Interleukin-25 Mediates Transcriptional Control of PD-L1 via STAT3 in Multipotent Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (hMSCs) to Suppress Th17 Responses
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SUMMARY

Multipotent human mesenchymal stromal cells (hMSCs) harbor immunomodulatory properties that are therapeutically relevant. One of the most clinically important populations of leukocytes is the interleukin-17A (IL-17A)-secreting T (Th17) lymphocytes. However, mechanisms of hMSC and Th17 cell interactions are incompletely resolved. We found that, along with Th1 responses, hMSCs strongly suppressed Th17 responses and this required both IL-25—also known as IL-17E—as well as programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1), a potent cell surface ligand for tolerance induction. Knockdown of IL-25 expression in hMSCs abrogated Th17 suppression in vitro and in vivo. However, IL-25 alone was insufficient to significantly suppress Th17 responses, which also required surface PD-L1 expression. Critically, IL-25 upregulated PD-L1 surface expression through the signaling pathways of JNK and STAT3, with STAT3 found to constitutively occupy the proximal region of the PD-L1 promoter. Our findings demonstrate the complexities of hMSC-mediated Th17 suppression, and highlight the IL-25/STAT3/PD-L1 axis as a candidate therapeutic target.

INTRODUCTION

Multipotent human mesenchymal stromal cells (hMSCs) are somatic progenitors that can be isolated from bone marrow (BM) (Friedenstein, 1976; Pittenger et al., 1999) and many other sites, such as adipose tissue, umbilical cord blood, and placenta (Erices et al., 2000; Yen et al., 2005; Zuk et al., 2001). Previous studies have indicated that hMSCs can differentiate into the paraxial mesodermal lineages of osteoblasts, chondrocytes, and adipocytes, as well as other non-mesodermal lineages, given the right environmental cues (Dominici et al., 2006; Engler et al., 2006). As such, hMSCs have been widely applied in many clinical trials for regenerative medicine (Giordano et al., 2007; Hare et al., 2012). Moreover, hMSCs have been found to have strong immunomodulatory properties that have tremendous therapeutic potential, as evidenced by the numerous clinical trials for immune-related diseases using these versatile progenitor cells (Gebler et al., 2012; Le Blanc et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2012). The hMSCs modulate diverse populations of leukocytes, with the best studied being that toward T lymphocytes, suppressing T effector functions (Bartholomew et al., 2002; Di Nicola et al., 2002; Uccelli et al., 2008). The molecular basis appears to involve both paracrine factors—especially in the human system—including tumor growth factor-β (TGF-β), indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), as well as cell surface molecules that engage leukocyte surface receptors (Uccelli et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2011). The hMSCs also influence the diversity of CD4 T helper (Th) subset phenotypes, potently skewing Th1 into Th2 cell responses (Aggarwal and Pittenger, 2005; Aksu et al., 2008) and potentiating induction of regulatory T cells (Tregs), an immunomodulatory population of T cells (Chang et al., 2006; Maccario et al., 2005; Selmani et al., 2008).

One population of T lymphocytes that has moved into greater prominence are interleukin (IL)-17A-secreting T cells (Dong, 2008). Known also as Th17 cells, this T helper cell subpopulation is important in mediating host responses toward microbial infections, as well as participating in the pathogenesis of many autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases that had been long believed to be caused by Th1 cells (Miossec and Kolls, 2012). While some studies have shown that hMSCs attenuate Th17-mediated immunity (Ghannam et al., 2010; González et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2012), others have found that hMSCs...
actually enhance Th17 responses (Darlington et al., 2010; Tso et al., 2010). These discrepant reports are likely due to an incomplete understanding currently of the mechanisms involved in hMSC-Th17 lymphocyte interactions, which have important implications in the clinical use of hMSCs given the role of Th17 cells in human diseases (Korn et al., 2009). We therefore set out to examine the nature of hMSC-Th17 interactions and elucidate the mechanisms involved. We found that hMSCs suppress Th17 responses through both paracrine and cell-cell contact mechanisms, involving IL-25—also known as IL17E—as well as PD-L1, a ligand of the PD-1 family. Our data demonstrate that hMSCs constitutively secrete IL-25 to upregulate the cell surface expression of PD-L1 through JNK and STAT3, with STAT3 involved in the transcriptional control of PD-L1.

