Image-guided chemotherapy with specifically tuned blood brain barrier permeability in glioma margins
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Abstract

Blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption is frequently observed in the glioma region. However, the tumor uptake of drugs is still too low to meet the threshold of therapeutic purpose.

Method: A tumor vasculature-targeted nanoagonist was developed. Glioma targeting specificity of the nanoagonist was evaluated by in vivo optical imaging. BBB permeability at the glioma margin was quantitatively measured by dynamic contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI). Single-photon emission computed tomography imaging/computed tomography (SPECT/CT) quantitatively determined the glioma uptake of the radiolabeled model drug. T2-weighted MRI monitored the tumor volume.

Results: Immunostaining studies demonstrated that the BBB remained partially intact in the invasive margin of patients’ gliomas regardless of their malignancies. DCE-MRI showed that vascular permeability in the glioma margin reached its maximum at 45 min post nanoagonist administration. In vivo optical imaging indicated the high glioma targeting specificity of the nanoagonist. SPECT/CT showed the significantly enhanced glioma uptake of the model drug after pre-treatment with the nanoagonist. Image-guided paclitaxel injection after nanoagonist-mediated BBB modulation more efficiently attenuated tumor growth and extended survival than in animal models treated with paclitaxel or temozolomide alone.

Conclusion: Thus, image-guided drug delivery following BBB permeability modulation holds promise to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutics to glioma.
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Introduction

Gliomas accounts for approximately 80% of primary malignant brain tumors [1]. Despite aggressive treatment including maximum debulking surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, glioma patients still face dismal prognosis [2]. Among the numerous chemotherapeutics approved for cancer treatment, only a few, such as temozolomide (TMZ), show efficacy towards glioma [3]. In addition to drug resistance, the reason is likely, at least in part, due to their inability to circumvent the blood–brain barrier
(BBB) that maintains brain homeostasis by shielding the brain from peripheral circulation [4, 5]. Despite BBB breakdown in glioma parenchyma, uncompromised BBB is believed to exist in invasive edges of gliomas allowing the cancer cells to infiltrate into surrounding brain tissue [6]. Considering most recurrences occur in the glioma margins [7], strategies specifically delivering therapeutics into the tumor periphery by circumventing the BBB are direly needed.

Up-regulating para-endothelial diffusion is an attractive strategy to increase the brain delivery of drugs by maximally preserving their pharmacological potencies. Hypertonic agents such as mannitol have been used in the clinic to break para-endothelial tight junctions (TJs) via osmotic pressure [8]. However, non-selective BBB disruption results in complications such as epileptic seizures and brain edema [9]. Microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound (MB-FUS) was also used to facilitate brain drug delivery by disrupting TJs via bubble oscillation-induced microstreaming forces [10]. Nevertheless, mechanical shear force-induced potential risks, including cerebral hemorrhage and excessive immune reaction, cannot be overlooked [11]. Therefore, up-regulating vascular permeability in glioma marginal territories with high efficiency, specificity and safety is highly desirable.

Adenosine 2A receptor (A2AR) is a purinergic G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) with adenosine as an endogenous agonist. Signaling vascular A2AR with its agonist could increase BBB permeability by temporarily opening TJs [12]. We previously developed a series of A2AR nanoagonists that not only more efficiently modulated BBB permeability than small molecule agonists, but also showed capability of tuning the BBB opening time window [13, 14]. Importantly, minimal side effects were observed even after multiple cycles of BBB modulation [15, 16]. In this study, we developed a new nanoagonist in which glioma-targeting ligands and A2AR agonistic domains were modified on a nanoparticle. We hypothesized that this nanoagonist would first target the glioma neovasculature and then compromise peri-tumoral BBB integrity via A2AR signaling. The chemotherapeutic is injected when the BBB permeability is imaged to be high in the glioma margin but low in the surrounding brain tissue. Therefore, the efficiency of chemotherapeutics could be maximized by specifically increasing BBB permeability in the tumor invasive margin. The aim of this study is to up-regulate chemotherapeutic efficiency by specifically increasing blood brain barrier permeability in the glioma invasive margin.

