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SUMMARY

Nucleic acids are powerful triggers of innate immunity and can adopt the Z-conformation, an unusual left-handed double helix. Here, we studied the biological function(s) of Z-RNA recognition by the adenosine deaminase ADAR1, mutations in which cause Aicardi-Goutière syndrome. Adar1mZ/mZa mice, bearing two point mutations in the Z-nucleic acid binding (Zα) domain that abolish Z-RNA binding, displayed spontaneous induction of type I interferons (IFNs) in multiple organs, including in the lung, where both stromal and hematopoietic cells showed IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) induction. Lung neutrophils expressed ISGs induced by the transcription factor IRF3, indicating an initiating role for neutrophils in this IFN response. The IFN response in Adar1mZ/mZa mice required the adaptor MAVS, implicating cytosolic RNA sensing. Adenosine-to-inosine changes were enriched in transposable elements and revealed a specific requirement of ADAR1’s Zα domain in editing of a subset of RNAs. Thus, endogenous RNAs in Z-conformation have immunostimulatory potential curtailed by ADAR1, with relevance to autoinflammatory disease in humans.

INTRODUCTION

The innate immune system monitors the intra- and extracellular environments for unusual nucleic acids (Bartok and Hartmann, 2020). This process, known as “nucleic acid sensing”, detects pathogen invasion and disturbances to homeostasis. It involves a large number of germline encoded nucleic acid sensors. Upon engagement by immunostimulatory DNA or RNA, these sensors signal to initiate a large spectrum of responses, including transcription of the genes encoding type I interferons (IFNs). Type I IFNs—secreted cytokines that act in paracrine and autocrine manner—induce expression of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs). The proteins encoded by ISGs mediate a plethora of functions and include antiviral effectors (Schoggins, 2019). Sustained type I IFN responses can have detrimental effects and cause a range of diseases, including the neuroinflammatory Aicardi-Goutiéres syndrome (AGS) (Uggenti et al., 2019). It is therefore important to understand the molecular mechanisms that prevent activation of nucleic acid sensors by “normal” DNA and RNA present in healthy cells (Bartok and Hartmann, 2020).

We and others proposed that double-stranded (ds) nucleic acids adopting an unusual conformation known as Z-DNA and Z-RNA activate innate immunity (Kesavardhana and Kanneganti, 2020; Maelfait et al., 2017; Sridharan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020b). Z-DNA was initially described by Alexander Rich (Wang et al., 1979). Unlike canonical B-DNA, a right-handed double helix, Z-DNA is a left-handed double helix with a zigzag-shaped phosphodiester back bone (Wang et al., 1979). dsRNA can also adopt the Z-conformation (Davis et al., 1986; Hall et al., 1984). Biological functions of Z nucleic acids, in particular those of Z-RNA, are incompletely understood (Herbert, 2019). A small number of proteins, all involved in innate immunity, contain Z-DNA and Z-RNA binding domains known as Zα domains (Athanasiadis, 2012). These domains specifically bind to and stabilize Z-DNA and Z-RNA or induce the Z-conformation (Athanasiadis, 2012; Brown et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2018; Schwartz et al., 1999).

There are two mammalian proteins with Zα domains: Z-DNA binding protein 1 (ZBP1) and adenosine deaminase acting on RNA 1 (ADAR1; also known as DRADA1). ZBP1 contains two Zα domains that recognize viral and endogenous Z-RNAs (Devos
et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2020; Maelfait et al., 2017; Sridharan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b). Binding to Z-RNA activates ZBP1 and results in the induction of necroptosis, an inflammatory form of cell death (Maelfait et al., 2020).

ADAR1 has two splice isoforms: ADAR1-p110, which is constitutively expressed and localized in the cell nucleus, and ADAR1-p150, which is IFN inducible and present in the nucleus and cytosol. Both isoforms contain a C-terminal deaminase domain that converts adenosine to inosine in dsRNA, a process known as A-to-I RNA editing. Conversion of adenosine to inosine in protein-coding sequences can lead to incorporation of non-synonymous amino acids during translation because of base-pairing of inosine with cytosine. However, the vast majority of A-to-I editing events occur in non-coding RNAs (Eisenberg and Levanon, 2018; Reich and Bass, 2019). This includes transcripts from repetitive elements (REs), particularly Alu elements and short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) in human and mouse, respectively. Both ADAR1 isoforms further contain three dsRNA binding domains (dsRBDDs) and a so-called Zδ domain. Zδ adopts a fold similar to Zα domains but does not bind Z-form nucleic acids, because of substitutions of key amino acids (Athanasiadis et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2003). ADAR1-p150 has an extended N terminus harboring a Zα domain (Heraud-Farlow and Walkley, 2020).

ADAR1 deficiency results in inflammatory phenotypes. In human, germline ADAR1 mutations cause AGS (Rice et al., 2012). These mutations predominantly map to the deaminase domain; however, one compound heterozygous mutation encoding p.Pro193Ala is found in the Zδ domain. Pro193 contributes to Z-form nucleic acid binding (Schwartz et al., 1999) and changing it to Ala reduces RNA editing in a reporter assay (Mannion et al., 2014). Adar1''−/−'' mice, editing-deficient Adar1''E861A/E861A'' animals, and Adar''p150−/−''p150'' mice, which only lack ADAR1-p150, all die in utero (Hartner et al., 2004; Liddicoat et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2004; Ward et al., 2011). Akin to spontaneous type I IFN induction in AGS patients with ADAR1 mutation, Adar1''−/−'' and Adar''E861A/E861A'' embryos display type I IFN responses prior to death (Hartner et al., 2009; Liddicoat et al., 2015). Multiple nucleic acid sensors mediate the anti-proliferative, cell death, and type I IFN phenotypes in ADAR1-deficient settings: the oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS)-RNase L system (Li et al., 2010), protein kinase R (PKR) (Chung et al., 2018; Li et al., 2010), and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) (Liddicoat et al., 2015; Mannion et al., 2014; Pestal et al., 2015). Upon activation by dsRNA, OAS proteins synthesize 2′-5′ oligoadenylate, a second messenger that in turn activates RNase L, resulting in widespread RNA degradation. PKR also detects dsRNA and represses protein translation. Both effects may explain the lethality of ADAR1-deficient cells (Chung et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017). Induction of type I IFNs in ADAR1-deficient mice and human cells is mediated by the RNA sensor MDA5, which signals via its adaptor mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein (MAVS) (Bajaj et al., 2020; Chung et al., 2018; Guallar et al., 2020; Liddicoat et al., 2015; Mannion et al., 2014; Pestal et al., 2015).

These observations suggest a model in which endogenous dsRNAs are stabilized in ADAR1-deficient cells because of the absence of RNA editing and are then recognized by RNA sensors (Dias et al., 2019; Eisenberg and Levanon, 2018). Some ADAR1 substrates such as transcripts from Alu elements base pair to form duplex structures, which may be destabilized by inosine: uracil mismatches introduced by RNA editing (Ahmad et al., 2018; Chung et al., 2018; Pfalzer et al., 2018; Song et al., 2020). In an RNase protection assay, transcripts spanning Alu elements in inverted orientation are protected by recombinant MDA5 protein in RNA samples extracted from ADAR1-deficient cells (Ahmad et al., 2018; Mehdipour et al., 2020). However, how the different nucleic acid binding domains in ADAR1 select and recruit RNA substrates for subsequent editing is unknown.

