(Fluoro)alkylation of alkenes promoted by photolysis of alkylzirconocenes†
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Diffuoroalkylated compounds have important applications in pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and materials science. However, efficient methods to construct the alkylCF2–alkyl bond are very limited, and the site-selective introduction of a difluoromethylene (CF2) group into an aliphatic chain at the desired position remains challenging. Here, we report an unprecedented example of alkylzirconocene promoted difluoroalkylation of alkyl- and silyl-alkenes with a variety of unactivated difluoroalkyl iodides and bromides under the irradiation of visible light without a catalyst. The resulting difluoroalkylated compounds can serve as versatile synthons in organic synthesis. The reaction can also be applied to activated difluoroalkyl, trifluoromethyl, perfluoroalkyl, monofluoroalkyl, and nonfluorinated alkyl halides, providing a general method to controllably access fluorinated compounds. Preliminary mechanistic studies reveal that a single electron transfer (SET) pathway induced by a Zr(III) species is involved in the reaction, in which the Zr(III) species is generated by the photolysis of alkylzirconocene with blue light.

Introduction

The site-selective introduction of fluorine atom(s) into organic molecules has important applications in medicinal chemistry, chemical biology, and materials science. For instance, biologically active molecules containing a difluoromethylene (CF2) moiety at the specific position exhibit improved bioactivities compared with their nonfluorinated counterparts, because the CF2 can change the metabolic stability, conformation, acidity and polarity of the molecules. Some important pharmaceuticals containing the CF2 moiety have been discovered for the treatment of tumors and other deceases, such as gemcitabine, vinflunine, and lubiprostone (Fig. 1A). To this end, efforts toward the development of efficient methods to access CF2-containing molecules have been witnessed over the past decade. However, most of these developed synthesis methods mainly focus on the preparation of difluoroalkylated arenes (ArCF2R) with CF2 at the benzylic position. To date, efficient methods to site-selectively introduce CF2 into an aliphatic chain remain limited. The traditional method to prepare difluoroalkylated compounds relies on the

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures and analytical data for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc07061d
deoxyfluorination of the carbonyl group with dialkyaminosulfur trifluorides, such as diethylaminoisulfur trifluoride (DAST). But the modest functional group tolerance of this method restricts its synthetic applications. Another common method to synthesize such a valuable fluorinated structure is based on the nucleophile addition of difluoroalkylating reagents to aldehydes, ketones, and imines (Fig. 1B(a)). However, owing to the instability of nucleophilic difluoroalkylating reagents, usually a π-system adjacent to the C-F moiety, is needed to stabilize the difluoroalkanyl anion,10 making the method difficult in constructing the allylCF 2-alkyl bond. Although nucleophilic substitution of aliphatic electrophiles with carbon nucleophiles is well known, the adoption of a similar strategy to react di-nucleophiles with carbon nucleophiles remains challenging and has not been reported yet.11 In this context, transition-metal12 or photo-redox13 catalyzed difluoroalkylation reactions to construct the allylCF 2-alkyl bond have been developed (Fig. 1B(b) and B(c)). These methods either need activated coupling partners, such as allylic substrates12a and π system functionalized difluoroalkyl halides12b (XCF 2FG, FG = π system, transition-metal catalyzed process), or are difficult to be used in the reaction of aliphatic alkenes with XCF 2-alkyl (e.g. for the photoredox catalyzed process),13a thus restricting their widespread synthetic applications.

To overcome these limitations and meet the increasing demands of life and materials sciences, new methods that can extend the diversity of the difluoroalkylated structure with site-selective introduction of CF 2 into the aliphatic chain at the desired position are highly desired. Herein, we report an unprecedented example of catalyst free difluoroalkylation of silyl- and allyl-alkenes with unactivated difluoroalkyl halides promoted by photolysis of alkylzirconocenes (Fig. 1C). The reaction can also be applied to π-functionalized difluoroalkyl, trifluoromethyl, perfluoroalkyl, monofluoroalkyl, and non-fluoroalkyl halides, providing a general method to access fluoroalkylated alkanes. Preliminary mechanistic studies reveal that a single electron transfer (SET) pathway induced by a Zr(u) species is involved in the reaction, in which the Zr(u) species is generated by the photolysis of alkylzirconocenes with visible light. This protocol paves a new way to construct the alkyfluoroalkyl bond by using readily available fluoroalkyl halides and aliphatic alkenes.

