Zodiacal Exoplanets in Time (ZEIT) XIII: Planet Orbits and Atmospheres in the V1298 Tau System, a Keystone in Studies of Early Planetary Evolution
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ABSTRACT

Studies of planetary systems of stars in star-forming regions and young clusters open a window on the formative stages of planetary evolution. We obtained high-cadence high-resolution infrared spectroscopy of the solar-mass Taurus association member V1298 Tau during a transit of its 10\,R_\oplus-size “b” planet. We measured the systemic radial velocity and find that the kinematics of V1298 Tau suggest an affiliation with a \( \geq 6\) Myr-old subgroup. A comparison of V1298 Tau and the nearby, co-moving star 2M0405 with stellar evolution models suggests an age of \( \lesssim 10-25\) Myr. We measured the projected spin-orbit angle of “b” as \( \lambda = 15^{\circ}\) and \( \lambda = 2^{\circ} \pm 4\) degrees using the apparent RV shift and change in line profile, respectively, induced by the transient occultation of the rotating star by the planet. These values indicate a prograde orbit like that of the interior “c” planet of V1298 Tau and point to a co-planar multi-planet system that formed within a disk. We also measured variation in the strength of the 1083 nm triplet of neutral ortho-helium as a probe of any extended/escaping atmosphere around “b”. We detect a steady decrease in absorption over the transit which appears to arise from the star or its planetary system. While this variation could be ascribed to “b” or possibly to the immediately preceding transit of “d”, we cannot rule out that this is due to rapid variation in the stellar disk-integrated flux in the triplet. The amplitude of variation (~0.04 nm) is consistent with moderate estimates of atmospheric escape driven by XUV radiation from the central star.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Observations of planets around young stars provide “snapshots” of planetary evolution with which we can test models of changes in planet orbits, atmospheres, and compositions. Around the youngest stars (ages of \( \lesssim 10\) Myr), many of which retain primordial circumstellar disks, the process of planet formation itself can be probed. Pushing the planetary clock back to ages approaching 1 Myr is seen as crucial given evidence that planet formation proceeded rapidly in the Solar System (e.g., Lee & Halliday 1996; Kruijer et al. 2017).
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and theoretical models proposing that the accretion of some types of planets can occur rapidly (e.g., Ormel 2017; Johansen & Lambrichts 2017).

The youngest nearby region of ongoing star formation is the Taurus Molecular Cloud, which contains representatives of all the earliest stages of star formation, including Class 0 (deeply embedded), 0I, and 1 (emergent protostar) sources with inferred model ages < 1 Myr (Froebrich 2005). Taurus is also highly structured (Kraus & Hillenbrand 2008; Joncour et al. 2018; Galli et al. 2019), with multiple groups with distinct age spanning ~5 Myr, and a more dispersed population of older stars of poorly determined ages, but which could be at least 10 Myr old (Krokilowski et al. 2021). Confirmation of earlier detections of giant planets around Taurus stars with the radial velocity (RV) method (Donati et al. 2016; Johns-Krull et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2017) has proven elusive (Damasso et al. 2020; Donati et al. 2020). Direct detection by imaging has yielded companions with brown dwarf masses (Itoh et al. 2005; Luhan et al. 2009), while a candidate super Jupiter-mass planet embedded within a disk (Kraus et al. 2012) remains controversial (Thalmann et al. 2015; Mendigutía et al. 2018; Currie et al. 2019).

Space photometry missions, i.e. K2 and TESS, have opened an additional avenue for the detection of young planetary systems by the transit method. Follow-up ground- or space-based spectroscopy during a transit can detect a planet’s atmosphere in transmission, and the partial occultation of the disk of the rotating star produces a change in the shape and location of its rotationally-broadened spectral lines, constraining the angle between the stellar spin axis and orbit plane. These phenomena may be especially pronounced for young systems where a planet’s atmosphere is ESCAPING under the influence of the active star, and the star is rapidly rotating and its lines broad and well-resolved. The proliferation of echelle spectrographs operating in the infrared allows such observations to be made at higher signal-to-noise ratios for low-mass pre-main sequence stars like those in Taurus with $T_{\text{eff}}$ near 4000 K. They also provide access to the 1083 nm triplet line of neutral orthohelium, which has been used to detect extended and escaping atmospheres (e.g., Speke et al. 2018; Nortmann et al. 2018).

