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The present study examined the relationship between parent-child interaction and children’s emotion understanding ability. The participants were 56 three-year-old children and their mothers from Beijing, China. Mothers and children took part in three dyadic interaction tasks and were video recorded for coding of both mothers’ and children’s behaviours. Each child completed three individually administered tests of emotion understanding, including the facial expression recognition task, emotion perspective-taking task, and emotion reason understanding task. Results demonstrated that both mothers’ and children’s interaction behaviours were related to children’s emotion understanding. Gender differences were found in the relationships between interaction behaviours and children’s emotion understanding. Girls’ emotion understanding was associated with children’s positive behaviours. In contrast, boys’ emotion understanding was not associated with children’s positive behaviours, but related to mothers’ negative behaviours.
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A mature comprehension of emotions is significant for children to guide their own emotional behaviours and establish interpersonal relationships in the course of social interaction. Existing studies have highlighted that emotion-understanding ability plays an important role in children’s social-emotional competence, as it enables children to express their own feeling states, understand others’ emotional reactions and expressions, and infer the causes and consequences of emotion-eliciting situations in socially and culturally appropriate ways (e.g., Denham et al., 2003; Kärstad, Wichstrom, Reinfjell, Belsky, & Berg-Nielsen, 2015). Through the preschool years, children make impressive gains in their understanding of emotions, along with significant development in language skills, theory of mind, self-understanding, and so on (Ontai & Thompson, 2002). Emotion socialisation starts within the family, where parents’ emotion-related behaviours greatly contribute to preschool children’s development of emotion understanding ability. Although early studies have underscored the influential role of parenting in children’s emotional outcomes (e.g., Nelson et al., 2012; Roth and Assor, 2010), little research has examined the relationship between children’s own behaviours in the course of interaction and children’s emotion understanding. The primary purpose of the current study was to investigate the relation of both parents’ and children’s interaction behaviours to young children’s emotion understanding.

As the most fundamental part of emotional competence, emotion understanding generally refers to the ability to label emotional expressions, discern emotion-eliciting situations, and give causal explanations of emotions based on contextual information (Saarni, 1999). One area of emotion understanding that has attracted great attention is young children’s recognition of facial expressions. Facial expression recognition reflects children’s capability for predicting others’ inner states through identifying emotional expressions (Nelson, 1979). Young children are better at recognising positive expressions than negative ones, especially fear that is usually conveyed by complex facial movements and is rarely experienced by children in everyday life (Denham, 1998). Around age 5 to 6, children are more adept at identifying different negative facial expressions accurately (Saarni, 1999). A more recent review suggested that children’s understanding of facial expressions gradually develops from broad categories to more differentiated categories (Widen, 2013).

Children’s developmental progress in understanding emotion-eliciting situations is in line with their facial expression recognition ability. Similar to the identification of facial expressions, it is easier for young children to
make a distinction between happy or not happy situations than to differentiate between negative situations (Fabes, Eisenberg, Nyman, & Michaelieu, 1991). Through associating emotional states with particular situations, children gradually develop their understanding of various emotions. During the preschool years, children can infer emotions from situations by using different methods, such as reflecting on their own feelings to similar situations and thinking about possible emotional reactions of people in general (Gnepp, 1989). In addition to children’s understanding of emotion-eliciting situations, preschoolers gradually understand the causes and consequences of their own and others’ basic emotions (Denham, 1986), and how external events can evoke emotions (Thompson & Lagattuta, 2006). Researchers found that preschoolers as young as 4 years old can provide reasonable explanations for emotions experienced by themselves and others (Dunn & Hughes, 1998), and identify causes of emotions for themselves earlier than they can understand causes of emotions for others (Weimer & Guajardo, 2005).