**Results**

**Tight junction-associated protein ZO-1 remains partially intact in patient glioma margins**

Although uncompromised BBB in the glioma margin is believed to be the primary cause of the low chemotherapeutic efficiency [17], there is insufficient clinical evidence to support this notion. To address this issue, ZO-1, a key TJ-associated protein that determines BBB integrity, was immuno-stained in the marginal regions of low-grade glioma (LGG) and high-grade glioma (HGG) from patients, as well as in control brain tissues (Figure 1A). While ZO-1 immunity was presented as well-regulated zebra-strips twining around vascular endothelium in control brain tissues, its disrupted distribution and attenuated expression level in glioma margins were evident. Nevertheless, capillaries with unspoiled ZO-1 immunity were still present in the marginal territories regardless of the malignancy grade. The capillary percentage with intact ZO-1 was decreased from 74.1% in control brain tissues to 48.5% in LGG and 23.7% in HGG (Figure 1B). The highest ZO-1 expression level was found in control brain tissues, which was 1.45- and 3.17-fold higher than that in LGG and HGG respectively (Figure 1C). Representative hematoxylin-eosin (H&E)-stained images of LGG, HGG and control brain tissue sections are presented in Figure S1. Neurons, glial cells and vascular endothelial cells distributed dispersedly and were well-organized in control human brain tissues. In the LGG margin, the cells distributed with a slightly higher density than in the control brain tissues and mild shape abnormality was observed. Also, neuronophagia and blurred structures were observed. In the HGG margin, the cell density was substantially higher than that of the control brain and LGG margin. Remarkable shape abnormality and karyokinesis with higher nucleus to cytoplasm ratio were observed. Additionally, the normal structure of brain tissue disappeared and disorderedly distributed vasculature and necrosis were evident.

**Over-expression of both A2AR and β3 integrin in patient glioma margins**

The presence of both A2AR and αβ3 integrin in the glioma margin is a prerequisite to specifically tune BBB permeability in the glioma margin. As expected, no obvious β3 integrin was detected in the vessels of control brain tissues (Figure 2A). By contrast, pronounced β3 integrin immunity polarized on the luminal face of the vasculature in HGG margins was demonstrated. While vascular A2AR immunity remained faint in control brain tissue, it increased...
remarkably in the tumor margins (Figure 2B). Compared to the control brain tissue, A2AR and β3 integrin immunities were increased by 53% and 69.4%, respectively, in LGG and 57% and 213.5%, respectively, in HGG (Figure 2C).

**Nanoagonist compromises TJs in the brain endothelial monolayer**

In this work, a new nanoagonist Den-RGD-Reg was developed that was expected to first target the glioma neovasculature and then compromise peri-tumoral BBB integrity via A2AR signaling. The small molecule A2AR agonist regadenoson, nanoparticle Den-Reg without a tumor targeting group, and Den-PEG without any functional group were selected as control groups. The chemical structures of the small molecule agonist regadenoson, nanoagonist Den-RGD-Reg, control nanoparticle Den-Reg, and Den-PEG are presented in Figure 3A. Detailed synthetic procedures are presented in Figure S2. The agonistic domain Reg-NH$_2$ and c(RGDyK) peptide were labeled on the fifth generation (G5) poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer through poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) linkers. The home-made, near-infrared (NIR) fluorophore IR640B was directly modified on the dendrimer surface. $^1$HNMR of Den-RGD-Reg indicated that the molar ratio between the c(RGDyK) peptide/agonist domain/dendrimer was 8.2/11.4/23.3/1 (see Supplementary Material). TEM images showed the nanoagonist to be well-separated spherical particles with diameters in the range of 6–8 nm (Figure S3A). The hydrodynamic diameter of the nanoagonist was measured as 7.9 nm, while its surface charge was measured as +16.5 mV (Figure S3B).

ZO-1 is a TJ-associated protein that links cell adhesion molecules to actin cytoskeleton for maintaining morphology of endothelial cells. While ZO-1 protein was expressed as a continuous alignment on the cell-cell interface of intact BBB, the discontinued intervals and even absence this protein can be observed in compromised BBB. Mouse brain capillary endothelial bEnd.3 cells were cultured to reach 100% confluence. After treatment with PBS, 10 μM regadenoson, or nanoagonist, the cells were immunostained with anti-ZO-1 antibody. As shown in Figure 3B, ZO-1 immunity as continuous and sharp lines delineated the para-cellular interface of cultured mouse brain capillary endothelial bEnd.3 cells. Although treatment with both regadenoson and the nanoagonist led to ZO-1 disruption, nanoagonist treatment led to more significant ZO-1 breakdown than regadenoson treatment. Actin stress fibres