K2 observed part of Taurus during Campaign 4, and David et al. (2019a) reported a Jupiter-size ($R = 0.2 \pm 0.06 R_{\text{Jup}}$) planet on a 24.12-day orbit transiting the solar-mass Taurus member V1298 Tau. Subsequent analysis of those data revealed three additional transiting planets, two interior to and one exterior to the orbit of V1298 Tau b (David et al. 2019b). The radii of these planets is anomalously large compared to counterpart multi-planet systems around middle-aged ($\gtrsim 1 \text{ Gyr}$) stars, suggesting that the light-element dominated envelopes of these planets are heated or still hot, and/or the atmospheres are escaping. Feinstein et al. (2021) report observations of the “c” planet of V1298 Tau and constrain the spin-orbit angle $\lambda$ to $\pm 15 \degree$ (a prograde orbit). We obtained high-resolution echelle IR spectra of a transit of V1298 Tau b to (a) measure the “Doppler” shadow of the planet in the shape of the spectral lines and to search for anomalous absorption in the He I triplet.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

An updated linear ephemeris for V1298 Tau b (T. David, pers. comm.) predicts a transit that was partially visible from Maunakea Observatory with a mid-transit time $T_c$ at BJD = 2459119.0664 (UT 13:36 on 26 September 2020), however there are significant transit time variations (TTVs) due to mutual perturbations between the planets of V1298 Tau (Livingston et al., in prep.). A fit to a TTV model predicts $T_c$ at BJD = 2459119.0231 or 62 minutes earlier at UT 12:33 with an uncertainty of 1.7 min. We monitored V1298 Tau with the the InfraRed Doppler (IRD) spectrograph (Tamura et al. 2012; Kotani et al. 2018) on the 8.2-m Subaru telescope on Maunakea. We obtained 41 spectra of V1298 Tau beginning at first contact (T1), with 3 before T1, and 41 within the 6.42 hours span between T1 and last contact (T4). Monitoring concluded at the end of the night and 31 min before T4. We also obtained 97 other spectra outside of transit to generate a template spectrum which is free from the telluric-line features for our RV analysis. IRD covers 970-1730 nm with $\lambda/\Delta \lambda \approx 70,000$. Integration times were 8–min during and near the transit. Using the IRF and echelle package (Tody 1986) and a custom reduction pipeline (Hirano et al. 2020a), we extracted one-dimensional spectra after flat-fielding and scattered light subtraction. Wavelengths were calibrated using the comparison spectra of the Th-Ar lamp as well as the laser-frequency comb (LFC) taken during each run. Typical signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios at 1000 nm were 75–115.

3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1 Systemic radial velocity

The RV analysis for NIR spectra taken by IRD is described in detail in Hirano et al. (2020a). In short, we first generated a high-SNR template spectrum of V1298 Tau that are free of telluric features, by removing telluric features and combining multiple observed IRD spectra taken in different epochs. Individual spectra were then fitted using this template spectrum, taking into account the instantaneous instrumental profile (IP) of the spectrograph inferred from the simultaneously observed LFC’s spectra. RVs were extracted for each small spectral “segment” with a wavelength range that was chosen to cover at least a few stellar absorption lines. For V1298 Tau, having a relatively large rotation velocity ($v \sin i > 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), a typical segment length of 2 – 3 nm was adopted. The typical RV internal error for each 8–min integration was 34 – 45 m s$^{-1}$. The mean systemic barycentric RV is $+14.644 \pm 0.136 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

3.2 Taurus membership

Using parallaxes and proper motions from the Gaia EDR3 data release (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021) and the RV determined from IRD, we calculate $XYZ = (-98.4 \pm 0.2, +12.26 \pm 0.03, -42.86 \pm 0.01)$ pc and $UVW = (12.68 \pm 0.01, -6.35 \pm 0.02, -9.07 \pm 0.01)$ km s$^{-1}$, using left-handed coordinates (positive $X$ and $U$ towards the Galactic center). The star is clearly associated with the Taurus cluster, but falls immediately outside the central core of the cluster. Comparing these to the position and kinematics of the subgroups identified by Krokilowski et al. (2021), the dispersed V1298 Tau is kinematically indistinguishable from the “D3-South” group among the Taurus subgroups identified by Krokilowski et al. (2021), but lies distinctly in the foreground of the cataloged members (Fig. 1). Krokilowski et al. (2021) catalog the star as a member of “D2”; this sub-group is a younger, more condensed group. There is significant spatial overlap of D3-South with the Group 29 identified by Luhman (2018), and the centroid kinematics are very similar (Fig. 1). Estimates of their ages, however, differ markedly: $6.2_{-1.4}^{+2.2}\text{Myr}$ for D3-South, but with

---

1 Gaidos et al. (in press) recently reported a Taurus star with a super-Jupiter-mass companion.
Figure 1. Upper left and right and lower left panels: $U VW$ space motion of V1298 Tau relative to some of the Taurus groups cataloged by Krolikowski et al. (2021) and Group 29 (blue triangle) identified by Luhman (2018). We identify the dispersed D3-South sub-group (filled circle) as the most likely host group. Lower right: Position of V1298 Tau relative to those groups in Galactic Cartesian coordinates. Galactic $Z$ relative to V1298 Tau is represented as the length of the “pins”. Individual members of the D3-South group and Group 29 are plotted as grey and blue triangles ($U VW$ are not available for the latter). Coordinate systems are left-handed, i.e. positive $U$ and $X$ towards the Galactic center.

