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ABSTRACT: Single electron transfers have been examined in complex II (succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) by the method of pulse radiolysis. Electrons are introduced into the enzyme initially at the [3Fe−4S] and ubiquinone sites followed by intramolecular equilibration with the b heme of the enzyme. To define thermodynamic and other controlling parameters for the pathways of electron transfer in complex II, site-directed variants were constructed and analyzed. Variants at SdhB-His207 and SdhB-Ile209 exhibit significantly perturbed electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and ubiquinone. Analysis of the data using Marcus theory shows that the electronic coupling constants for wild-type and variant enzyme are all small, indicating that electron transfer occurs by diabatic tunneling. The presence of the ubiquinone is necessary for efficient electron transfer to the heme, which only slowly equilibrates with the [3Fe−4S] cluster in the absence of the quinone.

Succinate-quinone oxidoreductase (SQR), or succinate dehydrogenase, is complex II of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and is also found in many aerobic and facultative microorganisms. The enzyme, as part of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, oxidizes succinate to fumarate, and the electrons produced by this reaction are transferred through a series of redox-active centers to the membrane quinone pool, thus providing reducing equivalents to the respiratory chain that are used for oxidative phosphorylation in the cell.1,2 Thus, complex II plays an important role in energy-generation pathways, and it is known that homozygous knockout of the complex is embryonic lethal in mammals.3 The SQR enzyme complex is a member of a large family of related enzymes, which, in addition to succinate dehydrogenase, include a number of related enzymes involved in anaerobic or microaerophilic metabolism in facultative bacteria or lower eukaryotes, termed quinol-fumarate reductase (QFR).1,2,4

In higher eukaryotes and Escherichia coli, complex II is a membrane-integral heterotetramer oriented toward the matrix in mitochondria and the cytoplasm in bacterial inner membranes. The enzyme is composed of hydrophilic FAD- and iron–sulfur-containing subunits bound to a two-subunit hydrophobic membrane anchor. The SdhA subunit (∼66 kDa) contains a covalently bound FAD and the dicarboxylate binding site. The SdhB subunit (∼27 kDa) contains three distinct iron–sulfur clusters, [2Fe−2S]12+,14+, [4Fe−4S]2+,14+, and [3Fe−4S]1+,0, arranged to facilitate electron transfer from the flavin to the ubiquinone-binding site.5−7 The membrane-integral SdhC (∼15 kDa) and SdhD (∼13 kDa) subunits, each possessing three transmembrane helices, harbor a low-spin heme b coordinated by a histidyl residue from each subunit as well as the quinone-binding site (also composed of amino acid residues coordinated by a histidyl residue from each subunit as well as the quinone-binding site).5,7−9 It is known that the heme is not essential for catalysis in complex II,10,11 although it clearly plays a role in stabilizing the enzyme complex.10,12 Because of its relatively high reduction potential (Em = +36 mV),9 the heme b of the E. coli enzyme is reducible by succinate,13 whereas that of bovine complex II (Em = −185 mV) is not.14,15

The E. coli SQR has proven to be a useful model for studying electron transfer and the role of quinone16 in the complex II family of enzymes. This has been facilitated by the known X-ray crystal structure of the complex and disposition of the redox-active centers within it,3,9 the ease of genetic manipulation, and the ability to produce significant amounts of wild-type and mutant proteins.17,18 The redox-active centers of complex II are arranged in an approximately linear array from the FAD of
SdhA to the [2Fe–2S], [4Fe–4S], and [3Fe–4S] clusters in SdhB. The apparent electron-transfer pathway(s) then bifurcate, with the quinone and heme sites being approximately 7 and 8.3 Å (edge-to-edge, respectively) from the [3Fe–4S] cluster and 7.6 Å from one another (again, edge-to-edge). Overall, the inter-site distances are well within the 14 Å distance thought to represent the limit for effective electron transfer in proteins.19 Given its fundamental importance as well as the accumulating evidence regarding the enzyme’s role in formation of reactive oxygen species, which may contribute to disease,20–22 it is important to understand electron transfer in complex II. Previously, we have used the method of pulse radiolysis to investigate the kinetics and thermodynamics of electron transfer in wild-type complex II23 as well as in other redox-active enzymes such as xanthine oxidase and trimethylamine dehydrogenase.24,25 With this method, radiolytically generated reducing equivalents are rapidly introduced into the enzyme under well-defined conditions and subsequent intramolecular electron equilibration is followed spectrophotometrically.

