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Abstract

Introduction
Antenatal care (ANC) is a systematic examination and follow-up of pregnant women that involves education, counseling, screening, and treatment of any complications encountered. ANC is an essential measure that significantly decreases devastating maternal and fetal outcomes. This study aimed to explore the maternal and fetal outcomes of mothers who did and did not book follow-ups and had their newborns admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) at the King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH) in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Methodology
We conducted a cross-sectional study between January 1, 2021, and January 1, 2022, at KAUH in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Data were collected from electronic medical records and paper documents. Maternal demographic and pregnancy information were collected in addition to neonatal outcomes.

Results
The study included 186 participants, with a median maternal age of 32 years (interquartile range (IQR) 27-36). Cesarean section was the predominant mode of delivery (67.2%), with a median gestational age at birth of 36 weeks (IQR 34-38). Most women (69.4%) booked follow-ups, while 40.3% developed chronic comorbid conditions during pregnancy. The newborn sex ratio was nearly even between males and females, with a median birthweight of 2325 g (IQR 1740-2900) and median Apgar scores of 7 (IQR 5-9) and 9 (IQR 8-10) at 1 and 5 min, respectively. Jaundice was the most common postnatal complication (51.6%), followed by hypoglycemia (18.8%), while 23.7% of babies had congenital anomalies. There was a significant association between booking status and nationality, maternal age, cesarean section, maternal comorbid conditions, the outcome of multiple gestations, and postnatal complications, including jaundice and hypoglycemia. Decreasing maternal age (odds ratio (OR) 0.755, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.585-0.974) and Apgar score at 5 min (OR 0.096, 95% CI 0.012-0.795) were the only significant predictors of fetal mortality.

Conclusion
The study revealed suboptimal adherence to ANC among pregnant women with newborns admitted to the NICU, along with poorer maternal and fetal outcomes, with respect to neonatal jaundice, hypoglycemia, and the need for resuscitation.
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Introduction
Antenatal care (ANC) refers to the meticulous systematic examination and follow-up of pregnant women involving education, counseling, screening, and treatment to ensure the best possible health of pregnant women and fetuses [1]. Pregnant women should visit their healthcare provider at least four times during pregnancy for routine checkups and additional appointments if needed, with prenatal care being provided by either a doctor, midwife, or nurse practitioner [2,3].

The importance of prenatal care in pregnant women has long been established and has a crucial link to maternal and fetal outcomes. Pregnant women can be diagnosed and treated for various health problems
through prenatal care, preventing the development of complications during pregnancy and helping them plan their delivery, thus allowing them to have healthy babies [4,5].

It is vital to note that prenatal care focuses not only on the physical health of pregnant women but also on their emotional and psychological well-being, ensuring a holistic approach to care during pregnancy. This can help prevent depression and anxiety among pregnant women, which may be detrimental to the health of their newborns [6].

Previous evidence has indicated that poor fetal outcomes in terms of perinatal morbidity and mortality are associated with poor ANC adherence, which can be linked to factors such as preterm delivery and low birthweight. In addition, studies have shown that sufficient ANC attendance decreases the likelihood of low birthweight and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) [7-11].

Suboptimal adherence to ANC visits is a critical problem. Although it is thought that most women attend at least one appointment, non-booking (pregnant women not attending ANC visits vs. booked mothers who attend regular and a sufficient number of ANC visits) has deleterious repercussions on the health of the mother and the fetus [12]. This study aims to explore the maternal and fetal outcomes of booked and unbooked mothers who had their newborns admitted to the NICU at the King Abdulaziz University Hospital (KAUH) in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Materials And Methods

Study design

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the KAUH (reference number: 336-22). This study is a retrospective chart review of all infants born at ≥32 weeks' gestation and admitted to the NICU. This retrospective study was cross-sectional and analytical, and was conducted between January 1, 2021, and January 1, 2022, at the KAUH in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. The NICU has level-II and -III neonatal intensive care beds and an average number of 2,800 deliveries per year in the last four years, with an admission rate of approximately 12.93%.

Study sample size and population

In this study, the targeted population was all infants born at ≥32 weeks' gestation and admitted to the NICU at the KAUH during the study period. Infants not admitted to the ICU were excluded.