We hypothesized that Z-form nucleic acid binding by the Zδ domain in ADAR1-p150 regulates innate immunity. Here, we generated mice bearing two missense mutations in the Zδ domain, which prevent nucleic acid binding. Although these Adar1''M2z'' mice were developmentally normal and fertile, they displayed spontaneous induction of type I IFNs and ISGs in multiple organs and cell types, including neutrophils in the lung. This phenotype conferred partial protection against influenza A virus (IAV) infection and was dependent on MAVS. Analysis of sequencing data revealed that ~8% of RNA editing events in wild-type (WT) cells required a functional ADAR1-p150 Zδ domain. Taken together, our findings suggest that recognition of Z-form RNA by ADAR1 contributes to the suppression of IFN responses.

RESULTS

Generation of Zδ domain mutated mice

To study the role of Z-form nucleic acid binding to the Zδ domain in ADAR1-p150 in an in vivo setting, we generated mice bearing two missense mutations: p.Asn175Ala and p.Tyr179Ala. These residues are conserved and are homologous to Asn173 and Tyr177 in human ADAR1; they were chosen because of their essential role in Z-form nucleic acid binding (Feng et al., 2011; Li et al., 2009; Schade et al., 1999; Schwartz et al., 1999). Given the embryonic lethality of Adar1''E861A/E861A'' mice (Ward et al., 2011), we opted for a conditional strategy (Figure S1A). The Zδ domain is encoded by exon 2 of the Adar1 gene. In brief, we introduced in inverted orientation into the intron between exons 2 and 3 a mutated copy of exon 2 (designated 2") containing four nucleotide substitutions, changing both Asn175 and Tyr179 to Ala. We flanked exons 2 and 2" with LoxP and Lox2272 sites such that Cre-mediated recombination removes exon 2 and flips exon 2" into forward orientation (Figure S1A). We designated the conditional allele “fl-M2z” and the recombined allele expressing mutant Adar1 “m2z.” To determine the impact of the Zδ domain mutations when present in all cells and tissues, we crossed Adar1''E861A/E861A'' mice with a line expressing Cre recombinase under control of the ubiquitously active Pkg promoter. The resulting Adar1''E861A/E861A'' mice were intercrossed to generate homozygous animals. We validated the presence of the mutations by sequencing and found that Adar1''E861A/E861A'' mice were born at expected mendelian ratios (Figures S1B and S1C). Furthermore, they developed normally and were fertile. The mutations introduced into the Zδ domain did not alter the expression of the two isoforms of ADAR1 in bone marrow-derived myeloid cells (BMMCs) (Figure S1D). Taken together, Z-form nucleic acid binding by ADAR1-p150 was not essential for survival at whole organism level.
Figure 1. Mutation of ADAR1-p150’s Zα domain triggers spontaneous type I IFN responses in multiple organs

(A) Levels of the indicated mRNAs were analyzed using qRT-PCR in RNA samples extracted from tissues of WT and Adar1mZα/mZα animals and are shown relative to Gapdh. Each dot represents an individual mouse. N.D., not detectable.

(B) Protein extracts from whole lungs from animals of the indicated genotypes were used for western blot with an α-ISG15 antibody. β-Actin served as a loading control. Each lane represents a sample from an individual mouse.

Legend continued on next page.
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Mutation of the Zα domain in ADAR1-p150 triggers a multi-organ type I IFN response

We tested whether Adar1mZ/mZ mice display spontaneous activation of type I IFNs as was reported in ADAR1-deficient settings. We collected lung, liver, and spleen tissues and extracted RNA for qRT-PCR analysis. Transcript levels of Ift1 (encoding IFNγ), Ifng, and Il1b (encoding IFNγ, TNF-α, and pro-IL-1β), with only a minor increase of Tnfa mRNA in lung (Figure 1A). We validated the ISG signature at protein level by analyzing expression of ISG15 in whole lung lysates. ISG15 is a ubiquitin-like modifier that is signature at protein level by analyzing expression of ISG15 in whole lung lysates. ISG15 is a ubiquitin-like modifier that is induced by IFN and is conjugated to target proteins in a process called ISGylation (Peng and Lenschow, 2018). Western blot showed that lung lysates from Adar1mZ/mZ mice contained increased amounts of monomeric ISG15 as well as increased levels of ISGylated proteins, visible as a high-molecular weight smear (Figure 1B).

We next analyzed different types of cultured primary cells from WT and Adar1mZ/mZ mice. We observed heightened ISG expression in Zα domain mutated lung fibroblasts (Figure 1C). In contrast, comparable levels of ISG transcripts were found in WT and Adar1mZ/mZ BMMCs, as well as in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Figures 1D and 1E). This indicated that ISG induction was cell type specific.

Among the organs we analyzed, lung exhibited the most profound ISG signature, and spontaneous ISG induction was also observed in cultured lung fibroblasts (Figures 1A and 1C). We therefore focused on the lung for the next set of experiments. We used RNA extracted from lung for RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to obtain a global view of gene expression in Adar1mZ/mZ mice. We found that 99 protein coding genes were differentially expressed by at least 2-fold in mutant lungs, including 89 transcripts with increased expression and 10 transcripts with decreased expression (Figure 2A). Forty percent of the induced mRNAs were encoded by ISGs; this included well-known factors such as Ifit7, Cxcl10, Zbp1, and Usp18 (Figure 2A). We used Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of biological processes to further classify genes with increased expression. GO terms related to type I IFNs and antiviral defense were enriched among genes induced in Adar1mZ/mZ lungs (Figure 2B). The most highly enriched GO category, regulation of ribonuclease activity, included many Oas transcripts, which are IFN inducible (Schoggins, 2019).

In addition to the activation of MDAs, loss of ADAR1 also results in activation of the OAS-RNase L system and PKR. The latter may be particularly important in cancer settings (Gannon et al., 2018; Ishizuka et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Although PKR activation results in a global shutdown of translation, some proteins are selectively made and mediate the integrated stress response (ISR) (Pakos-Zebrucka et al., 2016). These include the transcription factor ATF4, which induces ISR genes. Interestingly, a recent study reported induction of ISR genes in heterozygous Adar1P186A/P186C mice (Maurano et al., 2021). We observed induction of some ATF4-dependent genes (Harding et al., 2003) in Adar1mZ/mZ mice, including Asns (1.3-fold), Stlc7a5 (1.3-fold), Slc7a11 (1.9-fold), and Mthfd2 (1.7-fold) (Figure S1E). It is therefore possible that the Zα domain was required to limit not only type I IFN induction but also PKR-dependent stress responses.

We also analyzed REs in our RNA-seq data and found 540 induced and 112 repressed REs (Figure 2C; Table S1). SINEs were underrepresented among differentially expressed REs, while long terminal repeat (LTR) elements were enriched (Figure 2C). Dysregulation of REs occurs in settings of inflammation and can be driven by IFNs (Chuong et al., 2016). Taken together, these data showed that the lungs of Zα domain mutated animals displayed a type I IFN-driven gene signature.