Results and discussion

Inspired by our nickel-catalyzed difluoroalkylation cross-coupling13b,14 we chose unactivated difluoroalkyl iodide 1a15 and alkylzirconocene 2a as the model substrates (Table 1), in which alkylzirconocene can be readily prepared from the corresponding alkenes 5 and Schwartz reagent (H2ZrCp2Cl, 6).16 Directly adopting the nickel-catalyzed cross coupling failed to provide desired difluoroalkylated alkane 3a (entry 1). In contrast, the irradiation of the nickel catalyzed reaction with a blue LED (12 W) generated product 3a in 51% yield along with 27% yield of hydrodeiodinated by-product 4a (entry 2). The absence of the nickel catalyst could also provide a comparable yield (entry 3), and no reaction occurred without the blue LED (entry 4), demonstrating that blue light is essential in promoting the reaction. These mild conditions are quite different from the challenge S N1 or S N2 substitution of difluoroalkyl halides, because of the difficulty in forming a difluoroalkyl carbocation (S N1) or the repulsion of the lone pairs of fluorine atoms to the carbon nucleophile (S N2).19 This difference implies a novel mechanism, which is discussed below. Encouraged by these results, we tested a series of reaction parameters (for details, see the ESI†), and found that the use of 3.0 equiv. of 2a with NMP as the solvent could provide 3a in 81% yield (62% isolated yield) (entry 5).

Because 2a was derived from the reaction of 6 with excessive silylalkene 5a (Table 1), this posed a question whether 5a, instead of 2a, is involved in the formation of 3a. To identify the role of 2a, we prepared 2a by reaction of alkylmagnesium bromide 7 with ZrCp2Cl2 (Scheme 1a).20 However, no 3a was observed by reaction of 2a with 1a (Scheme 1a). In contrast, the addition of 5a to the reaction could provide the desired product in 48% yield (Scheme 1b). These findings suggest that excessive silylalkene 5a in the reaction is the substrate responsible for generating 3a with 1a. This deduction was further supported by the addition of another silylalkene 5b to the reaction mixture of 1a, 2a, and 5a under the irradiation of blue light, in which both difluoroalkylated products 3a and 3b were formed (Scheme 1c).

| Entry | [Ni] (x) | Solvent | 3a (%) | 4a (%) |
|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| 1a    | NiBr2-DME/bpy (10) | THF | 0 | 5 |
| 2a    | NiBr2-DME/bpy (10) | THF | 51 | 27 |
| 3a    | None | THF | 53 | 33 |
| 4a    | None | THF | nd | nd |
| 5a    | None | NMP | 81| 62 |

Table 1 Representative results for the optimization of the reaction conditions
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of 6, and 1.0 equiv. of 1a could provide 3a in a comparable yield (80% determined by \(^{19}\)F NMR and 62% isolated yield) (for details, see the ESI†). With the viable reaction conditions in hand, we examined the substrate scope of this process (Scheme 2A). Generally, the reaction of silylalkene 5a with a series of unactivated difluoralkyl iodides (ICF₂-alkyl) 1 furnished the corresponding products 3 efficiently. The chain length of 1 did not affect the reaction efficiency. Substrates 1 bearing a linear aliphatic chain from one to five carbons underwent the coupling smoothly (3a–3k). The reaction exhibited good functional group tolerance. A variety of important functional groups, such as ester, silyl ether, aryl chloride, and nitrile, were compatible with the reaction conditions (3a–3g, 3i, 3j, and 3l). Even alkylchloride- and sulphonate-containing substrates (3h and 3k) were competent coupling partners, providing good opportunities for downstream derivatization. The reaction was not restricted to difluoralkyl iodides, as unactivated difluoroalkyl bromides were also suitable substrates (3i–3l), with 3.5 equiv. of alkene and 1.8 equiv. of 6 needed. Replacing silylalkene 5a with silylalkene 5b led to comparable yields (3d, 3g, and 3j), suggesting that 5a and 5b possess similar reactivity. Notably, the steric difluoroalkyl iodide substituted with a cyclohexyl group was also applicable to the reaction (3m). In addition to XCF₂-alkyl (X = I, Br), the reaction of 5a with functionalized difluoroalkyl bromides proceeded smoothly (3n–3r). Bromodifluoroacetate and bromodifluoroacetamide showed higher reactivity than those of XCF₂-alkyl, while gem-difluoropropyl bromides and heteroaryl substituted difluoromethyl bromide provided comparable yields. The reaction is readily scalable, as demonstrated by the gram-scale synthesis of 3d and 3g without the erosion of the reaction efficiency. Chlorodifluoroacetate was also examined, but poor yield (19%) was obtained by reaction with 5a and 2a.

