MicroRNAs secreted by human embryos could be potential biomarkers for clinical outcomes of assisted reproductive technology
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are important regulators of many biological functions, including embryo implantation and development. Recently, it has been reported that miRNAs in biofluids are predictive for physiological and pathological processes.

Objectives: In this study, we aim to investigate whether the miRNAs secreted by human embryos in culture medium can be used as embryonic biomarkers.

Methods: The culture media were prospectively collected from embryos of patients at reproductive medicine center with informed consent. A high-throughput miRNA sequencing method was applied to detect the miRNA profiles in the human embryo culture media. After bioinformatics analysis and screening of differentially expressed miRNAs, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay was subsequently performed to further confirm the sequencing results with mixed samples. Furthermore, we performed droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) to verify the target miRNAs at single sample level. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed for differentially expressed miRNAs.

Results: Compared with embryos with failed pregnancy, the embryos with successful pregnancy secreted different miRNA profiles into the culture media, which were predicted to be involved in multiple biological processes. Validated by droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR), the expression of hsa-miR-26b-5p and hsa-miR-21-5p in the culture media of cleavage embryos with successful pregnancy was...
Introduction

Infertility is an ongoing reproductive health issue worldwide, with an average prevalence of 9% in couples at reproductive age [1,2]. There has been a significant development for assisted reproductive technology (ART) to better help the infertile couples over the past decades. ART consists of a series of fertility treatments, involving the manipulations of human oocytes, sperm, and embryos in vitro, which work to establish a successful pregnancy [3]. The most commonly performed ART procedures are in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) followed by embryo transfer (ET). The current trend in ART is to achieve a higher pregnancy rate per transfer and to reduce the rate of multiple gestations [4]. The pregnancy rate following an IVF/ICSI-ET cycle depends on multiple factors and embryonic defect is one of the main causes for implantation failure. Therefore, selection of the best embryo for transfer is crucial for embryo implantation and live birth rates.

Presently, assessment of embryo quality based on morphologic criteria is the predominant non-invasive technique for selecting viable embryos and this provides valuable information for the prediction of IVF/ICSI-ET outcomes [5,6]. However, parameters such as cleavage rates and blastocyst formation as well as developmental kinetics are mostly evaluated subjectively and many morphologically normal embryos either do not implant or spontaneously abort early in pregnancy because of chromosome anomalies [7]. Notably, preimplantation genetic diagnosis/screening (PGD/PGS) has been increasingly performed to help couples lower the risks of transmitting genetic defects to their offspring and to improve pregnancy and live birth rates during IVF cycles [8]. However, conventional PGD/PGS procedures require the invasive biopsy of early embryos before transfer to the uterus, which might affect embryo quality and awaits long-term biosafety test [9]. Another limitation of PGD/PGS procedures is the high overall costs due to the technical expertise [10].

Conclusion: Together, our findings highlight the important predictive potential of miRNAs secreted by human embryos in culture media, which is meaningful for non-invasive embryo selection in assisted reproductive technology. © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Material and methods

Ethics statement

All experiments involving samples from human patients were conducted according to the ethical policies and procedures approved by the ethics committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China (Approval no. S1162). Written informed consents were obtained from all participants.

Patients and sample collection

We recruited patients who underwent routine IVF/ICSI-ET at Wuhan Tongji Reproductive Medicine Hospital and Wuhan Union Hospital. After fertilization assessment, the zygotes were washed extensively in G-1 PLUS (Vitrolife, Göteborg, Sweden) and cultured in 30 µL G-1 PLUS droplets until day 3 of development. After cleavage assessment, the day 3 embryos were washed extensively in
equilibrated G-2 PLUS (Vitrolife, Göteborg, Sweden) and transferred to G-2 PLUS culture droplets for further culture to blastocyst stage. After another two days in culture, the development and quality of the day 5 blastocysts were evaluated according to the blastocyst scoring system. All the embryos were cultured under 6% CO₂, 5% O₂, and balance N₂ at 37 °C in a tri-gas incubator (Cook, Bloomington, IN, USA). Culture media were prospectively collected from the in vitro cultured embryos for miRNA sequencing and validation. The miRNA profiles of embryo culture media collected from samples with successful and failed pregnancy were compared to identify the differentially expressed miRNAs. All the participants were performed with single-embryo transfer.

