Zero coronary calcium in the presence of three-vessel and left main coronary artery disease in a Hodgkin lymphoma survivor
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Abstract We describe a 45-year-old male survivor of Hodgkin lymphoma, treated with mediastinal radiation therapy, referred for single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging in combination with coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring. SPECT demonstrated a reversible moderate-sized lateral perfusion defect, and the CAC score was zero. A calcium score of zero markedly reduces the probability of having coronary artery disease (CAD) and is associated with a very low risk of future cardiovascular events. However, a CAC score of zero does not completely rule out obstructive CAD. In this case, invasive coronary angiography revealed three-vessel CAD with left main involvement. Whether mediastinal radiation therapy in general is associated with CAD without accompanying CAC is yet unclear.
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Introduction

Patients with prior supradiaphragmatic radiotherapy for Hodgkin lymphoma are at increased risk for premature coronary artery disease (CAD) [1–4]. Recently, it was suggested that coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring may be used as a screening tool for CAD in this patient group [3]. However, it is currently unknown whether CAC scoring in this specific patient group has the same clinical value as in the general population.

A 45-year-old male survivor of Hodgkin lymphoma, who underwent mediastinal radiation therapy (involved field radiation, 40 Gy) 20 years earlier, presented in our outpatient cardiology department with stable exercise-induced burning chest pain. His risk profile consisted of mild hypercholesterolaemia for which a statin was prescribed. The pretest likelihood for presence of CAD was intermediate (69%) [5]. The resting electrocardiogram (ECG) was normal and echocardiography showed normal left ventricular function without significant valve abnormalities.

The patient was referred for single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and CAC scoring as is standard practice in our department. Stress was performed using adenosine and images were acquired on a cadmium zinc telluride (CZT)-based gamma camera (Discovery NM/CT 570c, GE Healthcare). CAC scoring was performed on non-contrast enhanced computed tomography images, which were acquired ECG triggered at 75% of the R-R interval and were analysed using Smartscore software (GE Healthcare). The following scanning parameters were applied: 40 sections and 2.5 mm section thickness; gantry rotation time 330 ms; tube voltage 120 kV; and a tube current of 125 mA. The quality of the CAC scoring images was excellent.

The ECG recordings during adenosine administration showed a heart rate increase from 100 to 131 beats per minute with extensive ST-segment deviation, suggestive of myocardial ischaemia (Fig. 1). CAC scoring did not detect any calcium deposits in the coronary tree, resulting in a CAC score of zero. SPECT showed a reversible moderate-sized lateral perfusion defect on both attenuation corrected and uncorrected images (Fig. 2). Gated post-stress images
showed a mildly reduced ejection fraction (45%) and an end-diastolic volume of 109 ml. No gated rest images were acquired.

Because of the scan results and persistent symptoms unresponsive to optimal medical therapy invasive coronary angiography was performed, which revealed relevant three-
Whether patients treated with mediastinal radiotherapy are also at increased risk of CAD despite the absence of coronary calcium is unknown. Differences in plaque morphology between radiation-induced atherosclerosis and ‘traditional’ atherosclerosis were described by Stewart et al. [11], who found radiation-induced plaques to be more commonly inflammatory and more prone to intraplaque haemorrhage. However, it is not known whether this results in more non-calcified plaques in radiation-induced atherosclerosis.

Studies investigating CAD with CAC scoring or coronary CTA in survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma are scarce but demonstrate that subclinical CAD is common [12, 13]. In a study by Rademaker et al. [12], six out of nine patients had CAC scores above the 90th percentile for their age and sex group and the patient without CAD had a CAC of zero. This would suggest that premature CAD in this population is associated with an increase in coronary calcium, similar to CAD in the general population.

In summary, although there is no evidence suggesting a greater risk of non-calcified plaques in patients treated with mediastinal radiotherapy, the current case report shows that we should interpret the absence of CAC with caution in this specific patient group. Typical cardiac chest pain should not be analysed solely by CAC scoring, as a CAC score of zero cannot exclude a high-risk coronary anatomy and therefore additional coronary CTA should be considered.

Discussion

A calcium score of zero markedly reduces the probability of having CAD. Also, there is ample evidence confirming very low risk of future cardiovascular events in a symptomatic population with zero CAC [6]. Hence, the absence of coronary calcium has been proposed as a selection tool for invasive coronary angiography [7]. However, a calcium score of zero does not completely rule out obstructive CAD. Two studies [8, 9] which performed coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) in symptomatic patients found obstructive CAD (≥50% stenosis) in approximately 4% of the patients with a CAC score of zero. Moreover, both studies [8, 9] demonstrated that this non-calcified obstructive CAD was associated with increased cardiovascular events. It must be stressed that the prevalence of significant CAD in the absence of coronary calcium largely depends on the population which is studied. Factors predisposing to a higher risk of significant CAD despite absent coronary calcium are relatively young age, high pretest likelihood of the disease and typical angina [6, 10]. These characteristics are similar to the case presented here, except the pretest likelihood in this patient was considered intermediate [5]. However, one must realise that this crude standardised risk assessment does not include patient specific characteristics, such as previous supradiaphragmatic radiotherapy.

whether patients treated with mediastinal radiotherapy are also at increased risk of CAD despite the absence of coronary calcium is unknown. Differences in plaque morphology between radiation-induced atherosclerosis and ‘traditional’ atherosclerosis were described by Stewart et al. [11], who found radiation-induced plaques to be more commonly inflammatory and more prone to intraplaque haemorrhage. However, it is not known whether this results in more non-calcified plaques in radiation-induced atherosclerosis.

Studies investigating CAD with CAC scoring or coronary CTA in survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma are scarce but demonstrate that subclinical CAD is common [12, 13]. In a study by Rademaker et al. [12], six out of nine patients had CAC scores above the 90th percentile for their age and sex group and the patient without CAD had a CAC of zero. This would suggest that premature CAD in this population is associated with an increase in coronary calcium, similar to CAD in the general population.

The extent of ischaemia in this case report is probably underestimated by SPECT. This is a well-known limitation of myocardial perfusion imaging in patients with left main stenosis or obstructive 3-vessel disease [14], because it determines relative perfusion. Thereby, it relies on the normalisation of acquired data to the region of the myocardium with maximal perfusion, even if this area of perfusion may not be normal.

In summary, although there is no evidence suggesting a greater risk of non-calcified plaques in patients treated with mediastinal radiotherapy, the current case report shows that we should interpret the absence of CAC with caution in this specific patient group. Typical cardiac chest pain should not be analysed solely by CAC scoring, as a CAC score of zero cannot exclude a high-risk coronary anatomy and therefore additional coronary CTA should be considered.
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