Novel Insights into Eukaryotic $\gamma$-Glutamyltranspeptidase 1 from the Crystal Structure of the Glutamate-bound Human Enzyme*
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Background: Human $\gamma$-glutamyltranspeptidase 1 (hGGT1) is a key enzyme in cysteine metabolism and several diseases. Results: We obtained the high resolution crystal structure of hGGT1. Conclusion: The structure reveals the molecular basis for differences between the human and bacterial enzymes in autoprocessing and catalytic activity. Significance: The structure provides a template for the structure-based design of therapeutic inhibitors of hGGT1.

The enzyme $\gamma$-glutamyltranspeptidase 1 (GGT1) is a conserved member of the N-terminal nucleophile hydrolase family that cleaves the $\gamma$-glutamyl bond of glutathione and other $\gamma$-glutamyl compounds. In animals, GGT1 is expressed on the surface of the cell and has critical roles in maintaining cysteine levels in the body and regulating intracellular redox status. Expression of GGT1 has been implicated as a potentiator of asthma, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. The rational design of effective inhibitors of human GGT1 (hGGT1) has been delayed by the lack of a reliable structural model. The available crystal structures of several bacterial GGTs have been of limited use due to differences in the catalytic behavior of bacterial and mammalian GGTs. We report the high resolution (1.67 Å) crystal structure of glutamate-bound hGGT1, the first of any eukaryotic GGT. Comparisons of the active site architecture of hGGT1 with those of its bacterial orthologs highlight key differences in the residues responsible for substrate binding, including a bimodal switch in the orientation of the catalytic nucleophile (Thr-381) that is unique to the human enzyme. Compared with several bacterial counterparts, the lid loop in the crystal structure of hGGT1 adopts an open conformation that allows greater access to the active site. The hGGT1 structure also revealed tightly bound chlorides near the catalytic residue that may contribute to catalytic activity. These are absent in the bacterial GGTs. These differences between bacterial and mammalian GGTs and the new structural data will accelerate the development of new therapies for GGT1-dependent diseases.

$\gamma$-Glutamyltranspeptidase 1 (GGT1; EC 2.3.2.2) is expressed in bacteria, plants, and animals. In humans, GGT1 (hGGT1, P19440) is a cell surface enzyme that hydrolyzes extracellular $\gamma$-glutamyl compounds, including glutathione ($\gamma$-Glu-Cys-Gly) and leukotriene C4. hGGT1 contributes to cysteine homeostasis, intracellular redox status, inflammation, and the renal mercapturic acid pathway (1–5). hGGT1 has been implicated as a potentiator of asthma, Parkinson disease, and cardiovascular disease. It is induced in many cancers, contributing to their inherent resistance to alkylating agents and other classes of clinical chemotherapeutic agents (6–10). Elevated hGGT1 activity in the serum is a common diagnostic marker of several diseases, including liver cancer, alcoholic hepatitis, disrupted bile formation, and pancreatic cancer. Despite its clinical importance, a complete characterization of hGGT1 and the development of therapeutic inhibitors have been hindered by the lack of a crystal structure for hGGT1 or any eukaryotic GGT (11).

Currently, the most potent inhibitors of the GGT family of enzymes are broad specificity glutamine substrate mimetics (competitive inhibitors), which have historically proven to be too toxic for use in humans (12–14). Rational improvements on this design have been hampered by unresolved differences in the binding mechanism between human and bacterial GGTs that are not readily discernable from their primary structures. We have recently identified a novel class of uncompetitive species-specific hGGT1 inhibitors that are several orders of magnitude less toxic than the canonical substrate analog, acivicin (15–17). Strategic refinements of the structure of the founding member of this class of hGGT1 inhibitors, OU749, have also been impeded by the lack of an accurate structural model for the human enzyme (or any eukaryotic GGT).
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The atomic coordinates and structure factors (codes 4GDX and 4GG2) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank [http://wwwpdb.org/].
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hGGT1 is a member of the N-terminal nucleophile hydrolase superfamily of enzymes (18). Like other members of this superfamily of enzymes, hGGT1 requires autolytic activation to become a mature amidase (19). As such, hGGT1 is expressed as a 569-amino acid propeptide that autocleaves into a large subunit (42 kDa, residues 1–380) and a small subunit (20 kDa, residues 381–569). The heterodimer localizes to the cell surface and is tethered to the plasma membrane by a single-pass transmembrane domain (residues 5–26) located at the N terminus of the large subunit. The same residue (Thr-381) that autocleaves the propeptide also serves as the catalytic nucleophile within the active site of the mature enzyme (20, 21).