RNA isolation and quality control

The culture media were collected from embryos at cleavage and blastocyst stages during in vitro fertilization cycles. For ddPCR, we extracted RNA directly from each single sample. For sequencing and RT-qPCR, in order to get enough RNA, we mixed 15 samples of embryo culture media for each group, cleavage stage with successful pregnancy, cleavage stage with failed pregnancy, blastocyst stage with successful pregnancy, and blastocyst stage with failed pregnancy. The culture media were centrifuged at 1500g for 10 min to remove the granulosa cells and dead sperm. Before RNA extraction, all samples were spiked with C. elegans-mir-39 (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) to act as an endogenous control. Then the total RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration and purity of RNA samples were determined by NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, Wilmington, DE, USA) and 2100 Bioanalyzer Instruments (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

High-throughput miRNA sequencing

We performed the high-throughput miRNA sequencing on the culture media of embryos at cleavage and blastocyst stages with different pregnancy outcomes. The miRNA sequencing library was constructed using the TrueSeq™ miRNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Briefly, the total RNA of each sample was used to prepare the miRNA sequencing library as the following steps: 3′-adaptor ligation, 5′-adaptor ligation, cDNA synthesis, PCR amplification, and gel purification for 145–160 bp PCR-amplified fragments. After quantification with Qubit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), the libraries were captured on cBOT (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to be amplified in situ as clusters, and finally, they were sequenced on the HiSeq™ 2500 System (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

After sequencing, the adaptor sequences were trimmed and the quality-filtered reads were harvested as clean reads. The clean reads were clustered to unique sequences and mapped to databases of human genome, Refam, RepBase, miRNA database, miRBase using bowtie software, allowing up to one mismatch. Based on miRNA biogenesis model, we used miDeep2 software to predict novel miRNAs [33]. Then, the clean reads of each sample were aligned to merged miRNA databases (known miRNAs from miRBase plus the newly predicted miRNAs) to calculate the miRNA expression levels. The numbers of mapped tags were defined as the raw expression levels of that miRNAs. To correct for the difference in tag counts between samples, the tag counts were scaled to TPM (the copy number of transcripts per million) based on the total number of tags aligned [34]. Identification of differentially expressed miRNAs was performed with the DESeq software. Fold change and p value were calculated to identify the differentially expressed miRNAs in culture media collected from embryos with different pregnancy outcomes. The miRNAs, matched p ≤ 0.05 and log₂ (fold change) ≥ 1 (upregulated) or < -1 (downregulated), were considered differentially expressed miRNAs.

RT-qPCR validation

The RT-qPCR assay was subsequently performed to further confirm the sequencing results with mixed samples from two centers (Wuhan Tongji Reproductive Medicine Hospital and Wuhan Union Hospital) and 15 samples were mixed for each group. Reverse transcription for mixed samples was performed with the miScript II RT Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). qPCR was performed on the Light-Cycler® 96 SW 1.1 real-time PCR detection system (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) with the miScript SYBR® Green PCR Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The forward primers used were listed in supplemental Table 1. The universal reverse primer was provided by the PCR kit. The PCR reactions were run in triplicate and C. elegans-miR-39 mimic (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) was used as an endogenous control to normalize the relative expression levels of the miRNAs. Blank samples, namely water and culture media never exposed to embryo culture were run in parallel and showed no amplification for any of the tested miRNAs.