Children’s interactions with others are the primary means to acquire emotion-understanding skills (Kärstad et al., 2015). For preschool children, in particular, before they are exposed to a wider social world, their development of emotion understanding is greatly influenced by their social interactions with parents. Previous research has indicated the link between parental emotional expressiveness and children’s emotion understanding. For example, parents showing more positive affects have children who are more competent in comprehending emotional expressions and situations and taking others’ perspectives (e.g., Garner, Jones, & Miner, 1994; Halberstadt, Crisp, & Eaton, 1999; Laible & Song, 2006). Attachment theory also provides explanations for the relationship between parental positive emotion-related behaviours and children’s understanding of emotions. The formation of ‘internal working models’, on the basis of caregivers’ nurturant communications, allows children to develop an understanding of the self, the primary caregiver, and interpersonal relationships, which has long-term influences on children’s socio-emotional competence (Bowlby, 1988; Eisenberg et al., 1999).

Many studies have also highlighted the significance of parental reactions to children’s emotions. For instance, parental negative and dismissive reactions to children’s emotions were associated with a high frequency of children’s negative emotional displays, poor understanding of negative emotions, and low levels of emotion regulation abilities (Morris, Silk, Steinberg, Myers, & Rovinson, 2007), and were also related to children’s low levels of empathy toward others’ negative emotions and use of inappropriate coping strategies when children experience negative emotions themselves (Eisenberg et al., 1999). Furthermore, Roth and Assor (2010) suggested that parental conditional regard — that is, how the parent provides more affection than usual when the child meets the parent’s expectations and provides less affection when the child does not — was negatively associated with children’s recognition of sadness, awareness of sadness, and empathy.

In addition to parental factors, children’s own behaviours also play an important role in their emotion understanding. During daily parent-child interactions, children’s behaviours have unique effects on their parents’ reactions and associations with their emotional outcomes. Eisenberg and Fabes (1994) found that mothers’ self-reported punitive responses and distress reactions were linked with maternal perceptions of children’s high negative affect, while encouragement was correlated with ratings of children’s high attentional control. Eisenberg et al. (1999) provided clear evidence for the bidirectional relationship between parental punitive reactions to children’s negative emotions. On the one hand, parents’ emotion-related behaviours guide children’s comprehension, expression, and regulation of emotions. On the other hand, children’s behaviours have an impact on parental reactions during daily interactions.

There is limited evidence that child gender has an effect on parental reactions to children. According to a review by Eisenberg, Cumberland, and Spinrad (1998), mothers tend to display their emotions differently when interacting with boys and girls. Mothers are more emotionally expressive with daughters (Garner, Robertson, & Smith, 1997) and make more references to anger and disgust when talking to sons than daughters (Kuebli, Butler, & Fivush, 1995). Research examining paternal behaviours showed that fathers attended more to daughters’ submissive emotion than to sons’ during the preschool period (Chaplin, Cole, & Zahn-Waxler, 2005). Most studies have focused on how parents’ emotion-related reactions are different to boys and girls, whereas scant research has examined whether the association between parenting behaviours and children’s emotional outcomes differs by child gender. An interesting study by Cunningham, Kliewer, and Garner (2009) revealed gender differences in the relationships between maternal emotional socialisation, emotion understanding, and psychosocial adjustment, suggesting that emotion understanding mediated the relationship between emotional socialisation and boys’ internalising behaviours, and between emotional socialisation and girls’ social skills. This study has underscored that parental practices may influence boys and girls differently.

The past three decades have seen an increasing body of Western research examining the relationship between parent-child interaction and children’s emotional development, whereas limited research has been conducted in the Chinese context. Confucian philosophy, which highlights the significance of self-discipline, parental control, and filial piety, has considerable influences on family interaction and parent-child relationship (Lin & Fu, 1990). Influenced by cultural and philosophical beliefs deeply embedded in Chinese societies, during memory sharing and story telling, Chinese mothers paid greater attention to discipline and proper conduct, compared to European-American mothers, who more frequently
employed an elaborative style to provide explanations for the cause of children's feeling states (Wang & Fivush, 2005). Another study showed similar evidence that Chinese mothers made more references to behaviours than American mothers who commented more on thoughts and emotions (Doan & Wang, 2010). American children were more elaborate in conversations than were Chinese children (Wang & Fivush, 2005). Cultural values that have an impact on parents' practices contribute to children's emotional development.