Under physiological conditions, the primary reaction catalyzed by mammalian hGGT1 is the hydrolysis of γ-glutamyl amide bonds (2, 22, 23). hGGT1 cleaves the γ-glutamyl amide bond of all γ-glutamyl compounds that have been tested (5). The reaction is initiated by a nucleophilic attack by the side chain hydroxyl oxygen of Thr-381 on the γ-glutamyl amide bond. An acyl bond forms between the glutamate portion of the substrate (the γ-carbon of glutamate) and the oxygen of the side chain of Thr-381 as the remainder of the substrate is released. The subsequent hydrolysis of the acyl bond releases glutamate and is the rate-limiting step of the reaction. hGGT1 can also catalyze a transpeptidation reaction in solutions with high concentrations of dipeptides and some amino acids (24). In the transpeptidation reaction, the γ-glutamyl group bound to Thr-381 is transferred to the α-nitrogen of a dipeptide acceptor, thereby forming a new γ-glutamyl compound. The hydrolysis and transpeptidation reactions proceed by a modified ping-pong mechanism (25, 26).

Crystal structures have been reported for one archaeal (Thermoplasma acidophilum; PDB code 2i3o) and four bacterial (Escherichia coli, Helicobacter pylori, Bacillus subtilis, and Bacillus halodurans) GGTs (27–29) (PDB codes 2dbx, 2qm6, 3A75, and 2nlz, respectively). Among these structures, GGT from E. coli (EcGGT; P18956) has the highest amino acid sequence homology to hGGT1 (P19440), with 32% (172 of 533 residues) identity, 53% (280 of 533 residues) similarity, and 4% (20 of 533 residues) gaps (Fig. 1). Despite these similarities, hGGT1 differs from bacterial GGTs in several ways. For example, hGGT1 catalyzes both a hydrolysis and a transpeptidation reaction (16, 17), whereas the characterized bacterial GGTs exhibit comparatively poorer transpeptidation activity (30–33). This suggests that the binding pocket surrounding the active site of hGGT1 differs from the binding pockets in the bacterial GGTs in a manner that is not readily discernible from sequence alignments between the primary structures of these orthologs. Furthermore, hGGT1 is a type II membrane protein.
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tethered to the cell surface by a single-pass transmembrane domain, whereas GG Ts in bacteria are untethered and localize to the periplasmic space (30, 34). Finally, hGG T1 has different requirements for autocleavage compared with bacterial GG Ts. hGG T1 has seven N-glycosylation sites, and proper folding and autocleavage of the propeptide requires co-translational N-glycosylation (35, 36). The bacterial GG Ts with crystal structures lack N-glycosylation, yet their propeptides are properly folded and undergo autocleavage (27–29, 37). Two cysteines (Cys-50 and Cys-74) are conserved in the large subunit of all eukaryotic GG Ts and are required for efficient autocleavage of hGG T1 (38, 39). Both of these sites are alamines in the bacterial GG Ts. These differences in protein maturation and function reflect distinct structural features of hGG T1.

To improve our understanding of the structure of hGG T1, we have innovatively crystallized the soluble ectodomain (residues 28–569) of deglycosylated hGG T1 and determined its x-ray crystal structure to a resolution of 1.67 Å. Analysis of this active form of the enzyme has provided new insights into the folding, autocleavage, and kinetic activity of hGG T1 and revealed many key structural differences between the human and bacterial GG Ts. These structural differences (a) underscore the differences in the catalytic behavior of these orthologous enzymes and (b) explain the difficulties in drug design based upon homology models of hGG T1 derived from the bacterial crystal structures. This new information will serve as an essential launching point for the structure-based design of hGG T1 inhibitors with enhanced therapeutic efficacy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Expression and Purification of Deglycosylated Human GGT1—We developed a yeast recombinant system for the expression of hGG T1. To express the soluble ectodomain of the enzyme, the transmembrane domain (residues 1–27) was omitted and replaced with a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-cleavable polyhistidine tag. The N-terminal His6-tagged hGG T1 (P19440; amino acids 28–569) of deglycosylated hGG T1 and determined its x-ray crystal structure to a resolution of 1.67 Å. Analysis of this active form of the enzyme has provided new insights into the folding, autocleavage, and kinetic activity of hGG T1 and revealed many key structural differences between the human and bacterial GG Ts. These structural differences (a) underscore the differences in the catalytic behavior of these orthologous enzymes and (b) explain the difficulties in drug design based upon homology models of hGG T1 derived from the bacterial crystal structures. This new information will serve as an essential launching point for the structure-based design of hGG T1 inhibitors with enhanced therapeutic efficacy.
refined against 1.67 Å x-ray data (I_obs > 0–σ(I_obs)) with PHENIX (42) between rounds of model rebuilding with COOT (43). The final R value was 0.145, and the final R_free value was 0.174. The anomalous diffraction difference maps were calculated with ANODE (44). The refinement statistics and PDB accession codes are listed in Table 1. Sequence alignments were prepared with ClustalW (45) and ESPRIT (46). DSSP was used to identify the secondary structure elements (47). Molecular images were made with PyMOL (Schrödinger, LLC). The buried molecular surface areas between the subunits and at intermolecular contacts were determined with the PISA server (48).