ddPCR validation

In order to verify the results of mixed samples, we performed ddPCR to detect the expression of target miRNAs at a single sample level (100 cleavage samples in total, 50 with successful pregnancy and 50 with failed pregnancy). The cDNA template for ddPCR was prepared using TaqMan™ Advanced miRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher, Pleasanton, CA, USA). The ddPCR was carried out with the QX200™ Droplet Digital™ PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The reaction mixture consisted of 10 µl of 2×ddPCR supermix for probes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), 1 µl of TaqMan® Advanced miRNA Assay (Thermo Fisher, Pleasanton, CA, USA), and 5 µl of diluted cDNA in a final volume of 20 µl. The mixture was dispensed into a separate well of a disposable D8 cartridge (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Then, 70 µl of droplet generation oil (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was added into each of the corresponding oil well before the cartridge was loaded into the droplet generator (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). After the mixture was partitioned into monodisperse water-in-oil emulsion, the droplets were transferred to a 96-well PCR plate (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), heat sealed with foil, and amplified on a C1000 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Following amplification, the plate was placed onto the droplet reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The ddPCR data were analyzed with QuantaSoft analysis software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The C. elegans-miR-39 mimic (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) was used as an endogenous control to normalize the relative expression levels of the miRNAs.

Target prediction and pathway analyses of miRNAs

Target genes of the differentially expressed miRNAs were predicted using the miRanda algorithms (http://www.microrna.org/). The distribution of these miRNA-target genes on human genome and their interactions were identified by Circos (http://circos.ca/) [35]. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were performed using DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/).

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed data, or as median and interquartile range. Normally distributed data were compared
using the Student’s t-test and non-normally distributed data using the Mann-Whitney U test. A p value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed for differentially expressed miRNAs. All analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Characteristics of study population

Embryo culture media were collected from cultured embryos of infertile patients who underwent routine IVF or ICSI at reproductive medicine center. The characteristics and treatments of the patients were described in Table 1 (samples used for miRNA Sequencing). According to the morphologic criteria [36–38], only embryos with good quality were included for both the successful pregnancy group and the failed pregnancy group. For cleavage embryos, the blastomeres were in equal size and no cytoplasmic fragments were observed, while, the blastocysts included were fully expanded with a clear structure of the inner cell mass and trophoderm (Fig. 1A).

MiRNA profiling in culture media of human embryos

With high-throughput miRNA sequencing, we detected the miRNA profiles of human embryo culture media at different developmental stages. Following the quality control filter, 332 miRNAs were detected in cleavage embryo culture media, and 385 miRNAs were detected in blastocyst culture media. In total, 148 miRNAs were common in embryo culture media collected from both of the two developmental stages (Fig. 1B). These miRNAs were mapped to the human genome and most of them were distributed in the intron regions (Fig. 1C). As shown in Fig. 1D, miRNAs only accounted for a tiny proportion of all the small RNAs species (Fig. 1D). Among all the detected miRNAs, the numbers of novel predicted miRNAs for cleavage and blastocyst stages were 78 and 197, respectively (Fig. 2C).

Identification of differentially expressed miRNAs in culture media collected from embryos with different pregnancy outcomes

Next, we compared the miRNA profiles of embryo culture media with different pregnancy outcomes at similar developmental stages (cleavage stage or blastocyst stage). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed miRNAs indicated a good separation of the samples with different pregnancy outcomes, suggesting that the culture media of embryos with successful pregnancy exhibit unique miRNA expression signatures as compared to those with failed pregnancy (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, the total expression level of miRNAs detected in the culture media of embryos with successful pregnancy was higher than that of embryos with failed pregnancy, no matter at cleavage or blastocyst stage (Fig. 2B).