In the literature, most studies have explored the effects of parental socialisation behaviours but overlooked the role of children's own behaviours. Moreover, existing research has identified the importance of parents' verbal expressions to children's emotion understanding, while non-verbal behaviours presented during interactions, such as facial expressions and tone of voice, have not been paid enough attention. Although non-verbal behaviours may not provide emotional information as directly as emotional verbal expressions do, they can convey rich emotional cues for children to observe and develop emotional skills. Lastly, the role of family interaction in children's emotion understanding in the Chinese context still remains unclear due to the predominance of Western participants in the literature.

The present study had two main purposes. The first was to examine the relationship between parent-child interactions and children's emotion understanding by adopting a dual focus on parent-to-child interactions with a focus on parent behaviours, and child-to-parent interactions with a focus on child behaviours. Given previous studies identifying the contributions of parents' behaviours and children's behaviours to children's emotional outcomes (e.g. Eisenberg & Fabes, 1994; Morris et al., 2007), it was hypothesised that children's emotion understanding would show independent correlations with the quality of parent-to-child interactions and child-to-parent interactions. The second purpose of the study was to investigate gender differences in the average levels of interaction measures as well as in the strength of associations between parent-child interaction measures and children's emotion understanding. According to existing evidence showing that boys' negative affects are more evocative for mothers during interaction (Eisenberg et al., 1999), it was hypothesised that boys' emotion understanding would be more related to mothers' interaction behaviours than girls. Given the absence of decisive data, an exploratory approach was adopted to examine the relationships between parent-child interactions and emotion understanding.

**Method**

**Participants**
The participants were 51 Chinese children — 26 girls and 25 boys ($M = 39.55$ months, $SD = 1.98$ months, age range = 36–42 months) — and their mothers ($M = 33.9$ years, $SD = 4.17$ years, age range = 24–44 years), who were recruited from a kindergarten in Beijing, China. None of the children had siblings. Two of the mothers had high school diplomas, 34 had college degrees, and 15 had masters' degrees or above. All the children and their mother speak Mandarin as their first language.

**Procedure**
The behavioural observation approach was used in this study to capture characteristics of mother-child interactions. Children and mothers were asked to engage in three video-recorded interaction tasks comprising 10 minutes of free play (with a train track and doll set), a 5-minute tidy-up (with two plastic boxes for children to arrange two sets of toys), and a 10-minute structured play (with blocks and puzzles). The three interaction tasks, adapted from a study by Ensor, Spencer, and Hughes (2011), were used to examine mothers and children's interaction behaviours. The researcher (the author) asked the mothers to interact with their children as they normally would at home. Following the mother-child interaction tasks, each child was asked to complete three individually administered tasks, that is, an emotion recognition task, an emotion-perspective taking task, and an emotion reason understanding task, to assess their emotion understanding ability. The three emotion understanding tasks took approximately 15 minutes in total. At the end of the study, each child received a set of toys as a reward for participation.

**Measures**

**Mother-child interaction.** The Parent-Child Interaction System (PARCHISY; Deater-Deckard, Pylas, & Petrill, 1997) was used to code mothers' and children's interaction behaviours in the three tasks. Ten coding items were focused on in the current study, including five items of mother behaviour and five items of child behaviour. Each aspect of interaction behaviours was rated on a 7-point Likert rating scale from 1 (no evidence shown from interaction) to 7 (constant instances shown throughout the task). The ten coding items are as follows:

1. Mother positive content: use of praise, explanation, and open-ended questions;
2. Mother negative content: use of physical control of toys or child's hand/arm/body, use of criticism;
3. Mother positive affect: smiling, laughing, warm tone of voice (includes affectionate nick-names, hugs, kisses);
4. Mother negative affect: frowning, cold/harsh voice;
5. Mother responsiveness to child's questions, comments, and behaviours;
6. Child positive affect: smiling, laughing;
7. Child negative affect: frowning, cold/harsh voice;
8. Child responsiveness to parent's questions, comments, and behaviours;
9. Child non-compliance;
10. Child autonomy/independence: child leads and controls task; does not include off-task behaviours.