Data Collection and Definition of Variables

Maternal and infant characteristics were retrieved from electronic medical records and paper charts. Patient records from January 1, 2021 to January 1, 2022 were reviewed.

Maternal characteristics

The following data on the mothers were collected: nationality, age, gravidity, method of delivery, gestational age (based on the last menstrual period or pregnancy testing, prenatal ultrasound, or both), booking status, chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertensive disorders, and antenatal steroid therapy.

Infant characteristics

The following data on the infants were collected: sex, birthweight, multiple pregnancies (twins or triplets), APGAR scores calculated at 1 and 5 min, perinatal depression (based on the needing for post-natal cooling therapy, or post-natal MRI showed hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy), intrauterine growth restriction (based on regular antenatal ultrasound follow up), length of hospital stay, mortality, the need for resuscitation (defined as the need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation or epinephrine at any dose by endotracheal tube, umbilical venous catheters, or intravenous), and confirmation of early-onset sepsis (within 72 h of birth) and late-onset sepsis depending on positive cultures from cerebrospinal fluid and blood.

Other data on short-term morbidities, including neonatal jaundice (NNJ) which confirmed the need for NICU admission to manage NNJ in the first 72 hours of life; hypoglycemia depending on glucose level (less than 2.6 mmol/L); congenital anomalies from clinical findings, positive tests, and radiological findings; cardiac anomalies on echocardiography, performed when the infant developed hemodynamic instability and necessitated medical or surgical treatment; and renal anomalies from findings of renal ultrasound scans. In this study, central nervous system (CNS) anomalies were confirmed from a positive brain or spinal cord image using ultrasonography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging. A neonatal seizure was defined as an abnormal movement or need for anti-epileptic medication; respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) from the need for beractant; and congenital pneumonia from radiological findings, with a need for respiratory support and discharge diagnosis.
Data entry and analysis
Data entry was performed using SPSS v. 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) for all statistical analyses. Categorical data are presented as numbers and percentages, whereas continuous variables are presented as means and standard deviations. The association between morbidities and mortality risk was analyzed using multivariate regression analysis and odds ratios with 95% confidence interval (CIs). P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Frequencies were analyzed using the chi-squared test. Percentiles, medians, and associations between variables were analyzed using the regression model and Mann-Whitney test.

Ethical considerations
The Research Ethics Committee at the Unit of Biomedical Ethics, King Abdulaziz University, Faculty of Medicine, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia approved this retrospective study conducted at the KAUH in Jeddah. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the KAUH (reference number: 336-22). The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results
This study included 186 participants, with approximately two-thirds (69.9%) of them being of Saudi nationality. The maternal median age was 32 (interquartile range (IQR) 27-36) years, and the median number of pregnancies was three (IQR 1-5). Cesarean section (CS) was the most common mode of delivery (67.2%), followed by spontaneous vaginal delivery (32.8%). Most cesarean deliveries (78.7%) were emergency CS. The median gestational age at birth was 36 (IQR 34-38) weeks, with more than half of the mothers (69.4%) being booked. Comorbid chronic conditions were reported in 40.3% of mothers, including hypertension (14%), diabetes mellitus (20.4%), and hypothyroidism (10.8%). Only 6.5% of mothers reported previous baby admissions to the NICU, whereas nearly one-third (36%) received antenatal steroids (Table 1).
|                                | N   | N%  | Median (IQR) |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------------|
| **Nationality**                |     |     |              |
| Non-Saudi                      | 56  | 30.1%|              |
| Saudi                          | 130 | 69.9%|              |
| **Age in years**               |     |     | 32 (27–36)   |
| **Gravidity**                  |     |     | 3 (1–5)      |
| **Mode of delivery**           |     |     |              |
| SVD                            | 61  | 32.8%|              |
| CS                             | 125 | 67.2%|              |
| **Mode of CS**                 |     |     |              |
| Elective                       | 26  | 21.3%|              |
| Emergency                      | 96  | 78.7%|              |
| **Gestational age in weeks**   |     |     | 36 (34–38)   |
| **Booking status**             |     |     |              |
| Not booked                     | 57  | 30.6%|              |
| Booked                         | 129 | 69.4%|              |
| **Maternal comorbidities**     |     |     |              |
| No                             | 111 | 59.7%|              |
| Yes                            | 66  | 40.3%|              |
| **Comorbid conditions**        |     |     |              |
| DM                             | 38  | 20.4%|              |
| Hypothyroidism                 | 20  | 10.8%|              |
| **Previous baby admitted to the NICU** |   |     |              |
| No                             | 128 | 68.8%|              |
| Yes                            | 12  | 6.5% |              |
| **Antenatal steroid**          |     |     |              |
| No                             | 110 | 59.1%|              |
| Yes                            | 67  | 36.0%|              |