RESULTS

hMSCs Inhibit Th17 Responses

Since there have been discrepant reports on MSC-Th17 interactions, we first set out to answer whether hMSCs enhance or suppress Th17 cell expansion. To determine this, we used placenta-derived hMSCs that we have demonstrated previously to be trilineage multipotent progenitors and immunomodulatory, similar to BMMSCs (Yen et al., 2005, 2013; Chang et al., 2006). We then co-cultured these hMSCs with human peripheral blood leukocytes (PBLs) or purified CD4 T cells in steady state for 3 days. Approximately 1%-3% of non-primed T cells became IL-17A producers after phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)/ionomycin treatment for 6 hr, and we found that, when hMSCs were present, the frequency of IL-17A-expressing T cells was strongly decreased by 60%-65% in PBLs (Figure 1A, representative data; Figure 1B, pooled data) or CD4 T cells (Figure 1C, representative data; Figure 1D, pooled data). To further confirm this phenomenon, we

Figure 1. Multipotent Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (hMSCs) Suppress Th17 Responses

(A–D) Human peripheral blood CD3+ leukocytes (PBLs) (A, representative data; B, pooled data of 17 PBL donors co-cultured with all three hMSC donors) or CD3+ CD4 T cells (C, representative data; D, pooled data of 11 PBL donors co-cultured with all three hMSC donors) were co-cultured without (left) or with (right) hMSCs ex vivo, followed by PMA/ionomycin stimulation for 6 hr.

(E–H) IL-17A production in ex-vivo-cultured CD3+ T cells was assessed by intracellular staining. IL-17A and IFN-γ production in CD3+ PBLs (E, representative data; F, pooled data) or CD3+ CD4 T cells (G, representative data; H, pooled data) without and with co-culture of two hMSC donors (donors A and B) was assessed by intracellular staining. Cell percentages are denoted in the dotplot quadrant of interest. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

CD3+ PBLs (E, representative data; F, pooled data) or CD3+ CD4 T cells (G, representative data; H, pooled data) without and with co-culture of hMSCs was analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative intracellular staining is shown for IL-17A+IFN-γ− CD3+ T cells (R3 region) and IL-17A+IFN-γ+ (R5 region) CD3+ T cells, and pooled data from PBLs (n = 4) or CD4 T cells (n = 4) co-cultured with two hMSC donors (donors A and B) are provided in (F) and (H), respectively. Gray bars represent the percentages of IL-17A+IFN-γ− CD3+ T cells, whereas white bars represent the percentages of IL-17A+IFN-γ+ T cells.

(I and J) IL-22 production in four donors of CD3+ CD4 T cells (I, representative data; J, pooled data) without and with co-culture of two donors of hMSCs (donors A and B) was assessed by intracellular staining. Cell percentages are denoted in the dotplot quadrant of interest. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
performed in vitro stimulation of PBLs or T cells with anti-CD3/CD28 beads plus ionomycin to activate the Th17 effector phenotype (Santarlasci et al., 2012). We found that the frequency of in-vitro-expanded IL-17A-expressing PBLs (Figure S1A, representative data; Figure S1B, pooled data) and T cells (Figure S1C, representative data; Figure S1D, pooled data) was significantly reduced, as well as when hMSCs were present.

It is known that the lineages of Tregs and Th17 are linked, with one lineage chosen over another to maintain immune homeostasis (Weaver and Hatton, 2009). Concomitantly, we found that, after co-culture with hMSCs, the frequency of FOXP3-expressing natural Tregs in PBLs and CD4 cells was increased (Figures S1E and S1F). hMSCs not only suppress IL-17A cells, but also prominently suppress IL-17A/IFN-γ double producer cells, which are the dominant subtype of Th17 cells at inflammatory sites (Anzunniato et al., 2007; Zielinski et al., 2012). Previous reports have shown that hMSCs strongly suppress IFN-γ production—a prototypical Th1 cytokine—in PBLs and T cells (Aksu et al., 2008; Aggarwal and Pittenger, 2005), and we also found this to be true (Figures 1E and 1G, respectively). Additionally, we found that hMSCs substantially suppressed IFN-γ/IL-17A-expressing T cells (for PBLs: Figure 1E, representative data and Figure 1F, pooled data; for CD4 cells: Figure 1G, representative data and Figure 1H, pooled data). Th17 cells also are known to produce IL-22 (Dong, 2008), and co-culture of CD4 T cells with hMSCs also significantly decreased IL-22 production (Figure 1I, representative data; Figure 1J, pooled data). These results, therefore, demonstrate that hMSCs effectively suppress Th17 responses.