These previous studies have suggested that in E. coli SQR the heme b is in oxidation–reduction equilibrium with the iron–sulfur clusters of the enzyme.23 Conventional kinetics in conjunction with EPR spectroscopy have also suggested that the presence of quinone facilitates reduction of the heme; we also are able to establish that electron transfer occurs via diabatic electron tunneling.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Strains, Plasmids, Growth Conditions, and Site-Directed Mutagenesis.** E. coli strain DW35 (∆frdABCD, sdhC:kan) is completely deficient in chromosomally encoded SQR protein27 and was used as the host for expression of plasmid-encoded mutant or wild-type SQR. DW35 transformed with plasmid pFAS17 (sdhC′D′A′B′) was used for expression of wild-type SQR following growth on LB medium under microaerophilic conditions, as described previously.17 Mutations were constructed using the QuikChange II (Agilent Technologies) site-directed mutagenesis kit as previously described27 using appropriate forward and reverse mutagenic primers in the PCR reaction.

**Protein Expression and Purification.** Wild-type and variant SQR proteins were expressed and purified following minor modifications of published procedures.12,18 Briefly, membranes were resuspended in 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM EDTA, and complete protease inhibitor tablets (Roche). Triton X-100 was then added from a stock solution to a final concentration of 2% (w/v), giving a final ratio of approximately 5 mg of protein to 1 mL of detergent added. Triton X-100 is used to remove the endogenous quinone from the enzyme, whereas the detergent usually used (C12E9, Anratrace, Maumee, OH) retains the endogenous quinone. The homogenate was stirred briefly (~15 min) at 4 °C and then sedimented by centrifugation at 100 000g for 1 h. The supernatant was then filtered through a 0.2 µm nylon filter and kept on ice until chromatography. The dark reddish-brown supernatant was then loaded onto a DEAE-Sepharose FF column equilibrated with 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.05% (v/v) C12E9 (polyoxyethylene (9) dodecyl ether) was used to exchange the Triton X-100. The DEAE-Sepharose column is washed with two column volumes of the buffer and then with three column volumes of the same buffer containing 0.1 M NaCl, and the SQR containing fractions are then eluted with a gradient from 0.1 to 0.5 M NaCl in the same buffer. The dark reddish-brown-containing SQR fractions are then combined and concentrated using Amicon Centricron-30 filtration units. The enzyme is then stored at approximately 50 mg/mL of protein in liquid N2 until use.

**Pulse Radiolysis.** The Dynaray 4 MV linear accelerator facility at the University of Auckland, New Zealand and radical detection system was used for the pulse radiolysis studies as previously described.23 The experimental conditions used (N2O-saturated solutions containing 0.1 M sodium formate and 2.5 mM N-methyl nicotinamide, NMN) produce radiolytic products of water that are quantitatively converted (0.68 µM/Gy) to the strongly reducing MeN* radicals (Em = −1.01 V),26 which represents the proximal reductant of the enzyme. Typically, 3 Gy radiation doses producing 2 µM MeN* radicals in 200 ns were used to initiate the reactions. Spectral data is obtained as the change in extinction coefficient relative to the prepulsed fully oxidized enzyme, assuming the MeN* radical is fully scavenged by the enzyme. Previous studies have shown that the MeN* radicals react with SQR, xanthine oxidase, and trimethylamine dehydrogenase with second-order rate constants in excess of 108 M−1 s−1.23–25 Allowing one to use spectrophotometric methods to follow subsequent slower (but in excess of 104 s−1) intramolecular electron-transfer reactions. Thermodynamic parameters were determined from the temperature dependence of accessible rate constants over the range 10–35 °C using a temperature-controlled cell.