**TABLE 1: Demographic and prenatal characteristics of mothers**

IQR, interquartile range; SVD, spontaneous vaginal delivery; CS, cesarean section; HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit

Regarding the outcome of deliveries, approximately half (50.5%) were females. The median birthweight was 2325 (IQR 1740–2900) g. The median Apgar scores at 1 and 5 mins were 7 (IQR 5–9) and 9 (IQR 8–10), respectively. Most deliveries (78.5%) were singletons, whereas twin deliveries were the most prevalent among the remaining multiple pregnancies. Jaundice was the most common postnatal complication (51.6%), followed by hypoglycemia (18.8%). Nearly one-quarter (23.7%) of babies had congenital cardiac (70.5%), renal (18.2%), or CNS anomalies (29.5%). The median length of hospital stay was 10 (IQR 5–18) days, and the mortality percentage was 5.9% (Table 2).
Maternal booking status was related to several maternal and fetal factors. Booking status was associated with Saudi nationality \((p < 0.001)\), higher maternal age \((p = 0.007)\), emergency mode of CS \((p = 0.008)\), DM and hypothyroidism \((p = 0.022)\), multiple gestations of triplets \((p = 0.036)\), and fewer instances of postnatal complications including jaundice \((p = 0.036)\), hypoglycemia \((p = 0.011)\), and need for resuscitation \((p = 0.038)\) (Tables 3–6).

### TABLE 2: Post-natal delivery outcomes

RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; CNS, central nervous system; IQR, interquartile range

|                        | N  | N % | Median (IQR) |
|------------------------|----|-----|--------------|
| **Sex**                |    |     |              |
| Female                 | 94 | 50.5% |            |
| Male                   | 92 | 49.5% |            |
| **Birth weight in grams** |      |        | 2325 (1740–2900) |
| **Apgar score at 1 min** |      |        | 7 (5–9)      |
| **Apgar score at 5 min** |      |        | 9 (8–10)    |
| **Multiple gestations** |    |       |              |
| No                     | 146| 78.5% |            |
| Yes                    | 40 | 21.5% |            |
| **Outcome of multiple gestations** |      |        |              |
| Twins                  | 32 | 80.0% |            |
| Triplets               | 8  | 20.0% |            |
| Need for resuscitation | 10 | 5.4%  |            |
| Perinatal depression   | 3  | 1.6%  |            |
| Neonatal seizure       | 9  | 4.8%  |            |
| **Post-natal complications** |    |        |              |
| RDS                    | 10 | 5.4%  |            |
| Congenital pneumonia   | 5  | 2.7%  |            |
| Sepsis                 | 10 | 5.4%  |            |
| Jaundice               | 96 | 51.6% |            |
| Hypoglycemia           | 35 | 18.8% |            |
| **Congenital anomalies** |    |        |              |
| No                     | 142| 76.3% |            |
| Yes                    | 44 | 23.7% |            |
| Cardiac anomalies      | 31 | 70.5% |            |
| **Type of congenital anomalies** |      |        |              |
| Renal anomalies        | 8  | 18.2% |            |
| CNS anomalies          | 13 | 29.5% |            |
| **Length of hospital stay in days** |      |        | 10 (5–18)  |
| **Mortality**          |    |       |              |
| No                     | 175| 94.1% |            |
| Yes                    | 11 | 5.9%  |            |
| Demographic characteristics | Booking status | p-value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|
|                             | Not booked (N=57) | Booked (N=129) |
|                             | N (%)          | Median (IQR) | N (%)          | Median (IQR) |
| Nationality                 |                |             |                |             |
| Non-Saudi                   | 38 (66.7%)     | 18 (14%)    | <0.001*       |
| Saudi                       | 19 (33.3%)     | 111 (86%)   |               |
| Age in years                | 29 (23–34)     | 32 (28–37)  | 0.007*        |
| Gravidity                   | 3 (1–5)        | 3 (2–5)     | 0.984         |
| Mode of delivery            |                |             | 0.658         |
| SVD                         | 20 (35.1%)     | 41 (31.8%)  |               |
| CS                          | 37 (64.9%)     | 88 (68.2%)  |               |
| Mode of CS                  |                |             | 0.008*        |
| Elective                    | 2 (5.7%)       | 24 (27.6%)  |               |
| Emergency                   | 33 (94.3%)     | 63 (72.4%)  |               |
| Gestational age in weeks    | 36 (34–38)     | 37 (34–48)  | 0.135         |
| Maternal comorbidities      |                |             | 0.067         |
| No                          | 36 (73.5%)     | 75 (58.6%)  |               |
| Yes                         | 13 (26.5%)     | 53 (41.4%)  |               |
| Comorbid conditions         |                |             | 0.022*        |
| HTN                         | 8 (61.5%)      | 18 (34%)    |               |
| DM                          | 5 (38.5%)      | 33 (62.3%)  |               |
| Hypothyroidism              | 1 (7.7%)       | 19 (35.8%)  |               |
| Previous baby admitted to the NICU |               |             | 0.315         |
| No                          | 39 (95.1%)     | 89 (89.9%)  |               |
| Yes                         | 2 (4.9%)       | 10 (10.1%)  |               |
| Antenatal steroid           |                |             | 0.169         |
| No                          | 37 (69.8%)     | 73 (58.9%)  |               |
| Yes                         | 16 (30.2%)     | 51 (41.1%)  |               |