Stromal and hematopoietic cells contribute to the ISG signature in the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZ mice

To identify the type of cell(s) that display the ISG signature in the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZ mice, we used magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) to isolate hematopoietic cells, marked by CD45 expression, and stromal cells lacking this marker. There was no difference in the proportions of hematopoietic and stromal cells between WT and Adar1mZ/mZ lungs (Figure 3A). We confirmed the purity of MACS-enriched hematopoietic and stromal cells to be >97% (Figure S2). We then extracted RNA for qRT-PCR analysis of ISGs and found that both lung hematopoietic and stromal cells displayed ISG induction (Figure 3B). For the three ISGs tested, fold inductions in Adar1mZ/mZ samples were similar in CD45+ and CD45− cells (Figure 3B). However, it is noteworthy that baseline ISG levels were lower in hematopoietic cells compared with stromal cells (Figure 3B).

Next, we analyzed ISG expression in different types of lung hematopoietic and stromal cells. Using two staining panels and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), we obtained eight different populations of CD45+ cells, including B cells, T cells, dendritic cells (DCs), monocytes, macrophages, natural killer (NK) cells, neutrophils, and eosinophils (Figures S3A and S3B). There were no differences between WT and Adar1mZ/mZ mice in the proportions of these cell populations, with the exception of DCs, which were less abundant in the mutant lungs (Figure S3A). Ift1, Ifit2, and Isg15 were induced in neutrophils from the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZ mice (Figures 4A and 4B). However, these ISGs showed no, or limited and statistically nonsignificant, expression changes in other types of CD45+ cells (Figures 4A and 4B). In contrast, the ISGs Ift44 and Oas1a were expressed at increased levels in multiple hematopoietic cell types (Figure 4A). Transcripts encoding type I IFNs were undetectable by qRT-PCR in most samples analyzed, with Ct values similar to those in control reactions without reverse transcriptase. We therefore adapted our qRT-PCR protocol and performed reverse

(C–E) mRNA levels of the indicated ISGs were analyzed using qRT-PCR from cultured lung fibroblasts (C), BMMCs (D), and MEFs (E) of the indicated genotypes and are shown relative to Gapdh. Each dot represents cells derived from an individual mouse. Pooled data from biological replicates are shown with mean (A, D, and E) or mean ± SD (C) and were analyzed using unpaired t test (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.0001). See also Figure S1.
Figure 2. Adar1mZ/mZa lungs display a type I IFN gene signature
Total RNA was extracted from lungs of three WT and three Adar1mZ/mZa mice. Ribosomal RNAs were depleted before random-primed library preparation and RNA sequencing. About 100 million reads were obtained per sample.

(A) Differentially expressed genes were defined as displaying a fold change of \( \geq 2 \) with an adjusted p value < 0.01. The 89 induced and 10 repressed genes were ordered by decreasing fold change and the data were clustered by sample. ISGs are indicated in bold.
transcription (RT) with gene-specific primers. As a control, we included primers for RT of Ifit1 and Ifi44. The qPCR data obtained using these cDNAs matched those from conventional qRT-PCR: Ifit1 mRNA was induced more strongly in neutrophils compared with B cells (Figure S3C). Vice versa, Ifi44 was induced in B cells but not in neutrophils. We were unable to detect transcripts encoding IFNβ and three selected IFNα subtypes with this target-specific RT method. As a control, total RNA extracted from the lungs of WT mice infected with IAV PR8 or a mutant PR8 virus known to induce higher levels of type I IFNs (Rigby et al., 2019) into the lungs of WT mice infected with IAV PR8 or a mutant PR8 virus to induce higher levels of type I IFNs (Rigby et al., 2019). Therefore, our observation that Ifit1 transcription (RT) with gene-specific primers. As a control, we included primers for RT of Ifit1 and Ifi44. The qPCR data obtained using these cDNAs matched those from conventional qRT-PCR: Ifit1 mRNA was induced more strongly in neutrophils compared with B cells (Figure S3C). Vice versa, Ifi44 was induced in B cells but not in neutrophils. We were unable to detect transcripts encoding IFNβ and three selected IFNα subtypes with this target-specific RT method. As a control, total RNA extracted from the lungs of WT mice infected with IAV PR8 or a mutant PR8 virus known to induce higher levels of type I IFNs (Rigby et al., 2019) contained measurable Ifb1 transcript levels, validating the gene-specific RT approach (Figure S3D).

Several ISGs, including Ifit1, Ifit2, and Isg15, are not only induced by IFNAR signaling but can also be induced by IRF3 and IRF7, independent of type I IFN-initiated JAK-STAT activation (Ashley et al., 2019; DeFilippis et al., 2006; Goubau et al., 2009; Grandvaux et al., 2002; Lazear et al., 2013). Induction of this ISG subset can therefore occur in a cell-autonomous manner, for example, when aberrant nucleic acids are sensed by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that signal via IRF3 and IRF7. Other ISGs, including Ifi44 and Oas1a, are induced only via IFNAR signaling (Lazear et al., 2013). Therefore, our observation that Ifit1, Ifit2, and Isg15 were induced primarily in neutrophils, while Ifi44 and Oas1a were induced more broadly (Figures 4A and 4B), suggested that Adar1mZ/mZ neutrophils autonomously activated IRF3. We further speculate that the ISG signature in other hematopoietic cells such as B cells was due to paracrine type I IFN signaling via IFNAR.

We also analyzed the lung stromal compartment and isolated by FACS endothelial and epithelial cells using CD31 and CD326 as markers, respectively (Figure S4). We further isolated CD45− cells lacking these markers; this mixed population likely included fibroblasts and other cell types. We observed induction of Ifit1, Isg15, and Ifi44 in Adar1mZ/mZ of all three populations analyzed (Figure 4C). It is therefore likely that in addition to neutrophils, multiple non-hematopoietic cells initiated type I IFN production in the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZ mice.

**Hematopoietic cells are sufficient to induce ISGs in Adar1mZ/mZ mice**

To further dissect the cellular requirements for ISG induction in Adar1mZ/mZ mice, we generated bone marrow (BM) chimeric animals. Lethally irradiated Cd45.1 WT recipients were reconstituted with Cd45.2 BM from WT or Adar1mZ/mZ animals (Figure S5A). We found reconstitution levels of the hematopoietic compartment to be about 90% by analyzing cell surface levels of CD45.1 and CD45.2 on white blood cells from recipient mice (Figure S5B). Similar levels of reconstitution were observed in the lung (Figure S5C). We first obtained RNA from whole-lung tissue for qRT-PCR analysis. The ISGs Ifit1, Oas1a, and Zbp1 were induced in Adar1mZ/mZ → WT chimeras compared with WT → WT chimeras (Figure 4D, “Total”). This indicated that Adar1mZ/mZ BM-derived cells triggered an IFN response in WT animals. We also isolated different cell populations from the lungs of chimeric animals using FACS (see Figure S3 for gating), extracted RNA, and performed qRT-PCR. Ifit1 and Ifit2 mRNA levels were induced in neutrophils from Adar1mZ/mZ → WT chimeras, but not in B cells and CD45− cells (Figure 4D). In contrast, Oas1a and Zbp1 were induced in multiple cell populations, including CD45− cells, neutrophils, and B cells (Figure 4D). These observations were consistent with the results shown in Figures 4A and 4B and indicated that neutrophils initiated type I IFN production that then resulted in ISG induction in other cell types. Consistent with this model, we observed a trend, albeit statistically nonsignificant, toward increased Ifnb1 mRNA levels in Adar1mZ/mZ neutrophils, but not in B cells (Figure S5D). Together, Adar1mZ/mZ
hematopoietic cells were sufficient to induce ISGs in the lungs of WT mice.