Twenty percent of videos were randomly selected and coded by the researcher and another trained coder with a psychology background. Mothers and children's behaviours shown in the three tasks were double coded respectively for reliability assessment. Interrater reliability coefficients across mother and child codes ranged from 0.73 to 0.94, which showed good to excellent agreement between the researcher and the second coder.

**Emotion understanding.** Children's emotion understanding was assessed using three tasks, that is, the facial expression recognition task, emotion-perspective taking task, and emotion reason understanding task. The facial expression recognition task, adapted from Denham's (1986) affective labelling task, has been used in Chinese studies to test toddlers' understanding of emotional expressions (e.g., Pan & Su, 2007; Yao, Chen, & Zhao, 2004). Four pictures of cartoon faces showing happy, sad, angry, and scared expressions were shown to the child. Then the researcher asked each child two questions. First, the researcher asked the child to identify the expressions expressively: 'How is the little boy/girl feeling now?' Then the researcher randomised the order of the four pictures and asked the child to identify the expressions: 'Now I am going to tell you how the little boy/girl is feeling and I would like you to point out the right face that shows his/her feeling: The little boy/girl is feeling happy/sad/angry/scared. Which face shows his/her feeling?' For this task, the child received 2 points for correctly answering each question and 1 point for identifying positive-negative dimensions. For example, when asked to identify the 'sad' expression, if the child identifies it as 'angry' or answered that 'He feels bad', the child would receive 1 point. Therefore, the possible range of scores on this task was from 0 to 16 points.

In order to assess children's ability to discern relations between emotions and emotion-eliciting situations, the emotion situation knowledge task adapted from Wang (2003) was used in the study. Eight stories (selected among the original 20 stories to shorten the task; two for each type of emotions) in which a protagonist child would feel happy, sad, angry, and scared were told in randomised order to the child. All the stories were unambiguous and developmentally and culturally appropriate, such as the child protagonist playing with his best friend (happy), losing his favourite toy (sad), being forced to wear a hat he does not like (angry), and getting lost in a store (scared). When listening to each story, the child was shown a cartoon-like picture. Depending on the gender of the child, pictures of cartoon boys and girls were presented to participant boys and girls respectively. On each picture, the child figure appeared at the back so that the participant could not guess the answer according to the picture. The researcher repeated or explained to the child when the child did not fully understand the stories. Following each story, the researcher asked the child how the figure feels in the story. The child received 2 points for fully correct answers and 1 point for correctly identifying the positive-negative dimension (e.g., answering 'sad' when the protagonist child feels 'angry' or answering 'He feels good/bad'). Possible scores on this task ranged from 0 to 16 points.

The emotion reason understanding task adopted by Yao et al. (2004) was used to assess children's ability to give causal explanations for emotions. The researcher showed the four pictures of cartoon faces portraying feelings of happiness, sadness, anger, and fear to the child again in this task. The researcher told the child that the cartoon character on the picture feels happy, sad, angry, or scared and then asked the child to give a reason that made the cartoon character experience the given emotion. For this task, the child received 2 points for fully appropriate answers, 1 point for partially logical answers, and 0 points for non-logical answers. Thus possible scores on this task ranged from 0–8 points.

**Results**

**Preliminary Analyses**

Preliminary analyses for data reduction were conducted first. Table 1 presents the means, standard deviations, possible range, and range for all raw scores of parent-child interaction and emotion understanding measures. Data reduction was then conducted to create aggregate measures for the main analyses due to the constraints on the number of predictors for achieving the desired statistical power.