**TABLE 3: Demographic and prenatal characteristics in correlation with booking status**

IQR, interquartile range; SVD, spontaneous vaginal delivery; CS, cesarean section; HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit

*P-values <0.05 were considered significant
| Post-natal delivery outcomes                      | Booking status |                  |                  | p-value |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                                  | Not booked (N=57) | Booked (N=129)  |                  |         |
|                                                  | N (%)     | Median (IQR)    | N (%)     | Median (IQR)    |         |
| Sex                                              |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| Female                                           | 24 (42.1%)| 70 (54.3%)      | 378x730    | 70 (54.3%)      | 0.126   |
| Male                                             | 33 (57.9%)| 59 (45.7%)      |            |                 |         |
| Birth weight in g                                | 2220 (1740–2850) | 2365 (1795–2900) | 0.791       |         |
| Apgar score at 1 min                             | 7 (5–8)   | 8 (6–9)         | 0.434       |         |
| Apgar score at 5 min                             | 9 (8–10)  | 9 (8–10)        | 0.227       |         |
| Multiple gestations                              |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| No                                               | 47 (82.5%)| 99 (76.7%)      | 378x730    | 99 (76.7%)      | 0.382   |
| Yes                                              | 10 (17.5%)| 30 (23.3%)      |            |                 |         |
| Outcome of multiple gestations                   |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| Twins                                            | 10 (100%)  | 22 (73.3%)      | 0.036*     |         |
| Triplets                                         | 0 (0%)     | 8 (26.7%)       |            |                 |         |
| Need for resuscilation                           | 6 (12.8%)  | 4 (4.7%)        | 0.038*     |         |
| Perinatal depression                             | 2 (4.3%)   | 1 (1.2%)        | 0.172      |         |
| Neonatal seizure                                 | 1 (2.1%)   | 8 (9.3%)        | 0.193      |         |
| Post-natal complications                         |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| RDS                                              | 3 (6.4%)   | 7 (8.1%)        | 0.964      |         |
| Congenital pneumonia                             | 1 (2.1%)   | 4 (4.7%)        | 0.601      |         |
| Sepsis                                           | 3 (6.4%)   | 7 (8.1%)        | 0.964      |         |
| Jaundice                                         | 36 (76.6%) | 60 (69.8%)      | 0.036*     |         |
| Hypoglycemia                                     | 17 (36.2%) | 18 (20.9%)      | 0.011*     |         |
| Congenital anomalies                             |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| No                                               | 44 (77.2%) | 98 (76%)        | 0.856      |         |
| Yes                                              | 13 (22.8%) | 31 (24%)        |            |                 |         |
| Cardiac anomalies                                | 11 (84.6%) | 20 (64.5%)      |            |                 |         |
| Type of congenital anomalies                     |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| Renal anomalies                                  | 1 (7.7%)   | 7 (22.6%)       | 0.319      |         |
| CNS anomalies                                     | 3 (23.1%)  | 10 (32.3%)      |            |                 |         |
| Length of hospital stay in days                  | 12 (7–19)  | 9 (5–17)        | 0.097      |         |
| Mortality                                        |            |                 |            |                 |         |
| No                                               | 54 (94.7%) | 121 (93.8%)     | 0.802      |         |
| Yes                                              | 3 (5.3%)   | 8 (6.2%)        |            |         |