**IAV replication is inhibited in Adar1mZ/mZa mice**

In light of the spontaneous type I IFN response in Adar1mZ/mZa mice, we next asked whether these Zx domain mutant mice were protected against viral infection. ISGs induced in the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZa mice included factors such as Ifit1 and Zbp1 that control IAV (Pichlmair et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2020b) (Figure 2A). We intranasally infected WT and Adar1mZ/mZa mice with an H3N2 strain of recombinant IAV (A/X-31; A/HongKong/1/1968) using a previously determined sublethal dose that causes <20% weight loss in C57BL/6 mice. WT animals lost about 10% body weight from day 3 until day 7 after infection and subsequently recovered their weight (Figure 5A). In contrast, Adar1mZ/mZa mice gained weight until day 4 after infection (Figure 5A). This was followed by weight loss on days 5–7, which slightly exceeded weight loss in WT mice, and recovery from day 8 onward. These observations suggested that Adar1mZ/mZa mice were protected against IAV at an early stage of the infection.

We further characterized early and late IAV infection in Adar1mZ/mZa mice at day 3 and day 7 after inoculation. We calculated a “lung index” (lung weight/body weight x 100; Luo et al., 2012) and found this marker of pathology to be higher in infected WT mice compared with Adar1mZ/mZa animals on day 3 (Figure 5B). Next, we analyzed viral loads by determining the levels of the viral NP and M transcripts using qRT-PCR. Compared with infected WT lungs, levels of viral RNAs were reduced in infected Adar1mZ/mZa lungs on day 3 (Figure 5C). We confirmed this result by determining virus levels by TCID50 (median tissue culture infectious dose) analysis and found reduced viral titers in the lungs of Adar1mZ/mZa mice on day 3 after infection (Figure 5D). Concomitantly, mRNA levels of Ifnb1, Il1f4, Il1f5, Tnf, and Il6, as well as IL-6 protein, were induced in infected WT lungs, and these effects were curtailed in Adar1mZ/mZa lungs (Figures 5E and 5F). On day 7 after infection, virus levels were reduced compared with day 3, indicating that weight loss and pathology at later stages of the infection were driven by the host response (Figures 5A and S6A–S6C). Inflammatory responses in WT and Adar1mZ/mZa mice were similar on day 7 (Figures S6D and S6E), although Ifnb1 mRNA levels were higher in the mutant animals, potentially explaining their slightly increased weight loss at this time point. In sum, IAV replication and virus-induced inflammation were reduced in Adar1mZ/mZa animals during the early stage of the infection.

**ISG induction in Adar1mZ/mZa mice is MAVS dependent**

We next investigated which nucleic acid sensing pathway triggered spontaneous ISG expression in Adar1mZ/mZa mice. As ADAR1 deficiency results in activation of the MDA5-MAVS pathway (Liddicoat et al., 2015; Mannion et al., 2014; Pestal et al., 2015), we hypothesized that the ISG signature in Adar1mZ/mZa mice was driven by MAVS. To test this, we crossed Adar1 mutant mice with Mavs−/− animals to generate Adar1mZ/mZa, Mavs−/− mice. Loss of MAVS prevented the ISG induction observed in Adar1mZ/mZa lungs, livers, and spleens (Figure 6A). Because of the neuropathology observed in patients with AGS, we also analyzed brain tissue. Adar1mZ/mZa mice showed elevated lhnb1 expression and an ISG signature in the brain (Figure 6B). Akin to the situation in other tissues, these effects were MAVS dependent (Figure 6B). Moreover, protection of Adar1mZ/mZa mice against weight loss during the early stages of IAV infection required MAVS (Figure 6C). Taken together, the Zx domain of ADAR1-p150 was involved in preventing MAVS-mediated IFN induction, implicating a role in limiting activation of MDA5 or RIG-I.

**The Zx domain of ADAR1-p150 is required for editing of a subset of RNAs**

Human ADAR1-p150 bearing the p.Pro193Ala mutation shows reduced editing activity in a reporter assay (Mannion et al., 2014). To identify natural RNA substrates edited by ADAR1-p150 in a Zx domain-dependent manner, we analyzed our RNA-seq data from WT and Adar1mZ/mZa lungs for A→G transitions. These are indicative of A-to-I RNA editing, as inosine pairs with cytosine during RT. The most sensitive and specific method for annotating this mutational profile compares the fit of Dirichlet models of observed base frequencies between test and control samples (Piechotta et al., 2017). We extended this methodology to allow comparisons of biological replicates, to incorporate the orientation of the originating RNA (revealed by our stranded RNA-seq protocol), to include fine-grained filtering of potential editing sites, and to add a “detection” step, whereby observed base frequencies at potential editing sites are additionally compared with a model of the per-base error rate obtained de novo from the dataset. A → G transitions were more frequent than any other possible base change, indicating that we detected RNA editing events and not sequencing errors (Figure 7A). Considered individually, for each of the three WT and three Adar1mZ/mZa lung samples analyzed, we detected ~35,000–40,000 editing sites (Figure 7A). Considered as biological replicates, increasing statistical robustness, 40,342 editing sites were identified in all three WT or Adar1mZ/mZa lung samples, respectively. These “detected” sites had a median editing level of ~10% in both WT and Adar1mZ/mZa samples (Figure 7B). This showed that there was no global defect in RNA editing in Adar1mZ/mZa mice.

![Figure 4. Multiple hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cell types display ISGs upregulation in Adar1mZ/mZa lungs](image-url)
We further defined “differential WT > mZ" sites as those that were “detected” in the WT samples and showed higher (>2-fold) levels of editing than in Adar1mZa/mZa mice. A total of 3,249 sites (8% of sites “detected” in WT) were “differential WT > mZ" and displayed ~9% median editing in WT lungs, which was reduced for the majority of sites in Adar1mZa/mZa mice.

Figure 5. Adar1mZa/mZa mice are protected from early IAV infection

(A) WT or Adar1mZa/mZa mice were infected intranasally with 0.04 HAU of IAV strain A/X-31. Body weight was monitored daily and is shown as a percentage of starting body weight.

(B–F) WT or Adar1mZa/mZa mice were infected as in (A) or mock-infected using viral growth medium. On day 3 post-infection, lungs and sera were collected. (B) A “lung index” was calculated (lung weight/body weight × 100). (C) Levels of the viral NP and M transcripts were analyzed using qRT-PCR in RNA samples extracted from total lung. Data are shown relative to Actb (NP) or Gapdh (M). (D) Lung viral titers were determined in samples from infected animals by TCID50 analysis and were normalized to lung weight. (E) Levels of the indicated mRNAs were determined as in (C). (F) Serum IL-6 concentrations were analyzed using ELISA.