**Enzyme Activity.** Steady-state succinate oxidation with the artificial electron acceptor phenazine ethosulfate (PES) and the ubiquinone analogue UQ was coupled to the reduction of 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol (DCIP) as previously described.9,17,29 As a control for the experimental conditions used during pulse radiolysis, it was found that there was no effect of addition of 0.1 M formate or 2.5 mM NMN on the steady-state kinetics of SQR (data not shown).

**Potentiometric Titrations and EPR Spectroscopy.** Potentiometric titrations for the [3Fe–4S] cluster were carried out on membrane preparation enriched with wild-type and mutant SQR enzymes at 25 °C as previously described.30,31 Titrations were carried out at pH 7.0 in a buffer containing 0.1 M MOPS, 5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM malonate. EPR spectra were acquired with a Bruker Elexys E500 spectrometer equipped with a Bruker SHQE cavity and an Oxford Instruments ESR900 flowing helium cryostat. The EPR spectra from the [3Fe–4S]1+ center were recorded at 12 K (20 mW microwave power and 100 kHz modulation frequency). The [3Fe–4S] spectra were recorded at modulations amplitudes of
10 Gpp. Five scans were accumulated for each sample. Reported $E_m$ values are accurate within ca. ±10 mV.

---

**RESULTS**

**Pulse Radiolysis of Wild-Type and Variant SQR.** The spectral changes observed upon reduction of the several chromophores of wild-type *E. coli* SQR have been described previously in titrations with sodium dithionite,

\[ \text{[3Fe-4S]} \] making it possible to ascribe absorbance changes at different wavelengths to reduction of the FAD, iron–sulfur, and heme centers of the enzyme. Bleaching of absorbance in the 440–510 nm region is primarily due to reduction of the flavin and iron–sulfur clusters of the enzyme\(^{23}\) (as noted in Figure 1 of ref 23), whereas absorbance increases in the 420–435 nm region (and also 558 nm) are principally due to reduction of the heme.\(^{23}\)

Previously, using wild-type SQR and pulse radiolysis, three kinetic phases were observed for intramolecular electron transfers (i.e., independent of enzyme concentration) following reduction of the enzyme by the MeN\(^+\) radical at a biomolecular rate constant ($k_0$) of $7 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (the three kinetic phases are shown in Figure 4 of ref 23, whereas a partial kinetic scheme is shown in Figure 9 of the same reference). The loss in the minor absorbance of the MeN\(^+\) radical at 450 nm for low concentrations of the enzyme lead to a small amount of bleaching of the enzyme at this wavelength,\(^{23}\) which together with other observed spectral changes on the same time scale identify this as heme reduction. At concentrations above ∼30 μM enzyme, this fast bleaching of the enzyme occurred with no observable increase in rate constant. This plateau in rate constant we now designate to be $k_1$ and can be ascribed to an electron-transfer pathway between UQ and heme ($k_1 \sim 24,000$ s$^{-1}$), as shown in Figure 1, rather than arising from some association between the N-methyl nicotinamide and SQR. The reinterpretation of this data is consistent with the short distance between UQ and heme and accounts for the rapid appearance of reduced heme through electron transfer from ubisemiquinone, which is produced in a minor amount upon reaction of the MeN\(^+\) radical with the enzyme. The previous rate-determining step for the large reduction of the heme from the reduced [3Fe–4S] (i.e., electron transfer between the [3Fe–4S] and UQ) observed at 430 nm (formerly termed $k_1$)\(^{23}\) occurs at a slower rate of ∼7200 s$^{-1}$ and is now designated as $k_2$.