**TABLE 4: Post-natal delivery outcomes in correlation with booking status**

RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; CNS, central nervous system; IQR, interquartile range

* P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to examine the effect of maternal and fetal factors on fetal mortality outcomes. The overall model containing 13 potential predictors was significant (X2 (13, N=186) = 25.52, p = 0.02). Only two independent variables were significant predictors of fetal mortality (Table 5).

Maternal age and fetal Apgar score at 5 min were significant negative predictors, with odds ratios of 0.755 and 0.096, respectively, indicating increased mortality with decreasing maternal age and Apgar score at 5 min.
## TABLE 5: Maternal and fetal predictors of fetal mortality outcome

| Variables                        | B    | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) | 95% CI for Exp (B) |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|----|------|--------|-------------------|
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | Lower            |
| Maternal age                     | -0.281 | 0.13 | 4.69 | 1  | 0.03 | 0.755 | 0.585           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 0.974            |
| Gravidity                        | 0.198 | 0.44 | 0.203| 1  | 0.652| 1.219 | 0.515           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 2.89            |
| Gestational age                  | -0.242 | 0.346| 0.486| 1  | 0.486| 0.785 | 0.398           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 1.549           |
| Maternal booking                 | 1.891 | 1.328| 2.026| 1  | 0.155| 6.625 | 0.49            |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 89.523          |
| CS mode of delivery              | -2.149 | 1.298| 2.74 | 1  | 0.098| 0.117 | 0.009           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 1.485           |
| Chronic diseases                 | -0.93 | 1.498| 0.386| 1  | 0.535| 0.394 | 0.021           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 7.433           |
| Previous baby admitted to the NICU| 1.403 | 0.88 | 2.54 | 1  | 0.111| 4.067 | 0.724           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 22.831          |
| Antenatal steroid use            | -0.393 | 1.354| 0.084| 1  | 0.772| 0.675 | 0.048           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 9.586           |
| Birth weight                     | -0.001 | 0.001| 0.464| 1  | 0.496| 0.999 | 0.997           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 1.002           |
| Apgar score at 1 min             | 1.007 | 0.595| 2.87 | 1  | 0.09 | 2.738 | 0.854           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 8.783           |
| Apgar score at 5 min             | -2.344 | 1.079| 4.718| 1  | 0.03 | 0.096 | 0.012           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 0.795           |
| Male sex                         | 0.368 | 0.999| 0.136| 1  | 0.713| 1.445 | 0.204           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 10.229          |
| Length of hospital stay          | 0.008 | 0.012| 0.485| 1  | 0.486| 1.008 | 0.985           |
|                                  |      |      |      |    |      |        | 1.032           |

### Discussion

The analysis of maternal characteristics showed a significant difference in age distribution between unbooked (median age of 29 years) and booked (median age of 32 years) mothers, which is in line with that in previous reports indicating that younger women were less adherent to ANC follow-up [7,13-15]. In addition, the unbooking status of pregnant women has been linked to low socioeconomic status [16-18].

This study revealed a significant difference in booking status regarding the mother’s nationality, where 86% of booked women were of Saudi nationality and 66.7% of unbooked women were of non-Saudi nationality. This finding may reflect key inequalities in access to healthcare that may be attributed to various reasons, including social, cultural, and financial factors.