In (A), data from three independent experiments including a total of 15 mice per genotype were pooled (mean + SD; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001, mixed-effects analysis). In (B)–(F), pooled data from two independent experiments (mock infected, n = 4 mice per genotype; A/X-31-infected, n = 10–16 WT and n = 9–13 Adar1mZa/mZa mice) are shown. Each dot represents an individual mouse and the mean is indicated (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001, unpaired t test). See also Figure S6.
Read depth was similar between “detected” and “differentialWT > mZa” sites (Figure S7B), excluding the possibility that lack of editing in Adar1mZ/mZa samples was due to reduced sequence coverage. We then attempted to understand whether these Za domain-dependent sites were characterized by unique properties. First, we annotated editing sites to 5′ untranslated regions (UTRs), coding sequences (CDSs), 3′ UTRs, and intronic and intergenic regions (Figure 7C). The majority of editing sites “detected” in WT samples were found in intronic regions (~83%). 3′ UTRs accounted for ~11% of edits, and intergenic regions contained ~5% of sites. Fewer than 1% of sites mapped to 5′ UTRs and CDSs. The annotation of “differentialWT > mZa” sites was similar, with a small increase in intronic sites (~86%), while 8% of “differentialWT > mZa” edits were found in 3′ UTRs.

To characterize whether the sequences surrounding Zx-dependent editing sites have a propensity to form Z-RNA, we analyzed sequences 500 nt up- and downstream of editing sites. We tested GC content, given that GC repeats have a higher tendency to adopt the Z-conformation (Davis et al., 1986). There was no enrichment of GC dinucleotides around differentialWT > mZa edited sites compared with all detected sites (Figure S7C), and no motifs were detected in pileups of the surrounding bases. We also used the Z-hunt algorithm (Champ et al., 2004; Ho et al., 1986) to predict the likelihood of sequences around editing sites to form the Z-conformation. In parallel, we calculated the distance of editing sites to genomic regions predicted by SIBZ to form the Z-conformation (Zhabin-skaya and Benham, 2011). Neither computational approach revealed differences between “detected” and “differentialWT > mZa” sites (Figures S7 D and S7E).

It is noteworthy that both algorithms were developed for dsDNA and may be unsuitable for predicting the Z-conformation in RNA. Future studies will be required to dissect the properties of the Zx-dependent RNA editing sites.

We also found diminished editing in WT samples at ~14% of the sites detected as edited in Adar1mZ/mZa samples (Figure 7B). These “differentialmZa > WT” sites mapped to genomic features with similar frequencies compared to “detected” and “differentialWT > mZa” sites (Figure 7C). ADAR1-p150 is encoded by an ISG and Adar1 transcript levels increased by 1.6-fold in Adar1mZa/mZa lungs as well as in lung neutrophils and B cells (Figures S7F and S7G). Thus, it was likely that type I IFN-induced expression of ADAR1-p150 in mutant mice explained editing at these sites.

Given that many A-to-I RNA editing events occur in REs (Eisenberg and Levanon, 2018), we analyzed the enrichment of editing events in WT samples, by computing observed versus expected numbers, for each RE class (Figure 7D). This analysis showed that editing events were greatly enriched within SINEs (Figure 7D) and further showed that, with the exception of the B4 family, all SINE sub-families exhibited enrichment, albeit to a lesser extent compared to other REs.
varying degrees (Figure S7H). Furthermore, there were no large-scale differences between the SINEs represented when comparing “detected” and “differential” sites (Figure S7I), suggesting that all SINEs had equal potential to contribute sites that were differentially edited between WT and Adar1mZ/mZ mice.

We next analyzed the genomic distance of edited SINEs to another SINE in inverted orientation. In human, transcripts spanning inverted repeat Alu elements may form duplex RNA structures recognized by ADAR1 and MDAS (Ahmad et al., 2018; Mehdipour et al., 2020). Compared with all SINEs, we found that edited SINEs were closer to another SINE in inverted orientation (Figure 7E), although there was no difference between SINEs containing “detected” and “differential” sites. Taken together, these data demonstrated that a subset consisting of about 8% of editing sites required a functional ADAR1-p150 Zz domain for efficient A-to-I conversion.

DISCUSSION

Although Z-nucleic acids were discovered ~40 years ago, little is known to this date about their biological activities. This is due in part to their thermodynamic properties: the B- and A-conformations of dsDNA and dsRNA, respectively, are energetically favored compared with the Z-conformation, making Z-DNA and Z-RNA difficult to study (Herbert, 2019). The formation of Z-DNA may release torsional strain induced by the movement of polymerases (Wittig et al., 1992; Wolff et al., 1995). Physiological functions of Z-RNA have remained enigmatic until recently. We and others proposed that Z-RNA is recognized by ZBP1 in settings of viral infection and autoinflammation, resulting in the induction of programmed cell death (Devos et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2020; Maelfait et al., 2017; Sridharan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b).

Here, we studied ADAR1-p150, which like ZBP1 contains a Zz domain specialized in binding to Z nucleic acids. We report spontaneous induction of type I IFNs in vivo upon introduction of mutations into the ADAR1-p150 Zz domain that prevent binding to Z-DNA and Z-RNA. This effect was observed in multiple organs and cell types from Adar1mZ/mZ mice and required MAVS. These data showed that RIG-I-like receptors were activated by endogenously generated Z-RNAs and that editing of these Z-RNAs by ADAR1-p150 limited the response. We therefore revealed type I IFN induction as a biological function of Z-RNA.

Our computational analysis mapped ~40,000 editing sites in lung RNA samples from WT mice. These sites were enriched in SINEs but not in other classes of REs. Furthermore, we found that edited SINEs were more likely to be in proximity to another SINE in inverted orientation. These results agree with previous findings demonstrating that editing sites are enriched in non-coding sequences containing self-complementary regions predicted to form duplex RNA structures (Eisenberg and Levanon, 2018; Porath et al., 2017; Solomon et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2017).

In addition to the sites edited in WT but not mutant samples (“differentialWT > mZm”), another subset of sites was preferentially edited in Adar1mZ/mZmZ mice (“differentialZz > WT”). IFN-driven increased expression of mutant ADAR1-p150 and recruitment of A-form dsRNAs via the dsRBDS may account for this observation. It is also possible that the Zz domain blocks editing at some sites. A recent study found that structural disruptions such as bulges in dsRNA substrates position ADAR1 for editing at sites 30–35 bp away from the disruption (Uzonyi et al., 2021). It will be interesting to determine in future studies whether the Zz domain plays a role in recognizing such structural disruptions.

We observed spontaneous type I IFN and ISG induction in multiple organs from Adar1mZ/mZ mice and dissected the role of different cell types in the lung. On the basis of increased

Figure 7. ADAR1-p150’s Zz domain is required for editing of a subset of RNAs

(A) Editing sites were mapped in RNA sequencing reads from three WT and three Adar1mZ/mZ mice. The numbers of edited sites were scaled to the total number of reads per sample. Each data point corresponds to an animal, and the mean is shown (ns, not significant; unpaired t test).

(B) Editing frequencies for sites detectable in all three WT or Adar1mZ/mZ samples (left; Z > 2.58) and for differentially edited sites (right; Z > 2.58, >2-fold) are shown as violin plots. Solid horizontal lines show the median and dotted lines indicate quartiles.