---

**Figure 1.** One-electron reduction and subsequent rates of equilibration of the reducing equivalent over the distances between redox-active centers of wild-type SQR.

---

**Figure 2.** Proposed electron-transfer pathways between the [3Fe–4S] cluster to UQ and heme b of SQR. The [3Fe–4S] center is shown in red and gold spheres, UQ is shown in pink, and the UQ-site inhibitor carboxin is shown in light green. Protein Data Bank code 1NEK was used to derive the wild-type structure with UQ present, and PDB code 2WDQ was used to derive the structure with carboxin. At the bottom of the figure is shown the heme b in gray for the wild-type structure and in green-blue for the structure with carboxin. The HARLEM program was used to derive potential electron-transfer pathways between the [3Fe–4S] center and the UQ-binding site, with three potential pathways show by the gray dotted lines (1–3). Pathways 1 and 2 were determined with UQ present, and pathway 3 was determined using the UQ-site inhibitor carboxin (path 3). The dotted red lines show path 4 between the [3Fe–4S] and heme, which is the same for both structures. The green dotted spheres show path 5 between the heme b and the UQ-site when carboxin is present.
The analysis of the carboxin-bound structure (2WDQ) suggests an alternate path through backbone covalent bonds of Cys-206 and His-207 of SdhB, then a through-space jump from the CD2 atom of the imidazole ring of His-207, and then on to carboxin (path 3, Figure 2). The heme $b$ D-ring propionate is approximately 8.2 and 8.4 Å from the [3Fe$-$4S] cluster in the two SQR structures, with the same electron-transfer pathway determined for the electron transfer between the heme and Fe$-$S center (path 4, Figure 2). This pathway also involves Cys-206 and His-207 with through-space jumps between atom ND1 of the imidazole and the O2D oxygen atom of the D-ring heme propionate. Lastly, the calculated electron-transfer pathway between the heme and UQ depends on the position of the quinoid ring in the UQ-binding pocket. In the 2WDQ structure, the carboxin, which we suggest represents the position of UQ, is approximately 3 Å from His-207 and thus would allow direct electron transfer between two redox-active groups (path 5, Figure 2).

An initial steady-state survey of several variants was conducted to choose those most appropriate for pulse radiolysis experiments. Steady-state kinetic assays of wild-type SQR and variants are shown in Table 1. All enzyme forms retain essentially full succinate-oxidase activity in the succinate-PES assay, indicating that the amino acid substitutions have not perturbed the ability of the enzyme to oxidize succinate and that the enzyme is fully assembled. It has previously been shown that the SdhB-His207Thr variant retains near wild-type activity in its ability to reduce UQ. By contrast, the succinate-UQ$^1$ reductase activity of both SdhB-Ile209 variants is significantly reduced (Table 1). The SdhB-Ile209Ala variant retains 20% of the succinate-UQ$^1$ reductase activity, but the SdhB-Ile209Lys enzyme retains less than 1% of wild-type activity. The latter result is consistent with in silico modeling of the SdhB-Ile209Lys mutation that suggests that the Lys substitution structurally interferes with UQ binding at the UQ-binding site. Table 1 also shows that the $K_m$ for UQ$^1$ in the SdhB-His207Thr and SdhB-Ile209Ala variant enzymes is similar to that of wild-type SQR (unfortunately, the $K_m$ for the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant could not be determined because of the minimal residual activity).

Mutation of SdhB-Pro160 to Ala has a lesser effect on UQ reduction than either SdhB-Ile209 variant (Table 1). In addition, a double mutant of SdhB-Pro160Ala and Ile209Ala is approximately 90% compromised in its ability to reduce UQ. Unfortunately, the purified SdhB-Pro160 variants are not sufficiently stable to analyze by pulse radiolysis and were not further investigated. The SdhB-His207 and SdhB-Ile209 variants (Figure 3A$-$C) were thus chosen for further pulse radiolysis analysis, as amino acid substitutions of these residues introduced gaps (Figure 3B,C compared to wild-type Figure 2).