Site-directed Mutagenesis—Mutagenesis at site 545 in hGGT1 was done with the QuickChange kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). Full-length wild-type human GGT1 (EC 2.3.2.2) cDNA in a pcDNA3.1(+) plasmid served as the template for PCR mutagenesis (36). HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with either wild type hGGT1 or Q545K hGGT1 (36). The expression levels of hGGT1 were evaluated by Western blot. Equivalent amounts of wild-type or mutant hGGT1 protein were assayed for hydrolisis activity with d-γ-glutamyl-p-nitroanilide (3 mM) as the substrate (36).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural Overview—The milligram quantities of hGGT1 required for crystallization made it impractical to purify the enzyme from human tissue, so we expressed a soluble form of hGGT1 in a yeast recombinant system. We showed previously that hGGT1 expressed in yeast is N-glycosylated, autoceaves into the expected large and small subunits, and has the same catalytic activity as the enzyme expressed in human tissues (15). To reduce structural heterogeneity, we cleaved the N-glycans on hGGT1 to leave one GlcNAc at each site. The purified enzyme (Fig. 2) was active (specific activity of 262 units/mg) and formed diffraction quality crystals within 10 days at room temperature in the presence of 0.25 mM L-glutamate. After cryoprotection, complete x-ray diffraction data were collected with synchrotron radiation from a single crystal for each structure (Table 1).

The structure of hGGT1 was determined by molecular replacement with the crystal structure of the EcGGT (PDB code 2DBU) as a search model. The structure was refined with data to a resolution of 1.67 Å (Table 1). This resolution limit is within the range of resolution limits achieved for previous crystal structures of the bacterial enzymes (27–29).

The hGGT1 crystals contained one heterodimer per asymmetric unit. Other investigators have reported that the bacterial GGTs crystallized with two heterodimers per asymmetric unit in three space groups (17–19). The paired bacterial GGT heterodimers are related by an approximately 2-fold rotation and form two contact surfaces (27–29). In hGGT1, these two contact surfaces were made with two different heterodimers rather than with one heterodimer and buried less molecular surface area (566 Å²) than the contacts between paired heterodimers of EcGGT (PDB code 2DBU, 932 Å²) or H. pylori GGT (HpGGT, PDB code 2NQO, 866 Å²) (27, 28). Dynamic light scattering and gel filtration studies of HpGGT have demonstrated that HpGGT exists as a heterotetramer in solution (33), so the paired heterodimers of the bacterial GGT may be biologically

### Table 1

Data collection and refinement statistics

| Parameters | hGGT1-Glu | hGGT1-iodide |
|------------|-----------|-------------|
| PDB code   | 4DGX      | 4GG2        |

| Data collection |                      |                      |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Space group     | C222                  | C222                  |
| Unit cell lengths a, b, c (Å) | 105.5, 125.2, 104.5 | 105.7, 126.7, 104.6 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 1.67–47.1 | 2.20–47.2 |
| High resolution bin (Å) | 1.67–1.76 | (2.20–2.26) |
| No. of unique reflections | 78,680 (3970) | 34,297 (1864) |
| Completeness (%) | 98.3 (90.2) | 95.3 (73.2) |
| Multiplicity | 6.3 (4.6) | 18.5 (10.6) |
| Rmerge (%) | 7.6 (74.0) | 10.8 (54.7) |
| Rmerge (%) | 8.2 (83.0) | 11.1 (57.4) |
| Rmerge (%) | 3.2 (13.0) | 2.5 (16.3) |
| Mean I/σ(I) | 16.2 (2.1) | 26.3 (2.3) |

| Refinement |                      |                      |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Resolution range (Å) | 1.67–47.1 | 2.21–44.0 |
| R factor (%) | 14.5 | 14.0 |
| Free R factor (%) | 17.4 | 18.3 |
| Protein atoms | 6538 | 4216 |
| Ligand atoms | 5 | 5 |
| Anions | 2 | 10 |
| Water molecules | 712 | 387 |
| Mean B (Å²), subunit A, B | 25.6, 21.0 | 30.5, 28.0 |
| Mean B (Å²), ligand, anions, water | 26.3, 13.7, 33.7 | 28.9, 44.6, 36.5 |
| All-atoms clashscore | 1.6 | 4.91 |
| Ramachandran plot: favored/ outliers (%) | 97.7, 0.19 | 97.4, 0.19 |
| No. of Cβ deviations | 0 | 0 |
| Rotamer outliers (%) | 0.19 | 2.62 |
| Root mean square deviation from ideal geometry | 1.261 | 1.343 |
| Bond angles (degrees) | 0.010 | 0.012 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.010 | 0.012 |
| Maximum likelihood coordinate error (Å) | 0.15 | 0.23 |