Figure 2. Masses and ages of Dartmouth (Dotter et al. 2008), BHAC-15 (Baraffe et al. 2015) and SPOTS models (Somers et al. 2020), for different spot coverage fractions) that are consistent within a 95% confidence level ($\chi^2 < 6$ for 2 degrees of freedom) with the luminosity and $T_{\text{eff}}$ of V1298 Tau (upper loci) and its candidate co-moving companion 2M0405 (lower loci). Each color represents those interpolated models with $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $L_{\text{bol}}$ consistent with observations. The colored circles are the best-fit (minimum $\chi^2$) values labeled with the corresponding age. The color-coded horizontal bars represent and are labeled by the overlap in 2$\sigma$ model ages, i.e. the allowed age range if the stars are co-eval. The dotted line is the minimum age of the co-moving Group 29 described by Luhman (2018), while the vertical dashed magenta lines are the estimated age range of the co-moving Taurus D3-South subgroup describe Krolikowski et al. (2021). The discontinuity in the Dartmouth Magnetic fits for 2M0405 is a result of a gap in model parameter coverage.

3.3 Multiplicity, mass and age

We revisited the properties of the host star by fitting stellar atmosphere models to available photometry, i.e. from the Paunzen (2015) catalog of Stromgren-Crawford $uvby\beta$ photometry, APASS $BV gr$, Tycho $BVR$, Gaia $G Bp Rp$, 2MASS $JHK_s$, and WISE $W1\,W4$. The best-fit model to 26 data points ($\chi^2 = 12.5$ for 18 degrees of freedom) has $T_{\text{eff}}=4900K$, $\log g=3.0$, Fe/H = 0. A Bayesian analysis marginalizing over all models yields average values of $T_{\text{eff}}=4911K$ and $\log g=3.57$. The inferred integrated flux combined with the Gaia parallax yields a luminosity of $0.903 \pm 0.002 L_\odot$ and a radius of $1.317 \pm 0.027 R_\odot$, consistent with but more precise than David et al. (2019a). David et al. (2019a) found a rotation period of $2.865 \pm 0.012$ days which gives an equatorial speed of $23.2 \pm 0.5$ km sec$^{-1}$, consistent within errors with the $v \sin i$ measured from optical echelle spectra (David et al. 2019a and Feinstein et al. 2021) and indicating that the stellar rotation axis lies close to the plane of the sky.

We compared the $L_*$ and $T_{\text{eff}}$ of V1298 Tau to three different sets of models: the BHAC-15 model (Baraffe et al. 2015) which do not account for the effects of stellar activity; the Dartmouth models with (Feiden 2016) and without (Dotter et al. 2008) the effect of magnetic fields that inflate low-mass on the pre-main sequence; and the SPOTS models (Somers et al. 2020), which account for the effects of spots and their magnetic fields with different coverage fraction. (Fig. 2). This comparison points to a mass of $1.07-1.22M_\odot$, depending on model, and an age of 8-23 Myr ($\delta \chi^2$ or 95% confidence for 2 degrees of freedom), which is intermediate between those assigned to the D3-South group and Group 29, with the heavily spotted and magnetic models yield older ages, as expected. While the D3-South age is based on a comparison with BHAC-15 models (Krolikowski et al. 2021), the Group 29 models are based on a comparison with isochrone fits to other co-moving groups, and differences between group member selection, models and fitting procedures might underlie some of the discrepancy.

Simultaneous fitting of multiple co-eval stars, the basis of isochrone age-dating of stellar clusters, can significantly improve mass and age estimates compared to fits of individual stars. In the case of Taurus this is hindered by the region’s young age and significant substructure and age heterogeneity. Companion stars formed from the same molecular cloud core are more likely to be coeval since the collapse time of dense molecular cloud cores is $\sim 10^5$ yr (Chevance et al. 2020). We therefore searched for possible companions to V1298 Tau by identifying stars with similar parallaxes and proper motions in the Gaia EDR3 database out to a radius of 1 deg. Only two other stars have parallaxes within $5\sigma$ of that of V1298 Tau and similar proper motions: HD 284154, $98^\circ$ away, and 2MASS J04051277+2007489, hereafter 2M0405, with a separation of 137$''$. Both stars were identified as significant X-ray emitters and possibly young and related to V1298 Tau by Poppenhaeger et al. (2021). HD 284154, was identified as a potential companion.
to V1298 Tau by Oh et al. (2016), but (ironically) ruled out as a Taurus member by Gagné et al. (2018). It does not have a published RV, but if this were to prove to be the same as V1298 Tau then the space velocity difference could be as small as 0.3 km s\(^{-1}\). 2M0405, which is 40\(^{m}\) from HD 284154, does have a Gaia spectrometer measurement of RV (12.64 \pm 0.93 km s\(^{-1}\)) which is within error of that of V1298 Tau. Under the assumption that the two stars are at the same distance the difference in velocity is 2.0 \pm 0.89 km s\(^{-1}\), a 2.2\(\sigma\) level of significance. The formal probability that the velocity is less than the escape speed at the projected separation (0.38 km s\(^{-1}\)) is 0.03 \(^{2}\). Moreover, the Gaia Reduced Unit Weighted Error (RUWE), a measure of the astrometric error, is 1.66 which means that the star itself is likely to be a binary (Bezek\(\text{e}r\) et al. 2020) and its proper motion and hence velocity errors are likely to be larger than reported in EDR3. Obtaining echelle spectra and precision RVs and AO imaging is clearly a high priority to establish whether the system is gravitationally bound and whether 2M0405 is indeed a binary or clearly a high priority.