hMSCs Constitutively Express IL-25

In the human system, MSC-T cell interactions have predominantly involved paracrine factors (Kim et al., 2013); therefore, to identify possible candidate secreted factors capable of suppressing Th17 responses, we performed mass spectrometry (MS) analysis on hMSC-conditioned medium. Surprisingly, MS/MS studies revealed that IL-25, also known as IL17E and a potent suppressor of Th17 responses (Kleinschek et al., 2007; Zaph et al., 2008), was also known as IL17E and a potent suppressor of Th17 responses, we performed intracellular flow cytometric analysis to detect IL-25 (Figure 1H, representative data and Figure 1F, pooled data). These results, therefore, demonstrate that hMSCs constitutively produce IL-25.

Silencing of hMSC-Derived IL-25 Reverses Suppression of Th17 Responses In Vitro and In Vivo, but Exogenous IL-25 Alone Is Not Sufficient to Repress Th17 Responses

To assess whether hMSC-secreted IL-25 is involved in suppressing Th17 responses, we silenced IL-25 (siIL-25) expression in hMSCs by RNA interference. After confirming the efficiency of knockdown (Figures S2A and S2B), we found that silencing of IL-25 secretion with small interfering RNA (siRNA) specific for the gene in hMSCs almost completely reversed the suppressive effects toward Th17 cells compared with control silenced hMSCs (siCtrl) (Figure 3A). An average decrease of 35% in IL-17A-expressing T cells was seen with co-culture of siCtrl hMSCs; this was completely abrogated when siIL-25 hMSCs were applied (Figure 3B). A similar trend was seen when siCtrl or siIL-25 hMSCs were co-cultured with purified CD4 lymphocytes (Figure 3C). Th17 lymphocytes were decreased to an average of 52% of baseline when siCtrl hMSCs were used in the co-culture, compared to 87% when siIL-25 hMSCs were applied (Figure 3D). We further confirmed the capacity of hMSC-derived IL-25 for Th17 suppression under in vivo inflammatory conditions by adoptive transfer of either siCtrl hMSCs or siIL-25 hMSCs into lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-treated C57BL/6J mice (Figure 3E). We found that in vivo transfer of siCtrl hMSCs suppressed the population of IL-17A-expressing CD4 T cells in the spleen, whereas siIL-25 hMSCs failed to achieve that (Figures 3F and 3G). With transfer of siCtrl hMSCs, IL-17A-expressing T cells were decreased to an average of 41% of baseline, but transfer of siIL-25 hMSCs nearly completely abrogated these effects with IL-17A-expressing T cells back at an average level of 98% (Figure 3H). These data demonstrate that IL-25 secretion by hMSCs is involved in suppressing Th17 responses in vitro and in vivo.

To further ascertain the role of IL-25 in suppressing Th17 responses, we treated CD4 T cells with recombinant human IL-25 (rhIL-25) for 18 hr prior to PMA/ionomycin stimulation and examined for levels of IL-17A in CD4 cells. To our surprise, we found that the addition of IL-25 singly to CD4 T cells failed to suppress Th17 responses to a significant extent (Figure 4A, representative data; Figure 4B, pooled data). Moreover, when hMSCs were separated from CD4 T cells by Transwell membrane, suppressive effects toward Th17 cells in CD4 cells were lost (Figure 4C, representative data; Figure 4D pooled data). This indicates that a membrane-bound factor is likely involved in IL-25-mediated effects.
hMSC-Secreted IL-25 Suppresses Th17 Responses by Upregulating Surface Expression of PD-L1