**Figure 1. Uncompromised TJ associated protein is found in the glioma margin from patients. (A) Representative immunofluorescence images of vascular endothelium and ZO-1 protein in control brain tissues and invasive margins of LGG and HGG. Endothelium stained by CD31 antibody is displayed in red, ZO-1 immunity is displayed in green; the nucleus stained with DAPI is shown in blue. Scale bar: 30 μm. (B) Percentage of capillaries with intact ZO-1 immunity in control brain tissues and glioma margins (control brain tissue, n = 4, LGG, n = 3, HGG, n = 5). * P ≤ 0.05 control brain tissue vs HGG. (C) Normalized vascular ZO-1 immunities in control brain and glioma margins. * P ≤ 0.05 control brain tissue vs. HGG. LGG: low-grade glioma; HGG: high-grade glioma; TJ: tight junction.**
(ASFs) were also stained after the bEnd.3 cells were treated with PBS, 10 μM regadenoson, or nanoagonist. Results showed that $A_{2A}$R signaling triggered the formation of ASFs, leading to cell contraction followed by TJ disassembly. While ASFs were distributed as short, thin fibers aligned to the longitudinal axis of the endothelial cells, their length and thickness increased dramatically after agonist addition. Nanoagonists induced ASF assembly more efficiently than regadenoson. After treatment with regadenoson or the nanoagonist, the ZO-1 immunofluorescence intensity levels were decreased by 17% and 48%, respectively, with the simultaneous increase in average ASF fluorescence intensity levels by 1.9- and 2.9-fold, respectively (Figure 3C). Immunoblotting studies revealed the attenuation of TJ-associated proteins ZO-1 (16%) and occludin (7%) at 30 min after agonist addition (Figure 3D). Cytoskeletal rearrangement promotes conversion of G-actin to F-actin, a sign of ASF formation. The F/G-actin ratio in bEnd.3 cells was determined to be 1.32 after nanoagonist treatment, which was significantly higher than that of regadenoson (0.98) or PBS (0.92). Phosphorylation of myosin light chain (MLC) protein triggers the formation of ASF from short F-actins to elicit cytoskeletal contraction. As expected, while the total MLC level was unchanged after agonist addition, phosphorylated MLC (pMLC) increased significantly. The pMLC/MLC ratios at 30 min post treatment of nanoagonist or regadenoson were measured to be 1.02 and 0.97, respectively, both significantly higher than that (0.53) following PBS treatment.

**Nanoagonist specifically tunes BBB permeability in the glioma margins**

*In vivo* NIR fluorescence images demonstrated that the nanoagonist offered a higher target to background (T/B) ratio (1.83 ± 0.84) than Den-Reg (1.21 ± 0.43) or Den-PEG (1.10 ± 0.27) at 24 h post i.v. injection (39.3 mg/mouse) in mice bearing orthotopic U87MG glioma xenografts (Figure S4). The T/B of the nanoagonist in excised mouse brain tissue was 2.32 ± 0.76, which was significantly higher than that of Den-Reg (1.46 ± 0.51) or Den-PEG (1.26 ± 0.38). Fluorescence microscopy imaging showed that, while a strong fluorescence signal of nanoagonist occupied the entire tumor region, only scattered intratumoral signals of Den-Reg or Den-PEG were observed (Figure S5).

![Figure 2. Over-expressed vascular $A_{2A}$R and β3 integrin in glioma margins from patients.](http://www.thno.org) Representative immunofluorescence images for vascular endothelium and β3 integrin (A) or A2AR (B) in control brain tissue and glioma margins. Endothelial immunity is displayed in red, A2AR or β3 immunity is displayed in green. Scale bar: 50 μm. Perivascular A2AR or β3 immunity is indicated by the arrows. (C) Normalized immunofluorescent intensities of perivascular A2AR or β3 in control brain tissue and glioma margins (brain tissue, n = 4; LGG, n = 3; HGG, n = 5). *P ≤ 0.05 control tissue vs. LGG or HGG. **P ≤ 0.001 control tissue vs HGG.
Figure 3. Nanoagonist attenuates para-endothelial TJ s in the endothelial monolayer. (A) Chemical structures of regadenoson, nanoagonist Den-RGD-Reg, control nanoparticles Den-Reg and Den-PEG. (B) Fluorescence microscopic images of ZO-1 and ASF in the bEnd.3 endothelial monolayer at 30 min post treatment. Arrows point to disrupted ZO-1 (upper panel) and newly formed ASF (lower panel). Scale bar: 50 μm. (C) Percentage of ZO-1 integrity and normalized ASF fluorescence intensity at 30 min post treatment of PBS, regadenoson or nanoagonist (n = 4). *P ≤ 0.05 nanoagonist versus PBS and regadenoson, regadenoson versus PBS. (D) The nanoagonist triggered MLC phosphorylation, actin aggregation and attenuation of TJ-associated proteins. β-actin was used as an internal loading control. *P ≤ 0.05, nanoagonist vs. PBS and regadenoson, regadenoson vs. PBS. TJ: tight junction; ASF: actinomyosin stress fibre; Reg: regadenoson; MLC: myosin light chain protein; NA: nanoagonist.