a Rmerge (merging R factor) = Σ[Iₙ(Iₙ) – (Iₙ)] / Σ[Iₙ(Iₙ)].
b Rmerge (redundancy-independent R factor) = Σ[Iₙ(nₙ – 1)] / Σ[Iₙ].
c Rmerge (precision-indicating R factor) = Σ[Iₙ(nₙ – 1)] / Σ[Iₙ].
d This number includes the covalently attached glycans but not the protein hydrogen atoms.
e Detected with MOLPROBITY (57).

**FIGURE 2.** SDS-PAGE analysis of purified hGGT1 used for crystallography. 5 μg of untreated (left lane) and Endo H-treated (right lane) hGGT1 were subjected to SDS-PAGE and subsequently silver-stained to visualize the relative migration patterns of the glycosylated and deglycosylated large (LSU) and small (SSU) subunits.
significant. Bacterial GGTs are nonglycosylated enzymes localized to the periplasmic space, whereas hGGT1 is both heavily N-glycosylated and tethered to the plasma membrane. These two structural features of hGGT1 probably underlie the monomeric state of the human enzyme.

Our structure revealed that hGGT1 formed a heterodimer (large subunit, residues 28–380; small subunit, residues 381–569) with a stacked α-β-β-α core structure reminiscent of the bacterial GGTs and other members of the N-terminal nucleophile hydrolase superfamily (Figs. 1 and 3, A, C, and D) (18, 27–29, 33, 49). As observed previously in the crystal structures of the bacterial GGT heterodimers (27–29), our structure showed a pattern of disordered termini (residues 28–32 and 375–380 omitted) in the large subunit and ordered termini (Thr-381 and Tyr-569) in the small subunit. The large subunit of hGGT1 surrounded three sides of the small subunit (Fig. 3, E and F) like a hand wrapped around a ball. The buried interface between the subunits was 6076 Å²; in comparison, the monomeric state of the bacterial GGTs (27–29) showed a pattern of disordered termini (residues 28–32 and 375–380 omitted) in the large subunit and ordered termini (Thr-381 and Tyr-569) in the small subunit. The large subunit of hGGT1 demonstrated that both disulfide bonds are critical for the proper folding of hGGT1 and, thereby, facilitate autocleavage into the activated heterodimer.

Co-translational Modifications of hGGT1 Provide Insight into hGGT1 Autocleavage—The hGGT1 crystal structure showed intramolecular disulfide bonds between Cys-50 and Cys-74 and between Cys-192 and Cys-196. The Cys-50/Cys-74 disulfide bond linked helices α-1 and -2 and was inaccessible to the solvent because it was buried 10 Å beneath the surface of the large subunit (Fig. 3B). The Cys-192/Cys-196 disulfide bond joined two small supersecondary structures by linking helix α-8 and strand β-6 (Fig. 3B). This bond was partly exposed to the solvent at the molecular surface. It was fully intact in the 4GG2 model of hGGT1, but it was a mix of intact and broken bonds in the 4DGX model, perhaps attributable to x-ray radiation damage. Site-directed mutagenesis studies with rat and human GGT1 demonstrated that both disulfide bonds are critical for optimal autocleavage of mammalian GGT1 into active heterodimers (36, 38, 39). The formation of the Cys-50/Cys-74 and Cys-192/Cys-196 disulfide bridges may contribute to the proper folding of hGGT1 and, thereby, facilitate autocleavage into the activated heterodimer. The bacterial GGTs contain a...
pair of conserved alanines at the corresponding positions (e.g. Ala-58 and Ala-82 in EcGGT; Fig. 1) but, nonetheless, cleave into active heterodimers. The lack of the disulfide bonds at these sites in the bacterial enzymes suggests that the human and bacterial enzymes move differently during the autocleavage reaction.

hGGT1 also requires co-translational N-glycosylation during its maturation into an active heterodimer, although the glycans can be removed from the mature enzyme without the loss of catalytic activity (36). Previous studies on the N-glycosylation pattern of hGGT1 isolated from human tissues identified seven N-glycosylation sites (35, 36). Because we trimmed the glycans to the proximal glycan with Endo Hf before crystallization, the N-glycosylation sites were marked by one GlcNAc residue. Our crystal structure of hGGT1 expressed in yeast showed glycosylation at six of the seven previously described N-glycosylation sites (Asn-95, Asn-120, Asn-230, Asn-266, and Asn-344) in the large subunit and Asn-511 in the small subunit (Fig. 3, E and F). N-glycosylation at Asn-297 occurred in hGGT1 isolated from human tissues but was absent in the crystal structure of the yeast-expressed hGGT1 (35).