It has been reported that PD-L1 ligand, which is constitutively expressed on hMSC cell surfaces (Chang et al., 2006; Stagg et al., 2006), is a strong inhibitor of IL-17A production in human T cells (Brown et al., 2003; Hirahara et al., 2012). Hence, we considered the possibility that hMSC-secreted IL-25 effects on Th17 responses may be mediated through interacting with this MSC-cell-surface molecule. To ascertain previous reports of the suppressive effects of PD-L1 on Th17 cells, we performed knockdown of PD-L1 expression on hMSCs with siPD-L1 (Figure 5A). In line with previous reports, we found that PD-L1 knockdown in hMSCs reversed the suppression of Th17 cells (Figures 5B and 5C), but to a significantly lesser degree than that with siIL-25 (Figure 5A). To assess the role of PD-L1 in IL-25-dependent suppression of Th17 responses, we asked whether IL-25 is involved in the expression of PD-L1 on hMSCs. We found that, when IL-25 was silenced in hMSCs, surface expression of PD-L1 was strongly reduced, to a degree similar to knockdown with siRNA specific for itself (Figure 5E, representative data; Figure 5E, pooled data of siIL-25 versus siPD-L1), suggesting that IL-25 may induce PD-L1 expression. The receptor for IL-25 is IL-25R (Lee et al., 2001), and we searched for expression of IL-25R in hMSCs; western blotting revealed that hMSCs constitutively express this receptor (Figure S3A). When IL-25R expression was silenced on hMSCs with siIL-25R (Figure S3B), we found that hMSC-mediated suppression of Th17 response was significantly abrogated (Figures S3C and S3D). Thus, hMSC-secreted IL-25 requires interaction with its receptor IL-25R on hMSCs to lead to the suppression of Th17 responses.

To further ascertain interactions of IL-25 on PD-L1 expression, we added rhIL-25 directly to hMSCs and assayed for further upregulation of PD-L1. We found that exogenous rhIL-25 can further upregulate surface expression of PD-L1 on hMSCs, but not to a significant extent.
(Figure 5G), which may be due to the fact that PD-L1 is constitutively expressed at a high level on hMSCs and thereby masking further effects of rhIL-25. Thus, to clarify the significance of IL-25 on PD-L1 expression, we used human primary monocytes from PBLs since these cells are known to respond to IL-25 as well as express low levels of PD-L1 at baseline (Caruso et al., 2009b). We found that, when human PBLs were treated with rhIL-25, PD-L1 expression was dramatically and significantly increased in monocytes in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 5H, representative data; Figure 5I, pooled data). Thus, these findings demonstrate that IL-25 is involved in regulation of PD-L1 surface expression in both hMSCs and human monocytes.

IL-25-Induced Upregulation of PD-L1 Is Mediated through JNK and STAT3, with STAT3 Involved in Transcriptional Control of PD-L1

We next sought to explore the signaling pathways by which IL-25 mediates expression of PD-L1. We first used monocytes from human PBLs, in which the expression of PD-L1 is inducible rather than constitutive, to answer this question. In human primary monocytes, WP1066, a STAT3 inhibitor, or SP600125, a JNK inhibitor, substantially abolished IL-25-mediated induction of PD-L1 (Figure 6A, representative data; Figure 6B, pooled data). In contrast, PD98059, a MEK1/2 inhibitor, showed minimal effect, while LY294002, a PI3K inhibitor, and Akt inhibitor

Figure 3. IL-25 Silencing in hMSCs Reverses Th17 Responses In Vitro and In Vivo

(A–D) Freshly isolated human PBLs (A) or CD4 T cells (C) were co-cultured without (left) or with either siCtrl hMSCs (middle) or siIL-25 hMSCs (right) for 3 days, followed by PMA/ionomycin stimulation for 6 hr. IL-17A production in CD3+ T cells was assessed by intracellular staining. Numbers in the top right quadrants represent the percentages of IL-17A-producing CD3+ T cells. Pooled data from PBLs (n = 3) or CD4 T cells (n = 3) and two hMSC donors (donors A and B) are provided in (B) and (D), respectively. Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

(E) Experimental strategy for establishing in vivo inflammatory conditions in wild-type C57BL/6J mice with expansion of Th17 cells and adoptive transfer of hMSCs is shown.

(F) On day 3 after LPS (100 μg/mouse) challenge, IL-17A production in activated CD4 T cells in splenocytes from control mice, PBS-treated mice, siCtrl-hMSC-treated mice, or siIL-25-hMSC-treated mice was assessed by intracellular staining.