We first analyzed observations of this star assuming that it is a high-contrast binary, i.e. that the companion contributes negligibly to the total source flux. We fit stellar atmosphere models to the photometry of 2M0405 (APASS DR10, 2MASS Point Source Catalog, the ALLWISE catalog, and Gaia G\(\text{B}/\)\(\text{RP}\), adopting a reddening of E(B-V)=0.024 (David et al. 2019a). The best-fit solar-metallicity BT-SETTL model with CFI\(\text{ST}\) solar abundances yielded \(\chi^2 = 2.44\) for 11 degrees of freedom and \(T_{\text{eff}}=3900\text{K}\) and \(\log g = 4.5\). We compared stellar evolution models to the inferred \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and luminosity of 2M040, yielding an age of 5-22.5 Myr. Next, we computed discordant ages as the overlap between the individual 95\% confidence intervals. These span much narrower ranges, with spot-free model ages spanning 8-10 Myr and progressively more magnetic models ranging up to 22-27 Myr (horizontal bars in Fig. 2). The spot fraction \(f_{\text{c}}\) and magnetic field of V1298 Tau are not known and could vary with stellar cycles. Morris (2020) model the \(K\)2 Campaign 4 lightcurve of V1298 Tau to estimate \(f_{\text{c}} \approx 9^{\%} \pm \frac{\%}{2}\), which would suggest a concordance age of \(\approx 10-12\) Myr (assuming a similar \(f_{\text{c}}\) for 2M0405), significantly younger than the \(\geq 3\) \(\pm 4\) Myr estimated by David et al. (2019a). But it has long been known that such estimates suffer from model degeneracies (e.g., Luger et al. 2021) and higher spot fractions and thus older ages are possible. Furthermore, since 2M0405 is a binary in which each component is less luminous than the sum, its model ages could be older. Concordance between the age estimates for the two stars is maximized by artificially reducing the luminosity of 2M0405 by 20\%, and becomes 10-15 Myr. Better constrains on the age of V1298 Tau will require precise characterization, binary identification, and isochrone fitting of 2M0405 and HD 284154, any other co-moving neighbors, and members of the D3-South sub-group and Group 29.

### 3.4 Rossiter-McLaughlin effect and Doppler-shadow analysis

In order to constrain the stellar obliquity of V1298 Tau relative to the normal of planet \(b\)'s orbit plane, we analyzed its RV sequence during the transit observed by IRD. The relative RVs during the transit are plotted in Fig. 3 and suggests an anomaly typical of the Rossiter-McLaughlin (RM) effect for transiting planets (e.g., Winn et al. 2005). However, a fit to the observed RVs, in which all the relevant parameters were allowed to vary freely, resulted in poor constraints

\(^{2}\) These calculations assume Gaussian, independent errors which is not the case for Gaia astrometry.

![Figure 3. Relative RV of V1298 Tau on UT 26 September 2020. The best-fit RM plus RV-baseline model, in which we imposed priors on \(K\) and \(v \sin \iota\), is drawn by the red solid line. The green dotted line indicates the best-fit model, in which no priors are assumed for \(K\) and \(v \sin \iota\) (those parameters show very broad posterior distributions; see the text). The lower panel plots the RV residual from the best-fit model. The three vertical dashed lines in each panel represent the transit ingress \((T_{\text{I}})\), center \((T_{\text{2}})\), and egress \((T_{\text{E}})\) times of V1298 Tau b.](attachment:figure3.png)
cross-correlation function (CCF) of the observed spectra, caused by the partial occultation of the rotationally broadened stellar line profile. Following Hirano et al. (2020b), we cross-correlated each observed IRD spectrum of V1298 Tau against a theoretical template of V1298 Tau (Coelho et al. 2005), after correcting for telluric features. The resulting CCFs were aligned to the same reference RV taking into account the barycentric corrections of the Earth’s motion. Usually, the mean “out-of-transit” CCF is subtracted from the individual CCFs to highlight the residual CCF variation, but since we lack such out-of-transit frames taken on the same night, we median-combined all the CCFs that were taken during the transit. We then subtracted this combined CCF from each CCF finally to obtain the residual CCF map as shown in the left panel of Fig. 4. While the residual CCF map includes a correlated-noise pattern, likely caused by temporally evolving stellar surface inhomogeneity, the residual CCF map indicates a relatively clear shadow of the transiting planet that is traversing the stellar disk from the red-shifted edge to the blue-shifted edge. The symmetric pattern of the shadow is indicative of the prograde, aligned orbit of V1298 Tau b.