Although mutagenesis studies have shown that the elimination of single N-glycosylation sites on hGGT1 is tolerated, mutating Asn-95 to prohibit N-glycosylation at that site decreased the cleavage efficiency of the propeptide (36). In our structural analysis, the GlcNAc at Asn-95 formed hydrogen bonds with His-148 and Arg-150 at the C-terminal end of helix $\alpha$-3, thereby linking the ends of this helix with the Thr-97/Thr-98 turn that forms the crevice that contains Asn-95 (Fig. 4).

The glycan on Asn-95 might chaperone proper folding of the hGGT1 propeptide into a conformation that induces autocatalytic cleavage into the mature heterodimeric enzyme. The presence of disulfide bond formation and co-translational N-glycosylation suggests that the hGGT1 has a more coordinated maturation process than its prokaryotic counterparts.

Lid Loop—Based on sequence alignments of hGGT1 with EcGGT and HpGGT, it has been proposed that residues Pro-427 through Ser-438 in hGGT1 form a loop that might regulate access to the active site (27–29). Both EcGGT and HpGGT have a “lid loop” (Pro-438 to Gly-449 in EcGGT and Pro-427 to Gly-438 in HpGGT) that covers most of the $\gamma$-glutamyl binding part of the active site and that leaves only the $\gamma$-carboxylate oxygen...
atoms of the bound glutamate exposed to solvent (Fig. 5, A and B) (27, 28). The analogous residues and loop are absent in BsGGT, which has a more open substrate channel (Fig. 5 C) (29).

Whereas the crystal structure of hGGT1 revealed that the anchoring termini of the lid loop were in comparable positions relative to those in the EcGGT and HpGGT, the loop itself was rotated away from the active site, leaving the substrate channel open (Fig. 5 D). In EcGGT and HpGGT, the lid loops in both the apoenzyme and the glutamate-bound structures adopt a closed conformation and are held in place by hydrogen bonds (27, 28). The side chain of an exposed tyrosine residue (Tyr-444 in EcGGT and Tyr-433 in HpGGT) at the apex of the bacterial lid loop gates the active site by hydrogen-bonding to a conserved asparagine residue (Asn-411 in EcGGT and Asn-400 in HpGGT) adjacent to the catalytic threonine (Fig. 6 C) (27, 28). Phe-433 is the corresponding gating residue in hGGT1, but its side chain cannot hydrogen-bond with Asn-401 (Fig. 7). In EcGGT, the distance between the CZ carbon atom of Tyr-444 and the OD1 atom of Asn-411 is 3.5 Å. In HpGGT, the corresponding distance between Tyr-433 and Asn-400 is also 3.5 Å. (In hGGT1, the distance between the corresponding Phe-433 and Asn-401 is about 7 times longer, 24.7 Å, which also conflicts with the homology models of hGGT1 built from the crystal structures of bacterial GGT (51).) In both EcGGT and HpGGT, the tyrosine side chain is within 4.5 Å of the bound substrate (Fig. 5, A and B). Their lid loops may block or limit the binding of acceptor molecules and thereby limit the catalytic efficiency of the transpeptidation reaction within the active sites, an observation that has previously been documented for EcGGT and HpGGT (30, 33).

The hGGT1 lid loop has higher B factors on average than the rest of the structure; therefore, our structure suggests that the lid loop of hGGT1 has greater flexibility than the lid loop of HpGGT or EcGGT. A more flexible lid loop would allow hGGT1 to accommodate a wider range of substrates, achieve faster substrate turnover, or both. This idea is supported by the 33- and 100-fold greater transpeptidation rates of BsGGT and hGGT1, respectively, relative to rates of EcGGT or HpGGT, whereas the hydrolysis rates are similar for all four GGTs (30–33).