(G and H) Calculated (G) and relative (H) mean percentage of IL-17A-expressing CD4 T cells among control mice, PBS-treated mice, siCtrl-hMSC-treated mice, or siIL-25-hMSC-treated mice (n = 6). Data are shown as mean ± SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005.
III only partially affected IL-25-induced expression of PD-L1 (Figures S4A and S4B). In hMSCs, which constitutively express high levels of PD-L1, we also found that inhibition of STAT3 with WP1066 (Figure 6C) or JNK with SP600125 (Figure 6D) strongly reduced PD-L1 expression.

Since STAT3 is also a transcription factor, we reasoned that this molecule may not only be involved in the signal pathway of IL-25-mediated PD-L1 expression, but also play a role in transcriptional control of PD-L1. To answer this question, we first analyzed the promoter of human PD-L1 gene between nucleotide −700 and nucleotide +1 for putative STAT3-binding elements. Based on software prediction, three putative GAS elements (STAT3-binding sites) between 595 and 116 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site were found (Figure 6E), raising the possibility that STAT3 may directly bind to the promoter of PD-L1. To test this possibility, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) to determine whether STAT3 binds to the PD-L1 promoter in hMSCs, and we found that STAT3 was constitutively recruited to the GAS elements on the PD-L1 promoter (Figure 6F). Thus, our data demonstrate that, in hMSCs, IL-25 mediates cell surface expression of PD-L1 through JNK and STAT3, with the latter involved in the transcriptional control of PD-L1 (Figure 6G).

DISCUSSION

hMSCs are known to be broadly immunomodulatory and these effects are therapeutically relevant (Caplan and Correa, 2011; Le Blanc and Mougiakakos, 2012; Uccelli et al., 2008). Th17 cells are now known to be involved in the pathogenesis of a number of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases (Miossec and Kolls, 2012); hMSC interactions with this important population of leukocytes, however, have been shown to be discrepant (Darlington et al., 2010; Ghannam et al., 2010; González et al., 2009; Tso et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2012). Our data reveal that the effects of hMSCs on Th17 cells are suppressive and require both a paracrine factor, IL-25, as well as a cell surface molecule, PD-L1. Moreover, expression of PD-L1 in hMSCs is linked to IL-25 through IL-25R and further downstream through JNK and STAT3, the latter of which is involved in the transcriptional control of PD-L1. Th17 cells have been recognized as a contributor to transplant rejection through unknown mechanisms (Antonysamy et al., 1999; Faust et al., 2009). Our data may shed some light on the mechanisms behind the strong therapeutic effects of hMSC therapy on related diseases (Bassi et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2011) and implicate a role for IL-25 agonists in ameliorating autoimmune/inflammatory diseases as well as transplant rejection.

IL-25 (IL-17E) is a member of the IL-17 family (Iwakura et al., 2011). However, unlike IL-17A or F, the better-known members of this IL family that have direct roles in autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases, IL-25 actually appears to protect against IL-17A/Th17 and Th1 states (Caruso et al., 2009a). IL-25-deficient mice, in addition to promoting Th1 responses, have a higher amount of IL-17A-expressing T cells and IFN-γ-expressing T cells in Th17-mediated experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a model of human multiple sclerosis (Kleinschek et al., 2011).
Figure 5. IL-25 Induces PD-L1 Surface Expression on hMSCs and Human Monocytes

(A) PD-L1 in siCtrl MSCs (left) and siPD-L1 MSCs (right) was analyzed by surface staining.
(B) Freshly isolated human PBLs were co-cultured without (left) or with siCtrl MSCs (middle) or siPD-L1 MSCs (right) for 3 days, followed by PMA/ionomycin stimulation for 6 hr. IL-17A production in CD3+ T cells was assessed by intracellular staining. Representative data are shown with numbers in the top right quadrants representing the percentages of IL-17A-producing CD3+ T cells.
(C) Pooled data from PBLs (n = 4) and two hMSC donors (donors A and B) are shown.

