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Summary

Proteins are complex biomolecules which fulfill a wide range of critical tasks in living organisms. Studying and understanding their structure, function, and dynamics is essential for life sciences and can be applied for, e.g., disease control or advanced drug design. Molecular Dynamics (MD) is a computational method relying on physical models to simulate biomolecular systems. Movements of all atoms can be ‘viewed’ like a movie and analyzed to improve the understanding of specific interactions or complement experimental measurements. Replica Exchange (RX) is a powerful method used to enhance the sampling of protein conformations and generates large amounts of data.

pyrexMD is a Python package that is mainly designed for research projects which

• use (contact-guided) RX MD or (contact-guided) MD
• or focus on structure analyses and comparison.

It has three main goals:

1. Interactive ‘all-purpose’ environment. By including various modified GROMACS and MDA nalysis Python bindings, this package provides a comprehensive Jupyter notebooks based environment to design, run, and analyze MD simulation projects from beginning to end.
2. Data visualization is important. In pyrexMD, most analysis functions for calculating useful quantities, such as root-mean-square deviation (RMSD), Q values, contact distances, etc., can generate specialized figures in the same step by passing the keyword argument plot=True.
3. User-friendly and simple application. Where possible, the provided functions combine individual steps into comprehensive workflows with additional automation features. It is possible to rapidly create whole setup or structure-analysis workflows within a few commands, thereby significantly enhancing productivity and reducing the time spent at various stages of the project.

With pyrexMD, it becomes straightforward to create, share, and reproduce research results or transfer the work to other biomolecular structures of interest. Furthermore, it lowers the technical barrier for non-specialists who want to use RX for enhanced sampling.
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Theoretical background

Biomolecular function is often accompanied by slow conformational transitions, typically in the order of μs to s. MD simulations, however, integrate over time in 1-2 fs steps, which makes in-silico studies of proteins computationally demanding. This can lead to incomplete sampling of conformational space as, e.g., proteins can become trapped in specific conformations. One possibility to overcome this problem is to use enhanced-sampling techniques such as REX (Sugita & Okamoto, 1999; Zhang et al., 2005). REX simulates N non-interacting copies (“replicas”) of a system at different temperatures $T_i$. After predefined time intervals, adjacent replicas can be exchanged which leads to a walk in temperature space, speeding up sampling while conserving thermodynamic properties. REX is therefore suited to obtain physically meaningful ensembles of a biomolecular structure at specific temperatures. Based on the chosen temperature range and distribution, native-like conformations can be obtained within a single run. Depending on the research goal, it is beneficial to integrate additional theoretically (Schug et al., 2009) or experimentally derived (Perilla et al., 2017) biases into REX simulations to restrict the sampling space and thus effectively lower computational costs.

Statement of need

Analyzing simulation studies using REX manually is extremely arduous and time-consuming. REX simulations usually not only require knowledge of various program tools but also consist of many individual steps, ranging from simulation setup and pre-processing over testing and simulation-monitoring to post-processing and data analyses. Furthermore, REX can generate terabytes of data and requires a systematic handling of I/O.

One of the most used software packages for MD is GROMACS (Van Der Spoel et al., 2005), a free open-source solution providing many different force fields, such as GROMOS (Schmid et al., 2011), AMBER (Wang et al., 2004), CHARMM (Bjelkmar et al., 2010), or OPLS (Jorgensen et al., 1996). The core functionality of GROMACS can be extended by plug-ins, such as PLUMED (Bonomi et al., 2009; Tribello et al., 2014) or SSAGES (Sidky et al., 2018). Such plug-ins implement additional algorithms and enhanced-sampling methods which interact during the MD simulation itself or can give access to user-defined collective variables for new types of analyses.

pyrexMD on the other hand focuses on facilitating, assisting, and automating the simulation setup and post-simulation analyses. It provides efficient and robust methods for setting up optimized (contact-guided) REX MD or MD simulations. Furthermore, it offers many intuitive and user-friendly structure analyses and comparison functions to explore the large I/O sets generated by REX.

Examples of currently available functions include:

- setup of systems for MD or REX MD simulations
- integration of bias contacts and bias potentials
- topology comparison functions for consistency checks across different systems or replicas
- trajectory viewer and interactive plots
- wide range of functions related to structure analyses and comparison (e.g. contact maps/distances, RMSD, Q values, global distance test, local accuracy, dihedrals, cluster analyses, etc.)
- easy and interactive data visualization
- automation features and default-parameter switches

pyrexMD efficiently integrates and extends the following popular MD-related Python packages:
- MDAnalysis (Gowers et al., 2016; Michaud-Agrawal et al., 2011),
- GromacsWrapper (Beckstein et al., 2019),
- nglview (Nguyen et al., 2018).

By covering various important aspects, pyrexMD allows to execute the whole project from beginning to end without switching to other programs which unnecessarily interrupts the workflow and often requires know-how of different command-line syntaxes. Alongside many workflow-orientated functions, it also adds a variety of useful general functions and workload-reducing improvements, such as an integrated trajectory viewer, interactive figures linked to a trajectory or generation of multi-panel figures from saved .pickle files to reuse individual or old figures without requiring the explicit data set.

**Example applications**

pyrexMD was initially developed in the course of (Voronin et al., 2020). Currently, it is successfully applied in ongoing REX studies on protein and RNA structure refinement.

Figs. 1-3 exemplarily show a small selection of possible data visualizations after performing analyses with pyrexMD. Fig. 1 displays the application of the trajectory viewer with an interactive plot. Fig. 2 shows a true-positive-rate analysis of predicted bias contacts which are considered for a contact-guided REX simulation. Fig. 3 visualizes the local accuracy of conformations based on a global distance test for models obtained from a REX study.

![Figure 1: Trajectory viewer (top) which is linked to an interactive plot (here RMSD, bottom). Conformations at specific values can be quickly inspected by interacting with the graph itself (e.g. via ctrl-click), thus making additional valuable information accessible through the trajectory viewer.](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03325)
Figure 2: Analysis of the true positive rate (TPR) for bias contacts with pyrexMD. The figure exemplarily shows the TPR of the considered bias contacts together with other relevant value guidelines for contact-guided REX (Voronin et al., 2020), such as a minimal TPR threshold of 75% (red) and a suggested optimal number of contacts between L/2 and L (orange), where L denotes the biomolecular sequence length.

Figure 3: Local accuracy of REX-generated protein models sorted by GDT scores. The so-called global distance test (GDT) is a method for structure evaluation similar to the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD). This figure clearly shows how good each model part is refined compared to a reference structure. Each residue is color-coded to represent the CA-CA distance between the model and reference structure after fitting. The two corresponding GDT score variants Total Score (TS) and High Accuracy (HA) are shown on the left side.
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Availability

pyrexMD is free and open source. It is published under the MIT license. You can download the package at https://github.com/KIT-MBS/pyrexMD. Both online documentation and quick guide can be accessed via https://kit-mbs.github.io/pyrexMD
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