Binding of the γ-Glutamyl Group in the Active Site—hGGT1 was co-crystallized with glutamate, the common product of the hydrolysis of γ-glutamyl bonds. The α-carboxyl and α-amino groups of the bound glutamate showed significant electron
Figure 8. *LigPlot* diagrams. A, interactions between the backbone of hGGT1 and the α-nitrogen and α-carboxylate groups of glutamate (Glu-1102) in the substrate binding site of the enzyme (bond lengths not to scale); B, interactions between C-L1103 and the surrounding atoms, including the backbone of Thr-381. The ligand bonds are colored purple. The crescents with the bristles represent hydrophobic interactions. The hydrophobic atoms are colored black and are labeled with black letters. The polar atoms are in red (oxygen) or blue (nitrogen). The dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds.
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However, substrate binding differed between hGGT1 and the bacterial GGTs. For example, our crystal structure revealed a hydrogen bond between Thr-399 and the α-amino group of the bound glutamate (Fig. 6A). Thr-399 is conserved between human and bacterial GGT, but this interaction is absent in the bacterial GGTs. It is unlikely to occur in bacterial GGTs because the distance between the OG atom of Thr-399 and the amine of the glutamate is greater in the bacterial GGTs than in hGGT1 (e.g., greater by 2.3 Å for EcGGT; 2DBX). In contrast, the distance between the side chain of Asn-401 in hGGT1 and the α-amino group of the L-glutamate was too large to favor the formation of the hydrogen bond that is formed in each of the bacterial GGTs (Asn-411 in EcGGT, Asn-400 in HpGGT, and Glu-423 in BsGGT). Finally, the functional group of the conserved residue Asp-423 in hGGT1 is translated 1.7 Å away from its position in EcGGT. As a result, the cumulative distance between Asp-423 and the backbone amine of the bound glutamate in hGGT1 is 3.6 Å, a distance that is too great for hydrogen bond formation. Whereas Asp-423 in bacterial GGTs forms a hydrogen bond with the α-amino group of glutamate, the same residue in hGGT1 forms a novel salt bridge with the side chain of Arg-107. This salt bridge can stabilize the hydrogen-bonding interaction of Arg-107 with the glutamate (Fig. 6A).

Although several of the substrate-enzyme interactions were shared between the active site of hGGT1 and its bacterial orthologs, the crystal structure of hGGT1 had several key differences that can be exploited to develop novel inhibitors.

#### Active Site Geometry

Unlike the well ordered active sites of the crystallized bacterial GGTs, our crystal structure revealed structural disorder (as indicated by poorly defined electron density and high temperature factors) at three key regions within the active site: (a) the glutamate side chain, (b) the side chain of the catalytic nucleophile (Thr-381), and (c) the tripeptide (Gly-473, Gly-474, and Thr-475) that forms the oxyanion hole (Fig. 6A and B). Because the side chain of the bound glutamate that co-crystallized with hGGT1 was absent in the electron density map, a complete glutamate was modeled within the active site to examine whether the side chain in a likely conformation would collide with the surrounding atoms (Fig. 6B). To this end, the backbone atoms of the glutamate were superimposed on their observed positions in the crystal structure, and the side chain was placed in the most frequent of 27 rotamers for glutamate in the 2010 Dunbrack backbone-independent rotamer library (19.1% frequency, $\chi_1 = -67.7^\circ$, $\chi_2 = -177.8^\circ$, and $\chi_3 = -2.2^\circ$) (53). The OE1 oxygen atom of the modeled glutamate was 1.1 Å from water 1373, suggesting that the OE1 oxygen atom was in an appropriate orientation, so we included this modeled glutamate in our analysis of the geometry of the hGGT1 active site.

The tripeptide that forms the oxyanion hole was modeled in two conformations to better fit the ill defined electron density. Within the hGGT1 active site, two conformations for the side chain of the catalytic nucleophile, Thr-381, were observed; conformer A had a $\chi_1$ torsion angle of 41.9°, and conformer B had a $\chi_1$ torsion angle of −52.7°. The OG1 hydroxyl oxygen of Thr-381 in conformer A was 2.1 Å from the OE1 oxygen atom of the modeled glutamate and was oriented to form an acyl bond between the δ-carbon of the γ-glutamyl substrate and the...
hydroxyl (γ) oxygen on the side chain of Thr-381. Conversely, the side chain oxygen atom of conformer B was 2.4 Å from the OE1 oxygen atom and lacked a favorable alignment for covalent bond formation. This suggests that the formation of the acyl bond that creates the enzyme-substrate intermediate (the F-form of the enzyme) occurs when Thr-381 is in conformer A. Conformer B may reflect the position of Thr-381 after hydrolysis as the glutamate is released.

To better fit the electron density, the tripeptide (Gly-473 through Thr-475) was modeled in two conformations. In conformers A and B, the backbone nitrogen of Gly-474 was within 3.1 and 2.6 Å, respectively, of the OE1 oxygen atom of the modeled glutamate and aligned favorably for hydrogen bond formation. This suggests that the formation of the acyl bond that creates the enzyme-substrate intermediate (the F-form of the enzyme) occurs when Thr-381 is in conformer A. Conformer B may reflect the position of Thr-381 after hydrolysis of the acyl bond as the glutamate is released.