We estimated the projected obliquity $\lambda$ by fitting this residual CCF map. The detail of the analysis is given in Hirano et al. (2020b). In brief, we generated a number of theoretical CCFs by making “mock” IRD spectra during V1298 Tau b’s transit, and the observed residual CCF was fitted using those theoretical models with $\lambda$ and other relevant parameters being optimized. Following the exact procedure as for the observed data, we combined the theoretical CCF models during the transit and subtracted the combined model from individual CCF models when comparing the observed residual CCF map with the theoretical one. This analysis yielded $\lambda = 2.4 \pm 0.4$ degrees, which is fully consistent with and more constraining than the RV analysis. The best-fit theoretical residual CCF model is shown in the right panel of Fig. 4.

### 3.5 1083 nm Ortho-helium triplet

The He I triplet at $\lambda$1083 nm appears in a single order (1075.67-1089.84 nm in air) of IRD spectra. Spectra obtained during the transit of “b” and those obtained for an independent RV monitoring program (C. Beichman, pers. comm.) were evaluated based on overall signal and interference of telluric emission (OH) and absorption lines (H$_2$O) with the He I triplet. (IRD spectra are obtained through a single fiber on the target and this precludes simultaneously measurement of the sky). We retained 53 spectra spanning 10.2 days, including all spectra obtained during the transit, from 1.1 days prior $T_c$ to 9.1 days post-$T_c$, with adequate signal and with an observer Doppler shift $>$ 0 km s$^{-1}$ such that telluric interference was not a concern. Spectra were shifted to the rest-frame of V1298 Tau. Potential signals from the planet in spectra obtained during transit were corrected for the planet’s orbital motion (assumed circular) by dividing these spectra by a mean out-of-transit spectrum, shifting the residual spectra by $2\pi R_s t / (P \tau) = 2.74(t/\tau)$ km s$^{-1}$, where $t$ is the time relative to $T_c$ and $\tau$ is the transit duration, and adding the Doppler-corrected residuals back to the out-of-transit reference.

Figure 5 plots the mean observed spectrum of V1298 Tau obtained during the transit of “b” with wavelengths in the frame of the observer. The relatively featureless spectrum of an A0-type star HIP 17692 is also shown. Blue triangles are telluric H$_2$O lines cataloged by Brockman & Hall (1973) and the red curve is a model of sky emission (i.e. OH) based on Noll et al. (2012) and Jones et al. (2013).

$T_c$ is the transit center, and $P$ is the transit duration, and adding the Doppler-corrected residuals back to the out-of-transit reference. The He I triplet at $\lambda$1083 nm appears in a single order (1075.67-1089.84 nm in air) of IRD spectra. Spectra obtained during the transit of “b” and those obtained for an independent RV monitoring program (C. Beichman, pers. comm.) were evaluated based on overall signal and interference of telluric emission (OH) and absorption lines (H$_2$O) with the He I triplet. (IRD spectra are obtained through a single fiber on the target and this precludes simultaneously measurement of the sky). We retained 53 spectra spanning 10.2 days, including all spectra obtained during the transit, from 1.1 days pre-

![Figure 4](image4.png) Residual CCF maps for the observed IRD spectra (left) and best-fit theoretical model (right). The three vertical lines for each panel indicate the approximate CCF center and its ±$v \sin i$ corresponds to the line edges. The horizontal dashed line represents the mid-transit time $T_c$.

![Figure 5](image5.png) Average of spectra in the vicinity of the He I 1083 nm triplet of V1298 Tau obtained during the transit of “b” with wavelengths in the frame of the observer. The relatively featureless spectrum of an A0-type star HIP 17692 is also shown. Blue triangles are telluric H$_2$O lines cataloged by Brockman & Hall (1973) and the red curve is a model of sky emission (i.e. OH) based on Noll et al. (2012) and Jones et al. (2013).
Figure 6. Spectra of V1298 Tau in the frame of the star, showing variation in the He I line before, during, and after the transit of “b” (but no significant variation in the neighboring Si I line). Error-bars show the standard deviation among the multiple spectra obtained on nights previous to and following the transit night. Also plotted are the means of spectra obtained during the first half and last half of the transit.

