The development of smart bioelectronics and biomedical devices has significantly advanced the field of biomedical engineering, enabling a myriad of applications from basic biomedical research to clinical medicine and implants. Standing at the intersection of materials science, mechanical, electrical, and bioengineering, this fascinating field has attracted considerable attention from researchers all over the world, providing new healthcare solutions which were impossible before. From brain–machine interface to biomedical nano/micro-robots, different materials and manufacturing methodologies have been developed to advance the functionalities of the bioelectronic systems to meet the ever-increasing demand of biological and bioinspired applications (Fig. 1).

**Materials for bioelectronics and biomedical devices**

From materials point of view, bioelectronics and biomedical devices are mostly composed of conductive, dielectric, and semiconductor materials, as well as soft substrates/encapsulations. These systems which can interface with human bodies via electrical stimulation and recording of biological signals are of great interest in emerging neural science and engineering [1], diagnostics [2], therapy [3], and wearable and implantable devices [4]. The fabrication of bioelectronics combines the careful design of deformable circuits, integration of rigid and planar semiconductor devices, and the engineering of soft and stretchable encapsulations to realize a better mechanical matching with soft biological tissues and even growing organisms, enabling their constant communication with human body.

The biocompatible metals such as gold were engineered into serpentine shapes to enable its stretchability as conducting circuit materials, by further integration of these engineered stretchable circuits with semiconductor into a thin and flexible polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-based encapsulations via “soft lithographic” technologies [5]; several types of bioelectronics were fabricated including epidermal tactile/chemo-sensors [6], implantable cardiac pacemaker [7], optoelectronics [8], etc., which demonstrated their applications in biomedical research and potential clinical treatments. The employment of degradable metal and metal oxides as conducting and dielectric materials, respectively, and bioresorbable silk or poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), transient bioelectronics can be manufactured [9–12]. However, there still could be challenges with formation of scar tissue between bioelectronics and biological tissues during long-term implantation, resulting in the increase in interfacial impedance [13].

Hydrogels have emerged as a promising candidate for fabrication of next-generation bioelectronics, due to their similarity to biological tissues, water infiltratability and versatility in electrical, mechanical, and biofunctional engineering. Either synthetic polymers like polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyacrylic acid (PAA), polyacrylamide (PAAm), poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PHEMA), etc., or natural macromolecules such as polysaccharides, gelatin and proteins, etc., can be utilized to synthesized biocompatible hydrogels. By further dissolving electrolyte molecules such as LiCl, NaCl, KCl or even zwitterionic molecules into hydrogel matrix, ionic conductive can be achieved [14, 15]. Theses ionic conductive hydrogels based electronics were also named as ionotronics, which have found their applications in epidermal sensors, artificial axons and soft...
actuators [16]. However, their low conductivity and ionic conductive nature hindered their applications in in vivo tests.

Another important category of materials in the fabrication of bioelectronics is the amphiphilic conducting polymers, such as poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT/PSS), polypyrrole (PPy), poly(3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl) (P3HT) and polyaniline (PANI). Their high electric conductivity compared with ionic conductive hydrogels makes them promising candidates in fabrication of implantable bioelectronics, such as neural probes that can monitor the electrophysiological signals in mouse brain [17], implantable and morphing electronics for long-term chronic electrical stimulation and monitoring [18], cellular scaffolds [19] and so on.

Recently, composite conductive hydrogels, synthesized via the incorporation of conductive fillers into hydrogel matrix, have emerged as another alternative candidate for bioelectronics. Harnessing the high electrical conductivity from conductive materials like PEDOT/PSS, carbon nanotubes, graphene etc., as well as the softness and stretchability from hydrogels, the composite materials could exhibit both high electrical conductivity up to about 50 S/m and mechanical stretchability up to about 600% [20].

**New manufacturing technologies for bioelectronics and biomedical device**

From a manufacturing and structural design point of view, conventional manufacturing methods rely on nanofabrication methods such as photolithography, e-beam evaporation, and sputtering of conductive, dielectric, and semiconductor features on flexible substrates [21]. Rogers group also invented a series of transfer printing processes which are compatible with silicon electronics fabrication featuring complex logic functionalities. Over the years, a series of transfer printed systems have been demonstrated, such as cerebral cortex mapping [10], cardiac electrophysiology [22], to name a few. To overcome the limitations of planar device geometries which are often incompatible with biological systems, recent bioelectronics employ conformal additive stamp (CAS) printing technology to transfer complex silicon electronics onto non-flat surfaces [23]. Another strategy of significant interest is the employment of mechanically guided...
Polydopamine (PDA)-doped polypyrrole (PPy) nanoparticle ent dipeptide hydrogel ink. For multimaterial DIW, Lind et al. [29] demonstrated an example of instrumented cardiac microphysiological devices for drug response study using a variety of sacrificial, elastomer, conductive and dielectric materials.