Bioinformatics analysis of differentially expressed miRNAs and their target genes

The differentially expressed miRNAs detected in the human embryo culture media are predicted to regulate genes involved in multiple biological processes and pathways. GO analysis showed that the genes were enriched in a variety of biological functions, including cellular metabolic processes, cellular components and biomolecular binding (Fig. 2D, E). The KEGG signaling pathways associated with these differentially expressed miRNAs in embryo culture media were also analyzed (Fig. 2F, G). For cleavage embryos, the results pointed out that these miRNAs may have a role in apoptosis, ErbB, and mTOR signaling pathways (Fig. 2F). For blastocyst, they were mainly involved in Hippo, TGF-beta, and Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis signaling pathways (Fig. 2G). All of these pathways have been reported to be related to the regulation of embryo implantation and development. We also implemented a genome mapping by Circos to identify unequivocal interactions between these differentially expressed miRNAs and their targets (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Validation of selected miRNAs in culture media collected from embryos with different pregnancy outcomes

We mapped the differentially expressed miRNAs to the miRNAs enriched in signaling pathways described above using the following algorithms: miRWalk (http://www.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/apps/zmf/mirwalk/), miRTarBase (http://miRTarBase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw/php/index.php), miPathDB (https://impdb.bioinf.uni-sb.de/). The miRNAs with high expression levels and high fold changes between two groups in the high-throughput sequencing analyses were included for validation. In total, 18 miRNAs (hsa-miR-372-3p, hsa-miR-373-3p, hsa-miR-155-5p, hsa-miR-423-5p, hsa-miR-92b-3p, hsa-miR-3168, hsa-miR-193-5p, hsa-miR-371a-5p, hsa-miR-4488, hsa-miR-21-5p, hsa-miR-26b-5p, hsa-miR-10a-5p, hsa-miR-27a-3p, hsa-miR-451a, hsa-miR-200b-3p, hsa-miR-200c-3p, hsa-miR-26a-5p, hsa-miR-27b-3p) were selected for RT-qPCR and further analysis (Fig. 3A, B). The significantly different expression levels of these 18 miRNAs between two groups were identified by the high-throughput miRNA sequencing (Fig. 3C). For the mixed samples collected from Wuhan Tongji Reproductive Medicine Hospital, the RT-qPCR results revealed that the cleavage and blastocyst culture media both highlighted the increased expression of hsa-miR-372-3p and hsa-miR-373-3p, and the decreased expression of hsa-miR-451a, hsa-miR-200c-3p, hsa-miR-27a-3p, and hsa-miR-26b-5p for embryos with successful pregnancy. In addition, the downregulation of hsa-miR-21-5p and hsa-miR-10a-5p in culture media was specific for cleavage embryos with successful pregnancy; whereas blastocysts with successful pregnancy presented the reduced expression of hsa-miR-26a-5p and hsa-miR-27b-3p in the culture media, as compared to culture media from embryos with failed pregnancy (Fig. 3D, E). We also confirmed the consistent expression of these miRNAs in the samples collected from Wuhan Union Hospital (Fig. 3F, G). RT-qPCR results of the other selected miRNAs presented no significance or they were not detected. Furthermore, a regulatory network was built to further elucidate the target genes of the validated miRNAs (Supplementary Fig. 2). As expected, these miRNAs play regulatory roles in the expression of important genes like large tumor suppressor homolog 2 (LATS2), mechanistic target of rapamycin kinase (MTOR), phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), MHC proto-oncogene (MYC), and B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL2), etc.

ddPCR analysis of the screened miRNAs at single embryo level

In order to explore the predictive potential of the above selected miRNAs, we performed ddPCR to detect their expression at a single embryo level, and only hsa-miR-26b-5p, hsa-miR-451a and hsa-miR-21-5p could be stably detected in the culture medium of one single embryo at cleavage stage (Fig. 4A-E). With QuantaSoft analysis, we validated the downregulation of hsa-miR-26b-5p and hsa-miR-21-5p in the culture media at a single embryo level (Fig. 4F, H), but no significant difference was detected for hsa-miR-451a at single embryo level (Fig. 4G). Furthermore, we conducted ROC analyses for hsa-miR-26b-5p and hsa-miR-21-5p. The area under the curve (AUC) to discriminate embryos with
successful pregnancy from those with failed pregnancy was 0.7252 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.6217–0.8287) for hsa-miR-26b-5p and 0.7356 (95% CI: 0.6386–0.8326) for hsa-miR-21-5p, suggesting the predictive potential of these two miRNAs for pregnancy outcomes during IVF/ICSI-ET cycles (Fig. 4I, J).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we have characterized the miRNA profiles in culture media of human embryos during IVF/ICSI-ET cycles by high-throughput sequencing. The embryo culture media showed distinct miRNA profiles between the successful pregnancy group and the failed pregnancy group both at cleavage and blastocyst stages, thereby suggesting that miRNAs secreted by human embryos might be correlated with pregnancy outcomes. Thus, miRNAs in human embryo culture media could be developed as predictive biomarkers for reproductive outcomes of IVF/ICSI-ET cycles.