(C) Editing sites detected in WT or Adar1mZ/mZ samples and differentially edited sites were matched to annotated genomic features. The percentage of sites is shown in each category.

(D) The number of expected and observed editing sites in WT samples are shown for families of REs for which either value exceeded 500. See text for details.

(E) The distances of SINEs to their nearest inverted super-family member were determined for all SINEs and for SINEs harboring an editing site detected in WT or Adar1mZ/mZ samples or containing a differentially edited site. Results are shown as proportions of SINEs with the maximum count set to 100. See also Figure S7.
expression of ISGs such as ifit1 that are induced by IRF3 and IRF7, we propose that non-hematopoietic cell types as well as neutrophils initiate the IFN response in Adar1mZa/mZa lungs. Interestingly, a recent study showed that RNA editing increases upon intracellular acidification (Malik et al., 2021). Neutrophils produce different types of acids (Ulfig and Leichert, 2021), which may explain the induction of type I IFN responses in these cells. However, the role of neutrophils and other cell types will require validation in future studies. We were unable to reliably detect type I IFN transcripts by different qRT-PCR approaches in sorted cell samples, presumably because of their short mRNA half-life (Paste´ et al., 2003; Whittmore and Maniatis, 1990). In the future, it would be interesting to develop in situ hybridization techniques combined with immuno-fluorescence to analyze IFN mRNAs in fixed or snap-frozen tissue sections with simultaneous identification of cell types.

ADAR1 mutations in human cause AGS and include the missense p.Pro193Ala mutation in the Zs domain. Interestingly, this ADAR1 allele is common with frequencies of up to 1/160 (www.ensembl.org) (Mannion et al., 2014). In AGS patients, homozygous p.Pro193Ala mutation is not observed; instead, this mutation occurs together with other ADAR1 mutations (Rice et al., 2012). It is therefore likely that ADAR1 p.Pro193Ala is hypomorphic and does not cause disease when present homozygously. Consistent with this notion, a recent study reported that Adar1p193a/p193a mice have no phenotype (Maurano et al., 2021). Similarly, we found that Adar1mZa/mZa mice did not have any gross abnormalities and were fertile. These mice nonetheless displayed type I IFN and ISG induction in multiple organs. This included the lung and bestowed protection against IAV infection at early stages. It is therefore conceivable that the ADAR1 p.Pro193Ala variant has been maintained in humans by providing a selective advantage during viral infections due to elevated expression of antiviral factors at baseline.

It is noteworthy in this context that ADAR1 has anti- and pro-viral functions. ADAR1 limits or controls replication of several viruses, including measles virus, members of Paramyxoviridae family, IAV, vesicular stomatitis virus, and hepatitis delta virus (Casey, 2012; Li et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2020; Ward et al., 2011; Weiden et al., 2014). However, for other viruses, such as Zika and Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus, ADAR1 may facilitate replication (Zhang et al., 2020a; Zhou et al., 2019). It will be interesting for future studies to determine the role of the ADAR1 variants unable to bind Z nucleic acids in these viral infections.

ADAR1 has recently emerged as a promising target for cancer treatment. If expressed by transformed cells in vitro or by tumors in vivo, ADAR1 protects against both cell death and anti-cancer immune responses (Gannon et al., 2018; Ishizuka et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Loss of ADAR1 in cancer cells results in death or reduced growth and sensitizes to immunotherapy. Interestingly, the protective effects appear to depend on ADAR1-p150 (Gannon et al., 2018; Ishizuka et al., 2019). It is therefore possible that endogenous Z-RNAs induce anti-cancer effects upon ADAR1 loss. Future studies should test this, for example by reconstitution of ADAR1-p150 mutants unable to bind Z-RNA. Furthermore, development of inhibitors that target the Zs domain of ADAR1, the Zs-Z-RNA interaction or Z-RNA formation should be considered. Compared with deaminase inhibitors, such “Z-inhibitors” would have the advantage of specifically targeting the p150 isoform, avoiding possible detrimental consequences of targeting ADAR1-p110 (Pestal et al., 2015).

In conclusion, we discovered MAVS-dependent type I IFN induction as a biological function of Z-RNA that is curtailed by ADAR1-p150. These mechanistic insights may have implications for understanding and modulating detrimental and beneficial type I IFN responses in autoinflammation and cancer.

Limitations of study

Our goal was to address the biological function of the Zs domain and the role of Z nucleic acid recognition by ADAR1. We therefore mutated residues that are essential for Z-DNA and Z-RNA binding (Asn175 and Tyr179). In contrast, the Zs domain mutation found in some AGS patients affects Pro193 and occurs together with other mutations on the second ADAR1 allele. This needs to be considered when extrapolating our results to human. The reader is also referred to a recent study reporting mice with a mutation at this proline (Maurano et al., 2021). Increased levels of ISG transcripts that are induced by nucleic acid sensors indicated that neutrophils initiated IFN responses in Adar1mZa/mZa lungs. This requires further validation, including analysis of neutrophils in Adar1mZa/mZa, Ifnar-/- and Ly6G-Cre; Adar1mZa/mZa mice and RNA-seq of purified neutrophils. Finally, we did not delineate specific properties of Zs-dependent editing sites. Analysis of editing in cell types and future computational study, for example of local RNA folding, may provide further insight.
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## Key Resources Table

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Anti-ADAR1          | Santa Cruz | Cat# sc-73408; RRID: AB_2222767 |
| Anti-CD45.1-AF488 (clone A20) | Biolegend | Cat# 110718; RRID: AB_492862 |
| Anti-CD45.2-AF488 (clone 104) | Biolegend | Cat# 109815; RRID: AB_492869 |
| Anti-CD45.2-PE (clone 104) | Biolegend | Cat# 109808; RRID: AB_313445 |
| Anti-CD11c-APC (clone N418) | eBioscience | Cat# 17-0114-81; RRID: AB_469345 |
| Anti-CD11b-BV785 (clone M1/70) | Biolegend | Cat# 101243; RRID: AB_2561373 |
| Anti-MHCII-AF700 (Clone M5/114.15.2) | eBioscience | Cat# 56-5321-80; RRID: AB_490410 |
| Anti-CD24-BV605 (Clone M1/69) | Biolegend | Cat# 101827; RRID: AB_2563464 |
| Anti-CD64-PE (Clone X54-5/7.1) | Biolegend | Cat# 139304; RRID: AB_10612740 |
| Anti-MHCII-e780 (Clone M5/114.15.2) | eBioscience | Cat# 47-5321-82; RRID: AB_1548783 |
| Anti-CD31-PEcy7 (Clone 390) | Biolegend | Cat# 25-0311-81; RRID: AB_2734962 |
| Anti-CD326-APC (Clone G8.8) | eBioscience | Cat# 17-5791-80; RRID: AB_2734965 |
| Anti-ly6G-AF700 (Clone 1A8) | Biolegend | Cat# 127622; RRID: AB_10643269 |
| Anti-siglecF-BV421 (Clone E50-2440) | BD | Cat# 565934; RRID: AB_2739398 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** |        |            |
| Influenza A virus (A/X-31; A/HongKong/1/1968) | Laboratory of Alain R. Townsend | (Powell et al., 2019) |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Collagenase, type II | Worthington Biochemical | Cat# LS004204 |
| Dnase I | Sigma Aldrich | Cat# D4263 |
| LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit | Invitrogen | Cat# L34966 |
| Recombinant mouse GM-CSF | Peprotech | Cat# 315-03 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| RNeasy Plus Kit | QIAGEN | Cat# 74134 |
| Mouse IL-6 Uncoated ELISA Kit | Life technology | Cat# 88-7064-88 |
| Taqman Gene expression master mix | Life technology | Cat# 4304437 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| RNaseq analysis of WT and Adar1^mZmZ^mZ^ mice | ENA | PRJEB45231 |
| **Experimental models: Cell lines** |        |            |
| MDCK-SIAT cells for Influenza virus propagation | ECACC | Cat# 05071502 |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** |        |            |
| C57BL/6 mice | Envigo and University of Oxford Biomedical Services | N/A |
| Adar1^mZmZ^mZ^ mice | This paper | N/A |
| B6SJL/JCD54.1 mice | University of Oxford Biomedical Services | N/A |
| Mavs^-/- mice | Laboratory of J. Tschopp | Michallet et al., 2008 |
| **Oligonucleotides** |        |            |
| Genotyping primer F 5'-TGACGAGA GACTTGTTCCTAGCATG-3' | Sigma | N/A |
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Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jan Rehwinkel (jan.rehwinkel@imm.ox.ac.uk).