We next examined the substrate scope of alkenes 5. The reaction of XCF₂-alkyl (X = I, Br) 1 with compounds 5 bearing a different chain length furnished the corresponding products smoothly (8a–8k). However, styrene was not applicable to the reaction. The successful production of 8i and 8j with alkyl bromide intact demonstrates that the alkyl bromides are less reactive than the difluoroalkyl iodides and bromides, due to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the CF₂ group that enables the difluoroalkyl iodides and bromides to relatively more easily accept an electron than their nonfluorinated counterparts via a SET pathway. Most importantly, a boronate-containing substrate was also applicable to the reaction (8k and 8m). Since boronate is a useful synthetic handle in organic synthesis, the resulting boronate-containing products should serve as a versatile building block for organic synthesis. Notably, the cyclic alkene did not affect the reaction efficiency, and norbornene provided compound 8l in 76% yield. In addition, the reaction of difluoroacetate bromide with alkenes, including linear and cyclic alkenes, proceeded smoothly, and afforded the corresponding products 8m–8q with high efficiency. The reaction can also be extended to trifluoromethyl, perfluoroalkyl, and monofluoroacetate iodides and bromides, with moderate to good yields obtained (9a–9c). Remarkably, nonfluorinated alkyl halides were also applicable to the reaction. Tertiary alkyl bromide bearing an ester group (9d) and 2-bromomalonate (9e) underwent the coupling efficiently; even Boc-protected 4-iodopiperidine afforded 9f in good yield, thus demonstrating the generality and advantage of this catalyst free, visible light induced process.

Given that the alkylzirconocene is essential in promoting the reaction, but is not the substrate for generating the desired product, we envisioned that alkylzirconocene may functionalize an initiator in the reaction. In this way, we can use a simple alkylzirconocene in combination with different alkenes and fluoroalkyl halides to construct alkylCF₂-alkyl bonds. Compared with the above synthetic procedure, this alternative process does not need the preparation of different alkylzirconocenes and enables fluoroalkylation of a variety of alkenes bearing different functional groups that are sensitive to HZrCp₂Cl, thus expanding the substrate scope of the current protocol. Accordingly, we prepared alkylzirconocene 2c by reaction of allylbenzene with HZrCp₂Cl. As shown in Scheme 2B, the treatment of 2c with bromodifluoroacetate and the cyclobutene derivative under the irradiation of blue light afforded product 10a in 50% yield. Since a four-membered ring and difluoroacetyl are important structural motifs in medicinal chemistry,²¹ compound 10a would have applications in the synthesis of biologically active molecules. The terminal alkene bearing an amide proton was also applicable to the reaction, providing 10b in good yield. In addition to bromodifluoroacetate, unactivated difluoroalkyl bromides and iodides were also competent coupling partners to react with a variety of complex molecule derived alkenes (10c–10h). Estone- and sulbactam-containing alkenes underwent the difluoroalkylation reaction smoothly (10i–10l).
reaction smoothly (10d and 10e). Peptide and carbohydrate derivatives were also suitable substrates (10f and 10g); even febuxostat bearing a heteroarene was also amenable to the reaction (10h). Thus, the current protocol represents a facile route for applications in medicinal chemistry.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, transformations of the resulting difluoroalkylated compounds were conducted (Scheme 3). The silyl group on compounds 3 can be readily converted into a hydroxyl. As shown in Scheme 3A, the treatment of compounds 3e, 3i, and 3m with TBAF, followed by KF
Selective deprotection of under standard reaction conditions proceeded smoothly. The shown in Scheme 3B, the gram-scale synthesis of compound also serve as a useful building block for organic synthesis. As bioactive molecules. The resulting di yield. The transformation of its carbon triple bond afforded \( \text{Et}_2\text{O} \) in 85% yield. The transformation of its carbon–carbon triple bond afforded \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone efficiently. The reaction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) afforded alcohols \( 11d, 11h, \) and \( 11l \) with high efficiency. Given the important applications of alcohols in organic synthesis and the unique properties of the CF₂ moiety, these CF₂-containing alcohols offer good opportunities in the synthesis of bioactive molecules. The resulting difluoroalkylated products can also serve as a useful building block for organic synthesis. As shown in Scheme 3B, the gram-scale synthesis of compound 3p under standard reaction conditions proceeded smoothly. The selective deprotection of 3p provided terminal alkyne 12 in 85% yield. The transformation of its carbon–carbon triple bond afforded \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone 13 efficiently. The reaction of 13 with N–Me indole in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O afforded 14 in 70% yield, which was subsequently converted into alcohol 15 in 56% yield.