FIGURE 9. Difference electron density maps with peaks corresponding to anion sites. Shown are a Bijvoet difference map showing the sites of the bound iodides in the crystal structure of hGGT1-iodide (PDB code 4GG2) (A) and an hGGT1-iodide minus hGGT1-Glu (PDB code 4DGX) isomorphous difference map (B). The large subunit is represented by a C-α trace that is colored black. The small subunit is represented by a C-α trace that is colored white. The iodide sites were >15 σ in height in the (F_α\text{iodide} - F_α\text{native}) \exp(-i\delta\text{native}) isomorphous difference map. In comparison, the difference peaks due to non-isomorphism between the protein structures were less than 5 σ. This second difference map provided independent evidence of the presence of the iodides. Only one (residue 606) of the eight iodides were assigned as additional chlorides (giving a total of two chlorides) in the crystal structure of hGGT1-Glu (PDB code 4DGX). The other iodide binding sites are probably not well occupied by chlorides because the chlorides were too small to interact as well with the protein.

To better fit the electron density, the tripeptide (Gly-473 through Thr-475) was modeled in two conformations. In conformers A and B, the backbone nitrogen of Gly-474 was within 3.1 and 2.6 Å, respectively, of the OE1 oxygen atom of the modeled glutamate and aligned favorably for hydrogen bond formation. Based on its position, Gly-473 was predicted to form a hydrogen bond with the side chain of Thr-381 in conformer A. By stabilizing conformer A of Thr-381, this hydrogen bond would promote acyl bond formation between the substrate and enzyme. In bacterial GGTs, Gly-473 and Gly-474 cooperate to stabilize the side chain carboxylate of the bound glutamate within a rigid oxanion hole that includes Gly-473 through Thr-475 (27–29). The nitrogen atoms of Gly-473 and Gly-474 in hGGT1 are close enough to the carboxylate in the modeled glutamate to stabilize it by forming a similar oxanion hole despite their disorder.

Chloride Ions near the Catalytic Residue—We found both weakly and strongly bound chloride anions near the catalytic Thr-381 at the positively charged N terminus of the small subunit (Figs. 9 and 10). (We also found a sodium cation contributing to structural stability (Fig. 10B).) The weak anomalous signal from chlorides in the native diffraction data for 4DGX was used to make an anomalous diffraction electron density map (not shown). Significant difference peaks suggested chloride bound to two sites: (a) near Pro-211 at the N terminus of helix α-9 and 18.6 Å from the positively charged backbone nitrogen of Thr-381 and (b) near the side chain amine of Lys-562. The amine of Lys-562, in turn, binds to the backbone carbonyl of Thr-381 (Fig. 8B). The latter chloride is 6.9 Å from the backbone nitrogen of Thr-381. The crystals of hGGT1-Glu were soaked with sodium iodide to verify the putative chloride binding sites by anomalous difference electron density maps and (F_α\text{iodide} - F_α\text{native}) \exp(-i\delta\text{native}) isomorphous difference maps (Fig. 9). One soaked crystal gave 2.2 Å x-ray data with 1.1 Å wavelength x-rays. The imaginary component of the anomalous scattering from iodide is significant at this wave-
Interestingly, the iodides failed to displace the chloride (Cl-1103) bound to the opposite side of this lysine amine because the available space was too small for iodide to bind. The chloride-to-nitrogen and chloride-to-oxygen distances were 3.21–3.36 Å at this site. In contrast, iodide-to-oxygen distances are usually 3.5 Å. This chloride bound to the buried side chain of Asp-46. To allow this direct interaction with the chloride, Asp-46 was probably protonated to avoid the very high energetic penalty for burying a negatively charged side chain. These observations suggest that the buried chloride ion (Cl-1103) near Lys-562 is an integral part of the protein structure and should be included in future molecular simulations of this enzyme. Furthermore, the positioning of the tandem chloride ions around Thr-381 implies that there is excess positive charge around the catalytic residue in the presence of the glutamate ligand. This finding is in contrast to the hypothesis that the catalytic residue is neutral in N-terminal nucleophile hydrolases before acyl bond formation (19), but it is consistent with the suggestion that the catalytic residue is zwitterionic when it participates in catalysis (54). The presence or absence of the chlorides in the absence of glutamate can be tested in the eventual crystal structures of the apo-hGGT1. In any event, protein electrostatics are undoubtedly important in stabilizing the structure of the active site, binding of the substrate, and fine tuning of the catalytic efficiency of the active site nucleophile.