As an alternative to conventional nanofabrication methods, additive manufacturing methods have recently emerged due to their advantages such as low-cost, rapid prototyping, and customization. They also allow the patterning of many materials which are otherwise difficult to integrate using conventional methods. Among different additive approaches, screen and inkjet printing have been the most reported methods due to their easy access and relatively long history [27]. Most reports focus on maskless patterning of low concentration dielectric and conductive inks [28]. Harnessing a diverse range of functional materials, direct ink writing (DIW) has recently emerged as a versatile platform for bioelectronics and biosystem fabrication. Yuk et al. [17] introduced 3D printed soft neural probes for electrophysiological recording in the mouse dorsal hippocampus (dHPC) using PEDOT/PSS ink. For multimaterial DIW, Lind et al. [29] demonstrated an example of instrumented cardiac microphysiological devices for drug response study using a variety of sacrificial, elastomer, conductive and dielectric materials.

Focus on new materials, design, and manufacturing aspects, we have put together an exciting special issue on the topic of “Smart Bioelectronics and Biomedical Devices,” emphasizing the bio-design and manufacturing aspects involved in bioelectronics and biomedical devices, with new materials, design, fabrication, and application aspects. We begin with an editorial by Han et al. [30] discussing the additive manufacturing of nerve conduits. The editorial outlines the advantages of additive manufacturing in mimicking the topological shape, biocompatibility and mechanical properties required for nerve tissue extracellular matrix (ECM), and progresses and challenges with printable biomaterials and their high-resolution processing.

One perspective by Huang et al. covers the topic of minimally invasive biosensor for glucose monitoring especially using microneedle technology with the aim to safely and accurately detect subcutaneous microenvironment changes associated with diabetes complications.

A collection of research articles then ensues. Huang et al. [31] developed a stretchable self-powered biosensor integrating enzymatic biofuel cells which serve as self-powered sensing modules. The lactate and glucose enzymatic biofuel cells (EBFCs) sensors were fabricated by a series of spray coating and casting method, together with a lithography-enabled microfluidic device for efficient sweat collection and sensing. Jing et al. [32] developed a new conductive and transparent dipeptide hydrogel ink using hydrophilic conductive polydopamine (PDA)-doped polypyrrole (PPy) nanoparticle incorporated dipeptide hydrogel. This strategy allows good conductivity and transparency of the material and enables applications for body-adhered signal detection. Li et al. [33] applied femtosecond laser processing to modify Pt-Ir neural electrode with superwicking behavior, which offers highly improved electrical performance and wettability. Thanks to the hierarchical surface micro/nanostructures enabled by laser processing, the electrode shows the increased maximum charge storage capacity which makes them promising for use in clinical neural electrodes. Wang et al. [34] designed a class of pneumatic muscle-like actuators, termed highly mimetic skeletal muscle (HimiSK), consisting of parallelly aligned contractile units in a flexible matrix. The contractile units and flexible matrix mimic muscle fiber and connective tissue, respectively, enabling 3D actuation upon activation and showing intrinsic force–velocity and force–length behaviors similar to biological muscles. Zeng et al. [35] developed a bio-inspired 4D printing layout strategy by designing the area delineation and shape memory properties of shape memory polymers (SMPs). Zhang et al. [36] developed a novel pneumatically assisted atomization device (PAAD) which allows uniform living cell delivery with low pressure. The sprayed cells maintained high viability and differentiation, making them ideal for clinical cell therapy and wound healing. Zhang et al. developed a 3D printed microfluidic gradient concentration chip using digital light processing (DLP) for rapid antibiotic susceptibility testing. The obtained gradient concentration environment of antibiotics provides a rapid testing method for measuring bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics in clinical settings. Hesselsmann et al. [37] proposed a novel design for 3D potting of membrane modules based on a multiaxial centrifugation process, which could improve flow path and lower stagnation volume, and ultimately improve efficiency for artificial lungs. Lastly, amid Covid-19 pandemic, Park et al. [38] designed and tested cost-effective, rapidly scalable ventilator (ALIVE Vent) using commercially available components. This solution might offer life-saving solutions especially for people in resource-scarce regions.

The special issue also collects five review articles across several important areas of bio-design and manufacturing. Santoni et al. provided an extensive outlook on scientific literature and patents on the topics of bioprinting, showing that the field is undergoing rapid expansion. Echoing the research article by Huang et al. [31] in this issue, Heng et al. provided a review on wearable flexible sensors for sweat analysis. The recent research focuses on sweat sensors with a diverse form factors and materials. The review also covers different mechanisms of sensing as well as their advantages and challenges. Lim et al. [39] discussed the requirement of bioreactor design for tissue engineering, which should provide a biomimetic physiological platform by optimizing material design, mass transfer, mechanical and electrical stimulation. Lin et al. [40] summarized the exciting topic of biorobots which have the advantages of energy efficiency and miniaturization. This
review covers the progress of biohybrid robots regarding power sources, supporting biomaterials and structures developed in the recent years. Finally, the work of Willemen et al. [41] covers various 3D and 4D printing techniques and their applications in the pharmaceutical field as drug delivery systems and personalized medicine. It is hoped that this special issue will serve as a collection of research and views from different angles and bring new insights to the growing field of bioelectronic devices and systems, leading to new inspiration to the field and expanding to possibilities of smart bioelectronics and biomedical devices for many practical and clinical applications.
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