(legend continued on next page)
Interestingly, accumulating data demonstrate that IL-25 has another role in the immune system by promoting Th2 responses, preventing helminth infections (Fallon et al., 2006) as well as eosinophilic airway inflammation (Kim et al., 2002). To date, reported sources of IL-25 include immune cells, such as T cells, macrophages, monocyte-derived dendritic cells, mast cells, eosinophils, and basophils, as well as non-immune cells, such as epithelial and endothelial cells (Monteleone et al., 2010). We found IL-25 to be highly and constitutively expressed by diverse sources of hMSCs, but not fibroblasts. Moreover, our data show that IL-25 is directly responsible for hMSC suppression of allogeneic Th17 responses, including decreasing the highly pathogenic IL-17A/IFN-γ+ cells, further demonstrating that IL-25 is broadly protective against Th17 and Th1 responses. It is interesting to speculate on other immunoprecipitation (ChIP) with promoter-specific primers for region 1 and region 2. The input samples (positive control) represent 1% starting chromatin.

Figure 6. IL-25-Mediated PD-L1 Expression in Human Monocytes and hMSCs Is Mediated through JNK and STAT3, with STAT3 Involved in Transcriptional Control of PD-L1

(A) Human PBLs were pretreated with inhibitors of STAT3 (WP1066; 2.5 μM), JNK (SP600125; 25 μM), or MEK1 (PD98059; 20 μM) prior to 100 ng/ml rhIL-25 for 18 hr, with subsequent flow cytometric analysis for PD-L1 surface expression on monocytes, gated using FSC and SSC. Filled histograms represent isotype control; unfilled histograms represent PD-L1 antibody staining.

(B–D) Pooled data (three donors) are shown (B) with bars representing MFI. hMSCs were treated with inhibitors of STAT3 (C; WP1066, 2.5 μM) and JNK (D; SP600125, 25 μM) for 6 hr, and subsequently assessed by flow cytometric analysis for PD-L1 surface expression. Pooled data (all three donors) for each respective inhibitor are provided (left charts) with bars representing MFI.

(E) Putative GAS elements (STAT-binding sites) in the proximal promoter region of human PD-L1 gene (700 bp region upstream from the transcription start site), as determined with TFSearch web-based software.

(F) Binding of STAT3 or IgG (negative control) in hMSCs was analyzed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) with promoter-specific primers for region 1 and region 2. The input samples (positive control) represent 1% starting chromatin.

(G) Schematic shows a model of hMSC-mediated suppression of Th17 responses involving the IL-25/STAT3/PD-L1 axis.

(D) Folds of reversed phenotypes of siIL-25 and siPD-L1 are shown.

(E) PD-L1 expression on siCtrl hMSCs (left) and siIL-25 hMSCs (right) was assessed by cell surface staining. Filled histograms represent isotype control; unfilled histograms represent PD-L1 antibody staining.

(F) Pooled data of PD-L1 expression (indicated by fold change in MFI) on siIL-25 hMSCs and siPD-L1 hMSCs (all three donors) are shown. PD-L1 expression levels were compared between hMSCs silenced for the target gene (IL-25 or PD-L1) and the respective siCtrl.

(G) hMSCs were treated with the indicated doses of rhIL-25 for 18 hr and assessed for cell surface PD-L1 expression by cell surface staining. Pooled data (all three donors) are shown in chart to the right with bars representing MFI.

(H) Human PBLs were treated with the indicated doses of rhIL-25 for 18 hr and assessed for cell surface PD-L1 expression on monocytes, gated using FSC and SSC, by flow cytometric analysis.

(I) Pooled data (ten PBL donors) are shown with bars representing MFI. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01; n.s., not significant.
possible biological roles of IL-25 in hMSCs given its high constitutive expression. Further studies are ongoing to evaluate whether this cytokine plays a role in hMSC proliferation and/or differentiation.