Materials availability
All unique reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact with a completed Materials Transfer Agreement.

Data and code availability
RNA-seq data have been deposited at ENA and are publicly available as of the date of publication. The accession number is listed in the key resources table. All original code has been deposited at GitHub [github.com/A-N-Other/pedestal, commit 2c55bf3] and is publicly available as of the date of publication. Any additional information required to reanalyse the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice
Mice were housed and bred under standard conditions at the University of Oxford Biomedical Services Animal Facilities. All mice were on the C57BL/6 background and were 8-10 week old; male and female animals were used. This work was performed in the animal facilities at the University of Oxford, in accordance with the UK Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and institutional guidelines for animal care. This work was approved by project licenses granted by the UK Home Office (PPL numbers PC041D0AB, PBA43A2E4 and P79A4C5BA) and was also approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee Review Board at the University of Oxford.

Adar1+/fl-mZa mice were generated by Cyagen. In brief, genomic fragments containing homology arms were amplified from a BAC and were sequentially assembled into a targeting vector together with recombination sites and selection markers as shown in Figure S1A. Successful assembly of the targeting vector was verified by restriction digest and sequencing. The linearized vector was subsequently delivered to ES cells (C57BL/6) via electroporation, followed by drug selection, PCR screening and sequencing. After confirming correctly targeted ES clones via Southern blotting, we selected clones for blastocyst microinjection, followed by chimera production. Founders were confirmed as germline-transmitted via crossbreeding with WT animals. The Neo cassette was flanked by Rox sites and contained a Dre recombinase controlled by a promoter active in the germline, resulting in deletion of the Neo cassette in F1 animals (Figure S1A). These Adar1+/fl-mZa mice were further crossed with Pgk-Cre mice provided by Samira Lakhal-Littleton to produce Adar1+/mZa animals (Figure S1A). Primers F, R1, R2WT and R2MUT were used for genotyping; the sequences of these primers are listed in the Key Resources Table. PCR with primers F and R1 yielded a product of 357 bp for the WT Adar1 allele and a 421 bp product for both ‘fl-mZa’ and ‘mZa’ alleles. PCR with primers F and R2WT resulted in 1095 and 1158 bp products for the WT and ‘fl-mZa’ alleles, respectively, and no product for the ‘mZa’ allele. Finally, PCR with primers F and R2MUT resulted in a 1158 bp product for the ‘mZa’ allele only.

Mavs−/− mice were a gift from C. Reis e Sousa and were originally from J. Tschopp (Michallet et al., 2008).

Cells
Lung fibroblasts and MEFs were grown in DMEM and BMMCs in RPMI, as described previously (Li et al., 2013; Maelfait et al., 2017). Media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS and 2 mM L-glutamine; for BMMCs, 200 U/ml recombinant mouse GM-CSF (Peprotech) was added. MEFs were cultured at 3% oxygen.
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RNA extraction and RT-qPCR
Organs collected from freshly killed mice (8-10 weeks of age) were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after dissection and stored at -80°C until further processing. Organs were homogenized with glass beads (425-600 μm, Sigma-Aldrich) in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a FastPrep F120 instrument (Thermo Savant). RNA was extracted following the manufacturer's instructions and further purified using RNeasy Plus columns (QIAGEN) including a gDNA eliminator column step. cDNA synthesis was performed with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with random hexamer (QIAGEN) or oligo (dT)12-18 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as primers. Gene-specific reverse transcription was primed with Taqman probes (Applied Biosystems). qPCR was done using Taqman Universal PCR Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Taqman probes. Alternatively, qPCR was performed using EXPRESS SYBR GreenER qPCR Supermix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and DNA oligonucleotides (Sigma Aldrich). qPCR was performed on a QuantStudio 7 Flex real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystem). The qPCR probes and primers used in this study are listed in Table S2.

In vivo infection
WT and Adar1mZ/mZa mice were used at 8-10 weeks of age. Mice were intranasally inoculated with 50 μL A/X-31 (0.04 haemagglutination units (HAU)) diluted in viral growth medium (VGM; DMEM with 1% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich A0336), 10 mM HEPES buffer, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 μg/ml)) or mock infected with 50 μL VGM under light isoflurane anesthesia. Animals were assessed daily for weight loss and signs of disease. Mice reaching 20% weight loss were euthanised.

Median tissue culture infective dose (TCID50) assay
Lungs from animals infected with IAV were snap frozen. Samples were then thawed and transferred into 250 μL ice cold VGM in Lysing Matrix D tubes (MP Biomedicals). Lungs were then homogenized using a FastPrep-24 Benchtop Tissue Homogenizer (MP Biomedicals) for 20 s at 4.0 m/s. Homogenized lungs were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2,000 g to pellet debris. Virus containing supernatants were collected and stored at -80°C. 30,000 MDCK-SIAT1 cells were seeded per well in flat-bottom 96-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight at 37°C. Lung supernatants were diluted 100x in VGM and filtered through 0.22 μm syringe filters. MDCK-SIAT1 monolayers were washed with PBS and 50 μL of supernatants (diluted in a ½-log dilution series in VGM in quadruplicate) were added to infect the MDCK-SIAT1 cells for 1 hour at 37°C. Next, 150 μL VGM containing 1 μg/ml of TPCK trypsin (Thermo Scientific, 20233) was added and cells were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Cells were washed twice with PBS and then fixed with 100 μL of 10% formalin in PBS for 30 minutes at 4°C. Cells were then permeabilised with 50 μL of permeabilisation buffer (PBS, 20 mM glycine, 0.5% Triton X-100) for 20 minutes at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice with PBS and stained with 50 μL PBS containing 0.1% BSA and a chemically biotinylated anti-influenza NP antibody (2-8C, 1:250) as previously described (Powell et al., 2012) for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice with PBS and 50 μL of PBS containing Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 647 Conjugate (Invitrogen S21374) at 1:500 dilution was added for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were then washed and 100 μL of PBS containing 1% formalin were added to each well. Fluorescence intensity was measured on a CletiStar Plate Reader (BMG Labtech). TCID50 was calculated using the Reed & Muench method of cumulative percentage of positive and negative wells (Reed and Muench, 1938).