Partial correlations, controlling for children's age, were conducted to examine the relations between children's performance on the three emotion understanding tasks. The results of correlations suggested the raw scores on the three emotion understanding tasks were significantly correlated with each other at the $p < .05$ level (range = .41–.52), which supported the creation of an aggregate score of emotion understanding. Because possible scores for the

| Table 1 | Descriptive Statistics for Raw Scores of Parent-Child Interaction and Emotion Understanding |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Facial expression recognition | 12.94 | 2.36 | 7–16 |
| Emotional perspective-taking | 10.63 | 2.47 | 2–15 |
| Emotion reason understanding | 5.47 | 2.61 | 0–8 |
| Mother positive content | 15.59 | 3.47 | 8–21 |
| Mother negative content | 5.08 | 1.64 | 3–10 |
| Mother positive affect | 14.26 | 4.70 | 3–21 |
| Mother negative affect | 3.78 | 1.47 | 3–10 |
| Mother responsiveness | 18.04 | 3.33 | 10–21 |
| Child positive affect | 11.75 | 4.92 | 3–21 |
| Child negative affect | 4.08 | 1.50 | 3–8 |
| Child responsiveness | 16.67 | 2.79 | 9–21 |
| Child non-compliance | 4.22 | 1.32 | 3–8 |
| Child autonomy | 14.27 | 4.20 | 4–21 |
facial expression recognition task, emotion perspective-taking task, and emotion reason-understanding task were not equal (16 points, 16 points, and 8 points respectively), children’s scores on the three tasks were converted into t scores and summed to create an aggregate score of emotion understanding. Cronbach’s alpha for the aggregate scale was .73, indicating acceptable internal consistency.

Ratings of mother positive content, mother positive affect, and mother responsiveness were averaged to create an aggregate score of mother positive behaviour (Cronbach’s alpha = .80). Ratings of mother negative content and negative affect were averaged to create an aggregate of mother negative behaviour (Cronbach’s alpha = .81). Positive coding items of child behaviour, including positive affect, responsiveness, and autonomy were averaged to create an aggregate of child positive behaviour (Cronbach’s alpha = .72); child negative affect and non-compliance were averaged to create an aggregate of child negative behaviour (Cronbach’s alpha = .78). In summary, four new aggregate measures were created as mother positive behaviour, mother negative behaviour, child positive behaviour, and child negative behaviour.

Preliminary analyses were also conducted to test whether demographic measures had effects on parent-child interaction and children’s emotion understanding measures. Results showed that child age was significantly correlated with mother positive behaviour ($r = .48$, $p < .001$) and child positive behaviour ($r = .34$, $p < .01$). Mothers’ age and education were not related to any of the interaction and emotion understanding measures. Gender differences are reported later in the main analysis in order to address the second research question.

### Table 2

Partial Correlations Between Parent-Child Interaction Measures and Child Emotion Understanding (Controlling for Child Measures When Testing the Relation Between Mother Measures and Emotion Understanding; Controlling for Mother Measures When Testing the Relation between Child Measures and Emotion Understanding; Controlling for Child Age)

|                           | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. Mother positive behaviour | —     | —     | —     | —     | —     |
| 2. Mother negative behaviour | — .36** | —     | —     | —     | —     |
| 3. Child positive behaviour | .68*** | — .27 | —     | —     | —     |
| 4. Child negative behaviour | — .09 | — .16 | — .09 | —     | —     |
| 5. Child emotion understanding | .42** | — .43** | .34* | — .21 | —     |

Note: *$p < .05$, **$p < .01$, ***$p < .001$.

### Table 3

Partial Correlations Between Parent-Child Interactions and Children’s Emotion Understanding for Boys and Girls (Controlling for Child Age)

|                           | Emotion understanding | Emotion understanding |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                           | Girls | Boys | z     |
| Mother positive behaviour | .43*  | .39*  | .16   |
| Mother negative behaviour | — .34 | — .51** | .7    |
| Child positive behaviour  | .59** | .04   | 2.14* |
| Child negative behaviour  | — .21 | — .14 | — .24 |

Note: *$p < .05$, **$p < .01$.