Insights into hGGT1 Mutants with Altered Enzymatic Activity—The crystal structure of hGGT1 provided insights into the role of residues that have been shown by mutagenesis studies to contribute to the catalytic activity of hGGT1. Mutagenesis of His-383 to an alanine resulted in an 86% loss of hydrolysis activity, which was proposed to affect substrate release (55). The crystal structure revealed a bond between the backbone amide of His-383 and the buried chloride (Cl-1103) (Fig. 8B). The side chain of His-383 is buried in this structure, so the replacement of the bulky side chain of the histidine with the small side chain of the alanine would create a cavity that the alanine would try to fill by moving away from the chloride. Movement of the alanine’s backbone of even a few tenths of an angstrom would be enough to greatly reduce the affinity of this site for the chloride. The loss of the chloride would destabilize the burial of the positively charged Lys-562 and upset the hydrogen bond between its side chain and the backbone carbonyl of Thr-381. Single-site mutagenesis of Arg-107, Ser-451, or Ser-452 resulted in a complete or nearly complete loss of enzymatic activity (31, 56). Each of these residues forms a hydrogen bond with the substrate glutamate, suggesting that these residues stabilize the substrate in the active site (Figs. 6A and 8A). Similarly, mutagenesis of Asp-423 resulted in a 1000-fold increase in the $K_m$ for D-$\gamma$-glutamyl-p-nitroanilide (31). Asp-423 forms a salt bridge with Arg-107 and makes van der Waals contacts (Fig. 8A) with the glutamate portion of the substrate, so the disruption of these interactions by amino acid substitutions at site 423 probably accounts for the loss of substrate affinity (Fig. 8A).

In addition, the crystal structure provides insight into our studies with a Q545K mutant of hGGT1. Our data showed that the Q545K hGGT1 was expressed and autocleaved into the heterodimer. Equal amounts of the wild-type and Q545K hGGT1
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**FIGURE 10. Anions and cations that stabilized the folded state of hGGT1.** The dashed lines represent distances and not necessarily hydrogen bonds. A, stereo view of a split iodide anion (colored green) near the catalytic Thr-381 in the crystal structure of iodide soak hGGT1-Glu (PDB code 4GG2). The top half of the iodide interacts directly with Thr-381 by binding the free and positively charged backbone nitrogen of Thr-381, which is the N terminus of the small subunit. This interaction stabilizes the burial of the N terminus of the small subunit. Part B of IOD-1004 partly stabilized Lys-562, which in turn bound to the backbone carbonyl of Thr-381. The completely buried chloride at the bottom (CL-1103) was not displaced by iodide. CL-1103 also stabilized Lys-562. B, sodium binding site near the N terminus of the large subunit of hGGT1-Glu. Shown is a stereo view of an apparent sodium cation (colored purple) identified by interatomic distances shown in angstroms. The sodium cation stabilized the extended loop near the N terminus and orients the first visible residue, Pro-33. The transmembrane helix extends away from Pro-33.

length ($f = 3.9$ e$^-$. The anomalous signal (i.e. the Bijvoet ratio ($\frac{\langle|F|^2\rangle}{\langle|F|\rangle}$)) for the hGGT1-iodide data set (PDB code 4GG2) was 0.048. There have been no reports of chlorides bound in the active site of any bacterial GGT, but two iodide sites in hGGT1 were assigned as legitimate chloride binding sites based on the geometry and distances to the surrounding protein ligand atoms (PDB code 4GG2). The first site was positioned adjacent to the free and positively charged backbone nitrogen of Thr-381, facilitating the burial of the N terminus of the small subunit (IOD 1104:A in Fig. 10A). This site was partially occupied by the iodide, as expected based on the different sizes of this site in conformer A (2.4 Å) and conformer B (3.6 Å). Because the van der Waals radius of chloride is 3.2 Å, only conformer B could accommodate chloride at this position. This site was missing in the native anomalous map, probably due to partial occupancy at this site as well as the weak nature of the anomalous signal (0.38 electrons) from the chlorides at the wavelength used to collect the diffraction data. Only conformer B of Thr-381 would accommodate an iodide anion at this site (distance 3.6 Å). The second part of this iodide was bound by the side chain amine of Lys-562 (Fig. 10A).
protein (based on Western analysis) were assayed for hydrolysis activity with \( \gamma \)-glutamyl-p-nitroanilide as the substrate. The Q545K hGGT1 had only 3% of the activity of the wild-type protein. Fig. 8B shows that Gln-545, Asp-46, Lys-562, and His-383 all bond to Cl-1103. The Q545K mutant would have destabilized the structure by burying a positive charge and introducing a number of steric clashes. This destabilization of the structure would explain the loss in activity.

CONCLUSION

The hGGT1 crystal structure has provided new insights into the maturation and catalytic activity of the enzyme. The validation of post-translational changes that are unique to hGGT1, such as disulfide bond formation and multiple N-glycosylation sites, supports previous site-directed mutagenesis studies and provides a conceptual framework to better understand how the eukaryotic enzyme differs in its maturation from bacterial GGTs. The new insights into the function of hGGT1 included the discovery that it adopts an open active site, has several new substrate interactions, attracts anions to bind near the catalytic residue, has a buried chloride ion that is an integral part of the protein structure, and exhibits substrate-dependent conformational changes around the catalytic nucleophile. These unique features of the active site can be exploited in the structure-based design of new classes of inhibitors that specifically target this enzyme.
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