In previous studies using miRNA array method, miRNAs could be reliably detected and analyzed from spent blastocyst culture media [16,25,39]. However, fresh cleavage-stage embryos are also widely used in clinical practice [40,41]. To experienced embryologists, the selection of the morphologically best embryos on day 3 (cleavage stage) presents only 23% predictive value for day 5 blastocyst formation in vitro, suggesting that day 3 morphology may be
an inaccurate predictor of an embryo’s implantation capability\cite{42–44}. Therefore, more evaluation criteria are necessary for cleavage-stage embryo selection before blastocyst stage. Here, we have detected stable mRNA expression in culture media collected from embryos both at cleavage and blastocyst stages. Moreover, the comparison analyses indicated that miRNAs were differentially

\[\text{Fig. 2.} \text{ Comparison analysis of differentially expressed miRNAs in human embryo culture media with different reproductive outcomes. A. Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis of the differentially expressed miRNAs in culture media of embryos with different pregnancy outcomes. The expression intensity of each miRNA in each sample varies from high (red) to low (blue). B. Box plot built on log2 (transcript per million) of miRNAs detected in culture media from embryos with successful and failed pregnancy. C. The novel miRNAs predicted in embryo culture media at cleavage and blastocyst stages. D, E. Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the differentially expressed miRNAs at cleavage and blastocyst stages, respectively. It contains 3 parts: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. F, G. The enriched KEGG signaling pathways associated with the differentially expressed miRNAs at cleavage and blastocyst stages, respectively. The top 10 pathways were listed.}\]
Fig. 3. Identification and validation of selected miRNAs. A, B. The Venn diagram shows the selection of miRNAs for RT-qPCR verification. C. Heatmap of the high-throughput sequencing results for the 18 selected miRNAs detected in culture media of embryos at cleavage and blastocyst stages with different pregnancy outcomes. Range of colors (red to blue) shows the range of expression values (high to low). D-G. Fold change of significantly upregulated (red) and downregulated (green) miRNAs in culture media of embryos with successful pregnancy compared to embryos with failed pregnancy. D, F. RT-qPCR confirmed the different expression pattern of 8 miRNAs in the cleavage embryo culture media collected from Wuhan Tongji Reproductive Medicine Hospital (D) and Wuhan Union Hospital (F). E, G. RT-qPCR confirmed the different expression pattern of 8 miRNAs in the blastocyst culture media collected from Wuhan Tongji Reproductive Medicine Hospital (E) and Wuhan Union Hospital (G). RT-qPCR data indicated relative expression of the samples with successful pregnancy with respect to the samples with failed pregnancy. Error bars indicate mean ± SD of three replicate experiments.
expressed between samples with successful pregnancy and failed pregnancy, which might be useful in embryo evaluation.