Figure 7. All spectra with acceptable signal level obtained outside of the transit of “b” where the observed RV is >0 and the telluric OH line does not interfere with the He I line. The spectra are color-coded according to the approximate time relative to $T_c$. The spectra during transit are also binned according to whether they were obtained during the first or second half. There is (i) elevated absorption during the transit relative to one day before the transit; (ii) a trend of decreasing absorption and return to pre-transit absorption during the transit; and (iii) anomalously high absorption in the $N = 2$ spectra obtained on the night following the transit. In contrast, the neighboring Si I line is unchanged throughout this interval. All the spectra obtained outside of transit are plotted individually in Fig. 7. All are consistent except for the two spectra obtained on the following night.

Figure 8 is a “tomographic” plot of the deviations of the He I line during the transit of “b” relative to the pre-transit profile (mean of observations on the previous night). Red areas are ones of decreased signal (increased absorption) and blue areas represent increased signal (decreased absorption). This plot clearly shows the increase in the strength of both the singlet and doublet which make up the He I triplet which begins sometime between observations of the previous night and the start of observations around transit first contact (T1), the decline in the absorption over the night, the anomalous absorption on the following night, and the return to pre-transit level 8 nights later. There also appears to be a slight increase in emission at the longer wavelength side of the triplet. Variability in the telluric lines of OH and H$_2$O, well separated from the He I are evident, as well as the lack of variability in the Si I line.

We measured the total equivalent width (EW) of the He I triplet, the neighboring Si I and Mg I lines (1082.7089 and 1081.1076 nm), and the Paschen $\beta$ line of H I at 1281.8072 nm. Lines were modeled with Voigt profiles, line constants were obtained from the NIST Atomic Line Database, and the FWHM of the Gaussian component of the Voigt profile was fit as a free parameter. Two additional free parameters in the He I fit were the ratio of the singlet to the doublet, and the center of the Gaussian component.

W"_"e measured the total equivalent width (EW) of the He I triplet, the neighboring Si I and Mg I lines (1082.7089 and 1081.1076 nm), and the Paschen $\beta$ line of H I at 1281.8072 nm. Lines were modeled with Voigt profiles, line constants were obtained from the NIST Atomic Line Database, and the FWHM of the Gaussian component of the Voigt profile was fit as a free parameter. Two additional free parameters in the He I fit were the ratio of the singlet to the doublet, and the center of the Gaussian component.
doublet line strength (to allow for variation due to regions on the star’s surface where the lines are optically thick and thus the ratio can change) and an overall Doppler shift relative to the NIST line center (to account for an uneven distribution of active regions – a significant source of He I absorption – on the rotating surface of the star.

The top panel of Figure 9 shows the EW vs. time obtained during the night of the transit, the preceding and following nights, and 9 nights after the transit. While the He I EW measured one day before and 9 days after the transit are consistent, the the EW varies by ~50% during the transit, decreasing from 0.047 to 0.028 nm. The EW of other lines in the neighborhood of 1083.2 nm vary by much less. This variation is not correlated with the overall signal in the spectral order (second panel of Fig. 9), although the high He I EW values on the night after the transit were obtained with lower signal during cloudy conditions. The FWHM of the He I line (third panel of Fig. 9) exhibits a slight decrease during the transit and returns to the pre-transit value, indicating that the transit-associated absorption is broader than the out-of-transit line. During the transit, the best-fit line center (bottom panel of Fig. 9) is blue-shifted by ~4 km s\(^{-1}\) during the transit relative to outside the transit; this is clearly seen in the blue side of the He I line profile in Fig. 5.

Possibly, the observed changes in He I EW could have been due to variation in the intensity of the known, weaker OH line (Fig. 5) or an unknown H\(_2\)O line. However, the observed variation appears much broader than that observed for other OH/H\(_2\)O lines and nothing analogous appears in the relevant wavelength range of either V1298 Tau or the A0-type telluric reference star HIP 17692. Error in determination of the continuum level would manifest itself in the inferred EW, and is a leading contender to explain the drift in the Mg I and Si I lines, but this would effect all neighboring lines equally and thus cannot explain the behavior of the He I line. Variation in the instrument profile is minimal based on the FWHM behavior as well as the small (10-12 m sec\(^{-1}\)) instrumental drift (highly correlated with FWHM) during the transit and to first order is unlikely to explain this variation.

Astrophysical explanations for the variation during the transit include changes in the line as a result of stellar activity, i.e. a flare and rotation of active regions into and out of the visible disk of the star. V1298 Tau is a rapidly rotating (2.87-day), active star and these effects are expected to be enhanced relative to middle-aged stars. Strong He I line emission and/or absorption can also arise from inner disk winds or accreting disk gas (Edwards et al. 2003; Fischer et al. 2008; Kwan & Fischer 2011) but V1298 Tau lacks the infrared excess of a T Tauri-like disk or any sign of accretion (David et al. 2019a).