Table 1. Comparison of Succinate-Oxidase Reactions Catalyzed by SQR Enzymes$^a$

|                | succinate-PES | succinate-UQ$^1$ | $E_{in}$ [3Fe$-$4S] cluster |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| wild-type SQR  | 98            | 3.5               | +70 mV$^b$                  |
| SdhB-Ile209Ala | 93            | 5.7               | +125 mV                     |
| SdhB-Ile209Lys | 90            | nd                | +150 mV                     |
| SdhB-His207Thr | 92            | 8.8               | +2 mV$^b$                   |
| SdhB-Pro160Gly | 79            | 5.2               | nd                          |
| SdhB-Pro160Gly/Ile209Ala | 82 | 5.8               | nd                          |

$a$Isolated SQR enzymes were activated with 3 mM malonate (pH 7, 30 °C for 20 min), and the succinate activities were determined with PES (phenazine ethosulfate) and UQ$^1$ in 50 mM Bis-Tris-Propane, pH 8, as previously described.$^{29,31}$ TN, turnover number based on heme $b$ content of enzyme preparations. nd, not determined. $^b$Data taken from Tran et al.$^9$ and Ruprecht et al.$^9$ respectively.

Figure 3. Electron-transfer distances in SQR. (A) Wild-type SQR structure with carboxin (PDB code 2WDQ). (B) SdhB-His207Thr variant enzyme structure (PDB code 2WP9). (C) In silico derived structure of SdhB-Ile209 variant enzymes. The Ile209Ala variant is shown as the thick orange line, and the Ile209Lys variant enzyme is shown as the thin gold line with the N-$\varepsilon$-amino group of the Lys shown in blue. The gray dotted spheres show the gaps between nearest atoms between the redox-active centers, and nearest distances are indicated in angstroms. The in silico structure indicated that the SdhB-209Lys substitution would likely clash with UQ or carboxin at the UQ-binding site.
Regardless, these data show that the reduction potential of the quinone-binding site inhibitor carboxin.9 In wild-type SQR, the X-ray crystal structure of the SdhB-H207T variant SQR.
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is a slow equilibration between the \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) and UQ even with the electron-transfer pathway perturbed by the mutation.

**Thermodynamic and Marcus Parameters for Electron Transfer.** Assuming that the observed intramolecular rate constants reflect an approach to equilibrium between specific pairs of redox-active centers, individual rate constants for forward and reverse reactions between each redox-active center can be calculated using the known reduction potentials for the centers (see Supporting Information Table S1). Thermodynamic parameters governing all three kinetic pathways in the wild-type enzyme, \(A_i\) and \(\Delta S^\ddagger_i\), were obtained from transition-state theory using Arrhenius and Eyring plots of the dependence of electron transfer on temperature. Data for electron transfer between the \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) cluster and heme for the SdhB-His207Thr and -Ile209Ala variants as well as electron transfer between the \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) cluster and UQ in the case of the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant were similarly analyzed (Table 2).

The Eyring pre-exponential term, \(A \left(\text{s}^{-1}\right)\), contains the dimensionless transmission coefficient, \(k\), which represents the fraction of molecules achieving the transition state that subsequently proceed to products. The activation energy, \(E_{act}\), for the slowed \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) to UQ phase in the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant is raised considerably relative to wild-type enzyme. The free energy change, \(\Delta G^\ddagger\), for all phases is similar, whereas the large negative changes in entropy, \(\Delta S^\ddagger\), most likely arises from charge separation (transfer from the initially reduced \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\) cluster) and charge delocalization at equilibrium.