Bioinformatics analysis of these different miRNA profiles identified several target genes, enriched in biological processes and pathways. It is interesting that miR-372-3p and miR-373-3p were predicted to modulate the expression of LATS2, a serine-threonine kinase acting as a cell cycle inhibitor [45,46]. Our results showed that miR-372-3p and miR-373-3p were upregulated in the culture media of embryos with successful pregnancy, which would repress their target gene, the cell cycle inhibitor LATS2, promoting the cell cycle progression in early embryo development. In addition, LATS2 also participates in the regulation of Hippo-YAP signaling, which is consistent with the results of KEGG pathway analysis for blastocyst stage [47]. Furthermore, miR-21-5p, miR-451a and miR-200c-3p targeted at the apoptosis-related gene, BCL2, which regulates cell death process [48]. The reduced levels of these miRNAs could activate the expression of BCL2, leading to the inhibition of apoptosis during preimplantation embryo development. We also observed that apoptosis was the most significantly enriched pathway for the differentially expressed miRNAs of samples at cleavage.

![Fig. 4](image-url). Validation of differentially expressed miRNAs at single embryo level. A-E. ddPCR results for target miRNAs. (A) hsa-miR-26b-5p, (B) hsa-miR-451a, (C) hsa-miR-21-5p, (D) C. elegans-miR-39 mimic, (E) No-template control. F-H. Median and interquartile range of hsa-miR-26b-5p (F), hsa-miR-451a (G) and hsa-miR-21-5p (H) levels detected by ddPCR in 50 samples for each group. The corresponding significance values were calculated via a Mann-Whitney U test. SP: Successful pregnancy. FP: Failed pregnancy. I, J. ROC analysis of hsa-miR-26b-5p (I) and hsa-miR-21-5p (J) levels for prediction of reproductive outcomes in human embryo culture media.
stage. Additionally, MYC was the predicted target of miR-373-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-451a and miR-26a-5p; meanwhile, PETN was predicted to be the target of four down-regulated miRNAs, miR-26a-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-10a-5p and miR-26b-5p. Both MYC and PETN genes are involved in numerous biological functions, like the regulation of cell cycle, proliferation, growth and differentiation [49,50]. After all, the exact roles of these miRNAs in human embryo development have yet to be determined by further research.

In most reproductive clinics, a commonly used approach for embryo selection is to grade embryos based on their morphological features and embryo scores are still accepted as the best predictor of pregnancy from IVF [51–53]. However, the evaluation of morphology might be insufficient to identify the best suited embryos for transfer to increase the pregnancy rate per cycle [7,42]. Thus, the exploration for additional biomarkers to morphological criteria will contribute to better selection of the embryos, which has been one of the most important challenges in the development of ART. Recently, several non-invasive biomarkers for embryonic selection have been reported to be related to embryo quality, like mRNA fragments and secreted proteins as well as metabolomic profiles in culture media [6,54–60]. But these biomarkers may not be reliably detectable and stable for a long time. Notably, PGs helps to select the best embryo for transfer and improve the chance of achieving a successful pregnancy, whereas it is invasive and expensive [61]. By contrast, miRNAs are stable, consistently expressed, easily detected, and involved in multiple physiological and pathological processes [22,25,62–64]. In order to get enough amount of RNA, we used mixed samples for high-throughput sequencing and RT-qPCR, and the results were not specific for embryos or patients. Validation using more sensitive and efficient detection methods for miRNA profiles in single embryo culture medium is more conducive for clinical application. Thus, we performed ddPCR validation at single-embryo level, and the results revealed that hsa-miR-26b-5p and hsa-miR-21-5p detected in culture media could serve as potential biomarkers for reproductive outcomes. Nevertheless, our study was retrospective and we did not investigate the underlying mechanisms that might explain the reduced expression of hsa-miR-26b-5p and hsa-miR-21-5p in culture media of human embryos with successful pregnancy. Additional prospective and mechanism research are required before the miRNA biomarkers can be confidently used in clinical settings.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our results indicate that miRNAs in human embryo culture media may serve as novel and non-invasive biomarkers for embryo selection during IVF/ICSI-ET cycles. Combined with morphological evaluation, it would be helpful to increase the success rates and to reduce the high multiple pregnancy rates of ART. More clinical trials are needed to determine the sensitivity and specificity of miRNA biomarkers for embryo selection, and more basic research is also necessary to develop better detection methods of miRNAs with low input.
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