In vivo T2W MRI visualized the glioma xenografts with a hyper-intense signal (Figure 4A). The tumor could not be distinguished well by TIW-MRI until the injection of Gd^{3+}-DTPA. Interestingly, compared with the glioma xenograft defined by Gd^{3+}-DTPA alone, the tumor margin was extended substantially when Gd^{3+}-DTPA was injected post nanoagonist administration. The BBB permeability alteration was quantified by dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI), in which the pixel maps of K_{trans}, a parameter presenting vascular permeability by counting the volume transfer efficiency of a paramagnetic agent between blood and the extracellular extravascular interstitium, are presented. When blood flow is not big enough compared to permeability-surface area product (PS), K_{trans} in the Tofts model is related to both blood flow and PS.
However, normal brain tissue is considered to have high blood flow and low permeability due to BBB. In brain tumor imaging, it is often assumed that the leakage is small compared to the high blood supply, which is the reason why the Tofts model is widely used for assessing BBB disruption in tumors. Therefore, in this study, it is reasonable to associate $K_{\text{trans}}$ only with BBB permeability.

Compared with the $K_{\text{trans}}$ map post treatment with Gd$^{3+}$-DTPA alone, the combined treatment of nanoagonist followed by Gd$^{3+}$-DTPA remarkably enhanced the $K_{\text{trans}}$ signal in both the glioma parenchyma and margin but not in the normal brain tissue (Figure 4B). Importantly, the mean $K_{\text{trans}}$ value in the glioma margins increased from 0.05 mL/min/100 mL before nanoagonist treatment to the maximum of 1.2 mL/min/100 mL at 45 min post nanoagonist administration (Figure 4C and Figure S6). This value decreased to 0.7 mL/min/100 mL at 60 min. The $K_{\text{trans}}$ value ratio between the glioma margin and control brain tissue reached its maximal value (9.5) when Gd$^{3+}$-DTPA was injected at 45 min post nanoagonist administration (Figure 4D).

Immunofluorescence study showed remarkable ZO-1 down-regulation in the glioma margins at 30 min post nanoagonist administration (Figure S7).

Figure 5A demonstrates SPECT/CT images of the mouse brain area after i.v. injection of $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA alone or combined administration of nanoagonist plus $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA. According to the DCE-MRI results, $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA was injected at 45 min post nanoagonist administration. In contrast to the weak intratumoral radioactivity after the injection of $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA, combined treatment offered higher tumor-to-normal brain radioactivity per unit volume ratio (2.96 ± 0.43 vs. 1.17 ± 0.15) (Figure 5B). Figure 5C shows autoradiographic images of consecutively sectioned mouse brain slides following combined treatment with nanoparticle plus $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA. The relative radioactivity in glioma post nanoagonist plus
The 99mTc-DTPA treatment was measured as 4.85 ± 0.68, which was 3.2 and 1.9 times higher than that treated with Den-PEG (1.52 ± 0.17) or Den-Reg (2.54 ± 0.39) plus 99mTc-DTPA (Figure 5D).

**Image-guided drug administration increases the chemotherapeutic efficiency**

Figure 6A presents T2W-MR images of mice bearing U87MG glioma xenografts at selected time points post treatment with Den-RGD-Reg plus PTX, PTX alone, TMZ alone or PBS. In the control group treated with PBS, the average tumor volume increased 11.4 times from 15.6 mm$^3$ at 14 days post tumor implantation to 193.1 mm$^3$ at 28 days (Figure 6B). While treatment with PTX alone barely inhibited glioma growth (9.7-fold volume increase at 28 days), a remarkable tumor growth delay (2.9-fold) was observed after the combined treatment of nanoagonist plus PTX. TMZ showed less therapeutic efficiency than the combined treatment and a 5.4-fold tumor volume increase was recorded. Compared with the PBS-treated mice with a maximal survival time of 29 days after tumor implantation, all of the mice receiving PTX injection succumbed to death at day 32 (Figure 6C). Notably, while only 15% of mice after TMZ treatment survived to day 35, and 43% of mice receiving the combination of nanoagonist plus PTX were alive at that time. Terminal-deoxynucleotidyl-transferase mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining showed that apoptotic cells were predominately located in the tumor but not in normal brain tissue 3 weeks after the combined nanoagonist/PTX administration, implying the safety of this treatment (Figure S8).