An analysis of diabatic electron transfer in SQR using Marcus theory (eqs 1 and 2) yields information on the site-directed mutagenesis on the reorganization energy, \(\lambda\), electronic coupling, \(H_{ab}\), and the attenuation coefficient, \(\beta\).

\[
k = \frac{4\pi^2}{h} \frac{H_{ab}^2}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 \kappa g T}} \exp -\left(\frac{\lambda - \Delta E_0}{2}\right) \left(\text{eV}\right)\exp -\left(\frac{\lambda - \Delta E_0}{2}\right) \left(\text{eV}\right)
\]

Because the driving force of electron transfer for each of the three intramolecular electron transfer processes being examined is small, varying between 0.05 and 0.11 eV, it is valid to plot \(\ln(kT^{1/2})\) versus \(1/T\) to obtain the Marcus pre-exponential factor, \(A_M\), from the intercept and the activation energy, \(E_M\), from the slope of the plot \((m = -E_M/k_B)\). Plots for the \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]\)/UQ and \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]/\text{heme}\) electron-transfer events in wild-type enzyme are presented in Figure 7, and the derived data for the wild-type and mutant enzymes are given in Table 3. Because \(\Delta G_0 \ll \lambda\), then \(\lambda \approx 4\Delta E_0 + H_{ab}\) can be calculated. It has been proposed that the maximum for \(k = 1.0 \times 10^{13}\) s\(^{-1}\) when \(\lambda\) is independent of distance, \(D\). Using the rate constants observed at 25 °C and the distances between the redox-active centers established by the X-ray crystal structure, it is possible to calculate the attenuation coefficient, \(\beta\), from eq (2) (Table 3).

\[
k = 1.0 \times 10^{13} \exp -\left(\text{eV}\right)\exp -\left(\text{eV}\right)
\]

The pre-exponential factors derived from Arrhenius and Marcus-type plots are related, \(A (\text{s}^{-1}) = A_M (K^{1/2} \text{ s}^{-1})\), with \(A\) being slightly smaller because of the effect of \(\kappa\). As for the \(E_{act}\) data, the \(E_M\) values for \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]/\text{heme}\) electron transfer are similar for wild-type and variants, whereas \(E_M\) for \([3\text{Fe}−4\text{S}]/\text{UQ}\) electron transfer with the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant is raised