Western blot
Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris.HCl, pH7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 1% NP-40 (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5% Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and Complete protease inhibitor (Roche)) at 4°C for 10 minutes. Protein lysates were then cleared by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 10 minutes. Samples were mixed with NuPAGE SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer (ThermoFisher) containing 10% 2-mercaptoethanol. A primary antibody against ADAR1 was purchased from Santa Cruz (sc-73408). The antibody recognizing ISG15 was a gift from Klaus-Peter Knobeloch. HRP-coupled secondary antibodies were from GE Healthcare.

Flow cytometry
Lungs from 8-10 week old mice were dissected and mechanically disrupted using scissors before incubation in RPMI containing 1 μg/ml type II collagenase (Worthington Biochemical Corporation) and 40 U/ml DNase I (Sigma Aldrich) at 37°C for 60 minutes, with resuspension after 30 minutes to facilitate tissue dissociation. Cells were filtered through a 70 μm cell strainer (BD Falcon), rinsed with RPMI and pelleted at 400 x g for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in 5 ml RBC lysis buffer (QIAGEN), incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes and then washed twice with 45 mL RPMI. Cells were resuspended in 500 μL FACs buffer (PBS containing 1% (v/v) FCS and 2 mM EDTA) and passed through a 70 μm cell strainer. Viable cells were counted using a haemocytometer. Cells were washed with PBS before incubation with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain (Invitrogen) diluted 1:200 in PBS for 30 minutes at 4°C. Cells were washed once with FACs buffer and then stained with surface antibodies diluted 1:200 (1:1000 for anti-MHCII-AF700) in Brilliant buffer (BD Biosciences) for 30 minutes. Cells were sorted directly into TRizol-LS Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on BD FACSaria II and III machines (BD Biosciences). Alternatively, 1.5x10⁶ cells were stained and analyzed using an Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Data were analyzed using FlowJo (v10.8.2).
Magnetic cell fraction
Cells from lungs were prepared as described above. 10^7 cells were resuspended in 90 μL of MACS buffer (PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 2 mM EDTA) and then incubated with 10 μL of CD45 microbeads (Miltenyi) for 15 minutes. The mixture was then washed with MACS buffer and resuspended in 500 μL MACS buffer for magnetic separation on MACS LS columns (Miltenyi) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were recovered from the flow-through (CD45-) and column (CD45+). 10% of cells were stained and analyzed by FACS to confirm purity. The remaining cells were pelleted, resuspend in TRIzol (ThermoFisher) and processed for RT-qPCR.

Generation of bone marrow chimeric animals
B6.SJL-CD45.1 mice were used as bone marrow recipients and were lethally irradiated twice (4.5 Gy for 300 s, separated by a ~3 hour rest). Mice were then injected intravenously with bone marrow from either WT (CD45.2) or Adar1mZa mZa mice. Recipient mice received antibiotics (0.16 mg/mL, Enrofloxacin (Baytril), Bayer Corporation) in drinking water for four weeks following irradiation and were rested for > 8 weeks before tissue collection.

ELISA
Mouse IL-6 was quantified by uncoated ELISA Kit (ThermoFisher) according to manufacturer’s instruction.

RNA-seq and data processing
Stranded Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared with the RNA-Seq Ribozero kit from isolated RNAs and submitted for PE150 sequencing using an Illumina NovaSeq6000 machine, yielding ~100M reads per sample. Sequencing data was processed using a Nextflow v20.07 (DiTommaso et al., 2017) pipeline automating quality control using FastQC v0.11.8 (bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), quality and adaptor trimming using cutadapt v1.18 (Martin, 2011), contaminant detection using screen.sh (within BBMap v36.20, sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/), strand-aware alignment using HISAT2 v2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2019) and STAR v2.7.1a (Dobin et al., 2013), post-alignment quality-assurance using ‘gene body coverage’, ‘transcript integrity’, and ‘inner distance’ metrics from RSeQC v2.6.4 (Wang et al., 2012), and strand-specific counting of uniquely-mapping reads using featureCounts (within Subread v1.6.4, (Liao et al., 2014)) against Ensembl GRCm38.100 annotations. Additional, unstranded counts were obtained with featureCounts against a database of repetitive elements previously prepared for GRCm38 (Attig et al., 2017) using reads unassigned to features during the previous step.

Differential expression analysis
Downstream differential expression analysis was conducted using counts obtained for STAR read mappings using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) (v1.22.1) within R (v4.0.2). Gene ontology analysis was performed using goseq (Young et al., 2010) (1.34.1). Heatmaps were generated using the pheatmap package (v1.0.12).

Detection of A-to-I editing
A Python 3.8 program, edited (github.com/A-N-Other/pedestal, commit 2c55bf3), was produced to identify editing sites. edited performs stranded assessments of RNA editing from samtools mpileup files using the Dirichlet-based models implemented in ACCUSA2 and JACUSA (Piechotta and Dieterich, 2013; Piechotta et al., 2017). When run with test data alone, edited runs in ‘detect’ mode, finding base modifications by comparing the goodness of fit of Dirichlet models of the base error (derived from the Phred quality data in the mpileup input) and the background sequencing error to the base frequencies recorded at a specific position. With an additional control dataset, edited runs in ‘differential’ mode, performing the above analysis to determine significantly edited sites before additionally testing for differential editing by comparing the goodness of fit of Dirichlet models of the base error from the test and control datasets to their own and each other’s base frequencies. When biological replicates are provided, edited adjusts the reported Z scores to reflect the proportion of test dataset samples displaying editing.

Analysis of A-to-I editing sites
Sites obtained from edited were assigned to genomic features using annotatr v1.16 within R (Cavalcante and Sartor, 2017). Assessments of editing enrichment within repetitive elements were conducted using regionR v1.22 within R (Gei et al., 2016) using randomization-based permutation tests with 100 bootstraps. Assessment of distance to neighboring inverted SINE super-family (B1, B2, B3, B4) members was conducted with bedtools v2.29.2 closest (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) using the ‘-io -S’ flags. Outputs and the detailed statistics were produced with GraphPad Prism v8. Regions ± 500 nts surrounding editing sites (total length of 1001 nts) were extracted using samtools and used to calculate per-position fractional GC content centered around the edited position and, separately, as input to Zhunt3 using the settings ‘windowsize = 12 minsize = 12 maxsize = 12’ (Champ et al., 2004; Ho et al., 1986). Scores from
Zhunt were log transformed for plotting. The SIBZ algorithm for finding Z structured regions was run using the qsidd program within the SIST software package (Zhabinskaya and Benham, 2011) by passing the '-Z' runtime flag. Results were filtered for regions with > 90% probability of exhibiting Z structure and runs of immediately adjacent sites concatenated with bedtools. Assessment of distances between editing sites and regions identified by SIBZ were conducted with bedtools closest.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All experiments were performed three times or more independently under similar conditions, unless specified otherwise in figure legends. Statistical significance was calculated as described in the figure legends; p < 0.05 was considered significant. GraphPad Prism 8 software was used to generate graphs and to perform statistical analysis.