### Gender Differences

The study examined gender differences from two perspectives. First, the independent $t$ test was conducted to compare boys and girls’ average levels of parent-child interaction measures. However, the results of the $t$ test showed no significant gender difference in any of the parent-child interaction measures. Second, the extent to which parent-child interaction measures were associated with children’s emotion understanding was assessed for boys and girls respectively. Partial correlations with age effects controlled were conducted. As presented in Table 3, both boys and girls’ emotion understanding was significantly correlated with mother positive behaviour. Boys’ emotion understanding was also related to mother negative behaviour, $r = -.51$, $p < .01$, while girls’ emotion understanding was related to child positive behaviour, $r = .59$, $p < .01$. Fisher’s $r$-to-$z$ transformation was used to assess the significance of the difference between correlation coefficients for boys and girls. Correlation coefficients between child positive behaviour and emotion understanding for boys and girls were significantly different, $z = 2.14$, $p < .05$, two-tailed.

### Discussion

The results of this study provided support for the two hypotheses. First, children’s emotion understanding was independently related to both parent-to-child interaction measures and child-to-parent interaction measures. Second, boys’ emotion understanding was significantly correlated with mother negative behaviour. In contrast, girls’ emotion understanding was significantly correlated with child positive behaviour. The correlations between child...
positive behaviour and emotion understanding for boys and girls were significantly different.

**Parent-Child Interaction and Children's Emotion Understanding**

*Parent-to-child interactions and children's emotion understanding.* The results demonstrated that children's emotion understanding had independent correlations with mothers' behaviours and children's behaviours during parent-child interactions. Specifically, mothers' positive behaviours in terms of positive content, positive affect, and responsiveness were related to children's emotion understanding. Prior studies have consistently shown that parental warmth characterised by positive affects such as smiling, laughing, and intimate physical behaviours promote children's emotion understanding ability (Denham et al., 1997; Laible & Song, 2006). Furthermore, Laible and Thompson (1998) found that a high-quality parent-child relationship was particularly influential for children's understanding of negative emotions. Indirect evidence can be found from the perspective of attachment theory. Based on early accessibility and responsiveness of attachment figures, young children construct 'internal working models' that underlines their understanding of themselves, relationships with others, and various other features of social and emotional understanding (Thompson, Laible, & Ontai, 2003). Securely attached children with mothers who make more references to emotions and have richer discourse styles during daily interaction are able to explore a wide range of emotions in an environment of warmth and affection, thereby having an advanced understanding of emotions (Ontai & Thompson, 2002).

In line with previous studies (e.g. Denham, Zoller, & Couchoud, 1994; Eisenberg & Fabels, 1994), the results showed an inverse correlation between mother negative behaviour in terms of negative content and negative affect, and children's emotion understanding. An intervention study by Wilson, Havighurst, and Harley (2012) has also underscored the importance of reducing emotionally negative practices for children's socio-emotional wellbeing. In addition, children who are often exposed to parental negative expressions are likely to become distressed in emotion-evoking situations, which can distract children's attention from observing and encoding emotional cues (Denham, 1998). However, in contrast to extremely low levels of parent-child interaction measures when interacting with boys and girls (e.g., Adams, Kuebli, Boyle, & Fivush, 1995; Fivush, Brotman, Buckner, & Goodman, 2000), the present study did not identify any parental sex-differentiated reactions towards children. Moreover, boys' and girls' behaviours during the interaction did not differ from each other. This finding might be caused by the small sample size of this exploratory study. Another possible explanation is that the implementation of the one-child policy in China may reduce the influences of gender stereotype on parental reactions toward young children (Yao et al., 2004). Because the one-child policy was introduced in 1979 and began to be phased out in 2015 in China (Liang et al., 2018), children involved in the present study were born before 2015 when the policy was still in effect. It is possible that when children are at younger ages that Chinese parents tend to place great emphasis on whether children are well-behaved rather than on gender-appropriate behaviour. Parents may interact with preschool boys and girls in similar ways,
which leads to the absence of salient gender differences in parents’ behaviours toward children’s behaviours.