### Table 2. Thermodynamic Parameters Obtained from Arrhenius and Eyring Plots

| protein      | kinetic pathway | \(A (\text{s}^{-1})\) | \(E_{act} (\text{eV})\) | \(\Delta G^\ddagger (\text{eV})\) | \(\Delta S^\ddagger (\text{eV} \text{ K}^{-1})\) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| wild-type    | 1               | 1.29 ± 0.05 × 10^{10} | 0.284 ± 0.021          | 0.497 ± 0.057                 | −8.15 ± 0.07 × 10^{14}           |
|             | 2               | 3.35 ± 0.20 × 10^{10} | 0.278 ± 0.031          | 0.578 ± 0.121                 | −7.12 ± 1.30 × 10^{14}           |
|             | 3               | 4.37 ± 0.30 × 10^{10} | 0.391 ± 0.039          | 0.531 ± 0.086                 | −9.33 ± 1.03 × 10^{14}           |
| His207Thr   | 3               | 7.84 ± 0.87 × 10^{10} | 0.383 ± 0.059          | 0.640 ± 0.176                 | −8.62 ± 1.95 × 10^{15}           |
| Ile209Ala    | 3               | 1.40 ± 0.04 × 10^{10} | 0.494 ± 0.016          | 0.358 ± 0.036                 | −5.43 ± 0.53 × 10^{15}           |
| Ile209Lys    | 2               | 4.97 ± 0.72 × 10^{10} | 0.545 ± 0.092          | 0.673 ± 0.302                 | −5.04 ± 3.09 × 10^{15}           |
|             | 3               | 3.58 ± 0.22 ± 10^{10} | 0.422 ± 0.034          | 0.614 ± 0.079                 | −7.30 ± 1.15 × 10^{15}           |
compared to wild-type enzyme. The derived $E_{act}$ and $E_M$ values are the same within experimental error for all phases studied. Of particular interest are the effect of the amino acid substitutions on $\lambda$ and $\beta$. Whereas these parameters are unchanged for [3Fe–4S]/heme electron transfer in the ShdB-His207Thr and -Ile209Lys variant enzymes compared to wild-type enzyme. The data also show that when the UQ site is perturbed (by either mutation or occluded with an inhibitor electron transfer from the [3Fe–4S] cluster and heme), the slow electron transfer between [3Fe–4S] and UQ in the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant can be attributed to a large increase in $\lambda$ even though $\beta$ values are significantly smaller (Table 3). There is also an increase in $\lambda$ for the [3Fe–4S]/heme phase (kinetic phase 3, Table 3) in the Ile209Ala variant, but in this case, there is a much smaller change in $\beta$ compared to wild-type enzyme. The determined $\beta$ values of $\sim 1.3$ Å$^{-1}$ in the UQ/heme (kinetic phase 1, Table 3) and [3Fe–4S]/UQ (kinetic phase 2, Table 3) in the wild-type protein are similar to values found for diabatic electron transfer in many biological systems. There is no correlation between $H_{ab}$ values and $\lambda$ values, although the $H_{ab}$ values (Table 3) are $\ll k_b T$, indicating that the donor and acceptor centers are weakly coupled and that electron transfer occurs via quantum mechanical tunneling.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, one-electron reduction of SQR by pulse radiolysis was used to define thermodynamic and other controlling parameters for electron transfer between the [3Fe–4S], UQ, and heme centers of the enzyme, thus providing a full description of these electron-transfer pathways in the enzyme. Previous work using wild-type SQR has shown that the major site of reduction upon reaction of the proximal reductant (the Men$^+$ radical) is at the [3Fe–4S] cluster, with some reduction also occurring at the UQ center. This models the physiological reduction of the [3Fe–4S] center following oxidation of succinate by the fully oxidized enzyme, where electrons are transferred from the reduced FAD moiety individually through the three Fe–S centers of SQR to the high-potential [3Fe–4S] center. Time-resolved spectrophotometry reveals that an electron deposited on the [3Fe–4S] center rapidly equilibrates between it, the UQ, and heme. Because the spatial distribution and reduction potentials of these three centers are known, the system lends itself to a quantitative analysis of the factors controlling intramolecular electron transfer in a multizentred redox-active protein. The data presented in the current study allow us to modify our previous model in which we had suggested that the favored electron-transfer pathway was from the [3Fe–4S]–heme–UQ. This suggestion was influenced by using a high concentration of the weaker-binding inhibitor pentachlorophenol (PCP), which most likely only partially displaced UQ. Using the site-directed variants of SQR and the potent quinone-site inhibitor atpenin A5, the pulse radiolysis and steady-state kinetic data suggest that the preferred electron-transfer pathway is from the [3Fe–4S] to UQ and then to the heme (Figure 1). Support for this conclusion comes from the observation of a larger initial reduction of the heme (as evidenced by the increase in absorbance at 420–430 nm) at the end of the fast reduction of the protein by the Men$^+$ radical when UQ is present compared to when UQ is removed or its site is blocked by atpenin A5 (data not shown). Thus, the occupancy of the UQ site clearly influences the reduction of the heme. The data also show that when the UQ site is perturbed by either mutation or occluded with an inhibitor electron transfer from the [3Fe–4S] to the heme does occur, consistent with our previous model.