![Figure 5. Nanoagonist increases the model drug uptake in glioma xenografts. (A) In vivo SPECT/CT images of the mouse brain area after intravenous injection of 99mTc-DTPA alone followed by combined treatment of Den-PEG plus 99mTc-DTPA (mouse 1), Den-Reg plus 99mTc-DTPA (mouse 2) or Den-RGD-Reg plus 99mTc-DTPA (mouse 3). The combined treatment was applied at 48 h post initial 99mTc-DTPA injection. 99mTc-DTPA as a model drug was injected at 45 min PI of the nanoparticle. (B) Glioma to normal brain %ID/g ratio (n = 4) in which 99mTc-DTPA (3.7×10$^6$ Bq/mouse) was injected at 45 min post administration of PBS, Den-Reg or Den-RGD-Reg. *P ≤ 0.05 nanoparticle vs. PBS. (C) Autoradiographic images of mouse brain sections at 90 min post-injection of 99mTc-DTPA (n = 4). The arrows indicate glioma. (D) Relative radioactivity of 99mTc-DTPA in the normal brain and glioma areas quantitated from autoradiographic images (n = 4). *P ≤ 0.05 Den-RGD-Reg vs. Den-PEG. **P ≤ 0.05 Den-RGD-Reg vs. Den-Reg.](http://www.thno.org)
**Figure 6. Image-guided drug administration post BBB intervention enhances the chemotherapeutic efficacy.** (A) Representative in vivo T2W-MRI of the mouse brain bearing glioma xenograft at selected time-points post treatment of PBS, TMZ (20 mg/kg daily), PTX (5 mg/kg every three days) or nanoagonist (39.3 mg/kg) plus PTX (5.0 mg/kg). The dashed line delineates the tumor margins. Tumor size (B) and survival rate (C) of the mouse models (n = 7 per group) post corresponding treatment. **P ≤ 0.01 nanoagonist plus PTX versus PTX alone. PTX: Paclitaxel; TMZ: temozolomide.

**Discussion**

In an *ex vivo* study, TJ breakdown in glioma from patients was evident with a disrupted paracellular profile and down-regulated expression level of ZO-1. Glioma cells aggressively invaded neighboring brain tissue by inserting themselves into the perivascular space between the astrocytic endfoot and the endothelium of pre-existing blood vessels, thus disrupting astrocyte-vascular coupling and resulting in a loss of TJ integrity [18]. To the best of our knowledge, this work, for the first time, revealed the unspoiled ZO-1 profile in the marginal region of both HGG and LGG, indicating the existence of uncompromised TJs even in the most malignant glioma. The data highlighted the necessity to deliver chemotherapeutics into glioma margins by overcoming uncompromised TJs.

Current BBB opening strategies can be roughly classified into physical and chemical approaches. Hypertonic chemicals such as mannitol have been used to disrupt the TJs and increase BBB permeability by inducing osmotic pressure between the vascular walls. However, the non-specific BBB breakdown leads to potential risks such as epileptic seizures and vasogenic edema. Focused ultrasound (FUS) has been intensively investigated to locally disrupt BBB [19]. Even though this strategy significantly increased the therapeutic efficacy of gliomas, the limited focal depth (< 3 cm), the requirement for image-guidance and the thermally induced irreversible BBB recovery need to be overcome. Therefore, specifically and safely tuning BBB permeability is crucial for improving the therapeutic efficiency of gliomas. Compared with hypertonic agents and MB-FUS breaking TJs via external force, the nanoagonist modulates TJ tightness by amplifying the endogenous A2AR signaling cascade. Our previous study showed that the brain uptake of a radioactively labeled dextran (45 kDa) as a macromolecular model drug increased 6.8 times after pretreatment with the nanoagonist [13]. Additionally, the BBB opening time window could be tuned in a range from 30 min to 4 h by adjusting the agonistic domains labeled on the dendrimer. Furthermore, the nanoagonist-modulated BBB permeability reversibly without disrupting the neurovascular units. Pathological symptoms including hemorrhage and edema induced by uncontrollable vascular leakage were not observed after nanoagonist treatment [14]. Thus, nanoagonist-mediated A2AR signaling is a promising strategy to increase brain drug delivery with high efficiency and safety.