**Gender differences in relationships between parent-child interaction and emotion understanding.** The results demonstrated that boys’ and girls’ emotion understanding ability were associated with mothers’ negative behaviour and children’s positive behaviour respectively. Although the correlations between mothers’ negative behaviours to boys and girls were not significantly different in the study, mothers’ negative behaviours had significant relations with boys’ but not girls’ emotion understanding. Denham et al. (1994) offered support for the results, suggesting that maternal negative responsiveness negatively predicted boys’ but not girls’ emotion understanding. Also, the findings were partially consistent with a study by Hughes, Deater-Deckard, and Cutting (1999), which examined the relation between parenting and children’s understanding of mind, and found that parental discipline was more salient for boys than girls. In spite of no difference found in boys’ and girls’ negative behaviours in this study, boys generally expressed externalising negative behaviours with greater intensity compared to girls, given the observation of the interaction tasks. Therefore, boys’ negative expressions might be more overt for mothers. Possibly because of boys’ observable negative behaviours, mothers’ negative reactions toward boys were expressed with greater intensity in order to regulate their behaviours. As indicated in a heuristic model of the emotion socialisation process (Eisenberg et al., 1998), besides parental and child characteristics, specific contextual aspects such as the degree to which the child’s behaviour was inappropriate influences parental emotion-related socialisation behaviours. Emotional intensity in the course of dyadic interaction deserves to be assessed in future research.

It is interesting that girls’ emotion understanding was strongly related to their own positive behaviours in terms of positive affect, autonomy, and responsiveness. Compared to boys whose negative behaviours were more salient for mothers, girls’ positive behaviours, such as interacting with their mother in a very autonomous way and frequently responding to their mother to express their own feelings and ideas might influence mothers’ reactions. Because the process of emotional socialisation is bidirectional (Eisenberg et al., 1999), girls’ positive behaviours might elicit more positive maternal behaviours, which would be expected to promote children’s willingness and competence to absorb emotional cues and information expressed by adults in everyday life, thereby enhancing children’s emotion understanding abilities. Due to the lack of supporting evidence, the reason for gender differences in the strength of the relation between children’s positive behaviours and emotion understanding remains unclear. Additional work with a large sample size is needed to further test the effect of child gender.

**Conclusions**

This study showed that both mothers’ and children’s interaction behaviours were associated with children’s emotion understanding and identified gender differences in the relationships between interaction behaviours and emotion understanding. However, due to the small sample size and the exploratory nature of the study, the findings need to be considered preliminary and tentative. Only correlation analyses were conducted, and issues of causality cannot be addressed. Longitudinal studies with a large sample size would allow for a compelling test of the relationships between parents’ behaviours, children’s behaviours, and emotion understanding. Another limitation concerns the absence of data of father-child interaction. Given fathers’ important role in family life, it is worthwhile to compare maternal and paternal interaction behaviours and explore their unique contributions to children’s emotion understanding ability. Moreover, most of the adult participants had a college education. An educationally diverse sample would allow researchers to test the effect of parental education on interaction behaviours.

Despite the limitations, the present study provides a fresh perspective on the relationships between parent-child interaction and young children’s emotion understanding. The main strength of the study is the dual focus on both mothers’ and children’s interaction behaviours, which reveals that not only mothers’ behaviours but also children’s behaviours are linked with emotion understanding. This contributes to the possibility that the process of emotional socialisation is bidirectional, and provides a useful starting point for further exploration of parenting and children’s emotional outcomes in the Chinese context. The study also generates practical insights and advice for parents and educational practitioners that both adults’ and children’s behaviours during interactions deserve attention. A full awareness of children’s emotional reactions and provision of appropriate responses are crucial to emotional development. The use of the behaviour observation methods can be transferred to parent education programs, which can help educational practitioners and parents observe, identify, and improve the quality of parent-child interaction.
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