No significant difference was noted in the rates of CS between mothers who were unbooked (64.9%) and those who were booked (68.2%), which is comparable to the findings of Tucker and colleagues [13]. However, the rate of emergency CS was much higher in unbooked mothers (94.3%) than in booked ones (72.4%). This discrepancy in the rates of emergency CS may be attributed to a lack of vigilance to existing medical problems that may have been aggravated, necessitating emergency intervention. The prevalence of maternal comorbid conditions, including DM and hypothyroidism, was higher among booked women than among unbooked women, except for hypertension. This may be due to the sense of apprehension experienced by women with known comorbidities during pregnancy.

Although the number of women accessing ANC has increased significantly in underdeveloped nations, only a small percentage of expectant mothers optimally utilize ANC with at least four ANC visits, with 72% starting their first visit after 12 weeks of pregnancy [19]. For instance, a report from Kenya found that only 58% of women had at least four ANC visits [14], whereas a study conducted in Somalia found that the rate was even lower, with 53% of pregnant women starting their first visit in the fourth month of pregnancy [15]. Thus, the World Health Organization emphasizes the necessity of concentrating on pregnant women who start their ANC late and have fewer visits than usual [20].

The fetal outcomes of newborns admitted to the NICU with respect to the development of postnatal complications significantly differed between unbooked and booked mothers regarding the development of...
jaundice, hypoglycemia, and need for resuscitation, with 76.6%, 56.2%, and 12.8% of unbooked mothers reporting these complications, compared with 69.8%, 20.9%, and 4.7% of booked mothers, respectively.

Fetal mortality was observed in only 5.9% of study participants, with no significant difference between mothers regarding booking status. This is similar to previously reported evidence, in which no significant difference in perinatal mortality was documented in mothers’ adherence to ANC follow-up [7].

Psychological and psychosocial issues in pregnant women have gained much attention during prenatal care because of epidemiological evidence for their incrimination in the development of postpartum depression [21], with evidence of psychosocial and psychological interventions playing a vital role in reducing the occurrence of postpartum depression [22]. However, psychological support has been shown to receive minute emphasis during ANC [5]. Our study reported perinatal depression in only three women (1.6%) in the study cohort. This may stem from the psychosocial support received during ANC or through other social support systems in the community. The importance of social support for pregnant women has been alluded to by a study conducted in Saudi Arabia in addition to studies from Argentina, Cuba, and Thailand [23].

The study signifies that non-attendance of ANC is significantly associated with poorer pregnancy outcomes in the form of the need for neonatal resuscitation and the occurrence of neonatal jaundice and hypoglycemia. These results agree with the literature as these poor pregnancy outcomes are more common in unbooked pregnant women. In contrast, no significant association was found between the booking status of pregnant women and birthweight, Apgar score result, RDS, neonatal seizures, or neonatal mortality. These outcomes are different from previously reported results regarding the same factors, since they have stated that neonatal mortality, low birthweight, and other devastating pregnancy outcomes are more prevalent among unbooked pregnant women [7,24].

Regarding the fetal and maternal factors that influence fetal mortality, decreasing maternal age and lower fetal Apgar score at 5 min were the only factors that increased fetal mortality. Other studied factors were not significant predictors of fetal mortality. However, previous studies have indicated increasing maternal age as a significant factor for fetal mortality among others [7,24].

Limitations
This study was not without limitations. One limitation was the difficulty in accessing data, due to improper documentation in some cases, as well as cultural constraints in interviewing females in the area. Furthermore, a retrospective cross-sectional study design limits the credibility of inferences drawn from the data analysis. A cohort study design would have provided better information about maternal and fetal outcomes in relation to maternal ANC adherence.

Conclusions
This study revealed suboptimal adherence to ANC practices among pregnant women whose children were admitted to the NICU. In addition, this study indicated poorer maternal and fetal outcomes in unbooked women, including emergency CS, neonatal jaundice, hypoglycemia, and the need for resuscitation. Moreover, predictors of fetal mortality included decreasing maternal age and Apgar score at 5 min.

We recommend that future researchers determine the underlying reasons for suboptimal adherence to ANC, which results in poorer outcomes regarding both fetuses and pregnant women. Additionally, it is recommended that policymakers establish educational campaigns to emphasize the importance of ANC to improve the overall outcomes of pregnancy.
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