Although the precise mechanism of A2AR-mediated BBB permeability alteration remains unclear, A2AR activation up-regulates Rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK) activity, which inhibits MLC...
post nanoagonist administration when the average chemotherapeutic injection was optimized at 45 min. The time-slot for signal changes, as a result of contrast agent leakage, evaluate BBB permeability alterations, in which MR agent due to its high selectivity to A2AR (13-fold a coronary vasodilator, was selected as an agonistic purine nucleotide derivative approved by the FDA as BBB permeability in glioma patients. Regadenoson, a tissue, indicating the feasibility to specifically tune stress fibre formation and TJ opening via A2AR signaling. Notably, the nanoagonist tuned the TJ integrity in a more pronounced manner than the small-molecule agonist. The multivalent association between the nanoagonist and dimeric or even oligomeric A2AR complexes could amplify the receptor-mediated signal transduction and pharmacological responses.

The expression levels of both A2AR and β3 integrin were found to be high in the vasculature of high-grade glioma but low in peritumor control brain tissue, indicating the feasibility to specifically tune BBB permeability in glioma patients. Regadenoson, a purine nucleotide derivative approved by the FDA as a coronary vasodilator, was selected as an agonistic agent due to its high selectivity to A2AR (13-fold against A1R and > 35-fold against A2BR) [23]. The c(RGDyK) peptide was chosen as a targeting ligand to αvβ3 integrin because of its high affinity (1.3 nM) [24]. Considering the stronger association between the c(RGDyK) peptide and αvβ3 integrin than that between regadenoson and A2AR (290 nM), the nanoagonist first targets the αvβ3 integrin over-expressed in the neovasculature, which is abundant in the glioma margin. The increased local concentration of the nanoagonist activates endothelial A2AR and then specifically up-regulates peri-tumoral BBB permeability. A higher T/B ratio of nanoagonist than that of Den-Reg and Den-PEG in fluorescence imaging verifies the targeting specificity of the nanoagonist.

DCE-MRI has been applied to non-invasively evaluate BBB permeability alterations, in which MR signal changes, as a result of contrast agent leakage into the extracellular extravascular space, could be dynamically monitored [25, 26]. The time-slot for chemotherapeutic injection was optimized at 45 min post nanoagonist administration when the average Ktrans value was high in the glioma margin but low in surrounding normal brain tissues. The benefit of this image-guided drug delivery strategy was confirmed by timing PTX administration post nanoagonist-mediated BBB opening. The combined treatment not only remarkably increased the therapeutic response of PTX, achieving a longer survival than TMZ, the first-line chemotherapeutic treatment for gliomas, but also prevented the cytotoxic effect of PTX to normal brain tissue. Estimation of the arterial input function (AIF) is the key to accurately determining BBB permeability via DCE-MRI. Direct measurement of AIF from large vessels by blindly estimating the tissue concentration time curves (CTCs) is a mainstream approach. Although the MRI signal from the large vessels is less susceptible to partial volume effect, it indeed succumbs to the T2* effect of the contrast agent, leading to artificial concentration calculations. Additionally, the actual AIF differs from CTC of large vessels due to dispersion of the contrast agent during transportation from the aorta to tissues or from tissues to the veins. The problem is more severe because of the vascular tortuosity in the tumor. In this work, we used a multiple reference tissue method that could estimate the specific AIF for a group of voxels with no constraints on mathematical modeling of AIF but only its smoothness. In this way, the fitting errors of CTCs could be minimized, and a more accurate calculation of dynamic parameters could be achieved.

**Conclusion**

This work shows the existence of intact tight junctions in the margins of both LGG and HGG from patients. Therefore, a drug delivery strategy to increase BBB permeability in the glioma margin is required to improve therapeutic efficiency and attenuate tumor recurrence rate. With assistance of a nanoagonist specifically tuning TJ integrity in the glioma margins and DCE-MRI dynamically monitoring AIF from large vessels due to dispersion of the contrast agent during transportation from the aorta to tissues or from tissues to the veins. The problem is more severe because of the vascular tortuosity in the tumor. In this work, we used a multiple reference tissue method that could estimate the specific AIF for a group of voxels with no constraints on mathematical modeling of AIF but only its smoothness. In this way, the fitting errors of CTCs could be minimized, and a more accurate calculation of dynamic parameters could be achieved.