He I emission from a flaring region fills in the line core and produces a weakening both of He I at 1083 nm and the D3 line at 587.6 nm that tracks other chromospheric emission indicators such as H\(_\alpha\) (Schmidt et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2021). However, the change in the steady decline in EW over the 6 hr observation interval is inconsistent with the increase expected in the declining phase of a flare, and the time scale is inconsistent with the more rapid (>1 hr) rise time of flares.\(^3\) Emission is also associated with Doppler shifts of 10s of km s\(^{-1}\) (Johnson et al. 2021) which we do not observe.

The rotation period of V1298 Tau has been determined to be 2.87 days and thus over the 6 hour observing window approximately 30° of longitude appears and disappears, i.e. 1/6th of the visible disk. A 50% variation would require a fortuitous arrangement of active regions on the stellar surface. Notably, values well before and after transit agree within a few % (upper left panel of Fig. 9). Feinstein et al. (2021) found no variation in the He I D3 line during a 6-hour observation of a transit of the “c” planet, but did detect a steady 6% decrease in H\(_\alpha\) absorption which they attributed to rotation or variation of active regions on the star, and well within the range observed among other young stars (their Fig. 7). Fuhrmeister et al. (2020) found no evidence for rotational variability of the 1083 nm He I line among M dwarfs (including a few rapid rotators), although this might be due in part to limitations of their sample, and of course V1298 Tau is young and much more active than the typical middle-aged M dwarf. While we cannot rule out a stellar cause, other observations cast doubt on this as the explanation for a 50% variation in He I.

We further investigated the intrinsic variability of the stellar He I line by analyzing all spectra with sufficient signal, regardless of telluric contamination, by simultaneously fitting the OH and H\(_2\)O lines with Gaussian profiles with a fixed FWHM of 0.0155 nm (the instrument resolution) but variable equivalent width and line center, along with the He I triplet and blended Si I line. While these fits capture the overall strength of the lines, the limited spectral sampling and departures of the line profiles from idealized models

\(^3\) Flare-induced dimming, perhaps a result of electron-collisional ionization of triplet He I has been observed on the Sun (Kerr et al. 2021) but is low contrast and localized and unlikely to be responsible.
containing cloud would seem to be required, but the cloud would have to precede the planet in its orbit. Moreover, the anomalous absorption on the night following the transit would remain to be explained. The formal uncertainty in $T_C$ is only ±2 min.

V1298 Tau hosts multiple planets: could another of these be responsible? Using the linear ephemerides for the V1298 Tau system (Livingston et al., in prep), a transit of “c” occurs with a $T_c$ at BJD = 2459118.3044 (UT 19:18 on 25 September), 17.25 hr before that of “b”, and a transit of “d” has a $T_c$ at BJD = 2459118.6915 (UT 4:36 on 26 September), only 7.96 hours before (±8 min). The transit of “d” ends less than two hours before the beginning of our observations for the transit of “b”. This raises the possibility that the declining He I absorption observed during the transit of “b” is actually associated with the prior transit of “d”. The radius of “d”, $6.4\pm0.4R_\odot$, is only marginally larger than that of “c” ($5.6\pm0.3R_\odot$), and both almost certainly have low-molecular weight (H/He-rich) envelopes. Why the more irradiated “c” has little or no mass loss (Feinstein et al. 2021) but “d” might is unclear, but one possibility is simply that the yet-to-measured mass and thus gravity of “d” is much lower than that of “c”.

4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The study of very young planetary systems such as that of V1298 Tau offer insight into the timescales and mechanisms of planet formation and evolution that shape the exoplanet populations that large surveys such as Kepler, TESS, and RV surveys have revealed. One outstanding question is whether planets on close-in orbits formed close to their host star, migrated inward via torques from the natal disk, or were scattered inwards by gravitational interaction with another planet or companion star. The last scenario predicts that the normal of the planetary orbit plane could be significantly inclined to the stellar rotation axis, particularly at early times before tidal torques from the star could re-align the orbits. The observations of Feinstein et al. (2021) and our work presented here that the projected obliquity of the “c” and “b” planets, respectively, is small (and possibly zero) point to an origin involving the protoplanetary disk.

The low stellar obliquity for the V1298 Tau system is in line with the earlier results of obliquity measurements for young systems (e.g., Zhou et al. 2020; Dai et al. 2020; Hirano et al. 2020b; Gaidos et al. 2020b). This is also consistent with the empirical finding that cool exoplanet hosts ($T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 6250$ K) generally have low stellar obliquities (Winn et al. 2010; Albrecht et al. 2012); this empirical law was found for giant planets ($\geq 5 R_\oplus$) like V1298 Tau b. The number of obliquity measurements for young exoplanet systems is still limited (<10), and further measurements for young exoplanets covering a wide range of stellar types would enhance our understanding on the origin and dynamical history of close-in exoplanets.