One of the striking findings of this study is that IL-25 directly upregulates the surface molecule PD-L1 in both leukocyte—monocytes—and non-leukocyte populations—hMSCs. PD-L1 is strongly immunosuppressive, being an inhibitor of autologous T cell activation in several autoimmune diseases (Keir et al., 2008), and blockade of its receptor, PD-1, on T cells can be very effective against cancer immunosuppression, as recently demonstrated (Tolpaiian et al., 2012). Recently, a report showed that mouse MSCs suppress Th17 responses through this pathway (Luz-Crawford et al., 2012). However, data in this report showed that blockage of the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway only partially reversed mouse MSC suppression of Th17 responses, implicating other factors in this process. Our data also demonstrate that silencing of PD-L1 results in partial reversal of hMSC suppression of Th17 responses, while silencing of IL-25 results in a significantly higher and nearly complete reversal of hMSC-mediated Th17 suppression (Figure 5D). In addition, the degree of knockdown of PD-L1 expression was similar whether the siRNA specific for IL-25 or PD-L1 was used (Figure 5F). Moreover, we found evidence that IL-25 can directly affect the transcription of PD-L1 in both hMSCs and human leukocytes through STAT3, which helps to resolve the question of PD-L1 transcriptional control (Sumpter and Thomson, 2011; Wolffle et al., 2011). Critically, mouse MSCs do not express PD-L1 in steady state, whereas hMSCs constitutively express a high level of PD-L1 (Stagg et al., 2006). It is important to note that while data from mouse systems are clearly important, in MSC immunobiology, at times the results from mouse and human systems have been conflicting (Elionopoulos et al., 2005; Le Blanc et al., 2008), as was the case with PD-L1 expression. Based on the clinical response to hMSC therapy on various immune-related diseases, it appears that hMSCs exert strong immunomodulatory effects (Le Blanc and Mougiakakos, 2012), which is not always evident with mouse studies (Elionopoulos et al., 2005). Thus, to elucidate mechanisms involved in hMSC therapeutic applications, in vitro studies using hMSCs are still critical to conduct.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that hMSCs suppress Th17 responses, which require both the secreted factor IL-25 and IL-25-mediated upregulation of surface PD-L1. The downstream signaling pathways of JNK and STAT3 are involved in IL-25 regulation of PD-L1, with STAT3 implicated in the transcriptional control of PD-L1. In addition to the known roles of Th17 cells in autoimmune and chronic inflammatory diseases, recent studies have shown the importance of Th17 cells in enhancing the efficacy of checkpoint immunotherapy (Lutz et al., 2014). Modulation of IL-25, therefore, may have strong clinical implications since this cytokine can modulate PD-L1/PD-1 interactions and Th17 cells as well. Our findings provide a better understanding of the crosstalk between hMSCs and Th17 cells, as well as highlight the IL-25/STAT3/PD-L1 axis as a candidate therapeutic target for relevant diseases.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

The hMSCs from BM and placenta were isolated and expanded according to previously published protocols (Pittenger et al., 1999; Yen et al., 2005). Briefly, placenta MSCs were isolated from term human placentas (38- to 40-week gestation; three donors designated A, B, and C) obtained with informed consent as approved by the institutional review board. Placental tissue was mechanically and enzymatically digested (0.25% trypsin-EDTA; Gibco, Invitrogen) and cultured in DMEM-low glucose (Gibco, Invitrogen), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco, Invitrogen), and 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Invitrogen). BMMSCs were obtained commercially (Cambrex, two donors designated A and B; and Promocell, one donor designated C). All hMSCs used were placent-derived unless otherwise indicated. Human PBLs were isolated from the buffy coat of healthy donor blood samples (Taiwan Blood Services Foundation, Taipei Blood Center), obtained with informed consent approved according to the procedures of the institutional review board, and cultured as previously reported (Chang et al., 2006; Yen et al., 2013). CD4 T cells were purified from PBL using human CD4 MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Purity was assessed by flow cytometric analysis (>98% positive for CD4). Human fibroblast cell lines MRC-5 and WS-1 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured according to the suggested protocols.

MSC-Leukocyte Co-culture Experiments

The hMSCs were plated at 3.5 × 10^4 cells per well in six-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 hr prior to co-culture with human PBL or CD4 cells. For co-cultures, 1 × 10^5 human PBL or CD4 cells were added to hMSC-containing wells without or with stimulation by magnetic anti-CD3/CD28-coated Dynabeads (Gibco, Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 3 days, cells were stimulated by PMA (50 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) plus ionomycin (1 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) in the presence of monensin (eBioscience) for 6 hr, followed by assessment of IL-17A expression in T cells using intracellular staining. For transwell cultures, human PBL or CD4 cells were plated in the upper compartment of transwell plates (0.4-μm pore size; BD Falcon), while hMSCs were plated in the lower compartment. Human recombinant IL-25 (rhIL-25; PeproTech) and various inhibitors (WP1066/InSolution STAT3 inhibitor III and Akt inhibitor III from Millipore; SP600125 JNK inhibitor and LY294002 PI3 kinase inhibitor from Cell Signaling Technology; and PD98059/MEK1/2 inhibitor from Cell Signaling Technology) were added to various experiments at
the indicated doses after establishing toxicity profiles for monocytes and hMSCs.