To gain mechanistic insight into the reaction, we conducted several radical clock experiments with \( \alpha \)-cyclopropylstyrene 16 as a probe (Fig. 2A). When compound 16 was added to the reaction mixture of difluoroalkyl bromide 1g and alkylzirconocene 2b, two ring expanded products 17 (8% yield) and 18 (13% yield) were obtained under standard reaction conditions (Fig. 2A(a)). The treatment of 2b with 16 under the irradiation of blue light also provided 17 in 15% yield, but no 17 was observed in the absence of blue light (Fig. 2A(b)). In addition, no 18 was provided when 1a was treated with 16 under the irradiation of blue light (Fig. 2A(c)). These results suggest that visible light can induce alkylzirconocene 2b to generate an alkyl radical; in the meanwhile, 2b can also induce difluoroalkyl bromide to generate a difluoroalkyl radical under the irradiation of blue light. Because an alkyl radical (I) can be generated by photolysis of alkylzirconocene under the irradiation of blue light, we proposed that a Zr(III) species \([\text{ZrCp}_2\text{Cl}]\) was formed simultaneously during this process, as illustrated in Fig. 2B. The Zr(III) subsequently reacted with difluoroalkyl halide to produce a fluoroalkyl radical via a SET pathway. We also conducted light–dark experiments under standard reaction conditions (see the ESI†), and found that no reaction occurred in the dark, suggesting the essential role of blue light in promoting the reaction. This result demonstrates that a radical chain mechanism is unlikely involved in the reaction. After the fluoroalkyl radical was generated, we proposed that it directly reacted with the alkene to generate a new alkyl radical (II), which subsequently abstracted a proton from the solvent to produce the final product (Fig. 2B). As for the radical (I) generated from alkylzirconocene, it can also be quenched by the solvent or undergo a homo-coupling reaction. This deduction was supported by the GC-MS analysis of the reaction, in which homo-coupling product III and a large amount of alkane IV were observed (for details, see Fig. S2†).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a general and catalyst-free method for the fluoroalkylation of alkenes promoted by photolysis of alkylzirconocenes. The reaction exhibits high functional group tolerance and broad substrate scope. A wide range of silyl- and alkyl-alkenes as well as fluoroalkyl halides, including difluoroalkyl, trifluoromethyl, perfluoroalkyl, and monofluoroalkyl bromides and iodides, were suitable substrates. In particular, the adaptability of the readily available unactivated difluoroalkyl halides and aliphatic alkenes to the reaction paves a new way for the construction of alkylCF₂–alkyl bonds. The reaction can also be applied to nonfluorinated alkyl halides, thus demonstrating the generality of this protocol further. Furthermore, the use of simple alkylzirconocene, instead of generating different alkylzirconocenes between HZrCp₂Cl and a series of alkenes, significantly expands the substrate scope, including a variety of complex molecules that are sensitive to HZrCp₂Cl. The synthetic utility of this protocol has also been demonstrated by the transformations of...
diffuoroalkylated compounds, providing good opportunities in medicinal chemistry. Preliminary mechanistic studies reveal that a SET pathway induced by a Zr(III) species is involved in the reaction, in which the Zr(III) species is generated by the photolysis of alkylzirconocenes with blue light. This intriguing pathway may prompt great interest in using the photolysis of organozirconium for organic synthesis.
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