In the current study, the program HARLEM was used to identify potential paths for electron transfer between the [3Fe–4S] cluster, UQ, and heme as well as the amino acid residues likely to be important for rapid equilibration of electrons

![Figure 7. Kinetic plots after Marcus theory of the observed rate constants of intramolecular electron transfer in wild-type SQR as a function of temperature for two kinetic pathways. Upper panel: kinetic pathway 2 ([3Fe–4S]/UQ). Lower panel: kinetic pathway 3 ([3Fe–4S] to heme). Data for $k_2$ and $k_3$ are derived from $k_{2,obs}$ as a function of the $\Delta E_B$ between the donor and acceptor redox-active centers. Data points are the average of three separate measurements at each temperature.]
between them. In the pulse radiolysis work described here with several SQR variants, the rates of electron transfer between the redox-active centers are indeed observed to be perturbed relative to wild-type enzyme, consistent with the rates observed when the potent quinone-site inhibitor atpenin A5 is present. Substitution of SdhB-His207 with threonine indicates that this residue plays a pivotal role in electron transfer between [3Fe−4S] and UQ and also between [3Fe−4S] and the heme. In the SdhB-His207Thr variant, the gap between the UQ site and the [3Fe−4S] cluster is not increased to the same extent as that between the heme and the [3Fe−4S] cluster; however, the effect on electron transfer is dramatically different, with that involving the [3Fe−4S] cluster and UQ becoming too slow to be measured. Although the thermodynamic and Marcus parameters controlling electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and heme are largely unchanged from that of the wild-type enzyme, the pre-exponential factor (A and $A_0$) is significantly decreased. This is most likely due to the change in orientation of the heme propionates as well as the increased gap between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and the heme, resulting in a decrease in the rate constant for electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and heme in the SdhB-His207Thr variant. The SdhB-Ile209Lys variant also has similar thermodynamic and Marcus parameters to the wild-type enzyme for electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and heme, but in this case, the electron-transfer process could be followed, and the change in the rate constant for electron transfer correlated with the changes in Marcus parameters. Of interest is the small β value for electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and heme that is observed in the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant. A possible efficient pathway between the [3Fe−4S] and heme center in the wild-type enzyme includes a relatively small gap of 2.6 Å between the histidine imidazole and the heme propionate. The dramatic decrease in β for electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and UQ in the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant relative to wild-type enzyme suggests that introduction of the positive charge in the variant may perturb the structure of the quinone-binding pocket and this in turn compromises efficient electron transfer. However, electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] cluster and heme in the SdhB-Ile209Ala variant is only slightly perturbed, consistent with a more modest structural perturbation as compared with the SdhB-Ile209Lys variant.

During the physiological reduction of SQR by succinate, two electrons are introduced to the flavin at the active site, and these are then distributed among the several redox-active centers of the enzyme. Critical to enzyme turnover is the two-electron reduction of SQR by succinate, although even when they do not significantly influence the rate of heme reduction in complex II. Relevant to this are the data shown in Table 1 for the steady-state kinetics of the SdhB-Pro160 variants that indicates that this residue is also important in electron transfer between the [3Fe−4S] and quinone (consistent with the HARLEM pathway analysis). It should be noted that in humans mutation of the residue equivalent to E. coli SdhB-Pro160 (human SdhB-Pro197) has been associated with paragangliomas and phaeochromocytoma tumors.39−41 It has also been noted that of the germline mutations identified in human complex II, those in the SDHB gene are most frequently associated with malignancy and a poor clinical prognosis.31−45 Recent studies of mammalian complex II have called increasing attention to the role of the enzyme as a generator of reactive oxygen species (ROS).20,21 Available evidence suggests that both the flavin semiquinone and ubisemiquinone radicals are likely sources of ROS. The data presented here show that the rate of electron transfer in complex II is altered by mutations that perturb the quinone-binding region even when they do not significantly affect overall enzyme activity. Because many of the missense substitutions of complex II associated with human diseases map to the quinone-binding region of the enzyme,22,49 it seems likely that alteration of electron distribution in the quinone-binding domain, although having only subtle effects on activity, may nevertheless increase the propensity for the enzyme to produce ROS.

In summary, these studies show that complex II conserved amino acids SdhB-His207, SdhB-Ile209, and SdhB-Pro160 of the E. coli enzyme are part of the preferred electron-transfer pathway.
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