**Methods**

**Experimental overview**

All animal experiments were approved by the Fudan University Institutional Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee and performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Patients**

The Huashan Hospital Institutional Review Board approved the protocol, and written informed
consent was obtained from all patients. Human specimens were acquired from patients who underwent craniotomy at the Department of Neurosurgery, Huashan Hospital between 2008 and 2017. Tumor tissues were from three patients with LGG and five patients with HGG. Control brain tissues were from HGG patients who underwent extended resection, including the inferior temporal gyrus and frontal pole. In total, there were 6 male (mean age: 49 years, age range: 29–66 years) and 5 female (mean age: 48 years, age range: 30–68 years) patients. Figure S1 demonstrates the representative H&E-stained images of LGG, HGG and control brain tissue sections.

Immunofluorescence microscopy imaging studies

Tissues were sectioned with a thickness of 10 μm, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The slices were incubated with rabbit anti-human ZO-1, A2AR or β3 integrin primary antibody at 4 °C overnight. After washing, the slices were then incubated with mouse anti-human CD31 primary antibody for 24 h. The sections were treated with Alexa-Fluor488 labeled goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody followed by Alexa-Fluor555 labelled goat anti-mouse secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h and subsequent nuclear staining by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

In vivo optical imaging studies

Fluorescence images were acquired using an IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System (Caliper Life Science, Hopkinton, USA). Nine mice bearing orthotopic glioma xenografts were randomly divided into three groups (three mice in each group): Den-PEG, Den-Reg and Den-RGD-Reg. NIR fluorescence images were collected after intravenous (i.v.) administration of the nanoparticles (39.3 mg/kg or PBS were injected via i.v. injection. Pre-contrast images were acquired as follows: T2-weighted (T2W) images (repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) = 3800/95 ms; acquisition matrix = 256 × 256; NEX = 4; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 12; slice thickness = 1 mm, rare factor = 8, flip angle = 150°); T1-weighted (T1W) images (TR/TE = 600/11 ms; acquisition matrix = 256 × 256; NEX = 2; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 12; slice thickness = 1 mm, flip angle = 80°). DCE-MRI was obtained using a FLASH axial T1 map (TR/TE = 16/2.8 ms; acquisition matrix = 128 × 256; NEX = 1; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 2; slice thickness = 1 mm) with multiple flip angles (5°, 10°, 15°, 20°, 25° and 30°) and T1W imaging (same parameters as FLASH T1 map with flip angles of 25°).

In vivo MRI studies

In vivo MR imaging was conducted using a 7.0 T micro-MR scanner (Bruker BioSpec, Ettlingen, Germany). The respiration rate was controlled at 30–40 breaths/min. The mice were first anaesthetized with 1–2% isoflurane in 20% oxygen. Nanoagonist (39.3 mg/kg) or PBS were injected via i.v. injection. Pre-contrast images were acquired as follows: T2-weighted (T2W) images (repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) = 3800/95 ms; acquisition matrix = 256 × 256; NEX = 4; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 12; slice thickness = 1 mm, rare factor = 8, flip angle = 150°); T1-weighted (T1W) images (TR/TE = 600/11 ms; acquisition matrix = 256 × 256; NEX = 2; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 12; slice thickness = 1 mm, flip angle = 80°). DCE-MRI was obtained using a FLASH axial T1 map (TR/TE = 16/2.8 ms; acquisition matrix = 128 × 256; NEX = 1; field of view = 20 × 20 mm; number of slices = 2; slice thickness = 1 mm) with multiple flip angles (5°, 10°, 15°, 20°, 25° and 30°) and T1W imaging (same parameters as FLASH T1 map with flip angles of 25°). At 10 s after DCE-MRI acquisition, Gd³⁺-DTPA with a dose of 27.4 mg/kg was administered via i.v. injection. The DCE-MRI data were processed by in-house MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, USA) programs. The Tofts model [27] was used to calculate DCE-MRI kinetic parameters

\[ C(t) = K_{trans} \int C_p(t) \exp \left[ -K_{trans}(t-\tau)/v_p \right] dt + v_p C_p(t) \] (1)

where \( C(t) \) is the concentration of the contrast agent (CA) in tissue, \( C_p(t) \) is the CA concentration in the blood plasma, also known as the arterial input function (AIF), \( v_p \) and \( v_p \) are the fractional volumes of the extravascular extracellular space (EES) and plasma respectively, and \( K_{trans} \) is the CA transfer rate between the blood plasma and EES. The CA concentration curves were calculated by

\[ C(t) = (R_1(t) - R_{10})/R_1 \] (2)