Poppenhaeger et al. (2021) use models of XUV-driven H/He escape to predict that the innermost (“c” and “d”) could experience significant or near-complete loss of their H/He envelopes in 5 Gyr. Current mass-loss rates depend sensitively on the heavy-element (“core”) content, but Poppenhaeger et al. (2021) estimate it could be as high as 0.8 $M_\oplus$ in 10 Myr. An important caveat is that they adopt the energy-limited formulation with a constant efficiency factor of 10%, which Krenn et al. (2021) used detailed models of hydrodynamic escape to show can lead to errors of orders of magnitude in escape rates.

The EW of the He I triplet is elevated during a transit of “b” relative to nights before and after the transit, and shows a steady

---

4 No known planets transited during night 1 and the next transit (of “c”) started about 16 hours after these observations ended.
decreasing trend during the transit. This does not seem to be a product of the instrument, telluric effects, or our analysis. It is difficult to understand this in terms of a surrounding and/or trailing cloud of neutral gas around “b”, but our observations begin only 1 hour after the transit of “d”, a smaller (and presumably less massive) planet on an interior orbit. Multiplying the ≈2 hr duration of the absorption event plus the 1 hr between the end of the transit of “d” and the beginning of our observations, with the orbital velocity of “d” yields a transverse extent of 7 × 10^6 km, which is about 26 planet radii or 1.1 stellar radii. Due to its size, such a cloud would have to be optical thin in the He I line.

Vissapragada et al. (2021), also report no detection of He I absorption in a narrow He I band filter associated with transits of “b” but do find tentative evidence for absorption associated with partials transits of “d” on UT 8 Oct and 27 Nov 2020. The corresponding EW of their measurement is 0.027 ± 0.014 nm, which is consistent with our measurement of an ≈0.19 nm change during the 26 September transit. They also obtained spectra containing asymmetric variation of the He I line on day-timescales that could be driven by stellar flaring.

We estimated the expected He I absorption produced by an escaping atmosphere of H/He from “b” or “d”. The model described in detail elsewhere (Hirano et al. 2020b; Gaidos et al. 2020a,b), calculates the escaping atmosphere as an isothermal (temperature T_{\text{wind}} Parker wind with a solar-like composition (H/He=10.5). The spectral intensity from the star in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) is a required input, since photons with λ < 50.4 nm are responsible for ionizing He I and, via recombination, producing the neutral triplet state. Also needed is the intensity in the near ultraviolet (NUV), since photons with λ < 258.3 nm ionize the triplet state. The UV spectra of distant stars in molecular clouds are not possible to measure directly due to absorption and scattering by intervening gas and dust and must be inferred from other measures such as X-rays. We adopted the spectrum of the young, active K2-type star Epsilon Eridani as reconstructed by the MUSCLES Treasury Survey (v. 2.2, France et al. 2016; Younghblood et al. 2016; Loyd et al. 2016). The spectrum was adjusted by the square of the radius ratio of V1298 Tau to Eps Eri. The EUV portion of the spectrum was also further adjusted so that the 0.01-1 keV EUV (λl 12.39-123.9 nm) luminosity is 5 × 10^{30} ergs sec⁻¹, as estimated by Poppenhaeger et al. (2021). The latter was done by choosing a value for Ly-α emission such that adjusting individual EUV wavelength ranges using the empirical relations between Ly-α and EUV emission of Linsky et al. (2014) produces the Poppenhaeger et al. (2021) estimate for XUV luminosity. For a given planet radius, the predicted mass loss rate depends sensitively on planet mass, but for a given escape rate the He I signal also depends only weakly on planet mass through the atmospheric scale height; for both “b” and “d” we assumed 10 M_⊕. Figure 11 shows the predicted equivalent width in nm as a function of mass loss rate and wind temperature, along with the observed change of 0.019 nm during the transit (thick blue line) and excluded levels > 0.04 nm (grey zone). Assuming that the observed change in He I EW is not associated with “b”, our observations rule out some or all of the range of mass loss estimated by Poppenhaeger et al. (2021), if T_{\text{wind}} < 7000K (Fig. 11a). If instead the change is produced by an extended wind from “d”, the inferred escape rate (Fig. 11b) would support the predictions of Poppenhaeger et al. (2021) and result in the loss of an entire 1 M_⊕ H/He envelope in ~100 Myr.

Our findings highlight the promise but also the challenges of observations of planets orbiting active young stars. In the case of V1298 Tau, our results and those of Feinstein et al. (2021) place limits on escape of the atmospheres of the b and c planets, respectively; extending this to the “d” planet is a high-priority next step.
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Figure 11. Contours of constant He I (in nm) predicted by a model of atmospheric escape as an isothermal Parker wind from V1298 Tau b (left) and d (right). The thick blue contour represents the 0.019 nm change observed during the transit of “b”. The grey region where EW > 0.04 nm can be excluded by our observations. The dashed red lines mark the low, medium, and high loss-rate estimates of Poppenhaeger et al. (2021).
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