Flow Cytometry
Cells were stained with antibodies as indicated: anti-human IL-25-PE (R&amp;D Systems, IC1258P), mouse IgG1 isotype control-PE (R&amp;D Systems, IC002P), anti-human CD3-PE/Cy5 (BioLegend, 300310), anti-human CD4-PE (BioLegend, 357404), anti-human IL-17A-PE (eBioscience, 12-7179), anti-human IFN-γ-FITC (BioLegend, 502506), anti-human IL-22-PE (eBioscience, 12-7229), anti-human FOXP3-Alexa Fluor 488 (BD Pharmingen, 561181), anti-human CD274 (B1-H1)-PE (eBioscience, 12-5983), mouse IgG1 isotype control-PE (eBioscience, 12-4714), anti-human IL-25R-PE (R&amp;D Systems, FAB1207P), mouse IgG2b isotype control-PE (R&amp;D Systems, IC0041P), anti-mouse CD4-APC (eBioscience, 17-0041), anti-mouse CD3e-PE/Cy5 (eBioscience, 15-0031), and anti-mouse/rat IL-17A-PE (eBioscience, 12-7177). Data were collected on BD FACS calibur (BD Biosciences) instruments and analyzed with Cell Quest Pro software (BD Biosciences).

MS
MS/MS experiments were performed as previously reported (Chang et al., 2010). Briefly, MS/MS was performed with an LTQ-Fourier transform (FT) ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (New Objective), an Agilent 1100 series binary high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) pump (Agilent Technologies), and a Famos autosampler (LC Packings). A minimum threshold of 1,000 counts was used as the cutoff for MS/MS sequential isolation by the LTQ, with singly charged ions rejected for MS/MS sequencing.

RT-PCR
Total RNA was prepared from cells using TRIzol reagent (Gibco, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from the RNA using ImProm-Il reverse transcriptase (Promega). For PCR, cDNA was subjected to PCR using the following primer sets: IL-25, forward 5’-AGGTGCGTTCAGATGTTGGC-3’ and reverse 5’-CGCCTGTAGAAGACAGTCGTC-3’; IL-25R, forward 5’-ACTGACTGCTGACGAGATGC-3’ and reverse 5’-CGCCTGTAGAAGACAGTCGTC-3’; IL-25 p300310), anti-human CD4-PE (BioLegend, 357404), anti-human IL-22-PE (eBioscience, 12-7229), anti-human FOXP3-Alexa Fluor 488 (BD Pharmingen, 561181), anti-human CD274 (B1-H1)-PE (eBioscience, 12-5983), mouse IgG1 isotype control-PE (eBioscience, 12-4714), anti-human IL-25R-PE (R&amp;D Systems, FAB1207P), mouse IgG2b isotype control-PE (R&amp;D Systems, IC0041P), anti-mouse CD4-APC (eBioscience, 17-0041), anti-mouse CD3e-PE/Cy5 (eBioscience, 15-0031), and anti-mouse/rat IL-17A-PE (eBioscience, 12-7177). Data were collected on BD FACS calibur (BD Biosciences) instruments and analyzed with Cell Quest Pro software (BD Biosciences).

ELISA
The human IL-25 ELISA kit was obtained from PeproTech and performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The detection range was 0–2,000 pg/ml.

Immunoblot Analyses
Total cell lysates were prepared by lysis cells in lysis buffer (300 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES [pH 7.6], 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM Na3P04, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM Na4VO3) at 4°C for 15 min. Lysates were first clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 20 min. Equal amounts of samples were resolved in 7% SDS-PAGE, followed by transblotting to nitrocellulose (GE Healthcare) and blots with anti-IL-25R antibody (GeneTex, 97C691).

Statistical Analyses
Student’s t test (two-tailed) was performed for statistical analysis between two groups, and ANOVA was performed for statistical analyses of multiple groups. Statistical significance was set at p &lt; 0.05. All data were expressed as mean ± SD.
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