult mice in the presence of S1P. (A) Terminal ileum was looped and M90T injected directly into the looped tissue with or without S1P. After 1 h and 24 h, H&E staining was performed. Representative data were selected from three repetitive experiment sets. (B and C) Pathological grade of the looped ileum tissue injected with M90T and S1P after 1 h (B) and 24 h (C). **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA with Tukey post test).

(20). With pro-inflammatory stimuli, sphingosine kinase is activated. Breakdown of S1P is done by dephosphorylation by S1P phosphatase or irreversible hydrolysis by S1P lyase (18). S1P also plays a role in inflammation by activating transcription factor NF-κB (21). Endothelial cells also express S1P receptor and its receptor agonist can inhibit S1P signaling (22). Blockade of S1P signals suppresses all features of influenza-inflicted pathological inflammation especially the cytokine storm.

Shigellae invade intestinal epithelial cells through M cells (23). Macrophages then engulf the shigellae but are killed by pyroptosis. Before death, the macrophages release pro-inflammatory cytokines that induce inflammation (24). However, S. flexneri have active mechanisms that regulate host immune defense. They inhibit acute inflammatory responses in the initial stage of infection by targeting the UBC13-TRAF6 complex (10). Our results indicate there is additional regulation mechanism for host immune defense that modulates the host S1P levels in order to evade inflammatory signals. In the case of humans, however, it seems that induction of inflammation by shigellae is stronger than evading it, which causes the difference of symptoms between human and mice. These findings help us in interpreting the pursuit-escape relationship between the host immune system and enteric pathogens.
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