where \( R_1(t) \) is the longitudinal relaxation rate constant and \( R_1 \) is one. The AIFs of glioma and its contralateral tissue were separately estimated by using the multiple reference tissue method [28]. The two AIFs were then rescaled so that their area-under-the-curve were the same and the \( v_p \) of normal brain tissue was 4.0 mL/100 mL. The tissue concentration curves and AIFs were then fit to equation (1) using the lscurvefit function in MATLAB to obtain \( K_{trans}, v_p, \) and \( v_p \). Glioma region and its contralateral region were firstly manually drawn for AIF calculation. Then, voxels where \( v_p \) is greater than 5.0 mL/100 mL were defined as the glioma parenchyma. The band of 3-voxel (around 0.47 mm) width that surrounds the glioma parenchyma was defined as the glioma margin.

In vivo SPECT/CT imaging

SPECT/CT imaging was performed using a Nano SPECT/CT Plus imager (Bioscan Inc., Washington, USA). Twelve mice bearing orthotopic glioma xenografts were randomly divided into three
groups (four mice in each group). SPECT measurements were performed with $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA and the three different groups were treated with Den-PEG, Den-Reg and Den-RGD-Reg, respectively. The mouse models were injected with $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA (3.7×10$^7$ Bq) via i.v. injection alone or 45 min post injection (PI) of the selected nanoparticle. A total of 24 projections were acquired with a minimum of 40,000 counts per projection. Prior to each SPECT imaging, cone-beam CT (180 projections, 55 kVp, 147 μA) images were acquired.

**Autoradiography studies**

Following SPECT/CT imaging, the mouse brains were carefully isolated, fixed and sectioned with a thickness of 1.0 mm. Next, the brain sections were placed on a phosphor storage screen film (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, USA) for 15 min. Reading the screens using a Cyclone Pulse Storage Phosphor system (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, USA) produced autoradiography images that were further analyzed by Optiquant software.

**Survival studies**

Twenty-eight mice bearing orthotropic glioma xenograft were randomly divided into four groups (seven mice in each group) including PBS, TMZ, PTX, and nanoagonist plus PTX. Both the nanoagonist and the PTX were injected intravenously via tail vein. Paclitaxel (5.0 mg/kg every three days, i.v. injection) was injected at 45 min post administration of nanoagonist (39.3 mg/kg, i.v. injection) in mice bearing glioma xenografts. In control experiments, mice were administrated PTX alone (5.0 mg/kg every three days) or TMZ alone (20 mg/kg daily, oral administration) according to the clinical protocol. The drugs were injected from 7 days after tumor implantation. T2W-MR images of the mouse brain area were collected every 7 days up to 28 days. The total glioma volume was calculated by summing the tumor region in T2W-MRI slides with a thickness of 1.0 mm. Survival of mice was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier method.

**Statistical analysis**

Quantitative data are provided as medians or means with standard deviation. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate the difference between two groups. In all cases, a $P$ value less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance. Analysis in this study were performed using origin 8.0 software (Microcal Software Inc., Northampton, USA) as well as SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

**Abbreviations**

A$_2$AR: adenosine 2A receptor; ASF: actin stress fibre; BBB: blood brain barrier; BBBD: blood brain barrier disruption; c(RGDyK): cyclo(arginine-glycine-aspartic-D-tyrosine-lysine); DCE-MRI: dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; Den: dendrimer; GPCRs: G-protein coupled receptor; HGG: high-grade glioma; i.v.: intravenous; LGG: low-grade glioma; MB-FUS: Microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound; MLC: myosin light chain; MLCP: MLC phosphatase; MR: magnetic resonance; NIR: near-infrared; NVU: neurovascular unit; PAMAM: poly(amidoamine); PEG: polyethylene glycol; PFA: paraformaldehyde; PI: post injection; pMLC: phosphorylated MLC; ROCK: Rho-associated protein kinase; SPECT/CT: single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography; T/B: target to background; TE: echo time; TJs: tight junctions; TR: repetition time; TUNEL: Terminal-deoxynucleotidyltransferase mediated dUTP nick end labelling.

**Supplementary Material**

Materials, methods of confocal fluorescence microscopic imaging, synthesis, characterization, actin stress fibre staining, western blot studies, animal model and histological H&E staining, supplementary figures on synthesis and characterization of nanoagonist, targeting specificity of nanoagonist, H&E staining images of brain and glioma tissue from patients, $K_{trans}$ values in glioma parenchyma and glioma margin, ZO-1 expression in glioma xenograft margin, TUNEL stained images of glioma xenograft margin. http://www.thno.org/v08p3126s1.pdf
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